

ENOVA SYSTEMS INC
Form 10-K
March 26, 2008

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549
Form 10-K**

- þ ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007**
- Or**
- o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the transition period from to**

Commission file no. 1-33001

ENOVA SYSTEMS, INC.
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

California
*(State or Other Jurisdiction of
Incorporation or Organization)*

95-3056150
*(I.R.S. Employer
Identification Number)*

1560 West 190th Street, Torrance, California 90501
(Address of principal executive offices, including zip code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code:
(310) 527-2800

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class	Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered
Common Stock, no par value	The American Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

None.

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act: Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act: Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of "accelerated filer and large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act.) Yes No

As of June 29, 2007, the approximate aggregate market value of common stock held by non-affiliates of the Registrant was \$69,959,000 (based upon the closing price for shares of the Registrant's common stock as reported by The American Stock Exchange). As of March 4, 2008, there were approximately 17,156,000 shares of common stock, no par value, outstanding.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the registrant's definitive proxy statement for the 2008 Annual Meeting of Stockholders is incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K to the extent stated herein.

ENOVA SYSTEMS, INC.

2007 FORM 10-K ANNUAL REPORT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I

<u>Item 1.</u>	<u>BUSINESS</u>	2
<u>Item 1A.</u>	<u>RISK FACTORS</u>	14
<u>Item 1B.</u>	<u>UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS</u>	17
<u>Item 2.</u>	<u>PROPERTIES</u>	17
<u>Item 3.</u>	<u>LEGAL PROCEEDINGS</u>	17
<u>Item 4.</u>	<u>SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS</u>	17

PART II

<u>Item 5.</u>	<u>MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES</u>	17
<u>Item 6.</u>	<u>SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA</u>	19
<u>Item 7.</u>	<u>MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS</u>	20
<u>Item 7A.</u>	<u>QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK</u>	28
<u>Item 8.</u>	<u>FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA</u>	29
<u>Item 9.</u>	<u>CHANGES AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE</u>	54
<u>Item 9A.</u>	<u>CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES</u>	55
<u>Item 9B.</u>	<u>OTHER INFORMATION</u>	56

PART III

<u>Item 10.</u>	<u>DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE</u>	56
<u>Item 11.</u>	<u>EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u>	56
<u>Item 12.</u>	<u>SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS</u>	56
<u>Item 13.</u>	<u>CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE</u>	57
<u>Item 14.</u>	<u>PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES</u>	57

PART IV

<u>Item 15.</u>	<u>EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES</u>	57
	<u>EXHIBIT 10.3</u>	
	<u>EXHIBIT 23.1</u>	
	<u>EXHIBIT 31.1</u>	
	<u>EXHIBIT 31.2</u>	
	<u>EXHIBIT 32</u>	

Table of Contents

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

General

In July 2000, we changed our name to Enova Systems, Inc. Our company, previously known as U.S. Electricar, Inc., a California corporation, was incorporated on July 30, 1976.

Enova believes it is a leader in the development and production of proprietary, commercial digital power management systems for transportation vehicles and stationary power generation systems. Power management systems control and monitor electric power in an automotive or commercial application such as an automobile or a stand-alone power generator. Drive systems are comprised of an electric motor, an electronics control unit and a gear unit which power an electric vehicle. Hybrid systems, which are similar to pure electric drive systems, contain an internal combustion engine in addition to the electric motor, eliminating external recharging of the battery system. A hydrogen fuel cell based system is similar to a hybrid system, except that instead of an internal combustion engine, a fuel cell is utilized as the power source. A fuel cell is a system which combines hydrogen and oxygen in a chemical process to produce electricity. Stationary power systems utilize similar components to those which are in a mobile drive system in addition to other elements. These stationary systems are effective as power-assist or back-up systems, alternative power, for residential, commercial and industrial applications.

A fundamental element of Enova's strategy is to develop and produce advanced proprietary software, firmware and hardware for applications in these alternative power markets. Our focus is digital power conversion, power management, and system integration, for two broad market applications—vehicle power generation and stationary power generation.

Specifically, we develop, design and produce drive systems and related components for electric, hybrid-electric, fuel cell and microturbine-powered vehicles. We also develop, design and produce power management and power conversion components for stationary distributed power generation systems. These stationary applications can employ hydrogen fuel cells, microturbines, or advanced batteries for power storage and generation. Additionally, we perform research and development to augment and support others' and our own related product development efforts.

Our product development strategy is to design and introduce to market successively advanced products, each based on our core technical competencies. In each of our product/market segments, we provide products and services to leverage our core competencies in digital power management, power conversion and system integration. We believe that the underlying technical requirements shared among the market segments will allow us to more quickly transition from one emerging market to the next, with the goal of capturing early market share.

Enova's primary market focus centers on both series and parallel heavy-duty drive systems for multiple vehicle and marine applications. A series hybrid system is one where only the electric motor connects to the drive shaft; a parallel hybrid system is one where both the internal combustion engine and the electric motor are connected to the drive shaft. We believe series-hybrid and parallel hybrid medium and heavy-duty drive system sales offer Enova the greatest return on investment in both the short and long term. We believe the medium and heavy-duty hybrid market's best chances of significant growth lie in identifying and pooling the largest possible numbers of early adopters in high-volume applications. We will attempt to utilize our competitive advantages, including customer alliances, to gain greater market share. By aligning ourselves with key customers in our target market(s), we believe that the alliance will result in the latest technology being implemented and customer requirements being met, with a minimal level of

additional time or expense. Additionally, our management believes that this area will see significant growth over the next several years. As we penetrate more market areas, we are continually refining and optimizing both our market strategy and our product line to maintain our leading edge in power management and conversion systems for mobile applications.

Our website, www.enovsystems.com, contains up-to-date information on our company, our products, programs and current events. We are implementing an aggressive strategy to utilize our website and the internet as a prime focal point for current and prospective customers, investors and other affiliated parties seeking data on our business.

Table of Contents

As part of our continuing strategic relationship with International Truck and Engine Corp (IC Corp), we executed an agreement to produce the nations first hybrid school busses. IC Corp is the nation s largest school bus manufacturer, claiming over 60% of the Domestic Build. In addition to School Buses, IC Corp is teaming with Enova to supply hybrid buses to the Commercial Bus Market.

Enova believes that our business outlook is improving. Greater recognition and strong engineering have allowed us to make several key strides towards sustainable revenue. In conjunction with this expected outlook, we have recently made several key management changes in 2007:

In January 2007, Corinne Bertrand resigned as Chief Financial Officer. In her place, Jarett Fenton was appointed as Chief Financial Officer.

In February 2007, John Dexter retired from his position as Director of Operations. In his place, Bill Frederiksen was appointed as Executive Director of Operations.

In June 2007, Edwin Riddell retired from his position as Chief Executive Officer. In his place, Mike Staran was appointed as Chief Executive Officer.

We continue to receive greater recognition from both governmental and private industry with regards to both commercial and military application of our hybrid drive systems and fuel cell power management technologies. Although we believe that current negotiations with several parties may result in development and production contracts during 2007 and beyond, there are no assurances that such additional agreements will be realized.

During 2007, we continued to develop and produce electric and hybrid electric drive systems and components for First Auto Works of China, International Truck and Engine (IC Corp), Ford Motor Company (Ford), Hyundai Motor Car, US Military, Wright Bus of the United Kingdom, and Th!nk well as several other domestic and international vehicle and bus manufacturers. We also were successful in introducing our technology to companies such as Concurrent Technology Corporation (CTC), PUES (Tokyo Research and Development), Verizon, Volvo/Mack, Tanfield/Smith Electric Vehicles and Navistar (International Truck and Engine, IC Corporation). The continued relationships, in addition to our newest customers helped Enova easily surpass, since our inception, the manufacturing of its 1284th system. Our various electric and hybrid-electric drive systems, power management and power conversion systems are being used in applications including several light, medium and heavy duty trucks, train locomotives, transit buses and industrial vehicles.

For the year ended December 31, 2007, the following customers each accounted for more than ten percent (10%) of our total revenues:

Customer	Percent
Tanfield Engineering Systems Limited	52%
International Truck and Engine Corporation	15%

HybridPowertm Electric and Hybrid-Electric Drive Systems

Environmental Initiatives and Legislation

Because vehicles powered by internal combustion engines cause pollution, there has been significant public pressure in Europe and Asia, and enacted or pending legislation in the United States at the federal level and in certain states, to

promote or mandate the use of vehicles with no tailpipe emissions (zero emission vehicles) or reduced tailpipe emissions (low emission vehicles). We believe legislation requiring or promoting zero or low emission vehicles is necessary to create a significant market for electric vehicles. The California Air Resources Board (CARB) is continually modifying its limits for low emission vehicles. Recently, CARB proposed additional amendments to the regulations. Furthermore, several car manufacturers have challenged these mandates in court and have obtained injunctions to delay these mandates. There can be no assurance that further legislation will be enacted or that current legislation or state mandates will not be repealed or amended, or that a different form of zero emission or low emission vehicle will not be invented, developed and produced, and achieve greater market acceptance than electric vehicles. Extensions, modifications or reductions of current federal and state legislation, mandates and potential tax incentives could adversely affect our business prospects if implemented.

Table of Contents

Our products are subject to federal, state, local and foreign laws and regulations, governing, among other things, emissions as well as laws relating to occupational health and safety. Regulatory agencies may impose special requirements for implementation and operation of our products or may significantly impact or even eliminate some of our target markets. We may incur material costs or liabilities in complying with government regulations. In addition, potentially significant expenditures could be required in order to comply with evolving environmental and health and safety laws, regulations and requirements that may be adopted or imposed in the future.

Strategic Alliances, Partnering and Technology Developments

Our continuing strategy is to adapt ourselves to the ever-changing environment of alternative power markets for both stationary and mobile applications. Originally focusing on pure electric drive systems, we believe we are now positioned as a global supplier of drive systems for electric, hybrid and fuel cell applications. We are now entering stationary power markets with its power management systems and intend to develop other systems to monitor and control the complex fuel cell and ancillary device systems being developed for distributed generation and mobile applications.

We continue to seek and establish alliances with major players in the automotive, stationary power and fuel cell fields. 2007 allowed Enova to further its penetration into the European and Asian markets, as well as allow them to begin relationships with significant North American companies. We believe the medium and heavy-duty hybrid market's best chances of significant growth lie in identifying and pooling the largest possible numbers of early adopters in high-volume applications. We will utilize our competitive advantages, including customer alliances, to gain greater market share. By aligning ourselves with key customers in our target market(s), we believe that the alliance will result in the latest technology being implemented and customer requirements being met, with a minimal level of additional time or expense.

Some recent highlights of our accomplishments are:

Enova increased its personnel by nearly 50% during 2007. The increase was due to direct strengthening of Enova's Supply Chain Management, Quality, Software and Engineering Departments.

International Truck and Engine (IC Corp), the nation's largest School Bus manufacturer, has partnered with Enova to supply the nation's 1st production Hybrid School Buses. IC Corp currently maintains 60% of the School Bus market. In addition to School Buses, IC Corp is teaming with Enova, as early adopters, to supply production hybrid buses to the Commercial Bus Market. Enova and IC recently broadened their penetration into Canada and Mexico. There are no assurances, however that any purchase orders will be realized.

WrightBus, the largest low-floor and Double Deck bus manufacturer in the United Kingdom, has taken delivery of our series hybrid diesel genset and integrated them into its medium and large bus applications. Six of these systems are now running seven days a week 18 hours a day in London. We continue to work with WrightBus on projects related to Enova's hybrid drive systems. There are no assurances, however that any purchase orders will be realized.

Tanfield, the World's largest electric vehicle manufacturer, has signed an arrangement with Enova where Enova will supply electric drive systems for Tanfield's 3.5, 7.5 and 12 ton vehicles. Enova expects to supply Tanfield units during 2008 and throughout 2009. There are no assurances, however that any purchase orders will be realized.

First Auto Works, China's largest vehicle manufacturer has partnered with Enova whereas Enova will supply its pre transmission hybrid system for bus applications. Enova has recently been awarded an order for 20 systems.

There are no assurances, however that any future purchase orders will be realized.

Th!nk and Electric Vehicles OEMs have both utilized Enova as a supplier of primary components/systems for their vehicles.

Verizon has recently taken, in total, receipt of fifteen (15) service vans incorporating Enova's technology. Verizon is the nation's second largest fleet operator with 58,000 vehicles. In addition to Verizon, Enova has begun development work with other large fleet operators in both the service van and pick up and delivery sectors. There are no assurances, however that any purchase orders will be realized.

Table of Contents

The Company integrated and delivered two (2) service vehicles with Enova's unique Post-Transmission Parallel Hybrid Drive System to Cox Communications. The hybrid vehicles are a GM 1500 Silverado Pickup and a Ford E250 Cargo Van. This development illustrates Enova's strategic focus to further penetrate the fleet market.

In 2008, the Company was chosen to power four (4) Optare Solo Buses, integrated by UK-based Traction Technology Plc. The Buses will incorporate Enova's 120kW Series Hybrid drive system. There are no assurances, however that any future purchase orders will be realized.

We also received recognition from both governmental and private industry with regards to U.S. military applications of our hybrid drive systems and fuel cell power management technologies. Through 2007 we refined development on several new power management and drive systems such as our High Voltage version of our 120kW and 240 kW drive system, Dual 8kW inverter, 380V DC/DC converter, Mobile Fuel Cell Generator, a multi-functional processor, as well as upgrades to our Battery Care Management system, Fuel Cell Management system and our High Voltage Power Converter. We continued to develop and produce electric and hybrid electric drive systems and components for Ford Motor Company (Ford), the City of Honolulu and several domestic and international vehicle and bus manufacturers in China, Italy, the United Kingdom, Malaysia and Japan. Our various electric and hybrid-electric drive systems, power management and power conversion systems are being used in applications including Class 8 trucks, monorail systems, transit buses and industrial vehicles. We have furthered our development and production of systems for both mobile and stationary fuel cell powered systems with major companies such as Ford, Chevron, Texaco, and UTC Fuel Cells, a division of United Technologies. We also are continuing our current research and development programs with Mack/Volvo, EDO Corporation, the U.S. Air Force and the U.S. Navy, as well as developing new programs with Hyundai Motor Company (HMC), the U.S. government and other private sector companies for hybrid and fuel cell systems.

Research and development programs included our advanced power management systems for fuel cells, our diesel generation engine/motor system for our heavy-duty drive systems, a dual 8kW inverter, and upgrades and improvements to our current power conversion and management components. Additionally, we continue to optimize our technologies to be more universally adaptable to the requirements of our current and prospective customers. By modifying our software and firmware, we believe we should be able to provide a more comprehensive, adaptive and effective solution to a larger base of customers and applications. We will continue to research and develop new technologies and products, both internally and in conjunction with our alliance partners and other manufacturers as we deem beneficial to our global growth strategy.

Products

Enova's HybridPower hybrid electric drive system provides all the functionality one would find under the hood of an internal combustion engine powered vehicle. The HybridPower system consists of an enhanced electric motor and the electronic controls that regulate the flow of electricity to and from the batteries at various voltages and power to propel the vehicle. In addition to the motor and controller, the system includes a gear reduction/differential unit which ensures the desired propulsion and performance. The system is designed to be installed as a drop in, fully integrated turnkey fashion, or on a modular, as-needed basis. Regardless of power source (battery, fuel cell, diesel generator or turbine) the HybridPower electric motor is designed to meet the customer's drive cycle requirements.

Our family of medium-duty drive systems includes:

30kW, 60kW, 90kW all-electric drives

15/60kW hybrid drive

25/80kW hybrid drive

40/80kW hybrid drive

90kW hybrid drive

combinations of these systems based on customer requirements.

Table of Contents

Our family of heavy-duty electric drive systems includes:

120kW all-electric drive

120/60kW hybrid drive

240/60kW hybrid drive

90kW hybrid drive

100kW hybrid drive

100kW hybrid drive

Our drive systems, in conjunction with, internal combustion engines, microturbines, fuel cells, flywheels, and generators sets provide state of the art hybrid-electric propulsion systems.

Hybrid vehicles are those that utilize an electric motor and batteries in conjunction with an internal combustion engine (ICE), whether piston or turbine. With a hybrid system, a small piston or turbine engine fueled by gasoline or diesel, CNG, methane, etc., in a tank supplements the electric motor and battery. These systems are self-charging, in that the operating ICE recharges the battery.

There are two types of hybrid systems: series and parallel. A series hybrid system is one where only the electric motor connects to the drive shaft; a parallel hybrid system is one where both the internal combustion engine and the electric motor are connected to the drive shaft. In a series hybrid system, the ICE turns the generator, which charges the battery, which through a control unit powers the electric motor, which turns the wheels. In a parallel hybrid system, both the electric motor and the ICE can operate simultaneously to drive the wheels. (See diagrams below.) In both hybrid systems and in pure electric systems, regenerative braking occurs which assists in the charging of the batteries.

The parallel hybrid system is ideally suited for conditions where most of the driving is done at constant speed cruising, with a smaller amount of the driving involving random acceleration, such as up hill or with stop and go conditions. For acceleration, the controller causes the electric motor to kick in to assist the ICE, both running simultaneously. When speed is steady or the ground is flat, only the ICE runs. Additionally, when the batteries are low, the controller causes the ICE and motor to charge the batteries. As a result, the series hybrid system is best suited for starts and stops, and is ideal for applications such as urban transit buses and urban garbage trucks. The design of the series hybrid system is based on a driving cycle with a high percentage of random acceleration conditions.

Hybrid Drive Configurations

Enova has identified three primary configurations based upon how well they meet market needs economic requirements. We have developed all of the relevant technology required to produce these drive systems and is currently introducing the Hybrid Power product line worldwide. All of our innovative hybrid drive systems are compatible with wide range of fuel sources and engine configurations.

Series Hybrid with Diesel Generator

Table of Contents

The Series Hybrid is typically ideal for low floor vehicles with a driving cycle that has a high percentage of stop and go and/or hilly terrain. Refuse trucks, urban delivery trucks and intra-city buses are the primary target markets for these drive systems. Our clients for this application include WrightBus of the U.K., MTrans of Malaysia and Tomoedenki of Japan

Post Transmission Parallel Hybrid

The Post Transmission Parallel Hybrid is ideal for vehicles with a driving cycle with a high percentage of stop and go, as well as constant speed cruising. Target markets include refuse trucks, urban delivery trucks, School Buses and intra-city buses also. Our current and potential clients for this application include Navistar, Verizon, Mack Truck, Volvo and Waste Management.

