

CNA FINANCIAL CORP  
Form 10-Q  
November 03, 2006

**Table of Contents**

**UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**  
Washington, D.C. 20549

**FORM 10-Q**

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE  
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the Quarterly Period Ended September 30, 2006

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE  
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

**Commission File Number 1-5823**

**CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

**Delaware**

(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

**36-6169860**

(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification No.)

**333 S. Wabash**

**Chicago, Illinois**

(Address of principal executive offices)

**60604**

(Zip Code)

**(312) 822-5000**

**(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)**

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes  No...

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of "accelerated filer and large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):  
Large accelerated filer...  Accelerated filer  Non-accelerated filer...

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes...  No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

<b>Class</b>	<b>Outstanding at October 27, 2006</b>
Common Stock, Par value \$2.50	270,929,753

**CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION  
INDEX**

Item Number		Page Number
<b><u>PART I. Financial Information</u></b>		
1.	<u>Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited):</u>	
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets at September 30, 2006 and at December 31, 2005</u>	3
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2006 and 2005</u>	4
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2006 and 2005</u>	5
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2006 and 2005</u>	7
	<u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	8
2.	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	55
3.	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u>	92
4.	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	96
<b><u>PART II. Other Information</u></b>		
1.	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	97
1A.	<u>Risk Factors</u>	97
6.	<u>Exhibits</u>	97
	Signatures	98
	Certifications	99
	<u>302 Certification of Chief Executive Officer</u>	
	<u>302 Certification of Chief Financial Officer</u>	
	<u>906 Certification of Chief Executive Officer</u>	
	<u>906 Certification of Chief Financial Officer</u>	

**Table of Contents**

**CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION**  
**PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION**  
**ITEM 1. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (UNAUDITED)**

	<b>September 30, 2006</b>	<b>December 31, 2005</b>
(In millions, except share data)		
<b>Assets</b>		
Investments:		
Fixed maturity securities at fair value (amortized cost of \$31,457 and \$32,616)	\$ 32,150	\$ 33,234
Equity securities at fair value (cost of \$395 and \$511)	576	681
Limited partnership investments	1,723	1,509
Other invested assets	30	33
Short term investments at cost, which approximates fair value	8,460	4,238
<b>Total investments</b>	<b>42,939</b>	<b>39,695</b>
Cash	98	96
Reinsurance receivables (less allowance for uncollectible receivables of \$535 and \$519)	10,368	11,917
Insurance receivables (less allowance for doubtful accounts of \$420 and \$445)	1,872	1,866
Accrued investment income	326	312
Receivables for securities sold	899	565
Deferred acquisition costs	1,220	1,197
Prepaid reinsurance premiums	367	340
Federal income taxes recoverable (includes \$0 and \$68 due from Loews Corporation)	-	62
Deferred income taxes	912	1,105
Property and equipment at cost (less accumulated depreciation of \$562 and \$546)	239	197
Goodwill and other intangible assets	142	146
Other assets	650	737
Separate account business	525	551
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>\$ 60,557</b>	<b>\$ 58,786</b>
<b>Liabilities and Stockholders Equity</b>		
Liabilities:		
Insurance reserves:		
Claim and claim adjustment expense	\$ 30,141	\$ 30,938
Unearned premiums	3,871	3,706
Future policy benefits	6,552	6,297
Policyholders funds	1,049	1,495
Collateral on loaned securities	2,385	767
Payables for securities purchased	693	129

Edgar Filing: CNA FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-Q

Participating policyholders funds	49	53
Short term debt	250	252
Long term debt	2,155	1,438
Federal income taxes payable (includes \$85 and \$0 due to Loews Corporation)	91	-
Reinsurance balances payable	886	1,636
Other liabilities	2,256	2,283
Separate account business	525	551
<b>Total liabilities</b>	<b>50,903</b>	<b>49,545</b>
Commitments and contingencies (Notes D, G, H, J and L)		
Minority interest	325	291
Stockholders equity:		
Preferred stock (12,500,000 shares authorized) Series H Issue (no par value; \$100,000 stated value; no shares and 7,500 shares issued; held by Loews Corporation)	-	750
Common stock (\$2.50 par value; 500,000,000 shares authorized; 273,040,543 and 258,177,285 shares issued; and 270,929,753 and 256,001,968 shares outstanding)	683	645
Additional paid-in capital	2,166	1,701
Retained earnings	6,157	5,621
Accumulated other comprehensive income	447	359
Treasury stock (2,110,790 and 2,175,317 shares), at cost	(65)	(67)
	9,388	9,009
Notes receivable for the issuance of common stock	(59)	(59)
<b>Total stockholders equity</b>	<b>9,329</b>	<b>8,950</b>
<b>Total liabilities and stockholders equity</b>	<b>\$ 60,557</b>	<b>\$ 58,786</b>

The accompanying Notes are an integral part of these Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited).

**Table of Contents**

**CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**  
**(UNAUDITED)**

<b>Period ended September 30</b> (In millions, except per share data)	<b>Three Months</b>		<b>Nine Months</b>	
	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b> Restated See Note T	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b> Restated See Note T
<b>Revenues</b>				
Net earned premiums	\$ 1,943	\$ 1,873	\$ 5,704	\$ 5,684
Net investment income	600	500	1,722	1,345
Realized investment gains (losses), net of participating policyholders and minority interests	21	67	(68)	74
Other revenues	56	80	175	351
<b>Total revenues</b>	<b>2,620</b>	<b>2,520</b>	<b>7,533</b>	<b>7,454</b>
<b>Claims, Benefits and Expenses</b>				
Insurance claims and policyholders benefits	1,522	1,871	4,446	4,886
Amortization of deferred acquisition costs	390	416	1,132	1,168
Other operating expenses	224	241	723	766
Restructuring and other related charges	-	-	(13)	-
Interest	35	29	93	96
<b>Total claims, benefits and expenses</b>	<b>2,171</b>	<b>2,557</b>	<b>6,381</b>	<b>6,916</b>
Income (loss) before income tax and minority interest	449	(37)	1,152	538
Income tax (expense) benefit	(131)	51	(339)	(53)
Minority interest	(13)	(11)	(32)	(16)
Income from continuing operations	305	3	781	469
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of income tax benefit of \$9, \$0, \$9 and \$0	6	3	(2)	12
<b>Net income</b>	<b>\$ 311</b>	<b>\$ 6</b>	<b>\$ 779</b>	<b>\$ 481</b>
<b>Basic and Diluted Earnings (Loss) Per Share</b>				
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$ 1.13	\$ (0.06)	\$ 2.84	\$ 1.63

Edgar Filing: CNA FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-Q

Income (loss) from discontinued operations	0.02	0.02	(0.01)	0.05
Basic and diluted earnings (loss) per share available to common stockholders	\$ 1.15	\$ (0.04)	\$ 2.83	\$ 1.68
<b>Weighted average outstanding common stock and common stock equivalents</b>				
Basic	265.0	256.0	259.0	256.0
Diluted	265.2	256.0	259.2	256.0

The accompanying Notes are an integral part of these Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited).

**Table of Contents**

**CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**  
**(UNAUDITED)**

<b>Nine months ended September 30</b> (In millions)	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b> Restated See Note T
<b>Cash Flows from Operating Activities:</b>		
Net income	\$ 779	\$ 481
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash flows provided by operating activities:		
(Income) loss from discontinued operations	2	(12)
Loss (gain) on disposal of property and equipment	-	(9)
Minority interest	32	16
Deferred income tax provision	163	(37)
Trading securities	391	112
Realized investment (gains) losses, net of participating policyholders and minority interests	68	(74)
Undistributed earnings of equity method investees	(70)	(46)
Net amortization of bond (discount) premium	(211)	(84)
Depreciation	35	41
Changes in:		
Receivables, net	1,543	1,571
Deferred acquisition costs	(23)	34
Accrued investment income	(14)	(20)
Federal income taxes recoverable/payable	153	(211)
Prepaid reinsurance premiums	(27)	214
Reinsurance balances payable	(750)	(331)
Insurance reserves	(315)	58
Other, net	27	(210)
 Total adjustments	 1,004	 1,012
 <b>Net cash flows provided by operating activities-continuing operations</b>	 <b>1,783</b>	 <b>1,493</b>
<b>Net cash flows used by operating activities-discontinued operations</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>(33)</b>
 <b>Net cash flows provided by operating activities-total</b>	 <b>1,783</b>	 <b>1,460</b>
 <b>Cash Flows from Investing Activities:</b>		
Purchases of fixed maturity securities	(32,425)	(46,204)
Proceeds from fixed maturity securities:		
Sales	30,942	41,418
Maturities, calls and redemptions	3,114	3,788



Purchases of equity securities	(267)	(361)
Proceeds from sales of equity securities	153	276
Change in short term investments	(4,381)	(896)
Change in collateral on loaned securities	1,618	1,088
Change in other investments	(139)	72
Purchases of property and equipment	(87)	(28)
Dispositions	7	12
Other, net	(49)	42
<b>Net cash flows used by investing activities-continuing operations</b>	<b>(1,514)</b>	<b>(793)</b>
<b>Net cash flows provided (used) by investing activities-discontinued operations</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>(3)</b>
<b>Net cash flows used by investing activities-total</b>	<b>(1,490)</b>	<b>(796)</b>

The accompanying Notes are an integral part of these Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited).

**Table of Contents**

**CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS, Continued**  
**(UNAUDITED)**

<b>Nine months ended September 30</b> (In millions)	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b> Restated See Note T
<b>Cash Flows from Financing Activities:</b>		
Proceeds from the issuance of long-term debt	759	-
Principal payments on debt	(44)	(507)
Return of investment contract account balances	(510)	(168)
Receipts of investment contract account balances	2	4
Payment to repurchase Series H Issue preferred stock	(993)	-
Proceeds from the issuance of common stock	499	-
Stock options exercised by officers	4	-
Other, net	1	4
<b>Net cash flows used by financing activities-continuing operations</b>	<b>(282)</b>	<b>(667)</b>
<b>Net cash flows used by financing activities-discontinued operations</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>
<b>Net cash flows used by financing activities-total</b>	<b>(282)</b>	<b>(667)</b>
Net change in cash	11	(3)
Net cash transactions from continuing operations to discontinued operations	15	(42)
Net cash transactions from discontinued operations to continuing operations	(15)	42
<b>Cash, beginning of year</b>	<b>125</b>	<b>109</b>
<b>Cash, end of period</b>	<b>\$ 136</b>	<b>\$ 106</b>
Cash-continuing operations	\$ 98	\$ 86
Cash-discontinued operations	38	20
Cash-total	136	106

The accompanying Notes are an integral part of these Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements  
(Unaudited).

**Table of Contents**

**CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY**  
**(UNAUDITED)**

<b>Nine months ended September 30</b> (In millions)	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b> Restated See Note T
<b>Preferred Stock</b>		
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 750	\$ 750
Repurchase of Series H Issue	(750)	-
Balance, end of period	-	750
<b>Common Stock</b>		
Balance, beginning of period	645	645
Issuance of common stock	38	-
Balance, end of period	683	645
<b>Additional Paid-in Capital</b>		
Balance, beginning of period	1,701	1,701
Issuance of common stock and other	465	-
Balance, end of period	2,166	1,701
<b>Retained Earnings</b>		
Balance, beginning of period	5,621	5,357
Net income	779	481
Liquidation preference in excess of par value on Series H Issue	(243)	-
Balance, end of period	6,157	5,838
<b>Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)</b>		
Balance, beginning of period	359	661
Other comprehensive income (loss)	88	(273)
Balance, end of period	447	388

**Treasury Stock**

Balance, beginning of period	(67)	(69)
Stock options exercised	2	-
Balance, end of period	(65)	(69)
<b>Notes Receivable for the Issuance of Common Stock</b>		
Balance, beginning of period	(59)	(71)
Decrease in notes receivable for the issuance of common stock	-	13
Balance, end of period	(59)	(58)
<b>Total Stockholders Equity</b>	\$ 9,329	\$ 9,195

The accompanying Notes are an integral part of these Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited).

**Table of Contents****CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION  
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(UNAUDITED)****Note A. Basis of Presentation**

The Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) include the accounts of CNA Financial Corporation (CNAF) and its controlled subsidiaries. Collectively, CNAF and its subsidiaries are referred to as CNA or the Company. CNA's property and casualty and the remaining life and group insurance operations are primarily conducted by Continental Casualty Company (CCC), The Continental Insurance Company (CIC) and Continental Assurance Company (CAC). Loews Corporation (Loews) owned approximately 89% of the outstanding common stock of CNAF as of September 30, 2006.

The accompanying Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP). Certain financial information that is normally included in annual financial statements, including certain financial statement notes, prepared in accordance with GAAP, is not required for interim reporting purposes and has been condensed or omitted. These statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in CNAF's Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) for the year ended December 31, 2005. Certain amounts applicable to prior periods have been conformed to the current period presentation. The preparation of Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. Actual results may differ from those estimates.

The interim financial data as of September 30, 2006 and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 is unaudited. However, in the opinion of management, the interim data includes all adjustments, consisting of normal recurring accruals, necessary for a fair statement of the Company's results for the interim periods. The results of operations for the interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year. All significant intercompany amounts have been eliminated.

**Note B. Accounting Pronouncements**

In May of 2005, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standard (SFAS) No. 154, Accounting Changes and Error Correction (SFAS 154). This standard is a replacement of Accounting Policy Board Opinion No. 20, Accounting Changes, and FASB Standard No. 3, Reporting Accounting Changes in Interim Financial Statements. Under the new standard, any voluntary changes in accounting principles should be adopted via a retrospective application of the accounting principle in the financial statements presented in addition to obtaining an opinion from the auditors that the new principle is preferred. In addition, adoption of a change in accounting principle required by the issuance of a new accounting standard would also require retroactive restatement, unless the new standard includes explicit transition guidelines. SFAS 154 was effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2005 and was adopted by the Company as of January 1, 2006. Adoption of SFAS 154 did not have an impact on the results of operations or equity of the Company.

In November of 2005, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position No. FAS 115-1 and FAS 124-1, The Meaning of Other-Than-Temporary Impairment and its Application to Certain Investments (FSP 115-1), as applicable to debt and equity securities that are within the scope of SFAS No. 115, Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities (SFAS 115) and equity securities that are accounted for using the cost method specified in Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 18, The Equity Method of Accounting for Investments in Common Stock. FSP 115-1 nullifies certain requirements of The Emerging Issues Task Force Issue No. 03-1, The Meaning of Other-Than-Temporary Impairment and its Application to Certain Investments (EITF 03-01), which provided guidance on determining whether an impairment is other-than-temporary. FSP 115-1 replaces guidance set forth in EITF 03-01 with references to existing other-than-temporary impairment guidance and clarifies that an investor should recognize an impairment loss no later than when the impairment is deemed other-than-temporary, even if a decision to sell has not been made. FSP 115-1 carries forward the requirements in EITF 03-01 regarding required disclosures in the financial statements and requires additional disclosure related to factors considered in reaching the

conclusion that the impairment is not other-than-temporary. In addition, in periods subsequent to the recognition of an other-than-temporary impairment loss for debt securities, the discount or reduced premium would be amortized over the

**Table of Contents****CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION  
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, Continued  
(UNAUDITED)**

remaining life of the security based on future estimated cash flows. FSP 115-1 was effective for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2005 and was adopted by the Company as of January 1, 2006. Adoption of this standard increased net income by approximately \$2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 related to the amortization of discount or reduced premium resulting from previously impaired securities. The Company has included the required additional disclosures in these financial statements.

In December of 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004), Share-Based Payment (SFAS 123R), that amends SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation (SFAS 123), as originally issued in May of 1995. SFAS 123R addresses the accounting for share-based payment transactions in which an enterprise receives employee services in exchange for (a) equity instruments of the enterprise or (b) liabilities that are based on the fair value of the enterprise's equity instruments or that may be settled by the issuance of such equity instruments. SFAS 123R supercedes Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees (APB 25). After the effective date of this standard, entities are not permitted to use the intrinsic value method specified in APB 25 to measure compensation expense and generally are required to measure compensation expense using a fair-value based method. The Company applied the modified prospective transition method. The modified prospective method requires a company to (a) record compensation expense for all awards it grants, modifies, repurchases or cancels after the date it adopts the standard and (b) record compensation expense for the unvested portion of previously granted awards that remain outstanding at the date of adoption. SFAS 123R was effective for the Company as of January 1, 2006. The Company applied the alternative transition method in calculating its pool of excess tax benefits available to absorb future tax deficiencies as provided by FSP FAS 123(R)-3, Transition Election Related to Accounting for the Tax Effects of Share-Based Payment Awards. Adoption of SFAS 123R decreased net income by \$2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006.

Prior to 2006, the Company applied the intrinsic value method under APB 25, and related interpretations, in accounting for its stock-based compensation plan. Under the recognition and measurement principles of APB 25, no stock-based compensation cost was recognized, as the exercise price of the granted options equaled the market price of the underlying stock at the grant date.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 158, Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans (an amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 88, 106 and 132(R)) (SFAS 158). SFAS 158 requires a company who sponsors one or more single-employer defined benefit plans, to recognize the overfunded or underfunded status of a defined benefit postretirement plan as an asset or liability in its statement of financial position and to recognize changes in that funded status in the year in which the changes occur through comprehensive income. SFAS 158 requires a company to measure benefit plan assets and obligations as of the date of the company's fiscal year-end statement of financial position. SFAS 158 also requires a company to disclose in the notes to financial statements additional information about certain effects on net periodic benefit cost for the next fiscal year that arise from delayed recognition of the gains or losses, prior service costs or credits, and transition asset or obligation. The requirement to recognize the funded status of a benefit plan and the disclosure requirements are effective as of the end of the fiscal year ending after December 15, 2006. The requirement to measure plan assets and benefit obligations as of the date of the fiscal year-end statement of financial position is effective for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2008. The Company is currently evaluating the impact that adopting SFAS 158 will have on the Company's financial condition.

**Note C. Earnings Per Share**

Earnings per share available to common stockholders is based on weighted average outstanding shares. Basic and diluted earnings per share are computed by dividing net income available to common stockholders by the weighted average number of shares of common stock or common stock equivalents outstanding for the period. The weighted average number of shares outstanding for computing basic earnings per share was 265.0 million and 259.0 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006. The weighted average number of shares outstanding for computing diluted earnings per share was 265.2 million and 259.2 million for the three and nine months ended

September 30, 2006. The weighted average number of shares outstanding for computing basic and diluted earnings per share was 256.0 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005.

The Series H Cumulative Preferred Stock Issue (Series H Issue) was held by Loews and accrued cumulative dividends at an initial rate of 8% per year, compounded annually. In August 2006, the Company repurchased the Series H Issue from Loews for approximately \$993 million, a price equal to the liquidation preference. The Series H Issue dividend amounts through the repurchase date for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 have been subtracted from Income from Continuing Operations to determine income from continuing operations available to common stockholders.

Diluted earnings per share reflect the potential dilution that could occur if securities or other contracts to issue common stock were exercised or converted into common stock. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, approximately one million shares attributable to exercises under stock-based employee compensation plans were excluded from the calculation of diluted earnings per share because they were antidilutive.



**Table of Contents**

**CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, Continued**  
**(UNAUDITED)**

The computation of earnings per share for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 is as follows:

**Earnings Per Share**

<b>Period ended September 30</b> (In millions, except per share amounts)	<b>Three Months</b>		<b>Nine Months</b>	
	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b>
Income from continuing operations	\$ 305	\$ 3	\$ 781	\$ 469
Less: undeclared preferred stock dividend through repurchase date	(8)	(17)	(46)	(52)
Income (loss) from continuing operations available to common stockholders	\$ 297	\$ (14)	\$ 735	\$ 417
Weighted average outstanding common stock and common stock equivalents	265.0	256.0	259.0	256.0
Effect of dilutive securities, employee stock options	0.2	-	0.2	-
Adjusted weighted average outstanding common stock and common stock equivalents assuming conversions	265.2	256.0	259.2	256.0
<b>Basic and diluted earnings (loss) per share from continuing operations available to common stockholders</b>	<b>\$ 1.13</b>	<b>\$ (0.06)</b>	<b>\$ 2.84</b>	<b>\$ 1.63</b>

The following table illustrates the effect on net income and earnings per share data if the Company had applied the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS 123 to stock-based employee compensation under the Company's stock-based compensation plans for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005.

**Pro Forma Effect of SFAS 123 on Results**

<b>Period ended September 30</b> (In millions, except per share amounts)	<b>Three Months</b>		<b>Nine Months</b>	
	<b>2005</b>		<b>2005</b>	
Income from continuing operations	\$ 3		\$ 469	
Less: undeclared preferred stock dividend	(17)		(52)	
Income (loss) from continuing operations available to common stockholders	(14)		417	
Income from discontinued operations, net of tax	3		12	

Edgar Filing: GNA FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-Q

Net income (loss) available to common stockholders	(11)	429
Less: Total stock-based compensation cost determined under the fair value method, net of tax	-	(1)
Pro forma net income (loss) available to common stockholders	\$ (11)	\$ 428
Basic and diluted earnings (loss) per share, as reported	\$ (0.04)	\$ 1.68
Basic and diluted earnings (loss) per share, pro forma	\$ (0.04)	\$ 1.68

**Table of Contents**

**CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, Continued**  
**(UNAUDITED)**

**Note D. Investments**

The significant components of net investment income are presented in the following table.

**Net Investment Income**

<b>Period ended September 30</b> (In millions)	<b>Three Months</b>		<b>Nine Months</b>	
	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b>
Fixed maturity securities	\$ 477	\$ 393	\$ 1,372	\$ 1,167
Short term investments	61	42	184	103
Limited partnerships	46	72	173	189
Equity securities	4	6	18	18
Income from trading portfolio (a)	30	41	63	25
Interest on funds withheld and other deposits	(10)	(50)	(65)	(139)
Other	2	5	10	16
Gross investment income	610	509	1,755	1,379
Investment expense	(10)	(9)	(33)	(34)
<b>Net investment income</b>	<b>\$ 600</b>	<b>\$ 500</b>	<b>\$ 1,722</b>	<b>\$ 1,345</b>

(a) The change in net unrealized gains (losses) on trading securities included in net investment income was \$3 million and \$(1) million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 and \$3 million and \$(4) million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005.

The components of realized investment results for available-for-sale securities are presented in the following table.

**Realized Investment Gains (Losses)**

<b>Period ended September 30</b> (In millions)	<b>Three Months</b>		<b>Nine Months</b>	
	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b>
Fixed maturity securities:				
U.S. Government bonds	\$ 18	\$ 2	\$ 22	\$ (10)
Corporate and other taxable bonds	(18)	9	(114)	(36)
Tax-exempt bonds	40	4	51	38
Asset-backed bonds	(1)	7	(15)	18
Redeemable preferred stock	(2)	-	(3)	10
Total fixed maturity securities	37	22	(59)	20
Equity securities	(3)	6	3	45
Derivative securities	(12)	53	(7)	34
Short term investments	(2)	1	(6)	1
	1	(15)	(1)	(28)

Other, including disposition of businesses, net of participating policyholders' interest

Realized investment gains (losses) before allocation to participating policyholders' and minority interests	21	67	(70)	72
Allocated to participating policyholders' and minority interests	-	-	2	2
<b>Realized investment gains (losses)</b>	<b>\$ 21</b>	<b>\$ 67</b>	<b>\$ (68)</b>	<b>\$ 74</b>

For the three months ended September 30, 2006, other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) losses of \$46 million were recorded primarily in the corporate and other taxable bonds sector. This compared to OTTI losses for the three months ended September 30, 2005 of \$17 million recorded across various sectors. The decrease in net realized investment results was primarily driven by an increase in interest related OTTI losses on securities for which the Company did not assert an intent to hold until an anticipated recovery in value.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2006, OTTI losses of \$87 million were recorded primarily in the corporate and other taxable bonds sector. This compared to OTTI losses for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 of \$71 million recorded across various sectors, including an OTTI loss of \$34 million related to loans to a large national contractor. See Note R for additional information on loans to the large national contractor. The decrease in

**Table of Contents****CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, Continued****(UNAUDITED)**

net realized investment results was primarily driven by decreased gains on sales as a result of increasing interest rates between the two periods, the effect of interest rates on derivative positions and increases in interest related OTTI losses on securities for which the Company did not assert an intent to hold until an anticipated recovery in value.

The Company's investment policies for both the general account and separate account emphasize high credit quality and diversification by industry, issuer and issue. Assets supporting interest rate sensitive liabilities are segmented within the general account to facilitate asset/liability duration management.

The following tables provide a summary of fixed maturity and equity securities investments.

**Summary of Fixed Maturity and Equity Securities**

	Cost or Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses		Estimated Fair Value
			Less than 12 Months	Greater than 12 Months	
<b>September 30, 2006</b> (In millions)					
Fixed maturity securities available-for-sale:					
U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of government agencies	\$ 2,293	\$ 133	\$ 1	\$ 1	\$ 2,424
Asset-backed securities States, municipalities and political subdivisions tax-exempt	14,169	36	19	156	14,030
Corporate securities	4,230	212	-	5	4,437
Other debt securities	6,450	309	10	15	6,734
Redeemable preferred stock	3,288	198	8	3	3,475
Options embedded in convertible debt securities	828	25	2	-	851
	1	-	-	-	1
<b>Total fixed maturity securities available-for-sale</b>	<b>31,259</b>	<b>913</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>180</b>	<b>31,952</b>
<b>Total fixed maturity securities trading</b>	<b>198</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>198</b>
Equity securities available-for-sale:					
Common stock	201	172	-	-	373
Preferred stock	138	9	-	-	147
<b>Total equity securities available-for-sale</b>	<b>339</b>	<b>181</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>520</b>
<b>Total equity securities trading</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>56</b>

<b>Total</b>	\$ 31,852	\$ 1,094	\$ 40	\$ 180	\$ 32,726
--------------	-----------	----------	-------	--------	-----------

12

---

**Table of Contents**
**CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, Continued**  
**(UNAUDITED)**
**Summary of Fixed Maturity and Equity Securities**

	Cost or Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses		Estimated Fair Value
			Less than 12 Months	Greater than 12 Months	
<b>December 31, 2005</b> (In millions)					
Fixed maturity securities available-for-sale:					
U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of government agencies	\$ 1,355	\$ 119	\$ 4	\$ 1	\$ 1,469
Asset-backed securities	12,986	43	137	33	12,859
States, municipalities and political subdivisions tax-exempt	9,054	193	31	7	9,209
Corporate securities	5,906	322	52	11	6,165
Other debt securities	2,830	234	18	2	3,044
Redeemable preferred stock	213	4	-	1	216
Options embedded in convertible debt securities	1	-	-	-	1
<b>Total fixed maturity securities available-for-sale</b>	<b>32,345</b>	<b>915</b>	<b>242</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>32,963</b>
<b>Total fixed maturity securities trading</b>	<b>271</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>271</b>
Equity securities available-for-sale:					
Common stock	140	150	1	-	289
Preferred stock	322	22	1	-	343
<b>Total equity securities available-for-sale</b>	<b>462</b>	<b>172</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>632</b>
<b>Total equity securities trading</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>49</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 33,127</b>	<b>\$ 1,087</b>	<b>\$ 244</b>	<b>\$ 55</b>	<b>\$ 33,915</b>

**Table of Contents**

**CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, Continued**  
**(UNAUDITED)**

The following table summarizes, for fixed maturity and equity securities in an unrealized loss position at September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, the aggregate fair value and gross unrealized loss by length of time those securities have been continuously in an unrealized loss position.

**Unrealized Loss Aging**

	<b>September 30, 2006</b>		<b>December 31, 2005</b>	
	<b>Estimated</b>	<b>Gross</b>	<b>Estimated</b>	<b>Gross</b>
	<b>Fair</b>	<b>Unrealized</b>	<b>Fair</b>	<b>Unrealized</b>
	<b>Value</b>	<b>Loss</b>	<b>Value</b>	<b>Loss</b>
(In millions)				
Fixed maturity securities:				
Investment grade:				
0-6 months	\$ 1,578	\$ 8	\$ 9,976	\$ 142
7-12 months	3,477	26	2,739	61
13-24 months	5,774	147	1,400	45
Greater than 24 months	725	30	219	7
Total investment grade	11,554	211	14,334	255
Non-investment grade:				
0-6 months	622	5	632	29
7-12 months	30	1	118	10
13-24 months	70	3	122	3
Greater than 24 months	2	-	2	-
Total non-investment grade	724	9	874	42
Total fixed maturity securities	12,278	220	15,208	297
Equity securities:				
0-6 months	3	-	49	2
7-12 months	1	-	1	-
13-24 months	-	-	-	-
Greater than 24 months	3	-	3	-
Total equity securities	7	-	53	2



<b>Total fixed maturity and equity securities</b>	\$ 12,285	\$ 220	\$ 15,261	\$ 299
---	-----------	--------	-----------	--------

An investment is impaired if the fair value of the investment is less than its cost adjusted for accretion, amortization, previous OTTI and hedging, otherwise defined as an unrealized loss. When an investment is impaired, the impairment is evaluated to determine whether it is temporary or other-than-temporary.

A significant judgment in the valuation of investments is the determination of when an OTTI has occurred. The Company follows a consistent and systematic process for determining and recording an OTTI. The Company has established a committee responsible for the OTTI process. This committee, referred to as the Impairment Committee, is made up of three officers appointed by the Company's Chief Financial Officer. The Impairment Committee is responsible for analyzing watch list securities on at least a quarterly basis. The watch list includes individual securities that fall below certain thresholds or that exhibit evidence of OTTI indicators including, but not limited to, a significant adverse change in the financial condition and near term prospects of the issuer or a significant adverse change in legal factors, the business climate or credit ratings.

When a security is placed on the watch list, it is monitored for further market value changes and additional information related to the issuer's financial condition. The focus is on objective evidence that may influence the evaluation of OTTI factors.

The decision to record an OTTI incorporates both quantitative criteria and qualitative information. The Impairment Committee considers a number of factors including, but not limited to: (a) the length of time and the extent to which the fair value has been less than book value, (b) the financial condition and near term prospects of the issuer, (c) the intent and ability of the Company to retain its investment for a period of time sufficient to allow for an anticipated

**Table of Contents**

**CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, Continued**  
**(UNAUDITED)**

recovery in value, (d) whether the debtor is current on interest and principal payments and (e) general market conditions and industry or sector specific factors.

The Impairment Committee's decision to record an OTTI loss is primarily based on whether the security's fair value is likely to recover to its book value in light of all of the factors considered. For securities considered to be OTTI, the security is adjusted to fair value and the resulting losses are recognized in realized gains/losses on the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations.

At September 30, 2006, the carrying value of the general account fixed maturities was \$32,150 million, representing 75% of the total investment portfolio. The net unrealized gain position associated with the fixed maturity portfolio included \$220 million in gross unrealized losses, consisting of asset-backed securities which represented 79%, corporate bonds which represented 11%, municipal securities which represented 3%, and all other fixed maturity securities which represented 7%. The gross unrealized loss for any single issuer was no greater than 0.1% of the carrying value of the total general account fixed maturity portfolio. The total fixed maturity portfolio gross unrealized losses of \$220 million included 1,315 securities which were, in aggregate, 2% below amortized cost.

The gross unrealized losses on equities are less than \$1 million, including 79 securities which, in aggregate, are below cost by 4%.

Given the current facts and circumstances, the Impairment Committee has determined that the securities presented in the above unrealized gain/loss tables were temporarily impaired when evaluated at September 30, 2006 or December 31, 2005, and therefore no related realized losses were recorded. A discussion of some of the factors reviewed in making that determination is presented below by major security type. The Company does not consider the unrealized loss related to any single issuer to be significant.

***Asset-Backed Securities***

The unrealized losses on the Company's investments in asset-backed securities were caused primarily by a change in interest rates. This category includes mortgage-backed pass-through securities guaranteed by an agency of the U.S. government. There were 425 agency mortgage-backed securities and 2 agency collateralized mortgage obligations (CMOs) in an unrealized loss position as of September 30, 2006. The aggregate severity of the unrealized loss on these securities was 4% of amortized cost. These securities do not tend to be influenced by the credit of the issuer but rather the characteristics and projected principal payments of the underlying collateral.

The remainder of the holdings in this category are corporate mortgage-backed pass-through, CMOs and corporate asset-backed structured securities. The holdings in these sectors include 412 securities in an unrealized loss position with over 93% of these unrealized losses related to securities rated AAA. The aggregate severity of the unrealized loss was 2% of amortized cost. The contractual cash flows on the asset-backed structured securities are pass-through but may be structured into classes of preference. The structured securities held are generally secured by over collateralization or default protection provided by subordinated tranches. The Company purchased the majority of those investments at a discount relative to their face amount. Within this category, securities subject to EITF Issue No. 99-20, Recognition of Interest Income and Impairment on Purchased and Retained Beneficial Interests in Securitized Financial Assets (EITF 99-20), are monitored for adverse changes in cash flow projections. If there are adverse changes in cash flows the amount of accretable yield is prospectively adjusted and an OTTI loss is recognized. There was no adverse change in estimated cash flows noted for the EITF 99-20 securities, which have an aggregate unrealized loss of \$6 million and an aggregate severity of the unrealized loss of 1% of amortized cost.

Because the decline in fair value was primarily attributable to changes in interest rates and not credit quality and because the Company has the ability and intent to hold those investments until an anticipated recovery of fair value, which may be maturity, the Company considers these investments to be temporarily impaired at September 30, 2006.

