

Huron Consulting Group Inc.  
Form 10-Q  
November 04, 2010

**Table of Contents**

**UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549**

**FORM 10 Q**

(Mark One)

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES  
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

**For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2010**

**OR**

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES  
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

**Commission file number: 000-50976**

**Huron Consulting Group Inc.  
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)**

**Delaware  
(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)**

**01-0666114  
(IRS Employer  
Identification Number)**

**550 West Van Buren Street  
Chicago, Illinois  
60607**

**(Address of principal executive offices)  
(Zip Code)**

**(312) 583-8700**

**(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)**

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Smaller reporting  
company

Edgar Filing: Huron Consulting Group Inc. - Form 10-Q

(Do not check if a smaller  
reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  
o No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

As of October 19, 2010, 21,892,913 shares of the registrant's common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, were outstanding.

---

Huron Consulting Group Inc.

**HURON CONSULTING GROUP INC.  
INDEX**

	<b>Page</b>
<b><u>Part I Financial Information</u></b>	
<u>Item 1. Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets</u>	1
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations</u>	2
<u>Consolidated Statement of Stockholders' Equity</u>	3
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u>	4
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	5
<u>Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	19
<u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	40
<u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u>	41
<b><u>Part II Other Information</u></b>	
<u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u>	41
<u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u>	43
<u>Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	45
<u>Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	45
<u>Item 4. [Removed and Reserved]</u>	45
<u>Item 5. Other Information</u>	45
<u>Item 6. Exhibits</u>	46
<u>Signature</u>	47
<u>EX-31.1</u>	
<u>EX-31.2</u>	
<u>EX-32.1</u>	
<u>EX-32.2</u>	
<u>EX-101 INSTANCE DOCUMENT</u>	
<u>EX-101 SCHEMA DOCUMENT</u>	
<u>EX-101 CALCULATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT</u>	
<u>EX-101 LABELS LINKBASE DOCUMENT</u>	
<u>EX-101 PRESENTATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT</u>	
<u>EX-101 DEFINITION LINKBASE DOCUMENT</u>	

---

**Table of Contents**

**PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION**  
**ITEM 1. CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**HURON CONSULTING GROUP INC.**  
**CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**  
(In thousands, except share and per share amounts)  
(Unaudited)

	September 30, 2010	December 31, 2009
<b>Assets</b>		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 6,280	\$ 5,715
Receivables from clients, net	76,243	73,760
Unbilled services, net	50,242	32,530
Income tax receivable	8,746	18,911
Deferred income taxes	13,156	16,338
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	14,929	19,078
Current assets of discontinued operations	12,451	26,451
Total current assets	182,047	192,783
Property and equipment, net	32,415	39,133
Deferred income taxes	19,813	21,298
Other non-current assets	13,463	14,134
Intangible assets, net	16,759	22,406
Goodwill	468,287	464,169
Non-current assets of discontinued operations		292
Total assets	\$ 732,784	\$ 754,215
<b>Liabilities and stockholders equity</b>		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 8,754	\$ 7,150
Accrued expenses	28,548	29,185
Accrued payroll and related benefits	38,147	69,758
Accrued consideration for business acquisitions, current portion	2,000	63,188
Income tax payable	272	874
Deferred revenues	15,625	13,155
Current portion of capital lease obligations	68	278
Current liabilities of discontinued operations	3,906	9,405
Total current liabilities	97,320	192,993
Non-current liabilities:		
Deferred compensation and other liabilities	6,932	6,131
Accrued consideration for business acquisitions, net of current portion	2,000	
Capital lease obligations, net of current portion		5
Bank borrowings	269,500	219,000
Deferred lease incentives	7,704	8,681
Non-current liabilities of discontinued operations		416

Total non-current liabilities	286,136	234,233
<b>Stockholders equity</b>		
Common stock; \$0.01 par value; 500,000,000 shares authorized; 23,190,037 and 22,624,515 shares issued at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively	220	213
Treasury stock, at cost, 1,266,347 and 995,409 shares at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively	(62,144)	(51,561)
Additional paid-in capital	356,459	335,272
Retained earnings	56,197	43,858
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(1,404)	(793)
Total stockholders equity	349,328	326,989
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$ 732,784	\$ 754,215

*The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.*

**Table of Contents**

**HURON CONSULTING GROUP INC.**  
**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**  
(In thousands, except per share amounts)  
(Unaudited)

	<b>Three months ended</b>		<b>Nine months ended</b>	
	<b>September 30,</b>		<b>September 30,</b>	
	<b>2010</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2009</b>
<b>Revenues and reimbursable expenses:</b>				
Revenues	\$ 145,442	\$ 149,013	\$ 408,838	\$ 417,574
Reimbursable expenses	12,860	12,731	36,849	36,892
Total revenues and reimbursable expenses	158,302	161,744	445,687	454,466
<b>Direct costs and reimbursable expenses</b> (exclusive of depreciation and amortization shown in operating expenses):				
Direct costs	87,250	91,012	255,194	257,667
Intangible assets amortization	886	961	2,659	3,734
Reimbursable expenses	12,920	12,718	36,915	36,896
Total direct costs and reimbursable expenses	101,056	104,691	294,768	298,297
<b>Operating expenses:</b>				
Selling, general and administrative	26,658	27,202	84,750	88,943
Restructuring charges	295	1,942	1,460	1,942
Restatement related expenses	1,056	13,042	4,243	13,427
Litigation settlement			4,764	
Depreciation and amortization	4,608	5,484	14,074	16,673
Impairment charge on goodwill		67,034		67,034
Other gain				2,687
Operating income (loss)	24,629	(57,651)	41,628	(29,163)
<b>Other income (expense):</b>				
Interest expense, net of interest income	(4,040)	(3,256)	(10,548)	(9,010)
Other income	261	1,020	43	1,190
Total other expense	(3,779)	(2,236)	(10,505)	(7,820)
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income tax expense	20,850	(59,887)	31,123	(36,983)
Income tax expense (benefit)	9,797	(18,541)	13,875	(6,965)
Net income (loss) from continuing operations	11,053	(41,346)	17,248	(30,018)
Loss from discontinued operations (including gain on disposal of \$1.2 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010), net of tax	(3,603)	(22,648)	(4,909)	(17,254)
Net income (loss)	\$ 7,450	\$ (63,994)	\$ 12,339	\$ (47,272)

Net earnings (loss) per basic share:								
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$	0.54	\$	(2.04)	\$	0.84	\$	(1.50)
Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax	\$	(0.18)	\$	(1.12)	\$	(0.24)	\$	(0.86)
Net income (loss)	\$	0.36	\$	(3.16)	\$	0.60	\$	(2.36)

Net earnings (loss) per diluted share:								
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$	0.53	\$	(2.04)	\$	0.83	\$	(1.50)
Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax	\$	(0.17)	\$	(1.12)	\$	(0.23)	\$	(0.86)
Net income (loss)	\$	0.36	\$	(3.16)	\$	0.60	\$	(2.36)

Weighted average shares used in calculating earnings  
(loss) per share:

Basic	20,619	20,239	20,484	20,061
Diluted	20,849	20,239	20,702	20,061

*The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.*



**Table of Contents**

**HURON CONSULTING GROUP INC.**  
**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY**  
(In thousands, except share amounts)  
(Unaudited)

	Common Stock		Treasury Stock	Additional Paid-In Capital	Retained Earnings	Accumulated	Stockholders Equity
	Shares	Amount				Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	
Balance at December 31, 2009	21,330,311	\$ 213	\$ (51,561)	\$ 335,272	\$ 43,858	\$ (793)	\$ 326,989
Comprehensive income:							
Net income					12,339		12,339
Foreign currency translation adjustment, net of tax						38	38
Unrealized loss on cash flow hedging instrument, net of tax						(649)	(649)
Total comprehensive income							11,728
Issuance of common stock in connection with:							
Restricted stock awards, net of cancellations	604,761	6	(9,204)	9,198			
Exercise of stock options	45,221	1		39			40
Share-based compensation				15,904			15,904
Shares redeemed for employee tax withholdings			(1,379)				(1,379)
Income tax expense on share- based compensation				(3,954)			(3,954)
Balance at September, 30, 2010	21,980,293	\$ 220	\$ (62,144)	\$ 356,459	\$ 56,197	\$ (1,404)	\$ 349,328

*The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.*

**Table of Contents**

**HURON CONSULTING GROUP INC.**  
**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**  
(In thousands)  
(Unaudited)

	<b>Nine months ended September</b>	
	<b>30,</b>	
	<b>2010</b>	<b>2009</b>
<b>Cash flows from operating activities:</b>		
Net income (loss)	\$ 12,339	\$ (47,272)
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	16,840	21,038
Share-based compensation	16,681	16,574
Non-cash compensation		8,333
Allowances for doubtful accounts and unbilled services	4,408	3,527
Deferred income taxes	2,704	(44,883)
Loss on disposal of property and equipment	198	
Gain on sale of business	(1,232)	
Impairment charge on goodwill		106,000
Other gain		(2,686)
Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of businesses acquired:		
Decrease (increase) in receivables from clients	4,273	(21,620)
Increase in unbilled services	(16,968)	(24,167)
Decrease in current income tax receivable / payable, net	8,778	5,306
Decrease in other assets	20	308
(Decrease) increase in accounts payable and accrued liabilities	(1,717)	16,246
(Decrease) increase in accrued payroll and related benefits	(37,412)	14,943
Increase (decrease) in deferred revenues	2,000	(3,879)
Net cash provided by operating activities	10,912	47,768
<b>Cash flows from investing activities:</b>		
Purchases of property and equipment, net	(4,691)	(10,971)
Net surrender of (investment in) life insurance policies	540	(1,424)
Purchases of businesses	(65,230)	(48,370)
Sales of businesses	7,942	
Net cash used in investing activities	(61,439)	(60,765)
<b>Cash flows from financing activities:</b>		
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	40	160
Shares redeemed for employee tax withholdings	(1,379)	(3,163)
Tax benefit from share-based compensation	720	7,813
Proceeds from borrowings under credit facility	297,500	202,000
Repayments on credit facility	(247,000)	(180,500)
Payments of capital lease obligations	(215)	(283)

Net cash provided by financing activities	49,666	26,027
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	1,147	(405)
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	286	12,625
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of the period	6,459	14,106
Cash and cash equivalents at end of the period <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 6,745	\$ 26,731

(1) Cash and cash equivalents presented herein includes \$0.5 million and \$0.8 million of cash and cash equivalents classified as discontinued operations as of September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

*The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.*

**Table of Contents**

**HURON CONSULTING GROUP INC.**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**(Tabular amounts in thousands, except per share amounts)**  
**(Unaudited)**

**1. Description of Business**

We are a leading provider of operational and financial consulting services. We help clients in diverse industries improve performance, comply with complex regulations, resolve disputes, recover from distress, leverage technology, and stimulate growth. We team with our clients to deliver sustainable and measurable results. Our clients include a wide variety of both financially sound and distressed organizations, including leading academic institutions, healthcare organizations, Fortune 500 companies, medium-sized businesses, and the law firms that represent these various organizations.

**2. Basis of Presentation**

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements reflect the results of operations and cash flows for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009. These financial statements have been prepared in accordance with the rules and regulations of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission ( SEC ) for Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q. Accordingly, these financial statements do not include all of the information and note disclosures required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ( GAAP ) for annual financial statements. In the opinion of management, these financial statements reflect all adjustments of a normal, recurring nature necessary for the fair presentation of our financial position, results of operations and cash flows for the interim periods presented in conformity with GAAP. These financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto for the year ended December 31, 2009.

Certain amounts reported in the previous year have been reclassified to conform to the 2010 presentation. Our results for any interim period are not necessarily indicative of results for a full year or any other interim period.

**3. Restatement of Previously-Issued Financial Statements**

In 2009, we filed the following amendments to restate our previously-issued financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, as well as the three months ended March 31, 2009:

Amendment No. 1 on Form 10-K/A, filed with the SEC on August 17, 2009, to our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, originally filed on February 24, 2009.

Amendment No. 1 on Form 10-Q/A, filed with the SEC on August 17, 2009, to our quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2009, originally filed on April 30, 2009.

The restatement related to the accounting for certain acquisition-related payments received by the selling shareholders of four acquired businesses (the Acquired Businesses ). Pursuant to the purchase agreements for each of these acquisitions, payments were made by us to the selling shareholders (1) upon closing of the transaction, (2) in some cases, upon the Acquired Businesses achieving specific financial performance targets over a number of years ( earn-outs ), and (3) in one case, upon the buy-out of an obligation to make earn-out payments. These payments are collectively referred to as acquisition-related payments. Certain acquisition-related payments were subsequently redistributed by such selling shareholders among themselves in amounts that were not consistent with their ownership interests on the date we acquired the businesses (the Shareholder Payments ) and to other select client-serving and administrative Company employees (the Employee Payments ) based, in part, on continuing employment with the Company or the achievement of personal performance measures. The restatement was necessary because we failed to account for the Shareholder Payments and the Employee Payments in accordance with GAAP. The Shareholder Payments and the Employee Payments were required to be reflected as non-cash compensation expense of Huron, and the selling shareholders were deemed to have made a capital contribution to Huron. The payments were made directly by the selling shareholders from the acquisition proceeds they received from us and, accordingly, the correction of these errors had no effect on our net cash flows. The acquisition-related payments made by us to the selling shareholders represented purchase consideration. As such, these payments, to the extent that they exceeded the net of the fair value assigned to assets acquired and liabilities assumed, were properly recorded as goodwill, in accordance with GAAP.

Effective August 1, 2009, the Company amended its agreements with the selling shareholders of the two Acquired Businesses for which the Company had ongoing obligations to make future earn-out payments. The amendments provided that future earn-outs would be distributed only to the applicable selling shareholders and only in accordance with their equity interests on the date we acquired the related Acquired Business with no required continuing employment and that no further Shareholder Payments or Employee Payments would be made. Accordingly, all earn-out payments related to such

**Table of Contents**

**HURON CONSULTING GROUP INC.**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**  
**(Tabular amounts in thousands, except per share amounts)**  
**(Unaudited)**

Acquired Businesses made on or after August 1, 2009, have been, and will continue to be, accounted for as additional purchase consideration and not also as non-cash compensation expense. Additional earn-out payment obligations, payable through December 31, 2011, currently remain with respect to only one Acquired Business.

As a result of the correction of the accounting errors, which were not tax deductible, our interim quarterly provision for income taxes decreased in certain periods and increased in others, with a corresponding change in income tax receivable or payable. There was no change to our provision for income taxes or our tax accounts on an annual basis. In August, 2009, the SEC commenced an investigation with respect to the restatement and an investigation into the allocation of time within a certain practice group. As often happens in these circumstances, the United States Attorney's Office ( USAO ) for the Northern District of Illinois has contacted our counsel. The USAO made a telephonic request for copies of certain documents that we previously provided to the SEC, which we have voluntarily provided to the USAO.

In addition, several purported shareholder class action complaints, since consolidated, and derivative lawsuits have been filed in connection with the restatement. See note 14. Commitments, Contingencies and Guarantees for a discussion of the SEC investigations, the USAO's request for certain documents, and the purported private shareholder class action lawsuit and derivative lawsuits.

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, expenses incurred in connection with the restatement totaled \$1.1 million and \$4.2 million, respectively, and were primarily comprised of legal fees. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009, expenses incurred in connection with the restatement totaled \$13.0 million and \$13.4 million, respectively, and were primarily comprised of legal and accounting fees, as well as the settlement costs of an indemnification claim arising in connection with a representation and warranty in a purchase agreement for a previous acquisition.

**4. New Accounting Pronouncements**

In January 2010, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ( FASB ) issued additional authoritative guidance related to fair value measurements and disclosures. The guidance requires disclosure of details of significant transfers in and out of Level 1 and Level 2 fair value measurements. The guidance also clarifies the existing disclosure requirements for the level of disaggregation of fair value measurements and the disclosures on inputs and valuation techniques. The company adopted these provisions effective January 1, 2010. The adoption did not have a significant impact on our consolidated financial statements. In addition, the guidance will also require the presentation of purchases, sales, issuances and settlements within Level 3 on a gross basis rather than a net basis. This additional guidance pertaining to Level 3 fair value measurements is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010, and for interim reporting periods within those fiscal years. The guidance will be effective for us beginning on January 1, 2011. We do not expect the application of this guidance to have a significant impact on our financial statements.

In October 2009, the FASB issued new guidance regarding revenue arrangements with multiple deliverables. This new guidance requires companies to allocate revenue in arrangements involving multiple deliverables based on the estimated selling price of each deliverable, even though such deliverables are not sold separately either by the company or by other vendors. This new guidance is effective prospectively for revenue arrangements entered into or materially modified in fiscal years beginning on or after June 15, 2010. This pronouncement will be effective for us beginning on January 1, 2011. We are currently evaluating the impact that the adoption of this pronouncement may have on our future financial position, results of operations, earnings per share, and cash flows.

In June 2009, the FASB issued authoritative guidance to improve financial reporting by enterprises involved with variable interest entities and to provide more relevant and reliable information to users of financial statements. This guidance requires an enterprise to perform an ongoing analysis to determine whether the enterprise has a controlling financial interest in a variable interest entity. We adopted this pronouncement effective January 1, 2010. The adoption of this pronouncement did not have any impact on our financial statements.





**Table of Contents**

**HURON CONSULTING GROUP INC.**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**  
**(Tabular amounts in thousands, except per share amounts)**  
**(Unaudited)**

**5. Discontinued Operations**

Since December 31, 2009, we have undertaken several separate initiatives to divest certain practices within the Financial Consulting segment in order to enable us to devote more of our energy and financial resources to the remaining businesses of the Company where we have a more substantial market presence. On September 30, 2010, we completed a sale of a portion of the Disputes and Investigations ( D&I ) practice and wound down the remaining practice operations as of that same date. Additionally, during the third quarter of 2010 we exited the utilities consulting ( Utilities ) practice. In December 2009, our Board approved a plan to divest the businesses that included the international operations of our Japan office ( Japan ) and the strategy business MS Galt & Co LLC ( Galt ), which we acquired in April 2006. We exited Galt with the December 31, 2009 sale of the business back to its three original principals. We exited Japan effective June 30, 2010 via a wind down of the business. The Company recognized a gain of \$1.2 million in connection with the sale of D&I and a loss of \$0.4 million in connection with the sale of Galt. No gain or loss was recorded for the disposal of the Utilities practice or the Japan operations.

As a result of these actions, the operating results of D&I, Utilities, Japan, and Galt are reported as discontinued operations. All other operations of the business are considered continuing operations and unless otherwise noted, all amounts discussed within this Item I. Consolidated Financial Statements refer to amounts from continuing operations. Amounts previously reported have been reclassified to conform to this presentation in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 205 Presentation of Financial Statements to allow for meaningful comparison of continuing operations. The Consolidated Balance Sheet as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 aggregates amounts associated with the discontinued operations as described above.

Summarized operating results of discontinued operations are presented in the following table (amounts in thousands):

	<b>Three months ended</b>		<b>Nine months ended</b>	
	<b>September 30,</b>		<b>September 30,</b>	
	<b>2010</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2009</b>
Revenues	\$ 4,089	\$ 23,214	\$ 26,470	\$ 83,510
Loss from discontinued operations before income tax expense <sup>(1)(2)(3)</sup>	\$(3,813)	\$(36,435)	\$( 6,831)	\$(25,118)
Net loss from discontinued operations	\$(3,603)	\$(22,648)	\$( 4,909)	\$(17,254)

The carrying amounts of the major classes of assets and liabilities aggregated in discontinued operations in the consolidated balance sheet as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 are presented in the following table (amounts in thousands).