Pre Transmission Parallel Hybrid

The Pre-Transmission Parallel Hybrid is ideal for vehicles with a driving cycle having a small percentage of constant speed cruising and a large percentage of stop and go cruising. Target markets include inter-city transit buses and trucks as well as military vehicles. Our current and potential clients for this application include Volvo Truck, Cummins, Caterpillar and First Auto Works of China as well as other drive system and vehicle manufacturers for these types of driving cycles.

Definitions:

BCU Battery Care Unit; HCU Hybrid Control Unit; SDU Safety Disconnect Unit; VCU Vehicle Control Unit

CEU Control Electronics Unit (Houses MCU, DC-DC, and Charger); MCU Motor Control Unit;

EDM Electric Drive Motor; EDU Electric Drive Unit (Includes EDM & GDU); GDU Gear Drive Unit

GCU Generator Control Unit; EGM Electric Generator Motor; ICE Internal Combustion Engine

Table of Contents

Hybrid Drive Motors

The electric drive unit is essentially an electric motor with additional features and functionality. The motor is liquid-cooled, environmentally sealed, designed to handle automotive shock and vibration, and includes parking pawl, which stops the vehicle when the driver parks the car. It also permits regenerative braking to provide power recovery, in which the mechanical energy of momentum is converted into electrical energy as the motor slows during braking or deceleration. The optional gear reduction unit takes the electric motor's high rpm and gears it down to the lower rpm required by the vehicle's conventional drive shaft. As the revolutions per minute (rpm) go down, the torque of the electric motor increases.

The HybridPower drive systems exclusively utilize induction AC motors for their high performance, power density, robustness and low cost. The AC drive system is scaleable and can be customized for different applications. Due to the large operating range that these propulsion systems offer, all parameters can be optimized; the user will not have to choose between acceleration, torque or vehicle speed.

Hybrid Motor Controllers

The controller houses all the components necessary to control the powering of a vehicle, in one easy-to-install package. Our main component is an inverter, which converts DC electricity to AC electricity. We also offers optional controllers for the air conditioning, power steering and heat pumps, 12VDC/24VDC DC-to-DC converter for vehicle auxiliary loads such as cell phones, radio, lights, and a 6.6kW AC-to-DC on-board conductive charger which allows for direct 110 VAC or 220 VAC battery charging. These are located in the same housing as the controller, thus extra interconnects are not required. This approach simplifies the vehicle wiring harness and increases system reliability.

Using our proprietary Windows based software package, vehicle interfaces and control parameters can be programmed in-vehicle. Real-time vehicle performance parameters can be monitored and collected.

Hybrid Drive Systems

The Enova hybrid drive family currently includes a 120/60kW peak series hybrid system, a 240/60kW peak series hybrid system, a 90kW peak mild, pre-transmission parallel hybrid system, a 100kW peak post-transmission parallel hybrid systems and our 100kW peak pre-transmission parallel hybrid system to be introduced later this year.

The Enova HybridPower hybrid-electric drive systems are based on the component building blocks of the electric drive family, including the motor, controller and optional components. As an example, the 120/60 kW series hybrid system uses the 120kW electric drive components to propel the vehicle, and uses a 60kW diesel generator (genset) to generate power while the vehicle is in operation. This synergy of design reduces the development cost of our hybrid systems by taking advantage of existing designs. The diesel genset has been designed to take advantage of many different models of internal combustion engines for greater penetration into the burgeoning heavy-duty hybrid vehicle markets. Enova's genset will accept any engine with an industry standard bell housing and flywheel. Enova's control protocols are designed to easily interface with any standard engine controller with analog throttle inputs. Accessories for these drives include battery management, chargers and 12-volt power supplies, as for the electric drive family.

Our hybrid systems are designed to work with a variety of hybrid power generation technologies. In our 120/60kW hybrid system, an internal combustion engine connected to a motor and motor controller performs the power generation. Other power options include liquid fueled turbines, such as the Capstone system, fuel cells, such as the Hydrogenics or Ballard system, or many others. In all of these examples, Enova's battery management system provides the power management to allow for proper power control.

Drive System Accessories

Enova's drive system accessories range from battery management systems to hybrid controllers, to rapid charging systems. These critical components are designed to complement the HybridPower drive system family by providing the elements necessary to create a complete technical solution for alternative energy drive systems.

Table of Contents

Enova's drive system accessories are not only integral, but also are the perfect complement to our drive systems and are designed to provide our customers with a Complete Solution to their drive system needs.

Battery Care Unit

Enova's Battery Care Unit (BCU) monitors, manages, protects, and reports on the condition of the vehicle's battery pack. It controls and manages battery performance, temperature, voltage and current to avoid harm to the batteries, to the entire system, and to the driver, operator and passengers. It also allows for monitoring for service to the battery and drive system. The BCU reports state-of-charge, amp hours and kilowatt-hours.

The BCU monitors the battery pack voltage and 28 additional individual voltages with a range of 0 to 18vDC. Optional expansion modules allow 28 additional inputs per module, with up to 16 modules permitted. The BCU has eight user-programmable outputs and four user-programmable inputs to allow full integration into the vehicle. These can be used to customize input and output parameters, and to provide for other custom monitoring and battery pack control. The device is approximately 7.1 inches by 4.3 inches by 1.6 inches.

The BCU directly interfaces with the HybridPower and other drive systems, and controls the Safety Disconnect Unit (SDU). It is capable of supporting any battery technology, and provides each type with optimized charging and protection algorithms. An internal real-time clock allows the BCU to wake up at user-specified times to initiate battery charging or pack monitoring. A precision shunt allows it to offer a wide dynamic range for monitoring charging and motoring current, without the errors commonly associated with other types of sensors.

The non-volatile RAM allows the BCU to update, store and report key battery pack parameters such as amp hours, kilowatt-hours and state of charge. Using Enova's proprietary Windows -based diagnostic software, the BCU control parameters can be programmed live in-vehicle. Additionally, battery performance can be monitored in real-time. Reports can be output to a laptop computer for precise results and customer friendly usage.

Hybrid Control Unit

Enova has reconfigured its Battery Care Unit to perform the critical role of hybrid controller. The Hybrid Control Unit (HCU) continuously monitors the condition of the battery pack through communications with the BCU, monitors the driver commands through communications with the motor controller, and the state of the hybrid generator. Based upon the data received, the HCU provides continuous updates to the hybrid generator with instructions on mode of operation and power level. This innovative control loop ensures that the entire system is optimized to provide quick response to driver commands while providing the best possible system efficiency.

Safety Disconnect Unit

The Safety Disconnect Unit (SDU) is under the control of the BCU, and allows vehicle systems to gracefully connect and disconnect from the battery pack, when necessary, to prevent damage or harm. It also protects the battery pack during charging, protects it from surges, and constantly verifies that the battery pack is isolated from the vehicle chassis. In the event a ground isolation fault is detected, the BCU commands the SDU to break the battery connection thus ensuring a safe environment for the vehicle and operator. The SDU is available in two configurations to match the requirements of the drive systems.

High Voltage Disconnect Unit

The High Voltage Disconnect Unit (HVDU) is a reduced feature version of the Safety Disconnect Unit. The pre-charge board has been eliminated in order to provide a lower cost method of safely switching high voltage

systems on the vehicle that do not require the soft start feature.

Wiring Harness Connector Kits

We provide complete mating connector kits to help the vehicle OEM with their production process. By using the Enova supplied kit the vehicle manufacturer is ensuring that they will have all of the necessary connectors to complete the vehicle build.

Table of Contents

Distributed Power Generation for Industrial/Commercial/Residential Applications

Enova's distributed generation products are virtually identical in system configuration to that of a series hybrid vehicle, including a controller and battery management. For this market segment, we intend to provide DC-DC and DC-AC power conversion components to convert power supplied by batteries, fuel cells, generators and turbines to AC power that will be used by the end customer. Additionally, our BCU will provide power management functions to control the entire system. The main difference is that the 3-phase AC power typically supplied to the motor for propulsion power is, in this case, sent to the customer to supply power for their household or business.

20kW bi-directional Fuel Cell Power Conditioning System

Enova's 20kW bi-directional Fuel Cell Power Conditioning System, originally designed to meet the demands of an automotive Fuel Cell propulsion system, is now being applied to the stationary market for distributed generation applications.

This unique unit, not much larger than a conventional briefcase, provides a transparent interface between the Fuel Cell or Turbine, the battery pack, accessory loads, and the output load. Fast response time allows the output load to be serviced without interruption while the Fuel Cell or Turbine ramps up.

This unit is designed to interface directly with the Master Controller of the Stationary Generation System over a CAN bus. Other communications protocols supported are SAE J-1850, RS-232, and RS-485. Shown below is the unit under test, including the RS-232 based diagnostic software package. This proprietary package allows all key parameters of the Power Conditioner to be monitored and control boundaries to be adjusted.

Fuel Cell Management Unit

Enova has reconfigured its Battery Management Unit to perform the functions required to monitor, manage, and report on the status of a Fuel Cell Stack. The FCU monitors the fuel cell voltage and 28 additional individual voltages with a range of 0 to 18vDC. Optional expansion modules allow 28 additional inputs per module, with up to 16 modules permitted. The FCU has eight (8) user-programmable outputs and four (4) user-programmable inputs to allow full integration into the distributed generation system. These can be used to customize input and output parameters, and to provide for other custom monitoring and battery pack control. The device is approximately 7.1 inches by 4.3 inches by 1.6 inches.

Research and Development Strategy

Enova maintains a strategy of continual enhancement of its current product line and development of more efficient and reliable products for the ever-changing alternative energy sectors. Management believes R&D must be continued in order to remain competitive, minimize production cost and meet our customers' specifications. Because microprocessors and other components continue to advance in speed, miniaturization and reduction of cost, we must re-examine its designs to take advantage of such developments. Enova endeavors to fund its R&D through customer contracts where applicable. We will, however provide internal funding where technology developed is critical to our future.

Manufacturing Strategy

We have developed a multi-tiered manufacturing strategy that allows us to meet the market's demand for high quality production goods while optimizing cost of goods sold across the spectrum of low to high volumes. At the core of this strategy is a strong reliance on pre-selected highly qualified outside manufacturing houses that specialize in various

aspects of the manufacturing process. It is through this closely managed outsourcing strategy that Enova is able to achieve substantive gross margins while minimizing fixed costs within the organization.

All tiers of manufacturing of electronic components begin with a complete engineering design package that includes a drawing tree, bill of material, electrical and mechanical drawings, and control software where appropriate. The control software and the design package are internally reviewed, validated, and released through our configuration management process.

Table of Contents

For prototyping, electronic files for manufacturing circuit cards are generated and sent to pre-qualified circuit card manufactures. The vendors selected for this phase of manufacturing are specialists in low volume. They are able to provide quantities as small as a single square meter of circuit card. The completed circuit cards are inspected and populated by in our own prototype and low volume manufacturing facility. From circuit cards and other components sub assemblies are created and tested. Finally, a complete unit is assembled and tested.

For low volume manufacturing, where volumes are less than 10 to 20 units, the process is similar to that for prototyping. In this case however, the manufacturing of the entire circuit card is performed by an outside vendor. The circuit vendors selected for this phase are specialists in low volume circuit card manufacturing, automated component population, and testing. Upon receipt, the completed circuit cards are inspected and, together with other components, sub assemblies are created and tested. Finally, a complete unit is assembled and tested.

For higher volume manufacturing Enova has established strategic alliances with ISO certified manufacturers that can take on all aspects of the process from component sourcing, to circuit card assembly, to component assembly, to final unit assembly and test. These completed components and units are shipped to our facility to where complete drive systems that meet the customer's unique requirements are packaged and shipped. In order to make this process as smooth as possible, Enova conducts a training session with the contract manufacturer here at our facility that covers the new product, the assembly and test instructions, as well as the design package.

As our market continues to grow and individual customers begin to order higher quantities of fixed drive system configurations, we intend to transition to a system where the final assembly is drop shipped directly to the end customer. This critical concept has already been discussed with our strategic manufacturing partners and they are prepared to execute this change upon our request.

Our manufacturing strategy for mechanical components is somewhat more straightforward due to the nature of the final assemblies. ISO-900X certified contract manufacturers are in place that assemble and test motors to our specification. These motors are shipped to our facility where they are mated with the appropriate gear reduction unit. For low volume manufacturing where the annual volume is less than 50 - 75 units, the gear units are assembled and tested in our prototype and low volume manufacturing facility. Completed motor/gear assemblies are tested at our facility and shipped out to the end customer as part of a complete drive system.

For higher volume manufacturing we intend to transition the entire process of motor and gear assembly and test to a qualified contract manufacturer. Two strategic manufacturing partners have been identified and are prepared to ramp up at our request.

Competitive Conditions

Competition within the mobile and stationary hybrid power sector is still somewhat fragmented, although there are indications of some consolidation at this time. The market is still divided into very large players such as Allison, Siemens, BAE and Eaton; or smaller competitors such as ISE Research, Azure Dynamics/Solectria; PEI, Unique Mobility and others. The larger companies tend to still focus on single solutions but maintain the capital and wherewithal to aggressively market such. The smaller competitors offer a more diversified product line, but do not have the market presence to generate significant penetration at this juncture.

Our research and experience has indicated that our target market segments certainly focus on price, but would buy based on reliability, performance and quality support when presented the life-cycle business model for hybrid technologies for their application.

The competition to develop and market electric, hybrid and fuel cell powered vehicles has increased during the last year and we expect this trend to continue. The competition consists of development stage companies as well as major U.S. and international companies. Our future prospects are highly dependent upon the successful development and introduction of new products that are responsive to market needs and can be manufactured and sold at a profit. There can be no assurance that we will be able to successfully develop or market any such products.

The development of hybrid-electric and alternative fuel vehicles, such as compressed natural gas, fuel cells and hybrid cars poses a competitive threat to our markets for low emission vehicles or LEVs but not in markets where

Table of Contents

government mandates call for zero emission vehicles or ZEVs. Enova is involved in the development of hybrid vehicles and fuel cell systems in order to meet future requirements and applications.

Various providers of electric vehicles have proposed products or offer products for sale in this emerging market. These products encompass a wide variety of technologies aimed at both consumer and commercial markets. The critical role of technology in this market is demonstrated through several product offerings. As the industry matures, key technologies and capabilities are expected to play critical competitive roles. Our goal is to position ourselves as a long term competitor in this industry by focusing on electric, hybrid and fuel cell powered drive systems and related sub systems, component integration, technology application and strategic alliances. The addition of new strategies to penetrate stationary power markets with current technologies will assist in creating a more diversified product mix. We believe that this strategy will enhance our position as a power management and conversion components supplier to both the mobile and stationary power markets.

In the near term and beyond, we believe that governments will require manufacturers of engines to lower their products emissions substantially. The emerging technology in Hybrid Electric drive-trains can bring down emissions, while at the same time saving on fuel costs.

We believe the Hybrid Vehicle market is poised for substantial growth and that Enova Systems products are ready to participate in this market. Enova is positioning itself capitalize on demands being placed on the market by offering solutions. Enova believes that our competitive advantages include:

- Providing a full product line of power management, power conversion, and system integration

- Providing products that allow the hardware to be software programmable and configurable

- Offering a product line designed for the most advanced new fuel systems: electric, hybrid, fuel cell, microturbine powered vehicles, and battery, fuel cell, microturbine stationary power applications

- Providing fully integrated, drop-in energy management and conversion system in one box

- Providing scaleable modules

- Offering systems with reduced footprint and weight, high functionality and low cost characteristics essential for all market applications due to our aerospace engineering experience

- Meeting changing and sophisticated requirements of emerging alternative power markets and applications

- Positioning ourselves as a strategic ally with our global customer base, manufacturers and our R&D partners. By building a business based on long-standing relationships with satisfied clients such as International Truck and Engine, First Auto Works, Wright Group, Tanfield, and Hyundai, we simultaneously build defenses against competition. Teaming with recognized global manufacturers allows Enova to avoid utilizing resources for manufacturing infrastructure as well as exploit Hyundai's years of engineering expertise at relatively low costs.

Research and Development

Enova believes that timely development and introduction of new technology and products are essential to maintaining a competitive advantage. We are currently focusing our development efforts primarily in the following areas:

Power Control and Drive Systems and related technologies for vehicle applications;

Stationary Power Management and Conversion and related technologies;

Heavy Duty Drive System development for Buses; Trucks, Industrial, Military and Marine applications;

Fuel Cell Generation system power management and process control;

Systems Integration of these technologies;

Technical and product development under DOE/DOT/DOD and Hyundai Group Contracts; and

Table of Contents

OEM Technical and Product development.

For the years ended December 31, 2007, and 2006, we spent \$1,159,000, and \$1,363,000, respectively, on internal research and development activities. Enova is continually evaluating and updating the technology and equipment used in developing each of its products. The power management and conversion industry utilizes rapidly changing technology and we will endeavor to modernize our current products as well as continue to develop new leading edge technologies to maintain our competitive edge in the market.

Intellectual Property

Enova currently holds four U.S. patents and has one patent pending, relating to power management and control, with an additional patent relating to crash management safety, which was originally issued in 1997. We also have trademarks or service marks in the United States and have been filing for international patents as well. We continually review and append our protection of proprietary technology. We continue to place emphasis on the development and acquisition of patentable technology. A majority of our intellectual property is contained within our software which we believe is best protected under trade secret intellectual property law. Under such provisions, Enova does not have to publish its proprietary code in order to maintain protection.

We maintain an internal review and compensation process to encourage our employees to create new patentable technologies. The status of patents involves complex legal and factual questions, and the breadth of claims allowed is uncertain. Accordingly, there can be no assurance that patent applications filed by us will result in patents being issued. Moreover, there can be no assurance that third parties will not assert claims against us with respect to existing and future products. Although we intend to vigorously protect our rights, there can be no assurance that these measures will be successful. In the event of litigation to determine the validity of any third party claims, such litigation could result in significant expense to Enova. Additionally, the laws of certain countries in which our products are or may be developed, manufactured or sold may not protect our products and intellectual property rights to the same extent as the laws of the United States.

Enova's success depends in part on its ability to protect its proprietary technologies. Enova's pending or future patent applications may not be approved and the claims covered by such applications may be reduced. If allowed, patents may not be of sufficient scope or strength, others may independently develop similar technologies or products, duplicate any of Enova's products or design around its patents, and the patents may not provide Enova with competitive advantages. Further, patents held by third parties may prevent the commercialization of products incorporating Enova's technologies or third parties may challenge or seek to narrow, invalidate or circumvent any of Enova's pending or future patents. Enova also believes that foreign patents, if obtained, and the protection afforded by such foreign patents and foreign intellectual property laws, may be more limited than that provided under United States patents and intellectual property laws. Litigation, which could result in substantial costs and diversion of effort by Enova, may also be necessary to enforce any patents issued or licensed to Enova or to determine the scope and validity of third-party proprietary rights. Any such litigation, regardless of outcome, could be expensive and time-consuming, and adverse determinations in any such litigation could seriously harm Enova's business.

