

Atlanticus Holdings Corp
Form 10-Q
August 13, 2013
Table of Contents

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2013

of
ATLANTICUS HOLDINGS CORPORATION

a Georgia Corporation
IRS Employer Identification No. 58-2336689
SEC File Number 0-53717

Five Concourse Parkway, Suite 400
Atlanta, Georgia 30328
(770) 828-2000

Atlanticus' common stock, no par value per share, is registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Act").

Atlanticus is not a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act of 1933.

Atlanticus (1) is required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act, (2) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Act during the preceding 12 months and (3) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Atlanticus has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months.

Atlanticus is a smaller reporting company and is not a shell company.

As of July 31, 2013, 13,771,924 shares of common stock, no par value, of Atlanticus were outstanding. (This excludes 1,672,656 loaned shares to be returned as of that date.)

Table of Contents

Table of Contents

Page

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

| | | |
|---------|--|-----------|
| Item 1. | <u>Financial Statements</u> | |
| | <u>(Unaudited)</u> | |
| | <u>Consolidated Balance</u> | <u>1</u> |
| | <u>Sheets</u> | |
| | <u>Consolidated Statements of</u> | <u>2</u> |
| | <u>Operations</u> | |
| | <u>Consolidated Statements of</u> | <u>3</u> |
| | <u>Comprehensive Loss</u> | |
| | <u>Consolidated Statement of Shareholders'</u> | <u>4</u> |
| | <u>Equity</u> | |
| | <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash</u> | <u>5</u> |
| | <u>Flows</u> | |
| | <u>Notes to Consolidated Financial</u> | <u>6</u> |
| | <u>Statements</u> | |
| Item 2. | <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | <u>29</u> |
| Item 3. | <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | <u>47</u> |
| Item 4. | <u>Controls and</u> | <u>48</u> |
| | <u>Procedures</u> | |

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

| | | |
|----------|--|-----------|
| Item 1. | <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | <u>49</u> |
| Item 1A. | <u>Risk Factors</u> | <u>49</u> |
| Item 2. | <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> | <u>58</u> |
| Item 3. | <u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u> | <u>58</u> |
| Item 4. | <u>Mine Safety</u> | <u>58</u> |
| | <u>Disclosures</u> | |
| Item 5. | <u>Other Information</u> | <u>59</u> |
| Item 6. | <u>Exhibits</u> | <u>60</u> |
| | <u>Signatures</u> | <u>61</u> |

Table of Contents

PART I—FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Atlanticus Holdings Corporation and Subsidiaries

Consolidated Balance Sheets

(Dollars in thousands)

| | June 30, 2013 (unaudited) | December 31, 2012 |
|---|---------------------------------|----------------------|
| Assets | | |
| Unrestricted cash and cash equivalents | \$60,957 | \$67,915 |
| Restricted cash and cash equivalents | 15,017 | 12,921 |
| Loans and fees receivable: | | |
| Loans and fees receivable, net (of \$9,496 and \$8,274 in deferred revenue and \$13,606 and \$11,151 in allowances for uncollectible loans and fees receivable at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively) | 76,418 | 69,625 |
| Loans and fees receivable, at fair value | 15,662 | 20,378 |
| Loans and fees receivable pledged as collateral under structured financings, at fair value | 107,258 | 133,595 |
| Property at cost, net of depreciation | 7,725 | 7,192 |
| Investments in equity-method investees | 38,636 | 37,756 |
| Deposits | 16,197 | 16,397 |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets | 13,560 | 14,647 |
| Total assets | \$351,430 | \$380,426 |
| Liabilities | | |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | \$34,726 | \$38,596 |
| Notes payable, at face value | 42,017 | 26,747 |
| Notes payable associated with structured financings, at fair value | 113,613 | 140,127 |
| Convertible senior notes | 95,628 | 95,335 |
| Income tax liability | 61,036 | 60,434 |
| Total liabilities | 347,020 | 361,239 |
| Commitments and contingencies (Note 10) | | |
| Equity | | |
| Common stock, no par value, 150,000,000 shares authorized: 15,444,580 shares issued and outstanding (including 1,672,656 loaned shares to be returned) at June 30, 2013; and 15,509,179 shares issued and outstanding (including 1,672,656 loaned shares to be returned) at December 31, 2012 | — | — |
| Additional paid-in capital | 210,468 | 211,122 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (2,526) | (1,154) |
| Retained deficit | (203,530) | (190,673) |
| Total shareholders' equity | 4,412 | 19,295 |
| Noncontrolling interests | (2) | (108) |
| Total equity | 4,410 | 19,187 |
| Total liabilities and equity | \$351,430 | \$380,426 |

See accompanying notes.

Table of Contents

Atlanticus Holdings Corporation and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Statements of Operations (Unaudited)
(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)

| | For the Three Months Ended June 30, | | For the Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|--|------------|--------------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Interest income: | | | | |
| Consumer loans, including past due fees | \$ 16,681 | \$ 22,853 | \$ 36,505 | \$ 47,983 |
| Other | 84 | 206 | 195 | 408 |
| Total interest income | 16,765 | 23,059 | 36,700 | 48,391 |
| Interest expense | (5,866 |) (7,325 |) (11,638 |) (18,176 |
| Net interest income before fees and related income on earning assets and provision for losses on loans and fees receivable | 10,899 | 15,734 | 25,062 | 30,215 |
| Fees and related income on earning assets | 10,219 | 14,431 | 17,025 | 64,298 |
| Losses upon charge off of loans and fees receivable recorded at fair value, net of recoveries | (4,351 |) (17,275 |) (10,149 |) (72,903 |
| Provision for losses on loans and fees receivable recorded at net realizable value | (6,670 |) (5,952 |) (9,952 |) (8,455 |
| Net interest income, fees and related income on earning assets | 10,097 | 6,938 | 21,986 | 13,155 |
| Other operating income: | | | | |
| Servicing income | 2,604 | 968 | 5,205 | 2,232 |
| Other income | 316 | 639 | 2,452 | 1,451 |
| Equity in income of equity-method investees | 957 | 3,539 | 5,264 | 9,556 |
| Total other operating income | 3,877 | 5,146 | 12,921 | 13,239 |
| Other operating expense: | | | | |
| Salaries and benefits | 4,371 | 4,800 | 8,780 | 10,467 |
| Card and loan servicing | 10,849 | 10,726 | 21,528 | 21,190 |
| Marketing and solicitation | 2,586 | 630 | 4,521 | 1,446 |
| Depreciation | 418 | 348 | 791 | 776 |
| Other | 5,078 | 6,223 | 11,156 | 13,198 |
| Total other operating expense | 23,302 | 22,727 | 46,776 | 47,077 |
| Loss on continuing operations before income taxes | (9,328 |) (10,643 |) (11,869 |) (20,683 |
| Income tax (expense) benefit | (462 |) 1,257 | (908 |) 2,973 |
| Loss on continuing operations | (9,790 |) (9,386 |) (12,777 |) (17,710 |
| Discontinued operations: | | | | |
| Income from discontinued operations before income taxes | — | 5,276 | — | 11,150 |
| Income tax expense | — | (1,846 |) — | (3,902 |
| Income from discontinued operations | — | 3,430 | — | 7,248 |
| Net loss | (9,790 |) (5,956 |) (12,777 |) (10,462 |
| Net (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interests in continuing operations | (59 |) 211 | (80 |) 285 |
| Net loss attributable to controlling interests | \$(9,849 |) \$(5,745 |) \$(12,857 |) \$(10,177 |
| Loss on continuing operations attributable to controlling interests per common share—basic | \$(0.71 |) \$(0.42 |) \$(0.93 |) \$(0.79 |
| Loss on continuing operations attributable to controlling interests per common share—diluted | \$(0.71 |) \$(0.42 |) \$(0.93 |) \$(0.79 |
| | \$— | \$0.16 | \$— | \$0.33 |

Edgar Filing: Atlanticus Holdings Corp - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|--|----------|------------|------------|------------|
| Income from discontinued operations attributable to controlling interests per common share—basic | | | | |
| Income from discontinued operations attributable to controlling interests per common share—diluted | \$— | \$0.16 | \$— | \$0.33 |
| Net loss attributable to controlling interests per common share—basic | \$(0.71) |) \$(0.26) |) \$(0.93) |) \$(0.46) |
| Net loss attributable to controlling interests per common share—diluted | \$(0.71) |) \$(0.26) |) \$(0.93) |) \$(0.46) |

See accompanying notes.

Table of Contents

Atlanticus Holdings Corporation and Subsidiaries
 Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Loss (Unaudited)
 (Dollars in thousands)

| | For the Three Months Ended June 30, | | For the Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|--|------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Net loss | \$(9,790 |) \$(5,956 |) \$(12,777 |) \$(10,462) |
| Other comprehensive (loss) income: | | | | |
| Foreign currency translation adjustment | (117 |) (665 |) (1,511 |) 345 |
| Income tax benefit related to other comprehensive income | 31 | 85 | 139 | 17 |
| Comprehensive loss | (9,876 |) (6,536 |) (14,149 |) (10,100) |
| Comprehensive (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interests in continuing operations | (59 |) 211 | (80 |) 285 |
| Comprehensive loss attributable to controlling interests | \$(9,935 |) \$(6,325 |) \$(14,229 |) \$(9,815) |

See accompanying notes.

Table of Contents

Atlanticus Holdings Corporation and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Statements of Equity
For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 (Unaudited)
(Dollars in thousands)

| | Common Stock | | Additional Paid-In Capital | Treasury Stock | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss | Retained Deficit | Noncontrolling Interests | Total Equity |
|---|------------------|--------|----------------------------------|-------------------|---|---------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| | Shares Issued | Amount | | | | | | |
| Balance at December 31, 2012 | 15,509,179 | \$— | \$211,122 | \$— | \$(1,154) | \$(190,673) | \$(108) | \$19,187 |
| Compensatory stock issuances | 172,964 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Contributions by owners of noncontrolling interests | — | — | — | — | — | — | 26 | 26 |
| Amortization of deferred stock-based compensation costs | — | — | 212 | — | — | — | — | 212 |
| Redemption and retirement of shares | (237,563) | — | (866) | — | — | — | — | (866) |
| Net loss | — | — | — | — | — | (12,857) | 80 | (12,777) |
| Foreign currency translation adjustment, net of tax | — | — | — | — | (1,372) | — | — | (1,372) |
| Balance at June 30, 2013 | 15,444,580 | \$— | \$210,468 | \$— | \$(2,526) | \$(203,530) | \$(2) | \$4,410 |

See accompanying notes.

Table of Contents

Atlanticus Holdings Corporation and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited)
(Dollars in thousands)

| | For the Six Months Ended | |
|--|--------------------------|-------------|
| | June 30, | |
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| Operating activities | | |
| Net loss | \$(12,777) | \$(10,462) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation, amortization and accretion, net | 656 | 730 |
| Losses upon charge off of loans and fees receivable recorded at fair value | 15,991 | 72,903 |
| Provision for losses on loans and fees receivable | 9,952 | 10,064 |
| Interest expense from accretion of discount on convertible senior notes | 293 | 2,149 |
| Income from accretion of discount associated with receivables purchases | (13,919) | (16,750) |
| Unrealized gain on loans and fees receivable and underlying notes payable held at fair value | (9,490) | (57,481) |
| Income from equity-method investments | (5,264) | (9,556) |
| Other non-cash adjustments to income | 159 | 287 |
| Changes in assets and liabilities, exclusive of business acquisitions: | | |
| (Increase) decrease in uncollected fees on earning assets | (295) | 19,199 |
| Increase in income tax liability | 740 | 886 |
| Decrease in prepaid expenses | 117 | 4 |
| Decrease in accounts payable and accrued expenses | (3,558) | (846) |
| Other | 3,183 | 2,957 |
| Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities | (14,212) | 14,084 |
| Investing activities | | |
| (Increase) decrease in restricted cash | (2,127) | 5,805 |
| Investment in equity-method investees | (3,750) | (1,354) |
| Proceeds from equity-method investees | 7,211 | 16,042 |
| Investments in earning assets | (87,090) | (121,340) |
| Proceeds from earning assets | 121,761 | 167,227 |
| Investments in subsidiaries | — | (3,514) |
| Purchases and development of property, net of disposals | (1,362) | (1,139) |
| Net cash provided by investing activities | 34,643 | 61,727 |
| Financing activities | | |
| Noncontrolling interests contributions, net | 26 | — |
| Purchase and retirement of outstanding stock | (866) | (196) |
| Proceeds from borrowings | 21,198 | 15,365 |
| Repayment of borrowings | (46,880) | (184,605) |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (26,522) | (169,436) |
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash | (867) | (6) |
| Net decrease in unrestricted cash | (6,958) | (93,631) |
| Unrestricted cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 67,915 | 144,913 |
| Unrestricted cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$60,957 | \$51,282 |
| Supplemental cash flow information | | |
| Cash paid for interest | \$11,287 | \$16,265 |
| Net cash income tax payments | \$168 | \$43 |
| Supplemental non-cash information | | |
| Issuance of stock options and restricted stock | \$522 | \$227 |

| | | |
|--|-------|-------|
| Notes payable associated with capital leases | \$148 | \$268 |
|--|-------|-------|

See accompanying notes.

5

Table of Contents

Atlanticus Holdings Corporation and Subsidiaries
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
June 30, 2013 and 2012

1. Description of Our Business

Our accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Atlanticus Holdings Corporation (the “Company”) and those entities we control. We are primarily focused on providing financial services. Through our subsidiaries, we offer an array of financial products and services to a market largely represented by credit risks that regulators classify as “sub-prime.” As discussed further below, we reflect our continuing business lines within two reportable segments: Credit Cards and Other Investments; and Auto Finance. See also Note 4, “Segment Reporting,” for further details.

2. Significant Accounting Policies and Consolidated Financial Statement Components

The following is a summary of significant accounting policies we follow in preparing our consolidated financial statements, as well as a description of significant components of our consolidated financial statements.

Basis of Presentation and Use of Estimates

We prepare our consolidated financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States (“GAAP”), under which we are required to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities as of the date of our consolidated financial statements, as well as the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during each reporting period. We base these estimates on information available to us as of the date of the financial statements. Actual results could differ materially from these estimates. Certain estimates, such as credit losses, payment rates, costs of funds, discount rates and the yields earned on credit card receivables, significantly affect the reported amount of two categories of credit card receivables that we report at fair value and our notes payable associated with structured financings, at fair value; these estimates likewise affect the changes in these amounts reflected within our fees and related income on earning assets line item on our consolidated statements of operations. Additionally, estimates of future credit losses have a significant effect on loans and fees receivable, net, as shown on our consolidated balance sheets, as well as on the provision for losses on loans and fees receivable within our consolidated statements of operations.

We have reclassified certain amounts in our prior period consolidated financial statements related to discontinued operations to conform to current period presentation, and we have eliminated all significant intercompany balances and transactions for financial reporting purposes.

Loans and Fees Receivable

Our loans and fees receivable include: (1) loans and fees receivable, net; (2) loans and fees receivable, at fair value; and (3) loans and fees receivable pledged as collateral under structured financings, at fair value.

Table of Contents

Components of our aggregated categories of loans and fees receivable, net (in millions) are as follows:

| | Balance at December 31, 2012 | Additions | Subtractions | Balance at June 30, 2013 |
|--|---------------------------------|-----------|--------------|-----------------------------|
| Loans and fees receivable, gross | \$89.1 | \$109.2 | \$(98.8) |) \$99.5 |
| Deferred revenue | (8.3) |) (15.1) |) 13.9 | (9.5) |
| Allowance for uncollectible loans and fees receivable | (11.2) |) (10.0) |) 7.6 | (13.6) |
| Loans and fees receivable, net | \$69.6 | \$84.1 | \$(77.3) |) \$76.4 |
| | Balance at December 31, 2011 | Additions | Subtractions | Balance at June 30, 2012 |
| Loans and fees receivable, gross | \$119.3 | \$95.7 | \$(102.5) |) \$112.5 |
| Deferred revenue | (8.0) |) (13.3) |) 12.8 | (8.5) |
| Allowance for uncollectible loans and fees receivable | (14.7) |) (10.3) |) 8.0 | (17.0) |
| Loans and fees receivable, net | \$96.6 | \$72.1 | \$(81.7) |) \$87.0 |

As of June 30, 2013 and June 30, 2012, the weighted average remaining accretion periods for the \$9.5 million and \$8.5 million, respectively, of deferred revenue reflected in the above tables were 14 and 13 months, respectively.

A roll-forward (in millions) of our allowance for uncollectible loans and fees receivable by class of receivable is as follows:

| For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2013 | Credit Cards | Auto Finance | Other Unsecured Lending Products | Total |
|---|--------------|-----------------|---|------------|
| Allowance for uncollectible loans and fees receivable: | | | | |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$(4.0) |) \$(2.6) |) \$(3.5) |) \$(10.1) |
| Provision for loan losses | (3.7) |) 0.5 | (3.5) |) (6.7) |
| Charge offs | 1.5 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 3.7 |
| Recoveries | (0.1) |) (0.3) |) (0.1) |) (0.5) |
| Balance at end of period | \$(6.3) |) \$(1.2) |) \$(6.1) |) \$(13.6) |
| Balance at end of period individually evaluated for impairment | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$— |
| Balance at end of period collectively evaluated for impairment | \$(6.3) |) \$(1.2) |) \$(6.1) |) \$(13.6) |

Table of Contents

| For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 | Credit Cards | Auto Finance | Other Unsecured Lending Products | Total |
|---|--------------|-----------------|---|------------|
| Allowance for uncollectible loans and fees receivable: | | | | |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$(4.6) |) \$(3.1) |) \$(3.5) |) \$(11.2) |
| Provision for loan losses | (5.9) |) 0.7 | (4.8) |) (10.0) |
| Charge offs | 4.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 8.9 |
| Recoveries | (0.1) |) (1.1) |) (0.1) |) (1.3) |
| Balance at end of period | \$(6.3) |) \$(1.2) |) \$(6.1) |) \$(13.6) |
| Balance at end of period individually evaluated for impairment | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$— |
| Balance at end of period collectively evaluated for impairment | \$(6.3) |) \$(1.2) |) \$(6.1) |) \$(13.6) |
| Loans and fees receivable: | | | | |
| Loans and fees receivable, gross | \$13.2 | \$60.7 | \$25.6 | \$99.5 |
| Loans and fees receivable individually evaluated for impairment | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$— |
| Loans and fees receivable collectively evaluated for impairment | \$13.2 | \$60.7 | \$25.6 | \$99.5 |
| | | | | |
| For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2012 | Credit Cards | Auto Finance | Other Unsecured Lending Products | Total |
| Allowance for uncollectible loans and fees receivable: | | | | |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$(4.5) |) \$(6.1) |) \$(1.9) |) \$(12.5) |
| Provision for loan losses (includes \$1.4 million of provision netted within income from discontinued operations) | (7.1) |) 0.7 | (1.0) |) (7.4) |
| Charge offs | 1.4 | 1.8 | 0.9 | 4.1 |
| Recoveries | (0.3) |) (0.9) |) — |) (1.2) |
| Balance at end of period | \$(10.5) |) \$(4.5) |) \$(2.0) |) \$(17.0) |
| Balance at end of period individually evaluated for impairment | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$— |
| Balance at end of period collectively evaluated for impairment | \$(10.5) |) \$(4.5) |) \$(2.0) |) \$(17.0) |

Table of Contents

| For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 | Credit Cards | Auto Finance | Other Unsecured Lending Products | Total |
|---|--------------|--------------|----------------------------------|----------|
| Allowance for uncollectible loans and fees receivable: | | | | |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$(4.0) | \$(8.4) | \$(2.3) | \$(14.7) |
| Provision for loan losses (includes \$1.8 million of provision netted within income from discontinued operations) | (8.9) | 1.3 | (2.7) | (10.3) |
| Charge offs | 3.0 | 4.7 | 3.0 | 10.7 |
| Recoveries | (0.6) | (2.1) | — | (2.7) |
| Balance at end of period | \$(10.5) | \$(4.5) | \$(2.0) | \$(17.0) |
| Balance at end of period individually evaluated for impairment | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$— |
| Balance at end of period collectively evaluated for impairment | \$(10.5) | \$(4.5) | \$(2.0) | \$(17.0) |
| Loans and fees receivable: | | | | |
| Loans and fees receivable, gross | \$27.9 | \$73.4 | \$11.2 | \$112.5 |
| Loans and fees receivable individually evaluated for impairment | \$— | \$0.1 | \$— | \$0.1 |
| Loans and fees receivable collectively evaluated for impairment | \$27.9 | \$73.3 | \$11.2 | \$112.4 |

The components (in millions) of loans and fees receivable, net as of the date of each of our consolidated balance sheets are as follows:

| | June 30, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
|--------------------------------------|---------------|-------------------|
| Current loans receivable | \$82.4 | \$71.4 |
| Current fees receivable | 3.0 | 0.8 |
| Delinquent loans and fees receivable | 14.1 | 16.9 |
| Loans and fees receivable, gross | \$99.5 | \$89.1 |

Delinquent loans and fees receivable reflect the principal, fee and interest components of loans we did not collect on or prior to the contractual due date. Amounts we believe we will not ultimately collect are included as a component in our overall allowance for uncollectible loans and fees receivable and typically are charged off 180 days from the point they become delinquent for our credit card, auto finance and other unsecured lending product receivables, or sooner if facts and circumstances earlier indicate non-collectibility. Recoveries on accounts previously charged off are credited to the allowance for uncollectible loans and fees receivable and effectively offset our provision for losses on loans and fees receivable recorded at net realizable value on our consolidated statements of operations. (All of the above discussion relates only to our loans and fees receivable for which we use net realizable value (i.e., as opposed to fair value) accounting. For loans and fees receivable recorded at fair value, recoveries offset losses upon charge off of loans and fees receivable recorded at fair value, net of recoveries on our consolidated statement of operations.)

