

Edgar Filing: ICAHN ENTERPRISES L.P. - Form 10-Q

ICAHN ENTERPRISES L.P.
Form 10-Q
November 02, 2011
UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q
(Mark One)

x QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the Quarterly Period Ended September 30, 2011

OR
£ TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the Transition Period From _____ to _____

Commission File Number 1-9516

ICAHN ENTERPRISES L.P.
(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Delaware 13-3398766
(State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation or (IRS Employer Identification No.)
Organization)

767 Fifth Avenue, Suite 4700
New York, NY 10153
(Address of Principal Executive Offices) (Zip Code)

(212) 702-4300
(Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.
Yes x No £

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes x No £

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check One):

Large accelerated filer £ Accelerated filer x
Non-accelerated filer £ Smaller reporting company £

Edgar Filing: ICAHN ENTERPRISES L.P. - Form 10-Q

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes No

As of November 1, 2011, there were 85,571,714 depository units outstanding.

ICAHN ENTERPRISES L.P.
TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page No. |
|--|-------------|
| <u>PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u> | |
| Item 1. <u>Financial Statements</u> | <u>1</u> |
| Item 2. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | <u>52</u> |
| Item 3. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | <u>72</u> |
| Item 4. <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | <u>72</u> |
| <u>PART II. OTHER INFORMATION</u> | |
| Item 1. <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | <u>73</u> |
| Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u> | <u>76</u> |
| Item 6. <u>Exhibits</u> | <u>76</u> |
| <u>Signature</u> | <u>77</u> |

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements.

ICAHN ENTERPRISES L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(In millions, except unit amounts)

| | September 30, 2011 (Unaudited) | December 31, 2010 |
|--|--------------------------------------|----------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$2,171 | \$2,963 |
| Cash held at consolidated affiliated partnerships and restricted cash | 2,734 | 2,174 |
| Investments | 8,311 | 7,470 |
| Accounts receivable, net | 1,446 | 1,285 |
| Due from brokers | 89 | 50 |
| Inventories, net | 1,402 | 1,163 |
| Property, plant and equipment, net | 3,535 | 3,455 |
| Goodwill | 1,141 | 1,129 |
| Intangible assets, net | 956 | 999 |
| Other assets | 693 | 650 |
| Total Assets | \$22,478 | \$21,338 |
| LIABILITIES AND EQUITY | | |
| Accounts payable | \$942 | \$844 |
| Accrued expenses and other liabilities | 1,921 | 2,277 |
| Securities sold, not yet purchased, at fair value | 2,142 | 1,219 |
| Due to brokers | 2,351 | 1,323 |
| Post-employment benefit liability | 1,231 | 1,272 |
| Debt | 6,489 | 6,509 |
| Total liabilities | 15,076 | 13,444 |
| Commitments and contingencies (Note 18) | | |
| Equity: | | |
| Limited partners: Depository units: 92,400,000 authorized; issued 86,708,914 at September 30, 2011 and 85,865,619 at December 31, 2010; outstanding | 3,916 | 3,477 |
| 85,571,714 at September 30, 2011 (including 843,295 units issued as a unit distribution on May 31, 2011) and 84,728,419 at December 31, 2010 | | |
| General partner | (273 |) (282 |
| Treasury units at cost: 1,137,200 depository units | (12 |) (12 |
| Equity attributable to Icahn Enterprises | 3,631 | 3,183 |
| Equity attributable to non-controlling interests | 3,771 | 4,711 |
| Total equity | 7,402 | 7,894 |
| Total Liabilities and Equity | \$22,478 | \$21,338 |

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

ICAHN ENTERPRISES L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(In millions, except per unit amounts)

| | Three Months Ended September 30, 2011 (Unaudited) | | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011 | |
|---|--|----------|--|---------|
| | 2010 | | 2010 | |
| Revenues: | | | | |
| Net sales | \$2,280 | \$1,956 | \$6,888 | \$5,860 |
| Other revenues from operations | 215 | 38 | 591 | 113 |
| Net (loss) gain from investment activities | (49 |) 793 | 1,158 | 540 |
| Interest and dividend income | 22 | 32 | 83 | 152 |
| Other income (loss), net | 2 | 6 | 15 | (34 |
| | 2,470 | 2,825 | 8,735 | 6,631 |
| Expenses: | | | | |
| Cost of goods sold | 1,977 | 1,690 | 5,911 | 4,988 |
| Other expenses from operations | 106 | 27 | 309 | 78 |
| Selling, general and administrative | 316 | 234 | 993 | 741 |
| Restructuring | 5 | 2 | 9 | 13 |
| Impairment | — | 4 | 3 | 13 |
| Interest expense | 105 | 96 | 327 | 286 |
| | 2,509 | 2,053 | 7,552 | 6,119 |
| (Loss) income before income tax expense | (39 |) 772 | 1,183 | 512 |
| Income tax expense | (13 |) (7 |) (55 |) (19 |
| Net (loss) income | (52 |) 765 | 1,128 | 493 |
| Less: net loss (income) attributable to non-controlling interests | 13 | (467 |) (638 |) (376 |
| Net (loss) income attributable to Icahn Enterprises | \$(39 |) \$298 | \$490 | \$117 |
| Net (loss) income attributable to Icahn Enterprises allocable to: | | | | |
| Limited partners | \$(38 |) \$292 | \$480 | \$115 |
| General partner | (1 |) 6 | 10 | 2 |
| | \$(39 |) \$298 | \$490 | \$117 |
| Basic (loss) income per LP unit | \$(0.44 |) \$3.44 | \$5.58 | \$1.37 |
| Basic weighted average LP units outstanding | 86 | 85 | 86 | 84 |
| Diluted (loss) income per LP unit | \$(0.44 |) \$3.31 | \$5.46 | \$1.37 |
| Diluted weighted average LP units outstanding | 86 | 90 | 91 | 84 |
| Cash distributions declared per LP unit | \$0.10 | \$0.25 | \$0.45 | \$0.75 |

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

ICAHN ENTERPRISES L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES
IN EQUITY AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

(In millions, except units)

| | Equity Attributable to Icahn Enterprises Held in Treasury | | | | Total Partners' Equity | Non-controlling Interests | Total Equity |
|--|--|--------------------------------|---------|-----------|------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|
| | General Partner's Equity (Deficit) (Unaudited) | Limited Partners' Equity | Amount | Units | | | |
| Balance, December 31, 2010 | \$(282) | \$3,477 | \$(12) | 1,137,200 | \$3,183 | \$ 4,711 | \$7,894 |
| Comprehensive income: | | | | | | | |
| Net income | 10 | 480 | — | — | 490 | 638 | 1,128 |
| Post-employment benefits, net of tax | — | 3 | — | — | 3 | 1 | 4 |
| Hedge instruments, net of tax | — | (13) | — | — | (13) | (4) | (17) |
| Translation adjustments and other, net of tax | (1) | (46) | — | — | (47) | (18) | (65) |
| Comprehensive income | 9 | 424 | — | — | 433 | 617 | 1,050 |
| Partnership distributions | (1) | (38) | — | — | (39) | — | (39) |
| Investment Management distributions | — | — | — | — | — | (1,818) | (1,818) |
| Investment Management contributions | — | — | — | — | — | 250 | 250 |
| Changes in subsidiary equity | 1 | 53 | — | — | 54 | 11 | 65 |
| Balance, September 30, 2011 | \$(273) | \$3,916 | \$(12) | 1,137,200 | \$3,631 | \$ 3,771 | \$7,402 |

Accumulated other comprehensive loss was \$675 million and \$597 million at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

3

ICAHN ENTERPRISES L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(In millions)

| | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|---|---------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| | (Unaudited) | |
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | |
| Net income | \$1,128 | \$493 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities: | | |
| Net gain from investment activities | (1,158) |) (540) |
| Purchases of securities | (4,183) |) (3,768) |
| Proceeds from sales of securities | 4,150 | 3,057 |
| Purchases to cover securities sold, not yet purchased | (3,895) |) (2,918) |
| Proceeds from securities sold, not yet purchased | 5,263 | 1,556 |
| Net premiums (paid) received on derivative contracts | (20) |) 19 |
| Changes in receivables and payables relating to securities transactions | 1,149 | 429 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 333 | 338 |
| Other, net | 9 | (71) |
| Changes in cash held at consolidated affiliated partnerships and restricted cash | (560) |) 1,393 |
| Changes in other operating assets and liabilities | (356) |) (148) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities | 1,860 | (160) |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | |
| Capital expenditures | (359) |) (309) |
| Purchase of investments in precious metals | (150) |) — |
| Acquisitions of businesses, net of cash acquired | (126) |) (39) |
| Other, net | 5 | (4) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (630) |) (352) |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | |
| Investment Management equity: | | |
| Capital distributions to non-controlling interests | (2,164) |) (555) |
| Capital contributions by non-controlling interests | 250 | 419 |
| Partnership contributions | — | 6 |
| Partnership distributions | (39) |) (63) |
| Distribution to non-controlling interests in subsidiary | (20) |) — |
| Proceeds from issuance of senior unsecured notes | — | 1,987 |
| Proceeds from other borrowings | 612 | 107 |
| Repayments of borrowings | (653) |) (1,373) |
| Other, net | — | (11) |
| Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities | (2,014) |) 517 |
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents | (10) |) — |
| Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents | (794) |) 5 |
| Net change in cash of assets held for sale | 2 | — |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period | 2,963 | 2,256 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of period | \$2,171 | \$2,261 |
| Supplemental information: | | |
| Cash payments for interest, net of amounts capitalized | \$368 | \$237 |
| Net cash payments for income taxes | \$53 | \$10 |
| Net unrealized gains on available-for-sale securities | \$2 | \$1 |
| Redemptions payable to non-controlling interests | \$— | \$75 |

Edgar Filing: ICAHN ENTERPRISES L.P. - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--|-----|-------|
| LP unit issuance to purchase majority interests in ARI and Viskase | \$— | \$310 |
| LP unit issuance to settle preferred LP unit redemptions | \$— | \$138 |
| See notes to consolidated financial statements. | | |

ICAHN ENTERPRISES L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)
SEPTEMBER 30, 2011

1. Description of Business and Basis of Presentation.

General

Icahn Enterprises L.P. ("Icahn Enterprises" or the "Company") is a master limited partnership formed in Delaware on February 17, 1987. We own a 99% limited partner interest in Icahn Enterprises Holdings L.P. ("Icahn Enterprises Holdings"). Icahn Enterprises Holdings and its subsidiaries own substantially all of our assets and liabilities and conduct substantially all of our operations. Icahn Enterprises G.P. Inc. ("Icahn Enterprises GP"), our sole general partner, which is owned and controlled by Mr. Carl C. Icahn, owns a 1% general partner interest in both us and Icahn Enterprises Holdings, representing an aggregate 1.99% general partner interest in us and Icahn Enterprises Holdings. As of September 30, 2011, affiliates of Mr. Icahn owned 79,238,262 of our depositary units which represented approximately 92.6% of our outstanding depositary units.

We are a diversified holding company owning subsidiaries currently engaged in the following continuing operating businesses: Investment Management, Automotive, Gaming, Railcar, Food Packaging, Metals, Real Estate and Home Fashion. We also report the results of our Holding Company, which includes the unconsolidated results of Icahn Enterprises and Icahn Enterprises Holdings, and investment activity and expenses associated with the Holding Company. Further information regarding our continuing reportable segments is contained in Note 2, "Operating Units," and Note 14, "Segment Reporting."

The accompanying consolidated financial statements and related notes should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and related notes contained in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010 ("fiscal 2010"). The consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") related to interim financial statements. Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States ("U.S. GAAP") have been condensed or omitted pursuant to such rules and regulations. The financial information contained herein is unaudited; however, management believes all adjustments have been made that are necessary to present fairly the results for the interim periods. All such adjustments are of a normal and recurring nature. Certain reclassifications from the prior year presentation have been made to conform to the current year presentation.

Our consolidated financial statements include the accounts of (i) Icahn Enterprises and (ii) the wholly and majority owned subsidiaries of Icahn Enterprises, in addition to those entities in which we have a controlling interest as a general partner interest or in which we are the primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity ("VIE"). In evaluating whether we have a controlling financial interest in entities in which we would consolidate, we consider the following: (1) for voting interest entities, we consolidate these entities in which we own a majority of the voting interests; (2) for VIEs of which we are considered the primary beneficiary of such entities (see section below entitled, "Adoption of New Accounting Standards," and Note 4, "Investments and Related Matters-Investment Management," for further discussion regarding the accounting and reporting of our VIEs); and (3) for limited partnership entities that are not considered VIEs, we consolidate these entities if we are the general partner of such entities and for which no substantive kick-out rights (the rights underlying the limited partners' ability to dissolve the limited partnership or otherwise remove the general partners are collectively referred to as "kick-out" rights) or participating rights exist. All material intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

We conduct and plan to continue to conduct our activities in such a manner as not to be deemed an investment company under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the "'40 Act"). Therefore, no more than 40% of our total assets can be invested in investment securities, as such term is defined in the '40 Act. In addition, we do not invest or intend to invest in securities as our primary business. We intend to structure our investments to continue to be taxed as a partnership rather than as a corporation under the applicable publicly traded partnership rules of the

Internal Revenue Code, as amended (the “Code”).

Because of the nature of our businesses, the results of operations for quarterly and other interim periods are not indicative of the results to be expected for the full year. Variations in the amount and timing of gains and losses on our investments can be significant.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The carrying values of cash and cash equivalents, cash held at consolidated affiliated partnerships and restricted cash, accounts receivable, due from brokers, accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities and due to brokers are deemed to be reasonable estimates of their fair values because of their short-term nature.

See Note 4, “Investments and Related Matters,” and Note 5, “Fair Value Measurements,” for a detailed discussion of our

ICAHN ENTERPRISES L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)
SEPTEMBER 30, 2011

investments.

The fair value of our long-term debt is based on the quoted market prices for the same or similar issues or on the current rates offered to us for debt of the same remaining maturities. The carrying value and estimated fair value of our long-term debt as of September 30, 2011 was approximately \$6.5 billion and \$6.3 billion, respectively. The carrying value and estimated fair value of our long-term debt as of December 31, 2010 was approximately \$6.5 billion and \$6.1 billion, respectively.

Restricted Cash

Our restricted cash balance was approximately \$2.4 billion and \$1.6 billion as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Other than the accounting policies discussed below, there have been no material changes to our significant accounting policies or estimates that were included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for fiscal 2010.

Revenue Recognition-Railcar

Revenues from railcar sales are recognized following completion of manufacturing, inspection, customer acceptance and title transfer, which is when the risk for any damage or loss with respect to the railcars passes to the customer.

Paint and lining work may be outsourced and, as a result, the sale for the railcar may be recorded after customer acceptance when it leaves the manufacturing plant and the sale for the lining work may be separately recorded following completion of that work by the independent contractor, customer acceptance and final shipment. Revenues from railcar leasing are recognized on a straight-line basis over the life of the lease. Revenues from railcar and industrial components are recorded at the time of product shipment, in accordance with ARI's contractual terms.

Revenue for railcar maintenance services is recognized upon completion and shipment of railcars from ARI's plants. ARI does not currently bundle railcar service contracts with new railcar sales. Revenue for fleet management services is recognized as performed.

Revenues related to consulting type contracts are accounted for under the percentage-of-completion method. Profits expected to be realized on these contracts are based on the total contract revenues and costs based on the estimate of the percentage of project completion. Revenues recognized in excess of amounts billed are recorded to unbilled revenues and included in other assets on the consolidated balance sheets. Billings in excess of revenues recognized on in-progress contracts are recorded to unbilled costs and included in accrued expenses and other liabilities on the consolidated balance sheets. These estimates are reviewed and revised periodically throughout the term of the contracts and any adjustments are recorded on a cumulative basis in the period the revisions are made.

Adoption of New Accounting Standards

In December 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued amended standards for determining whether to consolidate a VIE. This standard affects all entities currently within the scope of the Consolidation Topic of the FASB Accounting Standards Codification ("FASB ASC"), as well as qualifying special-purpose entities that are currently excluded from the scope of the Consolidation Topic of the FASB ASC. This standard amends the evaluation criteria to identify the primary beneficiary of the VIE and requires ongoing reassessment of whether an enterprise is the primary beneficiary of such VIEs. In addition, this amendment deferred the application of this standard for a reporting entity's interest in an entity if the reporting entity met certain attributes of an investment company. This standard is effective as of the beginning of the first fiscal year beginning after November 15, 2009.

We determined that certain entities within our Investment Management segment previously met the deferral criteria and, accordingly, we applied the consolidation guidance before the issuance of this standard. Effective March 31, 2011, we applied this guidance for certain entities within our Investment Management segment in determining whether we are considered the primary beneficiary of such entities. The adoption of this standard did not have an

impact on our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. See Note 2, "Operating Units-Investment Management," for further discussion.

Recently Issued Accounting Standards

In May 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standard Update ("ASU") No. 2011-04, which amends ASC Topic 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures. This ASU clarifies among other things, the intent about the application of existing fair

ICAHN ENTERPRISES L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)
SEPTEMBER 30, 2011

value requirements, including those related to highest and best use concepts, and also expands the disclosure requirements for fair value measurements categorized within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy. This ASU clarifies that a reporting entity should disclose quantitative information about significant unobservable inputs used in a fair value measurement that is categorized within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy. Additionally, this ASU expands the disclosures for fair value measurements categorized within Level 3 where a reporting entity will be required to include a description of the valuation processes used and the sensitivity of the fair value measurement to changes in unobservable inputs and the interrelationships between those unobservable inputs, if any. Additional disclosure will also be required for any transfers between Level 1 and Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy of fair value measurements on a gross basis as well as additional disclosure of the level in the fair value hierarchy of assets and liabilities that are not recorded at fair value. For many of the requirements, the FASB does not intend for this ASU to result in a change in the application of the requirements in ASC Topic 820. The guidance in this ASU is to be applied prospectively and is effective during interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. Early adoption is not permitted. The adoption of this ASU will not have a material impact on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

In June 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-05, which amends ASC Topic 220, Comprehensive Income. The guidance in this ASU is intended to increase the prominence of items reported in other comprehensive income in the financial statements by presenting the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income and the components of other comprehensive income either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. This ASU eliminates the option to present the components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of changes in stockholders' equity. The guidance in this ASU does not change the items that must be reported in other comprehensive income or when an item of other comprehensive income must be reclassified to net income. Upon adoption, this update is to be applied retrospectively and is effective during interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. Early adoption is permitted. The adoption of this ASU will not have a material impact on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

In September 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-08, which amends ASC Topic 350, Intangibles-Goodwill and Other. The guidance in this ASU permits an entity to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount as a basis for determining whether it is necessary to perform the two-step goodwill impairment test described in ASC Topic 350. Under the amendments in this ASU, an entity is not required to calculate the fair value of a reporting unit unless the entity determines that it is more likely than not that its fair value is less than its carrying amount. The amendments are effective for annual and interim goodwill impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011. Early adoption is permitted, including for annual and interim goodwill impairment tests performed as of a date before September 15, 2011, if an entity's financial statements for the most recent annual or interim period have not yet been issued or, for nonpublic entities, have not yet been made available for issuance. The adoption of this ASU will not have a material impact on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Filing Status of Subsidiaries

Federal-Mogul Corporation ("Federal-Mogul"), American Railcar Industries, Inc. ("ARI") and Tropicana Entertainment Inc. ("Tropicana") are each a reporting entity under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act") and file annual, quarterly and current reports and proxy and information statements. Each of these reports is publicly available at www.sec.gov.

2. Operating Units.

Investment Management

Icahn Onshore LP (the “Onshore GP”) and Icahn Offshore LP (the “Offshore GP”) and, together with the Onshore GP, the “General Partners”) act as general partner of Icahn Partners LP (the “Onshore Fund”) and the Offshore Master Funds (as defined herein), respectively. The General Partners do not provide such services to any other entities, individuals or accounts. Interests in the Private Funds (as defined below) are not offered to outside investors. Interests in the Private Funds had been previously offered only to certain sophisticated and qualified investors on the basis of exemptions from the registration requirements of the federal securities laws and were not (and still are not) publicly available. The “Offshore Master Funds” consist of (i) Icahn Partners Master Fund LP (“Master Fund I”), (ii) Icahn Partners Master Fund II LP (“Master Fund II”) and (iii) Icahn Partners Master Fund III LP (“Master Fund III”). The Onshore Fund and the Offshore Master Funds are collectively

ICAHN ENTERPRISES L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)
SEPTEMBER 30, 2011

referred to herein as the "Investment Funds." In addition, as discussed elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, the "Offshore Funds" consist of (i) Icahn Fund Ltd., (ii) Icahn Fund II Ltd. and (iii) Icahn Fund III Ltd. The Offshore GP also acts as general partner of a fund formed as a Cayman Islands exempted limited partnership that invests in the Offshore Master Funds. This fund, together with other funds that also invest in the Offshore Master Funds, constitute the "Feeder Funds" and, together with the Investment Funds, are referred to herein as the "Private Funds."

Prior to March 31, 2011, our Investment Management segment's revenues were affected by the combination of fee-paying assets under management ("AUM") and the investment performance of the Private Funds. The General Partners were entitled to receive an incentive allocation and special profits interest allocation from the Investment Funds which were accrued on a quarterly basis and were allocated to the General Partners at the end of the Investment Funds' fiscal year (or sooner on redemptions) assuming there were sufficient net profits to cover such amounts. As a result of the return of fee-paying capital as described below, no further incentive allocations or special profits interest allocations will accrue for periods subsequent to March 31, 2011.

As more fully disclosed in a letter to investors in the Private Funds filed with the SEC on Form 8-K on March 7, 2011, the Private Funds returned all fee-paying capital to its investors during fiscal 2011. Payments were funded through cash on hand and borrowings under existing credit lines.

As a result of returning fee-paying capital to its investors on March 31, 2011, each of the Private Funds no longer meets the criteria of an investment company as set forth in FASB ASC Paragraph 946-10-15-2, Financial Services-Investment Companies, and, therefore, the application of FASB ASC Section 946-810-45, Financial Services-Investment Companies-Consolidation, is no longer applicable effective March 31, 2011. This change has no material effect on our consolidated financial statements as the Private Funds would account for its investments as trading securities pursuant to FASB ASC Topic 320, Investments-Debt and Equity Securities, effective March 31, 2011. For those investments that fall outside the scope of FASB ASC Topic 320, or for those investments in which the Private Funds would otherwise have been required to account for under the equity method, the Private Funds apply the fair value option to such investments. See Note 4, "Investments and Related Matters-Investment Management," for further discussion regarding this reconsideration event and its consolidation impact.

As a result of the return of fee-paying capital as described above, a special profits interest allocation of \$9 million was allocated to the General Partners at March 31, 2011. No further special profits interest allocation accrued in periods subsequent to March 31, 2011. A special profits interest allocation accrual of \$34 million was made for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010.

As a result of the return of fee-paying capital as described above, an incentive allocation of \$7 million was allocated to the General Partners at March 31, 2011. No further incentive allocation will accrue in periods subsequent to March 31, 2011. Incentive allocations for each of the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 were \$3 million.

The fair value of our interest in the Investment Funds was approximately \$2.8 billion and \$2.6 billion as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

Automotive

We conduct our Automotive segment through our majority ownership in Federal-Mogul. Federal-Mogul is a leading global supplier of technology and innovation in vehicle and industrial products for fuel economy, emissions reduction, alternative energies, environment and safety systems. Federal-Mogul serves the world's foremost original equipment manufacturers ("OEM") of automotive, light commercial, heavy-duty, industrial, agricultural, aerospace, marine, rail and off-road vehicles, as well as the worldwide aftermarket. As of September 30, 2011, Federal-Mogul is organized into four product groups: Powertrain Energy, Powertrain Sealing and Bearings, Vehicle Safety and Protection, and Global Aftermarket.

Federal-Mogul believes that its sales are well-balanced between OEM and aftermarket, as well as domestic and international markets. Federal-Mogul's customers include the world's largest light and commercial vehicle OEMs and

major distributors and retailers in the independent aftermarket. Federal-Mogul has operations in established markets including Canada, France, Germany, Italy, Japan, Spain, Sweden, the United Kingdom and the United States, and emerging markets including Argentina, Brazil, China, Czech Republic, Hungary, India, Korea, Mexico, Poland, Russia, South Africa, Thailand, Turkey and Venezuela. The attendant risks of Federal-Mogul's international operations are primarily related to currency fluctuations, changes in local economic and political conditions and changes in laws and regulations.

ICAHN ENTERPRISES L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)
SEPTEMBER 30, 2011

During the third quarter of fiscal 2011, we acquired additional shares of Federal-Mogul common stock. As of September 30, 2011, we owned approximately 76.8% of the total outstanding common stock of Federal-Mogul.

Accounts Receivable, net

Federal-Mogul's subsidiaries in Brazil, France, Germany, Italy, Japan, Spain and the United States are party to accounts receivable factoring and securitization facilities. Gross accounts receivable transferred under these facilities were \$263 million and \$211 million as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. Of those gross amounts, \$262 million and \$210 million, respectively, qualify as sales as defined in FASB ASC Topic 860, Transfers and Servicing. The remaining transferred receivables were pledged as collateral and accounted for as secured borrowings and recorded in the consolidated balance sheets within accounts receivable, net and debt. Under the terms of these facilities, Federal-Mogul is not obligated to draw cash immediately upon the transfer of accounts receivable. Thus, as of each of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, Federal-Mogul had outstanding transferred receivables for which cash of \$1 million had not yet been drawn. Proceeds from the transfers of accounts receivable qualifying as sales were \$1,335 million and \$894 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, expenses associated with transfers of receivables of \$7 million and \$5 million, respectively, were recorded in the consolidated statements of operations within other income (loss), net. Where Federal-Mogul receives a fee to service and monitor these transferred receivables, such fees are sufficient to offset the costs and as such, a servicing asset or liability is not incurred as a result of such activities. Certain of the facilities contain terms that require Federal-Mogul to share in the credit risk of the sold receivables. The maximum exposures to Federal-Mogul associated with certain of these facilities' terms were \$26 million and \$32 million as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. Based on Federal-Mogul's analysis of the creditworthiness of its customers on which such receivables were sold and outstanding as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, Federal-Mogul estimated the loss to be immaterial.

Restructuring

Federal-Mogul's restructuring activities are undertaken as necessary to execute its strategy and streamline operations, consolidate and take advantage of available capacity and resources, and ultimately achieve net cost reductions. Restructuring activities include efforts to integrate and rationalize Federal-Mogul's businesses and to relocate manufacturing operations to best cost markets.

Federal-Mogul's restructuring charges are comprised of two types: employee costs (principally termination benefits) and facility closure costs. Termination benefits are accounted for in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 712, Compensation - Nonretirement Post-employment Benefits, and are recorded when it is probable that employees will be entitled to benefits and the amounts can be reasonably estimated. Estimates of termination benefits are based on the frequency of past termination benefits, the similarity of benefits under the current plan and prior plans, and the existence of statutory required minimum benefits. Facility closure and other costs are accounted for in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 420, Exit or Disposal Cost Obligation, and are recorded when the liability is incurred. Estimates of restructuring charges are based on information available at the time such charges are recorded. In certain countries where Federal-Mogul operates, statutory requirements include involuntary termination benefits that extend several years into the future. Accordingly, severance payments continue well past the date of termination at many international locations. Thus, these programs appear to be ongoing when, in fact, terminations and other activities under these programs have been substantially completed.

Federal-Mogul expects to finance its restructuring programs through cash generated from its ongoing operations or through cash available under its existing credit facility, subject to the terms of applicable covenants. Federal-Mogul does not expect that the execution of these programs will have an adverse impact on its liquidity position.

An unprecedented downturn in the global automotive industry and global financial markets led Federal-Mogul to announce, in September and December 2008, certain restructuring actions, herein referred to as "Restructuring 2009," designed to improve operating performance and respond to increasingly challenging conditions in the global automotive market. Federal-Mogul did not record any net restructuring charges related to Restructuring 2009 for the nine months ended September 30, 2011. Federal-Mogul does not expect to incur additional restructuring charges through the fiscal year ending December 31, 2011 ("fiscal 2011"). Total cumulative restructuring charges related to Restructuring 2009 through September 30, 2011 were \$157 million, of which \$148 million were employee costs and \$9 million were facility closure costs.

As of December 31, 2010, the accrued liability balance relating to all restructuring programs was \$24 million. For the

ICAHN ENTERPRISES L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)
SEPTEMBER 30, 2011

three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, Federal-Mogul incurred \$3 million and \$4 million of net restructuring charges, respectively. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, Federal-Mogul incurred \$1 million and \$7 million of net restructuring charges, respectively. During the nine months ended September 30, 2011, Federal-Mogul paid \$19 million of restructuring charges. As of September 30, 2011, the accrued liability balance was \$9 million, and is included in accrued expenses and other liabilities in our consolidated balance sheets. Due to the inherent uncertainty involved in estimating restructuring expenses, actual amounts paid for such activities may differ from amounts initially estimated. Accordingly, previously recorded liabilities of \$4 million were reversed for the nine months ended September 30, 2011. Such reversals result from: changes in estimated amounts to accomplish previously planned activities; changes in expected (based on historical practice) outcome of negotiations with labor unions, which reduced the level of originally committed actions; newly implemented government employment programs, which lowered the expected cost; and changes in approach to accomplish restructuring activities.

Currency Matters

Federal-Mogul has operated an aftermarket distribution center in Venezuela for several years, supplying imported replacement automotive parts to the local independent aftermarket. Since 2005, two exchange rates have existed in Venezuela: the official rate, which had been frozen since 2005 at 2.15 bolivars per U.S. dollar; and the parallel rate, which floats at a rate much higher than the official rate. Given the existence of the two rates in Venezuela, Federal-Mogul deemed the official rate was appropriate for the purpose of conversion into U.S. dollars at December 31, 2009 based on no positive intent to repatriate cash at the parallel rate and demonstrated ability to repatriate cash at the official rate.

Near the end of 2009, the three-year cumulative inflation rate for Venezuela was above 100%, which requires the Venezuelan operation to report its results as though the U.S. dollar is its functional currency in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 830, Foreign Currency Matters, commencing January 1, 2010 (“inflationary accounting”). The impact of this transition to a U.S. dollar functional currency requires that any change in the U.S. dollar value of bolivar denominated monetary assets and liabilities be recognized directly in earnings.

On January 8, 2010, the Venezuelan government devalued its currency. During the nine months ended September 30, 2010, Federal-Mogul recorded \$20 million in foreign currency exchange expense due to this currency devaluation. The remaining Venezuelan cash balance of \$12 million as of September 30, 2011 is expected to be used to pay intercompany balances for the purchase of product and to pay dividends, subject to local government restrictions.

Impairment

Federal-Mogul recorded \$3 million of impairment charges for the nine months ended September 30, 2011. There were no impairment charges for the three months ended September 30, 2011. This compares with a reversal of \$1 million and impairment charge of \$7 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively.

The \$3 million in impairment charges for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 includes a \$2 million impairment charge related to an asset retirement obligation for a facility that is closed. As the fair value of the facility did not support the capitalization of this asset retirement obligation, it was impaired. The remaining \$1 million in impairment charges recorded during the nine months ended September 30, 2011 was made up of immaterial fixed asset impairments at several facilities.

The reversal of \$1 million and impairment charge of \$7 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively, relate to certain equipment where the assessment of future undiscounted cash flows of such equipment, when compared to the current carrying value of the equipment, indicated the assets were not recoverable. Federal-Mogul determined the fair value of the assets by applying a probability weighted, expected present value technique to the estimated future cash flows using assumptions a market participant would utilize. The discount rate used is consistent with other long-lived asset fair value measurements.

