

PDC ENERGY, INC.
Form 10-K
February 27, 2013

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

T ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012

or

£ TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number 000-07246

PDC ENERGY, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Nevada

(State of incorporation)

1775 Sherman Street, Suite 3000

Denver, Colorado 80203

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip code)

95-2636730

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (303) 860-5800

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class

Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share

Name of each exchange on which registered

NASDAQ Global Select Market

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

Yes T No £

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes £ No T

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes T No £

Edgar Filing: PDC ENERGY, INC. - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Smaller reporting company

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes No

The aggregate market value of our common stock held by non-affiliates on June 30, 2012 was \$735,547,944 (based on the then closing price of \$24.52 per share).

As of February 8, 2013, there were 30,316,670 shares of our common stock outstanding.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

We hereby incorporate by reference into this document the information required by Part III of this Form, which will appear in our definitive proxy statement to be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A for our 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

PDC ENERGY, INC.
 2012 ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K
 TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
PART I	
<u>Items 1. and 2. Business and Properties</u>	<u>2</u>
<u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u>	<u>19</u>
<u>Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	<u>31</u>
<u>Item 3. Legal Proceedings</u>	<u>31</u>
<u>Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	<u>31</u>
PART II	
<u>Item 5. Market for the Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	<u>32</u>
<u>Item 6. Selected Financial Data</u>	<u>34</u>
<u>Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	<u>35</u>
<u>Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	<u>52</u>
<u>Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	<u>55</u>
<u>Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	<u>55</u>
<u>Item 9A. Controls and Procedures</u>	<u>55</u>
<u>Item 9B. Other Information</u>	<u>55</u>
PART III	
<u>Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>	<u>56</u>
<u>Item 11. Executive Compensation</u>	<u>56</u>
<u>Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	<u>56</u>
<u>Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u>	<u>56</u>
<u>Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services</u>	<u>56</u>
PART IV	
<u>Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedule</u>	<u>56</u>
<u>Signatures</u>	<u>60</u>
<u>Glossary of Units of Measurements and Industry Terms</u>	<u>61</u>

PART I

REFERENCES TO THE REGISTRANT

At our annual meeting of stockholders held on June 7, 2012, the stockholders approved a change of the Company's legal name from Petroleum Development Corporation to PDC Energy, Inc. Reflecting this change, on July 16, 2012, our common stock began trading on the NASDAQ Global Select Market under the ticker symbol "PDCE." Information contained on or linked to our website, www.pdce.com, is not part of this report and is not hereby incorporated by reference and should not be considered part of this report.

Unless the context otherwise requires, references in this report to "PDC Energy," "PDC," "the Company," "we," "us," "our," "ours" or "ourselves" refer to the registrant, PDC Energy, Inc. and all subsidiaries consolidated for the purposes of its financial statements, including our proportionate share of the financial position, results of operations, cash flows and operating activities of our affiliated partnerships and PDC Mountaineer, LLC ("PDCM"), a joint venture currently owned 50% each by PDC and Lime Rock Partners, LP, formed for the purpose of exploring and developing the Marcellus Shale formation in the Appalachian Basin. Unless the context otherwise requires, references in this report to "Appalachian Basin" includes PDC's proportionate share of our affiliated partnerships' and the PDCM's assets, results of operations, cash flows and operating activities.

See Note 1, Nature of Operations and Basis of Presentation, to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report for a description of our consolidated subsidiaries.

GLOSSARY OF UNITS OF MEASUREMENTS AND INDUSTRY TERMS

Units of measurements and industry terms defined in the Glossary of Units of Measurements and Industry Terms, included at the end of this report, are set in boldface type the first time they appear.

SPECIAL NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This report contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 ("Securities Act") and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act") regarding our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects. All statements other than statements of historical facts included in and incorporated by reference into this report are "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the safe harbor provisions of the United States ("U.S.") Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Words such as expects, anticipates, intends, plans, believes, seeks, estimates and similar expressions or variations of such words are intended to identify forward-looking statements herein. These statements relate to, among other things: estimated natural gas, natural gas liquids ("NGLs") and crude oil reserves; future production (including the components of such production), expenses, cash flows, margins and liquidity; anticipated capital projects, expenditures and opportunities, including drilling locations and downspacing potential; future exploration and development activities; availability of additional midstream facilities and services in the Wattenberg Field and timing of that availability; availability of sufficient funding for our capital program and sources of that funding; potential for infrastructure projects to improve our NGL pricing; our compliance with debt covenants and the renewal of a letter of credit under our revolving credit facility; adequacy of our insurance; the future effect of contracts, policies and procedures we believe to be customary; effectiveness of our derivative program in providing a degree of price stability; our future dividend policy; closing of, and expected proceeds from, our pending asset disposition; and our future strategies, plans and objectives.

The above statements are not the exclusive means of identifying forward-looking statements herein. Although forward-looking statements contained in this report reflect our good faith judgment, such statements can only be based on facts and factors currently known to us. Consequently, forward-looking statements are inherently subject to risks

and uncertainties, including known and unknown risks and uncertainties incidental to the exploration for, and the acquisition, development, production and marketing of natural gas, NGLs and crude oil, and actual outcomes may differ materially from the results and outcomes discussed in the forward-looking statements.

Important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from the forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to:

- changes in production volumes and worldwide demand, including economic conditions that might impact demand;
- volatility of commodity prices for natural gas, NGLs and crude oil;
- the impact of governmental policies and/or regulations, including changes in environmental and other laws, the interpretation and enforcement related to those laws and regulations, liabilities arising thereunder and the costs to comply with those laws and regulations;
- potential declines in the values of our natural gas and crude oil properties resulting in impairments;
- changes in estimates of proved reserves;
- inaccuracy of reserve estimates and expected production rates;
- potential for production decline rates from our wells to be greater than expected;
- timing and extent of our success in discovering, acquiring, developing and producing reserves;
- our ability to acquire leases, drilling rigs, supplies and services at reasonable prices;
- timing and receipt of necessary regulatory permits;
- risks incidental to the drilling and operation of natural gas and crude oil wells;
- our future cash flows, liquidity and financial condition;
- competition in the oil and gas industry;

- availability and cost of capital to us;
- reductions in the borrowing base under our revolving credit facility;
- availability of sufficient pipeline, gathering and other transportation facilities and related infrastructure to process and transport our production, particularly in the Wattenberg Field, and the impact of these facilities on the prices we receive for our production;
- our success in marketing natural gas, NGLs and crude oil;
- effect of natural gas and crude oil derivatives activities;
- impact of environmental events, governmental and other third-party responses to such events, and our ability to insure adequately against such events;
- cost of pending or future litigation;
- effect that acquisitions we may pursue have on our capital expenditures;
- potential obstacles to completing our pending asset disposition or other transactions, in a timely manner or at all, and purchase price or other adjustments relating to those transactions that may be unfavorable to us;
- our ability to retain or attract senior management and key technical employees; and
- success of strategic plans, expectations and objectives for future operations of the Company.

Further, we urge you to carefully review and consider the cautionary statements and disclosures, specifically those under Item 1A, Risk Factors, made in this report and our other filings with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") for further information on risks and uncertainties that could affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. We caution you not to place undue reliance on forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date of this report. We undertake no obligation to update any forward-looking statements in order to reflect any event or circumstance occurring after the date of this report or currently unknown facts or conditions or the occurrence of unanticipated events. All forward-looking statements are qualified in their entirety by this cautionary statement.

ITEMS 1. AND 2. BUSINESS AND PROPERTIES

The Company

We are a domestic independent exploration and production company that acquires, develops, explores and produces natural gas, NGLs and crude oil with operations in the Western and Eastern regions of the United States. Our Western Operating Region is primarily focused on development in the Wattenberg Field in Colorado, particularly in the liquid-rich horizontal Niobrara and Codell plays. In our Eastern Operating Region, we are currently focused on development activity in the liquid-rich portion of the Utica Shale play in Ohio. We are also pursuing horizontal development in the Marcellus Shale in northern West Virginia through our 50% joint venture interest in PDCM. We own an interest in approximately 7,200 gross producing wells and maintained an average production rate of 135.6 MMcfe per day for the year ended December 31, 2012, which was comprised of 65.3% natural gas, 10.2% NGLs and 24.5% crude oil. This represents production growth of 10.2% from continuing operations as compared to the year ended December 31, 2011. As of December 31, 2012, we had approximately 1.2 Tcfe of proved reserves with a present value of future net revenues ("PV-10") value, which is not a financial measure under Accounting Principles Generally Accepted in the United States of America ("U.S. GAAP"), of \$1.7 billion, representing growth of 141 Bcfe and \$359 million, respectively, relative to the totals as of December 31, 2011. The percentage of our proved reserves represented by NGLs and crude oil rose to 48% as of December 31, 2012, up from 34% as of December 31, 2011. See Part I, Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Reconciliation of Non-U.S. GAAP Financial Measures, for a definition of PV-10% and a reconciliation of our PV-10% value to our standardized measure.

The increase in our estimated proved reserves and production is primarily attributable to liquid-rich horizontal drilling activities in the Wattenberg Field and our June 2012 acquisition of certain Wattenberg Field properties and related

assets from affiliates of Merit Energy (the "Merit Acquisition") for \$304.6 million, after certain post-closing adjustments. The acquired assets comprise approximately 29,800 net acres, after post-closing adjustments, located almost entirely in the core Wattenberg Field with significant overlay with our existing acreage position. We believe that the Merit Acquisition should provide us with an opportunity to continue our rapid growth in the Wattenberg Field and to substantially increase our oil and NGL production. We drilled 37 horizontal Niobrara and Codell wells, completed 160 refracture and recompletion projects and participated in 19 non-operated drilling projects in the Wattenberg Field in 2012.

In our Eastern Operating Region, we have acquired an estimated 45,000 net acres targeting the wet gas and crude oil windows of the Utica Shale in southeast Ohio. Our year-end 2012 proved reserves do not include reserves associated with our Utica Shale properties. We drilled and completed two horizontal Utica Shale wells in 2012, both of which are currently shut-in awaiting pipeline connections. We also drilled one vertical Utica Shale well and completed two vertical Utica test wells in 2012 for the primary purpose of providing engineering and geological data in support of the horizontal play. In addition, PDCM drilled three and completed six horizontal Marcellus wells and constructed various midstream projects in 2012.

The following table presents our historical proved reserve estimates as of December 31, 2012 based on a reserve report prepared by Ryder Scott Company, L.P. (“Ryder Scott”), our independent petroleum engineering consulting firm:

Proved Reserves at December 31, 2012						
	Proved Reserves (Bcfe)	% of Total Proved Reserves	% Proved Developed	% Liquids	Proved Reserves to Production Ratio (in years)	Production (MMcfe)
Western						
Wattenberg Field	893.5	77	% 41	% 62	% 33.4	26,748
Other	84.6	8	% 100	% 1	% 5.1	16,672
Total Western	978.1	85	% 46	% 56	% 22.5	43,420
Eastern						
Appalachian Basin	178.8	15	% 23	% —	% 28.9	6,192
Total Eastern	178.8	15	% 23	% —	% 28.9	6,192
Total proved reserves	1,156.9	100	% 42	% 48	% 23.3	49,612

On February 4, 2013, we entered into a purchase and sale agreement with certain affiliates of Caerus Oil and Gas LLC (“Caerus”), pursuant to which we have agreed to sell to Caerus our Piceance Basin, NECO and certain non-core Colorado oil and gas properties, leasehold mineral interests and related assets, including derivatives, for aggregate cash consideration of approximately \$200 million, subject to certain adjustments. As of December 31, 2012, total estimated proved reserves related to these assets were 84.6 Bcfe. See Note 19, Subsequent Events, to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report for additional information regarding the planned divestiture. There can be no assurance we will be successful in closing such divestiture.

In addition to our oil and gas exploration and production activities, we engage in natural gas marketing through our subsidiary Riley Natural Gas (“RNG”).

Our Strengths

- Multi-year project inventory targeting highly economic oil and NGL production growth. We have a significant operational presence in three key U.S. onshore basins and have identified a substantial inventory of approximately 4,100 gross capital projects across our assets. This inventory includes approximately 3,300 gross projects in the liquid-rich Wattenberg Field, of which approximately 1,400 are horizontal Niobrara and Codell proved and probable locations that we expect to be capable of providing liquid-rich production growth for the next several years at attractive rates of return based on current strip prices. Potential downspacing of future drill sites would provide the opportunity for additional locations. In the core area of the Wattenberg Field, we have achieved an average of 335 MBoe gross reserves per horizontal well, with approximately 75% liquids contribution. In the Appalachian Basin, we have approximately 600 gross Marcellus Shale drilling locations in inventory, of which approximately 360 gross wells in our core focus area would be expected to generate reserves of 5 to 7 Bcfe per well. In addition, our leasehold position in the emerging Utica Shale play is expected to provide approximately 200 horizontal drilling opportunities in liquid rich areas. With the development of the horizontal Niobrara and Codell and exploration and delineation of acreage in the Utica Shale, we are focused on transitioning our portfolio to a higher mix of oil and NGLs that we believe is capable of delivering higher margins and improved capital efficiencies.

Track record of reserve and production growth. Our proved reserves have grown from 323 Bcfe at December 31, 2006 to approximately 1.2 Tcfe at December 31, 2012, representing a compound annual growth rate (“CAGR”) of 23.7%.

During the same time period, our proved crude oil and NGL reserves grew at a CAGR of 52.7%. Our annual production grew from 16.9 Bcfe in 2006 to 49.6 Bcfe in 2012 from continuing operations, representing a CAGR of 19.7%.

Horizontal drilling and completion experience. We have a proven track record of applying technical expertise toward developing unconventional resources through horizontal drilling, having drilled 108 Niobrara, Codell, Marcellus and Utica horizontal wells as of December 31, 2012. We have begun multi-well pad drilling to further optimize costs and enhance horizontal drilling efficiencies. Pad drilling enables us to streamline the transition to increased well density in the horizontal Niobrara and Codell plays. We have approximately 2,000 gross horizontal proved and probable locations in inventory from our Wattenberg and Marcellus positions. Our current leasehold position in the emerging Utica Shale play is expected to provide approximately 200 horizontal drilling opportunities in liquid-rich areas.

Significant operational control in our core areas. As a result of successfully executing our strategy over time of acquiring largely concentrated acreage positions with a high working interest, we operate and manage approximately 89% of our oil and natural gas properties. Our high percentage of operated properties enables us to exercise a significant level of control with respect to drilling, production, operating and administrative costs, in addition to leveraging our base of technical expertise in our core operating areas.

Access to liquidity. As of December 31, 2012, we had \$2.5 million of cash and cash equivalents and \$396.1 million available for

borrowing under our revolving credit facility. We have no near-term debt maturities, although we periodically repay borrowings outstanding under our revolving credit facility. We actively hedge our future exposure to commodity price fluctuations by entering into oil and natural gas swaps and collars. We have hedged approximately 28.7 Bcf of our natural gas production for 2013 at an average minimum price of \$4.15 per Mcf. We have hedged approximately 2,326 MBbls of our oil production in 2013 at an average minimum price of \$88.75 per Bbl. As of December 31, 2012, the net fair value of all of our hedges was approximately \$30.3 million.

Management experience and operational expertise. We have a management team with a proven track record of performance and a technical and operational staff with significant expertise in the basins in which we operate, particularly with horizontal well development activities.

Business Strategy

Our business strategy focuses on generating shareholder value through the organic growth of our reserves, production and cash flows in our high-value, liquid-rich horizontal drilling programs. We also engage in targeted exploratory drilling of unconventional resources and maintain an active acquisition program. We pursue various midstream, marketing and cost reduction initiatives designed to increase our per unit operating margins and maintain a conservative and disciplined financial strategy focused on providing sufficient liquidity and balance sheet strength to execute our business strategy.

Drill and Develop

Our leasehold interests consist of developed and undeveloped natural gas, NGLs and crude oil resources located in our Western and Eastern Operating Regions. Based on our prior acreage holdings and recent acquisitions, we have identified a substantial inventory of approximately 4,100 gross capital projects for development primarily through horizontal drilling in high-return, liquid-rich plays, as well as refracture and recompletion opportunities.

Western Operating Region. Our primary focus in the liquid-rich Wattenberg Field is the horizontal Niobrara and Codell plays. We have begun multi-well pad drilling to further optimize costs and enhance horizontal drilling efficiencies in the Wattenberg Field. Pad drilling enables us to streamline the transition to increased well density in the horizontal Niobrara and Codell plays. We also maintain a vertical drilling inventory in the Niobrara and Codell formations. We currently estimate that we have 3,300 gross capital projects, which include over 1,400 gross proved and probable projects for the horizontal Niobrara and Codell. Depending upon commodity prices and the number of drilling rigs operating, we believe that this inventory of projects provides us with over 10 years of drilling activity.

Of our total capital budget of \$324 million for 2013, approximately 75%, or \$245 million, is expected to be spent on development activities, substantially all of which is expected to be invested in the Wattenberg Field for an expanded horizontal Niobrara and Codell drilling program and participation in various non-operated projects. We plan to run a two-rig program in the Wattenberg Field through the second quarter of the year and add a third rig during the third quarter. Under this drilling program, we expect to drill approximately 63 horizontal Niobrara or Codell wells in 2013.

Additionally, we operate natural gas assets in the Piceance Basin in western Colorado and in northeast Colorado ("NECO"), where we focused on production optimization and increasing operating margins in 2012. On February 4, 2013, we entered into a purchase and sale agreement with certain affiliates of Caerus, pursuant to which we have agreed to sell our Piceance Basin, NECO and certain non-core Colorado oil and gas properties, leasehold mineral interests and related assets, including derivatives. See Note 19, Subsequent Events, to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report for additional information regarding the planned divestiture. There can be no assurance we will be successful in closing such divestiture.

Eastern Operating Region. We continue to delineate and develop our leasehold position in the Utica Shale. To date, we have drilled two vertical test wells to collect geologic data and two horizontal wells to test the productivity of the acreage. We currently estimate we have approximately 200 gross projects for horizontal drilling in the Utica Shale. In 2013, we expect to devote approximately \$53 million of our 2013 capital program primarily toward drilling and completion activity in the Utica Shale, where we plan to drill approximately five horizontal wells targeting the wet gas and crude oil windows of the play.

Our other focus in the Eastern Operating Region is on horizontal drilling in the Marcellus Shale in West Virginia. In 2012, PDCM completed a total of six gross (three net) horizontal wells and constructed various midstream assets to gather and compress its Marcellus gas. We currently estimate we have approximately 600 gross projects for horizontal drilling in the Marcellus Shale. PDCM expects to drill a total of 14 gross horizontal Marcellus wells in 2013.

Strategically acquire

We typically pursue the acquisition of assets that have a balance of value in producing wells, behind-pipe reserves and high-quality undeveloped drilling locations. In 2010, we began seeking liquid-rich properties with large undeveloped drilling upside where we believe we can utilize our operational abilities to add shareholder value. We have an experienced team of management, engineering and geosciences professionals who identify and evaluate acquisition opportunities.

In June 2012, we completed the Merit Acquisition for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$304.6 million, after certain post-closing adjustments. We financed the purchase with cash from the May 2012 offering of our common stock and a draw on our revolving credit

facility. The acquired assets comprise approximately 29,800 net acres, after post-closing adjustments, located almost entirely in the core Wattenberg Field and with significant overlay with our existing acreage position. Following the closing of the Merit Acquisition, our total position in the core Wattenberg Field is approximately 98,600 net acres.

During 2011 and 2012, we acquired approximately 45,000 net acres of Utica leaseholds, targeting the wet natural gas and crude oil windows of the Utica Shale play throughout southeastern Ohio, for a purchase price of approximately \$92.3 million. As an early entrant into the development of the Utica Shale, we believe we have gained valuable experience and expertise in proactively addressing title and other issues associated with the development of the play.

In October 2011, PDCM acquired 100% of the membership interests of Seneca-Upshur Petroleum, LLC ("Seneca-Upshur") from an unrelated third-party for \$139.2 million. The acquisition included approximately 1,340 gross wells producing natural gas from the shallow Devonian Shale and Mississippian formations and all rights and depths to an estimated 100,000 net acres in West Virginia, of which 90,000 acres are prospective for the Marcellus Shale. Substantially all of the acreage acquired is held by production and is in close proximity to PDCM's existing properties. Pursuant to our joint venture interest in PDCM, our portion of the purchase price was \$69.6 million and we hold a 50% interest in both the wells and acreage acquired.

In 2010, we initiated a plan to purchase our affiliated partnerships. As of December 31, 2012, we had acquired a total of 12 affiliated partnerships for an aggregate purchase price of \$107.7 million. The acquisition of these partnerships have provided us with immediate growth in both production and proved reserves from assets in which we currently own an operated working interest.

Manage operational and financial risk

We focus on development drilling programs in resource plays that offer repeatable results capable of driving growth in reserves, production and cash flows. We regularly review acquisition opportunities in our core areas of operation as we believe we can extract additional value from such assets through production optimization, refractures and recompletions and development drilling. In addition, core acquisitions can potentially provide synergies that result in economies of scale from a combined position. While we believe development drilling will remain the foundation of our capital programs, we continue our disciplined approach to acquisitions and exploratory drilling, both of which have the potential to identify new development opportunities.

We believe we proactively employ strategies to help reduce the financial risks associated with the oil and gas industry. One such strategy is to maintain a balanced production mix of natural gas and liquids. Our Western Operating Region produces natural gas, NGLs and crude oil, with a production mix of approximately 60.5% natural gas to 39.5% liquids during 2012. We expect that the Merit Acquisition and our horizontal drilling program will allow us to substantially increase our crude oil and NGL production. While our legacy properties in the Eastern Operating Region primarily produce natural gas, our Ohio properties are prospective in the wet gas and crude oil windows of the Utica Shale. This strategy of a diversified commodity mix helps to mitigate the financial impact from a decline in the market price in any one of our commodities. In addition, we utilize commodity-based derivative instruments to manage a substantial portion of our exposure to price volatility with regard to our natural gas and crude oil sales and natural gas marketing. As of December 31, 2012, we had natural gas and crude oil derivative positions in place for 2013 covering approximately 28.7 Bcfe of our natural gas production and approximately 2,326 MBbls of our crude oil production. Currently, we do not hedge our NGL production. See Part II, Item 7A, Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk, for a detailed summary of our open derivative positions.

Selective exploration

We believe that our disciplined exploration program has the potential to consistently replenish our portfolio with new exploration projects capable of positioning us for significant production and reserve growth in future years. Due to the continued decline in natural gas prices, we have focused our efforts toward liquid-rich plays to take advantage of the current attractive economics associated with crude oil and NGL weighted projects. We strive to identify potential plays in their early stages in an attempt to accumulate significant leasehold positions prior to competitive forces driving up the cost of entry. We seek investment in leasehold positions that are in the proximity of existing or emerging pipeline infrastructures. We believe the leasehold we acquired targeting the Utica Shale meets these criteria and we see the derisking and delineation of this leasehold as our primary exploration focus during for 2013.

Business Segments

We divide our operating activities into two segments: (1) Oil and Gas Exploration and Production and (2) Gas Marketing.

Oil and Gas Exploration and Production

Our Oil and Gas Exploration and Production segment primarily reflects revenues and expenses from the production and sale of natural gas, NGLs and crude oil.

Natural gas. We primarily sell our natural gas to midstream marketers, utilities, industrial end-users and other wholesale purchasers. We generally sell the natural gas that we produce under contracts with indexed or NYMEX monthly pricing provisions, with the remaining production sold under contracts with daily pricing provisions. Virtually all of our contracts include provisions wherein prices change monthly with changes in the market, for which certain adjustments may be made based on whether a well delivers

to a gathering or transmission line and the quality of the natural gas. Therefore, the price of the natural gas fluctuates to remain competitive with other available natural gas supplies. As a result, our revenues from the sale of natural gas, holding production volume constant, increase as market prices increase and decrease as market prices decline. We believe that the pricing provisions of our natural gas contracts are customary in the industry.

NGLs. The majority of our NGLs are sold to one NGL marketer in the Wattenberg Field. Our NGL production is sold under both short- and long-term purchase contracts with monthly pricing provisions based on an average daily price.

Crude oil. We do not refine any of our crude oil production. We sell our crude oil to oil marketers and refiners. Our crude oil production is sold to purchasers at or near our wells under both short- and long-term purchase contracts with monthly pricing provisions based on an average daily price.

We enter into financial derivatives in order to reduce the impact of possible price volatility regarding the physical sales market. See Part II, Item 7, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations: Results of Operations - Commodity Price Risk Management, Net, Natural Gas and Crude Oil Derivative Activities, Item 7A, Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk, and Note 4, Derivative Financial Instruments, to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report.

Our Oil and Gas Exploration and Production segment also reflects revenues and expenses related to well operations and pipeline services. We are paid a monthly operating fee for the portion of each well we operate that is owned by others, including our affiliated partnerships. We believe the fee is competitive with rates charged by other operators in the area. As we acquire the working interest of our non-affiliated investor partners in our affiliated partnerships, revenues related to well operations and pipeline services will decrease.

We construct, own and operate gathering systems in some of our areas of operations. Pipelines and related facilities can represent a significant portion of the capital costs of developing wells, particularly in new areas located at a distance from existing pipelines. We consider these costs in the evaluation of our leasing, development and acquisition opportunities.

Our natural gas is transported through our own and third-party gathering systems and pipelines, and we incur gathering, processing and transportation expenses to move our natural gas from the wellhead to a purchaser-specified delivery point. These expenses vary based upon the volume and distance shipped, as well as the fee charged by the third-party processor or transporter. Capacity on these gathering systems and pipelines is occasionally interrupted due to operational issues, repairs or improvements. A portion of our natural gas is transported under interruptible contracts and the remainder under firm transportation agreements, either directly with RNG or through third-party processors or marketers. Therefore, interruptions in natural gas sales could result if pipeline space is constrained. As discussed in Part II, Item 7, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Operational Overview, our 2012 production was adversely affected by high line pressures experienced by our principal third-party provider of natural gas gathering, processing and transportation facilities in the Wattenberg Field. The high line pressure was the result of a series of operational issues and capacity constraints, primarily in the second and third quarters. The operational issues included downtime on downstream third-party NGL transportation and fractionation facilities and abnormally warm weather, which limited third-party gathering system compression capacity. We are working closely with our primary midstream provider who is implementing a multi-year facility expansion capable of significantly increasing long-term gathering and processing capacity in the Wattenberg Field. However, we do not expect the impact of this increased capacity to substantially benefit us until late 2013. While our ability to market these volumes of natural gas has been only infrequently limited or delayed, if transportation space is restricted or is unavailable, our production and cash flows from the affected properties could be adversely affected.

In certain instances, we enter into firm transportation agreements to provide for pipeline capacity to flow and sell a portion of our natural gas volumes. In order to meet pipeline specifications, we are required, in some cases, to process our natural gas before we can transport it. We typically contract with third parties in the NECO area of our Western Operating Region and our Eastern Operating Region for firm transportation of our natural gas. We also may enter into firm sales agreements to ensure that we are selling to a purchaser who has contracted for pipeline capacity. See Note 11, Commitments and Contingencies - Firm Transportation Agreements, to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report for our long-term firm sales, processing and transportation agreements for pipeline capacity.

Our crude oil production is marketed directly to purchasers in the Wattenberg Field area under a combination of annual and short-term monthly agreements. The majority of our crude oil is delivered to local area refineries with other volumes being either trucked or shipped via pipeline out of the Wattenberg area.

See Part II, Item 7, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Results of Operations, Summary Operating Results, for production, sales, prices and lifting cost data for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2012.

Gas Marketing

Our Gas Marketing segment is comprised solely of the operating activities of RNG. RNG specializes in the purchase, aggregation and sale of natural gas production in the Eastern Operating Region. RNG purchases for resale natural gas produced by third-party producers, as well as natural gas produced by us, PDCM and our affiliated partnerships. The natural gas is marketed to third-party marketers, natural gas utilities and industrial and commercial customers, either directly through our gathering system or through transportation services provided by regulated interstate pipeline companies. Additionally, RNG markets our natural gas production in the NECO area.

For additional information regarding our business segments, see Note 18, Business Segments, to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report.

Areas of Operations

The following map presents the general locations of our development, production and exploration activities as of December 31, 2012. With the divestiture of our Permian Basin assets on February 28, 2012, our development, production and exploration efforts are primarily focused in two geographic areas of the U.S.

(1) On February 4, 2013, we entered into a purchase and sale agreement with certain affiliates of Caerus, pursuant to which we have agreed to sell our Piceance Basin, NECO and certain non-core Colorado oil and gas properties, leasehold mineral interests and related assets, including derivatives. See Note 19, Subsequent Events, to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report for additional information regarding the planned divestiture. There can be no assurance we will be successful in closing such divestiture.

Western Operating Region

Our primary focus in the Western Operating Region for 2013, and we expect for the next several years, is on horizontal Niobrara and Codell development drilling. We divide our Western Operating Region into the following areas:

Wattenberg Field, DJ Basin, Colorado. Currently, wells drilled in this area are horizontal wells targeting the liquid-rich reservoirs in the Codell and Niobrara formations. These horizontal wells have a vertical depth range from approximately 7,000 to 8,000 feet, with an average lateral length of 4,000 feet. We drill multi-well pads to further optimize costs and enhance horizontal drilling efficiencies in the Wattenberg Field. Pad drilling enables us to streamline the transition to increased well density in the horizontal Niobrara and Codell plays. We have approximately 3,300 gross projects, including over 1,400 proved and probable horizontal projects, in the liquid-rich Wattenberg Field.

In June 2012, we completed an acquisition of approximately 29,800 net acres in the core area, which positions us as the third largest producer and leaseholder in the core Wattenberg area. We estimate that the Wattenberg Field has approximately 400 horizontal drilling locations based on 4 gross wells per section for our proved undeveloped reserves ("PUDs"). Additional potential upside exists as we continue testing the Codell formation and plan to test downspacing to 10 gross wells per section in the play as part of our 2013 horizontal program, which is the basis for our estimate of over 1,400 horizontal wells in our proved and probable inventory.

- Piceance Basin, Colorado. Wells in this area predominately target natural gas from the Williams Fork formation. For 2012, we removed all PUD reserves in the Piceance Basin due to low natural gas prices. Our 2012 Piceance natural gas reserves were 66.5 Bcfe, or approximately 6% of our total proved equivalent reserves. Our Piceance reserves represent approximately 2% of our

PV-10% as of December 31, 2012. See table in the Properties - Proved Reserves section below for information regarding our proved reserves and PV-10% as of December 31, 2012.

The majority of the wells drilled in this area are drilled directionally from multi-well drilling pads, generally range from two to ten wells per pad and range from 7,000 to 9,500 feet in depth. Reserves in this area originate from multiple sandstone reservoirs in the Mesaverde Williams Fork formation. Well spacing is approximately ten acres per well.

Northeastern Colorado. Wells drilled in this area range from 1,500 to 3,000 feet in depth and target natural gas reserves in the shallow Niobrara reservoir. Well spacing is approximately 40 acres per well.

As noted above, on February 4, 2013, we entered into a purchase and sale agreement with Caerus pursuant to which Caerus agreed to purchase our Piceance Basin, NECO and other non-core Colorado leasehold mineral interests and various other assets within these basins for an aggregate cash consideration of approximately \$200 million, subject to post-closing adjustments. The cash consideration is subject to customary adjustments, including adjustments based upon title and environmental due diligence, and by certain firm transportation obligations and natural gas hedging positions that will be assumed by Caerus as part of the transaction. The effective date of the transaction is January 1, 2013. We intend to use the proceeds from the sale to repay a portion of amounts outstanding under our revolving credit facility and partially fund our 2013 capital program. There can be no assurance we will be successful in closing such divestiture.

Eastern Operating Region

Our primary focus in the Eastern Operating Region is on horizontal drilling in the Utica Shale in southeastern Ohio and the Marcellus Shale play in northern West Virginia.

Utica Shale, Ohio. We have acquired approximately 45,000 net acres targeting the wet natural gas and crude oil windows of the Utica Shale play throughout southeastern Ohio. To date, we have drilled and completed two horizontal wells in Guernsey County that are currently waiting on first production, as well as two stratigraphic vertical test wells to collect engineering and geologic data to test the productivity of the acreage. The horizontal wells have a vertical depth range of approximately 7,000 feet, with an average lateral length of 4,000 feet.

Marcellus Shale, West Virginia. Through our joint venture, PDCM, we have over 236,000 net acres in the Appalachian Basin, with approximately 152,000 acres prospective for the Marcellus Shale, the majority of which is in northern West Virginia. PDCM is primarily focused on horizontal drilling and has approximately 600 Marcellus Shale gross drilling locations on the West Virginia acreage. These wells have a vertical depth range from approximately 7,000 to 8,000 feet, with lateral lengths ranging from 4,000 to 6,000 feet.

In addition to our ownership interest in the wells held by PDCM, we own an interest in approximately 236 gross (77.9 net) natural gas and crude oil wells in West Virginia and Pennsylvania.

Properties

Productive Wells

The following table presents our productive wells:

Productive Wells		
As of December 31, 2012		
Natural Gas	Crude Oil	Total

Edgar Filing: PDC ENERGY, INC. - Form 10-K

Operating Region/Area	Gross	Net	Gross	Net	Gross	Net
Western						
Wattenberg Field	2,523	2,181.2	91	71.8	2,614	2,253.0
Other	1,057	800.7	—	—	1,057	800.7
Total Western	3,580	2,981.9	91	71.8	3,671	3,053.7
Eastern						
Appalachian Basin	3,565	1,654.5	6	3.7	3,571	1,658.2
Total Eastern	3,565	1,654.5	6	3.7	3,571	1,658.2
Total productive wells	7,145	4,636.4	97	75.5	7,242	4,711.9

Proved Reserves

Our proved reserves are sensitive to future natural gas, NGLs and crude oil sales prices and the related effect on the economic productive life of producing properties. Increases in commodity prices may result in a longer economic productive life of a property or result in more economically viable proved undeveloped reserves to be recognized. Decreases in commodity prices may result in negative impacts of this nature.

All of our proved reserves are located onshore in the U.S. Our reserve estimates are prepared with respect to reserve categorization, using the definitions for proved reserves set forth in SEC Regulation S-X, Rule 4-10(a) and subsequent SEC staff regulations, interpretations and guidance. As of December 31, 2012, all of our proved reserves, including the reserves of all subsidiaries consolidated for the purposes of our financial statements, have been estimated by independent petroleum engineers.

We have a comprehensive process that governs the determination and reporting of our proved reserves. As part of our internal control process, our reserves are reviewed annually by an internal team composed of reservoir engineers, geologists and accounting personnel for adherence to SEC guidelines through a detailed review of land records, available geological and reservoir data, as well as production performance data. The process includes a review of applicable working and net revenue interests and cost and performance data. The internal team compiles the reviewed data and forwards the data to an independent engineering firm engaged to estimate our reserves.

Our reserve estimates as of December 31, 2012 were based on a reserve report prepared by Ryder Scott. When preparing our reserve estimates, Ryder Scott did not independently verify the accuracy and completeness of information and data furnished by us with respect to ownership interests, production volumes, well test data, historical costs of operations and development, product prices or any agreements relating to current and future operations of properties and sales of production.

Ryder Scott prepares an estimate of our reserves in conjunction with an ongoing review by our engineers. A final comparison of data is performed to ensure that the reserve estimates are complete, determined by acceptable industry methods and to a level of detail we deem appropriate. Ryder Scott's final estimated reserve report is reviewed and approved by our engineering staff and management.

The professional qualifications of the internal lead engineer primarily responsible for overseeing the preparation of our reserve estimates meet the standards of Reserves Estimator, as defined in the Standards Pertaining to the Estimating and Auditing of Oil and Gas Reserves Information as promulgated by the Society of Petroleum Engineers. This employee holds a Bachelor of Science degree in Petroleum and Chemical Refining Engineering with a minor in Petroleum Engineering, has over 35 years of experience in reservoir engineering, is a member of the Society of Petroleum Engineers and the Society of Petroleum Evaluation Engineers and is a registered Professional Engineer in the State of Colorado.

The SEC's reserve rules expanded the technologies that a registrant may use to establish reserves. The SEC now allows use of techniques that have been proved effective by actual production from projects in the same reservoir or an analogous reservoir, or by other evidence using reliable technology that establishes reasonable certainty. Reliable technology is a grouping of one or more technologies (including computational methods) that has been field tested and has been demonstrated to provide reasonably certain results with consistency and repeatability in the formation being evaluated or in an analogous formation.

We used a combination of production and pressure performance, wireline wellbore measurements, offset analogies, seismic data and interpretation, wireline formation tests, geophysical logs and core data to calculate our reserve estimates, including the material additions to the 2012 reserve estimates.

Reserve estimates involve judgments and, therefore, cannot be measured exactly. The estimates must be reviewed periodically and adjusted to reflect additional information gained from reservoir performance, new geological and geophysical data and economic changes. Neither the estimated future net cash flows nor the standardized measure of discounted future net cash flows ("standardized measure") is intended to represent the current market value of our proved reserves. For additional information regarding both of these measures, as well as other information regarding our proved reserves, see the unaudited Supplemental Information - Natural Gas and Crude Oil Information provided with our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report. The following tables provide information regarding our estimated proved reserves:

	As of December 31,		
	2012 (5)	2011 (4)(5)	2010 (4)(5)
Proved reserves			
Natural gas (MMcf)	604,038	672,145	657,306
Crude oil and condensate (MBbls) (1)	59,310	37,636	23,236
NGLs (MBbls) (1)	32,827	19,588	10,649
Total proved reserves (MMcfe)	1,156,860	1,015,489	860,616
Proved developed reserves (MMcfe) (2)	490,515	471,347	301,141
Estimated future net cash flows (in millions)	\$2,756	\$2,290	\$1,315
PV-10% (in millions) (3)	\$1,709	\$1,350	\$693
Standardized measure (in millions)	\$1,168	\$941	\$488

Approximately 49% of the increase in crude oil and condensate and 38% of the increase in NGLs from December 31, 2011 to December 31, 2012 is due to the addition of horizontal Niobrara and Codell proved developed and undeveloped reserves in the Wattenberg Field.

Approximately 73% of the increase in proved developed reserves from December 31, 2010 to December 31, 2011 was due to the reclassification of our estimated Wattenberg refracture reserves from PUDs to proved developed as a result of the greater cost differential between the cost of a refracture versus the cost of drilling a new well.

PV-10% is a non-U.S. GAAP financial measure. This non-U.S. GAAP measure is not a measure of financial or operating performance under U.S. GAAP and it is not intended to represent the current market value of our estimated reserves. PV-10% should not be considered in isolation or as a substitute for the standardized measure reported in accordance with U.S. GAAP, but rather should be considered in addition to the standardized measure.

See Part I, Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Reconciliation of Non-U.S. GAAP Financial Measures, for a definition of PV-10% and a reconciliation of our PV-10% value to the standardized measure.

Includes estimated reserve data related to our Permian assets, which were classified as held for sale as of December 31, 2011. On February 28, 2012, the divestiture closed. See Note 14, Assets Held for Sale, Divestitures and Discontinued Operations, to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report for additional details related to the divestiture of our Permian assets.

The following table sets forth information regarding estimated proved reserves for our Permian assets:

	As of December 31,	
	2011	2010
Proved reserves		
Natural gas (MMcf)	6,242	4,979
Crude oil and condensate (MBbls)	7,825	3,331
NGLs (MBbls)	1,971	1,190
Total proved reserves (MMcfe)	65,018	32,105
Proved developed reserves (MMcfe)	15,940	11,416
Estimated future net cash flows (in millions)	\$348	\$129

Includes estimated reserve data related to our Piceance and NECO assets, which are to be divested pursuant to a purchase and sale agreement entered into on February 4, 2013. See Note 19, Subsequent Events, to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report for additional details related to the planned divestiture of our Piceance and NECO assets.

The following table sets forth information regarding estimated proved reserves for our Piceance and NECO assets:

	As of December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
Proved reserves			
Natural gas (MMcf)	83,656	354,080	454,886
Crude oil and condensate (MBbls)	148	441	548
NGLs (MBbls)	—	—	—
Total proved reserves (MMcfe)	84,544	356,726	458,174
Proved developed reserves (MMcfe)	84,544	141,802	142,949
Estimated future net cash flows (in millions)	\$43	\$32	\$52

Operating Region/Area	As of December 31, 2012					Percent
	Natural Gas (MMcf)	NGLs (MBbls)	Crude Oil and Condensate (MBbls)	Natural Gas Equivalent (MMcfe)		
Proved developed						
Western						
Wattenberg Field	158,192	14,353	20,226	365,666	74	%
Piceance Basin	65,609	—	148	66,497	14	%
Other	18,047	—	—	18,047	4	%
Total Western	241,848	14,353	20,374	450,210	92	%
Eastern						
Appalachian Basin	40,077	—	38	40,305	8	%
Total Eastern	40,077	—	38	40,305	8	%
Total proved developed	281,925	14,353	20,412	490,515	100	%
Proved undeveloped						
Western						
Wattenberg Field	183,618	18,474	38,898	527,850	79	%
Total Western	183,618	18,474	38,898	527,850	79	%
Eastern						
Appalachian Basin	138,495	—	—	138,495	21	%
Total Eastern	138,495	—	—	138,495	21	%
Total proved undeveloped	322,113	18,474	38,898	666,345	100	%
Proved reserves						
Western						
Wattenberg Field	341,810	32,827	59,124	893,516	77	%
Piceance Basin	65,609	—	148	66,497	6	%
Other	18,047	—	—	18,047	2	%
Total Western	425,466	32,827	59,272	978,060	85	%
Eastern						
Appalachian Basin	178,572	—	38	178,800	15	%
Total Eastern	178,572	—	38	178,800	15	%
Total proved reserves	604,038	32,827	59,310	1,156,860	100	%

Developed and Undeveloped Acreage

The following table presents our developed and undeveloped lease acreage:

Operating Region/Area	As of December 31, 2012					
	Developed		Undeveloped (1)		Total	
	Gross	Net	Gross	Net	Gross	Net
Western						
Wattenberg Field	92,300	81,700	33,100	23,300	125,400	105,000
Piceance Basin	3,100	3,100	4,900	4,900	8,000	8,000
NECO	23,600	19,600	64,600	54,600	88,200	74,200
Other	—	—	21,800	17,700	21,800	17,700
Total Western	119,000	104,400	124,400	100,500	243,400	204,900
Eastern						
Appalachian Basin, other	—263,900	107,300	32,000	17,950	295,900	125,250
Utica Shale	800	400	48,200	45,300	49,000	45,700
Total Eastern	264,700	107,700	80,200	63,250	344,900	170,950
Total acreage	383,700	212,100	204,600	163,750	588,300	375,850

With the exception of our Eastern Operating Region properties prospective for the Utica Shale, substantially all of (1) our undeveloped acreage is related to leaseholds that are held by production. Approximately 10% of our undeveloped leaseholds expire during 2013, none of which is material to any one specific area.

Drilling Activity

The following table presents information regarding the number of wells drilled or participated in and the number of wells for which refractures and/or recompletions were performed:

Operating Region	Drilling Activity					
	Year Ended December 31,					
	2012		2011		2010	
	Gross	Net	Gross	Net	Gross	Net
Western (1)	57	39.0	186	139.6	204	164.9
Eastern	6	4.0	9	5.2	9	5.2
Total wells drilled	63	43.0	195	144.8	213	170.1
Refractures and Recompletions (2)	85	79.9	192	177.6	46	33.7

Includes drilling activity in the Permian Basin. As of December 31, 2011, our Permian assets were held for sale and, on February 28, 2012, the divestiture closed. See Note 14, Assets Held for Sale, Divestitures and Discontinued (1) Operations, to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report for additional details related to the divestiture of our Permian assets.

(2) 83 of the refractures and recompletions in 2012 occurred in the Wattenberg Field.

The following tables set forth our developmental and exploratory well drilling activity. There is no correlation between the number of productive wells completed during any period and the aggregate reserves attributable to those wells. Productive wells consist of wells spudded, turned-in-line and producing during the period. In-process wells represent wells that are in the process of being drilled or have been drilled and are waiting to be fractured and/or for gas pipeline connection during the period.

Operating Region/Area	Net Development Well Drilling Activity								
	Year Ended December 31, 2012			2011			2010		
	Productive	In-Process	Dry	Productive	In-Process	Dry	Productive	In-Process	Dry
Western									
Wattenberg Field	31.3	7.7	(2) —	86.5	13.1	—	106.9	26.5	—
Piceance Basin	—	—	—	14.0	3.0	—	18.0	7.0	—
Permian Basin (1)	—	—	—	14.5	5.5	2.0	—	5.0	—
Other	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.5	—	—
Total Western	31.3	7.7	—	115.0	21.6	2.0	125.4	38.5	—
Eastern									
Appalachian Basin	1.5	—	—	0.9	2.0	—	0.6	1.1	—
Total Eastern	1.5	—	—	0.9	2.0	—	0.6	1.1	—
Total net development wells	32.8	7.7	—	115.9	23.6	2.0	126.0	39.6	—

As of December 31, 2011, our Permian assets were held for sale and, on February 28, 2012, the divestiture closed.
(1) See Note 14, Assets Held for Sale, Divestitures and Discontinued Operations, to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report for additional details related to the divestiture of our Permian assets.
(2) On a gross basis, wells in-process as of December 31, 2012 consisted of 10 wells in the Wattenberg Field.

Operating Region/Area	Net Exploratory Well Drilling Activity								
	Year Ended December 31, 2012			2011			2010		
	Productive	In-Process	Dry	Productive	In-Process	Dry	Productive	In-Process	Dry
Western									
Wattenberg Field	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1.0	—
Other	—	—	—	—	1.0	—	—	—	—
Total Western	—	—	—	—	1.0	—	—	1.0	—
Eastern									
Appalachian Basin	—	1.5	1.0	—	2.3	—	2.8	0.7	—
Total Eastern	—	1.5	1.0	—	2.3	—	2.8	0.7	—
Total net exploratory wells	—	1.5	1.0	—	3.3	—	2.8	1.7	—

Title to Properties

We believe that we hold good and defensible leasehold title to our natural gas and crude oil properties, in accordance with standards generally accepted in the industry. As is customary in the industry, a preliminary title examination is typically conducted at the time the undeveloped properties are acquired. Prior to the commencement of drilling

operations, a title examination is conducted and remedial work is performed with respect to discovered defects which we deem to be significant. Title examinations have been performed with respect to substantially all of our producing properties.

