CARDTRONICS INC Form S-1/A November 21, 2007

As filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 20, 2007 Registration No. 333-145929

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

Amendment No. 3 to Form S-1 REGISTRATION STATEMENT UNDER THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933

CARDTRONICS, INC.

(exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

(State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Organization) 7389 (Primary Standard Industrial Classification Code Number) **76-0681190** (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

3110 Hayes Road, Suite 300 Houston, Texas 77082 (281) 596-9988

(Address, Including Zip Code, and Telephone Number,

Including Area Code, of Registrant s Principal Executive Offices) J. Chris Brewster Chief Financial Officer 3110 Hayes Road, Suite 300 Houston, Texas 77082 (281) 596-9988

(Name, Address, Including Zip Code, and Telephone Number, Including Area Code, of Agent for Service)

Copies to:

David P. Oelman, Esq. Bruce C. Herzog, Esq. Vinson & Elkins L.L.P. 2500 First City Tower 1001 Fannin Street Houston, Texas 77002-6760 713-758-2222 713-615-5861 (fax) Robert Evans III, Esq. Andrew R. Schleider, Esq. Shearman & Sterling LLP 599 Lexington Avenue New York, New York 10022 212-848-4000

Approximate date of commencement of proposed sale to the public: As soon as practicable after the effective date of this Registration Statement.

If any of the securities being registered on this Form are to be offered on a delayed or continuous basis pursuant to Rule 415 under the Securities Act of 1933, check the following box. o

If this Form is filed to register additional securities of an offering pursuant to Rule 462(b) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering. o

If this Form is a post-effective amendment filed pursuant to Rule 462(c) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering. o

If this Form is a post-effective amendment filed pursuant to Rule 462(d) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering. o

The Registrant hereby amends this Registration Statement on such date or dates as may be necessary to delay its effective date until the Registrant shall file a further amendment which specifically states that this Registration Statement shall thereafter become effective in accordance with Section 8(a) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or until the Registration Statement shall become effective on such date as the Commission, acting pursuant to said Section 8(a), may determine.

The information in this preliminary prospectus is not complete and may be changed. We may not sell these securities until the registration statement filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission is declared effective. This preliminary prospectus is not an offer to sell these securities and it is not soliciting an offer to buy these securities in any jurisdiction where the offer or sale is not permitted.

Subject to Completion, Dated , 2007

PROSPECTUS

Cardtronics, Inc.

16,666,667 Shares Common Stock

This is the initial public offering of Cardtronics, Inc. common stock. We are offering 8,333,333 shares of our common stock and the selling stockholders, including certain members of our senior management, are offering 8,333,334 shares of our common stock. No public market currently exists for our common stock. We will not receive any of the proceeds from the shares of our common stock sold by the selling stockholders.

Our common stock has been approved for listing on The Nasdaq Global Market under the symbol CATM. We currently estimate that the initial public offering price will be between \$14.00 and \$16.00 per share.

Investing in our common stock involves risk. See Risk Factors beginning on page 15.

Neither the Securities and Exchange Commission nor any state securities commission has approved or disapproved of these securities or passed upon the adequacy or accuracy of this prospectus. Any representation to the contrary is a criminal offense.

| | Per Share | Total |
|--|-----------|-------|
| Public offering price | \$ | \$ |
| Underwriting discounts and commissions | \$ | \$ |
| Proceeds, before expenses, to the Company | \$ | \$ |
| Proceeds, before expenses, to the selling stockholders | \$ | \$ |

The selling stockholders have granted the underwriters a 30-day option to purchase up to an aggregate of 2,500,000 additional shares of our common stock to cover over-allotments.

The underwriters expect to deliver the shares on or about , 2007.

Deutsche Bank Securities

William Blair & Company

Banc of America Securities LLC

| JPMorgan |
|-----------------|
|-----------------|

Piper Jaffray

RBC Capital Markets

The date of this prospectus is , 2007.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| Prospectus Summary | 1 |
|---|-----|
| Risk Factors | 15 |
| Industry and Market Data | 32 |
| Intellectual Property | 33 |
| Forward-Looking Statements | 33 |
| Use of Proceeds | 34 |
| Dividend Policy | 35 |
| Capitalization | 36 |
| Dilution | 38 |
| Selected Historical Consolidated Financial and Operating Data | 40 |
| Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements | 40 |
| | |
| Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations | 54 |
| The ATM Industry | 98 |
| Business | 103 |
| Management | 119 |
| Principal and Selling Stockholders | 140 |
| Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions | 145 |
| Description of Capital Stock | 149 |
| Shares Eligible for Future Sale | 153 |
| Material United States Federal Tax Considerations for Non-U.S. Holders | 156 |
| Underwriting | 160 |
| Legal Matters | 166 |
| Experts | 166 |
| Where You Can Find Additional Information | 166 |
| Index to Financial Statements | F-1 |
| Form of Underwriting Agreement | 1-1 |
| Form of Third Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation | |
| Form of Second Amended and Restated Bylaws | |
| Opinion of Vinson & Elkins L.L.P. | |
| First Amended and Restated Investors Agreement | |
| First Amendment to First Amended and Restated Investors Agreement | |
| Form of Second Amendment to First Amended and Restated Investors Agreement | |
| Consent of KPMG LLP | |
| Consent of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP | |

Dealer Prospectus Delivery Obligation

Through and including , 2007 (25 days after the commencement of the offering), all dealers that effect transactions in these securities, whether or not participating in the offering, may be required to deliver a prospectus. This is in addition to the dealer s obligation to deliver a prospectus when acting as an underwriter and with respect to unsold allotments or subscriptions.

About this Prospectus

You should rely only on the information contained in this prospectus or to which we have referred you, including any free writing prospectus that we file with the SEC relating to this offering. We and the selling stockholders have not authorized any other person to provide you with different information. We and the selling stockholders are only offering to sell, and only seeking offers to buy, the common stock in jurisdictions where offers and sales are permitted.

The information contained in this prospectus is accurate only as of the date of this prospectus, regardless of the time of delivery of this prospectus or of any sale of our common stock. Our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects may have changed since that date.

PROSPECTUS SUMMARY

This summary highlights information contained elsewhere in this prospectus. This summary sets forth the material terms of the offering, but does not contain all of the information that you should consider before investing in our common stock. You should read the entire prospectus carefully before making an investment decision, especially the risks of investing in our common stock discussed under Risk Factors. The terms we, us, our, the Company, and Cardtronics refer to Cardtronics, Inc. and its subsidiaries, unless the context otherwise requires. We refer to automated teller machines as ATMs throughout this prospectus. Pro forma financial and non-financial information contained in this prospectus gives effect to our acquisition of the financial services business of 7-Eleven, Inc. (7-Eleven), which we refer to as the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction, including the related financing transactions, as if they had occurred prior to the period for which such information is given. Such pro forma information is presented for illustrative purposes only and is not necessarily indicative of what our actual results would have been nor is it necessarily indicative of what our results will be in future periods. All financial and non-financial information presented for periods subsequent to July 20, 2007, the effective date of the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction, includes the effects of such acquisition and the related financing transactions on an actual rather than a pro forma basis.

Our Business

Cardtronics, Inc. operates the world s largest network of ATMs. Our network currently includes over 31,500 ATMs, principally in national and regional merchant locations throughout the United States, the United Kingdom, and Mexico. Approximately 19,600 of the ATMs we operate are Company-owned and 11,900 are merchant-owned. Our high-traffic retail locations and national footprint make us an attractive partner for regional and national financial institutions that are seeking to increase their market penetration. Over 9,500 of our Company-owned ATMs are under contract with well-known banks to place their logos on those machines and provide surcharge-free access to their customers, making us the largest non-bank owner and operator of bank-branded ATMs in the United States. We also operate the Allpoint network, which sells surcharge-free access to financial institutions that lack a significant ATM network. We believe that Allpoint is the largest surcharge-free network of ATMs in the United States based on the number of participating ATMs.

Our Company-owned ATMs, which represent over 62% of our ATM portfolio, are deployed with leading retail merchants under long-term contracts with initial terms generally of five to seven years. These merchant customers operate high consumer traffic locations, such as convenience stores, supermarkets, membership warehouses, drug stores, shopping malls, and airports. Based on our revenues, 7-Eleven, BP Amoco, Chevron, Costco, CVS Pharmacy, Duane Reade, ExxonMobil, Hess Corporation, Rite Aid, Sunoco, Target, Walgreens, and Winn-Dixie are our largest merchant customers in the United States; Alfred Jones, Martin McColl (formerly TM Retail), McDonald s, The Noble Organisation, Odeon Cinemas, Spar, Tates, and Vue Cinemas are our largest merchant customers in the United Kingdom; and Cadena Comercial OXXO S.A. de C.V. (OXXO) and Farmacia Guadalajara S.A. de C.V. (Fragua) are our largest merchant customers in Mexico.

As operator of the world's largest network of ATMs, we believe we are well-positioned to increase the size of our network through both internal growth and through acquisitions. On July 20, 2007, we purchased substantially all of the assets of the financial services business of 7-Eleven, which included 5,500 ATMs located in 7-Eleven stores across the United States. Approximately 2,000 of the acquired ATMs are advanced-functionality financial services kiosks branded as Vcom^m units. We also entered into a placement agreement that gives us the exclusive right, subject to certain conditions, to operate all of the ATMs and Vcomtm units in existing and future 7-Eleven store locations in the United States for the next 10 years.

Our revenue is recurring in nature and is primarily derived from ATM surcharge fees, which are paid by cardholders, and interchange fees, which are fees paid by the cardholder s financial institution for the use of the applicable electronic funds transfer (EFT) network that transmits data between the ATM and the cardholder s financial institution. We generate additional revenue by branding our ATMs with signage from banks and other financial institutions, resulting in surcharge-free access and added convenience for their customers and increased usage of our ATMs. Our branding arrangements include relationships with leading national financial institutions, including Citibank, HSBC, JPMorgan Chase, and Sovereign Bank. We also generate revenue by collecting fees from financial institutions that participate in the Allpoint surcharge-free network.

For the year ended December 31, 2006 and the nine months ended September 30, 2007, we processed over 192.1 million and 155.1 million withdrawal transactions, respectively, on a pro forma basis, which resulted in approximately \$16.4 billion and \$14.1 billion, respectively, in cash disbursements. Excluding the pro forma effects of the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction, we processed over 125.1 million and 113.9 million withdrawal transactions, respectively, in cash disbursements. In addition, for the year ended December 31, 2006 and the nine months ended September 30, 2007, we processed over 72.3 million and 67.3 million, respectively, of other ATM transactions on a pro forma basis, which included balance inquiries, fund transfers, and other non-withdrawal transactions. Excluding the pro forma effects of the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction, we processed over 47.7 million and 52.2 million, respectively, of other ATM transactions.

For the year ended December 31, 2006 and the nine months ended September 30, 2007, we generated pro forma revenues of \$457.3 million and \$349.9 million, respectively, which included approximately \$18.0 million and \$4.2 million in revenues associated with past upfront payments received by 7-Eleven in connection with the development and provision of certain advanced-functionality services through the Vcomtm units. Such payments, which we refer to as placement fees, related to arrangements that ended prior to our acquisition of the financial services business of 7-Eleven, and thus will not continue in the future. While we believe we will continue to earn some placement fee revenues related to the acquired financial services business of 7-Eleven, we expect those amounts to be substantially less than those earned historically. Excluding these fees, our pro forma revenues for these periods would have totaled \$439.3 million and \$345.7 million, respectively, which reflect the transaction growth experienced on our network. Excluding the pro forma effects of the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction, we generated revenues of \$293.6 million and \$262.3 million, respectively, for the year ended December 31, 2006 and nine months ended September 30, 2007.

Our recent transaction and revenue growth have primarily been driven by investments that we have made in certain strategic growth initiatives and we expect these initiatives will continue to drive revenue growth and margin improvement. However, such investments have negatively affected our current year operating profits and related margins. For example, we have significantly increased the number of Company-owned ATMs in our United Kingdom and Mexico operations during the past year. While such deployments have resulted in an increase in revenues, they have negatively impacted our operating margins, as transactions for many of those machines have yet to reach the higher consistent recurring transaction levels seen in our more mature ATMs. Additionally, we have recently increased our investment in sales and marketing personnel to take advantage of what we believe are opportunities to capture additional market share in our existing markets and to provide enhanced service offerings to financial institutions. We have also incurred additional costs to develop our in-house transaction processing capabilities to better serve our clients and maximize our revenue opportunities. Additional costs were also necessary to meet the triple data security encryption standard (Triple-DES) adopted by the EFT networks. Finally, we recorded \$5.3 million in impairment charges during the nine months ended September 30, 2007, \$5.1 million of which related to our merchant contract with Target, which we acquired in 2004, as the anticipated future cash

flows are not expected to be sufficient to cover the carrying value of the related intangible asset. We have been in discussions with this merchant customer regarding additional services that could be offered under the existing contract to increase the number of transactions conducted on, and cash flows generated by, the underlying ATMs. For additional discussion of this impairment, see Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2007 and 2006 Amortization Expense.

All these expenditures have adversely impacted our pro forma operating income, which totaled \$27.5 million and \$11.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2006 and nine months ended September 30, 2007, respectively (excluding the upfront placement fees associated with the acquired financial services business of 7-Eleven that are not expected to continue in the future). Excluding the pro forma effects of the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction, our operating income totaled \$20.1 million and \$5.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2006 and nine months ended September 30, 2007, respectively. Furthermore, on a historical basis, we generated net losses of \$0.5 million and \$19.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2006 and nine months ended September 30, 2007, respectively.

Our Strengths

Leading Market Position. We operate the world's largest network of ATMs. Our network currently includes over 31,500 ATMs located throughout the United States, the United Kingdom, and Mexico. We are also the largest non-bank owner and operator of bank-branded ATMs in the United States and operate the Allpoint network, which we believe is the largest surcharge-free network of ATMs in the United States based on the number of participating ATMs. Our size and diversity of products and services give us significant economies of scale and the ability to provide attractive and efficient solutions to national and regional financial institutions and retailers.

Network of Leading Retail Merchants Under Multi-Year Contracts. We have developed significant relationships with national and regional merchants within the United States, the United Kingdom, and Mexico. These merchants typically operate high-traffic locations, which we have found to result in increased ATM activity and profitability. Our contracts with our merchant customers are typically multi-year arrangements with initial terms of five to seven years. As of September 30, 2007, our contracts with our top 10 merchant customers had a weighted average remaining life based on revenues of 8 years, including the ten-year placement agreement that we entered into with 7-Eleven in July 2007. These long-term relationships can provide opportunities to deploy additional ATMs in new locations. We believe our merchant customers value our high level of service, our 24-hour per day monitoring and accessibility, and that our U.S. ATMs are on-line and able to serve customers an average of 98.5% of the time.

Recurring and Stable Revenue and Operating Cash Flow. The long-term contracts that we enter into with our merchant customers provide us with access to customer traffic and relatively stable, recurring revenue. Additionally, our branding arrangements and surcharge-free initiatives provide us with additional revenue under long-term contracts that is generally not based on the number of transactions per ATM. On a pro forma basis for the nine months ended September 30, 2007, we derived approximately 95% of our total revenues from recurring ATM transaction and branding fees. Our recurring and stable revenue base, relatively low and predictable maintenance capital expenditure requirements, and minimal working capital requirements allow us to generate operating cash flows to service our indebtedness as well as invest in future growth initiatives.

Low-Cost Provider. We believe the size of our network combined with our operating infrastructure allows us to be among the low-cost providers in our industry. We believe our operating costs per ATM are significantly lower than the operating costs incurred by bank ATM

operators. Our scale provides us with a competitive advantage both in operating our ATM fleet and completing acquisitions of additional ATM portfolios as well as the potential to offer cost effective outsourcing services to financial institutions.

Technological Expertise. We have developed, and are continuing to develop, significant new technological capabilities that could enhance the services we are able to provide ATM users, financial institutions, and our merchant customers. Our in-house transaction processing capability, which had been rolled out to approximately 10,000 of our ATMs as of October 31, 2007, will allow us to control ATM screen flow, enabling us to provide customized branding and messaging opportunities as ATM transactions are processed. In addition, our advanced-functionality ATMs are capable of performing check cashing, deposit taking at off-premise ATMs, which are ATMs not located in a bank branch, using electronic imaging, bill payments, and other kiosk-based financial services. The depth and breadth of our technical expertise gives us a competitive advantage in capitalizing on an ATM service model which has and will continue to evolve.

Proven Ability to Grow through Acquisitions and International Expansion. Since April 2001, we have acquired 14 networks of ATMs and one operator of a surcharge-free ATM network, increasing the number of ATMs we operate from approximately 4,100 to over 31,500 as of September 30, 2007. The majority of these acquisitions have been ATM portfolio or asset acquisitions, although we have also completed business acquisitions such as the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction. We believe the risks of integration associated with our ATM portfolio acquisition growth are reduced because we do not typically assume significant numbers of employees nor import new operating systems in connection with our acquisitions. Additionally, as a result of our relatively lower cost of operations and significant experience in ATM management, in many cases we have improved the operating cash flow of our acquired networks of ATMs and achieved high returns on capital for such transactions. We have also successfully expanded our business into the United Kingdom and Mexico. For the nine months ended September 30, 2007, our international operations contributed approximately 14% and 18% of our total revenues and operating income, respectively, on a pro forma basis. We believe that our proven ability to grow through acquisitions and international expansion positions us to take advantage of additional growth opportunities.

Experienced Management Team. Our management team has significant financial services and payment processing-related experience and has developed extensive relationships and a leadership position in the industry, including directorships on several industry association boards. We believe this expertise helps us to attract new merchant customers and provides us with increased acquisition and bank branding opportunities. Our management team currently owns approximately 24% of our outstanding common stock on a fully diluted basis and is expected to own approximately 16% after the completion of this offering.

Our Market Opportunity

As the world s leading operator of ATMs, we believe there are significant opportunities to grow our business.

Merchant Network Opportunities. Many of our existing national and regional retail merchant customers do not have ATMs in all of their retail locations and are adding new locations as they grow their businesses. Although we are not the exclusive provider of ATMs to a majority of these merchant customers, and thus may experience competition for the right to deploy additional ATMs in these new locations, we believe that we are well positioned to capitalize on these growth opportunities as we are often the primary ATM solutions provider for these merchants. In addition to these existing merchant customer opportunities, we have also targeted over 100 national or regional retailers who operate thousands of retail locations and are not currently customers.

Bank Branding and Outsourcing Opportunities. We believe that by branding our Company-owned ATMs with the logos of banks and other financial institutions, those institutions can interact with their customers more frequently, increase brand awareness, and provide additional services, including surcharge-free access to cash, at a lower cost than traditional marketing and distribution channels. Additionally, we are in the process of completing an initiative that will allow us to control the flow and content of information on the ATM screen, which we expect will enable us to offer customized branding solutions to financial institutions, including one-to-one marketing and advertising services on the ATM screen. We believe that our relatively lower cost of operations and significant experience in ATM management provide us with future revenue opportunities as banks and other financial institutions look to outsource certain ATM management functions to simplify operations and lower their costs.

Surcharge-Free Network Opportunities. The Allpoint network, which we believe is the largest surcharge-free network in the United States based on the number of participating ATMs, allows us to profitably participate in the portion of the ATM market not already served by our surcharge-based business model. Future growth opportunities exist for us in the surcharge-free ATM market as smaller financial institutions continue to look for cost-effective ways to offer convenient, surcharge-free ATM access to their customers, such as access through the Allpoint network.

Advanced-Functionality Opportunities. Approximately 75% of all ATM transactions in the United States are cash withdrawals, with the remainder representing other basic banking functions such as balance inquiries, transfers, and deposits. We believe opportunities exist for us as the operator of the world s largest network of ATMs to provide advanced-functionality services, such as check cashing, off-premise deposit taking using electronic imaging, money transfer, and bill payment. We are currently offering these advanced-functionality services through the 2,000 Vcomtm units acquired as part of the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction. Pursuing advanced-functionality opportunities involve associated risks and costs as more fully described in Risk Factors and Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations. We are currently incurring, and expect to continue to incur, operating losses from the acquired Vcomtm operations. For the period from the acquisition date (July 20, 2007) through September 30, 2007, we incurred a \$2.1 million loss associated with the acquired Vcomtm operations. If our cumulative losses exceed \$10.0 million, including \$1.5 million in contract termination costs, we currently intend to terminate the Vcomtm services and utilize the existing Vcomtm units to provide traditional ATM services. While we are not currently pursuing advanced functionality outside of our V-com units, we may pursue other advanced-functionality opportunities as described under Our Strategy below notwithstanding our determination as to V-com services.

International Opportunities. International markets are experiencing an increase in off-premise ATMs as consumers seek convenient access to cash. We believe that significant growth opportunities continue to exist in those international markets where cash is the predominant form of payment utilized by consumers and where off-premise ATM penetration is still relatively low.

Our Strategy

Our strategy is to enhance our position as the leading owner and operator of ATMs in the United States, to become a significant service provider to financial institutions, and to expand our network further into select international markets. In order to execute this strategy we will endeavor to:

Increase Penetration and ATM Count with Leading Merchants. We have two principal opportunities to increase the number of ATM sites with our existing merchants: first, by

deploying ATMs in our merchants existing locations that currently do not have, but where traffic volumes justify installing, an ATM; and second, as our merchants open new locations, by installing ATMs in those locations. We believe our expertise, national footprint, strong record of customer service with leading merchants, and our significant scale position us to successfully market to, and enter into long-term contracts with, additional leading national and regional merchants.

Capitalize on Existing Opportunities to Become a Significant Service Provider to Financial Institutions. We believe we are strongly positioned to work with financial institutions to fulfill many of their ATM requirements. Our ATM services offered to financial institutions include branding our ATMs with their logos and providing surcharge-free access to their customers, managing their off-premise ATM networks on an outsourced basis, or buying their off-premise ATMs in combination with branding arrangements. In addition, the development of our in-house processing capability will provide us with the ability to control the content of the information appearing on the screens of our ATMs, which should in turn serve to increase the types of products and services that we will be able to offer to financial institutions.

Capitalize on Surcharge-Free Network Opportunities. We plan to continue to pursue opportunities with respect to our surcharge-free networks, where financial institutions pay us to allow surcharge-free access to our ATM network for their customers on a non-exclusive basis. We believe this arrangement will enable us to increase transaction counts and profitability on our existing machines. Additionally, we plan to expand our Allpoint surcharge-free network to the United Kingdom and Mexico in the future.

Develop and Provide Selected Advanced-Functionality Services. ATMs have and continue to evolve in terms of service offerings. Certain advanced ATM models are capable of providing check cashing, off-premise deposit taking services using electronic imaging, money transfer, and bill payment services. Our Vcomtm units are capable of providing many of these services. Irrespective of our ultimate decision on the continued operation of our Vcomtm units as described above, we believe the advanced functionality offered by our Vcomtm units and other machines we or others may develop, provides additional growth opportunities as retailers and financial institutions seek to provide additional convenient self-service financial services to their customers.

Pursue International Growth Opportunities. We have recently invested significant amounts in the infrastructure of our United Kingdom and Mexico operations, and we plan to continue to increase the number of our Company-owned ATMs in these markets through machines deployed with our existing customer base as well as through the addition of new merchant customers. Additionally, we plan to expand our operations into selected international markets where we believe we can leverage our operational expertise and scale advantages. In particular, we are targeting high growth emerging markets where cash is the predominant form of payment and where off-premise ATM penetration is relatively low, such as Central and Eastern Europe, China, India and Brazil.

Risk Factors

While we have summarized our above strengths, market opportunity, and strategy, there are numerous risks and uncertainties unique to our business and industry which may prevent us from capitalizing on our strengths and market opportunities, or from successfully executing our strategy. Examples of these risks include the following:

We have recently seen a decline in the average number of merchant-owned ATMs that we operate in the United States of 14.1% in 2006 and 4.2% during the nine months ended September 30, 2007.

The U.S. has seen a shift in consumer payment trends since the late 1990 s, with more customers now opting for electronic forms of payment (e.g., credit cards and debit cards)

Table of Contents

for their in-store purchases over traditional paper-based forms of payment (e.g., cash and checks).

We have incurred substantial losses in the past and may continue to incur losses in the future.

We currently expect to incur operating losses associated with providing advanced-functionality services through our Vcomtm units within the first 12-18 months subsequent to the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction.

We derive a substantial portion of our revenues from ATMs placed with a small number of merchants, with 7-Eleven comprising 35.8% of our pro forma revenues for the year ended December 31, 2006.

We have a substantial amount of indebtedness. As of September 30, 2007, we had outstanding indebtedness of approximately \$408.9 million, which represents approximately 95.9% of our total capitalization of \$426.4 million.

For a more complete description of the risks associated with an investment in us, you should read and carefully consider the matters described under Risk Factors. These risks could materially and adversely impact our business, financial condition, operating results, and cash flows, which could cause the trading price of our common stock to decline and could result in partial or total loss of your investment.

Our Executive Offices

Our principal executive offices are located at 3110 Hayes Road, Suite 300, Houston, Texas 77082, and our telephone number is (281) 596-9988. Our website address is <u>www.cardtronics.com</u>. Information contained on our website is not part of this prospectus.

7

THE OFFERING

| Common stock offered | 8,333,333 shares by us |
|---|---|
| | 8,333,334 shares by the selling stockholders |
| Total offering | 16,666,667 shares |
| Common stock outstanding after the offering | 34,909,608 shares (47.7% of which are the shares being offered in this offering) |
| Use of proceeds | We estimate that our net proceeds from this offering, after deducting underwriting discounts and commissions and estimated offering expenses, will be approximately \$114.8 million, assuming an initial public offering price of \$15.00 per share, which is the midpoint of the range set forth on the cover page of this prospectus. |
| | We intend to use the net proceeds we receive from this offering: |
| | to pay down approximately \$105.6 million of indebtedness under our credit facility; and |
| | for working capital and general corporate purposes See Use of Proceeds. |
| | We will not receive any of the proceeds from the sale of shares of our common stock by the selling stockholders. The selling stockholders include members of our senior management. See Principal and Selling Stockholders. |
| Dividend policy | We do not expect to pay any dividends on our common stock for the foreseeable future. |
| Nasdaq Global Market symbol | CATM |
| Risk Factors | See Risk Factors beginning on page 15 of this prospectus for a discussion of factors that you should carefully consider before deciding to invest in shares of our common stock. |

Unless specifically indicated otherwise or unless the context otherwise requires, the information in this prospectus gives effect to (1) the conversion of all Series B Convertible Preferred Stock into common stock, which includes the effect of an additional share issuance to TA Associates Inc. (TA Associates) concurrent with the closing of this offering, and a stock split in the form of a stock dividend of our common stock immediately prior to the closing of the offering, all as described in more detail in Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions; and (2) no exercise

of the underwriters over-allotment option. See Certain

Relationships and Related Party Transactions Preferred Stock Private Placement with TA Associates and Description of Capital Stock.

The number of shares of common stock that will be outstanding after the offering is based on the number of shares outstanding as of September 30, 2007, and includes shares that will be issued and outstanding as a result of the exercise of certain stock options as part of the offering. This number does not include:

5,506,714 shares of common stock that will be issuable upon the exercise of stock options outstanding under the 2001 Stock Incentive Plan subsequent to the offering;

an aggregate of 48,245 shares of common stock reserved for future issuance under our 2001 Stock Incentive Plan; and

any shares of common stock reserved for future issuance under our 2007 Stock Incentive Plan, which was approved in August 2007.

Affiliates of Banc of America Securities LLC and J.P. Morgan Securities Inc. are lenders under our revolving credit facility and will receive a portion of the proceeds of this offering, which will be used to repay in full the amount outstanding under the revolving credit facility. See Use of Proceeds and Underwriting. These underwriters, through their affiliates, may be deemed to receive financial benefits as a result of the consummation of this offering beyond the benefits customarily received by underwriters in similar offerings.

9

SUMMARY HISTORICAL CONSOLIDATED AND PRO FORMA FINANCIAL AND OPERATING DATA

The summary consolidated balance sheet data for Cardtronics as of December 31, 2005 and 2006 and the summary consolidated statements of operations and cash flows data for Cardtronics for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2005, and 2006 have been derived from our audited consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this prospectus. The summary consolidated balance sheet data for Cardtronics as of September 30, 2007 and the summary consolidated statements of operations data for Cardtronics for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2007 have been derived from our unaudited interim condensed consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this prospectus. The unaudited interim period financial information, in the opinion of management, includes all adjustments, which are normal and recurring in nature, necessary for a fair presentation for the periods shown. Our unaudited interim period financial information includes the results of the acquired financial services business of 7-Eleven subsequent to the acquisition date of July 20, 2007. Results for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year.

The summary unaudited pro forma condensed consolidated statements of operations data for the year ended December 31, 2006 and the nine months ended September 30, 2007 have been derived from the unaudited pro forma condensed consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this prospectus. The summary unaudited pro forma condensed consolidated statements of operations have been prepared to give effect to the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction and the related financing transactions as if each had occurred on January 1, 2006.

The pro forma adjustments are based upon available information and certain assumptions that we believe are reasonable. The unaudited pro forma financial information is provided for informational purposes only. The summary unaudited pro forma condensed consolidated financial data do not purport to represent what our results of operations or financial position actually would have been if the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction or the related financing transactions had occurred on the dates indicated, nor do such data purport to project the results of operations for any future period.

The summary consolidated and pro forma condensed consolidated financial and operating data should be read in conjunction with Selected Historical Consolidated Financial and Operating Data, Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, and the consolidated financial statements and related notes appearing elsewhere in this prospectus.

10

Cardtronics, Inc.

| | Yea 2004 | ars I | Ended Decem 2005 | ber (| 31, 2006 | Ye | ro Forma ar Ended cember 31, 2006 | | Nine Mon Septem 2006 | | ro Forma Nine Months Ended tember 3(2007 |
|--|--------------|-------|---------------------|-------|--------------------------|----|--|----|----------------------------|------------------|--|
| onsolidated tatements of perations Data: | | | | (i | in thousand per withd | | cept share, Il transacti | - | | | |
| evenues: TM operating revenues | \$ 182,71 | 1 | \$ 258,979 | \$ | 280,985 | \$ | 416,961 | \$ | 209,542 | \$ 251,854 | \$ 331,167 |
| com tm operating venues ⁽¹⁾ TM product sales and | | | | | | | 27,686 | | | 685 | 8,882 |
| her revenues | 10,20 | 4 | 9,986 | | 12,620 | | 12,620 | | 9,218 | 9,805 | 9,805 |
| otal revenues ost of revenues: ost of ATM operating venues (exclusive of epreciation, accretion, | 192,91 | 5 | 268,965 | | 293,605 | | 457,267 | | 218,760 | 262,344 | 349,854 |
| nd amortization, shown parately below) ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾ ost of Vcom tm operating | 143,50 | 4 | 199,767 | | 209,850 | | 309,433 | | 157,225 | 191,046 | 249,891 |
| venues | | | | | | | 16,309 | | | 2,644 | 11,770 |
| ost of ATM product les and other revenues | 8,70 | 3 | 9,681 | | 11,443 | | 11,443 | | 8,142 | 9,196 | 9,196 |
| otal cost of revenues | 152,20 | 7 | 209,448 | | 221,293 | | 337,185 | | 165,367 | 202,886 | 270,857 |
| ross profit perating expenses: elling, general, and Iministrative | 40,70 | 8 | 59,517 | | 72,312 | | 120,082 | | 53,393 | 59,458 | 78,997 |
| penses ⁽⁵⁾⁽⁶⁾ epreciation and | 13,57 | 1 | 17,865 | | 21,667 | | 27,580 | | 15,709 | 20,985 | 23,422 |
| cretion expense mortization expense ⁽⁷⁾ | 6,78 5,50 | | 12,951 8,980 | | 18,595 11,983 | | 23,702 23,297 | | 14,072 9,610 | 18,541 14,062 | 21,357 18,903 |
| otal operating expenses | 25,86 | 4 | 39,796 | | 52,245 | | 74,579 | | 39,391 | 53,588 | 63,682 |
| come from operations ther (income) expense: | 14,84 | 4 | 19,721 | | 20,067 | | 45,503 | | 14,002 | 5,870 | 15,315 |
| terest expense, net ⁽⁸⁾ ther ⁽⁹⁾ | 5,23 22 | | 22,426 983 | | 25,072 (4,986) | | 39,333 (4,986) | | 18,769 (868) | 21,592 751 | 29,172 751 |

| otal other expense | 5,463 | 23,409 | 20,086 | 34,347 | 17,901 | 22,343 | 29,923 |
|--|-------------|---------------|--------------|-------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|
| come (loss) before come taxes | 9,381 | (3,688) | (19) | 11,156 | (3,899) | (16,473) | (14,608 |
| come tax provision enefit) | 3,576 | (1,270) | 512 | 4,658 | (1,217) | 3,212 | 3,212 |
| et income (loss) referred stock dividends | 5,805 | (2,418) | (531) | 6,498 | (2,682) | (19,685) | (17,820 |
| nd accretion expense | 2,312 | 1,395 | 265 | 265 | 199 | 200 | 200 |
| et income (loss) vailable to common ockholders | \$ 3,493 | \$ (3,813) | \$ (796) | \$ 6,233 | \$ (2,881) | \$ (19,885) | \$ (18,020 |
| et income (loss) per ommon share | | | | | | | |
| asic | \$ 1.56 | \$ (2.16) | \$ (0.46) | \$ 3.56 | \$ (1.64) | \$ (11.28) | \$ (10.23 |
| iluted | \$ 1.47 | \$ (2.16) | \$ (0.46) | \$ 2.17 | \$ (1.64) | \$ (11.28) | \$ (10.23 |
| Veighted average shares utstanding asic | 2,238,801 | 1,766,419 | 1,749,328 | 1,749,328 | 1,752,442 | 1,762,200 | 1,762,200 |
| iluted | 2,372,204 | 1,766,419 | 1,749,328 | 2,872,271 | 1,752,442 | 1,762,200 | 1,762,200 |
| | | | 11 | | | | |

| | | Years 2004 | s Er | nded December 2005 | er 31 | , 2006 | Ye | ro Forma ear Ended cember 31, 2006 | Nine Mont Septem 2006 | | Pro I Nine I En Septen 20 |
|--|------|---------------|------|-----------------------|-------|------------|----|---|-----------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------------|
| share and per (⁽¹⁰⁾ : e (loss) per | | | | | | | | | | | |
| nare: | \$ | 0.16 | \$ | (0.22) | \$ | (0.05) | \$ | 0.37 | \$ (0.17) | \$ (1.17) | \$ |
| | \$ | 0.15 | \$ | | \$ | (0.05) | \$ | 0.22 | \$ (0.17) | \$ | \$ |
| average shares g: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 21,665,997 | | 17,094,520 | | 16,929,122 | | 16,929,122 | 16,959,258 | 17,053,695 | 17, |
| | | 22,957,004 | | 17,094,520 | | 16,929,122 | , | 27,796,403 | 16,959,258 | 17,053,695 | 17, |
| ted Statements ows Data: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ictivities | \$ | 20,466 | \$ | 33,227 | \$ | 25,446 | | | \$ 16,867 | \$ 35,189 | |
| s from investing | \$ | (118,926) | \$ | (139,960) | \$ | (35,973) | | | \$ (25,933) | \$ (179,469) | |
| s from activities | \$ | 94,318 | \$ | 107,214 | \$ | 11,192 | | | \$ 7,773 | \$ 147,693 | |
| ancial Data | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1): (1) penditures (12) : | \$ | 26,909 | \$ | 40,669 | \$ | 55,631 | \$ | 97,488 | \$ 38,552 | \$ 37,722 | \$ |
| ce capital es | \$ | 2,354 | \$ | 1,680 | \$ | 2,384 | \$ | 9,599 | \$ 1,910 | \$ 5,740 | \$ |
| pital es | | 17,393 | | 30,246 | | 33,707 | | 45,818 | 24,111 | 39,598 | |
| al expenditures | \$ | 19,747 | \$ | 31,926 | \$ | 36,091 | \$ | 55,417 | \$ 26,021 | \$ 45,338 | \$ |
| Data 1): 1): | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ATMs ⁽¹³⁾ | | 17,936 | | 26,164 | | 25,778 | | 31,301 | 25,913 | 27,149 | |
| actions (in | | 111,577 | | 156,851 | | 172,808 | | 264,431 | 128,539 | 166,183 | 4 |
| Table c | of C | Contents | | | | | | | | 24 | ł |

| lrawal s (in | 86,821 | 118,960 | 125,078 | 192,107 | 93,756 | 113,934 | |
|--|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|----|
| awal | | | | | | | |
| : ating revenues ating gross lusive of | \$ 2.10 | \$ 2.18 | \$ 2.25 | \$ 2.17 | \$ 2.23 | \$ 2.21 | \$ |
| n, accretion, zation) ⁽⁴⁾⁽¹⁴⁾ ating gross gin (exclusive | \$ 0.45 | \$ 0.50 | \$ 0.57 | \$ 0.56 | \$ 0.56 | \$ 0.53 | \$ |
| tion, accretion, zation) ⁽⁴⁾ | 21.4% | 22.9% | 25.3% | 25.8% | 25.0% | 24.1% | |

| | As of Deco | emł | oer 31, | Septem | As of ber 3(| · . |
|---|-------------|-----|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|
| | 2005 | | 2006 (in th | Actual ands) | A | As ljusted ⁽¹⁵⁾ |
| Consolidated Balance Sheet Data: | | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 1,699 | \$ | 2,718 | \$ 6,118 | \$ | 15,268 |
| Total assets | 343,751 | | 367,756 | 562,201 | | 571,351 |
| Total long-term debt and capital lease obligations, | | | | | | |
| including current portion | 247,624 | | 252,895 | 408,910 | | 303,310 |
| Preferred stock ⁽¹⁶⁾ | 76,329 | | 76,594 | 76,794 | | |
| Total stockholders equity (deficit) | (49,084) | | (37,168) | (59,329) | | 130,822 |

- Includes upfront placement fee revenues of \$18.7 million and \$4.8 million for the pro forma year ended December 31, 2006 and the pro forma nine months ended September 30, 2007, respectively, received by 7-Eleven related to the acquired Vcomtm operations, of which \$18.0 million and \$4.2 million, respectively, relate to arrangements that ended prior to our acquisition and thus, are not expected to continue in the future.
- (2) Includes expense reductions of \$7.5 million and \$4.4 million for the pro forma year ended December 31, 2006 and pro forma nine months ended September 30, 2007, respectively. These amounts reflect the pro forma purchase accounting adjustments made with respect to certain unfavorable leases and an unfavorable contract assumed in connection with the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction. Although these adjustments will serve to reduce our future expense recorded for the cost of ATM operating revenues, we will still be required to pay the higher rates stipulated in the assumed leases and contract for the remaining terms of such agreements, the substantial majority of which expire in 2009.
- (3) Includes \$0.9 million of inventory adjustments for the year ended December 31, 2006 (both on a historical and pro forma basis), the majority of which related to our Triple-DES upgrade efforts. Also includes \$1.7 million of costs incurred related to our efforts to convert our ATM portfolio over to our in-house transaction processing switch and \$0.5 million of inventory cost adjustments related to our Triple-DES upgrade efforts for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 (both on a historical and pro forma basis).
- (4) Excludes effects of depreciation, accretion, and amortization expense of \$11.4 million, \$20.6 million, and \$29.2 million for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2005, and 2006, respectively, \$45.6 million for the pro forma year ended December 31, 2006, \$22.6 million and \$31.3 million for the nine month periods ended September 30, 2006 and 2007, respectively, and \$39.0 million for the pro forma nine month period ended September 30, 2007.
- (5) Includes non-cash stock-based compensation totaling \$1.0 million, \$2.2 million, and \$0.8 million in 2004, 2005 and 2006, respectively, \$0.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006, \$0.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2007, and \$0.8 million and \$0.7 million for the pro forma year ended December 31, 2006 and the pro forma nine months ended September 30, 2007, respectively, related to options granted to certain employees and a restricted stock grant made to our Chief Executive Officer in 2003. Additionally, the 2004 results include a bonus of \$1.8 million paid to our Chief Executive Officer related to the tax liability associated with such restricted stock grant. See Note 3 to our consolidated financial statements.
- (6) Includes the write-off in 2004 of approximately \$1.8 million in costs associated with our decision to not pursue a financing transaction to completion.
- (7) Includes pre-tax impairment charges of \$1.2 million and \$2.8 million in 2005 and 2006, respectively, and \$2.8 million and \$5.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2007, respectively, and the pro forma year ended December 31, 2006 and the pro forma nine months ended September 30, 2007, respectively.
- (8) Includes the write-off of \$5.0 million and \$0.5 million of deferred financing costs in 2005 and 2006, respectively, and \$0.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 as a result of (i) amendments to our existing credit facility and the repayment of our existing term loans in August 2005 and (ii) certain modifications made to our revolving credit facility in February 2006.
- (9) The Other line item in 2004 and 2005 primarily consists of losses on the sale or disposal of assets. Other in 2006 (both on a historical and pro forma basis) reflects the recognition of approximately \$4.8 million in other

income primarily related to settlement proceeds received from Winn-Dixie Stores, Inc. (Winn-Dixie), one of our merchant customers, as part of that company s successful emergence from bankruptcy, a \$1.1 million contract termination payment received from one of our customers, and a \$0.5 million payment received from one of our customers related to the sale of a number of its stores to another party, which were partially offset by \$1.6 million of losses on the sale or disposal of fixed assets during the year. Finally, Other for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 (both on a historical and pro forma basis) includes \$1.5 million of losses on the disposal of fixed assets, which were partially offset by \$0.6 million of gains related to the sale of the Winn-Dixie equity securities, which we received from Winn-Dixie in 2006 as a part of its bankruptcy settlement.

- (10) Gives effect to the anticipated stock split and conversion of the Series B Convertible Preferred Stock into shares of our common stock in connection with the offering. The stock split reflected in the above pro forma net income (loss) per common share amounts reflects (i) the conversion mechanics applicable to the Series B Convertible Preferred Stock held by TA Associates, as described in Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions, (ii) the conversion of the remaining Series B Convertible Preferred Stock into an equal number of common shares, and (iii) a resulting 9.6259 to 1 stock split for all common shares, which will be effected immediately prior to the closing of the offering. Such amounts assume the offering occurs at the mid point of the price range reflected on the cover of this prospectus.
- (11) EBITDA represents net income before interest expense, income tax expense, and depreciation, accretion and amortization expense. This term, as we define it, may not be comparable to similarly titled measures employed by other companies and is not a measure of performance calculated in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, or GAAP. EBITDA should not be considered in isolation or as a substitute for operating income, net income, cash flows from operating, investing, and financing activities or other income or cash flow statement data prepared in accordance with GAAP.

13

We believe EBITDA is useful to an equity investor in evaluating our operating performance because:

it is used by investors to measure a company s operating performance without regard to items such as interest expense, depreciation, accretion, and amortization, which can vary substantially from company to company within our industry depending upon accounting methods and book values of assets, capital structures and the method by which the assets were acquired; and

it helps investors to more meaningfully evaluate and compare the results of our operations from period to period by removing the impact of our capital structure and asset base from our operating results.

Our management uses EBITDA:

as a measure of operating performance because it assists them in comparing our performance on a consistent basis as it removes the impact of our capital structure and asset base from our operating results;

as a measure for planning and forecasting overall expectations and for evaluating actual results against such expectations;

to assess compliance with financial ratios and covenants included in our credit agreement;

in communications with lenders concerning our financial performance; and

as a performance measure by which our management is evaluated and compensated.

Management compensates for the limitations of EBITDA as an analytical tool by reviewing the comparable GAAP measures, understanding the differences between the measures, and incorporating this knowledge into management s decision-making process.

The following table provides a reconciliation of EBITDA to net income (loss), its most directly comparable GAAP financial measure, for each of the periods presented:

| | | Ended Decen | , | Pro Forma Year Ended December 31, | | nths Ended nber 30, 2007 | Pro Forma Nine Months Ended September 30, |
|---|----------|-------------|----------|---|------------|--------------------------------|--|
| | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2006 (in thousands | 2007 | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 5,805 | \$ (2,418) | \$ (531) | \$ 6,498 | \$ (2,682) | \$ (19,685) | \$ (17,820) |
| Interest expense | 5,235 | 22,426 | 25,072 | 39,333 | 18,769 | 21,592 | 29,172 |
| Income tax provision (benefit) | 3,576 | (1,270) | 512 | 4,658 | (1,217) | 3,212 | 3,212 |
| Depreciation, amortization, and accretion | 12,293 | 21,931 | 30,578 | 46,999 | 23,682 | 32,603 | 40,260 |

| EBITDA | \$ 26,909 | \$ 40,669 | \$ 55,631 | \$ 97,488 | \$ 38,552 | \$ 37,722 | \$ 54,824 |
|--------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
|--------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|

- (12) Capital expenditure amounts for Cardtronics Mexico are reflected gross of any minority interest amounts. Additionally, the 2006 capital expenditure amount excludes our initial \$1.0 million investment in Cardtronics Mexico.
- (13) The historical 2007 average number of transacting ATMs for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 includes the ATMs acquired in the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction beginning from the acquisition date (July 20, 2007) and continuing through September 30, 2007. The historical 2006 average numbers of transacting ATMs for the year ended December 31, 2006 and nine months ended September 30, 2006 includes the ATMs of our Mexico operations beginning from the acquisition date (February 8, 2006) and continuing through December 31, 2006 and September 30, 2006, respectively.
- (14) The inclusion in Cost of ATM operating revenues of the depreciation, accretion, and amortization expense referenced in Note 4 above would have reduced our ATM operating gross profit per withdrawal transaction by \$0.13, \$0.17, and \$0.23 for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2005, and 2006, respectively, \$0.24 for the pro forma year ended December 31, 2006, \$0.24 and \$0.27 for the nine month periods ended September 30, 2006 and 2007, respectively, and \$0.25 for the pro forma nine month period ended September 30, 2007.
- (15) The as adjusted balance sheet figures give effect to (1) our sale of 8,333,333 shares of our common stock in this offering (assuming the mid point of the estimated price range set forth on the cover page of this prospectus), (2) the application of the estimated net proceeds from the offering as discussed under Use of Proceeds and (3) the conversion of all Series B Convertible Preferred Stock into common stock, which includes the effect of an additional share issuance to TA Associates concurrent with the closing of this offering and (4) a stock split in the form of a stock dividend of our common stock immediately prior to the closing of this offering. The actual ratio of our stock split may change based on the ultimate offering price of our common stock and the resulting conversion ratio of our Series B Convertible Preferred Stock owned by TA Associates. See Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions.
- (16) The amount reflected on our balance sheet is shown net of issuance costs of \$1.4 million as of December 31, 2006 and \$1.2 million as of September 30, 2007. The aggregate redemption price for the preferred stock was \$78.0 million as of September 30, 2007.

14

RISK FACTORS

You should carefully consider the following risk factors and all other information contained in this prospectus before purchasing our common stock. We believe that the risks and uncertainties described below are the material risks and uncertainties facing us. Additional risks and uncertainties that we are unaware of, or that we currently deem immaterial, also may become important factors that affect us.

If any of the following risks occur, our business, financial condition or results of operations could be materially and adversely affected. In that case, the trading price of our common stock could decline, and you may lose some or all of your investment.

Risks Related to Our Business

We depend on ATM transaction fees for substantially all of our revenues, and our revenues would be reduced by a decline in the usage of our ATMs or a decline in the number of ATMs that we operate.

Transaction fees charged to cardholders and their financial institutions for transactions processed on our ATMs, including surcharge and interchange transaction fees, have historically accounted for most of our revenues. We expect that revenues from ATM transaction fees, including fees we receive through our bank and network branding surcharge-free offerings, will continue to account for a substantial majority of our revenues for the foreseeable future. Consequently, our future operating results will depend on (i) the continued market acceptance of our services in our target markets, (ii) maintaining the level of transaction fees we receive, (iii) our ability to install, acquire, operate and retain more ATMs, (iv) continued usage of our ATMs by cardholders, and (v) our ability to continue to expand our surcharge-free offerings. Additionally, it is possible that alternative technologies to our ATM services will be developed and implemented. If such alternatives are successful, we will likely experience a decline in the usage of our ATMs. Moreover, surcharge fees are set by negotiation between us and our merchant partners and could change over time. Further, growth in surcharge-free ATM networks and widespread consumer bias toward such networks could adversely affect our revenues, even though we maintain our own surcharge-free offerings.

We have also recently seen a decline in the average number of ATMs that we operate in the United States. Such decline, which totaled approximately 6.3% in 2006 and 2.0% during the nine months ended September 30, 2007, exclusive of ATMs acquired in the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction, is primarily due to customer losses experienced in our merchant-owned ATM business, offset somewhat by new Company-owned ATM locations that were deployed during the year. The decline in ATMs on the merchant-owned side of the business of 14.1% in 2006 and 4.2% during the nine months ended September 30, 2007 was due to (i) an internal initiative launched by us to identify and eliminate certain underperforming accounts, and (ii) increased competition from local and regional independent ATM service organizations.

We cannot assure you that our ATM transaction fees will not decline in the future. Accordingly, a decline in usage of our ATMs by ATM cardholders or in the levels of fees received by us in connection with such usage, or a decline in the number of ATMs that we operate, would have a negative impact on our revenues and would limit our future growth.

The proliferation of payment options other than cash in the United States, including credit cards, debit cards, and stored-value cards, could result in a reduced need for cash in the marketplace and a resulting decline in the usage of our ATMs.

The U.S. has seen a shift in consumer payment trends since the late 1990 s, with more customers now opting for electronic forms of payment (e.g., credit cards and debit cards) for their in-store purchases over traditional paper-based forms of payment (e.g., cash and checks).

Additionally, certain merchants are now offering free cash back at the point-of-sale for customers that utilize debit cards for their purchases, thus providing an additional incentive for consumers to use such cards. According to the *Study of Consumer Payment Preferences* for 2005/2006, as prepared by Dove Consulting and the American Bankers Association, paper-based forms of payment declined from approximately 57% of all in-store payments made in 1999 to 44% in 2005. While most of the increase in electronic forms of payment during this period came at the expense of traditional checks, the use of cash to fund in-store payments declined from 39% in 1999 to 33% in 2001. Although the use of cash has been relatively stable since that date (remaining at roughly 33% of all in-store payments through 2005), continued growth in electronic payment methods (most notably debit cards and stored-value cards) could result in a reduced need for cash in the marketplace and a resulting decline in the usage of our ATMs.

We have incurred substantial losses in the past and may continue to incur losses in the future.

We have incurred net losses in three of the past five years, and have incurred a net loss of \$19.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2007. As of September 30, 2007, we had an accumulated deficit of \$23.0 million. There can be no guarantee that we will achieve profitability. If we achieve profitability, given the competitive and evolving nature of the industry in which we operate, we may not be able to sustain or increase such profitability on a quarterly or annual basis.

Interchange fees, which comprise a substantial portion of our ATM transaction revenues, may be lowered at the discretion of the various EFT networks through which our ATM transactions are routed, thus reducing our future revenues.

Interchange fees, which represented approximately 26.2% and 27.4% of our total pro forma ATM operating revenues for the year ended December 31, 2006 and the nine months ended September 30, 2007, respectively, are set by the various EFT networks through which our ATM transactions are routed. Accordingly, if such networks decided to lower the interchange rates paid to us for ATM transactions routed through their networks, our future ATM transaction revenues would decline.

We derive a substantial portion of our revenue from ATMs placed with a small number of merchants. If one or more of our top merchants were to cease doing business with us, or to substantially reduce its dealings with us, our revenues could decline.

For the year ended December 31, 2006 and the nine months ended September 30, 2007, we derived approximately 46.0% and 44.5%, respectively, of our total pro forma revenues from ATMs placed at the locations of our five largest merchants. Of this amount, 7-Eleven represents the single largest merchant customer in our portfolio, comprising approximately 35.8% and 33.6% of our total pro forma revenues for the year ended December 31, 2006 and nine months ended September 30, 2007, respectively. In addition to 7-Eleven, our next four largest merchant customers are CVS, Walgreens, Target, and ExxonMobil, and they collectively generated approximately 10.2% and 12.0% of our total pro forma revenues for the year ended September 30, 2007, respectively. Accordingly, a significant percentage of our future revenues and operating income will be dependent upon the successful continuation of our relationship with 7-Eleven and these other four merchants.

The loss of any of our largest merchants, or a decision by any one of them to reduce the number of our ATMs placed in their locations, would decrease our revenues. These merchants may elect not to renew their contracts when they expire. As noted above, our top five merchants (based on our total revenues) are 7-Eleven, CVS, Walgreens, Target, and ExxonMobil, and the expiration dates of our contracts with these merchants are July 20, 2017;

September 21, 2011; December 31, 2013; January 31, 2012; and December 31, 2013, respectively. Even if such contracts are renewed, the renewal terms may be less favorable to us than the current contracts. If any of our five largest merchants fails to renew its contract upon expiration, or if the renewal terms with any of them are less favorable to us than under our current contracts, it could result in a decline in our revenues and gross profits.

We rely on EFT network providers, transaction processors, and maintenance providers; if they fail or no longer agree to provide their services, we could suffer a temporary loss of transaction revenues or the permanent loss of any merchant contract affected by such disruption.

We rely on EFT network providers and have agreements with transaction processors and maintenance providers and have more than one such provider in each of these key areas. These providers enable us to provide card authorization, data capture, settlement, and ATM maintenance services to the merchants we serve. Typically, these agreements are for periods of up to two or three years each. If we improperly manage the renewal or replacement of any expiring vendor contract, or if our multiple providers in any one key area failed to provide the services for which we have contracted and disruption of service to our merchants occurs, our relationship with those merchants could suffer. Further, if such disruption of service is significant, the affected merchants may seek to terminate their agreements with us.

If we, our transaction processors, our EFT networks or other service providers experience system failures, the ATM products and services we provide could be delayed or interrupted, which would harm our business.

Our ability to provide reliable service largely depends on the efficient and uninterrupted operations of our in-house transaction processing switch, third-party transaction processors, telecommunications network systems, and other service providers. Accordingly, any significant interruptions could severely harm our business and reputation and result in a loss of revenue. Additionally, if any such interruption is caused by us, especially in those situations in which we serve as the primary transaction processor, such interruption could result in the loss of the affected merchants or damage our relationships with such merchants. Our systems and operations and those of our transaction processors and our EFT network and other service providers could be exposed to damage or interruption from fire, natural disaster, unlawful acts, terrorist attacks, power loss, telecommunications failure, unauthorized entry, and computer viruses. We cannot be certain that any measures we and our service providers have taken to prevent system failures will be successful or that we will not experience service interruptions.

If not done properly, the transitioning of our ATMs from third-party processors to our own in-house transaction processing switch could lead to service interruptions and/or the inaccurate settlement of funds between the various parties to our ATM transactions, which would harm our business and our relationships with our merchants.

We are currently transitioning the processing of transactions conducted on our ATMs from third-party processors to our own in-house transaction processing switch, and we expect to have a substantial number of our domestic Company-owned and merchant-owned ATMs converted over to that switch by the end of 2007. We currently have very limited experience in ATM transaction processing and have just recently hired additional personnel with experience in running an ATM transaction processing operation, including personnel we hired in connection with the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction. Because this is a relatively new business for us, there is an increased risk that our processing conversion efforts will not be successful, thus resulting in service interruptions for our merchants. Furthermore, if not performed properly, the

processing of transactions conducted on our ATMs could result in the inaccurate settlement of funds between the various parties to those transactions and expose us to increased liability.

Security breaches could harm our business by compromising customer information and disrupting our ATM transaction processing services and damage our relationships with our merchant customers and expose us to liability.

As part of our ATM transaction processing services, we electronically process, store, and transmit sensitive cardholder information utilizing our ATMs. Unauthorized access to our computer systems could result in the theft or publication of such information or the deletion or modification of sensitive records, and could cause interruptions in our operations. While such security risks are mitigated by the use of encryption techniques, any inability to prevent security breaches could damage our relationships with our merchant customers and expose us to liability.

Computer viruses could harm our business by disrupting our ATM transaction processing services, causing non-compliance with network rules and damaging our relationships with our merchant customers.

Computer viruses could infiltrate our systems, thus disrupting our delivery of services and making our applications unavailable. Although we utilize industry standard anti-virus software and intrusion detection solutions for all of our key applications, any inability to prevent computer viruses could damage our relationships with our merchant customers and cause us to be in non-compliance with applicable network rules and regulations.