Gaming

We conduct our Gaming segment through our majority ownership in Tropicana. Tropicana currently owns and operates a diversified, multi-jurisdictional collection of casino gaming properties. The eight casino facilities it operates feature approximately 411,000 square feet of gaming space with 7,448 slot machines, 223 table games and 6,048 hotel rooms with three casino facilities located in Nevada, two in Mississippi and one in each of Indiana, Louisiana and New Jersey. In addition, in August 2010 Tropicana acquired a resort under development in Aruba.

ICAHN ENTERPRISES L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)
SEPTEMBER 30, 2011

On March 8, 2010, (the "Effective Date"), Tropicana completed the acquisition of certain assets of its predecessor, Tropicana Entertainment, LLC, and certain subsidiaries and affiliates thereof (together, the "Predecessors") and Tropicana Resort and Casino-Atlantic City ("Tropicana AC"). Such transactions, referred to as the "Restructuring Transactions," were effected pursuant to the Joint Plan of Reorganization of Tropicana Entertainment, LLC ("Tropicana LLC") and Certain of Its Debtor Affiliates Under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code, filed with the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware on January 8, 2009, as amended (the "Plan"). As a result of the Restructuring Transactions pursuant to the Plan, the Investment Funds received shares of Tropicana common stock.

On November 15, 2010, the Investment Funds acquired 668,000 additional shares of Tropicana common stock. As a result of this purchase, the Investment Funds held, in the aggregate, 13,538,446 shares of Tropicana common stock, representing approximately 51.5% of the outstanding shares of Tropicana common stock. The additional purchase of shares of Tropicana common stock gave us a controlling interest and required us to consolidate Tropicana's financial results effective November 15, 2010, which now comprises our Gaming segment. On April 29, 2011, the Investment Funds made a distribution-in-kind of 13,538,446 shares of Tropicana common stock with a value of \$216 million to us in redemption of \$216 million of our limited and general partner interests in the Investment Funds. The distribution transferred the ownership of the Tropicana common stock held by the Investment Funds directly to us. As a result of this transaction, we directly own 51.5% of Tropicana's outstanding common stock. This distribution increased equity attributable to Icahn Enterprises by \$27 million and decreased equity attributable to non-controlling interests by \$27 million, representing the basis difference between the redemption value determined as of April 29, 2011 and the application to the controlling interest in Tropicana of purchase accounting pursuant to ASC Topic 805, Business Combinations, on November 15, 2010.

During the third quarter of fiscal 2011, we acquired additional shares of Tropicana common stock. As of September 30, 2011, we owned approximately 61.7% of the total outstanding common stock of Tropicana.

In connection with Tropicana's completion of the Restructuring Transactions, Tropicana entered into a credit agreement, dated as of December 29, 2009 (the "Exit Facility"). Each of the Investment Funds was a lender under the Exit Facility and, in the aggregate, collectively held over 50% of the loans thereunder. On June 30, 2011, the Investment Funds made a distribution-in-kind of the loans under the Exit Facility with a value of \$71 million to us in redemption of \$71 million of our general partner interests in the Investment Funds. The distribution transferred the ownership of the loans under the Exit Facility held by the Investment Funds directly to us. As a result of this transaction, we directly own over 50% of the loans under the Exit Facility.

Railcar

We conduct our Railcar segment through our majority ownership in ARI. ARI manufactures railcars, which are offered for sale or lease, custom designed railcar parts and other industrial products, primarily aluminum and special alloy steel castings. These products are sold to various types of companies including leasing companies, railroads, industrial companies and other non-rail companies. ARI provides railcar repair and maintenance services for railcar fleets. In addition, ARI provides fleet management and maintenance services for railcars owned by certain customers. Such services include inspecting and supervising the maintenance and repair of such railcars.

During the third quarter of fiscal 2011, we acquired additional shares of ARI common stock. As of September 30, 2011, we owned approximately 55.3% of the total outstanding common stock of ARI.

Food Packaging

We conduct our Food Packaging segment through our majority ownership in Viskase Companies, Inc. ("Viskase"). Viskase is a worldwide leader in the production and sale of cellulosic, fibrous and plastic casings for the processed meat and poultry industry. Viskase currently operates seven manufacturing facilities and nine distribution centers throughout North America, Europe and South America and derives approximately 70% of its total net sales from

customers located outside the United States. Viskase believes it is one of the two largest manufacturers of non-edible cellulosic casings for processed meats and one of the three largest manufacturers of non-edible fibrous casings. In fiscal 2011, Viskase is constructing a manufacturing and distribution facility in Asia.

Metals

We conduct our Metals segment through our indirect wholly owned subsidiary, PSC Metals, Inc. ("PSC Metals"). PSC

ICAHN ENTERPRISES L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)
SEPTEMBER 30, 2011

Metals collects industrial and obsolete scrap metal, processes it into reusable forms and supplies the recycled metals to its customers including electric-arc furnace mills, integrated steel mills, foundries, secondary smelters and metals brokers. PSC Metals' ferrous products include shredded, sheared and bundled scrap metal and other purchased scrap metal such as turnings (steel machining fragments), cast furnace iron and broken furnace iron. PSC Metals also processes non-ferrous metals including aluminum, copper, brass, stainless steel and nickel-bearing metals. Non-ferrous products are a significant raw material in the production of aluminum and copper alloys used in manufacturing. PSC Metals also operates a secondary products business that includes the supply of secondary plate and structural grade pipe that is sold into niche markets for counterweights, piling and foundations, construction materials and infrastructure end-markets.

PSC Metals has made several acquisitions during fiscal 2011. See Note 8, "Goodwill and Intangible Assets, Net-Metals," and Note 19, "Subsequent Events," for further discussion regarding these acquisitions.

Real Estate

Our Real Estate segment consists of rental real estate, property development and resort activities.

As of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, we owned 30 rental real estate properties. Our property development operations are run primarily through Bayswater Development LLC, a real estate investment, management and development subsidiary that focuses primarily on the construction and sale of single-family and multi-family homes, lots in subdivisions and planned communities and raw land for residential development. Our New Seabury development property in Cape Cod, Massachusetts and our Grand Harbor and Oak Harbor development property in Vero Beach, Florida each include land for future residential development of approximately 326 and 870 units of residential housing, respectively. Both developments operate golf and resort operations as well.

In February 2010, our Real Estate operations acquired from Fontainebleau Las Vegas, LLC ("Fontainebleau"), and certain affiliated entities, certain assets associated with property and improvements (the "Former Fontainebleau Property") located in Las Vegas, Nevada for an aggregate purchase price of \$148 million. The Former Fontainebleau Property includes (i) an unfinished building situated on approximately 25 acres of land and (ii) inventory.

As of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, \$78 million and \$106 million, respectively, of the net investment in financing leases, net real estate leased to others and resort properties, which is included in property, plant and equipment, net, were pledged to collateralize the payment of nonrecourse mortgages payable.

Home Fashion

We conduct our Home Fashion segment through our majority ownership in WestPoint International, LLC (f/k/a WestPoint International, Inc., as described below) ("WPI"), a manufacturer and distributor of home fashion consumer products. WPI is engaged in the business of manufacturing, sourcing, designing, marketing, distributing and selling home fashion consumer products. WPI markets a broad range of manufactured and sourced bed, bath, basic bedding and kitchen textile products, including, sheets, pillowcases, comforters, flopped blankets, woven blankets and throws, heated blankets, quilts, bedspreads, duvet covers, bed skirts, bed pillows, feather beds, mattress pads, drapes, bath and beach towels, bath rugs, kitchen towels and kitchen accessories. WPI recognizes revenue primarily through the sale of home fashion products to a variety of retail and institutional customers. In addition, WPI receives a small portion of its revenues through the licensing of its trademarks.

Effective October 1, 2011, West Point International, Inc. converted to a Delaware limited liability company through a merger with its wholly owned subsidiary formed for such purpose, with such subsidiary surviving the merger being named WestPoint International, LLC.

During the third quarter of fiscal 2011, we acquired additional shares of WPI common stock. As of September 30, 2011, we owned approximately 96.5% of the total outstanding common shares of WPI.

WPI has transitioned the majority of its manufacturing to low-cost countries and continues to maintain its corporate offices and certain distribution operations in the United States.

A relatively small number of customers have historically accounted for a significant portion of WPI's net sales. WPI had seven customers who accounted for approximately 63% and six customers who accounted for approximately 62% of WPI's net sales for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

ICAHN ENTERPRISES L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)
SEPTEMBER 30, 2011

Restructuring

To improve WPI's competitive position, WPI's management intends to continue its restructuring efforts. On January 31, 2011, WPI announced the closure of its Greenville, Alabama manufacturing and distribution facility. The vast majority of the products manufactured or fabricated are sourced from plants located outside of the United States. WPI incurred restructuring costs of \$2 million and \$5 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, compared to \$1 million and \$6 million in restructuring costs for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively. Included in restructuring expenses are cash charges associated with the ongoing costs of closed plants, transition expenses and employee severance, benefits and related costs. During the nine months ended September 30, 2011, WPI paid \$5 million in restructuring costs. As of September 30, 2011, the accrued liability balance was less than \$1 million, which is included in accrued expenses and other liabilities in our consolidated balance sheet.

Total cumulative restructuring charges from August 8, 2005 (acquisition date) through September 30, 2011 are \$90 million.

WPI anticipates incurring approximately \$1 million of additional restructuring costs for the remainder of fiscal 2011, particularly with respect to the carrying costs of closed facilities until such time as these locations are sold.

Restructuring costs could be affected by, among other things, WPI's decision to accelerate or delay its restructuring efforts. As a result, actual costs incurred could vary materially from these anticipated amounts.

Impairment

WPI incurred non-cash impairment charges of \$5 million and \$6 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively, due to impairment charges related to certain plants that have been closed or will be closed. WPI did not incur any impairment charges for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011. In recording impairment charges related to its plants, WPI compares estimated net realizable values of property, plant and equipment to their current carrying values.

3. Related Party Transactions.

Our amended and restated agreement of limited partnership expressly permits us to enter into transactions with our general partner or any of its affiliates, including, without limitation, buying or selling properties from or to our general partner and any of its affiliates and borrowing and lending money from or to our general partner and any of its affiliates, subject to limitations contained in our partnership agreement and the Delaware Revised Uniform Limited Partnership Act. The indentures governing our indebtedness contain certain covenants applicable to transactions with affiliates.

Investment Management

Until August 8, 2007, Icahn Management LP ("Icahn Management") elected to defer most of the management fees from the Offshore Funds and such amounts remain invested in the Offshore Funds. At December 31, 2010, the balance of the deferred management fees payable (included in accrued expenses and other liabilities) by Icahn Fund Ltd. to Icahn Management was \$143 million. As further discussed in Note 4, "Investments and Related Matters-Investment Management-Investment in Variable Interest," because we are no longer considered the primary beneficiary of Icahn Fund Ltd. as of March 31, 2011, we deconsolidated the results and financial position of Icahn Fund Ltd. as of such date. As a result of deconsolidating Icahn Fund Ltd., our consolidated financial statements will no longer contain this deferred management fee payable effective March 31, 2011.

Effective January 1, 2008, Icahn Capital LP ("Icahn Capital") paid for salaries and benefits of certain employees who may also perform various functions on behalf of certain other entities beneficially owned by Mr. Icahn (collectively, "Icahn Affiliates"), including administrative and investment services. Prior to January 1, 2008, Icahn & Co. LLC paid

for such services. Under a separate expense-sharing agreement, Icahn Capital charged Icahn Affiliates \$0.4 million and \$0.8 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, and \$0.2 million and \$0.5 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010. As of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, accrued expenses and other liabilities in our consolidated balance sheets included \$1 million and \$2 million, respectively, to be applied to Icahn Capital's charges to Icahn Affiliates for services to be provided to them. In addition, effective January 1, 2008, certain expenses borne by Icahn Capital are reimbursed by Icahn Affiliates, as

ICAHN ENTERPRISES L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)
SEPTEMBER 30, 2011

appropriate, when such expenses are incurred. The expenses include investment-specific expenses for investments acquired by both the Private Funds and Icahn Affiliates that are allocated based on the amounts invested by each party, as well as investment management-related expenses that are allocated based on estimated usage agreed upon by Icahn Capital and Icahn Affiliates. For the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, these reimbursement amounts were \$2 million and \$1 million, respectively.

Mr. Icahn, along with his affiliates, makes investments in the Investment Funds. These investments are not subject to special profits interest allocations or incentive allocations. On April 1, 2011, affiliates of Mr. Icahn made aggregate contributions of \$250 million in the Investment Funds. As of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the total fair market value of investments in the Investment Funds made by Mr. Icahn and his affiliates was approximately \$2.8 billion and \$2.1 billion, respectively. In addition, an affiliate of Mr. Icahn has a deferred management fee arrangement with the Feeder Funds with balances of \$168 million and \$148 million as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. Such amounts are invested in and receive applicable returns thereon from the Investment Funds. Effective April 1, 2011, based on a new expense-sharing arrangement, certain expenses borne by Icahn Capital are reimbursed by the Investment Funds, when such expenses are incurred. Such expenses relate to the operation, administration and investment activities of Icahn Capital for the benefit of the Investment Funds (including salaries, benefits and rent) and shall be allocated pro rata in accordance with each investor's capital accounts in the Investment Funds. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, \$3 million and \$7 million, respectively, was allocated to the Investment Funds based on this expense-sharing arrangement.

Railcar

Agreements with American Railcar Leasing LLC

Effective as of January 1, 2008, ARI entered into a fleet services agreement with American Railcar Leasing LLC ("ARL"), a company controlled by Mr. Icahn. Under the agreement, ARI provided ARL fleet management services for a fixed monthly fee and railcar repair and maintenance services for a charge of labor, components and materials. This agreement was replaced by a new agreement (referred to as the "Railcar Services Agreement"), which became effective April 16, 2011 for a term of three years that will automatically renew for additional one-year periods unless either party provides at least 60 days written prior notice of termination. As stipulated in the Railcar Services Agreement, ARI will provide railcar repair, engineering, administrative and other services, on an as needed basis, for ARL's lease fleet at mutually agreed-upon prices. Railcar services revenues, included in other revenues from operations in our consolidated statements of operations, recorded by ARI were \$7 million and \$4 million under these agreements for the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. For the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, revenues of \$19 million and \$10 million, respectively, were recorded under these agreements. The terms and pricing on services to related parties are not less favorable to ARI than the terms and pricing on services provided to unaffiliated third parties.

ARI from time to time manufactures and sells railcars to ARL under long-term agreements as well as on a purchase order basis. ARI did not sell any railcars to ARL during the three months ended September 30, 2011. Revenues from railcars sold to ARL were \$19 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010. For the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, revenues from railcars sold to ARL were \$1 million and \$65 million, respectively. Revenues from railcars sold to ARL are included in net sales in our consolidated statements of operations. The terms and pricing on services to related parties are not less favorable to ARI than the terms and pricing on services provided to unaffiliated third parties. ARL also has acted as an agent for ARI to source railcar leasing customers. In connection therewith, ARL has assigned orders to ARI for railcars to be manufactured and leased by ARI. ARI is currently negotiating the terms of its agency relationship with ARL. Any such agreement, including payments that ARI may agree to make to ARL for these services, will be on an arm's length basis and subject to the approval of ARI's and Icahn Enterprises' independent audit committee.

As of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, ARI had accounts receivable of \$2 million and \$5 million, respectively, due from ARL. These amounts are included in other assets in our consolidated balance sheets.

4. Investments and Related Matters.

Investment Management

Investments, and securities sold, not yet purchased consist of equities, bonds, bank debt and other corporate obligations,

ICAHN ENTERPRISES L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)
SEPTEMBER 30, 2011

and derivatives, all of which are reported at fair value in our consolidated balance sheets. The following table summarizes the Private Funds' investments, securities sold, not yet purchased and unrealized gains and losses on derivatives:

| | September 30, 2011 | | December 31, 2010 | |
|--|--------------------|------------|-------------------|------------|
| | Amortized Cost | Fair Value | Amortized Cost | Fair Value |
| | (in millions) | | | |
| Assets | | | | |
| Investments: | | | | |
| Equity securities: | | | | |
| Communications | \$2,266 | \$2,388 | \$2,169 | \$1,945 |
| Consumer, non-cyclical | 2,352 | 2,504 | 1,833 | 2,234 |
| Consumer, cyclical ⁽¹⁾ | 762 | 570 | 595 | 614 |
| Energy | 954 | 923 | 757 | 858 |
| Financial | 261 | 203 | 100 | 137 |
| Index | 16 | 29 | 9 | — |
| Industrial | 168 | 125 | 94 | 115 |
| Technology | 207 | 235 | 313 | 405 |
| Utilities | 171 | 151 | 157 | 143 |
| | 7,157 | 7,128 | 6,027 | 6,451 |
| Corporate debt: | | | | |
| Communications | 49 | 48 | — | — |
| Consumer, cyclical | 521 | 431 | 544 | 485 |
| Utilities | 40 | 33 | — | — |
| Financial | 3 | 4 | 48 | 5 |
| | 613 | 516 | 592 | 490 |
| Mortgage-backed securities: | | | | |
| Financial | 135 | 183 | 144 | 206 |
| | 7,905 | 7,827 | 6,763 | 7,147 |
| Derivative contracts, at fair value ⁽²⁾ | — | 2 | 15 | 6 |
| | \$7,905 | \$7,829 | \$6,778 | \$7,153 |
| Liabilities | | | | |
| Securities sold, not yet purchased, at fair value: | | | | |
| Equity securities: | | | | |
| Consumer, non-cyclical | \$1 | \$1 | \$— | \$— |
| Consumer, cyclical | 104 | 135 | 305 | 356 |
| Financial | 26 | 24 | 51 | 58 |
| Index | — | — | 9 | 5 |
| Funds | 2,202 | 1,982 | 638 | 800 |
| | 2,333 | 2,142 | 1,003 | 1,219 |
| Derivative contracts, at fair value ⁽³⁾ | — | 3 | 24 | 60 |
| | \$2,333 | \$2,145 | \$1,027 | \$1,279 |

- We consolidated the financial results of Tropicana effective November 15, 2010. As a result, we eliminated our investment in Tropicana at December 31, 2010. As of April 29, 2011, our Investment Management segment no longer held an investment in Tropicana common stock. See Note 2, "Operating Units-Gaming," for further discussion regarding the history of the Investment Funds' investment in Tropicana.
- (1) Included in other assets in our consolidated balance sheets.
 - (2) Included in accrued expenses and other liabilities in our consolidated balance sheets.

The General Partners adopted FASB ASC Section 946-810-45, Financial Services-Investment Companies-Consolidation, as of January 1, 2007. FASB ASC Section 946-810-45 provides guidance on whether investment company accounting should be retained in the financial statements of a parent entity. Upon the adoption of FASB ASC Section 946-810-45, the General

ICAHN ENTERPRISES L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)
SEPTEMBER 30, 2011

Partners lost their ability to retain specialized accounting. Prior to March 31, 2011, for those investments that (i) were deemed to be available-for-sale securities, (ii) fell outside the scope of FASB ASC Topic 320, Investments-Debt and Equity Securities, or (iii) the General Partners would otherwise have accounted for under the equity method, the General Partners applied the fair value option. The application of the fair value option is irrevocable.

As further discussed in Note 2, "Operating Units-Investment Management," as a result of returning fee-paying capital to its investors on March 31, 2011, each of the Private Funds no longer meets the criteria of an investment company as set forth in FASB ASC Paragraph 946-10-15-2, Financial Services-Investment Companies, and, therefore, the application of FASB ASC Section 946-810-45 is no longer applicable effective March 31, 2011. This change has no material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

Our Investment Management segment assesses the applicability of equity method accounting with respect to their investments based on a combination of qualitative and quantitative factors, including overall stock ownership of the Private Funds combined with those of our affiliates along with board of directors representation.

Our Investment Management segment applied the fair value option to certain of its investments that would have otherwise been subject to the equity method of accounting. As of September 30, 2011, the fair value of these investments was \$303 million. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, our Investment Management segment recorded a loss of \$5 million and a gain of \$35 million compared to a gain of \$1 million and \$18 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively. Such amounts are included in net gain (loss) from investment activities in our consolidated statements of operations. These gains and losses include the unrealized gains and losses for our Investment Management segment's investment in Tropicana for periods prior to November 15, 2010 when Tropicana was accounted for at fair value with changes in fair value reflected in earnings. See Note 2, "Operating Units-Gaming" for further discussion regarding the history of the Investment Funds' investment in Tropicana. Also included in these investments is the Investment Funds' investment in Lions Gate Entertainment Corp ("Lions Gate") and The Hain Celestial Group, Inc. ("Hain"). As of September 30, 2011, the Investment Funds, together with their affiliates held, in the aggregate, 7,130,563 shares of Hain, representing approximately 16% of the outstanding shares of Hain. As of September 30, 2011, the Investment Funds together with their affiliates held, in the aggregate, 23,317,923 shares of Lions Gate, representing approximately 17% of the outstanding shares of Lions Gate. The General Partners have applied the fair value option to their investments in Lions Gate and Hain.

We believe that these investments to which we applied the fair value option are not material, individually or in the aggregate, to our consolidated financial statements. Lions Gate and Hain are registered SEC reporting companies whose financial statements are available at www.sec.gov.

Investments in Variable Interest Entities

As discussed in Note 1, "Description of Business and Basis of Presentation," in February 2010, the FASB issued guidance which amends the consolidation requirement of VIEs for certain entities meeting certain criteria. We determined that certain entities within our Investment Management segment previously met the criteria for the deferral of this new consolidation guidance. Accordingly, our Investment Management segment applied the overall guidance on the consolidation of VIEs with respect to applicable entities prior to the issuance of the standard as described in Note 1, "Description of Business and Basis of Presentation-Adoption of New Accounting Standards." Effective March 31, 2011, we applied the consolidation guidance to certain entities within our Investment Management segment to determine whether such entities are considered VIEs, including the determination of who is deemed the primary beneficiary of such VIEs. The application of this consolidation guidance did not have an impact on our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

We consolidate certain VIEs when we are determined to be their primary beneficiary, either directly or indirectly through other consolidated subsidiaries. Prior to the 2011 Reconsideration Event (as discussed below), the assets of our consolidated VIEs were primarily classified within cash and cash equivalents and investments in our consolidated

balance sheets. The liabilities of our consolidated VIEs were primarily classified within securities sold, not yet purchased, at fair value, and accrued expenses and other liabilities in our consolidated balance sheets and are non-recourse to the General Partners' general credit. Any creditors of VIEs do not have recourse against the general credit of the General Partners solely as a result of our including these VIEs in our consolidated financial statements. As discussed in Note 2, "Operating Units-Investment Management," on March 7, 2011, the Private Funds determined to return fee-paying capital to its investors. We evaluated the impact of this reconsideration event (referred to as the "2011 Reconsideration Event") with respect to the VIE and primary beneficiary status of each of the Investment Funds and the Offshore Funds. We determined that the 2011 Reconsideration Event impacted Master Fund II, Master Fund III and Icahn

ICAHN ENTERPRISES L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES
 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)
 SEPTEMBER 30, 2011

Fund Ltd. Prior to the 2011 Reconsideration Event, Master Fund II, Master Fund III and Icahn Fund Ltd. were each considered VIEs and for which we were determined to be their primary beneficiary and therefore we consolidated them. As a result of the 2011 Reconsideration Event, Master Fund II and Master Fund III are no longer considered VIEs. However, the VIE status change in Master Fund II and Master Fund III did not impact their consolidation status. Because we control Master Fund II and Master Fund III through our general partner interests, we continue to consolidate Master Fund II and Master Fund III. There are no substantive kick-out or participating rights in either Master Fund II or Master Fund III. In addition, previously Icahn Fund Ltd. was considered a VIE and we consolidated it because the Offshore GP was its primary beneficiary. As a result of the 2011 Reconsideration Event, we determined that, although Icahn Fund Ltd. is still considered a VIE, the Offshore GP is no longer the primary beneficiary. We deconsolidated Icahn Fund Ltd. as of March 31, 2011, the result of which decreased consolidated total liabilities by \$146 million and increased equity attributable to non-controlling interests by the same amount.

Other Segments

Investments held by our Automotive, Gaming, Railcar, Home Fashion segments and Holding Company consist of the following:

| | September 30, 2011 | | December 31, 2010 | |
|--|--------------------|----------------|-------------------|----------------|
| | Amortized Cost | Carrying Value | Amortized Cost | Carrying Value |
| | (in millions) | | | |
| Marketable equity and debt securities - available for sale | \$ 16 | \$ 14 | \$ 24 | \$ 19 |
| Investments in precious metals ⁽¹⁾ | 150 | 150 | — | — |
| Equity method investments and other | 320 | 320 | 304 | 304 |
| | \$486 | \$484 | \$328 | \$323 |

⁽¹⁾ Carrying value is net of a derivative liability of \$12 million.

With the exception of certain operating segments, it is our general policy to apply the fair value option to all of our investments that would be subject to the equity method of accounting. We record unrealized gains and losses for the change in fair value of such investments as a component of net gain (loss) from investment activities in the consolidated statements of operations. We believe that these investments, individually or in the aggregate, are not material to our consolidated financial statements.

Investments in Non-Consolidated Affiliates

Automotive

Federal-Mogul maintains investments in several non-consolidated affiliates, which are located in China, France, Germany, India, Italy, Korea, Turkey and the United States. Federal-Mogul's direct ownership in such affiliates ranges from approximately 2% to 50%. The aggregate investments in these affiliates were \$227 million and \$210 million at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

Equity earnings from non-consolidated affiliates were \$7 million and \$27 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, which are included in other income (loss), net in our consolidated statements of operations, compared to \$6 million and \$24 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively. For the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, these entities generated sales of \$556 million and \$453 million, respectively, and net income of \$67 million and \$58 million, respectively. Distributed dividends to Federal-Mogul from non-consolidated affiliates were \$14 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 as compared to \$27 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010.

Federal-Mogul does not consolidate any entity for which it has a variable interest based solely on power to direct the activities and significant participation in the entity's expected results that would not otherwise be consolidated based on control through voting interests. Further, Federal-Mogul's joint ventures are businesses established and maintained

in connection with its operating strategy and are not special purpose entities.

Federal-Mogul holds a 50% non-controlling interest in a joint venture located in Turkey. This joint venture was

ICAHN ENTERPRISES L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)
SEPTEMBER 30, 2011

established in 1995 for the purpose of manufacturing and marketing automotive parts, including pistons, piston rings, piston pins, and cylinder liners to OE and aftermarket customers. Pursuant to the joint venture agreement, Federal-Mogul's partner holds an option to put its shares to a subsidiary of Federal-Mogul's at the higher of the current fair value or at a guaranteed minimum amount. The term of the contingent guarantee is indefinite, consistent with the terms of the joint venture agreement. However, the contingent guarantee would not survive termination of the joint venture agreement. The guaranteed minimum amount represents a contingent guarantee of the initial investment of the joint venture partner and can be exercised at the discretion of the partner. The total amount of the contingent guarantee, should all triggering events have occurred, approximated \$62 million as of September 30, 2011. Federal-Mogul believes that this contingent guarantee is less than the estimated current fair value of the partners' interest in the affiliate. As such, the contingent guarantee does not give rise to a contingent liability and, as a result, no amount is recorded for this guarantee. If this put option were exercised, the consideration paid and net assets acquired would be accounted for in accordance with business combination accounting. Any value in excess of the guaranteed minimum amount of the put option would be the subject of negotiation between Federal-Mogul and its joint venture partner.

Railcar

As of September 30, 2011, ARI was party to three joint ventures which are all accounted for using the equity method. ARI determined that, although these joint ventures are considered VIEs, it is not the primary beneficiary of such VIEs, does not have a controlling financial interest and does not have the ability to individually direct the activities of the VIEs that most significantly impact their economic performance. A significant factor in this determination was that ARI does not have the rights to a majority of returns, losses or votes.

The risk of loss to ARI is limited to its investment in these joint ventures, certain loans and related interest and fees due from these joint ventures to ARI. As of September 30, 2011, the carrying amount of these investments was \$45 million and the maximum exposure to loss was \$47 million. Maximum exposure to loss was determined based on ARI's carrying amounts in such investments, loans, accrued interest thereon and accrued unused line fee due from applicable joint ventures.

5. Fair Value Measurements.

U.S. GAAP requires enhanced disclosures about investments and non-recurring non-financial assets and non-financial liabilities that are measured and reported at fair value and has established a hierarchal disclosure framework that prioritizes and ranks the level of market price observability used in measuring investments or non-financial assets and liabilities at fair value. Market price observability is impacted by a number of factors, including the type of investment and the characteristics specific to the investment. Investments with readily available active quoted prices or for which fair value can be measured from actively quoted prices generally will have a higher degree of market price observability and a lesser degree of judgment used in measuring fair value.

Investments and non-financial assets and/or liabilities measured and reported at fair value are classified and disclosed in one of the following categories:

Level 1 - Quoted prices are available in active markets for identical investments as of the reporting date. The types of investments included in Level 1 include listed equities and listed derivatives. We do not adjust the quoted price for these investments, even in situations where we hold a large position.

Level 2 - Pricing inputs are other than quoted prices in active markets, which are either directly or indirectly observable as of the reporting date, and fair value is determined through the use of models or other valuation methodologies. Investments that are generally included in this category include corporate bonds and loans, less liquid and restricted equity securities and certain over-the-counter derivatives. The inputs and assumptions of our Level 2 investments are derived from market observable sources including: reported trades, broker/dealer quotes and other

pertinent data.

Level 3 - Pricing inputs are unobservable for the investment and non-financial asset and/or liability and include situations where there is little, if any, market activity for the investment or non-financial asset and/or liability. The inputs into the determination of fair value require significant management judgment or estimation. Fair value is determined using comparable market transactions and other valuation methodologies, adjusted as appropriate for liquidity, credit, market and/or other risk factors.

In certain cases, the inputs used to measure fair value may fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy. In such cases, an investment's level within the fair value hierarchy is based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair

ICAHN ENTERPRISES L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)
SEPTEMBER 30, 2011

value measurement. Our assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires judgment and considers factors specific to the investment. Significant transfers, if any, between the levels within the fair value hierarchy are recognized at the beginning of the reporting period.

