

INTERMOUNTAIN COMMUNITY BANCORP
Form 10-Q
August 10, 2011
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549
Form 10-Q
(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2011

OR
 TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____
COMMISSION FILE NUMBER 000-50667
INTERMOUNTAIN COMMUNITY BANCORP
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Idaho 82-0499463
(State or other jurisdiction of (IRS Employer
incorporation or organization) Identification No.)

414 Church Street, Sandpoint, ID 83864
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip code)
Registrant's telephone number, including area code:
(208) 263-0505

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

None None
(Title of each class) (Name of each exchange on which registered)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

Common Stock (no par value)
(Title of class)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

(Do not check if a smaller reporting
company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes No

The number of shares outstanding of the registrant's Common Stock, no par value per share, as of August 4, 2011 was 8,409,840.

Table of Contents

Intermountain Community Bancorp
FORM 10-Q
For the Quarter Ended June 30, 2011
TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I — Financial Information

Item 1 — Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Consolidated Balance Sheets for June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 3

Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 4

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 5

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 6

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements 7

Item 2 — Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations 30

Item 3 — Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk 54

Item 4 — Controls and Procedures 54

PART II — Other Information

Item 1 — Legal Proceedings 54

Item 1A — Risk Factors 54

Item 2 — Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds 61

Item 3 — Defaults Upon Senior Securities 61

Item 4 — [Removed and Reserved] 61

Item 5 — Other Information 61

Item 6 — Exhibits 61

Signatures 61

EX-31.1

EX-31.2

EX-32

EX-101

Table of Contents

PART I — Financial Information

Item - 1 Financial Statements
Intermountain Community Bancorp
Consolidated Balance Sheets
(Unaudited)

| | June 30, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
|--|------------------------|----------------------|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | |
| ASSETS | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents: | | |
| Interest-bearing | \$77,724 | \$132,693 |
| Non-interest bearing and vault | 13,571 | 11,973 |
| Restricted cash | 2,832 | 3,290 |
| Available-for-sale securities, at fair value | 210,064 | 183,081 |
| Held-to-maturity securities, at amortized cost | 22,154 | 22,217 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank (“FHLB”) of Seattle stock, at cost | 2,310 | 2,310 |
| Loans held for sale | 1,615 | 3,425 |
| Loans receivable, net | 548,195 | 563,228 |
| Accrued interest receivable | 4,183 | 4,360 |
| Office properties and equipment, net | 38,982 | 40,246 |
| Bank-owned life insurance | 8,946 | 8,765 |
| Other intangibles | 249 | 310 |
| Other real estate owned (“OREO”) | 7,818 | 4,429 |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets | 22,037 | 24,782 |
| Total assets | \$960,680 | \$1,005,109 |
| LIABILITIES | | |
| Deposits | \$736,029 | \$778,833 |
| Securities sold subject to repurchase agreements | 99,687 | 105,116 |
| Advances from Federal Home Loan Bank | 34,000 | 34,000 |
| Cashier checks issued and payable | 520 | 580 |
| Accrued interest payable | 1,484 | 1,406 |
| Other borrowings | 16,527 | 16,527 |
| Accrued expenses and other liabilities | 11,990 | 9,294 |
| Total liabilities | 900,237 | 945,756 |
| Commitments and contingent liabilities | | |
| STOCKHOLDERS’ EQUITY | | |
| Common stock 300,000,000 shares authorized; 8,428,196 and 8,431,385 shares issued and 8,409,840 and 8,390,877 shares outstanding as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 | 78,822 | 78,803 |
| Preferred stock 1,000,000 shares authorized; 27,000 shares issued and outstanding as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 | 25,969 | 25,794 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax | 1,162 | (1,229) |
| Accumulated deficit | (45,510) | (44,015) |
| Total stockholders’ equity | 60,443 | 59,353 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders’ equity | \$960,680 | \$1,005,109 |
| The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements. | | |

Table of ContentsIntermountain Community Bancorp
Consolidated Statements of Operations
(Unaudited)

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|--|-----------|------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| | (Dollars in thousands, except per share data) | | | |
| Interest income: | | | | |
| Loans | \$8,432 | \$9,814 | \$16,766 | \$19,463 |
| Investments | 2,358 | 1,785 | 4,512 | 3,752 |
| Total interest income | 10,790 | 11,599 | 21,278 | 23,215 |
| Interest expense: | | | | |
| Deposits | 1,134 | 2,051 | 2,382 | 4,441 |
| Other borrowings | 671 | 789 | 1,200 | 1,595 |
| Total interest expense | 1,805 | 2,840 | 3,582 | 6,036 |
| Net interest income | 8,985 | 8,759 | 17,696 | 17,179 |
| Provision for losses on loans | (2,712) | (4,914) | (4,345) | (11,723) |
| Net interest income after provision for losses on loans | 6,273 | 3,845 | 13,351 | 5,456 |
| Other income: | | | | |
| Fees and service charges | 1,863 | 2,047 | 3,534 | 3,835 |
| Loan related fee income | 545 | 799 | 1,120 | 1,293 |
| Net gain on sale of securities | — | 70 | — | 142 |
| Other-than-temporary impairment (“OTTI”) losses on investments (1) | — | (237) | — | (256) |
| Bank-owned life insurance | 91 | 95 | 180 | 185 |
| Other | 234 | 224 | 562 | 322 |
| Total other income | 2,733 | 2,998 | 5,396 | 5,521 |
| Operating expenses | 9,611 | 11,274 | 19,351 | 22,835 |
| Loss before income taxes | (605) | (4,431) | (604) | (11,858) |
| Income tax benefit | — | 1,934 | — | 5,051 |
| Net loss | (605) | (2,497) | (604) | (6,807) |
| Preferred stock dividend | 448 | 428 | 891 | 847 |
| Net loss applicable to common stockholders | \$(1,053) | \$(2,925) | \$(1,495) | \$(7,654) |
| Loss per share — basic | \$(0.13) | \$(0.35) | \$(0.18) | \$(0.91) |
| Loss per share — diluted | \$(0.13) | \$(0.35) | \$(0.18) | \$(0.91) |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding — basic | 8,409,786 | 8,388,128 | 8,403,177 | 8,380,265 |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding — diluted | 8,409,786 | 8,388,128 | 8,403,177 | 8,380,265 |

(1) Consisting of \$0, \$1,529, \$0 and \$1,529 of total other-than-temporary impairment net losses, net of \$0, \$1,292, \$0 and \$1,273 recognized in other comprehensive income, for the three months ended June 30, 2011, and June 30, 2010 and six months ended June 30, 2011 and June 30, 2010, respectively.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

Table of ContentsIntermountain Community Bancorp
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
(Unaudited)

| | Six Months Ended | |
|---|------------------------|------------|
| | June 30, | |
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | |
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | |
| Net loss | \$(604 |) \$(6,807 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation | 1,524 | 1,580 |
| Stock-based compensation expense | 122 | 209 |
| Net amortization of premiums on securities | 1,171 | 1,751 |
| Provisions for losses on loans | 4,345 | 11,723 |
| Amortization of core deposit intangibles | 61 | 66 |
| (Gain) on sale of loans, investments, property and equipment | (287 |) (962 |
| (Gain) on sale of other real estate owned | (93 |) (52 |
| OTTI credit loss on available-for-sale investments | — | 256 |
| Charge down on other real estate owned | 419 | 1,947 |
| Accretion of deferred gain on sale of branch property | (8 |) (8 |
| Net accretion of loan and deposit discounts and premiums | (7 |) (21 |
| Increase in cash surrender value of bank-owned life insurance | (181 |) (185 |
| Change in: | | |
| Accrued interest receivable | 177 | 115 |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets | 1,079 | 1,679 |
| Accrued interest payable | 78 | 151 |
| Accrued expenses and other liabilities | 1,941 | (622 |
| Proceeds from sale of loans | 23,728 | 43,931 |
| Originations of loans held for sale | (21,630 |) (40,238 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 11,835 | 14,513 |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | |
| Purchases of available-for-sale securities | (47,352 |) (36,306 |
| Proceeds from calls or maturities of available-for-sale securities | 157 | 12,858 |
| Principal payments on mortgage-backed securities | 23,000 | 28,035 |
| Purchases of held-to-maturity securities | — | (7,927 |
| Proceeds from calls or maturities of held-to-maturity securities | 47 | 45 |
| Origination of loans, net principal payments | 2,580 | 15,843 |
| Purchase of office properties and equipment | (259 |) (288 |
| Proceeds from sale of office properties and equipment | — | 40 |
| Proceeds from sale of other real estate owned | 4,400 | 5,817 |
| Net change in restricted cash | 459 | (1,054 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities | (16,968 |) 17,063 |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | |
| Net change in demand, money market and savings deposits | (14,526 |) 3,704 |
| Net change in certificates of deposit | (28,279 |) (16,246 |
| Net change in repurchase agreements | (5,429 |) 3,315 |
| Retirement of treasury stock | (4 |) (4 |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (48,238 |) (9,231 |

Edgar Filing: INTERMOUNTAIN COMMUNITY BANCORP - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|---|----------|-----------|
| Net change in cash and cash equivalents | (53,371 |) 22,345 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period | 144,666 | 103,189 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of period | \$91,295 | \$125,534 |
| Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information: | | |
| Cash paid during the period for: | | |
| Interest | \$3,503 | \$5,886 |
| Income taxes, net of tax refunds received | 8 | (6,894 |
| Noncash investing and financing activities: | | |
| Loans converted to other real estate owned | 8,115 | 4,928 |
| Accrual of preferred stock dividend | 716 | 683 |
| The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements. | | |

5

Table of Contents

Intermountain Community Bancorp
 Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss)
 (Unaudited)

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|--------------------------------|-------------|------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | |
| Net loss | \$ (605 |) \$ (2,497 |) \$ (604 |) \$ (6,807 |
| Other comprehensive income: | | | | |
| Change in unrealized gains on investments, and mortgage backed securities ("MBS") available for sale, excluding non-credit loss on impairment of securities | 3,719 | 4,291 | 3,945 | 4,906 |
| Non-credit loss on impairment on available-for-sale debt | — | (1,292 |)— | (1,273 |
| Less deferred income tax provision | (1,472 |) (1,187 |) (1,561 |) (1,438 |
| Change in fair value of qualifying cash flow hedge | (6 |) (75 |) 7 | 170 |
| Net other comprehensive income | 2,241 | 1,737 | 2,391 | 2,365 |
| Comprehensive income (loss) | \$ 1,636 | \$ (760 |) \$ 1,787 | \$ (4,442 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

Table of ContentsIntermountain Community Bancorp
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

1. Basis of Presentation:

The foregoing unaudited interim consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X as promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Commission. Accordingly, these financial statements do not include all of the disclosures required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for complete financial statements. These unaudited interim consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2010. In the opinion of management, the unaudited interim consolidated financial statements furnished herein include adjustments, all of which are of a normal recurring nature, necessary for a fair statement of the results for the interim periods presented.

The preparation of financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires the use of estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities known to exist as of the date the financial statements are published, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Uncertainties with respect to such estimates and assumptions are inherent in the preparation of Intermountain Community Bancorp's ("Intermountain's" or "the Company's") consolidated financial statements; accordingly, it is possible that the actual results could differ from these estimates and assumptions, which could have a material effect on the reported amounts of Intermountain's consolidated financial position and results of operations.

2. Investments:

The amortized cost and fair values of investments are as follows (in thousands):

| | Available-for-Sale | | | | Fair Value/ Carrying Value |
|---|--|--|------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| | Amortized Cost | Non-Credit OTTI Recognized in OCI (Losses) | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | |
| June 30, 2011 | | | | | |
| U.S. treasury securities and obligations of U.S. government agencies | \$ 11,823 | \$— | \$ 161 | \$(108) |) \$ 11,876 |
| State and municipal securities | 7,256 | — | 288 | — |) 7,544 |
| Mortgage-backed securities & CMO's | 188,359 | (1,926) | 5,477 | (1,266) |) 190,644 |
| | \$ 207,438 | \$(1,926) | \$ 5,926 | \$(1,374) |) \$ 210,064 |
| December 31, 2010 | | | | | |
| U.S. treasury securities and obligations of U.S. government agencies | \$ 4,020 | \$— | \$— | \$(95) |) \$ 3,925 |
| State and municipal securities | 5,251 | — | 28 | (49) |) 5,230 |
| Mortgage-backed securities & CMO's | 175,129 | (1,926) | 3,648 | (2,925) |) 173,926 |
| | \$ 184,400 | \$(1,926) | \$ 3,676 | \$(3,069) |) \$ 183,081 |
| Held-to-Maturity | | | | | |
| | Carrying Value / Amortized Cost | Non-Credit OTTI Recognized in OCI (Losses) | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value |

Edgar Filing: INTERMOUNTAIN COMMUNITY BANCORP - Form 10-Q

June 30, 2011

| | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|----------|-----|-------|-------|---|----------|
| State and municipal securities | \$22,154 | \$— | \$547 | \$(33 |) | \$22,668 |
|--------------------------------|----------|-----|-------|-------|---|----------|

December 31, 2010

| | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|----------|-----|-------|--------|---|----------|
| State and municipal securities | \$22,217 | \$— | \$280 | \$(385 |) | \$22,112 |
|--------------------------------|----------|-----|-------|--------|---|----------|

The following table summarizes the duration of Intermountain's unrealized losses on available-for-sale and held-to-maturity securities as of the dates indicated (in thousands).

7

Table of Contents

| June 30, 2011 | Less Than 12 Months | | 12 Months or Longer | | Total | |
|--|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------|------------|-------------------|
| | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses |
| U.S. treasury securities and obligations of U.S. government agencies | \$3,883 | \$108 | \$— | \$— | \$3,883 | \$108 |
| State and municipal securities | 2,365 | 33 | — | — | 2,365 | 33 |
| Mortgage-backed securities & CMO's | 5,088 | 37 | 14,954 | 1,229 | 20,042 | 1,266 |
| Total | \$11,336 | \$178 | \$14,954 | \$1,229 | \$26,290 | \$1,407 |

| December 31, 2010 | Less Than 12 Months | | 12 Months or Longer | | Total | |
|--|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------|------------|-------------------|
| | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses |
| U.S. treasury securities and obligations of U.S. government agencies | \$3,897 | \$95 | \$— | \$— | \$3,897 | \$95 |
| State and municipal securities | 11,713 | 434 | — | — | 11,713 | 434 |
| Mortgage-backed securities & CMO's | 36,338 | 581 | 14,447 | 2,344 | 50,785 | 2,925 |
| Total | \$51,948 | \$1,110 | \$14,447 | \$2,344 | \$66,395 | \$3,454 |

At June 30, 2011, the amortized cost and fair value of available-for-sale and held-to-maturity debt securities, by contractual maturity, are as follows (in thousands):

| | Available-for-Sale | | Held-to-Maturity | |
|------------------------------------|--------------------|------------|------------------|------------|
| | Amortized Cost | Fair Value | Amortized Cost | Fair Value |
| One year or less | \$— | \$— | \$295 | \$296 |
| After one year through five years | 24 | 24 | 701 | 741 |
| After five years through ten years | 13,809 | 13,872 | 9,629 | 9,971 |
| After ten years | 5,246 | 5,524 | 11,529 | 11,660 |
| Subtotal | 19,079 | 19,420 | 22,154 | 22,668 |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 188,359 | 190,644 | — | — |
| Total Securities | \$207,438 | \$210,064 | \$22,154 | \$22,668 |

Expected maturities may differ from contractual maturities because issuers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

Intermountain's investment portfolios are managed to provide and maintain liquidity; to maintain a balance of high quality, diversified investments to minimize risk; to offset other asset portfolio elements in managing interest rate risk; to provide collateral for pledging; and to maximize returns. At June 30, 2011, the Company does not intend to sell any of its available-for-sale securities that have a loss position and it is not likely that it will be required to sell the available-for-sale securities before the anticipated recovery of their remaining amortized cost or maturity date. The unrealized losses on residential mortgage-backed securities without other-than-temporary impairment ("OTTI") were considered by management to be temporary in nature.

Investment securities are reviewed on an ongoing basis for the presence of OTTI or permanent impairment, taking into consideration current market conditions, fair value in relationship to cost, the extent and nature of the change in fair value, issuer rating changes and trends, whether we intend to sell a security or if it is likely that we will be required to sell the security before recovery of our amortized cost basis of the investment, which may be at maturity, and other factors.

For the calculation and presentation of OTTI of debt securities the Company considers whether they intend to sell a security or if it is likely that they would be required to sell the security before recovery of the amortized cost basis of

the investment, which may be maturity. For debt securities, if the Company intends to sell the security or it is likely that it will be required to sell the security before recovering its cost basis, the entire impairment loss would be recognized in earnings as an OTTI. If the Company does not intend to sell the security and it is not likely that it will be required to sell the security but does not expect to recover the entire amortized cost basis of the security, only the portion of the impairment loss representing credit losses would be recognized in earnings. The credit loss on a security is measured as the difference between the amortized cost basis, less prior credit impairment

Table of Contents

losses taken, and the present value of the cash flows expected to be collected. Projected cash flows are discounted by the original or current effective interest rate depending on the nature of the security being measured for potential OTTI. The remaining impairment related to all other factors, the difference between the present value of the cash flows expected to be collected and fair value, is recognized as a charge to other comprehensive income (“OCI”). Impairment losses related to all other factors are presented as separate categories within OCI. For investment securities held to maturity, this amount is accreted over the remaining life of the debt security prospectively based on the amount and timing of future estimated cash flows. The accretion of the amount recorded in OCI increases the carrying value of the investment and does not affect earnings. If there is an indication of additional credit losses the security is reevaluated according to the procedures described above.

The following table presents the OTTI losses for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and June 30, 2010:

| | 2011 | | 2010 | |
|--|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|
| | Held To | Available | Held To | Available |
| | Maturity | For Sale | Maturity | For Sale |
| Total other-than-temporary impairment losses | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$1,529 |
| Portion of other-than-temporary impairment losses transferred from (recognized in) other comprehensive income (1) | — | — | — | (1,273) |
| Net impairment losses recognized in earnings (2) | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$256 |

(1) Represents other-than-temporary impairment losses related to all other factors.

(2) Represents other-than-temporary impairment losses related to credit losses.

The OTTI recognized on investment securities available for sale relates to two non-agency collateralized mortgage obligations. The Company recognized OTTI on the first investment security in the first quarter of 2009 and the second security in the second quarter of 2010. Each of these securities holds various levels of credit subordination. These securities were valued by third-party pricing services using matrix or model pricing methodologies and were corroborated by broker indicative bids. We estimated the cash flows of the underlying collateral for each security considering credit, interest and prepayment risk models that incorporate management’s estimate of projected key assumptions including prepayment rates, collateral default rates and loss severity. Assumptions utilized vary from security to security, and are influenced by factors such as underlying loan interest rates, geographic location, borrower characteristics, vintage, and historical experience. We then used a third party to obtain information about the structure of each security, including subordination and other credit enhancements, in order to determine how the underlying collateral cash flows will be distributed to each security issued in the structure. These cash flows were then discounted at the interest rate equal to the yield anticipated at the time the security was purchased. We review the actual collateral performance of these securities on a quarterly basis and update the inputs as appropriate to determine the projected cash flows.

See Note 11 “Fair Value of Financial Instruments” for more information on the calculation of fair or carrying value for the investment securities.

3. Loans and Allowance for Loan Losses:

The components of loans receivable are as follows (in thousands):

Table of Contents

| | June 30, 2011 | | | |
|---|---------------------|-------|---|---|
| | Loans Receivable | % | Individually Evaluated for Impairment | Collectively Evaluated for Impairment |
| Commercial | \$ 123,566 | 22.0 | % \$ 11,536 | \$ 112,030 |
| Commercial real estate | 172,701 | 30.8 | 12,161 | 160,540 |
| Commercial construction | 17,694 | 3.1 | 1,735 | 15,959 |
| Land and land development loans | 49,197 | 8.8 | 7,134 | 42,063 |
| Agriculture | 85,296 | 15.2 | 625 | 84,671 |
| Multifamily | 27,112 | 4.8 | — | 27,112 |
| Residential real estate | 62,016 | 11.0 | 3,944 | 58,072 |
| Residential construction | 4,291 | 0.8 | 185 | 4,106 |
| Consumer | 12,535 | 2.2 | 597 | 11,938 |
| Municipal | 7,360 | 1.3 | — | 7,360 |
| Total loans receivable | 561,768 | 100.0 | % \$ 37,917 | \$ 523,851 |
| Allowance for loan losses | (13,687 |) | | |
| Deferred loan fees, net of direct origination costs | 114 | | | |
| Loans receivable, net | \$ 548,195 | | | |
| Weighted average interest rate | 5.93 | % | | |
| | December 31, 2010 | | | |
| | Loans Receivable | % | Individually Evaluated for Impairment | Collectively Evaluated for Impairment |
| Commercial | \$ 122,656 | 21.3 | % \$ 10,698 | \$ 111,958 |
| Commercial real estate | 175,559 | 30.5 | 13,077 | 162,482 |
| Commercial construction | 17,951 | 3.1 | 691 | 17,260 |
| Land and land development loans | 60,962 | 10.6 | 5,995 | 54,967 |
| Agriculture | 87,364 | 15.2 | 1,460 | 85,904 |
| Multifamily | 26,417 | 4.6 | — | 26,417 |
| Residential real estate | 60,872 | 10.6 | 3,276 | 57,596 |
| Residential construction | 3,219 | 0.6 | 277 | 2,942 |
| Consumer | 14,095 | 2.4 | 1,094 | 13,001 |
| Municipal | 6,528 | 1.1 | — | 6,528 |
| Total loans receivable | 575,623 | 100.0 | % \$ 36,568 | \$ 539,055 |
| Allowance for loan losses | (12,455 |) | | |
| Deferred loan fees, net of direct origination costs | 60 | | | |
| Loans receivable, net | \$ 563,228 | | | |
| Weighted average interest rate | 6.04 | % | | |

The components of allowance for loan loss by types are as follows (in thousands):

Table of Contents

| | June 30, 2011 | | |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| | Total Allowance | Individually Evaluated Allowance | Collectively Evaluated Allowance |
| Commercial | \$2,782 | \$1,050 | \$1,732 |
| Commercial real estate | 5,086 | 3,037 | 2,049 |
| Commercial construction | 728 | 376 | 352 |
| Land and land development loans | 2,046 | 441 | 1,605 |
| Agriculture | 909 | 28 | 881 |
| Multifamily | 90 | — | 90 |
| Residential real estate | 1,324 | 598 | 726 |
| Residential construction | 119 | 18 | 101 |
| Consumer | 577 | 413 | 164 |
| Municipal | 26 | — | 26 |
| Total | \$13,687 | \$5,961 | \$7,726 |

| | December 31, 2010 | | |
|---------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| | Total Allowance | Individually Evaluated Allowance | Collectively Evaluated Allowance |
| Commercial | \$2,925 | \$744 | \$2,181 |
| Commercial real estate | 3,655 | 1,475 | 2,180 |
| Commercial construction | 540 | 145 | 395 |
| Land and land development loans | 2,408 | 770 | 1,638 |
| Agriculture | 779 | 92 | 687 |
| Multifamily | 83 | — | 83 |
| Residential real estate | 1,252 | 545 | 707 |
| Residential construction | 65 | — | 65 |
| Consumer | 613 | 449 | 164 |
| Municipal | 135 | — | 135 |
| Total | \$12,455 | \$4,220 | \$8,235 |

A summary of current, past due and nonaccrual loans as of June 30, 2011 is as follows, (in thousands):

| | Current | 30-89 Days Past Due | 90 Days or More Past Due and Accruing | Nonaccrual | Total |
|---------------------------------|-----------|---------------------|---------------------------------------|------------|-----------|
| Commercial | \$118,651 | \$515 | \$— | \$4,400 | \$123,566 |
| Commercial real estate | 169,305 | 388 | — | 3,008 | 172,701 |
| Commercial construction | 17,647 | — | — | 47 | 17,694 |
| Land and land development loans | 47,184 | 312 | — | 1,701 | 49,197 |
| Agriculture | 84,826 | 90 | — | 380 | 85,296 |
| Multifamily | 27,112 | — | — | — | 27,112 |
| Residential real estate | 60,924 | 288 | — | 804 | 62,016 |
| Residential construction | 4,273 | — | — | 18 | 4,291 |
| Consumer | 12,033 | 128 | — | 374 | 12,535 |
| Municipal | 7,360 | — | — | — | 7,360 |
| Total | \$549,315 | \$1,721 | \$— | \$10,732 | \$561,768 |

A summary of current, past due and nonaccrual loans as of December 31, 2010 is as follows, (in thousands):

11

Table of Contents

| | Current | 30-89 Days Past Due | 90 Days or More Past Due and Accruing | Nonaccrual | Total |
|---------------------------------|-----------|------------------------|--|------------|-----------|
| Commercial | \$118,036 | \$761 | \$— | \$3,859 | \$122,656 |
| Commercial real estate | 171,633 | 360 | — | 3,566 | 175,559 |
| Commercial construction | 17,880 | — | — | 71 | 17,951 |
| Land and land development loans | 58,537 | 515 | — | 1,910 | 60,962 |
| Agriculture | 86,782 | — | — | 582 | 87,364 |
| Multifamily | 26,417 | — | — | — | 26,417 |
| Residential real estate | 58,481 | 1,361 | 66 | 964 | 60,872 |
| Residential construction | 3,109 | — | — | 110 | 3,219 |
| Consumer | 13,664 | 42 | — | 389 | 14,095 |
| Municipal | 6,528 | — | — | — | 6,528 |
| Total | \$561,067 | \$3,039 | \$66 | \$11,451 | \$575,623 |

