

PGT, Inc.
Form 10-Q
November 14, 2006

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549
FORM 10-Q**

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2006

OR

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number 000-52059

PGT, Inc.

1070 Technology Drive

North Venice, FL 34275

Registrant's telephone number: **941-480-1600**

Delaware

20-0634715

State of Incorporation

IRS Employer Identification No.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Common Stock, \$0.01 par value 27,051,525 shares, as of November 10, 2006.

PGT, INC.
INDEX

**Page
Number**

Part I. Financial Information

<u>Item 1. Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u>	
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations Three months ended September 30, 2006 and October 1, 2005</u>	
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations Nine months ended September 30, 2006 and October 1, 2005</u>	
<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005</u>	
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows Nine months ended September 30, 2006 and October 1, 2005</u>	
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statement of Shareholders Equity</u>	
<u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	
<u>Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	
<u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	
<u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u>	

Part II. Other Information

Item 1. Legal Proceedings	
Item 1A. Risk Factors	
Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use Of Proceeds	
Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities	
Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	
Item 5. Other Information	
<u>Item 6. Exhibits</u>	
<u>EX-31.1: CERTIFICATION</u>	
<u>EX-31.2: CERTIFICATION</u>	
<u>EX-32.1: CERTIFICATION</u>	

Table of Contents**PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION****Item 1. Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements****PGT, INC.****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS***(in thousands, except share and per share amounts)*

Three months ended	September 30, 2006	October 1, 2005
	<i>(unaudited)</i>	
Net sales	\$ 98,324	\$ 87,089
Cost of sales	59,089	54,691
Gross margin	39,235	32,398
Stock compensation expense related to dividends paid (includes expenses related to cost of sales and selling, general and administrative expense of \$1,290, and \$5,315, respectively, in 2005)		6,605
Selling, general and administrative expenses	22,691	21,544
Income from operations	16,544	4,249
Other expense (income), net	439	(86)
Interest expense	7,791	4,022
Income before income tax expense	8,314	313
Income tax expense	3,240	104
Net income	\$ 5,074	\$ 209
Basic net income per common share	\$ 0.20	\$ 0.01
Diluted net income per common and common equivalent share	\$ 0.18	\$ 0.01
Weighted average common shares outstanding:		
Basic	25,920,001	15,720,351
Diluted	27,747,707	17,221,477

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

PGT, INC.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(in thousands, except share and per share amounts)

Nine months ended	September 30, 2006	October 1, 2005
	<i>(unaudited)</i>	
Net sales	\$ 303,369	\$ 244,669
Cost of sales	181,301	155,127
Gross margin	122,068	89,542
Stock compensation expense related to dividends paid (includes expenses related to cost of sales and selling, general and administrative expense of \$5,069, and \$21,829, respectively in 2006, and \$1,290 and \$5,315, respectively in 2005)	26,898	6,605
Selling, general and administrative expenses	68,355	59,517
Income from operations	26,815	23,420
Other income, net	(325)	(9)
Interest expense	25,432	10,368
Income before income taxes	1,708	13,061
Income tax expense	686	4,338
Net income	\$ 1,022	\$ 8,723
Basic net income per common share	\$ 0.05	\$ 0.55
Diluted net income per common and common equivalent share	\$ 0.05	\$ 0.51
Weighted average common shares outstanding:		
Basic	19,273,420	15,720,351
Diluted	21,207,499	17,221,477

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

PGT, INC.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(in thousands, except share amounts)

	September 30, 2006	December 31, 2005
	<i>(unaudited)</i>	
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 28,488	\$ 3,270
Accounts receivable, net	37,294	45,193
Inventories	13,649	13,981
Deferred income taxes	10,039	3,133
Other current assets	7,249	11,360
Total current assets	96,719	76,937
Property, plant and equipment, net	82,551	65,508
Goodwill	169,648	169,648
Other intangible assets, net	103,311	107,760
Other assets, net	3,083	5,700
Total assets	\$ 455,312	\$ 425,553
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$ 28,246	\$ 31,137
Total current liabilities	28,246	31,137
Long-term debt less current portion	165,488	183,525
Deferred income taxes	54,319	54,320
Total liabilities	248,053	268,982
Shareholders' equity:		
Preferred stock, \$.01 par value, 10,000,000 shares authorized; zero shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2006 and zero shares authorized, issued and outstanding at December 31, 2005		
Common stock, \$.01 par value, 200,000,000 shares authorized; 27,048,613 shares issued and 26,986,487 shares outstanding at September 30, 2006 and 15,749,483 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2005	269	157
Additional paid-in-capital	205,462	152,647
Retained earnings	1,022	
Accumulated other comprehensive income	506	3,767
Total shareholders' equity	207,259	156,571

Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$	455,312	\$	425,553
--------------------------------------------	----	---------	----	---------

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

PGT, INC.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(in thousands)

Nine months ended	September 30, 2006	October 1, 2005
	<i>(unaudited)</i>	
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net income	\$ 1,022	\$ 8,723
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation	7,131	5,361
Stock-based compensation	269	
Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation plans	(5,341)	
Amortization	4,349	6,015
Deferred Financing	7,107	927
Derivative financial instruments	(325)	(9)
Deferred income taxes	5,911	
Loss on disposal of assets	55	325
Change in operating assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	5,061	(19,904)
Inventories	332	(989)
Other current assets	(3,524)	3,053
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	(2,860)	8,894
Net cash provided by operating activities	19,187	12,396
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Purchases of property, plant and equipment	(24,234)	(11,322)
Proceeds from sales of equipment and intangibles	105	31
Net cash used in investing activities	(24,129)	(11,291)
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Net change in revolving line of credit		(2,000)
Net proceeds from initial public offering	129,574	
Exercise of stock options	1,226	
Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation plans	5,341	
Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt	320,000	190,000
Payment of dividends	(83,484)	(20,000)
Payment of financing costs	(4,459)	(904)
Payment of long-term debt	(338,038)	(166,375)
Net cash provided by financing activities	30,160	721

Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	25,218	1,826
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	3,270	2,525
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 28,488	\$ 4,351

Supplemental cash flow information:

Interest paid	\$ 19,681	\$ 10,187
Income taxes paid	\$ 1,242	\$ 7,949

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

PGT, INC.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY
(in thousands except share amounts)

	Common stock		Additional paid-in capital	Retained earnings	Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	Total
	Shares	Amount				
Balance at December 31, 2005	15,749,483	\$ 157	\$ 152,647	\$	\$ 3,767	\$ 156,571
Dividends paid			(83,484)			(83,484)
Initial public offering, net of offering costs	10,147,058	101	129,473			129,574
Stock-based compensation			269			269
Exercise of stock options, including tax benefit of \$5,342 associated with the exercise of stock options	1,089,946	11	6,557			6,568
Amortization of ineffective interest rate swap					(235)	(235)
Change in fair value of interest rate swap, net of tax benefit of \$70					(110)	(110)
Change in fair value of aluminum forward contracts, net of tax benefit of \$1,865					(2,916)	(2,916)
Net income				1,022		1,022
Balance at September 30, 2006	26,986,487	\$ 269	\$ 205,462	\$ 1,022	\$ 506	\$ 207,259

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

PGT, INC.
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(unaudited)

1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements include the accounts of PGT, Inc. and its wholly-owned subsidiary (the Company) after elimination of intercompany accounts and transactions. These statements have been prepared in accordance with the instructions to Form 10-Q and do not include all of the information and footnotes required by U.S. generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting only of normal recurring accruals) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. Operating results for the interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the remainder of the current year or for any future periods.

The condensed consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2005 has been derived from the audited consolidated financial statements at that date but does not include all of the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements.

For further information, refer to the consolidated financial statements and footnotes thereto included in the Company's registration statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-132365) declared effective by the SEC on June 27, 2006.

Stock Split

On June 5, 2006, our board of directors and our stockholders approved a 662.07889-for-1 stock split of our common stock and approved increasing the number of shares of common stock that the Company is authorized to issue to 200.0 million.

After the stock split, effective June 6, 2006, each holder of record held 662.07889 shares of common stock for every 1 share held immediately prior to the effective date. As a result of the stock split, the board of directors also exercised its discretion under the anti-dilution provisions of our 2004 Stock Incentive Plan to adjust the number of shares underlying stock options and the related exercise prices to reflect the change in the per share value and outstanding shares on the date of the stock split. The effect of fractional shares is not material.

Following the effective date of the stock split, the par value of the common stock remained at \$0.01 per share. As a result, we have increased the common stock in our consolidated balance sheets and statements of shareholders' equity included herein on a retroactive basis for all of our Company's periods presented, with a corresponding decrease to additional paid-in capital. All share and per share amounts and related disclosures have also been retroactively adjusted for all of our Company's periods presented to reflect the 662.07889-for-1 stock split.

New Accounting Pronouncements

In July 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued FASB Interpretation No. 48 (FIN 48), *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes*, an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109, *Accounting for Income Taxes*. FIN 48 clarifies the accounting for income taxes by prescribing the minimum recognition threshold a tax position is required to meet before being recognized in the financial statements. FIN 48 also provides guidance on de-recognition, measurement, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure and transition. In addition, FIN 48 clearly excludes income taxes from Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 5, *Accounting for Contingencies*. FIN 48 applies to all tax positions related to income taxes subject to Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 109, *Accounting for Income Taxes*, (FAS 109). FIN 48 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. Differences between the amounts recognized in the statements of financial position prior to the adoption of FIN 48 and the amounts reported after adoption are accounted for as a cumulative-effect adjustment recorded to the beginning balance of retained earnings. The cumulative effect adjustment would not apply to those items that would not have been recognized in earnings. We believe that the adoption of FIN 48 will not have a material impact on our Company's financial position or results of operations. In September 2006, the Securities and Exchange Commission staff issued Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 108, *Considering the Effects of Prior Year Misstatements when Quantifying Misstatements in Current Year Financial Statements* (SAB 108). SAB 108 was issued in order to eliminate the diversity of practice surrounding how public companies quantify financial statement misstatements.

Table of Contents

SAB 108 requires quantification of financial statement misstatements based on the effects of the misstatements on each of a company's financial statements and the related financial statement disclosures. The Company will initially apply the provisions of SAB 108 in connection with the preparation of its annual financial statements for the year ending December 30, 2006. The Company has considered the provisions of SAB 108 and does not expect the initial application of SAB 108 to affect its annual financial statements for the year ending December 30, 2006.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS 157, *Fair Value Measurements* (SFAS 157), which defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. The provisions of SFAS 157 are effective as of the beginning of the Company's 2008 fiscal year. The Company has considered the provisions of SFAS 157 and does not expect the application of SFAS 157 to have a material effect on its financial statements.