	<b>September 30,</b>	<b>December 31,</b>
	<b>2010</b>	<b>2009</b>
<b>Assets</b>		
Cash	\$ 465	\$ 744
Receivables from clients, net <sup>(4)</sup>	10,657	22,524
Other current assets	1,329	3,183
Total current assets	12,451	26,451
Other non-current assets		292
Total assets	\$ 12,451	\$ 26,743

**Liabilities**

Accrued payroll and related benefits	\$	2,089	\$	7,228
Income tax payable				792
Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities		1,817		1,385
Total current liabilities		3,906		9,405
Other non-current liabilities				416
Total liabilities	\$	3,906	\$	9,821

(1) Includes non-cash compensation expense of \$0.2 million and \$0.8 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009, respectively.

(2) Includes restructuring related charges of \$2.5 million and \$5.1 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively, related to the exit of the D&I practice and Japan operations.

(3) Includes an impairment charge on goodwill of \$39.0 million for the three and nine months ended September 30,

2009.

- (4) Includes \$2.1 million of receivables related to the sale of the D&I practice.

7

---

**Table of Contents**

**HURON CONSULTING GROUP INC.**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**  
**(Tabular amounts in thousands, except per share amounts)**  
**(Unaudited)**

**6. Goodwill and Intangible Assets**

The table below sets forth the changes in the carrying amount of goodwill by segment for the nine months ended September 30, 2010.

	<b>Health and Education Consulting</b>	<b>Legal Consulting</b>	<b>Financial Consulting</b>	<b>Total</b>
Balance as of December 31, 2009:				
Goodwill	\$ 381,923	\$ 25,784	\$ 162,462	\$ 570,169
Accumulated impairment losses			(106,000)	(106,000)
Goodwill, net	381,923	25,784	56,462	464,169
Additional purchase price subsequently recorded for business combinations <sup>(1)</sup>	6,086	(32)	90	6,144
Goodwill allocated to disposal of D&I <sup>(2)</sup>			(2,003)	(2,003)
Foreign currency translation goodwill		(23)		(23)
Balance as of September 30, 2010:				
Goodwill	388,009	25,729	160,549	574,287
Accumulated impairment losses			(106,000)	(106,000)
Goodwill, net	\$ 388,009	\$ 25,729	\$ 54,549	\$ 468,287

(1) Consists primarily of additional purchase price earned by selling shareholders subsequent to the business combination, as certain financial performance targets and conditions were met. In the third quarter of 2010, the Company settled a future earn-out

agreement with a seller of a previously acquired business based on projected financial performance expectations and recorded \$6.0 million of additional purchase consideration. Of this amount, \$2.0 million was paid in the third quarter of 2010 and the remainder will be paid out semi-annually until July 1, 2012.

- (2) In accordance with ASC Topic 350, a portion of the goodwill associated with the Financial Consulting segment as of September 30, 2010 was allocated to the D&I practice based on the relative fair value of the business disposed of and the portion of the reporting unit that was retained. Accordingly, goodwill allocated to the Financial Consulting

segment was reduced by \$2.0 million and included in the gain on disposal of the D&I practice.

Intangible assets as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 consisted of the following:

	September 30, 2010		December 31, 2009	
	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization
Customer relationships	\$ 14,197	\$ 6,276	\$ 14,199	\$ 4,728
Non-competition agreements	11,271	6,332	11,271	4,839
Tradenames	3,431	3,060	3,431	2,017
Technology and software	8,383	4,855	8,383	3,294
Total	\$ 37,282	\$ 20,523	\$ 37,284	\$ 14,878

Identifiable intangible assets with finite lives are amortized over their estimated useful lives. Certain customer relationships are amortized on an accelerated basis to correspond to the cash flows expected to be derived from the relationships. All other customer relationships, non-competition agreements, tradenames, and technology and software are amortized on a straight-line basis.

**Table of Contents**

**HURON CONSULTING GROUP INC.**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**  
**(Tabular amounts in thousands, except per share amounts)**  
**(Unaudited)**

Intangible assets amortization expense was \$1.8 million and \$5.6 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively. Intangible assets amortization expense was \$2.3 million and \$7.6 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009, respectively. Estimated intangible assets amortization expense is \$7.5 million for 2010, \$5.4 million for 2011, \$3.7 million for 2012, \$1.8 million for 2013, \$1.0 million for 2014, and \$0.5 million for 2015. Actual future amortization expense could differ from these estimated amounts as a result of future acquisitions and other factors.

**7. Earnings (Loss) Per Share**

Basic earnings per share excludes dilution and is computed by dividing net income by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period, excluding unvested restricted common stock and unvested restricted stock units. Diluted earnings per share reflects the potential reduction in earnings per share that could occur if securities or other contracts to issue common stock were exercised or converted into common stock under the treasury stock method. The weighted average common stock equivalents for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 was approximately 120,000 and 500,000, respectively. Due to our loss position for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009, these common stock equivalents were excluded from the calculation of diluted earnings per share as the shares would have had an anti-dilutive effect. Earnings per share under the basic and diluted computations are as follows:

		<b>Three Months Ended September 30,</b>		<b>Nine Months Ended September 30,</b>	
		<b>2010</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2009</b>
Net income (loss) from continuing operations		\$ 11,053	\$ (41,346)	\$ 17,248	\$ (30,018)
Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax		(3,603)	(22,648)	(4,909)	(17,254)
Net income (loss)		\$ 7,450	\$ (63,994)	\$ 12,339	\$ (47,272)
Weighted average common shares outstanding	basic	20,619	20,239	20,484	20,061
Weighted average common stock equivalents		230		218	
Weighted average common shares outstanding	diluted	20,849	20,239	20,702	20,061
Net earnings (loss) per basic share:					
Income (loss) from continuing operations		\$ 0.54	\$ (2.04)	\$ 0.84	\$ (1.50)
Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax		(0.18)	(1.12)	(0.24)	(0.86)
Net income (loss)		\$ 0.36	\$ (3.16)	\$ 0.60	\$ (2.36)
Net earnings (loss) per diluted share:					
Income (loss) from continuing operations		\$ 0.53	\$ (2.04)	\$ 0.83	\$ (1.50)
Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax		(0.17)	(1.12)	(0.23)	(0.86)
Net income (loss)		\$ 0.36	\$ (3.16)	\$ 0.60	\$ (2.36)

The computation of diluted earnings per share excludes outstanding options and other common stock equivalents in periods where inclusion of such potential common stock instruments would be anti-dilutive in the periods presented. The weighted average common stock equivalents presented above do not include the anti-dilutive effect of approximately 0.7 million potentially dilutive common stock equivalents for both the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, and 1.3 million potentially dilutive common stock equivalents for both the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009.

**8. Borrowings**

The Revolving Credit and Term Loan Credit Agreement, as amended (the Credit Agreement ), consists of a \$180.0 million revolving credit facility ( Revolver ) and a \$220.0 million term loan facility ( Term Loan ). Fees and interest on borrowings vary based on our total debt to earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization ( EBITDA ) ratio as set forth in the Credit Agreement. Interest is based on a spread over the London Interbank Offered Rate ( LIBOR ) or a spread over the base rate (which is the greater of the Federal Funds Rate plus 0.50% or the Prime Rate), as selected by us.

The obligations under the Credit Agreement are secured pursuant to a Security Agreement with Bank of America as Administrative Agent. The Security Agreement grants Bank of America, for the ratable benefit of the lenders under the



**Table of Contents**

**HURON CONSULTING GROUP INC.**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**  
**(Tabular amounts in thousands, except per share amounts)**  
**(Unaudited)**

Credit Agreement, a first-priority lien, subject to permitted liens, on substantially all of the personal property assets of the Company and the subsidiary grantors. The Revolver and Term Loan are also secured by a pledge of 100% of the voting stock or other equity interests in our domestic subsidiaries and 65% of the voting stock or other equity interests in our foreign subsidiaries.

On June 30, 2010, we entered into a ninth amendment to the Credit Agreement to amend the definition of certain terms in effect prior to the amendment. The ninth amendment modifies the following terms:

1. Modified the definition of Consolidated EBITDA by allowing for the add back of certain non-recurring items, specifically the St. Vincent Catholic Medical Center litigation settlement charges of up to \$5 million for the periods ending up to and including June 30, 2010, and allowing for the add back of charges resulting from the restatement of the Company's financial statements in 2009, net of insurance proceeds and other amounts recouped in connection therewith, for the periods ending up to and including December 31, 2011. The allowed amounts for the add back of the restatement charges include up to \$17.1 million in fiscal year 2009, up to \$10.0 million in fiscal year 2010 and up to \$3.0 million in fiscal year 2011. Absent the amendment, we would not have met the covenant obligations in effect prior to the amendment at June 30, 2010. However, absent the isolated events that are discussed above, that are allowed as an add back under the ninth amendment, we would have met the covenant obligations in effect prior to the amendment at June 30, 2010.
2. Modified the LIBOR Margin, base rate margin, and letters of credit fee rate through the date of delivery of the annual compliance certificate for the fiscal quarter and fiscal year ending December 31, 2010 to 350 basis points, 250 basis points, and 350 basis points, respectively. The non-use fee rate remains at a flat 50 basis points. Subsequent to the delivery of the December 31, 2010 compliance certificate, the LIBOR Margin, base rate margin and letters of credit fee rate return to the applicable margin pricing in effect prior to the ninth amendment to the Credit Agreement.
3. Modified the letters of credit sublimit to allow for the issuance of letters of credit by the issuing lender in currencies other than US Dollars.

Fees and interest on borrowings vary based on our total debt to EBITDA ratio as set forth in the Credit Agreement, as amended. As a result of the ninth amendment to the Credit Agreement, the LIBOR Margin, base rate margin, and letters of credit fee rate were amended such that interest is based on a spread of 3.50% over LIBOR or a spread of 2.50% over the base rate (which is the greater of the federal funds rate plus 0.50% or the prime rate), as selected by us. The letters of credit fee is 3.50%, while the non-use fee remains a flat 0.5%. These rates are applicable through the date of delivery of the compliance certificate for the period ended December 31, 2010. For periods subsequent to the December 31, 2010 annual compliance certificate date, the LIBOR Margin, base rate margin and letters of credit fee rate return to the applicable margin pricing in effect prior to the ninth amendment to the Credit Agreement. As such, interest is based on a spread, ranging from 2.25% to 3.25% over LIBOR or a spread, ranging from 1.25% to 2.25% over the base rate (which is the greater of the federal funds rate plus 0.50% or the prime rate), as selected by us. The letters of credit fee ranges from 2.25% to 3.25%, while the non-use fee is a flat 0.5%.

The Term Loan is subject to amortization of principal in fifteen consecutive quarterly installments that began on September 30, 2008, with the first fourteen installments being \$5.5 million each. The fifteenth and final installment will be the amount of the remaining outstanding principal balance of the Term Loan and will be payable on February 23, 2012, but can be repaid earlier. All outstanding borrowings under the Revolver will be due upon expiration of the Credit Agreement on February 23, 2012. The Credit Agreement includes quarterly financial covenants that require us to maintain certain fixed coverage and total debt to EBITDA ratios as well as minimum net worth. Under the Credit Agreement, dividends are restricted to an amount up to 50% of consolidated net income

(adjusted for non-cash share-based compensation expense) for such fiscal year, plus 50% of net cash proceeds during such fiscal year with respect to any issuance of capital securities. In addition, certain acquisitions and similar transactions will need to be approved by the lenders.

The borrowing capacity under the Credit Agreement is reduced by any outstanding letters of credit and payments under the Term Loan. At September 30, 2010, outstanding letters of credit totaled \$6.2 million and are used primarily as security deposits for our office facilities. As of September 30, 2010, the borrowing capacity under the Credit Agreement was \$74.8 million. Borrowings outstanding under the credit facility at September 30, 2010 totaled \$269.5 million, all of which

**Table of Contents**

**HURON CONSULTING GROUP INC.**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**  
**(Tabular amounts in thousands, except per share amounts)**  
**(Unaudited)**

are classified as long-term on our consolidated balance sheet as the principal under the Revolver is not due until 2012 and we intend to fund scheduled quarterly payments under the Term Loan with availability under the Revolver. These borrowings carried a weighted-average interest rate of 4.4%, including the effect of the interest rate swap described below in note 10. Derivative Instrument and Hedging Activity . Borrowings outstanding at December 31, 2009 were \$219.0 million and carried a weighted-average interest rate of 4.0%. At both September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, we were in compliance with our financial debt covenants. In addition, based upon projected operating results, management believes it is probable that we will meet the financial covenants of the Credit Agreement discussed above at future covenant measurement dates. Accordingly, pursuant to the provisions of FASB ASC Topic 470, Debt , all amounts not due within the next twelve months under the amended loan terms have been classified as long-term liabilities.

**9. Restructuring Charges**

During the third quarter of 2010, we incurred a \$0.3 million pre-tax restructuring charge related to the exit of excess office space, as well as severance for certain corporate personnel related to the disposition of the D&I practice discussed above in note 5. Discontinued Operations . This restructuring reserve balance was \$0.3 million as of September 30, 2010.

During the second quarter of 2010, we consolidated two of our offices into one existing location and incurred a \$1.2 million pre-tax restructuring charge related to the exit of the office space. The restructuring charge is primarily comprised of the discounted future cash flows of rent expenses we are obligated to pay under the lease agreement. There is no sublease income assumed in the restructuring charge due to the short term nature of the remaining lease term. This restructuring reserve balance was \$0.9 million as of September 30, 2010.

During the third quarter of 2009, we incurred a \$1.9 million pre-tax restructuring charge, consisting of severance payments, related to workforce reductions to balance our employee base with current revenue expectations, market demand, and areas of focus. This restructuring reserve was fully paid as of December 31, 2009.

**10. Derivative Instrument and Hedging Activity**

On March 20, 2009, we entered into an interest rate swap agreement for a notional amount of \$100.0 million effective on March 31, 2009 and ending on February 23, 2012. We entered into this derivative instrument to hedge against the risk of changes in future cash flows related to changes in interest rates on \$100.0 million of the total variable-rate borrowings outstanding described above in note 8. Borrowings. Under the terms of the interest rate swap agreement, we receive from the counterparty interest on the \$100.0 million notional amount based on one-month LIBOR and we pay to the counterparty a fixed rate of 1.715%. This swap effectively converted \$100.0 million of our variable-rate borrowings to fixed-rate borrowings beginning on March 31, 2009 and through February 23, 2012.

FASB ASC Topic 815, Derivatives and Hedging , requires companies to recognize all derivative instruments as either assets or liabilities at fair value on the balance sheet. In accordance with ASC Topic 815, we have designated this derivative instrument as a cash flow hedge. As such, changes in the fair value of the derivative instrument are recorded as a component of other comprehensive income ( OCI ) to the extent of effectiveness. The ineffective portion of the change in fair value of the derivative instrument is recognized in interest expense. At this time, there is no ineffectiveness to record on the Company s Consolidated Statements of Operations resulting from the derivative instrument.

The tables below set forth additional information relating to this interest rate swap designated as a hedging instrument as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009.

<b>Balance Sheet Location</b>	<b>Fair Value (Derivative Liability)</b>	
	<b>September 30, 2010</b>	<b>December 31, 2009</b>

Deferred compensation and other liabilities \$ 1,747 \$ 664

**Amount of Loss, Net of Tax, Recognized in Other  
Comprehensive Income**

	<b>Three Months Ended</b>		<b>Nine Months Ended</b>	
	<b>September 30,</b>		<b>September 30,</b>	
<b>Derivative</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2009</b>
Interest rate swap	\$(113)	\$(498)	\$(649)	\$(377)

Table of Contents

**HURON CONSULTING GROUP INC.**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**  
**(Tabular amounts in thousands, except per share amounts)**  
**(Unaudited)**

We do not use derivative instruments for trading or other speculative purposes and we did not have any other derivative instruments or hedging activities as of September 30, 2010.

**11. Fair Value of Financial Instruments**

Cash and cash equivalents are stated at cost, which approximates fair market value. The carrying values for receivables from clients, unbilled services, accounts payable, deferred revenues and other accrued liabilities reasonably approximate fair market value due to the nature of the financial instrument and the short term maturity of these items.

Certain of our assets and liabilities are measured at fair value. FASB ASC Topic 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures (formerly SFAS No. 157), defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. ASC Topic 820 establishes a fair value hierarchy for inputs used in measuring fair value and requires companies to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs. The fair value hierarchy consists of three levels based on the objectivity of the inputs as follows:

**Level 1 Inputs** Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the reporting entity has the ability to access at the measurement date.

**Level 2 Inputs** Quoted prices in active markets for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active; inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability; or inputs that are derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data by correlation or other means.

**Level 3 Inputs** Unobservable inputs for the asset or liability, and include situations in which there is little, if any, market activity for the asset or liability.

The table below sets forth our fair value hierarchy for our derivative liability measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009.

	<b>Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)</b>	<b>Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)</b>	<b>Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)</b>	<b>Total</b>
<b>September 30, 2010</b>				
<b>Liability:</b>				
Interest rate swap	\$	\$ 1,747	\$	\$ 1,747
<b>December 31, 2009</b>				
<b>Liability:</b>				
Interest rate swap	\$	\$ 664	\$	\$ 664

The fair value of the interest rate swap was derived using estimates to settle the interest rate swap agreement, which is based on the net present value of expected future cash flows on each leg of the swap utilizing market-based inputs and discount rates reflecting the risks involved.

**Table of Contents**

**HURON CONSULTING GROUP INC.**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**  
**(Tabular amounts in thousands, except per share amounts)**  
**(Unaudited)**

**12. Comprehensive Income (Loss)**

The tables below set forth the components of comprehensive income (loss) for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009.

	<b>Three Months Ended September 30, 2010</b>			<b>Three Months Ended September 30, 2009</b>		
	<b>Before Taxes</b>	<b>Tax (Expense) Benefit</b>	<b>Net of Taxes</b>	<b>Before Taxes</b>	<b>Tax (Expense) Benefit</b>	<b>Net of Taxes</b>
Net income (loss)			\$ 7,450			\$ (63,994)
Other comprehensive income (loss):						
Foreign currency translation adjustment	\$ 216	\$ 188	404	\$ (316)	\$ (109)	(425)
Unrealized loss on cash flow hedging instrument	(189)	76	(113)	(839)	341	(498)
Other comprehensive income (loss)	\$ 27	\$ 264	291	\$ (1,155)	\$ 232	(923)
Comprehensive income (loss)			\$ 7,741			\$ (64,917)

	<b>Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010</b>			<b>Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009</b>		
	<b>Before Taxes</b>	<b>Tax (Expense) Benefit</b>	<b>Net of Taxes</b>	<b>Before Taxes</b>	<b>Tax (Expense) Benefit</b>	<b>Net of Taxes</b>
Net income (loss)			\$ 12,339			\$ (47,272)
Other comprehensive income (loss):						
Foreign currency translation adjustment	\$ (150)	\$ 188	38	\$ (418)	\$ (224)	(642)
Unrealized loss on cash flow hedging instrument	(1,083)	434	(649)	(635)	258	(377)
Other comprehensive income (loss)	\$ (1,233)	\$ 622	(611)	\$ (1,053)	\$ 34	(1,019)
Comprehensive income (loss)			\$ 11,728			\$ (48,291)

**13. Other Gain**

During the nine months ended September 30, 2009, we recognized a gain of \$2.7 million relating to the release of certain of our employees from their non-solicitation agreements with the Company and the settlement of certain

contractual obligations.