Investment Management

The following table summarizes the valuation of the Investment Funds' investments by the above fair value hierarchy levels as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

| | September 30, 2011 | | | | December 31, 2010 | | | |
|--|--------------------|---------|---------|---------|-------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total |
| Assets | (in millions) | | | | | | | |
| Investments: | | | | | | | | |
| Equity securities: | | | | | | | | |
| Communications | \$2,385 | \$3 | \$— | \$2,388 | \$1,945 | \$— | \$— | \$1,945 |
| Consumer, non-cyclical | 2,494 | 10 | — | 2,504 | 2,227 | 7 | — | 2,234 |
| Consumer, cyclical ⁽¹⁾ | 283 | 287 | — | 570 | 295 | 318 | 1 | 614 |
| Energy | 923 | — | — | 923 | 541 | 317 | — | 858 |
| Financial | 203 | — | — | 203 | 137 | — | — | 137 |
| Index | — | 29 | — | 29 | — | — | — | — |
| Industrial | 88 | 37 | — | 125 | 114 | 1 | — | 115 |
| Technology | 235 | — | — | 235 | 405 | — | — | 405 |
| Utilities | 110 | 41 | — | 151 | 100 | 43 | — | 143 |
| | 6,721 | 407 | — | 7,128 | 5,764 | 686 | 1 | 6,451 |
| Corporate debt: | | | | | | | | |
| Communications | — | 48 | — | 48 | — | — | — | — |
| Consumer, cyclical | — | 147 | 284 | 431 | — | 157 | 328 | 485 |
| Utilities | — | 33 | — | 33 | — | — | — | — |
| Financial | — | 4 | — | 4 | — | 5 | — | 5 |
| | — | 232 | 284 | 516 | — | 162 | 328 | 490 |
| Mortgage-backed securities: | | | | | | | | |
| Financial | — | 183 | — | 183 | — | 206 | — | 206 |
| | 6,721 | 822 | 284 | 7,827 | 5,764 | 1,054 | 329 | 7,147 |
| Derivative contracts, at fair value ⁽²⁾ : | — | 2 | — | 2 | — | 6 | — | 6 |
| | \$6,721 | \$824 | \$284 | \$7,829 | \$5,764 | \$1,060 | \$329 | \$7,153 |
| Liabilities | | | | | | | | |
| Securities sold, not yet purchased, at fair value: | | | | | | | | |
| Equity securities: | | | | | | | | |
| Consumer, non-cyclical | \$1 | \$— | \$— | \$1 | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$— |
| Consumer, cyclical | 135 | — | — | 135 | 356 | — | — | 356 |

Edgar Filing: ICAHN ENTERPRISES L.P. - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------|------|-----|---------|---------|------|-----|---------|
| Financial | 24 | — | — | 24 | 58 | — | — | 58 |
| Index | — | — | — | — | — | 5 | — | 5 |
| Funds | 1,941 | 41 | — | 1,982 | 800 | — | — | 800 |
| | 2,101 | 41 | — | 2,142 | 1,214 | 5 | — | 1,219 |
| Derivative contracts, at fair value ⁽³⁾ : | — | 3 | — | 3 | — | 60 | — | 60 |
| | \$2,101 | \$44 | \$— | \$2,145 | \$1,214 | \$65 | \$— | \$1,279 |

We consolidated the financial results of Tropicana effective November 15, 2010. As a result, we eliminated our investment in Tropicana at December 31, 2010. As of April 29, 2011, our Investment Management segment no longer held an investment in Tropicana common stock. See Note 2, "Operating Units-Gaming," for further discussion regarding the history of the Investment Funds' investment in Tropicana.

(1) Included in other assets in our consolidated balance sheets.

(2) Included in accrued expenses and other liabilities in our consolidated balance sheets.

ICAHN ENTERPRISES L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)
SEPTEMBER 30, 2011

The changes in investments measured at fair value for which the Investment Management segment has used Level 3 input to determine fair value are as follows:

| | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| | (in millions) | |
| Balance at January 1 | \$329 | \$228 |
| Gross realized and unrealized gains | 2 | 18 |
| Gross proceeds | (47 |) (137 |
| Gross purchases | — | 221 |
| Balance at September 30 | \$284 | \$330 |

Unrealized gains of \$2 million are included in earnings related to Level 3 investments still held at September 30, 2011. Total realized and unrealized gains and losses recorded for Level 3 investments, if any, are reported in net gain (loss) from investment activities in our consolidated statements of operations.

Other Segments

The following table summarizes the valuation of our Automotive and Metals segments and Holding Company investments by the above fair value hierarchy levels as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

| | September 30, 2011 | | | December 31, 2010 | | |
|--|--------------------|---------|-------|-------------------|---------|-------|
| | Level 1 | Level 2 | Total | Level 1 | Level 2 | Total |
| Assets | (in millions) | | | | | |
| Marketable equity and debt securities | \$14 | \$— | \$14 | \$19 | \$— | \$19 |
| Investments in precious metals | 150 | — | 150 | — | — | — |
| Derivative contracts, at fair value ⁽¹⁾ : | — | — | — | — | 12 | 12 |
| | \$164 | \$— | \$164 | \$19 | \$12 | \$31 |
| Liabilities | | | | | | |
| Derivative contracts, at fair value ⁽²⁾ : | \$— | \$100 | \$100 | \$— | \$94 | \$94 |

⁽¹⁾ Amounts are classified within other assets in our consolidated balance sheets.

⁽²⁾ Amounts are classified within accrued expenses and other liabilities in our consolidated balance sheets.

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis at September 30, 2011 are set forth in the table below:

| Category | Level 3 | |
|-------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| | Asset (Liability) | Recognized Loss |
| | (in millions) | |
| Property, plant and equipment | \$6 | \$3 |
| Asset retirement obligation | (2 |) — |

Property, plant and equipment with a carrying value of \$9 million were written down to their fair value of \$6 million, resulting in an impairment charge of \$3 million, which was recorded within other income (loss), net for the nine months ended September 30, 2011. We determined the fair value of these assets by applying probability weighted,

expected present value techniques to the estimated future cash flows using assumptions a market participant would utilize. The discount rate used is consistent with our reporting units' goodwill fair value measurements.

ICAHN ENTERPRISES L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)
SEPTEMBER 30, 2011

An asset retirement obligation of \$2 million was recorded as of September 30, 2011. The fair value of this liability was determined with the assistance of an outside third-party specialist.

6. Financial Instruments.

Certain derivative contracts executed by the Private Funds with a single counterparty or by our Automotive segment with a single counterparty or by our Holding Company with a single counterparty are reported on a net-by-counterparty basis where a legal right of offset exists under an enforceable netting agreement. Values for the derivative financial instruments, principally swaps, forwards, over-the-counter options and other conditional and exchange contracts are reported on a net-by-counterparty basis. As a result, the net exposure to counterparties is reported in either other assets or accrued expenses and other liabilities in our consolidated balance sheets.

Investment Management and Holding Company

The Investment Funds currently maintain cash deposits and cash equivalents with major financial institutions. Certain account balances may not be covered by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, while other accounts may exceed federally insured limits. The Investment Funds have prime broker arrangements in place with multiple prime brokers as well as a custodian bank. These financial institutions are members of major securities exchanges. The Investment Funds also have relationships with several financial institutions with which they trade derivative and other financial instruments.

In the normal course of business, the Investment Funds and the Holding Company may trade various financial instruments and enter into certain investment activities, which may give rise to off-balance-sheet risk. The Investment Funds and the Holding Company's investments may include options, credit default swaps and securities sold, not yet purchased. These financial instruments represent future commitments to purchase or sell other financial instruments or to exchange an amount of cash based on the change in an underlying instrument at specific terms at specified future dates. Risks arise with these financial instruments from potential counterparty non-performance and from changes in the market values of underlying instruments.

Securities sold, not yet purchased, at fair value represent obligations to deliver the specified security, thereby creating a liability to repurchase the security in the market at prevailing prices. Accordingly, these transactions result in off-balance-sheet risk, as the satisfaction of the obligations may exceed the amount recognized in our consolidated balance sheets. Our investments in securities and amounts due from brokers are partially restricted until we satisfy the obligation to deliver the securities sold, not yet purchased.

The Investment Funds and the Holding Company may enter into derivative contracts, including swap contracts, futures contracts and option contracts with the objective of capital appreciation or as economic hedges against other securities or the market as a whole. The Investment Funds may also enter into foreign currency derivative contracts to economically hedge against foreign currency exchange rate risks on all or a portion of their non-U.S. dollar denominated investments.

The Investment Funds and the Holding Company have entered into various types of swap contracts with other counterparties. These agreements provide that they are entitled to receive or are obligated to pay in cash an amount equal to the increase or decrease, respectively, in the value of the underlying shares, debt and other instruments that are the subject of the contracts, during the period from inception of the applicable agreement to its expiration. In addition, pursuant to the terms of such agreements, they are entitled to receive other payments, including interest, dividends and other distributions made in respect of the underlying shares, debt and other instruments during the specified time frame. They are also required to pay to the counterparty a floating interest rate equal to the product of the notional amount multiplied by an agreed-upon rate, and they receive interest on any cash collateral that they post to the counterparty at the federal funds or LIBOR rate in effect for such period.

The Investment Funds and the Holding Company may trade futures contracts. A futures contract is a firm commitment to buy or sell a specified quantity of a standardized amount of a deliverable grade commodity, security, currency or cash at a specified price and specified future date unless the contract is closed before the delivery date. Payments (or variation margin) are made or received by the Investment Funds and the Holding Company each day, depending on the daily fluctuations in the value of the contract, and the whole value change is recorded as an unrealized gain or loss by the Investment Funds and the Holding Company. When the contract is closed, the Investment Funds and the Holding Company record a realized gain or loss equal to the difference between the value of the contract at the time it was opened and the value at the time it was closed.

The Investment Funds and the Holding Company may utilize forward contracts to seek to protect their assets denominated in foreign currencies and precious metals holdings from losses due to fluctuations in foreign exchange rates and spot rates. The

ICAHN ENTERPRISES L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)
SEPTEMBER 30, 2011

Investment Funds and the Holding Company's exposure to credit risk associated with non-performance of forward contracts is limited to the unrealized gains or losses inherent in such contracts, which are recognized in unrealized gains or losses on derivative, futures and foreign currency contracts, at fair value in our consolidated balance sheets. The Investment Funds may also purchase and write option contracts. As a writer of option contracts, the Investment Funds receive a premium at the outset and then bear the market risk of unfavorable changes in the price of the underlying financial instrument. As a result of writing option contracts, the Investment Funds are obligated to purchase or sell, at the holder's option, the underlying financial instrument. Accordingly, these transactions result in off-balance-sheet risk, as the Investment Funds' satisfaction of the obligations may exceed the amount recognized in our consolidated balance sheets. At September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the maximum payout amounts relating to certain put options written by the Investment Funds, excluding a certain stock index option strategy which is separately discussed below, were \$233 million and \$195 million, respectively. As of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, there were unrealized gains of \$0.1 million and \$0.2 million, respectively.

As of September 30, 2011, the Investment Funds were synthetically short a certain stock index through an option strategy. As of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, there were unrealized gains of \$21 million and unrealized losses of \$5 million, respectively, related to this option strategy.

During the third quarter of fiscal 2010, the Holding Company purchased and wrote option contracts on a certain stock index futures. At September 30, 2011, the maximum payout was \$170 million, assuming the value of certain stock index futures falls below certain limits on our put spreads, and \$89 million assuming the value of certain stock index futures increases above certain limits on our call spreads. As of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the Holding Company had \$23 million and \$22 million, respectively, in liability derivatives related to a certain stock index futures which are not designated as hedging instruments.

Certain terms of the Investment Funds' contracts with derivative counterparties, which are standard and customary to such contracts, contain certain triggering events that would give the counterparties the right to terminate the derivative instruments. In such events, the counterparties to the derivative instruments could request immediate payment on derivative instruments in net liability positions. The aggregate fair value of all derivative instruments with credit-risk-related contingent features that are in a liability position on September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 was \$3 million and \$60 million, respectively.

At September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the Investment Funds had \$77 million and \$248 million, respectively, posted as collateral for derivative positions, including those derivative instruments with credit-risk-related contingent features; these amounts are included in cash held at consolidated affiliated partnerships and restricted cash in our consolidated balance sheets.

U.S. GAAP requires the disclosure of information about obligations under certain guarantee arrangements. Such guarantee arrangements requiring disclosure include contracts that contingently require the guarantor to make payments to the guaranteed party based on another entity's failure to perform under an agreement as well as indirect guarantees of the indebtedness of others.

The Investment Funds have entered into certain derivative contracts, in the form of credit default swaps, which meet the accounting definition of a guarantee, whereby the occurrence of a credit event with respect to the issuer of the underlying financial instrument may obligate the Investment Funds to make a payment to the swap counterparties. As of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the Investment Funds have entered into such credit default swaps with a maximum notional amount of \$8 million and \$32 million with terms of approximately one year and two years as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. We estimate that our maximum exposure related to these credit default swaps approximates 48.4% and 39.4% of such notional amounts as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

ICAHN ENTERPRISES L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)
SEPTEMBER 30, 2011

The following table presents the notional amount, fair value, underlying referenced credit obligation type and credit ratings for derivative contracts in which the Investment Funds are assuming risk:

| Credit Derivative Type Risk Exposure | September 30, 2011 | | December 31, 2010 | | Underlying Reference Obligation |
|---|-------------------------------------|------------|--------------------|------------|------------------------------------|
| | Notional Amount (in millions) | Fair Value | Notional Amount | Fair Value | |
| Single name credit default swaps: Below investment grade risk exposure | \$8 | \$ (0.3) | \$32 | \$1 | Corporate credit |

The following table presents the fair values of the Investment Funds and the Holding Company's derivatives:

| Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments | Asset Derivatives ⁽¹⁾ | | Liability Derivatives ⁽²⁾ | |
|--|----------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------|
| | September 30, 2011 | December 31, 2010 | September 30, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
| | (in millions) | | | |
| Equity contracts | \$— | \$1 | \$5 | \$2 |
| Commodity swap contract | — | — | 12 | — |
| Foreign exchange contracts | 2 | — | — | 2 |
| Credit contracts | — | 24 | 1 | 77 |
| Futures index spread | — | — | 23 | 22 |
| Sub-total | 2 | 25 | 41 | 103 |
| Netting across contract types ⁽³⁾ | — | (19 |) (12 |) (19 |
| Total ⁽⁴⁾ | \$2 | \$6 | \$29 | \$84 |

⁽¹⁾ Net asset derivatives are located within other assets in our consolidated balance sheets.

⁽²⁾ Net liability derivatives are located within accrued expenses and other liabilities in our consolidated balance sheets.

⁽³⁾ Represents the netting of receivables balances with payable balances for the same counterparty across contract types pursuant to netting agreements.

⁽⁴⁾ Excludes netting of cash collateral received and posted. The total collateral posted at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 was \$77 million and \$248 million, respectively, across all counterparties.

The following table presents the effects of the Investment Funds and the Holding Company's derivative instruments on the statements of operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010:

| Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments | Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income ⁽¹⁾ | | | |
|--|---|--------|---------------------------------|-------|
| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| | (in millions) | | | |
| Equity contracts | \$28 | \$5 | \$37 | \$4 |
| Foreign exchange contracts | 11 | (16 |) (2 |) (12 |
| Credit contracts | — | (7 |) 19 | 43 |
| Futures index spread | (7 |) (8 |) 16 | (8 |
| | \$32 | \$ (26 |) \$70 | \$27 |

- (1) Gains (losses) recognized on derivatives are classified in net gain (loss) from investment activities in our consolidated statements of operations.

ICAHN ENTERPRISES L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES
 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)
 SEPTEMBER 30, 2011

At September 30, 2011, the volume of the Investment Funds' and the Holding Company's derivative activities based on their notional exposure, categorized by primary underlying risk, are as follows:

| Primary underlying risk: | Long Notional Exposure (in millions) | Short Notional Exposure | |
|---------------------------|--|----------------------------|---|
| Credit default swaps | \$8 | \$— | |
| Commodity swaps | — | (150 |) |
| Equity swaps | 7 | — | |
| Foreign currency forwards | 152 | — | |
| Futures index spread | 68 | (91 |) |

Each Investment Fund's assets may be held in one or more accounts maintained for the Investment Fund by its prime broker or at other brokers or custodian banks, which may be located in various jurisdictions. The prime broker and custodian banks are subject to various laws and regulations in the relevant jurisdictions in the event of their insolvency. Accordingly, the practical effect of these laws and their application to the Investment Fund's assets may be subject to substantial variations, limitations and uncertainties. The insolvency of any of the prime brokers, custodian banks or clearing corporations may result in the loss of all or a substantial portion of the Investment Fund's assets or in a significant delay in the Investment Fund's having access to those assets.

Credit concentrations may arise from investment activities and may be impacted by changes in economic, industry or political factors. The Investment Funds and the Holding Company routinely execute transactions with counterparties in the financial services industry, resulting in credit concentration with respect to this industry. In the ordinary course of business, the Investment Funds and the Holding Company may also be subject to a concentration of credit risk to a particular counterparty.

The Investment Funds and the Holding Company seek to mitigate these risks by actively monitoring exposures, collateral requirements and the creditworthiness of our counterparties.

Automotive

During fiscal 2008, Federal-Mogul entered into a series of five-year interest rate swap agreements with a total notional value of \$1,190 million to hedge the variability of interest payments associated with its variable-rate term loans.

Through these swap agreements, Federal-Mogul has fixed its base interest and premium rate at a combined average interest rate of approximately 5.37% on the hedged principal amount of \$1,190 million. As of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, unrealized net losses of \$53 million and \$70 million, respectively, were recorded in accumulated other comprehensive loss as a result of these hedges. As of September 30, 2011, losses of \$36 million are expected to be reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive loss to the consolidated statement of operations within the next 12 months.

These interest rate swaps reduce Federal-Mogul's overall interest rate risk. However, due to the remaining outstanding borrowings on Federal-Mogul's debt facilities and other borrowing facilities that continue to have variable interest rates, management believes that interest rate risk to Federal-Mogul could be material if there are significant adverse changes in interest rates.

Federal-Mogul's production processes are dependent upon the supply of certain raw materials that are exposed to price fluctuations on the open market. The primary purpose of Federal-Mogul's commodity price forward contract activity is to manage the volatility associated with forecasted purchases. Federal-Mogul monitors its commodity price risk exposures regularly to maximize the overall effectiveness of its commodity forward contracts. Principal raw materials hedged include high-grade aluminum, copper, natural gas, nickel, tin and zinc. Forward contracts are used to mitigate commodity price risk associated with raw materials, generally related to purchases forecast for up to 15 months in the

future.

Federal-Mogul had commodity price hedge contracts outstanding with combined notional values of \$133 million and \$50 million at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively, of which substantially all mature within one year and substantially all were designated as hedging instruments for accounting purposes. Unrealized net losses of \$23 million were recorded in accumulated other comprehensive loss as of September 30, 2011. Unrealized net gains of \$12 million were recorded in accumulated other comprehensive loss as of December 31, 2010.

ICAHN ENTERPRISES L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)
SEPTEMBER 30, 2011

Federal-Mogul manufactures and sells its products in North America, South America, Asia, Europe and Africa. As a result, Federal-Mogul's financial results could be significantly affected by factors such as changes in foreign currency exchange rates or weak economic conditions in foreign markets in which Federal-Mogul manufactures and sells its products. Federal-Mogul's operating results are primarily exposed to changes in exchange rates between the U.S. dollar and European currencies.

Federal-Mogul generally tries to use natural hedges within its foreign currency activities, including the matching of revenues and costs, to minimize foreign currency risk. Where natural hedges are not in place, Federal-Mogul considers managing certain aspects of its foreign currency activities and larger transactions through the use of foreign currency options or forward contracts. Principal currencies hedged have historically included the euro, British pound and Polish zloty. Federal-Mogul had notional values of \$38 million and \$20 million of foreign currency hedge contracts outstanding at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively, of which substantially all mature in less than one year and substantially all were designated as hedging instruments for accounting purposes. Unrealized net gains of \$3 million were recorded in accumulated other comprehensive loss as of September 30, 2011. Immaterial unrealized net losses were recorded in accumulated other comprehensive loss as of December 31, 2010.

Financial instruments, which potentially subject Federal-Mogul to concentrations of credit risk, consist primarily of accounts receivable and cash investments. Federal-Mogul's customer base includes virtually every significant global light and commercial vehicle manufacturer and a large number of distributors, installers and retailers of automotive aftermarket parts. Federal-Mogul's credit evaluation process and the geographical dispersion of sales transactions help to mitigate credit risk concentration. No individual customer accounted for more than 5% of Federal-Mogul's direct sales during the nine months ended September 30, 2011. Federal-Mogul requires placement of cash in financial institutions evaluated as highly creditworthy.

The following table presents the fair values of Federal-Mogul's derivative instruments:

| Derivatives Designated as Cash Flow Hedging Instruments | Asset Derivatives ⁽¹⁾ | | Liability Derivatives ⁽²⁾ | |
|--|----------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------|
| | September 30, 2011 | December 31, 2010 | September 30, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
| | (in millions) | | | |
| Interest rate swap contracts | \$— | \$— | \$53 | \$70 |
| Commodity contracts | — | 13 | 24 | 1 |
| Foreign currency contracts | 3 | — | — | — |
| Sub-total | 3 | 13 | 77 | 71 |
| Netting across contract types | (3 |) (1 |) (3 |) (1 |
| Total | \$— | \$12 | \$74 | \$70 |

(1) Located within other assets in our consolidated balance sheets.

(2) Located within accrued expenses and other liabilities in our consolidated balance sheets.

ICAHN ENTERPRISES L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)
SEPTEMBER 30, 2011

The following tables present the effect of Federal-Mogul's derivative instruments in our consolidated financial statements for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010:

Three Months Ended September 30, 2011

| Derivatives Designated as Hedging Instruments | Amount of (Loss) Gain Recognized in OCI on Derivatives (Effective Portion) | Amount of (Loss) Gain Reclassified from AOCI into Income (Effective Portion) | Location of (Loss) Gain Reclassified from AOCI into Income (Effective Portion) | Amount of Loss Recognized in Income on Derivatives (Ineffective Portion and Amount Excluded from Effectiveness Testing) | Location of Loss Recognized in Income on Derivatives (Ineffective Portion and Amount Excluded from Effectiveness Testing) |
|---|--|--|--|---|---|
| | (in millions) | (in millions) | | (in millions) | |
| Interest rate swap contracts | \$(2) | \$(10) | Interest expense | \$— | |
| Commodity contracts | (21) | 2 | Cost of goods sold | (1) | Other income, net |
| Foreign currency contracts | 4 | — | | — | |
| | \$(19) | \$(8) | | \$(1) | |

Three Months Ended September 30, 2010

| Derivatives Designated as Hedging Instruments | Amount of Loss Recognized in OCI on Derivatives (Effective Portion) | Amount of (Loss) Gain Reclassified from AOCI into Income (Effective Portion) | Location of (Loss) Gain Reclassified from AOCI into Income (Effective Portion) | Amount of Loss Recognized in Income on Derivatives (Ineffective Portion and Amount Excluded from Effectiveness Testing) | Location of Loss Recognized in Income on Derivatives (Ineffective Portion and Amount Excluded from Effectiveness Testing) |
|---|---|--|--|---|---|
| | (in millions) | (in millions) | | (in millions) | |
| Interest rate swap contracts | \$(17) | \$(10) | Interest expense | \$— | |
| Commodity contracts | 9 | 2 | Cost of goods sold | 1 | Other income, net |
| Foreign currency contracts | (1) | — | | — | |
| | \$(9) | \$(8) | | \$1 | |

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011

| Derivatives Designated as Hedging Instruments | Amount of (Loss) Gain Recognized in OCI on Derivatives (Effective Portion) | Amount of (Loss) Gain Reclassified from AOCI into Income (Effective Portion) | Location of (Loss) Gain Reclassified from AOCI into Income (Effective Portion) | Amount of Loss Recognized in Income on Derivatives (Ineffective Portion and Amount Excluded from Effectiveness Testing) | Location of Loss Recognized in Income on Derivatives (Ineffective Portion and Amount Excluded from Effectiveness Testing) |
|---|--|--|--|---|---|
| | | | | | |

Edgar Filing: ICAHN ENTERPRISES L.P. - Form 10-Q

| | (in millions) | (in millions) | | Excluded from Effectiveness Testing) | from Effectiveness Testing) |
|------------------------------|---------------|---------------|--------------------|--|-----------------------------------|
| Interest rate swap contracts | \$(12) | \$(29) | Interest expense | \$— | |
| Commodity contracts | (26) | 8 | Cost of goods sold | (1) | Other income, net |
| Foreign currency contracts | 2 | (1) | Cost of goods sold | — | |
| | \$(36) | \$(22) | | \$(1) |) |

ICAHN ENTERPRISES L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)
SEPTEMBER 30, 2011

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010

| Derivatives Designated as Hedging Instruments | Amount of | Amount of | Location of (Loss) Gain Reclassified from AOCI into Income (Effective Portion) | Amount of Gain | Location of Gain |
|---|--|--|--|---|--|
| | (Loss) Gain Recognized in OCI on Derivatives (Effective Portion) | (Loss) Gain Reclassified from AOCI into Income (Effective Portion) | | Recognized in Income on Derivatives (Ineffective Portion and Excluded from Effectiveness Testing) | Recognized in Income on Derivatives (Ineffective Portion and Amount Excluded from Effectiveness Testing) |
| | (in millions) | (in millions) | | (in millions) | |
| Interest rate swap contracts | \$(59) | \$(28) | Interest expense | \$— | |
| Commodity contracts | 7 | 5 | Cost of goods sold | — | |
| Foreign currency contracts | 1 | 1 | Cost of goods sold | — | |
| | \$(51) | \$(22) | | \$— | |

7. Inventories, Net.

Inventories, net consists of the following:

| | September 30, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
|------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| | (in millions) | |
| Raw materials | \$255 | \$211 |
| Work in process | 223 | 195 |
| Finished goods | 787 | 670 |
| | 1,265 | 1,076 |
| Other: | | |
| Ferrous metals | 80 | 43 |
| Non-ferrous metals | 29 | 21 |
| Secondary metals | 28 | 23 |
| | 137 | 87 |
| Total inventories, net | \$1,402 | \$1,163 |

8. Goodwill and Intangible Assets, Net.

Goodwill consists of the following:

| | September 30, 2011 | | | December 31, 2010 | | |
|----------------|-----------------------|------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| | Gross Carrying Amount | Accumulated Impairment | Net Carrying Value | Gross Carrying Amount | Accumulated Impairment | Net Carrying Value |
| | (in millions) | | | | | |
| Automotive | \$1,336 | \$(226) | \$1,110 | \$1,343 | \$(226) | \$1,117 |
| Railcar | 7 | — | 7 | 7 | — | 7 |
| Food Packaging | 3 | — | 3 | 3 | — | 3 |
| Metals | 21 | — | 21 | 2 | — | 2 |
| | \$1,367 | \$(226) | \$1,141 | \$1,355 | \$(226) | \$1,129 |

ICAHN ENTERPRISES L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)
SEPTEMBER 30, 2011

Intangible assets, net consists of the following:

| | Useful lives (years) | September 30, 2011 | | | December 31, 2010 | | |
|--|----------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|
| | | Gross Carrying Amount (in millions) | Accumulated Amortization | Net Carrying Value | Gross Carrying Amount | Accumulated Amortization | Net Carrying Value |
| Definite-lived intangible assets: | | | | | | | |
| Automotive | 1 - 22 | \$657 | \$(210) |) \$447 | \$658 | \$(174) |) \$484 |
| Gaming | 3 - 42 | 25 | (2) |) 23 | 25 | — |) 25 |
| Food Packaging | 6 - 13.5 | 23 | (13) |) 10 | 23 | (11) |) 12 |
| Metals | 5 - 15 | 15 | (6) |) 9 | 11 | (5) |) 6 |
| Real Estate | 12 - 12.5 | 121 | (31) |) 90 | 121 | (24) |) 97 |
| | | \$841 | \$(262) |) 579 | \$838 | \$(214) |) 624 |
| Indefinite-lived intangible assets: | | | | | | | |
| Automotive | | | | 314 | | | 314 |
| Gaming | | | | 54 | | | 54 |
| Food Packaging | | | | 2 | | | 2 |
| Metals | | | | 2 | | | — |
| Home Fashion | | | | 5 | | | 5 |
| | | | | 377 | | | 375 |
| Intangible assets, net | | | | \$956 | | | \$999 |

For each of the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, we recorded amortization expense of \$17 million associated with definite-lived intangible assets. For each of the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, we recorded amortization expense of \$48 million associated with definite-lived intangible assets. We utilize the straight-line method of amortization, recognized over the estimated useful lives of the assets.

Automotive

During the nine months ended September 30, 2011, Federal-Mogul corrected \$6 million of tax adjustments that were improperly recorded to goodwill.

Gaming

Upon the acquisition of the controlling interest in Tropicana on November 15, 2010, we recognized \$25 million in definite-lived intangible assets and \$54 million in indefinite-lived intangible assets. The definite-lived intangible assets relate primarily to favorable lease arrangements which are being amortized on a straight-line basis over their respective useful lives. Of the indefinite-lived intangible assets, \$29 million relates to gaming licenses related to entities that are located in gaming jurisdictions where competition is limited to a specified number of licensed gaming operators. The remainder of the indefinite-lived intangible assets relates to the “Tropicana” trade name.

Intangible assets related to the acquisition of Tropicana were valued using the income and cost based methods as appropriate. The “Tropicana” trade name was valued based on the relief-from-royalty method which is a function of projected revenue, the royalty rate that would hypothetically be charged by a licensor of an asset to an unrelated licensee and a discount rate. Gaming licenses were valued based on the Greenfield method, which is the function of the cost to build a new casino operation, the build out period, projected cash flows attributed to the casino once operational and a discount rate.

Food Packaging

As a result of our acquisition of a controlling interest in Viskase on January 15, 2010, certain long-term assets have been

28

ICAHN ENTERPRISES L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)
SEPTEMBER 30, 2011

adjusted as a result of our required utilization of common control parties' underlying basis in such assets. As of September 30, 2011, the net balances of such assets included adjustments as follows: \$3 million for goodwill and \$11 million for intangible assets.

We perform an annual goodwill impairment test for our Food Packaging reporting unit as of June 15 of each fiscal year utilizing both the market and income approaches. The market approach produces indications of value by applying multiples of enterprise value to revenue as well as enterprise value to earnings before depreciation, amortization, interest and taxes. For the income approach, a discounted net cash flow was used to determine fair value. Significant estimates and assumptions used in the discounted cash flow method include forecasted revenues and profits, appropriate weighted average cost of capital and tax rates.

The June 15, 2011 evaluation equally weighted the values derived from both the market and income approaches to arrive at fair value. Our Viskase reporting unit with a goodwill balance passed "Step 1" of the June 15, 2011 goodwill impairment analysis. All "Step 1" results had fair values in excess of carrying values by at least 90%, resulting in no impairment of goodwill.

Railcar

We perform an annual goodwill impairment test for our Railcar reporting unit as of March 1 of each fiscal year utilizing both the market and income approaches. The market approach produces indications of value by applying multiples of enterprise value to revenue as well as enterprise value to earnings before depreciation, amortization, interest and taxes. For the income approach, a discounted net cash flow was used to determine fair value. Significant estimates and assumptions used in the discounted cash flow method include forecasted revenues and profits, appropriate weighted average cost of capital and tax rates.

The March 1, 2011 evaluation equally weighted the values derived from both the market and income approaches to arrive at fair value. Our ARI reporting unit with a goodwill balance passed "Step 1" of the March 1, 2011 goodwill impairment analysis. All "Step 1" results had fair values in excess of carrying values by at least 60%, resulting in no impairment of goodwill.

Metals

In January 2011, PSC Metals acquired substantially all the assets and certain liabilities of Cash's Scrap Metal and Iron Corp. ("CSMI") for \$32 million in cash. CSMI is a scrap recycler and operates in five different locations in Missouri. In May 2011, PSC Metals acquired substantially all the assets of Wedel Iron and Metal, LLC ("Wedel") for \$4 million in cash. Wedel is a scrap metals recycler operating in Crossville, Tennessee.

In September 2011, PSC Metals acquired substantially all of the assets of Shapiro Brothers, Inc., or Shapiro, for \$22 million in cash. Shapiro operates four scrap yards located in Missouri, Arkansas and Illinois. Shapiro buys, sells and processes ferrous and non-ferrous scrap, including industrial and obsolete grades of scrap. This acquisition is complimentary to PSC Metal's acquisition of CSMI in the first quarter of fiscal 2011 and strengthens PSC Metals' presence in the mid-west region of the United States.

