

Edgar Filing: NUEVO ENERGY CO - Form 10-Q

NUEVO ENERGY CO
Form 10-Q
May 13, 2003

=====

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(D)
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

FOR THE QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED MARCH 31, 2003

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(D)
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

FOR THE TRANSITION PERIOD FROM _____ TO _____

COMMISSION FILE NUMBER 1-10537

NUEVO ENERGY COMPANY
(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

DELAWARE
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

76-0304436
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

1021 MAIN, SUITE 2100, HOUSTON, TEXAS
(Address of principal executive offices)

77002
(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (713) 652-0706

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an accelerated filer (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Common Stock, par value \$.01 per share.
Shares outstanding on May 8, 2003: 19,247,269.

=====

NUEVO ENERGY COMPANY

Edgar Filing: NUEVO ENERGY CO - Form 10-Q

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE ----
PART I	
Item 1. Financial Statements	
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income.....	3
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.....	4
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows.....	5
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss)...	6
Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.....	7
Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.....	15
Cautionary Statement for Purposes of the "Safe Harbor" Provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995.	21
Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.....	22
Item 4. Disclosure Controls and Procedures.....	23
PART II	
Item 1. Legal Proceedings.....	24
Item 2. Changes in Securities and Use of Proceeds.....	24
Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities.....	24
Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security-Holders.....	24
Item 5. Other Information.....	24
Item 6. Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K.....	24
Signatures	25
Certifications.....	26

2

PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NUEVO ENERGY COMPANY
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME
(IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE DATA)
(UNAUDITED)

	Quarter Ended March 31,	
	2003	2002
	-----	-----
Revenues		
Crude oil and liquids	\$ 82,802	\$ 65,251
Natural gas	15,310	5,711
Other	138	6
	98,250	70,968
	-----	-----

Edgar Filing: NUEVO ENERGY CO - Form 10-Q

Costs and Expenses		
Lease operating expenses	39,330	34,626
Exploration costs	1,072	1,058
Depreciation, depletion, amortization and accretion	17,389	17,248
General and administrative expenses	6,717	6,083
Other	795	24
	-----	-----
	65,303	59,039
	-----	-----
Operating Income	32,947	11,929
Derivative gain (loss)	(943)	(756)
Interest income	79	108
Interest expense	(9,322)	(9,004)
Dividends on TECONS	(1,653)	(1,653)
	-----	-----
Income From Continuing Operations Before Income Taxes	21,108	624
Income Tax Expense		
Current	1,504	--
Deferred	6,941	251
	-----	-----
	8,445	251
	-----	-----
Income From Continuing Operations	12,663	373
Income from discontinued operations, including gain/loss on disposal, net of income taxes	4,554	1,089
Cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle, net of income tax		
	8,496	--
	-----	-----
Net Income	\$ 25,713	\$ 1,462
	=====	=====
Earnings Per Share:		
Basic		
Income from continuing operations	\$ 0.66	\$ 0.02
Income from discontinued operations, net of income taxes	0.24	0.07
Cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle, net of income tax benefit	0.44	--
	-----	-----
Net income	\$ 1.34	\$ 0.09
	=====	=====
Diluted		
Income from continuing operations	\$ 0.65	\$ 0.01
Income from discontinued operations, net of income taxes	0.24	0.07
Cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle, net of income tax benefit	0.44	--
	-----	-----
Net income	\$ 1.33	\$ 0.08
	=====	=====
Weighted Average Shares Outstanding:		
Basic	19,199	17,000
	=====	=====
Diluted	19,305	17,176
	=====	=====

See accompanying notes.

Edgar Filing: NUEVO ENERGY CO - Form 10-Q

(IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT SHARE AMOUNTS)

March 31
2003

(UNAUDITED)

ASSETS

Current assets	
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 73,
Accounts receivable, net	60,
Inventory	6,
Assets held for sale	48,
Deferred income taxes	8,
Prepaid expenses and other	2,
Total current assets	199,
Property and equipment, at cost	
Land	5,
Oil and gas properties (successful efforts method)	989,
Other property	14,
Total property and equipment, at cost	1,008,
Accumulated depreciation, depletion and amortization	(311,
Total property and equipment, net	697,
Deferred income taxes	29,
Goodwill	19,
Other assets	23,
Total assets	\$ 969,

LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

Current liabilities	
Accounts payable	\$ 31,
Accrued interest	14,
Accrued drilling costs	6,
Accrued lease operating costs	16,
Price risk management activities	28,
Other accrued liabilities	29,
Current portion of long-term debt	2,
Total current liabilities	129,
Long-Term debt	
Senior Subordinated Notes	407,
Bank Credit Facility	-
Total debt	407,
Interest rate swaps - fair value adjustment	2,
Interest rate swaps - termination gain	11,
Total long-term debt	420,

Edgar Filing: NUEVO ENERGY CO - Form 10-Q

Asset retirement obligation	91,
Other long-term liabilities	14,
Company-Obligated Mandatorily Redeemable Convertible Preferred Securities of Nuevo Financing I (TECONS)	115,
Commitments and contingencies (Note 9)	
Stockholders' equity	
Preferred stock, \$1.00 par value, 10,000,000 shares authorized; 7% Cumulative Convertible Preferred Stock, none issued	
Common stock, \$0.01 par value, 50,000,000 shares authorized, 23,073,151 and 23,048,388 shares issued and 19,225,514 and 19,110,102 shares outstanding, respectively	
Additional paid-in capital	389,
Treasury stock, at cost, 3,847,639 and 3,867,691 shares, respectively	(75,
Deferred stock compensation and other	(1,
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	(15,
Accumulated deficit	(100,

Total stockholders' equity	196,

Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 969,
	=====

See accompanying notes.

4

NUEVO ENERGY COMPANY
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(IN THOUSANDS)
(UNAUDITED)

	Quarter Ended March 31,	
	2003	2002
	-----	-----
Cash flows from operating activities		
Net income	\$ 25,713	\$ 1,462
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities		
Depreciation, depletion, amortization and accretion	17,389	17,248
Dry hole costs	571	90
Amortization of debt financing costs	633	602
Deferred income taxes	6,941	251
Non-cash effect of discontinued operations	33	2,637
Cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle ..	(8,496)	--
Other	1,560	797
Working capital changes, net of non-cash transactions		
Accounts receivable	(18,896)	3,765
Accounts payable	(2,071)	(7,813)
Accrued liabilities	6,947	1,000
Other	16,810	(409)
	-----	-----
Net cash provided by operating activities	47,134	19,630

Edgar Filing: NUEVO ENERGY CO - Form 10-Q

	-----	-----
Cash flows from investing activities		
Additions to oil and gas properties	(16,213)	(22,662)
Additions to other properties	(672)	(1,013)
Proceeds from sale of properties	65,406	--
Other investing activities	1,841	--
	-----	-----
Net cash provided by (used) in investing activities	50,362	(23,675)
	-----	-----
Cash flows from financing activities		
Net repayments of credit facility	(28,700)	(1,525)
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	--	759
	-----	-----
Net cash used in financing activities	(28,700)	(766)
	-----	-----
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	68,796	(4,811)
Cash and cash equivalents		
Beginning of period	5,047	7,110
	-----	-----
End of period	\$ 73,843	\$ 2,299
	=====	=====

See accompanying notes.