Operational failures in our ATM transaction processing facilities could harm our business and our relationships with our merchant customers.

An operational failure in our ATM transaction processing facilities could harm our business and damage our relationships with our merchant customers. Damage or destruction that interrupts our ATM processing services could damage our relationships with our merchant customers and could cause us to incur substantial additional expense to repair or replace damaged equipment. We have installed back-up systems and procedures to prevent or react to such disruptions. However, a prolonged interruption of our services or network that extends for more than several hours (i.e., where our backup systems are not able to recover) could result in data loss or a reduction in revenues as our ATMs would be unable to process transactions. In addition, a significant interruption of service could have a negative impact on our reputation and could cause our present and potential merchant customers to choose alternative ATM service providers.

Errors or omissions in the settlement of merchant funds could damage our relationships with our merchant customers and expose us to liability.

We are responsible for maintaining accurate bank account information for our merchant customers and accurate settlements of funds into these accounts based on the underlying transaction activity. This process relies on accurate and authorized maintenance of electronic records. Although we have certain controls in place to help ensure the safety and accuracy of our records, errors or unauthorized changes to these records could result in the erroneous or fraudulent movement of funds, thus damaging our relationships with our merchant customers and exposing us to liability.

We rely on third parties to provide us with the cash we require to operate many of our ATMs. If these third parties were unable or unwilling to provide us with the necessary cash to operate our ATMs, we would need to locate alternative sources of cash to operate our ATMs or we would not be able to operate our business.

In the U.S., we have historically relied on agreements with Bank of America, N.A. (Bank of America) and Palm Desert National Bank (PDNB) to provide us with the cash that we use in approximately 11,600 of our domestic ATMs where cash is not provided by the merchant (vault cash). In July 2007, we entered into a separate vault cash agreement with Wells Fargo, N. A. (Wells Fargo) to supply us with the cash that we use in the 5,500 ATMs and Vc&munits acquired in the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction. As of September 30, 2007, the balance of cash held in our domestic ATMs was approximately \$740.6 million, 50.8% of which was supplied by Bank of America and 48.5% by Wells Fargo.

Under our agreements with Bank of America, Wells Fargo, and PDNB, we pay a fee for our usage of this cash based on the total amount of vault cash that we are using at any given time. At all times during this process, legal and equitable title to the cash is held by the cash providers, and we have no access or right to the cash. Each provider has the right to demand the return of all or any portion of its cash at any time upon the occurrence of certain events beyond our control, including certain bankruptcy events of us or our subsidiaries, or a breach of the terms of our cash provider agreements. Our current agreements with Bank of America and Wells Fargo expire in October 2008 and July 2009, respectively. However, Bank of America can terminate its agreement with us upon 360 days prior written notice, and Wells Fargo can terminate its agreement with us upon 180 days prior written notice.

We rely on an agreement with Alliance & Leicester Commercial Bank (ALCB) to provide us with all of the cash that we use in approximately 1,740 of our U.K. ATMs where cash is not provided by the merchant. The balance of cash held in our U.K. ATMs as of September 30, 2007 was approximately \$140.4 million. Under the agreement with ALCB, we pay a fee for our usage of this cash based on the total amount of vault cash that we are using at any time. At all times during this process, legal and equitable title of the cash is held by ALCB, and we have no access or right to the cash. Our current agreement with ALCB, which expires on January 1, 2009, contains certain provisions, which, if triggered, may allow ALCB to terminate their agreement with us and demand the return of its cash upon 180 days prior written notice.

In Mexico, our current ATM cash is provided by Bansi, S.A. Institución de Banca Multiple (Bansi), a regional bank in Mexico and a minority interest owner in Cardtronics Mexico. We currently have an agreement with Bansi to supply us with cash of up to \$10.0 million U.S. that expires on March 31, 2008. As of September 30, 2007, the balance of cash held in our ATMs in Mexico was approximately \$6.3 million.

If our cash providers were to demand return of their cash or terminate their arrangements with us and remove their cash from our ATMs, or if they were to fail to provide us with cash as and when we need it for our ATM operations, our ability to operate these ATMs would be jeopardized, and we would need to locate alternative sources of cash in order to operate these ATMs.

Changes in interest rates could increase our operating costs by increasing interest expense under our credit facilities and our vault cash rental costs.

Interest on our outstanding indebtedness under our revolving credit facilities is based on floating interest rates, and our vault cash rental expense is based on market rates of interest. As a result, our interest expense and cash management costs are sensitive to changes in interest rates. Vault cash is the cash we use in our machines in cases where cash is not provided by the merchant. We pay rental fees on the average amount of vault cash outstanding in our ATMs under floating rate formulas based on the London Interbank Offered Rate

(LIBOR) for Bank of America and PDNB in the U.S. and ALCB in the U.K., and based on the federal funds effective rate for Wells Fargo in the U.S. Additionally, in Mexico, we pay a monthly rental fee to our vault cash provider under a formula based on the Mexican Interbank Rate (TIIE). As of September 30, 2007, the balances of cash held in our domestic, U.K., and Mexico ATMs were \$740.6 million, \$140.4 million, and \$6.3 million, respectively. Recent increases in interest rates in the U.S., the U.K., and Mexico have resulted in increases in our interest expense under our credit facility as well as our vault cash rental expense. Although we currently hedge a significant portion of our vault cash interest rate risk related to our domestic operations through December 31, 2010, including a portion of the vault cash associated with the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction, we may not be able to enter into similar arrangements for similar amounts in the future. Furthermore, we have not currently entered into any derivative financial instruments to hedge our variable interest rate exposure in the U.K. or Mexico. Any significant future increases in interest rates could have a negative impact on our earnings and cash flow by increasing our operating costs and expenses. See

Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Disclosure about Market Risk; Interest Rate Risk.

We maintain a significant amount of cash within our Company-owned ATMs, which is subject to potential loss due to theft or other events, including natural disasters.

As of September 30, 2007, there was approximately \$887.3 million in vault cash held in our domestic and international ATMs. Although legal and equitable title to such cash is held by the cash providers, any loss of such cash from our ATMs through theft or other means is typically our responsibility (other than thefts resulting from the use of fraudulent debit or credit cards, which are typically the responsibility of the issuing financial institutions). While we maintain insurance to cover a significant portion of any losses that may be sustained by us as a result of such events, we are still required to fund a portion of such losses through the payment of the related deductible amounts under our insurance policies. Furthermore, although thefts and losses suffered by our ATMs have been relatively minor and infrequent in the past, any increase in the frequency and/or amounts of such thefts and losses could negatively impact our operating results as a result of higher deductible payments and increased insurance premiums. Additionally, any damage sustained to our merchant customers store locations in connection with any ATM-related thefts, if extensive and frequent enough in nature, could negatively impact our relationships with such merchants and impair our ability to deploy additional ATMs in those locations (or new locations) with those merchants in the future.

The ATM industry is highly competitive and such competition may increase, which may adversely affect our profit margins.

The ATM business is and can be expected to remain highly competitive. While our principal competition comes from national and regional financial institutions, we also compete with other independent ATM companies in the United States and the United Kingdom. Several of our competitors, namely national financial institutions, are larger, more established, and have greater financial and other resources than we do. Our competitors could prevent us from obtaining or maintaining desirable locations for our ATMs, cause us to reduce the surcharge revenue generated by transactions at our ATMs, or cause us to pay higher merchant fees, thereby reducing our profits. In addition to our current competitors, additional competitors may enter the market. We can offer no assurance that we will be able to compete effectively against these current and future competitors. Increased competition could result in transaction fee reductions, reduced gross margins and loss of market share.

In the United Kingdom, we face competition from several companies with operations larger than our own. Many of these competitors have financial and other resources substantially greater than our U.K. subsidiary.

The election of our merchant customers to not participate in our surcharge-free network offerings could impact the networks effectiveness, which would negatively impact our financial results.

Financial institutions that are members of our Allpoint and MasterCard[®] surcharge-free networks pay a fee in exchange for allowing their cardholders to use selected Cardtronics owned and/or managed ATMs on a surcharge-free basis. The success of these networks is dependent upon the participation by our merchant customers in such networks. In the event a significant number of our merchants elect not to participate in such networks, the benefits and effectiveness of the networks would be diminished, thus potentially causing some of the participating financial institutions to not renew their agreements with us, and thereby negatively impacting our financial results.

We may be unable to integrate our recent and future acquisitions in an efficient manner and inefficiencies would increase our cost of operations and reduce our profitability.

Our acquisitions involve certain inherent risks to our business, including the following:

the operations, technology, and personnel of any acquired companies may be difficult to integrate;

the allocation of management resources to consummate these transactions may disrupt our day-to-day business; and

acquired networks may not achieve anticipated revenues, earnings or cash flow. Such a shortfall could require us to write down the carrying value of the intangible assets associated with any acquired company, which would adversely affect our reported earnings.

Since April 2001, we have acquired 14 ATM networks and one surcharge-free ATM network. Prior to our E*TRADE Access acquisition in June 2004, we had acquired only the assets of deployed ATM networks, rather than businesses and their related infrastructure. We currently anticipate that our future acquisitions will likely reflect a mix of asset acquisitions and acquisitions of businesses, with each acquisition having its own set of unique characteristics. To the extent that we elect to acquire an existing company or the operations, technology, and personnel of another ATM provider, we may assume some or all of the liabilities associated with the acquired company and face new and added challenges integrating such acquisition into our operations.

The 7-Eleven ATM Transaction involves certain inherent risks to our business. Most notably, our existing management, information systems, and resources may be strained due to the size of the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction. Accordingly, we will need to continue to invest in and improve our financial and managerial controls, reporting systems, and procedures as we look to integrate the acquired 7-Eleven ATM operations. We will also need to hire, train, supervise, and manage new employees. We may be unsuccessful in those efforts, thus hindering our ability to effectively manage the expansion of our operations resulting from this acquisition. Furthermore, the advanced-functionality services we provide through the Vcomtm units may subject us or our service providers to additional requirements such as permit applications or regulatory filings. As a result, we may need to discontinue certain Vcomtm operations in certain jurisdictions until such requirements have been fulfilled. Furthermore, if we are unsuccessful in integrating the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction, or if our integration efforts take longer than anticipated, we may not achieve the level of revenues, earnings or cash flows anticipated from such acquisition. If that were to occur, such shortfalls could require us to write down the carrying value of the tangible and intangible assets associated with the acquired operations, which would adversely impact our reported operating results.

Any inability on our part to manage effectively our past or future growth could limit our ability to successfully grow the revenue and profitability of our business.

Our international operations involve special risks and may not be successful, which would result in a reduction of our gross profits.

On a pro forma basis as of December 31, 2006 and on a historical basis as of September 30, 2007, approximately 5.6% and 9.2% of our ATMs were located in the U.K. and Mexico, respectively. Those ATMs contributed 12.8% and 16.9% of our pro forma gross profits (exclusive of depreciation, accretion, and amortization) for the year ended December 31, 2006 and the nine months ended September 30, 2007, respectively, and 13.0% and 17.6% of our pro forma gross profits (inclusive of depreciation, accretion, and amortization) for the year ended December 31, 2006 and the nine months ended September 30, 2007, respectively, and 13.0% and 17.6% of our pro forma gross profits (inclusive of depreciation, accretion, and amortization) for the year ended December 31, 2006 and the nine months ended September 30, 2007, respectively. We expect to continue to expand in the U.K. and Mexico and potentially into other countries as opportunities arise.

Our international operations are subject to certain inherent risks, including:

exposure to currency fluctuations, including the risk that our future reported operating results could be negatively impacted by unfavorable movements in the functional currencies of our international operations relative to the United States dollar, which represents our consolidated reporting currency;

difficulties in complying with the different laws and regulations in each country and jurisdiction in which we operate, including unique labor and reporting laws;

unexpected changes in laws, regulations, and policies of foreign governments or other regulatory bodies, including changes that could potentially disallow surcharging or that could result in a reduction in the amount of interchange fees received per transaction;

difficulties in staffing and managing foreign operations, including hiring and retaining skilled workers in those countries in which we operate; and

potentially adverse tax consequences, including restrictions on the repatriation of foreign earnings.

Any of these factors could reduce the profitability and revenues derived from our international operations and international expansion.

Our proposed expansion efforts into new international markets involve unique risks and may not be successful.

We currently plan to expand our operations internationally with a focus on high growth emerging markets, such as Central and Eastern Europe, China, India and Brazil. Because the off-premise ATM industry is relatively undeveloped in these emerging markets, we may not be successful in these expansion efforts. In particular, many of these markets do not currently employ or support an off-premise ATM surcharging model, meaning that we would have to rely on interchange fees as our primary source of revenue. While we have had some success in deploying non-surcharging ATMs in selected markets (most notably in the United Kingdom), such a model requires significant transaction volumes to make it economically feasible to purchase and deploy ATMs. Furthermore, most of the ATMs in these markets are owned and operated by financial institutions, thus increasing the risk that cardholders would be unwilling to utilize an off-premise ATM with an unfamiliar brand. Finally, the regulatory environments in many of these markets are evolving and unpredictable, thus increasing the risk that a particular deployment model chosen at inception may not be economically viable in the future.

We operate in a changing and unpredictable regulatory environment. If we are subject to new legislation regarding the operation of our ATMs, we could be required to make substantial expenditures to comply with that legislation, which may reduce our net income and our profit margins.

With its initial roots in the banking industry, the U.S. ATM industry has always been regulated, if not by individual states, then by the rules and regulations of the federal Electronic Funds Transfer Act, which establishes the rights, liabilities, and responsibilities of participants in EFT systems. The vast majority of states have few, if any, licensing requirements. However, legislation related to the U.S. ATM industry is periodically proposed at the state and local level. To date, no such legislation has been enacted that materially adversely affects our business.

In the United Kingdom, the ATM industry is largely self-regulating. Most ATMs are part of the LINK network and must operate under the network rules set forth by LINK, including complying with rules regarding required signage and screen messages. Additionally, legislation is proposed from time-to-time at the national level, though nothing to date has been enacted that materially affects our business.

Finally, the ATM industry in Mexico has been historically operated by financial institutions. The Central Bank of Mexico (Banco de Mexico) supervises and regulates ATM operations of both financial institutions and non-bank ATM deployers. Although, Banco de Mexico's regulations permit surcharge fees to be charged in ATM transactions, it has not issued specific regulations for the provision of ATM services. In addition, in order for an non-bank ATM deployer to provide ATM services in Mexico, the deployer must be affiliated with Promoción y Operación S.A. de C.V. (PROSA-RED), a credit card and debit card proprietary network that transmits information and settles ATM transactions between its participants. As only financial institutions are allowed to be participants of PROSA-RED, Cardtronics Mexico entered into a joint venture with Bansi, who is a member of PROSA-RED. As a financial institution, Bansi and all entities in which it participates, including Cardtronics Mexico, are regulated by the Ministry of Finance and Public Credit (Secretaria de Hacienda y Crédito Público) and supervised by the Banking and Securities Commission (Comisión Nacional Bancaria y de Valores). Additionally, Cardtronics Mexico is subject to the provisions of the Ley del Banco de Mexico (Law of Banco de Mexico), the Ley de Instituciones de Crédito (Mexican Banking Law), and the Ley para la Transparencia y Ordenamiento de los Servicios Financieros (Law for the Transparency and Organization of Financial Services).

We will continue to monitor all such legislation and attempt, to the extent possible, to prevent the passage of such laws that we believe are needlessly burdensome or unnecessary. If regulatory legislation is passed in any of the jurisdictions in which we operate, we could be required to make substantial expenditures which would reduce our net income.

The passing of legislation banning or limiting surcharge fees would severely impact our revenue.

Despite the nationwide acceptance of surcharge fees at ATMs, a few consumer activists (most notably in California) have from time to time attempted to impose local bans on surcharge fees. Even in the few instances where these efforts have passed the local governing body (such as with an ordinance adopted by the city of Santa Monica, California), federal courts have overturned these local laws on federal preemption grounds. However, those efforts may resurface and, should the federal courts abandon their adherence to the federal preemption doctrine, those efforts could receive more favorable consideration than in the past. Any successful legislation banning or limiting surcharge fees could result in a substantial loss of revenues and significantly curtail our ability to continue our operations as currently configured.

In the United Kingdom, the Treasury Select Committee of the House of Commons published a report regarding surcharges in the ATM industry in March 2005. This committee was formed to investigate public concerns regarding the ATM industry, including (1) adequacy of disclosure to ATM customers regarding surcharges, (2) whether ATM providers should be required to provide free services in low-income areas and (3) whether to limit the level of surcharges. While the committee made numerous recommendations to Parliament regarding the ATM industry, including that ATMs should be subject to the Banking Code (a voluntary code of practice adopted by all financial institutions in the U.K.), the U.K. government did not accept the committee s recommendations. Despite the rejection of the committee s recommendations, the U.K. government did sponsor an ATM task force to look at social exclusion in relation to ATM services. As a result of the task force s findings, approximately 600 additional free-to-use ATMs will be installed in low income areas throughout the U.K. during 2007. While this is less than a two percent increase in free-to-use ATMs through the U.K., there is no certainty that other similar proposals will not be made and accepted in the future. If the legislature or another body with regulatory authority in the U.K. would be negatively impacted.

In Mexico, surcharging for off-premise ATMs was legalized in late 2003, but was not formally implemented until July 2005. As such, the charging of fees to consumers to utilize off-premise ATMs is a relatively new experience in Mexico. Accordingly, it is too soon to predict whether public concerns over surcharging will surface in Mexico. However, if such concerns were to be raised, and if the applicable legislative or regulatory bodies in Mexico decided to impose limits on the level of surcharges for ATM transactions, our revenue from operations in Mexico would be negatively impacted.

The passing of legislation requiring modifications to be made to ATMs could severely impact our cash flows.

Under a current ruling of the U.S. District Court, it was determined that the United States currencies (as currently designed) violate the Rehabilitation Act, as the paper currencies issued by the U.S. are identical in size and color, regardless of denomination. Under the ruling, the U.S. Treasury Department has been ordered to develop ways in which to differentiate paper currency such that an individual who is visually-impaired would be able to distinguish between the different denominations. While it is still uncertain at this time what the outcome of the appeals process will be, in the event the current ruling is not overturned, participants in the ATM industry (including us) could be forced to incur significant costs to upgrade current machines hardware and software components. If required, such capital expenditures could limit our free cash such that we do not have enough cash available for the execution of our growth strategy, research and development costs, or other purposes.

The passing of anti-money laundering legislation could cause us to lose certain merchant accounts and reduce our revenues.

Recent concerns by the U.S. federal government regarding the use of ATMs to launder money could lead to the imposition of additional regulations on our sponsoring financial institutions and our merchant customers regarding the source of cash loaded into their ATMs. In particular, such regulations could result in the incurrence of additional costs by individual merchants who load their own cash, thereby making their ATMs less profitable. Accordingly, some individual merchants may decide to discontinue their ATM operations, thus reducing the number of merchant-owned accounts that we currently manage. If such a reduction were to occur, we would see a corresponding decrease in our revenues.

A substantial portion of our future revenues and operating profits will be generated by the new 7-Eleven merchant relationship. Accordingly, if 7-Eleven s financial condition deteriorates in the future and it is required to close some or all of its store locations, or if our ATM placement agreement with 7-Eleven expires or is terminated, our future financial results would be significantly impaired.

7-Eleven is now the single largest merchant customer in our portfolio, representing 35.8% and 33.6% of our total pro forma revenues for the year ended December 31, 2006 and nine months ended September 30, 2007, respectively. Accordingly, a significant percentage of our future revenues and operating income will be dependent upon the successful continuation of our relationship with 7-Eleven. If 7-Eleven s financial condition were to deteriorate in the future and, as a result, it was required to close a significant number of its domestic store locations, our financial results would be significantly impacted. Additionally, while the underlying ATM placement agreement with 7-Eleven has an initial term of 10 years, we may not be successful in renewing such agreement with 7-Eleven upon the end of that initial term, or such renewal may occur with terms and conditions that are not as favorable to us as those contained in the current agreement. Finally, the ATM placement agreement executed with 7-Eleven contains certain terms and conditions that, if we fail to meet such terms and conditions, gives 7-Eleven the right to terminate the placement agreement or our exclusive right to provide certain services.

In connection with the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction, we acquired advanced-functionality Vcomtm machines with significant potential for providing new services. Failure to achieve market acceptance among users could lead to continued losses from the Vcomtm Services, which could adversely affect our operating results.

In the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction, we acquired approximately 5,500 ATM machines, including 2,000 advanced-functionality Vcomtm machines. Advanced-functionality includes check cashing, money transfer, and bill payment services (collectively, the Vcomtm Services), as well as off-premise deposit services using electronic imaging. Additional growth opportunities that we believe to be associated with the acquisition of Vcomtm machines, including possible services expansion of our existing ATMs, may be impaired if we cannot achieve market acceptance among users or if we cannot implement the right mix of services and locations or adopt effective targeted marketing strategies.

We have estimated that the Vcomtm Services generated an operating profit of \$11.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2006 and an operating loss of \$3.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2007. However, excluding the upfront placement fees, which may not continue in the future, the Vcomtm Services generated operating losses of \$6.6 million and \$7.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2006 and for the nine months ended September 30, 2007, respectively. For the period from the acquisition (July 20, 2007) through September 30, 2007, the Vcomtm Services generated an operating loss of \$2.1 million. By continuing to provide the Vcomtm Services, we currently expect that we may incur up to \$10.0 million operating losses associated with such services for the first 12-18 months subsequent to the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction. We plan to continue to operate the Vcomtm units and restructure the Vcomtm operations to improve the financial results of the acquired Vcomtm operations; however, we may be unsuccessful in this effort. In the event we are not able to improve the operating results and we incur cumulative losses of \$10.0 million associated with providing the Vcomtm Services, our current intent is to terminate the Vcomtm Services and utilize the Vcomtm machines solely to provide traditional ATM services. However, even if we are unsuccessful in improving its operating results, we may decide not to exit this business immediately but rather extend the period of time it takes to restructure the acquired Vcomtm operations, thus potentially resulting in losses of greater than \$10.0 million. The future losses associated with the acquired Vcomtm operations could be significantly higher than those

currently estimated, which would negatively impact our future operating results and financial condition. Even if we decide to terminate the provision of Vcomtm Services, our operating income may not improve because our estimate of historical losses was based on a review of the expenses of the financial services business of 7-Eleven Inc., which required us to allocate the expenses not directly associated with the provision of Vcomtm Services. In addition, in the event we decide to terminate the Vcomtm Services, we may be required to pay up to \$1.5 million of contract termination payments, and may incur additional costs and expenses, which could negatively impact our future operating results and financial condition. Finally, to the extent we pursue future advanced functionality services independent of our Vcom efforts as indicated in Prospectus Summary Our Strategy, we can provide no assurance that such efforts will be profitable.

Material weaknesses previously identified in our internal control over financial reporting by our independent registered public accounting firm could result in a material misstatement to our financial statements as well as result in our inability to file periodic reports within the time periods required by federal securities laws, which could have a material adverse effect on our business and stock price.

We are required to design, implement, and maintain effective controls over financial reporting. In connection with the preparation of our consolidated financial statements as of and for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, our independent registered public accounting firm identified certain control deficiencies, which represent material weaknesses in our internal control over financial reporting. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of a company s annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. Specifically, our independent registered public accounting firm identified material weaknesses regarding our ability to account for complex or unusual transactions, including (1) deferred financing cost adjustments related to our debt modifications and refinancings, and (2) modifications to our asset retirement obligations. These material weaknesses resulted in, or contributed to, adjustments to our financial statements and, in certain cases, restatement of prior financial statements. While we have taken action to remediate the identified weaknesses, including the hiring of additional personnel with the requisite accounting skills and expertise, we cannot provide assurance that the measures we have taken or any future measures will adequately remediate the material weaknesses identified by our independent registered public accounting firm. Failure to implement new or improved controls, or any difficulties encountered in the implementation of such controls, could result in a material misstatement in our annual or interim consolidated financial statements that would not be prevented or detected. Such material misstatement could require us to restate our financial statements or otherwise cause investors to lose confidence in our reported financial information.

We are required to document and test our internal control procedures in order to satisfy the requirements of Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, which will require annual management assessments and a report by our independent registered public accounting firm on the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting. We must complete our Section 404 annual management report and include the report beginning in our 2007 Annual Report on Form 10-K, which will be filed in early 2008. Additionally, our independent registered public accounting firm must complete its attestation report, which must be included beginning in our 2008 Annual Report on Form 10-K, which will be filed in early 2009. As described above, our independent registered public accounting firm has identified material weaknesses in our internal control over financial reporting, and we or it may discover additional material weaknesses or deficiencies, which we may not be able to remediate in time to meet our deadline for compliance with Section 404. Testing and maintaining internal controls may divert our management s attention from other matters that are important to our business. We may

not be able to conclude on an ongoing basis that we have effective internal control over financial reporting in accordance with Section 404 or our independent registered public accounting firm may not issue a favorable assessment. We cannot be certain as to the timing of completion of our evaluation, testing, and remediation actions or their effect on our operations. If either we are unable to conclude that we have effective internal control over financial reporting or our independent registered public accounting firm is unable to provide us with an unqualified report, investors could lose confidence in our reported financial information, which could have a negative effect on the trading price of our stock.

Failure to remediate any identified material weaknesses could cause us to not meet our reporting obligations. The rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) require that we file periodic reports containing our financial statements within a specified time following the completion of quarterly and annual fiscal periods. Any failure by us to timely file our periodic reports with the SEC may result in a number of adverse consequences that could materially and adversely impact our business, including, without limitation, potential action by the SEC against us, possible defaults under our debt arrangements, shareholder lawsuits, delisting of our stock from The Nasdaq Global Market, and general damage to our reputation.

Our operating results have fluctuated historically and could continue to fluctuate in the future, which could affect our ability to maintain our current market position or expand.

Our operating results have fluctuated in the past and may continue to fluctuate in the future as a result of a variety of factors, many of which are beyond our control, including the following:

changes in general economic conditions and specific market conditions in the ATM and financial services industries;

changes in payment trends and offerings in the markets in which we operate;

competition from other companies providing the same or similar services that we offer;

the timing and magnitude of operating expenses, capital expenditures, and expenses related to the expansion of sales, marketing, and operations, including as a result of acquisitions, if any;

the timing and magnitude of any impairment charges that may materialize over time relating to our goodwill, intangible assets or long-lived assets;

changes in the general level of interest rates in the markets in which we operate;

changes in regulatory requirements associated with the ATM and financial services industries;

changes in the mix of our current services; and

changes in the financial condition and credit risk of our customers.

Any of the foregoing factors could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, and financial condition. Although we have experienced growth in revenues in recent quarters, this growth rate is not necessarily indicative of future operating results. A relatively large portion of our expenses are fixed in the short-term, particularly with respect to personnel expenses, depreciation and amortization expenses, and interest expense. Therefore, our results of operations are particularly sensitive to fluctuations in revenues. As such, comparisons to prior periods should not be relied upon as indications of our future performance.

If our goodwill or other intangible assets become impaired, we may be required to record a significant charge to earnings.

We have a large amount of goodwill and other intangible assets and are required to perform periodic assessments for any possible impairment for accounting purposes. At September 30, 2007, we had goodwill and other intangible assets of \$371.2 million, or approximately 66% of our total assets. We evaluate periodically the recoverability and the amortization period of our intangible assets under GAAP. Some factors that we consider to be important in assessing whether or not impairment exists include the performance of the related assets relative to the expected historical or projected future operating results, significant changes in the manner of our use of the assets or the strategy for our overall business, and significant negative industry or economic trends. These factors, assumptions, and changes in them could result in an impairment of our goodwill and other intangible assets. We may be required to record a significant charge to earnings in our financial statements during the period in which any impairment of our goodwill or amortizable intangible assets is determined, resulting in an impact on our results of operations, the effect of which could be material. For example, in the quarter ended September 30, 2007 we recorded approximately \$5.1 million of impairment charges related to our merchant contract with Target, which we acquired in 2004. Other impairment charges in the future may also adversely affect our results of operations.

We have a substantial amount of indebtedness, which may adversely affect our cash flow and our ability to operate our business, remain in compliance with debt covenants and make payments on our indebtedness.

As of September 30, 2007, we had outstanding indebtedness of approximately \$408.9 million, which represents approximately 95.9% of our total capitalization of \$426.4 million.

Our substantial indebtedness could have important consequences to you. For example, it could:

make it more difficult for us to satisfy our obligations with respect to our indebtedness, and any failure to comply with the obligations of any of our debt instruments, including financial and other restrictive covenants, could result in an event of default under the indentures governing our senior subordinated notes and the agreements governing our other indebtedness;

require us to dedicate a substantial portion of our cash flow to pay principal and interest on our debt, which will reduce the funds available for working capital, capital expenditures, acquisitions, and other general corporate purposes;

limit our flexibility in planning for and reacting to changes in our business and in the industry in which we operate;

make us more vulnerable to adverse changes in general economic, industry and competitive conditions, and adverse changes in government regulation;

limit our ability to borrow additional amounts for working capital, capital expenditures, acquisitions, debt service requirements, execution of our growth strategy, research and development costs, or other purposes; and

place us at a disadvantage compared to our competitors who have less debt.

28

Any of the above listed factors could materially and adversely affect our business and results of operations. If we do not have sufficient earnings to service our debt, we may be required to refinance all or part of our existing debt, sell assets, borrow more money or sell securities, none of which we can guarantee we will be able to do.

The terms of our credit agreement and the indentures governing our senior subordinated notes may restrict our current and future operations, particularly our ability to respond to changes in our business or to take certain actions.

Our credit agreement and the indentures governing our senior subordinated notes include a number of covenants that, among other items, restrict our ability to:

sell or transfer property or assets; pay dividends on or redeem or repurchase stock; merge into or consolidate with any third party; create, incur, assume or guarantee additional indebtedness; create certain liens; make investments; engage in transactions with affiliates; issue or sell preferred stock of restricted subsidiaries; and

enter into sale and leaseback transactions.

In addition, we are required by our credit agreement to maintain specified financial ratios and limit the amount of capital expenditures incurred in any given 12-month period. As a result of these ratios and limits, we are limited in the manner in which we conduct our business and may be unable to engage in favorable business activities or finance future operations or capital needs. Accordingly, these restrictions may limit our ability to successfully operate our business and prevent us from fulfilling our debt obligations. A failure to comply with the covenants or financial ratios could result in an event of default. In the event of a default under our credit agreement, the lenders could exercise a number of remedies, some of which could result in an event of default under the indentures governing the senior subordinated notes. An acceleration of indebtedness under our credit agreement would also likely result in an event of default under the terms of any other financing arrangement we have outstanding at the time. If any or all of our debt were to be accelerated, there can be no assurance that our assets would be sufficient to repay any such indebtedness in full. If we are unable to repay outstanding borrowings under our bank credit facility when due the lenders will have the right to proceed against the collateral securing such indebtedness. See Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources Financing Facilities for an additional discussion of our financing instruments.

Risks Related to the Offering

There is no existing market for our common stock, and an active trading market may not develop.

Edgar Filing: CARDTRONICS INC - Form S-1/A

There has not been a public market for our common stock. We cannot predict the extent to which investor interest in us will lead to the development of an active trading market on The Nasdaq Global Market or otherwise or how liquid that market might become. If an active trading market does not develop, you may have difficulty selling any of our common stock that you buy. The initial public offering price for the shares will be determined by negotiations between us and the representatives of the underwriters and may not be indicative of prices

that will prevail in the open market following this offering. Consequently, you may not be able to sell shares of our common stock at prices equal to or greater than the price paid by you in this offering.

We do not intend to pay, and we are currently prohibited from paying, dividends on our common stock and, consequently, your only opportunity to achieve a return on your investment is if the price of our stock appreciates.

We do not plan to declare dividends on shares of our common stock in the foreseeable future. Additionally, we are currently prohibited from making any cash dividends pursuant to the terms of our credit facility. Consequently, your only opportunity to achieve a return on your investment in us will be if the market price of our common stock appreciates, which may not occur, and you sell your shares at a profit. There is no guarantee that the price of our common stock that will prevail in the market after this offering will ever exceed the price that you pay.

Future sales of our common stock in the public market could lower our stock price, and any additional capital raised by us through the sale of equity or convertible securities may dilute your ownership in us.

We may sell additional shares of common stock in subsequent public offerings. We may also issue additional shares of common stock or convertible securities. Assuming no exercise of the underwriters over-allotment option, after the completion of this offering, we will have 34,909,608 outstanding shares of common stock. This number includes 16,666,667 shares that we and the selling shareholders are selling in this offering, which may be resold immediately in the public market. The remaining 18,242,941 shares, or 52.3% of our total outstanding shares, are restricted from immediate resale under the federal securities laws and substantially all of them are subject to the lock-up agreements between our current stockholders and the underwriters described in Underwriting, but may be sold into the market in the near future.

All of our existing stockholders are parties to an investors agreement with us. Under that agreement, certain of these stockholders will have the right, after the expiration of the lock-up period of 180 days from the effective date of this registration statement, to require us to effect the registration of their shares. In addition, if we propose to register, or are required to register following the exercise of registration rights, any of our shares of common stock under the Securities Act, all the stockholders who are parties to the investors agreement will be entitled to include their shares of common stock in that registration.

We cannot predict the size of future issuances of our common stock or the effect, if any, that future issuances and sales of shares of our common stock will have on the market price of our common stock. Sales of substantial amounts of our common stock (including shares issued in connection with an acquisition), or the perception that such sales could occur, may adversely affect prevailing market prices of our common stock.

You will suffer immediate and substantial dilution.

The initial public offering price per share is substantially higher than the pro forma net tangible book value per share immediately after the offering. As a result, you will pay a price per share that substantially exceeds the book value of our assets after subtracting our liabilities. At the offering price of \$15.00, the midpoint of the estimated price range set forth on the cover page of this prospectus, you will incur immediate and substantial dilution in the amount of \$21.89 per share. We also have outstanding stock options to purchase shares of our common stock at a weighted average exercise price of \$6.06 per share. To the extent these options are exercised, you will experience further dilution. Investors who purchase common stock in this offering, excluding purchases made from the selling shareholders, will have

purchased 23.9% of the shares outstanding immediately after the offering, but will have paid 58.9% of the total consideration for our shares. See Dilution for more information.

Your ability to influence corporate matters may be limited because a small number of stockholders beneficially own a substantial amount of our common stock.

CapStreet II, L.P. and CapStreet Parallel II, L.P. (together with The CapStreet Group LLC, The CapStreet Group) and TA Associates are our largest equity stockholders. After giving effect to this offering, assuming no exercise by the underwriters of their over-allotment option and assuming an initial public offering price at the midpoint of the range set forth on the cover of this prospectus, affiliates of The CapStreet Group will beneficially own approximately 7,751,493 shares, or 20.6%, of our common stock, and affiliates of TA Associates will beneficially own approximately 6,194,642 shares, or 16.5%, of our common stock. The percentage and number of shares owned by each of these stockholders after giving effect to this offering will vary based upon the initial public offering price and the elections of other stockholders to sell in this offering. As a result of their ownership interests, these investors will be in a position to exert significant influence over the outcome of matters requiring a stockholder vote, including the election of directors, the entering into of mergers, sales of substantially all of our assets and other extraordinary transactions, and amendments to our certificate of incorporation or bylaws. In addition, this concentration of ownership may have the effect of preventing, discouraging or deferring a change of control, which could depress the market price of our common stock. See Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions and Principal and Selling Stockholders.

Certain of our directors may have conflicts of interest because they are affiliated with significant stockholders. The resolution of these conflicts of interest may not be in our or your best interests.

Following the closing of this offering, certain of our directors may have conflicts of interest because of their affiliation with significant stockholders. Fred Lummis is associated with The CapStreet Group and Mike Wilson is associated with TA Associates. This may create conflicts of interest because Fred Lummis has responsibilities to The CapStreet Group and its owners and Mike Wilson has responsibilities to TA Associates and its owners. Their duties to The CapStreet Group and TA Associates may conflict with their duties as directors of our company regarding business dealings between these investor groups and us and other matters. The resolution of these conflicts may not always be in our or your best interests. For example, The CapStreet Group and TA Associates are in the business of making investments in companies and may from time to time acquire and hold interests in businesses that compete directly or indirectly with us. The CapStreet Group and TA Associates may also pursue acquisition opportunities that may be complementary to our business and, as a result, those acquisition opportunities may not be available to us. There is no formal mechanism among The CapStreet Group, TA Associates, and Cardtronics for handling potential conflicts of interest. See Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions and Principal and Selling Stockholders.

Affiliates of certain of our underwriters are lenders under our revolving credit facility. Because proceeds of this offering will be used to repay borrowings under that facility, those underwriters have interests in this offering beyond customary underwriting discounts and commissions.

Affiliates of Banc of America Securities LLC and J.P. Morgan Securities Inc. are lenders under our revolving credit facility and will receive a portion of the proceeds of this offering, which will be used to repay in full the amount outstanding under the revolving credit facility. See Use of Proceeds and Underwriting. These underwriters, through their affiliates, may

be deemed to receive financial benefits as a result of the consummation of this offering beyond the benefits customarily received by underwriters in similar offerings.

Anti-takeover provisions in our third amended and restated certificate of incorporation, our amended and restated bylaws, and Delaware law could discourage a change of control that our stockholders may favor, which could negatively affect our stock price.

Provisions in our third amended and restated certificate of incorporation and our amended and restated bylaws and applicable provisions of the Delaware General Corporation Law may make it more difficult and expensive for a third party to acquire control of us even if a change of control would be beneficial to the interests of our stockholders. These provisions could discourage potential takeover attempts and could adversely affect the market price of our common stock. Our third amended and restated certificate of incorporation and our amended and restated bylaws, which will be in effect at the time this offering is consummated, and the Delaware General Corporation Law will:

authorize the issuance of blank check preferred stock that could be issued by our board of directors to thwart a takeover attempt;

classify the board of directors into staggered, three-year terms, which may lengthen the time required by a third party to gain control of our board of directors;

discourage, delay or prevent a change in control by prohibiting us from engaging in a business combination with an interested stockholder for a period of two years after the person becomes an interested stockholder, unless such a transaction has met certain fair market value requirements;

prohibit cumulative voting in the election of directors, which would otherwise allow holders of less than a majority of stock to elect some directors;

require super-majority voting to effect amendments to certain provisions of our certificate of incorporation or bylaws, including those provisions concerning the composition of the board of directors and the taking of action by stockholders by written consent;

limit who may call special meetings of both the board of directors and stockholders;

prohibit stockholder action by written consent, requiring all actions to be taken at a meeting of the stockholders;

establish advance notice requirements for nominating candidates for election to the board of directors or for proposing matters that can be acted upon by stockholders at stockholders meetings; and

require that vacancies on the board of directors, including newly-created directorships, be filled only by a majority vote of directors then in office.

INDUSTRY AND MARKET DATA

In this prospectus, we rely on and refer to information and statistics regarding economic trends and conditions and other data pertaining to the ATM industry. We have obtained this data from our own research, surveys and studies conducted by third parties such as Dove Consulting Group, Inc., industry or other publications, such as *ATM&Debit News*, the *U.K. Payment Statistics* publication from APACS, and other publicly available sources. We believe that our sources of information and estimates are reliable and accurate, but we have not independently verified them. Our

statements about the ATM industry in general, the number and type of ATMs in various markets, and the size and operations of our competitors in this prospectus are based on our management s belief, this statistical data, internal studies, and our knowledge of industry trends.

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY

We own or have rights to various trademarks, copyrights and trade names used in our business, including the following: CARDTRONICS (registered with the U.S. Patent & Trademark Office registration no. 1.970.030); bankmachine (registered under the Trade Marks Act of 1994 of Great Britain and Northern Ireland trademark registration no. 2350262); ALLPOINT (registered with the U.S. Patent & Trademark Office registration no. 2.940.550); and VCOM (registered with the U.S. Patent & Trademark Office registration no. 2.598.789). This prospectus also includes trademarks, service marks, and trade names of other companies.

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This prospectus contains forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. We may, in some cases, use words such as project, believe, anticipate, plan, expect, estimate, intend, should, would, could, words that convey uncertainty of future events or outcomes to identify these forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements in this prospectus may include statements about:

our financial outlook and the financial outlook of the ATM industry;

our ability to compete successfully with our competitors;

our use of our proceeds from this offering;

our cash needs;

implementation of our corporate strategy;

our financial performance;

our ability to expand our bank branding and surcharge-free service offerings;

our ability to provide new ATM solutions to financial institutions;

our ability to pursue and successfully integrate acquisitions;

our ability to implement new services on the recently-acquired advanced-functionality Vcomtm units;

our ability to strengthen existing customer relationships and reach new customers;

our ability to expand internationally; and

our ability to meet the service levels required by our service level agreements with our customers.

There are a number of important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from the results anticipated by these forward-looking statements. These important factors include those that we discuss in this prospectus under the caption Risk Factors. You should read these factors and the other cautionary statements made in this prospectus as being applicable to all related forward-looking statements wherever they appear in this prospectus. If one or more of these factors materialize, or if any underlying assumptions prove incorrect, our actual results, performance or achievements may vary materially from any future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by these forward-looking statements. We undertake no obligation to publicly update any forward-looking statements,

Edgar Filing: CARDTRONICS INC - Form S-1/A

except as required by law, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

USE OF PROCEEDS

We are offering 8,333,333 shares of our common stock and the selling stockholders are offering 8,333,334 shares of our common stock. The selling stockholders have also granted the underwriters an option to purchase up to an aggregate of 2,500,000 additional shares of our common stock to cover over-allotments. We will not receive any of the proceeds from the sale of shares by the selling stockholders.

We estimate that our net proceeds from the sale of the shares of common stock by us will be approximately \$114.8 million, assuming the midpoint of the estimated price range set forth on the cover page of this prospectus and after deducting the estimated underwriting discounts and commissions and estimated offering expenses payable by us. Assuming no change in the number of shares offered by us as set forth on the cover page of this prospectus, a \$1.00 increase (decrease) in the assumed initial public offering price of \$15.00 per share would increase (decrease) the net proceeds to us from this offering by \$7.8 million, after deducting the estimated underwriting discounts and commissions.

We intend to use approximately \$105.6 million of our net proceeds from this offering to repay the entire amount outstanding under our existing revolving credit facility, which may be drawn down again in the future. That facility, which consists of a \$175.0 million revolving line of credit, matures in May 2012 and bears interest at a variable rate based upon LIBOR or prime rate, at our option. As of September 30, 2007, we had approximately \$105.6 million in borrowings under the facility, and we had \$61.9 million available for additional borrowings. The weighted average interest rate on these borrowings was approximately 7.9%. Of the outstanding borrowings under the facility, approximately \$45.0 million was used to help fund the acquisition of the financial services business of 7-Eleven on July 20, 2007 and the balance was primarily drawn to fund working capital and capital expenditure needs. See

Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources Financing Facilities Revolving Credit Facility for additional information regarding our credit facility. We intend to utilize the remaining net proceeds for working capital and general corporate purposes.

34

DIVIDEND POLICY

We do not expect to pay dividends on our common stock for the foreseeable future. Instead, we anticipate that all of our earnings in the foreseeable future will be used for the operation and growth of our business. Our ability to pay dividends to holders of our common stock is currently prohibited by the terms of our credit facility. Any future determination to pay dividends on our common stock is subject to the discretion of our board of directors and will depend upon various factors, including our financial position, results of operations, liquidity requirements, restrictions that may be imposed by applicable law and our contracts, including our credit facility and the indentures governing our senior subordinated notes, and other factors deemed relevant by our board of directors. See Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources Financing Facilities for additional information on the restrictions and covenants in our credit facility and indentures.

35

CAPITALIZATION

The following table sets forth our cash and cash equivalents and our capitalization as of September 30, 2007:

on an actual basis; and

on an as adjusted basis giving effect to (1) our sale of 8,333,333 shares of our common stock in this offering (assuming the midpoint of the estimated price range set forth on the cover page of this prospectus), (2) the application of the estimated net proceeds from the offering as discussed under Use of Proceeds, (3) the conversion of our Series B Convertible Preferred Stock into shares of our common stock in connection with the offering, and (4) a stock split of our common stock that will occur immediately prior to the closing of the offering.

You should read this table together with the Use of Proceeds, Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, Description of Capital Stock, and our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this prospectus.

| | (U | As of Septer Actual naudited) in thousand and per s | As (U s, exc | Adjusted naudited) ept share |
|--|----|---|--------------------|---|
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ | 6,118 | \$ | 15,268 |
| Debt (including current maturities): Revolving credit facility ⁽¹⁾ Long-term notes payable and capital lease obligations \$100.0 million 91/4% senior subordinated notes due 2013 Series B issued in 2007, | \$ | 105,600 7,351 | \$ | 7,351 |
| net of \$2.9 million discount \$200.0 million 91/4% senior subordinated notes due 2013 issued in 2005, net of | | 97,073 | | 97,073 |
| \$1.1 million discount | | 198,886 | | 198,886 |
| Total debt Series B redeemable convertible preferred stock ⁽²⁾ Stockholders equity (deficit): Common stock, par value \$0.0001 per share, 5,000,000 shares authorized actual and 125,000,000 shares authorized as adjusted; 2,394,509 shares issued actual and 40,971,750 shares issued as adjusted; and 1,764,735 shares outstanding actual and | | 408,910 76,794 | | 303,310 |
| 34,909,608 shares outstanding as adjusted ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾ | | | | 3 |
| Subscriptions receivable (at face value) Additional paid-in capital ⁽³⁾ Accumulated other comprehensive income, net Accumulated deficit Treasury stock, at cost, 629,774 shares actual and 6,062,142 shares as adjusted ⁽³⁾ | | (324) 3,625 8,577 (22,986) (48,221) | | (324) 193,773 8,577 (22,986) (48,221) |
| Total stockholders equity (deficit) | | (59,329) | | 130,822 |

Total capitalization

\$ 426,375 \$ 434,132

- (1) As adjusted amount excludes approximately \$7.5 million in outstanding letters of credit that were issued in connection with the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction. As of September 30, 2007, we would have been able to borrow approximately \$61.9 million in additional funds based on the covenants contained in our revolving credit facility, as amended.
- (2) Consists of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock, par value \$0.0001 per share. As of September 30, 2007, there were 1,500,000 shares of Preferred Stock authorized, of which 929,789 shares of Series B Convertible Preferred

36

Stock were issued and outstanding. The as adjusted amount assumes the conversion of all Series B Convertible Preferred Stock into shares of common stock and a stock split in the form of a stock dividend of our common stock immediately prior to the closing of the offering. See Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions Preferred Stock Private Placement with TA Associates and Description of Capital Stock.

(3) To the extent we change the number of shares of common stock we sell in this offering from the shares we expect to sell or we change the initial public offering price from the \$15.00 per share assumed initial offering price, or any combination of these events occurs, our net proceeds from this offering and as adjusted additional paid-in capital may increase or decrease. Assuming no change in the number of shares offered by us as set forth on the cover page of this prospectus, a \$1.00 increase (decrease) in the assumed initial public offering price of \$15.00 per share would increase (decrease) the net proceeds to us from this offering by \$7.8 million, after deducting the estimated underwriting discounts and commissions and estimated offering expenses payable by us.

DILUTION

If you invest in our common stock, your interest will be diluted to the extent of the difference between the public offering price per share of our common stock and the net tangible book value per share of our common stock after this offering. We calculate net tangible book value per share by dividing our net tangible book value, which equals total assets less goodwill, net other intangible assets and total liabilities, by the number of common shares outstanding. The pro forma net tangible book value of our common stock as of September 30, 2007, after giving effect to the impact of the conversion of our Series B Convertible Preferred Stock into common stock and the 9.6259 to 1 estimated stock split for our common shares, which will be effected immediately prior to the closing of the offering, was approximately \$(355.1) million, or \$(13.61) per share, based upon 26,076,196 shares outstanding. After giving effect to the sale of 8,333,333 shares of common stock by us in this offering at an assumed initial public offering price of \$15.00 per share, the midpoint of the price range on the cover of this prospectus, the issuance of 500,079 shares of common stock in connection with the exercise of certain stock options immediately prior to the closing of the offering, and after deducting the estimated underwriting discounts and commissions and offering expenses payable by us, our pro forma net tangible book value as of September 30, 2007 would have been \$(240.4) million, or \$(6.89) per share. This represents an immediate increase in net tangible book value of \$6.73 per share to existing stockholders and an immediate dilution in net tangible book value of \$21.89 per share to investors purchasing shares in this offering. The following table illustrates this per share dilution:

| Assumed initial public offering price per share | \$ 15.00 |
|--|-------------|
| Net tangible book value per share as of September 30, 2007 (13.56) | |
| Decrease attributable to conversion of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock (0.05) | |
| Increase attributable to new public investors 6.73 | |
| Pro forma net tangible book value per share after this offering | (6.89) |
| | |
| Dilution of net tangible book value per share to new investors | \$ 21.89 |

A \$1.00 increase (decrease) in the initial public offering price from the assumed initial public offering price of \$15.00 per share would decrease (increase) our pro forma net tangible book value after giving effect to this offering by approximately \$7.8 million, our pro forma net tangible book value per share after giving effect to the offering by \$0.22 per share and the dilution in net tangible book value per share to new investors in this offering by \$0.78 per share, after deducting the estimated underwriting discounts and commissions and estimated offering expenses payable by us and assuming no other change to the number of shares offered by us as set forth on the cover page of this prospectus. An increase (decrease) of 1,000,000 shares from the expected number of shares to be sold by us in the offering, assuming no change in the initial public offering price from the price assumed above, would decrease (increase) our pro forma net tangible book value per share after giving effect to this offering by \$0.58 per share, and decrease (increase) the dilution in net tangible book value per share to new investors in this offering by \$0.58 per share, after deducting the estimated underwriting discounts and commissions and estimated solve, would decrease (increase) our pro forma net tangible book value per share after giving effect to this offering by \$0.58 per share, and decrease (increase) the dilution in net tangible book value per share to new investors in this offering by \$0.58 per share, after deducting the estimated underwriting discounts and commissions and estimated offering by \$0.58 per share, after deducting the estimated underwriting discounts and commissions and estimated offering by \$0.58 per share, after deducting the estimated underwriting discounts and commissions and estimated offering expenses payable by us.

The following table summarizes, on the same pro forma basis set forth above as of September 30, 2007, the total number of shares of common stock owned by existing stockholders and to be owned by new investors, the total consideration paid, and the average price per

share paid by our existing stockholders and to be paid by new investors in this offering, calculated before deduction of estimated underwriting discounts and commissions.

| | Shares Pur | chased | Total Conside | | verage Price | |
|-----------------------|------------|---------|-------------------|---------|-----------------|---------|
| | Number | Percent | Amount | Percent | pe | r Share |
| Existing stockholders | 26,576,275 | 76.1% | \$ 87,054,588 | 41.1% | \$ | 3.28 |
| New investors | 8,333,333 | 23.9% | 125,000,000 | 58.9% | \$ | 15.00 |
| Total | 34,909,608 | 100.0% | \$ 212,054,588 | 100.0% | | |

The tables above assume the exercise and issuance of 500,079 shares of common stock by existing option holders immediately prior to the closing of the offering. However, it does not reflect the issuance of an additional 5,506,714 shares of common stock issuable upon the exercise of stock options that will be outstanding, but unexercised, after the offering. Exercise of the options with an exercise price of less than the initial public offering price will result in additional dilution of net tangible book value per share to new investors.

Sales by the selling stockholders in this offering will cause the number of shares held by existing stockholders to be reduced to 18,242,941 shares, or 52.3% of the total number of shares of our common stock outstanding after this offering, and will increase the total number of shares held by new investors to 16,666,667 shares, or 47.7% of the total number of shares of our common stock outstanding after this offering.

If the underwriters exercise their over-allotment option in full, the number of shares held by new investors will increase to 19,166,667 shares, or 51.2% of the total number of shares of common stock outstanding after this offering.