As a result of these acquisitions, PSC Metals recognized \$19 million of goodwill, \$4 million in definite-lived intangible assets related to non-compete agreements and customer/supplier relationships and \$2 million in indefinite-lived intangibles related to trade names. In allocating the purchase price to the fair value of assets acquired and liabilities assumed, PSC Metals utilizes third-party appraisers to assist it in assessing the fair values of certain components of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed. Estimates of fair value are based on industry data and trends and reference to relevant market rates and transactions, and discounted cash flow valuation methods, among other factors. The preliminary allocation of the fair value of assets acquired is subject to additional adjustment to provide us with adequate time to complete the valuation of these acquisitions. We do not present a schedule detailing the purchase price allocations or proforma financial information for these acquisitions because they are not material, individually or in the aggregate, to our consolidated financial statements.

ICAHN ENTERPRISES L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)
SEPTEMBER 30, 2011

9. Property, Plant and Equipment, Net.

Property, plant and equipment, net consists of the following:

| | Useful Life (in years) | September 30, 2011 (in millions) | December 31, 2010 |
|---|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|
| Land | | \$457 | \$456 |
| Buildings and improvements | 4 - 40 | 1,050 | 1,028 |
| Machinery, equipment and furniture | 1 - 30 | 2,515 | 2,371 |
| Assets leased to others | 15 - 39 | 488 | 482 |
| Construction in progress | | 457 | 346 |
| | | 4,967 | 4,683 |
| Less: Accumulated depreciation and amortization | | (1,432) | (1,228) |
| Property, plant and equipment, net | | \$3,535 | \$3,455 |

Depreciation and amortization expense from continuing operations related to property, plant and equipment for the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 was \$86 million and \$89 million, respectively. For the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, depreciation and amortization expense from continuing operations was \$258 million and \$265 million, respectively.

10. Debt.

Debt consists of the following:

| | September 30, 2011 (in millions) | December 31, 2010 |
|---|-------------------------------------|-------------------|
| 8% senior unsecured notes due 2018 - Icahn Enterprises | \$1,450 | \$1,450 |
| 7.75% senior unsecured notes due 2016 - Icahn Enterprises | 1,050 | 1,050 |
| Senior unsecured variable rate convertible notes due 2013 - Icahn Enterprises | 556 | 556 |
| Debt facilities - Automotive | 2,737 | 2,737 |
| Debt facilities - Gaming | 61 | 62 |
| Senior unsecured notes - Railcar | 275 | 275 |
| Senior secured notes and revolving credit facility - Food Packaging | 214 | 214 |
| Mortgages payable - Real Estate | 76 | 108 |
| Other | 70 | 57 |
| Total debt | \$6,489 | \$6,509 |

Senior Unsecured Notes - Icahn Enterprises

8% Senior Unsecured Notes Due 2018 and 7.75% Senior Unsecured Notes Due 2016

On January 15, 2010, we and Icahn Enterprises Finance Corp. (“Icahn Enterprises Finance”) (collectively, the “Issuers”), issued \$850 million aggregate principal amount of 7.75% Senior Unsecured Notes due 2016 (the “2016 Notes”) and \$1,150 million aggregate principal amount of 8% Senior Unsecured Notes due 2018 (the “2018 Notes” and, together with the 2016 Notes, referred to as the “Initial New Notes”) pursuant to the purchase agreement, dated January 12, 2010 (the “Purchase Agreement”), by and among the Issuers, Icahn Enterprises Holdings, as guarantor (the “Guarantor”), and Jefferies & Company, Inc., as initial purchaser (the “Initial Purchaser”). The gross proceeds from the sale of the Initial New Notes were \$1,987 million, a portion of which was used to purchase the approximate \$1.28 billion in aggregate principal amount (or approximately 97%) of the 7.125% Senior Unsecured Notes due 2013 and the 8.125% Senior Unsecured Notes due 2012 that were tendered pursuant to cash tender offers and consent solicitations. Interest on the New Notes are payable on January 15 and

ICAHN ENTERPRISES L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)
SEPTEMBER 30, 2011

July 15 of each year, commencing July 15, 2010. The 7.125% Senior Unsecured Notes due 2013 and the 8.125% Senior Unsecured Notes due 2012 were satisfied and discharged pursuant to their respective indentures on January 15, 2010.

On November 12, 2010, the Issuers issued an additional \$200 million aggregate principal amount of the 2016 Notes and \$300 million aggregate principal amount of the 2018 Notes (such notes are collectively referred to as the “Additional New Notes”), pursuant to the purchase agreement, dated November 8, 2010 (the “Additional New Notes Purchase Agreement”), by and among the Issuers, Icahn Enterprises Holdings, as guarantor and Jefferies & Company, Inc., as initial purchaser. The Additional New Notes constitute the same series of securities as the Initial New Notes for purposes of the indenture governing the notes and vote together on all matters with such series. The Additional New Notes have substantially identical terms as the Initial New Notes.

The gross proceeds from the sale of the Additional New Notes were \$512 million and will be used for general corporate purposes.

The Initial New Notes and Additional New Notes (referred to collectively as the notes) were issued under and are governed by an indenture, dated January 15, 2010 (the “Indenture”), among the Issuers, the Guarantor and Wilmington Trust Company, as trustee. The Indenture contains customary events of defaults and covenants relating to, among other things, the incurrence of debt, affiliate transactions, liens and restricted payments. On or after January 15, 2013, the Issuers may redeem all of the 2016 Notes at a price equal to 103.875% of the principal amount of the 2016 Notes, plus accrued and unpaid interest, with such optional redemption prices decreasing to 101.938% on and after January 15, 2014 and 100% on and after January 15, 2015. On or after January 15, 2014, the Issuers may redeem all of the 2018 Notes at a price equal to 104.000% of the principal amount of the 2018 Notes, plus accrued and unpaid interest, with such option redemption prices decreasing to 102.000% on and after January 15, 2015 and 100% on and after January 15, 2016. Before January 15, 2013, the Issuers may redeem up to 35% of the aggregate principal amount of each of the 2016 Notes and 2018 Notes with the net proceeds of certain equity offerings at a price equal to 107.750% and 108.000%, respectively, of the aggregate principal amount thereof, plus accrued and unpaid interest to the date of redemption, provided that at least 65% of the aggregate principal amount of the 2016 Notes or 2018 Notes, as the case may be, originally issued remains outstanding immediately after such redemption. If the Issuers experience a change of control, the Issuers must offer to purchase for cash all or any part of each holder's notes at a purchase price equal to 101% of the principal amount of the notes, plus accrued and unpaid interest.

The notes and the related guarantee are the senior unsecured obligations of the Issuers and rank equally with all of the Issuers' and the Guarantor's existing and future senior unsecured indebtedness and rank senior to all of the Issuers' and the Guarantor's existing and future subordinated indebtedness. The notes and the related guarantee are effectively subordinated to the Issuers' and the Guarantor's existing and future secured indebtedness to the extent of the collateral securing such indebtedness. The notes and the related guarantee are also effectively subordinated to all indebtedness and other liabilities of the Issuers' subsidiaries other than the Guarantor.

Senior Unsecured Variable Rate Convertible Notes Due 2013 - Icahn Enterprises

In April 2007, we issued an aggregate of \$600 million of variable rate senior convertible notes due 2013 (the “variable rate notes”). The variable rate notes were sold in a private placement pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act, and issued pursuant to an indenture dated as of April 5, 2007, by and among us, as issuer, Icahn Enterprises Finance, as co-issuer, and Wilmington Trust Company, as trustee. Other than Icahn Enterprises Holdings, no other subsidiaries guarantee payment on the variable rate notes. The variable rate notes bear interest at a rate of three-month LIBOR minus 125 basis points, but the all-in-rate can be no less than 4.0% nor more than 5.5%, and are convertible into our depositary units at a conversion price of \$132.595 per depositary unit per \$1,000 principal amount, subject to adjustments in certain circumstances. Pursuant to the indenture governing the variable rate notes, on October 5, 2008, the conversion price was adjusted downward to \$105.00 per depositary unit per \$1,000 principal amount. As of

September 30, 2011, the interest rate was 4.0%. The interest on the variable rate notes is payable quarterly on each January 15, April 15, July 15 and October 15. The variable rate notes mature on August 15, 2013, assuming they have not been converted to depositary units before their maturity date.

In the event that we declare a cash dividend or similar cash distribution in any calendar quarter with respect to our depositary units in an amount in excess of \$0.10 per depositary unit (as adjusted for splits, reverse splits and/or stock dividends), the indenture governing the variable rate notes requires that we simultaneously make such distribution to holders of the variable rate notes in accordance with a formula set forth in the indenture. We paid aggregate cash distributions of \$1 million and \$3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, to holders of our variable rate notes in respect to our distribution payments to our depositary unitholders. Such amounts have been classified as interest

ICAHN ENTERPRISES L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)
SEPTEMBER 30, 2011

expense.

Senior Unsecured Notes Restrictions and Covenants

The indenture governing the variable rate notes, and the indenture governing both the 2016 Notes and the 2018 Notes, restrict the payment of cash distributions, the purchase of equity interests or the purchase, redemption, defeasance or acquisition of debt subordinated to the senior unsecured notes. The indentures also restrict the incurrence of debt or the issuance of disqualified stock, as defined in the applicable indenture, with certain exceptions. In addition, the indentures require that on each quarterly determination date we and the guarantor of the notes (currently only Icahn Enterprises Holdings) maintain certain minimum financial ratios, as defined therein. The indentures also restrict the creation of liens, mergers, consolidations and sales of substantially all of our assets, and transactions with affiliates. As of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, we were in compliance with all covenants, including maintaining certain minimum financial ratios, as defined in the applicable indentures. Additionally, as of September 30, 2011, based on covenants in the indenture governing our senior unsecured notes, we are permitted to incur approximately \$1.2 billion in additional indebtedness.

Debt Facilities - Automotive

On December 27, 2007, Federal-Mogul entered into a Term Loan and Revolving Credit Agreement (the "Debt Facilities") with Citicorp U.S.A. Inc. as Administrative Agent, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. as Syndication Agent and certain lenders. The Debt Facilities include a \$540 million revolving credit facility (which is subject to a borrowing base and can be increased under certain circumstances and subject to certain conditions) and a \$2,960 million term loan credit facility divided into a \$1,960 million tranche B loan and a \$1,000 million tranche C loan.

The obligations under the revolving credit facility mature December 27, 2013 and bear interest in accordance with a pricing grid based on availability under the revolving credit facility. Interest rates on the pricing grid range from LIBOR plus 1.50% to LIBOR plus 2.00% and ABR plus 0.50% to ABR plus 1.00%. The tranche B term loans mature December 27, 2014 and the tranche C term loans mature December 27, 2015. The tranche C term loans are subject to a pre-payment premium, should Federal-Mogul choose to prepay the loans prior to December 27, 2011. All Debt Facilities term loans bear interest at LIBOR plus 1.9375% or at ABR plus 0.9375% at Federal-Mogul's election. During fiscal 2008, Federal-Mogul entered into a series of five-year interest rate swap agreements with a total notional value of \$1,190 million to hedge the variability of interest payments associated with its variable rate term loans under the Debt Facilities. Through use of these swap agreements, Federal-Mogul has fixed its base interest and premium rate at a combined average interest rate of approximately 5.37% on the hedged principal amount of \$1,190 million. Since the interest rate swaps hedge the variability of interest payments on variable rate debt with the same terms, they qualify for cash flow hedge accounting treatment.

As of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the borrowing availability under the revolving credit facility was \$540 million and \$528 million, respectively. Federal-Mogul had \$41 million and \$43 million of letters of credit outstanding as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, pertaining to the term loan credit facility.

The obligations of Federal-Mogul under the Debt Facilities are guaranteed by substantially all of its domestic subsidiaries and certain foreign subsidiaries, and are secured by substantially all personal property and certain real property of Federal-Mogul and such guarantors, subject to certain limitations. The liens granted to secure these obligations and certain cash management and hedging obligations have first priority.

The Debt Facilities contain certain affirmative and negative covenants and events of default, including, subject to certain exceptions, restrictions on incurring additional indebtedness, mandatory prepayment provisions associated with specified asset sales and dispositions, and limitations on (i) investments; (ii) certain acquisitions, mergers or consolidations; (iii) sale and leaseback transactions; (iv) certain transactions with affiliates and (v) dividends and other payments in respect of capital stock. At September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, Federal-Mogul was in compliance with all debt covenants under the Debt Facilities.

Debt Facilities - Gaming

In connection with Tropicana's completion of the Restructuring Transactions (see Note 2, "Operating Units-Gaming"), Tropicana entered into a credit facility (the "Exit Facility") which consists of a (i) \$130 million senior secured term loan credit

ICAHN ENTERPRISES L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)
SEPTEMBER 30, 2011

facility issued at a discount of 7%, which was funded on March 8, 2010, the Effective Date and (ii) a \$20 million senior secured revolving credit facility. Each of the Investment Funds was a lender under the Exit Facility and, in the aggregate, held over 50% of the loans under the Term Loan Facility and was obligated to provide 100% of any amounts borrowed by Tropicana under the Revolving Facility. The Exit Facility matures on March 8, 2013. On June 30, 2011, the Investment Funds made a dividend-in-kind distribution of their investment in the loans under the Exit Facility to us and as a result we are now the direct lenders under Exit Facility. (See Note 2, "Operating Unit-Gaming," for additional discussion regarding this distribution-in-kind.) All amounts outstanding under the Exit Facility bear interest at a rate per annum of 15% so long as no default or event of default has occurred and is continuing, or at a rate per annum of 17% in the event that a default or event of default has occurred and is continuing. In addition, Tropicana is required to pay an annual administrative fee of \$100,000 and an unused line fee equal to 0.75% of the daily average undrawn portion of the Revolving Facility. The Exit Facility is guaranteed by substantially all the existing and future subsidiaries of Tropicana.

The Exit Facility, as amended in February 2011, contains mandatory prepayment provisions from proceeds received by Tropicana as a result of asset sales and the incurrence of indebtedness (subject in each case to certain exceptions). Key covenants binding Tropicana and its subsidiaries include (i) \$50 million limitation per annum on capital expenditures, (ii) compliance with certain fixed charge coverage and leverage ratios. Financial covenants will be tested at the end of each fiscal quarter on a last twelve months basis. Key defaults (termination provisions) include (i) failure to repay principal, interest, fees and other amounts owing under the Exit Facility, (ii) cross default to other material indebtedness, (iii) the rendering of a material judgment against Tropicana or any of its subsidiaries, (iv) failure of security documents to create valid liens on property securing the facility and to perfect such liens, (v) revocation of casino, gambling or gaming licenses and (vi) the bankruptcy or insolvency of Tropicana or any of its subsidiaries. Many defaults are also subject to cure periods prior to such default giving rise to the right of the lenders to accelerate the loans and to exercise remedies. Tropicana was in compliance with all financial covenants as of both September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

Senior Unsecured Notes - Railcar

In February 2007, ARI issued \$275 million senior unsecured fixed rate notes that were subsequently exchanged for registered notes in March 2007 (the "ARI Notes").

The ARI Notes bear a fixed interest rate of 7.5% and are due in 2014. Interest on the ARI Notes is payable semi-annually in arrears on March 1 and September 1. The indenture governing the ARI Notes (the "ARI Notes Indenture") contains restrictive covenants that limit ARI's ability to, among other things, incur additional debt, make certain restricted payments and enter into certain significant transactions with stockholders and affiliates. Based on certain financial ratios, certain of these covenants, including ARI's ability to incur additional debt, have been more restricted since the first quarter of fiscal 2010 and remain so through September 30, 2011. ARI was in compliance with all of its covenants under the ARI Notes Indenture as of September 30, 2011.

Since March 1, 2011, ARI has been able to redeem the ARI Notes in whole or in part at a redemption price equal to 103.75% of the principal amount of the ARI Notes plus accrued and unpaid interest. The redemption price declines annually until it is reduced to 100.0% of the principal amount of the ARI Notes plus accrued and unpaid interest beginning on March 1, 2013. The ARI Notes are due in full plus accrued unpaid interest on March 1, 2014.

Senior Secured Notes and Revolving Credit Facility - Food Packaging

In December 2009, Viskase issued \$175 million of 9.875% Senior Secured Notes due 2018 (the "Viskase 9.875% Notes"). The Viskase 9.875% Notes bear interest at a rate of 9.875% per annum, payable semi-annually in cash on January 15 and July 15, commencing on July 15, 2010. The Viskase 9.875% Notes have a maturity date of January 15, 2018.

On May 2010, Viskase issued an additional \$40 million aggregate principal amount of Viskase 9.875% Notes under the indenture governing the Viskase 9.875% Notes Indenture (the “Viskase 9.875% Notes Indenture”). The additional notes constitute the same series of securities as the initial Viskase 9.875% Notes. Holders of the initial and additional Viskase 9.875% Notes will vote together on all matters and the initial and additional Viskase 9.875% Notes will be equally and ratably secured by all collateral.

The notes and related guarantees by any of Viskase's future domestic restricted subsidiaries are secured by substantially all of Viskase's and such domestic restricted subsidiaries' current and future tangible and intangible assets. The Viskase 9.875% Notes Indenture permits Viskase to incur other senior secured indebtedness and to grant liens on its assets under certain

ICAHN ENTERPRISES L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)
SEPTEMBER 30, 2011

circumstances.

Prior to January 15, 2014, Viskase may redeem, at its option, up to 35% of the aggregate principal amount of the Viskase 9.875% Notes issued under the Viskase 9.875% Notes Indenture with the net proceeds of any equity offering at 109.875% of their principal amount, plus accrued and unpaid interest to the date of redemption, provided that at least 65% of the aggregate principal amount of the Viskase 9.875% Notes issued under the Viskase 9.875% Notes Indenture dated December 21, 2009 remains outstanding immediately following the redemption.

In November 2007, Viskase entered into a \$25 million secured revolving credit facility (the "Viskase Revolving Credit Facility") with Arnos Corporation, an affiliate of Mr. Icahn. In connection with our majority acquisition of Viskase on January 15, 2010, we assumed the Viskase Revolving Credit Facility from Arnos Corporation. On April 28, 2011, we entered into an agreement with Viskase, extending the maturity date of the Viskase Revolving Credit Facility from January 31, 2012 to January 31, 2013. Borrowings under the loan and security agreement governing the Viskase Revolving Credit Facility are subject to a borrowing base formula based on percentages of eligible domestic receivables and eligible domestic inventory. Under the Viskase Revolving Credit Facility, the interest rate is LIBOR plus a margin of 2.00% currently (which margin will be subject to performance based increases up to 2.50%); provided that the minimum interest rate shall be at least equal to 3.00%. The Viskase Revolving Credit facility also provides for an unused line fee of 0.375% per annum. There were no borrowings under the Viskase Revolving Credit Facility at each of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

Indebtedness under the Viskase Revolving Credit Facility is secured by liens on substantially all of Viskase's domestic and Mexican assets, with liens on certain assets that are contractually senior to the Viskase 9.875% Notes and the related guarantees pursuant to an intercreditor agreement and the Viskase 9.875% Notes.

The Viskase Revolving Credit Facility contains various covenants which restrict Viskase's ability to, among other things, incur indebtedness, enter into mergers or consolidation transactions, dispose of assets (other than in the ordinary course of business), acquire assets, make certain restricted payments, create liens on our assets, make investments, create guarantee obligations and enter into sale and leaseback transactions and transactions with affiliates, in each case subject to permitted exceptions. The Viskase Revolving Credit Facility also requires that Viskase complies with various financial covenants. Viskase is in compliance with these requirements as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

In its foreign operations, Viskase has unsecured lines of credit with various banks providing approximately \$8 million of availability. There were no borrowings under the lines of credit at each of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

Letters of credit in the amount of \$2 million were outstanding under facilities with a commercial bank, and were cash collateralized at each of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

Mortgages Payable - Real Estate

Mortgages payable, all of which are non-recourse to us, bear interest at rates between 4.97% and 7.99% and have maturities between May 31, 2013 and October 31, 2028.

Other

Secured Revolving Credit Agreement - Home Fashion

On June 16, 2006, WestPoint Home, Inc. ("WPH"), an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of WPI, entered into a \$250 million loan and security agreement with Bank of America, N.A., as administrative agent. On September 18, 2006, The CIT Group/Commercial Services, Inc., General Electric Capital Corporation and Wells Fargo Foothill, LLC were added as lenders under this credit agreement. Under the five-year agreement, which matured on June 15, 2011, borrowings were subject to a monthly borrowing base calculation and included a \$75 million sub-limit that may be used for letters of credit. Borrowings under the agreement bore interest, at the election of WestPoint Home, either at the prime rate adjusted by an applicable margin ranging from minus 0.25% to plus 0.50% or LIBOR adjusted by an

applicable margin ranging from plus 1.25% to 2.00%. WPH paid an unused line fee of 0.25% to 0.275%. Obligations under the agreement were secured by WPH's receivables, inventory and certain machinery and equipment. On June 15, 2011, WPH executed an amended and restated \$50 million loan and security agreement with Bank of America ("BOA"), as administrative agent and lender, with maximum borrowing availability of \$45 million, subject to monthly borrowing base calculations. This one-year agreement matures on June 15, 2012 and includes a \$40 million sub-limit that may be used for letters of credit. Borrowings under this agreement bear interest at the election of WPH at either (a) for LIBOR rate

ICAHN ENTERPRISES L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)
SEPTEMBER 30, 2011

advances at LIBOR or (b) for base rate advances, at a base rate, which is the highest of (i) BOA's announced prime rate or (ii) the federal funds rate plus 0.50% or (iii) adjusted LIBOR for a 30-day interest period plus 1.00%. The applicable LIBOR or base rate is then adjusted by an applicable margin ranging from plus 2.00 % to plus 3.50% depending upon the current borrowing capacity of WPH. WPH pays an unused line fee of 0.50% to 0.625%. Obligations under this agreement are secured by WPH's receivables, inventory and certain machinery and equipment. The amended and restated loan agreement contains covenants including, among others, restrictions on the incurrence of indebtedness, investments, redemption payments, distributions, acquisition of stock, securities or assets of any other entity and capital expenditures. However, WPH may effectuate any of these transactions only subject to specified limits and exceptions.

As of September 30, 2011, there were no borrowings under the agreement, but there were outstanding letters of credit of \$8 million. Based upon the eligibility and reserve calculations within the agreement, WPH had unused borrowing availability of \$35 million at September 30, 2011.

Sale of Previously Purchased Subsidiary Debt

During the nine months ended September 30, 2010, we received proceeds of \$65 million from the sale of previously purchased debt of entities included in our consolidated financial statements in the principal amount of \$77 million.

11. Compensation Arrangements.

Compensation arrangements of our Investment Management and Automotive segments that are included in our consolidated financial statements are discussed below.

Investment Management

Effective January 1, 2008, the General Partners amended employment agreements with certain of their employees whereby such employees have been granted rights to participate in a portion of the special profits interest allocations (in certain cases, whether or not such special profits interest is earned by the General Partners) and incentive allocations earned by the General Partners, typically net of certain expenses and generally subject to various vesting provisions. The vesting period of these rights is generally between two and seven years, and such rights expire at the end of the contractual term of each respective employment agreement. The unvested amounts and vested amounts that have not been withdrawn by the employee generally remain invested in the Investment Funds and earn the rate of return of these funds, before the effects of any special profits interest allocations or incentive allocations, which are waived on such amounts. Accordingly, these rights are accounted for as liabilities and are remeasured at fair value each reporting period until settlement.

Pursuant to certain compensation agreements entered into during fiscal 2010, certain employees may earn compensation (such amounts referred to as "profit-sharing amounts") that reference a portfolio of securities that is funded by the Investment Funds. The vesting period of these profit-sharing amounts is three years. The profit-sharing amounts are determined by the performance of the portfolio of securities. Accordingly, these profit-sharing amounts are accounted for as liabilities and are remeasured at fair value each reporting period until settlement.

The General Partners reversed an accrual of \$2 million and accrued \$3 million of compensation expense for the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The General Partners recorded compensation expense of \$5 million and \$3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Compensation expense is included in selling, general and administrative in our consolidated statements of operations. Compensation expense arising from grants in special profits interest allocations and incentive allocations and profit-sharing amounts in respect of the portfolio of securities funded by the Investment Funds are recognized in our consolidated financial statements over the vesting period. Grants in respect of special profits interest allocations and incentive allocations will no longer be made after March 31, 2011. Unvested balances of special profits interest allocations, incentive allocations and profit-sharing amounts in respect of the portfolio of securities funded by the Investment Funds are not

reflected in our consolidated financial statements. Unvested amounts not yet recognized as compensation expense were \$5 million and \$8 million as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. Unvested amounts are expected to be recognized over a weighted average of 2.0 years as of September 30, 2011. Cash paid to settle amounts that had been withdrawn for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 was \$5 million and \$10 million, respectively.

Automotive

On March 23, 2010, Federal-Mogul entered into the Second Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, which

ICAHN ENTERPRISES L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES
 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)
 SEPTEMBER 30, 2011

extended Mr. Alapont's employment with Federal-Mogul for three years. Also on March 23, 2010, Federal-Mogul amended and restated the Stock Option Agreement by and between Federal-Mogul and Mr. Alapont dated as of February 15, 2008 (the "Restated Stock Option Agreement"). The Restated Stock Option Agreement removed Mr. Alapont's put option to sell stock received from a stock option exercise to Federal-Mogul for cash. The Restated Stock Option Agreement provides for payout of any exercise of Mr. Alapont's stock options in stock or, at the election of Federal-Mogul, in cash. The awards were previously accounted for as liability awards based on the optional cash exercise feature; however, the accounting impact associated with this modification is that the stock options are now considered an equity award as of March 23, 2010.

Federal-Mogul revalued the four million stock options granted to Mr. Alapont at March 23, 2010, resulting in a revised fair value of \$27 million. This amount was reclassified from accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities to partners' equity due to their equity award status. As these stock options were fully vested as of March 23, 2010, no further expense related to these stock options will be recognized. These options had no intrinsic value as of September 30, 2011 and an intrinsic value of \$5 million as of December 31, 2010. These options expire on December 27, 2014. None of the stock options have been exercised or forfeited as of September 30, 2011.

Federal-Mogul revalued the deferred compensation agreement, which was also amended and restated on March 23, 2010, resulting in a revised fair value of \$8 million at September 30, 2011. The amended and restated agreement did not include any provisions that impacted the accounting for this agreement. Since the amended and restated agreement continues to provide for net cash settlement at the option of Mr. Alapont, it continues to be treated as a liability award as of September 30, 2011 and through its eventual payout. The amount of the payout shall be equal to approximately \$10 million (500,000 shares of Federal-Mogul's common stock multiplied by the March 23, 2010 stock price of \$19.46), offset by 75% of the intrinsic value of any exercise by Mr. Alapont of two million of the options noted above ("options connected to deferred compensation"). During the three months ended September 30, 2011, Federal-Mogul recognized \$2 million in expense associated with Mr. Alapont's deferred compensation agreement and an immaterial amount of income during the three months ended September 30, 2010. During the nine months ended September 30, 2011, Federal-Mogul recognized \$1 million in expense associated with Mr. Alapont's deferred compensation agreement. During the nine months ended September 30, 2010, Federal-Mogul recognized \$7 million in expense associated with Mr. Alapont's stock options and deferred compensation agreement. The deferred compensation agreement had intrinsic values of \$10 million and \$8 million as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. The intrinsic value of \$8 million at December 31, 2010 was derived under the assumption that the two million options connected to deferred compensation had been exercised as of that date because the market price of Federal-Mogul's common stock was greater than the exercise price of the options on December 31, 2010.

The deferred compensation fair value at September 30, 2011 was estimated using the Monte Carlo valuation model with the following assumptions:

| | |
|--|--------|
| Exercise price | N/A |
| Expected volatility | 65 % |
| Expected dividend yield | — % |
| Risk-free rate over the estimated expected option life | 0.21 % |
| Expected life (in years) | 1.62 |

Expected volatility is based on the average of five-year historical volatility and implied volatility for a group of auto industry comparator companies as of the measurement date. Risk-free rate is determined based upon U.S. Treasury rates over the estimated expected life. Expected dividend yield is zero as Federal-Mogul has not paid dividends to holders of its common stock in the recent past nor does it expect to do so in the future. Expected life is equal to one-half of the time to the end of the term.

12. Pensions, Other Post-employment Benefits and Employee Benefit Plans.

Federal-Mogul, ARI and Viskase each sponsor several defined benefit pension plans (the "Pension Benefits") (and, in the case of Viskase, its pension plans include defined contribution plans). Additionally, Federal-Mogul, ARI and Viskase each sponsors health care and life insurance benefits ("Other Post-Employment Benefits") for certain employees and retirees around the world. The Pension Benefits are funded based on the funding requirements of federal and international laws and regulations, as applicable, in advance of benefit payments and the Other Benefits as benefits are provided to participating

ICAHN ENTERPRISES L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES
 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)
 SEPTEMBER 30, 2011

employees. As prescribed by applicable U.S. GAAP, Federal-Mogul, ARI and Viskase each uses, as applicable, appropriate actuarial methods and assumptions in accounting for its defined benefit pension plans, non-pension post-employment benefits, and disability, early retirement and other post-employment benefits. The measurement date for all defined benefit plans is December 31 of each fiscal year.

Components of net periodic benefit cost (credit) for Federal-Mogul, ARI and Viskase for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 are as follows:

| | Pension Benefits | | Other Post-Employment Benefits | | |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|-------|---|
| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | 2011 | 2010 | |
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 | |
| | (in millions) | | | | |
| Service cost | \$7 | \$7 | \$— | \$— | |
| Interest cost | 22 | 21 | 5 | 5 | |
| Expected return on plan assets | (17 |) (15 |) — | — | |
| Amortization of actuarial losses | 7 | 7 | — | — | |
| Amortization of prior service credit | — | — | (4 |) (4 |) |
| Curtailement gain | — | — | — | (24 |) |
| | \$19 | \$20 | \$1 | \$(23 |) |

| | Pension Benefits | | Other Post-Employment Benefits | | |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|-------|---|
| | Nine Months Ended September 30, | | 2011 | 2010 | |
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 | |
| | (in millions) | | | | |
| Service cost | \$21 | \$22 | \$— | \$— | |
| Interest cost | 64 | 63 | 15 | 17 | |
| Expected return on plan assets | (51 |) (45 |) — | — | |
| Amortization of actuarial losses | 20 | 20 | — | — | |
| Amortization of prior service credit | — | — | (12 |) (7 |) |
| Curtailement gain | — | — | — | (28 |) |
| | \$54 | \$60 | \$3 | \$(18 |) |

Automotive

On May 6, 2010, Federal-Mogul approved an amendment to its U.S. Welfare Benefit Plan which eliminated Other Post-employment Benefits (“OPEB”) for certain salaried and non-union hourly employees and retirees effective July 1, 2010. Given that this event eliminated the accrual of defined benefits for a significant number of active participants, Federal-Mogul re-measured its OPEB obligation. Since this plan change reduced benefits attributable to employee service already rendered, it was treated as a negative plan amendment, which created a \$162 million prior service credit in accumulated other comprehensive income. The corresponding reduction in the average remaining future service period to the full eligibility date of the remaining active plan participants also triggered the recognition of a \$4 million curtailment gain which was recognized in the consolidated statements of operations during the second quarter of fiscal 2010. It should be noted that the calculation of the curtailment excluded the newly created prior service credit.

On July 23, 2010, in contract negotiations with a union at one of Federal-Mogul's U.S. manufacturing locations, the union offered to eliminate its retiree medical benefits, which was accepted by Federal-Mogul with no other change in retiree benefits in return. Since this event reduced benefits attributable to employee service already rendered, it was treated as a negative plan amendment, which created a \$2 million prior service credit in accumulated other comprehensive income. The corresponding reduction in the average remaining future service period to the full eligibility date of the remaining active plan participants also

ICAHN ENTERPRISES L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES
 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)
 SEPTEMBER 30, 2011

triggered the recognition of a \$24 million curtailment gain which was recognized in the consolidated statements of operations during the third quarter of fiscal 2010.

