NUVEEN OHIO QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND INC Form N-CSR May 06, 2011

#### UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

#### FORM N-CSR

#### CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES

Investment Company Act file number 811-6385

Nuveen Ohio Quality Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (Exact name of registrant as specified in charter)

Nuveen Investments 333 West Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606 (Address of principal executive offices) (Zip code)

> Kevin J. McCarthy Nuveen Investments 333 West Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606 (Name and address of agent for service)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (312) 917-7700

Date of fiscal year end: February 28

Date of reporting period: February 28, 2011

Form N-CSR is to be used by management investment companies to file reports with the Commission not later than 10 days after the transmission to stockholders of any report that is required to be transmitted to stockholders under Rule 30e-1 under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (17 CFR 270.30e-1). The Commission may use the information provided on Form N-CSR in its regulatory, disclosure review, inspection, and policymaking roles.

A registrant is required to disclose the information specified by Form N-CSR, and the Commission will make this information public. A registrant is not required to respond to the collection of information contained in Form N-CSR unless the Form displays a currently valid Office of Management and Budget ("OMB") control number. Please direct comments concerning the accuracy of the information collection burden estimate and any suggestions for reducing the burden to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW, Washington, DC 20549-0609. The OMB has reviewed this collection of information under the clearance requirements of 44 U.S.C. ss. 3507.

ITEM 1. REPORTS TO STOCKHOLDERS.

### INVESTMENT ADVISER NAME CHANGE

Effective January 1, 2011, Nuveen Asset Management, the Funds' investment adviser, changed its name to Nuveen Fund Advisors, Inc. ("Nuveen Fund Advisors"). Concurrently, Nuveen Fund Advisors formed a wholly-owned subsidiary, Nuveen Asset Management, LLC, to house its portfolio management capabilities.

#### NUVEEN INVESTMENTS COMPLETES STRATEGIC COMBINATION WITH FAF ADVISORS

On December 31, 2010, Nuveen Investments completed the strategic combination between Nuveen Asset Management, LLC, the largest investment affiliate of Nuveen Investments, and FAF Advisors. As part of this transaction, U.S. Bancorp – the parent of FAF Advisors – received cash consideration and a 9.5% stake in Nuveen Investments in exchange for the long term investment business of FAF Advisors, including investment-management responsibilities for the non-money market mutual funds of the First American Funds family.

The approximately \$27 billion of mutual fund and institutional assets managed by FAF Advisors, along with the investment professionals managing these assets and other key personnel, have become part of Nuveen Asset Management, LLC. With these additions to Nuveen Asset Management, LLC, this affiliate now manages more than \$100 billion of assets across a broad range of strategies from municipal and taxable fixed income to traditional and specialized equity investments.

This combination does not affect the investment objectives or strategies of the Funds in this report. Over time, Nuveen Investments expects that the combination will provide even more ways to meet the needs of investors who work with financial advisors and consultants by enhancing the multi-boutique model of Nuveen Investments, which also includes highly respected investment teams at HydePark, NWQ Investment Management, Santa Barbara Asset Management, Symphony Asset Management, Tradewinds Global Investors and Winslow Capital. Nuveen Investments managed approximately \$197 billion of assets as of December 31, 2010.

Table of Contents

Chairman's Letter to Shareholders	4
Portfolio Manager's Comments	5
Common Share Dividend and Share Price Information	14
Performance Overviews	16
Shareholder Meeting Report	23
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	26
Portfolios of Investments	27
Statement of Assets and Liabilities	63
Statement of Operations	65
Statement of Changes in Net Assets	67
Statement of Cash Flows	70
Financial Highlights	71
Notes to Financial Statements	80
Board Members & Officers	94
Annual Investment Management Agreement Approval Process	99
Board Approval of Sub-Advisory Arrangements	106
Reinvest Automatically, Easily and Conveniently	107
Glossary of Terms Used in this Report	109
Other Useful Information	111

Chairman's Letter to Shareholders

Dear Shareholders,

In 2010, the global economy recorded another year of recovery from the financial and economic crises of 2008, but many of the factors that caused the downturn still weigh on the prospects for continued improvement. In the U.S., ongoing weakness in housing values has put pressure on homeowners and mortgage lenders. Similarly, the strong earnings recovery for corporations and banks is only slowly being translated into increased hiring or more active lending. Globally, deleveraging by private and public borrowers has inhibited economic growth and that process is far from complete.

Encouragingly, constructive actions are being taken by governments around the world to deal with economic issues. In the U.S., the recent passage of a stimulatory tax bill relieved some of the pressure on the Federal Reserve to promote economic expansion through quantitative easing and offers the promise of sustained economic growth. A number of European governments are undertaking programs that could significantly reduce their budget deficits. Governments across the emerging markets are implementing various steps to deal with global capital flows without undermining international trade and investment.

The success of these government actions could determine whether 2011 brings further economic recovery and financial market progress. One risk associated with the extraordinary efforts to strengthen U.S. economic growth is that the debt of the U.S. government will continue to grow to unprecedented levels. Another risk is that over time there could be inflationary pressures on asset values in the U.S. and abroad, because what happens in the U.S. impacts the rest of the world economy. Also, these various actions are being taken in a setting of heightened global economic uncertainty, primarily about the supplies of energy and other critical commodities. In this challenging environment, your Nuveen investment team continues to seek sustainable investment opportunities and to remain alert to potential risks in a recovery still facing many headwinds. On your behalf, we monitor their activities to assure they maintain their investment disciplines.

As you will note elsewhere in this report, on December 31, 2010, Nuveen Investments completed a strategic combination with FAF Advisors, Inc., the manager of the First American Funds. The combination adds highly respected and distinct investment teams to meet the needs of investors and their advisors and is designed to benefit all fund shareholders by creating a fund organization with the potential for further economies of scale and the ability to draw from even greater talent and expertise to meet those investor needs.

As of the end of April, 2011, Nuveen Investments had completed the refinancing of all of the Auction Rate Preferred Securities issued by its taxable closed-end funds and 80% of the Muni Preferred shares issued by its tax-exempt closed-end funds. Please consult the Nuveen Investments web site, www.Nuveen.com, for the current status of this important refi-nancing program.

As always, I encourage you to contact your financial consultant if you have any questions about your investment in a Nuveen Fund. On behalf of the other members of your Fund Board, we look forward to continuing to earn your trust in the months and years ahead.

Sincerely,

Robert P. Bremner Chairman of the Board April 26, 2011

## Portfolio Manager's Comments

Nuveen Michigan Quality Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (NUM) Nuveen Michigan Premium Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (NMP) Nuveen Michigan Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund (NZW) Nuveen Ohio Quality Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (NUO) Nuveen Ohio Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund (NXI) Nuveen Ohio Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 2 (NBJ) Nuveen Ohio Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 3 (NVJ)

Portfolio manager Daniel Close discusses economic and municipal market conditions at both the national and state levels, key investment strategies, and the twelve-month performance of the Nuveen Michigan and Ohio Funds. Dan, who joined Nuveen in 2000, assumed portfolio management responsibility for these seven Funds in 2007.

What factors affected the U.S. economic and municipal market environments during the twelve-month reporting period ended February 28, 2011?

During this period, the U.S. economy demonstrated some signs of improvement, supported by the efforts of both the Federal Reserve (Fed) and the federal government. For its part, the Fed continued to hold the benchmark fed funds rate in a target range of zero to 0.25% since cutting it to this record low level in December 2008. At its March 2011 meeting (after the end of this reporting period), the central bank renewed its commitment to keeping the fed funds rate at "exceptionally low levels" for an "extended period." The Fed also left unchanged its second round of quantitative easing, which calls for purchasing \$600 billion in U.S. Treasury bonds by June 30, 2011. The goal of this plan is to lower long-term interest rates and thereby stimulate economic activity and create jobs. The federal government continued to focus on implementing the economic stimulus package passed in early 2009 and aimed at providing job creation, tax relief, fiscal assistance to state and local governments and expansion of unemployment benefits and other federal social welfare programs.

In the fourth quarter of 2010, the U.S. economy, as measured by the U.S. gross domestic product (GDP), grew at an annualized rate of 3.1%, marking the first time the economy put together six consecutive quarters of positive growth since 2006-2007. In February 2011, national unemployment dropped below 9% for the first time in 21 months, standing at 8.9%, down from 9.7% a year earlier. At the same time, inflation posted its largest gain since April 2009, as the Consumer Price Index (CPI) rose 2.1% year-over-year as of February 2011, driven mainly by increased prices for energy. The core CPI (which excludes food and energy) increased 1.1% over this period. The housing market continued to be

Certain statements in this report are forward-looking statements. Discussions of specific investments are for illustration only and are not intended as recommendations of individual investments. The forward-looking statements and other views expressed herein are those of the portfolio manager as of the date of this report. Actual future results or occurrences may differ significantly from those anticipated in any forward-looking statements, and the views expressed herein are subject to change at any time, due to numerous market and other factors. The Funds disclaim any obligation to update publicly or revise any forward-looking statements or views expressed herein.

Any reference to credit ratings for portfolio holdings denotes the highest rating assigned by a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization (NRSRO) such as Standard & Poor's (S&P), Moody's or Fitch. AAA, AA, A and BBB ratings are investment grade; BB, B, CCC, CC, C and D ratings are below investment grade. Holdings and ratings

may change over time.

the weak spot in the economy. For the twelve months ended January 2011 (most recent data available at the time this report was prepared), the average home price in the Standard & Poor's (S&P)/Case-Shiller index of 20 major metropolitan areas lost 3.1%, with 11 of the 20 metropolitan areas hitting their lowest levels since housing prices peaked in 2006.

Municipal bond prices generally rose during the first eight months of this period, as the combination of strong demand and tight supply of new tax-exempt issuance created favorable market conditions. One reason for the decrease in new tax-exempt supply was the heavy issuance of taxable municipal debt under the Build America Bond (BAB) program, which was created as part of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of February 2009 and which expired December 31, 2010. Build America Bonds generally offered municipal issuers a federal subsidy equal to 35% of a bond's interest payments, providing issuers with an alternative to traditional tax-exempt debt that often was lower in cost. For the period March 1, 2010 through December 31, 2010, taxable Build America Bonds issuance totaled \$117.3 billion, accounting for 24% of new bonds issued in the municipal market. After rallying strongly over most of the period, the municipal market suffered a reversal in mid-November 2010, due largely to investor concerns about inflation, the federal deficit, and its impact on demand for U.S. Treasuries. Adding to this situation was the popular media's coverage of the strained finances of many state and local governments, which often failed to differentiate between gaps in operating budgets and those entities' ability to meet their debt service obligation. As a result, money began to flow out of municipal funds, yields rose and valuations fell. Toward the end of this period, we saw the environment in the municipal market improve, as crossover buyers-including hedge funds and life insurance companies—were attracted by municipal bond prices and tax-exempt yields, resulting in decreased outflows, declining yields and rising valuations.

Over the twelve months ended February 28, 2011, municipal bond issuance nationwide—both tax-exempt and taxable—totaled \$423.4 billion. Demand for municipal bonds was exceptionally strong during the majority of this period, especially from individual investors. In recent months, crossover buyers have provided support for the market.

How were the economic and market environments in Michigan and Ohio during this period?

Michigan, which has one of the weakest state economies in the nation, continued to face serious challenges as it struggled to emerge from recession. In 2009 (latest data available at the time this report was prepared), the state saw its economy contract at a rate of -5.2%, compared with the national average of -2.1%. As of February 2011, Michigan's jobless rate was 10.4%, its best reading since November 2008, down from 13.5% in February 2010, although some of the decrease was attributable to fewer job seekers in the state. The state also continued to experience declining home values. According to the S&P/Case-Shiller home price index of 20 major metropolitan areas, housing prices in Detroit fell 8.1% over the twelve months ended January 2011, hitting a new low. This drop, which ranked as the second largest in the index for this period (after Phoenix), compared with an average decrease of 3.1% nationwide. For fiscal 2011, Michigan

closed the shortfall in its \$46.7 billion state budget through the use of spending cuts, including a 3% reduction for all state agencies, federal stimulus money, debt restructuring, a state employee retirement incentive plan and a tax amnesty program. Because these were largely one-time measures, the state faces a structural gap in fiscal 2012 estimated at \$1.4 billion. As of February 2011, Moody's and Standard & Poor's (S&P) rated Michigan general obligation (GO) debt at Aa2 and AA-, respectively, with stable outlooks. During the twelve months ended February 2011, municipal issuance (both taxable & tax-exempt) in Michigan totaled \$8.3 billion, an increase of 32.5% compared with the twelve months ended February 2010.

Ohio's economy continued to be weak and overly reliant on manufacturing, although that was offset to some degree by the state's large and diverse tax base and highly educated workforce in major metropolitan areas. For 2009, Ohio posted negative GDP growth of -2.7%, compared with the national average of -2.1%, which ranked Ohio 38th in percent change of economic growth by state. As of February 2011, Ohio's unemployment rate was 9.2%, the lowest since February 2009, down from 10.6% in February 2010. The state's housing market, while improving, has yet to make the transition to recovery. According to the S&P/Case-Shiller home price index of 20 major metropolitan areas, housing prices in Cleveland fell 3.8% during the twelve months ended January 2011, compared with an average decline of 3.1% nationally. On the fiscal front, state officials forecast the general fund will end fiscal 2011 with a cash balance of \$154 million. After depleting the budget stabilization fund in fiscal 2009 and drawing down general fund reserves in fiscal 2010, Ohio has limited options to deal with future budget pressures. The budget gap for fiscal 2012 is currently estimated at \$4 billion. As of February 2011, Moody's and Standard & Poor's (S&P) rated Ohio general obligation debt at Aa1 and AA+, respectively, with negative outlooks. For the twelve months ended February 2011, municipal issuance (both taxable & tax-exempt) in Ohio totaled \$15.9 billion, an increase of approximately 30% compared with the twelve months ended February 2010.

What key strategies were used to manage the Michigan and Ohio Funds during this reporting period?

As previously mentioned, the supply of tax-exempt bonds declined nationally during this period, due largely to the issuance of taxable bonds under the BABs program (which expired December 31, 2010). This program also impacted the availability of tax-exempt bonds in Ohio and Michigan, which ranked 5th and 19th, respectively, in terms of dollar amount of BABs issued in 2010. Between March 1, 2010, and the end of the program in December 2010, Build America Bonds accounted for approximately 15% of municipal supply in Michigan and over 36% of Ohio's supply. Since interest payments from Build America Bonds represent taxable income, we did not view these bonds as good investment opportunities for these Funds.

Despite the constrained issuance on tax-exempt municipal bonds, we continued to take a bottom-up approach to discovering undervalued sectors and individual credits with the potential to perform well over the long term. During this period, the Michigan Funds found value in several areas of the market, including health care, single-family housing

and tobacco bonds. Because of the limitations placed on tax-exempt supply by the Build America Bond program, we also purchased territorial paper when necessary to keep the Funds fully invested, including a lower-rated, investment grade water and sewer bond issued by Puerto Rico for NUM and NZW. All of the bonds purchased for the Michigan Funds during this period offered longer maturities.

In the Ohio Funds, our purchases included a number of health care issues with longer maturities and an intermediate-maturity tax-backed credit issued for Cuyahoga County. NUO also bought a couple of additional credits offering intermediate maturities: an electric utility bond and a higher education issue both lower-rated, investment grade. In NXI, we purchased the same higher education credit as NUO as well as the Puerto Rico water and sewer bond. The Ohio Funds also swapped some of their higher dollar priced Buckeye tobacco holdings for tobacco bonds with lower dollar prices. This swap benefited the Funds by enhancing income generation through higher book yields and recognizing losses for tax purposes.

Some of this investment activity resulted from opportunities created by the provisions of the Build America Bond program. For example, tax-exempt supply was more plentiful in the health care and higher education sectors because, as 501(c)(3) (nonprofit) organizations, hospitals and private universities generally did not qualify for the Build America Bond program and continued to issue bonds in the tax-exempt municipal market. Bonds with proceeds earmarked for refundings, working capital and private activities also were not covered by the Build America Bond program, and this resulted in attractive opportunities in various other sectors of the market.

The impact of the Build America Bond program was also evident in the area of longer-term issuance, as municipal issuers sought to take full advantage of the attractive financing terms offered by these bonds. Approximately 70% of Build America Bonds were issued with maturities of at least 30 years. Although this had a significant impact on the availability of tax-exempt credits with longer maturities, the Funds continued to focus on purchasing bonds at the longer end of the yield curve when appropriate bonds became available.

Cash for new purchases during this period was generated primarily by the proceeds from bond calls and maturing bonds, which we worked to redeploy to keep the Funds fully invested. In the Michigan Funds, holdings of bonds issued for the Detroit Medical Center were called as part of the center's acquisition by the for-profit Vanguard Health Systems in 2010. This produced a substantial amount of cash for reinvestment. In addition, the Michigan Funds closed out positions in some out-of-state paper from New Mexico and Virginia and reinvested the proceeds in additional Michigan bonds. The Ohio Funds also sold some short-dated pre-refunded bonds to fund purchases during this period.

As of February 28, 2011, all seven of these Funds continued to use inverse floating rate securities. We employ inverse floaters as a form of leverage for a variety of reasons, including duration management, income enhancement and total return enhancement.

#### How did the Funds perform?

Individual results for these Nuveen Michigan and Ohio Funds, as well as relevant index and peer group information, are presented in the accompanying table.

Average Annual Total Returns on Common Share Net Asset Value

For periods	ended 2/28/11	
-------------	---------------	--

	1-Ye	ear	5-Y	ear	10-Y	ear
Michigan Funds						
NUM	1.39	%	3.39	%	5.32	%
NMP	2.55	%	3.53	%	5.26	%
NZW	0.70	%	2.93	%	N/A	
Standard & Poor's (S&P) Michigan Municipal Bond Index1	2.21	%	3.65	%	4.72	%
Standard & Poor's (S&P) National Municipal Bond Index2	1.63	%	3.74	%	4.75	%
Lipper Michigan Municipal Debt Funds Average3		%	2.80	%	4.92	%
Ohio Funds						
NUO	1.09	%	3.92	%	5.39	%
NXI	-0.23	%	3.83	%	N/A	
NBJ	1.00	%	3.73	%	N/A	
NVJ	-0.66	%	3.88	%	N/A	
Standard & Poor's (S&P) Ohio Municipal Bond Index1	0.02	%	3.09	%	4.36	%
Standard & Poor's (S&P) National Municipal Bond Index2	1.63	%	3.74	%	4.75	%
Lipper Other States Municipal Debt Funds Average4	0.54	%	3.14	%	5.11	%

For the twelve months ended February 28, 2011, the total return on common share net asset value (NAV) for NMP exceeded the return for the Standard & Poor's (S&P) Michigan Municipal Bond Index, while NUM and NZW lagged this return. Among the Ohio Funds, NUO and NBJ outperformed the Standard & Poor's (S&P) Ohio Municipal Bond Index, while NXI and NVJ underperformed this index. For the same period, NMP surpassed the return on the Standard & Poor's (S&P) National Municipal Bond Index, while the remaining six Funds trailed the national index. All three Michigan Funds outperformed the average return for the Lipper Michigan Municipal Debt Funds Average, while NUO and NBJ exceeded the average return for the Lipper Other States Municipal Debt Funds Average and NXI and NVJ lagged the Other States average.

Key management factors that influenced the Funds' returns during this period included duration and yield curve positioning, credit exposure and sector allocation. In addition, NUO, NBJ and NMP benefited from strong individual security selection. The use of financial leverage also factored into the Funds' performance. Leverage is discussed in more detail on page ten.

During this period, municipal bonds with intermediate maturities, especially those in the long intermediate segment of the yield curve, generally outperformed other maturity groupings, with credits at both the shortest and longest ends of the curve posting the weakest returns. In general, duration and yield curve positioning was a positive contributor to the performances of NMP, NZW, NUO and NBJ. These Funds tended to have less exposure to the underperforming longest part of the yield curve and more exposure to

Past performance is not predictive of future results. Current performance may be higher or lower than the data shown. Returns do not reflect the deduction of taxes that shareholders may have to pay on Fund distributions or upon the sale of Fund shares.

For additional information, see the individual Performance Overview for your Fund in this report.

- 1 The Standard & Poor's (S&P) Municipal Bond Indexes for Michigan and Ohio are unleveraged, market value-weighted indexes designed to measure the performance of the tax-exempt, investment-grade municipal bond markets in Michigan and Ohio, respectively. These indexes do not reflect any initial or ongoing expenses and are not available for direct investment.
- 2 The Standard & Poor's (S&P) National Municipal Bond Index is an unleveraged, market value-weighted index designed to measure the performance of the tax-exempt, investment-grade U.S. municipal bond market. This index does not reflect any initial or ongoing expenses and is not available for direct investment.
- 3 The Lipper Michigan Municipal Debt Funds Average is calculated using the returns of all closed-end funds in this category for each period as follows: 1-year, 7 funds; 5-year, 7 funds; and 10-year, 4 funds. Lipper returns account for the effects of management fees and assume reinvestment of dividends, but do not reflect any applicable sales charges. The Lipper average is not available for direct investment.
- 4 The Lipper Other States Municipal Debt Funds Average is calculated using the returns of all leveraged and unleveraged closed-end funds in this category for each period as follows: 1-year, 46 funds; 5-year, 46 funds; and 10-year, 20 funds. The performance of the Lipper Other States category represents the overall average of returns for funds from ten different states with a wide variety of municipal market conditions. Shareholders should note that the performance of the Lipper Other States category represents the overall average of returns for funds from ten different states category represents the overall average of returns for funds from ten different states category represents the overall average of returns for funds from ten different states are conditions, making direct comparisons less meaningful. Lipper returns account for the effects of management fees and assume reinvestment of dividends, but do not reflect any applicable sales charges. The Lipper average is not available for direct investment.

the intermediate segments of the curve that outperformed. NUM, NXI, and NVJ were not as advantageously positioned, due mainly to their overweightings in the longest part of the curve. This detracted from the performance of these three Funds.

Credit exposure also played an important role in performance during these twelve months. During the market reversal of late 2010, as the demand for high-yield bonds decreased, prices on lower quality credits generally fell. For the period, bonds rated BBB typically underperformed those rated AAA or A. In general, these Funds tended to be overweight in bonds rated A, which benefited their performance. NUM also benefited by having the smallest weighting of bonds rated BBB among these Funds.

Holdings that generally made positive contributions to the Funds' returns during this period included general obligation and other tax-supported bonds, industrial development revenue (IDR) bonds, and housing credits. The Funds' allocations of tax-supported bonds, especially the Ohio Funds' underexposure to state GOs, generally limited their participation in the outperformance of this sector. An overweight in IDRs helped to boost the returns of the Michigan Funds. In general, all of these Funds had relatively small allocations to housing bonds, which lessened the positive impact of this sector.

In contrast, the hospital, education and transportation sectors turned in relatively weak performance, and tobacco bonds were among the poorest performers. While the Ohio Funds' overweighting in hospitals detracted from their performance, the Michigan Funds were helped by their underweights in transportation. The insured segment also failed to keep pace with the general municipal market return for the twelve months, as did pre-refunded bonds, which are typically backed by U.S. Treasury securities. Among these Funds, NVJ had the heaviest exposure to pre-refunded bonds and NMP the smallest allocation.

# IMPACT OF THE FUNDS' LEVERAGE STRATEGIES ON PERFORMANCE

One important factor impacting the returns of most of these Funds relative to the comparative indexes was the Funds' use of structural leverage. The Funds use leverage because their managers believe that, over time, leveraging provides opportunities for additional income and total return for common shareholders. However, use of leverage also can expose common shareholders to additional volatility. For example, as the prices of securities held by a Fund decline, the negative impact of these valuation changes on common share net asset value and common shareholder total return is magnified by the use of leverage. Conversely, leverage may enhance common share returns during periods when the prices of securities held by a Fund generally are rising. Leverage made a positive contribution to the performance of these Funds over this reporting period.

# RECENT DEVELOPMENTS REGARDING THE FUNDS' REDEMPTION OF AUCTION RATE PREFERRED SHARES

Shortly after their respective inception, each of the Funds issued auction rate preferred shares (ARPS) to create structural leverage. As noted in past shareholder reports, the ARPS issued by many closed-end funds, including these Funds, have been hampered by a lack of liquidity since February 2008. Since that time, more ARPS have been submitted for sale in each of their regularly scheduled auctions than there have been offers to buy. In fact, offers to buy have been almost completely non-existent since late February 2008. This means that these auctions have "failed to clear," and that many, or all, of the ARPS shareholders who wanted to sell their shares in these auctions were unable to do so. This lack of liquidity in ARPS did not lower the credit quality of these shares, and ARPS shareholders unable to sell their shares continued to receive distributions at the "maximum rate" applicable to failed auctions, as calculated in accordance with the pre-established terms of the ARPS. In the recent market, with short-term rates at multigenerational lows, those maximum rates also have been low.

One continuing implication for common shareholders from the auction failures is that each Fund's cost of leverage likely has been incrementally higher at times than it otherwise might have been had the auctions continued to be successful. As a result, each Fund's common share earnings likely have been incrementally lower at times than they otherwise might have been.

As noted in past shareholder reports, the Nuveen funds' Board of Directors/Trustees authorized several methods that can be used separately or in combination to refinance a portion of the Nuveen funds' outstanding ARPS. Some funds have utilized tender option bonds (TOBs), also known as inverse floating rate securities, for leverage purposes. The amount of TOBs that a fund may use varies according to the composition of each fund's portfolio. Some funds have a greater ability to use TOBs than others. Some funds have issued Variable Rate Demand Preferred (VRDP) Shares as well as Variable MuniFund Term Preferred (VMTP) Shares, which are a floating rate form of preferred stock with a mandatory term redemption. Some funds have issued MuniFund Term Preferred (MTP) Shares, a fixed rate form of preferred stock with a mandatory redemption period of three to five years.

