

ANALOG DEVICES INC
Form 10-Q
August 19, 2008

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549
Form 10-Q**

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended August 2, 2008

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File No. 1-7819

Analog Devices, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Massachusetts

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

04-2348234

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

One Technology Way, Norwood, MA

(Address of principal executive offices)

02062-9106

(Zip Code)

(781) 329-4700

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. YES NO

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act) YES NO

As of August 2, 2008 there were 290,446,240 shares of Common Stock, \$0.16 2/3 par value per share, outstanding.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. Financial Statements

ITEM 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

ITEM 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

ITEM 4. Controls and Procedures

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. Legal Proceedings

ITEM 1A. Risk Factors

ITEM 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

ITEM 6. Exhibits

SIGNATURES

Exhibit Index

EX-31.1 Section 302 Certification of CEO

EX-31.2 Section 302 Certification of CFO

EX-32.1 Section 906 Certification of CEO

EX-32.2 Section 906 Certification of CFO

Table of Contents**PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION****ITEM 1. Financial Statements**

ANALOG DEVICES, INC.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

(Unaudited)

(thousands, except per share amounts)

	Three Months Ended	
	August 2, 2008	August 4, 2007
Product revenue	\$ 658,986	\$ 617,431
Cost of sales (1)	257,192	243,939
Gross margin	401,794	373,492
Operating expenses:		
Research and development (1)	135,837	128,093
Selling, marketing, general and administrative (1)	104,767	99,906
	240,604	227,999
Operating income	161,190	145,493
Nonoperating (income) expense:		
Interest income	(8,205)	(17,721)
Other, net	664	1,272
	(7,541)	(16,449)
Income from continuing operations before income taxes	168,731	161,942
Provision for income taxes	39,536	36,536
Income from continuing operations, net of tax	129,195	125,406
Discontinued operations, net of tax:		
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	5,611	(4,971)
Gain on sale of discontinued operations	3,802	
Total income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax	9,413	(4,971)
Net income	\$ 138,608	\$ 120,435
Shares used to compute earnings per share basic	290,376	318,465
Shares used to compute earnings per share diluted	295,001	327,331

Edgar Filing: ANALOG DEVICES INC - Form 10-Q

Basic earnings per share from continuing operations	\$ 0.44	\$ 0.39
Basic earnings per share	\$ 0.48	\$ 0.38
Diluted earnings per share from continuing operations	\$ 0.44	\$ 0.38
Diluted earnings per share	\$ 0.47	\$ 0.37
Dividends declared and paid per share	\$ 0.20	\$ 0.18

(1) Includes
stock-based
compensation
expense as
follows:

Cost of sales	\$ 1,943	\$ 2,454
Research and development	\$ 6,178	\$ 7,077
Selling, marketing, general and administrative	\$ 5,452	\$ 6,615
See accompanying notes.		

Table of ContentsANALOG DEVICES, INC.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

(Unaudited)

(thousands, except per share amounts)

	Nine Months Ended	
	August 2, 2008	August 4, 2007
Product revenue	\$ 1,922,235	\$ 1,806,179
Revenue from one-time IP license		35,000
Total revenue	1,922,235	1,841,179
Cost of sales (1)	748,617	706,795
Gross margin	1,173,618	1,134,384
Operating expenses:		
Research and development (1)	400,029	377,866
Selling, marketing, general and administrative (1)	309,301	292,096
Special charges		15,312
	709,330	685,274
Operating income	464,288	449,110
Nonoperating (income) expense:		
Interest income	(31,400)	(63,429)
Other, net	951	(16,414)
	(30,449)	(79,843)
Income from continuing operations before income taxes and minority interest	494,737	528,953
Provision for income taxes	113,802	121,735
Minority interest		219
Income from continuing operations, net of tax	380,935	407,437
Discontinued operations, net of tax:		
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	10,693	(8,419)
Gain on sale of discontinued operations	250,785	
Total income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax	261,478	(8,419)
Net income	\$ 642,413	\$ 399,018
Shares used to compute earnings per share basic	293,302	329,050

Edgar Filing: ANALOG DEVICES INC - Form 10-Q

Shares used to compute earnings per share diluted	298,207	338,460
Basic earnings per share from continuing operations	\$ 1.30	\$ 1.24
Basic earnings per share	\$ 2.19	\$ 1.21
Diluted earnings per share from continuing operations	\$ 1.28	\$ 1.20
Diluted earnings per share	\$ 2.15	\$ 1.18
Dividends declared and paid per share	\$ 0.56	\$ 0.52

(1) Includes
stock-based
compensation
expense as
follows:

Cost of sales	\$ 5,802	\$ 8,012
Research and development	\$ 17,810	\$ 22,037
Selling, marketing, general and administrative	\$ 15,580	\$ 20,987
See accompanying notes.		

Table of Contents

ANALOG DEVICES, INC.
 CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
 (Unaudited)
 (thousands)

	August 2, 2008	November 3, 2007
Assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 418,456	\$ 424,972
Short-term investments	859,188	656,235
Accounts receivable, net	326,456	323,777
Inventories (1):		
Raw materials	13,221	14,655
Work in process	207,365	224,211
Finished goods	89,284	85,507
	309,870	324,373
Deferred tax assets	90,087	111,682
Deferred compensation plan investments	3,674	1,233
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	38,876	50,130
Current assets of discontinued operations	9,895	87,457
Total current assets	2,056,502	1,979,859
Property, plant and equipment, at cost:		
Land and buildings	378,183	372,162
Machinery and equipment	1,473,983	1,412,913
Office equipment	64,907	76,684
Leasehold improvements	65,384	62,883
	1,982,457	1,924,642
Less accumulated depreciation and amortization	1,424,145	1,368,567
Net property, plant and equipment	558,312	556,075
Deferred compensation plan investments	32,565	35,210
Other investments	1,317	1,692
Goodwill	260,152	279,469
Intangible assets, net	17,372	24,153
Deferred tax assets	61,992	52,491
Other assets	41,876	43,000
Non-current assets of discontinued operations	62,037	
Total other assets	477,311	436,015
	\$ 3,092,125	\$ 2,971,949

(1) Includes \$2,566 and \$3,371 related to stock-based compensation at August 2, 2008 and November 3, 2007, respectively.

See accompanying notes.

Table of ContentsANALOG DEVICES, INC.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(Unaudited)

(thousands, except share amounts)

	August 2, 2008	November 3, 2007
Liabilities and Shareholders Equity		
Accounts payable	\$ 126,240	\$ 156,192
Deferred income on shipments to distributors, net	174,070	151,423
Income taxes payable	75,025	65,690
Deferred compensation plan liability	3,674	1,233
Accrued liabilities	157,873	149,360
Current liabilities of discontinued operations	76,431	24,153
Total current liabilities	613,313	548,051
Deferred income taxes	12,933	10,146
Deferred compensation plan liability	33,042	35,320
Other non-current liabilities	42,479	40,291
Total non-current liabilities	88,454	85,757
Commitments and contingencies		
Shareholders Equity		
Preferred stock, \$1.00 par value, 471,934 shares authorized, none outstanding		
Common stock, \$0.16 2/3 par value, 1,200,000,000 shares authorized, 290,446,240 shares issued and outstanding (303,354,180 on November 3, 2007)	48,409	50,560
Retained earnings	2,320,462	2,253,483
Accumulated other comprehensive income	21,487	34,098
Total shareholders equity	2,390,358	2,338,141
	\$ 3,092,125	\$ 2,971,949

See accompanying notes.

Table of Contents

ANALOG DEVICES, INC.
 CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
 (Unaudited)
 (thousands)

	Nine Months Ended	
	August 2, 2008	August 4, 2007
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net income	\$ 642,413	\$ 399,018
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operations:		
Depreciation	108,061	107,069
Amortization of intangibles	7,476	10,037
Stock-based compensation expense	36,895	55,146
Gain on sale of business	(250,785)	
Income tax payments related to gain on sale of businesses	(67,283)	
Deferred income taxes	(7,660)	(10,574)
Excess tax benefit-stock options	(12,967)	(25,053)
Gain on sale of an investment		(7,919)
Minority interest		(219)
Other non-cash activity	1,306	315
Changes in operating assets and liabilities	69,654	109,695
Total adjustments	(115,303)	238,497
Net cash provided by operating activities	527,110	637,515
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Purchases of short-term available-for-sale investments	(1,551,019)	(1,495,905)
Maturities of short-term available-for-sale investments	1,348,947	2,397,676
Net proceeds from sales of businesses (See Note 13)	403,181	
Additions to property, plant and equipment	(110,031)	(108,633)
Payments for acquisitions	(3,146)	(9,160)
Decrease (increase) in other assets	1,532	(18)
Proceeds from sale of an investment		8,003
Net cash provided by investing activities	89,464	791,963
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Repurchase of common stock	(552,380)	(1,329,521)
Increase in liability from common stock repurchases	139	
Net proceeds from employee stock plans	83,005	96,196
Excess tax benefit-stock options	12,967	25,053
Credit facility fees	(600)	
Dividend payments to shareholders	(164,425)	(172,844)
Net cash used for financing activities	(621,294)	(1,381,116)

Edgar Filing: ANALOG DEVICES INC - Form 10-Q

Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	(1,796)	1,589
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	(6,516)	49,951
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	424,972	343,947
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 418,456	\$ 393,898

See accompanying notes.

6

Table of Contents

ANALOG DEVICES, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
FOR THE THREE AND NINE MONTHS ENDED AUGUST 2, 2008

(all tabular amounts in thousands except per share amounts and percentages)

Note 1 Basis of Presentation

In the opinion of management, the information furnished in the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements reflects all normal recurring adjustments that are necessary to fairly state the results for these interim periods and should be read in conjunction with the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended November 3, 2007 and related notes. The results of operations for the interim period shown in this report are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the fiscal year ending November 1, 2008 or any future period.

The Company sold its baseband chipset business and related support operations (Baseband Chipset Business) to MediaTek Inc. and sold its CPU voltage regulation and PC thermal monitoring business to certain subsidiaries of ON Semiconductor Corporation during the first quarter of fiscal 2008. The Company has reflected the financial results of these businesses as discontinued operations in the consolidated statements of income for all periods presented. The assets and liabilities of these businesses are reflected as assets and liabilities of discontinued operations in the consolidated balance sheets as of August 2, 2008 and November 3, 2007. The historical results of operations of these businesses have been segregated from the Company's consolidated financial statements and are included in income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax, in the consolidated statements of income.

The Company has a 52-53 week fiscal year that ends on the Saturday closest to the last day in October. Fiscal 2008 is a 52-week fiscal year and fiscal 2007 was a 53-week fiscal year. The additional week in fiscal 2007 was included in the first quarter ended February 3, 2007. Therefore, the first nine months of fiscal 2007 included an additional week of operations as compared to the first nine months of fiscal 2008.

Note 2 Revenue Recognition

Revenue from product sales to customers is generally recognized when title passes, which for shipments to certain foreign countries is subsequent to product shipment. Title for these shipments ordinarily passes within a week of shipment. A reserve for sales returns and allowances for customers is recorded based on historical experience or specific identification of an event necessitating a reserve.

In all regions of the world, the Company defers revenue and the related cost of sales on shipments to distributors until the distributors resell the products to their customers. Sales to distributors are made under agreements that allow distributors to receive price adjustment credits as discussed below and to return qualifying products for credit, as determined by the Company, in order to reduce the amounts of slow-moving, discontinued or obsolete product from their inventory. These agreements limit such returns to a certain percentage of the value of the prior quarter's shipments to that distributor. In addition, distributors are allowed to return unsold products if the Company terminates the relationship with the distributor.

Distributors are granted price-adjustment credits related to many of their sales to their customers. Price adjustment credits are granted when the distributor's standard cost (i.e., the Company's sales price to the distributor) does not provide the distributor with an appropriate margin on its sales to its customers. As distributors negotiate selling prices with their customers, the final sales price agreed to with the customer will be influenced by many factors, including the particular product being sold, the quantity ordered, the particular customer, the geographic location of the distributor, and the competitive landscape. As a result, the distributor may request and receive a price adjustment credit from the Company to allow the distributor to earn an appropriate margin on the transaction.

Distributors are also granted price adjustment credits in the event of a price decrease subsequent to the date the product was shipped and billed to the distributor. Generally, the Company will provide a credit equal to the difference between the price paid by the distributor (less any prior credits on such products) and the new price for the product multiplied by the quantity of such product in the distributor's inventory at the time of the price decrease.

Given the uncertainties associated with the levels of price adjustment credits to be granted to distributors, the sales price to the distributor is not fixed or determinable until the distributor resells the products to their customers.

Therefore, the Company defers revenue recognition from sales to distributors until the distributors have sold the

products to their customers.

Table of Contents

Title to the inventory transfers to the distributor at the time of shipment or delivery to the distributor, and payment from the distributor is due in accordance with the Company's standard payment terms. These payment terms are not contingent upon the distributor's sale of the products to their customers. Upon title transfer to distributors, inventory is reduced for the cost of goods shipped, the margin (sales less cost of sales) is recorded as deferred income on shipments to distributors, net and accounts receivable is recorded.

The deferred costs of sales to distributors have historically had very little risk of impairment due to the margins the Company earns on sales of its products and the relatively long life-cycle of the Company's products. Product returns from distributors that are ultimately scrapped have historically been immaterial. In addition, price protection and price adjustment credits granted to distributors historically have not exceeded the margins the Company earns on sales of its products. The Company continuously monitors the level and nature of product returns and is in continuous contact with the distributors to ensure reserves are established for all known material issues.

As of August 2, 2008 and November 3, 2007, the Company had gross deferred revenue of \$272.7 million and \$250.6 million, respectively, and gross deferred cost of sales of \$98.6 million and \$99.2 million, respectively.

Deferred income on shipments to distributors increased by \$22.7 million in the first nine months of fiscal 2008 as a result of the Company's shipments to its distributors in the first nine months of fiscal 2008 exceeding the distributors sales to their end customers during this same time period.

Shipping costs are charged to cost of sales as incurred.

The Company generally offers a 12-month warranty for its products. The Company's warranty policy provides for replacement of the defective product. Specific accruals are recorded for known product warranty issues. Product warranty expenses were not material during any of the three- and nine-month periods ended August 2, 2008 and August 4, 2007.

Note 3 Stock-Based Compensation

On October 30, 2005 (the first day of its 2006 fiscal year), the Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 123 (revised 2004), *Share-Based Payment* (SFAS 123R) using the modified prospective method as permitted under SFAS 123R. Under this transition method, compensation cost recognized in future periods includes: (a) compensation cost for all share-based payments granted prior to but not yet vested as of October 29, 2005, based on the grant-date fair value estimated in accordance with the provisions of SFAS 123, and (b) compensation cost for all share-based payments granted subsequent to October 29, 2005, based on the grant-date fair value estimated in accordance with the provisions of SFAS 123R. In accordance with the modified prospective method of adoption, the Company's results of operations and financial position for prior periods have not been restated.

Table of Contents*Grant-Date Fair Value*

The Company uses the Black-Scholes option pricing model to calculate the grant-date fair value of an award. Information pertaining to the Company's stock option awards and the related estimated weighted-average assumptions to calculate the fair value of stock options granted during the three- and nine-month periods ended August 2, 2008 and August 4, 2007 is as follows:

Stock Options	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	August 2, 2008	August 4, 2007	August 2, 2008	August 4, 2007
Options granted (in thousands)	67	109	5,733	7,649
Weighted-average exercise price per share	\$31.96	\$ 38.74	\$29.89	\$ 33.50
Weighted-average grant-date fair value per share	\$ 9.07	\$ 11.52	\$ 7.91	\$ 9.49
Assumptions:				
Weighted-average expected volatility	35.1%	30.5%	32.2%	30.9%
Weighted-average expected term (in years)	5.1	5.1	5.1	5.1
Risk-free interest rate	3.3%	4.9%	3.3%	4.6%
Expected dividend yield	2.49%	1.86%	2.41%	2.15%

Expected volatility - The Company is responsible for estimating volatility and has considered a number of factors, including third-party estimates, when estimating volatility. The Company currently believes that the exclusive use of implied volatility results in the best estimate of the grant-date fair value of employee stock options because it reflects the market's current expectations of future volatility. In evaluating the appropriateness of exclusively relying on implied volatility, the Company concluded that: (1) options in the Company's common stock are actively traded with sufficient volume on several exchanges; (2) the market prices of both the traded options and the underlying shares are measured at a similar point in time to each other and on a date close to the grant date of the employee share options; (3) the traded options have exercise prices that are both near-the-money and close to the exercise price of the employee share options; and (4) the maturities of the traded options used to estimate volatility are at least one year.