The properties we own are subject to royalty, overriding royalty and other outstanding interests customary in the industry. The properties may also be subject to additional burdens, liens or encumbrances customary in the industry, including items such as operating agreements, current taxes, development obligations under natural gas and crude oil leases, farm-out agreements and other restrictions. We do not believe that any of these burdens will materially interfere with our use of the properties.

Substantially all of our natural gas and crude oil properties, excluding properties held by PDCM and our share of the limited partnerships that we sponsor, have been mortgaged or pledged as security for our revolving credit facility. Substantially all of our Eastern Operating Region properties, excluding our Ohio properties, have been pledged as security for PDCM's credit facility. See Note 8, Long-Term Debt, to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report.

Facilities

We lease 41,710 square feet of office space in Denver, Colorado, which serves as our corporate offices, through December 2015. We own a 32,000 square feet administrative office building located in Bridgeport, West Virginia, where we also lease approximately 18,600 square feet of office space in a second building through October 2014.

We own or lease field operating facilities in the following locations:

Colorado: Evans, Parachute and Wray

Pennsylvania: Indiana and Mahaffey

West Virginia: Bridgeport, Buckhannon and Glenville

Ohio: Marietta

Governmental Regulation

While the prices of natural gas and crude oil are market driven, other aspects of our business and the industry in general are heavily regulated. The availability of a ready market for natural gas and crude oil production depends on several factors that are beyond our control. These factors include, but are not limited to, regulation of production, federal and state regulations governing environmental quality and pollution control, the amount of natural gas and crude oil available for sale, the availability of adequate pipeline and other transportation and processing facilities and the marketing of competitive fuels. In general, state and federal regulations are intended to protect consumers from unfair treatment and oppressive control, reduce environmental and health risks from the development and transportation of natural gas and crude oil, prevent misuse of natural gas and crude oil and protect rights among owners in a common reservoir. Pipelines are subject to the jurisdiction of various federal, state and local agencies. In the western part of the U.S., governments own a large percentage of the land and control the right to develop natural gas and crude oil. Government leases may be subject to additional regulations and controls not common to private leases. We believe that we are in compliance with such statutes, rules, regulations and governmental orders, although there can be no assurance that this is or will remain the case. The following summary discussion on the regulation of the U.S. oil and gas industry is not intended to constitute a complete discussion of the various statutes, rules, regulations and environmental directives to which our operations may be subject.

Regulation of Natural Gas and Crude Oil Exploration and Production. Our exploration and production business is subject to various federal, state and local laws and regulations on the taxation of natural gas and crude oil, the development, production and marketing of natural gas and crude oil and environmental and safety matters. Many laws and regulations require drilling permits and govern the spacing of wells, rates of production, water discharge, prevention of waste and other matters. Prior to commencing drilling activities for a well, we must procure permits and/or approvals for the various stages of the drilling process from the applicable state and local agencies where the well being drilled is located. Additionally, other regulated matters include:

- bond requirements in order to drill or operate wells;
- well locations;
- drilling and casing methods;
- surface use and restoration of well properties;
- well plugging and abandoning;
- fluid disposal; and
- air emissions.

In addition, our drilling activities involve hydraulic fracturing, which may be subject to additional federal and state disclosure and regulatory requirements discussed in "Environmental Matters" below and in Item 1A, Risk Factors.

Our operations are also subject to various conservation laws and regulations. These include the regulation of the size of drilling and spacing units or proration units, the density of wells which may be drilled and the unitization or pooling of properties. In this regard, some states allow the forced pooling or integration of tracts to facilitate exploration while other states rely primarily or exclusively on voluntary pooling of lands and leases. In areas where pooling is voluntary, it may be more difficult to form units, and therefore, more difficult to develop a project if the operator owns less than 100% of the leasehold. State laws may establish maximum rates of production from natural gas and crude oil wells, generally prohibiting the venting or flaring of natural gas and imposing certain requirements regarding the ratability of production. State or federal leases often include additional regulations and conditions. The effect of these regulations may limit the amount of natural gas and crude oil we can produce from our wells and may limit the number of wells or the locations at which we can drill. Such laws and regulations may increase the costs of planning, designing, drilling, installing, operating and abandoning our natural gas and crude oil wells and other facilities. These laws and regulations, and any others that are passed by the jurisdictions where we have production, can limit the total number of wells drilled or the allowable production from successful wells, which can limit our reserves. As a result, we are unable to predict the future cost or effect of complying with such regulations.

Regulation of Sales and Transportation of Natural Gas. We move natural gas through pipelines owned by other companies and sell natural gas to other companies that also utilize common carrier pipeline facilities. Natural gas pipeline interstate transmission and storage activities are subject to regulation by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission ("FERC") under the Natural Gas Act of 1938 ("NGA") and under the Natural Gas Policy Act of 1978, and, as such, rates and charges for the transportation of natural gas in interstate commerce, accounting, and the

extension, enlargement or abandonment of its jurisdictional facilities, among other things, are subject to regulation. Each natural gas pipeline company holds certificates of public convenience and necessity issued by FERC authorizing ownership and operation of all pipelines, facilities and properties for which certificates are required under the NGA. Each natural gas pipeline company is also subject to the Natural Gas Pipeline Safety Act of 1968, as amended, which regulates safety requirements in the design, construction, operation and maintenance of interstate natural gas transmission facilities. FERC regulations govern how interstate pipelines communicate and do business with their affiliates. Interstate pipelines may not operate their pipeline systems to preferentially benefit their marketing affiliates.

Each interstate natural gas pipeline company establishes its rates primarily through FERC's rate-making process. Key determinants in the ratemaking process are:

- costs of providing service, including depreciation expense;
- allowed rate of return, including the equity component of the capital structure and related income taxes; and
- volume throughput assumptions.

The availability, terms and cost of transportation affect our natural gas sales. Competition among suppliers has greatly increased and traditional long-term producer-pipeline contracts are rare. Furthermore, gathering facilities of interstate pipelines are no longer regulated by FERC, thus allowing gatherers to charge higher gathering rates. Historically, producers were able to flow supplies into interstate pipelines on an interruptible basis; however, recently we have seen the increased need to acquire firm transportation on pipelines in order to avoid curtailments or shut-in gas, which could adversely affect cash flows from the affected area.

Additional proposals and proceedings that might affect the industry occur frequently in Congress, FERC, state commissions, state legislatures and the courts. The industry historically has been very heavily regulated; therefore, there is no assurance that the less stringent regulatory approach recently pursued by FERC and Congress will continue. We cannot determine to what extent our future operations and earnings will be affected by new legislation, new regulations, or changes in existing regulation, at federal, state or local levels.

Environmental Matters

Our operations are subject to numerous laws and regulations governing the discharge of materials into the environment or otherwise relating to environmental protection. Public interest in the protection of the environment has increased dramatically in recent years. The trend of more expansive and restrictive environmental legislation and regulations is expected to continue. To the extent laws are enacted or other governmental actions are taken restricting drilling or imposing environmental protection requirements resulting in increased costs, our business and prospects may be adversely affected.

We generate wastes that may be subject to the Federal Resource Conservation and Recovery Act ("RCRA") and comparable state statutes. The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") and various state agencies have adopted requirements that limit the approved disposal methods for certain hazardous and non-hazardous wastes. Furthermore, certain wastes generated by our operations that are currently exempt from treatment as "hazardous wastes" may in the future be designated as "hazardous wastes," and therefore may subject us to more rigorous and costly operating and disposal requirements.

Hydraulic fracturing is commonly used to stimulate production of natural gas and/or crude oil from dense subsurface rock formations. We routinely apply fracturing in our natural gas and crude oil production programs. The process involves the injection of water, sand and additives under pressure into a targeted subsurface formation. The water and pressure create fractures in the rock formations, which are held open by the grains of sand, enabling the crude oil or natural gas to flow to the wellbore. The process is generally subject to regulation by state oil and gas commissions.

However, the EPA recently asserted federal regulatory authority over certain fracturing activities involving diesel fuel under the federal Safe Drinking Water Act ("SDWA"), and has begun the process of drafting guidance documents related to this newly asserted regulatory authority. In addition, legislation has been introduced before Congress, called the Fracturing Responsibility and Awareness of Chemicals Act, to provide for federal regulation of hydraulic fracturing and to require disclosure of the chemicals used in the hydraulic fracturing process.

Certain states in which we operate, including Colorado, Pennsylvania and Ohio, have adopted, and other states are considering adopting, regulations that could impose more stringent permitting, transparency and well construction requirements on hydraulic fracturing operations or otherwise seek to ban fracturing activities altogether. In December 2011, Colorado adopted a fracturing chemical disclosure rule, wherein all chemicals used in the hydraulic fracturing of a well must be reported in a publicly searchable registry website developed and maintained by the Ground Water Protection Council and Interstate Oil and Gas Compact Commission ("Frac Focus"). The new rules also require operators seeking new location approvals to provide certain disclosures regarding fracturing to surface owners and adjacent property owners within 500 feet of a new well. In December 2012 and February 2013, Colorado finalized a baseline groundwater sampling rule and a new rule governing setback distances of oil and gas wells located near population centers. See further discussion in Item 1A, Risk Factors.

In December 2011, West Virginia enacted the Natural Gas Horizontal Well Control Act and amendments to existing laws that together establish a comprehensive, detailed system for permitting and regulation of horizontal natural gas wells. The new law applies to most proposed new natural gas wells. The law imposes far more detailed permitting and regulatory requirements than prior law, and requires further study and authorizes potential rulemaking by the West Virginia Department of Environmental Protection ("DEP"). Among the new regulatory requirements are: detailed surface owner compensation requirements; performance standards applicable to disposal of drilling cuttings and associated drilling mud, protection of quantity and quality of surface and groundwater systems; advance designation of water withdrawal locations to the DEP and recordkeeping and reporting for all flowback and produced water; and restrictions on well locations. In early 2012, officials of the Ohio Department

of Natural Resources imposed a moratorium on injection drilling of wastewater from fracturing operations within a five-mile radius of a well that was suspected as contributing to the cause of earthquakes in the area. Regulation was also enacted requiring the disclosure of chemicals used in hydraulic fracturing and any chemicals used when drilling through a drinking water zone.

The White House Council on Environmental Quality is coordinating an administration-wide review of hydraulic fracturing practices and a committee of the U.S. House of Representatives has conducted an investigation of hydraulic fracturing practices. Furthermore, a number of federal agencies are analyzing, or have been requested to review, environmental issues associated with hydraulic fracturing. The EPA has commenced a study of the potential environmental effects of hydraulic fracturing on drinking water and groundwater, with final results expected by 2014. In addition, the U.S. Department of Energy is conducting an investigation into practices the agency could recommend to better protect the environment from drilling using hydraulic fracturing completion methods. The U.S. Department of the Interior is conducting a rule making, likely to result in new disclosure requirements and other mandates for hydraulic fracturing on federal lands. These ongoing studies, depending on their degree of pursuit and any meaningful results obtained, could spur initiatives to further regulate hydraulic fracturing under the SDWA or other regulatory mechanisms.

In Colorado, local governing bodies have begun to issue drilling moratoriums, develop jurisdictional siting, permitting and operating requirements, and conduct air quality studies to identify potential public health impacts. For instance, the City of Fort Collins, Colorado, adopted on February 19, 2013 a ban on drilling and fracturing of new wells within city limits. If new laws or regulations that significantly restrict hydraulic fracturing or well locations are adopted at the state and local level, such laws could make it more difficult or costly for us to perform fracturing to stimulate production from dense subsurface rock formations and, in the event of local prohibitions against commercial production of natural gas, may preclude our ability to drill wells. If hydraulic fracturing becomes regulated at the federal level as a result of federal legislation or regulatory initiatives by the EPA or other federal agencies, our fracturing activities could become subject to additional permitting requirements and result in permitting delays, as well as potential increases in costs. Restrictions on hydraulic fracturing could also reduce the amount of crude oil and natural gas that we are ultimately able to produce from our reserves.

We currently own or lease numerous properties that for many years have been used for the exploration and production of natural gas and crude oil. Although we believe that we have utilized good operating and waste disposal practices, and when necessary, appropriate remediation techniques, prior owners and operators of these properties may have operated prior to the enactment of applicable laws now governing these areas, or may not have utilized similar practices and techniques and hydrocarbons or other wastes may have been disposed of or released on or under the properties that we own or lease or on or under locations where such wastes have been taken for disposal. These properties and the wastes disposed thereon may be subject to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act ("CERCLA"), RCRA and analogous state laws, as well as state laws governing the management of natural gas and crude oil wastes. Under such laws, we may be required to remove or remediate previously disposed wastes (including wastes disposed of or released by prior owners or operators) or remediate property contamination (including surface and groundwater contamination) or to perform remedial plugging operations to prevent future contamination.

CERCLA and similar state laws impose liability, without regard to fault or the legality of the original conduct, on certain classes of persons that are considered to have contributed to the release of a "hazardous substance" into the environment. These persons include the owner or operator of the disposal site or sites where the release occurred and companies that disposed of or arranged for the disposal of the hazardous substances found at the site. Persons who are or were responsible for release of hazardous substances under CERCLA may be subject to full liability for the costs of cleaning up the hazardous substances that have been released into the environment and for damages to natural resources, and it is not uncommon for neighboring landowners and other third parties to file claims for personal injury

and property damage allegedly caused by the hazardous substances released into the environment. As an owner and operator of natural gas and crude oil wells, we may be liable pursuant to CERCLA and similar state laws.

Our operations are subject to the federal Clean Air Act ("CAA") and comparable state and local requirements. Amendments to the CAA were adopted in 1990 and contain provisions that may result in the gradual imposition of certain pollution control requirements with respect to air emissions from our operations. The EPA and states have been developing regulations to implement these requirements. We may be required to incur certain capital expenditures in the next several years for air pollution control equipment in connection with maintaining or obtaining operating permits and approvals addressing other air emission-related issues. Greenhouse gas record keeping and reporting requirements of the CAA became effective in 2011 and will continue into the future with increased costs for administration and implementation of controls. The New Source Performance Standards regarding oil and gas operations ("NSPS 0000") introduced by the EPA in 2011 became effective in 2012, adding administrative and operational costs. Colorado partially adopted the requirements of NSPS 0000 in 2012 and will consider full adoption in 2013.

The federal Clean Water Act ("CWA") and analogous state laws impose strict controls against the discharge of pollutants and fill material, including spills and leaks of crude oil and other substances. The CWA also requires approval and/or permits prior to construction, where construction will disturb wetlands or other waters of the U.S. The CWA also regulates storm water run-off from natural gas and crude oil facilities and requires storm water discharge permits for certain activities. Spill Prevention, Control, and Countermeasure ("SPCC") requirements of the CWA require appropriate secondary containment loadout controls, piping controls, berms and other measures to help prevent the contamination of navigable waters in the event of a petroleum hydrocarbon spill, rupture or leak.

Crude oil production is subject to many of the same operating hazards and environmental concerns as natural gas production, but is also subject to the risk of crude oil spills. Federal regulations require certain owners or operators of facilities that store or otherwise handle crude oil, including us, to procure and implement additional SPCC measures relating to the possible discharge of crude oil into surface waters. The

Oil Pollution Act of 1990 ("OPA") subjects owners of facilities to strict joint and several liability for all containment and cleanup costs and certain other damages arising from crude oil spills. Noncompliance with OPA may result in varying civil and criminal penalties and liabilities. Historically, we have not experienced any significant crude oil discharge or crude oil spill problems. Our shift in production since mid-2010 to a greater percentage of crude oil enhances our risks related to soil and water contamination.

Our costs relating to protecting the environment have risen over the past few years and are expected to continue to rise in 2013 and beyond. Environmental regulations have increased our costs and planning time, but have had no materially adverse effect on our ability to operate to date. However, no assurance can be given that environmental regulations or interpretations of such regulations will not, in the future, result in a curtailment of production or otherwise have a materially adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations. See Note 11, Commitments and Contingencies, to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report.

Operating Hazards and Insurance

Our exploration and production operations include a variety of operating risks, including, but not limited to, the risk of fire, explosions, blowouts, cratering, pipe failure, casing collapse, abnormally pressured formations and environmental hazards such as gas leaks, ruptures and discharges of natural gas and crude oil. The occurrence of any of these could result in substantial losses to us due to injury and loss of life, severe damage to and destruction of property, natural resources and equipment, pollution and other environmental damage, clean-up responsibilities, regulatory investigation and penalties and suspension of operations. Our pipeline, gathering and distribution operations are subject to the many hazards inherent in the industry. These hazards include damage to wells, pipelines and other related equipment, damage to property caused by hurricanes, floods, fires and other acts of God, inadvertent damage from construction equipment, leakage of natural gas and other hydrocarbons, fires and explosions and other hazards that could also result in personal injury and loss of life, pollution and suspension of operations.

Any significant problems related to our facilities could adversely affect our ability to conduct our operations. In accordance with customary industry practice, we maintain insurance against some, but not all, potential risks; however, there can be no assurance that such insurance will be adequate to cover any losses or exposure for liability. The occurrence of a significant event not fully insured against could materially adversely affect our operations and financial condition. We cannot predict whether insurance will continue to be available at premium levels that justify our purchase or will be available at all. Furthermore, we are not insured against our economic losses resulting from damage or destruction to third-party property, such as transportation pipelines, crude oil refineries or natural gas processing facilities. Such an event could result in significantly lower regional prices or our inability to deliver gas.

Competition and Technological Changes

We believe that our exploration, drilling and production capabilities and the experience of our management and professional staff generally enable us to compete effectively. We encounter competition from numerous other natural gas and crude oil companies, drilling and income programs and partnerships in all areas of operations, including drilling and marketing natural gas and crude oil and obtaining desirable natural gas and crude oil leases on producing properties. Many of these competitors possess larger staffs and greater financial resources than we do, which may enable them to identify and acquire desirable producing properties and drilling prospects more economically. Our ability to explore for natural gas and crude oil prospects and to acquire additional properties in the future depends upon our ability to conduct our operations, evaluate and select suitable properties and consummate transactions in this highly competitive environment. We also face intense competition in the marketing of natural gas from competitors including other producers, as well as marketing companies. Also, international developments and the possible improved economics of domestic natural gas exploration may influence other companies to increase their domestic natural gas and crude oil exploration. Furthermore, competition among companies for favorable prospects can be

expected to continue and it is anticipated that the cost of acquiring properties will increase in the future.

Recently, certain regions experienced strong demand for drilling services and supplies, which resulted in increasing costs. Our Wattenberg Field and Eastern Operating Region experienced intense competition for drilling and pumping services. Factors affecting competition in the industry include price, location of drilling, availability of drilling prospects and drilling rigs, fracturing services, pipeline capacity, quality of production and volumes produced. We believe that we can compete effectively in the industry in each of the areas where we have operations. Nevertheless, our business, financial condition and results of operations could be materially adversely affected by competition. We also compete with other natural gas and crude oil companies, as well as companies in other industries, for the capital we need to conduct our operations. Should economic conditions deteriorate and financing become more expensive and difficult to obtain, we may not have adequate capital to execute our business plan and we may be forced to curtail our drilling and acquisition activities.

The oil and gas industry is characterized by rapid and significant technological advancements and introductions of new products and services using new technologies. If one or more of the technologies we use now or in the future were to become obsolete or if we are unable to use the most advanced commercially available technology, our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows could be materially adversely affected.

Employees

As of December 31, 2012, we had 421 employees. Our employees are not covered by a collective bargaining agreement. We consider relations with our employees to be good.

Our engineers, supervisors and well tenders are responsible for the day-to-day operation of wells and some pipeline systems. Much of the work associated with drilling, completing and connecting wells, including fracturing, logging and pipeline construction, is performed under our direction by subcontractors specializing in these activities as is common in the industry.

WHERE YOU CAN FIND ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

We file annual, quarterly and current reports, proxy statements and other information with the SEC. Our SEC filings are available free of charge from the SEC's website at www.sec.gov or from our website at www.pdce.com. You may also read or copy any document we file at the SEC's public reference room in Washington, D.C., located at 100 F Street, N.E., Room 1580, Washington, D.C. 20549. Please call the SEC at (800) SEC-0330 for further information on the public reference room. We also make available free of charge any of our SEC filings by mail. For a mailed copy of a report, please contact PDC Energy Inc., Investor Relations, 1775 Sherman Street, Suite 3000, Denver, CO 80203, or call (800) 624-3821.

We recommend that you view our website for additional information, as we routinely post information that we believe is important for investors. Our website can be used to access such information as our recent news releases, committee charters, code of business conduct and ethics, shareholder communication policy, director nomination procedures and our whistle-blower hotline. While we recommend that you view our website, the information available on our website is not part of this report and is not hereby incorporated by reference.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

You should carefully consider the following risk factors in addition to the other information included in this report. Each of these risk factors could adversely affect our business, operating results and financial condition, as well as adversely affect the value of an investment in our common stock or other securities.

Natural gas, crude oil and NGL prices fluctuate and a decline in these prices can significantly affect the value of our assets and our financial results and impede our growth.

Our revenue, profitability and cash flows depend in large part upon the prices and demand for natural gas, NGLs and crude oil. The markets for these commodities can be volatile, and significant drops in prices can negatively affect our financial results and impede our growth. For example, in much of 2012, natural gas prices were too low to economically justify drilling operations in several areas, and the outlook for natural gas prices remains weak due largely to increased supply and large inventories in storage. Similarly, NGL prices have decreased in some recent periods due to increased development activities in a variety of basins across the U.S. Changes in commodity prices have a significant effect on our cash flows and on the value and quantity of our reserves, which can in turn reduce the borrowing base under our revolving credit facility. Prices for natural gas, NGLs and crude oil may fluctuate in response to relatively minor changes in supply and demand, market uncertainty and a variety of additional factors that are beyond our control, including national and international economic and political factors and federal and state legislation. For example, any substantial reduction in the growth rate of China could affect global oil prices significantly, and continued weakness in the overall economic environment could adversely affect all commodity prices. In addition to factors affecting the price of oil, NGLs and natural gas generally, the prices we receive for our production are affected by factors specific to us and to the local markets where the production occurs. Pricing can be influenced by, among other things, local or regional supply and demand factors (such as refinery or pipeline capacity issues, trade restrictions and governmental regulations) and the terms of our sales contracts.

Lower commodity prices may not only reduce our revenues, but also may reduce the amount of natural gas, NGLs and crude oil that we can produce economically. As a result, we may have to make substantial downward adjustments to our estimated proved reserves if prices decline or remain at depressed levels for extended periods. If this occurs or if our estimates of development costs increase, production data factors change or our exploration results deteriorate, accounting rules may require us to write-down operating assets to fair value, as a non-cash charge to earnings. We assess impairment of capitalized costs of proved properties by comparing net capitalized costs to estimated undiscounted future net cash flows on a field-by-field basis using estimated production based upon prices at which management reasonably estimates such products may be sold. For example, in 2011, we recorded an impairment charge related to our NECO proved natural gas and crude oil properties of \$22.5 million and in 2012 we recorded an impairment charge of \$161.2 million related to our Piceance Basin proved oil and natural gas properties. We may incur additional impairment charges in the future, which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

The marketability of our production is dependent upon limited transportation and processing facilities over which we may have no control. Market conditions or operational impediments, including high line pressures, particularly in the Wattenberg Field, and other impediments affecting gathering and transportation systems, could hinder our access to natural gas, crude oil and NGL markets or delay production and thereby adversely affect our profitability.

Our ability to market our production depends in substantial part on the availability, proximity and capacity of gathering systems, pipelines and processing facilities owned and operated by third parties. If adequate gathering, processing and transportation facilities are not available to us on a timely basis and at acceptable costs, our production and results of operations will be adversely affected. For example, due to increased drilling activities by third parties and unusually hot temperatures in Colorado in the second half of 2012, the principal third-party provider we use in the

Wattenberg area for these facilities and services experienced high line pressure, and the resulting capacity constraints impacted the productivity of some of our older wells and limited the incremental production impact of our newer horizontal wells. As a result, we have seen an impact on our production and a related decrease in revenue from the impacted wells. Thus, our profitability has been adversely affected, and will continue to be affected until available capacity increases or alternative arrangements are available. Additional pipelines and facilities are being planned for the area but are not expected to be completed until the latter part of 2013. Capacity constraints affecting natural gas production also impact our ability to produce the associated NGLs. We may face similar risks in other areas, including our Utica operating area, as gathering/processing infrastructure is currently in the development phase. We are also dependent on third party pipeline infrastructure to deliver our natural gas production to market in the Marcellus and Piceance Basin areas.

Federal, state and local legislative and regulatory initiatives and litigation relating to hydraulic fracturing could result in increased costs and additional drilling and operating restrictions or delays in the production of natural gas, NGLs and crude oil, including from the development of shale plays. A decline in the drilling of new wells and related servicing activities caused by these initiatives and litigation could adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Most of our drilling uses hydraulic fracturing. Hydraulic fracturing is an important and commonly used process in the completion of unconventional wells in shale, coalbed and tight sand formations. Proposals have been introduced in the U.S. Congress to regulate hydraulic fracturing operations and related injection of fracturing fluids and propping agents used by the oil and natural gas industry in fracturing fluids under the Safe Drinking Water Act (“SDWA”), and to require the disclosure of chemicals used in the hydraulic fracturing process under the SDWA, the Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act (“EPCRA”), or other laws. Sponsors of these bills, which have been subject to various proceedings in the legislative process, including in the House Energy and Commerce Committee and the Senate Environmental and Public Works Committee, have asserted that chemicals used in the fracturing process could adversely affect drinking water supplies and otherwise cause adverse environmental impacts. The Chairman of the House Energy and Commerce Committee has initiated an investigation

of the potential impacts of hydraulic fracturing, which has involved seeking information about fracturing activities and chemicals from certain companies in the oil and natural gas sector. The Environmental Protection Agency (the "EPA") has recently focused on citizen concerns about the risk of water contamination and public health problems from drilling and hydraulic fracturing activities, and conducted public meetings around the country on this issue which have been well publicized and well attended. In March 2011, the EPA announced its intention to conduct a comprehensive research study on the potential adverse impacts that hydraulic fracturing may have on water quality and public health. The EPA issued an initial report about the study in December 2012. The initial report described the focus of the continuing study but did not include any data concerning EPA's efforts to date, nor did it draw any conclusions about the safety of hydraulic fracturing. Final results, including data and conclusions, are expected in 2014.

The EPA has also begun a Toxic Substances Control Act rulemaking which will collect expansive information on the chemicals used in hydraulic fracturing fluid, as well as other health-related data, from chemical manufacturers and processors. EPA also has finalized major new Clean Air Act ("CAA") standards (New Source Performance Standards, or NSPS, and National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants, or NESHAPs) applicable to hydraulically fractured natural gas wells. The standards will require, among other things, use of reduced emission completions, or green completions, to reduce volatile organic compound emissions from well completions as well as new controls applicable to a wide variety of storage tanks. While most key provisions in the new CAA standards are not effective until 2015, the rules associated with such standards are substantial and may increase future costs of our operations and will require us to make modifications to our operations and install new equipment. EPA has also issued and is in the process of finalizing permitting guidance for hydraulically fractured wells where diesel is used. It remains to be seen how broadly applicable the diesel guidance will be, but it has the potential to create duplicative requirements, further slow down the permitting process in certain areas, and increase the costs of operations. Certain other federal agencies are analyzing, or have been requested to review, environmental issues associated with hydraulic fracturing. The U.S. Department of the Interior, through the Bureau of Land Management, is currently conducting a rulemaking that will require, among other things, disclosure of chemicals and more stringent well integrity measures associated with hydraulic fracturing operations on public land.

In addition, certain state governments, including the states of Colorado, Pennsylvania, Ohio, and West Virginia, have adopted or are considering adopting laws and regulations that impose or could impose, among other requirements, stringent permitting, disclosure, wastewater disposal, baseline sampling, well construction and well location requirements on hydraulic fracturing operations or otherwise seek to ban underground injection of fracturing wastewater or fracturing activities altogether. Some municipalities and local governments, including most recently the city of Fort Collins in Colorado, have adopted or are considering similar actions. In addition, lawsuits have been filed against unrelated third parties in Pennsylvania, New York, Arkansas and several other states alleging contamination of drinking water by hydraulic fracturing. Increased regulation and attention given to the hydraulic fracturing process could lead to greater opposition, including litigation, to natural gas, crude oil and NGL production activities using hydraulic fracturing techniques. Additional legislation, regulation, or litigation could also lead to operational delays or lead us to incur increased operating costs in the production of natural gas, NGLs and crude oil, including from the development of shale plays, or could make it more difficult to perform hydraulic fracturing or other drilling activities. If these legislative, regulatory, and litigation initiatives cause a material decrease in the drilling of new wells and in related servicing activities, our profitability could be materially impacted.

Environmental and overall public scrutiny focused on the oil and gas industry is increasing. The current trend is to increase regulation of our operations and the industry. We are subject to complex federal, state, local and other laws and regulations that could adversely affect the cost, manner or feasibility of doing business.

Our exploration, development, production and marketing operations are regulated extensively at the federal, state, and local levels. Environmental and other governmental laws and regulations have increased our costs to plan, design, drill, install, operate and abandon natural gas and crude oil wells. Under these laws and regulations, we could also be

liable for personal injuries, property damage and natural resource or other damages. Similar to our competitors, we incur substantial operating and capital costs to comply with such laws and regulations. These compliance costs may put us at a competitive disadvantage compared to larger companies in the industry which can spread such additional costs over a greater number of wells and larger operating staff. Failure to comply with these laws and regulations may result in the suspension or termination of our operations and subject us to administrative, civil and criminal penalties. Moreover, public interest in environmental protection has increased in recent years-particularly with respect to hydraulic fracturing-and environmental organizations have opposed, with some success, certain drilling projects.

In addition, our activities are subject to regulations governing conservation practices, protection of wildlife and habitat and protection of correlative rights by state governments. These regulations affect our operations, increase our costs of exploration and production and limit the quantity of natural gas, NGLs and crude oil that we can produce and market. A major risk inherent in our drilling plans is the possibility that we will be unable to obtain needed drilling permits from state and local authorities in a timely manner. Delays in obtaining regulatory approvals or drilling permits, the failure to obtain a drilling permit for a well or the receipt of a permit with unreasonable conditions or costs could have a material adverse effect on our ability to explore or develop our properties.

Additionally, the natural gas and crude oil regulatory environment could change in ways that might substantially increase our financial and managerial costs to comply with the requirements of these laws and regulations and, consequently, adversely affect our profitability. At the state level, for instance, the Colorado Oil and Gas Conservation Commission ("COGCC") issued a new rule governing mandatory minimum spacing, or setbacks, between oil and gas wells and occupied buildings and other areas. Similarly, it is expected that the COGCC may undertake a rulemaking focused on wellbore integrity in 2013 that would increase requirements in this area. In addition to increasing costs of operation, these rules could prevent us from drilling wells on certain locations we plan to develop, thereby reducing our reserves as well as our future revenues. The COGCC has also recently concluded a rulemaking that will require baseline sampling of certain

ground and surface water in most areas of Colorado. These new sampling requirements could increase the costs of developing wells in certain locations.

Some local governmental bodies, for instance Longmont, Colorado, have adopted or are considering regulations regarding, among others things, land use, requiring the posting of bonds to secure restoration obligations and limiting hydraulic fracturing and other drilling activities, and these regulations may limit, delay or prohibit exploration and development activities or make those activities more expensive. Additionally, state and local governments are undertaking air quality studies to assess potential public health impacts from oil and gas operations. These studies may result in the imposition of additional regulatory requirements on oil and gas operations.

The BP crude oil spill in the Gulf of Mexico and generally heightened industry scrutiny has resulted and may result in new state and federal safety and environmental laws, regulations, guidelines and enforcement interpretations. The EPA has recently focused on citizen concerns about the risk of water contamination and public health problems from drilling and hydraulic fracturing activities, and conducted public meetings around the country on this issue which have been well publicized and well attended. This renewed focus could lead to additional federal, state and local laws and regulations affecting our drilling, fracturing and other operations.

Other potential laws and regulations affecting us include new or increased severance taxes proposed in several states, including Pennsylvania. This could adversely affect the existing operations in these states and the economic viability of future drilling. Additional laws, regulations or other changes could significantly reduce our future growth, increase our costs of operations and reduce our cash flows, in addition to undermining the demand for the natural gas, NGLs and crude oil we produce.

Our ability to produce natural gas and crude oil could be impaired if we are unable to acquire adequate supplies of water for our drilling and completion operations or are unable to dispose of the water we use at a reasonable cost and within applicable environmental rules.

Our operations could be adversely impacted if we are unable to locate sufficient amounts of water, or dispose of or recycle water used in our exploration and production operations. Currently, the quantity of water required in certain completion operations, such as hydraulic fracturing, and changing regulations governing usage may lead to water constraints and supply concerns (particularly in some parts of the country). In addition, Colorado and other western states have recently experienced a drought. As a result, future availability of water from certain sources used in the past may be limited. Moreover, the imposition of new environmental initiatives and conditions could include restrictions on our ability to conduct certain operations such as hydraulic fracturing or disposal of waste, including, but not limited to, produced water, drilling fluids and other wastes associated with the exploration, development or production of oil and natural gas. The federal Clean Water Act ("CWA") and analogous state laws impose restrictions and strict controls regarding the discharge of pollutants, including produced waters and other oil and natural gas waste, into navigable waters or other regulated state waters. Permits or other approvals must be obtained to discharge pollutants to regulated waters and to conduct construction activities in such waters and wetlands. Uncertainty regarding regulatory jurisdiction over wetlands and other regulated waters has, and will continue to, complicate and increase the cost of obtaining such permits or other approvals. The CWA and analogous state laws provide for civil, criminal and administrative penalties for any unauthorized discharges of pollutants and unauthorized discharges of reportable quantities of oil and other hazardous substances. Many state discharge regulations, and the Federal National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System general permits issued by the EPA, prohibit the discharge of produced water and sand, drilling fluids, drill cuttings and certain other substances related to the oil and natural gas industry into coastal waters. While generally exempt under federal programs, many state agencies have also adopted regulations requiring certain oil and natural gas exploration and production facilities to obtain permits for storm water discharges. In October 2011, the EPA announced its intention to develop federal pretreatment standards for wastewater discharges associated with hydraulic fracturing activities. If adopted, the pretreatment rules will require coalbed methane and

shale gas operations to pretreat wastewater before transferring it to treatment facilities. Proposed rules are expected in 2013 for coalbed methane production and in 2014 for shale gas production. Some states, including Pennsylvania, have banned the treatment of fracturing wastewater at publicly owned treatment facilities. There has been recent nationwide concern, particularly in Ohio, over earthquakes associated with Class II underground injection control wells, a predominant storage method for crude oil and gas wastewater. It is likely that new rules and regulations will be developed to address these concerns, possibly eliminating access to Class II wells in certain locations, and increasing the cost of disposal in others. Finally, the EPA study noted above has focused and will continue to focus on various stages of water use in hydraulic fracturing operations. It is possible that, following the conclusion of EPA's study, the agency will move to more strictly regulate the use of water in hydraulic fracturing operations. While we cannot predict the impact that these changes may have on our business at this time, they may be material to our business, financial condition, and operations. Compliance with environmental regulations and permit requirements governing the withdrawal, storage and use of surface water or groundwater necessary for hydraulic fracturing of wells or the disposal or recycling of water will increase our operating costs and may cause delays, interruptions or termination of our operations, the extent of which cannot be predicted. In addition, our inability to meet our water supply needs to conduct our completion operations may impact our business, and any such future laws and regulations could negatively affect our financial condition and results of operations.

Acquisitions are subject to the uncertainties of evaluating recoverable reserves and potential liabilities, including environmental uncertainties.

Acquisitions of producing properties and undeveloped properties have been an important part of our historical and recent growth. We expect acquisitions will also contribute to our future growth. Successful acquisitions require an assessment of a number of factors, many of which are beyond our control. These factors include recoverable reserves, development potential, future commodity prices, operating costs, title issues and potential environmental and other liabilities. Such assessments are inexact and their accuracy is inherently uncertain. In connection with our assessments, we perform engineering, environmental, geological and geophysical reviews of the acquired properties, which we believe are generally consistent with industry practices. However, such reviews are not likely to permit us to become sufficiently familiar with the properties to fully assess their deficiencies and capabilities. We do not inspect every well prior to an acquisition and our

ability to evaluate undeveloped acreage is inherently imprecise. Even when we inspect a well, we may not always discover structural, subsurface and environmental problems that may exist or arise. In some cases, our review prior to signing a definitive purchase agreement may be even more limited. In addition, we often acquire acreage without any warranty of title except as to claims made by, through or under the transferor.

When we acquire properties, we will generally have potential exposure to liabilities and costs for environmental and other problems existing on the acquired properties, and these liabilities may exceed our estimates. Often we are not entitled to contractual indemnification associated with acquired properties. We often acquire interests in properties on an “as is” basis with no or limited remedies for breaches of representations and warranties. Therefore, we could incur significant unknown liabilities, including environmental liabilities, or losses due to title defects, in connection with acquisitions for which we have limited or no contractual remedies or insurance coverage. In addition, the acquisition of undeveloped acreage is subject to many inherent risks and we may not be able to realize efficiently, or at all, the assumed or expected economic benefits of acreage that we acquire.

Additionally, significant acquisitions can change the nature of our operations depending upon the character of the acquired properties, which may have substantially different operating and geological characteristics or may be in different geographic locations than our existing properties. These factors can increase the risks associated with an acquisition.

Acquisitions also present risks associated with the additional indebtedness that may be required to finance the purchase price, and any related increase in interest expense or other related charges.

A substantial part of our natural gas, NGLs and crude oil production is located in the Wattenberg Field, making it vulnerable to risks associated with operating primarily in a single geographic area. In addition, we have a large amount of proved reserves attributable to a small number of producing formations.

Our operations are focused primarily on the Wattenberg Field in our Western Operating Region, which means our current producing properties and new drilling opportunities are geographically concentrated in that area. Because our operations are not as diversified geographically as many of our competitors, the success of our operations and our profitability may be disproportionately exposed to the effect of any regional events, including fluctuations in prices of natural gas, NGLs and crude oil produced from the wells in the area, natural disasters, restrictive governmental regulations, transportation capacity constraints, curtailment of production or interruption of transportation, and any resulting delays or interruptions of production from existing or planned new wells. For example, the recent increase in activity in the Wattenberg Field has contributed to bottlenecks in processing and transportation that have negatively affected our results of operations, and these adverse effects may be disproportionately severe to us compared to our more geographically diverse competitors. Similarly, the concentration of our assets within a small number of producing formations, in particular the Niobrara, Codell and Marcellus formations, exposes us to risks, such as changes in field-wide rules that could adversely affect development activities or production relating to those formations. Such an event could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

Our estimated natural gas and crude oil reserves are based on many assumptions that may turn out to be inaccurate. Any material inaccuracies in these reserve estimates or underlying assumptions may materially affect the quantities and present value of our reserves.

Natural gas, crude oil and NGL reserve engineering requires subjective estimates of underground accumulations of natural hydrocarbons and assumptions concerning commodity prices, production levels, and operating and development costs over the economic life of the properties. As a result, estimated quantities of proved reserves and projections of future production rates and the timing of development expenditures may be inaccurate. Independent petroleum engineers prepare our estimates of natural gas, NGLs and crude oil reserves using pricing, production, cost,

tax and other information that we provide. The reserve estimates are based on certain assumptions regarding commodity prices, production levels, and operating and development costs that may prove incorrect. Any significant variance from these assumptions to actual results could greatly affect:

- the economically recoverable quantities of natural gas, NGLs and crude oil attributable to any particular group of properties;
- future depreciation, depletion and amortization (“DD&A”) rates and amounts;
- impairments in the value of our assets;
- the classifications of reserves based on risk of recovery;
- estimates of the future net cash flows;
- timing of our capital expenditures; and
- the amount of funds available for us to utilize under our revolving credit facility.

Some of our reserve estimates must be made with limited production histories, which renders these reserve estimates less reliable than estimates based on longer production histories. Horizontal drilling in the Wattenberg field is a relatively recent development, whereas vertical drilling has been used by producers in this field for over 40 years. As a result, the amount of production data from horizontal wells available to reserve engineers is relatively small, and future reserve estimates will be affected by additional production data as it becomes available. Further, reserve estimates are based on the volumes of natural gas, NGLs and crude oil that are anticipated to be economically recoverable from a given date forward based on economic conditions that exist at that date. The actual quantities of natural gas, NGLs and crude oil recovered would be different than the reserve estimates since they would not be produced under the same economic conditions as used for the reserve calculations. In addition, quantities of probable and possible reserves by definition are inherently more risky than proved reserves and are less likely to be recovered.

At December 31, 2012, approximately 57.6% of our estimated proved reserves (by volume) were undeveloped. These reserve estimates reflected our plans to make significant capital expenditures to convert our PUDs into proved developed reserves, including approximately \$1.6 billion during the five years ending in 2017. You should be aware that the estimated development costs may not be accurate, development may not occur as scheduled and results may not be as estimated. If we choose not to develop PUDs, or if we are not otherwise able to successfully develop them, we will be required to remove the associated volumes from our reported proved reserves. In addition, under the SEC's reserve reporting rules, because PUDs generally may be booked only if they relate to wells scheduled to be drilled within five years of the date of booking, we may be required to downgrade any PUDs that are not developed within this five-year time frame to probable or possible.

The present value of our estimated future net cash flows from proved reserves is not necessarily the same as the current market value of our estimated natural gas and crude oil reserves. The estimated discounted future net cash flows from proved reserves were based on the prior 12-month average natural gas and crude oil index prices. However, factors such as actual prices we receive for natural gas and crude oil and hedging instruments, the amount and timing of actual production, amount and timing of future development costs, supply of and demand for natural gas, NGLs and crude oil, and changes in governmental regulations or taxation also affect our actual future net cash flows from our natural gas and crude oil properties.

The timing of both our production and incurrence of expenses in connection with the development and production of natural gas, crude oil and NGL properties will affect the timing of actual future net cash flows from proved reserves, and thus their actual present value. In addition, the 10% discount factor (the rate required by the SEC) we use when calculating discounted future net cash flows may not be the most appropriate discount factor based on interest rates currently in effect and risks associated with our properties or the industry in general.

Unless reserves are replaced as they are produced, our reserves and production will decline, which would adversely affect our future business, financial condition and results of operations.

Producing natural gas, crude oil and NGL reservoirs are generally characterized by declining production rates that vary depending upon reservoir characteristics and other factors. The rate of decline will change if production from existing wells declines in a different manner than we estimated. The rate can change due to other circumstances as well. Our future reserves and production and, therefore, our cash flows and income, are highly dependent on our ability to efficiently develop and exploit our current reserves and to economically find or acquire additional recoverable reserves. We may not be able to develop, discover or acquire additional reserves to replace our current and future production at acceptable costs. Our failure to do so would adversely affect our future operations, financial condition and results of operations.

We may not be able to consummate additional prospective acquisitions of our drilling partnerships, which could adversely affect our business operations.

We have previously disclosed our intention to pursue, beginning in the fall of 2010 and extending through 2013, the acquisition of the limited partnership units held by non-affiliated investor partners in the drilling partnerships that we have sponsored. We have not budgeted for the acquisition of the largest remaining partnership in 2013. We also may be unable to make additional acquisitions of such affiliated drilling partnerships since consummation of any such acquisitions may be subject to the same procedural processes that were utilized in connection with our previously completed acquisitions of public drilling partnerships. Such procedural hurdles previously included, and may in the future include, among others:

- negotiation and execution of a merger agreement with a special committee, comprised entirely of non-employee directors, of our board of directors;

clearance from the SEC upon completion by each of the partnerships of their SEC proxy disclosure review process before the partnerships can request approval of the merger transactions from their non-affiliated investors; and approval by the holders of a majority of the limited partnership units held by the non-affiliated investors of each respective partnership.

In addition, certain former non-affiliated investor partners have initiated litigation concerning our acquisition of twelve limited partnerships in 2010 and 2011. Litigation challenges to further acquisitions are also possible. In addition, we will have incurred, and will remain liable for, transaction costs, including legal, accounting, financial advisory and other costs relating to any prospective acquisitions, including the costs of the financial and legal consultants to the special committee of our board of directors, whether or not the acquisitions are consummated. We currently do not have any drilling partnership acquisitions pending or planned in 2013. The occurrence of any of the risks associated with these potential transactions individually or in combination could have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

When drilling prospects, the wells we drill may not yield natural gas, NGLs or crude oil in commercially viable quantities.

A prospect is a property on which our geologists have identified what they believe, based on available information, to be indications of hydrocarbon-bearing rocks. However, our geologists cannot know conclusively prior to drilling and testing whether natural gas, NGLs or crude oil will be present at all or in sufficient quantities to repay drilling or completion costs and generate a profit given the available data and technology. If a well is determined to be dry or uneconomic, which can occur even though it contains some natural gas, NGLs or crude oil, it is classified as a dry hole and must be plugged and abandoned in accordance with applicable regulations. This generally results in the loss of the entire cost of drilling and completion to that point, the cost of plugging, and lease costs associated with the prospect. Even wells

that are completed and placed into production may not produce sufficient natural gas, NGLs and crude oil to be profitable. If we drill a dry hole or unprofitable well on current and future prospects, the profitability of our operations will decline and the value of our properties will likely be reduced. These risks are greater in developing areas such as the Utica Shale, where we are currently investing substantial capital. Exploratory drilling is typically subject to substantially greater risk than development drilling. In addition, initial results from a well are not necessarily indicative of its performance over a longer period.

Drilling for and producing natural gas, NGLs and crude oil are high risk activities with many uncertainties that could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations. Our drilling risk exposure may be increased as we have allocated most of our 2013 capital budget to drilling horizontal wells.

Drilling activities are subject to many risks, including the risk that we will not discover commercially productive reservoirs. Drilling for natural gas and crude oil can be unprofitable, not only due to dry holes, but also due to curtailments, delays or cancellations as a result of other factors, including:

- unusual or unexpected geological formations;
- pressures;
- fires;
- loss of well control;
- loss of drilling fluid circulation;
- title problems;
- facility or equipment malfunctions;
- unexpected operational events;
- shortages or delivery delays of equipment and services;
- compliance with environmental and other governmental requirements; and
- adverse weather conditions.