5

NUEVO ENERGY COMPANY

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)
(IN THOUSANDS)
(UNAUDITED)

	Quarter Ended March	
	2003	2002
	-----	-----
Net income	\$ 25,713	\$ 1,46
Unrealized gains (losses) from cash flow hedging activity:		
Reclassification of initial cumulative effect transition adjustment at original value	--	(1,66)
Reclassification adjustments of settled contracts	9,280	(1,13)
Changes in fair value of derivative instruments during the period ...	(12,936)	(11,71)
	-----	-----
Other comprehensive income (loss)	(3,656)	(14,50)

Edgar Filing: NUEVO ENERGY CO - Form 10-Q

	-----	-----
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$ 22,057	\$ (13,04
	=====	=====

See accompanying notes.

6

NUEVO ENERGY COMPANY
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION

Our 2002 Annual Report on Form 10-K includes a summary of our significant accounting policies and other disclosures. You should read it in conjunction with this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. The financial statements as of March 31, 2003, and for the quarters ended March 31, 2003 and 2002, are unaudited. The balance sheet as of December 31, 2002, is derived from the audited balance sheet filed in the Form 10-K. These financial statements have been prepared pursuant to the rules and regulations of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission and do not include all disclosures required on an annual basis by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. In our opinion, we have made all adjustments, all of which are of a normal, recurring nature, to fairly present our interim period results. Information for interim periods may not necessarily indicate the results of operations for the entire year. The prior period information also includes reclassifications which were made to conform to the current period presentation. These reclassifications have no effect on our reported net income, cash flows or stockholders' equity.

Our accounting policies are consistent with those discussed in our Form 10-K, except as discussed below. You should refer to our Form 10-K for a further discussion of those policies.

Amendment of Statement 133 on Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities.

In April 2003, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 149, Amendment of Statement 133 on Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities. The statement amends and clarifies accounting for derivative instruments, including certain derivative instruments embedded in other contracts, and for hedging activities under SFAS No. 133. Except for implementation issues that have been effective for fiscal quarters that began prior to June 15, 2003 and should continue to be applied in accordance with their effective dates, this statement is effective for contracts entered into or modified after June 30, 2003 and for hedging relationships designated after June 30, 2003. The financial statement provisions are effective prospectively, except for forward purchases or sales of when-issued securities or other securities that do not yet exist and in which case SFAS No. 149 should be applied to both existing contracts and new contracts entered into after June 30, 2003. We are currently evaluating the effects of this pronouncement.

Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations.

In August 2001, the FASB issued SFAS No. 143, Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations. This Statement requires a liability to be recorded relating to the eventual retirement and removal of assets used in our business. The liability is discounted to its present value, with a corresponding increase to the related asset value. Over the life of the asset, the liability will be

Edgar Filing: NUEVO ENERGY CO - Form 10-Q

accreted to its future value and eventually extinguished when the asset is taken out of service. The provisions of this Statement are effective for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2002. We adopted the provisions of SFAS No. 143 on January 1, 2003 to record our asset retirement obligation to plug and abandon oil and gas wells. In connection with the initial application of SFAS No. 143, we recorded a cumulative effect of change in accounting principle, net of taxes, of \$8.5 million as an increase to net income. In addition, we recorded an asset retirement obligation for oil and gas properties and equipment of \$87.8 million. The following table summarizes asset retirement obligation transactions recorded in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 143:

	Quarter Ended March 31, 2003 ----- (In thousands)
Beginning asset retirement obligation.....	\$ 87,828
Liabilities incurred during period.....	2,304
Liabilities settled during period.....	(481)
Accretion expense.....	2,123

Ending asset retirement obligation.....	\$ 91,774 =====

7

The following table summarizes the pro forma basis as required by SFAS No. 143, had we adopted the provisions of SFAS No. 143 prior to January 1, 2003, the amount of the asset retirement obligations would have been as follows:

Adoption Date -----	Pro Forma Asset Retirement Obligation ----- (In thousands)
January 1, 2000.....	\$ 65,621
December 31, 2000.....	72,706
December 31, 2001.....	80,062
March 31, 2002.....	81,687
December 31, 2002.....	87,828

In addition, pro forma net income and earnings per share for the three months ended March 31, 2002 and for the years ended December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000 for the change in accounting had it been implemented during the periods:

1st Qtr 2002 -----	2002 -----	2001 -----	2000 -----

Edgar Filing: NUEVO ENERGY CO - Form 10-Q

(In thousands, except per share data)

Net income								
As Reported	\$	1,462	\$	12,275	\$	(79,171)	\$	11,635
Pro Forma		2,750		14,897		(75,479)		11,624
Net income per share - Reported								
Basic		0.09		0.70		(4.73)		0.67
Diluted		0.08		0.69		(4.73)		0.64
Net income per share - Pro Forma								
Basic		0.16		0.84		(4.51)		0.67
Diluted		0.16		0.84		(4.51)		0.65

Guarantor's Accounting and Disclosure Requirements.

In November 2002, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 45 ("FIN 45"), Guarantor's Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of the Indebtedness of Others, which clarifies the requirements of SFAS No. 5, Accounting for Contingencies, relating to a guarantor's accounting for and disclosures of certain guarantees issued. FIN 45 requires enhanced disclosures for certain guarantees. It also will require certain guarantees that are issued or modified after December 31, 2002, including certain third-party guarantees, to be initially recorded on the balance sheet at fair value. For guarantees issued on or before December 31, 2002, liabilities are recorded when and if payments become probable and estimable. The financial statement recognition provisions are effective prospectively, and we cannot reasonably estimate the impact of FIN 45 until guarantees are issued or modified in future periods, at which time their results will be initially reported in the financial statements.

Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities.