Troubled Debt Restructures (loans which had been negotiated at below market interest rates or for which other concessions were granted, but are accruing interest) were \$6.5 million and \$4.8 million at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

The allowance for loan losses and reserve for unfunded commitments are maintained at levels considered adequate by management to provide for probable loan losses as of the Consolidated Balance Sheet reporting dates. The allowance for loan losses and reserve for unfunded commitments are based on management's assessment of various factors affecting the loan portfolio, including problem loans, business conditions and loss experience, and an overall evaluation of the quality of the underlying collateral. Changes in the allowance for loan losses and the reserve for unfunded commitments during the six-month period ending June 30 are as follows:

| | Allowance for Loan Losses | | | | Balance, End of Period |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|--|-----------|------------------------------|
| | Balance, Beginning of Year | Charge-Offs Jan 1 through June 30, 2011 | Recoveries Jan 1 through June 30, 2011 | Provision | |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | |
| Commercial | \$2,925 | \$(803) |) \$265 | \$395 | \$2,782 |
| Commercial real estate | 3,655 | (679) |) 150 | 1,960 | 5,086 |
| Commercial construction | 540 | — | — | 188 | 728 |
| Land and land development loans | 2,408 | (1,593) |) 302 | 929 | 2,046 |
| Agriculture | 779 | (331) |) 42 | 419 | 909 |
| Multifamily | 83 | — | — | 7 | 90 |
| Residential real estate | 1,252 | (399) |) 60 | 411 | 1,324 |
| Residential construction | 65 | (18) |) — | 72 | 119 |
| Consumer | 613 | (191) |) 82 | 73 | 577 |
| Municipal | 135 | — | — | (109) |) 26 |
| Allowance for loan losses | \$12,455 | \$(4,014) |) \$901 | \$4,345 | \$13,687 |

Table of Contents

| Allowance for Loan Losses | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|--|-----------|------------------------------|
| June 30, 2010 | | | | | |
| | Balance, Beginning of Year | Charge-Offs Jan 1 through June 30, 2010 | Recoveries Jan 1 through June 30, 2010 | Provision | Balance, End of Period |
| (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | |
| Commercial | \$4,785 | \$(4,302) |) \$312 | \$3,529 | \$4,324 |
| Commercial real estate | 3,827 | (2,487) |) 80 | 1,560 | 2,980 |
| Commercial construction | 1,671 | (911) |) 10 | (245) |) 525 |
| Land and land development loans | 2,707 | (5,222) |) 32 | 5,552 | 3,069 |
| Agriculture | 1,390 | (689) |) — | (253) |) 448 |
| Multifamily | 26 | (16) |) — | 61 | 71 |
| Residential real estate | 1,412 | (1,220) |) 26 | 1,102 | 1,320 |
| Residential construction | 170 | (15) |) — | 13 | 168 |
| Consumer | 539 | (286) |) 101 | 359 | 713 |
| Municipal | 81 | (1) |) — | 45 | 125 |
| Allowances for loan losses | \$16,608 | \$(15,149) |) \$561 | \$11,723 | \$13,743 |

Allowance for Unfunded Commitments

| | June 30, 2011 | 2010 |
|---|------------------|------|
| (Dollars in thousands) | | |
| Balance Beginning January 1 | \$17 | \$11 |
| Adjustment | (3) |) 7 |
| Transfers | — | — |
| Allowance — Unfunded Commitments at end of period | \$14 | \$18 |

The following table provides information with respect to impaired loans as of the quarter ended June 30, 2011:

Table of Contents

| | June 30, 2011 | | | Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 | |
|---------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|
| | Recorded Investment | Principal Balance | Related Allowance | Average Recorded Investment | Interest Income Recognized |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | |
| With an allowance recorded: | | | | | |
| Commercial | \$4,196 | \$4,273 | \$1,050 | \$2,823 | \$163 |
| Commercial real estate | 8,767 | 10,292 | 3,037 | 6,525 | 504 |
| Commercial construction | 1,133 | 1,133 | 376 | 648 | 33 |
| Land and land development loans | 1,749 | 1,749 | 441 | 2,851 | 63 |
| Agriculture | 90 | 176 | 28 | 213 | 23 |
| Multifamily | — | — | — | — | — |
| Residential real estate | 1,185 | 1,295 | 598 | 1,273 | 64 |
| Residential construction | 18 | 123 | 18 | 43 | 26 |
| Consumer | 469 | 482 | 413 | 494 | 21 |
| Municipal | — | — | — | — | — |
| Total | \$17,607 | \$19,523 | \$5,961 | \$14,870 | \$897 |
| Without an allowance recorded: | | | | | |
| Commercial | \$7,340 | \$12,217 | \$— | \$8,327 | \$724 |
| Commercial real estate | 3,394 | 5,475 | — | 5,728 | 308 |
| Commercial construction | 602 | 737 | — | 312 | 31 |
| Land and land development loans | 5,385 | 10,232 | — | 5,721 | 422 |
| Agriculture | 535 | 1,569 | — | 806 | 83 |
| Multifamily | — | — | — | — | — |
| Residential real estate | 2,759 | 3,254 | — | 2,145 | 105 |
| Residential construction | 167 | 167 | — | 204 | 6 |
| Consumer | 128 | 148 | — | 270 | 8 |
| Municipal | — | — | — | — | — |
| Total | \$20,310 | \$33,799 | \$— | \$23,513 | \$1,687 |
| Total: | | | | | |
| Commercial | \$11,536 | \$16,490 | \$1,050 | \$11,150 | \$887 |
| Commercial real estate | 12,161 | 15,767 | 3,037 | 12,252 | 812 |
| Commercial construction | 1,735 | 1,870 | 376 | 960 | 64 |
| Land and land development loans | 7,134 | 11,981 | 441 | 8,571 | 485 |
| Agriculture | 625 | 1,745 | 28 | 1,019 | 106 |
| Multifamily | — | — | — | — | — |
| Residential real estate | 3,944 | 4,549 | 598 | 3,419 | 169 |
| Residential construction | 185 | 290 | 18 | 247 | 32 |
| Consumer | 597 | 630 | 413 | 765 | 29 |
| Municipal | — | — | — | — | — |
| Total | \$37,917 | \$53,322 | \$5,961 | \$38,383 | \$2,584 |

The following table provides information with respect to impaired loans as of the year ended December 31, 2010:

Table of Contents

| | December 31, 2010 | | | Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2010 | |
|---------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|--|----------------------------------|
| | Recorded Investment | Principal Balance | Related Allowance | Average Recorded Investment | Interest Income Recognized |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | |
| With an allowance recorded: | | | | | |
| Commercial | \$2,319 | \$2,320 | \$744 | \$3,785 | \$173 |
| Commercial real estate | 4,383 | 5,088 | 1,475 | 4,804 | 381 |
| Commercial construction | 406 | 407 | 145 | 425 | 17 |
| Land and land development loans | 1,786 | 1,786 | 770 | 2,411 | 120 |
| Agriculture | 428 | 463 | 92 | 1,470 | 46 |
| Multifamily | — | 8 | — | — | 8 |
| Residential real estate | 1,207 | 1,357 | 545 | 1,303 | 98 |
| Residential construction | — | — | — | 302 | — |
| Consumer | 538 | 594 | 449 | 394 | 45 |
| Municipal | — | — | — | — | — |
| Total | \$11,067 | \$12,023 | \$4,220 | \$14,894 | \$888 |
| Without an allowance recorded: | | | | | |
| Commercial | \$8,379 | \$12,362 | \$— | \$5,865 | \$1,021 |
| Commercial real estate | 8,694 | 11,510 | — | 6,589 | 901 |
| Commercial construction | 285 | 418 | — | 3,852 | 36 |
| Land and land development loans | 4,209 | 7,573 | — | 9,617 | 575 |
| Agriculture | 1,032 | 1,885 | — | 2,560 | 192 |
| Multifamily | — | — | — | 347 | — |
| Residential real estate | 2,069 | 2,335 | — | 2,689 | 204 |
| Residential construction | 277 | 363 | — | 420 | 54 |
| Consumer | 556 | 726 | — | 311 | 75 |
| Municipal | — | — | — | — | — |
| Total | \$25,501 | \$37,172 | \$— | \$32,250 | \$3,058 |
| Total: | | | | | |
| Commercial | \$10,698 | \$14,682 | \$744 | \$9,650 | \$1,194 |
| Commercial real estate | 13,077 | 16,598 | 1,475 | 11,393 | 1,282 |
| Commercial construction | 691 | 825 | 145 | 4,277 | 53 |
| Land and land development loans | 5,995 | 9,359 | 770 | 12,028 | 695 |
| Agriculture | 1,460 | 2,348 | 92 | 4,030 | 238 |
| Multifamily | — | 8 | — | 347 | 8 |
| Residential real estate | 3,276 | 3,692 | 545 | 3,992 | 302 |
| Residential construction | 277 | 363 | — | 722 | 54 |
| Consumer | 1,094 | 1,320 | 449 | 705 | 120 |
| Municipal | — | — | — | — | — |
| Total | \$36,568 | \$49,195 | \$4,220 | \$47,144 | \$3,946 |

Credit quality indicators

The loan and lease credit quality indicators for loans are developed through review of individual borrowers on an ongoing basis. Each borrower is evaluated at least annually with more frequent evaluation of larger or potentially riskier loans or leases. The indicators represent the rating for loans or leases as of the date presented based on the most recent assessment performed. These credit quality indicators are defined as follows:

Satisfactory — A satisfactory rated loan is not adversely classified because it does not display any of the characteristics for

15

Table of Contents

adverse classification.

Watch — A watch loan has a solid but vulnerable repayment source. There is loss exposure only if the primary repayment source and collateral experience prolonged deterioration. Loans in this risk grade category are subject to frequent review and change due to the increased vulnerability of repayment sources and collateral valuations.

Special mention — A special mention loan has potential weaknesses that deserve management's close attention. If left uncorrected, such potential weaknesses may result in deterioration of the repayment prospects or collateral position at some future date. Special mention loans are not adversely classified and do not warrant adverse classification.

Substandard — A substandard loan is inadequately protected by the current net worth and paying capacity of the obligor or of the collateral pledged, if any. Loans classified as substandard generally have a well-defined weakness, or weaknesses, that jeopardize the liquidation of the debt. These loans are characterized by the distinct possibility of loss if the deficiencies are not corrected.

Doubtful — A loan classified doubtful has all the weaknesses inherent in a loan classified substandard with the added characteristic that the weaknesses make collection or liquidation in full highly questionable and improbable, on the basis of currently existing facts, conditions, and values.

Loss — Loans classified loss are considered uncollectible and of such little value that their continuing to be carried as an asset is not warranted. This classification does not necessarily mean that there is to no potential for recovery or salvage value, but rather that it is not appropriate to defer a full write-off even though partial recovery may be realized in the future.

Credit quality indicators by loan segment are summarized as follows:

| | Loan Portfolio Credit Grades by Type | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|-----------|
| | June 30, 2011 | | | | | |
| | Satisfactory Grade 1-3 | Internal Watch Grade 4 | Special Mention Grade 5 | Substandard Grade 6 | Doubtful Grade 7 | Total |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | |
| Commercial | \$78,314 | \$26,626 | \$3,579 | \$15,047 | \$— | \$123,566 |
| Commercial real estate | 111,647 | 44,190 | — | 16,864 | — | 172,701 |
| Commercial construction | 3,606 | 5,271 | — | 8,817 | — | 17,694 |
| Land and land development loans | 10,788 | 26,278 | 3,699 | 8,432 | — | 49,197 |
| Agriculture | 61,047 | 19,602 | 1,573 | 3,074 | — | 85,296 |
| Multifamily | 17,298 | 9,814 | — | — | — | 27,112 |
| Residential real estate | 46,742 | 9,879 | 54 | 5,341 | — | 62,016 |
| Residential construction | 3,551 | 555 | — | 185 | — | 4,291 |
| Consumer | 10,665 | 883 | 2 | 985 | — | 12,535 |
| Municipal | 7,360 | — | — | — | — | 7,360 |
| Loans receivable, net | \$351,018 | \$143,098 | \$8,907 | \$58,745 | \$— | \$561,768 |

Table of Contents

| Loan Portfolio Credit Grades by Type | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|-----------|
| December 31, 2010 | | | | | | |
| | Satisfactory Grade 1-3 | Internal Watch Grade 4 | Special Mention Grade 5 | Substandard Grade 6 | Doubtful Grade 7 | Total |
| (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | | |
| Commercial | \$78,693 | \$26,383 | \$3,517 | \$14,062 | \$1 | \$122,656 |
| Commercial real estate | 113,759 | 43,296 | 2,696 | 15,808 | — | 175,559 |
| Commercial construction | 3,921 | 4,976 | 986 | 8,068 | — | 17,951 |
| Land and land development loans | 13,825 | 33,688 | 5,409 | 8,040 | — | 60,962 |
| Agriculture | 60,508 | 23,199 | 1,277 | 2,380 | — | 87,364 |
| Multifamily | 16,455 | 9,962 | — | — | — | 26,417 |
| Residential real estate | 46,111 | 10,230 | 54 | 4,477 | — | 60,872 |
| Residential construction | 2,497 | 445 | — | 277 | — | 3,219 |
| Consumer | 12,302 | 715 | 106 | 972 | — | 14,095 |
| Municipal | 6,528 | — | — | — | — | 6,528 |
| Loans receivable, net | \$354,599 | \$152,894 | \$14,045 | \$54,084 | \$1 | \$575,623 |

A summary of non-performing assets and classified loans at the dates indicated is as follows:

| | June 30, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
|--|------------------|----------------------|
| (Dollars in thousands) | | |
| Loans past due in excess of 90 days and still accruing | \$— | \$66 |
| Non-accrual loans | 10,732 | 11,451 |
| Total non-performing loans | 10,732 | 11,517 |
| Other real estate owned (“OREO”) | 7,818 | 4,429 |
| Total non-performing assets (“NPAs”) | \$18,550 | \$15,946 |
| Classified loans (1) | \$58,745 | \$54,085 |
| Troubled debt restructured loans | \$6,543 | \$4,838 |

1) Classified loan totals are inclusive of non-performing loans and may also include troubled debt restructured loans, depending on the grading of these restructured loans.

Classified loans included non-performing loans and performing substandard loans where management believes that the loans may not return principal and interest per their original contractual terms. A loan that is classified may not necessarily result in a loss.

In the tables above, the increase in classified loans, loans graded substandard, grade 6, and non-performing assets is largely related to the addition of one large credit relationship to these totals during 2011. The second quarter provision for loan losses and the allowance for loan losses at June 30, 2011 includes a reserve that management believes is sufficient to cover anticipated losses on this relationship.

4. Other Real Estate Owned:

At the applicable foreclosure date, OREO is recorded at the fair value of the real estate, less the estimated costs to sell the real estate. The carrying value of OREO is regularly evaluated and, if necessary, the carrying value is reduced to net realizable value. The following table presents OREO for the periods presented:

Table of Contents

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|---|------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | June 30, 2011 | June 30, 2010 | June 30, 2011 | June 30, 2010 |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | |
| Balance, beginning of period | \$3,686 | \$11,538 | \$4,429 | \$11,538 |
| Additions to OREO | 7,226 | 2,467 | 8,115 | 4,928 |
| Proceeds from sale of OREO | (3,130) | (4,133) | (4,400) | (5,817) |
| Valuation Adjustments in the period (1) | 36 | (1,118) | (326) | (1,895) |
| Balance, end of period, June 30 | \$7,818 | \$8,754 | \$7,818 | \$8,754 |

(1) Amount includes chargedowns and gains/losses on sale of OREO

The balance of OREO increased by \$4.1 million during the second quarter, 2011, primarily as the result of the conversion to OREO of one large credit relationship totaling \$5.9 million. For the periods indicated, OREO assets consisted of the following (in thousands):

| | June 30, 2011 | | December 31, 2010 | | |
|----------------------------|---------------|-------|-------------------|-------|---|
| Single family residence | \$540 | 6.9 | % \$2,183 | 49.3 | % |
| Developed residential lots | 2,696 | 34.5 | 1,009 | 22.8 | |
| Commercial buildings | 432 | 5.5 | 788 | 17.8 | |
| Raw land | 4,150 | 53.1 | 449 | 10.1 | |
| Total OREO | \$7,818 | 100.0 | % \$4,429 | 100.0 | % |

The Company's Special Assets Group continues to dispose of OREO properties through a combination of individual sales to investors, bulk sales to investors, and auction sales, generally as a last resort.

5. Advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank of Seattle:

Panhandle State Bank, the banking subsidiary of Intermountain, has a credit line with FHLB of Seattle that allows it to borrow funds up to a percentage of its total assets, subject to collateralization requirements. Certain loans are used as collateral for these borrowings. At June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, this credit line represented a total borrowing capacity of \$106.9 million and \$120.2 million, of which \$70.3 million and \$83.6 million was available, respectively. The advances from FHLB at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 are repayable as follows (in thousands):

| | June 30, 2011 | | December 31, 2010 | | |
|---------------------|---------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------------|---|
| | Amount | Weighted Average Interest Rate | Amount | Weighted Average Interest Rate | |
| Due within 1 year | \$5,000 | 1.49 | % \$5,000 | 1.49 | % |
| Due in 1 to 2 years | 25,000 | 2.06 | — | — | |
| Due in 2 to 3 years | — | — | 25,000 | 2.06 | |
| Due in 3 to 4 years | 4,000 | 3.11 | 4,000 | 3.11 | |
| Due in 4 to 5 years | — | — | — | — | |
| | \$34,000 | 2.10 | % \$34,000 | 2.10 | % |

Only member institutions have access to funds from the Federal Home Loan Banks. As a condition of membership, Panhandle is required to hold FHLB stock. As of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, Panhandle held \$2.3 million for both time periods of FHLB stock. The FHLB of Seattle announced that they would no longer pay dividends or redeem or repurchase capital stock until further notice. Each FHLB continues to monitor its capital and other relevant financial measures as a basis for determining a resumption of dividends and capital stock repurchases at some later

date.

6. Other Borrowings:

The components of other borrowings are as follows (in thousands):

18

Table of Contents

| | June 30, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
|------------------------|---------------|----------------------|
| Term note payable (1) | \$8,279 | \$8,279 |
| Term note payable (2) | 8,248 | 8,248 |
| Total other borrowings | \$16,527 | \$16,527 |

(1) In January 2003, the Company issued \$8.0 million of Trust Preferred securities through its subsidiary, Intermountain Statutory Trust I. The debt associated with these securities bears interest on a variable basis tied to the 90-day LIBOR (London Inter-Bank Offering Rate) index plus 3.25%, with interest only paid quarterly. The rate on this borrowing was 3.50% at June 30, 2011. The debt is callable by the Company quarterly and matures in March 2033. During the third quarter of 2008, the Company entered into an interest rate swap contract with Pacific Coast Bankers Bank. The purpose of the \$8.2 million notional value swap is to convert the variable rate payments made on our Trust Preferred I obligation to a series of fixed rate payments at 7.38% for five years, as a hedging strategy to help manage the Company's interest-rate risk. See Note 2A and 2B below:

(2) In March 2004, the Company issued \$8.0 million of Trust Preferred securities through its subsidiary, Intermountain Statutory Trust II. The debt associated with these securities bears interest on a variable basis tied to the 90-day LIBOR index plus 2.8%, with interest only paid quarterly. The rate on this borrowing was 3.08% at June 30, 2011. The debt is callable by the Company quarterly and matures in April 2034. See Note A and B.

A) Intermountain's obligations under the debentures issued to the trusts referred to above constitute a full and unconditional guarantee by Intermountain of the Statutory Trusts' obligations under the Trust Preferred Securities. In accordance with ASC 810, Consolidation, the trusts are not consolidated and the debentures and related amounts are treated as debt of Intermountain.

B) To conserve the liquid assets of the parent Company, the Company's Board of Directors decided to defer regularly scheduled interest payments on its outstanding Junior Subordinated Debentures related to its Trust Preferred Securities ("TRUPS Debentures") beginning in December 2009. The Company is permitted to defer payments of interest on the TRUPS Debentures for up to 20 consecutive quarterly periods without default. During the deferral period, the Company may not pay any dividends or distributions on, or redeem, purchase or acquire, or make a liquidation payment with respect to the Company's capital stock, or make any payment of principal or interest on, or repay, repurchase or redeem any debt securities of the Company that rank equally or junior to the TRUPS Debentures.

7. Earnings Per Share:

The following table presents the basic and diluted earnings per share computations (numbers in thousands):

Table of Contents

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|-----------------------------|------------|---------------------------|------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Numerator: | | | | |
| Net loss — basic and diluted | \$(605) | \$(2,497) | \$(604) | \$(6,807) |
| Preferred stock dividend | 448 | 428 | 891 | 847 |
| Net loss applicable to commons stockholders | \$(1,053) | \$(2,925) | \$(1,495) | \$(7,654) |
| Denominator: | | | | |
| Weighted average shares outstanding — basic | 8,409,786 | 8,388,128 | 8,403,177 | 8,380,265 |
| Dilutive effect of common stock options, warrants, restricted stock awards | — | — | — | — |
| Weighted average shares outstanding — diluted | 8,409,786 | 8,388,128 | 8,403,177 | 8,380,265 |
| Loss per share — basic and diluted: | | | | |
| Loss per share — basic | \$(0.13) | \$(0.35) | \$(0.18) | \$(0.91) |
| Effect of dilutive common stock options | — | — | — | — |
| Loss per share — diluted | \$(0.13) | \$(0.35) | \$(0.18) | \$(0.91) |
| Anti-dilutive securities not included in diluted earnings per share: | | | | |
| Common stock options | 175,444 | 242,157 | 175,444 | 242,157 |
| Common stock warrant | 653,226 | 653,226 | 653,226 | 653,226 |
| Restricted shares | 18,573 | 44,982 | 25,884 | 54,227 |
| Total anti-dilutive shares | 847,243 | 940,365 | 854,554 | 949,610 |

Common stock equivalents were calculated using the treasury stock method.

8. Operating Expenses:

The following table details Intermountain's components of total operating expenses (in thousands):

| | Three Months ended June 30, | | Six Months ended June 30, | |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------|---------------------------|----------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Salaries and employee benefits | \$4,887 | \$5,088 | \$9,833 | \$10,920 |
| Occupancy expense | 1,708 | 1,789 | 3,496 | 3,616 |
| Advertising | 214 | 273 | 344 | 495 |
| Fees and service charges | 632 | 697 | 1,283 | 1,349 |
| Printing, postage and supplies | 302 | 325 | 638 | 714 |
| Legal and accounting | 447 | 337 | 682 | 661 |
| FDIC Assessment | 331 | 471 | 776 | 939 |
| OREO operations | 150 | 1,380 | 626 | 2,410 |
| Other expense | 940 | 914 | 1,673 | 1,731 |
| Total operating expenses | \$9,611 | \$11,274 | \$19,351 | \$22,835 |

Salaries and employee benefits expense decreased \$1.1 million or 10.0%, over the six month period last year as a result of planned staff reductions implemented throughout 2010 and the first half of 2011. The employee full time equivalent ("FTE") number at June 30, 2011 totaled 332, a reduction of 37 FTEs, or 10.0%, from June 30, 2010. Severance expense for the six months ended June 30, 2011 was \$34,000 as compared to \$389,000 in the six months ended June 30, 2010. The Company continues to suspend salary increases and bonuses for executive officers, but reinstated merit increases for other employees in the first quarter of 2011.

Occupancy expenses decreased \$120,000, or 3.3%, for the six month period ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same period one year ago. The decrease reflects lower depreciation and rent expense as a result of reduced purchases of software and equipment and the termination of equipment and administrative office leases no longer needed. The advertising expense decrease of \$151,000 or 30.5% for the six month period compared to the same period one year ago is a

Table of Contents

result of reductions in general advertising and media expenses, as the Company has focused marketing efforts on more targeted audiences and reduced expenditures on broad print, yellow page and other media. Fees and service charges decreased \$66,000 for the six month period ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same period one year ago, as lower collection and credit service fees offset increased debit card and computer services expense. Printing, postage and supplies decreased \$76,000 for the six month period in comparison to last year's total, as a result of lower check printing, postage and statement rendering expenses. Legal and accounting fees increased by \$21,000 in comparison to the same six-month period in 2010 as cost reductions in legal services were offset by increased consulting expenses related to Company restructuring efforts.

The \$163,000 decrease in FDIC expenses for the six month period ended June 30, 2011 over last year primarily reflects changes to the FDIC assessment formula in the second quarter of 2011 and lower asset balances. OREO operations, related valuation adjustments and the gain or loss on sale of OREO decreased by \$1.8 million for the six month period over the same period last year, as a result of reductions in OREO balances and stabilizing property values.

Other expenses decreased \$58,000, or 3.4%, for the six month period over the same period last year, reflecting decreases in operational losses and training, travel, courier, armored car and meeting expenses.

9. Income Taxes:

Intermountain uses an estimate of future earnings, and an evaluation of its loss carryback ability and tax planning strategies to determine whether or not the benefit of its net deferred tax asset will be realized. At June 30, 2011, Intermountain assessed whether it was more likely than not that it would realize the benefits of its deferred tax asset. Intermountain determined that the negative evidence associated with a three-year cumulative loss for the period ended December 31, 2010, and challenging economic conditions continued to outweigh the positive evidence. Therefore, Intermountain maintained a valuation allowance of \$8.8 million against its deferred tax asset. The company analyzes the deferred tax asset on a quarterly basis and may recapture a portion or all of this allowance depending on future profitability. Including the valuation allowance, Intermountain had a net deferred tax asset of \$13.7 million as of June 30, 2011, compared to a net deferred tax asset of \$15.2 million as of December 31, 2010. The decrease in the net deferred tax asset is primarily due to the increase in the unrealized market value of the Company's investment portfolio at June 30, 2011 compared to December 31, 2010.