39

SELECTED HISTORICAL CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL AND OPERATING DATA

The following selected historical consolidated financial and operating data should be read together with Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, and the consolidated financial statements and related notes included elsewhere in this prospectus. The selected consolidated balance sheet data as of December 31, 2005 and 2006 and the selected consolidated statements of operations data for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2005, and 2006 have been derived from our audited consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this prospectus. The balance sheet data as of December 31, 2003 and 2004, and the statements of operations data for the year ended December 31, 2003 have been derived from our audited financial statements, while the balance sheet data as of December 31, 2002 and the statements of operations data for the year ended December 31, 2002 have been derived from our unaudited financial statements, none of which are included in this prospectus. The selected consolidated balance sheet data as of September 30, 2007, and the selected consolidated statements of operations data for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2007 have been derived from our unaudited interim condensed consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this prospectus. The unaudited balance sheet data as of September 30, 2006 has been derived from our unaudited interim condensed consolidated financial statements for such period, which are not included in this prospectus. The unaudited interim period financial information, in the opinion of management, includes all adjustments, which are normal and recurring in nature, necessary for a fair presentation for the periods shown. Results for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year. Historical results are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected in the future.

| | | | Ended | | | | | |
|---|-----------|---------------|---------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|------------|--|
| | | Years | Ended Decer | nber 31, | | Septem | ber 30, | |
| | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2006 | 2007 | |
| | | | | | | | dited) | |
| | (in thou | isands, excep | t share and p | er share amo | unts, ratios, a | ind number o | f ATMs) | |
| Consolidated Statements of Operations Data: Revenues: | | | | | | | | |
| ATM operating revenues Vcom tm operating | \$ 59,183 | \$ 101,950 | \$ 182,711 | \$ 258,979 | \$ 280,985 | \$ 209,542 | \$ 251,854 | |
| revenues ATM product sales and | | | | | | | 685 | |
| other revenues | 9,603 | 8,493 | 10,204 | 9,986 | 12,620 | 9,218 | 9,805 | |
| Total revenues Cost of revenues: Cost of ATM operating revenues (exclusive of depreciation, accretion, and amortization, shown | 68,786 | 110,443 | 192,915 | 268,965 | 293,605 | 218,760 | 262,344 | |
| separately below) ⁽¹⁾ Cost of Vcom tm operating | 49,134 | 80,286 | 143,504 | 199,767 | 209,850 | 157,225 | 191,046 | |
| revenues | | | | | | | 2,644 | |

Nine Months

Edgar Filing: CARDTRONICS INC - Form S-1/A

| Cost of ATM product sales and other revenues | 8,984 | 7,903 | 8,703 | 9,681 | 11,443 | 8,142 | 9,196 |
|--|--------|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Total cost of revenues | 58,118 | 88,189 | 152,207 | 209,448 | 221,293 | 165,367 | 202,886 |
| Gross profit Operating expenses: Selling, general, and administrative | 10,668 | 22,254 | 40,708 | 59,517 | 72,312 | 53,393 | 59,458 |
| expenses ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾ Depreciation and | 6,142 | 7,229 | 13,571 | 17,865 | 21,667 | 15,709 | 20,985 |
| accretion expense | 1,650 | 3,632 | 6,785 | 12,951 | 18,595 | 14,072 | 18,541 |
| Amortization expense ⁽⁴⁾ | 1,641 | 3,842 | 5,508 | 8,980 | 11,983 | 9,610 | 14,062 |
| Total operating expenses | 9,433 | 14,703 | 25,864 | 39,796 | 52,245 | 39,391 | 53,588 |
| Income from operations | 1,235 | 7,551 | 14,844 | 19,721 | 20,067 | 14,002 | 5,870 |
| | | | 40 | | | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | Nine Mont Ended | |
|--|----|---------|--------|-------------|-------|-------------|-------|------------|----------|------------|-----|--------------------|------------|
| | | • • • • | | | s End | led Decemb | er 31 | | | 0.0 4 | | September | , |
| | - | 2002 | | 2003 | | 2004 | | 2005 | 2 | 006 | | 2006 (Unaudite | 2007 d) |
| | | | (in th | ousands, ex | cept | t share and | per s | hare amoun | ts, rati | os, and nu | mbe | • | u) |
| her expense: erest expense ⁽⁵⁾ | | 1,039 | | 2,157 | | 5,235 | | 22,426 | | 25,072 | | 18,769 | 21,59 |
| nority interest in sidiary | |) | | , | | 19 | | 15 | | (225) | | (128) | (28 |
| ner ⁽⁶⁾ | | 58 | | 106 | | 209 | | 968 | | (4,761) | | (740) | 1,03 |
| tal other expense | | 1,097 | | 2,263 | | 5,463 | | 23,409 | | 20,086 | | 17,901 | 22,34 |
| ome (loss) ore income taxes | | 138 | | 5,288 | | 9,381 | | (3,688) | | (19) | | (3,899) | (16,47 |
| ome tax vision (benefit) | | 111 | | 1,955 | | 3,576 | | (1,270) | | 512 | | (1,217) | 3,21 |
| ome (loss) fore cumulative ect of change in ounting nciple mulative effect change in ounting nciple for asset rement igations, net of ated income tax hefit of \$80 ⁽⁷⁾ | | 27 | | 3,333 | | 5,805 | | (2,418) | | (531) | | (2,682) | (19,68 |
| t income (loss) ferred stock idends and | | 27 | | 3,199 | | 5,805 | | (2,418) | | (531) | | (2,682) | (19,68 |
| retion expense | | 1,880 | | 2,089 | | 2,312 | | 1,395 | | 265 | | 199 | 20 |
| t income (loss) iilable to nmon ckholders | \$ | (1,853) | \$ | 1,110 | \$ | 3,493 | \$ | (3,813) | \$ | (796) | \$ | (2,881) \$ | (19,88 |
| t income (loss) common share: sic | \$ | (0.92) | \$ | 0.53 | \$ | 1.56 | \$ | (2.16) | \$ | (0.46) | \$ | (1.64) \$ | (11.2 |

| | | Edgar Fili | ng: | CARDTRO | NIC | S INC - Form | ۱S | -1/A | | |
|---|--------------|------------|-----|------------|-----|--------------|----|------------|--------------|-------------|
| uted | \$ (0.92) | \$ 0.51 | \$ | 1.47 | \$ | (2.16) | \$ | (0.46) | \$ (1.64) | \$ (11.2 |
| eighted average res outstanding: sic | 2,019,346 | 2,078,555 | | 2,238,801 | | 1,766,419 | | 1,749,328 | 1,752,442 | 1,762,20 |
| uted | 2,019,346 | 2,171,824 | | 2,372,204 | | 1,766,419 | | 1,749,328 | 1,752,442 | 1,762,20 |
| forma share and share data ⁽⁸⁾ : t income (loss) common share: sic | \$ (0.09) | \$ 0.06 | \$ | 0.16 | \$ | (0.22) | \$ | (0.05) | \$ (0.17) | \$ (1.1 |
| uted | \$ (0.09) | \$ 0.05 | \$ | 0.15 | \$ | (0.22) | \$ | (0.05) | \$ (0.17) | \$ (1.1 |
| righted average res outstanding: sic | 19,542,221 | 20,115,216 | | 21,665,997 | | 17,094,520 | | 16,929,122 | 16,959,258 | 17,053,69 |
| uted | 19,542,221 | 21,017,827 | | 22,957,004 | | 17,094,520 | | 16,929,122 | 16,959,258 | 17,053,69 |

| | | | | | | | | | | Nine N En | | |
|--|-------------|----------------|-----|---|------|--------------|------|------------|------|--------------|------|----------|
| | | Years | En | ded Decem | ber | · 31, | | | | Septen | ıbe | r 30, |
| | 2002 | 2003 2004 2005 | | | 2005 | | 2006 | | 2006 | | 2007 | |
| | | (in t | hou | isands, exce | pt | ratios and 1 | nun | nbers of A | ТМ | [s) | | |
| Other Financial Data (unaudited): | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ratio of earnings to fixed charges ⁽⁹⁾ Cash flows from operating | | 1.3x | | 1.5x | | | | | | | | |
| activities | \$ 4,491 | \$ 21,629 | \$ | 20,466 | \$ | 33,227 | \$ | 25,446 | \$ | 16,867 | \$ | 35,189 |
| Cash flows from | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| investing activities | (15,023) | (29,663) | | (118,926) | | (139,960) | | (35,973) | | (25,933) | | (179,469 |
| Cash flows from financing | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| activities | 10,741 | 10,404 | | 94,318 | | 107,214 | | 11,192 | | 7,773 | | 147,693 |
| Operating Data | 10,711 | 10,101 | | , ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | | 107,211 | | | | ,,,,, | | 1.,,0,0 |
| (unaudited): | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total number of | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ATMs (at period | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| end) | 8,298 | 12,021 | | 24,581 | | 26,208 | | 25,259 | | 25,709 | | 31,586 |
| Total transactions | 36,212 | 64,605 | | 111,577 | | 158,851 | | 172,808 | | 128,539 | | 166,183 |
| Total withdrawal | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| transactions | 28,955 | 49,859 | | 86,821 | | 118,960 | | 125,078 | | 93,756 | | 113,934 |

| | 200 | As of December 31, 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 (in thousands) | | | | | | | 2006 | As of September 30, 2006 2007 (Unaudited) | | | | |
|--|-----|--|---|-----------------------------|----|----------------------------|-----|-------------------------------|------|---|----|-------------------------------|----|-------------------------------|
| | | | | | | | (in | thousand | s) | | | | | |
| Consolidated Balance Sheet Data: Cash and cash equivalents Total assets Total long-term debt, including | | 184 843 | | 5,554 55,295 | \$ | 1,412 197,667 | \$ | 1,699 343,751 | \$ | 2,718 367,756 | \$ | 475 354,914 | \$ | 6,118 562,201 |
| current portion Preferred stock ⁽¹⁰⁾ Total stockholders deficit | 19, | ,475 ,233 ,024) | 2 | 31,371 21,322 (6,329) | | 128,541 23,634 (340) | | 247,624 76,329 (49,084) | | 252,895 76,594 (37,168) | | 252,995 76,528 (44,887) | | 408,910 76,794 (59,329) |

Excludes depreciation, accretion, and amortization expense of \$3.1 million, \$6.8 million, \$11.4 million, \$20.6 million, and \$29.2 million for the years ended December 31, 2002, 2003, 2004, 2005, and 2006, respectively, and \$22.6 million and \$31.3 million for the nine month periods ended September 30, 2006 and 2007, respectively.

- (2) Includes non-cash stock-based compensation totaling \$1.6 million, \$1.0 million, \$2.2 million, and \$0.8 million in 2003, 2004, 2005, and 2006, respectively, as well as \$0.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and \$0.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2007, related to options granted to certain employees and a restricted stock grant made to our Chief Executive Officer in 2003. Additionally, the 2004 results include a bonus of \$1.8 million paid to our Chief Executive Officer related to the tax liability associated with such grant. No stock-based compensation was recorded in 2002. See Note 3 to our consolidated financial statements.
- (3) Includes the write-off in 2004 of approximately \$1.8 million in costs associated with our decision to not pursue a financing transaction to completion.
- Includes pre-tax impairment charges of \$1.2 million and \$2.8 million in 2005 and 2006, respectively, as well as \$2.8 million and \$5.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2007, respectively.
- (5) Includes the write-off of \$5.0 million and \$0.5 million of deferred financing costs in 2005 and 2006, respectively, as a result of (i) amendments to our existing credit facility and the repayment of our existing term loans in August 2005, and (ii) certain modifications made to our revolving credit facility in February 2006.
- (6) The Other line item in 2002, 2003, 2004, and 2005 primarily consists of losses on the sale or disposal of assets. Other in 2006 reflects the recognition of approximately \$4.8 million in other income primarily related to settlement proceeds received from Winn-Dixie Stores, Inc. (Winn-Dixie), one of our merchant customers, as part of its emergence from bankruptcy, a \$1.1 million contract termination payment received from one of our customers, and a \$0.5 million payment received from one of our customers related to the sale of a number of its stores to another party, which were partially offset by \$1.6 million of losses on the sale or disposal of fixed

Edgar Filing: CARDTRONICS INC - Form S-1/A

assets. Other for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 includes \$1.5 million of losses on the disposal of fixed assets during the period, which were partially offset by \$0.6 million of gains related to the sale of the Winn-Dixie equity securities, which we received from Winn-Dixie in 2006 as a part of its bankruptcy settlement.

- (7) Reflects the effect of our adoption of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 143, *Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations*. See Note 1(m) to our consolidated financial statements.
- (8) Gives effect to the anticipated stock split and conversion of the Series B Convertible Preferred Stock into shares of our common stock in connection with the offering. The stock split reflected in the above pro forma net income (loss) per common share amounts reflects (i) the conversion mechanics applicable to the Series B Convertible Preferred Stock held by TA Associates, as described in Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions, (ii) the conversion of the remaining Series B Convertible Preferred Stock into an equal number of common shares, and (iii) a resulting 9.6259 to 1 stock split for all common shares, which will be effected immediately prior to the closing of the offering. Such amounts assume the offering occurs at the mid point of the price range reflected on the cover of this prospectus.
- (9) For purposes of determining the ratio of earnings to fixed charges, earnings are defined as our income from operations before income taxes, plus fixed charges. Fixed charges consist of interest expense on all indebtedness, amortization of debt issuance costs and the interest portion of lease payments. Earnings were insufficient to cover fixed charges by approximately \$2.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2002, \$5.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2005, and \$0.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2006. Earnings were insufficient to cover fixed charges by approximately \$4.0 million and \$16.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2007, respectively.

(10) The amount reflected on our balance sheet is shown net of issuance costs of \$1.4 million as of December 31, 2006, and \$1.2 million as of September 30, 2007. The aggregate redemption price for the preferred stock was \$78.0 million as of September 30, 2007.

Supplemental Selected Quarterly Financial Information (Unaudited)

Financial information by quarter is summarized below for each of the three quarters in the nine month period ended September 30, 2007 and each of the four quarters in the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005.

| | Μ | arch 31 | - | une 30 | Sep | Ended otember 30 except per s | | | | Total |
|--|----------|------------------|----------|------------------|----------|-------------------------------------|---------|------------|----------|-------------------|
| 2007 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total revenues | \$ | 74,518 | \$ | 77,239 | \$ | 110,587 | | N/A | \$ | 262,344 |
| Gross profit (exclusive of depreciation, | | | | | | | | | | |
| accretion, and amortization) ⁽¹⁾ | | 16,985 | | 17,607 | | 24,866 | | N/A | | 59,458 |
| Net loss ⁽²⁾ | | (3,387) | | (5,615) | | (10,683) | | N/A | | (19,685) |
| Net loss available to common | | (2, 45, 4) | | (5 (01) | | (10.750) | | | | (10,005) |
| stockholders ⁽²⁾ | | (3,454) | | (5,681) | | (10,750) | | N/A | | (19,885) |
| Net loss per common share ⁽²⁾ : Basic | ¢ | (1.07) | ¢ | (2, 22) | ¢ | (6,00) | | NT/A | ¢ | (11.20) |
| Diluted | \$ \$ | (1.97) (1.97) | \$ \$ | (3.22) (3.22) | \$ \$ | (6.09) (6.09) | | N/A N/A | \$ \$ | (11.28) (11.28) |
| Pro forma net loss per common share ⁽³⁾ : | Ф | (1.97) | ф | (3.22) | Ф | (0.09) | | IN/A | Ф | (11.28) |
| Basic | \$ | (0.20) | \$ | (0.33) | \$ | (0.63) | | N/A | \$ | (1.17) |
| Diluted | \$ | (0.20) (0.20) | \$ | (0.33) | \$ | (0.63) | | N/A | \$ | (1.17) (1.17) |
| Diffeed | Ψ | (0.20) | Ψ | (0.55) | Ψ | (0.05) | | 1 1/2 1 | Ψ | (1.17) |
| 2006 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total revenues | \$ | 69,141 | \$ | 73,254 | \$ | 76,365 | \$ | 74,845 | \$ | 293,605 |
| Gross profit (exclusive of depreciation, | | | | | | | | | | |
| accretion, and amortization) $^{(4)}$ | | 16,043 | | 18,370 | | 18,980 | | 18,919 | | 72,312 |
| Net income (loss) ⁽⁵⁾ | | (3,124) | | 769 | | (327) | | 2,151 | | (531) |
| Net income (loss) available to common | | | | | | | | | | |
| stockholders ⁽⁵⁾ | | (3,190) | | 703 | | (394) | | 2,085 | | (796) |
| Net income (loss) per common share ⁽⁵⁾ : | | | | | | | | | | |
| Basic | \$ | (1.83) | \$ | 0.40 | \$ | (0.22) | \$ | 1.20 | \$ | (0.46) |
| Diluted | \$ | (1.83) | \$ | 0.27 | \$ | (0.22) | \$ | 0.73 | \$ | (0.46) |
| Pro forma net income (loss) per common | | | | | | | | | | |
| share ⁽³⁾ : | . | (0.10) | <i>•</i> | 0.04 | <i>•</i> | (0,00) | | 0.40 | . | |
| Basic | \$ | (0.19) | \$ | 0.04 | \$ | (0.02) | \$ | 0.12 | \$ | (0.05) |
| Diluted | \$ | (0.19) | \$ | 0.03 | \$ | (0.02) | \$ | 0.08 | \$ | (0.05) |
| 2005 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total revenues | \$ | 58,264 | \$ | 68,520 | \$ | 71,734 | \$ | 69,777 | \$ | 268,295 |
| Gross profit (exclusive of depreciation, | · | , | | | | , | | | | , |
| accretion, and amortization) ⁽⁶⁾ | | 11,857 | | 15,707 | | 15,949 | | 16,004 | | 59,517 |
| Net income (loss) ⁽⁷⁾ | | 569 | | 1,446 | | (2,864) | | (1,569) | | (2,418) |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

Edgar Filing: CARDTRONICS INC - Form S-1/A

| Net income (loss) available to common | | | | | | |
|---|--------------|----|-------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| stockholders ⁽⁷⁾ | (627) | | 1,380 | (2,881) | (1,685) | (3,813) |
| Net income (loss) per common share ⁽⁷⁾ : | | | | | | |
| Basic | \$ (0.29) | \$ | 0.81 | \$ (1.69) | \$ (0.99) | \$ (2.16) |
| Diluted | \$ (0.29) | \$ | 0.50 | \$ (1.69) | \$ (0.99) | \$ (2.16) |
| Pro forma net income (loss) per common | | | | | | |
| share ⁽³⁾ : | | | | | | |
| Basic | \$ (0.03) | \$ | 0.08 | \$ (0.17) | \$ (0.10) | \$ (0.22) |
| Diluted | \$ (0.03) | \$ | 0.05 | \$ (0.17) | \$ (0.10) | \$ (0.22) |
| | | | | | | |
| | | 43 | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

- (1) Excludes \$8.5 million, \$7.1 million, and \$15.7 million of depreciation, accretion, and amortization for the quarters ended March 31, 2007, June 30, 2007, and September 30, 2007, respectively.
- (2) Includes pre-tax impairment charges of \$0.1 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2007 and \$5.2 million for the quarter ended September 30, 2007 related to certain contract-based intangible assets.
- (3) Gives effect to the anticipated stock split and conversion of the Series B Convertible Preferred Stock into shares of our common stock in connection with the offering. The stock split reflected in the above pro forma net income (loss) per common share amounts reflects (i) the conversion mechanics applicable to the Series B Convertible Preferred Stock held by TA Associates, as described in Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions, (ii) the conversion of the remaining Series B Convertible Preferred Stock into an equal number of common shares, and (iii) a resulting 9.6259 to 1 stock split for all common shares, which will be effected immediately prior to the closing of the offering. Such amounts assume the offering occurs at the mid point of the price range reflected on the cover of this prospectus.
- (4) Excludes \$8.9 million, \$6.6 million, \$7.1 million, and \$6.6 million of depreciation, accretion, and amortization for the quarters ended March 31, 2006, June 30, 2006, September 30, 2006, and December 31, 2006, respectively.
- (5) Includes pre-tax impairment charge of \$2.8 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2006 related to certain contract-based intangible assets. Also includes \$4.8 million in other income for the quarter ended December 31, 2006 primarily related to settlement proceeds received from Winn-Dixie, one of our merchant customers, as part of its emergence from bankruptcy.
- (6) Excludes \$3.6 million, \$4.7 million, \$5.0 million, and \$7.3 million of depreciation, accretion, and amortization for the quarters ended March 31, 2005, June 30, 2005, September 30, 2005, and December 31, 2005, respectively.
- Includes write-off of deferred financing costs of \$0.2 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2005 and \$4.8 million for the quarter ended September 30, 2005.

UNAUDITED PRO FORMA CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The unaudited pro forma condensed consolidated financial statements give effect to the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction and the related financing transactions.

On July 20, 2007, we purchased substantially all of the assets of the financial services business of 7-Eleven (the 7-Eleven Financial Services Business) for approximately \$138.0 million in cash. That amount included a \$2.0 million payment for estimated acquired working capital and approximately \$1.0 million in other related closing costs. Subsequent to September 30, 2007, the working capital payment was reduced to \$1.3 million based on actual working capital amounts outstanding as of the acquisition date, thus reducing the Company s overall cost of the acquisition to \$137.3 million. Our receivable related to the working capital adjustment is currently reflected in our purchase price allocation as an additional current asset. The acquisition was funded by the sale of \$100.0 million 91/4% senior subordinated notes due 2013 Series B and borrowings under our revolving credit facility, which we amended prior to the acquisition. The unaudited pro forma condensed consolidated statements of operations for the year ended December 31, 2006 and nine months ended September 30, 2007, give effect to the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction and the related financing transactions as if they occurred on January 1, 2006. No unaudited pro forma condensed consolidated balance sheet has been presented as the effects of the above transactions have been fully reflected in our September 30, 2007 condensed consolidated balance sheet included elsewhere in this prospectus.

The 7-Eleven ATM Transaction has been accounted for using the purchase method of accounting and, accordingly, the tangible and intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed in such transaction were recorded at their estimated fair values as of the related acquisition date. The purchase price allocation reflected in the accompanying pro forma condensed consolidated financial statements is considered to be preliminary. The final purchase price allocation will be dependent upon, among other things, obtaining the final valuations for the acquired assets and assumed liabilities, which we expect to have completed within one year of closing. As such, the total estimated purchase price, as outlined in Note 2 to the unaudited pro forma condensed consolidated financial statements, has been allocated to the assets acquired and the liabilities assumed based on preliminary estimates of their fair values. This includes, among other things, estimations of the value of the acquired ATMs and Vcomtm units, which may ultimately differ significantly from the amounts shown herein. Any adjustments that result from the final valuation process for all of the acquired assets and assumed liabilities will change the purchase price allocation reflected herein, and thus would change the unaudited pro forma condensed consolidated financial statements reflected in this prospectus, and in particular, the depreciation and amortization expense amounts associated with the acquired assets.

We acquired substantially all of the assets of the 7-Eleven Financial Services Business, which operates approximately 3,500 ATMs that allow customers to carry out traditional ATM services and approximately 2,000 Vcomtm advanced-functionality machines that, in addition to traditional ATM services, provide Vcomtm Services.

Historically, 7-Eleven has received upfront placement fees from third-party service providers to help fund the development and implementation efforts surrounding the Vcomtm Services, which have been recognized as revenues in the accompanying historical financial statements of the 7-Eleven Financial Services Business. Although we may attempt to execute similar payment arrangements with the same (or new) service providers in the future, there is no guarantee that we will be successful in doing so. Accordingly, such upfront placement fees may not occur in the future, or may occur at lower levels than those realized historically. Reference is made to Note 1 in the notes to the unaudited pro forma condensed consolidated financial statements for additional information regarding the amount of upfront placement fees

that have been recognized in the historical financial statements of the 7-Eleven Financial Services Business.

We currently expect to incur operating losses associated with the Vcomtm Services portion of the acquired 7-Eleven ATM portfolio within the first 12-18 months subsequent to the acquisition date. While we plan to continue to operate the Vcomtm units and restructure the Vcomtm Services to improve the underlying financial results of that portion of the acquired business, we may be unsuccessful in this effort. In the event we are not able to improve the financial results of the acquired Vcomtm operations, and we incur cumulative losses of \$10.0 million associated with providing the Vcomtm Services, including \$1.5 million in contract termination costs, our current intent is to terminate the Vcomtm Services and utilize the Vcomtm machines solely to provide traditional ATM services. See Risk Factors Risks Related to Our Business In connection with the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction, we acquired advanced-functionality Vcomtm machines with significant potential for providing new services. Failure to achieve market acceptance among users could lead to continued losses from the Vcomtm Services, which could adversely affect our operating results.

The unaudited pro forma condensed consolidated statements of operations presented below are based on the assumptions and adjustments described in the accompanying notes. These unaudited pro forma condensed consolidated statements of operations are presented for illustrative purposes only and are not necessarily indicative of what our results of operations would have been had the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction and the related financing transactions been consummated on the dates indicated, nor are they necessarily indicative of what our results of operations will be in future periods. The unaudited pro forma condensed consolidated statements of operations do not contain any adjustments to reflect anticipated changes in operating costs or synergies anticipated as a result of the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction. Operating results for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 are not indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2007. The unaudited pro forma condensed consolidated statements of operations, and accompanying notes thereto, should be read in conjunction with the historical audited and unaudited financial statements, and accompanying notes thereto, of Cardtronics and the 7-Eleven Financial Services Business, all of which are included elsewhere in this prospectus.

CARDTRONICS, INC.

UNAUDITED PRO FORMA CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006 (in thousands)

| | ordtronics listorical | F S B | -Eleven inancial fervices Business re Note 1) | Pro Forma Adjustments | s Notes | Pr | o Forma |
|--|--------------------------|-------------|---|--------------------------|---------|----|-------------------|
| Revenues: | | | | | | | |
| ATM operating revenues Vcom tm operating revenues | \$ 280,985 | \$ | 135,976 27,686 | \$ | | \$ | 416,961 27,686 |
| ATM product sales and other revenues | 12,620 | | 27,000 | | | | 12,620 |
| Total revenues Cost of revenues: Cost of ATM operating revenues | 293,605 | | 163,662 | | | | 457,267 |
| (exclusive of depreciation, accretion, and amortization, shown separately below. | | | | | | | |
| See Note 7.) Cost of Vcom tm operating revenues | 209,850 | | 107,547 16,309 | (7,964) |) 2 | | 309,433 16,309 |
| Cost of ATM product sales and other revenues | 11,443 | | | | | | 11,443 |
| Total cost of revenues | 221,293 | | 123,856 | (7,964 |) | | 337,185 |
| Gross profit | 72,312 | | 39,806 | 7,964 | | | 120,082 |
| Operating expenses: Salling general and administrative | | | | | | | |
| Selling, general, and administrative expenses | 21,667 | | 5,913 | | | | 27,580 |
| Depreciation and accretion expense | 18,595 | | 12,649 | (7,542) |) 4 | | 23,702 |
| Amortization expense | 11,983 | | 3,171 | 8,143 | | | 23,297 |
| Total operating expenses | 52,245 | | 21,733 | 601 | | | 74,579 |
| Income from operations | 20,067 | | 18,073 | 7,363 | | | 45,503 |
| Interest expense, net | 25,072 | | 520 | 13,741 | 3 | | 39,333 |
| Other income, net | (4,986) | | | | | | (4,986) |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | (19) | | 17,553 | (6,378) |) | | 11,156 |
| Income tax provision (benefit) | 512 | | 6,776 | (2,630) |) 5 | | 4,658 |
| Net income (loss) | (531) | | 10,777 | (3,748) |) | | 6,498 |
| Preferred stock accretion expense | 265 | | | | | | 265 |
| Net income (loss) available to common | | | | | | | |
| stockholders | \$ (796) | \$ | 10,777 | \$ (3,748) |) | \$ | 6,233 |
| Table of Contonto | | | | | | | 70 |

| Net income (loss) per common share: Basic | \$ (0.46) | \$ | 3.56 |
|---|--------------|----|------------|
| Diluted | \$ (0.46) | \$ | 2.17 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding: Basic | 1,749,328 | | 1,749,328 |
| Diluted | 1,749,328 | | 2,872,271 |
| Pro forma share and per share data (see Note 6): Net income (loss) per common share: Basic | \$ (0.05) | \$ | 0.37 |
| Diluted | \$ (0.05) | \$ | 0.22 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding: Basic | 16,929,122 | | 16,929,122 |
| Diluted | 16,929,122 | | 27,796,403 |

See accompanying notes to unaudited pro forma condensed consolidated financial statements.

CARDTRONICS, INC.

UNAUDITED PRO FORMA CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS FOR THE NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2007 (in thousands)

| | Cardtronics | | 7-Eleven Financial Services Business (See Note | | Pro Forma | | | | |
|--|-------------|-------------------------|--|------------------|-----------|------------------|-------|----|---------------------------|
| | H | listorical | | 1) | Adj | justments | Notes | Pr | o Forma |
| Revenues: ATM operating revenues Vcom tm operating revenues ATM product sales and other revenues | \$ | 251,854 685 9,805 | \$ | 79,313 8,197 | \$ | | | \$ | 331,167 8,882 9,805 |
| Total revenues Cost of revenues: Cost of ATM operating revenues (exclusive of depreciation, accretion, and amortization, shown separately below. | | 262,344 | | 87,510 | | | | | 349,854 |
| See Note 7.) | | 191,046 | | 63,234 | | (4,389) | 2 | | 249,891 |
| Cost of Vcom tm operating revenues Cost of ATM product sales and other | | 2,644 | | 9,126 | | | | | 11,770 |
| revenues | | 9,196 | | | | | | | 9,196 |
| Total cost of revenues Gross profit Operating expenses: | | 202,886 59,458 | | 72,360 15,150 | | (4,389) 4,389 | | | 270,857 78,997 |
| Selling, general, and administrative expenses | | 20,985 | | 2,437 | | | | | 23,422 |
| Depreciation and accretion expense | | 18,541 | | 9,739 | | (6,923) | 4 | | 21,357 |
| Amortization expense | | 14,062 | | 346 | | 4,495 | 4 | | 18,903 |
| Total operating expenses | | 53,588 | | 12,522 | | (2,428) | | | 63,682 |
| Income from operations | | 5,870 | | 2,628 | | 6,817 | | | 15,315 |
| Interest expense, net | | 21,592 | | 100 | | 7,480 | 3 | | 29,172 |
| Other expense, net | | 751 | | | | | | | 751 |
| Income (loss) before income taxes Income tax provision (benefit) | | (16,473) 3,212 | | 2,528 976 | | (663) (976) | 5 | | (14,608) 3,212 |
| Net income (loss) Preferred stock accretion expense | | (19,685) 200 | | 1,552 | | 313 | | | (17,820) 200 |
| | \$ | (19,885) | \$ | 1,552 | \$ | 313 | | \$ | (18,020) |

| Net income (loss) available to common stockholders | | |
|--|---------------|---------------|
| Net income (loss) per common share: Basic | \$ (11.28) | \$ (10.23) |
| Diluted | \$ (11.28) | \$ (10.23) |
| Weighted average shares outstanding: Basic | 1,762,200 | 1,762,200 |
| Diluted | 1,762,200 | 1,762,200 |
| Pro forma share and per share date (see Note 6): Net income (loss) per common share: | | |
| Basic | \$ (1.17) | \$ (1.06) |
| Diluted | \$ (1.17) | \$ (1.06) |
| Weighted average shares outstanding: Basic | 17,053,695 | 17,053,695 |
| Diluted | 17,053,695 | 17,053,695 |

See accompanying notes to unaudited pro forma condensed consolidated financial statements.

CARDTRONICS, INC.

NOTES TO UNAUDITED PRO FORMA CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(1) The unaudited pro forma condensed consolidated financial statements combine the historical results of Cardtronics and the 7-Eleven Financial Services Business, and assume, for purposes of the pro forma condensed consolidated statements of operations, that the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction and the related financing transactions all occurred on January 1, 2006.

As discussed elsewhere in this prospectus, on July 20, 2007, we acquired substantially all of the assets associated with the 7-Eleven Financial Services Business, including approximately 3,500 ATMs that allow customers to carry out traditional ATM services and approximately 2,000 advanced-functionality Vcomtm machines that offer traditional ATM services, as well as some or all of the Vcomtm Services.

Historically, 7-Eleven has received upfront placement fees from third-party service providers to help fund the development and implementation efforts surrounding the Vcomtm Services, which have been recognized as revenues in the accompanying historical financial statements of the 7-Eleven Financial Services Business. However, it is uncertain as to whether such payments will occur in the future, or, if they do, whether such payments will occur at levels consistent with those seen in the past. During the year ended December 31, 2006 and the nine months ended September 30, 2007, the 7-Eleven Financial Services Business recognized approximately \$18.7 million and \$4.8 million, respectively, in revenues associated with such upfront placement fees, approximately \$18.0 million and \$4.2 million of which are related to arrangements that ended prior to our acquisition of the 7-Eleven Financial Services Business, and thus will not continue in the future. While we believe we will continue to earn some placement fee revenues related to the acquired 7-Eleven Financial Services Business, we expect those amounts to be substantially less than those earned historically. The exclusion of such fees (which were directly attributable to providing the Vcomtm Services) would have resulted in lower operating results for the 7-Eleven Financial Services Business.

Excluding the majority of the upfront placement fees, the Vcomtm Services have historically generated operating losses, including, based upon our analysis, \$6.6 million and \$7.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2006 and the nine months ended September 30, 2007, respectively. For the period from the acquisition (July 20, 2007) through September 30, 2007, the Vcomtm Services generated an operating loss of \$2.1 million. Despite these losses, we plan to continue to operate the Vcomtm units and restructure the Vcomtm Services to improve the underlying financial results of that portion of the acquired business. By continuing to provide the Vcomtm Services for the 12-18 months following the acquisition, we currently expect that we may incur up to \$10.0 million in operating losses, including \$1.5 million in contract termination costs. In the event we are unsuccessful in our efforts and our cumulative losses (including termination costs) reach \$10.0 million, our current intent is to terminate the Vcomtm Services, we believe that the financial results of the acquired 7-Eleven Financial Services Business could improve considerably.

(2) The reported amounts reflect the financing of and the preliminary allocation of the purchase price for the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction. Such acquisition was financed primarily through the issuance and sale of \$100.0 million 91/4% senior subordinated notes due 2013 Series B (the Series B Notes), and additional borrowings under our amended

NOTES TO UNAUDITED PRO FORMA CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

revolving credit facility. Our estimate of the total purchase price is summarized as follows (in thousands):

| Total cash consideration Working capital adjustment and other related closing costs | \$ 135,000 2,980 |
|---|------------------------|
| Total estimated purchase price of acquisition | \$ 137,980 |
| The total purchase price has been allocated on a preliminary basis as follows (in thousands): | |
| Current assets | \$ 13,549 |
| Property and equipment | 22,428 |
| Intangible assets: | |
| Customer contracts and relationships | 78,000 |
| Goodwill | 62,367 |
| Current liabilities | (19,167) |
| Other non-current liabilities | (19,197) |
| Total purchase price of acquisition | \$ 137,980 |

The preliminary allocation of the purchase price is pending completion of certain items, including the finalization of our valuation efforts for the tangible and intangible assets acquired. As such, there may be material changes to the initial allocation reflected above as those remaining items are finalized. Furthermore, the current allocations reflected above include \$7.8 million and \$11.7 million of additional other current liabilities and other long-term liabilities, respectively, related to certain unfavorable equipment leases and an operating contract assumed as part of the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction. The pro forma statements of operations include expense reductions of \$8.0 million and \$6.0 million for the pro forma year ended December 31, 2006 and pro forma nine months ended September 30, 2007 associated with the amortization of these liabilities to reduce the corresponding ATM operating expense amounts to fair value. Although these adjustments will serve to reduce the Company s future expenses recorded for the cost of ATM operating revenues, the Company will still be required to pay the higher rates stipulated in the assumed leases and contract for the remaining terms of such agreements, the substantial majority of which expire in 2009. Such adjustments are considered to be preliminary and thus, may change materially once the valuation of the acquired assets and assumed liabilities is finalized, and the final purchase price allocation is completed.

(3) The reported amounts reflect the issuance and sale of the Series B Notes and additional borrowings under our amended credit facility, which were utilized to fund the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction. The unaudited pro forma condensed consolidated statements of operations assume such debt was issued or borrowed on January 1, 2006.

NOTES TO UNAUDITED PRO FORMA CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The debt capitalization structure assumed to be outstanding for all periods presented in the above pro forma financial statements is as follows (in thousands):

| \$200.0 million 91/4% senior subordinated notes due 2013 issued in August 2005, net of the related discount | \$ 198,851 |
|---|------------|
| \$100.0 million 91/4% senior subordinated notes due 2013 Series B issued in July 2007, net of the | |
| related discount | 97,000 |
| Revolving credit facility (including additional borrowings to fund the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction) | 102,954 |
| Other long-term and current debt obligations, including capital lease obligations | 6,881 |
| Total pro forma debt | \$ 405,686 |

For purposes of computing the interest expense amounts associated with the above debt structure, a weighted-average rate of 9.03% has been utilized. Assuming an increase of 25 basis points in the floating borrowing rate under our revolving credit facility, pro forma interest expense would have increased by \$257,000 for the year ended December 31, 2006 and \$193,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2007.

NOTES TO UNAUDITED PRO FORMA CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The following reconciliation provides additional details behind the pro forma interest expense adjustment reflected in the accompanying unaudited pro forma condensed consolidated statement of operations for the periods presented (in thousands):

| | | ear Ended cember 31, 2006 | | e Months Ended tember 30, 2007 |
|---|----|---------------------------------|----|---|
| Interest expense associated with the senior subordinated notes issued in August 2005 (\$108.0 million at an affective interact rate of 0.4%) | \$ | 18 620 | \$ | 12 065 |
| August 2005 (\$198.9 million at an effective interest rate of 9.4%) Interest expense associated with the Series B Notes issued in July 2007 | Э | 18,620 | Ф | 13,965 |
| (\$97.0 million at an effective interest rate of 9.5%) | | 9,250 | | 6,937 |
| Interest expense associated with the pro forma revolving credit facility balance (\$103.0 million at an effective interest rate of 7.8%) Interest expense associated with other indebtedness, including acquired capital | | 8,030 | | 6,023 |
| lease obligations | | 651 | | 452 |
| Amortization of deferred financing costs associated with the Series B Notes issued in July 2007 and amended revolving credit facility (\$1.7 million and \$0.4 million amortized on a straight-line basis over 6 years and 5 years, | | | | |
| respectively) | | 353 | | 265 |
| Amortization of discount associated with the Series B Notes issued in July 2007 Amortization of deferred financing costs associated with the senior | | 500 | | 375 |
| subordinated notes issued in August 2005 and revolving credit facility | | 1,929 | | 1,155 |
| Pro forma interest expense Elimination of the historical interest expense of Cardtronics, Inc. and the | | 39,333 | | 29,172 |
| 7-Eleven Financial Services Business | | (25,592) | | (21,692) |
| Pro forma interest expense adjustment | \$ | 13,741 | \$ | 7,480 |

Future maturities of our pro forma long-term debt and capital lease obligations are as follows (in thousands):

| | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | Thereafter | Total |
|--|--------|----------|----------|----------|----------|------------|------------|
| Long-term debt and capital lease obligations | \$ 968 | \$ 1,454 | \$ 1,692 | \$ 1,327 | \$ 1,189 | \$ 403,205 | \$ 409,835 |

(4) The reported amounts reflect the adjustments to the historical depreciation and amortization expense resulting from the effects of the preliminary purchase price allocations associated with the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction. Such amounts are, therefore, subject to change, and may change materially once the valuation of the acquired assets and assumed liabilities is finalized and the final purchase price allocation is completed. The acquired tangible assets were assumed to have a weighted-average remaining useful life of approximately 5.0 years and are being depreciated on a straight-line basis over such period of time. The acquired intangible customer contract/relationship is estimated to have a ten year life and is being amortized over such period on a straight-line basis, consistent with our past practice. The

NOTES TO UNAUDITED PRO FORMA CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

reported amounts also reflect the depreciation and accretion amounts related to our estimated asset retirement obligations associated with the acquired ATMs and Vcomtm units.

(5) For the year ended December 31, 2006, the adjustment to income taxes reflects the statutory rates of 37.1% for our U.S. operations (including the acquired 7-Eleven Financial Services Business), 30.0% for our U.K. operations, and 0.0% for our Mexico operations. For the nine months ended September 30, 2007, the adjustment to income taxes reflects rates of 0.0% for our U.S. and Mexico operations and 30.0% for our U.K. operations. During the nine months ended September 30, 2007, we determined that a valuation allowance of approximately \$3.4 million should be established for our net deferred tax asset amounts in the U.S. based on our forecasted domestic pre-tax book loss for the remainder of 2007 and as a result of the additional losses expected to be incurred as a result of the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction. For our Mexico operations, all current and deferred tax benefits accruing to such operations have been fully reserved for due to the uncertain future utilization of such benefits.

(6) The pro forma share and per share information gives effect to the anticipated stock split and conversion of the Series B Convertible Preferred Stock into shares of our common stock in connection with the offering. The stock split reflected in the pro forma net income (loss) per share amounts reflects (i) the conversion mechanics applicable to the Series B Convertible Preferred Stock held by TA Associates, as described in Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions, (ii) the conversion of the remaining Series B Convertible Preferred Stock into an equal number of common shares, and (iii) a resulting 9.6259 to 1 stock split for all common shares, which will be effected immediately prior to the closing of the offering. Such amounts assume the offering occurs at the mid point of the price range reflected on the cover of this prospectus.

(7) The Company presents Cost of ATM operating revenues and Gross profit within its consolidated financial statements exclusive of depreciation, accretion and amortization. For the pro forma year ended December 31, 2006 and the pro forma nine month period ended September 30, 2007, the total depreciation, accretion, and amortization excluded from cost of ATM operating revenues and gross profit is \$45.6 million and \$39.0 million, respectively. These amounts include the depreciation and accretion related to assets under capital leases.

(8) Our Series B Convertible Preferred Stock is expected to convert into shares of our common stock immediately prior to our initial public offering. Of the 929,789 shares of Series B Convertible Stock outstanding as of September 30, 2007, 894,568 shares, which are held by TA Associates, are assumed to convert into 8,750,000 shares of common stock (on a split-adjusted basis) based on the midpoint of the estimated price range set forth on the cover of this prospectus.

In connection with the above assumed conversion, the total amount of our outstanding common stock and Series B Convertible Preferred Stock prior to the initial public offering (on both a converted and split-adjusted basis) will remain the same. Accordingly, the incremental shares received by TA Associates in connection with the above assumed beneficial conversion will total approximately \$1.4 million in value based on the mid point of the estimated price range set forth on the cover of this prospectus. Such amount would be reflected as a reduction of our net income (or an increase in our net loss) available to common shareholders immediately upon the conversion of TA Associates Series B Convertible Preferred Stock and the completion of our initial public offering.

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations contains forward-looking statements that are based on management s current expectation, estimates, and projections about our business and operations. Our actual results may differ materially from those currently anticipated and expressed in such forward-looking statements as a result of numerous factors, including those we discuss under Risk Factors and elsewhere in this prospectus. You should read the following discussion together with the financial statements and the related notes included elsewhere in this prospectus.

Our discussion and analysis includes the following:

Overview of Business Recent Events Impact of 7-Eleven ATM Transaction Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources Critical Accounting Polices and Estimates New Accounting Pronouncements Disclosure about Market Risk

We have also included a discussion of the recent 7-Eleven ATM Transaction and the related financing transactions in certain portions of the following discussion and analysis section in order to provide some detail on the impact such transactions are expected to have on our results of operations and liquidity and capital resource requirements. In some cases, certain unaudited pro forma financial and operational information has been presented herein as if the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction occurred on January 1, 2006. Such unaudited pro forma information is presented for illustrative purposes only and is not necessarily indicative of what our actual financial or operational results would have been had the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction been consummated on such date. Such unaudited pro forma information should be read in conjunction with the historical audited and unaudited financial statements, and accompanying notes thereto, of Cardtronics and the 7-Eleven Financial Services Business, all of which are included elsewhere in this prospectus.

Overview of Business

As of September 30, 2007, we operated a network of approximately 31,500 ATMs operating in all 50 states and within the United Kingdom and Mexico. Our extensive ATM network is strengthened by multi-year contractual relationships with a wide variety of nationally and internationally-known merchants pursuant to which we operate ATMs in their locations. We deploy ATMs under two distinct arrangements with our merchant partners: Company-owned and merchant-owned.

Company-Owned. Under a Company-owned arrangement, we own or lease the ATM and are responsible for controlling substantially all aspects of its operation. These responsibilities include what we refer to as first line

maintenance, such as replacing paper, clearing paper or bill jams, resetting the ATM, any telecommunications and power issues, or other maintenance activities that do not require a trained service technician. We are also responsible for what we refer to as second line maintenance, which includes more complex maintenance procedures that require trained service technicians and often involve replacing component parts. In addition to first and second line maintenance, we are responsible for cash, cash

loading, supplies, telecommunications service, and all other services required for the operation of the ATM, other than electricity. We typically pay a fee, either periodically, on a per-transaction basis or a combination of both, to the merchant on whose premises the ATM is physically located. We operate a limited number of our Company-owned ATMs on a merchant-assisted basis. In these arrangements, we own the ATM and provide all transaction processing services, but the merchant generally is responsible for providing and loading cash for the ATM and performing first line maintenance.

Typically, we deploy ATMs under Company-owned arrangements for our national and regional merchant customers. Such customers include 7-Eleven, BP Amoco, Chevron, Costco, CVS Pharmacy, Duane Reade, ExxonMobil, Hess Corporation, Rite Aid, Sunoco, Target, Walgreens, and Winn-Dixie in the United States; Alfred Jones, Martin McColl, McDonald s, The Noble Organisation, Odeon Cinemas, Spar, Tates, and Vue Cinemas in the United Kingdom; and Fragua and OXXO in Mexico. Because Company-owned locations are controlled by us (i.e., we control the uptime of the machines), are usually located in major national retail chains, and are thus more likely candidates for additional sources of revenue such as bank branding, they generally offer higher transaction volumes and greater profitability, which we consider necessary to justify the upfront capital cost of installing Company-owned machines. As of September 30, 2007, we operated approximately 19,600 ATMs under Company-owned arrangements.

Merchant-Owned. Under a merchant-owned arrangement, the merchant owns the ATM and is responsible for its maintenance and the majority of the operating costs; however, we generally continue to provide all transaction processing services and, in some cases, retain responsibility for providing and loading cash. We typically enter into merchant-owned arrangements with our smaller, independent merchant customers. In situations where a merchant purchases an ATM from us, the merchant normally retains responsibility for providing cash for the ATM. Because the merchant bears more of the costs associated with operating ATMs under this arrangement, the merchant typically receives a higher fee on a per-transaction basis than is the case under a Company-owned arrangement. In merchant-owned arrangements under which we have assumed responsibility for providing and loading cash and/or second line maintenance, the merchant receives a smaller fee on a per-transaction basis than in the typical merchant-owned arrangement. As of September 30, 2007, we operated approximately 11,900 ATMs under merchant-owned arrangements. The 7-Eleven ATM Transaction did not add any merchant-owned ATMs to our portfolio.

In the future, we expect the percentage of our Company-owned and merchant-owned arrangements to continue to fluctuate in response to the mix of ATMs we add through internal growth and acquisitions. While we may continue to add merchant-owned ATMs to our network as a result of acquisitions and internal sales efforts, our focus for internal growth will remain on expanding the number of Company-owned ATMs in our network due to the higher margins typically earned and the additional revenue opportunities available to us under Company-owned arrangements.

In-House Transaction Processing. We are in the process of converting our ATMs from various third-party transaction processing companies to our own in-house transaction processing platform, thus providing us with the ability to control the processing of transactions conducted in our network of ATMs. We expect that this will provide us with the ability to control the content of the information appearing on the screens of our ATMs, which should in turn serve to increase the types of products and services that we will be able to offer to financial institutions. For example, with the ability to control screen flow, we expect to be able to offer customized branding solutions to financial institutions, including one-to-one marketing and advertising services at the point of transaction. Additionally, we expect that this move will provide us with future operational cost savings in terms of lower overall processing costs. We currently expect that it will cost us approximately \$3.0 million to convert our current network

of ATMs over to our in-house transaction processing switch, of which approximately \$1.7 million has been incurred through September 30, 2007.

As our in-house transaction processing efforts are focused on controlling the flow and content of information on the ATM screen, we will continue to rely on third party service providers to handle the back-end connections to the electronic funds transfer (EFT) networks and various fund settlement and reconciliation processes for our Company-owned accounts. As of October 31, 2007, we had converted approximately 10,000 ATMs over to our in-house transaction processing switch, and we currently expect this initiative to be completed by December 31, 2008.

For a discussion of trends in the ATM industry, see The ATM Industry Recent Trends in the U.S. ATM Industry and The ATM Industry Developing Trends in the ATM Industry.

Components of Revenues, Cost of Revenues, and Expenses

Revenues

We derive our revenues primarily from providing ATM services and, to a lesser extent, from branding arrangements and sales of ATM equipment. We have historically classified revenues into two primary categories: ATM operating revenues and ATM product sales and other revenues. In reporting periods subsequent to the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction, we will have a separate revenue category for the advanced-functionality services provided through the acquired Vcomtm units.

ATM Operating Revenues. We present revenues from ATM services and branding arrangements as ATM operating revenues in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations. These revenues include the fees we earn per transaction on our network, fees we generate from network and bank branding arrangements, and fees earned from providing certain maintenance services. Our revenues from ATM services have increased rapidly in recent years due to the acquisitions we completed since 2001, as well as through internal expansion of our existing and acquired ATM networks. Our ATM operating revenues primarily consist of the three following components: surcharge revenue, interchange revenue, and branding revenue.

Surcharge Revenue. A surcharge fee represents a convenience fee paid by the cardholder for making a cash withdrawal from an ATM. Surcharge fees often vary by the type of arrangement under which we place our ATMs and can vary widely based on the location of the ATM and the nature of the contracts negotiated with our merchants. In the future, we expect that surcharge fees per surcharge-bearing transaction will vary depending upon negotiated surcharge fees at newly-deployed ATMs, the roll-out of additional branding arrangements, and future negotiations with existing merchant partners, as well as our ongoing efforts to improve profitability through improved pricing. For those ATMs that we own or operate on surcharge-free networks, we do not receive surcharge fees related to withdrawal transactions from cardholders who are participants of such networks, but rather we receive interchange and branding revenues (as discussed below). Surcharge fees in the United Kingdom are typically higher than the surcharge fees charged in the United States. In Mexico, surcharge fees are generally less than those charged in the United States.

Interchange Revenue. An interchange fee is a fee paid by the cardholder s financial institution for the use of the applicable EFT network that transmits data between the ATM and the cardholder s financial institution. We typically receive a majority of the interchange fee paid by the cardholder s financial institution, with the remaining portion being retained by the EFT network. In the United States and Mexico, interchange fees are earned not only on cash withdrawal transactions but on any ATM transaction, including balance inquiries, transfers, and surcharge-free transactions. In the United Kingdom, interchange fees are earned on all ATM transactions other than surcharge-bearing cash

withdrawals. Interchange fees are set by the EFT networks and vary according to EFT network arrangements with financial institutions, as well as the type of transaction. Such fees are typically lower (except for in the U.K.) for balance inquiries and fund transfers and higher for withdrawals transactions.

Branding Revenue. We generate branding revenue in a variety of ways. Under a bank branding agreement, ATMs that are owned and operated by us are branded with the logo of and operated as if they were owned by the branding financial institution. Customers of the branding institution can use those machines without paying a surcharge, and, in exchange, the financial institution pays us a monthly per-machine fee for such branding. We believe that this type of branding arrangement will typically result in an increase in transaction levels at the branded ATMs, as existing customers continue to use the ATMs and new customers of the branding financial institution are attracted by the surcharge-free service. Additionally, although we forego the surcharge fee on ATM transactions by the branding institution s customers, we continue to earn interchange fees on those transactions along with the monthly branding fee, and typically enjoy an increase in surcharge-bearing transactions from users who are not customers of the branding institution as a result of having a bank brand on our ATMs. Overall, based on the above, we believe a branding arrangement can substantially increase the profitability of an ATM versus operating the same machine in an unbranded mode. Fees paid for branding an ATM vary widely within our industry, as well as within our own operations. We expect that this variance in branding fees will continue in the future. However, because our strategy is to set branding fees at levels sufficient to offset lost surcharge revenue, we do not expect any such variance to cause a decrease in our total revenues.

We also generate branding revenue from the ATMs we include in our nationwide surcharge-free Allpoint network, of which we are the owner and largest ATM deployer, as well as our recently instituted MasterCard[®] surcharge-free network. Network branding is an arrangement where a financial institution s customers are allowed to use most of our nationwide ATM network on a surcharge-free basis. In the case of the Allpoint surcharge-free network, each participating financial institution pays us a fixed fee per cardholder to participate in the network. Under the MasterCard[®] surcharge-free network, we receive a fee from MasterCard[®] for each surcharge-free withdrawal transaction conducted on our network. Although we forego surcharge revenues on those transactions, we do earn interchange revenues in addition to network branding revenues, which are meant to compensate us for the loss of surcharge revenues. We believe that many of these surcharge-free transactions are represent withdrawal transactions from cardholders who have not previously utilized the underlying ATMs, and these increased transaction counts often more than offset the foregone surcharge. Consequently, we believe that network branding arrangements can enable us to profitably operate in the significant portion of the ATM transaction market that does not involve a surcharge.

The 7-Eleven ATMs that we acquired currently participate in the CO-OP[®] network, the nation s largest surcharge-free network devoted exclusively to credit unions. Additionally, in June 2006, 7-Eleven entered into an arrangement with Financial Services Centers Cooperative, Inc. (FSCC), a cooperative service organization providing shared branching services for credit unions, to provide virtual branching services through its Vcomtm machines for members of the FSCC network.

The following table sets forth information on our historical and pro forma surcharge, interchange, and branding revenues per withdrawal transaction for the periods indicated. The pro forma information presented below assumes the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction occurred effective January 1, 2006 but does not include any revenues and transactions associated with providing the Vcomtm advanced-functionality services for such periods.

| | | | | r Ende mber 3 | | | De | For Ye En | ro rma ear ded lber 3 f | Nine Months Ended tember 30 | Nine Months Ended tember 30 | ro Forma Nine Months Ended otember 30, |
|---|----|------------------------------|----|------------------------------|----|------------------------------|----|-----------------|--|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| | 2 | 2004 | 2 | 2005 | 2 | 2006 | | 20 | 06 | 2006 | 2007 | 2007 |
| Per withdrawal transaction⁽¹⁾: Surcharge revenue ⁽²⁾ Interchange revenue ⁽³⁾ Branding revenue ⁽⁴⁾ Other revenue ⁽⁵⁾ | \$ | 1.45 0.60 0.02 0.03 | \$ | 1.52 0.56 0.06 0.04 | \$ | 1.52 0.55 0.13 0.05 | | 5 | 1.39 0.57 0.18 0.03 | \$ 1.52 0.55 0.12 0.04 | \$ 1.40 0.57 0.20 0.04 | \$ 1.32 0.59 0.21 0.02 |
| Total ATM operating revenues | \$ | 2.10 | \$ | 2.18 | \$ | 2.25 | \$ | 5 | 2.17 | \$ 2.23 | \$ 2.21 | \$ 2.14 |

- ⁽¹⁾ Amounts calculated based on total withdrawal transactions, including surcharge withdrawal transactions and surcharge-free withdrawal transactions.
- (2) Excluding surcharge-free withdrawal transactions, the per transaction amounts would have been \$1.53, \$1.70, and \$1.80 for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2005 and 2006, respectively, \$1.77 and \$1.87 for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2007, respectively, and \$1.76 and \$1.84 for the pro forma year ended December 31, 2006 and pro forma nine months ended September 30, 2007, respectively.
- ⁽³⁾ Amounts calculated based on total interchange revenues earned on all transaction types, including withdrawals, balance inquiries, transfers, and surcharge-free transactions.
- ⁽⁴⁾ Amounts include all bank and network branding revenues, the majority of which are not earned on a per transaction basis.
- ⁽⁵⁾ Amounts include other miscellaneous ATM operating revenues.

The following table breaks down our total historical and pro forma ATM operating revenues into its various components for the years indicated:

| | | | | Pro |
|------------|-------|--------|--------|--------|
| | Pro | Nine | Nine | Forma |
| | Forma | Months | Months | Nine |
| | Year | | | Months |
| Year Ended | Ended | Ended | Ended | Ended |

n

| | De | cember 31, | D | December 31September 30September 30, | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|---------|------------|---------|--------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|--|--|--|--|
| | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2006 | 2006 | 2007 | 2007 | | | | |
| Surcharge revenues | 68.9% | 69.9% | 67.5% | 64.2% | 68.1% | 63.2% | 61.7% | | | | |
| Interchange revenues | 28.3 | 25.7 | 24.5 | 26.2 | 24.6 | 26.0 | 27.4 | | | | |
| Branding revenues | 1.3 | 2.6 | 6.0 | 8.3 | 5.3 | 9.2 | 9.7 | | | | |
| Other revenues | 1.5 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 1.3 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 1.2 | | | | |
| Total ATM operating revenues | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | | | | |
| revenues | 100.070 | 100.070 | 100.070 | 100.070 | 100.070 | 100.070 | 100.070 | | | | |

Vcomtm Operating Revenues. The 7-Eleven ATM Transaction provided us with approximately 2,000 advanced-functionality financial self-service kiosks branded as Vcoff terminals that, in addition to standard ATM services, offer more sophisticated financial services, including check cashing, money transfer, and bill payment services (collectively, the Vcoff Services). We plan to continue to offer some of the Vcoff Services, but in doing so, expect to incur operating losses associated with that portion of the acquired business. See Impact of 7-Eleven ATM Transaction below for additional information on the expected impact of the Vcoff Services on our future operating results.

The substantial majority of the historic revenues from the Vcomtm Services consist of upfront placement fees, which represent upfront payments from third-party service providers

associated with providing certain of the advanced-functionality services. Most of these fees consist of payments received by 7-Eleven from a telecommunications provider. Such fees were amortized to revenues over the underlying contractual period, and there are no more significant payments due to us under these contracts. Therefore, in order for such placement fees to be received in the future, new contracts must be negotiated, but such negotiation is not assured. Accordingly, the percentage of Vcomtm operating revenues related to placement fees are expected to be considerably lower in the future.

ATM Product Sales and Other Revenues. We present revenues from the sale of ATMs and other non-transaction based revenues as ATM product sales and other revenues in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations. These revenues consist primarily of sales of ATMs and related equipment to merchants operating under merchant-owned arrangements, as well as sales under our value-added reseller program with NCR. While we expect to continue to derive a portion of our revenues from direct sales of ATMs in the future, we expect that this source of revenue will not comprise a substantial portion of our total revenues in future periods.

Cost of Revenues

Our cost of revenues consists of those costs directly associated with ATM transactions completed on our ATM network. Such costs, which will also be incurred to handle transactions completed on the ATM and Vcomtm units acquired as part of the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction, include:

Merchant Fees. We pay our merchants a fee that depends on a variety of factors, including the type of arrangement under which the ATM is placed and the number of transactions at that ATM. The merchant fees to be paid to 7-Eleven pursuant to the placement agreement executed upon the closing of the transaction are consistent with the types and amounts of fees that are paid to our other merchant customers.

Processing Fees. We pay fees to third-party vendors for processing transactions originated at our ATMs. These vendors, which include Star Systems, Fiserv, RBSLynk (Lynk, a subsidiary of The Royal Bank of Scotland Group), and Elan Financial Services, communicate with the cardholder s financial institution through EFT networks to gain transaction authorization and to settle transactions. As previously noted, we are in the process of converting most of our ATMs over to our own in-house processing switch, which should result in a slight reduction in our overall processing costs in the future. For the acquired 7-Eleven ATMs, Fiserv is currently under contract to provide the transaction processing services through 2009. For the Vcomtm units, 7-Eleven utilizes its own in-house transaction processing switch, which we acquired as part of the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction, that is the same type of processing switch we utilize for our own in-house processing activities. Accordingly, we will continue to utilize this switch to process the transactions conducted on the acquired Vcomtm units subsequent to the acquisition.

Cost of Cash. Cost of cash includes all costs associated with our provision of vault cash for our ATMs, including fees for the use of cash, armored courier services, insurance, cash reconciliation, and associated wire fees. We entered into a new cash provider agreement with Wells Fargo Bank to provide vault cash for the ATM and Vcomtm units acquired from 7-Eleven. As the fees we pay under our contracts with our cash providers are based on market rates of interest, changes in interest rates could affect our cost of cash. However, we have entered into a number of interest rate swap transactions to hedge our exposure through 2010 on varying amounts of our current and anticipated outstanding domestic ATM cash balances, including the acquired 7-Eleven ATMs.

