NUVEEN OHIO QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND INC Form N-30D April 09, 2001 LOGO: NUVEEN INVESTMENTS SEMIANNUAL REPORT January 31, 2001 Municipal Closed-End Exchange-Traded Funds Dependable, tax-free income to help you keep more of what you earn.

ARIZONA NAZ

MICHIGAN NUM NMP

OHIO NUO

TEXAS NTX

INVEST WELL. LOOK AHEAD.

LEAVE YOUR MARK.(SM)

PHOTO OF: 2 MEN TALKING.

PHOTO OF: GIRL AND WOMAN TALKING.

Credit Quality HIGHLIGHTS As of January 31, 2001

PIECHART: Nuveen Arizona Premium Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (NAZ) AAA/U.S. Guaranteed 59% 17% AA А 68 BBB 13% NR 18 4% Other PIECHART: Nuveen Michigan Quality Income Municipal Fund, Inc.

AAA/U.S. Guaranteed 84% AA 6% A 5% BBB 5%

PIECHART: Nuveen Michigan Premium Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (NMP) AAA/U.S. Guaranteed 68%

AA 19% 5% А BBB 8% PIECHART: Nuveen Ohio Quality Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (NUO) AAA/U.S. Guaranteed 71% 16% AA 6% А 5% BBB NR 28 PIECHART: Nuveen Texas Quality Income Municipal Fund (NTX) AAA/U.S. Guaranteed 57% 12% AA А 98 BBB 21% NR 18 CONTENTS 1 Dear Shareholder 3 Portfolio Managers' Comments 8 NAZ Performance Overview 9 NUM Performance Overview 10 NMP Performance Overview 11 NUO Performance Overview 12 NTX Performance Overview 13 Shareholder Meeting Report 17 Portfolio of Investments 40 Statement of Net Assets 41 Statement of Operations 42 Statement of Changes in Net Assets 44 Notes to Financial Statements 50 Financial Highlights 52 Build Your Wealth Automatically 53 Fund Information

COMPOUND YOUR WEALTH - AUTOMATICALLY All Nuveen Municipal Closed-End ETFs let you reinvest dividends and capital gains directly into additional shares of your Fund. This is a great way to see your investment grow through the power of compounding.

For more information about Dividend Reinvestment, see the last page of this report or speak with your financial advisor.

Photo of: Timothy R. Schwertfeger Chairman of the Board

SIDEBAR TEXT: "A WELL-BALANCED PORTFOLIO CAN HELP YOU REDUCE YOUR INVESTMENT RISKS."

Dear

SHAREHOLDER

One of the primary goals of your Nuveen Fund is to provide you with attractive, dependable tax-free income from a quality portfolio. I am pleased to report that over the period covered by this report your Fund has continued to meet these objectives. I urge you to read the Portfolio Managers' Comments and Performance Overview pages included in this report, which provide more details about Fund results, how they were achieved, and how the Funds are trying to position themselves for the future.

The uncertain markets of this past reporting period also remind us of another important reason for investing in Nuveen Funds. In times such as these, your Nuveen Fund can help bring a measure of diversification to your overall portfolio and serve as a useful counterbalance to other holdings. A well-balanced portfolio can help you reduce your investment risks and provide one of the keys to strong long-term performance.

Invest Well. Look Ahead. Leave Your Mark.

At Nuveen, we believe that investors should focus not only on investments that can help them accumulate wealth, but also on the plans and services that can help preserve that wealth and pass it along to future generations. This long-term perspective is an integral part of our portfolio management strategies, our insistence on quality, and our desire to provide investments that can withstand the test of time.

In establishing a program tailored to your needs, the sound ongoing advice and disciplined focus provided by a trusted financial advisor can be an invaluable resource, enabling you to make wise investment decisions and build a program that can result in a lasting legacy.

For more than a century, Nuveen has offered quality investments to those who recognize and embrace the need for building, preserving and managing wealth. All of us at Nuveen are dedicated to working with you and your financial advisor to provide the services, products, perspectives, and solutions that can help you meet your personal and family financial goals, now and for years to come. We thank you for your continued confidence.

Sincerely,

/s/ Timothy R. Schwertfeger

Timothy R. Schwertfeger Chairman of the Board

March 16, 2001

Sidebar text: "IN ESTABLISHING A PROGRAM TAILORED TO YOUR NEEDS, THE SOUND ONGOING ADVICE AND DISCIPLINED FOCUS PROVIDED BY A TRUSTED FINANCIAL ADVISOR CAN BE AN INVALUABLE RESOURCE."

Nuveen Municipal Closed-End Exchange-Traded Funds (NAZ, NUM, NMP, NUO, NTX)

Portfolio Managers'

COMMENTS

Portfolio managers Mike Davern and Tom Futrell discuss national and state economic conditions, key investment strategies, and the performance of the Nuveen Municipal Closed-End Exchange-Traded Funds for Arizona, Michigan, Ohio, and Texas. Mike has managed NAZ, NUM, NMP, and NTX since 1998, and Tom also assumed portfolio management responsibility for NUO in 1998.

WHAT MAJOR FACTORS AFFECTED THE U.S. ECONOMY AND THE MUNICIPAL MARKET DURING THIS REPORTING PERIOD?

The major factor affecting the fixed-income markets for the past two years has been the Federal Reserve's interest rate policies. After a period of significant tightening, the Fed has cut interest rates twice since the beginning of this year, first by 50 basis points on January 3 and then another 50 basis points on January 31. This marked the first time in Alan Greenspan's 13-year tenure at the Fed that rates have been reduced by a full percentage point within a single month. Currently, the Fed appears to consider economic weakness a more serious risk than the possibility of inflation that it was fighting last year. The consensus among many market observers is that the Fed may continue to ease as long as signs of a significant economic slowdown remain.

In the municipal market, indications of economic slowing and tight new issue supply helped many bonds perform well. For the 12 months ended January 31, 2001, new issuance of municipal bonds totaled just over \$202 billion nationwide, down 8% from the previous 12-month period (February 1999 through January 2000). Part of this decline stemmed from higher rate concerns in early 2000, which made it less attractive for some issuers to offer bonds. In addition, generally favorable economic conditions in most cities and states enabled many issuers to use pay-as-you-go funding for necessary projects, rather than financing obtained through new issuance.

At the same time, demand for municipal bonds continued to be strong from individual investors looking for diversification, income, and an alternative to a volatile stock market. As a result, municipal bond prices generally were higher, and yields were correspondingly lower, at the end of January 2001 than they were 12 months earlier. Nevertheless, we believe municipals continued to represent good value. As of January 31, 2001, long-term municipal yields were 97.3% of 30-year Treasury yields, compared with 97.7% in January 2000 and the historical average of 86.0% for the period 1986-1999.

MIKE, WHAT ABOUT THE ECONOMIC AND MARKET ENVIRONMENT IN ARIZONA, MICHIGAN, AND TEXAS?

Arizona can boast of having the second fastest growing state economy in the nation (behind Nevada) over the past year, as well as the most rapidly growing metropolitan area (Tucson). The key drivers of the state's booming economy were robust growth in the manufacturing sector and rapid employment gains in semiconductor manufacturing, Internet, and business services. Many expect this growth to continue at an aggressive pace, based on Arizona's large number of innovative, rapidly expanding companies, which may enable the state to take continued advantage of its emerging high-tech economy. Additional infusions of venture capital also are expected to lead to strong near-term employment gains, especially in communications and business services. In addition, Arizona should continue to benefit from the North American Free Trade Agreement because of exports to Mexico. The influx of new residents, both retirees as well as younger people, should continue to stimulate the housing market, increase demand for service industries, and help to alleviate some of the constraints imposed by a tight job market. Arizona's municipal market continued to see tight new issue supply, with issuance over the past six months (August 2000-January 2001) totaling \$1.16 billion, down 16% from levels seen during the previous six

months.

Michigan's supply of municipal bonds, on the other hand, was somewhat more plentiful over the six months ended January 2001, with \$3.46 billion in new issuance, compared with \$2.18 billion for the previous six months. The state also benefited from Moody's and Standard &

Poor's recent upgrades of its credit rating to Aaa and AAA, respectively, reflecting continued strong budget performance and Michigan's low debt burden. A third major rating agency, Fitch, maintained its rating at AA+. The Michigan economy, which remains heavily reliant on manufacturing, especially auto production, continued to grow more moderately than the national average. Growing efficiency in the auto manufacturing process and weaker consumer demand for automobiles led to numerous job cuts for autoworkers in recent years. Tight labor markets and lower capital goods spending could also further negatively impact the auto industry. While Michigan remains hampered by above-average business costs, the state is attempting to become more competitive in attracting new businesses by implementing aggressive economic development initiatives and by gradually phasing out the state's single business tax. In the years ahead, Michigan's construction and service industries are expected to lead the state's employment growth, although the manufacturing sector, which should continue to diversify, will likely remain the anchor of the state economy.

Municipal issuance in Texas followed the pattern seen in most other states, with new issue supply for the period August 2000 through January 2001 totaling \$1.38 billion, a decline of 27% from the previous six months. Over the past year, Texas showed strong growth, as the state economy continued to diversify away from energy-related industries into high-tech businesses, especially in the Dallas and Austin metropolitan areas. In terms of the total number of high-tech jobs, Texas is second only to California. The growing presence of technology has helped to offset the recent volatility the state experienced in the oil and gas industry. In addition, the resurgence of the Mexican economy led to significant increases in state exports, which reached record levels during the second quarter of 2000.

TOM, WHAT ABOUT OHIO?

Ohio also saw its supply of new municipal bonds continue to decline, as issuance over the most recent six-month period totaled \$2.79 billion, down 12% from the previous six months. Given Ohio's high marginal income tax rate, demand from individual investors continued to be very strong, and this, in turn, caused Ohio municipal bonds to trade at high prices relative to the national market. The Ohio economy continued to rely heavily on manufacturing, while the financial and business service sectors, which led the state's moderate economic expansion in recent years, began to slow. The state's economy is primarily anchored by Cleveland, Cincinnati, and Columbus, which account for 47% of Ohio's population and 53% of the state's total income. The Columbus and Cincinnati metropolitan areas, in particular, have strong, diverse economies, which should continue to grow at a faster pace than that of the state as a whole. Overall, however, Ohio's near-term economic growth is projected to continue to lag national levels due to slowing construction activity and labor shortages resulting from continued population losses. During 2000, the Ohio Supreme Court again declared the state's school district funding formulas unconstitutional, and funding remains a hotly debated issue. In June, the court is expected to hear testimony on methods to alleviate the funding concerns of low-wealth districts.

HOW DID THESE NUVEEN FUNDS PERFORM OVER THE PAST YEAR?

For the year ended January 31, 2001, the Nuveen Municipal Closed-End Exchange-Traded Funds for Arizona, Michigan, Ohio, and Texas produced total returns on net asset value (NAV) as shown in the accompanying table. The annual returns for the Lehman Brothers Municipal Bond Index1 and the appropriate Lipper Peer Groups2 are also presented.

		arket Yield	Total Return on NAV	Lehman Total Return1	11 -
		Taxable- Equivalent3	1 Year Ended 1/31/01	1 Year Ended 1/31/01	1 Year Ended 1/31/01
NAZ	5.19%	7.92%	13.59%	13.28%	18.60%
NUM	5.86%	8.88%	15.67%	13.28%	22.43%
NMP	5.76%	8.73%	18.58%	13.28%	22.43%
NUO	5.31%	8.23%	12.82%	13.28%	18.60%
NTX	5.89%	8.54%	15.74%	13.28%	18.60%

Past performance is not predictive of future results. For additional information, see the individual Performance Overview for your Fund in this report.

Early in 2000, as the Fed's interest rate hikes pushed yields higher, municipal bond prices took a corresponding drop. Over the summer, however, the tight supply of new municipal issues and generally favorable technicals helped to prompt a shift in market outlook, rallying the municipal market and boosting the prices of many bonds. The Funds' participation in the recovery of the municipal market is reflected in the total returns on NAV listed in the previous table, which show dramatic improvement over the performance results presented in the Funds' annual report for the period ending July 31, 2000.

During the 12 months ended January 31, 2001, the yield on the Bond Buyer 25 Revenue Bond Index fell from 6.31% to 5.43%. In this environment of falling yields (and rising bond values), you would expect funds with longer durations4 to perform well. As of January 31, 2001, the duration of the unleveraged Lehman Brothers Municipal Bond Index was 7.51. By comparison, the durations of NAZ, NUM, NMP, and NTX ranged from 8.50 to 9.86, while NUO's duration was 6.28. The relative performance of the Funds was also impacted by their weightings of U.S. guaranteed bonds, which ranged from 24% in NUO to 6% in NMP. In the current environment, the extremely short durations of these prerefunded and escrowed bonds, which benefited the Funds through higher yields, limited the Funds' degree of participation in the market rally.

WERE THE FUNDS' DIVIDENDS AFFECTED BY THE MARKET ENVIRONMENT? Changes in the interest rate environment over the past 12 months had an impact on the dividends of the five Nuveen Funds covered in this report. All of these Funds use leverage as a way to potentially enhance the dividends paid to common shareholders. The extent of this benefit is tied in part to the short-term rates that the Funds pay their MuniPreferred(R) shareholders. When short-term rates rise, the Funds must pay out more income to preferred shareholders, decreasing the amount of income available for common shareholders. As the Federal Reserve raised short-term rates between June 1999 and May 2000, these increases had a corresponding impact on short-term municipal rates. The increase in short-term municipal rates, as well as the pressure of bond calls, was sufficient to cause decreases in the common share dividends of all five Funds during 2000. Despite these dividend adjustments, the Nuveen Funds continued to provide competitive market yields, as shown in the earlier table.

Recently, the Fed's interest rate cuts have contributed to a steepening of the slope in the municipal yield curve. The lower rates offered by municipal securities with shorter maturities could potentially have beneficial effects on the dividend stability of these leveraged Funds by reducing the amount the Funds pay their MuniPreferred(R) shareholders. This, in turn, could leave more Fund earnings to support common share dividends. However, this trend could be offset by the effect of bond calls on higher-yielding bonds held by these portfolios. All five of these Funds reach the 10-year point of the bond market cycle between October 2001 and December 2002, a period when they will experience increased risk of bond calls.

WHAT ABOUT THE FUNDS' SHARE PRICE PERFORMANCE?

During the first part of 2000, uncertainties about inflation and interest rates, coupled with investors' focus on equity market performance, tended to dampen interest in most fixed-income products. The lack of demand put pressure on the prices of many municipal bond investments. In recent months, as the bond market showed signs of recovery and the stock market continued to be volatile, many investors once again appeared to be considering tax-free fixed-income alternatives. As a result, the share prices of these Funds improved (see the charts on the individual Performance Overview pages).

As investors recognized this opportunity, robust demand for these Nuveen Funds caused the premiums (share price above NAV) on NAZ and NUO to widen, while the discounts (share price below NAV) on NMP and NTX narrowed substantially over the past 12 months. For NUM, the discount was virtually eliminated. While the market prices of NMP and NTX continue to lag the actual value of the bonds in their portfolios, shareholders

- 1 The Funds' performances are compared with that of the national Lehman Brothers Municipal Bond Index, an unleveraged index comprising a broad range of investment-grade municipal bonds. Results for the Lehman index do not reflect any expenses.
- 2 The total returns of NAZ, NUO, and NTX are compared with the average annualized return of the 19 funds in the Lipper Other States Municipal Debt Funds category, while the returns of NUM and NMP are compared with the average return of the 6 funds in the Lipper Michigan Municipal Debt Funds category. Fund and Lipper returns assume reinvestment of dividends.
- 3 The taxable-equivalent yield represents the yield that must be earned on a taxable investment in order to equal the yield of the Nuveen Fund on an after-tax basis. The taxable-equivalent yield is based on the Fund's market yield on the indicated date and a federal income tax rate of 31% plus the applicable state income tax rate. The combined federal and state tax rates used in this report are as follows: Arizona 34.5%, Michigan 34%, and Ohio 35.5%. Because Texas has no state income tax rate of 31%.
- 4 Duration is a measure of a Fund's NAV volatility in reaction to interest rate movements. Fund duration, also known as leverage-adjusted duration, takes into account the leveraging process for a Fund and therefore is generally longer than the duration of the actual portfolio of individual bonds that make up the Fund. Unless otherwise noted, references to duration in this commentary are intended to indicate Fund duration.

may want to consider taking advantage of this opportunity to add to their holdings of these Nuveen Funds.

WHAT KEY STRATEGIES WERE USED TO MANAGE THESE FUNDS DURING THE YEAR ENDED

JANUARY 31, 2001?

Despite a slow start, the 12-month period ended January 31, 2001, turned out to be generally positive for fixed-income markets, including municipal bonds. As evidenced by their strong 12-month total returns on NAV, these Nuveen Funds were well structured going into this period. Given the tight supply of new issuance over the past 12 months, we found fewer opportunities to make trades that would substantially enhance the Funds' holdings. As opportunities did become available, we focused on strengthening long-term dividend-payment capabilities, enhancing call protection, and purchasing bonds with the potential to add value and diversification to the Funds' portfolios.

