Regency Energy Partners LP Form 10-Q November 09, 2009

# UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

#### FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

 QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(D) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2009

OR

o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(D) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission File Number: 000-51757

#### REGENCY ENERGY PARTNERS LP

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

DELAWARE 16-1731691

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

organization)

2001 BRYAN STREET, SUITE 3700

DALLAS, TX

75201

(Address of principal executive offices)

(Zip Code)

(214) 750-1771

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

**NONE** 

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report.)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. bYes oNo

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). oYes oNo

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and small reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

bLarge accelerated filer oAccelerated filer oNon-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) oSmaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). oYes bNo

The issuer had 81,116,978 common units outstanding as of October 31, 2009.

	Page
PART I — FINANCIAL INFORMATION	C
Item 1. Financial Statements	3
Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	26
Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	43
Item 4. Controls and Procedures	44
PART II — OTHER INFORMATION	44
Item 1. Legal Proceedings	44
Item 1A. Risk Factors	44
Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	44
Item 6. Exhibits	44
Exhibit 10.1 Consulting agreement with Randall Dean	
Exhibit 10.2 Employment agreement with David Marrs	
Exhibit 10.3 Severance agreement with Paul Jolas	
Exhibit 12.1 Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges	
Exhibit 31.1 Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of CEO	
Exhibit 31.2 Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of CFO	
Exhibit 32.1 Section 1350 Certification of CEO	
Exhibit 32.2 Section 1350 Certification of CFO	

#### **Introductory Statement**

References in this report to the "Partnership," "we," "our," "us" and similar terms, when used in a historical context, refer to Regency Energy Partners LP. When used in the present tense or prospectively, these terms refer to the Partnership and its subsidiaries. We use the following definitions in this quarterly report on Form 10-Q:

Name Definition or Description

Alinda Alinda Capital Partners LLC, a Delaware limited liability company that is

an independent private investment firm specializing in infrastructure

investments

Alinda Investor I Alinda Gas Pipelines I, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership Alinda Investor Alinda Gas Pipelines II, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership

II

Alinda Investors Alinda Investor I and Alinda Investor II, collectively

Bbls/d Barrels per day
Bcf One billion cubic feet
Perf/d One billion cubic feet p

Bcf/d One billion cubic feet per day

BTU A unit of energy needed to raise the temperature of one pound of water by

one degree Fahrenheit

CDM Resource Management LLC

EFS Haynesville EFS Haynesville, LLC, a 100 percent owned subsidiary of GECC

El Paso Field Services, LP

FASB Financial Accounting Standards Board
FASB ASC FASB Accounting Standards Codification
FASB ASU FASB Accounting Standards Update
FERC Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

Finance Corp. Regency Energy Finance Corp., a wholly-owned subsidiary of the

Partnership

FrontStreet FrontStreet Hugoton LLC

GAAP Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States

GE General Electric Company

GE EFS General Electric Energy Financial Services, a unit of GECC, combined

with Regency GP Acquirer LP and Regency LP Acquirer LP

GECC General Electric Capital Corporation, an indirect wholly owned subsidiary

of GE

General Partner Regency GP LP, the general partner of the Partnership, or Regency GP

LLC, the general partner of Regency GP LP, which effectively manages the business and affairs of the Partnership through Regency Employees

Management LLC

HPC RIGS Haynesville Partnership Co., a general partnership that owns 100

percent of RIGS

IDRs Incentive Distribution Rights
Lehman Brothers Holdings, Inc.
LIBOR London Interbank Offered Rate
LITP Long-Term Incentive Plan

MMbtu One million BTUs

MMbtu/d One million BTUs per day
MMcf One million cubic feet
MMcf/d One million cubic feet per day
Nexus One Modelings, LLC

NOE Notice of Enforcement NGLs Natural gas liquids

Nasdaq Nasdaq Stock Market, LLC NYMEX New York Mercantile Exchange Partnership Regency Energy Partners LP

Regency HIG Regency Haynesville Intrastate Gas LLC, a wholly owned subsidiary of

the Partnership

RFS Regency Field Services LLC
RGS Regency Gas Services LP
RIGS Regency Intrastate Gas LP