***Corporate Securities***

The Company's portfolio management objective for corporate bonds focuses on sector and issuer exposures and value analysis within sectors. In order to maximize the total return objectives, corporate bonds are analyzed on a risk adjusted basis compared to other opportunities that are available in the market. Trading decisions may be made based

on an issuer that may be overvalued in the Company's portfolio compared to a like issuer that may be

**Table of Contents****CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, Continued****(UNAUDITED)**

undervalued in the market. The Company also monitors issuer exposure and broader industry sector exposures and may reduce exposures based on its current view of a specific issuer or sector.

Of the unrealized losses in this category, 67% relate to securities rated as investment grade (rated BBB or higher). The total holdings in this category are diversified across 10 industry sectors and 236 securities. The aggregate severity of the unrealized loss was less than 2% of amortized cost. Within corporate bonds, the largest industry sectors were financial, consumer cyclical, consumer non-cyclical and technology, which as a percentage of total gross unrealized losses were 52%, 16%, 8%, and 8% at September 30, 2006. The decline in market value is primarily attributable to changes in interest rates and macro conditions in certain sectors that the market views as temporarily out of favor. Because the decline is not related to specific credit quality issues, and because the Company has the ability and intent to hold those investments until an anticipated recovery of fair value, which may be maturity, the Company considers these investments to be temporarily impaired at September 30, 2006.

**Investment Commitments**

As of September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, the Company had committed approximately \$137 million and \$191 million to future capital calls from various third-party limited partnership investments in exchange for an ownership interest in the related partnerships.

The Company invests in multiple bank loan participations as part of its overall investment strategy and has committed to additional future purchases and sales. The purchase and sale of these investments are recorded on the date that the legal agreements are finalized and cash settlement is made. As of September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, the Company had commitments to purchase \$80 million and \$82 million, and sell \$35 million and \$12 million of various bank loan participations. When loan participation purchases are settled and recorded they may contain both funded and unfunded amounts. An unfunded loan represents an obligation by the Company to provide additional amounts under the terms of the loan participation. The funded portions are reflected on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets, while any unfunded amounts are not recorded until a draw is made under the loan facility. As of September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, the Company had obligations on unfunded bank loan participations in the amount of \$34 million and \$21 million.

**Note E. Derivative Financial Instruments**

A summary of the recognized gains (losses) related to derivative financial instruments follows.

**Derivative Financial Instruments Recognized Gains (Losses)**

Period ended September 30 (In millions)	Three Months		Nine Months	
	2006	2005	2006	2005
<b>General account</b>				
<b>Without hedge designation</b>				
Swaps	\$ (14)	\$ 52	\$ (8)	\$ 32
Futures sold, not yet purchased	(1)	1	1	1
Currency forwards	1	-	(1)	1
Options embedded in convertible debt securities	-	(6)	-	(33)
<b>Trading activities</b>				
Futures purchased	22	31	33	5
Futures sold, not yet purchased	(1)	-	1	-
Options purchased	-	(1)	-	(2)
Options written	-	1	-	2

**Total** \$ 7 \$ 78 \$ 26 \$ 6

A summary of the aggregate contractual or notional amounts and estimated fair values related to derivative financial instruments follows. The contractual or notional amounts for derivatives are used to calculate the exchange of

16

---

**Table of Contents**

**CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, Continued**  
**(UNAUDITED)**

contractual payments under the agreements and are not representative of the potential for gain or loss on these instruments.

**Derivative Financial Instruments**

<b>September 30, 2006</b> (In millions)	<b>Contractual/ Notional Amount</b>	<b>Estimated Fair Value Asset</b>	<b>Estimated Fair Value (Liability)</b>
<b>General account</b>			
<b>Without hedge designation</b>			
Swaps	\$ 3,691	\$ -	\$ (17)
Currency forwards	92	-	-
Equity warrants	6	2	-
Options embedded in convertible debt securities	12	1	-
<b>Trading activities</b>			
Futures purchased	659	-	-
Futures sold, not yet purchased	62	-	-
Currency forwards	34	-	(1)
<b>Total general account</b>	<b>\$ 4,556</b>	<b>\$ 3</b>	<b>\$ (18)</b>
<b>Separate accounts</b>			
Options written	\$ 7	\$ -	\$ -
<b>Total separate accounts</b>	<b>\$ 7</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ -</b>

**Derivative Financial Instruments**

<b>December 31, 2005</b> (In millions)	<b>Contractual/ Notional Amount</b>	<b>Estimated Fair Value Asset</b>	<b>Estimated Fair Value (Liability)</b>
<b>General account</b>			
<b>With hedge designation</b>			
Swaps	\$ 265	\$ -	\$ (1)
<b>Without hedge designation</b>			
Swaps	756	-	(8)
Currency forwards	15	-	-
Equity warrants	6	2	-

Options embedded in convertible debt securities	12	1	-
<b>Trading activities</b>			
Futures purchased	1,058	-	(4)
Futures sold, not yet purchased	166	-	-
Currency forwards	59	-	(1)
Commitments to purchase mortgage-backed securities	21	-	-
Options purchased	20	-	-
Options written	21	-	-
<b>Total general account</b>	<b>\$ 2,399</b>	<b>\$ 3</b>	<b>\$ (14)</b>
<b>Separate accounts</b>			
Options written	\$ 7	\$ -	\$ -
<b>Total separate accounts</b>	<b>\$ 7</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ -</b>

Options embedded in convertible debt securities are classified as fixed maturity securities on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets, consistent with the host instruments.

**Table of Contents****CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION  
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, Continued  
(UNAUDITED)****Note F. Income Taxes**

CNA and its eligible subsidiaries (CNA Tax Group) are included in the consolidated federal income tax return of Loews and its eligible subsidiaries.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2006, CNA received from Loews \$37 million, while it paid Loews \$272 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2005, related to federal income taxes. CNA's consolidated federal income taxes payable at September 30, 2006 reflects an \$85 million payable to Loews and a \$6 million payable related to affiliates less than 80% owned. At December 31, 2005, CNA's consolidated federal income taxes recoverable included a \$68 million recoverable from Loews and a \$6 million payable related to affiliates less than 80% owned.

The Loews consolidated federal income tax returns for 2002 through 2004 have been settled with the Internal Revenue Service (IRS), including related carryback claims for refund which were approved by the Joint Committee on Taxation in the third quarter of 2006. As a result, the Company recorded a federal income tax benefit of \$10 million, including a \$7 million tax benefit related to Discontinued Operations, resulting primarily from the release of federal income tax reserves, and net refund interest of \$2 million, net of tax, in the third quarter of 2006. The net refund interest was included in Other Revenues on the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006.

In 2006, the Company received from Loews \$63 million related to the net tax settlement for the 2002-2004 tax returns and \$4 million related to net refund interest. The net refund interest was included in Other Revenues on the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, and was reflected in the Corporate and Other Non-Core segment.

In 2005, the Loews consolidated federal income tax returns were settled with the IRS through 2001, as the tax returns for 1998-2001, including related carryback claims and prior claims for refund, were approved by the Joint Committee on Taxation. As a result, the Company recorded a federal income tax benefit of \$36 million and net refund interest of \$79 million, net of tax, in the second quarter of 2005. The tax benefit related primarily to the release of federal income tax reserves.

In 2005, the Company paid Loews \$37 million related to the net tax deficiency for the 1998-2001 tax returns and received from Loews \$121 million related to net refund interest. The net refund interest was included in Other Revenues on the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2005, and was reflected in the Corporate and Other Non-Core segment.

The federal income tax return for 2005 is currently under examination by the IRS. The Company believes the outcome of this examination will not have a material effect on the financial condition or results of operations of the Company.

**Note G. Legal Proceedings and Contingent Liabilities*****Insurance Brokerage Antitrust Litigation***

On August 1, 2005, CNAF and several of its insurance subsidiaries were joined as defendants, along with other insurers and brokers, in multidistrict litigation pending in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey, In re Insurance Brokerage Antitrust Litigation, Civil No. 04-5184 (FSH). The plaintiffs in this litigation allege improprieties in the payment of contingent commissions to brokers and bid rigging in connection with the sale of various lines of insurance. The plaintiffs further allege the existence of a conspiracy and assert claims for federal and state antitrust law violations, for violations of the federal Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act and for recovery under various state common law theories. By an order entered on October 3, 2006, the Court required the plaintiffs to supplement their pleadings with a statement setting forth the details of their claims. The Company believes it has meritorious defenses to this action and intends to defend the case vigorously.

The extent of losses beyond any amounts that may be accrued are not readily determinable at this time. However, based on facts and circumstances presently known, in the opinion of management, an unfavorable outcome will not materially affect the equity of the Company, although results of operations may be adversely affected.





**Table of Contents****CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION  
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, Continued  
(UNAUDITED)*****Global Crossing Limited Litigation***

CCC has been named as a defendant in an action brought by the bankruptcy estate of Global Crossing Limited (Global Crossing) in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York. In the Complaint, served on CCC on May 24, 2005, plaintiff seeks unspecified monetary damages from CCC and the other defendants for alleged fraudulent transfers and alleged breaches of fiduciary duties arising from actions taken by Global Crossing while CCC was a shareholder of Global Crossing. On August 3, 2006, the Court granted in part and denied in part CCC's motion to dismiss the Estate Representative's Amended Complaint. CCC believes it has meritorious defenses to the remaining claims in this action and intends to defend the case vigorously.

The extent of losses beyond any amounts that may be accrued are not readily determinable at this time. However, based on facts and circumstances presently known, in the opinion of management, an unfavorable outcome will not materially affect the equity of the Company, although results of operations may be adversely affected.

***IGI Contingency***

In 1997, CNA Reinsurance Company Limited (CNA Re Ltd.) entered into an arrangement with IOA Global, Ltd. (IOA), an independent managing general agent based in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, to develop and manage a book of accident and health coverages. Pursuant to this arrangement, IGI Underwriting Agencies, Ltd. (IGI), a personal accident reinsurance managing general underwriter, was appointed to underwrite and market the book under the supervision of IOA. Between April 1, 1997 and December 1, 1999, IGI underwrote a number of reinsurance arrangements with respect to personal accident insurance worldwide (the IGI Program). Under various arrangements, CNA Re Ltd. both assumed risks as a reinsurer and also ceded a substantial portion of those risks to other companies, including other CNA insurance subsidiaries and ultimately to a group of reinsurers participating in a reinsurance pool known as the Associated Accident and Health Reinsurance Underwriters (AAHRU) Facility. CNA's group operations business unit participated as a pool member in the AAHRU Facility in varying percentages between 1997 and 1999.

A portion of the premiums assumed under the IGI Program related to United States workers' compensation carve-out business. Some of these premiums were received from John Hancock Mutual Life Insurance Company (John Hancock) under four excess of loss reinsurance treaties (the Treaties) issued by CNA Re Ltd. While John Hancock has indicated that it is not able to accurately quantify its potential exposure to its cedents on business which is retroceded to CNA, John Hancock has reported \$266 million of paid and unpaid losses under these Treaties. John Hancock is disputing portions of its assumed obligations resulting in these reported losses, and has advised CNA that it is, or has been, involved in multiple arbitrations with its own cedents, in which proceedings John Hancock is seeking to avoid and/or reduce risks that would otherwise arguably be ceded to CNA through the Treaties. John Hancock has further informed CNA that it has settled several of these disputes, but has not provided CNA with details of the settlements. To the extent that John Hancock is successful in reducing its liabilities in these disputes, that development may have an impact on the recoveries it is seeking under the Treaties from CNA.

As indicated, CNA arranged substantial reinsurance protection to manage its exposures under the IGI Program, including the United States workers' compensation carve-out business ceded from John Hancock and other reinsurers. While certain reinsurers of CNA, including participants in the AAHRU Facility, disputed their liabilities under the reinsurance contracts with respect to the IGI Program, those disputes have been resolved and substantial reinsurance coverage exists for those exposures.

In addition, CNA has instituted arbitration proceedings against John Hancock in which CNA is seeking rescission of the Treaties as well as access to and the right to inspect the books and records relating to the Treaties. Discovery is ongoing in that arbitration proceeding and a hearing is currently scheduled for April 2007. Based on information known at this time, CNA believes it has strong grounds to successfully challenge its alleged exposure derived from John Hancock through the ongoing arbitration proceedings. CNA has also undertaken legal action seeking to avoid portions of the remaining exposure arising out of the IGI Program.

CNA has established reserves for its estimated exposure under the IGI Program, other than that derived from John Hancock, and an estimate for recoverables from retrocessionaires. CNA has not established any reserve for any

exposure derived from John Hancock because, as indicated, CNA believes the contract will be rescinded. Although the results of the Company's various loss mitigation strategies with respect to the entire IGI Program to date support the recorded reserves, the estimate of ultimate losses is subject to considerable uncertainty due to the complexities

**Table of Contents****CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION  
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, Continued  
(UNAUDITED)**

described above, and the Company's inability to guarantee any outcome in the arbitration proceedings. As a result of these uncertainties, the results of operations in future periods may be adversely affected by potentially significant reserve additions. However, the extent of losses beyond any amounts that may be accrued are not readily determinable at this time. Management does not believe that any such reserve additions would be material to the equity of the Company, although results of operations may be adversely affected. The Company's position in relation to the IGI Program was unaffected by the sale of CNA Re Ltd. in 2002.

***New Jersey Wage and Hour Litigation***

W. Curtis Himmelman, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated v. Continental Casualty Company, Civil Action: 06-166, District Court of New Jersey (Trenton Division) is a purported class action and representative action brought on behalf of present and former CNA environmental claims analysts and workers' compensation claims analysts asserting they worked hours for which they should have been compensated at a rate of one and one-half times their base hourly wage. The Complaint was filed on January 12, 2006. The claims were originally brought under both federal and New Jersey state wage and hour laws on the basis that the relevant jobs are not exempt from overtime pay because the duties performed are not exempt duties. On August 11, 2006, the Court dismissed plaintiff's New Jersey state law claims. Under federal law, plaintiff seeks to represent others similarly situated who opt in to the action and who also allege they are owed overtime pay for hours worked over eight hours per day and/or forty hours per workweek for the period January 5, 2003 to the entry of judgment. Plaintiff seeks overtime compensation, compensatory, punitive and statutory damages, interest, costs and disbursements and attorneys' fees without specifying any particular amounts (as well as an injunction). The Company denies the material allegations of the Complaint and intends to vigorously contest the claims on numerous substantive and procedural grounds.

The extent of losses beyond any amounts that may be accrued are not readily determinable at this time. However, based on facts and circumstances presently known, in the opinion of management, an unfavorable outcome will not materially affect the equity of the Company, although results of operations may be adversely affected.

***Voluntary Market Premium Litigation***

CNA, along with dozens of other insurance companies, is currently a defendant in nine cases, including eight purported class actions, brought by large policyholders. The complaints differ in some respects, but generally allege that the defendants, as part of an industry-wide conspiracy, included improper charges in their retrospectively rated and other loss-sensitive insurance programs. Among the claims asserted are violations of state antitrust laws, breach of contract, fraud and unjust enrichment. The Company has denied the material allegations made in these cases and has entered into a settlement agreement which is subject to court approval. The Company previously recorded a liability in anticipation of this settlement, therefore resolution of this matter is not expected to have a material impact on results of operations.

***Asbestos, Environmental Pollution and Mass Tort (APMT) Reserves***

CNA is also a party to litigation and claims related to APMT cases arising in the ordinary course of business. See Note H for further discussion.

***Other Litigation***

CNA is also a party to other litigation arising in the ordinary course of business. Based on the facts and circumstances currently known, such other litigation will not, in the opinion of management, materially affect the results of operations or equity of CNA.

**Note H. Claim and Claim Adjustment Expense Reserves**

CNA's property and casualty insurance claim and claim adjustment expense reserves represent the estimated amounts necessary to settle all outstanding claims, including claims that are incurred but not reported (IBNR) as of the reporting date. The Company's reserve projections are based primarily on detailed analysis of the facts in each case, CNA's experience with similar cases and various historical development patterns. Consideration is given to such historical patterns as field reserving trends and claims settlement practices, loss payments, pending levels of



**Table of Contents**

**CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, Continued**  
**(UNAUDITED)**

unpaid claims and product mix, as well as court decisions, economic conditions and public attitudes. All of these factors can affect the estimation of claim and claim adjustment expense reserves.

Establishing claim and claim adjustment expense reserves, including claim and claim adjustment expense reserves for catastrophic events that have occurred, is an estimation process. Many factors can ultimately affect the final settlement of a claim and, therefore, the necessary reserve. Changes in the law, results of litigation, medical costs, the cost of repair materials and labor rates can all affect ultimate claim costs. In addition, time can be a critical part of reserving determinations since the longer the span between the incidence of a loss and the payment or settlement of the claim, the more variable the ultimate settlement amount can be. Accordingly, short-tail claims, such as property damage claims, tend to be more reasonably estimable than long-tail claims, such as general liability and professional liability claims. Adjustments to prior year reserve estimates, if necessary, are reflected in the results of operations in the period that the need for such adjustments is determined.

Catastrophes are an inherent risk of the property and casualty insurance business and have contributed to material period-to-period fluctuations in the Company's results of operations and/or equity. The level of catastrophe losses experienced in any period cannot be predicted and can be material to the results of operations and/or equity of the Company. Catastrophe losses, net of reinsurance, were \$22 million and \$437 million for the three months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 and \$40 million and \$443 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005. The catastrophe losses in 2005 related primarily to Hurricanes Katrina, Dennis, Ophelia and Rita. There can be no assurance that CNA's ultimate cost for catastrophes will not exceed estimates.

Commercial catastrophe losses, gross of reinsurance, were \$22 million and \$797 million for the three months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 and \$40 million and \$803 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005.

Claim and claim adjustment expense reserves are presented net of amounts due from insureds related to losses under high deductible policies. The Company has an allowance for uncollectible deductible amounts, which is presented as a component of the allowance for doubtful accounts for insurance receivables.

The following tables summarize the gross and net carried reserves as of September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005.

**September 30, 2006****Gross and Net Carried**

Claim and Claim Adjustment Expense Reserves  (In millions)	<b>Life and Corporate and Other Non-Core</b>				<b>Total</b>
	<b>Standard Lines</b>	<b>Specialty Lines</b>	<b>Group Non-Core</b>	<b>Non-Core</b>	
Gross Case Reserves	\$ 6,843	\$ 1,724	\$ 2,443	\$ 2,766	\$ 13,776
Gross IBNR Reserves	7,979	3,783	826	3,777	16,365
<b>Total Gross Carried Claim and Claim Adjustment Expense Reserves</b>	<b>\$ 14,822</b>	<b>\$ 5,507</b>	<b>\$ 3,269</b>	<b>\$ 6,543</b>	<b>\$ 30,141</b>
Net Case Reserves	\$ 5,117	\$ 1,352	\$ 1,483	\$ 1,390	\$ 9,342

Net IBNR Reserves	6,484	2,813	388	2,023	11,708
<b>Total Net Carried Claim and Claim Adjustment Expense Reserves</b>	<b>\$ 11,601</b>	<b>\$ 4,165</b>	<b>\$ 1,871</b>	<b>\$ 3,413</b>	<b>\$ 21,050</b>

**Table of Contents**

**CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, Continued**  
**(UNAUDITED)**  
**December 31, 2005**

**Gross and Net Carried****Claim and Claim Adjustment Expense Reserves**

(In millions)	<b>Standard Lines</b>	<b>Specialty Lines</b>	<b>Life and Group Non-Core</b>	<b>Corporate and Other Non-Core</b>	<b>Total</b>
Gross Case Reserves	\$ 7,033	\$ 1,907	\$ 2,542	\$ 3,297	\$ 14,779
Gross IBNR Reserves	8,051	3,298	735	4,075	16,159
<b>Total Gross Carried Claim and Claim Adjustment Expense Reserves</b>	<b>\$ 15,084</b>	<b>\$ 5,205</b>	<b>\$ 3,277</b>	<b>\$ 7,372</b>	<b>\$ 30,938</b>
Net Case Reserves	\$ 5,165	\$ 1,442	\$ 1,456	\$ 1,554	\$ 9,617
Net IBNR Reserves	6,081	2,352	381	1,902	10,716
<b>Total Net Carried Claim and Claim Adjustment Expense Reserves</b>	<b>\$ 11,246</b>	<b>\$ 3,794</b>	<b>\$ 1,837</b>	<b>\$ 3,456</b>	<b>\$ 20,333</b>

The following provides discussion of the Company's Asbestos, Environmental Pollution and Mass Tort (APMT) and core reserves.

**APMT Reserves**

CNA's property and casualty insurance subsidiaries have actual and potential exposures related to APMT claims.

Establishing reserves for APMT claim and claim adjustment expenses is subject to uncertainties that are greater than those presented by other claims. Traditional actuarial methods and techniques employed to estimate the ultimate cost of claims for more traditional property and casualty exposures are less precise in estimating claim and claim adjustment expense reserves for APMT, particularly in an environment of emerging or potential claims and coverage issues that arise from industry practices and legal, judicial and social conditions. Therefore, these traditional actuarial methods and techniques are necessarily supplemented with additional estimating techniques and methodologies, many of which involve significant judgments that are required of management. Accordingly, a high degree of uncertainty remains for the Company's ultimate liability for APMT claim and claim adjustment expenses.

In addition to the difficulties described above, estimating the ultimate cost of both reported and unreported APMT claims is subject to a higher degree of variability due to a number of additional factors, including among others: the number and outcome of direct actions against the Company; coverage issues, including whether certain costs are covered under the policies and whether policy limits apply; allocation of liability among numerous parties, some of whom may be in bankruptcy proceedings, and in particular the application of joint and several liability to specific insurers on a risk; inconsistent court decisions and developing legal theories; continuing aggressive tactics of plaintiffs lawyers; the risks and lack of predictability inherent in major litigation; enactment of federal legislation to address



asbestos claims; an increase in asbestos, environmental pollution and mass tort claims which cannot now be anticipated; an increase in costs to defend asbestos, pollution and mass tort claims; expanding liability against the Company's policyholders in environmental and mass tort matters; broadened scope of clean-up resulting in increased liability to the Company's policyholders; a further increase of claims and claims payments that may exhaust underlying umbrella and excess coverage at accelerated rates; and future developments pertaining to the Company's ability to recover reinsurance for asbestos, pollution and mass tort claims.

CNA has annually performed ground up reviews of all open APMT claims to evaluate the adequacy of the Company's APMT reserves. In performing its comprehensive ground up analysis, the Company considers input from its professionals with direct responsibility for

**Table of Contents****CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, Continued****(UNAUDITED)**

the claims, inside and outside counsel with responsibility for representation of the Company and its actuarial staff. These professionals review, among many factors, the policyholder's present and predicted future exposures, including such factors as claims volume, trial conditions, prior settlement history, settlement demands and defense costs; the impact of asbestos defendant bankruptcies on the policyholder; the policies issued by CNA, including such factors as aggregate or per occurrence limits, whether the policy is primary, umbrella or excess, and the existence of policyholder retentions and/or deductibles; the existence of other insurance; and reinsurance arrangements.

The following table provides data related to CNA's APMT claim and claim adjustment expense reserves.

**APMT Reserves**

(In millions)	September 30, 2006		December 31, 2005	
	Asbestos	Environmental Pollution and Mass Tort	Asbestos	Environmental Pollution and Mass Tort
Gross reserves	\$ 2,735	\$ 585	\$ 2,992	\$ 680
Ceded reserves	(1,255)	(220)	(1,438)	(257)
<b>Net reserves</b>	<b>\$ 1,480</b>	<b>\$ 365</b>	<b>\$ 1,554</b>	<b>\$ 423</b>

***Asbestos***

CNA's property and casualty insurance subsidiaries have exposure to asbestos-related claims. Estimation of asbestos-related claim and claim adjustment expense reserves involves limitations such as inconsistency of court decisions, specific policy provisions, allocation of liability among insurers and insureds, and additional factors such as missing policies and proof of coverage. Furthermore, estimation of asbestos-related claims is difficult due to, among other reasons, the proliferation of bankruptcy proceedings and attendant uncertainties, the targeting of a broader range of businesses and entities as defendants, the uncertainty as to which other insureds may be targeted in the future and the uncertainties inherent in predicting the number of future claims.

As of September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, CNA carried approximately \$1,480 million and \$1,554 million of claim and claim adjustment expense reserves, net of reinsurance recoverables, for reported and unreported asbestos-related claims. The Company recorded \$1 million of unfavorable asbestos-related net claim and claim adjustment expense reserve development for the three months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005. The Company paid asbestos-related claims, net of reinsurance recoveries, of \$26 million and \$42 million for the three months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005. The Company recorded \$2 million and \$8 million of unfavorable asbestos-related net claim and claim adjustment expense reserve development for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005. The Company paid asbestos-related claims, net of reinsurance recoveries, of \$76 million and \$115 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005.

Certain asbestos claim litigation in which CNA is currently engaged is described below:

The ultimate cost of reported claims, and in particular APMT claims, is subject to a great many uncertainties, including future developments of various kinds that CNA does not control and that are difficult or impossible to foresee accurately. With respect to the litigation identified below in particular, numerous factual and legal issues remain unresolved. Rulings on those issues by the courts are critical to the evaluation of the ultimate cost to the Company. The outcome of the litigation cannot be predicted with any reliability. Accordingly, the extent of losses beyond any amounts that may be accrued are not readily determinable at this time.

On February 13, 2003, CNA announced it had resolved asbestos related coverage litigation and claims involving A.P. Green Industries, A.P. Green Services and Bigelow Liptak Corporation. Under the agreement, CNA is required to pay \$74 million, net of reinsurance recoveries, over a ten year period commencing after the final approval of a bankruptcy plan of reorganization. The settlement resolves CNA's liabilities for all pending and future asbestos and silica claims involving A.P. Green Industries, Bigelow Liptak Corporation and related subsidiaries, including alleged non-products exposures. The settlement received initial bankruptcy court approval on August 18, 2003 and the court is scheduled to consider confirmation of a bankruptcy plan containing an injunction to protect CNA from any future claims by the end of 2006.

**Table of Contents****CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION  
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, Continued  
(UNAUDITED)**

CNA is engaged in insurance coverage litigation in New York State Court, filed in 2003, with a defendant class of underlying plaintiffs who have asbestos bodily injury claims against the former Robert A. Keasbey Company (Keasbey) (Continental Casualty Co. v. Employers Ins. of Wausau et al., No. 601037/03 (N.Y. County)). Keasbey, a currently dissolved corporation, was a seller and installer of asbestos-containing insulation products in New York and New Jersey. Thousands of plaintiffs have filed bodily injury claims against Keasbey; however, Keasbey's involvement at a number of work sites is a highly contested issue. Therefore, the defense disputes the percentage of valid claims against Keasbey. CNA issued Keasbey primary policies for 1970-1987 and excess policies for 1972-1978. CNA has paid an amount substantially equal to the policies' aggregate limits for products and completed operations claims in the confirmed CNA policies. Claimants against Keasbey allege, among other things, that CNA owes coverage under sections of the policies not subject to the aggregate limits, an allegation CNA vigorously contests in the lawsuit. In the litigation, CNA and the claimants seek declaratory relief as to the interpretation of various policy provisions. The court dismissed a claim alleging bad faith and seeking unspecified damages on March 21, 2004; that ruling was affirmed on March 31, 2005 by Appellate Division, First Department. The trial in the Keasbey coverage action commenced on July 13, 2005; closing arguments concluded on October 28, 2005. The Court reopened the record in January 2006 for additional evidentiary submissions and briefing, and additional closing arguments were held March 27, 2006. It is unclear when the Company will have a decision from the trial court. With respect to this litigation in particular, numerous factual and legal issues remain to be resolved that are critical to the final result, the outcome of which cannot be predicted with any reliability. These factors include, among others: (a) whether the Company has any further responsibility to compensate claimants against Keasbey under its policies and, if so, under which policies; (b) whether the Company's responsibilities extend to a particular claimant's entire claim or only to a limited percentage of the claim; (c) whether the Company's responsibilities under its policies are limited by the occurrence limits or other provisions of the policies; (d) whether certain exclusions in some of the policies apply to exclude certain claims; (e) the extent to which claimants can establish exposures to asbestos materials as to which Keasbey has any responsibility; (f) the legal theories which must be pursued by such claimants to establish the liability of Keasbey and whether such theories can, in fact, be established; (g) the diseases and damages alleged by such claimants; and (h) the extent that such liability would be shared with other responsible parties. Accordingly, the extent of losses beyond any amounts that may be accrued are not readily determinable at this time.

CNA has insurance coverage disputes related to asbestos bodily injury claims against a bankrupt insured, Burns & Roe Enterprises, Inc. (Burns & Roe). These disputes are currently part of coverage litigation (stayed in view of the bankruptcy) and an adversary proceeding in *In re: Burns & Roe Enterprises, Inc.*, pending in the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the District of New Jersey, No. 00-41610. Burns & Roe provided engineering and related services in connection with construction projects. At the time of its bankruptcy filing, on December 4, 2000, Burns & Roe asserted that it faced approximately 11,000 claims alleging bodily injury resulting from exposure to asbestos as a result of construction projects in which Burns & Roe was involved. CNA allegedly provided primary liability coverage to Burns & Roe from 1956-1969 and 1971-1974, along with certain project-specific policies from 1964-1970. The litigation involves disputes over the confirmation of the Plan of Reorganization in bankruptcy, the scope and extent of coverage, if any, afforded to Burns & Roe for its asbestos liabilities. On December 5, 2005, Burns & Roe filed its Third Amended Plan of Reorganization (Plan). A confirmation hearing relating to that Plan is anticipated in 2007. Coverage issues will be determined in a later proceeding. With respect to both confirmation of the Plan and coverage issues, numerous factual and legal issues remain to be resolved that are critical to the final result, the outcome of which cannot be predicted with any reliability. These factors include, among others: (a) whether the Company has any further responsibility to compensate claimants against Burns & Roe under its policies and, if so, under which; (b) whether the Company's responsibilities under its policies extend to a particular claimant's entire claim or only to a limited percentage of the claim; (c) whether the Company's responsibilities under its policies are limited by the occurrence limits or other provisions of the policies; (d) whether certain exclusions, including professional liability exclusions, in some of the Company's policies apply to exclude certain claims; (e) the extent to which

claimants can establish exposure to asbestos materials as to which Burns & Roe has any responsibility; (f) the legal theories which must be pursued by such claimants to establish the liability of Burns & Roe and whether such theories can, in fact, be established; (g) the diseases and damages alleged by such claimants; (h) the extent that any liability of Burns & Roe would be shared with other potentially responsible parties; and (i) the impact of bankruptcy proceedings on claims and coverage issue resolution. Accordingly, the extent of losses beyond any amounts that may be accrued are not readily determinable at this time.

**Table of Contents****CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION  
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, Continued  
(UNAUDITED)**

Suits have also been initiated directly against two CNA companies and numerous other insurers in four jurisdictions: Ohio, Texas, West Virginia and Montana. In the approximately 70 Ohio actions filed to date, plaintiffs initially alleged that the defendants negligently performed duties undertaken to protect workers and the public from the effects of asbestos, spoliated evidence and conspired and acted in concert to harm the plaintiffs. (E.g. *Varner v. Ford Motor Co.*, (Ohio Ct. Common Pl., filed June 12, 2003); *Peplowski v. ACE American Ins. Co.*, (N.D. Ohio, filed April 1, 2004) and *Cross v. Garlock, Inc.* (Ohio Ct. Common Pl., filed September 1, 2004)). In the most recent of these cases, plaintiffs have made only negligent undertaking claims against the insurers. (E.g., *Ball v. Goodyear Tire & Rubber Co.* (Ohio Ct. Common Pl., filed May 16, 2005)). The Cuyahoga County court granted insurers, including CNA, dismissals against an initial group of plaintiffs, ruling that insurers had no duty to warn plaintiffs about the dangers of asbestos and that there was no basis for spoliation, conspiracy and concert of action claims. That ruling was affirmed on appeal. *Bugg v. Am. Std., Inc., No. 84829* (Ohio Ct. App. May 26, 2005). The Cuyahoga County court has continued to dismiss substantially similar types of complaints and plaintiffs have either failed to appeal the dismissals or have voluntarily dismissed their appeals. Nonetheless, plaintiffs continued to file additional similar suits, although at this point, all cases in that court have been dismissed. The only case that remains pending at this time is *Peplowski*, which was transferred to the federal Multi-District Litigation court in October 2004 and has been dormant since then. With respect to this litigation, numerous factual and legal issues remain to be resolved that are critical to the final result, the outcome of which cannot be predicted with any reliability. These factors include: (a) the speculative nature and unclear scope of any alleged duties owed to individuals exposed to asbestos and the resulting uncertainty as to the potential pool of potential claimants; (b) the fact that imposing such duties on all insurer and non-insurer corporate defendants would be unprecedented and, therefore, the legal boundaries of recovery are difficult to estimate; (c) the fact that many of the claims brought to date may be barred by various Statutes of Limitation and it is unclear whether future claims would also be barred; (d) the unclear nature of the required nexus between the acts of the defendants and the right of any particular claimant to recovery; and (e) the existence of hundreds of co-defendants in some of the suits and the applicability of the legal theories pled by the claimants to thousands of potential defendants. Accordingly, the extent of losses beyond any amounts that may be accrued are not readily determinable at this time.