13. Net Income Per LP Unit.

Basic income (loss) per LP unit is based on net income or loss attributable to Icahn Enterprises allocable to limited partners after deducting preferred pay-in-kind distributions to preferred unitholders. Net income or loss allocable to limited partners is divided by the weighted-average number of LP units outstanding. Diluted income (loss) per LP unit is based on basic income (loss) adjusted for interest charges applicable to the variable rate notes and earnings before the preferred pay-in-kind distributions as well as the weighted-average number of units and equivalent units outstanding. Prior to their redemption on March 31, 2010, the preferred units were considered to be equivalent units for the purpose of calculating income or loss per LP unit.

On April 29, 2011, the board of directors declared a quarterly distribution of \$0.50 per depositary unit, comprised of a combination of \$0.10 payable in cash and \$0.40 payable in depositary units. The distribution was paid on May 31, 2011 to depositary unitholders of record at the close of business on May 16, 2011. We calculated the depositary units to be distributed based on the 20-trading day volume weighted average price of our depositary units ending on May 3, 2011, resulting in .009985 of a unit being distributed per depositary unit. To the extent that the aggregate units distributed to any holder included a fraction of a unit, that fractional unit was settled in cash. As a result, we distributed 843,295 depositary units on May 31, 2011 in connection with this distribution. We have retroactively adjusted our prior period net income per LP unit to reflect the revised LP units outstanding in accordance with U.S. GAAP.

The following table sets forth the allocation of net (loss) income attributable to Icahn Enterprises allocable to limited partners and the computation of basic and diluted (loss) income per LP unit for the periods indicated:

| | Three Months Ended September 30, 2011 | | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011 | |
|--|---|--------|--|--------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| | (in millions, except per unit data) | | | |
| Net (loss) income attributable to Icahn Enterprises | \$(39) | \$298 | \$490 | \$117 |
| Basic (loss) income attributable to Icahn Enterprises allocable to limited partners (98.01% share of net income or loss attributable to Icahn Enterprises) | \$(38) | \$292 | \$480 | \$115 |
| Basic (loss) income per LP unit | \$(0.44) | \$3.44 | \$5.58 | \$1.37 |
| Basic weighted average LP units outstanding | 86 | 85 | 86 | 84 |
| Diluted (loss) income per LP unit | \$(0.44) | \$3.31 | \$5.46 | \$1.37 |
| Diluted weighted average LP units outstanding | 86 | 90 | 91 | 84 |

The effect of dilutive securities in computing diluted income (loss) per LP unit for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 is presented below.

| | Three Months Ended September 30, 2011 | | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011 | |
|----------------------------------|---|------|--|------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| | (in millions) | | | |
| Variable rate convertible notes: | | | | |
| Income | \$— | \$6 | \$17 | \$— |

Units

— 5 5 —

38

ICAHN ENTERPRISES L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES
 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)
 SEPTEMBER 30, 2011

As their effect would have been anti-dilutive, the following equivalent units have been excluded from the diluted weighted average LP units outstanding for the periods indicated:

| | Three Months Ended | | Nine Months Ended | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------|------|-----------------------|------|
| | September 30, 2011 | 2010 | September 30, 2011 | 2010 |
| | (in millions) | | | |
| Redemption of preferred LP units | — | — | — | 1 |
| Variable rate convertible notes | 5 | — | — | 5 |

14. Segment Reporting.

As of September 30, 2011, our eight reportable segments are: (1) Investment Management; (2) Automotive; (3) Gaming; (4) Railcar; (5) Food Packaging; (6) Metals; (7) Real Estate and (8) Home Fashion. Our Investment Management segment provides investment advisory and certain administrative and back office services to the Private Funds, but does not provide such services to any other entities, individuals or accounts. Our Automotive segment consists of Federal-Mogul. Our Gaming segment consists of Tropicana. Our Railcar segment consists of ARI. Our Food Packaging segment consists of Viskase. Our Metals segment consists of PSC Metals. Our Real Estate segment consists of rental real estate, property development and the operation of resort properties. Our Home Fashion segment consists of WPI. In addition to our eight reportable segments, we present the results of the Holding Company which includes the unconsolidated results of Icahn Enterprises and Icahn Enterprises Holdings, and investment activity and expenses associated with the activities of the Holding Company. See Note 2, "Operating Units," for a detailed description of each of our operating businesses.

We assess and measure segment operating results based on net income attributable to Icahn Enterprises as disclosed below. Certain terms of financings for certain of our segments impose restrictions on the segments' ability to transfer funds to us, including restrictions on dividends, distributions, loans and other transactions.

As described in Note 2, "Operating Units-Gaming," we consolidated the results of Tropicana effective November 15, 2010. Our management evaluates the aggregate performance of the Investment Management segment with all of its investments stated on a fair value basis, including its investment in Tropicana. Accordingly, although we are required to consolidate the results of Tropicana effective November 15, 2010 and separately report their results as part of our Gaming segment, the column representing our Investment Management segment's results include the investment in Tropicana on a fair value basis with changes in fair value reflected in earnings for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011. We eliminate the fair value effects of Tropicana in the column labeled "Eliminations."

As further described in Note 2, "Operating Units-Gaming," through distribution-in-kind transactions from our Investment Management segment directly to us, we currently directly own the investment in Tropicana's common stock, including its Exit Facility as of September 30, 2011.

ICAHN ENTERPRISES L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)
SEPTEMBER 30, 2011

Condensed statements of operations by reportable segment for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 are presented below:

| | Three Months Ended September 30, 2011 | | | | | | | | | | Consolidated |
|---|---------------------------------------|------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|-------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|--------------|
| | Investment Management | Automotive | Gaming | Railcar | Food Packaging | Metals | Real Estate | Home Fashion | Holding Company | Eliminations | |
| | (in millions) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Revenues: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Net sales | \$— | \$ 1,732 | \$— | \$ 109 | \$ 87 | \$272 | \$1 | \$ 79 | \$— | \$— | \$ 2,280 |
| Other revenues from operations | — | — | 176 | 17 | — | — | 22 | — | — | — | 215 |
| Net loss from investment activities | (40) | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | (9) | — | (49) |
| Interest and dividend income | 20 | 1 | — | 1 | — | — | — | — | — | — | 22 |
| Other (loss) income, net | (1) | 1 | — | (2) | (1) | — | 5 | 1 | (1) | — | 2 |
| | (21) | 1,734 | 176 | 125 | 86 | 272 | 28 | 80 | (10) | — | 2,470 |
| Expenses: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cost of goods sold | — | 1,469 | — | 98 | 66 | 267 | 1 | 76 | — | — | 1,977 |
| Other expenses from operations | — | — | 80 | 13 | — | — | 13 | — | — | — | 106 |
| Selling, general and administrative | 23 | 184 | 69 | 3 | 11 | 6 | 5 | 14 | 1 | — | 316 |
| Restructuring | — | 3 | — | — | — | — | — | 2 | — | — | 5 |
| Impairment | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Interest expense | 2 | 35 | 2 | 4 | 6 | — | 1 | — | 55 | — | 105 |
| | 25 | 1,691 | 151 | 118 | 83 | 273 | 20 | 92 | 56 | — | 2,509 |
| (Loss) income before income tax (expense) benefit | (46) | 43 | 25 | 7 | 3 | (1) | 8 | (12) | (66) | — | (39) |
| Income tax (expense) benefit | — | (9) | (4) | (3) | (1) | 1 | — | — | 3 | — | (13) |
| Net (loss) income | (46) | 34 | 21 | 4 | 2 | — | 8 | (12) | (63) | — | (52) |
| Less: net loss (income) attributable to non-controlling interests | 31 | (9) | (9) | (2) | (1) | — | — | 3 | — | — | 13 |
| Net (loss) income attributable to Icahn Enterprises | \$(15) | \$ 25 | \$ 12 | \$ 2 | \$ 1 | \$— | \$ 8 | \$ (9) | \$ (63) | \$— | \$ (39) |

Edgar Filing: ICAHN ENTERPRISES L.P. - Form 10-Q

Three Months Ended September 30, 2010

| | Investment Management | Automotive | Railcar | Food Packaging | Metals | Real Estate | Home Fashion | Holding Company | Consolidated |
|---|--------------------------|------------|---------|-------------------|--------|----------------|-----------------|--------------------|--------------|
| (in millions) | | | | | | | | | |
| Revenues: | | | | | | | | | |
| Net sales | \$— | \$ 1,544 | \$48 | \$ 79 | \$169 | \$— | \$116 | \$— | \$ 1,956 |
| Other revenues from operations | — | — | 16 | — | — | 22 | — | — | 38 |
| Net gain (loss) from investment activities | 799 | — | — | — | — | — | — | (6) | 793 |
| Interest and dividend income | 29 | 1 | 1 | — | — | — | — | 1 | 32 |
| Other income (loss), net | — | 7 | (2) | 1 | — | 1 | (1) | — | 6 |
| | 828 | 1,552 | 63 | 80 | 169 | 23 | 115 | (5) | 2,825 |
| Expenses: | | | | | | | | | |
| Cost of goods sold | — | 1,306 | 49 | 59 | 166 | — | 110 | — | 1,690 |
| Other expenses from operations | — | — | 13 | — | — | 14 | — | — | 27 |
| Selling, general and administrative | 30 | 152 | 6 | 12 | 5 | 5 | 19 | 5 | 234 |
| Restructuring | — | 1 | — | — | — | — | 1 | — | 2 |
| Impairment | — | (1) | — | — | — | — | 5 | — | 4 |
| Interest expense | 1 | 36 | 5 | 5 | — | 2 | — | 47 | 96 |
| | 31 | 1,494 | 73 | 76 | 171 | 21 | 135 | 52 | 2,053 |
| Income (loss) before income tax (expense) benefit | 797 | 58 | (10) | 4 | (2) | 2 | (20) | (57) | 772 |
| Income tax (expense) benefit | (2) | (7) | 4 | (2) | 1 | — | — | (1) | (7) |
| Net income (loss) | 795 | 51 | (6) | 2 | (1) | 2 | (20) | (58) | 765 |
| Less: net (income) loss attributable to non-controlling interests | (463) | (13) | 3 | — | — | — | 6 | — | (467) |
| Net income (loss) attributable to Icahn Enterprises | \$332 | \$ 38 | \$(3) | \$ 2 | \$(1) | \$2 | \$(14) | \$(58) | \$ 298 |

ICAHN ENTERPRISES L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)
SEPTEMBER 30, 2011

| | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011 | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|------------|--------|---------|-------------------|--------|----------------|-----------------|--------------------|-------------|--------------|
| | Investment Management | Automotive | Gaming | Railcar | Food Packaging | Metals | Real Estate | Home Fashion | Holding Company | Elimination | Consolidated |
| | (in millions) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Revenues: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Net sales | \$— | \$ 5,256 | \$— | \$ 272 | \$ 256 | \$ 839 | \$ 6 | \$ 259 | \$— | \$— | \$ 6,888 |
| Other revenues from operations | — | — | 478 | 51 | — | — | 62 | — | — | — | 591 |
| Net gain from investment activities | 1,151 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | 16 | (9) | 1,158 |
| Interest and dividend income | 80 | 4 | — | 3 | — | — | — | — | 1 | (5) | 83 |
| Other (loss) income, net | (4) | 13 | — | (7) | (1) | — | 5 | 4 | 5 | — | 15 |
| | 1,227 | 5,273 | 478 | 319 | 255 | 839 | 73 | 263 | 22 | (14) | 8,735 |
| Expenses: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cost of goods sold | — | 4,415 | — | 251 | 193 | 806 | 5 | 241 | — | — | 5,911 |
| Other expenses from operations | — | — | 234 | 39 | — | — | 36 | — | — | — | 309 |
| Selling, general and administrative | 90 | 558 | 207 | 15 | 33 | 19 | 12 | 45 | 14 | — | 993 |
| Restructuring | — | 4 | — | — | — | — | — | 5 | — | — | 9 |
| Impairment | — | 3 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | 3 |
| Interest expense | 11 | 105 | 7 | 15 | 16 | — | 5 | 1 | 167 | — | 327 |
| | 101 | 5,085 | 448 | 320 | 242 | 825 | 58 | 292 | 181 | — | 7,552 |
| Income (loss) before income tax expense | 1,126 | 188 | 30 | (1) | 13 | 14 | 15 | (29) | (159) | (14) | 1,183 |
| Income tax expense | — | (40) | (1) | — | (4) | (3) | — | — | (7) | — | (55) |
| Net income (loss) | 1,126 | 148 | 29 | (1) | 9 | 11 | 15 | (29) | (166) | (14) | 1,128 |
| Less: net (income) loss attributable to non-controlling interests | (599) | (40) | (14) | — | (3) | — | — | 9 | — | 9 | (638) |
| Net income (loss) attributable to Icahn Enterprises | \$ 527 | \$ 108 | \$ 15 | \$(1) | \$ 6 | \$ 11 | \$ 15 | \$(20) | \$(166) | \$(5) | \$ 490 |

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010

Edgar Filing: ICAHN ENTERPRISES L.P. - Form 10-Q

| | Investment Management (in millions) | Automotive | Railcar | Food Packaging | Metals | Real Estate | Home Fashion | Holding Company | Consolidated |
|---|---|------------|---------|-------------------|--------|----------------|-----------------|--------------------|--------------|
| Revenues: | | | | | | | | | |
| Net sales | \$— | \$ 4,631 | \$ 127 | \$ 240 | \$ 550 | \$ 6 | \$ 306 | \$— | \$ 5,860 |
| Other revenues from operations | — | — | 51 | — | — | 62 | — | — | 113 |
| Net gain (loss) from investment activities | 546 | — | — | — | — | — | — | (6) | 540 |
| Interest and dividend income | 142 | 4 | 3 | — | — | — | — | 3 | 152 |
| Other income (loss), net | — | 9 | (6) | — | — | 1 | 2 | (40) | (34) |
| | 688 | 4,644 | 175 | 240 | 550 | 69 | 308 | (43) | 6,631 |
| Expenses: | | | | | | | | | |
| Cost of goods sold | — | 3,865 | 131 | 177 | 529 | 4 | 282 | — | 4,988 |
| Other expenses from operations | — | — | 41 | — | — | 37 | — | — | 78 |
| Selling, general and administrative | 64 | 525 | 18 | 34 | 15 | 15 | 55 | 15 | 741 |
| Restructuring | — | 7 | — | — | — | — | 6 | — | 13 |
| Impairment | — | 7 | — | — | — | — | 6 | — | 13 |
| Interest expense | 2 | 107 | 16 | 15 | — | 6 | 1 | 139 | 286 |
| | 66 | 4,511 | 206 | 226 | 544 | 62 | 350 | 154 | 6,119 |
| Income (loss) before income tax (expense) benefit | 622 | 133 | (31) | 14 | 6 | 7 | (42) | (197) | 512 |
| Income tax (expense) benefit | (2) | (18) | 12 | (3) | (2) | — | — | (6) | (19) |
| Net income (loss) | 620 | 115 | (19) | 11 | 4 | 7 | (42) | (203) | 493 |
| Less: net (income) loss attributable to non-controlling interests | (363) | (32) | 9 | (3) | — | — | 13 | — | (376) |
| Net income (loss) attributable to Icahn Enterprises | \$257 | \$ 83 | \$(10) | \$ 8 | \$ 4 | \$ 7 | \$(29) | \$(203) | \$ 117 |

ICAHN ENTERPRISES L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)
SEPTEMBER 30, 2011

Condensed balance sheets by reportable segment as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 are presented below:

| | September 30, 2011 | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|------------|--------|---------|-------------------|--------|----------------|-----------------|--------------------|--------------|
| | Investment Management | Automotive | Gaming | Railcar | Food Packaging | Metals | Real Estate | Home Fashion | Holding Company | Consolidated |
| | (in millions) | | | | | | | | | |
| ASSETS | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 8 | \$ 931 | \$ 176 | \$ 284 | \$ 65 | \$ 18 | \$ 206 | \$ 44 | \$ 439 | \$ 2,171 |
| Cash held at consolidated affiliated partnerships and restricted cash | 2,631 | — | 16 | — | 2 | 2 | 2 | — | 81 | 2,734 |
| Investments | 7,827 | 227 | 34 | 45 | — | — | — | 14 | 164 | 8,311 |
| Accounts receivable, net | — | 1,172 | 20 | 32 | 57 | 102 | 9 | 54 | — | 1,446 |
| Inventories, net | — | 1,003 | — | 115 | 54 | 137 | — | 93 | — | 1,402 |
| Property, plant and equipment, net | — | 1,885 | 418 | 176 | 124 | 134 | 683 | 112 | 3 | 3,535 |
| Goodwill and intangible assets, net | — | 1,871 | 77 | 7 | 15 | 32 | 90 | 5 | — | 2,097 |
| Other assets | 139 | 344 | 60 | 28 | 31 | 31 | 15 | 36 | 98 | 782 |
| Total assets | \$ 10,605 | \$ 7,433 | \$ 801 | \$ 687 | \$ 348 | \$ 456 | \$ 1,005 | \$ 358 | \$ 785 | \$ 22,478 |
| LIABILITIES AND EQUITY | | | | | | | | | | |
| Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities | \$ 272 | \$ 1,967 | \$ 142 | \$ 99 | \$ 66 | \$ 78 | \$ 26 | \$ 38 | \$ 175 | \$ 2,863 |
| Securities sold, not yet purchased, at fair value | 2,142 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | 2,142 |
| Due to brokers | 2,351 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | 2,351 |
| Post-employment benefit liability | — | 1,184 | — | 6 | 39 | 2 | — | — | — | 1,231 |
| Debt | — | 2,801 | 61 | 275 | 216 | 3 | 77 | — | 3,056 | 6,489 |
| Total liabilities | 4,765 | 5,952 | 203 | 380 | 321 | 83 | 103 | 38 | 3,231 | 15,076 |
| Equity attributable to Icahn Enterprises | 2,825 | 1,069 | 405 | 170 | 16 | 373 | 902 | 317 | (2,446) | 3,631 |
| Equity attributable to non-controlling interests | 3,015 | 412 | 193 | 137 | 11 | — | — | 3 | — | 3,771 |
| Total equity | 5,840 | 1,481 | 598 | 307 | 27 | 373 | 902 | 320 | (2,446) | 7,402 |
| Total liabilities and equity | \$ 10,605 | \$ 7,433 | \$ 801 | \$ 687 | \$ 348 | \$ 456 | \$ 1,005 | \$ 358 | \$ 785 | \$ 22,478 |

December 31, 2010

Edgar Filing: ICAHN ENTERPRISES L.P. - Form 10-Q

| | Investment Management (in millions) | Automotive | Gaming | Railcar | Food Packaging | Metals | Real Estate | Home Fashion | Holding Company | Elimination | Consolidated |
|---|---|------------|--------|---------|-------------------|--------|----------------|-----------------|--------------------|-------------|--------------|
| ASSETS | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$8 | \$ 1,105 | \$ 154 | \$ 319 | \$ 88 | \$ 17 | \$ 86 | \$ 32 | \$ 1,154 | \$ — | \$ 2,963 |
| Cash held at consolidated affiliated partnerships and restricted cash | 2,029 | — | 18 | — | 2 | 4 | 4 | — | 117 | — | 2,174 |
| Investments | 7,426 | 210 | 33 | 48 | — | 3 | — | 13 | 16 | (279) | 7,470 |
| Accounts receivable, net | — | 1,053 | 18 | 21 | 48 | 61 | 6 | 78 | — | — | 1,285 |
| Inventories, net | — | 847 | — | 50 | 55 | 87 | — | 124 | — | — | 1,163 |
| Property, plant and equipment, net | — | 1,802 | 421 | 181 | 109 | 115 | 700 | 124 | 3 | — | 3,455 |
| Goodwill and intangible assets, net | — | 1,915 | 79 | 7 | 17 | 8 | 97 | 5 | — | — | 2,128 |
| Other assets | 66 | 364 | 70 | 28 | 30 | 31 | 14 | 32 | 65 | — | 700 |
| Total assets | \$9,529 | \$ 7,296 | \$ 793 | \$ 654 | \$ 349 | \$ 326 | \$ 907 | \$ 408 | \$ 1,355 | \$ (279) | \$ 21,338 |
| LIABILITIES AND EQUITY | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities | \$574 | \$ 1,887 | \$ 154 | \$ 64 | \$ 72 | \$ 58 | \$ 27 | \$ 58 | \$ 227 | \$ — | \$ 3,121 |
| Securities sold, not yet purchased, at fair value | 1,219 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | 1,219 |
| Due to brokers | 1,323 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | 1,323 |
| Post-employment benefit liability | — | 1,219 | — | 7 | 44 | 2 | — | — | — | — | 1,272 |
| Debt | — | 2,787 | 62 | 275 | 216 | 2 | 111 | — | 3,056 | — | 6,509 |
| Total liabilities | 3,116 | 5,893 | 216 | 346 | 332 | 62 | 138 | 58 | 3,283 | — | 13,444 |
| Equity attributable to Icahn Enterprises | 2,576 | 1,010 | 122 | 167 | 10 | 264 | 769 | 313 | (1,948) | (100) | 3,183 |
| Equity attributable to non-controlling interests | 3,837 | 393 | 455 | 141 | 7 | — | — | 37 | 20 | (179) | 4,711 |
| Total equity | 6,413 | 1,403 | 577 | 308 | 17 | 264 | 769 | 350 | (1,928) | (279) | 7,894 |
| Total liabilities and equity | \$9,529 | \$ 7,296 | \$ 793 | \$ 654 | \$ 349 | \$ 326 | \$ 907 | \$ 408 | \$ 1,355 | \$ (279) | \$ 21,338 |

15. Income Taxes.

For the three months ended September 30, 2011, we recorded an income tax provision of \$13 million on pre-tax loss of \$39 million compared to an income tax provision of \$7 million on pre-tax income of \$772 million for the three

months ended September 30, 2010. Our effective income tax rate was (33.3)% and 0.9% for the three months ended September 30, 2011 and

ICAHN ENTERPRISES L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES
 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)
 SEPTEMBER 30, 2011

2010, respectively.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2011, we recorded an income tax provision of \$55 million on pre-tax income of \$1,183 million compared to an income tax provision of \$19 million on pre-tax income of \$512 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. Our effective income tax rate was 4.6% and 3.7% for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

The difference between the effective tax rate and statutory federal rate of 35% is principally due to changes in valuation allowances and partnership income not subject to taxation, as such taxes are the responsibility of the partners.

Federal-Mogul believes that it is reasonably possible that its unrecognized tax benefits in multiple jurisdictions, which primarily relates to transfer pricing, corporate reorganization and various other matters, may decrease by approximately \$349 million within the next 12 months due to audit settlements or statute expirations, of which approximately \$55 million, if recognized, could impact the effective tax rate.

In conjunction with Federal-Mogul's ongoing review of its actual results and anticipated future earnings, it reassesses the possibility of releasing valuation allowances. Based upon this assessment, Federal-Mogul has concluded that there is more than a remote possibility that existing valuation allowances in certain jurisdictions of up to \$230 million as of September 30, 2011 could be released within the next 12 months. If releases of such valuation allowances occur, it may have a significant impact on net income in the quarter in which it is deemed appropriate to release the reserve.

16. Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss.

Accumulated other comprehensive loss consists of the following:

| | September 30, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
|---|--------------------|-------------------|
| | (in millions) | |
| Post-employment benefits, net of tax | \$(279 |) \$(283) |
| Hedge instruments, net of tax | (98 |) (81) |
| Translation adjustments and other, net of tax | (298 |) (233) |
| | \$(675 |) \$(597) |

17. Other Income (Loss), Net.

Other income (loss), net consists of the following:

| | Three Months Ended | | Nine Months Ended | |
|--|--------------------|------|-------------------|---------|
| | September 30, | | September 30, | |
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| | (in millions) | | | |
| Loss on extinguishment of debt | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$(40) |
| Equity earnings from non-consolidated affiliates | 5 | 4 | 21 | 19 |
| Foreign currency translation losses | (1 |) — | (7 |) (23) |
| Other | (2 |) 2 | 1 | 10 |
| | \$2 | \$6 | \$15 | \$(34) |

Debt Extinguishment

In connection with the debt extinguishment related to our 7.125% Senior Unsecured Notes due 2013 and our 8.125% Senior Unsecured Notes due 2012, we recorded a \$40 million loss for the nine months ended September 30, 2010.

ICAHN ENTERPRISES L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)
SEPTEMBER 30, 2011

18. Commitments and Contingencies.

Investment Management

Exit Facility

In connection with Tropicana's completion of the Restructuring Transactions (see Note 2, "Operating Units-Gaming"), Tropicana entered into the Exit Facility, as amended, which consists of a (i) \$130 million Term Loan Facility issued at a discount of 7%, which was funded on March 8, 2010, the Effective Date and (ii) \$20 million Revolving Facility. Each of the Investment Funds was a lender under the Exit Facility and, in the aggregate, held over 50% of the loans under the Term Loan Facility and was obligated to provide 100% of any amounts borrowed by Tropicana under the Revolving Facility. As described in Note 2, "Operating Units-Gaming," on June 30, 2011, the Investment Funds made a distribution-in-kind of their investment in the Exit Facility to us and as a result we are now the lenders under the Exit Facility. As of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, Tropicana has not borrowed any amounts under the Revolving Facility.

Litigation

On October 28, 2010, Lions Gate filed a lawsuit in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York against Carl Icahn, Brett Icahn, Icahn Enterprises L.P., Icahn Enterprises Holdings L.P., Icahn Enterprises G.P., certain of our Investment Management entities (collectively, the "Icahn Group") and others alleging violations of the Exchange Act and state tort law in connection with certain disclosures made during tender offers by the Icahn Group to acquire Lions Gate stock relating to the Icahn Group's acquisition of the debt of Metro-Goldwyn-Meyer, Inc., and alleging that the Icahn Group violated the tender offer Best Price Rule (promulgated under the rules and regulations of the SEC) by providing additional consideration to Mark Cuban in exchange for the tender of his Lions Gate shares that was not provided to other tendering shareholders. The complaint sought injunctive relief compelling the Icahn Group to make corrective disclosures and to offer the same consideration it offered to Mark Cuban to Lions Gate's other shareholders, and money damages. Lions Gate amended its complaint on December 3, 2010 to add certain supplemental factual allegations. The Icahn Group moved to dismiss the amended complaint on December 17, 2010. On March 23, 2011, the court granted the Icahn Group's motion in part and denied it in part, dismissing all of Lions Gate's claims except its Best Price Rule claim. On September 15, 2011, all pending litigation with Lions Gate was dismissed with prejudice.

Automotive

Environmental Matters

Federal-Mogul is a defendant in lawsuits filed, or the recipient of administrative orders issued or demand letters received, in various jurisdictions pursuant to the Federal Comprehensive Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 ("CERCLA") or other similar national, provincial or state environmental remedial laws. These laws provide that responsible parties may be liable to pay for remediating contamination resulting from hazardous substances that were discharged into the environment by them, by prior owners or occupants of property they currently own or operate, or by others to whom they sent such substances for treatment or other disposition at third party locations. Federal-Mogul has been notified by the United States Environmental Protection Agency, other national environmental agencies, and various provincial and state agencies that it may be a potentially responsible party ("PRP") under such laws for the cost of remediating hazardous substances pursuant to CERCLA and other national and state or provincial environmental laws. PRP designation often results in the funding of site investigations and subsequent remedial activities.

Many of the sites that are likely to be the costliest to remediate are often current or former commercial waste disposal facilities to which numerous companies sent wastes. Despite the potential joint and several liability which might be imposed on Federal-Mogul under CERCLA and some of the other laws pertaining to these sites, its share of the total waste sent to these sites has generally been small. Federal-Mogul believes its exposure for liability at these sites is

limited.

Federal-Mogul has also identified certain other present and former properties at which it may be responsible for cleaning up or addressing environmental contamination, in some cases as a result of contractual commitments and/or federal or state environmental laws. Federal-Mogul is actively seeking to resolve these actual and potential statutory, regulatory and contractual obligations. Although difficult to quantify based on the complexity of the issues, Federal-Mogul has accrued amounts corresponding to its best estimate of the costs associated with such regulatory and contractual obligations on the basis of available information from site investigations and best professional judgment of consultants.

Total environmental liabilities, determined on an undiscounted basis, were \$17 million and \$19 million at September 30,

ICAHN ENTERPRISES L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)
SEPTEMBER 30, 2011

2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively, and are included in accrued expenses and other liabilities in our consolidated balance sheets.

Federal-Mogul believes that recorded environmental liabilities will be adequate to cover its estimated liability for its exposure in respect to such matters. In the event that such liabilities were to significantly exceed the amounts recorded by Federal-Mogul, our Automotive segment's results of operations could be materially affected. At September 30, 2011, Federal-Mogul estimates reasonably possible material additional losses, above and beyond its best estimate of required remediation costs as recorded, to approximate \$44 million.

Asset Retirement Obligations

Federal-Mogul has identified sites with contractual obligations and several sites that are closed or expected to be closed and sold. In connection with these sites, Federal-Mogul has accrued \$25 million for each of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 for ARO, primarily related to anticipated costs of removing hazardous building materials, and has considered impairment issues that may result from capitalization of these ARO amounts.

Federal-Mogul has conditional asset retirement obligations ("CARO"), primarily related to removal costs of hazardous materials in buildings, for which it believes reasonable cost estimates cannot be made at this time because it does not believe it has a reasonable basis to assign probabilities to a range of potential settlement dates for these retirement obligations. Accordingly, Federal-Mogul is currently unable to determine amounts to accrue for CARO at such sites.

Gaming

Trademark Litigation

Certain parties affiliated with the new owners of the Tropicana Las Vegas ("Tropicana LV") filed a declaratory judgment action in the District Court, Clark County, Nevada ("Nevada State Court"), on July 20, 2009, against Aztar Corporation ("Aztar") and Tropicana Entertainment, LLC ("TE") originally seeking a declaratory judgment that Tropicana LV had the right to operate a hotel and casino under the name "Tropicana" without any interference by or payment to Aztar or TE (together, the "Defendants"). The plaintiffs' complaint sought no damages or injunctive relief. On August 10, 2009, Defendants removed the action to the District of Nevada and filed an answer and counterclaim asserting the plaintiffs' use of "Tropicana" infringes upon Defendants' rights in three federally registered trademarks. The plaintiffs filed a motion to remand the action to Nevada State Court, which was granted on January 21, 2010. During the course of proceedings, the plaintiffs and Defendants each filed a motion for summary judgment claiming ownership of the "Tropicana" trademark. Both motions were denied, although the Nevada State Court preliminarily found that the plaintiffs might have an unexercised reversionary ownership interest in the "Tropicana" trademark as a result of an agreement that is 30 years old. Nonetheless, because any exercise of this purported reversionary interest by Tropicana LV could potentially deprive the Company, as successor to TE, of its asserted ownership of the Tropicana trademark, the Defendants filed a motion in the Chapter 11 Cases for an order rejecting the 1980 trade name agreement. In addition, the Company, together with its subsidiary, New Tropicana Holdings, Inc. ("New Tropicana"), and certain affiliates of Icahn Capital, as secured lenders to the Company, filed a complaint in the Chapter 11 Cases against the plaintiffs (the "Bankruptcy Court action"), seeking a declaration that, consistent with prior, uncontested orders of the Bankruptcy Court, New Tropicana is the owner of the "Tropicana" trademark, the Exit Facility lenders have a perfected security interest in that property, and the Nevada State Court action, to the extent it sought to assert ownership over the trademark or question the validity of the security interest, violated the automatic stay. The complaint also demanded an injunction against any further efforts by the plaintiffs to re-litigate the ownership issue, and sought other remedies on behalf of the Exit Facility lenders.

