

Edgar Filing: GOODRICH CORP - Form 10-Q/A

GOODRICH CORP  
Form 10-Q/A  
February 14, 2002

FORM 10-Q/A  
(AMENDMENT NO. 1)

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)  
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

FOR THE QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2001  
COMMISSION FILE NUMBER 1-892

GOODRICH CORPORATION  
(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

NEW YORK  
(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

34-0252680  
(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification No)

Four Coliseum Centre, 2730 West Tyvola Road, Charlotte, N.C.

28217

-----  
(Address of principal executive offices)

-----  
(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code 704-423-7000  
-----

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports) and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes      X                      No  
-----                      -----

As of September 30, 2001, there were 101,938,739 shares of common stock outstanding (excluding 14,000,000 shares held by a wholly-owned subsidiary). There is only one class of common stock.

EXPLANATORY NOTE

This Amendment No. 1 on Form 10-Q/A is being filed with respect to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2001 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") on October 31, 2001 (the "Form 10-Q"). The Form 10-Q, as amended hereby, continues to speak as of the date of the original Form 10-Q and other disclosures have not been updated to speak to any later date.

## Edgar Filing: GOODRICH CORP - Form 10-Q/A

This amendment is being filed as the result of a reclassification of debt and convertible preferred securities between continuing operations and discontinued operations to reflect modifications in the Company's approach to capitalizing its Engineered Industrial Products business which has been classified as a discontinued operation pending its planned spin off. This amendment includes certain revised disclosures, adjustments and reclassifications to the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements and notes thereto in certain areas as more fully described in Note A of the notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements.

2

### PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

#### ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

GOODRICH CORPORATION  
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF INCOME (UNAUDITED)  
(DOLLARS IN MILLIONS, EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS)

	THREE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30,		NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30,	
	2001 ----	2000 ----	2001 ----	2000 ----
Sales	\$1,051.9	\$ 932.4	\$3,131.7	\$2,739.2
Operating Costs and Expenses:				
Cost of sales	752.9	673.4	2,236.6	1,982.7
Selling and administrative expenses	144.4	118.8	445.8	362.0
Merger-related and consolidation costs	1.5	8.3	14.9	29.1
	-----	-----	-----	-----
	898.8	800.5	2,697.3	2,373.8
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Operating income	153.1	131.9	434.4	365.4
Interest expense	(24.6)	(28.4)	(83.3)	(77.3)
Interest income	7.0	0.8	18.9	3.4
Other income (expense) - net	(8.1)	(5.9)	(15.2)	(11.9)
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Income before				
income taxes and Trust distributions	127.4	98.4	354.8	279.6
Income tax expense	(42.8)	(34.7)	(118.9)	(97.7)
Distributions on Trust Preferred Securities	(2.7)	(2.6)	(7.9)	(7.9)
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Income from Continuing Operations	81.9	61.1	228.0	174.0
Income from Discontinued Operations	6.1	18.8	115.6	73.7
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Net Income	\$ 88.0	\$ 79.9	\$ 343.6	\$ 247.7
	=====	=====	=====	=====
Basic Earnings per Share:				
Continuing operations	\$ 0.79	\$ 0.60	\$ 2.20	\$ 1.65
Discontinued operations	0.06	0.19	1.12	0.69
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Net Income	\$ 0.85	\$ 0.79	\$ 3.32	\$ 2.34
	=====	=====	=====	=====

Edgar Filing: GOODRICH CORP - Form 10-Q/A

Diluted Earnings per Share:				
Continuing operations	\$ 0.76	\$ 0.57	\$ 2.12	\$ 1.58
Discontinued operations	0.07	0.20	1.12	0.72
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Net Income	\$ 0.83	\$ 0.77	\$ 3.24	\$ 2.30
	=====	=====	=====	=====
Dividends declared per common share	\$ 0.275	\$ 0.275	\$ 0.825	\$ 0.825
	=====	=====	=====	=====

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

3

GOODRICH CORPORATION  
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET (UNAUDITED)  
(DOLLARS IN MILLIONS)

	SEPTEMBER 30, 2001	DECEMBER 31, 2000
	-----	-----
ASSETS		
Current Assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 84.3	\$ 77.5
Accounts and notes receivable, less allowances for doubtful receivables (\$45.0 at September 30, 2001; \$28.5 at December 31, 2000)	683.8	633.3
Inventories	905.8	809.6
Deferred income taxes	151.2	88.4
Prepaid expenses and other assets	34.7	75.1
Net assets of discontinued operations	284.4	1,049.7
	-----	-----
Total Current Assets	2,144.2	2,733.6
	-----	-----
Property, plant and equipment	949.5	897.0
Deferred income taxes	--	4.2
Prepaid pension	243.8	235.0
Goodwill	763.5	681.7
Identifiable intangible assets	131.6	102.1
Payment-in-kind notes receivable, less discount (\$21.9 at September 30, 2001)	163.1	--
Other assets	461.2	404.4
Net assets of discontinued operations	--.-	80.9
	-----	-----
	\$ 4,856.9	\$5,138.9
	=====	=====
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Current Liabilities		
Short-term bank debt	\$ 163.6	\$ 755.6
Accounts payable	413.3	366.3
Accrued expenses	478.2	515.4
Income taxes payable	219.3	59.3
Current maturities of long-term debt		

Edgar Filing: GOODRICH CORP - Form 10-Q/A

and capital lease obligations	9.7	179.2
	-----	-----
Total Current Liabilities	1,284.1	1,875.8
	-----	-----
Long-term debt and capital lease obligations	1,310.6	1,301.4
Pension obligations	61.4	61.4
Postretirement benefits other than pensions	328.0	334.4
Deferred income taxes	14.7	--
Other non-current liabilities	232.4	212.9
Commitments and contingent liabilities	--	--
Mandatorily redeemable preferred securities of trust	124.8	124.5
Shareholders' Equity		
Common stock - \$5 par value		
Authorized 200,000,000 shares; issued 115,096,525 shares at September 30, 2001, and 113,295,049 shares at December 31, 2000 (excluding 14,000,000 shares held by a wholly-owned subsidiary at each date)	575.5	566.5
Additional capital	972.6	922.8
Income retained in the business	416.1	158.1
Accumulated other comprehensive income	(59.2)	(57.7)
Unearned portion of restricted stock awards	(0.7)	(1.2)
Common stock held in treasury, at cost (13,157,786 shares at September 30, 2001, and 10,964,761 shares at December 31, 2000)	(403.4)	(360.0)
	-----	-----
Total Shareholders' Equity	1,500.9	1,228.5
	-----	-----
	\$ 4,856.9	\$5,138.9
	=====	=====

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

4

GOODRICH CORPORATION  
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS (UNAUDITED)  
(DOLLARS IN MILLIONS)

	NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30,	
	2001	2000
	-----	-----
OPERATING ACTIVITIES		
Income from continuing operations	\$228.0	\$174.0
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided (used) by operating activities:		
Merger related and consolidation:		
Expenses	14.9	29.1
Payments	(22.2)	(41.0)
Depreciation and amortization	129.3	122.7
Deferred income taxes	20.2	14.7
Net gains on sales of businesses	(7.2)	(2.0)
Payment-in-kind interest income	(12.3)	-
Change in assets and liabilities, net of effects		

Edgar Filing: GOODRICH CORP - Form 10-Q/A

of acquisitions and dispositions of Businesses:		
Receivables	(90.4)	(96.4)
Change in receivables sold, net	46.3	(3.5)
Inventories	(87.6)	(69.1)
Other current assets	(16.1)	(10.3)
Accounts payable	17.1	16.1
Accrued expenses	15.6	(1.2)
Income taxes payable	62.6	(39.2)
Tax benefit on non-qualified options	(7.7)	-
Other non-current assets and liabilities	(80.0)	(127.5)
	-----	-----
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities of continuing operations	210.5	(33.6)
	-----	-----
INVESTING ACTIVITIES		
Purchases of property	(134.6)	(85.2)
Proceeds from sale of property	0.7	22.5
Proceeds from sale of businesses	15.6	4.8
Payments made in connection with acquisitions, net of cash acquired	(119.2)	(37.6)
	-----	-----
Net cash used by investing activities of continuing operations	(237.5)	(95.5)
	-----	-----
FINANCING ACTIVITIES		
Increase (decrease) in short-term debt	(576.1)	500.7
Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt	4.9	--
Repayment of long-term debt and capital lease obligations	(186.6)	(9.6)
Proceeds from issuance of capital stock	50.6	16.1
Purchases of treasury stock	(27.8)	(300.1)
Dividends	(85.1)	(89.5)
Distributions on Trust preferred securities	(13.8)	(13.8)
	-----	-----
Net cash provided (used) by financing activities of continuing operations	(833.9)	103.8
	-----	-----
DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS		
Net cash provided (used) by discontinued operations	(170.5)	52.6
Proceeds from sale of discontinued operations	1,035.7	-
	-----	-----
Net cash provided by discontinued operations	865.2	52.6
	-----	-----
Effect of Exchange Rate Changes on Cash and Cash Equivalents	2.5	(3.5)
	-----	-----
Net Increase in Cash and Cash Equivalents	6.8	23.8
Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Period	77.5	66.4
	-----	-----
Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Period	\$ 84.3	\$ 90.2
	=====	=====

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

# Edgar Filing: GOODRICH CORP - Form 10-Q/A

## NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

### NOTE A: RECLASSIFICATIONS

On October 31, 2001, the Company filed its condensed consolidated financial statements for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2001 and 2000. These condensed consolidated financial statements reflected the Company's Engineered Industrial Products ("EIP") segment as a discontinued operation (see Note I below). Accordingly, the Company reclassified to discontinued operations the net assets, results of operations and cash flows attributable to this business within its condensed consolidated financial statements. Included within such classification was \$153 million of short-term indebtedness related to the acquisition of Dana Corporation's Glacier Bearings business in September 2001, which is part of the EIP segment.

Subsequent to the issuance of these financial statements, the Company modified its approach to capitalizing the EIP business upon spin-off. The Company decided that it would retain the debt associated with the Glacier Bearings acquisition and that the Company would not make a cash tender offer for the 5.25 percent convertible trust preferred securities (TIDES) of Coltec Capital Trust.

Following is a summary of the adjustments required for the reclassifications noted above:

(DOLLARS IN MILLIONS)

STATEMENT OF INCOME	THREE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30,		NINE MONTHS SEPTEMBER
	2001	2000	2001
RECLASS OF TIDES TO DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS:			
Other income (expense), net - Amortization of TIDES deferred issuance costs	\$ --	\$ 0.5	\$ 0.7
Distribution on Trust Preferred Securities	\$ 1.9	\$ 2.0	\$ 5.9
RECLASS OF \$153 IN MILLION IN SHORT-TERM INDEBTEDNESS TO CONTINUING OPERATIONS:			
Interest expense	\$ (0.5)	\$ --	\$ (0.5)
BALANCE SHEET	SEPTEMBER 30, DECEMBER 31, 2001 2000		
RECLASS OF TIDES TO DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS:			
Accrued expenses	\$ (1.4)	\$ (1.6)	
Mandatorily redeemable preferred securities of trust	\$ (150.0)	\$ (149.3)	
RECLASS OF \$153 MILLION SHORT TERM DEBT TO CONTINUING OPERATIONS:			
Short-term bank debt	\$ 153.0	\$ --	

NOTE B: BASIS OF INTERIM FINANCIAL STATEMENT PREPARATION - The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements of Goodrich Corporation

Edgar Filing: GOODRICH CORP - Form 10-Q/A

("Goodrich" or the "Company") have been prepared in accordance with the instructions to Form 10-Q and do not include all of the information and footnotes required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. Certain amounts in prior year financial statements have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation. Operating results for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2001 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be achieved for the year ending December 31, 2001. For further information, refer to the consolidated financial statements and footnotes included in the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed February 13, 2002, which supercedes the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2000.

6

As discussed in Note I, the Company's Performance Materials and EIP segments have been accounted for as discontinued operations. Unless otherwise noted, disclosures herein pertain to the Company's continuing operations.

NOTE C: ACQUISITIONS - In the first nine months of 2001, the Company acquired a manufacturer of aerospace lighting systems and related electronics, as well as the assets of a designer and manufacturer of inertial sensors used for guidance and control of unmanned vehicles and precision-guided systems. Total consideration aggregated \$114.4 million, of which \$101.6 million represented goodwill and other intangible assets. The purchase price allocation for these acquisitions has been based on preliminary estimates.