Similar lawsuits were filed in Texas beginning in 2002, against two CNA companies and numerous other insurers and non-insurer corporate defendants asserting liability for failing to warn of the dangers of asbestos (E.g. *Boson v. Union Carbide Corp.*, (Nueces County, Texas)). During 2003, many of the Texas suits were dismissed as time-barred by the applicable Statute of Limitations. In other suits, the carriers argued that they did not owe any duty to the plaintiffs or the general public to advise the world generally or the plaintiffs particularly of the effects of asbestos and that Texas statutes precluded liability for such claims, and two Texas courts dismissed these suits. Certain of the Texas courts rulings were appealed, but plaintiffs later dismissed their appeals. More recently, a different Texas court denied similar motions seeking dismissal at the pleading stage, allowing limited discovery to proceed. After that court denied a related challenge to jurisdiction, the insurers transferred those cases, among others, to a state multi-district litigation court in Harris County charged with handling asbestos cases, and the cases remain in that court. The insurers have petitioned the appellate court in Houston for an order of mandamus, requiring the multi-district litigation court to dismiss the cases on jurisdictional and substantive grounds. With respect to this litigation in particular, numerous factual and legal issues remain to be resolved that are critical to the final result, the outcome of which cannot be predicted with any reliability. These factors include: (a) the speculative nature and unclear scope of any alleged duties owed to individuals exposed to asbestos and the resulting uncertainty as to the potential pool of potential claimants; (b) the fact that imposing such duties on all insurer and non-insurer corporate defendants would be unprecedented and, therefore, the legal boundaries of recovery are difficult to estimate; (c) the fact that many of the claims brought to date are barred by various Statutes of Limitation and it is unclear whether future claims would also be barred; (d) the unclear nature of the required nexus between the acts of the defendants and the right of any particular claimant to recovery; and (e) the existence of hundreds of co-defendants in some of the suits and the applicability of the legal theories pled by the claimants to thousands of potential defendants. Accordingly, the extent of losses beyond any

amounts that may be accrued are not readily determinable at this time.

CCC was named in Adams v. Aetna, Inc., et al. (Circuit Court of Kanawha County, West Virginia, Nos, 0-2C-1708 to -1719, filed June 28, 2002), a purported class action against CCC and other insurers, alleging that the defendants violated West Virginia's Unfair Trade Practices Act ( UTPA ) in handling and resolving asbestos claims against five specifically named asbestos defendants. The Adams litigation had been stayed pending a planned motion by

**Table of Contents****CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION  
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, Continued  
(UNAUDITED)**

plaintiffs to file an amended complaint that reflected two June 2004 decisions of the West Virginia Supreme Court of Appeals. In June 2005, the court presiding over Adams and three similar putative class actions against other insurers, on its own motion, directed plaintiffs to file any amended complaints by June 13, 2005, and directed the parties to agree upon a case management order that would result in trial being commenced by July 2006. Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint greatly expands the scope of the action against the insurers, including CCC. Under the Amended Complaint, the defendant insurers, including CCC, have now been sued for alleged violations of the UTPA in connection with handling and resolving asbestos personal injury and wrongful death claims in West Virginia courts against all their insureds if those claims were resolved before June 30, 2001. CCC, along with other insurer defendants removed the Adams case to Federal court, Adams v. Ins. Co. of North America (INA) et al. (S.D. W. Va. No. 2:05-CV-0527). A motion by plaintiffs to remand the case to state courts was granted on March 30, 2006. Following remand to state court, CCC's motion to dismiss the Amended Complaint was denied as to living plaintiffs, but granted as to claims brought by two estates, and CCC subsequently answered the Amended Complaint, as it had been narrowed by the plaintiffs in the interim. As narrowed, the Amended Complaint continues to seek compensatory damages for the alleged delay in resolving plaintiffs' underlying asbestos claims and for aggravation allegedly caused by that delay and punitive damages, but no longer seeks damages for the difference between the amount plaintiffs received in their underlying asbestos settlement and what they claim they should have received, damages for increased attorneys' fees and litigation expenses, and damages for loss by spouses of consortium. The trial court has stated that it intends for trial in the case to commence in July 2007. On September 18, 2006, CCC reached a settlement with plaintiffs conditioned upon court approval, and completion of satisfactory documentation, among other conditions. In the event the settlement is not consummated, numerous factual and legal issues would determine the final result in Adams, the outcome of which cannot be predicted with any reliability. These issues include: (a) the legal sufficiency and factual validity of the novel statutory claims pled by the claimants; (b) the applicability of claimants' legal theories to insurers who issued excess policies and/or neither defended nor controlled the defense of certain policyholders; (c) the possibility that certain of the claims are barred by various Statutes of Limitation; (d) the fact that the imposition of duties would interfere with the attorney-client privilege and the contractual rights and responsibilities of the parties to the Company's insurance policies; (e) whether plaintiffs' claims are barred in whole or in part by injunctions that have been issued by bankruptcy courts that are overseeing, or that have overseen, the bankruptcies of various insureds; (f) whether some or all of the named plaintiffs or members of the plaintiff class have released CCC from the claims alleged in the Amended Complaint when they resolved their underlying asbestos claims; (g) the appropriateness of the case for class action treatment; and (h) the potential and relative magnitude of liabilities of co-defendants. Accordingly, the extent of losses beyond any amounts that may be accrued are not readily determinable at this time.

On March 22, 2002, a direct action was filed in Montana (Pennock, et al. v. Maryland Casualty, et al. First Judicial District Court of Lewis & Clark County, Montana) by eight individual plaintiffs (all employees of W.R. Grace & Co. (W.R. Grace)) and their spouses against CNA, Maryland Casualty and the State of Montana. This action alleges that the carriers failed to warn of or otherwise protect W.R. Grace employees from the dangers of asbestos at a W.R. Grace vermiculite mining facility in Libby, Montana. The Montana direct action is currently stayed because of W.R. Grace's pending bankruptcy. With respect to such claims, numerous factual and legal issues remain to be resolved that are critical to the final result, the outcome of which cannot be predicted with any reliability. These factors include: (a) the unclear nature and scope of any alleged duties owed to people exposed to asbestos and the resulting uncertainty as to the potential pool of potential claimants; (b) the potential application of Statutes of Limitation to many of the claims which may be made depending on the nature and scope of the alleged duties; (c) the unclear nature of the required nexus between the acts of the defendants and the right of any particular claimant to recovery; (d) the diseases and damages claimed by such claimants; (e) the extent that such liability would be shared with other potentially responsible parties; and (f) the impact of bankruptcy proceedings on claims resolution. Accordingly, the extent of losses beyond any amounts that may be accrued are not readily determinable at this time.



CNA is vigorously defending these and other cases and believes that it has meritorious defenses to the claims asserted. However, there are numerous factual and legal issues to be resolved in connection with these claims, and it is extremely difficult to predict the outcome or ultimate financial exposure represented by these matters. Adverse developments with respect to any of these matters could have a material adverse effect on CNA's business, insurer financial strength and debt ratings, results of operations and/or equity.

**Table of Contents****CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION  
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, Continued  
(UNAUDITED)*****Environmental Pollution and Mass Tort***

As of September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, CNA carried approximately \$365 million and \$423 million of claim and claim adjustment expense reserves, net of reinsurance recoverables, for reported and unreported environmental pollution and mass tort claims. There was no environmental pollution and mass tort net claim and claim adjustment expense reserve development recorded for the nine months ended September 30, 2006. There was \$3 million of unfavorable environmental pollution and mass tort net claim and claim adjustment expense reserve development recorded for the nine months ended September 30, 2005. The Company recorded \$30 million and \$15 million of current accident year losses related to mass tort for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005. The Company paid environmental pollution-related claims and mass tort-related claims, net of reinsurance recoveries, of \$88 million and \$113 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005.

***Net Prior Year Development***

Favorable net prior year development of \$1 million was recorded for the three months ended September 30, 2006, including \$16 million of unfavorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development and \$17 million of favorable premium development. Unfavorable net prior year development of \$56 million, including \$49 million of unfavorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development and \$7 million of unfavorable premium development, was recorded for the three months ended September 30, 2005.

Unfavorable net prior year development of \$1 million was recorded for the nine months ended September 30, 2006, including \$96 million of unfavorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development and \$95 million of favorable premium development. Unfavorable net prior year development of \$216 million, including \$344 million of unfavorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development and \$128 million of favorable premium development, was recorded for the nine months ended September 30, 2005.

The development discussed below includes premium development due to its direct relationship to claim and claim adjustment expense reserve development. The development discussed below excludes the impact of the provision for uncollectible reinsurance. See Note I for further discussion of the provision for uncollectible reinsurance.

In 2005, the Company recorded favorable or unfavorable premium and claim and claim adjustment expense reserve development related to the corporate aggregate reinsurance treaties as movements in the claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserves for the accident years covered by the corporate aggregate reinsurance treaties indicate such development was required. While the available limit of these treaties was fully utilized in 2003, the ceded premiums and losses for an individual segment may have changed in subsequent years because of the re-estimation of the subject losses or commutations of the underlying contracts. In 2005, the Company commuted a significant corporate aggregate reinsurance treaty and in the third quarter of 2006, the Company commuted its remaining corporate aggregate reinsurance treaty. See Note I for further discussion of the corporate aggregate reinsurance treaties.

The following discussion includes the net prior year development recorded for Standard Lines, Specialty Lines and Corporate and Other Non-Core. Unfavorable net prior year development of \$10 million and \$31 million was recorded in the Life and Group Non-Core segment for the three months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005. Unfavorable net prior year development of \$8 million and favorable net prior year development of \$11 million was recorded in the Life and Group Non-Core segment for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005.

**Table of Contents**

**CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, Continued**  
**(UNAUDITED)**

**Net Prior Year Development****For the three months ended September 30, 2006**

	<b>Standard Lines</b>	<b>Specialty Lines</b>	<b>Corporate and Other Non-Core</b>	<b>Total</b>
(In millions)				
Pretax unfavorable (favorable) net prior year claim and allocated claim adjustment expense development:				
Core (Non-APMT)	\$ 6	\$ (4)	\$ 2	\$ 4
APMT	-	-	1	1
Pretax unfavorable (favorable) net prior year development before impact of premium development	6	(4)	3	5
Total unfavorable (favorable) premium development	(19)	6	(3)	(16)
<b>Total unfavorable (favorable) net prior year development (pretax)</b>	<b>\$ (13)</b>	<b>\$ 2</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ (11)</b>

**Net Prior Year Development****For the three months ended September 30, 2005**

	<b>Standard Lines</b>	<b>Specialty Lines</b>	<b>Corporate and Other Non-Core</b>	<b>Total</b>
(In millions)				
Pretax unfavorable (favorable) net prior year claim and allocated claim adjustment expense development excluding the impact of the corporate aggregate reinsurance treaties:				
Core (Non-APMT)	\$ 18	\$ (7)	\$ (1)	\$ 10
APMT	-	-	1	1
Pretax unfavorable (favorable) net prior year development before impact of premium	18	(7)	-	11

development

Unfavorable premium development, excluding impact of corporate aggregate reinsurance treaties	8	6	1	15
Ceded premiums related to corporate aggregate reinsurance treaties	(1)	-	-	(1)
Total premium development	7	6	1	14
<b>Total unfavorable (favorable) net prior year development (pretax)</b>	<b>\$ 25</b>	<b>\$ (1)</b>	<b>\$ 1</b>	<b>\$ 25</b>

28

**Table of Contents**

**CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, Continued**  
**(UNAUDITED)**

**Net Prior Year Development**

For the nine months ended September 30, 2006

	Standard Lines	Specialty Lines	Corporate and Other Non-Core	Total
(In millions)				
Pretax unfavorable (favorable) net prior year claim and allocated claim adjustment expense development excluding the impact of the corporate aggregate reinsurance treaty:				
Core (Non-APMT)	\$ 70	\$ (1)	\$ 13	\$ 82
APMT	-	-	2	2
Total	70	(1)	15	84
Ceded losses related to corporate aggregate reinsurance treaty	-	-	-	-
Pretax unfavorable (favorable) net prior year development before impact of premium development				
	70	(1)	15	84
Unfavorable (favorable) premium development, excluding impact of corporate aggregate reinsurance treaty				
	(92)	-	1	(91)
Ceded premiums related to corporate aggregate reinsurance treaty	-	-	-	-
Total premium development	(92)	-	1	(91)
<b>Total unfavorable (favorable) net prior year development (pretax)</b>	<b>\$ (22)</b>	<b>\$ (1)</b>	<b>\$ 16</b>	<b>\$ (7)</b>

**Net Prior Year Development**

For the nine months ended September 30, 2005

	Standard	Specialty	Corporate and Other
--	----------	-----------	---------------------------

Edgar Filing: GNA FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-Q

(In millions)	<b>Lines</b>	<b>Lines</b>	<b>Non-Core</b>	<b>Total</b>
Pretax unfavorable net prior year claim and allocated claim adjustment expense development excluding the impact of the corporate aggregate reinsurance treaties:				
Core (Non-APMT)	\$ 183	\$ 56	\$ 57	\$ 296
APMT	-	-	11	11
<b>Total</b>	<b>183</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>307</b>
Ceded losses related to corporate aggregate reinsurance treaties	19	(25)	6	-
Pretax unfavorable net prior year development before impact of premium development	202	31	74	307
Unfavorable (favorable) premium development, excluding impact of corporate aggregate reinsurance treaties	(99)	(9)	11	(97)
Ceded premiums related to corporate aggregate reinsurance treaties	(6)	19	4	17
<b>Total premium development</b>	<b>(105)</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>(80)</b>
<b>Total unfavorable net prior year development (pretax)</b>	<b>\$ 97</b>	<b>\$ 41</b>	<b>\$ 89</b>	<b>\$ 227</b>

**Table of Contents**

**CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION  
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, Continued  
(UNAUDITED)**

**Three Month Comparison**

***2006 Net Prior Year Development***

**Standard Lines**

Favorable net prior year development of \$13 million was recorded for the three months ended September 30, 2006, including \$6 million of unfavorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development and \$19 million of favorable premium development.

Approximately \$21 million of unfavorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development was due to higher frequency and severity on claims related to excess workers' compensation, in accident years 2005 and prior. The primary drivers of the higher frequency and severity were increasing medical inflation and advances in medical care. Medical inflation and advances in medical care result in additional claims reaching the excess layers covered by the Company and increases the size of claims already in the excess layers.

Approximately \$8 million of unfavorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development related to continued increases in individual claim reserve estimates on commercial auto business, in accident years 2005 and 2004. The increase is primarily due to larger claims. These changes in individual claim estimates result in higher projections of ultimate loss from the incurred development and average loss methods used by the Company's actuaries.

Approximately \$30 million of favorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development was due to decreased frequency and severity on claims related to monoline and package liability, primarily in accident years 2002 and prior. The change was driven by decreased incurred losses as a result of changes in individual claim reserve estimates. The lower incurred losses were less than expected based on the loss development factors selected by the Company's actuaries.

Approximately \$14 million of the favorable premium development was due to additional premium primarily resulting from audits and changes to premium on several ceded reinsurance agreements. Businesses impacted included various middle market liability coverages, workers' compensation, property, and large accounts. Unfavorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development of approximately \$9 million was recorded as a result of this favorable premium development.

**Specialty Lines**

Unfavorable net prior year development of \$2 million was recorded for the three months ended September 30, 2006, including \$4 million of favorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development and \$6 million of unfavorable premium development.

**Corporate and Other Non-Core**

There was \$3 million of unfavorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development and \$3 million of favorable premium development, resulting in no net prior year development for the three months ended September 30, 2006.

**Table of Contents****CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION  
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, Continued  
(UNAUDITED)*****2005 Net Prior Year Development*****Standard Lines**

Unfavorable net prior year development of \$25 million was recorded for the three months ended September 30, 2005, including \$18 million of unfavorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development and \$7 million of unfavorable premium development.

Approximately \$33 million of unfavorable net prior year claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development resulted from increased claim cost inflation for workers' compensation, primarily in accident year 2001 and prior. The primary drivers of the increased claim cost inflation were increasing medical inflation and advances in medical care. Approximately \$23 million of favorable net prior year claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development was recorded due to continued improvement in the severity and number of claims for property coverages, primarily in accident year 2004. The improvements in severity and frequency are substantially due to underwriting actions taken by the Company that have significantly improved the results on this business. Underwriting actions taken included efforts to write more business in non-catastrophe prone areas.

Approximately \$35 million of unfavorable net prior year claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development was attributed to increased severity in liability coverages for large account policies. These increases are driven by increasing medical inflation and larger verdicts than anticipated, both of which increased the severity of these claims beyond the amount indicated by previous incurred development methods. The remainder of the favorable net prior year claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development was primarily a result of improved experience on several coverages on middle market business mainly in accident year 2004.

**Specialty Lines**

Favorable net prior year development of \$1 million was recorded for the three months ended September 30, 2005, including \$7 million of favorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development and \$6 million of unfavorable premium development.

**Corporate and Other Non-Core**

Unfavorable premium development of \$1 million was recorded for the three months ended September 30, 2005. There was no claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development recorded for the three months ended September 30, 2005.

**Nine Month Comparison*****2006 Net Prior Year Development*****Standard Lines**

Favorable net prior year development of \$22 million was recorded for the nine months ended September 30, 2006, including \$70 million of unfavorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development and \$92 million of favorable premium development.

Approximately \$41 million of unfavorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development was primarily due to continued claim cost inflation for workers' compensation in older accident years, primarily 2002 and prior. The primary drivers of the continuing claim cost inflation are medical inflation and advances in medical care.

Approximately \$21 million of unfavorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development was due to higher frequency and severity on claims related to excess workers' compensation, in accident years 2005 and prior. The primary drivers of the higher frequency and severity were increasing medical inflation and advances in medical care. Medical inflation and advances in medical care result in additional claims reaching the excess layers covered by the Company and increases the size of claims already in the excess layers.

Approximately \$16 million of unfavorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development related to continued increases in individual claim reserve estimates on commercial auto business, in accident years 2005 and 2004. The increase is primarily due to a higher than expected number of large claims. These changes in



**Table of Contents****CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION  
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, Continued  
(UNAUDITED)**

individual claim estimates result in higher projections of ultimate loss from the incurred development and average loss methods used by the Company's actuaries.

Approximately \$15 million of unfavorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development was due to increased severity in liability coverages for large account policies. These increases were driven by increasing medical inflation and larger verdicts than anticipated, both of which increase the severity of these claims resulting in higher case incurred losses and higher ultimate estimates.

Approximately \$11 million of unfavorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development was due to the Company's share of an assessment from various Windstorm Underwriting Authority Pools.

Approximately \$45 million of favorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development was related to continued improvement in the severity and frequency of claims for property coverages, primarily in accident year 2005. The improvements in severity and frequency are substantially due to underwriting actions taken by the Company that have significantly improved the results on this business. Underwriting actions taken include efforts to write more business in non-catastrophe prone areas.

Approximately \$21 million of favorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development was due to decreased frequency and severity on claims related to monoline and package liability, primarily in accident years 2002 and prior. The change was driven by decreased incurred losses resulting from favorable outcomes on individual claims. The lower incurred losses were less than expected based on the loss development factors selected by the Company's actuaries.

Approximately \$16 million of favorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development was related to lower severities on the excess and surplus lines business in accident years 2000 and subsequent. These severity changes were driven primarily by judicial decisions and settlement activities on individual cases. The severity changes led to lower case incurred loss and lower ultimate estimates.

Approximately \$16 million of favorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development was due to umbrella products. The change covers several accident years. Initial reserves are normally estimated using the loss ratio expected for this business due to the long-tail nature of this business. The long-tail nature of the business is due to the long period of time that passes between the time the business is written and the time when all claims are known and settled. The favorable change on the recent accident years is the result of giving greater weight to projections that rely on case incurred loss thereby recognizing the low level of case incurred loss. The favorable change in older years is driven by favorable outcomes on individual claims.

Approximately \$12 million of favorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development was due to improved experience for marine business, primarily in accident years 2005 and 2004. The case incurred loss (paid loss plus case reserve estimates for known claims) for these accident years has been less than expected. The expected case incurred loss was primarily based on the loss ratio expected for this business. The lower level of actual case incurred loss is driven by lower claim frequency and indicates a lower ultimate loss. The remainder of the favorable change in marine business is due to lowered individual case estimates from older accident years.

Approximately \$66 million of the favorable premium development was due to additional premium primarily resulting from audits and changes to premium on several ceded reinsurance agreements. Businesses impacted included various middle market liability coverages, workers' compensation, property, and large accounts. This favorable premium development was partially offset by approximately \$48 million of unfavorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development recorded as a result of this favorable premium development.

**Specialty Lines**

Favorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development of \$1 million was recorded for the nine months ended September 30, 2006. There was no premium development recorded for the nine months ended September 30, 2006.

Approximately \$40 million of unfavorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development was recorded due to increased claim adjustment expenses and increased severities in the architects and engineers book of

business in accident years 2003 and prior. Previous reviews assumed that incurred severities had increased, at least

**Table of Contents****CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION  
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, Continued  
(UNAUDITED)**

in part, due to increases in the adequacy of case reserve estimates with relatively minor changes in underlying severity. Subsequent changes in paid and case incurred losses have shown that more of the change was due to underlying increases in verdict and settlement size for these accident years rather than increases in case reserve adequacy, resulting in higher ultimate losses. One of the primary drivers of these larger verdicts and settlements is the continuing general increase in commercial and private real estate values.

Approximately \$40 million of favorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development was due to improved claim severity and claim frequency in the healthcare professional liability business, primarily in dental, nursing home liability, physicians and other healthcare facilities. The improved severity and frequency are due to underwriting changes. The Company no longer writes large national nursing home chains and focuses on smaller insureds in selected areas of the country. These changes have resulted in business that experiences fewer large claims.

Approximately \$15 million of unfavorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development was primarily related to increased severity on large claims from large law firm errors and omissions, and directors and officers (D&O) coverages. These increases result in higher ultimate loss projections from the average loss methods used by the Company's actuaries.

Approximately \$17 million of favorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development was recorded in the warranty line of business for the most recent accident year. The reserves for this business are initially estimated based on the loss ratio expected for the business. Subsequent estimates rely more heavily on the actual case incurred losses due to the short-tail nature of this business. The short-tail nature of the business is due to the short period of time that passes between the time the loss occurs and the time when all claims are known and settled. Case incurred loss for the most recent accident year has been lower than indicated by the initial loss ratio.

**Corporate and Other Non-Core**

Unfavorable net prior year development of \$16 million was recorded for the nine months ended September 30, 2006. This amount consisted of \$15 million of unfavorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development and \$1 million of unfavorable premium development.

The unfavorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development was primarily related to the financial guarantee line of business, and an adverse arbitration ruling that was offset by a release of a previously established allowance for uncollectible reinsurance. Reserves for the financial guarantee line of business are driven by individual claim estimates. This unfavorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development was partially offset by the favorable loss development impact of an assumed reinsurance commutation. The unfavorable premium development was also related to this reinsurance commutation.

**2005 Net Prior Year Development****Standard Lines**

Unfavorable net prior year development of \$97 million was recorded for the nine months ended September 30, 2005. This amount consisted of \$202 million of unfavorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development and \$105 million of favorable premium development.

Approximately \$141 million of unfavorable net prior year claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development resulted from increased severity trends for workers' compensation, primarily in accident years 2002 and prior. The primary drivers of the increased claim cost inflation were increasing medical inflation and advances in medical care.

Approximately \$135 million of favorable net prior year claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development was recorded due to improvement in the severity and number of claims for property coverages and marine business, primarily in accident year 2004. The improvements in severity and frequency are substantially due to underwriting actions taken by the Company that have significantly improved the results on this business. Underwriting actions taken include efforts to write more business in non-catastrophe prone areas.

**Table of Contents****CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION  
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, Continued  
(UNAUDITED)**

Approximately \$90 million of unfavorable net prior year claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development and \$83 million of favorable net prior year premium development resulted from an unfavorable arbitration ruling on two reinsurance treaties.

Approximately \$76 million of unfavorable net prior year claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development was attributed to increased severity in liability coverages for large account policies. These increases are driven by increasing medical inflation and larger verdicts than anticipated, both of which increased the severity of these claims beyond the amount indicated by previous incurred development methods.

Approximately \$51 million of unfavorable net prior year claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development was related to reviews of liquor liability, trucking and habitational business that indicated that the number of large claims was higher than previously expected in recent accident years. The remainder of the favorable net prior year claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development was primarily a result of improved experience on several coverages on middle market business mainly in accident year 2004.

Favorable net prior year premium development was recorded primarily as a result of additional premium resulting from audits on recent policies, primarily workers' compensation.

Additionally, there was \$19 million of unfavorable net prior year claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development and \$6 million of favorable premium development related to the corporate aggregate reinsurance treaties.

**Specialty Lines**

Unfavorable net prior year development of \$41 million was recorded for the nine months ended September 30, 2005, including \$31 million of unfavorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development and \$10 million of unfavorable premium development.

Approximately \$60 million of unfavorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development was recorded due to increased claim adjustment expenses and increased severities in the architects and engineers book of business, in accident years 2000 through 2003. Previous reviews assumed that severities had increased, at least in part, due to increases in the adequacy of case reserve estimates. Subsequent changes in paid and incurred loss have shown that more of the change was due to larger verdicts and settlements during these accident years. One of the primary drivers of these larger verdicts and settlements is the continuing general increase in real estate values. Favorable net prior year premium development of approximately \$10 million was recorded in relation to this unfavorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development.

Approximately \$27 million of unfavorable net prior year claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development was related to large D&O claims assumed from a London syndicate, primarily in accident years 2001 and prior. Approximately \$40 million of unfavorable net prior year claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development was recorded due to large claims resulting from excess coverages provided to health care facilities. The claim severity estimates for this business are driven by individual case by case reviews. Reviews of the individual cases underlying the excess coverages provided by the Company resulted in significant increases to the individual case estimates.

Approximately \$17 million of favorable net prior year claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development was recorded due to lower severity in the dental program. The lower severity is driven by efforts to resolve a higher percentage of claims without a resulting indemnity payment.

Approximately \$24 million of favorable net prior year claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development was recorded as a result of improvements in the claim severity and claim frequency, mainly in recent accident years, from nursing home businesses. The improved severity and frequency are due to underwriting changes in this business. The Company no longer writes large national chains and focuses on smaller insureds in selected areas of the country. These changes have resulted in business that experiences fewer large claims. The remainder of the favorable net prior year claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development was primarily attributed to favorable experience in the warranty line of business, partially offset by unfavorable net prior year claim and allocated

claim adjustment expense reserve development attributed to other large D&O claims.

**Table of Contents**

**CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, Continued**  
**(UNAUDITED)**

Additionally, there was approximately \$25 million of favorable net prior year claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development and \$19 million of unfavorable premium development related to the corporate aggregate reinsurance treaties in the first nine months of 2005.

***Corporate and Other Non-Core***

Unfavorable net prior year development of \$89 million was recorded for the nine months ended September 30, 2005, including \$74 million of unfavorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development and \$15 million of unfavorable premium development.

Approximately \$56 million of unfavorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development was a result of a commutation recorded in the second quarter of 2005. Approximately \$6 million of unfavorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development was related to the corporate aggregate reinsurance treaties. The unfavorable premium development was primarily driven by \$10 million of additional ceded reinsurance premium on agreements where the ceded premium depends on the ceded loss and \$4 million of additional premium ceded to the corporate aggregate reinsurance treaties.

**Note I. Reinsurance**

CNA cedes insurance to reinsurers to limit its maximum loss, provide greater diversification of risk, minimize exposures on larger risks and to exit certain lines of business. The ceding of insurance does not discharge the primary liability of the Company. Therefore, a credit exposure exists with respect to property and casualty and life reinsurance ceded to the extent that any reinsurer is unable to meet their obligations or to the extent that the reinsurer disputes the liabilities assumed under reinsurance agreements. Property and casualty reinsurance coverages are tailored to the specific risk characteristics of each product line and CNA's retained amount varies by type of coverage. Reinsurance contracts are purchased to protect specific lines of business such as property, workers' compensation and professional liability. Corporate catastrophe reinsurance is also purchased for property and workers' compensation exposure. Most reinsurance contracts are purchased on an excess of loss basis. CNA also utilizes facultative reinsurance in certain lines. In addition, CNA assumes reinsurance as a member of various reinsurance pools and associations.

The following table summarizes the amounts receivable from reinsurers at September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005.

<b>Components of reinsurance receivables</b> (In millions)	<b>September 30, 2006</b>	<b>December 31, 2005</b>
Reinsurance receivables related to insurance reserves:		
Ceded claim and claim adjustment expense	\$ 9,091	\$ 10,605
Ceded future policy benefits	1,070	1,193
Ceded policyholders' funds	54	56
Billed reinsurance receivables	688	582
<b>Reinsurance receivables</b>	<b>10,903</b>	<b>12,436</b>
Allowance for uncollectible reinsurance	(535)	(519)
<b>Reinsurance receivables, net of allowance for uncollectible reinsurance</b>	<b>\$ 10,368</b>	<b>\$ 11,917</b>

The Company attempts to mitigate its credit risk related to reinsurance by entering into reinsurance arrangements with reinsurers that have credit ratings above certain levels and by obtaining substantial amounts of collateral. The primary methods of obtaining collateral are through reinsurance trusts, letters of credit and funds withheld balances. On a more limited basis, CNA may enter into reinsurance agreements with reinsurers that are not rated.

In 2001, the Company entered into a one-year corporate aggregate reinsurance treaty related to the 2001 accident year covering substantially all property and casualty lines of business in the Continental Casualty Company pool (the CCC Cover). The CCC Cover was fully utilized in 2003 and interest charges accrued on the related funds held balance at 8% per annum. Effective July 25, 2006, the Company commuted the CCC Cover resulting in a reduction of Reinsurance Receivables of approximately \$761 million and a corresponding reduction of \$761 million in the funds withheld liability, which was included in Reinsurance Balances Payable on the Condensed Consolidated

**Table of Contents**

**CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, Continued**  
**(UNAUDITED)**

Balance Sheet. This commutation had no impact on the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006.

The effects of reinsurance on earned premiums are shown in the following table.

**Components of Earned Premiums**

<b>Three months ended September 30</b> (In millions)	<b>Direct</b>	<b>Assumed</b>	<b>Ceded</b>	<b>Net</b>
<b>2006</b>				
Property and casualty	\$ 2,342	\$ 19	\$ 577	\$ 1,784
Accident and health	186	15	42	159
Life	18		18	
<b>Total earned premiums</b>	<b>\$ 2,546</b>	<b>\$ 34</b>	<b>\$ 637</b>	<b>\$ 1,943</b>
<b>2005</b>				
Property and casualty	\$ 2,548	\$ 13	\$ 856	\$ 1,705
Accident and health	245	18	96	167
Life	27		26	1
<b>Total earned premiums</b>	<b>\$ 2,820</b>	<b>\$ 31</b>	<b>\$ 978</b>	<b>\$ 1,873</b>

**Components of Earned Premiums**

<b>Nine months ended September 30</b> (In millions)	<b>Direct</b>	<b>Assumed</b>	<b>Ceded</b>	<b>Net</b>
<b>2006</b>				
Property and casualty	\$ 6,795	\$ 47	\$ 1,620	\$ 5,222
Accident and health	550	44	114	480
Life	76		74	2
<b>Total earned premiums</b>	<b>\$ 7,421</b>	<b>\$ 91</b>	<b>\$ 1,808</b>	<b>\$ 5,704</b>
<b>2005</b>				
Property and casualty	\$ 7,600	\$ 72	\$ 2,526	\$ 5,146
Accident and health	805	42	311	536
Life	109		107	2



<b>Total earned premiums</b>	\$ 8,514	\$ 114	\$ 2,944	\$ 5,684
------------------------------	----------	--------	----------	----------

Included in the direct and ceded earned premiums for the three months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 are \$376 million and \$699 million, and for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 are \$1,087 million and \$2,299 million, related to business that is 100% reinsured as a result of business dispositions and a significant captive program.

Life and accident and health premiums are primarily from long duration contracts; property and casualty premiums are primarily from short duration contracts.

Reinsurance accounting allows for contractual cash flows to be reflected as premiums and losses, as compared to deposit accounting, which requires cash flows to be reflected as assets and liabilities. To qualify for reinsurance accounting, reinsurance agreements must include risk transfer. To meet risk transfer requirements, a reinsurance contract must include both insurance risk, consisting of underwriting and timing risk, and a reasonable possibility of a significant loss for the assuming entity. Reinsurance contracts that include both significant risk sharing provisions, such as adjustments to premiums or loss coverage based on loss experience, and relatively low policy limits as evidenced by a high proportion of maximum premium assessments to loss limits, may require considerable judgment to determine whether or not risk transfer requirements are met. For such contracts, often referred to as finite products, the Company assesses risk transfer for each contract generally by developing quantitative analyses at

**Table of Contents****CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION  
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, Continued  
(UNAUDITED)**

contract inception which measure the present value of potential reinsurer losses as compared to the present value of the related premium.

Reinsurance contracts that do not effectively transfer the underlying economic risk of loss on policies written by the Company are recorded using the deposit method of accounting, which requires that premium paid or received by the ceding company or assuming company be accounted for as a deposit asset or liability. The Company primarily records these deposits as either Reinsurance Receivables or Other Assets for ceded recoverables and Reinsurance Balances Payable or Other Liabilities for assumed liabilities.