In January 2003, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 46 ("FIN 46"), Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities, an interpretation of Accounting Research Bulletin No. 51. FIN 46 requires certain variable interest entities, or VIEs, to be consolidated by the primary beneficiary of the entity if the equity investors in the entity do not have the characteristics of a controlling financial interest or do not have sufficient equity at risk for the entity to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support from other parties. FIN 46 is effective for all VIEs created or acquired after January 31, 2003. For VIEs created or acquired prior to February 1, 2003, the provisions of FIN 46 must be applied for the first interim or annual period beginning after June 15, 2003. We currently have no contractual relationship or other business relationship with a variable interest entity and therefore the adoption of FIN 46 will have no effect on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

8

2. STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

We account for stock compensation plans under the intrinsic value method of Accounting Principles Board Opinion ("APB") No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees. No compensation expense is recognized for stock options that had an exercise price equal to their market value of the underlying common stock on the date of grant. As permitted by SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, we have continued to apply APB Opinion No. 25 for purposes of determining net income. Had compensation expense for stock-based

Edgar Filing: NUEVO ENERGY CO - Form 10-Q

compensation been determined based on the fair value at the date of grant, our net income and earnings per share would have been as follows:

	Quarter Ended 2003
(In thousands, except	
Net income as reported	\$ 25,713
Add:	
Stock based employee compensation expense included in reported net income, net of related income tax	178
Deduct:	
Total stock based employee compensation expense determined under fair value based method for all awards, net of related income tax	(225)
Pro forma net income	\$ 25,666
Earnings per share:	
Basic - as reported	\$ 1.34
Basic - pro forma	1.34
Diluted - as reported	\$ 1.33
Diluted - pro forma	1.33

3. EARNINGS PER SHARE

SFAS No. 128, Earnings per Share, requires a reconciliation of the numerator (income) and denominator (shares) of the basic earnings per share computation to the numerator and denominator of the diluted earnings per share computation. The reconciliation is as follows:

	Quarter Ended March 31,			
	2003		2002	
	Net Income	Shares	Net Income	Shares
	(In thousands)			
Earnings - Basic	\$25,713	19,199	\$ 1,462	17,000
Effect of dilutive securities				
Stock options and restricted stock	--	106	--	122
Shares held by benefit trust	--	--	(38)	54
Earnings - Diluted	\$25,713	19,305	\$ 1,424	17,176

4. DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

Edgar Filing: NUEVO ENERGY CO - Form 10-Q

Brea-Olinda. In February 2003, we sold our Brea-Olinda field located in California for approximately \$59.0 million less purchase price adjustments of \$2.4 million. Historical results of operations from this property are classified as discontinued operations in our statements of income. Revenues associated with these properties were \$3.2 million in the three months ended March 31, 2003 and \$3.4 million in the same period of 2002. Pre-tax income associated with these properties was \$2.6 million in the three months ended March 31, 2003 and \$1.1 million in the same period of 2002.

9

Union Island. In March 2003, we sold our Union Island field located in California for approximately \$10.5 million less purchase price adjustments of \$1.7 million and recognized a gain on the sale of \$7.7 million. Revenues associated with these properties were \$1.5 million in the three months ended March 31, 2003 and \$0.6 million in the same period of 2002. Pre-tax income associated with these properties was \$1.3 million in the three months ended March 31, 2003 and \$0.4 million in the same period of 2002.

Orcutt Hill. In the first quarter 2003, our Board approved the sale of our Orcutt Hill field located in California. We transferred the remaining basis in this field to assets held for sale and recognized a \$5.3 million loss in connection with writing down the basis to the estimated fair value less our costs to sell. Revenues associated with these properties were \$2.7 million in the three months ended March 31, 2003 and \$1.7 million in the same period of 2002. Pre-tax income associated with these properties was \$1.3 million in the three months ended March 31, 2003 and \$0.1 million in the same period of 2002.

Eastern Properties. In 2002, we sold a majority of our oil and gas properties located in Texas, Alabama and Louisiana. Historical results of operations from these properties are classified as discontinued operations in our consolidated statements of income. Revenues associated with these properties were \$1.5 million and pre-tax income was \$0.3 million in the three months ended March 31, 2002.

5. PRO FORMA SUMMARY INFORMATION - ACQUISITION OF ATHANOR

On September 18, 2002, we acquired Athanor Resources, Inc. (Athanor) for \$61.3 million in cash, the issuance of approximately \$20.1 million of our common stock (approximately 2.0 million shares) and the assumption of net liabilities with a fair value of approximately \$20.0 million.

The following unaudited pro forma condensed income statement information has been prepared to give effect to the merger as if the transaction had occurred at the beginning of the period presented. The historical results of operations, based on first quarter 2002 realized prices, have been adjusted to reflect the difference between Athanor's historical depletion, depreciation and amortization and such expense calculated based on the value allocated to the assets acquired in the merger. The information presented is not necessarily indicative of the results of future operations of the merged companies.

Quarter Ended
March 31, 2002

(In thousands,
except per
share data)

Edgar Filing: NUEVO ENERGY CO - Form 10-Q

Revenues	\$	75,261
Income from continuing operations		639
Net income		1,728
Earnings per share		
Basic		
Income from continuing operations	\$	0.03
Net income		0.09
Diluted		
Income from continuing operations	\$	0.03
Net income		0.09

10

6. LONG-TERM DEBT

Our long-term debt consists of the following:

	March 31, 2003	December 31, 2002
	-----	-----
	(In thousands)	
9 3/8% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2010	\$ 150,000	\$ 150,000
9 1/2% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2008	257,210	257,210
9 1/2% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2006	2,367	2,367
Bank credit facility (3.81% on December 31, 2002)	--	28,700
	-----	-----
Total debt	409,577	438,277
Interest rate swaps - fair value adjustment	2,392	2,161
Interest rate swaps - termination gain	11,383	11,673
	-----	-----
Total debt and interest rate swaps	423,352	452,111
Less current portion of long-term debt	(2,367)	--
	-----	-----
Long-term debt	\$ 420,985	\$ 452,111
	=====	=====

We called our 9 1/2% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2006 and completed the redemption in April 2003.

7. FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

We have entered into commodity swaps, collars, put options and interest rate swaps. The commodity swaps, collars and put options are designated as cash flow hedges and the interest rate swaps are designated as fair value hedges in accordance with SFAS No. 133. Quantities covered by the commodity swaps and put options are based on West Texas Intermediate ("WTI") barrels. Our production is expected to average 74% of WTI, therefore, each WTI barrel hedges 1.36 barrels of our production.