NOTE D: INVENTORIES - Inventories included in the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet consist of:

(DOLLARS IN MILLIONS)	SEPTEMBER 30, 2001	DECEMBER 31, 2000
	-----	-----
FIFO or average cost (which approximates current costs):		
Finished products	\$ 154.7	\$ 170.7
In process	628.8	563.9
Raw materials and supplies	205.5	162.8
	-----	-----
	989.0	897.4
Less:		
Reserve to reduce certain inventories to LIFO	(40.9)	(39.0)
Progress payments and advances	(42.3)	(48.8)
	-----	-----
Total	\$ 905.8	\$ 809.6
	=====	=====

Based on revisions to the production schedule announced by Boeing at the end of 2001, the Company reevaluated its estimated costs to complete Boeing 717-200 contract, its learning curve assumptions as well as the number of aircraft expected to be delivered. As a result of this analysis, the Company recorded a charge of \$76.5 million during the fourth quarter of 2001. This charge eliminated the remaining balance of excess-over-average inventory costs yet to be recognized and reduced pre-production inventory balances down to \$35.2

Edgar Filing: GOODRICH CORP - Form 10-Q/A

million as of December 31, 2001. The Company will continue to record no margin on this contract based on its revised assumptions.

NOTE E: BUSINESS SEGMENT INFORMATION - Due to the sale of the Company's Performance Materials segment earlier this year, as well as the intended spin-off of the Company's EIP segment early in 2002, the Company has redefined its segments in accordance with SFAS 131. The Company's operations are now classified into four reportable business segments: Aerostructures and Aviation Technical Services, Landing Systems, Engine and Safety Systems, and Electronic Systems. Accordingly, the Company has reclassified all periods based on its revised segment reporting.

Aerostructures and Aviation Technical Services: Aerostructures is a leading supplier of nacelles, pylons, thrust reversers and related aircraft engine housing components. The aviation technical sales division performs comprehensive total aircraft maintenance, repair, overhaul and modification for many commercial airlines, independent operations, aircraft leasing companies and airfreight carriers.

Landing Systems: Landing Systems provides systems and components pertaining to aircraft taxi, take-off, landing and stopping. Several divisions within the group are linked by their ability to contribute to the integration design, manufacture and service of entire aircraft undercarriage systems, including sensors, landing gear, certain brake controls and wheels and brakes.

Engine and Safety Systems: Engine and Safety Systems produces engine and fuel controls, pumps, fuel delivery systems, as well as structural and rotating components such as disks, blisks, shafts and airfoils. This group also produces aircraft evacuation, de-icing and passenger restraint systems, as well as ejection seats and crew and attendant seating.

Electronic Systems: Electronic Systems produces a wide array of products that provide flight performance measurements, flight management, and control and safety data. Included are a variety of sensors systems that measure and manage aircraft fuel and monitor oil debris; engine, transmission and structural health; and aircraft motion control systems. The group's products also include instruments and avionics, warning and detection systems, ice detection systems, test equipment, aircraft lighting systems, landing gear cables and harnesses, satellite control, data management and payload systems, launch and

7

missile telemetry systems, airborne surveillance and reconnaissance systems and laser warning systems.

Segment operating income is total segment revenue reduced by operating expenses identifiable with that business segment. Merger related and consolidation costs are presented separately and are discussed in Note H of these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements. The accounting policies of the reportable segments are the same as those for the consolidated Company. There are no significant intersegment sales.

(DOLLARS IN MILLIONS)

THREE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30,		NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30,	
2001	2000	2001	2000
-----			
2001	2000	2001	2000
-----			

Sales

Edgar Filing: GOODRICH CORP - Form 10-Q/A

Aerostructures and Aviation				
Technical Services	\$ 374.0	\$ 375.4	\$1,139.3	\$1,081.4
Landing Systems	293.1	265.9	862.8	785.0
Engine and Safety Systems	190.0	158.7	576.2	473.6
Electronic Systems	194.8	132.4	553.4	399.2
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Total Sales	\$1,051.9	\$ 932.4	\$3,131.7	\$2,739.2
	=====	=====	=====	=====
Segment Operating Income				
Aerostructures and Aviation				
Technical Services	\$ 60.7	\$ 57.3	\$ 176.6	\$ 155.2
Landing Systems	40.9	35.7	115.2	108.1
Engine and Safety Systems	35.4	28.3	104.4	87.1
Electronic Systems	29.9	33.1	94.3	85.9
	-----	-----	-----	-----
	166.9	154.4	490.5	436.3
Corporate General and				
Administrative Expenses	(12.3)	(14.2)	(41.2)	(41.8)
Merger-related and				
Consolidation Costs	(1.5)	(8.3)	(14.9)	(29.1)
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Total Operating Income	\$ 153.1	\$ 131.9	\$ 434.4	\$ 365.4
	=====	=====	=====	=====
Unusual Items				
Aerostructures and Aviation				
Technical Services	\$ 1.2	\$ 0.4	\$ 1.9	\$ 3.2
Landing Systems	--	7.2	7.2	13.7
Engine and Safety Systems	--	--	1.4	--
Electronic Systems	0.2	--	2.5	0.3
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Total Segment Unusual	\$ 1.4	\$ 7.6	\$ 13.0	\$ 17.2
Items	=====	=====	=====	=====

	SEPTEMBER 30,	DECEMBER 31,
	2001	2000
	-----	-----

Assets

Aerostructures and Aviation		
Technical Services	\$1,329.5	\$1,237.3
Landing Systems	1,002.4	948.8
Engine and Safety Systems	544.0	504.7
Electronic Systems	992.2	821.6
Net Assets of Discontinued Operations	284.4	1,130.6
Corporate	704.4	495.9
	-----	-----
Total Assets	\$4,856.9	\$5,138.9
	=====	=====

NOTE F: EARNINGS PER SHARE - The computation of basic and diluted earnings per share from continuing operations is as follows:

Edgar Filing: GOODRICH CORP - Form 10-Q/A

(IN MILLIONS, EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS)	THREE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30,		NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30,
	2001	2000	2001
Numerator:			
Numerator for basic and diluted earnings per share - income available to common shareholders	\$ 81.9	\$ 61.1	\$ 228.0
Denominator:			
Denominator for basic earnings per share - weighted-average shares	103.9	101.6	103.5
Effect of dilutive securities:			
Stock options, performance shares and restricted shares	0.3	1.8	1.1
Convertible preferred securities	2.9	2.9	2.9
Dilutive potential common shares	3.2	4.7	4.0
Denominator for diluted earnings per share - adjusted weighted-average shares and assumed conversions	107.1	106.3	107.5
Earnings per share:			
Basic	\$ 0.79	\$ 0.60	\$ 2.20
Diluted	\$ 0.76	\$ 0.57	\$ 2.12

NOTE G: COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

Total comprehensive income consists of the following:

(DOLLARS IN MILLIONS)	THREE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30,		NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30,	
	2001	2000	2001	2000
Net Income	\$ 88.0	\$ 79.9	\$ 343.6	\$ 247.7
Other Comprehensive Income - Unrealized translation adjustments during period	(0.1)	(15.2)	(1.5)	(18.3)
Total Comprehensive Income	\$ 87.9	\$ 64.7	\$ 342.1	\$ 229.4

Accumulated other comprehensive income consists of the following (dollars in millions):

SEPTEMBER 30,      DECEMBER 31,  
2001                      2000

Edgar Filing: GOODRICH CORP - Form 10-Q/A

Cumulative unrealized translation adjustments	\$ (55.4)	\$ (53.9)
Minimum pension liability adjustment	(3.8)	(3.8)
	-----	-----
	\$ (59.2)	\$ (57.7)
	=====	=====

The minimum pension liability amounts above are net of deferred taxes of \$1.9 million.

9

NOTE H: MERGER RELATED AND CONSOLIDATION COSTS

Through September 30, 2001, the Company recorded charges totaling \$14.9 million (\$9.9 million after-tax). The charges were recorded as follows:

(DOLLARS IN MILLIONS)	NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2001
	-----
Segments	\$ 13.0
Corporate	1.9
	-----
	\$ 14.9
	=====

Merger-related and consolidation reserves at December 31, 2000 and September 30, 2001, as well as activity during the nine months ended September 30, 2001, consisted of:

	(DOLLARS IN MILLIONS)			
	BALANCE DECEMBER 31, 2000	PROVISION	ACTIVITY	BALANCE SEPTEMBER 30, 2001
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Personnel-related costs	\$ 12.9	\$ 6.9	\$ (9.1)	\$ 10.7
Transaction costs	1.9	--	(1.9)	--
Consolidation	43.4	8.0	(45.9)	5.5
	-----	-----	-----	-----
	\$ 58.2	\$ 14.9	\$ (56.9)	\$ 16.2
	=====	=====	=====	=====

The \$14.9 million PROVISION for the nine months ended September 30, 2001 related to:

- \$1.1 million for employee relocation costs (personnel-related)

Edgar Filing: GOODRICH CORP - Form 10-Q/A

- \$5.8 million for employee severance costs - approximately 335 positions (personnel-related)
- \$7.3 million for facility consolidation and closure costs (consolidation)
- \$0.7 million for asset write-offs (consolidation)

The \$56.9 million in ACTIVITY during the nine months ended September 30, 2001 includes reserve reductions of \$65.0 million consisting of \$22.2 million in cash payments, \$1.4 million reclassified to pension and postretirement benefit liabilities and \$41.4 million for restructuring costs associated with the sale of Performance Materials that will be administered by the buyer. Also included in the activity column is a \$7.1 million increase in reserves, primarily for severance costs, associated with two acquisitions, \$0.8 million in reserves transferred from Performance Materials for severance costs that will be administered by the Company and a \$0.2 net increase in reserves for revision of prior estimates.

10

NOTE I: DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS - The disposition of the Performance Materials and Engineered Industrial Products segments represent the disposal of segments under APB Opinion No. 30 ("APB 30"). Accordingly, the revenues, costs and expenses, assets and liabilities, and cash flows of Performance Materials and Engineered Industrial Products have been segregated in the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Income, Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet and Condensed Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows.

The following summarizes the results of discontinued operations:

(Dollars in millions)	Three Months Ended September 30, 2001 -----	Three Months Ended September 30, 2000 -----	Nine Mon Ended September 2001 -----
<b>Sales:</b>			
Performance Materials	\$ --	\$ 284.8	\$ 18
Engineered Industrial Products	151.0	161.0	48
	----- \$ 151.0	----- \$ 445.8	----- \$ 67
	=====	=====	=====
<b>Pretax income (loss) from operations:</b>			
Performance Materials	\$ --	\$ 12.6	\$ (
Engineered Industrial Products	12.9	22.1	4
	----- 12.9	----- 34.7	----- 4
Income tax expense	(4.9)	(13.9)	(1
Distributions on Trust preferred securities	(1.9)	(2.0)	(
Gain on sale of Performance Materials (net of income tax expense of \$54.9 million in 2001)	--	--	9
	----- Income from discontinued operations	----- \$ 18.8	----- \$ 11
	=====	=====	=====

PERFORMANCE MATERIALS

## Edgar Filing: GOODRICH CORP - Form 10-Q/A

On February 28, 2001, the Company completed the sale of its Performance Materials segment to an investor group led by AEA Investors, Inc. for approximately \$1.4 billion. Total net proceeds, after anticipated tax payments and transaction costs, included approximately \$1 billion in cash and \$172 million in debt securities issued by the buyer (see additional discussion regarding the debt securities received in Note I below). The transaction resulted in an after-tax gain of \$93.5 million and is subject to certain post closing adjustments (e.g. working capital adjustments).

The Company has calculated a \$25 million working capital adjustment in its favor, which has been considered in the after-tax gain noted above. The Buyer is disputing the Company's working capital adjustment and has asserted that the Company owes the Buyer approximately \$10 million under the purchase and sale agreement. Should the parties not be able to settle their differences, the disputed matters will be forwarded to an independent third party for resolution. Such resolution will be final and binding on all parties. The Company expects to finalize the working capital adjustment in 2002.

Pursuant to the terms of the transaction, the Company has retained certain assets and liabilities (primarily pension, postretirement and environmental liabilities) of the Performance Materials segment. The Company has also agreed to indemnify the buyer for liabilities arising from certain events as defined in the agreement. Such indemnification is not expected to be material to the Company's financial condition, but could be material to the Company's results of operations in a given period. During the quarter, the Company completed the sale of the segment's Electronic Materials business. The resulting gain was offset by additional costs related to the sale of Performance Materials.

### ENGINEERED INDUSTRIAL PRODUCTS

During September 2001, the Company announced that its Board of Directors has approved in principle the tax-free spin-off of its Engineered Industrial Products business to shareholders. The transaction will create a new publicly traded company, focused on its own customers, products and markets. The spin-off is expected to be completed in early 2002. Application will be made to list the shares of the new company on the New York Stock Exchange.