While all these efforts have reduced the total amount of outstanding ARPS issued by the Nuveen funds, the funds cannot provide any assurance on when the remaining outstanding ARPS might be redeemed.

During 2010 and 2011, certain Nuveen leveraged closed-end funds (excluding all of the Funds in this report) received a demand letter from a law firm on behalf of purported holders of common shares of each such fund, alleging that Nuveen and the funds' officers and Board of Directors/Trustees breached their fiduciary duties related to the redemption at par of the funds' ARPS. In response, the Board established an ad hoc Demand Committee consisting of certain of its disinterested and independent Board members to investigate the claims. The Demand Committee retained independent

counsel to assist it in conducting an extensive investigation. Based upon its investigation, the Demand Committee found that it was not in the best interests of each fund or its shareholders to take the actions suggested in the demand letters, and recommended that the full Board reject the demands made in the demand letters. After reviewing the findings and recommendation of the Demand Committee, the full Board of each fund unanimously adopted the Demand Committee's recommendation.

Subsequently, the funds that received demand letters (excluding all of the Funds in this report) were named in a consolidated complaint as nominal defendants in a putative shareholder derivative action captioned Martin Safier, et al. v. Nuveen Asset Management, et al. that was filed in the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois, Chancery Division (the "Cook County Chancery Court") on February 18, 2011 (the "Complaint"). The Complaint, filed on behalf of purported holders of each fund's common shares, also name Nuveen Fund Advisors, Inc as a defendant, together with current and former Officers and interested Director/Trustees of each of the funds (together with the nominal defendants, collectively, the "Defendants"). The Complaint contains the same basic allegations contained in the demand letters. The suits seek a declaration that the Defendants have breached their fiduciary duties, an order directing the Defendants not to redeem any ARPS at their liquidation value using fund assets, indeterminate monetary damages in favor of the funds and an award of plaintiffs' costs and disbursements in pursuing the action. Nuveen Fund Advisors, Inc. believes that the Complaint is without merit, and is defending vigorously against these charges.

As of February 28, 2011, the amount of ARPS redeemed by the Funds is shown in the accompanying table.

	Auction Rate	% of Original
	Preferred Shares	Auction Rate
Fund	Redeemed	Preferred Shares
NUM	\$ 6,675,000	7.1%
NMP	\$ 2,300,000	4.1%
NZW	\$16,000,000	100.0%
NUO	\$ 4,000,000	5.2%
NXI	\$18,500,000	59.7%
NBJ	\$ 2,400,000	10.0%
NVJ	\$ 1,000,000	6.1%

During the twelve-month reporting period, NZW and NXI successfully completed the issuance of MTP, which trade on the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) under the ticker symbols as noted in the following table. The net proceeds from these offerings were used to refinance all, or a portion of, each Fund's remaining outstanding ARPS at par.

				IN I SE
Fund	MTP Issued	Series	Rate	Ticker
NZW	\$16,313,000	2015	2.30%	NZW PrC
NXI	\$19,450,000	2015	2.35%	NXI PrC

12 Nuveen Investments

NVSE

Subsequent to the reporting period, NXI completed the issuance of \$10.6 million of 2.95%, Series 2016 MTP. The newly issued MTP shares trade on the NYSE under the symbol "NXI Pr D." The net proceeds from this offering were used to refinance the Fund's remaining outstanding ARPS at par. Immediately following its MTP issuance, NXI noticed for redemption at par its remaining \$12.5 million ARPS outstanding using the MTP proceeds.

Subsequent to the reporting period, NBJ completed the issuance of \$24.2 million of 2.35%, Series 2014 MTP. The newly issued MTP shares trade on the NYSE under the symbol "NBJ Pr A." The net proceeds from this offering were used to refinance the Fund's remaining outstanding ARPS at par. Immediately following its MTP issuance, NXI noticed for redemption at par its remaining \$21.6 million ARPS outstanding using the MTP proceeds.

Subsequent to the reporting period, NVJ completed the issuance of \$16.1 million of 2.35%, Series 2014 MTP. The newly issued MTP shares trade on the NYSE under the symbol "NVJ Pr A." The net proceeds from this offering were used to refinance the Fund's remaining outstanding ARPS at par. Immediately following its MTP issuance, NVJ noticed for redemption at par its remaining \$15.5 million ARPS outstanding using the MTP proceeds.

(Refer to Notes to Financial Statements, Footnote 1 – General Information and Significant Accounting Policies and Footnote 4 – Fund Shares for further details on MTP Shares.)

At the time this report was prepared, all 84 of the Nuveen closed-end municipal funds that had issued ARPS have redeemed at par all or a portion of these shares. These redemptions bring the total amount of Nuveen's municipal closed-end funds' ARPS redemptions to approximately \$8.8 billion of the approximately \$11.0 billion originally outstanding.

For up-to-date information, please visit the Nuveen CEF Auction Rate Preferred Resource Center at: http://www.nuveen.com/arps.

#### Common Share Dividend and Share Price Information

During the twelve months ended February 28, 2011, each of the seven Funds in this report had one monthly dividend increase.

All of the Funds in this report seek to pay stable dividends at rates that reflect each Fund's past results and projected future performance. During certain periods, each Fund may pay dividends at a rate that may be more or less than the amount of net investment income actually earned by the Fund during the period. If a Fund has cumulatively earned more than it has paid in dividends, it holds the excess in reserve as undistributed net investment income (UNII) as part of the Fund's NAV. Conversely, if a Fund has cumulatively paid dividends in excess of its earnings, the excess constitutes negative UNII that is likewise reflected in the Fund's NAV. Each Fund will, over time, pay all of its net investment income as dividends to shareholders. As of February 28, 2011, all of the Funds in this report had positive UNII balances for both tax purposes and financial reporting purposes.

### COMMON SHARE REPURCHASES AND SHARE PRICE INFORMATION

As of February 28, 2011, and the since inception of the Funds' repurchase program, the following Funds have cumulatively repurchased and retired common shares as shown in the accompanying table.

	Common Shares	% of Outstanding
Fund	Repurchased and Retired	Common Shares
NUM	157,300	1.4%
NMP	145,400	2.0%
NZW	13,900	0.7%
NUO	_	-
NXI	600	$0.0\%^{*}$
NBJ	_	-
NVJ	1,700	0.1%
* Pounds to loss than $0.1\%$		

\* Rounds to less than 0.1%.

During the twelve-month reporting period, the following Funds repurchased and retired common shares at a weighted average price and a weighted average discount per common share as shown in the accompanying table.

		Weighted Average	Weighted Average
	Common Shares	Price Per Share	Discount Per Share
	Repurchased	Repurchased	Repurchased
Fund	and Retired	and Retired	and Retired
NUM	3,400	\$12.75	13.81%
NMP	8,300	\$12.63	12.55%
NZW	1,700	\$11.98	11.21%

As of February 28, 2011, the Funds' common share prices were trading at (-)discounts to their common share NAVs as shown in the accompanying table.

	2/28/11 Twelve-M	2/28/11 Twelve-Month Average	
Fund	(-)Discount	(-)Discount	
NUM	(-)10.08% (-)8.30%		
NMP	(-)9.25% (-)8.42%		
NZW	(-)10.15% (-)8.32%		
NUO	(-)3.82% (-)2.58%		
NXI	(-)6.73% (-)2.26%		
NBJ	(-)7.47% (-)3.12%		
NVJ	(-)4.39% (-)0.09%		

NUM

Performance OVERVIEW Nuveen Michigan Quality Income Municipal Fund, Inc.

as of February 28, 2011

Fund Snapshot Common Share Price Common Share Net Asset Value (NAV) Premium/(Discount) to NAV Market Yield Taxable-Equivalent Yield1 Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares (\$000)		\$12.75 \$14.18 -10.08% 6.59% 9.56% \$163,876
Common Shares (\$000)		\$105,670
Average Annual Total Return (Inception 10/17/91)		
	On Share Price	On NAV
1-Year	4.69%	1.39%
5-Year	1.86%	3.39%
10-Year	4.67%	5.32%
Portfolio Composition3		
(as a % of total investments)		
Tax Obligation/General		35.8%
U.S. Guaranteed		16.5%
Tax Obligation/Limited		11.9%
Utilities		9.9%
Health Care		9.1%
Water and Sewer		7.8%
Other		9.0%

Refer to the Glossary of Terms Used in this Report for further definition of the terms used within this Fund's Performance Overview page.

- 1 Taxable-Equivalent Yield represents the yield that must be earned on a fully taxable investment in order to equal the yield of the Fund on an after-tax basis. It is based on a combined federal and state income tax rate of 31.1%. When comparing this Fund to investments that generate qualified dividend income, the Taxable-Equivalent Yield is lower.
- 2 Ratings shown are the highest of Standard & Poor's Group, Moody's Investor Service, Inc. or Fitch, Inc. AAA includes bonds with an implied AAA rating since they are backed by U.S. Government or agency securities. AAA, AA, A and BBB ratings are investment grade; BB, B, CCC, CC, C and D ratings are

below-investment grade. Holdings designated N/R are not rated by any of these national rating agencies.

3 Holdings are subject to change.

NMP

Performance OVERVIEW Nuveen Michigan Premium Income Municipal Fund, Inc.

as of February 28, 2011

Refer to the Glossary of Terms Used in this Report for further definition of the terms used within this Fund's Performance Overview page.

- 1 Taxable-Equivalent Yield represents the yield that must be earned on a fully taxable investment in order to equal the yield of the Fund on an after-tax basis. It is based on a combined federal and state income tax rate of 31.1%. When comparing this Fund to investments that generate qualified dividend income, the Taxable-Equivalent Yield is lower.
- 2 Ratings shown are the highest of Standard & Poor's Group, Moody's Investor Service, Inc. or Fitch, Inc. AAA includes bonds with an implied AAA rating since they are backed by U.S. Government or agency securities. AAA, AA, A and BBB ratings are investment grade; BB, B, CCC, CC, C and D ratings are below-investment grade. Holdings designated N/R are not rated by any of these national rating agencies.
- 3 Holdings are subject to change.

Fund Snapshot	
Common Share Price	\$12.66
Common Share Net Asset Value (NAV)	\$13.95
Premium/(Discount) to NAV	-9.25%
Market Yield	6.59%
Taxable-Equivalent Yield1	9.56%
Net Assets Applicable to	
Common Shares (\$000)	\$106,083

Average Annual Total Return (Inception 12/17/92)

	On Share Price	On NAV
1-Year	7.72%	2.55%
5-Year	1.88%	3.53%
10-Year	5.42%	5.26%

Portfolio Composition3	
(as a % of total investments)	
Tax Obligation/General	36.4%
Tax Obligation/Limited	13.9%
Water and Sewer	11.9%
Utilities	10.2%

Health Care	8.9%
U.S. Guaranteed	8.6%
Other	10.1%

NZW

Performance OVERVIEW

Nuveen Michigan Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund

as of February 28, 2011

Fund Snapshot		
Common Share Price		\$12.13
Common Share Net Asset Value (NAV)		\$13.50
Premium/(Discount) to NAV		-10.15%
Market Yield		6.63%
Taxable-Equivalent Yield1		9.62%
Net Assets Applicable to		
Common Shares (\$000)		\$27,710
Average Annual Total Return		
(Inception 9/25/01)		
	On Share Price	On NAV
1-Year	3.72%	0.70%
5-Year	0.09%	2.93%
Since Inception	3.56%	5.03%
Portfolio Composition3		
(as a % of total investments)		
Tax Obligation/General		28.2%
U.S. Guaranteed		12.9%
Utilities		12.2%
Health Care		11.1%
Tax Obligation/Limited		10.6%
Water and Sewer		10.4%
Other		14.6%

Refer to the Glossary of Terms Used in this Report for further definition of the terms used within this Fund's Performance Overview page.

1 Taxable-Equivalent Yield represents the yield that must be earned on a fully taxable investment in order to equal the yield of the Fund on an after-tax basis. It is based on a combined federal and state income tax rate of 31.1%. When comparing this Fund to investments that generate qualified dividend income, the Taxable-Equivalent Yield is lower.

2

Ratings shown are the highest of Standard & Poor's Group, Moody's Investor Service, Inc. or Fitch, Inc. AAA includes bonds with an implied AAA rating since they are backed by U.S. Government or agency securities. AAA, AA, A and BBB ratings are investment grade; BB, B, CCC, CC, C and D ratings are below-investment grade. Holdings designated N/R are not rated by any of these national rating agencies.

3 Holdings are subject to change.

NUO

Performance OVERVIEW Nuveen Ohio Quality Income Municipal Fund, Inc.

as of February 28, 2011

Refer to the Glossary of Terms Used in this Report for further definition of the terms used within this Fund's Performance Overview page.

- 1 Taxable-Equivalent Yield represents the yield that must be earned on a fully taxable investment in order to equal the yield of the Fund on an after-tax basis. It is based on a combined federal and state income tax rate of 31.9%. When comparing this Fund to investments that generate qualified dividend income, the Taxable-Equivalent Yield is lower.
- 2 Ratings shown are the highest of Standard & Poor's Group, Moody's Investor Service, Inc. or Fitch, Inc. AAA includes bonds with an implied AAA rating since they are backed by U.S. Government or agency securities. AAA, AA, A and BBB ratings are investment grade; BB, B, CCC, CC, C and D ratings are below-investment grade. Holdings designated N/R are not rated by any of these national rating agencies.
- 3 Holdings are subject to change.

Fund Snapshot	
Common Share Price	\$14.85
Common Share Net Asset Value (NAV)	\$15.44
Premium/(Discount) to NAV	-3.82%
Market Yield	6.06%
Taxable-Equivalent Yield1	8.90%
Net Assets Applicable to	
Common Shares (\$000)	\$150,555

Average Annual Total Return (Inception 10/17/91)

	On Share Price	On NAV
1-Year	0.91%	1.09%
5-Year	2.69%	3.92%
10-Year	4.33%	5.39%

#### Portfolio Composition3

(as a % of total investments)	
Tax Obligation/General	24.8%
Health Care	18.0%
U.S. Guaranteed	15.3%
Tax Obligation/Limited	11.7%
Education and Civic Organizations	9.5%

Utilities	5.0%
Consumer Staples	4.8%
Other	10.9%

NXI

Performance OVERVIEW Nuveen Ohio Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund

as of February 28, 2011

Fund Snapshot		
Common Share Price		\$13.30
Common Share Net Asset Value (NAV)		\$14.26
Premium/(Discount) to NAV		-6.73%
Market Yield		6.63%
Taxable-Equivalent Yield1		9.74%
Net Assets Applicable to		
Common Shares (\$000)		\$60,550
Average Annual Total Return		
(Inception 3/27/01)		
	On Share Price	On NAV
1-Year	-2.52%	-0.23%
5-Year	0.80%	3.83%
Since Inception	4.56%	5.74%
Portfolio Composition3		
(as a % of total investments)		
U.S. Guaranteed		16.5%
Health Care		16.5%
Tax Obligation/General		16.3%
Tax Obligation/Limited		15.7%
Education and Civic Organizations		8.5%
Utilities		6.9%
Housing/Multifamily		4.8%
Other		14.8%

Refer to the Glossary of Terms Used in this Report for further definition of the terms used within this Fund's Performance Overview page.

- 1 Taxable-Equivalent Yield represents the yield that must be earned on a fully taxable investment in order to equal the yield of the Fund on an after-tax basis. It is based on a combined federal and state income tax rate of 31.9%. When comparing this Fund to investments that generate qualified dividend income, the Taxable-Equivalent Yield is lower.
- 2 Ratings shown are the highest of Standard & Poor's Group, Moody's Investor Service, Inc. or Fitch, Inc. AAA includes bonds with an implied AAA rating since they are backed by U.S. Government or agency securities. AAA, AA, A and BBB

ratings are investment grade; BB, B, CCC, CC, C and D ratings are below-investment grade. Holdings designated N/R are not rated by any of these national rating agencies.

3 Holdings are subject to change.

NBJ

Performance OVERVIEW Nuveen Ohio Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 2

as of February 28, 2011

Refer to the Glossary of Terms Used in this Report for further definition of the terms used within this Fund's Performance Overview page.

- 1 Taxable-Equivalent Yield represents the yield that must be earned on a fully taxable investment in order to equal the yield of the Fund on an after-tax basis. It is based on a combined federal and state income tax rate of 31.9%. When comparing this Fund to investments that generate qualified dividend income, the Taxable-Equivalent Yield is lower.
- 2 Ratings shown are the highest of Standard & Poor's Group, Moody's Investor Service, Inc. or Fitch, Inc. AAA includes bonds with an implied AAA rating since they are backed by U.S. Government or agency securities. AAA, AA, A and BBB ratings are investment grade; BB, B, CCC, CC, C and D ratings are below-investment grade. Holdings designated N/R are not rated by any of these national rating agencies.
- 3 Holdings are subject to change.

Fund Snapshot	
Common Share Price	\$13.01
Common Share Net Asset Value (NAV)	\$14.06
Premium/(Discount) to NAV	-7.47%
Market Yield	6.46%
Taxable-Equivalent Yield1	9.49%
Net Assets Applicable to	
Common Shares (\$000)	\$43,909

Average Annual Total Return (Inception 9/25/01)

	On Share Price	On NAV
1-Year	-0.37%	1.00%
5-Year	1.78%	3.73%
Since Inception	4.19%	5.42%
Portfolio Composition3		
(as a % of total investments)		
Tax Obligation/General		23.5%
U.S. Guaranteed		19.3%
Health Care		15.6%

Tax Obligation/Limited	11.0%
Education and Civic Organizations	8.1%
Industrials	7.3%
Utilities	6.7%
Other	8.5%

NVJ

Performance OVERVIEW Nuveen Ohio Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 3

as of February 28, 2011

Fund Snapshot		
Common Share Price		\$13.72
Common Share Net Asset Value (NAV)		\$14.35
Premium/(Discount) to NAV		-4.39%
Market Yield		6.60%
Taxable-Equivalent Yield1		9.69%
Net Assets Applicable to		
Common Shares (\$000)		\$30,968
Average Annual Total Return		
(Inception 3/25/02)		
	On Share Price	On NAV
1-Year	-4.13%	-0.66%
5-Year	3.30%	3.88%
Since Inception	4.72%	5.63%
Portfolio Composition3		
(as a % of total investments)		
U.S. Guaranteed		23.7%
Tax Obligation/General		22.6%
Health Care		19.5%
Tax Obligation/Limited		7.2%
Utilities		5.4%
Industrials		4.8%
Consumer Staples		4.3%
Other		12.5%

Refer to the Glossary of Terms Used in this Report for further definition of the terms used within this Fund's Performance Overview page.

- 1 Taxable-Equivalent Yield represents the yield that must be earned on a fully taxable investment in order to equal the yield of the Fund on an after-tax basis. It is based on a combined federal and state income tax rate of 31.9%. When comparing this Fund to investments that generate qualified dividend income, the Taxable-Equivalent Yield is lower.
- 2 Ratings shown are the highest of Standard & Poor's Group, Moody's Investor Service, Inc. or Fitch, Inc. AAA includes bonds with an implied AAA rating since

they are backed by U.S. Government or agency securities. AAA, AA, A and BBB ratings are investment grade; BB, B, CCC, CC, C and D ratings are below-investment grade. Holdings designated N/R are not rated by any of these national rating agencies.

3 Holdings are subject to change.

# NUM Shareholder Meeting Report (Unaudited)

NMP

NZW

The annual meeting of shareholders was held in the offices of Nuveen Investments on November 16, 2010; at this meeting the shareholders were asked to vote on the election of Board Members.

	NUM		NMP	NZ	W
	Common	Com	imon	Common	
	and		and	and	
	Preferred	Preferred Prefe			Preferred
	shares	shares sl	hares share	s shares	shares
	voting	voting vo	oting voting	g voting	voting
	together	together toge	together together	r together	together
	as a class	as a class as a c			as a class
Approval of the Board					
Members was reached					
as follows:					
John P. Amboian					
For	10,382,765	- <del>6</del> ,562	,500		
Withhold	432,093	—538	,740		
Total	10,814,858	-7,101	,240		
Robert P. Bremner					
For	10,374,179	- <del>6</del> ,556	,984		
Withhold	440,679	— 544	,256		
Total	10,814,858	-7,101	,240		
Jack B. Evans					
For	10,381,519	- <del>6</del> ,564	,715		
Withhold	433,339	—536	-		
Total	10,814,858	-7,101	,240		
William C. Hunter					
For	_	2,080	— 1,399		440
Withhold	—	5	— 14	1	1
Total		2,085	— 1,413	3 —	441
David J. Kundert					
For	10,379,259	- <del>6</del> ,604			
Withhold	435,599	—496	-		
Total	10,814,858	-7,101	,240		
William J. Schneider					
For	—	2,080	— 1,399		440
Withhold	—	5	— 14		1
Total	—	2,085	— 1,413	3 —	441
Judith M. Stockdale					
For	10,355,215	- <del>6</del> ,549		-1,923,260	
Withhold	459,643	—551		— 94,798	
Total	10,814,858	-7,101	,240	-2,018,058	

10,367,165	- <del>6</del> ,608,417	-1,923,370	_
447,693		— 94,688	_
10,814,858	-7,101,240	- <del>2</del> ,018,058	
10,378,257	- <del>6</del> ,565,715		_
436,601	— 535,525		_
10,814,858	-7,101,240		
	447,693 10,814,858 10,378,257 436,601	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

NUO Shareholder Meeting Report (continued) (Unaudited) NXI NBJ

	NUO Common and Preferred shares voting together as a class	Preferred shares voting together as a class	NXI Common and Preferred shares voting together as a class	Preferred shares voting together as a class	NBJ Common and Preferred shares voting together as a class	Preferred shares voting together as a class
Approval of the Board						
Members was reached						
as follows:						
John P. Amboian	0.017.000					
For	8,917,338	-		-		
Withhold	141,024	-		-		
Total	9,058,362	-		-		
Robert P. Bremner	0.000.751					
For	8,908,751	-		-		
Withhold	149,611	-		-		
Total	9,058,362	-		-		_
Jack B. Evans	0.000.070					
For Withhold	8,920,878	-		-		
Total	137,484 9,058,362	-		-		
William C. Hunter	9,038,302	-		-		
For		1,081		531		423
Withhold		1,081		1		423
Total		1,189		532		430
David J. Kundert		1,109		552		430
For	8,906,691					
Withhold	151,671					
Total	9,058,362			_		_
William J. Schneider	9,050,502					
For		1,081	_	531		423
Withhold		108		1		7
Total		1,189	_	532		430
Judith M. Stockdale		1,109		552		150
For	8,914,402	-	-4,006,869	_	<del>-2</del> ,879,638	
Withhold	143,960		- 54,814		- 91,768	
Total	9,058,362		-4,061,683		<del>2</del> ,971,406	
Carole E. Stone	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		.,		_,, , 1, .00	
For	8,912,689	-	-4,004,591	_	<del>-2</del> ,879,638	
Withhold	145,673		- 57,092		- 91,768	
			,		,	

Total	9,058,362	-4,061,683	-2,971,406	
Terence J. Toth				
For	8,909,599			
Withhold	148,763			
Total	9,058,362			—