***Funds Withheld Reinsurance Arrangements***

The Company's overall reinsurance program has included certain property and casualty contracts, such as the commuted CCC Cover discussed in more detail above, that are entered into and accounted for on a funds withheld basis. Under the funds withheld basis, the Company recorded the cash remitted to the reinsurer for the reinsurer's margin, or cost of the reinsurance contract, as ceded premiums. The remainder of the premiums ceded under the reinsurance contract not remitted in cash is recorded as funds withheld liabilities. The Company is required to increase the funds withheld balance at stated interest crediting rates applied to the funds withheld balance or as otherwise specified under the terms of the contract. The funds withheld liability is reduced by any cumulative claim payments made by the Company in excess of the Company's retention under the reinsurance contract. If the funds withheld liability is exhausted, interest crediting will cease and additional claim payments are recoverable from the reinsurer. The funds withheld liability is recorded in Reinsurance Balances Payable on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Interest cost on reinsurance contracts accounted for on a funds withheld basis is incurred during all periods in which a funds withheld liability exists, and is included in net investment income. The amount subject to interest crediting rates on such contracts was \$320 million and \$1,050 million at September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005. The decrease is due to the commutation of the CCC Cover discussed above. Certain funds withheld reinsurance contracts require interest on additional premiums arising from ceded losses as if those premiums were payable at the inception of the contract. The amount subject to interest crediting on these funds withheld contracts will vary over time based on a number of factors, including the timing of loss payments and ultimate gross losses incurred. The Company expects that it will continue to incur interest costs on these contracts for several years.

As of September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, there were 12 and 13 ceded reinsurance treaties in force that the Company considers to be finite reinsurance. These treaties provide reinsurance protection for individual accident years 1999 through 2002 on specified portions of the Company's domestic property and casualty business. All of these contracts are accounted for on a funds withheld basis. In 2003, the Company discontinued purchases of such contracts. The following table summarizes the pretax impact of these contracts, including the commuted CCC Cover discussed in further detail above. Effective October 1, 2005, the Aggregate Cover, which was a corporate aggregate reinsurance treaty related to the 1999 through 2001 accident years and covered substantially all of the Company's property and casualty lines of business, was commuted.

**Table of Contents**
**CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, Continued**  
**(UNAUDITED)**

<b>Three months ended September 30</b> (In millions)	<b>Aggregate Cover</b>	<b>CCC Cover</b>	<b>All Other</b>	<b>Total</b>
<b>2006</b>				
Ceded earned premium	\$	\$	\$	\$
Ceded claim and claim adjustment expense				
Ceding commissions				
Interest charges		(5)	(4)	(9)
<b>Pretax expense</b>	\$	\$ (5)	\$ (4)	\$ (9)
<b>2005</b>				
Ceded earned premium	\$ 1	\$	\$ (9)	\$ (8)
Ceded claim and claim adjustment expense			15	15
Ceding commissions			3	3
Interest charges	(15)	(17)	(16)	(48)
<b>Pretax expense</b>	\$ (14)	\$ (17)	\$ (7)	\$ (38)
<b>Nine months ended September 30</b> (In millions)				
	<b>Aggregate Cover</b>	<b>CCC Cover</b>	<b>All Other</b>	<b>Total</b>
<b>2006</b>				
Ceded earned premium	\$	\$	\$ (12)	\$ (12)
Ceded claim and claim adjustment expense			17	17
Ceding commissions				
Interest charges		(40)	(20)	(60)
<b>Pretax expense</b>	\$	\$ (40)	\$ (15)	\$ (55)
<b>2005</b>				
Ceded earned premium	\$ (17)	\$	\$ 56	\$ 39
Ceded claim and claim adjustment expense			(52)	(52)
Ceding commissions			(27)	(27)
Interest charges	(57)	(49)	(26)	(132)

**Pretax expense** \$ (74) \$ (49) \$ (49) \$ (172)

Included in All Other above for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 is approximately \$24 million of pretax expense related to Standard Lines which resulted from an unfavorable arbitration ruling on two reinsurance treaties impacting ceded earned premiums, ceded claim and claim adjustment expenses, ceding commissions and interest charges. This unfavorable outcome was partially offset by a release of previously established reinsurance bad debt reserves resulting in a net impact from the arbitration ruling of \$10 million pretax expense for the nine months ended September 30, 2005.

The pretax impact by operating segment of the Company's funds withheld reinsurance arrangements, including the corporate aggregate reinsurance treaties, was as follows:

<b>Period ended September 30</b> (In millions)	<b>Three Months</b>		<b>Nine Months</b>	
	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b>
Standard Lines	\$ (7)	\$ (24)	\$ (31)	\$ (120)
Specialty Lines		(3)	(4)	(10)
Corporate and Other	(2)	(11)	(20)	(42)
<b>Pretax benefit (expense)</b>	<b>\$ (9)</b>	<b>\$ (38)</b>	<b>\$ (55)</b>	<b>\$ (172)</b>

**Table of Contents**

**CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, Continued**  
**(UNAUDITED)**

**Note J. Debt**

Debt is composed of the following obligations.

**Debt**

	<b>September 30, 2006</b>	<b>December 31, 2005</b>
(In millions)		
Variable rate debt:		
Credit facility CNA Surety, due June 30, 2008	\$	\$ 20
Debenture CNA Surety, face amount of \$31, due April 29, 2034	31	31
Senior notes:		
6.750%, face amount of \$250, due November 15, 2006	250	250
6.450%, face amount of \$150, due January 15, 2008	149	149
6.600%, face amount of \$200, due December 15, 2008	199	199
6.000%, face amount of \$400, due August 15, 2011	398	
8.375%, face amount of \$70, due August 15, 2012	69	69
5.850%, face amount of \$549, due December 15, 2014	546	546
6.500%, face amount of \$350, due August 15, 2016	348	
6.950%, face amount of \$150, due January 15, 2018	149	149
Debenture, 7.250%, face amount of \$243, due November 15, 2023	241	241
Other debt, 1.000%-6.850%, due through 2019	25	36
<b>Total debt</b>	<b>\$ 2,405</b>	<b>\$ 1,690</b>
Short term debt	\$ 250	\$ 252
Long term debt	2,155	1,438
<b>Total debt</b>	<b>\$ 2,405</b>	<b>\$ 1,690</b>

In August of 2006, CNAF sold \$400 million of 6.0% five-year senior notes and \$350 million of 6.5% ten-year senior notes in a public offering.

In July of 2005, CNA Surety, a 63% owned and consolidated subsidiary of CNA, refinanced \$30 million of outstanding borrowings under its \$50 million credit agreement with a new credit facility (the 2005 Credit Facility ). The 2005 Credit Facility provides a \$50 million revolving credit facility that matures on June 30, 2008. In November of 2005, CNA Surety repaid \$10 million of outstanding borrowings. During the third quarter of 2006, the outstanding 2005 Credit Facility balance of \$20 million was repaid. Subsequently, in September of 2006, CNA Surety reduced the available aggregate revolving credit facility to \$25 million in borrowings.

The combined aggregate maturities for debt at September 30, 2006 are presented in the following table.

**Maturity of Debt**

(In millions)	
2006	\$ 250
2007	
2008	350
2009	
2010	
Thereafter	1,817
Less original issue discount	(12)
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 2,405</b>

**Table of Contents**

**CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, Continued**  
**(UNAUDITED)**

**Note K. Benefit Plans*****Pension and Postretirement Healthcare and Life Insurance Benefit Plans***

CNAF and certain subsidiaries sponsor noncontributory pension plans typically covering full-time employees age 21 or over who have completed at least one year of service. In 2000, the CNA Retirement Plan was closed to new participants; instead, retirement benefits are provided to these employees under the Company's savings plans. While the terms of the pension plans vary, benefits are generally based on years of credited service and the employee's highest 60 consecutive months of compensation. CNA uses December 31 as the measurement date for the majority of its plans.

CNA's funding policy is to make contributions in accordance with applicable governmental regulatory requirements. The assets of the plans are invested primarily in mortgage-backed securities, short term investments, equity securities and limited partnerships.

CNA provides certain healthcare and life insurance benefits to eligible retired employees, their covered dependents and their beneficiaries. The funding for these plans is generally to pay covered expenses as they are incurred.

The components of net periodic benefit costs are presented in the following table.

**Net Periodic Benefit Costs**

<b>Period ended September 30</b> (In millions)	<b>Three Months</b>		<b>Nine Months</b>	
	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b>
<b>Pension Benefits</b>				
Service cost	\$ 6	\$ 6	\$ 19	\$ 20
Interest cost on projected benefit obligation	35	34	107	106
Expected return on plan assets	(40)	(38)	(120)	(115)
Prior service cost amortization		1	1	2
Actuarial loss	4	4	21	15
<b>Net periodic pension cost</b>	<b>\$ 5</b>	<b>\$ 7</b>	<b>\$ 28</b>	<b>\$ 28</b>
<b>Postretirement benefits</b>				
Service cost	\$ 1	\$ 1	\$ 2	\$ 2
Interest cost on projected benefit obligation	3	3	7	8
Prior service cost amortization	(7)	(7)	(20)	(21)
Actuarial loss	1	1	3	3
<b>Net periodic postretirement benefit</b>	<b>\$ (2)</b>	<b>\$ (2)</b>	<b>\$ (8)</b>	<b>\$ (8)</b>

At December 31, 2005, CNA expected to contribute \$7 million to its pension plans and \$14 million to its postretirement healthcare and life insurance benefit plans in 2006. As of September 30, 2006, \$71 million of contributions have been made to its pension plans and \$10 million to its postretirement healthcare and life insurance benefit plans. CNA plans to contribute an additional \$1 million to its pension plans and \$4 million to its postretirement healthcare and life insurance benefit plans during the remainder of 2006.

***Stock-Based Compensation***

The CNA Long Term Incentive Plan (the LTI Plan) authorizes the grant of options and stock appreciation rights (SARs) to certain management personnel for up to 4 million shares of the Company's common stock. All options and SARs granted have ten-year terms and vest ratably over the four-year period following the date of grant. The number of shares available for the granting of options and SARs under the LTI Plan as of September 30, 2006, was approximately 2 million.



**Table of Contents****CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION  
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, Continued  
(UNAUDITED)**

The following table presents activity under the LTI Plan during the nine months ended September 30, 2006.

**Options and SARs Plan Activity**

	<b>Number of Awards</b>		<b>Weighted Average Option Price per Award</b>
Balance at January 1, 2006	1,628,600	\$	28.71
Awards granted	327,000		30.98
Awards exercised	(61,000)		28.91
Awards forfeited	(19,900)		28.53
<b>Balance at September 30, 2006</b>	<b>1,874,700</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>29.10</b>
<b>Awards exercisable at September 30, 2006</b>	<b>1,136,150</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>29.50</b>

During 2006, the Company awarded SARs totaling 327,000 shares. The SARs balance at September 30, 2006 was 320,600 shares with 6,400 shares forfeited.

At September 30, 2006, the Company's non-vested portion of a restricted stock grant totaled 28,329 shares with a grant-date fair value of \$842 thousand.

The weighted average grant-date fair value of awards granted during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 was \$10.72 per award. The weighted average remaining contractual term of awards outstanding and exercisable as of September 30, 2006, were 6.71 years and 5.52 years. The aggregate intrinsic values of awards outstanding and exercisable at September 30, 2006 were \$13 million and \$7 million. The total intrinsic value of awards exercised during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 was \$415 thousand.

The fair value of granted options and SARs was estimated at the grant date using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. The Black-Scholes model incorporates a risk free rate of return and various assumptions, regarding the underlying common stock and the expected life of the securities granted. Different interest rates and assumptions were used for each grant, as appropriate at that date. The risk free interest rates used ranged from 2.7% to 4.6%. The estimates of the underlying common stock's volatility ranged from 22.3% to 25.2%, and the expected dividend yield was 0% for all valuations. The expected life of the securities granted ranged from 5.0 to 6.3 years.

CNA Surety has reserved shares of its common stock for issuance to directors, officers and employees of CNA Surety through incentive stock options, non-qualified stock options and SARs under separate plans (CNA Surety Plans). The CNA Surety Plans have in the aggregate 3.3 million shares available for which options may be granted. At September 30, 2006, approximately 1.1 million options were outstanding under these plans. The data provided in the preceding paragraphs does not include CNA Surety's stock-based compensation plans.

The Company recorded stock-based compensation expense of \$817 thousand and \$66 thousand during the three months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005. The related income tax benefit recognized was \$286 thousand and \$23 thousand. The Company recorded stock-based compensation expense of \$2.5 million and \$303 thousand during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005. The related income tax benefit recognized was \$862 thousand and \$106 thousand. These amounts also include compensation in the form of restricted stock grants awarded by the

Company and expense recorded by CNA Surety for these periods. At September 30, 2006, the compensation cost related to nonvested awards not yet recognized was \$4.9 million and the weighted average period over which it is expected to be recognized is 1.49 years.

**Note L. Commitments, Contingencies and Guarantees**

***Commitments and Contingencies***

In the normal course of business, CNA has provided letters of credit in favor of various unaffiliated insurance companies, regulatory authorities and other entities. At September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, there were approximately \$27 million and \$30 million of outstanding letters of credit.

**Table of Contents****CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION  
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, Continued  
(UNAUDITED)**

The Company is obligated to make future payments totaling \$248 million for non-cancelable operating leases primarily for office space and data processing, office and transportation equipment. Estimated future minimum payments under these contracts are as follows: \$19 million in 2006; \$48 million in 2007; \$42 million in 2008; \$34 million in 2009; \$30 million in 2010; and \$75 million in 2011 and beyond. Additionally, the Company has entered into a limited number of guaranteed payment contracts, primarily relating to telecommunication and software services, amounting to approximately \$19 million as of September 30, 2006. Estimated future minimum payments under these contracts are as follows: \$7 million in 2006, \$10 million in 2007 and \$2 million in 2008.

The Company currently has an agreement in place for services to be rendered in relation to employee benefits, administration and consulting. If the Company terminates this agreement without cause, or the agreement is terminated due to the Company's default, prior to the end of any renewal term, the Company shall pay the greater of fifteen percent of the average monthly fees related to such services for the remainder of the term, or the specified minimum termination fee for the year. The minimum termination fee for the year ending December 31, 2006 is \$8 million.

***Guarantees***

The Company holds an investment in a real estate joint venture. In the normal course of business, CNA, on a joint and several basis with other unrelated insurance company shareholders, has committed to continue funding the operating deficits of this joint venture. Additionally, CNA and the other unrelated shareholders, on a joint and several basis, have guaranteed an operating lease for an office building, which expires in 2016.

The guarantee of the operating lease is a parallel guarantee to the commitment to fund operating deficits; consequently, the separate guarantee to the lessor is not expected to be triggered as long as the joint venture continues to be funded by its shareholders and continues to make its annual lease payments.

In the event that the other parties to the joint venture are unable to meet their commitments in funding the operations of this joint venture, the Company would be required to assume the obligation for the entire office building operating lease. The maximum potential future lease payments at September 30, 2006 that the Company could be required to pay under this guarantee are approximately \$235 million. If CNA were required to assume the entire lease obligation, the Company would have the right to pursue reimbursement from the other shareholders and would have the right to all sublease revenues.

CNA has provided guarantees of the indebtedness of certain of its independent insurance producers. These guarantees expire in 2008. The Company would be required to remit prompt and complete payment when due, should the primary obligor default. In the event of default on the part of the primary obligor, the Company has a right to any and all shares of common stock of the primary obligor. The maximum potential amount of future payments that CNA could be required to pay under these guarantees was approximately \$6 million at September 30, 2006.

In the course of selling business entities and assets to third parties, the Company has agreed to indemnify purchasers for losses arising out of breaches of representation and warranties with respect to the business entities or assets being sold, including, in certain cases, losses arising from undisclosed liabilities or certain named litigation. Such indemnification provisions generally survive for periods ranging from nine months following the applicable closing date to the expiration of the relevant statutes of limitation. As of September 30, 2006 the aggregate amount of quantifiable indemnification agreements in effect for sales of business entities, assets and third party loans was \$923 million.

In addition, the Company has agreed to provide indemnification to third party purchasers for certain losses associated with sold business entities or assets that are not limited by a contractual monetary amount. As of September 30, 2006, the Company had outstanding unlimited indemnifications in connection with the sales of certain of its business entities or assets that included tax liabilities arising prior to a purchaser's ownership of an entity or asset, defects in title at the time of sale, employee claims arising prior to closing and in some cases losses arising from certain litigation and undisclosed liabilities. These indemnification agreements survive until the applicable statutes of limitation expire, or until the agreed upon contract terms expire. The liabilities related to these indemnification agreements were

approximately \$25 million and \$65 million as of September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005.

**Table of Contents**

**CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, Continued**  
**(UNAUDITED)**

In connection with the issuance of preferred securities by CNA Surety Capital Trust I, CNA Surety issued a guarantee of \$75 million to guarantee the payment by CNA Surety Capital Trust I of annual dividends of \$1.5 million over 30 years and redemption of \$30 million of preferred securities.

**Note M. Stockholders Equity and Statutory Financial Information**

Capital stock (in whole numbers) is composed of the following:

**Summary of Capital Stock**

	<b>September 30, 2006</b>	<b>December 31, 2005</b>
<b>Preferred stock, without par value, non-voting</b>		
Authorized	12,500,000	12,500,000
Issued and outstanding:		
Series H (stated value \$100,000 per share, held by Loews)		7,500
<b>Common stock, par value \$2.50</b>		
Authorized	500,000,000	500,000,000
Issued	273,040,543	258,177,285
Outstanding	270,929,753	256,001,968
Treasury stock	2,110,790	2,175,317

The Series H Issue was held by Loews and accrued cumulative dividends at an initial rate of 8% per year, compounded annually. In August 2006, the Company repurchased the Series H Issue for approximately \$993 million, a price equal to the liquidation preference.

The Company financed the repurchase of the Series H Issue with the proceeds from the sales of: (i) 7.0 million shares of its common stock in a public offering for approximately \$235.5 million; (ii) \$400 million of new 6.0% five-year senior notes and \$350 million of new 6.5% ten-year senior notes in a public offering; and (iii) 7.86 million shares of its common stock to Loews in a private placement for approximately \$264.5 million.

**Statutory Accounting Practices**

CNA's domestic insurance subsidiaries maintain their accounts in conformity with accounting practices prescribed or permitted by insurance regulatory authorities, which vary in certain respects from GAAP. In converting from statutory to GAAP, typical adjustments include deferral of policy acquisition costs and the inclusion of net unrealized holding gains or losses in shareholders' equity relating to certain fixed maturity securities. The National Association of Insurance Commissioners (NAIC) has codified statutory accounting principles to foster more consistency among the states for accounting guidelines and reporting.

CNA's insurance subsidiaries are domiciled in various jurisdictions. These subsidiaries prepare statutory financial statements in accordance with accounting practices prescribed or permitted by the respective jurisdictions' insurance regulators. Prescribed statutory accounting practices are set forth in a variety of publications of the NAIC as well as state laws, regulations and general administrative rules.

CCC follows a permitted practice related to the statutory provision for reinsurance, or the uncollectible reinsurance reserve. This permitted practice allows CCC to record an additional uncollectible reinsurance reserve amount through a different financial statement line item than the prescribed statutory convention. This permitted practice had no effect on CCC's statutory surplus as of September 30, 2006 or December 31, 2005.

CNAF's ability to pay dividends and other credit obligations is significantly dependent on receipt of dividends from its subsidiaries. The payment of dividends to CNAF by its insurance subsidiaries without prior approval of the insurance

department of each subsidiary's domiciliary jurisdiction is limited by formula. Dividends in excess of these amounts are subject to prior approval by the respective state insurance departments.

Dividends from CCC are subject to the insurance holding company laws of the State of Illinois, the domiciliary state of CCC. Under these laws, ordinary dividends, or dividends that do not require prior approval of the Illinois Department of Financial and Professional Regulation - Division of Insurance (the Department), may be paid only

**Table of Contents****CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, Continued****(UNAUDITED)**

from earned surplus, which is calculated by removing unrealized gains from unassigned surplus. As of September 30, 2006, CCC is in a positive earned surplus position, enabling CCC to pay approximately \$568 million of dividend payments for the remainder of 2006 that would not be subject to the Department's prior approval. The actual level of dividends paid in any year is determined after an assessment of available dividend capacity, holding company liquidity and cash needs as well as the impact the dividends will have on the statutory surplus of the applicable insurance company.

Combined statutory capital and surplus and net income, determined in accordance with accounting practices prescribed or permitted by insurance regulatory authorities for the property and casualty and the life and group insurance subsidiaries, were as follows.

**Preliminary Statutory Information**

	Statutory Capital and Surplus		Statutory Net Income (Loss) Three months ended September 30		Statutory Net Income (Loss) Nine months ended September 30	
	September 30, 2006	December 31, 2005	2006	2005	2006	2005
(In millions)						
Property and casualty companies (a)	\$ 7,827	\$ 6,940	\$ 351	\$ (19)	\$ 614	\$ 849
Life and group insurance companies	701	627	29	(5)	71	49
(a) <i>Surplus includes the property and casualty companies' equity ownership of the life and group company's capital and surplus.</i>						

**Note N. Comprehensive Income (Loss)**

Comprehensive income (loss) is composed of all changes to stockholders' equity, except those changes resulting from transactions with stockholders in their capacity as stockholders. The components of comprehensive income (loss) are shown below.

**Comprehensive Income (Loss)**

Period ended September 30 (In millions)	Three Months		Nine Months	
	2006	2005	2006	2005
Net income	\$ 311	\$ 6	\$ 779	\$ 481
Other comprehensive income (loss):				
Change in unrealized gains/losses on general account investments:				
Holding gains/losses arising during the period, net of tax benefit (expense) of (\$315), \$207, (\$35) and \$88	586	(386)	66	(164)
	(1)	(3)	(9)	(114)

Net unrealized gains/losses at beginning of period included  
in realized gains/losses during the period, net of tax benefit  
of \$2, \$2, \$6 and \$62

Net change in unrealized gains/losses on general account investments, net of tax benefit (expense) of (\$313), \$209, (\$29) and \$150	585	(389)	57	(278)
Net change in unrealized gains/losses on discontinued operations and other, net of tax benefit (expense) of (\$2), \$13, \$0 and \$13	(1)	7		7
Net change in foreign currency translation adjustment	5	9	32	(15)
Net change in minimum pension liability, net of tax benefit of \$0, \$0, \$0 and \$0			(1)	
Allocation to participating policyholders and minority interests	(15)	13		13
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax benefit (expense) of (\$315), \$222, (\$29) and \$163	574	(360)	88	(273)
<b>Total comprehensive income (loss)</b>	<b>\$ 885</b>	<b>\$ (354)</b>	<b>\$ 867</b>	<b>\$ 208</b>



**Table of Contents**

**CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION**

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, Continued**

**(UNAUDITED)**

**Note O. Business Segments**

CNA's core property and casualty insurance operations are reported in two business segments: Standard Lines and Specialty Lines. CNA's non-core operations are managed in two segments: Life and Group Non-Core and Corporate and Other Non-Core. These segments reflect the way CNA manages its operations and makes business decisions.

The Company manages most of its assets on a legal entity basis, while segment operations are conducted across legal entities. As such, only insurance and reinsurance receivables, insurance reserves and deferred acquisition costs are readily identifiable by individual segment. Distinct investment portfolios are not maintained for each segment; accordingly, allocation of assets to each segment is not performed. Therefore, net investment income and realized investment gains or losses are allocated primarily based on each segment's net carried insurance reserves, as adjusted. Income taxes have been allocated on the basis of the taxable income of the segments.

In the following tables, certain financial measures are presented to provide information used by management to monitor the Company's operating performance. Management utilizes these financial measures to monitor the Company's insurance operations and investment portfolio. Net operating income, which is derived from certain income statement amounts, is used by management to monitor performance of the Company's insurance operations. The Company's investment portfolio is monitored through analysis of various quantitative and qualitative factors and certain decisions related to the sale or impairment of investments that produce realized gains and losses. Net realized investment gains and losses are comprised of after-tax realized investment gains and losses net of participating policyholders' and minority interests.

Net operating income is calculated by excluding from net income the after-tax effects of 1) net realized investment gains or losses, 2) income or loss from discontinued operations and 3) cumulative effects of changes in accounting principles. In the calculation of net operating income, management excludes after-tax net realized investment gains or losses because net realized investment gains or losses related to the Company's investment portfolio are largely discretionary, except for losses related to other-than-temporary impairments, are generally driven by economic factors that are not necessarily consistent with key drivers of underwriting performance, and are therefore not an indication of trends in insurance operations.

The Company's investment portfolio is monitored by management through analyses of various factors including unrealized gains and losses on securities, portfolio duration and exposure to interest rate, market and credit risk. Based on such analyses, the Company may impair an investment security in accordance with its policy, or sell a security. Such activities will produce realized gains and losses.

The significant components of the Company's continuing operations and selected balance sheet items are presented in the following tables.

**Table of Contents**

**CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, Continued**  
**(UNAUDITED)**

<b>For the three months ended September 30, 2006</b> (In millions)	<b>Standard Lines</b>	<b>Specialty Lines</b>	<b>Life and Group Non-Core</b>	<b>Corporate and Other Non-Core</b>	<b>Eliminations</b>	<b>Total</b>
Net earned premiums	\$ 1,128	\$ 654	\$ 160	\$ 3	\$ (2)	\$ 1,943
Net investment income	239	101	179	81		600
Other revenues	16	38	8	6	(12)	56
<b>Total operating revenues</b>	<b>1,383</b>	<b>793</b>	<b>347</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>(14)</b>	<b>2,599</b>
Claims, benefits and expenses:						
Net incurred claims and benefits	776	397	314	31		1,518
Policyholders dividends	4	1	(1)			4
Amortization of deferred acquisition costs	249	137	4			390
Other insurance related expenses	89	31	48	8	(1)	175
Other expenses	20	35	14	28	(13)	84
<b>Total claims, benefits and expenses</b>	<b>1,138</b>	<b>601</b>	<b>379</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>(14)</b>	<b>2,171</b>
Operating income (loss) from continuing operations before income tax and minority interest	245	192	(32)	23		428
Income tax (expense) benefit on operating income (loss)	(78)	(64)	17	(7)		(132)
Minority interest	(4)	(9)				(13)
<b>Net operating income (loss) from continuing operations</b>	<b>163</b>	<b>119</b>	<b>(15)</b>	<b>16</b>		<b>283</b>
Realized investment gains (losses), net of participating policyholders and minority interests	18	6	(10)	7		21
	(7)	(1)	3	6		1

Income tax (expense) benefit on  
realized investment gains  
(losses)

<b>Income (loss) from continuing operations</b>	\$	174	\$	124	\$	(22)	\$	29	\$		\$	305
---	----	-----	----	-----	----	------	----	----	----	--	----	-----

46

---

**Table of Contents**

**CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, Continued**  
**(UNAUDITED)**

<b>For the three months ended September 30, 2005</b> (In millions)	<b>Standard Lines</b>	<b>Specialty Lines</b>	<b>Life and Group Non-Core</b>	<b>Corporate And Other Non-Core</b>	<b>Eliminations</b>	<b>Total</b>
Net earned premiums	\$ 1,080	\$ 628	\$ 169	\$ (1)	\$ (3)	\$ 1,873
Net investment income	186	74	179	61		500
Other revenues	26	34	20	16	(16)	80
<b>Total operating revenues</b>	<b>1,292</b>	<b>736</b>	<b>368</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>(19)</b>	<b>2,453</b>
Claims, benefits and expenses:						
Net incurred claims and benefits	1,148	366	342	12		1,868
Policyholders dividends	4	1	(1)	(1)		3
Amortization of deferred acquisition costs	281	128	5	2		416
Other insurance related expenses	76	45	69	(4)	(3)	183
Other expenses	23	28	13	39	(16)	87
<b>Total claims, benefits and expenses</b>	<b>1,532</b>	<b>568</b>	<b>428</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>(19)</b>	<b>2,557</b>
Operating income (loss) from continuing operations before income tax and minority interest	(240)	168	(60)	28		(104)
Income tax (expense) benefit on operating income (loss)	100	(53)	25	4		76
Minority interest	(4)	(7)				(11)
<b>Net operating income (loss) from continuing operations</b>	<b>(144)</b>	<b>108</b>	<b>(35)</b>	<b>32</b>		<b>(39)</b>
Realized investment gains (losses), net of participating policyholders and minority interests	51 (18)	16 (5)	(2) 1	2 (3)		67 (25)

Income tax (expense) benefit on  
realized investment gains  
(losses)

<b>Income (loss) from continuing operations</b>	\$	(111)	\$	119	\$	(36)	\$	31	\$		\$	3
---	----	-------	----	-----	----	------	----	----	----	--	----	---

47

---

**Table of Contents**

**CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, Continued**  
**(UNAUDITED)**

<b>For the nine months ended September 30, 2006</b> (In millions)	<b>Standard Lines</b>	<b>Specialty Lines</b>	<b>Life and Group Non-Core</b>	<b>Corporate and Other Non-Core</b>	<b>Eliminations</b>	<b>Total</b>
Net earned premiums	\$ 3,310	\$ 1,915	\$ 482	\$ 1	\$ (4)	\$ 5,704
Net investment income	705	287	504	226		1,722
Other revenues	49	112	44	8	(38)	175
<b>Total operating revenues</b>	<b>4,064</b>	<b>2,314</b>	<b>1,030</b>	<b>235</b>	<b>(42)</b>	<b>7,601</b>
Claims, benefits and expenses:						
Net incurred claims and benefits	2,297	1,157	886	90	1	4,431
Policyholders dividends	12	3				15
Amortization of deferred acquisition costs	725	395	12			1,132
Other insurance related expenses	293	109	141	24	(4)	563
Restructuring and other related charges				(13)		(13)
Other expenses	58	103	40	91	(39)	253
<b>Total claims, benefits and expenses</b>	<b>3,385</b>	<b>1,767</b>	<b>1,079</b>	<b>192</b>	<b>(42)</b>	<b>6,381</b>
Operating income (loss) from continuing operations before income tax and minority interest	679	547	(49)	43		1,220
Income tax (expense) benefit on operating income (loss)	(207)	(181)	36	(14)		(366)
Minority interest	(9)	(23)				(32)
<b>Net operating income (loss) from continuing operations</b>	<b>463</b>	<b>343</b>	<b>(13)</b>	<b>29</b>		<b>822</b>
Realized investment losses, net of participating policyholders and minority interests	(6)	(4)	(56)	(2)		(68)

Edgar Filing: CNA FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-Q

Income tax benefit on realized investment gains (losses)	2	2	19	4	27
--	---	---	----	---	----

<b>Income (loss) from continuing operations</b>	\$ 459	\$ 341	\$ (50)	\$ 31	\$ 781
---	--------	--------	---------	-------	--------

**As of September 30, 2006**  
(In millions)

<b>Reinsurance receivables</b>	\$ 3,333	\$ 1,415	\$ 2,537	\$ 3,618	\$ 10,903
--------------------------------	----------	----------	----------	----------	-----------

<b>Insurance receivables</b>	\$ 1,856	\$ 425	\$ 41	\$ (30)	\$ 2,292
------------------------------	----------	--------	-------	---------	----------

**Insurance reserves:**

Claim and claim adjustment expense	\$ 14,822	\$ 5,507	\$ 3,268	\$ 6,544	\$ 30,141
------------------------------------	-----------	----------	----------	----------	-----------

Unearned premiums	2,083	1,614	170	5	(1) 3,871
-------------------	-------	-------	-----	---	-----------

Future policy benefits			6,552		6,552
------------------------	--	--	-------	--	-------

Policyholders funds	32		1,017		1,049
---------------------	----	--	-------	--	-------

<b>Deferred acquisition costs</b>	\$ 433	\$ 284	\$ 503	\$	\$ 1,220
-----------------------------------	--------	--------	--------	----	----------

**Table of Contents**

**CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, Continued**  
**(UNAUDITED)**

<b>For the nine months ended September 30, 2005</b> (In millions)	<b>Standard Lines</b>	<b>Specialty Lines</b>	<b>Life and Group Non-Core</b>	<b>Corporate and Other Non-Core</b>	<b>Eliminations</b>	<b>Total</b>
Net earned premiums	\$ 3,333	\$ 1,831	\$ 539	\$ (10)	\$ (9)	\$ 5,684
Net investment income	540	197	431	177		1,345
Other revenues	74	94	78	156	(51)	351
<b>Total operating revenues</b>	<b>3,947</b>	<b>2,122</b>	<b>1,048</b>	<b>323</b>	<b>(60)</b>	<b>7,380</b>
Claims, benefits and expenses:						
Net incurred claims and benefits	2,750	1,158	860	102	(2)	4,868
Policyholders dividends	16	3	(1)			18
Amortization of deferred acquisition costs	757	390	18	3		1,168
Other insurance related expenses	318	93	194	6	(7)	604
Other expenses	82	81	43	103	(51)	258
<b>Total claims, benefits and expenses</b>	<b>3,923</b>	<b>1,725</b>	<b>1,114</b>	<b>214</b>	<b>(60)</b>	<b>6,916</b>
Operating income (loss) from continuing operations before income tax and minority interest	24	397	(66)	109		464
Income tax (expense) benefit on operating income (loss)	41	(121)	37	20		(23)
Minority interest	(8)	(8)				(16)
<b>Net operating income (loss) from continuing operations</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>268</b>	<b>(29)</b>	<b>129</b>		<b>425</b>
Realized investment gains (losses), net of participating policyholders and minority interests	71	25	(8)	(14)		74
	(29)	(6)	3	2		(30)



Income tax (expense) benefit  
on realized investment gains  
(losses)

**Income (loss) from  
continuing operations**

\$	99	\$	287	\$	(34)	\$	117	\$	469
----	----	----	-----	----	------	----	-----	----	-----

**As of December 31, 2005**  
(In millions)

<b>Reinsurance receivables</b>	\$	3,968	\$	1,493	\$	2,707	\$	4,268	\$	12,436
--------------------------------	----	-------	----	-------	----	-------	----	-------	----	--------

<b>Insurance receivables</b>	\$	1,826	\$	375	\$	105	\$	5	\$	2,311
------------------------------	----	-------	----	-----	----	-----	----	---	----	-------

**Insurance reserves:**

Claim and claim adjustment expense	\$	15,084	\$	5,205	\$	3,277	\$	7,372	\$	30,938
---------------------------------------	----	--------	----	-------	----	-------	----	-------	----	--------

Unearned premiums		1,952		1,577		168		9		3,706
-------------------	--	-------	--	-------	--	-----	--	---	--	-------

Future policy benefits						6,297				6,297
------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	-------	--	--	--	-------

Policyholders funds		30				1,465				1,495
---------------------	--	----	--	--	--	-------	--	--	--	-------

<b>Deferred acquisition costs</b>	\$	408	\$	274	\$	515	\$		\$	1,197
-----------------------------------	----	-----	----	-----	----	-----	----	--	----	-------

49

**Table of Contents**

**CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, Continued**  
**(UNAUDITED)**

The following table provides revenue by line of business for each reportable segment. Revenues are comprised of operating revenues and realized investment gains and losses, net of participating policyholders' and minority interests.