According to the plan, Goodrich shareholders will receive one share in the new industrial company for every five Goodrich shares they own as of the record date for the distribution.

11

The new industrial company will include substantially all the assets and liabilities of the Engineered Industrial Products segment, including the associated asbestos liabilities and related insurance (see additional information below) as well as certain obligations associated with former businesses of Coltec Industries Inc ("Coltec"), a wholly-owned subsidiary of Goodrich. The Company expects to offer to exchange the outstanding \$300 million, 7.5 percent Coltec senior notes, for similar Goodrich securities prior to the spin-off.

### ENGINEERED INDUSTRIAL PRODUCTS - ASBESTOS

Garlock and Anchor. Two subsidiaries of Coltec, Garlock Sealing Technologies, LLC ("Garlock") and The Anchor Packing Company ("Anchor"), have been among a number of defendants (typically 15 to 40) in actions filed in various states by plaintiffs alleging injury or death as a result of exposure to asbestos fibers. Among the products at issue in those actions are industrial sealing products, predominantly gaskets, manufactured and/or sold by Garlock or Anchor. The

## Edgar Filing: GOODRICH CORP - Form 10-Q/A

damages claimed vary from action to action and in some cases plaintiffs seek both compensatory and punitive damages. To date, neither Garlock nor Anchor has been required to pay any punitive damage awards, although there can be no assurance that they will not be required to do so in the future. Liability for compensatory damages historically has been allocated among all responsible defendants, thus limiting the potential monetary impact of a particular judgment or settlement on any individual defendant.

The Company believes that Garlock and Anchor are in a favorable position compared to many other asbestos defendants because, among other things, the asbestos-containing products sold by Garlock and Anchor are encapsulated, which means the asbestos fibers are incorporated into the product during the manufacturing process and sealed in a binder. The are also nonfriable, which means they cannot be crumbled by hand pressure. The Occupational Safety and Health Administration, which began requiring warnings on asbestos-containing products in 1972, has never required that a warning be placed on products such as Garlock's gaskets. Notwithstanding that no warning label has been required, Garlock included one on all of its asbestos-containing products beginning in 1978. Further, gaskets such as those previously manufactured and sold by Garlock are one of the few asbestos-containing products permitted to be manufactured under regulations of the EPA. Since the mid-1980s, U.S. sales of asbestos-containing industrial sealing products have not been a material part of Garlock's sales and those sales have been predominantly to sophisticated purchasers such as the U.S. Navy and large petrochemical facilities. These purchasers generally have extensive health and safety procedures and are familiar with the risks associated with the use and handling of industrial sealing products that contain asbestos. Garlock discontinued distributing asbestos-containing products in the U.S. during 2000 and world-wide in mid-2001.

Garlock settles and disposes of actions on a regular basis. In addition, some actions are disposed of at trial. Garlock's historical settlement strategy has been to try to match the timing of payments with recoveries received from insurance. However, in 1999 and 2000, Garlock implemented a short-term aggressive settlement strategy. The purpose of this short-term strategy was to achieve a permanent reduction in the number of overall asbestos claims through the settlement of a larger than normal number of claims, including some claims not yet filed as lawsuits. Garlock believes that these settlements were at a lower overall cost to Garlock than would eventually have been paid even though the timing of payment was accelerated. Mainly due to this short-term aggressive settlement strategy and because settlements are made over a period of time, the settlement amounts paid in the first nine months of 2001, 2000 and 1999 increased over prior periods and the settlement amounts that will be paid in 2002 are also expected to be higher than amounts paid in prior periods. In 2001, Garlock resumed its historical settlement strategy.

Settlements are generally made on a group basis with payments made to individual claimants over a period of one to four years and are made without any admission of liability. Settlement amounts vary depending upon a number of factors, including the jurisdiction where the action was brought, the nature of the disease alleged, the occupation of the plaintiff, the presence or absence of other possible causes of the plaintiff's alleged illness, the availability of legal defenses, such as the statute of limitations, and whether the action is an individual one or part of a group. Garlock's allocable portion of the total settlement amount for an action typically ranges from 1% to 2% of the total amount.

Before any payment on a settled claim is made, the claimant is required to submit a medical report acceptable to Garlock substantiating the asbestos-related illness and meeting specific criteria of disability. In addition, sworn testimony that the claimant worked with or around Garlock asbestos-containing products is required. Generally, the claimant is also required to sign a full and unconditional release of Garlock, its subsidiaries,

## Edgar Filing: GOODRICH CORP - Form 10-Q/A

parent, officers, directors, affiliates and related parties from any liability for asbestos-related injuries or claims.

12

When a settlement demand is not reasonable given the totality of the circumstances, Garlock generally will try the case. Garlock has been successful in winning a substantial majority of the cases it has tried to verdict. Garlock's share of adverse verdicts in these cases in the first nine months of 2001 and in fiscal years 2000, 1999 and 1998 totaled less than \$10 million in the aggregate, and some of those verdicts are on appeal.

Anchor is an inactive and insolvent subsidiary of Coltec. The insurance coverage available to it is fully committed. Anchor continues to pay settlement amounts covered by its insurance but has not committed to settle any further actions since 1998. As cases reach the trial stage, Anchor is typically dismissed without payment.

The insurance coverage available to Garlock is substantial. As of September 30, 2001 Garlock had available \$1.027 billion of insurance coverage from carriers that it believes to be solvent. Of that amount, \$113 million is allocated to claims that have been paid by Garlock and submitted to its insurance companies for reimbursement and \$169 million has been committed to claim settlements not yet paid by Garlock. Thus, at September 30, 2001, \$745 million remained available for coverage of future claims. Insurance coverage for asbestos claims is not available to cover exposures initially occurring on and after July 1, 1984. Garlock and Anchor continue to be named as defendants in new actions, a few of which allege initial exposure after July 1, 1984. To date, no payments with respect to these claims, pursuant to a settlement or otherwise, have been made. In addition, Garlock and Anchor believe that they have substantial defenses to these claims and therefore automatically reject them for settlement. However, there can be no assurance that that any or all of these defenses will be successful in the future.

Arrangements with Garlock's insurance carriers limit the amount that can be received by it in any one year. The amount of insurance available to cover claims paid by Garlock currently is limited to \$80 million per year (\$60 million in 1999 and 1998), covering both settlements and reimbursements of legal fees. This limit automatically increases by 8% every three years. Amounts paid by Garlock in excess of this annual limit that would otherwise be recoverable from insurance may be collected from the insurance companies in subsequent years so long as insurance is available but subject to the annual limit in each subsequent year. As a result, Garlock is required to pay out of its own cash any amounts paid to settle or dispose of asbestos-related claims in excess of the annual limit and collect these amounts from its insurance carriers in subsequent years. Various options, such as raising the annual limit, are being pursued to ensure as close a match as possible between payments by Garlock and recoveries received from insurance. There can be no assurance that Garlock will be successful as to any or all of these options.

In accordance with internal procedures for the processing of asbestos product liability actions and due to the proximity to trial or settlement, certain outstanding actions against Garlock and Anchor have progressed to a stage where the cost to dispose of these actions can reasonably be estimated. These actions are classified as actions in advanced stages and are included in the table as such below. With respect to outstanding actions against Garlock and Anchor that are in preliminary procedural stages, as well as any actions that may be filed in the future, insufficient information exists upon which judgments can be made as to the validity or ultimate disposition of such actions, thereby making it difficult to reasonably estimate what, if any, potential liability or costs may be incurred. Accordingly, no estimate of future liabilities has been included in

Edgar Filing: GOODRICH CORP - Form 10-Q/A

the table below for such claims.

13

The Company records an accrual for liabilities related to Garlock and Anchor asbestos-related matters that are deemed probable and can be reasonably estimated, which consist of settled claims and actions in advanced stages of processing. The Company also records an asset equal to the amount of those liabilities that is expected to be recovered by insurance. A table is provided below depicting quantitatively the items discussed above.

	NINE MONTHS E SEPTEMBER 3
	----- 2001 ----- (dollars in mi
(NUMBER OF CASES)	
New Actions Filed During the Period (1)	30,500
Actions in Advanced Stages at Period-End	1,900
Open Actions at Period-End	90,100
(DOLLARS IN MILLIONS AT PERIOD-END)	
Estimated Liability for Settled Claims and Actions in Advanced Stages of Processing (2)	\$ 180.6
Estimated Amounts Recoverable From Insurance (2) (3)	\$ 295.7
(DOLLARS IN MILLIONS)	
Payments (2)	\$ 136.5
Insurance Recoveries (2)	70.9
Net Cash Flow (3)	\$ (65.6) =====

- 
- (1) Consists only of actions actually filed with a court of competent jurisdiction. To the extent that a particular action names both Garlock and Anchor as defendants, for purposes of this table the action is treated as a single action.
  - (2) Includes amounts with respect to all claims settled, whether or not an action has actually been filed with a court of competent jurisdiction, claims which have been dismissed or tried and claims otherwise closed during the period.
  - (3) Payments made during the period for which Garlock does not receive a corresponding insurance recovery due to the annual limit imposed under Garlock's insurance policies will be recovered in future periods to the extent insurance is available. When estimating the amounts recoverable, Garlock only includes insurance coverage available from carriers believed to be solvent.

Garlock and Anchor recorded charges to operations amounting to approximately \$6.0 million during the first nine months of 2001 and 2000 representing payments and related expenditures made during the periods which are not recoverable at

## Edgar Filing: GOODRICH CORP - Form 10-Q/A

all under insurance, whether in the present period or in future periods.

Garlock and Anchor paid \$65.6 million and \$41.3 million for the defense and disposition of asbestos-related actions, net of amounts received from insurance carriers, during the first nine months of 2001 and 2000, respectively. The amount of payments during the first nine months of 2001 was consistent with the expectation that payments during 2001 would be higher than in 2000 due, in large part, to Garlock's previously discussed short-term aggressive settlement strategy. During 2001, Garlock was able to negotiate the receipt of \$10 million from one of its excess insurance carriers, \$7.5 million of which was received in the fourth quarter of 2001 and \$2.5 million of which is expected to be received during the first quarter of 2002. Garlock was able to securitize this cash flow stream during the third quarter of 2001 and the cash received (\$9.9 million) is reflected in the amounts presented above.

14

Considering the foregoing, as well as the experience of the Company's subsidiaries and other defendants in asbestos litigation, the likely sharing of judgments among multiple responsible defendants, recent bankruptcies of other defendants, legislative efforts and given the substantial amount of insurance coverage that Garlock expects to be available from its solvent carriers, the Company believes that pending actions against Garlock and Anchor are not likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial condition, but could be material to the Company's consolidated results of operations or cash flows in a given period. However, because of the uncertainty as to the number and timing of potential future actions, as well as the amount that will have to be paid to settle or satisfy any such actions in the future, there can be no assurance that those future actions will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Coltec and some of its subsidiaries (other than Garlock and Anchor) have also been named as defendants in various actions by plaintiffs alleging injury or death as a result of exposure to asbestos fibers. The number of claims to date has not been significant and insurance coverage is available to Coltec. Based on the above, the Company believes that these pending and reasonably anticipated future actions are not likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial condition, results of operations and cash flows and are therefore not discussed above.

Coltec, Garlock, Anchor and some of Coltec's other subsidiaries are also defendants in other asbestos-related lawsuits or claims involving maritime workers, medical monitoring claimants and co-defendants. Based on past experience, the Company believes that these categories of claims are not likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial condition, results of operations and cash flows and are therefore not discussed above.

### Other Matters

Coltec has some contingent liabilities related to discontinued operations of its predecessors and for which it retained liability or is obligated under indemnity agreements. These contingent liabilities include potential product liability and associated claims related to Coltec's former Colt Firearms subsidiary for firearms manufactured prior to 1990 and related to Coltec's former Central Maloney subsidiary for electrical transformers manufactured prior to 1994. There are currently no claims pending against Coltec related to these former subsidiaries. However, such claims could arise in the future. Coltec also has ongoing obligations with regard to workers compensation and medical benefit matters associated with Crucible Materials Corporation and Colt Firearms that relate to Coltec's periods of ownership of these companies.

## Edgar Filing: GOODRICH CORP - Form 10-Q/A

### NOTE J: PAYMENT-IN-KIND NOTES RECEIVABLE

The proceeds from the sale of the Company's Performance Materials segment included \$172 million in debt securities issued by the buyer in the form of unsecured notes with interest payable in cash or payment-in-kind, at the option of the issuer. Payment-in-kind refers to the issuer's ability to issue additional debt securities with identical terms and maturities as the original debt securities as opposed to making interest payments in cash. The notes have a term of 10.5 years, and bear interest at a rate of 13 percent, which increases to 15 percent if cash interest payments do not commence after the fifth year.