2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Fiscal Period

Each of our Company's fiscal quarters consist of 13 weeks.

Segment Information

Our Company operates in one operating segment: manufacturer and supplier of windows and doors.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Critical accounting estimates involved in applying our Company's accounting policies are those that require management to make assumptions about matters that are uncertain at the time the accounting estimate was made and those for which different estimates reasonably could have been used for the current period, or changes in the accounting estimate are reasonably likely to occur from period to period, and would have a material impact on the presentation of our Company's financial condition, changes in financial condition or results of operations. Actual results could materially differ from those estimates.

Revenue recognition

We recognize sales when all of the following criteria have been met: a valid customer order with a fixed price has been received; the product has been delivered and accepted by the customer; and collectibility is reasonably assured. All sales recognized are net of allowances for cash discounts and estimated returns, which are estimated using historical experience.

Warranty Expense

Our Company has warranty obligations with respect to most of our manufactured products. Warranty periods, which vary by product component, range from 1 to 10 years. However, the majority of the products sold have warranties on components which range from 1 to 3 years. The reserve for warranties is based on management's assessment of the cost per service call and the number of service calls expected to be incurred to satisfy warranty obligations on recorded net sales. The reserve is determined after assessing our Company's warranty history and specific identification of our estimated future warranty obligations. The following provides information with respect to our Company's warranty accrual:

Table of Contents

	Balance at Beginning of Period	Accruals for Warranties Issued During Period	Adjustments Made	Settlements Made	Balance at End of Period
			<i>(In thousands)</i>		
Three months ended Sept 30, 2006	\$4,732	1477	(223)	(1255)	\$4,731
Three months ended October 1, 2005	\$3,063	2094	266	(1050)	\$4,373
Nine months ended Sept 30, 2006	\$4,501	4552	(460)	(3862)	\$4,731
Nine months ended October 1, 2005	\$2,863	3670	638	(2798)	\$4,373

Inventories

Inventories consist principally of raw materials purchased for manufacturing of our products. Our Company has limited finished goods inventory since all products are custom, made-to-order products. Finished goods inventory costs include direct materials, direct labor, and overhead. All inventories are stated at the lower of cost (first-in, first-out method) or market value. The reserve for obsolescence is based on management's assessment of the amount of inventory that may become obsolete in the future and is determined based on our Company's history, specific identification method, and consideration of prevailing economic and industry conditions.

Inventories consist of the following:

	September 30, 2006	December 31, 2005
	<i>(in thousands)</i>	
Finished goods	\$ 2,116	\$ 1,867
Work in progress	919	467
Raw materials	11,642	12,460
Less reserve for obsolescence	(1,028)	(813)
	\$ 13,649	\$ 13,981

3. Shareholders' Equity**Initial Public Offering**

On June 27, 2006, the SEC declared our Company's registration statement on Form S-1 effective, and our Company completed an initial public offering (IPO) of 8,823,529 shares of its common stock at a price of \$14.00 per share. Our Company's common stock began trading on The Nasdaq National Market under the symbol "PGTI" on June 28, 2006. After underwriting discounts of approximately \$8.6 million and estimated transaction costs of approximately \$2.5 million, net proceeds received by the Company on July 3, 2006, were \$112.3 million. Our Company used net IPO proceeds, together with cash on hand, to repay \$137.0 of borrowings under our senior secured credit facilities. (See Note 7.)

Our Company granted the underwriters an option to purchase up to an additional 1,323,529 shares of common stock at the IPO price, which the underwriters exercised in full on July 27, 2006. After underwriting discounts of approximately \$1.3 million, aggregate net proceeds received by the Company on August 1, 2006 were \$17.2 million of which \$17.0 million was used to repay a portion of our outstanding debt.

Table of Contents

In conjunction with the IPO, our Company's stockholders approved an amendment and restatement of the Company's certificate of incorporation. The amended and restated certificate of incorporation provides that the Company is authorized to issue 200.0 million shares of common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, and 10.0 million shares of preferred stock, par value \$0.01 per share.

Special Cash Dividends

In February 2006, our Company paid a special cash dividend to our stockholders of \$83.5 million. In connection with the payment of this dividend, our Company also made a compensatory cash payment of \$26.9 million to stock option holders (including applicable payroll taxes of \$0.5 million) in-lieu of adjusting exercise prices, that was recorded as stock compensation expense in the accompanying condensed consolidated statement of operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2006.

In September 2005, our Company paid a special cash dividend to our stockholders of \$20.0 million. In connection with the payment of this dividend, our Company also made a compensatory cash payment of \$6.6 million to stock option holders (including applicable payroll taxes of \$0.2 million) in-lieu of adjusting exercise prices, that was recorded as stock compensation expense in the accompanying condensed consolidated statement of operations for the nine months ended October 1, 2005.

4. NET INCOME PER COMMON SHARE

Net income per common share (EPS) is calculated in accordance with SFAS No. 128, Earnings per Share, which requires the presentation of basic and diluted EPS. Basic EPS is computed using the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted EPS is computed using the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period, plus the dilutive effect of common stock equivalents.

The table below presents a reconciliation of weighted average common shares used in the calculation of basic and diluted EPS for our Company:

	For the Three Months Ended		For the Nine Months Ended	
	September 30, 2006	October 1, 2005	September 30, 2006	October 1, 2005
Weighted average common shares for basic EPS	25,920,001	15,720,351	19,273,420	15,720,351
Effect of dilutive stock options	1,827,706	1,501,126	1,934,079	1,501,126
Weighted average common and common equivalent shares for diluted EPS	27,747,707	17,221,477	21,207,499	17,221,477

5. STOCK COMPENSATION

On January 29, 2004, our Company adopted the JLL Window Holdings, Inc. 2004 Stock Incentive Plan (the 2004 Plan), whereby stock-based awards may be granted by the Board of Directors (the Board) to officers, key employees, consultants and advisers of our Company.

In conjunction with the acquisition of PGT Holding Company, our Company rolled over 2.9 million option shares belonging to option holders of the acquired entity. These options have a ten year term and are fully vested. Of these options, 1.1 million have an exercise price of \$0.38 per share, and 1.8 million have an exercise price of \$1.51 per share.

Also in conjunction with the acquisition, our Company granted 1.6 million option shares to key employees. These options have a ten-year life, fully vest after five years and have an accelerated vesting based on achievement of certain financial targets over three years, with an exercise price of \$8.64 per share. On July 5, 2005, and November 30, 2005, our Company granted 0.5 million and 0.2 million option shares, respectively. These options have a ten-year life, fully vest after five years, and have accelerated vesting based on certain financial targets over three years, with an exercise

price of \$8.64 and \$12.84 per share, respectively. There were 36,413 shares of restricted stock granted under the 2004 Plan during the first nine months of 2006. There are 137,094 shares available for grant under the 2004 Plan at September 30, 2006.

Table of Contents

On June 5, 2006, our Company adopted the 2006 Equity Incentive Plan (the 2006 Plan) whereby equity-based awards may be granted by the Board to eligible non-employee directors, selected officers and other employees, advisors and consultants of our Company. There were 172,138 options and 25,713 shares of restricted stock granted under the 2006 Plan during the first nine months of 2006. There are 2,802,149 shares available for grant under the 2006 Plan at September 30, 2006.

We adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123R, Share-Based Payment (SFAS 123R), on January 1, 2006. This statement is a fair-value approach for measuring stock-based compensation and requires us to recognize the cost of employee and non-employee directors services received in exchange for our Company s equity instruments. Under SFAS 123R, we are required to record compensation expense over an award s vesting period based on the award s fair value at the date of grant. We have adopted SFAS 123R on a prospective basis; accordingly, our financial statements for periods prior to January 1, 2006, do not include compensation cost calculated under the fair value method.

Prior to January 1, 2006, our Company applied Accounting Principles Board Opinion 25, Accounting for Stock issued to Employees (APB 25), and therefore recorded the intrinsic value of stock-based compensation as expense. Under APB 25, compensation cost was recorded only to the extent that the exercise price was less than the fair value of our Company s stock on the date of grant. No compensation expense was recognized in previous financial statements under APB 25. Additionally, our Company reported the pro forma impact of using a fair value based approach to valuing stock options under the Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123, Accounting for Stock Based Compensation (SFAS 123).

Stock options granted prior to our Company s initial public offering were valued using the minimum value method in the pro-forma disclosures required by SFAS 123. The minimum value method excludes volatility in the calculation of fair value of stock based compensation. In accordance with SFAS No. 123R, options that were valued using the minimum value method, for purposes of pro forma disclosure under SFAS 123, must be transitioned to SFAS 123R using the prospective method. As a result, these options will continue to be accounted for under the same accounting principles (recognition and measurement) originally applied to those awards in the income statement, which for our Company was APB 25. Accordingly, the adoption of SFAS 123R did not result in any compensation cost being recognized for these options. Additionally, pro forma information previously required under SFAS 123 and SFAS 148 will no longer be presented for these options.

The compensation cost that was charged against income for stock compensation plans was approximately \$269,000 for the first nine months of 2006. The total income tax benefit recognized in the condensed consolidated statement of operations for share-based compensation arrangements was approximately \$108,000 for the first nine months of 2006. The fair value of each stock option grant was estimated on the date of grant using a Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following weighted-average assumptions used for grants under the 2006 Plan in the first nine months of 2006: dividend yield of 0%, expected volatility of 34.5%, risk-free interest rate of 5.2%, and expected life of 7 years.