NVJ

Number         Number           Common and Preferred         Preferred           shares voting         voting           together         as a class           Approval of the Board Members was reached         as a class           as follows:			
Prefered         Prefered         Prefered           shares voting         together         as a class           Approval of the Board Members was reached         as a class         as a class           as follows:		NV.	I
shares intres voing together as a class         shares voing together as a class           Approval of the Board Members was reached as follows:         as a class         as a class           John P. Amboian         -         -           For         -         -           Yotthold         -         -           Total         -         -           Robert P. Bremner         -         -           For         -         -           Total         -         -			
shares voting together as a class         voting together as a class           Approval of the Board Members was reached as follows:         as a class         as a class           John P. Amboian         -         -           For         -         -         -           Withhold         -         -         -           Robert P. Bremner         -         -         -           Total         -         -         -           Mithhold         -         -         -           Total         -         -         -           Total         -         -         -           Total         -         -         -           For         -         -         -           Total         -         -         -           For         -         -         -           For         -         -         -           Vithhold         -         2         2           Total         -         -         -           Por         -         -         -           Por         -         -         -           Por         -         -         -		Preferred	
together as a class         together as a class           Approval of the Board Members was reached         as a class           as follows:			
as a class         as a class           Approval the Board Members was reached         as follows:           John P. Amboian         —           For         —           For         —           Withhold         —           Total         —           Robert P. Bremner         —           For         —           Total         —           Total         —           Total         —           Total         —           Total         —           Total         —           For         —           Vithhold         —           Total         —			
Approval of the Board Members was reached       as follows:         John P. Amboian       —         For       —       —         For       —       —         Withhold       —       —         Total       —       —         Robert P. Bremmer       —       —         For       —       —         Jack B. Evans       —       —         For       —       —         Jotal       —       —         Jack B. Evans       —       —         For       —       —         Vithhold       —       —         Total       —       —         Milliam C. Hunter       —       —         For       —       376         David J. Kundert       —       —         For       —       —         For       —       —         Vithhold       —       —         Total       —       —         David J. Kundert       —       —         For       —       —       —         Total       —       —       —         Total       —       — <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>			
as follows: John P. Amboian For		as a class	as a class
John P. Amboian       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       #       #       #       #       #       #       #       #       #       #       #       #       #       #       #       #       #       #       #       #       #       #       #       #       #       #       #       #       #       #       #       #       #       #       #       #       #       #       #       #       #       #       #       #			
For       —         Withhold       —         Total       —         Robert P. Bremner       —         For       —         Total       —         Start B. Brans       —         For       —         Total       —         Jack B. Evans       —         For       —         For       —         Vithhold       —         Total       —         Total       —         Total       —         Total       —         For       —         For       —         Stathold       —         David J. Kundert       —         For       —         Vithhold       —         Otal       —         David J. Kundert       —         For       —         Vithhold       —         Otal       —         Stata       —         Vithhold       —         For       1.984.171         For       1.984.171         Vithhold       95.890         Total       2.080.061			
Withhold     —     —     —       Total     —     —     —       Robert P. Bremner     —     —     —       For     —     —     —       Vithhold     —     —     —       Total     —     —     —       Jack B. Evans     —     —     —       For     —     —     —       Withhold     —     —     —       Total     —     —     —       Withhold     —     —     —       For     —     —     —       Vithhold     —     2     7       Total     —     —     —       David J. Kundert     —     —     —       For     —     —     —       Vithhold     —     —     —       Total     —     —     —       Vithhold     —     —     —       For     —     —     —       Vithhold     —     —     —       Judith M. Stockdale     —     —     —       For     1.984.171     —     —       Vithhold     9.5.890     —     —       Total     2.080.061     —     —<			
Total       —         Robert P. Bremner       —         For       —         For       —         Total       —         Jack B. Evans       —         For       —         For       —         Total       —         Jack B. Evans       —         For       —         For       —         Total       —         Withhold       —         Total       —         Withhold       —         For       —         For       —         Standard       —         Withhold       —         David J. Kundert       —         For       —         Total       —         Withhold       —         Total       —         Withhold       —         Total       —         Standard       —         For       —         For       1.984.171         For       1.984.171         For       1.984.171         For       1.984.8061         For       2.039.240         Total<			
Robert P. Bremner		<u> </u>	
For       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …			
Withhold       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …<	Robert P. Bremner		
Total       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       …       … <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>			
Jack B. Evans       —       —       —         For       —       —       —         Withhold       —       —       —         Total       —       —       —         Withhold       —       2       —       376         David J. Kundert       —       —       376         David J. Kundert       —       —       —         For       —       —       —         Vithhold       —       —       —         Total       —       —       —         Vithhold       95,890       —       —         Total       2,080,061       —       —         Vithhold       95,890       —       —         Total       2,039,240       —       —         For       2,039,240       —       —	Withhold	—	
For       —       —       —         Withhold       —       —       —         Total       —       374         Withhold       —       2         Total       —       376         David J. Kundert       —       376         David J. Kundert       —       —         For       —       376         David J. Kundert       —       —         For       —       —         Vithhold       —       —         Total       —       —         Withhold       —       —         For       —       374         Withhold       —       —         For       —       374         Withhold       —       —         For       —       374         Withhold       —       2         Total       —       376         Judith M. Stockdale       —       —         For       1,984,171       —         Vithhold       95,890       —         Total       2,080,061       —         For       2,039,240       —         For       2,039,240 <td>Total</td> <td>—</td> <td></td>	Total	—	
Withhold       —       —         Total       —       —         William C. Hunter       —       —         For       —       374         Withhold       —       2         Total       —       376         David J. Kundert       —       —         For       —       —         Vithhold       —       —         Total       —       —         Vithhold       —       —         Vithhold       —       —         Total       —       —         Vithhold       —       —         Total       —       —         Vithhold       —       —         For       —       374         Withhold       —       —         For       —       374         Withhold       —       2         Judith M. Stockdale       —       —         For       1,984,171       —         Vithhold       95,890       —         Total       2,030,061       —         Gorole E. Stone       —       —         For       2,039,240       —	Jack B. Evans		
Total       —       —         William C. Hunter       —       374         For       —       374         Withhold       —       2         Total       —       376         David J. Kundert       —       —         For       —       —         Withhold       —       —         Total       —       —         Withhold       —       —         Total       —       —         Withhold       —       —         Total       —       —         Villiam J. Schneider       —       —         For       —       374         Withhold       —       2         Total       —       376         Judith M. Stockdale       —       —         For       1,984,171       —         For       1,984,171       —         Vithhold       95,890       —         Total       2,080,061       —         For       2,039,240       —         For       2,039,240       —         Withhold       40,821       —         Total       2,080,061	For	—	
William C. Hunter       —       374         For       —       374         Withhold       —       2         Total       —       376         David J. Kundert       —       —         For       —       —         Vithhold       —       —         Total       —       —         For       —       —         Withhold       —       —         Total       —       —         Withhold       —       —         For       —       374         Withhold       —       2         Total       —       374         Withhold       —       2         Total       —       376         Judith M. Stockdale       —       376         For       1,984,171       —         Vithhold       95,890       —         Total       2,080,061       —         Carole E. Stone       —       —         For       2,039,240       —         Withhold       40,821       —         Total       2,080,061       —	Withhold	—	
For       —       374         Withhold       —       2         Total       —       376         David J. Kundert       —       376         For       —       —         Yithhold       —       —         Total       —       —         Vithhold       —       —         Total       —       —         Vithhold       —       —         For       —       374         Withhold       —       —         Total       —       —         For       —       374         Withhold       —       2         Total       —       376         Judith M. Stockdale       —       —         For       1,984,171       —         Withhold       95,890       —         Total       2,080,061       —         For       2,039,240       —         For       2,039,240       —         Fotal       2,080,061       —         Total       2,080,061       —			
Withhold       –       2         Total       –       376         David J. Kundert       –       –         For       –       –         Withhold       –       –         Total       –       –         Withhold       –       –         Total       –       –         Withhold       –       374         Withhold       –       2         Total       –       376         Judith M. Stockdale       –       376         Judith M. Stockdale       –       376         Total       –       376         Judith M. Stockdale       –       –         For       1,984,171       –         Vithhold       95,890       –         Total       2,080,061       –         Carole E. Stone       –       –         For       2,039,240       –         Withhold       40,821       –         Total       2,080,061       –	William C. Hunter		
Total       —       376         David J. Kundert       —       —         For       —       —         Withhold       —       —         Total       —       —         William J. Schneider       —       374         For       —       374         Withhold       —       22         Total       —       376         Judith M. Stockdale       —       376         For       1,984,171       —         Vithhold       95,890       —         Total       2,080,061       —         For       2,039,240       —         For       2,039,240       —         For       2,039,240       —         Total       2,080,061       —         For       2,039,240       —         For       2,039,240       —         For       2,039,240       —         For       2,039,240       —         Total       2,080,061       —		—	374
David J. Kundert       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       Withhold       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       Withhold       —       —       Withian J. Schneider       —       —       374       —       374       —       374       —       374       —       374       —       374       —       374       —       374       —       374       —       374       —       374       —       374       —       376       _       _       376       _       _       376       _       _       376       _       _       376       _       _       376       _       _       376       _       _       376       _       _       _       376       _       _       _       376       _       _       _       _       _       _       _       _       _       _       _       _       _       _       _       _       _       _       _       _       _       _       _       _       _       _       _       _       _       _       _       _       _       _       _       _       _       _<	Withhold	—	2
For       —       —         Withhold       —       —         Total       —       —         William J. Schneider       —       374         Withhold       —       2         Total       —       376         Judith M. Stockdale       —       376         For       1,984,171       —         For       1,984,171       —         Yithhold       95,890       —         Total       2,080,061       —         Carole E. Stone       —       2,039,240       —         Withhold       40,821       —       —         Total       2,080,061       —       —	Total	_	376
Withhold       —       —         Total       —       —         William J. Schneider       —       374         For       —       374         Withhold       —       2         Total       —       376         Judith M. Stockdale       —       376         For       1,984,171       —         Yithhold       95,890       —         Total       2,080,061       —         For       2,039,240       —         Withhold       40,821       —         Total       2,080,061       —	David J. Kundert		
Total       —       —       —       William J. Schneider         For       —       374       —       374         Withhold       —       2       2         Total       —       376       376         Judith M. Stockdale       —       376         For       1,984,171       —         Withhold       95,890       —         Total       2,080,061       —         Carole E. Stone       —       —         For       2,039,240       —         Withhold       40,821       —         Total       2,080,061       —	For	_	
William J. Schneider       —       374         For       —       274         Withhold       —       22         Total       —       376         Judith M. Stockdale       —       376         For       1,984,171       —         Withhold       95,890       —         Total       2,080,061       —         Carole E. Stone       —       2         For       2,039,240       —         Withhold       40,821       —         Total       2,080,061       —	Withhold	—	_
For       —       374         Withhold       —       2         Total       —       376         Judith M. Stockdale       —       376         For       1,984,171       —         Withhold       95,890       —         Total       2,080,061       —         Carole E. Stone       —       2         For       2,039,240       —         Withhold       40,821       —         Total       2,080,061       —	Total	—	
Withhold        2         Total        376         Judith M. Stockdale       1,984,171       -         For       1,984,171       -         Withhold       95,890       -         Total       2,080,061       -         Carole E. Stone       2,039,240       -         Withhold       40,821       -         Total       2,080,061       -	William J. Schneider		
Total       —       376         Judith M. Stockdale       1,984,171       —         For       1,984,171       —         Withhold       95,890       —         Total       2,080,061       —         Carole E. Stone       —       40,821       —         Withhold       2,080,061       —       —         Total       2,080,061       —       —	For	—	374
Judith M. Stockdale         For       1,984,171         Withhold       95,890         Total       2,080,061         Carole E. Stone       2         For       2,039,240         Withhold       40,821         Total       2,080,061	Withhold		2
For       1,984,171       -         Withhold       95,890       -         Total       2,080,061       -         Carole E. Stone       2,039,240       -         For       2,039,240       -         Withhold       40,821       -         Total       2,080,061       -	Total		376
Withhold       95,890       -         Total       2,080,061       -         Carole E. Stone       2,039,240       -         For       2,039,240       -         Withhold       40,821       -         Total       2,080,061       -	Judith M. Stockdale		
Total       2,080,061       -         Carole E. Stone       2,039,240       -         For       2,039,240       -         Withhold       40,821       -         Total       2,080,061       -	For	1,984,171	_
Carole E. Stone         2,039,240         -           For         20,039,240         -           Withhold         40,821         -           Total         2,080,061         -	Withhold		
Carole E. Stone         2,039,240         -           For         2,039,240         -           Withhold         40,821         -           Total         2,080,061         -	Total	2,080,061	
For         2,039,240         -           Withhold         40,821         -           Total         2,080,061         -	Carole E. Stone		
Withhold         40,821         -           Total         2,080,061         -		2,039,240	
Total 2,080,061 –			_

For	 
Withhold	 
Total	 

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors/Trustees and Shareholders Nuveen Michigan Quality Income Municipal Fund, Inc. Nuveen Michigan Premium Income Municipal Fund, Inc. Nuveen Michigan Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund Nuveen Ohio Quality Income Municipal Fund, Inc. Nuveen Ohio Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund Nuveen Ohio Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 2 Nuveen Ohio Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 3

We have audited the accompanying statements of assets and liabilities, including the portfolios of investments, of Nuveen Michigan Quality Income Municipal Fund, Inc., Nuveen Michigan Premium Income Municipal Fund, Inc., Nuveen Michigan Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund, Nuveen Ohio Quality Income Municipal Fund, Inc., Nuveen Ohio Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund, Nuveen Ohio Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund, Nuveen Ohio Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 3 (the "Funds") as of February 28, 2011, and the related statements of operations and cash flows (Nuveen Michigan Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund Advantage Municipal Fund only) for the year then ended, the statements of changes in net assets for the periods indicated therein, and the financial highlights for each of the periods indicated therein. These financial statements and financial highlights are the responsibility of the Funds' management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and finan

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements and financial highlights are free of material misstatement. We were not engaged to perform an audit of the Funds' internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Funds' internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements and financial highlights, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our procedures or by other appropriate auditing procedures where replies from brokers were not received. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements and financial highlights referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial positions of Nuveen Michigan Quality Income Municipal Fund, Inc., Nuveen Michigan Premium Income Municipal Fund, Inc., Nuveen Michigan Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund, Nuveen Ohio Quality Income Municipal Fund, Inc., Nuveen Ohio Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund, Nuveen Ohio Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund, Nuveen Ohio Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 2, and Nuveen Ohio Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 3 at February 28, 2011, and the results of their operations and cash flows (Nuveen Michigan Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund only) for the year then ended, the changes in their net assets for the periods indicated therein, and the financial highlights for each of the periods indicated therein in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

Chicago, Illinois April 27, 2011

NUM	Nuveen Michigan Quality Income Municipal Fund, Inc. Portfolio of Investments			
1,0111		February	28, 2011	
Principal		Optional Call		
Amount	Description (1)	$\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{max}}$	Ratings	<b>V</b> - 1
(000)	Description (1) Consumer Staples – 4.0% (2.6% of Total	Provisions (2)	(3)	Value
	Investments)			
	Michigan Tobacco Settlement Finance Authority,			\$
\$ 7,500	Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Revenue Bonds,	6/18 at 100.00	Baa3	6,565,575
	Series 2008A, 6.875%, 6/01/42, DD1			
	Education and Civic Organizations - 3.5% (2.3% of			
	Total Investments)			
	Michigan Higher Education Facilities Authority,			
1,685	Limited Obligation Revenue Refunding Bonds,	9/11 at 100.00	N/R	1,683,163
	Kettering University, Series 2001, 5.500%, 9/01/17 – AMBAC Insured			
	Michigan Higher Education Student Loan			
	Authority, Revenue Bonds, Series 2002 XVII-G,			
1,000	5.200%,	9/12 at 100.00	AA	1,005,140
	9/01/20 – AMBAC Insured (Alternative Minimum			
	Tax)			
<b>2</b> 000	Michigan State University, General Revenue	2/20 / 100.00		1 000 220
2,000	Bonds, Refunding Series 2010C, 5.000%, 2/15/40	2/20 at 100.00	Aa1	1,980,320
1 1 1 5	Michigan Technological University, General	10/12  at  100.00	1.02	1 124 402
1,115	Revenue Bonds, Series 2004A, 5.000%, 10/01/22 – NPFG Insured	10/13 at 100.00	Aa3	1,134,423
5,800	Total Education and Civic Organizations			5,803,046
5,000	Health Care – 14.0% (9.1% of Total Investments)			5,005,040
	Jackson County Hospital Finance Authority,			
1,080	Michigan, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Alligiance	6/20 at 100.00	AA+	982,368
,	Health, Refunding Series 2010A, 5.000%, 6/01/37 –			,
	AGM Insured			
	Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority,			
	Hospital Revenue Bonds, Henry Ford Health			
4,100	System,	11/19 at 100.00	A1	3,832,762
	Refunding Series 2009, 5.750%, 11/15/39			
	Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority,			
	Hospital Revenue Bonds, Oakwood Obligated			
4,075	Group,	4/13 at 100.00	А	3,963,223
	Series 2002A, 5.750%, 4/01/32			
	Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority,			
2 500	Hospital Revenue Bonds, MidMichigan Obligated	6/10 -+ 100 00		2 5 1 6 0 5 0
2,500	Group, Series 2000 A 5 875% 6/01/30 AGC Insured	6/19 at 100.00	AA+	2,516,950
	Series 2009A, 5.875%, 6/01/39 – AGC Insured			

1 000	Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority,	5/11 at 100 50	DDD 1 001 190
1,000	Hospital Revenue Refunding Bonds, Memorial Healthcare Center Obligated Group, Series 1999,	5/11 at 100.50	BBB 1,001,180
	5.875%, 11/15/21		
	Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority,		
1,500	Hospital Revenue Refunding Bonds, Trinity Health	12/12 at 100.00	AA 1,456,350
	Credit Group, Series 2002C, 5.375%, 12/01/30		
	Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority,		
	Revenue Bonds, Marquette General Hospital, Series 2005A:		
1,500	5.000%, 5/15/26	5/15 at 100.00	Baa3 1,302,840
2,055	5.000%, 5/15/34	5/15 at 100.00	Baa3 1,652,446
	Royal Oak Hospital Finance Authority, Michigan,		
1,150	Hospital Revenue Bonds, William Beaumont	9/18 at 100.00	A1 1,286,896
	Hospital, Refunding Series 2009V, 8.250%,		
	9/01/39 Royal Oak Hospital Finance Authority, Michigan,		
5,500	Hospital Revenue Bonds, William Beaumont	11/11 at 100.00	A1 4,924,095
- )	Hospital, Series 2001M, 5.250%, 11/15/31 – NPFG		,- ,
	Insured		
24,460	Total Health Care		22,919,110
	Housing/Multifamily – 3.7% (2.4% of Total		
	Investments) Michigan Housing Douglonmont Authority, ENMA		
2,675	Michigan Housing Development Authority, FNMA Limited Obligation Multifamily Housing Revenue	12/20 at 101.00	AAA 2,687,653
2,075	Bonds, Parkview Place Apartments, Series 2002A,	12/20 at 101.00	2,007,055
	5.550%, 12/01/34 (Alternative Minimum Tax)		
	Michigan Housing Development Authority, Rental		
140	Housing Revenue Bonds, Series 1999A, 5.300%,	4/11 at 100.00	AA 133,847
	10/01/37 – NPFG Insured (Alternative Minimum		
	Tax) Michigan Housing Development Authority, Rental		
1,300	Housing Revenue Bonds, Series 2006D, 5.125%,	7/15 at 100.00	AA+ 1,259,830
-,	4/01/31 – AGM Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax)		
	Michigan Housing Development Authority, Rental		
200	Housing Revenue Bonds, Series 2009A,	10/18 at 100.00	AA 202,320
	5.700%, 10/01/39		
1 9 2 5	Michigan Housing Development Authority, Rental Housing Revenue Bonds, Series 2010A,	10/20 at 100.00	A A 1 712 022
1,825	5.000%, 10/01/35	10/20 at 100.00	AA 1,712,033
6,140	Total Housing/Multifamily		5,995,683
,	Housing/Single Family – 1.2% (0.7% of Total		
	Investments)		
	Michigan Housing Development Authority, Single		
2,000	Family Homeownership Revenue Bonds, Series	6/20 at 100.00	AA 1,916,740
	2010C, 5.500%, 12/01/28 (Alternative Minimum Tax)		
	1 αλ)		

# Nuveen Michigan Quality Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (continued)NUMPortfolio of Investments February 28, 2011

Principal		Optional Call	Ratings	
Amount (000)	Description (1)	Provisions (2)	(3)	Value
	Tax Obligation/General – 55.3% (35.8% of Total Investments)	110/15/01/5 (2)	(3)	Value
\$ 1,000	Anchor Bay School District, Macomb and St. Clair Counties, Michigan, General Obligation Refunding Bonds, Series 2002, 5.000%,	5/12 at 100.00	Aa2	\$ 1,004,820
	5/01/25			
	Anchor Bay School District, Macomb and St. Clair Counties, Michigan, Unlimited Tax General			
	Obligation Refunding Bonds, Series 2001:			
2,500	5.000%, 5/01/21	5/11 at 100.00	Aa2	2,513,225
3,200	5.000%, 5/01/29	5/11 at 100.00	Aa2	3,200,416
	Ann Arbor, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Court & Police Facilities Capital			
1,000	Improvement	5/18 at 100.00	AA+	1,002,620
	Series 2008, 5.000%, 5/01/38			
1,320	Bridgeport Spaulding Community School District, Saginaw County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2002, 5.500%, 5/01/16	5/12 at 100.00	Aa2	1,383,413
2,110	Caledonia Community Schools, Kent, Allegan and Barry Counties, Michigan, General Obligation	5/13 at 100.00	Aa2	2,246,074
	Bonds, Series 2003, 5.250%, 5/01/20			
1,000	Caledonia Community Schools, Kent, Allegan and Barry Counties, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2005, 5.000%, 5/01/25 – NPFG Insured	5/15 at 100.00	Aa2	1,018,850
2,319	Caledonia Community Schools, Kent, Allegan and Barry Counties, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Tender Option Bond Trust 2008-1096, 7.922%, 5/01/32 – NPFG Insured	5/17 at 100.00	Aa2	2,058,576
	(IF)			
2,000	Detroit City School District, Wayne County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series	No Opt Coll	٨	2 270 240
2,000	2002A, 6.000%, 5/01/19 – FGIC Insured	No Opt. Call	Aa2	2,278,240
700	0.000%, $3/01/19 - FOIC Insured$	2/11 at 100.00	٨	701.064
700		2/11 at 100.00	A–	701,064

	Detroit-Wayne County Stadium Authority,			
	Michigan, Limited Tax General Obligation			
	Building			
	Authority Stadium Bonds, Series 1997,			
	5.500%, 2/01/17 – FGIC Insured			
	East Grand Rapids Public Schools, County			
	of Kent, State of Michigan, General			
285	Obligation Bonds,	5/11 at 100.00	AA	285,063
205	Series 2001, Refunding, 5.125%, 5/01/29	5/11 at 100.00	111	205,005
	Grand Rapids and Kent County Joint			
	Building Authority, Michigan, Limited Tax			
	General			
	Obligation Bonds, Devos Place Project,			
0.000	Series 2001:			4 070 407
8,900	0.000%, 12/01/25	No Opt. Call		4,270,487
3,000	0.000%, 12/01/26	No Opt. Call		1,338,000
5,305	0.000%, 12/01/29	No Opt. Call	AAA	1,927,731
	Grand Rapids, Michigan, General Obligation			
	Bonds, Capital Improvement Series 2007,			
1,700	5.000%,	9/17 at 100.00	AA	1,742,194
	9/01/27 – NPFG Insured			
	Hartland Consolidated School District,			
	Livingston County, Michigan, General			
2,000	Obligation	5/11 at 100.00	Aa2	2,001,120
	Refunding Bonds, Series 2001, 5.125%,			
	5/01/29			
	Howell Public Schools, Livingston County,			
	Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series			
1,400	2003,	11/13 at 100.00	Aa2	1,444,576
	5.000%, 5/01/21			
	Jackson Public Schools, Jackson County,			
	Michigan, General Obligation School			
1,065	Building and Site	5/14 at 100.00	AA+	1,118,974
	Bonds, Series 2004, 5.000%, 5/01/22 - AGM			
	Insured			
	Kalamazoo Public Schools, Michigan,			
	General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006,			
1,935	5.000%, 5/01/25 -	5/16 at 100.00	AA+	1,975,693
	AGM Insured			
	L'Anse Creuse Public Schools, Macomb			
	County, Michigan, General Obligation			
200	Bonds, Series 2005,	5/15 at 100.00	AA+	193,848
	5.000%, 5/01/35 – AGM Insured			
	Lincoln Consolidated School District,			
	Washtenaw and Wayne Counties, Michigan,			
2,505	General	5/16 at 100.00	Aa2	2,554,223
	Obligation Bonds, Series 2006, 5.000%,			
	5/01/25 – NPFG Insured			
	Livonia Public Schools, Wayne County,			
	Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series			
2,810	2004A,	5/14 at 100.00	Aa2	2,899,864

	5.000%, 5/01/21 – NPFG Insured		
	Lowell Area Schools, Counties of Ionia and Kent, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds,		
865	Series	5/17 at 100.00	AA+ 831,386
	2007, 5.000%, 5/01/37 - AGM Insured		
	Marshall Public Schools, Calhoun County,		
	Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series		
1,500	2007,	5/17 at 100.00	AA-1,501,080
	5.000%, 5/01/30 – SYNCORA GTY Insured		
	Michigan Municipal Bond Authority,		
	General Obligation Bonds, Detroit City		
2,100	School District,	6/15 at 100.00	AA+ 2,162,601
	Series 2005, 5.000%, 6/01/18 – AGM		
	Insured		
	Michigan, General Obligation Bonds,		
	Environmental Program, Series 2009A,		
100	5.500%, 11/01/25	5/19 at 100.00	Aa2 105,649
	Montrose School District, Michigan, School		
	Building and Site Bonds, Series 1997,		
2,500	6.000%,	No Opt. Call	Aa3 2,895,725
	5/01/22 – NPFG Insured		
	Muskegon County, Michigan, Limited Tax		
	General Obligation Wastewater		
1,100	Management System 2	7/11 at 100.00	AA 1,104,136
	Revenue Bonds, Series 2002, 5.000%,		
	7/01/26 – FGIC Insured		

