

BSQUARE CORP /WA  
Form 10-K  
February 19, 2015

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934  
For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission file number: 000-27687

BSQUARE CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Washington 91-1650880  
(State or other jurisdiction of (I.R.S. Employer  
incorporation or organization) Identification Number)

110 110<sup>th</sup> Avenue NE, Suite 300, Bellevue, Washington 98004

(Address of principal executive offices, including zip code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (425) 519-5900

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class	Name of each exchange on which registered
Common Stock, no par value	The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC (NASDAQ Global Market)

Edgar Filing: BSQUARE CORP /WA - Form 10-K

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

NONE

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer  Non-accelerated filer  Smaller reporting company   
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

The aggregate market value of common stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant as of June 30, 2014 was approximately \$35,049,000 based on the closing price of \$3.20 per share of the registrant's common stock as listed on the NASDAQ Global Select Market.

The number of shares of common stock outstanding as of January 31, 2015: 11,769,452

#### DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the definitive proxy statement to be delivered to shareholders in connection with the 2015 annual meeting of shareholders are incorporated by reference into Part III of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.



BSQUARE CORPORATION

FORM 10-K

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<u>PART I</u>	
Item 1 <u>Business</u>	1
Item 1A <u>Risk Factors</u>	7
Item 1B <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	18
Item 2 <u>Properties</u>	18
Item 3 <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	18
Item 4 <u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	18
<u>PART II</u>	
Item 5 <u>Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	19
Item 6 <u>Selected Financial Data</u>	19
Item 7 <u>Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	20
Item 7A <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	27
Item 8 <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	28
Item 9 <u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	49
Item 9A <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	49
Item 9B <u>Other Information</u>	49
<u>PART III</u>	
Item 10 <u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>	50
Item 11 <u>Executive Compensation</u>	50
Item 12 <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	50
Item 13 <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u>	50

Item 14	<u>Principal Accounting Fees and Services</u>	50
	<u>PART IV</u>	
Item 15	<u>Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules</u>	51
	<u>Signatures</u>	52

---

## PART I

### Item 1. Business.

#### CAUTIONARY NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Annual Report on Form 10-K contains "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the safe-harbor provisions of the U.S. Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Forward-looking statements can often be identified by words such as: "expect," "believe," "estimate," "plan," "strategy," "future," "potential," "continue," "may," "should," "will," and similar references to future periods. Examples include, among others, statements about: expected operating results, such as revenue growth and earnings; anticipated levels of capital expenditures; future market conditions; our belief that we have sufficient liquidity to fund our business operations for at least the next 12 months; expectations of the effect on our financial condition of claims, litigation, contingent liabilities and supplier audits; and strategies for customer retention, growth, product and service development, and market position.

Forward-looking statements are neither historical facts nor assurances about future performance. Instead they are only predictions, based on current beliefs, expectations and assumptions about the future of our business and other future conditions. Forward-looking statements are subject to known and unknown risks, uncertainties and changes in circumstances that are difficult to predict and many of which are outside of our control. Actual events and results may differ materially. Therefore, you should not rely on any of these forward-looking statements.

Any forward-looking statement made by us in this report is based only on information available to us on the date of this report. Except as may be required by law, we undertake no obligation to publicly update any forward-looking statement, whether as a result of new information, future developments or otherwise.

Our forward-looking statements can be affected by inaccurate assumptions we might make or by known or unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors. We discuss many of these risks, uncertainties and other factors in this Annual Report on Form 10-K in greater detail under the Item 1A—"Risk Factors." We caution readers that our business and financial performance are subject to substantial risks and uncertainties.

## BUSINESS

### Overview

As used in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, "we," "us," "our," "the Company" and "Bsquare" refer to BSQUARE Corporation. We primarily resell software from Microsoft Corporation ("Microsoft") and provide software solutions and related engineering services to companies that develop smart, connected systems. A smart, connected system is a dedicated purpose computing device that typically has a display, runs an operating system (e.g., Microsoft® Windows® CE or Google Android™ platform) and is usually connected to a network or data cloud via a wired or wireless connection. Examples of smart, connected systems include set-top boxes, home gateways, point-of-sale terminals, kiosks, voting machines, gaming platforms, tablets, handheld data collection devices, personal media players, smart phones, smart vending machines and in-vehicle telematics and entertainment devices. We focus on smart, connected systems that utilize various Microsoft Windows Embedded and Windows Mobile operating systems as well as devices running other popular operating systems such as Android, Linux, and QNX.

We have been providing software solutions for smart, connected systems since our inception. Our customers include world class original equipment manufacturers ("OEMs"), original design manufacturers ("ODMs") and corporate enterprises ("Enterprises"), as well as silicon vendors ("SVs") and peripheral vendors which purchase our software solutions for purposes of facilitating processor and peripheral sales. In the case of Enterprises, our customers include those that develop, market and distribute smart, connected systems on their own behalf as well as those that purchase systems from OEMs or ODMs and require additional software, integration and/or testing. The software solutions we provide are utilized and deployed throughout various phases of our customers' device life cycle, including design,

development, customization, quality assurance and deployment.

Increasingly, we intend to focus on developing and offering our own products such as DataV™ to address the emerging Internet of Things (“IoT”) market, which is the interconnection of uniquely identifiable embedded computing devices within the existing internet infrastructure. Similarly, we intend to focus on increasing the amount of our own products and know-how, including in our MobileV™ product, a complete hardware and software reference solution for OEMs building durable and rugged handhelds and industrial tablets.

We were incorporated in the State of Washington in July 1994. Our principal office is located at 110 110th Avenue NE, Suite 300, Bellevue, Washington 98004, and our telephone number is (425) 519-5900.

#### Industry Background

The increasing opportunity for connectivity and exchange of data coupled with the rise of cloud storage and cloud computing infrastructure is driving new applications for smart, connected systems of all kinds.

1

---

The smart, connected systems industry is characterized by a wide variety of software and hardware configurations and end-user applications, often designed to address a specific vertical market. To accommodate these diverse characteristics in a cost-effective manner, OEMs, ODMs and Enterprises require operating systems and software that can support a diverse set of hardware requirements and connect to a wide variety of networks, systems, peripherals, content and applications.

The smart, connected systems marketplace is being influenced by the following factors:

- Continuing demand by business professionals and consumers for integrated mobile devices, which combine telephony, data (such as email and internet browsing), multimedia, location awareness and cameras;
- Businesses are increasingly deploying specialized devices in stores, shopping centers, shop floors, etc., or to their employees who work in the field but need connectivity to business systems and data;
- The ubiquity of cellular and wireless local area networks (“WLANs”) is driving rapid adoption of smart connected systems that leverage broadband and high-speed wireless data networks, including Internet Protocol (“IP”) set-top boxes, voice-over-IP (“VoIP”) phones, residential gateways, and home networking solutions linking smart connected systems with personal computers;
- The vastly increasing number of sensors and similar devices requires new solutions for these devices to interact with other devices autonomously through the “Internet of Things” and to provide data to applications, both those that reside local to the device and those running in the cloud;
- Expectations for device functionality continue to grow. Users expect to be able to access email and the internet, synchronize their devices with cloud and corporate data sources and deploy multiple applications on their devices;
- The availability of multimedia content has increased demand for high-performance, multimedia-capable devices that are able to access, share and play audio, video and application content located on remote computers;
- Security is an increasingly important concern as devices are now often able to access networks and store sensitive information locally such as email, spreadsheets and other documents. Users are demanding that these types of information be protected in the same ways they are protected on the desktop;
- Higher bandwidth networks coupled with larger displays and increased processing power found on new devices means that more multimedia content will be available to devices—increasing demand for digital rights management, content management and related technologies; and
- Increase in device complexity is being driven by rising user expectations of functionality, complex device interactions with wireless networks and updated versions of embedded operating systems and silicon processors, all of which are driving OEMs, ODMs and Enterprises to continually refresh and update their device designs.

Software Solutions and Related Engineering Services for Smart Connected Systems

Our customers include world class OEMs and ODMs, Enterprises, SVs and peripheral vendors which are developing smart connected systems or assisting others in doing so. Representative customer engagements in 2014 included the following:

- We continued support of the second generation of MyFord Touch, a product of Ford Motor Company (“Ford”). The MyFord Touch infotainment system is an in-car communications and entertainment system which enables drivers of Ford’s car offerings to access a wide range of connected information and entertainment experiences including music and multi-media services, hands-free telephony, internet-enabled applications, language services, news and navigation;
- We have been a supplier to Outerwall (formerly Coinstar) since 2005 for their Windows Embedded Operating Systems that are deployed on their Coin Kiosks. During the last several years we have provided Outerwall with engineering services for their New Venture Kiosks in addition to the Coin Kiosk. In 2013 and 2014, we provided licensing consultation and engineering services for the Redbox division of Outerwall.
- The Coca-Cola Company (“Coca-Cola”) continues to engage us in the development and support of Freesty<sup>®</sup> its next generation drink dispensing machine.
- We initiated work with Google to assist in the development of their advanced technology “Project Tango.” We have expanded our work with Google to include additional projects.



The software we deliver to our customers as part of our smart connected software solutions takes three forms. The first is our own proprietary software products, the second is best-of-class third-party software products and the last is custom software delivered through our engineering services teams. The majority of the software we sell is from Microsoft. Due to the complexity of embedded software, the integration of our own proprietary software, third-party software products and customer software on customers' devices often requires us to provide additional engineering services addressing systems integration, customization and/or optimization as well as quality assurance testing. Our goal is to increase the breadth and depth of our software product and engineering service offerings to our customers to enhance our position as an overall smart connected software solutions provider.

2

---

Software revenue for the last two fiscal years was as follows (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,			
	2014	2013		
Software revenue:				
Third-party software	\$73,933	\$70,891		
Proprietary software	2,314	2,513		
Total software revenue	\$76,247	\$73,404		
Software revenue as a percentage of total revenue	79	%	80	%
Third-party software revenue as a percentage of total software revenue	97	%	97	%

### Third-Party Software Products

We have distribution agreements with multiple third-party software vendors. Our ability to resell these third-party software products, whether stand-alone or in conjunction with our own proprietary software and engineering service offerings, provides our customers with a significant solution source for their project needs. Our primary third-party software offerings include the following:

- For 16 years, we have been a Microsoft Corporation authorized Value-Added Provider (“VAP”) of Windows Embedded operating systems and toolkits for the complete line of Windows Embedded Products, including major product families such as Windows Embedded Compact, Windows Embedded Standard and Windows Embedded Server. We are authorized to sell Windows Embedded operating systems in the United States, Canada, Mexico, Argentina, Brazil, Chile, Columbia, Peru, Puerto Rico, the Caribbean (excluding Cuba), the European Union, the European Free Trade Association, Turkey and Africa. Of our total revenue in 2014, 64% was generated through the sale of Windows Embedded operating systems, compared to 58% in 2013. Our current distribution agreements related to Windows Embedded expire in June 2016;
- We have been a Microsoft authorized VAP of Windows Mobile operating systems since November 2009. Along with Windows Mobile operating systems, we also sell Microsoft’s Office Mobile product. We are currently authorized to sell Windows Mobile operating systems and related products in North America, South America, and Central America (excluding Cuba) (the “Americas”), Japan, Taiwan, and the region comprised of Europe, the Middle East, and Africa (“EMEA”). Of our total revenue in 2014, 9% was generated through the sale of Windows Mobile operating systems, compared to 11% in 2013. Our current distribution agreements related to Windows Mobile expire in June 2015,
- We are an authorized distributor for Adobe Flash technologies and Adobe Reader. We have the right to distribute Adobe Flash Lite licenses on a worldwide basis;
- We are an authorized distributor of Intel Corporation’s Embedded Security product in North America; and
- We sub-license and resell mobile handset design files from Aava Mobile Oy as a part of our MobileV product.

The sale of Microsoft operating systems has accounted for substantially all of our third-party software revenue historically, including 95% of third-party software revenue in 2014 and 90% of third-party software revenue in 2013.

## Engineering Service Offerings

We offer a variety of engineering services to our customers including:

- Architectural and system design;
- Software design and development;
- Platform development systems integration;
- Application, middleware and multimedia software development;
- Quality assurance and testing;
- Device solution strategy consulting;
- Technical support;
- Implementation; and
- Test Automation Engineering and Consulting Services.

Customers engage us for engineering services due to our extensive device software development and testing experience and because of our deep experience with embedded and mobile operating systems. We believe that engaging us on a new device design

typically results in shorter development cycles and reduced time-to-market, lower overall costs to complete projects, and enhanced product robustness and features, which a customer may otherwise have been unable to achieve.

Service revenue for the last two fiscal years was as follows (in thousands):

	Year Ended		December 31,	
	2014	2013		
Service revenue	\$19,669	\$18,704		
Service revenue as a percentage of total revenue	21	%	20	%

Service revenue related to Coca-Cola was \$4.6 million, or 24% of 2014 service revenue and \$3.2 million, or 17% of service revenue in 2013. Service revenue related to the MyFord Touch program was \$2.8 million, or 14% of service revenue, in 2014 and \$4.5 million, or 24% of service revenue, in 2013.

### Proprietary Software Products

Our primary proprietary software offerings being actively sold or developed include:

- HTML5 Rendering Engine—Our award-winning HTML5 Rendering Engine is based on the open-source WebKit platform which is maintained by a number of leading internet and device companies. Using WebKit, device makers can create portable applications and user interfaces for their devices using the industry-standard HTML5 markup language. We ported WebKit to the latest versions of Microsoft’s Windows Embedded Compact Operating System and sell our porting layer to customers along with engineering services to implement our WebKit port on their devices;
- TestQuest™ 10—TestQuest 10 is our latest generation software testing automation tool that provides customers with a complete test solution that brings together everything necessary to test smart connected systems including tools to create and manage test cases, a platform that allows teams to collaborate on test development, an execution environment that enables tests to be executed on the smart connected systems and capture results, and a reporting tool that allows customers to analyze test results;
- DataV™—An actionable data solution for the IoT market. DataV includes software products, applications and services that turn raw device data into useful, meaningful and actionable data. The goal of DataV is to help our customers unlock their data and use the data to lower costs, improve and enhance operations, lower go-to-market risks, and identify new revenue opportunities; and
- MobileV™—A complete hardware and software reference solution based on Intel® architecture, Microsoft Windows® Embedded 8.1 and Google Android 4.4 operating systems. MobileV provides a common platform for OEMs building multiple device form factors to include durable and rugged class handhelds and industrial tablets. MobileV combines Windows Embedded 8.1 and Android 4.4 with cutting-edge Intel-based board designs from Aava Mobile, along with Bsquare’s MobileV UX software, and significantly reduces engineering effort and time to market for next generation devices.

### Strategy

Our strategy is to continue to enhance our position as a provider of software solutions and related engineering services for smart connected systems, ultimately becoming the go-to solutions provider for our customers and potential customers around the globe. To advance this strategy, we intend to focus on the following:

- Expanding the breadth of services we offer to our customers, particularly those that are focused on making existing smart connected systems more useful and valuable to their customers;

- Focusing on our core geographic areas of North America, the United Kingdom, Taiwan and Japan;
- Building our offerings and capabilities in the rapidly growing Internet of Things market. As we drive to grow in this market, we will seek to deepen our customer relationships in our software solutions and engineering services businesses to deliver a family of products and services that will turn raw device data into useful, meaningful and actionable information; and
- Seeking additional software products, such as DataV and MobileV, in which to invest.

4

---

## Relationship with Microsoft and Impact on our Smart Connected Solutions Business

We have a long-standing relationship with Microsoft and this relationship is critical to the continuing success of our business. Our credentials as a Microsoft partner include:

- We have been one of Microsoft's largest distributors of Windows Embedded operating systems for over 16 years;
- We have been a distributor of Microsoft's Windows Mobile operating systems since November 2009;
- We are a Windows Embedded Gold-level Systems Integrator;
- We were the OED Americas Channel Sales & Marketing Award recipient for Distributor Sales Excellence in 2013;
- We were the Microsoft Embedded Distributor of the Year for 2011;
- We received a Microsoft Technical Excellence Award for our delivery of highest quality technical solutions on the latest Windows Embedded technologies in the European region in 2011;
- Our HTML5 Rendering Engine won a Microsoft Technical Excellence Award in 2012;
- We are a developer and provider of Microsoft Official Curriculum Training for Windows Embedded Compact and Windows Embedded Standard;
- We are a Preferred Provider of Visual Tools for Microsoft;
- We are a Gold-level member of Microsoft's Third-Party Tools Provider Program;
- We are a Silver Intelligent Systems partner;
- We are a Silver Data Analytics partner;
- We are a Silver Data Platform partner; and
- We have been engaged by Microsoft on various engineering service engagements.

We work closely with Microsoft executives, developers, product managers and sales personnel. We leverage these relationships in a variety of ways, including:

- We gain early access to new Microsoft embedded software and other technologies;
- We are able to leverage co-marketing resources, content and strategies from Microsoft, including market development funds, to support our own marketing and sales efforts;
- We participate in Microsoft-sponsored trade shows, seminars, and other events;
- We receive sales leads from Microsoft; and
- We receive rebates from Microsoft based upon the achievement of predefined sales objectives.

See Item 1A, "Risk Factors," for more information regarding our relationship with Microsoft.

## Customers

Customers purchasing third-party software and our software solutions and engineering services include leading OEMs, ODMs, Enterprises, SVs and peripheral vendors seeking to leverage the software we provide them, be it our own proprietary software, third-party software or custom software developed via our engineering services, to develop high-quality, smart connected systems that meet the requirements of numerous end-markets. Representative customers include Coca-Cola, Future Electronics, Elo Touch Solutions, Honeywell International, Inc., IGT, Microsoft, Mitsubishi Electric Corporation, Panasonic, Elektrobit, Bally and several industrial handset companies.

## Sales and Marketing

We market our software solutions and engineering services utilizing a direct sales model. We have sales personnel throughout the United States and in Taiwan, the United Kingdom and Japan. Historically, we have not made significant use of resellers, channel partners, representative agents or other indirect channels.

Key elements of our sales and marketing strategy include direct marketing, content marketing, trade shows, event marketing, public relations, analyst relations, social media properties, customer and strategic alliance partner co-marketing programs and a comprehensive website. We rely significantly on lead referral and other marketing support programs from strategic partners.