Table of Contents

We consider loan delinquencies a key indicator of credit quality as this measure provides the best ongoing estimate of how a particular class of receivables is performing. An aging of our delinquent loans and fees receivable, gross (in millions) by class of receivable as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 is as follows:

| | Credit Cards | Auto Finance | Other Unsecured Lending Products | Total |
|--|--------------|-----------------|---|--------|
| Balance at June 30, 2013 | | | | |
| 30-59 days past due | \$1.2 | \$5.2 | \$1.2 | \$7.6 |
| 60-89 days past due | 0.9 | 1.5 | 0.8 | 3.2 |
| 90 or more days past due | 1.5 | 0.6 | 1.2 | 3.3 |
| Delinquent loans and fees receivable, gross | 3.6 | 7.3 | 3.2 | 14.1 |
| Current loans and fees receivable, gross | 9.6 | 53.4 | 22.4 | 85.4 |
| Total loans and fees receivable, gross | \$13.2 | \$60.7 | \$25.6 | \$99.5 |
| Balance of loans 90 or more days past due and still accruing interest and fees | \$— | \$0.1 | \$— | \$0.1 |
| Balance at December 31, 2012 | | | | |
| 30-59 days past due | \$0.7 | \$5.4 | \$0.6 | \$6.7 |
| 60-89 days past due | 1.0 | 2.0 | 0.5 | 3.5 |
| 90 or more days past due | 4.2 | 1.6 | 0.9 | 6.7 |
| Delinquent loans and fees receivable, gross | 5.9 | 9.0 | 2.0 | 16.9 |
| Current loans and fees receivable, gross | 1.3 | 55.2 | 15.7 | 72.2 |
| Total loans and fees receivable, gross | \$7.2 | \$64.2 | \$17.7 | \$89.1 |
| Balance of loans 90 or more days past due and still accruing interest and fees | \$— | \$0.5 | \$— | \$0.5 |

Investments in Equity-Method Investees

We account for investments using the equity method of accounting if we have the ability to exercise significant influence, but not control, over the investees. Significant influence is generally deemed to exist if we have an ownership interest in the voting stock of an incorporated investee of between 20% and 50%, although other factors, such as representation on an investee's board of managers, specific voting and veto rights held by each investor and the effects of commercial arrangements, are considered in determining whether equity method accounting is appropriate. We record our interests in the income of our equity-method investees within the equity in income of equity-method investees category on our consolidated statements of operations.

We use the equity method for our investments in a limited liability company formed in 2004 to acquire a portfolio of credit card receivables. In June 2013, we increased, from 50.0% to 66.7%, our overall ownership in this limited liability company. We continue to account for this investment using the equity method of accounting due to specific voting and veto rights held by each investor, which do not allow us to control this investee. We also use the equity method to account for our March 2011 investment to acquire a 50.0% interest in a joint venture with an unrelated third party that purchased the outstanding notes issued out of the structured financing trust underlying our United Kingdom ("U.K.") portfolio of credit card receivables (the "U.K. Portfolio").

We evaluate our investments in the equity-method investees for impairment each quarter by comparing the carrying amount of each investment to its fair value. Because no active market exists for the investees' limited liability company

membership interests, we evaluate our investments for impairment based on our evaluation of the fair value of the equity-method investees' net assets relative to their carrying values. If we ever were to determine that the carrying values of our investments in equity-method investees were greater than their fair values, we would write the investments down to their fair values.

Table of Contents

Income Taxes

Computed considering results for only our continuing operations before income taxes, we experienced negative effective income tax benefit rates of 5.0% and 7.7% for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, versus our effective income tax benefit rates of 11.8% and 14.4% for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012. Our negative effective income tax benefit rates for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 result principally from interest accruals on our liabilities for uncertain tax positions. Variations in our effective tax rates between the periods principally bear the effects of (1) changes in valuation allowances against income statement-oriented federal, foreign and state deferred tax assets and (2) intra-period tax allocations associated with our discontinued operations in 2012 as required under GAAP.

We recognize potential accrued interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits in income tax expense. We recognized \$0.5 million and \$0.9 million in potential interest and penalties associated with uncertain tax positions during the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively, compared to \$0.5 million and \$0.9 million during the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively. To the extent such interest and penalties are not assessed as a result of a resolution of the underlying tax positions, amounts accrued are reduced and reflected as a reduction of income tax expense. We recognized no such reductions in each of the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012.

Fees and Related Income on Earning Assets

The components (in thousands) of our fees and related income on earning assets are as follows:

| | Three months ended June 30, | | Six months ended June 30, | |
|---|-----------------------------|----------|---------------------------|----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Fees on credit products | \$5,385 | \$4,031 | \$9,301 | \$6,994 |
| Changes in fair value of loans and fees receivable recorded at fair value | 8,342 | 26,942 | 25,065 | 82,871 |
| Changes in fair value of notes payable associated with structured financings recorded at fair value | (970) | (16,073) | (15,575) | (25,390) |
| Other | (2,538) | (469) | (1,766) | (177) |
| Total fees and related income on earning assets | \$10,219 | \$14,431 | \$17,025 | \$64,298 |

The above changes in fair value of loans and fees receivable recorded at fair value category excludes the impact of charge offs associated with these receivables which are separately stated on our consolidated statements of operations. See Note 7, "Fair Values of Assets and Liabilities," for further discussion of these receivables and their effects on our consolidated statements of operations. Included within our Other category above during the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 is a \$2.4 million charge off of a note we had received from buyers of our JRAS buy-here, pay-here dealer operations that we sold in February 2011.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In February 2013, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued guidance that requires an entity to report the effect of significant reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income on respective line items in consolidated statements of income if an amount being reclassified is required to be reclassified in its entirety to net income. For amounts not required to be reclassified to net income in their entirety in the same reporting period, an entity is required to cross-reference other disclosures that provide additional detail about those amounts. The new reporting requirements do not change the way in which net income or comprehensive income is derived. The new standard applies to both interim and annual financial statements beginning on or after January 1, 2013. Our adoption

of the guidance on January 1, 2013 had no effect on our financial condition, results of operations or liquidity since it impacts disclosures only.

In December 2011, the FASB issued guidance requiring entities to disclose information about offsetting and related arrangements to enable users of financial statements to understand the effect of those arrangements on an entity's financial position. The amendments require enhanced disclosures by requiring improved information about financial instruments and derivative instruments that are either (1) offset in accordance with current literature or (2) subject to an enforceable master netting arrangement or similar agreement, irrespective of whether they are offset in accordance with current literature. The guidance is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning on or after January 1, 2013. Our adoption of the guidance on January 1, 2013 had no effect on our financial condition, results of operations or liquidity since it impacts disclosures only.

Table of Contents

Subsequent Events

We evaluate subsequent events that occur after our consolidated balance sheet date but before our consolidated financial statements are issued. There are two types of subsequent events: (1) recognized, or those that provide additional evidence with respect to conditions that existed at the date of the balance sheet, including the estimates inherent in the process of preparing financial statements; and (2) nonrecognized, or those that provide evidence with respect to conditions that did not exist at the date of the balance sheet but arose subsequent to that date. We have evaluated subsequent events occurring after June 30, 2013, and based on our evaluation, we did not identify any recognized or nonrecognized subsequent events that would have required further adjustments to our consolidated financial statements. We note, however, that on August 5, 2013 we received \$10.0 million of escrowed proceeds associated with the August 2012 sale of our Investments in Previously Charged-Off Receivables segment.

3. Discontinued Operations

In August 2012 we sold our Investments in Previously Charged-Off Receivables segment along with our balance transfer card operations. Accordingly, their results of operations are shown as discontinued operations within our consolidated statements of operations for all periods presented. Key components of discontinued operations on our consolidated statements of operations are as follows for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012:

| | Three months ended June 30, 2012 | Six months ended June 30, 2012 |
|--|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Net interest income, fees and related income on earning assets | \$14,186 | \$29,205 |
| Other operating income | 1,028 | 2,160 |
| Other operating expense | 9,938 | 20,215 |
| Income before income taxes | 5,276 | 11,150 |
| Income tax expense | (1,846 |) (3,902 |
| Net income | \$3,430 | \$7,248 |
| Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests | \$— | \$— |

4. Segment Reporting

We operate primarily within one industry consisting of two reportable segments by which we manage our business. Our two reportable segments are: Credit Cards and Other Investments; and Auto Finance.

As of both June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, we did not have a material amount of long-lived assets located outside of the U.S., and only a negligible portion of our 2013 and 2012 revenues were generated outside of the U.S.

Table of Contents

Summary operating segment information (in thousands) is as follows:

| Three months ended June 30, 2013 | Credit Cards and Other Investments | Auto Finance | Total |
|--|--|-----------------|------------|
| Interest income: | | | |
| Consumer loans, including past due fees | \$10,866 | \$5,815 | \$16,681 |
| Other | 37 | 47 | 84 |
| Total interest income | 10,903 | 5,862 | 16,765 |
| Interest expense | (5,603 |) (263 |) (5,866 |
| Net interest income before fees and related income on earning assets and provision for losses on loans and fees receivable | \$5,300 | \$5,599 | \$10,899 |
| Fees and related income on earning assets | \$12,581 | \$(2,362 |) \$10,219 |
| Servicing income | \$2,404 | \$200 | \$2,604 |
| Equity in income of equity-method investees | \$957 | \$— | \$957 |
| (Loss on) income from continuing operations before income taxes | \$(8,794 |) \$(534 |) \$(9,328 |
| Income tax (expense) benefit | \$(101 |) \$(361 |) \$(462 |
| Total assets | \$292,342 | \$59,088 | \$351,430 |
| | | | |
| Six months ended June 30, 2013 | Credit Cards and Other Investments | Auto Finance | Total |
| Interest income: | | | |
| Consumer loans, including past due fees | \$24,910 | \$11,595 | \$36,505 |
| Other | 78 | 117 | 195 |
| Total interest income | 24,988 | 11,712 | 36,700 |
| Interest expense | (10,942 |) (696 |) (11,638 |
| Net interest income before fees and related income on earning assets and provision for losses on loans and fees receivable | \$14,046 | \$11,016 | \$25,062 |
| Fees and related income on earning assets | \$19,321 | \$(2,296 |) \$17,025 |
| Servicing income | \$4,804 | \$401 | \$5,205 |
| Equity in income of equity-method investees | \$5,264 | \$— | \$5,264 |
| (Loss on) income from continuing operations before income taxes | \$(12,765 |) \$896 | \$(11,869 |
| Income tax (expense) benefit | \$(179 |) \$(729 |) \$(908 |
| Total assets | \$292,342 | \$59,088 | \$351,430 |

Table of Contents

| Three months ended June 30, 2012 | Credit Cards and Other Investments | Auto Finance | Total |
|--|--|-----------------|-----------|
| Interest income: | | | |
| Consumer loans, including past due fees | \$16,476 | \$6,377 | \$22,853 |
| Other | 139 | 67 | 206 |
| Total interest income | 16,615 | 6,444 | 23,059 |
| Interest expense | (6,862 |) (463 |) (7,325 |
| Net interest income before fees and related income on earning assets and provision for losses on loans and fees receivable | \$9,753 | \$5,981 | \$15,734 |
| Fees and related income on earning assets | \$14,155 | \$276 | \$14,431 |
| Servicing income | \$803 | \$165 | \$968 |
| Equity in income of equity-method investees | \$3,539 | \$— | \$3,539 |
| (Loss on) income from continuing operations before income taxes | \$(13,194 |) \$2,551 | \$(10,643 |
| Income tax benefit (expense) | \$1,761 | \$(504 |) \$1,257 |
| Total assets | \$351,585 | \$74,348 | \$425,933 |

| Six months ended June 30, 2012 | Credit Cards and Other Investments | Auto Finance | Total |
|--|--|-----------------|-----------|
| Interest income: | | | |
| Consumer loans, including past due fees | \$35,170 | \$12,813 | \$47,983 |
| Other | 275 | 133 | 408 |
| Total interest income | 35,445 | 12,946 | 48,391 |
| Interest expense | (14,537 |) (3,639 |) (18,176 |
| Net interest income before fees and related income on earning assets and provision for losses on loans and fees receivable | \$20,908 | \$9,307 | \$30,215 |
| Fees and related income on earning assets | \$63,695 | \$603 | \$64,298 |
| Servicing income | \$1,926 | \$306 | \$2,232 |
| Equity in income of equity-method investees | \$9,556 | \$— | \$9,556 |
| (Loss on) income from continuing operations before income taxes | \$(21,632 |) \$949 | \$(20,683 |
| Income tax benefit (expense) | \$3,973 | \$(1,000 |) \$2,973 |
| Total assets | \$351,585 | \$74,348 | \$425,933 |

5. Shareholders' Equity

Retired Shares

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, we repurchased and contemporaneously retired 109,027 and 237,563 shares of our common stock at an aggregate cost of \$0.4 million and \$0.9 million, respectively.

6. Investments in Equity-Method Investees

In the following tables, we summarize (in thousands) combined balance sheet and results of operations data for our equity-method investees:

Table of Contents

| | As of June 30, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
|--|------------------------|-------------------|
| Loans and fees receivable pledged as collateral under structured financings, at fair value | \$41,761 | \$53,375 |
| Investments in non-marketable debt securities, at fair value | \$39,163 | \$46,564 |
| Total assets | \$104,239 | \$114,375 |
| Notes payable associated with structured financings, at fair value | \$17,585 | \$29,279 |
| Total liabilities | \$17,824 | \$29,558 |
| Members' capital | \$86,415 | \$84,817 |

| | Three months ended June 30, | | Six months ended June 30, | |
|--|-----------------------------|---------|---------------------------|----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Net interest income, fees and related income on earning assets | \$1,937 | \$7,084 | \$10,574 | \$21,371 |
| Total other operating income | \$176 | \$846 | \$514 | \$929 |
| Net income | \$1,631 | \$7,214 | \$10,045 | \$20,704 |
| Net income attributable to our equity investment in investee | \$957 | \$3,539 | \$5,264 | \$9,556 |

As previously noted, in June 2013, we increased, from 50.0% to 66.7% our overall ownership in a joint venture formed in 2004 to purchase a credit card receivables portfolio. We continue to account for this investment using the equity method of accounting due to specific voting and veto rights held by each investor, which do not allow us to control this investee. The additional June 2013 investment in this investee was made at a discount to the fair value of the investee's assets, thereby resulting in a gain of approximately \$0.9 million for us in the three months ended June 30, 2013 based on the investee's reporting of substantially all of its assets at their fair values under its fair value option election.

The above tables include our aforementioned 50.0% interest in the joint venture that purchased in March 2011 the outstanding notes issued out of our U.K. Portfolio structured financing trust. Separate financial data for this entity are as follows:

| | As of June 30, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
|--|------------------------|-------------------|
| Investments in non-marketable debt securities, at fair value | \$39,163 | \$46,564 |
| Total assets | \$40,696 | \$47,125 |
| Total liabilities | \$— | \$— |
| Members' capital | \$40,696 | \$47,125 |

| | Three months ended June 30, | | Six months ended June 30, | |
|---|-----------------------------|-----------|---------------------------|---------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Net interest income, fees and related (loss) income on earning assets | \$(964) |) \$4,010 | \$6,266 | \$4,557 |
| Net (loss) income | \$(976) |) \$3,998 | \$6,243 | \$4,524 |
| Net (loss) income attributable to our equity investment in investee | \$(487) |) \$284 | \$3,122 | \$804 |

As noted in Note 8, "Notes Payable," notes payable with a fair value of \$39.2 million correspond with the \$39.2 million investment in non-marketable debt securities, at fair value held by our equity method investee as noted in the above table.

Table of Contents

7. Fair Values of Assets and Liabilities

Valuations and Techniques for Assets

Our assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires judgment and considers factors specific to the asset or liability. The table below summarizes (in thousands) by fair value hierarchy the June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 fair values and carrying amounts (1) of our assets that are required to be carried at fair value in our consolidated financial statements and (2) of our assets not carried at fair value, but for which fair value disclosures are required:

| Assets – As of June 30, 2013 (1) | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Other Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | Carrying Amount of Assets |
|--|--|---|---|---------------------------|
| Loans and fees receivable, net for which it is practicable to estimate fair value | \$— | \$ — | \$87,744 | \$68,597 |
| Loans and fees receivable, net for which it is not practicable to estimate fair value (2) | \$— | \$ — | \$— | \$7,821 |
| Loans and fees receivable, at fair value | \$— | \$ — | \$15,662 | \$15,662 |
| Loans and fees receivable pledged as collateral under structured financings, at fair value | \$— | \$ — | \$107,258 | \$107,258 |
| Assets – As of December 31, 2012 (1) | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Other Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | Carrying Amount of Assets |
| Loans and fees receivable, net for which it is practicable to estimate fair value | \$— | \$ — | \$76,384 | \$65,198 |
| Loans and fees receivable, net for which it is not practicable to estimate fair value (2) | \$— | \$ — | \$— | \$4,427 |
| Loans and fees receivable, at fair value | \$— | \$ — | \$20,378 | \$20,378 |
| Loans and fees receivable pledged as collateral under structured financings, at fair value | \$— | \$ — | \$133,595 | \$133,595 |

(1) For cash, deposits and other short-term investments, the carrying amount is a reasonable estimate of fair value.

We do not disclose fair value for this portion of our loans and fees receivable, net because it is not practicable to do so. These loans and fees receivable consist of a variety of receivables that are largely start-up in nature and for which we have neither sufficient history nor a comparable peer group from which we can calculate fair value.

For those asset classes above that are required to be carried at fair value in our consolidated financial statements, gains and losses associated with fair value changes are detailed on our fees and related income on earning assets table within Note 2, “Significant Accounting Policies and Consolidated Financial Statement Components.”

Table of Contents

For Level 3 assets carried at fair value measured on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs, the following table presents (in thousands) a reconciliation of the beginning and ending balances for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and June 30, 2012:

| | Loans and Fees Receivable, at Fair Value | Loans and Fees Receivable Pledged as Collateral under Structured Financings, at Fair Value | Total |
|--|--|--|--------------|
| Balance at January 1, 2013 | \$20,378 | \$133,595 | \$153,973 |
| Total gains—realized/unrealized: | | | |
| Net revaluations of loans and fees receivable pledged as collateral under structured financings, at fair value | — | 19,789 | 19,789 |
| Net revaluations of loans and fees receivable, at fair value | 5,276 | — | 5,276 |
| Settlements, net | (9,992) |) (43,553 |) (53,545) |
| Impact of foreign currency translation | — | (2,573 |) (2,573) |
| Net transfers in and/or out of Level 3 | — | — | — |
| Balance at June 30, 2013 | \$15,662 | \$107,258 | \$122,920 |
| Balance at January 1, 2012 | \$28,226 | \$238,763 | \$266,989 |
| Total gains—realized/unrealized: | | | |
| Net revaluations of loans and fees receivable pledged as collateral under structured financings, at fair value | — | 75,471 | 75,471 |
| Net revaluations of loans and fees receivable, at fair value | 7,400 | — | 7,400 |
| Settlements, net | (14,780) |) (129,172 |) (143,952) |
| Impact of foreign currency translation | — | 1,144 | 1,144 |
| Net transfers in and/or out of Level 3 | — | — | — |
| Balance at June 30, 2012 | \$20,846 | \$186,206 | \$207,052 |

The unrealized gains and losses for assets within the Level 3 category presented in the tables above include changes in fair value that are attributable to both observable and unobservable inputs.

Net Revaluation of Loans and Fees Receivable. We record the net revaluation of loans and fees receivable (including those pledged as collateral) in the fees and related income on earning assets category in our consolidated statements of operations, specifically as changes in fair value of loans and fees receivable recorded at fair value.

Table of Contents

For Level 3 assets carried at fair value measured on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs, the following table presents (in thousands) quantitative information about the valuation techniques and the inputs used in the fair value measurement as of June 30, 2013:

Quantitative Information about Level 3 Fair Value Measurements

| Fair Value Measurements | Fair Value at June 30, 2013 (in Thousands) | Valuation Technique | Unobservable Input | Range (Weighted Average)(1) |
|---|---|--------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Loans and fees receivable, at fair value | \$15,662 | Discounted cash flows | Gross yield | 23.5 % |
| | | | Principal payment rate | 3.7 % |
| | | | Expected credit loss rate | 17.0 % |
| | | | Servicing rate | 11.2 % |
| | | | Discount rate | 15.9 % |
| Loans and fees receivable pledged as collateral under structured financings, at fair value | \$107,258 | Discounted cash flows | Gross yield | 8.4% to 25.3% (18.8%) |
| | | | Principal payment rate | 1.5% to 3.2% (2.5%) |
| | | | Expected credit loss rate | 11.7% to 23.0% (18.7%) |
| | | | Servicing rate | 6.8% to 8.5% (7.8%) |
| | | | Discount rate | 15.9% to 16.2% (16.0%) |

(1) Our loans and fees receivable, at fair value consist of a single portfolio with one set of assumptions. As such, no range is given.