The Company initially recorded a discount of \$21.2 million based on a 14 percent discount rate. The notes have a prepayment clause that allows the issuer to reduce the principal amount by \$75 million in the third year by making a \$60 million cash payment. In determining the discount on the notes, the Company has assumed that the prepayment will be made and that cash interest payments on the notes will commence after the fifth year.

Interest income on the notes is recognized using the effective interest method and is recorded in Interest Income in the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Income. The notes are classified as held-to-maturity in accordance with SFAS No. 115, "Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities".

15

The Company does not currently believe a valuation allowance is necessary. The Company will record a valuation allowance if events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the notes may not be recoverable. The fair market value of the notes at September 30, 2001 approximated \$135 million.

### NOTE K: NEW ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

In September 2000, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Statement No. 140, "Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities" ("SFAS 140"). This statement replaces FASB Statement No. 125, "Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities" ("SFAS 125"). It revises the standards for accounting for securitizations and other transfers of financial assets and collateral and requires certain disclosures, but it carries over most of SFAS 125's provisions without reconsideration. SFAS 140 is effective for transfers and servicing of financial assets and extinguishments of liabilities occurring after March 31, 2001. The adoption of SFAS 140 did not have a material impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

Effective January 1, 2001, the Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities" ("SFAS No. 133"), as amended, which requires that all derivative instruments be reported on the balance sheet at fair value and that changes in a derivative's fair value be recognized currently in earnings unless specific hedge criteria are met. If the derivative is designated as a fair value hedge, the changes in the fair value of the derivative and of the hedged item attributable to the hedged risk are recognized in earnings. If the derivative is designated as a cash flow hedge, the effective portions of changes in the fair value of the derivative are recorded in other comprehensive income and are recognized in the income statement when the hedged item affects earnings. Ineffective portions of changes in the fair value of cash flow hedges are recognized in earnings.

In accordance with the transition provisions of SFAS No. 133, the Company recorded the previously unrecognized fair market value of an interest rate swap

## Edgar Filing: GOODRICH CORP - Form 10-Q/A

designated as a fair value hedge and the associated adjustment to the carrying amount of the debt instrument designated as the hedged item as cumulative-effect adjustments to net income. As this pre-existing hedging relationship would have met the requirements for the shortcut method at inception, the Company chose to calculate the transition adjustment upon initial adoption as though the shortcut method had been applied since the inception of the hedging relationship. The effect of the adjustment to the carrying value of the debt was offset entirely by the impact of recording the fair value of the interest rate swap. Accordingly, the net cumulative-effect adjustment to net income was zero.

In July 2001, the FASB issued Statement No. 141 "Business Combinations" ("SFAS 141") and Statement No. 142 "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets" ("SFAS 142"). SFAS 141 is effective as follows: a) use of the pooling-of-interest method is prohibited for business combinations initiated after June 30, 2001; and b) the provisions of SFAS 141 also apply to all business combinations accounted for by the purchase method that are completed after September 30, 2001. There are also transition provisions that apply to business combinations completed before July 1, 2001, that were accounted for by the purchase method. SFAS 142 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2001 and applies to all goodwill and other intangible assets recognized in an entity's statement of financial position at that date, regardless of when those assets were initially recognized.

The Company will apply the new rules on accounting for goodwill and other intangible assets beginning in the first quarter of 2002. Application of the non-amortization provisions of the Statement is expected to result in an increase in pre-tax income of approximately \$29 million per year. During 2002, the Company will perform the first of the required impairment tests of goodwill and indefinite lived intangible assets as of January 1, 2002 and has not yet determined what the effect of these tests will be on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

In June 2001, the FASB issued Statement No. 143 "Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations" ("SFAS 143"). SFAS 143 addresses financial accounting and reporting for obligations associated with the retirement of tangible long-lived assets and the associated asset retirement costs. It applies to legal obligations associated with the retirement of long-lived assets that result from the acquisition, construction, development and/or the normal operation of a long-lived asset, except for certain obligations of lessees. SFAS 143 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2002. The Company has not yet determined what the effect of SFAS 143 will be on its consolidated financial condition or results of operations.

16

In October 2001, the FASB issued Statement No. 144 "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets" ("SFAS 144"). SFAS 144 supersedes FASB Statement No. 121 "Accounting for the Impairment of Long-Lived Assets and for Long-Lived Assets to Be Disposed Of" ("SFAS 121"), however it retains the fundamental provisions of that statement related to the recognition and measurement of the impairment of long-lived assets to be "held and used." In addition, SFAS 144 provides more guidance on estimating cash flows when performing a recoverability test, requires that a long-lived asset (group) to be disposed of other than by sale (e.g. abandoned) be classified as "held and used" until it is disposed of, and establishes more restrictive criteria to classify an asset (group) as "held for sale." SFAS 144 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2001. The Company has not yet determined what the effect of SFAS 144 will be on its consolidated financial condition or results of operations.

NOTE L: CONTINGENCIES

## Edgar Filing: GOODRICH CORP - Form 10-Q/A

### GENERAL

There are pending or threatened against Goodrich or its subsidiaries various claims, lawsuits and administrative proceedings, all arising from the ordinary course of business with respect to commercial, product liability, asbestos and environmental matters, which seek remedies or damages. Goodrich believes that any liability that may finally be determined with respect to such claims, lawsuits and proceedings should not have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial position or results of operations. From time to time, the Company is also involved in legal proceedings as a plaintiff involving contract, patent protection, environmental and other matters. Gain contingencies, if any, are recognized when they are realized.

### ENVIRONMENTAL

Environmental liabilities are recorded when the Company's liability is probable and the costs are reasonably estimable, which generally is not later than at completion of a feasibility study or when the Company has recommended a remedy or has committed to an appropriate plan of action. The accruals are reviewed periodically and, as investigations and remediations proceed, adjustments are made as necessary. Accruals for losses from environmental remediation obligations do not consider the effects of inflation, and anticipated expenditures are not discounted to their present value. The accruals are not reduced by possible recoveries from insurance carriers or other third parties, but do reflect anticipated allocations among potentially responsible parties at federal Superfund sites or similar state-managed sites and an assessment of the likelihood that such parties will fulfill their obligations at such sites. The measurement of environmental liabilities by the Company is based on currently available facts, present laws and regulations, and current technology. Such estimates take into consideration the Company's prior experience in site investigation and remediation, the data concerning cleanup costs available from other companies and regulatory authorities, and the professional judgment of the Company's environmental experts in consultation with outside environmental specialists, when necessary.

The Company is subject to various domestic and international environmental laws and regulations which may require that it investigate and remediate the effects of the release or disposal of materials at sites associated with past and present operations, including sites at which the Company has been identified as a potentially responsible party under the federal Superfund laws and comparable state laws. The Company is currently involved in the investigation and remediation of a number of sites under these laws. Estimates of the Company's liability are further subject to uncertainties regarding the nature and extent of site contamination, the range of remediation alternatives available, evolving remediation standards, imprecise engineering evaluations and estimates of appropriate cleanup technology, methodology and cost, the extent of corrective actions that may be required, and the number and financial condition of other potentially responsible parties, as well as the extent of their responsibility for the remediation. Accordingly, as investigation and remediation of these sites proceeds, it is likely that adjustments in the Company's accruals will be necessary to reflect new information. The amounts of any such adjustments could have a material adverse effect on the Company's results of operations in a given period, but the amounts, and the possible range of loss in excess of the amounts accrued, are not reasonably estimable. Based on currently available information, however, management does not believe that future environmental costs in excess of those accrued with respect to sites with which the Company has been identified are likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition. There can be no assurance, however, that additional future developments, administrative actions or liabilities relating to environmental matters will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's results of operations in a given period.

At September 30, 2001, the Company's reserves for environmental remediation obligations totaled \$86.9 million, of which \$11.5 million was included in accrued liabilities. Of the \$86.9 million, \$13.0 million is associated with ongoing operations and \$73.9 million is associated with businesses previously disposed of or discontinued.

The timing of expenditures depends on a number of factors that vary by site, including the nature and extent of contamination, the number of potentially responsible parties, the timing of regulatory approvals, the complexity of the investigation and remediation, and the standards for remediation. The Company expects that it will expend present accruals over many years, and will complete remediation of all sites with which it has been identified in up to thirty years. This period includes operation and monitoring costs which are generally incurred over 15 years.

#### TOLO LITIGATION

In May 2000, the Company and its subsidiary Rohr, Inc. ("Rohr"), were served with complaints in a lawsuit filed in the Superior Court of Orange County, California, by former shareholders and certain former employees of Tolo, Inc. Tolo, Inc. is a subsidiary of Rohr that was acquired in 1997. The former shareholders alleged that the Company and Rohr breached the stock purchase agreement by failing to pay \$2.4 million under the terms of the agreement. In September 2001, a jury found that the Company was liable to the shareholders for the \$2.4 million retained by Rohr under the stock purchase agreement and was also assessed punitive damages of \$48 million. The court subsequently reduced the punitive damage award to \$24 million.

At the time of the purchase the Company established a reserve of \$2.4 million relating to the amount withheld by Rohr pursuant to the stock purchase agreement. The Company has not established an accrual for the punitive damages award of \$24 million, which was based on the plaintiff's fraudulent concealment claim, for the reasons set forth below.

The Company and its legal counsel believe that there were numerous points of reversible error in the trial that make it more likely than not that the judgment will be reversed or vacated on appeal. First, the Company believes the plaintiffs' fraud claim is legally deficient under California law and should be reversed. If the fraud claim is not reversed, defendants' should, at a minimum, be granted a new trial on the fraudulent concealment claim because the trial court permitted plaintiffs to add this claim late in the trial but did not allow the Company to introduce evidence to defend against it. The Company also believes that the trial court made numerous prejudicial errors regarding the admission and exclusion of evidence relating to the fraud claims, which further supports the grant of a new trial. And finally, the Company believes that the trial court's directed verdict on plaintiffs' breach of contract claim should be set aside and a new trial granted because, among other things, there was sufficient evidence for the jury to find for the defendants on this claim.

#### DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

Contingencies associated with Coltec and its subsidiaries, including asbestos-related liabilities, are discussed in Note I to the condensed consolidated financial statements. After the spin-off is completed, it is possible that asbestos-related claims might be asserted against the Company on the theory that it has some responsibility for the asbestos-related liabilities of Coltec or its subsidiaries, even though the activities that led to those claims occurred prior to the Company's ownership of Coltec. Also, it is possible

## Edgar Filing: GOODRICH CORP - Form 10-Q/A

that a claim could be asserted against the Company that Coltec's dividend of its aerospace business to the Company prior to the spin-off was made at a time when Coltec was insolvent or caused Coltec to become insolvent. Such a claim could seek recovery from the Company on behalf of Coltec of the fair market value of the dividend.

No such claims have been asserted against the Company to date. The Company believes that it would have substantial legal defenses against any such claims. Any such claims would require, as a practical matter, that Coltec's subsidiaries were unable to satisfy their asbestos-related liabilities and that Coltec was found to be responsible for these liabilities and is unable to meet its financial obligations. The Company believes any such claims would be without merit and that Coltec will be solvent both before and after the dividend. If any such claims were successful the Company believes it would not have a material adverse effect on its financial condition, but could have a material adverse effect on its results of operations or cash flows in a particular period.

18

### ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL POSITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following management discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the consolidated condensed financial statements and footnotes presented within this quarterly report on Form 10-Q/A. As discussed in Note A to the condensed consolidated financial statements, the Company has restated its financial statements for the three and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2001 and 2000, to a) reclassify the TIDES to discontinued operations; and b) reclassify the short-term borrowings related to the Glacier Bearings acquisition from discontinued operations to continuing operations. The accompanying management's discussion and analysis gives effect to that restatement.