Enova relies on unpatented trade secrets and know-how and proprietary technological innovation and expertise which are protected in part by confidentiality and invention assignment agreements with its employees, advisors and consultants and non-disclosure agreements with certain of its suppliers and distributors. These agreements may be breached, Enova may not have adequate remedies for any breach or Enova's unpatented proprietary intellectual property may otherwise become known or independently discovered by competitors. Further, the laws of certain foreign countries may not protect Enova's products or intellectual property rights to the same extent as do the laws of the United States.

Employees

As of December 31, 2007, we had 70 full time employees. Additionally, we employ 4 individuals as independent contractors, engaged on an hourly basis. The departmental breakdown of our employees includes 12 in administration, 4 in sales, 25 in engineering and research and development, and 29 in production.

Table of Contents

Available Information

We file electronically with the SEC our annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. We make available free of charge on or through our website copies of these reports as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file such material with, or furnish it to, the SEC. The SEC maintains an internet site that contains reports, proxy and information statements and other information regarding our filings at www.sec.gov. You may also read and copy any of our materials filed with the SEC at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549. Information regarding the operation of the Public Reference Room can be obtained by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. Our website address is www.enovasytems.com. Information found on, or that can be accessed through, our website is not incorporated by reference into this annual report.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

The statements in this Section describe the major risks to our business and should be considered carefully. In addition, these statements constitute our cautionary statements under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995.

This annual report on Form 10-K, including the documents that we incorporate by reference, contains statements indicating expectations about future performance and other forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. We usually use words such as may, will, should, expect, plan, anticipate, believe, estimate, future, intend, potential, or continue or the negative of these terms or similar expressions to identify forward-looking statements. These statements appear throughout the Form 10-K and are statements regarding our current intent, belief, or expectation, primarily with respect to our operations and related industry developments. Examples of these statements include, but are not limited to, statements regarding the following: our expansion plans, our future operating expenses, our future losses, our future expenditures for research and development and the sufficiency of our cash resources. You should not place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which apply only as of the date of this annual report. Our actual results could differ materially from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements for many reasons, including the risks faced by us and described in this Risk Factors section and elsewhere in this annual report.

We cannot guarantee that any forward-looking statement will be realized, although we believe we have been prudent in our plans and assumptions. Achievement of future results is subject to risks, uncertainties and potentially inaccurate assumptions. Should known or unknown risks or uncertainties materialize, or should underlying assumptions prove inaccurate, actual results could differ materially from past results and those anticipated, estimated or projected. You should bear this in mind as you consider forward-looking statements.

We undertake no obligation to publicly update forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. You are advised, however, to consult any further disclosures we make on related subjects in our 10-Q and 8-K reports to the SEC. Also note that we provide the following cautionary discussion of risks, uncertainties and possibly inaccurate assumptions relevant to our businesses. These are factors that, individually or in the aggregate, we think could cause our actual results to differ materially from expected and historical results. We note these factors for investors as permitted by the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. You should understand that it is not possible to predict or identify all such factors. Consequently, you should not consider the following to be a complete discussion of all potential risks or uncertainties.

Our history of operating losses and our expectation of continuing losses may hurt our ability to reach profitability or continue operations.

We have experienced significant operating losses since our inception. Our net loss was \$9,347,000 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007 and our accumulated deficit was \$116,769,000 as of December 31, 2007. It is likely that we will continue to incur substantial net operating losses for the foreseeable future, which may adversely affect our ability to continue operations. To achieve profitable operations, we must successfully develop, and market our products. We may not be able to generate sufficient product revenue to become profitable. Even if we do achieve profitability, we may not be able to sustain or increase our profitability on a quarterly or yearly basis.

Table of Contents

The nature of our industry is dependent on technological advancement and highly competitive

The mobile and stationary power markets, including electric vehicle and hybrid electric vehicles, continue to be subject to rapid technological change. Most of the major domestic and foreign automobile manufacturers: (1) have already produced electric and hybrid vehicles, and/or (2) have developed improved electric storage, propulsion and control systems, and/or (3) are now entering or have entered into production, while continuing to improve technology or incorporate newer technology. Various companies are also developing improved electric storage, propulsion and control systems. In addition, the stationary power market is still in its infancy. A number of established energy companies are developing new technologies. Cost-effective methods to reduce price per kilowatt have yet to be established and the stationary power market is not yet viable.

Our current products are designed for use with, and are dependent upon, existing technology. As technologies change, and subject to our limited available resources, we plan to upgrade or adapt our products in order to continue to provide products with the latest technology. We cannot assure you, however, that we will be able to avoid technological obsolescence, that the market for our products will not ultimately be dominated by technologies other than ours, or that we will be able to adapt to changes in or create leading edge technology. In addition, further proprietary technological development by others could prohibit us from using our own technology.

Our industry is affected by political and legislative changes

In recent years there has been significant public pressure to enact legislation in the United States and abroad to reduce or eliminate automobile pollution. Although states such as California have enacted such legislation, we cannot assure you that there will not be further legislation enacted changing current requirements or that current legislation or state mandates will not be repealed or amended, or that a different form of zero emission or low emission vehicle will not be invented, developed and produced, and achieve greater market acceptance than electric or hybrid electric vehicles. Extensions, modifications or reductions of current federal and state legislation, mandates and potential tax incentives could also adversely affect our business prospects if implemented.

We are subject to increasing emission regulations in a changing legislative climate

Because vehicles powered by internal combustion engines cause pollution, there has been significant public pressure in Europe and Asia, and enacted or pending legislation in the United States at the federal level and in certain states, to promote or mandate the use of vehicles with no tailpipe emissions (zero emission vehicles) or reduced tailpipe emissions (low emission vehicles). Legislation requiring or promoting zero or low emission vehicles is necessary to create a significant market for electric vehicles. The California Air Resources Board (CARB) is continuing to modify its regulations regarding its mandatory limits for zero emission and low emission vehicles. Furthermore, several car manufacturers have challenged these mandates in court and have obtained injunctions to delay these mandates.

We may be unable to effectively compete with other companies who have significantly greater resources than we have

Although we were originally founded in 1976, our business just completed a migration into an early production stage, and our proposed operations are subject to all of the risks inherent in production stage, including the likelihood of continued operating losses. Many of our competitors, in the automotive, electronic and other industries, are larger, more established companies that have substantially greater financial, personnel, and other resources than we do. These companies may be actively engaged in the research and development of power management and conversion systems. Because of their greater resources, some of our competitors may be able to adapt more quickly to new or emerging technologies and changes in customer requirements, or to devote greater resources to the promotion and sales of their products than we can. We believe that developing and maintaining a competitive advantage will require continued

investment in product development, manufacturing capability and sales and marketing. We cannot assure you however that we will have sufficient resources to make the necessary investments to do so. In addition, current and potential competitors may establish collaborative relationships among themselves or with third parties, including third parties with whom we have relationships. Accordingly, new competitors or alliances may emerge and rapidly acquire significant market share.

Table of Contents

We may be exposed to product liability or tort claims if our products fail, which could adversely impact our results of operations

A malfunction or the inadequate design of our products could result in product liability or other tort claims. Accidents involving our products could lead to personal injury or physical damage. Any liability for damages resulting from malfunctions could be substantial and could materially adversely affect our business and results of operations. In addition, a well-publicized actual or perceived problem could adversely affect the market's perception of our products. This could result in a decline in demand for our products, which would materially adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

We are highly dependent on a few key personnel and will need to retain and attract such personnel in a labor competitive market

Our success is largely dependent on the performance of our key management and technical personnel, the loss of one or more of whom could adversely affect our business. Additionally, in order to successfully implement our anticipated growth, we will be dependent on our ability to hire additional qualified personnel. There can be no assurance that we will be able to retain or hire other necessary personnel. We do not maintain key man life insurance on any of our key personnel. We believe that our future success will depend in part upon our continued ability to attract, retain, and motivate additional highly skilled personnel in an increasingly competitive market.

There are minimal barriers to entry in our market

We presently license or own only certain proprietary technology and, therefore, have created little or no barrier to entry for competitors other than the time and significant expense required to assemble and develop similar production and design capabilities. Our competitors may enter into exclusive arrangements with our current or potential suppliers, thereby giving them a competitive edge which we may not be able to overcome, and which may exclude us from similar relationships.

We extend credit to our customers, which exposes us to credit risk

Most of our outstanding accounts receivable are from a limited number of large customers. At December 31, 2007, the five highest outstanding accounts receivable balances totaled \$3,963,000 which represents 88% of our gross accounts receivable, with one customer accounting for \$2,698,000, representing 60% of our gross accounts receivable. If we fail to monitor and manage effectively the resulting credit risk and a material portion of our accounts receivable is not paid in a timely manner or becomes uncollectible, our business would be significantly harmed, and we could incur a significant loss associated with any outstanding accounts receivable.

We are exposed to risks relating to evaluations of our internal controls

In connection with the audit of our financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2007, PMB Helin Donovan, LLP, our independent registered public accounting firm, notified our management and audit committee of the existence of significant deficiencies in internal controls, which is an accounting term for internal controls deficiencies that, in the judgment of our independent registered public accounting firm, are significant and which could adversely affect our ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those responsible for oversight of the company's financial reporting.

As of December 31, 2007, we experienced a material weakness in our internal controls in inventory. While we intend to address this material weakness and have begun efforts to remediate this material weakness there is no assurance that this will be accomplished. These efforts may necessitate significant time and attention of our management and additional resources. If we fail to satisfactorily strengthen the effectiveness of our internal controls, neither we nor our independent registered public accounting firm may be able to conclude on an ongoing basis that we have effective internal control over financial reporting in accordance with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act.

Table of Contents

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

Not applicable.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

On October 17, 2007, Enova entered into a Lease Agreement with Sunshine Distribution LP (Landlord), with respect to the Lease of an approximately 43,000 square foot facility located at 1560 West 190th Street, Torrance, California (the lease) in order to facilitate the Company s migration into a production phase. The Lease term commenced on November 1, 2007, and expires January 1, 2013. The total basic monthly rent will be approximately \$37,000, and will be incrementally increased each year, based on the increase in the consumer price index. Under the lease, Enova will pay the Landlord certain commercially reasonable and customary common area maintenance costs of approximately \$5,000 per month, increasing ratably as these costs are increased to the Landlord. The lease is secured by an irrevocable standby letter of credit in the amount of \$200,000 and naming the Landlord as the beneficiary. As of February 28, 2008, this facility will house all of Enova s departments. Enova also has a leased office in Hawaii which is rented on a month-to-month basis at \$1,500 per month, and a sales office in Michigan that it leases on a month-to-month basis at \$500 per month.

Enova s corporate offices were previously located in Torrance, California, in leased office space of approximately 20,000 square feet. This facility housed various departments, including engineering, operations, executive, finance, planning, purchasing, investor relations and human resources. This lease terminated on February 28, 2008.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

We may from time to time become a party to various legal proceedings arising in the ordinary course of business. At December 31, 2007, we had no known material current, pending or threatened litigation.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

No matters were submitted to a vote of security holders during 2007.

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

The shares of our common stock trade on the American Stock Exchange (AMEX) under the trading symbol ENA and on the London Stock Exchange AIM Market under the symbol ENV.S.L or ENV.L . The following table sets forth the high and low bid closing prices of our Common Stock as reflected on the American Stock Exchange. Our common stock became listed on the AMEX on August 29, 2006. Quotations have been restated to reflect the 1-45 reverse stock split, effective July 20, 2005.

	Common Stock	
	High Price	Low Price
Calendar 2007		
Fourth Quarter	\$ 4.95	\$ 3.20

Edgar Filing: ENOVA SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-K

Third Quarter	\$ 3.20	\$ 7.12
Second Quarter	\$ 4.58	\$ 8.07
First Quarter	\$ 4.08	\$ 4.83
Calendar 2006		
Fourth Quarter	\$ 5.10	\$ 2.95
Second Quarter	\$ 5.85	\$ 3.40
Third Quarter	\$ 4.85	\$ 3.65
First Quarter	\$ 5.35	\$ 3.31

Table of Contents

As of December 31, 2007, there were approximately 1,420 holders of record of our Common Stock. As of December 31, 2007, 100 shareholders, many of whom are also Common Stock shareholders, held our Series A Preferred Stock. As of December, 2007, approximately 32 shareholders held our Series B Preferred Stock. The number of holders of record excludes beneficial holders whose shares are held in the name of nominees or trustees.

Stock Issuances

On August 1, 2007, we sold 2,218,000 shares of common stock pursuant to the placing agreement at approximately US \$5.35 per share to certain eligible offshore investors for approximately US \$11,698,000 in gross proceeds. The placement agent received a 5% selling commission, resulting in proceeds to us before offering expenses of approximately US \$10.8 million. The offer and sale of the shares were made pursuant to Regulation S under the Securities Act. Among other things, each investor purchasing shares of our common stock in the offering represented that the investor is not a United States person as defined in Regulation S. In addition, neither we nor the placement agent conducted any selling efforts directed at the United States in connection with the offering. All shares of common stock issued in the offering included a restrictive legend indicating that the shares are being issued pursuant to Regulation S under the Securities Act and are deemed to be restricted securities. As a result, the purchasers of such shares will not be able to resell the shares unless in accordance with Regulation S, pursuant to a registration statement, or upon reliance of an applicable exemption from registration under the Securities Act. At the time of placement, we had determined to file a registration statement to cover the resale of the shares. Due to subsequent changes by the SEC reducing the holding period under Rule 144 and distribution compliance period under Regulation S, we opted not to file any resale registration statement and have no present intention of doing so.

In October 2007, approximately 639,000 shares of Series B Preferred Stock were converted into common stock at the election of the holder for approximately 28,000 shares of common stock.

Dividend Policy

To date, we have neither declared nor paid any cash dividends on shares of our Common Stock or Series A or B Preferred Stock. We presently intend to retain all future earnings for our business and do not anticipate paying cash dividends on our Common Stock or Series A or B Preferred Stock in the foreseeable future. We are required to pay dividends on our Series A and B Preferred Stock before dividends may be paid on any shares of Common Stock. At December 31, 2007, Enova had an accumulated deficit of approximately \$116,769,000 and, until this deficit is eliminated, will be prohibited from paying dividends on any class of stock except out of net profits, unless it meets certain asset and other tests under Section 500 et. seq. of the California Corporations Code.

Table of Contents**ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA**

The following selected financial data tables set forth selected financial data for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006, 2005, 2004, and 2003. The statement of operations data and balance sheet data for and as of the end of the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006, 2005, 2004, and 2003 are derived from the audited financial statements of Enova. The following selected financial data should be read in conjunction with Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and the Financial Statements, including the notes thereto, appearing elsewhere in this Form 10-K.

	As of and for the Year Ended December 31,				
	2007	2006	2005	2004	2003
	(In thousands, except per share data)				
Statement of Operations Data					
Net revenues	\$ 9,175	\$ 1,666	\$ 6,084	\$ 2,554	\$ 4,310
Cost of revenues	9,763	2,900	6,001	2,239	3,304
Gross margin	(588)	(1,234)	83	315	1,006
Operating expenses					
Research and Development	1,159	1,363	804	925	799
Asset Impairment					200
Selling, general and administrative	7,766	4,178	2,870	2,325	2,919
Total Operating Expense	8,925	5,541	3,674	3,250	3,918
Other Income and Expense					
Interest and Financing Fees, net	343	550	13	(255)	(234)
Equity in losses	(177)	(3)	(118)	(192)	(40)
Gain on Debt Restructuring		1,392	1,569		
Total Other Income and (Expense)	166	1,939	1,464	(447)	(274)
Net loss	\$ (9,347)	\$ (4,836)	\$ (2,127)	\$ (3,382)	\$ (3,186)
Per common share:					
Net loss per common share	\$ (0.59)	\$ (0.33)	\$ (0.18)	\$ (0.38)	\$ (0.43)
Weighted average number common shares outstanding	15,796,000	14,802,000	11,644,000	8,832,000	7,441,000
Balance Sheet Data					
Total assets	\$ 21,173	\$ 15,730	\$ 21,973	\$ 5,888	\$ 4,870
Long-term debt	\$ 1,306	\$ 1,295	\$ 2,321	\$ 3,341	\$ 3,347

Edgar Filing: ENOVA SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-K

Shareholders equity (deficit)	\$	14,177	\$	11,964	\$	16,604	\$	103	\$	(864)
-------------------------------	----	--------	----	--------	----	--------	----	-----	----	-------

Table of Contents

ITEM 7. *MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS*

You should read this Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in conjunction with our 2007 Financial Statements and accompanying Notes. The matters addressed in this Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, may contain certain forward-looking statements involving risks and uncertainties.

Overview

Enova Systems believes it is a leading supplier of efficient, environmentally-friendly digital power components and systems products in conjunction with our associated engineering services. Our core competencies are focused on the development and commercialization of power management and conversion systems for mobile and stationary applications. Enova applies unique enabling technologies in the areas of alternative energy propulsion systems for light and heavy-duty vehicles as well as power conditioning and management systems for distributed generation systems. Our products can be found in a variety of OEM vehicles including those from Hyundai Motor Company and Ford Motor Company, trucks and buses for First Auto Works of China, Mack Truck, WrightBus of the U.K. and the U.S. Military, as well as digital power systems for EDO, Hydrogenics and UTC Fuel Cells, a division of United Technologies.

We continue to support IC Corp. in their efforts to maximize exposure in the Hybrid School Bus Market. We have been involved in large shows in Albany, NY and Reno, NV, Chicago, IL, Washington, DC as well as smaller venues throughout the Midwest. The exposure via shows and direct interface was aggressively pursued throughout the remainder of 2007, in an effort to promote IC Corp.'s production intent for Hybrid School Buses. IC Corp. claims to be the nation's largest integrated school bus manufacturer with 60-65% of the school bus market share. As a result of these continued domestic efforts, the Company expanded throughout the North American continent and delivered hybrid school buses to Canada and Mexico through IC Corp.