For example, in all of the funds covered in this report, we recently leveraged our understanding of the complexities of bonds secured by payments under the \$250 billion master settlement agreement between four major tobacco companies and 46 states in 1998 by purchasing Puerto Rico Children's Trust Fund bonds backed by these revenues. These Aa3 rated bonds, which we purchased in late October, offered attractive yields as well as federal and state income tax exemption and have performed well since our purchase. If prices remain attractive, we plan to continue to add to our holdings of these bonds, especially through purchases in the secondary market.

To enhance NUO's ability to participate in market gains, we also worked to lengthen the Fund's duration by purchasing appropriate issues as they became available in the market. For example, in January, we added zero coupon bonds issued by Hamilton County that we found very attractive versus historical spread relationships. These Aaa bonds have a maturity date of 2028 and are noncallable. As the municipal market rallied, many of the bonds in our portfolio registered substantial gains. In view of this, we took advantage of opportunities to sell bonds with short calls or lower yields, reinvesting the proceeds in bonds offering higher yields. This enabled us to generate tax loss carryforwards to offset future realized capital gains while improving the Fund's call structure and enhancing potential dividend-paying capabilities.

Another area of the market in which we continued to identify value opportunities was the healthcare sector, where credit spreads remained wide relative to historical levels. Although this industry continues to face challenges, healthcare providers on the whole are adjusting to the new environment, and signs indicate that improvement could be on the way. This is a sector where our considerable research expertise is crucial in identifying undervalued situations and credits with the potential to improve over time. Over the past six months, we found several such situations. In the Michigan Funds, we purchased the Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority - Ascension Health Credit Group, while NAZ added bonds issued by the Arizona Health Facilities Authority - John C. Lincoln Health Network. The Ascension Health and Lincoln Health bonds provided yields 100 and 200 basis points, respectively, over insured bonds currently available in the market. In NTX, we also found opportunities to pick up additional yield, including the purchase of AAA bonds issued by the Grand Prairie Housing Finance Corporation - Landings at Carrier Project, a GNMA-insured multifamily housing project, which provided 80 basis points of incremental yield over AAA-insured general obligation bonds.

In terms of performance, the top two sectors of the municipal market over the past 12 months were hospitals and water and sewer. During this period, the Funds' performances benefited from their weightings in these two sectors, which ranked among the top five holdings in NUM, NMP, NUO, and NTX. At the same time, NAZ increased its healthcare weighting to 16% from 14% a year earlier.

All five Funds continued to offer excellent credit quality, with between 57% and 84% of their portfolios invested in bonds rated AAA/U.S. guaranteed as of January 31, 2001. Over the past 12 months, bonds rated AAA were among the market's top performers, and the Funds' overweighting in this credit sector helped to enhance

performance. The Funds also had allocations of BBB and non-rated bonds that ranged from 5% (NUM) to 22% (NTX), which generally provided higher yields over the past year. For NAZ, NUM, and NMP, an additional strategy over the past six months involved increasing the Funds' exposure to BBB and non-rated bonds as credit spreads widened.

WHAT IS YOUR OUTLOOK FOR THESE NUVEEN FUNDS?

In general, our outlook for the fixed-income markets over the next 12 months is positive. Current projections call for continued strong demand for municipal bonds. Although we could see the pace of refundings pick up if interest rates remain low or drop further, new municipal issuance nationwide in 2001 is expected to remain around \$200 billion. These supply-and-demand dynamics should continue to provide support for the municipal market and municipal bond prices. We will, of course, continue to closely monitor the economy, including the progress of proposed tax reduction plans and Federal Reserve policy, in order to be prepared to respond appropriately to any developing situations.

Looking specifically at these Nuveen Funds, both NAZ and NMP offer excellent levels of call protection over the next two years, with a total of 12% and 16%, respectively, of each portfolio subject to calls during 2001 and 2002. In October 2001, NUM, NUO, and NTX reach the 10-year anniversary of their inceptions, a period when they will experience the increased potential for bond calls normally associated with this point of the bond market cycle. Over the next two years, these three Funds could each see 29-40% of their portfolios affected by bond calls, depending on market interest rates during this time. In general, we believe these positions are very manageable, and we foresee no problems in working through them.

While we cannot control the direction of interest rates, we continue to work to reduce the Funds' call exposure and to actively manage the Funds to mitigate the longer-term effects of the bond call process. These strategies include selling bonds with short call dates and prerefunded bonds with short maturities. At the present time, we anticipate holding older bonds with higher yields until six months to a year before their call dates and then selling these bonds and reinvesting the proceeds in the longer end of the primary market. In this way, we can keep the principal gains achieved over the past 12 months while maximizing tax-exempt income.

In addition to bond calls, we plan to continue to focus on keeping the Funds fully invested and working for shareholders and on strengthening the Funds' dividend-payment capabilities as market conditions allow. This will include exploring additional opportunities to add to our allocations of BBB and non-rated bonds where Nuveen Research has made favorable assessments of credit quality. Depending on the interest rate environment, bond calls in NUM, NUO, and NTX could create opportunities to further enhance the structure of these Funds. In NUO, we will also seek to purchase bonds that can further extend the Fund's duration. As value-oriented investors, we will continue to look for areas of the market that can add value for our shareholders. We believe these Nuveen Funds will continue to serve as a dependable source of tax-free income and portfolio diversification, two essential elements of a comprehensive investment strategy.

Nuveen Arizona Premium Income Municipal Fund, Inc.

Performance OVERVIEW As of January 31, 2001

NAZ

PORTFOLIO STATISTICS	
Inception Date	11/92
Share Price	\$15.74
Net Asset Value	\$14.68
Market Yield	5.19%
Taxable-Equivalent Yield (Federal Income Tax Rate)1	7.52%
Taxable-Equivalent Yield (Federal and State Income Tax Rate)1	7.92%
Fund Net Assets (\$000)	\$94 , 278
Average Effective Maturity (Years)	17.76
Leverage-Adjusted Duration	8.83

ANNUALIZED TOTAL RETURN

	ON SHARE PRICE	ON NAV
1-Year	17.98%	13.59%
5-Year	7.74%	5.01%
Since Inception	6.21%	6.24%

TOP FIVE SECTORS (AS A % OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)

U.S. Guaranteed	22%
Healthcare	16%
Tax Obligation/Limited	16%
Utilities	98
Tax Obligation/General	9%

Bar Chart:					
2000-2001	Monthly	Tax-Free	Dividends	Per	Share2
2/00			0.0705		
3/00			0.0705		
4/00			0.0705		
5/00			0.0705		
6/00			0.068		
7/00			0.068		
8/00			0.068		
9/00			0.068		
10/00			0.068		

11/00	0.068
12/00	0.068
1/01	0.068

Line Chart: Share Price Performance 2/4/00

1	4		3	Q		
	4	•	2	5		
1	4	•	0	6		
		•	0	0		
1	4	•	0	6		
1	4					
			~	1		
1	3	•	8			
1	3	•	8	1		
			7			
	2	•				
1 1	3	•	9	4		
1	3		8	8		
1	2					
	3		9			
1	3	•	9	4		
	4	•	1			
	-	•	-			
1 1	4	•	1	3		
1	3		9	4		
1	4		1			
	4					
1	4	•	3	8		
1	4	•	5			
1	_	·	~	4		
T	4 4	•	4	4		
1	4	•	3	8		
1	4		3	1		
		•	2	Ŧ		
1	4	•	5			
1	4	•	5 5			
	л		5	c		
	4	•	5	0		
1	4	•	6	3		
1	4	•	7	5		
1	^	•	ć			
	4	•		9		
1	4	•	6	9		
	4	•	7	5		
		•	2			
1	4	•	9	4		
1	4	•	9	4		
	4	•	7	5		
	4	•	/	С		
1	4	•	7	5		
	4		7	5		
1	4					
1	4	•	8	T		
1	4	•	3	8		
	3		8	1		
		•	0	1		
1	3	•	9	4		
1	4		5	6		
1	4		5 7	Ē		
		•	/	Э		
1	4	•	8	1		
1	4		7	5		
		•	'	5		
	5					
1	5	•	3	1		
1	5		4			
1	5					
	5	•	6			
1	5		8	8		
1	5	•	9	4		
1	5	•	7	5		
1	5		5		8	
		•	7	1	~	,
1	5	•	1	T	8	ξ

1/31/01 15.7188

Weekly Closing Price

Past performance is not predictive of future results.

- 1 Taxable-equivalent yield represents the yield on a taxable investment necessary to equal the yield of the Nuveen Fund on an after-tax basis. It is calculated using the current market yield and a federal income tax rate of 31%. The rate shown for federal and state highlights the added value of owning shares that are also exempt from state income taxes. It is based on a combined federal and state income tax rate of 34.5%.
- 2 The Fund also paid shareholders a capital gains distribution in December 2000 of \$0.0061 per share.

Nuveen Michigan Quality Income Municipal Fund, Inc.

Performance OVERVIEW As of January 31, 2001

NUM

PORTFOLIO STATISTICS	
Inception Date	10/91
Share Price	\$15.08
Net Asset Value	\$15.10
Market Yield	5.86%
Taxable-Equivalent Yield (Federal Income Tax Rate)1	8.49%
Taxable-Equivalent Yield (Federal and State Income Tax Rate)1	8.88%
Fund Net Assets (\$000)	\$267,916
Average Effective Maturity (Years)	18.28
Leverage-Adjusted Duration	8.86

ANNUALIZED TOTAL RETURN

	ON SHARE PRICE	ON NAV
1-Year	22.11%	15.67%
5-Year	5.00%	5.46%
Since Inception	6.50%	7.40%

Tax Obligation/General								25%		
ТО	Ρ	FIVE	SECTORS	(AS	А	00	OF	TOTAL	INVESTMENTS)	

Utilities	17%
U.S. Guaranteed	17%
·····	1.0.0
Healthcare	10%
Water and Sewer	8%

Bar Chart:

2000-2001	Monthly	Tax-Free	Dividends	Per	Share	2
2/00			0.076			
3/00			0.076			
4/00			0.076			
5/00			0.076			
6/00			0.0735			
7/00			0.0735			
8/00			0.0735			
9/00			0.0735			
10/00			0.0735			
11/00			0.0735			
12/00			0.0735			
1/01			0.0735			

Line Chart:		
Share Price	Performance	
2/4/00		13.5
		10 10

-	~	•	\sim		
1	3		1	9	
1	3		1	3	
	3				
	3				
1	3		1	9	
1	3		1	3	
1	3		0	6	
	3				
1	3		6	3	
1	3		5		
	3				
1	3	•	8	1	
1	3	•	6	3	
1	3	•	5	6	
1	3	•	5		
1	3	•	6	3	
1	3	•	8	1	
1	3	•	5	6	
1	3	•	5	6	
1	3	•	5	6	
	3		9		
1	4				
1	4 3 3 3	•	1	3	
1	3	•	7	5	
1	3	•	7	5	
1	3	•	3	1	
1	3	•	5	6	
1	3	•	6	9	
1	3	•	8	1	
1	3 3	•	9	4	
1	3	•	7	5	
1	3	•	6	3	

13.81 13.63 13.31 13.25 13.31 13.56 13.56 13.5 14 14 13.63 13.69 13.88 14.56 14.94 15.06 14.94 1/31/01 15.0625 Weekly Closing Price Past performance is not predictive of future results.

- 1 Taxable-equivalent yield represents the yield on a taxable investment necessary to equal the yield of the Nuveen Fund on an after-tax basis. It is calculated using the current market yield and a federal income tax rate of 31%. The rate shown for federal and state highlights the added value of owning shares that are also exempt from state income taxes. It is based on a combined federal and state income tax rate of 34%.
- 2 The Fund also paid shareholders a capital gains distribution in December 2000 of \$0.0193 per share.

Nuveen Michigan Premium Income Municipal Fund, Inc.

Performance OVERVIEW As of January 31, 2001

NMP

PORTFOLIO STATISTICS

Inception Date	12/92
Share Price	\$14.09
Net Asset Value	\$14.95
Market Yield	5.76%
Taxable-Equivalent Yield (Federal Income Tax Rate)1	8.35%
Taxable-Equivalent Yield (Federal and State Income Tax Rate)1	8.73%
Fund Net Assets (\$000)	\$171,047

Average	Effective	Maturity	(Years)	18.75
Leverage	e-Adjusted	Duration		8.50

ANNUALIZED TOTAL RETURN

	ON SHARE PRICE	ON NAV
	ON SHARE FRICE	ON NAV
1-Year	23.26%	18.58%
5-Year	7.39%	5.92%
Since Inception	5.17%	6.49%

TOP FIVE SECTORS (AS A % OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)

Healthcare	 20%
Tax Obligation/Limited	20%
Tax Obligation/General	14%
Water and Sewer	13%
Utilities	12%

Bar Chart:					
2000-2001 Monthly	Tax-Free	Dividends	Per	Share	2
2/00		0.069			
3/00		0.069			
4/00		0.069			
5/00		0.069			
6/00		0.069			
7/00		0.069			
8/00		0.069			
9/00		0.069			
10/00		0.069			
11/00		0.069			
12/00		0.0675			
1/01		0.0675			

LINE CHART:	Performance	
2/4/00	reriormance	12.5
		12.19
		12
		12.13
		12.25
		12
		11.81
		11.94
		12.06
		12.25
		12.25
		12.25
		12.25
		12.38

12.19 12.19 12.25 12.56 12.81 12.94 12.75 12.75 13 13.25 13.13 13.13 13.25 13.25 13.38 13.38 13.38 13.31 13.31 13.25 13.13 13.06 12.69 12.38 12.25 12.75 12.25 12.69 12.75 12.75 12.81 13.13 12.94 14 13.94 13.94 13.75

1/31/01 14.0625
Weekly Closing Price
Past performance is not predictive of future results.

- 1 Taxable-equivalent yield represents the yield on a taxable investment necessary to equal the yield of the Nuveen Fund on an after-tax basis. It is calculated using the current market yield and a federal income tax rate of 31%. The rate shown for federal and state highlights the added value of owning shares that are also exempt from state income taxes. It is based on a combined federal and state income tax rate of 34%.
- 2 The Fund also paid shareholders a net ordinary income distribution in December 2000 of \$0.0025 per share.

Nuveen Ohio Quality Income Municipal Fund, Inc.

Performance OVERVIEW As of January 31, 2001

PORTFOLIO STATISTICS

Inception Date	10/91
Share Price	\$16.95
Net Asset Value	\$15.95
Market Yield	5.31%
Taxable-Equivalent Yield (Federal Income Tax Rate)1	7.70%
Taxable-Equivalent Yield (Federal and State Income Tax Rate)1	8.23%
Fund Net Assets (\$000)	\$228 , 376
Average Effective Maturity (Years)	14.71
Leverage-Adjusted Duration	6.28

ANNUALIZED TOTAL RETURN

	ON SHARE PRICE	ON NAV
1-Year	15.56%	12.82%
5-Year	6.61%	5.66%
Since Inception	7.42%	7.69%

TOP FIVE SECTORS (AS A % OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)

U.S. Guaranteed	24%
Tax Obligation/General	17%
Housing/Multifamily	12%
Healthcare	10%
Water and Sewer	8%

BAR CHART:

	Monthly	Tax-Free	Dividends	Per	Share
2/00			0.082		
3/00			0.082		
4/00			0.082		
5/00			0.082		
6/00			0.077		
7/00			0.077		
8/00			0.077		
9/00			0.077		
10/00			0.077		
11/00			0.077		
12/00			0.075		

\cap		\cap	7	5
υ	٠	υ	1	J

LINE CHART: Share Price	Performance	
2/4/00		16
		15.94
		15.5
		15.38
		15.5
		15.44
		15.44
		15.63
		16
		15.81
		15.88
		15.63
		15.56
		15.69
		15.75
		15.69
		15.56
		15.94
		15.75
		15.75
		15.88
		15.88
		16.13
		16.31
		16.25
		16.63
		16.56
		16.5
		16.38
		16.13 16.38
		16.63
		16.83
		16.31
		16
		16
		15.75
		15.31
		15.13
		15.31
		10.01

1/31/01

Weekly Closing Price Past performance is not predictive of future results.

15.5 15.44 15.5 15.75 15.5 15.81 16.63 16.81 17.06 16.94

16.9375

1 Taxable-equivalent yield represents the yield on a taxable investment necessary to equal the yield of the Nuveen Fund on an after-tax basis. It is calculated using the current market yield and a federal income tax rate of 31%. The rate shown for federal and state highlights the added value of owning shares that are also exempt from state income taxes. It is based on a combined federal and state income tax rate of 35.5%.