#### SIGNIFICANT EVENTS

- Net income for the third quarter was \$88.0 million, or \$0.83 per share, compared to \$79.9 million, or \$0.77 per share, during the third quarter last year. Net income for the first nine months of 2001 was \$343.6 million, or \$3.24 per share, compared to \$247.7 million, \$2.30 per share, during the first nine months of 2000.
- Net income, excluding special items, for the third quarter was \$83.0 million, or \$0.77 per share, compared to \$66.4 million, or \$0.62 per share, in the third quarter last year. Net income, excluding special items, for the first nine months of 2001 was \$233.2 million, or \$2.17 per share, compared to \$192.4 million, or \$1.75 per share during the first nine months of 2000.
- The Company announced during the quarter its intention to spin-off its Engineered Industrial Products ("EIP") segment to shareholders.
- Net cash provided by operating activities of continuing operations increased \$244.1 from a use of \$33.6 million during the first nine months of 2000 to \$210.5 million of cash provided during the first nine months of 2001.
- Free cash flow, defined as operating cash flows from continuing operations adjusted for cash payments related to special items less capital expenditures, increased from \$50.9 million during the first nine months of 2000 to \$98.1 million during the first nine months of 2001.

#### ANTICIPATED RESTRUCTURING AND CONSOLIDATION ACTIVITIES

## Edgar Filing: GOODRICH CORP - Form 10-Q/A

The Company expects to eliminate approximately 2,400 aerospace and corporate positions and consolidate various aerospace operations. As part of these actions, the Company plans to close approximately 16 of its facilities. Most of these actions will be implemented by the end of the first half of 2002 and are projected to generate annual cost savings in excess of \$125 million when completed. Over this period, the company anticipates recording pre-tax special charges of \$110 to \$130 million, of which approximately 50 percent will be recorded as non-cash asset impairment charges. A significant portion of the total anticipated charge is expected to be recorded in the fourth quarter 2001.

These charges do not reflect any costs related to the 717 program or costs related to any curtailment gains or losses that may need to be recorded as a result of the employee terminations noted above. Boeing has recently announced its intention to review the 717 program in-light of current market conditions. If the program is significantly curtailed or cancelled, the Company would be required to recognize a non-cash charge for a portion of its investment in non-recurring engineering and inventory related to this program and many find it necessary to take further restructuring action, including additional headcount reductions. Such a charge could be material to the Company.

### EIP SPIN-OFF

During September 2001, the Company announced that its Board of Directors has approved in principle the tax-free spin-off of its Engineered Industrial Products business to shareholders. The transaction will create a new publicly traded company, focused on its own customers, products and markets. The spin-off is expected to be completed in 2002. Application will be made to list the shares of the new company on the New York Stock Exchange.

19

According to the plan, Goodrich shareholders will receive one share in the new industrial company for every five Goodrich shares they own as of the record date for the distribution.

The new industrial company will include substantially all the assets and liabilities of the Engineered Industrial Products segment, including the associated asbestos liabilities and related insurance (see additional discussion regarding asbestos claims in Note I of the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements) as well as certain obligations associated with former businesses of Coltec Industries Inc ("Coltec"), a wholly-owned subsidiary of Goodrich. The Company expects to offer to exchange the outstanding \$300 million, 7.5 percent Coltec senior notes for similar Goodrich securities prior to the spin-off. Assuming that these offers are fully subscribed, the new company will have total debt of approximately \$165 million at the time of the spin-off.

The spin-off of the Engineered Industrial Products segment represents the disposal of a segment under APB Opinion No. 30 ("APB 30"). Accordingly, the revenues, costs and expenses, assets and liabilities, and cash flows of EIP have been segregated in the Company's Condensed Consolidated Statement of Income, Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet and Condensed Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows.

### DIVESTITURE OF PERFORMANCE MATERIALS SEGMENT

On February 28, 2001, the Company completed the sale of its Performance Materials segment to an investor group led by AEA Investors, Inc. (the "Buyer") for approximately \$1.4 billion. Total net proceeds, after anticipated tax payments and transaction costs, included approximately \$1 billion in cash and

## Edgar Filing: GOODRICH CORP - Form 10-Q/A

\$172 million in debt securities issued by the buyer (see additional discussion regarding the debt securities received in Note J of the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements). The transaction resulted in an after-tax gain of \$93.5 million and is subject to certain post closing adjustments (e.g. working capital adjustments).

The Company has calculated a \$25 million working capital adjustment in its favor, which has been considered in the after-tax gain noted above. The Buyer is disputing the Company's working capital adjustment and has asserted that the Company owes the Buyer approximately \$10 million under the purchase and sale agreement. Should the parties not be able to settle their differences, the disputed matters will be forwarded to an independent third party for resolution. Such resolution will be final and binding on all parties. The Company expects to finalize the working capital adjustment in 2002.

The disposition of the Performance Materials segment also represents the disposal of a segment under APB Opinion No. 30 ("APB 30"). Accordingly, the revenues, costs and expenses, assets and liabilities, and cash flows of Performance Materials have been segregated in the Company's Condensed Consolidated Statement of Income, Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet and Condensed Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows.

Pursuant to the terms of the transaction, the Company has retained certain assets and liabilities (primarily pension, postretirement and environmental liabilities) of the Performance Materials segment. The Company has also agreed to indemnify the buyer for liabilities arising from certain events as defined in the agreement. Such indemnification is not expected to be material to the Company's financial condition, but could be material to the Company's results of operations in a given period.

### SHARE REPURCHASE PROGRAM

On September 17, 2001, Goodrich announced a program to repurchase up to \$300 million of its common stock and has purchased approximately 2.2 million shares through the end of the quarter. The total cost of these shares was \$42.8 million with an average price of \$19.63 per share.

### DIVIDEND

The Company's Board of Directors has declared a quarterly dividend of \$.275 per share, payable on January 2, 2002 to shareholders of record at the close of business on December 3, 2001. The current dividend level is expected to be reviewed in early 2002 by the Company's Board of Directors in connection with the Engineered Industrial Products spin-off, with the intent of adjusting it to a level consistent with that of a post-spin peer group.

20

### OUTLOOK

The outlook for the commercial aerospace industry has changed considerably since the end of the second quarter of 2001. Recent events have lowered new commercial aircraft delivery estimates and most airlines have announced substantial reductions in their capacity. To develop its outlook, the Company assumed new aircraft production rates based upon the revised plans of Boeing, Airbus and the regional jet manufacturers. The Company has also assumed that airline capacity reductions will result in a 10 to 20 percent decline in 2002 aftermarket sales versus 2001.

On a continuing operations basis, excluding special items, the company expects full-year 2001 results of \$2.65 to \$2.75 per share, an increase of 10 to 14

## Edgar Filing: GOODRICH CORP - Form 10-Q/A

percent over the comparable results for 2000, on revenue from continuing operations of approximately \$4.1 billion.

In 2002, the Company anticipates that revenue will decline 5 to 10 percent, while its earnings per share from continuing operations, excluding special items, will decline approximately 10 percent from the \$2.65 to \$2.75 per-share levels mentioned above. This estimate includes the net benefit from eliminating goodwill amortization under SFAS 142, as well as significantly higher levels of pension expense as a result of lower than expected returns on plan assets. Also included in these estimates are the savings from the restructuring initiatives discussed above.

### RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

#### THIRD QUARTER OF 2001 COMPARED WITH THIRD QUARTER OF 2000

(Dollars in Millions)	Three Months Ended September 30,	
	2001	2000
<hr/>		
SALES		
Aerostructures and Aviation Technical Services	\$ 374.0	\$ 375.4
Landing Systems	293.1	265.9
Engine and Safety Systems	190.0	158.7
Electronic Systems	194.8	132.4
	-----	-----
Total Sales	\$1,051.9	\$ 932.4
	=====	=====
OPERATING INCOME		
Aerostructures and Aviation Technical Services	\$ 60.7	\$ 57.3
Landing Systems	40.9	35.7
Engine and Safety Systems	35.4	28.3
Electronic Systems	29.9	33.1
	-----	-----
Segment Operating Income	\$ 166.9	\$ 154.4
Corporate General and Administrative Costs	(12.3)	(14.2)
Merger-related and Consolidation Costs	(1.5)	(8.3)
	-----	-----
Total Operating Income	\$ 153.1	\$ 131.9
Net Interest Expense	(17.6)	(27.6)
Other income (expense)-net	(8.1)	(5.9)
Income Tax Expense	(42.8)	(34.7)
Distribution on Trust Preferred Securities	(2.7)	(2.6)
	-----	-----
Income from Continuing		

## Edgar Filing: GOODRICH CORP - Form 10-Q/A

Operations	81.9	61.1
Income from Discontinued		
Operations	6.1	18.8
	-----	-----
Net Income	\$ 88.0	\$ 79.9
	=====	=====

21

Changes in sales and segment operating income are discussed within the Business Segment Performance section below.

Unallocated corporate general and administrative costs decreased by \$1.9 million, from \$14.2 million during the third quarter of 2000 to \$12.3 million during the third quarter of 2001. The decrease between periods was due to lower incentive compensation expense due to the Company's lower share price, partially offset by increased outside consulting fees (primarily related to tax, employee benefit programs and legal matters).

Merger-related and consolidation costs of \$1.5 million and \$8.3 million were recorded during the third quarter of 2001 and 2000, respectively (see further discussion in Note I of the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements). As discussed above (under Anticipated Restructuring and Consolidation Activities), the Company expects to incur additional merger-related and consolidation costs through the first half of 2002. The timing of these costs is dependent on the finalization of management's plans and on the nature of the costs (accruable or period costs). These charges will consist primarily of costs associated with the reorganization of operating facilities and for employee relocation and severance costs.

Interest expense-net decreased \$10.0 million from \$27.6 million in 2000 to \$17.6 million during the third quarter of 2001. The decrease was primarily attributable to interest income on the PIK note and lower interest expense due to reduced average outstanding borrowings. The lower average outstanding borrowings during the period was due to significant working capital improvements during the quarter and the maturity of \$175 million of outstanding notes in July.

Interest expense related to continuing operations is net of amounts directly attributable to EIP and amounts allocated to Performance Materials during periods prior to the sale. Such allocation was based on the respective net assets of Performance Materials in relation to the net assets of the Company and effectively resulted in a reduction in indebtedness attributable to continuing operations in periods prior to the sale even though indebtedness reflected on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet does not reflect such an allocation.

Other expense-net increased \$2.2 million from \$5.9 million in the third quarter of 2000 to \$8.1 million in the third quarter of 2001. The increase was due primarily to increased retiree healthcare costs related to previously disposed of businesses and increased earnings due to minority interest shareholders. The increase in retiree healthcare costs related to previously disposed of businesses was primarily due to increased costs associated with providing retiree healthcare benefits as well as increased costs resulting from the sale of Performance Materials in the first quarter of 2001 and the retention of most of its retiree healthcare obligations. The increase in earnings due minority interest shareholders was primarily due to the sale of a 30 percent interest in an aerospace overhaul business in the Asia Pacific region during the first quarter of 2001 that had previously been wholly-owned by the Company.

## Edgar Filing: GOODRICH CORP - Form 10-Q/A

The Company's effective tax rate from continuing operations during the third quarter of 2001 was approximately 33.6 percent. This compares to an effective tax rate of approximately 35.3 percent during the third quarter of 2000 and the actual effective tax rate of 33.1 percent for all of 2000. The increase in rate, as compared to the effective tax rate for all of 2000, was primarily attributable to additional foreign earnings subject to U.S. tax..

Income from discontinued operations decreased \$12.7 million from quarter to quarter due to the sale of Performance Materials during the first quarter of 2001 and a reduction in EIP's net income due to continued softness in industrial markets, unfavorable product mix and pricing pressures.

The Company and the buyer of Performance Materials continue to work through the final purchase and sale agreement adjustments (i.e. working capital adjustment). Any adjustment to the gain on sale that was recorded during the first quarter of 2001 is expected to occur before the end of 2002.

22

### FIRST NINE MONTHS OF 2001 AS COMPARED TO THE FIRST NINE MONTHS OF 2000

(Dollars in Millions)	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2001	2000
<hr style="border-top: 1px dashed black;"/>		
SALES		
Aerostructures and Aviation Technical Services	\$1,139.3	\$1,081.4
Landing Systems	862.8	785.0
Engine and Safety Systems	576.2	473.6
Electronic Systems	553.4	399.2
	-----	-----
Total Sales	\$3,131.7	\$2,739.2
	=====	=====
OPERATING INCOME		
Aerostructures and Aviation Technical Services	\$ 176.6	\$ 155.2
Landing Systems	115.2	108.1
Engine and Safety Systems	104.4	87.1
Electronic Systems	94.3	85.9
	-----	-----
Segment Operating Income	\$ 490.5	\$ 436.3
Corporate General and Administrative Costs	(41.2)	(41.8)
Merger-related and Consolidation Costs	(14.9)	(29.1)
	-----	-----
Total Operating Income	\$ 434.4	\$ 365.4
Net Interest Expense	(64.4)	(73.9)
Other income (expense)-net	(15.2)	(11.9)

## Edgar Filing: GOODRICH CORP - Form 10-Q/A

Income Tax Expense	(118.9)	(97.7)
Distribution on Trust		
Preferred Securities	(7.9)	(7.9)
	-----	-----
Income from Continuing		
Operations	228.0	174.0
Income from Discontinued		
Operations	115.6	73.7
	-----	-----
Net Income	\$ 343.6	\$ 247.7
	=====	=====

Changes in sales and segment operating income are discussed within the Business Segment Performance section below.