IC Corp. continued to move to production on Hybrid School Buses. At the same time, IC Corp. announced that Enova would be their Hybrid drive system supplier. Also, in July, Enova and IC Corp. were awarded a contract for nineteen Hybrid School Buses. These buses were delivered to eleven states throughout 2007. The award was based on a project coordinated by the Advanced Energy consortium and was the first major Hybrid School Bus award of its kind.

Ford Motor Company continues to evaluate our components in thirty Ford Focus Hydrogen Fuel Cell Vehicles being evaluated in three countries. According to Ford Motor Company communications, the vehicles have functioned satisfactorily, and they continue to evaluate markets for producing additional vehicles. In August 2006, Enova announced that Ford Motor Company had ordered four (4) advanced design High Voltage Energy Converters (HVECs). This award confirmed Ford's continued interest in Enova's technology and was delivered to Ford during 2007.

Throughout 2007 we hosted and visited numerous potential customers from the Pick Up and Delivery, Medium Duty and Heavy Duty markets. During the 4th quarter of 2007, we provided a large fleet operator a functional vehicle for evaluation. Every effort is made to continue to mature these relationships, as we hope that they will eventually lead to viable business relationships.

We also anticipate continuing our work with Tsinghua University of China, and their fuel cell bus development program. We believe that China intends to use hybrid-electric buses to shuttle athletes and guests at the 2008 Beijing Summer Olympics and the 2010 World's Expo in Shanghai. We also believe China is seeking up to one thousand full-size hybrid-electric buses to support these global events. MTrans of Malaysia has integrated two of our standard

HybridPower 120kW drive system into a hybrid 10-meter bus with a Capstone microturbine as its power source. This drive system is currently on demonstration in Hong Kong, PRC. Also, Hyundai continues to evaluate our converters in their fuel cell hybrid electric vehicles and we currently expect to deliver an additional sixteen units in 2007.

Table of Contents

Some notable highlights of Enova's accomplishments are:

Enova increased its personnel by nearly 50% during 2007. The increase was due to direct strengthening of Enova's Supply Chain Management, Quality, Software and Engineering Departments.

International Truck and Engine (IC Corp), the nation's largest School Bus manufacturer, has partnered with Enova to supply the nation's 1st production Hybrid School Buses. IC Corp currently maintains 60% of the School Bus market. In addition to School Buses, IC Corp is teaming with Enova, as early adopters, to supply production hybrid buses to the Commercial Bus Market. Enova and IC recently broadened their penetration into Canada and Mexico. There are no assurances, however that any purchase orders will be realized.

WrightBus, the largest low-floor and Double Deck bus manufacturer in the United Kingdom, has taken delivery of our series hybrid diesel genset and integrated them into its medium and large bus applications. Six of these systems are now running seven days a week 18 hours a day in London. We continue to work with WrightBus on projects related to Enova's hybrid drive systems. There are no assurances, however that any purchase orders will be realized.

Tanfield, the World's largest electric vehicle manufacturer, has signed an arrangement with Enova where Enova will supply electric drive systems for Tanfield's 3.5, 7.5 and 12 ton vehicles. Enova expects to supply Tanfield units during 2008 and throughout 2009. There are no assurances, however that any purchase orders will be realized.

First Auto Works, China's largest vehicle manufacturer has partnered with Enova whereas Enova will supply its pre transmission hybrid system for bus applications. Enova has recently been awarded an order for 20 systems. There are no assurances, however that any future purchase orders will be realized.

Th!nk and Electric Vehicles OEMs have both utilized Enova as a supplier of primary components/systems for their vehicles.

Verizon has taken, in total, receipt of fifteen (15) service vans incorporating Enova's technology. Verizon is the nation's second largest fleet operator with 58,000 vehicles. In addition to Verizon, Enova has begun development work with other large fleet operators in both the service van and pick up and delivery sectors. There are no assurances, however that any purchase orders will be realized.

The Company integrated and delivered two (2) service vehicles with Enova's unique Post-Transmission Parallel Hybrid Drive System to Cox Communications. The hybrid vehicles are a GM 1500 Silverado Pickup and a Ford E250 Cargo Van. This development illustrates Enova's strategic focus to further penetrate the fleet market.

In 2008, the Company was chosen to power four (4) Optare Solo Buses, integrated by UK-based Traction Technology Plc. The Buses will incorporate Enova's 120kW Series Hybrid drive system. There are no assurances, however that any future purchase orders will be realized.

Enova's product focus is digital power management and power conversion systems. Its software, firmware, and hardware manage and control the power that drives either a vehicle or stationary device(s). They convert the power into the appropriate forms required by the vehicle or device and manage the flow of this energy to optimize efficiency and provide protection for both the system and its users. Our products and systems are the enabling technologies for power systems.

The latest state-of-the-art technologies, such as hybrid vehicles, fuel cell and micro turbine based systems, and stationary power generation, all require some type of power management and conversion mechanism. Enova Systems supplies these essential components. Enova drive systems are fuel-neutral, meaning that they have the ability to utilize any type of fuel, including diesel, liquid natural gas or bio-diesel fuels. We also develop, design and produce power management and power conversion components for stationary power generation both on-site distributed power and on-site telecommunications back-up power applications. These stationary applications also employ fuel cells, microturbines and advanced batteries for power storage and generation. Additionally, Enova performs significant research and development to augment and support others and our internal related product development efforts.

Table of Contents

Our products are production-engineered. This means they are designed so they can be commercially produced (i.e., all formats and files are designed with manufacturability in mind, from the start). For the automotive market, Enova designs its products to ISO 9000 manufacturing and quality standards. We believe Enova's redundancy of systems and rigorous quality standards result in high performance and reduced risk. For every component and piece of hardware, there are detailed performance specifications. Each piece is tested and evaluated against these specifications, which enhances and confirms the value of the systems to OEM customers. Our engineering services focus on system integration support for product sales and custom product design.

Critical Accounting Policies

The following represents a summary of our critical accounting policies, defined as those policies that we believe: (a) are the most important to the portrayal of our financial condition and results of operations and (b) involve inherently uncertain issues which require management's most difficult, subjective or complex judgments.

Cash and cash equivalents Cash consists of currency held at reputable financial institutions. Short-term, highly liquid investments with an original maturity of three months or less are considered cash equivalents.

Inventory Inventories are priced at the lower of cost or market utilizing first-in, first-out (FIFO) cost flow assumption. We maintain a perpetual inventory system and continuously record the quantity on-hand and standard cost for each product, including purchased components, subassemblies and finished goods. We maintain the integrity of perpetual inventory records through periodic physical counts of quantities on hand. Finished goods are reported as inventories until the point of transfer to the customer. Generally, title transfer is documented in the terms of sale.

Inventory reserve We maintain an allowance against inventory for the potential future obsolescence or excess inventory that is based on our estimate of future sales. A substantial decrease in expected demand for our products, or decreases in our selling prices could lead to excess or overvalued inventories and could require us to substantially increase our allowance for excess inventory. If future customer demand or market conditions are less favorable than our projections, additional inventory write-downs may be required.

Allowance for doubtful accounts We maintain allowances for doubtful accounts for estimated losses resulting from the inability of our customers to make required payments. The assessment of the ultimate realization of accounts receivable including the current credit-worthiness of each customer is subject to a considerable degree to the judgment of our management. If the financial condition of the Company's customers were to deteriorate, resulting in an impairment of their ability to make payments, additional allowances may be required.

Stock-based Compensation The Company calculates stock-based compensation expense in accordance with SFAS No. 123 revised, Share-Based Payment (SFAS 123(R)). This pronouncement requires the measurement and recognition of compensation expense for all share-based payment awards made to employees and directors, including employee stock options to be based on estimated fair values. The Company adopted SFAS 123(R) using the modified prospective method, which requires the application of the accounting standard as of January 1, 2006, the beginning of the Company's 2006 fiscal year. In March 2005, the SEC issued Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 107 (SAB 107) related to SFAS 123(R). The Company applied the provisions of SAB 107 in adopting SFAS 123(R).

Revenue recognition The Company manufactures proprietary products and other products based on design specifications provided by its customers. The Company recognizes revenue only when all of the following criteria have been met:

Persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists;

Delivery has occurred or services have been rendered;

The fee for the arrangement is fixed or determinable; and

Collectibility is reasonably assured.

Persuasive Evidence of an Arrangement The Company documents all terms of an arrangement in a written contract signed by the customer prior to recognizing revenue.

Table of Contents

Delivery Has Occurred or Services Have Been Rendered The Company performs all services or delivers all products prior to recognizing revenue. Professional consulting and engineering services are considered to be performed when the services are complete. Equipment is considered delivered upon delivery to a customer's designated location. In certain instances, the customer elects to take title upon shipment.

The Fee for the Arrangement is Fixed or Determinable Prior to recognizing revenue, a customer's fee is either fixed or determinable under the terms of the written contract. Fees professional consulting services, engineering services and equipment sales are fixed under the terms of the written contract. The customer's fee is negotiated at the outset of the arrangement and is not subject to refund or adjustment during the initial term of the arrangement.

Collectibility is Reasonably Assured The Company determines that collectibility is reasonably assured prior to recognizing revenue. Collectibility is assessed on a customer-by-customer basis based on criteria outlined by management. New customers are subject to a credit review process, which evaluates the customer's financial position and ultimately its ability to pay. The Company does not enter into arrangements unless collectibility is reasonably assured at the outset. Existing customers are subject to ongoing credit evaluations based on payment history and other factors. If it is determined during the arrangement that collectibility is not reasonably assured, revenue is recognized on a cash basis. Additionally, in accordance with the Securities and Exchange Commission's Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 104 (SAB 104), amounts received upfront for engineering or development fees under multiple-element arrangements are deferred and recognized over the period of committed services or performance, if such arrangements require the Company to provide on-going services or performance. All amounts received under collaborative research agreements or research and development contracts are nonrefundable, regardless of the success of the underlying research.

Pursuant to Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) of the Financial Accounting Standards Board Issue 00-21. EITF Issue 00-21 addressed the accounting for arrangements that may involve the delivery or performance of multiple products, services and/or rights to use assets. Specifically, Issue 00-21 requires the recognition of revenue from milestone payments over the remaining minimum period of performance obligations. As required, the Company applies the principles of Issue 00-21 to multiple element agreements.

The Company also recognizes engineering and construction contract revenues using the percentage-of-completion method, based primarily on contract costs incurred to date compared with total estimated contract costs. Customer-furnished materials, labor, and equipment, and in certain cases subcontractor materials, labor, and equipment, are included in revenues and cost of revenues when management believes that the company is responsible for the ultimate acceptability of the project. Contracts are segmented between types of services, such as engineering and construction, and accordingly, gross margin related to each activity is recognized as those separate services are rendered.

Changes to total estimated contract costs or losses, if any, are recognized in the period in which they are determined. Claims against customers are recognized as revenue upon settlement. Revenues recognized in excess of amounts received are classified as current assets under contract work-in-progress. Amounts billed to clients in excess of revenues recognized to date are classified as current liabilities on contracts.

Changes in project performance and conditions, estimated profitability, and final contract settlements may result in future revisions to engineering and development contract costs and revenue.

These accounting policies were applied consistently for all periods presented. Our operating results would be affected if other alternatives were used. Information about the impact on our operating results is included in the footnotes to our financial statements.

Several other factors related to the Company may have a significant impact on our operating results from year to year. For example, the accounting rules governing the timing of revenue recognition related to product contracts are complex and it can be difficult to estimate when we will recognize revenue generated by a given transaction. Factors such as acceptance of services provided, payment terms, creditworthiness of the customer, and timing of delivery or acceptance of our products often cause revenues related to sales generated in one period to be deferred and recognized in later periods. For arrangements in which services revenue is deferred, related direct and incremental costs may also be deferred.

Table of Contents

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159 Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities (SFAS 159) which permits entities to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value. Companies are required to adopt the new standard for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. The Company is evaluating the impact of this standard and currently does not expect it to have a significant impact on its financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In June 2007, the FASB ratified Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) Issue No. 06-11 (EITF Issue No. 06-11), Accounting for Income Tax Benefits of Dividends on Shared-Based Payment Awards . EITF Issue No 06-11 requires that tax benefits generated by dividends paid during the vesting period on certain equity-classified share-based compensation awards be treated as additional paid-in capital and included in a pool of excess tax benefits available to absorb tax deficiencies from share-based payment awards. EITF Issue No. 06-11 is effective beginning with the 2009 fiscal year. The Company does not expect it to have a significant impact on its financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In June 2007 the FASB ratified EITF No. 07-3, Accounting for Nonrefundable Advance Payments for Goods or Services to Be Used in Future Research and Development Activities (EITF 07-3) which requires non-refundable advance payments for goods and services to be used in future research and development activities to be recorded as an asset and the payments to be expensed when the research and development activities are performed. EITF 07-3 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2007. The Company is evaluating the impact of this standard and currently does not expect it to have a significant impact on its financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements, an amendment of ARB No. 51 (SFAS 160). SFAS 160 introduces significant changes in the accounting and reporting for business acquisitions and noncontrolling interest (NCI) in a subsidiary. SFAS 160 also changes the accounting for and reporting for the deconsolidation of a subsidiary. Companies are required to adopt the new standard for fiscal years beginning after January 1, 2009. The Company is evaluating the impact of this standard and currently does not expect it to have a significant impact on its financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141R, Business Combinations (SFAS 141R) which establishes principles and requirements for how the acquirer of a business recognizes and measures in its financial statements the identifiable assets acquired, the liabilities assumed, and any noncontrolling interest in the acquiree. The statement also provides guidance for recognizing and measuring the goodwill acquired in the business combination and determines what information to disclose to enable users of the financial statement to evaluate the nature and financial effects of the business combination. SFAS 141R is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. Accordingly, any business combinations the Company engages in will be recorded and disclosed following existing GAAP until January 1, 2009. The Company does not expect SFAS 141R will have an impact on its financial statements when effective, but the nature and magnitude of the specific effects will depend upon the nature, terms and size of the acquisitions the Company consummates after the effective date. The Company is evaluating the impact of this standard and currently does not expect it to have a significant impact on its financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Results of Operations

Years Ended December 31, 2007 and 2006

Net Revenues. Net revenues of \$9,175,000 for the twelve months ended December 31, 2007 increased by \$7,509,000 or 451% from \$1,666,000 during the same period in 2006. The increase was attributed to the largest overall shipment volume in the history of the Company at 384 units for 2007. In 2007, Tanfield Engineering Systems Limited and International Truck and Engine Corporation comprised of 52% and 15%, respectively of our revenues. In the prior year 2006, revenues were primarily derived from our relationships with Hyundai Motor Company and the State of Hawaii, representing 39% and 16%, respectively. In 2007, Enova focused on building new customer relationships in an effort to support our migration into the first phase of a production company. While

Table of Contents

we did continue several development projects throughout the year, the process by which management selected which development projects were accepted in 2007 largely depended on our assessment of which contracts had the greatest potential for a production relationship.

Cost of Revenues. Cost of revenues of \$9,763,000 for the year ended December 31, 2007 increased \$6,863,000, or 237%, from \$2,900,000 for the year ended December 31, 2006. Cost of revenues consists of component and material costs, direct labor costs, integration costs and overhead related to manufacturing our products. Product development costs incurred in the performance of engineering development contracts for the U.S. Government and private companies are charged to cost of sales. Our customers continue to require additional integration and support services to customize, integrate, and evaluate our products. We believe that a portion of these costs are initial, one-time costs for these customers and anticipate similar costs to be incurred with respect to new customers as we gain additional market share. Customers who have been using our products over one year do not typically incur this same type of initial costs.

Gross Profit Margin. The gross profit margin for 2007 was negative 6% compared to a negative 74% in the prior year. The improved gross profit margin was as a result of a significant increase in manufacturing benefits associated with the Company's migration into a production phase. The Company experienced its largest overall shipment volume in Company history of 384 units in 2007.

Research and Development Expenses. Research and development expenses consist primarily of personnel, facilities, equipment and supplies for our research and development activities. Non-funded development costs are reported as research and development expense. Research and development expense decreased in 2007 to \$1,159,000 from \$1,363,000 for the same period in 2006, a decrease of \$204,000 or 15%. The aforementioned was a consequence of migration into production revenues and movement away from our research and development phase. In 2007, we continued to enhance our technologies to be more universally adaptable to the requirements of our current and prospective customers. By modifying our software and firmware, we believe we will be able to provide a more comprehensive, adaptive and effective solution to a larger base of customers and applications. We will continue to research and develop new technologies and products, both internally and in conjunction with our alliance partners and other manufacturers as we deem beneficial to our global growth strategy.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses. Selling, general and administrative expenses consist primarily of personnel and related costs of sales and marketing employees, consulting fees and expenses for travel, trade shows and promotional activities and personnel and related costs for general corporate functions, including finance, accounting, strategic and business development, human resources and legal. Selling, general and administrative expenses increased by \$3,588,000 during 2007 from the balance for the year ended December 31, 2006 of \$4,178,000, representing an 86% increase in these costs. The predominant reason for the increase is the Company's on-going efforts in sales and marketing initiatives, as well as directives to streamline the accounting, quality, and inventory departments. This includes such things as trade shows, travel, marketing materials, and market consultants. As of December 31, 2007, we employed 70 full-time employees compared to 39 full-time employees as of December 31, 2006. The associated increase in wages, taxes and benefits also explains our increase in selling, general and administrative expenses.

Interest and Financing Fees, Net. For the year ended December 31, 2007, interest and financing fees income, decreased by \$207,000 from \$550,000, down 38% from the 2006 balance. The decrease is a result of our comparatively lower average cash balance through the first seven months of 2007, when compared to the average cash balance during 2006. Furthermore, decrease in prevailing interest rates also attributed to the decrease in comparison to the same period in the prior year.

Equity in losses of non-consolidated joint venture. For the year ended December 31, 2007, the ITC generated a net loss of approximately \$437,000, resulting in a charge to Enova of \$177,000 utilizing the equity method of accounting for our interest in the ITC net of our pro-rata share of losses attributable to the investment, which reflects our forty percent (40%) interest in the Hyundai-Enova Innovative Technology Center (ITC) as noted elsewhere in this Form 10-K. This was an increase in the net loss of \$174,000 when compared to prior year or Enova's share of net loss.