The completion of the \$70 million capital raise announced by Intermountain on April 6, 2011 which is expected to close in the third quarter of this year, is likely to trigger Internal Revenue Code Section 382 limitations on the amount of tax benefit from net operating loss carryforwards that the Company can recapture annually, because of the planned level of investments by several of the larger investors. This could impact the amount and timing of the recapture of the valuation allowance, largely depending on the level of market interest rates and the fair value of the Company's balance sheet at the time the announced offering is completed.

10. Derivative Financial Instruments:

Management uses derivative financial instruments to protect against the risk of interest rate movements on the value of certain assets and liabilities and on future cash flows. The instruments that have been used by the Company include interest rate swaps and cash flow hedges with indices that relate to the pricing of specific assets and liabilities. Derivative instruments have inherent risks, primarily market risk and credit risk. Market risk is associated with changes in interest rates and credit risk relates to the risk that the counterparty will fail to perform according to the terms of the agreement. The amounts potentially subject to market and credit risks are the streams of interest payments under the contracts and the market value of the derivative instrument which is determined based on the interaction of the notional amount of the contract with the underlying instrument, and not the notional principal amounts used to express the volume of the transactions.

Management monitors the market risk and credit risk associated with derivative financial instruments as part of its overall Asset/Liability management process.

In accordance with ASC 815, Derivatives and Hedging, the Company recognizes all derivative financial instruments in the consolidated financial statements at fair value regardless of the purpose or intent for holding the instrument.

Derivative financial instruments are included in other assets or other liabilities, as appropriate, on the Consolidated Balance Sheet. Changes in the fair value of derivative financial instruments are either recognized periodically in income or in stockholders' equity as a component of other comprehensive income depending on whether the derivative financial instrument qualifies for hedge accounting, and if so, whether it qualifies as a fair value hedge or cash flow hedge. Generally, changes in fair values of derivatives accounted for as fair value hedges are recorded in income in the same period and in the same income statement line as changes in the fair values of the hedged items that relate to the hedged risk(s). Changes in fair values of derivative financial instruments accounted for as cash flow hedges, to the extent they are effective hedges, are recorded as a component of other comprehensive income, net of deferred taxes. Changes in fair values of derivative financial instruments not qualifying as hedges pursuant to ASC 815 are reported in non-interest income. Derivative contracts are valued by the counter party and are periodically validated by management.

Interest Rate Swaps — Designated as Cash Flow Hedges

Table of Contents

The tables below identify the Company's interest rate swaps at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, which were entered into to hedge certain LIBOR-based trust preferred debentures and designated as cash flow hedges pursuant to ASC 815 (dollars in thousands):

| | | | June 30, 2011 | | |
|------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|----------------------|------------------|------------------------------|
| Maturity Date | Notional Amount | Fair Value (Loss) | Receive Rate (LIBOR) | Pay Rate (Fixed) | Type of Hedging Relationship |
| Pay Fixed, Receive Variable: | | | | | |
| October 2013 | \$8,248 | \$(791) | 0.28 | %4.58 | %Cash Flow |
| | | | December 31, 2010 | | |
| Maturity Date | Notional Amount | Fair Value (Loss) | Receive Rate (LIBOR) | Pay Rate (Fixed) | Type of Hedging Relationship |
| Pay Fixed, Receive Variable: | | | | | |
| October 2013 | \$8,248 | \$(892) | 0.29 | %4.58 | %Cash Flow |

The fair values, or unrealized losses, of \$791,000 at June 30, 2011 and \$892,000 at December 31, 2010 are included in other liabilities. The Company has deferred the interest payments on the related Trust Preferred borrowing beginning with the January 2010 scheduled remittance. As a result of the deferred interest payments, a calculation of the effectiveness of the hedge was prepared. It was concluded that although the hedge is generally effective, there is a small amount of ineffectiveness due to the delayed payments. The Company reversed \$90,000 in interest expense in the six months ended June 30, 2011 related to the ineffective portion of the hedge as the cash flow hedge became more effective. The changes in fair value, net of tax, are separately disclosed in the statement of changes in stockholders' equity as a component of comprehensive income (loss). Net cash flows from these interest rate swaps are included in interest expense on trust preferred debentures. The unrealized loss at June 30, 2011 is a component of comprehensive income (loss) for June 30, 2011. At June 30, 2011, Intermountain had \$582,000 in restricted cash, \$190,000 in Pacific Coast Bankers Bank stock, and 100% of Panhandle State Bank stock pledged as collateral for the cash flow hedge. The following table provides a reconciliation of cash flow hedges measured at fair value during the periods indicated (in thousands):

| | Six Months Ended | |
|---|------------------|---------------|
| | June 30, 2011 | June 30, 2010 |
| Unrealized loss at beginning of period | \$(892) | \$(678) |
| Amount of gross gain (loss) recognized in earnings gain (loss) | 90 | (90) |
| Amount of gross gain (loss) recognized in other comprehensive income gain(loss) | 11 | (161) |
| Unrealized loss at end of period | \$(791) | \$(929) |

Interest Rate Swaps — Not Designated as Hedging Instruments Under ASC 815

The Company has purchased certain derivative products to allow the Company to effectively convert a fixed rate loan to a variable rate payment stream. The Company economically hedges derivative transactions by entering into offsetting derivatives executed with third parties upon the origination of a fixed rate loan with a customer. Derivative transactions executed as part of this program are not designated as ASC 815 hedge relationships and are, therefore, marked to market through earnings each period. In most cases the derivatives have mirror-image terms to the underlying transaction being hedged, which result in the positions' changes in fair value offsetting completely through earnings each period. However, to the extent that the derivatives are not a mirror-image, changes in fair value will not

Edgar Filing: INTERMOUNTAIN COMMUNITY BANCORP - Form 10-Q

completely offset, resulting in some earnings impact each period. Changes in the fair value of these interest rate swaps are included in other non-interest income. The following table summarizes these interest rate swaps as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 (in thousands):

| | June 30, 2011 | | December 31, 2010 | | |
|---|---------------|------------|-------------------|------------|---|
| | Notional | Fair Value | Notional | Fair Value | |
| | Amount | Loss | Amount | Loss | |
| Interest rate swaps with third party financial institutions | \$2,559 | \$(91 |) \$2,559 | \$(38 |) |

Table of Contents

At June 30, 2011, loans receivable included (\$91,000) of derivative assets and other liabilities included \$0 of derivative assets related to these interest rate swap transactions. At June 30, 2011, the interest rate swaps had a maturity date of March 2019 and April 2024 and Intermountain had \$72,000 in restricted cash as collateral for the interest rate swaps.

11. Fair Value of Financial Instruments:

Fair value is defined under ASC 820-10 as the price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. In support of this principle ASC 820-10 establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the information used to develop those assumptions. The fair value hierarchy is as follows:

Level 1 inputs — Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the entity has the ability to access at the measurement date.

Level 2 inputs — Inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. These might include quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, and inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability, such as interest rates and yield curves that are observable at commonly quoted intervals.

Level 3 inputs — Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities. Level 3 assets and liabilities include financial instruments whose value is determined using pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies, or similar techniques, as well as instruments for which the determination of fair values requires significant management judgment or estimation.

The following table presents information about the Company's assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of June 30, 2011, and indicates the fair value hierarchy of the valuation techniques utilized by the Company to determine such fair value (in thousands).

| Description | Fair Value Measurements At June 30, 2011, Using | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|
| | Fair Value June 30, 2011 | Quoted Prices In Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |
| Available-for-Sale Securities: | | | | |
| U.S. treasury securities and obligations of U.S. government agencies | \$11,876 | \$— | \$11,876 | \$— |
| State and municipal securities | 7,544 | | 7,544 | |
| Residential mortgage backed securities ("MBS") | 190,644 | — | 161,278 | 29,366 |
| Other Assets — Derivative | (91) |) — | — | (91) |
| Total Assets Measured at Fair Value | \$209,973 | \$— | \$180,698 | \$29,275 |
| Other Liabilities — Derivatives | \$791 | \$— | \$— | \$791 |

Table of Contents

| Description | Fair Value Measurements At December 31, 2010 Using | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|
| | Fair Value | Quoted Prices In Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |
| Available-for-Sale Securities: | | | | |
| U.S. treasury securities and obligations of U.S. government agencies | \$3,925 | \$— | \$3,925 | \$— |
| State and municipal securities | 5,230 | | 5,230 | |
| Residential mortgage backed securities (“MBS”) | 173,926 | — | 144,412 | 29,514 |
| Other Assets — Derivative | (38 |) — | — | (38 |
| Total Assets Measured at Fair Value | \$183,043 | \$— | \$153,567 | \$29,476 |
| Other Liabilities — Derivatives | \$892 | \$— | \$— | \$892 |

The changes in Level 3 assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of June 30, 2011 are summarized as follows (in thousands):

| Description | Fair Value Measurements Using Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | | |
|--|--|-------------|------------|
| | Residential MBS | Derivatives | Total |
| January 1, 2011 Balance | \$29,514 | \$(38 |) \$29,476 |
| Total gains or losses (realized/unrealized) included in earnings | — | (53 |) (53 |
| Included in other comprehensive income | 1,833 | — | 1,833 |
| Principal Payments | (1,981 |) — | (1,981 |
| Sales of Securities | — | — | — |
| Transfers in and /or out of Level 3 | — | — | — |
| June 30, 2011 Balance | \$29,366 | \$(91 |) \$29,275 |

| Description | Fair Value Measurements Using Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | |
|--|---|-------|
| | Derivatives | Total |
| January 1, 2011 Balance | \$892 | |
| Total gains or losses (realized/unrealized) included in earnings | (90) | |
| Included in other comprehensive income | (11) | |
| June 30, 2011 Balance | \$791 | |

| Description | Fair Value Measurements Using Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | | |
|-------------------------|--|-------------|----------|
| | Residential MBS | Derivatives | Total |
| January 1, 2010 Balance | \$32,236 | \$57 | \$32,293 |

Edgar Filing: INTERMOUNTAIN COMMUNITY BANCORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|--|----------|-------|------------|---|
| Total gains or losses (realized/unrealized) included in earnings | (930 |) (95 |) (1,025 |) |
| Included in other comprehensive income | 5,642 | — | 5,642 | |
| Principal Payments | (4,971 |) — | (4,971 |) |
| Sales of Securities | (2,463 |) — | (2,463 |) |
| Transfers in and /or out of Level 3 | — | — | — | |
| December 31, 2010 Balance | \$29,514 | \$(38 |) \$29,476 | |

Table of Contents

| Description | Fair Value Measurements Using Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |
|--|--|
| | Derivatives |
| January 1, 2010 Balance | \$678 |
| Total gains or losses (realized/unrealized) included in earnings Included in other comprehensive income | 178 36 |
| December 31, 2010 Balance | \$892 |

Intermountain may be required, from time to time, to measure certain other financial assets at fair value on a non-recurring basis. The following table presents the carrying value for these financial assets as of June 30, 2011 (in thousands):

| Description | Fair Value Measurements At June 30, 2011, Using | | | |
|--|--|--|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| | Fair Value | Quoted Prices In Active Markets for Identical Assets | Other Observable Inputs | Significant Unobservable Inputs |
| | June 30, 2011 | (Level 1) | (Level 2) | (Level 3) |
| Loans(1) | \$31,957 | \$— | \$— | \$31,957 |
| OREO | 7,818 | — | — | 7,818 |
| Net Deferred Tax Asset, net of valuation | 13,737 | — | — | 13,737 |
| Total Assets Measured at Fair Value | \$53,512 | \$— | \$— | \$53,512 |

(1) Represents impaired loans, net of allowance for loan loss, which are included in loans.

| Description | Fair Value Measurements At December 31, 2010, Using | | | |
|--|--|---|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| | Fair Value | Quoted Prices In Active Markets for Identical Assets | Other Observable Inputs | Significant Unobservable Inputs |
| | December 31, 2010 | (Level 1) | (Level 2) | (Level 3) |
| Loans(1) | \$32,348 | \$— | \$— | \$32,348 |
| OREO | 4,429 | — | — | 4,429 |
| Net Deferred Tax Asset, net of valuation | 15,291 | — | — | 15,291 |
| Total Assets Measured at Fair Value | \$52,068 | \$— | \$— | \$52,068 |

(1) Represents impaired loans, net of allowance for loan loss, which are included in loans.

The loans above represent impaired loans that have been adjusted to fair value. When a loan is identified as impaired, the impairment is measured using either the present value of the estimated future cash flows of the loan or for loans that are collateral dependent, the current fair value of the collateral, less selling costs. Depending on the characteristics of a loan, the fair value of collateral is generally estimated by obtaining external appraisals or other market-based

valuation methods. If the value of the impaired loan is determined to be less than the recorded investment in the loan, the impairment is recognized and the carrying value of the loan is adjusted to fair value through the allowance for loan and lease losses. The carrying value of loans fully charged-off is zero.

OREO represents real estate which the Company has taken control of in partial or full satisfaction of loans. At the time of foreclosure, OREO is recorded at the lower of the carrying amount of the loan or fair value less costs to sell, which becomes the property's new basis. Any write-downs based on the asset's fair value at the date of acquisition are charged to the allowance for loan and lease losses. After foreclosure, management periodically performs valuations such that the real estate is carried at the lower of its new cost basis or fair value, net of estimated costs to sell. Fair value adjustments on other real estate owned are recognized within net loss on real estate owned as a component of non-interest expense.

The net deferred tax asset valuation includes a valuation allowance that was recognized in the third and fourth quarter of 2010. Intermountain uses an estimate of future earnings, and an evaluation of its loss carryback ability and tax planning strategies to

Table of Contents

determine whether or not the benefit of its net deferred tax asset will be realized. Intermountain assessed whether it was more likely than not that it would realize the benefits of its deferred tax asset. During the third quarter of 2010, Intermountain determined that the negative evidence associated with a three-year cumulative loss and continued depressed economic conditions outweighed the positive evidence. As a result, Intermountain established a valuation allowance of \$7.4 million against its deferred tax asset. The Company added an additional \$1.4 million valuation allowance against its deferred tax asset in the fourth quarter of 2010. The Company analyzes the deferred tax asset on a quarterly basis and may recapture a portion or all of this allowance depending on future profitability. At June 30, 2011, the net deferred tax asset totaled \$13.7 million, net of a deferred tax asset valuation of \$8.8 million. The following is a further description of the principal valuation methods used by the Company to estimate the fair values of its financial instruments.

Securities

The fair values of securities, other than those categorized as level 3 described above, are based principally on market prices and dealer quotes. Certain fair values are estimated using pricing models or are based on comparisons to market prices of similar securities. The fair value of stock in the FHLB equals its carrying amount since such stock is only redeemable at its par value.

Available for Sale Securities. Securities totaling \$161.3 million classified as available for sale are reported at fair value utilizing Level 2 inputs. For these securities, the Company obtained fair value measurements from an independent pricing service and internally validated these measurements. The fair value measurements consider observable data that may include dealer quotes, market spreads, cash flows, the U.S. Treasury yield curve, live trading levels, trade execution data, market consensus, prepayment speeds, credit information and the bond's terms and conditions, among other things.

The available for sale portfolio also includes \$29.4 million in super senior or senior tranche collateralized mortgage obligations not backed by a government or other agency guarantee. These securities are collateralized by fixed rate prime or Alt A mortgages, are structured to provide credit support to the senior tranches, and are carefully analyzed and monitored by management. Because of disruptions in the current market for mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations, an active market did not exist for these securities at June 30, 2011. This is evidenced by a significant widening in the bid-ask spread for these types of securities and the limited volume of actual trades made. As a result, less reliance can be placed on easily observable market data, such as pricing on transactions involving similar types of securities, in determining their current fair value. As such, significant adjustments were required to determine the fair value at the June 30, 2011 measurement date. These securities are valued using Level 3 inputs.

In valuing these securities, the Company utilized the same independent pricing service as for its other available-for-sale securities and internally validated these measurements. In addition, it utilized FHLB indications, which are backed by significant experience in whole-loan collateralized mortgage obligation valuation and another market source to derive independent valuations and used this data to evaluate and adjust the original values derived. In addition to the observable market-based input including dealer quotes, market spreads, live trading levels and execution data, both the pricing service and the FHLB pricing also employed a present-value income model that considered the nature and timing of the cash flows and the relative risk of receiving the anticipated cash flows as agreed. The discount rates used were based on a risk-free rate, adjusted by a risk premium for each security. In accordance with the requirements of ASC 820-10, the Company has determined that the risk-adjusted discount rates utilized appropriately reflect the Company's best estimate of the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the assets in a current transaction to sell the asset at the measurement date. Risks include nonperformance risk (that is, default risk and collateral value risk) and liquidity risk (that is, the compensation that a market participant receives for buying an asset that is difficult to sell under current market conditions). To the extent possible, the pricing services and the Company validated the results from these models with independently observable data.

In evaluating securities in the investment portfolio for OTTI, the Company evaluated the following factors:

- The length of time and the extent to which the market value of the securities has been lower than their cost;
- The financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer or obligation, including any specific events, which may influence the operations of the issuer or obligation such as credit defaults and losses in mortgages underlying the

security, changes in technology that impair the earnings potential of the investment or the discontinuation of a segment of the business that may affect the future earnings potential; and

• The intent and ability of the Company to retain its investment in the issuer for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in market value.

Based on the factors above, the Company has determined that two securities were subject to OTTI as of June 30, 2011. The following table presents the OTTI losses for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and June 30, 2010 (in thousands):

Table of Contents

| | 2011 | | 2010 | |
|---|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|
| | Held To | Available | Held To | Available |
| | Maturity | For Sale | Maturity | For Sale |
| Total other-than-temporary impairment losses | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$1,529 |
| Portion of other-than-temporary impairment losses transferred from (recognized in) other comprehensive income (1) | — | — | — | (1,273) |
| Net impairment losses recognized in earnings (2) | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$256 |

(1) Represents other-than-temporary impairment losses related to all other factors.

(2) Represents other-than-temporary impairment losses related to credit losses.

The existing OTTI recognized on investment securities available for sale primarily relates to two non-agency collateralized mortgage obligations. Each of these securities holds various levels of credit subordination. These securities were valued by third-party pricing services using matrix or model pricing methodologies and were corroborated by broker indicative bids. We estimated the cash flows of the underlying collateral for each security considering credit, interest and prepayment risk models that incorporate management's estimate of projected key assumptions including prepayment rates, collateral default rates and loss severity. Assumptions utilized vary from security to security, and are influenced by factors such as underlying loan interest rates, geographic location, borrower characteristics, vintage, and historical experience. We then used a third party to obtain information about the structure of each security, including subordination and other credit enhancements, in order to determine how the underlying collateral cash flows will be distributed to each security issued in the structure. These cash flows were then discounted at the interest rate used to recognize interest income on each security. We review the actual collateral performance of these securities on a quarterly basis and update the inputs as appropriate to determine the projected cash flows.

Loans. Loans are generally not recorded at fair value on a recurring basis. Periodically, the Company records nonrecurring adjustments to the carrying value of loans based on fair value measurements for partial charge-offs of the uncollectible portions

of those loans. Nonrecurring adjustments also include certain impairment amounts for impaired loans when establishing the allowance for credit losses. Such amounts are generally based on either the estimated fair value of the cash flows to be received or the fair value of the underlying collateral supporting the loan less selling costs. Real estate collateral on these loans and the Company's OREO is typically valued using appraisals or other indications of value based on recent comparable sales of similar properties or assumptions generally observable in the marketplace. Management reviews these valuations and makes additional valuation adjustments, as necessary, including subtracting estimated costs of liquidating the collateral or selling the OREO. The related nonrecurring fair value measurement adjustments have generally been classified as Level 3 because of the significant assumptions required estimating future cash flows on these loans, and the rapidly changing and uncertain collateral values underlying the loans. Extreme volatility and the lack of relevant and current sales data in the Company's market areas for various types of collateral create additional uncertainties and require the use of multiple sources and management judgment to make adjustments. Loans subject to nonrecurring fair value measurement were \$43.9 million at June 30, 2011, all of which were classified as Level 3.

Other Real Estate Owned. At the applicable foreclosure date, OREO is recorded at fair value of the real estate, less the estimated costs to sell the real estate. Subsequently, OREO is carried at the lower of cost or net realizable value (fair value less estimated selling costs), and is periodically assessed for impairment based on fair value at the reporting date. Fair value is determined from external appraisals and other valuations using judgments and estimates of external professionals. Many of these inputs are not observable and, accordingly, these measurements are classified as Level 3. The Company's OREO at June 30, 2011 totaled \$7.8 million, all of which was classified as Level 3.

Interest Rate Swaps. During the third quarter of 2008, the Company entered into an interest rate swap contract with Pacific Coast Bankers Bank. The purpose of the \$8.2 million notional value swap is to convert the variable rate payments made on the Trust Preferred I obligation (see Note 6 — Other Borrowings) to a series of fixed rate payments for five years, as a hedging strategy to help manage the Company's interest-rate risk. This contract is carried as an asset

or liability at fair value, and as of June 30, 2011, it was a liability with a fair value of \$791,000.

During the first quarter of 2009, the Company entered into an interest rate swap contract with Pacific Coast Bankers Bank. The purpose of the \$1.6 million notional value swap is to convert the fixed rate payments earned on a loan receivable to a series of variable rate payments for ten years, as a hedging strategy to help manage the Company's interest-rate risk. This contract is carried as an asset or liability at fair value, and as of June 30, 2011, it was an asset with a fair value of (\$68,000). During the second quarter of 2009, the Company entered into an interest rate swap contract with Pacific Coast Bankers Bank. The purpose of the \$1.0 million notional value swap is to convert the fixed rate payments earned on a loan receivable to a series of variable rate payments for ten years, as a hedging strategy to help manage the Company's interest-rate risk. This contract is carried as an asset or liability at fair value, and as of June 30, 2011, it was an asset with a fair value of (\$23,000).

Table of Contents

Intermountain is required to disclose the estimated fair value of financial instruments, both assets and liabilities on and off the balance sheet, for which it is practicable to estimate fair value. These fair value estimates are made at June 30, 2011 based on relevant market information and information about the financial instruments. Fair value estimates are intended to represent the price an asset could be sold at or the price a liability could be settled for. However, given there is no active market or observable market transactions for many of the Company's financial instruments, the Company has made estimates of many of these fair values which are subjective in nature, involve uncertainties and matters of significant judgment and therefore cannot be determined with precision. Changes in assumptions could significantly affect the estimated values.

The estimated fair value of the financial instruments as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, are as follows (in thousands):

| | June 30, 2011 | | December 31, 2010 | |
|--|-----------------|------------|-------------------|------------|
| | Carrying Amount | Fair Value | Carrying Amount | Fair Value |
| Financial assets: | | | | |
| Cash, cash equivalents, restricted cash and federal funds sold | \$94,127 | \$94,127 | \$147,956 | \$147,956 |
| Interest bearing certificates of deposit | — | — | — | — |
| Available-for-sale securities | 210,064 | 210,064 | 183,081 | 183,081 |
| Held-to-maturity securities | 22,154 | 22,668 | 22,217 | 22,112 |
| Loans held for sale | 1,615 | 1,615 | 3,425 | 3,425 |
| Loans receivable, net | 548,195 | 561,829 | 563,228 | 578,080 |
| Accrued interest receivable | 4,183 | 4,183 | 4,360 | 4,360 |
| BOLI | 8,946 | 8,946 | 8,765 | 8,765 |
| Financial liabilities: | | | | |
| Deposit liabilities | 736,029 | 700,798 | 778,833 | 741,426 |
| Borrowings | 150,214 | 150,852 | 155,643 | 156,200 |
| Accrued interest payable | 1,484 | 1,484 | 1,406 | 1,406 |

The methods and assumptions used to estimate the fair values of each class of financial instruments are as follows:

Cash, Cash Equivalents, Federal Funds and Certificates of Deposit

The carrying value of cash, cash equivalents, federal funds sold and certificates of deposit approximates fair value due to the relatively short-term nature of these instruments.

Investments and BOLI

See the discussion above regarding the fair values of investment securities. The fair value of BOLI is equal to the cash surrender value of the life insurance policies.

Loans Receivable and Loans Held For Sale

The fair value of performing mortgage loans, commercial real estate, construction, consumer and commercial loans is estimated by discounting the cash flows using interest rates that consider the interest rate risk inherent in the loans and current economic and lending conditions. See the above discussion for fair valuation of impaired loans. Non-accrual loans are assumed to be carried at their current fair value and therefore are not adjusted.

Deposits

The fair values for deposits subject to immediate withdrawal such as interest and non-interest bearing checking, savings and money market deposit accounts are discounted using market rates for replacement dollars and using Company and industry statistics for decay/maturity dates. The carrying amounts for variable-rate certificates of deposit and other time deposits approximate their fair value at the reporting date. Fair values for fixed-rate certificates of deposit are estimated by discounting future cash flows using interest rates currently offered on time deposits with similar remaining maturities.

Borrowings

The carrying amounts of short-term borrowings under repurchase agreements approximate their fair values due to the relatively short period of time between the origination of the instruments and their expected payment. The fair value of long-term FHLB

Table of Contents

Seattle advances and other long-term borrowings is estimated using discounted cash flow analyses based on the Company's current incremental borrowing rates for similar types of borrowing arrangements with similar remaining terms.