## Research and Development

Our research and development personnel are responsible for the design, development and release of our proprietary software products. Members of our research and development staff work closely with our sales and marketing departments, as well as with our customers and potential customers, to better understand market needs and requirements. We perform our research and development primarily utilizing engineering staff located in the United States. Research and development expense was \$1.7 million and \$2.8 million in 2014 and 2013, respectively. The decrease was driven largely by our cost reduction efforts in the fourth quarter of 2013.

## Competition

The market for smart connected systems software and services is extremely competitive. We face competition from the following:

- Microsoft Windows Embedded and Windows Mobile operating system distributors such as Arrow Electronics, Inc., Avnet, Inc. and Synnex Corporation in Taiwan;
- Our current and potential customers' internal research and development departments, which may seek to develop their own software solutions which compete with our proprietary software products and engineering services;
- Engineering service firms, including off-shore development companies, such as Adeneo, SymphonyTeleca division of Harmon Industries and Wipro;
- ODMs, particularly those in Taiwan and China, which have added or are adding software development capabilities to their offerings;
- Contract manufacturers which have added or are adding software development capabilities to their offerings;
- Mobile and embedded test automation providers including Perfecto Mobile, mVerify, JAMO Solutions, SmartBear Software (AutomatedQA), TestPlant (eggPlant) and Keynote. In this market we also compete against customers' internally created tools and against manual testing;
- Marketing agencies and specialized vendors who provide mobile application services; and
- We will encounter increasing competition in the growing Internet of Things market from a number of additional software and service providers as we expand our focus on this market in 2015 and beyond.

Some of our competitors focus on only one aspect of our business or offer complementary products which can be integrated with our products. As we develop and bring to market new software products and service offerings, we may begin competing with companies with which we have not previously competed. Further, as we expand the geographic markets into which we sell our software solutions and related services, or increase our penetration therein, we may expect to increasingly compete with companies with which we have not previously competed. It is also possible that new competitors will enter the market or that our competitors will form alliances, including alliances with Microsoft, that may enable them to rapidly increase their market share.

Microsoft has not agreed to any exclusive arrangement with us, nor has it agreed not to compete with us. Microsoft may decide to bring more of the core embedded development services and expertise that we provide in-house, possibly resulting in reduced software and service revenue opportunities for us. The barrier to entering the market as a provider of Microsoft-based smart connected systems software and services is low. In addition, Microsoft has created marketing programs to encourage systems integrators to work on Windows Embedded and Windows Mobile operating system software and services. These systems integrators are given substantially the same access by Microsoft to the Microsoft technology as we are. New competitors may have lower overhead than we do and may be able to undercut our pricing. We expect that competition will increase as other established and emerging companies enter the connected device market, and as new products and technologies are introduced.

## International Operations

Our international operations outside of North America consist principally of operations in Taipei, Taiwan and Trowbridge, England. We have a sales and sales support office in Tokyo, Japan. Because our OEM Distribution



Agreement with Microsoft for the sale of Microsoft Windows Embedded operating systems (e.g. Windows Embedded Compact) has been, until relatively recently, restricted to North America, including Mexico, the majority of our revenue continues to be generated from North America. In 2014, revenue generated from customers located outside of North America was 10% of total revenue, compared to 13% in 2013. The decrease in non-North American revenue in 2014 was primarily attributable to lower sales of Windows Mobile operating systems, lower service revenue and lower proprietary software sales in Asia.

See Item 1A, "Risk Factors," and Item 7, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations," for more information regarding our international operations.

6

---

## Personnel

The following indicates the total headcount by area:

	December 31, 2014 2013	
Engineering Services	124	119
Sales, Marketing and Administrative	52	56
Research and Product Development	7	12
Total Employees	183	187
Contractors (primarily engineering services)	14	18
Total Headcount	197	205

Of the total headcount of 197 at December 31, 2014, 112 were located in North America, 47 in Taiwan, 36 in the United Kingdom and 2 in Japan. As conditions necessitate, engineering service employees perform research and development activities and vice versa. In October 2013, we effected a headcount reduction that impacted approximately 20% of our worldwide workforce.

### Intellectual Property and Other Proprietary Rights

In general, we strive to protect our intellectual property rights through patent, copyright, trademark and trade secret laws and through contractual arrangements. However, we cannot be certain that our efforts will be effective to prevent the misappropriation of our intellectual property, or to prevent the development and design by others of products or technologies similar to, or competitive with, those developed by us.

Additionally, because a significant portion of our revenue relates to the sale of third-party software products, we also rely on our partners, particularly Microsoft, to appropriately protect their own intellectual property.

As of December 31, 2014 we have eight issued United States (“US”) patents and one pending US patent application. We also have a number of registered trademarks in various jurisdictions. We will continue to pursue appropriate protections for our intellectual property.

See Item 1A, “Risk Factors,” for more information regarding our intellectual property and other proprietary rights.

### Available Information

We electronically file with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) our Annual Reports on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. We make available on our website at [www.bsquare.com](http://www.bsquare.com), free of charge, copies of these reports, as soon as reasonably practicable after electronically filing such reports with, or furnishing them to, the Securities and Exchange Commission. The information contained in, or that can be accessed through, our website is not part of, and is not incorporated into, this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

### Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant

The following table sets forth certain information with respect to our directors and executive officers as of January 31, 2015:

Name	Age	Position
Andrew S. G. Harries	52	Chairman of the Board
Jerry D. Chase	55	President and Chief Executive Officer; Director
Elliott H. Jurgensen, Jr	70	Director
Harel Kodesh	56	Director
William D. Savoy	50	Director
Kendra A. VanderMeulen	63	Director
Mark D. Whiteside	52	Vice President, Solutions
Martin L. Heimbigner	56	Chief Financial Officer
Scott B. Caldwell	46	Vice President, Worldwide OEM Sales

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

As discussed under Item 1 of Part I, “Business—Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements,” our actual results could differ materially from those expressed in our forward-looking statements. Factors that might cause or contribute to such differences include, but are not limited to, those discussed below. Additional risks and uncertainties not presently known to us, or that

we currently deem immaterial, may also impair our business operations. If any of the following risks occur, our business, financial condition, operating results, cash flows and the trading price of our common stock could be materially adversely affected.

#### Microsoft-Related Risk Factors

Due to the fact that we provide software and services to customers building devices utilizing Microsoft's Windows Embedded and Windows Mobile operating systems, as well as the fact that a significant portion of our revenue is derived from the sale of Microsoft Windows Embedded and Windows Mobile operating systems, Microsoft has a significant direct and indirect influence on our business. The following Microsoft-related risk factors may negatively impact our business and operating results.

If we do not maintain our OEM Distribution Agreements with Microsoft or if Microsoft de-emphasizes or divests itself from these areas of its business, our revenue would decrease and our business would be adversely affected.

We have OEM Distribution Agreements ("ODAs") for Software Products for Embedded Systems with Microsoft, which enable us to sell various Microsoft Windows Embedded operating systems to our customers in the United States, Canada, the Caribbean (excluding Cuba), Mexico, the European Union and the European Free Trade Association. These ODAs are effective through June 30, 2016. We have also entered into other ODAs with Microsoft pursuant to which we are licensed to sell Microsoft Windows Mobile operating systems to customers in North America, South America, Central America (excluding Cuba), Japan, Taiwan, Europe, the Middle East, and Africa. The ODAs to sell Windows Mobile operating systems are effective through June 30, 2015.

We generated \$70.2 million and \$64.0 million of revenue in 2014 and 2013, respectively, through our sales of Microsoft operating systems and expect this revenue stream to continue in 2015. If any of the ODAs are terminated by Microsoft (which Microsoft can do unilaterally) or not renewed, or if Microsoft decides to no longer invest in or to divest itself from these areas of its business, our software revenue and resulting gross profit could decrease significantly and our operating results would be negatively impacted. Future renewals, if any, could be on less favorable terms, which could also negatively impact our business and operating results.

Microsoft can change pricing under the ODAs at any time, and unless we are able to either pass through price increases to our customers or sign our customers to 12-month purchasing commitments, which lowers the price we pay to Microsoft, our revenue, gross profit and operating results would be negatively impacted. Further, Microsoft currently offers a rebate program in conjunction with our ODA activities in which we earn money for achieving certain predefined objectives. If Microsoft were to eliminate or negatively modify the rebate program, our gross profit and operating results would be negatively impacted. We qualified for rebate credits from Microsoft of \$1.0 million and \$1.2 million in 2014 and 2013, respectively, a portion of which is accounted for as a reduction in cost of sales and a portion as a reduction in marketing expense if and when qualified expenditures are made.

Microsoft has audited our records under the ODAs in the past and will likely audit our records again in the future and any negative audit results could result in additional charges and/or the termination of the ODAs.

There are provisions in the ODAs that require us to maintain certain internal records and processes for auditing and other reasons. Non-compliance with these and other requirements could result in the termination of the ODAs. Microsoft has concluded audits of our records pertaining to the ODAs in 2009 and 2006, neither of which had material findings. It is possible that future audits could result in charges due to any material findings that are found. The provisions also include terms that may make us contractually liable for payment of royalties to Microsoft in the event that certificates of authenticity are lost, damaged or stolen.

If we are invoiced by Microsoft for a pricing adjustments under OVRP arrangements and we are unable to pass through the charge to our customers, our operating results and cash flow would be negatively impacted.

In conjunction with our activities under the ODAs with Microsoft, we enter into OEM Volume Royalty Program (“OVRP”) commitments with Microsoft. Under these OVRPs, we are provided with volume pricing on a customer-by-customer basis assuming certain minimum unit volumes are met. The OVRP terms are 12 months. In the event we don’t meet the committed minimum unit volumes, we are obligated to pay the difference between the committed per-unit volume rate and the actual per-unit rate we achieved based upon actual units purchased. The OVRP arrangements do not equate to a minimum purchase commitment but rather the arrangements are a volume pricing arrangement based upon actual volume purchased. In substantially all instances, we have reciprocal agreements with our customers such that we will receive per-unit price adjustments, similar to the amounts we would subsequently owe to Microsoft if such OVRP volumes are not met. While our history with OVRP arrangements has shown that very few result in a price adjustment and, for those that have, we have been able to pass through the price adjustment to our customer, in the event the customer is unwilling or unable to pay us, our operating results and cash flow would be negatively impacted.

8

---

If we do not maintain our favorable relationship with Microsoft, we will have difficulty marketing and selling our software and services and may not receive developer releases of Microsoft Windows Embedded and Windows Mobile operating systems. As a result, our revenue and operating results could suffer.

We maintain a strategic marketing relationship with Microsoft. If our relationship with Microsoft deteriorates for any reason, such as an increased focus by us on customers building devices utilizing non-Microsoft operating systems, our efforts to market and sell our software and services to our customers could be adversely affected and our business could be harmed. Microsoft has significant influence over the development plans and buying decisions of customers utilizing the various Microsoft Windows Embedded and Windows Mobile operating systems. Microsoft provides customer referrals to us. Moreover, Microsoft controls the marketing campaigns related to its operating systems. Microsoft's marketing activities are important to the continued promotion and market acceptance of Microsoft Windows Embedded and Windows Mobile operating systems and, consequently, to our sale of Windows-based software and services. We must maintain a favorable relationship with Microsoft to continue to participate in joint marketing activities with them, which includes participating in "partner pavilions" at trade shows, listing our services on Microsoft's website, and receiving customer referrals. If we are unable to continue our joint marketing efforts with Microsoft, or fail to receive referrals from them, we would be required to devote significant additional resources and incur additional expenses to market our software products and services directly to potential customers. In addition, we depend on Microsoft for developer releases of new versions of, and upgrades to, its Windows Embedded and Windows Mobile software in order to facilitate timely development and delivery of our own software and services. If we are unable to maintain our favorable relationship with Microsoft and no longer continue receiving such new versions and updates, our revenue could decline significantly, and/or our costs could increase significantly, thereby negatively impacting our operating results.

Unexpected delays or announcement of delays by Microsoft related to product releases of Windows Embedded or Windows Mobile operating systems could adversely affect our revenue and operating results.

Unexpected delays or announcement of delays in Microsoft's delivery schedule for new versions of Windows Embedded or Windows Mobile operating systems could cause us to delay our product introductions or impede our ability to sell our products and services and/or to complete customer projects on a timely basis. Such delays or announcements of delays by Microsoft could also cause our customers to delay or cancel their project development activities or product introductions, which could negatively impact our revenue and operating results.

If Microsoft adds features to its Windows operating systems or develops products that directly compete with products and services we provide, our revenue and operating results could be negatively impacted.

As the developer of Windows Embedded and Windows Mobile operating systems, Microsoft could add features which eliminate or reduce our customers' need for our software and services, or Microsoft could develop standalone products and services that compete with the products and services we provide to our customers. The ability of our customers, or potential customers, to obtain products and services directly from Microsoft that compete with our products and services could negatively impact our revenue and operating results. Even if the standard features of future Microsoft operating system software were more limited than our offerings, a significant number of our customers, and potential customers, might elect to accept more limited functionality in lieu of purchasing additional software from us or delay the purchase of our products and services while they perform a comparison of Microsoft's competing offerings. Moreover, the resulting competitive pressures could lead to price reductions for our offerings and reduce our revenue and gross profit accordingly and our operating results could be negatively impacted.

Our business and results of operations would be adversely impacted if Microsoft decided to provide some, or all, of its Windows Embedded or Windows Mobile operating systems which we currently resell, free of charge to customers.

Microsoft has recently announced programs to offer certain consumer Windows phone and tablet-based operating systems to customers free of charge, subject to certain limitations. While we do not distribute these operating systems

today under our ODA with Microsoft, if Microsoft were to pursue a similar strategy and offer operating systems that we do currently distribute free of charge, our business and results of operations would be adversely impacted.

Microsoft will be introducing the Windows 10 operating system software in the near future, and Microsoft's pricing and support for this new operating system could directly impact our revenue and margins.

In January 2015, Microsoft announced a new operating system, Windows 10, but has not indicated when the software will be available to customers. Microsoft indicated that Windows 10 will be delivered as a service which may alter the traditional business model used to sell Microsoft licenses as a software product. As a reseller of Microsoft Windows Embedded and Windows Mobile operating systems, changes Microsoft's business model may adversely impact our revenue and gross profit and our operating results could be negatively impacted.

If the markets for Windows Embedded and/or Windows Mobile operating systems decline, our business and operating results may be materially harmed.

Because a significant portion of our revenue to date has been generated by software and services targeted at customers and devices utilizing the various Microsoft Windows Embedded and Windows Mobile operating systems, if the markets for these systems or platforms decline, our business and operating results could be negatively impacted. Market acceptance of Microsoft Windows Embedded and Windows Mobile operating systems will depend on many factors, including:

- Microsoft's development and support of various Windows Embedded and Windows Mobile markets. As the developer and primary promoter of several versions of operating systems aimed at Windows Embedded and Windows Mobile markets, if Microsoft were to decide to discontinue or lessen its support of these operating systems and platforms, potential customers could select competing operating systems, which could reduce the demand for our Microsoft Windows Embedded and Windows Mobile related software products and engineering services, from which a significant portion of our revenue is generated today;
- The ability of the Microsoft Windows Embedded and/or Windows Mobile operating systems to compete against existing and emerging operating systems for the smart connected systems market, including: iOS from Apple, Inc., VxWorks and Linux from WindRiver Systems Inc.; Android from Google Inc.; QNX; Blackberry from Research In Motion Limited ("RIM"); and other proprietary operating systems. Microsoft Windows Embedded and Windows Mobile operating systems may be unsuccessful in capturing a significant share of the smart connected systems market, or in maintaining its market share;
- The acceptance by customers of the mix of features and functions offered by Microsoft Windows Embedded and Windows Mobile operating systems; and
- The willingness of software developers to continue to develop and expand the applications running on Microsoft Windows Embedded and Windows Mobile operating systems. To the extent that software developers write applications for competing operating systems that are more attractive to users than those available on Microsoft Windows Embedded and Windows Mobile operating systems, this could cause potential customers to select competing operating systems.

#### MyFord Touch-Related Risk Factors

If we do not obtain additional work on the MyFord Touch program, our future revenue and gross profit would decrease and our operating results would be adversely affected.

We began working on the MyFord Touch infotainment project with Ford in the second quarter of 2008 and continue to work on the program. Since inception of the program through December 31, 2011, our contractual relationship was with Ford. Effective January 1, 2012, our contractual relationship for the MyFord Touch program shifted from Ford to Microsoft, as Microsoft has become the primary vendor to Ford for this program. In 2014 the relationship added Elektrobit Automotive. In December 2014, Ford introduced Sync 3, the next generation MyFord Touch program that is scheduled to be deployed on cars in 2016 and will be based on QNX software from Blackberry instead of software from Microsoft.

Revenue from the MyFord Touch program comprised \$2.8 million, or 3%, of our total revenue in 2014, and \$4.5 million, or 5%, of our total revenue in 2013. We currently expect this project work to continue in 2015 but expect revenue from the MyFord Touch program to be lower, albeit still significant in 2015, than it was in 2013 due to the lower level of personnel resources utilized on the program. Our statements of work with Microsoft and Ford currently run through June 30, 2015, and with Elektrobit through December 31, 2015. While we expect to be actively involved in current generation MyFord Touch projects with Microsoft, Ford and Elektrobit through 2015 and beyond, we expect our role on MyFord Touch will be scaled back or eliminated because of the transition to QNX software for the next generation Sync 3 and our revenue and resulting gross profit will decline accordingly.



General Business-Related Risk Factors

Our marketplace is extremely competitive, which may result in price reductions, lower gross profit margins and loss of market share.

The market for device software and services is extremely competitive. We face competition from the following:

- Our current and potential customers' internal research and development departments, which may seek to develop their own software solutions which compete with our proprietary software products and engineering services;
- Engineering service firms, including off-shore development companies, such as Adeneo, SymphonyTeleca and Wipro;
- ODMs, particularly those in Taiwan and China, which have added or are adding software development capabilities to their offerings;
- Contract manufacturers which have added or are adding software development capabilities to their offerings;

10

---

- Mobile and embedded test automation providers including Perfecto Mobile, mVerify, JAMO Solutions, SmartBear Software (AutomatedQA), TestPlant (eggPlant) and Keynote. In this market we also compete against customers' internally created tools and against manual testing;
- Microsoft Windows Embedded and Windows Mobile operating system distributors such as Arrow Electronics, Inc. Avnet, Inc. and Synnex Corporation in Taiwan;
- Marketing agencies and specialized vendors who provide mobile application services; and
- We will encounter increasing competition in the growing Internet of Things market from a number of additional software and service providers as we expand our focus on this market in 2015 and beyond.