Valuations and Techniques for Liabilities

Our assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires judgment and considers factors specific to the liability. The table below summarizes (in thousands) by fair value hierarchy the June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 fair values and carrying amounts of (1) our liabilities that are required to be carried at fair value in our consolidated financial statements and (2) our liabilities not carried at fair value, but for which fair value disclosures are required:

Table of Contents

| Liabilities – As of June 30, 2013 | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | Carrying Amount of Liabilities |
|--|--|---|---|--------------------------------|
| Liabilities not carried at fair value | | | | |
| CAR revolving credit facility | \$— | \$— | \$20,000 | \$20,000 |
| ACC amortizing debt facility | \$— | \$— | \$1,887 | \$1,887 |
| Amortizing debt facility | \$— | \$— | \$6,721 | \$6,721 |
| Revolving credit facility | \$— | \$— | \$4,000 | \$4,000 |
| Amortizing structured financing | \$— | \$— | \$1,477 | \$1,477 |
| U.K. credit card accounts revolving credit facility | \$— | \$— | \$7,783 | \$7,783 |
| 5.875% Convertible Senior Notes | \$— | \$64,155 | \$— | \$95,178 |
| Liabilities carried at fair value | | | | |
| Interest rate swap underlying CAR facility | \$— | \$124 | \$— | \$124 |
| Economic sharing arrangement liability | \$— | \$— | \$550 | \$550 |
| Notes payable associated with structured financings, at fair value | \$— | \$— | \$113,613 | \$113,613 |
| Liabilities - As of December 31, 2012 | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | Carrying Amount of Liabilities |
| Liabilities not carried at fair value | | | | |
| CAR revolving credit facility | \$— | \$— | \$20,000 | \$20,000 |
| ACC amortizing debt facility | \$— | \$— | \$3,896 | \$3,896 |
| Revolving credit facility | \$— | \$— | \$1,456 | \$1,456 |
| U.K. credit card accounts revolving credit facility | \$— | \$— | \$1,213 | \$1,213 |
| 5.875% Convertible Senior Notes | \$— | \$55,787 | \$— | \$94,886 |
| Liabilities carried at fair value | | | | |
| Interest rate swap underlying CAR facility | \$— | \$175 | \$— | \$175 |
| Economic sharing arrangement liability | \$— | \$— | \$815 | \$815 |
| Notes payable associated with structured financings, at fair value | \$— | \$— | \$140,127 | \$140,127 |

Gains and losses associated with fair value changes for our notes payable associated with structured financing liabilities that are carried at fair value are detailed on our fees and related income on earning assets table within Note 2, “Significant Accounting Policies and Consolidated Financial Statement Components.” See Note 8, “Notes Payable,” for further discussion on our notes payable.

For our material Level 3 liabilities carried at fair value measured on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs, the following table presents (in thousands) a reconciliation of the beginning and ending balances for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012.

Table of Contents

| | Notes Payable Associated with Structured Financings, at Fair Value | |
|--|---|-----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| Beginning balance, January 1 | \$140,127 | \$241,755 |
| Transfers in due to consolidation of equity-method investees | — | — |
| Total (gains) losses—realized/unrealized: | | |
| Net revaluations of notes payable associated with structured financings, at fair value | 15,575 | 25,390 |
| Repayments on outstanding notes payable, net | (39,388 |) (85,480 |
| Impact of foreign currency translation | (2,701 |) 1,085 |
| Net transfers in and/or out of Level 3 | — | — |
| Ending balance, June 30 | \$113,613 | \$182,750 |

The unrealized gains and losses for liabilities within the Level 3 category presented in the tables above include changes in fair value that are attributable to both observable and unobservable inputs. We provide below a brief description of the valuation techniques used for Level 3 liabilities.

Net Revaluation of Notes Payable Associated with Structured Financings, at Fair Value. We record the net revaluations of notes payable associated with structured financings, at fair value, in the changes in fair value of notes payable associated with structured financings line item within the fees and related income on earning assets category of our consolidated statements of operations.

For material Level 3 liabilities carried at fair value measured on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs, the following table presents (in thousands) quantitative information about the valuation techniques and the inputs used in the fair value measurement for the period ended June 30, 2013:

Quantitative Information about Level 3 Fair Value Measurements

| Fair Value Measurements | Fair Value at June 30, 2013 (in thousands) | Valuation Technique | Unobservable Input | Range (Weighted Average) |
|--|--|------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Notes payable associated with structured financings, at fair value | \$113,613 | Discounted cash flows | Gross yield | 8.4% to 25.3% (18.8%) |
| | | | Principal payment rate | 1.5% to 3.2% (2.5%) |
| | | | Expected credit loss rate | 11.7% to 23.0% (18.7%) |
| | | | Discount rate | 15.9% to 20.3% (17.6%) |

Table of Contents

Other Relevant Data

Other relevant data (in thousands) as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 concerning certain assets and liabilities we carry at fair value are as follows:

| As of June 30, 2013 | Loans and Fees Receivable at Fair Value | Loans and Fees Receivable Pledged as Collateral under Structured Financings at Fair Value |
|---|--|--|
| Aggregate unpaid principal balance within loans and fees receivable that are reported at fair value | \$21,213 | \$144,322 |
| Aggregate fair value of loans and fees receivable that are reported at fair value | \$15,662 | \$107,258 |
| Aggregate fair value of receivables carried at fair value that are 90 days or more past due (which also coincides with finance charge and fee non-accrual policies) | \$29 | \$415 |
| Aggregate excess of balance of unpaid principal receivables within loans and fees receivable that are reported at fair value and are 90 days or more past due (which also coincides with finance charge and fee non-accrual policies) over the fair value of such loans and fees receivable | \$799 | \$6,116 |
| | | |
| As of December 31, 2012 | Loans and Fees Receivable at Fair Value | Loans and Fees Receivable Pledged as Collateral under Structured Financings at Fair Value |
| Aggregate unpaid principal balance within loans and fees receivable that are reported at fair value | \$26,154 | \$192,433 |
| Aggregate fair value of loans and fees receivable that are reported at fair value | \$20,378 | \$133,595 |
| Aggregate fair value of receivables carried at fair value that are 90 days or more past due (which also coincides with finance charge and fee non-accrual policies) | \$36 | \$957 |
| Aggregate excess of balance of unpaid principal receivables within loans and fees receivable that are reported at fair value and are 90 days or more past due (which also coincides with finance charge and fee non-accrual policies) over the fair value of such loans and fees receivable | \$1,643 | \$7,591 |
| | | |
| Notes Payable | Notes Payable Associated with Structured Financings, at Fair Value as of June 30, 2013 | Notes Payable Associated with Structured Financings, at Fair Value as of December 31, 2012 |
| Aggregate unpaid principal balance of notes payable | \$241,675 | \$287,711 |
| Aggregate fair value of notes payable | \$113,613 | \$140,127 |

Table of Contents

8. Notes Payable

Notes Payable Associated with Structured Financings, at Fair Value

Scheduled (in millions) in the table below are (1) the carrying amounts of structured financing notes secured by certain credit card receivables and reported at fair value as of both June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, (2) the outstanding face amounts of structured financing notes secured by certain credit card receivables and reported at fair value as of June 30, 2013, and (3) the carrying amounts of the credit card receivables and restricted cash that provide the exclusive means of repayment for the notes (i.e., lenders have recourse only to the specific credit card receivables and restricted cash underlying each respective facility and cannot look to our general credit for repayment) as of June 30, 2013.

| | Carrying Amounts at Fair Value as of | |
|---|--------------------------------------|-------------------|
| | June 30, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
| Amortizing securitization facility issued out of our upper-tier originated portfolio master trust (stated maturity of December 2014), outstanding face amount of \$170.1 million bearing interest at a weighted average 3.9% interest rate (3.5% as of December 31, 2012), \$74.4 million which is secured by credit card receivables and restricted cash aggregating \$74.4 million (\$93.6 million as of December 31, 2012) in carrying amount | | \$93.6 |
| Amortizing term securitization facility (denominated and referenced in U.K. sterling and a stated maturity of April 2014) issued out of our U.K. Portfolio securitization trust, outstanding face amount of \$71.5 million bearing interest at a weighted average 5.4% interest rate (5.1% as of December 31, 2012), which is secured by credit card receivables and restricted cash aggregating \$39.9 million (\$47.3 million as of December 31, 2012) in carrying amount | 39.2 | 46.5 |
| Total structured financing notes reported at fair value that are secured by credit card receivables and to which we are subordinated | \$113.6 | \$140.1 |

Contractual payment allocations within these credit cards receivable structured financings provide for a priority distribution of cash flows to us to service the credit card receivables, a distribution of cash flows to pay interest and principal due on the notes, and a distribution of all excess cash flows (if any) to us. Each of the structured financing facilities in the above table is amortizing down along with collections of the underlying receivables and there are no provisions within the debt agreements that allow for acceleration or bullet repayment of the facilities prior to their scheduled expiration dates. The aggregate carrying amount of the credit card receivables and restricted cash that provide security for the \$113.6 million in fair value of structured financing notes in the above table is \$114.3 million, which means that our maximum aggregate exposure to pre-tax equity loss associated with the above structured financing arrangements is \$0.7 million.

Beyond our role as servicer of the underlying assets within the credit cards receivable structured financings, we have provided no other financial or other support to the structures, and we have no explicit or implicit arrangements that could require us to provide financial support to the structures.

Table of Contents

Notes Payable, at Face Value

Other notes payable outstanding as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 that are secured by the financial and operating assets of either the borrower, another of our subsidiaries or both, include the following, scheduled (in millions); except as otherwise noted, the assets of our holding company (Atlanticus Holdings Corporation) are subject to creditor claims under these scheduled facilities:

| | As of June 30, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
|---|------------------------|-------------------|
| Revolving credit facility (expiring October 4, 2014) at an annual rate equal to 4.8% (4.8% at December 31, 2012) that is secured by the financial and operating assets of CAR with an aggregate carrying amount of \$51.8 million (\$50.8 million at December 31, 2012) (1) (5) | \$20.0 | \$20.0 |
| Revolving credit facility (expiring May 17, 2014) at an annual rate equal to 4.2% that is secured by the financial and operating assets of our borrowing subsidiary with an aggregate carrying amount of \$17.5 million (5) | 4.0 | — |
| Amortizing debt facility (expiring December 15, 2014) at a fixed rate of 9.5% that is secured by certain receivables and restricted cash with an aggregate carrying amount of \$6.8 million (2) | 6.7 | — |
| Amortizing debt facility (expiring November 6, 2016) that is secured by our ACC Auto Finance segment receivables and restricted cash with an aggregate carrying amount of \$5.2 million (\$9.7 million as of December 31, 2012) (3) | 1.9 | 3.9 |
| Revolving credit facility which was refinanced in April 2013 | — | 1.4 |
| Amortizing structured financing (expiring April 1, 2016) that is secured by certain receivables, with an annual rate equal to the lenders cost of funds plus 6.0% (6.8% as of June 30, 2013) (4) | 1.5 | — |
| Revolving credit facility associated with our credit card accounts in the U.K. that can be drawn to the extent of outstanding eligible principal receivables up to £5.0 million, expiring December 1, 2016 with an annual rate equal to the lender's cost of funds plus 7.0% (9.5% as of June 30, 2013 and 10.3% as of December 31, 2012) | 7.8 | 1.2 |
| Vendor-financed software and equipment purchases (expiring September 2014) at an implied rate of 15.0%, that are secured by certain equipment | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| Total notes payable outstanding | \$42.0 | \$26.7 |

(1) Loan is subject to certain affirmative covenants, including a coverage ratio, a leverage ratio and a collateral performance test, the failure of which could result in required early repayment of all or a portion of the outstanding balance by our CAR Auto Finance operations. The assets of Atlanticus Holdings Corporation are not subject to creditor claims arising due to asset performance-related covenants under this loan.

(2) Loan is subject to certain affirmative covenants tied to default rates and other performance metrics the failure of which could result in required early repayment of the remaining unamortized balance.

(3) The terms of this lending agreement provide for the application of all excess cash flows from the underlying auto finance receivables portfolio (above and beyond interest costs and contractual servicing compensation to our outsourced third-party servicer) to reduce the outstanding principal balance of the debt, and the outstanding principal balance was repaid in the fourth quarter of 2012. Now that we have repaid the principal portion of the

note, the lending agreement requires that we remit 37.5% of future cash flows (net of contractual servicing compensation) generated on the auto finance receivables portfolio to the note holders as additional compensation for the use of their capital. Based on current estimates of this additional compensation, we currently are accruing interest expense on this liability at a 25.4% effective interest rate based on current expectations of future collections, and the amount disclosed in the above table represents our accrued interest expense liability under this lending agreement. The assets of Atlanticus Holdings Corporation are not subject to creditor claims arising under this loan.

- (4) Loan has certain asset performance covenants that if triggered could accelerate the early repayment of the remaining unamortized balance.

Table of Contents

(5) Loans are from same lender and are cross-collateralized; thus, combined security interests are subject to claims upon the default of either lending arrangement.

In May 2013, the revolving credit facility associated with our CAR operations was amended and expanded to allow for borrowings against certain receivables associated with our merchant credit lending program under the existing \$40.0 million facility borrowing limits. The terms remained unchanged with the exception of the maturity date for borrowings under the merchant credit portion, which was set as May 17, 2014 as indicated in the table above.

In March 2012, we entered into an interest rate swap related to the \$20.0 million amount drawn on the CAR facility discussed in the table above. The interest rate swap effectively fixes our interest rate to 4.75% from LIBOR plus 4.0%. We include the fair value of the interest rate swap and changes in its fair value in our consolidated balance sheets and statements of operations, respectively. See Note 7, "Fair Values of Assets and Liabilities," for more information regarding this interest rate swap.

9. Convertible Senior Notes

In May 2005, we issued \$250.0 million aggregate principal amount of 3.625% convertible senior notes due 2025 ("3.625% convertible senior notes"), and in November 2005, we issued \$300.0 million aggregate principal amount of 5.875% convertible senior notes due 2035 ("5.875% convertible senior notes"). These notes (net of repurchases since the issuance dates) are reflected within convertible senior notes on our consolidated balance sheets. In May 2012, substantially all of the holders of our 3.625% convertible senior notes exercised a then-existing put right, under which we repaid \$83.5 million in face amount of such notes outstanding at par. No such put rights exist under our 5.875% convertible senior notes. The following summarizes (in thousands) components of our consolidated balance sheets associated with our convertible senior notes:

| | As of | |
|--|---------------|-------------------|
| | June 30, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
| Face amount of 3.625% convertible senior notes | \$450 | \$450 |
| Face amount of 5.875% convertible senior notes | 139,467 | 139,467 |
| Discount | (44,289 |) (44,582 |
| Net carrying value | \$95,628 | \$95,335 |
| Carrying amount of equity component included in additional paid-in capital | \$108,714 | \$108,714 |
| Excess of instruments' if-converted values over face principal amounts | \$— | \$— |

10. Commitments and Contingencies

General

In the normal course of business through the origination of unsecured credit card receivables, we incur off-balance-sheet risks. These risks include commitments of £1.8 million (\$2.8 million) at June 30, 2013 to purchase receivables associated with cardholders who have the right to borrow in excess of their current balances up to the maximum credit limit on their credit card accounts. We have never experienced a situation in which all of our customers have exercised their entire available line of credit at any given point in time, nor do we anticipate this will ever occur in the future. Moreover, there would be a concurrent increase in assets should there be any exercise of these lines of credit. We also have the effective right to reduce or cancel these available lines of credit at any time. At June 30, 2013, our remaining available lines of credit associated with credit cards related to those cards issued under

programs in the U.K.

Additionally our CAR operations provide floor-plan financing for a pre-qualified network of independent automotive dealers and automotive finance companies in the buy-here, pay-here used car business. The financings allow dealers and finance companies to borrow in excess of their current balances up to the maximum pre-approved credit limit allowed in order to finance ongoing inventory needs. These loans are secured by the underlying auto inventory and, in certain cases where we have other lending products outstanding with the dealer, are also secured by the collateral under those lending arrangements as well, including any outstanding dealer reserves. As of June 30, 2013, CAR had unfunded outstanding floor-plan financing

24

Table of Contents

commitments totaling \$5.8 million. Each draw against unused commitments is reviewed for conformity to pre-established guidelines.

Under our merchant credit products, we give consumers the ability to borrow up to the maximum credit limit assigned to each individual's account. Our unfunded commitments under these products aggregated \$39.0 million at June 30, 2013. We have never experienced a situation in which all of our customers have exercised their entire available line of credit at any given point in time, nor do we anticipate this will ever occur in the future. Moreover, there would be a concurrent increase in assets should there be any exercise of these lines of credit. We also have the effective right to reduce or cancel these available lines of credit at any time.

Atlanticus Services Corporation's (formerly CompuCredit Corporation and a wholly owned subsidiary of Atlanticus Holdings Corporation) third-party originating financial institution relationships require security (collateral) related to their issuance of credit cards and cardholder purchases thereunder, of which \$0.2 million remains pledged to support various ongoing contractual obligations. In addition, in connection with our U.K. Portfolio acquisition, Atlanticus Services Corporation guarantees certain obligations of its subsidiaries and its third-party originating financial institution to one of the European payment systems (\$0.2 million as of June 30, 2013). Those obligations include, among other things, compliance with one of the European payment system's operating regulations and by-laws. Atlanticus Services Corporation also guarantees certain performance obligations of its servicer affiliate to the indenture trustee and the trust created under the structured financing relating to our U.K. Portfolio.

Under agreements with third-party originating and other financial institutions, we have agreed to (1) indemnify the financial institutions for certain liabilities associated with the financial institutions' card issuance and other lending activities on our behalf—such indemnification obligations generally being limited to instances in which we either (a) have been afforded the opportunity to defend against any potentially indemnifiable claims or (b) have reached agreement with the financial institutions regarding settlement of potentially indemnifiable claims, and (2) certain contingent liabilities (capped at \$4.4 million) that could arise for us upon the non-performance by third parties under their financial obligations. As of June 30, 2013, we have assessed the likelihood of any potential payments related to the aforementioned contingencies as remote. We will accrue liabilities related to these contingencies in any future period if and in which we assess the likelihood of an estimable payment as probable.

Total System Services, Inc. provides certain services to Atlanticus Services Corporation as a system of record provider under an agreement that extends through May 2015. If Atlanticus Services Corporation were to terminate its U.S. relationship with Total System Services, Inc. prior to the contractual termination period, it would incur significant penalties (\$9.1 million as of June 30, 2013).

We also are subject to certain minimum payments under cancelable and non-cancelable lease arrangements. For further information regarding these commitments See Note 9, "Leases," in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

Litigation

We are involved in various legal proceedings that are incidental to the conduct of our business, none of which are material to us.

11. Net Income (Loss) Attributable to Controlling Interests Per Common Share

We compute net income (loss) attributable to controlling interests per common share by dividing income (loss) attributable to controlling interests by the weighted-average common shares (including participating securities) outstanding during the period, as discussed below. Diluted computations applicable in financial reporting periods in

which we report income reflect the potential dilution to the basic income per common share computations that could occur if securities or other contracts to issue common stock were exercised, were converted into common stock or were to result in the issuance of common stock that would share in our income. In performing our net income (loss) attributable to controlling interests per common share computations, we apply accounting rules that require us to include all unvested stock awards that contain non-forfeitable rights to dividends or dividend equivalents, whether paid or unpaid, in the number of shares outstanding in our basic and diluted calculations. Common stock and unvested share-based payment awards earn dividends equally, and we have included all outstanding restricted stock awards in our basic and diluted calculations for current and prior periods.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth the computations of net income (loss) per common share (in thousands, except per share data):

| | For the Three Months Ended | | For the Six Months Ended June | |
|--|----------------------------|-------------|-------------------------------|--------------|
| | June 30, | 2012 | 30, | 2012 |
| | 2013 | | 2013 | |
| Numerator: | | | | |
| Loss on continuing operations attributable to controlling interests | \$ (9,849 |) \$ (9,175 |) \$ (12,857 |) \$ (17,425 |
| (Loss on) income from discontinued operations attributable to controlling interests | — | 3,430 | — | 7,248 |
| Net loss attributable to controlling interests | \$ (9,849 |) \$ (5,745 |) \$ (12,857 |) \$ (10,177 |
| Denominator: | | | | |
| Basic (including unvested share-based payment awards) (1) | 13,784 | 21,997 | 13,813 | 21,985 |
| Effect of dilutive stock compensation arrangements (2) | — | 51 | — | 67 |
| Diluted (including unvested share-based payment awards) (1) | 13,784 | 22,048 | 13,813 | 22,052 |
| Loss on continuing operations attributable to controlling interests per common share—basic | \$ (0.71 |) \$ (0.42 |) \$ (0.93 |) \$ (0.79 |
| Loss on continuing operations attributable to controlling interests per common share—diluted | \$ (0.71 |) \$ (0.42 |) \$ (0.93 |) \$ (0.79 |
| Income from discontinued operations attributable to controlling interests per common share—basic | \$— | \$ 0.16 | \$— | \$ 0.33 |
| Income from discontinued operations attributable to controlling interests per common share—diluted | \$— | \$ 0.16 | \$— | \$ 0.33 |
| Net loss attributable to controlling interests per common share—basic | \$ (0.71 |) \$ (0.26 |) \$ (0.93 |) \$ (0.46 |
| Net loss attributable to controlling interests per common share—diluted | \$ (0.71 |) \$ (0.26 |) \$ (0.93 |) \$ (0.46 |

(1) Shares related to unvested share-based payment awards we included in our basic and diluted share counts are 224,662 and 251,525 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively compared to 76,500 and 169,209 shares for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively.