Expected term - The Company uses historical employee exercise and option expiration data to estimate the expected term assumption for the Black-Scholes grant-date valuation. The Company believes that this historical data is currently the best estimate of the expected term of a new option, and that generally its employees exhibit similar exercise behavior.

Risk-free interest rate - The yield on zero-coupon U.S. Treasury securities for a period that is commensurate with the expected term assumption is used as the risk-free interest rate.

Expected dividend yield - Expected dividend yield is calculated by annualizing the cash dividend declared by the Company's Board of Directors for the current quarter and dividing that result by the closing stock price on the date of grant. Until such time as the Company's Board of Directors declares a cash dividend for an amount that is different from the current quarter's cash dividend, the current dividend will be used in deriving this assumption. Cash dividends are not paid on options, restricted stock or restricted stock units.

Stock-Based Compensation Expense

The Company used the graded attribution method to recognize expense for all stock-based awards prior to the adoption of SFAS 123R. Upon adoption of SFAS 123R on October 30, 2005, the Company changed to the straight-line attribution method to recognize expense for stock-based awards granted after October 29, 2005. The change to the straight-line attribution method was made so that the expense associated with each stock-based award is recognized ratably over the vesting period. The expense associated with the unvested portion of the pre-adoption grants has continued to be expensed using the graded attribution method.

The amount of stock-based compensation recognized during a period is based on the value of the portion of the awards that are ultimately expected to vest. SFAS 123R requires forfeitures to be estimated at the time of grant and revised, if necessary, in subsequent periods if actual forfeitures differ from those estimates. The term "forfeitures" is

distinct from cancellations or expirations and represents only the unvested portion of the surrendered stock-based award. Based on an analysis of its historical forfeitures, the Company has applied an annual forfeiture rate of 4.3% to all unvested stock-based awards as of August 2, 2008. The rate of 4.3% represents the portion that is expected to be forfeited each year over the vesting period. This

Table of Contents

analysis will be re-evaluated quarterly and the forfeiture rate adjusted as necessary. Ultimately, the actual expense recognized over the vesting period will only be for those shares that vest.

Stock-Based Compensation Activity

A summary of the activity under the Company's stock option plans as of August 2, 2008 and changes during the three- and nine-month periods then ended is presented below:

Activity during the Three Months Ended August 2, 2008	Options Outstanding (in thousands)	Weighted- Average Exercise Price Per Share	Weighted- Average Remaining Contractual Term in Years	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Options outstanding at May 3, 2008	74,118	\$ 35.88		
Options granted	67	\$ 31.96		
Options exercised	(1,125)	\$ 18.58		
Options forfeited	(194)	\$ 34.62		
Options expired	(580)	\$ 42.90		
Options outstanding at August 2, 2008	72,286	\$ 36.09	5.0	\$ 113,426
Options exercisable at August 2, 2008	51,566	\$ 36.63	3.9	\$ 110,467
Options vested or expected to vest at August 2, 2008 (1)	70,930	\$ 36.13	5.0	\$ 114,204

- (1) In addition to the vested options, the Company expects a portion of the unvested options to vest at some point in the future. Options expected to vest is calculated by applying an estimated forfeiture rate to the unvested options.

**Options
Outstanding** **Weighted- Average
Exercise Price**

Activity during the Nine Months Ended August 2, 2008	(in thousands)	Per Share
Options outstanding at November 3, 2007	80,158	\$35.39
Options granted	5,733	\$29.89
Options exercised	(5,991)	\$14.93
Options forfeited	(2,346)	\$36.34
Options expired	(5,268)	\$42.59
Options outstanding at August 2, 2008	72,286	\$36.09

During the three and nine months ended August 2, 2008, the total intrinsic value of options exercised (i.e., the difference between the market price at exercise and the price paid by the employee to exercise the options) was \$18.0 million and \$94.5 million, respectively, and the total amount of cash received from exercise of these options was \$20.9 million and \$89.4 million, respectively. The \$83.0 million of net proceeds from employee stock plans in the Company's statement of cash flows is net of the value of shares surrendered by employees to satisfy employee tax obligations upon vesting of restricted stock or restricted stock units and in connection with the exercise of stock options granted to the Company's employees under the Company's equity compensation plans. The total grant-date fair value of stock options that vested during the three and nine months ended August 2, 2008 was approximately \$0.9 million and \$76.6 million, respectively.

During the three and nine months ended August 4, 2007, the total intrinsic value of options exercised was \$19.8 million and \$136.2 million, respectively, and the total amount of cash received from exercise of these options was \$17.9 million and \$96.2 million, respectively. The total grant-date fair value of stock options that vested during the three and nine months ended August 4, 2007 was approximately \$1.0 million and \$39.5 million, respectively. A summary of the Company's restricted stock and restricted stock unit award activity as of August 2, 2008 and changes during the three- and nine-month periods then ended is presented below:

Table of Contents

	Restricted Shares and/ or Units Outstanding (in thousands)		Weighted- Average Grant Date Fair Value Per Share
Activity during the Three Months Ended August 2, 2008			
Non-vested shares outstanding at May 3, 2008	81	\$	33.47
Awards and/or units granted	3	\$	30.32
Restrictions lapsed	(3)	\$	34.03
Non-vested shares outstanding at August 2, 2008	81	\$	33.35

	Restricted Shares and/ or Units Outstanding (in thousands)		Weighted- Average Grant Date Fair Value Per Share
Activity during the Nine Months Ended August 2, 2008			
Non-vested shares outstanding at November 3, 2007	79	\$	34.97
Awards and/or units granted	21	\$	29.66
Restrictions lapsed	(18)	\$	36.42
Awards and/or units forfeited	(1)	\$	31.09
Non-vested shares outstanding at August 2, 2008	81	\$	33.35

As of August 2, 2008, there was \$131.1 million (before tax consideration) of total unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested share-based awards, including stock options, restricted stock and restricted stock units. That cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.7 years.

Note 4 Comprehensive Income

Components of comprehensive income include net income and certain transactions that have generally been reported in the consolidated statement of shareholders' equity and consist of the following:

	Three Months Ended August 2, 2008	August 4, 2007
Income from continuing operations, net of tax	\$ 129,195	\$ 125,406
Foreign currency translation adjustments	(2,796)	773

Edgar Filing: ANALOG DEVICES INC - Form 10-Q

Change in unrealized holding (losses) gains (net of taxes of \$76 and \$510, respectively) on securities classified as short-term investments	(337)	947
Change in unrealized holding (losses) gains (net of taxes of \$640 and \$5, respectively) on securities classified as other investments	(1,189)	9
Change in unrealized losses on derivative instruments designated as cash flow hedges	(2,258)	(850)
Accumulated other comprehensive income		
pension plans		
Transition asset	7	
Net actuarial gain	959	
Other comprehensive (loss) income	(5,614)	879
Comprehensive income from continuing operations	123,581	126,285
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax	9,413	(4,971)
Comprehensive income	\$ 132,994	\$ 121,314

Table of Contents

	Nine Months Ended	
	August 2, 2008	August 4, 2007
Income from continuing operations, net of tax	\$ 380,935	\$ 407,437
Foreign currency translation adjustments	(9,971)	5,826
Change in unrealized holding gains (net of taxes of \$124 and \$2,480, respectively) on securities classified as short-term investments	757	4,596
Change in unrealized holding gains (losses) (net of taxes of \$336 and \$75, respectively) on securities classified as other investments	624	(139)
Change in unrealized (losses) gains on derivative instruments designated as cash flow hedges	(5,269)	3,038
Accumulated other comprehensive income pension plans		
Transition asset	8	
Net actuarial gain	1,240	
Other comprehensive (loss) income	(12,611)	13,321
Comprehensive income from continuing operations	368,324	420,758
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax	261,478	(8,419)
Comprehensive income	\$ 629,802	\$ 412,339

The components of accumulated other comprehensive income at August 2, 2008 and November 3, 2007 consisted of the following:

	August 2, 2008	November 3, 2007
Foreign currency translation adjustment	\$ 10,083	\$ 20,054
Unrealized gains (losses) on available-for-sale securities	919	(462)
Unrealized gains on derivative instruments	2,050	7,319
Accumulated other comprehensive income pension plans		
Prior service cost	(13)	(13)
Transition asset	36	28
Net actuarial gain	8,412	7,172
Total accumulated other comprehensive income	\$ 21,487	\$ 34,098

Table of Contents**Note 5 Earnings Per Share**

Basic earnings per share is computed based only on the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per share is computed using the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period, plus the dilutive effect of potential future issuances of common stock relating to stock option programs and other potentially dilutive securities using the treasury stock method. In calculating diluted earnings per share, the dilutive effect of stock options is computed using the average market price for the respective period. In addition, under SFAS 123R, the assumed proceeds under the treasury stock method include the average unrecognized compensation expense of stock options that are in-the-money. This results in the assumed buyback of additional shares, thereby reducing the dilutive impact of stock options. Potential shares related to certain of the Company's outstanding stock options were excluded because they were anti-dilutive. Those potential shares, determined based on the weighted-average exercise prices during the respective periods, related to the Company's outstanding stock options could be dilutive in the future.

	Three Months Ended	
	August 2, 2008	August 4, 2007
Income from continuing operations, net of tax	\$ 129,195	\$ 125,406
Total income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax	9,413	(4,971)
Net income	\$ 138,608	\$ 120,435
Basic shares:		
Weighted-average shares outstanding	290,376	318,465
Earnings per share-basic:		
Income from continuing operations, net of tax	\$ 0.44	\$ 0.39
Total income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax	0.03	(0.02)
Net income (1)	\$ 0.48	\$ 0.38
Diluted shares:		
Weighted-average shares outstanding	290,376	318,465
Assumed exercise of common stock equivalents	4,625	8,866
Weighted-average common and common equivalent shares	295,001	327,331
Earnings per share-diluted:		
Income from continuing operations, net of tax	\$ 0.44	\$ 0.38
Total income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax	0.03	(0.02)
Net income (1)	\$ 0.47	\$ 0.37
Anti-dilutive common stock equivalents related to outstanding stock options	51,271	40,064

- (1) The sum of the individual per share amounts may not equal due to rounding.

Table of Contents

	Nine Months Ended	
	August 2, 2008	August 4, 2007
Income from continuing operations, net of tax	\$ 380,935	\$ 407,437
Total income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax	261,478	(8,419)
Net income	\$ 642,413	\$ 399,018
Basic shares:		
Weighted-average shares outstanding	293,302	329,050
Earnings per share-basic:		
Income from continuing operations, net of tax	\$ 1.30	\$ 1.24
Total income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax	0.89	(0.03)
Net income	\$ 2.19	\$ 1.21
Diluted shares:		
Weighted-average shares outstanding	293,302	329,050
Assumed exercise of common stock equivalents	4,905	9,410
Weighted-average common and common equivalent shares	298,207	338,460
Earnings per share-diluted:		
Income from continuing operations, net of tax	\$ 1.28	\$ 1.20
Total income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax	0.88	(0.02)
Net income (1)	\$ 2.15	\$ 1.18
Anti-dilutive common stock equivalents related to outstanding stock options	55,261	49,568

(1) The sum of the individual per share amounts may not equal due to rounding.

Table of Contents**Note 6 Special Charges**

A summary of the Company's special charges is as follows:

Income Statement	Closure of Wafer Fabrication Facility in Sunnyvale	Reorganization of Product Development and Support Programs	Consolidation of a Wafer Fabrication Facility in Limerick	Reduction of Overhead Infrastructure Costs	Total Special Charges
Fiscal 2005 Charges:					
Workforce reductions	\$ 20,315	\$ 11,165	\$	\$	\$ 31,480
Total Fiscal 2005 Charges	\$ 20,315	\$ 11,165	\$	\$	\$ 31,480
Fiscal 2006 Charges:					
Facility closure costs	\$	\$ 554	\$	\$	\$ 554
Abandonment of equipment		459			459
Other items		462			462
Change in estimate	(2,029)				(2,029)
Workforce reductions		2,344			2,344
Total Fiscal 2006 Charges	\$ (2,029)	\$ 3,819	\$	\$	\$ 1,790
Fiscal 2007 Charges:					
Facility closure costs	\$ 10,288	\$	\$	\$	\$ 10,288
Workforce reductions		4,165	13,748	10,711	28,624
Other items		859		1,637	2,496
Change in estimate		(913)			(913)
Total Fiscal 2007 Charges	\$ 10,288	\$ 4,111	\$ 13,748	\$ 12,348	\$ 40,495

Accrued Restructuring	Closure of Wafer Fabrication Facility in Sunnyvale	Reorganization of Product Development and Support Programs	Consolidation of a Wafer Fabrication Facility in Limerick	Reduction of Overhead Infrastructure Costs	Total Special Charges
Balance at November 3, 2007	\$ 4,002	\$ 3,769	\$ 13,748	\$ 11,146	\$ 32,665
Severance payments	(127)	(611)	(179)	(3,053)	(3,970)
Facility closure costs	(446)	(9)			(455)
Other items		(155)		(1,199)	(1,354)
Effect of foreign currency translation on accrual		24	397	23	444
Balance at February 2, 2008	\$ 3,429	\$ 3,018	\$ 13,966	\$ 6,917	\$ 27,330
Severance payments	(45)	(1,059)		(1,910)	(3,014)
Facility closure costs	(451)	(3)			(454)
Effect of foreign currency translation on accrual		3	545	23	571
Balance at May 3, 2008	\$ 2,933	\$ 1,959	\$ 14,511	\$ 5,030	\$ 24,433
Severance payments	(41)	(278)	(31)	(2,108)	(2,458)
Facility closure costs	(419)	(23)			(442)
Effect of foreign currency translation on accrual			146	10	156
Balance at August 2, 2008	\$ 2,473	\$ 1,658	\$ 14,626	\$ 2,932	\$ 21,689

Table of Contents*Closure of Wafer Fabrication Facility in Sunnyvale*

During the fourth quarter of fiscal 2005, the Company recorded a special charge of \$20.3 million as a result of a decision to close its California wafer fabrication operations and transfer virtually all of the production of products manufactured there to the Company's facility in Wilmington, Massachusetts. The charge was for severance and fringe benefit costs that were recorded pursuant to SFAS 88, *Employers' Accounting for Settlements and Curtailments of Defined Benefit Pension Plans and for Termination Benefits*, (SFAS 88), under the Company's ongoing benefit plan for 339 manufacturing employees and 28 general and administrative employees. The severance benefit was calculated based on length of past service, and employees had to continue to be employed until their employment was involuntarily terminated in order to receive the severance benefit. The Company completed the final cleanup and closure activities associated with this action during the second quarter of fiscal 2007.

In addition to the charge recorded in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2005, the Company recorded additional expense during fiscal 2006, which consisted of \$18.3 million of non-cash cost of sales expenses for additional depreciation due to shortened useful lives of certain manufacturing equipment and \$2.0 million for stay-on bonuses. The Company reversed approximately \$2.0 million of its severance accrual during fiscal 2006 because some employees voluntarily left the Company, other employees found alternative employment within the Company, and there was an over-accrual related to fringe benefits because severance payments, normally paid as income continuance, were paid in lump sum payments, which reduced the benefit costs associated with these payments. The employment of all of the remaining employees included in this action has been terminated by the Company.

The Company ceased production at the wafer fabrication facility on November 9, 2006. During the first quarter of fiscal 2007, the Company recorded additional expense, in accordance with SFAS 146, *Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities* (SFAS 146), which consisted of \$3.2 million for clean-up and closure costs that were charged to expense as incurred and \$0.4 million for lease obligation costs for a warehouse facility the Company ceased using during the first quarter of fiscal 2007. During the second quarter of fiscal 2007, the Company recorded a special charge, in accordance with SFAS 146, which included \$5.0 million of expense for future lease obligation costs for the wafer fabrication facility that the Company ceased using during the second quarter of fiscal 2007. The lease obligation costs are being paid out on a monthly basis over the remaining lease term which expires in 2010. Also included in the special charge was \$1.7 million for clean-up and closure costs that were charged to expense as incurred. The clean-up activity was completed during the second quarter of fiscal 2007, and the Company does not expect to incur any additional charges related to this action.