Any of these risks can cause substantial losses, including personal injury or loss of life, damage to or destruction of property, natural resources and equipment, pollution, environmental contamination or loss of wells and regulatory penalties. For example, a loss of containment of hydrocarbons during these activities could potentially subject us to civil and/or criminal liability and the possibility of substantial costs, including for environmental remediation, depending upon the circumstances of the loss of containment, the nature and scope of the loss and the applicable laws and regulations. We maintain insurance against various losses and liabilities arising from operations; however, insurance against certain operational risks may not be available or may be prohibitively expensive relative to the perceived risks presented. Thus, losses could occur for uninsurable or uninsured risks or for amounts in excess of existing insurance coverage. The occurrence of an event that is not fully covered by insurance and/or the governmental response to an event could have a material adverse effect on our business activities, financial condition and results of operations. We are currently involved in various remedial and investigatory activities at some of our wells and related sites. See Note 11, Commitments and Contingencies - Environmental, to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report.

Our business strategy focuses on production in our liquid-rich and high impact shale plays. In this regard, we plan to allocate our capital to an active horizontal drilling program. Historically, most of the wells we drilled were vertical wells. In 2012 and 2013, however, we have devoted the majority of our capital budget to drilling horizontal wells. Drilling horizontal wells is technologically more difficult than drilling vertical wells, including as a result of risks relating to our ability to fracture stimulate the planned number of stages and to successfully run casing the length of the well bore, and thus the risk of failure is greater than the risk involved in drilling vertical wells. Additionally, drilling horizontal wells is far costlier than drilling vertical wells. Consequently, because we are drilling far fewer total wells during 2013 as compared to many prior years, the risk of drilling a non-economic well will be relatively higher than if we were to drill a similar number of wells as we did in those prior years. Furthermore, because of the relatively

higher cost in drilling horizontal wells, a completed well to be successful economically will need to have production that will cover the higher drilling costs. While we believe that we will be better served by our drilling horizontal wells, the risk component involved in such drilling will be increased, with the result that we might find it more difficult to achieve economic success in our horizontal drilling program.

Under the “successful efforts” accounting method that we use, unsuccessful exploratory wells must be expensed in the period when they are determined to be non-productive, which reduces our net income in such periods and could have a negative effect on our profitability.

We conduct exploratory drilling in order to identify additional opportunities for future development. Under the “successful efforts” method of accounting that we use, the cost of unsuccessful exploratory wells must be charged to expense in the period in which the wells are determined to be unsuccessful. In addition, lease costs for acreage condemned by the unsuccessful well must also be expensed. In contrast, unsuccessful development wells are capitalized as a part of the investment in the field where they are located. Because exploratory wells generally are more likely to be unsuccessful than development wells, we anticipate that some or all of our exploratory wells may not be productive. The costs of such unsuccessful exploratory wells could result in a significant reduction in our profitability in periods when the costs are required to be expensed and could have a negative effect on our debt covenants.

Increasing finding and development costs may impair our profitability.

In order to continue to grow and maintain our profitability, we must add new reserves that exceed our production over time at a finding and development cost that yields an acceptable operating margin and DD&A rate. Without cost effective exploration, development or acquisition activities, our production, reserves and profitability will decline over time. Given the relative maturity of most natural gas and crude oil basins in North America and the high level of activity in the industry, the cost of finding new reserves through exploration and development operations has been increasing in some basins. The acquisition market for properties has become extremely competitive among producers for additional production and expanded drilling opportunities in North America. Acquisition values for crude oil properties climbed in 2010 and 2011 and these values may continue to increase in the future. This increase in finding and development costs results in higher DD&A rates. If the upward trend in crude oil finding and development costs continues, we will be exposed to an increased likelihood of a write-down in the carrying value of our crude oil properties in response to any future decrease in commodity prices and/or reduction in the profitability of our operations.

Depressed natural gas prices could result in significant impairment charges and significant downward revisions of proved natural gas reserves.

The domestic natural gas market remains weak. Low natural gas prices could result in significant impairment charges in the future. The cash flow model we use to assess properties for impairment includes numerous assumptions, such as management's estimates of future oil and gas production and commodity prices, market outlook on forward commodity prices and operating and development costs. All inputs to the cash flow model must be evaluated at each date that the estimate of future cash flows for each producing basin is calculated. However, a significant decrease in long-term forward natural gas prices alone could result in a significant impairment for our properties that are sensitive to declines in natural gas prices. In December 2012, we recognized an impairment charge of \$161.2 million associated with our Piceance Basin proved oil and natural gas properties and similar charges could occur in the future. In addition, low natural gas prices could result in significant downward revisions to our proved natural gas reserves.

Our development and exploration operations require substantial capital, and we may be unable to obtain needed capital or financing on satisfactory terms, which could lead to a loss of properties and a decline in our production and reserves, and ultimately our profitability.

Our industry is capital intensive. We expect to continue to make substantial capital expenditures in our business and operations for the exploration, development, production and acquisition of natural gas, crude oil and NGL reserves. To date, we have financed capital expenditures primarily with bank borrowings under our revolving credit facility, cash generated by operations and from capital markets transactions and the sale of properties. We intend to finance our future capital expenditures utilizing similar financing sources. Our cash flows from operations and access to capital are subject to a number of variables, including:

- our proved reserves;
- the amount of natural gas, NGLs and crude oil we are able to produce from existing wells;
- the prices at which natural gas, NGLs and crude oil are sold;
- the costs to produce natural gas, NGLs and crude oil; and
- our ability to acquire, locate and produce new reserves.

If our revenues or the borrowing base under our revolving credit facility decreases as a result of lower commodity prices, operating difficulties or for any other reason, our need for capital from other sources would increase. If we raise funds by issuing additional equity securities, this would have a dilutive effect on existing shareholders. If we raise funds through the incurrence of debt, the risks we face with respect to our indebtedness would increase and we would incur additional interest expense. There can be no assurance as to the availability or terms of any additional financing. Our inability to obtain additional financing, or sufficient financing on favorable terms, would adversely affect our financial condition and profitability. We intend to fund a portion of our 2013 capital expenditures with

proceeds from our sale of Piceance Basin and certain other properties to Caerus. There can be no assurance that this transaction will close as planned. In addition, purchase price adjustments may reduce our proceeds from the transaction.

We have a substantial amount of debt and the cost of servicing, and risks related to refinancing, that debt could adversely affect our business. Those risks could increase if we incur more debt.

We have a substantial amount of indebtedness. As a result, a significant portion of our cash flows will be required to pay interest and principal on our indebtedness, and we may not generate sufficient cash flows from operations, or have future borrowing capacity available, to enable us to repay our indebtedness or to fund other liquidity needs. As of December 31, 2012, we had \$676.6 million in outstanding indebtedness and approximately \$382.3 million available to be borrowed under our revolving credit facility, subject to limitation under our financial covenants.

Servicing our indebtedness and satisfying our other obligations will require a significant amount of cash. Our cash flows from operating activities and other sources may not be sufficient to fund our liquidity needs. Our ability to pay interest and principal on our indebtedness and to satisfy our other obligations will depend upon our future operating performance and financial condition and the availability of refinancing indebtedness, which will be affected by prevailing economic conditions and financial, business and other factors, many of which are beyond our control. We cannot assure you that our business will generate sufficient cash flows from operations, or that future borrowings will be available to us under our revolving credit facility or otherwise, in an amount sufficient to fund our liquidity needs.

A substantial decrease in our operating cash flows or an increase in our expenses could make it difficult for us to meet our debt service requirements and could require us to modify our operations, including by curtailing our exploration and drilling programs, selling assets, refinancing all or a portion of our existing debt or obtaining additional financing. These alternative measures may not be successful and may not permit us to meet our scheduled debt service obligations. Our ability to restructure or refinance our debt will depend on the condition of the capital markets and our financial condition at such time. Any refinancing of our debt could be at higher interest rates and may require us to comply with more onerous covenants, which could further restrict our business operations. In addition, the terms of future debt agreements may, and our existing debt agreements do, restrict us from implementing some of these alternatives. In the absence of adequate cash from operations and other available capital resources, we could face substantial liquidity problems and might be required to dispose of material assets or operations to meet our debt service and other obligations. We may not be able to consummate these dispositions for fair market value, in a timely manner or at all. Furthermore, any proceeds that we could realize from any dispositions may not be adequate to meet our debt service or other obligations then due.

Our substantial indebtedness could adversely impact our business, results of operations and financial condition.

In addition to making it more difficult for us to satisfy our debt and other obligations, our substantial indebtedness could limit our ability to respond to changes in the markets in which we operate and otherwise limit our activities. For example, our indebtedness, and the terms of agreements governing that indebtedness, could:

- require us to dedicate a substantial portion of our cash flows from operations to service our existing debt obligations, thereby reducing the cash available to finance our operations and other business activities and could limit our flexibility in planning for or reacting to changes in our business and the industry in which we operate;
- increase our vulnerability to economic downturns and impair our ability to withstand sustained declines in commodity prices;
- subject us to covenants that limit our ability to fund future working capital, capital expenditures, exploration costs and other general corporate requirements;
- prevent us from borrowing additional funds for operational or strategic purposes (including to fund future acquisitions), disposing of assets or paying cash dividends;
- limit our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in our business and the industry in which we operate;
- require us to devote a substantial portion of our cash flows from operations to payments on our indebtedness, thereby reducing the availability of our cash flows to fund exploration efforts, working capital, capital expenditures and other general corporate purposes; and
- place us at a competitive disadvantage relative to our competitors that have less debt outstanding.

Covenants in our debt agreements currently impose, and future financing agreements may impose, significant operating and financial restrictions.

The indenture governing our senior notes and our revolving credit facility contain restrictions, and future financing agreements may contain additional restrictions, on our activities, including covenants that restrict our and certain of our subsidiaries' ability to:

- incur additional debt;
- pay dividends on, redeem or repurchase stock;
- create liens;
- make specified types of investments;
- apply net proceeds from certain asset sales;
- engage in transactions with our affiliates;
- engage in sale and leaseback transactions;
- merge or consolidate;
- restrict dividends or other payments from restricted subsidiaries;

sell equity interests of restricted subsidiaries; and
sell, assign, transfer, lease, convey or dispose of assets.

Our revolving credit facility will mature on November 5, 2015, and is secured by all of our oil and gas properties as well as a pledge of all ownership interests in our operating subsidiaries. The restrictions contained in our debt agreements may prevent us from taking actions that we believe would be in the best interest of our business, and may make it difficult for us to successfully execute our business strategy or effectively compete with companies that are not similarly restricted. We may also incur future debt obligations that might subject us to additional restrictive covenants that could affect our financial and operational flexibility.

Our revolving credit facility has substantial restrictions and financial covenants and our ability to comply with those restrictions and covenants is uncertain. Our lenders can unilaterally reduce our borrowing availability based on anticipated commodity prices.

We depend in large part on our revolving credit facility for future capital needs. The terms of the credit agreement require us to comply with certain financial covenants and ratios. Our ability to comply with these restrictions and covenants in the future is uncertain and will be affected by the levels of cash flows from operations and events or circumstances beyond our control. Our failure to comply with any of the restrictions and covenants under the revolving credit facility or other debt agreements could result in a default under those agreements, which could cause all of our existing indebtedness to be immediately due and payable.

The revolving credit facility limits the amounts we can borrow to a borrowing base amount, determined by the lenders in their sole discretion based upon projected revenues from the properties securing their loan. The lenders can unilaterally adjust the borrowing base and the borrowings permitted to be outstanding under the revolving credit facility. Outstanding borrowings in excess of the borrowing base must be repaid immediately, or we must pledge other natural gas, crude oil and NGL properties as additional collateral. We do not currently have any substantial unpledged properties, and we may not have the financial resources in the future to make any mandatory principal prepayments required under the revolving credit facility. Our inability to borrow additional funds under our revolving credit facility could adversely affect our operations and our financial results.

If we are unable to comply with the restrictions and covenants in the agreements governing our indebtedness, there would be a default under the terms of these agreements, which could result in an acceleration of payment of funds that we have borrowed and would impact our ability to make principal and interest payments on our indebtedness and satisfy our other obligations.

Any default under the agreements governing our indebtedness, including a default under our revolving credit facility that is not waived by the required lenders, and the remedies sought by the holders of any such indebtedness, could make us unable to pay principal and interest on our indebtedness and satisfy our other obligations. If we are unable to generate sufficient cash flows and are otherwise unable to obtain funds necessary to meet required payments of principal and interest on our indebtedness, or if we otherwise fail to comply with the various covenants, including financial and operating covenants, in the instruments governing our indebtedness, we could be in default under the terms of the agreements governing such indebtedness. In the event of such default, the holders of such indebtedness could elect to declare all the funds borrowed thereunder to be due and payable, together with accrued and unpaid interest, the lenders under our revolving credit facility could elect to terminate their commitments, cease making further loans and institute foreclosure proceedings against our assets, and we could be forced into bankruptcy or liquidation. If our operating performance declines, we may in the future need to seek to obtain waivers from the required lenders under our revolving credit facility to avoid being in default. If we breach our covenants under our revolving credit facility and seek a waiver, we may not be able to obtain a waiver from the required lenders. If this occurs, we would be in default under our revolving credit facility, the lenders could exercise their rights as described above, and we could be forced into bankruptcy or liquidation. We cannot assure you that we will be granted waivers or amendments to our debt agreements if for any reason we are unable to comply with these agreements, or that we will be able to refinance our debt on terms acceptable to us, or at all.

Our variable rate indebtedness subjects us to interest rate risk, which could cause our debt service obligations to increase significantly.

Borrowings under our revolving credit facility bear interest at variable rates and expose us to interest rate risk. If interest rates increase, our debt service obligations on the variable rate indebtedness would increase although the amount borrowed remained the same, and our net income and cash available for servicing our indebtedness and for other purposes would decrease.

Notwithstanding our current indebtedness levels and restrictive covenants, we may still be able to incur substantial additional debt, which could exacerbate the risks described above.

We may be able to incur additional debt in the future. Although our debt agreements contain restrictions on our ability to incur indebtedness, those restrictions are subject to a number of exceptions. In particular, we may borrow under the revolving credit facility. We may also consider investments in joint ventures or acquisitions that may increase our indebtedness. Adding new debt to current debt levels could intensify the related risks that we and our subsidiaries now face.

Seasonal weather conditions and lease stipulations adversely affect our ability to conduct drilling activities in some of the areas where we operate.

Seasonal weather conditions and lease stipulations designed to protect wildlife affect operations in our Western Operating Region. In certain areas, including parts of the Piceance Basin in Colorado, drilling and other activities are restricted or prohibited by lease stipulations, or prevented by weather conditions, for up to six months out of the year. This limits our operations in those areas and can intensify competition during the active months for drilling rigs, oil field equipment, services, supplies and qualified personnel, which may lead to additional or increased costs or periodic shortages. These constraints and the resulting high costs or shortages could delay our operations and materially increase operating and capital costs and therefore adversely affect our profitability. Similarly, hot weather during parts of 2012 adversely impacted the operation of certain midstream facilities, and therefore our production. Similar events could occur in the future and could negatively impact our results of operations and cash flows.

We have limited control over activities on properties in which we own an interest but we do not operate, which could reduce our production and revenues.

We operate approximately 89% of the wells in which we own an interest. If we do not operate the properties in which we own an interest, we do not have control over normal operating procedures, expenditures or future development of the underlying properties. The success and timing of drilling and development activities on properties operated by others therefore depends upon a number of factors outside of our control, including the operator's timing and amount of capital expenditures, expertise (including safety and environmental compliance) and financial resources, inclusion of other participants in drilling wells, and use of technology. The failure by an operator to adequately perform operations, or an operator's breach of the applicable agreements, could reduce production and revenues and adversely affect our profitability.

Our derivative activities could result in financial losses or reduced income from failure to perform by our counterparties or could limit our potential gains from increases in prices.

We use derivatives for a portion of the production from our own wells, our partnerships and for natural gas purchases and sales by our marketing subsidiary to achieve a more predictable cash flows, to reduce exposure to adverse fluctuations in commodity prices, and to allow our natural gas marketing company to offer pricing options to natural gas sellers and purchasers. These arrangements expose us to the risk of financial loss in some circumstances, including when purchases or sales are different than expected, the counterparty to the derivative contract defaults on its contract obligations, or when there is a change in the expected differential between the underlying price in the derivative agreement and actual prices that we receive.

In addition, derivative arrangements may limit the benefit we would otherwise receive from increases in the prices for the relevant commodity. They may also require the use of our resources to meet cash margin requirements. Since we do not designate our derivatives as hedges, we do not currently qualify for use of hedge accounting; therefore, changes in the fair value of derivatives are recorded in our income statements, and our net income is subject to greater volatility than it would be if our derivative instruments qualified for hedge accounting. For instance, if commodity prices rise significantly, this could result in significant non-cash charges during the relevant period, which could have a material negative effect on our net income.

The inability of one or more of our customers or other counterparties to meet their obligations may adversely affect our financial results.

Substantially all of our accounts receivable result from our natural gas, NGLs and crude oil sales or joint interest billings to a small number of third parties in the energy industry. This concentration of customers and joint interest owners may affect our overall credit risk in that these entities may be similarly affected by changes in economic and other conditions. In addition, our derivatives as well as the derivatives used by our marketing subsidiary expose us to credit risk in the event of nonperformance by counterparties. Nonperformance by our customers may adversely affect our financial condition and profitability. We face similar risks with respect to our other counterparties, including the lenders under our revolving credit facility and the providers of our insurance coverage.

Our business could be negatively impacted by security threats, including cybersecurity threats, and other disruptions.

As an oil and natural gas producer, we face various security threats, including cybersecurity threats to gain unauthorized access to sensitive information or to render data or systems unusable; threats to the safety of our employees; threats to the security of our infrastructure or third party facilities and infrastructure, such as processing plants and pipelines; and threats from terrorist acts. There can be no assurance that the procedures and controls we use to monitor these threats and mitigate our exposure to them will be sufficient in preventing them from materializing. If any of these events were to materialize, they could lead to losses of sensitive information, critical infrastructure, personnel or capabilities essential to our operations and could have a material adverse effect on our reputation, financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows.

Our insurance coverage may not be sufficient to cover some liabilities or losses that we may incur.

The occurrence of a significant accident or other event not fully covered by insurance or in excess of our insurance coverage could have a material adverse effect on our operations and financial condition. Insurance does not protect us against all operational risks. We do not carry business interruption insurance at levels that would provide enough funds for us to continue operating without access to other funds. We also do not carry contingent business interruption insurance related to the purchasers of our production. In addition, pollution and environmental risks are generally not fully insurable.

We may not be able to keep pace with technological developments in our industry.

Our industry is characterized by rapid and significant technological advancements. As our competitors use or develop new technologies, we may be placed at a competitive disadvantage, and competitive pressures may force us to implement those or other new technologies at substantial cost. In addition, our competitors may have greater financial, technical and personnel resources that allow them to enjoy technological advantages and may in the future allow them to implement new technologies before we can. We may not be able to respond to these competitive pressures and implement new technologies on a timely basis or at an acceptable cost. If one or more of the technologies we use now or in the future were to become obsolete or if we were unable to use the most advanced commercially available technology, our business, financial condition and results of operations could be materially adversely affected.

Competition in our industry is intense, which may adversely affect our ability to succeed.

Our industry is intensely competitive, and we compete with other companies that have greater resources. Many of these companies not only explore for and produce natural gas, NGLs and crude oil, but also carry on refining operations and market petroleum and other products on a regional, national or worldwide basis. These companies may be able to pay more for productive properties and exploratory prospects or define, evaluate, bid for and purchase a greater number of properties and prospects than we can. Our ability to acquire additional properties and to discover reserves in the future will be dependent upon our ability to evaluate and select suitable properties and to consummate transactions in a highly competitive environment. In addition, larger companies may have a greater ability to continue exploration activities during periods of low commodity prices. Larger competitors may also be able to absorb the burden of present and future federal, state, local

and other laws and regulations more easily than we can, which could adversely affect our competitive position. These factors could adversely affect the success of our operations and our profitability.

Certain federal income tax deductions currently available with respect to natural gas and crude oil and exploration and development may be eliminated as a result of future legislation.

In February 2012, U.S. President Barack Obama and his administration released its budget proposals for the fiscal year 2013, which included numerous proposed tax changes. The proposed budget, if enacted, would eliminate certain key U.S. federal income tax preferences currently available with respect to natural gas and crude oil exploration and production. Similar changes have been in previous budget proposals from the Obama administration but were not adopted into law. The changes in the current budget proposal related to oil and gas drilling and production include, but are not limited to (i) the repeal of the percentage depletion allowance for natural gas and crude oil properties; (ii) the elimination of current deductions for intangible drilling and development costs; (iii) the elimination of the deduction for certain U.S. production activities; and (iv) an extension of the amortization period for certain geological and geophysical expenditures. It is not possible at this time to predict how legislation or new regulations that may be adopted to address these proposals would impact our business, but any such future laws and regulations could result in higher federal income taxes, which could negatively affect our financial condition and results of operations.

New derivatives legislation and regulation could adversely affect our ability to hedge natural gas and crude oil prices and increase our costs and adversely affect our profitability.

In July 2010, President Obama signed into law the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the “Dodd-Frank Act”). The Dodd-Frank Act regulates derivative transactions, including our commodity hedging swaps, and could have a number of adverse effects on us, including the following:

The Dodd-Frank Act may decrease our ability to enter into hedging transactions, and this would expose us to additional risks related to commodity price volatility; commodity price decreases would then have an immediate adverse effect on our profitability and revenues. Reduced hedging may also impair our ability to have certainty with respect to a portion of our cash flows, which could lead to decreases in capital spending and, therefore, decreases in future production and reserves.

If, as a result of the Dodd-Frank Act or its implementing regulations, we are required to post cash collateral in connection with our derivative positions, this would likely make it impracticable to implement our current hedging strategy.

Our derivatives counterparties will be subject to significant new capital, margin and business conduct requirements imposed as a result of the Dodd-Frank Act. We expect that these requirements will increase the cost to hedge because there will be fewer counterparties in the market and increased counterparty costs will be passed on to us.

The above factors could also affect the pricing of derivatives and make it more difficult for us to enter into hedging transactions on favorable terms.

Climate change legislation or regulations restricting emissions of “greenhouse gases” could result in increased operating costs and reduced demand for the natural gas, NGLs and crude oil that we produce while physical effects of climate change could disrupt our production and cause us to incur significant costs in preparing for or responding to those effects.

In December 2009, the EPA published its findings that emissions of carbon dioxide, methane and other greenhouse gases present an endangerment to public health and the environment because emissions of such gases are, according to the EPA, contributing to warming of the earth's atmosphere and other climatic changes. These findings provide the basis for the EPA to adopt and implement regulations that would restrict emissions of greenhouse gases under existing provisions of the Clean Air Act (the “CAA”). In June 2010, the EPA published its final rule to address the permitting of greenhouse gas emissions from stationary sources under the CAA's Prevention of Significant Deterioration (“PSD”), and

Title V permitting programs. This rule “tailors” these permitting programs to apply to certain stationary sources of greenhouse gas emissions in a multi-step process, with the largest sources first subject to permitting. It is widely expected that facilities required to obtain PSD permits for their greenhouse gas emissions will be required to also reduce those emissions according to “best available control technology,” (“BACT”) standards. In its permitting guidance for greenhouse gases, issued on November 10, 2010, the EPA recommended options for BACT, which include improved energy efficiency, among others. EPA has recently issued a final rule retaining the current “tailored” permitting thresholds, opting not to extend greenhouse gas permitting requirements to smaller stationary sources at this time. The EPA, however, intends to revisit these thresholds again by 2016. In addition, on June 26, 2012, the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit issued an opinion and order in *Coalition for Responsible Regulation v. Environmental Protection Agency*, No. 09-1322, upholding EPA’s greenhouse gas-related rules, including the “Tailoring Rule,” against challenges from various state and industry group petitioners. Any regulatory or permitting obligation that limits emissions of greenhouse gases could require us to incur costs to reduce and monitor emissions of greenhouse gases associated with our operations and also adversely affect demand for the natural gas and crude oil that we produce.

In addition, in October 2009, the EPA published a final rule requiring the reporting of greenhouse gas emissions from specified large greenhouse gas sources in the U.S. on an annual basis, beginning in 2011 for emissions occurring in 2010. On November 8, 2010, the EPA finalized rules to expand its greenhouse gas reporting rule to include onshore natural gas and crude oil production, processing, transmission, storage and distribution facilities. We are required to report our greenhouse gas emissions on an annual basis, beginning in 2012 for emissions occurring in 2011.

In June 2009, the U.S. House of Representatives passed the American Clean Energy and Security Act of 2009 (“ACESA”), which would establish an economy-wide cap on emissions of greenhouse gases in the U.S. and would require most sources of greenhouse gas

emissions to obtain and hold “allowances” corresponding to their annual emissions of greenhouse gases. By steadily reducing the number of available allowances over time, ACESA would require a 17 percent reduction in greenhouse gas emissions from 2005 levels by 2020, increasing up to an 83 percent reduction of such emissions by 2050. The ACESA was not passed by the U.S. Senate. However, many states and regions have taken legal measures to reduce emissions of greenhouse gases, primarily through the planned development of greenhouse gas emission inventories and/or regional greenhouse gas cap and trade programs. Recently, increased focus has been directed to methane emissions, including a lawsuit by several Northeastern states that would require the EPA to more stringently regulate methane emissions from the oil and gas sector. The passage of legislation, or other initiatives, that limit emissions of greenhouse gases from our equipment and operations could require us to incur costs to reduce the greenhouse gas emissions from our operations, and it could also adversely affect demand for the natural gas and crude oil that we produce.

Some have suggested that one consequence of climate change could be increased severity of extreme weather, such as increased hurricanes and floods. If such effects were to occur, our operations could be adversely affected in various ways, including damage to our facilities from powerful winds or increased costs for insurance.

Another possible consequence of climate change is increased volatility in seasonal temperatures. The market for natural gas is generally improved by periods of colder weather and impaired by periods of warmer weather, so any changes in climate could affect the market for the fuels that we produce. Despite the use of the term “global warming” as a shorthand for climate change, some studies indicate that climate change could cause some areas to experience temperatures substantially colder than their historical averages. As a result, it is difficult to predict how the market for our fuels could be affected by increased temperature volatility, although if there is an overall trend of warmer temperatures, it would be expected to have an adverse effect on our business.

The cost of defending any suits brought against us with respect to our royalty payment practices, and any judgments resulting from such suits, could have an adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

In recent years, litigation has commenced against us and other companies in our industry regarding royalty practices and payments in jurisdictions where we conduct business. We intend to defend ourselves vigorously in these cases. The costs of defending these suits can be significant, even when we ultimately succeed in having them dismissed. These costs would be reflected in terms of dollar outlay, as well as the amount of time, attention and other resources that our management would have to appropriate to the defense. A judgment in favor of a plaintiff in a suit of this type could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and profitability.

We may not be able to identify and acquire enough attractive prospects on a timely basis to meet our development needs, which could limit our future development opportunities and adversely affect our profitability.

Our geologists have identified a number of potential drilling locations on our existing acreage. These drilling locations must be replaced as they are drilled for us to continue to grow our reserves and production. Our ability to identify and acquire new drilling locations depends on a number of factors, including the availability of capital, regulatory approvals, commodity prices, competition, costs, availability of drilling rigs, drilling results and the ability of our geologists to successfully identify potentially successful new areas to develop. All of these factors are subject to numerous uncertainties. Because of these uncertainties, our profitability and growth opportunities may be limited by the timely availability of new drilling locations. As a result, our operations and profitability could be adversely affected.

PDCM is dependent upon our equity partner and poses exit-related risks for us.

The board of managers of the joint venture consists of three representatives appointed by us and three representatives appointed by our equity partner Lime Rock Partners, LP, each with equal voting power. The joint venture agreement generally requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the members of the board to approve an action, and we and Lime Rock may not always agree on the best course of action for the joint venture. If such a disagreement were to occur, we would not be able to cause the joint venture to take action that we believed to be in the best interests of the joint venture. Consequently, our best interests may not be advanced and our investment in the joint venture could be adversely affected. If there is a disagreement about a development plan and budget for the joint venture, Lime Rock is entitled to unilaterally suspend substantially all of the operations of the joint venture, which could have a material adverse impact on the results of operations of the joint venture and our investment. Such a suspension could last for up to two years, at which point either party could elect to dissolve the joint venture or to sell its ownership interests to a third party. Lime Rock is entitled to a preference with respect to liquidating distributions and proceeds from significant sales of ownership interests up to the amount of its contributed capital, which would diminish our returns if the value of the joint venture had declined at the time of the liquidation or sale.

After a “restricted period” which generally lasts for the four years following the closing of the joint venture, Lime Rock can seek to sell its interest in the joint venture to a third party, subject to rights of first offer and refusal in favor of us. If we do not exercise those rights in a sale involving all of Lime Rock's ownership interests, Lime Rock can exercise “drag-along” rights and compel us to sell all of our interests in the proposed transaction. Accordingly, if we possessed insufficient funds and were unable to obtain financing necessary to purchase Lime Rock's interest under the rights of first offer and refusal, Lime Rock might sell its interests in the joint venture to a third party with whom we might have a difficult time dealing and in managing the joint venture or we may be required to sell our interest in the joint venture at a time when we may not wish to do so. Under these circumstances, our investment in the joint venture could be adversely affected.

Our undeveloped acreage must be drilled before lease expiration to hold the acreage by production. In highly competitive markets for acreage, failure to drill sufficient wells to hold acreage could result in a substantial lease renewal cost or, if renewal is not feasible, loss of our lease and prospective drilling opportunities.

Unless production is established within the spacing units covering the undeveloped acres on which some of the locations are identified, our leases for such acreage will expire. The cost to renew such leases may increase significantly, and we may not be able to renew such leases on commercially reasonable terms or at all. As such, our actual drilling activities may differ materially from our current expectations, which could adversely affect our business.

Our articles of incorporation, bylaws, stockholders rights plan and Nevada law contain provisions that may have an anti-takeover effect and may delay, defer or prevent a tender offer or takeover attempt, which may adversely affect the market price of our common stock.

Our articles of incorporation authorize our board of directors to issue preferred stock without stockholder approval. If our board of directors elects to issue preferred stock, it could be more difficult for a third party to acquire us. We previously adopted a stockholders rights plan that will dilute the stock ownership of certain acquirers of our common stock upon the occurrence of certain events. In addition, some provisions of our articles of incorporation, bylaws and Nevada law could make it more difficult for a third party to acquire control of us, including:

- the organization of our board of directors as a classified board, which allows no more than one-third of our directors to be elected each year;

- limitations on the ability of our shareholders to call special meetings; and

- certain antitakeover provisions of the Nevada private corporations statute.

Because we have no plans to pay dividends on our common stock, stockholders must look solely to stock appreciation for a return on their investment in us.

We have never declared or paid cash dividends on our common stock. We currently intend to retain all future earnings and other cash resources, if any, for the operation and development of our business and do not anticipate paying cash dividends in the foreseeable future. Payment of any future dividends will be at the discretion of our board of directors after taking into account many factors, including our financial condition, operating results, current and anticipated cash needs and plans for expansion. In addition, our revolving credit facility and the indenture governing our senior notes limit our ability to pay cash dividends on our common stock. Any future dividends may also be restricted by any other debt agreements which we may enter into from time to time.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

Information regarding our legal proceedings can be found in Note 11, Commitments and Contingencies – Litigation, to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not applicable.

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR THE REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDERS MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

Our common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, is traded on the NASDAQ Global Select Market under the symbol PDCE. The following table sets forth the range of high and low sales prices for our common stock for each of the periods presented:

	Price Range	
	High	Low
January 1 - March 31, 2011	\$49.60	\$39.93
April 1 - June 30, 2011	48.51	28.67
July 1 - September 30, 2011	39.50	19.35
October 1 - December 31, 2011	37.77	15.08
January 1 - March 31, 2012	40.26	28.61
April 1 - June 30, 2012	37.63	19.33
July 1 - September 30, 2012	34.25	23.27
October 1 - December 31, 2012	36.55	25.76

As of February 8, 2013, we had approximately 726 shareholders of record. Since inception, no cash dividends have been declared on our common stock. Cash dividends are restricted under the terms of our revolving credit facility and the indenture governing our 2022 Senior Notes and we presently intend to continue a policy of using retained earnings for expansion of our business. See Note 8, Long-term Debt, to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report.

The following table presents information about our purchases of our common stock during the three months ended December 31, 2012:

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased (1)	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs
October 1 - 31, 2012	709	\$31.93	—	—
November 1 - 30, 2012	9,786	31.53	—	—
December 1 - 31, 2012	254	33.25	—	—
Total fourth quarter purchases	10,749	31.59		

(1) Purchases primarily represent shares purchased from employees for the payment of their tax liabilities related to the vesting of securities issued pursuant to our stock-based compensation plans.

SHAREHOLDER PERFORMANCE GRAPH

The performance graph below compares the cumulative total return of our common stock over the five-year period ended December 31, 2012, with the cumulative total returns for the same period for the Standard and Poor's ("S&P") 500 Index and the Standard Industrial Code ("SIC") Index. The SIC Index is a weighted composite of 254 crude petroleum and natural gas companies. The cumulative total shareholder return assumes that \$100 was invested, including reinvestment of dividends, if any, in our common stock on December 31, 2007 and in the S&P 500 Index and the SIC Index on the same date. The results shown in the graph below are not necessarily indicative of future performance.

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

	Year Ended December 31,				
	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
	(in millions, except per share data and as noted)				
Statement of Operations:					
Natural gas, NGLs and crude oil sales	\$270.3	\$276.6	\$205.0	\$171.2	\$304.9
Commodity price risk management gain (loss), net	32.3	46.1	59.9	(10.1)	127.8
Total revenues	356.1	396.0	343.0	230.9	572.5
Income (loss) from continuing operations	(144.8)	3.1	6.0	(80.1)	105.8
Earnings per share from continuing operations					
Basic	\$(5.23)	\$0.13	\$0.33	\$(4.76)	\$7.19
Diluted	(5.23)	0.13	0.32	(4.76)	7.13
Statement of Cash Flows:					
Net cash from:					
Operating activities	\$174.7	\$166.8	\$151.8	\$143.9	\$139.1
Investing activities	(451.9)	(456.4)	(300.9)	(142.3)	(323.0)
Financing activities	271.4	243.4	171.5	(20.6)	150.1
Capital expenditures	347.7	334.5	162.7	143.0	323.2
Acquisitions of natural gas and crude oil properties	312.2	145.9	158.1	—	—
Balance Sheet:					
Total assets	\$1,826.8	\$1,698.0	\$1,389.0	\$1,250.3	\$1,402.7
Working capital (deficit)	(31.4)	(22.0)	16.2	32.9	31.3
Long-term debt	676.6	532.2	295.7	280.7	394.9
Total equity	703.2	664.1	642.2	538.6	512.3
Pricing and Lifting Costs Relating to Continuing Operations (per Mcfe):					
Average sales price (excluding gains/losses on derivatives)	\$5.45	\$6.15	\$5.63	\$4.19	\$8.37
Average sales price (including realized gains/losses on derivatives)	6.44	6.53	6.89	6.77	8.62
Average lifting cost (1)	0.85	0.92	1.05	0.78	1.04
Production (Bcfe):					
Production from continuing operations	49.6	45.0	37.0	41.6	36.9
Production from discontinued operations	0.4	2.5	1.6	1.7	1.8
Total production	50.0	47.5	38.6	43.3	38.7
Total proved reserves (Bcfe) (2)	1,156.9	1,015.5	860.6	717.3	753.1

(1)Lifting costs represent lease operating expenses, excluding production taxes, on a per unit basis.

(2)Includes total proved reserves related to our Permian Basin assets of 65.0 Bcfe and 32.1 Bcfe as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. As of December 31, 2011, our Permian assets were held for sale and, on February 28, 2012, the divestiture closed. See Note 14, Assets Held for Sale, Divestitures and Discontinued Operations, to our

consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report for additional details related to the divestiture of our Permian assets.

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion and analysis, as well as other sections in this report, should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and related notes to consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report. Further, we encourage you to revisit the Special Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements in Part I of this report.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2012 Financial Overview

In 2012, our natural gas, NGLs and crude oil production from continuing operations averaged 135.6 MMcfe per day, an increase of approximately 10% compared to the prior year. The increase in production is primarily attributable to our successful horizontal Niobrara and Codell drilling program in the Wattenberg Field and the Merit Acquisition. Crude oil production from continuing operations increased 18.5% in 2012, while NGL production from continuing operations increased 17.0%. As a result, our liquids percentage of total production from continuing operations was 34.7% in 2012 compared 32.4% in 2011. Natural gas production increased 6.5% in 2012 compared to 2011. As discussed under "Operational Overview-Production" below, production growth in 2012 was adversely affected by high line pressures experienced by our principal third-party provider of natural gas gathering, processing and transportation facilities in the Wattenberg Field. The high line pressure, primarily experienced during the second and third quarters, was the result of two primary factors: a series of operational issues experienced by the third-party midstream service provider facilities and abnormally warm weather. While natural gas production increased when compared to prior year, significant declines in the average price of natural gas during 2012 resulted in a decrease in natural gas sales, excluding hedges, of 28.9% year-over-year. The price of natural gas, however, rebounded significantly during the fourth quarter of 2012. During 2012, we recorded an impairment charge of \$161.2 million related to our Piceance Basin proved oil and natural gas properties. While the significant decrease in natural gas prices from prior years has impacted our results of operations, we believe our derivative program was effective in providing a degree of price stability. Realized gains from derivative transactions increased considerably to \$49.4 million in 2012, compared to \$17.2 million in 2011, an addition of approximately \$0.99 per Mcfe sold during 2012.

Available liquidity as of December 31, 2012 was \$398.6 million, including \$14.1 million through our joint venture PDCM, compared to \$196.4 million, including \$16.6 million related to PDCM, as of December 31, 2011. Available liquidity is comprised of cash, cash equivalents and funds available under our revolving credit facility. In May 2012, we completed a public offering of 6.5 million shares of our common stock for net proceeds of approximately \$164.5 million, after deducting underwriting discounts and offering expenses. These funds were used to complete the Merit Acquisition noted below. In October 2012, we issued \$500 million aggregate principal amount of our 2022 Senior Notes in a private placement. The net proceeds from the issuance of the notes of approximately \$489 million were used to fund the redemption of our 2018 Senior Notes for a total redemption price of approximately \$222 million, repay a portion of the amount outstanding under our revolving credit facility and for general corporate purposes. The early redemption of the 2018 Senior Notes resulted in a pre-tax loss on debt extinguishment of approximately \$23.3 million. On October 31, 2012, we completed the semi-annual redetermination of our revolving credit facility's borrowing base. Our available borrowing base was reduced from \$525 million to \$450 million as a result of issuance of our 2022 Senior Notes.

Operational Overview

Acquisitions. We continued to make strides in 2012 toward our strategic goal of growing production while increasing our mix of crude oil and natural gas liquids. In June, we completed the Merit Acquisition for cash consideration of approximately \$304.6 million, after certain post-closing adjustments. The acquired assets comprise approximately 29,800 net acres, after post-closing adjustments, located almost entirely in the core Wattenberg Field and with significant overlay with our existing acreage position. Ryder Scott prepared a reserve report with respect to the Merit Acquisition properties and estimated net proved reserves of 29.2 MMBoe (175 Bcfe) based on our development plan, using year-end 2011 SEC pricing and an effective date of April 1, 2012. Following the closing of the Merit Acquisition, our total position in the core Wattenberg Field was approximately 98,600 net acres.

Drilling Activities. During 2012, we continued to focus our operations primarily in the oil- and liquid-rich Wattenberg Field in Colorado and the emerging Utica Shale play in Ohio. We currently have two drilling rigs operating in the Wattenberg Field. We drilled 37 horizontal wells and one vertical well in the Wattenberg Field in 2012, of which 30 were completed and turned-in-line as of December 31, 2012, and we participated in 19 non-operated drilling projects. We also executed 160 refracture and/or recompletion projects on 83 wells in the Wattenberg Field. The shift in the Wattenberg Field from drilling both vertical and horizontal wells to our current program of drilling horizontal wells has resulted in significantly fewer wells being drilled at a considerably higher cost per well and higher production and reserves per well. The remaining activity in our Western Operating Region in 2012 was the first quarter completion of our final three Piceance wells drilled in 2011.

In our Eastern Operating Region, we drilled and completed two horizontal Utica wells during the year. At December 31, 2012, these wells are currently shut-in awaiting pipeline connections. We also drilled and completed one vertical Utica stratigraphic test well and completed one vertical Utica stratigraphic test well drilled in late 2011. In 2012, the costs related to the two vertical stratigraphic test wells were expensed at a cost of \$12.2 million. We currently plan to continue to de-risk and develop our approximate 45,000 net acres without materially adding to our leasehold position. We estimate our total gross horizontal Utica Shale drilling inventory to be approximately 200 locations. In addition, PDCM drilled three horizontal Marcellus wells in 2012, all of which were completed and turned-in-line during the year.

Natural Gas and Crude Oil Properties Divestitures. In October 2011, we announced our intent to divest our Permian Basin assets to focus our efforts on our horizontal drilling programs. During the fourth quarter of 2011, we sold certain non-core Permian assets to unrelated

third parties for a total of \$13.2 million. On December 20, 2011, we executed a purchase and sale agreement with another unrelated third-party for the sale of our core Permian assets for a total price of \$173.9 million, subject to customary post-closing adjustments. On February 28, 2012, the divestiture of the core Permian assets closed. Upon final post-closing adjustments on June 29, 2012, total proceeds received for the core Permian assets was \$189.2 million, resulting in a pre-tax gain on sale of \$19.9 million. The proceeds from these sales were used to pay down amounts outstanding under our revolving credit facility and to provide partial funding for our 2012 capital budget. The results of operations related to our Permian Basin assets are reported as discontinued operations for all applicable periods presented in the accompanying statements of operations included elsewhere in this report.

2013 Planned Divestiture. On February 4, 2013, we entered into a purchase and sale agreement with certain affiliates of Caerus Oil and Gas LLC ("Caerus"), pursuant to which we have agreed to sell to Caerus our Piceance Basin, NECO and certain other non-core Colorado oil and gas properties, leasehold mineral interests and related assets, including derivatives, for aggregate cash consideration of approximately \$200 million. The cash consideration is subject to customary adjustments, including adjustments based upon title and environmental due diligence, and by certain firm transportation obligations and natural gas hedging positions that will be assumed by Caerus. We intend to use the proceeds from the sale to repay a portion of amounts outstanding under our revolving credit facility and partially fund our 2013 capital program. The assets being sold do not include any of our core Wattenberg Field acreage. As of December 31, 2012, total estimated proved reserves related to these assets were 83,656 MMcf of natural gas and 148 MBbls of crude oil, for an aggregate of 84,544 Mmcf of natural gas equivalent. See Note 19, Subsequent Events, to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report for additional details related to the planned divestiture of our Piceance and NECO assets. There can be no assurance that this transaction will close as planned. In addition, purchase price adjustments may reduce our proceeds from the transaction.

Production. Production from continuing operations increased significantly in 2012 as compared to 2011. In particular, primarily as a result of our Wattenberg Field drilling activities, oil production increased 18.5% and NGL production increased 17%. This production growth was achieved despite high line pressures experienced by our principal third-party provider of natural gas gathering, processing and transportation facilities in the Wattenberg Field. The high line pressure was the result of a series of operational issues experienced by third-party midstream service provider, primarily during the second and third quarter of 2012. The operational issues included downtime on third-party NGL transportation and fractionation facilities and abnormally warm weather, which limited the gathering system compression capacity. We are working closely with this primary midstream provider who is implementing a multi-year facility expansion capable of significantly increasing long-term gathering and processing capacity in the Wattenberg Field. However, we do not expect the impact of this increased capacity to substantially benefit us until late 2013.

Our NGL pricing has also decreased significantly relative to the same period in 2011. Our NGLs are priced at Conway, Kansas, where ethane and propane are valued at a significant discount to Mt. Belvieu gulf coast NGL pricing. The planned 2013 infrastructure projects include a new NGL pipeline that will provide direct access for our NGLs to Mt. Belvieu where we anticipate improved pricing.

Non-U.S. GAAP Financial Measures

We use "adjusted cash flows from operations," "adjusted net income (loss) attributable to shareholders," "adjusted EBITDA" and "PV-10%," non-U.S. GAAP financial measures, for internal management reporting, when evaluating period-to-period changes and providing public guidance on possible future results. These measures are not measures of financial performance under U.S. GAAP and should be considered in addition to, not as a substitute for, cash flows from operations, investing or financing activities, and should not be viewed as a liquidity measure or indicator of cash flows reported in accordance with U.S. GAAP. The non-U.S. GAAP financial measures that we use may not be comparable to similarly titled measures reported by other companies. Also, in the future, we may disclose different

non-U.S. GAAP financial measures in order to help our investors more meaningfully evaluate and compare our future results of operations to our previously reported results of operations. We strongly encourage investors to review our financial statements and publicly filed reports in their entirety and to not rely on any single financial measure. See Reconciliation of Non-U.S. GAAP Financial Measures for a detailed description of these measures, as well as a reconciliation of each to the most comparable U.S. GAAP measure.