(2) The effect of dilutive options is shown only for informational purposes where we are in a net loss position. In such situations, the effect of including outstanding options and restricted stock would be anti-dilutive, and they are thus excluded from all loss period calculations.

As their effects were anti-dilutive, we excluded all of our stock options from our net income (loss) per share computations for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012.

Table of Contents

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, there were no shares potentially issuable and thus includible in the diluted net loss attributable to controlling interests per common share calculation under our 3.625% convertible senior notes and 5.875% convertible senior notes. However, in future reporting periods during which our closing stock price is above the respective \$20.22 and \$24.61 conversion prices for the 3.625% convertible senior notes and 5.875% convertible senior notes, and depending on the closing stock price at conversion, the maximum potential dilution under the conversion provisions of such notes is 22,246 and 5.7 million shares, respectively, which could be included in diluted share counts in net income per common share calculations. See Note 9, "Convertible Senior Notes," for a further discussion of these convertible securities.

12. Stock-Based Compensation

We currently have two stock-based compensation plans, the Employee Stock Purchase Plan (the "ESPP") and the 2008 Equity Incentive Plan (the "2008 Plan").

The 2008 Plan provides for grants of stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock awards, restricted stock units and incentive awards. The maximum aggregate number of shares of common stock that may be issued under this plan and to which awards may relate is 2,000,000 shares, and 803,046 shares remained available for grant under this plan as of June 30, 2013. Exercises and vestings under our stock-based compensation plans resulted in no income tax-related benefits or charges to additional paid-in capital during the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012.

Stock Options

Our 2008 Plan provides that we may grant options on or shares of our common stock (and other types of equity awards) to members of our Board of Directors, employees, consultants and advisors. The exercise price per share of the options may be less than, equal to, or greater than the market price on the date the option is granted. The option period may not exceed 10 years from the date of grant. The vesting requirements for options granted by us range from immediate to 5 years. We had no expenses related to stock option-related compensation costs during the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012. When applicable, we recognize stock option-related compensation expense for any awards with graded vesting on a straight-line basis over the vesting period for the entire award. Information related to options outstanding is as follows:

| | For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 | | | |
|----------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|--|---------------------------|
| | Number of Shares | Weighted-Average Exercise Price | Weighted-Average of Remaining Contractual Life | Aggregate Intrinsic Value |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2012 | 500,000 | \$40.99 | | |
| Issued/Cancelled/Forfeited | (500,000) | \$40.99 | | |
| Outstanding at June 30, 2013 | — | \$— | | \$— |
| Exercisable at June 30, 2013 | — | \$— | | \$— |
| | For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 | | | |
| | Number of Shares | Weighted-Average Exercise Price | Weighted-Average of Remaining Contractual Life | Aggregate Intrinsic Value |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2011 | 570,000 | \$39.24 | | |

Edgar Filing: Atlanticus Holdings Corp - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | |
|------------------------------|---------|---|---------|-----|-----|
| Issued/Cancelled/Forfeited | (70,000 |) | \$26.76 | | |
| Outstanding at June 30, 2012 | 500,000 | | \$40.99 | 0.9 | \$— |
| Exercisable at June 30, 2012 | 500,000 | | \$40.99 | 0.9 | \$— |

As of June 30, 2013, we had no outstanding stock options and thus had no unamortized deferred compensation costs associated with non-vested stock options. There were no stock option exercises during the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, and we granted no options in the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012.

Table of Contents

Restricted Stock and Restricted Stock Unit Awards

During the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, we granted 150,472 and 60,000 shares of aggregate restricted stock and restricted stock units, respectively, with aggregate grant date fair values of \$0.5 million and \$0.2 million, respectively. When we grant restricted shares, we defer the grant date value of the restricted shares and amortize that value (net of the value of anticipated forfeitures) as compensation expense with an offsetting entry to the additional paid-in capital component of our consolidated shareholders' equity. Our issued restricted shares generally vest over a range of 24 to 60 months and are being amortized to salaries and benefits expense ratably over applicable vesting periods. As of June 30, 2013, our unamortized deferred compensation costs associated with non-vested restricted stock awards were \$0.7 million with a weighted-average remaining amortization period of 1.6 years.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and the related notes included herein and our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012, where certain terms (including trust, subsidiary and other entity names and financial, operating and statistical measures) are defined.

This Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations includes forward-looking statements. We base these forward-looking statements on our current plans, expectations and beliefs about future events. There are risks that our actual experience will differ materially from the expectations, including the factors discussed in "Risk Factors" in Part II, Item 1A and elsewhere in this Report. For more information, see "Forward-Looking Information" below.

In this Report, except as the context suggests otherwise, the words "Company," "Atlanticus Holdings Corporation," "Atlanticus," "we," "our," "ours" and "us" refer to Atlanticus Holdings Corporation and its subsidiaries and predecessors. Atlanticus owns Aspire®, Embrace®, Emerge®, Imagine®, Majestic®, Monument®, Salute®, Tribute®, Fortiva®, and other trademarks and service marks in the U.S. and the U.K.

OVERVIEW

We are a provider of various credit and related financial services and products to or associated with the financially underserved consumer credit market—a market largely represented by credit risks that regulators classify as "sub-prime."

Currently, within our Credit Cards and Other Investments segment, we are collecting on portfolios of credit card receivables underlying now-closed credit card accounts. These receivables include both receivables we originated through third-party financial institutions and portfolios of receivables we purchased from third-party financial institutions. The only open credit card accounts underlying our credit card receivables are those generated through our credit card products in the U.K. Two of our portfolios of credit card receivables underlying now-closed accounts are encumbered by non-recourse structured financings, and for these portfolios our principal remaining economic interest is the servicing compensation we receive as an offset against our servicing costs given that the likely future collections on the portfolios are insufficient to allow for full repayment of the financings. Beyond these activities within our Credit Cards and Other Investments segment, we are applying the experiences and infrastructure associated with our historic credit card offerings to other credit product offerings, including private label merchant credit whereby we partner with retailers in various industries across the U.S. to provide credit (and, to a much more limited extent currently, rent merchandise under "rent-to-own" arrangements) at the point of sale to their customers who may have been declined under traditional financing options. Operations in this area now represent the largest potential growth segment for our Company. Using our global infrastructure and technology platform, we also provide loan servicing activities, including underwriting, marketing, customer service and collections operations for third parties. Also through our Credit Cards and Other Investments segment, we are engaged in limited investment activities in ancillary finance, technology and other businesses as we seek to build new products and relationships that could allow for greater utilization of our expertise and infrastructure. Lastly, we report within our Credit Cards and Other Investments segment the income it earns associated with its investments in two equity-method investees—one that holds credit card receivables for which we are the servicer and another that holds structured financing notes underlying credit card receivables for which we are the servicer.

In the current environment, the recurring cash flows we receive within our Credit Cards and Other Investments segment principally include those associated with (1) servicing compensation, (2) distributions from one of our equity-method investees that in March 2011 purchased and now holds all of the outstanding notes issued out of our U.K. Portfolio structured financing trust, (3) unencumbered credit card receivables portfolios that have already

generated enough cash to allow for the repayment of their underlying structured financing facilities, and (4) our private label merchant credit activities.

We historically financed most of our credit card receivables through the asset-backed securitization markets. These markets deteriorated significantly in 2008, and the level of “advance rates,” or leverage against credit card receivable assets, in the current asset-backed securitization markets is far below pre-2008 levels. Considering this reality and U.S. regulatory constraints on credit card asset returns, we have concluded that we cannot achieve our desired returns on equity through U.S. credit card lending. We continue, however, to originate credit cards in the U.K. because we believe the U.K. environment to be more favorable than the U.S. toward possible significant credit card origination growth in the future. Additionally, we believe that our private label merchant credit activities are generating and will continue to generate attractive returns on assets, thereby allowing us to secure debt financing under terms and conditions (including advance rates and pricing) that will allow us to achieve our desired returns on equity, and we continue to aggressively grow in this area.

Table of Contents

Within our Auto Finance segment, our CAR subsidiary operations principally purchase and/or service loans secured by automobiles from or for a pre-qualified network of independent automotive dealers and automotive finance companies in the buy-here, pay-here, used car business. Offerings have also been expanded to provide floor-plan financing to this same group of dealers and finance companies. We purchase the auto loans at a discount and with dealer retentions or holdbacks that provide risk protection. Also within our Auto Finance segment, we are collecting on portfolios of auto finance receivables that we previously originated through franchised and independent auto dealers in connection with prior business activities, as well as providing certain lending products in addition to our traditional loans secured by automobiles.

In August 2012, we sold to Flexpoint Fund II, L.P. for \$130.5 million our Investments in Previously Charged-Off Receivables segment, including its balance transfer card operations, the credit card receivables (and underlying activities) of which were historically reflected within our Credit Cards and Other Investments segment. The sales price included (1) \$119.7 million at closing, which included a \$13.0 million note receivable that was subsequently paid and \$10.0 million initially held in an indemnification-related escrow account that was released to us in cash on August 5, 2013, and (2) an additional \$10.8 million in cash we received in the fourth quarter of 2012 upon the achievement of certain targets. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, the results of these operations are reported within our income from discontinued operations category on our consolidated statements of operations.

Subject to the availability of growth capital at attractive terms and pricing, our shareholders should expect us to continue to evaluate and pursue a variety of activities, including: (1) the acquisition of additional credit card receivables portfolios, and potentially other financial assets that are complementary to our financially underserved credit business including our private label merchant credit activities; (2) investments in other assets or businesses that are not necessarily financial services assets or businesses; (3) additional opportunities to repurchase our convertible senior notes and other debt or our outstanding common stock; and (4) servicing credit card receivables and other assets for third parties (and in which we have limited or no equity interests) to allow us to leverage our expertise and infrastructure.

Table of Contents

CONSOLIDATED RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

| (In Thousands) | For the Three Months Ended June 30, | | Income |
|---|-------------------------------------|-----------|---|
| | 2013 | 2012 | Increases (Decreases) from 2012 to 2013 |
| Total interest income | \$16,765 | \$23,059 | \$(6,294) |
| Interest expense | (5,866) | (7,325) | 1,459) |
| Fees and related income on earning assets: | | | |
| Fees on credit products | 5,385 | 4,031 | 1,354 |
| Changes in fair value of loans and fees receivable recorded at fair value | 8,342 | 26,942 | (18,600) |
| Changes in fair value of notes payable associated with structured financings recorded at fair value | (970) | (16,073) | 15,103) |
| Other | (2,538) | (469) | (2,069) |
| Other operating income: | | | |
| Servicing income | 2,604 | 968 | 1,636 |
| Other income | 316 | 639 | (323) |
| Equity in income equity-method investees | 957 | 3,539 | (2,582) |
| Total | \$24,995 | \$35,311 | \$(10,316) |
| Losses upon charge off of loans and fees receivable recorded at fair value | 4,351 | 17,275 | 12,924 |
| Provision for losses on loans and fees receivable recorded at net realizable value | 6,670 | 5,952 | (718) |
| Other operating expenses: | | | |
| Salaries and benefits | 4,371 | 4,800 | 429 |
| Card and loan servicing | 10,849 | 10,726 | (123) |
| Marketing and solicitation | 2,586 | 630 | (1,956) |
| Depreciation | 418 | 348 | (70) |
| Other | 5,078 | 6,223 | 1,145 |
| Net loss | (9,790) | (5,956) | (3,834) |
| Net (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interests | (59) | 211 | (270) |
| Net loss attributable to controlling interests | (9,849) | (5,745) | (4,104) |
| Income from discontinued operations before income tax | — | 5,276 | (5,276) |

Table of Contents

| (In Thousands) | For the Six Months Ended June 30, | | Income |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------|---|
| | 2013 | 2012 | Increases (Decreases) from 2012 to 2013 |
| Total interest income | \$36,700 | \$48,391 | \$(11,691) |
| Interest expense | (11,638 |) (18,176 |) 6,538 |
| Fees and related income on earning assets: | | | |
| Fees on credit products | 9,301 | 6,994 | 2,307 |
| Changes in fair value of loans and fees receivable recorded at fair value | 25,065 | 82,871 | (57,806) |
| Changes in fair value of notes payable associated with structured financings recorded at fair value | (15,575 |) (25,390 |) 9,815 |
| Other | (1,766 |) (177 |) (1,589) |
| Other operating income: | | | |
| Servicing income | 5,205 | 2,232 | 2,973 |
| Other income | 2,452 | 1,451 | 1,001 |
| Equity in income equity-method investees | 5,264 | 9,556 | (4,292) |
| Total | \$55,008 | \$107,752 | \$(52,744) |
| Losses upon charge off of loans and fees receivable recorded at fair value | 10,149 | 72,903 | 62,754 |
| Provision for losses on loans and fees receivable recorded at net realizable value | 9,952 | 8,455 | (1,497) |
| Other operating expenses: | | | |
| Salaries and benefits | 8,780 | 10,467 | 1,687 |
| Card and loan servicing | 21,528 | 21,190 | (338) |
| Marketing and solicitation | 4,521 | 1,446 | (3,075) |
| Depreciation | 791 | 776 | (15) |
| Other | 11,156 | 13,198 | 2,042 |
| Net loss | (12,777 |) (10,462 |) (2,315) |
| Net (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interests | (80 |) 285 | (365) |
| Net loss attributable to controlling interests | (12,857 |) (10,177 |) (2,680) |
| Income from discontinued operations before income tax | — | 11,150 | (11,150) |

Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2013, Compared to Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2012

Total interest income. Total interest income consists primarily of finance charges and late fees earned on our credit card, private label merchant credit, and auto finance receivables. The decline period over period is due to continued net liquidations of our credit card and auto finance receivables over the past year. Moreover, absent the effects of possible portfolio acquisitions, we expect our ongoing total interest income to decline for the next several quarters along with continuing expected net liquidations of our credit card and auto finance receivables. The reductions may be offset to a limited extent by expected growth in our private label merchant credit product and U.K. credit card product.

Interest expense. The decrease in interest expense is due to (1) our debt facilities being repaid commensurate with net liquidations of the underlying credit card receivables and auto finance receivables that serve as collateral for the facilities, and (2) the effects of our May 2012 repayment of substantially all of our 3.625% convertible senior notes as discussed in Note 9, "Convertible Senior Notes," to our consolidated financial statements. Despite limited new borrowings as evidenced within Note 8, "Notes Payable," to our consolidated financial statements and the effects of our

convertible senior notes issuance discount accretion in increasing monthly interest expense amounts in the future, we expect lower interest expense in future 2013 quarters relative to comparable prior year quarters.

Table of Contents

Fees and related income on earning assets. The significant factors affecting our differing levels of fees and related income on earning assets include:

- Our 2013 increases in fees on credit products, principally due to fee billings on credit card accounts in the U.K., as well as fee billings associated with our growing private label merchant credit offerings;
- Our \$2.4 million charge off of a note we had received from buyers of our JRAS buy-here, pay-here dealer operations that we sold in February 2011, such charge off causing the loss reflected in the "Other" category within our schedule of fees and related income on earning assets; and
- The effects of changes in the fair values of credit card receivables recorded at fair value and notes payable associated with structured financings recorded at fair value as addressed below.

Given expected net liquidations in our credit card receivables (absent possible portfolio acquisitions) in the future, we expect our change in fair value of credit card receivables recorded at fair value and our change in fair value of notes payable associated with structured financings recorded at fair value amounts to gradually diminish (absent significant changes in the assumptions used to determine these fair values) in the future. These amounts, however, are subject to potentially high levels of volatility if we experience changes in the quality of our credit card receivables or if there are significant changes in market valuation factors (e.g., interest rates and spreads) in the future. Such volatility will be muted somewhat, however, by the offsetting nature of the receivables and underlying debt being recorded at fair value and with the expected reductions in the face amounts of such outstanding receivables and debt as we experience further credit card receivables liquidations and associated debt amortizing repayments.

Servicing income. We earn servicing compensation by servicing loan portfolios for third parties (including our equity-method investees), and we recently have entered into servicing agreements with new third parties, which account for our higher second quarter and year-to-date 2013 servicing income relative to the prior year periods. Unless and/or until we grow the number of contractual servicing relationships we have with third parties or our current relationships grow their loan portfolios, we will not experience significant growth and income within this category.

Other income. Historically included within our other income category are ancillary and interchange revenues, which are now relatively insignificant for us due to our credit card account closures and net credit card receivables portfolio liquidations. Absent portfolio acquisitions, we do not expect significant ancillary and interchange revenues in the future. Also included within our other income category are certain reimbursements we received in respect of one of our portfolios; these other income inclusions were negligible in 2012 and in the second quarter of 2013, but were more significant in the first quarter of 2013. This accounts for the increase in other income for the six months ended June 30, 2013 versus the six months ended 2012, despite our slightly lower other income in the second quarter of 2013 versus the second quarter of 2012.

Equity in income of equity-method investees. Because our equity-method investees use the fair value option to account for their financial assets and liabilities, changes in fair value estimates can cause some volatility in the earnings of these investees as occurred between the first and second quarters of 2013. Although we increased our equity interest in one of our two equity-method investees in the second quarter of 2013, because of continued liquidations in their financial assets (a credit card receivables portfolio held by one equity-method investee and structured financing notes held by the other), absent additional investments in our existing or in new equity-method investees in the future, we expect gradually declining effects from our equity-method investments on our operating results. This is consistent with our experiences for the six months ended June 30, 2013 versus the six months ended June 30, 2012.

Losses upon charge off of loans and fees receivable recorded at fair value. This account reflects charge offs (net of recoveries) of the face amount of credit card receivables we record at fair value on our consolidated balance sheet. We

have experienced a general trending decline in, and we expect future trending declines in, these charge offs as we continue to liquidate our credit card receivables. Additionally, our net losses in 2013 reflect reimbursements received in respect of one of our portfolios.

Table of Contents

Provision for losses on loans and fees receivable recorded at net realizable value. Our provision for losses on loans and fees receivable recorded at net realizable value covers, with respect to such receivables, the aggregate loss exposures on (1) principal receivable balances, (2) finance charges and late fees receivable underlying income amounts included within our total interest income category, and (3) other fees receivable. We have experienced year over year increases in this category between 2012 and 2013 due to the effects of initial elevated losses incurred on new credit product testing and more recently growth in our new product lines. For the foreseeable future, we expect growth in new product receivables recorded at net realizable value to exceed liquidations of our auto finance receivables recorded at net realizable value. Accordingly, we expect increases in our provisions for losses on loans and fees receivable recorded at net realizable value in future quarters—such increases predominantly expected to reflect the effects of volume (i.e., growth of new product receivables), rather than credit quality changes or deterioration. Based on experience with some of our new products (in particular our U.K. credit card product) in 2012, we expect modest net improvements in the credit quality of our new product receivables throughout 2013. See Note 2, “Significant Accounting Policies and Consolidated Financial Statement Components,” to our consolidated financial statements and the discussions of our Credit Cards and Other Investments and Auto Finance segments for further credit quality statistics and analysis.

Total other operating expense. Total other operating expense variances for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 relative to the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 reflect the following:

- modestly diminished salaries and benefits costs resulting from our ongoing cost-cutting efforts as we continue to adjust our internal operations to reflect the declining size of our existing portfolios;
- card and loan servicing expenses that are slightly higher in 2013 based on new product efforts, the cost of such efforts overshadowing the cost effects of continuing credit card and auto finance receivables portfolio liquidations;
- slightly increased depreciation reflecting modest capital investments by us associated with our new product efforts;
- and
- increases in marketing and solicitation costs consistent with our aforementioned new product efforts.

A large portion of our operating costs are variable based on the levels of accounts we market and receivables we service (both for our own account and for others) and the pace and breadth of our search for, acquisition of and introduction of new business lines, products and services. However, a number of our operating costs are fixed and will over time comprise a larger percentage of our total costs given the ongoing contraction of our credit card and auto finance loans and fees receivable levels. To this extent, our rate of cost reduction has slowed relative to the rate of contraction in loans and fees receivable. We do, however, attempt to maximize the utility that we get from our incurrence of fixed costs by our testing and exploration of new products and services and areas of investment, and we continue to perform extensive reviews of all areas of our businesses for cost savings opportunities to better align our costs with our net liquidating portfolio of managed receivables.

Notwithstanding our cost-cutting efforts and focus, while it is relatively easy for us to scale back our variable expenses, it is much more difficult (to which we alluded above) for us to appreciably reduce our fixed and other costs associated with an infrastructure (particularly within our Credit Cards and Other Investments segment) that was built to support growing managed receivables and levels of managed receivables that are significantly higher than both our current levels and the levels that we expect to see in the near future. At this point, our Credit Cards and Other Investments segment cash inflows are sufficient to cover its direct variable costs and a portion, but not all, of its share of overhead costs (including, for example, corporate-level executive and administrative costs and our convertible senior notes interest costs). As such, if we are not successful in further reducing overhead costs or expanding revenue-earning activities to levels commensurate with such costs, then, depending upon the sufficiency of excess cash flows and earnings generated from our Auto Finance segment and those credit card portfolios that have repaid their underlying structured financing facilities, we may experience continuing pressure on our liquidity position and our ability to be profitable.

Noncontrolling interests. We reflect the ownership interests of noncontrolling holders of equity in our majority-owned subsidiaries as noncontrolling interests in our consolidated statements of operations. Unless we enter into significant new majority-owned subsidiary ventures with noncontrolling interest holders in the future, we expect to have negligible noncontrolling interests in our majority-owned subsidiaries and negligible allocations of income or loss to noncontrolling interest holders in future quarters.