Principal Amount (000)	Description (1)	Optional Call Provisions (2) Rati	ings (3)	Value
	Tax Obligation/General (continued)		U V	
\$ 1,000	Oakland County Building Authority, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2002,	9/11 at 100.00	AAA	\$ 1,009,050
3,950	5.125%, 9/01/22 Oakland Intermediate School District, Oakland County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds,	5/17 at 100.00	Aaa	3,869,381
1,595	Series 2007, 5.000%, 5/01/36 – AGM Insured Oakridge Public Schools, Muskegon County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2005, 5.000%, 5/01/22 – NPFG Insured	5/15 at 100.00	AA-	1,676,217
	Ottawa County, Michigan, Water Supply System, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2007:			
4,330	5.000%, 8/01/26 – NPFG Insured (UB)	8/17 at 100.00	Aaa	4,460,463
1,120	5.000%, 8/01/30 – NPFG Insured (UB)	8/17 at 100.00	Aaa	1,132,522
1,245	Parchment School District, Kalamazoo County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Tender Option	No Opt. Call	AA+	967,863
4,340	Bond Trust 2836, 11.061%, 5/01/15 – AGM Insured (IF) Plymouth-Canton Community School District, Wayne and Washtenaw Counties, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004, 5.000%, 5/01/26 – FGIC Insured	5/14 at 100.00	Aa2	4,402,626
1,000	Rockford Public Schools, Kent County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2008, 5.000%, 5/01/33 – AGM Insured	5/18 at 100.00	AA+	982,180
200	South Haven, Van Buren County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Capital Improvement Series 2009, 5.125%, 12/01/33 – AGC Insured	12/19 at 100.00	AA+	202,874
3,175	South Redford School District, Wayne County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, School Building and Site, Series 2005, 5.000%, 5/01/30 – NPFG Insured	5/15 at 100.00	Aa2	3,176,556
1,655	Southfield Library Building Authority, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2005, 5.000%, 5/01/26 – NPFG Insured	5/15 at 100.00	AA+	1,688,911
2,200	Thornapple Kellogg School District, Barry County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000%, 5/01/32 – NPFG Insured	5/17 at 100.00	Aa2	2,170,146
2,000	Trenton Public Schools District, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2008, 5.000%, 5/01/34 – AGM Insured	5/18 at 100.00	AA+	1,950,280
2,275	Troy City School District, Oakland County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006, 5.000%, 5/01/19 – NPFG Insured	5/16 at 100.00	Aa1	2,432,794

	Van Dyke Public Schools, Macomb County, Michigan, General			
	Obligation Bonds, School Building			
	and Site, Series 2008:			
		5/18 at		
310	5.000%, 5/01/31 – AGM Insured	100.00	AA+	307,064
		5/18 at		
575	5.000%, 5/01/38 – AGM Insured	100.00	AA+	551,465
	Wayne Charter County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds,	12/19 at		
1,200	Building Improvements, Series 2009A,	100.00	A–	1,209,684
	6.750%, 11/01/39			
	Wayne County, Michigan, Limited Tax General Obligation	12/11 at		
5,000	Airport Hotel Revenue Bonds, Detroit	101.00	А-	4,996,750
,	Metropolitan Wayne County Airport, Series 2001A, 5.000%,			, ,
	12/01/21 – NPFG Insured			
	Wayne Westland Community Schools, Michigan, General	11/14 at		
3,350	Obligation Bonds, Series 2004, 5.000%,	100.00	AA+	3,642,221
0,000	5/01/17 - AGM Insured	100100		0,0.2,221
	Williamston Community School District, Michigan, Unlimited Tax	No Opt.		
1,725	General Obligation QSBLF Bonds,	Call	Aa3	1,946,231
1,725	Series 1996, 5.500%, 5/01/25 – NPFG Insured	Cull	1 Ius	1,740,231
98,664	Total Tax Obligation/General		(	90,534,926
20,001	Tax Obligation/Limited – 18.4% (11.9% of Total Investments)			0,551,720
	Grand Rapids Building Authority, Kent County, Michigan,	No Opt.		
1,000	Limited Tax General Obligation Bonds,	Call	ΔΔ	1,112,480
1,000	Series 1998, 5.000%, 4/01/16	Cull	111	1,112,400
	Grand Rapids Building Authority, Kent County, Michigan,	10/11 at		
1,345	Limited Tax General Obligation Bonds,	100.00	AA	1,366,533
1,545	Series 2001, 5.125%, 10/01/26 – NPFG Insured	100.00	1111	1,500,555
	Michigan Municipal Bond Authority, Local Government Loan	5/11 at		
20	Program Revenue Sharing Bonds, Series	100.00	Aa3	20,083
20	1992D, 6.650%, 5/01/12	100.00	AdJ	20,005
	Michigan State Building Authority, Revenue Bonds, Facilities	10/15 at		
2,135	Program, Series 2005II, 5.000%,	10/13 at 100.00	Aa3	2,005,512
2,133	10/15/33 – AMBAC Insured	100.00	Aas	2,005,512
	Michigan State Building Authority, Revenue Bonds, Refunding			
	Series 2006IA:			
	Series 2000IA:	10/16 at		
7 000	0.000% 10/15/27 ECIC Incurad	10/16 at		2 570 750
7,000	0.000%, 10/15/27 – FGIC Insured	58.27	AAA	2,570,750
( 000	0.0000/ 10/15/20 ECIC Lange 1	10/16 at		2 105 224
6,200	0.000%, 10/15/28 – FGIC Insured	55.35	AAA	2,105,334
4 4 4 0	5 0000 10/15/2C PCIC Lange 1	10/16 at	* 2	1050001
4,440	5.000%, 10/15/36 – FGIC Insured	100.00	Aa3	4,056,961

Nuveen Michigan Quality Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (continued)NUMPortfolio of Investments February 28, 2011

Principal		Optional Call	Ratings	
Amount (000)	Description (1)	Provisions (2)	(3)	Value
	Tax Obligation/Limited (continued)	11011510115 (2)	(5)	v ulue
	Michigan State Building Authority, Revenue			
	Refunding Bonds, Facilities Program, Series			
	2003II:			
				\$
\$ 5,100	5.000%, 10/15/22 – NPFG Insured	10/13 at 100.00	Aa3	5,156,406
5,000	5.000%, 10/15/23 – NPFG Insured	10/13 at 100.00	Aa3	5,036,800
	Michigan State Trunk Line, Fund Refunding			
	Bonds, Series 2002, 5.250%, 10/01/21 -			
3,500	AGM Insured	10/12 at 100.00	AA+	3,677,380
	Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing			
	Corporation, Sales Tax Revenue Bonds,			
17,000	Series 2007A, 0.000%,	No Opt. Call	Aa2	1,812,710
	8/01/44 – NPFG Insured			
	Virgin Islands Public Finance Authority,			
	Matching Fund Loan Notes Revenue Bonds,			
1,000	Series 2009B,	10/19 at 100.00	BBB	953,610
	5.000%, 10/01/25			
	Virgin Islands Public Finance Authority,			
120	Revenue Bonds, Senior Lien Matching Fund	10/10 . 100.00	DDD	0.17.5.10
420	Loan	10/19 at 100.00	BBB	347,542
54.160	Notes, Series 2009A-1, 5.000%, 10/01/39			20 222 101
54,160	Total Tax Obligation/Limited			30,222,101
	Transportation – 1.5% (1.0% of Total Investments)			
	Capital Region Airport Authority, Michigan,			
	Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2002,			
1,000	5.250%,	7/12 at 100.00	A3	1,004,100
1,000	7/01/21 – NPFG Insured (Alternative	7712 at 100.00	115	1,004,100
	Minimum Tax)			
	Metropolitan Washington DC Airports			
	Authority, Virginia, Dulles Toll Road			
1,750	Revenue Bonds,	10/28 at 100.00	BBB+	997,605
	Dulles Metrorail Capital Appreciation,			,
	Series 2010B, 0.000%, 10/01/44			
	Wayne County Airport Authority, Michigan,			
	Revenue Bonds, Detroit Metropolitan			
500	Airport,	No Opt. Call	А	521,575
	Refunding Series 2007, 5.000%, 12/01/12 -			
	FGIC Insured			
3,250	Total Transportation			2,523,280

	U.S. Guaranteed – 25.4% (16.5% of Total Investments) (4)			
	Birmingham, Michigan, General Obligation			
1,200	Bonds, Series 2002, 5.000%, 10/01/20	10/12 at 100.50	AAA	1,289,748
	(Pre-refunded 10/01/12)			
	Detroit, Michigan, Senior Lien Sewerage			
	Disposal System Revenue Bonds, Series			
935	2003A, 5.000%,	7/13 at 100.00	AA+ (4)	1,028,322
	7/01/17 (Pre-refunded 7/01/13) – AGM			
	Insured Detroit, Michigan, Senior Lien Water			
	Supply System Revenue Bonds, Series			
	2001A:			
	5.750%, 7/01/28 (Pre-refunded 7/01/11) –			
3,400	FGIC Insured	7/11 at 101.00	A+ (4)	3,492,174
	5.250%, 7/01/33 (Pre-refunded 7/01/11) -			
770	FGIC Insured	7/11 at 100.00	A+ (4)	781,889
	5.250%, 7/01/33 (Pre-refunded 7/01/11) –			
730	FGIC Insured	7/11 at 100.00	A+ (4)	742,315
	Detroit, Michigan, Senior Lien Water			
	Supply System Revenue Bonds, Series			
	2003A: 5 000% 7/01/24 (Pro refunded 7/01/12)			
4,025	5.000%, 7/01/24 (Pre-refunded 7/01/13) – NPFG Insured	7/13 at 100.00	A+ (4)	4,409,951
4,025	5.000%, 7/01/25 (Pre-refunded 7/01/13) –	7715 at 100.00	A+ (4)	4,409,931
1,500	NPFG Insured	7/13 at 100.00	A+ (4)	1,643,460
1,000	Lake Fenton Community Schools, Genesee			1,0.0,100
	County, Michigan, General Obligation			
2,000	Bonds, Series	5/12 at 100.00	Aa2 (4)	2,106,320
	2002, 5.000%, 5/01/24 (Pre-refunded			
	5/01/12)			
	Lansing Building Authority, Michigan,			
1 500	General Obligation Bonds, Series 2003A,	<i>(1</i> <b>2</b> ) 100 00		1.000.010
1,790	5.000%, 6/01/26	6/13 at 100.00	AA (4)	1,962,646
	(Pre-refunded 6/01/13) – NPFG Insured Mayville Community Schools, Tuscola			
	County, Michigan, General Obligation			
3,880	Bonds, School	11/14 at 100.00	Aa2 (4)	4,425,140
2,000	Building and Site Project, Series 2004,	11,11,00,100,000		.,0,1.0
	5.000%, 5/01/34 (Pre-refunded 11/01/14) –			
	FGIC Insured			
	Michigan South Central Power Agency,			
	Power Supply System Revenue Bonds,			
250	Series 2000, 6.000%,	No Opt. Call	A3 (4)	252,303
	5/01/12 (ETM)			
	Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority,			
1,500	Hospital Revenue Refunding Bonds, Henry Ford Health	3/13 at 100.00	A1 (4)	1,648,185
1,500	System, Series 2003A, 5.625%, 3/01/17	5/15 at 100.00	AI (4)	1,040,103
	(Pre-refunded 3/01/13)			
3,460		5/11 at 100.00	Aaa	3,471,729
				, , , , - ,

	Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority,			
	Hospital Revenue Refunding Bonds, St.			
	John's Health			
	System, Series 1998A, 5.000%, 5/15/28 -			
	AMBAC Insured (ETM)			
	Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority,			
	Revenue Bonds, Chelsea Community			
	Hospital,			
	Series 2005:			
1,025	5.000%, 5/15/30 (Pre-refunded 5/15/15)	5/15 at 100.00	AAA	1,172,518
500	5.000%, 5/15/37 (Pre-refunded 5/15/15)	5/15 at 100.00	AAA	571,960
	Michigan State Trunk Line, Fund Bonds,			
	Series 2001A, 5.000%, 11/01/25			
1,000	(Pre-refunded	11/11 at 100.00	AA+ (4)	1,031,170
	11/01/11) – AGM Insured			
	Michigan, General Obligation Bonds,			
	Environmental Protection Program, Series			
4,000	2003A, 5.250%,	5/13 at 100.00	Aa2 (4)	4,393,760
, ,	5/01/20 (Pre-refunded 5/01/13)			
	Puerto Rico, Highway Revenue Bonds,			
	Highway and Transportation Authority,			
4,100	Series 1996Y,	7/16 at 100.00	Aaa	4,918,934
,	5.500%, 7/01/36 (Pre-refunded 7/01/16)			,- ,

Principal Amount (000)	Description (1)	Optional Call Provisions (2) R	atings (3)	Value
	U.S. Guaranteed (4) (continued)			
	Puerto Rico Public Finance Corporation, Commonwealth Appropriation Bonds, Series 2002E:			
	Appropriation Bonds, Series 2002E.	No Opt.		
\$ 85	6.000%, 8/01/26 (ETM)	Call	Baa1 (4)	\$ 105,037
		No Opt.		
915	6.000%, 8/01/26 (ETM)	Call	AAA	1,130,693
1.050	Warren Consolidated School District, Macomb and Oakland	11/11 at		1 005 5 40
1,050	Counties, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2001, 5.375%, 5/01/19 (Pre-refunded 11/01/11) –	100.00	AA+ (4)	1,085,742
	AGM Insured			
38,115	Total U.S. Guaranteed			41,663,996
	Utilities – 15.3% (9.9% of Total Investments)			,,
	Lansing Board of Water and Light, Michigan, Steam and Electric			
	Utility System Revenue Bonds,			
	Series 2008A:	- 11 0		
215	5 00007 7/01/28	7/18 at 100.00	۸ ۸	017 156
215	5.000%, 7/01/28	7/18 at	AA–	217,156
5,000	5.000%, 7/01/32	100.00	AA-	4,931,900
- ,	Michigan Public Power Agency, Revenue Bonds, Combustion	1/12 at		,,
3,000	Turbine 1 Project, Series 2001A,	100.00	A2	3,009,330
	5.250%, 1/01/27 – AMBAC Insured			
0.005	Michigan South Central Power Agency, Power Supply System	No Opt.		0 770 114
2,695	Revenue Bonds, Series 2000, 6.000%, 5/01/12	Call	A3	2,778,114
	Michigan Strategic Fund, Collateralized Limited Obligation	3/11 at		
1,000	Pollution Control Revenue Refunding	101.00	А	993,710
,	Bonds, Detroit Edison Company, Series 1999A, 5.550%, 9/01/29 -			,
	NPFG Insured (Alternative			
	Minimum Tax)			
4 000	Michigan Strategic Fund, Collateralized Limited Obligation	9/11 at		4 000 ( 40
4,000	Pollution Control Revenue Refunding Bonds, Detroit Edison Company, Series 2001C, 5.450%, 9/01/29	100.00	А	4,002,640
	Michigan Strategic Fund, Limited Obligation Pollution Control	No Opt.		
2,050	Revenue Refunding Bonds, Detroit	Call	BBB+	2,073,247
	Edison Company, Series 1995CC, 4.850%, 9/01/30 (Mandatory			
	put 9/01/11) – AMBAC Insured			
	Michigan Strategic Fund, Limited Obligation Revenue Refunding	No Opt.		
3,630	Bonds, Detroit Edison Company,	Call	А	4,191,234
	Series 1991BB, 7.000%, 5/01/21 – AMBAC Insured Michigan Strategic Fund, Limited Obligation Revenue Refunding	12/12 at		
3,000	Bonds, Detroit Edison Company,	12/12 at 100.00	BBB+	2,837,790
2,000	2 ches, 2 choir Daison Company,	100.00		_,,

	Series 2002C, 5.450%, 12/15/32 – SYNCORA GTY Insured		
	(Alternative Minimum Tax)		
24,590	Total Utilities		25,035,121
	Water and Sewer – 12.0% (7.8% of Total Investments)		
	Detroit Water Supply System, Michigan, Water Supply System	7/16 at	
5,500	Revenue Bonds, Series 2006A,	100.00	AA+ 4,895,660
	5.000%, 7/01/34 – AGM Insured		
	Detroit, Michigan, Senior Lien Sewerage Disposal System	No Opt.	
1,500	Revenue Bonds, Series 2001B, 5.500%,	Call	A 1,454,745
	7/01/29 – FGIC Insured		
	Detroit, Michigan, Senior Lien Sewerage Disposal System	7/13 at	
565	Revenue Bonds, Series 2003A, 5.000%,	100.00	AA+ 583,611
	7/01/17 – AGM Insured		
	Detroit, Michigan, Senior Lien Water Supply System Revenue	7/13 at	
1,500	Bonds, Series 2003A, 5.000%,	100.00	A+ 1,468,350
	7/01/25 – NPFG Insured		
	Detroit, Michigan, Sewage Disposal System Revenue Bonds,	7/18 at	
425	Second Lien Series 2006A, 5.500%,	100.00	AA+ 420,950
	7/01/36 – BHAC Insured		
	Grand Rapids, Michigan, Sanitary Sewer System Revenue Bonds,	1/18 at	
675	Series 2008, 5.000%, 1/01/38	100.00	AA+ 658,874
	Grand Rapids, Michigan, Water Supply System Revenue Bonds,	1/19 at	
2,030	Series 2009, 5.100%, 1/01/39 –	100.00	AA+ 2,035,704
	AGC Insured		
	Michigan Municipal Bond Authority, Clean Water Revolving	10/14 at	
4,210	Fund Revenue Bonds, Series 2004,	100.00	AAA 4,597,952
	5.000%, 10/01/19		
	Michigan Municipal Bond Authority, Drinking Water Revolving	10/14 at	
1,150	Fund Revenue Bonds, Series 2004,	100.00	AAA 1,215,033
	5.000%, 10/01/23		

#### Nuveen Michigan Quality Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (continued) NUM Portfolio of Investments February 28, 2011

Principal		Optional Call	Ratings	
Amount (000)	Description (1)	Provisions (2)	(3)	Value
	Water and Sewer (continued)			
	Michigan Municipal Bond Authority,			
	Water Revolving Fund Revenue Bonds,			
\$ 1,000	Series 2007,	10/17 at 100.00	AAA	\$ 1,060,500
	5.000%, 10/01/24			
	Puerto Rico Aqueduct and Sewerage Authority, Revenue Bonds, Senior Lien			
1,000	Series 2008A,	7/18 at 100.00	Baa1	929,530
,	6.000%, 7/01/44			
	Saginaw, Michigan, Water Supply System			
	Revenue Bonds, Series 2008, 5.250%,			
300	7/01/22 –	7/18 at 100.00	А	305,370
	NPFG Insured			
19,855	Total Water and Sewer			19,626,279
	Total Investments (cost \$250,966,685) –			
\$ 284,534	154.3%			252,805,857
	Floating Rate Obligations $-(2.2)\%$			(3,630,000)
	Other Assets Less Liabilities – 1.2%			2,024,786
	Auction Rate Preferred Shares, at			, ,
	Liquidation Value $-(53.3)\%(5)$			(87,325,000)
	Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares -	_		(07,525,000)
	100%			163,875,643

All percentages shown in the Portfolio of Investments are based on net assets applicable to Common

- shares unless otherwise noted.
   Optional Call Provisions (not covered by the report of independent registered public accounting firm):
   Deter (count of a decear) and arises of the archivet.
- (2) Dates (month and year) and prices of the earliest optional call or redemption. There may be other call provisions at varying prices at later dates. Certain mortgage-backed securities may be subject to periodic principal paydowns.
   Ratings (not covered by the report of independent registered public accounting firm): Using the highest
- (3) of Standard & Poor's Group ("Standard & Poor's"), Moody's Investor Service, Inc. ("Moody's") or Fitch, Inc. ("Fitch") rating. Ratings below BBB by Standard & Poor's, Baa by Moody's or BBB by Fitch are considered to be below investment grade. Holdings designated N/R are not rated by any of these national rating agencies. Backed by an escrow or trust containing sufficient U.S. Government or U.S. Government agency
  (4) married a sufficient of a simple sufficient of a simple sufficient of the s
- (4) securities, which ensure the timely payment of principal and interest. Such investments are normally considered to be equivalent to AAA rated securities.
- (5) Auction Rate Preferred Shares, at Liquidation Value as a percentage of Total Investments is 34.5%.
- N/R Not rated.

- DD1 Investment or portion of investment purchased on a delayed delivery basis.
- (ETM) Escrowed to maturity.
  - (IF) Inverse floating rate investment.Underlying bond of an inverse floating rate trust reflected as a financing transaction. See Notes to
  - (UB) Financial Statements, Footnote 1 General Information and Significant Accounting Policies, Inverse Floating Rate Securities for more information.

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

Nuveen Michigan Premium Income Municipal Fund, Inc. Portfolio of Investments NMP February 28, 2011 **Optional Call** Principal Amount (000)Description (1) Provisions (2) Ratings (3) Value Consumer Staples – 3.7% (2.4% of Total Investments) Michigan Tobacco Settlement Finance Authority, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed \$ Revenue Bonds, 6/18 at 100.00 BBB 3,869,312 \$ 4,420 Series 2008A, 6.875%, 6/01/42, DD1 Education and Civic Organizations – 3.3% (2.2% of Total Investments) Michigan Higher Education Student Loan Authority, Revenue Bonds, Series 2002 XVII-G, 5.200%, 9/12 at 100.00 2,000 AA 2,010,280 9/01/20 – AMBAC Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax) Michigan State University, General Revenue Bonds, Refunding Series 2010C, 5.000%, 1,500 2/15/40 2/20 at 100.00 Aa1 1,485,240 3,495,520 3,500 **Total Education and Civic Organizations** Health Care - 13.5% (8.9% of Total Investments) Jackson County Hospital Finance Authority, Michigan, Hospital Revenue Bonds, 630 Alligiance 6/20 at 100.00 AA+ 573,048 Health, Refunding Series 2010A, 5.000%, 6/01/37 - AGM Insured Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Henry Ford Health 2,725 System, 11/19 at 100.00 A1 2,547,385 Refunding Series 2009, 5.750%, 11/15/39 Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Oakwood Obligated 3,050 Group, 4/13 at 100.00 A 2,966,339 Series 2002A, 5.750%, 4/01/32 Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Hospital Revenue Bonds, MidMichigan Obligated Group, 1,350 6/19 at 100.00 AA+ 1,359,153 Series 2009A, 5.875%, 6/01/39 - AGC Insured Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Hospital Revenue Refunding Bonds, Trinity 1,000 Health 12/12 at 100.00 AA 970,900 Credit Group, Series 2002C, 5.375%, 12/01/30

	Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds, Marquette General Hospital,			
	Series 2005A:			
2,435	5.000%, 5/15/26	5/15 at 100.00	Baa3	2,114,944
2,433	5.000%, 5/15/34	5/15 at 100.00	Baa3	160,822
200	Royal Oak Hospital Finance Authority,	5/15 at 100.00	Duus	100,022
	Michigan, Hospital Revenue and Refunding			
3,500	Bonds, William	8/19 at 100.00	A1	3,348,380
,	Beaumont Hospital Obligated Group, Series 2009W, 6.000%, 8/01/39			, ,
	Royal Oak Hospital Finance Authority,			
	Michigan, Hospital Revenue Bonds, William			
250	Beaumont	9/18 at 100.00	A1	279,760
	Hospital, Refunding Series 2009V, 8.250%, 9/01/39			
15,140	Total Health Care			14,320,731
	Housing/Multifamily – 6.2% (4.1% of Total			
	Investments)			
	Michigan Housing Development Authority,			
	GNMA Collateralized Limited Obligation			
855	Multifamily	4/12 at 102.00	Aaa	850,614
	Housing Revenue Bonds, Burkshire Pointe			
	Apartments, Series 2002A, 5.400%, 10/20/32			
	(Alternative Minimum Tax)			
	Michigan Housing Development Authority,			
1 260	Limited Obligation Revenue Bonds, Breton	4/11 at 100.00		1 261 651
1,260	Village Green	4/11 at 100.00	AA+	1,261,651
	Project, Series 1993, 5.625%, 10/15/18 – AGM Insured			
	Michigan Housing Development Authority,			
	Limited Obligation Revenue Bonds, Walled			
1,890	Lake Villa	4/11 at 100.00	Aaa	1,893,402
-,-,-	Project, Series 1993, 6.000%, 4/15/18 – AGM			_,_,
	Insured			
	Michigan Housing Development Authority,			
	Rental Housing Revenue Bonds, Series			
800	2006D, 5.125%,	7/15 at 100.00	AA+	775,280
	4/01/31 – AGM Insured (Alternative Minimum			
	Tax)			
	Michigan Housing Development Authority,			
	Rental Housing Revenue Bonds, Series			
25	2009A,	10/18 at 100.00	AA	25,290
	5.700%, 10/01/39			
	Mt. Clemens Housing Corporation, Michigan,			
	FHA-Insured Section 8 Assisted Multifamily			
	Housing Revenue Refunding Bonds, Clinton Place			
	Project, Series 1992A:			
310	6.600%, 6/01/13	6/11 at 100.00	AA+	311,175
1,500	6.600%, 6/01/22	6/11 at 100.00	AA+	1,502,250
6,640	Total Housing/Multifamily	0, 11 <b>u</b> 100,00		6,619,662
2,010				.,,

Housing/Single Family – 0.9% (0.6% of Total			
Investments)			
Michigan Housing Development Authority,			
Single Family Homeownership Revenue			
Bonds, Series	6/20 at 100.00	AA	958,370
2010C, 5.500%, 12/01/28 (Alternative			
Minimum Tax)			
	Investments) Michigan Housing Development Authority, Single Family Homeownership Revenue Bonds, Series 2010C, 5.500%, 12/01/28 (Alternative	Investments)Michigan Housing Development Authority, Single Family Homeownership Revenue Bonds, SeriesBonds, Series6/20 at 100.002010C, 5.500%, 12/01/28 (Alternative	Investments)Michigan Housing Development Authority, Single Family Homeownership RevenueBonds, Series6/20 at 100.00AA2010C, 5.500%, 12/01/28 (Alternative

# Nuveen Michigan Premium Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (continued)NMPPortfolio of Investments February 28, 2011