Some of our competitors focus on only one aspect of our business or offer complementary products which can be integrated with our products. As we develop and bring to market new software products and service offerings, we may begin competing with companies with which we have not previously competed. Further, as we expand the geographic markets into which we sell our software solutions and related services, or increase our penetration therein, we may expect to increasingly compete with companies with which we have not previously competed. It is also possible that new competitors will enter the market or that our competitors will form alliances, including alliances with Microsoft, that may enable them to rapidly increase their market share. Microsoft has not agreed to any exclusive arrangement with us, nor has it agreed not to compete with us. Microsoft may decide to bring more of the core embedded development services and expertise that we provide in-house, possibly resulting in reduced software and service revenue opportunities for us. The barrier to entering the market as a provider of Microsoft-based smart connected system software and services is low. In addition, Microsoft has created marketing programs to encourage systems integrators to work on Windows Embedded and Windows Mobile operating system software and services. These systems integrators are given substantially the same access by Microsoft to the Microsoft technology as we are. New competitors may have lower overhead than we do and may be able to undercut our pricing. We expect that competition will increase as other established and emerging companies enter the connected device market, and as new products and technologies are introduced.

Management turnover creates uncertainties and could harm our business.

We had significant changes in executive leadership during 2013 and 2014 and more could occur. Jerry D. Chase was appointed as President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company in February 2014. Mr. Chase joined our Board of Directors in July 2013 and had served as our interim Chief Executive Officer since September 23, 2013, after Brian Crowley stepped down as President and Chief Executive Officer and from the Board of Directors. In October 2013, John Traynor, Senior Vice President, Products left the company as part of our restructuring. In addition, Mike Stipe, Senior Vice President, Worldwide Sales and Marketing departed in December 2013. Mark D. Whiteside has taken on the role of Vice President, Solutions and Scott B. Caldwell has taken on the role as Vice President, Worldwide OEM Sales. Scott Mahan resigned as Chief Financial Officer in August 2014 and was replaced by Martin L. Heimbigner in November 2014.

Changes to strategic or operating goals, which can often times occur with the appointment of new executives, can create uncertainty, may negatively impact our ability to execute quickly and effectively, and may ultimately be unsuccessful. In addition, executive leadership transition periods are often difficult as the new executives gain detailed knowledge of our operations, and friction can result from changes in strategy and management style. Management turnover inherently causes some loss of institutional knowledge, which can negatively affect strategy and execution. Until we integrate new personnel, and unless they are able to succeed in their positions, we may be unable to successfully manage and grow our business, and our financial condition and profitability may suffer.

Further, to the extent we experience additional management turnover, competition for top management is high and it may take months to find a candidate that meets our requirements. If we are unable to attract and retain qualified management personnel, our business could suffer.

Our ability to maintain or grow our proprietary software revenue is contingent on our ability to bring to market competitive, unique offerings that keep pace with technological changes and needs. If we are not successful in doing

so, our business would be negatively impacted.

Proprietary software product revenue provides us with much higher gross profit margins than we typically receive from third-party software products and our engineering service offerings and provide other advantages as well. Increasing the number and amount of proprietary products we sell is an important part of our growth strategy. Our ability to maintain and increase the revenue contribution from proprietary software products is contingent on our ability to enhance the features and functionality of our current proprietary products as well as to devise, develop and introduce new products. There can be no assurance that we will be able to maintain or expand the number of proprietary products that we sell, and our failure to do so could negatively impact revenue and our operating results.

11

---

We may experience delays in our efforts to develop new products and services, and these delays could cause us to miss market opportunities which could negatively impact our revenue and operating results.

The market for our software and services evolves rapidly. As a result, the life cycles of our products and services are difficult to estimate. To be successful, we believe we must continue to enhance our current offerings and provide new software and service offerings with attractive features, prices and terms that appeal to our customers. We have experienced delays in new software and service offering introductions in the past and may do so again in the future. Our revenue and operating results may be negatively impacted if we delay releases of new products, product enhancements and/or new services offerings, or if we fail to accurately anticipate our customers' needs or technical trends and are unable to introduce new products and service offerings into the market successfully. In addition, our customers may defer or forego purchases of our products and/or services if we, Microsoft, our competitors or major hardware, systems or software vendors introduce or announce new products.

Our future success depends upon our customers' ability to successfully sell their products incorporating our technology, and to continue buying our services.

Even if a customer selects us to provide software and services, the customer may not ultimately market and sell its product successfully. A cancellation or change in plans by a customer, whether from lack of market acceptance of its products or otherwise, could cause us to lose revenue that we had anticipated and our revenue and operating results would suffer. Also, our revenue and operating results could suffer if a significant customer reduces or delays orders during our sales cycle or chooses not to release products that contain our technology.

If the market for smart, connected systems evolves based on technologies that we do not support, our revenue may not grow as anticipated, if at all, and our business would be harmed.

The technologies used in smart, connected systems change very rapidly and it is often difficult to foresee and predict which application processors, operating systems, connectivity, testing and application development technologies will gain a foothold in device development. As a smaller company we can invest our resources in a limited number of technologies and as such must make forward investments in developing products and capabilities to support the technologies that we choose. If we make investments in technologies that do not gain traction in device development, our profit potential is uncertain and our revenue may not develop as anticipated. We are dependent upon the broad acceptance and adoption by businesses and consumers of a wide variety of device technologies, which will depend on many factors, including:

- The development of content and applications for smart, connected systems;
- The evolution of industry standards or the necessary infrastructure that facilitate the distribution of content over the internet to these systems via wired and wireless telecommunications systems, satellite or cable; and
- The amount of discretionary funds companies and individuals have to spend for the latest technologies.

DataV, our new proprietary actionable data solution for the Internet of Things ( IoT) market may not gain traction with potential customers.

During 2014 we were developing our DataV proprietary software product, which is an actionable data solution for the Internet of Things (IoT) market. As a new product, DataV may gain customer traction in 2015 more slowly than anticipated and our profit potential from this new product is uncertain. We expect to continue to make investments in the development of DataV, and this will increase our operating expenses. We cannot assure you that there will be sufficient, or any, corresponding increases in revenue generated by sales of DataV, or if it will achieve customer adoption quickly, or at all.

MobileV, our new software product designed to address the needs of OEMs who are developing highly ruggedized and durable mobile computing products may not gain traction with potential customers.

During 2014, we began selling MobileV a complete hardware and software reference solution based on Intel® architecture and Microsoft Windows® Embedded 8.1 that combines board reference designs from Aava Mobile, along with our proprietary software to address the needs of OEMs who are developing highly ruggedized and durable mobile computing products. Our sales of this new product may gain customer traction more slowly than anticipated, or not at all, and our profit potential from this new product is uncertain.

The success and profitability of our service offerings are contingent on our ability to differentiate these offerings adequately in the marketplace, which is, in turn, contingent on our ability to retain our engineering personnel and defend our billing rate structure against those of our competitors, including those using significant lower-cost offshore resources. If we are unable to do so successfully, our business could be harmed.

We are a leader in providing engineering services to customers developing smart devices. Our market differentiation is created through several factors, including our experience with a variety of smart connected system platforms and applications. Our

12

---

differentiation is contingent, in part, on our ability to attract and retain employees with this expertise, a significant portion of which are currently based in the United States. To the extent we are unable to retain critical engineering services talent and/or our competition is able to deliver the same services by using significant lower-cost offshore resources, our service revenue and operating results could be negatively impacted.

The success and profitability of our service engagements are contingent upon our ability to scope and bid engagements and deliver our services profitably. If we are unable to do so, our service revenue service gross profit margin and operating results could be negatively impacted.

Various factors may cause the total cost of service projects to exceed the original estimate provided to the customer or the contractual maximum in the case of fixed price contracts, including specification changes, customer deliverable delays, inadequate scoping and inefficient service delivery. If we are unable to adequately scope, bid and deliver on service engagements successfully, our service revenue, service gross margin and operating results could be negatively impacted. In addition, depending on the cause of an overrun for a given customer and project, we may also decide to provide pricing concessions to that customer which could negatively impact our service revenue, service gross profit and operating results.

We periodically enter into engineering service agreements in which we agree to perform our engineering service work for lower up-front fees, or for no fees, in exchange for future royalties or per unit fees. There is no guarantee that these arrangements will produce the strategic or economic returns as anticipated.

We have entered into contracts that involve reducing or eliminating up-front engineering service fees in return for a per-device/chip royalty or fee that is earned as devices or chips are shipped by our customers, and we may enter into more such agreements in the future. Because we are delaying revenue past the point where our services are performed, there is a risk that our customers may cancel their projects or that their devices or chips may not be successful in the market, which could negatively impact our revenue and operating results.

Cooperation and support from silicon vendors is critical for the success of our products and related services that are developed for their particular silicon architecture. Such cooperation cannot be assured.

We have developed, and continue to develop, software and service offerings based on certain silicon architectures. Due to the nature of the industry we serve and the products we develop, it is necessary for us to make certain assumptions regarding which silicon vendors will be successful in the various markets we serve and upon which we are making investments. It is therefore important that there is ongoing support from the SVs in the marketplace for these silicon architectures. There can be no assurance that TI, Qualcomm, Freescale, Intel or any of the other SVs will continue to pursue and support the markets that we have been targeting. If the SVs do not support our efforts going forward, our revenue and operating results could be negatively impacted.

The long sales cycle of our products and services makes our revenue susceptible to fluctuations.

Our sales cycle is can run three to twelve months because the expense and complexity of the software and service offerings we sell generally require a lengthy customer approval process and may be subject to a number of significant risks over which we have little or no control, including:

- Customers' budgetary constraints and internal acceptance review procedures;
- The approval cycles for our customers may be longer due to economic uncertainties;
- The timing of budget cycles; and
- The timing of customers' competitive evaluation processes.

In addition, to successfully sell our software and engineering service offerings, we must frequently educate our potential customers about the full benefits of our software and services, which can require significant time. If our sales cycle further lengthens unexpectedly, it could adversely affect the timing of our revenue, which could cause our

quarterly results to fluctuate.

Erosion of the financial condition of our customers could adversely affect our business.

Our business could be adversely affected if the financial condition of our customers erodes because such erosion could reduce demand from those customers for our software and engineering services. This could also cause them to terminate their relationships with us, and/or could increase the risk that such customers default on their payment obligations to us. Additionally, while we believe that our allowance for doubtful accounts is adequate, economic trends may cause more companies to default or cease operations, in which case our allowances may not cover actual losses, which could adversely affect our operating results.

13

---

Our business and operations would be adversely impacted in the event of a failure or interruption of our information technology infrastructure.

The proper functioning of our information technology infrastructure is critical to the efficient operation and management of our business. Our technology infrastructure is vulnerable to cyber-attacks, computer viruses, worms and other malicious software programs, physical and electronic break-ins, sabotage and similar disruptions from unauthorized tampering with our computer systems. We believe that we have adopted appropriate measures to mitigate potential risks to our technology infrastructure and our operations from these information technology-related and other potential disruptions. However, given the unpredictability of the timing, nature and scope of such disruptions, we could potentially be subject to downtimes, operational delays, other detrimental impacts on our operations or ability to provide products and services to our customers, the compromising of confidential or otherwise protected information, destruction or corruption of data, security breaches, other manipulation or improper use of our systems and networks, financial losses from remedial actions, loss of business or potential liability, and/or damage to our reputation, any of which could have a material adverse effect on our cash flows, competitive position, financial condition or results of operations.

We may be subject to product liability claims that could result in significant costs.

Our software license and service agreements with our customers typically contain provisions designed to limit our exposure to potential product liability claims. It is possible, however, that these provisions may be ineffective under the laws of certain jurisdictions or that our customers may not agree to these limitations. Although we have not experienced any product liability claims to date, the sale and support of our products and services may be subject to such claims in the future. There is a risk that any such claims or liabilities may exceed, or fall outside, the scope of our insurance coverage, and we may be unable to retain adequate liability insurance in the future. A product liability claim brought against us, whether successful or not, could negatively impact our business and operating results.

Our business and results of operations would be adversely impacted in the event that we incur business losses that are not covered by or exceed our existing business insurance coverage.

We are insured against certain business risks and liabilities under our corporate insurance policies. However to the extent that we incur losses in connection with our business that are not covered by our existing insurance policies or that exceed our existing policy coverage, our business and results of operations would be adversely impacted.

Negative business conditions, changes in useful lives, and other factors may negatively affect the carrying value of the intangible assets and goodwill we have acquired.

In the third quarter of 2011, we acquired MPC Data, Ltd. including certain intangible assets with a carrying value of \$660,000 and goodwill of \$3.7 million as of December 31, 2014. Although we expect to generate cash from the intangible assets in the future, and do not foresee any impairment of our goodwill, it is possible that we may be required to reassess the carrying value of these assets in the future if certain negative business conditions occur such as negative results of our business associated with our intangible assets or a low stock price. Any reduction in the carrying value of these intangible assets or goodwill would result in an impairment charge that would negatively impact our operating results. Further, business conditions and other factors may also require us to reassess the useful lives associated with intangible assets.

Past acquisitions have proven difficult to integrate, and recent or future acquisitions, if any, could disrupt our business, dilute shareholder value and negatively affect our operating results.

We have acquired the technologies, assets and/or operations of other companies in the past and may acquire or make investments in companies, products, services and technologies in the future as part of our growth strategy. If we fail to properly evaluate, integrate and execute on our acquisitions and investments, our business and prospects may be



seriously harmed. To successfully complete an acquisition, we must properly evaluate the technology, market and management team, accurately forecast the financial impact of the transaction, including accounting charges and transaction expenses, integrate and retain personnel, combine potentially different corporate cultures and effectively integrate products and research and development, sales, marketing and support operations. If we fail to do any of these, we may suffer losses and impair relationships with our employees, customers and strategic partners. Additionally, management may be distracted from day-to-day operations. We also may be unable to maintain uniform standards, controls, procedures and policies, which are especially critical in light of requirements under the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and other corporate governance requirements, and significant demands may be placed on our management and our operations, information services and financial, legal and marketing resources. Finally, acquired businesses sometimes result in unexpected liabilities and contingencies, which may involve compliance with foreign laws, payment of taxes, labor negotiations or other unknown costs and expenses, which could be significant.

We may not be able to raise additional capital if required to support our business.

If we need to raise additional operating capital, we may find that our access to equity and debt capital markets is limited or blocked entirely. In the past, our stock has traded at low volumes and overall investor confidence in the stock market could falter. This

could make it difficult or impossible to sell additional shares on the public markets. In addition, it may be difficult and costly to obtain debt financing and we may be unable to borrow additional funds at acceptable cost, or at all, should the need arise. If we are unable to raise capital as necessary, it may adversely affect our ability to invest in products or fund operations, which would materially harm our business and negatively affect operating results.

Taxing jurisdictions in the United States are becoming more aggressive with tax legislation and tax collection, particularly states facing significant budget deficits, which could expose us to additional tax liability that we have not been subject to in the past.

We make sales in many jurisdictions across the United States, most of which we do not have nexus in and, therefore, are not subject to sales, franchise, income and other state and local taxes. Particularly in light of state revenue deficits, taxing jurisdictions have become more aggressive in defining nexus, among other things, which could result in us achieving nexus, or potentially achieving nexus in significantly more tax jurisdictions. If this occurs and unless we are able to pass through this cost to our customers, our tax expense will increase which will negatively affect our results of operations. Further, because state and local tax laws are becoming increasingly complex, our cost to monitor our state and local tax compliance will increase which will negatively affect our results of operations. Additionally, there can be no assurance that we do not currently have unknown tax exposure in a state or local tax jurisdiction because of recent tax law changes which we are unaware of and the resulting liability could be significant and would negatively affect our results of operations.

There may be restrictions on the use of our net operating loss and tax credit carryforwards due to a tax law “ownership change.”

Section 382 of the U.S. Internal Revenue Code restricts the ability of a corporation that undergoes an ownership change to use net operating loss and tax credit carryforwards. At December 31, 2014, we had approximately \$59.7 million of federal and \$3.4 million of state net operating loss carryforwards and \$2.7 million of tax credit carryforwards. Under the applicable tax rules, an ownership change occurs if greater than five percent shareholders of an issuer’s outstanding common stock collectively increase their ownership percentage by more than 50 percentage points over a rolling three-year period. We have performed analyses of possible ownership changes which included consideration of a third-party study, and do not believe that an ownership change as defined by Section 382 has occurred. However, if a tax law ownership change has occurred of which we are not aware, or if a tax law ownership change occurs in the future, we may have to adjust the valuation of our deferred tax assets, and could be at risk of having to pay income taxes notwithstanding the existence of our sizable carryforwards. Further, to the extent that we have utilized our carryforwards from prior years, the existence of a previous tax law ownership change that we did not account for could result in liability for back taxes, interest, and penalties. If we are unable to utilize our carryforwards and/or if we previously utilized carryforwards to which we were not entitled, it would negatively impact our business, financial condition and operating results.

#### Intellectual Property-Related Risk Factors

Our software and service offerings could infringe the intellectual property rights of third parties, which could expose us to additional costs and litigation and could adversely affect our ability to sell our products and services or cause shipment delays or stoppages.