Income taxes. Computed considering results for only our continuing operations before income taxes, we experienced negative effective income tax benefit rates of 5.0% and 7.7% for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, versus our effective income tax benefit rates of 11.8% and 14.4% for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012. Our negative effective income tax benefit rates for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 result principally from interest accruals on our liabilities for uncertain tax positions. Variations in our effective tax rates between the periods principally bear the effects of

Table of Contents

(1) changes in valuation allowances against income statement-oriented federal, foreign and state deferred tax assets and (2) intra-period tax allocations associated with our discontinued operations in 2012 as required under GAAP.

We recognize potential accrued interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits in income tax expense. We recognized \$0.5 million and \$0.9 million in potential interest and penalties associated with uncertain tax positions during the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively, compared to \$0.5 million and \$0.9 million during the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively. To the extent such interest and penalties are not assessed as a result of a resolution of the underlying tax position, amounts accrued are reduced and reflected as a reduction of income tax expense. We recognized no such reductions in each of the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012.

Credit Cards and Other Investments Segment

Our Credit Cards and Other Investments segment includes our continuing activities relating to investments in and servicing of our various credit card receivables portfolios, as well as other investments and products that are not yet material to our overall financial position but which generally utilize much of the same infrastructure as our credit card operations.

The types of revenues we earn from our credit product activities primarily include finance charges, late fees, over-limit fees, annual fees, activation fees, monthly maintenance fees, returned-check fees and cash advance fees. Also, while insignificant currently, revenues (during previous periods of broad credit card account origination and in which significant numbers of credit card accounts were open to cardholder purchases) also have included those associated with (1) our sale of ancillary products such as memberships, subscription services and debt waiver, as well as (2) interchange fees representing a portion of the merchant fee assessed by card associations based on cardholder purchase volumes underlying credit card receivables.

We record (i) the finance charges and late fees assessed on our Credit Cards and Other Investments segment credit products in the interest income consumer loans category, including past due fees category on our consolidated statements of operations, (ii) the over-limit, annual, monthly maintenance, returned-check, cash advance and other fees in the fees and other income on earning assets category on our consolidated statements of operations, and (iii) the charge offs (and recoveries thereof) within our provision for losses on loans and fees receivable on our consolidated statements of operations (for all credit product receivables other than those credit card receivables underlying formerly off-balance-sheet securitization structures) and within losses upon charge off of loans and fees receivable recorded at fair value on our consolidated statements of operations (for all of our other credit card receivables underlying formerly off-balance-sheet securitization structures for which we have elected the fair value option). Additionally, we show the effects of fair value changes for those credit card receivables for which we have elected the fair value option as a component of fees and related income on earning assets in our consolidated statements of operations.

We historically have originated and purchased credit card portfolios through subsidiary entities. Generally, if we control through direct ownership or exert a controlling interest in the entity, we consolidate it and reflect its operations as noted above. If we exert significant influence but do not control the entity, we record our share of its net operating results in the equity in income of equity-method investees category on our consolidated statements of operations.

Background

We make various references within our discussion of the Credit Cards and Other Investments segment to our managed receivables. In calculating managed receivables data, we include within managed receivables those receivables we manage for our consolidated subsidiaries, but we exclude from managed receivables any noncontrolling interest holders' shares of the receivables. Additionally, we include within managed receivables only our economic share of the

receivables that we manage for our equity-method investees.

Financial, operating and statistical data based on aggregate managed receivables are important to any evaluation of our performance in managing our credit card portfolios, including our underwriting, servicing and collecting activities and our valuing of purchased receivables. In allocating our resources and managing our business, management relies heavily upon financial data and results prepared on this “managed basis.” Analysts, investors and others also consider it important that we provide selected financial, operating and statistical data on a managed basis because this allows a comparison of us to others within the specialty finance industry. Moreover, our management, analysts, investors and others believe it is critical that they understand the credit performance of the entire portfolio of our managed receivables because it reveals information concerning the quality of loan originations and the related credit risks inherent within the portfolios.

Table of Contents

Reconciliation of the managed receivables data to our GAAP financial statements requires: (1) an understanding that our managed receivables data are based on billings and actual charge offs as they occur, without regard to any changes in our allowance for uncollectible loans and fees receivable or any changes in the fair value of loans and fees receivable and their associated structured financing notes; (2) inclusion of our economic share of (or equity interest in) the receivables we manage for our equity-method investees; (3) removal of any noncontrolling interest holders' shares of the managed receivables underlying our GAAP consolidated results; (4) treatment of the transaction in which our 50%-owned equity-method investee acquired our U.K. Portfolio structured financing trust notes (a) as a deemed sale of the U.K. Portfolio trust receivables at their face amount, (b) followed by the 50%-owned equity-method investee's deemed repurchase of such receivables for consideration equal to the discounted purchase price that it paid for the notes, and (c) as though the difference between the deemed face amount and the deemed discounted repurchase price of the receivables is to be treated as credit quality discount to be accreted into managed earnings as a reduction of net charge offs over the remaining life of the receivables; and (5) the exclusion from our managed receivables data of periodic reimbursements received in respect of one of our portfolios which resulted in pre-tax income benefits within our total interest income, fees and related income on earning assets, losses upon charge off of loans and fees receivable recorded at fair value, net of recoveries, other income, servicing income, and equity in income of equity-method investees line items on our consolidated statements of operations totaling approximately \$1.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013, and \$5.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013. This last category of reconciling items above is excluded because it does not bear on our performance in managing our credit card portfolios, including our underwriting, servicing and collecting activities and our valuing of purchased receivables; moreover, it is difficult to determine the future income effects of any reimbursement payments that may be received.

We typically have purchased credit card receivables portfolios at substantial discounts. In our managed basis statistical data, we apply a portion of these discounts against receivables acquired for which charge off is considered likely, including accounts in late stages of delinquency at the date of acquisition; this portion is measured based on our acquisition date estimate of the shortfall of cash flows expected to be collected on the acquired portfolios relative to the face amount of receivables represented within the acquired portfolios. We refer to the balance of the discount for each purchase not needed for credit quality as accretable yield, which we accrete into net interest margin in our managed basis statistical data using the interest method over the estimated life of each acquired portfolio. As of the close of each financial reporting period, we evaluate the appropriateness of the credit quality discount component and the accretable yield component of our acquisition discount based on actual and projected future results.

Asset Quality

Our delinquency and charge-off data at any point in time reflect the credit performance of our managed receivables. The average age of the accounts underlying our receivables, the timing of portfolio purchases, the success of our collection and recovery efforts and general economic conditions all affect our delinquency and charge-off rates. The average age of the accounts underlying our receivables portfolio also affects the stability of our delinquency and loss rates. We consider this delinquency and charge-off data in our determination of the fair value of our credit card receivables underlying formerly off-balance-sheet securitization structures, as well as our allowance for uncollectible loans and fees receivable in the case of our other credit product receivables that we report at net realizable value. Our strategy for managing delinquency and receivables losses consists of account management throughout the customer relationship. This strategy includes credit line management and pricing based on the risks. See also our discussion of collection strategies under the "How Do We Collect from Our Customers?" in Item 1, "Business," of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

The following table presents the delinquency trends of the receivables we manage within our Credit Cards and Other Investments segment, as well as charge-off data and other managed loan statistics (in thousands; percentages of total):

Table of Contents

| | At or for the Three Months Ended | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----------|----|
| | 2013 June. 30 | Mar. 31 | 2012 Dec. 31 | Sept. 30 | Jun. 30 | Mar. 31 | 2011 Dec. 31 | Sept. 30 | |
| Period-end managed receivables | \$252,036 | \$263,265 | \$294,167 | \$326,557 | \$356,897 | \$401,394 | \$480,355 | \$540,023 | |
| Period-end managed accounts | 248 | 245 | 256 | 281 | 309 | 340 | 390 | 431 | |
| Percent 30 or more days past due | 9.2 | % 9.4 | % 10.0 | % 11.0 | % 9.9 | % 10.4 | % 13.0 | % 12.6 | % |
| Percent 60 or more days past due | 6.3 | % 7.0 | % 7.2 | % 8.1 | % 6.9 | % 7.9 | % 9.7 | % 8.9 | % |
| Percent 90 or more days past due | 4.3 | % 4.9 | % 5.1 | % 5.8 | % 4.6 | % 5.9 | % 6.9 | % 6.2 | % |
| Average managed receivables | \$255,669 | \$277,457 | \$309,025 | \$340,628 | \$378,227 | \$438,601 | \$511,834 | \$580,212 | |
| Combined gross charge-off ratio | 16.9 | % 18.5 | % 16.5 | % 15.3 | % 20.7 | % 53.9 | % 19.3 | % 20.9 | % |
| Net charge-off ratio | 13.9 | % 15.7 | % 14.4 | % 13.1 | % 16.8 | % 47.4 | % 15.2 | % 16.7 | % |
| Adjusted charge-off ratio | 12.2 | % 14.1 | % 12.7 | % 11.4 | % 15.1 | % 30.6 | % 12.2 | % 13.9 | % |
| Total yield ratio | 31.8 | % 29.4 | % 15.7 | % 23.5 | % 24.2 | % 22.9 | % 23.2 | % 19.2 | % |
| Gross yield ratio | 21.1 | % 20.6 | % 18.1 | % 19.2 | % 19.9 | % 18.9 | % 18.6 | % 19.3 | % |
| Net interest margin | 13.2 | % 14.0 | % 12.3 | % 13.5 | % 13.3 | % 10.4 | % 12.6 | % 13.4 | % |
| Other income ratio | 10.3 | % 8.1 | % (2.9) |)% 3.9 | % 3.5 | % 2.7 | % 3.7 | % (1.0) |)% |
| Operating ratio | 25.7 | % 25.2 | % 7.3 | % 18.5 | % 18.2 | % 15.3 | % 12.1 | % 12.3 | % |

Managed receivables. The consistent quarterly declines in our period-end and average managed receivables over the last eight quarters reflect the net liquidating state of our credit card receivables portfolios given our closure largely in 2008 and 2009 of substantially all credit card accounts underlying the portfolios. Moreover, although we are marketing the origination of credit card accounts in the U.K., we have curtailed our U.S. credit card marketing efforts. Despite fairly rapid receivables growth we are experiencing and expect over the coming quarters for our private label merchant credit offerings and for those credit card accounts opened in the U.K., we do not anticipate receivables additions in the near term sufficient to offset the receivables balance contractions noted above.

Delinquencies. Delinquencies have the potential to impact net income in the form of net credit losses. Delinquencies also are costly in terms of the personnel and resources dedicated to resolving them. We intend for the account management strategies we use on our portfolio to manage and, to the extent possible, reduce the higher delinquency rates that can be expected in a more mature managed portfolio such as ours. These account management strategies include conservative credit line management, purging of inactive accounts and collection strategies intended to optimize the effective account-to-collector ratio across delinquency categories. We further describe these collection strategies under the heading "How Do We Collect from Our Customers?" in Item 1, "Business" of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012. We measure the success of these efforts by measuring delinquency rates. These rates exclude accounts that have been charged off.

Table of Contents

Given that our accounts primarily consist of closed credit card accounts with no significant account actions taken in the past several quarters, we would expect to see lower delinquency and charge-off statistics associated with our more mature portfolios. This trend is bearing out as noted in the trending year-over-year declines in our delinquency statistics relative to corresponding dates in prior years and is consistent with our expectations for the next few quarters. We do note, however, the effects on our first quarter 2012 delinquency and charge-off statistics of a unique transaction opportunity. In that quarter, we sold for a total of \$10.4 million, a price that we viewed as attractive, \$44.0 million in face value of our U.K. portfolio credit cards receivable associated with late-stage delinquent accounts that had not yet reached the 180-day charge-off threshold. These receivables had a GAAP carrying value of \$9.8 million on the sale date, thereby rendering an insignificant gain upon their sale. This transaction had two effects on our managed receivables data: (1) the future periods' charge off of these receivables was accelerated into the first quarter of 2012 through our treatment of the accounts as having been charged off in all of our managed receivables charge-off ratios contemporaneous with the sale of these receivables; and (2) the removal of these late-stage delinquent accounts from our March 31, 2012 managed receivables balances contributed to a better-than-typical improvement in our delinquency statistics as of March 31, 2012 and June 30, 2012. Given this acceleration, we experienced a slight increase in our delinquency rates as of September 30 and December 31, 2012, in part due to the aforementioned transaction, but also in part to the effects of higher delinquency rates associated with our 2012 credit card originations in the U.K. Notwithstanding potential increases in the number of accounts we originate in the U.K. (which tend to have higher yields as well as higher delinquency rates) as well as accounts associated with our private label merchant credit products, we still expect continuing future trending declines in our delinquency rates when compared to similar prior year periods albeit at reduced levels. As we expand and grow our new product offerings and their receivables become a larger component of our managed receivables base, our delinquency rates are expected to increase slightly as the risk profiles (and thus expected returns) for these receivables are higher than that experienced under our current mix of largely mature credit card receivables underlying closed credit card accounts.

Charge offs. We generally charge off our Credit Card and Other Investments segment receivables when they become contractually 180 days past due or within 30 days of notification and confirmation of a customer's bankruptcy or death. However, if a cardholder makes a payment greater than or equal to two minimum payments within a month of the charge-off date, we may reconsider whether charge-off status remains appropriate. Additionally, in some cases of death, receivables are not charged off if, with respect to the deceased customer's account, there is a surviving, contractually liable individual or an estate large enough to pay the debt in full.

Certain of our prior originated credit card offerings have higher charge offs relative to their average managed receivables balances, than do our other portfolios. Due to the recent higher rate of decline in these particular originated receivables relative to all of our other outstanding credit card receivables, all things being equal, one would expect reduced charge-off ratios. This is supported by the above overall trend of declining year-over-year quarterly charge-off rates in all quarters but the first quarter of 2012. This trend is muted to some degree, however, for our net charge-off ratio and our adjusted charge-off ratio (as discussed in more detail below) simply due to a change in the mix of our charge offs toward a higher relative level of principal charge offs versus finance and fee charge offs.

All of our charge-off ratios were skewed higher during the first quarter of 2012 by reason of the unique transaction opportunity mentioned in our Delinquencies discussion above. Since that quarter, however, we have experienced a general trending decline in our quarterly charge-off ratios. Such ratios have declined significantly thus far in 2013 (and even more in the second quarter than in the first quarter thereof) based largely on the effects of lower charge offs associated with ongoing credit card origination efforts in the U.K. and the longer weighted average age and maturity of our managed receivables portfolio. We expect generally stable charge off rates in future 2013 quarters within the range of those rates experienced thus far in the first and second quarters of 2013 with some slightly adverse fluctuations due to continued testing and expansion of our credit card operations in the U.K. We reach this expectation considering (1) the age, maturity and stability of our portfolio of generally liquidating receivables associated with

closed credit card accounts and (2) higher expected charge off rates that we should experience on our new U.K. credit card originations.

Combined gross charge-off ratio. See the above general Charge offs discussion.

Net charge-off ratio. See the above general Charge offs discussion.

Adjusted charge-off ratio. This ratio reflects our net charge offs, less credit quality discount accretion with respect to our acquired portfolios. Therefore, its trend-line should follow that of our net charge-off ratio, adjusted for the diminishing impact of past portfolio acquisitions and for the additional impact of new portfolio acquisitions. Although one would expect the gap between the net charge-off ratio and the adjusted charge-off ratio to gradually narrow over time absent portfolio acquisitions, the unique transaction opportunity mentioned in our Delinquencies discussion above caused a significant

Table of Contents

widening of the gap between the net charge-off ratio and the adjusted charge-off ratio in the first quarter of 2012. That transaction opportunity caused our first quarter 2012 charge offs to be comprised of a disproportionately higher level of U.K. Portfolio charge offs than normal (for which significant levels of credit quality discount were accreted in the adjusted charge-off ratio computation in the first quarter of 2012).

Total yield ratio and gross yield ratio. As noted previously, the mix of our managed receivables generally has shifted away from certain higher-yielding credit card receivables that we originated prior to 2008. Those particular originated receivables have higher delinquency rates and late and over-limit fee assessments than do our other portfolios, and thus have higher total yield and gross yield ratios as well. Accordingly, we would expect to see a slight generally trending decline in our total yield and gross yield ratios consistent with disproportionate reductions in these particular originated receivables due to their higher charge-off levels over the past several quarters. Our total and gross yield ratios also have been adversely affected over the past several quarters by our 2007 U.K. Portfolio acquisition. Its total and gross yields are below average as compared to our other portfolios, and the rate of decline in receivables in this portfolio has lagged behind the rate of decline in receivables in our other portfolios, thus continuing to suppress our yield ratios.

Notwithstanding the above, our generally declining total and gross yield ratio trends have largely been reversed due to growth in our newer higher yielding products, including our private label merchant credit product and our new U.K. credit card products. While this growth has contributed to increases in our total and gross yield ratios, we expect the U.K. credit card accounts in particular to slow the rate of decline in our charge-off rates as the accounts season, mature, and charge off at higher rates than we currently experience on our liquidating pool of credit card receivables associated with closed credit card accounts. We anticipate continued growth in our new higher yielding products throughout 2013 and continued positive effects of this growth on our total and gross yield ratios. Given the above, we expect our total and gross yield ratios to moderate for the foreseeable future at comparable levels to those we experienced in the second quarter of 2012.

We saw exceptions to our generally improving total yield ratio trend-lines wherein our fourth quarter 2012 and third quarter 2011 total yield ratios were depressed by respective \$5.5 million and \$5.3 million write-downs of our investments in non-marketable debt and equity securities. Absent these write-downs, our total yield ratios would have been 22.9% in both the fourth quarter of 2012 and the third quarter of 2011. The write-offs and write-downs addressed in this paragraph also adversely impacted our Other Income ratios as discussed below.

Net interest margin. Because of the significance of the late fees charged on certain higher-yielding credit card receivables that we originated prior to 2008 as a percentage of outstanding receivables balances, we generally would expect our net interest margin to decrease as these particular originated receivables become a smaller percentage of our overall managed receivables. Accordingly, the disproportionate reductions we have experienced in these particular originated credit card receivables levels is the principal factor that has contributed to the continued general declining trend in our net interest margins relative to those experienced in prior years. Also depressing our net interest margins beginning in earnest in the second quarter of 2013 are recent borrowings to fund new merchant credit product offerings and U.K. credit card originations at higher interests costs than we incur with respect to legacy credit card structured financing facilities.

Our net interest margin also is affected by the effects of our 2007 U.K. Portfolio acquisition. The net interest margin for this portfolio is below the weighted average rate of our other portfolios, and the impact of this portfolio continues to be felt as our originated portfolios continue to decline in size at a faster pace than our acquired U.K. Portfolio, thus increasing the impact of this portfolio's lower net interest margin on the overall results.

We expect a relatively stable net interest margin in the low to mid teens for the foreseeable future, with some modest trending improvements to the net interest margin associated with growth in our newer private label merchant credit

and U.K. credit card products, which have higher interest and late payment yields than those of our credit card receivables underlying closed credit card accounts.

Other income ratio. We generally expect our other income ratio to decrease as certain higher-yielding credit card receivables that we originated prior to 2008 become a smaller percentage of our overall managed receivables. When underlying open accounts, these particular originated receivables generated significantly higher annual membership, over-limit, monthly maintenance and other fees than do our other portfolios. Consequently, the closure of credit card accounts and the mix change discussed above under which these particular higher-yielding originated receivables comprise a much smaller percentage of our total receivables account in significant part for our low other income ratios.

Negatively affecting our other income ratio for the third quarter of 2011 were \$5.3 million of losses that we recognized due to other-than-temporary declines in the values of non-marketable debt securities in which we had previously invested; excluding the impact of these write-downs, our other income ratio would have been 2.1%. Similarly in the fourth quarter of

Table of Contents

2012, we experienced other-than-temporary declines in the values of non-marketable debt and equity securities in the amount of \$5.5 million; excluding the impact of these write-downs, our other income ratio would have been 4.3%.

Given the above occurrences in prior quarters, our first and second quarter of 2013 other income ratios are more representative of our current state, and we expect for the foreseeable future to experience other income ratios generally comparable to that in the second quarter of 2013.

Operating ratio. Although we have been highly focused on expense reduction and cost control efforts, our managed receivables levels are generally falling at faster rates than the rates at which we have been able thus far to reduce our costs (particularly when considering our fixed infrastructure costs and costs of other non-receivables-based business initiatives and investments). This accounts for the trend-line of generally increasing operating ratios over the past several quarters. In the fourth quarter of 2012, this trend was significantly offset due to the receipt of \$10.0 million from a lender to compensate us for excess costs we incurred for the benefit of the lender in servicing a credit card portfolio that collateralized the lender's loan to us; excluding the impact of this payment, our operating ratio would have been 20.2%. The operating ratio increases seen in 2013 are a reflection of the fixed infrastructure costs mentioned above as well as some increases in operating costs associated with growth in new product lines.

Auto Finance Segment

Our Auto Finance segment historically included a variety of auto sales and lending activities.

Our original platform, CAR, acquired in April 2005, principally purchases and/or services loans secured by automobiles from or for and also provides floor-plan financing for a pre-qualified network of independent automotive dealers and automotive finance companies in the buy-here, pay-here used car business. We have expanded these operations to also include certain installment lending products in addition to our traditional loans secured by automobiles. While not currently material, these loans could represent a meaningful investment in the future.