Derivative Instruments Designated as Cash Flow Hedges

At March 31, 2003, we had entered into the following cash flow hedges:

Edgar Filing: NUEVO ENERGY CO - Form 10-Q

	Crude Oil			Natural Gas	
	Bbls / day	\$ / Bbl	Index	MMbtu/day	\$/MMbtu
Swaps for Sales					

2003					
2nd Qtr.	14,500	\$ 23.85	WTI	4,000	\$ 4.38
3rd Qtr.	13,500	23.62	WTI	4,000	4.41
4th Qtr.	13,000	23.68	WTI	4,000	4.38
2004					
1st Qtr.	13,500	23.56	WTI	8,000	4.34
2nd Qtr.	11,500	23.82	WTI	3,000	3.91
3rd Qtr.	9,500	23.50	WTI	3,000	3.91
4th Qtr.	4,500	22.82	WTI	3,000	3.91
2005					
Full Year	4,500	22.14	WTI		
Collars					

2003					
Full Year	10,000	22.00 - 28.91	WTI		
2nd Qtr. - 4th Qtr.				6,000	3.70-4.30
Swaps for Purchases					

2004				8,000	3.91
2005				8,000	3.85

Derivative Instruments Designated as Fair Value Hedges.

In late December 2001 and early 2002, we entered into three interest rate swap agreements with notional amounts totaling \$200.0 million to hedge the fair value of our 9 -1/2% Notes due 2008 and our 9 3/8% Notes due 2010. These swaps were designated as fair value hedges and were reflected as an increase or decrease of long-term debt with a corresponding increase in long-term assets or liabilities.

In late August and early September 2002, we terminated our swap transactions relating to these Notes. As a result of these terminations, we received accrued interest of \$2.2 million and the present value of the swap option of \$9.6 million on our 9 3/8% Notes and \$0.5 million in accrued interest and the present value of the swap option of \$2.5 million on our 9 -1/2% Notes. The gain of \$9.6 million on our 9 3/8% Notes and \$2.5 million on our 9 -1/2% Notes is reflected as an increase of long-term debt is being amortized as a periodic reduction in interest expense over the life of the Notes. During the three months ended March 31, 2003, we amortized \$0.3 million as a reduction of interest expense.

In late August and early November 2002, we entered into two interest rate swap agreements with notional amounts totaling \$100.0 million, to hedge a portion of the fair value of our 9 3/8% Notes due 2010. These swaps are designated as fair value hedges and are reflected as an increase of long-term

Edgar Filing: NUEVO ENERGY CO - Form 10-Q

debt of \$2.4 million as of March 31, 2003, with a corresponding increase in long-term assets. Under the terms of the first agreement, the counterparty pays us a weighted average fixed annual rate of 9 3/8% on total notional amounts of \$50.0 million, and we pay the counterparty a variable annual rate equal to the six-month LIBOR rate plus a weighted average rate of 4.71%. Under the terms of the second agreement, the counterparty pays us a weighted average fixed annual rate of 9 3/8% on total notional amounts of \$50.0 million, and we pay the counterparty a variable annual rate equal to the six-month LIBOR rate plus a weighted average rate of 4.95%.

Other - Call Spreads.

We have a call spread that is not designated as a hedging instrument and is marked-to-market with changes in fair value recognized currently as a derivative gain/loss. During the three months ended March 31, 2003 we recorded a \$0.9 million derivative loss and recorded the fair value of the remaining derivative loss at March 31, 2003 totaling \$3.7 million in accrued liabilities.

8. SEGMENTS

Our operations consist of the acquisition, exploitation, exploration, development and production of crude oil and natural gas. Our reportable segments are domestic, foreign and other. Financial information by reportable segment is presented below:

	For the Quarter Ended March 31, 2003			
	Oil and Gas Domestic	Oil and Gas Foreign	Other (1)	Total
	(In thousands)			
Revenues from external customers ..	\$ 86,631	\$ 11,481	\$ 138	\$ 98,250
Operating income before income tax	35,302	5,650	(19,844)	21,108

	For the Quarter Ended March 31, 2002			
	Oil and Gas Domestic	Oil and Gas Foreign	Other (1)	Total
	(In thousands)			
Revenues from external customers..	\$ 63,537	\$ 7,425	\$ 6	\$ 70,968
Operating income before income tax	16,283	2,371	(18,030)	624

(1) Other includes corporate income and expenses.

9. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Edgar Filing: NUEVO ENERGY CO - Form 10-Q

We acquired properties from Unocal and are obligated to make a contingent payment through 2004 if oil prices exceed thresholds set forth in the agreement with Unocal. Contingent payments are accounted for as a purchase price adjustment to oil and gas properties. We paid \$10.8 million to Unocal in 2002 attributable to calendar year 2001 and recorded the payment in oil and gas properties. In March 2003, we advised Unocal that we had failed to take deductions to the purchase price that we believe are permitted by the agreement. Application of these deductions result in no payment due for either calendar year 2001 or 2002. Unocal disputes this position for both years and discussions are ongoing in an effort to resolve this issue. While the outcome of this matter is not presently determinable, its resolution is not expected to have a significant impact on our operating results, financial condition or liquidity.

On December 18, 2002, a lawsuit was filed by Hills for Everyone, a non-profit corporation, against Orange County and us challenging the adequacy of the Environment Impact Report for the Company's Tonner Hills real estate project. The suit seeks to compel Orange County to set aside its decision to adopt the Environment Impact Report and seeks additional environmental analysis and mitigation measures. We are contesting the litigation and both the county and we are continuing to take the necessary regulatory steps to move the project toward development.

On June 15, 2001, we experienced a failure of a carbon dioxide treatment vessel at the Rincon Onshore Separation Facility ("ROSF") located in Ventura County, California. There were no injuries associated with this event. Crude oil and natural gas produced from three fields offshore California are transported onshore by pipeline to the ROSF plant where crude oil and water are separated and treated, and carbon dioxide is removed from the natural gas stream. The daily net production associated with these fields was 3,000 barrels of crude oil and 2.4 MMcf of natural gas in 2001, representing approximately 6% of our daily production. In early July 2001, crude oil production resumed and full gas sales resumed by mid August 2001. The cost of repair and business interruption (less a 30-day waiting period) are expected to be covered by insurance. We expect to settle the insurance claims within the next three months.