**Table of Contents**

**HURON CONSULTING GROUP INC.**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**  
**(Tabular amounts in thousands, except per share amounts)**  
**(Unaudited)**

**14. Commitments, Contingencies and Guarantees****Litigation**

On July 3, 2007, The Official Committee (the Committee) of Unsecured Creditors of Saint Vincents Catholic Medical Centers of New York d/b/a Saint Vincent Catholic Medical Centers ( St. Vincents ), et al. filed suit against Huron Consulting Group Inc., certain of our subsidiaries, including Speltz & Weis LLC, and two of our former managing directors, David E. Speltz ( Speltz ) and Timothy C. Weis ( Weis ), in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, County of New York. On November 26, 2007, Gray & Associates, LLC ( Gray ), in its capacity as trustee on behalf of the SVCMC Litigation Trust, was substituted as plaintiff in the place of the Committee and on February 19, 2008, Gray filed an amended complaint in the action. Beginning in 2004, St. Vincents retained Speltz & Weis LLC to provide management services to St. Vincents, and its two principals, Speltz and Weis, were made the interim chief executive officer and chief financial officer, respectively, of St. Vincents. In May of 2005, we acquired Speltz & Weis LLC. On July 5, 2005, St. Vincents filed for bankruptcy in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York ( Bankruptcy Court ). On December 14, 2005, the Bankruptcy Court approved the retention of Speltz & Weis LLC and us in various capacities, including interim management, revenue cycle management and strategic sourcing services. The amended complaint filed by Gray alleges, among other things, breach of fiduciary duties, breach of the New York Not-For-Profit Corporation Law, malpractice, breach of contract, tortious interference with contract, aiding and abetting breaches of fiduciary duties, certain fraudulent transfers and fraudulent conveyances, breach of the implied duty of good faith and fair dealing, fraud, aiding and abetting fraud, negligent misrepresentation, and civil conspiracy, and sought at least \$200 million in damages, disgorgement of fees, return of funds or other property transferred to Speltz & Weis LLC, attorneys fees, and unspecified punitive and other damages. In the second quarter of 2010, we reached a settlement which resulted in a litigation settlement charge of approximately \$4.8 million in the second quarter.

In August, 2009, the SEC commenced an investigation with respect to the restatement and an investigation into the allocation of time within a certain practice group. We also conducted a separate inquiry, in response to the initial inquiry from the SEC, into the allocation of time within a certain practice group. This matter had no impact on billings to our clients, but could have impacted the timing of when revenue was recognized. Based on our internal inquiry, which is complete, we have concluded that an adjustment to our historical financial statements is not required with respect to this matter. The SEC investigations with respect to the restatement and the allocation of time within a certain practice group are ongoing. We are cooperating fully with the SEC in its investigations. As often happens in these circumstances, the USAO for the Northern District of Illinois has contacted our counsel. The USAO made a telephonic request for copies of certain documents that we previously provided to the SEC, which we have voluntarily provided to the USAO.

In addition, the following purported shareholder class action complaints have been filed in connection with our restatement in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois: (1) a complaint in the matter of Jason Hughes v. Huron Consulting Group Inc., Gary E. Holdren and Gary L. Burge, filed on August 4, 2009; (2) a complaint in the matter of Dorothy DeAngelis v. Huron Consulting Group Inc., Gary E. Holdren, Gary L. Burge, Wayne Lipski and PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, filed on August 5, 2009; (3) a complaint in the matter of Noel M. Parsons v. Huron Consulting Group Inc., Gary E. Holdren, Gary L. Burge, Wayne Lipski and PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, filed on August 5, 2009; (4) a complaint in the matter of Adam Liebman v. Huron Consulting Group Inc., Gary E. Holdren, Gary L. Burge and Wayne Lipski, filed on August 5, 2009; (5) a complaint in the matter of Gerald Tobin v. Huron Consulting Group Inc., Gary E. Holdren, Gary L. Burge and PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, filed on August 7, 2009, (6) a complaint in the matter of Gary Austin v. Huron Consulting Group Inc., Gary E. Holdren, Gary L. Burge and Wayne Lipski, filed on August 7, 2009 and (7) a complaint in the matter of Thomas Fisher v. Huron Consulting Group Inc., Gary E. Holdren, Gary L. Burge, Wayne Lipski and PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, filed on September 3, 2009. On October 6, 2009, Plaintiff Thomas Fisher



voluntarily dismissed his complaint. On November 16, 2009, the remaining suits were consolidated and the Public School Teachers Pension & Retirement Fund of Chicago, the Arkansas Public Employees Retirement System, the City of Boston Retirement Board, the Cambridge Retirement System and the Bristol County Retirement System were appointed Lead Plaintiffs. Lead Plaintiffs filed a consolidated complaint on January 29, 2010. The consolidated complaint asserts claims under Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and SEC Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder against Huron Consulting Group, Inc., Gary Holdren and Gary Burge and claims under Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act against Gary Holdren, Gary Burge and Wayne Lipski. The consolidated complaint contends that the Company and the individual defendants issued false and misleading statements regarding the Company's financial results and compliance with GAAP.

**Table of Contents**

**HURON CONSULTING GROUP INC.**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**  
**(Tabular amounts in thousands, except per share amounts)**  
**(Unaudited)**

Lead Plaintiffs request that the action be declared a class action, and seek unspecified damages, equitable and injunctive relief, and reimbursement for fees and expenses incurred in connection with the action, including attorneys fees. On March 30, 2010, Huron, Gary Burge, Gary Holdren and Wayne Lipski jointly filed a motion to dismiss the consolidated complaint. On August 6, 2010, the Court denied the motion to dismiss. The Court entered a scheduling order in the matter on August 16, 2010, and the parties have commenced discovery.

The Company also has been named as a nominal defendant in two state derivative suits filed in connection with the Company's restatement, since consolidated in the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois, Chancery Division on September 21, 2009: (1) a complaint in the matter of Curtis Peters, derivatively on behalf of Huron Consulting Group Inc. v. Gary E. Holdren, Gary L. Burge, Wayne Lipski, each of the members of the Board of Directors and PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, filed on August 28, 2009 (the Peters suit) and (2) a complaint in the matter of Brian Hacias, derivatively on behalf of Huron Consulting Group Inc. v. Gary E. Holdren, Gary L. Burge and Wayne Lipski, filed on August 28, 2009 (the Hacias suit). The consolidated cases are captioned In Re Huron Consulting Group, Inc. Shareholder Derivative Litigation. On March 8, 2010, plaintiffs filed a consolidated complaint. The consolidated complaint asserts claims for breach of fiduciary duty, unjust enrichment, abuse of control, gross mismanagement and waste of corporate assets. The consolidated complaint also alleges claims for professional negligence and breach of contract against PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, the Company's independent auditors. Plaintiffs seek to recoup for the Company unspecified damages allegedly sustained by the Company resulting from the restatement and related matters, disgorgement and reimbursement for fees and expenses incurred in connection with the suits, including attorneys fees. Huron filed a motion to dismiss plaintiffs' consolidated complaint on April 22, 2010. On October 25, 2010, the Court granted Huron's motion to dismiss and dismissed plaintiffs' consolidated complaint with prejudice. The Company has also been named as a nominal defendant in three Federal derivative suits filed in connection with the Company's restatement, since consolidated in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois on November 23, 2009: (1) a complaint in the matter of Oakland County Employees Retirement System, derivatively on behalf of Huron Consulting Group Inc. v. Gary E. Holdren, Gary L. Burge, Wayne Lipski and each of the members of the Board of Directors, filed on October 7, 2009 (the Oakland suit); (2) a complaint in the matter of Philip R. Wilmore, derivatively on behalf of Huron Consulting Group Inc. v. Gary E. Holdren, Gary L. Burge, Wayne Lipski, David M. Shade, and each of the members of the Board of Directors, filed on October 12, 2009 (the Wilmore suit); and (3) a complaint in the matter of Lawrence J. Goelz, derivatively on behalf of Huron Consulting Group Inc. v. Gary E. Holdren, Gary L. Burge, Wayne Lipski, David M. Shade, and each of the members of the Board of Directors, filed on October 12, 2009 (the Goelz suit). Oakland County Employees Retirement System, Philip R. Wilmore and Lawrence J. Goelz have been named Lead Plaintiffs. Lead Plaintiffs filed a consolidated complaint on January 15, 2010. The consolidated complaint asserts claims under Section 14(a) of the Exchange Act and for breach of fiduciary duty, waste of corporate assets and unjust enrichment. Lead Plaintiffs seek to recoup for the Company unspecified damages allegedly sustained by the Company resulting from the restatement and related matters, restitution from all defendants and disgorgement of all profits, benefits or other compensation obtained by the defendants and reimbursement for fees and expenses incurred in connection with the suit, including attorneys fees. On April 7, 2010, the Court denied Huron's motion to stay the Federal derivative suits. On April 8, 2010, Huron filed a motion to stay discovery proceedings in the derivative suits, pursuant to the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act, pending the resolution of Huron's motion to dismiss plaintiffs' consolidated complaint. The Court granted Huron's motion to stay discovery proceedings in the derivative suits on April 12, 2010. Huron filed a motion to dismiss plaintiffs' consolidated complaint on April 27, 2010. Huron's motion to dismiss was granted, judgment entered and the case closed on September 7, 2010. On October 5, 2010, plaintiffs moved for relief from judgment and for leave to file a first amended complaint. The Court granted plaintiffs' motion on October 12, 2010, and plaintiffs filed their amended complaint that same day. Defendants' motion to dismiss the amended complaint is due to be filed on November 5, 2010.

Given the uncertain nature of the SEC investigations with respect to the restatement and the allocation of time within a certain practice group, the USAO's request for certain documents and the purported private shareholder class action lawsuit and derivative lawsuits in respect of the restatement (collectively, the restatement matters), and the uncertainties related to the incurrence and amount of loss, including with respect to the imposition of fines, penalties, damages, administrative remedies and liabilities for additional amounts, with respect to the restatement matters, we are unable to predict the ultimate outcome of the restatement matters, determine whether a liability has been incurred or make a reasonable estimate of the liability that could result from an unfavorable outcome in the restatement matters. Any such liability could be material.

**Table of Contents**

**HURON CONSULTING GROUP INC.**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**  
**(Tabular amounts in thousands, except per share amounts)**  
**(Unaudited)**

On December 9, 2009, plaintiff, Associates Against Outlier Fraud, filed a First Amended *qui tam* complaint against Huron Consulting Group, Inc., and others under the federal and New York state False Claims Act ( FCA ) in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York. The federal and state FCA authorize private individuals (known as relators ) to sue on behalf of the government (known as *qui tam* actions) alleging that false or fraudulent claims were knowingly submitted to the government. Once a *qui tam* action is filed, the government may elect to intervene in the action. If the government declines to intervene, the relator may proceed with the action. Under the federal and state FCA, the government may recover treble damages and civil penalties (civil penalties of up to \$11,000 per violation under the federal FCA and \$12,000 per violation under the state FCA). On January 6, 2010, the United States declined to intervene in the lawsuit. On February 2, 2010, Huron filed a motion to dismiss the relator s federal and state claims. On August 25, 2010, the Court granted Huron s motion to dismiss without prejudice. On September 29, 2010, relator filed a Second Amended Complaint alleging that Huron and others caused St. Vincent Catholic Medical Center to receive more than \$30 million in inflated outlier payments under the Medicare and Medicaid programs in violation of the federal and state FCA and also seeks to recover an unspecified amount of civil penalties. On October 19, 2010 Huron filed a motion to dismiss the Second Amended Complaint. Huron believes the lawsuit lacks merit and intends to contest the lawsuit vigorously in the event its motion to dismiss is not granted. From time to time, we are involved in legal proceedings and litigation arising in the ordinary course of business. As of the date of this quarterly report on Form 10-Q, we are not a party to or threatened with any other litigation or legal proceeding that, in the current opinion of management, could have a material adverse effect on our financial position or results of operations. However, due to the risks and uncertainties inherent in legal proceedings, actual results could differ from current expected results.

**Guarantees**

Guarantees in the form of letters of credit totaling \$6.2 million and \$4.5 million were outstanding at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively, to support certain office lease obligations as well as Middle East performance bonds.

In connection with certain business acquisitions, we are required to pay additional purchase consideration to the sellers if specific performance targets and conditions are met over a number of years as specified in the related purchase agreements. These amounts are calculated and payable at the end of each year based on full year financial results. There is no limitation to the maximum amount of additional purchase consideration and the aggregate amount that potentially may be paid could be significant. Based on current and projected financial performance, we anticipate aggregate additional purchase consideration that will be earned by certain sellers to be approximately \$20.0 million for the year ending December 31, 2010. Additional purchase consideration earned by certain sellers in 2010 and 2009 totaled \$6.0 million and \$66.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Of these amounts, \$4.0 million remains payable as of September 30, 2010.

To the extent permitted by law, our by-laws and articles of incorporation require that we indemnify our officers and directors against judgments, fines and amounts paid in settlement, including attorney s fees, incurred in connection with civil or criminal action or proceedings, as it relates to their services to us if such person acted in good faith. Although there is no limit on the amount of indemnification, we may have recourse against our insurance carrier for certain payments made.

**15. Segment Information**

Segments are defined by FASB ASC Topic 280, Segment Reporting , as components of a company in which separate financial information is available and is evaluated regularly by the chief operating decision maker, or decision making group, in deciding how to allocate resources and in assessing performance. Our chief operating decision maker manages the business under three operating segments: Health and Education Consulting, Legal Consulting, and Financial Consulting.

Effective January 1, 2010, we reorganized our practice areas and service lines to better align ourselves to meet market demands and serve our clients. Under our new organizational structure, we have three operating segments: Health and Education Consulting, Legal Consulting and Financial Consulting. The Financial Consulting segment practices primarily include the restructuring and turnaround and accounting advisory service offerings. The Health and Education Consulting and Legal Consulting segments remain unchanged. Previously reported segment information has been reclassified to reflect the reorganization.

**Table of Contents**

**HURON CONSULTING GROUP INC.**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**  
**(Tabular amounts in thousands, except per share amounts)**  
**(Unaudited)**

**Health and Education Consulting.** This segment provides consulting services to hospitals, health systems, physicians, managed care organizations, academic medical centers, colleges, universities, and pharmaceutical and medical device manufacturers. This segment's professionals develop and implement solutions to help clients address financial management, strategy, operational and organizational effectiveness, research administration, and regulatory compliance. This segment also provides consulting services related to hospital or healthcare organization performance improvement, revenue cycle improvement, turnarounds, merger or affiliation strategies, labor productivity, non-labor cost management, information technology, patient flow improvement, physician practice management, interim management, clinical quality and medical management, and governance and board development.

**Legal Consulting.** This segment provides guidance and business services to address the challenges that confront today's legal organizations. These services add value to corporate law departments and government agencies by helping to reduce legal spending, enhance client service delivery, and increase operational effectiveness. This segment provides measurable results in the areas of digital evidence and discovery services, document review, law firm management services, records management, and strategic and operational improvements. Included in this segment's offerings is V3locity, a per page fixed price e-discovery service providing data and document processing, hosting, review and production.

**Financial Consulting.** This segment assists corporations with complex accounting and financial reporting matters, and provides financial analysis in restructuring and turnaround situations. We have an array of services that are flexible and responsive to event- and transaction-based needs across industries. Our professionals consist of certified public accountants, certified insolvency and restructuring advisors, certified turnaround professionals, and chartered financial analysts that serve attorneys, corporations, and financial institutions as advisors and consultants. Huron also consults with companies in the areas of corporate governance, Sarbanes Oxley compliance, and internal audit, and helps companies with critical finance and accounting department projects utilizing on demand resources.

Segment operating income consists of the revenues generated by a segment, less the direct costs of revenue and selling, general and administrative costs that are incurred directly by the segment. Unallocated corporate costs include costs related to administrative functions that are performed in a centralized manner that are not attributable to a particular segment. These administrative function costs include costs for corporate office support, certain office facility costs, costs relating to accounting and finance, human resources, legal, marketing, information technology and company-wide business development functions, as well as costs related to overall corporate management. The table below sets forth information about our operating segments for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, along with the items necessary to reconcile the segment information to the totals reported in the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

	<b>Three Months Ended</b>		<b>Nine Months Ended</b>	
	<b>September 30,</b>		<b>September 30,</b>	
	<b>2010</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2009</b>
<b>Health and Education Consulting:</b>				
Revenues	\$ 89,051	\$ 99,714	\$ 249,747	\$ 283,205
Operating income	\$ 32,002	\$ 38,676	\$ 81,867	\$ 106,746
Segment operating income as a percent of segment revenues	35.9%	38.8%	32.8%	37.7%
<b>Legal Consulting:</b>				

Edgar Filing: Huron Consulting Group Inc. - Form 10-Q

Revenues	\$ 37,885	\$ 29,314	\$ 104,941	\$ 83,423
Operating income	\$ 11,697	\$ 5,360	\$ 28,418	\$ 16,316
Segment operating income as a percent of segment revenues	30.9%	18.3%	27.1%	19.6%
<b>Financial Consulting:</b>				
Revenues	\$ 18,506	\$ 19,985	\$ 54,150	\$ 50,946
Operating income	\$ 5,782	\$ 4,421	\$ 15,261	\$ 10,009
Segment operating income as a percent of segment revenues	31.2%	22.1%	28.2%	19.6%
<b>Total Company:</b>				
Revenues	\$ 145,442	\$ 149,013	\$ 408,838	\$ 417,574
Reimbursable expenses	12,860	12,731	36,849	36,892
Total revenues and reimbursable expenses	\$ 158,302	\$ 161,744	\$ 445,687	\$ 454,466

Table of Contents

**HURON CONSULTING GROUP INC.**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**  
**(Tabular amounts in thousands, except per share amounts)**  
**(Unaudited)**

	<b>Three Months Ended</b>		<b>Nine Months Ended</b>	
	<b>September 30,</b>		<b>September 30,</b>	
	<b>2010</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2009</b>
<b>Statement of operations reconciliation:</b>				
Segment operating income	\$ 49,481	\$ 48,457	\$ 125,546	\$ 133,071
Charges not allocated at the segment level:				
Other selling, general and administrative expenses	20,244	33,590	69,844	78,527
Depreciation and amortization expense	4,608	5,484	14,074	16,673
Impairment charge on goodwill		67,034		67,034
Other expense, net	3,779	2,236	10,505	7,820
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income tax expense	\$ 20,850	\$ (59,887)	\$ 31,123	\$ (36,983)

**16. Subsequent Events**

On November 1, 2010, we acquired Click Commerce, Inc. ( Click ), a provider of software-based solutions and professional services to leading academic medical centers and research institutions. The purchase further enhances the Company's higher education and healthcare research technology solutions for clients in the business of research. The results of operations of Click will be included within the Health and Education Consulting segment beginning on November 1, 2010. The acquisition is not deemed significant to the Company's results of operations and financial condition and the terms of the agreement were not disclosed.