Accrued Interest

The carrying amounts of accrued interest payable and receivable approximate their fair value.

12. Subsequent Events:

Intermountain performed an evaluation of subsequent events through the date this report was filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

13. New Accounting Pronouncements:

In July 2010, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (the "FASB") issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") 2010-20, "Disclosures about the Credit Quality of Financing Receivables and the Allowance for Credit Losses." This update amends codification topic 310 on receivables to improve the disclosures that an entity provides about the credit quality of its financing receivables and the related allowance for credit losses. As a result of these amendments, an entity is required to disaggregate by portfolio segment or class certain existing disclosures and provide certain new disclosures about its financing receivables and related allowance for credit losses. This guidance was phased in, with the new disclosure requirements for period end balances effective as of December 31, 2010, and the new disclosure requirements for activity during the reporting period effective March 31, 2011. The troubled debt restructuring disclosures in this ASU have been delayed by ASU 2011-01, "Deferral of the Effective Date of Disclosures about Troubled Debt Restructurings in Update No. 2010-20," which was issued in January 2011.

In April 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-2, "A Creditor's Determination of Whether a Restructuring Is a Troubled Debt Restructuring." This update to codification topic 310 provides guidance for what constitutes a concession, as well as clarity for determining whether a debtor is experiencing financial difficulties. The amendments in this update are effective for the Company on July 1, 2011, with retrospective application from January 1, 2011. This update is not expected to have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In April, 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-03, "Reconsideration of Effective Control for Repurchase Agreements." The amendments in this ASU remove from the assessment of effective control the criteria relating to the transferor's ability to repurchase or redeem financial assets on substantially the agreed terms, even in the event of default by the transferee. The amendments in this ASU also eliminate the requirement to demonstrate that the transferor possesses adequate collateral to fund substantially all the cost of purchasing replacement financial assets. The guidance in this ASU is effective for the first interim or annual period beginning on or after December 15, 2011. The guidance should be applied prospectively to transactions or modifications of existing transactions that occur on or after the effective date. Early adoption is not permitted. The Company will adopt the methodologies prescribed by this ASU by the date required, and does not anticipate that the ASU will have a material effect on its financial position or results of operations.

In May, 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-04, "Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs." The amendments in this ASU generally represent clarifications of Topic 820, but also include some instances where a particular principle or requirement for measuring fair value or disclosing information about fair value measurements has changed. This ASU results in common principles and requirements for measuring fair value and for disclosing information about fair value measurements in accordance with U.S. GAAP and IFRSs. The amendments in this ASU are to be applied prospectively. For public entities, the amendments are effective during interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. Early application by public entities is not permitted. The Company will adopt the methodologies prescribed by this ASU by the date required, and does not anticipate that the ASU will have a material effect on its financial position or results of operations.

In June, 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-05, "Amendments to Topic 220, Comprehensive Income." Under the amendments in this ASU, an entity has the option to present the total of comprehensive income, the components of net

income, and the components of other comprehensive income either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. In both choices, an entity is required to present each component of net income along with total net income, each component of other comprehensive income along with a total for other comprehensive income, and a total amount for comprehensive income. This ASU eliminates the option to present the components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of changes in stockholders' equity. The amendments in this ASU do not change the items that must be reported in other comprehensive income or when an item of other comprehensive income must be reclassified to net income. The amendments in this ASU should be applied retrospectively. For public entities, the amendments are effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011. Early adoption is permitted, because compliance with the amendments is already permitted. The amendments do not require any transition disclosures. Due to the recency of this pronouncement, the Company is evaluating

Table of Contents

its timing of adoption of ASU 2011-05, but will adopt the ASU retrospectively by the due date.

Item 2 — Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

This report contains forward-looking statements. For a discussion about such statements, including the risks and uncertainties inherent therein, see “Forward-Looking Statements.” Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes presented elsewhere in this report and in Intermountain’s Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

General (Overview & History)

Intermountain Community Bancorp (“Intermountain” or the “Company”) is a bank holding company registered under the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended. The Company was formed as Panhandle Bancorp in October 1997 under the laws of the State of Idaho in connection with a holding company reorganization of Panhandle State Bank (the “Bank”) that was approved by the stockholders on November 19, 1997 and became effective on January 27, 1998. In September 2000, Panhandle Bancorp changed its name to Intermountain Community Bancorp.

Panhandle State Bank, a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company, was first opened in 1981 to serve the local banking needs of Bonner County, Idaho. Panhandle State Bank is regulated by the Idaho Department of Finance, the State of Washington Department of Financial Institutions, the Oregon Division of Finance and Corporate Securities and by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (“FDIC”), its primary federal regulator and the insurer of its deposits. Since opening in 1981, the Bank has continued to grow by opening additional branch offices throughout Idaho and has also expanded into the states of Oregon and Washington. During 1999, the Bank opened its first branch under the name of Intermountain Community Bank, a division of Panhandle State Bank, in Payette, Idaho. Over the next ten years, the Bank continued to open and acquire branches under both the Intermountain Community Bank and Panhandle State Bank names. In 2004, Intermountain acquired Snake River Bancorp, Inc. (“Snake River”) and its subsidiary bank, Magic Valley Bank, and the Bank now operates three branches under the Magic Valley Bank name in south central Idaho. In 2006, Intermountain also opened its Trust & Investment Services division, which provides investment, insurance, wealth management and trust services to its clients.

The national economic recession and continuing soft local markets have slowed the Company’s growth over the past several years. In response, Company management shifted its priorities to improving asset quality, maintaining a conservative balance sheet and improving the efficiency of its operations. Significant progress has been made in these areas, allowing management to begin seeking prudent growth opportunities again.

Intermountain offers banking and financial services that fit the needs of the communities it serves. Lending activities include consumer, commercial, commercial real estate, residential construction, mortgage and agricultural loans. A full range of deposit services are available including checking, savings and money market accounts as well as various types of certificates of deposit. Trust and wealth management services, investment and insurance services, and business cash management solutions round out the Company’s product offerings.

Business Strategy & Opportunities

Intermountain seeks to differentiate itself by attracting, retaining and motivating highly experienced employees who are local market leaders, and supporting them with advanced technology, training and compensation systems. This approach allows the Bank to provide local marketing and decision-making to respond quickly to customer opportunities and build leadership in its communities. Simultaneously, the Bank has focused on standardizing and centralizing administrative and operational functions to improve risk management, efficiency and the ability of the branches to serve customers effectively.

The Company’s strengths include a loyal and low-cost deposit base, a strong net interest margin, a sophisticated and increasingly effective risk management system, a seasoned and effective special assets group, and a strong operational and compliance infrastructure. In the current slow-growth environment, the Company is leveraging these strengths to further reduce risk on its balance sheet, lower interest and non-interest expense and begin exploring prudent growth

opportunities. In particular, Company management is focused on the following:

- Maintaining a conservative balance sheet and effectively managing Company risk amidst a still uncertain economic and regulatory environment.

- Increasing and diversifying its loan origination activity by pursuing attractive small and mid-market commercial credits in its markets, originating commercial real estate loans to strong borrowers at lower real estate prices, originating and

30

Table of Contents

seasoning mortgage loans to strong borrowers at conservative loan-to-values in rural and smaller suburban areas not well-served by current secondary market appraisal standards, expanding and diversifying its agricultural portfolio, and expanding its already strong government-guaranteed loan marketing efforts.

Increasing the efficiency of its operations by restructuring processes, re-negotiating contracts and rationalizing various business functions.

Increasing local, transactional deposit balances while continuing to minimize interest expense by increasing referral activity and targeting specific business and non-profit groups.

Offsetting anticipated regulatory pressures on current non-interest income streams by expanding its trust, investment and insurance sales, restructuring current product pricing plans, and pursuing opportunities to diversify into new fee-based programs serving both its existing clientele and new potential markets.

In further pursuit of these goals, on April 6, 2011, the Company announced that it had entered into securities purchase agreements with certain accredited investors (“Investors”), pursuant to which it expects to raise aggregate gross proceeds of \$70 million, subject to bank regulatory approvals and confirmations and satisfaction of other customary closing conditions, through the issuance and sale of 70 million shares of common stock at \$1.00 per share in a private placement. The Company and certain of its Investors submitted the required regulatory applications in mid-April and management anticipates closing the transactions in the third quarter.

The Company also plans to conduct a \$5 million rights offering after the closing of the initial capital raise that will allow existing shareholders to purchase common shares at the same purchase price per share as the Investors. The Company expects to use the proceeds from the capital raise and the rights offering to make capital contributions to and strengthen the balance sheet of the Bank, for other general corporate purposes and as otherwise provided for in the agreements.

If the capital raise is completed, management believes the Company will hold one of the strongest regulatory capital positions in its peer group. The new capital would allow the Company additional flexibility to pursue the above goals. In addition, management believes that disruption and consolidation in the market may lead to other opportunities as well, either through direct acquisition of other banks or by capitalizing on opportunities created by market disruption to attract strong new employees and customers.

Critical Accounting Policies

The accounting and reporting policies of Intermountain conform to Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (“GAAP”) and to general practices within the banking industry. The preparation of the financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Intermountain’s management has identified the accounting policies described below as those that, due to the judgments, estimates and assumptions inherent in those policies, are critical to an understanding of Intermountain’s Consolidated Financial Statements and Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

Income Recognition. Intermountain recognizes interest income by methods that conform to general accounting practices within the banking industry. In the event management believes collection of all or a portion of contractual interest on a loan has become doubtful, which generally occurs after the loan is 90 days past due or because of other borrower or loan indications, Intermountain discontinues the accrual of interest and reverses any previously accrued interest recognized in income deemed uncollectible. Interest received on nonperforming loans is included in income only if recovery of the principal is reasonably assured. A nonperforming loan may be restored to accrual status if it is brought current and has performed in accordance with contractual terms for a reasonable period of time, and the collectability of the total contractual principal and interest is no longer in doubt.

Allowance For Loan Losses. In general, determining the amount of the allowance for loan losses requires significant judgment and the use of estimates by management. This analysis is designed to determine an appropriate level and allocation of the allowance for losses among loan types and loan classifications by considering factors affecting loan losses, including: specific losses; levels and trends in impaired and nonperforming loans; historical bank and industry loan loss experience; current national and local economic conditions; volume, growth and composition of the portfolio; regulatory guidance; and other relevant factors. Management monitors the loan portfolio

to evaluate the adequacy of the allowance. The allowance can increase or decrease based upon the results of management's analysis.

The amount of the allowance for the various loan types represents management's estimate of probable incurred losses inherent in the existing loan portfolio based upon historical bank and industry loan loss experience for each loan type. The allowance for loan losses related to impaired loans is based on the fair value of the collateral for collateral dependent loans, and on the present value of expected cash flows for non-collateral dependent loans. For collateral dependent loans, this evaluation requires management to make estimates of the value of the collateral and any associated holding and selling costs, and for non-collateral dependent loans, estimates on the timing and risk associated with the receipt of contractual cash flows.

Individual loan reviews are based upon specific quantitative and qualitative criteria, including the size of the loan, loan quality

Table of Contents

classifications, value of collateral, repayment ability of borrowers, and historical experience factors. The historical experience factors utilized are based upon past loss experience, trends in losses and delinquencies, the growth of loans in particular markets and industries, and known changes in economic conditions in the particular lending markets. Allowances for homogeneous loans (such as residential mortgage loans, personal loans, etc.) are collectively evaluated based upon historical bank and industry loan loss experience, trends in losses and delinquencies, growth of loans in particular markets, and known changes in economic conditions in each particular lending market.

Management believes the allowance for loan losses was adequate at June 30, 2011. While management uses available information to provide for loan losses, the ultimate collectability of a substantial portion of the loan portfolio and the need for future additions to the allowance will be based on changes in economic conditions and other relevant factors. A further slowdown in economic activity could adversely affect cash flows for both commercial and individual borrowers, as a result of which the Company could experience increases in nonperforming assets, delinquencies and losses on loans.

A reserve for unfunded commitments is maintained at a level that, in the opinion of management, is adequate to absorb probable losses associated with the Bank's commitment to lend funds under existing agreements such as letters or lines of credit. Management determines the adequacy of the reserve for unfunded commitments based upon reviews of individual credit facilities, current economic conditions, the risk characteristics of the various categories of commitments and other relevant factors. The reserve is based on estimates, and ultimate losses may vary from the current estimates. These estimates are evaluated on a regular basis and, as adjustments become necessary, they are recognized in earnings in the periods in which they become known through charges to other non-interest expense. Draws on unfunded commitments that are considered uncollectible at the time funds are advanced are charged to the reserve for unfunded commitments. Provisions for unfunded commitment losses, and recoveries on commitment advances previously charged-off, are added to the reserve for unfunded commitments, which is included in the accrued expenses and other liabilities section of the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition.

Investments. Assets in the investment portfolio are initially recorded at cost, which includes any premiums and discounts. Intermountain amortizes premiums and discounts as an adjustment to interest income using the interest yield method over the life of the security. The cost of investment securities sold, and any resulting gain or loss, is based on the specific identification method.

Management determines the appropriate classification of investment securities at the time of purchase.

Held-to-maturity securities are those securities that Intermountain has the intent and ability to hold to maturity, and are recorded at amortized cost. Available-for-sale securities are those securities that would be available to be sold in the future in response to liquidity needs, changes in market interest rates, and asset-liability management strategies, among others. Available-for-sale securities are reported at fair value, with unrealized holding gains and losses reported in stockholders' equity as a separate component of other comprehensive income, net of applicable deferred income taxes.

Management evaluates investment securities for other-than-temporary declines in fair value on a periodic basis. If the fair value of an investment security falls below its amortized cost and the decline is deemed to be other-than-temporary, the security's fair value will be analyzed based on market conditions and expected cash flows on the investment security. The unrealized loss is considered an other-than-temporary impairment. The Company then calculates a credit loss charge against earnings by subtracting the estimated present value of estimated future cash flows on the security from its amortized cost. The other-than-temporary impairment less the credit loss charge against earnings is a component of other comprehensive income. At June 30, 2011, residential mortgage-backed securities included two securities comprised of pools of mortgages with a combined remaining unpaid principal balance of \$9.9 million. Their fair value was determined to be \$7.6 million at June 30, 2011, based on analytical modeling taking into consideration a range of factors normally found in an orderly market. No credit loss impairment charges were incurred for the quarter ended June 30, 2011. Based on an analysis of projected cash flows, a total of \$1.4 million has been charged to earnings as a credit loss, including \$828,000 in 2010. The remaining \$1.9 million was recognized in other comprehensive income. Charges to income could occur in future periods due to a change in management's intent to hold the investments to maturity, a change in management's assessment of credit risk, or a change in regulatory or accounting requirements.

Other Real Estate Owned. Property acquired through foreclosure of defaulted mortgage loans is carried at the lower of cost or fair value less estimated costs to sell. At the applicable foreclosure date, OREO is recorded at fair value of the real estate, less the estimated costs to sell the real estate. Subsequently, OREO is carried at the lower of cost or fair value, and is periodically re-assessed for impairment based on fair value at the reporting date. Development and improvement costs relating to the property are capitalized to the extent they are deemed to be recoverable. Intermountain reviews its OREO for impairment in value on a periodic basis and whenever events or circumstances indicate that the carrying value of the property may not be recoverable. In performing the review, if expected future undiscounted cash flow from the use of the property or the fair value, less selling costs, from the disposition of the property is less than its carrying value, a loss is recognized. Because of rapid declines in real estate values in the current distressed environment, management has increased the frequency and intensity of its valuation analysis on its OREO properties. As a result of this analysis, carrying values on some of these properties have been reduced, and it is reasonably possible that the carrying values could be reduced again in the near term.

Table of Contents

Fair Value Measurements. ASC 820 “Fair Value Measurements” establishes a standard framework for measuring fair value in GAAP, clarifies the definition of “fair value” within that framework, and expands disclosures about the use of fair value measurements. A number of valuation techniques are used to determine the fair value of assets and liabilities in Intermountain’s financial statements. These include quoted market prices for securities, interest rate swap valuations based upon the modeling of termination values adjusted for credit spreads with counterparties, and appraisals of real estate from independent licensed appraisers, among other valuation techniques. Fair value measurements for assets and liabilities where there exists limited or no observable market data are based primarily upon estimates, and are often calculated based on the economic and competitive environment, the characteristics of the asset or liability and other factors. Therefore, the results cannot be determined with precision and may not be realized in an actual sale or immediate settlement of the asset or liability. Additionally, there are inherent weaknesses in any calculation technique, and changes in the underlying assumptions used, including discount rates and estimates of future cash flows, could significantly affect the results of current or future values. Significant changes in the aggregate fair value of assets and liabilities required to be measured at fair value or for impairment will be recognized in the income statement under the framework established by GAAP. If impairment is determined, it could limit the ability of Intermountain’s banking subsidiary to pay dividends or make other payments to the Company. See Note 11 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for more information on fair value measurements.

Derivative Financial Instruments and Hedging Activities. In various aspects of its business, the Company uses derivative financial instruments to modify its exposure to changes in interest rates and market prices for other financial instruments. Many of these derivative financial instruments are designated as hedges for financial accounting purposes. Intermountain’s hedge accounting policy requires the assessment of hedge effectiveness, identification of similar hedged item groupings, and measurement of changes in the fair value of hedged items. If, in the future, the derivative financial instruments identified as hedges no longer qualify for hedge accounting treatment, changes in the fair value of these hedged items would be recognized in current period earnings, and the impact on the consolidated results of operations and reported earnings could be significant.

Income Taxes. Income taxes are accounted for using the asset and liability method. Under this method a deferred tax asset or liability is determined based on the enacted tax rates which will be in effect when the differences between the financial statement carrying amounts and tax basis of existing assets and liabilities are expected to be reported in the Company’s income tax returns. The effect on deferred taxes of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date. Valuation allowances are established to reduce the net carrying amount of deferred tax assets if it is determined to be more likely than not, that all or some portion of the potential deferred tax asset will not be realized. The Company uses an estimate of future earnings, an evaluation of its loss carryback ability and tax planning strategies to determine whether or not the benefit of its net deferred tax asset may be realized. The analysis used to determine whether a valuation allowance is required and if so, the amount of the allowance, is based on estimates of future taxable income and the effectiveness of future tax planning strategies. These estimates require significant management judgment about future economic conditions and Company performance.

At June 30, 2011, Intermountain assessed whether it was more likely than not that it would realize the benefits of its deferred tax asset. Intermountain determined that the negative evidence associated with a three-year cumulative loss for the period ended December 31, 2010, and challenging economic conditions continued to outweigh the positive evidence. Therefore, Intermountain maintained a valuation allowance of \$8.8 million against its deferred tax asset. The company analyzes the deferred tax asset on a quarterly basis and may recapture a portion or all of this allowance depending on future profitability. Including the valuation allowance, Intermountain had a net deferred tax asset of \$13.7 million as of June 30, 2011, compared to a net deferred tax asset of \$15.2 million as of December 31, 2010. The completion of a planned \$70 million capital raise discussed in the “Capital Resources” section below is likely to trigger Internal Revenue Code Section 382 limitations on the amount of tax benefit from net operating loss carryforwards that the Company can recapture annually, because of the planned level of investments by several of the larger investors. This could impact the amount and timing of the recapture of the valuation allowance, largely depending on the level of market interest rates and the fair value of the Company’s balance sheet at the time the planned offering is completed See Part II — Other Information, Section 1A. Risk Factors.

Results of Operations

Overview. Intermountain recorded a net loss applicable to common stockholders of \$1.1 million, or \$0.13 per diluted share for the three months ended June 30, 2011, compared with a net loss applicable to common stockholders of \$442,000 or \$0.05 per diluted share for the first quarter of 2011 (the "sequential quarter") and a net loss applicable to common stockholders of \$2.9 million or \$0.35 per diluted share, for the three months ended June 30, 2010. Intermountain recorded a net loss applicable to common stockholders of \$1.5 million, or \$0.18 per diluted share, for the six months ended June 30, 2011, compared with net loss applicable to common stockholders of \$7.7 million, or \$0.91 per diluted share, for the six months ended June 30, 2010. The increased loss over the sequential quarter reflects increased loan loss provision partially offset by increased net interest income and lower operating expenses. The annualized return on average assets ("ROAA") was -0.25%, -0.00%, and -0.94% for the three months ended June 30,

Table of Contents

2011, March 31, 2011 and June 30, 2010, respectively, and -0.12% and -1.28% for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The annualized return on average common equity ("ROAE") was -12.48%, -5.37% and -20.05% for the three months ended June 30, 2011, March 31, 2011 and June 30, 2010, respectively, and -8.93% and -25.70% for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Net Interest Income. The most significant component of earnings for the Company is net interest income, which is the difference between interest income from the Company's loan and investment portfolios, and interest expense on deposits, repurchase agreements and other borrowings. During the three months ended June 30, 2011, March 31, 2011 and June 30, 2010, net interest income was \$9.0 million, \$8.7 million, and \$8.8 million, respectively. The increase in net interest income from the sequential quarter primarily reflects improved interest income, as the Company began to shift some of its cash equivalent balances into higher-yielding loans and marketable securities, and experienced lower prepayments on its mortgage-related securities. Interest expense on deposits also decreased during the quarter, but was offset by higher borrowing expenses resulting from interest adjustments on its trust preferred instruments. The improvement in results from the second quarter last year reflects substantial reductions in the interest paid on interest-bearing funds, which more than offset a decrease in interest income. During the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, net interest income was \$17.7 million and \$17.2 million, respectively. The increase in net interest income was due to substantial reductions in the interest paid on deposits and borrowed funds and increased income from investments, which more than offset a decrease in loan interest income.

Average interest-earning assets decreased by 8.0% to \$870.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011, compared to \$945.9 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010. The decrease was driven by a reduction of \$86.9 million or 13.4% in average loans, partially offset by an increase in average investments and cash of \$11.3 million or 3.8% over the three month period in 2010. Although loan balances increased from the sequential quarter, loan volumes from a year ago continued to reflect paydowns and liquidation of existing loan balances, and lower loan demand caused by the slow economy and tighter underwriting standards. The Company used approximately \$40 million in cash equivalents to purchase new agency-guaranteed securities in April 2011 to improve overall asset yield.

Average interest-bearing liabilities decreased by 8.4% or \$81.5 million for the three month period ended June 30, 2011 compared to June 30, 2010. Average deposit balances decreased \$77.6 million, or 9.5%, while borrowings were relatively flat. The deposit decrease reflected management's focus on lowering interest expense and reducing non-relationship funding, as \$8.4 million in brokered deposits were repaid during this period and reductions in higher-rate retail certificates of deposit ("CDs") and money market accounts comprised most of the remaining reduction. Part of this money transitioned into non-FDIC insured investments offered through the Company's trust and investments division.

The net interest margin was 4.14% for the three months ended June 30, 2011, a 0.25% increase from the three months ended March 31, 2011 and a 0.43% increase from the same period last year. Additional income from the Company's investments produced the increase over the sequential quarter. The increase in margin from the second quarter of 2010 reflects substantial reductions in the cost of all interest-bearing liabilities, which exceeded the reductions in the yields on earning assets.

The Company continues to focus on lowering its overall cost of funds, while maintaining transaction deposit balances from core relationship customers. The cost on interest-bearing liabilities dropped from 1.18% in the second quarter of 2010 to 0.82% in the second quarter of 2011, led by a 0.39% decrease in the cost of deposits. Intermountain has sought to manage liability costs carefully, and its cost of funds continues to be at the low end of its peer group. As a result of these efforts and continuing stronger asset yields, the Company's net interest margin remains above average for its peer group.

Management believes that some opportunities still remain to further lower funding costs. However, given the already low level of market rates and the Company's cost of funds, any future gains are likely to be less than those already experienced. In contrast, the Company sees additional opportunity in asset yield improvement, through the conversion of funds from cash equivalents to higher yielding loans and marketable securities and lower levels of reversed interest on non-performing loans ("NPLs"). As economic conditions in the Company's markets slowly improve, management is beginning to focus more on capitalizing on these opportunities.

Provision for Losses on Loans & Credit Quality. Management's policy is to establish valuation allowances for estimated losses by charging corresponding provisions against income. This evaluation is based upon management's assessment of various factors including, but not limited to, current and anticipated future economic trends, historical loan losses, delinquencies, underlying collateral values, and current and potential risks identified in the portfolio. The provision for losses on loans totaled \$2.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011, compared to a provision of \$1.6 million for the sequential quarter and \$4.9 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010. The provision for losses on loans totaled \$4.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011, compared to a provision of \$11.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010. The following table summarizes provision and loan loss allowance activity for the periods indicated.