On August 9, 2011 all parties to the Nevada State Court action and the Bankruptcy Court action entered into a global Settlement Agreement (the "Settlement Agreement") concluding both actions and governing the respective rights of the parties to the "Tropicana" trademark. Pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, which became effective on September 28, 2011, the plaintiffs, subject to certain advertising exceptions and other terms and conditions set forth in the Settlement

Agreement, have perpetual exclusive rights to use the names, trademarks and/or service marks (the “Marks”) TROPICANA LAS VEGAS (or TROP LAS VEGAS) and TROPICANA LV (or TROP LV) (the “TLV Marks,” as defined in the Settlement Agreement) in conjunction with its services (“Services,” as defined in the Settlement Agreement) in the City of Las Vegas, Nevada and within a 50-mile radius of the front entrance of the Tropicana Las Vegas Hotel and Casino located at 3801 Las Vegas Boulevard South, Las Vegas, Nevada (the “TLV Territory”) along with certain rights to use the TLV Marks on the internet without geographical limitation (“Internet Uses,” as defined in the Settlement Agreement) and to register the TLV Marks as domain names.

ICAHN ENTERPRISES L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)
SEPTEMBER 30, 2011

Defendants, subject to certain advertising exceptions and other terms and conditions set forth in the Settlement Agreement, have perpetual exclusive worldwide rights to use the present and future formulated Marks TROPICANA and TROP coupled with either an identifier of its Services or an accurate geographic identifier of the location of a Tropicana Entertainment property (other than LAS VEGAS or the name of any city within the TLV Territory) (the "TE Marks") outside of the TLV Territory along with Internet Uses rights and domain name registration rights for the TE Marks.

Aztar v. Marsh

Aztar filed a broker malpractice and breach of contract action in the Superior Court of New Jersey, Atlantic County, Law Division (the "Court") on August 12, 2010, against Marsh & McLennan Companies, Marsh, Inc., Marsh USA, Inc. and various fictitious Marsh entities (together, the "Marsh Defendants"). The claim seeks \$100 million or more in compensatory damages against the Marsh Defendants, Aztar's risk management and insurance brokers at the time of a 2002 expansion of Tropicana AC by Aztar, including, but not limited to, lost profits, expenses arising from the interruption of operations, attorneys' fees, loss of the use of the insurance proceeds at issue, and litigation expenses resulting from the Marsh Defendants' failure to secure for Aztar business interruption and property damage coverage covering losses sustained by Aztar from the collapse of a parking garage that occurred at Tropicana AC on October 30, 2003.

The Marsh Defendants filed an answer on October 20, 2010 denying the material allegations of the complaint and subsequently filed a Motion to Dismiss for Forum Non Conveniens in December 2010, which motion was denied by the Court on April 12, 2011. On August 18, 2011 the Marsh Defendants filed a Motion for Summary Judgment arguing that the Court should apply the Arizona Statue of Limitations to the action. Aztar filed an objection to the Marsh Defendants' motion on September 23, 2011 arguing, inter alia, that the New Jersey Statute of Limitations applies to the action. The Marsh Defendants filed its Reply on October 3, 2011 and the motion is currently pending before the Court. Discovery is also proceeding, and trial is not expected to take place until 2012, at the earliest. Any recovery obtained by Aztar in this action will be recoverable by the Company as the current owner of Tropicana AC. Railcar

Environmental Matters

ARI is subject to comprehensive federal, state, local and international environmental laws and regulations relating to the release or discharge of materials into the environment, the management, use, processing, handling, storage, transport or disposal of hazardous materials and wastes, or otherwise relating to the protection of human health and the environment. These laws and regulations not only expose ARI to liability for the environmental condition of its current or formerly owned or operated facilities, and its own negligent acts, but also may expose ARI to liability for the conduct of others or for ARI's actions that were in compliance with all applicable laws at the time these actions were taken. In addition, these laws may require significant expenditures to achieve compliance, and are frequently modified or revised to impose new obligations. Civil and criminal fines and penalties and other sanctions may be imposed for non-compliance with these environmental laws and regulations. ARI's operations that involve hazardous materials also raise potential risks of liability under common law. Management believes that there are no current environmental issues identified that would have a material adverse effect on ARI. Certain real property ARI acquired from ACF in 1994 has been involved in investigation and remediation activities to address contamination. Substantially all of the issues identified relate to the use of this property prior to its transfer to ARI by ACF and for which ACF has retained liability for environmental contamination that may have existed at the time of transfer to ARI. ACF has also agreed to indemnify ARI for any cost that might be incurred with those existing issues. As of the date of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, ARI does not believe it will incur material costs in connection with any investigation or remediation activities relating to these properties, but it cannot assure that this will be the case. If ACF fails to honor its obligations to ARI, ARI could be responsible for the cost of such remediation. ARI believes that its

operations and facilities are in substantial compliance with applicable laws and regulations and that any noncompliance is not likely to have a material adverse effect on its operations or financial condition.

Other Matters

On December 16, 2010, a complaint was filed by Steve Garvin, Deloris Garvin, and Garvin Enterprise, Inc. against ARI's subsidiaries Southwest Steel I, LLC, Southwest Steel II, LLC and Southwest Steel III, LLC, d/b/a Southwest Steel Casting Co., in the District Court of Harris County, Texas, 295th Judicial District. On July 25, 2011, the complaint was amended to include ARI as a party to the litigation. The plaintiffs allege that ARI improperly used their former employees to source components from Chinese suppliers in contravention of contractual relationships among the parties and in a manner that compromised the

ICAHN ENTERPRISES L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)
SEPTEMBER 30, 2011

plaintiffs' relationships with the Chinese suppliers. The plaintiffs seek direct, consequential and other damages for breach of contract, tortious interference with an existing contract, trade secret misappropriation, civil conspiracy, civil theft, conversion and unfair competition. The plaintiffs also seek declaratory judgment with respect to the parties' rights under certain agreements. The lawsuit is in the discovery stage and it is not currently possible to estimate the amount of the loss, if any, that could result from this case.

One of ARI's joint ventures entered into a credit agreement in December 2007. Effective August 5, 2009, ARI and the other initial joint venture partner acquired this loan from the lenders party thereto, with each party acquiring a 50% interest in the loan. The total commitment under the term loan is \$60 million with an additional \$10 million commitment under the revolving loan. ARI is responsible to fund 50% of the loan commitments. The balance outstanding on these loans, due to ARI, was \$37 million of principal and accrued interest as of September 30, 2011. ARI's share of the remaining commitment on these loans was \$4 million as of September 30, 2011.

In March 2011, ARI entered into an agreement to purchase certain railcar parts during fiscal 2011 for current railcar orders with a remaining commitment of \$21 million as of September 30, 2011.

Metals

Environmental Matters

PSC Metals has been designated as a PRP under U.S. federal and state superfund laws with respect to certain sites with which PSC Metals may have had a direct or indirect involvement. It is alleged that PSC Metals and its subsidiaries or their predecessors transported waste to the sites, disposed of waste at the sites or operated the sites in question. Most recently, PSC Metals has been named as a defendant in an environmental civil action brought by the USEPA, alleging that PSC Metals and one of its subsidiaries, along with several other unrelated defendants, are liable for the recovery of response costs incurred by the USEPA at a superfund site in New York. Management believes that PSC Metals and its subsidiary have valid defenses to all claims.

PSC Metals has reviewed the nature and extent of the allegations, the number, connection and financial ability of other named and unnamed PRPs and the nature and estimated cost of the likely remedy in all pending cases. Based on reviewing the nature and extent of the allegations, PSC Metals has estimated its liability to remediate these sites to be immaterial at each of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010. If it is determined that PSC Metals has liability to remediate those sites and that more expensive remediation approaches are required in the future, PSC Metals could incur additional obligations, which could be material.

Certain of PSC Metals' facilities are environmentally impaired in part as a result of operating practices at the sites prior to their acquisition by PSC Metals and as a result of PSC Metals' operations. PSC Metals has established procedures to periodically evaluate these sites, giving consideration to the nature and extent of the contamination. PSC Metals has provided for the remediation of these sites based upon management's judgment and prior experience. PSC Metals has estimated the liability to remediate these sites to be \$29 million and \$28 million as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. Management believes, based on past experience, that the vast majority of these environmental liabilities and costs will be assessed and paid over an extended period of time. PSC Metals believes that it will be able to fund such costs in the ordinary course of business.

Estimates of PSC Metals' liability for remediation of a particular site and the method and ultimate cost of remediation require a number of assumptions that are inherently difficult to make, and the ultimate outcome may be materially different from current estimates. Moreover, because PSC Metals has disposed of waste materials at numerous third-party disposal facilities, it is possible that PSC Metals will be identified as a PRP at additional sites. The impact of such future events cannot be estimated at the current time.

The Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") has alleged that PSC Metals' scrap processing facility located in Cleveland, Ohio has violated the requirements of Section 608 of the Clean Air Act, 42 USC Section 761, which requires scrap processors to either recover refrigerants from appliances in accordance with the procedures described in

the applicable federal regulations or verify through certifications that refrigerants have previously been evacuated. PSC Metals has entered into a consent decree with the EPA that resolves all claims against it. The consent decree will require PSC Metals to pay a civil penalty of \$199,000 and includes injunctive relief that will require it to offer refrigerant extraction services at 11 of its scrap processing facilities for the next four years. PSC Metals anticipates the settlement will become final sometime early in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2011. PSC Metals estimates that the cost associated with the required injunctive relief will range from

ICAHN ENTERPRISES L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)
SEPTEMBER 30, 2011

\$0.8 million to \$1.7 million, exclusive of the civil penalty referenced above.

Home Fashion

Litigation

We were defendants in two lawsuits, one in the federal courts in New York and one in the Delaware state courts, challenging, among other matters, the status of our ownership interests in the common and preferred stock of WPI (which was, at that time, a Delaware corporation). We (through Aretex LLC) had acquired ownership of a majority of the WPI common stock through a July 2005 Sale Order entered by the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York. Under that Sale Order, WPI acquired substantially all of the assets of WestPoint Stevens, Inc. The losing bidders at the Bankruptcy Court auction that led to the Sale Order challenged the Sale Order. In November 2005, the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York modified portions of the Sale Order in a manner that could have reduced our ownership of WPI stock below 50%. In its March 26, 2010 decision, the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit held that we are entitled to own a majority of WPI's common stock, and thus have control of WPI. The Second Circuit ordered the Bankruptcy Court's Sale Order reinstated, to ensure that our percentage ownership of the common stock will be at least 50.5%. The Second Circuit ordered the District Court to remand the matter back to the Bankruptcy Court for further proceedings consistent with its ruling. On remand, the Bankruptcy Court entered an Order on December 6, 2010 implementing the Second Circuit's decision. On September 23, 2011, the Bankruptcy Court entered a stipulation dismissing the bankruptcy case, including an adversary proceeding against Aretex LLC and others, and allowing certain funds to be distributed to the first lien lenders.

There was also a proceeding in Delaware Chancery Court, brought by the same "losing bidders" who are parties to the case decided by the Second Circuit. After the ruling by the Second Circuit, the plaintiffs filed a modified third amended complaint in the Delaware case. In that complaint, the plaintiffs pled claims for breach of fiduciary duty (and aiding and abetting such alleged breach) against us, and against Icahn Enterprises Holdings, Carl C. Icahn and others, based on WPI's not having proceeded with a registration statement. The plaintiffs also asserted a contractual claim against WPI relating to the registration statement alleging that, because WPI did not proceed with the registration statement, the plaintiffs were unable to sell their securities in WPI, and sought to recover the diminution in the value of those securities. The plaintiffs also asserted a claim for unjust enrichment against all defendants, including us, WPI, Icahn Enterprises Holdings, Carl C. Icahn and others, based on claims that defendants were beneficiaries of a stay order allegedly improperly entered by the Bankruptcy Court. On November 3, 2010, the Chancery Court dismissed the modified third amended complaint in its entirety. The plaintiffs appealed to the Delaware Supreme Court. On August 3, 2011, the Delaware Supreme Court affirmed the judgment of the Chancery Court dismissing the modified third amended complaint, and thus dismissing the case, in its entirety.

Environmental Matters

WPI is subject to various federal, state and local environmental laws and regulations governing, among other things, the discharge, storage, handling and disposal of a variety of hazardous and nonhazardous substances and wastes used in or resulting from its operations and potential remediation obligations. WPI's operations are also governed by U.S. federal, state, local and foreign laws, rules and regulations relating to employee safety and health which, among other things, establish exposure limitation for cotton dust, formaldehyde, asbestos and noise, and which regulate chemical, physical and ergonomic hazards in the workplace. WPI estimated its environmental accruals to be \$1 million at both September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

Other Matters

Mr. Icahn, through certain affiliates, owns 100% of Icahn Enterprises GP and approximately 92.6% of our outstanding depositary units as of each of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010. Applicable pension and tax laws make each member of a "controlled group" of entities, generally defined as entities in which there is at least an 80% common

ownership interest, jointly and severally liable for certain pension plan obligations of any member of the controlled group. These pension obligations include ongoing contributions to fund the plan, as well as liability for any unfunded liabilities that may exist at the time the plan is terminated. In addition, the failure to pay these pension obligations when due may result in the creation of liens in favor of the pension plan or the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation ("PBGC") against the assets of each member of the controlled group.

As a result of the more than 80% ownership interest in us by Mr. Icahn's affiliates, we and our subsidiaries are subject to the pension liabilities of all entities in which Mr. Icahn has a direct or indirect ownership interest of at least 80%. One such entity, ACF, is the sponsor of several pension plans. All the minimum funding requirements of the Code and the Employee

ICAHN ENTERPRISES L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)
SEPTEMBER 30, 2011

Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended by the Pension Protection Act of 2006, for these plans have been met as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010. If the plans were voluntarily terminated, they would be underfunded by approximately \$114 million and \$103 million as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. These results are based on the most recent information provided by the plans' actuaries. These liabilities could increase or decrease, depending on a number of factors, including future changes in benefits, investment returns, and the assumptions used to calculate the liability. As members of the controlled group, we would be liable for any failure of ACF to make ongoing pension contributions or to pay the unfunded liabilities upon a termination of the ACF pension plans. In addition, other entities now or in the future within the controlled group in which we are included may have pension plan obligations that are, or may become, underfunded and we would be liable for any failure of such entities to make ongoing pension contributions or to pay the unfunded liabilities upon termination of such plans.

The current underfunded status of the ACF pension plans requires ACF to notify the PBGC of certain "reportable events," such as if we cease to be a member of the ACF controlled group, or if we make certain extraordinary dividends or stock redemptions. The obligation to report could cause us to seek to delay or reconsider the occurrence of such reportable events.

Starfire Holding Corporation ("Starfire") which is 100% owned by Mr. Icahn, has undertaken to indemnify us and our subsidiaries from losses resulting from any imposition of certain pension funding or termination liabilities that may be imposed on us and our subsidiaries or our assets as a result of being a member of the Icahn controlled group. The Starfire indemnity (which does not extend to pension liabilities of our subsidiaries that would be imposed on us as a result of our interest in these subsidiaries and not as a result of Mr. Icahn and his affiliates holding more than an 80% ownership interest in us) provides, among other things, that so long as such contingent liabilities exist and could be imposed on us, Starfire will not make any distributions to its stockholders that would reduce its net worth to below \$250 million. Nonetheless, Starfire may not be able to fund its indemnification obligations to us.

19. Subsequent Events.

In October 2011, PSC Metals acquired the assets of Metals Solutions, LLC and Knox Recycling, Inc. in Knoxville, Tennessee for \$4 million in cash. Metal Solutions, LLC is a recycled aluminum converter and brokerage firm. Knox Recycling, Inc. will buy ferrous and non-ferrous recyclable metals for processing at other PSC Metals owned locations in the Knoxville, Nashville and Chattanooga regions of Tennessee.

On November 1, 2011, the board of directors declared a quarterly cash distribution of \$0.10 per unit on our depositary units. The distribution will be paid on December 1, 2011 to depositary unitholders of record at the close of business on November 18, 2011.

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Partners of
Icahn Enterprises L.P.

We have reviewed the accompanying consolidated balance sheet of Icahn Enterprises L.P and Subsidiaries (the "Partnership") (a Delaware limited partnership) as of September 30, 2011, and the related consolidated statements of operations for the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, the consolidated cash flows for the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, and the consolidated statement of changes in equity and comprehensive income for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2011. These consolidated interim financial statements are the responsibility of the Partnership's management.

We were furnished with the report of other accountants on their reviews of the consolidated interim financial statements of Federal-Mogul Corporation, a subsidiary, whose total assets as of September 30, 2011 were \$7,433 million, and whose revenues for the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2011 constituted \$1,734 million and \$5,273 million, respectively, and revenues for the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2010 constituted \$1,552 million and \$4,644 million, respectively of the related consolidated totals.

We conducted our reviews in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). A review of interim financial information consists principally of applying analytical procedures and making inquiries of persons responsible for financial and accounting matters. It is substantially less in scope than an audit conducted in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the objective of which is the expression of an opinion regarding the financial statements taken as a whole. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

Based on our reviews and the report of other accountants, we are not aware of any material modifications that should be made to the accompanying consolidated interim financial statements for them to be in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We have previously audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheet of the Partnership as of December 31, 2010, and the related consolidated statements of operations, changes in equity and comprehensive income, and cash flows for the year then ended (not presented herein); and in our report dated March 7, 2011, we expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements. Our report made reference to the report of other auditors as it relates to amounts included for Federal-Mogul Corporation. In our opinion, the information set forth in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2010, is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the consolidated balance sheet from which it has been derived.

/s/ Grant Thornton, LLP

New York, New York
November 2, 2011

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of
Federal-Mogul Corporation

We have reviewed the consolidated balance sheet of Federal-Mogul Corporation as of September 30, 2011, and the related consolidated statements of operations for the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, and the consolidated statements of cash flows for the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 (not presented herein). These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management.

We conducted our review in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). A review of interim financial information consists principally of applying analytical procedures and making inquiries of persons responsible for financial and accounting matters. It is substantially less in scope than an audit conducted in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board, the objective of which is the expression of an opinion regarding the financial statements taken as a whole. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

Based on our review, we are not aware of any material modifications that should be made to the consolidated financial statements referred to above for them to be in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

We have previously audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheet of Federal-Mogul Corporation as of December 31, 2010, and the related consolidated statements of operations, shareholders' equity, and cash flows for the year then ended (not presented herein) and in our report dated February 23, 2011, we expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

Detroit, Michigan
October 27, 2011

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

The following discussion is intended to assist you in understanding our present business and the results of operations together with our present financial condition. This section should be read in conjunction with our Consolidated Financial Statements and the accompanying notes contained in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, or Report, along with our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010, or our 2010 Form 10-K.

Overview

Introduction

Icahn Enterprises L.P., or Icahn Enterprises, is a master limited partnership formed in Delaware on February 17, 1987. We own a 99% limited partner interest in Icahn Enterprises Holdings L.P., or Icahn Enterprises Holdings. Icahn Enterprises Holdings and its subsidiaries own substantially all of our assets and liabilities and conduct substantially all of our operations. Icahn Enterprises G.P. Inc., or Icahn Enterprises GP, our sole general partner, which is owned and controlled by Mr. Icahn, owns a 1% general partner interest in both us and Icahn Enterprises Holdings, representing an aggregate 1.99% general partner interest in us and Icahn Enterprises Holdings. As of September 30, 2011, affiliates of Mr. Icahn owned 79,238,262 of our depositary units which represented approximately 92.6% of our outstanding depositary units.

We are a diversified holding company owning subsidiaries engaged in the following operating businesses: Investment Management, Automotive, Gaming, Railcar, Food Packaging, Metals, Real Estate and Home Fashion. In addition to our operating businesses, we discuss the Holding Company, which includes the unconsolidated results of Icahn Enterprises and Icahn Enterprises Holdings, and investment activity and expenses associated with the activities of the Holding Company.

Significant Events

Distributions-In-Kind

On April 29, 2011, the Investment Funds made a distribution-in-kind of 13,538,446 shares of Tropicana common stock with a value of \$216 million to us in redemption of \$216 million of our limited and general partner interests in the Investment Funds. The distribution transferred the ownership of the Tropicana common stock held by the Investment Funds to us. As a result of this transaction, we directly owned 51.5% of the total outstanding common stock of Tropicana. This distribution increased equity attributable to Icahn Enterprises by \$27 million and decreased equity attributable to non-controlling interests by \$27 million, representing the basis difference between the redemption value determined as of April 29, 2011 and the application of purchase accounting to the controlling interest in Tropicana pursuant to ASC Topic 805, Business Combinations, on November 15, 2010.

In addition, on June 30, 2011, the Investment Funds made a distribution-in-kind of the loans under the Exit Facility with a value of \$71 million to us in redemption of \$71 million of our limited and general partner interests in the Investment Funds. The distribution transferred the ownership of the loans under the Exit Facility held by the Investment Funds directly to us. As a result of this transaction, we currently directly own over 50% of the loans under the Exit Facility.

Results of Operations

Consolidated Financial Results

The following tables summarize total revenues, net income (loss) and net income (loss) attributable to Icahn Enterprises for each of our reportable segments and our Holding Company for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010. We consolidated the results of our Gaming segment effective as of November 15, 2010 and therefore, our consolidated results of operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 do not include the results of operations from our Gaming segment. Eliminations relate to the unrealized gains recorded by our Investment Management segment for its investment in Tropicana for the nine months ended September 30, 2011.

| | Revenues | | Net (Loss) Income | | Net (Loss) Income Attributable to Icahn Enterprises | |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------|---------|-------------------|-------|---|-------|
| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | | | | |
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| | (in millions) | | | | | |
| Investment Management | \$(21) | \$828 | \$(46) | \$795 | \$(15) | \$332 |
| Automotive | 1,734 | 1,552 | 34 | 51 | 25 | 38 |
| Gaming | 176 | — | 21 | — | 12 | — |
| Railcar | 125 | 63 | 4 | (6) | 2 | (3) |
| Food Packaging | 86 | 80 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| Metals | 272 | 169 | — | (1) | — | (1) |
| Real Estate | 28 | 23 | 8 | 2 | 8 | 2 |
| Home Fashion | 80 | 115 | (12) | (20) | (9) | (14) |
| Holding Company | (10) | (5) | (63) | (58) | (63) | (58) |
| Eliminations | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| | \$2,470 | \$2,825 | \$(52) | \$765 | \$(39) | \$298 |

| | Revenues | | Net Income (Loss) | | Net Income (Loss) Attributable to Icahn Enterprises | |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------|---------|-------------------|--------|---|--------|
| | Nine Months Ended September 30, | | | | | |
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| | (in millions) | | | | | |
| Investment Management | \$1,227 | \$688 | \$1,126 | \$620 | \$527 | \$257 |
| Automotive | 5,273 | 4,644 | 148 | 115 | 108 | 83 |
| Gaming | 478 | — | 29 | — | 15 | — |
| Railcar | 319 | 175 | (1) | (19) | (1) | (10) |
| Food Packaging | 255 | 240 | 9 | 11 | 6 | 8 |
| Metals | 839 | 550 | 11 | 4 | 11 | 4 |
| Real Estate | 73 | 69 | 15 | 7 | 15 | 7 |
| Home Fashion | 263 | 308 | (29) | (42) | (20) | (29) |
| Holding Company | 22 | (43) | (166) | (203) | (166) | (203) |
| Eliminations | (14) | — | (14) | — | (5) | — |
| | \$8,735 | \$6,631 | \$1,128 | \$493 | \$490 | \$117 |

Overview

Our operating businesses are managed on a decentralized basis. Due to the structure of our business, we discuss the results of operations below by individual reportable segments. Please refer to Note 14, "Segment Reporting," to the consolidated financial statements for a reconciliation of each of our reporting segment's results of operations to our consolidated results.

Please refer to Note 2, "Operating Units," to the consolidated financial statements for a description of each of our reportable segments.

Investment Management

Incentive Allocations and Special Profits Interest Allocations

Historically, our Investment Management segment's revenues were affected by the combination of fee-paying assets under management, or AUM, and the investment performance of the Private Funds. The General Partners' incentive allocations and special profits interest allocations earned from the Investment Funds were accrued on a quarterly basis and were allocated to the General Partners at the end of the Investment Funds' fiscal year (or sooner on redemptions) assuming there were sufficient net profits to cover such amounts. As more fully disclosed in a letter to investors in the Private Funds filed with the SEC on Form 8-K on March 7, 2011, the Private Funds returned all fee-paying capital to its investors during fiscal 2011. Payments were funded through cash on hand and borrowings under existing credit lines. As a result, no further incentive allocations or special profits interest allocations will accrue for periods subsequent to March 31, 2011.

The General Partners waived the special profits interest allocations and incentive allocations for our interests in the Investment Funds and Mr. Icahn's direct and indirect holdings. On April 1, 2011, affiliates of Mr. Icahn made aggregate contributions of \$250 million in the Investment Funds.

All of the special profits interest allocations and incentive allocations are eliminated in consolidation; however, our share of the net income from the Private Funds includes the amount of these allocations.

We consolidate certain entities of the Private Funds. As a result, in accordance with U.S. GAAP, any special profits interest allocations, incentive allocations and earnings on investments in the Investment Funds are eliminated in consolidation. These eliminations have no impact on our net income; however, as our allocated share of the net income from the Private Funds includes the amount of these allocations and earnings.

As a result of the return of fee-paying capital as described above, a special profits interest allocation of \$9 million was allocated to the General Partners at March 31, 2011. No further special profits interest allocation accrued in periods subsequent to March 31, 2011. A special profits interest allocation accrual of \$34 million was made for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010.

As a result of the return of fee-paying capital as described above, an incentive allocation of \$7 million was allocated to the General Partners at March 31, 2011. No further incentive allocation will accrue in periods subsequent to March 31, 2011. Incentive allocations for each of the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 was \$3 million.

Our Interests in the Investment Funds

Our share of the Investment Funds' net profit (loss) through our interests in the Investment Funds, excluding incentive allocations and special profits interest allocations earned, was \$(15) million and \$516 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, and \$298 million and \$238 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively.

As of September 30, 2011, we had investments with a fair market value of approximately \$2.8 billion in the Investment Funds.

Edgar Filing: ICAHN ENTERPRISES L.P. - Form 10-Q

Results of operations for our Investment Management segment, prior to eliminations relating to its investment in Tropicana, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 are presented below:

| | Three Months Ended | | Variance | | Nine Months Ended | | Variance | | |
|--|--------------------|-------|----------|---------|--------------------|-------|----------|--------|---|
| | September 30, 2011 | 2010 | \$ | % | September 30, 2011 | 2010 | \$ | % | |
| (in millions, except percentage) | | | | | | | | | |
| Net (loss) gain from investment activities | \$(40) | \$799 | \$(839) | (105)% | \$1,151 | \$546 | \$605 | 111 | % |
| Interest and dividend income | 20 | 29 | (9) | (31)% | 80 | 142 | (62) | (44)% | |
| | (20) | 828 | (848) | (102)% | 1,231 | 688 | 543 | 79 | % |
| Selling, general and administrative | 23 | 30 | (7) | (23)% | 90 | 64 | 26 | 41 | % |
| Net (loss) income before interest expense and income taxes | \$(43) | \$798 | \$(841) | (105)% | \$1,141 | \$624 | \$517 | 83 | % |
| Gross Return | | | | | | | | | |

The following table sets forth performance information for the Investment Funds for the comparative periods presented. These gross returns represent a weighted-average composite of the average gross returns, net of expenses for the Private Funds.

| Investment Funds | Gross Return | | Three Months Ended | | September 30, | | Nine Months Ended | | September 30, | |
|------------------|--------------|--------|--------------------|--------|---------------|------|-------------------|------|---------------|------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Investment Funds | -0.85 | % 13.9 | % 19.8 | % 11.2 | | | | | | |

The Investment Funds' aggregate gross return was -0.85% and 19.8% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively. During the three months ended September 30, 2011, we had gains in one of our core holdings and portfolio hedge positions. These gains were offset by losses in our other long equity positions resulting in a small net loss for the three months ended September 30, 2011. During the nine months ended September 30, 2011, gains were primarily due to our long exposure to the equity markets that were primarily driven by certain core holdings as well as our portfolio hedge.

The Investment Funds' aggregate gross return was 13.9% and 11.2% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, profits were primarily due to the Investment Funds' long exposure in their core equity positions and, to a lesser extent, their long exposure to the credit markets, including fixed income, bank debt and derivative instruments, in the first half of fiscal 2010. The Investment Funds' short exposure to both the equity and credit markets was a negative contributor to performance in the third quarter of fiscal 2010. Over the first nine months of fiscal 2010 short exposure to credit was a positive contributor while short exposure to equity was a negative contributor.

Since inception in November 2004, the Investment Funds' gross return is 128.0%, representing an annualized rate of return of 18.7% through September 30, 2011.

Net realized and unrealized gains (losses) of the Investment Funds on investment activities were a loss of \$40 million and a gain of \$1,151 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively. This compares with net realized and unrealized gains of \$799 million and \$546 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively. The changes over the respective periods were primarily due to the performance in the Investment Funds during fiscal 2011 as discussed above.

Interest and Dividend Income

Interest and dividend income decreased by \$9 million (31%) and \$62 million (44%) for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010. The decreases over the

Edgar Filing: ICAHN ENTERPRISES L.P. - Form 10-Q

respective periods were primarily due to decreases in interest income resulting from a reduction in fixed-income investments from our Investment Management segment.

Selling, General and Administrative

Selling, General and Administrative, or SG&A, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 decreased by \$7 million (23%) and increased by \$26 million (41%), respectively, as compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010. The decrease for the three months ended September 30, 2011 as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2010 was primarily due to a decrease in deferred management fee expense, offset by an increase in dividends expense due to securities sold, not yet purchased and shareholder actions. (There was no deferred management fee expense for the three months ended September 30, 2011 as we deconsolidated Icahn Fund Ltd. as of March 31, 2011.) The increase for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2010 was primarily due to an increase in dividends expense due to securities sold, not yet purchased, offset in part by a decrease in deferred management fee expense for the reason discussed above.

Automotive

| | Three Months Ended | | Variance | | Nine Months Ended | | Variance | | | |
|---|----------------------------------|--------------------|----------|-------|--------------------|--------------------|----------|-------|------|---|
| | September 30, 2011 | September 30, 2010 | \$ | % | September 30, 2011 | September 30, 2010 | \$ | % | | |
| | (in millions, except percentage) | | | | | | | | | |
| Net sales | \$1,732 | \$1,544 | \$188 | 12 | % | \$5,256 | \$4,631 | \$625 | 13 | % |
| Cost of goods sold | 1,469 | 1,306 | 163 | 12 | % | 4,415 | 3,865 | 550 | 14 | % |
| Gross margin | 263 | 238 | 25 | 11 | % | 841 | 766 | 75 | 10 | % |
| Selling, general and administrative | 184 | 152 | 32 | 21 | % | 558 | 525 | 33 | 6 | % |
| Restructuring | 3 | 1 | 2 | 200 | % | 4 | 7 | (3) | (43) | % |
| Impairment | — | (1) | 1 | (100) | % | 3 | 7 | (4) | (57) | % |
| | 187 | 152 | 35 | 23 | % | 565 | 539 | 26 | 5 | % |
| Net income before interest income, other income, net, interest expense and income taxes | \$76 | \$86 | \$(10) | (12) | % | \$276 | \$227 | \$49 | 22 | % |

Federal-Mogul's Annual Report on Form 10-K for fiscal 2010 filed with the SEC on February 23, 2011 contains a detailed description of its business, products, industry, operating strategy and associated risks. Federal-Mogul's most recent Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2011 was filed with the SEC on October 27, 2011.