Edgar Filing: ENOVA SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-K

Gross profit (loss)	(167,000)	(308,000)	(3,000)	(313,000)
Operating Expenses				
Research and development	203,000	297,000	344,000	433,000
Selling, general, and administrative	1,702,000	1,154,000	3,193,000	1,065,000
Total operating expenses	1,905,000	1,451,000	3,537,000	1,498,000
Other income and (expense)				
Interest and financing fees, net	59,000	118,000	108,000	102,000
Equity in losses of non-consolidated joint venture	(60,000)		(47,000)	39,000
Debt extinguishment				
Interest extinguishment				
Total other income (expense)	(1,000)	118,000	61,000	141,000
Net income (loss)	\$ (2,073,000)	\$ (1,641,000)	\$ (3,479,000)	\$ (1,670,000)
Basic loss per share	\$ (0.13)	\$ (0.11)	\$ (0.20)	\$ (0.11)
Weighted average number of shares outstanding	16,333,000	14,800,000	17,149,000	14,802,000

Table of Contents

Liquidity and Capital Resources

We have experienced losses primarily attributable to research, development, marketing and other costs associated with our strategic plan as an international developer and supplier of electric propulsion and power management systems and components. Cash flows from operations have not been sufficient to meet our obligations. Therefore, we have had to raise funds through several financing transactions. At least until we reach breakeven volume in sales and develop and/or acquire the capability to manufacture and sell our products profitably, we will need to continue to rely on cash from external financing sources.

Our operations during the year ended December 31, 2007 were financed by development contracts and product sales, as well as from working capital reserves.

During the year ended December 31, 2007, our operations required \$10,561,000 more in cash than was generated, versus \$5,144,000 in 2006. The Company continues to increase marketing and development spending as well as administrative expenses necessary for expansion to meet expected customer demand. Accounts receivable increased by \$3,898,000 from \$358,000, or approximately 1089% from the balance at December 31, 2006 (net of write-offs). The increase was primarily attributable to shipments worth approximately \$2,965,000 in the fourth quarter of 2007 and an increase in sales in 2007 in comparison to the prior year.

Inventory increased from \$1,704,000 at the year ended December 31, 2006 to \$3,565,000 at the year ended December 31, 2007, representing a 109% increase in the balance. The increase was as a result inventory purchases in the fourth quarter of 2007 as the Company was anticipating an increase in sales volume in the coming periods. The Company experienced its largest overall shipment volume in Company history of 384 units and has received production orders for additional units for shipment in 2008.

Prepaid expenses and other current assets decreased by \$251,000 during 2007 from the December 31, 2006 balance of \$708,000 or almost 35%. The decrease is caused primarily by the decrease in interest receivable and cash held on a certificate of deposit. Furthermore, prepaid expenses decreased in 2007 due to the absence of prepaid integration costs associated with the Verizon van project when compared to 2006.

Fixed assets increased by \$243,000 or 39%, net of accumulated depreciation, for the year ended December 31, 2007 from the prior year balance of \$627,000 primarily due to the purchase of computers, furniture and office equipment, software, production tooling, machinery, and equipment associated with the expansion of production, engineering, and administration departments. These increases are consistent the Company's migration into a production stage and expansion of operations.

Investments decreased by \$5,000,000 in 2007 when compared to the balance as of December 31, 2006 of \$5,000,000. This decrease was a result of cash increased liquidity requirements to support wages and salaries, supplier payments, and other factors noted as increases in selling, general, and administrative expenses. In addition, \$2,000,000 of the decrease was attributed to the purchase of a certificate of deposit held in relation to the lease of a new facility located at 1560 West 190th Street, Torrance, California.

Intangible Assets decreased by \$4,000 during 2007 from \$74,000 in 2006. Enova did not recognize any additional intellectual property assets, including patents and trademarks, during 2007. The change in the balance was a result of the amortization of the patent. The warrants included in intangible assets have been fully amortized as of December 31, 2007.

Accounts payable increased in 2007 by 391% from \$382,000 at December 31, 2006 to \$1,877,000 at December 31, 2007. At December 31, 2007, the accounts payable balance represents balances owed to vendors for fourth quarter inventory purchases made in expectation of increasing sales volume in the coming periods, and is evidenced by an increased inventory balance, as discussed above.

Enova reported \$101,000 of deferred revenue at December 31, 2007, compared to a deferred revenue balance at December 31, 2006 of \$399,000. The decrease in the balance of \$298,000 was due to the recognition of revenue from our customer, the Hawaii Center for Advanced Transportation Technologies (HCATT).

Accrued interest increased by \$139,000 for the year ended December 31, 2007, an increase of 19%. The majority of the increase is associated with the net effect of interest accrued on the Note due the Credit Managers Association of California (CMAC) for \$1.3 million (year end 2006 balance). Per the terms of the Note, unpaid interest and principal is due in April 2016. As such, the increase reflects the accrual of interest over the course of 2007.

Table of Contents

Other accrued expenses and payables increased by \$1,399,000 during 2007, from \$664,000 at December 31, 2006. The increase primarily attributable to an increase in our warranty accrual in proportion with our increase in sales in comparison to the prior year while the remaining portion includes the accrual for receipt of inventory materials, production supplies, and engineering services.

Contractual Obligations

As of December 31, 2007, our contractual obligations for the next five years, and thereafter, were as follows (in thousands):

	Total	Payments Due by Period			More than 5 Years
		Less than 1 Year	1-3 Years	3-5 Years	
Long-Term Debt Obligations	\$ 1,401	\$ 95	\$ 60	\$ 8	\$ 1,238
Capital Lease Obligations					
Operating Lease Obligations	2,195	439	878	878	
Purchase Obligations					
Accrued Interest	874	12			862
Total	\$ 4,470	\$ 546	\$ 938	\$ 886	\$ 2,100

Subsequent Offering

On March 26, 2008, the company entered into an agreement with a placement agent to sell 2,131,274 shares of common stock. Pursuant to the placing agreement, the placement agent has agreed to use its reasonable efforts to sell, and has conditionally sold, all such shares of Enova common stock at 195 pence sterling per share (approximately US\$3.91 per share) to certain eligible offshore investors. It is anticipated that the company will receive approximately 4,200,000 pounds sterling (approximately US\$8,300,000) in gross proceeds from the offering. The placement agent will earn a selling commission of 5% in addition to reimbursement of expenses. The closing of the offering is contingent upon, among other things, the listing of such shares for trading on each of the American Stock Exchange and the Alternative Investment Market (AIM) of the London Stock Exchange.

The offer and sale of the shares has been made pursuant to Regulation S under the Securities Act. Among other things, each investor purchasing shares of Enova's common stock in the offering will represent that the investor is not a United States person as defined in Regulation S. In addition, neither the company nor the placement agent has conducted any selling efforts directed at the United States in connection with the offering. All shares of common stock to be issued in the offering will include a restrictive legend indicating that the shares are being issued pursuant to Regulation S under the Securities Act and are deemed to be restricted securities. As a result, the purchasers of such shares will not be able to resell the shares unless in accordance with Regulation S, pursuant to a registration statement, or upon reliance of an applicable exemption from registration under the Securities Act.

Joint Venture Hyundai-Enova Innovative Technology Center

In September 2003, Enova and Hyundai Heavy Industries, Co. Ltd. (HHI) commenced a relationship to establish the Hyundai-Enova Innovative Technology Center (ITC) to be located at Enova's Torrance headquarters. The ITC was originally established as a technical center for specified products that would engage Enova as the commercial

managers, the ITC as the primary engineering and development venture, and HHI as the primary components supplier. Although integral to our development and financial stability in prior years, Enova now is more established in the market as a fully functional, self-sufficient entity. To meet the anticipated needs of our core customers, we have developed resources to supply our products to the medium and heavy duty truck and bus market segment. Enova, along with HHI, are evaluating the relationship to determine its future role for both companies. As discussed in our Results of Operations, in fiscal year 2006 our relationship with Hyundai represented our largest customer generating 39% of revenues, but in fiscal year 2007 the relationship accounted for less than 10% of our revenues.

Item 7A. *QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK*

None.

Table of Contents

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

ENOVA SYSTEMS, INC.

CONTENTS
December 31, 2007 and 2006

	Page
<u>REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM</u>	30
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	
<u>Balance Sheets</u>	31
<u>Statements of Operations</u>	32
<u>Statements of Stockholders' Equity</u>	33
<u>Statements of Cash Flows</u>	34
<u>Notes to Financial Statements</u>	35

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders
Enova Systems, Inc.:

We have audited the balance sheets of Enova Systems, Inc. as of December 31, 2007 and 2006, and the related statements of operations, stockholders' equity and cash flows for the years then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statement is free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audits include consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statement. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Enova Systems, Inc. as of December 31, 2007 and 2006, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the years then ended, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

/s/ PMB Helin Donovan, LLP

Irvine, California
March 18, 2008

Table of Contents**ENOVA SYSTEMS, INC.****BALANCE SHEETS**

	December 31,	
	2007	2006
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 10,485,000	\$ 5,612,000
Short term investments		5,000,000
Accounts receivable, net	4,256,000	358,000
Inventories and supplies, net	3,565,000	1,704,000
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	457,000	708,000
Total current assets	18,763,000	13,382,000
Property and equipment, net	870,000	627,000
Investment in non-consolidated joint venture	1,470,000	1,647,000
Intangible assets, net	70,000	74,000
Total assets	\$ 21,173,000	\$ 15,730,000
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 1,877,000	\$ 382,000
Deferred revenues	101,000	399,000
Accrued payroll and related obligations	680,000	220,000
Other accrued expenses	2,063,000	664,000
Current portion of notes payable	95,000	71,000
Total current liabilities	4,816,000	1,736,000
Accrued interest payable	874,000	735,000
Notes payable, net of current portion	1,306,000	1,295,000
Total liabilities	\$ 6,996,000	\$ 3,766,000
Commitments and contingencies (Note 10)		
Stockholders' equity:		
Series A convertible preferred stock no par value, 30,000,000 shares authorized; 2,652,000 issued and outstanding; liquidating preference at \$0.60 per share as of December 31, 2007 and 2006	1,679,000	1,679,000
Series B convertible preferred stock no par value, 5,000,000 shares authorized; 546,000 and 1,185,000 shares issued and outstanding; liquidating preference at \$2 per share as of December 31, 2007 and 2006	1,094,000	2,432,000
	121,970,000	109,460,000

Edgar Filing: ENOVA SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-K

Common stock no par value, 750,000,000 shares authorized; 17,156,000 and 14,816,000 shares issued and outstanding as of December 31, 2007 and 2006

Common stock subscribed	30,000	36,000
Stock notes receivable for the sale of preferred stock	(1,149,000)	(1,176,000)
Additional paid-in capital	7,322,000	6,955,000
Accumulated deficit	(116,769,000)	(107,422,000)
Total stockholders equity	14,177,000	11,964,000
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$ 21,173,000	\$ 15,730,000

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Table of Contents

ENOVA SYSTEMS, INC.
STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

	For the Years Ended December 31,	
	2007	2006
Net revenues		
Research and development contracts	\$	\$ 439,000
Production	9,175,000	1,227,000
Total net revenues	9,175,000	1,666,000
Cost of revenues		
Research and development contracts		1,046,000
Production	9,763,000	1,854,000
Total cost of revenues	9,763,000	2,900,000
Gross loss	(588,000)	(1,234,000)
Operating expenses		
Research and development	1,159,000	1,363,000
Selling, general & administrative	7,766,000	4,178,000
Total operating expenses	8,925,000	5,541,000
Gross operating loss	(9,513,000)	(6,775,000)
Other income and (expense)		
Interest and financing fees, net	343,000	550,000
Equity in losses of non-consolidated joint venture	(177,000)	(3,000)
Debt extinguishment		920,000
Interest extinguishment		472,000
Total other income, net	166,000	1,939,000
Net loss	\$ (9,347,000)	\$ (4,836,000)
Basic and diluted loss per share	\$ (0.59)	\$ (0.33)
Weighted average number of shares outstanding	15,796,000	14,802,000

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Table of Contents

ENOVA SYSTEMS, INC.

STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY

Convertible Preferred Stock Series B			Common Stock		Common Stock Subscribed		Notes Receivable for the Sale of Preferred Stock	Additional Paid-In Capital
Amount	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount		
79,000	1,217,000	\$ 2,434,000	14,783,000	\$109,323,000	8,000	\$ 30,000	\$ (1,176,000)	\$ 6,900,000
	(32,000)	(2,000)	1,000	2,000				
			29,000	125,000	(8,000)	(30,000)		
			3,000	10,000				
								55,000
					12,000	36,000		
79,000	1,185,000	\$ 2,432,000	14,816,000	\$109,460,000	12,000	\$ 36,000	\$ (1,176,000)	\$ 6,955,000
	(639,000)	(1,338,000)	28,000	1,338,000				
			44,000	193,000				
			2,218,000	10,767,000				

			34,000	144,000	(12,000)	(36,000)			
			16,000	68,000					367,000
						6,000	30,000		
								27,000	
79,000	546,000	\$ 1,094,000	17,156,000	\$121,970,000	6,000	\$ 30,000	\$ (1,149,000)	\$ 7,322,000	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Table of Contents**ENOVA SYSTEMS, INC.****STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

	Twelve Months Ended December 31,	
	2007	2006
Cash flows from operating activities		
Net loss	\$ (9,347,000)	\$ (4,836,000)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities		
Debt extinguishment		(920,000)
Interest extinguishment		(472,000)
Depreciation and amortization	300,000	419,000
Loss on asset disposal	52,000	
Inventory reserve	100,000	
Equity in losses of non-consolidated joint venture	177,000	3,000
Issuance of common stock for director bonuses	68,000	10,000
Issuance of common stock for director services	138,000	132,000
Stock option expense	367,000	55,000
(Increase) decrease in:		
Accounts receivable	(3,898,000)	1,815,000
Inventory and supplies	(1,961,000)	(688,000)
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	251,000	(526,000)
Increase (decrease) in:		
Accounts payable	1,495,000	(1,014,000)
Accrued expenses	1,856,000	387,000
Deferred revenues	(298,000)	399,000
Accrued interest payable	139,000	92,000
Net cash used in operating activities	(10,561,000)	(5,144,000)
Cash flows from investing activities		
Purchases of short-term investments	\$	\$ (5,000,000)
Maturities of short-term investments	5,000,000	
Purchases of property and equipment	(515,000)	(259,000)
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	4,485,000	(5,259,000)
Cash flows from financing activities		
Payment on notes payable and capital lease obligations	\$ (38,000)	\$ (172,000)
Net proceeds from sales of common stock	10,767,000	
Proceeds from the execution of stock options	193,000	
Proceeds from repayment of stock note receivable	27,000	
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	10,949,000	(172,000)

Edgar Filing: ENOVA SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-K

Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	4,873,000	(10,575,000)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	5,612,000	16,187,000
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 10,485,000	\$ 5,612,000
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information		
Interest paid	\$ 7,000	\$ 1,000
Income taxes paid	\$ 1,000	\$ 1,000
Assets acquired through financing arrangements	\$ 74,000	\$ 95,000

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Table of Contents

ENOVA SYSTEMS, INC.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. Description of Business

General

Enova Systems, Inc., (the Company), is a California corporation that develops drive trains and related components for electric, hybrid electric, and fuel cell systems for mobile and stationary applications. The Company retains development and manufacturing rights to many of the technologies created, whether such research and development is internally or externally funded. The Company develops and sells components in the United States and Asia, and sells components in Europe.

Liquidity

The Company has sustained recurring losses and negative cash flows from operations. Over the past year, the Company's growth has been funded through a combination of private equity and financing agreements. As of December 31, 2007, the Company had approximately \$10.5 million of cash and cash equivalents. At December 31, 2007, the Company had a net working capital of approximately \$13.9 million as compared to \$11.7 million at December 31, 2006, representing an increase of \$2.2 million. The Company believes that it currently has sufficient cash and financing commitments to meet its funding requirements over the next year. However, the Company has experienced and continues to experience recurring operating losses and negative cash flows from operations, as well as an ongoing requirement for substantial additional capital investment. The Company expects that it will need to raise additional capital to accomplish its business plan over the next several years. The Company is striving to expand its presence in the marketplace and achieve operating efficiencies.

Joint Venture Hyundai-Enova Innovative Technology Center

In June 2003, the Company and Hyundai Heavy Industries of Korea (HHI) commenced operations of Hyundai-Enova Innovative Technology Center, Inc. (the ITC), a 60/40 joint venture to develop hybrid drive technology. ITC is domiciled in Torrance, California. Concurrent with the formation of the joint venture, the Company entered into a stock purchase agreement with HHI.

Pursuant to the stock purchase agreement HHI agreed to make a \$3 million investment in the Company through the purchase of shares of the Company's authorized and unissued common stock pursuant to Regulation D of the Securities Act of 1933. This investment was made in two installments of \$1.5 million each. The first installment was made in June 2003 upon incorporation of the ITC and in consideration for the issuance to HHI by the Company of 23,076,923 shares of common stock at \$0.065 per share. The second installment was made in September 2004 in consideration for the issuance to HHI by the Company of 11,335,315 shares of common stock at \$0.1323 per share.

The Company invested \$1 million of each installment into the ITC in consideration for the issuance to the Company of a 40% equity interest in the ITC (the balance of the installments, in the amount of \$500,000 each, is to be retained by the Company). HHI acquired a 60% equity interest in ITC by investing \$3 million in the ITC in two installments of \$1.5 million each, to be made concurrently with the two installment payments to be paid by HHI for the Company's common stock. HHI and the Company have invested an aggregate of \$5 million in the ITC.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Revenue Recognition

The Company manufactures proprietary products and other products based on design specifications provided by its customers.

The Company recognizes revenue only when all of the following criteria have been met:

Persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists;

Table of Contents

ENOVA SYSTEMS, INC.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Delivery has occurred or services have been rendered;

The fee for the arrangement is fixed or determinable; and

Collectibility is reasonably assured.

Persuasive Evidence of an Arrangement The Company documents all terms of an arrangement in a written contract signed by the customer prior to recognizing revenue.

Delivery Has Occurred or Services Have Been Rendered The Company performs all services or delivers all products prior to recognizing revenue. Professional consulting and engineering services are considered to be performed when the services are complete. Equipment is considered delivered upon delivery to a customer's designated location. In certain instances, the customer elects to take title upon shipment.

The Fee for the Arrangement is Fixed or Determinable Prior to recognizing revenue, a customer's fee is either fixed or determinable under the terms of the written contract. Fees professional consulting services, engineering services and equipment sales are fixed under the terms of the written contract. The customer's fee is negotiated at the outset of the arrangement and is not subject to refund or adjustment during the initial term of the arrangement.