It is difficult to determine whether our software and engineering services infringe third-party intellectual property rights, particularly in a rapidly evolving technological environment in which technologies often overlap and where there may be numerous patent applications pending, many of which are confidential when filed. If we were to discover that one of our software or service offerings, or a product based on one of our reference designs, violated a third-party’s proprietary rights, we may not be able to obtain a license on commercially reasonable terms, or at all, to continue offering that product or service. Similarly, third parties may claim that our current or future software products and services infringe their proprietary rights, regardless of whether such claims have merit. Any such claims

could increase our costs and negatively impact our business and operating results. In certain cases, we have been unable to obtain indemnification against claims that third-party technology incorporated into our software products and services infringe the proprietary rights of others. However, any indemnification we do obtain may be limited in scope or amount. Even if we receive broad third-party indemnification, these entities may not have the financial capability to indemnify us in the event of infringement. In addition, in some circumstances we are required to indemnify our customers for claims made against them that are based on our software products or services. There can be no assurance that infringement or invalidity claims related to the software products and services we provide, or arising from the incorporation by us of third-party technology, and claims for indemnification from our customers resulting from such claims, will not be asserted or prosecuted against us. Some of our competitors have, or are affiliated, with companies with substantially greater resources than we have, and these competitors may be able to sustain the costs of complex intellectual property litigation to a greater degree and for longer periods of time than we could. In addition, we expect that software developers will be increasingly subject to infringement claims as the number of products and competitors in the software industry grows, and as the functionality of products in different industry segments increasingly overlap. Such claims, even if not meritorious, could result in the expenditure of significant financial and managerial resources in addition to potential product redevelopment costs and delays. Furthermore, if we were unsuccessful in resolving a patent or other intellectual property infringement action claim against us, we may be prohibited from developing or commercializing certain of our technologies and products, or

delivering services based on the infringing technology, unless we obtain a license from the holder of the patent or other intellectual property rights. There can be no assurance that we would be able to obtain any such license on commercially favorable terms, or at all. If such license is not obtained, we would be required to cease these related business operations, which could negatively impact our business, revenue and operating results.

If we fail to adequately protect our intellectual property rights, competitors may be able to use our technology or trademarks, which could weaken our competitive position, reduce our revenue and increase our costs.

If we fail to adequately protect our intellectual property, our competitive position could be weakened and our revenue and operating results adversely affected. We rely primarily on confidentiality procedures and contractual provisions as well as a combination of patent, copyright, trade secret and trademark laws, to protect our intellectual property. These laws and procedures provide only limited protection. It is possible that another party could obtain patents that block our use of some, or all, of our software and services. If that occurred, we would need to obtain a license from the patent holder or design around those patents. The patent holder may or may not choose to make a license available to us at all, or on acceptable terms. Similarly, it may not be possible to design around a blocking patent. In general, there can be no assurance that our efforts to protect our intellectual property rights through patent, copyright, trade secret and trademark laws will be effective to prevent misappropriation of our technology, or to prevent the development and design by others of products or technologies similar to or competitive with those developed by us.

We frequently license the source code of our software products and the source code results of our services to customers. There can be no assurance that customers with access to our source code will comply with the license terms or that we will discover any violations of the license terms or, in the event of discovery of violations, that we will be able to successfully enforce the license terms and/or recover the economic value lost from such violations. To license some of our software products, we rely in part on “shrink-wrap” and “click wrap” licenses that are not signed by the end user and, therefore, may be unenforceable under the laws of certain jurisdictions. As with other software, our software products are susceptible to unauthorized copying and uses that may go undetected, and policing such unauthorized use is difficult. A significant portion of our marks include the word “BSQUARE.” Other companies use forms of “BSQUARE” in their marks alone, or in combination with other words, and we cannot prevent all such third-party uses. We license certain trademark rights to third parties. Such licensees may not abide by our compliance and quality control guidelines with respect to such trademark rights. Any of these outcomes could negatively impact our brand, dilute its recognition in the marketplace, or confuse potential customers, all of which could harm our business.

The computer software market is characterized by frequent and substantial intellectual property litigation, which is often complex and expensive, and involves a significant diversion of resources and uncertainty of outcome. Litigation may be necessary in the future to enforce our intellectual property or to defend against a claim of infringement or invalidity. Litigation could result in substantial costs and the diversion of resources and could negatively impact our business and operating results.

Our software or hardware products or the third-party hardware or software integrated with our products or delivered as part of our service offerings may suffer from defects or errors that could impair our ability to sell our products and services.

Software and hardware components as complex as those needed for smart connected systems frequently contain errors or defects, especially when first introduced or when new versions are released. We have had to delay commercial release of certain versions of our products until problems were corrected and, in some cases, have provided product enhancements to correct errors in released products. Some of our contracts require us to repair or replace products that fail to work. To the extent that we repair or replace products our expenses may increase. In addition, it is possible that by the time defects are fixed, the market opportunity may decline which may result in lost revenue. Moreover, to the extent that we provide increasingly comprehensive products and services, particularly those focused on hardware, and rely on third-party manufacturers and suppliers to manufacture these products, we will be dependent on the ability of

third-party manufacturers to correct, identify and prevent manufacturing errors. Errors that are discovered after commercial release could result in loss of revenue or delay in market acceptance, diversion of development resources, damage to our reputation and increased service and warranty costs, all of which could negatively impact our business and operating results.

If we are unable to license key software from third parties, our business could be harmed.

We sometimes integrate third-party software with our proprietary software and engineering service offerings or sell such third-party software offerings on a standalone basis (e.g. Microsoft Windows Embedded operating systems under our ODA with Microsoft). If our relationships with these third-party software vendors were to deteriorate, or be eliminated in their entirety, we might be unable to obtain licenses on commercially reasonable terms, if at all. In the event that we are unable to obtain these third-party software offerings, we would be required to develop this technology internally, assuming it was economically or technically feasible, or seek similar software offerings from other third parties assuming there were competing offerings in the marketplace, which could delay or limit our ability to introduce enhancements or new products, or to continue to sell existing products and engineering services, thereby negatively impacting our revenue and operating results.

### Governance-Related Risk Factors

It might be difficult for a third-party to acquire us even if doing so would be beneficial to our shareholders.

Certain provisions of our articles of incorporation, bylaws and Washington law may discourage, delay or prevent a change in the control of us or a change in our management, even if doing so would be beneficial to our shareholders. Our Board of Directors has the authority under our amended and restated articles of incorporation to issue preferred stock with rights superior to the rights of the holders of common stock. As a result, preferred stock could be issued quickly and easily with terms calculated to delay or prevent a change in control of our company or make removal of our management more difficult. In addition, our Board of Directors is divided into three classes. The directors in each class serve for three-year terms, one class being elected each year by our shareholders. This system of electing and removing directors may discourage a third party from making a tender offer or otherwise attempting to obtain control of our company because it generally makes it more difficult for shareholders to replace a majority of our directors. In addition, Chapter 19 of the Washington Business Corporation Act generally prohibits a “target corporation” from engaging in certain significant business transactions with a defined “acquiring person” for a period of five years after the acquisition, unless the transaction or acquisition of shares is approved by a majority of the members of the target corporation’s Board of Directors prior to the time of acquisition. This provision may have the effect of delaying, deterring or preventing a change in control of our company. The existence of these anti-takeover provisions could limit the price that investors might be willing to pay in the future for shares of our common stock.

### International Operations-Related Risk Factors

Our international operations expose us to greater intellectual property, management, collections, regulatory and other risks.

Customers outside of North America generated 10% of our total revenue in 2014 and 13% in 2013. We currently have sizable operations outside of North America in Taiwan and the United Kingdom and also have a minor sales and support presence in Japan. In 2013, we closed our operations in Korea, China and Germany. Our international activities and operations expose us to a number of risks, including the following:

- Greater difficulty in protecting intellectual property due to less stringent foreign intellectual property laws and enforcement policies;
- Longer collection cycles than we typically experience in North America;
- Unfavorable changes in regulatory practices and tariffs;
- Compliance with complex regulatory regimes or restrictions on import and export of our goods and services;
- Complex and/or adverse tax laws and/or changes thereto. Additionally, we may be subject to income, withholding and other taxes for which we may realize no current benefit despite the existence of significant net operating loss and tax credit carryforwards in the U.S.;
- Loss or reduction of withholding tax exemptions;
- The impact of fluctuating exchange rates between the U.S. dollar and foreign currencies;
- General economic and political conditions in international markets which may differ from those in the U.S.;
- Increased exposure to potential liability under the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act;
- Added cost and administrative burden associated with creating and operating business structures in other jurisdictions;
- Potential labor costs and risks associated with employees and labor laws in other geographies; and
- The inherent risks of working in a certain highly regulated and/or controlled economies where relationships between company management and government officials is critical to timely processing of approvals required to conduct business.

These risks could have a material adverse effect on the financial and managerial resources required to operate our foreign offices, as well as on our future international revenue, which could negatively impact our business and operating results.

As our customers seek more cost-effective locations to develop and manufacture their products, particularly overseas locations, our ability to continue to sell these customers our software products and services could be limited, which could negatively impact our revenue and operating results.

Due to competitive and other pressures, some of our customers have moved, and others may seek to move, the development and manufacturing of their smart, connected systems to overseas locations, which may limit our ability to sell these customers our software and services. As an example, under our ODA with Microsoft, we are currently only able to sell Microsoft Windows Embedded operating systems to our customers in the United States, Canada, the Caribbean (excluding Cuba), Mexico, the European Union and the European Free Trade Association. If our customers, or potential customers, move their manufacturing overseas we may be less competitive, which could negatively impact our revenue and operating results.

17

---

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments.

Not applicable.

Item 2. Properties.

As of December 31, 2014, our corporate headquarters are located in 24,061 square feet of leased space in a single location in Bellevue, Washington. The lease term ends in May 2020.

In North America, we also lease office space in San Diego, California; Boston, Massachusetts; and Akron, Ohio. We lease office space overseas in Tokyo, Japan; Taipei, Taiwan; and Trowbridge, United Kingdom. Our facilities have sufficient capacity to support our current operational needs as well as short-term growth plans.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings.

None.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures.

Not applicable.



## PART II

## Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities.

## Market Information

Our common stock is traded on the NASDAQ Global Market under the symbol "BSQR." The following table sets forth the high and low closing prices for our common stock for the periods indicated, as reported by the NASDAQ Global Market.

	High	Low
Year Ended December 31, 2014:		
First Quarter	\$3.72	\$3.15
Second Quarter	\$3.49	\$3.06
Third Quarter	\$4.13	\$2.84
Fourth Quarter	\$4.55	\$3.50
Year Ended December 31, 2013:		
First Quarter	\$3.57	\$2.85
Second Quarter	\$3.18	\$2.64
Third Quarter	\$2.96	\$2.69
Fourth Quarter	\$3.58	\$2.61

## Holders

As of January 31, 2015, there were 123 holders of record of our common stock. Because many shares of our common stock are held by brokers and other institutions on behalf of shareholders, we are unable to determine the total number of shareholders represented by these holders of record.

## Dividends

We have never paid cash dividends on our common stock. We currently intend to retain any future earnings to fund future development and growth and, therefore, do not anticipate paying any consistent cash dividends in the foreseeable future. Our Board of Directors has, however, periodically considered implementing a share repurchase program and/or a special one-time cash dividend.

## Item 6. Selected Financial Data.

Not applicable.

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

The following Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and related notes. This Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations may contain some statements and information which are not historical facts but are forward-looking statements. For a discussion of these forward-looking statements, and of important factors that could cause results to differ materially from the forward-looking statements contained in this report, see Item 1 of Part I, "Business—Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements," and Item 1A of Part I, "Risk Factors."

Overview

We provide software solutions and related engineering services to companies that develop smart, connected systems. A smart, connected system is a dedicated purpose computing device that typically has a display, runs an operating system (e.g., Microsoft® Windows® Embedded Compact) and is usually connected to a network or data cloud via a wired or wireless connection. Examples of smart, connected systems include set-top boxes, home gateways, point-of-sale terminals, kiosks, voting machines, gaming platforms, tablets, handheld data collection devices, personal media players, smart phones, smart vending machines, in-vehicle telematics and entertainment devices. We primarily focus on smart, connected systems that utilize Microsoft Windows Embedded and Windows Mobile operating systems as well as devices running other popular operating systems such as Android, Linux and QNX.

We have been providing software solutions for smart, connected systems since our inception. Our customers include world class OEMs, ODMs and Enterprises, as well as SVs and peripheral vendors which purchase our software solutions for purposes of facilitating processor and peripheral sales. In the case of Enterprises, our customers include those which develop, market and distribute smart, connected systems on their own behalf as well as those that purchase systems from OEMs or ODMs and require additional software, integration and/or testing. The software solutions we provide are utilized and deployed throughout various phases of our customers' device life cycle, including design, development, customization, quality assurance and deployment.

Building on the traditional focus of our business noted above, increasingly we intend to focus on developing and offering our own products such as DataV to address the emerging IoT market. Similarly, we intend to focus on increasing the amount of our own intellectual property and know-how including in our MobileV product, a complete hardware and software reference solution for OEMs building durable and rugged handhelds and industrial tablets.

Critical Accounting Judgments

Revenue Recognition

We recognize revenue from software and engineering service sales when the following four revenue recognition criteria are met: persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists; delivery has occurred or services have been rendered; the selling price is fixed or determinable; and collectability is reasonably assured. Contracts and customer purchase orders are generally used to determine the existence of an arrangement. Shipping documents and time records are generally used to verify delivery. We assess whether the selling price is fixed or determinable based on the contract and/or customer purchase order and payment terms associated with the transaction and whether the sales price is subject to refund or adjustment. We assess collectability based primarily on the creditworthiness of the customer as determined by credit checks and analysis, as well as the customer's payment history. Periodically, we will begin work on engineering service engagements prior to having a signed contract and, in some cases, the contract is signed in a quarter after which service delivery costs are incurred. We do not defer costs associated with such engagements before we have received a signed contract.

We recognize software revenue upon shipment provided that no significant obligations remain on our part, substantive acceptance conditions, if any, have been met and the other revenue recognition criteria have been met. Service

revenue from time and materials contracts, and training service agreements, is recognized as services are performed. Fixed-price service agreements, and certain time and materials service agreements with capped fee structures, are accounted for using the percentage-of-completion method assuming reasonable estimates of completion can be made. We use the percentage-of-completion method of accounting because we believe it is the most accurate method to recognize revenue based on the nature and scope of these engineering service contracts; we believe it is a better measure of periodic income results than other methods and better matches revenue recognized with the costs incurred. Percentage of completion is measured based primarily on input measures such as hours incurred to date compared to total estimated hours to complete, with consideration given to output measures, such as contract milestones, when applicable. Significant judgment is required when estimating total hours and progress to completion on these arrangements which determines the amount of revenue we recognize as well as whether a loss is recognized if one is expected to be incurred for the remainder of the project. Revisions to hour and cost estimates are incorporated in the period in which the facts that give rise to the revision become known.

In certain situations when it is impractical for us to reliably estimate either specific amounts or ranges of contract revenues and costs, and where we anticipate that we will not incur a loss, a zero profit model is used for revenue recognition. Equal amounts of revenue and cost are recognized during the contract period, and profit is recognized when the project is completed and accepted. This

method was used in 2013 and 2014 for two engineering service contracts in Japan. The contracts were completed and accepted in the second and fourth quarters of 2014 and the profit from these contracts was recognized in 2014.

We also enter into arrangements in which a customer purchases a combination of software licenses, engineering services and post-contract customer support and/or maintenance (“PCS”). As a result, contract interpretation is sometimes required to determine the appropriate accounting, including how the price should be allocated among the deliverable elements if there are multiple elements. PCS may include rights to upgrades, when and if available, telephone support, updates and enhancements. When vendor specific objective evidence (“VSOE”) of fair value exists for all elements in a multiple element arrangement, revenue is allocated to each element based on the relative fair value of each of the elements. VSOE of fair value is established by the price charged when the same element is sold separately. Accordingly, the judgments involved in assessing the fair values of various elements of an agreement can impact the recognition of revenue in each period. Changes in the allocation of the sales price between deliverables might impact the timing of revenue recognition, but would not change the total revenue recognized on the contract. When elements such as software and engineering services are contained in a single arrangement, or in related arrangements with the same customer, we allocate revenue to each element based on its relative fair value, provided that such element meets the criteria for treatment as a separate unit of accounting. In the absence of fair value for a delivered element, revenue is first allocated to the fair value of the undelivered elements and then allocated to the residual delivered elements. In the absence of fair value for an undelivered element, the arrangement is accounted for as a single unit of accounting, resulting in a delay of revenue recognition for the delivered elements until the undelivered elements are fulfilled.

When engineering services and royalties are contained in a single arrangement, we recognize revenue from engineering services as earned in accordance with the criteria above even though the effective rate per hour may be lower than typical because the customer is contractually obligated to pay royalties on their device shipments. We recognize royalty revenue, classified as software revenue, when the royalty report from the customer is received or when such royalties are contractually guaranteed and the other revenue recognition criteria are met, particularly that collectability is reasonably assured.

There are two items involving revenue recognition that require us to make more difficult and subjective judgments: the determination of VSOE of fair value in multiple element arrangements and the estimation of percentage of completion on fixed-price service contracts. Historically, we have entered into very few multiple-element arrangements other than those involving the sale of PCS related to our TestQuest automated testing tools. We establish VSOE of fair value for TestQuest PCS based on the price when PCS is sold separately. VSOE of TestQuest PCS has been well established in the past as these products have been sold on a stand-alone basis for a number of years even prior to our acquisition of TestQuest assets in November 2008.

We measure our estimate of completion on fixed-price contracts, which in turn determines the amount of revenue we recognize, based primarily on actual hours incurred to date and our estimate of remaining hours necessary to complete the contract. The process of estimating the remaining hours on a contract involves detailed estimates of remaining hours by the engineers and project managers involved with the project, factoring in such variables as the remaining tasks, the complexity of the tasks, the contracted quality of the software to be provided, the customer’s estimated delivery date, integration of third-party software and quality thereof and other factors. Every fixed-price contract requires various approvals within our company, including our Chief Executive Officer if significant. This approval process takes into consideration a number of factors including the complexity of engineering. Historically, our estimation processes related to fixed-price contracts have been accurate based on the information known at the time of the reporting of our results. However, percentage-of-completion estimates require significant judgment. As of December 31, 2014, we were delivering engineering services under seven fixed-price service contracts. The percentage of completion calculations on these contracts represents management’s best estimates based on the facts and circumstances as of the filing of this report. If there are changes to the underlying facts and circumstances, revisions to the percentage-of-completion calculations will be recorded in the period the changes are noted. For illustrative purposes only, if we were 10% under in our estimates of completion on every fixed-bid contract active on

December 31, 2014, our revenue would have been over-stated by approximately \$358,000 for 2014.