Communications. Under our Company-owned arrangements, we are generally responsible for expenses associated with providing telecommunications capabilities to the ATMs, allowing the ATMs to connect with the applicable EFT network.

Repairs and Maintenance. Depending on the type of arrangement with the merchant, we may be responsible for first and/or second line maintenance for the ATM. We typically use third parties with national operations to provide these services. Our primary maintenance vendors are Diebold, NCR, and Pendum. NCR will serve as the primary maintenance provider for the acquired 7-Eleven ATMs.

Direct Operations. These expenses consist of costs associated with managing our ATM network, including expenses for monitoring the ATMs, program managers, technicians, and customer service representatives.

Cost of Equipment Revenue. In connection with the sale of equipment to merchants and value added resellers, we incur costs associated with purchasing equipment from manufacturers, as well as delivery and installation expenses.

We define variable costs as those incurred on a per transaction basis. Processing fees and the majority of merchant fees fall under this category. Processing fees and merchant fees accounted for approximately 52.7% of our cost of ATM operating revenues (exclusive of depreciation, accretion, and amortization) for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 (53.6% on a pro forma basis for the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction). Therefore, we estimate that approximately 47.3% (or 46.4% on a pro forma basis) of our cost of ATM operating revenues is generally fixed in nature, meaning that any significant decrease in transaction volumes would lead to a decrease in the profitability of our ATM service operations, unless there were an offsetting increase in per-transaction revenues or decrease in our fixed costs. The inclusion of depreciation, accretion, and amortization expense for ATMs and ATM-related assets in our cost of ATM operating revenues would have increased the percentage of our cost of ATM operating revenues that we consider fixed in nature by approximately 7.4% for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 (or 7.2% on a pro forma basis).

The profitability of any particular ATM location, and of our entire ATM services operation, is driven by a combination of surcharge, interchange, and branding revenues, as well as the level of our related costs. Accordingly, material changes in our average surcharge fee or average interchange fee may be offset by branding or other ancillary revenues, or by changes in our cost structure. Because a variance in our average surcharge fee or our average interchange fee is not necessarily indicative of a commensurate change in our profitability, you should consider these measures only in the context of our overall financial results.

Indirect Operating Expenses

Our indirect operating expenses include general and administrative expenses related to administration, salaries, benefits, advertising and marketing, depreciation of the ATMs we own, amortization of our acquired merchant contracts, and interest expense related to borrowings under our bank credit facility and our senior subordinated notes. We depreciate our capital equipment on a straight-line basis over the estimated life of such equipment and amortize the value of acquired merchant contracts over the estimated lives of such assets.

Recent Events

7-*Eleven ATM Transaction.* On July 20, 2007, we purchased substantially all of the assets of the financial services business of 7-Eleven for approximately \$138.0 million in cash. Such amount included a \$2.0 million payment for estimated acquired working capital and approximately \$1.0 million in other related closing costs. Subsequent to

Table of Contents

September 30, 2007, the working capital payment was reduced to \$1.3 million based on the actual working capital

amounts outstanding as of the acquisition date, thus reducing the Company s overall cost of the acquisition to \$137.3 million. The 7-Eleven ATM Transaction included approximately 5,500 ATMs located in 7-Eleven stores throughout the United States, of which approximately 2,000 are advanced-functionality Vcomtm terminals. In connection with the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction, we entered into a placement agreement that will provide us, subject to certain conditions, a ten-year exclusive right to operate all ATMs and Vcomtm units in 7-Eleven locations throughout the United States, including any new stores opened or acquired by 7-Eleven.

The operating results of our United States segment now include the results of the traditional ATM operations of the acquired 7-Eleven Financial Services Business, including the traditional ATM activities conducted on the Vcomtm units. Additionally, as a result of the distinctly different functionality provided by and expected economic results of the Vcomtm Services, such operations have been identified as a separate reportable segment. Because of the significance of this acquisition, our operating results for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2007 and our future operating results will not be comparable to our historical results. In particular, we expect a number of our revenue and expense line items to increase substantially as a result of the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction, such amounts will initially be substantially offset by higher operating expense amounts, including higher selling, general, and administrative expense amounts will increase significantly as a result of the tangible and intangible assets recorded as part of the acquisition. Furthermore, because we financed the acquisition through the issuance of additional senior subordinated notes and borrowings under our amended revolving credit facility, our interest expense, including the amortization of the related deferred financing costs, will increase significantly.

Historically, the Vcom^{Im} Services have generated operating losses (excluding upfront placement fees, which are unlikely to recur at such levels in the future). We estimate that such losses totaled approximately \$6.6 million and \$7.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2006 and the nine months ended September 30, 2007, respectively. Despite these losses, we plan to continue to operate the Vcom^{Im} units and restructure the Vcom^{Im} Services to improve the underlying financial results of that portion of the acquired business. By continuing to provide the Vcom^{Im} Services for a period of 12-18 months following the acquisition, we currently expect that we may incur up to \$10.0 million in operating losses, including potential contract termination costs. Subsequent to our acquisition on July 20, 2007 and through September 30, 2007, the Vcom^{Im} Services generated an operating loss of \$2.1 million, a level consistent with our expectations at closing. In the event we are unsuccessful in our efforts and our cumulative losses reach \$10.0 million (including termination costs which we currently estimate would be approximately \$1.5 million), our current intent is to terminate the Vcom^{Im} Services, we believe that the financial results of the acquired 7-Eleven operations would improve considerably. However, until the Vcom^{Im} Services are successfully restructured or terminated, they are expected to have a continuing negative impact on our ongoing domestic operating results and related margins.

Financing Transactions. On July 20, 2007, we sold \$100.0 million of 91/4% senior subordinated notes due 2013 Series B (the Series B Notes) pursuant to Rule 144A of the Securities Act of 1933 to help fund the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction. The form and terms of the Series B Notes are substantially the same as the form and terms of the \$200.0 million senior subordinated notes issued in August 2005, except that (i) the notes issued in August 2005 have been registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission while the Series B Notes remain subject to transfer restrictions until we complete an exchange offer, and (ii) the Series B Notes were issued with Original Issue Discount and have an effective yield of 9.54%. We agreed to

file a registration statement with the SEC within 240 days of the issuance of the Series B Notes with respect to an offer to exchange each of the Series B Notes for a new issue of our debt securities registered under the Securities Act with terms identical to those of the Series B Notes (except for the provisions relating to the transfer restrictions and payment of additional interest) and to use reasonable best efforts to have the exchange offer become effective as soon as reasonably practicable after filing but in any event no later than 360 days after the initial issuance date of the Series B Notes. If we fail to satisfy our registration obligations, we will be required, under certain circumstances, to pay additional interest to the holders of the Series B Notes.

In July 2007, in conjunction with the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction, we amended our revolving credit facility to, among other things, (i) increase the maximum borrowing capacity under the revolver from \$125.0 million to \$175.0 million in order to partially finance the 7-Eleven ATM transaction and to provide additional financial flexibility, (ii) increase the amount of indebtedness (as defined in the Credit Agreement) to allow for the new issuance of the notes described above, (iii) extend the term of the Credit Agreement from May 2010 to May 2012, (iv) increase the amount of capital expenditures we can incur on a rolling 12-month basis from \$60.0 million to a maximum of \$75.0 million, and (v) amend certain restrictive covenants contained within the facility. This amendment, which was contingent upon the closing of the acquisition of the ATM business of 7-Eleven, became effective on July 20, 2007.

In May 2007, we amended our revolving credit facility to modify, among other items, (i) the interest rate spreads on outstanding borrowings and other pricing terms, and (ii) certain restrictive covenants contained within the facility. Such modification will allow for reduced interest expense in future periods, assuming a constant level of borrowing.

Merchant-Owned Account Attrition. In general, we have experienced nominal turnover among our customers with whom we enter into Company-owned arrangements and have been very successful in negotiating contract renewals with those customers. Conversely, we have historically experienced a higher turnover rate among our smaller merchant-owned customers, with our domestic merchant-owned account base declining by approximately 1,000 machines from September 30, 2006 to September 30, 2007. While part of this attrition was due to an internal initiative launched by us in 2006 to identify and either restructure or eliminate certain underperforming merchant-owned accounts, an additional driver of this attrition was local and regional independent ATM service organizations that are targeting our smaller merchant-owned accounts upon the termination of the merchant s contracts with us, or upon a change in the merchant s ownership, which can be a common occurrence. Accordingly, we launched an internal initiative to identify and retain those merchant-owned accounts where we believed it made economic sense to do so. Our retention efforts to date have been successful, as we have seen a decline in the attrition rates in the first nine months of 2007 compared to the same period in 2006. Specifically, our attrition rate during the nine months ended September 30, 2007 was approximately 500 ATMs compared to over 1,500 ATMs during 2006. However, we still cannot predict whether such efforts will continue to be successful in reducing the attrition rate. Furthermore, because of our efforts to eliminate certain underperforming accounts, we may continue to experience a downward trend in our merchant-owned account base for the foreseeable future. Finally, because the EFT networks have required that all ATMs be Triple-DES compliant by the end of 2007, it is likely that we will lose some additional merchant-owned accounts during the remainder of this year as some merchants with low transacting ATMs may decide to dispose of their ATMs rather than incur the costs to upgrade or replace their existing machines.

Intangible Asset Impairments. During the nine months ended September 30, 2007, we recorded approximately \$5.3 million of impairment charges related to our intangible assets, of which \$5.1 million relates to our merchant contract with Target, which was acquired in 2004. We have continued to monitor the ATM operations agreement with this particular merchant

customer as the future cash flows associated with that contract may be insufficient to support the related unamortized intangible and tangible asset values. We have also been in discussions with this particular merchant customer regarding additional services that could be offered under the existing contract to increase the number of transactions conducted on, and cash flows generated by, the underlying ATMs. However, we were unable to make any progress in this regard during the quarter ended September 30, 2007, and, based on discussions that have been held with this merchant, have concluded that the likelihood of being able to provide such additional services has decreased considerably. Furthermore, average monthly transaction volumes associated with this particular contract have continued to decrease in 2007 when compared to the same period last year. Accordingly, we concluded that the above impairment charge was warranted as of September 30, 2007. The impairment charge recorded served to write-off the remaining unamortized intangible asset associated with this merchant.

We plan to continue to work with this merchant customer to offer the additional services noted above, which we believe could significantly increase the future cash flows earned under this contract. Absent our ability to do this, we will attempt to restructure the terms of the existing contract in an effort to improve the underlying cash flows associated with such contract.

Valuation Allowance. During the nine months ended September 30, 2007, we recorded a \$3.4 million valuation allowance to reserve for the estimated net deferred tax asset balance associated with our domestic operations. Such adjustment was based, in part, on the expectation of increased pre-tax book losses through the remainder of 2007, primarily as a result of the additional interest expense associated with the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction, coupled with the anticipated losses associated with the acquired Vcomtm operations.

Impact of 7-Eleven ATM Transaction

As outlined above, on July 20, 2007, we purchased substantially all of the assets of the 7-Eleven Financial Services Business. Because of the significance of this acquisition, our historical operating results are not expected to be indicative of our future operating results. In particular, we expect a number of our revenue and expense line items to increase substantially upon the consummation of this acquisition. The following table reflects our historical operating results for selected income statement line items for the year ended December 31, 2006, and the same line items on a pro forma basis assuming the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction and the related financing transactions occurred effective January 1, 2006. Such pro forma amounts exclude the majority of the upfront placement fee revenues associated with the acquired Vcomtm operations in an effort to depict the potential on-going operating results of the acquired 7-Eleven ATM operations.

| | Year Ended 2 | Decei 006 | mber 31, | | | |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|------------------------|--|--|--|
| | Actual | o Forma naudited) | | | | |
| | (in the | (in thousands) | | | | |
| Revenues | \$ 293,605 | \$ | 439,285(1) | | | |
| Cost of revenues (exclusive of depreciation and amortization expense, shown | | | | | | |
| separately below) | 221,293 | | 337,185 | | | |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 21,667 | | 27,580 | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization expense | 30,578 | | 46,999 | | | |
| Interest expense | 25,072 | | 39,333 | | | |
| Loss before income taxes | (19) | | (6,826) ⁽¹⁾ | | | |

(1) Excludes \$18.0 million of upfront placement fees associated with the acquired Vcomtm operations.

While our revenues and gross profits are expected to increase substantially as a result of the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction, such amounts will initially be substantially offset by higher operating expense amounts, including higher selling, general, and administrative expenses associated with running the combined operations. Additionally, we expect depreciation, amortization, and accretion expense amounts to increase significantly as a result of the tangible and intangible assets recorded as part of the acquisition. Furthermore, because we financed this acquisition with the issuance of our Series B Notes, along with borrowings under our amended revolving credit facility, we expect that our interest expense, including the amortization of the related deferred financing costs, will increase significantly.

Excluding the majority of the upfront placement fees, the Vcomtm Services have historically generated operating losses, including, based upon our analysis, \$6.6 million and \$7.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2006 and the nine months ended September 30, 2007, respectively. Despite these losses, we plan to continue to operate the Vcomtm units following the completion of the acquisition and restructure the Vcomtm Services to improve the underlying financial results of that portion of the acquired business. By continuing to provide the Vcomtm Services for the 12-18 months following the acquisition, we currently expect that we may incur up to \$10.0 million in operating losses, including \$1.5 million in contract termination costs. However, in the event we are unsuccessful in our efforts and our cumulative losses (including termination costs) reach \$10.0 million, our current intent is to terminate the Vcomtm Services and utilize the existing Vcomtm machines to provide traditional ATM services. If we terminate the Vcomtm Services, we believe that the financial results of the acquired 7-Eleven Financial Services Business could considerably improve.

Results of Operations

The following table sets forth our statement of operations information as a percentage of total revenues for the periods indicated. Figures may not add due to rounding.

| | | nded Decemb | Nine Months Ended September 30, | | |
|--|-------|-------------|------------------------------------|----------------|--------|
| | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2006 | 2007 |
| Revenues: | | | | | |
| ATM operating revenues | 94.7% | 96.3% | 95.7% | 95.8% | 96.0% |
| Vcom tm operating revenues | | | | | 0.3 |
| ATM product sales and other revenues | 5.3 | 3.7 | 4.3 | 4.2 | 3.7 |
| Total revenues | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Cost of revenues: | | | | | |
| Cost of ATM operating revenues (exclusive of | | | | | |
| depreciation, accretion, and amortization, shown | | | | | |
| separately below) ⁽¹⁾ | 74.4 | 74.3 | 71.5 | 71.9 | 72.8 |
| Cost of Vcom tm operating revenues | | 2.6 | 2.0 | 2 5 | 1.0 |
| Cost of ATM product sales and other revenues | 4.5 | 3.6 | 3.9 | 3.7 | 3.5 |
| Total cost of revenues | 78.9 | 77.9 | 75.4 | 75.6 | 77.3 |
| Gross profit | 21.1 | 22.1 | 24.6 | 24.4 | 22.7 |
| Operating expenses: | | | 2 | | |
| Selling, general, and administrative expenses | 7.0 | 6.6 | 7.4 | 7.2 | 8.0 |
| Depreciation and accretion expense | 3.5 | 4.8 | 6.3 | 6.4 | 7.1 |
| Amortization expense ⁽²⁾ | 2.9 | 3.3 | 4.1 | 4.4 | 5.4 |
| Total operating expenses | 13.4 | 14.7 | 17.8 | 18.0 | 20.4 |
| Income from operations | 7.7 | 7.4 | 6.8 | 6.4 | 2.2 |
| Other expense (income): Interest expense, net | 2.7 | 8.4 | 8.5 | 8.6 | 8.2 |
| Minority interest in subsidiary | 2.1 | 0.4 | (0.1) | (0.1) | (0.1) |
| Other, net | 0.1 | 0.4 | (0.1) (1.6) | (0.1) (0.3) | 0.4 |
| other, net | 0.1 | 0.4 | (1.0) | (0.3) | 0.4 |
| Total other expense | 2.8 | 8.8 | 6.8 | 8.2 | 8.5 |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | 4.9 | (1.4) | | (1.8) | (6.3) |
| Income tax provision (benefit) | 1.9 | (0.5) | (0.2) | (0.6) | 1.2 |
| Net income (loss) | 3.0% | (0.9)% | (0.2)% | (1.2)% | (7.5)% |

- (1) Excludes effects of depreciation, accretion, and amortization expense of \$11.4 million, \$20.6 million, and \$29.2 million for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2005, and 2006, respectively, and \$22.6 million and \$31.3 million for the nine month periods ended September 30, 2006 and 2007, respectively. The inclusion of this depreciation, accretion, and amortization expense in Cost of ATM operating revenues would have increased our Cost of ATM operating revenues as a percentage of total revenues by 5.9%, 7.7%, and 9.9% for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2005, and 2006, respectively, and 11.9% for the nine month periods ended September 30, 2006 and 2007, respectively.
- (2) Includes pretax impairment charges of \$1.2 million and \$2.8 million in 2005 and 2006, respectively, and \$2.8 million and \$5.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2007, respectively.

Key Operating Metrics

We rely on certain key measures to gauge our operating performance, including total withdrawal transactions, withdrawal transactions per ATM, gross profit, gross profit margin per withdrawal transaction, and gross profit per ATM. The following table sets forth these measures based on our historical results for the periods indicated and the same measures for the year ended December 31, 2006 and the nine months ended September 30, 2007 on a pro forma basis giving effect to the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction as if it had occurred on January 1, 2006:

| | | | | | Pro Forma Year Ended | Nine Mon | ths E | nded | N | Pro Forma Nine Aonths Ended |
|---|----------------|---------------------------------|---------|-------------------|-------------------------------|------------|-------------|-----------------------|----|---|
| | Year 2 2004 | Ended December 31, 2005 2006 | | ember 31, 2006 | September 30, 2006 200 | | 30, 2007 | September 30, 2007 | | |
| Average number of transacting ATMs Total transactions (in | 17,936 | | 26,164 | 25,778 | 31,301 | 25,913 | | 27,149 | | 31,033 |
| thousands) | 111,577 | | 156,851 | 172,808 | 264,431 | 128,539 | | 166,183 | | 222,360 |
| Monthly total transactions per ATM ⁽¹⁾ Total withdrawal transactions | 518 | | 500 | 559 | 704 | 551 | | 680 | | 796 |
| (in thousands) Monthly withdrawal | 86,821 | | 118,960 | 125,078 | 192,107 | 93,756 | | 113,934 | | 155,100 |
| transactions per ATM Per withdrawal | 403 | | 379 | 404 | 511 | 402 | | 466 | | 555 |
| transaction: ATM operating revenues Cost of ATM operating revenues (exclusive of | \$ 2.10 | \$ | 2.18 | \$ 2.25 | \$ 2.17 | \$ 2.23 | \$ | 2.21 | \$ | 2.14 |
| depreciation, accretion, and amortization) ⁽²⁾ | 1.65 | | 1.68 | 1.68 | 1.61 | 1.67 | | 1.68 | | 1.62 |
| ATM operating gross profit ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾ | \$ 0.45 | \$ | 0.50 | \$ 0.57 | \$ 0.56 | \$ 0.56 | \$ | 0.53 | \$ | 0.52 |
| Per ATM per month: ATM operating revenues Cost of ATM operating revenues (exclusive of depreciation, | \$ 849 | \$ | 825 | \$ 908 | \$ 1,110 | \$ 898 | \$ | 1,031 | \$ | 1,186 |
| accretion, and amortization) ⁽⁵⁾ | 667 | | 636 | 678 | 825 | 674 | | 782 | | 895 |
| ATM operating gross profit ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾ | \$ 182 | \$ | 189 | \$ 230 | \$ 285 | \$ 224 | \$ | 249 | \$ | 291 |

| ATM operating gross profit margin (exclusive of depreciation, accretion, | | | | | | | |
|--|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| and amortization) ⁽²⁾⁽⁴⁾ ATM operating gross profit margin (inclusive | 21.4% | 22.9% | 25.3% | 25.8% | 25.0% | 24.1% | 24.5% |
| of depreciation, accretion, and amortization) ⁽⁶⁾ | 15.2% | 14.9% | 14.9% | 14.9% | 14.2% | 11.7% | 12.8% |

- (1) The historical 2007 average number of transacting ATMs for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 includes the ATMs acquired in the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction beginning from the acquisition date (July 20, 2007) and continuing through September 30, 2007. The historical 2006 average numbers of transacting ATMs for the year ended December 31, 2006 and nine months ended September 30, 2006 includes the ATMs of our Mexico operations beginning from the acquisition date (February 8, 2006) and continuing through December 31, 2006 and September 30, 2006, respectively.
- (2) Excludes effects of depreciation, accretion, and amortization expense of \$11.4 million, \$20.6 million, and \$29.2 million for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2005, and 2006, respectively, \$45.6 million for the pro forma year ended December 31, 2006, \$22.6 million and \$31.3 million for the nine month periods ended September 30, 2006 and 2007, respectively, and \$39.0 million for the pro forma nine month period ended September 30, 2007. The inclusion of this depreciation, accretion, and amortization expense in Cost of ATM operating revenues would have increased our Cost of ATM operating revenues per withdrawal transaction and decreased our ATM operating gross profit per withdrawal transaction by \$0.13, \$0.17, and \$0.23 for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2005, and 2006, respectively, \$0.24 for the pro forma year ended December 31, 2006, \$0.24 and \$0.27 for the nine month periods ended September 30, 2007.

- (3) ATM operating gross profit is a measure of profitability that uses only the revenues and expenses that are transaction-based. The revenues and expenses from ATM equipment sales, Vcomtm Services, and other ATM-related services are not included.
- (4) The increase in ATM operating gross profit margin (exclusive of depreciation, accretion, and amortization) in 2006 when compared to 2005 is due to the increases in revenues associated with the Company s bank and network branding initiatives, increased surcharge rates in selected merchant retail locations, and higher gross profit margins associated with our United Kingdom portfolio of ATMs (which was acquired in May 2005). The decrease in ATM operating gross profit margins in 2007 is primarily due to higher vault cash costs and costs incurred in connection with our Triple-DES upgrade and in-house processing conversion costs.
- (5) The inclusion in Cost of ATM operating revenues of the depreciation, accretion, and amortization expensed referenced in Note 2 above would have increased our Cost of ATM operating revenues per ATM per month and decreased our ATM operating gross profit per ATM per month by \$53, \$66, and \$94 for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2005, and 2006, respectively, \$121 for the pro forma year ended December 31, 2006, \$97 and \$128 for the nine month periods ended September 30, 2006 and 2007, respectively, and \$140 for the pro forma nine month period ended September 30, 2007.
- (6) The decrease in ATM operating gross profit margin (inclusive of depreciation, accretion, and amortization) in 2007 when compared to 2006 is primarily due to higher depreciation and accretion expense associated with recent ATM deployments in the United Kingdom and Mexico, which have yet to achieve the higher consistent recurring transaction levels seen in our more mature ATMs, and the incremental amortization expense related to an intangible asset impairment recorded in the third quarter of 2007.

Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2007 and 2006

Revenues

| | Three Mor | nths Ended Sep | tember 30, % | Nine Months Ended September 30, $\%$ | | | | |
|--|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--|--|
| | 2006 (in tho | 2007 ousands) | Change | 2006 (in tho | 2007 usands) | Change | | |
| ATM operating revenues Vcom operating revenues ATM product sales and | \$ 72,887 | \$ 106,234 685 | 45.8% 100.0% | \$ 209,542 | \$ 251,854 685 | 20.2% 100.0% | | |
| other revenues | 3,478 | 3,668 | 5.5% | 9,218 | 9,805 | 6.4% | | |
| Total revenues | \$ 76,365 | \$ 110,587 | 44.8% | \$ 218,760 | \$ 262,344 | 19.9% | | |

ATM operating revenues. For the three month period ended September 30, 2007, our ATM operating revenues increased 45.8% when compared with the same period in prior year. This increase was a result of approximately 55% growth in ATM operating revenues generated by our international operations, 50% growth in bank and networking branding revenues generated by our pre-existing domestic business (i.e., our domestic portfolio prior to the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction), and \$29.4 million of incremental revenues as a result of our July 2007 acquisition of the ATM operations of the 7-Eleven Financial Services Business.

During the three months ended September 30, 2007, our United States segment experienced a \$26.9 million, or 44.2%, increase in ATM operating revenues over the same period in prior year. This increase was primarily the result of the incremental revenues earned during the period as a result of our July 2007 acquisition of the ATM operations of the 7-Eleven Financial Services Business, which generated \$26.4 million of surcharge and interchange revenues and \$3.0 million of bank and network branding revenues during the third quarter. Additionally, bank and network branding revenues generated by our pre-existing domestic operations increased \$2.3 million, or approximately 50%, when compared to the third quarter of 2006, as a result of additional branding agreements entered into with financial institutions during the past twelve months. The incremental ATM-related revenues resulting from the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction and additional branding agreements were partially offset by lower revenues from our pre-existing domestic operations. which experienced a year-over-year decline in surcharge, interchange, and other transaction-based revenues primarily as a result of the decrease in the number of transacting merchant-owned ATMs under contract by 1,000 ATMs from September 30, 2006 to September 30, 2007. The lower machine count resulted in a decline in ATM operating revenues from our merchant-owned ATM base by roughly \$3.4 million, or 12.8%,

compared to the same period in the prior year. In the future, we expect that revenues from the additional opportunities afforded to us as a result of the increase in our Company-owned machine count, which include bank and networking branding arrangements, will more than offset the decline in revenues resulting from the decreased number of merchant-owned machines.

During the three months ended September 30, 2007, our United Kingdom segment experienced a \$5.4 million, or 46.5%, increase in ATM operating revenues over the same period in 2006. This increase primarily resulted from a 48% increase in the average number of transacting ATMs compared to the same period in 2006 due to the deployment of additional ATMs during the latter half of 2006 and first nine months of 2007. Also contributing to the increase were favorable foreign currency exchange rates during the period, which contributed to approximately 23% of the \$5.4 million increase in ATM operating revenues from our United Kingdom segment over the same period in 2006. Our Mexico operations further contributed to the increase in ATM operating revenues for the three months ended September 30, 2007, as the surcharge and interchange amounts earned were approximately \$1.0 million higher than the same period in 2006. This increase in revenues was the result of the additional ATM deployments in 2006 and 2007. We expect that the ATM operating revenues generated by our international operations will continue to increase, as we deploy additional ATMs in the United Kingdom and Mexico. Additionally, we anticipate that our future ATM operating revenues will increase as a result of the transaction ramping associated with our recently-deployed international ATMs, which typically take up to nine months to reach consistent monthly transaction levels.

For the nine month period ended September 30, 2007, our ATM operating revenues increased 20.2% when compared with the same period in prior year. This increase was a result of approximately 62% growth in ATM operating revenues generated by our international operations, 81% growth in bank and networking branding revenues generated by our pre-existing domestic business, and \$29.4 million of incremental revenues as a result of our July 2007 acquisition of the ATM operations of the 7-Eleven Financial Services Business.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2007, our United States segment experienced a \$24.0 million, or 13.7%, increase in ATM operating revenues over the same period in prior year. In addition to the \$29.4 million of incremental surcharge, interchange, and branding revenues described above as a result of our acquisition of the ATM operations of the 7-Eleven Financial Services Business in July 2007, our pre-existing domestic operations generated a \$9.0 million, or 81.3%, increase in bank and network branding revenues when compared to the same period in 2006. These incremental branding revenues were a result of additional branding agreements entered into with financial institutions during the past twelve months. As was the case during the three months ended September 30, 2007, the overall increase in ATM operating revenues from our pre-existing domestic operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 were partially offset by lower revenues associated with our merchant-owned operations as a result of the decrease in the number of transacting merchant-owned ATMs within the United States. For the nine months ended September 30, 2007, ATM operating revenues from our merchant-owned base declined roughly \$9.4 million, or 11.6%, compared to the same period in prior year.

Also contributing to the increase in ATM operating revenues for the nine months ended September 30, 2007, were higher surcharge and interchange revenues from our United Kingdom operations, which increased \$16.2 million, or 55.3%, primarily due to a 39.7% increase in the average number of transacting ATMs in 2007 when compared to the same period in 2006. Foreign currency exchange rates also favorably impacted the year-to-date revenues, contributing approximately 24% of the \$16.2 million increase in ATM operating revenues from our United Kingdom operations. Our Mexico operations further contributed to

the increase in ATM operating revenues, generating \$2.1 million in additional revenues in 2007 compared to the same period in 2006.

Vcomtm operating revenues. Vcomtm operating revenues generated during the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2007 were primarily attributable to check cashing fees earned by our Advanced Functionality segment during the period. We are currently working to restructure the Vcomtm Services to improve the underlying financial results of that portion of the acquired business. In the event we are unsuccessful in our efforts and our cumulative losses, including potential termination costs, reach \$10.0 million, our intent is to terminate the Vcomtm Services.

ATM product sales and other revenues. ATM product sales and other revenues for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2007 increased approximately 5.5% and 6.4% when compared to the same period in 2006. Such increases were primarily due to higher year-over-year value-added reseller (VAR) program sales and additional sales of used equipment by our United States segment. These increases were partially offset by a decline in service call revenue during the periods, primarily the result of lower service calls related to Triple-DES upgrades during 2007 when compared to the same periods in 2006.

Cost of Revenues and Gross Margin

| | Three Mont | ths Ended Sept | ember 30, % | Nine Months Ended September 30, % | | | |
|---|------------------|-------------------|----------------|--------------------------------------|------------------|--------|--|
| | 2006 (in thou | 2007 sands) | Change | 2006 (in thou | 2007 sands) | Change | |
| Cost of ATM operating revenues (exclusive of depreciation, accretion, and amortization, shown separately below) ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 54,280 | \$ 79,966 | 47.3% | \$ 157,225 | \$ 191,046 | 21.5% | |
| Cost of Vcom operating revenues | | 2,644 | 100.0% | | 2,644 | 100.0% | |
| Cost of ATM product sales and other revenues | 3,105 | 3,111 | 0.2% | 8,142 | 9,196 | 12.9% | |
| Total cost of revenues (exclusive of depreciation, accretion, and amortization, shown separately below) ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 57,385 | \$ 85,721 | 49.4% | \$ 165,367 | \$ 202,886 | 22.7% | |
| ATM operating gross profit margin (exclusive of depreciation, accretion, and amortization, shown separately below) ⁽¹⁾ | 25.5% | 24.7% | | 25.0% | 24.1% | | |
| Vcom operating gross profit margin ATM product sales and other revenues gross profit | 10.7% | (286.0)% 15.2% | | 11.7% | (286.0)% 6.2% | | |

| margin Total gross profit margin (exclusive of depreciation, accretion, and amortization, shown | | | | | |
|---|-------|-------|----|-----------|---|
| separately below) ⁽¹⁾ | 24.9% | 22.5% | 24 | .4% 22.7% | 6 |
| ATM operating gross profit margin (inclusive of depreciation, accretion, | | | | | |
| and amortization) | 15.8% | 10.0% | 14 | .2% 11.7% | 6 |
| Total gross profit margin (inclusive of depreciation, accretion, and | | | | | |
| amortization) | 15.5% | 8.3% | 14 | .1% 10.7% | 6 |
| | | 69 |) | | |

(1) Excludes depreciation, accretion, and amortization expense of \$15.7 million and \$7.1 million for the three month periods ended September 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively, and \$31.3 million and \$22.6 million for the nine month periods ended September 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

Cost of ATM operating revenues (exclusive of depreciation, accretion, and amortization, shown separately below). For the three month period ended September 30, 2007, the increase in the cost of ATM operating revenues was primarily driven by our United States segment, which experienced a \$20.3 million, or 43.6%, increase in such costs from prior year levels. This increase was primarily the result of the incremental costs incurred during the period as a result of our July 2007 acquisition of the ATM operations of the 7-Eleven Financial Services Business, which incurred \$21.4 million of incremental expenses during the three months ended September 30, 2007, including \$10.9 million of merchant fees, \$4.1 million in vault cash costs, and \$2.3 million of maintenance costs. The \$21.4 million of incremental expenses generated by the ATM operations of the acquired 7-Eleven Financial Services Business is net of \$1.7 million of amortization expense related to the deferred liabilities recorded to value certain unfavorable operating leases and an operating contract assumed as a part of the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction. For additional details related to these deferred liabilities, see Note 2 to our unaudited interim condensed consolidated financial statements included elsewhere herein.

Also contributing to the increase in the cost of ATM operating revenues associated with our United States segment were (i) higher domestic vault cash costs associated with our pre-existing domestic operations, which increased \$1.4 million, or 30.1%, compared to the same period in 2006 as a result of higher average per-transaction cash withdrawal amounts (which results in an increase in the level of vault cash balances necessary to support such transactions) and higher overall vault cash balances in our bank branded ATMs, and (ii) \$0.6 million in incremental costs associated with our efforts to convert our ATMs over to our in-house transaction processing platform. Partially offsetting these increases were lower merchant fees associated with our pre-existing domestic operations, which decreased \$3.6 million, or 13.2%, when compared to the same period in 2006. Of this \$3.6 million decline, approximately \$3.1 million was the result of the year-over-year decline in the number of domestic merchant-owned ATMs and related surcharge revenues.

Our international operations also contributed to the increase in the cost of ATM operating revenues for the three months ended September 30, 2007, with our United Kingdom and Mexico segments costs increasing \$4.6 million and \$0.8 million, respectively, over the same period in 2006. These increases were due to higher merchant payments and increased vault cash, processing, armored carrier, and communication costs, which resulted from the increased number of ATMs operating in the United Kingdom and Mexico during 2007 compared to the same period in 2006. Excluding vault cash costs and processing fess, the costs listed above are generally fixed in nature, meaning that an increase in transaction volumes typically leads to an increase in the profitability of the ATMs. As a result, while we anticipate that the cost of ATM operating revenues associated with our United Kingdom operations will continue to increase in the future as additional ATMs are deployed, we anticipate that such costs, as a percentage of revenues, will decrease as the number of transactions conducted on those ATMs rises. Additionally, the cost of ATM operating revenues from our United Kingdom operations increased as a result of foreign currency exchange rates during 2007, which contributed approximately 19% of the \$4.6 million increase in this segment s cost of ATM operating revenues.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2007, the increase in the cost of ATM operating revenues was also primarily due to our United States segment, which experienced an \$18.8 million, or 13.7%, increase in such costs from prior year levels. This increase was primarily the result of the \$21.4 million of incremental costs described above incurred during the period as a result of our July 2007 acquisition of the ATM operations of 7-Eleven Financial Services

Business. Also contributing to the increase were (i) higher domestic vault cash costs associated with our pre-existing domestic operations, which increased \$3.7 million, or 26.6%, compared to the same period in 2006 as a result of the higher average per-transaction cash withdrawal amounts and higher overall vault cash balances in our bank branded ATMs, (ii) \$1.7 million in incremental costs associated with our efforts to convert our ATMs to our in-house transaction processing platform, and (iii) \$1.6 million of additional employee-related costs directly allocable to our operations incurred in 2007. Partially offsetting these increases in costs were lower merchant fees associated with our pre-existing domestic operations, which decreased \$10.1 million, or 12.4%, when compared to the same period in 2006 due to the year-over-year decline in the number of domestic merchant-owned ATMs and domestic surcharge revenues. Approximately \$8.3 million of the \$10.1 million decrease in merchant commissions was the result of the year-over-year decline in the number of domestic merchant commissions was the result of the year-over-year decline in the number of ATMs and related surcharge revenues.

As was the case for the three months ended September 30, 2007, our international operations also contributed to the increase in the cost of ATM operating revenues for the nine months ended September 30, 2007, with our United Kingdom and Mexico segments costs increasing \$13.2 million and \$1.8 million, respectively, over the nine months ended September 30, 2006. As noted above, the increase from our United Kingdom and Mexico operations were due to the deployment of additional ATMs during the past year. Also contributing to the increase in the United Kingdom were higher per ATM withdrawal transactions and increases in the foreign currency exchange rates during 2007, which contributed approximately 21% of the total \$13.2 million increase in the United Kingdom s cost of ATM operating revenues. Finally, the cost of ATM operating revenues from our United Kingdom operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 was negatively impacted by approximately \$0.4 million in costs related to certain fraudulent credit card withdrawal transactions conducted on a number of our ATMs in that market. We incurred such losses as a result of the delay in certification associated with a change in our sponsoring bank. As we currently expect the certification process to be completed in January 2008 and have taken precautionary measures to prevent further loss in the interim, we do not anticipate similar losses in future periods.

ATM operating gross profit margin (exclusive of depreciation, accretion, and amortization). For the three and nine months periods ended September 30, 2007, gross margin percentages (exclusive of depreciation, accretion, and amortization) related to our ATM operating activities decreased 0.8% and 0.9%, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2006. Such declines were primarily the result of \$0.6 million and \$1.7 million, respectively, in costs associated with our efforts to transition our domestic ATMs to our in-house transaction processing platform. While these costs are not expected to continue subsequent to the completion of our conversion efforts, we anticipate that our gross margin (exclusive of depreciation, accretion, and amortization) will continue to be negatively impacted by these costs for the balance of 2007 and the first half of 2008 as we convert the remainder of our Company-owned and merchant-owned ATMs to our processing platform. Our margins (exclusive of depreciation, accretion, and amortization) were further impacted by approximately \$0.1 million and \$0.5 million, respectively, in inventory reserves related to our Triple-DES upgrade efforts during the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2007. While we may have additional adjustments throughout the remainder of 2007 as we complete our Triple-DES upgrade efforts, we do not anticipate similar adjustments in 2008. Finally, our gross margins (exclusive of depreciation, accretion, and amortization) for the nine month period ended September 30, 2007, were negatively impacted by the \$0.4 million in costs related to the fraudulent credit card withdrawal transactions conducted on a number of our ATMs in the United Kingdom.

ATM operating gross profit margin (inclusive of depreciation, accretion, and amortization). For the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2007, gross margin percentages (inclusive of depreciation, accretion, and amortization) related to our ATM operating activities

decreased 5.8% and 2.5%, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2006. Such declines were the result of transition costs associated with our in-house processing operations, the inventory reserves related to our Triple-DES upgrade efforts, and, for the nine months ended September 30, 2007, the fraudulent credit card withdrawal transactions conducted on a number of our United Kingdom ATMs, each of which are discussed in further detail above. Also contributing to the declines in gross margins (inclusive of depreciation, accretion, and amortization) were (i) the higher depreciation and accretion expense associated with recent ATM deployments, primarily in the United Kingdom and Mexico, which have yet to achieve the higher consistent recurring transaction levels seen in our more mature ATMs, (ii) the incremental depreciation expense recorded as a result of our July 2007 acquisition of the 7-Eleven Financial Services Business, and (iii) the incremental amortization expense related to a significant intangible asset impairment recorded in the third quarter of 2007. See Depreciation and Accretion Expense and Amortization expense below for additional discussions of the increases in depreciation and accretion expense and amortization expense, respectively, for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2007 and 2006.

*Cost of Vcom*tm *operating revenues.* The costs of Vcom operating revenues generated during the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2007 were primarily related to maintenance, processing, and the provision of vault cash related to the Vcomtm Services provided by our Advanced Functionality segment. As noted above, we are currently working to restructure the Vcomtm Services to improve the underlying financial results of that portion of the acquired business. In the event we are unsuccessful in our efforts and our cumulative losses reach \$10.0 million, including potential termination costs, our intent is to terminate the Vcomtm Services.

Cost of ATM product sales and other revenues. The cost of ATM product sales and other revenues for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2007, increased by approximately 0.2% and 12.9%, respectively, when compared to the same periods in 2006. Such increases were primarily due to higher year-over-year costs associated with equipment sold under our VAR program with NCR. These increases were partially offset by a decline in service call expense during the periods, primarily resulting from lower service calls related to Triple-DES upgrades during 2007 as compared to the same periods in 2006.

ATM product sales and other revenues gross profit margin. Our ATM product sales and other revenues gross margins were higher for the three month period ended September 30, 2007 when compared to the same period in 2006 as a result of increased equipment sales at greater profit margins during the period. For the nine month period ended September 30, 2007, ATM product sales and other revenues gross margins were lower than during the same period in 2006 primarily as a result of our Triple-DES upgrade efforts. Because all ATMs operating on the EFT networks are required to be Triple-DES compliant by the end of 2007, we have seen an increase in the number of ATM sales associated with the Triple-DES upgrade process. However, in certain circumstances, we have sold the machines at little or, in some cases, negative margins in exchange for a long-term renewal of the underlying ATM operating agreements. As a result, gross margins associated with our ATM product sales and other activities have been negatively impacted during the current year. We anticipate that these margins will improve in 2008 as all ATMs are required to be compliant with Triple-DES by the end of 2007.

72

Selling, General, and Administrative Expenses (SG&A)

| | | ee Months En September 30 | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | | | |
|---|------------------|------------------------------|--------|---------------------------------|------------------------|--------|--|
| | % | | | | % | | |
| | 2006 (in thou | 2007 Isands) | Change | 2006 (| 2007 (in thousands) | Change | |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses, excluding stock-based | | | | | | | |
| compensation | \$ 5,571 | \$ 7,324 | 31.5% | \$ 15,109 | \$ 20,264 | 34.1% | |
| Stock-based compensation | 240 | 297 | 23.8% | 600 | 721 | 20.2% | |
| Total selling, general, and administrative expenses | \$ 5,811 | \$ 7,621 | 31.1% | \$ 15,709 | \$ 20,985 | 33.6% | |
| Percentage of revenues: Selling, general, and | | | | | | | |
| administrative expenses | 7.3% | 6.6% | | 6.9% | 7.7% | | |
| Stock-based compensation | 0.3% | 0.3% | | 0.3% | 0.3% | | |
| Total selling, general, and | | | | | | | |
| administrative expenses | 7.6% | 6.9% | | 7.2% | 8.0% | | |

Selling, general, and administrative expenses, excluding stock-based compensation. For the three month period ended September 30, 2007, our selling, general, and administrative expenses, excluding stock-based compensation, increased by \$1.8 million, or 31.5%, when compared to the same period in 2006. Such increase was primarily attributable to our domestic operations, which experienced an increase of \$1.2 million, or 25.6%, in costs during 2007. Such increase was primarily due to (i) \$0.8 million of higher employee-related costs incurred to support our growth initiatives, primarily on the sales and marketing side of our business, (ii) \$0.6 million of professional fees incurred during the three month period ended September 30, 2007 related to our Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (Sarbanes-Oxley) compliance efforts, and (iii) \$0.4 million of higher costs as a result of our July 2007 acquisition of the ATM operations of the 7-Eleven Financial Services Business, the majority of which were employee-related. Finally, SG&A related to our United Kingdom operations increased \$0.3 million for the three months ended September 30, 2007, primarily due to additional employee-related costs as a result of the hiring of additional personnel to support the growth of this segment s operations and changes in foreign currency exchange rates, which contributed to roughly 26% of our United Kingdom segment s total \$0.3 million increase in SG&A expenses over the same period in the prior year.

For the nine month period ended September 30, 2007, SG&A expenses, excluding stock-based compensation, increased \$5.2 million, or 34.1%, primarily due to costs associated with our operations in the United States, which experienced an increase of \$3.8 million, or 29.5%, in 2007 when compared to the same period in 2006. This increase was primarily attributable to a \$1.6 million increase in employee-related costs, primarily on the sales and marketing side of our business, \$1.1 million of additional professional fees associated with our Sarbanes-Oxley compliance efforts, and \$0.7 million in increased legal costs associated with our National Federation of the Blind and CGI, Inc. litigation settlements. Additionally, our United Kingdom and Mexico operations had higher SG&A expenses for the nine months ended September 30, 2007, primarily due to additional employee-related costs to support growth and, in the case of our United Kingdom operations, changes in foreign currency exchange rates.

While our SG&A costs are expected to continue to increase on an absolute basis as a result of our future growth initiatives and our acquisition of the 7-Eleven Financial Services Business, we expect that such costs will begin to decrease as a percentage of our total revenues throughout the remainder of 2007 and beyond.

Depreciation and Accretion Expense

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | | | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | | | | |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------|----|-------|-------------|---------------------------------|--------|----|--------|-------------|
| | | 2006 | | 2007 | % Change | | 2006 | | 2007 | % Change |
| | | (in thou | 0 | | | (in thousands) | | | | Chunge |
| Depreciation expense | \$ | 4,583 | \$ | 6,600 | 44.0% | \$ | 12,888 | \$ | 17,710 | 37.4% |
| Accretion expense | | 631 | | 361 | (42.8)% | | 1,184 | | 831 | (29.8)% |
| Depreciation and accretion | | | | | | | | | | |
| expense | \$ | 5,214 | \$ | 6,961 | 33.5% | \$ | 14,072 | \$ | 18,541 | 31.8% |
| Percentage of revenues: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Depreciation expense | | 6.0% | | 6.0% | | | 5.9% | | 6.8% | |
| Accretion expense | | 0.8% | | 0.3% | | | 0.5% | | 0.3% | |
| Total depreciation and | | | | | | | | | | |
| accretion | | 6.8% | | 6.3% | | | 6.4% | | 7.1% | |

Depreciation expense. For the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2007, depreciation expense increased by 44.0% and 37.4%, respectively, when compared to the same periods in 2006. These increases were primarily driven by our United Kingdom operations, which recognized additional depreciation of \$0.8 million and \$1.8 million for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2007, respectively, primarily due to the deployment of additional ATMs under Company-owned arrangements. Additionally, for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2007, respectively primarily due to the deployment of additional ATMs under Company-owned arrangements. Additionally, for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2007, depreciation expense related to our domestic operations increased by \$1.1 million and \$2.8 million, primarily due to \$1.1 million in depreciation related to the ATMs and Vcomtm units acquired as part of our July 2007 acquisition of the 7-Eleven Financial Services Business, offset partially by lower depreciation related to our pre-existing domestic operations.

Accretion expense. We account for our asset retirement obligations in accordance with SFAS No. 143, Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations, which requires that we estimate the fair value of future retirement obligations associated with our ATMs, including the anticipated costs to deinstall, and in some cases refurbish, certain merchant locations. Accretion expense represents the increase of this liability from the original discounted net present value to the amount we ultimately expect to incur. The decrease in accretion expense for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2007 was the result of higher retirement obligation estimates in place during 2006.

In the future, we expect that our depreciation and accretion expense will grow to reflect the increase in the number of ATMs we own and deploy throughout our Company-owned portfolio. To that end, our depreciation and accretion expense amount is expected to increase substantially as a result of the recently completed 7-Eleven ATM Transaction.

Amortization Expense

| Th | ree Months E | nded | | | | | |
|------|--------------|--------|---------------------------------|------|--------|--|--|
| | September 3 | 0, | Nine Months Ended September 30, | | | | |
| | | % | | | % | | |
| 2006 | 2007 | Change | 2006 | 2007 | Change | | |

| | (in thousands) | (in thousands) |
|------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|
| Amortization expense | \$ 2,263 \$ 9,204 | 306.7% \$ 9,610 \$ 14,062 46.3% |
| Percentage of revenues | 3.0% 8.3% | 4.4% 5.4% |

For the three months ended September 30, 2007, amortization expense, which is primarily comprised of amortization of intangible merchant contracts and relationships associated with our past acquisitions, increased by \$6.9 million, or 306.7%, when compared to the same period in 2006. The increased amortization expense was primarily due to \$5.2 million of impairment charges recorded during the three month period ended September 30, 2007. Of this amount, \$5.1 million related to the unamortized intangible asset value associated with our merchant contract with Target, which we acquired in 2004. As previously disclosed, we have been in

74

discussions with this particular merchant customer regarding additional services that could be offered under the existing contract to increase the number of transactions conducted on, and cash flows generated by, the underlying ATMs. However, we were unable to make any progress in this regard during the quarter ended September 30, 2007, and, based on discussions that have been held with this merchant, have concluded that the likelihood of being able to provide such additional services has decreased considerably. Furthermore, average monthly transaction volumes associated with this particular contract have continued to decrease in 2007 when compared to the same period last year. Accordingly, we concluded that the above impairment charge was warranted as of September 30, 2007. The impairment charge recorded served to write-off the remaining unamortized intangible asset associated with this merchant. We plan to continue to work with this merchant customer to offer the additional services noted above, which we believe could significantly increase the future cash flows earned under this contract. Absent our ability to do this, we will attempt to restructure the terms of the existing contract in an effort to improve the underlying cash flows associated with such contract.

Our acquisition of the 7-Eleven Financial Services Business further contributed to the increased amortization, as we recognized \$1.6 million in incremental amortization expense during the three months ended September 30, 2007 associated with the intangible assets recorded as a part of our purchase price allocation. Excluding the asset impairments and incremental amortization expense recorded as a result of the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction, amortization expense for the three month period ended September 30, 2007 was relatively flat compared to the same period in 2006.

For the nine month period ended September 30, 2007, the \$4.5 million increase in amortization expense was due to \$5.3 million in impairment charges related to previously acquired merchant contracts (\$5.1 million of which has been discussed above), and the \$1.6 million in incremental amortization expense related to the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction. These amounts were partially offset by a \$2.8 million impairment charge recorded during the first quarter of 2006 related to the BAS Communications, Inc. ATM portfolio. Excluding the impairments taken in 2007 and 2006 and the incremental amortization related to the intangible assets acquired in the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction, amortization expense for the nine month period ended September 30, 2007 was slightly higher than the same period in 2006, primarily as a result of increased amortization expense associated with our United Kingdom operations related to additional contract-based intangible assets, which are being amortized over the lives of the underlying contracts.

We expect that our future amortization expense amounts will be substantially higher than those historically reflected, as the \$78.0 million of amortizable intangible assets acquired in the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction are amortized over the remaining terms of the underlying contracts at a rate of approximately \$8.1 million per year.

Interest Expense, Net

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | | | | tember 30, % | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|----------------|----|-------------|----------------------------------|----|--------|----|-----------------|---------|--|
| | _ | 006 (in tho | | 2007 ds) | % Change 2006 (in thousand | | | | 2007 ds) | Change | |
| Interest expense, net Amortization and write-off of financing costs and bond | \$: | 5,871 | \$ | 8,545 | 45.5% | \$ | 17,193 | \$ | 20,437 | 18.9% | |
| discount | | 362 | | 439 | 21.3% | | 1,576 | | 1,155 | (26.7)% | |
| Total interest expense, net | \$ (| 6,233 | \$ | 8,984 | 44.1% | \$ | 18,769 | \$ | 21,592 | 15.0% | |

 Percentage of revenues
 8.2%
 8.1%
 8.6%
 8.2%

Interest expense, net. For the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2007, interest expense, excluding the amortization and write-off of financing costs and bond

discount, increased by 45.5% and 18.9%, respectively, when compared to the same periods in 2006. The majority of these increases were due to our issuance of the \$100.0 million in Series B Notes in July 2007 to partially finance the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction. This issuance resulted in \$1.8 million of additional interest expense for the three months ended September 30, 2007, excluding the amortization of the related discount and deferred financing costs. Further contributing to the year-over-year increases were higher average outstanding balances under our revolving credit facility during 2007 when compared to the same periods in 2006. Such incremental borrowings were utilized to fund the remaining portion of the acquisition costs associated with the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction as well as to fund certain working capital needs. Also contributing to the year-over-year increases in interest expense was the overall increase in the level of floating interest rates paid under our revolving credit facility.

In May 2007, we amended our revolving credit facility to, among other things, provide for a reduced spread on the interest rate charged on amounts outstanding under the facility and to increase the amount of capital expenditures that we can incur on an annual basis. Although the interest spread modification will serve to reduce slightly the amount of interest charged on amounts outstanding under the facility, we expect that our overall interest expense amounts will increase substantially for the remainder of the year over prior year levels. Such increase is expected due to (i) the issuance of the Series B Notes, which will result in an additional \$9.3 million in interest expense on an annual basis, excluding the amortization of the related discount and deferred financing costs, (ii) the additional \$43.0 million in borrowings made under our revolving credit facility in July 2007 to finance the remaining portion of the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction, and (iii) additional borrowings expected to be made under our revolving credit facility to help fund our anticipated capital expenditure needs during the remainder of the year. For additional information on our financing facilities and anticipated capital expenditure needs, see Liquidity and Capital Resources below.

Amortization and write-off of financing costs and bond discounts. For the three month period ended September 30, 2007, expenses related to the amortization and write-off of financing costs and bond discounts increased \$0.1 million as a result of the additional financing costs incurred in connection with the Series B Notes and amendments made to our revolving credit facility in July 2007 as part of the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction. For the nine month period ended September 30, 2007, expenses related to the amortization and write-off of financing costs and bond discounts decreased \$0.4 million compared to the same period in 2006, primarily due to the write-off of approximately \$0.5 million of deferred financing costs in the first quarter of 2006 as a result of an amendment made to our bank credit facility in February 2006. This write-off was partially offset by the increased expenses associated with our July 2007 issuance of the Series B Notes and the July 2007 amendment to our revolving credit facility. No deferred financing costs were written off in 2007.

Other Expense (Income)

| | | | | Ionths En ember 30. | | ľ | Nine Mont | ths H | Ended Sept | tember 30, |
|---|------|--------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------|-------|----------------|--------------------|
| | | 06 | % 2007 Change usands) | | | 2006 (in thousa | | | 2007 | % Change |
| Minority interest Other expense (income) | \$ | (71) (83) | \$ | (174) 678 | 145.1% (916.9)% | \$ | (128) (740) | \$ | (286) 1,037 | 123.4% (240.1)% |
| Total other expense (income) | \$ (| (154) | \$ | 504 | (427.3)% | \$ | (868) | \$ | 751 | (186.5)% |
| Percentage of revenues | | (0.2)% | | 0.5% | | | (0.4)% | | 0.3% | |

For the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2007, total other expense consisted primarily of \$0.6 million and \$1.5 million, respectively, in losses on the disposal of fixed assets. Such losses were incurred in conjunction with the deinstallation and subsequent

sale of used ATMs during the period. For the nine months ended September 30, 2007, such losses were partially offset by \$0.6 million in gains on the sale of equity securities awarded to us pursuant to the bankruptcy plan of reorganization of Winn-Dixie Stores, Inc., one of our merchant customers. Total other income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 consisted primarily of a \$1.1 million contract termination payment received in May 2006 related to a portion of the installed ATM base that was deinstalled prior to the scheduled contract termination date and a \$0.5 million payment received in August 2006 from one of our customers related to the sale of a number of its stores to another party. These payments were partially offset by losses associated with the disposal of ATMs during those periods.

Income Tax Provision (Benefit)

| | Three Mo | onths Ended Se | ptember 30, | Nine Months Ended September 30, % | | | |
|---|------------------|---------------------|-------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------|----------|--|
| | 2006 (In tho | 2007 usands) | % Change | 2006 (In thous | 2007 sands) | Change | |
| Income tax provision (benefit) Effective tax rate | \$ (60) 15.5% | \$ 2,275 (27.1)% | (3,891.7)% | \$ (1,217) 31.2% | \$ 3,212 (19.5)% | (363.9)% | |

As indicated in the table above, our income tax provision increased by \$2.3 million and \$4.4 million for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2007, respectively, when compared to the same periods in 2006. The increases for the three and nine month periods were primarily driven by the establishment of valuation allowances of \$2.5 million and \$3.4 million, respectively. Such valuation allowances, which represent the total estimated net deferred tax asset balance associated with our domestic operations as of September 30, 2007, were established during 2007 due to uncertainties surrounding our ability to utilize the related tax benefits in future periods. Such decision was based, in part, on our forecasted domestic pre-tax book and tax loss figures through the remainder of 2007 from pre-existing operations and as a result of the additional interest expense associated with the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction and the anticipated losses associated with the acquired Vcomtm operations. Under applicable accounting guidelines, three or more consecutive years of pre-tax book losses typically requires the establishment of a valuation allowance. Accordingly, given the estimated increase in pre-tax book losses resulting from the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction, we determined that such valuation allowance was warranted. Furthermore, we do not expect to record any additional domestic federal or state income tax benefits in our financial statements until it is more likely than not that such benefits will be utilized. Accordingly, as long as we continue to generate pre-tax book losses from our domestic operations, our future effective tax rates are expected to be lower than the statutory rate, on average, than in historical periods.

In addition to the income tax provisions discussed above, the Company recorded a \$0.2 million deferred tax benefit during the three month period ended September 30, 2007 related to a reduction in the United Kingdom corporate statutory income tax rate from 30% to 28%. Such rate reduction, which will become effective in 2008, was formally enacted in July 2007.