Results of Operations

Summary Operating Results

The following table presents selected information regarding our operating results from continuing operations:

	Year Ended December 31,			Change		
	2012	2011	2010	2012-2011	2011-2010	
	(dollars in millions, except per unit data)					
Production (1)						
Natural gas (MMcfe)	32,409.8	30,429.7	26,239.1	6.5	% 16.0	%
NGLs (MBbls)	841.3	719.2	569.6	17.0	% 26.3	%
Crude oil (MBbls)	2,025.9	1,709.9	1,231.4	18.5	% 38.9	%
Natural gas equivalent (MMcfe) (2)	49,612.4	45,004.8	37,044.9	10.2	% 21.5	%
Average MMcfe per day	135.6	123.3	101.5	10.0	% 21.5	%
Natural Gas, NGLs and Crude Oil Sales						
Natural gas	\$70.8	\$99.6	\$94.6	(28.9))% 5.3	%
NGLs	23.0	27.2	22.6	(15.4))% 20.4	%
Crude oil	176.5	149.8	91.1	17.8	% 64.4	%
Provision for underpayment of natural gas sales	—	—	(3.3)) —	% (100.0))%
Total natural gas, NGLs and crude oil sales	\$270.3	\$276.6	\$205.0	(2.3))% 34.9	%
Realized Gain (Losses) on Derivatives, net (3)						
Natural gas	\$49.9	\$29.1	\$40.0	71.5	% (27.3))%
Crude oil	(0.5)) (11.9)) 7.1	(95.8))% (267.6))%
Total realized gain on derivatives, net	\$49.4	\$17.2	\$47.1	187.2	% (63.5))%
Average Sales Price (excluding gain/loss on derivatives)						
Natural gas (per Mcf)	\$2.18	\$3.27	\$3.61	(33.3))% (9.4))%
NGLs (per Bbl)	27.36	37.82	39.66	(27.7))% (4.6))%
Crude oil (per Bbl)	87.14	87.63	73.96	(0.6))% 18.5	%
Natural gas equivalent (per Mcfe)	5.45	6.15	5.63	(11.4))% 9.2	%
Average Sales Price (including realized gain/loss on derivatives)						
Natural gas (per Mcf)	\$3.72	\$4.23	\$5.13	(12.1))% (17.5))%
NGLs (per Bbl)	27.36	37.82	39.66	(27.7))% (4.6))%
Crude oil (per Bbl)	86.87	80.69	79.70	7.7	% 1.2	%
Natural gas equivalent (per Mcfe)	6.44	6.53	6.89	(1.4))% (5.2))%
Average Lifting Cost (per Mcfe) (4)						
Western operating region	\$0.77	\$0.87	\$1.01	(11.5))% (13.9))%
Eastern operating region	1.38	1.33	1.55	3.8	% (14.2))%
Weighted-average	0.85	0.92	1.05	(7.6))% (12.4))%
Natural Gas Marketing Contribution Margin (5)	\$0.5	\$0.9	\$1.1	(44.4))% (18.2))%

Edgar Filing: PDC ENERGY, INC. - Form 10-K

Other Costs and Expenses

Exploration expense	\$22.6	\$6.3	\$13.7	261.5	%	(54.3))%
Impairment of natural gas and crude oil properties	168.1	25.2	6.5	*		288.2	%
General and administrative expense	58.8	61.5	42.2	(4.3)%	45.7	%
Depreciation, depletion, and amortization	146.9	128.9	108.1	13.9	%	19.3	%
Loss on extinguishment of debt	\$23.3	\$—	\$—	100.0	%	—	%
Interest Expense	\$48.3	\$37.0	\$33.3	30.6	%	11.2	%

*Percentage change is not meaningful or equal to or greater than 300%.

Amounts may not recalculate due to rounding.

Production is net and determined by multiplying the gross production volume of properties in which we have an (1) interest by our ownership percentage. For total production volume, including discontinued operations, see Part I, Item 6, Selected Financial Data included in this report.

(2) Six Mcf of natural gas equals one Bbl of crude oil or NGL.

(3) Represents realized derivative gains and losses related to natural gas, NGLs and crude oil sales, which do not include realized derivative gains and losses related to natural gas marketing.

(4) Represents lease operating expenses, exclusive of production taxes, on a per unit basis.

(5) Represents sales from natural gas marketing, net of costs of natural gas marketing, including realized and unrealized derivative gains and losses related to natural gas marketing activities.

Natural Gas, NGLs and Crude Oil Sales

The following tables present natural gas, NGLs and crude oil production and weighted-average sales price for continuing operations:

Production by Operating Region	Year Ended December 31,			Change			
	2012	2011	2010	2012-2011	2011-2010		
Natural gas (MMcf)							
Western							
Wattenberg Field	9,845.2	8,980.2	7,229.7	9.6	% 24.2		%
Piceance Basin (1)	13,226.9	13,350.0	12,001.5	(0.9))% 11.2		%
NECO, other (1)	3,194.3	3,709.6	4,481.9	(13.9))% (17.2))%
Total Western	26,266.4	26,039.8	23,713.1	0.9	% 9.8		%
Eastern	6,143.4	4,389.9	2,526.0	39.9	% 73.8		%
Total	32,409.8	30,429.7	26,239.1	6.5	% 16.0		%
Crude oil (MBbls)							
Western							
Wattenberg Field	1,979.7	1,670.9	1,190.3	18.5	% 40.4		%
Piceance Basin (1)	37.7	33.2	33.1	13.6	% 0.3		%
NECO, other (1)	0.4	1.0	2.1	(60.0))% (52.4))%
Total Western	2,017.8	1,705.1	1,225.5	18.3	% 39.1		%
Eastern	8.1	4.8	5.9	68.8	% (18.6))%
Total	2,025.9	1,709.9	1,231.4	18.5	% 38.9		%
NGLs (MBbls)							
Western							
Wattenberg Field	837.3	712.1	561.1	17.6	% 26.9		%
NECO, other (1)	4.0	7.1	8.5	(43.7))% (16.5))%
Total	841.3	719.2	569.6	17.0	% 26.3		%
Natural gas equivalent (MMcfe)							
Western							
Wattenberg Field	26,747.0	23,278.4	17,738.4	14.9	% 31.2		%
Piceance Basin (1)	13,453.1	13,549.3	12,199.9	(0.7))% 11.1		%
NECO, other (1)	3,220.1	3,758.2	4,545.2	(14.3))% (17.3))%
Total Western	43,420.2	40,585.9	34,483.5	7.0	% 17.7		%
Eastern	6,192.2	4,418.9	2,561.4	40.1	% 72.5		%
Total	49,612.4	45,004.8	37,044.9	10.2	% 21.5		%

Amounts may not recalculate due to rounding.

(1) On February 4, 2013, we entered into a purchase and sale agreement pursuant to which we have agreed to sell our Piceance Basin, NECO and certain non-core Colorado oil and gas properties. See Note 19, Subsequent Events, to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report for additional information regarding the planned divestiture. There can be no assurance we will be successful in closing such divestiture.

38

Edgar Filing: PDC ENERGY, INC. - Form 10-K

Average Sales Price by Operating Region (excluding gain/loss on derivatives)	Year Ended December 31,			Change		
	2012	2011	2010	2012-2011	2011-2010	
Natural gas (per Mcf)						
Western						
Wattenberg Field	\$2.61	\$3.52	\$3.70	(25.9))% (4.9))%
Piceance Basin (1)	1.67	2.82	3.38	(40.8))% (16.6))%
NECO, other (1)	2.08	3.26	3.60	(36.2))% (9.4))%
Western	2.07	3.12	3.52	(33.7))% (11.4))%
Eastern	2.66	4.15	4.44	(35.9))% (6.5))%
Weighted-average price	2.18	3.27	3.61	(33.3))% (9.4))%
Crude oil (per Bbl)						
Western						
Wattenberg Field	87.27	87.93	74.46	(0.8))% 18.1	%
Piceance Basin (1)	80.20	78.50	56.60	2.2	% 38.7	%
NECO, other (1)	83.80	(95.33)) 40.23	(187.9))% (337.0))%
Western	87.14	87.63	73.95	(0.6))% 18.5	%
Eastern	86.43	87.09	77.10	(0.8))% 13.0	%
Weighted-average price	87.14	87.63	73.96	(0.6))% 18.5	%
NGLs (per Bbl)						
Western						
Wattenberg Field	27.33	37.62	39.56	(27.4))% (4.9))%
NECO, other (1)	32.80	58.07	46.29	(43.5))% 25.4	%
Weighted-average price	27.36	37.82	39.66	(27.7))% (4.6))%
Natural gas equivalent (per Mcfe)						
Western						
Wattenberg Field	8.27	8.82	7.81	(6.2))% 12.9	%
Piceance Basin (1)	1.87	2.97	3.40	(37.0))% (12.6))%
NECO, other (1)	2.12	3.30	3.65	(35.8))% (9.6))%
Western	5.83	6.35	5.71	(8.2))% 11.2	%
Eastern	2.76	4.22	4.55	(34.6))% (7.3))%
Weighted-average price	5.45	6.15	5.63	(11.4))% 9.2	%

Amounts may not recalculate due to rounding.

The year-over-year change in natural gas, NGLs and crude oil sales revenue were primarily due to the following:

	Year Ended December 31,	
	2012	2011
	(in millions)	
Increase in production	\$38.8	\$56.4
Decrease in average natural gas price	(35.3)) (10.2)
Decrease in average NGL price	(8.8)) (1.3)
Increase (decrease) in average crude oil price	(1.0)) 23.4
Decrease in provision for underpayment of natural gas sales	—	3.3
Total increase (decrease) in natural gas, NGLs and crude oil sales revenue	\$(6.3)) \$71.6

Natural gas, NGLs and crude oil sales revenue in 2012 decreased 2.3% compared to 2011. The decrease was primarily attributable to the 33.3% and 27.7% declines in the average price of natural gas and NGLs, respectively, during 2012.

The decrease was offset in part by significantly higher volumes sold, in particular liquids, which shifted our liquids percentage of total production to approximately 34.7% in 2012 compared to 32.4% in 2011. Our average daily sales volumes increased to 135.6 MMcfe per day in 2012 compared to 123.3 MMcfe per day in 2011, primarily due to the success of the horizontal Niobrara and Codell drilling program in the Wattenberg Field and the Merit Acquisition. For December 2012, our average production exit rate from continuing operations was 143 MMcfe per day compared to 139 MMcfe per day in December 2011.

The increase in 2011 production compared to 2010 was directly attributable to an increase in production in our Western Operating Region of 16.8 MMcfe per day as a result of increased drilling in the Wattenberg field, as well as a 5.1 MMcfe per day increase in production in our Eastern Operating Region associated with our Marcellus wells. The 2011 increase in production was directly attributable to our decision to increase our capital expenditures for new wells drilled in 2010 and 2011 and switching a majority of our drilling program from vertical to horizontal wells in the Niobrara formation and Marcellus Shale.

Natural Gas, NGLs and Crude Oil Pricing. Our results of operations depend upon many factors, particularly the price of natural gas, NGLs and crude oil and our ability to market our production effectively. Natural gas, NGLs and crude oil prices are among the most volatile of all commodity prices. These price variations have a material impact on our financial results and capital expenditures.

Natural gas prices vary by region and locality, depending upon the distance to markets, the availability of pipeline capacity and the supply and demand relationships in that region or locality. The combination of increased drilling activity and curtailments due to limited capacity on local gathering and processing infrastructure resulted in capacity constraints during the second and third quarters of 2012, primarily in our Wattenberg Field. Like most producers, we rely on major interstate pipeline companies to construct these pipelines to increase capacity, rendering the timing and availability of these facilities beyond our control. The price we receive for our natural gas is impacted by our transportation, gathering and processing agreements. We currently use the "net-back" method of accounting for these arrangements related to our natural gas sales. We sell natural gas at the wellhead and collect a price and recognize revenues based on the wellhead sales price since transportation costs downstream of the wellhead are incurred by the purchaser and reflected in the wellhead price. The net-back method results in the recognition of a sales price that is below the indices for which the production is based.

The price we receive for our natural gas produced in our Western Operating Region is based on a market basket of prices, which generally includes natural gas sold at, near or below Colorado Interstate Gas ("CIG") prices, as well as other nearby regional prices. In September 2012, we renegotiated our marketing agreement for our natural gas in the Piceance Basin, which added approximately \$0.40 per MMBtu to our Piceance natural gas price realization, effective with November 1 production. We have experienced a decline in the price of NGLs, mainly at Conway hub in Kansas where our Wattenberg production is marketed. This is primarily due to the increase in ethane and propane volumes flowing to Conway with a limited market for these products out of the area. Crude oil pricing is predominately driven by the physical market, supply and demand, the financial markets and national and international politics. The majority of our crude oil is sold on a calendar-year basis at a fixed differential to NYMEX pricing.

Production Costs

Production costs include lease operating expenses, production taxes and certain production and engineering staff-related overhead costs, as well as other costs to operate wells and pipelines as follows:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
	(in millions)		
Lease operating expenses (1)	\$42.2	\$41.3	\$38.9
Production taxes	16.1	17.5	11.7
Cost of well operations, overhead and other production expenses	17.2	8.6	13.0
Total production costs	\$75.5	\$67.4	\$63.6
Total production costs per Mcfe	\$1.52	\$1.50	\$1.72

Prior to 2012, accretion of asset retirement obligations was included in lease operating expenses. In 2012, accretion (1) of asset retirement obligations was reclassified as its own line item in the statement of operations. The amounts reclassified from lease operating expenses for 2011 and 2010 were \$1.7 million and \$1.3 million, respectively.

Lease operating expenses. Lifting costs per Mcfe were \$0.85, \$0.92 and \$1.05 for 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The 7.6% decrease in lifting costs per Mcfe in 2012 from 2011 was primarily due to an increase in production, which resulted in the non-production-based portion of our lease operating expenses being spread across an increased number of units. The increase in lease operating expenses in 2012 compared to 2011 was primarily related to the 10.2% increase in production, including an increase in production-related expenses in the amount of \$6.7 million mostly related to the Merit Acquisition and the Seneca-Upshur acquisition, offset by a decrease in environmental compliance costs of \$3.2 million due to the completion of many environmental projects during 2011 and a decrease in workover expense of \$2.5 million due to a reduction in the amount of workover projects completed in 2012 compared to 2011. The increase in lease operating expenses in 2011 compared to 2010 was primarily related to the 21.5% increase in production, including an increase in production-related expenses of \$2.4 million mostly related to the Seneca-Upshur acquisition and other production-related expenses.

Production taxes. Production taxes fluctuate with natural gas, NGLs and crude oil sales. The \$1.4 million, or 8%, decrease in production taxes for 2012 compared to 2011 is primarily related to a combination of lower total natural gas and NGL sales and, to a lesser extent, a change in the production tax rate due to a change in the mix of production locations. The \$5.8 million, or 49.6%, increase in production taxes for 2011

compared to 2010 was primarily related to the 34.9% increase in natural gas, NGLs and crude oil sales and, to a lesser extent, higher ad valorem rates in certain Colorado counties.

Overhead and other production expenses. Overhead and other production expenses increased \$8.6 million in 2012 compared to 2011. The increase consisted of a \$3.2 million oil inventory expense in 2012 associated with the Merit Acquisition and an increase of \$3.0 million of prepaid well costs charged to expense in 2012 as a result of changes in our capital spending plan. In July 2011, we signed an amended firm transportation agreement which resulted in the reversal of the \$3.1 million liability accrued in 2010 related to an expected volume shortfall. The reversal of this accrued liability resulted in a reduction in overhead and other production expenses in 2011 when comparing 2011 to 2012 and 2010. The remaining decrease in overhead and other production expenses in 2011 compared to 2010 related to reductions in costs incurred for the wells and pipeline systems we operate on behalf of our affiliated partnerships and other third parties as a result of our acquisition of 12 affiliated partnerships.

Commodity Price Risk Management, Net

Commodity price risk management, net, includes realized gains and losses and unrealized changes in the fair value of derivative instruments related to our natural gas and crude oil production. Commodity price risk management, net, does not include derivative transactions related to our natural gas marketing, which are included in sales from and cost of natural gas marketing. See Note 3, Fair Value of Financial Instruments, and Note 4, Derivative Financial Instruments, to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report for additional details of our derivative financial instruments.

The following table presents the realized and unrealized derivative gains and losses included in commodity price risk management, net:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
	(in millions)		
Commodity price risk management gain (loss), net:			
Realized gains (losses):			
Natural gas	\$49.9	\$29.1	\$40.0
Crude oil	(0.5)	(11.9)	7.1
Total realized gains, net	49.4	17.2	47.1
Unrealized gains (losses):			
Reclassification of realized gains included in prior periods unrealized	(28.8)	(10.3)	(20.1)
Unrealized gains for the period	11.7	39.2	32.9
Total unrealized gains (losses), net	(17.1)	28.9	12.8
Total commodity price risk management gain, net	\$32.3	\$46.1	\$59.9

Realized gains recognized in 2012 are primarily the result of lower natural gas prices at settlement compared to the respective strike price of our natural gas derivative positions. Realized gains on natural gas, exclusive of basis swaps, were \$66.5 million reflective of a weighted-average strike price of \$5.36 compared to a weighted-average settlement price of \$2.86. These gains were offset in part by realized losses of \$16.6 million on our basis swap positions as the negative basis differential between NYMEX and CIG was a weighted-average of \$0.21 compared to a weighted-average strike price of \$1.82. The realized gains on natural gas derivative positions in 2012 were offset in part by realized losses on our crude oil positions as a result of higher prices at settlement compared to the respective strike price of our derivative positions. For 2012, the realized losses were reflective of a weighted-average strike price of \$93.33 compared to a weighted-average settlement price of \$93.72.

Unrealized gains in 2012 were primarily related to the downward shift in the crude oil and natural gas forward curve and its impact on the fair value of our open positions, offset in part by the narrowing of the CIG basis forward curve. During 2012, unrealized gains on our crude oil and natural gas positions were \$9.4 million and \$2.9 million, respectively, offset slightly by unrealized losses on our CIG basis swaps of \$0.6 million.

Realized gains recognized in 2011 were mainly the result of lower natural gas prices at settlement compared to the respective strike price, resulting in a \$44 million realized gain on our natural gas derivatives. These realized gains were offset in part by realized losses of \$14.9 million on our basis swap positions and realized losses on our crude oil positions. During 2011, we recorded unrealized gains of \$46.1 million on our natural gas positions offset in part by unrealized losses of \$3.9 million on our crude oil positions and \$3 million on our CIG basis swaps as the forward basis differential between NYMEX and CIG had continued to narrow.

During 2010, realized gains recognized were the result of lower natural gas and crude oil prices at settlement compared to the respective strike price, offset in part by a \$12.1 million realized loss due to the negative basis differential between NYMEX and CIG being narrower than the strike price of our derivative position. During 2010, we recorded unrealized gains of \$47.3 million on our natural gas positions offset in part by unrealized losses of \$10.6 million on our crude oil positions and \$3.8 million on our CIG basis swaps as the forward basis differential between NYMEX and CIG had continued to narrow.

We use various derivative instruments to manage fluctuations in natural gas and crude oil prices. We have in place a variety of floors, collars, fixed-price swaps and basis swaps on a portion of our estimated natural gas and crude oil production. Because we sell all of our physical natural gas and crude oil at prices similar to the indexes inherent in our derivative instruments, adjusted for certain fees and surcharges stipulated in the applicable sales agreements, we ultimately realize a price related to our collars of no less than the floor and no more than the ceiling and, for our commodity swaps, we ultimately realize the fixed price related to our swaps. See Note 4, Derivative Financial Instruments, to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report and Item 7A, Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk, for a discussion of how each derivative type impacts our cash flows and a detailed presentation of our derivative positions as of December 31, 2012.

Natural Gas Marketing

Fluctuations in our natural gas marketing's income contribution are primarily due to fluctuations in commodity prices and realized and unrealized, mark-to-market adjustments, gains and losses on open derivative positions, and, to a lesser extent, volumes sold and purchased.

The following table presents the components of sales from and costs of natural gas marketing:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
	(in millions)		
Natural gas sales revenue	\$46.6	\$63.6	\$63.3
Realized derivative gains, net	2.2	3.0	6.4
Unrealized derivative losses, net	(1.7)	(0.2)	(0.6)
Total sales from natural gas marketing	47.1	66.4	69.1
Costs of natural gas purchases	44.8	61.6	61.4
Realized derivative losses, net	2.0	2.6	5.9
Unrealized derivative losses (gains), net	(1.6)	0.1	(0.5)
Other	1.4	1.2	1.2
Total costs of natural gas marketing	46.6	65.5	68.0
Natural gas marketing contribution margin	\$0.5	\$0.9	\$1.1

The decrease in natural gas sales revenue and costs of natural gas purchases in 2012 compared to 2011 is primarily attributable to a 34% decrease in the average natural gas price, offset in part by a 10% increase in volumes. The increase in natural gas sales revenue and costs of natural gas purchases in 2011 compared to 2010 is primarily attributable to a 9% increase in volumes, offset in part by an 8% decrease in the average natural gas price.

Derivative instruments related to natural gas marketing include both physical and cash-settled derivatives. We offer fixed-price derivative contracts for the purchase or sale of physical natural gas and enter into cash-settled derivative positions with counterparties in order to offset those same physical positions. See Note 4, Derivative Financial Instruments, to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report and Item 7A, Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk, for a discussion of how each derivative type impacts our cash flows and detailed presentation of our derivative positions as of December 31, 2012.

Exploration Expense

The following table presents the major components of exploration expense:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
	(in millions)		
Exploratory dry hole costs	\$15.3	\$0.2	\$4.2
Geological and geophysical costs	1.9	1.8	2.3
Operating, personnel and other	5.4	4.3	7.2
Total exploration expense	\$22.6	\$6.3	\$13.7

Exploratory dry hole costs. In 2012, two vertical stratigraphic test wells in eastern Ohio were expensed at a cost of \$12.2 million. Additionally, three wells in south central Ohio and a well in southeast Colorado were determined to have found noncommercial quantities of

hydrocarbons and were expensed at a cost of \$1.2 million and \$0.9 million, respectively. In 2010, exploratory dry hole costs included the fracturing and testing of several exploratory zones on a well drilled in the Piceance Basin and a crude oil well drilled in the north eastern Colorado area.

Operating, personnel and other. The \$1.1 million increase in 2012 compared to 2011 is mainly attributable to a \$2 million increase in payroll and employee benefits in the exploration division as a result of increased employee headcount in the Utica Shale, offset in part by a \$0.7 million decrease in PDCM's lease prospecting costs. The decrease in operating, personnel and other in 2011 compared to 2010 was primarily related to a \$3.9 million reduction in personnel costs resulting from the reassignment of former exploration department personnel during the first quarter of 2011 to development drilling or administrative activities, offset in part by a \$1.1 million increase in PDCM's lease prospecting costs.

Impairment of Natural Gas and Crude Oil Properties

The following table sets forth the major components of our impairments of natural gas and crude oil properties expense:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
	(in millions)		
Impairment of proved properties	\$161.2	\$22.5	\$—
Impairment of individually significant unproved properties	1.9	1.1	1.5
Amortization of individually insignificant unproved properties	5.0	1.6	5.0
Total impairment of natural gas and crude oil properties	\$168.1	\$25.2	\$6.5

Impairment of proved properties. In December 2012, we recognized an impairment charge of \$161.2 million associated with our Piceance Basin proved oil and natural gas properties. The assets were determined to be impaired as the estimated undiscounted future net cash flows were less than the carrying value of the assets. The fair value for determining the amount of the impairment charge was based on estimated future cash flows from unrelated third-party bids, a Level 3 input, as we changed our development plans in the basin and decided to sell the related assets. See Note 19, Subsequent Events, to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report for additional information regarding our planned divestiture of our Piceance Basin and other crude oil and natural gas properties. There can be no assurance that this transaction will close as planned. In 2011, we recognized an impairment charge of \$22.5 million related to our NECO assets.

General and Administrative Expense

General and administrative expense for 2012 decreased by \$2.6 million, or 4.3%, compared to 2011. The decrease is mainly attributable to a \$6.7 million charge recognized in 2011 related to the separation agreement with our former chief executive officer, a \$1.6 million charge to legal fees recorded in 2011 related to the settlement agreement reached with regard to our West Virginia royalty lawsuit and a \$1.6 million decrease in professional and consulting fees during 2012. These decreases were offset in part by an increase in payroll and employee benefits of \$7.5 million in 2012.

General and administrative expense for 2011 increased by \$19.3 million, or 45.7%, compared to 2010. The increase was primarily due to an increase in payroll and payroll-related expense of \$13.8 million, of which \$6.7 million was related to a separation agreement with a former chief executive officer. The increase in payroll and payroll-related

expenses was also impacted by the reassignment of former exploration department personnel contributing \$3.7 million to the increase, with the remaining increase in payroll and payroll-related expenses being attributable to new hires and an overall increase in employee benefits. Also contributing to the increase was a \$1.6 million charge related to the settlement reached with regard to our West Virginia royalty lawsuit.

Depreciation, Depletion and Amortization

Natural gas and crude oil properties. Depreciation, Depletion and Amortization ("DD&A") expense related to natural gas and crude oil properties is directly related to proved reserves and production volumes. DD&A related to natural gas and crude oil properties was \$139.4 million in 2012 compared to \$122.2 million in 2011. The increase in 2012 compared to 2011 was comprised of \$12.5 million due to higher production and \$4.7 million due to a higher weighted-average depreciation, depletion and amortization rate.

The following table presents our DD&A rates for natural gas and crude oil properties:

Operating Region/Area	Year Ended December 31,		
	2012 (per Mcfe)	2011	2010
Western			
Wattenberg Field	\$3.07	\$3.21	\$3.08
Piceance Basin	3.12	2.53	2.49
Weighted-average Western	2.94	2.80	2.72
Eastern	1.93	2.00	2.57
Total weighted-average	2.81	2.72	2.71

Non-natural gas and crude oil properties. Depreciation expense for non-natural gas and crude oil properties was \$7.5 million for 2012 compared to \$6.7 million for 2011 and \$7.5 million for 2010.

Accretion of Asset Retirement Obligations

Accretion of asset retirement obligations ("ARO") for 2012 increased by \$2.3 million, or 134.3%, compared to 2011. The increase in 2012 is primarily attributable to the increase in ARO liability associated with the properties acquired in the Merit Acquisition in 2012 and the Seneca-Upshur acquisition in 2011. Accretion of ARO for 2011 increased by \$0.4 million, or 30.4%, compared to 2010. The increase in 2011 is primarily attributable to the increase in ARO liability associated with the Seneca-Upshur acquisition in the fourth quarter of 2011.

Gain on Sale of Properties and Equipment

The 2012 increase in the gain on sale of properties and equipment of \$4.2 million as compared to 2011 mainly relates to our proportionate share of the gain realized from PDCM's sale of certain leases in our Eastern Operating Region. The gain on sale of properties and equipment in 2011 and 2010 was not material.

Interest Expense

Interest expense increased by approximately \$11.3 million in 2012 compared to 2011. Approximately \$6 million of the increase is related to the issuance of the 2022 Senior Notes and the result of incurring interest on both the 2022 Senior Notes and 2018 Senior Notes during the month of October. Additionally, approximately \$3.6 million of the increase was the result of higher average borrowings on our revolving credit facility during 2012 as compared to 2011 and \$1.1 million due to higher debt issuance amortization expense. The increase in interest expense in 2011 compared to 2010 was attributable to \$6 million of interest and amortization of debt discount related to our convertible notes issued in November 2010. The increase was offset in part by a decrease in debt issuance costs of \$1.5 million and an increase in capitalized interest of \$1.4 million. Interest costs capitalized in 2012, 2011 and 2010 were \$1.2 million, \$1.7 million and \$0.3 million, respectively.

Loss on Extinguishment of Debt

The \$23.3 million pre-tax loss on extinguishment of debt relates to the redemption of the 2018 Senior Notes during the fourth quarter of 2012. The pre-tax loss consists of an \$18.9 million make-whole premium and the write-off of both unamortized debt discount of \$1.5 million and unamortized debt issuance costs of \$2.9 million.

Provision for Income Taxes

The effective tax rate ("rate") on loss from continuing operations in 2012 was a 38% benefit, which reflects a small benefit for the percentage depletion deduction. The 2011 benefit on income rate of 6.3% was also favorably impacted by our deduction for percentage depletion, as well as a \$0.6 million tax benefit related to a reduction of the accrual for uncertain tax positions, offset by the adjustment to the estimated state deferred rate. The 2010 rate of 9.7% was also favorably impacted by our deduction for percentage depletion, as well as a \$1.7 million discrete tax benefit related to our state deferred rate change. Excluding the effect of discrete items, our 2012, 2011 and 2010 rates were 38.0%, 0.1% and 32.9%, respectively. See Note 7, Income Taxes, to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report for our rate reconciliation for each of the three years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2012.

Beginning with our 2010 tax year, we were accepted into and have agreed to participate in the IRS Compliance Assurance Process ("CAP") program. As part of this program, we agreed to an accelerated timeline for the IRS examination of our 2007, 2008 and 2009 tax years. This examination was completed during the second quarter of 2011 without any significant increase or decrease in tax expense. See Note 7, Income Taxes, to the accompanying consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report for a discussion regarding the reduction of our uncertain tax liability due to the conclusion of this examination. Our 2011 CAP reviewed return was filed in September 2012. The IRS subsequently accepted our return without change. We are currently participating in the CAP program review of our 2012 tax year and have accepted an offer for continued participation in the IRS CAP program for our 2013 tax year.

Discontinued Operations

Permian Basin. During the fourth quarter of 2011, we closed on the sale of our non-core Permian Basin assets to unrelated third parties for a sales price of \$13.2 million. We then developed a plan to divest 100% of our core Permian Basin assets, consisting of producing wells and undeveloped leaseholds. Following the sale of our core Permian assets to the unrelated party on February 28, 2012, we do not have significant continuing involvement in the operations of or cash flows from these assets. Accordingly, the Permian Basin assets were reclassified as held for sale as of December 31, 2011 and the results of operations related to the core and non-core Permian Basin assets have been reported as discontinued operations for all periods presented in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations included in this report. Proceeds from the sale of our core Permian Basin assets were \$189.2 million after closing adjustments and were received in the first quarter of 2012. The sale of our Permian Basin assets resulted in a pre-tax gain of \$19.9 million. See Note 14, Assets Held for Sale, Divestitures and Discontinued Operations, to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report for further discussion of the Permian divestiture.

North Dakota. In February 2011, we executed a purchase and sale agreement for the sale of our North Dakota assets and subsequently closed with the same unrelated party. Proceeds from the sale were \$9.5 million, net of non-affiliated investor partners' share of \$3.8 million, resulting in a pre-tax gain on sale of \$3.9 million. Following the sale to the unrelated party, we do not have significant continuing involvement in the operations of, or cash flows from, these assets. Accordingly, the results of operations related to the North Dakota assets have been reported as discontinued operations in 2010 and 2011 in our consolidated statements of operations included elsewhere in this report.

Michigan. In July 2010, we completed the sale of our Michigan assets for net proceeds of \$22 million. Following the sale to the unrelated party, we do not have significant continuing involvement in the operations of or cash flows from these assets. Accordingly, the results of operations related to the Michigan assets have been reported as discontinued operations in 2010 in our consolidated statements of operations included elsewhere in this report. Operating results related to these assets were immaterial to the financial statements with the following exception. In June 2010, in conjunction with our decision to divest our Michigan assets, we recorded a related pre-tax impairment charge of \$4.7 million. See Note 6, Properties and Equipment, and Note 14, Assets Held for Sale, Divestitures and Discontinued Operations, to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report for additional information regarding the divestiture of our Michigan assets.

For production data and operating results related to our discontinued operations, see Note 14, Assets Held for Sale, Divestitures and Discontinued Operations, to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report.

Net Income (Loss) Attributable to Shareholders/Adjusted Net Income (Loss) Attributable to Shareholders

The year-over-year changes in net income (loss) attributable to shareholders are discussed above. These same reasons similarly impacted adjusted net income (loss) attributable to shareholders, a non-U.S. GAAP financial measure, with the exception of the unrealized derivative gains and losses on derivatives and provision for underpayment of natural gas sales, adjusted for taxes. Adjusted net income (loss) attributable to shareholders excludes the impact of a tax adjusted unrealized derivative gain, net, and provision for underpayment of natural gas sales of \$10.6 million, \$17.7 million and \$5.8 million in 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Adjusted net loss attributable to shareholders, a non-U.S. GAAP financial measure, was \$120.1 million and \$4.3 million in 2012 and 2011, respectively. Adjusted net income attributable to shareholders was \$0.4 million in 2010. See Reconciliation of Non-U.S. GAAP Financial Measures, below, for a more detailed discussion of this non-U.S. GAAP financial measure.

Financial Condition, Liquidity and Capital Resources

Historically, our primary sources of liquidity have been cash flows from operating activities, our revolving credit facility, proceeds raised in the debt and equity markets and asset monetization transactions. In 2012, our primary sources of liquidity were proceeds from the divestiture of our Permian assets in February 2012 for \$189.2 million, \$164.5 million in proceeds from the issuance of our common stock in May 2012, \$489 million from the issuance of our 2022 Senior Notes in October 2012 and net cash flows from operating activities of \$174.7 million.

Our primary source of cash flows from operating activities is the sale of natural gas, NGLs and crude oil. Fluctuations in our operating cash flows are substantially driven by commodity prices and changes in our production volumes. Commodity prices have historically been volatile and we manage this volatility through our use of derivatives, which has also historically been a source of cash. We enter into commodity derivative instruments with maturities of no greater than five years from the date of the instrument. For instruments that mature in two years or less, our debt covenants limit our holdings to 80% of our expected future production on total proved reserves (proved developed producing, proved developed not producing and proved undeveloped). For instruments that mature later than two years, but no more than our designated maximum maturity, our debt covenants limit our holdings to 80% of our expected future production from proved developed producing properties. Therefore, we may still have significant fluctuations in our cash flows from operating activities due to the remaining non-hedged portion of our future production.

Our working capital fluctuates for various reasons, including, but not limited to, changes in the fair value of our commodity derivative instruments and changes in our cash and cash equivalents due to our practice of utilizing excess cash to reduce the outstanding borrowings under our revolving credit facility. At December 31, 2012, we had a working capital deficit of \$31.4 million compared to a deficit of \$22 million at December 31, 2011.

We ended 2012 with cash and cash equivalents of \$2.5 million and availability under our revolving credit facility and our proportionate share of PDCM's credit facility of \$396.1 million, for a total liquidity position of \$398.6 million, compared to \$196.4 million at the end of 2011.

The increase in liquidity of \$202.2 million, or 102.9%, was primarily due to \$189.2 million received from the divestiture of our Permian assets in February 2012, proceeds from issuance of common stock of \$164.5 million in May 2012, proceeds from the issuance of our \$500 million 2022 Senior Notes in October 2012 and cash flows from operating activities of \$174.7 million, offset by \$304.6 million in expenditures related to the Merit Acquisition in June 2012, capital expenditures of \$347.7 million and the redemption of our \$203 million 2018 Senior Notes in November 2012. Assuming that the planned divestiture of our Piceance and NECO assets for proceeds of approximately \$200 million had closed as of December 31, 2012, our pro-forma liquidity, excluding any effect related to our borrowing base redetermination, would have been approximately \$600 million.

With our current liquidity position and expected cash flows from operations, we believe that we have sufficient capital to fund operations. However, an acceleration of development activities or other changes to our business plans could increase our need for capital. We may also sell select assets from time to time in order to fund development projects, acquisitions or other capital needs.

Capital Expenditures

We establish a capital budget annually based upon our development and exploration opportunities, liquidity position and expected cash flows from operating activities. We may revise our capital budget during the year as a result of, among other things, acquisitions or dispositions of assets, drilling results, commodity prices, changes in our borrowing capacity and/or significant changes in cash flows. In December 2012, our Board of Directors approved our 2013 capital budget of \$324 million, excluding our share of PDCM's capital budget. Based on our current forecast, we expect to allocate \$254 million to be invested in the Wattenberg Field, of which \$207 million is for developmental drilling in the horizontal Niobrara and Codell. We expect to allocate approximately \$53 million to drilling, leasing and completion activity in the Utica Shale. We expect to allocate \$17 million to acquisitions of properties and leased acreage, exploration and other capital needs. PDCM's 2013 capital budget is currently set at \$95 million, of which \$48 million represents our share, and is expected to be funded by PDCM's operating activities, its credit facility and funds received related to title defects discovered from its acquisition of Seneca-Upshur. PDCM's capital budget for 2013 includes funding for the drilling of 14 gross horizontal wells. We believe, based on the current commodity price environment, cash flows provided by operating activities, planned asset sales and proceeds from borrowings on our revolving credit facility will fund our 2013 capital budget.

Because natural gas and crude oil production from a well declines rapidly in the first few years of production, we need to continue to commit significant amounts of capital in order to maintain and grow our production and replace our reserves. If capital is not available or is constrained in the future, we will be limited to our cash flows from operations and liquidity under our revolving credit facility as the sources for funding our capital expenditures. We would not be able to maintain our current level of natural gas, NGLs and crude oil production and cash flows from operating activities if capital markets and commodity prices were to become depressed and/or the borrowing base under our revolving credit facility was significantly reduced. The occurrence of such an event may result in our election to defer a substantial portion of our planned capital expenditures and could have a material negative impact on our operations in the future.

Financing Activities

In recent periods, we have been able to access borrowings under our revolving credit facility and to obtain proceeds from the issuance of debt and equity securities. See Note 8, Long-Term Debt, and Note 12, Common Stock, to our consolidated financial statements in this report for a detailed discussion of our May 2012 sale of common stock and October 2012 debt issuance, respectively. We cannot, however, assure this will continue to be the case in the future. We continue to monitor market conditions and circumstances and their potential impact on each of our revolving credit facility lenders. Our revolving credit facility borrowing base is subject to a redetermination each May and

November, based upon a quantification of our proved reserves at each June 30 and December 31, respectively. Our November semi-annual redetermination was completed on October 31, 2012 and resulted in the reduction of our borrowing base from \$525 million to \$450 million. The \$75 million borrowing base reduction was principally the result of the incurrence of additional term debt in the form of the 2022 Senior Notes. Our next scheduled redetermination is in May 2013. While we expect to continue to add producing reserves through our drilling operations, these reserve additions will be offset by the sale of our Piceance and NECO assets if that transaction is completed and could be offset by other factors including, among other things, a significant decrease in commodity prices. These events could result in a negative impact on our future borrowing base redeterminations.

On January 23, 2012, we filed an automatic shelf registration statement on Form S-3 with the SEC. Effective upon filing, the shelf provides for the potential sale of an unspecified amount of debt securities, common stock or preferred stock, either separately or represented by depository shares, warrants and purchase contracts, as well as units that may include any of these securities or securities of other entities. The shelf registration statement is intended to allow us to be proactive in our ability to raise capital and to have the flexibility to raise such funds in one or more offerings should we perceive market conditions to be favorable. Pursuant to this shelf registration, we sold 6.5 million shares of our common stock in May 2012 in an underwritten public offering at a price to the public of \$26.50 per share. We used the net proceeds of \$164.5 million to pay off the outstanding balance on our revolving credit facility and for general corporate purposes.

Our revolving credit facility contains financial maintenance covenants. The covenants require that we maintain: (i) total debt of less than 4.0 times earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, depletion and amortization, unrealized derivative gains (losses), exploration expense, gains (losses) on sales of assets and other non-cash, extraordinary or non-recurring gains (losses) ("EBITDAX") and (ii) an adjusted current ratio of at least 1.0 to 1.0. Our adjusted current ratio is adjusted by eliminating the impact on our current assets and liabilities of recording the fair value of natural gas and crude oil derivative instruments. Additionally, available borrowings under our revolving credit facility are added back to the current asset calculation. The current portion of our revolving credit facility debt is eliminated from the current liabilities calculation. At December 31, 2012, we were in compliance with all debt covenants with a 2.53 times debt to EBITDAX ratio and a 2.79 to 1.0 current ratio. We expect to remain in compliance throughout the next year.

The indenture governing our senior notes contains customary representations and warranties as well as typical restrictive covenants that, among other things, limit our ability and the ability of our restricted subsidiaries to: (a) incur additional debt, (b) make certain investments or pay dividends or distributions on our capital stock or purchase or redeem or retire capital stock, (c) sell assets, including capital stock of our restricted subsidiaries, (d) restrict the payment of dividends or other payments by restricted subsidiaries to us, (e) create liens that secure debt, (f) enter into transactions with affiliates and (g) merge or consolidate with another company. At December 31, 2012, we were in compliance with all covenants and expect to remain in compliance throughout the next year.

See Part II, Item 7A, Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk, for our discussion of credit risk.

Cash Flows

Operating Activities. Our net cash flows from operating activities is primarily impacted by commodity prices, production volumes, realized gains and losses from our derivative positions, operating costs and general and administrative expenses. Cash flows provided by operating activities increased in 2012 and 2011 compared to the respective prior years. In 2012, the \$7.9 million increase was mainly attributable to an increase in realized derivative gains of \$31.9 million, offset by a \$18.5 million loss of operating margins related to the divested Permian Basin assets and an increase in the cash component of interest expense and exploration expense of \$9.7 million and \$1.2 million, respectively. In 2011, the \$15 million increase was primarily due to an increase in natural gas, NGLs and crude oil sales of \$88 million, offset by a decrease in realized derivative gains related to natural gas and crude oil sales of \$29.9 million, an increase in production costs of \$8.5 million and an increase in general and administrative expense of \$19.3 million. The remaining changes in cash flows provided by operating activities were primarily due to changes in our assets and liabilities related to the timing of cash payments and receipts. The key components for the changes in our cash flows provided by operating activities are described in more detail in Results of Operations above.

Adjusted cash flows from operations, a non-U.S. GAAP financial measure, decreased in 2012 and increased in 2011 when compared to the respective prior years. These changes were primarily due to the same factors mentioned above for changes in cash flows provided by operating activities, without regard to timing of cash payments and receipts of our assets and liabilities.

Adjusted EBITDA, a non-U.S. GAAP financial measure, increased by \$6.7 million in 2012 from 2011, primarily due to a \$31.9 million increase in realized derivative gains, offset in part by a \$16.4 million increase in exploration expense and a \$8.1 million increase in production costs. Adjusted EBITDA increased by \$39.4 million in 2011 from 2010, primarily due to a \$71.6 million increase in natural gas, NGLs and crude oil sales and a \$15.7 million increase in operating margins related to the divested Permian Basin assets, offset in part by a \$29.9 million decrease in realized gains on derivatives and a \$19.3 million increase in general and administrative expense.

See Reconciliation of Non-U.S. GAAP Financial Measures, below, for a more detailed discussion of non-U.S. GAAP financial measures.

Investing Activities. Cash flows from investing activities primarily consist of the acquisition, exploration and development of natural gas and crude oil properties, net of dispositions of natural gas and crude oil properties. In 2012, our drilling program consisted of two rigs operating in the oil- and liquid-rich horizontal Niobrara and Codell play in our Wattenberg Field. See Part I, Operations - Drilling Activities, for additional details on our drilling activities. Our capital investment in natural gas and crude oil properties has increased significantly year-over-year as a result of our commitment to growth. Net cash used in investing activities of \$451.9 million during 2012 was primarily related to the \$304.6 million expended in June 2012 for the Merit Acquisition and drilling activity of \$347.7 million, offset in part by \$189.2 million received from the divestiture of our Permian assets in February 2012 and \$28.9

million received related to title defects discovered from PDCM's Seneca-Upshur acquisition in October 2011, of which \$14.5 million represents our share. In 2011, we increased our capital spending by \$159.6 million, including acquisitions, over that in 2010, and sold our North Dakota assets and our non-core Permian Basin assets, receiving cash of \$23.1 million. Approximately 70% of our investment spending was directed toward organic development and the remaining 30% going toward the acquisition of natural gas and crude oil properties. In 2010, as the economic condition showed signs of recovery and the differential between natural gas and liquids grew, we increased our capital spending to \$320.8 million. Approximately 51% of our investment spending was directed toward organic development in our liquid-rich plays and the remaining 49% going toward the acquisition of natural gas and crude oil properties. In 2010, we sold our Michigan assets, which provided cash of \$22 million.

Financing Activities. Net cash from financing activities in 2012 includes gross proceeds of \$500 million from our October 2012 issuance of the 2022 Senior Notes and \$164.5 million from our May 2012 sale of common stock. The net proceeds from the issuance of the 2022 Senior Notes were used to fund the redemption of our 2018 Senior Notes for a total redemption price of approximately \$222 million and to repay a portion of amounts outstanding under our revolving credit facility. The proceeds from the sale of common stock were used to finance a portion of the Merit Acquisition. Cash flows provided by financing activities in 2011 were primarily comprised of net borrowings under our bank credit facility of \$233.5 million to execute our capital budget. Additionally, financing cash flows include \$12.5 million, representing our proportionate share of capital contributed to PDCM by our investing partner. Cash flows provided by financing activities in 2010 included gross proceeds of \$132.5 million and \$115 million from our November 2010 sale of common stock and issuance of convertible debt, respectively. During 2010, our investing partner in PDCM contributed \$35 million, of which our proportionate share was \$20.1 million. This capital raise was offset in part by the net repayment of borrowings under our bank credit facility of \$80 million. See Note 8, Long-Term Debt, and Note 12, Common Stock, to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report for further discussion on our debt and equity offerings and the formation of our joint venture.

Contractual Obligations and Contingent Commitments

The table below presents our contractual obligations and contingent commitments as of December 31, 2012.

Contractual Obligations and Contingent Commitments	Total	Payments due by period			
		Less than 1 year	1-3 years	3-5 years	More than 5 years
(in millions)					
Long-term liabilities reflected on the consolidated balance sheets (1)					
Long-term debt (2)	\$690.3	\$—	\$75.3	\$115.0	\$500.0
Derivative contracts (3)	28.6	18.5	6.2	3.9	—
Derivative contracts - affiliated partnerships (4)	4.7	4.7	—	—	—
Production tax liability	44.6	25.9	18.7	—	—
Asset retirement obligations	62.6	1.0	2.5	2.5	56.6
Other liabilities (5)	4.9	0.3	0.9	0.6	3.1
	835.7	50.4	103.6	122.0	559.7
Commitments, contingencies and other arrangements (6)					
Interest on long-term debt (7)	403.1	47.0	91.5	78.9	185.7
Operating leases	8.5	2.6	4.3	0.7	0.9
Drilling commitment	0.9	—	—	0.9	—
Firm transportation and processing agreements (8)	199.3	25.8	55.4	44.9	73.2
Other	0.4	0.1	0.3	—	—
	612.2	75.5	151.5	125.4	259.8
Total	\$1,447.9	\$125.9	\$255.1	\$247.4	\$819.5

(1) Table does not include deferred income tax liability to taxing authorities of \$148.4 million, due to the uncertainty surrounding the ultimate settlement of amounts and timing of these obligations.

(2) Amount presented does not agree with the balance sheet in that it excludes \$13.7 million in unamortized debt discount. See Note 8, Long-Term Debt, to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report.

(3) Represents our gross liability related to the fair value of derivative positions, including the fair value of derivative contracts we entered into on behalf of our affiliated partnerships as the managing general partner. We have a related receivable from the partnerships of \$2.1 million.

(4) Represents our affiliated partnerships' designated portion of the fair value of our gross derivative assets.

(5) Includes funds held from revenue distribution to third-party investors, including our affiliated partnerships, for plugging liabilities related to wells we operate and deferred officer compensation.

(6) Table does not include an undrawn \$18.7 million irrevocable standby letter of credit pending issuance to a transportation service provider. See Note 8, Long-Term Debt, to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report. Additionally, the table does not include the annual repurchase obligations to investing

(7) partners or termination benefits related to employment agreements with our executive officers, due to the uncertainty surrounding the ultimate settlement of amounts and timing of these obligations. See Note 11, Commitments and Contingencies - Partnership Repurchase Provision; Employment Agreements with Executive Officers, to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report.