Nuveen Texas Quality Income Municipal Fund

Performance OVERVIEW As of January 31, 2001

NTX

PORTFOLIO STATISTICS

Inception Date	10/91
Share Price	\$14.57
Net Asset Value	\$14.85
Market Yield	5.89%
Taxable-Equivalent Yield1	8.54%
Fund Net Assets (\$000)	\$209 , 182
Average Effective Maturity (Years)	20.39
Leverage-Adjusted Duration	9.86

ANNUALIZED TOTAL RETURN

	ON SHARE PRICE	ON NAV
1-Year	27.92%	15.74%
5-Year	5.89%	5.32%
Since Inception	6.35%	7.18%

TOP FIVE SECTORS (AS A % OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)

Tax Obligation/General	
Healthcare	15%
Transportation	12%
Water and Sewer	11%
U.S. Guaranteed	10%

2000-2001 Monthly Tax-Free Dividends Per Share 2/00 0.076 3/00 0.076 0.076 4/00 5/00 0.076 6/00 0.073 7/00 0.073 8/00 0.073 9/00 0.073 10/00 0.073 11/00 0.073 12/00 0.0715 1/01 0.0715

LINE CHART: Share Price Performance 2/4/00

1	2		6	3	
1	2		6		
1	2		5		
1	2		6	3	
1	2	•	3	1	
1	2	•	0	6	
1	2				
1	2	•	1		
1	2 3	•	5	6	
	3	•			
1	2		7		
1	2	•	5		
1	2		5		
1	2		5		
1 1	2 2	•	4 3	4	
1 1	2		с З	1	
1	2				
	2	:	8	1	
1	2		8	1	
1	2		7		
1	2		7		
1	2		8	8	
1	2	•	9	4	
	2				
1	2	•	8	1	
1					
1	3	•	1	3	
1	3	•	1	9	
1	3		3		
1	3		3		
1 1	3 3		3	1 C	
	с З	•	2		
1	3		2		
1	3				
	3				
1	2	:	8	1	
1	2				
	2		7		
1 1	2	•	6	3	
1	2	•	8	1	
1	2	•	8	1	
1	3	•	2	5	

		12.94 12.94 13.25 13.94
		13.94
		14.56
		14.44
1/31	/01	14.5625
	ly Closing Price performance is not pr	redictive of future results.
1	necessary to equal th	eld represents the yield on a taxable investment he yield of the Nuveen Fund on an after-tax basis. It he current market yield and a federal income tax rate

Shareholder

MEETING REPORT

The Shareholder Meeting was held October 18, 2000, in Chicago at Nuveen's headquarters.

Common Shares	Preferred Shares Series-TH	
4,110,241	1,178	10
33,042	•	I
4,143,283	1,183	10
4,110,241	1,178	10
33,042	5	1
4,143,283	1,183	10
4,107,798	1,178	10
35,485	5	
4,143,283	1,183	10
4,108,443	1,178	10
34,840	5	
4,143,283	1,183	10
4,109,241	1,178	1(
	Shares 4,110,241 33,042 4,143,283 4,110,241 33,042 4,143,283 4,107,798 35,485 4,143,283 4,108,443 34,840 4,143,283	Common Shares Shares Series-TH 4,110,241 1,178 33,042 5 4,143,283 1,183 4,110,241 1,178 33,042 5 4,143,283 1,183 4,143,283 1,183 4,107,798 1,178 35,485 5 4,143,283 1,183 4,108,443 1,178

Withhold	34,042	5	
Total	4,143,283		10
William J. Schneider			
For		1,178	
Withhold		5	
Total		1,183	
Timothy R. Schwertfeger			
For		1,178	
Withhold		5	
Total		1,183	
RATIFICATION OF AUDITORS WAS REACHED AS FOLLOWS:			
For	4,067,295	1,182	10
Against	26,255	1	
Abstain	49,733		
Total	4,143,283		10

Shareholder

MEETING REPORT (continued)

APPROVAL OF THE DIRECTORS WAS REACHED AS FOLLOWS:

Robert P. Bremner	
For Withhold	7
Total	7
Lawrence H. Brown For Withhold	7
Total	7
Anne E. Impellizzeri For Withhold	6
Total	7
Peter R. Sawers For Withhold	7
Total	7

Judith M. Stockdale

For Withhold	7
Total	7
William J. For Withhold	
Total	
Timothy R. For Withhold	Schwertfeger
Total	
	OF AUDITORS WAS REACHED AS FOLLOWS: 7
Total	7

APPROVAL OF THE DIRECTORS WAS REACHED AS FOLLOWS:	
	Common Shares
· _	
Robert P. Bremner	0 400 004
For Withhold	8,408,084 108,134
Total	8,516,218
Lawrence H. Brown	
For	8,404,362
Withhold	111,856
Total	8,516,218
Anne E. Impellizzeri	
For	8,397,935
Withhold	118,283
Total	8,516,218
Peter R. Sawers	
For	8,405,926
Withhold	110,292
Total	8,516,218
Judith M. Stockdale	
For	8,386,535

Withhold	129,683
Total	8,516,218
William J. Schneider For Withhold	
Total	
Timothy R. Schwertfeger For Withhold	
Total	
RATIFICATION OF AUDITORS WAS REACHED AS FOLLOWS: For Against Abstain	8,402,186 36,216 77,816
Total	8,516,218

Shareholder

MEETING REPORT (continued)

APPROVAL	OF	THE	TRUSTEES	WAS	REACHED	AS	FOLLOWS:

Robert P. Bremner For Withhold	8
Total	
Lawrence H. Brown For Withhold	8
Total	
Anne E. Impellizzeri For Withhold	8
Total	8
Peter R. Sawers For Withhold	٤
Total	

Judith M. Stockdale For	8
Withhold	
Total	8
William J. Schneider	
For Withhold	
Total	
Timothy R. Schwertfeger	
For Withhold	
withinita	
Total	
RATIFICATION OF AUDITORS WAS REACHED AS FOLLOWS:	
For Against	8
Abstain	
Total	8

Nuveen Arizona Premium Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (NAZ) Portfolio of INVESTMENTS January 31, 2001 (Unaudited)

	ICIPAL 2 (000)	DESCRIPTION	OPTIONA PROVI
Ş	5,000	Basic Materials - 4.1% The Industrial Development Authority of the County of Gila, Environmental Revenue Refunding Bonds (ASARCO, Inc. Project), Series 1998, 5.550%, 1/01/27	1/08
	2,000	Consumer Staples - 2.2% The Children's Trust Fund, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds, Series 2000, 5.750%, 7/01/20	7/10
	1,750	Education and Civic Organizations - 3.2% Student Loan Acquisition Authority of Arizona, Student Loan Revenue Bonds, Subordinated Fixed Rate Bonds, Series 1994B, 6.600%, 5/01/10 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	5/04

100	University of Arizona, Telecommunications System Certificates of Participation, Series 1991, 6.500%, 7/15/12	7/
1,000	Arizona Board of Regents, University of Arizona, System Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1992, 6.250%, 6/01/11	6/
	Healthcare - 16.1%	
2,000	Arizona Health Facilities Authority, Hospital Revenue Bonds (Phoenix Children's Hospital), Series 1999A, 6.125%, 11/15/22	11/
2,125	Arizona Health Facilities Authority, Hospital System Revenue Bonds (John C. Lincoln Health Network), Series 2000, 7.000%, 12/01/25	12/
2,000	Arizona Health Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds (Catholic Healthcare West), Series 1999A, 6.625%, 7/01/20	7/
500	The Industrial Development Authority of the County of Maricopa, Baptist Hospital System Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1995, 5.500%, 9/01/16	9/
3,000	The Industrial Development Authority of the City of Mesa, Discovery Health System Revenue Bonds, Series 1999A, 5.750%, 1/01/25	1/
2,000	Hospital District No. One of the County of Mohave, Refunding General Obligation Bonds (Kingman Regional Medical Center Project), Series 1992, 6.500%, 6/01/15	67
515	Puerto Rico Industrial, Tourist, Educational, Medical and Environmental Control Facilities Financing Authority, Hospital Revenue Bonds (Hospital de la Concepcion Project), Series 2000A, 6.375%, 11/15/15	11/
2,000	University Medical Center Corporation of Tucson, Hospital Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1992, 6.250%, 7/01/16	7,
1,055	The Industrial Development Authority of the City of Winslow, Hospital Revenue Bonds (Winslow Memorial Hospital Project), Series 1998, 5.500%, 6/01/22	6/
	Housing/Multifamily - 7.4%	
2,011	The Industrial Development Authority of the City of Glendale, Multifamily Housing Revenue Bonds, Series 2000A (GNMA Collateralized Mortgage Loan - Maridale Apartments Project), 7.500%, 10/20/35	10/
1,275	The Industrial Development Authority of the County of Maricopa, Multifamily Housing Revenue Bonds (GNMA Collateralized - Villas at Augusta Project), Series 2000, 6.400%, 10/20/20	10/
3,215	The Industrial Development Authority of the County of Tucson, Senior Living Facilities Revenue Bonds (The Christian Care Project), Series 2000A, 5.625%, 7/01/20	7,

	330	The Industrial Development Authority of the City of Phoenix, Statewide Single Family Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Series 1995, 6.150%, 6/01/08 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	6/05
	1,500	The Industrial Development Authority of the City of Phoenix, Single Family Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Series 2000-1B, 6.000%, 6/01/31 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	6/10
PRT	NCIPAL	Nuveen Arizona Premium Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (NAZ) (c Portfolio of INVESTMENTS January 31, 2001 (Unaudite	-
	T (000)	DESCRIPTION	PROVI
		Housing/Single Family (continued)	
\$	285	The Industrial Development Authority of the County of Pima, Single Family Mortgage Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1995A, 6.500%, 2/01/17	8/05
	2,165	The Industrial Development Authority of the County of Pima, Single Family Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Series 1997A, 6.250%, 11/01/30 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	5/07
		Long-Term Care - 1.1%	
	1,000	The Industrial Development Authority of the County of Mohave, Health Care Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1996 (GNMA Collateralized - Chris Ridge and Silver Ridge Village Projects), 6.375%, 11/01/31	5/06
		Tax Obligation/General - 8.9%	
	1,400	Chandler Unified School District No. 80 of Maricopa County, General Obligation Refunding Bonds, Series 1993, 5.950%, 7/01/10	7/03
	3,625	City of Mesa, General Obligation Bonds, Series 1999, 5.000%, 7/01/18	7/09
	2,500	City of Phoenix, General Obligation Refunding Bonds, Series 1992, 6.375%, 7/01/13	7/02
	585	Tempe Union High School District No. 213 of Maricopa County, School Improvement and Refunding Bonds, Series 1994, 6.000%, 7/01/12	7/04
		Tax Obligation/Limited - 15.5%	
		Bullhead City, Bullhead Parkway Improvement District,	
	910	Improvement Bonds: 6.100%, 1/01/08	1/03
	970	6.100%, 1/01/09	1/03

З,	000 Maricopa County Industrial Development Authority, Education Revenue Bonds (Arizona Charter Schools Project I), Series 2000A, 6.750%, 7/01/29	7/10
	Phoenix Industrial Development Authority, Government Office Lease Revenue Bonds (Capitol Mall L.L.C. Project), Series 2000: 5.375%, 9/15/22 5.500%, 9/15/27	9/10 9/10
2,	150 Phoenix Civic Plaza Building Corporation, Senior Lien Excise Tax Revenue Bonds, Series 1994, 6.000%, 7/01/14	7/05
1,	000 Puerto Rico Highway and Transportation Authority, Transportation Revenue Bonds, Series B, 6.500%, 7/01/27	7/10
	500 Surprise Municipal Property Corporation, Excise Tax Revenue Bonds, Series 2000, 5.700%, 7/01/20	7/09
	500 City of Tucson, Certificates of Participation, Series 2000, 5.700%, 7/01/20	7/08
2,	100 City of Tucson, Junior Lien Street and Highway User Revenue Bonds, Series 1994-E, 5.000%, 7/01/18	7/10
	U.S. Guaranteed - 22.1%	
3,	500 The Industrial Development Authority of the County of Maricopa, Samaritan Health Services, Hospital System Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1990A, 7.000%, 12/01/16	No Opt
	The Industrial Development Authority of the County of Mohave, Hospital System Revenue Refunding Bonds (Medical Environments, Inc. and Phoenix Baptist Hospital and Medical Center Inc.), Series 1993:	
	6.750%, 7/01/08 (Pre-refunded to 7/01/03) 000 7.000%, 7/01/16 (Pre-refunded to 7/01/03)	7/03 7/03
2,	700 City of Phoenix, Civic Improvement Corporation, Wastewater System Lease Revenue Bonds, Series 1993, 6.125%, 7/01/23 (Pre-refunded to 7/01/03)	7/03
1,	510 Metropolitan Domestic Water Improvement District of Pima County, Special Assessment and Water Revenue Bonds, Series 1992, 6.200%, 1/01/12 (Pre-refunded to 1/01/03)	1/03
1,	415 Tempe Union High School District No. 213 of Maricopa County, School Improvement and Refunding Bonds, Series 1994, 6.000%, 7/01/12 (Pre-refunded to 7/01/04)	7/04
3,	000 City of Tucson, General Obligation Bonds, Series 1984-G (1994), 6.250%, 7/01/18 (Pre-refunded to 7/01/04)	7/04
	725 City of Tucson, Certificates of Participation, Series 1994, 6.375%, 7/01/09 (Pre-refunded to 7/01/04)	7/04

PRINCIPAL		OPTIONA
AMOUNT (000)	DESCRIPTION	PROVI

Utilities - 9.4%

	Net Assets - 100%	
\$ 91,381	Total Investments (cost \$91,004,045) - 99.9%	
 2,000	City of Tucson, Water System Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1992A, 5.750%, 7/01/18	7/02
2,000	City of Phoenix Civic Improvement Corporation, Junior Lien Wastewater System Revenue Bonds, Series 2000, 6.000%, 7/01/24	7/10
500	Water and Sewer - 5.0% County of Maricopa, the Industrial Development Authority, Water System Improvement Revenue Bonds (Chaparral City Water Company Project), Series 1997A, 5.400%, 12/01/22 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	12/07
 2,000	Yavapai County, the Industrial Development Authority, Industrial Development Revenue Bonds (Citizens Utilities Company Project), 1998 Series, 5.450%, 6/01/33 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	6/07
1,465	Pima County, the Industrial Development Authority, Industrial Development Lease Obligation Refunding Revenue Bonds (Irvington Project), 1988 Series A, 7.250%, 7/15/10	1/02
500	Navajo County, Pollution Control Corporation, Pollution Control Revenue Refunding Bonds (Arizona Public Service Company), 1993 Series A, 5.875%, 8/15/28	8/03
2,000	Mohave County, the Industrial Development Authority, Industrial Development Revenue Bonds (Citizen Utilities Company Projects), 1994 Series, 6.600%, 5/01/29 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	11/03
\$ 3,000	Coconino County, Pollution Control Corporation, Pollution Control Revenue Bonds (Nevada Power Company Project), Series 1996, 6.375%, 10/01/36 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	10/06

- * Optional Call Provisions: Dates (month and year) and prices of the earliest optional call or redemption. There may be other call provisions at varying prices at later dates.
- ** Ratings: Using the higher of Standard & Poor's or Moody's rating.
- *** Securities are backed by an escrow or trust containing sufficient U.S. Government or U.S. Government agency securities which ensures the timely payment of principal and interest. Securities are normally considered to be equivalent to AAA rated securities.
- N/R Investment is not rated.