Reorganization of Product Development and Support Programs

During the fourth quarter of fiscal 2005, the Company recorded a special charge of \$11.2 million as a result of its decision to reorganize its product development and support programs with the goal of providing greater focus on its analog and digital signal processing product programs. The charge was for severance and fringe benefit costs that were recorded pursuant to SFAS 88 under the Company's ongoing benefit plan or statutory requirements at foreign locations for 60 manufacturing employees and 154 engineering and selling, marketing, general and administrative employees.

During fiscal 2006, the Company recorded an additional special charge of \$3.8 million related to this reorganization action. Approximately \$1.5 million of this charge was for lease obligation costs for a facility the Company ceased using during the first quarter of fiscal 2006 and the write-off of property, plant and equipment and other items at this facility. The remaining \$2.3 million related to severance and fringe benefit costs that were recorded in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2006 pursuant to SFAS 88 under the Company's ongoing benefit plan or statutory requirements at foreign locations for 46 engineering and selling, marketing, general and administrative employees.

During the first quarter of fiscal 2007, the Company recorded an additional special charge of \$1.6 million related to this reorganization action. Approximately \$0.6 million of this charge was for contract termination costs. The remaining \$1.0 million relates to severance and fringe benefit costs recorded pursuant to SFAS 88 under the Company's ongoing benefit plan for six engineering employees.

During the second quarter of fiscal 2007, the Company recorded an additional special charge of \$3.4 million related to this reorganization action. Approximately \$3.2 million relates to the severance and fringe benefit costs recorded pursuant to SFAS 88 under the Company's ongoing benefit plan or minimum statutory requirements at foreign

locations for 20 engineering and selling, marketing, general and administrative employees. The remaining \$0.2 million of this charge was for lease obligation costs for a facility the Company ceased using during the second quarter of fiscal 2007.

Table of Contents

During the fourth quarter of fiscal 2007, the Company reversed approximately \$0.9 million of the Company's severance accrual because some employees voluntarily left the Company and other employees found alternative employment within the Company, and were therefore no longer entitled to severance payments.

The employment of all employees included in this action has been terminated and amounts owed to employees for severance are being paid out as income continuance. The Company does not expect to incur any further charges related to this reorganization action.

*Fourth Quarter of Fiscal 2007 Special Charges**Consolidation of a Wafer Fabrication Facility in Limerick*

During the fourth quarter of fiscal 2007, the Company recorded a special charge of \$13.7 million as a result of the Company's decision to only use eight-inch technology at its wafer fabrication facility in Limerick. Certain manufacturing processes and products produced on the Limerick facility's six-inch production line will transition to the existing eight-inch production line in Limerick while others will transition to external foundries. The charge is for severance and fringe benefit costs recorded pursuant to SFAS 88 under the Company's ongoing benefit plan for 150 manufacturing employees. Production is expected to cease in the six-inch wafer fabrication facility during the first half of fiscal 2009, at which time the employment of the affected employees will be terminated.

As of August 2, 2008, 146 of the 150 employees included in this action were still employed by the Company. These employees must continue to be employed until their employment is involuntarily terminated in order to receive the severance benefit. The Company expects to incur additional closure expenses related to this action over the next four quarters totaling approximately \$3 million. In accordance with SFAS 146, these costs will be expensed as incurred.

Reduction of Overhead Infrastructure Costs

During the fourth quarter of fiscal 2007, the Company decided to either deemphasize or exit certain businesses or products and focus investments in products and end markets where the Company has better opportunities for profitable growth. In September 2007, the Company entered into a definitive agreement to sell its Baseband Chipset Business. As a result of these decisions, the Company decided to reduce the support infrastructure in manufacturing, engineering and SMG&A to more appropriately reflect the required overhead structure of the Company. Consequently, during the fourth quarter of fiscal 2007, the Company recorded a special charge of \$12.3 million, of which \$10.7 million was for severance and fringe benefit costs recorded pursuant to SFAS 88 under the Company's ongoing benefit plan or statutory requirements at foreign locations for 25 manufacturing employees and 127 engineering and selling, marketing, general and administrative employees. The remaining \$1.6 million was for contract termination costs related to a license agreement associated with products the Company will no longer develop and for which there is no future alternative use. These actions were substantially completed in the second quarter of fiscal 2008.

As of August 2, 2008, 10 of the 152 employees included in this action were still employed by the Company. These employees must continue to be employed until their employment is involuntarily terminated in order to receive the severance benefit.

Note 7 Segment Information

The Company operates and tracks its results in one reportable segment. The Company designs, develops, manufactures and markets a broad range of integrated circuits. The Chief Executive Officer has been identified as the Chief Operating Decision Maker as defined by SFAS No. 131, *Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information*.

Table of Contents*Revenue Trends by End Market*

The categorization of revenue by end market is determined using a variety of data points including the technical characteristics of the product, the sold to customer information, the ship to customer information and the end customer product or application into which the Company's product will be incorporated. As data systems for capturing and tracking this data evolve and improve, the categorization of products by end market can vary over time. When this occurs, the Company reclassifies revenue by end market for prior periods. Such reclassifications typically do not materially change the sizing of, or the underlying trends of results within, each end market.

	Three Months Ended August 2, 2008			Three Months Ended August 4, 2007	
	Revenue	% of Total Product Revenue	Y/Y %	Revenue	% of Total Product Revenue
Industrial	\$ 326,984	50%	10%	\$ 298,049	48%
Communications	163,762	25%	11%	148,011	24%
Consumer	134,677	20%	(1%)	136,145	22%
Computer	33,563	5%	(5%)	35,226	6%
Total Product Revenue	\$ 658,986	100%	7%	\$ 617,431	100%

	Nine Months Ended August 2, 2008			Nine Months Ended August 4, 2007**	
	Revenue	% of Total Product Revenue	Y/Y %	Revenue	% of Total Product Revenue
Industrial	\$ 957,693	50%	7%	\$ 894,004	49%
Communications	474,682	25%	16%	407,927	23%
Consumer	394,177	20%	(0%)	394,727	22%
Computer	95,683	5%	(13%)	109,521	6%
Total Product Revenue	\$ 1,922,235	100%	6%	\$ 1,806,179	100%
Revenue from one-time IP license*				35,000	
Total Revenue	\$ 1,922,235			\$ 1,841,179	

* During the first quarter of fiscal 2007, the Company recorded revenue of \$35 million received in exchange for

licensing of
certain
intellectual
property rights
to a third party.

** Fiscal 2008 is a 52-week year and fiscal 2007 was a 53-week year. The additional week in fiscal 2007 was included in the first quarter ended February 3, 2007. Therefore, the first nine months of fiscal 2007 included an additional week of operations as compared to the first nine months of fiscal 2008.

Table of Contents*Revenue Trends by Product Type*

The following table summarizes revenue by product categories. The categorization of the Company's products into broad categories is based on the characteristics of the individual products, the specification of the products and in some cases the specific uses that certain products have within applications. The categorization of products into categories is therefore subject to judgment in some cases and can vary over time. In instances where products move between product categories the Company reclassifies the amounts in the product categories for all prior periods. Such reclassifications typically do not materially change the sizing of, or the underlying trends of results within, each product category.

	Three Months Ended August 2, 2008			Three Months Ended August 4, 2007	
	Revenue	% of Total Product Revenue	Y/Y %	Revenue	% of Total Product Revenue
Converters	\$ 302,763	46%	8%	\$ 281,001	46%
Amplifiers	150,923	23%	5%	144,103	23%
Other analog	100,004	15%	(0%)	100,279	16%
Subtotal analog signal processing	553,690	84%	5%	525,383	85%
Power management & reference	36,674	6%	20%	30,439	5%
Total analog products	\$ 590,364	90%	6%	\$ 555,822	90%
General purpose DSP	60,521	9%	14%	52,992	9%
Other DSP	8,101	1%	(6%)	8,617	1%
Total digital signal processing	\$ 68,622	10%	11%	\$ 61,609	10%
Total Product Revenue	\$ 658,986	100%	7%	\$ 617,431	100%

	Nine Months Ended August 2, 2008			Nine Months Ended August 4, 2007**	
	Revenue	% of Total Product Revenue	Y/Y %	Revenue	% of Total Product Revenue
Converters	\$ 881,530	46%	8%	\$ 818,502	45%
Amplifiers	439,755	23%	5%	419,018	23%
Other analog	300,073	16%	2%	295,261	17%
Subtotal analog signal processing	1,621,358	85%	6%	1,532,781	85%
Power management & reference	104,789	5%	14%	91,818	5%
Total analog products	\$ 1,726,147	90%	6%	\$ 1,624,599	90%
General purpose DSP	173,921	9%	10%	158,305	9%
Other DSP	22,167	1%	(5%)	23,275	1%

Total digital signal processing	\$ 196,088	10%	8%	\$ 181,580	10%
Total Product Revenue	\$ 1,922,235	100%	6%	\$ 1,806,179	100%
Revenue from one-time IP license*				35,000	
Total Revenue	\$ 1,922,235			\$ 1,841,179	

* During the first quarter of fiscal 2007, the Company recorded revenue of \$35 million received in exchange for licensing of certain intellectual property rights to a third party.

** Fiscal 2008 is a 52-week year and fiscal 2007 was a 53-week year. The additional week in fiscal 2007 was included in the first quarter ended February 3, 2007. Therefore, the first nine months of fiscal 2007 included an additional week of operations as compared to the first nine months of fiscal 2008.

Table of Contents*Revenue Trends by Geographic Region*

Product revenue by geographic region, based upon customer location, for the three- and nine-month periods ended August 2, 2008 and August 4, 2007 was as follows:

Region	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	August 2, 2008	August 4, 2007	August 2, 2008	August 4, 2007
United States	\$ 131,168	\$ 132,076	\$ 393,921	\$ 414,056
Rest of North and South America	25,433	20,523	70,578	60,293
Europe	177,881	148,284	509,347	438,078
Japan	128,457	127,040	380,940	372,911
China	103,632	90,010	284,358	236,109
Rest of Asia	92,415	99,498	283,091	284,732
Total Product Revenue	\$ 658,986	\$ 617,431	\$ 1,922,235	\$ 1,806,179

The predominant countries comprising Rest of North and South America are Canada and Mexico. The predominant countries comprising European operations are Germany, France and the United Kingdom. The predominant country comprising Rest of Asia is Korea.

Note 8 Goodwill and Intangible Assets*Goodwill*

The Company evaluates goodwill for impairment annually as well as whenever events or changes in circumstances suggest that the carrying value of goodwill may not be recoverable. Because the Company has one reporting segment under SFAS No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*, the Company utilizes the entity-wide approach for assessing goodwill for impairment and compares its market value to its net book value to determine if an impairment exists. No impairment of goodwill resulted from the Company's most recent evaluation of goodwill for impairment, which occurred in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2007. No impairment of goodwill resulted in any of the fiscal periods presented. The Company's next annual impairment assessment will be made in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2008. The following table presents the changes in goodwill during the first nine months of fiscal 2008 and the fiscal year ended November 3, 2007:

	Nine Months Ended August 2, 2008	Fiscal Year Ended November 3, 2007
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 279,469	\$ 256,209
Acquisition of TTPCom assets(1)		4,273
Acquisition of Integrant Technologies(2)	2,988	13,282
Goodwill allocated to sale of businesses (3)	(12,649)	
Foreign currency translation adjustment	(9,656)	5,705
Balance at end of period	\$ 260,152	\$ 279,469

(1) The Company paid its final milestone

related to this acquisition in the second quarter of fiscal 2007.

- (2) The Company completed the final purchase accounting for this transaction during the first quarter of fiscal 2007, which resulted in an additional \$5.6 million of goodwill. The Company purchased additional outstanding minority shares related to this acquisition during fiscal 2007 and the third quarter of fiscal 2008, which resulted in an additional \$7.7 million and \$3.0 million, respectively, of goodwill.
- (3) The Company allocated \$12.6 million of goodwill in connection with the sale of its Baseband Chipset Business to MediaTek Inc. and the sale of its CPU voltage regulation and PC thermal monitoring

business to ON
Semiconductor
Corporation.

Table of Contents*Intangible Assets*

The Company reviews identified intangible assets for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of assets may not be recoverable. Recoverability of these assets is measured by comparison of their carrying value to future undiscounted cash flows the assets are expected to generate over their remaining economic lives. If such assets are considered to be impaired, the impairment to be recognized in earnings equals the amount by which the carrying value of the assets exceeds their fair market value determined by either a quoted market price, if any, or a value determined by utilizing a discounted cash flow technique. In connection with the sale of its Baseband Chipset Business to MediaTek Inc., the Company wrote off \$7.9 million of intangible assets against the gain realized by the Company on the sale. These assets had been classified as current assets of discontinued operations in fiscal 2007.

Intangible assets, which will continue to be amortized, consisted of the following:

	August 2, 2008		November 3, 2007	
	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization
Technology-based Tradename	\$ 43,027	\$ 27,677	\$ 43,626	\$ 23,303
Customer Relationships	1,614	1,593	1,687	1,403
Other	5,720	3,719	5,798	2,470
	6,550	6,550	6,582	6,364
Total	\$ 56,911	\$ 39,539	\$ 57,693	\$ 33,540

Intangible assets acquired prior to the third quarter of fiscal 2006 continue to be amortized on a straight-line basis over their estimated useful lives, which range from five to ten years. The intangible assets acquired during fiscal 2006 are being amortized over their estimated useful lives of two to five years using an accelerated method of amortization that is expected to reflect the estimated pattern of economic use. The remaining amortization expense will be recognized over a weighted-average period of approximately 1.4 years. Amortization expense was \$2.4 million and \$2.2 million for the three-month periods ended August 2, 2008 and August 4, 2007, respectively, and \$7.5 million and \$6.9 million for the nine-month periods ended August 2, 2008 and August 4, 2007, respectively.

The Company expects amortization expense for these intangible assets to be:

Fiscal Year	Amortization Expense
Remainder of 2008	\$ 2,044
2009	\$ 7,731
2010	\$ 5,103
2011	\$ 2,325
2012	\$ 169

Table of Contents**Note 9 Pension Plans**

The Company has various defined benefit pension and other retirement plans for certain non-U.S. employees that are consistent with local statutory requirements and practices. The Company's funding policy for its foreign defined benefit pension plans is consistent with the local requirements of each country. The plans' assets consist primarily of U.S. and non-U.S. equity securities, bonds, property and cash.

Net periodic pension cost of non-U.S. plans is presented in the following table:

	Three Months Ended	
	August 2, 2008	August 4, 2007
Service cost	\$ 2,467	\$ 2,799
Interest cost	2,649	2,251
Expected return on plan assets	(3,187)	(2,428)
Amortization of prior service cost	2	2
Amortization of initial net asset	(11)	(10)
Amortization of net loss	48	206
Net periodic pension cost	\$ 1,968	\$ 2,820

	Nine Months Ended	
	August 2, 2008	August 4, 2007
Service cost	\$ 7,325	\$ 8,267
Interest cost	7,828	6,704
Expected return on plan assets	(9,416)	(7,239)
Amortization of prior service cost	6	6
Amortization of initial net asset	(33)	(27)
Amortization of net loss	147	605
Net periodic pension cost	\$ 5,857	\$ 8,316

Pension contributions of \$2.6 million and \$7.1 million were made by the Company during the three and nine months ended August 2, 2008. The Company presently anticipates contributing an additional \$1.7 million to fund its defined benefit pension plans in fiscal year 2008 for a total of \$8.8 million.

Note 10 Commitments and Contingencies*Settlement of the SEC's Previously Announced Stock Option Investigation*

On May 30, 2008, the Company and its President and CEO, Mr. Jerald G. Fishman, reached a settlement with the Securities and Exchange Commission, thereby concluding the Commission's investigation into the Company's stock option granting practices. Neither the Company nor Mr. Fishman admitted or denied any of the Commission's allegations or findings.