Years Ended December 31, 2006, December 31, 2005, and December 31, 2004

Revenues

| | For the Years Ended December 31, | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------|-----------------|------------|-----------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | % | | | | | | | |
| | | Change | | | | | | | | |
| | 2004 | 2005 | 2004 to 2005 | 2006 | 2005 to 2006 | | | | | |
| | (in thousands, excluding percentages) | | | | | | | | | |
| ATM operating revenues | \$ 182,711 | \$ 258,979 | 41.7% | \$ 280,985 | 8.5% | | | | | |
| ATM product sales and other revenues | 10,204 | 9,986 | (2.1)% | 12,620 | 26.4% | | | | | |
| Total revenues | \$ 192,915 | \$ 268,965 | 39.4% | \$ 293,605 | 9.2% | | | | | |

ATM operating revenues. The 8.5% increase in ATM operating revenues for the year ended December 31, 2006 was primarily attributable to revenues from our United Kingdom operations, which increased by \$20.4 million, or 94.3%, from prior year levels. This increase was primarily due to the fact that results for the year ended December 31, 2005, only reflect eight months worth of operating results from the acquired Bank Machine operations. Also contributing to the United Kingdom increase were higher surcharge and interchange revenues resulting from the deployment of approximately 300 additional ATMs during the past year and higher per ATM withdrawal transactions, which increased 17.6% over prior year. Our domestic operations also contributed to the increase in ATM operating revenues in 2006 as higher bank and network branding revenues more than offset the declines in surcharge and interchange revenues that resulted from a decrease in the number of merchant-owned ATMs under contract.

For the year ended December 31, 2005, ATM operating revenues increased 41.7% over the year ended December 31, 2004, primarily due to higher ATM transaction volumes. Specifically, withdrawal transactions increased approximately 37.1% to 119.0 million transactions for the year ended December 31, 2005, from 86.8 million during the same period in 2004. This growth in transaction volume was driven largely by the E*TRADE Access ATM portfolio acquisition, which was only included in the 2004 results for the last six months of that year, as well as the three acquisitions consummated in 2005, including the Bank Machine acquisition in May 2005. Additionally, higher overall bank and network branding revenues contributed to the year-over-year increase.

ATM product sales and other revenues. ATM product sales and other revenues for 2006 increased approximately 26.4% from prior year levels. Such increase was primarily due to higher service call income resulting from Triple-DES security upgrades performed in the United States, higher year-over-year equipment and value-added reseller program sales, and higher non-transaction based fees associated with our domestic network branding program.

In 2005, ATM product sales and other revenues decreased approximately 2.1% when compared to 2004. This decrease was primarily due to lower overall sales of equipment under our VAR program as a result of a large sale in 2004 that did not repeat in 2005. However, such decrease was partially offset by higher ATM product sales to our merchant-owned customers and slightly higher ATM service revenues.

Cost of Revenues and Gross Profit Margin

| | | % | | |
|---|------------|---------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| | 2004 | 2005 | % Change 2004 to 2005 2006 | Change 2005 to 2006 |
| | | (in thousands | , excluding percentages) | |
| Cost of ATM operating revenues (exclusive of depreciation, accretion, and amortization, shown separately | | | | |
| below) ⁽¹⁾ Cost of ATM product sales and other | \$ 143,504 | \$ 199,767 | 39.2% \$ 209,850 | 5.0% |
| revenues | 8,703 | 9,681 | 11.2% 11,443 | 18.2% |
| Total cost of revenues (exclusive of depreciation, accretion, and amortization, shown separately below) ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 152,207 | \$ 209,448 | 37.6% \$ 221,293 | 5.7% |
| ATM operating gross profit margin (exclusive of depreciation, accretion, and amortization, shown separately | | | | |
| below) ⁽¹⁾ | 21.4% | 22.9% | 25.3% | |
| ATM product sales and other revenues gross profit margin Total gross profit margin (exclusive of | 14.7% | 3.1% | 9.3% | |
| depreciation, accretion, and amortization, shown separately below) ⁽¹⁾ ATM operating gross profit margin | 21.1% | 22.1% | 24.6% | |
| (inclusive of depreciation, accretion, and amortization) Total gross profit margin (inclusive of | 15.2% | 14.9% | 14.9% | |
| depreciation, accretion, and amortization) | 15.2% | 14.5% | 14.7% | |

⁽¹⁾ Excludes depreciation, accretion, and amortization expense of \$11.4 million, \$20.6 million, and \$29.2 million for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2005, and 2006, respectively.

Cost of ATM operating revenues (exclusive of depreciation, accretion, and amortization shown separately below). The slight increase in cost of ATM operating revenues for 2006 was driven by our United Kingdom operations, which experienced a \$12.9 million, or 91.1%, increase in such costs from prior year levels. This increase was primarily due to the fact that the 2005 results reflect only eight months worth of operating results from the acquired Bank Machine operations, as previously noted. However, also contributing to the increase were higher merchant payments and increased ATM cash costs, which were a result of the aforementioned increased number of ATM merchant locations in the United Kingdom. In the United States, the cost of ATM operating revenues for 2006

declined by \$3.4 million, or 1.8%, when compared to 2005. Such decline was primarily due to lower merchant fees, resulting from the aforementioned year-over-year decline in domestic surcharge revenues, which is a direct result of the lower number of merchant-owned accounts.

In 2005, the 39.2% increase in cost of ATM operating revenues over the prior year was primarily due to the higher overall cost of ATM operating revenues as a result of the E*TRADE Access ATM portfolio acquisition in June 2004 and, to a lesser extent, the three acquisitions consummated in 2005. Because the majority of the ATMs acquired in the E*TRADE Access ATM portfolio acquisition were merchant-owned machines, the related merchant fees are higher than those paid under Company-owned arrangements. Overall, merchant fees increased by approximately \$31.8 million, or 39.3%, during 2005 when compared to 2004, of which approximately \$30.0 million was related to our domestic operations. The other primary components of cost of ATM operating revenues maintenance fees, cost of cash, and armored courier fees also contributed to the domestic cost increases in 2005. Such costs increased by \$19.1 million, or 48.1% in 2005 when compared to 2004, with such increase being driven primarily by an increase in our overall number of ATMs, as a result of the aforementioned acquisitions, and higher cash rental fees due to higher domestic interest rates.

79

Total gross profit margin (exclusive of depreciation, accretion, and amortization, shown separately below). The total gross profit margin (exclusive of depreciation, accretion, and amortization) earned for 2006 was 24.6%, representing an 11.3% increase over the 22.1% gross profit margin (exclusive of depreciation, accretion, and amortization) earned in 2005. Such increase was primarily due to a greater percentage of our gross profit being generated by our United Kingdom operations, which typically earn higher overall ATM operating margins than our domestic ATM operations. Additionally, our year-to-date results in 2006 reflect a full year s worth of operating results from our United Kingdom operations compared to only eight months of operating results reflected in 2005. Furthermore, the year-over-year increase in bank and network branding revenues in the United States also contributed to the higher gross profit margin figure in 2006. Finally, our ATM product sales and other gross profit margins were higher year-over-year due to certain non-transaction based services that are now being provided as part of our network branding operations as well as higher equipment and VAR program sales.

Our total gross profit margin for 2005 totaled 22.1%, up slightly from the 21.1% level achieved during 2004. Such increase was primarily attributable to higher than normal operating costs incurred during the last six months of 2004 as we worked to transition the acquired E*TRADE Access ATM portfolio on to our existing operating platform. Additionally, the 2005 results benefited from the impact of the Bank Machine acquisition, as our United Kingdom operations generate, on average, higher overall gross margins than our operations in the United States.

Total gross profit margin (inclusive of depreciation, accretion, and amortization). The total gross profit margin (inclusive of depreciation, accretion, and amortization) earned for 2006 was 14.7%, representing a 1.4% increase in over the 14.5% total gross profit margin (inclusive of depreciation, accretion, and amortization) earned for 2005. Consistent with the increase in our total gross profit margin (exclusive of depreciation, accretion, and amortization) discussed above, this increase was primarily due to a greater percentage of our gross profits being generated by our United Kingdom operations, which typically have higher ATM operating gross profit margins, and the year-over-year increase in bank and network branding revenues from our domestic operations. These increases were partially offset by higher depreciation and accretion expense associated with the increased number of ATMs deployed by our United States and United Kingdom operations and additional amortization expense, primarily attributable to an impairment recorded in the first quarter of 2006 related to a previously-acquired ATM portfolio. See Depreciation and Accretion expense below for additional discussions of the increases in depreciation and accretion expense below for additional discussions of the increases in depreciation and accretion expense below for additional discussions of the increases in depreciation and accretion expense, respectively, for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004.

Our total gross profit margin (inclusive of depreciation, accretion, and amortization) for 2005 totaled 14.5%, representing a 4.6% decline from the 15.2% total gross profit margin (inclusive of depreciation, accretion, and amortization) earned for 2004. This decline was primarily the result of the higher costs of ATM operating revenues in 2005, including higher merchant fees that resulted from the E*TRADE Access ATM portfolio acquisition in 2004 and higher maintenance fees, costs of cash, and armored courier fees attributable to an increase in our overall number of ATMs due to our acquisitions in 2004 and 2005. Also contributing to the decline in total gross profit margin (inclusive of depreciation, accretion, and amortization) during 2005 were the 90.9% increase in depreciation and accretion expense, which resulted primarily from the increase in the number of ATMs deployed under Company-owned arrangements in our United States and United Kingdom operations, and the 63.0% increase in amortization expense during 2005 compared to 2004, which resulted primarily from the additional amortization of intangible merchant contracts and relationships associated with our past acquisitions. See Depreciation and Accretion Expense and Amortization Expense below for additional discussions of the increases in depreciation and accretion

For The Vears Ended December 31

Table of Contents

expense and amortization expense, respectively, for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004.

Selling, General, and Administrative Expenses

| | | jer 51, | | | | | |
|---|----|-----------------|--------------------------------|--------|----|--------|---------|
| | | 2006 ntages) | % Change 2005 to 2006 | | | | |
| Stock-based compensation Other selling, general, and | \$ | 956 | \$ 2,201 | 130.2% | \$ | 828 | (62.4)% |
| administrative expenses | | 12,615 | 15,664 | 24.2% | | 20,839 | 33.0% |
| Total selling, general, and administrative expenses | \$ | 13,571 | \$ 17,865 | 31.6% | \$ | 21,667 | 21.3% |
| Percentages of revenues: | | | | | | | |
| Stock-based compensation Other selling, general, and | | 0.5% | 0.8% | | | 0.3% | |
| administrative expenses | | 6.5% | 5.8% | | | 7.1% | |
| Total selling, general, and administrative expenses | | 7.0% | 6.6% | | | 7.4% | |

Other selling, general, and administrative expenses. For 2006, our selling, general, and administrative expenses, excluding stock-based compensation, increased by 33.0% when compared to the same period in 2005. Such increase was attributable to higher costs associated with our domestic operations, which increased \$3.7 million, or 27.6%, primarily due to higher employee-related costs as well as higher accounting, legal, and professional fees resulting from our past growth. In the United Kingdom, SG&A costs increased \$0.9 million when compared to the prior year due to the fact that the 2005 results included only eight months of operating results from Bank Machine. However, such increases were somewhat offset by certain cost savings measures that were implemented subsequent to the May 2005 acquisition date. Finally, our Mexico operations, which were acquired in February 2006, contributed approximately \$0.6 million to the year-over-year variance.

For 2005, selling, general, and administrative expenses, excluding stock-based compensation, increased by 24.2% when compared to 2004. Such increase was primarily due to the hiring of additional employees in 2005 and higher overall professional fees, both of which were the result of our recent acquisitions and the additional ATM deployments made in 2005.

We expect that our SG&A expenses will increase in 2007 due to the anticipated hiring of additional personnel and the incurrence of additional costs to support our future growth initiatives and reporting and compliance obligations.

Stock-based compensation. Stock-based compensation for the year ended December 31, 2006, decreased by 62.4% when compared to the same period in 2005. Such decrease was primarily due to an additional \$1.7 million in stock-based compensation recognized during the 2005 period related to the repurchase of shares underlying certain

Table of Contents

employee stock options in connection with our Series B preferred stock financing transaction. Additionally, during the year ended December 31, 2006, we adopted SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004), *Share-Based Payment*, (*SFAS No. 123R*), which requires us to record the grant date fair value of stock-based compensation arrangements as compensation expense on a straight-line basis over the underlying service period of the related award. During 2006, we recognized approximately \$0.6 million of stock-based compensation expense related to options granted during the year.

The 130.2% increase in stock-based compensation expense in 2005 compared to 2004 was primarily due to the aforementioned \$1.7 million of additional expense recognized in 2005 in

conjunction with the Series B Convertible Preferred Stock financing transaction. This \$1.7 million was partially offset by otherwise lower stock-based compensation expense in 2005 as a result of the graded-basis vesting of the restricted stock grant made to our Chief Executive Officer in 2003. See Note 3 in the notes to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere herein for additional information regarding our stock-based compensation, including our initial adoption of SFAS No. 123R.

Depreciation and Accretion Expense

| | For the Years Ended December 31, % Change 2004 to | | | | | | | % Change 2005 to |
|----------------------------------|---|-------|----|-------------|------------------|------|----------|---------------------|
| | | 2004 | | 2005 | 2005 | | 2006 | 2006 |
| | | | | (in thousan | ids, excluding p | erce | entages) | |
| Depreciation expense | \$ | 6,506 | \$ | 11,949 | 83.7% | \$ | 18,323 | 53.3% |
| Accretion expense | | 279 | | 1,002 | 259.1% | | 272 | (72.9)% |
| Depreciation and accretion | \$ | 6,785 | \$ | 12,951 | 90.9% | \$ | 18,595 | 43.6% |
| Percentage of Revenues: | | | | | | | | |
| Depreciation expense | | 3.4% | | 4.4% | | | 6.2% | |
| Accretion expense | | 0.1 | | 0.4 | | | 0.1 | |
| Total depreciation and accretion | | | | | | | | |
| expense | | 3.5% | | 4.8% | | | 6.3% | |

Depreciation expense. The 53.3% increase in depreciation in 2006 was primarily comprised of a \$4.1 million, or 41.1%, increase related to our United States operations and a \$2.3 million, or 112.3%, increase in our United Kingdom operations. The increase in the United States was primarily due to the deployment of additional ATMs under Company-owned arrangements during the latter part of 2005 and throughout 2006, the majority of which were associated with our bank branding efforts. Additionally, the results for our U.S. operations reflected the acceleration of depreciation for certain ATMs that were deinstalled early as a result of contract terminations and certain ATMs that are expected to be replaced sooner than originally anticipated as part of our Triple-DES security upgrade process. The year-over-year increase in the United Kingdom was driven by the 300 additional ATM deployments and the fact that the 2005 results only reflect eight months worth of results from the acquired Bank Machine operations.

Depreciation expense increased by 83.7% for the year ended December 31, 2005 when compared to 2004. Such increase was primarily due to the incremental ATMs acquired through the E*TRADE Access transaction in June 2004, and, to a lesser extent, the incremental ATMs associated with the acquisitions consummated in 2005.

Accretion expense. As previously noted, we account for our asset retirement obligations in accordance with SFAS No. 143, Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations. Accretion expense represents the increase of the estimated liability under SFAS No. 143 from the original discounted net present value to the amount we ultimately expect to incur. The \$0.7 million decrease in accretion expense in 2006 when compared to 2005 and the \$0.7 million increase in accretion expense to 2004 was primarily the result of \$0.5 million of excess accretion expense that was erroneously recorded in 2005. This amount was subsequently reversed in 2006, at which time we determined that the impact of recording the \$0.5 million out-of-period adjustment in 2006 (as opposed to

reducing the reported 2005 accretion expense amount) was immaterial to both reporting periods pursuant to the provisions contained in SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin (SAB) No. 99, *Materiality*, and SAB No. 108, *Considering the Effects of Prior Year Misstatements when Quantifying Misstatements in Current Year Financial Statements*. In forming this opinion, we considered the nature of the

Table of Contents

adjustment (cash versus non-cash) and the relative size of the adjustment to certain financial statement line items, including revenues, gross profits, and pre-tax income (or loss) amounts for each period, including the interim periods contained within both years. Furthermore, we considered the impact of recording this adjustment in 2006 on our previously reported earnings and losses for such periods and concluded that such adjustment did not impact the trend of our previously reported earnings and losses.

Excluding the \$0.5 million adjustment (discussed above), accretion expense in 2006 increased when compared to 2005, which primarily resulted from the 300 additional ATMs deployed in the United Kingdom. Furthermore, excluding the \$0.5 million of additional accretion expense in 2005, accretion expense in 2005 increased when compared to 2004 as a result of the increase in our installed ATM base.

In the future, we expect that our depreciation and accretion expense will grow in proportion to the increase in the number of ATMs we own and deploy throughout our company-owned portfolio. See Liquidity and Capital Resources below for additional information on our capital expenditures program.

Amortization Expense

| | For the Years Ended December 31, | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------|---------------------|-----------|-------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | % Change 2005 to | | | | | | | |
| | 2004 | 2005 | 2004 to 2005 | 2006 | 2006 | | | | | |
| | (in thousands, excluding percentages) | | | | | | | | | |
| Amortization | \$ 5,508 | \$ 8,980 | 63.0% | \$ 11,983 | 33.4% | | | | | |
| Percentages of revenues | 2.9% | 3.3% | | 4.1% | | | | | | |

As indicated in the table above, amortization expense, which is primarily comprised of amortization of intangible merchant contracts and relationships associated with our past acquisitions, increased by 33.4% for 2006 when compared to 2005. Such increase was primarily driven by a \$2.8 million impairment charge recorded during the first quarter of 2006 related to the BAS Communications, Inc. (BASC) ATM portfolio, which resulted from a reduction in anticipated future cash flows resulting primarily from a higher than planned attrition rate associated with this acquired portfolio. Also contributing to the increase in 2006 was the fact that the 2005 amount only reflects eight months worth of amortization expense from the Bank Machine acquisition, and only seven and five months worth of amortization expense, respectively, related to the BASC and Neo Concepts, Inc. acquisitions.

For the year ended December 31, 2005, amortization expense increased by 63.0% for the year when compared to 2004. Such increase was primarily due to the incremental amortization expense associated with the merchant contracts and relationships acquired in the E*TRADE Access transaction in June 2004 and, to a lesser extent, the incremental merchant contracts and relationships acquired in 2005. Additionally, we recorded a \$1.2 million impairment charge in 2005 related to certain previously acquired merchant contract/relationship intangible assets.

Interest Expense, net

| | For the Years Ended December 31, % Change % 2004 to 20 | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|----|--------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|--------|---------|--|--|
| | 2004 | | 2005 (in thousa | 2005 nds, excluding p | 20052006, excluding percentages) | | | | |
| Interest expense, net Amortization and write-off of | \$ 4,155 | \$ | 15,485 | 272.6% | \$ | 23,143 | 49.5% | | |
| financing costs and bond discount | 1,080 | | 6,941 | 542.7% | | 1,929 | (72.2)% | | |
| Total interest expense, net | \$ 5,235 | \$ | 22,426 | 328.4% | \$ | 25,072 | 11.8% | | |
| Percentages of revenues | 2.7% | | 8.4% | | | 8.5% | | | |

Interest expense, net. As indicated in the table above, interest expense, excluding the amortization and write-off of financing costs and bond discount, increased by 49.5% in 2006 when compared to 2005. Such increase was due to (i) the additional borrowings made under our bank credit facilities in May 2005 to finance the Bank Machine acquisition, and (ii) the incremental interest expense associated with our \$200.0 million senior subordinated notes offering completed in August 2005. Further contributing to the increase in interest expense in 2006 was the increase in the annual interest rate on the senior subordinated notes from 9.25% to 9.50% in June 2006, and from 9.50% to 9.75% in September 2006, before reverting back to the stated rate of 9.25% in October 2006 upon the successful completion of our exchange offer. Such increases occurred as a result of our inability to register our senior subordinated notes with the SEC and complete the related exchange offer within 300 days from their original issuance. We completed the exchange offer in October 2006. Finally, the increase in interest expense for 2006 was also impacted by an overall increase in the floating interest rates paid under our revolving credit facility.

For the year ended December 31, 2005, interest expense, excluding the amortization and write-off of financing costs and bond discount, increased 272.6% when compared to 2004. Such increase was primarily attributable to the additional borrowings made under our bank credit facilities in June 2004 and May 2005 to finance the E*TRADE Access ATM portfolio acquisition and the Bank Machine acquisition, respectively, and the incremental interest expense associated with our senior subordinated notes offering in August 2005. Additionally, higher overall short-term interest rates in 2005 contributed to the year-over-year increase.

Amortization and write-off of financing costs and bond discount. For 2006, the amortization and write-off of financing costs and bond discount decreased 72.2% when compared to 2005. The increased expenses for 2005 were due to the write-off of approximately \$5.0 million of deferred financing costs as a result of amendments to our bank credit facility in May 2005 and the repayment of our term loans in August 2005. During 2006, we wrote-off approximately \$0.5 million in deferred financing costs in connection with certain modifications made to our existing revolving credit facilities in February 2006. In 2004, we expensed approximately \$0.1 million related to certain fees paid in connection with the amendment of our then existing bank credit facility.

Other Expense (Income)

| | | % Change 2005 to | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------|----|------|-----------------|----|---------|------------|--|--|
| | | 2004 | 2 | 2005 | 2004 to 2005 | | 2006 | 2006 | | |
| | (in thousands, excluding percentages) | | | | | | | | | |
| Minority interest | \$ | 19 | \$ | 15 | (21.1)% | \$ | (225) | (1,600.0)% | | |
| Other expense (income) | | 209 | | 968 | 363.2% | | (4,761) | (591.8)% | | |
| Total other expense (income) | \$ | 228 | \$ | 983 | 331.1% | \$ | (4,986) | (607.2)% | | |
| Percentages of revenues | | 0.1% | | 0.4% | | | (1.7)% | | | |

As indicated in the table above, we recorded approximately \$4.8 million in other income for the period ended December 31, 2006, compared to \$1.0 million of other expense in 2005. The income amount recognized in 2006 is primarily attributable to the recognition of \$4.8 million (\$3.0 million after-tax) in other income primarily related to settlement proceeds received from Winn-Dixie as part of that company s successful emergence from bankruptcy. Also contributing to the increase in 2006 was a \$1.1 million contract termination payment that was received from one of our customers in May 2006 and a \$0.5 million payment received in August 2006 from one of our customers related to the sale of a number of its stores to another party. As previously noted, we do not believe that the termination of these contracts will have a material adverse impact on our results of operations, financial condition or liquidity. The above amounts were partially offset by \$1.6 million of losses related to the disposal of a number of ATMs. See Note 5 in the notes to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere herein, for additional details of the Winn-Dixie bankruptcy settlement.

Income Tax Provision (Benefit)

| | For the Years Ended December 31, % Change % 2004 to 2 | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|---|-------------------|---------------------------|----|---------------|--------|--|--|--|
| | 2004 | 2005 (in thous | 2005 ands, excluding j | _ | 006 tages) | 2006 | | | |
| Income tax provision (benefit) | \$ 3,576 | \$ (1,270) | (135.5)% | \$ | 512 | 140.3% | | | |
| Effective tax rate | 38.1% | 34.4% | | (2 | 2,694.7)% | | | | |

As indicated in the table above, we had income tax expense of \$0.5 million and \$3.6 million in 2006 and 2004, respectively, and an income tax benefit of \$1.3 million in 2005. In 2006, our effective tax rate was unusually high due to our consolidated breakeven results, certain non-deductible expenses, a contingent tax liability that was recorded in 2006 related to our United Kingdom operations, and the fact that we are providing a full valuation allowance on all tax benefits associated with our Mexico operations. In 2005, our effective tax rate was lower when compared to 2004 primarily due to a change in our effective state income tax rate in 2005 and the results of our United Kingdom operations, which are taxed at a lower statutory rate. As long as our consolidated financial results remain at or near breakeven levels, our effective tax rate will likely continue to vary considerably from quarter to quarter depending on the mix of pre-tax income and loss amounts generated in our domestic and foreign tax jurisdictions.

As of December 31, 2006, we had currently concluded that it is more likely than not that the deferred tax assets associated with our United States and United Kingdom operations were fully recoverable. Accordingly, no valuation allowance had been established for those operations. In Mexico, we had fully reserved for the net deferred tax assets associated with those operations due to their uncertain future utilization. During the nine months ended September 30, 2007, we recorded a \$3.4 million valuation allowance to reserve for the estimated net deferred tax asset balance associated with our domestic operations. This allowance was established, in part, as a result of our expectation of increased pre-tax losses through the remainder of 2007. As a result of this allowance, we are fully reserved for the net deferred tax

85

assets associated with our United States and Mexico operations. If our conclusion regarding the recoverability of the deferred tax assets in our United Kingdom operations changes, we may be required to record future charges, which could be significant, to establish a valuation allowance for such assets.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Overview

As of December 31, 2006 and September 30, 2007, we had cash and cash equivalents on hand of approximately \$2.7 million and \$6.1 million, respectively, and outstanding long-term debt, notes payable, and capital lease obligations of approximately \$252.9 million and \$408.9 million, respectively.

We have historically funded our operations primarily through cash flows from operations, borrowings under our credit facilities, private placements of equity securities, and the sale of bonds. We have historically used cash to invest in additional operating ATMs, either through the acquisition of ATM networks or through internally-generated growth as well as to fund increases in working capital and to pay interest and principal amounts outstanding under our borrowings. Because we typically collect our cash on a daily basis and are not required to pay our merchants and vendors until 20 and 30 days, respectively, after the end of each calendar month, we are able to utilize the excess upfront cash flow to pay down borrowings made under our revolving credit facility and to fund our ongoing capital expenditure program. Accordingly, we will typically reflect a working capital deficit position and carry a very small cash balance on our books.

Operating Activities

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2007 and September 30, 2006

Net cash provided by operating activities totaled \$35.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2007, compared to \$16.9 million during the same period in 2006. The year-over-year increase was primarily attributable to the timing of changes in our working capital balances. Specifically, we settled approximately \$15.1 million less on our outstanding payables and other liabilities during the nine months ended September 30, 2007 compared to the same period in 2006.

Years Ended December 31, 2006, December 31, 2005, and December 31, 2004

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$25.4 million, \$33.2 million, and \$20.5 million for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, respectively. The decrease in 2006 was primarily attributable to the payment of approximately \$18.7 million in additional interest costs in 2006 related to our \$200.0 million senior subordinated notes that were issued in August 2005, offset somewhat by the incremental operating cash flows generated by our United Kingdom operations as well as our domestic bank and network branding arrangements. The increase in 2005 was primarily attributable to the full-year effect of the E*TRADE Access ATM portfolio acquisition and, to a lesser extent, the acquisitions consummated in 2005. Additionally, incremental costs associated with the integration of the E*TRADE Access ATM portfolio and costs associated with our planned initial public offering during 2004 burdened our 2004 net cash provided by operating activities.

We believe that our cash on hand and our current bank credit facilities will be sufficient to meet our working capital requirements and contractual commitments for at least the next 12 months. We expect to fund our working capital needs from revenues generated from our operations and borrowings under our revolving credit facility, to the extent needed. However, although we believe that we have sufficient flexibility under our current revolving credit facility

to pursue and finance our expansion plans, such facility does contain certain covenants, including a covenant that limits the ratio of outstanding senior debt to EBITDA (as defined in the facility), that could preclude us from drawing down the full amount currently available for borrowing under such facility. Accordingly, if we expand faster than planned, need to respond to competitive pressures, or acquire additional ATM networks, we may be required to seek additional sources of financing. Such sources may come through the sale of equity or debt securities. We cannot assure you that we will be able to raise additional funds on terms favorable to us or at all. If future financing sources are not available or are not available on acceptable terms, we may not be able to fund our future needs. This may prevent us from increasing our market share, capitalizing on new business opportunities, or remaining competitive in our industry.

Investing Activities

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2007 and September 30, 2006

Net cash used in investing activities totaled \$179.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2007, compared to \$25.9 million for the same period in 2006. The year-over-year increase was primarily driven by our acquisition of the 7-Eleven Financial Services Business in July 2007 for \$138.0 million. Also contributing to the increase were additional ATM purchases, primarily in our United Kingdom and Mexico segments, offset slightly by the receipt of \$4.0 million in proceeds from the sale of our Winn-Dixie equity securities during 2007. Finally, although not reflected in our 2007 statement of cash flows, we received the benefit of the disbursement of approximately \$3.1 million of funds under three financing facilities entered into by our majority-owned Mexican subsidiary, Cardtronics Mexico, for the purchase of ATMs. Such funds are not reflected in our condensed consolidated statement of cash flows as they were not remitted by Cardtronics Mexico but rather were remitted directly to our vendors by the finance company.

Years Ended December 31, 2006, December 31, 2005, and December 31, 2004

Net cash used in investing activities totaled \$36.0 million, \$140.0 million, and \$118.9 million for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, respectively. The significant year-over-year decrease from 2005 to 2006 was driven by the \$105.8 million in cash that was expended to fund the Bank Machine, BAS Communications Inc., and Neo Concepts, Inc. acquisitions during the first six months of 2005. During 2005 and 2004, a majority of the cash used in investing activities was utilized to fund the acquisition of a number of ATM portfolios and businesses, including the E*TRADE Access ATM portfolio in 2004 and the Bank Machine acquisition in 2005. Additionally, such cash was utilized to make capital expenditures related to those acquisitions, to install additional ATMs in connection with acquired merchant relationships, and to deploy ATMs in additional locations of merchants with which we had existing relationships. Total capital expenditures, including exclusive license payments and site acquisition costs, were \$36.1 million, \$31.9 million, and \$19.7 million for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, respectively.

Remainder of 2007

We currently anticipate that the majority of our capital expenditures for the foreseeable future will be driven by internal growth projects as opposed to acquisitions, including the purchasing of ATMs for existing as well as new ATM management agreements. However, we will continue to pursue selected acquisition opportunities that complement our existing ATM network, some of which could be material, such as the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction completed in July 2007. We currently expect that our capital expenditures for the remainder of 2007 will total approximately \$20.0 million, the majority of which will be utilized to purchase additional ATMs for our Company-owned accounts and to upgrade our existing ATMs to comply with current

security encryption and audio guidelines. Such amount also includes the expected impact on our capital expenditure program from the recently acquired 7-Eleven operations. We expect such expenditures to be funded with cash generated from our operations, supplemented by borrowings under our revolving credit facility. To that end, we amended our revolving credit facility in July 2007 in connection with the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction such that the amount of capital expenditures we can incur on a rolling 12-month basis will increase to a maximum of \$75.0 million by March 2008. This modification is expected to provide us with the ability to incur the level of capital expenditures that we currently deem necessary to support our ongoing operations and future growth initiatives.

As a result of the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction, we assumed responsibility for certain ATM operating lease contracts that will expire at various times during the next three years, the majority of which will expire in 2009. Accordingly, at that time, we will be required to renew such lease contracts, enter into new lease contracts, or purchase new or used ATMs to replace the leased equipment. If we decide to purchase ATMs and terminate the existing lease contracts at that time, we currently anticipate that we will incur between \$13.0 and \$16.0 million in related capital expenditures. Additionally, we posted \$7.5 million in letters of credit related to these leases. See Financing Facilities Other borrowing facilities below.

Financing Activities

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2007 and September 30, 2006

Net cash provided by financing activities totaled \$147.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2007, compared to \$7.8 million during the same period in 2006. The increase in 2007 was due to the issuance of our \$100.0 million of Series B Notes and the incremental borrowings under our revolving credit facility to fund the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction. Additionally, although not reflected in our 2007 statement of cash flows, we received the benefit of a disbursement of approximately \$3.1 million of funds under three financing facilities entered into by our majority-owned Mexican subsidiary, Cardtronics Mexico. The \$3.1 million is not reflected in our condensed consolidated statement of cash flows as the funds were not received by Cardtronics Mexico but rather were remitted directly to our vendors by the finance company. The remittance of such funds served to purchase ATMs.

Years Ended December 31, 2006, December 31, 2005, and December 31, 2004

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$11.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2006, compared to net cash provided by financing activities of \$107.2 million and \$94.3 million for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively. In 2005 and 2004, the majority of our cash provided by financing activities resulted from issuances of additional long-term debt, offset somewhat in each period by our repayments of other long-term debt and capital leases. Such borrowings were primarily made in connection with the previously-discussed ATM portfolio acquisitions, including the Bank Machine acquisition in 2005 and the E*TRADE Access acquisition in 2004. Additionally, in 2005 we issued \$75.0 million worth of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock to a new investor, TA Associates. The net proceeds from such offering were utilized to redeem our existing Series A preferred stock, including all accrued and unpaid dividends related thereto, and to redeem approximately 24% of our outstanding common stock and vested options.

Financing Facilities

As of September 30, 2007, we had approximately \$408.9 million in outstanding long-term debt, notes payable, and capital lease obligations, which was comprised of (i) approximately \$295.9 million (net of discount of \$4.0 million) of 91/4% senior subordinated notes and 91/4% senior subordinated notes Series B, both of which are due August 2013, (ii) approximately

\$105.6 million in borrowings under our existing revolving credit facility, (iii) approximately \$5.1 million in notes payable, and (iv) approximately \$2.3 million in capital lease obligations.

Revolving credit facility

In February 2006, we amended our then existing revolving credit facility to remove and modify certain restrictive covenants contained within the facility and to reduce the maximum borrowing capacity from \$150.0 million to \$125.0 million. As a result of this amendment, we recorded a pre-tax charge of approximately \$0.5 million associated with the write-off of previously deferred financing costs related to the facility. Additionally, we incurred approximately \$0.1 million in fees associated with such amendment.

In May 2007, we further amended our revolving credit facility to modify, among other things, (i) the interest rate spreads on outstanding borrowings and other pricing terms and (ii) certain restrictive covenants contained within the facility. Such modification will allow for reduced interest expense in future periods, assuming a constant level of borrowings. Furthermore, the amendment increased the amount of capital expenditures we can incur on a rolling 12-month basis from \$50.0 million to \$60.0 million. As a result of these amendments, the primary restrictive covenants within the facility include (i) limitations on the amount of senior debt that we can have outstanding at any given point in time, (ii) the maintenance of a set ratio of earnings to fixed charges, as computed on a rolling 12-month basis, (iii) limitations on the amounts of restricted payments that can be made in any given year, and (iv) limitations on the amount of capital expenditures that we can incur on a rolling 12-month basis. Additionally, we are currently prohibited from making any cash dividends pursuant to the terms of the facility.

On July 20, 2007, in conjunction with the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction, we further amended our revolving credit facility to, among other things, (i) increase the maximum borrowing capacity under the revolver from \$125.0 million to \$175.0 million in order to partially finance the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction and to provide additional financial flexibility, (ii) increase the amount of indebtedness (as defined in the credit agreement) to allow for the issuance of our Series B Notes, (iii) extend the term of the credit agreement from May 2010 to May 2012, (iv) increase the amount of capital expenditures we can incur on a rolling 12-month basis from \$60.0 million to a maximum of \$75.0 million, and (v) amend certain restrictive covenants contained within the facility. In conjunction with this amendment, we borrowed approximately \$43.0 million under the credit agreement to fund a portion of the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction. Additionally, we posted \$7.5 million in letters of credit under the facility in favor of the lessors under the ATM equipment leases that we assumed in connection with the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction. These letters of credit further reduced our borrowing capacity under the facility. As of September 30, 2007, our available borrowing capacity under the amended facility, as determined under the earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, and amortization (EBITDA) and interest expense covenants contained in the agreement, totaled approximately \$61.9 million.

Borrowings under the revolving credit facility currently bear interest at the London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) plus a spread, which was 2.5% as of September 30, 2007. Additionally, we pay a commitment fee of 0.3% per annum on the unused portion of the revolving credit facility. Substantially all of our assets, including the stock of our wholly-owned domestic subsidiaries and 66.0% of the stock of our foreign subsidiaries, are pledged to secure borrowings made under the revolving credit facility. Furthermore, each of our domestic subsidiaries has guaranteed our obligations under such facility. There are currently no restrictions on the ability of our wholly-owned subsidiaries to declare and pay dividends directly to us. As of September 30, 2007, we were in compliance with all applicable covenants and ratios in effect at that time under the facility.

Senior subordinated notes

August 2005 Issuance. On August 12, 2005, we sold \$200.0 million in senior subordinated notes. The notes, which are subordinate to borrowings made under the revolving credit facility but equal in right of payment to the notes issued in July 2007, mature in August 2013 and carry a 9.25% coupon with an effective yield of 9.375%. Interest under the notes is paid semi-annually in arrears on February 15th and August 15th of each year. The notes, which are guaranteed by our domestic subsidiaries, contain certain covenants that, among other things, limit our ability to incur additional indebtedness and make certain types of restricted payments, including dividends.

July 2007 Issuance. On July 20, 2007, we sold \$100.0 million in senior subordinated notes Series B. The Series B Notes, which are subordinate to borrowings made under the revolving credit facility but equal in right of payment to the notes issued in August 2005, mature in August 2013 and carry a 9.25% coupon with an effective yield of 9.5%. Interest under the Series B Notes is paid semi-annually in arrears on February 15th and August 15th of each year. Net proceeds from the offering, totaled approximately \$97.0 million. Proceeds from this issuance, along with cash on hand and additional borrowings under our revolving credit facility, were utilized to finance the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction.

In addition, pursuant to the registration rights agreement executed as part of this offering, we have agreed to file with the SEC a shelf registration statement on or prior to the later of 240 days after the closing of the offering or 60 days after such filing obligation arises and use their reasonable best efforts to cause the shelf registration statement to be declared effective by the SEC on or prior to the later of 360 days after the closing of the offering or 120 days after such obligation arises. If we fail to satisfy our registration obligations under the registration rights agreement, we will be required to pay additional interest to the holders of the Series B Notes under certain circumstances.

Covenants. The indentures governing the senior subordinated notes contain certain restrictive covenants, including (i) limitations on the amount of senior debt we can incur, (ii) limitations on the amount of restricted payments that can be made, and (iii) limitations on the creation or incurrence of liens on our assets.

Other borrowing facilities

In addition to the above revolving credit facility, Bank Machine has a £2.0 million unsecured overdraft facility that expires in July 2008. Such facility, which bears interest at 1.75% over the bank s base rate (5.75% as of September 30, 2007), is utilized for general corporate purposes for our United Kingdom operations. As of September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, approximately £1.9 million (\$3.8 million and \$3.7 million, respectively) of this overdraft facility has been utilized to help fund certain working capital commitments and to post a £275,000 bond. Amounts outstanding under the overdraft facility, other than those amounts utilized for posting bonds, are reflected in accounts payable in our consolidated balance sheet, as such amounts are automatically repaid once cash deposits are made to the underlying bank accounts.

During 2006 and 2007, Cardtronics Mexico entered into four separate five-year equipment financing agreements with a single lender. Such agreements, which are denominated in Mexican pesos and bear interest at an average fixed rate of 11.03%, were utilized for the purchase of additional ATMs to support our Mexico operations. As of September 30, 2007, approximately \$53.6 million pesos (\$4.9 million U.S.) were outstanding under the agreements in place at that time. As of December 31, 2006, approximately \$9.3 million pesos (\$857,000 U.S.) were outstanding under the agreement in place at that time. Pursuant to the terms of the loan agreement, Cardtronics, Inc. has issued a guaranty for 51.0% of the obligations under this

agreement (consistent with its ownership percentage in Cardtronics Mexico.) As of September 30, 2007, the total amount of the guaranty was \$27.3 million pesos (\$2.5 million U.S.).

In connection with the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction, we assumed capital lease obligations for various ATMs. As of September 30, 2007, these obligations totaled approximately \$2.3 million. We posted \$7.5 million in letters of credit under our revolving credit facility in favor of the lessors under these assumed equipment leases. These letters of credit reduce the available borrowing capacity under our revolving credit facility.

Effects of Inflation

Our monetary assets, consisting primarily of cash and receivables, are not significantly affected by inflation. Our non-monetary assets, consisting primarily of tangible and intangible assets, are not affected by inflation. We believe that replacement costs of equipment, furniture, and leasehold improvements will not materially affect our operations. However, the rate of inflation affects our expenses, such as those for employee compensation and telecommunications, which may not be readily recoverable in the price of services offered by us.

Contractual Obligations

The following table reflects our significant contractual obligations and other commercial commitments as of September 30, 2007:

| | Payments Due by Period | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|------------------------|-----|----|--------|----|--------|-----|---------|-----|--------|----|-----------|---------------|
| | 200 |)7 | | 2008 | | 2009 | | 2010 | | 2011 | Tł | nereafter | Total |
| | | | | | | | (in | thousan | ds) | | | | |
| Long-term financings: | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Principal ⁽¹⁾ | \$ | 63 | \$ | 537 | \$ | 1,150 | \$ | 1,297 | \$ | 1,425 | \$ | 406,033 | \$ 410,505 |
| Interest ⁽²⁾ | 2, | 315 | | 36,797 | | 36,701 | | 36,566 | | 36,415 | | 58,783 | 207,577 |
| Notes payable ⁽³⁾ | | 165 | | | | | | | | | | | 165 |
| Operating leases | 1, | 363 | | 5,374 | | 5,115 | | 1,044 | | 538 | | 2,907 | 16,341 |
| Capital leases | | 385 | | 1,048 | | 755 | | 240 | | | | | 2,428 |
| Merchant space leases | 1, | 166 | | 4,645 | | 2,247 | | 1,408 | | 1,347 | | 2,347 | 13,160 |
| Total contractual | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| obligations | \$5, | 457 | \$ | 48,401 | \$ | 45,968 | \$ | 40,555 | \$ | 39,725 | \$ | 470,070 | \$ 650,176 |

- (1) Represents the face value of our Series B Notes of \$100.0 million, the face value of our 91/4% senior subordinated notes due in 2013 issued in August 2005 of \$200.0 million, \$105.6 million outstanding under our amended revolving credit facility, and approximately \$4.9 million outstanding under our Mexico equipment financing facilities.
- (2) Represents the estimated interest payments associated with our long-term debt outstanding as of September 30, 2007.
- (3) Represents a fully-funded note issued in conjunction with the Bank Machine acquisition in 2005.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this prospectus have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, which require that management make numerous estimates and assumptions. Actual results could differ from those estimates and assumptions, thus impacting our reported results of operations and financial position. The critical accounting policies and estimates described in this section are those that are most important to the depiction of our financial condition and results of operations and the application of which requires management s most subjective judgments in making estimates about the effect of matters that are inherently uncertain. We

describe our significant accounting policies more fully in Note 1 to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this prospectus.

Goodwill and Intangible Assets. We accounted for the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction, E*TRADE Access, Bank Machine, and ATM National, Inc. acquisitions as business combinations pursuant to SFAS No. 141, *Business Combinations.* Additionally, we have applied the concepts of SFAS No. 141 to our purchase of a majority interest in CCS Mexico (i.e. Cardtronics Mexico). Accordingly, the amounts paid for such acquisitions have been allocated to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on their respective fair values as of each acquisition date. Intangible assets, net, consists primarily of acquired merchant contracts and relationships, the Bank Machine and Allpoint (via the ATM National, Inc. acquisition) trade names, and the non-compete agreements entered into in connection with the Cardtronics Mexico acquisition, as well as deferred financing costs.

SFAS No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*, provides that goodwill and other intangible assets that have indefinite useful lives will not be amortized, but instead must be tested at least annually for impairment, and intangible assets that have finite useful lives should be amortized over their estimated useful lives. SFAS 142 also provides specific guidance for testing goodwill and other non-amortized intangible assets for impairment. SFAS 142 requires management to make certain estimates and assumptions in order to allocate goodwill to reporting units and to determine the fair value of a reporting unit s net assets and liabilities, including, among other things, an assessment of market condition, projected cash flows, interest rates, and growth rates, which could significantly impact the reported value of goodwill and other intangible assets. Furthermore, SFAS 142 exposes us to the possibility that changes in market conditions could result in potentially significant impairment charges in the future.

Valuation of Long-Lived Assets. We place significant value on the installed ATMs that we own and manage in merchant locations and the related acquired merchant contracts/relationships. In accordance with SFAS No. 144, *Accounting for Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets*, long-lived assets, such as property and equipment and purchased contract intangibles subject to amortization, are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of such assets may not be recoverable. The recoverability of assets to be held and used is measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of an asset to the estimated undiscounted future cash flows expected to be generated by the asset. If the carrying amount of an asset exceeds its estimated undiscounted future cash flows, an impairment charge would be recognized by the amount that the carrying amount of the asset exceeds the fair value of the asset. Our determination that an adverse event or change in circumstances has occurred will generally involve (1) a greater attrition rate compared to estimated renewals, (2) an unexpected decline in transactions without any offsetting incremental revenues (i.e., bank branding), or (3) a change in strategy affecting the utility of the asset. Our measurement of the fair value of an impaired asset will generally be based on an estimate of discounted future cash flows.

Income Taxes. Income tax provisions are based on taxes payable or refundable for the current year and deferred taxes on temporary differences between the amount of taxable income and income before income taxes and between the tax basis of assets and liabilities and their reported amounts in our financial statements. We include deferred tax assets and liabilities in our financial statements at currently enacted income tax rates. As changes in tax laws or rates are enacted, we adjust our deferred tax assets and liabilities through income tax provisions.

In assessing the realizability of deferred tax assets, we consider whether it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. The ultimate realization of deferred tax assets is dependent on the generation of future taxable income during the periods in which those temporary differences become deductible. We consider the

scheduled reversal of deferred tax liabilities, projected future taxable income, and tax planning strategies in making this assessment.

Asset Retirement Obligations. We account for our asset retirement obligations in accordance with SFAS No. 143, Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations. SFAS No. 143 requires that we estimate the fair value of future retirement obligations associated with our ATMs, including costs associated with deinstalling the ATMs and, in some cases, refurbishing the related merchant locations. Such estimates are based on a number of assumptions, including (i) the types of ATMs that are installed, (ii) the relative mix where those ATMs are installed (i.e., whether such ATMs are located in single-merchant locations or in locations associated with large, geographically dispersed retail chains), and (iii) whether we will ultimately be required to refurbish the merchant store locations upon the removal of the related ATMs. Additionally, we are required to make estimates regarding the timing of when such retirement obligations will be incurred.

The fair value of a liability for an asset retirement obligation is recognized in the period in which it is incurred and can be reasonably estimated. Such asset retirement costs are capitalized as part of the carrying amount of the related long-lived asset and depreciated over the asset s estimated useful life. Fair value estimates of liabilities for asset retirement obligations generally involve discounted future cash flows. Periodic accretion of such liabilities due to the passage of time is recorded as an operating expense in the accompanying consolidated financial statements. Upon settlement of the liability, we recognize a gain or loss for any difference between the settlement amount and the liability recorded.

Share-Based Compensation. As a result of our adoption of SFAS No. 123R, *Share-based Payment*, effective January 1, 2006, we are required to make certain estimates and judgments with respect to our share-based compensation programs. Such standard requires that we record compensation expense for all share-based awards based on the grant-date fair value of those awards. In determining the fair value of our share-based awards, we are required to make certain assumptions and estimates, including (i) the number of awards that may ultimately be forfeited by the recipients, (ii) the expected term of the underlying awards, and (iii) the future volatility associated with the price of our common stock. Such estimates, and the basis for our conclusions regarding such estimates, are outlined in detail in Note 3 in the notes to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this prospectus.

New Accounting Pronouncements

Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes. During the first quarter of 2007, we adopted the provisions of Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Interpretation No. 48 (FIN 48), Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109. This interpretation clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an enterprise s financial statements in accordance with SFAS No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes. The interpretation prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return and also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure, and transition. We applied the provisions of FIN 48 to all tax positions upon its initial adoption effective January 1, 2007, and determined that no cumulative effect adjustment was required as of such date. As of September 30, 2007, we had a \$0.2 million reserve for uncertain tax positions recorded pursuant to FIN 48. See Note 16 in the notes to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this prospectus for additional information regarding the Company s adoption of FIN 48.

Fair Value Measurements. In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements* (SFAS No. 157), which provides guidance on measuring the fair value of assets and liabilities in the financial statements. The provisions of SFAS No. 157 are effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, and interim periods within those fiscal

years. We are currently evaluating the impact, if any, this statement will have on our financial statements.

Fair Value Option. In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities* (SFAS No. 159), which provides companies the option to measure certain financial instruments and other items at fair value. The provisions of SFAS No. 159 are effective as of the beginning of fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. We are currently evaluating the impact, if any, this statement will have on our financial statements.

Registration Payment Arrangements. In December 2006, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position (FSP) Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) No. 00-19-2, Accounting for Registration Payment Arrangements (FSP EITF 00-19-2), which addresses an issuer s accounting for registration payment arrangements. Specifically, FSP EITF 00-19-2 specifies that the contingent obligation to make future payments or otherwise transfer consideration under a registration payment arrangement, whether issued as a separate agreement or included as a provision of a financial instrument or other agreement, should be separately recognized and measured in accordance with SFAS No. 5, Accounting for Contingencies. The guidance contained in this standard amends SFAS No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, as amended, and SFAS No. 150, Accounting for Certain Financial Instruments with Characteristics of both Liabilities and Equity, as well as FIN 45, Guarantor s Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others, to include scope exceptions for registration payment arrangements. FSP EITF 00-19-2 is effective immediately for registration payment arrangements and the financial instruments subject to those arrangements that are entered into or modified subsequent to the date of issuance of this standard. For registration payment arrangements and financial instruments subject to those arrangements that were entered into prior to the issuance of this standard, the guidance in the standard is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006, and interim periods within those fiscal years. Our adoption of this standard on January 1, 2007 had no impact on our financial statements. We are currently evaluating the impact that the implementation of FSP EITF 00-19-2 may have on our financial statements as it relates to our issuance of \$100.0 million of Series B Notes in July 2007, as we have agreed to file a registration statement with the SEC within 240 days of the issuance of the Series B Notes with respect to an offer to exchange each of the Series B Notes for a new issue of its debt securities registered under the Securities Act and to use reasonable best efforts to have the exchange offer become effective as soon as reasonably practicable after filing but in any event no later than 360 days after the initial issuance date of the Series B Notes.

Disclosure about Market Risk

Interest Rate Risk

Vault cash expense. Because our ATM cash rental expense is based on market rates of interest, it is sensitive to changes in the general level of interest rates in the United States, the United Kingdom, and Mexico. Our outstanding vault cash, which represents the cash we rent and place in our ATMs in cases where the merchant does not provide the cash, totaled approximately \$740.6 million in the United States, \$140.4 million in the United Kingdom, and \$6.3 million in Mexico as of September 30, 2007. We pay a monthly fee on the average amount of vault cash outstanding in the majority of our ATMs in the United States to Bank of America and PDNB under a formula based on LIBOR. We pay a monthly fee to ALCB in the United Kingdom based on a similar formula based on LIBOR. Under our recently executed vault cash arrangement with Wells Fargo for the acquired 7-Eleven ATMs and Vcomtm units, we pay a monthly fee to our vault cash provider there under a formula based on TIE.

As of September 30, 2007, we had entered into a number of LIBOR-based interest rate swaps to fix the rate of interest we pay on \$300.0 million of our current and anticipated outstanding domestic vault cash balances through December 31, 2008, \$200.0 million through December 31, 2009, and \$100.0 million through December 31, 2010. We have not currently entered into any derivative financial instruments to hedge our variable interest rate exposure in the United Kingdom or Mexico.

The effect of the domestic LIBOR-based swaps mentioned above was to fix the interest rate paid on the following notional amounts for the periods identified (in thousands):

| Notional Amount | Fixed Rate | | Period |
|-----------------|------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| \$ 300,000 | 4.00% | October 1, 2007 | December 31, 2007 |
| \$ 300,000 | 4.35% | January 1, 2008 | December 31, 2008 |
| \$ 200,000 | 4.36% | January 1, 2009 | December 31, 2009 |
| \$ 100,000 | 4.34% | January 1, 2010 | December 31, 2010 |

In conjunction with the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction, we entered into a separate vault cash agreement with Wells Fargo to supply the cash that we utilize in the operation of the 5,500 ATMs and Vcomtm units we acquired in that transaction. Under the terms of the vault cash agreement, we pay a monthly fee to Wells Fargo on the average amount of cash outstanding under a formula based on the federal funds effective rate. Subsequent to the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction, the outstanding vault cash balance for the acquired 7-Eleven ATMs and Vcomtm units averaged approximately \$350.0 million. As a result, our exposure to changes in domestic interest rates has significantly increased. Accordingly, we entered into additional interest rate swaps in August 2007 to limit our exposure to changing interest-based rental rates on \$250.0 million of our current and anticipated 7-Eleven ATM cash balances. The effect of these swaps was to fix the interest-based rental rate paid on the \$250.0 million notional amount at 4.93% (excluding the applicable margin) through December 2010.

As of September 30, 2007, our interest rate swaps had a carrying amount of \$2.5 million, which represented the fair value of such agreements based on third-party quotes for similar instruments with the same terms and conditions, as such instruments are required to be carried at fair value. These swaps have been classified as cash flow hedges pursuant to SFAS No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*, as amended. Accordingly, changes in the fair values of such swaps have been reported in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets. As a result of the Company s overall net loss position for tax purposes, we have not recorded taxes on the loss amount related to the Company s interest rate hedges as of September 30, 2007, as we do not believe that the Company will be able to realize the benefits associated with its deferred tax positions.

Net amounts paid or received under such swaps are recorded as adjustments to our Cost of ATM operating revenues in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations. During the year ended December 31, 2006 and the nine months ended September 30, 2007, the gains or losses as a result of ineffectiveness associated with our existing interest rate swaps were immaterial.

Based on the \$740.6 million in vault cash outstanding in the United States as of September 30, 2007, and assuming no benefits from the existing interest rate hedges that are currently in place, for every interest rate increase of 100 basis points, we would incur an additional \$7.4 million of vault cash rental expense on an annualized basis. Factoring in the \$550.0 million in interest rate swaps outstanding at September 30, 2007, as discussed above, for every interest rate increase of 100 basis points, we would incur an additional \$1.9 million of vault cash rental expense on an annualized

basis. Based on the \$140.4 million in vault cash outstanding in the United Kingdom as of September 30, 2007, for every interest rate increase

of 100 basis points, we would incur an additional \$1.4 million of vault cash rental expense on an annualized basis. Based on the \$6.3 million in vault cash outstanding in Mexico, we would incur roughly \$63,000 in additional vault cash rental expense on an annualized basis for every interest rate increase of 100 basis points.

Interest expense. Our interest expense is also sensitive to changes in the general level of interest rates in the United States, as our borrowings under our domestic revolving credit facility accrue interest at floating rates. As a result of the additional amount of borrowings outstanding under our revolving credit facility that were utilized to finance our acquisition of the ATM portfolio of 7-Eleven, our exposure to movement in interest rates will increase significantly going forward. Based on the \$105.6 million outstanding under such facility as of September 30, 2007, an increase of 100 basis points in the underlying interest rate would result in an additional \$1.1 million of interest expense on an annualized basis.

Recent upward pressure on short-term interest rates in the United States has resulted in slight increases in our interest expense under our bank credit facilities and our vault cash rental expense. Although we currently hedge a substantial portion of our vault cash interest rate risk through 2010, as noted above, we may not be able to enter into similar arrangements for similar amounts in the future. Any significant increase in interest rates in the future could have an adverse impact on our business, financial condition and results of operations by increasing our operating costs and expenses.

Finally, while the carrying amount of our cash and cash equivalents and other current assets and liabilities approximates fair value due to the relatively short maturities of these instruments, we are exposed to changes in market values of our investments and long-term debt. As discussed above, the carrying amount of our interest rate swaps approximates fair value as of September 30, 2007. In addition, the \$105.6 million carrying amount of the Company s long-term debt balance related to borrowings under our revolving credit facility approximates fair value due to the fact that such borrowings are subject to floating market interest rates. Conversely, the carrying amount of our \$200.0 million, 91/4% senior subordinated notes issued in August 2005 and \$100.0 million, 91/4% senior subordinated notes as of September 30, 2007, compared to a fair value of \$287.8 million. Such notes pay interest in semi-annual installments based on a 9.25% stated interest rate. The fair value of the senior subordinated notes as of September 30, 2007, was based on the quoted market prices for such notes.