#### Intangible Assets and Goodwill

We evaluate our intangible assets for indications of impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable. Our intangible assets were acquired through business acquisitions. Our intangible assets consist of customer relationships. Factors that could trigger an impairment analysis include significant under-performance relative to historical or projected future operating results, significant changes in the manner of our use of the acquired assets or the strategy for our overall business or significant negative industry or economic trends. If this evaluation indicates that the value of the intangible asset may be impaired, we make an assessment of the recoverability of the net carrying value of the asset over its remaining useful life. If this assessment indicates that the intangible asset is not recoverable, based on the estimated undiscounted future cash flows of the technology over the remaining useful life, we reduce the net carrying value of the related intangible asset to fair value. Any such impairment charge could be significant and could have a material adverse effect on our reported financial results.

We evaluate goodwill for impairment annually or more frequently when an event occurs or circumstances change that indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable. Our annual testing date is December 31. We test goodwill for impairment by

21

---

performing a qualitative assessment to determine whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of the reporting unit is less than the carrying amount. If it is more likely than not that the fair value of the reporting unit is greater than the carrying amount, further testing of impairment is not performed. If it is more likely than not that the fair value of the reporting unit is less than the carrying amount, we perform a quantitative two-step impairment test.

### Stock-Based Compensation

Our stock-based compensation expense for stock options is estimated at the grant date based on the stock award's fair value as calculated by the Black-Scholes-Merton ("BSM") option-pricing model and is recognized as expense over the requisite service period. The BSM model requires various highly judgmental assumptions including expected volatility and option life. If any of the assumptions used in the BSM model change significantly, stock-based compensation expense may differ materially in the future from that recorded in the current period. Restricted stock units ("RSUs") and restricted stock awards ("RSAs") are measured based on the fair market values of the underlying stock on the dates of grant as determined based on the number of shares granted and the quoted price of our common stock on the date of grant. In addition, we are required to estimate the expected forfeiture rate and only recognize expense for those shares expected to vest. We estimate the forfeiture rate based on historical experience and expected future activity. To the extent our actual forfeiture rate is different from our estimates, stock-based compensation expense is adjusted accordingly.

### Incentive Compensation

We make certain estimates, judgments and assumptions regarding the likelihood of attainment, and the level thereof, of bonuses payable under our annual incentive compensation programs. We accrue bonuses and recognize the resulting expense when the bonus is judged to be reasonably likely to be earned as of year-end and is estimable. The amount accrued, and expense recognized, is the estimated portion of the bonus earned on a year-to-date basis less any amounts previously accrued. These estimates, judgments and assumptions are made quarterly based on available information and take into consideration our year-to-date actual results and expected results for the remainder of the year. Because we consider estimated future results in assessing the likelihood of attainment, significant judgment is required. If actual results differ materially from our estimates, the amount of bonus expense recorded in a particular quarter could be significantly over or under estimated.

### Taxes

As part of the process of preparing our consolidated financial statements, we are required to estimate income taxes in each of the countries and other jurisdictions in which we operate. This process involves estimating our current tax expense together with assessing temporary differences resulting from the differing treatment of items for tax and accounting purposes. These differences result in deferred tax assets and liabilities. Net operating losses and tax credits, to the extent not already utilized to offset taxable income or income taxes, also give rise to deferred tax assets. We must then assess the likelihood that any deferred tax assets will be realized from future taxable income, and, to the extent we believe that recovery is not likely, we must establish a valuation allowance. Significant judgment is required in determining our provision for income taxes, deferred tax assets and liabilities and any valuation allowance recorded against our deferred tax assets. We estimate the valuation allowance related to our deferred tax assets on a quarterly basis.

Our sales may be subject to other taxes, particularly withholding taxes, due to our sales to customers in countries other than the United States. The tax regulations governing withholding taxes are complex, causing us to have to make assumptions about the appropriate tax treatment. Further, we make sales in many jurisdictions across the United States, where tax regulations are varied and complex. We must therefore continue to analyze our state tax exposure and determine what the appropriate tax treatments are, and make estimates for sales, franchise, income and other state taxes.



## Results of Operations

The following table presents certain financial data as a percentage of total revenue for the periods indicated. Our historical operating results are not necessarily indicative of the results for any future period.

	Year Ended December 31,	
	2014	2013
<b>Revenue:</b>		
Software	79 %	80 %
Service	21 %	20 %
Total revenue	100 %	100 %
<b>Cost of revenue:</b>		
Software	67 %	66 %
Service	16 %	18 %
Total cost of revenue	83 %	84 %
Gross profit	17 %	16 %
<b>Operating expenses:</b>		
Selling, general and administrative	13 %	17 %
Research and development	2 %	3 %
Total operating expenses	15 %	20 %
Income (loss) from operations	2 %	(4 )%
Other income, net	0 %	0 %
Income (loss) before income taxes	2 %	(4 )%
Income tax expense	0 %	(2 )%
Net income (loss)	2 %	(6 )%

## Comparison of the Years Ended December 31, 2014 and 2013

## Revenue

Our revenue is generated from the sale of software, both our own proprietary software and third-party software that we resell, and the sale of engineering services. Total revenue increased \$3.8 million, or 4%, to \$95.9 million in 2014 from \$92.1 million in 2013. This increase was driven by higher sales of Windows Embedded operating systems, and an increase in engineering services revenue, partially offset by a decline in proprietary software revenue. One customer, Future Electronics, Inc. accounted for 12% of total revenues in 2014. No other customers accounted for 10% or more of total revenue in either 2014 or 2013.

Revenue from our customers outside of North America decreased \$1.7 million, or 14%, to \$10.0 million in 2014, from \$11.7 million in 2013. Revenue from our customers outside of North America represented 10% of our total revenue in 2014, compared to 13% in 2013. The decrease in non-North American revenue in 2014 was primarily attributable to lower sales of Windows Mobile operating systems in Asia, lower engineering services revenue and lower proprietary software sales in Asia-

## Software revenue



Software revenue consists of sales of third-party software and revenue realized from our own proprietary software products, which include software license sales, royalties from our software products, support and maintenance revenue, and royalties from certain engineering service contracts. Software revenue for 2014 and 2013 was as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Year Ended		December 31,	
	2014		2013	
Software revenue:				
Third-party software	\$73,933		\$70,891	
Proprietary software	2,314		2,513	
Total software revenue	\$76,247		\$73,404	
Software revenue as a percentage of total revenue	79	%	80	%
Third-party software revenue as a percentage of total				
software revenue	97	%	97	%

The vast majority of our third-party software revenue is comprised of sales of Microsoft Embedded software and Windows Mobile operating systems. Third-party software revenue increased \$3.0 million, or 4%, in 2014 compared to the prior year. The

increase in third-party software revenue was driven primarily by an increase in Microsoft Windows Embedded software sales as well two significant sales of our new MobileV products totaling \$1.0 million, partially offset by decreases in Windows Mobile sales as well as sales of Adobe Flash which had a significant sale in Q4 2013.

Proprietary software revenue decreased \$0.2 million, or 8%, in 2014 compared to 2013. This was driven by the continued decline in sales of legacy products.

#### Service revenue

Service revenue for 2014 and 2013 was as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Year Ended			
	December 31,			
	2014	2013		
Service revenue	\$19,669	\$18,704		
Service revenue as a percentage of total revenue	21	%	20	%

Service revenue increased \$1.0 million, or 5%, in 2014 compared to the prior year. This increase was due to increases in service revenue generated in both Asia and EMEA offset partially by a decline in North America service revenue. The increase in Asia was driven by the completion of two large handheld programs. Both programs were accounted for under the zero profit percentage of completion accounting method in which we recognized revenue during the project equal to our cost and recognize the remaining revenue, equal to the gross profit on the program, at completion. One of the programs was completed during the three months ended June 30, 2014 while the other was completed during the three months ended December 31, 2014. The decrease in North America service revenue was driven largely by a \$1.7 million decrease associated with the MyFord Touch Program offset partially by increases from our existing customer base and the acquisition of new customers.

Microsoft became our largest engineering services customer during the first quarter of 2012, replacing Ford Motor Company (“Ford”), as Microsoft replaced Ford as the invoiced customer on the MyFord Touch program. We continue to work on the MyFord Touch, a project we began with Ford during the second quarter of 2008; however, we now conduct these services through an agreement with Microsoft. During the initial project with Ford, we provided hardware design and implementation, platform level software development, application level software development, quality assurance services and systems integration services. The project has transitioned since the initial project such that we are now primarily focused on developing and integrating new user applications for the MyFord Touch, enhancing existing applications and customizing the MyFord Touch platform for additional vehicle models. The MyFord Touch program contributed \$2.8 million in service revenue in 2014 compared to \$4.5 million in 2013, representing a decline of \$1.7 million or 38%. The decrease is attributable to a reduction in the number of engineers working on the MyFord Touch project as the amount of work on the increasingly mature platform has declined. In December 2014, Ford introduced Sync 3, the next generation MyFord Touch program that is scheduled to be deployed on cars in 2016 and will be based on QNX software from Blackberry instead of software from Microsoft. While we expect to be actively involved in current generation MyFord Touch projects with Microsoft, Ford and Elektrobot through 2015 and beyond, we expect our role on MyFord Touch will be scaled back or eliminated because of the transition to QNX software for the next generation Sync 3 and our revenue and resulting gross profit will decline accordingly.

#### Gross profit and gross margin

Cost of software revenue consists primarily of the cost of third-party software products payable to third-party vendors and support costs associated with our proprietary software products. Cost of service revenue consists primarily of salaries and benefits, contractor costs and re-billable expenses, related facilities and depreciation costs, and

amortization of certain intangible assets related to acquisitions.

Gross profit on the sale of third-party software products is also positively impacted by rebate credits we receive from Microsoft for embedded software sales earned through the achievement of defined objectives. Prior to the third quarter of 2013, the earned rebate amount was treated as a reduction in software cost of sales in the quarter earned. Beginning in the third quarter of 2013, as a result of program modifications, we began treating a portion of the rebate as marketing development funds which are accounted for as a reduction in marketing expense if and when qualified program expenditures are made.

Under this rebate program, we recognized \$298,000 of rebate credits in 2014 and \$744,000 in 2013, which were treated as reductions in cost of sales. Additionally, during 2014 we qualified for \$685,000 in rebate credits which will be accounted for as a reduction in marketing expense if and when qualified expenditures are made.

24

---

The following table outlines software, services and total gross profit (dollars in thousands):

	Year Ended		December 31,	
	2014	2013		
Software gross profit	\$11,758	\$12,966		
Software gross margin	15 %	18 %		
Service gross profit	\$4,769	\$2,059		
Service gross margin	24 %	11 %		
Total gross profit	\$16,527	\$15,025		
Total gross margin	17 %	16 %		

#### Software gross profit and gross margin

Software gross profit decreased by \$1.2 million, or 9%, in 2014 compared to 2013, while software gross margin decreased by three percentage points from 18% in 2013 to 15% in 2014.

Third-party software gross margin was 14% in 2014, compared to 16% in 2013 driven primarily by a heavier concentration of sales of the lower margin product line of Microsoft Embedded software and lower rebates in 2014, as well as a large Adobe Flash sale in the fourth quarter of 2013. Proprietary software gross margin was 75% in 2014 compared to 66% in 2013. Although proprietary software revenue decreased by 8%, margins improved as a result of a decrease in the relatively fixed software cost of sales due in part to the cost reductions in the fourth quarter of 2013.

#### Service gross profit and gross margin

Service gross profit increased \$2.7 million, or 132%, in 2014 compared to 2013 driven by a \$1.0 million increase in service revenue combined with a \$1.7 million decrease in service cost of sales. Service gross margin was 24% in 2014, a 13 percentage point increase from 11% in 2013. The decrease in service cost of sales was driven primarily by an improvement in utilization made possible by our cost reduction effort in the fourth quarter of 2013 to better align our service cost base with anticipated revenue which included the closure of our Beijing, China delivery center.

Service gross profit also benefited from the recognition of \$478,000 of gross profit on the previously mentioned service project completed in Japan in the second quarter of 2014 as well as the \$598,000 of gross profit on the other previously mentioned service project completed in Japan in the fourth quarter of 2014. These two completed projects, with recognized profit in 2014, added approximately 2% to the reported 2014 gross margin with a similar reduction in 2013 margins.

#### Operating expenses

##### Selling, general and administrative

Selling, general and administrative expenses consist primarily of salaries and related benefits, commissions and bonuses for our sales, marketing and administrative personnel and related facilities and depreciation costs, as well as professional services fees (e.g., consulting, legal, audit and tax). Selling, general and administrative expenses decreased \$3.0 million, or 20%, to \$12.4 million in 2014, from \$15.4 million in 2013. The decrease was driven by our cost reduction effort in the fourth quarter of 2013 as well as severance and related costs associated with the departure of our former Chief Executive Officer in September 2013 and the departure of our former Senior Vice President of Worldwide Sales and Marketing in December 2013. Selling, general and administrative expenses represented 13% of

our total revenue in 2014 compared to 17% of revenue in 2013.

#### Research and development

Research and development expenses consist primarily of salaries and benefits for software development and quality assurance personnel, contractor and consultant costs and related facilities and depreciation costs. Research and development expenses decreased \$1.1 million, or 39%, to \$1.7 million in 2014, from \$2.8 million in 2013. The decrease was driven largely by our cost reduction efforts in the fourth quarter of 2013. Research and development expenses represented 2% of total revenue in 2014 and 3% in 2013.

#### Other income (expense), net

Other income (expense) consists of interest income on our cash and investments, gains and losses we may recognize on our investments, gains or losses on foreign exchange transactions and other items. Net other expense was \$101,000 in 2014 compared to net other income of \$40,000 in 2013, representing a decrease of \$141,000. This change was driven primarily by losses on foreign currency transactions in 2014 compared to gains on such transactions in 2013.

## Income taxes

Income tax expense was \$38,000 in 2014, based on income before income taxes of \$2.4 million. Our effective tax rate in 2014 was 1.6%, which is below the statutory rate of 34%, primarily due to the full valuation allowance on U.S. deferred tax assets. Income tax expense was \$2.2 million in 2013, based on loss before income taxes of \$3.1 million. Although we recognized a loss before income taxes in 2013, we did not recognize a tax benefit due to an income tax charge in the third quarter of 2013. We determined that it was not more likely than not that we would generate sufficient future taxable income to use our U.S. deferred tax assets. As a result, we increased our deferred tax asset valuation allowance by \$2.2 million. Deferred tax assets relative to our foreign jurisdictions were not affected.

The factors we considered in recording a full valuation allowance on our US deferred tax assets included, among other things, our recent history of losses and the uncertainty regarding generation of future taxable income.

## Restructuring

During the fourth quarter of 2013, we effected a restructuring that included a workforce reduction and the closure of our offices in Beijing, China and Munich, Germany. The workforce reduction impacted approximately 20% of our worldwide workforce. The restructuring was intended to better align our organizational structure with our strategic focus. The staff reductions and office closures were substantially completed by the end of the fourth quarter of 2013 with some activities, including completing certain legal entity closures, continuing into early 2015.

The results of this restructuring and associated asset impairment were recorded within loss from operations on our Consolidated Statement of Operations and Comprehensive Income (Loss). See Note 12: 2013 Restructuring in our Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further information.

## Liquidity and Capital Resources

As of December 31, 2014, we had \$26.6 million of cash, cash equivalents, short-term investments and restricted cash, compared to \$21.1 million at December 31, 2013. Of these amounts, \$13.1 million and \$13.5 million were classified as cash and cash equivalents at December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively,

Restricted cash is classified as long term and was \$250,000 at both December 31, 2014 and 2013. This cash is restricted under the terms of our headquarters operating lease which will continue to secure that obligation through its expiration in 2020. Our working capital was \$23.7 million at December 31, 2014, compared to \$19.8 million at December 31, 2013.

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$5.3 million in 2014, driven by our net income of \$2.3 million, positive net working capital changes of \$1.4 million and non-cash expenses of \$1.6 million. Net cash provided by operating activities was \$619,000 in 2013, driven by positive net working capital changes of \$2.1 million and non-cash expenses of \$3.8 million, offset by our net loss of \$5.3 million.

Net cash used by investing activities was \$6.3 million in 2014, due primarily to purchases of short-term investments exceeding proceeds from maturities of short-term investments by approximately \$6.0 million and fixed asset purchases of \$0.3 million. Investing activities provided net cash of \$3.0 million in 2013, due primarily to net proceeds of short-term investments of \$2.5 million and a \$625,000 reduction in restricted cash under the terms of our corporate headquarters lease amendment executed in August 2013.

Financing activities generated \$666,000 in 2014 and \$51,000 in 2013 as a result of the exercise of employee stock options.

We believe that our existing cash, cash equivalents, short-term investments and long-term investments will be sufficient to meet our needs for working capital and capital expenditures for at least the next 12 months.

#### Cash Commitments

We have the following future or potential cash commitments:

- Minimum rents payable under operating leases total \$1.3 million in 2015, \$1.3 million in 2016, \$1.2 million in 2017, \$1.1 million in 2018, \$1.0 million in 2019 and \$0.4 million in the final year, 2020; and
- In conjunction with our activities under our ODAs with Microsoft, we enter OVRP commitments with Microsoft. Under these OVRPs, we are provided with volume pricing on a customer-by-customer basis assuming certain minimum unit volumes are met. The OVRP terms are 12 months. In the event we don't meet the committed minimum unit volumes, we are obligated to pay the difference between the committed per-unit volume rate and the actual per-unit rate we achieved based upon actual units purchased. The OVRP arrangements do not equate to a minimum purchase commitment but rather, the arrangements are a volume pricing arrangement based upon actual volume purchased. In substantially all instances, we have reciprocal agreements with our customers such that we will receive per-unit price adjustments, similar

26

---

to the amounts we would subsequently owe to Microsoft if such OVRP volumes are not met. However, in the event a customer is unwilling or unable to pay us, we would be negatively impacted. Based upon the credit-worthiness of our customers, our historical OVRP experience with our customers and OVRP arrangements in general, we do not believe we will incur any material liability in the current or future periods.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

Not applicable.



Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.  
BSQUARE CORPORATION

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	29
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2014 and 2013</u>	30
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income (Loss) for 2014 and 2013</u>	31
<u>Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity for 2014 and 2013</u>	32
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for 2014 and 2013</u>	33
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	34

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders

BSQUARE Corporation

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of BSQUARE Corporation (the "Corporation") as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, and the related consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss), shareholders' equity and cash flows for the years then ended. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Corporation's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Corporation is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audit included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Corporation's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall consolidated financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of BSQUARE Corporation as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, and the consolidated results of its operations and its cash flows for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

/s/ Moss Adams LLP

Seattle, Washington

February 19, 2015



## BSQUARE CORPORATION

## CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(In thousands, except share amounts)

	December 31,	
	2014	2013
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 13,127	\$ 13,510
Short-term investments	13,263	7,295
Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$125 at December 31, 2014 and \$214 at December 31, 2013	13,626	15,893
Deferred tax assets	10	12
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	717	2,313
Total current assets	40,743	39,023
Equipment, furniture and leasehold improvements, net	1,336	411
Restricted cash	250	250
Deferred tax assets	391	304
Intangible assets, net	729	863
Goodwill	3,738	3,738
Other non-current assets	54	59
Total assets	\$47,241	\$44,648
<b>LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>		
Current liabilities:		
Third-party software fees payable	\$ 12,247	\$ 12,746
Accounts payable	165	634
Accrued compensation	2,106	2,383
Other accrued expenses	1,539	1,249
Deferred rent, current portion	275	—
Deferred revenue	712	2,177
Total current liabilities	17,044	19,189
Deferred tax liability	144	144
Deferred rent	1,476	644
Commitments and contingencies		
Shareholders' equity:		
Preferred stock, no par value: 10,000,000 shares authorized; no shares issued and outstanding	—	—
Common stock, no par value: 37,500,000 shares authorized; 11,767,577 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2014 and 11,294,682 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2013	131,071	129,423
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(846 )	(759 )
Accumulated deficit	(101,648)	(103,993)
Total shareholders' equity	28,577	24,671

Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$47,241	\$44,648
--------------------------------------------	----------	----------

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

30

---

## BSQUARE CORPORATION

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

(In thousands, except per share amounts)

	Year Ended December 31,	
	2014	2013
Revenue:		
Software	\$76,247	\$73,404
Service	19,669	18,704
Total revenue	95,916	92,108
Cost of revenue:		
Software	64,489	60,438
Service	14,900	16,645
Total cost of revenue	79,389	77,083
Gross profit	16,527	15,025
Operating expenses:		
Selling, general and administrative	12,363	15,372
Research and development	1,680	2,756
Total operating expenses	14,043	18,128
Income (loss) from operations	2,484	(3,103 )
Other income (expense), net	(101 )	40
Income (loss) before income taxes	2,383	(3,063 )
Income tax expense	(38 )	(2,194 )
Net income (loss)	\$2,345	\$(5,257 )
Basic income (loss) per share	\$0.20	\$(0.47 )
Diluted income (loss) per share	\$0.20	\$(0.47 )
Shares used in calculation of income (loss) per share:		
Basic	11,574	11,174
Diluted	11,788	11,174
Comprehensive income (loss):		
Net income (loss)	\$2,345	\$(5,257 )
Other comprehensive income (expense):		
Foreign currency translation, net of tax	(86 )	(24 )
Change in net unrealized gains on investments, net of tax	(1 )	(2 )
Total other comprehensive income (expense)	(87 )	(26 )
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$2,258	\$(5,283 )

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

31

---

## BSQUARE CORPORATION

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

(In thousands, except share amounts)

	Preferred Stock Share	Amount	Common Stock Shares	Amount	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	Accumulated Deficit	Total Shareholders' Equity
Balance, December 31, 2012	—	\$ —	11,065,217	\$ 128,474	\$ (733)	\$ (98,736)	\$ 29,005
Exercise of stock options	—	—	36,050	51	—	—	51
Share-based compensation, including issuance of							
restricted stock	—	—	193,415	898	—	—	898
Net income (loss)	—	—	—	—	—	(5,257)	(5,257)
Foreign currency translation adjustment, net of tax	—	—	—	—	(24)	—	(24)
Change in unrealized gains on investments, net of tax	—	—	—	—	(2)	—	(2)
Balance, December 31, 2013	—	\$ —	11,294,682	\$ 129,423	\$ (759)	\$ (103,993)	\$ 24,671
Exercise of stock options	—	—	327,050	666	—	—	666
Share-based compensation, including issuance of							
restricted stock	—	—	145,845	982	—	—	982
Net income (loss)	—	—	—	—	—	2,345	2,345
Foreign currency translation adjustment, net of tax	—	—	—	—	(86)	—	(86)
Change in unrealized gains on investments, net of tax	—	—	—	—	(1)	—	(1)
Balance, December 31, 2014	—	\$ —	11,767,577	\$ 131,071	\$ (846)	\$ (101,648)	\$ 28,577



See notes to consolidated financial statements.

32

---

## BSQUARE CORPORATION

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(In thousands)

	Year Ended December 31,	
	2014	2013
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net income (loss)	\$2,345	\$(5,257)
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	624	701
Loss on asset disposal	33	46
Stock-based compensation	982	898
Deferred income taxes	(85)	2,156
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable, net	2,267	202
Prepaid expenses and other assets	1,601	(1,397)
Third-party software fees payable	(499)	1,647
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	(456)	(207)
Deferred revenue	(1,465)	1,340
Deferred rent	(21)	490
Net cash provided by operating activities	5,326	619
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Purchases of equipment and furniture	(320)	(194)
Proceeds from maturities of short-term investments	9,845	10,682
Purchases of short-term investments	(15,814)	(8,152)
Reduction of restricted cash	—	625
Net cash provided by (used for) investing activities	(6,289)	2,961
Cash flows from financing activities—proceeds from exercise of stock options		
	666	51
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	(86)	(24)
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	(383)	3,607
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year	13,510	9,903
Cash and cash equivalents, end of year	\$13,127	\$13,510
Supplemental cash flow information:		
Cash paid for income taxes	\$8	\$60
Non-cash investing activity--leasehold improvements & furniture funded by landlord	\$1,128	\$—

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

33

---

BSQUARE CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. Description of Business and Accounting Policies

Description of Business

BSQUARE Corporation (“Bsquare”) was incorporated in Washington State in July 1994. We provide software solutions and related engineering services to companies that develop smart, connected systems. A smart, connected system is a dedicated purpose computing device that typically has a display, runs an operating system (e.g., Microsoft® Windows® CE) and is usually connected to a network or data cloud via a wired or wireless connection. Examples of smart, connected systems include set-top boxes, home gateways, point-of-sale terminals, kiosks, voting machines, gaming platforms, tablets, handheld data collection devices, personal media players, smart phones, smart vending machines and in-vehicle telematics and entertainment devices. We focus on smart, connected systems that utilize Microsoft Windows Embedded and Windows Mobile operating systems as well as devices running other popular operating systems such as Android, Linux, and QNX.

We have been providing software solutions for smart, connected systems since our inception. Our customers include world class original equipment manufacturers (“OEMs”), original design manufacturers (“ODMs”) and corporate enterprises (“Enterprises”), as well as silicon vendors (“SVs”) and peripheral vendors which purchase our software solutions for purposes of facilitating processor and peripheral sales. In the case of Enterprises, our customers include those which develop, market and distribute smart, connected systems on their own behalf as well as those that purchase systems from OEMs or ODMs and require additional software, integration and/or testing. The software solutions we provide are utilized and deployed throughout various phases of our customers’ device life cycle, including design, development, customization, quality assurance and deployment.

Basis of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of BSQUARE Corporation and our wholly owned subsidiaries. All intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated.

Use of Estimates

Preparing financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenue and expenses. Examples include provisions for bad debts and income taxes, estimates of progress on professional engineering service arrangements, bonus accruals, fair value of intangible assets and property and equipment, fair values of stock-based awards and the fair values of acquired assets and liabilities, among other estimates. Actual results may differ from these estimates.

Income (Loss) Per Share

Basic income or loss per share is computed using the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period, and excludes any dilutive effects of common stock equivalent shares, such as options, restricted stock awards, restricted stock units and warrants. Restricted stock awards (“RSAs”) are considered outstanding and included in the computation of basic income or loss per share when underlying restrictions expire and the awards are no longer

forfeitable. Restricted stock units (“RSUs”) are considered outstanding and included in the computation of basic income or loss per share only when vested. Diluted income per share is computed using the weighted average number of common shares outstanding and common stock equivalent shares outstanding during the period using the treasury stock method. Common stock equivalent shares are excluded from the computation if their effect is anti-dilutive. Unvested but outstanding RSUs and RSAs (which are forfeitable) are included in the diluted income per share calculation. In a period where we are in a net loss position, the diluted loss per share is computed using the basic share count.

The following table presents a reconciliation of the number of shares used in the calculation of basic and diluted income (loss) per share (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,	
	2014	2013
Weighted average shares outstanding for basic income (loss)		
per share	11,574	11,174
Dilutive effect of common stock equivalent shares	214	—
Weighted average shares outstanding for diluted income (loss)		
per share	11,788	11,174

Common stock equivalent shares of 901,069 and 990,831 were excluded from the computations of basic and diluted income per share for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively, because their effect was anti-dilutive.

### Cash, Cash Equivalents and Investments

We invest our excess cash primarily in highly liquid debt instruments of U.S. government agencies and municipalities, debt instruments issued by foreign governments, corporate commercial paper, money market funds, and corporate debt securities. We classify all highly liquid investments with stated maturities of three months or less from date of purchase as cash equivalents and all highly liquid investments with stated maturities of greater than three months as short-term investments.

Short-term investments consist entirely of marketable securities which are all classified as available-for-sale securities and are recorded at their estimated fair value. We determine the appropriate classification of our investments at the time of purchase and reevaluate such designation at each balance sheet date. We may or may not hold securities with stated maturities greater than 12 months until maturity. As we view these securities as available to support current operations, we classify securities with maturities beyond 12 months as short-term investments. We carry these securities at fair value, and report the unrealized gains and losses, net of taxes, as a component of stockholders' equity, except for unrealized losses determined to be other than temporary which are recorded in other expense.

### Restricted Cash

Our restricted cash represents funds held at a financial institution as security for an outstanding letter of credit expiring in 2020 related to our corporate headquarters lease obligation.

### Financial Instruments and Concentrations of Risk

Financial instruments that potentially subject us to concentrations of credit risk consist principally of cash equivalents, short-term investments, and accounts receivable.

### Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

We record accounts receivable at the invoiced amount net of an estimated allowance for doubtful accounts to reserve for potentially uncollectible receivables. We review customers which are past due to identify specific customers with known disputes or collectability issues. In determining the amount of the allowance, we make judgments about the creditworthiness of significant customers based on ongoing credit evaluations.

### Equipment, Furniture and Leasehold Improvements

We account for equipment, furniture and leasehold improvements at cost less accumulated depreciation and amortization. We compute depreciation of equipment and furniture using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets, generally three years. Leasehold improvements are amortized using the straight-line method over the shorter of the lease term or estimated useful lives, ranging from two to ten years. Maintenance and repairs costs are expensed as incurred. When assets are retired or otherwise disposed of, gains or losses are included in the statement of operations. When facts and circumstances indicate that the value of long-lived assets may be impaired, an

evaluation of recoverability is performed by comparing the carrying value of the asset to projected undiscounted future cash flows. Upon indication that the carrying value of such assets may not be recoverable, we recognize an impairment loss as a charge against current operations based on the difference between the carrying value of the asset and its fair value.

#### Intangible Assets

Intangible assets were recorded as a result of business acquisitions and are stated at estimated fair value at the time of acquisition less accumulated amortization. We amortize our acquired intangible assets using the straight-line method using lives ranging from one to ten years. We review intangible assets for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. We measure recoverability of these assets by comparing the carrying amounts to the future undiscounted cash flows the assets are expected to generate. If intangible assets are considered to be impaired, the impairment to be recognized equals the amount by which the carrying value of the asset exceeds its fair market value.

#### Goodwill

We evaluate goodwill for impairment annually or more frequently when an event occurs or circumstances change that indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable. We test goodwill for impairment by performing a qualitative assessment to determine whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of the reporting unit is less than the carrying amount. If it is more likely than not that the fair value of the reporting unit is greater than the carrying amount, no further testing is performed. If it is more likely than not that the fair value of the reporting unit is less than the carrying amount, we perform a quantitative two-step impairment test. The first

step compares the fair value of the reporting unit with its carrying amount, including goodwill. If the carrying amount exceeds fair value, then the second step of the impairment test is performed to measure the amount of any impairment loss.

#### Third-Party Software Fees Payable

We record all fees payable and accrued liabilities related to the sale of third-party software, such as Microsoft Windows Embedded and Windows Mobile operating systems, as third-party software fees payable.

#### Research and Development

Research and development costs are expensed as incurred.

#### Advertising Costs

All costs of advertising, including cooperative marketing arrangements, are expensed as incurred. Advertising expense was \$558,000 in 2014 and \$501,000 in 2013.

#### Stock-Based Compensation

The estimated fair value of stock based awards is recognized as compensation expense over the vesting period of the award, net of estimated forfeitures. We estimate forfeitures of stock based awards based on historical experience and expected future activity. The fair value of restricted stock awards and restricted stock units is determined based on the number of shares granted and the quoted price of our common stock on the date of grant. The fair value of stock option awards are estimated at the grant date based on the fair value of each vesting tranche as calculated by the Black-Scholes-Merton ("BSM") option-pricing model. The BSM model requires various highly judgmental assumptions including expected volatility and option life. If any of the assumptions used in the BSM model change significantly, stock-based compensation expense may differ materially in the future from that recorded in the current period.

#### Comprehensive Income (Loss)

Comprehensive income (loss) refers to net income (loss) and other revenue, expenses, gains and losses that, under generally accepted accounting principles, are recorded as an element of shareholders' equity but are excluded from the calculation of net income (loss).

#### Income Taxes

We are subject to income taxes in the U.S. and certain foreign jurisdictions. Significant judgment is required in determining our provision for income taxes. We compute income taxes using the asset and liability method, under



which deferred income taxes are provided for on the temporary differences between the financial reporting basis and the tax basis of our assets and liabilities. Our deferred tax amounts are measured using currently enacted tax rates that are expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. A valuation allowance is established when necessary to reduce deferred tax assets to the amounts expected to be realized.

We recognize tax benefits from an uncertain position only if it is “more likely than not” that the position is sustainable, based on its technical merits. The tax benefit of a qualifying position is the largest amount of tax benefit that is greater than fifty percent likely of being realized upon ultimate settlement with a taxing authority having full knowledge of all relevant information. Interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions are classified in the consolidated financial statements as income tax expense.

#### Foreign Currency

The functional currency of foreign subsidiaries is their local currency. Accordingly, assets and liabilities are translated into U.S. dollars at exchange rates in effect at the balance sheet date. Resulting translation adjustments are included in Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) and Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss, a separate component of shareholders’ equity. The net gains and losses resulting from foreign currency transactions are recorded in the period incurred and were not significant for any of the periods presented.

#### Revenue Recognition

We recognize revenue from software and engineering service sales when the following four revenue recognition criteria are met: persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists; delivery has occurred or services have been rendered; the selling price is fixed or determinable; and collectability is reasonably assured. Contracts and customer purchase orders are generally used to determine the existence of an arrangement. Shipping documents and time records are generally used to verify delivery. We assess whether the selling price is fixed or determinable based on the contract and/or customer purchase order and payment terms associated with the transaction and whether the sales price is subject to refund or adjustment. We assess collectability based primarily on the

creditworthiness of the customer as determined by credit checks and analysis, as well as the customer's payment history. Periodically, we will begin work on engineering service engagements prior to having a signed contract and, in some cases, the contract is signed in a quarter after which service delivery costs are incurred. We do not defer costs associated with such engagements before we have received a signed contract.

We recognize software revenue upon shipment provided that no significant obligations remain on our part, substantive acceptance conditions, if any, have been met and the other revenue recognition criteria have been met. Service revenue from time and materials contracts, and training service agreements, is recognized as services are performed. Fixed-price service agreements, and certain time and materials service agreements with capped fee structures, are accounted for using the percentage-of-completion method assuming reasonable estimates of completion can be made. We use the percentage-of-completion method of accounting because we believe it is the most accurate method to recognize revenue based on the nature and scope of these engineering service contracts; we believe it is a better measure of periodic income results than other methods and it better matches revenue recognized with the costs incurred. Percentage of completion is measured based primarily on input measures such as hours incurred to date compared to total estimated hours to complete, with consideration given to output measures, such as contract milestones, when applicable. Significant judgment is required when estimating total hours and progress to completion on these arrangements which determines the amount of revenue we recognize as well as whether a loss is recognized if one is expected to be incurred for the remainder of the project. Revisions to hour and cost estimates are incorporated in the period in which the facts that give rise to the revision become known.

In certain situations when it is impractical for us to reliably estimate either specific amounts or ranges of contract revenues and costs, and where we anticipate that we will not incur a loss, a zero profit model is used for revenue recognition. Equal amounts of revenue and cost are recognized during the contract period, and profit is recognized when the project is completed and accepted. This method was used in 2013 and 2014 for two engineering service contracts in Japan.

We also enter into arrangements in which a customer purchases a combination of software licenses, engineering services and post-contract customer support and/or maintenance ("PCS"). As a result, judgment is sometimes required to determine the appropriate accounting, including how the price should be allocated among the deliverable elements if there are multiple elements. PCS may include rights to upgrades, when and if available, telephone support, updates and enhancements. When vendor specific objective evidence ("VSOE") of fair value exists for all elements in a multiple element arrangement, revenue is allocated to each element based on the relative fair value of each of the elements. VSOE of fair value is established by the price charged when the same element is sold separately. Accordingly, the judgments involved in assessing the fair values of various elements of an agreement can impact the recognition of revenue in each period. Changes in the allocation of the sales price between deliverables might impact the timing of revenue recognition, but would not change the total revenue recognized on the contract. When elements such as software and engineering services are contained in a single arrangement, or in related arrangements with the same customer, we allocate revenue to each element based on its relative fair value, provided that such element meets the criteria for treatment as a separate unit of accounting. In the absence of fair value for a delivered element, revenue is first allocated to the fair value of the undelivered elements and then allocated to the residual delivered elements. In the absence of fair value for an undelivered element, the arrangement is accounted for as a single unit of accounting, resulting in a delay of revenue recognition for the delivered elements until the undelivered elements are fulfilled.