As previously disclosed, the Commission concluded that: the appropriate grant date for the September 4, 1998 options should have been September 8, 1998 (which is one trading day later than the date that was used to price the options); the appropriate grant date for the November 30, 1999 options should have been November 29, 1999 (which is one trading day earlier than the date that was used to price the options); and the appropriate grant date for the July 18, 2001 options should have been July 26, 2001 (which is five trading days later than the date that was used to price the options). The Commission did not charge the Company or Mr. Fishman with any violation of law related to the granting of options prior to the release of favorable financial results.

In connection with the settlement, the Company consented to a cease-and-desist order under Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 thereunder, agreed to pay a civil money penalty of \$3 million, and repriced options granted to Mr. Fishman in 1999 and 2001. No other options granted by the Company were affected by the settlement. Mr. Fishman

Table of Contents

consented to a cease-and-desist order under Sections 17(a)(2) and (3) of the Securities Act, agreed to pay a civil money penalty of \$1 million, and agreed to make a disgorgement payment of \$450,000 (plus interest) with respect to options granted in 1998.

The Company determined that no restatement of its historical financial results is necessary due to the settlement.

Other Legal Proceedings

In May 2006, the Company received a document subpoena from the U.S. Attorney for the Southern District of New York requesting records from 2000 to the present relating to the Company's granting of stock options. The Company believes that the options at issue in this matter are the same option grants which were the subject of investigation by the SEC. The Company has cooperated with the office of the U.S. Attorney in connection with this subpoena. The Company cannot predict the outcome of this matter, but believes the disposition of the matter will not have a material adverse effect on the Company or its financial position.

On October 13, 2006, a purported class action complaint was filed in the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts on behalf of participants in the Company's Investment Partnership Plan from October 5, 2000 to the present. The complaint named as defendants the Company, certain officers and directors, and the Company's Investment Partnership Plan Administration Committee. The complaint alleges purported violations of federal law in connection with the Company's option granting practices during the years 1998, 1999, 2000, and 2001, including breaches of fiduciary duties owed to participants and beneficiaries of the Company's Investment Partnership Plan under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act. The complaint seeks unspecified monetary damages, as well as equitable and injunctive relief. The Company intends to vigorously defend against these allegations. On November 22, 2006, the Company and the individual defendants filed motions to dismiss the complaint. On January 8, 2007, the Plaintiff filed memoranda in opposition. On January 22, 2007, the Company and the individual defendants filed further memoranda in support of the motions to dismiss. The court heard the Company's motion to dismiss on January 30, 2008, but has not yet issued a ruling. Although the Company believes it has meritorious defenses to the asserted claims, it is unable at this time to predict the outcome of this proceeding.

From time to time in the ordinary course of the Company's business, various claims, charges and litigation are asserted or commenced against the Company arising from, or related to, contractual matters, patents, trademarks, personal injury, environmental matters, product liability, insurance coverage and personnel and employment disputes. As to such claims and litigation the Company can give no assurance that it will prevail.

While the Company does not believe that any of the matters described above will have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position, an adverse outcome of any of these matters is possible and could have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated results of operations or cash flows in the quarter or annual period in which one or more of these matters are resolved.

Note 11 Revolving Credit Facility

A significant portion of the Company's cash and short term investments balance is currently held outside the United States in various foreign subsidiaries. As the Company intends to reinvest certain of its foreign earnings indefinitely, this cash is not available to meet certain of the Company's cash requirements in the United States, including for cash dividends and common stock repurchases. The Company entered into a five-year, \$165 million unsecured revolving credit facility with certain institutional lenders in May of fiscal 2008 in order to supplement its occasional cash requirements in the United States. To date, the Company has not borrowed under this credit facility but the Company may borrow in the future and use the proceeds for support of commercial paper issuance, stock repurchases, dividend payments, acquisitions, capital expenditures, working capital and other lawful corporate purposes. Any advances under this credit agreement will accrue interest at rates that are equal to LIBOR plus a margin that is based on the Company's leverage ratio. The terms of this facility also include financial covenants that require the Company to maintain a minimum interest coverage ratio and not exceed a maximum leverage ratio. The terms of the facility also impose restrictions on the Company's ability to undertake certain transactions, to create certain liens on assets and to incur certain subsidiary indebtedness.

Table of Contents**Note 12 Common Stock Repurchase**

The Company's common stock repurchase program has been in place since August 2004. In the aggregate, the Board of Directors has authorized the Company to repurchase \$4 billion of the Company's common stock under the program. Under the program, the Company may repurchase outstanding shares of its common stock from time to time in the open market and through privately negotiated transactions. Unless terminated earlier by resolution of the Company's Board of Directors, the repurchase program will expire when the Company has repurchased all shares authorized under the program. The Company repurchased approximately 0.8 million shares for approximately \$27.6 million during the third quarter of fiscal 2008 and approximately 18.7 million shares for approximately \$552.4 million during the first nine months of fiscal 2008. As of August 2, 2008, the Company had repurchased a total of approximately 113.8 million shares of its common stock for approximately \$3.9 billion under this program and an additional \$112.8 million remains under the current authorized program. The repurchased shares are held as authorized but unissued shares of common stock. The Company also from time to time repurchases shares in settlement of employee tax withholding obligations due upon the vesting of restricted stock or restricted stock units, or the exercise of stock options.

Note 13 Discontinued Operations

On November 8, 2007, the Company entered into a purchase and sale agreement with certain subsidiaries of ON Semiconductor Corporation to sell the Company's CPU voltage regulation and PC thermal monitoring business which consists of core voltage regulator products for the central processing unit in computing and gaming applications and temperature sensors and fan-speed controllers for managing the temperature of the central processing unit. During the first quarter of fiscal 2008, the Company completed the sale of this business for net cash proceeds of \$138 million, which was net of other cash payments of approximately \$1.4 million. The Company made final additional cash payments of approximately \$2.2 million in the second quarter of fiscal 2008. In connection with the purchase and sale agreement, \$7.5 million was placed into escrow, and was excluded from the gain calculations. The Company recorded a pre-tax gain in the first quarter of fiscal 2008 of \$78 million, or \$43 million net of tax, which was recorded as a gain on sale of discontinued operations. During the third quarter of fiscal 2008, additional proceeds were released from escrow and an additional pre-tax gain of \$6.6 million, or \$3.8 million net of tax, was recorded as a gain on sale of discontinued operations. Additionally, the Company entered into a one-year manufacturing supply agreement with a subsidiary of ON Semiconductor Corporation for an additional \$37 million. The Company has allocated the proceeds from this arrangement based on the fair value of the two elements of this transaction: 1) the sale of a business and 2) the obligation to manufacture product for a one-year period. As a result, \$85 million was recorded as a liability related to the manufacturing supply agreement, of which approximately \$31 million was outstanding as of August 2, 2008. The liability is included in current liabilities of discontinued operations on the Company's condensed consolidated balance sheet. The Company will record the revenue associated with this manufacturing supply agreement in discontinued operations over the next five months. As a result, the Company has classified inventory for these arrangements as current assets of discontinued operations. The Company may receive additional proceeds of up to \$1 million, currently held in escrow, upon the resolution of certain contingent items, which would be recorded as additional gain from the sale of discontinued operations.

In September 2007, the Company entered into a definitive agreement to sell its Baseband Chipset Business to MediaTek Inc. The decision to sell the Baseband Chipset Business was due to the Company's decision to focus its resources in areas where its signal processing expertise can provide unique capabilities and earn superior returns. On January 11, 2008, the Company completed the sale of its Baseband Chipset Business for net cash proceeds of \$269 million. The cash proceeds received were net of a refundable withholding tax of \$62 million and other cash payments of approximately \$9 million. The Company made additional cash payments of \$4.8 million during the second quarter of fiscal 2008, primarily related to transaction fees, and additional cash payments of \$3 million during the third quarter of fiscal 2008 related to retention payments to employees that transferred to MediaTek Inc. The Company expects to make additional cash payments of approximately \$2.5 million over the next three months, related to retention payments to employees that transferred to MediaTek Inc. and intellectual property license fees incurred by MediaTek Inc. The Company recorded a pre-tax gain in the first quarter of fiscal 2008 of \$278 million, or \$204 million net of tax, which is recorded as a gain on sale of discontinued operations. The Company may receive

additional proceeds of up to \$10 million, currently held in escrow, upon the resolution of certain contingent items, which would be recorded as additional gain from the sale of discontinued operations.

Table of Contents

During the second quarter of fiscal 2008, the Company made a \$67.3 million income tax payment related to the gain on the sale of these businesses. This tax payment is reflected in the statement of cash flows as a decrease in net cash provided by operating activities. Under SFAS No. 95, *Statement of Cash Flows*, all income tax payments are included in determining net cash flow from operating activities but the cash received from the sale of the businesses must be reported as an investing cash flow.

The Company will receive additional amounts under various transition service agreements entered into in connection with these dispositions over the next two quarters. The transition service agreements include manufacturing, engineering support and certain human resource services and information technology systems support. The Company has evaluated the nature of the transition services and has concluded the services will be primarily completed within the one-year assessment period and the Company does not have the ability to exert significant influence over the disposed businesses' operating and financial policies. Accordingly, the Company has concluded that it does not have a significant continuing involvement with the disposed businesses and has presented the disposition of these businesses as discontinued operations pursuant to SFAS No. 144, *Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets*.

The following amounts related to the CPU voltage regulation and PC thermal monitoring and Baseband Chipset businesses have been segregated from continuing operations and reported as discontinued operations and also include the revenue and costs of services provided under the manufacturing supply agreement.

	Three Months Ended	
	August 2, 2008	August 4, 2007
Total revenue	\$ 25,274	\$ 62,881
Cost of sales	20,941	47,788
Research and development	(80)	20,469
Selling, marketing, general and administrative	(25)	2,473
Gain on sale of discontinued operations	(6,578)	
Income (loss) before income taxes	11,016	(7,849)
Provision for (benefit from) income taxes	1,603	(2,878)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax	\$ 9,413	\$ (4,971)

	Nine Months Ended	
	August 2, 2008	August 4, 2007
Total revenue	\$ 93,767	\$ 199,879
Cost of sales	73,479	147,020
Research and development	12,425	61,276
Selling, marketing, general and administrative	1,976	8,009
Gain on sale of discontinued operations	(362,594)	
Income (loss) before income taxes	368,481	(16,426)
Provision for (benefit from) income taxes	107,003	(8,007)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax	\$ 261,478	\$ (8,419)

Table of Contents

	August 2, 2008	November 3, 2007
Accounts receivable, net	\$	\$ 34,575
Inventory	9,895	37,602
Property, plant and equipment, net		7,360
Intangibles, net		7,920
Total assets reclassified to current assets of discontinued operations	\$ 9,895	\$ 87,457
Refundable foreign withholding tax	\$ 62,037	\$
Total assets reclassified to non-current assets of discontinued operations	\$ 62,037	\$
Accounts payable	\$ 1,950	\$ 14,011
Income taxes payable	41,041	
Deferred income on shipments to distributors		966
Liabilities associated with a manufacturing supply agreement	30,900	
Accrued liabilities	2,540	9,176
Total liabilities reclassified to current liabilities of discontinued operations	\$ 76,431	\$ 24,153

Note 14 Income Taxes

The Company has provided for potential liabilities due in the various jurisdictions in which the Company operates. Judgment is required in determining the worldwide income tax expense provision. In the ordinary course of global business, there are many transactions and calculations where the ultimate tax outcome is uncertain. Some of these uncertainties arise as a consequence of cost reimbursement arrangements among related entities. Although the Company believes its estimates are reasonable, no assurance can be given that the final tax outcome of these matters will not be different than that which is reflected in the historical income tax provisions and accruals. Such differences could have a material impact on the Company's income tax provision and operating results in the period in which such determination is made.

On November 4, 2007 (the first day of its 2008 fiscal year), the Company adopted FASB Interpretation No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes* — an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109 (FIN 48). FIN 48 differs from the prior standards in that it requires companies to determine whether it is more likely than not that a tax position will be sustained upon examination by the appropriate taxing authorities before any benefit can be recorded in the financial statements. An uncertain income tax position will not be recognized if it has less than a 50% likelihood of being sustained. There were no changes to the Company's liabilities for uncertain tax positions as a result of the adoption of FIN 48. As of August 2, 2008, the Company had \$11.5 million of liabilities related to tax contingencies. In accordance with FIN 48, these liabilities are classified as non-current, and included in other non-current liabilities, because the Company believes that the ultimate payment or settlement of these liabilities will not occur within the next twelve months. Prior to the adoption of FIN 48, these amounts were included in current income tax payable. The \$11.5 million liability for uncertain tax positions as of August 2, 2008 included \$5.8 million for interest and penalties. If these tax positions were settled in the Company's favor, these liabilities would be reversed and lower the Company's effective tax rate in the period recorded. The Company includes interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits within the provision for taxes in the condensed consolidated statements of income, and as a result, no change in classification was made upon adopting FIN 48. The condensed consolidated statements of income for the three- and nine-month periods ended August 2, 2008 include \$0.3 million and \$0.7 million, respectively, of interest and penalties related to these uncertain tax positions. Due to the complexity associated with its tax uncertainties, the Company

cannot make a reasonably reliable estimate as to the period in which it expects to settle the liabilities associated with these uncertain tax positions.

During the fourth quarter of fiscal 2007, the IRS completed its field examination of fiscal years 2004 and 2005. On January 2, 2008, the IRS issued its report for fiscal 2004 and 2005, which included proposed adjustments related to these two fiscal years. The Company has provided for taxes and penalties related to certain of these proposed adjustments. There are four items with a potential total tax liability of \$46 million that the Company concluded, based on discussions with its tax advisors, are not likely to result in additional tax liability. Therefore, the Company has not recorded any tax liability for these items and is appealing these proposed adjustments through the normal processes for the resolution of differences between the IRS and taxpayers. Two of the unresolved matters are one-time issues and pertain to Section 965 of the Internal Revenue Code related to the beneficial

Table of Contents

tax treatment of dividends from foreign owned companies under The American Jobs Creation Act. The other matters pertain to the computation of research and development tax credits and the profits earned from manufacturing activities carried on outside the United States. These latter two matters could impact taxes payable for fiscal 2004 and 2005 as well as for subsequent years.

During fiscal 2006, the IRS invited the Company to participate in the Compliance Assurance Process (CAP), which is a voluntary pilot program the IRS is conducting for a limited number of large business taxpayers. The objective of CAP is to reduce taxpayer burden associated with IRS audits while assuring the IRS of the accuracy of tax returns prior to filing. The Company participated in CAP for fiscal 2006 and 2007. Under the program, the IRS is expected to contemporaneously work with the Company to achieve federal tax compliance and resolve issues prior to the filing of a tax return. CAP is designed to eliminate or substantially reduce the need for post-filing examinations of future tax returns. For fiscal 2006, the IRS has completed the CAP but has not issued its final report. The IRS and the Company have agreed on the treatment of a number of issues that have been included in an Issue Resolutions Agreement related to the 2006 tax return. However, no agreement was reached on the tax treatment of a number of issues, including the same R&D credit and foreign manufacturing issues mentioned above related to fiscal 2004 and 2005. The IRS has not completed its audit of the pricing of intercompany sales (transfer pricing). The Company has not provided for any additional taxes in respect of the examination of the fiscal 2006 return. The CAP is still underway for fiscal 2007 and the IRS has not issued a report for fiscal 2007.

Although the Company believes its estimates of income tax payable are reasonable, no assurance can be given that the Company will prevail in the matters raised related to fiscal years 2004, 2005, 2006 and 2007 and that the outcome of one or all of these matters will not be different than that which is reflected in the historical income tax provisions and accruals. The Company believes such differences would not have a material impact on the Company's financial condition but could have a material impact on the Company's income tax provision, operating results and operating cash flows in the period in which such matters are resolved.

Note 15 New Accounting Standards*Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles*

In May 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 162, *The Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles* (SFAS 162). SFAS 162 identifies the sources of accounting principles and the framework for selecting the principles to be used in the preparation of financial statements of nongovernmental entities that are presented in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America. SFAS 162 is effective sixty days following the SEC's approval of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board amendments to AU section 411, *The Meaning of Present Fairly in Conformity with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles*. The Company is currently evaluating the impact, if any, that SFAS 162 may have on the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities

In March 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 161, *Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities* an amendment of FASB Statement No. 133 (SFAS 161). SFAS 161 changes the disclosure requirements for derivative instruments and hedging activities. SFAS 161 requires entities to provide enhanced disclosures about how and why an entity uses derivative instruments; how derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted for under SFAS 133 and its related interpretations; and how derivative instruments and related hedged items affect an entity's financial position, financial performance and cash flows. This statement is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after November 15, 2008. The Company is currently evaluating the impact, if any, that SFAS 161 may have on the Company's financial condition and results of operations. The adoption of SFAS 161 will change the Company's disclosures for derivative instruments and hedging activities beginning in the second quarter of fiscal year 2009.