(8) Amounts presented include \$12.6 million payable to the holders of our 3.25% convertible senior notes due 2016 and \$379.4 million to the holders of our 2022 Senior Notes. Amounts also include \$11.1 million payable to the

participating banks of our revolving credit facilities, of which interest of \$5.6 million is related to unutilized commitments at a rate of 0.5% per annum, \$5.3 million related to the outstanding borrowings on our revolving credit facilities of \$75.3 million and \$0.2 million related to our undrawn letters of credit.

Represents our gross commitment, including our proportionate share of PDCM. We will recognize in our financial statements our proportionate share based on our working interest; however, with the exception of contracts entered (8) into by PDCM, the costs of all volume shortfalls will be borne by PDC only. See Note 11, Commitments and Contingencies - Firm Transportation Agreements, to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report.

As the managing general partner of 21 partnerships, we have liability for potential casualty losses in excess of the partnership assets and insurance. We believe that the casualty insurance coverage we and our subcontractors carry is adequate to meet this potential liability.

For information regarding our legal proceedings, see Note 11, Commitments and Contingencies – Litigation, to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report. From time to time, we are a party to various other legal proceedings in the ordinary course of business. We are not currently a party to any litigation that we believe would have a materially adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations or liquidity.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

We have identified the following policies as critical to business operations and the understanding of our results of operations. This is not a comprehensive list of all of the accounting policies. In many cases, the accounting treatment of a particular transaction is specifically dictated by U.S. GAAP, with no need for our judgment in the application. There are also areas in which our judgment in selecting available alternatives would not produce a materially different result. However, certain of our accounting policies are particularly important to the portrayal of our financial position and results of operations and we may use significant judgment in the application. As a result, they are subject to an inherent degree of uncertainty. In applying those policies, we use our judgment to determine the appropriate assumptions to be used in the determination of certain estimates. Those estimates are based on historical experience, observation of trends in the industry and information available from other outside sources, as appropriate. For a more detailed discussion on the application of these and other accounting policies, see Note 2, Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report. Our critical accounting policies and estimates are as follows:

Natural Gas and Crude Oil Properties. We account for our natural gas and crude oil properties under the successful efforts method of accounting. Costs of proved developed producing properties, successful exploratory wells and development dry hole costs are capitalized and depreciated or depleted by the unit-of-production method based on estimated proved developed producing reserves. Property acquisition costs are depreciated or depleted on the unit-of-production method based on estimated proved reserves.

Annually, we engage independent petroleum engineers to prepare reserve and economic evaluations of all our properties on a well-by-well basis as of December 31. We adjust our natural gas and crude oil reserves for major acquisitions, new drilling and divestitures during the year as needed. The process of estimating and evaluating natural gas and crude oil reserves is complex, requiring significant decisions in the evaluation of available geological, geophysical, engineering and economic data. The data for a given property may also change substantially over time as a result of numerous factors, including additional development activity, evolving production history and a continual reassessment of the viability of production under changing economic conditions. As a result, revisions in existing reserve estimates occur. Although every reasonable effort is made to ensure that reserve estimates reported represent our most accurate assessments possible, the subjective decisions and variances in available data for various properties increase the likelihood of significant changes in these estimates over time. Because estimates of reserves significantly affect our DD&A expense, a change in our estimated reserves could have an effect on our net income.

Exploration costs, including geological and geophysical expenses and delay rentals, are charged to expense as incurred. Exploratory well drilling costs, including the cost of stratigraphic test wells, are initially capitalized, but are charged to expense if the well is determined to be nonproductive. The status of each in-progress well is reviewed quarterly to determine the proper accounting treatment under the successful efforts method of accounting. Exploratory well costs continue to be capitalized as long as the well has found a sufficient quantity of reserves to justify completion as a producing well and we are making sufficient progress assessing our reserves and economic and operating viability. If an in-progress exploratory well is found to be unsuccessful prior to the issuance of the financial statements, the costs incurred prior to the end of the reporting period are charged to exploration expense. If we are unable to make a final determination about the productive status of a well prior to issuance of the financial statements, the well is classified as "suspended well costs" until we have had sufficient time to conduct additional completion or testing operations to evaluate the pertinent geological and engineering data obtained. At the time when we are able to make a final determination of a well's productive status, the well is removed from the suspended well status and the proper accounting treatment is applied.

The acquisition costs of unproved properties are capitalized when incurred, until such properties are transferred to proved properties or charged to expense when expired, impaired or amortized. Unproved natural gas and crude oil

properties with individually significant acquisition costs are periodically assessed, and any impairment in value is charged to impairment of natural gas and crude oil properties. The amount of impairment recognized on unproved properties which are not individually significant is determined by amortizing the costs of such properties within appropriate fields based on our historical experience, acquisition dates and average lease terms, with the amortization recognized in impairment of natural gas and crude oil properties. The valuation of unproved properties is subjective and requires us to make estimates and assumptions which, with the passage of time, may prove to be materially different from actual realizable values.

We assess our natural gas and crude oil properties for possible impairment upon a triggering event by comparing net capitalized costs to estimated undiscounted future net cash flows on a field-by-field basis using estimated production based upon prices at which we reasonably estimate the commodity to be sold. Any impairment in value is charged to impairment of natural gas and crude oil properties. The estimates of future prices may differ from current market prices of natural gas and crude oil. Any downward revisions in estimates to our reserve quantities, expectations of falling commodity prices or rising operating costs could result in a triggering event, and therefore, a reduction in undiscounted future net cash flows and an impairment of our natural gas and crude oil properties. Although our cash flow estimates are based on the relevant information available at the time the estimates are made, estimates of future cash flows are, by nature, highly uncertain and may vary significantly from actual results.

Natural Gas, NGLs and Crude Oil Sales Revenue Recognition. Natural gas, NGLs and crude oil sales are recognized when production is sold to a purchaser at a determinable price, delivery has occurred, rights and responsibility of ownership have transferred and collection of revenue is reasonably assured. We record sales revenue based on an estimate of the volumes delivered at estimated prices as determined by the applicable sales agreement. We estimate our sales volumes based on company-measured volume readings. We then adjust our natural gas, NGLs and crude oil sales in subsequent periods based on the data received from our purchasers that reflects actual volumes and prices received. We receive payment for sales from one to three months after actual delivery has occurred. The differences in sales estimates and actual sales are recorded up to two months later. Historically, differences have been immaterial.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments. Our fair value measurements are estimated pursuant to a fair value hierarchy that requires us to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. The valuation hierarchy is based upon the transparency of inputs to the valuation of an asset or liability as of the measurement date, giving the highest priority to quoted prices in active markets (Level 1) and the lowest priority to unobservable data (Level 3). In some cases, the inputs used to measure fair value might fall in different levels of the fair value hierarchy. The lowest level input that is significant to a fair value measurement in its entirety determines the applicable level in the fair value hierarchy. Assessing the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires judgment, considering factors specific to the asset or liability, and may affect the valuation of the assets and liabilities and their placement within the fair value hierarchy levels. The three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value are defined as:

Level 1 – Quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets.

Level 2 – Inputs other than quoted prices included within Level 1 that are either directly or indirectly observable for the asset or liability, including quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in inactive markets, inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability and inputs that are derived from observable market data by correlation or other means.

Level 3 – Unobservable inputs for the asset or liability, including situations where there is little, if any, market activity.

Derivative Financial Instruments. We measure the fair value of our derivative instruments based on a pricing model that utilizes market-based inputs, including but not limited to the contractual price of the underlying position, current market prices, natural gas and crude oil forward curves, discount rates such as the LIBOR curve for a similar duration of each outstanding position, volatility factors and nonperformance risk. Nonperformance risk considers the effect of our credit standing on the fair value of derivative liabilities and the effect of our counterparties' credit standings on the fair value of derivative assets. Both inputs to the model are based on published credit default swap rates and the duration of each outstanding derivative position.

We validate our fair value measurement through the review of counterparty statements and other supporting documentation, the determination that the source of the inputs is valid, the corroboration of the original source of inputs through access to multiple quotes, if available, or other information and monitoring changes in valuation methods and assumptions. While we use common industry practices to develop our valuation techniques, changes in our pricing methodologies or the underlying assumptions could result in significantly different fair values. While we believe our valuation method is appropriate and consistent with those used by other market participants, the use of a different methodology or assumptions to determine the fair value of certain financial instruments could result in a different estimate of fair value.

We have evaluated the credit risk of the counterparties holding our derivative assets, which are primarily financial institutions who are also major lenders in our revolving credit facility, giving consideration to amounts outstanding for each counterparty and the duration of each outstanding derivative position. Based on our evaluation, we have determined that the potential impact of nonperformance of our counterparties on the fair value of our derivative instruments is not significant.

Deferred Income Tax Asset Valuation Allowance. Deferred income tax assets are recognized for deductible temporary differences, net operating loss carry-forwards and credit carry-forwards if it is more likely than not that the tax benefits will be realized. To the extent a deferred tax asset is not expected to be realized under the preceding criteria, we establish a valuation allowance. The factors which we consider in assessing whether we will realize the value of deferred income tax assets involve judgments and estimates of both amount and timing, which could differ from actual results, achieved in future periods.

The judgments used in applying the above policies are based on our evaluation of the relevant facts and circumstances as of the date of the financial statements. Actual results may differ from those estimates.

Accounting for Acquisitions Using Purchase Accounting. We utilize the purchase method to account for acquisitions. Pursuant to purchase method accounting, we allocate the cost of the acquisition to assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on fair values as of the acquisition date. The purchase price allocations are based on appraisals, discounted cash flows, quoted market prices and estimates by management. When appropriate, we review comparable purchases and sales of natural gas and crude oil properties within the same regions and use that data as a basis for fair market value; for example, the amount at which a willing buyer and seller would enter into an exchange for such properties.

In estimating the fair values of assets acquired and liabilities assumed, we make various assumptions. The most significant assumptions relate to the estimated fair values assigned to proved developed producing, proved developed non-producing, proved undeveloped, unproved natural gas and crude oil properties and other non-natural gas and crude oil properties. To estimate the fair values of these properties, we prepare estimates of natural gas and crude oil reserves. We estimate future prices by using the applicable forward pricing strip to apply to our estimate of reserve quantities acquired, and estimates of future operating and development costs, to arrive at an estimate of future net revenues. For estimated proved reserves, the future net revenues are discounted using a market-based weighted-average cost of capital rate determined appropriate at the time of the acquisition. The market-based weighted-average cost of capital rate is subject to additional project-specific risking factors. To compensate for the inherent risk of estimating and valuing unproved properties, we reduce the discounted future net revenues of probable and possible reserves by additional risk-weighting factors.

We record deferred taxes for any differences between the assigned values and tax basis of assets and liabilities. Estimated deferred taxes are based on available information concerning the tax basis of assets acquired and liabilities assumed and loss carryforwards at the acquisition date, although such estimates may change in the future as additional information becomes known.

Recent Accounting Standards

See Note 2, Summary of Significant Accounting Policies - Recent Accounting Standards, to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report.

Reconciliation of Non-U.S. GAAP Financial Measures

Adjusted cash flows from operations. We define adjusted cash flows from operations as the cash flows earned or incurred from operating activities, without regard to changes in operating assets and liabilities. We believe it is important to consider adjusted cash flows from operations, as well as cash flows from operations, as we believe it often provides more transparency into what drives the changes in our operating trends, such as production, prices, operating costs and related operational factors, without regard to whether the related asset or liability was received or paid during the same period. We also use this measure because the timing of cash received from our assets, cash paid to obtain an asset or payment of our obligations has been only a timing issue from one period to the next as we have not had accounts receivable collection problems, nor been unable to purchase assets or pay our obligations. See the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows in this report.

Adjusted net income (loss) attributable to shareholders. We define adjusted net income (loss) attributable to shareholders as net income (loss) attributable to shareholders, plus unrealized derivative losses and provisions for underpayment of natural gas sales, less unrealized derivative gains, each adjusted for tax effect. We believe it is important to consider adjusted net income (loss) attributable to shareholders, as well as net income (loss) attributable to shareholders. We believe it often provides more transparency into our operating trends, such as production, prices, operating costs, realized gains and losses from derivatives and related factors, without regard to changes in our net income (loss) attributable to shareholders from our mark-to-market adjustments resulting from unrealized gains and losses from derivatives. Additionally, other items, such as the provision for underpayment of natural gas sales, which are not indicative of future results, may be excluded to clearly identify operational trends.

Adjusted EBITDA. We define adjusted EBITDA as net income (loss), plus unrealized derivative loss, interest expense, net of interest income, income taxes, impairment of natural gas and crude oil properties, depreciation, depletion and amortization, accretion of asset retirement obligations and loss on debt extinguishment, less unrealized derivative gain. Adjusted EBITDA is not a measure of financial performance or liquidity under U.S. GAAP and should be considered in addition to, not as a substitute for, net income (loss), nor as an indicator of cash flows reported in accordance with U.S. GAAP. Adjusted EBITDA includes certain non-cash costs incurred by the Company and does not take into account changes in operating assets and liabilities. Other companies in our industry may calculate Adjusted EBITDA differently than we do, limiting its usefulness as a comparative measure. We believe adjusted EBITDA is relevant because it is a measure of our operational and financial performance, as well as a measure of our liquidity, and is used by our management, investors, commercial banks, research analysts and others to analyze such things as:

- our operating performance and return on capital as compared to our peers;
- the financial performance of our assets and our valuation without regard to financing methods, capital structure or historical cost basis;
- our ability to generate sufficient cash to service our debt obligations; and
- the viability of acquisition opportunities and capital expenditure projects, including the related rate of return.

PV-10%. We define PV-10% as the estimated present value of the future net cash flows from our proved reserves before income taxes, discounted using a 10% discount rate. We believe that PV-10% provides useful information to investors as it is widely used by professional analysts and sophisticated investors when evaluating oil and gas companies. We believe that PV-10% is relevant and useful for evaluating the relative monetary significance of our reserves. Professional analysts and sophisticated investors may utilize the measure as a basis for comparison of the relative size and value of our reserves to other companies' reserves. Because there are many unique factors that can impact an individual company when estimating the amount of future income taxes to be paid, we believe the use of a pre-tax measure is valuable in evaluating the Company and our reserves. PV-10% is not intended to represent the current market value of our estimated reserves.

Edgar Filing: PDC ENERGY, INC. - Form 10-K

The following table presents a reconciliation of our non-U.S. GAAP financial measures to its most comparable U.S. GAAP measure:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
	(in millions)		
Adjusted cash flows from operations:			
Adjusted cash flows from operations	\$ 163.9	\$ 167.7	\$ 132.2
Changes in assets and liabilities	10.8	(0.9)) 19.6
Net cash from operating activities	\$ 174.7	\$ 166.8	\$ 151.8
Adjusted net income (loss) attributable to shareholders:			
Adjusted net income (loss) attributable to shareholders	\$(120.1) \$(4.3) \$0.4
Unrealized gain (loss) on derivatives, net	(17.1) 28.6	12.6
Provision for underpayment of natural gas sales	—	—	(3.3)
Tax effect of above adjustments	6.5	(10.9)) (3.5)
Net income (loss) attributable to shareholders	\$(130.7) \$13.4	\$6.2
Adjusted EBITDA to net income (loss) attributable to shareholders:			
Adjusted EBITDA	\$ 196.9	\$ 190.2	\$ 150.8
Unrealized gain (loss) on derivatives, net	(17.1) 28.6	12.6
Interest expense, net	(48.3) (36.9)) (33.2)
Income tax provision	80.2	(6.2)) (0.4)
Impairment of natural gas and crude oil properties	(168.2) (25.2)) (11.1)
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	(146.9) (135.2)) (111.1)
Accretion of asset retirement obligations	(4.0) (1.9)) (1.4)
Loss on extinguishment of debt	(23.3) —	—
Net income (loss) attributable to shareholders	\$(130.7) \$13.4	\$6.2
Adjusted EBITDA to net cash from operating activities:			
Adjusted EBITDA	\$ 196.9	\$ 190.2	\$ 150.8
Interest expense, net	(48.3) (36.9)) (33.2)
Exploratory dry hole costs	15.3	0.2	4.2
Stock-based compensation	8.5	7.4	5.0
Amortization of debt discount and issuance costs	7.9	6.3	4.6
(Gain) loss from sale of properties and equipment	(24.3) (4.3)) 0.3
Other	7.9	4.8	0.5
Changes in assets and liabilities	10.8	(0.9)) 19.6
Net cash from operating activities	\$ 174.7	\$ 166.8	\$ 151.8
PV-10%:			
PV-10%	\$ 1,708.9	\$ 1,350.3	\$ 693.1
Present value of estimated future income tax discounted at 10%	(540.4) (409.1)) (204.7)
Standardized measure of discounted future net cash flows	\$ 1,168.5	\$ 941.2	\$ 488.4

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURE ABOUT MARKET RISK

Market-Sensitive Instruments and Risk Management

We are exposed to market risks associated with interest rate risks, commodity price risk and credit risk. We have established risk management processes to monitor and manage these market risks.

Interest Rate Risk

Changes in interest rates affect the amount of interest we earn on our interest bearing cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash accounts and the interest we pay on borrowings under our revolving credit facility. Our senior notes and convertible notes have fixed rates and, therefore, near-term changes in interest rates do not expose us to risk of earnings or cash flow loss; however, near-term changes in interest rates may affect the fair value of our fixed-rate debt.

As of December 31, 2012, our interest-bearing deposit accounts included money market accounts, certificates of deposit and checking and savings accounts with various banks. The amount of our interest-bearing cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash as of December 31, 2012 was \$6.4 million with an average interest rate of 0.1%. The \$6.4 million represents our aggregate bank balances, which includes checks issued and outstanding. Based on a sensitivity analysis of our interest bearing deposits as of December 31, 2012, it was estimated that if market interest rates would have increased or decreased by 1% in 2012, the impact on our annual interest income would have been immaterial.

As of December 31, 2012, excluding the \$18.7 million irrevocable standby letters of credit, we had outstanding borrowings on our corporate bank credit facility of \$49 million and, representing our proportionate share, \$26.3 million on PDCM's bank credit facility. We estimate that if market interest rates would have increased or decreased by 1%, our 2012 interest expense would have changed by approximately \$0.8 million.

Commodity Price Risk

We are exposed to the potential risk of loss from adverse changes in the market price of natural gas, NGLs and crude oil. We employ established policies and procedures to manage a portion of the risks associated with these market fluctuations using derivative instruments. These instruments allow us to predict with greater certainty the effective natural gas and crude oil prices to be received for our hedged production as it is produced. We believe that our established derivative policies and procedures are effective in achieving our risk management objectives.

The following table presents our derivative positions (excluding the derivative positions designated to our affiliated partnerships) related to natural gas and crude oil sales in effect as of December 31, 2012:

Commodity/ Index/ Maturity Period	Floors	Weighted- Average Contract Price	Collars		Fixed-Price Swaps		CIG Basis Protection Swaps		Fair Value December 31, 2012 (2) (in millions)	
			Quantity (Gas - BBtu (1) Oil - MBbls)	Weighted-Average Contract Price	Quantity (Gas - BBtu (1) Oil - MBbls)	Weighted- Average Contract Price	Quantity (BBtu) (1)	Weighted- Average Contract Price		
Natural Gas										
NYMEX										
2013	4,910.0	\$ 6.20	—	\$ —	\$ —	22,574.5	\$4.62	23,320.7	\$(0.83)	\$23.3
2014	—	—	—	—	—	13,390.0	4.03	8,830.0	\$(0.22)	\$(0.1)
2015	720.0	4.00	—	—	—	9,120.0	3.91	—	—	\$(2.6)
2016	—	—	—	—	—	7,200.0	3.84	—	—	\$(3.9)
CIG										
2013	—	—	235.0	4.00	5.45	—	—	—	—	0.2
2014	—	—	1,115.0	4.50	5.67	4,828.0	4.00	—	—	1.8
2015	—	—	1,040.0	4.50	5.67	2,730.0	4.01	—	—	0.6
PEPL										
2013	—	—	—	—	—	990.4	6.18	—	—	2.8
	5,630.0		2,390.0			60,832.9		32,150.7		22.1

Total
Natural Gas
(3)

Crude Oil
NYMEX

2013	—	—	1,105.6	80.46	103.58	1,220.9	96.26	—	—	4.7
2014	—	—	1,032.0	82.83	102.55	360.0	97.36	—	—	0.6
2015	—	—	36.0	90.00	106.15	—	—	—	—	0.2
Total Crude Oil	—		2,173.6			1,580.9		—		5.5
Total Natural Gas and Crude Oil										\$27.6

(1) A standard unit of measurement for natural gas (one BBTu equals one MMcf).

Approximately 29.4% of the fair value of our derivative assets and 8% of our derivative liabilities were measured (2) using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3). See Note 3, Fair Value Measurements, to the consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report.

Pursuant to a purchase and sale agreement entered into on February 4, 2013, approximately 36,546 BBTu of natural gas hedging positions will be assumed by certain affiliates of Caerus Oil and Gas LLC upon the closing of the (3) planned sale. There can be no assurance we will be successful in closing such divestiture. See Note 19, Subsequent Events, to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report for additional information regarding the planned divestiture of certain of our natural gas properties.

The following table presents annual average NYMEX and CIG closing prices for natural gas and crude oil for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, as well as average sales prices we realized for the respective commodities:

	Year Ended December	
	31, 2012	2011
Average Index Closing Price:		
Natural Gas (per MMBtu)		
CIG	\$2.58	\$3.79
NYMEX	2.79	4.04
Crude Oil (per Bbl)		
NYMEX	\$94.92	\$94.01
Average Sales Price Realized:		
Excluding realized derivative gains/(losses)		
Natural Gas (per Mcf)	\$2.18	\$3.27
Crude Oil (per Bbl)	87.14	87.63
Including realized derivative gains/(losses)		
Natural Gas (per Mcf)	\$3.72	\$4.23
Crude Oil (per Bbl)	86.87	80.69

Based on a sensitivity analysis as of December 31, 2012, it was estimated that a 10% increase in natural gas and crude oil prices, inclusive of basis, over the entire period for which we have derivatives in place, including those designated to our affiliated partnerships, would have resulted in a decrease in fair value of \$52.6 million; whereas a 10% decrease in prices would have resulted in an increase in fair value of \$51.9 million. Excluding the derivatives designated to our affiliated partnerships, the same 10% increase or decrease in natural gas and crude oil prices would have resulted in a decrease in fair value of \$52.1 million and an increase in fair value of \$51.5 million, respectively.

See Note 3, Fair Value of Financial Instruments, and Note 4, Derivative Financial Instruments, to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report for a summary of our open derivative positions, as well as a discussion of how we determine the fair value of and account for our derivative contracts.

Credit Risk

Credit risk represents the loss that we would incur if a counterparty fails to perform under its contractual obligations. We attempt to reduce credit risk by diversifying our counterparty exposure and entering into transactions with high-quality counterparties. When exposed to credit risk, we analyze the counterparties' financial condition prior to entering into an agreement, establish credit limits and monitor the appropriateness of those limits on an ongoing basis.

Our Oil and Gas Exploration and Production segment's natural gas, NGLs and crude oil sales are concentrated with a few predominately large customers. This concentrates the significance of our credit risk exposure to a small number of large customers. Amounts due to our Gas Marketing segment are from a diverse group of entities, including major upstream and midstream energy companies, financial institutions and end-users in various industries. We monitor their creditworthiness through our credit committee, which utilizes a number of qualitative and quantitative tools to assess credit risk and takes mitigative actions if deemed necessary. To date, we have had no material counterparty default losses in either of our Oil and Gas Exploration and Production or Gas Marketing segments. See Note 5, Concentration of Risk, to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report.

Our derivative financial instruments may expose us to the credit risk of nonperformance by the instrument's contract counterparty. We use financial institutions which are lenders in our revolving credit facility as counterparties for our derivative financial instruments. We monitor their creditworthiness through our credit committee which utilizes a number of qualitative and quantitative tools to assess credit risk and takes mitigative actions if deemed necessary. To date, we have had no material counterparty default losses from our derivative financial instruments. See Note 4, Derivative Financial Instruments, to our consolidated financial statements included in this report for more detail on our derivative financial instruments.

Disruption in the credit market may have a significant adverse impact on a number of financial institutions. We monitor the creditworthiness of the financial institutions with which we transact, giving consideration to the reports of credit agencies and their related ratings. While we believe that our monitoring procedures are sufficient and customary, no amount of analysis can assure performance by a financial institution.

Disclosure of Limitations

Because the information above included only those exposures that existed at December 31, 2012, it does not consider those exposures or positions which could arise after that date. As a result, our ultimate realized gain or loss with respect to interest rate and commodity price fluctuations will depend on the exposures that arise during the period, our commodity price risk management strategies at the time, and interest rates and commodity prices at the time.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

The response to this Item is set forth herein in a separate section of this report, beginning on Page F-1.

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As of December 31, 2012, we carried out an evaluation under the supervision and with the participation of management, including the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e). Based on the results of this evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of December 31, 2012.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

During the fourth quarter of 2012, we made no changes in our internal control over financial reporting (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) of the Exchange Act) that have materially affected or are reasonably likely to materially affect our internal control over financial reporting.

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

55

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Information relating to this Item will be included in an amendment to this report or the proxy statement to be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A for our 2013 Annual Stockholders' meeting and is incorporated by reference in this report.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Information relating to this Item will be included in an amendment to this report or the proxy statement to be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A for our 2013 Annual Stockholders' meeting and is incorporated by reference in this report.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

Information relating to this Item will be included in an amendment to this report or the proxy statement to be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A for our 2013 Annual Stockholders' meeting and is incorporated by reference in this report.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

Information relating to this Item will be included in an amendment to this report or the proxy statement to be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A for our 2013 Annual Stockholders' meeting and is incorporated by reference in this report.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

Information relating to this Item will be included in an amendment to this report or the proxy statement to be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A for our 2013 Annual Stockholders' meeting and is incorporated by reference in this report.

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULE

- (a) (1)) Financial Statements:
See Index to Financial Statements and Schedules on page F-1.
- (2)) Financial Statement Schedule:
See Index to Financial Statements and Schedule on page F-1.
Schedules and Financial Statements Omitted
All other financial statement schedules are omitted because they are not required, inapplicable or the information is included in the Financial Statements or Notes thereto.
- (3)) Exhibits:
See Exhibits Index on the following page.

Edgar Filing: PDC ENERGY, INC. - Form 10-K

Exhibits Index

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Description	Incorporated by Reference			Filed Herewith
		Form	SEC File Number	Exhibit	
3.1	Third Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of PDC Energy, Inc. (the "Company")	10-Q	000-07246	3.1	8/2/2012
3.2	By-laws of the Company.	10-Q	000-07246	3.2	8/2/2012
4.1	Rights Agreement by and between the Company and Transfer Online, Inc., as Rights Agent, dated as of September 11, 2007, including the forms of Rights Certificates and Summary of Stockholder Rights Plan attached thereto as Exhibits A and B.	8-K	000-07246	4.1	9/17/2007
4.2	Indenture, dated November 23, 2010, between the Company and The Bank of New York Mellon, including the form of 3.25% Convertible Senior Note due 2016.	8-K	000-07246	4.1	11/24/2010
4.3	Indenture, dated as of October 3, 2012, by and between the Company and U.S. Bank Trust National Association, as Trustee, including the form of 7.75% Senior Notes due 2022.	8-K	000-07246	4.1	10/3/2012
10.1*	Indemnification Agreement with Non-Employee Directors.	8-K	000-07246	10.1	6/13/2012
10.2*	The Company 401(k) & Profit Sharing Plan.	10-K	000-07246	10.6	2/24/2011
10.3*	Non-Employee Director Deferred Compensation Plan.	S-8	333-118222	99.1	8/13/2004
10.4*	2004 Long-Term Equity Compensation Plan amended and restated as of March 8, 2008 ("2004 Plan").	10-K	000-07246	10.26	2/27/2009
10.4.1*	Form of SAR Agreement under the 2004 Plan.	10-K	000-07246	10.26	2/27/2009
10.4.2*	Form of Restricted Stock Agreement under the 2004 Plan.	10-K	000-07246	10.26	2/27/2009
10.5*	2010 Long-Term Equity Compensation Plan, dated as of April 11, 2010 ("2010 Plan").	S-8	333-167945	99.1	7/1/2010
10.5.1*		8-K	000-07246		4/23/2010

Edgar Filing: PDC ENERGY, INC. - Form 10-K

Summary of 2010 Stock Appreciation Rights and Restricted Stock Awards under the 2010 Plan.

10.6*	Form of 2010 Performance Share Agreement.	8-K	000-07246	10.1	3/17/2011	
10.7*	Form of 2012 Performance Share Agreement.	8-K	000-07246	10.1	1/20/2012	
10.8*	Executive severance compensation plan.	8-K	000-07246	10.2	9/25/2012	
10.9*	Form of 2013 Performance Share Agreement.					X
10.10*	Form of 2013 Restricted Stock/Stock Appreciation Rights Agreement.					X
10.11*	Employment Agreement with Gysle R. Shellum, Chief Financial Officer, dated as of April 19, 2010.	8-K	000-07246	10.2	4/23/2010	
10.12*	Employment Agreement with Daniel W. Amidon, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary, dated as of April 19, 2010.	8-K	000-07246	10.3	4/23/2010	
10.13*	Employment Agreement with Lance A. Lauck, Senior Vice President of Business Development, dated as of April 19, 2010.	8-K	000-07246	10.4	4/23/2010	
10.14*	Employment Agreement with James M. Trimble, President and Chief Executive Officer, dated as of November 1, 2011.	10-Q	000-07246	10.2	11/3/2011	
10.15*	Employment Agreement with Barton R. Brookman, Jr., Senior Vice President of Exploration and Production, dated as of April 19, 2010.	8-K	000-07246	10.5	4/23/2010	
10.16	Contribution Agreement by and among PDC Mountaineer, LLC, as the Company, Petroleum Development Corporation, as the Contributor, and LR-Mountaineer Holdings, L.P., as the Investor, dated October 29, 2009.	8-K	000-07246	2.1	11/4/2009	
10.17	Limited Liability Company Agreement of PDC Mountaineer, LLC, dated October 29, 2009.	8-K	000-07246	10.1	11/4/2009	

Edgar Filing: PDC ENERGY, INC. - Form 10-K

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Description	Incorporated by Reference			Filed Herewith
		Form	SEC File Number	Exhibit	
10.18 †	Domestic Crude Oil Purchase Agreement between Suncor Energy Marketing, Inc. and the Company, dated May 18, 2009.	10-Q	000-53201	10.1	5/18/2009
10.19 †	Gas Purchase Agreement between Williams Production RMT Company, Riley Natural Gas and the Company, dated as of June 1, 2006.	10/A No. 3	000-53201	10.7	3/31/2009
10.20 †	First Amendment to the Gas Purchase Agreement between Williams Production RMT Company, Riley Natural Gas and the Company, dated as of June 1, 2011.	8-K	000-07246	10.1	8/2/2011
10.21 †	Gas Purchase and Processing Agreement between Duke Energy Field Services, Inc.; United States Exploration, Inc.; and the Company, dated as of October 28, 1999.	10/A No. 3	000-53201	10.3	3/31/2009
10.22	Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated as of November 5, 2010, between the Company, as borrower and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. and BNP Paribas, as agents.	8-K	000-07246	10.1	11/12/2010
10.23.1	First Amendment to Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of December 22, 2010.	10-K	000-07246	10.29	2/24/2011
10.23.2	Second Amendment to Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of October 12, 2011.	8-K	000-07246	10.1	10/18/2011
10.23.3	Third Amendment to the Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of May 4, 2012.	10-Q	000-07246	10.1	5/10/2012
10.23.4	Fourth Amendment to the Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of June 25, 2012.	8-K	000-07246	99.1	7/2/2012
10.23.5	Fifth Amendment to the Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of June 29, 2012.	8-K	000-07246	10.1	7/2/2012
10.23.6		8-K	000-07246	10.1	9/25/2012

Edgar Filing: PDC ENERGY, INC. - Form 10-K

Sixth Amendment to the Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of September 21, 2012.

12.1	Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges.					X
14.1	Code of Business Conduct and Ethics.	10-Q	000-17246	14.1	8/10/2009	
21.1	Subsidiaries.					X
23.1	Consent of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP.					X
23.2	Consent of Ryder Scott Company, L.P., Petroleum Consultants.					X
31.1	Certification by Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a) of the Exchange Act Rules, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.					X
31.2	Certification by Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a) of the Exchange Act Rules, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.					X
32.1**	Certifications by Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Title 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.					
99.1	Report of Independent Petroleum Consultants - Ryder Scott Company, L.P.					X
101.INS**	XBRL Instance Document					
101.SCH**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document					
101.CAL**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document					
101.DEF**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document					
101.LAB**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document					
101.PRE**						

XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation
Linkbase Document

*Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

** Furnished herewith.

58

Edgar Filing: PDC ENERGY, INC. - Form 10-K

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Description	Incorporated by Reference			Filing Date	Filed Herewith
		Form	SEC File Number	Exhibit		

† Confidential portions of this document have been omitted and are filed separately with the SEC pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 24b-2.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

PDC ENERGY, INC.

By /s/ James M. Trimble
James M. Trimble
President and Chief Executive Officer

February 27, 2013

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated:

Signature	Title	Date
/s/ James M. Trimble James M. Trimble	President, Chief Executive Officer and Director (principal executive officer)	February 27, 2013
/s/ Gysle R. Shellum Gysle R. Shellum	Chief Financial Officer (principal financial officer)	February 27, 2013
/s/ R. Scott Meyers R. Scott Meyers	Chief Accounting Officer (principal accounting officer)	February 27, 2013
/s/ Jeffrey C. Swoveland Jeffrey C. Swoveland	Chairman and Director	February 27, 2013
/s/ Joseph E. Casabona Joseph E. Casabona	Director	February 27, 2013
/s/ Anthony J. Crisafio Anthony J. Crisafio	Director	February 27, 2013
/s/ Larry F. Mazza Larry F. Mazza	Director	February 27, 2013
/s/ David C. Parke David C. Parke	Director	February 27, 2013
/s/ Kimberly Luff Wakim Kimberly Luff Wakim	Director	February 27, 2013

GLOSSARY OF UNITS OF MEASUREMENT AND INDUSTRY TERMS

UNITS OF MEASUREMENT

The following presents a list of units of measurement used throughout the document.

Bbl – One barrel of crude oil or NGL or 42 gallons of liquid volume.

Bcf – One billion cubic feet of natural gas volume.

Bcfe – One billion cubic feet of natural gas equivalent.

Btu – British thermal unit.

BBtu – One billion British thermal units.

MBoe – One thousand barrels of crude oil equivalent.

MBbls – One thousand barrels of crude oil.

Mcf – One thousand cubic feet of natural gas volume.

Mcfe – One thousand cubic feet of natural gas equivalent (six Mcf of natural gas equals one Bbl of crude oil or NGL).

MMBtu – One million British thermal units.

MMcf – One million cubic feet of natural gas volume.

MMcfe – One million cubic feet of natural gas equivalent.

Tcfe – One trillion cubic feet of natural gas equivalent.

GLOSSARY OF INDUSTRY TERMS

The following are abbreviations and definitions of terms commonly used in the oil and gas industry and this report:

Behind-pipe reserves - Natural gas and crude oil reserves expected to be recovered from zones in existing wells, which will require additional completion work or future recompletion prior to the start of production. Generally, these are reserves in reservoirs above currently producing zones.

CIG - Colorado Interstate Gas.

Completion - Refers to the work performed and the installation of permanent equipment for the production of natural gas and crude oil from a recently drilled well.

Delineation - A drilling technique carried out to gain a better understanding of the structure and extent of a deposit in order to decide whether or not to conduct further drilling activities.

Developed acreage - Acreage assignable to productive wells.

Development well - A well drilled within the proved area of an oil or gas reservoir to the depth of a stratigraphic horizon known to be productive.

Dry gas or dry natural gas - Natural gas is considered dry when its composition is over 90% pure methane.

Dry well or dry hole - A well found to be incapable of producing hydrocarbons in sufficient quantities to justify completion as an oil or gas well.

Exit rate - Rate of production as of the date specified.

Exploratory well - A well drilled to find a new field or to find a new reservoir in a field previously found to be productive of oil or gas in another reservoir.

Extensions and discoveries - As to any period, the increases to proved reserves from all sources other than the acquisition of proved properties or revisions of previous estimates.

Farm-out - Transfer of all or part of the operating rights from a working interest owner to an assignee, who assumes all or some of the burden of development in return for an interest in the property. The assignor usually retains an overriding royalty interest but may retain any type of interest.

Fracture or Fracturing - Procedure to stimulate production by forcing a mixture of fluid and proppant into the formation under high pressure. Fracturing creates artificial fractures in the reservoir rock to increase permeability and porosity, thereby allowing the release of trapped hydrocarbons.

Gross acres or wells - Refers to the total acres or wells in which we have a working interest.

Horizontal drilling - A drilling technique that permits the operator to drill a horizontal well shaft from the bottom of a vertical well and thereby to contact and intersect a larger portion of the producing horizon than conventional vertical drilling techniques and may, depending on the horizon, result in increased production rates and greater ultimate recoveries of hydrocarbons.

Joint interest billing - Process of billing/invoicing the costs related to well drilling, completions and production operations among working interest partners.

Natural gas liquid(s) or NGL(s) - Hydrocarbons which can be extracted from wet natural gas and become liquid under various combinations of increasing pressure and lower temperature. NGLs include ethane, propane, butane, and other natural gasolines.

Net acres or wells - Refers to gross acres or wells we own multiplied, in each case, by our percentage working interest. References to net acres or wells well include our proportionate share of PDCM's and our affiliated partnerships' net acres or wells.

Net production - Natural gas and crude oil production that we own, less royalties and production due to others. References to net production include our proportionate share of PDCM's and our affiliated partnerships' net production.

Non-operated - A project in which we are not the operator.

NYMEX - New York Mercantile Exchange.

Operator - The individual or company responsible for the exploration, development and/or production of an oil or gas well or lease.

Overriding royalty - An interest which is created out of the operating or working interest. Its term is coextensive with that of the operating interest.

PEPL - Panhandle Eastern Pipeline.

Possible reserves - This term is defined in the SEC Regulation S-X Section 4-10(a) and refers to those reserves that are less certain to be recovered than probable reserves. When deterministic methods are used, the total quantities ultimately recovered from a project have a low probability to exceed the sum of proved, probable and possible reserves. When probabilistic methods are used, there must be at least a 10 percent probability that the actual quantities recovered will equal or exceed the sum of proved, probable and possible estimates.

Present value of future net revenues or (PV-10%) - The present value of estimated future revenues to be generated from the production of proved reserves, before income taxes, of proved reserves calculated in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board guidelines, net of estimated production and future development costs, using pricing and costs as of the date of estimation without future escalation, without giving effect to hedging activities, non-property related expenses such as general and administrative expenses, debt service and depreciation, depletion and amortization, and discounted using an annual discount rate of 10%. PV-10% is pre-tax and therefore a non-U.S. GAAP financial measure.

Probable reserves - This term is defined in the SEC Regulation S-X Section 4-10(a) and refers to those reserves that are less certain to be recovered than proved reserves but which, in sum with proved reserves, are as likely as not to be recovered. When deterministic methods are used, it is as likely as not that actual remaining quantities recovered will exceed the sum of estimated proved plus probable reserves. Similarly, when probabilistic methods are used, there must be at least a 50 percent probability that the actual quantities recovered will equal or exceed the proved plus probable reserves estimates.

Productive well - A well that is not a dry well or dry hole, as defined above, and includes wells that are mechanically capable of production.

Proved developed non-producing reserves or PDNPs - Reserves that consist of (i) proved reserves from wells which have been completed and tested but are not producing due to lack of market or minor completion problems which are expected to be corrected and/or (ii) proved reserves currently behind the pipe in existing wells and which are expected to be productive due to both the well log characteristics and analogous production in the immediate vicinity of the wells.

Proved developed producing reserves or PDPs - Proved reserves that can be expected to be recovered from currently producing zones under the continuation of present operating methods.

Proved developed reserves - The combination of proved developed producing and proved developed non-producing reserves.

Proved reserves - This term mean "proved oil and gas reserves" as defined in SEC Regulation S-X Section 4-10(a) and refers to those quantities of natural gas, NGL, crude oil and condensate, which, by analysis of geoscience and engineering data, can be estimated with reasonable certainty to be economically producible - from a given date forward, from known reservoirs, and under existing conditions, operating methods, and government regulations – prior to the time at which contracts providing the right to operate expire, unless evidence indicates that renewal is reasonably certain, regardless of whether deterministic or probabilistic methods are used for the estimation.

Proved undeveloped reserves or PUDs - Proved reserves that are expected to be recovered from new wells on undrilled acreage, or from existing wells where a relatively major expenditure is required for recompletion.

Recomplete or Recompletion - The modification of an existing well for the purpose of producing natural gas and crude oil from a different producing formation.

Refracture - A refracture is when we stimulate by fracturing a producing zone of a well to increase its production as well as its PDP reserves.

Reserves - Estimated remaining quantities of natural gas, NGLs crude oil and related substances anticipated to be economically producible, as of a given date, by application of development projects to known accumulations. In addition, there must exist, or there must be a reasonable expectation that there will exist, the legal right to produce or a revenue interest in the production, installed means of delivering natural gas, NGLs and crude oil or related substances to market, and all permits and financing required to implement the project.

Royalty - An interest in a natural gas and crude oil lease that gives the owner of the interest the right to receive a portion of the production from the leased acreage (or of the proceeds of the sale thereof), but generally does not require the owner to pay any portion of the costs of drilling or operating the wells on the leased acreage. Royalties may be either landowner's royalties, which are reserved by the owner of the leased acreage at the time the lease is granted, or overriding royalties, which are usually reserved by an owner of the leasehold in connection with a transfer to a subsequent owner.

Spud - To begin drilling; the act of beginning a hole. Past tense: spudded.

Standardized measure of discounted future net cash flows or standardized measure - Future net cash flows discounted at a rate of 10%. Future net cash flows represent the estimated future revenues to be generated from the production of proved reserves determined in accordance with SEC guidelines, net of estimated production and future development costs, using prices and costs as of the date of estimation without future escalation, giving effect to (i) estimated future abandonment costs, net of the estimated salvage value of related equipment and (ii) future income tax expense.

Stratigraphic test well - A drilling effort, geologically directed, to obtain information pertaining to a specific geologic condition. Such wells customarily are drilled without the intent of being completed for hydrocarbon production.

Unconventional resource(s) - Natural gas and crude oil that cannot be produced at economic flow rates in economic volumes unless the well is stimulated by a large hydraulic fracture treatment, a horizontal wellbore, or by using multilateral wellbores or some other techniques to expose more of the resources to the wellbore.

Undeveloped acreage - Leased acreage on which wells have not been drilled or completed to a point that would permit the production of commercial quantities of natural gas and crude oil, regardless of whether such acreage contains proved reserves.

Wet gas or wet natural gas - Natural gas that contains a larger quantity of hydrocarbon liquids than dry natural gas, such as NGLs, condensate and crude oil.

Working interest - An interest in a natural gas and crude oil lease that gives the owner of the interest the right to drill and produce natural gas and crude oil on the leased acreage. It requires the owner to pay their entire share of the costs of drilling and production operations.

Workover - Major remedial operations on a producing well to restore, maintain or improve the well's production.

Index to Consolidated Financial Statements, Financial Statement Schedule and Supplemental Information

<u>Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting</u>	<u>F- 2</u>
Financial Statements:	
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	<u>F- 3</u>
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets - December 31, 2012 and 2011</u>	<u>F- 4</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations - Years Ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010</u>	<u>F- 5</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows - Years Ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010</u>	<u>F- 6</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Equity - Years Ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010</u>	<u>F- 7</u>
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	<u>F- 8</u>
Supplemental Information - Unaudited:	
<u>Natural Gas and Crude Oil Information</u>	<u>F- 38</u>
<u>Quarterly Financial Information</u>	<u>F- 44</u>
Financial Statement Schedule:	
<u>Schedule II - Valuation and Qualifying Accounts</u>	<u>F- 46</u>

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting as such term as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Management has assessed the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012, based upon the criteria established in "Internal Control – Integrated Framework" issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on this evaluation, management concluded that the Company maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012.

The effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012, has been audited by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report which appears herein.

PDC ENERGY, INC.

/s/ James M. Trimble
James M. Trimble
President and Chief Executive Officer

/s/ Gysle R. Shellum
Gysle R. Shellum
Chief Financial Officer

/s/ R. Scott Meyers
R. Scott Meyers
Chief Accounting Officer

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of PDC Energy, Inc.:

In our opinion, the accompanying consolidated balance sheets and the related consolidated statements of operations, equity, and cash flows present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of PDC Energy, Inc. and its subsidiaries at December 31, 2012 and 2011, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2012 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. In addition, in our opinion, the financial statement schedule appearing under Item 15(a)(2) presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein when read in conjunction with the related consolidated financial statements. Also in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company's management is responsible for these financial statements, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements, on the financial statement schedule, and on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our integrated audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audits of the financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania
February 26, 2013

F- 3

PDC ENERGY, INC.

Consolidated Balance Sheets

(in thousands, except share and per share data)

As of December 31,	2012	2011
Assets		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$2,457	\$8,238
Restricted cash	3,942	11,070
Accounts receivable, net	64,880	59,923
Accounts receivable affiliates	4,842	8,518
Fair value of derivatives	52,042	60,809
Deferred income taxes	36,151	16,127
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	7,635	8,365
Total current assets	171,949	173,050
Properties and equipment, net	1,616,706	1,301,716
Assets held for sale	—	148,249
Fair value of derivatives	6,883	41,175
Accounts receivable affiliates	—	2,836
Other assets	31,310	30,979
Total Assets	\$1,826,848	\$1,698,005

Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity

Liabilities

Current liabilities:

Accounts payable	\$82,716	\$76,027
Accounts payable affiliates	5,296	10,176
Production tax liability	25,899	18,949
Fair value of derivatives	18,439	27,974
Funds held for distribution	34,228	28,594
Accrued interest payable	11,056	11,243
Other accrued expenses	25,715	22,083
Total current liabilities	203,349	195,046
Long-term debt	676,579	532,157
Deferred income taxes	148,427	207,573
Asset retirement obligation	61,563	46,316
Fair value of derivatives	10,137	21,106
Accounts payable affiliates	—	6,134
Other liabilities	23,612	25,561
Total liabilities	1,123,667	1,033,893

Commitments and contingent liabilities

Shareholders' equity

Preferred shares - par value \$0.01 per share, 50,000,000 shares authorized, none issued

—

Common shares - par value \$0.01 per share, 100,000,000

authorized, 30,294,224 and 23,634,958 issued as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively

236

Edgar Filing: PDC ENERGY, INC. - Form 10-K

Additional paid-in capital	387,494	217,707
Retained earnings	315,568	446,280
Treasury shares - at cost, 5,059 and 2,938 as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively	(184) (111
Total shareholders' equity	703,181	664,112
Total Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity	\$1,826,848	\$1,698,005

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

F- 4

PDC ENERGY, INC.