Unallocated corporate general and administrative costs decreased by \$0.6 million, from \$41.8 million during the first nine months of 2000 to \$41.2 million during the first nine months of 2001. The decrease between periods was due to lower incentive compensation expense due to the Company's lower share price, partially offset by increased outside consulting fees (primarily related to tax, employee benefit programs and legal matters).

23

Merger-related and consolidation costs of \$14.9 million and \$29.1 million were recorded during the first nine months of 2001 and 2000, respectively (see further discussion in Note H of the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements). As discussed above (under Anticipated Restructuring and Consolidation Activities), the Company expects to incur additional merger-related and consolidation costs during 2002. The timing of these costs is dependent on the finalization of management's plans and on the nature of the costs (accrual or period costs). These charges will consist primarily of costs associated with the reorganization of operating facilities and for employee relocation and severance costs.

Interest expense-net decreased \$9.5 million from \$73.9 million during the first nine months of 2000 to \$64.4 million during the first nine months of 2001. The decrease was primarily attributable to interest income on the PIK note, partially offset by increased interest expense. Interest expense related to continuing operations is net of amounts directly attributable to EIP and amounts allocated to Performance Materials during periods prior to the sale. Such allocation was based on the respective net assets of Performance Materials in relation to the net assets of the Company and effectively resulted in a reduction in indebtedness attributable to continuing operations in periods prior to the sale even though indebtedness reflected on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet does not reflect such an allocation. Taking the above into consideration, outstanding average indebtedness attributed to continuing operations increased during the first nine months of 2001 as compared to the same period last year driven mostly by the financing of acquisitions. This increase in outstanding average indebtedness resulted in the higher interest expense noted above.

Other expense-net increased \$3.3 million from \$11.9 million during the first nine months of 2000 to \$15.2 million during the first nine months of 2001. Excluding gains from the sale of businesses during both periods, other expense-net increased by \$8.5 million from \$13.9 million during the first nine months of 2000 to \$22.4 million during the first nine months of 2001. The increase was due primarily to increased retiree healthcare costs related to previously disposed of businesses and increased earnings due to minority

## Edgar Filing: GOODRICH CORP - Form 10-Q/A

interest shareholders. The increase in retiree healthcare costs related to previously disposed of businesses was primarily due to increased costs associated with providing retiree healthcare benefits as well as the sale of Performance Materials in the first quarter of 2001 and the retaining of most of its retiree healthcare obligations. The increase in earnings due minority interest shareholders was primarily due to the sale of a 30 percent interest in an aerospace overhaul business in the Asia Pacific region during the first quarter of 2001.

The Company's effective tax rate from continuing operations during the first nine months of 2001 was approximately 33.5 percent. This compares to an effective tax rate of approximately 34.9 percent during the first nine months of 2000 and the actual effective tax rate of 33.1 percent for all of 2000. The increase in rate, as compared to the to the effective tax rate for all of 2000, was primarily attributable to additional foreign earnings being subject to U.S. tax.

Income from discontinued operations increased \$41.9 million, from \$73.7 million during the first nine months of 2000 to \$115.6 million during the first nine months of 2001. Excluding the after-tax gain on sale of \$93.5 million, income from discontinued operations decreased by \$51.6 million. The decrease was primarily due to the sale of Performance Materials in February, thus resulting in only two months of income in 2001 and lower income from the Company's EIP segment. The decrease in EIP income was due to continued softness in industrial markets, unfavorable product mix and pricing pressures.

24

### BUSINESS SEGMENT PERFORMANCE

#### SEGMENT ANALYSIS

Due to the sale of the Company's Performance Materials segment earlier this year, as well as the intended spin-off of the Company's EIP segment early in 2002, the Company has redefined its segments in accordance with SFAS 131. The Company's operations are now classified into four reportable business segments: Aerostructures and Aviation Technical Services, Landing Systems, Engine and Safety Systems, and Electronic Systems.

The Company's segments serve commercial, military, regional, business and general aviation markets. Their major products include aircraft engine nacelle and pylon systems, aircraft landing gear, wheels and brakes, sensors and sensor-based systems, fuel measurement and management systems, flight attendant and cockpit seats, aircraft evacuation slides and rafts, optical and electro-optical systems, space applications, ice protection systems and collision warning systems. Maintenance, repair and overhaul services on commercial airframes and components is also provided.

Corporate includes general and administrative costs. Segment operating income is total segment revenue reduced by operating expenses directly identifiable with that business segment, except for merger-related and consolidation costs which are presented separately (see further discussion in Note H to the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements).

An expanded analysis of sales and operating income by business segment follows.

(DOLLARS IN MILLIONS)

THREE MONTHS ENDED

NINE MONTHS ENDED

Edgar Filing: GOODRICH CORP - Form 10-Q/A

	SEPTEMBER 30,			SEPTEMBER 30,	
	2001	2000	% CHANGE	2001	2000
<b>SALES</b>					
Aerostructures and Aviation Technical Services	\$ 374.0	\$ 375.4	(0.4)	\$ 1,139.3	\$ 1,077.7
Landing Systems	293.1	265.9	10.2	862.8	777.7
Engine and Safety Systems	190.0	158.7	19.7	576.2	483.3
Electronic Systems	194.8	132.4	47.1	553.4	383.3
	-----	-----		-----	-----
Total Sales	\$1,051.9	\$ 932.4	12.8	\$ 3,131.7	\$ 2,722.7
	=====	=====		=====	=====
<b>OPERATING INCOME</b>					
Aerostructures and Aviation Technical Services	\$ 60.7	\$ 57.3	5.9	\$ 176.6	\$ 173.2
Landing Systems	40.9	35.7	14.6	115.2	100.6
Engine and Safety Systems	35.4	28.3	25.1	104.4	83.3
Electronic Systems	29.9	33.1	(9.7)	94.3	104.3
	-----	-----		-----	-----
Segment Operating Income	\$ 166.9	\$ 154.4	8.1	\$ 490.5	\$ 461.4
	=====	=====		=====	=====
<b>OPERATING INCOME AS A PERCENT OF SALES</b>					
Aerostructures and Aviation Technical Services	16.2	15.3		15.5	15.2
Landing Systems	14.0	13.4		13.4	12.8
Engine and Safety Systems	18.6	17.8		18.1	17.4
Electronic Systems	15.3	25.0		17.0	24.6
Total	15.9	16.6		15.7	16.3

25

THIRD QUARTER 2001 COMPARED WITH THIRD QUARTER 2000

**AEROSTRUCTURES AND AVIATION TECHNICAL SERVICES:** Sales decreased \$1.4 million, or 0.4 percent, from \$375.4 million during the third quarter of 2000 to \$374.0 million during the third quarter of 2001. The decrease in sales was primarily attributable to lower aftermarket sales of Super 27 aircraft as a result of management's decision to phase-out this program, the end of the MD-90 contract and lower airframe maintenance volume, partially offset by strong aerostructures sales as well as a slight increase in aviation technical services sales (i.e. airframe maintenance and modification services, component overhauls, etc.). The increase in aerostructures sales was primarily driven by program rate increases on the V2500, CFM 56/A340 and RR535-E4 programs, higher aftermarket spares sales, increased aftermarket services (i.e. aerostructures maintenance, repair and overhaul services) and several program start-ups (C-5 Pylon, F-15).

Operating income increased \$3.4 million, or 5.9 percent, from \$57.3 million during the third quarter of 2000 to \$60.7 million during the third quarter of

## Edgar Filing: GOODRICH CORP - Form 10-Q/A

2001. The increase was primarily due to productivity improvements on several aerostructures programs and increased higher margin aftermarket sales. Partially offsetting these gains were additional costs associated with the implementation of an ERP system at the segment's aerostructures businesses and increased losses associated with the aviation technical services business.

**LANDING SYSTEMS:** Sales increased \$27.2 million, or 10.2 percent, from \$265.9 million during the third quarter of 2000 to \$293.1 million during the third quarter of 2001. The increase was primarily attributable to higher sales of landing gear and wheels and brakes. Landing gear sales increased across all major markets primarily due to increased sales of original equipment to Boeing, Bombardier, and the U.S. government. Major programs contributing to the increased sales of landing gear included the B737-700, F16, RJ601, and CRJ700 programs. Wheel and brake sales were higher than the third quarter of 2000 due to increased aftermarket sales in the commercial, regional, and military markets primarily on the A319/320, Embraer 145, DeHavilland Dash 8, F16, and Global Express programs. This increase in sales was partially offset by decreased sales of landing gear overhaul services due primarily to fewer customer removals as a result of airline operating cost constraints.

Operating income increased \$5.2 million, or 14.6 percent, from \$35.7 million during the third quarter of 2000 to \$40.9 million during the third quarter of 2001. The increase in operating income was primarily due to the increase in sales noted above, partially offset by increased wheel and brake sales incentives and additional costs related to expedited shipments of certain landing gear to Boeing.

**ENGINE AND SAFETY SYSTEMS:** Sales increased \$31.3 million, or 19.7 percent, from \$158.7 million during the third quarter of 2000 to \$190.0 million during the third quarter of 2001. While all of the group's product lines experienced an increase in sales over the third quarter last year, the increase was primarily attributable to a significant increase in aftermarket sales and services, increased demand for the group's gas turbine products that serve both the aerospace and industrial engine markets and acquisitions.

Operating income increased \$7.1 million, or 25.1 percent, from \$28.3 million during the third quarter of 2000 to \$35.4 million during the third quarter of 2001. The increase was primarily attributable to the increase in sales noted above, partially offset by increased R&D expenses primarily related to continuing development of passenger restraint systems.

**ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS:** Sales increased \$62.4 million, or 47.1 percent, from \$132.4 million during the third quarter of 2000 to \$194.8 million during the third quarter of 2001. The increase was driven by acquisitions (approximately \$46 million) and increased sales by the group's core businesses (approximately \$16 million). The increase in sales at the group's core businesses was primarily attributable to increased sales of sensors, fuel and utility systems and lightning detection and collision avoidance units. The increase in sensor sales was driven by increased regional and business OE demand, airline retrofits and the resumption of thermocouple shipments to the USAF. Increased sales of fuel and utility systems was due mostly to aftermarket sales of spares and retrofit products, particularly on the B747 and B737 programs. These increases were partially offset by program delays and cancellations that impacted the group's space-based businesses.

Operating income decreased \$3.2 million, or 9.7 percent, from \$33.1 million during the third quarter of 2000 to \$29.9 million during the third quarter of 2001. The decrease was primarily due to the recovery of certain non-recurring engineering costs in the prior period that did not occur in the current quarter, negative contracts adjustments on certain space-based programs,

## Edgar Filing: GOODRICH CORP - Form 10-Q/A

increased investment in MEMS (micro-electromechanical systems) technologies and products, increased R&D expenses on the Smart Deck Integrated Flight Controls & Display System and additional costs related to the consolidation and integration of acquisitions.

The significant reduction in operating margins, period over period (25.0 percent in 2000 to 15.3 percent in 2001), was primarily attributable to program delays and cancellations impacting the segments space-based businesses, as well as significantly lower margins on sales from acquisitions. The Company expects these margins to increase next year as a result of current and planned consolidation and integration activities.

### FIRST NINE MONTHS OF 2001 COMPARED WITH FIRST NINE MONTHS OF 2000

**AEROSTRUCTURES AND AVIATION TECHNICAL SERVICES:** Sales increased \$57.9 million, or 5.4 percent, from \$1,081.4 million during the first nine months of 2000 to \$1,139.3 million during the first nine months of 2001. The increase in sales was primarily due to rate increases on the PW4000, B717-200, and V2500 programs, higher aftermarket spares sales, increased aftermarket services and several program start-ups (C-5 Pylon, F-15). Partially offsetting these increases was a decrease in aftermarket sales of Super 27 aircraft, rate decreases on the CFM56-5 (A340) and RR535-E4 programs and a decrease in aviation technical services sales.

Operating income increased \$21.4 million, or 13.8 percent, from \$155.2 million during the first nine months of 2000 to \$176.6 million during the first nine months of 2001. The increase was driven by the increase in sales noted above, productivity improvements on several aerostructures programs and reduced non-recurring engineering costs associated with the terminated X-33 program. Partially offsetting these increases were additional costs associated with the implementation of an ERP system at the group's aerostructures businesses, increased losses associated with the segments aviation technical services sales and the closeout of the MD-11 and MD-90 contracts.