In September 1997, there was a spill of crude oil into the Santa Barbara Channel from a pipeline that connects our Point Pedernales field with shore-based processing facilities. The volume of the spill was estimated to be 163 Bbls of oil. Repairs were completed by the end of 1997, and production recommenced in December 1997. The costs of the clean up and the cost to repair the pipeline either have been or are expected to be covered by our insurance, less a deductible of \$0.1 million. As of March 31, 2003, we had received insurance reimbursements of \$4.2 million, with a remaining insurance receivable of \$0.5 million. Costs related to the settlement of claims for natural resource damage asserted by certain federal and state agencies were covered by insurance.

Our international investments involve risks typically associated with investments in emerging markets such as an uncertain political, economic, legal and tax environment and expropriation and nationalization of assets. In addition, if a dispute arises in our foreign operations, we may be subject to the exclusive jurisdiction of foreign courts or may not be successful in subjecting foreign persons to the jurisdiction of the United States. We attempt to conduct our business and financial affairs to protect against political and economic risks applicable to operations in the various countries where we operate, but there can be no assurance that we will be successful in so protecting ourselves. A portion of our investment in the Congo is insured through political risk insurance provided by Overseas Private Investment Corporation ("OPIC"). The political risk insurance through OPIC covers up to \$25.0 million relating to expropriation and political violence, which is the maximum coverage available through OPIC.

In connection with our February 1995 acquisitions of two subsidiaries

Edgar Filing: NUEVO ENERGY CO - Form 10-Q

owning interests in the Yombo field offshore Congo, we and a wholly-owned subsidiary of CMS NOMEKO Oil & Gas Co. ("CMS") agreed with the seller of the subsidiaries not to claim certain tax losses ("dual consolidated losses") incurred by such subsidiaries prior to the acquisitions. Under the tax law in the Congo, as it existed when this acquisition took place, if an entity is acquired in its entirety and that entity has certain tax attributes, for example tax loss carry forwards from operations in the Republic of Congo, the subsequent owners of that entity can continue to utilize those losses without restriction. Pursuant to the agreement, we and CMS may be liable to the seller for the recapture of dual consolidated losses (net operating losses of any domestic corporation that are subject to an income tax of a foreign country without regard to the source of its income or on a residence basis) utilized by the

13

seller in years prior to the acquisitions if certain triggering events occur, including: (i) a disposition by either us or CMS of its respective Congo subsidiary, (ii) either Congo subsidiary's sale of its interest in the Yombo field, (iii) the acquisition of us or CMS by another consolidated group or (iv) the failure of CMS's Congo subsidiary or us to continue as a member of its respective consolidated group.

A triggering event will not occur, however, if a subsequent purchaser enters into certain agreements specified in the consolidated return regulations intended to ensure that such dual consolidated losses will not be claimed. The only time limit associated with the occurrence of a triggering event relates to the utilization of a dual consolidated loss in a foreign jurisdiction. A dual consolidated loss that is utilized to offset income in a foreign jurisdiction is only subject to recapture for 15 years following the year in which the dual consolidated loss was incurred for U.S. income tax purposes. We and CMS have agreed among ourselves that the party responsible for the triggering event shall indemnify the other for any liability to the seller as a result of such triggering event. Our potential direct liability could be as much as \$35.4 million if a triggering event with respect to us occurs. Additionally, we believe that CMS's liability (for which we would be jointly liable with an indemnification right against CMS) could be as much as \$53.1 million. CMS sold their interest in the Yombo field in 2002 to a U.S. subsidiary of Perenco, S.A. (Perenco). The sale was not a triggering event as both CMS and Perenco filed a request for a Closing Agreement with the Internal Revenue Service in accordance with the U.S. consolidated tax return regulations prior to the sale. Further, we do not expect a triggering event to occur with respect to Nuevo, CMS or Perenco, and do not believe the agreement will have a material adverse effect upon us.

14

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Our results of operations are significantly affected by fluctuations in oil and gas prices. The following table reflects our production and average prices for oil and natural gas:

Quarter Ended March 31,	

2003	2002

Edgar Filing: NUEVO ENERGY CO - Form 10-Q

Crude Oil and Liquids		
Sales Volumes (MBbls/day)		
Domestic	37.2	37.7
Foreign	4.9	5.0
Total	42.1	42.7
	=====	=====
Sales Prices (\$/Bbl)		
Unhedged	\$ 25.51	\$ 15.77
Hedged	21.83	16.99
Revenues (\$/thousands)		
Domestic	\$ 85,271	\$ 53,337
Foreign	11,481	7,425
Marketing Fees	(4)	(194)
Hedging	(13,946)	4,683
Total	\$ 82,802	\$ 65,251
	=====	=====
Natural Gas		
Sales Volumes (MMcf/day)		
Domestic	39.4	28.4
	=====	=====
Sales Prices (\$/Mcf)		
Unhedged	\$ 4.80	\$ 2.23
Hedged	4.32	2.23
Revenues (\$/thousands)		
Domestic	\$ 17,106	\$ 5,799
Marketing Fees	(93)	(88)
Hedging	(1,703)	--
Total	\$ 15,310	\$ 5,711
	=====	=====

Below is a list of terms commonly used in the oil and gas industry.

/d	= per day
Bbl	= barrel of crude oil or other liquid hydrocarbons
Bcf	= billion cubic feet of natural gas
Bcfe	= billion cubic feet of natural gas equivalent
BOE	= barrel of oil equivalent, converting gas to oil at the ratio of 6 Mcf of gas to 1 Bbl of oil
BOPD	= barrel of oil per day
MBbl	= thousand barrels
Mcf	= thousand cubic feet of natural gas
MMBbl	= million barrels of oil or other liquid hydrocarbons
MMcf	= million cubic feet of natural gas
MBOE	= thousand barrels of oil equivalent

Edgar Filing: NUEVO ENERGY CO - Form 10-Q

MMBOE = million barrels of oil equivalent

15

QUARTER ENDED MARCH 31, 2003 COMPARED TO QUARTER ENDED MARCH 31, 2002

We had net income of \$25.7 million, or \$1.33 per diluted share for the quarter ended March 31, 2003 as compared to net income of \$1.5 million, or \$0.08 per diluted share in the same period of 2002.