Consolidated Statements of Operations

(in thousands, except per share data)

Year Ended December 31,	2012	2011	2010
Revenues:			
Natural gas, NGLs and crude oil sales	\$270,327	\$276,605	\$205,029
Sales from natural gas marketing	47,079	66,419	69,071
Commodity price risk management gain, net	32,339	46,090	59,891
Well operations, pipeline income and other	6,388	6,846	9,030
Total revenues	356,133	395,960	343,021
Costs, expenses and other:			
Production costs	75,485	67,352	63,543
Cost of natural gas marketing	46,552	65,465	68,015
Exploration expense	22,605	6,253	13,675
Impairment of natural gas and crude oil properties	168,149	25,159	6,481
General and administrative expense	58,815	61,454	42,188
Depreciation, depletion, and amortization	146,879	128,907	108,095
Accretion of asset retirement obligations	4,060	1,733	1,329
Gain on sale of properties and equipment	(4,353)) (196) (174
Total cost, expenses and other	518,192	356,127	303,152
Income (loss) from operations	(162,059)) 39,833	39,869
Loss on extinguishment of debt	(23,283)) —	—
Interest expense	(48,287)) (36,985) (33,250
Interest income	8	47	71
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes	(233,621)) 2,895	6,690
Provision for income taxes	88,835	183	(652
Income (loss) from continuing operations	(144,786)) 3,078	6,038
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax	14,074	10,359	(104
Net income (loss)	(130,712)) 13,437	5,934
Net loss attributable to noncontrolling interests	—	—	280
Net income (loss) attributable to shareholders	\$(130,712)) \$13,437	\$6,214
Amounts attributable to PDC Energy, Inc. shareholders:			
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$(144,786)) \$3,078	\$6,318
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax	14,074	10,359	(104
Net income (loss) attributable to shareholders	\$(130,712)) \$13,437	\$6,214
Earnings per share:			
Basic			
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$(5.23)) \$0.13	\$0.33
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	0.51	0.44	(0.01
Net income (loss) attributable to shareholders	\$(4.72)) \$0.57	\$0.32
Diluted			
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$(5.23)) \$0.13	\$0.32
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	0.51	0.43	(0.01
Net income (loss) attributable to shareholders	\$(4.72)) \$0.56	\$0.31

Edgar Filing: PDC ENERGY, INC. - Form 10-K

Weighted-average common shares outstanding:

Basic	27,677	23,521	19,674
Diluted	27,677	23,871	19,821

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

F- 5

PDC ENERGY, INC.

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

(in thousands)

Year Ended December 31,	2012	2011	2010
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net income (loss)	\$(130,712) \$13,437	\$5,934
Adjustments to net income (loss) to reconcile to net cash from operating activities:			
Unrealized (gain) loss on derivatives, net	17,134	(28,601) (12,625
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	146,879	135,154	111,062
Impairment of natural gas and crude oil properties	168,149	25,159	11,147
Prepaid well costs write-offs	3,916	1,359	668
Loss on extinguishment of debt	23,283	—	—
Exploratory dry hole costs	15,347	177	4,199
Accretion of asset retirement obligation	4,060	1,897	1,423
Stock-based compensation	8,495	8,781	5,314
Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation	—	(1,311) (293
(Gain) loss from sale of properties and equipment	(24,273) (4,263) 299
Amortization of debt discount and issuance costs	7,864	6,265	4,618
Deferred income taxes	(80,379) 9,530	1,179
Inventory adjustment and other	4,123	135	307
Total adjustments to net income (loss) to reconcile to net cash from operating activities:	294,598	154,282	127,298
Changes in assets and liabilities:			
Accounts receivable	6,843	(3,451) 2,122
Other assets	(2,908) (3,893) 22,616
Restricted cash	8,859	(8,603) 219
Production tax liability	2,499	5,436	(6,818
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	(5,050) 12,422	1,172
Other liabilities	592	(2,796) (730
Total changes in assets and liabilities	10,835	(885) 18,581
Net cash from operating activities	174,721	166,834	151,813
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Capital expenditures	(347,729) (334,496) (162,723
Acquisition of oil and gas properties, net of cash acquired	(312,223) (145,894) (158,051
Proceeds from acquisition adjustments	14,469	—	—
Proceeds from sale of properties and equipment	193,544	23,140	23,369
Other	—	849	(3,527
Net cash from investing activities	(451,939) (456,401) (300,932
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Proceeds from revolving credit facility	682,000	417,194	414,500
Payment of revolving credit facility	(839,750) (183,713) (494,500
Proceeds from senior notes offering	500,000	—	115,000
Redemption of senior notes	(221,840) —	—
Payment of debt issuance costs	(11,969) (680) (8,541
Proceeds from sale of common stock, net of issuance costs	164,496	—	125,506
Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation	—	1,311	293
Contribution from noncontrolling interest	—	12,464	20,077
Purchase of treasury shares	(1,500) (3,143) (788

Edgar Filing: PDC ENERGY, INC. - Form 10-K

Net cash from financing activities	271,437	243,433	171,547
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	(5,781) (46,134) 22,428
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year	8,238	54,372	31,944
Cash and cash equivalents, end of year	\$2,457	\$8,238	\$54,372
Supplemental cash flow information:			
Cash payments (receipts) for:			
Interest, net of capitalized interest	\$41,768	\$29,429	\$28,335
Income taxes	1,845	(1,498) (27,322
Non-cash investing activities:			
Change in accounts payable related to purchases of properties and equipment	288	23,837	15,787
Change in asset retirement obligation, with a corresponding change to natural gas and crude oil properties, net of disposals	11,967	17,538	3,624
Non-cash financing activities:			
Change in paid-in capital related to convertible debt, net of tax	—	—	12,850
See Note 15, Acquisitions, for non-cash transactions related to our acquisitions			

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

F- 6

PDC ENERGY, INC.

Consolidated Statements of Equity

(in thousands, except share and per share data)

Year Ended December 31,	2012	2011	2010
Common shares, issued:			
Shares beginning of year	23,634,958	23,462,326	19,242,219
Shares issued pursuant to sale of equity	6,500,000	—	4,140,000
Exercise of stock options	—	2,814	—
Issuance of stock awards, net of forfeitures	173,737	242,334	110,680
Retirement of treasury shares	(14,471)	(72,516)	(30,573)
Shares end of year	30,294,224	23,634,958	23,462,326
Treasury shares:			
Shares beginning of year	2,938	2,938	8,273
Purchase of treasury shares	44,576	87,588	30,573
Issuance of treasury shares	(28,587)	(15,072)	—
Retirement of treasury shares	(14,471)	(72,516)	(30,573)
Non-employee directors' deferred compensation plan	603	—	(5,335)
Shares end of year	5,059	2,938	2,938
Common shares outstanding	30,289,165	23,632,020	23,459,388

Equity:

Shareholders' equity

Preferred shares, par value \$0.01 per share:

Balance beginning and end of year \$— \$— \$—

Common shares, par value \$0.01 per share:

Balance beginning of year 236 235 192

Shares issued pursuant to sale of equity 65 — 41

Issuance of stock awards, net of forfeitures 2 1 2

Balance end of year 303 236 235

Additional paid-in capital:

Balance beginning of year 217,707 209,198 64,406

Proceeds from sale of equity, net of issuance costs 164,431 — 125,465

Convertible debt discount, net of issuance costs and tax — — 12,165

Stock-based compensation expense 8,495 8,781 5,314

Issuance of treasury shares (955) (472) —

Retirement of treasury shares (491) (2,671) (788)

Tax impact of stock-based compensation (1,693) 785 (166)

Contribution by investing partner in PDCM — 12,464 20,077

Effect of PDCM deconsolidation/change in ownership interest — (10,378) (17,275)

Balance end of year 387,494 217,707 209,198

Retained earnings:

Balance beginning of year 446,280 432,843 426,629

Net income (loss) attributable to shareholders (130,712) 13,437 6,214

Balance end of year 315,568 446,280 432,843

Treasury shares, at cost:

Balance beginning of year (111) (111) (312)

Purchase of treasury shares (1,500) (3,143) (788)

Issuance of treasury shares 955 472 —

Retirement of treasury shares 491 2,671 788

Edgar Filing: PDC ENERGY, INC. - Form 10-K

Non-employee directors' deferred compensation plan	(19) —	201
Balance end of year	(184) (111) (111
Total shareholders' equity	703,181	664,112	642,165
Noncontrolling interests in subsidiary			
Balance beginning of year	—	76	47,678
Noncontrolling interest in PDC Mountaineer, LLC	—	—	(47,322
Net loss attributed to noncontrolling interest in subsidiary	—	(76) (280
Balance end of year	—	—	76
Total noncontrolling interests in subsidiary	—	—	76
Total Equity	\$703,181	\$664,112	\$642,241

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

F- 7

PDC ENERGY, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 1 - NATURE OF OPERATIONS AND BASIS OF PRESENTATION

PDC Energy, Inc. ("PDC," "PDC Energy," "we," "us" or "the Company") is a domestic independent natural gas and crude oil company engaged in the exploration for and the acquisition, development, production and marketing of natural gas, NGLs and crude oil. As of December 31, 2012, we owned an interest in approximately 7,200 gross wells located primarily in the Wattenberg Field, Utica Shale, Appalachian Basin, northeast Colorado and Piceance Basin. We are engaged in two business segments: (1) Oil and Gas Exploration and Production and (2) Gas Marketing.

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of PDC, our wholly owned subsidiaries, an entity in which we have a controlling financial interest (2010 only) and our proportionate share of PDC Mountaineer, LLC ("PDCM") and our 21 affiliated partnerships. Pursuant to the proportionate consolidation method, our accompanying consolidated financial statements include our pro rata share of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses of the entities which we proportionately consolidate. All material intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

The preparation of our consolidated financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("U.S. GAAP") requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in our consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Estimates which are particularly significant to our consolidated financial statements include estimates of natural gas, NGLs and crude oil sales revenue, natural gas, NGLs and crude oil reserves, future cash flows from natural gas and crude oil properties, valuation of derivative instruments and valuation of deferred income tax assets.

Certain reclassifications have been made to prior period financial statements to conform to the current year presentation. Accretion of asset retirement obligations have been reclassified out of the statement of operations line item production cost and into accretion of asset retirement obligations. Deferred income taxes have been reclassified out of the balance sheet line item prepaid expenses and other current assets and into deferred income taxes. We also reclassified prepaid well costs write-offs out of the statement of cash flows line item changes in other assets and into prepaid well costs write-offs. These reclassifications had no impact on previously reported cash flows, net income, earnings per share or shareholders' equity.

NOTE 2 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Cash Equivalents. We consider all highly liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less to be cash equivalents.

Restricted Cash. Pursuant to an oral litigation settlement agreement, in July 2011, we funded an escrow account in the amount of \$8.7 million. During 2012, approval and settlement occurred and the \$8.7 million was released from the escrow account thereby reducing our restricted cash balance. In conjunction with our acquisition of certain Wattenberg assets in 2012, we funded an escrow account with \$1.7 million.

We are required by certain government agencies or agreements to maintain bonds or cash accounts for various operating activities. As of December 31, 2012, we had collateral in the form of certificates of deposit and cash totaling \$5.3 million which consisted of \$3.9 million and \$1.4 million included in restricted cash and other assets, respectively. As of December 31, 2011, we had collateral in the form of certificates of deposit and cash totaling \$3.8 million which consisted of \$2.3 million and \$1.5 million included in restricted cash and other assets, respectively.

Inventory. Inventory consists of crude oil, stated at the lower of cost to produce or market, and other production supplies intended to be used in our natural gas and crude oil operations. As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, inventory of \$1.2 million and \$1.1 million, respectively, is included in prepaid expenses and other current assets on the balance sheets.

Derivative Financial Instruments. We are exposed to the effect of market fluctuations in the prices of natural gas and crude oil. We employ established policies and procedures to manage a portion of the risks associated with these market fluctuations using commodity derivative instruments. Our policy prohibits the use of natural gas and crude oil derivative instruments for speculative purposes.

All derivative assets and liabilities are recorded on our balance sheets at fair value. We have elected not to designate any of our derivative instruments as hedges. Classification of realized and unrealized gains and losses resulting from maturities and changes in fair value of open derivatives depends on the purpose for issuing or holding the derivative. Accordingly, changes in the fair value of our derivative instruments are recorded in the statements of operations, with the exception of changes in fair value related to those derivatives we designated to our affiliated partnerships. Changes in the fair value of derivative instruments related to our Oil and Gas Exploration and Production segment are recorded in commodity price risk management, net. Changes in the fair value of derivative instruments related to our Gas Marketing segment are recorded in sales from and cost of natural gas marketing. Changes in the fair value of the derivative instruments designated to our affiliated partnerships are recorded on the balance sheets in accounts payable affiliates and accounts receivable affiliates. As positions designated to our affiliated partnerships settle, the realized gains and losses are netted for distribution. Net realized gains are paid to the partnerships and net realized losses are deducted from the partnerships' cash distributions from production. The affiliated partnerships bear their designated share of counterparty risk.

The validation of the derivative instrument's fair value is performed internally and, while we use common industry practices to develop our valuation techniques, changes in our pricing methodologies or the underlying assumptions could result in significantly different fair values. See Notes 3, Fair Value of Financial Instruments, and 4, Derivative Financial Instruments, for a discussion of our derivative fair value measurements and a summary fair value table of our open positions as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

PDC ENERGY, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - Continued

Properties and Equipment. Significant accounting polices related to our properties and equipment are discussed below.

Natural Gas and Crude Oil Properties. We account for our natural gas and crude oil properties under the successful efforts method of accounting. Costs of proved developed producing properties, successful exploratory wells and developmental dry hole costs are capitalized and depreciated or depleted by the unit-of-production method based on estimated proved developed producing reserves. Property acquisition costs are depreciated or depleted on the unit-of-production method based on estimated proved reserves. We calculate quarterly depreciation, depletion and amortization ("DD&A") expense by using our estimated prior period-end reserves as the denominator, with the exception of our fourth quarter where we use the year-end reserve estimate adjusted to add back fourth quarter production. Upon the sale or retirement of significant portions of or complete fields of depreciable or depletable property, the net book value thereof, less proceeds or salvage value, is recognized in the statement of operations as a gain or loss. Upon the sale of individual wells or a portion of a field, the proceeds are credited to accumulated DD&A.

Exploration costs, including geological and geophysical expenses and delay rentals, are charged to expense as incurred. Exploratory well drilling costs, including the cost of stratigraphic test wells, are initially capitalized, but charged to expense if the well is determined to be economically nonproductive. The status of each in-progress well is reviewed quarterly to determine the proper accounting treatment under the successful efforts method of accounting. Exploratory well costs continue to be capitalized as long as we have found a sufficient quantity of reserves to justify completion as a producing well, we are making sufficient progress assessing our reserves and economic and operating viability or we have not made sufficient progress to allow for final determination of productivity. If an in-progress exploratory well is found to be economically unsuccessful prior to the issuance of the financial statements, the costs incurred prior to the end of the reporting period are charged to exploration expense. If we are unable to make a final determination about the productive status of a well prior to issuance of the financial statements, the costs associated with the well are classified as "suspended well costs" until we have had sufficient time to conduct additional completion or testing operations to evaluate the pertinent geological and engineering data obtained. At the time we are able to make a final determination of a well's productive status, the well is removed from suspended well status and the proper accounting treatment is recorded. See Note 6, Properties and Equipment, for disclosure related to changes in our capitalized exploratory well costs.

Proved Property Impairment. We assess our producing natural gas and crude oil properties for possible impairment, upon a triggering event, by comparing net capitalized costs, or carrying value, to estimated undiscounted future net cash flows on a field-by-field basis using estimated production based upon prices at which we reasonably estimate the commodity to be sold. The estimates of future prices may differ from current market prices of natural gas and crude oil. Certain events, including but not limited to downward revisions in estimates to our reserve quantities, expectations of falling commodity prices or rising operating costs, could result in a triggering event and, therefore, a possible impairment of our proved natural gas and crude oil properties. If net capitalized costs exceed undiscounted future net cash flows, the measurement of impairment is based on estimated fair value utilizing a future discounted cash flows analysis and is measured by the amount by which the net capitalized costs exceed their fair value. Impairments are included in the statement of operations line item impairment of natural gas and crude oil properties, with a corresponding impact on accumulated depreciation, depletion and amortization on the balance sheet.

Unproved Property Impairment. The acquisition costs of unproved properties are capitalized when incurred, until such properties are transferred to proved properties or charged to expense when expired, impaired or amortized. Unproved natural gas and crude oil properties with individually significant acquisition costs are periodically assessed for impairment. Unproved natural gas and crude oil properties which are not individually significant are amortized, by field, based on our historical experience, acquisition dates and average lease terms. Impairment and amortization charges related to unproved natural gas and crude oil properties are charged to the statement of operations line item

impairment of natural gas and crude oil properties.

Other Property and Equipment. The following table presents the estimated useful lives of our other property and equipment:

Pipelines and related facilities	10 - 17 years
Transportation and other equipment	3 - 20 years
Buildings	30 - 40 years

Other property and equipment is carried at cost. Depreciation is provided principally on the straight-line method over the assets' estimated useful lives. We review these long-lived assets for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Recoverability of assets to be held and used is measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of the asset to estimated undiscounted future cash flows expected to be generated by the asset. If the carrying amount of the asset exceeds our estimated future cash flows, an impairment charge is recognized in the amount by which the carrying amount of the asset exceeds the fair value of the asset. No impairment to other property and equipment was recognized in 2012 or 2011. Impairment recognized in 2010 was immaterial.

Maintenance and repair costs on other property and equipment are charged to expense as incurred. Major renewals and improvements are capitalized and depreciated over the remaining useful life of the asset. Upon the sale or other disposition of assets, the cost and related accumulated DD&A are removed from the accounts, the proceeds are applied thereto and any resulting gain or loss is reflected in income. Total depreciation expense related to other property and equipment was \$7.5 million, \$6.7 million and \$7.5 million in 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Capitalized Interest. Interest costs are capitalized as part of the historical cost of acquiring assets. Investments in unproved natural gas and crude oil properties and major development projects, on which DD&A is not currently recorded and on which exploration or development

PDC ENERGY, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - Continued

activities are in progress, qualify for capitalization of interest. Major construction projects also qualify for interest capitalization until the asset is ready to be placed into service. Capitalized interest is calculated by multiplying our weighted-average interest rate on our debt outstanding by the qualifying costs. Interest capitalized may not exceed gross interest expense for the period. As the qualifying asset is placed into service, we begin amortizing the related capitalized interest over the useful life of the asset. Capitalized interest totaled \$1.2 million, \$1.7 million and \$0.3 million in 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Assets Held for Sale. Assets held for sale are valued at the lower of their carrying amount or estimated fair value less costs to sell. If the carrying amount of the assets exceeds their estimated fair value, an impairment loss is recognized. Fair values are estimated using accepted valuation techniques such as a discounted cash flow model, valuations performed by third parties, earnings multiples or indicative bids, when available. Management considers historical experience and all available information at the time the estimates are made; however, the fair values that are ultimately realized upon the sale of the assets to be divested may differ from the estimated fair values reflected in the consolidated financial statements. Depreciation, depletion, and amortization expense is not recorded on assets to be divested once they are classified as held for sale. Assets to be divested are classified in the consolidated financial statements as held for sale, and the activities of assets to be divested are classified either as discontinued operations or continuing operations. For assets classified as discontinued operations, the balance sheet amounts and results of operations are reclassified from their historical presentation to assets and liabilities held for sale on the consolidated balance sheets and to discontinued operations on the consolidated statements of operations, respectively, for all periods presented. The gains or losses associated with these divested assets are recorded in discontinued operations on the consolidated statements of operations. Management does not expect any continuing involvement with businesses classified as discontinued operations following their divestiture. Businesses classified as held for sale are expected to be disposed of within one year. For businesses classified as held for sale that do not qualify for discontinued operations treatment, the balance sheet amounts are reclassified from their historical presentation to assets and liabilities held for sale for all periods presented. The results of operations continue to be reported in continuing operations.

Production Tax Liability. Production tax liability represents estimated taxes, primarily severance, ad valorem and property, to be paid to the states and counties in which we produce natural gas, NGLs and crude oil, including the production of our affiliated partnerships. Our share of these taxes is expensed to production costs. The partnerships' share, not owned by us, is recognized as a receivable in accounts receivable affiliates on the balance sheets. The long-term portion of the production tax liability is included in other liabilities on the balance sheets and was \$18.7 million and \$19.4 million in December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Income Taxes. We account for income taxes under the asset and liability method. We recognize deferred tax assets and liabilities for the future tax consequences attributable to operating loss and future credit carryforwards and differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax basis. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date. If we determine that it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized, we record a valuation allowance, thereby reducing the deferred tax assets to what we consider realizable. As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, we had no valuation allowance.

Debt Issuance Costs. Debt issuance costs are capitalized and amortized over the life of the respective borrowings using the effective interest method. As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, included in other assets was \$17.4 million and \$12.3 million, respectively, related to debt issuance costs. The December 31, 2012, amount included \$1.9 million in costs related to the issuance of our 3.25% convertible senior notes due 2016, \$10.9 million related to our 7.75%

senior notes due 2022 and \$4.6 million related to our revolving credit facility and the PDCM credit facility. The December 31, 2011, amount included \$2.4 million in costs related to the issuance of our 3.25% convertible senior notes due 2016, \$3.4 million related to our 12% senior notes due 2022 and \$6.5 million related to our revolving credit facility and the PDCM credit facility.

Asset Retirement Obligations. We account for asset retirement obligations by recording the fair value of our plugging and abandonment obligations when incurred, which is at the time the well is completed. Upon initial recognition of an asset retirement obligation, we increase the carrying amount of the long-lived asset by the same amount as the liability. Over time, the liabilities are accreted for the change in their present value. The initial capitalized costs, net of salvage value, are depleted over the useful lives of the related assets through charges to DD&A expense. If the fair value of the estimated asset retirement obligation changes, an adjustment is recorded to both the asset retirement obligation and the asset retirement cost. Revisions in estimated liabilities can result from changes in retirement costs or the estimated timing of settling asset retirement obligations. See Note 9, Asset Retirement Obligations, for a reconciliation of the changes in our asset retirement obligation.

Treasury Shares. We record treasury share purchases at cost, which includes incremental direct transaction costs. Amounts are recorded as reductions in shareholders' equity in the consolidated balance sheets. When we retire treasury shares, we charge any excess of cost over the par value entirely to additional paid-in-capital ("APIC"), to the extent we have amounts in APIC, with any remaining excess cost being charged to retained earnings.

Revenue Recognition. Significant accounting policies related to our revenue recognition are discussed below.

Natural gas, NGLs and crude oil sales. Natural gas, NGLs and crude oil revenues are recognized when production is sold to a purchaser at a fixed or determinable price, delivery has occurred, rights and responsibility of ownership have transferred and collection of revenue is reasonably assured. We currently use the "net-back" method of accounting for transportation and processing arrangements of our sales when the transportation and/or processing is provided by or through the purchaser. Under these arrangements, we sell gas at the wellhead and collect a price and recognize revenues based on the wellhead sales price since transportation and processing costs downstream of the wellhead are incurred by the purchasers and reflected in the wellhead price. The majority of our natural gas and NGLs in Colorado are sold on a long-term basis ranging

PDC ENERGY, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - Continued

from 15 years to the life of the lease. Sales of natural gas and NGLs in other regions, along with crude oil, are sold under contracts with terms ranging from one month to three years. Virtually all of our contract pricing provisions are tied to a market index, with certain adjustments based on, among other factors, whether a well delivers to a gathering or transmission line and the quality of the natural gas.

Well operations and pipeline income. We are paid a monthly operating fee for each well we operate and the natural gas transported for outside owners, including the limited partnerships we sponsor. Well operations and pipeline income is recognized when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, the sales price is fixed or determinable, services have been rendered and collection of revenues is reasonably assured.

Natural gas marketing. Natural gas marketing is reported on the gross method of accounting, based on the nature of the agreements between our natural gas marketing subsidiary, Riley Natural Gas ("RNG"), our suppliers and our customers. RNG purchases gas from many small producers and bundles the gas together for a price advantage to sell in larger amounts to purchasers of natural gas. RNG has latitude in establishing price and discretion in supplier and purchaser selection. Natural gas marketing revenues and expenses reflect the full cost and revenue of those transactions because RNG takes title to the gas it purchases from the various producers and bears the risks and rewards of that ownership. Both the realized and unrealized gains and losses of the RNG commodity-based derivative transactions for natural gas marketing are included in sales from or cost of natural gas marketing, as applicable.

Accounting for Acquisitions Using Purchase Accounting. We utilize the purchase method to account for acquisitions. Pursuant to purchase method accounting, we allocate the cost of the acquisition to assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on fair values as of the acquisition date. The purchase price allocations are based on appraisals, discounted cash flows, quoted market prices and estimates by management. When appropriate, we review comparable purchases and sales of natural gas and crude oil properties within the same regions and use that data as a basis for fair market value; for example, the amount at which a willing buyer and seller would enter into an exchange for such properties.

In estimating the fair values of assets acquired and liabilities assumed, we make various assumptions. The most significant assumptions relate to the estimated fair values assigned to proved developed producing, proved developed non-producing, proved undeveloped, unproved natural gas and crude oil properties and other non-natural gas and crude oil properties. To estimate the fair values of these properties, we prepare estimates of natural gas and crude oil reserves. We estimate future prices by using the applicable forward pricing strip to apply to our estimate of reserve quantities acquired, and estimates of future operating and development costs, to arrive at an estimate of future net revenues. For estimated proved reserves, the future net revenues are discounted using a market-based weighted-average cost of capital rate determined appropriate at the time of the acquisition. The market-based weighted-average cost of capital rate is subject to additional project-specific risking factors. To compensate for the inherent risk of estimating and valuing unproved properties, we reduce the discounted future net revenues of probable and possible reserves by additional risk-weighting factors.

We record deferred taxes for any differences between the assigned values and tax basis of assets and liabilities. Estimated deferred taxes are based on available information concerning the tax basis of assets acquired and liabilities assumed and loss carryforwards at the acquisition date, although such estimates may change in the future as additional information becomes known.

Stock-Based Compensation. Stock-based compensation is recognized in our financial statements based on the grant-date fair value of the equity instrument awarded. Stock-based compensation expense is recognized in the financial statements on a straight-line basis over the vesting period for the entire award. To the extent compensation cost relates to employees directly involved in natural gas and crude oil exploration and development activities, such

amounts may be capitalized to properties and equipment. Amounts not capitalized to properties and equipment are recognized in the related cost and expense line item in the statement of operations. No amounts for stock-based compensation were capitalized in 2012, 2011 and 2010.

Recent Accounting Standards.

The following standards were recently adopted:

Fair Value Measurement. On May 12, 2011, the FASB issued changes related to fair value measurement. The changes represent the converged guidance of the FASB and the International Accounting Standards Board ("IASB") on fair value measurement. Many of the changes eliminate unnecessary wording differences between International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS") and U.S. GAAP. The changes expand existing disclosure requirements for fair value measurements categorized in Level 3 by requiring (1) a quantitative disclosure of the unobservable inputs and assumptions used in the measurement, (2) a description of the valuation processes in place and (3) a narrative description of the sensitivity of the fair value to changes in unobservable inputs and the interrelationships between those inputs. In addition, the changes require the categorization by level in the fair value hierarchy of items that are not measured at fair value in the statement of financial position whose fair value must be disclosed. These changes are to be applied prospectively and were effective for public entities during interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. Early application was not permitted. With the exception of the disclosure requirements, adoption of these changes did not have a significant impact on our financial statements.

Presentation of Comprehensive Income. On June 16, 2011, the FASB issued changes related to the presentation of comprehensive income. These changes eliminate the current option to report other comprehensive income and its components in the statement of changes in equity. These changes are intended to enhance comparability between entities that report under U.S. GAAP and those that report under IFRS, and to provide a more consistent method of presenting non-owner transactions that affect an entity's equity. An entity may elect to present items of net income and other comprehensive income in one continuous statement, referred to as the statement of comprehensive income, or in two separate, but consecutive, statements. Each component of net income and each component of other comprehensive income, together with totals

PDC ENERGY, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - Continued

for comprehensive income and its two parts, net income and other comprehensive income, need to be displayed under either alternative. The statement(s) need to be presented with equal prominence as the other primary financial statements. These changes were effective for our financial statements issued for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2011. Adoption of this change did not have a material impact on our financial statements.

NOTE 3 - FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

Derivative Financial Instruments

Determination of fair value. Our fair value measurements are estimated pursuant to a fair value hierarchy that requires us to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. The valuation hierarchy is based upon the transparency of inputs to the valuation of an asset or liability as of the measurement date, giving the highest priority to quoted prices in active markets (Level 1) and the lowest priority to unobservable data (Level 3). In some cases, the inputs used to measure fair value might fall in different levels of the fair value hierarchy. The lowest level input that is significant to a fair value measurement in its entirety determines the applicable level in the fair value hierarchy. Assessing the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires judgment, considering factors specific to the asset or liability, and may affect the valuation of the assets and liabilities and their placement within the fair value hierarchy levels. The three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value are defined as:

Level 1 – Quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets.

Level 2 – Inputs other than quoted prices included within Level 1 that are either directly or indirectly observable for the asset or liability, including quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in inactive markets, inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability and inputs that are derived from observable market data by correlation or other means.

Level 3 – Unobservable inputs for the asset or liability, including situations where there is little, if any, market activity.

Derivative Financial Instruments. We measure the fair value of our derivative instruments based on a pricing model that utilizes market-based inputs, including but not limited to the contractual price of the underlying position, current market prices, natural gas and crude oil forward curves, discount rates such as the LIBOR curve for a similar duration of each outstanding position, volatility factors and nonperformance risk. Nonperformance risk considers the effect of our credit standing on the fair value of derivative liabilities and the effect of our counterparties' credit standings on the fair value of derivative assets. Both inputs to the model are based on published credit default swap rates and the duration of each outstanding derivative position.

We validate our fair value measurement through the review of counterparty statements and other supporting documentation, the determination that the source of the inputs is valid, the corroboration of the original source of inputs through access to multiple quotes, if available, or other information and monitoring changes in valuation methods and assumptions. While we use common industry practices to develop our valuation techniques, changes in our pricing methodologies or the underlying assumptions could result in significantly different fair values. While we believe our valuation method is appropriate and consistent with those used by other market participants, the use of a different methodology or assumptions to determine the fair value of certain financial instruments could result in a different estimate of fair value.

We have evaluated the credit risk of the counterparties holding our derivative assets, which are primarily financial institutions who are also major lenders in our revolving credit facility, giving consideration to amounts outstanding for

each counterparty and the duration of each outstanding derivative position. Based on our evaluation, we have determined that the potential impact of nonperformance of our counterparties on the fair value of our derivative instruments is not significant.

Our fixed-price swaps, basis swaps and physical purchases are included in Level 2 and our natural gas and crude oil collars, natural gas calls and physical sales are included in Level 3. The following table presents, for each applicable level within the fair value hierarchy, our derivative assets and liabilities, including both current and non-current portions, measured at fair value on a recurring basis:

F- 12

PDC ENERGY, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - Continued

	As of December 31, 2012			2011		
	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) (in thousands)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	Total	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	Total
Assets:						
Commodity-based derivative contracts	\$42,788	\$15,734	\$58,522	\$76,104	\$25,837	\$101,941
Basis protection derivative contracts	387	16	403	5	38	43
Total assets	43,175	15,750	58,925	76,109	25,875	101,984
Liabilities:						
Commodity-based derivative contracts	9,839	2,081	11,920	9,888	3,768	13,656
Basis protection derivative contracts	16,656	—	16,656	35,424	—	35,424
Total liabilities	26,495	2,081	28,576	45,312	3,768	49,080
Net asset	\$16,680	\$13,669	\$30,349	\$30,797	\$22,107	\$52,904

The following table presents a reconciliation of our Level 3 assets measured at fair value:

	2012 (in thousands)	2011	2010
Fair value, net asset, beginning of year	\$22,107	\$10,762	\$15,048
Changes in fair value included in statement of operations line item:			
Commodity price risk management gain, net	7,576	13,487	11,591
Sales from natural gas marketing	63	114	580
Cost of natural gas marketing	—	—	23
Changes in fair value included in balance sheet line item (1):			
Accounts receivable affiliates	—	49	231
Accounts payable affiliates	(319)	(454)	(1,737)
Settlements included in statement of operations line items:			
Commodity price risk management loss, net	(15,644)	(1,712)	(14,467)
Sales from natural gas marketing	(114)	(139)	(484)
Cost of natural gas marketing	—	—	(23)
Fair value, net asset end of year	\$13,669	\$22,107	\$10,762

Changes in unrealized gains (losses) relating to assets (liabilities) still held

as of year-end, included in statement of operations line item:

Commodity price risk management gain, net	\$3,665	\$11,669	\$9,594
Sales from natural gas marketing	1	(3) 54
Total	\$3,666	\$11,666	\$9,648

(1) Represents the change in fair value related to derivative instruments entered into by us and designated to our affiliated partnerships.

The significant unobservable input used in the fair value measurement of our derivative contracts is the implied volatility curve, which is provided by a third-party vendor. A significant increase or decrease in the implied volatility, in isolation, would have a directionally similar effect resulting in a significantly higher or lower fair value measurement of our Level 3 derivative contracts.

Non-Derivative Financial Assets and Liabilities

The carrying values of the financial instruments included in current assets and current liabilities approximate fair value due to the short-term maturities of these instruments.

The liability associated with our non-qualified deferred compensation plan for non-employee directors may be settled in cash or shares of our common stock. The carrying value of this obligation is based on the quoted market price of our common stock, which is a Level 1 input.

PDC ENERGY, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - Continued

The portion of our long-term debt related to our revolving credit facility, as well as our proportionate share of PDCM's credit facility, approximates fair value due to the variable nature of related interest rates. We have not elected to account for the portion of our long-term debt related to our senior notes under the fair value option; however, as of December 31, 2012, we estimate the fair value of the portion of our long-term debt related to the 3.25% convertible senior notes due 2016 to be \$125.3 million, or 109% of par value, and the portion related to our 7.75% senior notes due 2022 to be \$512.8 million, or 102.6% of par value. We determined these valuations based upon measurements of trading activity and broker and/or dealer quotes, respectively, which are published market prices, and therefore are Level 2 inputs.

See Note 2, Summary of Significant Accounting Policies - Properties and Equipment, Natural Gas and Crude Oil Properties and Asset Retirement Obligations, for a discussion of how we determined fair value for these assets and liabilities.

NOTE 4 - DERIVATIVE FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

Our results of operations and operating cash flows are affected by changes in market prices for natural gas, NGLs and crude oil. To manage a portion of our exposure to price volatility from producing natural gas and crude oil, we utilize the following economic hedging strategies for each of our business segments.

For natural gas and crude oil sales, we enter into derivative contracts to protect against price declines in future periods. While we structure these derivatives to reduce our exposure to changes in price associated with the derivative commodity, they also limit the benefit we might otherwise have received from price increases in the physical market; and

For natural gas marketing, we enter into fixed-price physical purchase and sale agreements that qualify as derivative contracts. In order to offset the fixed-price physical derivatives in our natural gas marketing, we enter into financial derivative instruments that have the effect of locking in the prices we will receive or pay for the same volumes and period, offsetting the physical derivative.

We believe our derivative instruments continue to be effective in achieving the risk management objectives for which they were intended. As of December 31, 2012, we had derivative instruments in place for a portion of our anticipated production through 2016 for a total of 68,853 BBtu of natural gas and 3,754 MBbls of crude oil.

As of December 31, 2012, our derivative instruments were comprised of commodity floors, collars and swaps, basis protection swaps and physical sales and purchases.

Floor options (puts) are arrangements where, if the index price falls below the fixed put strike price, we receive the market price from the purchaser and receive the difference between the put strike price and index price from the counterparty. If the index price exceeds the fixed put strike price, then no payment is due from us to the counterparty; Collars contain a fixed floor price and ceiling price (call). If the index price falls below the fixed put strike price, we receive the market price from the purchaser and receive the difference between the put strike price and index price from the counterparty. If the index price exceeds the fixed call strike price, we receive the market price from the purchaser and pay the difference between the call strike price and index price to the counterparty. If the index price is between the put and call strike price, no payments are due to or from the counterparty;

Swaps are arrangements that guarantee a fixed price. If the index price is below the fixed contract price, we receive the market price from the purchaser and receive the difference between the index price and the fixed contract price from the counterparty. If the index price is above the fixed contract price, we receive the market price from the purchaser and pay the difference between the index price and the fixed contract price to the counterparty. If the index price and contract price are the same, no payment is due to or from the counterparty;

Basis protection swaps are arrangements that guarantee a price differential for natural gas from a specified delivery point. For CIG-basis protection swaps, which have negative differentials to NYMEX, we receive a payment from the counterparty if the price differential is greater than the stated terms of the contract and pay the counterparty if the price differential is less than the stated terms of the contract. If the market price and contract price are the same, no payment is due to or from the counterparty; and

Physical sales and purchases are derivatives for fixed-priced physical transactions where we sell or purchase third-party supply at fixed rates. These physical derivatives are offset by financial swaps: for a physical sale the offset is a swap purchase and for a physical purchase the offset is a swap sale.

PDC ENERGY, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - Continued

The following table presents the location and fair value amounts of our derivative instruments on the balance sheets as of December 31, 2012 and 2011:

Derivatives instruments:		Balance sheet line item	2012	2011
			(in thousands)	
Derivative assets:	Current			
	Commodity contracts			
	Related to natural gas and crude oil sales	Fair value of derivatives	\$47,016	\$51,220
	Related to affiliated partnerships (1)	Fair value of derivatives	4,707	8,018
	Related to natural gas marketing	Fair value of derivatives	302	1,528
	Basis protection contracts			
	Related to natural gas marketing	Fair value of derivatives	17	43
			52,042	60,809
	Non Current			
	Commodity contracts			
	Related to natural gas and crude oil sales	Fair value of derivatives	6,671	34,938
	Related to affiliated partnerships (1)	Fair value of derivatives	—	6,134
	Related to natural gas marketing	Fair value of derivatives	203	103
	Basis protection contracts			
	Related to natural gas marketing	Fair value of derivatives	9	—
			6,883	41,175
Total derivative assets			\$58,925	\$101,984
Derivative liabilities:	Current			
	Commodity contracts			
	Related to natural gas and crude oil sales	Fair value of derivatives	\$1,744	\$7,498
	Related to affiliated partnerships (2)	Fair value of derivatives	—	211
	Related to natural gas marketing	Fair value of derivatives	226	1,384
	Basis protection contracts			
	Related to natural gas and crude oil sales	Fair value of derivatives	14,329	15,762
	Related to affiliated partnerships (2)	Fair value of derivatives	2,140	3,116
	Related to natural gas marketing	Fair value of derivatives	—	3
			18,439	27,974
	Non Current			
	Commodity contracts			
	Related to natural gas and crude oil sales	Fair value of derivatives	9,969	4,357
	Related to affiliated partnerships (2)	Fair value of derivatives	—	113
	Related to natural gas marketing	Fair value of derivatives	168	93
	Basis protection contracts			
	Related to natural gas and crude oil sales	Fair value of derivatives	—	13,820
	Related to affiliated partnerships (2)	Fair value of derivatives	—	2,723
			10,137	21,106

Total derivative liabilities	\$28,576	\$49,080
------------------------------	----------	----------

Represents derivative positions designated to our affiliated partnerships. Accordingly, our accompanying balance (1) sheets include a corresponding payable to our affiliated partnerships representing their proportionate share of the derivative assets.

Represents derivative positions designated to our affiliated partnerships. Accordingly, our accompanying balance (2) sheets include a corresponding receivable from our affiliated partnerships representing their proportionate share of the derivative liabilities.

F- 15

PDC ENERGY, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - Continued

The following table presents the impact of our derivative instruments on our statements of operations:

Statement of operations line item	Year Ended December 31, 2012			2011			2010		
	Realized Gains (Losses) Included in Prior Periods Unrealized	Realized and Unrealized Gains (Losses) For the Current Period	Total	Realized Gains (Losses) Included in Prior Periods Unrealized	Realized and Unrealized Gains (Losses) For the Current Period	Total	Realized Gains (Losses) Included in Prior Periods Unrealized	Realized and Unrealized Gains (Losses) For the Current Period	Total
Commodity price risk management gain (loss), net									
Realized gains	\$28,819	\$20,597	\$49,416	\$10,329	\$6,914	\$17,243	\$20,148	\$26,947	\$47,095
Unrealized gains (losses)	(28,819)	11,742	(17,077)	(10,329)	39,176	28,847	(20,148)	32,944	12,796
Total commodity price risk management gain, net	\$—	\$32,339	\$32,339	\$—	\$46,090	\$46,090	\$—	\$59,891	\$59,891
Sales from natural gas marketing									
Realized gains	\$1,571	\$599	\$2,170	\$1,827	\$1,143	\$2,970	\$2,390	\$3,991	\$6,381
Unrealized gains (losses)	(1,571)	(87)	(1,658)	(1,827)	1,666	(161)	(2,390)	1,745	(645)
Total sales from natural gas marketing	\$—	\$512	\$512	\$—	\$2,809	\$2,809	\$—	\$5,736	\$5,736
Cost of natural gas marketing									
Realized losses	\$(1,387)	\$(642)	\$(2,029)	\$(1,441)	\$(1,130)	\$(2,571)	\$(1,905)	\$(3,996)	\$(5,901)
Unrealized gains (losses)	1,387	214	1,601	1,441	(1,526)	(85)	1,905	(1,431)	474
Total cost of natural gas marketing	\$—	\$(428)	\$(428)	\$—	\$(2,656)	\$(2,656)	\$—	\$(5,427)	\$(5,427)

NOTE 5 - CONCENTRATION OF RISK

Accounts Receivable. The following table presents the components of accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts:

	As of December 31,	
	2012	2011
	(in thousands)	
Natural gas, NGLs and crude oil sales	\$39,837	\$42,388
Natural gas marketing	8,209	6,225
Reimbursements for title defects	7,579	—
Joint interest billings	6,896	7,465
Other	3,385	4,766
Allowance for doubtful accounts	(1,026) (921
Accounts receivable, net	\$64,880	\$59,923

Our accounts receivable primarily relates to sales of our natural gas, NGLs and crude oil production, derivative counterparties and other third parties that own working interests in the properties we operate. Inherent to our industry is the concentration of natural gas, NGLs and crude oil sales to a limited number of customers. This industry concentration has the potential to impact our overall exposure to credit risk in that our customers may be similarly affected by changes in economic and financial conditions, commodity prices or other conditions. We record an allowance for doubtful accounts representing our best estimate of probable losses from our existing accounts receivable. In making our estimate, we consider, among other things, our historical write-offs and overall creditworthiness of our customers. Further, consideration is given to well production data for receivables related to well operations. Our estimate of uncollectible amounts changes periodically. For the each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2012, amounts written off to allowance for doubtful accounts were not material. As of December 31, 2012, we had two customers representing 10% or greater of our accounts receivable balance: Suncor Energy Marketing and Merit Energy, representing 26.4% and 11.7%, respectively, of our accounts receivable balance. The \$7.6 million of accounts receivable at December 31, 2012 due from Merit Energy related to reimbursements for title defects discovered subsequent to our acquisition of certain Wattenberg assets from affiliates of Merit Energy (the "Merit Acquisition"). The reimbursement for certain title defects was received in January 2013.

PDC ENERGY, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - Continued

Major Customers. The following table presents the individual customers constituting 10% or more of total revenues:

Customer	Year Ended December 31,			
	2012	2011	2010	
Suncor Energy Marketing, Inc.	29.8	% 25.7	% 19.6	%
DCP Midstream, LP	12.2	% 11.5	% 9.6	%
WPX Energy Rocky Mountain, LLC	5.6	% 9.9	% 12.5	%

Derivative Counterparties. A significant portion of our liquidity is concentrated in derivative instruments that enable us to manage a portion of our exposure to price volatility from producing natural gas and crude oil. These arrangements expose us to credit risk of nonperformance by our counterparties. We primarily use financial institutions who are also major lenders under our revolving credit facility as counterparties to our derivative contracts. To date, we have had no counterparty default losses. We have evaluated the credit risk of our derivative assets from our counterparties using relevant credit market default rates, giving consideration to amounts outstanding for each counterparty and the duration of each outstanding derivative position. Based on our evaluation, we have determined that the potential impact of nonperformance of our counterparties on the fair value of our derivative instruments was not significant.

The following table presents the counterparties that expose us to credit risk as of December 31, 2012, with regard to our derivative assets:

Counterparty Name	Fair Value of Derivative Assets As of December 31, 2012 (in thousands)
JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. (1)	\$41,323
Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. (1)	4,782
Bank of Nova Scotia (1)	4,315
Other lenders in our revolving credit facility	8,146
Various (2)	359
Total	\$58,925

(1)Major lender in our revolving credit facility. See Note 8, Long-Term Debt.

(2)Represents a total of 28 counterparties.