Business Combinations

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141 (revised 2007), *Business Combinations* (SFAS 141(R)). SFAS 141(R) requires an acquiring entity in a business combination to recognize the assets acquired, liabilities assumed and any noncontrolling interest in the acquiree at their fair value on the acquisition date. It further requires that acquisition-related costs and restructuring costs be recognized separately from the acquisition. SFAS 141(R) is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008, which is the Company's fiscal year 2010. The Company

is currently evaluating the impact, if any, that SFAS 141 (R) may have on the Company's financial condition and results of operations. The adoption of SFAS 141(R) will change the Company's accounting treatment for business combinations on a prospective basis beginning in the first quarter of fiscal year 2010.

Table of Contents

Noncontrolling Interests

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, *Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements* (SFAS 160). SFAS 160 clarifies that a noncontrolling or minority interest in a subsidiary is considered an ownership interest and, accordingly, requires all entities to report such interests in subsidiaries as equity in the consolidated financial statements. SFAS 160 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008, which is the Company's fiscal year 2010. The Company is currently evaluating the impact, if any, that SFAS 160 may have on the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

Accounting for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities - Including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115* (SFAS 159). SFAS 159 permits entities to choose to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value. The objective is to improve financial reporting by providing entities with the opportunity to mitigate volatility in reported earnings caused by measuring related assets and liabilities differently without having to apply complex hedge accounting provisions. SFAS 159 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, which is the Company's fiscal year 2009. The Company is currently evaluating the impact, if any, that SFAS 159 may have on the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

Fair Value Measurements

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements* (SFAS 157). SFAS 157 provides enhanced guidance for using fair value to measure assets and liabilities. The standard also provides for expanded information about the extent to which companies measure assets and liabilities at fair value, the information used to measure fair value and the effect of fair value measurements on earnings. SFAS 157 applies whenever other standards require or permit assets or liabilities to be measured at fair value. This standard does not expand the use of fair value in any new circumstances. SFAS 157 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, which is the Company's fiscal year 2009. The Company is currently evaluating the impact, if any, that SFAS 157 may have on the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

Note 16 Subsequent Event

On August 18, 2008, the Company's Board of Directors declared a cash dividend of \$0.20 per outstanding share of common stock. The dividend will be paid on September 17, 2008 to all shareholders of record at the close of business on August 29, 2008.

Table of Contents**ITEM 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations**

This information should be read in conjunction with the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and related notes included in Item 1 of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and the audited consolidated financial statements and related notes and Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations contained in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended November 3, 2007. This Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, including in particular the section entitled "Outlook," contains forward-looking statements regarding future events and our future results that are subject to the safe harbors created under the Securities Act of 1933 (the "Securities Act") and the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act"). These statements are based on current expectations, estimates, forecasts, and projections about the industries in which we operate and the beliefs and assumptions of our management. Words such as "expects," "anticipates," "targets," "goals," "projects," "intends," "plans," "believes," "seeks," "estimates," such words and similar expressions are intended to identify such forward-looking statements. In addition, any statements that refer to projections of our future financial performance, our anticipated growth and trends in our businesses, and other characterizations of future events or circumstances are forward-looking statements. Readers are cautioned that these forward-looking statements are only predictions and are subject to risks, uncertainties, and assumptions that are difficult to predict, including those identified in Part II, Item 1A. Risk Factors and elsewhere in our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. Therefore, actual results may differ materially and adversely from those expressed in any forward-looking statements. We undertake no obligation to revise or update any forward-looking statements for any reason.

We sold our baseband chipset business and related support operations, or Baseband Chipset Business, to MediaTek Inc. and sold our CPU voltage regulation and PC thermal monitoring business to certain subsidiaries of ON Semiconductor Corporation during the first quarter of fiscal 2008. We have reflected the financial results of these businesses as discontinued operations in the consolidated statements of income for all periods presented. The assets and liabilities related to these businesses are reflected as assets and liabilities of discontinued operations in the consolidated balance sheets as of August 2, 2008 and November 3, 2007. The historical results of operations of these businesses have been segregated from our consolidated financial statements and are included in income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax in the consolidated statements of income. Unless otherwise noted, this Management's Discussion and Analysis relates only to financial results from continuing operations.

Results of Operations

(all tabular amounts in thousands except per share amounts and percentages)

Overview

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	August 2, 2008	August 4, 2007	August 2, 2008	August 4, 2007
Total revenue	\$ 658,986	\$ 617,431	\$ 1,922,235	\$ 1,841,179
Gross margin %	61.0%	60.5%	61.1%	61.6%
Income from continuing operations, net of tax	\$ 129,195	\$ 125,406	\$ 380,935	\$ 407,437
Income from continuing operations, net of tax as a % of total revenue	19.6%	20.3%	19.8%	22.1%
Diluted EPS from continuing operations	\$ 0.44	\$ 0.38	\$ 1.28	\$ 1.20
Diluted EPS	\$ 0.47	\$ 0.37	\$ 2.15	\$ 1.18

Fiscal 2008 is a 52-week year and fiscal 2007 was a 53-week year. The additional week in fiscal 2007 was included in the first quarter ended February 3, 2007. Therefore, the first nine months of fiscal 2007 included an additional week of operations as compared to the first nine months of fiscal 2008.

Table of Contents*Revenue Trends by End Market*

The categorization of revenue by end market is determined using a variety of data points including the technical characteristics of the product, the sold to customer information, the ship to customer information and the end customer product or application into which our product will be incorporated. As data systems for capturing and tracking this data evolve and improve, the categorization of products by end market can vary over time. When this occurs, we reclassify revenue by end market for prior periods. Such reclassifications typically do not materially change the sizing of, or the underlying trends of results within, each end market.

	Three Months Ended August 2, 2008			Three Months Ended August 4, 2007	
	Revenue	% of Total Product Revenue	Y/Y %	Revenue	% of Total Product Revenue
Industrial	\$ 326,984	50%	10%	\$ 298,049	48%
Communications	163,762	25%	11%	148,011	24%
Consumer	134,677	20%	(1%)	136,145	22%
Computer	33,563	5%	(5%)	35,226	6%
Total Product Revenue	\$ 658,986	100%	7%	\$ 617,431	100%

	Nine Months Ended August 2, 2008			Nine Months Ended August 4, 2007*	
	Revenue	% of Total Product Revenue	Y/Y %	Revenue	% of Total Product Revenue
Industrial	\$ 957,693	50%	7%	\$ 894,004	49%
Communications	474,682	25%	16%	407,927	23%
Consumer	394,177	20%	(0%)	394,727	22%
Computer	95,683	5%	(13%)	109,521	6%
Total Product Revenue	\$ 1,922,235	100%	6%	\$ 1,806,179	100%

Revenue from one-time IP license 35,000

Total Revenue \$ 1,922,235 \$ 1,841,179

* Fiscal 2008 is a 52-week year and fiscal 2007 was a 53-week year. The additional week in fiscal 2007 was included in the first quarter

ended
February 3,
2007. Therefore,
the first nine
months of fiscal
2007 included
an additional
week of
operations as
compared to the
first nine
months of fiscal
2008.

Table of Contents

Industrial The year-to-year increases in both the three- and nine-month periods were primarily the result of revenue growth in products sold into the automotive sector of this end market and, to a lesser extent, the instrumentation and defense sectors of this end market. These increases were partially offset by a decline in revenue from the automatic test equipment portion of this end market.

Communications The year-to-year increase in the three-month period was primarily the result of revenue growth in sales of analog products used in wireless handsets and optical applications, partially offset by a decline in sales of products used in basestations. The year-to-year increase in the nine-month period was primarily the result of revenue growth in sales of analog products used in wireless handsets, basestations and optical applications.

Consumer There were no significant changes in revenue in the consumer end market during the three- and nine-month periods of fiscal 2008 as compared to the same periods of fiscal 2007.

Computer The year-to-year decreases in both the three- and nine-month periods were primarily the result of broad-based declines in sales of our products into this end market.

Revenue from One-Time IP License During the first quarter of fiscal 2007, we recorded revenue of \$35 million received in exchange for licensing of certain intellectual property rights to a third party.

Revenue Trends by Product Type

The following table summarizes revenue by product categories. The categorization of our products into broad categories is based on the characteristics of the individual products, the specification of the products and in some cases the specific uses that certain products have within applications. This categorization of products is therefore subject to judgment in some cases and can vary over time. In instances where products move between product categories we reclassify the amounts in the product categories for all prior periods. Such reclassifications typically do not materially change the sizing of, or the underlying trends of results within, each product category.

	Three Months Ended August 2, 2008			Three Months Ended August 4, 2007	
	Revenue	% of Total Product Revenue	Y/Y %	Revenue	% of Total Product Revenue
Converters	\$ 302,763	46%	8%	\$ 281,001	46%
Amplifiers	150,923	23%	5%	144,103	23%
Other analog	100,004	15%	(0%)	100,279	16%
Subtotal analog signal processing	553,690	84%	5%	525,383	85%
Power management & reference	36,674	6%	20%	30,439	5%
Total analog products	\$ 590,364	90%	6%	\$ 555,822	90%
General purpose DSP	60,521	9%	14%	52,992	9%
Other DSP	8,101	1%	(6%)	8,617	1%
Total digital signal processing	\$ 68,622	10%	11%	\$ 61,609	10%
Total Product Revenue	\$ 658,986	100%	7%	\$ 617,431	100%

Table of Contents

	Nine Months Ended August 2, 2008			Nine Months Ended August 4, 2007**	
	Revenue	% of Total Product Revenue	Y/Y %	Revenue	% of Total Product Revenue
Converters	\$ 881,530	46%	8%	\$ 818,502	45%
Amplifiers	439,755	23%	5%	419,018	23%
Other analog	300,073	16%	2%	295,261	17%
Subtotal analog signal processing	1,621,358	85%	6%	1,532,781	85%
Power management & reference	104,789	5%	14%	91,818	5%
Total analog products	\$ 1,726,147	90%	6%	\$ 1,624,599	90%
General purpose DSP	173,921	9%	10%	158,305	9%
Other DSP	22,167	1%	(5%)	23,275	1%
Total digital signal processing	\$ 196,088	10%	8%	\$ 181,580	10%
Total Product Revenue	\$ 1,922,235	100%	6%	\$ 1,806,179	100%
Revenue from one-time IP license*				35,000	
Total Revenue	\$ 1,922,235			\$ 1,841,179	

* During the first quarter of fiscal 2007, we recorded revenue of \$35 million received in exchange for licensing of certain intellectual property rights to a third party.

** Fiscal 2008 is a 52-week year and fiscal 2007 was a 53-week year. The additional week in fiscal 2007

was included in the first quarter ended February 3, 2007. Therefore, the first nine months of fiscal 2007 included an additional week of operations as compared to the first nine months of fiscal 2008.

Our sales increases in the three- and nine-month periods in fiscal 2008 as compared to the same periods in fiscal 2007 were the result of a broad-based increase in sales across many of our product categories. The increase in sales of converters and amplifiers was primarily attributable to an increase in demand for our products used in the industrial and communications end markets.

Revenue Trends by Geographic Region

Product revenue by geographic region, based upon customer location, for the three- and nine-month periods ended August 2, 2008 and August 4, 2007 was as follows:

Region	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	August 2, 2008	August 4, 2007	August 2, 2008	August 4, 2007
United States	\$ 131,168	\$ 132,076	\$ 393,921	\$ 414,056
Rest of North and South America	25,433	20,523	70,578	60,293
Europe	177,881	148,284	509,347	438,078
Japan	128,457	127,040	380,940	372,911
China	103,632	90,010	284,358	236,109
Rest of Asia	92,415	99,498	283,091	284,732
Total Product Revenue	\$ 658,986	\$ 617,431	\$ 1,922,235	\$ 1,806,179

The predominant countries comprising Rest of North and South America are Canada and Mexico. The predominant countries comprising European operations are Germany, France and the United Kingdom. The predominant country comprising Rest of Asia is Korea.

Table of Contents*Gross Margin*

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	August 2, 2008	August 4, 2007	August 2, 2008	August 4, 2007
Gross margin	\$401,794	\$373,492	\$1,173,618	\$1,134,384
Gross margin %	61.0%	60.5%	61.1%	61.6%

Gross margin percentage was higher by 50 basis points in the third quarter of fiscal 2008 as compared to the third quarter of fiscal 2007 primarily as a result of an increase in sales of products used in the industrial and communications end markets, which earn relatively higher gross margins than our average margin.

Gross margin percentage was lower by 50 basis points in the nine months ended August 2, 2008 as compared to the same period of fiscal 2007. Gross margin percentage in the nine months ended August 4, 2007 was higher primarily as a result of the recording of \$35 million we received in exchange for the licensing of certain intellectual property rights to a third party with no associated cost of sales.

Stock-Based Compensation Expense

During the first quarter of fiscal 2006, on October 30, 2005, we adopted the Financial Accounting Standards Board's Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 (revised 2004), *Share-Based Payment*, or SFAS 123R, using the modified prospective application method. Compensation cost is calculated on the date of grant using the fair value of the options as calculated using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. As of August 2, 2008, the total compensation cost related to unvested awards not yet recognized in the statement of income was approximately \$131.1 million (before tax consideration), which will be recognized over a weighted average period of 1.7 years. See Note 3 in the Notes to our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements contained in Item 1 of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for further information regarding our adoption of SFAS 123R.

Research and Development

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	August 2, 2008	August 4, 2007	August 2, 2008	August 4, 2007
R&D expenses	\$135,837	\$128,093	\$400,029	\$377,866
R&D expenses as a % of product revenue	20.6%	20.7%	20.8%	20.9%

Research and development, or R&D, expenses increased \$7.7 million, or 6%, in the third quarter of fiscal 2008 as compared to the third quarter of fiscal 2007. This increase was primarily the result of higher employee salary, benefit and bonus expenses, which were partially offset by lower employee stock option expense.

R&D expenses increased \$22.2 million, or 6%, in the first nine months of fiscal 2008 as compared to the same period of fiscal 2007. This increase was primarily the result of higher employee salary, benefit and bonus expenses, which was partially offset by one less week of operations in the first quarter of fiscal 2008 than in the first quarter of fiscal 2007 and lower employee stock option expense.

R&D expenses as a percentage of product revenue will fluctuate from quarter to quarter depending on the amount of product revenue and the success of new product development efforts, which we view as critical to our future growth. At any point in time we have hundreds of R&D projects underway, and we believe that none of these projects is material on an individual basis. We expect to continue the development of innovative technologies and processes for new products, and we believe that a continued commitment to R&D is essential in order to maintain product leadership with our existing products and to provide innovative new product offerings. Therefore, we are planning to continue to make significant R&D investments in the future.

Table of Contents*Selling, Marketing, General and Administrative*

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	August 2, 2008	August 4, 2007	August 2, 2008	August 4, 2007
SMG&A expenses	\$ 104,767	\$ 99,906	\$ 309,301	\$ 292,096
SMG&A expenses as a % of product revenue	15.9%	16.2%	16.1%	16.2%

Selling, marketing, general and administrative, or SMG&A, expenses increased \$4.9 million, or 5%, in the third quarter of fiscal 2008 as compared to the third quarter of fiscal 2007. This increase was primarily the result of higher employee salary, benefit and bonus expenses, which were partially offset by lower legal expenses and lower employee stock option expense.

SMG&A expenses increased \$17.2 million, or 6%, in the first nine months of fiscal 2008 as compared to the first nine months of fiscal 2007. This increase was primarily the result of higher employee salary, benefit and bonus expenses, which were partially offset by lower employee stock option expense and one less week of operations in the first quarter of fiscal 2008 than in the first quarter of fiscal 2007.