Table of Contents

| | June 30, 2011 | 2010 | |
|--|------------------------|-----------|---|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | |
| Balance Beginning January 1 | \$12,455 | \$16,608 | |
| Charge-Offs | | | |
| Commercial loans | (803 |) (4,302 |) |
| Commercial real estate loans | (679 |) (2,487 |) |
| Commercial construction loans | — | (911 |) |
| Land and land development loans | (1,593 |) (5,222 |) |
| Agriculture loans | (331 |) (689 |) |
| Multifamily loans | — | (16 |) |
| Residential loans | (399 |) (1,220 |) |
| Residential construction loans | (18 |) (15 |) |
| Consumer loans | (191 |) (286 |) |
| Municipal loans | — | (1 |) |
| Total Charge-offs | (4,014 |) (15,149 |) |
| Recoveries | | | |
| Commercial loans | 265 | 312 | |
| Commercial real estate loans | 150 | 80 | |
| Commercial construction loans | — | —10 | |
| Land and land development loans | 302 | 32 | |
| Agriculture loans | 42 | — | |
| Multifamily loans | — | — | |
| Residential loans | 60 | 26 | |
| Residential construction loans | — | — | |
| Consumer loans | 82 | 101 | |
| Municipal loans | — | — | |
| Total Recoveries | 901 | 561 | |
| Net charge-offs | (3,113 |) (14,588 |) |
| Transfers | — | — | |
| Provision for losses on loans | 4,345 | 11,723 | |
| Sale of loans | — | — | |
| Balance at June 30 | \$13,687 | \$13,743 | |
| Allowance — Unfunded Commitments Balance Beginning January 1 | \$17 | \$11 | |
| Adjustment | (3 |) 7 | |
| Transfers | — | — | |
| Allowance — Unfunded Commitments at June 30 | \$14 | \$18 | |

Net chargeoffs totaled \$3.1 million in the first six months of 2011, compared to \$14.6 million in the six months of 2010. While reductions in net chargeoff activity were spread across the whole portfolio, the land development, commercial and commercial real estate portfolios reflected the largest decreases. In general, the losses are no longer concentrated in any particular industry or loan type, as prior efforts to reduce exposure in construction, land development and commercial real estate loans have decreased the exposure in these segments considerably. The Company continues to resolve or liquidate its problem loans aggressively, particularly those with higher loss exposures, and now believes that the risk of future large losses is significantly reduced. The loan loss allowance to total loans ratio was 2.44% at June 30, 2011, compared to 2.26% at March 31, 2011 and 2.16% at June 30, 2010, respectively. At the end of the quarter, the allowance for loan losses totaled 127.5% of non-performing loans compared to 66.7% at March 31, 2011 and 77.4% at June 30, 2010. The increase in this coverage ratio reflects the reduction of non-performing loans coupled with the increase in the allowance for loan losses balance at June 30, 2011.

Given the current distressed and volatile credit environment, management continues to evaluate and adjust the loan loss

35

Table of Contents

allowance carefully and frequently to reflect the most current information available concerning the Company's markets and loan portfolio. In its evaluation, management considers current economic and borrower conditions in both the pool of loans subject to specific impairment, and the pool subject to a more generalized allowance based on historical and other factors. When a loan is characterized as impaired, the Company performs a specific evaluation of the loan, focusing on potential future cash flows likely to be generated by the loan, current collateral values underlying the loan, and other factors such as government guarantees or guarantor support that may impact repayment. Based on this evaluation, it sets aside a specific reserve for this loan and/or charges down the loan to its net realizable value (selling price less estimated closing costs) if it is unlikely that the Company will receive any cash flow beyond the amount obtained from liquidation of the collateral. If the loan continues to be impaired, management periodically re-evaluates the loan for additional potential impairment, and charges it down or adds to reserves if appropriate. On the pool of loans not subject to specific impairment, management evaluates regional, bank and loan-specific historical loss trends to develop its base reserve level on a loan-by-loan basis. It then modifies those reserves by considering the risk grade of the loan, current economic conditions, the recent trend of defaults, trends in collateral values, underwriting and other loan management considerations, and unique market-specific factors such as water shortages or other natural phenomena. While credit exposure appears to be decreasing, uncertain economic conditions continue to make it reasonably likely that the Company's reserve levels will remain higher than those it maintained prior to 2008 for some period of time.

Information with respect to non-performing loans, classified loans, troubled debt restructures, non-performing assets ("NPAs"), and loan delinquencies is as follows:

Credit Quality Trending

| | June 30, 2011 | March 31, 2011 | December 31, 2010 | June 30, 2010 | |
|--|------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|------------------|---|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | |
| Loans past due in excess of 90 days and still accruing | \$— | \$1 | \$66 | \$— | |
| Non-accrual loans | 10,732 | 18,716 | 11,451 | 17,765 | |
| Total non-performing loans ("NPLs") | 10,732 | 18,717 | 11,517 | 17,765 | |
| OREO | 7,818 | 3,686 | 4,429 | 8,754 | |
| Total non-performing assets ("NPAs") | \$18,550 | \$22,403 | \$15,946 | \$26,519 | |
| Classified loans (1) | \$58,745 | \$61,239 | \$54,085 | \$59,775 | |
| Troubled debt restructured loans (2) | \$6,543 | \$6,360 | \$4,838 | \$2,562 | |
| Total allowance related to non-accrual loans | \$731 | \$1,254 | \$1,192 | \$1,503 | |
| Interest income recorded on non-accrual loans (3) | \$309 | \$156 | \$848 | \$353 | |
| Non-accrual loans as a percentage of net loans receivable | 1.96 | % 3.46 | % 2.03 | % 2.85 | % |
| Total non-performing loans as a % of net loans receivable | 1.96 | % 3.46 | % 2.04 | % 2.85 | % |
| Allowance for loan losses ("ALLL") as a percentage of non-performing loans | 127.5 | % 66.7 | % 108.1 | % 77.4 | % |
| Total NPAs as a % of total assets (4) | 1.93 | % 2.28 | % 1.59 | % 2.49 | % |
| Total NPAs as a % of tangible capital + ALLL ("Texas Ratio") (4) | 25.11 | % 31.41 | % 22.30 | % 31.09 | % |
| Loan delinquency ratio (30 days and over) | 0.32 | % 0.54 | % 0.55 | % 0.50 | % |

(1) Classified loan totals are inclusive of non-performing loans and may also include troubled debt restructured loans, depending on the grading of these restructured loans.

(2) Represents accruing restructured loans performing according to their modified terms. Restructured loans that are not performing according to their modified terms are included in non-accrual loans. No other funds are available

for disbursement on restructured loans.

(3) Interest income on non-accrual loans based on year-to-date interest totals

(4) NPAs include both nonperforming loans and OREO.

The decrease in NPLs and classified loans from prior periods reflects continued loan resolution activity and the transfer of one large land development credit to OREO in the second quarter of 2011. The Company's special assets team continues to migrate loans through the collections process and has made steady progress in reducing classified and non-accrual loans through multiple management strategies, including borrower workouts, individual asset sales to local and regional investors, and a limited number of bulk sales and auctions of like properties. The Company continues to monitor its non-accrual loans closely and revalue the

Table of Contents

collateral on a periodic basis. This re-evaluation may create the need for additional write-downs or additional loss reserves on these assets. Loan delinquencies (30 days or more past due) were down to 0.32% from 0.54% at March 31, 2011 and 0.50% in the second quarter of 2010.

The following tables summarize NPAs by type and geographic region, and provides trending information over the past year:

Nonperforming Asset Trending By Category

| | 6/30/2011 | 3/31/2011 | 6/30/2010 |
|---------------------------------|------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | |
| Commercial loans | \$4,400 | \$4,423 | \$3,364 |
| Commercial real estate loans | 3,440 | 4,935 | 4,760 |
| Commercial construction loans | 45 | 46 | 1,931 |
| Land and land development loans | 8,547 | 9,713 | 11,625 |
| Agriculture loans | 380 | 614 | 524 |
| Multifamily loans | — | — | 112 |
| Residential real estate loans | 1,344 | 2,181 | 3,982 |
| Residential construction loans | 20 | 111 | 193 |
| Consumer loans | 374 | 380 | 28 |
| Total NPAs by Categories | \$18,550 | \$22,403 | \$26,519 |

| NPAs by location June 30, 2011 | North Idaho — Magic Eastern Washington Valley Idaho | | Greater Boise Area | E. Oregon, SW Idaho Excluding Boise | Other | Total | % of Loan Type to Total Non-Performing Assets | |
|---|---|-------|-----------------------|--|---------|----------|--|---|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | | | |
| Commercial loans | \$3,456 | \$365 | \$267 | \$286 | \$26 | \$4,400 | 23.7 | % |
| Commercial real estate loans | 1,609 | 22 | 343 | 127 | 1,339 | 3,440 | 18.5 | % |
| Commercial construction loans | 45 | — | — | — | — | 45 | 0.2 | % |
| Land and land development loans | 8,064 | 42 | 286 | 137 | 18 | 8,547 | 46.1 | % |
| Agriculture loans | — | — | 88 | 22 | 270 | 380 | 2.1 | % |
| Multifamily loans | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | % |
| Residential real estate loans | 505 | 254 | 159 | 270 | 156 | 1,344 | 7.3 | % |
| Residential construction loans | 20 | — | — | — | — | 20 | 0.1 | % |
| Consumer loans | 366 | — | — | 8 | — | 374 | 2.0 | % |
| Total | \$14,065 | \$683 | \$1,143 | \$850 | \$1,809 | \$18,550 | 100.0 | % |
| Percent of total NPAs | 75.8 | % 3.7 | % 6.2 | % 4.5 | % 9.8 | % 100.0 | % | |
| Percent of NPAs to total loans in each region | 4.4 | % 1.7 | % 1.8 | % 0.8 | % 6.3 | % 3.3 | % | |

Table of Contents

| NPAs by location December 31, 2010 | North Idaho —Eastern Washington | Magic Valley Idaho | Greater Boise Area | E. Oregon, SW Idaho Excluding Boise | Other | Total | % of Loan Type to Total Non-Performing Assets | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|--|---------|----------|--|---|--|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | | | | |
| Commercial loans | \$2,927 | \$415 | \$135 | \$352 | \$30 | \$3,859 | 24.2 | % | |
| Commercial real estate loans | 1,714 | 46 | 453 | 413 | 1,728 | 4,354 | 27.3 | % | |
| Commercial construction loans | 69 | — | — | — | — | 69 | 0.4 | % | |
| Land and land development loans | 2,600 | 49 | 250 | 269 | 200 | 3,368 | 21.1 | % | |
| Agriculture loans | — | — | 157 | 22 | 403 | 582 | 3.7 | % | |
| Multifamily loans | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | % | |
| Residential real estate loans | 2,013 | 102 | 652 | 259 | 187 | 3,213 | 20.2 | % | |
| Residential construction loans | 112 | — | — | — | — | 112 | 0.7 | % | |
| Consumer loans | 386 | 3 | — | — | — | 389 | 2.4 | % | |
| Total | \$9,821 | \$615 | \$1,647 | \$1,315 | \$2,548 | \$15,946 | 100.0 | % | |
| Percent of total NPAs | 61.6 | % 3.9 | % 10.3 | % 8.2 | % 16.0 | % 100.0 | % | | |
| Percent of NPAs to total loans in each region | 3.0 | % 1.3 | % 2.5 | % 1.2 | % 9.7 | % 2.8 | % | | |

The volume of non-performing commercial, commercial real estate and land and land development assets continues to be higher than other loan types, particularly with the addition of the one large land development credit noted above. However, the totals are down substantially from their peak and the Company anticipates continued improvement in future quarters. Non-performing commercial loan balances have increased moderately from June 30, 2010 reflecting ongoing economic stress on our small business customers. However, all other categories have decreased from the same period as the Company has resolved many of its problem loans in these areas. The geographic breakout of NPAs reflects the stronger market presence the Company holds in Northern Idaho and Eastern Washington, aggressive reductions in non-performing assets in the greater Boise market through property sales and loan writedowns, and the addition of the larger relationship noted above. The overall level of NPAs remains below the average of the Company's peer group.

At June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 classified loans (loans with risk grades 6, 7 or 8) by loan type are as follows:

| | June 30, 2011 | | December 31, 2010 | |
|---------------------------------|------------------------|------------|-------------------|------------|
| | Amount | % of Total | Amount | % of Total |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | |
| Commercial loans | \$15,047 | 25.6 | % \$14,069 | 26.0 |
| Commercial real estate loans | 16,864 | 28.7 | 15,807 | 29.2 |
| Commercial construction loans | 8,817 | 15.0 | 7,832 | 14.5 |
| Land and land development loans | 8,432 | 14.4 | 8,040 | 14.9 |
| Agriculture loans | 3,074 | 5.2 | 2,380 | 4.4 |
| Multifamily loans | — | — | — | — |
| Residential real estate loans | 5,341 | 9.1 | 4,477 | 8.3 |
| Residential construction loans | 185 | 0.3 | 277 | 0.5 |

Edgar Filing: INTERMOUNTAIN COMMUNITY BANCORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | |
|------------------------|----------|-------|------------|-------|---|
| Consumer loans | 985 | 1.7 | 1,203 | 2.2 | |
| Municipal loans | — | — | — | — | |
| Total classified loans | \$58,745 | 100.0 | % \$54,085 | 100.0 | % |

Classified loans are loans for which management believes it may experience some problems in obtaining repayment under the contractual terms of the loan, and are inclusive of the Company's non-accrual loans. However, categorizing a loan as classified does not necessarily mean that the Company will experience any or significant loss of expected principal or interest.

Classified loans decreased by \$2.5 million from March 31, 2011, but were higher than at December 31, 2010 as a result of

Table of Contents

the land development loan noted above. The total \$58.7 million in classified loans is well off the peak of \$96.2 million reached in July 2009.

As with NPAs, the geographical distribution of the Company's classified loans reflects the distribution of the Company's loan portfolio, with higher distributions in the "North Idaho/Eastern Washington" region, and decreased levels in southern Idaho. As noted above, the Company worked rapidly to reduce its exposure in the Greater Boise area, and the other southern Idaho regions have strong agri-business components. In general, the Company believes that its loss exposure to remaining classified loans in the North Idaho/Eastern Washington region will be less, because of much lower land and development totals, and stronger collateral values and borrowers supporting the remaining loans.

Local economies are still weak but appear to be stabilizing in the region, with variations in the strength of different industry segments. Agriculture, manufacturing, technology, health-care and mining businesses are strong and improving, offset by continued weakness in the construction and government sectors. Full recovery in the region is likely to occur slowly and over a multi-year period. As such, management believes that classified loans and non-performing assets will likely remain elevated through the remainder of 2011 and into 2012, but at levels lower than those experienced in recent periods. In addition, as noted above, loss exposure from these loans appears to have decreased significantly, and should continue to be lower than the heavy losses experienced in 2009 and 2010. Given market volatility and future uncertainties, as with all forward-looking statements, management cannot assure nor guarantee the accuracy of these future forecasts.

Management continues to focus its efforts on managing and reducing the level of non-performing assets, classified loans and delinquencies. It uses a variety of analytical tools and an integrated stress testing program involving both qualitative and quantitative modeling to assess the current and projected state of its credit portfolio. The results of this program are integrated with the Company's capital and liquidity modeling programs to manage and mitigate future risk in these areas as well. In 2010 and again in early 2011, the Company contracted with an independent loan review firm to further evaluate and provide independent analysis of our portfolio and make recommendations for portfolio management improvement. In particular, the review quantified and stratified the loans in the Bank's portfolio based upon layered risk, product type, asset class, loans-to-one borrower, and geographic location. The purpose of the review was to provide an independent assessment of the potential imbedded risks and dollar exposure within the Bank's loan portfolio. The original and updated scope included loans representing over 80% of the total loan portfolio and included specific asset evaluations and loss forecasts for the majority of the loan portfolio. The firm employed seasoned financial and commercial lending personnel to complete the individual loan reviews. Based on its initial evaluation of both external and internal loan review results, management did not believe that it needed to materially alter its 12-month forward loss projections, and results in the eighteen months since the first review have supported management's perception. Based on the updated review, management again did not believe that it needed to materially alter its new 12-month forward loss projections, and actual losses in the first six months following the update have been lower than the amounts forecasted in the independent loan review. Management has and continues to incorporate a number of the recommendations made by the review firm into its ongoing credit management process.

Other Income.

The following table details dollar amount and percentage changes of certain categories of other income for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010.

| Three Months Ended | June 30, 2011 | % of Total | Percent Change Prev. Yr. | June 30, 2010 | % of Total | |
|---|------------------------|---------------|--------------------------------|------------------|---------------|----|
| Other Income | Amount | | | Amount | | |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | |
| Fees and service charges | \$ 1,863 | 68 | % (9 |)% \$2,047 | 69 | % |
| Loan related fee income | 545 | 20 | % (32 |)% 799 | 27 | % |
| Net gain (loss) on sale of securities | — | — | % (100 |)% 70 | 2 | % |
| Other-than-temporary credit impairment on investment securities | — | — | % (100 |)% (237 |) (8 |)% |

Edgar Filing: INTERMOUNTAIN COMMUNITY BANCORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | |
|--------------|---------|-----|------|------------|-----|---|
| BOLI income | 91 | 3 | % (4 |)% 95 | 3 | % |
| Other income | 234 | 9 | % 5 | % 224 | 7 | % |
| Total | \$2,733 | 100 | % (9 |)% \$2,998 | 100 | % |

39

Table of Contents

| Six Months Ended | June 30, 2011 | % of Total | Percent Change Prev. Yr. | June 30, 2010 | % of Total | |
|--|----------------------------------|---------------|--------------------------------|------------------|---------------|---|
| Other Income | Amount (Dollars in thousands) | | | Amount | | |
| Fees and service charges | \$3,534 | 66 | % (8)% | \$3,835 | 70 | % |
| Loan related fee income | 1,120 | 21 | (13)% | 1,293 | 23 | |
| Net gain (loss) on sale of securities | — | — | (100)% | 142 | 3 | |
| Other-than-temporary credit impairment on investment securities | — | — | (100)% | (256) | (5) | |
| BOLI income | 180 | 3 | (3)% | 185 | 3 | |
| Other income | 562 | 10 | 75 % | 322 | 6 | |
| Total | \$5,396 | 100 | % (3)% | \$5,521 | 100 | % |

Total other income was \$2.7 million, \$2.7 million and \$3.0 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011, March 31, 2011 and June 30, 2010. Total other income was \$5.4 million and \$5.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and June 30, 2010, respectively.

Fees and service charges earned on deposit, trust and investment accounts continue to be the Company's primary sources of other income. Fees and service charges in the second quarter increased by \$193,000 from the sequential quarter and decreased by \$184,000 from the previous year. Increases in debit card, trust and investment services income produced the improvement over the sequential quarter, but lower overdraft income offset stronger trust, investment and debit card activity from the same period a year ago. The Company continues to build its trust and investment division, expand its cash management services, evaluate new fee structures and explore other new opportunities to offset potential decreases created by changing regulatory requirements, particularly the potential impacts of the Durbin amendment to the Dodd-Frank Act on debit card income and new FDIC restrictions on overdraft servicing income. As a small bank, the Company is not directly subject to the debit card interchange income restrictions enacted as part of the Durbin amendment, but may see indirect impacts in the future.

Loan related fee income was down from both the sequential quarter and the same period last year. Mortgage origination activity continued to slow in response to the end of the government home-buyer tax credit in 2010, consumer concerns over the economy and falling home prices, unseasonably cold weather conditions, and tightening appraisal and underwriting rules.

BOLI income was relatively flat from the prior year as yields were stable and the Company did not purchase or liquidate BOLI assets. The Company did not recognize any credit impairment on its securities portfolio during the current or sequential quarter, compared to a \$237,000 credit loss recorded in the three months ended June 30, 2010. Delinquency and default activity on the mortgages underlying the two securities that have produced credit impairment losses in the past appears to have stabilized. Other non-interest income totaled \$234,000 for quarter ended June 30, 2011, compared to \$329,000 for the sequential quarter and \$224,000 in the same period last year. The reduction from the sequential quarter reflected a slight decrease in contract income and a loss taken on the write-off of obsolete customer remote deposit equipment. For the six month period ended June 30, 2011, other non-interest income totaled \$562,000, compared to \$322,000 for the same period a year ago, reflecting pricing increases on the accounts serviced by the Company under its secured credit card deposit servicing contract.

Operating Expenses.

The following table details dollar amount and percentage changes of certain categories of other expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and June 30, 2010.

Table of Contents

| Three Months Ended | June 30, 2011 | % of Total | Percent Change Prev. Yr. | June 30, 2010 | % of Total | |
|--------------------------------|------------------------|---------------|--------------------------------|------------------|---------------|---|
| Other Expense | Amount | | | Amount | | |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | |
| Salaries and employee benefits | \$4,887 | 50 | % (4) | % \$5,088 | 46 | % |
| Occupancy expense | 1,708 | 18 | % (5) | % 1,789 | 16 | % |
| Advertising | 214 | 2 | % (22) | % 273 | 2 | % |
| Fees and service charges | 632 | 7 | % (9) | % 697 | 6 | % |
| Printing, postage and supplies | 302 | 3 | % (7) | % 325 | 3 | % |
| Legal and accounting | 447 | 5 | % 33 | % 337 | 3 | % |
| FDIC assessment | 331 | 3 | % (30) | % 471 | 4 | % |
| OREO operations(1) | 150 | 2 | % (89) | % 1,380 | 12 | % |
| Other expense | 940 | 10 | % 3 | % 914 | 8 | % |
| Total | \$9,611 | 100 | % (15) | % \$11,274 | 100 | % |

| Six Months Ended | June 30, 2011 | % of Total | Percent Change Prev. Yr. | June 30, 2010 | % of Total | |
|--------------------------------|------------------------|---------------|--------------------------------|------------------|---------------|---|
| Other Expense | Amount | | | Amount | | |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | |
| Salaries and employee benefits | \$9,833 | 50 | % (10) | % \$10,920 | 47 | % |
| Occupancy expense | 3,496 | 18 | (3) | % 3,616 | 16 | |
| Advertising | 344 | 2 | (31) | % 495 | 2 | |
| Fees and service charges | 1,283 | 7 | (5) | % 1,349 | 6 | |
| Printing, postage and supplies | 638 | 3 | (11) | % 714 | 3 | |
| Legal and accounting | 682 | 4 | 3 | % 661 | 3 | |
| FDIC assessment | 776 | 4 | (17) | % 939 | 4 | |
| OREO operations(1) | 626 | 3 | (74) | % 2,410 | 11 | |
| Other expense | 1,673 | 9 | (3) | % 1,731 | 8 | |
| Total | \$19,351 | 100 | % (15) | % \$22,835 | 100 | % |

(1) Amount includes chargedowns and gains and losses on sale of OREO

Operating expense for the second quarter 2011 totaled \$9.6 million, down \$129,000 from the sequential quarter and \$1.7 million from the same period a year ago. For the six months ended June 30, 2011, operating expenses totaled \$19.4 million, a reduction of \$3.5 million, or 15% for the same period in 2010. The decreases from the sequential and prior year periods reflect lower expenses in virtually all categories, with particularly strong reductions in OREO operations, compensation and other expenses.

Salaries and employee benefits expense decreased \$1.1 million or 10.0%, over the six month period last year as a result of planned staff reductions implemented throughout 2010 and the first half of 2011. The employee full time equivalent ("FTE") number at June 30, 2011 totaled 332, a reduction of 37 FTEs, or 10.0%, from June 30, 2010. Severance expense for the six months ended June 30, 2011 was \$34,000, as compared to \$389,000 in the same period a year ago. The Company continues to suspend salary increases and bonus payments for executive officers, but reinstated merit increases for other employees in the first quarter of 2011. The Company continues to implement additional restructuring plans which should lead to further reductions in compensation expense in 2011 and future years.

Occupancy expenses decreased \$120,000, or 3.3%, for the six month period ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same period one year ago. The decrease reflects lower depreciation and rent expense as a result of reduced purchases of software and equipment and the termination of equipment and administrative office leases no longer needed. The

Company continues to review asset and software purchases carefully, re-negotiate contracts, and otherwise work with its vendors to lower costs, which should produce additional cost savings in this area.
The advertising expense decrease of \$151,000 or 30.5% for the six month period compared to the same period one year ago

41

Table of Contents

is a result of reductions in general advertising and media expenses, as the Company has focused marketing efforts on more targeted audiences and reduced expenditures on broad print, yellow page and other media. Fees and service charges decreased by \$66,000 or 4.9% for the six month period compared to the same period one year ago as lower collection and credit service fees offset increases in debit card and computer service expense. Printing, postage and supplies decreased \$76,000 for the six month period in comparison to last year's total, as a result of lower check printing, postage and statement rendering expenses and the Company anticipates additional future savings in this area. Legal and accounting fees increased by \$21,000 in comparison to the same six-month period in 2010 as lower legal fees were offset by higher consulting expenses associated with the Company's current restructuring efforts. These consulting expenses should tail off later this year.

The \$163,000 decrease in FDIC expenses for the six month period ended June 30, 2011 over last year primarily reflects changes in the FDIC assessment formula and lower asset balances than in the prior year. OREO operations, related valuation adjustments and gains and losses on the sale of OREO decreased by \$1.8 million for the six month period over the same period last year, as a result of reductions in OREO balances and stabilizing property values. Other expenses decreased \$58,000, or 3.4%, for the six month period over the same period last year, reflecting decreases in operational losses and training, travel, courier, armored car and meeting expenses. The Company continues to evaluate opportunities for additional expense reduction in many of the categories included in this line item, and anticipates further reductions as the year progresses.

The Company's efficiency ratio (noninterest expense divided by the sum of net interest income and noninterest income) was 83.8% for the six months ended June 30, 2011, compared to 100.6% for the same period one year ago. The ratio was 82.0% for the three months ended June 30, 2011, compared to 85.6% for the sequential quarter and 95.9% for the three months ended June 30, 2010. With economic conditions likely to remain challenging in the near future, the Company continues to develop and implement additional efficiency and cost-cutting efforts. Management anticipates that as it completes its current initiatives, the efficiency and expense ratios will continue to improve. Stabilization and improvement in economic conditions in the future should also improve efficiency, as net interest income rebounds and credit-related costs subside.

Income Tax Provision.