Principal		Optional Call	Ratings	
Amount (000)	Description (1)	Provisions (2)	(3)	Value
	Materials – 1.0% (0.7% of Total			
	Investments)			
	Dickinson County Economic Development			
	Corporation, Michigan, Pollution Control			\$
\$ 1,050	Revenue Bonds,	11/14 at 100.00	BBB	1,054,746
	International Paper Company, Series 2004A,			
	4.800%, 11/01/18			
	Tax Obligation/General – 55.2% (36.4% of			
	Total Investments)			
	Anchor Bay School District, Macomb and			
	St. Clair Counties, Michigan, General			
1,475	Obligation Bonds,	11/13 at 100.00	Aa2	1,534,133
	Series 2003, 5.000%, 5/01/21			
	Anchor Bay School District, Macomb and			
	St. Clair Counties, Michigan, Unlimited Tax			
2,500	General	5/11 at 100.00	Aa2	2,513,225
	Obligation Refunding Bonds, Series 2001, 5.000%, 5/01/21			
	Ann Arbor, Michigan, General Obligation			
	Bonds, Court & Police Facilities Capital			
1,000	Improvement	5/18 at 100.00	AA+	1,002,620
	Series 2008, 5.000%, 5/01/38			
	Battle Creek School District, Calhoun			
	County, Michigan, General Obligation			
100	Bonds, Series 2007,	5/17 at 100.00	AA+	97,080
	5.000%, 5/01/37 – AGM Insured			
	Caledonia Community Schools, Kent,			
	Allegan and Barry Counties, Michigan,			
2,250	General Obligation	5/15 at 100.00	Aa2	2,282,400
	Bonds, Series 2005, 5.000%, 5/01/26 – NPFG Insured			
	Caledonia Community Schools, Kent,			
	Allegan and Barry Counties, Michigan,			
1,501	General Obligation	5/17 at 100.00	Aa2	1,332,438
	Bonds, Tender Option Bond Trust			
	2008-1096, 7.922%, 5/01/32 – NPFG Insured (IF)			
	Detroit City School District, Wayne County,			
	Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series			
	2002A:			
1,815	6.000%, 5/01/20 – FGIC Insured	No Opt. Call	Aa2	2,069,663
750	6.000%, $5/01/21 - FGIC Insured$	No Opt. Call	Aa2	852,473
150		rie opu cull	1112	002,110

	Detroit City School District, Wayne County,		
2,500	Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2003B,	5/13 at 100.00	Aa2 2,528,825
	5.000%, 5/01/23 - FGIC Insured		
	Detroit-Wayne County Stadium Authority,		
	Michigan, Limited Tax General Obligation		
	Building		
770	Authority Stadium Bonds, Series 1997: 5.500%, 2/01/17 – FGIC Insured	8/11 at 100.00	A– 771,170
6,990	5.250%, 2/01/17 – FGIC Insured	8/11 at 100.00	A- 6,990,280
0,770	Grand Rapids, Michigan, General Obligation	0/11 at 100.00	A= 0,770,200
	Bonds, Capital Improvement Series 2007,		
860	5.000%,	9/17 at 100.00	AA 898,571
	9/01/24 – NPFG Insured		
	Hartland Consolidated School District,		
	Livingston County, Michigan, General		
1,500	Obligation	5/11 at 100.00	Aa2 1,500,840
	Refunding Bonds, Series 2001, 5.125%,		
	5/01/29		
	Holly Area School District, Oakland County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series		
1,650	2006,	5/16 at 100.00	Aa2 1,651,370
1,000	5.125%, 5/01/32 – NPFG Insured	5/10 at 100.00	11112 1,001,070
	Howell Public Schools, Livingston County,		
	Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series		
2,000	2003,	11/13 at 100.00	Aa2 2,063,640
	5.000%, 5/01/22		
	Kalamazoo Public Schools, Michigan,		
1.050	General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006,	<i>5/1/ / 100.00</i>	A A - 1 076 000
1,250	5.000%, 5/01/25 – AGM Insured	5/16 at 100.00	AA+ 1,276,288
	Lansing School District, Ingham County,		
	Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series		
500	2004,	5/14 at 100.00	Aa2 525,340
	5.000%, 5/01/22		
	Livonia Public Schools, Wayne County,		
	Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series		
1,000	2004A,	5/14 at 100.00	Aa2 1,031,980
	5.000%, 5/01/21 – NPFG Insured		
	Lowell Area Schools, Counties of Ionia and		
865	Kent, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series	5/17 at 100.00	AAL 821 286
805	2007, 5.000%, 5/01/37 – AGM Insured	3/17 at 100.00	AA+ 831,386
	Marshall Public Schools, Calhoun County,		
	Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series		
425	2007,	5/17 at 100.00	AA- 425,306
	5.000%, 5/01/30 - SYNCORA GTY Insured		
	Michigan Municipal Bond Authority,		
	General Obligation Bonds, Detroit City		
1,000	School District,	6/15 at 100.00	AA+ 1,029,810

	Series 2005, 5.000%, 6/01/18 – AGM			
	Insured			
	Michigan, General Obligation Bonds,			
	Environmental Program, Series 2009A,			
800	5.500%, 11/01/25	5/19 at 100.00	Aa2	845,192
000	Oakland Intermediate School District,	5/17 at 100.00	na2	0+3,172
<b>2</b> 1 <b>7</b> 0	Oakland County, Michigan, General			
2,450	Obligation Bonds,	5/17 at 100.00	Aaa	2,399,996
	Series 2007, 5.000%, 5/01/36 – AGM			
	Insured			
	Ottawa County, Michigan, Water Supply			
	System, General Obligation Bonds, Series			
3,500	2007, 5.000%,	8/17 at 100.00	Aaa	3,539,130
-,	8/01/30 – NPFG Insured (UB)			-,,,
	Oxford Area Community Schools, Oakland			
	•			
1 100	and Lapeer Counties, Michigan, General	5/14 / 100.00		1 114 705
1,100	Obligation	5/14 at 100.00	AA+	1,114,795
	Bonds, Series 2004, 5.000%, 5/01/25 – AGM			
	Insured			

Principal Amount (000)	Description (1)	Optional Call Provisions (2) Ratin	ngs (3)	Value
(000)	Tax Obligation/General (continued)	(_) I tutt		
\$ 805	Parchment School District, Kalamazoo County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Tender Option	No Opt. Call	AA+	\$ 625,807
	Bond Trust 2836, 11.061%, 5/01/15 – AGM Insured (IF)			
1,000	Rockford Public Schools, Kent County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2005, 5.000%,	5/15 at 100.00	AA+	982,520
	5/01/27 – AGM Insured			
	Rockford Public Schools, Kent County, Michigan, General	5/18 at		
1,000	Obligation Bonds, Series 2008, 5.000%,	100.00	AA+	982,180
	5/01/33 – AGM Insured			
	South Haven, Van Buren County, Michigan, General Obligation	12/19 at		
125	Bonds, Capital Improvement Series	100.00	AA+	126,796
	2009, 5.125%, 12/01/33 – AGC Insured			
	Thornapple Kellogg School District, Barry County, Michigan,	5/17 at		
1,100	General Obligation Bonds, Series	100.00	Aa2	1,085,073
	2007, 5.000%, 5/01/32 – NPFG Insured			
	Trenton Public Schools District, Michigan, General Obligation	5/18 at		
1,500	Bonds, Series 2008, 5.000%,	100.00	AA+	1,462,710
	5/01/34 – AGM Insured			
	Van Dyke Public Schools, Macomb County, Michigan, General			
	Obligation Bonds, School Building			
	and Site, Series 2008:			
		5/18 at		
800	5.000%, 5/01/31 – AGM Insured	100.00	AA+	792,424
		5/18 at		
1,350	5.000%, 5/01/38 – AGM Insured	100.00	AA+	1,294,745
	Warren Consolidated School District, Macomb and Oakland	5/13 at		
2,830	Counties, Michigan, General Obligation	100.00	AA	2,918,268
	Refunding Bonds, Series 2003, 5.250%, 5/01/20			
	Wayne Charter County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds,	12/19 at		
1,705	Building Improvements, Series 2009A,	100.00	A–	1,718,759
	6.750%, 11/01/39			
	Wayne County, Michigan, Limited Tax General Obligation			
	Airport Hotel Revenue Bonds, Detroit			
	Metropolitan Wayne County Airport, Series 2001A:	10/11		
1 500	5 5000 10/01/10 NIDEO I I	12/11 at		1 506 065
1,500	5.500%, 12/01/18 – NPFG Insured	101.00	A–	1,526,265
4 425	5 0000/ 12/01/20 NDEC Leaves 1	12/11 at		2 204 004
4,435	5.000%, 12/01/30 – NPFG Insured	101.00		3,894,994
58,701	Total Tax Obligation/General			58,518,492
	Tax Obligation/Limited – 21.0% (13.9% of Total Investments) Michigan State Building Authority, Payanua Banda, Engilitian			
	Michigan State Building Authority, Revenue Bonds, Facilities Program, Series 2001I:			
2,420	5.500%, 10/15/19		Aa3	2,469,199
2,420	5.500 /0, 10/ 15/ 17		Паз	2,707,179

		10/11 at		
		100.00		
		10/11 at		
6,205	5.000%, 10/15/24	100.00	Aa3	6,213,004
	Michigan State Building Authority, Revenue Bonds, Facilities	10/15 at		
1,600	Program, Series 2005II, 5.000%,	100.00	Aa3	1,547,456
	10/15/30 – AMBAC Insured			
	Michigan State Building Authority, Revenue Bonds, Refunding	10/16 at		
2,880	Series 2006IA, 5.000%, 10/15/36 –	100.00	Aa3	2,631,542
	FGIC Insured			
	Michigan State Building Authority, Revenue Refunding Bonds,			
	Facilities Program, Series 2003II:			
		10/13 at		
5,000	5.000%, 10/15/22 – NPFG Insured	100.00	Aa3	5,055,300
		10/13 at		
2,480	5.000%, 10/15/23 – NPFG Insured	100.00	Aa3	2,498,253
	Michigan, Comprehensive Transportation Revenue Refunding	11/11 at		
1,500	Bonds, Series 2001A, 5.000%,	100.00	AA+	1,538,550
	11/01/19 – AGM Insured			
	Virgin Islands Public Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds, Senior	10/19 at		
450	Lien Matching Fund Loan	100.00	BBB	372,366
	Notes, Series 2009A-1, 5.000%, 10/01/39			
22,535	Total Tax Obligation/Limited			22,325,670
	Transportation $-0.2\%$ (0.1% of Total Investments)			
	Kent County, Michigan, Airport Revenue Bonds, Gerald R. Ford	1/17 at		
230	International Airport, Series	100.00	AAA	225,752
	2007, 5.000%, 1/01/32			
	U.S. Guaranteed – 13.1% (8.6% of Total Investments) (4)			
	Detroit, Michigan, Second Lien Sewerage Disposal System	7/15 at		
915	Revenue Bonds, Series 2005A, 5.000%,	100.00	A (4)	1,053,064
	7/01/30 (Pre-refunded 7/01/15) – NPFG Insured			
	Detroit, Michigan, Senior Lien Water Supply System Revenue	7/11 at		
1,135	Bonds, Series 2001A, 5.250%,	100.00	A+ (4)	1,152,524
	7/01/33 (Pre-refunded 7/01/11) – FGIC Insured			

# Nuveen Michigan Premium Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (continued)NMPPortfolio of Investments February 28, 2011

Principal		Optional Call	Datinga	
Amount (000)	Description (1)	Provisions (2)	Ratings (3)	Value
Amount (000)	U.S. Guaranteed (4) (continued)	110 v1810118 (2)	(3)	value
	Lansing School District, Ingham County,			
	Michigan, General Obligation Bonds,			
\$ 500	Series 2004,	5/14 at 100.00	Aa2 (4)	\$ 562,090
	5.000%, 5/01/22 (Pre-refunded 5/01/14)			
	Michigan South Central Power Agency,			
	Power Supply System Revenue Bonds,			
75	Series 2000, 6.000%,	No Opt. Call	A3 (4)	75,691
	5/01/12 (ETM)			
	Michigan State Building Authority,			
150	Revenue Bonds, Facilities Program, Series	10/11 / 100.00	A . (A)	154 724
150	2001I, 5.500%, 10/15/10 (Dra raturdad 10/15/11)	10/11 at 100.00	A+ (4)	154,734
	10/15/19 (Pre-refunded 10/15/11) Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority,			
	Hospital Revenue Refunding Bonds, Henry			
1,500	Ford Health	3/13 at 100.00	A1 (4)	1,648,185
1,000	System, Series 2003A, 5.625%, 3/01/17	0,10 w 100100	(.)	1,010,100
	(Pre-refunded 3/01/13)			
	Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority,			
	Hospital Revenue Refunding Bonds,			
500	Sparrow Obligated	11/11 at 101.00	A+ (4)	523,385
	Group, Series 2001, 5.625%, 11/15/31			
	(Pre-refunded 11/15/11) Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority,			
	Hospital Revenue Refunding Bonds, St.			
1,900	John's	5/11 at 100.00	N/R (4)	2,000,168
1,200	Hospital, Series 1992A, 6.000%, 5/15/13 –	0,11 <b>u</b> t 100.00	1010(1)	2,000,100
	AMBAC Insured (ETM)			
	Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority,			
	Revenue Bonds, Chelsea Community			
	Hospital,			
	Series 2005:			10 4 4 4 4
425	5.000%, 5/15/25 (Pre-refunded 5/15/15)	5/15 at 100.00	AAA	486,166
150	5.000%, 5/15/30 (Pre-refunded 5/15/15)	5/15 at 100.00	AAA	171,588
	Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Environmental Protection Program, Series			
	2003A:			
1,000	5.250%, 5/01/20 (Pre-refunded 5/01/13)	5/13 at 100.00	Aa2 (4)	1,098,440
2,000	5.250%, 5/01/21 (Pre-refunded 5/01/13)	5/13 at 100.00	Aa2 (4)	2,196,880
	Otsego Public Schools District, Allegan			
	and Kalamazoo Counties, Michigan,			
1,000	General Obligation	5/14 at 100.00	AA+ (4)	1,124,180

	Bonds, Series 2004, 5.000%, 5/01/25		
	(Pre-refunded 5/01/14) – AGM Insured		
	Walled Lake Consolidated School District,		
	Oakland County, Michigan, General		
1,425	Obligation Bonds,	5/14 at 100.00	AA-(4) 1,613,057
	Series 2004, 5.250%, 5/01/20		
	(Pre-refunded 5/01/14) – NPFG Insured		
12,675	Total U.S. Guaranteed		13,860,152
	Utilities – 15.4% (10.2% of Total		
	Investments)		
	Farmington, New Mexico, Pollution		
	Control Revenue Refunding Bonds, Public		
100	Service Company of	6/20 at 100.00	Baa3 96,104
	New Mexico San Juan Project, Series		
	2010D, 5.900%, 6/01/40		
	Lansing Board of Water and Light,		
	Michigan, Steam and Electric Utility		
	System Revenue Bonds,		
	Series 2008A:		
125	5.000%, 7/01/28	7/18 at 100.00	AA- 126,254
2,500	5.000%, 7/01/32	7/18 at 100.00	AA- 2,465,950
2,200	Michigan Public Power Agency, Revenue	1110 <b>u</b> t 100.00	111 2,100,900
	Bonds, Combustion Turbine 1 Project,		
1,000	Series 2001A,	1/12 at 100.00	A2 1,003,110
1,000	5.250%, 1/01/27 – AMBAC Insured	1/12 dt 100.00	112 1,005,110
	Michigan South Central Power Agency,		
	Power Supply System Revenue Bonds,		
775	Series 2000,	No Opt. Call	A3 798,901
115	6.000%, 5/01/12	No Opt. Call	AJ 790,901
	Michigan Strategic Fund, Collateralized Limited Obligation Pollution Control		
1 000		2/11 at 101.00	A 002 710
1,000	Revenue Refunding	3/11 at 101.00	A 993,710
	Bonds, Detroit Edison Company, Series		
	1999A, 5.550%, 9/01/29 – NPFG Insured		
	(Alternative		
	Minimum Tax)		
	Michigan Strategic Fund, Collateralized		
<b>7</b> 000	Limited Obligation Pollution Control	0/11 / 100 00	
5,000	Revenue Refunding	9/11 at 100.00	A 5,003,300
	Bonds, Detroit Edison Company, Series		
	2001C, 5.450%, 9/01/29		
	Michigan Strategic Fund, Limited		
	Obligation Pollution Control Revenue		
3,000	Refunding Bonds, Detroit	No Opt. Call	BBB+ 3,034,020
	Edison Company, Series 1995CC, 4.850%,		
	9/01/30 (Mandatory put 9/01/11) – AMBAC		
	Insured		
	Michigan Strategic Fund, Limited		
	Obligation Revenue Refunding Bonds,		
3,000	Detroit Edison Company,	12/12 at 100.00	BBB+ 2,837,790

	Series 2002C, 5.450%, 12/15/32 – SYNCORA GTY Insured (Alternative		
16 500	Minimum Tax) Total Utilities		16 250 120
16,500	Water and Sewer – 18.0% (11.9% of Total Investments)		16,359,139
	Detroit Water Supply System, Michigan, Water Supply System Revenue Bonds,		
3,500	Series 2006A,	7/16 at 100.00	AA+ 3,115,420
	5.000%, 7/01/34 – AGM Insured		
	Detroit, Michigan, Second Lien Sewerage Disposal System Revenue Bonds, Series		
1,085	2005A, 5.000%,	7/15 at 100.00	A 1,008,616
	7/01/30 – NPFG Insured		

Principal Amount	Description (1)	Optional Call Provisions	Ratings	Value
(000)	Description (1) Water and Samer (continued)	(2)	(3)	Value
\$ 1,500	Water and Sewer (continued) Detroit, Michigan, Senior Lien Sewerage Disposal System Revenue Bonds, Series 2001B, 5.500%, 7/01/29 – FGIC Insured	No Opt. Call	А	\$ 1,454,745
1,120	Detroit, Michigan, Senior Lien Sewerage Disposal System Revenue Bonds, Series 2003A, 5.000%, 7/01/17 – AGM Insured	7/13 at 100.00	AA+	1,156,893
1,330	Grand Rapids, Michigan, Sanitary Sewer System Revenue Bonds, Series 2005, 5.000%, 1/01/30 – NPFG Insured	7/15 at 100.00	AA+	1,340,494
	Grand Rapids, Michigan, Sanitary Sewer System Revenue Bonds, Series 2008:			
400	5.000%, 1/01/27	No Opt. Call	AA+	410,340
450	5.000%, 1/01/38	1/18 at 100.00	AA+	439,250
425	Grand Rapids, Michigan, Water Supply System Revenue Bonds, Series 2009, 5.100%, 1/01/39 –	1/19 at 100.00	AA+	426,193
	AGC Insured			
1,000	Michigan Municipal Bond Authority, Water Revolving Fund Revenue Bonds, Series 2007,	10/17 at 100.00	AAA	1,060,499
	5.000%, 10/01/24 North Kent Sewer Authority, Michigan, Sewer Revenue Bonds,	11/16 at		
8,245	Series 2006, 5.000%, 11/01/31 – NPFG Insured	100.00	Aa3	8,292,985
350	Saginaw, Michigan, Water Supply System Revenue Bonds, Series 2008, 5.250%, 7/01/22 – NPFG Insured	7/18 at 100.00	А	356,264
19,405	Total Water and Sewer			19,061,699
\$ 161,796	Total Investments (cost \$161,200,271) - 151.5%			160,669,245
	Floating Rate Obligations – (2.2)%			(2,330,000)
	Other Assets Less Liabilities – 1.3%			1,443,396
	Auction Rate Preferred Shares, at Liquidation Value – (50.6)% (5)			(53,700,000)
				\$
	Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares – 100%			106,082,641

All percentages shown in the Portfolio of Investments are based on net assets applicable to Common (1) shares unless otherwise noted.

Optional Call Provisions (not covered by the report of independent registered public accounting firm):

Dates (month and year) and prices of the earliest (2) optional call or redemption. There may be other call provisions at varying prices at later dates. Certain mortgage-backed securities may be subject to

periodic principal paydowns.

Ratings (not covered by the report of independent registered public accounting firm): Using the highest of Standard & Poor's Group ("Standard & Poor's"),

- (3) of Standard & Poor's Group ("Standard & Poor's"), Moody's Investor Service, Inc. ("Moody's") or Fitch, Inc. ("Fitch") rating. Ratings below BBB by Standard & Poor's, Baa by Moody's or BBB by Fitch are considered to be below investment grade. Holdings designated N/R are not rated by any of these national rating agencies.
   Backed by an escrow or trust containing sufficient U.S. Government or U.S. Government agency
- (4) securities, which ensure the timely payment of principal and interest. Such investments are normally considered to be equivalent to AAA rated securities.
- (5) Auction Rate Preferred Shares, at Liquidation Value as a percentage of Total Investments is 33.4%.
- N/R Not rated.
- DD1 Investment or portion of investment purchased on a delayed delivery basis.
- (ETM) Escrowed to maturity.
  - (IF) Inverse floating rate investment.Underlying bond of an inverse floating rate trust reflected as a financing transaction. See Notes to
  - (UB) Financial Statements, Footnote 1 General Information and Significant Accounting Policies, Inverse Floating Rate Securities for more information.

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

	Nuveen Michigan Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund
NZW	Portfolio of Investments

	NZW	Portiono of investments	<b>T</b> 1	00 0011	
			February	28, 2011	
	Principal		Optional Call		
	Amount			Ratings	
	(000)	Description (1)	Provisions (2)	(3)	Value
		Consumer Staples – 4.0% (2.5% of Total			
		Investments)			
		Michigan Tobacco Settlement Finance Authority,			\$
	\$ 1,250	Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Revenue Bonds,	6/18 at 100.00	BBB	1,094,263
		Series 2008A, 6.875%, 6/01/42, DD1			
		Education and Civic Organizations – 6.0% (3.8% of			
		Total Investments)			
		Michigan Higher Education Facilities Authority,			
	1,150	Limited Obligation Revenue Refunding Bonds,	9/11 at 100.00	N/R	968,105
		Kettering University, Series 2001, 5.000%, 9/01/26 –			,
		AMBAC Insured			
		Michigan Public Educational Facilities Authority,			
	250	Charter School Revenue Bonds, American	12/17 at 100.00	N/R	208,595
		Montessori Academy, Series 2007, 6.500%,			)
		12/01/37			
		Michigan State University, General Revenue Bonds,			
	500	Refunding Series 2010C, 5.000%, 2/15/40	2/20 at 100.00	Aa1	495,080
	1,900	Total Education and Civic Organizations			1,671,780
		Health Care – 17.6% (11.1% of Total Investments)			
		Jackson County Hospital Finance Authority,			
	90	Michigan, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Alligiance	6/20 at 100.00	AA+	81,864
		Health, Refunding Series 2010A, 5.000%, 6/01/37 –			
		AGM Insured			
		Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority,			
		Hospital Revenue Bonds, Henry Ford Health			
	475	System,	11/19 at 100.00	A1	444,040
		Refunding Series 2009, 5.750%, 11/15/39			
		Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority,			
		Hospital Revenue Bonds, Oakwood Obligated			
	775	Group,	4/13 at 100.00	А	753,742
		Series 2002A, 5.750%, 4/01/32			
		Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority,			
		Hospital Revenue Bonds, MidMichigan Obligated			
	150	Group,	6/19 at 100.00	AA+	151,017
		Series 2009A, 5.875%, 6/01/39 – AGC Insured			
		Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority,			
	80	Hospital Revenue Refunding Bonds, McLaren	No Opt. Call	Aa3	74,308
		Healthcare Corporation, Series 1998A, 5.000%,			
		6/01/28			
		Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority,			
	1,000	Hospital Revenue Refunding Bonds, Trinity Health	12/12 at 100.00	AA	970,900

	Credit Group, Series 2002C, 5.375%, 12/01/30			
	Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority,			
	Revenue Bonds, Marquette General Hospital,			
	Series 2005A:			
500	5.000%, 5/15/26	5/15 at 100.00	Baa3	434,280
400	5.000%, 5/15/20	5/15 at 100.00	Baa3	321,644
+00	Royal Oak Hospital Finance Authority, Michigan,	5/15 at 100.00	DadJ	321,077
100	Hospital Revenue Bonds, William Beaumont	9/18 at 100.00	A1	111,904
100	Hospital, Refunding Series 2009V, 8.250%,	9/10 at 100.00	711	111,704
	9/01/39			
	Royal Oak Hospital Finance Authority, Michigan,			
1,700	Hospital Revenue Bonds, William Beaumont	11/11 at 100.00	A1	1,521,993
_,	Hospital, Series 2001M, 5.250%, 11/15/31 – NPFG			-,,-,
	Insured			
5,270	Total Health Care			4,865,692
,	Housing/Multifamily – 7.2% (4.5% of Total			, ,
	Investments)			
	Michigan Housing Development Authority, GNMA			
1,700	Collateralized Limited Obligation Multifamily	8/12 at 102.00	Aaa	1,699,966
	Housing Revenue Bonds, Cranbrook Apartments,			
	Series 2001A, 5.400%, 2/20/31 (Alternative			
	Minimum Tax)			
	Michigan Housing Development Authority, Rental			
200	Housing Revenue Bonds, Series 2006D, 5.125%,	7/15 at 100.00	AA+	193,820
	4/01/31 – AGM Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax)			
	Michigan Housing Development Authority, Rental			
100	Housing Revenue Bonds, Series 2009A,	10/18 at 100.00	AA	101,160
	5.700%, 10/01/39			
2,000	Total Housing/Multifamily			1,994,946
	Housing/Single Family – 1.7% (1.1% of Total			
	Investments)			
	Michigan Housing Development Authority, Single			
500	Family Homeownership Revenue Bonds, Series	6/20 at 100.00	AA	479,185
	2010C, 5.500%, 12/01/28 (Alternative Minimum			
	Tax)			
	Industrials – 1.8% (1.1% of Total Investments)			
500	Michigan Strategic Fund, Limited Obligation		DDD	504 4 65
500	Revenue Bonds, Republic Services Inc., Series	No Opt. Call	BBB+	504,165
	2001, 4.250%, 8/01/31 (Mandatory put 4/01/14)			
	(Alternative Minimum Tax)			