Revenues

Oil and Gas Revenues. Oil and gas revenues increased 38% to \$98.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2003 from \$71.0 million in the same period of 2002 due to higher realized crude oil and natural gas prices and higher natural gas production which was partially offset by higher hedging losses in 2003. Crude oil production averaged 42.1 MBbls/day for the three months ended March 31, 2003 compared to 42.7 MBbls/day in the same period of 2002 primarily due to lower production offshore California due to mechanical downtime. The realized oil price for the three months ended March 31, 2003 was \$21.83 per Bbl, an increase of \$4.84 per Bbl from the same period in 2002. We had hedging losses of \$13.9 million in the three months ended March 31, 2003 compared to hedging gain of \$4.7 million in same period of 2002. Natural gas production averaged 39.4 MMcf per day for the three months ended March 31, 2003 compared to 28.4 MMcf per day for the same period of 2002. The increase was primarily due to production from the Pakenham field which was acquired in September 2002. The realized natural gas price for the three months ended March 31, 2003 was \$4.32 per Mcf, an increase of \$2.09 per Mcf from the comparable period in 2002. In the three months ended March 31, 2003, we had gas hedging losses of \$0.48 per Mcf. We had no gas hedged in the 2002 period.

Costs and Expenses

Costs and Expenses. Lease operating expenses ("LOE") for the three months ended March 31, 2003 totaled \$39.3 million, as compared to \$34.6 million for the 2002 period. The increased LOE was due to higher steam costs in our onshore California operations and costs from our Pakenham field which was purchased in 2002. Exploration costs were \$1.1 million in both the three months ended March 31, 2003 and 2002. Exploration costs in 2003 included dry hole cost of the Chott Fejaj well in Tunisia while the 2002 costs were primarily seismic costs. Depletion, depreciation, amortization and accretion ("DD&A") of \$17.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2003, increased \$0.2 million from the same period of 2002 primarily due to higher natural gas production and accretion expense related to the January 2003 adoption of SFAS 143. The DD&A rate was \$3.97 per BOE in the 2003 period compared to \$4.04 per BOE in 2002. General and administrative expense of \$6.7 million in 2003 was \$0.6 million higher than the comparable period in 2002 due to higher employee costs, severance and insurance in 2003.

Derivative Gain (Loss). Our derivative loss for the quarter ended March 31, 2003 was \$0.9 million compared to a loss of \$0.8 million in the same period of 2002. The derivative loss is comprised of a loss on our mark-to-market derivatives and the ineffective portion of certain of our hedges.

Interest Expense. Interest expense was \$9.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2003 compared to interest expense of \$9.0 million in the same period of 2002. Lower interest expense on the line of credit of \$0.3 million and lower facility fees of \$0.2 million were more than offset by a lower benefit on the interest rate swaps of \$0.9 million which was due to lower debt swapped in 2003.

Edgar Filing: NUEVO ENERGY CO - Form 10-Q

Dividends. Dividends on the TECONS were \$1.7 million in both the three months ended March 31, 2003 and 2002. The TECONS pay dividends at a rate of 5.75% and were issued in December 1996.

Income Tax. We had income tax expense of \$8.4 million including current tax of \$1.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2003 compared to an expense of \$0.3 million in the prior year period which had no current tax. The current tax relates to income tax in California, which deferred the use of net operating losses in 2002 and 2003, and Federal income tax. Our effective income tax rate was 40.0% in 2003 and 40.1% in 2002.

Discontinued Operations. We had income from discontinued operations of \$4.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2003 compared to income of \$1.1 million in same period of 2002. In 2003, we sold our Brea-Olinda and Union Island properties located onshore California and made the decision to sell our Orcutt Hill property located onshore California. We recognized a \$7.7 million gain on the sale of the Union Island property

16

and a \$5.4 million loss in connection with writing down the Orcutt Hill property to its estimated fair value less its costs to sell. In 2002 the income from discontinued operations consists of after-tax operating income from our Eastern fields which were sold in 2001 and operating income from our Brea-Olinda, Union Island and Orcutt Hill properties.

Cumulative Effect of Change in Accounting Principle. In January 2003, we adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 143. In connection with the initial application, we recorded a cumulative effect of change in accounting principle, net of taxes, of \$8.5 million as an increase to income. (See Note 1 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements).

CAPITAL RESOURCES AND LIQUIDITY

We have grown and diversified our operations through acquisitions of oil and gas properties and the subsequent exploitation and development of these properties. We have historically funded our operations and acquisitions with operating cash flows, bank financing, private and public placements of debt and equity securities, property divestitures and joint ventures with industry participants.

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$47.1 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2003. In 2003, we invested \$16.2 million in oil and gas properties and \$0.7 million on other properties. We also received \$65.4 million in proceeds from the sale of properties during the quarter ended March 31, 2003.

We believe our working capital, cash flow from operations and available financing sources are sufficient to meet our obligations as they become due and to finance our capital budget through 2003. We have a \$150 million borrowing base under our Credit Agreement. Under the most restrictive covenant, the entire \$150 million was available at March 31, 2003 and we had no borrowings outstanding. We have one letter of credit of \$0.8 million under our Credit Agreement. We have interest rate swaps totaling \$100 million on our 9 3/8 % Notes due 2010.

CONTINGENCIES AND OTHER MATTERS

We acquired properties from Unocal and are obligated to make a contingent payment through 2004 if oil prices exceed thresholds set forth in the agreement with Unocal. Contingent payments are accounted for as a purchase price adjustment to oil and gas properties. We paid \$10.8 million to Unocal in 2002

Edgar Filing: NUEVO ENERGY CO - Form 10-Q

attributable to calendar year 2001 and recorded the payment in oil and gas properties. In March 2003, we advised Unocal that we had failed to take deductions to the purchase price that we believe are permitted by the agreement. Application of these deductions result in no payment due for either calendar year 2001 or 2002. Unocal disputes this position for both years and discussions are ongoing in an effort to resolve this issue. While the outcome of this matter is not presently determinable, its resolution is not expected to have a significant impact on our operating results, financial condition or liquidity.

On December 18, 2002, a lawsuit was filed by Hills for Everyone, a non-profit corporation, against Orange County and us challenging the adequacy of the Environment Impact Report for the Company's Tonner Hills real estate project. The suit seeks to compel Orange County to set aside its decision to adopt the Environment Impact Report and seeks additional environmental analysis and mitigation measures. We are contesting the litigation and both the county and we are continuing to take the necessary regulatory steps to move the project toward development.

On June 15, 2001, we experienced a failure of a carbon dioxide treatment vessel at the Rincon Onshore Separation Facility ("ROSF") located in Ventura County, California. There were no injuries associated with this event. Crude oil and natural gas produced from three fields offshore California are transported onshore by pipeline to the ROSF plant where crude oil and water are separated and treated, and carbon dioxide is removed from the natural gas stream. The daily net production associated with these fields was 3,000 barrels of crude oil and 2.4 MMcf of natural gas in 2001, representing approximately 6% of our daily production. In early July 2001, crude oil production resumed and full gas sales resumed by mid August 2001. The cost of repair and business interruption (less a 30-day waiting period) are expected to be covered by insurance. We expect to settle the insurance claims within the next three months.