Foreign Currency Exchange Risk

Due to our acquisition of Bank Machine in 2005 and our acquisition of a majority interest in Cardtronics Mexico in 2006, we are exposed to market risk from changes in foreign currency exchange rates, specifically with changes in the U.S. dollar relative to the British pound and Mexican peso. Our United Kingdom and Mexico subsidiaries are consolidated into our financial results and are subject to risks typical of international businesses including, but not limited to, differing economic conditions, changes in political climate, differing tax structures, other regulations and results of operations of Bank Machine and Cardtronics Mexico into U.S. dollars, with any corresponding translation gains or losses being recorded in other comprehensive income or loss in our consolidated financial statements. As of September 30, 2007, such translation gain totaled approximately \$11.1 million.

Our future results could be materially impacted by changes in the value of the British pound relative to the U.S. dollar. Additionally, as our Mexico operations expand, our future results could be materially impacted by changes in the value of the Mexican peso relative to the U.S. dollar. At this time, we have not deemed it to be cost effective to engage in a program of hedging the effect of foreign currency fluctuations on our operating results using derivative financial instruments. A sensitivity analysis indicates that, if the U.S. dollar uniformly strengthened or weakened 10% against the British pound, the effect upon Bank Machine s operating income for the nine month period ended September 30, 2007 would have been an unfavorable or favorable adjustment, respectively, of approximately \$0.3 million. Given the limited size and scope of Cardtronics Mexico s current operations, a similar sensitivity analysis would have resulted in a negligible adjustment to Cardtronics Mexico s financial results for the nine month period ended September 30, 2007.

We do not hold derivative commodity instruments and all of our cash and cash equivalents are held in money market and checking funds.

THE ATM INDUSTRY

A Typical ATM Transaction

A typical ATM transaction involves the withdrawal of cash from an ATM. The cardholder presents an ATM card, issued by his or her financial institution, at an ATM that may or may not be owned by the same financial institution. The cardholder then enters a personal identification number, or PIN, to verify identity, the cardholder s account is checked for adequate funds and, if everything is satisfactory, cash is dispensed. All of these communications are routed across one or more EFT networks that electronically connect ATMs and financial institutions and allow transactions to appear seamless and nearly instantaneous.

In the United States and Mexico, when a cardholder withdraws cash from an ATM that is not owned by the cardholder s financial institution, there are typically two charges applied. The first charge is the surcharge fee paid by the cardholder for using the ATM. The second charge is an interchange fee that the cardholder s financial institution pays to the ATM operator and the EFT network over which the transaction is routed. Often, the cardholder s financial institution also charges the cardholder a fee called a foreign fee for using an ATM not owned by that financial institution. This charge helps the financial institution defray the cost of the interchange fee it pays. Conversely, in the United Kingdom, when a cardholder withdraws cash from an ATM that is not owned by the cardholder s financial institution, either a surcharge fee or an interchange fee is charged, but not both. If a pay-to-use ATM is used, the cardholder is charged a surcharge fees are earned on all ATM transactions other than surcharge-bearing cash withdrawals.

History of the U.S. ATM Industry

The first ATMs in the United States were installed in the early 1970s, and by 1980, approximately 18,500 ATMs were in use throughout the nation. These ATMs initially were located at financial institution branches. According to *ATM&Debit News*, there were estimated to be approximately 415,000 ATMs in the United States in March 2007, the majority of which are located at non-bank locations. A non-bank location is one that is not located within a federal or state chartered bank, savings and loan, credit union or other financial institution.

Early in the development of the ATM industry, regional and national electronic authorization data networks, or EFT networks, connected ATMs to financial institutions that were members of a particular EFT network. Regional EFT networks in different parts of the United States were not electronically connected to each other. For example, customers of a bank in New York could not travel to Los Angeles and access their cash at an ATM because the networks serving New York and Los Angeles were not connected. During the 1990s, many regional EFT networks merged or entered into reciprocal processing agreements with other networks, which helped to increase ATM usage and spur consumer demand for ATM services.

Although ATMs were originally located only at financial institution branches, they soon began to appear in a variety of off-premise locations, such as convenience stores, supermarkets, drug stores, shopping malls, hotels, casinos, and airports. These locations offer a convenient alternative to obtaining cash from bank tellers, branch ATMs, or drive-through facilities. Both merchants and their customers benefit from the presence of an ATM in a store. Merchants benefit from increased consumer traffic, merchant fees received from the ATM operator, and reduced check-writing and credit card processing fees, while cardholders benefit from increased access to their cash. Deployment of off-premise ATMs, however, was impeded by the prevailing strategy among financial institutions not to charge their cardholders surcharge fees for the convenience of accessing their financial institution accounts at non-financial institution locations. Until 1996, most EFT networks did not allow surcharge fees for ATM transactions

Edgar Filing: CARDTRONICS INC - Form S-1/A

that were routed over their networks. However, beginning in that year, the two

largest EFT networks, Cirrus and Plus, began to allow surcharge fees and other networks followed.

Recent Trends in the U.S. ATM Industry

The introduction of surcharge revenue in the ATM market made the deployment of off-premise ATMs economically feasible and attractive for non-financial institutions. Following this shift, according to *ATM&Debit News*, the number of off-premise ATMs in the United States grew at a rapid pace, increasing in number from approximately 84,000 in 1998 to an estimated 236,000 off-premise ATMs in 2007. Additionally, this period of expansion in the off-premise business model saw a notable shift in the relative prevalence of on- and off-premise ATMs. As per *ATM&Debit News*, off-premise ATMs represented approximately 45% of total ATMs in the United States in 1998. By 2007, the market share of off-premise ATMs had grown to approximately 57%. Despite this long-term growth trend, the annual growth rate for off-premise ATMs has slowed considerably since 2003. Furthermore, the number of off-premise ATMs declined since 2005, indicating the continued maturation of the domestic off-premise ATM market.

The maturation of the domestic ATM market has seen an increase in the average surcharge rates charged by ATM operators. According to Dove Consulting, average surcharge rates on off-premise ATM transactions have increased by 21% from 2001 to 2006, rising from \$1.48 to \$1.79, respectively. On-premise ATMs have exhibited a similar trend, with average surcharge rates growing 20% over the same time period.

Source: ©Dove Consulting, 2006 ATM Deployer Study. Reprinted with Permission.

Additionally, despite the fact that electronic payment alternatives such as debit and prepaid cards have gained popularity in recent years, overall cash usage trends in the United States have remained stable. The overall level of domestic cash usage from 2001 to 2005 remained stable at approximately one-third of total transaction spending, maintaining a strong demand for convenient access for cash and ATM transactions.

Source: ©2005 American Bankers Association and Dove Consulting, *a division of Hitachi Consulting*. Reprinted with Permission. All Rights Reserved.

Developing Trends in the ATM Industry

Increase in Bank and Network Branding Arrangements. Many U.S. banks serving the market for consumer banking services are aggressively competing for market share, and part of their competitive strategy is to increase their number of customer touch points, including the establishment of an ATM network to provide convenient cash access to their customers. A large owned-ATM network would be a key strategic asset for a bank, but we also believe it would be uneconomical for all but the very largest banks to build and operate an extensive ATM network. Bank branding of ATMs and participation in surcharge-free networks allows financial institutions to rapidly increase surcharge-free ATM access for their customers at substantially less cost than building their own ATM networks. These factors have led to an increase in bank and network branding, and we believe that there will be continued growth in such arrangements.

Growth in International Markets. In many regions of the world, ATMs are less common than in the United States. We believe the ATM industry will grow faster in international markets than in the U.S., as the number of ATMs per capita in those markets approaches the U.S. level. In addition, there has been a trend towards growth of off-premise ATMs in several international markets, including the United Kingdom and Mexico.

The United Kingdom is the largest ATM market in Europe. Until the late 1990s, most U.K. ATMs were installed at bank and building society branches. Non-bank operators began to deploy ATMs in the United Kingdom in December 1998 when LINK (which connects together the ATM networks of all U.K. ATM operators) allowed them entry into its network via arrangements between non-bank operators and U.K. financial institutions. We believe that non-bank ATM operators have benefited in recent years from customer demand for more conveniently located cash machines, the emergence of internet banking with no established point of presence and the closure of bank branches due to consolidation. According to LINK, a total of approximately 60,000 ATMs were deployed in the United Kingdom as of December 2006, of which approximately 27,000 were operated by non-banks. This has grown from approximately 36,700 total ATMs in 2001, with less than 7,000 operated by non-banks. The following table



shows the compound annual growth rate (CAGR) for ATMs deployed in the United Kingdom from 2000 to 2006.

Source: APACS U.K. Payment Statistics 2007

Similar to the U.S., electronic payment alternatives have gained popularity in the U.K. in recent years. However, cash is still the primary payment method preferred by consumers, representing nearly two-thirds of total transaction spending.

Source: APACS U.K. Payment Statistics 2007

Annual ATM cash withdrawal transactions continue to remain strong in the U.K., reflecting consumers preference to utilize cash for their transaction spending.

Source: APACS U.K. Payment Statistics 2007.

According to the Central Bank of Mexico, as of December 2006, Mexico had approximately 25,600 ATMs operating throughout the country, substantially all of which are owned by national and regional banks. Historically, surcharge fees were not allowed pursuant to Mexican law. However, in July 2005, the Mexican government approved a measure that now allows ATM operators to charge a fee to individuals withdrawing cash from their ATMs. As a result of the Mexican government approving surcharging and the relatively low level of penetration of ATMs in Mexico, we believe that there will be significant growth in the number of ATMs owned by non-banks.

Outsourcing by Banks and Other Financial Institutions. While many banks and other financial institutions own significant networks of ATMs that serve as extensions of their branch networks and increase the level of service offered to their customers, large ATM networks are costly to operate and typically do not provide significant revenue for banks and other financial institutions. We believe there is an opportunity for large non-bank ATM operators with low costs and an established operating history to contract with financial institutions to manage their ATM networks. Such an outsourcing arrangement could reduce a financial institution s operational costs while extending their customer service.

BUSINESS

Company Overview

We operate the world s largest network of ATMs. Our network currently includes over 31,500 ATMs, principally in national and regional merchant locations throughout the United States, the United Kingdom and Mexico. Approximately 19,600 of the ATMs we operate are Company-owned and 11,900 are merchant-owned. Our high-traffic retail locations and national footprint make us an attractive partner for regional and national financial institutions which are seeking to increase their market penetration. Over 9,500 of our Company-owned ATMs are under contract with well-known banks to place their logos on such machines and provide surcharge-free access to their customers, making us the largest non-bank owner and operator of bank-branded ATMs in the United States. We also operate the Allpoint network, which sells surcharge-free access to financial institutions that lack a significant ATM network. We believe that Allpoint is the largest surcharge-free network in the United States based on the number of participating ATMs.

The following tables set forth our leading position among ATM operators in the U.S. and world-wide ATM markets:

| U.S. Rank | | U.S. ATMs | % of Total |
|-----------|---------------------------------|--------------|---------------|
| 1 | Cardtronics | 28,600 | 6.9% |
| 2 | Bank of America | 18,600 | 4.5% |
| 3 | ATM Express | 16,700 | 4.0% |
| 4 | TRM | 10,500 | 2.5% |
| 5 | PAI ATM Services | 8,700 | 2.1% |
| 6 | JPMorgan Chase | 8,600 | 2.1% |
| 7 | Wells Fargo | 6,800 | 1.6% |
| 8 | International Merchant Services | 5,900 | 1.4% |
| 9 | Wachovia Bank | 5,100 | 1.2% |
| 10 | Access to Money | 5,000 | 1.2% |
| | Тор 10 | 114,500 | 27.6% |
| | U.S. Market | 415,000 | 100.0% |

Source: 2008 EFT Data Book, excluding Cardtronics data which is based on internal data as of September 30, 2007.

| World-wide Rank | | World-wide ATMs | % of Total |
|--------------------|---|--------------------|---------------|
| 1 | Cardtronics (USA) | 31,500 | 2.0% |
| 2 | Japan Post (Japan) | 26,500 | 1.7% |
| 3 | Banco de Brasil (Brazil) | 26,300 | 1.7% |
| 4 | Banco Itau (Brazil) | 21,100 | 1.4% |
| 5 | Nat 1 Agricultural Co-op (South Korea) | 20,400 | 1.3% |
| 6 | Ind. & Commercial Bank of China (China) | 18,900 | 1.2% |
| 7 | Caixa Economica Federal (Brazil) | 18,900 | 1.2% |

Edgar Filing: CARDTRONICS INC - Form S-1/A

| 8 | Bank of America (USA) | 18,600 | $1.2\% \\ 1.1\%$ |
|----|---------------------------------|-----------|------------------|
| 9 | Bradesco (Brazil) | 16,600 | |
| 10 | China Construction Bank (China) | 15,800 | 1.0% |
| | Top 10 | 214,600 | 13.9% |
| | World-wide Market | 1,540,000 | 100.0% |

Source: Retail Banking Research, excluding Bank of America s data which is based on the 2008 EFT Data Book and Cardtronics data which is based on internal data as of September 30, 2007.

7-Eleven ATM Transaction

On July 20, 2007, we purchased substantially all of the assets of the 7-Eleven Financial Services Business for approximately \$138.0 million in cash. That amount included a \$2.0 million payment for estimated acquired working capital and approximately \$1.0 million in other related closing costs. Subsequent to September 30, 2007, the working capital payment was reduced to \$1.3 million based on actual working capital amounts outstanding as of the acquisition date, thus reducing the Company s overall cost of the acquisition to \$137.3 million. We financed the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction, including related fees and expenses, through the issuance of \$100.0 million in 91/4% senior subordinated notes due 2013 Series B, and borrowings under our amended revolving credit facility.

The 7-Eleven Financial Services Business operates approximately 5,500 ATMs, including approximately 2,000 Vcomtm units, which, in addition to standard ATM services, offer the Vcomtm Services. Because of the significance of this acquisition, our historical operating results are not expected to be indicative of our future operating results. See Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations included elsewhere in this prospectus for additional information. In connection with the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction, we entered into a placement agreement that will provide us, subject to certain conditions, with a ten-year exclusive right to operate all ATMs and Vcomtm units in 7-Eleven locations throughout the U.S., including any new stores opened or acquired by 7-Eleven.

For the year ended December 31, 2006 and the nine months ended September 30, 2007, the 7-Eleven Financial Services Business generated \$163.7 million and \$117.6 million of revenues, respectively, and \$10.8 million and \$4.4 million of net income, respectively. Those amounts include approximately \$18.7 million and \$4.6 million, respectively, of upfront placement fees received by 7-Eleven related to the development of its advanced-functionality services, approximately \$18.0 million and \$4.2 million of which are related to arrangements that ended prior to our acquisition of the 7-Eleven Financial Services Business, and thus will not continue in the future. While we believe we will continue to earn some placement fee revenues related to the acquired financial services business of 7-Eleven, we expect those amounts to be substantially less than those earned historically. We have estimated that the Vcomtm Services generated an operating profit of \$11.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2006 and an operating loss of \$3.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2007. However, excluding the upfront placement fees, which are not expected to continue in the future, the Vcomtm Services generated operating losses, based upon our analysis, of \$6.6 million and \$7.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2006 and nine months ended September 30, 2007, respectively. It is our expectation that the acquired Vcomtm operations will continue to generate operating losses subsequent to the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction. However, we believe that the right mix of services and locations, coupled with effective targeted marketing strategies, could lead to improved financial results for this portion of the acquired business, and we are, therefore, currently working to restructure that portion of the acquired business. In the event we are unable to improve the financial results of the acquired Vcomtm operations, and we incur cumulative operating losses of \$10.0 million associated with providing the Vcomtm Services, including \$1.5 million in contract termination costs, our current intent is to terminate the Vcomtm Services and utilize the Vcomtm machines solely to provide traditional ATM services. See Risk Factors Risks Related to Our Business In connection with the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction, we acquired advanced-functionality Vcomtm machines with significant potential for providing new services. Failure to achieve market acceptance among users could lead to continued losses from the Vcomtm Services, which could adversely affect our operating results.

We believe that the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction portfolio provides us with substantial benefits and opportunities, including the following:

Additional High-Volume, Prime Retail Locations. The ATMs we acquired in the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction averaged over 1,000 withdrawal transactions per month during 2006, which compares favorably to the average of 404 withdrawal transactions per month for our existing ATM portfolio during the same period.

Internal Growth Opportunities. We agreed to a ten-year ATM placement agreement that will give us, subject to certain conditions, the exclusive right to operate all ATMs and Vcomtm units in existing and future 7-Eleven store locations in the U.S. during the term of the agreement. Additionally, with 7-Eleven being the largest convenience store operator in the world (with over 33,200 locations worldwide), we believe that our relationship with 7-Eleven may afford us the opportunity to further expand internationally.

Bank Branding and Outsourcing Opportunities. When combined with our existing portfolio of ATMs, the approximately 5,500 ATM and Vcomtm units located in 7-Eleven store locations, which are currently branded with the Citibank brand, bring the total number of our Company-owned ATMs under bank branding arrangements to approximately 9,500. We believe that the combined bank branded portfolio, which is the largest of its kind in the industry, will lead to future branding opportunities for many of the unbranded retail locations remaining within our portfolio of Company-owned ATMs.

Surcharge-Free Offering Opportunities. The 7-Eleven ATM portfolio currently participates in two surcharge-free networks, the CO-OP[®] network, the nation s largest surcharge-free network devoted exclusively to credit unions, and FSCC, a cooperative service organization providing shared branching services for credit unions. We also believe the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction provides opportunities to expand our surcharge-free network offerings.

Advanced-Functionality Opportunities. The 7-Eleven ATM Transaction provides us with a unique opportunity to participate in the advanced kiosk-based financial services market within the U.S. through the Vcomtm Services. Such services may provide for additional growth opportunities as additional merchants and financial institutions seek to take advantage of these services.

Operational Synergies. We expect our extensive industry experience and operational expertise as a low cost provider to allow us to take advantage of certain operational synergies that may be realized from the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction, as existing contracts with service providers begin to expire at the end of 2009. Furthermore, because of the nature of such contracts, the initial integration of the acquired 7-Eleven Financial Services Business is not expected to negatively impact our ongoing operations.

Other Acquisitions

In addition to the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction, we have made 14 other acquisitions in prior years both in the United States and internationally. These acquisitions included:

In February 2006, we acquired a 51.0% ownership stake in CCS Mexico, an independent ATM operator located in Mexico, for approximately \$1.0 million in cash consideration and the assumption of approximately \$0.4 million in additional liabilities. At the time of the acquisition, CCS Mexico operated approximately 300 ATMs.

In December 2005, we acquired all of the outstanding shares of ATM National, Inc., the owner and operator of the Allpoint nationwide surcharge-free ATM network. The consideration for such acquisition totaled \$4.8 million.

In May 2005, we purchased 100% of the outstanding shares of Bank Machine (Acquisitions) Limited for approximately \$95.0 million. At the time of the acquisition, Bank

Edgar Filing: CARDTRONICS INC - Form S-1/A

Table of Contents

Machine (Acquisitions) Limited operated approximately 1,000 ATMs in the United Kingdom.

In April 2005, we acquired a portfolio of 330 ATMs, primarily at BP Amoco locations throughout the midwest region, for approximately \$9.0 million in cash.

In March 2005, we acquired a portfolio of 475 ATMs located in the greater New York Metro area from BAS Communications for approximately \$8.2 million in cash.

In June 2004, we acquired the ATM business owned by E*TRADE Access, Inc. for \$106.9 million in cash. At the time of the acquisition, E*TRADE Access, Inc. operated 13,155 ATMs in the United States. Historical audited financial statements for this company (ATM Company) are included elsewhere herein.

We believe that this experience and our disciplined integration approach reduces the risks associated with acquiring additional portfolios of ATMs. Because we do not typically assume significant numbers of employees nor import new operating systems in connection with our ATM portfolio or asset acquisitions, we believe such acquisitions have relatively low integration/migration risk when compared to business acquisitions (such as the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction). We also believe our acquisition risk, for both ATM portfolio acquisitions and business acquisitions, is somewhat reduced because the financial performance of ATMs we acquire is relatively predictable given our access to third-party data on the transaction history and revenues of the ATMs we acquire. This predictability is also enhanced by the well-understood nature of our operating costs per machine and per transaction.

The scale of our operations allows us to significantly reduce the overhead associated with acquired ATM portfolios as well as reduce operating costs by taking advantage of our existing vendor contracts. In addition, we have been able to successfully grow several of our acquired ATM portfolios and businesses by deploying additional ATMs under the merchant contracts associated with such acquisitions. This has resulted in improved operating cash flow and high returns on capital for several of our transactions. For example, the current annual EBITDA on the ATM business acquired from E*TRADE Access, Inc. is approximately three times the annual EBITDA at the time of acquisition.

Our Products and Services

We typically provide our leading merchant customers with all of the services required to operate an ATM, which include transaction processing, cash management, maintenance, and monitoring. We believe our merchant customers value our high level of service, our 24-hour per day monitoring and accessibility, and that our U.S. ATMs are on-line and able to serve customers an average of 98.5% of the time. In connection with the operation of our ATMs and our customers ATMs, we generate revenue on a per-transaction basis from the surcharge fees charged to cardholders for the convenience of using our ATMs and from interchange fees charged to such cardholders financial institutions for processing the ATM transactions. The following table provides detail relating to the number of ATMs we owned and operated under our various arrangements as of September 30, 2007:

| | Company- Owned | Merchant- Owned | Total |
|-----------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------|
| Number of ATMs | 19,649 | 11,937 | 31,586 |
| Percent of total ATMs | 62.2% | 37.8% | 100.0% |

We generally operate our ATMs under multi-year contracts that provide a recurring and stable source of transaction-based revenue and typically have an initial term of five to seven years. As of September 30, 2007, our contracts with our top 10 merchant customers had a weighted average remaining life (based on revenues) of 8 years,

Table of Contents

Edgar Filing: CARDTRONICS INC - Form S-1/A

including the ten-year placement agreement we entered into with 7-Eleven in July 2007.

Recently, we have entered into arrangements with financial institutions to brand certain of our Company-owned ATMs. A branding arrangement allows a financial institution to expand its geographic presence for a fraction of the cost of building a branch location and typically for less than the cost of placing one of its own ATMs at that location. Such an arrangement allows a financial institution to rapidly increase its number of branded ATM sites and improve their competitive position. Under these arrangements, the branding institution s customers are allowed to use the branded ATM without paying a surcharge fee to us. In return, we receive monthly fees on a per-ATM basis from the branding institution, while retaining our standard fee schedule for other cardholders using the branded ATM. In addition, we typically receive increased interchange revenue as a result of increased usage of our ATMs by the branding arrangements as part of our growth strategy. Prior to 2006, we had bank branding arrangements in place on less than 1,000 of our Company-owned ATMs. However, as a result of our increased sales efforts, the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction, and financial institutions realizing the significant benefits and opportunities afforded to them through bank branding programs, we currently have branding arrangements in place with 18 domestic financial institutions involving approximately 9,500 Company-owned ATMs. The 7-Eleven ATM Transaction added 5,500 of these ATMs, which are branded with the Citibank brand.

Another type of surcharge-free program we offer in addition to branding our ATMs is through our Allpoint and MasterCard[®] nationwide surcharge-free ATM networks. Under the Allpoint network, financial institutions who are members of the network pay us a fixed monthly fee per cardholder in exchange for us providing their cardholders with surcharge-free access to most of our domestic owned and/or operated ATMs. Under the MasterCard[®] network, we provide surcharge-free access to most of our domestic owned and/or managed ATMs to cardholders of financial institutions who participate in the network and who utilize a MasterCard[®] debit card. In return for providing this service, we receive a fee from MasterCard[®] for each surcharge-free withdrawal transaction conducted on our network. The Allpoint and MasterCard[®] networks offer attractive alternatives to financial institutions that lack their own distributed ATM network. We acquired all of the outstanding shares of ATM National, Inc., the owner and operator of the Allpoint network, in December 2005. In September 2006, we implemented our surcharge-free relationships with CO-OP[®], the nation s largest surcharge-free network for credit unions, and FSCC, a cooperative service organization providing shared branching services for credit unions, thus further enhancing our surcharge-free offerings.

We have found that the primary factor affecting transaction volumes at a given ATM is its location. Our strategy in deploying our ATMs, particularly those placed under Company-owned arrangements, is to identify and deploy ATMs at locations that provide high visibility and high transaction volume. Our experience has demonstrated that the following locations often meet these criteria: convenience stores and combination convenience stores and gas stations, grocery stores, airports, and major regional and national retail outlets. The 5,500 locations that we added to our portfolio as a result of the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction are a prime example of the types of locations that we seek when deploying our ATMs. In addition to the 7-Eleven locations, we have also entered into multi-year agreements with a number of other merchants, including A&P, Albertsons, Chevron, Costco, CVS Pharmacy, Duane Reade, ExxonMobil, Giant, Hess Corporation, Kroger, Rite Aid, Sunoco, Target, Walgreens, and Winn-Dixie in the United States; Alfred Jones, Martin McColl, McDonalds, The Noble Organisation, Odeon Cinemas, Spar, Tates, and Vue Cinemas in the United Kingdom; and Fragua and OXXO in Mexico. We believe that once a cardholder establishes a pattern of using a particular ATM, the cardholder will generally continue to use that ATM.

Merchant Customers

In the United States, we have contracts with approximately 40 major national and regional merchants, including convenience stores, supermarkets, drug stores, and other high-traffic retail chains, and ATMs in approximately 11,400 locations with independent merchants. In the United Kingdom, we have contracts with approximately 30 national and regional merchants and approximately 600 independent merchants. In Mexico, a majority of the ATMs currently deployed are with independent merchants, though we have recently begun deploying ATMs with two merchants that have retail locations throughout Mexico. Prior to the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction, no single merchant customer s ATM locations generated fees that accounted for more than 5.0% of our total revenues for the year ended December 31, 2006. As a result of the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction, 7-Eleven is now the largest merchant customer in our portfolio, representing approximately 35.8% and 33.6% of our total pro forma revenues for the year ended December 31, 2006 and the nine months ended September 30, 2007, respectively. The underlying merchant agreement with 7-Eleven has an initial term of 10 years from the effective date of the acquisition. In addition to 7-Eleven, our next four largest merchant customers are CVS, Walgreens, Target and ExxonMobil, and they collectively generated 10.2% and 12.0% of our total pro forma revenues for the year ended September 30, 2007, respectively.

The terms of our merchant contracts vary as a result of negotiations at the time of execution. In the case of Company-owned ATMs, which are typically deployed with our major national and regional merchants, the contract terms vary, but typically include the following:

an initial term of five to seven years;

exclusive deployment of ATMs at locations where we install an ATM;

our right to increase surcharge fees;

our right to remove ATMs at underperforming locations without having to pay a termination fee;

in the United States, our right to terminate or remove ATMs or renegotiate the fees payable to the merchant if surcharge fees are generally reduced or eliminated by law; and

provisions making the merchant s fee dependent on the number of ATM transactions.

Our contracts under merchant-owned arrangements typically include similar terms, as well as the following additional terms:

in the United States, provisions prohibiting in-store check cashing by the merchant and, in the United States and United Kingdom, the operation of any other cash-back devices;

provisions imposing an obligation on the merchant to operate the ATMs at any time its stores are open for business; and

provisions, when possible, that require the assumption of our contract in the event a merchant sells its stores.

Sales and Marketing

Our sales and marketing team focuses principally on developing new relationships with national and regional merchants as well as on building and maintaining relationships with our existing merchants. The team is organized

Table of Contents

Edgar Filing: CARDTRONICS INC - Form S-1/A

into groups that specialize in marketing to specific merchant industry segments, which allows us to tailor our offering to the specific requirements of each merchant customer. In addition to the merchant-focused sales and marketing group, we have a sales and marketing group that is focused on developing and managing our relationships with financial institutions, as we look to expand the types of services that we

offer to such institutions. As of September 30, 2007, our sales and marketing team was composed of 50 employees, of which those who are exclusively focused on sales typically receive a combination of incentive-based compensation and a base salary.

In addition to targeting new business opportunities, our sales and marketing team supports our acquisition initiatives by building and maintaining relationships with newly acquired merchants. We seek to identify growth opportunities within each merchant account by analyzing the merchant s sales at each of its locations, foot traffic, and various demographic data to determine the best opportunities for new ATM placements. Subsequent to the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction, our sales and marketing team members are now working to strengthen our relationship with 7-Eleven, as well as our relationships with Citibank and other branding partners. Additionally, our sales and marketing team is focused on increasing the number of ATMs we have deployed in the United Kingdom and Mexico by expanding the relationships with our existing merchants and by targeting potential new merchants.

Technology

Our technology and operations platform consists of ATM equipment, ATM and internal network infrastructure (including in-house ATM transaction processing capabilities), cash management, and customer service. This platform is designed to provide our merchant customers with what we believe is a high quality suite of services.

ATM Equipment. In the United States and Mexico, we purchase ATMs from national manufacturers, including NCR, Diebold, Triton Systems, and Wincor Nixdorf and place them in our merchant customers locations. The portfolio of equipment we purchased in the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction is comprised of traditional ATMs manufactured by NCR and Diebold and advanced Vcomtm units manufactured by NCR. The wide range of advanced technology available from these ATM manufacturers provides our merchant customers with advanced features and reliability through sophisticated diagnostics and self-testing routines. The different machine types can all perform basic functions, such as dispensing cash and displaying account information. However, some of our ATMs are modular and upgradeable so they can be adapted to provide additional services in response to changing technology and consumer demand. For example, a portion of our ATMs can be upgraded to accept deposits through the installation of additional hardware and software components.

We operate three basic types of ATMs in the United Kingdom: (1) convenience, which are internal to a merchant s premises, (2) through the wall, which are external to a merchant s premises, and (3) pods, a free-standing kiosk style ATM, also located external to a merchant s premises. The ATMs are principally manufactured by NCR.

Transaction Processing. We place significant emphasis on providing quality service with a high level of security and minimal interruption. We have carefully selected support vendors to optimize the performance of our ATM network. In addition, our third-party transaction processors provide sophisticated security analysis and monitoring 24 hours a day.

In late 2006, we implemented our own in-house transaction processing operation, which is based in Dallas, Texas. This initiative enables us to monitor transactions on our ATMs and to control the flow and content of information on the ATM screen. As of October 31, 2007, we had converted approximately 10,000 ATMs over to our in-house transaction processing switch, and we currently expect this initiative to be completed by December 31, 2008. As with our existing ATM network operation, we have carefully selected support vendors to help ensure the security and continued performance of such operation. In conjunction with the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction, we assumed a master ATM management agreement with Fiserv under which Fiserv currently provides a number of ATM-related services to the 7-Eleven ATMs, including transaction processing, network hosting, network sponsorship, maintenance, cash management, and cash replenishment. Additionally, similar to our in-house transaction processing switch, the 7-

Eleven Financial Services Business had its own processing operations that it used to process transactions for the 2,000 Vcomtm units. As with our in-house processing operation, carefully-selected support vendors will continue to help ensure the security and continued performance of the acquired processing operation. We will continue to operate both our in-house processing switch and the acquired processing switch until such time as the 7-Eleven Financial Services Business operations can be fully integrated into our current operations.

Internal Systems. Our internal systems, including our in-house processing switch, include multiple layers of security to help protect them from unauthorized access. Protection from external sources is provided by the use of hardware and software-based security features that isolate our sensitive systems. We also use commercially-available encryption technology to protect communications. On our internal network, we employ user authentication and anti-virus tools at multiple levels. These systems are protected by detailed security rules to limit access to all critical systems, and, to our knowledge, our security systems have never been breached. Our systems components are directly accessible by a limited number of employees on a need-only basis. Our gateway connections to our EFT network service providers provide us with real-time access to transaction details, such as cardholder verification, authorization, and funds transfer. We have installed these communications circuits with backup connectivity to help protect us from telecommunications problems in any particular circuit.

We use commercially-available and custom software that continuously monitors the performance of the ATMs in our network, including details of transactions at each ATM and expenses relating to that ATM, such as fees payable to the merchant. This software permits us to generate detailed financial information for each ATM location, allowing us to monitor each location s profitability. We analyze transaction volume and profitability data to determine whether to continue operating at a given site, how to price various operating arrangements with merchants and branding arrangements, and to create a profile of successful ATM locations so as to assist us in deciding the best locations for additional ATM deployments.

Cash Management. We have our own internal cash management department that utilizes data generated by our cash providers, internally generated data, and a proprietary methodology to confirm daily orders, audit delivery of cash to armored couriers and ATMs, monitor cash balances for cash shortages, coordinate and manage emergency cash orders, and audit costs from both armored couriers and cash providers.

Our cash management department uses commercially-available software and proprietary analytical models to determine the necessary fill frequency and load amount for each ATM. Based on location, day of the week, upcoming holidays and events, and other factors, we project cash requirements for each ATM on a daily basis. After receiving a cash order from us, the cash provider forwards the request to its vault location nearest to the applicable ATM. Personnel at the vault location then arrange for the requested amount of cash to be set aside and made available for the designated armored courier to access and subsequently transport to the ATM.

Customer Service. We believe one of the factors that differentiates us from our competitors is our customer service responsiveness and proactive approach to managing any ATM downtime. We use an advanced software package that monitors the performance of our Company-owned ATMs 24 hours a day for service interruptions and notifies our maintenance vendors for prompt dispatch of necessary service calls. The 3,500 traditional ATMs acquired in the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction will continue to be monitored and serviced under the Fiserv ATM management agreement. Additionally, the 2,000 Vcomtm units acquired will continue to be monitored under a third-party service agreement.

Finally, we use a commercially-available software package to maintain a database of transactions made on and performance metrics for all of our ATM locations. This data is aggregated into individual merchant customer profiles that are readily accessible by our

customer service representatives and managers. We believe our proprietary database enables us to provide superior quality and accessible and reliable customer support.

Primary Vendor Relationships

To maintain an efficient and flexible operating structure, we outsource certain aspects of our operations, including transaction processing, cash management, and maintenance. Due to the number of ATMs we operate, we believe we have obtained favorable pricing terms from most of our major vendors. We contract for the provision of the services described below in connection with our operations.

Transaction Processing. We contract with and pay fees to third parties who process transactions originating from our ATMs and that are not processed directly through our own in-house processing switch. These processors communicate with the cardholder s financial institution through an EFT network to obtain transaction authorization and settle transactions. These transaction processors include Star Systems, Fiserv, Lynk and Elan Financial Services (formerly Genpass) in the United States, LINK and Euronet in the United Kingdom, and Promocion y Operacion S.A. (Prosa) in Mexico. Although the Company has recently moved towards in-house processing, such processing efforts are primarily focused on controlling the flow and content of information on the ATM screen. As such, we expect to continue to rely on third party service providers to handle our connections to the EFT networks and to perform selected fund settlement and reconciliation processes.

Transactions originating on traditional ATMs acquired in the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction will continue to be processed under the ATM management agreement with Fiserv, who maintains relationships with the major U.S. networks. Transactions originating on a Vcomtm unit will continue to be processed on the 7-Eleven Financial Services Business in-house processing switch, which we also acquired as a part of the acquisition.

EFT Network Services. Our transactions are routed over various EFT networks to obtain authorization for cash disbursements and to provide account balances. Such networks include Star, Pulse, NYCE, Cirrus, and Plus in the United States; LINK in the United Kingdom; and Prosa in Mexico. EFT networks set the interchange fees that they charge to the financial institutions, as well as the amount paid to us. We attempt to maximize the utility of our ATMs to cardholders by participating in as many EFT networks as practical. The 3,500 traditional ATMs and 2,000 Vccmtm units acquired in the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction will continue to access the networks under the arrangements Fiserv has with the networks.

ATM Equipment. As previously noted, we purchase substantially all of our ATMs from national manufacturers, including NCR, Diebold, Triton Systems, and Wincor Nixdorf. The large quantity of ATMs that we purchase from these manufacturers enables us to receive favorable pricing and payment terms. In addition, we maintain close working relationships with these manufacturers in the course of our business, allowing us to stay informed regarding product updates and to minimize technical problems with purchased equipment. Under our Company-owned arrangements, we deploy high quality, multi-function ATMs. Under our merchant-owned arrangements, we deploy ATMs that are cost-effective and appropriate for the merchant. These are purchased from a variety of ATM vendors. Although we currently purchase a substantial majority of our ATMs from NCR, we believe our relationships with our other ATM suppliers are good and that we would be able to purchase the ATMs we require for our Company-owned operations from other ATM manufacturers if we were no longer able to purchase ATMs from NCR.

ATM Maintenance. In the United States, we typically contract with third-party service providers for the provision of on-site maintenance services. We have multi-year maintenance agreements with Diebold, NCR, and Pendum (formerly EFMARK) in the United States. In the United Kingdom, maintenance services are provided by in-house technicians. In Mexico, during

2006, such maintenance was provided by in-house technicians or local third-party contractors. However, given our expected growth in the region, we entered into a multi-year agreement with Diebold in the first quarter of 2007 to provide all maintenance services for our ATMs in Mexico.

In connection with the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction, we assumed a number of multi-year, third-party service contracts previously entered into by the 7-Eleven Financial Services Business. Historically, Fiserv has contracted with NCR to provide on-site maintenance services to the acquired ATMs and Vcomtm units. We will continue to operate under the current terms of these agreements until such time as they are renegotiated or expire.

Cash Management. We obtain cash to fill our Company-owned, and in some cases merchant-owned, ATMs under arrangements with our cash providers, which consist of Bank of America, Wells Fargo, and PDNB in the United States, ALCB in the United Kingdom, and Bansi in Mexico. In the United States and United Kingdom, we currently pay a monthly fee on the average amount outstanding to our primary vault cash providers under a formula based on LIBOR. For the ATMs acquired in the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction, we pay a monthly fee for the vault cash utilized in the 5,500 ATMs and Vcomtm units under a floating rate formula based on the federal funds effective rate. In Mexico, we pay a monthly fee for this cash under a formula based on the Mexican Interbank Rate. At all times, the cash legally belongs to the cash providers, and we have no access or right to the cash.

We also contract with third parties to provide us with cash management services, which include reporting, armored courier coordination, cash ordering, cash insurance, reconciliation of ATM cash balances, ATM cash level monitoring, and claims processing with armored couriers, financial institutions, and processors.

As of September 30, 2007, we had \$740.6 million in cash in our domestic ATMs under these arrangements, of which approximately 50.8% was provided by Bank of America under a vault cash agreement that runs until October 2008 and 48.5% was provided by Wells Fargo under a vault cash agreement that runs until July 2009 for the operation of the acquired 5,500 ATMs and Vcomtm units. In the United Kingdom, the balance of cash held in our ATMs as of September 30, 2007, was approximately \$140.4 million. In Mexico, our balance totaled approximately \$6.3 million as of September 30, 2007.

Cash Replenishment. We contract with armored courier services to transport and transfer cash to our ATMs. We use leading armored couriers such as Brink s Incorporated (Brink s), Loomis, Fargo & Co., and Pendum (formerly EFMARK, Premium Armored Services, Inc., and Bantek West, Inc.) in the United States; and Brink s, Group 4 Securicor, and Securitas in the United Kingdom. Under these arrangements, the armored couriers pick up the cash in bulk and, using instructions received from our cash providers, prepare the cash for delivery to each ATM on the designated fill day. Following a predetermined schedule, the armored couriers visit each location on the designated fill day, load cash into each ATM by either adding additional cash into a cassette or by swapping out the remaining cash for a new fully loaded cassette, and then balance the machine and provide cash reporting to the applicable cash provider. In Mexico, we utilize a flexible replenishment schedule, which enables us to minimize our cash inventory by allowing the ATM to be replenished on an as needed basis and not on a fixed recurring schedule. Cash needs are forecasted in advance and the ATMs are closely monitored on a daily basis. Once a terminal is projected to need cash within a specified number of days, the cash is procured and the armored vendor is scheduled so that the terminal is loaded approximately one day prior to the day that it is expected to run out of cash. Our primary armored courier service providers in Mexico are Compañia Mexicana de Servicio de Traslado de Valores (Cometra) and Panamericano.

Seasonality

In the United States and Mexico, our overall business is somewhat seasonal in nature with generally fewer transactions occurring in the first quarter. We typically experience increased transaction levels during the holiday buying season at our ATMs located in shopping malls and lower volumes in the months following the holiday season. Similarly, we have seen increases in transaction volumes in the spring at our ATMs located near popular spring-break destinations. Conversely, transaction volumes at our ATMs located in regions affected by strong winter weather patterns typically decline as a result of decreases in the amount of consumer traffic through certain locations in which we operate our ATMs. These declines, however, have been offset somewhat by increases in the number of our ATMs located in shopping malls and other retail locations that benefit from increased consumer traffic during the holiday buying season. We expect these location-specific and regional fluctuations in transaction volumes to continue in the future. Finally, we anticipate that the ATMs acquired in the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction will have transaction patterns similar to our other company-owned ATMs located in convenience stores, which typically experience lower transaction levels in winter months.

In the United Kingdom, seasonality in transaction patterns tends to be similar to the seasonal patterns in the general retail market. Generally, the highest transaction volumes occur on weekend days in each of our markets and, thus, monthly transaction volumes will fluctuate based on the number of weekend days in a given month. However, we, like other independent ATM operators, experience a drop in the number of transactions we process during the Christmas season due to consumers greater tendency to shop in the vicinity of free ATMs and our closure of some of our ATM sites over the Christmas break. We expect these location-specific and regional fluctuations in transaction volumes to continue in the future.

Competition

We compete with financial institutions and other independent ATM companies for additional ATM placements, new merchant accounts, and acquisitions. Several of our competitors, namely national financial institutions, are larger and more established. While these entities may have fewer ATMs than we do, they have greater financial and other resources than us. For example, our major domestic competitors include banks such as Bank of America, US Bancorp, Wachovia, and PNC Corp. as well as independent ATM operators such as ATM Express, Innovus, and TRM Corp. In the United Kingdom, we compete with several large non-bank ATM operators, including Cardpoint, Notemachine, and Paypoint, as well as banks such as the Royal Bank of Scotland, Barclays, and Lloyds, among others. In Mexico, we compete primarily with national and regional financial institutions, including Banamex, Bancomer, and HSBC. Although the independent ATM market is still relatively undeveloped in Mexico, we have recently seen a number of small ATM operators initiate operations. These operators, which are typically known by the names of their sponsoring banks, include Banco Inbursa, Afirme, and Bajio.

Despite the level of competition we face, many of our competitors have not historically had a singular focus on ATM management. As a result, we believe our focus solely on ATM management and related services gives us a significant competitive advantage. In addition, we believe the scale of our extensive ATM network and our focus on customer service also provide significant competitive advantages.

Government and Industry Regulation

United States

Our principal business, ATM network ownership and operation, is not subject to significant government regulation, though we are subject to certain industry regulations. Furthermore, various aspects of our business are subject to state regulation. Our failure to comply with applicable laws and regulations could result in restrictions on our ability to provide our products and services in such states, as well as the imposition of civil fines.

Americans With Disabilities Act (ADA). The ADA currently prescribes provisions that ATMs be made accessible to and independently usable by individuals who are visually-impaired. The Department of Justice may adopt new accessibility guidelines under the ADA that will include provisions addressing ATMs and how to make them more accessible to the disabled. Under the proposed guidelines that have been published for comment but not yet adopted, ATM height and reach requirements would be shortened, keypads would be required to be laid out in the manner of telephone keypads, and ATMs would be required to possess speech capabilities, among other modifications. If adopted, these new guidelines would affect the manufacture of ATM equipment going forward and could require us to retrofit ATMs in our network as those ATMs are refurbished or updated for other purposes.

Additionally, recently proposed Accessibility Guidelines under the ADA would require voice-enabling technology for newly installed ATMs and for ATMs that are otherwise retrofitted or substantially modified. We are committed to ensuring that all of our ATMs comply with all applicable ADA laws, and, although these new rules have not yet been adopted by the Department of Justice, we currently plan to make substantially all of our Company-owned ATMs voice-enabled in conjunction with our security upgrade efforts (discussed below) in 2007. Additionally, in connection with our E*TRADE Access acquisition, we assumed obligations related to litigation instituted by the National Federation of the Blind relating to these matters. However, in June 2007, the parties to this litigation completed and executed a settlement agreement, which we believe will be approved by the court. If approved, we believe this settlement will be beneficial as it imposes no unreasonable requirements upon us in the way of the deployment of additional ATMs, would not result in significant additional costs over our current ADA upgrade effort, and would serve to end this litigation. For additional information on these matters, see Legal Proceedings below.

Rehabilitation Act. On November 26, 2006, a U.S. District Judge ruled that the United States currencies (as currently designed) violate the Rehabilitation Act, a law that prohibits discrimination in government programs on the basis of disability, as the paper currencies issued by the U.S. are identical in size and color, regardless of denomination. Under the current ruling, the U.S. Treasury Department has been ordered to develop ways in which to differentiate paper currencies such that an individual who is visually-impaired would be able to distinguish between the different denominations. In response to the November 26, 2006 ruling, the Justice Department has filed an appeal with the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit, requesting that the decision be overturned on the grounds that varying the size of denominations could cause significant burdens on the vending machine industry and cost the Bureau of Engraving and Printing an initial investment of \$178.0 million and up to \$50.0 million in new printing plates. While it is still uncertain at this time what the outcome of the appeals process will be, in the event the current ruling is not overturned, participants in the ATM industry (including us) may be forced to incur significant costs to upgrade current machines hardware and software components.

Encrypting Pin Pad (*EPP*) *and Triple-DES*. Data encryption makes ATMs more tamper-resistant. Two of the more recently developed advanced data encryption methods are commonly referred to as EPP and Triple-DES. In 2005, we adopted a policy that any new ATMs that

we acquire from a manufacturer must be both EPP and Triple-DES compliant. Because the EFT networks are requiring that all ATMs be Triple-DES compliant by the end of 2007, we have budgeted approximately \$14.0 million to accomplish this encryption upgrade for all of our Company-owned ATMs by the end of this year. We believe this time frame will be acceptable to the major processing networks.

Surcharge Regulation. The imposition of surcharges is not currently subject to federal regulation. There have been, however, various state and local efforts to ban or limit surcharges, generally as a result of activities of consumer advocacy groups that believe that surcharges are unfair to cardholders. Generally, United States federal courts have ruled against these efforts. We are not aware of any existing surcharging bans or limits applicable to us in any of the jurisdictions in which we currently do business. Nevertheless, there can be no assurance that surcharges will not be banned or limited in the cities and states where we operate. Such a ban or limit would have a material adverse effect on us and other ATM operators.

EFT Network Regulations. EFT regional networks have adopted extensive regulations that are applicable to various aspects of our operations and the operations of other ATM network operators. The Electronic Fund Transfer Act, commonly known as Regulation E, is the major source of EFT network regulations. The regulations promulgated under Regulation E establish the basic rights, liabilities, and responsibilities of consumers who use electronic fund transfer services and of financial institutions that offer these services. The services covered include, among other services, ATM transactions. Generally, Regulation E requires us to provide notice of the fee to be charged the consumer, establish limits on the consumer s liability for unauthorized use of his card, provide receipts to the consumer, and establish protest procedures for the consumer. We believe that we are in material compliance with these regulations and, if any deficiencies were discovered, that we would be able to correct them before they had a material adverse impact on our business.

United Kingdom

In the United Kingdom, MasterCard International has required compliance with an encryption standard called Europay, MasterCard, Visa, or EMV . The EMV standard provides for the security and processing of information contained on microchips imbedded in certain debit and credit cards, known as smart cards. As of September 30, 2007, all of our ATMs in the United Kingdom were EMV compliant, except for ATM transactions that are originated through MasterCard branded credit cards. We expect that we will achieve EMV compliance for such cards in January 2008 and have taken precautionary measures to prevent further loss in the interim. As a result of these compliance standards, our liability for fraudulent transactions conducted on our ATMs in the United Kingdom should be substantially reduced.

Additionally, the Treasury Select Committee of the House of Commons heard evidence in 2005 from interested parties with respect to surcharges in the ATM industry. This committee was formed to investigate public concerns regarding the ATM industry, including (1) adequacy of disclosure to ATM customers regarding surcharges, (2) whether ATM providers should be required to provide free services in low-income areas, and (3) whether to limit the level of surcharges. While the committee made numerous recommendations to Parliament regarding the ATM industry, including that ATMs should be subject to the Banking Code (a voluntary code of practice adopted by all financial institutions in the United Kingdom), the United Kingdom government did not accept the committee s recommendations. Despite the rejection of the committee s recommendations, the U.K. government did sponsor an ATM task force to look at social exclusion in relation to ATM services. As a result of the task force s findings, approximately 600 additional free-to-use ATMs (to be provided by multiple ATM deployers) will be installed in low income areas throughout the United Kingdom during 2007. While this is

less than a two percent increase in free-to-use ATMs through the U.K., there is no certainty that other similar proposals will not be made and accepted in the future.

Mexico

The regulation of ATMs in Mexico is controlled by the Secretary of Treasury and the Central Bank and is similar to that of the United States in that the ATM operator must have a sponsoring bank, specific signage is required to be displayed on the exterior of the ATM, and certain information regarding surcharging is required to be displayed on the screen of the ATM. Other requirements like EPP and Triple-DES compliant upgrades are driven by global industry standards.

Legal Proceedings

National Federation of the Blind (NFB). In connection with our acquisition of the ATM business of E*TRADE Access, we assumed E*TRADE Access interests and liability for a lawsuit instituted in the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts (the Court) by the NFB, the NFB s Massachusetts chapter, and several individual blind persons (collectively, the Private Plaintiffs) as well as the Commonwealth of Massachusetts with respect to claims relating to the alleged inaccessibility of ATMs for those persons who are visually impaired. After the acquisition of the E*TRADE Access ATM portfolio, the Private Plaintiffs named us as a co-defendant with E*TRADE Access and E*TRADE Access parent E*TRADE Bank, and the scope of the lawsuit has expanded to include both E*TRADE Access ATMs as well as our pre-existing ATM portfolio.

In June 2007, the parties completed and executed a settlement agreement, which we believe will be approved by the Court. The principal objective of the settlement is for 90% of all transactions (as defined in the settlement agreement) conducted on our Company-owned and merchant-owned ATMs by July 1, 2010 to be conducted at ATMs that are voice-guided. In an effort to accomplish such objective, we are subject to numerous interim reporting requirements and a one-time obligation to market voice-guided ATMs to a subset of our merchants that do not currently have voice-guided ATMs. Finally, the proposed settlement requires us to pay \$900,000 in attorneys fees to the NFB and to make a \$100,000 contribution to the Massachusetts local consumer aid fund. These amounts have been fully reserved for as of September 30, 2007. We do not believe that the settlement requirements outlined above will have a material impact on our financial condition or results of operations.

Since the above matter is being treated as a class action settlement, the notice and approval process will take several months. The Court has scheduled a hearing following the foregoing notice period for December 4, 2007. Despite our expectation that the Court will approve the proposed settlement at that time, in the event that members of the class object to the proposed settlement and the Court concludes that their objections are valid and, for that reason, refuses to approve the settlement, the lawsuit would resume. If that occurs, we will continue our defense of this lawsuit in an aggressive manner.

Other Matters. In June 2006, Duane Reade, Inc. (Customer), one of our merchant customers, filed a complaint in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York (the Federal Action). The complaint, which was formally served to us in September 2006, alleged that we had breached an ATM operating agreement between the Customer and us by failing to pay the Customer the proper amount of fees under the agreement. The Customer is claiming that it is owed no less than \$600,000 in lost revenues, exclusive of interests and costs, and projects that additional damages will accrue to them at a rate of approximately \$100,000 per month, exclusive of interest and costs. As the term of our operating agreement with the Customer extends to December 2014, the Customer s claims

could exceed \$12.0 million. On October 6, 2006, we filed a petition in the District Court of Harris County, Texas, seeking a declaratory judgment that we had not breached the ATM operating agreement. On October 10, 2006, the Customer filed a second complaint, this time in New York State Supreme Court, alleging the same claims it had alleged in the Federal Action. Subsequently, the Customer withdrew the Federal Action because the federal court did not have subject matter jurisdiction. Additionally, we have voluntarily dismissed the Texas lawsuit, electing to litigate the above-described claims in the New York State Supreme Court.

In response to a motion for summary judgment filed by the Customer and a cross-motion filed by us, the New York State Supreme Court ruled on September 21, 2007 that our interpretation of the ATM operating agreement was the appropriate interpretation and expressly rejected the Customer s proposed interpretations. In the event the Customer appeals this ruling, we will continue our aggressive defense of this lawsuit. Further, we believe that the ultimate resolution of this dispute will not have a material adverse impact on our financial condition or results of operations.

In March 2006, we filed a complaint in the United States District Court in Portland, Oregon, against CGI, Inc. (Distributor), a distributor for the E*Trade Access ATM business we acquired. Our complaint, alleged that the Distributor breached its agreement with us by directly competing with us on certain merchant accounts. The Distributor denied such violations, alleging that an oral modification of its distributor agreement with E*Trade permitted such activities, and initiated a counter-claim for alleged under-payments by us. We expressly denied the Distributor s allegations. On July 31, 2007, we executed a settlement agreement wherein neither party admitted any wrongdoing, all differences were resolved, and both parties released each other from all claims made in the lawsuit. In connection with this settlement, the distributor agreement was re-instated in a modified form to, among other things, clarify the Distributor s non-compete obligations. Additionally, the settlement provided for a nominal payment to the Distributor relating to payments claimed under the distributor agreement. Subsequent to the execution of the settlement agreement, both parties have operated under the revised distributorship agreement without any material issues or disputes.

We are also subject to various legal proceedings and claims arising in the ordinary course of our business. Additionally, the 7-Eleven Financial Services Business we acquired is subject to various legal claims and proceedings in the ordinary course of its business. We do not expect the outcome in any of these legal proceedings, individually or collectively, to have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations.

Employees

As of September 30, 2007, we had 370 employees. None of our employees is represented by a union or covered by a collective bargaining agreement. We believe that our relations with our employees are good. In conjunction with the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction, 26 employees of the 7-Eleven Financial Services Business became employees of Cardtronics.

Facilities

Our principal executive offices are located at 3110 Hayes Road, Suite 300, Houston, Texas 77082, and our telephone number is (281) 596-9988. We lease approximately 26,000 square feet of space under our Houston office lease and approximately 30,000 square feet in warehouse space in Houston, Texas. We also lease approximately 15,000 square feet of office space in buildings near our principal executive offices in Houston, Texas. Furthermore, we lease approximately 2,500 square feet of office space in Bethesda, Maryland, where we manage our Allpoint surcharge-free network operations, and 2,800 square feet of office space in Carrollton, Texas, where our in-house processing operations are based. In connection with the 7-Eleven ATM Transaction, we leased an additional 12,000 square feet of office space in the Dallas area.

In addition to our domestic office space, we lease approximately 6,200 square feet of office space in Hatfield, Hertfordshire, England and approximately 2,400 square feet of office space in Mexico City, Mexico. Our facilities are leased pursuant to operating leases for various terms. We believe that our leases are at competitive or market rates and do not anticipate any difficulty in leasing suitable additional space upon expiration of our current lease terms.

MANAGEMENT

Directors and Executive Officers

Board of Directors

Board Composition. Our existing Board of Directors consists of nine individuals designated in accordance with the Company s investors agreement. We anticipate that four of our current directors (Messrs. Brazelton, Clinard, Delnevo, and Kafker) will resign following the pricing of this offering and that we will appoint two additional independent directors following the closing of this offering, as described below. See Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions Investors Agreement for additional information about the investors agreement.

Our third amended and restated certificate of incorporation and our amended and restated bylaws will provide for a classified board of directors consisting of three classes of directors, each serving staggered three-year terms. As a result, stockholders will elect a portion of our board of directors each year. Class I directors terms will expire at the annual meeting of stockholders to be held in 2008, Class II directors terms will expire at the annual meeting of stockholders to be held in 2009, and Class III directors terms will expire at the annual meeting of stockholders to be held in 2009, and Class III directors terms will expire at the annual meeting of stockholders to be held in 2010. The Class I directors will be Messrs. Barone and Diaz, the Class II directors will be the two additional independent directors appointed following the closing of this offering, and the Class III directors will be Messrs. Antonini, Lummis and Wilson. At each annual meeting of stockholders held after the initial classification, the successors to directors whose terms will then expire will be elected to serve from the time of election until the third annual meeting following election. The division of our board of directors into three classes with staggered terms may delay or prevent a change of our management or a change in control. See Description of Capital Stock Certain Provisions of Our Certificate of Incorporation and Bylaws Election and Removal of Directors.