The Company did not record an income tax provision for the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to an income tax benefit of \$5.1 million for the same period one year ago. No provision was recorded in the second quarter of 2011, or the sequential quarter, compared to an income tax benefit of \$1.9 million in the second quarter of 2010. The effective tax rates used to calculate the tax benefit were 0.0% and 42.6% for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The effective tax rates used to calculate the tax provision or benefit were 0.0%, 0.0% and 43.7% for the quarters ended June 30, 2011, March 31, 2011, and June 30, 2010, respectively. At June 30, 2011, Intermountain assessed whether it was more likely than not that it would realize the benefits of its deferred tax asset. Intermountain determined that the negative evidence associated with a three-year cumulative loss for the period ended December 31, 2010, and challenging economic conditions continued to outweigh the positive evidence. Therefore, Intermountain maintained a valuation allowance of \$8.8 million against its deferred tax asset. The company analyzes the deferred tax asset on a quarterly basis and may recapture a portion or all of this allowance depending on future profitability. Including the valuation allowance, Intermountain had a net deferred tax asset of \$13.7 million as of June 30, 2011, compared to a net deferred tax asset of \$15.2 million as of December 31, 2010. The decrease in the net deferred tax asset is primarily due to the increase in the unrealized market value of the Company's investment securities.

Intermountain uses an estimate of future earnings and tax planning strategies to determine whether or not the benefit of its net deferred tax asset will be realized. Two different scenarios are used and the results of the two are probability weighted and averaged together to determine both the need for a valuation allowance and the size of the allowance. In conducting this analysis, management has assumed economic conditions will continue to be challenging in 2011, followed by gradual improvement in the ensuing years. These assumptions are in line with both national and regional economic forecasts. As such, its estimates include elevated credit losses in 2011, but at lower levels than those experienced in 2009 and 2010, followed by improvement in ensuing years as the economy improves and the Company's loan portfolio turns over. It also assumes: (1) improving net interest margins beginning in 2012, as it is

able to convert some of its cash position to higher yielding instruments; and (2) reductions in operating expenses as credit costs abate and its other cost reduction strategies continue.

As noted above, the completion of the \$70 million capital raise is likely to trigger Internal Revenue Code Section 382 limitations on the amount of tax benefit from net operating loss carryforwards that the Company can recapture annually, because of the planned level of investments by several of the larger investors. This could impact the amount and timing of the recapture of the valuation allowance, largely depending on the level of market interest rates and the fair value of the Company's balance sheet at the time the planned offering is completed. See Part II — Other Information, Section 1A. Risk Factors.

Financial Position

Assets. At June 30, 2011, Intermountain's assets were \$960.7 million, down from \$1.0 billion at December 31, 2010. During this period, decreases in cash and cash equivalents and loans receivable were partially offset by increases in investments available

Table of Contents

for sale. Given the challenging economic climate, the Company does not anticipate strong organic asset growth in the near future, and continues to maintain a conservative balance sheet, with high levels of marketable securities and cash and cash equivalents. In the second quarter, though, management converted some of its cash into marketable securities and loans receivable, and will continue to look for opportunities to do so.

Investments. Intermountain's investment portfolio at June 30, 2011 was \$232.2 million, an increase of \$27.0 million from the December 31, 2010 balance of \$205.3 million. The increase was primarily due to the purchase of \$47.4 million in available-for-sale securities as the Company redeployed funds from low yielding cash equivalents into higher yielding investments. Management remains cautious about the potential for rising rates and continues to maintain short portfolio duration with strong regular incoming cash flows. As of June 30, 2011, the balance of the unrealized gain on investment securities, net of federal income taxes, was \$1.6 million, compared to an unrealized loss at December 31, 2010 of \$0.8 million. During the second quarter of 2011, market prices on both government-guaranteed and non-guaranteed securities improved, resulting in the reversal from an unrealized loss to an unrealized gain position.

The Company currently holds two residential MBS, with an unpaid balance totaling \$9.9 million that are determined to have other than temporary impairments ("OTTI"), as detailed in the table below (dollars in thousands):

| | Principal | Fair | Unrealized | Cumulative OTTI Credit Loss Recorded in | Cumulative OTTI Impairment Loss Recorded in |
|------------|-----------|---------|-------------|--|---|
| Security | Balance | Value | (Loss) Gain | Income | OCI |
| Security 1 | \$2,918 | \$1,853 | \$467 | \$(947 |) \$(805 |
| Security 2 | 7,030 | 5,742 | 299 | (407 |) (1,122 |
| Total | \$9,948 | \$7,595 | \$766 | \$(1,354 |) \$(1,927 |

As noted in the table above, impairment for these two securities totals \$3.3 million, of which \$1.4 million has been recorded as credit loss impairment in income and the remainder in other comprehensive income. The Company did not record additional credit loss impairment for either security in the first six months of 2011. At this time, the Company anticipates holding the two securities until their value is recovered or until maturity, and will continue to adjust its net income (loss) and other comprehensive income (loss) to reflect potential future credit loss impairments and the security's market value. The Company calculated the credit loss charges against earnings each quarter by subtracting the estimated present value of future cash flows on the securities from their amortized cost less the total of previous credit loss impairment at the end of each period.

Loans Receivable. At June 30, 2011 net loans receivable totaled \$548.2 million, down \$15.0 million or 2.70% from \$563.2 million at December 31, 2010. The decrease reflected the payoff of one large participated credit, liquidation of problem credits, and paydowns by business customers reducing debt loads. However, activity and balances rebounded slightly in the second quarter of 2011, as commercial and agricultural customers drew on lines to fund operating activity and the bank funded several new commercial and municipal projects.

The following table sets forth the composition of Intermountain's loan portfolio at the dates indicated. Loan balances exclude deferred loan origination costs and fees and allowances for loan losses.

Table of Contents

| | June 30, 2011 | | December 31, 2010 | |
|---|------------------------|-------|-------------------|-------|
| | Amount | % | Amount | % |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | |
| Commercial loans | \$123,566 | 22.0 | \$122,656 | 21.3 |
| Commercial real estate loans | 172,701 | 30.7 | 175,559 | 30.5 |
| Commercial construction loans | 17,694 | 3.2 | 17,951 | 3.1 |
| Land and land development loans | 49,197 | 8.8 | 60,962 | 10.6 |
| Agriculture loans | 85,296 | 15.2 | 87,364 | 15.2 |
| Multifamily loans | 27,112 | 4.8 | 26,417 | 4.6 |
| Residential real estate loans | 62,016 | 11.0 | 60,872 | 10.6 |
| Residential construction loans | 4,291 | 0.8 | 3,219 | 0.6 |
| Consumer loans | 12,535 | 2.2 | 14,095 | 2.4 |
| Municipal loans | 7,360 | 1.3 | 6,528 | 1.1 |
| Total loans | 561,768 | 100.0 | 575,623 | 100.0 |
| Allowance for loan losses | (13,687 |) | (12,455 |) |
| Deferred loan fees, net of direct origination costs | 114 | | 60 | |
| Loans receivable, net | \$548,195 | | \$563,228 | |
| Weighted average interest rate | 5.93 | % | 6.04 | % |

With the exception of land and land development loans, balances in most loan types have been relatively stable since year end. The reduction in land development balances reflects ongoing liquidation activity, including the transfer of one large credit from the loan portfolio into OREO during the second quarter of 2011. New commercial and commercial real estate activity offset the payoff of the large participation loan noted above and lower borrowing demand from the Company's agribusiness customers.

The commercial portfolio is diversified by industry with a variety of small business customers that have held up relatively well during this economic downturn. As slow economic conditions continue, however, the Company continues to experience a moderate increase in stress in this portfolio. Most of the commercial credits are smaller, however, and Intermountain carries a higher proportion of SBA and USDA guaranteed loans than many of its peers, reducing the overall risk in this portfolio. Commercial customers continue to watch economic conditions very closely, and although there are some signs of increased activity in some sectors, they generally remain cautious in the current market, delaying expansionary efforts, paying down debt, and limiting borrowing activity.

The commercial real estate portfolio is also well-diversified and consists of a mix of owner and non-owner occupied properties, with relatively few true non-owner-occupied investment properties. The Company has lower concentrations in this segment than most of its peers, and has underwritten these properties cautiously. In particular, it has limited exposure to speculative investment office buildings and retail strip malls, two of the higher risk segments in this category. While tough economic conditions continue to heighten the risk in this portfolio, it continues to perform well with relatively low delinquency and loss rates. The Company believes it has some opportunity to increase prudent lending in this area and did fund some new activity in the second quarter, as real estate valuations have decreased and remaining borrowers are likely to have stronger credit profiles.

Most agricultural markets continue to perform very well, and the Company has very limited exposure to the severely impacted dairy market. The sector has performed so well that many of its best borrowing customers are using excess cash generated over the past couple of years to reduce their overall borrowing position. As production costs increase, borrowing activity may pick up in this sector.

The residential and consumer portfolios consist primarily of first and second mortgage loans, unsecured loans to individuals, and auto, boat and RV loans. These portfolios have generally performed well with limited delinquencies and defaults, although the Company has seen some pressure on its home equity portfolio. While these loans have generally been underwritten with relatively conservative loan-to-values and strong debt-to-income ratios, the continued weak economy and falling home prices have resulted in some losses in this loan type.

High unemployment and decreased asset values continue to challenge Intermountain's customers and its loan portfolios. Economic conditions and property values remain volatile, but do not appear to be worsening now in most of the Company's markets. Management believes that its underwriting standards and aggressive identification and management of credit problems are having a positive impact on its credit portfolios. Losses are likely to remain elevated in 2011, but at lower levels than in 2009 and 2010, with continued improvement in subsequent years.

Table of Contents

Geographic Distribution

As of June 30, 2011, the Company's loan portfolio by loan type and geographical market area was:

| Loan Portfolio by Location | North Idaho — Magic Eastern Valley | | Greater Boise | E. Oregon, SW Idaho, excluding Boise | | Total | % of Loan type to total loans | | |
|--|------------------------------------|----------|---------------|--------------------------------------|----------|-----------|-------------------------------|---|--|
| | Washington | Idaho | Area | Boise | Other | | | | |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | | | | |
| Commercial loans | \$85,879 | \$7,861 | \$10,463 | \$17,384 | \$1,979 | \$123,566 | 22.0 | % | |
| Commercial real estate loans | 115,615 | 12,916 | 17,093 | 16,186 | 10,891 | 172,701 | 30.7 | % | |
| Commercial construction loans | 6,057 | 3,369 | 8,258 | — | 10 | 17,694 | 3.2 | % | |
| Land and land development loans | 36,442 | 4,277 | 5,717 | 1,287 | 1,474 | 49,197 | 8.8 | % | |
| Agriculture loans | 2,433 | 6,426 | 16,190 | 58,270 | 1,977 | 85,296 | 15.2 | % | |
| Multifamily loans | 17,923 | — | 708 | — | 8,481 | 27,112 | 4.8 | % | |
| Residential real estate loans | 41,784 | 4,932 | 3,764 | 8,187 | 3,349 | 62,016 | 11.0 | % | |
| Residential construction loans | 3,729 | 417 | 145 | — | — | 4,291 | 0.8 | % | |
| Consumer loans | 7,194 | 1,182 | 1,165 | 2,585 | 409 | 12,535 | 2.2 | % | |
| Municipal loans | 5,842 | — | 1,518 | — | — | 7,360 | 1.3 | % | |
| Total | \$322,898 | \$41,380 | \$65,021 | \$103,899 | \$28,570 | \$561,768 | 100.0 | % | |
| Percent of total loans in geographic area | 57.5 | % 7.4 | % 11.6 | % 18.5 | % 5.0 | % 100.0 | % | | |
| Percent of total loans where real estate is the primary collateral | 68.7 | % 67.3 | % 58.2 | % 36.6 | % 85.6 | % 62.3 | % | | |

As of December 31, 2010, the Company's loan portfolio by loan type and geographical market area was:

| Loan Portfolio by Location | North Idaho — Magic Eastern Valley | | Greater Boise | E. Oregon, SW Idaho, excluding Boise | | Total | % of Loan type to total loans | | |
|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------|---------------|--------------------------------------|---------|-----------|-------------------------------|---|--|
| | Washington | Idaho | Area | Boise | Other | | | | |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | | | | |
| Commercial loans | \$81,916 | \$9,572 | \$10,747 | \$18,865 | \$1,556 | \$122,656 | 21.3 | % | |
| Commercial real estate loans | 115,721 | 14,536 | 18,295 | 17,465 | 9,542 | 175,559 | 30.5 | % | |
| Commercial construction loans | 6,738 | 3,070 | 8,143 | — | — | 17,951 | 3.1 | % | |
| Land and land development loans | 47,197 | 4,421 | 5,944 | 1,904 | 1,496 | 60,962 | 10.6 | % | |
| Agriculture loans | 1,609 | 7,302 | 16,754 | 59,575 | 2,124 | 87,364 | 15.2 | % | |
| Multifamily loans | 18,205 | — | 725 | — | 7,487 | 26,417 | 4.6 | % | |
| | 39,482 | 5,795 | 3,582 | 8,248 | 3,765 | 60,872 | 10.6 | % | |

Edgar Filing: INTERMOUNTAIN COMMUNITY BANCORP - Form 10-Q

Residential real estate
loans

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|----------|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|-------|---|
| Residential construction loans | 2,594 | 287 | 7 | 331 | — | 3,219 | 0.6 | % |
| Consumer loans | 7,802 | 1,580 | 1,318 | 2,960 | 435 | 14,095 | 2.4 | % |
| Municipal loans | 4,955 | 1,573 | — | — | — | 6,528 | 1.1 | % |
| Total | \$326,219 | \$48,136 | \$65,515 | \$109,348 | \$26,405 | \$575,623 | 100.0 | % |
| Percent of total loans in geographic area | 56.4 | % 8.4 | % 11.5 | % 19.1 | % 4.6 | % 100.0 | % | |
| Percent of total loans where real estate is the primary collateral | 70.6 | % 64.5 | % 59.5 | % 40.2 | % 85.4 | % 63.7 | % | |

45

Table of Contents

As illustrated, 58% of the Company's loans are in north Idaho and eastern Washington, with the next highest percentage in the rural markets of southwest Idaho outside of Boise. Although economic trends and real estate valuations have worsened in these market areas, portfolio loss rates have still been lower than in the Boise area or other areas of the country. This reflects the differing economies in these areas, generally more conservative lending and borrowing norms, longer-term customers, and more restrained building and development activity. In particular, large national and regional developers and builders did not enter and subsequently exit these markets. The southwest Idaho and Magic Valley markets are largely agricultural areas which have not seen levels of price appreciation or depreciation as steep as other areas over the last few years. The "Other" category noted above largely represents loans made to local borrowers where the collateral is located outside the Company's communities. The mix in this category is relatively diverse, with the highest proportions in Oregon, Washington, California, Nevada and Arizona, but no single state comprising more than 35.5% of this total or 1.8% of the total loan portfolio.

Participation loans where Intermountain purchased part of the loan and was not the lead bank totaled \$20.4 million at June 30, 2011. \$7.1 million of the total is a condominium project in Boise that is currently classified, but is being managed very closely, and for which no loss is expected. The remaining loans are all within the Company's footprint and management believes they do not present significant risk at this time.

The following table sets forth the composition of Intermountain's loan originations for the periods indicated.

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | | Six Months Ended June 30, | | |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------|----------|---------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | % Change | 2011 | 2010 | % Change |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | |
| Commercial loans | \$13,308 | \$16,742 | (20.5) | \$38,281 | \$46,902 | (18.4)% |
| Commercial real estate loans | 7,840 | 4,158 | 88.6 | 9,606 | 13,499 | (28.8)% |
| Commercial construction loans | 2,459 | 670 | 267.0 | 2,459 | 7,410 | (66.8)% |
| Land and land development loans | 469 | 1,569 | (70.1) | 1,113 | 2,639 | (57.8)% |
| Agriculture loans | 17,587 | 19,850 | (11.4) | 35,995 | 46,352 | (22.3)% |
| Multifamily loans | — | — | — | — | — | — % |
| Residential real estate loans | 11,289 | 17,668 | (36.1) | 29,856 | 34,931 | (14.5)% |
| Residential construction loans | 1,208 | 1,198 | 0.8 | 1,908 | 1,801 | 5.9 % |
| Consumer | 1,150 | 1,252 | (8.1) | 2,097 | 2,347 | (10.7)% |
| Municipal | 202 | 834 | (75.8) | 16,972 | 834 | 1,935.0 % |
| Total loans originated | \$55,512 | \$63,941 | (13.2) | \$138,287 | \$156,715 | (11.8)% |

2011 origination activity reflects the muted borrowing demand from virtually all sectors in the current environment, as potential borrowers remain very cautious about pursuing new real estate purchase, expansion or construction activities, and as agricultural customers experience strong cash flows. Municipal activity picked up in 2011, as the Company originated several larger local government loans. The Company continues to market residential and commercial lending programs to help ensure the credit needs of its communities are met. In particular, it is pursuing attractive small and mid-market commercial credits, originating commercial real estate loans to strong borrowers at lower real estate prices, pursuing municipal and non-profit organization lending opportunities, originating mortgage loans to strong borrowers at conservative loan-to-values, diversifying its agricultural portfolio, and expanding its already strong government-guaranteed loan marketing efforts. Management believes that loan demand will pick up moderately as economic conditions improve and customers begin to expand operations again. However, competition for qualified borrowers is likely to remain fierce and favor those banks with low-cost funding structures and strong relationship networks.

Office Properties and Equipment. Office properties and equipment decreased 3.1% to \$39.0 million at June 30, 2011 from year end as a result of depreciation recorded for the six months ended June 30, 2011. Reflecting efficiencies gained from prior infrastructure investments, the Company has been able to reduce its recent hardware, software and equipment purchases.

Other Real Estate Owned. Other real estate owned increased by \$3.4 million to \$7.8 million at June 30, 2011 from December 31, 2010. For the first six months of 2011, the Company sold 39 properties totaling \$4.4 million, wrote off \$0.3 million and added 18 properties totaling \$8.1 million, including one land development project totaling \$5.9 million in the second quarter. A total of 26 properties remained in the OREO portfolio at quarter end, consisting of \$6.8 million in construction and land development properties, \$0.4 million in commercial real estate properties, and \$0.6 million in residential real estate. Overall, the Company's current OREO portfolio is lower than most of its peer group and management anticipates reductions in the total in the future. The following table details OREO activity during the most recent quarter.

Table of Contents

Other Real Estate Owned Activity

| | 2011 | 2010 |
|---|------------------------|----------|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | |
| Balance, beginning of period, January 1 | \$4,429 | \$11,538 |
| Additions to OREO | 8,115 | 4,928 |
| Proceeds from sale of OREO | (4,400) | (5,817) |
| Valuation Adjustments in the period(1) | (326) | (1,895) |
| Balance, end of period, June 30 | \$7,818 | \$8,754 |

(1) Amount includes chargedowns and gains/losses on sale of OREO

Intangible Assets. Intangible assets now consist only of a small core deposit intangible derived from prior acquisitions and is amortizing down as time progresses.

BOLI and All Other Assets. Bank-owned life insurance ("BOLI") and other assets decreased to \$35.2 million at June 30, 2011 from \$37.9 million at December 31, 2010. The deferred tax asset, net of the valuation allowance noted above, comprises \$13.7 million of this balance and decreased by \$1.5 million due to increase in the unrealized market value of the investment securities. Accrued interest receivable and prepaid items comprise the bulk of the remainder of these assets.

Deposits. Total deposits decreased \$42.8 million to \$736.0 million at June 30, 2011 from \$778.8 million at December 31, 2010. The decrease reflected a combination of limited Company need for additional funding, a focused effort to lower interest expense, conversion of CD, NOW and money market balances into non-FDIC insured investments through the Company's trust and investment division, and increased usage of funds by some of the Company's commercial and agri-business customers to reduce debt, pay taxes and fund operations. The Company continues to focus on managing relationship customers closely, lowering its cost of funds, and moving CD customers seeking higher yields into our investment products. Overall, transaction account deposits comprised 65.5% of total deposits at June 30, 2011, up from 63.5% a year ago, and 63.7% at year-end, 2010. Brokered CDs declined \$4.0 million during the six months ended June 30, 2011, and \$8.4 million in the past 12 months. The following table sets forth the composition of Intermountain's deposits at the dates indicated.

| | June 30, 2011 | | December 31, 2010 | | |
|--|------------------------|-------|-------------------|-------|---|
| | Amount | % | Amount | % | |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | |
| Non-interest bearing demand accounts | \$166,261 | 22.7 | % \$168,519 | 21.6 | % |
| NOW and money market 0.0% to 4.65% | 315,986 | 42.9 | % 327,891 | 42.1 | % |
| Savings and IRA 0.0% to 5.75% | 75,024 | 10.2 | % 75,387 | 9.7 | % |
| Certificate of deposit accounts (CDs) | 68,053 | 9.2 | % 79,533 | 10.2 | % |
| Jumbo CDs | 65,758 | 8.9 | % 77,685 | 10.0 | % |
| Brokered CDs | 36,899 | 5.0 | % 40,899 | 5.3 | % |
| CDARS CDs to local customers | 8,048 | 1.1 | % 8,919 | 1.1 | % |
| Total deposits | \$736,029 | 100.0 | % \$778,833 | 100.0 | % |
| Weighted average interest rate on certificates of deposit | | 1.55 | % | 1.66 | % |
| Core Deposits as a percentage of total deposits (1) | | 84.6 | % | 83.2 | % |
| Deposits generated from the Company's market area as a % of total deposits | | 95.0 | % | 94.8 | % |

(1) Core deposits consist of non-interest bearing checking, money market checking, savings accounts, and certificate of deposit accounts of less than \$100,000 (excluding public deposits).

The Company's strong local, core funding base, high percentage of checking, money market and savings balances and careful management of its brokered CD funding provide lower-cost, more reliable funding to the Company than many

of its peers and add to the liquidity strength of the Bank. Maintaining the local funding base at a reasonable cost remains a critical priority for the Company's management and production staff. The Company uses a combination of proactive branch staff efforts and a dedicated team of deposit sales specialists to target low-cost deposit balances. It emphasizes personalized service, local community

Table of Contents

involvement and targeted campaigns to generate deposits, rather than media campaigns or advertised rate specials.

Deposits by location are as follows (dollars in thousands):

| Deposits by Location | June 30, 2011 | % of total deposits | December 31, 2010 | % of total deposits | June 30, 2010 | % of total deposits |
|---|------------------|------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|------------------------|
| North Idaho — Eastern Washington | \$360,124 | 48.9 % | \$ 374,173 | 48.0 % | \$389,935 | 48.4 % |
| Magic Valley Idaho | 65,545 | 8.9 % | 68,870 | 8.8 % | 71,047 | 8.8 % |
| Greater Boise Area | 63,838 | 8.7 % | 79,697 | 10.2 % | 76,879 | 9.5 % |
| Southwest Idaho — Oregon, excluding Boise | 56,618 | 21.3 % | 165,505 | 21.3 % | 166,452 | 20.6 % |
| Administration, Secured Savings | 89,904 | 12.2 % | 90,588 | 11.7 % | 102,467 | 12.7 % |
| Total | \$736,029 | 100.0 % | \$ 778,833 | 100.0 % | \$806,780 | 100.0 % |

The Company attempts to, and has been successful in balancing loan and deposit growth in each of the market areas it serves. While northern Idaho and eastern Washington deposits currently exceed those in the Company's southern Idaho and eastern Oregon markets, deposits in these newer markets have grown more rapidly over the past few years. The Company's deposit market share has grown significantly over the past ten years, and it now ranks second in overall market share in its core markets. Intermountain continues to be the deposit market share leader in five of the eleven counties in which it operates.

Borrowings. Deposit accounts are Intermountain's primary source of funds. Intermountain also relies upon advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank of Seattle, repurchase agreements and other borrowings to supplement its funding, reduce its overall cost of funds, and to meet deposit withdrawal requirements. These borrowings totaled \$150.2 million and \$155.6 million at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. The decrease from year end reflects minor fluctuations in municipal repurchase balances.

Interest Rate Risk

The results of operations for financial institutions may be materially and adversely affected by changes in prevailing economic conditions, including rapid changes in interest rates, declines in real estate market values and the monetary and fiscal policies of the federal government. Like all financial institutions, Intermountain's net interest income and its NPV (the net present value of financial assets, liabilities and off-balance sheet contracts), are subject to fluctuations in interest rates. Intermountain utilizes various tools to assess and manage interest rate risk, including an internal income simulation model that seeks to estimate the impact of various rate changes on the net interest income and net income of the bank. This model is validated by comparing results against various third-party estimations. Currently, the model and third-party estimates indicate that Intermountain's interest rate profile is neutral to slightly asset-sensitive. An asset-sensitive bank generally sees improved net interest income and net income in a rising rate environment, as its assets reprice more rapidly and/or to a greater degree than its liabilities. The opposite is true in a falling interest rate environment. When market rates fall, an asset-sensitive bank tends to see declining income. The Company has become less asset-sensitive over the preceding year, as many of its variable-rate loans have hit contractual floors and the duration of its liability portfolio has shortened.

To minimize the long-term impact of fluctuating interest rates on net interest income, Intermountain promotes a loan pricing policy of utilizing variable interest rate structures that associates loan rates to Intermountain's internal cost of funds and to the nationally recognized prime or London Interbank Offered ("LIBOR") lending rates. While this strategy has had adverse impacts in the current unusually low rate environment, the approach historically has contributed to a relatively consistent interest rate spread over the long-term and reduces pressure from borrowers to renegotiate loan terms during periods of falling interest rates. Intermountain currently maintains over fifty percent of its loan portfolio in variable interest rate assets.