During the third quarter of fiscal 2011, we acquired additional shares of Federal-Mogul common stock. As of September 30, 2011, we owned approximately 76.8% of the total outstanding common stock of Federal-Mogul. During the nine months ended September 30, 2011, Federal-Mogul derived 66% of its net sales from the original equipment manufacturer and servicers, or OE, market and 34% from the aftermarket. Federal-Mogul's customers include the world's largest automotive OEs and major distributors and retailers in the independent aftermarket. During the nine months ended September 30, 2011 Federal-Mogul derived 36% of its sales in the United States and 64% internationally. Federal-Mogul has operations in established markets including Canada, France, Germany, Italy, Japan, Spain, Sweden, the United Kingdom and the United States, and emerging markets including Argentina, Brazil, China, Czech Republic, Hungary, India, Korea, Mexico, Poland, Russia, South Africa, Thailand, Turkey and Venezuela. The attendant risks of Federal-Mogul's international operations are primarily related to currency fluctuations, changes in local economic and political conditions, and changes in laws and regulations. Federal-Mogul operates in an extremely competitive industry, driven by global vehicle production volumes and part replacement trends. Business is typically awarded to the supplier offering the most favorable combination of cost, quality, technology and service. Customers continue to require periodic cost reductions that require Federal-Mogul to continually

assess, redefine and improve its operations, products, and manufacturing capabilities to maintain and improve profitability. Management continues to develop and execute initiatives to meet the challenges of the industry and to achieve its strategy for sustainable global profitable growth.

Net sales increased by \$188 million (12%) and \$625 million (13%) for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 as compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively. The impact of the U.S. dollar weakening, primarily against the euro, increased reported sales by \$70 million and \$176 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 as compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively.

In general, light and commercial vehicle OE production increased in most regions and, when combined with market share gains in all major regions across all three manufacturing business units, resulted in increased OE sales of \$118 million and \$464 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 as compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively. Aftermarket sales decreased by \$13 million and \$27 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, as compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 due to sales decreases in North America, partially offset by sales increases in other regions. Net changes in customer pricing increased sales by \$13 million and \$12 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 as compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively.

Cost of goods sold increased by \$163 million (12%) and \$550 million (14%) for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, as compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010. The impact of the relative weakness of the U.S. dollar increased cost of products sold by \$57 million and \$156 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, as compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010. Manufacturing, labor and variable overhead costs increased by \$104 million and \$393 million as a direct consequence of the higher production volumes for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, as compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010. Additional increases were unfavorable productivity, inclusive of labor and benefits inflation, of \$5 million and \$20 million and increased materials and services sourcing costs of \$8 million and \$18 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, as compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010. These increases were partially offset by decreased depreciation of \$11 million and \$36 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, as compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010. In addition, the increases were partially offset by decreased pension expense of \$1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2010.

Gross margin increased by \$25 million (11%) and \$75 million (10%) for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, as compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010. As a percent of net sales, gross margin was 15% and 16% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, as compared to 15% and 17% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010. The increase in gross margin for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 over the respective periods was due to currency movements of \$13 million and \$20 million, customer price increases of \$13 million and \$12 million, decreased depreciation of \$11 million and \$36 million and gross margin impact of sales volume/mix of \$1 million and \$44 million, partially offset by increased materials and services sourcing costs of \$8 million and \$18 million and unfavorable productivity, inclusive of labor and benefits inflation, of \$5 million and \$20 million, respectively. In addition, there was an increase of \$1 million in pension expense for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2010.

SG&A for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 increased by \$32 million (21%) and \$33 million (6%), respectively, as compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010. The increase for the three months ended September 30, 2011 as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2010 was due to currency movements of \$6 million, increased costs, inclusive of labor and benefits inflation of \$6 million and \$1 million of stock-based compensation expense, partially offset by lower pension and other post-employment benefits expense of \$3 million, materials and services sourcing savings of \$1 million and reduced depreciation expense of \$1 million. The increase for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2010 was due to currency movements of \$15 million and unfavorable productivity, inclusive of labor and benefits inflation, of \$16 million, partially offset by lower pension and other post-employment benefits expense of \$9 million, lower stock-based compensation expense of \$6 million, materials and services sourcing savings of \$3 million, reduced

depreciation expense of \$3 million and other reductions of \$5 million.

Included in SG&A for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 was \$24 million and \$28 million, respectively, of other post-employment benefit curtailment gain which did not recur during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011. See Note 12, "Pensions, Other Post-employment Benefits and Employee Benefit Plans-Automotive," to the consolidated financial statements for further discussion regarding these curtailment gains.

Federal-Mogul maintains technical centers throughout the world designed to integrate its leading technologies into advanced products and processes, to provide engineering support for all of its manufacturing sites and to provide technological expertise in engineering and design development providing solutions for customers and bringing new, innovative products to market. Included in SG&A were research and development, or R&D, costs, including product and validation costs, of \$42 million and \$39 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, and \$129 million and \$116 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. As a percentage of OE sales, R&D was 4% for each of the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010.

Restructuring charges for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 was \$3 million and \$4 million, respectively, as compared to \$1 million and \$7 million the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010.

Restructuring charges were related to facility closure costs and employee costs.

Federal-Mogul recorded \$3 million of impairment charges for the nine months ended September 30, 2011. There were no impairment charges for the three months ended September 30, 2011. This compares with a reversal of \$1 million and impairment charge of \$7 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively.

The \$3 million of impairment charges for nine months ended September 30, 2011 includes a \$2 million impairment charge related to an asset retirement obligation for a facility that is closed. As the fair value of the facility did not support the capitalization of this asset retirement obligation, it was impaired. The remaining \$1 million in impairment charges recorded during the nine months ended September 30, 2011 consisted of immaterial fixed asset impairments at several facilities.

The reversal of \$1 million and impairment charge of \$7 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively, relate to certain equipment where the assessment of future undiscounted cash flows of such equipment, when compared to the current carrying value of the equipment, indicated the assets were not recoverable. Federal-Mogul determined the fair value of the assets by applying a probability weighted, expected present value technique to the estimated future cash flows using assumptions a market participant would utilize. The discount rate used is consistent with other long-lived asset fair value measurements.

Gaming

As a result of our acquisition of additional shares of Tropicana common stock on November 15, 2010, we are required to consolidate the results of Tropicana as of such date. Therefore, our consolidated results from operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 do not include the results of our Gaming segment.

Gaming revenues and expenses are classified in other revenues from operations and other expenses from operations, respectively, in our consolidated financial statements. Gaming revenues and expenses for our Gaming segment for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 are summarized as follows:

| | Three Months Ended September 30, 2011 | | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011 | |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------|
| | Other Revenues | Other Expenses | Other Revenues | Other Expenses |
| | From Operations | From Operations | From Operations | From Operations |
| | (in millions) | | | |
| Casino | \$156 | \$58 | \$426 | \$174 |
| Room | 29 | 9 | 82 | 24 |
| Food and Beverage | 23 | 10 | 67 | 29 |
| Other | 8 | 3 | 20 | 7 |
| | 216 | \$80 | 595 | \$234 |
| Less promotional allowances (40) | | | (117) | |
| Net revenues | \$176 | | \$478 | |

Tropicana's Annual Report on Form 10-K for fiscal 2010 filed with the SEC on March 14, 2011 contains a detailed description of its business, products, industry, operating strategy and associated risks. Tropicana's filings with the SEC are available on the SEC's website at www.sec.gov.

During the third quarter of fiscal 2011, we acquired additional shares of Tropicana common stock. As of September 30, 2011, we owned approximately 61.7% of the total outstanding common stock of Tropicana.

Weak economic conditions continue to adversely impact the gaming industry and Tropicana. We believe Tropicana's

guests have reduced their discretionary spending as a result of uncertainty and instability relating to the employment and housing markets. We cannot predict whether, or how long, current market conditions will continue to persist. In addition, Tropicana AC's revenues have been negatively impacted by the introduction of table games in Pennsylvania in mid-2010 as well as increased marketing and promotional activity from competitors within the Atlantic City market. The Atlantic City market experienced year-over-year declines in casino revenue of 9.3% and 7.8% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011.

Tropicana's financial results are highly dependent upon the number of customers that it attracts to its facilities and the amounts those customers spend per visit. Additionally, Tropicana's operating results may be affected by, among other things, overall economic conditions affecting the discretionary income of its customers, competitive factors, gaming tax increases and other regulatory changes, the opening of new gaming operations, the negative impact that certain predecessors' bankruptcy filings had on its facilities, Tropicana's ability to reinvest in its properties, potential future exposure for liabilities of its certain predecessors that it assumed, its limited operating history and general public sentiment regarding travel and gaming. Historically, Tropicana's operating results are the strongest in the third quarter and the weakest in the fourth quarter. In addition, weather and long-weekend holidays affect its operating results. Casino revenues are one of Tropicana's main performance indicators and account for a significant portion of its net revenues. Casino revenues represent the difference between wins and losses from gaming activities such as slot machines and table games. Key volume indicators include table game volumes and slot volumes, which refer to amounts wagered by Tropicana's customers. Win or hold percentage represents the percentage of the amounts wagered that is won by the casino, which is not fully controllable by Tropicana, and recorded as casino revenue. Most of Tropicana's revenues are cash-based, through customers wagering with cash or chips or paying for non-gaming services with cash or credit cards, and therefore are not subject to any significant or complex estimation. As a result, fluctuations in net revenues have a direct impact on cash flows from operating activities. Other performance indicators include hotel occupancy, which is a volume indicator for hotels, and the average daily rate, which is a price indicator for the amount customers paid for hotel rooms.

In August 2011, Hurricane Irene caused a city-mandated closure of all Atlantic City casinos, including Tropicana Casino and Resort, Atlantic City, or Tropicana AC, for three days. The storm also negatively impacted business levels leading up to and immediately after the mandated closure. Tropicana currently estimates that Tropicana AC lost approximately \$5 million in net revenues due to the impacts of Hurricane Irene.

Casino revenues were \$156 million and \$426 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively. Casino revenues are comprised primarily of slot machine and table game revenues. Slot machine revenue was \$115 million and \$331 million and table game revenue was \$38 million and \$88 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively. Slot machine hold percentages were 9.1% and 9.0% and table games hold percentages were 17.6% and 15.0%, respectively, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011.

Room, food and beverage revenues were \$52 million and \$149 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively. Hotel room occupancy percentage for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 was 71% and 69%, respectively. Average daily room rates were \$76 and \$73 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively. Rooms, food and beverages are often offered to high-value guests on a complimentary basis. The retail value of rooms, food and beverage provided to guests on a complimentary basis is included in gross revenues and then deducted as promotional allowances to arrive at net revenues.

As a result of our acquisition of additional shares of Tropicana common stock on November 15, 2010, we are required to consolidate the results of Tropicana as of such date. Tropicana recognized \$69 million and \$207 million of SG&A during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 for which no such SG&A was present in the comparable prior year period.

Edgar Filing: ICAHN ENTERPRISES L.P. - Form 10-Q

Components of SG&A for our Gaming segment are summarized as follows:

| | Three Months Ended September 30, 2011 (in millions) | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011 |
|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| Marketing, advertising and promotions | \$17 | \$45 |
| General and administrative | 28 | 91 |
| Maintenance and utilities | 16 | 46 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 8 | 25 |
| | \$69 | \$207 |

Tropicana continues to monitor and reduce its SG&A expenses to maintain profitability in response to declining revenues due to the current weak economic conditions.

Railcar

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Variance | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | | Variance | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|------|----------|------|------------------------------------|-------|----------|-------|------|-----|-----|----|
| | 2011 | 2010 | \$ | % | 2011 | 2010 | \$ | % | | | | |
| (in millions, except percentage) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Manufacturing Operations: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Net sales | \$109 | \$48 | \$61 | 127 | % | \$272 | \$127 | \$145 | 114 | % | | |
| Cost of goods sold | 98 | 49 | 49 | 100 | % | 251 | 131 | 120 | 92 | % | | |
| Gross margin | 11 | (1 |) | 12 | n/m | 21 | (4 |) | 25 | n/m | | |
| Services Operations: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Other revenues from operations | 17 | 16 | 1 | 6 | % | 51 | 51 | — | — | % | | |
| Other expenses from operations | 13 | 13 | — | — | % | 39 | 41 | (2 |) | (5 |)% | |
| Gross margin | 4 | 3 | 1 | 33 | % | 12 | 10 | 2 | 20 | % | | |
| Selling, general and administrative | 3 | 6 | (3 |) | (50 |)% | 15 | 18 | (3 |) | (17 |)% |
| Net income (loss) before interest income, other income (loss), net, interest expense and income taxes | \$12 | \$(4 |) | \$16 | n/m | \$18 | \$(12 |) | \$30 | n/m | | |

ARI's Annual Report on Form 10-K for fiscal 2010 filed with the SEC on March 1, 2011 contains a detailed description of its business, products, industry, operating strategy and associated risks. ARI's most recent Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2011 was filed with the SEC on November 2, 2011.

During the third quarter of fiscal 2011, we acquired additional shares of ARI common stock. As of September 30, 2011, we owned approximately 55.3% of the total outstanding common stock of ARI.

The North American railcar market has been, and ARI expects it to continue to be, highly cyclical. The recent economic downturn had a negative effect on the railcar manufacturing market in which ARI competes, resulting in increased competition and significant pricing pressures in the past couple of years.

As of September 30, 2011, ARI has a backlog of approximately 7,110 railcars, including approximately 1,700 railcars that

ARI will lease, up from a total backlog of approximately 1,050 railcars as of December 31, 2010. This is ARI's largest backlog since June 2008. In response to increased customer demand, ARI has and intends to continue to increase production rates at its railcar manufacturing facilities. ARI defines backlog as the number of railcars that its customers have committed in writing to purchase or lease that have not been shipped.

Additionally, railcar loadings have continued to increase and the number of railcars in storage has continued to decrease, as reported by an independent third-party industry analyst. ARI believes that these improvements, which may or may not continue, indicate that the railcar market has begun to and may continue to improve. During the first nine months of fiscal 2011, ARI's railcar shipments and manufacturing revenues increased compared to the corresponding prior year period and the gross margin from both manufacturing and railcar services operations also improved. ARI's railcar shipments for the first nine months of fiscal 2011 have surpassed its total fiscal 2010 shipments and it currently expects the upward trend to continue for the remainder of fiscal 2011. However, ARI cannot assure you that the railcar market will continue to improve or that its railcar orders and shipments will continue to increase.

A portion of ARI's manufacturing and services revenue are derived from companies affiliated with Mr. Icahn. Such revenues from companies affiliated with Mr. Icahn accounted for approximately 6% of total manufacturing and services revenues for each of the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011. Total revenues from companies affiliated with Mr. Icahn accounted for approximately 36% and 42% of total manufacturing and services revenues for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively. See Note 3, "Related Party Transactions-Railcar," to the consolidated financial statements for further discussion.

Total manufacturing revenues for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 increased by \$61 million (127%) and \$145 million (114%) as compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively. (Manufacturing revenues are included in net sales in our consolidated statements of operations.) The primary reason for the increase in revenues from manufacturing operations was an increase in railcar shipments. Railcar shipments for the three months ended September 30, 2011 were approximately 1,340 railcars as compared to approximately 420 railcars for the three months ended September 30, 2010. Railcar shipments for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 were approximately 3,060 railcars as compared to approximately 1,130 railcars for the nine months ended September 30, 2010.

Gross margin from manufacturing operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 was \$11 million and \$21 million, respectively, as compared to a loss of \$1 million and \$4 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively. Gross margin for manufacturing operations as a percentage of manufacturing operations revenues was 10% and 8% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, as compared to loss of 2% and 3% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively. The improvement over the respective periods was primarily due to an increase in railcar shipments, improved pricing and leverage created by larger volumes.

Gross margin for services operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 was \$4 million and \$12 million, respectively, as compared to gross margin of \$3 million and \$10 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively. Gross margin for services operations as a percentage of services operations revenues was 24% for each of the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 as compared to 19% and 20% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively. The improvement over the respective periods is primarily attributable to an increase in volumes and efficiencies at railcar repair facilities offset by a decrease in repair projects performed at railcar manufacturing facilities.

SG&A for each of the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 decreased by \$3 million as compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and was primarily due to a decrease in stock-based compensation expense over the respective periods.

Food Packaging

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Variance | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | | Variance | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|------|----------|----|------------------------------------|-------|----------|------|----|----|---|----|---|---|
| | 2011 | 2010 | \$ | % | 2011 | 2010 | \$ | % | | | | | | |
| | (in millions, except percentage) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Net sales | \$87 | \$79 | \$8 | 10 | % | \$256 | \$240 | \$16 | 7 | % | | | | |
| Cost of goods sold | 66 | 59 | 7 | 12 | % | 193 | 177 | 16 | 9 | % | | | | |
| Gross margin | 21 | 20 | 1 | 5 | % | 63 | 63 | — | — | % | | | | |
| Selling, general and administrative | 11 | 12 | (1 |) | (8 |) | % | 33 | 34 | (1 |) | (3 |) | % |
| Net income before interest income, other income (loss), net, interest expense and income taxes | \$10 | \$8 | \$2 | 25 | % | \$30 | \$29 | \$1 | 3 | % | | | | |

Viskase currently operates seven manufacturing facilities and nine distribution centers throughout North America, Europe and South America and derives approximately 70% of total net sales from customers located outside the United States. Viskase is building a shirring plant in the Philippines to serve the Asian market. The plant is expected to open in the first quarter of the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012 and will be scaled up over several years as demand in the Asian market continues to grow. The first year capital investment, including machinery, will be approximately \$14 million and it is anticipated that an additional \$11 million of equipment will be added over the subsequent five years.

Our Food Packaging segment is affected by changes in foreign exchange rates. In addition to those markets in which Viskase prices its products in U.S. dollars, it prices its products in certain of its foreign operations in euros and Brazilian reals. As a result, a decline in the value of the U.S. dollar relative to local currencies of profitable foreign subsidiaries can have a favorable effect on Viskase's profitability. Conversely, an increase in the value of the U.S. dollar relative to the local currencies of profitable foreign subsidiaries can have a negative effect on Viskase's profitability.

Net sales for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 increased by \$8 million (10%) and \$16 million (7%), respectively, compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010. The increases for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 as compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 were primarily due to an increase in sales volume of \$5 million and \$13 million, foreign currency translation of \$6 million and \$10 million, partially offset by a decrease of \$3 million and \$6 million due to product mix, respectively. Cost of sales for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 increased by \$7 million (12%) and \$16 million (9%), respectively, as compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010. The increases were primarily due to foreign currency translation, growth in sales volume and higher raw material costs, partially offset by improved manufacturing efficiencies. Gross margin as a percent of net sales was 24% and 25% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, compared to 25% and 26% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively.

SG&A for each of the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 decreased by \$1 million as compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 due to a decrease in legal expenses.

Metals

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Variance | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | | Variance | | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|--------|----------|-------|------------------------------------|-------|----------|-------|-----|---|
| | 2011 | 2010 | \$ | % | 2011 | 2010 | \$ | % | | |
| | (in millions, except percentage) | | | | | | | | | |
| Net sales | \$272 | \$169 | \$103 | 61 | % | \$839 | \$550 | \$289 | 53 | % |
| Cost of goods sold | 267 | 166 | 101 | 61 | % | 806 | 529 | 277 | 52 | % |
| Gross margin | 5 | 3 | 2 | 67 | % | 33 | 21 | 12 | 57 | % |
| Selling, general and administrative | 6 | 5 | 1 | 20 | % | 19 | 15 | 4 | 27 | % |
| Net (loss) income before interest income, other income (loss), net, interest expense and income taxes | \$(1) | \$(2) | \$1 | (50) | % | \$14 | \$6 | \$8 | 133 | % |

Summarized ferrous tons and non-ferrous pounds sold for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 are as follows:

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|-------------------------|----------------------------------|--------|---------------------------------|--------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| | (in 000s) | | | |
| Ferrous tons sold | 385 | 294 | 1,211 | 983 |
| Non-ferrous pounds sold | 46,173 | 31,161 | 129,739 | 88,261 |

The scrap metals business is highly cyclical and is substantially dependent upon the overall economic conditions in the U.S. and other global markets. Ferrous and non-ferrous scrap has been historically vulnerable to significant declines in consumption and product pricing during prolonged periods of economic downturn. While the economic climate improved modestly in the first nine months of fiscal 2011 relative to fiscal 2010 and fiscal 2009, we cannot predict whether, or how long, current market conditions will continue to persist.

In January 2011, PSC Metals acquired substantially all the assets and certain liabilities of Cash's Scrap Metal and Iron Corp., or CSMI, for \$32 million in cash. CSMI is a scrap recycler and operates in five different locations in Missouri. In May 2011, PSC Metals acquired substantially all the assets of Wedel Iron and Metal, LLC, or Wedel, for \$4 million in cash. Wedel is a scrap metals recycler operating in Crossville, Tennessee.

In September 2011, PSC Metals acquired substantially all of the assets of Shapiro Brothers, Inc., or Shapiro, for \$22 million in cash. Shapiro operates four scrap yards located in Missouri, Arkansas and Illinois. Shapiro buys, sells and processes ferrous and non-ferrous scrap, including industrial and obsolete grades of scrap. This acquisition is complimentary to PSC Metal's acquisition of CSMI in the first quarter of fiscal 2011 and strengthens PSC Metals' presence the mid-west region of the United States.

In October 2011, PSC Metals acquired the assets of Metals Solutions, LLC and Knox Recycling, Inc. in Knoxville, Tennessee for \$4 million in cash. Metal Solutions, LLC is a recycled aluminum converter and brokerage firm. Knox Recycling, Inc. will buy ferrous and non-ferrous recyclable metals for processing at other PSC Metals owned locations in the Knoxville, Nashville and Chattanooga regions of Tennessee.

These acquisitions continue PSC Metals' execution of strengthening its geographic franchises, metal sourcing and consumer product diversification and building out its existing business product lines.

Net sales for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 increased by \$103 million (61%) and \$289 million (53%), respectively, as compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010. The increases were primarily due to increases in ferrous revenues attributed to higher prices and stronger volumes driven by improvement in steel mill operating rates. Acquisitions made since September 2010 also contributed to shipment volume and revenue growth. Increased ferrous

demand and higher market pricing resulted in ferrous average pricing of approximately \$84 per gross ton higher (22%) and ferrous shipments of 91,000 gross tons higher (31%) in the three months ended September 30, 2011 as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2010. Increased ferrous demand and higher market pricing resulted in ferrous average pricing of approximately \$80 per gross ton higher (20%) and ferrous shipments of 228,000 gross tons higher (23%) for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2010. Increased non-ferrous demand and higher market pricing resulted in non-ferrous average price of \$0.24 per pound higher (25%) and non-ferrous shipments of 15,012,000 pounds higher (48%) for the three months ended September 30, 2011 as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2010. Increased non-ferrous demand and higher market pricing resulted in non-ferrous average price of \$0.23 per pound higher (24%) and non-ferrous shipments of 41,478,000 pounds higher (47%) for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2010. Revenues from substantially all major product lines increased for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and were primarily attributable to increased volumes and higher average selling prices.

Cost of sales for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 increased by \$101 million (61%) and \$277 million (52%), respectively, as compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010. The increases were primarily due to higher sales volume and material purchase prices as compared to the corresponding prior year period. Contributing to the higher cost of sales were newly opened and acquired recycling yards and the start-up of a new shredder in addition to increased processing volumes at existing facilities. Material prices increased due to higher market prices and continued constraints on material flows into the yards. Gross margin for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 increased by \$2 million (67%) and \$12 million (57%), respectively, compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010. Gross margin as a percentage of net sales was 2% for each of the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010. Gross margin as a percentage of net sales was 4% for each of the nine month periods ended September 30, 2011 and 2010.

SG&A for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 increased by \$1 million (20%) and \$4 million (27%), respectively, as compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010. The increase for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 was primarily due to higher manpower costs, which were partially related to acquisitions, and an increase in business development activities.

Real Estate

Real Estate revenues and expenses include results from resort operations, sales of residential units, and rental income and expenses, including income from financing leases. Sales of residential units are included in net sales in our consolidated financial statements. Results from resort and rental operations, including financing lease income, is included in other revenues from operations in our consolidated financial statements.

| | Three Months Ended | | | Variance | Nine Months Ended | | | Variance | |
|---|----------------------------------|------|-----|----------|-------------------|------|------|----------|----|
| | September 30, | | % | | September 30, | | % | | |
| | 2011 | 2010 | | \$ | | 2011 | | 2010 | \$ |
| | (in millions, except percentage) | | | | | | | | |
| Real Estate revenues | \$23 | \$22 | \$1 | 5 % | \$68 | \$68 | \$— | — | % |
| Real Estate expenses | 14 | 14 | — | — % | 41 | 41 | — | — | % |
| | 9 | 8 | 1 | 13 % | 27 | 27 | — | — | % |
| Selling, general and administrative | 5 | 5 | — | — % | 12 | 15 | (3) | (20) | % |
| Net income before other income (loss), net, interest expense and income taxes | \$4 | \$3 | \$1 | 33 % | \$15 | \$12 | \$3 | 25 | % |

Revenues from our real estate operations for each of the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 are substantially derived from our resort and rental operations. Revenues from sales of residential units in our real estate development operations were not significant for each of the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010.

SG&A for the three months ended September 30, 2011 remained flat as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2010. SG&A for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 decreased by \$3 million (20%) as

compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2010. The decrease was primarily due to lower operating expenses associated with our

Edgar Filing: ICAHN ENTERPRISES L.P. - Form 10-Q

Fontainebleau property and reduced compensation related costs due to cost cutting measures during the nine months ended September 30, 2011 as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2010.

Home Fashion

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Variance | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | | Variance | |
|--|-------------------------------------|---------|----------|---------|------------------------------------|---------|----------|---------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | \$ | % | 2011 | 2010 | \$ | % |
| | (in millions, except percentage) | | | | | | | |
| Net sales | \$79 | \$116 | \$(37) | (32)% | \$259 | \$306 | \$(47) | (15)% |
| Cost of goods sold | 76 | 110 | (34) | (31)% | 241 | 282 | (41) | (15)% |
| Gross margin | 3 | 6 | (3) | (50)% | 18 | 24 | (6) | (25)% |
| Selling, general and administrative | 14 | 19 | (5) | (26)% | 45 | 55 | (10) | (18)% |
| Restructuring | 2 | 1 | 1 | 100 % | 5 | 6 | (1) | (17)% |
| Impairment | — | 5 | (5) | (100)% | — | 6 | (6) | (100)% |
| | 16 | 25 | (9) | (36)% | 50 | 67 | (17) | (25)% |
| Net loss before interest income, other income, net, interest expense and income taxes | \$(13) | \$(19) | \$6 | (32)% | \$(32) | \$(43) | \$11 | (26)% |

During the third quarter of fiscal 2011, we acquired additional shares of WPI common stock. As of September 30, 2011, we owned approximately 96.5% of the total outstanding common shares of WPI.

WPI's business is significantly influenced by the overall economic environment, including consumer spending, at the retail level, for home textile products. Many of the larger retailers are customers of WPI. WPI has been negatively impacted by higher raw material and transportation costs as well as by continued weakness in the housing market. At the end of fiscal 2010, WPI announced price increases that were implemented during the first quarter of fiscal 2011 to offset the increased raw material and transportation costs. In the second quarter of fiscal 2011, WPI implemented additional price increases. WPI will continue to realign its manufacturing operations to optimize its cost structure, pursuing offshore sourcing arrangements that employ a combination of owned and operated facilities, joint ventures and third-party supply contracts. While current economic indicators project continued volatility with commodity and fuel costs, we cannot predict whether, or how long, current market conditions will continue to persist.

Net sales for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 decreased by \$37 million (32%) and \$47 million (15%), respectively, as compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010. The decreases in net sales during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 as compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 primarily reflects the continued weak economy and housing market and the impact of exiting certain unprofitable programs, partially offset by price increases as discussed above. Cost of sales for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 decreased by \$34 million (31%) and \$41 million (15%), respectively, as compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010. The decreases in cost of goods sold for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 as compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 are primarily due to lower sales volume, offset in part by higher raw material costs. Gross margin for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 decreased by \$3 million (50%) and \$6 million (25%), respectively, as compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010. Gross margin as a percent of net sales was 4% and 7% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, and was 5% and 8% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively.

SG&A decreased by \$5 million (26%) and \$10 million (18%) for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, as compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, primarily due to lower selling expenses and fulfillment costs, related to cost-cutting initiatives and decreased sales volume.

Restructuring and impairment for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 decreased by \$4 million (67%)

and \$7 million (58%) as compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010. In recording the impairment charges related to its plants, WPI compared estimated net realizable values of property, plant and equipment to their current carrying values. Restructuring and impairment charges include severance, benefits and related costs, non-cash impairment charges related to plants that have been or will be closed and continuing costs of closed plants and transition expenses.

WPI anticipates incurring approximately \$1 million of additional restructuring costs in fiscal 2011, particularly with respect to the carrying costs of closed facilities until such time as these locations are sold. Restructuring costs could be affected by, among other things, WPI's decision to accelerate or delay its restructuring efforts. As a result, actual costs incurred could vary materially from these anticipated amounts. If WPI's restructuring efforts are unsuccessful or its existing strategic manufacturing plans are amended, it may be required to record additional impairment charges related to the carrying value of long-lived assets.

Other Consolidated Results of Operations

Interest Expense

Interest expense for the three months ended September 30, 2011 increased by \$9 million (9%) as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2010. The increase over the comparable period was primarily due to higher interest expense incurred on certain debt issued on November 12, 2010.

Interest expense for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 increased by \$41 million (14%) as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2010. The increase over the comparable period was primarily due to higher interest expense incurred on certain debt issued on January 15, 2010 and November 12, 2010 and interest incurred on our due to broker balances. Included in the increase in interest expense is interest expense related to our Gaming segment for which no comparable prior year amount exists because, as discussed elsewhere, we consolidated Tropicana effective November 15, 2010.

Income Tax Expense

For the three months ended September 30, 2011, we recorded an income tax provision of \$13 million on pre-tax loss of \$39 million compared to an income tax provision of \$7 million on pre-tax income of \$772 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010. Our effective income tax rate was (33.3)% and 0.9% for the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2011, we recorded an income tax provision of \$55 million on pre-tax income of \$1,183 million compared to an income tax provision of \$19 million on pre-tax income of \$512 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. Our effective income tax rate was 4.6% and 3.7% for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

The difference between the effective tax rate and statutory federal rate of 35% is principally due to changes in valuation allowances and partnership income not subject to taxation, as such taxes are the responsibility of the partners.

Federal-Mogul believes that it is reasonably possible that its unrecognized tax benefits in multiple jurisdictions, which primarily relates to transfer pricing, corporate reorganization and various other matters, may decrease by approximately \$340 million within the next 12 months due to audit settlements or statute expirations, of which approximately \$53 million, if recognized, could impact the effective tax rate.