Table of Contents**Stock Options**

A summary of the status of our Company's stock options as of September 30, 2006, and the change during 2006 is presented below:

	Number of Shares Underlying Options (in thousands)	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Outstanding at December 31, 2005	4,982	\$ 4.43
Granted		\$
Exercised		\$
Cancelled	(44)	\$ 8.64
Outstanding at April 1, 2006	4,938	\$ 4.39
Granted	172	\$ 14.00
Exercised		\$
Cancelled		\$
Outstanding at July 1, 2006	5,110	\$ 4.72
Granted		\$
Exercised	(1,090)	\$ 1.13
Cancelled		\$
Outstanding at September 30, 2006	4,020	\$ 5.69

The following table summarizes information about employee stock options outstanding at September 30, 2006 (options are in thousands):

	Options Outstanding			Options Exercisable		
	Outstanding at September 30, 2006	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Remaining Contractual Life	Exercisable at September 30, 2006	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Remaining Contractual Life
Exercise Prices						
\$0.38	530	\$ 0.38	7.3 yrs.	530	\$0.38	7.3 yrs.
\$1.51	1,287	1.51	7.3 yrs.	1,287	1.51	7.3 yrs.
\$8.64	1,847	8.64	7.7 yrs.	640	8.64	7.5 yrs.
\$12.84	184	12.84	9.2 yrs.			
\$14.00	172	\$14.00	9.7 yrs.		\$	

The weighted-average fair value of options granted under the 2006 Plan during the first nine months of 2006 was \$6.61. The aggregate intrinsic value of options outstanding and of options exercisable as of September 30, 2006 was \$33.6 million and \$26.9 million, respectively. For the three month period ended September 30, 2006, we received \$1.2 million in proceeds from the exercise of 1,089,947 stock options for which the tax benefit realized was \$5.3 million and the aggregate intrinsic value was \$14.1 million as of September 30, 2006.

As of September 30, 2006, there was \$0.9 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested stock option compensation arrangements granted under our Company's 2006 Plan. That cost is expected to be recognized in

earnings straight-line over a weighted-average period of 3 years from the date of grant.

Non-Vested Restricted Share Awards

On June 27, 2006, our Company granted restricted stock to three employees and three directors. The directors' awards vest in equal annual installments over three years and the employees' awards fully vest in three years, each assuming continued service to the Company. The fair market value of the award at the time of the grant is amortized as expense over the period of vesting. Recipients of restricted shares possess all incidents of ownership of such restricted shares, including the right to receive dividends with respect to such shares and the right to vote such shares. The fair value of restricted share awards is determined based on the market value of our

Table of Contents

Company's shares on the grant date. During the nine months ended September 30, 2006, our Company granted 62,126 share awards (of which 25,713 shares were granted to non-employee directors) at a weighted average fair value of \$14.00 on the grant date.

A summary of the status of the our Company's restricted shares as of September 30, 2006 and changes during the nine months then ended are presented below:

	Shares (in thousands)	Weighted Average Grant-Date Fair Value
Nonvested Restricted Share Awards		
Nonvested at December 31, 2005		\$
Granted	62	14.00
Vested		
Forfeited		
Nonvested at September 30, 2006	62	\$ 14.00

As of September 30, 2006, there was \$0.8 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested restricted share awards. That cost is expected to be recognized in earnings straight-line over a weighted average period of 3 years from the date of grant.

No stock appreciation rights were outstanding as of September 30, 2006.

6. GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS

Goodwill and other intangible assets are as follows:

	September 30, 2006	December 31, 2005	Useful Life in Years
	<i>(In thousands)</i>		
Unamortized intangible assets:			
Goodwill	\$ 169,648	\$ 169,648	indefinite
Trademarks	\$ 62,500	\$ 62,600	indefinite
Amortized intangible assets, gross			
Customer relationships	55,700	55,700	10
Supplier agreements	2,300	2,300	1-2
Noncompete agreements	4,469	4,469	2
Total amortized intangible assets, gross	62,469	62,469	
Accumulated Amortization:			
Customer relationships	(14,889)	(10,712)	
Supplier agreements	(2,300)	(2,300)	

Noncompete agreements	(4,469)	(4,297)
Total Accumulated Amortization	(21,658)	(17,309)
Other intangible assets, net	\$ 103,311	\$ 107,760

7. LONG-TERM DEBT

On September 19, 2005, our Company amended and restated its prior credit agreement with a bank. In connection with the amendment, our Company created a new tranche of term loans with an aggregate principal amount of \$190.0 million. The proceeds were used to refinance the existing Tranche A and B debt, fund a \$20 million dividend to our stockholders, and pay certain financing costs related to the amendment. These term loans were paid off with the proceeds from the debt entered into on February 14, 2006, as further discussed below.

Table of Contents

On February 14, 2006, our Company entered into a second amended and restated \$235 million senior secured credit facility and a \$115 million second lien term loan due August 14, 2012, with a syndicate of banks. The senior secured credit facility is composed of a \$30 million revolving credit facility and a \$205 million first lien term loan due in quarterly installments of \$0.5 million beginning May 14, 2006 and ending November 14, 2011 and a final payment of \$193.2 million on February 14, 2012. As of September 30, 2006 there was \$24.6 million available under the revolving credit facility.

The first lien term loan bears interest at a rate equal to an adjusted LIBOR rate plus 3.0% per annum or a base rate plus 2.0% per annum, at our option. The loans under the revolving credit facility bear interest initially, at our option (provided, that all swingline loans shall be base rate loans), at a rate equal to an adjusted LIBOR rate plus 2.75% per annum or a base rate plus 1.75% per annum, and the margins above LIBOR and base rate may decline to 2.00% for LIBOR loans and 1.00% for base rate loans if certain leverage ratios are met. A commitment fee equal to 0.50% per annum accrues on the average daily unused amount of the commitment of each lender under the revolving credit facility and such fee is payable quarterly in arrears. We are also required to pay certain other fees with respect to the senior secured credit facility including (i) letter of credit fees on the aggregate undrawn amount of outstanding letters of credit plus the aggregate principal amount of all letter of credit reimbursement obligations, (ii) a fronting fee to the letter of credit issuing bank and (iii) administrative fees.

The first lien term loan is secured by a perfected first priority pledge of all of the equity interests of our subsidiary and perfected first priority security interests in and mortgages on substantially all of our tangible and intangible assets and those of the guarantors, except, in the case of the stock of a foreign subsidiary, to the extent such pledge would be prohibited by applicable law or would result in materially adverse tax consequences, and subject to such other exceptions as are agreed. The senior secured credit facility contains a number of covenants that, among other things, restrict our ability and the ability of our subsidiaries to (i) dispose of assets; (ii) change our business; (iii) engage in mergers or consolidations; (iv) make certain acquisitions; (v) pay dividends or repurchase or redeem stock; (vi) incur indebtedness or guarantee obligations and issue preferred and other disqualified stock; (vii) make investments and loans; (viii) incur liens; (ix) engage in certain transactions with affiliates; (x) enter into sale and leaseback transactions; (xi) issue stock or stock options under certain conditions; (xii) amend or prepay subordinated indebtedness and loans under the second lien secured credit facility; (xiii) modify or waive material documents; or (xiv) change our fiscal year. In addition, under the senior secured credit facility, we are required to comply with specified financial ratios and tests, including a minimum interest coverage ratio, a maximum leverage ratio, and maximum capital expenditures.

Borrowings under the new senior secured credit facility and second lien secured credit facility were used to refinance our Company's existing debt facility, pay a cash dividend to stockholders of \$83.5 million, and make a cash payment of approximately \$26.9 million (including applicable payroll taxes of \$0.5 million) to stock option holders in connection with such dividend. Approximately \$5.1 million of the cash payment to stock option holders was paid to employees whose other compensation is a component of cost of sales. In connection with the refinancing, our Company incurred estimated fees and expenses aggregating \$4.5 million that are included as a component of other assets, net and amortized over the terms of the new senior secured credit facility. In the first quarter of 2006, the total cash payment to option holders and unamortized deferred financing costs of \$4.6 million related to the prior credit facility were expensed and recorded as stock compensation expense and a component of interest expense, respectively.

Contractual future maturities of long-term debt outstanding as of September 30, 2006 are as follows:

2006	\$
2007	420
2008	1,680
2009	1,680
2010	1,680
Thereafter	160,028

During the third quarter of 2006, we repaid \$154.0 million of long term debt, including full repayment of the \$115 million second lien term note, through the use of the proceeds generated from our IPO and cash on hand. In connection with this repayment, we incurred \$2.3 million in prepayment penalties and expensed \$2.0 million of unamortized deferred financing costs recorded in interest expense on the condensed consolidated statement of operations.

Table of Contents

On an annual basis, our Company is required to compute excess cash flow, as defined in our credit and security agreement with the bank. In periods where there is excess cash flow, our Company is required to make prepayments in an aggregate principal amount determined through reference to a grid based on the leverage ratio. No such prepayments were required for the year ended December 31, 2005. The term note and line of credit require that our Company also maintain compliance with certain restrictive financial covenants, the most restrictive of which requires our Company to maintain a total leverage ratio, as defined in the debt agreement, of not greater than certain predetermined amounts. Our Company believes that we are in compliance with all restrictive financial covenants.

8. COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

A summary of the components of comprehensive income is as follows (in thousands):

	For the Three Months Ended		FOR THE NINE MONTHS ENDED	
	September 30, 2006	October 1, 2005	September 30, 2006	October 1, 2005
Net income	\$ 5,074	\$ 209	\$ 1,022	\$ 8,723
Other comprehensive (loss) income, net of taxes:				
Amortization of ineffective interest rate swap	(78)		(235)	
Change in fair value of interest rate swap, net of tax (benefit) expense of \$(124) and \$141 for the three months ended September 30, 2006 and October 1, 2005, and \$(70) and \$278 for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and October 1, 2005, respectively	(194)	220	(110)	435
Change in fair value of aluminum forward contracts, net of tax (benefit) expense of \$(1,118) and \$718 for the three months ended September 30, 2006 and October 1, 2005, and \$(1,864) and \$(401) for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and October 1, 2005, respectively	(1,748)	1,124	(2,916)	(626)
Total other comprehensive (loss) income	(2,020)	1,344	(3,261)	(191)
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$ 3,054	\$ 1,553	\$ (2,239)	\$ 8,532

9. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Our Company is a party to various legal proceedings in the ordinary course of business. Although the ultimate disposition of those proceedings cannot be predicted with certainty, management believes the outcome of any claim that is pending or threatened, either individually or in the aggregate, will not have a materially adverse effect on our operations, financial position or cash flows.

10. INCOME TAX EXPENSE

Our effective combined federal and state tax rate was 39.0% and 40.2% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, respectively, and was 33.2% for the three and nine months ended October 1, 2005. The increase in the effective tax rate was due to a reduction in the amount of North Carolina tax credits expected to be earned in 2006 compared to 2005 and the impact of permanent differences arising from the exercise of stock options.

Table of Contents**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations**

The following discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with the Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in our registration statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-132365) declared effective by the SEC on June 27, 2006.