We also historically owned substantially all of JRAS, a buy-here, pay-here dealer we acquired in 2007 and operated from that time until our disposition of certain JRAS operating assets in the first quarter of 2011.

Additionally, our ACC platform acquired during 2007 historically purchased retail installment contracts from franchised car dealers. We ceased origination efforts within the ACC platform during 2009 and outsourced the collection of its portfolio of auto finance receivables.

Collectively, we currently serve more than 645 dealers through our Auto Finance segment in 35 states.

Managed Receivables Background

For reasons set forth previously within our Credit Cards and Other Investments segment discussion, we also provide managed-receivables-based financial, operating and statistical data for our Auto Finance segment. Reconciliation of the auto finance managed receivables data to our GAAP financial statements requires an understanding that our managed receivables data are based on billings and actual charge offs as they occur, without regard to any changes in our allowance for uncollectible loans and fees receivable.

Analysis of Statistical Data

Financial, operating and statistical metrics for our Auto Finance segment are detailed (dollars and numbers of accounts in thousands; percentages of total) in the following table:

Table of Contents

| | At or for the Three Months Ended | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|---|
| | 2013 | | 2012 | | | 2011 | | | |
| | Jun. 30 | Mar. 31 | Dec. 31 | Sept. 30 | Jun. 30 | Mar. 31 | Dec. 31 | Sept. 30 | |
| Period-end managed receivables | \$60,706 | \$60,449 | \$64,158 | \$67,858 | \$72,886 | \$75,275 | \$87,755 | \$99,237 | |
| Period-end managed accounts | 22 | 22 | 23 | 23 | 24 | 24 | 26 | 27 | |
| Percent 30 or more days past due | 12.1 | % 10.0 | % 14.0 | % 13.3 | % 10.7 | % 8.3 | % 12.8 | % 11.9 | % |
| Percent 60 or more days past due | 3.6 | % 3.6 | % 5.0 | % 5.4 | % 3.6 | % 3.3 | % 4.9 | % 4.7 | % |
| Percent 90 or more days past due | 1.1 | % 1.5 | % 2.1 | % 2.4 | % 1.1 | % 1.6 | % 2.1 | % 2.3 | % |
| Average managed receivables | \$60,359 | \$61,803 | \$65,065 | \$69,538 | \$75,877 | \$80,503 | \$92,719 | \$106,881 | |
| Gross yield ratio | 39.2 | % 38.9 | % 36.8 | % 35.3 | % 35.2 | % 33.9 | % 36.3 | % 35.5 | % |
| Adjusted charge-off ratio | 3.6 | % 1.7 | % 5.9 | % 3.9 | % 4.2 | % 8.2 | % 8.3 | % 9.8 | % |
| Recovery ratio | 2.2 | % 5.1 | % 3.5 | % 3.9 | % 4.7 | % 6.0 | % 7.1 | % 5.6 | % |
| Net interest margin | 36.9 | % 35.5 | % 35.6 | % 23.8 | % 32.0 | % 17.0 | % 24.4 | % 25.6 | % |
| Other income ratio | (13.7) |)% 2.5 | % 3.8 | % 2.7 | % 2.3 | % 2.3 | % 1.4 | % 1.2 | % |
| Operating ratio | 29.8 | % 28.9 | % 26.1 | % 24.7 | % 24.0 | % 29.9 | % 21.3 | % 19.5 | % |

Managed receivables. Average managed receivables have gradually declined because we curtailed purchasing and origination activities within our ACC and JRAS operations prior to 2011. For all of the periods set forth above, only CAR continues to purchase/originate loans, but until the second quarter of 2013, it had not done so at growth levels significant enough to offset the gradual liquidation of our ACC and JRAS portfolios' managed receivables. As noted by the higher period-end managed receivables at June 30, 2013 than at March 31, 2013, ACC and JRAS managed receivables are substantially liquidated at this point, and we are beginning to see and expect moderate future net growth in our managed receivables as we modestly expand our CAR operations.

Delinquencies. Our ACC and JRAS receivables are substantially liquidated and are at relatively insignificant levels relative to our better performing CAR receivables, which have significantly lower late stage (60 or more days past due) delinquency and charge-off rates; this fact and a recovering economy accounted for our generally improving delinquency statistics through the end of the second quarter of 2012. Because the JRAS and ACC receivables are relatively insignificant, we do not expect any material further improvements in our delinquency statistics associated with further liquidations of these receivables. Delinquencies rose somewhat within our CAR receivables portfolio in the third and fourth quarters of 2012, primarily due to seasonal patterns and a slightly weakened market. These delinquency rates abated in the first and second quarters of 2013 although they are still slightly higher than those experienced in the first and second quarters of 2012. In the first quarter of both years in particular, we saw the benefits of seasonally strong payment patterns associated with year-end tax refunds for most of our customers. Delinquencies experienced in 2011 and early 2012 reflected historically low delinquency rates, and the current levels we are experiencing more closely represent what we would expect going forward. Even at the slightly elevated rates (when compared to last year), because we earn significant yields on CAR's receivables and have significant dealer reserves (i.e., retainages or holdbacks on the amount of funding CAR provides to its dealer customers) to protect against credit losses, we are not generally concerned with modest fluctuations in delinquency rates and do not believe they will have a significantly positive or adverse impact on our results of operations.

Gross yield ratio, net interest margin and other income ratio. With the exception of the first and third quarters of 2012, a general trend-line of improving net interest margins is evident relative to comparable prior year periods due in part to liquidation of the JRAS and ACC receivables, thereby causing the better-performing CAR receivables to comprise a greater

Table of Contents

percentage of our average managed auto finance receivables. The terms of our ACC debt facility provide that 37.5% of any cash flows (net of contractual servicing compensation) generated on the ACC auto finance receivables portfolio after repayment of the debt will be allocated to the debt holders as additional compensation for the use of their capital. Significant recent improvements in performance of the ACC receivables caused us to resume significant accruals of contingent interest expense under the ACC debt facility, and our accruals of such additional interest during the first and third quarters of 2012 caused the decline in our net interest margin relative to our improving net interest margin trend-line. Because all principal under the ACC debt facility has now been repaid (and the only remaining obligation under the facility is for contingent interest that we have fully accrued at this point), we do not expect any significant future effects on our net interest margin associated with contingent interest under the ACC debt facility.

Consistent with our recent experiences, because our liquidating ACC and JRAS receivables are now largely insignificant relative to our total portfolio of auto finance receivables, the higher gross yields we achieve within our CAR operations generally are expected to continue to result in slightly higher trending gross yield ratios and net interest margins in future quarters relative to comparable prior year quarterly levels.

Typically, the principal income component within our other income ratio is servicing compensation that CAR receives for servicing loan portfolios for third parties. This activity is relatively insignificant for us and is not expected to become significant for the foreseeable future. In the second quarter of 2013, however, we experienced a negative other income ratio (which corresponds with a GAAP Auto Finance segment loss during that quarter) caused by the adverse effects of a \$2.4 million write-off of a note we had received from buyers of our JRAS buy-here, pay-here dealer operations that we sold in February 2011; excluding the impact of this write-off, our second quarter 2013 other income ratio would have been 1.9% (and we would have had GAAP Auto Finance segment income during that quarter).

Adjusted charge-off ratio and recovery ratio. We generally charge off auto finance receivables when they are between 120 and 180 days past due, unless the collateral is repossessed and sold before that point, in which case we will record a charge off when the proceeds are received. The adjusted charge-off ratio reflects our net charge offs, less credit quality discount accretion with respect to our acquired portfolios. Because our ACC receivables and the receivables of our JRAS operations that we retained in connection with the sale of our JRAS operations have declined and are now largely insignificant relative to our total portfolio of auto finance receivables and because of significantly improved performance of the ACC and JRAS receivables due both to the aging of the portfolios and some economic recovery and better than expected tax refund seasonal effects, our adjusted charge-off ratio has declined significantly. Our CAR receivables, which experience significantly lower charge offs, now comprise a more significant proportion of our average managed auto finance receivables—a factor that has contributed most significantly to our general trend-line of lower adjusted charge-off ratios. A large sale of repossessed autos at auction resulted in increased recoveries experienced in our ACC portfolio in the fourth quarter of 2011. And, in the first quarter of 2013, we again experienced larger than anticipated recovery sales associated with our former JRAS operations, which helped to improve our recovery ratio and adjusted charge-off ratio in the first quarter of 2013. We expect our recovery rate to fluctuate modestly from quarter to quarter due to the timing of the sale of repossessed autos, but more importantly, we expect our recovery rate to fall gradually with the declining effects of ACC and JRAS on our operations; CAR experiences significantly lower charge offs and recoveries than we experienced with respect to ACC and JRAS.

Operating ratio. We have experienced a modest general trend-line of increasing year-over-year operating ratios, which largely reflects the higher costs of our CAR operations as a percentage of receivables than such operating costs of our former ACC and JRAS operations as a percentage of their receivables in prior periods. (Such higher costs correspond with the significantly higher gross yield ratios and net interest margins within our CAR operations.) As noted above, our CAR receivables and operating costs now comprise a greater percentage of respective total Auto Finance segment receivables and operating costs given the gradual liquidation of ACC and JRAS receivables. Notwithstanding this general trend-line, we do not expect a significantly higher operating ratio for the foreseeable future. The spike in the

first quarter of 2012 operating ratio arose due to an impairment charge of \$1.2 million recognized during that quarter associated with unfavorable terms on the sublease of our former ACC offices and certain non-recurring costs we incurred in the collection of our JRAS receivables. Increases seen in 2013 relate to the expansion of our CAR operations in the U.S. Territories of Guam and Saipan (part of the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands) as well as the addition of a new operations branch in Missouri. As revenues from these locations continue to grow, we would expect to see our operating ratio fall back to levels more typical of those seen in the same quarters of 2012.

Future Expectations

Our CAR operations are performing well in the current environment (achieving consistent profitability) and are expected to continue at current levels for the foreseeable future. Generally offsetting these positive results are ACC and JRAS operations, which are expected to modestly depress overall Auto Finance segment results relative to CAR's stand-alone results.

Table of Contents

However, because ACC's and JRAS's receivables are now substantially liquidated, they should have a small and further diminishing adverse future effect on the positive results we are experiencing within our CAR operations. Additionally, as discussed above, modest expansions in 2013 will continue to have an initial negative impact on our operating ratio as we incur start-up costs associated with the new locations.

Liquidity, Funding and Capital Resources

We continue to see dislocation in the availability of attractively priced and termed liquidity as a result of the market disruptions that began in 2007. This ongoing disruption has resulted in a decline in liquidity available to fund sub-prime receivables, wider spreads above the underlying interest indices (typically LIBOR for our borrowings) for the loans that lenders are willing to make against credit card receivables, and a decrease in advance rates for those loans. Moreover, the most recent global financial crisis differs in key respects from our experiences during other down economic and financing cycles. First, while we had difficulty obtaining asset-backed financing for our originated credit card portfolio activities at attractive advance rates in the previous down cycle (2001 through 2003), the credit spreads (above base pricing indices like LIBOR) at that time were not as wide (expensive) as those seen during the recent crisis. Additionally, while we were successful during that down cycle in obtaining asset-backed financing for credit card portfolio acquisitions at attractive advance rates, pricing and other terms, that financing has not been available from traditional market participants since the advent of the most recent crisis.

Although we are hopeful that the liquidity markets for sub-prime receivables ultimately will return to more traditional levels, we are not able to predict when or if that will occur, and we are managing our business with the assumption that such liquidity markets will not return to more traditional levels in the near term. We have closed substantially all of our credit card accounts (other than those associated with our originated U.K. accounts). And, to the extent possible given constraints thus far on our ability to reduce expenses at the same rate as our managed receivables are liquidating, we are managing our receivables portfolios with a goal of generating the necessary cash flows over the coming quarters for us to use in de-leveraging our business, while continuing to enhance shareholder value to the greatest extent possible. Our focus on liquidity has resulted in and will continue to result in growth and profitability trade-offs.

All of our Credit Cards and Other Investments segment's structured financing facilities are expected to amortize down with collections on the receivables within their underlying trusts and should not represent significant refunding or refinancing risks to our consolidated balance sheet. Additionally, we do not expect any imminent refunding or financing needs associated with our convertible senior notes given that the next redemption date for the remaining \$0.5 million outstanding balance on our 3.625% convertible senior notes is May 30, 2015 and the remaining \$139.5 million outstanding balance on our 5.875% convertible senior notes is not due for repayment until 2035. As such, the only facilities that could represent near-term refunding or refinancing needs as of June 30, 2013 are those associated with the following notes payable:

| | |
|--|--------|
| Amortizing structured financing (expiring April 1, 2016) that is secured by certain receivables | \$ 1.5 |
| Revolving credit facility (expiring May 17, 2014) that is secured by the financial and operating assets of the entity | 4.0 |
| Amortizing debt facility (expiring December 15, 2014) that is secured by certain receivables | 6.7 |
| Revolving credit facility (expiring December 3, 2016) that is secured by originated U.K. credit card receivables portfolio | 7.8 |
| Revolving credit facility (expiring October 4, 2014) that is secured by the financial and operating assets of our CAR operations | 20.0 |
| Total | \$40.0 |

Further details concerning the above debt facilities are provided in Note 8, "Notes Payable," and Note 9, "Convertible Senior Notes," to our consolidated financial statements included herein. Based on the state of the current liquidity and debt markets, the performance of our assets that serve as security for the above facilities, and our relationships with the lenders, we view imminent refunding or refinancing risks with respect to the above facilities as low in the current environment.

While not representing a debt facility, we also note that there may be liquidity risks associated with our uncertain tax positions. Although we believe we are several years away from ultimate resolution, and possible settlement and payment, with respect to our uncertain tax positions, including those taken in the 2007 and 2008 years under audit by the Internal Revenue Service, it is possible that we may ultimately resolve one or more uncertain tax positions in a manner that results in a significant payment. Substantially all of our \$61.0 million income tax liability at June 30, 2013 represents our liability accrued for uncertain tax positions.

Table of Contents

At June 30, 2013, we had \$61.0 million in unrestricted cash. Because the characteristics of our assets and liabilities change, liquidity management has been a dynamic process for us affected by the pricing and maturity of our assets and liabilities. We historically have financed our business through cash flows from operations, asset-backed structured financings and the issuance of debt and equity. Details concerning our cash flows for the six months ended June 30, 2013 are as follows:

During the six months ended June 30, 2013, we used \$14.2 million in cash flows from operations compared to generating \$14.1 million of cash flows from operations during the six months ended June 30, 2012. The decrease was principally related to (1) lower collections of credit card finance charge receivables in the six months ended June 30, 2013 relative to the same period in 2012, given diminished receivables levels, and (2) higher payments of accounts payable and accrued expenses in the six months ended June 30, 2013 relative to the same period in 2012.

During the six months ended June 30, 2013, we generated \$34.6 million of cash through our investing activities, compared to generating \$61.7 million of cash in investing activities during the six months ended June 30, 2012. This decrease is primarily due to the reduced levels of our outstanding investments and the cash returns thereof in 2013 based on the shrinking size of our liquidating credit card and auto finance receivable portfolios, offset by growth in our private label merchant credit product as well as our U.K. originated credit card receivables.

During the six months ended June 30, 2013, we used \$26.5 million of cash in financing activities, compared to our use of \$169.4 million of cash in financing activities during the six months ended June 30, 2012. In both periods, the data reflect net repayments of debt facilities corresponding with net declines in our loans and fees receivable that serve as the underlying collateral for the facilities (principally credit card and auto loans and fees receivable). Additionally impacting prior year results is the May 2012 repayment of \$83.5 million of our 3.625% convertible senior notes upon the exercise of a then-existing noteholder put right. Offsetting our 2013 use of cash in financing activities are borrowings associated with our new credit products.

We note that the \$61.0 million in aggregate June 30, 2013 unrestricted cash referenced above represents the aggregate of all unrestricted cash held by our various business subsidiaries. Not included in the \$61.0 million in aggregate June 30, 2013 unrestricted cash is our receipt of \$10.0 million on August 5, 2013 related to the release of escrowed proceeds associated with the August 2012 sale of our Investments in Previously Charged-Off Receivables segment.

Beyond our immediate financing efforts discussed throughout this Report, shareholders should expect us to evaluate debt and equity issuances as a means to fund our investment opportunities. We expect to take advantage of any opportunities to raise additional capital if terms and pricing are attractive to us. Any proceeds raised under these efforts or additional liquidity available to us could be used to fund (1) potential receivables portfolio acquisitions, which may represent attractive opportunities for us in the current liquidity environment, (2) further repurchases of our convertible senior notes and common stock, and (3) investments in certain financial and non-financial assets or businesses. Pursuant to a share repurchase plan authorized by our Board of Directors on May 11, 2012, we are authorized as of June 30, 2013 to repurchase up to 9,770,873 shares of our common stock through June 30, 2014.

Contractual Obligations, Commitments and Off-Balance-Sheet Arrangements

See Item 7, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations," in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

Commitments and Contingencies

We do not currently have any off-balance-sheet arrangements; however, we do have certain contractual arrangements that would require us to make payments or provide funding if certain circumstances occur ("contingent commitments"). We do not currently expect that these contingent commitments will result in any material amounts being paid by us.

See Note 10, "Commitments and Contingencies," to our consolidated financial statements included herein for further discussion of these matters.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

See Note 2, "Significant Accounting Policies and Consolidated Financial Statement Components," to our consolidated financial statements included herein for a discussion of recent accounting pronouncements.

Critical Accounting Estimates

We have prepared our financial statements in accordance with GAAP. These principles are numerous and complex. We have summarized our significant accounting policies in the notes to our consolidated financial statements. In many instances,

Table of Contents

the application of GAAP requires management to make estimates or to apply subjective principles to particular facts and circumstances. A variance in the estimates used or a variance in the application or interpretation of GAAP could yield a materially different accounting result. It is impracticable for us to summarize every accounting principle that requires us to use judgment or estimates in our application. Nevertheless, we describe below the areas for which we believe that the estimations, judgments or interpretations that we have made, if different, would have yielded the most significant differences in our consolidated financial statements.

On a quarterly basis, we review our significant accounting policies and the related assumptions, in particular, those mentioned below, with the audit committee of the Board of Directors.

Measurements for Loans and Fees Receivable at Fair Value and Notes Payable Associated with Structured Financings at Fair Value

Our valuation of loans and fees receivable, at fair value is based on the present value of future cash flows using a valuation model of expected cash flows and the estimated cost to service and collect those cash flows. We estimate the present value of these future cash flows using a valuation model consisting of internally developed estimates of assumptions third-party market participants would use in determining fair value, including estimates of net collected yield, principal payment rates, expected principal credit loss rates, costs of funds, discount rates and servicing costs. Similarly, our valuation of notes payable associated with structured financings, at fair value is based on the present value of future cash flows utilized in repayment of the outstanding principal and interest under the facilities using a valuation model of expected cash flows net of the contractual service expenses within the facilities. We estimate the present value of these future cash flows using a valuation model consisting of internally developed estimates of assumptions third-party market participants would use in determining fair value, including: estimates of net collected yield, principal payment rates and expected principal credit loss rates on the credit card receivables that secure the non-recourse notes payable; costs of funds; discount rates; and contractual servicing fees.

The estimates for credit losses, payment rates, servicing costs, contractual servicing fees, costs of funds, discount rates and yields earned on credit card receivables significantly affect the reported amount of our loans and fees receivable, at fair value and our notes payable associated with structured financings, at fair value on our consolidated balance sheet, and they likewise affect our changes in fair value of loans and fees receivable recorded at fair value and changes in fair value of notes payable associated with structured financings recorded at fair value categories within our fees and related income on earning assets line item on our consolidated statement of operations.

Allowance for Uncollectible Loans and Fees

Through our analysis of loan performance, delinquency data, charge-off data, economic trends and the potential effects of those economic trends on our customers, we establish an allowance for uncollectible loans and fees receivable as an estimate of the probable losses inherent within those loans and fees receivable that we do not report at fair value. To the extent that actual results differ from our estimates of uncollectible loans and fees receivable, our results of operations and liquidity could be materially affected.

Recognition and Measurements with Respect to Uncertain Tax Positions

Our businesses and the tax accounting for our businesses are very complex, thereby giving rise to a number of uncertain tax positions, several of which are matters that are under consideration, and in some cases under dispute, in audits of our operations by various taxing authorities (including the Internal Revenue Service at the federal level with respect to net operating losses that we incurred in 2007 and 2008 and that we carried back to obtain tentative refunds of federal taxes paid in earlier years dating back to 2003).

In determining whether we are entitled to recognize, and in measuring the level of benefits that we are entitled to recognize associated with, uncertain tax positions, we (and experts we have hired to advise us) make an evaluation of the technical merits of a tax position derived from sources of authorities in the tax law (legislation and statutes, legislative intent, regulations, rulings, and case law) and their applicability to the facts and circumstances underlying our tax position. Although we believe we are several years away from ultimate resolution, and possible settlement and payment, with respect to our uncertain tax positions, including those taken in the 2007 and 2008 years under audit by the Internal Revenue Service, it is possible that we may ultimately resolve one or more uncertain tax positions in a manner that differs from the liabilities we have recorded associated with such positions under our recognition and measurement determinations.

To the extent that our ultimate resolutions result in less liability than we have recorded associated with our uncertain tax positions, we could experience a material release of liability, increase in income, and greater liquidity than our investors

Table of Contents

might otherwise expect. Alternatively, to the extent that our ultimate resolutions result in more liability than we have recorded, our results of operations and liquidity could be materially adversely affected.