*Special Charges**Closure of Wafer Fabrication Facility in Sunnyvale*

During the fourth quarter of fiscal 2005, we recorded a special charge of \$20.3 million as a result of a decision to close our California wafer fabrication operations and transfer virtually all of the production of products manufactured there to our facility in Wilmington, Massachusetts. The charge was for severance and fringe benefit costs that were recorded pursuant to SFAS 88, *Employers Accounting for Settlements and Curtailments of Defined Benefit Pension Plans and for Termination Benefits*, or SFAS 88, under our ongoing benefit plan for 339 manufacturing employees and 28 general and administrative employees. The severance benefit was calculated based on length of past service, and employees had to continue to be employed until their employment was involuntarily terminated in order to receive the severance benefit. We completed the final cleanup and closure activities associated with this action during the second quarter of fiscal 2007.

In addition to the charge recorded in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2005, we recorded additional expense during fiscal 2006, which consisted of \$18.3 million of non-cash cost of sales expenses for additional depreciation due to shortened useful lives of certain manufacturing equipment and \$2.0 million for stay-on bonuses. We reversed approximately \$2.0 million of our severance accrual during fiscal 2006 because some employees voluntarily left the company, other employees found alternative employment within the company, and there was an over accrual related to fringe benefits because severance payments, normally paid as income continuance, were paid in lump sum payments, which reduced the benefit costs associated with these payments. We have terminated the employment of all of the remaining employees included in this action. We ceased production at the wafer fabrication facility on November 9, 2006.

During the first quarter of fiscal 2007, we recorded additional expense, in accordance with SFAS 146, *Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities* (SFAS 146), which consisted of \$3.2 million for clean-up and closure costs that were charged to expense as incurred and \$0.4 million for lease obligation costs for a warehouse facility we ceased using during the first quarter of fiscal 2007. During the second quarter of fiscal 2007, we recorded a special charge, in accordance with SFAS 146, which included \$5.0 million of expense for future lease obligation costs for the wafer fabrication facility that we ceased using during the second quarter of fiscal 2007. The lease obligation costs are being paid out on a monthly basis over the remaining lease term which expires in 2010. Also included in this special charge was \$1.7 million for clean-up and closure costs that were charged to expense as incurred. The clean-up activity was completed during the second quarter of fiscal 2007, and we do not expect to incur any additional charges related to this action.

The closure of this facility has resulted in annual cost savings of approximately \$50 million per year beginning in fiscal 2007. These annual savings include: approximately \$49 million in cost of sales, of which approximately \$7 million relates to non-cash depreciation savings, and approximately \$1 million in SMG&A expenses. At current demand levels, if this facility were still in operation, the capacity of the facility would be largely underutilized

resulting in significant adverse manufacturing variances associated with the underutilization of our wafer fabrication facilities.

Table of Contents*Reorganization of Product Development and Support Programs*

During the fourth quarter of fiscal 2005, we recorded a special charge of \$11.2 million as a result of our decision to reorganize our product development and support programs with the goal of providing greater focus on our analog and digital signal processing product programs. The charge was for severance and fringe benefit costs that were recorded pursuant to SFAS 88 under our ongoing benefit plan or statutory requirements at foreign locations for 60 manufacturing employees and 154 engineering and selling, marketing, general and administrative employees.

During fiscal 2006, we recorded an additional special charge of \$3.8 million related to this reorganization action. Approximately \$1.5 million of this charge was for lease obligation costs for a facility we ceased using during the first quarter of fiscal 2006 and the write-off of property, plant and equipment and other items at this facility. The remaining \$2.3 million related to the severance and fringe benefit costs that were recorded in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2006 pursuant to SFAS 88 under our ongoing benefit plan or statutory requirements at foreign locations for 46 engineering and selling, marketing, general and administrative employees.

During the first quarter of fiscal 2007, we recorded an additional special charge of \$1.6 million related to this reorganization action. Approximately \$0.6 million of this charge was for contract termination costs. The remaining \$1.0 million relates to severance and fringe benefit costs recorded pursuant to SFAS 88 under our ongoing benefit plan for six engineering employees.

During the second quarter of fiscal 2007, we recorded an additional special charge of \$3.4 million related to this reorganization action. Approximately \$3.2 million related to the severance and fringe benefit costs recorded pursuant to SFAS 88 under our ongoing benefit plan or minimum statutory requirements at foreign locations for 20 engineering and selling, marketing, general and administrative employees. The remaining \$0.2 million of this charge was for lease obligation costs for a facility we ceased using during the second quarter of fiscal 2007.

During the fourth quarter of fiscal 2007, we reversed approximately \$0.9 million of our severance accrual because some employees voluntarily left the company and other employees found alternative employment within the company, and were therefore no longer entitled to severance payments.

The employment of all employees included in this action has been terminated and amounts owed to employees for severance are being paid out as income continuance. We do not expect to incur any further charges related to this reorganization action. These organizational changes, which were fully implemented in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2007, have resulted in savings of approximately \$30 million per year. These annual savings include: approximately \$17 million in R&D expenses, approximately \$10 million in SMG&A expenses and approximately \$3 million in cost of sales. As this action was completed during fiscal 2007, a portion of these savings are reflected in our results for fiscal 2007 and for the first nine months of fiscal 2008.

*Fourth Quarter of Fiscal 2007 Special Charges**Consolidation of a Wafer Fabrication Facility in Limerick*

During the fourth quarter of fiscal 2007, we recorded a special charge of \$13.7 million as a result of our decision to only use eight-inch technology at our wafer fabrication facility in Limerick. Certain manufacturing processes and products produced on the Limerick facility's six-inch production line will transition to our existing eight-inch production line in Limerick while others will transition to external foundries. The charge is for severance and fringe benefit costs recorded pursuant to SFAS 88 under our ongoing benefit plan for 150 manufacturing employees.

Production is expected to cease in the six-inch wafer fabrication facility during the first half of 2009, at which time the employment of the affected employees will be terminated. These employees must continue to be employed until their employment is involuntarily terminated in order to receive the severance benefit. We expect to incur additional closure expenses related to this action over the next four quarters totaling approximately \$3 million. In accordance with SFAS 146, these costs will be expensed as incurred. As of August 2, 2008, 146 of the 150 employees included in this cost reduction action were still employed by us. These employees must continue to be employed until their employment is involuntarily terminated in order to receive the severance benefit. The closure of this facility is estimated to result in annual cost savings of approximately \$25 million per year, expected to start during the second quarter of fiscal 2009. These annual savings will be in cost of sales, of which approximately \$1 million relates to non-cash depreciation savings.

Table of Contents*Reduction of Overhead Infrastructure Costs*

During the fourth quarter of fiscal 2007, we decided to either deemphasize or exit certain businesses or products and focus investments in products and end markets where we have better opportunities for profitable growth. In September 2007, we entered into a definitive agreement to sell our Baseband Chipset Business. As a result, we decided to reduce the support infrastructure in manufacturing, engineering and SMG&A to more appropriately reflect our required overhead structure. Consequently, during the fourth quarter of fiscal 2007, we recorded a special charge of \$12.3 million, of which \$10.7 million was for severance and fringe benefit costs recorded pursuant to SFAS 88 under our ongoing benefit plan or statutory requirements at foreign locations for 25 manufacturing employees and 127 engineering and selling, marketing, general and administrative employees. The remaining \$1.6 million was for contract termination costs related to a license agreement associated with products we will no longer develop and for which there is no future alternative use. As of August 2, 2008, 10 of the 152 employees included in this cost reduction action were still employed by us. These employees must continue to be employed until their employment is involuntarily terminated in order to receive the severance benefit. These cost reduction actions, which were substantially completed in the second quarter of fiscal 2008, are expected to result in savings of approximately \$15 million per year. These savings are expected to be realized as follows: approximately \$7 million in R&D expenses, approximately \$6 million in SMG&A expenses and approximately \$2 million in cost of sales. A portion of these savings is reflected in our results for the first nine months of fiscal 2008.

Operating Income from Continuing Operations

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	August 2, 2008	August 4, 2007	August 2, 2008	August 4, 2007
Operating income from continuing operations	\$ 161,190	\$ 145,493	\$ 464,288	\$ 449,110
Operating income from continuing operations as a % of total revenue	24.5%	23.6%	24.2%	24.4%

The \$15.7 million increase in operating income from continuing operations in the third quarter of fiscal 2008 as compared to the third quarter of fiscal 2007 was primarily the result of an increase in revenue of \$41.6 million and a 50 basis point increase in gross margin percentage. This increase in operating income from continuing operations was partially offset by an increase in operating expenses as more fully described above under the headings *Research and Development* and *Selling, Marketing, General and Administrative*.

The \$15.2 million increase in operating income from continuing operations in the first nine months of fiscal 2008 as compared to the same period of fiscal 2007 was primarily the result of an increase in revenue of \$81.1 million and, to a lesser extent, a decrease in special charges of \$15.3 million. These increases in operating income from continuing operations were partially offset by a 50 basis point decrease in gross margin percentage, an increase in operating expenses as more fully described above under the headings *Research and Development* and *Selling, Marketing, General and Administrative*, and the fact that the first nine months of fiscal 2007 included \$35 million in non-product revenue that we received in exchange for the licensing of certain intellectual property rights to a third party with no associated cost of sales.

Nonoperating (Income) Expense

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	August 2, 2008	August 4, 2007	August 2, 2008	August 4, 2007
Interest income	\$ (8,205)	\$ (17,721)	\$ (31,400)	\$ (63,429)
Other expense (income), net	664	1,272	951	(16,414)
Total nonoperating income	\$ (7,541)	\$ (16,449)	\$ (30,449)	\$ (79,843)

Nonoperating income was lower by \$8.9 million in the third quarter of fiscal 2008 as compared to the third quarter of fiscal 2007 primarily due to lower interest rates and, to a lesser extent, lower invested cash balances in the third quarter of fiscal 2008 as compared to the third quarter of fiscal 2007.

Nonoperating income was lower by \$49.4 million in the first nine months of fiscal 2008 as compared to the same period of fiscal 2007 primarily as a result of lower interest rates and, to a lesser extent, lower invested cash balances in the first nine

Table of Contents

months of fiscal 2008 as compared to the first nine months of fiscal 2007. In addition, the first nine months of fiscal 2007 included \$10.5 million we received as part of a litigation settlement and a \$7.9 million gain from the sale of an investment.

Provision for Income Taxes

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	August 2, 2008	August 4, 2007	August 2, 2008	August 4, 2007
Provision for income taxes	\$39,536	\$ 36,536	\$113,802	\$ 121,735
Effective income tax rate	23.4%	22.6%	23.0%	23.0%

Our effective tax rate reflects the applicable tax rate in effect in the various tax jurisdictions around the world where our income is earned. Our effective tax rate for the third quarter of fiscal 2008 was higher by 80 basis points compared to our effective tax rate for the third quarter of fiscal 2007. The increase was primarily the result of the expiration of the U.S. federal research and development tax credit in the first quarter of fiscal 2008.

There was no overall change in our effective tax rate for the first nine months of fiscal 2008 as compared to our effective tax rate for the first nine months of fiscal 2007. The 2007 tax rate included tax expense related to the finalization of the accounting for a 2006 acquisition and the following transactions, which were taxed at the higher U.S. tax rate: the one-time receipt of \$35 million associated with the licensing of intellectual property to a third party, \$19 million we received from a settlement of litigation and the \$7.9 million gain on the sale of an investment. These items, which had the effect of increasing the 2007 tax rate, were partially offset by a \$9.9 million cumulative adjustment related to the application of the U.S. federal research and development tax credit to a portion of our fiscal 2006 results. Additionally, this credit that was available during fiscal 2007 expired during the first quarter of fiscal 2008.

Income from Continuing Operations, net of tax

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	August 2, 2008	August 4, 2007	August 2, 2008	August 4, 2007
Income from continuing operations, net of tax	\$ 129,195	\$ 125,406	\$ 380,935	\$ 407,437
Income from continuing operations, net of tax as a % of total revenue	19.6%	20.3%	19.8%	22.1%
Diluted EPS from continuing operations	\$ 0.44	\$ 0.38	\$ 1.28	\$ 1.20

Income from continuing operations, net of tax, in the third quarter of fiscal 2008 was higher than in the third quarter of fiscal 2007 by approximately \$3.8 million primarily as a result of the \$15.7 million increase in operating income partially offset by the \$8.9 million decrease in nonoperating income and a higher provision for income taxes in the third quarter of fiscal 2008.

Income from continuing operations, net of tax, in the first nine months of fiscal 2008 was lower than in the first nine months of fiscal 2007 by approximately \$26.5 million primarily as a result of the \$49.4 million decrease in nonoperating income partially offset by a \$15.2 million increase in operating income from continuing operations and a lower provision for income taxes in the first nine months of fiscal 2008.

Table of Contents*Discontinued Operations*

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	August 2, 2008	August 4, 2007	August 2, 2008	August 4, 2007
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax	\$ 5,611	\$ (4,971)	\$ 10,693	\$ (8,419)
Gain on sale of discontinued operations, net of tax	3,802		250,785	
Total income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax	\$ 9,413	\$ (4,971)	\$ 261,478	\$ (8,419)
Diluted EPS from discontinued operations	\$ 0.03	\$ (0.02)	\$ 0.88	\$ (0.02)

We sold our Baseband Chipset Business to MediaTek Inc. and our CPU voltage regulation and PC thermal monitoring business to certain subsidiaries of ON Semiconductor Corporation during the first quarter of fiscal 2008. Accordingly, the results of the operations of these businesses have been presented as discontinued operations within the consolidated financial statements in accordance with SFAS No. 144, *Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets*.

Outlook

Our operating plan for the fourth quarter of fiscal 2008 is for revenue to be in the range of \$659 to \$679 million, or equal to or up 3% from the third quarter of fiscal 2008. On a year-over-year basis, this range represents a revenue increase of 6% to 9% for the fourth quarter of fiscal 2008. Our plan is for gross margin to be approximately 61%, with results dependent on the actual mix of business we achieve and for operating expenses in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2008 to remain relatively flat to the third quarter of fiscal 2008. If our operating plan is achieved for the fourth quarter of fiscal 2008, diluted EPS from continuing operations is expected to be approximately \$0.44 to \$0.46 and diluted EPS from discontinued operations is expected to be approximately \$0.02.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

	Nine Months Ended	
	August 2, 2008	August 4, 2007
Net cash provided by operations	\$527,110	\$ 637,515
Net cash provided by operations as a % of total revenue	27.4%	34.6%

At August 2, 2008, cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments totaled \$1,277.6 million, an increase of \$196.4 million from the fourth quarter of fiscal 2007. The primary sources of funds for the first nine months of fiscal 2008 were net proceeds from the sale of two businesses of \$403.2 million, net cash generated from operating activities of \$527.1 million (which includes a tax payment of \$67.3 million related to the gain on the sale of two businesses that we sold during the first quarter of fiscal 2008) and proceeds of \$83.0 million from our various employee stock plans. The principal uses of funds for the first nine months of fiscal 2008 were the repurchase of approximately 18.7 million shares of our common stock for an aggregate of \$552.4 million, dividend payments of \$164.4 million and capital expenditures of \$110.0 million. The \$67.3 million tax payment related to the sale of the two businesses is reflected in the statement of cash flows as a decrease in net cash provided by operating activities. Under SFAS No. 95, *Statement of Cash Flows*, all income tax payments are included in determining net cash flow from operating activities but the cash received from the sale of the businesses must be reported as an investing cash flow.

August 2, 2008	November 3, 2007
-----------------------	-------------------------

Edgar Filing: ANALOG DEVICES INC - Form 10-Q

Accounts receivable	\$	326,456	\$	323,777
Days sales outstanding		45		47
Inventory	\$	309,870	\$	324,373
Days cost of sales in inventory		110		119

Accounts receivable at August 2, 2008 increased \$2.7 million, or 1%, from the end of the fourth quarter of fiscal 2007. The increase in receivables was primarily related to higher shipments in the last month of the third quarter of fiscal 2008 as compared to the last month of the fourth quarter of fiscal 2007. Inventory at August 2, 2008 decreased by \$14.5 million, or 4%, from the end of fiscal 2007. The decrease in inventory is primarily a result of our continued efforts to balance production, demand and inventory levels.

Table of Contents

Net additions to property, plant and equipment were \$110.0 million in the first nine months of fiscal 2008 and were funded with a combination of cash on hand and cash generated from operations. Capital expenditures are expected to be approximately \$160 million in fiscal 2008.