On November 4, 2010, we also acquired TRILANTIC International Limited ( TRILANTIC ), an e-discovery business providing technology solutions to clients in Europe and the Middle East. The purchase further enhances the Company's discovery offerings for clients globally. The results of operations of TRILANTIC will be included within the Legal Consulting segment beginning on November 4, 2010. The acquisition is not deemed significant to the Company's results of operations and financial condition and the terms of the agreement were not disclosed.

In response to our evolving business coupled with the continued review of our leased office space, we exited the San Francisco office space on November 1, 2010 due to the excess capacity at the space and the virtual nature of the employees in this geographic region. In conjunction with the exit of the excess office space, we expect to record a restructuring charge of approximately \$2.5 million in the fourth quarter of 2010, primarily comprised of the discounted future cash flows of rent expenses we are obligated to pay under the lease agreement, which are partially offset by estimated sublease income we calculated based on a sublease agreement executed in the fourth quarter of 2010.



**Table of Contents****ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

In this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, unless the context otherwise requires, the terms Huron, Company, we, us and our refer to Huron Consulting Group Inc. and its subsidiaries.

Statements in this quarterly report on Form 10-Q, including the information incorporated by reference herein, that are not historical in nature, including those concerning the Company's current expectations about its future results, are forward-looking statements as defined in Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act) and the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Forward-looking statements are identified by words such as may, should, expects, plans, anticipates, assumes, can, considers, could, intends, would, believes, estimates or continues. Risks, uncertainties and assumptions that could impact the Company's forward-looking statements relate, among other things, to (i) the restatement, (ii) the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) investigation with respect to the restatement and the related purported private shareholder class action lawsuit and derivative lawsuits, (iii) the SEC investigation and related Company inquiry into the allocation of time within a certain practice group and (iv) the request by the United States Attorney's Office (USAO) for the Northern District of Illinois for certain documents. In addition, these forward-looking statements reflect our current expectation about our future results, levels of activity, performance, or achievements, including, without limitation, that our business continues to grow at the current expectations with respect to, among other factors, utilization rates, billing rates, and the number of revenue-generating professionals; that we are able to expand our service offerings; that we successfully integrate the businesses we acquire; and that existing market conditions, including those in the credit markets, do not continue to deteriorate substantially. These statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause actual results, levels of activity, performance or achievements to be materially different from any anticipated results, levels of activity, performance or achievements expressed or implied by these forward-looking statements. See Risk Factors below and in our 2009 Annual Report on Form 10-K for a description of the material risks we face.

**OVERVIEW****Our Business**

Huron is a leading provider of operational and financial consulting services. We help clients in diverse industries improve performance, comply with complex regulations, resolve disputes, recover from distress, leverage technology, and stimulate growth. We team with our clients to deliver sustainable and measurable results. Many of our highly experienced professionals have master's degrees in business or healthcare administration, doctorates in economics, are certified public accountants, or are accredited valuation specialists and forensic accountants. Our professionals employ their expertise in healthcare administration, accounting, finance, economics and operations to provide our clients with specialized analyses and customized advice and solutions that are tailored to address each client's particular challenges and opportunities. We provide consulting services to a wide variety of both financially sound and distressed organizations, including leading academic institutions, healthcare organizations, Fortune 500 companies, medium-sized businesses, and the law firms that represent these various organizations.

Effective January 1, 2010, we reorganized our practice areas and service lines to better align ourselves to meet market demands and serve our clients and as a result, we reduced our operating segments from four to three. We provide our services and manage our business under three operating segments: Health and Education Consulting, Legal Consulting, and Financial Consulting. The Financial Consulting segment practices primarily include the restructuring and turnaround and accounting advisory service offerings. Previously reported segment information has been restated to reflect the reorganization.

**Health and Education Consulting.** Our Health and Education Consulting segment provides consulting services to hospitals, health systems, physicians, managed care organizations, academic medical centers, colleges, universities, and pharmaceutical and medical device manufacturers. This segment's professionals develop and implement solutions to help clients address financial management, strategy, operational and organizational effectiveness, research administration, and regulatory compliance. This segment also provides consulting services related to hospital or healthcare organization performance improvement, revenue cycle improvement, turnarounds, merger of affiliation strategies, labor productivity, non-labor cost management, information

technology, patient flow improvement, physician practice management, interim management, clinical quality and medical management, and governance and board development.

**Legal Consulting.** Our Legal Consulting segment provides guidance and business services to address the challenges that confront today's legal organizations. These services add value to corporate law departments and government

**Table of Contents**

agencies by helping to reduce legal spending, enhance client service delivery, and increase operational effectiveness. This segment provides measurable results in the areas of digital evidence and discovery services, document review, law firm management services, records management, and strategic and operational improvements. Included in this segment's offerings is V3locity, a per page fixed price e-discovery service providing data and document processing, hosting, review and production.

**Financial Consulting.** Our Financial Consulting segment assists corporations with complex accounting and financial reporting matters, and provides financial analysis in restructuring and turnaround situations. We have an array of services that are flexible and responsive to event- and transaction-based needs across industries. Our professionals consist of certified public accountants, certified insolvency and restructuring advisors, certified turnaround professionals, and chartered financial analysts that serve attorneys, corporations, and financial institutions as advisors and consultants. Huron also consults with companies in the areas of corporate governance, Sarbanes Oxley compliance, and internal audit, and helps companies with critical finance and accounting department projects utilizing on demand resources.

Since December 31, 2009, we have undertaken several separate initiatives to divest certain practices within the Financial Consulting segment in order to enable us to devote more of our energy and financial resources to the remaining businesses of the Company where we have a more substantial market presence. On September 30, 2010, we completed a sale of a portion of the Disputes and Investigations ( D&I ) practice and wound down the remaining practice operations as of that same date. Additionally, during the third quarter of 2010 we exited the utilities consulting ( Utilities ) practice. In December 2009, our Board approved a plan to divest the businesses that included the international operations of our Japan office ( Japan ) and the strategy business MS Galt & Co LLC ( Galt ), which we acquired in April 2006. We exited Galt with the December 31, 2009 sale of the business back to its three original principals. We exited Japan effective June 30, 2010 via a wind down of the business. As a result of these actions, the operating results of D&I, Utilities, Japan, and Galt are reported as discontinued operations. All other operations of the business are considered continuing operations and unless otherwise noted, all amounts discussed within this Item II. Management Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations refer to amounts from continuing operations. Amounts previously reported have been reclassified to conform with this presentation in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 205 Presentation of Financial Statements to allow for meaningful comparison of continued operations. The Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 aggregates amounts associated with the discontinued operations as described above. See note 5. Discontinued Operations under Item 1. Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information about our discontinued operations.

**How We Generate Revenues**

A large portion of our revenues are generated by our full-time consultants who provide consulting services to our clients and are billable to our clients based on the number of hours worked. A smaller portion of our revenues is generated by our other professionals, also referred to as full-time equivalents, consisting of finance and accounting consultants, specialized operational consultants and contract reviewers, all of whom work variable schedules, as needed by our clients. Other professionals also include our document review and electronic data discovery groups, as well as full-time employees who provide software support and maintenance services to our clients. Our document review and electronic data discovery groups generate revenues primarily based on number of hours worked and units produced, such as pages reviewed or amount of data processed. We translate the hours that these other professionals work on client engagements into a full-time equivalent measure that we use to manage our business. We refer to our full-time consultants and other professionals collectively as revenue-generating professionals.

Revenues generated by our full-time consultants are primarily driven by the number of consultants we employ and their utilization rates, as well as the billing rates we charge our clients. Revenues generated by our other professionals, or full-time equivalents, are largely dependent on the number of consultants we employ, their hours worked and billing rates charged, as well as the number of pages reviewed and amount of data processed in the case of our document review and electronic data discovery groups, respectively.

We generate the majority of our revenues from providing professional services under three types of billing arrangements: time-and-expense, fixed-fee, and performance-based.

Time-and-expense billing arrangements require the client to pay based on either the number of hours worked, the number of pages reviewed, or the amount of data processed by our revenue-generating professionals at agreed upon rates. We recognize revenues under time-and-expense billing arrangements as the related services are rendered.

Time-and-expense

**Table of Contents**

engagements represented 46.5% and 44.1% of our revenues in the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, and 49.2% and 45.2% in the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

In fixed-fee billing arrangements, we agree to a pre-established fee in exchange for a pre-determined set of professional services. We set the fees based on our estimates of the costs and timing for completing the engagements. It is the client's expectation in these engagements that the pre-established fee will not be exceeded except in mutually agreed upon circumstances. We recognize revenues under fixed-fee billing arrangements using a percentage-of-completion approach, which is based on our estimates of work completed to-date versus the total services to be provided under the engagement. Revenue from fixed-fee engagements represented approximately 40.6% and 35.5% in the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, and 37.5% and 38.4% in the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

In performance-based fee billing arrangements, fees are tied to the attainment of contractually defined objectives. We enter into performance-based engagements in essentially two forms. First, we generally earn fees that are directly related to the savings formally acknowledged by the client as a result of adopting our recommendations for improving cost effectiveness in the procurement area. Second, we have performance-based engagements in which we earn a success fee when and if certain pre-defined outcomes occur. Often this type of success fee supplements time-and-expense or fixed-fee engagements. We do not recognize revenues under performance-based billing arrangements until all related performance criteria are met. Performance-based fee revenues represented 11.1% and 18.9% of our revenues in the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, and 11.3% and 14.8% in the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Performance-based fee engagements may cause significant variations in quarterly revenues and operating results due to the timing of achieving the performance-based criteria.

We also generate revenues from licensing our proprietary software to clients and from providing related training and support during the term of the consulting engagement. Revenues from software licenses are recognized ratably over the term of the related consulting services contract. Thereafter, clients pay an annual fee for software support and maintenance. Annual support and maintenance fee revenue is recognized ratably over the support period, which is generally one year. These fees are billed in advance and included in deferred revenues until recognized. Support and maintenance revenues represented 1.8% and 1.5% of our revenues in three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, and 2.0% and 1.6% in the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Our quarterly results are impacted principally by our full-time consultants' utilization rate, the number of business days in each quarter and the number of our revenue-generating professionals who are available to work. Our utilization rate can be negatively affected by increased hiring because there is generally a transition period for new professionals that results in a temporary drop in our utilization rate. Our utilization rate can also be affected by seasonal variations in the demand for our services from our clients. For example, during the third and fourth quarters of the year, vacations taken by our clients can result in the deferral of activity on existing and new engagements, which would negatively affect our utilization rate. The number of business work days is also affected by the number of vacation days taken by our consultants and holidays in each quarter. We typically have fewer business work days available in the fourth quarter of the year, which can impact revenues during that period.

Time-and-expense engagements do not provide us with a high degree of predictability as to performance in future periods. Unexpected changes in the demand for our services can result in significant variations in utilization and revenues and present a challenge to optimal hiring and staffing. Moreover, our clients typically retain us on an engagement-by-engagement basis, rather than under long-term recurring contracts. The volume of work performed for any particular client can vary widely from period to period.

**Business Strategy, Opportunities and Challenges**

Our primary strategy is to meet the needs of our clients by providing a balanced portfolio of service offerings and capabilities, so that we can adapt quickly and effectively to emerging opportunities in the marketplace. To achieve this, we have entered into select acquisitions of complementary businesses and continue to hire highly qualified professionals.

To expand our business, we will remain focused on growing our existing relationships and developing new relationships, execute the new managing director compensation plan implemented in 2010 to attract and retain senior

practitioners, continue to promote and provide an integrated approach to service delivery, broaden the scope of our existing services, and acquire complementary businesses. We will regularly evaluate the performance of our practices to ensure that investment meets these objectives. Furthermore, we intend to enhance our visibility in the marketplace by refining our overarching messaging and value propositions for the organization as well as each practice. The first quarter launch of our unified

**Table of Contents**

Wellspring+Stockamp, Huron Healthcare brand is a major step in clearly articulating the benefits we offer our clients. We will continue to focus on reaching our client base through clear, concise, endorsed messages.

**CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES**

Management's discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations are based upon our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with GAAP. The notes to our consolidated financial statements include disclosure of our significant accounting policies. We review our financial reporting and disclosure practices and accounting policies to ensure that our financial reporting and disclosures provide accurate information relative to the current economic and business environment. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make assessments, estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities as of the date of the financial statements, as well as the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Critical accounting policies are those policies that we believe present the most complex or subjective measurements and have the most potential to impact our financial position and operating results. While all decisions regarding accounting policies are important, we believe that there are four accounting policies that could be considered critical. These critical accounting policies relate to revenue recognition, allowances for doubtful accounts and unbilled services, carrying values of goodwill and other intangible assets, and valuation of net deferred tax assets. For a detailed discussion of these critical accounting policies, see Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Critical Accounting Policies in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009. Below is an update to our critical accounting policy relating to the carrying values of goodwill and other intangible assets. There have been no material changes to our other critical accounting policies during the first nine months of 2010.

**Carrying Values of Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets**

Goodwill represents the excess of the cost of an acquired business over the net of the amounts assigned to assets acquired and liabilities assumed. Pursuant to the provisions of FASB ASC Topic 350, Intangibles - Goodwill and Other, goodwill is required to be tested at the reporting unit level for impairment annually or whenever indications of impairment arise. Pursuant to our policy, we performed the annual goodwill impairment test as of April 30, 2010 and determined that no impairment of goodwill existed as of that date.

In accordance with FASB ASC Topic 350, we aggregate our business components into reporting units and test for goodwill impairment. Goodwill impairment is determined using a two-step process. The first step of the goodwill impairment test is to identify potential impairment by comparing the fair value of a reporting unit with its net book value (or carrying amount), including goodwill. If the fair value of a reporting unit exceeds its carrying amount, goodwill of the reporting unit is considered not to be impaired and the second step of the impairment test is unnecessary. If the carrying amount of the reporting unit exceeds its fair value, the second step of the goodwill impairment test is performed to measure the amount of the impairment loss, if any. The second step of the goodwill impairment test compares the implied fair value of the reporting unit's goodwill with the carrying amount of that goodwill. If the carrying amount of the reporting unit's goodwill exceeds the implied fair value of that goodwill, an impairment loss is recognized in an amount equal to that excess. The implied fair value of goodwill is determined in the same manner as the amount of goodwill recognized in a business combination. That is, the fair value of the reporting unit is allocated to all of the assets and liabilities of that unit (including any unrecognized intangible assets) as if the reporting unit had been acquired in a business combination and the fair value of the reporting unit was the purchase price paid to acquire the reporting unit.

Based on the result of the first step of the goodwill impairment analysis, we determined that the fair values of our Health and Education Consulting, Legal Consulting, and Financial Consulting reporting units exceeded their carrying values by 33.5%, 71.6%, and 8.1%, respectively. Since the fair value of all reporting units exceeded their carrying values, the second step of the goodwill impairment test was not necessary. Although the fair value of the Financial Consulting reporting unit exceeded its carrying value by only 8.1%, we do not feel this reporting unit is near impairment in the foreseeable future due to management's conservative estimates and assumptions made in conjunction with this impairment analysis, as well as management's current evaluation of potential strategic initiatives. Determining the fair value of a reporting unit requires our management to make significant judgments, estimates and assumptions. These estimates and assumptions could have a significant impact on whether or not an impairment

charge is recognized and also the magnitude of any such charge.

In estimating the fair value of our reporting units, we considered the income approach, the market approach and the cost approach. The income approach recognizes that the value of an asset is premised upon the expected receipt of future economic benefits. This approach involves projecting the cash flows the asset is expected to generate. Fair value indications are developed in the income approach by discounting expected future cash flows available to the investor at a rate which



**Table of Contents**

reflects the risk inherent in the investment. The market approach is primarily comprised of the guideline company and the guideline transaction methods. The guideline company method compares the subject company to selected reasonably similar companies whose securities are actively traded in the public markets. The guideline transaction method gives consideration to the prices paid in recent transactions that have occurred in the subject company's industry. The cost approach estimates the fair value of an asset based on the current cost to purchase or replace the asset.

In determining the fair value of our reporting units, we have relied on a combination of the income approach and the market approach, utilizing the guideline company method, with a fifty-fifty weighting. For companies providing services, such as us, the income and market approaches will generally provide the most reliable indications of value because the value of such companies is more dependent on their ability to generate earnings than on the value of the assets used in the production process. We did not utilize the guideline transaction method due to a limited number of recent transactions and the multiples derived from recent transactions did not provide meaningful value indications for our reporting units. We also did not use the cost approach because our reporting units were valued on a going concern basis. The income approach and market approach both take into account the future earnings potential of our reporting units.

In the income approach, we utilized a discounted cash flow analysis, which involved estimating the expected after-tax cash flows that will be generated by each of the reporting units and then discounting these cash flows to present value reflecting the relevant risks associated with the reporting units and the time value of money. This approach requires the use of significant estimates and assumptions, including long-term projections of future cash flows, market conditions, discount rates reflecting the risk inherent in future cash flows, revenue growth, perpetual growth rates and profitability, among others. In estimating future cash flows for each of our reporting units, we relied on internally generated six-year forecasts and a three percent long-term assumed annual revenue growth rate for periods after the six-year forecast. Our forecasts are based on our historical experience, current backlog, expected market demand, and other industry information. We used a 14% discount rate for each of our Health and Education Consulting, Legal Consulting, and Financial Consulting reporting units.

In the market approach, we utilized the guideline company method, which involved calculating valuation multiples based on operating data from guideline publicly traded companies. Multiples derived from guideline companies provide an indication of how much a knowledgeable investor in the marketplace would be willing to pay for a company. These multiples were then applied to the operating data for our reporting units and adjusted for factors similar to the discounted cash flow analysis to arrive at an indication of value.

While we believe that our estimates and assumptions underlying the valuation methodology are reasonable, different estimates and assumptions could result in different outcomes. The table below presents the decrease in the fair value of each of our reporting units given a one percent increase in the discount rate or a one percent decrease in the long-term assumed annual revenue growth rate. A 10% change in the weighting of the income approach and the market approach would not have had a significant effect on the fair value of our reporting units.

<b>(in thousands)</b>	<b>Decrease in Fair Value of the Reporting Unit</b>		
	<b>Health and Education Consulting</b>	<b>Legal Consulting</b>	<b>Financial Consulting</b>
Discount Rate Increase by 1%	\$50,600	\$9,300	\$8,700
Long-term Growth Rate Decrease by 1%	\$30,700	\$4,400	\$4,300

As described above, a goodwill impairment analysis requires significant judgments, estimates and assumptions. The results of this impairment analysis are as of a point in time. There is no assurance that the actual future earnings or cash flows of our reporting units will not decline significantly from our projections. We will monitor any changes to our assumptions and will evaluate goodwill as deemed warranted during future periods. Any significant decline in our operations could result in goodwill impairment charges.



**Table of Contents**

The carrying values of goodwill for each of our reporting unit as of September 30, 2010 are as follows (in thousands):

	<b>Health and Education Consulting</b>	<b>Legal Consulting</b>	<b>Financial Consulting</b>	<b>Total</b>
Carrying Value of Goodwill	\$ 388,009	\$ 25,729	\$ 54,549	\$ 468,287

Intangible assets represent purchased assets that lack physical substance but can be distinguished from goodwill. Our intangible assets, net of accumulated amortization, totaled \$16.8 million at September 30, 2010 and consist of customer relationships, non-competition agreements, tradenames, as well as technology and software. We use valuation techniques in estimating the initial fair value of acquired intangible assets. These valuations are primarily based on the present value of the estimated net cash flows expected to be derived from the customer relationships, discounted for assumptions such as future customer attrition. We evaluate our intangible assets for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the assets may not be recoverable. Therefore, higher or earlier-than-expected customer attrition may result in higher future amortization charges or an impairment charge for customer-related intangible assets.