In conjunction with Federal-Mogul's ongoing review of its actual results and anticipated future earnings, it reassesses the possibility of releasing valuation allowances. Based upon this assessment, Federal-Mogul has concluded that there is more than a remote possibility that existing valuation allowances in certain jurisdictions of up to \$230 million as of September 30, 2011 could be released within the next 12 months. If releases of such valuation allowances occur, it may have a significant impact on net income in the quarter in which it is deemed appropriate to release the reserve.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Holding Company

As of September 30, 2011, the Holding Company has invested \$150 million in precious metals and \$150 million in our Real Estate segment which remains on its balance sheet. In addition, we have investments in the Investment Funds with a total fair market value of approximately \$2.8 billion as of September 30, 2011. As of September 30, 2011, we had cash and cash equivalents of \$439 million and total debt of approximately \$3.1 billion.

Edgar Filing: ICAHN ENTERPRISES L.P. - Form 10-Q

As of September 30, 2011 based on covenants in the indenture governing our senior notes, we may incur approximately \$1.2 billion in additional indebtedness. See Note 10, "Debt," to the consolidated financial statements for additional information concerning credit facilities for us and our subsidiaries.

We are a holding company. Our cash flow and our ability to meet our debt service obligations and make distributions with respect to depositary units likely will depend on the cash flow resulting from divestitures, equity and debt financings, interest income and the payment of funds to us by our subsidiaries in the form of loans, dividends and distributions. We may pursue various means to raise cash from our subsidiaries. To date, such means include receipt of dividends from subsidiaries, obtaining loans or other financings based on the asset values of subsidiaries or selling debt or equity securities of subsidiaries through capital market transactions. To the degree any distributions and transfers are impaired or prohibited, our ability to make payments on our debt or distributions on our depositary units could be limited. The operating results of our subsidiaries may not be sufficient for them to make distributions to us. In addition, our subsidiaries are not obligated to make funds available to us, and distributions and intercompany transfers from our subsidiaries to us may be restricted by applicable law or covenants contained in debt agreements and other agreements.

Distributions on Depositary Units

On March 2, 2011, the Board of Directors approved a payment of a quarterly cash distribution of \$0.25 per unit on our depositary units. The distribution was paid on March 30, 2011 to depositary unitholders of record at the close of business on March 15, 2011. Under the terms of the indenture dated April 5, 2007 governing our variable rate notes due 2013, we also made a \$0.15 distribution to holders of these notes in accordance with the formula set forth in the indenture.

On April 29, 2011, the board of directors declared a quarterly distribution of \$0.50 per depositary unit, comprised of a combination of \$0.10 payable in cash and \$0.40 payable in depositary units. The distribution was paid on May 31, 2011 to depositary unitholders of record at the close of business on May 16, 2011. We calculated the depositary units to be distributed based on the 20-trading-day volume weighted average price of our depositary units ending on May 3, 2011, resulting in .009985 of a unit being distributed per depositary unit. To the extent that the aggregate units to be distributed to any holder would include a fraction of a unit, that fractional unit will be settled in cash. As a result, we distributed 843,295 depositary units on May 31, 2011 in connection with this distribution.

On August 8, 2011, the board of directors declared a quarterly cash distribution of \$0.10 per unit on our depositary units. The distribution was paid on September 1, 2011 to depositary unitholders of record at the close of business on August 19, 2011.

On November 1, 2011, the board of directors declared a quarterly cash distribution of \$0.10 per unit on our depositary units. The distribution will be paid on December 1, 2011 to depositary unitholders of record at the close of business on November 18, 2011.

Borrowings

Debt consists of the following:

| | September 30, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------|
| | (in millions) | |
| 8% senior unsecured notes due 2018 - Icahn Enterprises | \$1,450 | \$1,450 |
| 7.75% senior unsecured notes due 2016 - Icahn Enterprises | 1,050 | 1,050 |
| Senior unsecured variable rate convertible notes due 2013 - Icahn Enterprises | 556 | 556 |
| Debt facilities - Automotive | 2,737 | 2,737 |
| Debt facilities - Gaming | 61 | 62 |
| Senior unsecured notes - Railcar | 275 | 275 |
| Senior secured notes and revolving credit facility - Food Packaging | 214 | 214 |
| Mortgages payable - Real Estate | 76 | 108 |
| Other | 70 | 57 |
| Total debt | \$6,489 | \$6,509 |

See Note 10, "Debt," to the consolidated financial statements of this Report for additional information concerning terms,

restrictions and covenants of our debt. As of September 30, 2011 we are in compliance with all debt covenants.

Contractual Commitments and Contingencies

There were no material changes in our contractual obligations or any other liabilities reflected on our consolidated balance sheet as of September 30, 2011 as compared to those reported in our 2010 Form 10-K.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We have off-balance sheet risk related to investment activities associated with certain financial instruments, including futures, options, credit default swaps and securities sold, not yet purchased. For additional information regarding these arrangements, refer to Note 6, "Financial Instruments," to the consolidated financial statements contained in this Report.

Consolidated Cash Flows

The following table summarizes cash flow information for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and cash and cash equivalents as of September 30, 2011 for each of our operating segments and our Holding Company:

| | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011 | | | September 30, 2011 |
|-----------------------|--|----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|
| | Cash (Used In) Operating Activities (in millions) | Provided By Investing Activities | Financing Activities | Cash and Cash Equivalents |
| Investment Management | \$1,904 | \$— | \$(1,914) |) \$8 |
| Automotive | 124 | (282) |) (6) |) 931 |
| Gaming | 38 | (17) |) (1) |) 176 |
| Railcar | (20) |) (16) |) 1 |) 284 |
| Food Packaging | 3 | (25) |) (1) |) 65 |
| Metals | (34) |) (69) |) 1 |) 18 |
| Real Estate | 36 | — | (34) |) 206 |
| Home Fashion | 12 | — | — |) 44 |
| Holding Company | (203) |) (221) |) (60) |) 439 |
| | \$1,860 | \$(630) |) \$(2,014) |) \$2,171 |

Operating Activities

Net cash provided by operating activities for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 was primarily attributable to our Investment Management segment which had net cash provided from investing transactions of approximately \$2.5 billion offset by a change in cash held at consolidated affiliated partnerships and restricted cash of approximately \$0.6 billion. Our Automotive segment had net cash provided by operating activities of \$124 million which included \$354 million of net income before charges for non-cash items, offset in part by changes in operating assets and liabilities of \$230 million.

Our Holding Company had net cash used in operating activities of \$203 million primarily due to payment of interest expense during the nine months ended September 30, 2011.

Investing Activities

Net cash used in investing activities for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 was primarily attributable to capital expenditures of \$359 million, which includes \$282 million attributable to our Automotive segment. Additionally, Holding Company paid \$150 million for its investment in precious metals. During the third quarter of fiscal 2011, we acquired additional interest in certain of our subsidiaries in the amount of \$71 million. In addition, our Metals segment had acquisitions of \$55 million, net of cash acquired, during the nine months ended September 30, 2011.

Financing Activities

Net cash used in financing activities during the nine months ended September 30, 2011 was primarily attributable to our Investment Management segment which had net distributions to non-controlling interests of approximately \$1.9 billion. Our Real Estate segment repaid \$34 million of debt. Additionally, we paid an aggregate of \$39 million in distributions during the nine months ended September 30, 2011 to holders of our depositary units. During fiscal 2011, our Investment Management segment issued \$595 million in notes which have been redeemed in full as of September 30, 2011.

Discussion of Segment Liquidity and Capital Resources

Investment Management

The investment strategy utilized by the Investment Management segment is generally not heavily reliant on leverage. As of September 30, 2011, the ratio of the notional exposure of the Investment Funds' invested capital to net asset value of the Investment Funds was approximately 1.38 to 1.00 on the long side and 0.57 to 1.00 on the short side. The notional principal amount of an investment instrument is the reference amount that is used to calculate profit or loss on that instrument. The Private Funds historically have had access to significant amounts of cash from prime brokers, subject to customary terms and market conditions.

As more fully disclosed in a letter to investors in the Private Funds filed with the SEC on Form 8-K on March 7, 2011, the Private Funds returned all fee-paying capital to its investors during fiscal 2011. Payments were funded through cash on hand and borrowings under existing credit lines.

Automotive

As of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the borrowing availability under Federal-Mogul's revolving credit facility was \$540 million and \$528 million, respectively. Federal-Mogul had \$41 million and \$43 million of letters of credit outstanding as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively, pertaining to the term loan credit facility.

Federal-Mogul maintains investments in several non-consolidated affiliates, which are located in China, France, Germany, India, Italy, Korea, Turkey and the United States. Federal-Mogul's direct ownership in such affiliates ranges from approximately 2% to 50%. The aggregate investments in these affiliates were \$227 million and \$210 million at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. Dividends received from non-consolidated affiliates by Federal-Mogul during the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 were \$14 million and \$27 million, respectively.

Federal-Mogul's joint ventures are businesses established and maintained in connection with its operating strategy and are not special purpose entities. In general, Federal-Mogul does not extend guarantees, loans or other instruments of a variable nature that may result in incremental risk to Federal-Mogul's liquidity position. Furthermore, Federal-Mogul does not rely on dividend payments or other cash flows from its non-consolidated affiliates to fund its operations and, accordingly, does not believe that they have a material effect on Federal-Mogul's liquidity.

Federal-Mogul holds a 50% non-controlling interest in a joint venture located in Turkey. This joint venture was established in 1995 for the purpose of manufacturing and marketing automotive parts, including pistons, piston rings, piston pins, and cylinder liners to OE and aftermarket customers. Pursuant to the joint venture agreement, Federal-Mogul's partner holds an option to put its shares to a subsidiary of Federal-Mogul at the higher of the current fair value or at a guaranteed minimum amount. The term of the contingent guarantee is indefinite, consistent with the terms of the joint venture agreement. However, the contingent guarantee would not survive termination of the joint venture agreement. The guaranteed minimum amount represents a contingent guarantee of the initial investment of the joint venture partner and can be exercised at the discretion of the partner. As of September 30, 2011, the total amount of the contingent guarantee, were all triggering events to occur, approximated \$62 million. Federal-Mogul believes that this contingent guarantee is substantially less than the estimated current fair value of the guarantees' interest in the affiliate. As such, the contingent guarantee does not give rise to a contingent liability and, as a result, no amount is recorded for this guarantee. If this put option were exercised, the consideration paid and net assets acquired would be accounted for in accordance with business combination accounting guidance. Any value in excess of the guaranteed minimum amount of the put option would be the subject of negotiation between Federal-Mogul and its joint venture partner.

Federal-Mogul's subsidiaries in Brazil, France, Germany, Italy, Japan, Spain and the United States are party to accounts receivable factoring and securitization facilities. Gross accounts receivable transferred under these facilities were \$263 million and \$211 million as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. Of those gross amounts, \$262 million and \$210 million, respectively, qualify as sales as defined in FASB ASC Topic 860, Transfers and Servicing. The remaining

transferred receivables were pledged as collateral and accounted for as secured borrowings and recorded in the consolidated balance sheets within "Accounts receivable, net" and "Debt." Under the terms of these facilities, Federal-Mogul is not obligated to draw cash immediately upon the transfer of accounts receivable. Thus, as of each of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, Federal-Mogul had outstanding transferred receivables for which cash of \$1 million had not yet been drawn. Proceeds from the transfers of accounts receivable qualifying as sales were \$1,335 million and \$894 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, expenses associated with transfers of receivables of \$2 million and \$7 million, respectively, were recorded in the consolidated statements of operations within other income (loss), net. Where Federal-Mogul receives a fee to service and monitor these transferred receivables, such fees are sufficient to offset the costs and as such, a servicing asset or liability is not incurred as a result of such activities. Certain of the facilities contain terms that require Federal-Mogul to share in the credit risk of the sold receivables. The maximum exposures to Federal-Mogul associated with certain of these facilities' terms were \$26 million and \$32 million as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. Based on Federal-Mogul's analysis of the creditworthiness of its customers on which such receivables were sold and outstanding as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, Federal-Mogul estimated the loss to be immaterial.

Home Fashion

On June 15, 2011, WPI through WestPoint Home, Inc., or WPH, executed an amended and restated senior secured revolving credit facility, or WPI Revolving Credit Facility, with Bank of America, NA. This new one-year senior credit facility is for \$50 million with a maximum borrowing availability of \$45 million, subject to monthly borrowing base calculations. See Note 10, "Debt-Other," to the consolidated financial statements for further discussion regarding this new senior credit facility.

At September 30, 2011, WPI had \$44 million of unrestricted cash and cash equivalents. There were no borrowings under the WPH Revolving Credit Facility agreement at September 30, 2011, but there were outstanding letters of credit of \$8 million. Based upon the eligibility and reserve calculations within this agreement, WPH had unused borrowing availability of \$35 million at September 30, 2011.

Through a combination of its existing cash on hand and its borrowing availability under the WPI Revolving Credit Facility (together, an aggregate of \$79 million), WPI believes it has adequate capital resources and liquidity to meet its anticipated requirements to continue its operational restructuring initiatives and for working capital and capital spending for the foreseeable future. In its analysis with respect to the sufficiency of adequate capital resources and liquidity, WPI has considered that its retail customers may continue to face either negative or flat comparable store sales for home textile products during fiscal 2011.

However, depending upon the levels of additional acquisitions and joint venture investment activity, if any, additional financing, if needed, may not be available to WPI or, if available, may not be on terms favorable to WPI.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Except for the changes discussed below, there have been no other material changes to our critical accounting policies and estimates during the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to those reported in our 2010 Form 10-K.

Investment Management

As a result of returning fee-paying capital to its investors on March 31, 2011 as discussed elsewhere in this Report, each of the Private Funds no longer meets the criteria of an investment company as set forth in FASB ASC Paragraph 946-10-15-2, Financial Services-Investment Companies, therefore, the application of FASB ASC Section 946-810-45, Financial Services-Investment Companies, is no longer applicable effective March 31, 2011. This change has no material effect on our consolidated financial statements as the Private Funds would account for its investments as trading securities pursuant to FASB ASC Topic 320, Investments-Debt and Equity Securities, effective March 31, 2011. For those investments that fall outside the scope of FASB ASC Topic 320 or would otherwise have required the Private Funds account for under the equity method, the Private Funds apply the fair value option to such investments. See Note 4, "Investments and Related Matters-Investment Management," to the consolidated financial statements for further discussion regarding this reconsideration event and its consolidation impact.

Automotive

Federal-Mogul performs its annual trademarks and brand names impairment analysis as of October 1, or more frequently

if impairment indicators exist, in accordance with the subsequent measurement provisions of FASB ASC Topic 350, Intangibles - Goodwill and Other. This impairment analysis compares the fair values of these assets to the related carrying values, and impairment charges are recorded for any excess of carrying values over fair values. These fair values are based upon the prospective stream of hypothetical after-tax royalty cost savings discounted at rates that reflect the rates of return appropriate for these intangible assets.

All of Federal-Mogul's trademarks and brand names are associated with its aftermarket sales and are further broken down by product line. The following table contains the results of Federal-Mogul's fiscal 2010 impairment analysis performed as of October 1, 2010:

| Trademarks and Brand Names Percent of Fair Value Exceeding Book Value | Amount (in millions) |
|---|-----------------------------|
| 0% | \$ 103 |
| 10-15% | 191 |
| >25% | 20 |
| | \$ 314 |

The primary, and most sensitive, input utilized in determining the fair values of trademarks and brand names is aftermarket sales. In conjunction with its fiscal 2010 impairment analysis, Federal-Mogul performed a sensitivity analysis on its trademarks and brand names and determined that a one percentage point decrease in its projected future sales growth rates within each aftermarket product line would result in a \$4 million impairment.

Federal-Mogul is commencing its trademarks and brand names impairment analysis for fiscal 2011, which is performed annually as of October 1. While cash flow projections and other inputs that are inherent in the annual strategic planning process conducted during the fourth quarter of fiscal 2011 to support this analysis have not yet been determined, trademarks and brand names may be impaired based on recent sales trends in certain product lines. If such an impairment results from the analysis, Federal-Mogul will record an appropriate non-cash impairment charge in the fourth quarter of 2011.

Railcar

Revenues from railcar sales are recognized following completion of manufacturing, inspection, customer acceptance and title transfer, which is when the risk for any damage or loss with respect to the railcars passes to the customer. Paint and lining work may be outsourced and, as a result, the sale for the railcar may be recorded after customer acceptance when it leaves the manufacturing plant and the sale for the lining work may be separately recorded following completion of that work by the independent contractor, customer acceptance and final shipment. Revenues from railcar leasing are recognized on a straight-line basis over the life of the lease. Revenues from railcar and industrial components are recorded at the time of product shipment, in accordance with ARI's contractual terms. Revenue for railcar maintenance services is recognized upon completion and shipment of railcars from ARI's plants. ARI does not currently bundle railcar service contracts with new railcar sales. Revenue for fleet management services is recognized as performed.

Revenues related to consulting type contracts are accounted for under the percentage-of-completion method. Profits expected to be realized on these contracts are based on the total contract revenues and costs based on the estimate of the percentage of project completion. Revenues recognized in excess of amounts billed are recorded to unbilled revenues and included in other assets on the consolidated balance sheets. Billings in excess of revenues recognized on in-progress contracts are recorded to unbilled costs and included in accrued expenses and other liabilities on the consolidated balance sheets. These estimates are reviewed and revised periodically throughout the term of the contracts and any adjustments are recorded on a cumulative basis in the period the revisions are made.

Forward-Looking Statements

Statements included in "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" which are not historical in nature are intended to be, and are hereby identified as, "forward-looking statements" for purposes of the safe harbor provided by Section 27A of the Securities Act and Section 21E of the Exchange Act of

1934, or by Public Law

71

104-67.

Forward-looking statements regarding management's present plans or expectations involve risks and uncertainties and changing economic or competitive conditions, as well as the negotiation of agreements with third parties, which could cause actual results to differ from present plans or expectations, and such differences could be material.

Factors that could cause or contribute to such differences include, but are not limited to, those discussed in this document. These statements are subject to risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those predicted. Also, please see Item 1A "Risk Factors" in our 2010 Form 10-K.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

Market risk is the risk of loss arising from adverse changes in market rates and prices, such as interest rates, foreign currency exchange rates and commodity prices. Our significant market risks are primarily associated with interest rates and security prices. Reference is made to Part II, Item 7A of our 2010 Form 10-K for disclosures relating to interest rates and our equity prices. Except for the items discussed below, there have been no other material changes to our market risk during the nine months ended September 30, 2011.

Investment Management

The Investment Funds hold investments that are reported at fair value as of the reporting date, which include securities owned, securities sold, not yet purchased and derivatives as reported on our consolidated balance sheets. Based on their respective balances as of September 30, 2011, we estimate that, in the event of a 10% adverse change in the fair value of these investments, the fair values of securities owned, securities sold, not yet purchased, and derivatives would decrease by \$783 million, \$214 million and \$18 million, respectively. However, as of September 30, 2011 we estimate that the impact to our share of the net gain or loss from investment activities reported in our consolidated statement of operations would be significantly less than the change in fair value since we have an investment of approximately 48.4% in the Investment Funds, and the non-controlling interests in income would correspondingly offset approximately 51.6% of the change in fair value.

Automotive

The translated values of revenue and expense from Federal-Mogul's international operations are subject to fluctuations due to changes in currency exchange rates. During the nine months ended September 30, 2011, Federal-Mogul derived 36% of its sales in the United States and 64% internationally. Of these international sales, 58% are denominated in the euro, with no other single currency representing more than 8%. To minimize foreign currency risk, Federal-Mogul generally maintains natural hedges within its non-U.S. activities, including the matching of operational revenues and costs. Where natural hedges are not in place, Federal-Mogul manages certain aspects of its foreign currency activities and larger transactions through the use of foreign currency options or forward contracts. We estimate that a hypothetical 10% adverse movement of all foreign currencies in the same direction against the U.S. dollar over the nine months ended September 30, 2011 would have decreased net income attributable to Icahn Enterprises for our Automotive segment by approximately \$23 million.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures.

As of September 30, 2011, our management, including our Principal Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of Icahn Enterprises' and our subsidiaries' disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to the Rule 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) promulgated under the Exchange Act. Based upon that evaluation, our Principal Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are currently effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in SEC rules and forms, and include controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in such reports is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the first nine months of fiscal 2011 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings.

Icahn Enterprises and its subsidiaries are parties in a variety of legal actions arising out of the normal course of business. For further information regarding our legal proceedings, see our Legal Proceedings set forth in Part I, Item 3 of our 2010 Form 10-K and Note 18, "Commitments and Contingencies," to the consolidated financial statements included in Part I of this Report. Other than the legal proceedings described below, the legal proceedings as disclosed in our 2010 Form 10-K did not materially change during the period covered by this Report.

Investment Management

On October 28, 2010, Lions Gate filed a lawsuit in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York against Carl Icahn, Brett Icahn, Icahn Enterprises L.P., Icahn Enterprises Holdings L.P., Icahn Enterprises G.P., certain of our Investment Management entities (collectively, the Icahn Group) and others alleging violations of the Exchange Act and state tort law in connection with certain disclosures made during tender offers by the Icahn Group to acquire Lions Gate stock relating to the Icahn Group's acquisition of the debt of Metro-Goldwyn-Meyer, Inc., and alleging that the Icahn Group violated the tender offer Best Price Rule (promulgated under the rules and regulations of the SEC) by providing additional consideration to Mark Cuban in exchange for the tender of his Lions Gate shares that was not provided to other tendering shareholders. The complaint sought injunctive relief compelling the Icahn Group to make corrective disclosures and to offer the same consideration it offered to Mark Cuban to Lions Gate's other shareholders, and money damages. Lions Gate amended its complaint on December 3, 2010 to add certain supplemental factual allegations. The Icahn Group moved to dismiss the amended complaint on December 17, 2010. On March 23, 2011, the court granted the Icahn Group's motion in part and denied it in part, dismissing all of Lions Gate's claims except its Best Price Rule claim. On September 15, 2011, all pending litigation with Lions Gate was dismissed with prejudice.

Gaming

Certain parties affiliated with the new owners of the Tropicana Las Vegas, or Tropicana LV, filed a declaratory judgment action in the District Court, Clark County, Nevada, or Nevada State Court, on July 20, 2009, against Aztar Corporation, or Aztar, and Tropicana Entertainment, LLC, or TE, originally seeking a declaratory judgment that Tropicana LV had the right to operate a hotel and casino under the name "Tropicana" without any interference by or payment to Aztar or TE (together, the Defendants). The plaintiffs' complaint sought no damages or injunctive relief. On August 10, 2009, Defendants removed the action to the District of Nevada and filed an answer and counterclaim asserting the plaintiffs' use of "Tropicana" infringes upon Defendants' rights in three federally registered trademarks. The plaintiffs filed a motion to remand the action to Nevada State Court, which was granted on January 21, 2010. During the course of proceedings, the plaintiffs and Defendants each filed a motion for summary judgment claiming ownership of the "Tropicana" trademark. Both motions were denied, although the Nevada State Court preliminarily found that the plaintiffs might have an unexercised reversionary ownership interest in the "Tropicana" trademark as a result of an agreement that is 30 years old. Nonetheless, because any exercise of this purported reversionary interest by Tropicana LV could potentially deprive the Company, as successor to TE, of its asserted ownership of the Tropicana trademark, the Defendants filed a motion in the Chapter 11 Cases for an order rejecting the 1980 trade name agreement. In addition, the Company, together with its subsidiary, New Tropicana Holdings, Inc., or New Tropicana, and certain affiliates of Icahn Capital, as secured lenders to the Company, filed a complaint in the Chapter 11 Cases against the plaintiffs, or Bankruptcy Court action, seeking a declaration that, consistent with prior, uncontested orders of the Bankruptcy Court, New Tropicana is the owner of the "Tropicana" trademark, the Exit Facility lenders have a perfected security interest in that property and the Nevada State Court action, to the extent it sought to assert ownership over the trademark or question the validity of the security interest, violated the automatic stay. The complaint also demanded an injunction against any further efforts by the plaintiffs to re-litigate the ownership issue, and sought other remedies on behalf of the Exit Facility lenders.

On August 9, 2011 all parties to the Nevada State Court action and the Bankruptcy Court action entered into a global Settlement Agreement (the "Settlement Agreement") concluding both actions and governing the respective rights of the parties to the "Tropicana" trademark. Pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, which became effective on September 28, 2011, the plaintiffs, subject to certain advertising exceptions and other terms and conditions set forth in the Settlement Agreement, have perpetual exclusive rights to use the names, trademarks and/or service marks (the "Marks")

TROPICANA LAS VEGAS (or TROP LAS VEGAS) and TROPICANA LV (or TROP LV) (the “TLV Marks,” as defined in the Settlement Agreement) in conjunction with its services (“Services,” as defined in the Settlement Agreement) in the City of Las Vegas, Nevada and within a 50-mile radius of the front entrance of the Tropicana Las Vegas Hotel and Casino located at 3801 Las Vegas Boulevard South,

Las Vegas, Nevada, or the TLV Territory, along with certain rights to use the TLV Marks on the internet without geographical limitation ("Internet Uses," as defined in the Settlement Agreement) and to register the TLV Marks as domain names. Defendants, subject to certain advertising exceptions and other terms and conditions set forth in the Settlement Agreement, have perpetual exclusive worldwide rights to use the present and future formulated Marks TROPICANA and TROP coupled with either an identifier of its Services or an accurate geographic identifier of the location of a Tropicana Entertainment property (other than LAS VEGAS or the name of any city within the TLV Territory) (the "TE Marks") outside of the TLV Territory along with Internet Uses rights and domain name registration rights for the TE Marks.

Railcar

On December 16, 2010, a complaint was filed by Steve Garvin, Deloris Garvin, and Garvin Enterprise, Inc. against ARI's subsidiaries Southwest Steel I, LLC, Southwest Steel II, LLC and Southwest Steel III, LLC, d/b/a Southwest Steel Casting Co., in the District Court of Harris County, Texas, 295th Judicial District. On July 25, 2011, the complaint was amended to include ARI as a party to the litigation. The plaintiffs allege that ARI improperly used their former employees to source components from Chinese suppliers in contravention of contractual relationships among the parties and in a manner that compromised the plaintiffs' relationships with the Chinese suppliers. The plaintiffs seek direct, consequential and other damages for breach of contract, tortious interference with an existing contract, trade secret misappropriation, civil conspiracy, civil theft, conversion and unfair competition. The plaintiffs also seek declaratory judgment with respect to the parties' rights under certain agreements. The lawsuit is in the discovery stage and it is not currently possible to estimate the amount of the loss, if any, that could result from this case.

Metals

The Environmental Protection Agency, or EPA, has alleged that PSC Metals' scrap processing facility located in Cleveland, Ohio has violated the requirements of Section 608 of the Clean Air Act, 42 USC Section 761, which requires scrap processors to either recover refrigerants from appliances in accordance with the procedures described in the applicable federal regulations or verify through certifications that refrigerants have previously been evacuated. PSC Metals has entered into a consent decree with the EPA that resolves all claims against it. The consent decree will require PSC Metals to pay a civil penalty of \$199,000 and includes injunctive relief that will require it to offer refrigerant extraction services at 11 of its scrap processing facilities for the next four years. PSC Metals anticipates the settlement will become final sometime early in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2011. PSC Metals estimates that the cost associated with the required injunctive relief will range from \$0.8 million to \$1.7 million, exclusive of the civil penalty referenced above.

Home Fashion

We were defendants in two lawsuits, one in the federal courts in New York and one in the Delaware state courts, challenging, among other matters, the status of our ownership interests in the common and preferred stock of WPI (which was, at that time, a Delaware corporation). We (through Aretex LLC) had acquired ownership of a majority of the WPI common stock through a July 2005 Sale Order entered by the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York. Under that Sale Order, WPI acquired substantially all of the assets of WestPoint Stevens, Inc. The losing bidders at the Bankruptcy Court auction that led to the Sale Order challenged the Sale Order. In November 2005, the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York modified portions of the Sale Order in a manner that could have reduced our ownership of WPI stock below 50%. In its March 26, 2010 decision, the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit held that we are entitled to own a majority of WPI's common stock, and thus have control of WPI. The Second Circuit ordered the Bankruptcy Court's Sale Order reinstated, to ensure that our percentage ownership of the common stock will be at least 50.5%. The Second Circuit ordered the District Court to remand the matter back to the Bankruptcy Court for further proceedings consistent with its ruling. On remand, the Bankruptcy Court entered an Order on December 6, 2010 implementing the Second Circuit's decision. On September 23, 2011, the Bankruptcy Court entered a stipulation dismissing the bankruptcy case, including an adversary proceeding against Aretex LLC and others, and allowing certain funds to be distributed to the first lien lenders.

There was also a proceeding in Delaware Chancery Court, brought by the same "losing bidders" who are parties to the case decided by the Second Circuit. After the ruling by the Second Circuit, the plaintiffs filed a modified third amended complaint in the Delaware case. In that complaint, the plaintiffs pled claims for breach of fiduciary duty (and aiding and abetting such alleged breach) against us, and against Icahn Enterprises Holdings, Carl C. Icahn and others,

based on WPI's not having proceeded with a registration statement. The plaintiffs also asserted a contractual claim against WPI relating to the registration statement alleging that, because WPI did not proceed with the registration statement, the plaintiffs were unable to sell their securities in WPI, and sought to recover the diminution in the value of those securities. The plaintiffs also asserted a claim for unjust enrichment against all defendants, including us, WPI, Icahn Enterprises Holdings, Carl C. Icahn and others,

based on claims that defendants were beneficiaries of a stay order allegedly improperly entered by the Bankruptcy Court. On November 3, 2010, the Chancery Court dismissed the modified third amended complaint in its entirety. The plaintiffs appealed to the Delaware Supreme Court. On August 3, 2011, the Delaware Supreme Court affirmed the judgment of the Chancery Court dismissing the modified third amended complaint, and thus dismissing the case, in its entirety.

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

The risk factors in our 2010 Form 10-K did not materially change during the period covered by this Report other than those noted below for our Home Fashion segment:

Home Fashion

In light of the recent operating performance and challenging industry conditions, our Home Fashion segment is considering various strategic alternatives which may include, without limitation, joint ventures, other forms of strategic alliances and/or a sale or divestiture of all or a significant portion of its assets.

In light of WPI's recent operating performance and challenging industry conditions, we are considering various strategic alternatives which may include, without limitation, joint ventures, other forms of strategic alliances, and/or a sale or divestiture of all or a significant portion of WPI's assets. We cannot determine whether any of these transactions will be consummated or, if so, upon what terms. Any sale of WPI may result in consideration that is materially less than the carrying value of our investment in WPI.

Item 6. Exhibits.

| Exhibit No. | Description |
|----------------------------|---|
| Exhibit 15.1 | Letter of Grant Thornton LLP regarding unaudited interim financial information. |
| Exhibit 15.2 | Letter of Ernst & Young LLP regarding unaudited interim financial information. |
| Exhibit 31.1 | Certification of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302(a) of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. |
| Exhibit 31.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302(a) of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. |
| Exhibit 32.1 | Certification of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (18 U.S.C. 1350) and Rule 13a-14(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. |
| Exhibit 32.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (18 U.S.C. 1350) and Rule 13a-14(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. |
| Exhibit 101 ⁽¹⁾ | The following financial information from Icahn Enterprises' Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2011, formatted in XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language) includes: (i) the Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, (ii) the Consolidated Statements of Operations for each of the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, (iii) the Consolidated Statement of Changes in Equity and Comprehensive Income for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, (iv) the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for each of the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, and (v) the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements. |

Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, the Interactive Data Files on Exhibit 101 hereto are deemed not filed or
⁽¹⁾ part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or deemed not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and otherwise are not subject to liability under those sections.

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned hereunto duly authorized.

ICAHN ENTERPRISES, L.P.

(Registrant)

By: Icahn Enterprises G.P. Inc., its general partner

By: /s/ Dominick Ragone
Dominick Ragone
Chief Financial Officer

Date: November 2, 2011