This discussion and analysis includes forward-looking statements regarding, among other things, our financial condition and business strategy. Forward-looking statements provide our current expectations and projections about future events. Forward-looking statements include statements about our expectations, beliefs, plans, objectives, intentions, assumptions, and other statements that are not historical facts. As a result, all statements other than statements of historical facts included in this discussion and analysis and located elsewhere in this document regarding the prospects of our industry and our prospects, plans, financial position, and business strategy may constitute forward-looking statements. In addition, forward-looking statements generally can be identified by the use of forward-looking terminology such as may, could, expect, intend, estimate, anticipate, plan, foresee, continue, or the negatives of these terms or variations of them or similar terminology, but the absence of these words does not necessarily mean that a statement is not forward-looking.

Forward-looking statements are subject to known and unknown risks and uncertainties and are based on potentially inaccurate assumptions that could cause actual results to differ materially from those expected or implied by the forward-looking statements. Although we believe that the expectations reflected in these forward-looking statements are reasonable, we can give no assurance that these expectations will prove to be correct. All subsequent written and oral forward-looking statements attributable to us or persons acting on our behalf are expressly qualified in their entirety by the cautionary statements included in this document. These forward-looking statements speak only as of the date of this document. We undertake no obligation to publicly revise any forward-looking statement to reflect circumstances or events after the date of this document or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events except as may be required by applicable securities laws. Further information regarding factors, risks and uncertainties that could affect our financial and other results can be found in the risk factors section of our Form S-1 (File No. 333-132365) and in other reports filed by us with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Consequently, all forward-looking statements in this report are qualified by the factors, risks and uncertainties contained therein.

Overview

We are the leading U.S. manufacturer and supplier of residential impact-resistant windows and doors and pioneered the U.S. impact-resistant window and door industry in the aftermath of Hurricane Andrew in 1992. Our impact-resistant products, which are marketed under the WinGuard brand name, combine heavy-duty aluminum or vinyl frames with laminated glass to provide protection from hurricane-force winds and wind-borne debris by maintaining their structural integrity and preventing penetration by impacting objects. Impact-resistant windows and doors satisfy increasingly stringent building codes in hurricane-prone coastal states and provide an attractive alternative to shutters and other active forms of hurricane protection that require installation and removal before and after each storm. Our current market share in Florida, which is the largest U.S. impact-resistant window and door market, is significantly greater than that of any of our competitors. In addition to our core WinGuard branded product line, we offer a complete range of premium, made-to-order and fully customizable aluminum and vinyl windows and doors primarily targeting the non-impact-resistant market. We manufacture these products in a wide variety of styles, including single hung, horizontal roller, casement, and sliding glass doors, and we also manufacture sliding panels used for enclosing screened-in porches. Our products are sold to both the residential new construction and repair and remodeling end markets.

Our future results of operations will be affected by the following factors, some of which are beyond our control.

Residential new construction

Our business is driven in part by residential new construction activity. According to the U.S. Census Bureau, U.S. housing starts were 1.96 million in 2004 and 2.07 million in 2005. According to The Freedonia Group and the Joint Center for Housing Studies of Harvard University, strong housing demand will continue to be supported over the next decade by new household formations, increasing homeownership rates, the size and age of the population, an aging housing stock (approximately 35% of existing homes were built before 1960), improved financing options for buyers

and immigration trends. During the third quarter of 2006, we saw a significant slowdown in the Florida housing market to the extent that sales during the latter part of the quarter were below the prior year levels for the comparable period. This negative trend has continued in the early part of the fourth quarter, and we expect this slowdown to continue. Like many building material suppliers in the industry, we will be faced with a challenging operating environment over the next several quarters due to the quick decline in the housing market.

Table of Contents

Specifically, new single family housing permits in Florida decreased by 44% in the third quarter of 2006 compared to the prior year. We still believe there are several meaningful trends such as rising immigration rates, growing prevalence of second homes, relatively low interest rates, creative new forms of mortgage financing, and the aging of the housing stock, that indicate housing demand will remain healthy in the long term. Based on these trends and certain other factors, we believe that the current pullback in the housing industry is likely to be temporary and that, as we have proved historically, we will be able to outperform the market during this cyclical downturn and grow our business over the long term.

Home repair and remodeling expenditures

Our business is also driven by the home repair and remodeling market. According to the U.S. Census Bureau, national home repair and remodeling expenditures have increased in 36 of the past 40 years. This growth is mainly the result of the aging U.S. housing stock, increasing homeownership rates and homeowners electing to upgrade their existing residences rather than move into a new home. The repair and remodeling component of window and door demand tends to be less cyclical than residential new construction and partially insulates overall window and door sales from the impact of residential new construction cycles.

Adoption and Enforcement of Building Codes

In addition to coastal states that already have adopted building codes requiring wind-borne debris protection, we expect additional states to adopt and enforce similar building codes, which will further expand the market opportunity for our WinGuard branded line of impact-resistant products. The speed with which new states adopt and enforce these building codes will impact our growth opportunities in new geographical markets.

Cyclical market pressures

Our financial performance will be impacted by economic conditions nationally and locally in the markets we serve. Our operating results are subject to fluctuations arising from changes in supply and demand, as well as labor costs, demographic trends, interest rates, single family and multi-family housing starts, employment levels, consumer confidence, and the availability of credit to homebuilders, contractors and homeowners.

Sale of NatureScape

On February 20, 2006, we sold our NatureScape product line, which constituted approximately \$18.8 million of sales and \$(0.1) million in operating losses in 2005.

Cost of materials

The prices of our primary raw materials, including aluminum, laminate and glass, are subject to volatility and affect our results of operations when prices rapidly rise or fall within a relatively short period of time. We use hedging instruments to manage the market risk of our aluminum costs. The last of the related hedging instruments that we had in place matured in October 2006. The Company is currently uncovered and purchasing our aluminum needs at market prices.

Selling, general and administrative expense

In June 2006, we completed the initial public offering (IPO) of our common stock. As a result of being a public company, we are incurring incremental expenses such as costs associated with periodic reporting requirements and implementation of our compliance program related to Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Critical accounting policies are those that are both important to the accurate portrayal of a company's financial condition and results and require subjective or complex judgments, often as a result of the need to make estimates about the effect of matters that are inherently uncertain.

Table of Contents

In order to prepare financial statements that conform to accounting principles generally accepted in the U.S., commonly referred to as GAAP, we make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in our financial statements and accompanying notes. Certain estimates are particularly sensitive due to their significance to the financial statements and the possibility that future events may be significantly different from our expectations. We have identified the following accounting policies that require us to make the most subjective or complex judgments in order to fairly present our consolidated financial position and results of operations.

Revenue recognition

We recognize sales when all of the following criteria have been met: a valid customer order with a fixed price has been received; the product has been delivered and accepted by the customer; and collectibility is reasonably assured. All sales recognized are net of allowances for cash discounts and estimated returns, which are estimated using historical experience.

Allowance for doubtful accounts and related reserves

We extend credit to dealers and distributors, generally on a non-collateralized basis. Accounts receivable are recorded at their gross receivable amount, reduced by an allowance for doubtful accounts that results in the receivable being recorded at estimated net realizable value. The allowance for doubtful accounts is based on management's assessment of the amount which may become uncollectible in the future and is determined based on our write-off history, aging of receivables, specific identification of uncollectible accounts, and consideration of prevailing economic and industry conditions. Uncollectible accounts are charged off after repeated attempts to collect from the customer have been unsuccessful. The difference between actual write-offs and estimated reserves has not been material.

Long-lived assets

We review long-lived assets for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of such assets may not be recoverable. Recoverability of assets to be held and used is measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of long-lived assets to future undiscounted net cash flows expected to be generated, based on management estimates, in accordance with Statements of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 144, *Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets*. Estimates made by management are subject to change and include such things as future growth assumptions, operating and capital expenditure requirements, asset useful lives and other factors, changes in which could materially impact the results of the impairment test. If such assets are considered to be impaired, the impairment recognized is the amount by which the carrying amount of the assets exceeds the fair value of the assets. Assets to be disposed of are reported at the lower of the carrying amount or fair value less cost to sell, and depreciation is no longer recorded.

Goodwill

The impairment evaluation for goodwill is conducted at the end of each fiscal year, or more frequently if events or changes in circumstances indicate that an asset might be impaired. The evaluation is performed by using a two-step process. In the first step, which is used to screen for potential impairment, the fair value of the reporting unit is compared with the carrying amount of the reporting unit, including goodwill. The estimated fair value of the reporting unit is determined using the discounted future cash flows method, based on management estimates. If the estimated fair value of the reporting unit is less than the carrying amount of the reporting unit, then a second step, which determines the amount of the goodwill impairment to be recorded must be completed. In the second step, the implied fair value of the reporting unit's goodwill is determined by allocating the reporting unit's fair value to all of its assets and liabilities other than goodwill (including any unrecognized intangible assets). The resulting implied fair value of the goodwill that results from the application of this second step is then compared to the carrying amount of the goodwill and an impairment charge is recorded for the difference. Estimation of fair value is dependent on a number of factors, including, but not limited to, interest rates, future growth assumptions, operations and capital expenditure requirements and other factors which are subject to change and could materially impact the results of the impairment tests. Unless our actual results differ significantly from those in our estimation of fair value, it would not result in an impairment of goodwill.

Warranties

We have warranty obligations with respect to most of our manufactured products. Obligations vary by product components. The reserve for warranties is based on our assessment of the costs that will have to be incurred to satisfy

warranty obligations on recorded net sales. The reserve is determined after assessing our warranty history and specific identification of our estimated future warranty obligations.

Table of Contents***Derivative instruments***

We account for derivative instruments in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, as amended (SFAS No. 133). SFAS No. 133 requires us to recognize all of our derivative instruments as either assets or liabilities in the consolidated balance sheet at fair value. The accounting for changes in the fair value (i.e., gains or losses) of a derivative instrument depends on whether it has been designated and qualifies as part of a hedging relationship and further, on the type of hedging relationship. For those derivative instruments that are designated and qualify as hedging instruments, we must designate the hedging instrument, based upon the exposure being hedged, as a fair value hedge, a cash flow hedge or a hedge of a net investment in a foreign operation.