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

		Nuveen Michigan Quality Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (NUM) Portfolio of INVESTMENTS January 31, 2001 (Unaudited)	
		$Inviolizato canat_J ct, coc coc cic, $	
	NCIPAL T (000)	DESCRIPTION	OPTIONA PROVI
		Capital Goods - 0.4%	
Ş	1,000	Michigan Strategic Fund, Limited Obligation Revenue Bonds (WMX Technologies, Inc. Project), Series 1993, 6.000%, 12/01/13 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	12/03
		Consumer Staples - 0.1%	·
	350	The Children's Trust Fund, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds, Series 2000, 5.750%, 7/01/20	7/10
		Education and Civic Organizations - 3.4%	
	1,720	Board of Trustees of Ferris State University, General Revenue Bonds, Series 1998, 5.000%, 10/01/23	4/08
	1,500	Michigan Higher Education Student Loan Authority, Student Loan Refunding Revenue Bonds, Series XII-T, 5.300%, 9/01/10 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	No Opt
	1,000	Board of Trustees of Oakland University, General Revenue Bonds, Series 1995, 5.750%, 5/15/15	5/05
	3,430	Board of Governors of Wayne State University, General Revenue Bonds, Series 1999, 5.250%, 11/15/19	11/09
	1,450	Board of Trustees of Western Michigan University, General Revenue Bonds, Series 1993A, 5.000%, 7/15/21	7/03
		Healthcare - 9.8%	
	2,900	The Economic Development Corporation of the City of Dearborn, Hospital Revenue Bonds (Oakwood Obligated Group), Series 1995A, 5.875%, 11/15/25	11/05
	2,235	City of Hancock Hospital Finance Authority, FHA-Insured Mortgage Hospital Revenue Bonds (Portage Health System, Inc.), Series 1998, 5.450%, 8/01/47	8/08
	1,000	Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1999 (OSF Healthcare System), 6.125%, 11/15/19	11/09
		Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds (Ascension	

	1,000	Health Credit Group), Series 1999A: 6.125%, 11/15/23	11/09
	2,500	6.125%, 11/15/23 6.125%, 11/15/26	11/09
	1,700	Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Revenue and Refunding Bonds (Mercy Health Services Obligated Group), Series 1999X, 5.750%, 8/15/19	8/09
		Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Hospital Revenue and Refunding Bonds (Mercy Mount Clemens Corporation Obligated Group), Series 1999A:	
	3,385 500	5.750%, 5/15/17 5.750%, 5/15/29	5/09 5/09
	4,500	Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Hospital Revenue Bonds (Detroit Medical Center Obligated Group), Series 1998A, 5.250%, 8/15/28	8/08
	1,000	Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Revenue and Refunding Bonds (Memorial Healthcare Center Obligated Group), Series 1999, 5.875%, 11/15/21	11/09
	5,000	Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Hospital Revenue Bonds (Henry Ford Health System), Series 1999A, 6.000%, 11/15/24	11/09
	2,195	Regents of the University of Michigan, Medical Service Plan Revenue Bonds, Series 1991, 0.000%, 12/01/10	No Opt
		Housing/Multifamily - 6.4%	
			10/00
	5 , 250	Michigan State Housing Development Authority, Limited Obligation Revenue Bonds (Parkway Meadows Project), Series 1991, 6.850%, 10/15/18	10/02
	5,000	Michigan State Housing Development Authority, Rental Housing Revenue Bonds, 1995 Series B, 6.150%, 10/01/15	6/05
	6 , 795	Michigan State Housing Development Authority, Rental Housing Revenue Bonds, 1999 Series A, 5.300%, 10/01/37 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	4/09
	RINCIPAL		OPTIONA
AMOU	UNT (000)	DESCRIPTION	PROVI
		Housing/Single Family - 0.5%	
\$	1,405	Michigan State Housing Development Authority, Single Family Mortgage Revenue Bonds, 1991 Series B, 6.950%, 12/01/20	12/01
		Long-Term Care - 3.6%	
	2,000	The Economic Development Corporation of the Charter Township	7/09

of Grand Rapids, Limited Obligation Revenue Bonds (Porter Hills Obligated Group - Cook Valley Estate Project), Series 1999, 5.450%, 7/01/29

1,250	Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds (Presbyterian Villages of Michigan Obligated Group), Series 1997, 6.375%, 1/01/25	1/07
1,300	Michigan Strategic Fund, Limited Obligation Revenue Bonds (Porter Hills Presbyterian Village, Inc. Project), Series 1998, 5.375%, 7/01/28	7/08
5,280	The Economic Development Corporation of the City of Warren, Nursing Home Revenue Refunding Bonds (GNMA Mortgage-Backed Security - Autumn Woods Project), Series 1992, 6.900%, 12/20/22	3/02
 	Tax Obligation/General - 24.7%	
1,850 1,150	Allegan Public Schools, County of Allegan, 2000 General Obligation Bonds: 5.600%, 5/01/20 5.750%, 5/01/30	5/10 5/10
2,190	Anchor Bay School District, Counties of Macomb and St.Clair, 1999 School Building and Site Bonds, Series I (General Obligation - Unlimited Tax), 6.000%, 5/01/29	5/09
1,000	Belding Area Schools, Counties of Ionia, Kent and Montcalm, 1998 Refunding Bonds (General Obligation - Unlimited Tax), 5.000%, 5/01/26	5/08
1,000	Charlotte Public Schools, County of Easton, 1999 School Building and Site Bonds (General Obligation - Unlimited Tax), 5.250%, 5/01/25	5/09
1,000	Chippewa Valley Schools, County of Macomb, 1993 Refunding Bonds (General Obligation - Unlimited Tax), 5.000%, 5/01/21	5/03
2,000	Clarkston Community Schools, County of Oakland, 1997 School Building and Site Bonds (General Obligation - Unlimited Tax), 5.250%, 5/01/23	5/07
4,720	School District of the City of Detroit, Wayne County, School Building and Site Improvement Bonds (General Obligation – Unlimited Tax), Series 1998A, 4.750%, 5/01/28	5/09
2,000	East Grand Rapids Public Schools, County of Kent, 2000 School Building and Site Bonds (General Obligation - Unlimited Tax), 6.000%, 5/01/29	5/09
1,085	Freeland Community School District, Counties of Saginaw, Midland, and Bay, 2000 School Building and Sites Bonds (General Obligation - Unlimited Tax), 5.250%, 5/01/19	5/10
3,300	Grand Ledge Public Schools, Counties of Eaton, Clinton and Ionia, 1995 Refunding Bonds, 5.375%, 5/01/24	5/05
725	Lake Orion Community School District, County of Oakland, 1995 Refunding Bonds (General Obligation - Unlimited Tax), 5.500%, 5/01/20	5/05
1,000	Mancelona Public School District, Counties of Antrim and Kalkaska, General Obligation Bonds, Series 1997, 5.200%, 5/01/17	5/06
6,400	Mattawan Consolidated School, Counties of Van Buren	5/02

and Kalamazoo, 1992 Refunding Bonds (General Obligation - Unlimited Tax), 6.300%, 5/01/17

2,875	Milan Area Schools, Counties of Washtenaw and Monroe, 2000 School Building and Site Bonds (General Obligation – Unlimited Tax), Series A and B, 5.750%, 5/01/24	5/10
2,000	Montcalm County Building Authority, Correctional Facility Improvement General Obligation Bonds, Series 2000, 5.250%, 5/01/25	5/10
2,500	Montrose Township School District, School Building and Site Bonds, Series 1997, 6.000%, 5/01/22	No Opt
1,000	Muskegon Heights Public Schools, County of Muskegon, 1999 School Building and Site Bonds (General Obligation – Unlimited Tax), 5.000%, 5/01/29	5/09
1,045	Nice Community School District, Counties of Marquette and Baraga, 1995 School Building and Site Bonds (General Obligation – Unlimited Tax), 5.250%, 5/01/20	5/04
1,225	North Branch Area Schools, County of Lapeer, 1993 Refunding Bonds (General Obligation - Unlimited Tax), 5.375%, 5/01/21	5/03 at 1

Nuveen Michigan Quality Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (NUM) (continued) Portfolio of INVESTMENTS January 31, 2001 (Unaudited)

PRINCIPAL AMOUNT (000)		DESCRIPTION	
		Tax Obligation/General (continued)	
\$	1,470	Parchment School District, County of Kalamazoo, 1998 School Building and Site Bonds (General Obligation - Unlimited Tax), 5.000%, 5/01/25	No Opt
	4,000	Pinckney Community Schools, Counties of Livingston and Washtenaw, 1997 School Building and Site Bonds (General Obligation - Unlimited Tax), 5.500%, 5/01/27	5/07
	685	Reeths-Puffer Schools, County of Muskegon, 1995 School Building, Site and Refunding Bonds, 5.750%, 5/01/15	5/05
	1,000	Rochester Community School District, Counties of Oakland and Macomb, 2000 School Building, Site and Refunding Bonds, Series I (General Obligation - Unlimited Tax), 5.750%, 5/01/19	5/10
	2,100	Romulus Community Schools, County of Wayne, 1999 School Building and Site Bonds (General Obligation - Unlimited Tax), 5.750%, 5/01/25	5/09
	2,500	Taylor Building Authority, County of Wayne, General Obligation - Limited Tax Bonds, Series 2000, 5.125%, 3/01/17	3/10
	1,980	Building Authority, County of Washtenaw, General Obligation - Limited Tax Bonds, Series 1999, %, 9/01/17	9/07

33

2,600	West Bloomfield School District, County Of Oakland, 2000 School Building and Site Bonds (General Obligation - Unlimited Tax), 5.900%, 5/01/18	5/10
1,500 5,040	Western Townships Utilities Authority, Sewage Disposal System Refunding Bonds, Series 1991: 6.750%, 1/01/15 6.500%, 1/01/19	1/02 1/02
1,725	Williamston Community School District, General Obligation - Unlimited Tax Bonds, Series 1996, 5.500%, 5/01/25	No Opt
	Tax Obligation/Limited - 6.6%	
1,000	City of Grand Rapids Building Authority, County of Kent, General Obligation – Limited Tax Bonds, Series 1998, 5.000%, 4/01/16	No Opt
255	Michigan Municipal Bond Authority, Local Government Loan Program Revenue Bonds, Series 1992D, 6.650%, 5/01/12	5/02
3,800	State Building Authority, 1991 Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series I, 6.250%, 10/01/20	10/01
1,000	State Building Authority, 1998 Revenue Bonds Series I (Facilities Program), 4.750%, 10/15/21	10/09
2,000 2,000	The State of Michigan, Certificates of Participation (525 Redevco, Inc.): 5.500%, 6/01/19 5.500%, 6/01/27	6/10 6/10
2,000 4,100	Puerto Rico Highway and Transportation Authority, Highway Revenue Bonds, Series Y of 1996: 5.000%, 7/01/36 5.500%, 7/01/36	7/16 7/16
1,125	Puerto Rico Highway and Transportation Authority, Transportation Revenue Bonds, Series B, 6.000%, 7/01/39	7/10
	Transportation - 1.0%	
2 , 505	Charter County of Wayne, Airport Revenue Bonds (Detroit Metropolitan Wayne County Airport), Subordinate Lien, Series 1991B, 6.750%, 12/01/21 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	12/01
	U.S. Guaranteed - 16.4%	
1,600	Gaylord Community Schools, Counties of Ostego and Antrim, 1992 School Building, Site and Refunding Bonds, 6.600%, 5/01/21 (Pre-refunded to 5/01/02)	5/02
1,000	Grosse Ile Township School District, School Improvement Refunding Bonds, General Obligation, Series 1996, 6.000%, 5/01/22 (Pre-refunded to 5/01/07)	5/07
3,100	Hemlock Public School District, Counties of Saginaw and Midland, 1992 School Building, Site and Refunding Bonds,	5/02

6.750%, 5/01/21 (Pre-refunded to 5/01/02)

PRINCIPAL AMOUNT (000)		DESCRIPTION	
		U.S. Guaranteed (continued)	
\$	2,000	Lincoln Park School District, General Obligation Bonds, Series 1996, 5.900%, 5/01/26 (Pre-refunded to 5/01/06)	5/06
	3,100	Michigan Municipal Bond Authority, State Revolving Fund Revenue Bonds, Series 1992A, 6.600%, 10/01/18 (Pre-refunded to 10/01/02)	10/02
	1,745	Michigan Municipal Bond Authority, Local Government Loan Program Revenue Bonds, Series 1992D, 6.650%, 5/01/12 (Pre-refunded to 5/01/02)	5/02
	3,035	Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Hospital Revenue Bonds (MidMichigan Obligated Group), Series 1992, 6.900%, 12/01/24 (Pre-refunded to 12/01/02)	12/02
	1,450	Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Hospital Revenue Bonds (McLaren Obligated Group), Series 1991A, 7.500%, 9/15/21 (Pre-refunded to 9/15/01)	9/01
	9,355	Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Hospital Revenue Bonds (Daughters of Charity National Health System - Providence Hospital), Series 1991, 7.000%, 11/01/21 (Pre-refunded to 11/01/01)	11/01
	2,150	North Branch Area Schools, County of Lapeer, 1992 School Building, Site and Refunding Bonds (General Obligation – Unlimited Tax), 6.600%, 5/01/17 (Pre-refunded to 5/01/02)	5/02
	1,500	Perry Public Schools, Counties of Shiawassee and Ingham, 1992 School Building and Site Bonds (General Obligation – Unlimited Tax), 6.375%, 5/01/22 (Pre-refunded to 5/01/02)	5/02 at 1
	4,845	Saginaw-Midland Municipal Water Supply Corporation, Water Supply Revenue Bonds (General Obligation - Limited Tax), Series 1992, 6.875%, 9/01/16 (Pre-refunded to 9/01/04)	9/04
	6,250	Regents of the University of Michigan, Medical Service Plan Revenue Bonds, Series 1991, 6.500%, 12/01/21 (Pre-refunded to 12/01/01)	12/01
		Utilities - 16.6%	
	6,425	The Economic Development Corporation of the City of Detroit, Resource Recovery Revenue Bonds, Series 1991A, 6.875%, 5/01/09 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	5/01
	2,390	Michigan South Central Power Agency, Power Supply System Revenue Refunding Bonds, 1991 Series, 6.750%, 11/01/10	11/01
	4,475	Michigan South Central Power Agency, Power Supply System Revenue Bonds, 2000 Series, 6.000%, 5/01/12	No Opt

3,630	Michigan Strategic Fund, Adjustable Rate Demand Limited Obligation, Refunding Revenue Bonds (Detroit Edison Company Pollution Control Bonds Project), Series 1990BB, 7.000%, 5/01/21	No Opt
4,330	Michigan Strategic Fund, Limited Obligation Refunding Revenue Bonds (Detroit Edison Company Pollution Control Bonds Project), Collateralized, Series 1991CC, 6.950%, 9/01/21	9/01
7,600	Michigan Strategic Fund, Limited Obligation Refunding Revenue Bonds (Detroit Edison Company Pollution Control Bonds Project), Collateralized, Series 1991DD, 6.875%, 12/01/21	12/01
7,000	County of Monroe, Pollution Control Revenue Bonds (Detroit Edison Company Monroe and Fermi Plants Project), Collateralized, Series I 1992, 6.875%, 9/01/22 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	9/02
1,000	Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, Power Revenue Bonds, Series X, 5.500%, 7/01/25	7/05
5,000	City of Wyandotte, County of Wayne, 1992 Electric Revenue Refunding Bonds, 6.250%, 10/01/17	10/02
	Water and Sewer - 8.2%	
5,250	City of Detroit, Sewage Disposal System Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1995-B, 5.000%, 7/01/25	7/05
3,000 1,730	City of Detroit, Sewage Disposal System Revenue Bonds, Series 1997-A: 5.500%, 7/01/20 5.000%, 7/01/22	7/07 7/07
1,000	City of Detroit, Sewage Disposal System Revenue Bonds, Series 1999-A, 5.875%, 7/01/27	1/10
6,000	City of Detroit, Water Supply System Revenue and Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1993, 5.000%, 7/01/23	7/04

Nuveen Michigan Quality Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (NUM) (continued) Portfolio of INVESTMENTS January 31, 2001 (Unaudited)

INCIPAL NT (000)	DESCRIPTION	OPTIONA PROVI
	Water and Sewer (continued)	
\$ 2,000	City of Detroit, Water Supply System Revenue Senior Lien Bonds, Series 1999-A, 5.750%, 7/01/26	1/10
1,000	City of Grand Rapids, Sanitary Sewer System Improvement and Refunding Revenue Bonds, Series 1998A, 4.750%, 1/01/28	7/08
	City of Muskegon Heights, Water Supply System Revenue Bonds, Series 2000A:	
1,040	5.625%, 11/01/25	11/10

	1,160	5.625%, 11/01/30	11/10
\$	256,255	Total Investments (cost \$248,994,363) - 97.7%	
		==	
		Net Assets - 100%	
		* Optional Call Provisions: Dates (month and year) and prices of the earliest optional call or redemption. There may be other call provisions at varying prices at later dates.	
		<pre>** Ratings: Using the higher of Standard & Poor's or Moody's rating.</pre>	
		*** Securities are backed by an escrow or trust containing sufficient U.S. Government or U.S. Government agency securities which ensures the timely payment of principal and interest. Securities are normally considered to be equivalent to AAA rated securities.	
		N/R Investment is not rated.	
		See accompanying notes to financial statements.	
	RINCIPAL	Nuveen Michigan Premium Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (NMP) Portfolio of INVESTMENTS January 31, 2001 (Unaudited)	OPTIONA
AMOU	JNT (000)	DESCRIPTION	PROVI
		Capital Goods - 2.6%	
Ş	3,050	Michigan Strategic Fund, Limited Obligation Revenue Bonds (Waste Management, Inc. Project), Series 1992, 6.625%, 12/01/12 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	12/02
	1,370	Michigan Strategic Fund, Limited Obligation Revenue Bonds (WMX Technologies, Inc. Project), Series 1993, 6.000%, 12/01/13 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	12/03
		Consumer Staples - 0.3%	
	500	The Children's Trust Fund, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds, Series 2000, 5.750%, 7/01/20	7/10
		Education and Civic Organizations - 4.5%	