**RESTATEMENT OF PREVIOUSLY-ISSUED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

As previously disclosed, in 2009, we filed the following amendments to restate our previously-issued financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, as well as the three months ended March 31, 2009:

Amendment No. 1 on Form 10-K/A, filed with the SEC on August 17, 2009, to our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, originally filed on February 24, 2009.

Amendment No. 1 on Form 10-Q/A, filed with the SEC on August 17, 2009, to our quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2009, originally filed on April 30, 2009.

The restatement related to the accounting for certain acquisition-related payments received by the selling shareholders of four acquired businesses (the *Acquired Businesses*). Pursuant to the purchase agreements for each of these acquisitions, payments were made by us to the selling shareholders (1) upon closing of the transaction, (2) in some cases, upon the *Acquired Businesses* achieving specific financial performance targets over a number of years (*earn-outs*), and (3) in one case, upon the buy-out of an obligation to make earn-out payments. These payments are collectively referred to as *acquisition-related payments*. Certain acquisition-related payments were subsequently redistributed by such selling shareholders among themselves in amounts that were not consistent with their ownership interests on the date we acquired the businesses (the *Shareholder Payments*) and to other select client-serving and administrative Company employees (the *Employee Payments*) based, in part, on continuing employment with the Company or the achievement of personal performance measures. The restatement was necessary because we failed to account for the *Shareholder Payments* and the *Employee Payments* in accordance with GAAP. The *Shareholder Payments* and the *Employee Payments* were required to be reflected as non-cash compensation expense of Huron, and the selling shareholders were deemed to have made a capital contribution to Huron. The payments were made directly by the selling shareholders from the acquisition proceeds they received from us and, accordingly, the correction of these errors had no effect on our net cash flows. The acquisition-related payments made by us to the selling shareholders represented purchase consideration. As such, these payments, to the extent that they exceeded the net of the fair value assigned to assets acquired and liabilities assumed, were properly recorded as goodwill, in accordance with GAAP.

Effective August 1, 2009, the Company amended its agreements with the selling shareholders of the two *Acquired Businesses* for which the Company had ongoing obligations to make future earn-out payments. The amendments provided that future earn-outs would be distributed only to the applicable selling shareholders and only in accordance with their equity interests on the date we acquired the related *Acquired Business* with no required continuing employment and that no further *Shareholder Payments* or *Employee Payments* would be made. Accordingly, all earn-out payments related to such *Acquired Businesses* made on or after August 1, 2009, have been, and will continue to be, accounted for as additional purchase consideration and not also as non-cash compensation expense. Additional earn-out payment obligations, payable through December 31, 2011, currently remain with respect to only one

Acquired Business.

In August, 2009, the SEC commenced an investigation with respect to the restatement and an investigation into the allocation of time within a certain practice group. As often happens in these circumstances, the United States Attorney's Office ( USAO ) for the Northern District of Illinois has contacted our counsel. The USAO made a telephonic request for copies of certain documents that we previously provided to the SEC, which we have voluntarily provided to the USAO.

**Table of Contents**

In addition, several purported shareholder class action complaints, since consolidated, and derivative lawsuits have been filed in connection with the restatement. See note 14. Commitments, Contingencies and Guarantees for a discussion of the SEC investigations, the USAO's request for certain documents, and the purported private shareholder class action lawsuit and derivative lawsuits.

Given the uncertain nature of the SEC investigations with respect to the restatement and the allocation of time within a certain practice group, the USAO's request for certain documents and the purported private shareholder class action lawsuit and derivative lawsuits in respect of the restatement (collectively, the restatement matters), and the uncertainties related to the incurrence and amount of loss, including with respect to the imposition of fines, penalties, damages, administrative remedies and liabilities for additional amounts, with respect to the restatement matters, we are unable to predict the ultimate outcome of the restatement matters, determine whether a liability has been incurred or make a reasonable estimate of the liability that could result from an unfavorable outcome in the restatement matters. Any such liability could be material. See Risk Factors below and in our 2009 annual report on Form 10-K for a discussion of certain risks and uncertainties relating to the restatement matters and certain other risks and uncertainties that are heightened by the restatement matters.

**Table of Contents****RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

Since December 31, 2009, we have undertaken several separate initiatives to divest certain practices within the Financial Consulting segment in order to enable us to devote more of our energy and financial resources to the remaining businesses of the Company where we have a more substantial market presence. On September 30, 2010, we completed a sale of a portion of the D&I practice and wound down the remaining practice operations as of that same date. Additionally, during the third quarter of 2010 we exited the Utilities practice. In December 2009, our Board approved a plan to divest the businesses that included the international operations of our Japan office and the Galt strategy business, which we acquired in April 2006. We exited Galt with the December 31, 2009 sale of the business back to its three original principals. We exited Japan effective June 30, 2010 via a wind down of the business. As a result of these actions, the operating results of D&I, Utilities, Japan, and Galt are reported as discontinued operations. All other operations of the business are considered continuing operations. See note 5. Discontinued Operations under Part I Item 1. Consolidated Financial Statements of this Quarterly Report for additional information.

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, selected segment and consolidated operating results for the periods indicated, as well as other operating data. Segment operating income consists of the revenues generated by a segment, less the direct costs of revenue and selling, general and administrative costs that are incurred directly by the segment. Unallocated costs include corporate costs related to administrative functions that are performed in a centralized manner that are not attributable to a particular segment.

	<b>Three Months Ended</b>		<b>Nine Months Ended</b>	
	<b>September 30,</b>		<b>September 30,</b>	
	<b>2010</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2009</b>
<b>Segment and Consolidated Operating Results</b>				
<b>(in thousands):</b>				
<b>Revenues and reimbursable expenses:</b>				
Health and Education Consulting	\$ 89,051	\$ 99,714	\$ 249,747	\$ 283,205
Legal Consulting	37,885	29,314	104,941	83,423
Financial Consulting	18,506	19,985	54,150	50,946
Total revenues	145,442	149,013	408,838	417,574
Total reimbursable expenses	12,860	12,731	36,849	36,892
<b>Total revenues and reimbursable expenses</b>	<b>\$ 158,302</b>	<b>\$ 161,744</b>	<b>\$ 445,687</b>	<b>\$ 454,466</b>
<b>Operating income<sup>(1)</sup>:</b>				
Health and Education Consulting	\$ 32,002	\$ 38,676	\$ 81,867	\$ 106,746
Legal Consulting	11,697	5,360	28,418	16,316
Financial Consulting	5,782	4,421	15,261	10,009
Total segment operating income	49,481	48,457	125,546	133,071
Operating expenses not allocated to segments	24,852	106,108	83,918	162,234
<b>Total Operating income (loss)</b>	<b>\$ 24,629</b>	<b>\$ (57,651)</b>	<b>\$ 41,628</b>	<b>\$ (29,163)</b>

**Other Operating Data:****Number of full-time billable consultants****(at period end) <sup>(2)</sup>:**

Health and Education Consulting	860	844	860	844
Legal Consulting	122	134	122	134

Edgar Filing: Huron Consulting Group Inc. - Form 10-Q

Financial Consulting	89	94	89	94
Total	1,071	1,072	1,071	1,072
<b>Average number of full-time billable consultants (for the period) <sup>(2)</sup>:</b>				
Health and Education Consulting	841	858	843	881
Legal Consulting	123	140	129	151
Financial Consulting	86	94	82	90
Total	1,050	1,092	1,054	1,122
<b>Full-time billable consultant utilization rate <sup>(3)</sup>:</b>				
Health and Education Consulting	78.6%	75.1%	73.6%	76.1%
Legal Consulting	69.2%	58.0%	62.3%	57.8%
Financial Consulting	77.3%	74.1%	73.0%	72.0%
Total	77.4%	72.8%	72.2%	73.3%

26

**Table of Contents**

	<b>Three Months Ended September 30, 2010</b>		<b>Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009</b>	
<b>Other Operating Data (Continued):</b>				
<b>Full-time billable consultant average billing rate per hour <sup>(4)</sup>:</b>				
Health and Education Consulting	\$ 244	\$ 299	\$ 240	\$ 268
Legal Consulting	\$ 215	\$ 188	\$ 205	\$ 211
Financial Consulting	\$ 324	\$ 359	\$ 308	\$ 331
Total	\$ 249	\$ 294	\$ 243	\$ 268
<b>Revenue per full-time billable consultant (in thousands):</b>				
Health and Education Consulting	\$ 89	\$ 106	\$ 250	\$ 291
Legal Consulting	\$ 63	\$ 51	\$ 167	\$ 170
Financial Consulting	\$ 129	\$ 145	\$ 377	\$ 392
Total	\$ 90	\$ 102	\$ 250	\$ 283
<b>Average number of full-time equivalents (for the period) <sup>(5)</sup>:</b>				
Health and Education Consulting	157	107	151	105
Legal Consulting	775	645	726	609
Financial Consulting	110	106	114	93
Total	1,042	858	991	807
<b>Revenue per full-time equivalents (in thousands):</b>				
Health and Education Consulting	\$ 88	\$ 85	\$ 256	\$ 258
Legal Consulting	\$ 39	\$ 34	\$ 115	\$ 95
Financial Consulting	\$ 68	\$ 60	\$ 204	\$ 168
Total	\$ 49	\$ 44	\$ 147	\$ 125

(1) Includes non-cash compensation expense as follows (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2009	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009
Health and Education Consulting	\$ 733	\$ 5,605
Financial Consulting	271	1,895
Total	\$ 1,004	\$ 7,500

(2)



Consists of our full-time professionals who provide consulting services and generate revenues based on the number of hours worked.

- (3) Utilization rate for our full-time billable consultants is calculated by dividing the number of hours all our full-time billable consultants worked on client assignments during a period by the total available working hours for all of these consultants during the same period, assuming a forty-hour work week, less paid holidays and vacation days.
- (4) Average billing rate per hour for our full-time billable consultants is calculated by dividing revenues for a period by the number of hours worked on client

assignments during the same period.

- (5) Consists of consultants who work variable schedules as needed by our clients, as well as contract reviewers and other professionals who generate revenues primarily based on number of hours worked and units produced, such as pages reviewed and data processed. Also includes full-time employees who provide software support and maintenance services to our clients.

#### **Non-GAAP Measures**

We also assess our results of operations using certain non-GAAP financial measures. These non-GAAP financial measures differ from accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ( GAAP ) because the non-GAAP financial measures we calculate to measure adjusted EBITDA, adjusted net income from continuing operations and adjusted diluted earnings per share exclude a number of items required by GAAP, each discussed below. These non-GAAP financial measures should be considered in addition to, and not as a substitute for or superior to, any measure of performance, cash flows or liquidity prepared in accordance with GAAP. Our non-GAAP financial measures may be defined differently from time to time and may be defined differently than similar terms used by other companies, and accordingly, care should be exercised in understanding how we define our non-GAAP financial measures.

Our management uses the non-GAAP financial measures to gain an understanding of our comparative operating performance, for example when comparing such results with previous periods or forecasts. These non-GAAP financial measures are used by management in their financial and operating decision-making because management believes they



Edgar Filing: Huron Consulting Group Inc. - Form 10-Q

Restatement related expenses	1,056	13,042	4,243	13,427
Restructuring charges	295	1,942	1,460	1,942
Impairment charge on goodwill		67,034		67,034
Litigation settlement			4,764	
Other gain				(2,687)
Tax effect	(1,278)	(34,550)	(6,428)	(35,800)
Total adjustments, net of tax	1,918	50,722	9,642	59,016
<b>Adjusted net income from continuing operations</b>	<b>\$ 12,971</b>	<b>\$ 9,376</b>	<b>\$ 26,890</b>	<b>\$ 28,998</b>
<b>Weighted average shares diluted</b>	<b>20,849</b>	<b>20,359</b>	<b>20,702</b>	<b>20,561</b>
<b>Adjusted diluted earnings per share from continuing operations</b>	<b>\$ 0.62</b>	<b>\$ 0.46</b>	<b>\$ 1.30</b>	<b>\$ 1.41</b>

**Table of Contents**

These non-GAAP financial measures include adjustments for the following items:

*Non-cash compensation:* As discussed above under the heading Restatement of Previously Issued Financial Statements, we recorded non-cash compensation expense related to Shareholder Payments and Employee Payments in conjunction with certain acquisitions. We have excluded the effect of the non-cash compensation expense from our non-GAAP measures because these items are non-cash in nature. Furthermore, the charges are inconsistent in its amount and frequency as they are significantly affected by the timing and size of the Shareholder and Employee payments.

*Restatement related expenses:* We have incurred significant expenses related to our financial statement restatement. We have excluded the effect of these restatement related expenses from our non-GAAP measures due to the nonrecurring nature of the event as a means to provide comparability with periods that were not impacted by the restatement related expenses.

*Restructuring charges:* We have incurred charges due to the restructuring of various parts of our business. These restructuring charges have primarily consisted of severance charges and office space reductions. We have excluded the effect of the restructuring charges from our non-GAAP measures as a means to provide comparability with periods that were not impacted by a restructuring charge. Additionally, the amount of each restructuring charge is significantly affected by the timing and size of the restructured business or component of a business.

*Goodwill impairment charge and Litigation settlement:* We have excluded the one-time effects of the goodwill impairment charge in the third quarter of 2009 and litigation settlement in the second quarter of 2010 from our non-GAAP measures because they are infrequent events and their exclusion permits comparability with periods that were not impacted by these charges.

*Other gain:* We recorded a gain related to a release of certain employees from their non-solicitation agreements with the Company and a settlement of certain other contractual obligations. We have excluded the effect of the other gain from our non-GAAP measures due to the fact that it is unusual and infrequent in nature as a means to provide comparability with the periods that were not impacted by the other gain.

*Amortization of intangible assets:* We have excluded the effect of amortization of intangible assets from the non-GAAP measures presented above. Amortization of intangibles is inconsistent in its amount and frequency and is significantly affected by the timing and size of our acquisitions.

*Tax effect:* The non-GAAP income tax adjustment reflects the incremental tax rate in which the non-GAAP adjustment occurs.

*Income tax expense, Interest and other expenses, Depreciation and Amortization:* We have excluded the effects of income tax expense, interest and other expenses and depreciation and amortization in the calculation of EBITDA as these are customary exclusions as defined by the calculation of EBITDA to arrive at a meaningful earnings from core operations excluding the effect of such items.

**Three Months Ended September 30, 2010 Compared to Three Months Ended September 30, 2009****Revenues**

Revenues decreased \$3.6 million, or 2.4%, to \$145.4 million for the third quarter of 2010 from \$149.0 million for the third quarter of 2009.

Of the overall \$3.6 million decrease in revenues, \$17.4 million was attributable to our full-time billable consultants, partially offset by a \$13.8 million increase attributable to our full-time equivalents. The \$17.4 million decrease in full-time billable consultant revenues was primarily attributable to a decrease in the demand for our services coupled with a continued weakened economy that has resulted in a decrease in discretionary spending by our clients as well as delayed decisions by clients on new client engagements. Our average billing rate decreased in the quarter compared to the same period in the prior year, however utilization increased due to the decrease in our billable headcount. The \$13.8 million increase in full-time equivalent revenues resulted from increased demand for our variable, on-demand consultants in each of our segments.

**Table of Contents****Total Direct Costs**

Our direct costs decreased \$3.9 million, or 4.2%, to \$88.1 million in the three months ended September 30, 2010 from \$92.0 million in the three months ended September 30, 2009. The decrease was primarily related to an \$8.4 million decrease in salaries, bonus and benefit costs associated with a decrease in our revenue generating professionals compared to the same period in the prior year, coupled with a decrease of \$1.0 million in non-cash compensation in the third quarter of 2010 compared to the third quarter of 2009. We recorded non-cash compensation expense of \$1.0 million during the third quarter of 2009, representing Shareholder Payments and Employee Payments as described above under Restatement of Previously-Issued Financial Statements. These decreases were partially offset by a \$2.7 million increase in direct costs attributable to an increased usage of independent contractors, primarily within our Legal Consulting segment, \$1.3 million increase in technology expenses, and a \$1.1 million increase in share-based compensation expense associated with our revenue-generating professionals. Share-based compensation increased \$1.1 million to \$3.3 million in the third quarter of 2010 compared to \$2.2 million in the third quarter of 2009, resulting from the granting of restricted stock awards to key employees during the first half of 2010.

Total direct costs for the three months ended September 30, 2010 included \$0.9 million of intangible assets amortization expense, primarily representing customer-related assets and software acquired in connection with the Stockamp acquisition. This was a decrease of \$0.1 million compared to the same period in the prior year.

**Operating Expenses**

Selling, general and administrative expenses decreased \$0.5 million, or 2.0%, to \$26.7 million in the third quarter of 2010 from \$27.2 million in the third quarter of 2009. We experienced net overall reductions in general and administrative expenses in the third quarter of 2010 compared to the same period in the prior year, primarily related to decreases in promotion and marketing, practice administration, and facilities costs which were partially offset by increases in recruiting expenses. Share-based compensation expense associated with our non-revenue-generating professionals increased \$2.3 million to \$1.8 million in the third quarter of 2010 from a benefit of \$0.5 million in the third quarter of 2009, related to forfeitures by former employees of certain restricted stock awards in the third quarter of 2009 as well as the granting of restricted stock and option awards to certain key employees during 2010.

In the third quarter of 2010, we incurred \$0.3 million in restructuring charge expenses related to the exit of excess office space, as well as severance for certain corporate personnel related to the disposition of the D&I practice discussed above. Restructuring expense for the comparable period in the prior year was \$1.9 million.

Expenses incurred in connection with our restatement, discussed above under Restatement of Previously-Issued Financial Statements, totaled \$1.1 million in the third quarter of 2010 compared to \$13.0 million in the third quarter of 2009. In the third quarter of 2010, restatement related expenses were primarily comprised of legal fees. In the comparable period in the prior year, restatement related expenses were primarily comprised of legal and accounting fees, as well the settlement costs of an indemnification claim arising in connection with a representation and warranty in a purchase agreement for a previous acquisition.

Depreciation expense decreased \$0.6 million, or 14.3%, to \$3.6 million in the three months ended September 30, 2010 from \$4.2 million in the three months ended September 30, 2009 primarily due to a decrease in capital expenditures coupled with a decrease in the number of employees. Non-direct intangible assets amortization expense decreased \$0.3 million, or 23.1%, to \$1.0 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010 from \$1.3 million for the comparable period last year. Non-direct intangible assets amortization relates to customer relationships, non-competition agreements and tradenames acquired in connection with our acquisitions.

We engaged in an impairment analysis with respect to the carrying value of our goodwill in connection with the preparation of our financial statements for the quarter ended September 30, 2009 and recorded a \$67.0 million non-cash pretax charge for the impairment of goodwill related to continuing operations. The impairment charge was non-cash in nature and did not affect the Company's liquidity.