All derivative instruments currently utilized by us are designated and accounted for as cash flow hedges (i.e., hedging the exposure to variability in expected future cash flows that is attributable to a particular risk). SFAS No. 133 provides that the effective portion of the gain or loss on a derivative instrument designated and qualifying as a cash flow hedging instrument be reported as a component of other comprehensive income and be reclassified into earnings in the same period or periods during which the transaction affects earnings. The remaining gain or loss on the derivative instrument, if any, must be recognized currently in earnings.

Stock Compensation

We adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123R, Share-Based Payment (SFAS 123R), on January 1, 2006. This statement is a fair-value approach for measuring stock-based compensation and requires us to recognize the cost of employee services received in exchange for our company's equity instruments. Under SFAS 123R, we are required to record compensation expense over an award's vesting period based on the award's fair value at the date of grant. We have adopted SFAS 123R on a prospective basis; accordingly, our financial statements for periods prior to January 1, 2006, do not include compensation cost calculated under the fair value method.

Prior to January 1, 2006, our Company applied Accounting Principles Board Opinion 25, Accounting for Stock issued to Employees (APB 25), and therefore recorded the intrinsic value of stock-based compensation as expense. Pursuant to APB 25, compensation cost was recorded only to the extent that the exercise price was less than the fair value of our Company's stock on the date of grant. No compensation expense was recognized in previous financial statements under APB 25. Additionally, our Company reported the pro forma impact of using a fair value based approach to valuing stock options under the Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123, Accounting for Stock Based Compensation (SFAS 123).

Stock options granted prior to our Company's IPO were valued using the minimum value method in the pro-forma disclosures required by SFAS 123. The minimum value method excludes volatility in the calculation of fair value of stock based compensation. In accordance with SFAS No. 123R, options that were valued using the minimum value method, for purposes of pro forma disclosure under SFAS 123, must be transitioned to SFAS 123R using the prospective method. This means that these options will continue to be accounted for under the same accounting principles (recognition and measurement) originally applied to those awards in the income statement, which for our Company was APB 25. Accordingly, the adoption of SFAS 123R did not result in any compensation cost being recognized for these options. Additionally, pro forma information previously required under SFAS 123 and SFAS 148 will no longer be presented for these options.

There were 25,713 restricted stock awards granted to non-employee directors and 172,138 shares of stock options granted under the 2006 Plan during the first nine months of 2006. There are 2,802,149 shares available for grant under the 2006 Plan at September 30, 2006. There were 36,413 shares of restricted stock granted under the 2004 Plan during the first nine months of 2006. There are 137,094 shares available under the 2004 Plan at September 30, 2006. The compensation cost that was charged against income for stock compensation plans was \$0.3 million for the first nine months of 2006. The total income tax benefit recognized in the condensed consolidated statement of operations for share-based compensation arrangements was \$0.1 million for the first nine months of 2006. As of September 30, 2006, there was \$0.9 million and \$0.8 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested stock option agreements and non-vested restricted share awards, respectively. These costs are expected to be recognized in earnings straight line over a weighted-average period of 3 years.

The fair value of each stock option grant was estimated on the date of grant using a Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following weighted-average assumptions used for grants under the 2006 Plan in the first nine months of 2006: dividend yield of 0%, expected volatility of 34.5%, risk-free interest rate of 5.2%, and expected life of 7 years.

Table of Contents**Recent Developments*****Initial Public Offering***

On June 27, 2006, the SEC declared our Company's registration statement on Form S-1 effective, and our Company completed an initial public offering (IPO) of 8,823,529 shares of its common stock at a price of \$14.00 per share. Our Company's common stock began trading on The Nasdaq National Market under the symbol PGTI on June 28, 2006. After underwriting discounts of approximately \$8.6 million and estimated transaction costs of approximately \$2.5 million, net proceeds received by the Company on July 3, 2006, were \$112.3 million. Our Company used net IPO proceeds, together with cash on hand, to repay \$137.0 of borrowings under our senior secured credit facilities.

Our Company granted the underwriters an option to purchase up to an additional 1,323,529 shares of common stock at the IPO price, which the underwriters exercised in full on July 27, 2006. After underwriting discounts of approximately \$1.3 million, aggregate net proceeds received by the Company on August 1, 2006 were \$17.2 million of which \$17.0 million were used to repay a portion of our outstanding debt.

Stock Split

On June 5, 2006, our board of directors and our stockholders approved a 662.07889-for-1 stock split of our common stock and approved increasing the number of shares of common stock that the Company is authorized to issue to 200.0 million.

After the stock split, effective June 6, 2006, each holder of record held 662.07889 shares of common stock for every 1 share held immediately prior to the effective date. As a result of the stock split, the board of directors also exercised its discretion under the anti-dilution provisions of the 2004 Plan to adjust the number of shares underlying stock options and the related exercise prices to reflect the change in the per share value and outstanding shares on the date of the stock split. The effect of fractional shares is not material.

Following the effective date of the stock split, the par value of the common stock remained at \$0.01 per share. As a result, we have increased the common stock in our consolidated balance sheets and statements of shareholders' equity included herein on a retroactive basis for all of our Company's periods presented, with a corresponding decrease to additional paid-in capital. All share and per share amounts and related disclosures have also been retroactively adjusted for all of our Company's periods presented to reflect the 662.07889-for-1 stock split.

Results of Operations***Third quarter ended September 30, 2006 compared with the third quarter ended October 1, 2005******Overview***

Net sales increased 12.9% and gross margin percentage was 39.9% in the third quarter of 2006, compared to 37.2% in the same quarter of 2005 despite a significant slowdown in Florida new home construction. Our operating results were driven by growth in our WinGuard branded and Architectural Systems product lines, a price increase across most of our product lines enacted in the first quarter of 2006, and improved operating efficiencies. Selling, general and administrative expenses as a percentage of sales for the third quarter ended September 30, 2006, decreased by 160 basis points from the prior year quarter as we leveraged these costs against increasing sales. Selling, general and administrative expenses increased \$1.2 million from the third quarter of 2005, mainly to support our increase in net sales, as well as targeted advertising and incremental costs associated with being a public company.

Table of Contents**Net sales**

Net sales for the third quarter ended September 30, 2006 were \$98.3 million, a \$11.2 million, or 12.9% increase over net sales of \$87.1 million for the third quarter ended October 1, 2005. The following table shows net sales classified by major product category (in millions):

	September 30, 2006		Third Quarter Ended October 1, 2005		% Growth
	Sales	% of Sales	Sales	% of Sales	
WinGuard Windows and Doors	\$ 65.5	66.6%	\$ 52.6	60.3%	24.6%
Other Window and Door Products	\$ 32.8	33.4%	\$ 34.5	39.7%	-5.2%
Total	\$ 98.3	100.0%	\$ 87.1	100.0%	12.9%

Net sales of WinGuard branded products were \$65.5 million for the third quarter ended September 30, 2006, an increase of \$12.9 million, or 24.6%, from \$52.6 million in net sales for the third quarter ended October 1, 2005. This growth was due to increased sales volume of our WinGuard branded products and the effect of a 9% price increase implemented during the first quarter of 2006. Demand for WinGuard branded products is driven by, among other things, increased enforcement of strict building codes mandating the use of impact-resistant products, increased consumer and homebuilder awareness of the advantages provided by impact-resistant windows and doors over active forms of hurricane protection, and our successful marketing efforts, including a television advertising campaign which began running in March of 2006. As a result of the great number of different products we make and the wide variety of custom features offered (approximately 2,700 different products offered), as well as the fact that price increases are introduced at different times for different customers based on their order patterns, we are unable to separately quantify the impact of price and volume increases on our increased net sales. We track our sales volume based on our customer orders, which typically comprise multiple openings (with each opening representing an opening in the wall of a home into which one or more of our windows or doors are installed). We are currently unable to convert sales on a per-opening basis into sales on a per-product basis; however, we are currently in the process of developing internal reporting procedures to enable us to track sales on a per-product basis.

Net sales of Other Window and Door Products were \$32.8 million for the third quarter ended September 30, 2006, a decrease of \$1.7 million, or 5.2%, from \$34.5 million in net sales for the third quarter ended October 1, 2005. This decrease was primarily driven by a discontinuation of certain products, including NatureScape, resulting in a reduction of net sales of \$4.9 million when compared to the third quarter ended October 1, 2005. We discontinued these products because they generated lower margins and had less attractive growth prospects as compared to our other product lines. In addition, discontinuation of these products allowed us to increase manufacturing capacity for our higher margin WinGuard branded products in our North Carolina facility. The effect of these product line discontinuations was offset in part by growth in our Architectural Systems products and the net impact of year-over-year price increases.

As of September 30, 2006 backlog was \$19.6 million compared to \$57.5 million and \$53.5 million at December 31, 2005 and October 1, 2005, respectively. Our backlog consists of orders that we have received from customers that have not yet shipped, and we expect that substantially all of our current backlog will be recognized as sales during the next twelve months. The decrease in our backlog resulted from improvements in our manufacturing lead-times and a softening of the housing market, which has had a negative impact on order intake. We expect this trend will continue and have a negative effect on future period to period comparisons.

Gross margin

Gross margin was \$39.2 million for the third quarter ended September 30, 2006, an increase of \$6.8 million, or 20.9%, from \$32.4 million for the third quarter ended October 1, 2005. This growth was largely due to higher sales volume of our WinGuard branded windows and doors, which increased as a percentage of our total net sales to 66.6%, compared

to 60.3% in the third quarter of 2005, increased prices across most of our product lines and improved manufacturing efficiencies. The gross margin percentage was 39.9% for the third quarter ended September 30, 2006, an increase of 270 basis points from 37.2% for the third quarter ended October 1, 2005.

Table of Contents***Selling, general, and administrative expenses***

Selling, general, and administrative expenses were \$22.7 million for the third quarter ended September 30, 2006, an increase of \$1.2 million, from \$21.5 million for the third quarter ended October 1, 2005. This increase was mainly due to an increase of \$0.8 million invested in targeted advertising. In addition, administrative expenses increased \$1.1 million for costs such as additional accounting, legal, insurance, compliance and other expenses to support our growth and the requirements of being a public company. The third quarter of 2006 also included \$0.3 million of stock compensation expense related to our adoption of SFAS 123R. The third quarter of 2005 included \$1.3 million of non-recurring charges related to management fees and refinancing costs associated with our September 2005 refinancing. As a percentage of sales, selling, general and administrative expenses decreased by 160 basis points during the third quarter of 2006 to 23.1% compared to 24.7% for the third quarter of 2005. This decrease was due to the fact that certain fixed expenses, such as support and administrative costs, grew at a slower rate relative to the increase in net sales.