SEC Securities and Exchange Commission Sonat Southern Natural Gas Company

TCEQ Texas Commission on Environmental Quality

Tcf One trillion cubic feet

Tcf/d One trillion cubic feet per day

Cautionary Statement about Forward-Looking Statements

Certain matters discussed in this report include "forward-looking" statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Forward-looking statements are identified as any statement that does not relate strictly to historical or current facts. Statements using words such as "anticipate," "believe," "intend," "project," "plan," "expect," "continue," "estimate," "goal," "forecast," "may" or similar expression of the statements. Although we believe our forward-looking statements are based on reasonable assumptions and current expectations and projections about future events, we cannot give assurances that such expectations will prove to be correct. Forward-looking statements are subject to a variety of risks, uncertainties and assumptions including without limitation the following:

- · volatility in the price of oil, natural gas, and natural gas liquids;
- · declines in the credit markets and the availability of credit for us as well as for producers connected to our system and our customers;
- the level of creditworthiness of, and performance by, our counterparties and customers;
- our access to capital to fund organic growth projects and acquisitions, and our ability to obtain debt or equity financing on satisfactory terms;
- our use of derivative financial instruments to hedge commodity and interest rate risks;
- the amount of collateral required to be posted from time-to-time in our transactions;
- · changes in commodity prices, interest rates, and demand for our services;
- · changes in laws and regulations impacting the midstream sector of the natural gas industry;
- · weather and other natural phenomena;
- · industry changes including the impact of consolidations and changes in competition;
- our ability to obtain required approvals for construction or modernization of our facilities and the timing of production from such facilities; and
- the effect of accounting pronouncements issued periodically by accounting standard setting boards.

If one or more of these risks or uncertainties materialize, or if underlying assumptions prove incorrect, our actual results may differ materially from those anticipated, estimated, projected or expected.

Other factors that could cause our actual results to differ from our projected results are discussed in Item 1A of our December 31, 2008 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Each forward-looking statement speaks only as of the date of the particular statement and we undertake no obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statement, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

Item 1. Financial Statements
Regency Energy Partners LP
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets
(unaudited)
(in thousands except unit data)

(in thousands except unit data)	~		-	
ACCETTO	Sept	ember 30, 2009	Dece	mber 31, 2008
ASSETS				
Current Assets:	\$	12 100	\$	599
Cash and cash equivalents	Ф	12,100	\$	
Restricted cash		1,510		10,031
Trade accounts receivable, net of allowance of		25 521		40.075
\$1,668 and \$941		25,531		40,875
Accrued revenues		65,370		96,712
Related party receivables		6,852		855
Derivative assets		33,750		73,993
Other current assets		7,381		13,338
Total current assets		152,494		236,403
Decrete District of Free sector				
Property, Plant and Equipment:		462.000		(50.0(7
Gathering and transmission systems		462,900		652,267
Compression equipment		808,063		799,527
Gas plants and buildings		159,389		156,246
Other property, plant and equipment		156,866		167,256
Construction-in-progress		88,899		154,852
Total property, plant and equipment		1,676,117		1,930,148
Less accumulated depreciation		(225,336 )		(226,594)
Property, plant and equipment, net		1,450,781		1,703,554
Others Assets				
Other Assets:		454 427		
Investment in unconsolidated subsidiary		454,427		26.700
Long-term derivative assets		6,749		36,798
Other, net of accumulated amortization of debt		20.074		12.000
issuance costs of \$9,228 and \$5,246		20,874		13,880
Total other assets		482,050		50,678
Intensible Assets and Coodwille				
Intangible Assets and Goodwill:				
Intangible assets, net of accumulated		200 401		205 (46
amortization of \$30,732 and \$22,667		200,491		205,646
Goodwill		228,114		262,358
Total intangible assets and goodwill		428,605		468,004
TOTAL ASSETS	\$	2,513,930	\$	2,458,639
TOTAL ASSETS	Φ	2,313,930	Ф	2,436,039
LIABILITIES & PARTNERS' CAPITAL AND				
NONCONTROLLING INTEREST				
Current Liabilities:				
Trade accounts payable		28,014		65,483
Accrued cost of gas and liquids		48,304		76,599
Related party payables		853		-
		10,886		11,572