3,(00 Board of Regents of Eastern Michigan University, General Revenue Bonds, Series 1997, 5.500%, 6/01/27	12/06
1,1	00 Michigan Higher Education Student Loan Authority, Student Loan and Refunding Revenue Bonds, Series XV-A, 6.700%, 10/01/05 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	10/02
1,(00 Board of Control of Saginaw Valley State University, General Revenue Bonds, Series 1999, 5.625%, 7/01/29	7/09
2,5	00 Board of Governors of Wayne State University, General Revenue Bonds, Series 1999, 5.125%, 11/15/29	11/09
	Healthcare - 19.5%	
2,(50 City of Dearborn Economic Development Corporation, Hospital Revenue Bonds (Oakwood Obligated Group), Series 1995A, 5.875%, 11/15/25	11/05
2,2	00 City of Hancock Hospital Finance Authority, FHA-Insured Mortgage Hospital Revenue Bonds (Portage Health System, Inc.), Series 1998, 5.450%, 8/01/47	8/08
2,5	00 City of Kalamazoo Hospital Finance Authority, Hospital Revenue Refunding and Improvement Bonds (Bronson Methodist Hospital), Series 1996, 5.750%, 5/15/16	5/06
3,(3,2		8/03 8/03
4,(00 Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Hospital Revenue and Refunding Bonds (Henry Ford Health System), Series 1992A, 5.750%, 9/01/17	9/02
2,(00 Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Hospital Revenue and Refunding Bonds (Otsego Memorial Hospital Gaylord), Series 1995, 6.250%, 1/01/20	1/05
2,5	00 Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds (Ascension Health Credit Group), Series 1999A, 6.125%, 11/15/26	11/09
4,(00 Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Hospital Revenue and Refunding Bonds (Mercy Mount Clemens Corporation Obligated Group), Series 1999A, 5.750%, 5/15/29	5/09
1,5	00 Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Hospital Revenue Bonds (Detroit Medical Center Obligated Group), Series 1998A, 5.250%, 8/15/28	8/08
4,3	00 Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Hospital Revenue Bonds (Henry Ford Health System), Series 1999A, 6.000%, 11/15/24	11/09
3,(00 Regents of the University of Michigan, Hospital Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1993A, 5.500%, 12/01/21	12/02

1,335	Michigan Housing Development Authority, Multifamily Senior Lien Revenue Bonds, Series 2000A-1 (Landings), 5.950%, 12/01/33	12/10
2,400	Michigan State Housing Development Authority, Limited Obligation Revenue Bonds (Walled Lake Villa Project), Series 1993, 6.000%, 4/15/18	4/04
	Nuveen Michigan Premium Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (NMP) Portfolio of INVESTMENTS January 31, 2001 (Unaudi	
RINCIPAL UNT (000)	DESCRIPTION	OPTIONA PROVI
 	Housing/Multifamily (continued)	
\$ 1,500	Michigan State Housing Development Authority, Limited Obligation Revenue Bonds (Brenton Village Green Project), Series 1993, 5.625%, 10/15/18	10/03
790	Michigan State Housing Development Authority, Rental Housing Revenue Bonds, Series 1993A, 5.875%, 10/01/17	4/03
1,000 1,500	Mount Clemens Housing Corporation, Multifamily Housing Refunding Revenue Bonds, Series 1992A (FHA-Insured Mortgage Loan - Section 8 Assisted Project): 6.600%, 6/01/13 6.600%, 6/01/22	6/03 6/03
 	Housing/Single Family - 0.8%	
1,290	Michigan State Housing Development Authority, Single Family Mortgage Revenue Bonds, 1995 Series A, 6.800%, 12/01/16	6/05
 	Tax Obligation/General - 13.7%	
1,000	Central Montcalm Public Schools, Counties of Montcalm and Ionia, 1999 School Building and Site Bonds (General Obligation – Unlimited Tax), 5.750%, 5/01/24	5/09
730	Clarkston Community Schools, County of Oakland, 1993 School Building, Site and Refunding Bonds, 5.900%, 5/01/16	5/03
2,500	School District of the City of Detroit, Wayne County, School Building and Site Bonds (General Obligation - Unlimited Tax), Series 1992, 6.250%, 5/01/12	5/01
1,500	School District of the City of Detroit, Wayne County, School Building and Site Improvement Bonds (General Obligation – Unlimited Tax), Series 1998A, 4.750%, 5/01/28	5/09
3,000	Dexter Community Schools, Counties of Washtenaw and Livingston, School Building, Site and Refunding Bonds (General Obligation - Unlimited Tax), 5.000%, 5/01/17	5/03

	1,500	Durand Area Schools, Shiawasee County, General Obligation Bonds, Series 1997, 5.375%, 5/01/23	5/07
	3,815	City of East Lansing Building Authority, County of Ingham and Clinton, 2000 Building Authority Bonds (General Obligation – Unlimited Tax), 5.375%, 4/01/25	4/11
	1,240	Milan Area Schools, Counties of Washtenaw and Monroe, 2000 School Building and Site Bonds (General Obligation - Unlimited Tax), Series A and B, 5.625%, 5/01/16	5/10
	1,100	Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, Public Improvement Refunding Bonds, Series 1997 (General Obligation Bonds), 5.750%, 7/01/17	7/07 at 1
	380	Reeths-Puffer Schools, County of Muskegon, 1995 School Building, Site and Refunding Bonds, 5.750%, 5/01/15	5/05
	1,000	Rochester Community School District, Counties of Oakland and Macomb, 2000 School Building, Site and Refunding Bonds, Series I (General Obligation - Unlimited Tax), 5.750%, 5/01/19	5/10
	1,500	Romulus Community Schools, County of Wayne, 1999 School Building and Site Bonds (General Obligation - Unlimited Tax), 5.750%, 5/01/25	5/09
	2,500	West Bloomfield School District, County Of Oakland, 2000 School Building and Site Bonds (General Obligation - Unlimited Tax), 5.800%, 5/01/17	5/10
	1,000	Western Townships Utilities Authority, Sewage Disposal System Refunding Bonds, Series 1991, 6.500%, 1/01/10	1/02
		Tax Obligation/Limited - 19.5%	
	6,000	City of Detroit Convention Facility, Limited Tax Revenue Refunding Bonds (Cobo Hall Expansion Project), Series 1993, 5.250%, 9/30/12	9/03
	1,400	City of Detroit Downtown Development Authority, Tax Increment Refunding Bonds (Development Area No. 1 Projects), Series 1998A, 4.750%, 7/01/25	7/08
	7,000	Detroit/Wayne County Stadium Authority, Building Authority Bonds, Series 1997 (General Obligation - Limited Tax), 5.250%, 2/01/27	2/07
PRIN AMOUNT	ICIPAL (000)	DESCRIPTION	OPTIONA PROVI
		Tax Obligation/Limited (continued)	
Ş	5,000	Michigan Municipal Bond Authority, State Drinking Water Revolving Fund, Revenue Bonds, Series 1999, 5.500%, 10/01/21	10/09
	2,750	State Building Authority, 1991 Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series I, 6.250%, 10/01/20	10/01

40

1,000	State Building Authority, 1991 Revenue Bonds, Series II, 6.250%, 10/01	/20 10/01
1,500	State Building Authority, 2000 Revenue Bonds, Series I (Facilities Program), 5.375%, 10/15/20	10/10
2,275	State of Michigan, Comprehensive Transportation Bonds, Series 1992A, 5.750%, 5/15/12	5/02
1,500	State of Michigan, State Trunk Line Fund Refunding Bonds, Series 1998A, 5.000%, 11/01/26	11/08
1,000	Puerto Rico Highway and Transportation Authority, Highway Revenue Bonds, Series Y of 1996, 5.000%, 7/01/36	7/16
3,615	Saginaw-Midland Municipal Water Supply Corporation, Water Supply System Revenue Bonds, Series 1993 (General Obligation - Limited Tax), Series 1993, 5.250%, 9/01/16	9/02 at 1
	Transportation - 1.7%	
1,000	Puerto Rico Ports Authority, Special Facilities Revenue Bonds, 1993 Series A (American Airlines, Inc. Project), 6.300%, 6/01/23 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	6/03
2,000	Charter County of Wayne, Detroit Metropolitan Wayne County Airport, Airport Revenue Bonds, Series 1998A, 5.000%, 12/01/28 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	12/08
	U.S. Guaranteed - 6.3%	
2,000	Board of Control of Ferris State University, General Revenue Bonds, Series 1993, 6.250%, 10/01/19 (Pre-refunded to 10/01/03)	10/03
1,950	Michigan Municipal Bond Authority, State Revolving Fund Revenue Bonds, Series 1994, 7.000%, 10/01/03	No Opt
3,000	Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Hospital Revenue Refunding Bonds (St. John Hospital), Series 1993A, 6.000%, 5/15/13	5/03
2,500	Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Hospital Revenue Bonds (Daughters of Charity National Health System - Providence Hospital), Series 1991, 7.000%, 11/01/21 (Pre-refunded to 11/01/01)	11/01
620	Reeths-Puffer Schools, County of Muskegon, 1995 School Building, Site and Refunding Bonds, 5.750%, 5/01/15 (Pre-refunded to 5/01/05)	5/05
	Utilities - 12.0%	
4,020	Michigan Public Power Agency, Refunding Revenue Bonds (Belle River Project), 1993 Series A, 5.250%, 1/01/18	1/03
1,000	Michigan South Central Power Agency, Power Supply System Revenue Bonds, 2000 Series, 6.000%, 5/01/12	No Opt
3,500	Michigan Strategic Fund, Limited Obligation Refunding Revenue	6/03

Bonds (Consumers' Power Company Project), Collateralized, Series 1993B, 5.800%, 6/15/10

1,000	Michigan Strategic Fund, Limited Obligation Refunding Revenue Bonds (Detroit Edison Company Pollution Control Bonds Project), Collateralized, Series 1999A, 5.550%, 9/01/29 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	9/09
	County of Monroe, Pollution Control Revenue Bonds (Detroit Edison Company Project), Series CC-1992:	
2,500	6.550%, 6/01/24 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	6/03
1,500	6.550%, 9/01/24 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	9/03
6,000	County of Monroe, Pollution Control Revenue Bonds (Detroit Edison Company Project), Series A-1994, 6.350%, 12/01/04 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	No Opt
	Water and Sewer - 13.0%	
3,000	City of Detroit, Sewage Disposal System Revenue Bonds, Series 1997-A, 5.500%, 7/01/20	7/07
3,755	City of Detroit, Sewage Disposal System Revenue and Refunding Bonds, Series 1993-A, 5.700%, 7/01/13	7/03

Nuveen Michigan Premium Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (NMP) (continued) Portfolio of INVESTMENTS January 31, 2001 (Unaudited)

	PRINCIPAL OUNT (000)	DESCRIPTION	OPTIONA PROVI
		Water and Sewer (continued)	
Ş	•	City of Detroit, Sewage Disposal System Revenue Bonds, Series 1999-A: 5.750%, 7/01/26 5.875%, 7/01/27	1/10 1/10
	2,250	City of Detroit, Water Supply System Revenue and Refunding Revenue Bonds, Series 1993, 4.750%, 7/01/19	7/04
	4,000	City of Detroit, Water Supply System Revenue Senior Lien Bonds, Series 1999-A, 5.750%, 7/01/26	1/10
	1,600	City of Grand Rapids, Sanitary Sewer System Improvement and Refunding Revenue Bonds, Series 1998A, 4.750%, 1/01/28	7/08
\$	166,585	Total Investments (cost \$160,724,340) - 99.0%	
		==	
		Net Assets - 100%	

- * Optional Call Provisions: Dates (month and year) and prices of the earliest optional call or redemption. There may be other call provisions at varying prices at later dates.
- ** Ratings: Using the higher of Standard & Poor's or Moody's rating.
- *** Securities are backed by an escrow or trust containing sufficient U.S. Government or U.S. Government agency securities which ensures the timely payment of principal and interest. Securities are normally considered to be equivalent to AAA rated securities.

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

Nuveen Ohio Quality Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (NUO) Portfolio of INVESTMENTS January 31, 2001 (Unaudited)

PRINCIPAL AMOUNT (000)	DESCRIPTION	OPTIONA PROVI
\$ 2,440	Capital Goods - 1.1% Ohio Water Development Authority, Revenue Bonds (USA Waste Services), Series 1992, 7.750%, 9/01/07 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	3/02
3,250	Consumer Staples - 1.5% The Children's Trust Fund, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds, Series 2000, 5.750%, 7/01/20	7/10
	Education and Civic Organizations - 6.3%	
825	Bowling Green State University, General Receipts Bonds, Series 1991, 6.700%, 6/01/07	6/01
3,665	State of Ohio, Education Loan Revenue Bonds, Series 1997A (Supplemental Student Loan Program), 1997A1, 5.850%, 12/01/19 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	6/07
1,000	Ohio Higher Educational Facility Commission, Higher Educational Facility Revenue Bonds (University of Dayton 1994 Project), 5.800%, 12/01/14	12/04
2,400	Ohio Higher Educational Facility Commission, Higher Educational Facility Mortgage Revenue Bonds (University of Dayton 1992 Project), 6.600%, 12/01/17	12/03
1,200	Ohio Higher Educational Facility Commission, Higher Educational Facility Revenue Bonds (University of Findlay 1996 Project), 6.125%, 9/01/16	9/06

1,575	Ohio State University, General Receipts Bonds, Series 1992A1, 5.875%, 12/01/12	12/02
3,150	The Student Loan Funding Corporation, Student Loan Subordinated Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1992D, 6.600%, 7/01/05 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	7/02
	Energy - 1.0%	
2,250	Ohio Air Quality Development Authority, Air Quality Development Refunding Revenue Bonds, Series 1992 (Ashland Oil, Inc. Project), 6.850%, 4/01/10	4/01
	Healthcare - 9.9%	
1,300	Akron Bath and Copley Joint Township Hospital District, Hospital Facilities Revenue Bonds, Series 1993A (Summa Health System Project), 5.500%, 11/15/13	11/03
1,000	County of Butler Hospital Facilities, Revenue Refunding and Improvement Bonds, Series 1991 (Fort Hamilton-Hughes Memorial Hospital Center), 7.500%, 1/01/10	1/02
	City of Cambridge, Hospital Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1991 (Guernsey Memorial Hospital Project):	
1,680 750	8.000%, 12/01/06 8.000%, 12/01/11	12/01 12/01
2,675	County of Clermont, Hospital Facilities Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1993A (Mercy Health System), 5.875%, 1/01/15	1/03
1,000	County of Cuyahoga, Hospital Improvement and Refunding Revenue Bonds, Series 1997 (The MetroHealth System Project), 5.625%, 2/15/17	2/07
1,170	County of Cuyahoga, Hospital Facilities Revenue Bonds, Series 1993 (Health Cleveland, Inc Fairview General Hospital Project), 6.300%, 8/15/15	2/03
	County of Franklin, Hospital Refunding and Improvement Revenue Bonds, 1996 Series A (The Children's Hospital Project):	
1,000 1,500	5.750%, 11/01/20 5.875%, 11/01/25	11/06 11/06
1,500	County of Franklin, Hospital Revenue Refunding and Improvement Bonds, 1992 Series A (The Children's Hospital Project), 6.600%, 5/01/13	11/02
2,500	County of Marion, Hospital Refunding and Improvement Revenue Bonds, Series 1996 (The Community Hospital), 6.375%, 5/15/11	5/06
1,500	County of Montgomery Hospital Facilities, Revenue Refunding and Improvement Bonds, Series 1996 (Kettering Medical Center), 5.625%, 4/01/16	4/06

Nuveen Ohio Quality Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (NUO) (continued) Portfolio of INVESTMENTS January 31, 2001 (Unaudited)

PRINCIP AMOUNT (0		OPTIONA PROVI
	Healthcare (continued)	
\$2,	500 County of Richland Hospital Facilities, Revenue Improvement Bonds, Series 2000B (MedCentral Health System Obligated Group), 6.375%, 11/15/30	ent 11/10
1,	500 City of Steubenville Hospital Facilities, Revenue Refundin and Improvement Bonds, Series 2000 (Trinity Health System 6.375%, 10/01/20	
	750 County of Tuscarawas, Hospital Facilities Revenue Bonds, Series 1993A (Union Hospital Project), 6.500%, 10/01/21	10/03
	Housing/Multifamily - 12.0%	
1,	.385 County of Clermont, Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Series 1994-A (GNMA Collateralized - Southeastern Ecumenical Ministry Villa II Project), 5.950%, 2/20/30	A, 8/03
1,	.435 County of Cuyahoga, Multifamily Housing Revenue Bonds, Series 1997(Water Street Associates Project), 6.150%, 12/20/26 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	6/08
	985 County of Franklin, Multifamily Housing Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Series 1994A (FHA-Insured Mortgage Loan - Hamilton Creek Apartments Project), 5.550%, 7/01/24 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	1/05
6,	155 County of Franklin, Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Series 1992A (FHA-Insured Mortgage Loan - Kensington Place Project), 6.750%, 1/01/34	1/02
5,	.200 Hamilton County, Multifamily Housing Revenue Bonds, Series 1997 (Huntington Meadows Project), 5.700%, 1/01/27 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	1/07
4,	.060 Lucas Northgate Housing Development Corporation, Mortgage Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1999A (FHA-Insured Mortgage Loan - Northgate Apartments Section 8 Assisted Project), 6.000%, 7/01/24	e 1/04
3,	265 Ohio Housing Finance Agency, Multifamily Housing Revenue Bonds, Series 1999C (Timber Lake Apartments Project), 6.150%, 12/01/24 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	12/09
4,	.315 Ohio Capital Corporation for Housing, Mortgage Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1999G (FHA-Insured Mortgage Loans - Section 8 Assisted Projects), 5.950%, 2/01/24	2/09