On August 18, 2008, our Board of Directors declared a cash dividend of \$0.20 per outstanding share of our common stock. The dividend is payable on September 17, 2008 to shareholders of record on August 29, 2008 and is expected to be approximately \$58 million in the aggregate. The payment of future dividends, if any, will be based on several factors including our financial performance, outlook and liquidity. Quarterly dividends are expected to continue at \$0.20 per share, although they remain subject to declaration or change by our Board of Directors.

At August 2, 2008, our principal source of liquidity was \$1,277.6 million of cash and cash equivalents and short-term investments. As of August 2, 2008, approximately \$116.0 million of our cash and cash equivalents and short-term investments were held in the United States. The balance of our cash and cash equivalents and short-term investments was held outside the United States in various foreign subsidiaries. As we intend to reinvest certain of our foreign earnings indefinitely, this cash is not available to meet certain of our cash requirements in the United States, including for cash dividends and common stock repurchases. As a result, we entered into a five-year \$165 million unsecured revolving credit facility in May 2008 in order to supplement our occasional cash requirements in the United States. To date, we have not borrowed under this credit facility but we may borrow in the future and use the proceeds for support of commercial paper issuance, stock repurchases, dividend payments, acquisitions, capital expenditures, working capital and other lawful corporate purposes.

We believe that our existing sources of liquidity and cash expected to be generated from future operations, together with existing and anticipated available long-term financing, will be sufficient to fund operations, capital expenditures, research and development efforts, dividend payments (if any) and purchases of stock (if any) under our stock repurchase program for at least the next twelve months and thereafter for the foreseeable future.

Contractual Obligations

There have not been any material changes to the amounts presented in the table summarizing our contractual obligations that was included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended November 3, 2007.

As of August 2, 2008, the total liabilities associated with uncertain tax positions under FIN 48 was \$11.5 million, which are included in Other non-current liabilities, as a result of our adoption of FIN 48. Due to the complexity associated with our tax uncertainties, we cannot make a reasonably reliable estimate of the period in which we expect to settle the non-current liabilities associated with these uncertain tax positions. Therefore, we are not updating the amounts included in the contractual obligations table to include these uncertain tax positions.

New Accounting Pronouncements*Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles*

In May 2008, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 162, *The Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles* (SFAS 162). SFAS 162 identifies the sources of accounting principles and the framework for selecting the principles to be used in the preparation of financial statements of nongovernmental entities that are presented in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America. SFAS 162 is effective sixty days following the SEC's approval of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board amendments to AU section 411, *The Meaning of Present Fairly in Conformity with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles*. We are currently evaluating the impact, if any, that SFAS 162 may have on our financial condition and results of operations.

Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities

In March 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 161, *Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities – an amendment of FASB Statement No. 133* (SFAS 161). SFAS 161 changes the disclosure requirements for derivative instruments and hedging activities. SFAS 161 requires entities to provide enhanced disclosures about how and why an entity uses derivative instruments; how derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted for under SFAS 133 and its related interpretations; and how derivative instruments and related hedged items affect an entity's financial position, financial performance and cash flows. This statement is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after November 15, 2008. We are currently evaluating the impact, if any, that SFAS 161 may have on our financial

Table of Contents

condition and results of operations. The adoption of SFAS 161 will change our disclosures for derivative instruments and hedging activities beginning in the second quarter of fiscal year 2009.

Business Combinations

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141 (revised 2007), *Business Combinations* (SFAS 141(R)). SFAS 141(R) requires an acquiring entity in a business combination to recognize the assets acquired, liabilities assumed and any noncontrolling interest in the acquiree at their fair value on the acquisition date. It further requires that acquisition-related costs and restructuring costs be recognized separately from the acquisition. SFAS 141(R) is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008, which is our fiscal year 2010. We are currently evaluating the impact, if any, that SFAS 141(R) may have on our financial condition and results of operations. The adoption of SFAS 141(R) will change our accounting treatment for business combinations on a prospective basis beginning in the first quarter of fiscal year 2010.

Noncontrolling Interests

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, *Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements* (SFAS 160). SFAS 160 clarifies that a noncontrolling or minority interest in a subsidiary is considered an ownership interest and, accordingly, requires all entities to report such interests in subsidiaries as equity in the consolidated financial statements. SFAS 160 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008, which is our fiscal year 2010. We are currently evaluating the impact, if any, that SFAS 160 may have on our financial condition and results of operations.

Accounting for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities Including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115* (SFAS 159). SFAS 159 permits entities to choose to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value. The objective is to improve financial reporting by providing entities with the opportunity to mitigate volatility in reported earnings caused by measuring related assets and liabilities differently without having to apply complex hedge accounting provisions. SFAS 159 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, which is our fiscal year 2009. We are currently evaluating the impact, if any, that SFAS 159 may have on our financial condition and results of operations.

Fair Value Measurements

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements* (SFAS 157). SFAS 157 provides enhanced guidance for using fair value to measure assets and liabilities. The standard also provides for expanded information about the extent to which companies measure assets and liabilities at fair value, the information used to measure fair value and the effect of fair value measurements on earnings. SFAS 157 applies whenever other standards require or permit assets or liabilities to be measured at fair value. This standard does not expand the use of fair value in any new circumstances. SFAS 157 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, which is our fiscal year 2009. We are currently evaluating the impact, if any, that SFAS 157 may have on our financial condition and results of operations.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

There were no material changes to the information provided under the heading **Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates** included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended November 3, 2007 except for the adoption of FIN 48 described below.

Accounting for Income Taxes

We must make certain estimates and judgments in determining income tax expense for financial statement purposes. These estimates and judgments occur in the calculation of tax credits, benefits, and deductions, and in the calculation of certain tax assets and liabilities, which arise from differences in the timing of the recognition of revenue and expense for tax and financial statement purposes, as well as the interest and penalties relating to these uncertain tax positions. We assessed the likelihood of the realization of deferred tax assets and concluded that a valuation allowance is needed to reserve the amount of the deferred tax assets that may not be realized due to the expiration of certain state credit carryovers. In reaching our conclusion, we evaluated certain relevant criteria including the existence of deferred tax liabilities that can be used to absorb deferred tax assets, the taxable income in prior carryback years in the impacted state jurisdictions that can be used to absorb net operating losses and taxable income in future years. Our

judgments regarding future profitability may change due to future market

40

Table of Contents

conditions, changes in U.S. or international tax laws and other factors. These changes, if any, may require material adjustments to these deferred tax assets, resulting in a reduction in net income or an increase in net loss in the period when such determinations are made, which in turn, may result in an increase or decrease to our tax provision in a subsequent period.

On November 4, 2007 (the first day of our 2008 fiscal year), we adopted FASB Interpretation No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes* — an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109 (FIN 48). FIN 48 differs from the prior standards in that it requires companies to determine that it is more likely than not that a tax position will be sustained by the appropriate taxing authorities before any benefit can be recorded in the financial statements. An uncertain income tax position will not be recognized if it has less than a 50% likelihood of being sustained. For those tax positions where it is more likely than not that a tax benefit will be sustained, we have recorded the largest amount of tax benefit with a greater than 50 percent likelihood of being realized upon ultimate settlement with a taxing authority that has full knowledge of all relevant information. For those income tax positions where it is not more likely than not that a tax benefit will be sustained, no tax benefit has been recognized in the financial statements. We reevaluate these uncertain tax positions on a quarterly basis. This evaluation is based on factors including, but not limited to, changes in known facts or circumstances, changes in tax law, effectively settled issues under audit, and new audit activity. Such a change in recognition or measurement would result in the recognition of a tax benefit or an additional charge to the tax provision.

In the ordinary course of global business, there are many transactions and calculations where the ultimate tax outcome is uncertain. Some of these uncertainties arise as a consequence of cost reimbursement and royalty arrangements among related entities. Although we believe our estimates are reasonable, no assurance can be given that the final tax outcome of these matters will not be different than that which is reflected in our historical income tax provisions and accruals. Such differences could have a material impact on our income tax provision and operating results in the period in which such determination is made.

ITEM 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

There have been no material changes in the information provided under Item 7A. *Qualitative and Quantitative Disclosures about Market Risk* set forth in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended November 3, 2007.

ITEM 4. Controls and Procedures

(a) *Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures.* Our management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of Analog's disclosure controls and procedures as of August 2, 2008. The term *disclosure controls and procedures*, as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the *Exchange Act*), means controls and other procedures of a company that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by a company in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by a company in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to the company's management, including its principal executive and principal financial officers, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving their objectives and management necessarily applies its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures. Based on the evaluation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of August 2, 2008, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that, as of such date, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective at the reasonable assurance level.

(b) *Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting.* No change in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) occurred during the quarter ended August 2, 2008 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents**PART II OTHER INFORMATION****ITEM 1. Legal Proceedings***Settlement of the SEC's Previously Announced Stock Option Investigation*

On May 30, 2008, we and our President and CEO, Mr. Jerald G. Fishman, reached a settlement with the Securities and Exchange Commission, thereby concluding the Commission's investigation into our stock option granting practices. Neither we nor Mr. Fishman admitted or denied any of the Commission's allegations or findings.

As previously disclosed, the Commission concluded that: the appropriate grant date for the September 4, 1998 options should have been September 8, 1998 (which is one trading day later than the date that was used to price the options); the appropriate grant date for the November 30, 1999 options should have been November 29, 1999 (which is one trading day earlier than the date that was used to price the options); and the appropriate grant date for the July 18, 2001 options should have been July 26, 2001 (which is five trading days later than the date that was used to price the options). The Commission did not charge us or Mr. Fishman with any violation of law related to the granting of options prior to the release of favorable financial results.

In connection with the settlement, we consented to a cease-and-desist order under Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 thereunder, agreed to pay a civil money penalty of \$3 million, and repriced options granted to Mr. Fishman in 1999 and 2001. No other options granted by us were affected by the settlement.

Mr. Fishman consented to a cease-and-desist order under Sections 17(a)(2) and (3) of the Securities Act, agreed to pay a civil money penalty of \$1 million, and agreed to make a disgorgement payment of \$450,000 (plus interest) with respect to options granted in 1998.

We determined that no restatement of our historical financial results is necessary due to the settlement.

Other Legal Proceedings

In May 2006, we received a document subpoena from the U.S. Attorney for the Southern District of New York requesting records from 2000 to the present relating to our granting of stock options. We believe that the options at issue in this matter are the same option grants which were the subject of investigation by the SEC. We have cooperated with the office of the U.S. Attorney in connection with this subpoena. We cannot predict the outcome of this matter, but believe the disposition of the matter will not have a material adverse effect on us or our financial position.

On October 13, 2006, a purported class action complaint was filed in the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts on behalf of participants in our Investment Partnership Plan from October 5, 2000 to the present. The complaint named us, certain of our officers and directors, and our Investment Partnership Plan Administration Committee as defendants. The complaint alleges purported violations of federal law in connection with our option granting practices during the years 1998, 1999, 2000, and 2001, including breaches of fiduciary duties owed to participants and beneficiaries of our Investment Partnership Plan under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act. The complaint seeks unspecified monetary damages, as well as equitable and injunctive relief. We intend to vigorously defend against these allegations. On November 22, 2006, we and the individual defendants filed motions to dismiss the complaint. On January 8, 2007, the Plaintiff filed memoranda in opposition. On January 22, 2007, we and the individual defendants filed further memoranda in support of the motions to dismiss. The court heard our motion to dismiss on January 30, 2008, but has not yet issued a ruling. Although we believe we have meritorious defenses to the asserted claims, we are unable at this time to predict the outcome of this proceeding.

ITEM 1A. Risk Factors

Set forth below and elsewhere in this report and in other documents we file with the SEC are descriptions of the risks and uncertainties that could cause our actual results to differ materially from the results contemplated by the forward-looking statements contained in this report. The description below includes any material changes to and supersedes the description of the risk factors affecting our business previously disclosed in Part I, Item 1A. Risk Factors of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended November 3, 2007 and Part II, Item 1A. Risk Factors of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended May 3, 2008.

Table of Contents

Our future revenue, gross margins, operating results and net income are difficult to predict and may materially fluctuate.

Our future revenue, gross margins, operating results and net income are difficult to predict and may be materially affected by a number of factors, including:

changes in customer demand for our products and for end products that incorporate our products;

the timing of new product announcements or introductions by us, our customers or our competitors;

competitive pricing pressures;

fluctuations in manufacturing yields, adequate availability of wafers and other raw materials, and manufacturing, assembly and test capacity;

any significant decline in our backlog;

the timing, delay or cancellation of significant customer orders and our ability to manage inventory;

our ability to hire, retain and motivate adequate numbers of engineers and other qualified employees to meet the demands of our customers;

changes in geographic, product or customer mix;

our ability to utilize our manufacturing facilities at efficient levels;

potential significant litigation-related costs;

the difficulties inherent in forecasting future operating expense levels, including with respect to costs associated with labor, utilities, transportation and raw materials;

the costs related to compliance with increasing worldwide environmental regulations;

changes in our effective tax rates in the United States, Ireland or worldwide;

the effects of adverse economic conditions in the United States and international markets; and

the effects of public health emergencies, natural disasters, security risks, terrorist activities, international conflicts and other events beyond our control.

In addition, the semiconductor market has historically been cyclical and subject to significant economic downturns. Our business is subject to rapid technological changes and there can be no assurance, depending on the mix of future business, that products stocked in inventory will not be rendered obsolete before we ship them. As a result of these and other factors, there can be no assurance that we will not experience material fluctuations in future revenue, gross margins, operating results and net income on a quarterly or annual basis. In addition, if our revenue, gross margins, operating results and net income do not meet the expectations of securities analysts or investors, the market price of our common stock may decline.

Long-term contracts are not typical for us and reductions, cancellations or delays in orders for our products could adversely affect our operating results.

In certain markets where end-user demand may be particularly volatile and difficult to predict, some customers place orders that require us to manufacture product and have it available for shipment, even though the customer is unwilling to make a binding commitment to purchase all, or even any, of the product. In other instances, we

manufacture product based on forecasts of customer demands. As a result, we may incur inventory and manufacturing costs in advance of anticipated sales and are subject to the risk of cancellations of orders leading to a sharp reduction of sales and backlog. Further, orders or forecasts may be for products that meet the customer's unique requirements so that those cancelled or unrealized orders would, in addition, result in an inventory of unsaleable products, resulting in potential inventory write-offs. As a result of lengthy manufacturing cycles for certain of the products that are subject to these uncertainties, the amount of unsaleable product could be substantial. Incorrect forecasts, or reductions, cancellations or delays in orders for our products could adversely affect our operating results.

Table of Contents

Our future success depends upon our ability to continue to innovate, improve our products, develop and market new products, and identify and enter new markets.

Our success significantly depends on our continued ability to improve our products and develop and market innovative new products. Product development, innovation and enhancement is often a complex, time-consuming and costly process involving significant investment in research and development, with no assurance of return on investment. There can be no assurance that we will be able to develop and introduce new and improved products in a timely or efficient manner or that new and improved products, if developed, will achieve market acceptance. Our products generally must conform to various evolving and sometimes competing industry standards, which may adversely affect our ability to compete in certain markets or require us to incur significant costs. In addition, our customers generally impose very high quality and reliability standards on our products, which often change and may be difficult or costly to satisfy. Any inability to satisfy such customer quality standards or comply with industry standards and technical requirements may adversely affect demand for our products and our results of operations. In addition, our growth is dependent on our continued ability to identify and penetrate new markets where we have limited experience and competition is intense. Also, some of our customers in these markets are less established, which could subject us to increased credit risk. There can be no assurance that the markets we serve will grow in the future, that our existing and new products will meet the requirements of these markets, that our products will achieve customer acceptance in these markets, that competitors will not force price reductions or take market share from us, or that we can achieve or maintain adequate gross margins or profits in these markets. Furthermore, a decline in demand in one or several of our end-user markets could have a material adverse effect on the demand for our products and our results of operations.

We may not be able to compete successfully in markets within the semiconductor industry in the future.