Edgar Filing: Regency Energy Partners LP - Form 10-Q

Deferred revenue, including related party amounts of \$212 and \$0

amounts of \$212 and \$0			
Derivative liabilities	11,897	42,691	
Escrow payable	1,510	10,031	
Other current liabilities	24,723	10,574	
Total current liabilities	126,187	216,950	
Long-term derivative liabilities	43,759	560	
Other long-term liabilities	15,433	15,487	
Long-term debt, net	1,202,392	1,126,229	
Commitments and contingencies			
Convertible redeemable preferred units, including	40.000		
accrued distributions of \$1,945	49,888	-	
Partners' Capital and Noncontrolling Interest:			
Common units (81,761,105 and 55,519,903 units			
authorized; 81,116,978 and 54,796,701 units issued			
and outstanding at September 30, 2009 and			
December 31, 2008)	1,031,894	764,161	
Class D common units (7,276,506 units authorized,	1,031,074	704,101	
issued and outstanding at December 31, 2008)	_	226,759	
Subordinated units (19,103,896 units authorized,		,	
issued and outstanding at December 31, 2008)	-	(1,391	)
General partner interest	13,629	29,283	
Accumulated other comprehensive income	16,691	67,440	
Noncontrolling interest	14,057	13,161	
Total partners' capital and noncontrolling interest	1,076,271	1,099,413	
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND PARTNERS'			
CAPITAL AND NONCONTROLLING			
INTEREST	\$ 2,513,930	\$ 2,458,639	

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements

## Regency Energy Partners LP Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations Unaudited

(in thousands except unit data and per unit data)

			ths Ended per 30, 2008		Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009 2008			
REVENUES								
Gas sales	\$97,597		\$323,411		\$352,390		\$922,872	
NGL sales	66,543		120,538		173,803		355,558	
Gathering, transportation and other fees, including	00,545		120,330		173,003		333,330	
related party amounts of \$3,823, \$939, \$8,300, and								
\$2,865	66,278		74,267		208,356		206,429	
Net realized and unrealized gain (loss) from derivatives	12,292		6,817		39,262		(39,600	)
Other	7,872		22,142		20,291		53,856	
Total revenues	250,582		547,175		794,102		1,499,115	
	,		,		,		, ,	
OPERATING COSTS AND EXPENSES								
Cost of sales, including related party amounts of \$4,575,								
\$632, \$6,275, and \$1,878	155,586		408,165		495,461		1,168,441	
Operation and maintenance	32,139		33,688		100,154		95,049	
General and administrative	14,126		13,976		43,331		38,784	
Loss (gain) on asset sales, net of costs of \$0, \$0, \$5,530								
and \$0	(109	)	(34	)	(133,389	)	434	
Management services termination fee	-		-		-		3,888	
Transaction expenses	-		2		-		536	
Depreciation and amortization	27,009		26,422		81,134		74,638	
Total operating costs and expenses	228,751		482,219		586,691		1,381,770	
OPERATING INCOME	21,831		64,956		207,411		117,345	
Income from unconsolidated subsidiary	3,532		-		5,455		-	
Interest expense, net	(22,173	)	(16,072	)	(55,968	)	(48,261	)
Other income and deductions, net	(13,929	)	118		(13,673	)	450	
(LOSS) INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES	(10,739	)	49,002		143,225		69,534	
Income tax (benefit) expense	(196	)	(67	)	(611	)	142	
NET (LOSS) INCOME	(10,543	)	49,069		143,836		69,392	
Net loss (income) attributable to noncontrolling	20		(162	\	(61	`	(165	`
interest	39		(162	)	(61	)	(165	)
NET (LOSS) INCOME ATTRIBUTABLE TO REGENCY ENERGY PARTNERS LP	\$ (10.504	`	\$ 49 007		¢ 1.42 775		\$60,227	
REGENCT ENERGY PARTNERS LP	\$(10,504	)	\$48,907		\$143,775		\$69,227	
Amounts attributable to convertible redeemable preferred								
units	1,996		_		1,996		_	
General partner's interest, including IDR	372		1,506		4,646		3,140	
Allocation of net (loss) income to non-vested common	512		1,500		т,ото		J,170	
units	(134	)	448		1,083		611	
MILLO	(131	,	110		1,005		011	

Edgar Filing: Regency Energy Partners LP - Form 10-Q

Beneficial conversion feature for Class D common units	-	1,887	820	5,312
Limited partners' interest	\$(12,738)	\$45,066	\$135,230	\$60,164
Basic and Diluted (loss) earnings per unit:				
Amount allocated to common and subordinated units	\$(12,738)	\$45,066	\$135,230	\$60,164
Weighted average number of common and subordinated				
units outstanding	80,637,783	70,043,614	79,498,936	63,839,053
Basic (loss) income per common and subordinated unit	\$ (0.16)	\$0.64	\$1.70	\$0.94
Diluted (loss) income per common and subordinated unit	\$ (0.16)	\$0.61	\$1.69	\$0.89
Distributions per unit	\$0.445	\$0.445	\$1.335	\$1.31
Amount allocated to Class D common units	\$-	\$1,887	\$820	\$5,312
Total number of Class D common units outstanding	-	7,276,506	7,276,506	7,276,506
Income per Class D common unit due to beneficial				
conversion feature	\$-	\$-	\$0.11	\$0.73
Distributions per unit	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements

# Regency Energy Partners LP Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) Unaudited (in thousands)

	Three Months Ended September 30,			Nine Months Ended September 30,		
	2009		2008	2009	2008	
Net (loss) income	\$(10,543	)	\$49,069	\$143,836	\$69,392	
Net hedging amounts reclassified to earnings	(11,470	)	14,787	(39,364	) 40,389	
Net change in fair value of cash flow hedges	(2,144	)	55,182	(11,385	) 5,277	
Comprehensive (loss) income	\$(24,157	)	\$119,038	\$93,087	\$115,058	
Comprehensive (loss) income attributable to noncontrolling						
interest	(39	)	162	61	165	
Comprehensive (loss) income attributable to Regency						
Energy Partners LP	\$(24,118	)	\$118,876	\$93,026	\$114,893	

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements

### Regency Energy Partners LP Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows Unaudited (in thousands)

		Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009 200				
OPERATING ACTIVITIES	2007		2000			
Net income \$	143,836	\$	69,392			
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash flows provide		*	<i></i>			
activities:	<i>y</i> • • <i>y</i> • • <i>y</i> • • <i>y</i> • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Depreciation and amortization, including						
debt issuance cost amortization	85,666		76,751			
Noncash income from unconsolidated	•		,			
subsidiary	(268	)	-			
Derivative valuation changes	3,040		(1,007)			
Loss (gain) on asset sales, net	(133,389	)	434			
Unit based compensation expenses	4,361		3,087			
Gain on insurance settlements	-		(3,282)			
Cash flow changes in current assets and						
liabilities:						
Trade accounts receivable, accrued						
revenues, and related party receivables	32,121		(11,084)			
Other current assets	14,478		38			
Trade accounts payable, accrued cost of						
gas and liquids, and related party payables	(47,943	)	(11,125)			
Other current liabilities	5,628		22,448			
Other assets and liabilities	(417	)	3,628			
Net cash flows provided by operating						
activities	107,113		149,280			
INVESTING ACTIVITIES						
Capital expenditures	(163,889	)	(243,660 )			
Acquisitions	(63,000	)	(577,344)			
Proceeds from asset sales	100,103		696			
Proceeds from insurance settlements	-		3,282			
Net cash flows used in investing activities	(126,786	)	(817,026)			
FINANCING ACTIVITIES						
Net (repayments) borrowings under						
revolving credit facilities	(160,627	)	525,000			
Proceeds from issuance of senior notes, net						
of discount	236,240		-			
Debt issuance costs	(12,121	)	(2,925)			
Partner contributions	-		11,753			
Partner distributions	(109,118	)	(86,448 )			
Proceeds from option exercises	-		2,700			
Proceeds from equity issuances, net of	_,					
issuance costs	76,800		199,514			

Edgar Filing: Regency Energy Partners LP - Form 10-Q

Net cash flows provided by financing			
activities	31,174	649,594	
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash			
equivalents	11,501	(18,152	)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of			
period	599	32,971	
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 12,100	\$ 14,819	
Supplemental cash flow information:			
Interest paid, net of amounts capitalized	\$ 35,258	\$ 37,634	
Income taxes paid	-	596	
Non-cash capital expenditures in accounts			
payable	3,342	24,871	
Issuance of common units for an			
acquisition	-	219,590	
Release of escrow payable from restricted			
cash	-	4,487	
Contribution of RIGS to HPC	261,019	-	

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements

# Regency Energy Partners LP Condensed Consolidated Statements of Partners' Capital and Noncontrolling Interest Unaudited (in thousands except unit data)