Principal Amount (000)	Description (1)	Optional Call Provisions (2) Rati	ngs (3)	Value
	Tax Obligation/General – 44.8% (28.2% of Total Investments)			
\$ 200	Ann Arbor, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Court & Police Facilities Capital Improvement	5/18 at 100.00	AA+ S	\$ 200,524
	Series 2008, 5.000%, 5/01/38			
437	Caledonia Community Schools, Kent, Allegan and Barry Counties, Michigan, General Obligation	5/17 at 100.00	Aa2	387,925
	Bonds, Tender Option Bond Trust 2008-1096, 7.922%, 5/01/32 – NPFG Insured (IF)			
50	Detroit-Wayne County Stadium Authority, Michigan, Limited Tax General Obligation Building	8/11 at 100.00	A–	50,076
	Authority Stadium Bonds, Series 1997, 5.500%, 2/01/17 – FGIC Insured			
300	Grand Rapids, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Capital Improvement Series 2007, 5.000%,	9/17 at 100.00	AA	307,446
	9/01/27 – NPFG Insured			
	Huron Valley School District, Oakland and Livingston Counties,	11/11 at		
940	Michigan, General Obligation	100.00	Aa2	940,150
500	Bonds, Series 2001, 5.000%, 5/01/27 Jackson Public Schools, Jackson County, Michigan, General	5/14 at		525 240
500	Obligation School Building and Site	100.00	AA+	525,340
	Bonds, Series 2004, 5.000%, 5/01/22 – AGM Insured Lowell Area Schools, Counties of Ionia and Kent, Michigan,	5/17 at		
430	General Obligation Bonds, Series	100.00	AA+	413,290
450	2007, 5.000%, 5/01/37 – AGM Insured	100.00	ллт	413,290
	Michigan Municipal Bond Authority, General Obligation Bonds,	6/15 at		
400	Detroit City School District,	100.00	AA+	411,924
	Series 2005, 5.000%, 6/01/18 – AGM Insured			,.
	Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Environmental Program,	5/19 at		
100	Series 2009A, 5.500%, 11/01/25	100.00	Aa2	105,649
	Muskegon County, Michigan, Limited Tax General Obligation	7/11 at		
1,150	Wastewater Management System 2	100.00	AA	1,154,324
	Revenue Bonds, Series 2002, 5.000%, 7/01/26 – FGIC Insured			
	New Haven Community Schools, Macomb County, Michigan,	5/16 at		
1,410	General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006,	100.00	AA+	1,437,707
	5.000%, 5/01/25 – AGM Insured	5/1 <b>7</b> /		
420	Oakland Intermediate School District, Oakland County, Michigan,	5/17 at	4	411 400
420	General Obligation Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000%, 5/01/36 – AGM Insured	100.00	Aaa	411,428
	Ottawa County, Michigan, Water Supply System, General	8/17 at		
1,000	Obligation Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000%,	100.00	Aaa	1,011,180
-,000	8/01/30 - NPFG Insured (UB)	100,00	1 1000	-,011,100
	Parchment School District, Kalamazoo County, Michigan, General	No Opt.		
235	Obligation Bonds, Tender Option	Call	AA+	182,689
	Bond Trust 2836, 11.061%, 5/01/15 – AGM Insured (IF)			

	Plainwell Community Schools, Allegan County, Michigan,	5/18 at		
750	General Obligation Bonds, School	100.00	AA+	757,380
	Building & Site, Series 2008, 5.000%, 5/01/28 - AGC Insured			
	Rockford Public Schools, Kent County, Michigan, General	5/18 at		
100	Obligation Bonds, Series 2008, 5.000%,	100.00	AA+	98,218
	5/01/33 – AGM Insured			
	South Haven, Van Buren County, Michigan, General Obligation	12/19 at		
25	Bonds, Capital Improvement Series	100.00	AA+	25,359
	2009, 5.125%, 12/01/33 – AGC Insured			
	Thornapple Kellogg School District, Barry County, Michigan,	5/17 at		
330	General Obligation Bonds, Series	100.00	Aa2	325,522
	2007, 5.000%, 5/01/32 – NPFG Insured			
	Trenton Public Schools District, Michigan, General Obligation	5/18 at		
100	Bonds, Series 2008, 5.000%,	100.00	AA+	97,514
	5/01/34 – AGM Insured			
	Van Dyke Public Schools, Macomb County, Michigan, General	5/18 at		
225	Obligation Bonds, School Building	100.00	AA+	215,791
	and Site, Series 2008, 5.000%, 5/01/38 – AGM Insured			
	Wayne Charter County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds,	12/19 at		
25	Building Improvements, Series 2009A,	100.00	А-	25,202
	6.750%, 11/01/39			
	Wayne County, Michigan, Limited Tax General Obligation	12/11 at		
1,690	Airport Hotel Revenue Bonds, Detroit	101.00	А-	1,484,226
	Metropolitan Wayne County Airport, Series 2001A, 5.000%,			
	12/01/30 – NPFG Insured			
	Wayne Westland Community Schools, Michigan, General	11/14 at		
500	Obligation Bonds, Series 2004, 5.000%,	100.00	AA+	543,615
	5/01/17 – AGM Insured			
	Willow Run Community Schools, Washtenaw County, Michigan,	5/11 at		
1,300	General Obligation Bonds, Series	100.00	Aa2	1,306,877
	2001, 5.000%, 5/01/21			
12,617	Total Tax Obligation/General		1	2,419,356

## Nuveen Michigan Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund (continued)NZW Portfolio of Investments February 28, 2011

Principal		Optional Call	Ratings	
Amount (000)	Description (1) Tax Obligation/Limited – 16.9% (10.6% of Total Investments)	Provisions (2)	(3)	Value
	Grand Rapids Building Authority, Kent			
¢ 1 100	County, Michigan, Limited Tax General	10/11 / 100.00		\$
\$ 1,100	Obligation Bonds, Series 2001, 5.125%, 10/01/26 – NPFG	10/11 at 100.00	AA	1,117,611
	Insured			
	Kalkaska County Hospital Authority,			
	Michigan, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Series			
630	2007,	No Opt. Call	N/R	651,943
	5.125%, 5/01/14			
1 150	Michigan State Building Authority, Revenue	10/11 / 100.00		1 151 404
1,150	Bonds, Facilities Program, Series 2001I, 5.000%, 10/15/24	10/11 at 100.00	Aa3	1,151,484
	Michigan State Building Authority, Revenue			
	Bonds, Refunding Series 2006IA:			
1,520	0.000%, 10/15/28 – FGIC Insured	10/16 at 55.35	AAA	516,146
720	5.000%, 10/15/36 – FGIC Insured	10/16 at 100.00	Aa3	657,886
	Virgin Islands Public Finance Authority,			
	Revenue Bonds, Senior Lien Matching Fund			
700	Loan	10/19 at 100.00	BBB	579,236
5 920	Notes, Series 2009A-1, 5.000%, 10/01/39			1 (71 20)
5,820	Total Tax Obligation/Limited Transportation – 2.5% (1.6% of Total			4,674,306
	Investments)			
	Metropolitan Washington DC Airports			
	Authority, Virginia, Dulles Toll Road			
750	Revenue Bonds,	10/28 at 100.00	BBB+	427,545
	Dulles Metrorail Capital Appreciation,			
	Series 2010B, 0.000%, 10/01/44			
	Wayne County Airport Authority, Michigan,			
250	Revenue Bonds, Detroit Metropolitan	No Opt. Call	٨	260 788
230	Airport, Refunding Series 2007, 5.000%, 12/01/12 –	No Opt. Call	А	260,788
	FGIC Insured			
1,000	Total Transportation			688,333
	U.S. Guaranteed – 20.5% (12.9% of Total			
	Investments) (4)			
	Detroit City School District, Wayne County,			
1.000	Michigan, Unlimited Tax School Building	5/10		1 057 700
1,000	and Site	5/12 at 100.00	AA+ (4)	1,057,790

	Improvement Bonds, Series 2001A, 5.500%, 5/01/21 (Pre-refunded 5/01/12) – AGM Insured			
	Detroit, Michigan, Senior Lien Sewerage			
	Disposal System Revenue Bonds, Series			
720	2003A, 5.000%,	7/13 at 100.00	AA+(4)	791,863
	7/01/17 (Pre-refunded 7/01/13) – AGM Insured			
	Garden City School District, Wayne County,			
	Michigan, General Obligation Bonds,			
1,000	Refunding	5/11 at 100.00	Aa2 (4)	1,008,220
	Series 2001, 5.000%, 5/01/26 (Pre-refunded		, í	
	5/01/11)			
	Kent Hospital Finance Authority, Michigan,			
	Revenue Bonds, Spectrum Health, Series			
1,000	2001A,	7/11 at 101.00	$\mathbf{A} \mathbf{A} (\mathbf{A})$	1,028,300
1,000		//11 at 101.00	AA (4)	1,028,500
	5.250%, 1/15/21 (Pre-refunded 7/15/11)			
	Michigan State Building Authority, Revenue			
	Bonds, Facilities Program, Series 2001I,			
55	5.000%,	10/11 at 100.00	A+(4)	56,563
	10/15/24 (Pre-refunded 10/15/11)			
	Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority,			
	Revenue Bonds, Chelsea Community			
	Hospital,			
	Series 2005:			
425	5.000%, 5/15/30 (Pre-refunded 5/15/15)	5/15 at 100.00	AAA	486,166
335	5.000%, 5/15/37 (Pre-refunded 5/15/15)	5/15 at 100.00	AAA	383,213
555	Puerto Rico Public Finance Corporation,	5/15 at 100.00	11111	505,215
	•			
	Commonwealth Appropriation Bonds, Series 2002E:			
85	6.000%, 8/01/26 (ETM)	No Opt. Call		105,037
615	6.000%, 8/01/26 (ETM)	No Opt. Call	AAA	759,974
5,235	Total U.S. Guaranteed			5,677,126
	Utilities – 19.4% (12.2% of Total			
	Investments)			
	Lansing Board of Water and Light,			
	Michigan, Steam and Electric Utility System			
1,115	Revenue Bonds,	7/13 at 100.00	AA+	1,136,876
1,115	Series 2003A, 5.000%, 7/01/21 – AGM	7715 at 100.00	1111	1,150,070
	Insured			
	Lansing Board of Water and Light,			
	Michigan, Steam and Electric Utility System			
	Revenue Bonds,			
	Series 2008A:	- 11 0		
50	5.000%, 7/01/28	7/18 at 100.00	AA-	
750	5.000%, 7/01/32	7/18 at 100.00	AA-	- 739,785
	Michigan Public Power Agency, Revenue			
	Bonds, Combustion Turbine 1 Project, Series			
1,235	2001A,	1/12 at 100.00	A2	1,242,484
	5.250%, 1/01/24 – AMBAC Insured			
2,215		9/11 at 100.00	А	2,215,487
_,				,,,

	Michigan Strategic Fund, Collateralized Limited Obligation Pollution Control	
	Revenue Refunding	
	Bonds, Fixed Rate Conversion, Detroit	
	Edison Company, Series 1999C, 5.650%,	
	9/01/29 –	
	SYNCORA GTY Insured (Alternative	
	Minimum Tax)	
5,365	Total Utilities	5,385,134

Principal Amount		Optional Call Provisions	Ratings	
(000)	Description (1)	(2)	(3)	Value
	Water and Sewer – 16.5% (10.4% of Total Investments)			
	Detroit Water Supply System, Michigan, Water Supply System	7/16 at		
\$ 1,000	Revenue Bonds, Series 2006A,	100.00	AA+	\$ 890,120
	5.000%, 7/01/34 – AGM Insured	N. 6		
1 000	Detroit, Michigan, Senior Lien Sewerage Disposal System	No Opt.		
1,000	Revenue Bonds, Series 2001B, 5.500%,	Call	А	969,830
	7/01/29 – FGIC Insured	7/12		
200	Detroit, Michigan, Senior Lien Sewerage Disposal System	7/13 at		200 222
280	Revenue Bonds, Series 2003A, 5.000%,	100.00	AA+	289,223
	7/01/17 – AGM Insured Grand Rapids, Michigan, Sanitary Sewer System Revenue	1/18 at		
125	Bonds, Series 2008, 5.000%, 1/01/38	1/18 at 100.00	AA+	122,014
123	Grand Rapids, Michigan, Water Supply System Revenue Bonds,	1/19 at	AA+	122,014
150	Series 2009, 5.100%, 1/01/39 –	1/19 at 100.00	AA+	150,422
150	AGC Insured	100.00	ΠΠΤ	150,422
	Michigan Municipal Bond Authority, Clean Water Revolving	10/15 at		
1,000	Fund Revenue Bonds, Series 2005,	100.00	AAA	1,101,669
1,000	5.000%, 10/01/19	100.00	1 11 11 1	1,101,009
	Michigan Municipal Bond Authority, Water Revolving Fund	10/17 at		
500	Revenue Bonds, Series 2007,	100.00	AAA	534,319
	5.000%, 10/01/23			,
	Puerto Rico Aqueduct and Sewerage Authority, Revenue Bonds,	7/18 at		
500	Senior Lien Series 2008A,	100.00	Baa1	464,764
	6.000%, 7/01/44			
	Saginaw, Michigan, Water Supply System Revenue Bonds,	7/18 at		
50	Series 2008, 5.250%, 7/01/22 -	100.00	А	50,894
	NPFG Insured			
4,605	Total Water and Sewer			4,573,255
\$ 46,062	Total Investments (cost \$44,477,774) – 158.9%			44,027,541
	Floating Rate Obligations – (2.4)%			(665,000)
	MuniFund Term Preferred Shares, at Liquidation Value – (58.9)%			
	(5)		(	16,313,000)
	Other Assets Less Liabilities – 2.4%			660,098
	Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares – 100%		\$	27,709,639

All percentages shown in the Portfolio of Investments are based on net assets applicable to Common shares unless otherwise noted.

- shares unless otherwise noted.
   Optional Call Provisions (not covered by the report of independent registered public accounting firm):
   Dates (month and year) and prices of the earliest
- optional call or redemption. There may be other call provisions at varying prices at later dates. Certain mortgage-backed securities may be subject to periodic principal paydowns.
- (3)

Ratings (not covered by the report of independent registered public accounting firm): Using the highest of Standard & Poor's Group ("Standard & Poor's"), Moody's Investor Service, Inc. ("Moody's") or Fitch, Inc. ("Fitch") rating. Ratings below BBB by Standard & Poor's, Baa by Moody's or BBB by Fitch are considered to be below investment grade. Holdings designated N/R are not rated by any of these national rating agencies.

Backed by an escrow or trust containing sufficient U.S. Government or U.S. Government agency securities, which ensure the timely payment of principal

- and interest. Such investments are normally considered to be equivalent to AAA rated securities.
- (5) MuniFund Term Preferred Shares, at Liquidation Value as a percentage of Total Investments is 37.1%.N/R Not rated.
- N/R Not rated.

(4)

- DD1 Investment or portion of investment purchased on a delayed delivery basis.
- (IF) Inverse floating rate investment.
   Underlying bond of an inverse floating rate trust reflected as a financing transaction. See Notes to
- (UB) Financial Statements, Footnote 1 General Information and Significant Accounting Policies, Inverse Floating Rate Securities for more information.

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

NUO	Nuveen Ohio Quality Income Municipal Fund, Inc. Portfolio of Investments		0.0011
Principal		February 2 Optional Call	8, 2011
Amount			Ratings
(000)	Description (1)	Provisions (2)	(3) Value
	Consumer Staples – 7.0% (4.8% of Total		
	Investments)		
	Buckeye Tobacco Settlement Financing Authority, Ohio, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Revenue		
	Bonds, Senior Lien, Series 2007A-2:		
¢ ( <b>2</b> 20	5.0759 (101/20	(117 + 100.00	\$
\$ 6,230	5.875%, 6/01/30	6/17 at 100.00	Baa3 4,496,627
1,650 7,255	5.750%, 6/01/34 5.875%, 6/01/47	6/17 at 100.00 6/17 at 100.00	Baa3 1,133,187 Baa3 4,832,846
1,233	Puerto Rico, The Children's Trust Fund, Tobacco	0/17 at 100.00	Daa5 4,052,040
115	Settlement Asset-Backed Refunding Bonds,	5/12 at 100.00	BBB 104,788
115	Series 2002, 5.375%, 5/15/33	5/12 at 100.00	<b>DDD</b> 104,700
15,250	Total Consumer Staples		10,567,448
,	Education and Civic Organizations – 13.9% (9.5%		_ = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =
	of Total Investments)		
	Ohio Higher Education Facilities Commission,		
1,650	General Revenue Bonds, Kenyon College, Series	7/16 at 100.00	A+ 1,542,618
	2006, 5.000%, 7/01/41		
	Ohio Higher Education Facilities Commission,		
1,750	General Revenue Bonds, Oberlin College, Series	10/13 at 100.00	AA 1,846,985
	2003, 5.125%, 10/01/24		
1 000	Ohio Higher Education Facilities Commission,	10/15 + 100.00	<b>D O</b> O(1.0(0)
1,000	Revenue Bonds, Wittenberg University, Series	12/15 at 100.00	Baa2 861,960
	2005, 5.000%, 12/01/29 Ohio Higher Educational Excilition Commission		
2,420	Ohio Higher Educational Facilities Commission, General Revenue Bonds, University of Dayton,	12/16 at 100.00	A 2,392,872
2,420	2006 Project, Series 2006, 5.000%, 12/01/30 –	12/10 at 100.00	A 2,392,072
	AMBAC Insured		
	Ohio Higher Educational Facilities Commission,		
1,415	Revenue Bonds, Denison University, Series 2004,	11/14 at 100.00	AA 1,473,199
,	5.000%, 11/01/21		
	Ohio Higher Educational Facilities Commission,		
1,320	Revenue Bonds, University of Dayton, Series	12/14 at 100.00	A 1,338,850
	2004, 5.000%, 12/01/25 – AMBAC Insured		
	Ohio Higher Educational Facilities Commission,		
1,000	Revenue Bonds, Wittenberg University, Series	12/11 at 100.00	Baa2 1,013,200
	2001, 5.500%, 12/01/15		
1 500	Ohio State Higher Education Facilities, Revenue	12/16 -+ 100.00	A A 1 420 (25
1,500	Bonds, Case Western Reserve University, Series	12/16 at 100.00	AA- 1,430,625
2,000	2006, 5.000%, 12/01/44 – NPFG Insured Ohio State Higher Educational Facility	11/18 at 100.00	A- 2,103,580
2,000	Commission, Higher Education Facility Revenue	11/10 at 100.00	A= 2,103,300
	Commission, mener Education racinty Revenue		

	Bonds,			
	Xavier University 2008C, 5.750%, 5/01/28			
	Ohio State University, General Receipts Bonds,			
550	Series 2003B, 5.250%, 6/01/22	6/13 at 100.00	Aa1	586,553
	University of Akron, Ohio, General Receipts			
1,510	Bonds, Series 2003A, 5.000%, 1/01/21 -	1/13 at 100.00	A1	1,539,974
	AMBAC Insured			
	University of Cincinnati, Ohio, General Receipts			
850	Bonds, Series 2003C, 5.000%, 6/01/22 -	6/13 at 100.00	A+	860,880
	FGIC Insured			
	University of Cincinnati, Ohio, General Receipts			
	Bonds, Series 2004D:			
1,200	5.000%, 6/01/19 – AMBAC Insured	6/14 at 100.00	A+	1,274,460
2,605	5.000%, 6/01/25 – AMBAC Insured	6/14 at 100.00	A+	2,628,940
20,770	Total Education and Civic Organizations		،	20,894,696
	Energy – 0.2% (0.1% of Total Investments)			
	Virgin Islands Public Finance Authority, Refinery			
250	Facilities Revenue Bonds, Hovensa Coker	1/13 at 100.00	Baa3	244,465
	Project, Senior Lien Series 2002, 6.500%, 7/01/21			
	(Alternative Minimum Tax)			
	Health Care – 26.4% (18.0% of Total Investments)			
	Akron, Bath and Copley Joint Township Hospital			
2,000	District, Ohio, Hospital Facilities Revenue	5/11 at 100.00	Baa1	1,920,160
	Bonds, Summa Health System, Series 1998A,			
	5.375%, 11/15/24			
	Butler County, Ohio, Hospital Facilities Revenue			
3,000	Bonds, UC Health, Series 2010,	11/20 at 100.00	BBB+	2,528,310
	5.500%, 11/01/40			
	Butler County, Ohio, Hospital Facilities Revenue			
3,405	Bonds, Cincinnati Children's Medical Center	5/16 at 100.00	N/R	3,159,363
	Project, Series 2006K, 5.000%, 5/15/31 - FGIC			
	Insured			

Principal Amount (000)	Description (1)	Optional Call Provisions (2) Ratin	ngs (3)	Value
	Health Care (continued)			
\$ 1,000	Cuyahoga County, Ohio, Hospital Revenue Refunding and Improvement Bonds, MetroHealth System, Series 1997, 5.625%, 2/15/17 – NPFG Insured	8/11 at 100.00	A2	\$ 1,001,390
2,000	Cuyahoga County, Ohio, Revenue Refunding Bonds, Cleveland Clinic Health System, Series 2003A,	7/13 at 100.00	Aa2	2,015,360
	6.000%, 1/01/32			
1,000	Erie County, Ohio, Hospital Facilities Revenue Bonds, Firelands Regional Medical Center,	8/12 at 101.00	А–	902,660
	Series 2002A, 5.625%, 8/15/32			
180	Franklin County, Ohio, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Holy Cross Health System Corporation, Series	6/11 at 100.00	AA	178,344
	1998, 5.000%, 6/01/28 – NPFG Insured			
	Franklin County, Ohio, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Nationwide Children's Hospital Project,			
	Improvement Series 2009:			
250	5.000%, 11/01/34	11/19 at 100.00	Aa2	231,055
		11/19 at		
300	5.250%, 11/01/40	100.00	Aa2	284,451
1,200	Franklin County, Ohio, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Nationwide Children's Hospital Project, Series	11/18 at 100.00	Aa2	1,094,688
	2005, 5.000%, 11/01/40			
	Hamilton County, Ohio, Revenue Bonds, Children's Hospital	5/14 at		
2,455	Medical Center, Series 2004J,	100.00	BBB	2,584,231
	5.250%, 5/15/16 – FGIC Insured			
1,000	Lorain County, Ohio, Hospital Revenue Refunding and Improvement Bonds, Catholic Healthcare	10/12 at 100.00	AA–	969,910
	Partners, Refunding Series 2002, 5.375%, 10/01/30			
	Lucas County, Ohio, Hospital Revenue Bonds, ProMedica Healthcare Obligated Group, Series 1999:			
	Treatmente Obligated Group, Belles 1777.	5/11 at		
2,075	5.375%, 11/15/29 – AMBAC Insured	100.50	AA-	1,995,528
2,070		5/11 at		_,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
140	5.375%, 11/15/39 – AMBAC Insured	100.50	AA-	128,073
	Lucas County, Ohio, Hospital Revenue Bonds, ProMedica			
	Healthcare Obligated Group, Series 2008D:			
		11/18 at		
90	5.000%, 11/15/38	100.00	AA-	79,785
		11/18 at		
40	5.125%, 11/15/40	100.00	AA-	35,120
• · · -	Lucas County, Ohio, Hospital Revenue Bonds, ProMedica	11/21 at		<b>a</b> (a)
2,665	Healthcare Obligated Group, Series	100.00	AA–	2,681,443
	2011A, 6.000%, 11/15/41			