**Revenue by Line of Business**

<b>Period ended September 30</b> (In millions)	<b>Three Months</b>		<b>Nine Months</b>	
	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b>
<b>Standard Lines</b>				
Property	\$ 260	\$ 212	\$ 732	\$ 644
Casualty	884	900	2,592	2,705
CNA Global	257	231	734	669
<b>Standard Lines revenue</b>	<b>1,401</b>	<b>1,343</b>	<b>4,058</b>	<b>4,018</b>
<b>Specialty Lines</b>				
Professional Liability Insurance	615	576	1,775	1,635
Surety	113	101	323	290
Warranty	71	75	212	222
<b>Specialty Lines revenue</b>	<b>799</b>	<b>752</b>	<b>2,310</b>	<b>2,147</b>
<b>Life and Group Non-Core</b>				
Life & Annuity	100	107	266	233
Health	224	228	655	677
Other	13	31	53	130
<b>Life and Group Non-Core revenue</b>	<b>337</b>	<b>366</b>	<b>974</b>	<b>1,040</b>
<b>Corporate and Other Non-Core</b>				
CNA Re	35	23	78	49
Other	62	55	155	260
<b>Corporate and Other Non-Core revenue</b>	<b>97</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>233</b>	<b>309</b>
<b>Eliminations</b>	<b>(14)</b>	<b>(19)</b>	<b>(42)</b>	<b>(60)</b>
<b>Total revenue</b>	<b>\$ 2,620</b>	<b>\$ 2,520</b>	<b>\$ 7,533</b>	<b>\$ 7,454</b>

**Note P. Restructuring and Other Related Charges**

In 2001, the Company finalized and approved a plan related to restructuring the property and casualty segments and Life and Group Non-Core segment, discontinuation of the variable life and annuity business and consolidation of real estate locations. During the second quarter of 2006, management reevaluated the sufficiency of the remaining accrual, which related to lease termination costs, and determined that the liability is no longer required as the Company has completed its lease obligations. As a result, the excess remaining accrual was released in the second quarter of 2006, resulting in pretax income of \$13 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006.

**Note Q. Significant Transactions**

***Managed Care Holdings Corporation***

On March 31, 2005, the Company completed the sale of Managed Care Holdings Corporation and its subsidiary, Caronia Corporation, to Octagon Risk Services, Incorporated, for approximately \$16 million. As a result of the sale, CNA recorded a realized gain of approximately \$1 million after-tax. The revenue of the business sold was \$4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2005. Net income related to this business was \$0.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2005. Additionally, the Company's goodwill decreased \$17 million as a result of the sale.

**Table of Contents****CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION  
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, Continued  
(UNAUDITED)*****Specialty Medical Business***

On January 6, 2005, the Company completed the sale of its specialty medical business to Aetna Inc. As a result of the sale, CNA recorded a realized gain of approximately \$9 million in 2005, of which \$2 million and \$7 million was recognized for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005. The revenues of the business sold were \$3 million and \$13 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005. Net income related to this business was \$1 million and \$6 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005.

**Note R. Related Party Transactions**

CNA reimburses Loews, or pays directly, for management fees, travel and related expenses and expenses of investment facilities and services provided to CNA. In 2005, the amount reimbursed or paid by CNA was approximately \$23 million. The CNA Tax Group is included in the consolidated federal income tax return of Loews and its eligible subsidiaries. See Note F for further discussion on taxes.

In August 2006, the Company repurchased the Series H Issue from Loews. In addition, the Company sold 7.86 million shares of its common stock to Loews. See Note M for further discussion.

CNA previously sponsored a stock ownership plan whereby the Company financed the purchase of Company common stock by certain officers, including executive officers. Interest charged on the principal amount of these outstanding stock purchase loans is generally equivalent to the long term applicable federal rate, compounded semi-annually, in effect on the disbursement date of the loan. Loans made pursuant to the plan are generally full recourse with a ten-year term maturing between October of 2008 and May of 2010, and are secured by the stock purchased. The carrying value of the loans as of September 30, 2006 exceeds the fair value of the related common stock collateral by \$13 million.

***CNA Surety Corporation******Loans to National Contractor***

CNA Surety has provided significant surety bond protection for a large national contractor that undertakes projects for the construction of government and private facilities, a substantial portion of which have been reinsured by CCC. In order to help this contractor meet its liquidity needs and complete projects which had been bonded by CNA Surety, commencing in 2003 CNAF provided loans to the contractor through a credit facility. Due to reduced operating cash flow at the contractor these loans were fully impaired through realized investment losses in 2004 and 2005. For the nine months ended September 30, 2005, the Company recorded a pretax impairment charge of \$34 million. The Company no longer provides additional liquidity to the contractor and has not recognized interest income related to the loans since June 30, 2005.

In addition to the impairment of loans outstanding under the credit facility, the Company determined that the contractor would likely be unable to meet its obligations under the surety bonds. Accordingly, during 2005, CNA Surety established \$110 million of surety loss reserves in anticipation of future loss payments, \$50 million of which was ceded to CCC under the reinsurance agreements discussed below. Further deterioration of the contractor's operating cash flow could result in higher loss estimates and trigger additional reserve actions. If any such reserve additions were required, CCC would have all further surety bond exposure through the reinsurance arrangements. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, CNA Surety paid \$11 million and \$32 million related to surety losses of the contractor. As of September 30, 2006, CNA Surety has made total surety loss payments of approximately \$58 million related to bonded obligations of the contractor.

CNA Surety may provide surety bonds on a limited basis on behalf of the contractor to support its revised restructuring plan, subject to the contractor's compliance with CNA Surety's underwriting standards and ongoing management of CNA Surety's exposure in relation to the contractor. All surety bonds written for the contractor are issued by CCC and its affiliates, other than CNA Surety, and are subject to underlying reinsurance treaties pursuant to which all bonds written on behalf of CNA Surety are 100% reinsured to one of CNA Surety's insurance subsidiaries. CCC provides reinsurance protection to CNA Surety for losses in excess of an aggregate of \$60 million associated with the contractor. This treaty provides coverage for the life of bonds either in force or written from January 1,



**Table of Contents**

**CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, Continued**  
**(UNAUDITED)**

2005 to December 31, 2005. CCC and CNA Surety agreed by addendum to extend this contract for twelve months, expiring on December 31, 2006.

CCC and CNA Surety continue to engage in periodic discussions with insurance regulatory authorities regarding the level of surety bonds provided for this contractor and will continue to apprise those authorities of the status of their ongoing exposure to this account.

Indemnification and subrogation rights, including rights to contract proceeds on construction projects in the event of default, reduce CNA Surety's and ultimately the Company's exposure to loss. While the Company believes that the contractor's continuing restructuring efforts may be successful, the contractor's failure to ultimately achieve its extended restructuring plan or perform its contractual obligations under the Company's surety bonds could have a material adverse effect on the Company's results of operations. If such failures occur, the Company estimates the additional surety loss, net of indemnification and subrogation recoveries, but before the effects of minority interest, to be approximately \$90 million pretax.

CNAF has also guaranteed or provided collateral for the contractor's letters of credit. As of September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, these guarantees and collateral obligations aggregated \$12 million and \$13 million.

**Note S. Discontinued Operations**

CNA has discontinued operations which consist of run-off insurance operations acquired in its merger with The Continental Corporation in 1995. The business consists of facultative property and casualty, treaty excess casualty and treaty pro-rata reinsurance with underlying exposure to a diverse, multi-line domestic and international book of business encompassing property, casualty, the London Market and marine liabilities. The run-off operations are concentrated in United Kingdom and Bermuda subsidiaries also acquired in the merger.

Operating results of the discontinued operations were as follows:

**Discontinued Operations**

Period ended September 30 (In millions)	Three Months		Nine Months	
	2006	2005	2006	2005
Revenues:				
Net investment income	\$ 5	\$ 3	\$ 13	\$ 11
Realized investment gains (losses) and other	-	4	(3)	(1)
Total revenues	5	7	10	10
Insurance related (expenses) benefits	(8)	(4)	(21)	2
Income (loss) before income taxes	(3)	3	(11)	12
Income tax benefit	9	-	9	-
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax	\$ 6	\$ 3	\$ (2)	\$ 12

Net assets of discontinued operations are included in Other Assets on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets and were as follows:

**Discontinued Operations**

(In millions)	September 30, 2006	December 31, 2005
---------------	-----------------------	----------------------

Edgar Filing: CNA FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-Q

Assets:			
Investments	\$	332	\$ 358
Reinsurance receivables		48	78
Cash		38	29
Other assets		5	5
Total assets		423	470
Liabilities:			
Insurance reserves		(320)	(338)
Other liabilities		(4)	(19)
Total liabilities		(324)	(357)
Net assets of discontinued operations	\$	99	\$ 113

**Table of Contents**
**CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, Continued**  
**(UNAUDITED)**

The Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income, net of tax, reported on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets includes \$11 million and \$11 million related to unrealized gains and \$11 million and \$6 million related to the cumulative foreign currency translation adjustment for discontinued operations as of September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005.

CNA's accounting and reporting for discontinued operations is in accordance with APB Opinion No. 30, Reporting the Results of Operations—Reporting the Effects of Disposal of a Segment of a Business, and Extraordinary, Unusual and Infrequently Occurring Events and Transactions (APB 30). At September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, the insurance reserves are net of discount of \$120 million and \$105 million. The income (loss) from discontinued operations reported above primarily represents the net investment income, realized investment gains and losses, foreign currency gains and losses, effects of the accretion of the loss reserve discount and re-estimation of the ultimate claim and claim adjustment expense of the discontinued operations. See Note T for information on the restatement for discontinued operations.

**Note T. Restatements**

The Company has restated its previously reported interim financial statements for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005 and all related disclosures. The restatement was to correct the accounting for discontinued operations acquired in the Company's merger with The Continental Corporation in 1995 and to correct classification errors within the Company's Condensed Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows.

**Discontinued Operations**

A review of discontinued operations completed in February 2006 identified an overstatement of the net assets of these discontinued operations and errors in accounting for the periodic results of these operations. The Company did not have an effectively designed control process in place to ensure adequate oversight, analysis, reconciliation, documentation and periodic evaluation of the results and balances that comprise the net assets of businesses reported as discontinued operations. There was also a lack of understanding of subsidiary ledger detail which contributed to the Company's failure to eliminate intercompany activity within discontinued operations and between continuing and discontinued operations. As a result, the balances related to discontinued operations were incorrectly established in the Company's current general ledger system in 1997 in connection with a general ledger conversion, creating an overstatement of the reported net assets of discontinued operations. In addition, the Company's evaluation of the periodic results of discontinued operations was ineffective. The correction of the elimination issue noted above caused the historical results of discontinued operations to change, requiring current evaluation of the revised periodic results for reporting purposes. Further, in light of the impact of the elimination corrections, the Company reviewed its historical process to evaluate the results of discontinued operations and determined that process did not address recorded loss reserves at all consolidating levels for discontinued operations. Therefore, the Company determined that it was appropriate to recognize the impact of the revised historical periodic income or loss of discontinued operations. The effect of the restatement on the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005 and the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Stockholders' Equity for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 is included in the tables below.

(In millions, except per share data)

	Three Months		Nine Months	
	As Previously Reported	As Restated	As Previously Reported	As Restated
<b>Period ended September 30, 2005</b>				
Condensed consolidated statements of operations:				
Income from discontinued operations, net of tax expense of \$0 and \$0	\$ -	\$ 3	\$ -	\$ 12
Net income	3	6	469	481



Earnings per share:				
Income from discontinued operations, net of tax	\$ -	\$ 0.02	\$ -	\$ 0.05
Basic and diluted earnings (loss) per share available to common stockholders	(0.06)	(0.04)	1.63	1.68

(In millions)			<b>As Previously Reported</b>	<b>As Restated</b>
---------------	--	--	---------------------------------------	------------------------

**Nine months ended September 30, 2005**

Condensed consolidated statement of stockholders' equity:				
Retained earnings			\$ 6,041	\$ 5,838
Accumulated other comprehensive income			384	388

**Table of Contents****CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION  
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, Continued  
(UNAUDITED)*****Condensed Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows***

The Condensed Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 has been restated to reflect the following:

Net purchases and sales of trading securities and changes in the net receivable/payable from unsettled investment purchases and sales related to trading securities, previously classified within investing activities, have been reclassified to cash flows from operating activities.

Cash flows from equity method investees were reclassified to distinguish between return on investments, which are reflected within operating cash flows, and return of investments, which are reflected within investing cash flows. Previously, all amounts were reflected within investing cash flows.

Deposits and withdrawals related to investment contract products issued by the Company have been reflected within financing cash flows. Previously, amounts related to certain investment contracts were reflected within operating cash flows.

The impact of cumulative translation adjustment, previously reflected within investing activities, is now classified within operating activities.

As a result of the restatements, previously reported cash flows provided by operating activities-continuing operations, cash flows used by investing activities-continuing operations and cash flows used by financing activities-continuing operations were increased or decreased for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 as follows:

<b>For the nine months ended September 30</b> (In millions)	<b>2005</b>
Cash flows provided by operating activities-continuing operations	
As previously reported	\$ 1,034
Impact of restatements	459
As restated	\$ 1,493
Cash flows used by investing activities-continuing operations	
As previously reported	\$ (540)
Impact of restatements	(253)
As restated	\$ (793)
Cash flows used by financing activities-continuing operations	
As previously reported	\$ (503)
Impact of restatements	(164)

As restated

\$ (667)

The restatements related to cash flows had no impact on the total change in cash from continuing operations within the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows.

Additionally, the Company has revised its Condensed Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 to separately disclose the operating, investing and financing portions of the cash flows attributable to discontinued operations, as well as to include the cash balance related to discontinued operations on the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows.

**Table of Contents**

**CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION**

**ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

**Overview**

The following discussion highlights significant factors impacting the consolidated operations and financial condition of CNA Financial Corporation (CNAF) and its subsidiaries (collectively CNA or the Company). References to CNA, the Company, we, our, us or like terms refer to the business of CNA and its subsidiaries. Based on 2005 statutory written premiums, we are the seventh largest commercial insurance writer and the thirteenth largest property and casualty company in the United States of America.

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 1 of Part 1 of this Form 10-Q and Item 1A. Risk Factors and Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, which are included in our Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) for the year ended December 31, 2005.

We have previously restated our reported interim financial statements for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005 and all related disclosures. The restatement was to correct the accounting for discontinued operations acquired in our merger with The Continental Corporation in 1995 and to correct classification errors within our Condensed Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows. This Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (MD&A) gives effect to the restatement of the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. Further information on this restatement is provided in Note T of the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 1.

Changes in estimates of claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserves and premium accruals, net of reinsurance, for prior years are defined as net prior year development within this MD&A. These changes can be favorable or unfavorable. Further information on our reserves is provided in Note H of the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 1.

**Table of Contents**

**CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION**  
**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS, Continued**  
**CONSOLIDATED OPERATIONS**

**Results of Operations**

The following table includes the consolidated results of our operations. For more detailed components of our business operations and the net operating income financial measure, see the segment discussions within this MD&A.

<b>Period ended September 30</b> (In millions, except per share data)	<b>Three Months</b>		<b>Nine Months</b>	
	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b>
<b>Revenues</b>				
Net earned premiums	\$ 1,943	\$ 1,873	\$ 5,704	\$ 5,684
Net investment income	600	500	1,722	1,345
Other revenues	56	80	175	351
<b>Total operating revenues</b>	<b>2,599</b>	<b>2,453</b>	<b>7,601</b>	<b>7,380</b>
<b>Claims, Benefits and Expenses</b>				
Net incurred claims and benefits	1,518	1,868	4,431	4,868
Policyholders' dividends	4	3	15	18
Amortization of deferred acquisition costs	390	416	1,132	1,168
Other insurance related expenses	175	183	563	604
Restructuring and other related charges	-	-	(13)	-
Other expenses	84	87	253	258
<b>Total claims, benefits and expenses</b>	<b>2,171</b>	<b>2,557</b>	<b>6,381</b>	<b>6,916</b>
<b>Operating income (loss) from continuing operations before income tax and minority interest</b>	<b>428</b>	<b>(104)</b>	<b>1,220</b>	<b>464</b>
Income tax (expense) benefit on operating income	(132)	76	(366)	(23)
Minority interest	(13)	(11)	(32)	(16)
<b>Net operating income (loss) from continuing operations</b>	<b>283</b>	<b>(39)</b>	<b>822</b>	<b>425</b>
Realized investment gains (losses), net of participating policyholders' and minority interests	21	67	(68)	74
Income tax (expense) benefit on realized investment gains (losses)	1	(25)	27	(30)
<b>Income from continuing operations</b>	<b>305</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>781</b>	<b>469</b>

Edgar Filing: CNA FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-Q

Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of income tax benefit of \$9, \$0, \$9 and \$0	6	3	(2)	12
---	---	---	-----	----

<b>Net income</b>	\$ 311	\$ 6	\$ 779	\$ 481
-------------------	--------	------	--------	--------

**Basic and Diluted Earnings (Loss) Per Share**

Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$ 1.13	\$ (0.06)	\$ 2.84	\$ 1.63
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	0.02	0.02	(0.01)	0.05

Basic and diluted earnings (loss) per share available to common stockholders	\$ 1.15	\$ (0.04)	\$ 2.83	\$ 1.68
---	---------	-----------	---------	---------

**Weighted average outstanding common stock and  
common stock equivalents**

Basic	265.0	256.0	259.0	256.0
Diluted	265.2	256.0	259.2	256.0

56

---

**Table of Contents**

**CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION  
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF  
OPERATIONS, Continued**

**Three Month Comparison**

Net income increased \$305 million for the three months ended September 30, 2006 as compared with the same period in 2005. This increase was primarily due to increased net operating results, partially offset by decreased net realized investment gains. See the Investments section of this MD&A for further discussion of net investment income and net realized investment results.

Net operating income from continuing operations for the three months ended September 30, 2006 increased \$322 million as compared with the same period in 2005. The 2005 results included a \$294 million after-tax impact of catastrophes resulting from Hurricanes Katrina, Rita, Dennis and Ophelia. The 2005 hurricane loss estimates are net of anticipated reinsurance recoveries, and include the impact of reinstatement premiums, insurance assessments and bad debt provision for uncollectible insurance receivables. The 2006 results included a \$5 million benefit related to a federal income tax settlement. Further information on taxes is included in Note F of the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 1. Also, favorably impacting net operating income was increased net investment income and improved net prior year development.

Favorable net prior year development of \$1 million was recorded for the three months ended September 30, 2006, including \$16 million of unfavorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development and \$17 million of favorable premium development. Unfavorable net prior year development of \$56 million, including \$49 million of unfavorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development and \$7 million of unfavorable premium development, was recorded for the three months ended September 30, 2005. Further information on Net Prior Year Development for the three months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 is included in Note H of the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 1.

Net earned premiums increased \$70 million for the three months ended September 30, 2006 as compared with the same period in 2005, including a \$48 million increase related to Standard Lines segment and a \$26 million increase related to Specialty Lines segment. Net earned premiums for Life and Group Non-Core segment decreased by \$9 million, as discussed in the segment discussions of this MD&A.

Income from discontinued operations was \$6 million for the three months ended September 30, 2006. Results in 2006 were primarily driven by favorable net investment income and the release of tax reserves of \$7 million.

**Nine Month Comparison**

Net income increased \$298 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 as compared with the same period in 2005. This increase was primarily due to improved net operating income, partially offset by decreased net realized investment results and unfavorable results from discontinued operations. See the Investments section of this MD&A for further discussion of net investment income and net realized investment results.

Net operating income from continuing operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 increased \$397 million as compared with the same period in 2005. Net operating income for the 2005 period was adversely impacted by the catastrophe impacts discussed above in the three month comparison. Favorably impacting net operating income for the 2006 period was increased net investment income and significantly decreased unfavorable net prior year development, partially offset by less favorable current accident year results.

Net operating income for Corporate and Other Non-Core segment decreased \$100 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 as compared to the same period in 2005. The 2006 and 2005 results included \$5 million and \$115 million benefit related to a federal income tax settlement. Further information on taxes is included in Note F of the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 1.

Unfavorable net prior year development of \$1 million was recorded for the nine months ended September 30, 2006, including \$96 million of unfavorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development and \$95 million of favorable premium development. Unfavorable net prior year development of \$216 million, including \$344 million of unfavorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development and \$128 million of favorable premium development, was recorded for the nine months ended September 30, 2005. Further information on Net Prior Year Development for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 is included in Note H of the

Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 1.

Net earned premiums increased \$20 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 as compared with the same period in 2005, including a \$84 million increase related to the Specialty Lines segment. Net earned premiums

57

---



**Table of Contents**

**CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION  
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF  
OPERATIONS, Continued**

for Life and Group Non-Core segment decreased \$57 million and net earned premiums for Standard Lines segment decreased by \$23 million, as discussed in the segment discussions of this MD&A.

Loss from discontinued operations was \$2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006. Results in 2006 were impacted by realized investment losses, unallocated loss adjustment expense reserves and bad debt provision for reinsurance receivables. These results were partially offset by favorable net investment income and the release of tax reserves.

**Critical Accounting Estimates**

The preparation of the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and the amounts of revenues and expenses reported during the period. Actual results may differ from those estimates.

Our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) and accompanying notes have been prepared in accordance with GAAP applied on a consistent basis. We continually evaluate the accounting policies and estimates used to prepare the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. In general, our estimates are based on historical experience, evaluation of current trends, information from third party professionals and various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the known facts and circumstances.

The accounting estimates below are considered by us to be critical to an understanding of our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements as their application places the most significant demands on our judgment.

Insurance Reserves

Reinsurance

Valuation of Investments and Impairment of Securities

Long Term Care Products

Pension and Postretirement Benefit Obligations

Legal Proceedings

Due to the inherent uncertainties involved with these types of judgments, actual results could differ significantly from estimates and may have a material adverse impact on our results of operations or equity. See the Critical Accounting Estimates section of our Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations included under Item 7 of our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005 for further information.

**Reserves - Estimates and Uncertainties**

We maintain reserves to cover our estimated ultimate unpaid liability for claim and claim adjustment expenses, including the estimated cost of the claims adjudication process, for claims that have been reported but not yet settled (case reserves) and claims that have been incurred but not reported (IBNR). Claim and claim adjustment expense reserves are reflected as liabilities and are included on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets under the heading Insurance Reserves. Adjustments to prior year reserve estimates, if necessary, are reflected in the results of operations in the period that the need for such adjustments is determined. The carried case and IBNR reserves are provided in the Segment Results section of this MD&A and in Note H of the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 1.

The level of reserves we maintain represents our best estimate, as of a particular point in time, of what the ultimate settlement and administration of claims will cost based on our assessment of facts and circumstances known at that time. Reserves are not an exact calculation of liability but instead are complex estimates that we derive, generally

utilizing a variety of actuarial reserve estimation techniques, from numerous assumptions and expectations about future events, both internal and external, many of which are highly uncertain.

Our experience has been that establishing reserves for casualty coverages relating to asbestos, environmental pollution and mass tort (APMT) claim and claim adjustment expenses is subject to uncertainties that are greater than

**Table of Contents**

**CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION  
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF  
OPERATIONS, Continued**

those presented by other claims. Estimating the ultimate cost of both reported and unreported APMT claims is subject to a higher degree of variability due to a number of additional factors, including among others:

coverage issues, including whether certain costs are covered under the policies and whether policy limits apply;

inconsistent court decisions and developing legal theories;

continuing aggressive tactics of plaintiffs' lawyers;

the risks and lack of predictability inherent in major litigation;

changes in the volume of APMT claims which cannot now be anticipated;

the impact of the exhaustion of primary limits and the resulting increase in claims on any umbrella or excess policies we have issued;

the number and outcome of direct actions against us; and

our ability to recover reinsurance for APMT claims.

It is also not possible to predict changes in the legal and legislative environment and the impact on the future development of APMT claims. This development will be affected by future court decisions and interpretations, as well as changes in applicable legislation. It is difficult to predict the ultimate outcome of large coverage disputes until settlement negotiations near completion and significant legal questions are resolved or, failing settlement, until the dispute is adjudicated. This is particularly the case with policyholders in bankruptcy where negotiations often involve a large number of claimants and other parties and require court approval to be effective. A further uncertainty exists as to whether a national privately financed trust to replace litigation of asbestos claims with payments to claimants from the trust will be established and approved through federal legislation, and, if established and approved, whether it will contain funding requirements in excess of our carried loss reserves.

Traditional actuarial methods and techniques employed to estimate the ultimate cost of claims for more traditional property and casualty exposures are less precise in estimating claim and claim adjustment reserves for APMT, particularly in an environment of emerging or potential claims and coverage issues that arise from industry practices and legal, judicial and social conditions. Therefore, these traditional actuarial methods and techniques are necessarily supplemented with additional estimation techniques and methodologies, many of which involve significant judgments that are required of management. For APMT, we regularly monitor our exposures, including reviews of loss activity, regulatory developments and court rulings. In addition, we perform a comprehensive ground-up analysis on our exposures annually. Our actuaries, in conjunction with our specialized claim unit, use various modeling techniques to estimate our overall exposure to known accounts. We use this information and additional modeling techniques to develop loss distributions and claim reporting patterns to determine reserves for accounts that will report APMT exposure in the future. Estimating the average claim size requires analysis of the impact of large losses and claim cost trend based on changes in the cost of repairing or replacing property, changes in the cost of legal fees, judicial decisions, legislative changes, and other factors. Due to the inherent uncertainties in estimating reserves for APMT claim and claim adjustment expenses and the degree of variability due to, among other things, the factors described above, we may be required to record material changes in our claim and claim adjustment expense reserves in the future, should new information become available or other developments emerge. See the APMT Reserves section of this MD&A and Note H of the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 1 for additional information relating to APMT claims and reserves.

In addition, we are subject to the uncertain effects of emerging or potential claims and coverage issues that arise as industry practices and legal, judicial, social and other environmental conditions change. These issues have had, and may continue to have, a negative effect on our business by either extending coverage beyond the original underwriting intent or by increasing the number or size of claims. Examples of emerging or potential claims and coverage issues include:

increases in the number and size of claims relating to injuries from medical products;

the effects of accounting and financial reporting scandals and other major corporate governance failures, which have resulted in an increase in the number and size of claims, including director and officer and errors and omissions insurance claims;

class action litigation relating to claims handling and other practices;

**Table of Contents**

**CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION**

**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS, Continued**

construction defect claims, including claims for a broad range of additional insured endorsements on policies;

clergy abuse claims, including passage of legislation to reopen or extend various statutes of limitations; and

mass tort claims, including bodily injury claims related to silica, welding rods, benzene, lead and various other chemical exposure claims.

The impact of these and other unforeseen emerging or potential claims and coverage issues is difficult to predict and could materially adversely affect the adequacy of our claim and claim adjustment expense reserves and could lead to future reserve additions. See the Segment Results sections of this MD&A and Note H of the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 1 for a discussion of changes in reserve estimates and the impact on our results of operations.

***Establishing Reserve Estimates***

In developing loss and loss adjustment expense ( loss or losses ) reserve estimates, our actuaries perform detailed reserve analyses that are staggered throughout the year. The data is organized at a product level. A product can be a line of business covering a subset of insureds such as commercial automobile liability for small and middle market customers, it can encompass several lines of business provided to a specific set of customers such as dentists, or it can be a particular type of claim such as construction defect. Every product is analyzed at least once during the year, and many products are analyzed multiple times. The analyses generally review losses gross of ceded reinsurance and apply the ceded reinsurance terms to the gross estimates to establish estimates net of reinsurance. In addition to the detailed analyses, we review actual losses emerged for all products each quarter.

The detailed analyses use a variety of generally accepted actuarial methods and techniques to produce a number of estimates of ultimate loss. We determine a point estimate of ultimate loss by reviewing the various estimates and assigning weight to each estimate given the characteristics of the product being reviewed. The reserve estimate is the difference between the estimated ultimate loss and the losses paid to date. The difference between the estimated ultimate loss and the case incurred loss (paid loss plus case reserve) is IBNR. IBNR calculated as such includes a provision for development on known cases (supplemental development) as well as a provision for claims that have occurred but have not yet been reported (pure IBNR).

Most of our business can be characterized as long-tail. For long-tail business, it will generally be several years between the time the business is written and the time when all claims are settled. Our long-tail exposures include commercial automobile liability, workers' compensation, general liability, medical malpractice, other professional liability coverages, assumed reinsurance run-off and products liability. Short-tail exposures include property, commercial automobile physical damage, marine and warranty. Each of our property/casualty segments, Standard Lines, Specialty Lines and Corporate and Other Non-Core, contain both long-tail and short-tail exposures.

The methods used to project ultimate loss for both long-tail and short-tail exposures include, but are not limited to, the following:

Paid Development,

Incurred Development,

Loss Ratio,

Bornhuetter-Ferguson Using Premiums and Paid Loss,

Bornhuetter-Ferguson Using Premiums and Incurred Loss, and

Average Loss.

The paid development method estimates ultimate losses by reviewing paid loss patterns and applying them to accident years with further expected changes in paid loss. Selection of the paid loss pattern requires analysis of several factors including the impact of inflation on claims costs, the rate at which claims professionals make claim payments and close claims, the impact of judicial decisions, the impact of underwriting changes, the impact of large claim payments and other factors. Claim cost inflation itself requires evaluation of changes in the cost of repairing or replacing property, changes in the cost of medical care, changes in the cost of wage replacement, judicial decisions, legislative changes and other factors. Because this method assumes that losses are paid at a consistent

**Table of Contents****CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION  
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF  
OPERATIONS, Continued**

rate, changes in any of these factors can impact the results. Since the method does not rely on case reserves, it is not directly influenced by changes in the adequacy of case reserves.

For many products, paid loss data for recent periods may be too immature or erratic for accurate predictions. This situation often exists for long-tail exposures. In addition, changes in the factors described above may result in inconsistent payment patterns. Finally, estimating the paid loss pattern subsequent to the most mature point available in the data analyzed often involves considerable uncertainty for long-tail products such as workers' compensation.

The incurred development method is similar to the paid development method, but it uses case incurred losses instead of paid losses. Since the method uses more data (case reserves in addition to paid losses) than the paid development method, the incurred development patterns may be less variable than paid patterns. However, selection of the incurred loss pattern requires analysis of all of the factors above. In addition, the inclusion of case reserves can lead to distortions if changes in case reserving practices have taken place, and the use of case incurred losses may not eliminate the issues associated with estimating the incurred loss pattern subsequent to the most mature point available.

The loss ratio method multiplies premiums by an expected loss ratio to produce ultimate loss estimates for each accident year. This method may be useful if loss development patterns are inconsistent, losses emerge very slowly, or there is relatively little loss history from which to estimate future losses. The selection of the expected loss ratio requires analysis of loss ratios from earlier accident years or pricing studies and analysis of inflationary trends, frequency trends, rate changes, underwriting changes and other applicable factors.

The Bornhuetter-Ferguson using premiums and paid loss method is a combination of the paid development approach and the loss ratio approach. The method normally determines expected loss ratios similar to the approach used to estimate the expected loss ratio for the loss ratio method and requires analysis of the same factors described above. The method assumes that only future losses will develop at the expected loss ratio level. The percent of paid loss to ultimate loss implied from the paid development method is used to determine what percentage of ultimate loss is yet to be paid. The use of the pattern from the paid development method requires consideration of all factors listed in the description of the paid development method. The estimate of losses yet to be paid is added to current paid losses to estimate the ultimate loss for each year. This method will react very slowly if actual ultimate loss ratios are different from expectations due to changes not accounted for by the expected loss ratio calculation.

The Bornhuetter-Ferguson using premiums and incurred loss method is similar to the Bornhuetter-Ferguson using premiums and paid loss method except that it uses case incurred losses. The use of case incurred losses instead of paid losses can result in development patterns that are less variable than paid patterns. However, the inclusion of case reserves can lead to distortions if changes in case reserving have taken place, and the method requires analysis of all the factors that need to be reviewed for the loss ratio and incurred development methods.

The average loss method multiplies a projected number of ultimate claims by an estimated ultimate average loss for each accident year to produce ultimate loss estimates. Since projections of the ultimate number of claims are often less variable than projections of ultimate loss, this method can provide more reliable results for products where loss development patterns are inconsistent or too variable to be relied on exclusively. In addition, this method can more directly account for changes in coverage that impact the number and size of claims. However, this method can be difficult to apply to situations where very large claims or a substantial number of unusual claims result in volatile average claim sizes. Projecting the ultimate number of claims requires analysis of several factors including the rate at which policyholders report claims to us, the impact of judicial decisions, the impact of underwriting changes and other factors. Estimating the ultimate average loss requires analysis of the impact of large losses and claim cost trend based on changes in the cost of repairing or replacing property, changes in the cost of medical care, changes in the cost of wage replacement, judicial decisions, legislative changes and other factors.