The following table sets forth the name, age, and the position of each of the person who was serving as a Director as September 30, 2007:

| Name | Age |
|------------------------|-----|
| Fred R. Lummis | 54 |
| Robert P. Barone | 69 |
| Frederick W. Brazelton | 36 |
| Ralph H. Clinard | 74 |
| Jorge M. Diaz | 43 |
| Roger B. Kafker | 45 |
| Michael A.R. Wilson | 40 |
| Jack Antonini | 54 |
| Ronald Delnevo | 52 |

On January 11, 2007, Ronald D. Coben resigned from our Board of Directors in order to devote his full attention to a new position that he accepted with a separate publicly-traded company. Mr. Coben served on our audit committee, and his resignation was not the result of any disagreement with us.

The following biographies describe the business experience of the members of our Board of Directors:

Edgar Filing: CARDTRONICS INC - Form S-1/A

Fred R. Lummis has served as a Director and Chairman of the Board since June 2001. In 2006, Mr. Lummis co-founded Platform Partners, LLC and currently serves as its Chairman and Chief Executive Officer. Prior to co-founding Platform Partners, Mr. Lummis co-founded and served as the managing partner of The CapStreet Group, LLC, CapStreet II, L.P., and CapStreet Parallel II, LP. Mr. Lummis still serves as a senior advisor to The

CapStreet Group, LLC. From June 1998 to May 2000, Mr. Lummis served as Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of Advantage Outdoor Company, an outdoor advertising company. From September 1994 to June 1998, Mr. Lummis served as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of American Tower Corporation, a nationwide communication tower owner and operator. Mr. Lummis currently serves as a Director of Amegy Bancorporation Inc. and several private companies. Mr. Lummis holds a Bachelor of Arts degree in economics from Vanderbilt University and a Masters of Business Administration degree from the University of Texas at Austin.

Robert P. Barone has served as a Director since September 2001. Mr. Barone has more than 40 years of sales, marketing, and executive leadership experience from the various positions he has held at Diebold, NCR, Xerox, and the Electronic Funds Transfer Association. Since December 1999, Mr. Barone has served as a consultant for SmartNet Associates, Inc., a private consulting firm. Additionally, from May 1997 to November 1999, Mr. Barone served as Chairman of the Board of PetsHealth Insurance, Inc., a pet health insurance provider. From September 1988 to September 1994, he served as Board Vice-Chairman, President, and Chief Operating Officer at Diebold. He holds a Bachelor of Business Administration degree from Western Michigan University and a Masters of Business Administration degree from Indiana University. A founder and past Chairman of the Electronic Funds Transfer Association, Mr. Barone is now Chairman Emeritus of the Electronic Funds Transfer Association.

Frederick W. Brazelton has served as a Director since June 2001. Mr. Brazelton is a co-founder and President of Platform Partners, LLC. Prior to co-founding Platform Partners in 2006, Mr. Brazelton was a partner of The CapStreet Group, LLC, which he joined in August 2000. From July 1996 to July 1998, Mr. Brazelton worked for Hicks, Muse, Tate & Furst, a private equity firm in Dallas, and from June 1994 to June 1995, he worked for Willis, Stein & Partners, a private equity firm in Chicago. He holds a Bachelor of Business Administration from the Business Honors Program at the University of Texas at Austin and a Masters of Business Administration degree from Stanford Graduate School of Business. Mr. Brazelton also serves on the Board of Directors of TRE Financial Services, LLC, a tax software company, and Encore FBO, LLC, a privately owned network of fixed base operators serving the airline and general aviation industries.

Ralph H. Clinard has served as a Director since June 2001. Mr. Clinard founded the predecessor to Cardtronics in 1989 and was with the Company as President and Chief Executive Officer until he retired in January 2003. Prior to founding our predecessor, Mr. Clinard served with Exxon Corporation, an integrated oil company, working in various positions for almost 30 years. Mr. Clinard holds a Bachelor of Science degree in mathematics from Muskingum College and a Bachelor of Science degree in mechanical engineering from Pennsylvania State University. Mr. Clinard is currently retired, and his son, Michael Clinard, serves as the Company s Chief Operating Officer.

Jorge M. Diaz has served as a Director since December 2004. Mr. Diaz has served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Personix, a division of Fiserv, since April 1994. In January 1985, Mr. Diaz co-founded National Embossing Company, a predecessor company to Personix. Mr. Diaz sold National Embossing Company to Fiserv in April 1994.

Roger B. Kafker has served as a Director since February 2005. Mr. Kafker is a Managing Director at TA Associates and concentrates on management-led buyouts and recapitalizations in growth service businesses in the financial, consumer, and healthcare services industries. He currently serves as a Director of Clayton Holdings, CompBenefits Corporation, K2 Advisors LLC, and Preferred Freezer Services. Mr. Kafker previously served on the Boards of Directors of Affiliated Managers Group, Allegis Realty Investors (now UBS Realty Investors), And 1, ANSYS, Boron, LePore & Associates, Cupertino Electric, EYP Mission

Critical Facilities, Florida Career College, HVL, Monarch Dental Corporation, and Thomson Advisory Group (now PIMCO Advisors). Prior to joining TA Associates in 1989, he was employed by Bankers Trust Company of New York, where he worked on leveraged acquisitions. Mr. Kafker received a BA degree, magna cum laude, Phi Beta Kappa, in History from Haverford College and a Masters of Business Administration degree, with Honors, from the Harvard Business School.

Michael A.R. Wilson has served as a Director since February 2005. Mr. Wilson is a Managing Director at TA Associates where he focuses on growth investments and leveraged buyouts of financial services, business services, and consumer products companies. He currently serves on the Boards of Advisory Research, Inc., EYP Mission Critical Facilities, Jupiter Investment Group, K2 Advisors LLC, and Numeric Investors. Prior to joining TA Associates in 1992, Mr. Wilson was a Financial Analyst in Morgan Stanley s Telecommunications Group. In 1994, he joined Affiliated Managers Group, a TA Associates-backed financial services start-up, as Vice President and a member of the founding management team. Mr. Wilson received a BA degree, with Honors, in Business Administration from the University of Western Ontario and a Masters of Business Administration degree, with Distinction, from the Harvard Business School.

The biographies of Jack Antonini, our Chief Executive Officer and President, and Ronald Delnevo, Managing Director of Bank Machine, are included under the Executive Officers section below.

Board Independence

The listing requirements of The Nasdaq Stock Market LLC (Nasdaq) require that our Board be composed of a majority of independent directors within one year of the listing of our common stock on Nasdaq. Accordingly, we intend to appoint additional independent directors to our board of directors following the completion of this offering. The Board has reviewed the independence of our directors using the independence standards of Nasdaq and, based on this review, has determined that Messrs. Barone, Lummis, and Wilson are independent within the meaning of the Nasdaq listing standards currently in effect. We expect that any additional directors will qualify as independent for purposes of serving on our Board.

Committees of the Board of Directors

In accordance with Nasdaq rules, we maintain a nominating committee, a compensation committee, and an audit committee.

Following the pricing of this offering, the Board will appoint members to each of our audit committee, compensation committee, and nominating committee that are independent in accordance with Nasdaq listing standards and the requirements of the SEC.

Audit committee. Following the pricing of this offering, the members of the audit committee will consist of Messrs. Barone, Lummis, and Wilson. Messrs. Lummis and Wilson intend to resign as audit committee members upon the appointment of the two additional independent directors, who will become members of the audit committee upon election. On an annual basis, the audit committee (i) selects, on behalf of our Board of Directors, an independent public accounting firm to be engaged to audit our financial statements, (ii) discusses with the independent auditors their independence, (iii) reviews and discusses the audited financial statements with the independent auditors and management, and (iv) recommends to our Board of Directors whether such audited financials should be included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K to be filed with the SEC.

In compliance with Nasdaq requirements and SEC regulations, a majority of the directors on our audit committee will be independent within 90 days of the effectiveness of the

registration statement relating to this offering and, within one year of effectiveness, all directors on the audit committee will be independent.

Compensation Committee. Following the pricing of this offering, the members of the compensation committee will consist of Messrs. Diaz, Lummis, and Wilson. The compensation committee reviews and either approves, on behalf of our Board of Directors, or recommends to the Board of Directors for approval (i) the annual salaries and other compensation of our executive officers and (ii) individual stock and stock option grants. The compensation committee also provides assistance and recommendations with respect to our compensation policies and practices and assists with the administration of our compensation plans. We expect that each member of the compensation committee will be independent as defined by the Nasdaq listing standards.

Nominating Committee. Following the pricing of this offering, the nominating committee will consist of Mr. Lummis and two additional independent directors will be appointed following the closing of this offering. The nominating committee assists our Board of Directors in fulfilling its responsibilities for identifying and approving individuals qualified to serve as members of our Board of Directors by selecting Director nominees for our annual meetings of stockholders. We expect that each member of the nominating committee will be independent as defined by the Nasdaq listing standards.

Additional Information. We do not have a corporate governance committee. The independent Directors of our Board fulfill the responsibilities of a corporate governance committee by developing and recommending to our Board of Directors corporate governance guidelines and oversight with respect to corporate governance and ethical conduct.

Executive Officers

Our executive officers are appointed by the Company s Board of Directors on an annual basis and serve until removed by the Board or their successors have been duly appointed. The following table sets forth the name, age, and the position of each of the person who was serving as an executive officer as of September 30, 2007:

| Name | Age | Position |
|--------------------|-----|--|
| Jack Antonini | 54 | Chief Executive Officer, President, and Director |
| J. Chris Brewster | 58 | Chief Financial Officer |
| Michael H. Clinard | 40 | Chief Operating Officer |
| Thomas E. Upton | 51 | Chief Administrative Officer |
| Rick Updyke | 48 | Chief Strategy and Development Officer |
| Ronald Delnevo | 52 | Managing Director of Bank Machine and Director |

The following biographies describe the business experience of our executive officers:

Jack Antonini has served as our Chief Executive Officer, President, and a Director since January 2003. From November 2000 to December 2002, Mr. Antonini served as a consultant for JMA Consulting, providing consulting services to the financial industry. During 2000, Mr. Antonini served as Chief Executive Officer and President of Globeset, Inc., an electronic payment products and services company. From August 1997 to February 2000, Mr. Antonini served as Executive Vice President of consumer banking at First Union Corporation of Charlotte, N.C. From September 1995 to July 1997, he served as Vice Chairman and Chief Financial Officer of First USA Corporation, which was acquired by Bank One in June 1997. Mr. Antonini held various positions from March 1985 to August 1995 at San Antonio-based USAA Federal Savings Bank, serving as Vice Chairman, President, and Chief Executive Officer from August 1991 to August 1995. He is a Certified Public Accountant and holds a Bachelor of Science degree in business and accounting

from Ferris State University in Michigan. Mr. Antonini also serves as a Director of the Electronic Funds Transfer Association.

J. Chris Brewster has served as our Chief Financial Officer since February 2004. From September 2002 until February 2004, Mr. Brewster provided consulting services to various businesses. From October 2001 until September 2002, Mr. Brewster served as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Imperial Sugar Company, a Nasdaq-quoted refiner and marketer of sugar and related products. From March 2000 to September 2001, Mr. Brewster served as Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer of WorldOil.com, a privately-held Internet, trade magazine, book, and catalog publishing business. From January 1997 to February 2000, Mr. Brewster served as a partner of Bellmeade Capital Partners, LLC, a merchant banking firm specializing in the consolidation of fragmented industries. From March 1992 to September 1996, he served as Chief Financial Officer of Sanifill, Inc., a New York Stock Exchange-listed environmental services company. From May 1984 to March 1992, he served as Chief Financial Officer of National Convenience Stores, Inc., a New York Stock Exchange-listed operator of 1,100 convenience stores. He holds a Bachelor of Science degree in industrial management from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology and a Masters of Business Administration from Harvard Business School.

Michael H. Clinard has served as our Chief Operating Officer since he joined the company in August 1997. He holds a Bachelor of Science degree in business management from Howard Payne University. Mr. Clinard also serves as a Director and Vice President of the ATM Industry Association. Mr. Clinard is the son of Ralph H. Clinard, the Company s founder and a current member of our Board of Directors.

Thomas E. Upton has served as our Chief Administrative Officer since February 2004. From June 2001 to February 2004, Mr. Upton served as our Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer. From February 1998 to May 2001, Mr. Upton was the Chief Financial Officer of Alegis Group LLC, a national collections firm. Prior to joining Alegis, Mr. Upton served as a financial executive for several companies. He is a Certified Public Accountant with membership in the Texas Society of Certified Public Accountants and holds a Bachelor of Business Administration degree from the University of Houston.

Rick Updyke has served as our Chief Strategy and Development Officer since July 2007. From February 1984 to July 2007, Mr. Updyke held various positions with Dallas-based 7-Eleven, Inc. serving as Vice President of Corporate Business Development from February 2001 to July 2007. He holds a Bachelor of Business Administration degree in management information systems from Texas Tech University and a Masters of Business Administration from Amberton University. Mr. Updyke also serves as a Director and Executive Committee Member of the Electronic Funds Transfer Association.

Ronald Delnevo has served as Managing Director of Bank Machine for six years and has been with Bank Machine (formerly the ATM division of Euronet) since 1998. He currently serves as Chairman of the Association of Independent Cash Machine Operators, a Director of the U.K. Payments Council, and a member of the European Board of the ATMIA. Prior to joining Bank Machine, Mr. Delnevo served in various consulting roles in the retail sector, served as a board director of Tie Rack PLC for five years and spent seven years with British Airports Authority in various commercial roles. Mr. Delnevo was educated at Heriot Watt University in Edinburgh and holds a degree in business organization and a diploma in personnel management.

Corporate Governance

Code of Ethics. We have adopted a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics (the Code) that applies to all of our employees, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial

Officer as well as other senior accounting and finance personnel. The Code, which is reviewed and approved on an annual basis by our audit committee and Board of Directors, serves to (1) emphasize the Company s commitment to ethics and compliance with established laws and regulations, (2) set forth basic standards of ethical and legal behavior, (3) provide a reporting mechanism for known or suspected ethical or legal violations, and (4) help prevent and detect any wrongdoings. All waivers to or amendments of the Company s Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, which are required to be disclosed by applicable law, will either be posted to our website at <u>www.cardtronics.com</u> or we will file a Current Report on Form 8-K under Item 10 to appropriately disclose such occurrences. Currently, we do not have nor do we anticipate any waivers to or amendments of the Code. A copy of our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics has been filed as an exhibit to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006.

Audit Committee Financial Expert. As noted in Committees of the Board of Directors above, Robert Barone serves as the chairman and financial expert of our audit committee. Mr. Barone was selected for this role based upon his various executive leadership experiences, including having historically supervised individuals who performed accounting and finance duties at large, public organizations. The Board of Directors has determined that Mr. Barone is independent.

Executive Officer and Director Compensation

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

The compensation committee of our Board of Directors is authorized to review and either approve, on behalf of our Board of Directors, or recommend to the Board of Directors for approval (i) the annual salaries and other compensation of our executive officers and (ii) individual stock and stock option grants. Additionally, the compensation committee is also responsible for reviewing the overall goals of executive compensation, as well as providing assistance and recommendations with respect to our general compensation policies and practices and assisting with the administration of our executive officers and approving the compensation level of each of our executive officers, including the amounts for each component of compensation. Our compensation committee is expected to perform each of these tasks annually, and may, in its discretion, solicit the input of any of our executive officers, any of our other employees, or any other independent consultant or advisor.

Objectives of Executive Compensation Program

The primary objectives of our executive compensation program are to attract, retain, and motivate qualified individuals who are capable of leading the Company to meet its business objectives and to increase the overall value of the Company. To achieve this objective, our compensation committee s philosophy has been to implement compensation programs that align the interests of management with those of our investors and to provide compensation programs that create incentives for and reward performance of the executive officers based on the overall success of the Company. Specifically, our compensation program provides management with the incentive to increase our adjusted earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, and amortization, or EBITDA (as defined in our credit facility). In addition, we intend for our compensation program to both compensate our executives on a level that is competitive with companies comparable to us as well as maintain a level of internal consistency and equity by paying higher amounts of compensation to our more senior executive officers.

Our executive compensation program in 2006 consisted of three primary elements: (i) base salary, (ii) annual cash performance bonuses, which are disclosed in the 2006 Summary Compensation Table below under the Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation column, and (iii) stock option awards. In addition to these primary components, we have provided, and will continue to provide, our executive officers with certain benefits, such as healthcare plans, that are available to all employees. We currently believe that it is in the best interests of our investors and our executive officers that our compensation program remains relatively non-complex and straightforward, which should reduce the time and cost involved in setting our compensation policies and calculating the payments under such policies, as well as reduce the time involved in furthering our investors understanding of such policies.

While our compensation committee reviews the total compensation package provided by the Company to each of its executive officers, our Board of Directors and the compensation committee view each element of our compensation program to be distinct. In other words, a significant amount of compensation paid to an executive in the form of one element will not necessarily cause us to reduce another element of the executive s compensation. Accordingly, we have not currently adopted any formal or informal policy for allocating compensation between long-term and short-term, between cash and non-cash, or among the different forms of non-cash compensation.

In determining the level of total compensation to be set for each compensation component, our compensation committee considers a number of factors, including performing an informal benchmarking of our compensation levels to those paid by comparable companies,

the Company s most recent annual performance, each individual executive officer s performance, the desire to maintain internal equity and consistency among our executive officers, and other considerations that we deem to be relevant. The comparable companies selected for our benchmarking study included Alliance Data Systems Corporation, Certegy Inc., eFunds Corporation, Euronet Worldwide, Inc., Global Payments Inc., TNS, Inc., Total Systems Services, Inc., and TRM Corporation. These companies were selected based on the fact that (i) each operates in service lines similar to those in which we operate and (ii) information regarding compensation for that company is publicly available. In our analysis, we reviewed the components of executive compensation paid by each company (e.g., base salary, bonuses, options) as well as the relative mix of the various components.

Compensation Components

Base Salary. The base salaries for our executive officers are set at levels believed to be sufficient to attract and retain qualified individuals. We believe that our base salaries are an important element of our executive compensation program because they provide our executive officers with a steady income stream that is not contingent upon our overall performance. Initial base salary levels, which are typically set or approved by the compensation committee, take into consideration the scope of an individual executive s responsibilities and experience as well as the compensation paid by other companies with which we believe we compete for executives. While there is no formal weighting of these elements, the compensation committee considers each in its analysis. Some of these base salaries are specified by employment agreements with our executive officers. For a listing of some of the companies with whom we believe we compete for executives, see Objectives of Executive Compensation Program above. For a description of employment agreements with our executive officers, see Employment-related Agreements of Named Executive Officers.

The compensation committee reviews and approves subsequent changes in the base salaries of executive officers based on recommendations made by our Chief Executive Officer, who conducts annual performance reviews of each executive. Subsequent changes in the base salary of the Chief Executive Officer are determined by the compensation committee, which performs an analysis of the Chief Executive Officer s performance on an annual basis. Both the Chief Executive Officer s review and the compensation committee s review include an analysis of how the individual executive performed against his personalized goals (which are jointly set by the executive and the Chief Executive Officer at the beginning of each year, or, in the case of the Chief Executive Officer, by the Chief Executive Officer and the Board of Directors). Other achievements or accomplishments of the individual during the year are also considered, as well as any mitigating priorities during the year that may have resulted in a change in the executive s goals for the year. Performance is the primary driver (90%) of any increases in an executive officer and the compensation committee also consider whether or not the responsibilities of the executive remained the same during the period or whether additional responsibilities were assigned. Additionally, market conditions may be considered and, if deemed necessary, salary adjustments may be recommended in order to help us retain the executive. No market analyses were performed and no resulting market adjustments were made during the year ended December 31, 2006.

Annual Bonus. As noted above, the compensation committee seeks to align the interests of management with those of the Company s investors. To accomplish this goal, the committee ties a portion of the annual cash compensation earned by each executive to a targeted level of financial operating results. For 2006, our company-level financial objectives involved the achievement of an adjusted EBITDA target goal for our consolidated operations (with the exception of Mr. Delnevo, as discussed further below). The annual bonus pool is funded if our consolidated adjusted EBITDA is equal to at least 90% of the targeted adjusted EBITDA amount

for the applicable period. If the consolidated adjusted EBITDA amount exceeds the targeted adjusted EBITDA amount, the pool is increased by a factor based on such excess amount (as expressed on a percentage basis). Each executive officer has a target bonus percentage that is adjusted accordingly based on the actual consolidated adjusted EBITDA amount relative to the targeted adjusted EBITDA amount. In the event our consolidated adjusted EBITDA falls below 90% of the targeted adjusted EBITDA amount, or if there is a violation of our bank covenants, the compensation committee, in its sole and absolute discretion, may or may not decide to pay bonuses. For 2006, our targeted adjusted EBITDA amount was \$52.4 million. The targeted adjusted EBITDA amount for a given period is typically set within or above the adjusted EBITDA range communicated to our investors at the beginning of each year (\$46.0 million to \$50.0 million for 2006.) During 2006, the targeted amount was set above the upper end of the guidance as an incentive for management to not only meet but to exceed company-level financial goals.

Our annual cash bonuses, as opposed to our equity grants, are designed to more immediately reward our executive officers for their performance during the most recent year. We believe that the immediacy of these cash bonuses, in contrast to our equity grants (which vest over a period of time), provides a significant incentive to our executives towards achieving their respective individual objectives and thus our company-level objectives on an annual basis. As such, we believe our cash bonuses are a significant motivating factor for our executive officers, in addition to being a significant factor in attracting and retaining our executive officers.

We feel it is more appropriate to tie the annual bonus of Mr. Delnevo, Managing Director of Bank Machine, to our U.K. reportable segment s adjusted EBITDA contribution to the Company rather than to the consolidated Company s EBITDA targets, which we use to determine the bonus pool for our other named executive officers. For 2006, the targeted adjusted EBITDA amount for our U.K. reportable segment was £6.2 million.

Long-Term Incentive Program Stock Options. Our Board of Directors originally adopted the 2001 Stock Incentive Plan in 2001. Various plan amendments have been approved since that time, the most recent being in August 2007. The 2001 Plan allows for the issuance of equity-based awards in the form of non-qualified stock options and stock appreciation rights to employees, directors, and consultants of the Company, including its affiliates and subsidiaries, as determined at the sole discretion of the compensation committee of the Company s Board of Directors. In March 2006, the compensation committee granted additional stock options to Messrs. Brewster, Clinard, and Upton under the 2001 Plan. Such options were awarded based on (i) our executive compensation benchmark study, which indicted that options comprised a significantly higher portion of compensation for executives at the comparable companies than for executives at Cardtronics, and (ii) the fact that Mr. Brewster has not been granted options since 2004 and Messrs. Clinard and Upton had not been granted options since 2002. As a result, the compensation committee granted the options in an effort to bring the compensation mix of Messrs. Brewster, Clinard, and Upton more in-line with current market conditions as well as to further align the interests of these three executives with those of the Company s investors. Conversely, no additional grants were made to Messrs. Antonini, Delnevo, and Soinski during 2006 as a result of the sizeable restricted stock grant awarded to Mr. Antonini in conjunction with his initial employment in 2003, the option award granted to Mr. Delnevo in conjunction with his retained employment subsequent to our purchase of Bank Machine in May 2005, and the option award granted to Mr. Soinski in conjunction with his initial employment in August 2005.

As of September 30, 2007, the maximum number of shares of common stock that could be issued under the 2001 Plan totaled 850,000 shares. Additionally, as of September 30, 2007, only non-qualified stock options had been issued under the 2001 Plan. Options to purchase an

aggregate of 802,488 shares of common stock (net of options canceled) had been granted pursuant to the plan, and options to purchase 245,964 shares had been exercised.

Long-Term Incentive Bonus Program U.K. Operations. In connection with our acquisition of Bank Machine in May 2005, we established a special long-term incentive compensation program for Mr. Delnevo and three other members of the U.K. management team. Such program was established to provide an incentive for Mr. Delnevo and his direct reports to achieve certain cumulative earnings objectives over a four-year period. In particular, the program seeks to compensate Mr. Delnevo and others if the cumulative EBITDA in the U.K., as defined under the program, for the four years in the period ending December 31, 2008, exceeds a benchmark adjusted EBITDA amount for the same period (£20.5 million), less an investment charge on the capital employed to achieve such results. In the event the cumulative EBITDA exceeds the cumulative benchmark EBITDA, less the applicable investment charge, Mr. Delnevo will be eligible to receive a cash bonus equal to 4.0% of such cumulative excess amount. In the event the cumulative EBITDA is less than the cumulative benchmark EBITDA, less the applicable investment charge, no bonus will be earned or paid under this program. The cash bonus target of 4.0% is less than the 5.0% target originally outlined in the bonus agreement between Mr. Delnevo and the Company and represents a subsequent modification to such agreement as agreed to by both parties.

Severance and Change of Control Arrangements. Our executive officers are entitled to certain benefits upon the termination of their respective employment agreements. Such provisions are intended to mitigate some of the risk that our executive officers may bear in working for a developing company such as Cardtronics, including the potential sale of the Company by our investors. Additionally, the severance provisions are intended to compensate an executive during the non-compete period (required under the terms of his employment agreement), which limit the executive s ability to work for a similar and/or competing company for the period subsequent to his termination. For further discussion, see Employment-related Agreements of Named Executive Officers.

401(k) Savings Plan. We have a defined contribution 401(k) plan, which is designed to assist our employees in providing for their retirement. Each of our executive officers are entitled to participate in this plan to the same extent that our other employees are entitled to participate. Historically, we have not made matching contributions. In 2007, we began matching 25% of employee contributions up to 6.0% of the employee salary. Employees are immediately vested in their contributions while our matching contributions will vest at a rate of 20% per year.

2006 Summary Compensation Table

The following table summarizes, for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006, the compensation paid to or earned by our Chief Executive Officer, our Chief Financial Officer, and three other named executive officers serving as of December 31, 2006, as well as one additional individual we have identified as qualifying as a named executive officer in 2006 but not serving as an executive officer as of year-end.

| | | | | | Non-Equity Incentive | |
|--|------|------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|---------|
| Name & Principal Position | Year | Salary | Stock Awards ⁽¹⁾ | Option Awards ⁽²⁾ | Plan All Other CompensatiofCompensation | Total |
| Jack Antonini - Director, Chief Executive Officer, and President | 2006 | \$ 347,287 | \$ 215,894 | \$ | \$ 223,653 \$ \$ | 786,834 |
| J. Chris Brewster - Chief Financial Officer | 2006 | \$ 248,063 | | \$ 103,929 | ³⁾ \$ 209,753 \$ \$ | 561,745 |
| Michael H. Clinard - Chief Operating Officer | 2006 | \$ 231,525 | | \$ 69,286 (3 | ³⁾ \$ 149,102 \$ 9,000 ⁽⁴⁾ \$ | 458,913 |
| Thomas E. Upton - Chief Administrative Officer | 2006 | \$ 220,500 | | \$ 69,286 (3 | ³⁾ \$ 234,902 \$ \$ | 524,688 |
| Ronald Delnevo ⁽⁵⁾ - Director and Managing Director of Bank Machine | 2006 | \$ 281,937 | | \$ | \$ 153,868 \$ 49,180 ⁽⁶⁾ \$ | 484,985 |
| Drew Soinski ⁽⁷⁾ - Chief Marketing Officer | 2006 | \$ 164,384 | | \$ | \$ 83,333 \$ 253,499 ⁽⁸⁾ \$ | 501,216 |

- (1) Amount represents the compensation expense recognized by the Company in 2006 related to restricted stock granted to Mr. Antonini in 2004.
- (2) During 2006, the compensation committee granted option awards to Messrs. Brewster, Clinard, and Upton, but did not grant option awards to Messrs. Antonini, Delnevo, and Soinski. For details on considerations related to the 2006 option grants, see Compensation Components Long-Term Incentive Program Stock Options.
- (3) Amounts were calculated utilizing the provisions of SFAS No. 123R. For a description of the assumptions underlying the valuation of these option awards, see Note 3 in the notes to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere herein. For purposes of this disclosure, estimates of forfeitures related to service-based vesting conditions have been omitted.
- (4) Amount represents the car allowance provided to Mr. Clinard in accordance with the terms of his employment agreement.
- (5) Amounts shown for Mr. Delnevo were converted from Pounds Sterling to U.S. dollars at \$1.9613, which represents the exchange rate in effect as of December 31, 2006.
- (6) Amount represents a car allowance of £12,000 and monthly contributions made on behalf of Mr. Delnevo to a personal retirement account selected by Mr. Delnevo in accordance with the terms of his employment

Edgar Filing: CARDTRONICS INC - Form S-1/A

agreement.

- (7) Mr. Soinski served as our Chief Marketing Officer from August 2005 until August 2006.
- (8) Amount equals Mr. Soinski s base salary for 12 months plus a partial subsidization of his health and life insurance premiums. This additional compensation is related to Mr. Soinski s departure from the Company and is being made pursuant to the terms of Mr. Soinski s employment agreement with the Company.

The terms governing each of our executive s employment are outlined in individual employment agreements. Below is a description of such agreements.

Employment-related Agreements of Named Executive Officers

Employment Agreement with Jack Antonini. In January 2003, we entered into an employment agreement with Jack Antonini. Mr. Antonini s January 2003 employment agreement was last amended in January 2005. Under his employment agreement, Mr. Antonini receives a current monthly salary of \$28,941 and his term of employment runs through January 31, 2008. In addition, subject to our achieving certain performance standards set by our compensation

committee, Mr. Antonini may be entitled to an annual bonus, targeted at 50% of his base salary. However, as this bonus is determined at the sole discretion of our compensation committee, the actual amount of the bonus awarded may exceed or fall short of the targeted level. For additional information on terms of our bonus plan, see

Compensation Components Annual Bonus above. Further, should we terminate Mr. Antonini s employment without cause, or should a change in control occur, as defined in the agreement, he will be entitled to receive severance pay equal to his base salary for the lesser of twelve months or the number of months remaining under his employment contract.

Employment Agreement with J. Chris Brewster. In March 2004, we entered into an employment agreement with J. Chris Brewster s March 2004 employment agreement was amended in February 2005. The amended agreement provides for an initial term ending January 31, 2008. Under the amended employment agreement, Mr. Brewster receives a current monthly base salary of \$20,672, subject, on each anniversary of the agreement, to increases as determined by our compensation committee at its sole discretion, with such increases being targeted to be 5% of the previous year s base salary. In addition, subject to our achieving certain performance standards set by our compensation committee, Mr. Brewster may be entitled to an annual bonus, targeted at 50% of his base salary. However, as this bonus is determined at the sole discretion of our compensation committee, the actual amount of the bonus awarded may exceed or fall short of the targeted level. For additional information on terms of our bonus plan, see Compensation Components Annual Bonus above. Further, should we terminate Mr. Brewster s employment without cause, or should Mr. Brewster terminate his employment with us for good reason, as defined in the employment agreement, he will be entitled to receive severance pay equal to his base salary for twelve months.

Employment Agreement with Michael H. Clinard. In June 2001, we entered into an employment agreement with Michael H. Clinard. Mr. Clinard s June 2001 employment agreement was amended in January 2005. Under his employment agreement, Mr. Clinard receives a current monthly salary of \$19,294 and his term of employment runs through January 31, 2008. On each anniversary of the agreement, Mr. Clinard s annual compensation is subject to increases as determined by our compensation committee in its sole discretion, with such increases being targeted to be 5% of the previous year s base salary. In addition, subject to our achieving certain performance standards set by our compensation committee, Mr. Clinard may be entitled to an annual bonus, targeted at 50% of his base salary. However, as this bonus is determined at the sole discretion of our compensation committee, the actual amount of the bonus awarded may exceed or fall short of the targeted level. For additional information on terms of our bonus plan, Compensation Components Annual Bonus above. Further, (i) should we terminate Mr. Clinard s employment see without cause, or should Mr. Clinard terminate his employment with us for good reason, as defined in the employment agreement, then he is entitled to receive severance pay equal to his base salary for the lesser of twelve months or the number of months remaining under his employment contract following his termination, and (ii) if he dies or becomes totally disabled, as defined in the employment agreement, then he is entitled to receive the difference between his base salary and any disability benefits received by him under our disability benefit plans for the lesser of twelve months or the number of months remaining under his employment contract following his death or disability, as applicable.

Employment Agreement with Thomas E. Upton. In June 2001, we entered into an employment agreement with Thomas E. Upton. Mr. Upton s June 2001 employment agreement was amended in January 2005. Under his employment agreement, Mr. Upton receives a monthly salary of \$18,375, subject to annual increases as determined by our compensation committee at its sole discretion, with such increases being targeted at 5% of the previous year s base salary. Mr. Upton s term of employment runs through January 31, 2008. In addition, subject to our achieving certain performance standards set by our compensation committee,

Mr. Upton may be entitled to an annual bonus, targeted as being 50% of his base salary. However, as this bonus is determined at the sole discretion of our compensation committee, the actual amount of the bonus awarded may exceed or fall short of the targeted level. For additional information on terms of our bonus plan, see Compensation Components Annual Bonus above. Further, should we terminate Mr. Upton s employment without cause or if he dies or becomes totally disabled, as defined in the employment agreement, then he is entitled to receive severance pay equal to his base salary for the lesser of twelve months or the number of months remaining under his employment following his termination.

Employment Agreement with Ronald Delnevo. In May 2005, we entered into an employment agreement with Ronald Delnevo which runs though May 17, 2009. Under the employment agreement, Mr. Delnevo receives a current monthly base salary of £14,167 (\$27,785 based on December 31, 2006 exchange rates), subject, on each anniversary of the agreement, to increases as determined by our compensation committee at its sole discretion, with such increases being targeted to be 5% of the previous year s base salary. In addition, subject to our achieving certain performance standards set by our compensation committee, Mr. Delnevo may be entitled to an annual bonus, targeted at 40% of his base salary. However, as this bonus is determined at the sole discretion of our compensation committee, the actual amount of the bonus awarded may exceed or fall short of the targeted level. For additional information on terms of our Compensation Components Annual Bonus above. In addition, Mr. Delnevo may be entitled to receive bonus plan, see an additional bonus under our U.K. long-term incentive bonus program, which was established by the Company in connection with our acquisition of Bank Machine. Under the plan, in the event the cumulative EBITDA achieved by our United Kingdom operations during the four year period ending December 31, 2008 exceeds a benchmark adjusted EBITDA amount for the same period, Mr. Delnevo will be eligible to receive a cash bonus equal to 4.0% of the excess amount. For additional information on the terms of this long-term incentive plan, see Compensation Components Long-Term Incentive Bonus Program U.K. Operations. Further, should we terminate Mr. Delnevo without cause, or should Mr. Delnevo terminate his employment with us for good reason, as defined in the employment agreement, then he is entitled to continue to receive payments of base salary from us for the lesser of twelve months or the number of months remaining under his employment contract following his termination.

Common Provisions of Employment-Related Agreements of Named Executive Officers. Several provisions are common to the employment agreements of our named executive officers. For example:

(1) Each employment agreement requires the employee to protect the confidentiality of our proprietary and confidential information.

(2) Each employment agreement (with the exception of Mr. Delnevo s agreement) requires that the employee not compete with us or solicit our employees or customers for a period of 24 months following the term of his employment. Mr. Delnevo s agreement contains a non-compete period of 12 months following the term of his employment.

(3) Each employment agreement provides that the employee may be paid an annual bonus based on certain factors and objectives set by our compensation committee, with the ultimate amount of any bonus paid determined at the direction of our compensation committee.

Grants of Plan-based Awards in Fiscal 2006

The following table sets forth certain information with respect to the options granted during or for the year ended December 31, 2006 to each of our executive officers listed in the Summary Compensation Table. Such table also sets forth details regarding other plan-based awards granted in 2006:

| Name | Grant Date | Approval Date ⁽³⁾ T | 'hrest | Estimated Possible/Futur Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards ⁽¹⁾ nold Target Ma | r | All Other Option Awards: Number of Securities Underlying mOptions | - | Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards |
|-----------------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|----------|---|-----|--|----------|--|
| Jack Antonini | | | \$ | \$ 173,644 | (4) | | | |
| J. Chris Brewster | | | \$ | \$ 124,032 | (4) | | | |
| | 03-06-2006 | 03-03-2006 | . | | (4) | 15,000 | \$ 83.84 | \$ 505,601 |
| Michael H. Clinard | 02.06.0006 | 02 02 0000 | \$ | \$ 115,763 | (4) | 10.000 | ¢ 02 04 | ¢ 227.077 |
| The second D. Harten | 03-06-2006 | 03-03-2006 | ¢ | ¢ 110 25 0 | (4) | 10,000 | \$ 83.84 | \$ 337,067 |
| Thomas E. Upton | 03-06-2006 | 03-03-2006 | \$ | \$ 110,250 | (4) | 10,000 | \$ 83.84 | \$ 227 067 |
| Ronald Delnevo (5)(6) | 03-00-2000 | 03-03-2000 | \$ | \$ 133,368 | (4) | 10,000 | φ 03.04 | \$ 337,067 |
| Drew Soinski | | | \$ | \$ 125,000 | (4) | | | |

- (1) Represents the dollar value of the applicable range (threshold, target and maximum amounts) of bonuses awarded to each named executive officer for 2006. The actual bonus amounts paid to the named executive officers are reflected in the Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation column of the 2006 Summary Compensation Table reflected above.
- (2) There was no public market for the Company s common stock throughout 2006. Accordingly, the exercise price of \$83.84 per share represents management s estimate of the fair value of its common stock at the date of grant. Such estimate was based on a number of factors, including (i) the value paid by TA Associates for its purchase of the Company s Series B preferred stock in 2005, (ii) management s estimate of the Company s anticipated future cash flows, and (iii) the enterprise values of other similar publicly-traded companies within the Company s industry.
- (3) Represents the date our compensation committee formally approved the option grants.
- (4) Under the 2006 Executive Bonus Plan, there is no formal cap on the amount of bonus an executive may receive. Rather, the annual bonuses for our executives are determined at the sole discretion of our compensation committee. As a result, the actual amounts awarded may exceed or fall short of the targeted level. As we are unable to predict the committee s ultimate actions regarding the bonus awards, we are unable to estimate the maximum possible grants that could potentially be made and paid out under the bonus plan.

Edgar Filing: CARDTRONICS INC - Form S-1/A

- (5) Amounts shown for Mr. Delnevo were converted from Pounds Sterling to U.S. dollars at \$1.9613, which represents the exchange rate in effect as of December 31, 2006.
- (6) The non-equity incentive plan awards information presented for Mr. Delnevo excludes amounts that may become payable under our U.K. long-term incentive bonus program. Future payouts under such program, which was established to provide an incentive for Mr. Delnevo and his direct reports to achieve certain cumulative earnings objectives over a four-year period, are contingent upon the actual results exceeding the cumulative earnings benchmark, less an investment charge on the capital employed to achieve such results. Under the terms of the incentive plan, such payouts would not occur until 2009. As a result, we are unable to estimate at this time what the ultimate payout will be, if any.

Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal 2006 Year-end

The following table sets forth information for each of the above named executive officers regarding the number of shares subject to both exercisable and unexercisable stock options, as well as shares that have not vested as of December 31, 2006.

| | | Option | Stoc | k Awards | | |
|------------------------------|-------------|-------------------------|----------|------------|---------------------------------|------------------|
| | | of Securities | Option | Option | | Market Value of |
| | • | g Unexercised otions | Exercise | Expiration | # of Shares that have not | Shares that |
| Name | Exercisable | Unexercisable | Price | Date | Vested | have not Vested |
| Jack Antonini ⁽¹⁾ | | | | | 20,000 (2) | \$ 1,822,400 (3) |
| J. Chris Brewster | 30,000 | 15,000 (4) | \$ 52.00 | 03-31-2014 | | |
| | | 15,000 (5) | \$ 83.84 | 03-06-2016 | | |
| Michael H. Clinard | 12,417 | | \$ 5.88 | 06-04-2011 | | |
| | 6,266 | | \$ 11.73 | 03-03-2012 | | |
| | | 10,000 (5) | \$ 83.84 | 03-06-2016 | | |
| Thomas E. Upton | 19,854 | | \$ 5.87 | 06-04-2011 | | |
| | 3,750 | | \$ 11.73 | 03-03-2012 | | |
| | | 10,000 (5) | \$ 83.84 | 03-06-2016 | | |
| Ronald Delnevo | 10,000 | 30,000 (6) | \$ 83.84 | 05-17-2015 | | |
| Drew Soinski (7) | 25,000 | | \$ 83.84 | 08-28-2007 | | |

- (1) Mr. Antonini only owns restricted shares in the Company and has not been granted any options to purchase the Company s common stock.
- (2) These shares fully vested on January 20, 2007.
- (3) There was no public market for our common stock on December 31, 2006. Accordingly, we calculated this value based on an estimated price per share of \$91.12, which was the estimated fair value of our common stock as of December 31, 2006. This fair value was estimated utilizing the probability-weighted expected return cash flow method, and included (i) estimates of fair value based on the Company s anticipated future cash flows and (ii) the enterprise value of other similar publicly-traded companies within the Company s industry, including those that had been recently acquired.
- (4) These options fully vested on March 31, 2007.
- (5) These options will vest in four equal annual installments, the first of which occurred on March 6, 2007 and the last of which will occur on March 6, 2010.
- (6) These remaining options will vest in three equal annual installments, the first of which occurred on May 17, 2007 and the last of which will occur on May 17, 2009.
- (7) These options expired unexercised on August 28, 2007.

Table of Contents

Edgar Filing: CARDTRONICS INC - Form S-1/A

Option Exercises and Stock Vested during Fiscal Year 2006

During the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006, none of our named executive officers exercised any stock options. However, 20,000 shares of the restricted stock grant made to our Chief Executive Officer in 2003 vested in February 2006. These 20,000 shares, which were purchased by Mr. Antonini in 2003, had a value of approximately \$1,676,800 at the time of vesting, the value of which was determined by management.

Pension Benefits

Currently, Cardtronics does not offer, and, therefore, none of our named executive officers participate in or have account balances in qualified or non-qualified defined benefit plans sponsored by us. In the future, however, the compensation committee may elect to adopt qualified or non-qualified defined benefit plans if it determines that doing so is in our best interests (e.g., in order to attract and retain employees.)

Nonqualified Deferred Compensation

Cardtronics offers a 401(k) savings plan to its employees but has not historically made matching contributions. As a result, while certain executive officers participated in the 401(K) plan in 2006, there was no element of compensation related to the plan in 2006.

Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control

The table below reflects the amount of compensation payable to the named executive officers in the event of a termination of employment or a change in control of the Company. The amount of compensation payable to each named executive officer in each situation is listed. The amounts shown assume that such termination was effective as of December 31, 2006:

| | Benefits | Volunta Termina (1) | | use fo | | Good Reason Termination by Executive (1) | Change in Control | Termination in Connection with a Change in Control (1) | Termination by Executive upon a Change in Control | De Di |
|----------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|----|--------|-----------|---|----------------------|--|--|----------|
| nini | Base salary ⁽³⁾ | \$ | \$ | \$ | 347,287 | \$ | \$ | \$ 347,287 | \$ 347,287 | \$ |
| | Bonus | Ŧ | Ŧ | Ŷ | 223,653 | Ŷ | Ŧ | 223,653 | ¢ 0.11,201 | 2 |
| | Restricted stock ⁽⁴⁾ | | | | 1,587,200 | 1,587,200 | 1,587,200 | 1,587,200 | 1,587,200 | 1,5 |
| ewster | Base salary ⁽⁵⁾ | \$ | \$ | \$ | 248,063 | \$ 248,063 | \$ | \$ 248,063 | \$ 248,063 | \$ |
| | Bonus | | | | 209,753 | 209,753 | | 209,753 | 209,753 | 2 |
| | Stock Options ⁽⁶⁾ | | | | 586,800 | 586,800 | 586,800 | 586,800 | 586,800 | |
| | Post-employment | | | | | | | | | |
| | health care ⁽⁷⁾ | | | | 8,134 | 8,134 | | 8,134 | 8,134 | |
| . Clinard | Base salary | \$ | \$ | \$ | 231,525 | \$ 231,525 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 1 |
| | Bonus | | | | 149,102 | | | | | 1 |
| Upton | Base salary | \$ | \$ | \$ | 220,500 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 2 |
| | Bonus | | | | 234,902 | | | | | 2 |
| lnevo ⁽⁹⁾ | Base salary | \$ | \$ | \$ | 333,739 | \$ 333,739 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 1 |
| | Bonus | | | | 153,868 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

Edgar Filing: CARDTRONICS INC - Form S-1/A

| Accrued vacation | 6,412 | 6,412 | 6,412 | 6,412 |
|------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
|------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|

- (1) Upon the occurrence of any of the termination events listed, the terminated executive would receive any base salary amount that had been earned but had not been paid at the time of termination. The total amounts shown above do not include such amounts.
- (2) In the event of a not-for-cause termination, the terminated executive would receive severance pay equal to his current base salary for the lesser of a period of 12 months or the number of months remaining under the executive s employment agreement. The employment agreements of Messrs. Antonini, Brewster, Clinard, and Upton expire on January 31, 2008. The employment agreement of Mr. Delnevo expires on May 17, 2009. For each executive, such amount would be payable in bi-weekly installments with the exception of Mr. Delnevo, whose employment agreement calls for such amount to be paid within 14 days of receiving a notice of termination. Additionally, each executive would receive a pro-rata bonus for services provided during the year. Amounts shown above represent the full bonus earned by the executive in 2006.
- (3) In the event of a termination upon a change in control, Mr. Antonini would receive severance pay equal to his current base salary for a period of 12 months. There is no specified time period following a change in control in which Mr. Antonini must notify the Company of his intention to terminate his employment with the Company.
- (4) Pursuant to the terms of Mr. Antonini s restricted stock agreement, his unvested restricted shares would automatically vest upon death or disability, a change in control, a not-for-cause termination, or a good reason termination. Amount shown does not represent a liability of the Company, but rather represents the benefit to the executive as a result of the accelerated vesting. Such amount represents the product of (a) the 20,000 unvested shares that would vest as of December 31, 2006 upon the aforementioned events, and (b) the difference between (A) \$91.12, the estimated fair market value of our common stock as of December 31, 2006, and (B) the price at which Mr. Antonini purchased the restricted shares in 2004. These 20,000 restricted shares became fully vested on January 20, 2007, upon the expiration of the Company s right to repurchase such restricted shares.

- (5) In the event of a not-for-cause termination, a good reason termination, or termination upon a change in control, Mr. Brewster would receive payment in the amount of his base salary for a period of twelve months. To be eligible to receive such payments in the event of a good reason termination or a termination by the executive upon a change in control, Mr. Brewster must notify the Company within one year of the occurrence that he intends to terminate his employment with the Company. However, in the event he accepts another full-time employment position (defined as 20 hours per week) within one year after termination, remaining payments to be made by the Company would be reduced by the gross amount being earned under his new employment arrangement.
- (6) Pursuant to the terms of Mr. Brewster s stock option agreement, 15,000 of his unvested options would automatically vest upon the event of a not-for-cause termination, a good reason termination, or a change in control. Amount shown does not represent a liability of the Company, but rather represents the benefit to the executive as a result of the accelerated vesting. Such amount represents the product of (a) the 15,000 shares underlying the outstanding options that would have vested as of December 31, 2006 upon the aforementioned events, and (b) the difference between (A) \$91.12 (the estimated fair market value of our common stock as of December 31, 2006), and (B) the exercise price of the options. Mr. Brewster, or his designated beneficiaries, would have three years from the date of his termination to exercise all vested options. These 15,000 options fully vested on March 31, 2007.
- (7) If Mr. Brewster, in the event of a not-for-cause termination, a good reason termination, or a termination in connection with a change in control, elected to continue benefits coverage through the Company s group health plan under the Consolidated Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1986 (COBRA), the Company would partially subsidize Mr. Brewster s incremental healthcare premiums. Amount shown represents the difference in Mr. Brewster s current insurance premiums and current COBRA rates for a similar plan.
- (8) In the event Mr. Clinard s employment is terminated as a result of death or disability, Mr. Clinard would be entitled to receive payments equal to the difference between his base salary and any disability benefits received by him under the Company s disability benefits plans (calculated as the lesser of 60% of base salary or \$52,000) for twelve months.
- (9) Amounts shown for Mr. Delnevo were converted from Pounds Sterling to U.S. dollars at \$1.9613, which represents the exchange rate in effect as of December 31, 2006.
- (10) In the event Mr. Delnevo becomes disabled, Mr. Delveno would be entitled to receive payments equal to his base salary for a maximum of 16 weeks (i.e., 80 work days.)

In August 2006, Mr. Soinski s employment with the Company ended. The Company determined that he was entitled to additional compensation equal to his base salary for twelve months, a pro-rata bonus payment, as well as the partial subsidization of his health and life insurance premiums.

Change in Control. For purposes of the above disclosure, a change in control is defined as the following:

(a) prior to the date of an initial public offering, (i) any transaction or event pursuant to which the CapStreet Investors and TA Associates, Inc. (or their respective affiliates) cease collectively to own 50% or more of the Company s common stock equivalents or (ii) all or substantially all of the assets of Cardtronics, Inc. are transferred to an entity that is not owned (in substantially the same proportions) by the holders of equity securities of Cardtronics, Inc. immediately prior to such transaction; and

Edgar Filing: CARDTRONICS INC - Form S-1/A

(b) from and after the date of an initial public offering, (i) a merger of Cardtronics, Inc. with another entity, a consolidation involving Cardtronics, Inc., or the sale of all or substantially all of the assets of Cardtronics, Inc. to another entity if, in any such case, (A) the holders of equity securities of Cardtronics, Inc. immediately prior to such transaction or event do not beneficially own immediately after such transaction or event equity securities of the resulting entity entitled to 60% or more of the votes then eligible to be cast in the election of directors generally (or comparable governing body) of the resulting entity in substantially the same proportions that they owned the equity securities of Cardtronics, Inc. immediately prior to such transaction or event or (B) the persons who were members of the Board immediately prior to such transaction or event shall not constitute at least a majority of the board of directors of the resulting entity immediately

after such transaction or event, (ii) the dissolution or liquidation of Cardtronics, Inc., (iii) when any person or entity, including a group as contemplated by Section 13(d)(3) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (other than the CapStreet Investors) acquires or gains ownership or control (including, without limitation, power to vote) of more than 50% of the combined voting power of the outstanding securities of, (A) if Cardtronics, Inc. has not engaged in a merger or consolidation, Cardtronics, Inc. or (B) if Cardtronics, Inc. has engaged in a merger or consolidation, the resulting entity, or (iv) as a result of or in connection with a contested election of directors, the persons who were members of the Board immediately before such election shall cease to constitute a majority of the Board.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, in no event shall an initial public offering constitute a Change of Control. Accordingly, this offering and the sale of stock by selling stockholders will not trigger a Change of Control.

Additionally, pursuant to the terms of our 2001 Stock Incentive Plan, the compensation committee, at its sole discretion, may take action related to and/or make changes to such options and the related options agreements upon the occurrence of an event that qualifies as a change in control. Such actions and/or changes could include (but are not limited to) (i) acceleration of the vesting of the outstanding, non-vested options, (ii) modifications to the number and price of shares subject to the option agreements, and/or (iii) the requirement for mandatory cash out of the options (i.e., surrender by an executive of all or some of his outstanding options, whether vested or not, in return for consideration deemed adequate and appropriate based on the specific change in control event). Such actions and/or changes may vary among Plan participants. As a result of their discretionary nature, these potential changes have not been estimated and are not reflected in the above table.

Director Compensation

The following table provides compensation information for the year ended December 31, 2006, for each member of our Board of Directors:

| Fees Earned or Paid in Cash |
|--------------------------------|
| |
| \$ 5,000 |
| |
| |
| \$ 2,000 |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| \$ 3,000 |
| |

We pay each of our independent Directors \$1,000 per Board meeting attended, with the exception of Mr. Clinard. In light of Mr. Clinard s status as the founder of the Company, as well the fact that he and other members of his immediate family retain a significant ownership interest in the Company, Mr. Clinard has waived his right to receive payment for services rendered as a member of our Board. Additionally, although Mr. Diaz is not considered independent (as a result of his association with Fiserv, a company with whom we conduct business), he is compensated for his time as though he were an independent Board member. As noted in the table above, Messrs. Lummis, Brazelton, Kafker, Wilson, Antonini, and Delnevo received no compensation for their service on our Board of Directors during the year ended

2006. All of our Directors are reimbursed for their reasonable expenses in attending Board and committee meetings.

In addition to the above, Mr. Coben received approximately \$2,875 in fees from the Company during 2006 for certain consulting services provided by Mr. Coben to the Company. As noted previously, Mr. Coben resigned from the Company s Board of Directors in January 2007, and his resignation was not the result of any disagreement with the Company.

In addition, we are in the process of establishing a plan which would permit each Director to receive compensation for Board service in the form of common shares and to defer receipt of this compensation for a period of time selected by the director that terminates no later than the date he ceases to be a Director. No options or other stock awards were granted to any of our Directors in 2006.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

During 2006, none of the Company s executive officers (current or former) served as a member of the compensation committee. Additionally, none of the Company s executive officers has served as a director or member of the compensation committee of any other entity whose executive officers served as a director or member of Cardtronics compensation committee.

2007 Stock Incentive Plan

In August 2007, our Board of Directors and the stockholders of the Company adopted and approved the Company s 2007 Stock Incentive Plan (the 2007 Plan). The adoption, approval, and effectiveness of the 2007 Plan is contingent upon the successful completion of this offering. The purpose of the 2007 Plan is to provide directors, employees, advisors and consultants of the Company and its affiliates additional incentive and reward opportunities designed to enhance the profitable growth of the Company and its affiliates. The 2007 Plan provides for the granting of incentive stock options intended to qualify under Section 422 of the Code, options that do not constitute incentive stock options, restricted stock awards, performance awards, phantom stock awards, and bonus stock awards. The 2007 Plan is administered by the compensation committee of the Board of Directors. In general, the compensation committee is authorized to select the recipients of awards and to establish the terms and conditions of those awards. In connection with the adoption of the 2007 Plan, the Board determined that no further awards will be granted under the Company s 2001 Stock Incentive Plan upon the effectiveness of the 2007 Plan.

The number of shares of common stock that may be issued under the 2007 Plan may not exceed 400,000 shares (or 3,850,360 shares on a post-split basis immediately following this offering), subject to further adjustment to reflect stock dividends, stock splits, recapitalizations and similar changes in the Company s capital structure. Shares of common stock that are attributable to awards that have expired, terminated or been canceled or forfeited are available for issuance or use in connection with future awards. In addition, shares issued under the 2007 Plan that are forfeited back to the 2007 Plan, shares surrendered in payment of the exercise price or purchase price of an award, and shares withheld for payment of applicable taxes are available for issuance or use in connection with future awards. The maximum number of shares of common stock that may be subject to awards denominated in shares of common stock granted under the 2007 Plan to any one individual during the term of the 2007 Plan (as adjusted from time to time in accordance with the provisions of the 2007 Plan). The maximum amount of compensation that may be paid under all performance awards under the 2007 Plan denominated in cash (including the fair market value of any shares of common stock paid in satisfaction of such performance awards) granted to any one individual

during any calendar year may not exceed \$1,000,000, and any payment due with respect to a performance award shall be paid no later than 10 years after the date of grant of such performance award.

The price at which a share of common stock may be purchased upon exercise of an option granted under the 2007 Plan will be determined by the compensation committee, but such purchase price will not be less than the fair market value of a share of common stock on the date such option is granted. Additionally, a stock appreciation right may be granted in connection with the grant of an option or independently of such grant. A stock appreciation right allows the holder to exercise the right and acquire common stock and/or cash having an aggregate value equal to the then excess of the fair market value of the shares with respect to which the right is exercised over the exercise price therefor. The exercise price per share under a stock appreciation right granted under the 2007 Plan will be determined by the compensation committee, but such exercise price will not be less than the fair market value of a share of common stock on the date such stock appreciation right is granted.