	Miami County, Ohio, Hospital Facilities Revenue Refunding	5/16 at	
785	Bonds, Upper Valley Medical Center	100.00	A- 798,895
	Inc., Series 2006, 5.250%, 5/15/21		
	Montgomery County, Ohio, Revenue Bonds, Catholic Health		
	Initiatives, Series 2004A:		
		5/14 at	
1,500	5.000%, 5/01/30	100.00	AA 1,460,205
		No Opt.	
2,500	5.000%, 5/01/32	Call	AA 2,401,975
	Montgomery County, Ohio, Revenue Bonds, Miami Valley	11/14 at	
1,350	Hospital, Series 2009A, 6.250%, 11/15/39	100.00	Aa3 1,387,098
	Ohio Higher Educational Facilities Commission, Revenue Bonds,	No Opt.	
95	University Hospitals Health	Call	AA+ 93,279
	System Inc., Series 2007A, 5.250%, 1/15/46 – BHAC Insured		
	Ohio State Higher Educational Facilities Commission, Hospital		
	Revenue Bonds, Cleveland Clinic		
	Health System Obligated Group, Series 2008A:	1/18 at	
1 2 1 5	5 0000/- 1/01/25	1/18 at 100.00	A o 2 1 224 600
1,315	5.000%, 1/01/25	1/18 at	Aa2 1,334,699
50	5.250%, 1/01/33	100.00	Aa2 48,604
50	Ohio State Higher Educational Facilities Commission, Hospital	5/20 at	Aa2 +0,00+
1,200	Revenue Bonds, Summa Health	100.00	AA+ 1,116,192
1,200	System Project, Series 2010, 5.250%, 11/15/40 – AGM Insured	100.00	1,110,172
	Ohio State Higher Educational Facilities Commission, Hospital	1/15 at	
1,500	Revenue Bonds, University	100.00	A 1,542,480
-,	Hospitals Health System, Series 2009, 6.750%, 1/15/39		
	Ohio State, Hospital Facility Revenue Refunding Bonds,	1/19 at	
1,000	Cleveland Clinic Health System	100.00	Aa2 1,002,440
	Obligated Group, Series 2009A, 5.500%, 1/01/39		
	Ohio State, Hospital Facility Revenue Refunding Bonds,		
	Cleveland Clinic Health System		
	Obligated Group, Tender Option Bond Trust 3551:		
		No Opt.	
375	19.708%, 1/01/17 (IF)	Call	Aa2 359,310
		1/19 at	
2,700	64.415%, 1/01/33 (IF)	100.00	Aa2 2,726,352
1 1 0 0	Ohio State, Hospital Facility Revenue Refunding Bonds,	1/19 at	
1,100	Cleveland Clinic Health System	100.00	Aa2 1,110,736
	Obligated Group, Tender Option Bond Trust 3591, 64.573%,		
	1/01/17 (IF) Bishland County, Ohio, Hagnital Equilities Bayanya Improvement	11/12 -+	
830	Richland County, Ohio, Hospital Facilities Revenue Improvement Bonds, MedCentral Health System	11/12 at 100.00	A- 838,126
830	Obligated Group, Series 2000B, 6.375%, 11/15/30	100.00	A– 838,126
	Ourgaied Oroup, Series 2000D, 0.575%, 11/15/50		

## Nuveen Ohio Quality Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (continued)NUOPortfolio of Investments February 28, 2011

Principal	Description (1)	Optional Call Provisions	Ratings	¥7-1
Amount (000)	Description (1)	(2)	(3)	Value
	Health Care (continued)			
	Richland County, Ohio, Hospital Revenue Bonds, MidCentral Health System Group,	11/16 at		\$
\$ 1,200	Series 2006,	100.00	٨	۰ 1,084,140
\$ 1,200	5.250%, 11/15/36	100.00	A-	1,084,140
	Ross County, Ohio, Hospital Revenue			
	Refunding Bonds, Adena Health System Series	12/18 at		
600	2008,	100.00	А	592,140
	5.750%, 12/01/35	100.00	11	572,110
40,900	Total Health Care			39,686,542
,	Housing/Multifamily – 5.7% (3.9% of Total			
	Investments)			
	Clermont County, Ohio, GNMA Collateralized			
	Mortgage Revenue Bonds, S.E.M. Villa II	8/11 at		
1,385	Project,	100.00	Aaa	1,385,762
	Series 1994A, 5.950%, 2/20/30			
	Cuyahoga County, Ohio, GNMA Collateralized			
	Multifamily Housing Mortgage Revenue			
	Bonds,			
	Longwood Phase One Associates LP, Series 2001A:			
		7/11 at		
2,475	5.350%, 1/20/21 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	102.00	Aaa	2,507,546
		7/11 at		
2,250	5.450%, 1/20/31 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	102.00	Aaa	2,222,955
	Montgomery County, Ohio, GNMA Guaranteed			
	Multifamily Housing Revenue Bonds,	10/18 at		
800	Canterbury Court	101.00	Aa1	788,136
	Project, Series 2007, 5.500%, 10/20/42			
	(Alternative Minimum Tax)			
	Ohio Housing Finance Agency, FHA-Insured			
755	Multifamily Housing Mortgage Revenue Bonds			675 166
755	Madonna	102.00	AAA	675,166
	Homes, Series 2006M, 4.900%, 6/20/48 (Alternative Minimum Tax)			
	Summit County Port Authority, Ohio,			
	Multifamily Housing Revenue Bonds, Callis	9/17 at		
1,100	Tower Apartments	102.00	AAA	987,063
1,100	Project, Series 2007, 5.250%, 9/20/47	102.00	1 1/ 1/ 1	707,005
	(Alternative Minimum Tax)			
8,765	Total Housing/Multifamily			8,566,628
-,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				_, <b>,</b>

- 3 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	Housing/Single Family – 0.8% (0.5% of Total			
	Investments)			
	Ohio Housing Finance Agency, Single Family			
	Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Series 2006H,	9/15 at		
1,220	5.000%,	100.00	Aaa	1,152,705
	9/01/31 (Alternative Minimum Tax)			
	Industrials – 1.2% (0.8% of Total Investments)			
	Cleveland-Cuyahoga County Port Authority,			
	Ohio, Development Revenue Bonds, Bond	11/15 at		
755	Fund	100.00	BBB–	658,768
	Program – Columbia National Group Project,			
	Series 2005D, 5.000%, 5/15/20 (Alternative			
	Minimum Tax)			
	Cleveland-Cuyahoga County Port Authority,			
	Ohio, Development Revenue Bonds, Jergens	5/11 at		
1,175	Inc.,	100.00	BBB-	1,096,498
	Series 1998A, 5.375%, 5/15/18 (Alternative			
	Minimum Tax)			
1,930	Total Industrials			1,755,266
	Long-Term Care – 1.0% (0.7% of Total			
	Investments)			
	Franklin County, Ohio, Healthcare Facilities	7/21 at		
490	Revenue Bonds, Ohio Presbyterian Retirement	100.00	BBB	472,409
	Services, Improvement Series 2010A, 5.625%,			
	7/01/26			
	Montgomery County, Ohio, Health Care and			
	Multifamily Housing Revenue Bonds, Saint	4/20 at		
1,165	Leonard,	100.00	BBB–	1,082,413
	Refunding & improvement Series 2010,			
	6.625%, 4/01/40			
1,655	Total Long-Term Care			1,554,822
	Materials – 1.4% (1.0% of Total Investments)			
	Toledo-Lucas County Port Authority, Ohio,			
	Port Revenue Bonds, Cargill Inc., Series	No Opt.		
2,000	2004B,	Call	А	2,128,380
	4.500%, 12/01/15			
	Tax Obligation/General – 36.4% (24.8% of Total			
	Investments)			
	Butler County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds,			
	Series 2002:	10/10		
1.215		12/12 at		
1,345	5.000%, 12/01/21 – NPFG Insured	100.00	Aa1	1,450,004
1.000		12/12 at		1.070.000
1,200	5.000%, 12/01/22 – NPFG Insured	101.00	Aa1	1,273,236
	Centerville City School District, Montgomery	c 11 =		
1 500	County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds,	6/15 at		1 500 500
1,500	Series	100.00	Aa1	1,523,730
	2005, 5.000%, 12/01/30 – AGM Insured			
	Central Ohio Solid Waste Authority, General	611.1		
1 000	Obligation Bonds, Series 2004A, 5.000%,	6/14 at		1 000 500
1,000	12/01/15 -	100.00	AAA	1,093,520

	AMBAC Insured			
	Cleveland Municipal School District, Cuyahoga			
	County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds,	6/14 at		
1,000	Series	100.00	AA+	1,036,890
	2004, 5.000%, 12/01/22 – AGM Insured			
	Columbus City School District, Franklin			
	County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series	No Opt.		
3,000	2006,	Call	AAA	1,169,460
	0.000%, 12/01/28 – AGM Insured			

Principal Amount (000)	Description (1)	Optional Call Provisions (2) Ratin	as (3)	Value
(000)	Tax Obligation/General (continued)	(2) Rath	gs ( <i>3</i> )	value
\$ 1,200	Cuyahoga County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004, 5.000%, 12/01/21	12/14 at 100.00	AA+	\$ 1,284,732
1,000	Dayton, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004, 5.250%, 12/01/19 – AMBAC Insured	6/14 at 100.00	Aa2	1,089,100
1,000	Dublin City School District, Franklin, Delaware and Union Counties, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2003, 5.000%, 12/01/22 – AGM Insured	12/13 at 100.00	AAA	1,066,750
1,195	Fairview Park City School District, Cuyahoga County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2005, 5.000%, 12/01/24 – NPFG Insured	6/15 at 100.00	Aa3	1,232,929
1,840	Franklin County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000%, 12/01/28		AAA	1,938,440
1,500	Green, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2008, 5.500%, 12/01/32	12/15 at 100.00	AA	1,535,430
1,355	Grove City, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Construction & Improvement Series 2009, 5.125%, 12/01/36	No Opt. Call	Aa1	1,388,523
7,020	Hamilton City School District, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000%, 12/01/34 – AGM Insured	6/17 at 100.00	AA+	6,861,977
1,850	Hilliard School District, Franklin County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, School Construction, Series 2005, 5.000%, 12/01/26 – NPFG Insured	12/15 at 100.00	Aa1	1,861,526
3,000	Hilliard School District, Franklin County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006A, 5.000%, 12/01/25 – NPFG Insured	12/16 at 100.00	Aa1	3,122,370
2,580	Indian Lake Local School District, Logan and Auglaize Counties, Ohio, School Facilities Improvement and Refunding Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000%,	6/17 at 100.00	Aa3	2,516,738
	12/01/34 – NPFG Insured			
1,160	Kenston Local School District, Geauga County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2003, 5.000%, 12/01/22 – NPFG Insured	6/13 at 100.00	Aa2	1,224,171
800	Lakewood City School District, Cuyahoga County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000%, 12/01/25 – FGIC Insured	12/17 at 100.00	Aa2	831,824
1,585	Lucas County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Various Purpose Series 2010, 5.000%, 10/01/40	10/18 at 100.00	Aa2	1,557,278
505	Marysville Exempted School District, Union County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series	12/15 at 100.00	AA+	518,413
500	2006, 5.000%, 12/01/25 – AGM Insured		Aaa	511,965

	<b>o o</b>			
	Mason City School District, Counties of Warren and Butler, Ohio,	6/17 at		
	General Obligation Bonds,	100.00		
	Series 2007, 5.000%, 12/01/31			
	Massillon City School District, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds,	12/12 at		
1,515	Series 2003, 5.250%,	100.00	Baa1	1,549,254
	12/01/21 – NPFG Insured			
	Milford Exempted Village School District, Ohio, General	12/18 at		
1,350	Obligation Bonds, Series 2008,	100.00	Aa3	1,315,481
	5.250%, 12/01/36			
	New Albany Plain Local School District, Franklin County, Ohio,	6/12 at		
640	General Obligation Bonds,	100.00	Aa1	669,920
	Series 2002, 5.500%, 12/01/17 – FGIC Insured			,
	Newark City School District, Licking County, Ohio, General	12/15 at		
1,000	Obligation Bonds, Series 2005,	100.00	A1	1,008,340
,	5.000%, 12/01/28 – FGIC Insured			, ,
	Northmor Local School District, Morrow County, Ohio, General	11/18 at		
1,000	Obligation School Facilities	100.00	Aa2	979,390
,	Construction and Improvement Bonds, Series 2008, 5.000%,			,
	11/01/36			
	Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Infrastructure Improvements,	2/13 at		
3,000	Series 2003F, 5.000%, 2/01/23	100.00	AA+	3,073,470
,	Olentangy Local School District, Delaware and Franklin Counties,	6/18 at		
500	Ohio, General Obligation	100.00	AA+	501,925
	Bonds, Series 2008, 5.000%, 12/01/36			
	Painesville City School District, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds,	12/14 at		
1,510	Series 2004, 5.000%,	100.00	A1	1,601,174
,	12/01/22 – FGIC Insured			, ,
	Plain Local School District, Franklin and Licking Counties, Ohio,	6/11 at		
280	General Obligation Bonds,	100.00	Aa1	283,615
	Series 2000, 6.000%, 12/01/20 – FGIC Insured			,
	Strongsville, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2001,	12/11 at		
2,000	5.000%, 12/01/21 – FGIC Insured	100.00	Aaa	2,058,360
,	Strongsville, Ohio, Limited Tax General Obligation Various	6/11 at		, ,
70	Purpose Improvement Bonds, Series	100.00	Aaa	70,301
. 3	1996, 5.950%, 12/01/21	100.00	1 1000	, 0,2 0 1
	Sylvania City School District, Ohio, General Obligation School	6/17 at		
100	Improvement Bonds, Series 1995,	100.00	AA+	100,547
100	5.250%, 12/01/36 – AGC Insured	100100		100,017

## Nuveen Ohio Quality Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (continued)NUOPortfolio of Investments February 28, 2011

Principal Amount (000)	Description (1)	Optional Call Provisions (2)	Ratings	Value
Amount (000)	Description (1) Tax Obligation/General (continued)	(2)	(3)	value
\$ 650	Vandalia Butler City School District, Montgomery County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds,	No Opt. Call	AA	\$ 648,070
	School Improvment Series 2009, 5.125%, 12/01/37			
	Warren City School District, Trumbull County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004:			
		6/14 at		
2,515	5.000%, 12/01/20 - FGIC Insured	100.00	AA	2,661,901
		6/14 at		
1,170	5.000%, 12/01/22 - FGIC Insured	100.00	AA	1,231,647
	West Chester Township, Butler County, Ohio,			
	General Obligation Bonds, Series 2003,	12/13 at		
1,000	5.000%,	100.00	Aaa	1,001,710
	12/01/28 – NPFG Insured			
55,435	Total Tax Obligation/General		:	54,844,111
	Tax Obligation/Limited – 17.1% (11.7% of Tota Investments)	l		
	Columbus, Ohio, Tax Increment Financing	6/14 at		
1,380	Bonds, Easton Project, Series 2004A, 5.000%,	100.00	BBB+	1,387,507
	12/01/25 – AMBAC Insured			
	Cuyhoga County, Ohio, Economic			
	Development Revenue Bonds, Federally	12/20 at		
4,000	Taxable Recovery Zone	100.00	AA	4,080,200
	Facility Medical Mart- Convention Center Project, Series 2010G, 5.000%, 12/01/27			
	Franklin County Convention Facilities			
	Authority, Ohio, Excise Tax and Lease	12/15 at		
3,000	Revenue	100.00	Aaa	3,075,660
	Anticipation Bonds, Series 2005, 5.000%, 12/01/27 – AMBAC Insured			
	Hamilton County Convention Facilities			
	Authority, Ohio, First Lien Revenue Bonds,	6/14 at		
1,085	Series 2004,	100.00	A+	1,167,037
	5.000%, 12/01/18 - FGIC Insured			
	Hamilton County, Ohio, Sales Tax Bonds,			
	Subordinate Lien, Series 2006, 5.000%,	12/16 at		
4,600	12/01/32 -	100.00	A1	4,434,170
	AMBAC Insured			
	Hudson City School District, Ohio, Certificates	6/14 at		
1,000	of Participation, Series 2004, 5.000%,	100.00	Aa3	1,003,500

	6/01/26 – NPFG Insured			
	New Albany Community Authority, Ohio,			
	Community Facilities Revenue Refunding			
	Bonds,			
	Series 2001B:			
		4/12 at		
1,000	5.500%, 10/01/15 – AMBAC Insured	100.00	A1	1,023,770
		4/12 at		
1,000	5.500%, 10/01/17 – AMBAC Insured	100.00	A1	1,017,220
	Ohio State Building Authority, State Facilities	4/15 at		
800	Bonds, Administrative Building Fund Projects,	100.00	AA+	823,736
	Series 2005A, 5.000%, 4/01/25 – AGM Insured			
	Ohio, State Appropriation Lease Bonds, Mental	6/13 at		
1,000	Health Capital Facilities, Series 2003B-II,	100.00	AA	1,069,900
	5.000%, 6/01/16			
	Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corporation,	No Ort		
22 215	Sales Tax Revenue Bonds, First Subordinate Series	No Opt.	Λ.	5 071 791
23,215		Call	A+	5,071,781
	2009A, 0.000%, 8/01/34 Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corporation,	No Opt.		
7,875	Sales Tax Revenue Bonds, Series 2010A,	Call	A+	1,593,349
1,015	0.000%, 8/01/35	Call	ΛT	1,373,347
49,955	Total Tax Obligation/Limited			25,747,830
17,700	Transportation – 3.5% (2.4% of Total			20,717,000
	Investments)			
	Dayton, Ohio, Airport Revenue Bonds, James			
	M. Cox International Airport, Series 2003C,	12/13 at		
3,050	5.250%,	100.00	A–	2,966,857
	12/01/23 – RAAI Insured (Alternative Minimum			
	Tax)			
	Ohio Turnpike Commission, Revenue			
	Refunding Bonds, Series 1998A, 5.500%,	No Opt.		
2,000	2/15/18 – FGIC Insured	Call	AA	2,326,440
5,050	Total Transportation			5,293,297
	U.S. Guaranteed – 22.4% (15.3% of Total			
	Investments) (4)			
	Butler County, Ohio, General Obligation	10/10		
2 0 2 0	Judgment Bonds, Series 2002, 5.250%,	12/12 at	A c 1 (4)	0.015.000
2,030	12/01/21 (Pre-refunded 12/01/12)	101.00	Aa1 (4)	2,215,339
	Cincinnati City School District, Hamilton			
	County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds,	12/12 at		
2,600	Series 2002,		AA+(4)	2,811,640
2,000	5.250%, 6/01/21 (Pre-refunded 12/01/12) –	100.00		_,011,010
	AGM Insured			
	Dayton, Ohio, Airport Revenue Bonds, James			
	M. Cox International Airport, Series 2005B,	No Opt.		
1,000	5.000%,	Call	A-(4)	1,146,410
	12/01/14 - SYNCORA GTY Insured (ETM)			
2,000	Garfield Heights City School District,	12/11 at	N/R (4)	2,074,280
	Cuyahoga County, Ohio, General Obligation	100.00		

School

Improvement Bonds, Series 2001, 5.000%, 12/15/26 (Pre-refunded 12/15/11) – NPFG Insured

Principal Amount (000)	Description (1)	Optional Call Provisions (2) R	atings (3)	Value
\$ 2,000	U.S. Guaranteed (4) (continued) Lakota Local School District, Butler County, Ohio, Unlimited Tax General Obligation School Improvement and Refunding Bonds, Series 2001, 5.125%,	6/11 at 100.00	Aaa	\$ 2,024,880
	12/01/26 (Pre-refunded 6/01/11) – FGIC Insured			
2,000	Louisville City School District, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2001, 5.000%, 12/01/29	12/11 at 100.00	A1 (4)	2,070,700
760	(Pre-refunded 12/01/11) – FGIC Insured Middletown City School District, Butler County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004,	12/13 at 100.00	N/R (4)	842,095
460	5.000%, 12/01/25 (Pre-refunded 12/01/13) – FGIC Insured New Albany Plain Local School District, Franklin County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds,	6/12 at 100.00	Aa1 (4)	488,920
	Series 2002, 5.500%, 12/01/17 (Pre-refunded 6/01/12) – FGIC Insured			
2,645	Ohio State Building Authority, State Facilities Bonds, Adult Correctional Building Fund	4/14 at 100.00	AA (4)	2,986,364
	Project, Series 2004A, 5.250%, 4/01/15 (Pre-refunded 4/01/14) – NPFG Insured			
1,200	Ohio State University, General Receipts Bonds, Series 2002A, 5.125%, 12/01/31	12/12 at 100.00	Aa1 (4)	1,295,064
2,450	<ul> <li>(Pre-refunded 12/01/12)</li> <li>Ohio State University, General Receipts Bonds, Series 2003B,</li> <li>5.250%, 6/01/22</li> <li>(Pre-refunded 6/01/13)</li> </ul>	6/13 at 100.00	N/R (4)	2,683,926
525	Ohio Water Development Authority, Revenue Bonds, Drinking Water Assistance Fund, State Match,	6/18 at 100.00	AAA	609,908
	Series 2008, 5.000%, 6/01/28 (Pre-refunded 6/01/18) – AGM Insured			
1,225	Ohio Water Development Authority, Water Pollution Control Loan Fund Revenue Bonds, Water Quality Project, Series 2005B, 5.000%, 6/01/25 (Pre-refunded	6/15 at 100.00	AAA	1,407,207
	6/01/15) Olentangy Local School District, Delaware and Franklin Counties,			
	Ohio, General Obligation			
	Bonds, Series 2004A:	6/14 at		
1,315	5.250%, 12/01/23 (Pre-refunded 6/01/14) – FGIC Insured	100.00 6/14 at	AA+ (4)	1,491,302
3,380	5.250%, 12/01/24 (Pre-refunded 6/01/14) – FGIC Insured Princeton City School District, Butler County, Ohio, General	100.00 12/13 at	AA+ (4)	3,833,157
1,000	Obligation Bonds, Series 2003, 5.000%, 12/01/30 (Pre-refunded 12/01/13) – NPFG Insured	100.00	AAA	1,116,150