For other more complex products where the above methods may not produce reliable indications, we use additional methods tailored to the characteristics of the specific situation. Such products include construction defect losses and APMT.

For construction defect losses, our actuaries organize losses by report year. Report year groups claims by the year in which they were reported. To estimate losses from claims that have not been reported, various extrapolation techniques are applied to the pattern of claims that have been reported to estimate the number of claims yet to be reported. This process requires analysis of several factors including the rate at which policyholders report claims to



**Table of Contents****CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION  
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF  
OPERATIONS, Continued**

us, the impact of judicial decisions, the impact of underwriting changes and other factors. An average claim size is determined from past experience and applied to the number of unreported claims to estimate reserves for these claims. For many exposures, especially those that can be considered long-tail, a particular accident year may not have a sufficient volume of paid losses to produce a statistically reliable estimate of ultimate losses. In such a case, our actuaries typically assign more weight to the incurred development method than to the paid development method.

As claims continue to settle and the volume of paid loss increases, the actuaries may assign additional weight to the paid development method. For most of our products, even the incurred losses for accident years that are early in the claim settlement process will not be of sufficient volume to produce a reliable estimate of ultimate losses. In these cases, we will not assign any weight to the paid and incurred development methods. We will use loss ratio, Bornhuetter-Ferguson and average loss methods. For short-tail exposures, the paid and incurred development methods can often be relied on sooner primarily because our history includes a sufficient number of years to cover the entire period over which paid and incurred losses are expected to change. However, we may also use loss ratio, Bornhuetter-Ferguson and average loss methods for short-tail exposures.

***Periodic Reserve Reviews***

The reserve analyses performed by our actuaries result in point estimates. Each quarter, the results of the detailed reserve reviews are summarized and discussed with our senior management to determine the best estimate of reserves. This group considers many factors in making this decision. The factors include, but are not limited to, the historical pattern and volatility of the actuarial indications, the sensitivity of the actuarial indications to changes in paid and incurred loss patterns, the consistency of claims handling processes, the consistency of case reserving practices, changes in our pricing and underwriting, and overall pricing and underwriting trends in the insurance market.

Our recorded reserves reflect our best estimate as of a particular point in time based upon known facts, current law and our judgment. The carried reserve may differ from the actuarial point estimate as the result of our consideration of the factors noted above as well as the potential volatility of the projections associated with the specific product being analyzed and other factors impacting claims costs that may not be quantifiable through actuarial analysis. This process results in management's best estimate which is then recorded as the loss reserve.

Currently, our reserves are slightly higher than the actuarial point estimate. We do not establish a specific provision for uncertainty. For Standard and Specialty Lines, the difference between our reserves and the actuarial point estimate is due to the two most recent complete accident years. The claim data from these accident years is very immature. We believe it is prudent to wait until actual experience confirms that the loss reserves should be adjusted. For Corporate and Other Non-Core, the carried reserve is slightly higher than the actuarial point estimate. While the actuarial estimates for APMT exposures reflect current knowledge, we feel it is prudent, based on the history of developments in this area, to reflect some margin in the carried reserve until the ultimate outcome of the issues associated with these exposures is clearer.

The key assumptions fundamental to the reserving process are often different for various products and accident years. Some of these assumptions are explicit assumptions that are required of a particular method, but most of the assumptions are implicit and cannot be precisely quantified. An example of an explicit assumption is the pattern employed in the paid development method. However, the assumed pattern is itself based on several implicit assumptions such as the impact of inflation on medical costs and the rate at which claim professionals close claims. As a result, the effect on reserve estimates of a particular change in assumptions usually cannot be specifically quantified, and changes in these assumptions cannot be tracked over time.

Our recorded reserves are management's best estimate. In order to provide an indication of the variability associated with our net reserves, the following discussion provides a sensitivity analysis that shows the approximate estimated impact of variations in the most significant factor affecting our reserve estimates for particular types of business. These significant factors are the ones that could most likely materially impact the reserves. This discussion covers the major types of business for which we believe a material deviation to our reserves is reasonably possible. There can be no assurance that actual experience will be consistent with the current assumptions or with the variation indicated by

the discussion. In addition, there can be no assurance that other factors and assumptions will not have a material impact on our reserves.

**Table of Contents****CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION  
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF  
OPERATIONS, Continued**

Within Standard Lines, the two types of business for which we believe a material deviation to our net reserves is reasonably possible are workers' compensation and general liability.

For Standard Lines workers' compensation, since many years will pass from the time the business is written until all claim payments have been made, claim cost inflation on claim payments is the most significant factor affecting workers' compensation reserve estimates. Workers' compensation claim cost inflation is driven by the cost of medical care, the cost of wage replacement, expected claimant lifetimes, judicial decisions, legislative changes and other factors. If estimated workers' compensation claim cost inflation increases by one point for the entire period over which claim payments will be made, we estimate that our net reserves would increase by approximately \$450 million. If estimated workers' compensation claim cost inflation decreases by one point for the entire period over which claim payments will be made, we estimate that our net reserves would decrease by approximately \$400 million. Our net reserves for Standard Lines workers' compensation were approximately \$3.9 billion at December 31, 2005.

For Standard Lines general liability, the predominant method used for estimating reserves is the incurred development method. Changes in the cost to repair or replace property, the cost of medical care, the cost of wage replacement, judicial decisions, legislation and other factors all impact the pattern selected in this method. The pattern selected results in the incurred development factor that estimates future changes in case incurred loss. If the estimated incurred development factor for general liability increases by 15%, we estimate that our net reserves would increase by approximately \$380 million. If the estimated incurred development factor for general liability decreases by 14%, we estimate that our net reserves would decrease by approximately \$340 million. Our net reserves for Standard Lines general liability were approximately \$4.1 billion at December 31, 2005.

Within Specialty Lines, we believe a material deviation to our net reserves is reasonably possible for the Professional Liability Insurance (CNA Pro) group. CNA Pro provides professional liability coverages to various professional firms as well as directors and officers (D&O), errors and omissions, employment practices, fiduciary and fidelity coverages. CNA Pro also offers insurance products to serve the healthcare delivery system. The most significant factor affecting CNA Pro reserve estimates is claim severity. Claim severity for CNA Pro is driven by the cost of medical care, the cost of wage replacement, legal fees, judicial decisions, legislation and other factors. Underwriting and claim handling decisions such as the classes of business written and individual claim settlement decisions can also impact claim severity. If the estimated claim severity for CNA Pro increases by 7%, we estimate that CNA Pro net reserves would increase by approximately \$250 million. If the estimated claim severity for CNA Pro decreases by 4%, we estimate that CNA Pro net reserves would decrease by approximately \$140 million. Our net reserves for CNA Pro were approximately \$3.4 billion at December 31, 2005.

Within Corporate and Other Non-Core, the two types of business for which we believe a material deviation to our net reserves is reasonably possible are CNA Re and APMT.

For CNA Re, the predominant method used for estimating reserves is the incurred development method. Changes in the cost to repair or replace property, the cost of medical care, the cost of wage replacement, the rate at which ceding companies report claims, judicial decisions, legislation and other factors all impact the incurred development pattern for CNA Re. The pattern selected results in the incurred development factor that estimates future changes in case incurred loss. If the estimated incurred development factor for CNA Re increases by 20%, we estimate that our net reserves for CNA Re would increase by approximately \$170 million. If the estimated incurred development factor for CNA Re decreases by 19%, we estimate that our net reserves would decrease by approximately \$150 million. Our net reserves for CNA Re were approximately \$1.3 billion at December 31, 2005.

For APMT, the most significant factor affecting reserve estimates is overall account size trend. Overall account size trend for APMT reflects the combined impact of economic trends (inflation), changes in the types of defendants involved, the expected mix of asbestos disease types, judicial decisions, legislation and other factors. If the estimated overall account size trend for APMT increases by 6 points, we estimate that our APMT net reserves would increase by approximately \$700 million. If the estimated overall account size trend for APMT decreases by 9 points, we estimate that our APMT net reserves would decrease by approximately \$450 million. Our net reserves for APMT were

approximately \$2.0 billion at December 31, 2005.

Given the factors described above, it is not possible to quantify precisely the ultimate exposure represented by claims and related litigation. As a result, we regularly review the adequacy of our reserves and reassess our reserve estimates as historical loss experience develops, additional claims are reported and settled and additional information becomes available in subsequent periods.

**Table of Contents****CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION  
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF  
OPERATIONS, Continued**

In light of the many uncertainties associated with establishing the estimates and making the assumptions necessary to establish reserve levels, we review our reserve estimates on a regular basis and make adjustments in the period that the need for such adjustments is determined. These reviews have resulted in our identification of information and trends that have caused us to increase our reserves in prior periods and could lead to the identification of a need for additional material increases in claim and claim adjustment expense reserves, which could materially adversely affect our results of operations, equity, business and insurer financial strength and debt ratings. See the Ratings section of this MD&A for further information regarding our financial strength and debt ratings.

**Reinsurance**

Due to significant catastrophes during 2005, the cost of our catastrophe reinsurance program has increased in 2006. Our catastrophe reinsurance protection cost us premiums of approximately \$64 million in 2005, including reinstatement premiums and will cost us approximately \$79 million in 2006 before the impact of any reinstatement premiums.

The terms of our 2006 programs are different than those of our 2005 programs. The Corporate Property Catastrophe treaty provides coverage for the accumulation of losses between \$200 million and \$700 million arising out of a single catastrophe occurrence in the United States, its territories and possessions, and Canada. Our co-participation is 30% of the first \$125 million layer, 15% of the next \$175 million layer and 10% of the remaining layer. Our Marine treaty provides \$65 million of protection above a \$20 million retention on the accumulation of losses arising out of a single catastrophe occurrence.

In addition to these reinsurance treaties, our exposure to aggregation of certain catastrophe events is further mitigated by an Aggregate Property Catastrophe treaty. The Aggregate Property Catastrophe treaty covers 92% of \$150 million of losses above a retention of \$125 million from named earthquake or wind storm catastrophes in the United States, its territories and possessions, and Canada, which exceed \$35 million. For any single event, the maximum that can be applied to our retention or recovered under the treaty is \$75 million.

Our overall ceded reinsurance program includes certain finite property and casualty contracts that were entered into and are accounted for on a funds withheld basis. Under the funds withheld basis, we recorded the cash remitted to the reinsurer for the reinsurer's margin, or cost of the reinsurance contract, as ceded premiums. The remainder of the premiums ceded under the reinsurance contract not remitted in cash is recorded as funds withheld liabilities. We are required to increase the funds withheld balance at stated interest crediting rates applied to the funds withheld balance or as otherwise specified under the terms of the contract. The funds withheld liability is reduced by any cumulative claim payments made by us in excess of our retention under the reinsurance contract. If the funds withheld liability is exhausted, interest crediting will cease and additional claim payments are recoverable from the reinsurer.

Effective July 25, 2006, we commuted our CCC Cover resulting in a reduction of Reinsurance Receivables of approximately \$761 million and a corresponding reduction of \$761 million in the funds withheld liability, which was included in Reinsurance Balances Payable on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet. This commutation had no impact on the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006. See Note I of the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 1.

As of September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, there were 12 and 13 ceded reinsurance treaties in force that we consider to be finite reinsurance. These treaties provide reinsurance protection for individual accident years 1999 through 2002 on specified portions of our domestic property and casualty business. Further information on the impacts of these reinsurance programs is included in Note I of the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 1.

In 2003, we discontinued purchases of such contracts. Given the relative maturity of the covered accident years and the amount of remaining limit under the contracts, we do not expect to cede a material amount of losses to these contracts in the future.

**Terrorism Insurance**

We and the insurance industry incurred substantial losses related to the 2001 World Trade Center event. For the most part, the industry was able to absorb the loss of capital from this event, but the capacity to withstand the effect of any additional terrorism events was significantly diminished.

**Table of Contents****CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION  
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF  
OPERATIONS, Continued**

The Terrorism Risk Insurance Act of 2002 (TRIA) established a program within the Department of the Treasury under which insurers are required to offer terrorism insurance and the federal government will share the risk of loss by commercial property and casualty insurers arising from future terrorist attacks. Although TRIA expired on December 31, 2005, the Terrorism Risk Insurance Extension Act of 2005 (TRIEA) extended this program through December 31, 2007 with changes such as the lines of business covered, the deductible amount that must be paid by the insurance company and the aggregate industry loss prior to federal government assistance becoming available.

While TRIEA provides the property and casualty industry with an increased ability to withstand the effect of a terrorist event through 2007, given the unpredictability of the nature, targets, severity or frequency of potential terrorist events, our results of operations or equity could nevertheless be materially adversely impacted by them. We are attempting to mitigate this exposure through our underwriting practices, as well as policy terms and conditions (where applicable). Under the laws of certain states, we are generally prohibited from excluding terrorism exposure from our primary workers' compensation policies. Further, in those states that mandate property insurance coverage of damage from fire following a loss, we are prohibited from excluding terrorism exposure.

Over the past several years, we have been underwriting our business to manage our terrorism exposure through strict underwriting standards, risk avoidance measures and conditional terrorism exclusions where permitted by law. There is substantial uncertainty as to our ability to effectively contain our terrorism exposure since, notwithstanding our efforts described above, we continue to issue forms of coverage, in particular, workers' compensation, that are exposed to risk of loss from a terrorism event.

**Restructuring**

In 2001, we finalized and approved a plan related to restructuring the property and casualty segments and Life and Group Non-Core segment, discontinuation of the variable life and annuity business and consolidation of real estate locations. During the second quarter of 2006, we reevaluated the sufficiency of the remaining accrual, which related to lease termination costs, and determined that the liability is no longer required as we have completed our lease obligations. As a result, the excess remaining accrual was released in the second quarter of 2006, resulting in income of \$8 million after-tax for the nine months ended September 30, 2006.

**SEGMENT RESULTS**

The following discusses the results of our continuing operations for our operating segments. We utilize the net operating income financial measure to monitor our operations. Net operating income is calculated by excluding from net income the after-tax effects of 1) net realized investment gains or losses, 2) income or loss from discontinued operations and 3) cumulative effects of changes in accounting principles. See further discussion regarding how we manage our business in Note O of the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 1. In evaluating the results of the Standard Lines and Specialty Lines, we utilize the combined ratio, the loss ratio, the expense ratio and the dividend ratio. These ratios are calculated using GAAP financial results. The loss ratio is the percentage of net incurred claim and claim adjustment expenses to net earned premiums. The expense ratio is the percentage of insurance underwriting and acquisition expenses, including the amortization of deferred acquisition costs, to net earned premiums. The dividend ratio is the ratio of policyholders' dividends incurred to net earned premiums. The combined ratio is the sum of the loss, expense and dividend ratios.

**Table of Contents**

**CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION**  
**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS, Continued**  
**STANDARD LINES**

The following table summarizes the results of operations for Standard Lines.

**Results of Operations**

Period ended September 30 (In millions)	Three Months		Nine Months	
	2006	2005	2006	2005
Net written premiums	\$ 1,121	\$ 1,047	\$ 3,394	\$ 3,352
Net earned premiums	1,128	1,080	3,310	3,333
Net investment income	239	186	705	540
Net operating income (loss)	163	(144)	463	57
Net realized investment gains (losses)	11	33	(4)	42
Net income (loss)	174	(111)	459	99
<b>Ratios</b>				
Loss and loss adjustment expense	68.7%	106.3%	69.4%	82.5%
Expense	30.1	33.0	30.7	32.2
Dividend	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.5
Combined	99.2%	139.6%	100.5%	115.2%

**Three Month Comparison**

Net written premiums for Standard Lines increased \$74 million for the three months ended September 30, 2006 as compared with the same period in 2005. This increase was driven by improved new business, rate and retention in the Property lines of business. In addition, there was \$21 million of reinsurance reinstatement premiums recorded in 2005 due to the hurricanes, which reduced written premiums in the 2005 period, and favorable premium development as discussed below. These favorable impacts were partially offset by increased reinsurance costs in 2006. Net earned premiums increased \$48 million for the three months ended September 30, 2006 as compared with the same period in 2005, consistent with the increased premiums written.

Standard Lines averaged rate increases of 1% for the three months ended September 30, 2006, as compared to average rate decreases of 2% for the three months ended September 30, 2005 for the contracts that renewed during those periods. Retention rates of 81% and 78% were achieved for those contracts that were up for renewal in each period.

Net results increased \$285 million for the three months ended September 30, 2006 as compared with the same period in 2005. This improvement was attributable to increased net operating results, partially offset by lower net realized investment gains. See the Investments section of this MD&A for further discussion of net investment income and net realized investment results.

Net operating results increased \$307 million for the three months ended September 30, 2006 as compared with the same period in 2005. This increase was primarily driven by the significant decrease of catastrophe impacts in 2006, an increase in net investment income and favorable impacts of net prior year development as discussed below. The 2006 net operating results included catastrophe impacts of \$12 million after-tax. The 2005 catastrophe impacts of \$280 million after-tax included estimated net losses related to Hurricanes Katrina, Rita, Dennis and Ophelia, net of reinsurance recoveries, and include the effect of reinstatement premiums and estimated insurance assessments. These increases to operating income were partially offset by less favorable current accident year results.



The combined ratio improved 40.4 points for the three months ended September 30, 2006 as compared with the same period in 2005. The loss ratio improved 37.6 points. The 2005 loss ratio included 40 points related to the impact of catastrophes.

The expense ratio improved 2.9 points for the three months ended September 30, 2006 as compared with the same period in 2005. This improvement was primarily due to a decrease in the bad debt provision for insurance receivables. Favorable net prior year development of \$13 million was recorded for the three months ended September 30, 2006, including \$6 million of unfavorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development and

**Table of Contents****CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION  
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF  
OPERATIONS, Continued**

\$19 million of favorable premium development. Unfavorable net prior year development of \$25 million, including \$18 million of unfavorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development and \$7 million of unfavorable premium development, was recorded for the three months ended September 30, 2005. Further information on Standard Lines Net Prior Year Development for the three months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 is included in Note H of the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 1.

**Nine Month Comparison**

Net written premiums for Standard Lines increased \$42 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 as compared with the same period in 2005. This increase was driven by favorable rate and retention primarily in the Property lines of business. In addition, there was \$21 million of reinsurance reinstatement premiums recorded in 2005 due to the hurricanes. This favorability is partially offset by increased ceded premiums in 2006 as compared to 2005, primarily related to favorable ceded premium development recorded in 2005 resulting from an unfavorable arbitration ruling on two reinsurance treaties and increased reinsurance costs in 2006. Net earned premiums decreased \$23 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 as compared with the same period in 2005.

Standard Lines averaged flat rates for the nine months ended September 30, 2006, as compared to average rate decreases of 2% for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 for the contracts that renewed during those periods. Retention rates of 81% and 76% were achieved for those contracts that were up for renewal in each period.

Net income increased \$360 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 as compared with the same period in 2005. This increase was attributable to increased net operating income, partially offset by lower net realized investment results. See the Investments section of this MD&A for further discussion of net investment income and net realized investment results.

Net operating income increased \$406 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 as compared with the same period in 2005. This increase was primarily driven by a significant decrease of catastrophe impacts in 2006, an increase in net investment income and favorable impacts of net prior year development as discussed below. These increases to operating income were partially offset by less favorable current accident year results.

The combined ratio improved 14.7 points for the nine months ended September 30, 2006, as compared with the same period in 2005. The loss ratio improved 13.1 points. The 2005 loss ratio included 13.1 points related to the impact of catastrophes. In addition, the loss ratio was impacted by favorable net prior year development in 2006, as discussed in further detail below. These favorable impacts were partially offset by higher current accident year losses across most lines of business.

The expense ratio improved 1.5 points for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 as compared with the same period in 2005. This improvement was primarily due to a decrease in the bad debt provision for insurance receivables. In addition, the 2005 ratio included increased commissions as a result of an unfavorable arbitration ruling related to two reinsurance treaties. Changes in estimates for premium taxes partially offset these favorable impacts.

Favorable net prior year development of \$22 million was recorded for the nine months ended September 30, 2006, including \$70 million of unfavorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development and \$92 million of favorable premium development. Unfavorable net prior year development of \$97 million, including \$202 million of unfavorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development and \$105 million of favorable premium development, was recorded for the nine months ended September 30, 2005. Further information on Standard Lines Net Prior Year Development for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 is included in Note H of the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 1.

**Table of Contents****CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION  
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF  
OPERATIONS, Continued**

The following table summarizes the gross and net carried reserves as of September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005 for Standard Lines.

**Gross and Net Carried****Claim and Claim Adjustment Expense Reserves**

	<b>September 30, 2006</b>	<b>December 31, 2005</b>
(In millions)		
Gross Case Reserves	\$ 6,843	\$ 7,033
Gross IBNR Reserves	7,979	8,051
<b>Total Gross Carried Claim and Claim Adjustment Expense Reserves</b>	<b>\$ 14,822</b>	<b>\$ 15,084</b>
Net Case Reserves	\$ 5,117	\$ 5,165
Net IBNR Reserves	6,484	6,081
<b>Total Net Carried Claim and Claim Adjustment Expense Reserves</b>	<b>\$ 11,601</b>	<b>\$ 11,246</b>

**SPECIALTY LINES**

The following table summarizes the results of operations for Specialty Lines.

**Results of Operations**

<b>Period ended September 30</b> (In millions)	<b>Three Months</b>		<b>Nine Months</b>	
	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b>
Net written premiums	\$ 675	\$ 649	\$ 1,948	\$ 1,838
Net earned premiums	654	628	1,915	1,831
Net investment income	101	74	287	197
Net operating income	119	108	343	268
Net realized investment gains (losses)	5	11	(2)	19
Net income	124	119	341	287
Ratios				
Loss and loss adjustment expense	60.7%	58.4%	60.4%	63.3%
Expense	25.8	27.3	26.4	26.3
Dividend	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.2
Combined	86.6%	85.9%	86.9%	89.8%

**Three Month Comparison**

Net written premiums for Specialty Lines increased \$26 million for the three months ended September 30, 2006 as compared to the same period in 2005. This increase was primarily due to improved retention across certain professional liability insurance lines of business. Net earned premiums increased \$26 million for the three months ended September 30, 2006 as compared with the same period in 2005, consistent with the increased premium written. Specialty Lines averaged rate decreases of 1% for the three months ended September 30, 2006, as compared to average rate increases of 2% for the three months ended September 30, 2005 for the contracts that renewed during those periods. Retention rates of 86% and 84% were achieved for those contracts that were up for renewal in each period.

Net income increased \$5 million for the three months ended September 30, 2006 as compared with the same period in 2005. This increase was attributable to increased net operating income, partially offset by reduced realized investment gains. See the Investments section of this MD&A for further discussion of net investment income and net realized investment results.

**Table of Contents****CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION  
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF  
OPERATIONS, Continued**

Net operating income increased \$11 million for the three months ended September 30, 2006 as compared with the same period in 2005. This increase was primarily driven by an increase in net investment income and reduced catastrophe impacts in 2006. Catastrophe impacts were less than \$1 million after-tax for the three months ended September 30, 2006, as compared to \$14 million after-tax for the three months ended September 30, 2005. These favorable impacts were partially offset by less favorable current accident year results.

The combined ratio increased 0.7 points for the three months ended September 30, 2006 as compared with the same period in 2005. The loss ratio increased 2.3 points, primarily due to higher current accident year losses in certain professional liability insurance lines of business, partially offset by decreased catastrophe losses for the three months ended September 30, 2006 as compared with the same period in 2005.

The expense ratio decreased 1.5 points for the three months ended September 30, 2006 as compared with the same period in 2005. The 2005 ratio included a change in estimate related to profit commissions in the warranty line of business, which is entirely offset in the loss ratio.

Unfavorable net prior year development of \$2 million, including \$4 million of favorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development and \$6 million of unfavorable premium development, was recorded for the three months ended September 30, 2006. Favorable net prior year development of \$1 million, including \$7 million of favorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development and \$6 million of unfavorable premium development, was recorded for the three months ended September 30, 2005. Further information on Specialty Lines Net Prior Year Development for the three months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 is included in Note H of the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 1.

**Nine Month Comparison**

Net written premiums for Specialty Lines increased \$110 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 as compared to the same period in 2005. This increase was primarily due to improved retention across certain lines of business. Net earned premiums increased \$84 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 as compared with the same period in 2005, consistent with the increased premium written.

Specialty Lines averaged flat rates for the nine months ended September 30, 2006, as compared to average rate increases of 1% for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 for the contracts that renewed during those periods. Retention rates of 87% and 86% were achieved for those contracts that were up for renewal in each period.

Net income increased \$54 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 as compared with the same period in 2005. This increase was attributable to increased net operating income, partially offset by reduced realized investment results. See the Investments section of this MD&A for further discussion of net investment income and net realized investment results.

Net operating income increased \$75 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 as compared with the same period in 2005. This improvement was primarily driven by an increase in net investment income, favorable impacts of net prior year development as discussed below and reduced catastrophe impacts in 2006. Also, the 2005 results included a \$17 million loss, after the impact of taxes and minority interests, in the surety line of business related to a large national contractor. Further information related to the large national contractor is included in Note R of the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 1. Partially offsetting these favorable impacts were less favorable current accident year results.

The combined ratio improved 2.9 points for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 as compared with the same period in 2005. The loss ratio improved by 2.9 points due to favorable net prior year development and decreased catastrophe losses in 2006.

Favorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development of \$1 million was recorded for the nine months ended September 30, 2006. There was no premium development recorded for the nine months ended September 30, 2006. Unfavorable net prior year development of \$41 million, including \$31 million of unfavorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development and \$10 million of unfavorable premium development, was recorded for the nine months ended September 30, 2005. Further information on Specialty Lines

Net Prior Year Development for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 is included in Note H of the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 1.

**Table of Contents****CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION  
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF  
OPERATIONS, Continued**

The following table summarizes the gross and net carried reserves as of September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005 for Specialty Lines.

**Gross and Net Carried****Claim and Claim Adjustment Expense Reserves**

	<b>September 30, 2006</b>	<b>December 31, 2005</b>
(In millions)		
Gross Case Reserves	\$ 1,724	\$ 1,907
Gross IBNR Reserves	3,783	3,298
<b>Total Gross Carried Claim and Claim Adjustment Expense Reserves</b>	<b>\$ 5,507</b>	<b>\$ 5,205</b>
Net Case Reserves	\$ 1,352	\$ 1,442
Net IBNR Reserves	2,813	2,352
<b>Total Net Carried Claim and Claim Adjustment Expense Reserves</b>	<b>\$ 4,165</b>	<b>\$ 3,794</b>

**LIFE AND GROUP NON-CORE**

The following table summarizes the results of operations for Life and Group Non-Core.

**Results of Operations**

<b>Period ended September 30</b> (In millions)	<b>Three Months</b>		<b>Nine Months</b>	
	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b>
Net earned premiums	\$ 160	\$ 169	\$ 482	\$ 539
Net investment income	179	179	504	431
Net operating loss	(15)	(35)	(13)	(29)
Net realized investment losses	(7)	(1)	(37)	(5)
Net loss	(22)	(36)	(50)	(34)

**Three Month Comparison**

Net earned premiums for Life and Group Non-Core decreased \$9 million for the three months ended September 30, 2006 as compared with the same period in 2005. The net earned premiums relate primarily to the group and individual long term care businesses.

Net results increased \$14 million for the three months ended September 30, 2006 as compared with the same period in 2005. The 2005 net results included a \$17 million provision for estimated indemnification liabilities related to the sold individual life business. This improvement was offset by increased net realized investment losses. See the Investment section of this MD&A for further discussion of net investment income and net realized investment results.

**Nine Month Comparison**

Net earned premiums for Life and Group Non-Core decreased \$57 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 as compared with the same period in 2005. The net earned premiums relate primarily to the group and individual long term care businesses.

Net results decreased by \$16 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 as compared with the same period in 2005. The decrease in net results is primarily due to higher net realized investment losses. In addition, the 2005 net results included a change in estimate, which reduced a prior accrual of state premium taxes and income related to agreements with buyers of sold businesses which ended as of December 31, 2005. Partially offsetting these unfavorable impacts was increased net investment income. The net investment income was largely offset by a corresponding increase in the policyholders' funds reserves supported by the trading portfolio. Also, included in the 2006 results is \$13 million of income related to the resolution of contingencies, and the absence of a 2005 \$17 million provision for estimated indemnification liabilities related to the sold individual life business. See the



**Table of Contents****CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION  
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF  
OPERATIONS, Continued**

Investment section of this MD&A for further discussion of net investment income and net realized investment results.  
**CORPORATE AND OTHER NON-CORE**

The following table summarizes the results of operations for the Corporate and Other Non-Core segment, including Asbestos, Environmental Pollution and Mass Tort (APMT) and intrasegment eliminations.

**Results of Operations**

<b>Period ended September 30</b> (In millions)	<b>Three Months</b>		<b>Nine Months</b>	
	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b>
Net investment income	\$ 81	\$ 61	\$ 226	\$ 177
Revenues	83	59	191	249
Net operating income	16	32	29	129
Net realized investment gains (losses)	13	(1)	2	(12)
Net income	29	31	31	117

**Three Month Comparison**

Revenues increased \$24 million for the three months ended September 30, 2006 as compared with the same period in 2005. The increase in revenues was primarily due to increased net investment income, improved realized investment results and interest income related to a federal income tax settlement. See the Investments section of this MD&A for further discussion of net investment income and realized investment results. See Note F of the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 1 for further discussion on the federal income tax settlement.

Net income decreased \$2 million for the three months ended September 30, 2006 as compared with the same period in 2005. The decrease in net income was primarily due to the discontinuation of royalty income related to a sold business, an increase in current accident year losses related to mass torts and increased interest costs related to the issuance of \$750 million of senior notes in August 2006. These decreases were substantially offset by the increased revenue as discussed above and a \$3 million benefit related to a federal income tax settlement.

There was \$3 million of unfavorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development and \$3 million of favorable premium development, resulting in no net prior year development for the three months ended September 30, 2006. Unfavorable net prior year premium development of \$1 million was recorded for the three months ended September 30, 2005. There was no net prior year claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development for the three months ended September 30, 2005. Further information on Corporate and Other Non-Core's Net Prior Year Development for 2006 and 2005 is included in Note H of the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 1.

**Nine Month Comparison**

Revenues decreased \$58 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 as compared with the same period in 2005. Revenues in 2006 and 2005 included interest income related to federal income tax settlements of \$4 million and \$121 million as further discussed in Note F of the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 1. This decrease was partially offset by increased net investment income and improved realized investment results.

Net income decreased \$86 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 as compared with the same period in 2005. The decrease in net income was due to the reduced federal income tax settlement and the items discussed in the three month comparison above. Partially offsetting these decreases was a commutation loss in 2005 of \$36 million after-tax, which is a component of the 2005 unfavorable net prior year development referenced below.

Unfavorable net prior year development of \$16 million was recorded for the nine months ended September 30, 2006, including \$15 million of unfavorable net prior year claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development and \$1 million of unfavorable premium development. Unfavorable net prior year development of

\$89 million was recorded for the nine months ended September 30, 2005, including \$74 million of unfavorable net prior year claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development and \$15 million of unfavorable

**Table of Contents****CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION  
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF  
OPERATIONS, Continued**

premium development. Further information on Corporate and Other Non-Core's Net Prior Year Development for 2006 and 2005 is included in Note H of the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 1.

The following table summarizes the gross and net carried reserves as of September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005 for Corporate and Other Non-Core.

**Gross and Net Carried  
Claim and Claim Adjustment Expense Reserves**

	<b>September 30, 2006</b>	<b>December 31, 2005</b>
(In millions)		
Gross Case Reserves	\$ 2,766	\$ 3,297
Gross IBNR Reserves	3,777	4,075
<b>Total Gross Carried Claim and Claim Adjustment Expense Reserves</b>	<b>\$ 6,543</b>	<b>\$ 7,372</b>
Net Case Reserves	\$ 1,390	\$ 1,554
Net IBNR Reserves	2,023	1,902
<b>Total Net Carried Claim and Claim Adjustment Expense Reserves</b>	<b>\$ 3,413</b>	<b>\$ 3,456</b>

**APMT Reserves**

Our property and casualty insurance subsidiaries have actual and potential exposures related to asbestos, environmental pollution and mass tort (APMT) claims.

Establishing reserves for APMT claim and claim adjustment expenses is subject to uncertainties that are greater than those presented by other claims. Traditional actuarial methods and techniques employed to estimate the ultimate cost of claims for more traditional property and casualty exposures are less precise in estimating claim and claim adjustment expense reserves for APMT, particularly in an environment of emerging or potential claims and coverage issues that arise from industry practices and legal, judicial, and social conditions. Therefore, these traditional actuarial methods and techniques are necessarily supplemented with additional estimating techniques and methodologies, many of which involve significant judgments that are required on our part. Accordingly, a high degree of uncertainty remains for our ultimate liability for APMT claim and claim adjustment expenses.

In addition to the difficulties described above, estimating the ultimate cost of both reported and unreported APMT claims is subject to a higher degree of variability due to a number of additional factors, including among others: the number and outcome of direct actions against us; coverage issues, including whether certain costs are covered under the policies and whether policy limits apply; allocation of liability among numerous parties, some of whom may be in bankruptcy proceedings, and in particular the application of joint and several liability to specific insurers on a risk; inconsistent court decisions and developing legal theories; continuing aggressive tactics of plaintiffs' lawyers; the risks and lack of predictability inherent in major litigation; enactment of federal legislation to address asbestos claims; an increase in asbestos, environmental pollution and mass tort claims which cannot now be anticipated; an increase in costs to defend asbestos, pollution and mass tort claims; expanding liability against our policyholders in

environmental and mass tort matters; broadened scope of clean-up resulting in increased liability to our policyholders; a further increase of claims and claims payment that may exhaust underlying umbrella and excess coverage at accelerated rates; and future developments pertaining to our ability to recover reinsurance for asbestos, pollution and mass tort claims.