Additionally, the extent to which borrowers prepay loans is affected by prevailing interest rates. When interest rates increase, borrowers are less likely to prepay loans. When interest rates decrease, borrowers are generally more likely to prepay loans. Prepayment speeds accelerated in 2009 and most of 2010, as borrowers refinanced into lower rates, paid down debt to improve their financial position, or liquidated assets as part of problem loan work-out strategies.

Prepayments may affect the levels of loans retained in an institution's portfolio, as well as its net interest income. Prepayments are likely to slow further in future periods as the economy improves and rates begin rising. Intermountain maintains an asset and liability management program intended to manage net interest income through interest rate cycles and to protect its income by controlling its exposure to changing interest rates. On the liability side, Intermountain seeks to manage its interest rate risk exposure by maintaining a relatively high percentage of non-interest bearing demand deposits, interest-bearing demand deposits, savings and money market accounts. These instruments

Table of Contents

tend to lag changes in market rates and may afford the Bank more protection in increasing interest rate environments, but can also be changed relatively quickly in a declining rate environment. The Bank utilizes various deposit pricing strategies and other borrowing sources to manage its rate risk. As noted above, the duration of the Company's liabilities has generally shortened over the past 18 months, as customers have preferred shorter-term deposit products and the Company has not replaced longer-term brokered and wholesale funding instruments as they have come due. Intermountain uses a simulation model designed to measure the sensitivity of net interest income and net income to changes in interest rates. This simulation model is designed to enable Intermountain to generate a forecast of net interest income and net income given various interest rate forecasts and alternative strategies. The model is also designed to measure the anticipated impact that prepayment risk, basis risk, customer maturity preferences, volumes of new business and changes in the relationship between long-term and short-term interest rates have on the performance of Intermountain. The results of modeling indicate that the estimated impact of changing rates on net interest income in a 100 and 300 basis point upward adjustment are within the guidelines established by management. The estimated impact of changing rates on net interest income in a 100 basis point downward adjustment in market interest rates is just outside of the Company's guidelines over a 12-month forward looking period, but within the guidelines over a 24-month period. The impacts of changing rates on the Company's modeled economic value of equity are also within the Company's guidelines and reflect a minimum risk profile. The current scenario analysis for net income has been impacted by the unusual current and prior year operating results of the Company, which increases the impact of both upward and downward adjustments on the percentage increase and decrease. Given this, management has tended to place more reliance on the net interest income and economic value of equity modeling. Intermountain is continuing to pursue strategies to manage the level of its interest rate risk while increasing its long-term net interest income and net income: 1) through the origination and retention of a diversified mix of variable and fixed-rate consumer, business banking, commercial real estate loans, and residential loans which generally have higher yields than alternative investments; 2) by prudently managing its investment portfolio to provide relative stability in the face of changing rate environments; and 3) by increasing the level of its core deposits, which are generally a lower-cost, less rate-sensitive funding source than wholesale borrowings. There can be no assurance that Intermountain will be successful implementing any of these strategies or that, if these strategies are implemented, they will have the intended effect of reducing interest rate risk or increasing net interest income.

Liquidity and Sources of Funds

As a financial institution, Intermountain's primary sources of funds from assets include the collection of loan principal and interest payments, cash flows from various investment securities, and sales of loans, investments or other assets.

Liability financing sources consist primarily of customer deposits, repurchase obligations with local customers, advances from FHLB Seattle and correspondent bank borrowings.

Deposits decreased to \$736.0 million at June 30, 2011 from \$778.8 million at December 31, 2010, with the largest decreases in retail CD and NOW and money market balances. Repurchase agreements also decreased by \$5.4 million, reflecting moderate fluctuations in municipal repurchase activity. Decreases in loan and cash and cash equivalents offset the largely planned reductions in deposits and a planned deployment of cash into higher yielding investment securities, which cumulatively resulted in a decrease of \$53.8 million in the Company's cash position at June 30, 2011 from year end, 2010.

During the six months ended June 30, 2011, cash used by investing activities consisted primarily of the purchase of available-for-sale investment securities. During the same period, cash used by financing activities consisted primarily of decreases in deposits and repurchase agreements.

Securities sold subject to repurchase agreements totaled \$99.7 million at June 30, 2011. These borrowings are required to be collateralized by investments with a market value exceeding the face value of the borrowings. Under certain circumstances, Intermountain could be required to pledge additional securities or reduce the borrowings.

Intermountain's credit line with FHLB Seattle provides for borrowings up to a percentage of its total assets subject to general collateralization requirements. At June 30, 2011, the Company's FHLB Seattle credit line represented a total borrowing capacity of approximately \$106.9 million, of which \$36.6 million was being utilized. Additional collateralized funding availability at the Federal Reserve totaled \$27.9 million. Both of these collateral secured lines

could be expanded more with the placement of additional collateral. Overnight-unsecured borrowing lines have been established at US Bank and Pacific Coast Bankers Bank ("PCBB"). At June 30, 2011, the Company had approximately \$35.0 million of overnight funding available from its unsecured correspondent banking sources.

Intermountain maintains an active liquidity monitoring and management plan, and has worked aggressively over the past several years to expand its sources of alternative liquidity. Given continuing volatile economic conditions, the Company has taken additional protective measures to enhance liquidity, including intensive customer education and communication efforts, movement of funds into highly liquid assets and increased emphasis on relationship deposit-gathering efforts. Because of its relatively low reliance on non-core funding sources and the additional efforts undertaken to improve liquidity discussed above, management believes that the Company's current liquidity risk is moderate and manageable.

Table of Contents

Management continues to monitor its liquidity position carefully and conducts periodic stress tests to evaluate future potential liquidity concerns. It has established contingency plans for potential liquidity shortfalls. Longer term, the Company intends to fund asset growth primarily with core deposit growth, and it has initiated a number of organizational changes and programs to spur this growth when needed.

Liquidity for the parent Company depends substantially on dividends from the Bank. As discussed more fully in “Risk Factors”, the Bank is currently prohibited from paying dividends to the parent Company without prior regulatory approval. The other primary sources of liquidity for the Parent Company are capital or borrowings. Management projects that current resources will be sufficient to meet the parent Company’s projected funding needs until September 2011, and has announced the signing of securities purchase agreements to raise an additional \$70 million in capital, as noted in the “Capital Resources” section noted below.

Capital Resources

Intermountain’s total stockholders’ equity was \$60.4 million at June 30, 2011, compared with \$59.4 million at December 31, 2010. The increase in total stockholders’ equity was primarily due to the increase in the unrealized gain in the investment portfolio offset by the net loss and accrual of preferred stock dividends. At June 30, 2011, Intermountain had unrealized gains of \$1.6 million (including cumulative OTTI recognized through other comprehensive income), net of related income taxes, on investments classified as available-for-sale and \$424,000 in unrealized losses on cash flow hedges, net of related income taxes. This compares to unrealized losses of \$797,000, net of related income taxes, on investments classified as available-for-sale and \$432,000 unrealized losses on cash flow hedges at December 31, 2010. Stockholders’ equity was 6.3% of total assets at June 30, 2011 and 5.9% at December 31, 2010. Tangible stockholders’ equity as a percentage of tangible assets was 6.3% for June 30, 2011 and 5.9% for December 31, 2010, and tangible common equity as a percentage of tangible assets was 3.6% for June 30, 2011 and 3.3% for December 31, 2010.

On December 19, 2008, the Company entered into a definitive agreement with the U.S. Treasury. Pursuant to this Agreement, the Company sold 27,000 shares of Preferred Stock, no par value, having a liquidation amount equal to \$1,000 per share, including a warrant (“The Warrant”) to purchase 653,226 shares of the Company’s common stock, no par value, to the U.S. Treasury. The Warrant has a 10-year term and has an exercise price, subject to anti-dilution adjustments, equal to \$6.20 per share of common stock.

The preferred stock qualifies as Tier 1 capital and provides for cumulative dividends at a rate of 5% per year, for the first five years, and 9% per year thereafter. The preferred stock may be redeemed with the approval of the U.S. Treasury in the first three years with the proceeds from the issuance of certain qualifying Tier 1 capital or after three years at par value plus accrued and unpaid dividends. The original terms governing the Preferred Stock prohibited the Company from redeeming the shares during the first three years other than from proceeds received from a qualifying equity offering. However, subsequent legislation was passed that would now permit the Company to redeem the shares of preferred stock upon the approval of Treasury and the Company’s primary federal regulator.

Intermountain issued and has outstanding \$16.5 million of Trust Preferred Securities. The indenture governing the Trust Preferred Securities limits the ability of Intermountain under certain circumstances to pay dividends or to make other capital distributions. The Trust Preferred Securities are treated as debt of Intermountain. These Trust Preferred Securities can be called for redemption beginning in March 2008 by the Company at 100% of the aggregate principal plus accrued and unpaid interest. See Note 6 of “Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.”

On April 6, 2011, the Company announced that it had entered into securities purchase agreements with certain accredited investors (“Investors”), pursuant to which it expects to raise aggregate gross proceeds of \$70 million, subject to bank regulatory approvals and confirmations and satisfaction of other customary closing conditions, through the issuance and sale of 70 million shares of common stock at \$1.00 per share in a private placement. The Company also plans to conduct a \$5 million rights offering after the closing of the private placement that will allow existing shareholders to purchase common shares at the same purchase price per share as the Investors. Certain Investors have agreed, subject to applicable regulatory limitations, to purchase shares any existing shareholders do not purchase in the rights offering. The Company expects to use the proceeds from the capital raise and the rights offering to make capital contributions to and strengthen the balance sheet of the Bank, for other general corporate purposes and as

otherwise provided for in the agreements. The Company and certain of its Investors submitted the required applications to its regulators in mid-April, 2011, and presently expects the transaction to close in the third quarter of this year.

Intermountain and the Bank are required by applicable regulations to maintain certain minimum capital levels and ratios of total and Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets, and of Tier I capital to average assets. At June 30, 2011 Intermountain exceeded the minimum published regulatory capital requirements to be considered "well-capitalized" pursuant to Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council "FFIEC" regulations. However, the Bank executed an informal agreement with its primary regulators in the first quarter of 2010 which among other conditions, required the Bank to increase its capital by \$30 million by June 16, 2010 and maintain a 10% Tier 1 capital to average assets ratio. Although the Company was not able to meet the capital requirements by the June 16, 2010 deadline, successful completion of the capital raise noted above would satisfy these conditions.

Table of Contents

However, there can be no assurance that all closing conditions will be satisfied and the transactions will close as expected.

The following tables set forth the amounts and ratios regarding actual and minimum published core Tier 1 risk-based and total risk-based capital requirements, together with the published amounts and ratios required in order to meet the definition of a “well-capitalized” institution as reported on the quarterly Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council “FFIEC” call report at June 30, 2011.

| | Actual | | Capital Requirements | | Well-Capitalized Requirements | | | |
|---|----------|-------|----------------------|-------|-------------------------------|-------|---|--|
| | Amount | Ratio | Amount | Ratio | Amount | Ratio | | |
| Total capital (to risk-weighted assets): | | | | | | | | |
| The Company | \$74,602 | 11.46 | % \$52,059 | 8 | % \$65,074 | 10 | % | |
| Panhandle State Bank | 80,092 | 12.31 | % 52,060 | 8 | % 65,075 | 10 | % | |
| Tier I capital (to risk-weighted assets): | | | | | | | | |
| The Company | 66,399 | 10.20 | % 26,030 | 4 | % 39,044 | 6 | % | |
| Panhandle State Bank | 71,889 | 11.05 | % 26,030 | 4 | % 39,045 | 6 | % | |
| Tier I capital (to average assets): | | | | | | | | |
| The Company | 66,399 | 6.97 | % 38,130 | 4 | % 47,663 | 5 | % | |
| Panhandle State Bank | 71,889 | 7.54 | % 38,130 | 4 | % 47,663 | 5 | % | |

Reflecting the Company’s ongoing strategy to prudently manage through the current economic cycle, the decision to maximize equity and liquidity at the Bank level has correspondingly reduced cash available at the parent Company. Consequently, to conserve liquid assets, in December 2009 the Company decided to defer regularly scheduled interest payments on its outstanding Junior Subordinated Debentures related to its Trust Preferred Securities (“TRUPS Debentures”), and regular quarterly cash dividend payments on its preferred stock held by the U.S. Treasury. The Company is permitted to defer payments of interest on the TRUPS Debentures for up to 20 consecutive quarterly periods without default. During the deferral period, the Company may not pay any dividends or distributions on, or redeem, purchase or acquire, or make a liquidation payment with respect to the Company’s capital stock, or make any payment of principal or interest on, or repay, repurchase or redeem any debt securities of the Company that rank equally or junior to the TRUPS Debentures. Under the terms of the preferred stock, if the Company does not pay dividends for six quarterly dividend periods (whether or not consecutive), Treasury would be entitled to appoint two members to the Company’s board of directors. The seventh payment deferral will occur in August 2011, allowing Treasury the contractual right to appoint the two directors. Deferred payments compound for both the TRUPS Debentures and preferred stock. Although these expenses will be accrued on the consolidated income statements for the Company, deferring these interest and dividend payments preserves approximately \$477,000 per quarter in cash for the Company.

Notwithstanding the deferral of interest and dividend payments, the Company fully intends to meet all of its obligations to the Treasury and holders of the TRUPS Debentures as quickly as it is prudent to do so, which could occur promptly following the closing of the Company’s capital raise described above if it closes as expected and upon approval of the Company’s primary regulators.

Off Balance Sheet Arrangements and Contractual Obligations

The Company, in the conduct of ordinary business operations routinely enters into contracts for services. These contracts may require payment for services to be provided in the future and may also contain penalty clauses for the early termination of the contracts. The Company is also party to financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk in the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of its customers. These financial instruments include commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit. Management does not believe that these off-balance sheet arrangements have a material current effect on the Company’s financial condition, changes in financial condition, revenues or expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures or capital resources, but there is no

assurance that such arrangements will not have a future effect.

Tabular Disclosure of Contractual Obligations

The following table represents the Company's on-and-off balance sheet aggregate contractual obligations to make future payments as of June 30, 2011.

51

Table of Contents

| | Payments Due by Period | | | | |
|----------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| | Total | Less than 1 Year | 1 to 3 Years | Over 3 to 5 Years | More than 5 Years |
| | (in thousands) | | | | |
| Long-term debt (1) | \$58,754 | \$1,183 | \$26,593 | \$5,143 | \$25,835 |
| Short-term debt | 104,723 | 104,723 | — | — | — |
| Capital lease obligations | — | — | — | — | — |
| Operating lease obligations (2) | 13,266 | 1,044 | 1,642 | 1,599 | 8,981 |
| Direct financing obligations (3) | 33,725 | 1,635 | 3,270 | 3,434 | 25,386 |
| Total | \$210,468 | \$108,585 | \$31,505 | \$10,176 | \$60,202 |

(1) Includes interest payments related to long-term debt agreements.

Excludes recurring accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities, repurchase agreements and customer (2) deposits, all of which are recorded on the registrant's balance sheet. See Notes 5 and 6 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

(3) Sandpoint Center Building lease payments related to direct financing transaction executed in August 2009.

New Accounting Pronouncements

In July 2010, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (the "FASB") issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") 2010-20, "Disclosures about the Credit Quality of Financing Receivables and the Allowance for Credit Losses." This update amends codification topic 310 on receivables to improve the disclosures that an entity provides about the credit quality of its financing receivables and the related allowance for credit losses. As a result of these amendments, an entity is required to disaggregate by portfolio segment or class certain existing disclosures and provide certain new disclosures about its financing receivables and related allowance for credit losses. This guidance was phased in, with the new disclosure requirements for period end balances effective as of December 31, 2010, and the new disclosure requirements for activity during the reporting period effective March 31, 2011. The troubled debt restructuring disclosures in this ASU have been delayed by ASU 2011-01, "Deferral of the Effective Date of Disclosures about Troubled Debt Restructurings in Update No. 2010-20," which was issued in January 2011.

In April 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-2, "A Creditor's Determination of Whether a Restructuring Is a Troubled Debt Restructuring." This update to codification topic 310 provides guidance for what constitutes a concession, as well as clarity for determining whether a debtor is experiencing financial difficulties. The amendments in this update are effective for the Company on July 1, 2011, with retrospective application from January 1, 2011. This update is not expected to have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In April 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-03, "Reconsideration of Effective Control for Repurchase Agreements." The amendments in this ASU remove from the assessment of effective control the criteria relating to the transferor's ability to repurchase or redeem financial assets on substantially the agreed terms, even in the event of default by the transferee. The amendments in this ASU also eliminate the requirement to demonstrate that the transferor possesses adequate collateral to fund substantially all the cost of purchasing replacement financial assets. The guidance in this ASU is effective for the first interim or annual period beginning on or after December 15, 2011. The guidance should be applied prospectively to transactions or modifications of existing transactions that occur on or after the effective date. Early adoption is not permitted. The Company will adopt the methodologies prescribed by this ASU by the date required, and does not anticipate that the ASU will have a material effect on its financial position or results of operations.

In May 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-04, "Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs." The amendments in this ASU generally represent clarifications of Topic 820, but also include some instances where a particular principle or requirement for measuring fair value or disclosing information about fair value measurements has changed. This ASU results in common principles and requirements for measuring fair value and for disclosing information about fair value measurements in accordance with U.S. GAAP and IFRSs. The amendments in this ASU are to be applied prospectively. For public entities, the

amendments are effective during interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. Early application by public entities is not permitted. The Company will adopt the methodologies prescribed by this ASU by the date required, and does not anticipate that the ASU will have a material effect on its financial position or results of operations.

In June 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-05, "Amendments to Topic 220, Comprehensive Income." Under the amendments in this ASU, an entity has the option to present the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income, and the components of other comprehensive income either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive

Table of Contents

statements. In both choices, an entity is required to present each component of net income along with total net income, each component of other comprehensive income along with a total for other comprehensive income, and a total amount for comprehensive income. This ASU eliminates the option to present the components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of changes in stockholders' equity. The amendments in this ASU do not change the items that must be reported in other comprehensive income or when an item of other comprehensive income must be reclassified to net income. The amendments in this ASU should be applied retrospectively. For public entities, the amendments are effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011. Early adoption is permitted, because compliance with the amendments is already permitted. The amendments do not require any transition disclosures. Due to the recency of this pronouncement, the Company is evaluating its timing of adoption of ASU 2011-05, but will adopt the ASU retrospectively by the due date.

Forward-Looking Statements

CAUTIONARY NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This report contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, statements about our plans, objectives, expectations and intentions that are not historical facts, such as the statements in this report regarding expected or projected asset quality and losses, expenses, the closing of the Company's announced capital raise and resulting capital levels, and the parent Company's liquidity, and other statements identified by words such as "expects," "anticipates," "intends," "plans," "believes," "will likely," "should," "projects," "seeks," "estimates" or words of similar meaning. These forward-looking statements are based on current beliefs and expectations of management and are inherently subject to significant business, economic and competitive uncertainties and contingencies, many of which are beyond our control. In addition, these forward-looking statements are subject to assumptions with respect to future business strategies and decisions that are subject to change. In addition to the factors set forth in the sections titled "Risk Factors," "Business" and "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations", as applicable, in this report and our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010, the following factors, among others, could cause actual results to differ materially from the anticipated results:

- further deterioration in economic conditions that could result in increased loan and lease losses;
- risks associated with concentrations in real estate-related loans;
- declines in real estate values supporting loan collateral;
- our ability to comply with the requirements of regulatory orders issued to us and/or our banking subsidiary;
- our ability to raise capital or incur debt on reasonable terms;
- regulatory limits on our subsidiary bank's ability to pay dividends to the Company;
- applicable laws and regulations and legislative or regulatory changes, including the ultimate financial and operational burden of financial regulatory reform legislation and related regulations and the restrictions imposed on participants in the Troubled Asset Relief Program ("TARP") Capital Purchase Program, including the impact of executive compensation restrictions, which may affect our ability to retain and recruit executives in competition with other firms who do not operate under those restrictions;
- inflation and interest rate levels, and market and monetary fluctuations;
- the risks associated with lending and potential adverse changes in credit quality;
- changes in market interest rates and spreads, which could adversely affect our net interest income and profitability;
- increased loan delinquency rates;
- trade, monetary and fiscal policies and laws, including interest rate and income tax policies of the federal government;
- the timely development and acceptance of new products and services of Intermountain;
- the willingness of customers to substitute competitors' products and services for Intermountain's products and services;
- technological and management changes;
- our ability to recruit and retain key management and staff;
- changes in estimates and assumptions used in financial accounting;
- the Company's critical accounting policies and the implementation of such policies;

Table of Contents

- growth and acquisition strategies;
- lower-than-expected revenue or cost savings or other issues in connection with mergers and acquisitions;
- changes in consumer spending, saving and borrowing habits;
- the strength of the United States economy in general and the strength of the local economies in which Intermountain conducts its operations;
- our ability to attract new deposits and loans and leases;
- competitive market pricing factors;
- stability of funding sources and continued availability of borrowings;
- Intermountain's success in gaining regulatory approvals, when required;
- results of regulatory examinations that could restrict growth;
 - future legislative or administrative changes to the TARP Capital Purchase Program; and
- Intermountain's success at managing the risks involved in the foregoing.

Please take into account that forward-looking statements speak only as of the date of this report. We do not undertake any obligation to publicly correct or update any forward-looking statement whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

Item 3—Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

There have not been any material changes to the information set forth under the caption “Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk” included in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Item 4—Controls and Procedures

(a) Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures: Intermountain’s management, with the participation of Intermountain’s principal executive officer and principal financial officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of Intermountain’s disclosure controls and procedures (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Exchange Act”)) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on such evaluation, Intermountain’s principal executive officer and principal financial officer have concluded that, as of the end of such period, Intermountain’s disclosure controls and procedures are effective in recording, processing, summarizing and reporting, on a timely basis, information required to be disclosed by Intermountain in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act.

(b) Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting: In the three months ended June 30, 2011, there were no changes in Intermountain’s internal control over financial reporting that materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, Intermountain’s internal control over financial reporting.

PART II—Other Information

Item 1—Legal Proceedings

Intermountain and Panhandle are parties to various claims, legal actions and complaints in the ordinary course of business. In Intermountain’s opinion, all such matters are adequately covered by insurance, are without merit or are of such kind, or involve such amounts, that unfavorable disposition would not have a material adverse effect on the consolidated financial position or results of operations of Intermountain.

Item 1A.—Risk Factors

Our business exposes us to certain risks. The following is a discussion of what we currently believe are the most significant risks and uncertainties that may affect our business, financial condition or results of operations, or the value of our common stock.

The continued challenging economic environment could have a material adverse effect on our future results of operations or market price of our stock.

The national economy and the financial services sector in particular, are still facing significant challenges.

Substantially all of our loans are to businesses and individuals in northern, southwestern and south central Idaho,

eastern Washington and

54

Table of Contents

southwestern Oregon, markets facing many of the same challenges as the national economy, including elevated unemployment levels and declines in commercial and residential real estate values. Although some economic indicators are improving both nationally and in the markets we serve, unemployment remains high and there remains substantial uncertainty regarding when and how strongly a sustained economic recovery will occur. A further deterioration in economic conditions in the nation as a whole or in the markets we serve could result in the following consequences, any of which could have an adverse impact, which may be material, on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects, and could also cause the market price of our stock to decline:

- economic conditions may worsen, increasing the likelihood of credit defaults by borrowers;
- loan collateral values, especially as they relate to commercial and residential real estate, may decline further, thereby increasing the severity of loss in the event of loan defaults;
- nonperforming assets and write-downs of assets underlying troubled credits could adversely affect our earnings;
- demand for banking products and services may decline, including services for low cost and non-interest-bearing deposits; and
- changes and volatility in interest rates may negatively impact the yields on earning assets and the cost of interest-bearing liabilities.

Our allowance for loan losses may not be adequate to cover actual loan losses, which could adversely affect our earnings.

We maintain an allowance for loan losses in an amount that we believe is adequate to provide for losses inherent in our loan portfolio. While we strive to carefully manage and monitor credit quality and to identify loans that may be deteriorating, at any time there are loans included in the portfolio that may result in losses, but that have not yet been identified as potential problem loans. Through established credit practices, we attempt to identify deteriorating loans and adjust the loan loss reserve accordingly. However, because future events are uncertain, there may be loans that deteriorate in an accelerated time frame. As a result, future additions to the allowance may be necessary. Because the loan portfolio contains a number of loans with relatively large balances, deterioration in the credit quality of one or more of these loans may require a significant increase to the allowance for loan losses. Future additions to the allowance may also be required based on changes in the financial condition of borrowers, such as have resulted due to the current, economic conditions or as a result of actual events turning out differently than forecasted in the assumptions we use to determine the allowance for loan losses. Additionally, federal banking regulators, as an integral part of their supervisory function, periodically review our allowance for loan losses. These regulatory agencies may require us to recognize further loan loss provisions or charge-offs based upon their judgments, which may be different from ours. Any increase in the allowance for loan losses would have a negative effect, which may be material, on our financial condition and results of operations.

We have entered into an informal agreement with our regulators to take steps to further strengthen the Bank.