Shares of common stock that are the subject of a restricted stock award under the 2007 Plan will be subject to restrictions on disposition by the holder of such award and an obligation of such holder to forfeit and surrender the shares to the Company under certain circumstances (the Forfeiture Restrictions). The Forfeiture Restrictions will be determined by the compensation committee in its sole discretion, and the compensation committee may provide that the Forfeiture Restrictions will lapse upon (a) the attainment of one or more performance targets established by the compensation committee that are based on (1) the price of a share of common stock, (2) the Company s earnings per share, (3) the Company s market share, (4) the market share of a business unit of the Company designated by the compensation committee, (5) the Company s sales, (6) the sales of a business unit of the Company designated by the compensation committee, (7) the net income (before or after taxes) of the Company or any business unit of the Company designated by the compensation committee, (8) the cash flow or return on investment of the Company or any business unit of the Company designated by the compensation committee, (9) the earnings before or after interest, taxes, depreciation, and/or amortization of the Company or any business unit of the Company designated by the compensation committee, (10) the economic value added, (11) the return on capital, assets, or stockholders equity achieved by the Company, or (12) the total stockholders return achieved by the Company (the goals described in items (1) through (12) are referred to as the Enumerated Performance Goals), (b) the award holder s continued employment with the Company or continued service as a consultant or director for a specified period of time, (c) the occurrence of any event or the satisfaction of any other condition specified by the compensation committee in its sole discretion, or (d) a combination of any of the foregoing.

A performance award under the 2007 Plan is an award of shares of common stock, cash payments, or a combination thereof that may be earned based on the satisfaction of various performance targets established by the compensation committee that are based upon one or more of the Enumerated Performance Goals. At the time of the grant of a performance award, the compensation committee will establish the maximum number of shares of common stock subject to, or the maximum value of, such award and the period over which the performance applicable to the award will be measured.

Phantom stock awards under the 2007 Plan are awards of common stock (or the fair market value thereof), or rights to receive amounts equal to share appreciation over a specific period of time. Such awards vest over a period of time established by the compensation committee, without satisfaction of any performance criteria or objectives. Payment of a phantom stock award may be made in cash, common stock, or a combination thereof.

Bonus stock awards under the 2007 Plan are awards of unrestricted common stock. These awards are granted on such terms and conditions and at such purchase price determined by

the compensation committee and need not be subject to performance criteria, objectives, or forfeiture.

No awards under the 2007 Plan may be granted after 10 years from the date the 2007 Plan was adopted by the Board of Directors. The 2007 Plan will remain in effect until all options granted under the 2007 Plan have been exercised or expired, all shares of restricted stock granted under the 2007 Plan have vested or been forfeited, and all performance awards, phantom stock awards and bonus stock awards have been satisfied or expired. The Board of Directors in its discretion may terminate the 2007 Plan at any time with respect to any shares of common stock for which awards have not been granted. The 2007 Plan may be amended, other than to increase the maximum aggregate number of shares that may be issued under the 2007 Plan, to increase the maximum number of shares that may be issued under the 2007 Plan, to change the class of individuals eligible to receive awards under the 2007 Plan, by the Board of Directors without the consent of the stockholders of the Company. No change in any award previously granted under the 2007 Plan may be made which would impair the rights of the holder of such award without the approval of the holder.

Our compensation committee believes that periodic grants of stock options are a key component of our executive compensation program as they further align the long-term interests of management with those of our investors. Equity grants awarded by the Company generally vest ratably over four years based on continued employment and expire ten years from the date of grant. This vesting feature of our equity grants is designed to aid in officer retention as this feature provides an incentive to our executive officers to remain in our employment during the vesting period. Currently, there is no formal policy for granting stock options to our executive officers. Rather, such grants are discretionary and are made by the compensation committee. In determining the size of equity grants to our executive officer s performance, comparative share ownership by comparable executives of our competitors (based upon a review of publicly available information), the amount of equity previously awarded to the applicable executive officer, the vesting of such awards, and the recommendations of management and any other consultants or advisors that our compensation committee may choose to consult.

PRINCIPAL AND SELLING STOCKHOLDERS

The following table sets forth information regarding the beneficial ownership of our common stock as of November 1, 2007, and as adjusted to reflect the sale of shares in the offering by:

each person known by us to beneficially own more than 5% of our common stock;

each of our directors;

each of our named executive officers;

all directors and executive officers as a group; and

each selling stockholder.

To our knowledge, each selling stockholder purchased the shares of our stock in the ordinary course of business, or has provided powers of attorney authorizing the exercise of vested options and the sale of such shares acquired upon exercise in this offering, and, at the time of purchase of the securities to be resold, the selling stockholder had, or optionholder will have upon exercise of the vested options, no agreements or understandings, directly or indirectly, with any person to distribute the securities.

Footnote 1 below provides a brief explanation of what is meant by the term beneficial ownership. The number of shares of common stock and the percentages of beneficial ownership in the columns under Before Offering as well as the number of shares of common stock in the columns under Number of Shares Offered in the Offering are based on 16,987,162 shares of common stock outstanding as of November 1, 2007, 339,034 shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of our Series B Convertible Preferred Stock (other than the 894,568 shares of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock held by affiliates of TA Associates, Inc., which are convertible into 8,750,000 shares of common stock assuming an offering price based on the mid point of the estimated price range set forth on the cover of this prospectus), and 3,215,282 shares of common stock subject to options held by beneficial owners that are exercisable or that will be exercisable within 60 days of November 1, 2007, after giving effect to the stock split of our common stock that will occur immediately prior to the closing of the offering. See Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions Preferred Stock Private Placement with TA Associates and Description of Capital Stock. The number of shares of common stock that will be issued and outstanding immediately after this offering, after giving effect to the estimated 9.6259 to 1 stock split of our common stock that will occur immediately prior to the table may not add due to rounding.

To our knowledge and except as indicated in the footnotes to this table and subject to applicable community property laws, the persons named in this table have the sole voting power with respect to all shares of common stock listed as beneficially owned by them. The address for each executive officer and director set forth below, unless otherwise indicated, is c/o Cardtronics, Inc., 3110 Hayes Road, Suite 300, Houston, Texas 77082. The address of each of CapStreet II, L.P. and CapStreet Parallel II, L.P., and Messrs. Lummis and Brazelton is c/o The CapStreet Group, LLC, 600 Travis Street, Suite 6110, Houston, Texas 77002. The address of TA Associates, Inc. and Messrs. Wilson and Kafker is c/o TA Associates, John Hancock Tower, 56thFloor, 200 Clarendon Street, Boston, Massachusetts 02116.

Unless otherwise indicated, the address of each stockholder is Cardtronics, Inc., 3110 Hayes Road, Suite 300, Houston, Texas 77082.

Table of Contents

| | Before Of | e | | Maximum Number of Shares to be Sold Upon Exercise | After Offering (Assuming No Exercise of the Underwriters Option to Purchase Additional Shares) | | After Offering (Assuming Full Exercise of the Underwriters Option to Purchas Additional Shares | |
|---|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|--|---|----------------------------------|---|-----------------------------|
| | Number of | Percent of | Number of | of the | Number of | | Number of | |
| | Shares of Common Stock | Common Stock | Shares Offered | Underwriters Option to | Shares of Common Stock | Percent of Common Stock | Shares of Common Stock | Perco of Comn Stoo |
| | | | | Purchase | | | | |
| e of Beneficial Owner ⁽¹⁾ | Beneficially Owned ⁽²⁾ | Beneficially Owned | in the Offering ⁽²⁾ | Additional Shares ^{(2),(3)} | Beneficially Owned ⁽²⁾ | Beneficially Owned | Beneficially Owned ⁽²⁾ | Benefic Own |
| Stockholders: CapStreet Group, | | | | | | | | |
| (4),(5) | 10,949,067 | 37.4% | 3,197,574 | 1,389,541 | 7,751,493 | 20.6% | 6,361,951 | 16 |
| ssociates, Inc. ^{(6),(7)} | 8,750,000 | 29.9% | 2,555,358 | 1,110,459 | 6,194,642 | | 5,084,183 | |
| h H. Clinard ^{(8),(9)} | 3,389,672 | 11.6% | 989,784 | , , | 2,399,888 | | 2,399,888 | |
| a Clinard ⁽¹⁰⁾ | 3,389,674 | 11.6% | 989,785 | | 2,399,889 | | 2,399,889 | |
| ctors and Executive | - , , | | , | | , , | | ,, | _ |
| ers: | | | | | | | | |
| R. Lummis ⁽¹¹⁾ | 10,949,067 | 37.4% | 3,197,572 | 1,389,541 | 7,751,494 | 20.6% | 6,361,953 | 16 |
| ael A.R. Wilson ⁽¹²⁾ | 8,750,000 | 29.9% | 2,555,358 | 1,110,459 | 6,194,642 | 16.5% | 5,084,183 | |
| r Kafker ⁽¹³⁾ | 8,750,000 | 29.9% | 2,555,358 | 1,110,459 | 6,194,642 | 16.5% | 5,084,183 | |
| ael H. Clinard ⁽¹⁴⁾ | 2,030,110 | 6.9% | 592,792 | | 1,437,318 | 3.8% | 1,437,318 | |
| Antonini | 575,783 | 2.0% | 168,152 | | 407,631 | 1.1% | 407,631 | |
| ris Brewster ⁽¹⁵⁾ | 469,263 | 1.6% | 137,044 | | 332,219 | * | 332,219 |) |
| nas E. Upton ⁽¹⁶⁾ | 364,225 | 1.2% | 103,665 | | 260,560 | | 260,560 | |
| ld Delnevo ⁽¹⁷⁾ | 319,667 | 1.1% | 93,356 | | 226,311 | * | 226,311 | |
| rt P. Barone ⁽¹⁸⁾ | 41,545 | * | 12,133 | | 29,412 | * | 29,412 | |
| M. Diaz ⁽¹⁹⁾ erick W. Brazelton | 36,097 | * | 10,542 | | 25,555 | | 25,555 | |
| Updyke | | | | | | | | |
| irectors and executive ers as a group (13 persons) | 26,270,062 | 89.7% | 7,669,224 | 2,500,000 | 18,600,838 | 49.4% | 16,100,838 | 42 |
| treet II, L.P. | 9,798,762 | 33.5% | 2,870,316 | 1,243,557 | 6,928,446 | 18.4% | 5,684,889 | 15 |
| treet Parallel II, L.P. | 1,150,305 | 3.9% | 327,258 | 145,985 | 823,047 | 2.2% | 677,062 | . 1 |
| X, L.P. ⁽²⁰⁾ | 5,412,645 | 18.5% | 1,580,714 | 686,916 | 3,831,931 | 10.2% | 3,145,015 | 8 |
| tlantic and Pacific IV | 022.225 | 2.20 | 070 570 | 110 440 | | 1.0.07 | 5 4 2 2 1 5 | |
| 20) Atlantic and Pacific V | 933,337 | 3.2% | 272,573 | 118,449 | 660,765 | 1.8% | 542,315 | |
| 20) | 2,165,052 | 7.4% | 632,284 | 274,766 | 1,532,768 | 4.1% | 1,258,002 | . 3 |

Edgar Filing: CARDTRONICS INC - Form S-1/A

| trategic Partners Fund A | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|------|---------|--------|-----------|------|-----------|---|
| 20) | 110,822 | * | 32,364 | 14,064 | 78,457 | * | 64,393 | |
| nvestors II, L.P. ⁽²⁰⁾ | 108,249 | * | 31,613 | 13,738 | 76,636 | * | 62,898 | |
| trategic Partners Fund B | | | | | | | | |
| 20) | 19,895 | * | 5,810 | 2,525 | 14,085 | * | 11,560 | |
| h H. Clinard | 1,464,494 | 5.0% | 427,692 | | 1,036,802 | 2.8% | 1,036,802 | / |
| a Clinard | 1,269,810 | 4.3% | 370,836 | | 898,974 | 2.4% | 898,974 | / |
| ael H. Clinard ⁽²¹⁾ | 719,372 | 2.5% | 210,086 | | 509,286 | 1.4% | 509,286 | |
| h Clinard Family Trust | 655,370 | 2.2% | 191,395 | | 463,975 | 1.2% | 463,975 | |
| rd Family Trust for the | | | | | | | | |
| it of Kristi Labis | 163,842 | * | 47,849 | | 115,994 | * | 115,994 | |
| rd Family Trust for the | | | | | | | | |
| it of Korrine Levine | 163,842 | * | 47,849 | | 115,994 | * | 115,994 | |
| rd Family Trust for the | | | | | | | | |
| it of Andrea Bishop | 163,842 | * | 47,849 | | 115,994 | * | 115,994 | |
| rd Family Trust for the | | | | | | | | |
| it of Michael Clinard | 163,842 | * | 47,849 | | 115,994 | * | 115,994 | |
| | | | 141 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

| | | | | Maximum Number of Shares to be Sold Upon | After Of (Assumin Exercise Underw Option Purch | ng No of the riters n to ase | After Of (Assumin Exercise Underw Option Purch | ng Full of the riters n to ase |
|---|----------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|---|---|--|---|--|
| | Before O Number | ffering Percent | Number | Exercise of | Additional Number | Shares) | Additional Number | Shares) |
| | of | of | of | the | of | | of | |
| | | | | | | Percent | | Percent |
| | Shares of | Common | SharesU | | Schares of | of | Shares of | of |
| | Common | C 1 | | - | Common | | Common | |
| | Stock | Stock | Offered | to Purchase | Stock | Stock | Stock | Stock |
| | Beneficiall | Reneficially | | | eneficiall | Reneficially | Beneficiall | Reneficially |
| Name of Beneficial Owner ⁽¹⁾ | Owned ⁽²⁾ | Owned | Offering [®] | | - | - | Owned ⁽²⁾ | Owned |
| | o whee | owned | ononigo | iiui 05 | o whea | o whee | o whee | o mica |
| Brian Archer ⁽²²⁾ | 569,940 | 1.9% | 166,445 | i | 403,495 | 1.1% | 403,495 | 1.1% |
| Keith Myers ⁽²³⁾ | 189,014 | * | 55,200 |) | 133,814 | * | 133,814 | * |
| Michael E. Keller ⁽²⁴⁾ | 188,908 | * | 55,169 |) | 133,739 | * | 133,739 | * |
| Jerry Garcia ⁽²⁵⁾ | 162,437 | * | 46,233 | 5 | 116,204 | * | 116,204 | * |
| Robert L. Burrell | 158,914 | * | 46,409 |) | 112,505 | * | 112,505 | * |
| Larry Archer ⁽²⁶⁾ | 123,828 | * | 36,162 | 2 | 87,666 | * | 87,666 | * |
| Mac Longoria ⁽²⁷⁾ | 118,707 | * | 33,786 |) | 84,921 | * | 84,921 | * |
| John McHenry ⁽²⁸⁾ | 118,697 | * | 33,783 | 5 | 84,914 | * | 84,914 | * |
| Douglas Deitel | 96,509 | * | 28,185 | i | 68,324 | * | 68,324 | * |
| Jim Bettinger ⁽²⁹⁾ | 88,356 | * | 25,804 | Ļ | 62,552 | * | 62,552 | * |
| Charles Smith ⁽³⁰⁾ | 78,557 | * | 22,942 | 2 | 55,615 | * | 55,615 | * |
| Tres Thompson ⁽³¹⁾ | 72,194 | * | 21,084 | Ļ | 51,110 | * | 51,110 | * |
| Paul Oliphant ⁽³²⁾ | 51,595 | * | 15,067 | 1 | 36,528 | * | 36,528 | * |
| Sandra D. Menjivar | 45,280 | * | 12,678 | 5 | 32,602 | * | 32,602 | * |
| Ron Coben | 41,545 | * | 3,324 | Ļ | 38,221 | * | 38,221 | * |
| Matt DeLellis ⁽³³⁾ | 28,878 | * | 8,433 | 5 | 20,445 | * | 20,445 | * |
| Henry Freyer ⁽³⁴⁾ | 24,315 | * | 7,101 | | 17,214 | * | 17,214 | * |
| John R. Soderlund | 21,456 | * | 6,266 |) | 15,190 | * | 15,190 | * |
| Carl Osterlof ⁽³⁵⁾ | 19,252 | * | 5,479 |) | 13,773 | * | 13,773 | * |
| Giovanni A. Locandro ⁽³⁶⁾ | 18,106 | * | 5,288 | 5 | 12,818 | * | 12,818 | * |
| Roche Capital, LLC | 15,411 | * | 4,501 | | 10,910 | * | 10,910 | * |
| Diebold, Incorporated | 14,641 | * | 4,167 | | 10,474 | * | 10,474 | * |
| Ben Psillas ⁽³⁷⁾ | 12,369 | * | 3,612 | 2 | 8,757 | * | 8,757 | * |
| Carter Groves ⁽³⁸⁾ | 9,626 | * | 2,811 | | 6,815 | * | 6,815 | * |
| Fred Boyd | 9,058 | * | 2,645 | | 6,413 | * | 6,413 | * |
| Jonathan Shaw | 7,701 | * | 2,156 | | 5,545 | * | 5,545 | * |
| Mike Griffin ⁽³⁹⁾ | 6,016 | * | 1,757 | | 4,259 | * | 4,259 | * |
| Lloyd Nobles ⁽⁴⁰⁾ | 6,016 | | 1,712 | | 4,304 | * | 4,304 | * |
| Melissa Schultz ⁽⁴¹⁾ | 6,016 | * | 1,757 | 1 | 4,259 | * | 4,259 | * |

Edgar Filing: CARDTRONICS INC - Form S-1/A

| Eric S. Lemer | 3,860 | * | 1,127 | 2,733 | * | 2,733 | * |
|------------------------------|-------|---|-------|-------|---|-------|---|
| McCarthy Investment | 2 (7) | * | 700 | 1.004 | * | 1.004 | * |
| Company, LLC | 2,676 | ጥ | 782 | 1,894 | * | 1,894 | * |
| Steve Karp | 1,839 | * | 537 | 1,302 | * | 1,302 | * |
| Bally Shannon Partners, LLC | 1,540 | * | 438 | 1,102 | * | 1,102 | * |
| Tom Black | 1,463 | * | 427 | 1,036 | * | 1,036 | * |
| Bill King | 1,463 | * | 427 | 1,036 | * | 1,036 | * |
| Laurie D. Buhrer and D. Paul | | | | | | | |
| Buhrer, as Tenants by the | | | | | | | |
| Entirety | 1,328 | * | 388 | 940 | * | 940 | * |
| Michael Jennings | 87 | * | 25 | 61 | * | 61 | * |

* Less than 1.0% of the outstanding common stock

(1) Beneficial ownership is a term broadly defined by the SEC in Rule 13d-3 under the Exchange Act and includes more than the typical forms of stock ownership, that is, stock held in the person s name. The term also includes what is referred to as indirect ownership ,

meaning ownership of shares as to which a person has or shares investment or voting power. For the purpose of this table, a person or group of persons is deemed to have beneficial ownership of any shares as of November 1, 2007, if that person or group has the right to acquire shares within 60 days after such date.

- (2) The share information presented above gives effect to the anticipated stock split and conversion of the Series B Convertible Preferred Stock into shares of our common stock in connection with the offering. The stock split assumes (i) the conversion mechanics applicable to the Series B Convertible Preferred Stock held by TA Associates, as described in Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions, (ii) the conversion of the remaining Series B Convertible Preferred Stock into an equal number of common shares, and (iii) a resulting 9.6259 to 1 stock split for all common shares, which will be effected immediately prior to the closing of the offering. Such amounts assume the offering occurs at the mid point of the price range reflected on the cover of this prospectus.
- (3) Assuming the underwriters option to purchase additional shares from The CapStreet Group and TA Associates, Inc. is exercised in full.
- (4) The shares owned by The CapStreet Group, LLC are owned through its affiliated funds, CapStreet II, L.P. and CapStreet Parallel II, L.P.
- (5) The address for The CapStreet Group, LLC and its affiliated funds is c/o The CapStreet Group, LLC, 600 Travis Street, Suite 6110, Houston, Texas 77002.
- (6) The shares owned by TA Associates, Inc. through its affiliated funds, including TA IX L.P., TA/Atlantic and Pacific IV L.P., TA/Atlantic and Pacific V L.P., TA Strategic Partners Fund A L.P., TA Strategic Partners Fund B L.P., and TA Investors II, L.P., which we collectively refer to as the TA Funds, represent common shares issuable upon the conversion of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock into shares of our common stock assuming an offering price based on the mid point of the estimated price range set forth on the cover of this prospectus. See Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions and Description of Capital Stock.
- (7) The address for TA Associates, Inc. and its affiliated funds is c/o TA Associates, John Hancock Tower, 56th Floor, 200 Clarendon Street, Boston, Massachusetts 02116.
- (8) Mr. Clinard is currently a member of our Board of Directors.
- (9) The shares indicated as being beneficially owned by Ralph Clinard include 1,464,494 shares owned directly by him, 655,368 shares owned by four family trusts for the benefit of his children of which Mr. Clinard is a co-trustee and has shared voting power, and 1,269,810 shares owned by Mr. Clinard s wife (Laura Clinard) which Mr. Clinard may be deemed to be the beneficial owner of.
- (10) The shares indicated as being beneficially owned by Laura Clinard include 1,269,810 shares owned directly by her, 655,370 shares owned by the Ralph Clinard Family Trust of which Laura Clinard is a co-trustee and has shared voting power, and 1,464,494 shares owned by Mrs. Clinard s husband (Ralph Clinard) of which Mrs. Clinard may be deemed to be the beneficial owner.
- (11) The shares indicated as being beneficially owned by Mr. Lummis are owned directly by CapStreet II, L.P. and CapStreet Parallel II, L.P. Mr. Lummis serves as a senior advisor of The CapStreet Group, LLC, the ultimate general partner of both CapStreet II, L.P. and CapStreet Parallel II, L.P. As such, Mr. Lummis may be deemed to have a beneficial ownership of the shares owned by CapStreet II, L.P. and CapStreet Parallel II, L.P. Mr. Lummis disclaims beneficial ownership of such shares.

Edgar Filing: CARDTRONICS INC - Form S-1/A

- (12) Mr. Wilson serves as a Managing Director of TA Associates, Inc., the ultimate general partner of the TA Funds. As such, Mr. Wilson may be deemed to have a beneficial ownership of the shares owned by the TA Funds. Mr. Wilson disclaims beneficial ownership of such shares, except to the extent of his pecuniary interest therein and 1,628 shares of our Series B Convertible Preferred Stock, which are convertible into 15,923 shares of our common stock assuming an offering price based on the mid point of the estimated price range set forth on the cover of this prospectus.
- (13) Mr. Kafker serves as a Managing Director of TA Associates, Inc., the ultimate general partner of the TA Funds. As such, Mr. Kafker may be deemed to have a beneficial ownership of the shares owned by the TA Funds. Mr. Kafker disclaims beneficial ownership of such shares, except to the extent of his pecuniary interest therein and 1,605 shares of our Series B Convertible Preferred Stock, which are convertible into 15,698 shares of our common stock assuming an offering price based on the mid point of the estimated price range set forth on the cover of this prospectus.
- (14) Includes 515,467 shares owned directly by Michael Clinard and 203,905 options that are exercisable within 60 days of November 1, 2007. Also included in the shares indicated as being beneficially owned by Michael Clinard are 655,370 shares owned by the Ralph Clinard Family Trust and 655,368 shares owned by four trusts for the benefit of Ralph Clinard s children, each of which trusts Michael Clinard is a co-trustee of and has shared voting power of and of which he may be deemed to be the beneficial owner.
- (15) Includes 469,263 options that are exercisable within 60 days of November 1, 2007.
- (16) Includes 251,274 options that are exercisable within 60 days of November 1, 2007.
- (17) Includes 127,149 shares of our Series B Convertible Preferred stock which are convertible into our common stock on a share for share basis and 192,518 options that are exercisable within 60 days of November 1, 2007.
- (18) Includes 41,545 options that are exercisable within 60 days of November 1, 2007.
- (19) Includes 36,097 options that are exercisable within 60 days of November 1, 2007.
- (20) Represents common shares issuable upon the conversion of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock into shares of our common stock assuming an offering price based on the midpoint of the estimated price range set forth on the cover of this prospectus. See Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions and Description of Capital Stock.
- (21) Includes 515,467 shares owned directly by Mr. Clinard and 203,905 options that are exercisable within 60 days of November 1, 2007.
- (22) Includes 527,721 options that are exercisable within 60 days of November 1, 2007.
- (23) Includes 189,014 options that are exercisable within 60 days of November 1, 2007.
- (24) Includes 125,628 options that are exercisable within 60 days of November 1, 2007.

| (25) Includes 162,437 options that are exercisable within 60 days of November 1, 2007. |
|--|
| (26) Includes 107,136 options that are exercisable within 60 days of November 1, 2007. |
| (27) Includes 72,194 options that are exercisable within 60 days of November 1, 2007. |
| (28) Includes 108,291 options that are exercisable within 60 days of November 1, 2007. |
| (29) Includes 67,381 options that are exercisable within 60 days of November 1, 2007. |
| (30) Includes 47,773 options that are exercisable within 60 days of November 1, 2007. |
| (31) Includes 72,194 options that are exercisable within 60 days of November 1, 2007. |
| (32) Includes 48,130 options that are exercisable within 60 days of November 1, 2007. |
| (33) Includes 28,878 options that are exercisable within 60 days of November 1, 2007. |
| (34) Includes 24,315 options that are exercisable within 60 days of November 1, 2007. |
| (35) Includes 19,252 options that are exercisable within 60 days of November 1, 2007. |
| (36) Includes 18,106 options that are exercisable within 60 days of November 1, 2007. |
| (37) Includes 6,016 options that are exercisable within 60 days of November 1, 2007. |
| (38) Includes 9,626 options that are exercisable within 60 days of November 1, 2007. |
| (39) Includes 6,016 options that are exercisable within 60 days of November 1, 2007. |
| (40) Includes 6,016 options that are exercisable within 60 days of November 1, 2007. |
| (41) Includes 6,016 options that are exercisable within 60 days of November 1, 2007. |

CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

Preferred Stock Private Placement with TA Associates

In February 2005, we issued 894,568 shares of our Series B Convertible Preferred Stock to investment funds controlled by TA Associates, Inc. (the TA Funds) for a per share price of \$83.8394 resulting in aggregate gross proceeds of \$75.0 million. In connection with this offering, we also appointed Michael Wilson and Roger Kafker, who are designees of the TA Funds to our board of directors. Approximately \$24.8 million of the net proceeds of this offering were used to redeem all of the outstanding shares of our Series A Preferred Stock from affiliates of The CapStreet Group, LLC. The remaining net proceeds were used to repurchase approximately 24% of our outstanding shares of common stock and vested options to purchase our common stock at a price per share of \$83.8394, pursuant to an offer to purchase such shares of stock from all of our stockholders on a pro rata basis. As part of this transaction, we repurchased 353,878 shares of our common stock from affiliates of CapStreet for \$29.7 million. We also repurchase shares of common stock from our executive officers and directors as described below under Transactions with Our Directors and Officers.

In addition to the Series B Convertible Preferred Stock issued to the TA Funds, the Company issued \$3.0 million of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock, at the same per share price (\$83.8394) as the price paid by the TA Funds, as partial consideration in our May 2005 acquisition of Bank Machine.

In connection with obtaining the approval of TA Funds to the July 2007 7-Eleven ATM Transaction, we modified the original conversion ratio applicable to the TA Funds Series B Preferred Stock so that the common stock issuable upon conversion thereof, at the time of an initial public offering of the Company, would be valued at no less than \$131,250,000 (175% of the TA Funds original \$75 million cost of the Series B Convertible Preferred Stock). This modification was contained in our amended Certificate of Incorporation filed on July 19, 2007. Importantly, the conversion price modification gave us the ability to require the conversion of the Series B Convertible Preferred Stock to common stock in connection with an initial public offering even if the IPO per share price would not itself give the TA Funds common shares with a \$131,250,000 value. Our stockholders who received Series B Convertible Preferred Stock in connection with the Bank Machine acquisition agreed that the conversion price modification would only apply to holders of at least 100,000 shares of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock.

Pursuant to the terms of the Series B Convertible Preferred Stock held by the TA Funds and assuming an initial public offering price at the mid-point of the range, each share of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock held by the TA Funds will convert into 1.0161 shares of common stock so that the shares of common stock held by the TA Funds will represent 33.6% of our pre-IPO outstanding common shares (the Pre-IPO Common Stock Pool), with an implied valuation, based on the midpoint of the range, equal to the stipulated \$131,250,000 value. All other shares of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock will convert on a one for one basis. Following the conversion of the Series B Convertible Preferred Stock, we will effect a 9.6259 to 1 common stock split to result in the total post-offering capitalization reflected in this prospectus.

The conversion mechanics applicable to the Series B Convertible Preferred Stock held by the TA Funds will operate to give the TA Funds a number of shares out of the Pre-IPO Common Stock Pool having a value of at least equal to \$131,250,000 (175% of the TA Funds \$75 million cost) based on the initial public offering price. These conversion mechanics do not increase the number of shares of our common stock in the Pre-IPO Common Stock Pool.

To the extent our shares are priced either above or below the assumed midpoint of the range, both the conversion ratio of our Series B Convertible Preferred Stock and our stock split will adjust to provide TA Funds the pricing protection to which it is entitled without changing

the total number of shares of common stock we will have outstanding or diluting the investors in this offering.

Investors Agreement

In connection with our issuance of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock to the TA Funds in February 2005, all our existing stockholders entered into an investor agreement relating to several matters, only the registration rights provision of which will continue to be in force upon the completion of this offering. The material terms of that agreement are set forth below.

Registration Rights. The investors agreement grants CapStreet II, L.P. (on behalf of itself, CapStreet Parallel II, L.P. and permitted transferees thereof) and TA Associates, Inc. (TA Associates) the right to demand that we file a registration statement with the SEC to register the sale of all or part of the shares of common stock beneficially owned by them. Subject to certain limitations, we will be obligated to register these shares upon CapStreet II, L.P. s or TA Associates demand, for which we will be required to pay the registration expenses. In connection with any such demand registration, the stockholders who are parties to the investors agreement may be entitled to include their shares in that registration. In addition, if we propose to register securities for our own account, the stockholders who are parties to the include their shares in that registration.

All of these registration rights are subject to conditions and limitations, which include certain rights to limit the number of shares included in a registration under some circumstances.

Transactions with our Directors and Officers

General. Each of our independent Board members, unless otherwise indicated in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis Director Compensation, are paid a fee of \$1,000 per Board meeting attended. Furthermore, all Board members are reimbursed for customary travel expenses and meals.

Fred R. Lummis, the Chairman of our Board of Directors, is a senior advisor to The CapStreet Group, LLC, the ultimate general partner of CapStreet II and CapStreet Parallel II, the Company s primary stockholders.

Michael Wilson and *Roger Kafker*, both of whom are on our Board of Directors, are managing directors of TA Associates, affiliates of which are Cardtronics stockholders and own a majority of the Company s outstanding Series B Convertible Preferred Stock. See Preferred Stock Private Placement with TA Associates above.

Jorge Diaz, a member of our Board of Directors, is the President and Chief Executive Officer of Personix, a division of Fiserv. In 2006, both Personix (though indirectly) and Fiserv provided third party services during the normal course of business for Cardtronics. Amounts paid to Personix and Fiserv represented less than 0.2% of the Company s total operating and selling, general and administrative expenses for the year.

Subscriptions Receivable. The Company currently has loans outstanding with certain employees related to past exercises of employee stock options and purchases of the Company s common stock, as applicable. Such loans, which were initiated in 2003, are reflected as subscriptions receivable in the consolidated balance sheets contained elsewhere within this prospectus. The rate of interest on each of these loans is 5% per annum. In connection with the investment by TA Associates in February 2005 and the concurrent redemption of a portion of the Company s common stock, approximately \$0.4 million of the outstanding loans were repaid to the Company. Additionally, in the third quarter of 2006, the Company

repurchased 15,255 shares of the Company s common stock held by certain of the Company s executive officers for approximately \$1.3 million in proceeds. Such proceeds were primarily utilized by the executive officers to repay the majority of the above-discussed subscriptions receivable, including all accrued and unpaid interest related thereto. Such loans were required to be repaid pursuant to SEC rules and regulations prohibiting registrants from having loans with executive officers. As a result of the repayments, the total remaining amount outstanding under such loans, including accrued interest, was approximately \$0.3 million as of December 31, 2006 and September 30, 2007.

Restricted Stock. Pursuant to a restricted stock agreement dated January 20, 2003, the Company sold Jack Antonini, President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company, 80,000 shares of common stock in exchange for a promissory note in the amount of \$940,800, or \$11.76 per share. The agreement permitted the Company to repurchase a portion of such shares prior to January 20, 2007 in certain circumstances. The agreement also contained a provision allowing the shares to be put to the Company in an amount sufficient to retire the entire unpaid principal balance of the promissory note plus accrued interest. On February 4, 2004, the Company amended the restricted stock agreement to remove such put right. The Company recognized approximately \$0.2 million, \$0.5 million, and \$0.9 million in compensation expense in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, respectively, and approximately \$11,000 during the first nine months of 2007, associated with such restricted stock grant.

Common Stock Repurchase. Pursuant to our offer to repurchase shares of our common stock using a portion of the net proceeds from our February 2005 preferred stock offering, we purchased shares of our common stock from each of our executive officers and directors at a price per share of \$83.8394. We repurchased 171,638 shares of common stock from our executive officers and directors for \$14.4 million, which consisted of 9,492 shares repurchased from Jack Antonini, Chief Executive Officer, President, and Director; 23,453 shares from Michael Clinard, Chief Operating Officer; 7,956 shares from Thomas Upton, Chief Administrative Officer; and 130,737 shares from Ralph Clinard, Director.

Other. Bansi, an entity that owns a minority interest in our subsidiary Cardtronics Mexico, provided various ATM management services to Cardtronics Mexico during the normal course of business in 2006, including serving as the vault cash provider, bank sponsor, and the landlord for Cardtronics Mexico as well as providing other services. Amounts paid to Bansi represented less than 0.1% of the Company s total operating and selling, general, and administrative expenses for the year.

Approval of Related Party Transactions

A Related Party Transaction is a transaction, arrangement or relationship in which we or any of our subsidiaries was, is or will be a participant, the amount of which involved exceeds \$120,000, and in which any related party had, has or will have a direct or indirect material interest. A Related Person means:

any person who is, or at any time during the applicable period was, one of our directors;

any person who is known by us to be the beneficial owner of more than 5.0% of our common stock;

any immediate family member of any of the foregoing persons, which means any child, stepchild, parent, stepparent, spouse, sibling, mother-in-law, father-in-law, son-in-law, daughter-in-law, brother-in-law, or sister-in-law of a director or a more than 5.0%

beneficial owner of our common stock, and any person (other than a tenant or employee) sharing the household of such director or a more than 5.0% beneficial owner of our common stock; and

any firm, corporation or other entity in which any of the foregoing persons is a partner or principal or in a similar position or in which such person has a 10.0% or greater beneficial ownership interest.

In the ordinary course of business, we may enter into a Related Party Transaction. The policies and procedures relating to the approval of Related Party Transactions are not in writing. Given the relatively small size of our organization, any material Related Party Transactions entered into generally are known about and discussed with management and our board of directors prior to entering into the transaction. Typically, a Related Party Transaction does not require formal approval by our board of directors; however, prior to entering into a Related Party Transaction, the Company determines that such an arrangement is conducted at arm s length and is reasonable and fair to the Company. Additionally, any material agreement related to our Mexico operations is reviewed and approved by the board of directors of our Mexico subsidiary.

In conjunction with our compensation programs, we may enter into stock-based transactions with our employees. Each grant, redemption or otherwise is reviewed and approved by the compensation committee of our board of directors.

DESCRIPTION OF CAPITAL STOCK

General

Prior to the closing of this offering, we will further amend and restate our certificate of incorporation and by-laws to increase our authorized shares and to add certain other provisions as described below. In addition, prior to the closing of this offering our Board of Directors will declare certain stock dividends that will be contingent upon the closing of this offering and the conversion of our Series B Convertible Preferred Stock. The information in this section describes our third amended and restated certificate of incorporation and our amended and restated by-laws that will be in effect following the closing of this offering and assumes that stock split and Series B preferred stock conversion have taken place.

Our third amended and restated certificate of incorporation will authorize the issuance of up to 125,000,000 shares of common stock, par value \$0.0001 per share, and 10,000,000 shares of preferred stock, par value \$0.0001 per share.

The following description of the material terms of our capital stock and our third amended and restated certificate of incorporation and amended and restated bylaws is only a summary. You should refer to our third amended and restated certificate of incorporation and amended and restated bylaws as in effect upon the closing of this offering, which are included as exhibits to the registration statement of which this prospectus is a part.

Common Stock

As of September 30, 2007, there were 1,764,735 shares of common stock outstanding, which were held of record by 52 stockholders. Immediately following the closing of this offering there will be 34,909,608 shares of common stock outstanding.

Voting Rights. The holders of our common stock are entitled to one vote per share for each share held of record on any matter to be voted upon by stockholders. Our amended and restated certificate of incorporation does not provide for cumulative voting in connection with the election of directors and, accordingly, holders of more than 50% of the shares voting will be able to elect all of the directors standing for election.

Dividend Rights. All shares of our common stock are entitled to share equally in any dividends our board of directors may declare from legally available sources. Our credit agreement currently imposes restrictions on our ability to declare dividends with respect to our capital stock.

Liquidation Rights. Upon liquidation or dissolution of our company, whether voluntary or involuntary, all shares of our common stock would be entitled to share equally in the assets available for distribution to stockholders after payment of all of our prior obligations, including the liquidation preference and unpaid dividends, if any, on our preferred stock.

Other Matters. The holders of our common stock have no preemptive or conversion rights and our common stock is not subject to further calls or assessments by us. There are no redemption or sinking fund provisions applicable to the common stock. All outstanding shares of our common stock, including the common stock offered in this offering, are fully paid and non-assessable.

Preferred Stock

Edgar Filing: CARDTRONICS INC - Form S-1/A

As of September 30, 2007, there were 929,789 shares of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock outstanding, which were held of record by 10 stockholders. Concurrently with the completion of this offering, the 894,568 shares of preferred stock held by TA Associates and the 35,221 shares of preferred stock not held by TA Associates will convert into shares of

common stock. Consequently, no shares of preferred stock will be outstanding immediately following the completion of this offering and we have no immediate plans to issue any preferred stock.

The shares of preferred stock may be issued from time to time at the discretion of our board of directors without stockholder approval. The board of directors is authorized to issue these shares in different classes and series and, with respect to each class or series, to determine the dividend rate, the redemption provisions, conversion provisions, liquidation preference and other rights and privileges not in conflict with our third amended and restated certificate of incorporation. The issuance of any of our preferred stock could provide needed flexibility in connection with possible acquisitions and other corporate purposes. However, any issuance could also make it more difficult for a third party to acquire a majority of our outstanding voting stock or discourage an attempt by a third party to gain control of us. In addition, our board of directors, without stockholder approval, can issue shares of preferred stock with voting and conversion rights that could adversely affect the voting power and other rights of the holders of common stock. The listing requirements of The Nasdaq Global Market, which would apply so long as the common stock is listed on The Nasdaq Global Market, require stockholder approval of certain issuances of capital stock or securities exchangeable or convertible into 20% or more of the then outstanding voting power of then outstanding number of shares of common stock. Any such additional shares may be used for a variety of corporate purposes, including future public offerings, to raise additional capital or to facilitate acquisitions.

Directors Exculpation and Indemnification

Our third amended and restated certificate of incorporation provides that none of our directors will be liable to us or our stockholders for monetary damages for any breach of fiduciary duty as a director, except to the extent otherwise required by the Delaware General Corporation Law, or the DGCL. The effect of this provision is to eliminate our rights, and our stockholders rights, to recover monetary damages against a director for breach of a fiduciary duty of care as a director, except to the extent otherwise required by the DGCL. This provision does not limit or eliminate our right, or the right of any stockholder, to seek non-monetary relief, such as an injunction or rescission in the event of a breach of a director s duty of care. In addition, our amended and restated certificate of incorporation provides that, if the DGCL is amended to authorize the further elimination or limitation of the liability of a director, then the liability of the directors will be eliminated or limited to the fullest extent permitted by the DGCL, as so amended. These provisions will not alter the liability of directors under federal or state securities laws.

We have entered into indemnification agreements with each of our directors and key officers. These indemnification agreements provide that we will indemnify our directors and officers to the fullest extent permitted by law for liabilities they may incur because of their status as directors and officers. These agreements also provide that we will advance expenses to our directors and officers relating to claims for which they may be entitled to indemnification. These indemnification agreements also provide that we will maintain directors and officers liability insurance.

Insofar as indemnification for liabilities arising under the Securities Act may be permitted to directors, officers or persons controlling us under the provisions described above, we have been informed that, in the opinion of the SEC, this indemnification is against public policy, as expressed in the Securities Act and is therefore unenforceable.

Certain Provisions of Our Certificate of Incorporation and Bylaws

Business Combinations Under Delaware Law. We are a Delaware corporation and are subject to Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law. Section 203 prevents an interested stockholder, defined as a person who owns 15% or more of our outstanding voting stock, from engaging in business combinations with us for three years following the time that such person becomes an interested stockholder. These restrictions do not apply if:

before the person becomes an interested stockholder, our board of directors approves the business combination or the transaction in which the person becomes an interested stockholder;

upon completion of the transaction that results in such person becoming an interested stockholder, the interested stockholder owns at least 85% of our outstanding voting stock at the time the transaction commenced, excluding for the purposes of determining the number of shares outstanding, those shares owned by persons who are directors and officers, as well as shares held by employee stock plans in which employee participants do not have the right to determine confidentially whether shares held by such plan will be tendered in a tender or exchange offer; or

at or following the time of the transaction in which the person became an interested stockholder, the business combination is approved by our board of directors and authorized at an annual or special meeting of our stockholders, and not by written consent, by the affirmative vote of at least two-thirds of our outstanding voting stock not owned by the interested stockholder.

In addition, the law does not apply to interested stockholders such as The CapStreet Group, TA Associates or Mr. Ralph Clinard, who became an interested stockholder before our common stock was listed on The Nasdaq Global Market.

The law defines the term business combination to encompass a wide variety of transactions with or caused by an interested stockholder, including mergers, asset sales and other transactions in which the interested stockholder receives or could receive a benefit on other than a pro rata basis with other stockholders. This law could have an anti-takeover effect with respect to transactions not approved in advance by our board of directors, including discouraging takeover attempts that might result in a premium over the market price for shares of our common stock.

Election and Removal of Directors. Our third amended and restated certificate of incorporation and amended and restated bylaws provide for the division of our board of directors into three classes as nearly equal in size as possible and with staggered three-year terms. Any vacancy on our board of directors, including a vacancy resulting from an enlargement of our board of directors, may be filled only by the vote of a majority of the directors then in office. The classification of our board of directors and the limitation on filling of vacancies could make it more difficult for a third party to acquire, or discourage a third party from attempting to acquire, control of our company.

Board Meetings. Our amended and restated bylaws provide that special meetings of the board of directors may be called only by the chairman of our board of directors, our chief executive officer or a majority of the directors in office.

Stockholder Meetings. Our amended and restated bylaws provide that any action required or permitted to be taken by our stockholders at an annual meeting or special meeting of stockholders may only be taken if it is properly brought before such meeting and may not be taken by written action in lieu of a meeting. Our bylaws further provide that special meetings of the stockholders may only be called by the chairman of our board of directors, by

a committee that is duly designated by the board or by resolution adopted by the affirmative vote of the majority of the board of directors.

Requirements for Advance Notification of Stockholder Nominations and Proposals. Our amended and restated bylaws establish advance notice procedures with respect to stockholder proposals and the nomination of candidates for election as directors, other than nominations made by or at the direction of our board of directors or a committee of the board of directors. In order for any matter to be considered properly brought before a meeting, a stockholder must comply with requirements regarding advance notice and provide certain information to us. These provisions could have the effect of delaying until the next stockholders meeting stockholder actions that are favored by the holders of a majority of our outstanding voting securities. These provisions could also discourage a third party from making a tender offer for our common stock, because even if it acquired a majority of our outstanding voting securities, it would be able to take action as a stockholder (such as electing new directors or approving a merger) only at a duly called stockholders meeting and not by written consent.

Stockholder Action by Written Consent. Our third amended and restated certificate of incorporation and amended and restated bylaws provide that stockholder action may be taken only at a duly called annual or special meeting of stockholders of our common stock.

Cumulative Voting. Our third amended and restated certificate of incorporation provides that our stockholders will have no cumulative voting rights.

Amendment of Certificate of Incorporation and Bylaws. Amendment of the provisions described above in our third amended and restated certificate of incorporation generally will require the affirmative vote of a majority of our directors, and in certain cases the affirmative vote of the holders of at least 662/3% of our then outstanding voting stock. Our amended and restated bylaws may be amended (i) by the affirmative vote of the majority of our board of directors, or (ii) the affirmative vote of holders of a majority of our outstanding stock, except that amendments to certain provisions of our bylaws concerning our board of directors or stockholder action by written consent require the affirmative vote of holders of at least 662/3% of our then outstanding voting stock.

Nasdaq Trading

Our common stock has been approved for listing on The Nasdaq Global Market under the symbol CATM.

Transfer Agent and Registrar

The transfer agent and registrar issues stock certificates and keeps track of the registered holders of our stock. Our transfer agent and registrar is Wells Fargo Shareowners Services.

SHARES ELIGIBLE FOR FUTURE SALE

Prior to this offering, there has not been a public market for our common stock. Future sales of substantial amounts of our common stock in the public market, or the possibility of these sales, could adversely affect the trading price of our common stock and could impair our future ability to raise capital through the sale of our equity at a time and price we deem appropriate.

Upon completion of this offering, we will have 34,909,608 outstanding shares of common stock. Of these shares, the 16,666,667 shares sold in this offering will be freely tradable without restriction or further registration under the Securities Act, except for any shares purchased by our affiliates, as defined in Rule 144 under the Securities Act, which would be subject to the limitations and restrictions described below.

Assuming the underwriters over-allotment option is not exercised, the remaining 18,242,941 shares of common stock that will be held by existing stockholders will be restricted securities, as defined in Rule 144. Restricted securities may be sold in the public market only if registered or if they qualify for an exemption from registration under Rules 144 and 144(k) promulgated under the Securities Act, which rules are summarized below. These restricted securities will be held by existing stockholders who are all parties to an investors agreement. That agreement provides The CapStreet Group and TA Associates with the right, after the expiration of the lock-up agreements described in Underwriting, to require us to register their shares, which will consist of 13,946,135 shares upon completion of the offering. In addition, if we propose to register, or are required to register following the exercise of a demand registration right as described in the previous sentence, any of our shares of common stock under the Securities Act, all of our stockholders that are parties to the investors agreement will be entitled to include their shares of common stock in that registration. For a description of the investors agreement, see Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions Investors Agreement.

Subject to the lock-up agreements described in Underwriting and the provisions of Rules 144 and 144(k), the restricted securities will be available for sale in the public market as follows:

| Number of Shares | Date |
|---------------------|--|
| 335,280 | As of the date of this prospectus. |
| | After 90 days from the date of this prospectus. |
| 17,907,661 | After 180 days from the date of this prospectus. |

All of these restricted securities will be eligible for sale in the public market, subject in some cases to the volume limitations and other restrictions of Rule 144, beginning upon expiration of the lock-up agreements described in

Underwriting. The numbers of shares of common stock listed above do not include 6,006,793 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of stock options granted under our stock plans that were unexercised as of November 1, 2007. Upon completion of the offering, we intend to file a registration statement on Form S-8 with the SEC to register 9,405,319 shares of our common stock reserved for issuance or sale under our incentive stock plans. As of November 1, 2007, there were outstanding options to purchase a total of 6,006,793 shares of common stock of which 3,215,282 were vested. Shares of common stock issuable upon the exercise of options granted or to be granted under our stock option plans will be freely tradable without restriction under the Securities Act, unless such shares are held by an affiliate of ours.

Rule 144

In general, under Rule 144 as currently in effect, beginning 90 days after this offering, a person (or persons whose shares are required to be aggregated), including an affiliate, who has beneficially owned shares of our common stock for at least one year is entitled to sell in any three-month period a number of shares that does not exceed the greater of:

1% of then outstanding shares of common stock, or 344,909 shares; and

the average weekly trading volume in the common stock on The Nasdaq Global Market during the four calendar weeks preceding the date on which notice of sale is filed, subject to restrictions.

Sales under Rule 144 are also subject to manner of sale provisions and notice requirements and to the availability of current public information about us.

Rule 144(k)

In addition, a person who is not deemed to have been an affiliate of ours at any time during the 90 days preceding a sale and who has beneficially owned the shares proposed to be sold for at least two years, would be entitled to sell those shares under Rule 144(k) without regard to the manner of sale, public information, volume limitation or notice requirements of Rule 144. To the extent that our affiliates sell their shares, other than pursuant to Rule 144 or a registration statement, the purchaser sholding period for the purpose of effecting a sale under Rule 144 commences on the date of transfer from the affiliate.

Rule 701

In general, under Rule 701 of the Securities Act, any of our employees, consultants or advisors who received shares from us in connection with a qualified compensatory stock plan or other written agreement would be eligible to resell those shares 90 days after the effective date of this offering in reliance on Rule 144, but without compliance with many of the restrictions, including the holding period, contained in Rule 144. Our affiliates, as that term is defined in Rule 144, would be able to resell these shares under Rule 701 without compliance with the holding period contained in Rule 144, but would have to comply with certain other restrictions, including the volume limitations, included in Rule 144. Shares received in our corporate reorganization in exchange for shares received from our predecessor by employees, consultants or advisors in connection with a qualified compensatory stock plan or other written agreement may not be eligible for resale in reliance on Rule 701. As a result, these stockholders may not be able to rely on Rule 701 and, in order to sell such shares in the public market would need to either register such sale or qualify for an exemption under Rule 144 or Rule 144(k) as described above. We do not currently intend to request a no action letter or other interpretation from the SEC regarding the eligibility of Rule 701 following our corporate reorganization.

Lock-Up Agreements

In connection with this offering, we, each of our officers and directors, all selling stockholders, and other stockholders owning more than 1% of our stock have agreed not to offer, sell, contract to sell or otherwise dispose of, or enter into any transaction that is designed to, or could be expected to, result in the disposition of any shares of our common stock or other securities convertible into or exchangeable or exercisable for shares of our common stock or derivatives of our common stock owned by these persons prior to this offering or common stock issuable upon exercise of options held by these persons for a period of 180 days after the effective date of the registration statement of which this prospectus is a part, without the prior written consent of the representatives of the underwriters. See Underwriting.

Stock Options

As of November 1, 2007, and assuming a stock split of our common shares and options at a rate of 9.6259:1, options to purchase a total of 6,006,793 shares of common stock were outstanding under our stock plans, of which options to purchase 3,215,282 shares were exercisable. Upon the expiration of the lock-up agreements described above and after taking into consideration the issuance and sale of 500,079 shares from the exercise of options as part of this offering, at least 3,360,138 shares of common stock will be subject to vested options. Accordingly, shares issued upon the exercise of stock options granted under the 2001 Stock Incentive Plan, which are being registered under that registration statement, will, giving effect to vesting provisions and, with respect to our affiliates as that term is defined in Rule 144, in accordance with the applicable restrictions, including volume limitations contained in Rule 144, be eligible for resale in the public market from time to time immediately after the lock-up agreements referred to above expire.

Effect of Sales of Shares

Prior to this offering, there has been no public market for our common stock, and no prediction can be made as to the effect, if any, that market sales of shares of common stock or the availability of shares for sale will have on the market price of our common stock prevailing from time to time. Nevertheless, sales of significant numbers of shares of our common stock in the public market after the completion of this offering could adversely affect the market price of our common stock and could impair our future ability to raise capital through an offering of our equity securities.

MATERIAL UNITED STATES FEDERAL TAX CONSIDERATIONS FOR NON-U.S. HOLDERS

The following discussion is a summary of material United States federal income and estate tax considerations applicable to non-U.S. holders relating to the purchase, ownership and disposition of our common stock, which does not purport to be a complete analysis of all the potential tax considerations relating thereto. This summary is based on the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, Treasury regulations, rulings and pronouncements of the Internal Revenue Service or IRS, and judicial decisions as of the date of this prospectus. These authorities may be changed, perhaps retroactively, so as to result in United States federal income and estate tax consequences different from those described in this discussion. We have not sought any ruling from the IRS with respect to the statements made and conclusions reached in this summary, and there can be no assurance that the IRS will agree with these statements and conclusions.

This summary is addressed only to persons who are non-U.S. holders who hold our common stock as a capital asset. As used in this discussion, non-U.S. holder means a beneficial owner of our common stock that for United States federal income tax purposes is not:

an individual who is a citizen or resident of the United States;

a partnership, or any other entity treated as a partnership for United States federal income tax purposes;

a corporation, or any other entity taxable as a corporation for United States federal income tax purposes, created or organized in or under the laws of the United States, any state thereof or the District of Columbia;

an estate whose income is subject to United States federal income taxation regardless of its source; or

a trust (1) if it is subject to the primary supervision of a court within the United States and one or more United States persons have the authority to control all substantial decisions of the trust or (2) that has a valid election in effect under applicable Treasury regulations to be treated as a United States person.

An individual is treated as a resident of the United States in any calendar year for United States federal income tax purposes if the individual is present in the United States for at least 31 days in that calendar year and for an aggregate of at least 183 days during the three-year period ending on the last day of the current calendar year. For purposes of the 183-day calculation, all of the days present in the current year, one-third of the days present in the immediately preceding year, and one-sixth of the days present in the second preceding year are counted. Residents are taxed for United States federal income tax purposes as if they were United States citizens.

This summary does not address the tax considerations arising under the laws of any foreign, state or local jurisdiction or the effect of any tax treaty. In addition, this discussion does not address tax considerations that are the result of a holder s particular circumstances or of special rules, such as those that apply to holders subject to the alternative minimum tax, financial institutions, tax-exempt organizations, insurance companies, dealers or traders in securities or commodities, certain former citizens or former long-term residents of the United States, or persons who will hold our common stock as a position in a hedging transaction, straddle or conversion transaction. If a partnership (including an entity treated as a partnership for United States federal income tax purposes) holds our common stock, then the United States federal income tax treatment of a partner will generally depend on the status of

the partner and the activities of the partnership. Such a partner is encouraged to consult its tax advisor as to its consequences.

THIS DISCUSSION DOES NOT CONSTITUTE LEGAL ADVICE TO ANY PROSPECTIVE PURCHASER OF OUR COMMON STOCK. INVESTORS CONSIDERING THE PURCHASE OF OUR COMMON STOCK ARE ENCOURAGED TO CONSULT THEIR OWN TAX ADVISORS WITH RESPECT TO THE APPLICATION OF THE UNITED STATES FEDERAL TAX LAWS TO THEIR PARTICULAR SITUATIONS AS WELL AS TO ANY TAX CONSEQUENCES ARISING UNDER THE LAWS OF ANY OTHER TAXING JURISDICTION OR UNDER ANY APPLICABLE TAX TREATY.

Distributions on Our Common Stock

Distributions on our common stock will constitute dividends for United States federal income tax purposes to the extent paid from our current or accumulated earnings and profits, as determined under United States federal income tax principles. To the extent not paid from our current or accumulated earnings and profits, distributions on our common stock will constitute a return of capital and will be applied against and reduce a holder s adjusted basis in our common stock, but not below zero, and then the excess, if any, will be treated as gain from the sale of common stock.

Dividends paid on our common stock to a non-U.S. holder generally will be subject to withholding of United States federal income tax at a 30% rate or a lower rate specified by an applicable treaty. However, dividends that are effectively connected with the conduct of a trade or business within the United States by the non-U.S. holder (and, where a tax treaty applies, are attributable to a United States permanent establishment of the non-U.S. holder) are not subject to the withholding tax, provided certain certification and disclosure requirements are satisfied. Instead, such dividends are subject to United States federal income tax on a net income basis in the same manner as if the non-U.S. holder was a United States person as defined under the Internal Revenue Code. Any such effectively connected dividends received by a foreign corporation may be subject to an additional branch profits tax at a 30% rate or a lower rate specified by an applicable treaty.

A non-U.S. holder of our common stock that wishes to claim the benefit of an applicable treaty rate and avoid backup withholding, as discussed below, for dividends will generally be required to complete IRS Form W-8BEN (or valid substitute or successor form) and certify under penalties of perjury that such holder is not a United States person as defined under the Internal Revenue Code and is eligible for treaty benefits. Special certification and other requirements apply to certain non-U.S. holders that are pass-through entities and to non-U.S. holders whose stock is held through certain foreign intermediaries.

A non-U.S. holder of ou