We face intense technological and pricing competition in the semiconductor industry, and we expect such competition to increase in the future. Many other companies offer products that compete with our products. Some have greater financial, manufacturing, technical and marketing resources than we have. Some of our competitors may have better established supply or development relationships with our current and potential customers. Our competitors also include emerging companies selling specialized products in markets we serve. Competition is generally based on design and quality of products, product performance, features and functionality, and product pricing, availability and capacity, with the relative importance of these factors varying among products, markets and customers. Existing or new competitors may develop products or technologies that more effectively address the demands of our customers and markets with enhanced performance, features and functionality, lower power requirements, greater levels of integration or lower cost. Increased competition in certain markets has resulted in and may continue to result in declining average selling prices, reduced gross margins and loss of market share in such markets. There can be no assurance that we will be able to compete successfully in the future against existing or new competitors, or that our operating results will not be adversely affected by increased competition.

We rely on third-party subcontractors and manufacturers for some industry-standard wafers and assembly and test services, and generally cannot control their availability or conditions of supply.

We rely, and plan to continue to rely, on assembly and test subcontractors and on third-party wafer fabricators to supply most of our wafers that can be manufactured using industry-standard submicron processes. This reliance involves several risks, including reduced control over availability, capacity utilization, delivery schedules, manufacturing yields, quality assurance and costs. Additionally, we utilize a limited number of third-party wafer fabricators, primarily Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Company. These suppliers manufacture components in accordance with our proprietary designs and specifications. We have no written supply agreements with these suppliers and purchase our custom components through individual purchase orders. In addition, these suppliers often provide manufacturing services to our competitors and therefore periods of increased industry demand may result in capacity constraints. If these suppliers are unable or unwilling to manufacture and deliver sufficient quantities of components to us on the time schedule and of the quality that we require, we may be forced to seek to engage additional or replacement suppliers, which could result in additional expenses and delays in product development or shipment of product to our customers.

We may not be able to satisfy sufficiently the demand for our products, while increased production may lead to overcapacity and lower prices.

The cyclical nature of the semiconductor industry has resulted in periods when demand for our products has increased or decreased rapidly. During periods of rapid increases in demand, our available capacity may not be sufficient to satisfy the demand. In addition, we may not be able to expand our workforce and operations in a sufficiently timely manner, procure adequate resources, or locate suitable third-party suppliers, to respond effectively to changes in demand for our existing

Table of Contents

products or to the demand for new products requested by our customers, and our current or future business could be materially and adversely affected. Conversely, if we expand our operations and workforce too rapidly or procure excessive resources in anticipation of increased demand for our products, and such demand does not materialize at the pace at which we expect, or declines, our operating results may be adversely affected as a result of increased operating expenses, reduced margins, underutilization of capacity or asset impairment charges. These capacity expansions by us and other semiconductor manufacturers could also lead to overcapacity in our target markets which could lead to price erosion that would adversely impact our operating results.

Our semiconductor products are complex and may contain defects which could result in significant costs, claims and damage to our reputation, and adversely affect the market acceptance of our products.

Semiconductor products are highly complex and may contain defects when they are first introduced or as new versions are developed. We invest significant resources in the testing of our products; however, if any of our products contain defects, we may be required to incur additional development and remediation costs, pursuant to warranty and indemnification provisions in our customer contracts and purchase orders. These problems may divert our technical and other resources from other product development efforts and could result in claims against us by our customers or others, including liability for costs associated with product recalls, which may adversely impact our operating results. There can be no assurance that we are adequately insured to protect against all such claims. If any of our products contains defects, or has reliability, quality or compatibility problems, our reputation may be damaged, which could make it more difficult for us to sell our products to existing and prospective customers and could adversely affect our operating results.

We have manufacturing processes that utilize a substantial amount of technology as the fabrication of integrated circuits is a highly complex and precise process. Minute impurities, contaminants in the manufacturing environment, difficulties in the fabrication process, defects in the masks used in the wafer manufacturing process, manufacturing equipment failures, wafer breakage or other factors can cause a substantial percentage of wafers to be rejected or numerous dice on each wafer to be nonfunctional. While we have significant expertise in semiconductor manufacturing, it is possible that some processes could become unstable. This instability could result in manufacturing delays and product shortages, which could have a material adverse effect on our operating results.

We may be unable to adequately protect our proprietary rights, which may limit our ability to compete effectively.

Our success depends, in part, on our ability to protect our intellectual property. We primarily rely on patent, mask work, copyright, trademark and trade secret laws, as well as nondisclosure agreements and other methods, to protect our proprietary technologies and processes. Despite our efforts to protect our proprietary technologies and processes, it is possible that competitors or other unauthorized third parties may obtain, copy, use or disclose our technologies and processes. Moreover, the laws of foreign countries in which we design, manufacture, market and sell our products may afford little or no effective protection of our proprietary technology.

There can be no assurance that the claims allowed in our issued patents will be sufficiently broad to protect our technology. In addition, any of our existing or future patents may be challenged, invalidated or circumvented. As such, any rights granted under these patents may not provide us with meaningful protection. We may not have foreign patents or pending applications corresponding to our U.S. patents and applications. Even if foreign patents are granted, effective enforcement in foreign countries may not be available. If our patents do not adequately protect our technology, our competitors may be able to offer products similar to ours. Our competitors may also be able to develop similar technology independently or design around our patents. Other companies or individuals have obtained patents covering a variety of semiconductor designs and processes, and we might be required to obtain licenses under some of these patents or be precluded from making and selling the infringing products, if such patents are found to be valid. There can be no assurance that we would be able to obtain licenses, if required, upon commercially reasonable terms, or at all.

We generally enter into confidentiality agreements with our employees, consultants and strategic partners. We also try to control access to and distribution of our technologies, documentation and other proprietary information. Despite these efforts, internal or external parties may attempt to copy, disclose, obtain or use our products or technology without our authorization. Also, former employees may seek employment with our business partners, customers or competitors, and there can be no assurance that the confidential nature of our proprietary information will be

maintained in the course of such future employment.

Table of Contents

We are involved in frequent litigation, including regarding intellectual property rights, which could be costly to bring or defend and could require us to redesign products or pay significant royalties.

The semiconductor industry is characterized by frequent claims and litigation involving patent and other intellectual property rights, including claims arising under our contractual obligations to indemnify our customers. From time to time, we receive claims from third parties asserting that our products or processes infringe their patents or other intellectual property rights. In the event a third party makes a valid intellectual property claim against us and a license is not available to us on commercially reasonable terms, or at all, we could be forced either to redesign or to stop production of products incorporating that intellectual property, and our operating results could be materially and adversely affected. Litigation may be necessary to enforce our patents or other of our intellectual property rights or to defend us against claims of infringement, and this litigation could be costly and divert the attention of our key personnel. We could be subject to warranty or product liability claims that could lead to significant costs and expenses as we defend such claims or pay damage awards. While we maintain product liability insurance, there can be no assurance that such insurance will be available or adequate to protect against all such claims. We may incur costs and expenses relating to a recall of our customers' products due to an alleged failure of components we supply. See Note 10 in the Notes to our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements contained in Item 1 of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for information concerning certain pending litigation that involves us. An adverse outcome in these matters or other litigation could have a material adverse effect on our financial position or on our operating results or cash flows in the period in which the litigation is resolved.

If we do not retain our key personnel, our ability to execute our business strategy will be adversely affected.

Our continued success depends to a significant extent upon the recruitment, retention and effective succession of our executive officers and key management and technical personnel, particularly our experienced engineers. The competition for these employees is intense. The loss of the services of one or more of our key personnel could have a material adverse effect on our operating results. In addition, there could be a material adverse effect on our business should the turnover rates for engineers and other key personnel increase significantly or if we are unable to continue to attract qualified personnel. We do not maintain any key person life insurance policy on any of our officers or employees.

To remain competitive, we may need to acquire other companies, purchase or license technology from third parties, or enter into other strategic transactions in order to introduce new products or enhance our existing products.

An element of our business strategy involves expansion through the acquisitions of businesses, assets, products or technologies that allow us to complement our existing product offerings, expand our market coverage, increase our engineering workforce or enhance our technological capabilities. We may not be able to find businesses that have the technology or resources we need and, if we find such businesses, we may not be able to purchase or license the technology or resources on commercially favorable terms or at all. Acquisitions and technology licenses are difficult to identify and complete for a number of reasons, including the cost of potential transactions, competition among prospective buyers and licensees, the need for regulatory approvals, and difficulties in integration efforts. In order to finance a potential transaction, we may need to raise additional funds by issuing securities or borrowing money. We may not be able to find financing on favorable terms, and the sale of our stock may result in the dilution of our existing shareholders or the issuance of securities with rights that are superior to the rights of our common stockholders. Our current credit facility imposes restrictions on our ability to undertake certain transactions, to create certain liens on our assets and to incur certain subsidiary indebtedness, and requires us to maintain compliance with specified financial ratios. If we breach any of the covenants under our credit facility and do not obtain a waiver from the lenders, then, subject to applicable cure periods, our outstanding indebtedness thereunder could be declared immediately due and payable.

Acquisitions also involve a number of risks, including:

difficulty integrating acquired technologies, operations and personnel with our existing businesses;

diversion of management attention in connection with both negotiating the acquisitions and integrating the assets;

strain on managerial and operational resources as management tries to oversee larger operations;

the future funding requirements for acquired companies, which may be significant;
potential loss of key employees;

Table of Contents

exposure to unforeseen liabilities of acquired companies; and

increased risk of costly and time-consuming litigation.

If we are unable to successfully address these risks, we may not realize some or all of the expected benefits of the acquisition, which may have an adverse effect on our business plans and operating results.

We rely on manufacturing capacity located in geologically unstable areas, which could affect the availability of supplies and services.

We, like many companies in the semiconductor industry, rely on internal manufacturing capacity, wafer fabrication foundries and other sub-contractors in geologically unstable locations around the world. This reliance involves risks associated with the impact of earthquakes on us and the semiconductor industry, including temporary loss of capacity, availability and cost of key raw materials, utilities and equipment and availability of key services including transport of our products worldwide. Any prolonged inability to utilize one of our manufacturing facilities, or those of our subcontractors or third-party wafer fabrication foundries, as a result of fire, natural disaster, unavailability of utilities or otherwise, would have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

We are exposed to business, economic, political, legal and other risks through our significant worldwide operations.

We have significant operations and manufacturing facilities outside the United States, including in Ireland and the Philippines. During the first nine months of fiscal 2008, approximately 80% of our product revenue was derived from customers in international markets. Although we engage in hedging transactions to reduce our exposure to currency exchange rate fluctuations, there can be no assurance that our competitive position will not be adversely affected by changes in the exchange rate of the United States dollar against other currencies. Potential interest rate increases, as well as high energy costs could have an adverse impact on industrial and consumer spending patterns and could adversely impact demand for our products. While a majority of our cash is generated outside the United States, we require a substantial amount of cash in the United States for operating requirements, stock repurchases, cash dividends and acquisitions. If we are unable to address our U.S. cash requirements through operations, by efficient and timely repatriations of overseas cash, through borrowings under our current credit facility or from other sources of cash obtained at an acceptable cost, our business strategies and operating results could be adversely affected.

In addition to being exposed to the ongoing economic cycles in the semiconductor industry, we are also subject to the economic, political and legal risks inherent in international operations, including the risks associated with ongoing uncertainties and political and economic instability in many countries around the world as well as the economic disruption from acts of terrorism, and the response to them by the United States and its allies. Other business risks associated with international operations include increased managerial complexities, air transportation disruptions, expropriation, currency controls, currency exchange rate movement, additional costs related to foreign taxes, tariffs and freight rate increases, exposure to different business practices and legal standards, particularly with respect to price protection, intellectual property and environmental compliance, trade and travel restrictions, pandemics, import and export license requirements and restrictions, difficulties in staffing and managing worldwide operations, and accounts receivable collections.

We expect to continue to expand our business and operations in China. Our success in the Chinese markets may be adversely affected by China's continuously evolving laws and regulations, including those relating to taxation, import and export tariffs, currency controls, environmental regulations, and property rights. Enforcement of existing laws or agreements may be inconsistent, as there exists a high degree of fragmentation among regulatory authorities resulting in uncertainties as to which authorities have jurisdiction over particular parties or transactions. In addition, changes in the political environment, governmental policies or U.S.-China relations could result in revisions to laws or regulations or their interpretation and enforcement, increased taxation, restrictions on imports, import duties or currency revaluations, which could have an adverse effect on our business plans and operating results.

Table of Contents

Our operating results are dependent on the performance of independent distributors.

A significant portion of our sales are through independent distributors that are not under our control. These independent distributors generally represent product lines offered by several companies and thus could reduce their sales efforts applied to our products or terminate their representation of us. We generally do not require letters of credit from our distributors and are not protected against accounts receivable default or bankruptcy by these distributors. Our inability to collect open accounts receivable could adversely affect our operating results. Termination of a significant distributor, whether at our initiative or the distributor's initiative, could disrupt our current business and if we are unable to find suitable replacements, our operating results could be adversely affected.

We are subject to increasingly strict environmental regulations, which could increase our expenses and affect our operating results.

Our industry is subject to increasingly strict environmental regulations that control and restrict the use, transportation, emission, discharge, storage and disposal of certain chemicals used in the semiconductor manufacturing process. Public attention on environmental controls has increased, and changes in environmental regulations require us to invest in potentially costly remediation equipment or alter the way our products are made. In addition, we use hazardous and other regulated materials that subject us to risks of strict liability for damages caused by accidental releases, regardless of fault. Any failure to control such materials adequately or to comply with regulatory restrictions could increase our expenses and adversely affect our operating results.

Our stock price may be volatile.

The market price of our common stock has been volatile in the past and may be volatile in the future, as it may be significantly affected by the following factors:

actual or anticipated fluctuations in our revenue and operating results;

changes in financial estimates by securities analysts or our failure to perform in line with such estimates or our published guidance;

changes in market valuations of other semiconductor companies;

announcements by us or our competitors of significant new products, technical innovations, acquisitions or dispositions, litigation or capital commitments;

departures of key personnel;

actual or perceived noncompliance with corporate responsibility or ethics standards by us or any of our employees, officers or directors; and

negative media publicity targeting us or our competitors.

The stock market has historically experienced volatility, especially within the semiconductor industry, that often has been unrelated to the performance of particular companies. These market fluctuations may cause our stock price to fall regardless of our operating results.

Table of Contents**ITEM 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds
Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities**

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased (a)	Average Price Paid Per Share (b)	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs (c)	Approximate Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs
May 4, 2008 through May 31, 2008	409,224	\$ 34.42	408,920	\$ 126,351,158
June 1, 2008 through June 28, 2008	335,889	\$ 34.31	335,889	\$ 114,826,043
June 29, 2008 through August 2, 2008	64,006	\$ 30.88	64,006	\$ 112,849,379
Total	809,119	\$ 34.10	808,815	\$ 112,849,379

(a) Includes 304 shares paid to the Company by employees to satisfy employee tax obligations upon vesting of restricted stock granted to our employees under our equity compensation plans.

(b) The average price paid per share of stock repurchased under the stock repurchase program includes the commissions paid to the

brokers.

- (c) Repurchased pursuant to the stock repurchase program publicly announced on August 12, 2004. On June 6, 2007, our Board of Directors authorized the repurchase by us of an additional \$1 billion of our common stock, increasing the total amount of our common stock we are authorized to repurchase under the program to \$4 billion. Under the repurchase program, we may repurchase outstanding shares of our common stock from time to time in the open market and through privately negotiated transactions. Unless terminated earlier by resolution of our Board of Directors, the repurchase program will expire when we

have
repurchased all
shares
authorized for
repurchase
under the
repurchase
program.

Table of Contents

ITEM 6. Exhibits

The exhibits listed in the Exhibit Index immediately preceding the exhibits are filed as part of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and such Exhibit Index is incorporated herein by reference.

50

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

ANALOG DEVICES, INC.

Date: August 19, 2008

By: /s/ Jerald G. Fishman
Jerald G. Fishman
President and Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)

Date: August 19, 2008

By: /s/ Joseph E. McDonough
Joseph E. McDonough
Vice President-Finance and Chief
Financial Officer (Principal Financial
and Accounting Officer)

51

Table of Contents

Exhibit Index

Exhibit No.	Description
31.1	Certification Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (Chief Executive Officer).
31.2	Certification Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (Chief Financial Officer).
32.1	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 (Chief Executive Officer).
32.2	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 (Chief Financial Officer).