**Table of Contents****Operating Income**

Operating income increased \$82.3 million to \$24.6 million in the third quarter of 2010 from an operating loss of \$57.7 million in the third quarter of 2009. Operating margin, which is defined as operating income expressed as a percentage of revenues, increased to 16.9% in the three months ended September 30, 2010 compared to (38.7)% in the three months ended September 30, 2009. The increase in operating margin was primarily attributable to the goodwill impairment charge recognized in the third quarter of 2009, combined with decreases in restatement related expenses, restructuring charges and depreciation and amortization in the third quarter of 2010. These decreases were partially offset by an increase in share-based compensation expense and an increase in the use of independent contractors primarily within our Legal Consulting segment.

As described above under Restatement of Previously-Issued Financial Statements, no further Shareholder Payments or Employee Payments will be made as a result of amendments to certain agreements among the selling shareholders of certain Acquired Businesses effective August 1, 2009, and acquisition-related payments for the period after August 1, 2009 are accounted for as additional purchase consideration and not also as non-cash compensation expense. We recognized \$1.2 million of non-cash compensation expense during the third quarter of 2009 related to Shareholder Payments and Employee Payments, \$1.0 million of which was included in continuing operations. We have incurred, and expect to continue to incur in future periods, a moderate increase in cash compensation expense related to Shareholder Payments and Employee Payments, which we currently estimate to be no more than \$4 million in each of 2010 and 2011. Additionally, as a result of the impact of the restatement on our business, we have incurred, and expect to continue to incur in future periods, a moderate increase in cash and share-based compensation expense to retain our top-performing employees. We have also incurred, and expect to continue to incur in future periods, an increase in operating expenses, including legal fees, as a result of the Company's inquiries into the acquisition-related payments and the allocation of time in certain practice groups, the restatement, the SEC investigations with respect to the circumstances that led to the restatement and the allocation of time in certain practice groups, the USAO's request for certain documents and the private shareholder class action lawsuits and derivative lawsuits in respect of the restatement. To the extent permitted by law, our by-laws and articles of incorporation require that we indemnify our officers and directors against judgments, fines and amounts paid in settlement, including attorneys' fees, incurred in connection with civil or criminal action or proceedings, as it relates to their services to us if such person acted in good faith. Although there is no limit on the amount of indemnification, we may have recourse against our insurance carrier for certain payments made.

**Other Expense**

Other expense increased \$1.6 million, or 69.0%, to \$3.8 million in the third quarter of 2010 from \$2.2 million in the third quarter of 2009. The \$1.6 million increase was primarily due to a \$0.8 million increase in interest expense resulting from an increase in our level of borrowings combined with an increase in interest rates, as well as a \$0.2 million decrease in foreign exchange rate gains. Also contributing to this increase was a \$0.5 million loss in the market value of our investments that are used to fund our deferred compensation liability. This loss was offset by a decrease in direct costs as our corresponding deferred compensation liability decreased.

As further described below under Liquidity and Capital Resources, the fees and interest we pay on outstanding borrowings vary based on our total debt to EBITDA ratio as set forth in the Revolving Credit and Term Loan Credit Agreement, as amended (the Credit Agreement). The fees and interest we paid on outstanding borrowings during the third quarter of 2010 exceeded those paid during the third quarter of 2009, and such fees and interest may in the future continue to exceed those paid in comparable historical periods as a result of a decrease in our EBITDA and the related impact of a lower EBITDA on the total debt to EBITDA ratio. In addition, the interest rate increased as a result of amended terms to the Credit Agreement entered into on June 30, 2010 providing for increased interest spreads and fees as described below under Liquidity and Capital Resources.

**Income Tax Expense**

For the third quarter of 2010, we recognized income tax expense of \$9.8 million on income from continuing operations of \$20.9 million. For the third quarter of 2009, we recognized income tax benefit of \$18.5 million on loss from continuing operations of \$59.9 million, primarily attributable to the goodwill impairment charge of \$67.0 million in the third quarter of 2009. Our effective tax rate increased to 47.0% for the third quarter of 2010 from 31.0% in the

same period last year. The higher effective tax rate in the third quarter of 2010 was primarily attributable to higher non-deductible expenses and an increase in foreign losses with no tax benefit.



**Table of Contents****Net Income from Continuing Operations**

Net income from continuing operations was \$11.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010 compared to net loss from continuing operations of \$41.3 million for the same period last year. The \$52.4 million increase in net income from continuing operations was primarily due to a \$67.0 million goodwill impairment charge in the third quarter of 2009 compared to zero in the third quarter of 2010, as well as decreases in restructuring charges of \$1.6 million, restatement related expenses of \$12.0 million, and a \$3.8 million decrease in direct costs, which includes non-cash compensation expense of zero in the third quarter of 2010 compared to \$1.0 million in the third quarter of 2009, representing Shareholder Payments and Employee Payments as described above under Restatement of Previously-Issued Financial Statements. These decreases were partially offset by a \$3.6 million decrease in revenue. As a result of the decrease in net income from continuing operations, diluted earnings per share from continuing operations for the third quarter of 2010 was \$0.53 compared to loss per share of \$2.04 for the third quarter of 2009.

**Discontinued Operations**

Since December 31, 2009, we have undertaken several separate initiatives to divest practices within the Financial Consulting segment in order to enable us to devote more of our energy and financial resources to the remaining businesses of the Company where we have a more substantial market presence. On September 30, 2010, we completed a sale of a portion of the D&I practice and wound down the remaining practice operations as of that same date. Additionally, during the third quarter of 2010 we exited the Utilities practice. In December 2009, our Board approved a plan to divest the businesses that included the international operations of our Japan office and the Galt strategy business, which we acquired in April 2006. We exited Galt with the December 31, 2009 sale of the business back to its three original principals. We exited Japan effective June 30, 2010 via a wind down of the business after discussions with a prospective buyer during the second quarter of 2010 ended without a sale of the operations. As a result of these actions, the operating results of D&I, Utilities, Japan, and Galt are reported as discontinued operations. Net loss from discontinued operations was \$3.6 million in the third quarter of 2010, compared to net loss from discontinued operations of \$22.6 million in the third quarter of 2009. See note 5. Discontinued Operations under Part I Item 1. Consolidated Financial Statements of this Quarterly Report for further information about our discontinued operations.

**Segment Results****Health and Education Consulting****Revenues**

Health and Education Consulting segment revenues decreased \$10.6 million, or 10.7%, to \$89.1 million for the third quarter of 2010 from \$99.7 million for the third quarter of 2009. Revenues from time-and-expense engagements, fixed-fee engagements, performance-based engagements and software support and maintenance arrangements represented 19.4%, 60.2%, 17.5% and 2.9% of this segment's revenues during the three months ended September 30, 2010, respectively, compared to 20.5%, 49.9%, 27.3% and 2.3%, respectively, for the comparable period in 2009. Of the overall \$10.6 million decrease in revenues, \$15.3 million was attributable to our full-time billable consultants, partially offset by an increase of \$4.7 million attributable to our full-time equivalents. The \$15.3 million decrease in full-time billable consultant revenues reflected a decrease in the demand for our services, combined with a decrease in discretionary spending by our clients as well as delayed decisions by clients on new client engagements. Performance-based revenues recognized in the period upon meeting performance criteria represented \$11.7 million of the decrease. Performance-based fee engagements may cause significant variations in quarterly revenues and operating results due to the timing of achieving the performance-based criteria. The Health and Education Consulting segment experienced a decrease in the average number of consultants, as well as a decrease in the average billing rate per hour. The utilization rate in this segment increased primarily due to the decrease in the average number of consultants.

**Operating Income**

Health and Education Consulting segment operating income decreased \$6.7 million, or 17.3%, to \$32.0 million in the three months ended September 30, 2010 from \$38.7 million in the three months ended September 30, 2009. The Health and Education Consulting segment operating margin, defined as segment operating income expressed as a percentage of segment revenues, decreased to 35.9% for the third quarter of 2010 from 38.8% in the same period last

year. The decrease in this segment's operating margin was principally attributable to increased cash compensation and share-based compensation as a percentage of revenues. These operating margin decreases were partially offset by a decrease in non-cash compensation expense and restructuring charge expense as a percentage of revenues. Non-cash compensation

**Table of Contents**

expense, representing Shareholder Payments and Employee Payments as described above under Restatement of Previously-Issued Financial Statements, for the Health and Education Consulting segment totaled \$0.7 million in the third quarter of 2009 compared to zero in the third quarter of 2010 and reduced this segment's operating margin by 73 basis points in the third quarter of 2009.

**Legal Consulting****Revenues**

Legal Consulting segment revenues increased \$8.6 million, or 29.2%, to \$37.9 million for the third quarter of 2010 from \$29.3 million for the third quarter of 2009. Revenues from time-and-expense engagements and fixed-fee engagements represented 96.4% and 3.6% of this segment's revenues during the three months ended September 30, 2010, respectively, compared to 89.7% and 10.3%, respectively, for the comparable period in 2009.

Of the overall \$8.6 million increase in revenues in the Legal Consulting segment, \$8.0 million was attributable to our full-time equivalents and \$0.6 million was attributable to our full-time billable consultants. The \$8.0 million increase in full-time equivalent revenues primarily reflected an increase in demand for our document review services. The \$0.6 million increase in full-time billable consultant revenues reflected an increase in the demand for our operational consulting services. The average billing rate, the utilization rate, and revenue per billable consultant increased for this segment.

**Operating Income**

Legal Consulting segment operating income increased \$6.3 million, or 118.2%, to \$11.7 million in the three months ended September 30, 2010 from \$5.4 million in the three months ended September 30, 2009. The increased operating income in this segment is primarily related to the increase in revenues coupled with decreases in restructuring charges and promotion and marketing expenses, partially offset by an increase in overall compensation costs and general administration expenses. Segment operating margin increased to 30.9% for the third quarter of 2010 from 18.3% in the same period last year. The increase in this segment's operating margin was primarily attributable to lower total compensation cost as a percentage of revenues as well as lower promotion and marketing as a percentage of revenues, partially offset by increased general administration as a percentage of revenues.

**Financial Consulting****Revenues**

Financial Consulting segment revenues decreased \$1.5 million, or 7.4%, to \$18.5 million for the third quarter of 2010 from \$20.0 million for the third quarter of 2009. Revenues from time-and-expense engagements, fixed-fee engagements and performance-based engagements represented 74.4%, 22.5% and 3.1% of this segment's revenues during the third quarter of 2010, respectively. For the third quarter of 2009, time-and-expense engagements, fixed-fee engagements and performance-based engagements represented 94.4%, 0.8% and 4.8%, respectively.

Of the overall \$1.5 million decrease in revenues, \$2.6 million was attributable to our full-time billable consultants, which was partially offset by a \$1.1 million increase attributable to our full-time equivalents. The \$2.6 million decrease in full-time billable consultant revenues was primarily due to a decrease in demand for our consulting services. The \$1.1 million increase in full-time equivalent revenues resulted from an increase in demand for our variable, on-demand consultants.

**Operating Income**

Financial Consulting segment operating income increased \$1.4 million, or 30.8%, to \$5.8 million in the three months ended September 30, 2010 compared to \$4.4 million in the three months ended September 30, 2009. Segment operating margin increased to 31.2% for the third quarter of 2010 from 22.1% in the same period last year. The increase in this segment's operating margin was attributable to decreases in cash and non-cash compensation expense and promotion and marketing expenses. These operating margin increases were partially offset by increased share-based compensation expense coupled with increased support salaries and general administration costs. Non-cash compensation expense of \$0.3 million, which primarily represents Shareholder Payments as described above under

Restatement of Previously-Issued Financial Statements, reduced this segment's operating margin by 135 basis points in the third quarter of 2009.

**Table of Contents****Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010 Compared to Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009****Revenues**

Revenues decreased \$8.8 million, or 2.1%, to \$408.8 million for the first nine months of 2010 from \$417.6 million for the first nine months of 2009.

Of the overall \$8.8 million decrease in revenues, \$53.6 million was attributable to our full-time billable consultants, partially offset by a \$44.8 million increase attributable to our full-time equivalents. The \$53.6 million decrease in full-time billable consultant revenues was primarily attributable to a decrease in the demand for our services coupled with a continued weakened economy that has resulted in a decrease in discretionary spending by our clients as well as delayed decisions by clients on new client engagements. Our average billing rate and utilization decreased in the first nine months of 2010 compared to same period in the prior year. The \$44.8 million increase in full-time equivalent revenues primarily resulted from increased demand for our variable, on-demand consultants in each of our segments.

**Total Direct Costs**

Our direct costs decreased \$3.5 million, or 1.4%, to \$257.9 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2010 from \$261.4 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2009. The decrease was primarily related to a decrease of \$11.3 million in salaries, bonus and benefit costs and a decrease of \$7.5 million in non-cash compensation in the first nine months of 2010 compared to the first nine months of 2009. We recorded non-cash compensation expense of \$7.5 million during the first nine months of 2009, representing Shareholder Payments and Employee Payments as described above under Restatement of Previously-Issued Financial Statements. These decreases were partially offset by a \$10.3 million increase in direct costs attributable to an increased usage of independent contractors, primarily within our Legal Consulting segment, coupled with a \$2.9 million increase in technology expenses and a \$3.0 million increase in share-based compensation expense associated with our revenue-generating professionals compared to the same period in the prior year. Share-based compensation increased \$3.0 million to \$10.0 million in the first nine months of 2010 compared to \$7.0 million in the first nine months of 2009, resulting from the granting of share-based payment awards to key employees in the fourth quarter of 2009 and in the first nine months of 2010.

Total direct costs for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 included \$2.7 million of intangible assets amortization expense, primarily representing customer-related assets and software acquired in connection with the Stockamp acquisition. This was a decrease of \$1.1 million compared to the same period in the prior year.

**Operating Expenses**

Selling, general and administrative expenses decreased \$4.1 million, or 4.7%, to \$84.8 million in the first nine months of 2010 from \$88.9 million in the first nine months of 2009. We experienced net overall reductions in general and administrative expenses in the first nine months of 2010 compared to the same period in the prior year, primarily related to decreases in promotion and marketing, facilities costs and training. Share-based compensation expense associated with our non-revenue-generating professionals increased \$0.2 million from \$4.5 million in the first nine months of 2009 to \$4.7 million in the first nine months of 2010, primarily related to the granting of restricted stock and option awards to certain key employees in the 2010.

Restructuring charge expense in the nine months ended September 30, 2010 was \$1.5 million. During the second quarter of 2010, we consolidated two of our offices into one existing location and recorded a restructuring charge of \$1.2 million related to the exit of the excess office space. The \$1.2 million charge is primarily comprised of the discounted future cash flows of rent expenses we are obligated to pay under the lease agreement. There is no sublease income assumed in the restructuring charge due to the short term nature of the remaining lease term. In the third quarter of 2010, we incurred \$0.3 million in restructuring charge expenses related to the exit of additional excess office space and a charge for severance for certain corporate personnel related to the exit of the Disputes & Investigations practice discussed above. Restructuring expense for the comparable period in the prior year was \$1.9 million.

Expenses incurred in connection with our restatement, discussed above under Restatement of Previously-Issued Financial Statements, totaled \$4.2 million in the first nine months of 2010 compared to \$13.4 million in the first nine months of 2009. In the first nine months of 2010, restatement related expenses were primarily comprised of legal fees. In the comparable period in the prior year, restatement related expenses were primarily comprised of legal and accounting fees, as well as the settlement costs of an indemnification claim arising in connection with a representation

and warranty in a purchase agreement for a previous acquisition.

**Table of Contents**

In the second quarter of 2010, we settled an ongoing litigation matter which resulted in a litigation settlement charge of \$4.8 million.

Depreciation expense decreased \$1.6 million, or 12.7%, to \$11.2 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2010 from \$12.8 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2009 primarily due to a decrease in capital expenditures coupled with a decrease in the number of employees. Non-direct intangible assets amortization expense decreased \$1.0 million, or 23.8%, to \$2.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 from \$3.9 million for the comparable period last year. Non-direct intangible assets amortization relates to customer relationships, non-competition agreements and tradenames acquired in connection with our acquisitions.

We engaged in an impairment analysis with respect to the carrying value of our goodwill in connection with the preparation of our financial statements for the quarter ended September 30, 2009 and recorded a \$67.0 million non-cash pretax charge for the impairment of goodwill related to continuing operations. The impairment charge was non-cash in nature and did not affect the Company's liquidity.

In the first nine months of 2009, we recognized a gain of \$2.7 million related to the release of employee non-solicitation agreements and settlement of other contractual obligations, compared to zero in the comparable period of 2010.

**Operating Income**

Operating income increased \$70.8 million to \$41.6 million in the first nine months of 2010 from an operating loss of \$29.2 million in the first nine months of 2009. Operating margin, which is defined as operating income expressed as a percentage of revenues, increased to 10.2% in the nine months ended September 30, 2010 compared to (7.0)% in the nine months ended September 30, 2009. The increase in operating margin was primarily attributable to the goodwill impairment charge recognized in the third quarter of 2009, combined with decreases in non-cash compensation expense, restatement related expenses and depreciation and amortization in the first nine months 2010. These increases in operating margin were partially offset by an increase in payroll costs and share-based compensation directly associated with revenue-generating personnel, the litigation settlement charge in the second quarter of 2010, the gain resulting from the settlement of a contractual obligation in the second quarter of 2009, and an increase in the use of independent contractors primarily within our Legal Consulting segment.

**Other Expense**

Other expense increased \$2.7 million, or 34.3%, to \$10.5 million in the first nine months of 2010 from \$7.8 million in the first nine months of 2009. The \$2.7 million increase was primarily due to a \$1.5 million increase in interest expense resulting from an increase in our level of borrowings combined with an increase in interest rates, as well as a decrease in the market value of our investments that are used to fund our deferred compensation liability of \$1.1 million. This loss was offset by a decrease in direct costs as our corresponding deferred compensation liability decreased.

**Income Tax Expense**

For the first nine months of 2010, we recognized income tax expense of \$13.9 million on income from continuing operations of \$31.1 million. For the first nine months of 2009, we recognized an income tax benefit of \$7.0 million on loss from continuing operations of \$37.0 million, primarily attributable to the goodwill impairment charge of \$67.0 million in the third quarter of 2009. Our effective tax rate was 44.5% for the nine months ended 2010 compared to 18.8% in the same period last year. The tax benefit for the 2009 period was relatively low due primarily to the non-cash compensation expense recorded in 2009, which was not tax deductible because the Shareholder Payments and Employee Payments resulting in the non-cash compensation expense were not made by us.

**Net Income from Continuing Operations**

Net income from continuing operations was \$17.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 compared to net loss from continuing operations of \$30.0 million for the same period last year. The \$47.2 million increase in net income from continuing operations was primarily due to the \$67.0 million goodwill impairment charge recognized in the third quarter of 2009, combined with decreases in restatement related expenses of \$9.2 million, non-cash compensation expense of \$7.5 million, depreciation and amortization of \$3.7 million, and restructuring charges of \$0.5 million in the first nine months of 2010. These increases in net income were partially offset by an \$8.8 million decrease in revenue, an increase in payroll costs and share-based compensation directly associated with

revenue-generating personnel of \$5.1 million, the litigation settlement charge in the second quarter of 2010 of \$4.8 million, and the \$2.7 million gain resulting from the settlement of a contractual obligation in the second quarter of 2009. Non-cash compensation expense of \$7.5 million in the

**Table of Contents**

first nine months of 2009 represents Shareholder Payments and Employee Payments as described above under Restatement of Previously-Issued Financial Statements. Additionally, decreases in selling, general and administrative expense contributed to the variance. As a result of the increase in net income from continuing operations, diluted earnings per share from continuing operations for the first nine months of 2010 was \$0.83 compared to loss per share of \$1.50 for the first nine months of 2009.