Collectibility is Reasonably Assured The Company determines that collectibility is reasonably assured prior to recognizing revenue. Collectibility is assessed on a customer-by-customer basis based on criteria outlined by management. New customers are subject to a credit review process, which evaluates the customer's financial position and ultimately its ability to pay. The Company does not enter into arrangements unless collectibility is reasonably assured at the outset. Existing customers are subject to ongoing credit evaluations based on payment history and other factors. If it is determined during the arrangement that collectibility is not reasonably assured, revenue is recognized on a cash basis. Additionally, in accordance with the Securities and Exchange Commission's Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 104 (SAB 104), amounts received upfront for engineering or development fees under multiple-element arrangements are deferred and recognized over the period of committed services or performance, if such arrangements require the Company to provide on-going services or performance. All amounts received under collaborative research agreements or research and development contracts are nonrefundable, regardless of the success of the underlying research.

Pursuant to Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) of the Financial Accounting Standards Board Issue 00-21. EITF Issue 00-21 addressed the accounting for arrangements that may involve the delivery or performance of multiple products, services and/or rights to use assets. Specifically, Issue 00-21 requires the recognition of revenue from milestone payments over the remaining minimum period of performance obligations. As required, the Company applies the principles of Issue 00-21 to multiple element agreements.

The Company recognizes engineering and construction contract revenues using the percentage-of-completion method, based primarily on contract costs incurred to date compared with total estimated contract costs. Customer-furnished materials, labor, and equipment, and in certain cases subcontractor materials, labor, and equipment, are included in revenues and cost of revenues when management believes that the company is responsible for the ultimate acceptability of the project. Contracts are segmented between types of services, such as engineering and construction,

and accordingly, gross margin related to each activity is recognized as those separate services are rendered.

Changes to total estimated contract costs or losses, if any, are recognized in the period in which they are determined. Claims against customers are recognized as revenue upon settlement. Revenues recognized in excess of amounts billed are classified as current assets under contract work-in-progress. Amounts billed to clients in excess of revenues recognized to date are classified as current liabilities under advance billings on contracts.

Changes in project performance and conditions, estimated profitability, and final contract settlements may result in future revisions to engineering and development contract costs and revenue.

Table of Contents

ENOVA SYSTEMS, INC.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Deferred Revenue

The Company recognizes revenues as earned. Amounts billed in advance of the period in which service is rendered are recorded as a liability under Deferred Revenue.

Comprehensive Income

The Company utilizes Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 130, Reporting Comprehensive Income. This statement establishes standards for reporting comprehensive income and its components in a financial statement. Comprehensive income as defined includes all changes in equity (net assets) during a period from non-owner sources. Examples of items to be included in comprehensive income, which are excluded from net income, include foreign currency translation adjustments, minimum pension liability adjustments, and unrealized gains and losses on available-for-sale securities. Comprehensive income is not presented in the Company's financial statements since the Company did not have any changes in equity from non-owner sources.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Short-term, highly liquid investments with an original maturity of three months or less are considered cash equivalents.

Short-Term Investments

Short-term investments consist of certificates of deposit with maturities of less than a year.

Accounts Receivable

Trade accounts receivable are recorded at the invoiced amount and do not bear interest. The allowance for doubtful accounts is the Company's best estimate of the amount of probable credit losses in the Company's existing accounts receivable; however, changes in circumstances relating to accounts receivable may result in a requirement for additional allowances in the future. The Company determines the allowance based on historical write-off experience, current market trends and, for larger accounts, the ability to pay outstanding balances. The Company continually reviews its allowance for doubtful accounts. Past due balances over 90 days and other higher risk amounts are reviewed individually for collectability. In addition, the Company maintains a general reserve for all invoices by applying a percentage based on the age category. Account balances are charged against the allowance after all collection efforts have been exhausted and the potential for recovery is considered remote.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

The Company maintains allowances for doubtful accounts for estimated losses resulting from the inability of its customers to make required payments. A considerable amount of judgment is required in assessing the ultimate realization of accounts receivable including the current credit-worthiness of each customer. If the financial condition of the Company's customers were to deteriorate, resulting in an impairment of their ability to make payments, additional allowances may be required. As of December 31, 2007 and 2006, the Company maintained a reserve of \$261,000 for doubtful accounts receivable. There was no bad debt expense recorded in 2007 or 2006.

Inventories and Supplies

Inventories and supplies are comprised of materials used in the design and development of electric, hybrid electric, and fuel cell drive systems, and other power and ongoing management and control components for production and ongoing development contracts, and is stated at the lower of cost (first-in, first-out) or market (net realizable value).

Table of Contents

ENOVA SYSTEMS, INC.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment are stated at cost and depreciated over the estimated useful lives of the related assets, which range from three to seven years using the straight-line method for financial statement purposes. The Company uses other depreciation methods (generally, accelerated depreciation methods) for tax purposes where appropriate. Amortization of leasehold improvements is computed using the straight-line method over the shorter of the remaining lease term or the estimated useful lives of the improvements.

Repairs and maintenance are expensed as incurred. Expenditures that increase the value or productive capacity of assets are capitalized. When property and equipment are retired, sold, or otherwise disposed of, the asset's cost and related accumulated depreciation are removed from the accounts and any gain or loss is included in operations.

Assets Held as Leasehold Improvements

Assets held as leasehold improvements are recorded at cost. Amortization expense is computed using the straight-line method over the shorter of the estimated useful lives of the assets or the period of the related lease.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

The Company assesses the impairment of its long-lived assets periodically in accordance with the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) 144, Accounting for the Impairment and Disposal of Long-Lived Assets .

The Company reviews the carrying value of property and equipment for impairment whenever events and circumstances indicate that the carrying value of an asset may not be recoverable from the estimated future cash flows expected to result from its use and eventual disposition. In cases where undiscounted expected future cash flows are less than the carrying value, an impairment loss is recognized equal to an amount by which the carrying value exceeds the fair value of assets. The factors considered by management in performing this assessment include current operating results, trends, and prospects, as well as the effects of obsolescence, demand, competition, and other economic factors. Long-lived assets that management commits to sell or abandon are reported at the lower of carrying amount or fair value less cost to sell.

Equity Method Investment

Investment in ITC, a joint venture (see Note 1) is accounted for by the equity method. Under the equity method of accounting, an investee company's accounts are not reflected within the Company's balance sheets or statements of operations; however, the Company's share of the earnings or losses of the investee company is reflected in the caption Equity losses in non-consolidated joint venture in the statements of operations. The Company's carrying value in an equity method joint venture company is reflected in the caption Investment in non-consolidated joint venture in the Company's balance sheets.

Patents

Patents are measured based on their fair values. Patents are being amortized on a straight-line basis over a period of 20 years and are stated net of accumulated amortization of \$24,000 and \$19,000 at December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

Intangible Assets Development Agreement

The Company entered into an agreement to develop and manufacture a high power, high voltage conversion module for Ford Motor Company's (Ford) fuel cell vehicle. The fair value of warrants issued as the purchase price for the five-year agreement, which was determined using the Black-Scholes option pricing model, was recorded as an intangible asset and has been amortized over the period of its estimated benefit period of 5 years.

Table of Contents

ENOVA SYSTEMS, INC.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Impairment of Intangible Assets

The Company evaluates the recoverability of identifiable intangible assets whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that an intangible asset's carrying amount may not be recoverable. Such circumstances could include, but are not limited to: (1) a significant decrease in the market value of an asset, (2) a significant adverse change in the extent or manner in which an asset is used, or (3) an accumulation of costs significantly in excess of the amount originally expected for the asset. The Company measures the carrying amount of the asset against the estimated undiscounted future cash flows associated with it. Should the sum of the expected future net cash flows be less than the carrying value of the asset being evaluated, an impairment loss would be recognized. The impairment loss would be calculated as the amount by which the carrying value of the asset exceeds its fair value. The fair value is measured based on quoted market prices, if available. If quoted market prices are not available, the estimate of fair value is based on various valuation techniques, including the discounted value of estimated future cash flows. The evaluation of asset impairment requires the Company to make assumptions about future cash flows over the life of the asset being evaluated. These assumptions require significant judgment and actual results may differ from assumed and estimated amounts. During the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, the Company did not have any impairment loss related to an intangible asset (see Note 6).

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The carrying amount of financial instruments, including cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, accounts payable and accrued expenses, approximate fair value due to the short maturity of these instruments. The carrying value of all other financial instruments is representative of their fair values. The Company's short and long term debt may be less than the carrying value since there is no readily ascertainable market for the debt given the financial position of the Company.

Stock-Based Compensation

On January 1, 2006, the Company adopted SFAS 123 (revised 2004), *Share-Based Payment* (SFAS 123(R)), which requires the measurement and recognition of compensation expense for all share-based awards made to employees and directors, including employee stock options and shares issued through its employee stock purchase plan, based on estimated fair values. In March 2005, the Securities and Exchange Commission issued Staff Accounting Bulletin 107 (SAB 107) relating to SFAS 123(R). The Company has applied the provisions of SAB 107 in its adoption of SFAS 123(R). The Company adopted SFAS 123(R) using the modified prospective transition method, which requires the application of the accounting standard as of the beginning in 2006. The Company's financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2006 reflect the impact of SFAS 123(R).

Stock compensation expense recognized during the period is based on the value of share-based awards that are expected to vest during the period. Stock compensation expense recognized in the Company's statements of operations for 2007 and 2006 include compensation expense related to share-based awards granted prior to January 1, 2006 that vested during 2007 and 2006 based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the pro forma provisions of SFAS 123. Stock compensation expense in 2007 and 2006 also includes compensation expense for the share-based awards granted subsequent to January 1, 2006 based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the provisions of SFAS 123(R).

The Company's determination of estimated fair value of share-based awards utilizes the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. The Black-Scholes model is affected by the Company's stock price as well as assumptions regarding certain highly complex and subjective variables. These variables include, but are not limited to, the Company's expected stock price volatility over the term of the awards and actual and projected employee stock option exercise behaviors. Prior to the adoption of SFAS 123(R), the Company accounted for stock-based awards to employees and directors using the intrinsic value method in accordance with Accounting Principles Board Opinion 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees* (APB 25).

Table of Contents

ENOVA SYSTEMS, INC.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Advertising Expense

The Company expenses all advertising costs as they are incurred. Advertising expense for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006 was \$1,000 and \$2,000, respectively.

Research and Development

In accordance with SFAS No. 2, Accounting for Research Development Costs research, development, and engineering costs are expensed in the year incurred. Costs of significantly altering existing technology are expensed as incurred.

Income Taxes

In June 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes (FIN 48). FIN 48 prescribes detailed guidance for the financial statement recognition, measurement and disclosure of uncertain tax positions recognized in an enterprise s financial statements in accordance with FASB Statement No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes. Tax positions must meet a more-likely-than-not recognition threshold at the effective date to be recognized upon the adoption of FIN 48 and in subsequent periods. The Company adopted FIN 48 effective January 1, 2007 and the provisions of FIN 48 have been applied to all tax positions under Statement No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes (SFAS 109) upon initial adoption.

The Company utilizes SFAS No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes, which requires the recognition of deferred tax assets and liabilities for the expected future tax consequences of events that have been included in the financial statements or tax returns. Under this method, deferred income taxes are recognized for the tax consequences in future years of differences between the tax bases of assets and liabilities and their financial reporting amounts at each year-end based on enacted tax laws and statutory tax rates applicable to the periods in which the differences are expected to affect taxable income. Valuation allowances are established, when necessary, to reduce deferred tax assets to the amount expected to be realized.

Loss Per Share

The Company utilizes SFAS No. 128, Earnings per Share. Basic loss per share is computed by dividing loss available to common stockholders by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding. Diluted loss per share is computed similar to basic loss per share except that the denominator is increased to include the number of additional common shares that would have been outstanding if the potential common shares had been issued and if the additional common shares were dilutive. Common equivalent shares are excluded from the computation if their effect is anti-dilutive. The Company s common share equivalents consist of stock options.

The potential shares, which are excluded from the determination of basic and diluted net loss per share as their effect is anti-dilutive, are as follows:

Year Ended December 31, 2007	Year Ended December 31, 2006
---	---

Options to purchase common stock	329,000	162,000
Warrants to purchase common stock		
Potential equivalent shares excluded	329,000	162,000

Commitments and Contingencies

Certain conditions may exist as of the date the financial statements are issued, which may result in a loss to the Company but which will only be resolved when one or more future events occur or fail to occur. The Company s

Table of Contents

ENOVA SYSTEMS, INC.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

management and its legal counsel assess such contingent liabilities, and such assessment inherently involves an exercise of judgment. In assessing loss contingencies related to legal proceedings that are pending against the Company or unasserted claims that may result in such proceedings, the Company's legal counsel evaluates the perceived merits of any legal proceedings or unasserted claims as well as the perceived merits of the amount of relief sought or expected to be sought therein. If the assessment of a contingency indicates that it is probable that a material loss has been incurred and the amount of the liability can be estimated, then the estimated liability would be accrued in the Company's financial statements. If the assessment indicates that a potentially material loss contingency is not probable, but is reasonably possible, or is probable but cannot be estimated, then the nature of the contingent liability, together with an estimate of the range of possible loss if determinable and material, would be disclosed.

Loss contingencies considered remote are generally not disclosed unless they involve guarantees, in which case the nature of the guarantee would be disclosed.

Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Concentration of Credit Risk

Financial instruments which potentially subject the Company to concentrations of credit risk consist of cash and cash equivalents and accounts receivable. The Company places its cash and cash equivalents with high credit, quality financial institutions. The Company has not experienced any losses in such accounts and believes it is not exposed to any significant credit risk on cash and cash equivalents. With respect to accounts receivable, the Company routinely assesses the financial strength of its customers and, as a consequence, believes that the receivable credit risk exposure is limited.

Major Customers

During the year ended December 31, 2007, the Company conducted business with two customers whose gross sales comprised 52% and 15% of total revenues and accounted for 60% and 15% of gross accounts receivable, respectively. During the year ended December 31, 2006, the Company conducted business with two customers whose gross sales comprised 39% and 16% of total revenues. As of December 31, 2006, four customers accounted for 36%, 17%, 13%, and 11% of gross accounts receivable, respectively.

In addition, one of the Company's stockholders accounted for 3% and 39% of total revenues during the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. This stockholder holds approximately 4% of the total issued and outstanding common stock as of December 31, 2007.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159 Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities (SFAS 159) which permits entities to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value. Companies are required to adopt the new standard for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. The Company is evaluating the impact of this standard and currently does not expect it to have a significant impact on its financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In June 2007, the FASB ratified Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) Issue No. 06-11 (EITF Issue No. 06-11), Accounting for Income Tax Benefits of Dividends on Shared-Based Payment Awards . EITF Issue No 06-11 requires that tax benefits generated by dividends paid during the vesting period on certain equity-

Table of Contents**ENOVA SYSTEMS, INC.****NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

classified share-based compensation awards be treated as additional paid-in capital and included in a pool of excess tax benefits available to absorb tax deficiencies from share-based payment awards. EITF Issue No. 06-11 is effective beginning with the 2009 fiscal year. The Company does not expect it to have a significant impact on its financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In June 2007 the FASB ratified EITF No. 07-3, *Accounting for Nonrefundable Advance Payments for Goods or Services to Be Used in Future Research and Development Activities* (EITF 07-3) which requires non-refundable advance payments for goods and services to be used in future research and development activities to be recorded as an asset and the payments to be expensed when the research and development activities are performed. EITF 07-3 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2007. The Company is evaluating the impact of this standard and currently does not expect it to have a significant impact on its financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, *Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements, an amendment of ARB No. 51* (SFAS 160). SFAS 160 introduces significant changes in the accounting and reporting for business acquisitions and noncontrolling interest (NCI) in a subsidiary. SFAS 160 also changes the accounting for and reporting for the deconsolidation of a subsidiary. Companies are required to adopt the new standard for fiscal years beginning after January 1, 2009. The Company is evaluating the impact of this standard and currently does not expect it to have a significant impact on its financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141R, *Business Combinations* (SFAS 141R) which establishes principles and requirements for how the acquirer of a business recognizes and measures in its financial statements the identifiable assets acquired, the liabilities assumed, and any noncontrolling interest in the acquiree. The statement also provides guidance for recognizing and measuring the goodwill acquired in the business combination and determines what information to disclose to enable users of the financial statement to evaluate the nature and financial effects of the business combination. SFAS 141R is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. Accordingly, any business combinations the Company engages in will be recorded and disclosed following existing GAAP until January 1, 2009. The Company does not expect SFAS 141R will have an impact on its financial statements when effective, but the nature and magnitude of the specific effects will depend upon the nature, terms and size of the acquisitions the Company consummates after the effective date. The Company is evaluating the impact of this standard and currently does not expect it to have a significant impact on its financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

3. Inventory

Inventories, consisting of material, material overhead, labor, and manufacturing overhead, are stated at the lower of cost (first-in, first-out) or market and consist of the following at December 31:

	2007	2006
Raw materials	\$ 3,037,000	\$ 1,285,000
Work-in-process	489,000	482,000
Finished Goods	139,000	

Reserve for obsolescence	(100,000)	(63,000)
Total	\$ 3,565,000	\$ 1,704,000

For the year ended December, 31 2007 the reserve for obsolescence was increased by approximately \$37,000. For the year ended December 31, 2006 the reserve for obsolescence was reduced, due to inventory written off, by \$17,000.

Table of Contents**ENOVA SYSTEMS, INC.****NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****4. Property and Equipment**

Property and equipment at December 31, 2007 and 2006 consisted of the following:

	2007	2006
Computers and software	\$ 593,000	\$ 464,000
Machinery and equipment	1,485,000	1,155,000
Furniture and office equipment	269,000	246,000
Demonstration vehicles and buses	397,000	421,000
Leasehold improvements	70,000	70,000
Construction in progress	60,000	
	2,874,000	2,356,000
Less accumulated depreciation and amortization	(2,004,000)	(1,729,000)
Total	\$ 870,000	\$ 627,000

Depreciation expense was \$296,000 and \$304,000 for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

5. Investment in Non-Consolidated Joint Venture ITC

The Company has invested an aggregate of \$2,000,000 into ITC. The Company's share of income and losses is 40% as stated in the agreement. During the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, the Company recorded \$177,000 and \$3,000 as its proportionate share of losses in the joint venture.

The following is the condensed financial position and results of operations of ITC, as of and for the years ended presented below:

	December 31, 2007	December 31, 2006
Financial position		
Current assets	\$ 3,635,000	\$ 4,100,000
Property and equipment, net	42,000	12,000
Liabilities	(2,000)	
Equity	\$ 3,675,000	\$ 4,112,000
Operations		
Net revenues	\$ 202,000	\$ 259,000

Expenses	(872,000)	(458,000)
Interest income	233,000	192,000
Net loss	\$ (437,000)	\$ (7,000)
Company's proportionate share of net loss	\$ (177,000)	\$ (3,000)

6. Intangible Assets

Intangible assets consist of legal fees directly associated with patent licensing. The Company has been granted three patents. These patents have been capitalized and are being amortized on a straight-line basis over a period of 20 years.