Housing/Single Family - 2.8%

3,000	Ohio Housing Finance Agency, Residential Mortgage Revenue Bonds, 2000 Series A1 (Mortgage-Backed Securities Program), 6.350%, 9/01/31 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	9/09
3,080	Ohio Housing Finance Agency, Residential Mortgage Revenue Bonds, 1996 Series B-3 (Mortgage-Backed Securities Program), 5.750%, 9/01/28 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	9/07
 	Long-Term Care - 0.9%	
1,365	County of Franklin Health Care Facilities, Revenue Bonds, Series 1993 (Ohio Presbyterian Retirement Services), 6.500%, 7/01/23	7/03
1,000	County of Marion Health Care Facilities, Refunding and Improvement Revenue Bonds, Series 1993 (United Church Homes, Inc. Project), 6.300%, 11/15/15	11/03
 	Tax Obligation/General - 16.5%	
1,000	Ansonia Local School District, Darke County, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2000, 5.500%, 2/01/22	12/10
	Berea City School District, School Improvement Bonds, Series 1993 (General Obligation - Unlimited Tax):	
650 680	7.500%, 12/15/06 7.450%, 12/15/07	12/03 12/03
1,750	Brecksville-Broadview Heights City School District, School Improvement Bonds, Series 1996 (General Obligation – Unlimited Tax), 6.500%, 12/01/16	12/06
2,000	City of Columbus, General Obligation Refunding Bonds, Series 1992B, 6.500%, 1/01/10	1/02
1,000	City of Dublin, Various Purpose Improvement Bonds, Series 2000A (Unlimited Tax), 5.000%, 12/01/20	12/10
1,300	County of Franklin, Refunding Bonds, Series 1993 (General Obligation – Limited Tax), 5.375%, 12/01/20	12/08
1,505	Greater Cleveland Regional Transit Authority, Capital Improvement Bonds, Series 1996 (General Obligation), 5.650%, 12/01/16	12/06
INCIPAL NT (000)	DESCRIPTION	OPTIONA PROVI
 	Tax Obligation/General (continued)	
\$ 1,000	Hilliard City School District, School Improvement Bonds, Series 2000 (General Obligation), 5.750%, 12/01/24	12/10

q

	1,260	Morgan Local School District, Counties of Morgan, Muskingum and Washington, School Improvement Bonds, Series 2000 (General Obligation - Unlimited Tax), 5.750%, 12/01/22	12/10
	650 715	North Canton City School District, School Improvement Bonds, Series 1994 (General Obligation - Unlimited Tax): 9.750%, 12/01/03 9.700%, 12/01/04	No Opt No Opt
q	1,500	Plain Local School District, Franklin and Licking Counties, Various Purpose Bonds, Series 2000 (General Obligation – Unlimited Tax), 6.000%, 12/01/20	12/10
	2,000	Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, Public Improvement Bonds of 1996 (General Obligation), 5.400%, 7/01/25	7/06 at 1
	1,300	Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, Public Improvement Refunding Bonds, Series 1997 (General Obligation), 5.750%, 7/01/17	7/07 at 1
	2,400	Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, Public Improvement Bonds of 1998, 5.000%, 7/01/27	7/08
	1,000	Revere Local School District, School Improvement Bonds, Series 1993 (General Obligation - Unlimited Tax Bonds), 6.000%, 12/01/16	12/03
	2,870	City of Strongsville, Various Purpose Improvement Bonds, Series 1996 (General Obligation – Limited Tax), 5.950%, 12/01/21	12/06
	1,000	Sylvania City School District, Series 1995 (General Obligation - Unlimited Tax), 5.800%, 12/01/15	12/05
	1,135	City of Toledo, Various Purpose Improvement Bonds, Series 1994 (General Obligation - Limited Tax), 7.000%, 12/01/03	No Opt
	1,000	Upper Arlington City School District, Improvement Bonds, Series 1996 (General Obligation), 5.250%, 12/01/22	12/06
	2,000	Board of Education, Wayne Local School District, County of Warren, School Improvement Bonds, Series 1996 (General Obligation - Unlimited Tax), 6.100%, 12/01/24	12/06
	3,000	Board of Education, West Clermont Local School District, County of Clermont, School Improvement Bonds, Series 1995 (General Obligation - Unlimited Tax), 6.000%, 12/01/18	12/05
	1,000	City of Westlake, Various Purpose Improvement and Refunding Bonds, Series1997 (General Obligation), 5.550%, 12/01/17	12/08
	1,820	Worthington City School District, Franklin County, Refunding Bonds (General Obligation - Unlimited Tax), 6.375%, 12/01/12	6/02
		Tax Obligation/Limited - 4.1%	
	7,000	County of Hamilton, Sales Tax Revenue Bonds (Subordinate Lien), Series B, 0.000%, 12/01/28	No Opt
	1,250	City of Columbus, Tax Increment Financing Bonds (Easton Project), Series 1999, 4.875%, 12/01/24	6/09

1,000	Ohio Building Authority, State Facilities Bonds (Juvenile Correctional Building Fund Projects), 1992 Series B, 6.000%, 10/01/12	10/02
3,000	Ohio Building Authority, State Facilities Bonds (Adult Correctional Building Fund Projects), 1993 Series A, 6.125%, 10/01/12	10/03
865	Ohio Department of Transportation, Certificates of Participation (Rickenbacker Port Authority Improvements), 6.125%, 4/15/15 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	4/01
1,500	Ohio Public Facilities Commission, Higher Education Capital Facilities Bonds, Series II-1992A, 5.500%, 12/01/06	12/01

Nuveen Ohio Quality Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (NUO) (continued) Portfolio of INVESTMENTS January 31, 2001 (Unaudited)

PRINC AMOUNT		DESCRIPTION	OPTIONA PROVI
		Technology - 0.2%	
\$	500	County of Franklin, Revenue Bonds, Series 1993 (Online Computer Library Center, Inc. Project), 6.000%, 4/15/13	4/03
		Transportation - 3.0%	
	3,430	City of Cleveland Parking Facilities, Refunding Revenue Bonds, Series 1996, 5.500%, 9/15/22	9/06
		Columbus Municipal Airport Authority, Airport Improvement Revenue Bonds, Series 1994A (Port Columbus International Airport Project):	
	830	5.950%, 1/01/08 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	1/04
	1,000	6.000%, 1/01/14 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	1/04
	1,500	City of Dayton Special Facilities, Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1998A (Emery Air Freight Corporation and Emery Worldwide Airlines, Inc Guarantors) (Non-AMT), 5.625%, 2/01/18	2/08
		U.S. Guaranteed - 23.9%	
	1,500	City of Akron, Waterworks System Mortgage Revenue Improvement Bonds, Series 1991, 6.550%, 3/01/12 (Pre-refunded to 3/01/01)	3/01
	2,000	City of Barberton Hospital Facilities, Revenue Bonds, Series 1992 (Barberton Citizens Hospital Company Project), 7.250%, 1/01/12 (Pre-refunded to 1/01/02)	1/02
	2,000	County of Carroll, Hospital Improvement Revenue Bonds, Series 1991 (Timken Mercy Medical Center), 7.125%, 12/01/18 (Pre-refunded to 12/01/01)	12/01
	2,500	County of Clermont, Waterworks System Revenue Bonds,	12/01

Series 1991 (Clermont County Sewer District), 6.625%, 12/01/14 (Pre-refunded to 12/01/01)

2,000	City of Cleveland, Public Power System First Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Series 1994A, 7.000%, 11/15/24 (Pre-refunded to 11/15/04)	11/04
590	City of Cleveland, Public Power System Improvement First Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Series 1991B, 7.000%, 11/15/17 (Pre-refunded to 11/15/01)	11/01
1,575	City of Cleveland, Waterworks Improvement First Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Series F 1992A, 6.500%, 1/01/21 (Pre-refunded to 1/01/02)	1/02
2,745	City of Cleveland, First Mortgage Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series F 1992-B, 6.500%, 1/01/11 (Pre-refunded to 1/01/02)	1/02
3,960	City of Cleveland, Waterworks Improvement and Refunding First Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Series H 1996, 5.750%, 1/01/26 (Pre-refunded to 1/01/06)	1/06
1,950	City School District of Columbus, Franklin County, School Building Renovation and Improvement Bonds, Series 1992 (General Obligation - Unlimited Tax), 6.650%, 12/01/12 (Pre-refunded to 12/01/02)	12/02
3,250	County of Cuyahoga, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Series 1991 (Meridia Health System), 7.000%, 8/15/23 (Pre-refunded to 8/15/01)	8/01
1,500	County of Cuyahoga, Hospital Improvement Revenue Bonds, Series 1992 (University Hospitals Health System, Inc. Project), 6.500%, 1/15/19 (Pre-refunded to 1/15/02)	1/02
2,000	Dublin City School District, Franklin, Delaware and Union Counties, Various Purpose School Building Construction and Improvement Bonds (General Obligation - Unlimited Tax), 6.200%, 12/01/19 (Pre-refunded to 12/01/02)	12/02
2,705	County of Franklin, First Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Series 1979 (Online Computer Learning Center, Inc. Project), 7.500%, 6/01/09	6/01
3,250	City of Garfield Heights, Hospital Improvement and Refunding Revenue Bonds, Series 1992B (Marymount Hospital Project), 6.650%, 11/15/11 (Pre-refunded to 11/15/02)	11/02
3,000	Kent State University, General Receipts Bonds, Series 1992, 6.500%, 5/01/22 (Pre-refunded to 5/01/02)	5/02
1,000	City of Lakewood, Various Purpose General Obligation Bonds, Series 1992 (Limited Tax Obligation), 6.500%, 12/01/12 (Pre-refunded to 12/01/02)	12/02

INCIPAL NT (000)	DESCRIPTION	OPTIONA PROVI
 	U.S. Guaranteed (continued)	
\$ 2,100	Lakota Local School District, County of Butler, School Improvement	12/05

Bonds, Series 1994 (General Obligation - Unlimited Tax), 6.250%, 12/01/14 (Pre-refunded to 12/01/05)

0.250%, 12/01/14 (Fie ferunded to 12/01/05)

1,400	City of Middleburg Heights, Hospital Improvement Revenue Bonds, Series 1991 (Southwest General Hospital Project), 6.750%, 8/15/21 (Pre-refunded to 8/15/01)	8/01
1,000	City of Newark, Water System Improvement Bonds (General Obligation - Limited Tax), 6.000%, 12/01/18 (Pre-refunded to 12/01/03)	12/03
2,500	Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, Public Improvement Bonds of 1992 (General Obligation), 6.600%, 7/01/13 (Pre-refunded to 7/01/02)	7/02 at 2
1,400	Reynoldsburg City School District, School Building Construction and Improvement Bonds (General Obligation), 6.550%, 12/01/17 (Pre-refunded to 12/01/02)	12/02
1,000	Solon City School District, School Improvement Bonds, Series 1990 (General Obligation - Unlimited Tax), 7.150%, 12/01/13 (Pre-refunded to 12/01/01)	12/01
3,500	University of Cincinnati, General Receipts Bonds, Series O, 6.300%, 6/01/12 (Pre-refunded to 12/01/02)	12/02
1,000	University of Toledo, General Receipts Bonds, Series B, 5.900%, 6/01/20 (Pre-refunded to 12/01/02)	12/02
	Utilities - 7.7%	
2,410	City of Cleveland, Public Power System Improvement First Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Series 1991B, 7.000%, 11/15/17	11/01
1,250	City of Hamilton, Electric System Mortgage Revenue Bonds, 1992 Series B, 6.300%, 10/15/25	10/02
4,000	Ohio Air Quality Development Authority, Collateralized Pollution Control Revenue Refunding Bonds (Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company Project), Series 1992, 8.000%, 12/01/13	6/02
	Ohio Air Quality Development Authority, Air Quality Development Revenue Refunding Bonds (JMG Funding Limited Partnership Project), Series 1994:	
2,000 4,000	6.375%, 1/01/29 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	10/04 10/04
3,000	Ohio Air Quality Development Authority, Air Quality Development Revenue Bonds (JMG Funding Limited Partnership Project), Series 1997, 5.625%, 1/01/23 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	4/07
	Water and Sewer - 8.2%	
1,000	City of Cleveland, Waterworks Improvement First Mortgage Refunding Revenue Bonds, Series G 1993, 5.500%, 1/01/21	No Opt
0.55	City of Cleveland, Waterworks Improvement First Mortgage Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series F 1992B:	1 / 0 0
255	6.500%, 1/01/11	1/02
3,120	6.250%, 1/01/16	1/02

1,000	City of Cleveland, Waterworks Improvement and Refunding Revenue Bonds, Series I 1998, 5.000%, 1/01/28	1/08
40	City of Cleveland, Waterworks Improvement and Refunding First Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Series H 1996, 5.750%, 1/01/26	1/06
2,500	City of Columbus, Sewerage System Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1992, 6.250%, 6/01/08	6/02
2,110	Hamilton County, Metropolitan Sewer District of Greater Cincinnati, Sewer System Improvement Revenue Bonds, Series 2000A, 5.750%, 12/01/25	6/10
1,000	County of Montgomery, Greater Moraine Beavercreek Sewer District, Water Revenue Bonds, Series 1992, 6.250%, 11/15/17	11/02

Nuveen Ohio Quality Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (NUO) (continued) Portfolio of INVESTMENTS January 31, 2001 (Unaudited)

PRINCIP	AL	OPTIONA
AMOUNT (0	00) DESCRIPTION	PROVI

Water and Sewer (continued)

\$	2,000	Northeast Ohio Regional Sewer District, Wastewater Improvement Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1995, 5.600%, 11/15/16	11/05
	1,000	Ohio Water Development Authority, Water Development Revenue Bonds, 1995 Fresh Water Series, 5.900%, 12/01/21	6/05
	1,250	City of Oxford, Water Supply System Mortgage Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1992, 6.000%, 2/01/14	12/02
	2,000	Southwest Regional Water District, Waterworks System Revenue Bonds, Series 1995, 6.000%, 12/01/20	12/05
\$	222,695	Total Investments (cost \$216,204,280) - 99.1%	
==== \$	2,000	SHORT-TERM INVESTMENTS - 0.9% Cuyahoga County, University Hospital of Cleveland, Variable Rate Demand Bonds, Series 1985, 4.150%, 1/01/16+	
		Other Assets Less Liabilities - 0.0%	
		Net Assets - 100%	

- * Optional Call Provisions: Dates (month and year) and prices of the earliest optional call or redemption. There may be other call provisions at varying prices at later dates.
- ** Ratings: Using the higher of Standard & Poor's or Moody's rating.

- *** Securities are backed by an escrow or trust containing sufficient U.S. Government or U.S. Government agency securities which ensures the timely payment of principal and interest. Securities are normally considered to be equivalent to AAA rated securities.
- N/R Investment is not rated.
- + The security has a maturity of more than one year, but has variable rate and demand features which qualify it as a short-term security. The rate disclosed is that currently in effect. This rate changes periodically based on market conditions or a specified market index.