$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $				
Bonds, Series 2002, 5.250%, 12/01/27 (Pre-refunded 12/01/11)         Instruct of the series           1,705         Union Hospital Project, Series         101.00         N/R (4)         1,771,205           2001, 5.750%, 10/01/21 (Pre-refunded 10/01/11) – RAAI Insured         33,803,936         1011 at         100.00         N/R (4)         1,771,205           31,125         Total U.S. Guaranteed         33,803,936         1011 at         100.00         All 2,399,100           Series 2008A, 5.250%, 2/15/43         American Municipal Power Ohio Inc., General Revenue Bonds, 2/18 at         2,309,100           Series 2008A, 5.250%, 2/15/43         100.00         All 2,399,100           Series 2008A, 5.250%, 2/15/21 – NPFG Insured         2/12 at         4,007,886           Cleveland, Ohio, Public Power Ohio Inc., Wadsworth, Electric         2/12 at         4,037,886           Series 2002, 5.000%, 2/15/22 – NPFG Insured         Call         A – 575,065           2,105         0.000%, 11/15/34 – NPFG Insured         Call         A – 515,007           Ohio Air Quality Development Authority, Revenue Refunding         5/11 at         1,2150           Series 1999C, 5.150%, 5/01/26 – AMBAC Insured         No Opt.         2           Ohio Municipal Electric Generation Agency, Beneficial Interest         No Opt.         2           2/15/29 – NPFG Insured <t< td=""><td>2 8 2 0</td><td></td><td></td><td><math>\Lambda_{0}</math> (4) 2 025 2</td></t<>	2 8 2 0			$\Lambda_{0}$ (4) 2 025 2
1,705Union Hospital Project, Series101.00N/R (4)1,771,2052001, 5,750%, 10/01/21 (Pre-refunded 10/01/11) – RAAI Insured33,803,93631,125Total U.S. Guaranteed33,803,936Utilities – 7.4% (5.0% of Total Investments)American Municipal Power Ohio Inc., General Revenue Bonds,2/18 at2,500Prairie State Energy Campus Project100.00A12,399,100Series 2008A, 5.250%, 2/15/43100.00A24,037,880American Municipal Power Ohio Inc., Wadsworth, Electric2/12 at4,000System Improvement Revenue Bonds,100.00A24,037,880Series 2002, 5.000%, 2/15/22 – NPFG InsuredCleveland, Ohio, Public Power System Revenue Bonds, Series2008B:100.00A24,037,8802,1050.000%, 11/15/32 – NPFG InsuredCallA-515,0022,1050.000%, 11/15/34 – NPFG InsuredCallA-515,0020hio Air Quality Development Authority, Revenue Refunding5/11 at1,212,1501,250Bonds, Ohio Power Company Project,100.00Baa11,212,150Series 1990C, 5.150%, 5/01/26 – AMBAC InsuredOhio Municipal Electric Generation Agency, Beneficial InterestNo Opt.950Certificates, Belleville100.00A12,083,620Hydroelectric Project – Joint Venture 5, Series 2001, 0.000%, 2/15/29 – NFFG Insured11,156,495Water and Sewer – 2.2% (1.5% of Total Investments)City of Marysville, Ohio, Water System Mortgage Revenue12/17 at430Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000%, 12/01/32 –100.00A1 <td>2,830</td> <td>•</td> <td>100.00</td> <td>Aa2 (4) 2,955,5</td>	2,830	•	100.00	Aa2 (4) 2,955,5
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		· ·		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	1,705	· ·	101.00	N/R (4) 1,771,2
Utilities – 7.4% (5.0% of Total Investments)American Municipal Power Ohio Inc., General Revenue Bonds, Scries 2008A, 5.250%, 2/15/432/18 at 100.00American Municipal Power Ohio Inc., Wadsworth, Electric Series 2008A, 5.250%, 2/15/432/12 at 2/12 at 100.004,000System Improvement Revenue Bonds, Series 2002, 5.000%, 2/15/22 – NPFG Insured2/12 at 2/12 at 2/12 at 2/15/22 – NPFG Insured2,1050.000%, 11/15/32 – NPFG InsuredCall Call A – 575,0652,1050.000%, 11/15/32 – NPFG InsuredCall Call A – 575,0652,1550.000%, 11/15/34 – NPFG InsuredCall Call A – 515,0020Ohio Air Quality Development Authority, Revenue Refunding Series 1999C, 5.150%, 5/01/26 – AMBAC InsuredNo Opt. Call A – 515,0021,250Bonds, Ohio Power Company Project, Ohio Municipal Electric Generation Agency, Beneficial Interest Vydroelectric Project – Joint Venture 5, Series 2001, 0.000%, 2/15/29 – NPFG InsuredCall A 10Ohio Municipal Electric Generation Agency, Beneficial Interest Utilities2/14 at 2/14 at 2/15/29 – NPFG Insured2,000Certificates, Belleville100.00A12,000Certificates, Belleville100.00A12,157Ohio Municipal Electric Generation Agency, Beneficial Interest Utilities2/14 at 2/15/29 – NPFG Insured14,960Total Utilities11,156,492430Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000%, 12/01/32 –100.00A1431Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000%, 12/01/32 –100.00A1433Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000	21.125			22.002.0
American Municipal Power Ohio Inc., General Revenue Bonds, Prairie State Energy Campus Project $2/18$ at 100.002,500Prairie State Energy Campus Project100.00A12,399,100Series 2008A, 5.250%, 2/15/43American Municipal Power Ohio Inc., Wadsworth, Electric $2/12$ at 100.00A24,037,8804,000System Improvement Revenue Bonds, Series 2002, 5.000%, 2/15/22 – NPFG Insured100.00A24,037,880Cleveland, Ohio, Public Power System Revenue Bonds, Series 2008B:No Opt.24,037,8802,1050.000%, 11/15/32 – NPFG InsuredCallA -575,0650.000%, 11/15/34 – NPFG InsuredCallA -515,0020hio Air Quality Development Authority, Revenue Refunding Ohio Municipal Electric Generation Agency, Beneficial InterestNo Opt.950Certificates, BellevilleCallA1333,678950Certificates, Belleville100.00A12,083,620950Certificates, Belleville100.00A12,083,620950Certificates, Belleville100.00A12,083,620950Certificates, Belleville100.00A12,083,620950Certificates, Belleville100.00A12,083,620950Certificates, Belleville100.00A12,083,620950Certificates, Belleville100.00A12,083,620950Certificates, Belleville100.00A12,083,620950Certificates, Belleville100.00A12,083,620<	31,125			33,803,9
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			2/18 at	
Series 2008A, $5.250\%$ , $2^{1}5/43$ American Municipal Power Ohio Inc., Wadsworth, Electric $2/12$ at4,000System Improvement Revenue Bonds,100.00A24,037,880Series 2002, $5.000\%$ , $21/5/22 - NPFG Insured100.00A24,037,880Cleveland, Ohio, Public Power System Revenue Bonds, Series2008B:No Opt.2,1050.000%, 11/15/32 - NPFG InsuredCallA-575,06200000%, 11/15/34 - NPFG InsuredCallA-515,00200000%, 11/15/34 - NPFG InsuredCallA-515,00200hio Air Quality Development Authority, Revenue Refunding5/11 at100.00Baal1,212,1501,250Bonds, Ohio Power Company Project,100.00Baal1,212,150950Certificates, BellevilleCallA1333,678Hydroclectric Project - Joint Venture 5, Series 2001, 0.000%,21/5/20 - NPFG Insured2/14 at100.00A12,000Certificates, Belleville100.00A12,083,620Hydroclectric Project - Joint Venture 5, Series 2004, 5.000%,21/5/20 - AMBAC Insured11,156,49211,156,492Water and Sewer - 2.2\% (1.5\% of Total Investments)100.00A1415,578AMBAC Insured100.00A1415,578AMBAC Insured100.00A1415,578AMBAC Insured100.00A1415,578AMBAC Insured100.00A1415,578AMBAC InsuredCallAa11,143,750A$	2.500	•		A1 2.399.1
American Municipal Power Ohio Inc., Wadsworth, Electric $2/12$ at 100.00A2 $4,037,880$ A4,000System Improvement Revenue Bonds, Series 2002, 5.000%, $2/15/22 - NPFG$ Insured100.00A2 $4,037,880$ A2,1050.000%, 11/15/32 - NPFG InsuredCallA- $575,065$ No Opt.2,1050.000%, 11/15/32 - NPFG InsuredCallA- $575,065$ No Opt.2,1550.000%, 11/15/34 - NPFG InsuredCallA- $515,002$ Ohio Air Quality Development Authority, Revenue Refunding Series 1999C, 5.150%, 5/01/26 - AMBAC Insured100.00Baa1 $1,212,150$ Obio Municipal Electric Generation Agency, Beneficial Interest Ohio Municipal Electric Generation Agency, Beneficial Interest 2/15/29 - NPFG InsuredNo Opt.333,678Hydroelectric Project - Joint Venture 5, Series 2001, 0.000%, 2/15/29 - NPFG InsuredCallA1333,678Ohio Municipal Electric Generation Agency, Beneficial Interest 2/15/29 - NPFG Insured2/14 at 100.00A12,083,620Hydroelectric Project - Joint Venture 5, Series 2004, 5.000%, 2/15/20 - AMBAC Insured11,156,49511,156,495Vater and Sewer - 2.2% (1.5% of Total Investments)100.00A1415,578City of Marysville, Ohio, Water System Mortgage Revenue12/17 at 100.00A1415,578AMBAC InsuredCallAa11,143,7501,000and Improvement Bonds, SeriesCallAa11,143,7501,000and Improvement Bonds, SeriesCallAa11,143,7501,000and Improvement B	_,_ • • •			,_,,
Series 2002, 5.000%, 2/15/22 – NPFG Insured         Cleveland, Ohio, Public Power System Revenue Bonds, Series 2008B:         2,105       0.000%, 11/15/32 – NPFG Insured         Call       A – 575,065         Call       A – 515,002         Ohio Air Quality Development Authority, Revenue Refunding       5/11 at         1,250       Bonds, Ohio Power Company Project,       100.00       Baal 1,212,150         Series 1999C, 5.150%, 5/01/26 – AMBAC Insured       Call       A1       333,678         Ohio Municipal Electric Generation Agency, Beneficial Interest       No Opt.       Call       A1       333,678         950       Certificates, Belleville       Call       A1       333,678       Call       A1       333,678         950       Certificates, Belleville       100.00       A1       2,083,620       Call       A1       333,678         950       Certificates, Belleville       100.00       A1       2,083,620       Call       A1       333,678         950       Certificates, Belleville       100.00       A1       2,083,620         950       Certificates, Belleville       100.00       A1       2,083,620         950       Certificates, Belleville       100.00       A1       2,083,620         95			2/12 at	
Cleveland, Ohio, Public Power System Revenue Bonds, Series 2008B:2,1050.000%, 11/15/32 – NPFG InsuredNo Opt. Call2,1050.000%, 11/15/34 – NPFG InsuredNo Opt.2,1550.000%, 11/15/34 – NPFG InsuredCallA - 515,002Ohio Air Quality Development Authority, Revenue Refunding Series 1999C, 5.150%, 5/01/26 – AMBAC Insured5/11 at 1.212,150Series 1999C, 5.150%, 5/01/26 – AMBAC InsuredNo Opt.Ohio Municipal Electric Generation Agency, Beneficial Interest Undivelectric Project – Joint Venture 5, Series 2001, 0.000%, 2/15/29 – NPFG InsuredNo Opt.2,000Certificates, BellevilleCallA1A1333,6784,000Certificates, BellevilleCallA1950Certificates, Belleville100.00A12,000Certificates, Belleville100.00A12,000Certificates, Belleville100.00A12,000Certificates, Belleville11,156,49540Total Utilities11,156,49541,960Total Utilities11,156,49540Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000%, 12/01/32 –100.00A141,960AlmBAC Insured11,16,495430Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000%, 12/01/32 –100.00A1440and Improvement Bonds, SeriesCallAa1440and Improvement Bonds, SeriesCallAa1440and Improvement Bonds, Series100.00Aa140and Improvement Bonds, Series100.00Aa140<	4,000		100.00	A2 4,037,8
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $				
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $				
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		2008B:	No Ont	
No Opt. CallNo Opt. CallA- $515,002$ 2,1550.000%, 11/15/34 – NPFG Insured5/11 at 100.00Baal1,212,150Bonds, Ohio Power Company Project, Series 1999C, 5,150%, 5/01/26 – AMBAC Insured100.00Baal1,212,150Series 1999C, 5,150%, 5/01/26 – AMBAC InsuredCallA1333,678Ohio Municipal Electric Generation Agency, Beneficial InterestNo Opt. CallA1333,678Hydroelectric Project – Joint Venture 5, Series 2001, 0.000%, 2/15/29 – NPFG Insured2/14 at 100.00A12,083,620Ohio Municipal Electric Generation Agency, Beneficial Interest2/14 at 100.00A12,083,620Quito Municipal Electric Generation Agency, Beneficial Interest2/14 at 100.00A12,083,620Hydroelectric Project – Joint Venture 5, Series 2004, 5.000%, 2/15/20 – AMBAC Insured11,156,49511,156,49514,960Total Utilities11,156,49511,156,49511,156,495Water and Sewer – 2.2% (1.5% of Total Investments)12/17 at 100.00A1415,578AMBAC InsuredCleveland, Ohio, Water System Mortgage Revenue12/17 at 100.00A1415,5781,000and Improvement Bonds, SeriesCallAa11,143,7501,000and Improvement Bonds, SeriesCallAa11,143,75040and Improvement Bonds, Series100.00Aa140,110	2 105	0.000% 11/15/32 - NPEG Insured	-	A_ 575.0
2,1550.000%, 11/15/34 – NPFG InsuredCallA – 515,002Ohio Air Quality Development Authority, Revenue Refunding5/11 at1,250Bonds, Ohio Power Company Project,100.00Baal1,250Bonds, Ohio Power Company Project,100.00Baal1,250Series 1999C, 5.150%, 5/01/26 – AMBAC InsuredNo Opt.950Certificates, BellevilleCallA1333,678Hydroelectric Project – Joint Venture 5, Series 2001, 0.000%, 2/15/29 – NPFG Insured2/14 at0hio Municipal Electric Generation Agency, Beneficial Interest2/14 at2,000Certificates, Belleville100.00A12,000Certificates, Belleville100.00A12,000Certificates, Belleville100.00A12,000Certificates, Belleville100.00A14,960Total Utilities11,156,495Water and Sewer – 2.2% (1.5% of Total Investments)12/17 at430Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000%, 12/01/32 –100.00A1430Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000%, 12/01/32 –100.00A1430Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000%, 12/01/32 –100.00A1431Marysville, Ohio, Water System Mortgage Revenue Refunding 100.00No Opt.1,000and Improvement Bonds, SeriesCallAa140and Improvement Bonds, Series100.00Aa140and Improvement Bonds, Series100.00Aa140and Improvement Bonds, Series100.00Aa140and Improvemen	2,105	0.000 %, 11/13/32 – 111 0 insured		A- 575,0
Ohio Air Quality Development Authority, Revenue Refunding5/11 at1,250Bonds, Ohio Power Company Project,100.00Baa11,212,150Series 1999C, 5.150%, 5/01/26 – AMBAC InsuredNo Opt.Series 1999C, 5.150%, 5/01/26 – AMBAC InsuredNo Opt.950Certificates, BellevilleCallA1333,678Hydroelectric Project – Joint Venture 5, Series 2001, 0.000%, 2/15/29 – NPFG InsuredZ/14 at333,678Ohio Municipal Electric Generation Agency, Beneficial Interest2/14 at2,083,620Hydroelectric Project – Joint Venture 5, Series 2004, 5.000%, 2/15/20 – AMBAC Insured100.00A12,083,620Hydroelectric Project – Joint Venture 5, Series 2004, 5.000%, 2/15/20 – AMBAC Insured11,156,49511,156,49514,960Total Utilities111,156,49511,156,495Water and Sewer – 2.2% (1.5% of Total Investments)111,156,495100.00City of Marysville, Ohio, Water System Mortgage Revenue12/17 at430Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000%, 12/01/32 –100.00A1415,578AMBAC InsuredImprovement Bonds, SeriesCallAa11,143,7501,000and Improvement Bonds, SeriesCallAa11,143,75040and Improvement Bonds, Series100.00Aa140,11040and Improvement Bonds, Series100.00Aa140,110	2,155	0.000%, 11/15/34 – NPFG Insured	-	A- 515.0
Series 1999C, 5.150%, 5/01/26 – AMBAC InsuredOhio Municipal Electric Generation Agency, Beneficial InterestNo Opt.950Certificates, BellevilleCallA1951Certificates, BellevilleCallA1952Certificates, BellevilleCallA1953Hydroelectric Project – Joint Venture 5, Series 2001, 0.000%, 2/15/29 – NPFG Insured2/14 at954Ohio Municipal Electric Generation Agency, Beneficial Interest2/14 at955Certificates, Belleville100.00A1956Certificates, Belleville100.00A1957AMBAC Insured11,156,495958Water and Sewer – 2.2% (1.5% of Total Investments)12/17 at959City of Marysville, Ohio, Water System Mortgage Revenue12/17 at950Gleveland, Ohio, Waterworks First Mortgage Revenue Refunding and Improvement Bonds, SeriesNo Opt.1,000and Improvement Bonds, SeriesCallAa140and Improvement Bonds, Series100.00Aa140and Improvement Bonds, Series100.00Aa140and Improvement Bonds, Series100.00Aa140and Improvement Bonds, Series100.00Aa1	,			
Ohio Municipal Electric Generation Agency, Beneficial InterestNo Opt.950Certificates, BellevilleCallA1333,678Hydroelectric Project – Joint Venture 5, Series 2001, 0.000%, 2/15/29 – NPFG Insured2/14 at333,678Ohio Municipal Electric Generation Agency, Beneficial Interest2/14 at2/14 at2,000Certificates, Belleville100.00A12,083,620Hydroelectric Project – Joint Venture 5, Series 2004, 5.000%, 2/15/20 – AMBAC Insured11,156,49511,156,49514,960Total Utilities11,156,49511,156,495Water and Sewer – 2.2% (1.5% of Total Investments)12/17 at41430Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000%, 12/01/32 –100.00A1415,578AMBAC InsuredCleveland, Ohio, Waterworks First Mortgage Revenue Refunding and Improvement Bonds, SeriesCallAa11,143,7501,000and Improvement Bonds, SeriesCallAa11,143,75040and Improvement Bonds, Series100.00Aa140,110	1,250		100.00	Baa1 1,212,1
950Certificates, BellevilleCallA1333,678Hydroelectric Project – Joint Venture 5, Series 2001, 0.000%, 2/15/29 – NPFG Insured2/14 at303,678Ohio Municipal Electric Generation Agency, Beneficial Interest2/14 at2,083,620Hydroelectric Project – Joint Venture 5, Series 2004, 5.000%, 2/15/20 – AMBAC Insured100.00A12,083,62014,960Total Utilities11,156,49511,156,495Water and Sewer – 2.2% (1.5% of Total Investments)12/17 at11,156,495City of Marysville, Ohio, Water System Mortgage Revenue12/17 at430Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000%, 12/01/32 –100.00A1415,578AMBAC Insured100,00A11,000and Improvement Bonds, SeriesCallAa11,143,7501993G, 5.500%, 1/01/21 – NPFG Insured Cleveland, Ohio, Waterworks First Mortgage Revenue Refunding and Improvement Bonds, Series7/11 at4040and Improvement Bonds, Series100.00Aa140,110				
Hydroelectric Project – Joint Venture 5, Series 2001, 0.000%, 2/15/29 – NPFG InsuredOhio Municipal Electric Generation Agency, Beneficial Interest2/14 at2,000Certificates, Belleville100.00A1 2,083,620Hydroelectric Project – Joint Venture 5, Series 2004, 5.000%, 2/15/20 – AMBAC Insured11,156,49514,960Total Utilities11,156,495Water and Sewer – 2.2% (1.5% of Total Investments)12/17 atCity of Marysville, Ohio, Water System Mortgage Revenue12/17 at430Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000%, 12/01/32 –100.00A1 415,578AMBAC InsuredCleveland, Ohio, Waterworks First Mortgage Revenue Refunding 1,000No Opt.1,000and Improvement Bonds, SeriesCallAa1 1,143,75040and Improvement Bonds, Series100.00Aa1 40,110	050		-	
2/15/29 - NPFG InsuredOhio Municipal Electric Generation Agency, Beneficial Interest2/14 at2,000Certificates, Belleville100.00A1 2,083,620Hydroelectric Project – Joint Venture 5, Series 2004, 5.000%, 2/15/20 – AMBAC Insured11,156,49514,960Total Utilities11,156,495Water and Sewer – 2.2% (1.5% of Total Investments)12/17 atCity of Marysville, Ohio, Water System Mortgage Revenue12/17 at430Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000%, 12/01/32 –100.00AMBAC InsuredCleveland, Ohio, Waterworks First Mortgage Revenue Refunding 1993G, 5.500%, 1/01/21 – NPFG Insured1,000and Improvement Bonds, SeriesCall40and Improvement Bonds, Series100.0040and Improvement Bonds, Series100.004140, Niio, Waterworks First Mortgage Revenue Refunding 100.007/11 at	950		Call	Al 333,6
Ohio Municipal Electric Generation Agency, Beneficial Interest2/14 at2,000Certificates, Belleville100.00A1 2,083,620Hydroelectric Project – Joint Venture 5, Series 2004, 5.000%, 2/15/20 – AMBAC Insured11,156,49514,960Total Utilities11,156,495Water and Sewer – 2.2% (1.5% of Total Investments)12/17 atCity of Marysville, Ohio, Water System Mortgage Revenue12/17 at430Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000%, 12/01/32 –100.00AMBAC InsuredCleveland, Ohio, Waterworks First Mortgage Revenue Refunding 1,000No Opt.1,000and Improvement Bonds, SeriesCall40and Improvement Bonds, Series100.0040and Improvement Bonds, Series100.00		• •		
<ul> <li>2,000 Certificates, Belleville</li> <li>Hydroelectric Project – Joint Venture 5, Series 2004, 5.000%, 2/15/20 – AMBAC Insured</li> <li>14,960 Total Utilities</li> <li>11,156,495</li> <li>Water and Sewer – 2.2% (1.5% of Total Investments)</li> <li>City of Marysville, Ohio, Water System Mortgage Revenue</li> <li>12/17 at</li> <li>430 Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000%, 12/01/32 –</li> <li>AMBAC Insured</li> <li>Cleveland, Ohio, Waterworks First Mortgage Revenue Refunding</li> <li>No Opt.</li> <li>1,000 and Improvement Bonds, Series</li> <li>Call</li> <li>Aa1</li> <li>1,143,750</li> <li>1993G, 5.500%, 1/01/21 – NPFG Insured</li> <li>Cleveland, Ohio, Waterworks First Mortgage Revenue Refunding</li> <li>Monomous Antipotentiation of the series</li> <li>Aa1</li> <li>40 and Improvement Bonds, Series</li> </ul>			2/14 at	
Hydroelectric Project – Joint Venture 5, Series 2004, 5.000%, 2/15/20 – AMBAC Insured11,156,49514,960Total Utilities11,156,495Water and Sewer – 2.2% (1.5% of Total Investments) City of Marysville, Ohio, Water System Mortgage Revenue12/17 at430Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000%, 12/01/32 –100.00A1430Cleveland, Ohio, Waterworks First Mortgage Revenue Refunding Cleveland, Ohio, Waterworks First Mortgage Revenue Refunding 	2,000			A1 2,083,6
14,960Total Utilities11,156,495Water and Sewer - 2.2% (1.5% of Total Investments)City of Marysville, Ohio, Water System Mortgage Revenue12/17 at430Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000%, 12/01/32 -100.00AMBAC InsuredCleveland, Ohio, Waterworks First Mortgage Revenue RefundingNo Opt.1,000and Improvement Bonds, SeriesCallAa11,143,750Cleveland, Ohio, Waterworks First Mortgage Revenue RefundingNo Opt.1993G, 5.500%, 1/01/21 - NPFG InsuredCleveland, Ohio, Waterworks First Mortgage Revenue Refunding7/11 at40and Improvement Bonds, Series100.00Aa140,110		Hydroelectric Project – Joint Venture 5, Series 2004, 5.000%,		
Water and Sewer - 2.2% (1.5% of Total Investments)City of Marysville, Ohio, Water System Mortgage Revenue12/17 at430Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000%, 12/01/32 -100.00A1AMBAC Insured100.00A1Cleveland, Ohio, Waterworks First Mortgage Revenue RefundingNo Opt.1,000and Improvement Bonds, SeriesCallAa11993G, 5.500%, 1/01/21 - NPFG InsuredCleveland, Ohio, Waterworks First Mortgage Revenue Refunding7/11 at40and Improvement Bonds, Series100.00Aa140and Improvement Bonds, Series100.00Aa1				
City of Marysville, Ohio, Water System Mortgage Revenue12/17 at430Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000%, 12/01/32 –100.00A1415,578AMBAC InsuredCleveland, Ohio, Waterworks First Mortgage Revenue RefundingNo Opt.A11,143,7501,000and Improvement Bonds, SeriesCallAa11,143,7501993G, 5.500%, 1/01/21 – NPFG InsuredCleveland, Ohio, Waterworks First Mortgage Revenue Refunding7/11 at40and Improvement Bonds, Series100.00Aa140,110	14,960			11,156,4
430Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000%, 12/01/32 –100.00A1415,578AMBAC InsuredInsuredInsuredInsuredInsured1,000and Improvement Bonds, SeriesCallInsuredInsured1993G, 5.500%, 1/01/21 – NPFG InsuredInsuredInsuredInsured100.00Aa1InsuredInsuredInsured100.00Aa1Aa1Aa1Insured100.00Aa1Aa1Aa1Aa1100.00Aa1Aa1Aa1Aa1100.00Aa1Aa1Aa1Aa1100.00Aa1Aa1Aa1Aa1100.00Aa1Aa1Aa1Aa1100.00Aa1Aa1Aa1Aa1100.00Aa1Aa1Aa1Aa1100.00Aa1Aa1Aa1Aa1100.00Aa1Aa1Aa1Aa1100.00Aa1Aa1Aa1Aa1100.00Aa1Aa1Aa1Aa1100.00Aa1Aa1Aa1Aa1100.00Aa1Aa1Aa1Aa1100.00Aa1Aa1Aa1Aa1100.00Aa1Aa1Aa1Aa1100.00Aa1Aa1Aa1Aa1100.00Aa1Aa1Aa1Aa1100.00Aa1Aa1Aa1Aa1100.00Aa1Aa1Aa1Aa1100.00Aa1Aa1Aa1Aa1100.00A			10/17	
AMBAC InsuredCleveland, Ohio, Waterworks First Mortgage Revenue RefundingNo Opt.1,000and Improvement Bonds, SeriesCall1993G, 5.500%, 1/01/21 – NPFG InsuredCleveland, Ohio, Waterworks First Mortgage Revenue Refunding7/11 at40and Improvement Bonds, Series100.00Aa140Au40,110	420			A 1 / 15 5
Cleveland, Ohio, Waterworks First Mortgage Revenue RefundingNo Opt.1,000and Improvement Bonds, SeriesCallAa11993G, 5.500%, 1/01/21 – NPFG InsuredCleveland, Ohio, Waterworks First Mortgage Revenue Refunding7/11 at40and Improvement Bonds, Series100.00Aa140,110	430		100.00	AI 415,5
1,000and Improvement Bonds, SeriesCallAa11,143,7501993G, 5.500%, 1/01/21 – NPFG InsuredCleveland, Ohio, Waterworks First Mortgage Revenue Refunding7/11 at40and Improvement Bonds, Series100.00Aa140,110			No Opt.	
1993G, 5.500%, 1/01/21 – NPFG InsuredCleveland, Ohio, Waterworks First Mortgage Revenue Refunding7/11 at40and Improvement Bonds, Series100.00Aa1404040	1,000		-	Aa1 1,143.7
40 and Improvement Bonds, Series100.00Aa140,110		A		, -,-
		Cleveland, Ohio, Waterworks First Mortgage Revenue Refunding		
1996H, 5.750%, 1/01/26 – NPFG Insured	40	•	100.00	Aa1 40,1
		1996H, 5.750%, 1/01/26 – NPFG Insured		

Nuveen Ohio Quality Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (continued) NUO Portfolio of Investments February 28, 2011

Principal		Optional Call		
Amount		Provisions	Ratings	
(000)	Description (1)	(2)	(3)	Value
	Water and Sewer (continued)			
	Hamilton, Ohio, Wastewater System Revenue Bonds, Series	10/15 at		
\$ 1,220	2005, 5.250%, 10/01/22 – AGM Insured	100.00	Aa3	\$ 1,293,273
	Marysville, Ohio, Wastewater Treatment System Revenue	12/17 at		
200	Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000%, 12/01/37 -	100.00	A–	183,442
	SYNCORA GTY Insured			
	Ohio Water Development Authority, Water Pollution Control	6/15 at		
275	Loan Fund Revenue Bonds, Water	100.00	AAA	289,971
	Quality Project, Series 2005B, 5.000%, 6/01/25			
3,165	Total Water and Sewer			3,366,124
\$ 252,430	Total Investments (cost \$217,987,700) – 146.6%			220,762,745
	Other Assets Less Liabilities – 1.9%			2,791,777
	Auction Rate Preferred Shares, at Liquidation Value – (48.5)%			
	(5)			(73,000,000)
				\$
	Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares – 100%			150,554,522

All percentages shown in the Portfolio of Investments are based on net assets applicable to Common (1) shares unless otherwise noted.

Optional Call Provisions (not covered by the report of independent registered public accounting firm): (2) Dates (month and year) and prices of the earliest

- (1) Dates (inolar and year) and prices of the callest
   optional call or redemption. There may be other call provisions at varying prices at later dates. Certain mortgage-backed securities may be subject to periodic principal paydowns.
   Ratings (not covered by the report of independent registered public accounting firm): Using the highest
- (3) of Standard & Poor's Group ("Standard & Poor's"), Moody's Investor Service, Inc. ("Moody's") or Fitch, Inc. ("Fitch") rating. Ratings below BBB by Standard & Poor's, Baa by Moody's or BBB by Fitch are considered to be below investment grade. Holdings designated N/R are not rated by any of these national rating agencies.

(4)