Interest expense

Interest expense was \$7.8 million for the third quarter ended September 30, 2006, an increase of \$3.8 million from \$4.0 million for the third quarter ended October 1, 2005. Interest expense includes non-recurring charges of \$4.3 million and \$0.5 million in 2006 and 2005, respectively, related to termination penalties and the write-off of unamortized debt issuance costs in connection with prepayments of debt in the respective periods. In addition, there was a higher average debt level of \$242.5 million for the third quarter ended September 30, 2006 associated with our debt refinancing on February 14, 2006 as described under the Liquidity and Capital Resources section of this report, as compared to an average debt level of \$177.7 million for the third quarter ended October 1, 2005, as well as higher LIBOR rates.

Income tax expense

Our effective combined federal and state tax rate was 39.0% for the third quarter ended September 30, 2006 and 33.2% for the third quarter ended October 1, 2005. The increase in the effective tax rate was due to a reduction in the amount of North Carolina tax credits expected to be earned in 2006 compared to 2005 and the impact of permanent differences arising from the exercise of stock options.

Nine months ended September 30, 2006 compared with the nine months ended October 1, 2005***Overview***

In the nine months ended September 30, 2006, our operating results were primarily driven by strong sales growth largely resulting from increased demand for our WinGuard branded products, price increases across most of our product lines, and improved operating efficiencies. As a result, net sales increased 24.0% in the nine month period ended September 30, 2006, and gross margin percentage for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 was 40.2%, compared to 36.6% in the same period of 2005. Selling, general and administrative expenses for the nine months ended September 30, 2006, increased \$8.8 million compared to the nine months ended October 1, 2005, mainly to support our increase in net sales, as well as increased targeted advertising and incremental costs associated with being a public company. As a percent of net sales, our selling, general and administrative expense improved to 22.5%, compared to 24.3% for the nine months ended October 1, 2005. Our operating results were negatively impacted by \$26.9 million of stock compensation expense resulting from amounts paid to stock option holders in lieu of adjusting exercise prices in connection with the dividend paid to shareholders in February 2006.

Table of Contents**Net sales**

Net sales for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 were \$303.4 million, a \$58.7 million, or 24.0%, increase over net sales of \$244.7 million for the nine months ended October 1, 2005. The following table shows net sales classified by major product category (in millions):

	September 30, 2006		Nine Months Ended October 1, 2005		% Growth
	Sales	% of Sales	Sales	% of Sales	
WinGuard Windows and Doors	\$ 197.3	65.0%	\$ 132.3	54.1%	49.1%
Other Window and Door Products	\$ 106.1	35.0%	\$ 112.4	45.9%	-5.6%
Total	\$ 303.4	100.0%	\$ 244.7	100.0%	24.0%

Net sales of WinGuard branded products were \$197.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006, an increase of \$65.0 million, or 49.1%, from \$132.3 million in net sales for the nine months ended October 1, 2005. This growth was due to higher sales volume and the effect of a 9% price increase implemented during the first half of the year. Demand for WinGuard branded products is driven by increased enforcement of strict building codes mandating the use of impact-resistant products, increased consumer and homebuilder awareness of the advantages provided by impact-resistant windows and doors over active forms of hurricane protection, and our successful marketing efforts, including a television advertising campaign which began running in March of 2006.

Net sales of Other Window and Door Products were \$106.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006, a decrease of \$6.3 million, or 5.6%, from \$112.4 million in net sales for the nine months ended October 1, 2005. This decrease was primarily driven by a discontinuation of certain products, including NatureScape and other aluminum and vinyl products resulting in a reduction of net sales of \$18.5 million when compared to the nine months ended October 1, 2005. We discontinued these products because they generated lower margins and had less attractive growth prospects as compared to our other product lines. In addition, discontinuation of these products allowed us to increase manufacturing capacity for our higher margin WinGuard branded products in our North Carolina facility. In addition, the reserve for credit memos increased by \$1.5 million mainly due to an increase in volume. The decreases were offset in part by a 353% growth, or \$14.0 million increase, in our Architectural Systems products and the net impact of year over year price increases.

Gross margin

Gross margin was \$122.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006, an increase of \$32.5 million, or 36.3%, from \$89.5 million for the nine months ended October 1, 2005. This growth was largely due to higher sales volume of our WinGuard branded products, which increased as a percentage of our total net sales to 65.0%, compared to 54.1% in the nine months of 2005, increased prices across most of our product lines and improved manufacturing efficiencies. The gross margin percentage was 40.2% for the nine months ended September 30, 2006, an increase of 360 basis points from 36.6% for the nine months ended October 1, 2005.

Selling, general, and administrative expenses

Selling, general, and administrative expenses were \$68.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006, an increase of \$8.8 million, from \$59.5 million for the nine months ended October 1, 2005. This increase was mainly due to additional sales, marketing and distribution expenses to support our volume growth in WinGuard branded and Architectural Systems product lines, including an increase of \$2.7 million in selling, marketing and distribution costs and an increase of \$1.2 million invested in targeted advertising. In addition, administrative expenses increased \$4.7 million for costs such as additional accounting, legal, insurance, compliance and other expenses to support our growth and the requirements of being a public company. The first nine months of 2006 included \$0.3 million of stock compensation expense related to our adoption of SFAS 123R and \$1.4 million of non-recurring charges related to management fees. The first nine months of 2005 included \$1.8 million of non-recurring charges related to

management fees and refinancing costs associated with our September 2005 refinancing. As a percentage of sales, selling, general and administrative expenses decreased by 180 basis points during the nine months of 2006 to 22.5% compared to 24.3% for the nine months ended October 1, 2005. This decrease was due to the fact that certain fixed expenses, such as support and administrative costs, grew at a slower rate relative to the increase in net sales.

Table of Contents***Stock compensation expense***

Stock compensation expense of \$26.9 million and \$6.6 million was recorded for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and October 1, 2005, respectively, relating to payments to option holders in lieu of adjusting exercise prices in connection with the payment of a dividend to shareholders in February 2006 and September 2005, respectively.

Interest expense

Interest expense was \$25.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006, an increase of \$15.0 million from \$10.4 million for the nine months ended October 1, 2005. Interest expense includes non-recurring charges of \$8.9 million and \$0.5 million in 2006 and 2005, respectively, related to termination penalties and the write-off of unamortized debt issuance costs in connection with prepayments of debt in the respective periods. In addition, there was an increase in our average debt levels to \$247.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 associated with our debt financing on February 14, 2006 as described under the Liquidity and Capital Resources section of this report, as compared to \$171.0 million for the nine months ended October 1, 2005, as well as higher LIBOR rates.

Income tax expense

Our effective combined federal and state tax rate was 40.2% for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 33.2% for the nine months ended October 1, 2005. The increase in the effective tax rate was due to a reduction in the amount of North Carolina tax credits expected to be earned in 2006 compared to 2005 and the impact of permanent differences arising from the exercise of stock options.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our principal source of liquidity is cash flow generated by operations, supplemented by borrowings under our credit facilities. This cash generating capability provides us with financial flexibility in meeting operating and investing needs. In addition, we completed our IPO in June 2006 and used the net proceeds, together with cash on hand, to repay a portion of our long term debt. Our primary capital requirements are to fund working capital needs, meet required debt payments, including debt service payments on our credit facilities and fund capital expenditures. Our measure of cash flow is defined as net cash provided by operating activities plus one-time or unusual adjustments, reduced by expenditures for property and equipment.

Consolidated Cash Flows

Operating activities. Cash flows provided by operating activities were \$19.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006, compared to cash flows provided by operating activities of \$12.4 million for the nine months ended October 1, 2005. This increase was mainly due to improved profitability and, to a lesser extent, lower working capital requirements in 2006. In addition, cash flows from operating activities includes cash compensatory payments of \$26.9 million and \$6.6 million in 2006 and 2005, respectively, made to option holders in lieu of adjusting exercise prices in connection with the payment of dividends to shareholders in the respective periods.

Days sales outstanding improved to 40 at the end of the third quarter of 2006 from 50 as of December 31, 2005 as our customers in Southeast Florida have recovered from the temporary disruptions caused by hurricanes in 2005.

Investing activities. Cash flows used in investing activities were \$24.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006, compared to \$11.3 million for the nine months ended October 1, 2005. The increase in cash flows used in investing activities was mainly due to the purchase of a 393,000 square foot facility in Salisbury, North Carolina in February 2006 plus related building improvements. We have substantially moved our operations from Lexington, N.C. to our new facility in Salisbury, N.C. Once the move is complete, the Lexington facility will be held for sale.

Financing activities. Cash flows provided by financing activities were \$19.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006, compared to cash flows used in financing activities of \$0.7 million for the nine months ended October 1, 2005. Significant financing transactions during 2005 and 2004 included the following:

In September 2005, we amended and restated our prior credit agreement with a bank. In connection with the amendment, our Company created a new tranche of term loans with an aggregate principal amount of \$190.0 million. The proceeds were used

Table of Contents

to refinance the existing Tranche A and B debt, fund a \$20.0 million dividend to our stockholders, make a cash payment of \$6.6 million to stock option holders in lieu of adjusting exercise prices in connection with such dividend, and pay certain financing costs related to the amendment.

In February 2006, we entered into a second amended and restated senior secured credit facility and a second lien term loan, and received \$320.0 million proceeds. The proceeds were used to refinance our Company's existing debt facility, pay a cash dividend to stockholders of \$83.5 million, make a cash payment of approximately \$26.9 million (including applicable payroll taxes of \$0.5 million) to stock option holders in lieu of adjusting exercise prices in connection with such dividend, and pay certain financing costs related to the amendment.

In June 2006, we completed our IPO, and received net proceeds of \$129.6 million. We used the net proceeds from the IPO, including the underwriter allotment, together with cash generated from operations to repay \$154.0 million of our long term debt, including full repayment of the second lien debt.

Capital Resources. On February 14, 2006, our Company entered into a second amended and restated \$235 million senior secured credit facility and a \$115 million second lien term loan due August 14, 2012, with a syndicate of banks. The senior secured credit facility is composed of a \$30 million revolving credit facility and a \$205 million first lien term loan due in quarterly installments of \$0.5 million beginning May 14, 2006 and ending November 14, 2011 and a final payment of \$193.2 million on February 14, 2012.