The Bank has entered into an informal agreement with the FDIC and the Idaho Department of Finance to take steps to further strengthen the Bank within specified timeframes, including, among other items, increasing capital by at least \$30 million by June 16, 2010 and thereafter maintaining a minimum 10% Tier 1 Capital to Average Assets ratio, not paying dividends from the Bank to the Company without prior approval, achieving staged reductions in the Bank's adversely classified assets and not engaging in transactions that would materially alter our balance sheet composition. Management has taken numerous steps to satisfy the conditions of the agreement, including entering into securities purchase agreements with certain investors to issue and sell to the investors an aggregate of \$70 million in newly issued shares of common stock, subject to customary closing conditions including required bank regulatory approvals and confirmations and absence of a material adverse change with respect to the Company. Although the Company expects the transaction to close in the third quarter of 2011, there can be no assurance that all closing conditions will be satisfied and the transactions will close as expected.

We may pursue additional capital in the future, which could dilute the holders of our outstanding common stock and may adversely affect the market price of our common stock.

We have entered into the agreements described above providing for the issuance and sale to certain investors of \$70 million of our common stock, and we expect the transactions to close. If the planned capital raise closes as expected, it will result in substantial dilution to the current holders of our common stock. In the event the capital raise

does not close as expected we would pursue alternative strategies in order to further strengthen our capital and better position ourselves to take advantage of opportunities that may arise in the future. In addition, as noted above, we have entered into an informal agreement with our primary regulators to increase capital levels at the Bank. Alternatives for raising capital may include issuance and sale of common or preferred stock, or borrowings by the Company, with proceeds contributed to the Bank. Our ability to raise additional capital would depend on, among other things, conditions in the capital markets at the time, which are outside of our control, and our financial performance. We cannot assure you that such capital will be available to us on acceptable terms, if at all. Any such capital raising alternatives likely would dilute the holders of our outstanding common stock and may adversely affect the market price of our common stock.

We have recently incurred significant losses and losses may continue in the future.

Table of Contents

We have incurred significant losses over the last couple of years. In light of the current economic environment, significant additional provisions for credit losses may be necessary to supplement the allowance for loan and lease losses in the future. As a result, we may incur significant credit costs, including legal and related collection expenses in 2011, which would continue to have an adverse impact on our financial condition and results of operations and the market price of our common stock. Additional credit losses or impairment charges could cause us to incur a net loss in the future and could adversely affect the price of, and market for, our common stock.

Concentration in real estate loans and the deterioration in the real estate markets we serve could require material increases in our allowance for loan losses and adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations. The current economic downturn and sluggish recovery is significantly affecting our market area. At June 30, 2011, 62.3% of our loans were secured with real estate as the primary collateral. Further deterioration or a slow recovery in the local economies we serve could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations due to a weakening of our borrowers' ability to repay these loans and a decline in the value of the collateral securing them. Our ability to recover on these loans by selling or disposing of the underlying real estate collateral is adversely impacted by declining real estate values, which increases the likelihood we will suffer losses on defaulted loans secured by real estate beyond the amounts provided for in the allowance for loan losses. This, in turn, could require material increases in our allowance for loan losses and adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations, perhaps materially.

Non-performing assets take significant time to resolve and adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition.

At June 30, 2011, our non-performing loans (which consist of non-accrual loans and loans that are 90 days or more past due) were 2.0% of the loan portfolio. At June 30, 2011, our non-performing assets (which also include OREO) were 1.9% of total assets. The level of non-performing loans to net loans decreased from 2.04% at December 31, 2010, to 1.96% at June 30, 2011, but the level of non-performing assets to total assets increased from 1.59% at December 31, 2010 to 1.93% at June 30, 2011, and remains at elevated levels compared to historical norms.

Non-performing loans and assets adversely affect us in a variety of ways. Until economic and market conditions improve, we may expect to continue to incur losses relating to elevated levels of non-performing assets. We do not record interest income on non-accrual loans, thereby adversely affecting our net interest income and increasing loan administration costs. When we receive collateral through foreclosures and similar proceedings, we are required to mark the related loan to the then fair market value of the collateral, which may ultimately result in a loss. An increase in the level of non-performing assets also increases our risk profile and may impact the capital levels our regulators believe are appropriate in light of such risks. We utilize various techniques such as loan sales, workouts and restructurings to manage our problem assets. Decreases in the value of these problem assets, the underlying collateral, or in the borrowers' performance or financial condition could adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition, perhaps materially. In addition, the resolution of non-performing assets requires significant commitments of time from management and staff, which can be detrimental to the performance of their other responsibilities. There can be no assurance that we will not experience increases in non-performing loans and assets in the future.

Our ability to receive dividends from our banking subsidiary accounts for most of our revenue and could affect our liquidity and ability to pay dividends.

We are a separate and distinct legal entity from our banking subsidiary, Panhandle State Bank. We receive substantially all of our revenue from dividends from our banking subsidiary. These dividends are the principal source of funds to pay dividends on our common and preferred stock and principal and interest on our outstanding debt. The other primary sources of liquidity for the parent Company are capital or borrowings. Various federal and/or state laws and regulations limit the amount of dividends that the Bank may pay us. For example, Idaho law limits a bank's ability to pay dividends subject to surplus reserve requirements. In addition, as noted above, we have entered into an informal agreement with our regulators prohibiting the payment of dividends from the Bank to the Company without prior approval. Also, our right to participate in a distribution of assets upon a subsidiary's liquidation or reorganization is subject to the prior claims of the subsidiary's creditors. Limitations on our ability to receive dividends from our subsidiary could have a material adverse effect on our liquidity and on our ability to pay dividends on common or

preferred stock. Additionally, if our subsidiary's earnings are not sufficient to make dividend payments to us while maintaining adequate capital levels, we may not be able to make dividend payments to our common and preferred stockholders or principal and interest payments on our outstanding debt.

In this regard, we have suspended payments on our trust preferred securities and Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series A (the "Preferred Stock"). As of August, 2011, we will have not paid dividends on the Preferred Stock for a total of seven quarterly dividend periods, which gives the U.S. Treasury the right to appoint two directors to our board of directors until all accrued but unpaid dividends have been paid. If we do not make payments on our trust preferred securities for over 20 consecutive quarters, we could be in default under those securities. Despite these deferrals, the Company fully intends to meet all of its obligations to the Treasury and holders of the trust preferred securities as quickly as it is prudent to do so, which could occur promptly following the closing of the Company's capital raise described above if it closes as expected and upon approval of the Company's primary regulators.

Table of Contents

With the suspension of payments on our trust preferred securities and preferred stock, management projects the parent Company's cash needs to be approximately \$500,000 on an annualized basis, and that current resources will be sufficient to meet the parent Company's projected liabilities at least until September 2011. Management would expect to satisfy any liquidity needs through borrowings or offerings of equity securities, although there can be no assurance as to the availability or terms of such borrowings or equity capital.

A continued tightening of credit markets and liquidity risk could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

A continued tightening of the credit markets or any inability to obtain adequate funds for continued loan growth at an acceptable cost could negatively affect our asset growth and liquidity position and, therefore, our earnings capability. In addition to core deposit growth, maturity of investment securities and loan payments, the Bank also relies on alternative funding sources including unsecured borrowing lines with correspondent banks, borrowing lines with the Federal Home Loan Bank and the Federal Reserve Bank, public time certificates of deposits and out of area and brokered time certificates of deposit. Our ability to access these sources could be impaired by deterioration in our financial condition as well as factors that are not specific to us, such as a disruption in the financial markets or negative views and expectations for the financial services industry or serious dislocation in the general credit markets. In the event such disruption should occur, our ability to access these sources could be negatively affected, both as to price and availability, which would limit, and/or potentially raise the cost of, the funds available to the Company. The FDIC has increased insurance premiums and imposed special assessments to rebuild and maintain the federal deposit insurance fund, and any additional future premium increases or special assessments could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

In 2009, the FDIC imposed a special deposit insurance assessment of five basis points on all insured institutions, and also required insured institutions to prepay estimated quarterly risk-based assessments through 2012.

The Dodd-Frank Act established 1.35% as the minimum deposit insurance fund reserve ratio. The FDIC has determined that the fund reserve ratio should be 2.0% and has adopted a plan under which it will meet the statutory minimum fund reserve ratio of 1.35% by the statutory deadline of September 30, 2020. The Dodd-Frank Act requires the FDIC to offset the effect on institutions with assets less than \$10 billion of the increase in the statutory minimum fund reserve ratio to 1.35% from the former statutory minimum of 1.15%.

On February 7, 2011, the FDIC issued final rules, effective April 1, 2011, implementing changes to the assessment rules resulting from the Dodd-Frank Act. The adopted regulations: (1) modify the definition of an institution's deposit insurance assessment base; (2) alter certain adjustments to the assessment rates; (3) revise the assessment rate schedules in light of the new assessment base and altered adjustments; and (4) provide for the automatic adjustment of the assessment rates in the future when the reserve ratio reaches certain milestones.

Despite the FDIC's actions to restore the deposit insurance fund, the fund will suffer additional losses in the future due to failures of insured institutions. There can be no assurance that there will not be additional significant deposit insurance premium increases, special assessments or prepayments in order to restore the insurance fund's reserve ratio. Any significant premium increases or special assessments could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

We may be required, in the future, to recognize impairment with respect to investment securities, including the FHLB stock we hold.

Our securities portfolio contains whole loan private mortgage-backed securities and currently includes securities with unrecognized losses. The national downturn in real estate markets and elevated mortgage delinquency and foreclosure rates have increased credit losses in the portfolio of loans underlying these securities and resulted in substantial discounts in their market values. While these trends appear to have stabilized, any further deterioration in the loans underlying these securities and resulting market discounts could lead to other-than-temporary impairment in the value of these investments. We evaluate the securities portfolio for any other-than-temporary impairment each reporting period, as required by generally accepted accounting principles, and as of June 30, 2011, two securities had been determined to be other than temporarily impaired ("OTTI"), with the cumulative impairment totaling \$3.3 million. Of this \$3.3 million, \$1.4 million has been recognized as a credit loss through the Company's income statement since the determination of OTTI. The remaining \$1.9 million has been recognized in other comprehensive income. There can be

no assurance that future evaluations of the securities portfolio will not require us to recognize additional impairment charges with respect to these and other holdings.

In addition, as a condition to membership in the Federal Home Loan Bank of Seattle (“FHLB”), we are required to purchase and hold a certain amount of FHLB stock. Our stock purchase requirement is based, in part, upon the outstanding principal balance of advances from the FHLB. At June 30, 2011, we had stock in the FHLB of Seattle totaling \$2.3 million. The FHLB stock held by us is carried at cost and is subject to recoverability testing under applicable accounting standards. The FHLB has discontinued the repurchase of its stock and discontinued the distribution of dividends. As of June 30, 2011, we did not recognize an impairment charge related to our FHLB stock holdings. There can be no assurance, however, that future negative changes to the financial

Table of Contents

condition of the FHLB may not require us to recognize an impairment charge with respect to such. Recent levels of market volatility were unprecedented and we cannot predict whether they will return. The capital and credit markets have been experiencing volatility and disruption for over three years, at times reaching unprecedented levels. In some cases, the markets have produced downward pressure on stock prices and credit availability for certain companies without regard to those companies' underlying financial strength. If similar levels of market disruption and volatility return, there can be no assurance that we will not experience an adverse effect, which may be material, on our ability to access capital and on our business, financial condition and results of operations. We operate in a highly regulated environment and we cannot predict the effects of recent and pending federal legislation.

As discussed further in the section "Supervision and Regulation" of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010, we are subject to extensive regulation, supervision and examination by federal and state banking authorities. In addition, as a publicly traded company, we are subject to regulation by the Securities and Exchange Commission. Any change in applicable regulations or federal, state or local legislation, or in policies or interpretations or regulatory approaches to compliance and enforcement, income tax laws and accounting principles, could have a substantial impact on us and our operations. Changes in laws and regulations may also increase our expenses by imposing additional fees or taxes or restrictions on our operations. Additional legislation and regulations that could significantly affect our powers, authority and operations may be enacted or adopted in the future, which could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations. Failure to appropriately comply with any such laws, regulations or principles could result in sanctions by regulatory agencies or damage to our reputation, all of which could adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations.

In that regard, sweeping financial regulatory reform legislation was enacted in July 2010. Among other provisions, the new legislation (i) creates a new Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection with broad powers to regulate consumer financial products such as credit cards and mortgages, (ii) creates a Financial Stability Oversight Council comprised of the heads of other regulatory agencies, (iii) will lead to new capital requirements from federal banking agencies, (iv) places new limits on electronic debit card interchange fees, and (v) requires the Securities and Exchange Commission and national stock exchanges to adopt significant new corporate governance and executive compensation reforms. The new legislation and regulations are expected to increase the overall costs of regulatory compliance. Further, regulators have significant discretion and authority to prevent or remedy unsafe or unsound practices or violations of laws or regulations by financial institutions and holding companies in the performance of their supervisory and enforcement duties. Recently, these powers have been utilized more frequently due to the serious national, regional and local economic conditions we are facing. The exercise of regulatory authority may have a negative impact on our financial condition and results of operations. Additionally, our business is affected significantly by the fiscal and monetary policies of the U.S. federal government and its agencies, including the Federal Reserve Board.

We cannot predict the full effects of recent legislation or the various other governmental, regulatory, monetary and fiscal initiatives which have been and may be enacted on the financial markets generally, or on the Company and on the Bank specifically. The terms and costs of these activities, or the failure of these actions to help stabilize the financial markets, asset prices, market liquidity and a continuation or worsening of current financial market and economic conditions could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations, and the trading price of our common stock.

Fluctuating interest rates could adversely affect our profitability.

Our profitability is dependent to a large extent upon our net interest income, which is the difference between the interest earned on loans, securities and other interest-earning assets and interest paid on deposits, borrowings, and other interest-bearing liabilities. Because of the differences in maturities and re-pricing characteristics of our interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, changes in interest rates do not produce equivalent changes in interest income earned on interest-earning assets and interest paid on interest-bearing liabilities. Accordingly, fluctuations in interest rates could adversely affect our net interest margin, and, in turn, our profitability. We manage our interest rate risk within established guidelines and generally seek an asset and liability structure that insulates net interest income from large deviations attributable to changes in market rates. However, our interest rate risk

management practices may not be effective in a highly volatile rate environment.

Fluctuations in interest rates on loans could adversely affect our business.

Significant increases in market interest rates on loans, or the perception that an increase may occur, could adversely affect both our ability to originate new loans and our ability to grow. Conversely, decreases in interest rates could result in an acceleration of loan prepayments. An increase in market interest rates could also adversely affect the ability of our floating-rate borrowers to meet their higher payment obligations. If this occurred, it could cause an increase in nonperforming assets and charge offs, which could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We face strong competition from financial services companies and other companies that offer banking services.

The banking and financial services businesses in our market area are highly competitive and increased competition may

Table of Contents

adversely impact the level of our loans and deposits. Ultimately, we may not be able to compete successfully against current and future competitors. These competitors include national banks, foreign banks, regional banks and other community banks. We also face competition from many other types of financial institutions, including savings and loan associations, finance companies, brokerage firms, insurance companies, credit unions, mortgage banks and other financial intermediaries. In particular, our competitors include major financial companies whose greater resources may afford them a marketplace advantage by enabling them to maintain numerous locations and mount extensive promotional and advertising campaigns. Areas of competition include interest rates for loans and deposits, efforts to obtain loan and deposit customers, and a range in quality of products and services provided, including new technology driven products and services. If we are unable to attract and retain banking customers, we may be unable maintain or grow our loans or deposits.

We may not be able to successfully implement our internal growth strategy.

Over the long-term, we have pursued and intend to continue to pursue an internal growth strategy, the success of which will depend primarily on generating an increasing level of loans and deposits at acceptable risk levels and terms without proportionate increases in non-interest expenses. There can be no assurance that we will be successful in implementing our internal growth strategy. Furthermore, the success of our growth strategy will depend on maintaining sufficient regulatory capital levels and on favorable economic conditions in our market areas.

Certain built-in losses could be limited if we experience an ownership change, as defined in the Internal Revenue Code.

Certain of our assets, such as loans, may have built-in losses to the extent the basis of such assets exceeds fair market value. Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code (“IRC”) may limit the benefit of these built-in losses that exist at the time of an “ownership change.” A Section 382 “ownership change” occurs if a stockholder or a group of stockholders, who are deemed to own at least 5% of our common stock, increase their ownership by more than 50 percentage points over their lowest ownership percentage within a rolling three-year period. If an “ownership change” occurs, Section 382 would impose an annual limit on the amount of recognized built-in losses we can use to reduce our taxable income equal to the product of the total value of our outstanding equity immediately prior to the “ownership change” and the federal long-term tax-exempt interest rate in effect for the month of the “ownership change.” A number of special rules apply to calculating this limit. The limitations contained in Section 382 apply for a five-year period beginning on the date of the “ownership change” and any recognized built-in losses that are limited by Section 382 may be carried forward and reduce our future taxable income for up to 20 years, after which they expire. If an “ownership change” were to occur due to the issuance and sale of our securities, the annual limit of Section 382 could defer our ability to use some, or all, of the built-in losses to offset taxable income.

The completion of the \$70 million capital raise noted above is likely to trigger Internal Revenue Code Section 382 limitations. Although the Company does not anticipate having recognized built-in losses at the time the capital raise is completed based on its current analysis, there can be no assurance that the factors underlying this analysis will not change between now and closing and create recognized built in losses subject to limitation.

Unexpected losses, our inability to successfully implement our tax planning strategies in future reporting periods, or IRS Section 382 limitations resulting from the successful completion of the capital raise may either restrict our ability to recapture the existing valuation allowance against our deferred income tax assets or require us to establish a higher valuation allowance in the future.

We evaluate our deferred income tax assets for recoverability based on all available evidence. This process involves significant management judgment about assumptions that are subject to change from period to period based on changes in tax laws, our ability to successfully implement tax planning strategies, or variances between our future projected operating performance and our actual results. We are required to establish a valuation allowance for deferred income tax assets if we determine, based on available evidence at the time the determination is made, that it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred income tax assets may not be realized. In determining the more-likely-than-not criterion, we evaluate all positive and negative available evidence as of the end of each reporting period. In this regard, we established a valuation allowance for deferred income tax assets of \$7.4 million at September 30, 2010. An additional \$1.4 million valuation allowance was added in the fourth quarter of 2010. Future adjustments to the deferred income tax asset valuation allowance, if any, will be determined based upon changes in

the expected realization of the net deferred income tax assets. The realization of the deferred income tax assets ultimately depends on the existence of sufficient taxable income in either the carryback or carryforward periods under the tax law.

The recapture of tax benefits resulting from net operating loss carryforwards, if any, may be limited should a stock offering or sale of securities like the one discussed above cause a change in control as defined in Internal Revenue Code Section 382. In addition, as discussed above, net unrealized built-in losses, as defined in IRC Section 382 may be limited. In addition, risk based capital rules require a regulatory calculation evaluating the Company's deferred income tax asset balance for realization against estimated pre-tax future income and net operating loss carry backs. Under the rules of this calculation and due to significant estimates utilized in establishing the valuation allowance and the potential for changes in facts and circumstances, it is reasonably possible that we will be required to record adjustments to the valuation allowance in future reporting periods that would materially reduce our risk based capital ratios. Such a charge could also have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial

Table of Contents

condition and capital position.

As noted above, the completion of the \$70 million capital raise is likely to trigger Internal Revenue Code Section 382 limitations on the amount of tax benefit from net operating loss carryforwards that the Company can recapture annually, because of the planned level of investments by several of the larger investors. This could impact the amount and timing of the recapture of the valuation allowance, largely depending on the level of market interest rates and the fair value of the Company's balance sheet at the time the planned offering is completed.

Changes in accounting standards could materially impact our financial statements.

From time to time the Financial Accounting Standards Board and the SEC change the financial accounting and reporting standards that govern the preparation of our financial statements. These changes can be very difficult to predict and can materially impact how we record and report our financial condition and results of operations. In some cases, we could be required to apply a new or revised standard retroactively, resulting in our restating prior period financial statements.

The Preferred Stock diminishes the net income available to our common stockholders and earnings per common share. We have issued \$27.0 million of Preferred Stock to the U.S. Treasury pursuant to the Troubled Asset Relief Program ("TARP") Capital Purchase Program. The dividends accrued on the Preferred Stock reduce the net income available to common stockholders and our earnings per common share. The Preferred Stock is cumulative, which means that any dividends not declared or paid will accumulate and will be payable when the payment of dividends is resumed. We have deferred the payment of quarterly dividends on the Preferred Stock, beginning in December 2009. The dividend rate on the Preferred Stock will increase from 5% to 9% per annum five years after its original issuance if not earlier redeemed. If we are unable to redeem the Preferred Stock prior to the date of this increase, the cost of capital to us will increase substantially. Depending on our financial condition at the time, this increase in the Preferred Stock annual dividend rate could have a material adverse effect on our earnings and could also adversely affect our ability to pay dividends on our common shares. Shares of Preferred Stock will also receive preferential treatment in the event of the liquidation, dissolution or winding up of the Company.

Finally, the terms of the Preferred Stock allow the U.S. Treasury to impose additional restrictions, including those on dividends and including unilateral amendments required to comply with changes in applicable federal law. Under the terms of the Preferred Stock, our ability to declare or pay dividends on any of our shares is limited. Specifically, we are unable to declare dividend payments on common, junior preferred or pari passu preferred shares if we are in arrears on the dividends on the Series A Preferred Stock. As noted above, we have deferred the payment of dividend payments on the Series A Preferred Stock and we are therefore currently restricted from paying dividends on our common stock. Further, we are not permitted to increase dividends on our common stock above the amount of the last quarterly cash dividend per share declared prior to October 14, 2008 (which was zero) without the U.S. Treasury's approval until the third anniversary of the investment unless all of the Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock has been redeemed or transferred.

Holders of the Preferred Stock have certain voting rights that may adversely affect our common stockholders, and the holders of the Preferred Stock may have interests different from our common stockholders.

In order to conserve the liquid assets of the Company, our board of directors has approved the deferral of the regular quarterly cash dividend on the Preferred Stock, beginning in December 2009. Accordingly, we will have deferred dividends on the Preferred Stock for a total of seven quarterly dividend periods in August 2011, which gives the U.S. Treasury the right to appoint two directors to our board of directors until all accrued but unpaid dividends have been paid. Otherwise, except as required by law, holders of the Preferred Stock have limited voting rights. So long as shares of Preferred Stock are outstanding, in addition to any other vote or consent of stockholders required by law or our Articles of Incorporation, the vote or consent of holders of at least 66 2/3% of the shares of Preferred Stock outstanding is required for:

- any authorization or issuance of shares ranking senior to the Preferred Stock;
- any amendments to the rights of the Preferred Stock so as to adversely affect the rights, preferences, privileges or voting power of the Preferred Stock; or
- consummation of any merger, share exchange or similar transaction unless the shares of Preferred Stock remain outstanding, or if we are not the surviving entity in such transaction, are converted into or exchanged for preference

securities of the surviving entity and the shares of Preferred Stock remaining outstanding or such preference securities have the rights, preferences, privileges and voting power of the Preferred Stock.

The holder of the Preferred Stock, currently the U.S. Treasury, may have different interests from the holders of our common stock, and could vote to block the foregoing transactions, even when considered desirable by, or in the best interests of, the holders of our common stock.

Because of our participation in TARP, we are subject to restrictions on compensation paid to our executives.

Pursuant to the terms of the TARP Capital Purchase Program, we are subject to regulations on compensation and corporate

Table of Contents

governance for the period during which the U.S. Treasury holds our Series A Preferred Stock. These regulations require us to adopt and follow certain procedures and to restrict the compensation we can pay to key employees. Key impacts of the regulations on us include, among other things:

ensuring that incentive compensation for senior executives does not encourage unnecessary and excessive risks that threaten the value of Intermountain;

a prohibition on cash incentive bonuses to our five most highly-compensated employees, subject to limited exceptions;

a prohibition on equity compensation awards to our five most highly-compensated employees other than long-term restricted stock that cannot be sold, other than to pay related taxes, except to the extent the Treasury no longer holds the Series A Preferred Stock;

a prohibition on any severance or change-in-control payments to our senior executive officers and next five most highly-compensated employees;

a required recovery or “clawback” of any bonus or incentive compensation paid to a senior executive officer or any of the next twenty most highly compensated employees based on financial or other performance criteria that are later proven to be materially inaccurate; and

an agreement not to deduct for tax purposes annual compensation in excess of \$500,000 for each senior executive officer.

The combined effect of these restrictions may make it more difficult to attract and retain key executives and employees, and the change to the deductibility limit on executive compensation may increase the overall cost of our compensation programs in future periods.

Item 2 —Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

Not applicable.

Item 3 —Defaults Upon Senior Securities

Not applicable.

Item 4 —[Removed and Reserved]

Item 5 — Other Information

Not applicable.

Item 6 —Exhibits

Table of Contents

| Exhibit No. | Exhibit |
|-------------|---|
| 10.1 | Form of Securities Purchase Agreement dated April 6, 2011 between the Company and the investors signatory thereto (1) |
| 31.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 31.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 101 | The following financial information from the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2011 is formatted in XBRL: (i) the Unaudited Consolidated Balance Sheets, (ii) the Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Operations, (iii) the Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Changes in Cash Flows, (iv) the Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss), and (v) the Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements, tagged as blocks of text |
| * | Furnished herewith |
| (1 |) Incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed April 7, 2011 |

Table of Contents

Signatures

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

INTERMOUNTAIN COMMUNITY BANCORP
(Registrant)

August 10, 2011

By: /s/ Curt Hecker

Date

Curt Hecker
President and Chief Executive Officer

August 10, 2011

By: /s/ Doug Wright

Date

Doug Wright
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial
Officer