When engineering services and royalties are contained in a single arrangement, we recognize revenue from engineering services as earned in accordance with the criteria above even though the effective rate per hour may be lower than typical because the customer is contractually obligated to pay royalties on their device shipments. We recognize royalty revenue, classified as software revenue, when the royalty report from the customer is received or when such royalties are contractually guaranteed and the other revenue recognition criteria are met, particularly the criteria that collectability is reasonably assured.

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) issued Accounting Standards Update (“ASU”) No. 2014-09, “Revenue from Contracts with Customers”, amending revenue recognition guidance and requiring more detailed disclosures to enable users of financial statements to understand the nature, amount, timing, and uncertainty of revenue and cash flows arising from contracts with customers. The guidance is effective for annual and interim reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016, with early adoption prohibited. We are currently evaluating the impact this ASU may have on our consolidated financial statements.

In June 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-12, “Compensation - Stock Compensation (Topic 718): Accounting for Share-Based Payments When the Terms of an Award Provide That a Performance Target Could Be Achieved after the Requisite Service Period.” The new guidance requires that a performance target that affects vesting and that could be achieved after the requisite service period is treated as a performance condition. As such, the performance target should not be reflected in estimating the grant date fair value of the award. The guidance is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2015, with early adoption permitted. We do not expect the adoption of this guidance to have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

In August 2014 the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-15, “Disclosure of Uncertainties about an Entity’s Ability to Continue as a Going Concern,” requiring management to evaluate whether there is a substantial doubt about an entity’s ability to continue as a going concern and to provide related disclosures in the notes to the financial statements. The new guidance reduces diversity in the timing and content of disclosures from current guidance, and is effective for annual and interim reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2015 with early adoption permitted. We do not expect the adoption of this guidance to have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

In January 2015 the FASB issued ASU No. 2015-01, “Income Statement – Extraordinary and Unusual Items,” eliminating the concept of an extraordinary item from U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (“GAAP”). As a result, an entity will no longer be required to segregate extraordinary items from the results of ordinary operations, to separately present an extraordinary item on its income statement, net of tax, after income from continuing operations or to disclose income taxes and earnings-per-share data applicable to an extraordinary item. However, ASU 2015-01 retains the presentation and disclosure guidance for items that are unusual in nature and occur infrequently. The new guidance will be effective for annual and interim reporting periods beginning after December 1, 2016. We do not expect the adoption of this guidance to have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

## 2. Cash and Investments

Cash, cash equivalents, short-term investments, and restricted cash consist of the following (in thousands):

	December 31,	
	2014	2013
Cash	\$2,763	\$2,521
Cash equivalents—money market funds	9,362	8,989
Corporate debt securities	1,002	2,000
Total cash and cash equivalents	13,127	13,510
Short-term investments:		
Corporate commercial paper	550	1,500
Foreign government bonds	—	1,001
Corporate debt securities	12,713	4,794
Total short-term investments	13,263	7,295
Restricted cash—money market fund	250	250
Total cash, cash equivalents, short-term investments and restricted cash	\$26,640	\$21,055

Gross unrealized gains and losses on our cash equivalents and short-term investments were not material as of December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013. Our restricted cash balance at December 31, 2014 and 2013 relates to a letter of credit securing the lease of our corporate headquarters.

## 3. Fair Value Measurements

We measure our cash equivalents, marketable securities and restricted cash at fair value. Fair value is an exit price, representing the amount that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants. As such, fair value is a market-based measurement that should be determined based on assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or a liability. A three-tier fair value hierarchy is established as a basis for considering such assumptions and for inputs used in the valuation methodologies in measuring fair value:

Level 1: Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2: Directly or indirectly observable market-based inputs or unobservable inputs used in models or other valuation methodologies.

Level 3: Unobservable inputs that are not corroborated by market data. The inputs require significant management judgment or estimation.

We classify our cash equivalents, marketable securities and restricted cash within Level 1 or Level 2. This is because we value these items using quoted market prices or alternative pricing sources and models utilizing market observable inputs that are actively quoted and can be validated through external sources, including third-party pricing services, brokers and market transactions. We review the pricing techniques and methodologies of the independent pricing service for Level 2 investments and believe that the policies adequately consider market activity, either based on specific transactions for the security valued or based on modeling of securities with similar credit quality, duration, yield and structure that were recently traded.

Edgar Filing: BSQUARE CORP /WA - Form 10-K

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis are summarized below (in thousands):

	December 31, 2014		
	Quoted Prices in		
	Active Markets for Direct or Indirect Identical Assets Observable		
	(Level 1)	Inputs (Level 2)	Total
<b>Assets</b>			
Cash equivalents:			
Money market funds	\$9,362	\$ —	\$9,362
Corporate debt	—	1,002	\$1,002
<b>Total cash equivalents</b>	<b>9,362</b>	<b>1,002</b>	<b>10,364</b>
Short-term investments:			
Corporate commercial paper	—	550	550
Corporate debt	—	12,713	12,713
<b>Total short-term investments</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>13,263</b>	<b>13,263</b>
Restricted cash—money market fund	250	—	250
<b>Total assets measured at fair value</b>	<b>\$9,612</b>	<b>\$ 14,265</b>	<b>\$23,877</b>

	December 31, 2013		
	Quoted Prices in		
	Active Markets for Direct or Indirect Identical Assets Observable		
	(Level 1)	Inputs (Level 2)	Total
<b>Assets</b>			
Cash equivalents:			
Money market funds	\$8,989	\$ —	\$8,989
Corporate debt	—	2,000	2,000
<b>Total cash equivalents</b>	<b>8,989</b>	<b>2,000</b>	<b>10,989</b>
Short-term investments:			

Edgar Filing: BSQUARE CORP /WA - Form 10-K

Corporate commercial paper	—	1,500	1,500
Foreign government bonds	—	1,001	1,001
Corporate debt	—	4,794	4,794
Total short-term investments	—	7,295	7,295
Restricted cash—money market fund 250	—	—	250
Total assets measured at fair value	\$9,239	\$ 9,295	\$18,534

#### 4. Equipment, Furniture and Leasehold Improvements

Equipment, furniture, and leasehold improvements consist of the following (in thousands):

	December 31,	
	2014	2013
Computer equipment and software	\$2,489	\$2,520
Office furniture and equipment	343	536
Leasehold improvements	1,212	917
Total	4,044	3,973
Less: accumulated depreciation and amortization	(2,708)	(3,562)
Equipment, furniture and leasehold improvements, net	\$1,336	\$411

Depreciation and amortization expense of equipment, furniture and leasehold improvements was \$491,000 in 2014 and \$495,000 in 2013. We wrote off approximately \$1.3 million of assets with remaining net book value of \$33,000 in 2014, and \$1.4 million of fully depreciated assets in 2013.

### 5. Goodwill and Intangible Assets

Goodwill was recorded in connection with the September 2011 acquisition of MPC Data, Ltd. (“MPC”), a United Kingdom based provider of software engineering services. The excess of the acquisition consideration over the fair value of net assets acquired was recorded as goodwill. Bsquare operates as a single reporting unit, and MPC falls within that reporting unit. There was no change in the carrying amount of goodwill for the year ended December 31, 2014.

Intangible assets relate to customer relationships that we acquired from TestQuest Inc. in November 2008 and from the acquisition of MPC in September 2011.

Information regarding our intangible assets is as follows (in thousands):

	December 31, 2014		
	Gross		Net
	Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Carrying Value
Customer relationships	\$ 1,275	\$ (546)	) \$ 729

	December 31, 2013		
	Gross		Net
	Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Carrying Value
Customer relationships	\$ 1,275	\$ (412)	) \$ 863

Amortization expense was \$134,000 and \$206,000 for 2014 and 2013, respectively. As of December 31, 2014, expected amortization expense for acquisition-related intangible assets for each of the next five years and thereafter is as follows (in thousands):

2015	\$ 135
2016	130
2017	98
2018	98
2019	98
Thereafter	170
Total	\$ 729

### 6. Other Income (expense), Net

The components of other income, net are as follows (in thousands):

Year Ended



	December 31,	
	2014	2013
Interest income	\$36	\$83
Other expense	(137)	(43)
Total	\$(101)	\$40

#### 7. Income Taxes

Income (loss) before income taxes consists of the following (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,	
	2014	2013
U.S.	\$1,805	\$(3,084)
Foreign	578	21
Total	\$2,383	\$(3,063)

40

---

Income tax expense (benefit) consists of the following (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,	
	2014	2013
Current taxes:		
Federal	\$(106)	\$—
State and local	8	(14)
Foreign	212	52
Current taxes	114	38
Deferred taxes:		
Federal	\$—	\$2,181
State and local	—	—
Foreign	(76)	(25)
Deferred taxes	(76)	2,156
Total	\$38	\$2,194

The components of net deferred tax assets consist of the following (in thousands):

	December 31,	
	2014	2013
Net deferred income tax assets:		
Depreciation and amortization	\$472	\$775
Accrued expenses and reserves	921	2,968
Net operating loss carryforwards	22,194	20,652
Capital loss carryforwards	197	290
Research and development credit carryforwards	2,700	2,673
Stock-based compensation	615	487
Gross deferred tax assets	27,099	27,845
Less: valuation allowance	(26,842)	(27,673)
Net deferred tax assets	\$257	\$172

Our net deferred tax assets are recorded as follows (in thousands):

	December 31,	
	2014	2013
Net deferred tax assets:		
Deferred tax assets—current	\$10	\$12
Deferred tax assets—non-current	391	304
Deferred tax liability	(144)	(144)
Net deferred tax assets	\$257	\$172

As of December 31, 2014, our deferred tax assets were primarily the result of U.S. net operating loss and R&D credit carryforwards. Valuation allowances of \$26.8 million and \$27.7 million have been recorded against our gross deferred tax asset balance as of December 31, 2014, and December 31, 2013, respectively.

41

---

Edgar Filing: BSQUARE CORP /WA - Form 10-K

The provision for income taxes differs from the amount of expected income tax expense (benefit) determined by applying the applicable U.S. statutory federal income tax rate to pre-tax income (loss), as a result of the following (in thousands, except percentages):

	Year Ended					
	December 31,					
	2014		2013			
U.S. Federal tax expense (benefit) at statutory rates	\$810	34.0 %	\$(1,041)	34.0 %		
Increase (decrease) resulting from:						
Tax credits	(27 )	(1.1 )%	(138 )	4.5 %		
State income tax	4	0.2 %	(19 )	0.6 %		
International operations	(360)	(15.2)%	(315 )	10.3 %		
Incentive stock options	70	3.0 %	17	(0.6 )%		
Valuation allowance	(696)	(29.2)%	3,614	(118.0)%		
Expiration of state NOLs	245	10.3 %	190	(6.2 )%		
Other, net	(8 )	(0.4 )%	(114 )	3.7 %		
Tax expense and effective tax rate	\$38	1.6 %	\$2,194	(71.7 )%		

At December 31, 2014, we had approximately \$59.7 million of federal and \$3.4 million of state net operating loss carryforwards, which have begun to expire, including \$0.3 million of state losses which will expire in 2015. Of the federal net operating loss carryforwards, an aggregate of \$41.8 million will expire in 2022 and 2023. We also have \$2.7 million of Research and Development (“R&D”) credit carryforwards, which begin to expire in 2018. Use of these carryforwards may subject us to an annual limitation due to Section 382 of the U.S. Internal Revenue Code which restricts the ability of a corporation that undergoes an ownership change to use its carryforwards. Under the applicable tax rules, an ownership change occurs if holders of more than five percent of an issuer’s outstanding common stock, collectively, increase their ownership percentage by more than 50 percentage points over a rolling three-year period. We periodically perform analyses of possible ownership changes, including consideration of third party studies, and do not believe that an ownership change of more than 50 percentage points has occurred based upon the latest study completed as of December 31, 2014.

We have evaluated all the material income tax positions taken on our income tax filings to various tax authorities, and we determined that we did not have unrealized tax benefits related to uncertain tax positions recorded at December 31, 2014 or 2013.

Because of net operating loss and tax credit carryforwards, substantially all of our tax years remain open and subject to examination.

## 8. Commitments and Contingencies

### Contingencies

A third party software vendor has invoiced us a total of \$934,000 for certain licensed software that was lost in transit by a common carrier. Although these licenses have been deactivated and there is no indication of counterfeit use, the vendor has asserted that we are financially responsible for these lost licenses and therefore must pay the full royalty value. We believe that we have contractual defenses and insurance that would cover losses in excess of \$100,000. We

have accrued a liability of \$100,000, but have made no provision for losses in excess of this amount since we do not believe that this liability is probable.

#### Contractual Commitments

Our commitments include obligations outstanding under operating leases, which expire through 2020. We have lease commitments for office space in Bellevue, Washington; Taipei, Taiwan; Trowbridge, UK; and Tokyo, Japan. We also lease office space on a month-to-month basis in Akron, Ohio, San Diego, California and Boston, Massachusetts.

In August 2013, we amended the lease agreement for our Bellevue, Washington headquarters and extended the term of the original lease that was scheduled to expire in August 2014 to May 2020.

Rent expense was \$1.2 million in 2014 and \$1.6 million in 2013.

As of December 31, 2014, we had \$250,000 pledged as collateral for a bank letter of credit under the terms of our headquarters facility lease. The pledged cash supporting the outstanding letter of credit is recorded as restricted cash.

Operating lease commitments at December 31, 2014 are as follows (in thousands):

2015	\$1,275
2016	1,290
2017	1,191
2018	1,107
2019	1,038
2020	437
Total	\$6,338

### Volume Pricing Agreements

In conjunction with our activities under the OEM Distribution Agreements (“ODAs”) with Microsoft Corporation (“Microsoft”), we enter into OEM Volume Royalty Pricing (“OVRP”) commitments with Microsoft. Under these OVRPs, we are provided with volume pricing on a customer-by-customer basis assuming certain minimum unit volumes are met. The OVRP terms are 12 months. In the event we don’t meet the committed minimum unit volumes, we are obligated to pay the difference between the committed per-unit volume rate and the actual per-unit rate we achieved based upon actual units purchased. The OVRP arrangements do not equate to a minimum purchase commitment but rather, the arrangements are a volume pricing arrangement based upon actual volume purchased. In substantially all instances, we have reciprocal agreements with our customers such that we will receive per-unit price adjustments, similar to the amounts we would subsequently owe to Microsoft if such OVRP volumes are not met. However, in the event a customer is unwilling or unable to pay us, we would be negatively impacted. Based upon the credit-worthiness of our customers, our historical OVRP experience with our customers and OVRP arrangements in general, we do not believe we will incur any material liability in the current or future periods relating to existing agreements.

## 9. Shareholders’ Equity

### Equity Compensation Plans

We have a stock plan (the “Stock Plan”) and an inducement stock plan for newly hired employees (the “Inducement Plan”) (collectively the “Plans”). Under the Plans, stock options may be granted with a fixed exercise price that is equivalent to fair market value on the date of grant. These options have a term of up to 10 years and vest over a predetermined period, generally four years. Incentive stock options granted under the Stock Plan may only be granted to our employees. The Plans also allow for awards of non-qualified stock options, stock appreciation rights, RSAs and unrestricted stock awards, and RSUs.

### Stock-Based Compensation

The estimated fair value of stock-based awards is recognized as compensation expense over the vesting period of the award, net of estimated forfeitures. We estimate forfeitures of stock based awards based on historical experience and expected future activity. The fair value of restricted stock awards and restricted stock units is determined based on the number of shares granted and the quoted price of the Company’s common stock on the date of grant. The fair value of stock option awards are estimated at the grant date based on the fair value of each vesting tranche as calculated by the Black-Scholes-Merton (“BSM”) option-pricing model. The BSM model requires various highly judgmental assumptions including expected volatility and option life. If any of the assumptions used in the BSM model change significantly,

stock-based compensation expense may differ materially in the future from that recorded in the current period. The fair values of our equity awards, primarily stock option grants, were estimated with the following weighted average assumptions:

	Year Ended	
	December	
	31,	
	2014	2013
Dividend yield	0 %	0 %
Expected life	3.3	4.2
	years	years
Expected volatility	59 %	61 %
Risk-free interest rate	1.3 %	0.9 %

43

---

The impact on our results of operations of recording stock-based compensation expense for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 was as follows (in thousands, except per share amounts):

	Year Ended December 31,	
	2014	2013
Cost of revenue—service	\$236	\$219
Selling, general and administrative	698	579
Research and development	48	100
Total stock-based compensation expense	\$982	\$898
Per basic and diluted share	\$0.08	\$0.08

### Stock Option Activity

The following table summarizes stock option activity under the Plans for 2014 and 2013:

Stock Options	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (in years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Balance at January 1, 2013	1,539,618	\$ 3.55	4.24	
Granted	328,080	3.03		
Exercised	(31,925 )	2.49		
Forfeited	(130,131 )	3.23		
Expired	(190,021 )	4.58		
Balance at December 31, 2013	1,515,621	\$ 3.36	3.93	
Granted	848,208	3.53		
Exercised	(393,128 )	2.50		
Forfeited	(122,280 )	3.28		
Expired	(295,061 )	4.34		
Balance at December 31, 2014	1,553,360	\$ 3.50	7.04	\$1,820,452
Vested and expected to vest at December 31, 2014	1,441,285	\$ 3.49	6.88	\$1,706,553
Exercisable at December 31, 2014	571,589	\$ 3.44	4.04	\$786,385

At December 31, 2014, total compensation cost related to stock options granted to employees under the Plans but not yet recognized was \$870,103, net of estimated forfeitures. This cost will be amortized on the straight-line method over a weighted-average period of approximately 1.2 years. The aggregate intrinsic value represents the difference between the exercise price of the underlying options and the quoted price of our common stock for the number of options that were in-the-money at year end. We issue new shares of common stock upon exercise of stock options.



The following table summarizes certain information about stock options for 2014 and 2013:

	Year Ended December 31,	
	2014	2013
Weighted average grant-date fair value for options granted		
during the year	\$1.73	\$1.59
Vested options in-the-money at December 31	443,309	734,130
Aggregate intrinsic value of options exercised	\$436,770	\$24,429

44

---

## Restricted Stock Unit Activity

The following table summarizes restricted stock unit activity under the Stock Plan for 2014 and 2013:

	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Award Price
Unvested at December 31, 2012	236,513	\$ 4.95
Granted	128,838	2.75
Vested	(171,404)	3.82
Forfeited	(6,565 )	6.80
Unvested at December 31, 2013	187,382	\$ 4.40
Granted	110,235	3.21
Vested	(159,565)	3.68
Forfeited	(57,873 )	5.51
Unvested at December 31, 2014	80,179	\$ 3.40
Expected to vest after December 31, 2014	76,756	\$ 3.38

At December 31, 2014, total compensation cost related to restricted stock units granted under the Stock Plan but not yet recognized was \$304,729, net of estimated forfeitures. This cost will be amortized on the straight-line method over a period of approximately 1.1 years.