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

Nuveen Texas Quality Income Municipal Fund (NTX)

Portfolio of

INVESTMENTS January 31, 2001 (Unaudited)

PRINCIPAL		OPTIONA
AMOUNT (000)	DESCRIPTION	PROVI

Basic Materials - 3.7%

Ş	4,500	Cass County Industrial Development Corporation, Environmental Improvement Revenue Bonds, 2000 Series A (International Paper Company Project), 6.600%, 3/15/24 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	3/10
	3,000	Guadalupe-Blanco River Authority, Sewage and Solid Waste Disposal Facility Bonds, Series 1996 (E.I. du Pont de Nemours and Company Project), 6.400%, 4/01/26 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	4/06
		Consumer Staples - 0.5%	
	1,000	The Children's Trust Fund, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds, Series 2000, 5.750%, 7/01/20	7/10
		Education and Civic Organizations - 4.4%	
	2,255	Brazos Higher Education Authority, Student Loan Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1992-A, 6.875%, 9/01/04 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	3/02
	1,055	Brazos Higher Education Authority, Student Loan Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1992C-1, 6.650%, 11/01/04 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	No Opt
	205	Brazos Higher Education Authority, Student Loan Revenue Refunding Bonds, Subordinate Series 1993A-2, 6.800%, 12/01/04 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	No Opt
	1,000	Georgetown Higher Education Finance Corporation, Higher Education	2/04

Revenue Bonds, Series 1994 (Southwestern University Project), 6.300%, 2/15/14

1,000	Southwest Higher Education Authority, Higher Educational Facilities Revenue Bonds, Series 1998D (Southern Methodist University), 5.000%, 10/01/22	10/08
1,445	Tyler Junior College District, Smith and Van Zanlt Counties, Combined Fee Improvement Revenue and Refunding Bonds, Series 1994, 5.900%, 8/15/13	8/04
2,000	Board of Regents of the University of Houston System, Consolidated Revenue Bonds, Series 1995, 6.000%, 2/15/17	2/05
	Energy - 5.4%	
5,000	Gulf Coast Waste Disposal Authority, Revenue Bond (Valero Energy Corporation Project), Series 1998, 5.600%, 4/01/32 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	4/08
5,000	Gulf Coast Industrial Development Authority, Waste Disposal Revenue Bonds (Valero Refining and Marketing Company Project), Series 1997, 5.600%, 12/01/31 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	6/08
3,000	Gulf Coast Waste Disposal Authority, Waste Disposal Revenue Bonds (Valero Energy Corporation Project), Series 1999, 5.700%, 4/01/32 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	4/09
	Healthcare - 14.5%	
3,500	Abilene Health Facilities Development Corporation, Hospital Revenue Refunding and Improvement Bonds (Hendrick Medical Center Project), Series 1995C, 6.150%, 9/01/25	9/05
	Gregg County Health Facilities Development Corporation, Hospital Revenue Bonds (Good Shepherd Medical Center Project), Series 2000:	
2,000 3,250	6.875%, 10/01/20 6.375%, 10/01/25	10/10 10/10
1,000	Harris County Health Facilities Development Corporation, Hospital Revenue Bonds (Texas Children's Hospital Project), Series 1999A, 5.250%, 10/01/29	10/09
5,750	Midland County Hospital District, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Series 1992, 0.000%, 6/01/11	No Opt
1,760	Parker County Hospital District, Hospital Revenue Bonds (Campbell Health System), Series 1999, 6.250%, 8/15/19	8/09
4,500	Nueces County, Port of Corpus Christi Authority, Pollution Control Revenue Bonds (Hoechst Celanese Corporation), Series 1992, 6.875%, 4/01/17 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	4/02

Nuveen Texas Quality Income Municipal Fund (NTX) (continued) Portfolio of INVESTMENTS January 31, 2001 (Unaudited)

PRINC AMOUNT		DESCRIPTION	OPTIONA PROVI
		Healthcare (continued)	
Ş	5,350	Richardson Hospital Authority, Hospital Revenue Refunding and Improvement Bonds (Baylor/Richardson Medical Center Project), Series 1998, 5.625%, 12/01/28	12/08
	1,050	Tarrant County Health Facilities Development Corporation, Hospital Revenue Bonds (Adventist Health System – Sunbelt Obligated Group), Series 1998, 5.375%, 11/15/20	11/08
	3,500	Tarrant County Health Facilities Development Corporation, Hospital Revenue Bonds (Adventist Health System - Sunbelt Obligated Group), Series 2000, 6.625%, 11/15/20	11/10
	1,500	Texas Health Facilities Development Corporation, Hospital Revenue Bonds (All Saints Episcopal Hospitals of Fort Worth Project), Series 1993B, 6.250%, 8/15/22	8/03
		Housing/Multifamily - 1.6%	
		Grand Prairie Housing Finance Corporation, Multifamily Housing Revenue Bonds (Landings at Carrier Project) (GNMA), Series 2000A:	
	•	6.650%, 9/20/22	9/10
	2,030	6.750%, 9/20/28	9/10
		Housing/Single Family - 5.4%	
	810	Baytown Housing Finance Corporation, Single Family Mortgage Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1992A, 8.500%, 9/01/11	9/02
	790	El Paso Housing Finance Corporation, Single Family Mortgage Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1991A, 8.750%, 10/01/11	4/01
	545	Galveston Property Finance Authority, Single Family Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Series 1991A, 8.500%, 9/01/11	9/01
	1,560	Harrison County Finance Corporation, Single Family Mortgage Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1991, 8.875%, 12/01/11	12/01
	995	Houston Housing Finance Corporation, Single Family Mortgage Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1993A, 5.950%, 12/01/10	6/03
	580	Port Arthur Housing Finance Corporation, Single Family Mortgage Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1992, 8.700%, 3/01/12	9/02
	2,375	Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs, Single Family Mortgage Revenue Bonds, 1996 Series E, 6.000%, 9/01/17	9/06
	2,260	Travis County Housing Finance Corporation, Residential Mortgage Bonds (GNMA and FNMA Mortgage-Backed Securities Program), Senior Bonds, Series 1991A, 7.050%, 12/01/25	12/01

815	Victoria Housing Finance Corporation, Single Family Mortgage Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1995, 8.125%, 1/01/11	No Opt
	Long-Term Care - 2.5%	
3,400	Bell County Health Facilities Development Corporation, Retirement Facility Revenue Bonds (Buckner Retirement Services, Inc. Obligated Group Project), Series 1998, 5.250%, 11/15/19	11/08
2,000	Tarrant County Health Facilities Development Corporation, Tax-Exempt Mortgage Revenue Bonds (South Central Nursing Homes, Inc. Project), Series 1997A, 6.000%, 1/01/37	1/08
	Tax Obligation/General - 18.0%	
1,000	Caddo Mills Independent School District, Hunt County, Unlimited Tax School Building and Refunding Bonds, Series 1995, 6.375%, 8/15/25	2/05
4,130	Coppell Independent School District, Dallas County, Unlimited Tax School Building and Refunding Bonds, Series 1992, 0.000%, 8/15/14	8/09 at 75
1,475	City of Corpus Christi, General Improvement and Refunding Bonds, Series 1992, 6.700%, 3/01/08	3/02
1,450	Donna Independent School District, Hidalgo County, Unlimited Tax School Building Bonds, Series 2000, 6.000%, 2/15/17	2/11
2,800	City of Ennis, Ennis County, General Obligation Refunding and Improvement Bonds, Series 1992, 6.500%, 8/01/13	8/02
PRINCIPAL AMOUNT (000)	DESCRIPTION	OPTIONA PROVI
	Tax Obligation/General (continued)	
\$ 2,000	Harlingen Consolidated Independent School District, Cameron County, Unlimited Tax School Building Bonds, Series 1999, 5.650%, 8/15/29	8/09
3,600	Klein Independent School District, Harris County, Unlimited Tax Schoolhouse Bonds, Series 1999A, 5.000%, 8/01/18	8/09
5,220	Leander Independent School District, Williamson & Travis Counties, Unlimited Tax School Building and Refunding Bonds, Series 2000, 0.000%, 8/15/21	8/09 at
1,545	Montgomery County (A political subdivision of the State of Texas), Refunding Bonds, Series 1997, 0.000%, 3/01/14	9/07 at
2,000	Northside Independent School District, Bexar County, Unlimited Tax School Building and Refunding Bonds, Series 2000, 5.875%, 8/15/25	8/10

1,825	Socorro Independent School District, El Pasco County, Unlimited Tax School Building Bonds, Series 1996, 5.750%, 2/15/21	2/06
2,000	State of Texas, Veterans Land Bonds, Series 1994, General Obligation Bonds, 6.400%, 12/01/24 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	12/04
3,490	State of Texas, Veterans Housing Assistance Bonds, Series 1993, General Obligation Bonds, 6.800%, 12/01/23 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	12/03
6,290	State of Texas, College Student Loan Bonds, Series 1997, 5.000%, 8/01/22 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	8/10
2,500	Tomball Independent School District, Harris and Montgomery Counties, Unlimited Tax Refunding Bonds, Series 2001A, 5.000%, 2/15/23 (WI, settling 2/28/01)	2/11
1,795	United Independent School District, Webb County, Unlimited Tax School Building Bonds, Series 2000, 5.375%, 8/15/18	8/12
1,000 1,000 1,000	West Independent School District, McLennan & Hill Counties, Unlimited Tax School Building and Refunding Bonds, Series 1998: 0.000%, 8/15/22 0.000%, 8/15/23 0.000%, 8/15/24	8/13 at 6 8/13 at 5 8/13 at
	Tax Obligation/Limited - 6.7%	
4,500	City of Austin, Hotel Occupancy Tax and Subordinate Lien Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1999, 5.800%, 11/15/29	11/09
1,450	Industrial Development Corporation of the City of Galveston, Sales Tax Revenue Bonds, Series 1995, 5.750%, 9/01/15	9/05
1,575	Harris County, Toll Road Unlimited Tax and Subordinate Lien Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1992A, 6.500%, 8/15/15	8/02
565	City of Jersey Village in Harris County, Combined Tax and Revenue Certificates of Obligation, Series 2000, 5.000%, 2/01/25	2/15
800	City of Laredo, Webb County, Combination Tax and Waterworks System Revenue Certificates of Obligation, Series 1994, 5.625%, 8/15/12	8/04 1
4,580	City of San Antonio, Hotel Occupancy Tax Revenue Bonds (Henry B Gonzalez Convention Center Project), 5.700%, 8/15/26	8/06
 5 , 295	Transportation - 11.9% Alliance Airport Authority, Special Facilities Revenue Bonds,	6/01
	Series 1990 (American Airlines, Inc. Project), 7.500%, 12/01/29 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	
5,020	Dallas-Fort Worth International Airport Facility Improvement Corporation, United Parcel Service, Inc. Revenue Bonds, Series 1992, 6.600%, 5/01/32 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	5/02
5,050	Dallas-Fort Worth International Airport Facility Improvement Corporation, American Airlines, Inc. Revenue Bonds, Series 1999, 6.375%, 5/01/35 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	11/09

Nuveen Texas Quality Income Municipal Fund (NTX) (continued) Portfolio of INVESTMENTS January 31, 2001 (Unaudited)

PRINC AMOUNT		DESCRIPTION	OPTIONA PROVI
		Transportation (continued)	
\$	1,500	Harris County, Toll Road Senior Lien Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1994, 5.375%, 8/15/20	8/04
	220	Harris County, Toll Road Senior Lien Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1992A, 6.500%, 8/15/17	8/02
	320	Harris County, Toll Road Senior Lien Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1992B, 6.625%, 8/15/17	2/01
	5,000	City of Houston, Airport System Subordinate Lien Revenue Bonds, Series 1991A, 6.750%, 7/01/21 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	7/01
	2,000	Puerto Rico Ports Authority, Special Facilities Revenue Bonds, 1996 Series A (American Airlines, Inc. Project), 6.250%, 6/01/26 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	6/06
		U.S. Guaranteed - 9.9%	
	270	Abilene Housing Development Corporation, First Lien Revenue Bonds, Series 1978, 7.000%, 7/01/08	No Opt
	1,000	Amarillo Health Facilities Corporation, Hospital Revenue Bonds (High Plains Baptist Hospital Project), Series 1992C, 6.500%, 1/01/07 (Pre-refunded to 1/01/02)	1/02
	1,000	The City of Beaumont, Public Improvement Bonds, Series 1992, 6.250%, 3/01/10 (Pre-refunded to 3/01/02)	3/02
	1,975	City of Corpus Christi, General Improvement and Refunding Bonds, Series 1992, 6.700%, 3/01/08 (Pre-refunded to 3/01/02)	3/02
	1,185	Fort Bend County Levee Improvement District No. 11 (A Political Subdivision of the State of Texas), Unlimited Tax Levee Improvement Bonds, Series 1994, 6.900%, 9/01/17 (Pre-refunded to 9/01/04)	9/04
	1,780	Harris County, Toll Road Senior Lien Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1992A, 6.500%, 8/15/17 (Pre-refunded to 8/15/02)	8/02
	425	Harris County, Toll Road Unlimited Tax and Subordinate Lien Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1992, 6.500%, 8/15/15 (Pre-refunded to 8/15/02)	8/02
	6,110	Harris County Health Facilities Development Corporation, Hospital Revenue Bonds (Memorial Hospital System Project), Series 1992, 7.125%, 6/01/15 (Pre-refunded to 6/01/02)	6/02

48	5 City of Houston, Water and Sewer System, Junior Lien Revenue Refunding Bonds, 1991C, 6.375%, 12/01/17 (Pre-refunded to 12/01/01)	12/01
1,00	0 North Central Texas Health Facilities Development Corporation, Hospital Revenue Bonds (Presbyterian Healthcare System Project), Series 1996B, 5.750%, 6/01/26	No Opt
2,50	0 Retama Development Corporation, Special Facilities Revenue Bonds (Retama Park Racetrack Project), Series 1993, 8.750%, 12/15/17	No Opt
14 66		5/04 No Opt
	Utilities - 4.5%	
2,00	0 Brazos River Authority, Collateralized Pollution Control Revenue Bonds (Texas Utilities Electric Company Project), Series 1994A, 7.875%, 3/01/21 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	3/01
1 , 50	0 Brazos River Authority, Collateralized Pollution Control Revenue Refunding Bonds (Texas Utilities Electric Company Project), Series 1992, 6.500%, 12/01/27 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	12/02
2,50	0 Brazos River Authority, Revenue Refunding Bonds (Reliant Energy, Inc. Project), Series 1999A, 5.375%, 4/01/19	4/09
2,00	0 Harris County, Health Facilities Development Corporation, Thermal Utility Revenue Bonds (TECO Project), Series 2000, 5.750%, 2/15/15 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	2/10
1,50	0 Matagorda County Navigation District Number One, Pollution Control Revenue Refunding Bonds (Central Power and Light Company Project), Series 1993, 6.000%, 7/01/28	7/03
	Water and Sewer - 10.9%	
2,50	0 Bexar Metropolitan Development Corporation, Water Facility Contract Revenue Bonds, Series 1998, 5.000%, 5/01/28	5/08
7,00	0 City of Houston, Water and Sewer System Junior Lien Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1998A and 1998B, 0.000%, 12/01/27	No Opt
PRINCIPAL AMOUNT (000		OPTIONA PROVI
	Water and Sewer (continued)	
\$ 1,00	0 City of Houston, Water and Sewer System Prior Lien Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1992B, 6.375%, 12/01/14	12/02
4,00	0 City of Houston, Water and Sewer System Junior Lien Revenue	12/10

58

Refunding Bonds, Series 2000B, 5.250%, 12/01/30

6,050	O City of Houston, Water and Sewer System Junior Lien Revenue Bonds, Series 1997C, 5.375%, 12/01/27						
3,515	-	City of Houston, Water and Sewer System Junior Lien Revenue 12, Refunding Bonds, Series 1991C, 6.375%, 12/01/17					
2,385	City of San Antonio, Water Syst Series 1992, 6.500%, 5/15/10	em Revenue Refundin	ng Bonds,	5/02			
1,500	Senior Lien Revenue Bonds, Proc 5.500%, 7/15/21	gram Series 1999A,		7/09			
\$ 222,760	Total Investments (cost \$202,04	10,249) - 99.9%					
	Other Assets Less Liabilities -	- 0.1%					
	 * Optional Call Provision prices of the earliest There may be other cal later dates. ** Ratings: Using the high 	coptional call or r l provisions at var	edemption. Tying prices at				
	Moody's rating. *** Securities are backed sufficient U.S. Govern	-	-				
	securities which ensur principal and interest considered to be equiv	tes the timely payme . Securities are no	ent of formally				
	N/R Investment is not rate	ed.					
	(WI) Security purchased on	a when-issued basis	· .				
	See accompanyi	ng notes to financi.	al statements.				
Statement of NEI	C ASSETS January 31, 2001 (Unaudit	ed)					
		ARIZONA PREMIUM (NAZ)	MICHIGAN QUALITY (NUM)	MICHIG PREM (NI			
ASSETS Investments ir	n municipal securities,						
securities,	estments in short-term municipal at amortized cost, which approxi		\$261,797,114	\$169,294,3			
market valu	16		2 544 220	212			

--3,544,230213,2813,6493,707,5672,324,6

Cash

Receivables:

Interest

Investments sold Other assets	15,051 394		2,3
		±/±·±	
Total assets	95,056,525	269,050,082	171,834,4
LIABILITIES	· 		
Cash overdraft	333,350		
Payable for investments purchased			
Accrued expenses:			
Management fees	52,200	146,762	94,3
Other	78,739	83,441	,
Preferred share dividends payable	16,025	57,813	27,9
Common share dividends payable	297,780	846,233	519,4
Total liabilities	778,094	1,134,249	787,2
Net assets		\$267,915,833	
Preferred shares, at liquidation value		\$ 94,000,000	
Preferred shares outstanding	1,200	3,760	2,2
Common shares outstanding		11,513,997	7,695,6
Net asset value per Common share outstanding (net assets less Preferred shares at liquidation value,			
divided by Common shares outstanding)	\$ 14.68	\$ 15.10	\$ 14.