Table of Contents**ENOVA SYSTEMS, INC.****NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

In June 2001, a strategic relationship with Ford Motor Company was entered into to develop and manufacture a high power, high voltage conversion module for Ford's fuel cell vehicle. Warrants were issued to Ford Motor Company in exchange for Ford's commitment to enter into a five-year agreement. The issuance of the warrants was recorded as a non-current asset (Value Participation Agreement) at its fair market value of \$577,000, which was determined using the Black-Scholes option pricing model, and was being amortized on a straight-line basis over the life of the contract. As of December 31, 2007, these warrants were fully amortized.

The following table illustrates the types and carrying values of the Company's other assets:

	2007	2006
Patents	\$ 93,000	\$ 93,000
Valuation Participation Agreement	577,000	577,000
	670,000	670,000
Less accumulated amortization	(600,000)	(596,000)
Total	\$ 70,000	\$ 74,000

Amortization expense charged to operations was \$4,000 and \$115,000 for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

7. Notes Payable

In January and February of 2006, the Company settled \$1,083,000 of principal and \$472,000 of accrued interest under the secured note payable to the Credit Managers Association of California (CMAC). In consideration for the settlement, the Company paid the beneficiaries \$163,000. The Company evaluated this transaction under the guidance set forth in SFAS 140 Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities and noted that the extinguishment of these liabilities was consistent with the guidance.

Table of Contents**ENOVA SYSTEMS, INC.****NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

Notes payable at December 31, consisted of the following:

	2007	2006
Secured note payable to Credit Managers Association of California, bearing interest at prime plus 3% (10.75% at December 31, 2007) and through maturity. Principal and unpaid interest due in April 2016. A sinking fund escrow is required to be funded with 10% of future equity financing, as defined in the Agreement	\$ 1,238,000	\$ 1,238,000
Secured note payable to a financial institution in the original amount of \$95,000, bearing interest at 6.21%, payable in 36 equal monthly installments of principal and interest through October 1, 2009	59,000	88,000
Secured note payable to a financial institution in the original amount of \$35,000, bearing interest at 10.45%, payable in 30 equal monthly installments of principal and interest through November 1, 2009	27,000	
Secured note payable to a Coca Cola Enterprises in the original amount of \$40,000, bearing interest at 10% per annum. Principal and unpaid interest due on demand	40,000	40,000
Secured note payable to a financial institution in the original amount of \$39,000, bearing interest at 4.99% per annum, payable in 48 equal monthly installments of principal and interest through September 1, 2011	37,000	
	1,401,000	1,366,000
Less current portion	(95,000)	(71,000)
Long-term portion	\$ 1,306,000	\$ 1,295,000

Future minimum principal payments of notes payable consisted of the following:

	December 31, 2007
2008	\$ 95,000
2009	50,000
2010	10,000
2011	8,000
2012	
Thereafter	1,238,000
Total	\$ 1,401,000

8. Revolving Credit Agreement

In October 2007, the Company entered into a secured revolving credit facility with a financial institution (the Credit Agreement) for \$2,000,000. The Credit Agreement is secured by a \$2,000,000 certificate of deposit. The interest rate is the certificate of deposit rate plus 1.25% with interest payable monthly and the principal due at maturity. The Credit Agreement expires on June 30, 2009. As of December 31, 2007, the Company had \$1,800,000 million available under the terms of the Credit Agreement as the financial institution has issued a \$200,000 irrevocable letter of credit in favor of Sunshine Distribution LP (Landlord), with respect to the lease of an approximately 43,000 square foot facility located at 1560 West 190th Street, Torrance, California (the Lease).

Table of Contents**ENOVA SYSTEMS, INC.****NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****9. Deferred Revenues**

The Company has entered into a development contract with a federally funded consortium called the Hawaii Center for Advanced Transportation Technologies (HCATT). The consortium develops vehicles used by the United States Air Force. The Company has been developing vehicles for HCATT for 4 years under several different contracts. This specific development contract commenced on March 30, 2006, and was valued at \$955,000. The Company is recording revenues for this contract on the basis of the percentage of completion method, with different deliverables divided into separate units of accounting based on relative fair values, as prescribed in SOP 81-1- Accounting for Performance of Construction Type and Certain Production Type Contracts . Receipts of cash in excess of the revenue to be recognized under the percentage of completion is reflected as deferred on the balance sheet. The Company recognized \$700,000 and \$273,000 in revenue from HCATT during the year ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. At December 31, 2007 and 2006, the Company had deferred \$0 and \$166,000 in revenue from HCATT, respectively.

Additionally, the company has entered into several production and development contracts with other customers. The Company has evaluated these contracts, ascertained the specific revenue generating activities of each contract, and established the units of accounting for each activity. Revenue on these units of accounting is not recognized until a) there is persuasive evidence of the existence of a contract, b) the service has been rendered and delivery has occurred, c) there is a fixed and determinable price, and d) collectability is reasonable assured. This treatment is consistent with the guidance prescribed in SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin 104 Revenue Recognition and FASB Emerging Issues Task Force Issue 00-21 Revenue Arrangements with Multiple Deliverables. At December 31, 2007 and 2006, the Company had deferred \$101,000 and \$233,000 in revenue related to these contracts, respectively.

10. Commitments and Contingencies***Leases***

Enova's corporate offices were previously located in Torrance, California, in leased office space of approximately 20,000 square feet. This facility housed various departments, including engineering, operations, executive, finance, planning, purchasing, investor relations and human resources. This lease terminated on February 28, 2008.

In October 2007, Enova entered into a lease agreement with Sunshine Distribution LP (Landlord), with respect to the lease of an approximately 43,000 square foot facility located at 1560 West 190th Street, Torrance, California (the Lease). The lease term commenced on November 1, 2007, and expires January 1, 2013. The total basic monthly rent will be approximately \$37,000, and will be incrementally increased each year, based on the increase in the consumer price index. Under the Lease, Enova will pay the Landlord certain commercially reasonable and customary common area maintenance costs of approximately \$5,000 per month, increasing ratably as these costs are increased to the Landlord. The Lease is secured by an irrevocable standby letter of credit in the amount of \$200,000 and naming the Landlord as the beneficiary. As of February 28, 2008, this facility will house all of Enova's departments. Rent due under the Lease was abated for the months of November and December 2007. Enova also has a leased office in Hawaii which is rented on a month-to-month basis at \$1,500 per month, and a sales office in Michigan that it leases on a month-to-month basis at \$500 per month.

The Company leases its operating and office facilities in Torrance, California and in Hawaii for various terms under long-term, non-cancelable operating lease agreements. Rent expense was \$288,000 and \$221,000 for the years ended December 31, 2007, and 2006, respectively.

Table of Contents**ENOVA SYSTEMS, INC.****NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

Future minimum lease payments under these non-cancelable operating and capital lease obligations at December 31, 2007 were as follows:

Year Ending December 31	Operating Leases
2008	\$ 439,000
2009	439,000
2010	439,000
2011	439,000
2012 and thereafter	439,000
Total	\$ 2,195,000

Employment Contracts

The Company has employment agreements with its executive officers, the terms of which expire at various times through January 2012. Such agreements, which have been revised from time to time, provide for minimum salary levels, adjusted annually for certain changes, as well as for incentive bonuses that are payable if specified management goals are attained. The aggregate commitment for future salaries at December 31, 2007, excluding bonuses, was approximately \$1,875,000.

11. Stockholders Equity***Common Stock***

During the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, the Company issued 50,000 and 32,000 shares of common stock, respectively, to directors as compensation. The common stock issued in 2007 and 2006 was valued at \$212,000 and \$135,000, respectively, based upon the trading value of the common stock on the date of issuance.

Common Stock Subscribed

At December 31, 2007 and 2006, the Company was committed to issue 6,000 and 12,000 shares of common stock, respectively, to its directors. The value of this common stock issuable at December 31, 2007 and 2006 was \$30,000 and \$36,000, respectively and represents compensation to its directors.

Series A Preferred Stock

Series A preferred stock is currently unregistered and convertible into common stock on a one-to-one basis at the election of the holder or automatically upon the occurrence of certain events including: sale of stock in an underwritten public offering; registration of the underlying conversion stock; or the merger, consolidation, or sale of more than 50% of the Company. Holders of Series A preferred stock have the same voting rights as common

stockholders. The stock has a liquidation preference of \$0.60 per share plus any accrued and unpaid dividends in the event of voluntary or involuntary liquidation of the Company. Dividends are non-cumulative and payable at the annual rate of \$0.036 per share if, when, and as declared by, the Board of Directors. No dividends have been declared on the Series A preferred stock.

Substantially all of the stock notes receivable stem from a Board of Directors plan for the sale of shares of Series A preferred stock in 1993 to certain officers and directors (Participants). In general, the Participants could purchase the preferred stock for a combination of cash, promissory notes payable to the Company, and conversion of debt and deferred compensation due to the Participants. All shares issued under this plan were pledged to the Company as security for the notes. The notes provided for interest at 8% per annum payable annually, with the full principal amount and any unpaid interest due on January 31, 1997. The notes remain outstanding. The likelihood of

Table of Contents

ENOVA SYSTEMS, INC.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

collecting the interest on these notes is remote; therefore, accrued interest has not been recorded since the fiscal year ended July 31, 1997.

Series B Preferred Stock

Series B preferred stock is currently unregistered and each share is convertible into shares of common stock on a two-for-one basis, including adjustments to reflect the Company's 1-45 reverse stock split on July 20, 2005, at the election of the holder or automatically upon the occurrence of certain events including: sale of stock in an underwritten public offering, if the offering results in net proceeds of \$10,000,000, and the per share price of common stock is at least \$2.00; and the merger, consolidation, or sale of common stock or sale of substantially all of the Company's assets in which gross proceeds received are at least \$10,000,000. The Series B preferred stock has certain liquidation and dividend rights prior and in preference to the rights of the common stock and Series A preferred stock. The stock has a liquidation preference of \$2.00 per share together with an amount equal to, generally, \$0.14 per share compounded annually at 7% per year from the filing date, less any dividends paid. Dividends on the Series B preferred stock are non-cumulative and payable at the annual rate of \$0.14 per share if, when, and as declared by, the Board of Directors. No dividends have been declared on the Series B preferred stock. In October 2007, approximately 639,000 shares were converted into common stock at the election of the holder for approximately 28,000 shares of common stock.

Warrants

During the year ended December 31, 2007, the Company issued shares of common stock from the exercise of options. The agreement with Ford Motor Company (see Note 6) included issuing warrants to Ford to purchase 4.6% of the fully diluted common stock of the Company over a 66 month period. The number of shares to be acquired will be adjusted from time to time for increases in the Company's fully diluted common stock. The vesting of these warrants is dependent upon Ford meeting specific purchase requirements.

The fair value of the warrants granted to Ford were estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following assumptions: dividend yield of 0%, expected volatility of 102%, risk-free interest rate of 4.76% and an expected life of the warrants of 66 months. Warrants issued and vested under this agreement totaled 2,500,000 at an exercise price of \$0.29 per share during the year ended December 31, 2001. As of June 30, 2004, Ford was no longer eligible for further vesting of its warrants per the terms of the Value Participation Agreement. On December 15, 2007, these warrants expired.

12. Stock Options

Stock Option Program Description

For the year ended December 31, 2007 the Company had two equity compensation plans, the 1996 Stock Option Plan (the 1996 Plan) and the 2006 equity compensation plan (the 2006 Plan). The 1996 Plan has expired for the purposes of issuing new grants. However, the 1996 Plan will continue to govern awards previously granted under that plan. The 2006 Plan has been approved by the Company's Shareholders.

Equity compensation grants are designed to reward employees and executives for their long term contributions to the Company and to provide incentives for them to remain with the Company. The number and frequency of equity compensation grants are based on competitive practices, operating results of the company, and government regulations.

The maximum number of shares issuable over the term of the 1996 Plan was limited to 65 million shares. Options granted under the 1996 Plan typically have had an exercise price of 100% of the fair market value of the underlying stock on the grant date and expired no later than ten years from the grant date. The 2006 Plan has a total of 3,000,000 shares reserved for issuance, of which 215,000 have been granted in 2007.

Table of Contents

ENOVA SYSTEMS, INC.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

In conjunction with the adoption of SFAS 123(R), the Company elected to attribute the value of share-based compensation to expense using the straight-line method over the vesting period for the options granted. Share-based compensation expense related to stock options was \$367,000 and \$55,000 for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively, and was recorded in the financial statements as a component of selling, general and administrative expense.

As of December 31, 2007, the total compensation cost related to non-vested awards not yet recognized is \$278,000. The weighted average period over which the future compensation cost is expected to be recognized is 12 months. The aggregate intrinsic value of total awards outstanding is \$188,000.

As stock-based compensation expense recognized in the Statement of Operations for the twelve months of fiscal 2007 has been based on awards ultimately expected to vest, it has been reduced for estimated forfeitures. SFAS 123(R) requires forfeitures to be estimated at the time of grant and revised, if necessary, in subsequent periods if actual forfeitures differ from those estimates. For the year ended December 31, 2007, the Company applied estimated average forfeiture rates of approximately 3% for non-officer grants, based on historical forfeiture experience.

For 2007 and 2006, the expected life ranged from 3 to 5 years for non-officer grants. Options granted for the 2007 and 2006 fiscal year did not vest based on the revenue milestones.

SFAS 123(R) requires the cash flows resulting from the tax benefits resulting from tax deductions in excess of the compensation cost recognized for those options to be classified as financing cash flows. Due to the Company's loss position, there were no such tax benefits for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006. Prior to the adoption of SFAS 123(R), those benefits would have been reported as operating cash flows had the Company received any tax benefits related to stock option exercises.

The fair value of stock-based awards to officers and employees is calculated using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. The Black-Scholes model requires subjective assumptions, including future stock price volatility and expected time to exercise, which greatly affect the calculated values. The expected term of options granted is derived from historical data on employee exercises and post-vesting employment termination behavior. The risk-free rate selected to value any particular grant is based on the bond equivalent yields that corresponds to the pricing term of the grant effective as of the date of the grant. The expected volatility is based on the historical volatility of the Company's stock price. These factors could change in the future, affecting the determination of stock-based compensation expense in future periods.

Table of Contents**ENOVA SYSTEMS, INC.****NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

The following is a summary of changes to outstanding stock options during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007:

	Number of Share Options	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Outstanding at December 31, 2005	458,000	\$ 4.48	6.8	\$ 147,000
Granted	46,000	4.60	10	6,000
Exercised				
Forfeited or expired	(342,000)	4.91		
Outstanding at December 31, 2006	162,000	4.43	7.96	60,000
Granted	215,000	4.10	5	151,000
Exercised	(44,000)	4.36		
Forfeited or expired	(4,000)	4.35		
Outstanding at December 31, 2007	329,000	4.23	5.85	188,000
Vested and expected to vest at December 31, 2007	314,000	4.23	5.66	179,000
Options exercisable at December 31, 2007	204,000	\$ 4.28	5.85	\$ 41,000

The aggregate intrinsic value of \$188,000 of options outstanding as of December 31, 2007 is based on Enova's closing stock price of \$4.80 on that date and represents the total pretax intrinsic value, which would have been received by the option holders had all option holders exercised their options as of that date.

At December 31, 2007, there were 2,785,000 shares available for grant under the employee stock option plan. The weighted-average remaining contractual life of the options outstanding at December 31, 2007 was 5.85 years. The exercise prices of the options outstanding at December 31, 2007 ranged from \$4.10 to \$4.50. The weighted-average remaining contractual life of the options outstanding at December 31, 2006 was 7.96 years. The exercise prices of the options outstanding at December 31, 2006 ranged from \$4.35 to \$4.50. Options exercisable were 204,000 and 119,000, at December 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively.

The table below presents information related to stock option activity for the fiscal ended December 31, 2007 and 2006:

**Fiscal Year Ended
December 31**

	2007	2006
Total intrinsic value of stock options exercised	\$ 29,000	\$
Cash received from stock option exercises	\$ 193,000	\$
Gross income tax benefit from the exercise of stock options	\$	\$

Table of Contents**ENOVA SYSTEMS, INC.****NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)*****Valuation and Expense Information under SFAS 123***

The fair values of all stock options granted during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007 and 2006 were estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following range of assumptions:

	2007	2006
Expected life (in years)	3 - 5	5
Average risk-free interest rate	3 - 4%	4%
Expected volatility	75 - 104%	75%
Expected dividend yield	0%	0%

The estimated fair value of grants of stock options and warrants to nonemployees of the Company is charged to expense, if applicable, in the financial statements. These options vest in the same manner as the employee options granted under each of the option plans as described above.

13. Income Taxes

In June 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes (FIN 48). FIN 48 prescribes detailed guidance for the financial statement recognition, measurement and disclosure of uncertain tax positions recognized in an enterprise s financial statements in accordance with FASB Statement No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes. Tax positions must meet a more-likely-than-not recognition threshold at the effective date to be recognized upon the adoption of FIN 48 and in subsequent periods. The Company adopted FIN 48 effective January 1, 2007 and the provisions of FIN 48 have been applied to all tax positions under Statement No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes (SFAS 109) upon initial adoption. The cumulative effect of applying the provisions of this interpretation had no effect on the opening balance of retained earnings for our fiscal year 2007.

Significant components of the Company s deferred tax assets and liabilities for federal and state income taxes as of December 31, 2007 and 2006 consisted of the following:

	2007	2006
Deferred tax assets		
Federal tax loss carry-forward	\$ 35,668,000	\$ 34,650,000
State tax loss carry-forward	2,057,000	1,548,000
Basis difference		1,610,000
Stock based compensation	167,000	
Other, net	(222,000)	(114,000)
	37,670,000	37,694,000
Less valuation allowance	(37,670,000)	(37,694,000)

Net deferred tax assets \$ \$

The Tax Reform Act of 1986 limits the use of net operating loss carryforwards in certain situations where changed occur in the stock ownership of a company. In the event the Company has had a change in ownership, utilization of the carryforwards could be restricted.