Related Party Transactions

In a September 2012 tender offer, we purchased for \$10 per share, the same price offered to all shareholders, the following shares of common stock from our executive officers, members of our Board of Directors, and a shareholder who owns more than 5% of our common stock:

| Executive Officers | Number of Shares | Total Price |
|---|------------------|--------------|
| David G. Hanna, Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board | 2,344,323 | \$23,443,230 |
| Richard R. House, Jr., President and Director | 100,240 | \$1,002,400 |
| Richard W. Gilbert, Chief Operating Officer | 212,023 | \$2,120,230 |
| J.Paul Whitehead, III, Chief Financial Officer | 49,949 | \$499,490 |
| Board Members | | |
| Deal W. Hudson | 18,700 | \$187,000 |
| Mack F. Mattingly | 20,726 | \$207,260 |
| Thomas G. Rosencrants | 16,172 | \$161,720 |
| Greater-than-5% Shareholder | | |
| Frank J. Hanna, III | 2,344,324 | \$23,443,240 |

Under a shareholders' agreement into which we entered with David G. Hanna, Frank J. Hanna, III, Richard R. House, Jr., Richard W. Gilbert and certain trusts that were Hanna affiliates following our initial public offering if (1) one or more of the shareholders accepts a bona fide offer from a third party to purchase more than 50% of the outstanding common stock, each of the other shareholders that are a party to the agreement may elect to sell their shares to the purchaser on the same terms and conditions, and (2) shareholders that are a party to the agreement owning more than 50% of the common stock propose to transfer all of their shares to a third party, then such transferring shareholders may require the other shareholders that are a party to the agreement to sell all of the shares owned by them to the proposed transferee on the same terms and conditions.

During 2012, we loaned \$155,000 to yBuy, Inc. ("yBuy"); the loan bore interest at 5% and was secured by yBuy's assets. At the time of the loan, David G. Hanna was a director of yBuy and an entity controlled by David G. Hanna and members of David G. Hanna's immediate family was a shareholder of yBuy. In November 2012, Mr. Hanna resigned from the Board of yBuy and the affiliated entity disposed of its stock in yBuy for a negligible amount. In November 2012, we entered into an agreement with yBuy to purchase certain of yBuy's assets for consideration equal to the outstanding balance of our loan to yBuy. The assets purchased from yBuy included the rights to a domain name and other immaterial intangible assets. Following the receipt of these assets, there is no outstanding balance on our loan to yBuy. While the loan was outstanding, yBuy did not pay us any principal or interest.

In June 2007 we entered into a sublease for 1,000 square feet of excess office space at our Atlanta headquarters with HBR Capital, Ltd. ("HBR"), a corporation co-owned by David G. Hanna and Frank J. Hanna, III. The sublease rate of \$24.79 per square foot is the same as the rate that we pay on the prime lease. This sublease expires in May 2022.

In January 2013, HBR began leasing four employees from us. HBR reimburses us for the full cost of the employees, as measured by the amount of time and effort they devote to HBR. In the six months ended June 30, 2013, we received \$65,000 of reimbursed costs from HBR associated with these leased employees.

Forward-Looking Information

Table of Contents

We make forward-looking statements in this Report and in other materials we file with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) or otherwise make public. This Item 2, “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations,” contains forward-looking statements. In addition, our senior management might make forward-looking statements to analysts, investors, the media and others. Statements with respect to expected revenue, income, receivables, income ratios, net interest margins, long-term shareholder returns, acquisitions and other growth opportunities, divestitures and discontinuations of businesses, loss exposure and loss provisions, delinquency and charge-off rates, the effects of account actions we may take or have taken, changes in collection programs and practices, changes in the credit quality and fair value of our credit card loans and fees receivable and the fair value of their underlying structured financing facilities, the impact of actions by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (“FDIC”), Federal Trade Commission (“FTC”), Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (“CFPB”) and other regulators on both us and banks that issue credit cards and other credit products on our behalf, account growth, the performance of investments that we have made, operating expenses, the impact of bankruptcy law changes, marketing plans and expenses, the performance of our Auto Finance segment, our plans in the U.K., the impact of our U.K. Portfolio on our financial performance, the sufficiency of available liquidity, the prospect for improvements in the liquidity markets, future interest costs, sources of funding operations and acquisitions, growth and profitability of our private label merchant credit business, our entry into international markets, our ability to raise funds or renew financing facilities, the results associated with our equity-method investees, our servicing income levels, gains and losses from investments in securities, experimentation with new products and other statements of our plans, beliefs or expectations are forward-looking statements. These and other statements using words such as “anticipate,” “believe,” “estimate,” “expect,” “intend,” “plan,” “project,” “target,” “can,” “could,” “may,” “should,” “will,” “would” and similar expressions are forward-looking statements. Each forward-looking statement speaks only as of the date of the particular statement. The forward-looking statements we make are not guarantees of future performance, and we have based these statements on our assumptions and analyses in light of our experience and perception of historical trends, current conditions, expected future developments and other factors we believe are appropriate in the circumstances. Forward-looking statements by their nature involve substantial risks and uncertainties that could significantly affect expected results, and actual future results could differ materially from those described in such statements. Management cautions against putting undue reliance on forward-looking statements or projecting any future results based on such statements or present or prior earnings levels.

Although it is not possible to identify all factors, we continue to face many risks and uncertainties. Among the factors that could cause actual future results to differ materially from our expectations are the risks and uncertainties described under “Risk Factors” set forth in Part II, Item 1A, and the risk factors and other cautionary statements in other documents we file with the SEC, including the following:

- the effect of adverse economic conditions on our revenues, loss rates and cash flows;
- the fragmentation of our industry and competition from various other sources providing similar financial products, or other alternative sources of credit, to consumers;
- the adequacy of our allowances for uncollectible loans and fees receivable and estimates of loan losses;
- the availability of adequate financing;
- the extent to which federal, state, local and foreign governmental regulation of our various business lines and products limits or prohibits the operation of our businesses;
- current and future litigation and regulatory proceedings against us;
- the possible impairment of assets;
 - our relationship with the banks that provide certain services that are needed to operate our businesses;
- our ability to reduce or eliminate overhead and other costs to lower levels consistent with the current contraction of our loans and fees receivable and other income-producing assets; and
- theft and employee errors.

Most of these factors are beyond our ability to predict or control. Any of these factors, or a combination of these factors, could materially affect our future financial condition or results of operations and the ultimate accuracy of our forward-looking statements. There also are other factors that we may not describe (generally because we currently do not perceive them to be material) that could cause actual results to differ materially from our expectations.

We expressly disclaim any obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, except as required by law.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

As a “smaller reporting company,” as defined by Item 10 of Regulation S-K, we are not required to provide this information.

Table of Contents

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

(a) Disclosure controls and procedures.

As of the end of the period covered by this Report, we carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of disclosure controls and procedures as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the “Exchange Act”). Based on such evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that as of the end of the period covered by this Report, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective at meeting their objectives.

(b) Internal control over financial reporting.

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) during the fiscal quarter to which this Report relates that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

PART II—OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

We are involved in various legal proceedings that are incidental to the conduct of our business. See Note 10, “Commitments and Contingencies,” to our consolidated financial statements contained in Part I, Item 1 of this Report where any material pending legal proceedings are discussed.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

An investment in our common stock or other securities involves a number of risks. You should carefully consider each of the risks described below before deciding to invest in our common stock or other securities. If any of the following risks develops into actual events, our business, financial condition or results of operations could be negatively affected, the market price of our common stock or other securities could decline and you may lose all or part of your investment.

Investors should be particularly cautious regarding investments in our common stock or other securities at the present time in light of the current net contraction of our receivables levels, uncertainties as to our business model going forward and our inability to achieve consistent earnings from our continuing operations in recent years.

Our Cash Flows and Net Income Are Dependent Upon Payments from Our Loans and Fees Receivable and Other Credit Products

The collectibility of our loans and fees receivable is a function of many factors including the criteria used to select who is issued credit, the pricing of the credit products, the lengths of the relationships, general economic conditions, the rate at which customers repay their accounts or become delinquent, and the rate at which customers borrow funds from us. Deterioration in these factors, which we have experienced over the past few years, adversely impacts our business. In addition, to the extent we have over-estimated collectibility, in all likelihood we have over-estimated our financial performance. Some of these concerns are discussed more fully below.

Our portfolio of receivables is not diversified and originates from customers whose creditworthiness is considered sub-prime. Historically, we have obtained receivables in one of two ways—we have either solicited for the origination of the receivables or purchased pools of receivables from other issuers. In either case, substantially all of our receivables are from financially underserved borrowers—borrowers represented by credit risks that regulators classify as “sub-prime.” Our reliance on sub-prime receivables has negatively impacted and may in the future negatively impact, our performance. Our various past and current losses might have been mitigated had our portfolios consisted of higher-grade receivables in addition to our sub-prime receivables. We have no immediate plans to issue or acquire significantly higher-grade receivables.

We may not successfully evaluate the creditworthiness of our customers and may not price our credit products in a profitable manner. The creditworthiness of our target market generally is considered “sub-prime” based on guidance issued by the agencies that regulate the banking industry. Thus, our customers generally have a higher frequency of delinquencies, higher risks of nonpayment and, ultimately, higher credit losses than consumers who are served by more traditional providers of consumer credit. Some of the consumers included in our target market are consumers who are dependent upon finance companies, consumers with only retail store credit cards and/or lacking general purpose credit cards, consumers who are establishing or expanding their credit, and consumers who may have had a delinquency, a default or, in some instances, a bankruptcy in their credit histories, but who, in our view, have demonstrated recovery. We price our credit products taking into account the perceived risk level of our customers. If our estimates are incorrect, customer default rates will be higher, we will receive less cash from the receivables and

the value of our loans and fees receivable will decline, all of which will have a negative impact on performance. While they have begun to rebound modestly, payment rates by our customers declined significantly in 2008 and 2009 and, correspondingly, default rates likewise increased throughout that time period. It also is unclear whether our modestly improved payment rates can be sustained given weakness in the employment outlook and economic environment at large.

Economic slowdowns increase our credit losses. During periods of economic slowdown or recession, we experience an increase in rates of delinquencies and frequency and severity of credit losses. Our actual rates of delinquencies and frequency and severity of credit losses may be comparatively higher during periods of economic slowdown or recession than those experienced by more traditional providers of consumer credit because of our focus on the financially underserved consumer market, which may be disproportionately impacted.

Table of Contents

We are subject to foreign economic and exchange risks. Because of our businesses in the U.K., we have exposure to fluctuations in the U.K. economy, and such fluctuations recently have been significantly negative. We also have exposure to fluctuations in the relative values of the U.S. dollar and the British pound. Because the British pound has experienced a net decline in value relative to the U.S. dollar since we commenced our most significant operations in the U.K., we have experienced significant transaction and translation losses within our financial statements.

Because a significant portion of our reported income is based on management's estimates of the future performance of our loans and fees receivable, differences between actual and expected performance of the receivables may cause fluctuations in net income. Significant portions of our reported income (or losses) are based on management's estimates of cash flows we expect to receive on our loans and fees receivable, particularly for such assets that we report based on fair value. The expected cash flows are based on management's estimates of interest rates, default rates, payment rates, cardholder purchases, servicing costs, and discount rates. These estimates are based on a variety of factors, many of which are not within our control. Substantial differences between actual and expected performance of the receivables will occur and cause fluctuations in our net income. For instance, higher than expected rates of delinquencies and losses could cause our net income to be lower than expected. Similarly, as we have experienced for our credit card receivables portfolios with respect to financing agreements secured by our loans and fees receivable, levels of loss and delinquency can result in our being required to repay our lenders earlier than expected, thereby reducing funds available to us for future growth. Because all of our credit card receivables structured financing facilities are now in amortization status—which for us generally means that the only meaningful cash flows that we are receiving with respect to the credit card receivables that are encumbered by such structured financing facilities are those associated with our contractually specified fee for servicing the receivables—recent payment and default trends have substantially reduced the cash flows that we receive from these receivables.

Due to our relative lack of historical experience with Internet customers, we may not be able to target successfully these customers or evaluate their creditworthiness. We have less historical experience with respect to the credit risk and performance of customers acquired over the Internet. As a result, we may not be able to target and evaluate successfully the creditworthiness of these potential customers should we engage in marketing efforts to acquire these customers. Therefore, we may encounter difficulties managing the expected delinquencies and losses and appropriately pricing our products.

We Are Substantially Dependent Upon Borrowed Funds to Fund the Receivables We Originate or Purchase

We finance our receivables in large part through financing facilities. All of our financing facilities are of finite duration (and ultimately will need to be extended or replaced) and contain financial covenants and other conditions that must be fulfilled in order for funding to be available. Moreover, some of our facilities currently are in amortization stages (and are not allowing for the funding of any new loans), either based on their original terms or because we have not met financial or asset performance-related covenants. The cost and availability of equity and borrowed funds is dependent upon our financial performance, the performance of our industry generally and general economic and market conditions, and at times equity and borrowed funds have been both expensive and difficult to obtain.

Beginning in 2007, largely as a result of difficulties in the sub-prime mortgage market, new financing generally has been sparse for sub-prime lenders, and the financing that has been available has been on significantly less favorable terms than prior to 2008. As a result, beginning in the third quarter of 2007, we significantly curtailed our marketing for new credit cards and currently are not issuing a significant number of new cards. Moreover, commencing in October 2008 we reduced credit lines and closed a significant number of accounts in response to the unavailability of financing and to reduce our risk exposure. These activities continued into 2009, and as a result, substantially all of our credit cards are now closed to cardholder purchases. If additional financing facilities are not available in the future on terms we consider acceptable—an issue that has been made even more acute in the U.S. given recent regulatory changes

that have reduced asset-level returns on credit card lending—we will not be able to grow our credit card business and it will continue to contract in size.

Our Financial Performance Is, in Part, a Function of the Aggregate Amount of Receivables That Are Outstanding

The aggregate amount of outstanding receivables is a function of many factors including purchase rates, payment rates, interest rates, seasonality, general economic conditions, competition from other credit card issuers and other sources of consumer financing, access to funding, and the timing, extent and success of our marketing efforts.

Our credit card business currently is contracting. Growth is a product of a combination of factors, many of which are not in our control. Factors include:

- the level and success of our marketing efforts;
- the degree to which we lose business to competitors;

Table of Contents

the level of usage of our credit products by our customers;
the availability of portfolios for purchase on attractive terms;
levels of delinquencies and charge offs;
the availability of funding on favorable terms;
the level of costs of soliciting new customers;
our ability to employ and train new personnel;
our ability to maintain adequate management systems, collection procedures, internal controls and automated systems;
and
general economic and other factors beyond our control.

We have substantially eliminated our U.S. credit card marketing efforts and have aggressively reduced credit lines and closed credit card accounts. In addition, the general economic downturn experienced in 2008 and 2009 significantly impacted not just the level of usage of our credit products by our customers but also levels of payments and delinquencies and other performance metrics. As a result, our credit card business currently is contracting, and until market conditions more substantially improve, we do not expect overall net growth in our Credit Card and Other Investments or our Auto Finance segments.

We Operate in a Heavily Regulated Industry

Changes in bankruptcy, privacy or other consumer protection laws, or to the prevailing interpretation thereof, may expose us to litigation, adversely affect our ability to collect our loans and fees receivable, or otherwise adversely affect our operations. Similarly, regulatory changes could adversely affect our ability or willingness to market credit cards and other products and services to our customers. The accounting rules that govern our business are exceedingly complex, difficult to apply and in a state of flux. As a result, how we value our receivables and otherwise account for our business is subject to change depending upon the changes in, and, interpretation of, those rules. Some of these issues are discussed more fully below.

Reviews and enforcement actions by regulatory authorities under banking and consumer protection laws and regulations may result in changes to our business practices, may make collection of account balances more difficult or may expose us to the risk of fines, restitution and litigation. Our operations and the operations of the issuing banks through which we originate some of our credit products are subject to the jurisdiction of federal, state and local government authorities, including the CFPB, the SEC, the FDIC, the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, the FTC, U.K. banking authorities, state regulators having jurisdiction over financial institutions and debt origination and collection and state attorneys general. Our business practices, including the terms of our products and our marketing, servicing and collection practices, are subject to both periodic and special reviews by these regulatory and enforcement authorities. These reviews can range from investigations of specific consumer complaints or concerns to broader inquiries into our practices generally. If as part of these reviews the regulatory authorities conclude that we are not complying with applicable law, they could request or impose a wide range of remedies including requiring changes in advertising and collection practices, changes in the terms of our products (such as decreases in interest rates or fees), the imposition of fines or penalties, or the paying of restitution or the taking of other remedial action with respect to affected customers. They also could require us to stop offering some of our products, either nationally or in selected states. To the extent that these remedies are imposed on the issuing banks through which we originate credit products, under certain circumstances we are responsible for the remedies as a result of our indemnification obligations with those banks. We also may elect to change practices or products that we believe are compliant with law in order to respond to regulatory concerns. Furthermore, negative publicity relating to any specific inquiry or investigation could hurt our ability to conduct business with various industry participants or to attract new accounts and could negatively affect our stock price, which would adversely affect our ability to raise additional capital and would raise our costs of doing business.

If any deficiencies or violations of law or regulations are identified by us or asserted by any regulator, or if the CFPB, the FDIC, the FTC or any other regulator requires us to change any of our practices, the correction of such deficiencies or violations, or the making of such changes, could have a materially adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or business. In addition, whether or not we modify our practices when a regulatory or enforcement authority requests or requires that we do so, there is a risk that we or other industry participants may be named as defendants in litigation involving alleged violations of federal and state laws and regulations, including consumer protection laws. Any failure to comply with legal requirements by us or the issuing banks through which we originate credit products in connection with the issuance of those products, or by us or our agents as the servicer of our accounts, could significantly impair our ability to collect the full amount of the account balances. The institution of any litigation of this nature, or any judgment against us or any other industry participant in any litigation of this nature, could adversely affect our business and financial condition in a variety of ways.

Table of Contents

We are dependent upon banks to issue credit cards and certain other credit products. Our credit card and some of our other credit product programs are dependent on our issuing bank relationships, and their regulators could at any time limit their ability to issue some or all products on our behalf, or that we service on their behalf, or to modify those products significantly. Any significant interruption of those relationships would result in our being unable to originate new receivables and other credit products. It is possible that a regulatory position or action taken with respect to any of the issuing banks through which we have originated credit products or for whom we service receivables might result in the bank's inability or unwillingness to originate future credit products on our behalf or in partnership with us. In the current state, such a disruption of our issuing bank relationships principally would adversely affect our ability to conduct credit card issuances in the U.K, and to grow our private label merchant credit product offerings and underlying receivables.

Changes to consumer protection laws or changes in their interpretation may impede collection efforts or otherwise adversely impact our business practices. Federal and state consumer protection laws regulate the creation and enforcement of consumer credit card receivables and other loans. Many of these laws (and the related regulations) are focused on sub-prime lenders and are intended to prohibit or curtail industry-standard practices as well as non-standard practices. For instance, Congress enacted legislation that regulates loans to military personnel through imposing interest rate and other limitations and requiring new disclosures, all as regulated by the Department of Defense. Similarly, in 2009 Congress enacted legislation that required changes to a variety of marketing, billing and collection practices, and the Federal Reserve recently adopted significant changes to a number of practices through its issuance of regulations. Additionally, the CFPB is expected to be an active issuer of credit-related regulations in the near-term, and it is impossible to predict the scope or nature of those potential regulations. While our practices are in compliance with these changes, some of the changes (e.g., limitations on the ability to assess up-front fees) have significantly affected the viability of certain of our prior product offerings within the U.S. Changes in the consumer protection laws could result in the following:

- receivables not originated in compliance with law (or revised interpretations) could become unenforceable and uncollectible under their terms against the obligors;
- we may be required to credit or refund previously collected amounts;
- certain fees and finance charges could be limited, prohibited or restricted, which would reduce the profitability of certain accounts;
- certain of our collection methods could be prohibited, forcing us to revise our practices or adopt more costly or less effective practices;
- limitations on the content of marketing materials could be imposed that would result in reduced success for our marketing efforts;
- federal and state laws may limit our ability to recover on charged-off receivables regardless of any act or omission on our part;
- some of our products and services could be banned in certain states or at the federal level;
- federal or state bankruptcy or debtor relief laws could offer additional protections to customers seeking bankruptcy protection, providing a court greater leeway to reduce or discharge amounts owed to us; and
- a reduction in our ability or willingness to lend to certain individuals, such as military personnel.

Material regulatory developments are likely to adversely impact our business and results from operations.

Our Automobile Lending Activities Involve Risks in Addition to Others Described Herein

Automobile lending exposes us not only to most of the risks described above but also to additional risks, including the regulatory scheme that governs installment loans and those attendant to relying upon automobiles and their repossession and liquidation value as collateral. In addition, our most significant active Auto Finance segment business acquires loans on a wholesale basis from used car dealers, for which we rely upon the legal compliance and

credit determinations by those dealers.

Declines in automobile sales as we saw in recent years can cause declines in the overall demand for automobile loans. While currently recovering fairly significantly, sales of both new and used cars declined precipitously in recent years. While the unavailability of funding may have had a greater impact on our business, the decline in demand in recent years was consequential as well because it adversely affected the volume of our lending transactions and our recoveries of repossessed vehicles at auction. Any such future declines in demand will adversely impact our business.