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

Statement of

OPERATIONS Six Months Ended January 31, 2001 (Unaudited)

	ARIZONA PREMIUM (NAZ)	MICHIGAN QUALITY (NUM)	MICHIGA PREMIU (NMP
INVESTMENT INCOME	\$2,764,527	\$ 7,885,988	\$4,829,04
EXPENSES			
Management fees	305,411	854,401	547,15
Preferred shares - auction fees	37,808	118,467	70,57
Preferred shares - dividend disbursing agent fees	5,042	10,081	10,08
Shareholders' servicing agent fees and expenses	960	7,137	3,44
Custodian's fees and expenses	19,820	33,867	24,37
Directors'/Trustees' fees and expenses	410	1,169	72
Professional fees	7,846	27,109	32,04
Shareholders' reports - printing and mailing expenses	s 16,749	30,617	17,79
Stock exchange listing fees	8 , 571	8,413	8,19
Investor relations expense	5,450	12,643	10,93
Other expenses	8,756	5,803	7,89
Total expenses before custodian fee credit	416,823	1,109,707	 733,21
Custodian fee credit	(3,398)	(12,820)	(3,48
Net expenses	413,425	1,096,887	729 , 73

Net investment income	2,351,102	6,789,101	4,099,31
REALIZED AND UNREALIZED GAIN (LOSS) FROM INVESTMEN Net realized gain (loss) from	NTS		
investment transactions Change in net unrealized appreciation	516,598	390,648	560,84
(depreciation) of investments	1,378,790	6,529,854	5,082,67
Net gain from investments	1,895,388	6,920,502	5,643,52
Net increase in net assets from operations	\$4,246,490	\$13,709,603	\$9,742,83

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

Statement of

CHANGES IN NET ASSETS (Unaudited)

	ARIZONA PREM	IIUM (NAZ)	MICHIGAN QUA	LITY (NUM)
SIX	MONTHS ENDED 1/31/01	YEAR ENDED 7/31/00	SIX MONTHS ENDED 1/31/01	YEAR ENDE 7/31/0
OPERATIONS				
	\$ 2,351,102	\$ 4,661,222	\$ 6,789,101	\$ 13,701,75
Net realized gain (loss)				
from investment				
transactions	516,598	110,480	390,648	134,06
Change in net unrealized				
appreciation				
(depreciation) of investments	1,378,790	(2,782,750)	6,529,854	(6,273,88
Net increase in net assets				
from operations	4,246,490	1,988,952	13,709,603	7,561,93
DISTRIBUTIONS TO SHAREHOLDERS				
From undistributed net				
investment income:				
Common shareholders	(1,785,328)	(3,737,712)	(5,080,137)	(10,559,52
Preferred shareholders			(1,846,347)	
From accumulated net realized gai				
from investment transactions:				
Common shareholders	(26,704)		(222,220)	(871,61
Preferred shareholders	(7,956)		(73,780)	(233,86
Decrease in net assets from				
distributions to shareholders	(2,402,916)	(4,834,214)	(7,222,484)	(15,117,66
CAPITAL SHARE TRANSACTIONS				
Net proceeds from Common shares				
issued to shareholders due to				
	148,045	357.127		393,28
Net increase (decrease)				
in net assets Net assets at the	1,991,619	(2,488,135)	6,487,119	(7,162,44

beginning of period	92,286,812	94,774,947	261,428,714	268,591,15
Net assets at the end of period	\$94,278,431	\$92,286,812	\$267,915,833	\$261,428,71
Balance of undistributed net investment income at the end of period	\$ 103,785	\$ 120,939	\$ 35,061	\$ 169,98

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

	OHIO QUALITY (NUO)		
	SIX MONTHS ENDED 1/31/01	YEAR ENDED 7/31/00	SI
OPERATIONS Net investment income Net realized gain (loss) from investment transactions	\$ 5,711,127 (241,828)	\$ 11,464,050 (648,266)	
Change in net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of investments	4,431,364	(4,699,152)	
Net increase in net assets from operations	9,900,663	6,116,632	
DISTRIBUTIONS TO SHAREHOLDERS From undistributed net investment income: Common shareholders Preferred shareholders From accumulated net realized gains from investment transactions: Common shareholders Preferred shareholders	(4,345,305) (1,443,864) 	(9,203,697) (2,750,729) 	
Decrease in net assets from distributions to shareholders	(5,789,169)	(11,954,426)	
CAPITAL SHARE TRANSACTIONS Net proceeds from Common shares issued to shareholders due to reinvestment of distributions	219,608	921 , 543	
Net increase (decrease) in net assets Net assets at the beginning of period	4,331,102 224,044,540	(4,916,251) 228,960,791	
Net assets at the end of period	\$228,375,642	\$224,044,540	
Balance of undistributed net investment income at the end of period	\$ 7,262	\$ 85,304	

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

1. GENERAL INFORMATION AND SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES The state funds (the "Funds") covered in this report and their corresponding New York Stock Exchange symbols are Nuveen Arizona Premium Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (NAZ), Nuveen Michigan Quality Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (NUM), Nuveen Michigan Premium Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (NMP), Nuveen Ohio Quality Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (NUO) and Nuveen Texas Quality Income Municipal Fund (NTX).

Each Fund seeks to provide current income exempt from both regular federal and designated state income taxes, where applicable, by investing primarily in a diversified portfolio of municipal obligations issued by state and local government authorities within a single state. The Funds are registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940 as closed-end, diversified management investment companies.

The following is a summary of significant accounting policies followed by the Funds in the preparation of their financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States.

Securities Valuation

The prices of municipal bonds in each Fund's investment portfolio are provided by a pricing service approved by the Fund's Board of Directors/Trustees. When price quotes are not readily available (which is usually the case for municipal securities), the pricing service establishes fair market value based on yields or prices of municipal bonds of comparable quality, type of issue, coupon, maturity and rating, indications of value from securities dealers and general market conditions. Temporary investments in securities that have variable rate and demand features qualifying them as short-term securities are valued at amortized cost, which approximates market value.

Securities Transactions

Securities transactions are recorded on a trade date basis. Realized gains and losses from such transactions are determined on the specific identification method. Securities purchased or sold on a when-issued or delayed delivery basis may have extended settlement periods. The securities so purchased are subject to market fluctuation during this period. The Funds have instructed the custodian to segregate assets in a separate account with a current value at least equal to the amount of the when-issued and delayed delivery purchase commitments. At January 31, 2001, Texas Quality (NTX) had an outstanding when-issued purchase commitment of \$2,407,789. There were no such outstanding purchase commitments in any of the other Funds.

Investment Income

Interest income is determined on the basis of interest accrued, adjusted for amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts on long-term debt securities when required for federal income tax purposes.

Income Taxes

Each Fund is a separate taxpayer for federal income tax purposes. Each Fund intends to comply with the requirements of the Internal Revenue Code applicable to regulated investment companies and to distribute all of its net investment income to its share holders. Therefore, no federal income tax provision is required. Furthermore, each Fund intends to satisfy conditions which will enable interest from municipal securities, which is exempt from regular federal and designated state income taxes, where applicable, to retain such tax-exempt status when distributed to shareholders of the Funds.

Dividends and Distributions to Shareholders

Tax-exempt net investment income is declared monthly as a dividend and payment is made or reinvestment is credited to shareholder accounts after month-end. Net realized capital gains and/or market discount from investment transactions, if any, are distributed to shareholders not less frequently than annually. Furthermore, capital gains are distributed only to the extent they exceed available capital loss carryforwards.

Distributions to shareholders of tax-exempt net investment income, net realized capital gains and/or market discount are recorded on the ex-dividend date. The amount and timing of distributions are determined in accordance with federal income tax regulations, which may differ from accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. Accordingly, temporary over-distributions as a result of these differences may occur and will be classified as either distributions in excess of net investment income, distributions in excess of net realized gains and/or distributions in excess of net ordinary taxable income from investment transactions, where applicable.

Preferred Shares

The Funds have issued and outstanding \$25,000 stated value Preferred shares. Each Fund's Preferred shares are issued in one or more Series. The dividend rate on each Series may change every seven days, as set by the auction agent. The number of shares outstanding, by Series and in total, for each Fund is as follows:

	ARIZONA PREMIUM (NAZ)	MICHIGAN QUALITY (NUM)	MICHIGAN PREMIUM (NMP)	OHIO QUALITY (NUO)	TEXAS QUALITY (NTX)
Number of shares:					
Series M			840	680	760
Series Th	1,200	3,200	1,400	1,400	2,000
Series Th2				1,000	
Series F		560			
Total	1,200	3,760	2,240	3,080	2,760

Derivative Financial Instruments

The Funds may invest in certain derivative financial instruments including futures, forward, swap, and option contracts, and other financial instruments with similar characteristics. Although the Funds are authorized to invest in such financial instruments, and may do so in the future, they did not make any such investments during the six months ended January 31, 2001.

Custodian Fee Credit

Each Fund has an arrangement with the custodian bank whereby certain custodian fees and expenses are reduced by credits earned on each Fund's cash on deposit with the bank. Such deposit arrangements are an alternative to overnight investments.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of increases and

decreases in net assets from operations during the reporting period. Actual results may differ from those estimates.

Audit Guide

In November 2000, the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) issued a revised version of the AICPA Audit and Accounting Guide for Investment Companies (the "Guide"). The Guide is effective for annual financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2000. It is not anticipated that the adoption of the Guide will have a significant effect on the financial statements.

Notes to FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) (continued)

2. FUND SHARES Transactions in Common shares were as follows:

	ARIZONA PREMIUM (NAZ)		MICHIGAN QUALIT
			SIX MONTHS ENDED 1/31/01
Common shares issued to shareholders due to reinvestment of distributions	9,951	23,803	
	MICHIGAN PR	EMIUM (NMP)	OHIO QUALITY
		YEAR ENDED 7/31/00	SIX MONTHS ENDED 1/31/01
Common shares issued to shareholders due to reinvestment of distributions		7,104	13,518
			TEXAS QUALITY
			SIX MONTHS ENDED 1/31/01
Common shares issued to shareholders due to reinvestment of distributions			

3. DISTRIBUTIONS TO COMMON SHAREHOLDERS The Funds declared Common share dividend distributions from their tax-exempt net investment income which were paid March 1, 2001, to shareholders of record on February 15, 2001, as follows:

ARIZONA MICHIGAN MICHIGAN OHIO TEXAS

	PREMIUM	QUALITY	PREMIUM	QUALITY	QUALITY
	(NAZ)	(NUM)	(NMP)	(NUO)	(NTX)
Dividend per share	\$.0680	\$.0735	\$.0675	\$.0750	\$.0715

4. SECURITIES TRANSACTIONS

Purchases and sales (including maturities) of investments in long-term municipal securities and short-term municipal securities during the six months ended January 31, 2001, were as follows:

	ARIZONA PREMIUM (NAZ)	MICHIGAN QUALITY (NUM)	MICHIGAN PREMIUM (NMP)	OHIO QUALITY (NUO)	
Purchases:					
Long-term municipal securities	\$13,918,232	\$21,801,421	\$10,954,884	\$9,774,001	\$15
Short-term municipal securities	7,850,000		500,000	6,000,000	7
Sales and maturities:					
Long-term municipal securities	14,347,138	24,610,675	10,847,583	9,450,700	17
Short-term municipal securities	7,850,000	2,000,000	500,000	4,000,000	7

At January 31, 2001, the identified cost of investments owned for federal income tax purposes were as follows:

ARIZONA	MICHIGAN	MICHIGAN	OHIO	TEXAS
PREMIUM	QUALITY	PREMIUM	QUALITY	QUALITY
(NAZ)	(NUM)	(NMP)	(NUO)	(NTX)
\$91,047,796	\$249,171,283	\$160,883,372	\$218,585,186	\$202,637,576

At July 31, 2000, the Funds' last fiscal year end, the following Funds had unused capital loss carryforwards available for federal income tax purposes to be applied against future capital gains, if any. If not applied, the carryforwards will expire as follows:

	ARIZONA PREMIUM (NAZ)	MICHIGAN PREMIUM (NMP)	OHIO QUALITY (NUO)	TEXAS QUALITY (NTX)
Expiration year:				
2002	\$	\$	\$ 780 , 558	\$
2003	261 , 670		16,493	
2004		1,571,409	622,243	
2005				
2006				
2007				
2008			279,929	419,606
Total	\$261,670	\$1,571,409	\$1,699,223	\$419,606

Notes to

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) (continued)

5. UNREALIZED APPRECIATION (DEPRECIATION)

Gross unrealized appreciation and gross unrealized depreciation of investments for federal income tax purposes at January 31, 2001, were as follows:

	ARIZONA PREMIUM (NAZ)	MICHIGAN QUALITY (NUM)	MICHIGAN PREMIUM (NMP)	OHIO QUALITY (NUO)	
Gross unrealized: appreciation depreciation			\$10,164,423 (1,753,642)		\$10 (4
Net unrealized appreciation	\$ 3,179,635	\$12,625,831	\$ 8,410,781	\$ 9,858,552	\$6

6. MANAGEMENT FEE AND OTHER TRANSACTIONS WITH AFFILIATES Under the Funds' investment management agreements with Nuveen Advisory Corp. (the "Adviser"), a wholly owned subsidiary of The John Nuveen Company, each Fund pays an annual management fee, payable monthly, at the rates set forth below, which are based upon the average daily net assets of each Fund as follows:

Average Daily Net Assets	Management Fee
For the first \$125 million	.6500 of 1%
For the next \$125 million	.6375 of 1
For the next \$250 million	.6250 of 1
For the next \$500 million	.6125 of 1
For the next \$1 billion	.6000 of 1
For net assets over \$2 billion	.5875 of 1

The fee compensates the Adviser for overall investment advisory and administrative services and general office facilities. The Funds pay no compensation directly to those of its Directors/Trustees who are affiliated with the Adviser or to their officers, all of whom receive remuneration for their services to the Funds from the Adviser.

7. COMPOSITION OF NET ASSETS At January 31, 2001, net assets consisted of:

	ARIZONA PREMIUM (NAZ)	MICHIGAN QUALITY (NUM)	
Preferred shares, \$25,000 stated value per share, at liquidation value	\$30,000,000	\$ 94,000,000	\$56
Common shares, \$.01 par value per share	43,796	115,140	
Paid-in surplus	60,730,947	160,749,491	107
Balance of undistributed net investment income Accumulated net realized gain (loss)	103,785	35,061	
from investment transactions	176,517	213,390	(1

Net unrealized appreciation of investments	3,223,386	12,802,751	8
Net assets	\$94,278,431	\$267,915,833	\$171
Authorized shares:			
Common	200,000,000	200,000,000	200
Preferred		1,000,000	1
		OHIO	
		QUALITY	
		(NUO)	
Preferred shares, \$25,000 stated value per share,			
at liquidation value		\$ 77,000,000	\$ 60
Common shares, \$.01 par value per share		94,899	
Paid-in surplus		143,355,979	
Balance of undistributed net investment income		7,262	
Accumulated net realized gain (loss) from investment transactions		(2,321,956)	
Net unrealized appreciation of investments		10,239,458	
Net assets		\$228,375,642	\$20
Authorized shares:			
Common		200,000,000	Ţ
Preferred		1,000,000	τ
	==============		-===

Financial HIGHLIGHTS (Unaudited) Selected data for a Common share outstanding throughout each period:

		Inv	estment Operation			
					Net	Ne
			Net		Investment	Investmen
			Realized/		Income to	Income t
	Beginning	Net	Unrealized		Common	
		Investment	Investment		Share-	
	Value	Income	Gain (Loss)	Total	holders	holder
ARIZONA PREMIUM (NAZ)						
Year Ended 7/31:						
2001(a)	\$14.25	\$.54	\$.44	\$.98	\$ (.41)	\$ (.1
2000	14.90	1.06	(.61)	.45	(.85)	(.2
1999	15.43	1.07	(.55)	.52	(.84)	(.2
1998	15.34	1.05	.10	1.15	(.83)	(.2
1997	14.51	1.06	.81	1.87	(.82)	(.2
1996	14.12	1.05	.38	1.43	(.80)	(.2
MICHIGAN QUALITY (NUM)						
Year Ended 7/31:						
2001(a)	11 51	.59	.60	1 1 9	(.44)	(1
2001 (a)	15.20	1.19	(.53)	.66		-
2000	13.20	1.19	(.00	(•92)	(

Edgar Filing: NUVE	EN OHIO QUALITY	INCOME MU	NICIPAL FUN	ND INC - Form N-	30D	
1999	15.91	1.15	(.63)	.52	(.92)	(.2
1998	15.95	1.17	(.01)	1.16	(.95)	(.2
1997	15.28	1.17 1.18	. / Z	1.90	(.95)	(.2
1996	15.10	1.19	.27	1.46	(.95)	(.2
MICHIGAN PREMIUM (NM	1P)					
Year Ended 7/31:						
2001(a)	14.24 14.68 15.30	.53	.73	1.26	(.41)	(.1
2000	14.68	1.07	(.41) (.64)	.66	(.83) (.82)	(.2
1999	15.30	1.05			(.82)	(.2
1998	15.14			1.23		
1997				2.02		
1996	13.73	1.05	.41	1.46	(.78)	(.2
OHIO QUALITY (NUO)						
Year Ended 7/31:						
2001(a)				1.04		
2000	16.13	1.21	(.56)	.65	(.97)	(.2
1999				.70		(.2
1998	16.57	1.22	.09	1.31	(.97)	(.2
1997	15.69	1.23 1.23	.88	2.11	(.96)	(.2
1996	15.33	1.23	.35	1.58	(.95)	(.2
TEXAS QUALITY (NTX)						
Year Ended 7/31:						
2001(a)	14.26	.57	.61	1.18	(.44)	(.1
2000	15.13	1.16 1.16 1.17	(.74)	.42	(.91)	(.2
1999	15.90	1.16	(.72)	.44	(.90)	(.2
1998						(.2
1997	15.06	1.19	.81	2.00	(.94)	(.2
1996 ========	14.91	1.21	.21	1.42	(.95)	(.2
					_	
					I 	otal Re
	Offering					
	Costs and					
	Preferred	End	-	D • 1' •	Based	
	Share]	Net	Ending	on	

	Preferred	Ending		Based
	Share	Net	Ending	on
	Underwriting	Asset	Market	Market
	Discounts	Value	Value	Value**
ARIZONA PREMIUM (NAZ)			
Year Ended 7/31:				
ieal Ended //SI:				
2001(a)	\$	\$14.68	\$15.7400	10.65%
	\$ 	\$14.68 14.25	\$15.7400 14.6250	10.65% (8.80)
2001(a)	Ŧ		•	