# Regency Energy Partners LP

Units

								Accumulated	d
				Cammon	Class D C	1- adimoto	General	Other	:11
	Common	Class D	Subordinated	Common				omprehen <b>s</b> ic Income	Interest
Balance -	Common	Class D	Suborumacu	Ullillolucis	Ullilliolucisc	Hilliolacis	HILLICST	HICOITIC	IIICICSI
December 31,									
2008	54,796,701	7,276,506	19,103,896	\$764,161	\$226,759	\$(1,391)	\$29,283	\$67,440	\$13,161
Revision of									ļ
r	-	-	-	6,073	-	-	(6,073)	-	
Issuance of restricted common units,									
net of forfeitures	(60,125)								
Conversion of	(00,123)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_
subordinated									I
units	19,103,896		(19,103,896)	(1,391 )	) -	1,391	_	_	_
Unit based	17,100,070		(17,105,5,5,5,	(1,0)1		1,571			
compensation									
expenses	-	_	-	4,361	_				_
Accrued									
distributions to									I
phantom units	-	-	-	(114)	) -	-	-	-	!
Acquisition of									
assets between									
entities under									
common									
control in									
excess of							(10.107)		
historical cost	-	-	-	-	-	-	(10,197)	-	-
Partner distributions				(105 128	`		(2,000 )		
Net income	_		_	(105,128 ) 138,309	820		(3,990 ) 4,646	_	- 61
Conversion of	-	-	-	130,309	820	-	4,040	-	01
Class D									
common units	7,276,506	(7,276,506)	_	227,579	(227,579)	_	_	_	_
Contributions	1,210,200	(1,210,000)		221,0.,	(221,50.2)				
from									
noncontrolling									
interest	-	-	-		-		-	-	835
Accrued	-	-	-	(1,906)	) -	-	(39)	-	-
distributions to									

convertible									
redeemable									
preferred									
unitholders									
Accretion of									
redeemable									
preferred units	-	-	-	(50	) -	-	(1)	-	-
Net cash flow									
hedge amounts									
reclassified to									
earnings	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(39,364)	-
Net change in									
fair value of									
cash flow									
hedges	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(11,385)	-
Balance -									
September 30,									
2009	81,116,978	-	-	\$1,031,894	1 \$-	\$-	\$13,629	\$16,691	\$14,057

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements

# Regency Energy Partners LP Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

#### 1. Organization and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Organization. The unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements presented herein contain the results of Regency Energy Partners LP and its subsidiaries. The Partnership and its subsidiaries are engaged in the business of gathering and processing, contract compression, and transporting of natural gas and NGLs.

The unaudited financial information as of, and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009, has been prepared on the same basis as the audited consolidated financial statements included in the Partnership's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, as amended by Form 8-K filed on May 14, 2009. In the opinion of the Partnership's management, such financial information reflects all adjustments necessary for a fair presentation of the financial position and the results of operations for such interim periods in accordance with GAAP. All intercompany items and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in annual consolidated financial statements prepared in accordance with GAAP have been omitted pursuant to the rules and regulations of the SEC.

Use of Estimates. The unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in conformity with GAAP and, of necessity, include the use of estimates and assumptions by management. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

Equity Method Investments. The equity method of accounting is used to account for the Partnership's interest in investments of greater than 20 percent voting stock of an investee and where the Partnership lacks control over the investee.

Intangible Assets. Intangible assets, net consist of the following.

	Permits and Licenses		Contracts	_	rade Names	-	Customer Relations		Total	
Balance at December 31,										
2008	\$ 8,582	\$	126,799	\$	32,848	\$	37,417	\$	205,646	
Disposals	(2,921	)	-		-		-		(2,921	)
Other	-		7,000		-		-		7,000	
Amortization	(441	)	(1,755	)	(5,474	)	(1,564	)	(9,234	)
Balance at September										
30, 2009	\$ 5,220	\$	132,044	\$	27,374	\$	35,853	\$	200,491	

The weighted average amortization period for permits and licenses, customer contracts, trade names, and customer relations are 15, 23, 15, and 19 years, respectively. Permits and licenses are generally renewed with minimal expense as a charge to operating and maintenance expense in the period incurred. Regarding customer contracts, the actual remaining lives of the contracts were used to evaluate the cash flows expected with no renewal assumption. The trade name and customer relations intangible assets use the going concern assumption with no renewal cost. The expected amortization of the intangible assets for each of the five succeeding years is as follows.

Year ending December 31,		Total
		(in
	th	ousands)
2009 (remaining)	\$	3,138
2010		12,553

Edgar Filing: Regency Energy Partners LP - Form 10-Q

2011	11,295
2012	11,002
2013	11,002
8	

Revision to Partners' Capital Accounts. In 2009, the Partnership revised the allocation of net income between the general partner and common unitholders from the third quarter of 2008 to reflect the income allocation provisions of the Partnership agreement. The effect of this revision is not material to the prior financial statements.

Recently Issued Accounting Standards. In December 2007, the FASB issued guidance which significantly changed the accounting for business acquisitions both during the period of the acquisition and in subsequent periods. The Partnership adopted this guidance on January 1, 2009.