Table of Contents

Funding for automobile lending is difficult to obtain and expensive. In large part due to market concerns regarding sub-prime lending, it is difficult to find lenders willing to fund our automobile lending activities. Our inability to obtain debt facilities with desirable terms (e.g., interest rates and advance rates) and the other capital necessary to fund growth within our Auto Finance segment will cause periods (like our current period) of liquidations in our Auto Finance segment receivables and reductions in profitability and returns on equity. Although we did not experience any such adverse effects when our CAR facility began its required amortization period in June 2011 and was repaid in July 2011 (and although any concerns of such adverse effects are now abated given the lending facility CAR obtained in October 2011), in the event we may not be able to renew or replace any future Auto Finance segment facilities that bear refunding or refinancing risks when they become due, our Auto Finance segment could experience significant liquidity constraints and diminution in reported asset values as lenders retain significant cash flows within underlying structured financings or otherwise under security arrangements for repayment of their loans. If we cannot renew or replace future facilities or otherwise are unduly constrained from a liquidity perspective, we may choose to sell part or all of our auto loan portfolios, possibly at less than favorable prices.

Our automobile lending business is dependent upon referrals from dealers. Currently we provide substantially all of our automobile loans only to or through used car dealers. Providers of automobile financing have traditionally competed based on the interest rate charged, the quality of credit accepted and the flexibility of loan terms offered. In order to be successful, we not only will need to be competitive in these areas, but also will need to establish and maintain good relations with dealers and provide them with a level of service greater than what they can obtain from our competitors.

The financial performance of our automobile loan portfolio is in part dependent upon the liquidation of repossessed automobiles. In the event of certain defaults, we may repossess automobiles and sell repossessed automobiles at wholesale auction markets located throughout the U.S. Auction proceeds from these types of sales and other recoveries rarely are sufficient to cover the outstanding balances of the contracts; where we experience these shortfalls, we will experience credit losses. Decreased auction proceeds resulting from depressed prices at which used automobiles may be sold in periods of economic slowdown or recession have resulted in higher credit losses for us. Additionally, higher gasoline prices (like those experienced during 2008) tend to decrease the auction value of certain types of vehicles, such as SUVs.

Repossession of automobiles entails the risk of litigation and other claims. Although we have contracted with reputable repossession firms to repossess automobiles on defaulted loans, it is not uncommon for consumers to assert that we were not entitled to repossess an automobile or that the repossession was not conducted in accordance with applicable law. These claims increase the cost of our collection efforts and, if correct, can result in awards against us.

We Routinely Explore Various Opportunities to Grow Our Business, to Make Investments and to Purchase and Sell Assets

We routinely consider acquisitions of, or investments in, portfolios and other assets as well as the sale of portfolios and portions of our business. There are a number of risks attendant to any acquisition, including the possibility that we will overvalue the assets to be purchased and that we will not be able to produce the expected level of profitability from the acquired business or assets. Similarly, there are a number of risks attendant to sales, including the possibility that we will undervalue the assets to be sold. As a result, the impact of any acquisition or sale on our future performance may not be as favorable as expected and actually may be adverse.

Portfolio purchases may cause fluctuations in our reported Credit Card and Other Investments segment's managed receivables data, which may reduce the usefulness of this data in evaluating our business. Our reported Credit Card and Other Investments segment managed receivables data may fluctuate substantially from quarter to quarter as a result of recent and future credit card portfolio acquisitions. As of December 31, 2012, credit card portfolio

acquisitions accounted for 38.8% of our total Credit Card and Other Investments segment managed receivables portfolio based on our ownership percentages.

Receivables included in purchased portfolios are likely to have been originated using credit criteria different from the criteria of issuing bank partners that have originated accounts on our behalf. Receivables included in any particular purchased portfolio may have significantly different delinquency rates and charge-off rates than the receivables previously originated and purchased by us. These receivables also may earn different interest rates and fees as compared to other similar receivables in our receivables portfolio. These variables could cause our reported managed receivables data to fluctuate substantially in future periods making the evaluation of our business more difficult.

Table of Contents

Any acquisition or investment that we make will involve risks different from and in addition to the risks to which our business is currently exposed. These include the risks that we will not be able to integrate and operate successfully new businesses, that we will have to incur substantial indebtedness and increase our leverage in order to pay for the acquisitions, that we will be exposed to, and have to comply with, different regulatory regimes and that we will not be able to apply our traditional analytical framework (which is what we expect to be able to do) in a successful and value-enhancing manner.

Other Risks of Our Business

We are a holding company with no operations of our own. As a result, our cash flow and ability to service our debt is dependent upon distributions from our subsidiaries. Our ability to service our debt is dependent upon the cash flows and operating earnings of our subsidiaries. The distribution of subsidiary earnings, or advances or other distributions of funds by subsidiaries to us, all of which are subject to statutory and could be subject to contractual restrictions, are contingent upon the subsidiaries' cash flows and earnings and are subject to various business and debt covenant considerations.

The resolution of uncertain tax positions may be unfavorable. Our businesses and the tax accounting for our businesses are very complex, thereby giving rise to a number of tax positions that are under consideration, and in some cases under dispute, in audits of our operations by various taxing authorities, including the Internal Revenue Service at the federal level with respect to net operating losses that we incurred in 2007 and 2008 and that we carried back to obtain tentative refunds of federal taxes paid in earlier years dating back to 2003. It is possible that a court of ultimate jurisdiction may resolve tax positions in favor of the Internal Revenue Service or that we may ultimately settle with the Internal Revenue Service on one or more uncertain tax positions in a manner that differs from the liabilities that we have recorded associated with such positions under our recognition and measurement determinations. The amounts involved in these audits, particularly the amounts of net operating losses that we carried back, are material. To the extent that our ultimate resolution results in more liability than we have recorded, we could experience a material adverse effect on our results of operations and liquidity.

Although our private label merchant credit offering is an important part of our strategic plan, we have limited operating history with this offering. We only recently expanded into our private label merchant credit offerings. As with many early stage endeavors, this product offering may experience under-capitalization, delays, lack of funding, and many other problems, delays, and expenses, many of which are beyond our control. These include, but are not limited to:

- inability to establish profitable strategic relationships with merchants;
- inability to raise sufficient capital to fund our anticipated growth in this area; and
- competition from larger and more established competitors, such as banks and finance companies.

Unless we obtain a bank charter, we cannot issue credit cards other than through agreements with banks. Because we do not have a bank charter, we currently cannot issue credit cards other than through agreements with banks. Unless we obtain a bank or credit card bank charter, we will continue to rely upon banking relationships to provide for the issuance of credit cards to our customers. Even if we obtain a bank charter, there may be restrictions on the types of credit that the bank may extend. Our various issuing bank agreements have scheduled expiration dates. If we are unable to extend or execute new agreements with our issuing banks at the expirations of our current agreements with them, or if our existing or new agreements with our issuing banks were terminated or otherwise disrupted, there is a risk that we would not be able to enter into agreements with an alternate provider on terms that we consider favorable or in a timely manner without disruption of our business.

We are party to litigation. We are defendants in certain legal proceedings. This includes litigation relating to our former retail micro-loan operations and other litigation customary for a business of our nature. In each case we believe that we have meritorious defenses or that the positions we are asserting otherwise are correct. However, adverse outcomes are possible in these matters, and we could decide to settle one or more of our litigation matters in order to avoid the ongoing cost of litigation or to obtain certainty of outcome. Adverse outcomes or settlements of these matters could require us to pay damages, make restitution, change our business practices or take other actions at a level, or in a manner, that would adversely impact our business.

We face heightened levels of economic risk associated with new investment activities. We recently have made a number of investments in businesses that are not directly allied to our traditional lending activities to, or associated with, the underserved consumer credit market. In addition, some of these investments that we have made and may make in the future are or will be in debt or equity securities of businesses over which we exert little or no control, which likely exposes us to greater risks of loss than investments in activities and operations that we control. While we make only those investments we believe have the potential to provide a favorable return, because some of the investments are outside of our core areas of expertise, they

Table of Contents

entail risks beyond those described elsewhere in this Report. As occurred with respect to certain such investments in 2012 and 2011, these risks could result in the loss of part or all of our investments.

Because we outsource account-processing functions that are integral to our business, any disruption or termination of that outsourcing relationship could harm our business. We generally outsource account and payment processing, and in 2012, we paid Total System Services, Inc. \$7.8 million for these services. If these agreements were not renewed or were terminated or the services provided to us were otherwise disrupted, we would have to obtain these services from an alternative provider. There is a risk that we would not be able to enter into a similar agreement with an alternate provider on terms that we consider favorable or in a timely manner without disruption of our business.

Unauthorized or unintentional disclosure of sensitive or confidential customer data could expose us to protracted and costly litigation, and civil and criminal penalties. To conduct our business, we are required to manage, use, and store large amounts of personally identifiable information, consisting primarily of confidential personal and financial data regarding our customers. We also depend on our IT networks and systems, and those of third parties, to process, store, and transmit this information. As a result, we are subject to numerous U.S. federal and state laws designed to protect this information. Security breaches involving our files and infrastructure could lead to unauthorized disclosure of confidential information.

We take a number of measures to ensure the security of our hardware and software systems and customer information. Advances in computer capabilities, new discoveries in the field of cryptography or other developments may result in the technology used by us to protect data being breached or compromised. In the past, consumer finance companies have been the subject of sophisticated and highly targeted attacks on their information technology. An increasing number of websites have reported breaches of their security.

If any person, including our employees or those of third-party vendors, negligently disregards or intentionally breaches our established controls with respect to such data or otherwise mismanages or misappropriates that data, we could be subject to costly litigation, monetary damages, fines, and/or criminal prosecution. Any unauthorized disclosure of personally identifiable information could subject us to liability under data privacy laws. Further, under credit card rules and our contracts with our card processors, if there is a breach of credit card information that we store, we could be liable to the credit card issuing banks for their cost of issuing new cards and related expenses. In addition, if we fail to follow credit card industry security standards, even if there is no compromise of customer information, we could incur significant fines.

Internet and data security breaches also could impede us from originating loans over the Internet, cause us to lose customers or otherwise damage our reputation or business. Consumers generally are concerned with security and privacy, particularly on the Internet. As part of our growth strategy, we have originated loans over the Internet. The secure transmission of confidential information over the Internet is essential to maintaining customer confidence in our products and services offered online.

Advances in computer capabilities, new discoveries or other developments could result in a compromise or breach of the technology used by us to protect customer application and transaction data transmitted over the Internet. In addition to the potential for litigation and civil penalties described above, security breaches could damage our reputation and cause customers to become unwilling to do business with us, particularly over the Internet. Any publicized security problems could inhibit the growth of the Internet as a means of conducting commercial transactions. Our ability to solicit new loans over the Internet would be severely impeded if consumers become unwilling to transmit confidential information online.

Also, a party that is able to circumvent our security measures could misappropriate proprietary information, cause interruption in our operations, damage our computers or those of our users, or otherwise damage our reputation and

business.

Regulation in the areas of privacy and data security could increase our costs. We are subject to various regulations related to privacy and data security/breach, and we could be negatively impacted by these regulations. For example, we are subject to the safeguards guidelines under the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act. The safeguards guidelines require that each financial institution develop, implement and maintain a written, comprehensive information security program containing safeguards that are appropriate to the financial institution's size and complexity, the nature and scope of the financial institution's activities and the sensitivity of any customer information at issue. Broad-ranging data security laws that affect our business also have been adopted by various states. Compliance with these laws regarding the protection of customer and employee data could result in higher compliance and technology costs for us, as well as potentially significant fines and penalties for non-compliance.

In addition to the foregoing enhanced data security requirements, various federal banking regulatory agencies, and as many as 46 states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands, have enacted data breach regulations and laws requiring varying levels of customer notification in the event of a security breach.

55

Table of Contents

Also, federal legislators and regulators are increasingly pursuing new guidelines, laws and regulations that, if adopted, could further restrict how we collect, use, share and secure customer information, which could impact some of our current or planned business initiatives.

Unplanned system interruptions or system failures could harm our business and reputation. Any interruption in the availability of our transactional processing services due to hardware and operating system failures will reduce our revenues and profits. Any unscheduled interruption in our services results in an immediate, and possibly substantial, loss of revenues. Frequent or persistent interruptions in our services could cause current or potential members to believe that our systems are unreliable, leading them to switch to our competitors or to avoid our websites or services, and could permanently harm our reputation.

Although our systems have been designed around industry-standard architectures to reduce downtime in the event of outages or catastrophic occurrences, they remain vulnerable to damage or interruption from earthquakes, floods, fires, power loss, telecommunication failures, computer viruses, computer denial-of-service attacks, and similar events or disruptions. Some of our systems are not fully redundant, and our disaster recovery planning may not be sufficient for all eventualities. Our systems also are subject to break-ins, sabotage, and intentional acts of vandalism. Despite any precautions we may take, the occurrence of a natural disaster, a decision by any of our third-party hosting providers to close a facility we use without adequate notice for financial or other reasons, or other unanticipated problems at our hosting facilities could cause system interruptions, delays, and loss of critical data, and result in lengthy interruptions in our services. Our business interruption insurance may not be sufficient to compensate us for losses that may result from interruptions in our service as a result of system failures.

Because of our loan to a small surface coal mining operation (which, due to loan agreement modifications, we were required to consolidate into our financial statements in 2011, but which has since ceased mining operations), we could be subject to (i) significant administrative, civil, and criminal financial and other penalties if this operation does not comply with environmental, health and safety regulations and (ii) liability to third parties for environmental contamination. The coal mining industry is subject to strict regulation by federal, state and local authorities with respect to matters such as employee health and safety, permitting and licensing requirements, the protection of the environment, the protection of historic and natural resources, plants and wildlife, reclamation and restoration of mining properties after mining is completed, and the effects that mining has on groundwater quality and availability. Federal and state authorities inspect coal mines, and in the aftermath of the April 5, 2010 accident at an underground mine in Central Appalachia, mining operations have experienced, and may in the future continue to experience, a significant increase in the frequency and scope of these inspections. Numerous governmental permits and approvals are required for mining operations. Mining operations are required to prepare and present to federal, state and/or local authorities data pertaining to the effect or impact that any proposed exploration for or production of coal may have upon the environment.

The costs, liabilities and requirements associated with the laws and regulations related to these and other environmental matters may be costly and time-consuming. Failure to comply with these laws and regulations may result in the assessment of administrative, civil, and criminal financial and other penalties, the imposition of cleanup and site restoration costs and liens and other enforcement measures.

We also could be subject to claims by third parties under federal and state statutes and/or common law doctrines resulting from damage to the environment or historic or natural resources or exposure to hazardous substances on the mine property or elsewhere. Liability for environmental contamination may be without regard to fault and may be strict, joint and several, so that we may be held responsible for the entire amount of the contamination or related damages. These and other similar unforeseen impacts that the former mining operation may have on the environment, as well as exposures to hazardous substances or wastes associated with the former mining operation, could result in

costs and liabilities that could adversely affect us.

Even though this former coal mining operation ceased mining operations as of December 31, 2012 and has always been owned and primarily operated by third parties, our financial relationship with this former coal mining operation could subject us to these types of claims and penalties, particularly if these matters were not properly addressed by the owners and operators of the operation. If we are held responsible for sanctions, costs and liabilities in respect of these matters, our profitability could be materially and adversely affected.

Climate change and related regulatory responses may impact our business. Climate change as a result of emissions of greenhouse gases is a significant topic of discussion and may generate federal and other regulatory responses. It is impracticable to predict with any certainty the impact on our business of climate change or the regulatory responses to it, although we recognize that they could be significant. The most direct impact is likely to be an increase in energy costs, which

Table of Contents

would adversely impact consumers and their ability to incur and repay indebtedness. However, it is too soon for us to predict with any certainty the ultimate impact, either directionally or quantitatively, of climate change and related regulatory responses.

Risks Relating to an Investment in Our Common Stock

The price of our common stock may fluctuate significantly, and this may make it difficult for you to resell your shares of our common stock when you want or at prices you find attractive. The price of our common stock on the NASDAQ Global Select Market constantly changes. We expect that the market price of our common stock will continue to fluctuate. The market price of our common stock may fluctuate in response to numerous factors, many of which are beyond our control. These factors include the following:

- actual or anticipated fluctuations in our operating results;
- changes in expectations as to our future financial performance, including financial estimates by securities analysts and investors;
- the overall financing environment, which is critical to our value;
- the operating and stock performance of our competitors and other sub-prime lenders;
- announcements by us or our competitors of new products or services or significant contracts, acquisitions, strategic partnerships, joint ventures or capital commitments;
- changes in interest rates;
- the announcement of enforcement actions or investigations against us or our competitors or other negative publicity relating to us or our industry;
- changes in GAAP, laws, regulations or the interpretations thereof that affect our various business activities and segments;
- general domestic or international economic, market and political conditions;
- additions or departures of key personnel; and
- future sales of our common stock and the transfer or cancellation of shares of common stock pursuant to a share lending agreement.

In addition, the stock markets from time to time experience extreme price and volume fluctuations that may be unrelated or disproportionate to the operating performance of companies. These broad fluctuations may adversely affect the trading price of our common stock, regardless of our actual operating performance.

Future sales of our common stock or equity-related securities in the public market, including sales of our common stock pursuant to share lending agreements or short sale transactions by purchasers of convertible notes, could adversely affect the trading price of our common stock and our ability to raise funds in new stock offerings. Sales of significant amounts of our common stock or equity-related securities in the public market, including sales pursuant to share lending agreements, or the perception that such sales will occur, could adversely affect prevailing trading prices of our common stock and could impair our ability to raise capital through future offerings of equity or equity-related securities. Future sales of shares of common stock or the availability of shares of common stock for future sale, including sales of our common stock in short sale transactions by purchasers of our convertible notes, may have a material adverse effect on the trading price of our common stock.

We have the ability to issue preferred stock, warrants, convertible debt and other securities without shareholder approval. Our common stock may be subordinate to classes of preferred stock issued in the future in the payment of dividends and other distributions made with respect to common stock, including distributions upon liquidation or dissolution. Our articles of incorporation permit our Board of Directors to issue preferred stock without first obtaining shareholder approval. If we issued preferred stock, these additional securities may have dividend or liquidation preferences senior to the common stock. If we issue convertible preferred stock, a subsequent conversion may dilute

the current common shareholders' interest. We have similar abilities to issue convertible debt, warrants and other equity securities.

Table of Contents

Our executive officers, directors and parties related to them, in the aggregate, control a majority of our common stock and may have the ability to control matters requiring shareholder approval. Our executive officers, directors and parties related to them own a large enough share of our common stock to have an influence on, if not control of, the matters presented to shareholders. As a result, these shareholders may have the ability to control matters requiring shareholder approval, including the election and removal of directors, the approval of significant corporate transactions, such as any reclassification, reorganization, merger, consolidation or sale of all or substantially all of our assets and the control of our management and affairs. Accordingly, this concentration of ownership may have the effect of delaying, deferring or preventing a change of control of us, impede a merger, consolidation, takeover or other business combination involving us or discourage a potential acquirer from making a tender offer or otherwise attempting to obtain control of us, which in turn could have an adverse effect on the market price of our common stock.

Note Regarding Risk Factors

The risk factors presented above are all of the ones that we currently consider material. However, they are not the only ones facing our company. Additional risks not presently known to us, or which we currently consider immaterial, may also adversely affect us. There may be risks that a particular investor views differently from us, and our analysis might be wrong. If any of the risks that we face actually occur, our business, financial condition and operating results could be materially adversely affected and could differ materially from any possible results suggested by any forward-looking statements that we have made or might make. In such case, the trading price of our common stock could decline, and you could lose part or all of your investment. We expressly disclaim any obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, except as required by law.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS.

ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

The following table sets forth information with respect to our repurchases of common stock during the three months ended June 30, 2013:

| | Total Number of Shares Purchased | Average Price Paid per Share | Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs | Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased under the Plans or Programs (1) |
|--------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|
| April 1 - April 30 | 48,272 | \$ 3.88 | 48,272 | 9,831,628 |
| May 1 - May 31 | 27,750 | \$ 3.92 | 27,750 | 9,803,878 |
| June 1 - June 30 | 33,005 | \$ 3.81 | 33,005 | 9,770,873 |
| Total | 109,027 | \$ 3.87 | 109,027 | 9,770,873 |

Because withholding tax-related stock repurchases are permitted outside the scope of our 10,000,000 share Board-authorized repurchase plan, these amounts exclude shares of stock returned to us by employees in satisfaction of withholding tax requirements on vested stock grants. There were no such shares returned to us during the three months ended June 30, 2013.

Pursuant to a share repurchase plan authorized by our Board of Directors on May 11, 2012, we are authorized to repurchase 10,000,000 shares of our common stock through June 30, 2014, of which 9,770,873 remained authorized for repurchase as of June 30, 2013.

ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

None.

58

Table of Contents

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

59

Table of Contents

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

| Exhibit Number | Description of Exhibit | Incorporated by Reference from Atlanticus Holdings Corporation's SEC Filings Unless Otherwise Indicated: |
|----------------|--|--|
| 10.1 | First Amendment to Loan and Security Agreement | Filed Herewith |
| 10.2 | Second Amendment and Joinder to Loan and Security Agreement | Filed Herewith |
| 31.1 | Certification of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a). | Filed herewith |
| 31.2 | Certification of Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a). | Filed herewith |
| 32.1 | Certification of Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350. | Filed herewith |

60

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

ATLANTICUS HOLDINGS CORPORATION

August 13, 2013

By /s/ J.PAUL WHITEHEAD, III
J.Paul Whitehead, III
Chief Financial Officer
(duly authorized officer and principal financial officer)

61