In September 1997, there was a spill of crude oil into the Santa Barbara Channel from a pipeline that connects our Point Pedernales field with shore-based processing facilities. The volume of the spill was estimated

17

to be 163 Bbls of oil. Repairs were completed by the end of 1997, and production recommenced in December 1997. The costs of the clean up and the cost to repair the pipeline either have been or are expected to be covered by our insurance, less a deductible of \$0.1 million. As of March 31, 2003, we had received insurance reimbursements of \$4.2 million, with a remaining insurance receivable of \$0.5 million. Costs related to the settlement of claims for natural resource damage asserted by certain federal and state agencies were covered by insurance.

Our international investments involve risks typically associated with investments in emerging markets such as an uncertain political, economic, legal and tax environment and expropriation and nationalization of assets. In addition, if a dispute arises in our foreign operations, we may be subject to the exclusive jurisdiction of foreign courts or may not be successful in subjecting foreign persons to the jurisdiction of the United States. We attempt to conduct our business and financial affairs to protect against political and economic risks applicable to operations in the various countries where we operate, but there can be no assurance that we will be successful in so protecting ourselves. A portion of our investment in the Congo is insured through political risk insurance provided by Overseas Private Investment Corporation ("OPIC"). The political risk insurance through OPIC covers up to \$25.0 million relating to expropriation and political violence, which is the maximum coverage available through OPIC.

In connection with our February 1995 acquisitions of two subsidiaries

Edgar Filing: NUEVO ENERGY CO - Form 10-Q

owning interests in the Yombo field offshore Congo, we and a wholly-owned subsidiary of CMS NOMEKO Oil & Gas Co. ("CMS") agreed with the seller of the subsidiaries not to claim certain tax losses ("dual consolidated losses") incurred by such subsidiaries prior to the acquisitions. Under the tax law in the Congo, as it existed when this acquisition took place, if an entity is acquired in its entirety and that entity has certain tax attributes, for example tax loss carry forwards from operations in the Republic of Congo, the subsequent owners of that entity can continue to utilize those losses without restriction. Pursuant to the agreement, we and CMS may be liable to the seller for the recapture of dual consolidated losses (net operating losses of any domestic corporation that are subject to an income tax of a foreign country without regard to the source of its income or on a residence basis) utilized by the seller in years prior to the acquisitions if certain triggering events occur, including: (i) a disposition by either us or CMS of its respective Congo subsidiary, (ii) either Congo subsidiary's sale of its interest in the Yombo field, (iii) the acquisition of us or CMS by another consolidated group or (iv) the failure of CMS's Congo subsidiary or us to continue as a member of its respective consolidated group.

A triggering event will not occur, however, if a subsequent purchaser enters into certain agreements specified in the consolidated return regulations intended to ensure that such dual consolidated losses will not be claimed. The only time limit associated with the occurrence of a triggering event relates to the utilization of a dual consolidated loss in a foreign jurisdiction. A dual consolidated loss that is utilized to offset income in a foreign jurisdiction is only subject to recapture for 15 years following the year in which the dual consolidated loss was incurred for U.S. income tax purposes. We and CMS have agreed among ourselves that the party responsible for the triggering event shall indemnify the other for any liability to the seller as a result of such triggering event. Our potential direct liability could be as much as \$35.4 million if a triggering event with respect to us occurs. Additionally, we believe that CMS's liability (for which we would be jointly liable with an indemnification right against CMS) could be as much as \$53.1 million. CMS sold their interest in the Yombo field in 2002 to a U.S. subsidiary of Perenco, S.A. (Perenco). The sale was not a triggering event as both CMS and Perenco filed a request for a Closing Agreement with the Internal Revenue Service in accordance with the U.S. consolidated tax return regulations prior to the sale. Further, we do not expect a triggering event to occur with respect to Nuevo, CMS or Perenco, and do not believe the agreement will have a material adverse effect upon us.

NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

Amendment of Statement 133 on Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities.

In April 2003, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 149, Amendment of Statement 133 on Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities. The statement amends and clarifies accounting for derivative instruments, including certain derivative instruments embedded in other contracts, and for hedging activities under SFAS No. 133. Except for implementation issues that have been effective for fiscal quarters that began prior to June 15, 2003 and should continue to be applied in accordance with their effective dates, this statement is effective for contracts entered into or modified after June 30, 2003 and for hedging relationships designated after June 30, 2003. The financial statement provisions are effective prospectively, except for forward purchases or sales of when-issued securities or

other securities that do not yet exist and in which case SFAS No. 149 should be applied to both existing contracts and new contracts entered into after June 30,

Edgar Filing: NUEVO ENERGY CO - Form 10-Q

2003. We are currently evaluating the effects of this pronouncement.

Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations.

In August 2001, the FASB issued SFAS No. 143, Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations. This Statement requires a liability to be recorded relating to the eventual retirement and removal of assets used in our business. The liability is discounted to its present value, with a corresponding increase to the related asset value. Over the life of the asset, the liability will be accreted to its future value and eventually extinguished when the asset is taken out of service. The provisions of this Statement are effective for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2002. We adopted the provisions of SFAS No. 143 on January 1, 2003 to record our asset retirement obligation to plug and abandon oil and gas wells. In connection with the initial application of SFAS No. 143, we recorded a cumulative effect of change in accounting principle, net of taxes, of \$8.5 million as an increase to net income. In addition, we recorded an asset retirement obligation for oil and gas properties and equipment of \$87.8 million. The following table summarizes asset retirement obligation transactions recorded in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 143:

		Quarter Ended March 31, 2003

(In thousands)		
Beginning asset retirement obligation.....	\$	87,828
Liabilities incurred during period.....		2,304
Liabilities settled during period.....		(481)
Accretion expense.....		2,123

Ending asset retirement obligation.....	\$	91,774
=====		

The following table summarizes the pro forma basis as required by SFAS No. 143, had we adopted the provisions of SFAS No. 143 prior to January 1, 2003, the amount of the asset retirement obligations would have been as follows:

Adoption Date		Pro Forma Asset Retirement Obligation

(In thousands)		
January 1, 2000.....	\$	65,621
December 31, 2000.....		72,706
December 31, 2001.....		80,062
March 31, 2002.....		81,687
December 31, 2002.....		87,828

In addition, pro forma net income and earnings per share for the three months ended March 31, 2002 and for the years ended December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000 for the change in accounting had it been implemented during the periods:

Edgar Filing: NUEVO ENERGY CO - Form 10-Q

	1st Qtr 2002	2002	2001	2000
	-----	-----	-----	-----
	(In thousands, except per share data)			
Net income				
As Reported	\$ 1,462	\$ 12,275	\$ (79,171)	\$ 11,635
Pro Forma	2,750	14,897	(75,479)	11,624
Net income per share - Reported				
Basic	0.09	0.70	(4.73)	0.67
Diluted	0.08	0.69	(4.73)	0.64
Net income per share - Pro Forma				
Basic	0.16	0.84	(4.51)	0.67
Diluted	0.16	0.84	(4.51)	0.65

19

Guarantor's Accounting and Disclosure Requirements.