NOTE 6 - PROPERTIES AND EQUIPMENT

The following table presents the components of properties and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation, depletion and amortization:

As of December 31,	
2012	2011
(in thousands)	

Edgar Filing: PDC ENERGY, INC. - Form 10-K

Properties and equipment, net:		
Natural gas and crude oil properties		
Proved	\$2,075,924	\$1,694,694
Unproved	319,327	102,466
Total natural gas and crude oil properties	2,395,251	1,797,160
Pipelines and related facilities	47,786	40,721
Transportation and other equipment	34,858	32,475
Land and buildings	14,935	14,572
Construction in progress	67,217	69,633
Gross properties and equipment	2,560,047	1,954,561
Accumulated depreciation, depletion and amortization	(943,341) (652,845
Properties and equipment, net	\$1,616,706	\$1,301,716

F- 17

PDC ENERGY, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - Continued

The following table presents impairment charges recorded for natural gas and crude oil properties:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
	(in thousands)		
Continuing operations:			
Impairment of proved properties	\$ 161,185	\$ 22,460	\$—
Impairment of individually significant unproved properties	1,943	1,108	1,477
Amortization of individually insignificant unproved properties	5,021	1,591	5,004
Total continuing operations	168,149	25,159	6,481
Discontinued operations:			
Impairment of proved properties	—	—	4,666
Total discontinued operations	—	—	4,666
Total impairment of natural gas and crude oil properties	\$ 168,149	\$ 25,159	\$ 11,147

In December 2012, we recognized an impairment charge of \$161.2 million associated with our Piceance Basin proved oil and natural gas properties. The assets were determined to be impaired as the estimated undiscounted future net cash flows were less than the carrying value of the assets. The fair value for determining the amount of the impairment charge was based on estimated future cash flows from an unrelated third-party bid, a Level 3 input, as we changed our development plans in the basin and decided to sell the related assets. The impairment charge was included in the statement of operations line item impairment of natural gas and crude oil properties. See Note 19, Subsequent Events, for additional information regarding the planned sale of certain of our oil and natural gas properties.

In 2011, we recognized an impairment charge of \$22.5 million to write-down our NECO assets to fair value. The fair value was based on unrelated third-party bids, a level 3 input. The impairment charge was included in the statement of operations' line item impairment of natural gas and crude oil properties.

In May 2010, pursuant to our entry into an agreement to sell our Michigan assets, we reclassified our Michigan assets as held for sale. We compared the transactional sales price, considered a Level 3 input, less costs to sell to the carrying value of our Michigan net assets. The net carrying value exceeded the net sales price and, therefore, during the second quarter of 2010, we recognized a pre-tax impairment charge of \$4.7 million to reduce the carrying value of the net assets to reflect the net sales price. The impairment charge was included in discontinued operations on the statement of operations. See Note 14, Assets Held for Sale, Divestitures, and Discontinued Operations.

Suspended Well Costs

The following table presents the capitalized exploratory well costs pending determination of proved reserves, and included in properties and equipment on the balance sheets:

	2012	2011	2010
	(in thousands, except for number of wells)		
Balance beginning of year, January 1,	\$ 4,432	\$ 2,297	\$ 1,174
	30,482	3,692	4,353

Additions to capitalized exploratory well costs pending the determination of proved reserves				
Reclassifications to wells, facilities and equipment based on the determination of proved reserves	—	(1,557)	(2,231
Deconsolidation of PDCM and change in ownership interest	—	—		(462
Capitalized exploratory well costs charged to expense	(15,347)	—	(537
Balance end of year, December 31,	\$19,567	\$4,432		\$2,297
Number of wells pending determination at December 31,	2	6		3

Additions to capitalized exploratory well costs pending determination of proved reserves increased in 2012 as compared to prior years as we increased our exploratory drilling activities in the liquid-rich emerging Utica Shale play.

In 2012, capitalized well costs related to two vertical stratigraphic test wells in eastern Ohio were expensed at a cost of \$12.2 million. Additionally, three wells in south central Ohio and a well in southeast Colorado were determined to have noncommercial quantities of hydrocarbons and were expensed at a cost of \$1.2 million and \$0.9 million, respectively.

PDC ENERGY, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - Continued

The following table presents an aging of capitalized exploratory well costs based on the date that drilling commenced and the number of projects for which exploratory well costs have been capitalized for a period greater than one year since the commencement of drilling:

	As of December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
	(in thousands)		
Exploratory well costs capitalized for a period of one year or less	\$19,567	\$3,587	\$2,297
Exploratory well costs capitalized for a period greater than one year since commencement of drilling	—	845	—
Balance end of year, December 31,	\$19,567	\$4,432	\$2,297
Number of projects with exploratory well costs that have been capitalized for a period greater than one year since commencement of drilling	—	2	—

NOTE 7 - INCOME TAXES

The table below presents the components of our provision for income taxes from continuing operations for the years presented:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
	(in thousands)		
Current:			
Federal	\$—	\$3,172	\$1,616
State	(199) 172	(904
Total current income taxes	(199) 3,344	712
Deferred:			
Federal	78,425	(2,868) (3,990
State	10,609	(293) 2,626
Total deferred income taxes	89,034	(3,161) (1,364
Provision for income taxes from continuing operations	\$88,835	\$183	\$(652

We continue to utilize tax deferral strategies such as bonus and accelerated depreciation, IRC Section 1031 like-kind exchange strategies and intangible drilling cost expense elections to minimize our current taxes. As a result of these elections and deferral strategies, we have generated federal and state net operating losses (“NOLs”) in 2012, 2011 and 2010. Substantially, all the 2010 federal NOL was carried back to 2008, generating a refund of \$4.6 million which was received in the fourth quarter of 2011. The remaining federal NOLs and substantially all the state NOLs are being carried forward to 2013 and/or future periods. We expect to utilize our federal NOL and a substantial portion of our state NOLs to offset the projected 2013 taxable gain on the planned sale of our non-core Colorado assets. See Note 19, Subsequent Events, for additional information regarding the planned sale. There can be no assurance we will be successful in closing such divestiture.

PDC ENERGY, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - Continued

The following table presents a reconciliation of the statutory rate to the effective tax rate related to our provision for income taxes from continuing operations:

	Year Ended December, 31,			
	2012	2011	2010	
Statutory tax rate	35.0	% 35.0	% 35.0	%
State income tax, net	2.9	(9.4) 1.3	
Percentage depletion	0.3	(29.5) (11.3)
Non-deductible compensation	(0.1) —	4.4	
Non-deductible meals and entertainment	(0.1) 3.1	1.2	
State deferred rate change	—	15.4	(26.2)
Unrecognized tax benefits	—	(30.3) 2.4	
State tax credits	—	—	(3.3)
Federal return examination adjustments	—	4.2	4.7	
Return to provision adjustments	—	3.7	—	
Other	—	1.5	1.5	
Effective tax rate	38.0	% (6.3)% 9.7	%

The tax effects of temporary differences that give rise to significant portions of the deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities at December 31, 2012 and 2011 are presented below:

	As of December 31,	
	2012	2011
	(in thousands)	
Deferred tax assets:		
Provision for underpayment of natural gas sales	\$—	\$3,334
Deferred compensation	7,216	4,319
Asset retirement obligations	10,325	9,438
State NOL and tax credit carryforwards, net	6,117	5,240
Percentage depletion - carryforward	4,702	3,733
Alternative minimum tax - credit carryforward	2,351	2,351
Federal NOL carryforward	21,281	12,210
Other	2,276	2,621
Deferred tax assets	54,268	43,246
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Properties and equipment	122,742	184,657
Investment in PDCM	31,445	30,919
Unrealized gains - derivatives	7,163	12,612
Convertible debt	5,194	6,504
Total gross deferred tax liabilities	166,544	234,692
Net deferred tax liability	\$112,276	\$191,446
Classification in the balance sheets:		
Deferred income tax assets	\$36,151	\$16,127
Deferred income tax liability	148,427	207,573

Net deferred tax liability	\$ 112,276	\$ 191,446
----------------------------	------------	------------

Deferred tax liabilities for properties and equipment decreased in 2012 primarily as a result of our impairment of certain oil and gas properties, which is an expense not currently deductible from taxable income, partially offset by our continued use of statutory provisions for bonus and accelerated tax depreciation. In addition, a tax gain, previously deferred through the use of IRC Section 1031 LKE strategies, was recognized upon the sale of our Permian Basin assets.

As of December 31, 2012, we have state NOL carryforwards of \$142.4 million that begin to expire in 2029, state credit carryforwards of \$1.8 million that begin to expire in 2022 and federal NOL carryforwards of \$60.8 million that will expire in 2030. Approximately \$0.9 million

F- 20

PDC ENERGY, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - Continued

of excess tax benefits relating to stock-based compensation that are a component of our NOL carryforwards, when realized, will be credited to APIC.

The following table presents a reconciliation of the total amounts of unrecognized tax benefits:

	2012 (in thousands)	2011	2010
Balance beginning of year, January 1	\$ 179	\$ 1,093	\$ 566
Additions for tax positions of prior years	—	—	253
Additions for tax positions of current year	—	—	274
Reductions due to settlements	—	(782) —
Reductions due to lapse of statute of limitations	—	(132) —
Balance end of year, December 31	\$ 179	\$ 179	\$ 1,093

Interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions are recognized in income tax expense. Accrued interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions were immaterial for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2012. The total amount of unrecognized tax benefits that would affect the effective tax rate, if recognized, was \$0.2 million as of December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. As of December 31, 2012, we expect a decrease in the unrecognized tax benefit in the next twelve months due to statute of limitation expiration. During 2012, we did not reduce our liability for any uncertain tax benefits, of which the remaining balance is related to our state tax filings, as no prior tax positions were resolved, nor did we have any new uncertain tax benefits arise during the year. The statute of limitation for most of our state tax jurisdictions is open from 2008 forward.

In accordance with the Compliance Assurance Process ("CAP"), the IRS completed its "post filing review" of our 2010 tax return in January 2012 and completed their "post filing review" of our 2011 tax return in January 2013. We have been issued a "no change" letter for both of the reviewed tax years. The CAP audit employs a real-time review of our books and tax records by the IRS that is intended to permit issue resolution prior to, or shortly after, the filing of the tax returns. We are currently participating in the IRS CAP program for the review of our 2012 tax year and we have been invited and have accepted continued participation in the program for our 2013 tax year. Participation in the IRS CAP program has enabled us to currently have no uncertain tax benefits associated with our federal tax return filings. During 2011, we were able to reduce our liability for uncertain tax benefits upon accelerated examination and settlement of our 2007-2009 tax years upon entering the IRS program.

PDC ENERGY, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - Continued

NOTE 8 - LONG-TERM DEBT

Long-term debt consists of the following:

	As of December 31, 2012	2011
	(in thousands)	
Senior notes		
3.25% Convertible senior notes due 2016:		
Principal amount	\$115,000	\$115,000
Unamortized discount	(13,671) (17,079
3.25% Convertible senior notes due 2016, net of discount	101,329	97,921
7.75% Senior notes due 2022:		
Principal amount	500,000	—
12% Senior notes due 2018:		
Principal amount	—	203,000
Unamortized discount	—	(1,764
12% Senior notes due 2018, net of discount	—	201,236
Total senior notes	601,329	299,157
Credit facilities		
Corporate	49,000	209,000
PDCM	26,250	24,000
Total credit facilities	75,250	233,000
Total long-term debt	\$676,579	\$532,157

Senior Notes

3.25% Convertible Senior Notes Due 2016. In November 2010, we issued \$115 million of 3.25% convertible senior notes due 2016 (the "2016 Convertible Senior Notes") in a private placement to qualified institutional buyers. The convertible notes and the common stock issuable upon conversion of the convertible notes, if any, have not been registered under the Securities Act of 1933 ("Securities Act") or any state securities laws, nor are we required to register such convertible notes or common shares. The convertible notes are governed by an indenture dated November 23, 2010, between the Company and the Bank of New York Mellon, as trustee. The maturity for the payment of principal is May 15, 2016. Interest at the rate of 3.25% per year is payable in cash semiannually in arrears on each May 15 and November 15, commencing on May 15, 2011. The convertible notes are senior, unsecured obligations and rank senior in right of payment to our existing and future indebtedness that is expressly subordinated in right of payment to the convertible notes; equal in right of payment to our existing and future unsecured indebtedness that is not so subordinated (including our 2022 Senior Notes); effectively junior in right of payment to any of our secured indebtedness (including our obligations under our senior secured credit facility) to the extent of the value of the assets securing such indebtedness; and structurally junior to all existing and future indebtedness (including trade payables) incurred by our subsidiaries. The indenture governing the convertible notes does not contain any restrictive financial covenants.

We may not redeem the convertible notes prior to the maturity date of the convertible notes. However, prior to November 15, 2015, holders of the convertible notes may convert upon specified events and periods as defined in the governing indenture. The notes are convertible at any time thereafter at an initial conversion rate of 23.5849 per \$1,000 principal amount of the convertible notes, which is equal to a conversion price of approximately \$42.40 per

share. The conversion rate is subject to adjustment upon certain events. Upon conversion, the convertible notes may be settled, at our election, in shares of our common stock, cash or a combination of cash and shares of our common stock. We have initially elected a net-settlement method to satisfy our conversion obligation, which allows us to settle the \$1,000 principal amount of the convertible notes in cash and to settle the excess conversion value in shares, as well as cash in lieu of fractional shares.

We allocated the gross proceeds of the convertible notes between the liability and equity components of the debt. The initial \$94.3 million liability component was determined based on the fair value of similar debt instruments with similar terms, excluding the conversion feature, and priced on the same day we issued our convertible notes. The initial \$20.7 million equity component represents the debt discount and was calculated as the difference between the liability component of the debt and the gross proceeds of the convertible notes. As of December 31, 2012, the unamortized debt discount will be amortized over the remaining contractual term to maturity of the convertible notes of 3.4 years using an effective interest rate of 7.4%. For 2012, interest expense related to the indebtedness and the amortization of the discount were \$3.7 million and \$3.4 million, respectively, compared to \$3.7 million and \$3.2 million, respectively, in 2011. As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, notwithstanding the inability to convert, assuming conversion, the value of the convertible notes did not exceed the principal amount.

PDC ENERGY, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - Continued

7.75% Senior Notes Due 2022. On October 3, 2012, we issued \$500 million aggregate principal amount of 7.75% senior notes due October 15, 2022 (the "2022 Senior Notes") in a private placement. The proceeds from the issuance of the notes, after the initial purchasers' commissions and estimated offering expenses, were used to fund the redemption of our 2018 Senior Notes, repay a portion of the amount outstanding under our revolving credit facility and for general corporate purposes. The 2022 Senior Notes accrue interest from the date of issuance and interest is payable semi-annually in arrears on April 15 and October 15, commencing on April 15, 2013. Approximately \$11 million in costs associated with the issuance of the 2022 Senior Notes have been capitalized as debt issuance costs and are being amortized as interest expense over the life of the notes using the effective interest method. The 2022 Senior Notes are senior unsecured obligations and rank senior in right of payment to any of our future indebtedness that is expressly subordinated to the notes. The 2022 Senior Notes rank equally in right of payment with all our existing and future senior indebtedness (including our 2016 Convertible Senior Notes) and rank effectively junior in right of payment to all of our secured indebtedness (to the extent of the value of the collateral securing such indebtedness), including borrowings under our revolving credit facility.

In connection with the issuance of the 2022 Senior Notes, we entered into a registration rights agreement with the initial purchasers in which we agreed to file a registration statement with the SEC relating to an offer to exchange the 2022 Senior Notes for registered notes with substantially identical terms. In addition, we have agreed, in certain circumstances, to file a shelf registration statement covering the resale of the 2022 Senior Notes by holders.

At any time prior to October 15, 2017, we may redeem all or part of the 2022 Senior Notes at a make-whole price set forth in the indenture, and on or after October 15, 2017, we may redeem the notes at fixed redemption prices, plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, to the date of redemption.

At any time prior to October 15, 2015, we may redeem up to 35% of the outstanding 2022 Senior Notes with proceeds from certain equity offerings at a redemption price of 107.75% of the principal amount of the notes redeemed, plus accrued and unpaid interest, as long as:

- at least 65% of the aggregate principal amount of the notes issued on October 3, 2012 remains outstanding after each such redemption; and
- the redemption occurs within 180 days after the closing of the equity offering.

Upon the occurrence of a "change of control" as defined in the indenture for the 2022 Senior Notes, holders will have the right to require us to repurchase all or a portion of the notes at a price equal to 101% of the aggregate principal amount of the notes repurchased, together with any accrued and unpaid interest to the date of purchase. In connection with certain asset sales, we will be required to use the net cash proceeds of the asset sale to make an offer to purchase the notes at 100% of the principal amount, together with any accrued and unpaid interest to the date of purchase.

The indenture governing the 2022 Senior Notes contains covenants that, among other things, limit our ability and the ability of our subsidiaries to incur additional indebtedness; pay dividends or make distributions on our stock; purchase or redeem stock or subordinated indebtedness; make certain investments; create certain liens; restrict dividends or other payments by restricted subsidiaries; enter into transactions with affiliates; sell assets; and merge or consolidate with another company.

12% Senior Notes Due 2018. On October 3, 2012, we issued a notice to redeem on November 2, 2012 the entire \$203 million principal amount of the 12% senior notes due 2018 (the "2018 Senior Notes") for a total redemption price of approximately \$222 million, including an \$18.9 million make-whole premium. The make-whole provision was based upon terms set forth in the related indenture. On November 2, upon the redemption of the 2018 Senior Notes, the \$18.9 million make-whole premium, remaining unamortized debt discount of \$1.5 million and unamortized debt

issuance costs of \$2.9 million were recognized as a \$23.3 million pre-tax loss on debt extinguishment in the consolidated statement of operations. The amount paid to bond holders for the make-whole premium has been included as a financing activity in our statement of cash flows.

Credit Facilities

Revolving Credit Facility. In November 2010, we obtained a revolving credit facility pursuant to a Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement with JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. as administrative agent and other lenders party thereto. The revolving credit facility is available for working capital requirements, capital expenditures, acquisitions, general corporate purposes and to support letters of credit. The maximum facility amount is \$600 million. As of December 31, 2012, we had \$49 million outstanding on our revolving credit facility, compared to \$209 million as of December 31, 2011. The borrowing base of the revolving credit facility will be the loan value assigned to the proved reserves attributable to our and our subsidiaries' natural gas and crude oil interests, excluding proved reserves attributable to PDCM and our 21 affiliated partnerships. Our revolving credit facility borrowing base is subject to a semiannual size redetermination based on quantification of our reserves at June 30 and December 31, and is also subject to a redetermination upon the occurrence of certain events. The revolving credit facility is secured by a pledge of the stock of certain of our subsidiaries, mortgages of certain producing natural gas and crude oil properties and substantially all of our and such subsidiaries' other assets. Neither PDCM nor the various limited partnerships that we have sponsored, and continue to serve as the managing general partner, are guarantors of the revolving credit facility.

On October 31, 2012, the semi-annual redetermination of our revolving credit facility's borrowing base was completed with our available borrowing base being reduced from \$525 million to \$450 million as a result of the 2022 Senior Notes issuance. On September 21, 2012, we entered into a Sixth Amendment to the revolving credit facility. The Sixth Amendment increased the amount of senior note

PDC ENERGY, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - Continued

indebtedness and refinancing indebtedness permitted under the revolving credit agreement. On June 29, 2012, concurrent with the Merit Acquisition, we entered into a Fifth Amendment to our revolving credit facility. The Fifth Amendment increased our available borrowing base to \$525 million from \$425 million based on our natural gas and crude oil reserves as of December 31, 2011 and the estimated reserves as of April 1, 2012 for the acquired assets from the Merit Acquisition. On June 25, 2012, we entered into the Fourth Amendment to our revolving credit facility. The Fourth Amendment amended certain provisions of the revolving credit facility to allow us greater flexibility in entering into hedging transactions in connection with future potential asset acquisitions. On May 4, 2012, we entered into the Third Amendment to our revolving credit facility and, as a result of the semi-annual redetermination by our bank group, our borrowing base was increased by \$25 million to \$425 million.

Our outstanding principal amount accrues interest at a varying interest rate that fluctuates with an alternate base rate (equal to the greater of JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s prime rate, the federal funds rate plus a premium and 1-month LIBOR plus a premium), or at our election, a rate equal to the rate for dollar deposits in the London interbank market for certain time periods. Additionally, commitment fees, interest margin and other bank fees, charged as a component of interest, vary with our utilization of the facility. No principal payments are required until the credit agreement expires on November 5, 2015, or in the event that the borrowing base would fall below the outstanding balance.

The revolving credit facility contains covenants customary for agreements of this type, with the most restrictive being certain financial tests and maintaining certain financial ratios on a quarterly basis. The financial tests and ratios, as defined per the revolving credit facility, include requirements to: (a) maintain a minimum current ratio of 1.00 to 1.00 and (b) not exceed a maximum leverage ratio of 4.00 to 1.00.

The revolving credit facility contains restrictions as to when we can directly or indirectly, retire, redeem, repurchase or prepay in cash any part of the principal of the 2022 Senior Notes or the 2016 Convertible Senior Notes. Among other things, the restriction on redemption of the 2016 Convertible Senior Notes requires that immediately after giving effect to any such retirement, redemption, defeasance, repurchase, settlement or prepayment, the aggregate commitment under the revolving credit facility exceed the aggregate credit exposure under such facility by at least the greater of \$115 million or an amount equal to or greater than 30% of such aggregate commitment. The restriction on redemption of the 2022 Senior Notes permits redemption only with the proceeds of issuances of "Permitted Refinancing Indebtedness," which may not exceed \$750 million.

We have outstanding an \$18.7 million irrevocable standby letter of credit in favor of a third-party transportation service provider to secure the construction of certain additions and/or replacements to its facilities to provide firm transportation of the natural gas produced by us and others for whom we market production in West Virginia and Southwestern Pennsylvania. The letter of credit reduces the amount of available funds under our revolving credit facility by an equal amount. We pay a fronting fee of 0.125% per annum and an additional quarterly maintenance fee equivalent to the spread over Eurodollar loans (2.75% per annum as of December 31, 2012) for the period in which the letter of credit remains outstanding. The letter of credit expires on July 20, 2013. We expect to renew the letter of credit prior to its expiration.

We pay a fee of 0.5% per annum on the unutilized commitment on the available funds under our revolving credit facility. As of December 31, 2012, the available funds under our revolving credit facility, including a reduction for the \$18.7 million irrevocable standby letter of credit in effect, was \$382.3 million. The weighted-average borrowing rate on our revolving credit facility, exclusive of the letter of credit, was 4.5% per annum as of December 31, 2012 compared to 3.8% as of December 31, 2011.

PDCM Credit Facility. PDCM has a credit facility dated April 30, 2010, as amended, with an aggregate revolving commitment or borrowing base of \$80 million, of which our proportionate share is \$40 million. The maximum

allowable facility amount is \$400 million. Based upon PDCM's discretion, interest accrues at either an alternative base rate ("ABR") or an adjusted LIBOR. The ABR is the greater of BNP Paribas' prime rate, the federal funds effective rate plus 0.5% or the adjusted LIBOR for a three month interest period plus 1%. ABR and adjusted LIBOR borrowings are assessed an additional margin based upon the outstanding balance as a percentage of the available balance. ABR borrowings are assessed an additional margin of 1.25% to 2.0%. Adjusted LIBOR borrowings are assessed an additional margin spread of 2.25% to 3.0%. No principal payments are required until the credit agreement expires on April 30, 2014, or in the event that the borrowing base falls below the outstanding balance. The credit facility is subject to and secured by PDCM's properties, including our proportionate share of such properties. The credit facility borrowing base is subject to size redetermination semiannually based upon a valuation of PDCM's reserves at June 30 and December 31. Either PDCM or the lenders may request a redetermination upon the occurrence of certain events. Pursuant to the interests of the joint venture, the credit facility will be utilized by PDCM for the exploration and development of its Marcellus assets.

The credit facility contains covenants customary for agreements of this type, with the most restrictive being certain financial tests and financial ratios that must be met on a quarterly basis. The financial tests and ratios, as defined by the credit facility, include requirements to maintain a minimum current ratio of 1.0 to 1.0, not to exceed a debt to EBITDAX ratio of 5.0 to 1.0 (declining to 4.5 to 1.0 on March 31, 2013 and 4.0 to 1.0 on September 30, 2013) and to maintain a minimum interest coverage ratio of 2.5 to 1.0. As of December 31, 2012, our proportionate share of PDCM's outstanding credit facility balance was \$26.3 million compared to \$24 million as of December 31, 2011. PDCM is required to pay a commitment fee of 0.5% per annum on the unutilized portion of the activated credit facility. The weighted-average borrowing rate on PDCM's credit facility was 3.5% per annum in 2012, compared to 5.0% in 2011.

As of December 31, 2012, we were in compliance with all credit facility covenants and expect to remain in compliance throughout the next twelve-month period.

PDC ENERGY, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - Continued

NOTE 9 - ASSET RETIREMENT OBLIGATIONS

The following table presents the changes in carrying amounts of the asset retirement obligations associated with our working interest in natural gas and crude oil properties:

	2012 (in thousands)	2011
Balance beginning of year, January 1	\$46,566	\$28,047
Obligations incurred with development activities and assumed with acquisitions	14,169	9,625
Accretion expense	4,060	1,897
Obligations discharged with disposal of properties and asset retirements	(2,232) (990
Deconsolidation of PDCM and change in ownership interest	—	(916
Revisions in estimated cash flows	—	8,903
Balance end of year, December 31 (1)	62,563	46,566
Less current portion	(1,000) (250
Long-term portion	\$61,563	\$46,316

(1)Includes \$2 million as of December 31, 2011 related to assets held for sale.

The revisions in estimated cash flows during 2011 were due to changes in estimates of costs for materials and services related to the plugging and abandonment of wells in the two fields where we currently conduct the majority of our operations. These cost increases related mostly to the costs of cement and construction materials and third-party and internal support services on a per well basis. The revision in the asset retirement obligation did not have an immediate effect in the 2011 statement of operations as the increase in the revised obligation will be accreted and the offsetting capitalized amount will be depreciated over the useful lives of respective wells.

NOTE 10 - EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS

We sponsor a qualified retirement plan covering substantially all of our employees. The plan consists of both a traditional and a Roth 401(k) component, as well as a profit sharing component. The 401(k) components enable eligible employees to contribute a portion of their compensation through payroll deductions in accordance with specific guidelines. We provide a discretionary matching contribution based on a percentage of the employees' contributions up to certain limits. Our contribution to the profit sharing component is discretionary. Our total combined expense for the plan was \$3.4 million for 2012 and \$2.6 million for 2011 and 2010, respectively.

We provide a supplemental retirement benefit of deferred compensation under terms of the various employment agreements with certain former executive officers. Expenses related to this plan are charged to general and administrative expenses and the related costs were immaterial in 2012, 2011 and 2010. As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, the liability related to this benefit was \$2 million and \$2.2 million, respectively, which was included in other liabilities on the balance sheets, with the exception of \$0.3 million included in other accrued expenses as of December 31, 2012 and 2011.

We provide a supplemental health care benefit covering certain former executive officers and their spouses in accordance with each officer's employment agreement. Expenses incurred during 2012, 2011 and 2010 related to this plan were immaterial. As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, the related liability of \$0.7 million is included in other liabilities on the balance sheets.

We maintain a non-qualified deferred compensation plan for our non-employee directors. The amount of compensation deferred by each participant is based on participant elections. The amounts deferred pursuant to the plan are invested in our common stock, maintained in a rabbi trust and are classified in the balance sheets as treasury shares as a component of shareholders' equity. The plan may be settled in either cash or shares as requested by the participant. The liability related to this plan, which was included in other liabilities on the balance sheets, was immaterial as of December 31, 2012 and 2011.

NOTE 11 - COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Firm Transportation Agreements. We enter into contracts that provide firm transportation, sales and processing charges on pipeline systems through which we transport or sell natural gas. Satisfaction of the volume requirements includes volumes produced by us, volumes purchased from third parties and volumes produced by PDCM, our affiliated partnerships and other third-party working interest owners. We record in our financial statements only our share of costs based upon our working interest in the wells. These contracts require us to pay these transportation and processing charges whether the required volumes are delivered or not. With the exception of contracts entered into by PDCM, the costs of any volume shortfalls are borne by PDC.

PDC ENERGY, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - Continued

The following table presents gross volume information, including our proportionate share of PDCM, related to our long-term firm transportation, sales and processing agreements for pipeline capacity:

Area	Year Ending December 31,					2017 Through Expiration	Total	Expiration Date
	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017			
Volume (MMcf)								
Piceance Basin	30,710	36,168	30,603	26,053	85,895	209,429	May 31, 2021	
Appalachian Basin	20,316	24,353	23,361	24,862	168,296	261,188	September 20, 2025	
NECO	2,190	1,825	1,825	1,825	—	7,665	December 31, 2016	
Total	53,216	62,346	55,789	52,740	254,191	478,282		
Dollar commitment (in thousands)	\$25,756	\$29,283	\$26,163	\$24,075	\$94,033	\$199,310		

Effective January 1, 2013, we entered into a new gas gathering and processing agreement with Williams Field Services Company related to our Piceance Basin production. The new agreement included additional terms and conditions which, among other things, reduced our Piceance Basin total committed volumes for gathering to 116,260 MMcf over the term of the agreement. In February 2013, we entered into a purchase and sale agreement pursuant to which our Piceance Basin and NECO firm gathering commitments will be assumed by the buyer of certain of our oil and natural gas properties upon the closing of the transaction. There can be no assurance that we will be successful in closing such divestiture. See Note 19, Subsequent Events, for additional information regarding the planned sale.

Litigation. The Company is involved in various legal proceedings that it considers normal to its business. The Company reviews the status of these proceedings on an ongoing basis and, from time to time, may settle or otherwise resolve these matters on terms and conditions that management believes are in the best interests of the Company. There is no assurance that settlements can be reached on acceptable terms or that adverse judgments, if any, in the remaining litigation will not exceed the amounts reserved. Although the results cannot be known with certainty, we currently believe that the ultimate results of such proceedings will not have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or liquidity.

Alleged Class Action Filed Regarding 2010 and 2011 Partnership Purchases

On December 21, 2011 the Company and its wholly-owned merger subsidiary were served with an alleged class action on behalf of certain former partnership unit holders, related to its partnership repurchases completed by mergers in 2010 and 2011. The action was filed in U.S. District Court for the Central District of California, and is titled *Schulein v. Petroleum Development Corp.* The complaint primarily alleges a claim that the proxy statements issued in connection with the mergers were inadequate, and a state law breach of fiduciary duty. On February 10, 2012, the Company filed a motion to dismiss or in the alternative to stay. On June 15, 2012, the Court denied the motion. The Court has approved a litigation schedule including a jury trial in May 2014. We have not recorded a liability for claims pending because we believe we have good legal defenses to the asserted claims and because the plaintiffs have not specified damages and it is not possible for management to estimate what, if any, monetary damages could result from this claim.

Environmental. Due to the nature of the natural gas and oil industry, we are exposed to environmental risks. We have various policies and procedures to avoid environmental contamination and mitigate the risks from environmental contamination. We conduct periodic reviews to identify changes in our environmental risk profile. Liabilities are recorded when environmental damages resulting from past events are probable and the costs can be reasonably estimated. As of December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, we had accrued environmental liabilities in the amount of \$8.4 million and \$2.5 million, respectively, included in other accrued expenses on the balance sheet. The increase in accrued liabilities at December 31, 2012 primarily relates to the assumption of environmental liabilities related to the Merit Acquisition. We are not aware of any environmental claims existing as of December 31, 2012 which have not been provided for or would otherwise have a material impact on our financial statements. However, there can be no assurance that current regulatory requirements will not change or unknown past non-compliance with environmental laws will not be discovered on our properties.

Partnership Repurchase Provision. Substantially all of our drilling programs contain a repurchase provision where investing partners may request that we purchase their partnership units at any time beginning with the third anniversary of the first cash distribution. The provision provides that we are obligated to purchase an aggregate of 10% of the initial subscriptions per calendar year (at a minimum price of four times the most recent 12 months' cash distributions from production), if repurchase is requested by investors, subject to our financial ability to do so. As of December 31, 2012, the maximum annual repurchase obligation for 2013, based upon the minimum price described above, was approximately \$2.9 million. We believe we have adequate liquidity to meet this potential obligation. During 2012, 2011 and 2010, we paid \$0.5 million, \$0.2 million and \$0.6 million, respectively, under this provision for the repurchase of partnership units.

Lease Agreements. We entered into operating leases, principally for the leasing of natural gas compressors, office space and general office equipment.

PDC ENERGY, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - Continued

The following table presents the minimum future lease payments under the non-cancelable operating leases as of December 31, 2012:

	Year Ending December 31,						Total
	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Thereafter	
	(in thousands)						
Minimum Lease Payments	\$2,607	\$2,386	\$1,929	\$461	\$255	\$887	\$8,525

Operating lease expense for the years ended 2012, 2011 and 2010 was \$6.1 million, \$5.9 million and \$4.9 million, respectively.

Employment Agreements with Executive Officers. Each of our senior executive officers may be entitled to a severance payment and certain other benefits upon the termination of the officer's employment pursuant to the officer's employment agreement and/or the Company's executive severance compensation plan. The nature and amount of such benefits would vary based upon, among other things, whether the termination followed a change of control of the Company.

See Note 17, Transactions With Affiliates, for a discussion related to the separation agreement entered into with our former chief executive officer in 2011.

NOTE 12 - COMMON STOCK

Sale of Equity Securities

In May 2012, we completed a public offering of 6,500,000 shares of our common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, at an offering price of \$26.50 per share. Net proceeds of the offering were approximately \$164.5 million, after deducting underwriting discounts and commissions and offering expenses, of which \$65,000 is included in common shares-par value and \$164.4 million is included in APIC on the balance sheet as of December 31, 2012. We used the net proceeds from the offering to finance a portion of the Merit Acquisition and for general corporate purposes. The offering was made pursuant to an effective shelf registration statement on Form S-3 filed with the SEC on January 23, 2012.

In November 2010, we sold 4,140,000 shares of our common stock in an underwritten public offering at a price of \$32.00 per share. The net proceeds of \$125.5 million were used, together with other proceeds, to fund an acquisition of additional assets in the Wolfberry Trend in the Permian Basin of West Texas, which closed in November 2010, our acquisition of the 2004 and 2005 partnerships and other acquisitions and for general corporate purposes. Pending such uses, we applied the net proceeds from this offering and other proceeds to temporarily repay the entire outstanding amount under our revolving credit facility, with the remaining balance being deposited in an interest bearing account and held as cash and cash equivalents until utilized as contemplated above. The offering was made pursuant to an effective shelf registration statement on Form S-3 filed with the SEC on November 26, 2008.

Stock-Based Compensation Plans

2010 Long-Term Equity Compensation Plan. In June 2010, our shareholders approved a long-term equity compensation plan for our employees and non-employee directors (the "2010 Plan"). In accordance with the 2010 Plan, up to 1,400,000 new shares of our common stock are authorized for issuance. Shares issued may be either

authorized but unissued shares, treasury shares or any combination of these shares. Additionally, the 2010 Plan permits the reuse or reissuance of shares of common stock which were canceled, expired, forfeited or, in the case of stock appreciation rights ("SARs"), paid out in the form of cash. Awards may be issued to our employees in the form of incentive or non-qualified stock options, SARs, restricted stock, restricted stock units ("RSUs"), performance shares and performance units, and to our non-employee directors in the form of non-qualified stock options, SARs, restricted stock and RSUs. Awards may vest over periods set at the discretion of the Compensation Committee of our Board of Directors (the "Compensation Committee") with certain minimum vesting periods. With regard to incentive or non-qualified stock options and SARs, awards have a maximum exercisable period of ten years. In no event, may an award be granted under the 2010 Plan on or after April 1, 2020. As of December 31, 2012, 490,412 shares remain available for issuance pursuant to the 2010 Plan.

2004 Long-Term Equity Compensation Plan. As approved by the shareholders in June 2004, we maintain a long-term equity compensation plan for our officers and certain key employees (the "2004 Plan"). Awards pursuant to the plan vest over periods set at the discretion of the Compensation Committee and, with regard to options, have a maximum exercisable period of ten years. We no longer issue awards pursuant to the 2004 Plan. All outstanding and non-vested awards pursuant to the 2004 Plan will continue to be outstanding and vest pursuant to their original terms on or before April 19, 2020.

PDC ENERGY, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - Continued

The following table provides a summary of the impact of our outstanding stock-based compensation plans on the results of operations for the periods presented:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011 (1)	2010
	(in thousands)		
Stock-based compensation expense	\$8,495	\$8,781	\$5,314
Income tax benefit	(3,245)	(3,344)	(2,019)
Net expense	\$5,250	\$5,437	\$3,295

(1) Includes a \$2.5 million pre-tax charge related to a separation agreement with our former chief executive officer. See Note 17, Transactions with Affiliates, for additional information regarding the related separation agreement.

Stock Option Awards

We have granted stock options pursuant to various stock compensation plans. Outstanding options expire ten years from the date of grant and become exercisable ratably over a four year period. We have not issued any new stock options awards since 2006. As of December 31, 2012, all compensation cost related to stock options has been fully recognized in our statements of operations.

The following table presents the changes in our stock option awards. The aggregate intrinsic value of options outstanding for each period presented was immaterial:

	Year Ended December 31,		2011		2010		
	2012						
	Number of Shares Underlying Options	Weighted-Average Exercise Price Per Share	Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Term (in years)	Number of Shares Underlying Options	Weighted-Average Exercise Price Per Share	Number of Shares Underlying Options	Weighted-Average Exercise Price Per Share
Outstanding beginning of year, January 1,	6,973	\$ 41.09	—	10,306	\$ 41.90	10,306	\$ 41.90
Forfeited	—	—	—	(3,333)	43.60	—	—
Outstanding end of year, December 31,	6,973	41.09	2.6	6,973	41.09	10,306	41.90
Exercisable at December 31,	6,973	41.09	2.6	6,973	41.09	10,306	41.60

SARs

The SARs vest ratably over a three-year period and may be exercised at any point after vesting through 10 years from the date of issuance. Pursuant to the terms of the awards, upon exercise, the executive officers will receive, in shares of common stock, the excess of the market price of the award on the date of exercise over the market price of the award on the date of issuance.

Edgar Filing: PDC ENERGY, INC. - Form 10-K

In January 2012, the Compensation Committee awarded 68,361 SARs to our executive officers. The fair value of each SAR award was estimated on the date of grant using a Black-Scholes pricing model using the following assumptions:

	Year Ended December 31,			
	2012	2011	2010	
Expected term of award	6 years	6 years	5 years	
Risk-free interest rate	1.1	% 2.5	% 2.5	%
Expected volatility	64.3	% 60.2	% 62.0	%
Weighted-average grant date fair value per share	\$17.61	\$25.22	\$13.26	

The expected life of the award was estimated using historical stock option exercise behavior data. The risk-free interest rate was based on the U.S. Treasury yields approximating the expected life of the award in effect at the time of grant. Expected volatilities were based on our historical volatility. We do not expect to pay or declare dividends in the foreseeable future.

PDC ENERGY, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - Continued

The following table presents the changes in our SARs:

	Year Ended December 31, 2012				2011			2010		
	Number of SARs	Weighted-Average Exercise Price	Average Remaining Contractual Term (in years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (in thousands)	Number of SARs	Weighted-Average Exercise Price	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (in thousands)	Number of SARs	Weighted-Average Exercise Price	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (in thousands)
Outstanding beginning of year, January 1,	50,471	\$ 31.61	8.6	\$ 341	57,282	\$ 24.44	\$ 1,020	—	\$ —	\$ —
Awarded	68,361	30.19	—	—	31,552	43.95	—	57,282	24.44	—
Exercised	—	—	—	—	(25,371)	24.44	77	—	—	—
Forfeited	—	—	—	—	(12,992)	43.95	—	—	—	—
Outstanding end of year, December 31,	118,832	30.80	8.4	486	50,471	31.61	341	57,282	24.44	1,020
Exercisable at December 31,	27,458	28.84	7.5	187	10,636	24.44	114	—	—	—

Total compensation cost related to SARs granted and not yet recognized in our statement of operations as of December 31, 2012, was \$1.0 million. The cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.8 years.

Restricted Stock Awards

Time-Based Awards. The fair value of the time-based restricted shares is amortized ratably over the requisite service period, primarily three or four years. The time-based shares vest ratably on each annual anniversary following the grant date that a participant is continuously employed.

In January 2012, the Compensation Committee awarded a total of 79,889 time-based restricted shares to our executive officers that vest ratably over three year period ending on January 16, 2015.

The following table presents the changes in non-vested time-based awards during 2012:

	Shares	Weighted-Average Grant-Date Fair Value
Non-vested at December 31, 2011	527,801	\$29.29
Granted	341,338	26.59
Vested	(186,205)) 29.42
Forfeited	(36,444)) 28.20
Non-vested at December 31, 2012	646,490	27.93

As of/Year Ended December 31,

Edgar Filing: PDC ENERGY, INC. - Form 10-K

	2012	2011	2010
	(in thousands, except per share data)		
Total intrinsic value of time-based awards vested	\$5,950	\$9,030	\$3,219
Total intrinsic value of time-based awards non-vested	21,470	18,531	22,211
Market price per common share as of December 31,	33.21	35.11	42.25
Weighted-average grant date fair value per share	26.59	33.71	25.04

Total compensation cost related to non-vested time-based awards expected to vest and not yet recognized in our statements of operations as of December 31, 2012 was \$11.5 million. This cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.2 years.

Market-Based Awards. The fair value of the market-based restricted shares is amortized ratably over the requisite service period, primarily three years. Generally, the market-based shares vest if the participant is continuously employed throughout the performance period and the market-based performance measure is achieved, with a maximum vesting period of five years. All compensation cost related to the market-based awards will be recognized if the requisite service period is fulfilled, even if the market condition is not achieved.

F- 29

PDC ENERGY, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - Continued

In January 2012, the Compensation Committee awarded a total of 30,541 market-based restricted shares to our executive officers. In addition to continuous employment, the vesting of these shares is contingent on the Company's total shareholder return ("TSR"), which is essentially the Company's stock price change including any dividends, as compared to the TSR of a set group of 14 peer companies. The shares are measured over a three-year period ending on December 31, 2014 and can result in a payout between 0% and 200% of the total shares awarded. The weighted-average grant date fair value per market-based share for these awards granted was computed using the Monte Carlo pricing model using the following assumptions:

	Year Ended December 31,			
	2012	2011		
Expected term of award	3 years	3 years		
Risk-free interest rate	0.3	% 1.1	%	
Expected volatility	65.3	% 74.2	%	
Weighted-average grant date fair value per share	\$36.54	\$58.53		

The expected term of the awards was based on the requisite service period. The risk-free interest rate was based on the U.S. Treasury yields in effect at the time of grant and extrapolated to approximate the life of the award. The expected volatility was based on our historical volatility. We do not expect to pay or declare dividends in the foreseeable future.

The following table presents the change in non-vested market-based awards during 2012:

	Shares	Weighted-Average Grant-Date Fair Value per Share
Non-vested at December 31, 2011	43,081	\$42.05
Granted	30,541	36.54
Forfeited	(32,926)) 45.15
Non-vested at December 31, 2012	40,696	39.22

	As of/Year Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
	(in thousands, except per share data)		
Total intrinsic value of market-based awards vested	\$—	\$366	\$—
Total intrinsic value of market-based awards non-vested	1,352	1,513	3,361
Market price per common share as of December 31,	33.21	35.11	42.25
Weighted-average grant date fair value per share	36.54	58.53	—

Total compensation cost related to non-vested market-based awards expected to vest and not yet recognized in our statement of operations as of December 31, 2012 was \$0.9 million. This cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.8 years.

Treasury Share Purchases

In accordance with our stock-based compensation plans, employees and directors may surrender shares of the Company's common stock to pay tax withholding obligations upon the vesting and exercise of share-based awards. Shares acquired that had been issued pursuant to the 2004 Plan are retired, while those issued pursuant to the 2010 Plan are reissued to service awards. For shares that are retired, we first charge any excess of cost over the par value to additional paid-in-capital ("APIC") to the extent we have amounts in APIC, with any remaining excess cost charged to retained earnings. For shares reissued to service awards under the 2010 Plan, shares are recorded at cost and upon reissuance we reduce the carrying value of shares acquired and held pursuant to the 2010 Plan by the weighted-average cost per share with an offsetting charge to APIC. During the year ended December 31, 2012, we acquired 44,576 shares pursuant to our stock-based compensation plans for payment of tax liabilities, of which 14,471 shares were retired, 28,587 and 1,518 shares were reissued and are available for reissuance, respectively, pursuant to our 2010 Plan.

PDC ENERGY, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - Continued

Shareholders' Rights Agreement

In 2007, we entered into a rights agreement. The rights agreement is designed to improve the ability of our Board to protect the interest of our shareholders in the event of an unsolicited takeover attempt. Our Board declared a dividend of one right for each outstanding share of our common stock. The right dividend was paid to shareholders of record on September 14, 2007. A "distribution date," as defined in the rights agreement, can occur after any individual shareholder exceeds 15% ownership of our outstanding common stock. In certain circumstances, the right entitles each holder, other than an "acquiring person" (as defined in the agreement), to purchase shares of our common stock (or, in certain circumstances, cash, property or other securities) having a then-current value equal to two times the exercise price of the right (i.e., for the \$240 exercise price, the rights holder receives \$480 worth of common stock). The exercise price is subject to adjustment for various corporate actions which affect all shareholders, such as a stock split. The rights agreement and all rights will expire on September 11, 2017.

Preferred stock

We are authorized, pursuant to shareholder approval in 2008, to issue 50,000,000 shares of Company preferred stock, par value \$0.01, which may be issued in one or more series, with such rights, preferences, privileges and restrictions as shall be fixed by our Board from time to time. As of December 31, 2012, no preferred shares had been issued.

NOTE 13 - EARNINGS PER SHARE

Basic earnings per common share ("EPS") is computed by dividing net income (loss) by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Diluted EPS is similarly computed except that the denominator includes the effect, using the treasury stock method, of our unamortized portion of restricted stock, outstanding SARs, stock options, convertible notes and shares held pursuant to our non-employee director deferred compensation plan, if including such potential shares of common stock is dilutive.

The following table presents a reconciliation of the weighted-average diluted shares outstanding:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
	(in thousands)		
Weighted-average common shares outstanding - basic	27,677	23,521	19,674
Dilutive effect of share-based compensation:			
Restricted stock	—	307	119
SARs	—	40	21
Non-employee director deferred compensation	—	3	7
Weighted-average common and common share equivalents outstanding - diluted	27,677	23,871	19,821

The following table presents the weighted-average common share equivalents excluded from the calculation of diluted earnings per share due to their anti-dilutive effect:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
	(in thousands)		

Weighted-average common share equivalents excluded from diluted earnings per share due to their anti-dilutive effect:

Restricted stock	694	220	204
SARs	116	22	—
Stock options	7	9	10
Non-employee director deferred compensation	3	—	—
Total anti-dilutive common share equivalents	820	251	214

For 2012, we reported a net loss. As a result, our basic and diluted weighted-average common shares outstanding were the same as the effect of the common share equivalents was anti-dilutive.