**Discontinued Operations**

Net loss from discontinued operations was \$4.9 million in the first nine months of 2010, compared to net loss from discontinued operations of \$17.3 million in the first nine months of 2009. See note 5. Discontinued Operations under Part I Item 1. Consolidated Financial Statements of this Quarterly Report for further information about our discontinued operations.

**Segment Results****Health and Education Consulting****Revenues**

Health and Education Consulting segment revenues decreased \$33.5 million, or 11.8%, to \$249.7 million for the first nine months of 2010 from \$283.2 million for the first nine months of 2009. Revenues from time-and-expense engagements, fixed-fee engagements, performance-based engagements and software support and maintenance arrangements represented 22.1%, 56.4%, 18.2% and 3.3% of this segment's revenues during the nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively, compared to 22.8%, 53.4%, 21.4% and 2.4%, respectively, for the comparable period in 2009.

Of the overall \$33.5 million decrease in revenues, \$45.0 million was attributable to our full-time billable consultants, partially offset by an increase of \$11.5 million attributable to our full-time equivalents. The \$45.0 million decrease in full-time billable consultant revenues reflected a decrease in the demand for our services, combined with a decrease in discretionary spending by our clients as well as delayed decisions by clients on new client engagements. The Health and Education Consulting segment experienced a decrease in the average number of consultants, as well as a decrease in the average billing rate per hour and the utilization rate. Performance-based revenues recognized in the period upon meeting performance criteria represented \$14.8 million of the decrease. Performance-based fee engagements may cause significant variations in quarterly revenues and operating results due to the timing of achieving the performance-based criteria.

**Operating Income**

Health and Education Consulting segment operating income decreased \$24.8 million, or 23.3%, to \$81.9 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2010 from \$106.7 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2009. The Health and Education Consulting segment operating margin, defined as segment operating income expressed as a percentage of segment revenues, decreased to 32.8% for the first nine months of 2010 from 37.7% in the same period last year. The decrease in this segment's operating margin was attributable to an overall decrease in revenue, discussed above, that was not accompanied by a corresponding decrease in direct costs and general administrative operating expenses as a percentage of revenues. Non-cash compensation expense, representing Shareholder Payments and Employee Payments as described above under Restatement of Previously-Issued Financial Statements, for the Health and Education Consulting segment totaled \$5.6 million in the first nine months of 2009 compared to zero in the first nine months of 2010 and reduced this segment's operating margin by 197 basis points in the first nine months of 2009.

**Legal Consulting****Revenues**

Legal Consulting segment revenues increased \$21.5 million, or 25.8%, to \$104.9 million for the first nine months of 2010 from \$83.4 million for the first nine months of 2009. Revenues from time-and-expense engagements, fixed-fee engagements and performance-based engagements represented 93.4%, 6.6% and 0.0% of this segment's revenues during the nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively, compared to 89.3%, 10.6% and 0.1%, respectively, for the comparable period in 2009.

Of the overall \$21.5 million increase in revenues, \$25.7 million was attributable to our full-time equivalents, which was partially offset by a \$4.2 million decrease attributable to our full-time billable consultants. The \$25.7 million increase in full-time equivalent revenues reflected an increase in demand for our document review services. The



\$4.2 million decrease in full-time billable consultant revenues reflected a decrease in the demand for our operational consulting services coupled with a decrease in the number of full-time billable consultants.

**Table of Contents****Operating Income**

Legal Consulting segment operating income increased \$12.1 million, or 74.2%, to \$28.4 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2010 from \$16.3 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2009. Segment operating margin increased to 27.1% for the first nine months of 2010 from 19.6% in the same period last year. The increase in this segment's operating margin was attributable to lower total compensation cost, promotion and marketing and support salaries as a percentage of revenues, partially offset by increased general administration and stock compensation increases as a percentage of revenues.

**Financial Consulting****Revenues**

Financial Consulting segment revenues increased \$3.3 million, or 6.3%, to \$54.2 million for the first nine months of 2010 from \$50.9 million for the first nine months of 2009. Revenues from time-and-expense engagements, fixed-fee engagements and performance-based engagements represented 88.9%, 10.0% and 1.1% of this segment's revenues during the first nine months of 2010, respectively. For the first nine months of 2009, time-and-expense engagements, fixed-fee engagements represented 97.5%, 0.6% and 1.9%, respectively.

Of the overall \$3.3 million increase in revenues, \$7.6 million was attributable to our full-time equivalents, which was partially offset by a \$4.4 million decrease attributable to our full-time billable consultants. The \$7.6 million increase in full-time equivalent revenues resulted from an increase in demand for our variable, on-demand consultants. The \$4.4 million decrease in full-time billable consultant revenues was primarily due to a decrease in demand for our consulting services.

**Operating Income**

Financial Consulting segment operating income increased \$5.3 million, or 52.5%, to \$15.3 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2010 compared to \$10.0 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2009. Segment operating margin increased to 28.2% for the first nine months of 2010 from 19.6% in the same period last year. The increase in this segment's operating margin was attributable to decreases in cash compensation and non-cash compensation expense and promotion and marketing expenses, partially offset by higher share-based compensation expense, support salaries and general administrative expense as a percentage of revenue. Non-cash compensation expense of \$1.9 million, which primarily represents Shareholder Payments as described above under Restatement of Previously-Issued Financial Statements, reduced this segment's operating margin by 371 basis points in the first nine months of 2009.

**LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES**

Cash and cash equivalents increased \$0.2 million, from \$6.5 million at December 31, 2009 to \$6.7 million at September 30, 2010. Cash and cash equivalents included \$0.5 million and \$0.7 million of cash related to discontinued operations as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively. Our primary sources of liquidity are cash flows from operations and debt capacity available under our credit facility.

Cash flows provided by operating activities totaled \$10.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, compared to cash provided by operating activities of \$47.8 million for the same period last year. Our operating assets and liabilities consist primarily of receivables from billed and unbilled services, accounts payable and accrued expenses, and accrued payroll and related benefits. The volume of services rendered and the related billings and timing of collections on those billings, as well as payments of our accounts payable affect these account balances. The decrease in cash provided by operations was primarily attributable to the increased payment of 2009 performance bonuses during the first quarter of 2010 as compared to the same period last year coupled with an increase in accounts payable payments compared to the prior period, primarily related to the timing of when payments were made. These increased payments were partially offset by an increase in cash provided by operating activities primarily due to the decrease in the current income tax receivable resulting from the receipt of a federal income tax refund in the second quarter of 2010.

Cash used in investing activities was \$61.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and \$60.8 million for the same period last year. The use of cash in both periods primarily consisted of payments for acquired businesses, which were primarily comprised of additional purchase consideration earned by the selling shareholders of businesses that we acquired, totaling \$65.2 million and \$48.4 million in the first nine months of 2010 and 2009, respectively. The

use of cash in the first nine months of 2010 and 2009 also included purchases of property and equipment. We estimate that the cash utilized for capital expenditures in 2010 will be approximately \$10.0 million, primarily for information technology related equipment and software. The use of cash in 2010 was partially offset by \$7.9 million of net proceeds provided by the December 2009

**Table of Contents**

sale of Galt and the September 2010 sale of a portion of the D&I practice, which are both reported as a discontinued operations and discussed above under the heading **Discontinued Operations** .

The Company's Credit Agreement consists of a \$180.0 million revolving credit facility ( **Revolver** ) and a \$220.0 million term loan facility ( **Term Loan** ). As discussed under note 8. **Borrowings** , the obligations under the Credit Agreement are secured pursuant to a Security Agreement and a pledge of 100% of the voting stock or other equity interests in our domestic subsidiaries and 65% of the voting stock or other equity interests in our foreign subsidiaries.

The borrowing capacity under the Credit Agreement is reduced by any outstanding letters of credit and payments under the Term Loan. At September 30, 2010, outstanding letters of credit totaled \$6.2 million and are primarily used as security deposits for our office facilities. As of September 30, 2010, the borrowing capacity under the Credit Agreement was \$74.8 million.

In the second quarter of 2010, we settled a pending litigation matter which resulted in a one-time charge of \$4.8 million. Additionally, we have been faced with higher than expected costs associated with the 2009 financial statement restatement and are currently disputing with our primary insurance carrier the scope and timing of coverage for a portion of the restatement related costs. As a result, we have recorded this part of the restatement related expenses in the period in which they have been incurred, without an offsetting receivable for insurance proceeds. As a result of these unanticipated charges, on June 30, 2010, we entered into a ninth amendment to the Credit Agreement (the **Credit Agreement** ) to amend the definition of Consolidated EBITDA by allowing for the add back of certain non-recurring items, specifically the St. Vincent Catholic Medical Center litigation settlement charge of up to \$5.0 million for the periods ending up to and including June 30, 2010, and allowing for the add back of charges resulting from the restatement of the Company's financial statements in 2009, net of insurance proceeds and other amounts recouped in connection therewith, for the periods ending up to and including December 31, 2011. The allowed amounts for the add back of the restatement charges include up to \$17.1 million in fiscal year 2009, up to \$10.0 million in fiscal year 2010 and up to \$3.0 million in fiscal year 2011.

Fees and interest on borrowings vary based on our total debt to EBITDA ratio as set forth in the Credit Agreement, as amended. As a result of the ninth amendment to the Credit Agreement, the LIBOR Margin, base rate margin, and letters of credit fee rate were amended such that interest is based on a spread of 3.50% over LIBOR or a spread of 2.50% over the base rate (which is the greater of the federal funds rate plus 0.50% or the prime rate), as selected by us. The letters of credit fee is 3.50%, while the non-use fee remains a flat 0.5%. These rates are applicable through the date of delivery of the compliance certificate for the period ended December 31, 2010. For periods subsequent to the December 31, 2010 annual compliance certificate date, the LIBOR Margin, base rate margin and letters of credit fee rate return to the applicable margin pricing in effect prior to the ninth amendment to the Credit Agreement. As such, interest is based on a spread, ranging from 2.25% to 3.25% over LIBOR or a spread, ranging from 1.25% to 2.25% over the base rate (which is the greater of the federal funds rate plus 0.50% or the prime rate), as selected by us. The letters of credit fee ranges from 2.25% to 3.25%, while the non-use fee is a flat 0.5%. The Term Loan is subject to amortization of principal in fifteen consecutive quarterly installments that began on September 30, 2008, with the first fourteen installments being \$5.5 million each. The fifteenth and final installment will be the amount of the remaining outstanding principal balance of the Term Loan and will be payable on February 23, 2012, but can be repaid earlier. All outstanding borrowings under the Revolver will be due upon expiration of the Credit Agreement on February 23, 2012.

Under the Credit Agreement, dividends are restricted to an amount up to 50% of consolidated net income (adjusted for non-cash share-based compensation expense) for such fiscal year, plus 50% of net cash proceeds during such fiscal year with respect to any issuance of capital securities. In addition, certain acquisitions and similar transactions need to be approved by the lenders.

The Credit Agreement includes quarterly financial covenants that require us to maintain a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio of 2.35 to 1.00 and a maximum leverage ratio of 3.00 to 1.00 as of September 30, 2009 and decreasing to 2.75:1.00 effective December 31, 2010, as those ratios are defined in the Credit Agreement, as well as a minimum net worth greater than zero. At September 30, 2010, we were in compliance with these financial covenants with a fixed charge coverage ratio of 2.58 to 1.00, a leverage ratio of 2.40 to 1.00, and net worth greater than zero.

During the first nine months of 2010, we made borrowings to pay bonuses and additional purchase consideration earned by selling shareholders of businesses that we acquired and that were accrued for at December 31, 2009. We also made borrowings to fund our daily operations. During the nine months ended September 30, 2010, the average daily outstanding balance under our credit facility was \$277.1 million. Borrowings outstanding under this credit facility at September 30, 2010 totaled \$269.5 million, all of which are classified as long-term on our consolidated balance sheet as the principal under the Revolver is not due until 2012 and we intend to fund scheduled quarterly payments under the Term Loan with

**Table of Contents**

availability under the Revolver. As of September 30, 2010, these borrowings carried a weighted-average interest rate of 4.4% including the effect of the interest rate swap described below in Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk, which interest rate increased compared to the first nine months of 2009. As a result of the ninth amendment to the Credit Agreement, the spread has been fixed through delivery of the annual compliance certificate for the period ended December 31, 2010, therefore the interest rate through the end of 2010 will be solely related to the changes in the underlying LIBOR and Prime interest rates. Borrowings outstanding at December 31, 2009 totaled \$219.0 million and carried a weighted-average interest rate of 4.0%. At both September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, we were in compliance with our debt covenants.

See Risk Factors in our 2009 Annual Report on Form 10-K for a discussion of certain risks and uncertainties related to the Credit Agreement.

**Future Needs**

Our primary financing need has been to fund our growth. Our growth strategy is to expand our service offerings, which will require investment in new hires, expansion into other geographic areas, acquisitions of complementary businesses, and capital expenditures for information technology, office space, furniture and fixtures, as well as leasehold improvements. In connection with our past business acquisitions, we are required under earn-out provisions to pay additional purchase consideration to the sellers if specific financial performance targets are met. We also have cash needs to service our credit facility and repay our term loan. Further, we have other cash commitments relating to other future contractual obligations. Because we expect that our future annual growth rate in revenues and related percentage increases in working capital balances will moderate, we believe our internally generated liquidity, together with the borrowing capacity available under our revolving credit facility and access to external capital resources, will be adequate to fund our long-term growth and capital needs arising from earn-out provisions, cash commitments and debt service obligations. Our ability to secure short-term and long-term financing in the future will depend on several factors, including our future profitability, the quality of our accounts receivable and unbilled services, our relative levels of debt and equity, and the overall condition of the credit markets, which declined significantly during 2008 and 2009.

**CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS**

For a summary of our commitments to make future payments under contractual obligations, see Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Contractual Obligations in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009. There have been no significant changes in our contractual obligations since December 31, 2009 except as described below:

In connection with certain business acquisitions, we are required to pay additional purchase consideration to the sellers if specific performance targets and conditions are met over a number of years as specified in the related purchase agreements. These amounts are calculated and payable at the end of each year based on full year financial results. There is no limitation to the maximum amount of additional purchase consideration and the aggregate amount that potentially may be paid could be significant. Additional purchase consideration earned by certain sellers in 2009 totaled \$66.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, of which \$3.9 million was paid in 2009 and \$62.3 million of which was paid in 2010. Additional purchase consideration earned by a certain seller in 2010 totaled \$6.0 million and resulted from the settlement of a future earn-out with the seller based on projected financial performance expectations. Of this settled amount, \$2.0 million was paid in 2010 and the remainder of which will be paid out semi-annually until July 1, 2012. Based on current and projected financial performance, we anticipate additional aggregate additional purchase consideration that will be earned by certain sellers in 2010 to be approximately \$20.0 million for the year ending December 31, 2010.

During the first nine months of 2010, our long-term borrowings increased from \$219.0 million as of December 31, 2009 to \$269.5 million as of September 30, 2010.

**OFF BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS**

Except for operating leases, we have not entered into any off-balance sheet arrangements.

**NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS**

In January 2010, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued additional authoritative guidance related to fair value measurements and disclosures. The guidance requires disclosure of details of significant transfers in and out

of Level 1 and Level 2 fair value measurements. The guidance also clarifies the existing disclosure requirements for the level of disaggregation of fair value measurements and the disclosures on inputs and valuation techniques. The company adopted these provisions effective January 1, 2010. The adoption did not have a significant impact on our consolidated financial

**Table of Contents**

statements. In addition, the guidance will also require the presentation of purchases, sales, issuances and settlements within Level 3 on a gross basis rather than a net basis. This additional guidance pertaining to Level 3 fair value measurements is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010, and for interim reporting periods within those fiscal years. The guidance will be effective for us beginning on January 1, 2011. We do not expect the application of this guidance to have a significant impact on our financial statements.

In October 2009, the FASB issued new guidance regarding revenue arrangements with multiple deliverables. This new guidance requires companies to allocate revenue in arrangements involving multiple deliverables based on the estimated selling price of each deliverable, even though such deliverables are not sold separately either by the company or by other vendors. This new guidance is effective prospectively for revenue arrangements entered into or materially modified in fiscal years beginning on or after June 15, 2010. This pronouncement will be effective for us beginning on January 1, 2011. We are currently evaluating the impact that the adoption of this pronouncement may have on our future financial position, results of operations, earnings per share, and cash flows.

In June 2009, the FASB issued authoritative guidance to improve financial reporting by enterprises involved with variable interest entities and to provide more relevant and reliable information to users of financial statements. This guidance requires an enterprise to perform an ongoing analysis to determine whether the enterprise has a controlling financial interest in a variable interest entity. We adopted this pronouncement effective January 1, 2010. The adoption of this pronouncement did not have any impact on our financial statements.

**SUBSEQUENT EVENTS**

On November 1, 2010, we acquired Click Commerce, Inc. ( Click ), a provider of software-based solutions and professional services to leading academic medical centers and research institutions. The purchase further enhances the Company's higher education and healthcare research technology solutions for clients in the business of research. The results of operations of Click will be included within the Health and Education Consulting segment beginning on November 1, 2010. The acquisition is not deemed significant to the Company's results of operations and financial condition and the terms of the agreement were not disclosed.

On November 4, 2010, we also acquired TRILANTIC International Limited ( TRILANTIC ), an e-discovery business providing technology solutions to clients in Europe and the Middle East. The purchase further enhances the Company's discovery offerings for clients globally. The results of operations of TRILANTIC will be included within the Legal Consulting segment beginning on November 4, 2010. The acquisition is not deemed significant to the Company's results of operations and financial condition and the terms of the agreement were not disclosed.

In response to our evolving business coupled with the continued review of our leased office space, we exited the San Francisco office space on November 1, 2010 due to the excess capacity at the space and the virtual nature of the employees in this geographic region. In conjunction with the exit of the excess office space, we expect to record a restructuring charge of approximately \$2.5 million in the fourth quarter of 2010, primarily comprised of the discounted future cash flows of rent expenses we are obligated to pay under the lease agreement, which are partially offset by estimated sublease income we calculated based on a sublease agreement executed in the fourth quarter of 2010.

**ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK**

We are exposed to market risks primarily from changes in interest rates and changes in the market value of our investments.

Our exposure to changes in interest rates is limited to borrowings under our bank credit facility, which has variable interest rates tied to the LIBOR, Federal Funds Rate or Prime Rate. At September 30, 2010, we had borrowings outstanding totaling \$269.5 million that carried a weighted-average interest rate of 4.4%. A hypothetical one percent change in this interest rate would have a \$2.7 million effect on our pre-tax income.

On March 20, 2009, we entered into an interest rate swap agreement for a notional amount of \$100.0 million effective on March 31, 2009 and ending on February 23, 2012. We entered into this interest rate swap to hedge against the risk of changes in future cash flows related to changes in interest rate on \$100.0 million of the total variable-rate borrowings outstanding under our credit facility. Under the terms of the agreement, we receive from the counterparty interest on the \$100.0 million notional amount based on one-month LIBOR and we pay to the counterparty a fixed rate of 1.715%. This swap effectively fixed our LIBOR-based rate for \$100.0 million of our debt beginning on March



31, 2009 and through February 23, 2012. Including the impact of the swap, the effective interest rate on \$100.0 million of our debt was 5.2% as of September 30, 2010. We expect this hedge to be effective.