Due to the inherent uncertainties in estimating claim and claim adjustment expense reserves for APMT and due to the significant uncertainties described related to APMT claims, our ultimate liability for these cases, both individually and in aggregate, may exceed the recorded reserves. Any such potential additional liability, or any range of potential additional amounts, cannot be reasonably estimated currently, but could be material to our business, results of operations, equity, and insurer financial strength and debt ratings. Due to, among other things, the factors described above, it may be necessary for us to record material changes in our APMT claim and claim adjustment expense reserves in the future, should new information become available or other developments emerge.

**Table of Contents****CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION  
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF  
OPERATIONS, Continued**

We have annually performed ground up reviews of all open APMT claims to evaluate the adequacy of our APMT reserves. In performing our comprehensive ground up analysis, we consider input from our professionals with direct responsibility for the claims, inside and outside counsel with responsibility for our representation and our actuarial staff. These professionals review, among many factors, the policyholder's present and predicted future exposures, including such factors as claims volume, trial conditions, prior settlement history, settlement demands and defense costs; the impact of asbestos defendant bankruptcies on the policyholder; the policies we issued, including such factors as aggregate or per occurrence limits, whether the policy is primary, umbrella or excess, and the existence of policyholder retentions and/or deductibles; the existence of other insurance; and reinsurance arrangements.

The following table provides data related to our APMT claim and claim adjustment expense reserves.

**APMT Reserves**

	September 30, 2006		December 31, 2005	
	Asbestos	Environmental Pollution and Mass Tort	Asbestos	Environmental Pollution and Mass Tort
(In millions)				
Gross reserves	\$ 2,735	\$ 585	\$ 2,992	\$ 680
Ceded reserves	(1,255)	(220)	(1,438)	(257)
<b>Net reserves</b>	<b>\$ 1,480</b>	<b>\$ 365</b>	<b>\$ 1,554</b>	<b>\$ 423</b>

**Asbestos**

In the past several years, we experienced, at certain points in time, significant increases in claim counts for asbestos-related claims. The factors that led to these increases included, among other things, intensive advertising campaigns by lawyers for asbestos claimants, mass medical screening programs sponsored by plaintiff lawyers and the addition of new defendants such as the distributors and installers of products containing asbestos. In subsequent years, the rate of new filings has decreased. Various challenges to mass screening claimants have been mounted. Historically, the majority of asbestos bodily injury claims have been filed by persons exhibiting few, if any, disease symptoms. Studies have concluded that the percentage of unimpaired claimants to total claimants ranges between 66% and up to 90%. Some courts and some state statutes mandate that so-called unimpaired claimants may not recover unless at some point the claimant's condition worsens to the point of impairment. Some plaintiffs classified as unimpaired continue to challenge those orders and statutes. Therefore, the ultimate impact of the orders and statutes on future asbestos claims remains uncertain.

Several factors are, in our view, negatively impacting asbestos claim trends. Plaintiff attorneys who previously sued entities that are now bankrupt continue to seek other viable targets. As a result, companies with few or no previous asbestos claims are becoming targets in asbestos litigation and, although they may have little or no liability, nevertheless must be defended. Additionally, plaintiff attorneys and trustees for future claimants are demanding that policy limits be paid lump-sum into the bankruptcy asbestos trusts prior to presentation of valid claims and medical proof of these claims. Various challenges to these practices are currently in litigation and the ultimate impact or success of these tactics remains uncertain. Plaintiff attorneys and trustees for future claimants are also attempting to devise claims payment procedures for bankruptcy trusts that would allow asbestos claims to be paid under lax standards for injury, exposure and causation. This also presents the potential for exhausting policy limits in an accelerated fashion.

As a result of bankruptcies and insolvencies, we had in the past observed an increase in the total number of policyholders with current asbestos claims as additional defendants are added to existing lawsuits and are named in new asbestos bodily injury lawsuits. The rate of new bodily injury claims moderated in 2004 and 2005, although the number of policyholders claiming coverage for asbestos related claims has remained relatively flat in the past several years.

We have resolved a number of our large asbestos accounts by negotiating settlement agreements. Structured settlement agreements provide for payments over multiple years as set forth in each individual agreement.

**Table of Contents****CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION  
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF  
OPERATIONS, Continued**

In 1985, 47 asbestos producers and their insurers, including CIC, executed the Wellington Agreement. The agreement intended to resolve all issues and litigation related to coverage for asbestos exposures. Under this agreement, signatory insurers committed scheduled policy limits and made the limits available to pay asbestos claims based upon coverage blocks designated by the policyholders in 1985, subject to extension by policyholders. CIC was a signatory insurer to the Wellington Agreement.

We have also used coverage in place agreements to resolve large asbestos exposures. Coverage in place agreements are typically agreements between us and our policyholders identifying the policies and the terms for payment of asbestos related liabilities. Claims payments are contingent on presentation of adequate documentation showing exposure during the policy periods and other documentation supporting the demand for claims payment. Coverage in place agreements may have annual payment caps. Coverage in place agreements are evaluated based on claims filings trends and severities.

We categorize active asbestos accounts as large or small accounts. We define a large account as an active account with more than \$100 thousand of cumulative paid losses. We have made closing large accounts a significant management priority. Small accounts are defined as active accounts with \$100 thousand or less of cumulative paid losses. Approximately 81% of our total active asbestos accounts are classified as small accounts at September 30, 2006.

We also evaluate our asbestos liabilities arising from our assumed reinsurance business and our participation in various pools, including Excess & Casualty Reinsurance Association (ECRA).

IBNR reserves relate to potential development on accounts that have not settled and potential future claims from unidentified policyholders.

The tables below depict our overall pending asbestos accounts and associated reserves at September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005.

**Pending Asbestos Accounts and Associated Reserves****September 30, 2006**

	<b>Number of Policyholders</b>	<b>Net Paid Losses in 2006 (In millions)</b>	<b>Net Asbestos Reserves (In millions)</b>	<b>Percent of Asbestos Net Reserves</b>
Policyholders with settlement agreements				
Structured Settlements	15	\$ 33	\$ 151	10%
Wellington	3	1	14	1
Coverage in place	37	(12)	90	6
Fibreboard	1	-	54	4
<b>Total with settlement agreements</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>309</b>	<b>21</b>
Other policyholders with active accounts				
Large asbestos accounts	209	36	246	16
Small asbestos accounts	1,096	14	84	6
<b>Total other policyholders</b>	<b>1,305</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>330</b>	<b>22</b>

Assumed reinsurance and pools	-		4		143		10
Unassigned IBNR	-		-		698		47
<b>Total</b>	1,361	\$	76	\$	1,480		100%
	74						

---



**Table of Contents****CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION  
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF  
OPERATIONS, Continued****Pending Asbestos Accounts and Associated Reserves****December 31, 2005**

	Number of Policyholders	Net Paid Losses in 2005 (In millions)	Net Asbestos Reserves (In millions)	Percent of Asbestos Net Reserves
Policyholders with settlement agreements				
Structured Settlements	13	\$ 30	\$ 167	11%
Wellington	4	2	15	1
Coverage in place	34	13	58	4
Fibreboard	1	-	54	3
<b>Total with settlement agreements</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>294</b>	<b>19</b>
Other policyholders with active accounts				
Large asbestos accounts	199	68	273	17
Small asbestos accounts	1,073	23	135	9
<b>Total other policyholders</b>	<b>1,272</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>408</b>	<b>26</b>
Assumed reinsurance and pools	-	6	143	9
Unassigned IBNR	-	-	709	46
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,324</b>	<b>\$ 142</b>	<b>\$ 1,554</b>	<b>100%</b>

Some asbestos-related defendants have asserted that their insurance policies are not subject to aggregate limits on coverage. We have such claims from a number of insureds. Some of these claims involve insureds facing exhaustion of products liability aggregate limits in their policies, who have asserted that their asbestos-related claims fall within so-called non-products liability coverage contained within their policies rather than products liability coverage, and that the claimed non-products coverage is not subject to any aggregate limit. It is difficult to predict the ultimate size of any of the claims for coverage purportedly not subject to aggregate limits or predict to what extent, if any, the attempts to assert non-products claims outside the products liability aggregate will succeed. Our policies also contain other limits applicable to these claims and we have additional coverage defenses to certain claims. We have attempted to manage our asbestos exposure by aggressively seeking to settle claims on acceptable terms. There can be no assurance that any of these settlement efforts will be successful, or that any such claims can be settled on terms acceptable to us. Where we cannot settle a claim on acceptable terms, we aggressively litigate the claim. A court ruling by the United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit has supported certain of our positions with respect to coverage for non-products claims. However, adverse developments with respect to such matters could have a

material adverse effect on our results of operations and/or equity.

As a result of the uncertainties and complexities involved, reserves for asbestos claims cannot be estimated with traditional actuarial techniques that rely on historical accident year loss development factors. In establishing asbestos reserves, we evaluate the exposure presented by each insured. As part of this evaluation, we consider the available insurance coverage; limits and deductibles; the potential role of other insurance, particularly underlying coverage below any of our excess liability policies; and applicable coverage defenses, including asbestos exclusions. Estimation of asbestos-related claim and claim adjustment expense reserves involves a high degree of judgment on our part and consideration of many complex factors, including: inconsistency of court decisions, jury attitudes and future court decisions; specific policy provisions; allocation of liability among insurers and insureds; missing policies and proof of coverage; the proliferation of bankruptcy proceedings and attendant uncertainties; novel theories asserted by policyholders and their counsel; the targeting of a broader range of businesses and entities as defendants; the uncertainty as to which other insureds may be targeted in the future and the uncertainties inherent in predicting the number of future claims; volatility in claim numbers and settlement demands; increases in the number of non-impaired claimants and the extent to which they can be precluded from making claims; the efforts by insureds to obtain coverage not subject to aggregate limits; long latency period between asbestos exposure and disease manifestation and the resulting potential for involvement of multiple policy periods for individual claims; medical inflation trends; the mix of asbestos-related diseases presented and the ability to recover reinsurance.

**Table of Contents****CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION  
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF  
OPERATIONS, Continued**

We are also monitoring possible legislative reforms on the state and national level, including possible federal legislation to create a national privately financed trust financed by contributions from insurers such as us, industrial companies and others, which if established, could replace litigation of asbestos claims with payments to claimants from the trust. It is uncertain at the present time whether such legislation will be enacted or, if it is, its impact on us. We are involved in significant asbestos-related claim litigation, which is described in Note H of the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 1.

***Environmental Pollution and Mass Tort***

Environmental pollution cleanup is the subject of both federal and state regulation. By some estimates, there are thousands of potential waste sites subject to cleanup. The insurance industry is involved in extensive litigation regarding coverage issues. Judicial interpretations in many cases have expanded the scope of coverage and liability beyond the original intent of the policies. The Comprehensive Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 (Superfund) and comparable state statutes (mini-Superfunds) govern the cleanup and restoration of toxic waste sites and formalize the concept of legal liability for cleanup and restoration by Potentially Responsible Parties (PRPs). Superfund and the mini-Superfunds establish mechanisms to pay for cleanup of waste sites if PRPs fail to do so and assign liability to PRPs. The extent of liability to be allocated to a PRP is dependent upon a variety of factors. Further, the number of waste sites subject to cleanup is unknown. To date, approximately 1,500 cleanup sites have been identified by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and included on its National Priorities List (NPL). State authorities have designated many cleanup sites as well.

Many policyholders have made claims against us for defense costs and indemnification in connection with environmental pollution matters. The vast majority of these claims relate to accident years 1989 and prior, which coincides with our adoption of the Simplified Commercial General Liability coverage form, which includes what is referred to in the industry as absolute pollution exclusion. We and the insurance industry are disputing coverage for many such claims. Key coverage issues include whether cleanup costs are considered damages under the policies, trigger of coverage, allocation of liability among triggered policies, applicability of pollution exclusions and owned property exclusions, the potential for joint and several liability and the definition of an occurrence. To date, courts have been inconsistent in their rulings on these issues.

We have made resolution of large environmental pollution exposures a management priority. We have resolved a number of our large environmental accounts by negotiating settlement agreements. In our settlements, we sought to resolve those exposures and obtain the broadest release language to avoid future claims from the same policyholders seeking coverage for sites or claims that had not emerged at the time we settled with our policyholder. While the terms of each settlement agreement vary, we sought to obtain broad environmental releases that include known and unknown sites, claims and policies. The broad scope of the release provisions contained in those settlement agreements should, in many cases, prevent future exposure from settled policyholders. It remains uncertain, however, whether a court interpreting the language of the settlement agreements will adhere to the intent of the parties and uphold the broad scope of language of the agreements.

We classify our environmental pollution accounts into several categories, which include structured settlements, coverage in place agreements and active accounts. Structured settlement agreements provide for payments over multiple years as set forth in each individual agreement.

We have also used coverage in place agreements to resolve pollution exposures. Coverage in place agreements are typically agreements between us and our policyholders identifying the policies and the terms for payment of pollution related liabilities. Claims payments are contingent on presentation of adequate documentation of damages during the policy periods and other documentation supporting the demand for claims payment. Coverage in place agreements may have annual payment caps.

We categorize active accounts as large or small accounts in the pollution area. We define a large account as an active account with more than \$100 thousand cumulative paid losses. We have made closing large accounts a significant management priority. Small accounts are defined as active accounts with \$100 thousand or less cumulative paid

losses.

We also evaluate our environmental pollution exposures arising from our assumed reinsurance and our participation in various pools, including ECRA.

**Table of Contents****CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION  
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF  
OPERATIONS, Continued**

We carry unassigned IBNR reserves for environmental pollution. These reserves relate to potential development on accounts that have not settled and potential future claims from unidentified policyholders.

The tables below depict our overall pending environmental pollution accounts and associated reserves at September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005.

**Pending Environmental Pollution Accounts and Associated Reserves****September 30, 2006**

	Number of Policyholders	Net Paid Losses in 2006 (In millions)	Net Environmental Pollution Reserves (In millions)	Percent of Environmental Pollution Net Reserve
Policyholders with Settlement Agreements				
Structured settlements	12	\$ 14	\$ 10	3%
Coverage in place	18	4	22	7
Total with Settlement Agreements	30	18	32	10
Other Policyholders with Active Accounts				
Large pollution accounts	110	16	52	18
Small pollution accounts	358	8	37	13
Total Other Policyholders	468	24	89	31
Assumed Reinsurance & Pools	-	1	32	11
Unassigned IBNR	-	-	140	48
<b>Total</b>	498	\$ 43	\$ 293	100%

**Pending Environmental Pollution Accounts and Associated Reserves****December 31, 2005**

	Number of Policyholders	Net Paid Losses in 2005 (In millions)	Net Environmental Pollution Reserves (In millions)	Percent of Environmental Pollution Net Reserve
--	-------------------------------	---	---	--

Edgar Filing: CNA FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-Q

Policyholders with Settlement Agreements						
Structured settlements	6	\$	10	\$	17	5%
Coverage in place	16		10		23	7
Total with Settlement Agreements	22		20		40	12
Other Policyholders with Active Accounts						
Large pollution accounts	120		18		63	19
Small pollution accounts	362		15		50	15
Total Other Policyholders	482		33		113	34
Assumed Reinsurance & Pools	-		3		33	10
Unassigned IBNR	-		-		150	44
<b>Total</b>	504	\$	56	\$	336	100%

77

---

**Table of Contents**

**CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION**  
**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS, Continued**  
**INVESTMENTS**

**Net Investment Income**

The significant components of net investment income are presented in the following table.

**Net Investment Income**

<b>Period ended September 30</b> (In millions)	<b>Three Months</b>		<b>Nine Months</b>	
	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b>
Fixed maturity securities	\$ 477	\$ 393	\$ 1,372	\$ 1,167
Short term investments	61	42	184	103
Limited partnerships	46	72	173	189
Equity securities	4	6	18	18
Income from trading portfolio (a)	30	41	63	25
Interest on funds withheld and other deposits	(10)	(50)	(65)	(139)
Other	2	5	10	16
<b>Gross investment income</b>	<b>610</b>	<b>509</b>	<b>1,755</b>	<b>1,379</b>
<b>Investment expense</b>	<b>(10)</b>	<b>(9)</b>	<b>(33)</b>	<b>(34)</b>
<b>Net investment income</b>	<b>\$ 600</b>	<b>\$ 500</b>	<b>\$ 1,722</b>	<b>\$ 1,345</b>

(a) The change in net unrealized gains (losses) on trading securities, included in net investment income, was \$3 million and \$(1) million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 and \$3 million and \$(4) million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005.

Net investment income increased by \$100 million for the three months ended September 30, 2006 compared with the same period of 2005. The improvement was primarily driven by interest rate increases across fixed maturity securities and short term investments, an increase in asset base and a reduction of interest expense on funds withheld and other deposits. Commutations of significant finite reinsurance contracts completed in 2005 contributed to the increase in asset base. In addition, commutations of significant finite reinsurance contracts completed in 2005 and 2006 contributed to the decrease in interest expense. These increases were partially offset by a decrease in investment income from limited partnerships and the trading portfolio. The decreased income from the trading portfolio was largely offset by a corresponding decrease in the policyholders' funds reserves supported by the trading portfolio, which is included in Insurance Claims and Policyholders' Benefits on the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations. See Note I of the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 1 regarding additional information about interest costs on funds withheld and other deposits.

Net investment income increased by \$377 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 compared with the same period of 2005. The improvement was primarily driven by interest rate increases across fixed maturity securities and short term investments, an increase in asset base and a reduction of interest expense on funds withheld and other deposits. Commutations of significant finite reinsurance contracts completed in 2005 contributed to the increase in asset base. In addition, commutations of significant finite reinsurance contracts completed in 2005 and 2006 contributed to the decrease in interest expense. Also impacting net investment income was increased income from the trading portfolio of approximately \$38 million. The increased income from the trading portfolio was largely offset by a corresponding increase in the policyholders' funds reserves supported by the trading portfolio, which is included in

Insurance Claims and Policyholders Benefits on the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations.

The bond segment of the investment portfolio yielded 5.6% and 4.8% for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005.



**Table of Contents****CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION  
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF  
OPERATIONS, Continued*****Net Realized Investment Gains (Losses)***

The components of net realized investment results for available-for-sale securities are presented in the following table.

**Net Realized Investment Gains (Losses)**

<b>Period ended September 30</b> (In millions)	<b>Three Months</b>		<b>Nine Months</b>	
	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b>
Realized investment gains (losses):				
Fixed maturity securities:				
U.S. Government bonds	\$ 18	\$ 2	\$ 22	\$ (10)
Corporate and other taxable bonds	(18)	9	(114)	(36)
Tax-exempt bonds	40	4	51	38
Asset-backed bonds	(1)	7	(15)	18
Redeemable preferred stock	(2)	-	(3)	10
Total fixed maturity securities	37	22	(59)	20
Equity securities	(3)	6	3	45
Derivative securities	(12)	53	(7)	34
Short term investments	(2)	1	(6)	1
Other, including disposition of businesses, net of participating policyholders' interest	1	(15)	(1)	(28)
Realized investment gains (losses) before allocation to participating policyholders' and minority interests	21	67	(70)	72
Allocated to participating policyholders' and minority interest	-	-	2	2
Income tax (expense) benefit	1	(25)	27	(30)
<b>Net realized investment gains (losses), net of participating policyholders' and minority interests</b>	<b>\$ 22</b>	<b>\$ 42</b>	<b>\$ (41)</b>	<b>\$ 44</b>

Net realized investment gains were \$22 million and \$42 million for the three months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005. The decrease in net realized investment results was primarily driven by an increase in interest related other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) losses on securities for which we did not assert an intent to hold until an anticipated recovery in value. For the three months ended September 30, 2006, OTTI losses of \$30 million were recorded primarily in the corporate and other taxable bonds sector. This compares to OTTI losses for the three months ended September 30, 2005 of \$11 million recorded across various sectors.

Net realized investment losses were \$41 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 as compared to net realized investment gains of \$44 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2005. The decrease in net realized investment results was primarily driven by decreased gains on sales as a result of increasing interest rates between the two periods, the effect of interest rates on derivative positions and increases in interest related OTTI losses on securities for which we did not assert an intent to hold until an anticipated recovery in value. For the nine months

ended September 30, 2006, OTTI losses of \$56 million were recorded primarily in the corporate and other taxable bonds sector. This compares to OTTI losses for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 of \$46 million recorded across various sectors, including an OTTI loss of \$22 million related to loans to a large national contractor. For additional information on loans to the large national contractor, see Note R of the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 1.

A primary objective in the management of the fixed maturity and equity portfolios is to optimize return relative to underlying liabilities and respective liquidity needs. Our views on the current interest rate environment, tax regulations, asset class valuations, specific security issuer and broader industry segment conditions, and the domestic and global economic conditions, are some of the factors that enter into an investment decision. We also continually monitor exposure to issuers of securities held and broader industry sector exposures and may from time to time adjust such exposures based on our views of a specific issuer or industry sector.

The investment portfolio is periodically analyzed for changes in duration and related price change risk. Additionally, we periodically review the sensitivity of the portfolio to the level of foreign exchange rates and other

**Table of Contents****CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION  
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF  
OPERATIONS, Continued**

factors that contribute to market price changes. A summary of these risks and specific analysis on changes is included in Item 3 Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk included herein.

We invest in certain derivative financial instruments primarily to reduce our exposure to market risk (principally interest rate, equity price and foreign currency risk) and credit risk (risk of nonperformance of underlying obligor). Derivative securities are recorded at fair value at the reporting date. We also use derivatives to mitigate market risk by purchasing S&P 500<sup>®</sup> index futures in a notional amount equal to the contract liability relating to Life and Group Non-Core indexed group annuity contracts. We provided collateral to satisfy margin deposits on exchange-traded derivatives totaling \$30 million as of September 30, 2006. For over-the-counter derivative transactions we utilize International Swaps and Derivatives Association (ISDA) Master Agreements that specify certain limits over which collateral is exchanged. As of September 30, 2006, we provided \$14 million of cash as collateral for over-the-counter derivative instruments.

A further consideration in the management of the investment portfolio is the characteristics of the underlying liabilities and the ability to align the duration of the portfolio to those liabilities to meet future liquidity needs, minimize interest rate risk and maintain a level of income sufficient to support the underlying insurance liabilities. For portfolios where future liability cash flows are determinable and long term in nature, we segregate assets for asset liability management purposes.

We classify our fixed maturity securities (bonds and redeemable preferred stocks) and our equity securities as either available-for-sale or trading, and as such, they are carried at fair value. The amortized cost of fixed maturity securities is adjusted for amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts to maturity, which is included in net investment income. Changes in fair value related to available-for-sale securities are reported as a component of other comprehensive income. Changes in fair value of trading securities are reported within net investment income.

The following table provides further detail of gross realized gains and gross realized losses on available-for-sale fixed maturity securities and equity securities, which includes OTTI losses.

**Realized Gains and Losses**

<b>Period ended September 30</b> (In millions)	<b>Three Months</b>		<b>Nine Months</b>	
	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b>
Net realized gains (losses) on fixed maturity securities and equity securities:				
Fixed maturity securities:				
Gross realized gains	\$ 114	\$ 65	\$ 216	\$ 330
Gross realized losses	(77)	(43)	(275)	(310)
Net realized gains (losses) on fixed maturity securities	37	22	(59)	20
Equity securities:				
Gross realized gains	1	16	9	70
Gross realized losses	(4)	(10)	(6)	(25)
Net realized gains (losses) on equity securities	(3)	6	3	45

<b>Net realized gains (losses) on fixed maturity and equity securities</b>	\$ 34	\$ 28	\$ (56)	\$ 65
--	-------	-------	---------	-------

80

---

**Table of Contents****CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION  
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF  
OPERATIONS, Continued**

The following table provides details of the largest realized losses from sales of securities aggregated by issuer including: the fair value of the securities at date of sale, the amount of the loss recorded and the period of time that the security had been in an unrealized loss position prior to sale. The period of time that the security had been in an unrealized loss position prior to sale can vary due to the timing of individual security purchases. Also included is a narrative providing the industry sector along with the facts and circumstances giving rise to the loss.

**Largest Realized Losses from Securities Sold at a Loss****Nine months ended September 30, 2006**

<b>Issuer Description and Discussion</b> (In millions)	<b>Fair Value at Date of Sale</b>	<b>Loss On Sale</b>	<b>Months in Unrealized Loss Prior To Sale (a)</b>
Various notes and bonds issued by the United States Treasury, including Treasury Inflation-Protected Securities. Securities sold due to inflationary outlook and asset reallocation.	\$ 3,050	\$ 12	0-6
State of New York revenue bonds. Position was sold to reduce municipal holdings.	289	6	0-12
Company provides property and casualty, managed care, life and various other insurance products in the United States. Position was sold to reduce exposure in the insurance sector.	56	5	0-6
Corporation operates hybrid fiber-coaxial broadband cable communications and provides high speed internet access and digital video applications. Position was sold to reduce exposure.	92	5	0-6
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 3,487</b>	<b>\$ 28</b>	

(a) Represents the range of consecutive months the various positions were in an unrealized loss prior to sale. 0-12+ means certain positions were less than 12 months, while others were greater than 12 months.

**Table of Contents****CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION  
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF  
OPERATIONS, Continued*****Valuation and Impairment of Investments***

The following table details the carrying value of our general account investment portfolios.

**Carrying Value of Investments**

(In millions)	<b>September 30, 2006</b>	%	<b>December 31, 2005</b>	%
General account investments:				
Fixed maturity securities available-for-sale:				
U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of government Agencies	\$ 2,424	6%	\$ 1,469	4%
Asset-backed securities	14,030	33	12,859	32
States, municipalities and political subdivisions tax-exempt	4,437	11	9,209	23
Corporate securities	6,734	15	6,165	15
Other debt securities	3,475	8	3,044	8
Redeemable preferred stock	851	2	216	1
Options embedded in convertible debt securities	1	-	1	-
<b>Total fixed maturity securities available-for-sale</b>	<b>31,952</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>32,963</b>	<b>83</b>
Fixed maturity securities trading:				
U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of government Agencies	3	-	4	-
Asset-backed securities	51	-	87	-
Corporate securities	127	-	154	1
Other debt securities	17	-	26	-
<b>Total fixed maturity securities trading</b>	<b>198</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>271</b>	<b>1</b>
Equity securities available-for-sale:				
Common stock	373	1	289	1
Preferred stock	147	-	343	1
<b>Total equity securities available-for-sale</b>	<b>520</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>632</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>Total equity securities trading</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>-</b>

Short term investments available-for-sale	8,297	20	3,870	9
Short term investments trading	163	-	368	1
Limited partnerships	1,723	4	1,509	4
Other investments	30	-	33	-
<b>Total general account investments</b>	<b>\$ 42,939</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>\$ 39,695</b>	<b>100%</b>

Our general account investment portfolio consists primarily of asset-backed securities, short term investments, municipal bonds and corporate bonds.

A significant judgment in the valuation of investments is the determination of when an OTTI has occurred. We analyze securities on at least a quarterly basis. Part of this analysis is to monitor the length of time and severity of the decline below book value for those securities in an unrealized loss position. Information on our OTTI process and OTTI losses recorded for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 is set forth in Note D of the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 1.

Investments in the general account had a total net unrealized gain of \$874 million at September 30, 2006 compared with a net unrealized gain of \$787 million at December 31, 2005. The unrealized position at September 30, 2006 was comprised of a net unrealized gain of \$693 million for fixed maturities, a net unrealized gain of \$181 million for equity securities and less than \$1 million of unrealized gains for short term securities. The unrealized position at December 31, 2005 was comprised of a net unrealized gain of \$618 million for fixed maturities, a net unrealized gain of \$170 million for equity securities and a net unrealized loss of \$1 million for short term securities.

**Table of Contents****CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION****MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS, Continued**

See Note D of the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 1 for further detail on the unrealized position of our general account investment portfolio.

Our investment policies for both the general account and separate account emphasize high credit quality and diversification by industry, issuer and issue. Assets supporting interest rate sensitive liabilities are segmented within the general account to facilitate asset/liability duration management.

The following table provides the composition of fixed maturity securities with an unrealized loss at September 30, 2006 in relation to the total of all fixed maturity securities with an unrealized loss by maturity profile. Weighted average life is used in place of contractual maturity for asset backed securities.

**Maturity Profile**

	<b>Percent of Market Value</b>	<b>Percent of Unrealized Loss</b>
Due in one year or less	6%	2%
Due after one year through five years	45	45
Due after five years through ten years	33	30
Due after ten years	16	23
<b>Total</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

Our non-investment grade fixed maturity securities available-for-sale as of September 30, 2006 that were in a gross unrealized loss position had a fair value of \$724 million. The following tables summarize the fair value and gross unrealized loss of non-investment grade securities categorized by the length of time those securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position and further categorized by the severity of the unrealized loss position in 10% increments as of September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005.

**Unrealized Loss Aging for Non-investment Grade Securities**

	<b>Estimated Fair Value</b>	<b>Fair Value as a Percentage of Book Value</b>				<b>Gross Unrealized Loss</b>
		<b>90-99%</b>	<b>80-89%</b>	<b>70-79%</b>	<b>&lt;70%</b>	
<b>September 30, 2006</b> (In millions)						
Fixed maturity securities:						
Non-investment grade:						
0-6 months	\$ 622	\$ 5	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 5
7-12 months	30	1	-	-	-	1
13-24 months	70	3	-	-	-	3
Greater than 24 months	2	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Total non-investment grade</b>	<b>\$ 724</b>	<b>\$ 9</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 9</b>

**Unrealized Loss Aging for Non-investment Grade Securities**



<b>December 31, 2005</b> (In millions)	<b>Estimated Fair Value</b>	<b>Fair Value as a Percentage of Book Value</b>				<b>Gross Unrealized Loss</b>
		<b>90-99%</b>	<b>80-89%</b>	<b>70-79%</b>	<b>&lt;70%</b>	
Fixed maturity securities:						
Non-investment grade:						
0-6 months	\$ 632	\$ 20	\$ 8	\$ 1	\$ -	\$ 29
7-12 months	118	4	6	-	-	10
13-24 months	122	3	-	-	-	3
Greater than 24 months	2	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Total non-investment grade</b>	<b>\$ 874</b>	<b>\$ 27</b>	<b>\$ 14</b>	<b>\$ 1</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 42</b>

83

**Table of Contents****CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION  
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF  
OPERATIONS, Continued**

As part of the ongoing OTTI monitoring process, we evaluated the facts and circumstances based on available information for each of the non-investment grade securities and determined that the securities presented in the above tables were temporarily impaired when evaluated at September 30, 2006 or December 31, 2005. This determination was based on a number of factors that we regularly consider including, but not limited to: the issuers' ability to meet current and future interest and principal payments, an evaluation of the issuers' financial condition and near term prospects, our assessment of the sector outlook and estimates of the fair value of any underlying collateral. In all cases where a decline in value is judged to be temporary, we have the intent and ability to hold these securities for a period of time sufficient to recover the book value of our investment through an anticipated recovery in the fair value of such securities or by holding the securities to maturity. In many cases, the securities held are matched to liabilities as part of ongoing asset/liability duration management. As such, we continually assess our ability to hold securities for a time sufficient to recover any temporary loss in value or until maturity. We believe we have sufficient levels of liquidity so as to not impact the asset/liability management process.

Our equity securities classified as available-for-sale as of September 30, 2006 that were in an unrealized loss position had a fair value of \$7 million and unrealized losses of less than \$1 million. Under the same process as followed for fixed maturity securities, we monitor the equity securities for other-than-temporary declines in value. In all cases where a decline in value is judged to be temporary, we expect to recover the book value of our investment through an anticipated recovery in the fair value of the security.

Invested assets are exposed to various risks, such as interest rate, market and credit risk. Due to the level of risk associated with certain invested assets and the level of uncertainty related to changes in the value of these assets, it is possible that changes in these risks in the near term, including increases in interest rates, could have an adverse material impact on our results of operations or equity.

The general account portfolio consists primarily of high quality bonds, 91% and 92% of which were rated as investment grade (rated BBB or higher) at September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005. The following table summarizes the ratings of our general account bond portfolio at carrying value.

**General Account Bond Ratings**

	September 30, 2006		December 31, 2005	
		%		%
(In millions)				
U.S. Government and affiliated agency securities	\$ 2,580	8%	\$ 1,628	5%
Other AAA rated	15,749	51	18,233	55
AA and A rated	5,076	16	6,046	18
BBB rated	4,974	16	4,499	14
Below investment-grade	2,920	9	2,612	8
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 31,299</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>\$ 33,018</b>	<b>100%</b>

At September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, approximately 94% and 95% of the general account portfolio was issued by U.S. Government and affiliated agencies or was rated by Standard & Poor's (S&P) or Moody's Investors Service (Moody's). The remaining bonds were rated by other rating agencies or us.

Non-investment grade bonds, as presented in the table above, are high-yield securities rated below BBB by bond rating agencies, as well as other unrated securities that, in our opinion, are below investment-grade. High-yield securities generally involve a greater degree of risk than investment-grade securities. However, expected returns

should compensate for the added risk. This risk is also considered in the interest rate assumptions for the underlying insurance products.

The carrying value of securities that are either subject to trading restrictions or trade in illiquid private placement markets at September 30, 2006 was \$152 million which represents 0.4% of our total investment portfolio. These securities were in a net unrealized gain position of \$112 million at September 30, 2006. Of these securities, 74% are priced by unrelated third party sources.