In November 2010, we issued 115,000 convertible senior notes, \$1,000 principal amount per note, that give the holders the right to convert the aggregate principal amount into 2.7 million common shares at a conversion price of \$42.40 per share. These convertible notes could have a dilutive impact on our earnings per share if the average market share price exceeds the \$42.40 conversion price. The average market share price did not exceed the conversion price during 2012, 2011 or 2010.

F- 31

PDC ENERGY, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - Continued

NOTE 14 - ASSETS HELD FOR SALE, DIVESTITURES AND DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

Selected financial information. The tables below set forth selected financial information related to net assets divested, net assets related to discontinued operations and operating results related to discontinued operations. Net assets held for sale represents the assets that were or are expected to be sold, net of liabilities, that were or are expected to be assumed by the purchaser. Net assets related to discontinued operations presents those assets that were or are expected to be sold, less liabilities that were or are expected to be assumed, by the purchaser, as well as all other related assets and liabilities, consisting of accounts receivable and production tax liability, which were not sold. While the reclassification of revenues and expenses related to discontinued operations for prior periods had no impact upon previously reported net earnings, the statement of operations table presents the revenues and expenses that were reclassified from the specified statement of operations line items to discontinued operations.

The following table presents balance sheet data related to assets held for sale:

	As of December 31, 2011	
Balance Sheet	Net Assets Held for Sale	Net Assets Related to Discontinued Operations
	(in thousands)	
Assets		
Current assets		
Accounts receivable, net	\$—	\$3,198
Oil inventory	—	89
Total current assets	—	3,287
Properties and equipment	168,218	168,218
Accumulated Depreciation, Depletion and Amortization	(19,969) (19,969)
Total assets	\$148,249	\$151,536
Liabilities		
Current liabilities		
Accounts payable	—	1,907
Production tax liability	—	262
Total current liabilities	—	2,169
Asset retirement obligation	2,022	2,022
Total liabilities	\$2,022	\$4,191
Net assets	\$146,227	\$147,345

PDC ENERGY, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - Continued

The following table presents statement of operations data related to our discontinued operations:

Statements of Operations - Discontinued Operations	Year Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
	(in thousands)		
Revenues			
Natural gas, NGLs and crude oil sales	\$4,456	\$27,552	\$11,130
Sales from natural gas marketing	—	—	3,328
Well operations, pipeline income and other	34	128	560
Total revenues	4,490	27,680	15,018
Costs, expenses and other			
Production costs	1,668	8,365	4,215
Cost of natural gas marketing	—	—	3,265
Impairment of natural gas and crude oil properties	—	—	4,666
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	—	6,247	2,967
Accretion of asset retirement obligations and other	—	200	223
Gain on sale of properties and equipment	(19,920) (3,854) —
Total costs, expenses and other	(18,252) 10,958	15,336
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	22,742	16,722	(318
Provision for income taxes	(8,668) (6,363) 214
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax	\$ 14,074	\$ 10,359	\$(104

Permian Basin. During the fourth quarter of 2011, we completed the sale of our non-core Permian assets to unrelated third parties for a total of \$13.2 million. Additionally, on December 20, 2011, we executed a purchase and sale agreement with COG Operating LLC (“COG”), a wholly owned subsidiary of Concho Resources Inc., an unrelated third-party, for the sale of our core Permian Basin assets for a sale price of \$173.9 million, subject to customary terms and adjustments, including adjustments based on title and environmental due diligence to be conducted by COG. The effective date of the transaction was November 1, 2011. Following the sale to COG, we do not have significant continuing involvement in the operations of, or cash flows from, these assets; accordingly, the Permian assets were reclassified as held for sale as of December 31, 2011, and the results of operations related to those assets have been separately reported as discontinued operations in the consolidated statement of operations for all periods presented. On February 28, 2012, the divestiture closed. Upon final settlement, total proceeds received were \$189.2 million after closing adjustments, resulting in a pre-tax gain on sale of \$19.9 million.

North Dakota. In December 2010, we executed a letter of intent with an unrelated third-party for the sale of our North Dakota assets. In February 2011, we executed a purchase and sale agreement and subsequently closed with the same unrelated party. Proceeds from the sale were \$9.5 million, net of our non-affiliated investor partners' share of \$3.8 million, resulting in a pre-tax gain on sale of \$3.9 million. Following the sale to the unrelated party, we do not have significant continuing involvement in the operations of, or cash flows from, these assets. Accordingly, the results of operations related to the North Dakota assets have been reported as discontinued operations in the consolidated statements of operations for 2011 and 2010.

Michigan. During the third quarter of 2010, we divested our Michigan asset group and related liabilities for net cash proceeds of \$22 million and realized a loss on sale of \$4.7 million in the form of an impairment charge recorded during 2010 (see Note 6, Properties and Equipment, regarding the impairment charge). We do not have significant continuing involvement in the operations of or cash flows from this asset group. Accordingly, the results of operations related to the Michigan assets have been reported as discontinued operations in the consolidated statement of operations for 2010.

See Note 19, Subsequent Events, for information regarding the planned sale of certain of our oil and natural gas properties.

F- 33

PDC ENERGY, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - Continued

NOTE 15 - ACQUISITIONS

The following table presents the adjusted purchase price and the allocations thereof, based on our estimates of fair value, for the acquisition of natural gas and crude oil properties during 2012, 2011 and 2010:

	Year Ended December 31,					
	2012	2011	2003/2002-D	2005	2010	2004
	Merit (1)	Seneca-Upshur	Partnerships	Partnerships	Permian	Partnerships
	(in thousands)					
Total acquisition cost	\$ 304,643	\$ 69,618	\$ 29,960	\$ 43,015	\$ 114,273	\$ 34,768
Recognized amounts of identifiable assets acquired and liabilities assumed:						
Assets acquired:						
Natural gas and crude oil properties - proved	\$ 180,259	\$ 20,175	\$ 27,940	\$ 39,825	\$ 45,592	\$ 32,730
Natural gas and crude oil properties - unproved	151,428	49,100	—	—	71,647	—
Other assets	3,631	10,196	3,455	3,848	—	3,396
Total assets acquired	335,318	79,471	31,395	43,673	117,239	36,126
Liabilities assumed:						
Asset retirement obligation	13,870	8,157	497	300	2,351	912
Other accrued expenses	10,100	—	—	—	615	126
Other liabilities	6,705	1,696	938	358	—	320
Total liabilities assumed	30,675	9,853	1,435	658	2,966	1,358
Total identifiable net assets acquired	\$ 304,643	\$ 69,618	\$ 29,960	\$ 43,015	\$ 114,273	\$ 34,768

(1) Assets acquired and liabilities assumed in the Merit Acquisition are subject to post-closing adjustments as more detailed analyses are completed and additional information is obtained about the acquired natural gas and crude oil properties.

The fair value measurements of assets acquired and liabilities assumed are based on inputs that are not observable in the market and therefore represent Level 3 inputs. The fair values of oil and natural gas properties and asset retirement obligations were measured using valuation techniques that convert future cash flows to a single discounted amount. Significant inputs to the valuation of oil and natural gas properties include estimates of reserves, future operating and development costs, future commodity prices, estimated future cash flows and a market-based weighted-average cost of capital rate. These inputs require significant judgments and estimates by management at the time of the valuation and are the most sensitive and subject to change.

2012 Acquisitions

Merit Acquisition. On June 29, 2012, we completed the acquisition of certain Wattenberg Field oil and natural gas properties, leasehold mineral interests and related assets located in Weld, Adams and Boulder Counties, Colorado from affiliates of Merit Energy, an unrelated third-party. The aggregate purchase price of these properties was

approximately \$304.6 million, after post-closing adjustments. We financed the purchase with cash from the May 2012 offering of our common stock and a draw on our revolving credit facility.

This acquisition was accounted for under the acquisition method of accounting. Accordingly, we conducted assessments of net assets acquired and recognized amounts for identifiable assets acquired and liabilities assumed at their estimated acquisition date fair values, while transaction and integration costs associated with the acquisition were expensed as incurred.

Pro Forma Information. The results of operations for the Merit Acquisition have been included in our consolidated financial statements since the June 29, 2012 closing date, including approximately \$11.4 million of total revenue and \$9.5 million of income from operations. The following unaudited pro forma financial information presents a summary of the consolidated results of operations for the years ended December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, assuming the Merit Acquisition had been completed as of January 1, 2011, including adjustments to reflect the values assigned to the net assets acquired. The pro forma financial information is not necessarily indicative of the results of operations that would have been achieved if the Merit Acquisition had been effective as of these dates, or of future results.

PDC ENERGY, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - Continued

	Year Ended December 31,	
	2012	2011
	(in thousands, except per share amounts)	
Total revenues	\$370,488	\$438,204
Total costs, expenses and other	521,178	366,120
Net income (loss)	\$ (119,343) \$45,688
Earnings per share:		
Basic	\$(4.31) \$1.94
Diluted	\$(4.31) \$1.91

2011 Acquisitions

Seneca-Upshur. In October 2011, PDCM acquired from an unrelated third-party 100% of the membership interests of Seneca-Upshur Petroleum, LLC ("Seneca-Upshur") for a purchase price of \$139.2 million (\$69.6 million net to PDC), after post-closing adjustments, which was funded by capital contributions by PDCM's investing partners and a draw on PDCM's revolving credit facility. Substantially all of the acreage acquired is held by production, prospective for the Marcellus Shale and is in close proximity to PDCM's existing properties. Following the closing, several title defects were discovered that were not cured by the seller within the time specified by the purchase and sale agreement. Accordingly, PDCM received title defect payments during 2012 totaling \$28.9 million, of which \$14.5 million represents our share, the effect of which is reflected in the purchase price noted above.

2003/2002-D Partnerships. In October 2011, we acquired from non-affiliated investor partners the remaining working interest in five of our affiliated partnerships: PDC 2002-D Limited Partnership, PDC 2003-A Limited Partnership, PDC 2003-B Limited Partnership and PDC 2003-C Limited Partnership and (the "2002/2003 Partnerships"). We purchased the 2002/2003 Partnerships for an aggregate amount of \$30 million, which was funded from our revolving credit facility. These purchases included the non-affiliated investor partners' remaining working interests in wells located in the Wattenberg Field and Piceance Basin.

2005 Partnerships. In June 2011, we acquired from non-affiliated investor partners the remaining working interest in three of our affiliated partnerships: PDC 2005-A Limited Partnership, PDC 2005-B Limited Partnership and Rockies Region Private Limited Partnership (the "2005 Partnerships"). We purchased the 2005 Partnerships for an aggregate amount of \$43 million, which was funded from our revolving credit facility. These purchases included the non-affiliated investor partners' remaining working interests wells located in the Wattenberg Field and Piceance Basin.

Pro Forma Information. The results of operations for the Seneca-Upshur, 2002/2003 Partnerships and 2005 Partnerships acquisitions have been included in our consolidated financial statements from the respective dates of acquisition. Pro forma information is not presented as the pro forma results would not be materially different from the information presented in the accompanying statements of operations.

2010 Acquisitions

2004 Partnerships. In December 2010, we acquired the remaining working interest in four of our affiliated partnerships: PDC 2004-A Limited Partnership, PDC 2004-B Limited Partnership, PDC 2004-C Limited Partnership and PDC 2004-D Limited Partnership (the "2004 Partnerships"). We purchased these partnerships for an aggregate amount of \$36.5 million, which was funded from our revolving credit facility. These purchases included the remaining working interests in wells located in the Wattenberg Field and Piceance Basin.

Permian Basin. In July 2010, we acquired various producing assets located in the Wolfberry Trend in the Permian Basin in West Texas (the “Wolfberry Assets”). In conjunction with the divestiture of our Michigan asset group, we entered into a like-kind exchange agreement with a qualified intermediary. The Wolfberry Assets were identified as our replacement property in accordance with IRC Section 1031. Sales proceeds of \$19.3 million from the Michigan divestiture were transferred directly to the qualified intermediary and, along with \$55.7 million from our revolving credit facility, funded the purchase of the Wolfberry Assets. The sale of our Michigan assets resulted in a gain for income tax purposes of \$19.2 million, which then resulted in a tax liability of \$7.3 million. With the favorable deferral aspects of IRC Section 1031, we were able to defer \$6.5 million of this tax liability. In November 2010, we acquired for \$39.4 million in cash a second position in the Wolfberry oil trend, including 100% of the interest in producing assets and undeveloped acreage.

Pro Forma Information. The results of operations for the 2004 Partnerships and Wolfberry Assets acquisition have been included in our consolidated financial statements from the respective dates of acquisition. Pro forma information is not presented as the pro forma results would not be materially different from the information presented in the accompanying statements of operations.

PDC ENERGY, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - Continued

NOTE 16 - NONCONTROLLING INTEREST IN SUBSIDIARY

In 2007, we contributed \$0.8 million for a 50% interest in WWWV, LLC (the "LLC"), a limited liability company for which we served as the managing member. The LLC's only asset was an aircraft and was formed for the purpose of owning and operating the aircraft. We consolidated the entity based on a controlling financial interest. In 2011, we divested the asset and dissolved the entity with no material impact on our financial statements.

NOTE 17 - TRANSACTIONS WITH AFFILIATES

Former Executive Officer. In June 2011, Richard W. McCullough resigned from his positions as our Chief Executive Officer and the Chairman of the Board, effective immediately. In connection with his resignation, in July 2011, Mr. McCullough and the Company executed a separation agreement, whereby Mr. McCullough will receive those benefits to which he was entitled under Section 7(d) of his employment agreement, dated as of April 19, 2010, including without limitation, separation compensation in the amount of \$4.1 million, less required withholdings, his annual non-qualified deferred supplemental retirement benefit equal to \$30,000 for each of the years 2012 through 2021 (not accelerated), less required withholdings, continued coverage under the Company's group health plans at the Company's cost for a period equal to the lesser of 18 months or such period ending as of the date Mr. McCullough is eligible to participate in another employer's group health plan, immediate vesting of any unvested Company stock options, SARs and restricted stock and issuance of shares representing the vested portion of his 2009 performance share awards. Related to this separation agreement, the statement of operations for 2011 reflects a charge to general and administrative expense of \$6.7 million.

PDCM and Affiliated Partnerships. Our Gas Marketing segment markets the natural gas produced by PDCM and our affiliated partnerships in the Eastern Operating Region.

Amounts due from/to the affiliated partnerships are primarily related to derivative positions and, to a lesser extent, unbilled well lease operating expenses, and costs resulting from audit and tax preparation services. We have entered into derivative instruments on behalf of our affiliated partnerships for their estimated production.

The following table presents amounts included in our consolidated statement of operations related to the marketing of natural gas on behalf of PDCM and our affiliated partnerships and amounts included in our consolidated balance sheet related to the derivative instruments we entered into on behalf of our affiliated partnerships:

	As of/Year Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
	(in thousands)		
PDCM:			
Sales from natural gas marketing	\$11,105	\$9,735	\$4,298
Cost of natural gas marketing	10,888	9,544	4,214
Affiliated Partnerships:			
Sales from natural gas marketing	535	1,276	651
Cost of natural gas marketing	524	1,251	638
Receivable from affiliates	2,140	6,163	14,616
Payable to affiliates	4,707	14,152	20,342

Edgar Filing: PDC ENERGY, INC. - Form 10-K

We provide certain well operating and administrative services for PDCM. Amounts billed to PDCM for these services were \$12.1 million, \$10.4 million and \$11.1 million in 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Our statements of operations include only our proportionate share of these billings. The following table presents the statement of operations line item in which our proportionate share is recorded and the amount for each of the periods presented.

Statement of Operations Line Item	Year Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
	(in thousands)		
Production Costs	\$3,945	\$3,441	\$3,862
Exploration Expense	492	430	883
General and Administrative Expense	1,630	1,543	1,899

F- 36

PDC ENERGY, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - Continued

NOTE 18 - BUSINESS SEGMENTS

We separate our operating activities into two segments: Oil and Gas Exploration and Production and Gas Marketing. All material inter-company accounts and transactions between segments have been eliminated.

Oil and Gas Exploration and Production. Our Oil and Gas Exploration and Production segment includes all of our natural gas and crude oil properties. The segment represents revenues and expenses from the production and sale of natural gas, NGLs and crude oil. Segment revenue includes natural gas, NGLs and crude oil sales, commodity price risk management, net and well operation and pipeline income. Segment income (loss) consists of segment revenue less production cost, exploration expense, impairment of natural gas and crude oil properties, direct general and administrative expense and DD&A expense. Segment DD&A expense was \$139.4 million, \$122.2 million and \$105.9 million in 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Gas Marketing. Our Gas Marketing segment purchases, aggregates and resells natural gas produced by us and others. Segment income (loss) primarily represents sales from natural gas marketing and direct interest income less costs of natural gas marketing and direct general and administrative expense.

Unallocated amounts. Unallocated income includes unallocated other revenue, less corporate general administrative expense, corporate DD&A expense, interest income and interest expense.

The following tables present our segment information:

	2012 (in thousands)	2011	2010
Year Ended December 31,			
Revenues:			
Oil and Gas Exploration and Production	\$309,054	\$329,541	\$273,950
Gas Marketing	47,079	66,419	69,071
Total Revenues	\$356,133	\$395,960	\$343,021
Segment income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes:			
Oil and Gas Exploration and Production	\$(96,293) \$106,832	\$84,387
Gas Marketing	528	954	1,063
Unallocated	(137,856) (104,891) (78,760
Total	\$(233,621) \$2,895	\$6,690
Expenditures for segment long-lived assets:			
Oil and Gas Exploration and Production	\$656,443	\$479,027	\$319,268
Unallocated	3,509	1,363	1,506
Total	\$659,952	\$480,390	\$320,774
As of December 31,			
Segment assets:			
Oil and Gas Exploration and Production	\$1,723,011	\$1,461,130	
Gas Marketing	11,090	14,713	
Unallocated	92,747	73,913	

Assets held for sale	—	148,249
Total Assets	\$1,826,848	\$1,698,005

NOTE 19 - SUBSEQUENT EVENT

On February 4, 2013, we entered into a purchase and sale agreement with certain affiliates of Caerus Oil and Gas LLC (“Caerus”), pursuant to which we have agreed to sell to Caerus our Piceance Basin, NECO and certain non-core Colorado oil and gas properties, leasehold mineral interests and related assets, including derivatives, for aggregate cash consideration of approximately \$200 million, subject to post-closing adjustments. The assets being sold do not include any of our core Wattenberg Field acreage. The cash consideration is subject to customary adjustments, including adjustments based upon title and environmental due diligence, and by certain firm transportation obligations and natural gas hedging positions that will be assumed by Caerus. There can be no assurance we will be successful in closing such divestiture.

PDC ENERGY, INC.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION - UNAUDITED

NATURAL GAS AND CRUDE OIL INFORMATION - UNAUDITED

Net Proved Reserves

All of our natural gas, NGLs and crude oil reserves are located in the U.S. We utilize the services of independent petroleum engineers to estimate our natural gas, crude oil, condensate and NGL reserves. As of December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, all of our reserve estimates were based on reserve reports prepared by Ryder Scott Company, L.P. ("Ryder Scott"). These reserve estimates have been prepared in compliance with professional standards and the reserves definitions prescribed by the SEC.

Proved reserves estimates may change, either positively or negatively, as additional information becomes available and as contractual, economic and political conditions change. Our net proved reserve estimates have been adjusted as necessary to reflect all contractual agreements, royalty obligations and interests owned by others at the time of the estimate. Proved developed reserves are the quantities of natural gas, NGLs and crude oil expected to be recovered through existing wells with existing equipment and operating methods. In some cases, proved undeveloped reserves may require substantial new investments in additional wells and related facilities.

The price used to estimate our reserves, by commodity, are presented below.

As of December 31,	Price Used to Estimate Reserves		
	Natural Gas (per Mcf)	NGLs (per Bbl)	Crude Oil (per Bbl)
2012	\$2.35	\$28.02	\$87.51
2011	3.41	39.59	88.94
2010	3.54	34.12	71.95

PDC ENERGY, INC.

The following tables present the changes in our estimated quantities of proved reserves:

	Natural Gas (MMcf)	NGLs (MBbls)	Crude Oil, Condensate (MBbls)	Total (MMcfe)
Proved Reserves:				
Proved reserves, January 1, 2010	608,925	—	18,070	717,345
Revisions of previous estimates	6,504	8,908	(85)	59,442
Extensions, discoveries and other additions				
Western Operating Region	56,524	811	2,247	74,872
Eastern Operating Region	35,092	—	—	35,092
Purchases of reserves				
Western Operating Region	20,920	1,531	4,367	56,308
Eastern Operating Region	220	—	—	220
Other	—	—	—	—
Dispositions	(43,690)) —	(55)) (44,020)
Production	(27,189)) (601)) (1,308)) (38,643)
Proved reserves, December 31, 2010	657,306	10,649	23,236	860,616
Revisions of previous estimates	(161,654)) 3,163	(1,904)) (154,100)
Extensions, discoveries and other additions				
Western Operating Region	125,374	5,633	17,092	261,724
Eastern Operating Region	51,315	—	—	51,315
Purchases of reserves				
Western Operating Region	24,776	1,052	1,581	40,574
Eastern Operating Region	7,985	—	24	8,129
Dispositions	(2,070)) (94)) (435)) (5,244)
Production	(30,887)) (815)) (1,958)) (47,525)
Proved reserves, December 31, 2011 (1)	672,145	19,588	37,636	1,015,489
Revisions of previous estimates	(289,436)) (3,671)) (6,729)) (351,836)
Extensions, discoveries and other additions				
Western Operating Region	116,205	11,637	27,482	350,919
Eastern Operating Region	56,728	—	—	56,728
Purchases of reserves				
Western Operating Region	87,189	8,084	10,801	200,499
Eastern Operating Region	23	—	—	23
Dispositions	(6,406)) (1,970)) (7,854)) (65,350)
Production	(32,410)) (841)) (2,026)) (49,612)
Proved reserves, December 31, 2012 (2)	604,038	32,827	59,310	1,156,860
Proved Developed Reserves, as of:				
January 1, 2010	258,375	—	6,244	295,839
December 31, 2010	227,341	4,013	8,287	301,141
December 31, 2011 (1)	299,369	11,753	16,910	471,347
December 31, 2012 (2)	281,925	14,353	20,412	490,515
Proved Undeveloped Reserves, as of:				
January 1, 2010	350,550	—	11,826	421,506

Edgar Filing: PDC ENERGY, INC. - Form 10-K

December 31, 2010	429,965	6,636	14,949	559,475
December 31, 2011 (1)	372,776	7,835	20,726	544,142
December 31, 2012 (2)	322,113	18,474	38,898	666,345

(1) Includes estimated reserve data related to our Permian asset group, which was held for sale and under a purchase and sale agreement. The divestiture of our Permian assets closed on February 28, 2012. See Note 14, Assets Held for Sale, Divestitures and Discontinued Operations, to our consolidated financial statements included in this report for additional details related to the divestiture of our Permian asset group. Total proved reserves included 6,242 MMcf of natural gas, 7,825 MBbls of crude oil and 1,970 MBbls of NGLs, for an aggregate of 65,018 MMcfe of natural gas equivalent, related to our Permian asset group. Total proved developed reserves related to those assets included 1,750 MMcf, 1,815 MBbls, 550 MBbls and 15,940 MMcfe, respectively, and proved undeveloped reserves included 4,492 MMcf, 6,010 MBbls, 1,420 MBbls and 49,078 MMcfe, respectively.

(2) Includes estimated reserve data related to our Piceance and NECO assets, which are expected to be divested pursuant to a purchase and sale agreement entered into on February 4, 2013. See Note 19, Subsequent Events, to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report for additional details related to the planned divestiture of our Piceance and NECO assets. Total proved reserves

PDC ENERGY, INC.

include 83,656 MMcf of natural gas and 148 MBbls of crude oil, for an aggregate of 84,544 MMcfe of natural gas equivalent related to our Piceance and NECO assets. There were no proved undeveloped reserves attributable to the Piceance and NECO assets as of December 31, 2012.

	Developed (MMcfe)	Undeveloped	Total
Beginning proved reserves, January 1, 2011	301,141	559,475	860,616
Undeveloped reserves converted to developed	43,597	(43,597)) —
Revisions of previous estimates	73,643	(227,743)) (154,100)
Extensions, discoveries and other additions	58,979	254,060	313,039
Purchases of reserves	46,756	1,947	48,703
Dispositions	(5,244)) —	(5,244)
Production	(47,525)) —	(47,525)
Ending proved reserves, December 31, 2011	471,347	544,142	1,015,489
Undeveloped reserves converted to developed	45,929	(45,929)) —
Revisions of previous estimates	(109,909)) (241,927)) (351,836)
Extensions, discoveries and other additions	67,787	339,860	407,647
Purchases of reserves	81,253	119,269	200,522
Dispositions	(16,280)) (49,070)) (65,350)
Production	(49,612)) —	(49,612)
Ending proved reserves, December 31, 2012	490,515	666,345	1,156,860

2012 Activity. In 2012, we recorded a downward revision of our previous estimate of proved reserves of approximately 352 Bcfe. The revision was primarily due to a decrease of approximately 240 Bcfe due to lower gas pricing, mostly related to the Piceance Basin, approximately 6 Bcfe due to increased operating costs, approximately 46 Bcfe due to adjustments for geological reasons and approximately 77 Bcfe due to the removal of certain proved undeveloped reserves to comply with the SEC's five-year rule. This was partially offset by an increase of approximately 3 Bcfe due to non-acquisition interest adjustments and approximately 14 Bcfe due to asset performance. Discoveries and extensions of approximately 408 Bcfe in 2012 are due to the drilling of 44 gross horizontal wells and the addition of new proved undeveloped reserves. Approximately 57 Bcfe were added in the Eastern Operating Region related to the Marcellus Shale and approximately 351 Bcfe were added in the Wattenberg Field, mostly related to the Niobrara formation. We acquired approximately 201 Bcfe of proved reserves, approximately 200 Bcfe due to an acquisition in the Wattenberg Field. We divested a total of 65 Bcfe in 2012, primarily our core Permian Basin assets. Based on the economic conditions on December 31, 2012, our approved development plan provided for the development of our remaining PUD reserves within five years of the date such reserves were initially recorded. Based on our decision to drill predominantly horizontal wells in 2012, our drilling program focused on locations that were not included in proved undeveloped reserves in the December 2011 reserve report. By focusing on non-PUD drilling locations in 2012, we were able to add considerable PUD reserves in the 2012 reserve report. In 2013, we anticipate the majority of our drilling to be focused on horizontal well locations that are included in our proved undeveloped reserves in the 2012 reserve report. Therefore, we expect to convert 15% to 20% of our PUDs to proved developed producing reserves in 2013. This level of capital spending is consistent with the most recent years.

2011 Activity. In 2011, we recorded a downward revision of our previous estimate of proved reserves of approximately 154 Bcfe. The revision was primarily due to a decrease of approximately 4 Bcfe due to lower gas

pricing and approximately 173 Bcfe due to the removal of certain proved undeveloped reserves to comply with the SEC's five-year rule. This was partially offset by an increase of approximately 6 Bcfe due to increased efficiencies in operating costs, approximately 5 Bcfe due to non-acquisition interest adjustments and approximately 12 Bcfe due to asset performance. In addition, the "Revisions of previous estimates" line item includes a deduction in the "Undeveloped" column and an increase in the "Developed" column of approximately 125 Bcfe. These reserves were transferred from proved undeveloped to proved developed as a result of the Company's determination that costs related to a refracture became less significant as compared to the costs associated with drilling a new well. Discoveries and extensions of approximately 313 Bcfe in 2011 are due to the drilling of 195 gross wells and the addition of new proved undeveloped reserves. Approximately 51 Bcfe were added in the Eastern Operating Region, approximately 262 Bcfe were added in the Western Operating Region (141 Bcfe in the Wattenberg Field, 80 Bcfe in the Piceance Basin and 41 Bcfe in the Permian Basin). We acquired approximately 49 Bcfe of proved reserves, approximately 8 Bcfe through acquisitions in the Eastern Operating Region, and approximately 41 Bcfe in the Western Operating Region (28 Bcfe were acquired in the Wattenberg Field and 13 Bcfe were acquired in the Piceance Basin) due to the repurchase of the 2003/2002-D and 2005 Partnerships as well as the purchase of interests in some of our other existing properties. We divested a total of approximately 5 Bcfe in 2011. This included the sale of 100% of our North Dakota assets, or 2 Bcfe, to an unrelated third-party and our non-core Permian Basin assets, or 3 Bcfe, to unrelated third parties.

2010 Activity. In 2010, we revised our previous estimate of proved reserves upward by 59.4 Bcfe. The revision was primarily due to an increase of 55.6 Bcfe due to asset performance, 35.9 Bcfe due to higher commodity pricing, 28.1 Bcfe due to the impact of evaluating NGLs as a separate stream and 1.5 Bcfe due to interest adjustments. This was partially offset by a decrease of 58.7 Bcfe due to adjustments for geological reasons or reclassification of prior period proved undeveloped reserves to probable reserves due to aging and 3 Bcfe due to increased operating costs. Discoveries and extensions of 110 Bcfe in 2010 are due to drilling of 213 gross wells and the addition of new proved undeveloped reserves:

PDC ENERGY, INC.

35.1 Bcfe were added in the Eastern Operating Region and 74.9 Bcfe were added in the Western Operating Region (29.4 Bcfe in Wattenberg Field, 36.2 Bcfe in the Piceance Basin, 9.1 Bcfe in the NECO area and 0.2 Bcfe in North Dakota) and Permian Basin. We acquired 56.5 Bcfe of proved reserves, approximately 32.6 Bcfe through two acquisitions in the Permian Basin, and 23.9 Bcfe in both the Western and Eastern Operating Regions due to the repurchase of the 2004 Partnerships as well as the purchase of interests in some of our other existing properties. Of the 23.9 Bcfe, 12.8 Bcfe were acquired in the Wattenberg Field and 10.9 Bcfe were acquired in the Piceance Basin. Total dispositions of 44 Bcfe in 2010 included the deconsolidation of PDCM, or 28.9 Bcfe, and the sale of all of our Michigan assets, or 15.1 Bcfe, to an unaffiliated third-party.

Results of Operations for Natural Gas and Crude Oil Producing Activities

The results of operations for natural gas and crude oil producing activities are presented below. The results include activities related to both continuing and discontinued operations and exclude activities related to natural gas marketing and well operations and pipeline services.

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
	(in thousands)		
Revenue:			
Natural gas, NGLs and crude oil sales	\$274,783	\$304,157	\$216,159
Commodity price risk management gain, net	32,339	46,090	59,891
	307,122	350,247	276,050
Expenses:			
Production costs	77,537	75,717	60,121
Exploration expense	22,605	6,289	20,291
Impairment of proved natural gas and oil properties	162,287	25,159	4,666
Depreciation, depletion, and amortization	146,879	128,458	103,303
Accretion of asset retirement obligations	4,060	1,897	1,423
Gain on sale of properties and equipment	(24,273)	(4,050)	(174)
	389,095	233,470	189,630
Results of operations for natural gas and crude oil producing activities before provision for income taxes	(81,973)	116,777	86,420
Provision for income taxes	31,163	(36,785)	(5,937)
Results of operations for natural gas and crude oil producing activities, excluding corporate overhead and interest costs	\$(50,810)	\$79,992	\$80,483

Production costs include those costs incurred to operate and maintain productive wells and related equipment, including costs such as labor, repairs, maintenance, materials, supplies, fuel consumed, insurance, production and severance taxes and associated administrative expenses. DD&A expense includes those costs associated with capitalized acquisition, exploration and development costs, but does not include the depreciation applicable to support equipment. The provision for income taxes is computed using effective tax rates.

Costs Incurred in Natural Gas and Crude Oil Property Acquisition, Exploration and Development Activities

Edgar Filing: PDC ENERGY, INC. - Form 10-K

Costs incurred in natural gas and crude oil property acquisition, exploration and development are presented below.

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
	(in thousands)		
Acquisition of properties: (1)			
Proved properties	\$105,303	\$79,554	\$87,241
Unproved properties	276,225	95,081	84,636
Development costs (2)	233,144	301,008	138,018
Exploration costs: (3)			
Exploratory drilling	18,803	3,626	21,223
Geological and geophysical	1,925	1,846	2,367
Total costs incurred	\$635,400	\$481,115	\$333,485

(1)Property acquisition costs - represent costs incurred to purchase, lease or otherwise acquire a property.

F- 41

PDC ENERGY, INC.

Development costs - represents costs incurred to gain access to and prepare development well locations for drilling, drill and equip development wells, recompleat wells and provide facilities to extract, treat, gather and store natural (2) gas, NGLs and crude oil. Of these costs incurred for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, \$62.0 million, \$80.6 million and \$37.4 million, respectively, were incurred to convert proved undeveloped reserves to proved developed reserves from the prior year end.

(3) Exploration costs - represents costs incurred in identifying areas that may warrant examination and in examining specific areas that are considered to have prospects of containing natural gas, NGLs and crude oil.

Capitalized Costs Related to Natural Gas and Crude Oil Producing Activities

Aggregate capitalized costs related to natural gas and crude oil exploration and production activities with applicable accumulated DD&A are presented below:

	As of December 31,	
	2012	2011
	(in thousands)	
Proved natural gas and crude oil properties	\$2,075,924	\$1,694,847
Unproved natural gas and crude oil properties	319,327	102,466
Capitalized costs	2,395,251	1,797,313
Less accumulated DD&A	(905,458) (621,074
Capitalized costs, net	\$1,489,793	\$1,176,239

Standardized Measure of Discounted Future Net Cash Flows and Changes Therein Relating to Proved Reserves

The standardized measure below has been prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP. Future estimated cash flows were based on a 12-month average price calculated as the unweighted arithmetic average of the prices on the first day of each month, January through December, applied to our year-end estimated proved reserves. Prices for each of the three years were adjusted by field for Btu content, transportation and regional price differences; however, they were not adjusted to reflect the value of our commodity derivatives. Production and development costs were based on prices as of December 31 for each of the respective years presented. The amounts shown do not give effect to non-property related expenses, such as corporate general and administrative expenses, debt service or to depreciation, depletion and amortization expense. Production and development costs include those cash flows associated with the expected ultimate settlement of our asset retirement obligation. Future estimated income tax expense is computed by applying the statutory rate in effect at the end of each year to the projected future pre-tax net cash flows, less the tax basis of the properties and gives effect to permanent differences, tax credits and allowances related to the properties.

The following table presents information with respect to the standardized measure of discounted future net cash flows relating to proved reserves. Changes in the demand for natural gas, NGLs and crude oil, inflation, and other factors make such estimates inherently imprecise and subject to substantial revision. This table should not be construed to be an estimate of the current market value of our proved reserves.

As of December 31,		
2012	2011	2010
(in thousands)		

Edgar Filing: PDC ENERGY, INC. - Form 10-K

Future estimated cash flows	\$7,529,292	\$6,415,255	\$4,361,095	
Future estimated production costs	(1,690,453) (1,704,645) (1,418,044)
Future estimated development costs	(1,852,177) (1,474,137) (1,119,604)
Future estimated income tax expense	(1,230,294) (946,849) (508,805)
Future net cash flows	2,756,368	2,289,624	1,314,642	
10% annual discount for estimated timing of cash flows	(1,587,871) (1,348,415) (826,224)
Standardized measure of discounted future estimated net cash flows	\$1,168,497	\$941,209	\$488,418	

F- 42

PDC ENERGY, INC.

The following table presents the principal sources of change in the standardized measure of discounted future estimated net cash flows:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
	(in thousands)		
Sales of natural gas, NGLs and crude oil production, net of production costs	\$ (194,346) \$ (226,227) \$ (163,104
Net changes in prices and production costs (1)	95,501	383,293	180,124
Extensions, discoveries, and improved recovery, less related costs (2)	632,781	467,347	88,637
Sales of reserves (3)	(86,902) (4,224) (24,174
Purchases of reserves (4)	296,208	64,761	45,538
Development costs incurred during the period	69,198	94,941	44,491
Revisions of previous quantity estimates (5)	(452,775) (112,468) 47,884
Changes in estimated income taxes (6)	(131,256) (204,377) (105,557
Net changes in future development costs	(3,979) (29,827) (41,595
Accretion of discount	124,105	65,284	35,951
Timing and other	(121,247) (45,712) 32,587
Total	\$ 227,288	\$ 452,791	\$ 140,782

(1) Despite the decrease in price for each of our commodities for 2012 compared to 2011, our weighted-average price, net of production costs per Mcfe, in our 2012 reserve report increased to \$3.45 from \$3.19 resulting from our increase in liquids as a percentage of total proved reserves. Our weighted-average price, net of production costs per Mcfe, in our 2010 reserve report was \$2.12.

(2) The 35% increase in 2012 as compared to 2011 reflects a continuation of our shifting focus from gas-rich projects to liquid-rich projects. At December 31, 2012, extensions, discoveries and other additions had increased to 407,647 MMcfe, a 30% increase, 52.2% of which was gas and 47.8% was liquids. Approximately 86% of the 35% increase was related to the additional volume of PUD reserves in the Wattenberg Field that were proved up by our 2012 drilling program. The changes in extensions, discoveries and improved recovery, less related costs, were 427% higher in 2012 as compared to 2011. At December 31, 2010, extensions, discoveries and other additions were 109,964 MMcfe, 83.3% of which was gas and 16.7% of which was liquids. At December 31, 2011, extensions, discoveries and other additions had increased to 313,039 MMcfe, a 185% increase, 56.4% of which was gas and 43.6% was liquids. This change was a result of our shifting of focus from gas-rich projects to liquid-rich projects. In 2011, we focused primarily on the liquids-rich Wattenberg Field in northern Colorado, where we drilled 17 horizontal Niobrara wells and 80 vertical wells, completed 190 zones and participated in 48 non-operated drilling projects. 2011 was the first year that horizontal Niobrara PUDs were included in our year-end reserves. All of these projects are liquid-rich and, with the exception of the vertical wells and refractures, these reserves were not recognized at December 31, 2010. As a result, approximately two-thirds of the 427% increase is related to additional volumes included in our reserve report in 2011 over those included in 2010 and one-third of the increase is related to the per Mcfe value increase of those additional volume of reserves.

(3) The increase in sales of reserves in 2012 as compared to 2011 was due to the divestiture of our core Permian assets on February 28, 2012.

(4) The increase in purchases of reserves in 2012 as compared to 2011 was due to the Merit Acquisition in the liquids-rich Wattenberg Field in northern Colorado.

(5) The decrease in revisions of our previous quantity estimates in 2012 as compared to 2011 was primarily due to lower natural gas pricing, a decrease in proved undeveloped reserves pursuant to the SEC five-year rule and

adjustments due to our drilling schedule. The decrease in 2011 as compared to 2010 was primarily due to lower natural gas pricing, a decrease in proved undeveloped reserves pursuant to the SEC five-year rule and adjustments for geological reasons, offset in part by improvements in asset performance.

(6) The change in estimated income taxes for each year as compared to the prior year is the direct result of the significant increase in discounted future net cash flows, as the projected deferred tax rate remained relatively unchanged at approximately 38.2%, 38.1% and 38% for the year ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively. In addition, the company continued to capitalize and amortize the majority of its yearly capital expenditures and there were no changes in the assumptions as to the tax deductibility of beginning unamortized capital, additional current year capital or future development capital.

It is necessary to emphasize that the data presented should not be viewed as representing the expected cash flows from, or current value of, existing proved reserves since the computations are based on a large number of estimates and arbitrary assumptions. Reserve quantities cannot be measured with precision and their estimation requires many judgmental determinations and frequent revisions. The required projection of production and related expenditures over time requires further estimates with respect to pipeline availability, rates of demand and governmental control. Actual future prices and costs are likely to be substantially different from the recent average prices and current costs utilized in the computation of reported amounts. Any analysis or evaluation of the reported amounts should give specific recognition to the computational methods utilized and the limitations inherent therein.

PDC ENERGY, INC.

QUARTERLY FINANCIAL INFORMATION - UNAUDITED

Quarterly financial data for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 is presented below. The sum of the quarters may not equal the total of the year's net income or loss per share attributable to shareholders due to changes in the weighted-average shares outstanding throughout the year.

	2012 Quarter Ended				Year Ended
	March 31	June 30	September 30	December 31	
	(in thousands, except per share data)				
Revenues:					
Natural gas, NGLs and crude oil sales	\$75,310	\$56,879	\$59,915	\$78,223	\$270,327
Sales from natural gas marketing	11,834	8,917	11,570	14,758	47,079
Commodity price risk management gain (loss), net	11,501	38,729	(31,943)	14,052	32,339
Well operations, pipeline income and other	1,701	1,520	1,639	1,528	6,388
Total revenues	100,346	106,045	41,181	108,561	356,133
Costs, expenses and other:					
Production costs	18,370	18,055	20,756	18,304	75,485
Cost of natural gas marketing	11,492	8,761	11,598	14,701	46,552
Exploration expense	2,063	2,570	1,969	16,003	22,605
Impairment of natural gas and crude oil properties	653	370	395	166,731	168,149
General and administrative expense	14,708	14,378	13,710	16,019	58,815
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	39,814	34,448	32,483	40,134	146,879
Accretion of asset retirement obligations	819	825	1,195	1,221	4,060
Gain on sale of properties and equipment	(154)	(2,246)	(1,508)	(445)	(4,353)
Total costs, expenses and other	87,765	77,161	80,598	272,668	518,192
Income (loss) from operations	12,581	28,884	(39,417)	(164,107)	(162,059)
Loss on extinguishment of debt	—	—	—	(23,283)	(23,283)
Interest expense	(10,444)	(10,053)	(11,360)	(16,430)	(48,287)
Interest income	2	—	3	3	8
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes	2,139	18,831	(50,774)	(203,817)	(233,621)
Provision for income taxes	(759)	(6,179)	18,131	77,642	88,835
Income (loss) from continuing operations	1,380	12,652	(32,643)	(126,175)	(144,786)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax	14,455	(381)	—	—	14,074
Net income (loss)	\$15,835	\$12,271	\$(32,643)	\$(126,175)	\$(130,712)
Earnings per share:					
Basic					
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$0.06	\$0.48	\$(1.08)	\$(4.17)	\$(5.23)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	0.61	(0.02)	—	—	0.51
Net income (loss)	\$0.67	\$0.46	\$(1.08)	\$(4.17)	\$(4.72)
Diluted					
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$0.06	\$0.47	\$(1.08)	\$(4.17)	\$(5.23)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	0.60	(0.01)	—	—	0.51
Net income (loss)	\$0.66	\$0.46	\$(1.08)	\$(4.17)	\$(4.72)

Edgar Filing: PDC ENERGY, INC. - Form 10-K

Weighted-average common shares outstanding

Basic	23,609	26,597	30,214	30,233	27,677
Diluted	23,889	26,728	30,214	30,233	27,677

F- 44

PDC ENERGY, INC.

	2011 Quarter Ended				Year Ended
	March 31	June 30	September 30	December 31	
	(in thousands, except per share data)				
Revenues:					
Natural gas, NGLs and crude oil sales	\$58,810	\$65,762	\$72,044	\$79,989	\$276,605
Sales from natural gas marketing	15,202	18,897	17,209	15,111	66,419
Commodity price risk management gain (loss), net	(23,882)	20,537	46,706	2,729	46,090
Well operations, pipeline income and other	1,843	1,755	1,670	1,578	6,846
Total revenues	51,973	106,951	137,629	99,407	395,960
Costs, expenses and other:					
Production costs	18,117	16,537	13,644	19,054	67,352
Cost of natural gas marketing	14,993	18,207	17,227	15,038	65,465
Exploration expense	1,669	1,215	1,135	2,234	6,253
Impairment of natural gas and crude oil properties	453	499	531	23,676	25,159
General and administrative expense	13,873	19,509	13,683	14,389	61,454
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	30,985	30,592	31,523	35,807	128,907
Accretion of asset retirement obligations	355	358	372	648	1,733
Gain on sale of properties and equipment	—	—	(32)	(164)	(196)
Total costs, expenses and other	80,445	86,917	78,083	110,682	356,127
Income (loss) from operations	(28,472)	20,034	59,546	(11,275)	39,833
Interest expense	(9,062)	(9,067)	(9,496)	(9,360)	(36,985)
Interest income	9	2	36	—	47
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes	(37,525)	10,969	50,086	(20,635)	2,895
Provision for income taxes	14,278	(2,804)	(19,218)	7,927	183
Income (loss) from continuing operations	(23,247)	8,165	30,868	(12,708)	3,078
Income from discontinued operations, net of tax	3,323	1,000	1,692	4,344	10,359
Net income (loss)	(19,924)	9,165	32,560	(8,364)	13,437
Earnings per share:					
Basic					
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$(0.99)	\$0.35	\$1.31	\$(0.54)	\$0.13
Income from discontinued operations	0.14	0.04	0.07	0.19	0.44
Net income (loss) attributable to shareholders	\$(0.85)	\$0.39	\$1.38	\$(0.35)	\$0.57
Diluted					
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$(0.99)	\$0.34	\$1.30	\$(0.54)	\$0.13
Income from discontinued operations	0.14	0.04	0.07	0.19	0.43
Net income (loss) attributable to shareholders	\$(0.85)	\$0.38	\$1.37	\$(0.35)	\$0.56
Weighted-average common shares outstanding					

Edgar Filing: PDC ENERGY, INC. - Form 10-K

Basic	23,428	23,491	23,569	23,592	23,521
Diluted	23,428	23,723	23,783	23,592	23,871

F- 45

PDC ENERGY, INC.

FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULE

Schedule II - VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS

Description	Beginning Balance January 1 (in thousands)	Deconsolidation/Purchase Price Adjustment for PDCM	Charged to Costs and Expenses	Deductions (1)	Ending Balance December 31
2012:					
Allowance for doubtful accounts	\$921	\$ —	\$258	\$153	\$1,026
Valuation allowance for unproved natural gas and crude oil properties	12,204	—	4,207	8,375	8,036
2011:					
Allowance for doubtful accounts	686	121	135	21	921
Valuation allowance for unproved natural gas and crude oil properties	16,996	260	2,611	7,143	12,204
2010:					
Allowance for doubtful accounts	548	135	307	34	686
Valuation allowance for state tax benefits	747	—	—	747	—
Valuation allowance for unproved natural gas and crude oil properties	15,001	19	6,120	4,106	16,996

(1) For allowance for doubtful accounts, deductions represent the write-off of accounts receivable deemed uncollectible. For valuation allowance for unproved natural gas and crude oil properties, deductions represent accumulated amortization of expired or abandoned unproved natural gas and crude oil properties. For valuation allowance for state tax benefits, deductions represent expired or unutilized state tax benefits.

F- 46