## Common Stock Reserved for Future Issuance

The following table summarizes our shares of common stock reserved for future issuance under the Plans at December 31, 2014 and 2013:

	December 31,	
	2014	2013
Stock options outstanding	1,553,360	1,515,621
Restricted stock units outstanding	80,179	187,382
Stock options available for future grant	654,083	1,024,941
Common stock reserved for future issuance	2,287,622	2,727,944

## 10. Employee Benefit Plan

## Profit Sharing and Deferred Compensation Plan

We have a Profit Sharing and Deferred Compensation Plan, The Bsquare Corporation 401(k) Plan and Trust, (the "Profit Sharing Plan") under Section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. Substantially all

full-time employees are eligible to participate in the Profit Sharing Plan. We typically elect to match the participants' contributions to the Profit Sharing Plan up to a certain amount subject to vesting. Participants will receive their share of the value of their investments, and any applicable vested match, upon retirement or termination. We made matching contributions of \$243,000 in 2014 and \$335,000 in 2013. Of the annual matching contributions, \$114,000 of the 2014 and zero of the 2013 contributions were composed of transfers from the Profit Sharing Plan's forfeiture account.

## 11. Significant Risk Concentrations

### Significant Customer

One customer, Future Electronics, Inc. accounted for 12% of total revenues in 2014. No other customers accounted for 10% or more of total revenue in either 2014 or 2013.

Future Electronics, Inc. had an accounts receivable balance of \$3.7 million, or 23% of total accounts receivable, as of December 31, 2013. Mitsubishi Electric Corporation had an accounts receivable balance of \$2.8 million, or 18% of total accounts receivable, as of December 31, 2013. Each of these balances was subsequently collected. No other customer accounted for 10% or more of total accounts receivable at December 31, 2014 or 2013.

## Significant Supplier

We have two ODAs with Microsoft which enable us to sell Microsoft Windows Embedded operating systems to our customers in the United States, Canada, Argentina, Brazil, Chile, Columbia, Mexico, Peru, Puerto Rico, the Caribbean (excluding Cuba), the European Union, the European Free Trade Association, Turkey and Africa, which expire on June 30, 2016. We also have four ODAs with Microsoft which allow us to sell Microsoft Windows Mobile operating systems in the Americas (excluding Cuba), Japan, Taiwan, Europe, the Middle East, and Africa, which expire on June 30, 2015.

Software sales under these agreements constitute a significant portion of our software revenue and total revenue. These agreements are typically renewed annually or semi-annually; however, there is no automatic renewal provision in any of these agreements. Further, these agreements can be terminated unilaterally by Microsoft at any time.

Microsoft currently offers a rebate program to sell Microsoft Windows Embedded operating systems pursuant to which we earn money for achieving certain predefined objectives. Prior to the third quarter of 2013, the earned rebate amount was treated as a reduction in software cost of sales in the quarter earned. Beginning in the third quarter of 2013, as a result of program modifications, we began treating a portion of the rebate as marketing development funds which are accounted for as a reduction in marketing expense if and when qualified program expenditures are made.

Under this rebate program, we recognized \$298,000 in 2014 and \$774,000 in 2013, which was treated as a reduction in cost of sales. Additionally, we qualified for \$685,000 in 2014 and \$409,000 in 2013, in rebate credits which will be accounted for as a reduction in marketing expense if and when qualified program expenditures are made.

## 12. 2013 Restructuring

During the fourth quarter of 2013, we effected a restructuring that included a workforce reduction and the closure of our offices in Beijing, China and Munich, Germany. The workforce reduction impacted approximately 20% of our worldwide workforce. The restructuring was intended to better align our organizational structure with our strategic focus.

The staff reductions and office closures were substantially completed by the end of the fourth quarter of 2013 with some activities, including legal entity closures, continuing through 2014. We anticipate completion in early 2015.

The total amounts incurred in connection with the restructuring including asset impairments during the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 by expense type are as follows (in thousands):

		Incurred Year Ended	Incurred Year Ended
	Total Estimated Expense	December 31, 2013	December 31, 2014
Severance	\$ 419	\$ 419	\$ —
Other	142	96	46
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 561</b>	<b>\$ 515</b>	<b>\$ 46</b>

A reconciliation of the beginning and ending liability balances by expense type follows (in thousands):

	Severance Expense	Other Expenses
Beginning Balance—January 1, 2013	\$ —	\$ —
Costs charged to expense	419	96
Costs paid or otherwise settled	(387 )	(82 )
Ending Balance—December 31, 2013	\$ 32	\$ 14
Costs paid or otherwise settled	(32 )	(14 )
Ending Balance—December 31, 2014	\$ —	\$ —

46

The restructuring costs have been included in the results of operations as follows (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,	
	2014	2013
Cost of revenue	\$—	\$163
Selling, general and administrative	14	221
Research and development	32	131
Total	\$46	\$515

### 13. Information about Geographic Areas

Our chief operating decision-makers (i.e., Chief Executive Officer, and certain of his direct reports) review financial information presented on a consolidated basis, accompanied by disaggregated information about revenues by geographic region for purposes of allocating resources and evaluating financial performance. There are no segment managers who are held accountable by our chief operating decision-makers, or anyone else, for operations, operating results, and planning for levels or components below the consolidated unit level. Accordingly, we consider ourselves to be in a single reporting segment and operating unit structure. Revenue by geography is based on the sales region of the customer. The following table sets forth revenue and long-lived assets by geographic area (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,	
	2014	2013
Total revenue:		
North America	\$85,910	\$80,412
Asia	4,967	7,314
Europe	5,039	4,382
Total revenue	\$95,916	\$92,108

	December 31,	
	2014	2013
Long-lived assets:		
North America	\$1,597	\$678
Asia	360	403
Europe	4,453	4,544
Total long-lived assets	\$6,410	\$5,625

## 14. Quarterly Financial Information (Unaudited)

Following is a summary of unaudited quarterly financial information for 2014 and 2013:

	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations			
	2014			
	Q4	Q3	Q2	Q1
	(in thousands, except per share data)			
Revenue	\$25,595	\$ 24,535	\$ 23,055	\$22,731
Gross profit	4,764	3,963	4,265	3,535
Income (loss) from operations	1,288	723	670	(197 )
Net income (loss)	\$1,396	\$ 691	\$ 651	\$(393 )
Basic income (loss) per share	\$0.12	\$ 0.06	\$ 0.06	\$(0.03 )
Diluted income (loss) per share	\$0.12	\$ 0.06	\$ 0.06	\$(0.03 )
Shares used in calculation of income (loss) per share:				
Basic	11,735	11,655	11,510	11,390
Diluted	11,945	11,832	11,715	11,390

47

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations  
2013

	Q4	Q3	Q2	Q1
	(in thousands, except per share data)			
Revenue	\$25,829	\$ 23,572	\$ 21,837	\$20,870
Gross profit	4,516	3,369	3,793	3,347
Income (loss) from operations	25	(1,395 )	(786 )	(947 )
Net loss	\$(23 )	\$ (3,567 )	\$ (805 )	\$ (862 )
Basic loss per share	\$(0.00 )	\$ (0.32 )	\$ (0.07 )	\$ (0.08 )
Diluted loss per share	\$(0.00 )	\$ (0.32 )	\$ (0.07 )	\$ (0.08 )
Shares used in calculation of loss per share:				
Basic	11,246	11,194	11,149	11,107
Diluted	11,246	11,194	11,149	11,107

Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets  
2014

	December 31	September 30	June 30	March 31
	(in thousands)			
Cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments	\$26,390	\$25,221	\$24,131	\$22,976
Accounts receivable, net	13,626	11,749	11,235	13,130
Total current assets	40,743	37,427	36,941	37,926
Total assets	47,241	43,942	43,428	44,601
Third-party software fees payable	12,247	9,941	9,528	11,424
Accounts payable	165	171	61	187
Accrued compensation	2,106	1,843	2,160	1,722
Other accrued expenses	1,539	1,607	1,661	1,711
Total current liabilities	17,044	15,066	15,418	17,751
Common stock	131,071	130,709	130,449	129,946
Accumulated deficit	(101,648)	(103,044)	(103,735)	(104,385)
Total shareholders' equity	28,577	26,940	26,086	24,879
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	47,241	43,942	43,428	44,601

Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets  
2013

	December 31	September 30	June 30	March 31
	(in thousands)			
Cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments	\$20,805	\$21,289	\$19,902	\$19,422
Accounts receivable, net	15,893	12,811	13,614	12,639
Total current assets	39,023	35,560	35,080	33,378
Total assets	44,648	41,309	43,517	41,978
Third-party software fees payable	12,746	11,055	10,090	8,712
Accounts payable	634	778	510	276
Accrued compensation	2,383	1,986	2,242	1,862
Other accrued expenses	1,249	1,332	1,772	1,490
Total current liabilities	19,189	16,225	15,486	13,338



Edgar Filing: BSQUARE CORP /WA - Form 10-K

Common stock	129,423	129,215	128,991	128,730
Accumulated deficit	(103,993)	(103,968)	(100,403)	(99,598)
Total shareholders' equity	24,671	24,456	27,709	28,301
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	44,648	41,309	43,517	41,978

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure.  
None.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures.

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that the information required to be disclosed in the reports that we file or submit under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Exchange Act”) is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC’s rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In connection with the preparation of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, our management carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as of December 31, 2014, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures, as such term is defined under Rule 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act. Based upon this evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of December 31, 2014.

Management’s Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Our internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of our financial reporting and the preparation of our financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Internal control over financial reporting is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) promulgated under the Exchange Act and includes those policies and procedures that: (a) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of our assets; (b) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that our receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with authorizations of our management and directors; and (c) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of our assets that could have a material effect on our financial statements. All internal controls, no matter how well designed, have inherent limitations. Therefore, even those systems determined to be effective can provide only reasonable assurance with respect to financial statement preparation and presentation.

Our management assessed the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014. In making this assessment, management used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission in Internal Control—Integrated Framework (1992).

Based on its assessment, our management concluded that, as of December 31, 2014, our internal control over financial reporting was effective.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the fourth quarter of 2014 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Item 9B. Other Information.

Effective February 17, 2015, Harel Kodesh resigned from our Board of Directors. There were no disagreements as contemplated by Item 5.02(a) of Form 8-K, and thus we are disclosing this information in accordance with Item 5.02(b) of Form 8-K and this Item 9B of Form 10-K.



### PART III

#### Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance.

Certain information required by this Item regarding our directors and executive officers is set forth in Part I of this report under Item 1, “Business—Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant” and in our definitive proxy statement for our 2015 annual meeting of shareholders to be filed with the SEC under the captions “Nominees and Other Directors” and “Executive Officers” and is incorporated herein by this reference.

The information required by this Item regarding compliance by our directors, executive officers and holders of ten percent of a registered class of our equity securities with Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is included in our definitive proxy statement for our 2015 annual meeting of shareholders to be filed with the SEC under the caption “Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance” and is incorporated herein by this reference.

The remaining information required by this Item is included in our definitive proxy statement for our 2015 annual meeting of shareholders to be filed with the SEC under the caption “Corporate Governance” and is incorporated herein by this reference.

#### Item 11. Executive Compensation.

The information required by this Item is included in our definitive proxy statement for our 2015 annual meeting of shareholders to be filed with the SEC under the captions “Corporate Governance” and “Executive Officer Compensation” and is incorporated herein by this reference.

#### Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters.

The information required by this Item regarding equity compensation plan information is included in our definitive proxy statement for our 2015 annual meeting of shareholders to be filed with the SEC under the caption “Equity Compensation Plan Information” and is incorporated herein by this reference.

The information required by this Item regarding security ownership is included in our definitive proxy statement for our 2015 annual meeting of shareholders to be filed with the SEC under the caption “Security Ownership of Principal Shareholders, Directors and Management” and is incorporated herein by this reference.

#### Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence.

The information required by this Item is included in our definitive proxy statement for our 2015 annual meeting of shareholders to be filed with the SEC under the captions “Corporate Governance” and “Certain Relationships and Related Transactions” and is incorporated herein by this reference.

#### Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services.

The information required by this Item with respect to principal accounting fees and services is included in our definitive proxy statement for our 2015 annual meeting of shareholders to be filed with the SEC under the caption “Independent Auditors” and is incorporated herein by this reference.

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules.

(a) Financial Statements and Schedules

1. Financial Statements.

The following consolidated financial statements are filed as part of this report under Item 8 of Part II, "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data."

A. Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2014 and 2013.

B. Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income (Loss) for 2014 and 2013.

C. Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity for 2014 and 2013.

D. Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for 2014 and 2013.

2. Financial Statement Schedules.

Financial statement schedules not included herein have been omitted because they are either not required, not applicable, or the information is otherwise included herein.

3. Exhibits.

Exhibits are incorporated herein by reference or are filed with this report as indicated below (numbered in accordance with Item 601 of Regulation S-K).

(b) Exhibits

The exhibits listed in the accompanying Index to Exhibits are filed with this report or incorporated herein by reference.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

BSQUARE CORPORATION

Date: February 19, 2015 By: /s/ JERRY D. CHASE  
Jerry D. Chase

President and Chief Executive Officer

Date: February 19, 2015 By: /s/ Martin L. Heimbigner  
Martin L. Heimbigner

Chief Financial Officer

POWER OF ATTORNEY

Each person whose individual signature appears below hereby authorizes and appoints Jerry D. Chase and Martin L. Heimbigner, and each of them, with full power of substitution and resubstitution and full power to act without the other, as his true and lawful attorney-in-fact and agent to act in his name, place and stead and to execute in the name and on behalf of each person, individually and in each capacity stated below, and to file, any and all amendments to this report, and to file the same, with all exhibits thereto, and other documents in connection therewith, with the Securities and Exchange Commission, granting unto said attorneys-in fact and agents, and each of them, full power and authority to do and perform each and every act and thing, ratifying and confirming all that said attorneys-in-fact and agents or any of them or their or his substitute or substitutes may lawfully do or cause to be done by virtue thereof.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on February 19, 2015, on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities indicated.

Signature	Title
/s/ JERRY D. CHASE	President, Chief Executive Officer and Director  (Principal Executive Officer)
Jerry D. Chase	
/s/ Martin L. Heimbigner	Chief Financial Officer  (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)
Martin L. Heimbigner	
/S/ ANDREW HARRIES	Chairman of the Board
Andrew Harries	
/S/ ELLIOTT H. JURGENSEN, JR.	Director
Elliott H. Jurgensen, Jr.	
/S/ WILLIAM D. SAVOY	Director
William D. Savoy	
/S/ KENDRA VANDERMEULEN	Director

Kendra VanderMeulen

53

---



## BSQUARE CORPORATION

## INDEX TO EXHIBITS

Exhibit Number	Description	Filed or Furnished Herewith	Incorporated by Reference			
			Form	Filing Date	Exhibit	File No.
3.1	Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation		S-1	8/17/1999	3.1	(a) 333-85351
3.1(a)	Articles of Amendment to Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation		10-Q	8/7/2000	3.1	000-27687
3.1(b)	Articles of Amendment to Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation		8-K	10/11/2005	3.1	000-27687
3.2	Bylaws and all amendments thereto		10-K	3/19/2003	3.2	000-27687
10.1(1)	Fourth Amended and Restated Stock Plan		10-Q	8/9/2012	10.19	000-27687
10.1(a)(1)	Form of Stock Option Agreement		10-Q	8/9/2012	10.19	(a) 000-27687
10.1(b)(1)	Form of Restricted Stock Grant Agreement		10-Q	8/9/2012	10.19	(b) 000-27687
10.1(c)(1)	Form of Restricted Stock Unit Agreement		10-Q	8/9/2012	10.19	(c) 000-27687
10.2(1)	2011 Inducement Award Plan		10-Q	11/10/2011	10.1	000-27687
10.2(a)(1)	Form of Stock Option Agreement under the 2011 Inducement Award Plan		10-Q	11/10/2011	10.1	(a) 000-27687
10.2(b)(1)	Form of Restricted Stock Unit Agreement under the 2011 Inducement Award Plan	X				
10.3(1)	Form of Indemnification Agreement		S-1	8/17/1999	10.4	333-85351
10.4	Office Lease Agreement between WA—110 Atrium Place, L.L.C. and BSQUARE Corporation		10-K	3/30/2004	10.19	000-27687
10.4(a)	First Amendment to Office Lease with Talon Portfolio Services LLC dated August 26, 2013 and effective June 1, 2013		8-K	8/30/2013	10.1	000-27687
10.5(1)	Employment Letter Agreement with Jerry D. Chase dated February 21, 2014		10-Q	5/15/2014	10.1	000-27687
10.6(1)	Employment Letter Agreement with Marty L. Heimbigner dated October 22, 2014		8-K	10/28/2014	10.1	000-27687
10.7(1)	Amended and Restated Employment Letter Agreement with Mark D. Whiteside dated February 21, 2014		10-Q	5/15/2014	10.3	000-27687
10.8(1)	Employment Letter Agreement dated February 21, 2014 with Scott B. Caldwell		10-Q	5/15/2014	10.4	000-27687
10.9(2)(3)	Microsoft OEM Distribution Agreement for Software Products for Embedded Systems with Microsoft Licensing, GP effective July 1, 2014		10-Q	8/14/2014	10.1	000-27687
21.1	Subsidiaries of the registrant	X				
23.1	Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	X				
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(a) under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934	X				
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(a) under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934	X				

32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	X
32.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	X
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document	X
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document	X
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document	X
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase	
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document	X

---

Edgar Filing: BSQUARE CORP /WA - Form 10-K

Exhibit Number	Description	Filed or Furnished Herewith	Incorporated by Reference Form Filing Date Exhibit File No.
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation		
	Linkbase Document	X	

- (1) Indicates a management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.
- (2) Confidential treatment has previously been granted by the SEC for certain portions of the referenced exhibit.
- (3) Replaces previously filed exhibit.