**LANDING SYSTEMS:** Sales increased \$77.8 million, or 9.9 percent, from \$785.0 million during the first nine months of 2000 to \$862.8 million during the first nine months of 2001. The increase in sales was primarily attributable to higher sales of landing gear and wheels and brakes. Landing gear sales increased across all major markets primarily due to increased sales of original equipment to Boeing, Bombardier, and the U.S. government. Major programs contributing to the increased sales of landing gear included the B737-700, B777, F16, DeHavilland Dash 8, and RJ601 programs. The increased sales of wheels and brakes related primarily to increased aftermarket sales in the commercial, regional, business and military markets primarily on the A319/320, B747-400, B777, Embraer 145, DeHavilland Dash 8, F16, and Cessna programs. This increase in sales was partially offset by decreased sales of landing gear overhaul services primarily due to fewer customer removals as a result of airline operating cost constraints.

Operating income increased \$7.1 million, or 6.6 percent, from \$108.1 million during the first nine months of 2000 to \$115.2 million during the first nine months of 2001. The increase was primarily due to the increase in volume noted above, partially offset by increased sales incentives, additional costs related to expedited shipments of certain landing gear to Boeing and significantly lower sales associated with providing landing gear overhaul services due to the decrease in volume noted above.

**ENGINE AND SAFETY SYSTEMS:** Sales increased \$102.6 million, 21.7 percent, from \$473.6 million during the first nine months of 2000 to \$576.2 million during the first nine months of 2001. While all of the group's product lines experienced an

## Edgar Filing: GOODRICH CORP - Form 10-Q/A

increase in sales over the third quarter last year, the increase was primarily attributable to a significant increase in aftermarket sales of evacuation products, particularly on the B747 program, increased demand for the group's gas turbine products that serve both the aerospace and industrial engine markets and acquisitions.

Operating income increased \$17.3 million, or 19.9 percent, from \$87.1 million during the first nine months of 2000 to \$104.4 million during the first nine months of 2001. The increase was primarily attributable to the increase in sales noted above, partially offset by increased R&D expenses (primarily related to continuing development of passenger restraint systems) and the recovery of certain non-recurring engineering costs during the first nine months of 2000. No such recovery occurred in 2001.

27

**ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS GROUP:** Sales increased \$154.2 million, or 38.6 percent, from \$399.2 million during the first nine months of 2000 to \$553.4 million during the first nine months of 2001. The increase was driven primarily by acquisitions (approximately \$98 million) and increased sales by the group's core businesses (approximately \$56 million). The increase in sales at the group's core businesses was primarily attributable to increased sales of sensors, fuel and utility systems as well as lightning detection and collision avoidance units. The increase in sensor sales was driven by increased regional and business OE demand, airline retrofits and the resumption of thermocouple shipments to the USAF. The fuel and utility sales increases were due mostly to aftermarket sales of spares and retrofit products, particularly on the B747 and B737 programs. These increases were partially offset by program delays and cancellations that impacted the space-based businesses of the group.

Operating income increased \$8.4 million, or 9.8 percent, from \$85.9 million during the first nine months of 2000 to \$94.3 million during the first nine months of 2001. The increase was primarily due to the factors noted above, partially offset by increased investment in MEMS (micro-electromechanical systems) technologies and products, increased R&D expenses on the Smart Deck Integrated Flight Controls & Display System, unfavorable product mix and higher costs related to the consolidation and integration of acquisitions

The significant reduction in operating margins, period over period (21.5 percent in 2000 to 17.0 percent in 2001), was primarily attributable to program delays and cancellations impacting the segments space-based businesses, as well as significantly lower margins on sales from acquisitions. The Company expects these margins to increase next year as a result of current and planned consolidation and integration activities.

### CAPITAL RESOURCES AND LIQUIDITY

The following table summarizes our cash flow activities for the periods indicated:

(DOLLARS IN MILLIONS)

	NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30,			
	2001	2000	CHANGE	
Cash flows from:				
Operating activities of continuing operations	\$ 210.5	\$ (33.6)	\$ 244.1	
Investing activities of continuing operations	\$ (237.5)	\$ (95.5)	\$ (142.0)	

## Edgar Filing: GOODRICH CORP - Form 10-Q/A

Financing activities of continuing operations	\$	(833.9)	\$	103.8	\$	(937.7)
Discontinued operations	\$	865.2	\$	52.6	\$	812.6

Cash flow from operating activities of continuing operations increased \$244.1 million from a use of \$33.6 million during the first nine months of 2000 to \$210.5 million during the first nine months of 2001, primarily as a result of increased earnings, better working capital performance and lower quarterly tax payments. Cash used in investing activities of continuing operations decreased \$142.0 million between periods mainly due to an acquisition (Hella Lighting) and increased capital expenditures related to the expansion of the Company's carbon disc brake producing capabilities and a large ERP project at the Company's aerostructures operations. The significant increase in cash used in financing activities between periods was primarily attributable to the repayment of all outstanding short-term indebtedness with the proceeds from the Performance Materials sale (see cash flow from Discontinued Operations above) and the payment of \$175 million related to notes that matured during the quarter.

The Company is also reviewing and plans to update its revolving credit facilities to more appropriately reflect the Company on a post-spin basis. Goodrich expects to offer to exchange the outstanding \$300 million, 7.5 percent Coltec senior notes, for similar Goodrich securities prior to the spin-off.

The Company also anticipates making a cash payment of approximately \$20 million during the fourth quarter of 2001 to repurchase certain collateralized receivables that had been sold with recourse. The anticipated repurchase of these receivables is a result of a customer default subsequent to September 30, 2001. The Company believes this amount is collectible and, as such, has not recorded any additional reserves.

28

The Company's Board of Directors has declared a quarterly dividend of \$.275 per share, payable on January 2, 2002 to shareholders of record at the close of business on December 3, 2001. The current dividend level will be reviewed in early 2002 by the Company's Board of Directors in connection with the Engineered Industrial Products spin-off, with the intent of adjusting it to a level consistent with that of a post-spin peer group.

On September 17, 2001, Goodrich announced a program to repurchase up to \$300 million of its common stock and has purchased approximately 2.2 million shares through the end of the quarter. The total cost of these shares was \$42.8 million with an average price of \$19.63 per share.

The Company expects to have adequate cash flow from operations and has the credit facilities (described in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2000) to satisfy its operating requirements and capital spending programs, and to finance growth opportunities as they arise.

The Company's net debt-to-capitalization ratio (net of cash and cash equivalents) was 41 percent at September 30, 2001 as compared to 59 percent at December 31, 2000. For purposes of this ratio, the trust preferred securities are treated as capital. The decrease was primarily attributable to the sale of Performance Materials during the period and the use of proceeds to reduce short-term indebtedness of the Company.

### CONTINGENCIES

#### GENERAL

There are pending or threatened against Goodrich or its subsidiaries various

## Edgar Filing: GOODRICH CORP - Form 10-Q/A

claims, lawsuits and administrative proceedings, all arising from the ordinary course of business with respect to commercial, product liability, asbestos and environmental matters, which seek remedies or damages. Goodrich believes that any liability that may finally be determined with respect to such claims, lawsuits and proceedings should not have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial position or results of operations. From time to time, the Company is also involved in legal proceedings as a plaintiff involving contract, patent protection, environmental and other matters. Gain contingencies, if any, are recognized when they are realized.

### ENVIRONMENTAL

Environmental liabilities are recorded when the Company's liability is probable and the costs are reasonably estimable, which generally is not later than at completion of a feasibility study or when the Company has recommended a remedy or has committed to an appropriate plan of action. The accruals are reviewed periodically and, as investigations and remediations proceed, adjustments are made as necessary. Accruals for losses from environmental remediation obligations do not consider the effects of inflation, and anticipated expenditures are not discounted to their present value. The accruals are not reduced by possible recoveries from insurance carriers or other third parties, but do reflect anticipated allocations among potentially responsible parties at federal Superfund sites or similar state-managed sites and an assessment of the likelihood that such parties will fulfill their obligations at such sites. The measurement of environmental liabilities by the Company is based on currently available facts, present laws and regulations, and current technology. Such estimates take into consideration the Company's prior experience in site investigation and remediation, the data concerning cleanup costs available from other companies and regulatory authorities, and the professional judgment of the Company's environmental experts in consultation with outside environmental specialists, when necessary.

The Company is subject to various domestic and international environmental laws and regulations which may require that it investigate and remediate the effects of the release or disposal of materials at sites associated with past and present operations, including sites at which the Company has been identified as a potentially responsible party under the federal Superfund laws and comparable state laws. The Company is currently involved in the investigation and remediation of a number of sites under these laws. Estimates of the Company's liability are further subject to uncertainties regarding the nature and extent of site contamination, the range of remediation alternatives available, evolving remediation standards, imprecise engineering evaluations and estimates of appropriate cleanup technology, methodology and cost, the extent of corrective actions that may be required, and the number and financial condition of other potentially responsible parties, as well as the extent of their responsibility for the remediation. Accordingly, as investigation and remediation of these sites proceeds, it is likely that adjustments in the Company's accruals will be necessary to reflect new information. The amounts of any such adjustments

could have a material adverse effect on the Company's results of operations in a given period, but the amounts, and the possible range of loss in excess of the amounts accrued, are not reasonably estimable. Based on currently available information, however, management does not believe that future environmental costs in excess of those accrued with respect to sites with which the Company has been identified are likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition. There can be no assurance, however, that additional future developments, administrative actions or liabilities relating to environmental matters will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's results of operations in a given period.

## Edgar Filing: GOODRICH CORP - Form 10-Q/A

At September 30, 2001, the Company's reserves for environmental remediation obligations totaled \$86.9 million, of which \$11.5 million was included in accrued liabilities. Of the \$86.9 million, \$13.0 million is associated with ongoing operations and \$73.9 million is associated with businesses previously disposed of or discontinued.

The timing of expenditures depends on a number of factors that vary by site, including the nature and extent of contamination, the number of potentially responsible parties, the timing of regulatory approvals, the complexity of the investigation and remediation, and the standards for remediation. The Company expects that it will expend present accruals over many years, and will complete remediation of all sites with which it has been identified in up to thirty years. This period includes operation and monitoring costs which are generally incurred over 15 years.

### TOLO LITIGATION

In May 2000, the Company and its subsidiary Rohr, Inc. ("Rohr"), were served with complaints in a lawsuit filed in the Superior Court of Orange County, California, by former shareholders and certain former employees of Tolo, Inc. Tolo, Inc. is a subsidiary of Rohr that was acquired in 1997. The former shareholders alleged that the Company and Rohr breached the stock purchase agreement by failing to pay \$2.4 million under the terms of the agreement. In September 2001, a jury found that the Company was liable to the shareholders for the \$2.4 million retained by Rohr under the stock purchase agreement and was also assessed punitive damages of \$48 million. The court subsequently reduced the punitive damage award to \$24 million.

At the time of the purchase the Company established a reserve of \$2.4 million relating to the amount withheld by Rohr pursuant to the stock purchase agreement. The Company has not established an accrual for the punitive damages award of \$24 million, which was based on the plaintiff's fraudulent concealment claim, for the reasons set forth below.

The Company and its legal counsel believe that there were numerous points of reversible error in the trial that make it more likely than not that the judgment will be reversed or vacated on appeal. First, the Company believes the plaintiffs' fraud claim is legally deficient under California law and should be reversed. If the fraud claim is not reversed, defendants' should, at a minimum, be granted a new trial on the fraudulent concealment claim because the trial court permitted plaintiffs to add this claim late in the trial but did not allow the Company to introduce evidence to defend against it. The Company also believes that the trial court made numerous prejudicial errors regarding the admission and exclusion of evidence relating to the fraud claims, which further supports the grant of a new trial. And finally, the Company believes that the trial court's directed verdict on plaintiffs' breach of contract claim should be set aside and a new trial granted because, among other things, there was sufficient evidence for the jury to find for the defendants on this claim.

### DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

Contingencies associated with Coltec and its subsidiaries, including asbestos-related liabilities, are discussed in Note I to the condensed consolidated financial statements. After the spin-off is completed, it is possible that asbestos-related claims might be asserted against the Company on the theory that it has some responsibility for the asbestos-related liabilities of Coltec or its subsidiaries, even though the activities that led to those claims occurred prior to the Company's ownership of Coltec. Also, it is possible that a claim could be asserted against the Company that Coltec's dividend of its aerospace business to the Company prior to the spin-off was made at a time when Coltec was insolvent or caused Coltec to become insolvent. Such a claim could

## Edgar Filing: GOODRICH CORP - Form 10-Q/A

seek recovery from the Company on behalf of Coltec of the fair market value of the dividend.