Deferred taxes arise from temporary differences in the recognition of certain expenses for tax and financial reporting purposes. The deferred tax assets have been offset by a valuation allowance since management does not believe the recoverability of these in future years is more likely than not to occur. The valuation allowance decreased by \$24,000 and increased by \$2,444,000 during the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. As of December 31 2007, the Company had net operating loss carry forwards for federal and state

Table of Contents**ENOVA SYSTEMS, INC.****NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

income tax purposes of approximately \$104,904,000 and \$23,276,000, respectively. The net operating loss carry forwards will begin expiring in 2008 to 2022.

The provision for income taxes differs from the amount computed by applying the U.S. federal statutory tax rate (34% in 2007 and 2006) to income taxes as follows:

	December 31, 2007	December 31, 2006
Tax benefit computed at 34%	\$ 3,178,000	\$ 1,644,000
Change in valuation allowance	(24,000)	(2,444,000)
State tax (net of Federal benefit)	543,000	
Change in carryovers and tax attributes	(3,697,000)	800,000
Net tax benefit	\$	\$

14. Related Party Transactions

During 2007 and 2006, the Company purchased approximately \$1,953,000 and \$404,000, respectively, in components, materials and services from HHI. The Company had an outstanding payable balance owed to HHI of \$483,000, net of a receivable of approximately \$10,000, and \$138,000 at December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

A relative of one of the Company's directors is a majority owner of a website consulting firm which provides services (branding) to the Company. The Company paid consulting fees and expenses to this firm in the amount of approximately \$180,000 in 2007 and \$149,000 in 2006.

15. Employee Benefit Plan

The Company has a 401(k) profit sharing plan covering substantially all employees. Eligible employees may elect to contribute a percentage of their annual compensation, as defined, to the plan. The Company may also elect to make discretionary contributions. For the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, the Company did not make any contributions to the plan.

Table of Contents**ENOVA SYSTEMS, INC.****NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****16. Geographic Area Data**

The Company operates as a single reportable segment and attributes revenues to countries based upon the location of the entity originating the sale. Revenues by geographic area are as follows:

	2007	2006
United States	\$ 3,080,000	\$ 579,000
Mexico	59,000	
Italy		5,000
Korea	359,000	753,000
Japan	87,000	43,000
China		68,000
Malaysia		21,000
Ireland		115,000
United Kingdom	5,138,000	72,000
Norway	452,000	10,000
Total	\$ 9,175,000	\$ 1,666,000

17. Subsequent Events

On March 26, 2008, the company entered into an agreement with a placement agent to sell 2,131,274 shares of common stock. Pursuant to the placing agreement, the placement agent has agreed to use its reasonable efforts to sell, and has conditionally sold, all such shares of Enova common stock at 195 pence sterling per share (approximately US\$3.91 per share) to certain eligible offshore investors. It is anticipated that the company will receive approximately 4,200,000 pounds sterling (approximately US\$8,300,000) in gross proceeds from the offering. The placement agent will earn a selling commission of 5% in addition to reimbursement of expenses. The closing of the offering is contingent upon, among other things, the listing of such shares for trading on each of the American Stock Exchange and the Alternative Investment Market (AIM) of the London Stock Exchange.

The offer and sale of the shares has been made pursuant to Regulation S under the Securities Act. Among other things, each investor purchasing shares of Enova's common stock in the offering will represent that the investor is not a United States person as defined in Regulation S. In addition, neither the company nor the placement agent has conducted any selling efforts directed at the United States in connection with the offering. All shares of common stock to be issued in the offering will include a restrictive legend indicating that the shares are being issued pursuant to Regulation S under the Securities Act and are deemed to be restricted securities. As a result, the purchasers of such shares will not be able to resell the shares unless in accordance with Regulation S, pursuant to a registration statement, or upon reliance of an applicable exemption from registration under the Securities Act.

Table of Contents

ITEM 9. *CHANGES AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE*

On January 31, 2007, we dismissed Windes as our registered public accounting firm and engaged PMB Helin Donovan, LLP as our new independent registered public accounting firm. The decision regarding the end of the Windes engagement and the commencement of the PMB Helin Donovan's engagement was made and approved by the audit committee of our board of directors after consideration of our current needs and position. Concurrent with the change in auditor, we also undertook managerial changes to our finance and operations departments, including a change in chief financial officer. In light of these organization changes and given the disagreement between us and Windes with respect to the filing of our Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended September 30, 2006 filed November 13, 2006 (the Form 10-Q), the audit committee believed that engagement of a new auditor would lead to enhanced communications with respect to audit matters.

During the course of its engagement, Windes did not provide an audit report on our financial statements. Therefore, there is no applicable disclosure within the meaning of Item 304(a)(1)(ii).

During our two most recent fiscal years, and through the date of Windes' dismissal, we and Windes had the following three disagreements within the meaning of Item 304(a)(1)(iv) of Regulation S-K on matters of accounting principles or practices, financial statement disclosure, or auditing or review scope or procedure, which if not resolved to the satisfaction of Windes would have caused it to make reference to the subject matter of the disagreement in its reports on our financial statements:

First, as reflected in the Current Reports on Form 8-K dated November 29 and December 5, 2006, Windes and we disagreed whether Windes authorized the Form 10-Q filing. After numerous discussions among Windes and us involving management and the audit committee, the disagreement was resolved by filing the requisite Item 4.02 Form 8-K (the amended Form 10-Q) and later filing the amended Form 10-Q for the fiscal period ended September 30, 2006 on December 29, 2006.

Second, Windes and we disagreed whether we followed the appropriate accounting policy and accounting literature to record revenue. This disagreement was resolved upon further analysis and by reversing the recorded revenue and related expenses in the amended Form 10-Q.

Third, Windes and we disagreed whether adequate documentation had been produced to support a material debt forgiveness transaction which, although negotiated in the 2005 fiscal year, was completed in the first quarter of the 2006 fiscal year and therefore included in our year-to-date operations. Consistent with the amended Form 10-Q's Item 4 Controls and Procedures disclosure, we were unable to locate original documentation to support the accounting treatment for the transaction. This disagreement was resolved when we obtained replacement copies to reflect the original documentation and the accounting treatment.

Our audit committee discussed the subject matter of all three disagreements above with Windes and authorized Windes to respond fully to inquiries of PMB Helin Donovan concerning the subject matter of the disagreements.

During our two most recent fiscal years and through the date of Windes' dismissal, the following were reportable events within the meaning of Item 304(a)(1)(v) of Regulation S-K:

(A) Consistent with our Item 4 Controls and Procedures disclosure in the amended Form 10-Q, Windes advised that material weaknesses existed in our internal controls, and thereby our financial statement preparation and disclosure, regarding the (i) correct application of relevant accounting standards; (ii) ability to produce original documentation to support an accounting treatment; and (iii) internal and external communication by us in ensuring

there was appropriate independent accountant review and authorization to file periodic reports such as the Form 10-Q for the fiscal period ended September 30, 2006.

(B) Given the three disagreements cited above, Windes expressed concern about its ability to rely on management representations. As a result, consistent with the Item 4 Controls and Procedures disclosure in our amended Form 10-Q, we agreed to dedicate additional time and resources to internal control matters and specifically agreed to (1) retain a consultant to review our accounting, documentation, and internal control

Table of Contents

policies and (2) implement more stringent oversight policies to ensure proper auditor authorization is received prior to making SEC filings.

(C) Given the third disagreement cited above with respect to adequate documentation, Windes further advised us that it would need to expand significantly the scope of its audit within the meaning of Item 304(a)(1)(v)(C) to ensure that proper and sufficient documentation existed to support accounting conclusions reached in prior fiscal periods including the cited debt forgiveness transaction.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As of the end of the period covered by this 2007 Form 10-K, we carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act)). Based on this evaluation, our principal executive officer and principal financial officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were not effective due to the material weakness identified as part of our evaluation of internal control over financial reporting discussed below

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(f). Under the supervision and with the participation of management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of its internal control over financial reporting based on the framework in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission*. Based on this evaluation, management has concluded that the Company's internal control over financial reporting was not effective as of December 31, 2007.

A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the company's annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected on a timely basis.

As of December 31, 2007, we identified the following material weakness in our inventory process due to ineffective controls over the inventory pricing, tracking, and reserve analysis. This control deficiency resulted in an audit adjustment to our 2007 financial statements and could have resulted in a misstatement to cost of sales that would have resulted in a material misstatement to the annual and interim financial statements if not detected and prevented.

This annual report does not include an attestation report of our registered public accounting firm regarding internal control over financial reporting. Our management's report was not subject to attestation by our registered public accounting firm pursuant to temporary rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission that permit us to provide only management's report in this annual report.

Remedial Actions and Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

We have developed and are in the process of implementing remediation plans to address the material weakness identified above and otherwise enhance our internal control over financial reporting. During the year ended December 31, 2007, the following specific remedial actions have also been put in place:

We created a regulatory compliance department in light of efforts for meeting Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. The regulatory compliance department, in cooperation and in conjunction with efforts by the Audit Committee and executive management, is responsible for ensuring the operating effectiveness of internal controls over financial reporting with the objective of appropriately addressing the risk of material misstatement in our financial statements.

Table of Contents

We enhanced period end internal controls such as robust account balance reconciliations, inspection of documents used to support the substance and form of inventory transactions, including, the summarizing, recording, and processing with warehouse, engineering, and production personnel, and executive management.

We hired a full-time controller to oversee the day-to-day functions of the accounting and finance department. Our Chief Financial Officer had acted in the capacity of the Controller prior to the filling of the position.

We hired a full-time Inventory Control Manager to summarize and manage the movement or tracking of inventory throughout the production process. We also hired a full-time Production Scheduler to manage the production schedule requirements and assist in monitoring the production process.

We hired a full-time Senior/Cost Accountant to assist in the evaluation of the inventory accounts and job costing.

We hired a full-time Shop Supervisor to handle the daily operations of the production process.

We hired a full-time Financial Reporting Manager to manage our external financial reporting processes, including periodic filings with the SEC.

We hired a full-time Director of Supply chain to develop and manage the materials planning function.

Other than as described above, there have not been any other changes in our internal control over financial reporting as of the quarter ended December 31, 2007 that has materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

ITEM 9B. *OTHER INFORMATION*

None

PART III

ITEM 10. *DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE*

Information in response to this item is incorporated by reference from the registrant's definitive proxy statement to be filed with the Commission within 120 days after the close of registrant's fiscal year.

ITEM 11. *EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION*

Information in response to this item is incorporated by reference from the registrant's definitive proxy statement to be filed with the Commission within 120 days after the close of registrant's fiscal year.

ITEM 12. *SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS*

Information in response to this item is incorporated by reference from the registrant's definitive proxy statement to be filed with the Commission within 120 days after the close of registrant's fiscal year.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007, we had two equity compensation plans: the 1996 Option Plan and the 2006 Equity Compensation Plan. Each plan was adopted with the approval of our shareholders. The 1996 Stock Option Plan has expired for purposes of issuing new grants. The 1996 Stock Option Plan, however, will continue to govern awards previously granted under that plan. The 2006 plan, adopted at our annual meeting in

Table of Contents

November 2006, has a total of 3,000,000 shares reserved for issuance. The following table provides information regarding our equity compensation plans as of December 31, 2007:

Equity Compensation Plan Information

Plan category	Number of Securities to be Issued upon Exercise of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights (a)	Weighted-Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights (b)	Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance under Equity Compensation Plans (Excluding Securities Reflected in Column (a)) (c)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	329,000	\$ 4.23	2,785,000
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders			
Total	329,000	\$ 4.23	2,785,000

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

Information in response to this item is incorporated by reference from the registrant's definitive proxy statement to be filed with the Commission within 120 days after the close of registrant's fiscal year.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

Information in response to this item is incorporated by reference from the registrant's definitive proxy statement to be filed with the Commission within 120 days after the close of registrant's fiscal year.

PART IV**ITEM 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES****(a) 1. Financial Statements**

The financial statements filed as a part of this report are included in Item 8 of this report.

(a) 2. Financial Statement Schedule

No financial statement schedules are filed as a part of this report.

(a) 3. Exhibits

Exhibit #	Description
3.1	Our Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2006, as filed on April 2, 2007)
3.2	Our Amended and Restated Bylaws (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2006, as filed on April 2, 2007)
3.3	Amendment to Bylaws (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of our Current Report on Form 8-K filed August 30, 2007)
3.4	Amendments to Bylaws (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 of our Current Report on Form 8-K filed December 10, 2007)
10.1	Form of Indemnification Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.26 of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2005, as filed on August 15, 2005)

Table of Contents

Exhibit #	Description
10.2	Form of Security Agreement entered into May 31, 1995 between us and Credit Managers Association of California, Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.65 of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended April 30, 1996, as filed on June 14, 1996)
10.3	Commercial Promissory Note dated October 10, 2007 between us and Union Bank of California*
10.4	Placing Agreement in connection with an application to join AIM dated July 19, 2005 between us and Investec Bank (UK) Limited (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.28 of our amended Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2005, as filed November 21, 2005)
10.5	Agreement relating to the appointment of a Nominated Adviser and Broker dated July 19, 2005 between us and Investec Bank (UK) Limited (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.29 of our amended Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2005, as filed November 21, 2005)
10.6	Placing Agreement dated July 25, 2007 between us and Investec Bank (UK) Limited (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10 of our Current Report on Form 8-K as amended filed August 7, 2007)
10.7	Facility Lease Agreement entered into October 17, 2007 between us and Sunshine Distribution L.P., (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of our Current Report on Form 8-K filed October 23, 2007)
10.8	Employment Contract entered into May 1, 2005 between us and Edwin Riddell, formerly our President and Chief Executive Officer (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.22 of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2005, as filed May 16, 2005)+
10.9	Retirement Agreement and Limited Release entered into July 12, 2007 between us and Edwin Riddell, formerly our Chief Executive Officer and President (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10 of our Current Report on Form 8-K as amended filed July 16, 2007)+
10.10	Letter Agreement entered into March 13, 2006 between us and Corinne Bertrand, formerly our Chief Financial Officer (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2006, as filed August 11, 2006)+
10.11	Employment Agreement entered into February 11, 2008 between us and Michael Staran, our President and Chief Executive Officer (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of our Current Report on Form 8-K filed February 15, 2008)+
10.12	Letter Agreement entered into March 27, 2007 between us and Michael Staran, Executive Vice President and currently our President and Chief Executive Officer (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of our Current Report on Form 8-K filed April 4, 2007)+
23.1	Consent of PMB Helin Donovan*
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002*
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002*
32	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350*

* Filed herewith.

+ Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

Table of Contents**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

ENOVA SYSTEMS, INC.

By: /s/ Michael Staran

Michael Staran,
Chief Executive Officer & President

Dated: March 25, 2008

POWER OF ATTORNEY

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS, that each person whose signature appears below constitutes and appoints Michael Staran, with full power to act alone, his true and lawful attorney-in-fact and agent, with full power of substitution for him and in his name, place and stead, in any and all capacities, to sign any and all amendments to the annual report on Form 10-K, and to file the same, with all exhibits thereto, and other documents in connection therewith, with the Securities and Exchange Commission, granting unto said attorney-in-fact full power and authority to do and perform each and every act and thing requisite and necessary to be done in connection as fully to all intents and purposes as he might or could do in person, hereby ratifying and confirming all that said attorney-in-fact and agent may lawfully do or cause to be done by virtue hereof.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, each of the undersigned has executed this Power of Attorney as of the date indicated. Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the date indicated.

Signature	Title	Date
/s/ Michael Staran Michael Staran	Chief Executive Officer, President, and Director (Principal Executive Officer)	March 26, 2008
/s/ Jarett Fenton Jarett Fenton	Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer)	March 26, 2008
/s/ Anthony N. Rawlinson Anthony N. Rawlinson	Director, Chairman of the Board	March 26, 2008
/s/ Bjorn Ahlstrom Bjorn Ahlstrom	Director	March 26, 2008
/s/ Malcolm Currie Malcolm Currie	Director	March 26, 2008

Malcolm Currie

/s/ Donald H. Dreyer

Director

March 26, 2008

Donald H. Dreyer

Table of Contents

Signature	Title	Date
/s/ John Micek John Micek	Director	March 26, 2008
/s/ John R. Wallace John R. Wallace	Director	March 26, 2008
/s/ Edwin Riddell Edwin Riddell	Director	March 26, 2008

Table of Contents**EXHIBIT INDEX**

Exhibit #	Description
3.1	Our Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2006, as filed on April 2, 2007)
3.2	Our Amended and Restated Bylaws (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2006, as filed on April 2, 2007)
3.3	Amendment to Bylaws (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of our Current Report on Form 8-K filed August 30, 2007)
3.4	Amendments to Bylaws (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 of our Current Report on Form 8-K filed December 10, 2007)
10.1	Form of Indemnification Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.26 of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2005, as filed on August 15, 2005)
10.2	Form of Security Agreement entered into May 31, 1995 between us and Credit Managers Association of California, Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.65 of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended April 30, 1996, as filed on June 14, 1996)
10.3	Commercial Promissory Note dated October 10, 2007 between us and Union Bank of California*
10.4	Placing Agreement in connection with an application to join AIM dated July 19, 2005 between us and Investec Bank (UK) Limited (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.28 of our amended Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2005, as filed November 21, 2005)
10.5	Agreement relating to the appointment of a Nominated Adviser and Broker dated July 19, 2005 between us and Investec Bank (UK) Limited (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.29 of our amended Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2005, as filed November 21, 2005)
10.6	Placing Agreement dated July 25, 2007 between us and Investec Bank (UK) Limited (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10 of our Current Report on Form 8-K as amended filed August 7, 2007)
10.7	Facility Lease Agreement entered into October 17, 2007 between us and Sunshine Distribution L.P., (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of our Current Report on Form 8-K filed October 23, 2007)
10.8	Employment Contract entered into May 1, 2005 between us and Edwin Riddell, formerly our President and Chief Executive Officer (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.22 of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2005, as filed May 16, 2005)+
10.9	Retirement Agreement and Limited Release entered into July 12, 2007 between us and Edwin Riddell, formerly our Chief Executive Officer and President (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10 of our Current Report on Form 8-K as amended filed July 16, 2007)+
10.10	Letter Agreement entered into March 13, 2006 between us and Corinne Bertrand, formerly our Chief Financial Officer (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2006, as filed August 11, 2006)+
10.11	Employment Agreement entered into February 11, 2008 between us and Michael Staran, our President and Chief Executive Officer (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of our Current Report on Form 8-K filed February 15, 2008)+
10.12	Letter Agreement entered into March 27, 2007 between us and Michael Staran, Executive Vice President and currently our President and Chief Executive Officer (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of our Current Report on Form 8-K filed April 4, 2007)+
23.1	Consent of PMB Helin Donovan*
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002*
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002*
32	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350*

* Filed herewith.

+ Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.