The first lien term loan bears interest, at our option, at a rate equal to an adjusted LIBOR rate plus 3.0% per annum or a base rate plus 2.0% per annum. The loans under the revolving credit facility bear interest initially, at our option (provided, that all swingline loans shall be base rate loans), at a rate equal to an adjusted LIBOR rate plus 2.75% per annum or a base rate plus 1.75% per annum, and the margins above LIBOR and base rate may decline to 2.00% for LIBOR loans and 1.00% for base rate loans if certain leverage ratios are met. A commitment fee equal to 0.50% per annum accrues on the average daily unused amount of the commitment of each lender under the revolving credit facility and such fee is payable quarterly in arrears. We are also required to pay certain other fees with respect to the senior secured credit facility including (i) letter of credit fees on the aggregate undrawn amount of outstanding letters of credit plus the aggregate principal amount of all letter of credit reimbursement obligations, (ii) a fronting fee to the letter of credit issuing bank and (iii) administrative fees.

The first lien term loan is secured by a perfected first priority pledge of all of the equity interests of our subsidiary and perfected first priority security interests in and mortgages on substantially all of our tangible and intangible assets and those of the guarantors, except, in the case of the stock of a foreign subsidiary, to the extent such pledge would be prohibited by applicable law or would result in materially adverse tax consequences, and subject to such other exceptions as are agreed. The senior secured credit facility contains a number of covenants that, among other things, restrict our ability and the ability of our subsidiaries to (i) dispose of assets; (ii) change our business; (iii) engage in mergers or consolidations; (iv) make certain acquisitions; (v) pay dividends or repurchase or redeem stock; (vi) incur indebtedness or guarantee obligations and issue preferred and other disqualified stock; (vii) make investments and loans; (viii) incur liens; (ix) engage in certain transactions with affiliates; (x) enter into sale and leaseback transactions; (xi) issue stock or stock options of our subsidiary; (xii) amend or prepay subordinated indebtedness and loans under the second lien secured credit facility; (xiii) modify or waive material documents; or (xiv) change our fiscal year. In addition, under the first lien secured credit facility, we are required to comply with specified financial ratios and tests, including a minimum interest coverage ratio, a maximum leverage ratio, and maximum capital expenditures.

Borrowings under the new senior secured credit facility and second lien secured credit facility on February 14, 2006, were used to refinance our Company's existing debt facility, pay a cash dividend to stockholders of \$83.5 million, and make a cash payment of approximately \$26.9 million (including applicable payroll taxes of \$0.5 million) to stock option holders in lieu of adjusting exercise prices in connection with such dividend. In connection with the refinancing, our Company incurred fees and expenses aggregating \$4.5 million that will be included as a component of other assets, net and amortized over the terms of the new senior secured credit facilities. In the nine months of 2006, the total cash payment to option holders and unamortized deferred financing costs of \$4.6 million related to the

prior credit facility were expensed and recorded as stock compensation expense and a component of interest expense, respectively.

Based on our ability to generate cash flows from operations and our borrowing capacity under the revolver under the senior secured credit facility, we believe we will have sufficient capital to meet our short-term and long-term needs, including our capital expenditures and our debt obligations in 2006.

Capital Expenditures. Capital expenditures vary depending on prevailing business factors, including current and anticipated market conditions. For the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and October 1, 2005, capital expenditures were \$24.2 million and \$11.3 million, respectively. We anticipate that cash flows from operations and liquidity from the revolving credit facility will be sufficient to

Table of Contents

execute our business plans. We anticipate our capital expenditures to be approximately \$30.0 million in 2006, which includes expenditures of approximately \$18.0 million in connection with our facility expansion in North Carolina.

New Accounting Pronouncements

In July 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued FASB Interpretation No. 48 (FIN 48),

Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes. FIN 48 clarifies the accounting for income taxes by prescribing the minimum recognition threshold a tax position is required to meet before being recognized in the financial statements. FIN 48 also provides guidance on de-recognition, measurement, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure and transition. In addition, FIN 48 clearly excludes income taxes from Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 5, *Accounting for Contingencies*. FIN 48 applies to all tax positions related to income taxes subject to Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 109, *Accounting for Income Taxes*. FIN 48 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. Differences between the amounts recognized in the statements of financial position prior to the adoption of FIN 48 and the amounts reported after adoption are accounted for as a cumulative-effect adjustment recorded to the beginning balance of retained earnings. The cumulative effect adjustment would not apply to those items that would not have been recognized in earnings. We believe that the adoption of FIN 48 will not have a material impact on our Company's financial position or results of operations. In September 2006, the Securities and Exchange Commission staff issued Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 108, *Considering the Effects of Prior Year Misstatements when Quantifying Misstatements in Current Year Financial Statements* (SAB 108). SAB 108 was issued in order to eliminate the diversity of practice surrounding how public companies quantify financial statement misstatements. SAB 108 requires quantification of financial statement misstatements based on the effects of the misstatements on each of a company's financial statements and the related financial statement disclosures. The Company will initially apply the provisions of SAB 108 in connection with the preparation of its annual financial statements for the year ending December 30, 2006. The Company has considered the provisions of SAB 108 and does not expect the initial application of SAB 108 to affect its annual financial statements for the year ending December 30, 2006.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS 157, *Fair Value Measurements* (SFAS 157), which defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. The provisions of SFAS 157 are effective as of the beginning of the Company's 2008 fiscal year. The Company has considered the provisions of SFAS 157 and does not expect the application of SFAS 157 to have a material effect on its financial statements.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

We experience changes in interest expense when market interest rates change. Changes in our debt could also increase these risks. Based on debt outstanding at September 30, 2006, a 25 basis point increase in interest rates would result in approximately \$0.4 million of additional interest costs annually.

We utilize derivative financial instruments to hedge price movements of our aluminum materials. As of September 30, 2006, we covered 70% of our anticipated needs for 2006. Short term changes in the cost of aluminum, which can be significant, are sometimes passed on to our customers through price increases, however there can be no guarantee that we will be able to continue to pass such price increases to our customers or that price increases will not negatively impact sales volume, thereby adversely impacting operating income.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Disclosure Controls and Procedures. Our management, including our chief executive officer and chief financial officer, carried out an evaluation of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on this evaluation, our chief executive officer and chief financial officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) were effective.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting. During the period covered by this report, there have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting identified in connection with the evaluation described above that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. *Legal Proceedings*

We are involved in various claims and lawsuits incidental to the conduct of our business in the ordinary course. We carry insurance coverage in such amounts in excess of our self-insured retention as we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances and that may or may not cover any or all of our liabilities in respect to claims and lawsuits. We do not believe that the ultimate resolution of these matters will have a material adverse impact on our financial position or results of operations.

Although our business and facilities are subject to federal, state and local environmental regulation, environmental regulation does not have a material impact on our operations. We believe that our facilities are in material compliance with such laws and regulations. As owners and lessees of real property, we can be held liable for the investigation or remediation of contamination on such properties, in some circumstances without regard to whether we knew of or were responsible for such contamination. Our current expenditures with respect to environmental investigation and remediation at our facilities are minimal, although no assurance can be provided that more significant remediation may not be required in the future as a result of spills or releases of petroleum products or hazardous substances or the discovery of unknown environmental conditions.

Item 1A. *Risk Factors*

In addition to the other information set forth in this report, you should carefully consider the factors discussed in our registration statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-132365) declared effective by the SEC on June 27, 2006, which could materially affect our business, financial condition or future results. The risks described in such registration statement on Form S-1 are not the only risks facing our Company. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently deem to be immaterial also may materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and/or operating results.

Item 2. *Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds*

Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities

During the quarter ended September 30, 2006, we issued an aggregate of 1,054,903 shares of our common stock to certain officers, employees and former employees upon the exercise of options associated with the Rollover Stock Option Agreement included as Exhibit 10.18 to Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 21, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365. We received aggregate proceeds of \$0.9 million as a result of the exercise of these options. The Company relied on the exemption from registration provided by Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933 in reliance on, among other things, representations and warranties obtained from the holders of such options.

During the quarter ended September 30, 2006, we issued an aggregate of 35,044 shares of our common stock to certain employees and former employees upon the exercise of options awarded under our 2004 Stock Incentive Plan. We received aggregate proceeds of \$0.3 million as a result of the exercise of these options. The Company relied on the exemption from the registration requirements of the Securities Act of 1933 in reliance on Rule 701 thereunder as transactions pursuant to compensatory benefit plans and contracts relating to compensation as provided under Rule 701.

All of the above option grants were made prior to our initial public offering. None of the foregoing transactions involved any underwriters, underwriting discounts or commissions, or any public offering.

Use of Proceeds

On June 27, 2006, the SEC declared our Company's registration statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-132365) effective, and our Company completed an initial public offering of 8,823,529 shares of its common stock at a price of \$14.00 per share for an aggregate offering price of \$123.5 million. Aggregate underwriting discounts and commissions were \$8.6 million. Our Company's common stock began trading on The Nasdaq National Market under the symbol PGTI on June 28, 2006.

Our Company granted the underwriters an option to purchase an additional 1,323,529 shares of common stock at the IPO price, which the underwriters exercised in full on July 27, 2006.

The exercise of the over-allotment option increased the aggregate offering price and aggregate underwriting discounts and commissions to \$142.0 million and \$9.9 million, respectively.

Table of Contents

Deutsche Bank Securities Inc. and J. P. Morgan Securities, Inc. were the joint book-running managers of this offering. JMP Securities LLC, Raymond James & Associates, Inc., and SunTrust Capital Markets, Inc. acted as co-managers. After aggregate underwriting discounts of \$9.9 million and estimated aggregate transaction costs of \$2.5 million, aggregate net proceeds to the Company were \$129.6 million. Our Company used the net proceeds from the IPO, together with cash on hand, to repay a portion of its outstanding debt.

Item 3. *Defaults upon Senior Securities*

None.

Item 4. *Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders*

None.

Item 5. *Other Information*

None.