No such claims have been asserted against the Company to date. The Company believes that it would have substantial legal defenses against any such claims. Any such claims would require, as a practical matter, that Coltec's subsidiaries were unable to satisfy their asbestos-related liabilities and that Coltec was found to be responsible for these liabilities and is unable to meet

30

its financial obligations. The Company believes any such claims would be without merit and that Coltec will be solvent both before and after the dividend. If any such claims were successful the Company believes it would not have a material adverse effect on its financial condition, but could have a material adverse effect on its results of operations or cash flows in a particular period.

### CERTAIN AEROSPACE CONTRACTS

As discussed above, the Company's aerostructures business has a contract with Boeing on the B717-200 program that is subject to certain risks and uncertainties. The Company has pre-production inventory of \$63.2 million related to design and development costs on the B717-200 program at September 30, 2001. In addition, the Company has excess-over-average inventory of \$50.6 million related to costs associated with the production of the flight test inventory and the first production units on this program. Recovery of these costs will depend on the ultimate number of aircraft delivered and successfully achieving the Company's cost projections in future years.

The Company's aerostructures business is also in the business of re-engining 727 aircraft. The re-engining enables operators of these aircraft to meet sound attenuation requirements as well as improve their fuel efficiency. The aerostructures business has entered into several collateralized financing arrangements to assist its customers and has also entered into certain off balance sheet financing arrangements (primarily the sale of receivables with recourse) related to this program. Collection of these receivables, as well as the recovery of some portion of our investment in existing inventory balances, may be negatively affected by the overall deterioration in the commercial aerospace market noted above.

### TRANSITION TO THE EURO

Although the Euro was successfully introduced on January 1, 1999, the legacy currencies of those countries participating will continue to be used as legal tender through January 1, 2002. Thereafter, the legacy currencies will be canceled and Euro bills and coins will be used in the twelve participating countries.

Transition to the Euro creates a number of issues for the Company. Business issues that must be addressed include product pricing policies and ensuring the continuity of business and financial contracts. Finance and accounting issues include the conversion of bank accounts, accounting systems and other treasury and cash management activities. The Company continues to address these transition issues and does not expect the transition to the Euro to have a material effect on the results of operations or financial condition of the Company. Actions taken to date include the formation of a multi-discipline Euro task force and the ability to quote its prices, invoice when requested by the customer and issue pay checks to its employees on a dual currency basis. The Company is in the process of converting its accounting systems, statutory reporting and tax books and expects that the conversion will be completed on or before December 31, 2001. The financial institutions with which the Company has

## Edgar Filing: GOODRICH CORP - Form 10-Q/A

relationships have transitioned to the Euro successfully and are issuing statements in dual currencies.

### NEW ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

In September 2000, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Statement No. 140, "Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities" ("SFAS 140"). This statement replaces FASB Statement No. 125, "Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities" ("SFAS 125"). It revises the standards for accounting for securitizations and other transfers of financial assets and collateral and requires certain disclosures, but it carries over most of SFAS 125's provisions without reconsideration. SFAS 140 is effective for transfers and servicing of financial assets and extinguishments of liabilities occurring after March 31, 2001. The adoption of SFAS 140 did not have a material impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

Effective January 1, 2001, the Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities" ("SFAS No. 133"), as amended, which requires that all derivative instruments be reported on the balance sheet at fair value and that changes in a derivative's fair value be recognized currently in earnings unless specific hedge criteria are met. If the derivative is designated as a fair value hedge, the changes in the fair value of the derivative and of the hedged item attributable to the hedged risk are recognized in earnings. If the derivative is

31

designated as a cash flow hedge, the effective portions of changes in the fair value of the derivative are recorded in other comprehensive income and are recognized in the income statement when the hedged item affects earnings. Ineffective portions of changes in the fair value of cash flow hedges are recognized in earnings.

In accordance with the transition provisions of SFAS No. 133, the Company recorded the previously unrecognized fair market value of an interest rate swap designated as a fair value hedge and the associated adjustment to the carrying amount of the debt instrument designated as the hedged item as cumulative-effect adjustments to net income. As this pre-existing hedging relationship would have met the requirements for the shortcut method at inception, the Company chose to calculate the transition adjustment upon initial adoption as though the shortcut method had been applied since the inception of the hedging relationship. The effect of the adjustment to the carrying value of the debt was offset entirely by the impact of recording the fair value of the interest rate swap. Accordingly, the net cumulative-effect adjustment to net income was zero.

In July 2001, the FASB issued Statement No. 141 "Business Combinations" ("SFAS 141") and Statement No. 142 "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets" ("SFAS 142"). SFAS 141 is effective as follows: a) use of the pooling-of-interest method is prohibited for business combinations initiated after June 30, 2001; and b) the provisions of SFAS 141 also apply to all business combinations accounted for by the purchase method that are completed after June 30, 2001. There are also transition provisions that apply to business combinations completed before July 1, 2001, that were accounted for by the purchase method. SFAS 142 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2001 and applies to all goodwill and other intangible assets recognized in an entity's statement of financial position at that date, regardless of when those assets were initially recognized.

The Company completed two acquisitions in the third quarter of 2001 and has not recorded any amortization on amounts preliminarily allocated to goodwill in

## Edgar Filing: GOODRICH CORP - Form 10-Q/A

accordance with SFAS 141.

The Company will apply the new rules on accounting for goodwill and other intangible assets beginning in the first quarter of 2002. Application of the nonamortization provisions of the Statement is expected to result in an increase in net income of approximately \$29 million per year. As provided for in the transition provisions of SFAS 142, the Company will perform the first of the required impairment tests of goodwill and indefinite lived intangible assets during the first six months of 2002. The Company has not yet determined what the effect of these tests will be on the Company's financial condition or results of operations.

In June 2001, the FASB issued Statement No. 143 "Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations" ("SFAS 143"). SFAS 143 addresses financial accounting and reporting for obligations associated with the retirement of tangible long-lived assets and the associated asset retirement costs. It applies to legal obligations associated with the retirement of long-lived assets that result from the acquisition, construction, development and/or the normal operation of a long-lived asset, except for certain obligations of lessees. SFAS 143 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2002. The Company has not yet determined what the effect of SFAS 143 will be on its consolidated financial condition or results of operations.

In October 2001, the FASB issued Statement No. 144 "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets" ("SFAS 144"). SFAS 144 supersedes FASB Statement No. 121 "Accounting for the Impairment of Long-Lived Assets and for Long-Lived Assets to Be Disposed Of" ("SFAS 121"), however it retains the fundamental provisions of that statement related to the recognition and measurement of the impairment of long-lived assets to be "held and used." In addition, SFAS 144 provides more guidance on estimating cash flows when performing a recoverability test, requires that a long-lived asset (group) to be disposed of other than by sale (e.g. abandoned) be classified as "held and used" until it is disposed of, and establishes more restrictive criteria to classify an asset (group) as "held for sale." SFAS 144 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2001. The Company has not yet determined what the effect of SFAS 144 will be on its consolidated financial condition or results of operations.

32

### FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION IS SUBJECT TO RISK AND UNCERTAINTY

Certain statements made in this release are forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 regarding the company's future plans, objectives, and expected performance. Specifically, statements that are not historical facts, including statements accompanied by words such as "believe," "expect," "anticipate," "intend," "estimate" or "plan", are intended to identify forward-looking statements and convey the uncertainty of future events or outcomes. The Company cautions readers that any such forward-looking statements are based on assumptions that the Company believes are reasonable, but are subject to a wide range of risks, and actual results may differ materially.

Important factors that could cause actual results to differ include, but are not limited to:

- global demand for aircraft spare parts and aftermarket services;
- the impact of the terrorist attacks on September 11, 2001 and their aftermath;

## Edgar Filing: GOODRICH CORP - Form 10-Q/A

- the timing related to restoring consumer confidence in air travel;
- the health of the commercial aerospace industry, including the impact of bankruptcies in the airline industry;
- the extent to which the Company is able to achieve savings from its restructuring plans;
- the timing and successful completion of the spin-off of the Company's Engineered Industrial Products business;
- the successful completion of Coltec's dividend of its aerospace business to the Company;
- the solvency of Coltec at the time of and subsequent to the EIP spin-off;
- demand for and market acceptance of new and existing products, including potential cancellation of orders by commercial customers;
- successful development of advanced technologies;
- competitive product and pricing pressures;
- domestic and foreign government spending, budgetary and trade policies;
- economic and political changes in international markets where the Company competes, such as changes in currency exchange rates, inflation rates, recession and other external factors over which the Company has no control; and
- the outcome of contingencies (including completion of acquisitions, divestitures, litigation and environmental remediation efforts).

The Company cautions you not to place undue reliance on the forward-looking statements contained in this release, which speak only as of the date on which such statements were made. The Company undertakes no obligation to release publicly any revisions to these forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date on which such statements were made or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events.

33

### PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

#### ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

The Company and certain of its subsidiaries are defendants in various lawsuits involving asbestos-containing products. In addition, the Company has been notified that it is among potentially responsible parties under federal environmental laws, or similar state laws, relative to the cost of investigating and in some cases remediating contamination by hazardous materials at several sites. See Notes I and L to the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements, which are incorporated herein by reference.

In May 2000, the Company and its subsidiary Rohr, Inc. ("Rohr"), were served with complaints in a lawsuit filed in the Superior Court of Orange County,

## Edgar Filing: GOODRICH CORP - Form 10-Q/A

California, by former shareholders and certain former employees of Tolo, Inc. Tolo, Inc. is a subsidiary of Rohr that was acquired in 1997. The former shareholders alleged that the Company and Rohr breached the stock purchase agreement by failing to pay \$2.4 million under the terms of the agreement. In September 2001, a jury found that the Company was liable to the shareholders for the \$2.4 million retained by Rohr under the stock purchase agreement and was also assessed punitive damages of \$48 million. The court subsequently reduced the punitive damage award to \$24 million.

At the time of the purchase the Company established a reserve of \$2.4 million relating to the amount withheld by Rohr pursuant to the stock purchase agreement. The Company has not established an accrual for the punitive damages award of \$24 million, which was based on the plaintiff's fraudulent concealment claim, for the reasons set forth below.

The Company and its legal counsel believe that there were numerous points of reversible error in the trial that make it more likely than not that the judgment will be reversed or vacated on appeal. First, the Company believes the plaintiffs' fraud claim is legally deficient under California law and should be reversed. If the fraud claim is not reversed, defendants' should, at a minimum, be granted a new trial on the fraudulent concealment claim because the trial court permitted plaintiffs to add this claim late in the trial but did not allow the Company to introduce evidence to defend against it. The Company also believes that the trial court made numerous prejudicial errors regarding the admission and exclusion of evidence relating to the fraud claims, which further supports the grant of a new trial. And finally, the Company believes that the trial court's directed verdict on plaintiffs' breach of contract claim should be set aside and a new trial granted because, among other things, there was sufficient evidence for the jury to find for the defendants on this claim.

#### ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

None.

#### ITEM 6. EXHIBITS AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K.

(a) Exhibits.

Exhibit 10(II)	Goodrich Corporation Severance Program. *
Exhibit 10(JJ)	Amendment No. 1 to Goodrich Corporation 2001 Stock Option Plan. *
Exhibit 10(KK)	Amendment No. 1 to Goodrich Corporation Employee Stock Purchase Plan. *

\* Previously filed.

34

(b) Reports on Form 8-K.

The following Current Reports on Form 8-K were filed by the Company during the quarter ended September 30, 2001:

Current Report on Form 8-K filed July 20, 2001 (relating to the announcement of the Company's conference call regarding its second quarter 2001 earnings).

Edgar Filing: GOODRICH CORP - Form 10-Q/A

Current Report on Form 8-K filed July 23, 2001 (relating to the announcement of the Company's earnings for the three-month and six-month periods ended June 30, 2001).

Current Report on Form 8-K filed September 4, 2001 (relating to the announcement that the Company's Board of Directors approved in principle the tax-free spin-off of the Company's Engineered Industrial Products business to shareholders).

35

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

February 14, 2002

Goodrich Corporation

/S/ULRICH SCHMIDT

-----  
Ulrich Schmidt  
Senior Vice President and  
Chief Financial Officer

/S/ROBERT D. KONEY, JR.

-----  
Robert D. Koney, Jr.  
Vice President & Controller  
(Chief Accounting Officer)

36