In December 2007, the FASB issued guidance which significantly changed the accounting and reporting related to noncontrolling interests in a consolidated subsidiary. The Partnership adopted this guidance for all periods presented. This guidance requires the recognition of a noncontrolling interest (formerly styled as a minority interest) in partners' capital in the condensed consolidated financial statements and separate from the partners' interest. Also, the amount of net income attributable to the noncontrolling interest is included in the consolidated net income on the face of the condensed consolidated income statement.

In March 2008, the FASB issued guidance which defines how to allocate net income among the various classes of equity, including IDRs. The guidance became effective on January 1, 2009. Earlier application was not permitted; however this guidance must be applied retrospectively for all financial statements presented. The adoption of this guidance changed the Partnership's method of allocating net income for earnings per unit purposes to holders of the IDRs in periods where net income exceeds cash distributed. Because the Partnership Agreement restricts the amount of distributions to holders of IDRs based on cash available for distribution, undistributed net income will be allocated based on each class of security's ownership interest. Further, because the IDRs are deemed to have no ownership interest, no undistributed net income will be allocated to this class of security. All prior period earnings per unit data have been adjusted.

In March 2008, the FASB issued guidance which required enhanced disclosures about derivative and hedging activities. The Partnership adopted this guidance on January 1, 2009 and the adoption had no impact on its financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In April 2008, FASB issued guidance which amends the factors that should be considered in developing renewal or extension assumptions used to determine the useful life of intangible assets. The objective of this guidance is to better match the useful life of intangible assets to the cash flow generated. The guidance became effective on January 1, 2009. The adoption of this guidance did not impact the Partnership's financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In June 2008, the FASB issued guidance which determined whether instruments granted in share-based payment transactions are participating securities and is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. The adoption of this guidance was applied retrospectively and had an immaterial impact on the Partnership's earnings per unit.

In April 2009, the FASB issued guidance about interim disclosures about fair value of financial instruments which was adopted July 1, 2009. This guidance had no impact on the Partnership's financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In May 2009, the FASB issued guidance requiring public entities to evaluate subsequent events through the date through which financial statements are issued. The adoption of this guidance on January 1, 2009 did not impact the Partnership's financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In June 2009, the FASB issued guidance that significantly changes the consolidation model for variable interest entities. The guidance is effective for annual reporting periods that begin after November 15, 2009, and for interim

periods within that first annual reporting period. The Partnership has evaluated this guidance and determined that its adoption on January 1, 2010 will have no impact on the Partnership's financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In June 2009, the FASB issued "The FASB Accounting Standards Codification TM and the Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles" (the "Codification"). The Codification is the single source for GAAP that integrates existing standards and organizes them into accounting topics and is not intended to change GAAP but will change how GAAP is referenced. The Codification is effective for financial statements issued for interim and annual periods ending after September 15, 2009, and it is not expected to have a material impact on the Partnership's financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

#### 2. Income (Loss) per Limited Partner Unit

The Partnership issued 7,276,506 Class D common units in connection with the CDM acquisition. At the commitment date, the sales price of \$30.18 per unit represented a \$1.10 discount from the fair value of the Partnership's common units. This discount represented a beneficial conversion feature that is treated as a non-cash distribution for purposes of calculating earnings per unit. The beneficial conversion feature is reflected in income per unit using the effective yield method over the period the Class D common units are outstanding, as indicated on the statements of operations in the line item entitled "beneficial conversion feature for Class D common units." The Class D common units converted to common units on a one-for-one basis on February 9, 2009.

On September 2, 2009, the Partnership issued 4,371,586 Series A Cumulative Convertible Preferred Units ("Convertible Redeemable Preferred Units"). The Convertible Redeemable Preferred Units will receive fixed quarterly cash distributions of \$0.445 per unit beginning with the quarter ending March 31, 2010. Distributions for the quarters ending September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2009 will be accrued but not paid, effectively increasing the conversion value of the Convertible Redeemable Preferred Units. Distributions are cumulative, and must be paid before any distributions to the general partner and common unitholders. For the purpose of calculating income per limited partner unit, any form of distributions, whether paid or not, as well as the accretion of the Convertible Redeemable Preferred Units, are treated as a reduction in net income available to the general partner and limited partner interests.

The following tables provide a reconciliation of the basic and diluted earnings per unit computations.