In November 2002, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 45 ("FIN 45"), Guarantor's Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of the Indebtedness of Others, which clarifies the requirements of SFAS No. 5, Accounting for Contingencies, relating to a guarantor's accounting for and disclosures of certain guarantees issued. FIN 45 requires enhanced disclosures for certain guarantees. It also will require certain guarantees that are issued or modified after December 31, 2002, including certain third-party guarantees, to be initially recorded on the balance sheet at fair value. For guarantees issued on or before December 31, 2002, liabilities are recorded when and if payments become probable and estimable. The financial statement recognition provisions are effective prospectively, and we cannot reasonably estimate the impact of FIN 45 until guarantees are issued or modified in future periods, at which time their results will be initially reported in the financial statements.

Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities.

In January 2003, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 46 ("FIN 46"), Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities, an interpretation of Accounting Research Bulletin No. 51. FIN 46 requires certain variable interest entities, or VIEs, to be consolidated by the primary beneficiary of the entity if the equity investors in the entity do not have the characteristics of a controlling financial interest or do not have sufficient equity at risk for the entity to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support from other parties. FIN 46 is effective for all VIEs created or acquired after January 31, 2003. For VIEs created or acquired prior to February 1, 2003, the provisions of FIN 46 must be applied for the first interim or annual period beginning after June 15, 2003. We currently have no contractual relationship or other business relationship with a variable interest entity and therefore the adoption of FIN 46 will have no effect on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

20

Edgar Filing: NUEVO ENERGY CO - Form 10-Q

CAUTIONARY STATEMENT FOR PURPOSES OF THE "SAFE HARBOR" PROVISIONS OF THE PRIVATE SECURITIES LITIGATION REFORM ACT OF 1995

This report contains or incorporates by reference forward looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. All statements other than statements of historical facts included in this document, including without limitation, statements in Item 7, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations regarding our financial position, estimated quantities and net present values of reserves, business strategy, plans and objectives of our management for future operations and covenant compliance, are forward looking statements. We can give no assurances that the assumptions upon which such forward-looking statements are based will prove to be correct. Important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from our expectations are included throughout this document. The cautionary statements expressly qualify all subsequent written and oral forward-looking statements attributable to us or persons acting on our behalf.

21

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The information contained in this item updates, and should be read in conjunction with Part II, Item 7A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002.

At March 31, 2003, we had entered into the following cash flow hedges:

	Crude Oil			Natural Gas	
	Bbls / day	\$ / Bbl	Index	MMbtu/day	\$/MMbtu
Swaps for Sales					
<hr style="border-top: 1px dashed black;"/>					
2003					
2nd Qtr.	14,500	\$ 23.85	WTI	4,000	\$ 4.38
3rd Qtr.	13,500	23.62	WTI	4,000	4.41
4th Qtr.	13,000	23.68	WTI	4,000	4.38
2004					
1st Qtr.	13,500	23.56	WTI	8,000	4.34
2nd Qtr.	11,500	23.82	WTI	3,000	3.91
3rd Qtr.	9,500	23.50	WTI	3,000	3.91
4th Qtr.	4,500	22.82	WTI	3,000	3.91
2005					
Full Year	4,500	22.14	WTI		
Collars					
<hr style="border-top: 1px dashed black;"/>					
2003					
Full Year	10,000	22.00 - 28.91	WTI		
2nd Qtr. - 4th Qtr.				6,000	3.70-4.30
Swaps for Purchases					
<hr style="border-top: 1px dashed black;"/>					
2004				8,000	3.91

Edgar Filing: NUEVO ENERGY CO - Form 10-Q

2005

8,000

3.85

Subsequent to March 31, 2003, we entered into the following cash flow hedges:

	Crude Oil			Natural Gas	
	Bbls / day	\$ / Bbl	Index	MMbtu/day	\$/MMbtu
Swaps for Sales					

2003					
3rd Qtr.				3,500	\$ 5.45
4th Qtr.				4,000	5.50
2004					
1st Qtr				8,500	5.48
2nd Qtr.				4,000	4.50
3rd Qtr.	1,500	\$ 24.50	WTI	4,000	4.51
4th Qtr.	2,000	24.14	WTI	4,000	4.50

22

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

EVALUATION OF DISCLOSURE CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

The term "disclosure controls and procedures" is defined in Rule 13a-14(c) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, or the Exchange Act. This term refers to the controls and procedures of a company that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by a company in the reports that it files under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within required time periods. Our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer have evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of a date within 90 days before the filing of the quarterly report, and they have concluded that as of that date, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective at ensuring that required information will be disclosed on a timely basis in our reports filed under the Exchange Act.

CHANGE IN INTERNAL CONTROLS

We maintain a system of internal controls that are designed to provide reasonable assurance that our books and records accurately reflect our transactions and that our established policies and procedures are followed. There were no significant changes to our internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect our internal controls subsequent to the date of their evaluation by our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, including any corrective actions with regard to significant deficiencies and material weaknesses.

23

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

Edgar Filing: NUEVO ENERGY CO - Form 10-Q

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

See Part I, Item 1, Financial Statements, Note 9, which is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 2. CHANGES IN SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

None.

ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY-HOLDERS

None.

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K

(A) EXHIBITS

- 99.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer of Nuevo Energy Company
- 99.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer of Nuevo Energy Company

(B) REPORTS ON FORM 8-K:

None.

24

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

NUEVO ENERGY COMPANY
(Registrant)

Date: May 13, 2003

By: /s/ James L. Payne

James L. Payne
Chairman, President and
Chief Executive Officer

Date: May 13, 2003

By: /s/ Janet F. Clark

Janet F. Clark
Senior Vice President and
Chief Financial Officer

25

Edgar Filing: NUEVO ENERGY CO - Form 10-Q

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit Number -----	Description -----
99.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer of Nuevo Energy Company
99.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer of Nuevo Energy Company