Included in our general account fixed maturity securities at September 30, 2006 were \$14,081 million of asset-backed securities, at fair value, consisting of approximately 62% in collateralized mortgage obligations (CMOs), 19% in corporate asset-backed obligations, 17% in corporate mortgage-backed pass-through certificates and 2% in

**Table of Contents****CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION  
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF  
OPERATIONS, Continued**

U.S. Government agency issued pass-through certificates. The majority of CMOs held are actively traded in liquid markets and are primarily priced by a third party pricing service.

The carrying value of the components of the general account short term investment portfolio is presented in the following table.

**Short term Investments**

	<b>September 30, 2006</b>	<b>December 31, 2005</b>
(In millions)		
Short term investments available-for-sale:		
Commercial paper	\$ 1,619	\$ 1,906
U.S. Treasury securities	3,169	251
Money market funds	376	294
Other, including collateral held related to securities lending	3,133	1,419
Total short term investments available-for-sale	8,297	3,870
Short term investments trading:		
Commercial paper	38	94
U.S. Treasury securities	2	64
Money market funds	123	200
Other	-	10
Total short term investments trading	163	368
<b>Total short term investments</b>	<b>\$ 8,460</b>	<b>\$ 4,238</b>

The fair value of collateral held related to securities lending, included in other short term investments, was \$2,385 million and \$767 million at September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005.

**LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES****Cash Flow**

Our principal operating cash flow sources are premiums and investment income from our insurance subsidiaries. Our primary operating cash flow uses are payments for claims, policy benefits and operating expenses.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2006, net cash provided by operating activities was \$1,783 million as compared with \$1,460 million for the same period in 2005. Cash provided by operating activities was favorably impacted by strong premium collections, reinsurance recoveries on catastrophe losses and net investment income, partially offset by increased loss payments, primarily related to 2005 catastrophe losses paid in 2006.

Cash flows from investing activities include the purchase and sale of financial instruments, as well as the purchase and sale of land, buildings, equipment and other assets not generally held for resale.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2006, net cash used by investing activities was \$1,490 million as compared with \$796 million for the same period in 2005. Cash flows used for investing activities related principally to purchases of fixed maturity securities and short term investments.

Cash flows from financing activities include proceeds from the issuance of debt or equity securities, outflows for dividends or repayment of debt, outlays to reacquire equity instruments, and deposits and withdrawals related to investment contract products issued by us.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2006, net cash used by financing activities was \$282 million as compared with \$667 million for the same period in 2005. Cash flows used by financing activities in 2006 were primarily related to the repurchase of the Series H Cumulative Preferred Stock Issue (the Series H Issue) and return of investment contract balances, partially offset by proceeds from the issuance of new debt and common stock. The proceeds from the issuance of new debt and common stock in excess of the amount used to repurchase the Series H Issue will be used to repay at maturity our 6.75% notes due November 15, 2006.

**Table of Contents****CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION  
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF  
OPERATIONS, Continued**

We believe that our present cash flows from operations, investing activities and financing activities are sufficient to fund our working capital needs.

We have an effective shelf registration statement under which we may issue an aggregate of \$515 million of debt or equity securities. In August 2006, we issued common stock and new five and ten-year senior notes in public offerings. See the Loews section below for further discussion.

***Commitments, Contingencies and Guarantees***

We have various commitments, contingencies and guarantees which we become involved with during the ordinary course of business. The impact of these commitments, contingencies and guarantees should be considered when evaluating our liquidity and capital resources.

A summary of our commitments as of September 30, 2006 is presented in the following table. In 2006, we expect to make principal and interest payments of approximately \$292 million on our debt, including the repayment of our \$250 million outstanding 6.75% senior notes at maturity in November 2006, as discussed further in the Loews section below.

**Contractual Commitments**

<b>September 30, 2006</b> (In millions)	<b>Total</b>	<b>Less than 1 year</b>	<b>1-3 years</b>	<b>3-5 years</b>	<b>More than 5 years</b>
Debt (a)	\$ 2,554	\$ 292	\$ 533	\$ 142	\$ 1,587
Lease obligations	248	19	90	64	75
Claim and claim expense reserves (b)	31,980	2,122	11,800	6,225	11,833
Future policy benefits reserves (c)	10,163	66	353	343	9,401
Policyholder funds reserves (c)	1,032	197	506	181	148
Guaranteed payment contracts (d)	19	7	12	-	-
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 45,996</b>	<b>\$ 2,703</b>	<b>\$ 13,294</b>	<b>\$ 6,955</b>	<b>\$ 23,044</b>

(a) Includes estimated future interest payments, but does not include original issue discount.

(b) Claim and claim adjustment expense reserves are not discounted and represent our estimate of the amount and timing of the ultimate settlement and administration of claims based on our assessment of facts and circumstances known as of September 30, 2006. See the Reserves - Estimates and Uncertainties section of this MD&A for further information. Claim and claim adjustment expense reserves of \$12 million related to business which has been 100% ceded to unaffiliated parties in connection with the individual life sale are not included.

(c) Future policy benefits and policyholder funds reserves are not discounted and represent our estimate of the ultimate amount and timing of the settlement of benefits based on our assessment of facts and circumstances known as of September 30, 2006. Future policy benefit reserves of \$907 million and policyholder fund reserves of \$49 million related to business which has been 100% ceded to unaffiliated parties in connection with the individual life sale are not included.

(d) Primarily relating to telecommunications and software services.

Further information on our commitments, contingencies and guarantees is provided in Notes D, G, H, J, L and R of the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 1.

***Regulatory Matters***

We have established a plan to reorganize and streamline our U.S. property and casualty insurance legal entity structure. One phase of this multi-year plan has been completed. This phase served to consolidate our U.S. property and casualty insurance risks into CCC, as well as realign the capital supporting these risks. As part of this phase, we implemented a 100% quota share reinsurance agreement, effective January 1, 2003, ceding all of the net insurance risks of CIC and its then 14 affiliated insurance companies (CIC Group) to CCC. Additionally, the ownership of the CIC Group was transferred to CCC in order to align the insurance risks with the supporting capital. In subsequent phases of this plan, we will continue our efforts to reduce both the number of U.S. property and casualty insurance entities we maintain and the number of states in which these entities are domiciled. In order to facilitate the execution of this plan, we have agreed to participate in a working group consisting of several states of the National

**Table of Contents****CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION  
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF  
OPERATIONS, Continued**

Association of Insurance Commissioners. Pursuant to our participation in this working group, we have agreed to certain time frames and informational provisions in relation to the reorganization plan.

Along with other companies in the industry, we have received subpoenas, interrogatories and inquiries from: (i) California, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Hawaii, Illinois, Michigan, Minnesota, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, West Virginia and the Canadian Council of Insurance Regulators concerning investigations into practices including contingent compensation arrangements, fictitious quotes and tying arrangements; (ii) the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), the New York State Attorney General, the United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York, the Connecticut Attorney General, the Connecticut Department of Insurance, the Delaware Department of Insurance, the Georgia Office of Insurance and Safety Fire Commissioner and the California Department of Insurance concerning reinsurance products and finite insurance products purchased and sold by us; (iii) the Massachusetts Attorney General and the Connecticut Attorney General concerning investigations into anti-competitive practices; and (iv) the New York State Attorney General concerning declinations of attorney malpractice insurance. We continue to respond to these subpoenas, interrogatories and inquiries to the extent they are still open.

Subsequent to receipt of the SEC subpoena, we have been producing documents and providing additional information at the SEC's request. In addition, the SEC and representatives of the United States Attorney's Office for the Southern District of New York have conducted interviews with several of our current and former executives relating to the restatement of our financial results for 2004, including our relationship with and accounting for transactions with an affiliate that were the basis for the restatement. The SEC has also requested information relating to our 2006 restatements. It is possible that our analyses of, or accounting treatment for, finite reinsurance contracts or discontinued operations could be questioned or disputed by regulatory authorities. As a result, further restatements of our financial results are possible.

***Dividends from Subsidiaries***

Our ability to pay dividends and other credit obligations is significantly dependent on receipt of dividends from our subsidiaries. The payment of dividends to us by our insurance subsidiaries without prior approval of the insurance department of each subsidiary's domiciliary jurisdiction is limited by formula. Dividends in excess of these amounts are subject to prior approval by the respective state insurance departments.

Dividends from CCC are subject to the insurance holding company laws of the State of Illinois, the domiciliary state of CCC. Under these laws, ordinary dividends, or dividends that do not require prior approval of the Illinois Department of Financial and Professional Regulation - Division of Insurance (the Department), may be paid only from earned surplus, which is calculated by removing unrealized gains from unassigned surplus. As of September 30, 2006, CCC is in a positive earned surplus position, enabling CCC to pay approximately \$568 million of dividend payments for the remainder of 2006 that would not be subject to the Department's prior approval.

***Loews***

In December 2002, we sold \$750 million of a new issue of preferred stock, the Series H Issue, to Loews. The Series H Issue accrued cumulative dividends at an initial rate of 8% per year, compounded annually. In August 2006, we repurchased the Series H Issue from Loews for approximately \$993 million, a price equal to the liquidation preference. The Series H Issue dividend amounts through the repurchase date for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 have been subtracted from Income from Continuing Operations to determine income from continuing operations available to common stockholders in the calculation of earnings per share.

We financed the repurchase of the Series H Issue with the proceeds from our sales of: (i) 7.0 million shares of our common stock in a public offering for approximately \$235.5 million; (ii) \$400 million of new 6.0% five-year senior notes and \$350 million of new 6.5% ten-year senior notes in a public offering; and (iii) 7.86 million shares of our common stock to Loews in a private placement for approximately \$264.5 million. We will use the proceeds in excess of the amount used to repurchase the Series H Issue to fund the repayment of our \$250 million outstanding 6.75% senior notes at maturity in November 2006.



***Ratings***

Ratings are an important factor in establishing the competitive position of insurance companies. Our insurance company subsidiaries are rated by major rating agencies, and these ratings reflect the rating agency's opinion of the

**Table of Contents****CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION  
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF  
OPERATIONS, Continued**

insurance company's financial strength, operating performance, strategic position and ability to meet our obligations to policyholders. Agency ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell or hold any security, and may be revised or withdrawn at any time by the issuing organization. Each agency's rating should be evaluated independently of any other agency's rating. One or more of these agencies could take action in the future to change the ratings of our insurance subsidiaries.

The table below reflects the various group ratings issued by A.M. Best, Fitch, Moody's and S&P as of October 19, 2006 for the Property and Casualty and Life companies. The table also includes the ratings for our senior debt and Continental senior debt.

	<b>Insurance Financial Strength</b>		<b>Debt Ratings</b>	
	<b>Ratings (a)</b>		<b>(a)</b>	
	<b>Property &amp; Casualty CCC Group</b>	<b>Life CAC</b>	<b>CNA Senior Debt</b>	<b>Continental Senior Debt</b>
				Not rated
A.M. Best	A	A-	bbb	rated
Fitch	A-	A-	BBB-	BBB-
Moody's	A3	Baa1	Baa3	Baa3
S&P	A-	BBB+	BBB-	BBB-

(a) A.M. Best, Fitch, Moody's and Standard & Poor's outlooks are stable for CNA's debt and insurance financial strength ratings.

On October 10, 2006, Fitch affirmed CNA's current debt and financial strength ratings. The stable rating outlook was unchanged. On August 22, 2006, Standard & Poor's revised its outlook on CNA Financial Corporation and its insurance subsidiaries to stable from negative.

If our property and casualty insurance financial strength ratings were downgraded below current levels, our business and results of operations could be materially adversely affected. The severity of the impact on our business is dependent on the level of downgrade and, for certain products, which rating agency takes the rating action. Among the adverse effects in the event of such downgrades would be the inability to obtain a material volume of business from certain major insurance brokers, the inability to sell a material volume of our insurance products to certain markets and the required collateralization of certain future payment obligations or reserves.

In addition, we believe that a lowering of the debt ratings of Loews by certain of these agencies could result in an adverse impact on our ratings, independent of any change in our circumstances. None of the major rating agencies which rates Loews currently maintains a negative outlook or has Loews on negative Credit Watch.

We have entered into several settlement agreements and assumed reinsurance contracts that require collateralization of future payment obligations and assumed reserves if our ratings or other specific criteria fall below certain thresholds. The ratings triggers are generally more than one level below our current ratings.

**Table of Contents****CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION  
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF  
OPERATIONS, Continued****Accounting Pronouncements**

In September 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standard (SFAS) No. 157, Fair Value Measurement (SFAS 157). SFAS 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in accordance with GAAP and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS 157 retains the exchange price notion in the definition of fair value and clarifies that the exchange price is the price in an orderly transaction between market participants to sell the asset or transfer the liability in the market in which the reporting entity would transact for the asset or liability. SFAS 157 emphasizes that fair value is a market-based measurement, not an entity-specific measurement and the fair value measurement should be determined based on the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability. SFAS 157 expands disclosures surrounding the use of fair value to measure assets and liabilities and specifically focuses on the sources used to measure fair value. In instances of recurring use of fair value measures using unobservable inputs, SFAS 157 requires separate disclosure of the effect on earnings for the period. SFAS 157 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, and interim periods within the year of adoption. We are currently evaluating the impact that adopting SFAS 157 will have on our operations and financial condition.

In September 2006, the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) issued Staff Accounting Bulletin (SAB) No. 108, Considering the Effects of Prior Year Misstatements when Quantifying Misstatements in Current Year Financial Statements (SAB 108). SAB 108 requires registrants to use a dual approach to include both a balance sheet approach and an income statement approach when quantifying and evaluating the materiality of a misstatement in a company's financial statements and the related financial statement disclosures. If either approach results in quantifying a misstatement that is material, then a registrant shall adjust the financial statements. SAB 108 provides transition guidance for correcting errors existing in prior years. SAB 108 does not change the requirements for the correction of an error discovered in prior year financial statements. Errors discovered in prior year financial statements shall continue to be restated in accordance with SFAS No. 154, Accounting Changes and Error Correction. SAB 108 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2006. Adoption of SAB 108 is not expected to have an impact on our results of operations or financial condition.

In July 2006, FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109 (FIN 48). FIN 48 prescribes a comprehensive model for how a company should recognize, measure, present and disclose in its financial statements uncertain tax positions that the company has taken or expects to take on a tax return. FIN 48 states that a tax benefit from an uncertain position may be recognized only if it is more likely than not that the position is sustainable, based on its technical merits. The tax benefit of a qualifying position is the largest amount of tax benefit that is greater than 50 percent likely of being realized upon ultimate settlement with a taxing authority having full knowledge of all relevant information. FIN 48 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. We are currently evaluating the impact that adopting FIN 48 will have on our operations and financial condition.

In March 2006, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position 85-4-1, Accounting for Life Settlement Contracts by Third-Party Investors (FSP 85-4-1). A life settlement contract for purposes of FSP 85-4-1 is a contract between the owner of a life insurance policy (the policy owner) and a third-party investor (investor). The previous accounting guidance, FASB Technical Bulletin No. 85-4, Accounting for Purchases of Life Insurance (FTB 85-4), required the purchaser of life insurance contracts to account for the life insurance contract at its cash surrender value. Because life insurance contracts are purchased in the secondary market at amounts in excess of the policies' cash surrender values, the application of guidance in FTB 85-4 created a loss upon acquisition of the policy. FSP 85-4-1 provides initial and subsequent measurement guidance and financial statement presentation and disclosure guidance for investments by third-party investors in life settlement contracts. FSP 85-4-1 allows an investor to elect to account for its investments in life settlement contracts using either the investment method or the fair value method. The election shall be made on an instrument-by-instrument basis and is irrevocable. FSP 85-4-1 is effective for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2006. We are currently evaluating the impact that adopting FSP 85-4-1 will have on our operations and financial

condition.

In January 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 155, Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments (SFAS 155). SFAS 155 amends SFAS No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities (SFAS 133), and No. 140, Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities (FAS 140). SFAS 155 also resolves issues addressed in SFAS 133 Implementation Issue No. D1, Application of

**Table of Contents****CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION  
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS, Continued**

Statement 133 to Beneficial Interests in Securitized Financial Assets. SFAS 155 will improve financial reporting by eliminating the exemption from applying SFAS 133 to interests in certain securitized financial assets so that similar instruments are accounted for in the same manner regardless of the form of the instruments. SFAS 155 will also improve financial reporting by allowing a preparer to elect fair value measurement at acquisition, at issuance, or when a previously recognized financial instrument is subject to a remeasurement (new basis) event, on an instrument-by-instrument basis. SFAS 155 is effective for all financial instruments acquired or issued after the beginning of an entity's first fiscal year that begins after September 15, 2006. The fair value election provided for in paragraph 4(c) of SFAS 155 may also be applied upon adoption of SFAS 155 for hybrid financial instruments that had been bifurcated under paragraph 12 of SFAS 133 prior to the adoption of this Statement. Provisions of SFAS 155 may be applied to instruments that an entity holds at the date of adoption on an instrument-by-instrument basis. We are currently evaluating the impact that adopting SFAS 155 will have on our operations.

In September 2005, the Accounting Standards Executive Committee of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants issued Statement of Position, Accounting by Insurance Enterprises for Deferred Acquisition Costs in Connection with Modifications or Exchanges of Insurance Contracts (SOP 05-01). SOP 05-01 provides guidance on accounting by insurance enterprises for deferred acquisition costs on internal replacements of insurance and investment contracts other than those specifically described in SFAS No. 97, Accounting and Reporting by Insurance Enterprises for Certain Long-Duration Contracts and for Realized Gains and Losses from the Sale of Investments. SOP 05-01 defines an internal replacement as a modification in product benefits, features, rights, or coverages that occurs by the exchange of a contract for a new contract, or by amendment, endorsement, or rider to a contract, or by the election of a feature or coverage within a contract. SOP 05-01 is effective for internal replacements occurring in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. We are currently evaluating the impact that adopting SOP 05-01 will have on our operations and financial condition.

See Note B of the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 1 for additional information regarding accounting pronouncements.

**FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS**

This report contains a number of forward-looking statements which relate to anticipated future events rather than actual present conditions or historical events. You can identify forward-looking statements because generally they include words such as believes, expects, intends, anticipates, estimates, and similar expressions. Forward-looking statements in this report include any and all statements regarding expected developments in our insurance business, including losses and loss reserves for asbestos, environmental pollution and mass tort claims which are more uncertain, and therefore more difficult to estimate than loss reserves respecting traditional property and casualty exposures; the impact of routine ongoing insurance reserve reviews we are conducting; our expectations concerning our revenues, earnings, expenses and investment activities; expected cost savings and other results from our expense reduction and restructuring activities; and our proposed actions in response to trends in our business. Forward-looking statements, by their nature, are subject to a variety of inherent risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from the results projected in the forward-looking statement. We cannot control many of these risks and uncertainties. Some examples of these risks and uncertainties are:

- general economic and business conditions, including inflationary pressures on medical care costs, construction costs and other economic sectors that increase the severity of claims;

- changes in financial markets such as fluctuations in interest rates, long term periods of low interest rates, credit conditions and currency, commodity and stock prices;

- the effects of corporate bankruptcies, such as Enron and WorldCom, on capital markets, and on the markets for directors and officers and errors and omissions coverages;

changes in foreign or domestic political, social and economic conditions;

regulatory initiatives and compliance with governmental regulations, judicial decisions, including interpretation of policy provisions, decisions regarding coverage and theories of liability, trends in litigation and the outcome of any litigation involving us, and rulings and changes in tax laws and regulations;

effects upon insurance markets and upon industry business practices and relationships of current litigation, investigations and regulatory activity by the New York State Attorney General's office and other authorities concerning contingent commission arrangements with brokers and bid solicitation activities;

**Table of Contents**

**CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION**

**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS, Continued**

legal and regulatory activities with respect to certain non-traditional and finite-risk insurance products, and possible resulting changes in accounting and financial reporting in relation to such products, including our restatement of financial results in May of 2005 and our relationship with an affiliate, Accord Re Ltd., as disclosed in connection with that restatement;

regulatory limitations, impositions and restrictions upon us, including the effects of assessments and other surcharges for guaranty funds and second-injury funds and other mandatory pooling arrangements;

the impact of competitive products, policies and pricing and the competitive environment in which we operate, including changes in our book of business;

product and policy availability and demand and market responses, including the level of ability to obtain rate increases and decline or non-renew under priced accounts, to achieve premium targets and profitability and to realize growth and retention estimates;

development of claims and the impact on loss reserves, including changes in claim settlement policies;

the effectiveness of current initiatives by claims management to reduce loss and expense ratios through more efficacious claims handling techniques;

the performance of reinsurance companies under reinsurance contracts with us;

results of financing efforts, including the availability of bank credit facilities;

changes in our composition of operating segments;

weather and other natural physical events, including the severity and frequency of storms, hail, snowfall and other winter conditions, as well as of natural disasters such as hurricanes and earthquakes;

man-made disasters, including the possible occurrence of terrorist attacks and the effect of the absence or insufficiency of applicable terrorism legislation on coverages;

the unpredictability of the nature, targets, severity or frequency of potential terrorist events, as well as the uncertainty as to our ability to contain our terrorism exposure effectively, notwithstanding the extension until 2007 of the Terrorism Risk Insurance Act of 2002;

the occurrence of epidemics;

exposure to liabilities due to claims made by insureds and others relating to asbestos remediation and health-based asbestos impairments, as well as exposure to liabilities for environmental pollution, mass tort, construction defect claims and exposure to liabilities due to claims made by insureds and others relating to lead-based paint;

whether a national privately financed trust to replace litigation of asbestos claims with payments to claimants from the trust will be established or approved through federal legislation, or, if established and approved, whether it will contain funding requirements in excess of our established loss reserves or carried loss reserves;

the sufficiency of our loss reserves and the possibility of future increases in reserves;

regulatory limitations and restrictions, including limitations upon our ability to receive dividends from our insurance subsidiaries imposed by state regulatory agencies and minimum risk-based capital standards established by the National Association of Insurance Commissioners;

the risks and uncertainties associated with our loss reserves as outlined in the Critical Accounting Estimates and the Reserves Estimates and Uncertainties sections of this MD&A;

the level of success in integrating acquired businesses and operations, and in consolidating, or selling existing ones;

the possibility of further changes in our ratings by ratings agencies, including the inability to access certain markets or distribution channels and the required collateralization of future payment obligations as a result of such changes, and changes in rating agency policies and practices; and

the actual closing of contemplated transactions and agreements.

Our forward-looking statements speak only as of the date on which they are made and we do not undertake any obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statement to reflect events or circumstances after the date of the statement, even if our expectations or any related events or circumstances change.



**Table of Contents****CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION****ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK****Market Risk**

Market risk is a broad term related to changes in the fair value of a financial instrument. Discussions herein regarding market risk focus on only one element of market risk, which is price risk. Price risk relates to changes in the level of prices due to changes in interest rates, equity prices, foreign exchange rates or other factors that relate to market volatility of the rate, index or price underlying the financial instrument. Our primary market risk exposures are due to changes in interest rates, although we have certain exposures to changes in equity prices and foreign currency exchange rates. The fair value of the financial instruments is adversely affected when interest rates rise, equity markets decline and the dollar strengthens against foreign currency.

Active management of market risk is integral to our operations. We may use the following tools to manage our exposure to market risk within defined tolerance ranges: (1) change the character of future investments purchased or sold, (2) use derivatives to offset the market behavior of existing assets and liabilities or assets expected to be purchased and liabilities to be incurred, or (3) rebalance our existing asset and liability portfolios.

***Sensitivity Analysis***

We monitor our sensitivity to interest rate risk by evaluating the change in the value of financial assets and liabilities due to fluctuations in interest rates. The evaluation is performed by applying an instantaneous change in interest rates of varying magnitudes on a static balance sheet to determine the effect such a change in rates would have on our fair value at risk and the resulting effect on stockholders' equity. The analysis presents the sensitivity of the fair value of our financial instruments to selected changes in market rates and prices. The range of change chosen reflects our view of changes that are reasonably possible over a one-year period. The selection of the range of values chosen to represent changes in interest rates should not be construed as our prediction of future market events, but rather an illustration of the impact of such events.

The sensitivity analysis estimates the decline in the fair value of our interest sensitive assets and liabilities that were held on September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005 due to instantaneous parallel increases in the period end yield curve of 100 and 150 basis points.

The sensitivity analysis also assumes an instantaneous 10% and 20% decline in the foreign currency exchange rates versus the United States dollar from their levels at September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, with all other variables held constant.

Equity price risk was measured assuming an instantaneous 10% and 25% decline in the S&P 500 Index (Index) from its level at September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, with all other variables held constant. Our equity holdings were assumed to be highly and positively correlated with the Index. At September 30, 2006, a 10% and 25% decrease in the Index would result in a \$243 million and \$608 million decrease compared to a \$227 million and \$567 million decrease at December 31, 2005, in the market value of our equity investments.

Of these amounts, under the 10% and 25% scenarios, \$4 million and \$10 million at September 30, 2006 and \$4 million and \$11 million at December 31, 2005 pertained to decreases in the fair value of the separate account investments. These decreases would substantially be offset by decreases in related separate account liabilities to customers. Similarly, increases in the fair value of the separate account equity investments would also be offset by increases in the same related separate account liabilities by the same approximate amounts.

The following tables present the estimated effects on the fair value of our financial instruments at September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, due to an increase in interest rates of 100 basis points, a 10% decline in foreign currency exchange rates and a 10% decline in the Index.

**Table of Contents****CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION****ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK, Continued****Market Risk Scenario 1**

		Increase (Decrease)		
	Market	Interest	Currency	Equity
	Value	Rate	Risk	Risk
<b>September 30, 2006</b>		<b>Risk</b>	<b>Risk</b>	<b>Risk</b>
(In millions)				
General account:				
Fixed maturity securities available-for-sale	\$ 31,952	\$ (1,862)	\$ (91)	\$ (85)
Fixed maturity securities trading	198	(2)	-	(2)
Equity securities available-for-sale	520	-	(7)	(52)
Equity securities trading	56	-	-	(6)
Short term investments available-for-sale	8,297	(18)	(46)	-
Short term investments trading	163	-	-	-
Limited partnerships	1,723	1	-	(34)
Other invested assets	28	-	-	-
Interest rate swaps	-	128	-	-
Equity indexed futures for trading securities	-	1	-	(60)
Other derivative securities	2	1	7	-
<b>Total general account</b>	<b>42,939</b>	<b>(1,751)</b>	<b>(137)</b>	<b>(239)</b>
Separate accounts:				
Fixed maturity securities	462	(23)	-	-
Equity securities	40	-	-	(4)
Short term investments	17	-	-	-
<b>Total separate accounts</b>	<b>519</b>	<b>(23)</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>(4)</b>
<b>Total securities</b>	<b>\$ 43,458</b>	<b>\$ (1,774)</b>	<b>\$ (137)</b>	<b>\$ (243)</b>
<b>Debt (carrying value)</b>	<b>\$ 2,405</b>	<b>\$ (123)</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ -</b>

**Market Risk Scenario 1**

		Increase (Decrease)		
	Market	Interest	Currency	Equity
	Value	Rate	Risk	Risk
<b>December 31, 2005</b>		<b>Risk</b>	<b>Risk</b>	<b>Risk</b>
(In millions)				

Edgar Filing: CNA FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-Q

General account:				
Fixed maturity securities available-for-sale	\$ 32,963	\$ (1,897)	\$ (89)	\$ (22)
Fixed maturity securities trading	271	(2)	(1)	(2)
Equity securities available-for-sale	632	-	(6)	(63)
Equity securities trading	49	-	-	(5)
Short term investments available-for-sale	3,870	(4)	(37)	-
Short term investments trading	368	-	-	-
Limited partnerships	1,509	1	-	(29)
Other invested assets	30	-	-	-
Interest rate swaps	-	66	-	-
Equity index futures for trading	-	2	-	(102)
Other derivative securities	3	3	10	-
Total general account	39,695	(1,831)	(123)	(223)
Separate accounts:				
Fixed maturity securities	466	(23)	-	-
Equity securities	44	-	-	(4)
Short term investments	36	-	-	-
Total separate accounts	546	(23)	-	(4)
<b>Total securities</b>	<b>\$ 40,241</b>	<b>\$ (1,854)</b>	<b>\$ (123)</b>	<b>\$ (227)</b>
<b>Debt (carrying value)</b>	<b>\$ 1,690</b>	<b>\$ (92)</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ -</b>

**Table of Contents****CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION****ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK, Continued**

The following tables present the estimated effects on the fair value of our financial instruments at September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, due to an increase in interest rates of 150 basis points, a 20% decline in foreign currency exchange rates and a 25% decline in the Index.

**Market Risk Scenario 2**

	Market Value	Increase (Decrease)		Equity Risk
		Interest Rate Risk	Currency Risk	
<b>September 30, 2006</b> (In millions)				
General account:				
Fixed maturity securities available-for-sale	\$ 31,952	\$ (2,768)	\$ (181)	\$ (212)
Fixed maturity securities trading	198	(3)	-	(5)
Equity securities available-for-sale	520	-	(15)	(130)
Equity securities trading	56	-	-	(14)
Short term investments available-for-sale	8,297	(26)	(91)	-
Short term securities trading	163	-	-	-
Limited partnerships	1,723	1	-	(86)
Other invested assets	28	-	-	-
Interest rate swaps	-	189	-	-
Equity indexed futures for trading	-	2	-	(151)
Other derivative securities	2	1	14	-
<b>Total general account</b>	<b>42,939</b>	<b>(2,604)</b>	<b>(273)</b>	<b>(598)</b>
Separate accounts:				
Fixed maturity securities	462	(34)	-	-
Equity securities	40	-	-	(10)
Short term investments	17	-	-	-
<b>Total separate accounts</b>	<b>519</b>	<b>(34)</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>(10)</b>
<b>Total securities</b>	<b>\$ 43,458</b>	<b>\$ (2,638)</b>	<b>\$ (273)</b>	<b>\$ (608)</b>
<b>Debt (carrying value)</b>	<b>\$ 2,405</b>	<b>\$ (180)</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ -</b>

**Table of Contents****CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION****ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK, Continued****Market Risk Scenario 2**

	<b>Market Value</b>	<b>Interest Rate Risk</b>	<b>Increase (Decrease)</b>	
			<b>Currency Risk</b>	<b>Equity Risk</b>
<b>December 31, 2005</b> (In millions)				
General account:				
Fixed maturity securities available-for-sale	\$ 32,963	\$ (2,827)	\$ (178)	\$ (54)
Fixed maturity securities trading	271	(4)	(1)	(4)
Equity securities available-for-sale	632	-	(11)	(158)
Equity securities trading	49	-	-	(12)
Short term investments available-for-sale	3,870	(6)	(74)	-
Short term investments trading	368	-	-	-
Limited partnerships	1,509	1	-	(72)
Other invested assets	30	-	-	-
Interest rate swaps	-	95	-	-
Equity index futures for trading	-	3	(1)	(255)
Other derivative securities	3	5	20	(1)
<b>Total general account</b>	<b>39,695</b>	<b>(2,733)</b>	<b>(245)</b>	<b>(556)</b>
Separate accounts:				
Fixed maturity securities	466	(34)	-	-
Equity securities	44	-	-	(11)
Short term investments	36	-	-	-
<b>Total separate accounts</b>	<b>546</b>	<b>(34)</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>(11)</b>
<b>Total securities</b>	<b>\$ 40,241</b>	<b>\$ (2,767)</b>	<b>\$ (245)</b>	<b>\$ (567)</b>
<b>Debt (carrying value)</b>	<b>\$ 1,690</b>	<b>\$ (135)</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ -</b>

**Table of Contents**

**CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION**

**ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES**

The Company maintains a system of disclosure controls and procedures which are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in reports that it files or submits to the Securities and Exchange Commission under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, including this report, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported on a timely basis. These disclosure controls and procedures include controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to the Company's management on a timely basis to allow decisions regarding required disclosure.

The Company's Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and Chief Financial Officer (CFO) undertook an evaluation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Since the Company identified the two material weaknesses in internal control over financial reporting, as further described in our Annual Report on Form 10-K, Item 9A, for the period ended December 31, 2005, the Company has engaged in a number of efforts to remediate these two material weaknesses. In the opinion of the Company's management, the revised control processes have now been operating for a sufficient period of time so as to provide reasonable assurance as to their effectiveness and, as a result, the control deficiencies have now been fully remediated. Consequently, the CEO and CFO have concluded that the Company's controls and procedures were effective as of September 30, 2006.

There were no other changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) identified in connection with the foregoing evaluation that occurred during the quarter ended September 30, 2006, that have materially affected or that are reasonably likely to materially affect the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

**Table of Contents****CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION****PART II. OTHER INFORMATION****Item 1. Legal Proceedings**

Information on our legal proceedings is set forth in Notes G and H of the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included under Part I, Item 1.

**Item 1A. Risk Factors**

Refer to Item 1A. Risk Factors in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2005 for further information.

**Item 6. Exhibits**

(a) Exhibits

Description of Exhibit	Exhibit Number
Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) or 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	31.1
Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) or 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	31.2
Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	32.1*
Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	32.2*
* Exhibits 32.1 and 32.2 are being furnished and shall not be deemed filed for the purpose of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or otherwise subject to the liabilities of that Section. These Exhibits shall not be incorporated by reference into any registration statement or other document pursuant to the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.	

**Table of Contents**

**CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION**

**PART II. OTHER INFORMATION**

**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

CNA Financial Corporation

Dated: November 2, 2006

By /s/ D. Craig Mense

D. Craig Mense  
Chief Financial Officer