	For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2009							For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2008					
		(Loss) Income [umerator]	)	Units (Denominator) (in thou	1	Per-Unit Amount ds excep		(N	Income (umerator) and per unit	,	Units Denominator)		er-Unit Amount
Basic (Loss) Earnings per Unit													
Net (loss) income attributable to Limited	¢	(12.720	`	00 627 702	¢	(0.16	`	¢	15.066		70 042 614	¢	0.64
Partner interests Effect of Dilutive Securities	\$	(12,738	)	80,637,783	\$	(0.16	)	\$	45,066		70,043,614	\$	0.64
Non-vested common													
units		(134	)	-					-		-		
Common unit options		-		-					-		37,969		
Phantom units		-		-					1 007		7.07(.50(		
Class D common units Diluted (Loss)		-		-					1,887		7,276,506		
Earnings per Unit	\$	(12,872	)	80,637,783	\$	(0.16	)	\$	46,953		77,358,089	\$	0.61

The following table shows securities that could potentially dilute earnings per unit in the future that were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per unit because to do so would have been antidilutive.

For the Nine	Months Ended Sep	tember 30,	For the Nine Months Ended September 30,					
	2009		2008					
Income	Units	Per-Unit	Income	Units	Per-Unit			
(Numerator)	(Denominator)	Amount	(Numerator)	(Denominator)	Amount			
(in thousands except unit and per unit data)								

Edgar Filing: Regency Energy Partners LP - Form 10-Q

## Basic Earnings per

Net income						
attributable to Limited						
Partner interests	\$ 135,230	79,498,936	\$ 1.70	\$ 60,164	63,839,053	\$ 0.94
Effect of Dilutive						
Securities						
Common unit options	-	-		-	111,134	
Phantom units	-	32,692		-	-	
Class D common units	820	1,066,155		5,312	7,276,506	
Class E common units	-	-		-	2,161,789	
Diluted Earnings per						
Unit	\$ 136,050	80,597,783	\$ 1.69	\$ 65,476	73,388,482	\$ 0.89

The following table shows securities that could potentially dilute earnings per unit in the future that were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per unit because to do so would have been antidilutive.

#### Anti-dilutive securities:

	Three Mont Septemb		Nine Months Ended September 30,			
	2009	2008	2009	2008		
Non-vested common						
units	-	18,331	-	40,654		
Phantom units	250,258	-	-	-		
Convertible redeemable						
preferred units	1,378,000	-	464,381	-		

#### 3. Acquisitions and Disposition

On March 17, 2009, the Partnership announced the completion of the transactions contemplated by the Contribution Agreement (the "Contribution Agreement") relating to a joint venture arrangement among Regency HIG, EFS Haynesville, LLC, and the Alinda Investors. The Partnership contributed RIGS, which owns the Regency Intrastate Gas System, valued at \$401,356,000, to HPC, in exchange for a 38 percent interest in HPC. EFS Haynesville and Alinda Investors contributed \$126,928,000 and \$528,284,000 in cash, respectively, to HPC in return for a 12 percent and a 50 percent interest, respectively. The disposition and deconsolidation resulted in the recording of a \$133,451,000 gain (of which \$52,813,000 represents the remeasurement of the Partnership's retained 38 percent interest to its fair value), net of transaction costs of \$5,530,000.

On September 2, 2009, the Partnership purchased a five percent interest in HPC from EFS Haynesville for \$63,000,000, increasing the Partnership's ownership percentage from 38 percent to 43 percent. Because the transaction occurred between two entities that are under common control, partners' capital was reduced by \$10,197,000, which represented a deemed distribution of the excess purchase price over EFS Haynesville's carrying amount.

The following unaudited pro forma financial information has been prepared as if the acquisitions of FrontStreet, CDM and Nexus and the contribution of RIGS to HPC as well as the acquisition of additional five percent HPC interest had occurred as of the beginning of the earliest period presented. Such unaudited pro forma financial information does not purport to be indicative of the results of operations that would have been achieved if the transactions to which the Partnership is giving pro forma effect actually occurred on the date referred to above or the results of operations that may be expected in the future.

		Pro Form					Pro Forma Results for the				
		Three					Nine Months Ended				
	September 30, September 30,						September 30,			September 30,	
		2009			2008		2009			2008	
				(in th	nousands excep	t unit ar	nd per unit d	ata)			
Revenue	\$	250,582		\$	534,794	\$	782,129		\$	1,470,588	
Net income (loss)											
attributable to Regency											
Energy Partners LP	\$	(10,158	)	\$	43,252	\$	6,579		\$	189,833	
Less:											
Amounts attributable to											
convertible redeemable											
preferred units		1,996			-		1,996			-	
General partner's interest,											
including IDR		378			1,392		1,901			5,552	
Allocation of net income to											
non-vested common units		(132	)		394		(98	)		2,013	
Beneficial conversion											
feature for Class D common											
units		_			1,887		820			5,312	
Limited partners' interest	\$	(12,400	)	\$	39,579	\$	1,960		\$	176,956	
•		•			·					·	
Basic and Diluted earnings											
(loss) per unit:											
Amount allocated to	\$	(12,404	)	\$	39,579	\$	1,956		\$	176,956	
common and subordinated	•	` '	,		•					•	

Edgar Filing: Regency Energy Partners LP - Form 10-Q

un	11	ts.