Table of Contents

Item 6 Exhibits

The following items are attached or incorporated herein by reference:

- 3.1 Form of Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of PGT, Inc. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Amendment No. 3 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 8, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365)
- 3.2 Form of Amended and Restated By-Laws of PGT, Inc. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to Amendment No. 3 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 8, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365)
- 4.1 Form of Specimen Certificate (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Amendment No. 2 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 26, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365)
- 4.2 Amended and Restated Security Holders Agreement, by and among PGT, Inc., JLL Partners Fund IV, L.P., and the stockholders named therein, dated as of June 27, 2006 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 11, 2006, Registration No. 000-52059)
- 10.1 Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated as of February 14, 2006 among PGT Industries, Inc., as Borrower, JLL Window Holdings, Inc. and the other Guarantors party thereto, as Guarantors, the lenders party thereto, UBS Securities LLC, as Arranger, Bookmanager, Co-Documentation Agent and Syndication Agent, UBS AG, Stamford Branch, as Issuing Bank, Administrative Agent and Collateral Agent, UBS Loan Finance LLC, as Swingline Lender and General Electric Capital Corporation, as Co-Documentation Agent (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 21, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365)
- 10.3 Amended and Restated Pledge and Security Agreement dated as of February 14, 2006, by PGT Industries, Inc., JLL Window Holdings, Inc. and the other Guarantors party thereto in favor of UBS AG, Stamford Branch, as First Lien Collateral Agent (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 21, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365)
- 10.5 PGT, Inc. 2004 Stock Incentive Plan, as amended (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 21, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365)
- 10.6 Form of PGT, Inc. 2004 Stock Incentive Plan Stock Option Agreement (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 21, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365)
- 10.7 Form of PGT, Inc. 2006 Equity Incentive Plan (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to Amendment No. 3 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 8, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365)
- 10.8 Form of PGT, Inc. 2006 Equity Incentive Plan Non-qualified Stock Option Agreement (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.8 to Amendment No. 3 to the Registration Statement of the Company on

Edgar Filing: PGT, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 8, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365)

- 10.9 Employment Agreement, dated January 29, 2001, between PGT Industries, Inc. and Rodney Hershberger (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.9 to Amendment No. 3 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 8, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365)
- 10.10 Employment Agreement, dated November 1, 2005, between PGT Industries, Inc. and Herman Moore (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.10 to Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 21, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365)
- 10.11 Employment Agreement, dated November 28, 2005, between PGT Industries, Inc. and Jeffrey T. Jackson (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.11 to Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 21, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365)
- 10.12 Employment Agreement, dated January 29, 2001, between PGT Industries, Inc. and Deborah L. LaPinska (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.12 to Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1,

Table of Contents

- filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 21, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365)
- 10.13 Employment Agreement, dated January 29, 2001, between PGT Industries, Inc. and B. Wayne Varnadore (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.13 to Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 21, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365)
- 10.14 Employment Agreement, dated January 29, 2001, between PGT Industries, Inc. and David McCutcheon (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.14 to Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 21, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365)
- 10.15 Employment Agreement, dated July 8, 2004, between PGT Industries, Inc. and Ken Hilliard (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.15 to Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 21, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365)
- 10.16 Employment Agreement, dated January 29, 2001, between PGT Industries, Inc. and Linda Gavit (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.16 to Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 21, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365)
- 10.17 Form of Director Indemnification Agreement (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.17 to Amendment No. 3 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 8, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365)
- 10.18 Form of PGT, Inc. Rollover Stock Option Agreement (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.18 to Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 21, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365)
- 10.19 Employment Agreement, dated April 10, 2006, between PGT Industries, Inc. and Mario Ferrucci III (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.19 to Amendment No. 2 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 26, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365)
- 10.20 Supply Agreement between PGT Industries, Inc. and E.I. du Pont de Nemours and Company, dated January 1, 2006, with portions omitted pursuant to a request for confidential treatment (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.20 to Amendment No. 5 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 27, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365)
- 10.21 Supplier Agreement between Indalex Aluminum Solutions and PGT Industries, Inc., dated January 1, 2005, with portions omitted pursuant to a request for confidential treatment (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.21 to Amendment No. 5 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 27, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365)
- 10.22 Supplier Agreement between Keymark Corporation and PGT Industries, Inc., dated January 1, 2005, with portions omitted pursuant to a request for confidential treatment (incorporated herein by reference to

Edgar Filing: PGT, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Exhibit 10.22 to Amendment No. 5 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 27, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365)

- 10.23 Form of PGT, Inc. 2006 Management Incentive Plan (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.23 to Amendment No. 3 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 8, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365)
- 10.24 Form of PGT, Inc. 2006 Equity Incentive Plan Restricted Stock Award Agreement (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.24 to Amendment No. 3 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 8, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365)
- 10.25 Form of PGT, Inc. 2006 Equity Incentive Plan Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.25 to Amendment No. 3 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 8, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365)
- 10.26 Form of PGT, Inc. 2006 Equity Incentive Plan Incentive Stock Option Agreement (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.26 to Amendment No. 3 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 8, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365)
- 10.27 Employment Agreement, dated October 24, 2006, between PGT, Inc. and Mary J. Kotler (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on October 30, 2006, Registration No. 000-52059)
- 31.1* Certification of chief executive officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2* Certification of chief financial officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Table of Contents

32.1** Certification of chief executive officer and chief financial officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

* Filed herewith.

** Furnished
herewith

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

PGT, INC.
(Registrant)

Date: November 10, 2006

/s/ Rodney Hershberger

Rodney Hershberger
President and Chief Executive Officer

Date: November 10, 2006

/s/ Jeffrey T. Jackson

Jeffrey T. Jackson
Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer

33

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX

- 3.1 Form of Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of PGT, Inc. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Amendment No. 3 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 8, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365)
- 3.2 Form of Amended and Restated By-Laws of PGT, Inc. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to Amendment No. 3 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 8, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365)
- 4.1 Form of Specimen Certificate (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Amendment No. 2 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 26, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365)
- 4.2 Amended and Restated Security Holders Agreement, by and among PGT, Inc., JLL Partners Fund IV, L.P., and the stockholders named therein, dated as of June 27, 2006 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 11, 2006, Registration No. 000-52059)
- 10.1 Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated as of February 14, 2006 among PGT Industries, Inc., as Borrower, JLL Window Holdings, Inc. and the other Guarantors party thereto, as Guarantors, the lenders party thereto, UBS Securities LLC, as Arranger, Bookmanager, Co-Documentation Agent and Syndication Agent, UBS AG, Stamford Branch, as Issuing Bank, Administrative Agent and Collateral Agent, UBS Loan Finance LLC, as Swingline Lender and General Electric Capital Corporation, as Co-Documentation Agent (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 21, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365)
- 10.3 Amended and Restated Pledge and Security Agreement dated as of February 14, 2006, by PGT Industries, Inc., JLL Window Holdings, Inc. and the other Guarantors party thereto in favor of UBS AG, Stamford Branch, as First Lien Collateral Agent (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 21, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365)
- 10.5 PGT, Inc. 2004 Stock Incentive Plan, as amended (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 21, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365)
- 10.6 Form of PGT, Inc. 2004 Stock Incentive Plan Stock Option Agreement (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 21, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365)
- 10.7 Form of PGT, Inc. 2006 Equity Incentive Plan (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to Amendment No. 3 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 8, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365)
- 10.8 Form of PGT, Inc. 2006 Equity Incentive Plan Non-qualified Stock Option Agreement (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.8 to Amendment No. 3 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 8, 2006, Registration

No. 333-132365)

- 10.9 Employment Agreement, dated January 29, 2001, between PGT Industries, Inc. and Rodney Hershberger (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.9 to Amendment No. 3 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 8, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365)
- 10.10 Employment Agreement, dated November 1, 2005, between PGT Industries, Inc. and Herman Moore (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.10 to Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 21, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365)
- 10.11 Employment Agreement, dated November 28, 2005, between PGT Industries, Inc. and Jeffrey T. Jackson (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.11 to Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 21, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365)
- 10.12 Employment Agreement, dated January 29, 2001, between PGT Industries, Inc. and Deborah L. LaPinska (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.12 to Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1,

Table of Contents

- filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 21, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365)
- 10.13 Employment Agreement, dated January 29, 2001, between PGT Industries, Inc. and B. Wayne Varnadore (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.13 to Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 21, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365)
- 10.14 Employment Agreement, dated January 29, 2001, between PGT Industries, Inc. and David McCutcheon (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.14 to Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 21, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365)
- 10.15 Employment Agreement, dated July 8, 2004, between PGT Industries, Inc. and Ken Hilliard (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.15 to Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 21, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365)
- 10.16 Employment Agreement, dated January 29, 2001, between PGT Industries, Inc. and Linda Gavit (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.16 to Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 21, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365)
- 10.17 Form of Director Indemnification Agreement (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.17 to Amendment No. 3 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 8, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365)
- 10.18 Form of PGT, Inc. Rollover Stock Option Agreement (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.18 to Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 21, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365)
- 10.19 Employment Agreement, dated April 10, 2006, between PGT Industries, Inc. and Mario Ferrucci III (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.19 to Amendment No. 2 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 26, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365)
- 10.20 Supply Agreement between PGT Industries, Inc. and E.I. du Pont de Nemours and Company, dated January 1, 2006, with portions omitted pursuant to a request for confidential treatment (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.20 to Amendment No. 5 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 27, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365)
- 10.21 Supplier Agreement between Indalex Aluminum Solutions and PGT Industries, Inc., dated January 1, 2005, with portions omitted pursuant to a request for confidential treatment (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.21 to Amendment No. 5 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 27, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365)
- 10.22 Supplier Agreement between Keymark Corporation and PGT Industries, Inc., dated January 1, 2005, with portions omitted pursuant to a request for confidential treatment (incorporated herein by reference to

Edgar Filing: PGT, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Exhibit 10.22 to Amendment No. 5 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 27, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365)

- 10.23 Form of PGT, Inc. 2006 Management Incentive Plan (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.23 to Amendment No. 3 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 8, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365)
- 10.24 Form of PGT, Inc. 2006 Equity Incentive Plan Restricted Stock Award Agreement (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.24 to Amendment No. 3 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 8, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365)
- 10.25 Form of PGT, Inc. 2006 Equity Incentive Plan Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.25 to Amendment No. 3 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 8, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365)
- 10.26 Form of PGT, Inc. 2006 Equity Incentive Plan Incentive Stock Option Agreement (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.26 to Amendment No. 3 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 8, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365)
- 10.27 Employment Agreement, dated October 24, 2006, between PGT, Inc. and Mary J. Kotler (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on October 30, 2006, Registration No. 000-52059)
- 31.1* Certification of chief executive officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2* Certification of chief financial officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Table of Contents

32.1** Certification of chief executive officer and chief financial officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

* Filed herewith.

** Furnished
herewith.