40

---

**Table of Contents**

We have not entered into any other interest rate swaps, caps or collars or other hedging instruments as of September 30, 2010.

From time to time, we invest excess cash in marketable securities. These investments principally consist of overnight sweep accounts. Due to the short maturity of our investments, we have concluded that we do not have material market risk exposure.

**ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES**

*Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures*

Our management, with the participation of the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act)) as of September 30, 2010. Based on this evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that, as of September 30, 2010, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective in recording, processing, summarizing and reporting, on a timely basis, information required to be disclosed by us in the reports we file or submit under the Exchange Act and such information is accumulated and communicated to management as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

*Changes in Control over Financial Reporting*

There has been no change in our internal control over financial reporting (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) that occurred during the three months ended September 30, 2010 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

**PART II OTHER INFORMATION**

**ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS**

On July 3, 2007, The Official Committee (the Committee) of Unsecured Creditors of Saint Vincents Catholic Medical Centers of New York d/b/a Saint Vincent Catholic Medical Centers (St. Vincents), et al. filed suit against Huron Consulting Group Inc., certain of our subsidiaries, including Speltz & Weis LLC, and two of our former managing directors, David E. Speltz (Speltz) and Timothy C. Weis (Weis), in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, County of New York. On November 26, 2007, Gray & Associates, LLC (Gray), in its capacity as trustee on behalf of the SVCMC Litigation Trust, was substituted as plaintiff in the place of the Committee and on February 19, 2008, Gray filed an amended complaint in the action. Beginning in 2004, St. Vincents retained Speltz & Weis LLC to provide management services to St. Vincents, and its two principals, Speltz and Weis, were made the interim chief executive officer and chief financial officer, respectively, of St. Vincents. In May of 2005, we acquired Speltz & Weis LLC. On July 5, 2005, St. Vincents filed for bankruptcy in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (Bankruptcy Court). On December 14, 2005, the Bankruptcy Court approved the retention of Speltz & Weis LLC and us in various capacities, including interim management, revenue cycle management and strategic sourcing services. The amended complaint filed by Gray alleges, among other things, breach of fiduciary duties, breach of the New York Not-For-Profit Corporation Law, malpractice, breach of contract, tortious interference with contract, aiding and abetting breaches of fiduciary duties, certain fraudulent transfers and fraudulent conveyances, breach of the implied duty of good faith and fair dealing, fraud, aiding and abetting fraud, negligent misrepresentation, and civil conspiracy, and sought at least \$200 million in damages, disgorgement of fees, return of funds or other property transferred to Speltz & Weis LLC, attorneys' fees, and unspecified punitive and other damages. In the second quarter of 2010, we reached a settlement which resulted in a litigation settlement charge of approximately \$4.8 million in the second quarter.

In August, 2009, the SEC commenced an investigation with respect to the restatement and an investigation into the allocation of time within a certain practice group. We also conducted a separate inquiry, in response to the initial inquiry from the SEC, into the allocation of time within a certain practice group. This matter had no impact on billings to our clients, but could have impacted the timing of when revenue was recognized. Based on our internal inquiry, which is complete, we have concluded that an adjustment to our historical financial statements is not required with respect to this matter. The SEC investigations with respect to the restatement and the allocation of time within a certain practice group are ongoing. We are cooperating fully with the SEC in its investigations. As often happens in these circumstances, the USAO for the Northern District of Illinois has contacted our counsel. The USAO made a

telephonic request for copies of certain documents that we previously provided to the SEC, which we have voluntarily provided to the USAO.

In addition, the following purported shareholder class action complaints have been filed in connection with our restatement in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois: (1) a complaint in the matter of Jason Hughes v.

**Table of Contents**

Huron Consulting Group Inc., Gary E. Holdren and Gary L. Burge, filed on August 4, 2009; (2) a complaint in the matter of Dorothy DeAngelis v. Huron Consulting Group Inc., Gary E. Holdren, Gary L. Burge, Wayne Lipski and PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, filed on August 5, 2009; (3) a complaint in the matter of Noel M. Parsons v. Huron Consulting Group Inc., Gary E. Holdren, Gary L. Burge, Wayne Lipski and PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, filed on August 5, 2009; (4) a complaint in the matter of Adam Liebman v. Huron Consulting Group Inc., Gary E. Holdren, Gary L. Burge and Wayne Lipski, filed on August 5, 2009; (5) a complaint in the matter of Gerald Tobin v. Huron Consulting Group Inc., Gary E. Holdren, Gary L. Burge and PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, filed on August 7, 2009, (6) a complaint in the matter of Gary Austin v. Huron Consulting Group Inc., Gary E. Holdren, Gary L. Burge and Wayne Lipski, filed on August 7, 2009 and (7) a complaint in the matter of Thomas Fisher v. Huron Consulting Group Inc., Gary E. Holdren, Gary L. Burge, Wayne Lipski and PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, filed on September 3, 2009. On October 6, 2009, Plaintiff Thomas Fisher voluntarily dismissed his complaint. On November 16, 2009, the remaining suits were consolidated and the Public School Teachers Pension & Retirement Fund of Chicago, the Arkansas Public Employees Retirement System, the City of Boston Retirement Board, the Cambridge Retirement System and the Bristol County Retirement System were appointed Lead Plaintiffs. Lead Plaintiffs filed a consolidated complaint on January 29, 2010. The consolidated complaint asserts claims under Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and SEC Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder against Huron Consulting Group, Inc., Gary Holdren and Gary Burge and claims under Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act against Gary Holdren, Gary Burge and Wayne Lipski. The consolidated complaint contends that the Company and the individual defendants issued false and misleading statements regarding the Company's financial results and compliance with GAAP. Lead Plaintiffs request that the action be declared a class action, and seek unspecified damages, equitable and injunctive relief, and reimbursement for fees and expenses incurred in connection with the action, including attorneys' fees. On March 30, 2010, Huron, Gary Burge, Gary Holdren and Wayne Lipski jointly filed a motion to dismiss the consolidated complaint. On August 6, 2010, the Court denied the motion to dismiss. The Court entered a scheduling order in the matter on August 16, 2010, and the parties have commenced discovery.

The Company also has been named as a nominal defendant in two state derivative suits filed in connection with the Company's restatement, since consolidated in the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois, Chancery Division on September 21, 2009: (1) a complaint in the matter of Curtis Peters, derivatively on behalf of Huron Consulting Group Inc. v. Gary E. Holdren, Gary L. Burge, Wayne Lipski, each of the members of the Board of Directors and PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, filed on August 28, 2009 (the Peters suit) and (2) a complaint in the matter of Brian Hacias, derivatively on behalf of Huron Consulting Group Inc. v. Gary E. Holdren, Gary L. Burge and Wayne Lipski, filed on August 28, 2009 (the Hacias suit). The consolidated cases are captioned In Re Huron Consulting Group, Inc. Shareholder Derivative Litigation. On March 8, 2010, plaintiffs filed a consolidated complaint. The consolidated complaint asserts claims for breach of fiduciary duty, unjust enrichment, abuse of control, gross mismanagement and waste of corporate assets. The consolidated complaint also alleges claims for professional negligence and breach of contract against PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, the Company's independent auditors. Plaintiffs seek to recoup for the Company unspecified damages allegedly sustained by the Company resulting from the restatement and related matters, disgorgement and reimbursement for fees and expenses incurred in connection with the suits, including attorneys' fees. Huron filed a motion to dismiss plaintiffs' consolidated complaint on April 22, 2010. On October 25, 2010, the Court granted Huron's motion to dismiss and dismissed plaintiffs' consolidated complaint with prejudice. The Company has also been named as a nominal defendant in three Federal derivative suits filed in connection with the Company's restatement, since consolidated in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois on November 23, 2009: (1) a complaint in the matter of Oakland County Employees Retirement System, derivatively on behalf of Huron Consulting Group Inc. v. Gary E. Holdren, Gary L. Burge, Wayne Lipski and each of the members of the Board of Directors, filed on October 7, 2009 (the Oakland suit); (2) a complaint in the matter of Philip R. Wilmore, derivatively on behalf of Huron Consulting Group Inc. v. Gary E. Holdren, Gary L. Burge, Wayne Lipski, David M. Shade, and each of the members of the Board of Directors, filed on October 12, 2009 (the Wilmore suit); and (3) a complaint in the matter of Lawrence J. Goelz, derivatively on behalf of Huron Consulting Group Inc. v. Gary E. Holdren, Gary L. Burge, Wayne Lipski, David M. Shade, and each of the members of the Board of Directors, filed on October 12, 2009 (the Goelz suit). Oakland County Employees Retirement System, Philip R. Wilmore and

Lawrence J. Goelz have been named Lead Plaintiffs. Lead Plaintiffs filed a consolidated complaint on January 15, 2010. The consolidated complaint asserts claims under Section 14(a) of the Exchange Act and for breach of fiduciary duty, waste of corporate assets and unjust enrichment. Lead Plaintiffs seek to recoup for the Company unspecified damages allegedly sustained by the Company resulting from the restatement and related matters, restitution from all defendants and disgorgement of all profits, benefits or other compensation obtained by the defendants and reimbursement for fees and expenses incurred in connection with the suit, including attorneys' fees. On April 7, 2010, the Court denied Huron's motion to stay the Federal derivative suits. On April 8, 2010, Huron filed a motion to stay discovery proceedings in the derivative suits, pursuant to the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act, pending the resolution of Huron's motion to dismiss plaintiffs' consolidated complaint. The Court

**Table of Contents**

granted Huron's motion to stay discovery proceedings in the derivative suits on April 12, 2010. Huron filed a motion to dismiss plaintiffs' consolidated complaint on April 27, 2010. Huron's motion to dismiss was granted, judgment entered and the case closed on September 7, 2010. On October 5, 2010, plaintiffs moved for relief from judgment and for leave to file a first amended complaint. The Court granted plaintiffs' motion on October 12, 2010, and plaintiffs filed their amended complaint that same day. Defendants' motion to dismiss the amended complaint is due to be filed on November 5, 2010.

Given the uncertain nature of the SEC investigations with respect to the restatement and the allocation of time within a certain practice group, the USAO's request for certain documents and the purported private shareholder class action lawsuit and derivative lawsuits in respect of the restatement (collectively, the restatement matters), and the uncertainties related to the incurrence and amount of loss, including with respect to the imposition of fines, penalties, damages, administrative remedies and liabilities for additional amounts, with respect to the restatement matters, we are unable to predict the ultimate outcome of the restatement matters, determine whether a liability has been incurred or make a reasonable estimate of the liability that could result from an unfavorable outcome in the restatement matters. Any such liability could be material.

On December 9, 2009, plaintiff, Associates Against Outlier Fraud, filed a First Amended *qui tam* complaint against Huron Consulting Group, Inc., and others under the federal and New York state False Claims Act (FCA) in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York. The federal and state FCA authorize private individuals (known as relators) to sue on behalf of the government (known as *qui tam* actions) alleging that false or fraudulent claims were knowingly submitted to the government. Once a *qui tam* action is filed, the government may elect to intervene in the action. If the government declines to intervene, the relator may proceed with the action. Under the federal and state FCA, the government may recover treble damages and civil penalties (civil penalties of up to \$11,000 per violation under the federal FCA and \$12,000 per violation under the state FCA). On January 6, 2010, the United States declined to intervene in the lawsuit. On February 2, 2010, Huron filed a motion to dismiss the relator's federal and state claims. On August 25, 2010, the Court granted Huron's motion to dismiss without prejudice. On September 29, 2010, relator filed a Second Amended Complaint alleging that Huron and others caused St. Vincent Catholic Medical Center to receive more than \$30 million in inflated outlier payments under the Medicare and Medicaid programs in violation of the federal and state FCA and also seeks to recover an unspecified amount of civil penalties. On October 19, 2010 Huron filed a motion to dismiss the Second Amended Complaint. Huron believes the lawsuit lacks merit and intends to contest the lawsuit vigorously in the event its motion to dismiss is not granted. From time to time, we are involved in legal proceedings and litigation arising in the ordinary course of business. As of the date of this quarterly report on Form 10-Q, we are not a party to or threatened with any other litigation or legal proceeding that, in the current opinion of management, could have a material adverse effect on our financial position or results of operations. However, due to the risks and uncertainties inherent in legal proceedings, actual results could differ from current expected results.

**ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS**

In addition to the risk factors described below, see Risk Factors in our 2009 annual report on Form 10-K for a complete description of the material risks we face.

**Additional hiring, departures, business acquisitions and dispositions could disrupt our operations, increase our costs or otherwise harm our business.**

Our business strategy is dependent in part upon our ability to grow by hiring individuals or groups of individuals and by acquiring complementary businesses. However, we may be unable to identify, hire, acquire or successfully integrate new employees and acquired businesses without substantial expense, delay or other operational or financial obstacles. As we grow, we will evaluate the total mix of services we provide and we may conclude that businesses may not achieve the promise we previously expected. For example, effective December 31, 2009, we disposed of our strategy business, in the second quarter of 2010 we wound down our Japan operations, and in the third quarter of 2010 we exited the D&I practice and utilities consulting practice. Competition for future hiring and acquisition opportunities in our markets could increase the compensation we offer to potential employees or the prices we pay for businesses we wish to acquire. In addition, we may be unable to achieve the financial, operational and other benefits we anticipate from any hiring or acquisition, as well as any disposition, including those we have completed so far.

New acquisitions could also negatively impact existing practices and cause current employees to depart. Hiring additional employees or acquiring businesses could also involve a number of additional risks, including:

- the diversion of management's time, attention and resources from managing and marketing our company;
- the failure to retain key acquired personnel or existing personnel who may view the acquisition unfavorably;

**Table of Contents**

potential impairment of existing relationships with our clients, such as client satisfaction or performance problems, whether as a result of integration or management difficulties or otherwise;

the need to compensate new employees while they wait for their restrictive covenants with other institutions to expire;

the creation of conflicts of interest that require us to decline or resign from engagements that we otherwise could have accepted;

the potential need to raise significant amounts of capital to finance a transaction or the potential issuance of equity securities that could be dilutive to our existing stockholders;

increased costs to improve, coordinate or integrate managerial, operational, financial and administrative systems;

the usage of earn-outs based on the future performance of our business acquisitions may deter the acquired company from fully integrating into our existing business;

a decision not to fully integrate an acquired business may lead to the perception of inequalities if different groups of employees are eligible for different benefits and incentives or are subject to different policies and programs;

difficulties in integrating diverse backgrounds and experiences of consultants, including if we experience a transition period for newly hired consultants that results in a temporary drop in our utilization rates or margins;

and

the adverse short-term effects on reported operating results from the amortization or write-off of acquired goodwill and other intangible assets.

Moreover, selling practices and shutting down operations present similar challenges in a service business. Divestitures not only require management's time, but they can impair existing relationships with clients or otherwise affect client satisfaction, particularly in situations where the divestiture eliminates only part of the compliment of consulting services provided to a client. If we fail to successfully address these risks, our ability to compete may be impaired.

**Our international expansion could result in additional risks.**

We operate both domestically and internationally, including in the Middle East, Europe and Asia. Although historically our international operations have been limited, we intend to continue to expand internationally. Such expansion may result in additional risks that are not present domestically and which could adversely affect our business or our results of operations, including:

compliance with additional U.S. regulations and those of other nations applicable to international operations;

cultural and language differences;

employment laws and rules and related social and cultural factors;

currency fluctuations between the U.S. dollar and foreign currencies, which is harder to predict in the current adverse global economic climate;

restrictions on the repatriation of earnings;

potentially adverse tax consequences;

different regulatory requirements and other barriers to conducting business;

different or less stable political and economic environments;

greater personal security risks for employees traveling to unstable locations; and

civil disturbances or other catastrophic events.

Further, conducting business abroad subjects us to increased regulatory compliance and oversight, in particular with respect to operations in the Middle East. A failure to comply with applicable regulations could result in substantial penalties assessed against the Company and our employees.

**Our substantial indebtedness and the current credit crisis could adversely affect our ability to raise additional capital to fund our operations and obligations, expose us to interest rate risk to the extent of our variable rate debt, and could adversely affect our financial results.**

At September 30, 2010, we had outstanding borrowings totaling \$269.5 million compared to \$219.0 million at December 31, 2009. Our substantial indebtedness could have meaningful consequences for us, including:

exposing us to the risk of increased interest rates because our borrowings are at variable interest rates;



**Table of Contents**

requiring us to dedicate a larger portion of our cash from operations to service our indebtedness and thus reducing the level of cash for other purposes such as funding working capital, strategic acquisitions, capital expenditures, and other general corporate purposes;  
 limiting our ability to obtain additional financing; and  
 increasing our vulnerability to general adverse economic, industry, and competitive developments.

Additionally, if one or more of the banks in our bank syndicate suffers liquidity issues or becomes insolvent stemming from the current credit crisis or otherwise and is unable to extend credit to us, we may experience negative consequences.

**ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS**

Our 2004 Omnibus Stock Plan permits the netting of common stock upon vesting of restricted stock awards to satisfy individual tax withholding requirements. During the quarter ended September 30, 2010, we re-acquired 4,099 shares of common stock with a weighted-average fair market value of \$19.41 as a result of such tax withholdings as presented in the table below.

<b>Period</b>	<b>Total Number of Shares Redeemed to Satisfy Employee Tax Withholding Requirements</b>	<b>Weighted- Average Fair Market Value Per Share Redeemed</b>	<b>Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs</b>	<b>Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs</b>
July 2010	4,099	\$ 19.41	N/A	N/A
August 2010		\$	N/A	N/A
September 2010		\$	N/A	N/A
Total	4,099	\$ 19.41	N/A	N/A

N/A Not applicable.

**ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES**

None.

**ITEM 4.**

[Removed and Reserved]

**ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION**

None.

**Table of Contents****ITEM 6. EXHIBITS**

(a) The following exhibits are filed as part of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

<b>Exhibit Number</b>	<b>Exhibit Description</b>	<b>Filed here- with</b>	<b>Incorporated by Reference</b>		
			<b>Form</b>	<b>Period Ending</b>	<b>Filing Exhibit Date</b>
3.1	Third Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Huron Consulting Group Inc.		10-K	12/31/04	3.1 2/16/05
3.2	Amended and Restated Bylaws of Huron Consulting Group Inc.		10-Q	6/30/09	3.1 8/17/09
4.1	Specimen Stock Certificate.		S-1 (File No. 333- 115434)		4.1 10/5/04
10.2	Ninth Amendment to Credit Agreement, dated as of June 30, 2010, by and among Huron Consulting Group Inc., the guarantors and lenders listed on the signature pages thereto, and Bank of America, N.A.		8-K		10.1 7/6/10
31.1	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer, pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.	X			
31.2	Certification of the Chief Financial Officer, pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.	X			
32.1	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.	X			
32.2	Certification of the Chief Financial Officer, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.	X			
101.INS*	XBRL Instance Document	X			
101.SCH*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document	X			

101.CAL*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document	X
101.LAB*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document	X
101.PRE*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document	X
101.DEF*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document	X

\* XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language) information is furnished and not filed or a part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, is deemed not filed for purposes of section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and otherwise is not subject to liability under these sections.

**Table of Contents**

**SIGNATURE**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Huron Consulting Group Inc.

(Registrant)

Date: November 4, 2010

/s/ James K. Rojas

James K. Rojas  
Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and  
Treasurer