Weighted average number of					
common and subordinated					
units outstanding	80,637,783		70,043,532	79,498,936	63,838,515
Basic income (loss) per					
common and subordinated					
unit	\$ (0.15)	)	\$ 0.57	\$ 0.02	\$ 2.77
Diluted income (loss) per					
common and subordinated					
unit	\$ (0.15	)	\$ 0.54	\$ 0.02	\$ 2.50
Distributions per unit	\$ 0.445		\$ 0.445	\$ 0.445	\$ 1.31
Amount allocated to Class D					
common units	\$ -		\$ 1,887	\$ 820	\$ 5,312
Total number of Class D					
common units outstanding	-		7,276,506	7,276,506	7,276,506
Basic and diluted income per					
Class D common unit due to					
beneficial conversion feature	\$ -		\$ 0.26	\$ 0.11	\$ 0.73
Distributions per unit	\$ -		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

#### 4. Investment in Unconsolidated Subsidiary

As described in the Acquisitions and Disposition footnote, the Partnership contributed RIGS to HPC for a 38 percent partner interest in HPC. Subsequently, on September 2, 2009, the Partnership purchased an additional five percent partner's interest in HPC from EFS Haynesville for \$63,000,000. The summarized financial information of HPC as of September 30, 2009 and for the period from inception (March 18, 2009) to September 30, 2009 is disclosed below. The Partnership recognized \$5,455,000 in income from unconsolidated subsidiary for its ownership interest from inception (March 18, 2009) to September 30, 2009. In addition, the Partnership received \$5,187,000 of distributions from HPC during the period from March 18, 2009 to September 30, 2009.

RIGS Haynesville Partnership Co. Condensed Balance Sheet September 30, 2009 Unaudited (in thousands)

	Septen	nber 30, 2009
ASSETS	•	
Total current assets	\$	191,707
Property, plant and equipment, net		761,648
Total other assets		150,200
TOTAL ASSETS	\$	1,103,555
LIABILITIES & PARTNERS'		
CAPITAL		
Total current liabilities	\$	46,558
Partners' capital		1,056,997
TOTAL LIABILITIES &		
PARTNERS' CAPITAL	\$	1,103,555

RIGS Haynesville Partnership Co.
Condensed Income Statement
From Inception (March 18, 2009) to September 30, 2009
Unaudited
(in thousands)

	Th	ree Months	Mar	March 18, 2009				
		Ended		to				
	Sej	otember 30,	Sep	September 30,				
		2009		_	2009			
Total revenues	\$	14,188		\$	30,095			
Total operating costs and								
expenses		5,702			17,160			
OPERATING INCOME		8,486			12,935			
Interest expense		(65	)		(65	)		
Other income and deductions,								
net		597			1,209			
NET INCOME	\$	9.018		\$	14,079			

#### 5. Derivative Instruments

Policies. The Partnership established comprehensive risk management policies and procedures to monitor and manage the market risks associated with commodity prices, counterparty credit, and interest rates. The Partnership's General Partner is responsible for delegation of transaction authority levels, and the Risk Management Committee of the General Partner is responsible for the overall management of these risks, including monitoring exposure limits. The Risk Management Committee receives regular briefings on exposures and overall risk management in the context of market activities.

The Partnership primarily deals with financial institutions when entering into financial derivatives.

Commodity Price Risk. The Partnership is exposed to the impact of market fluctuations in the prices of natural gas, NGLs, and other commodities as a result of our gathering and processing activities, and the Partnership is a net seller of natural gas, NGLs and condensate. The Partnership attempts to mitigate commodity price risk exposure by matching pricing terms between its purchases and sales of commodities. To the extent that the Partnership sells commodities in which pricing terms cannot be matched and there is a substantial risk of price exposure, the Partnership attempts to use financial hedges to mitigate the risk. It is the Partnership's policy not to take any speculative positions with its derivative contracts. In some cases, the Partnership may not be able to match pricing terms or to cover its risk to price exposure with financial hedges, and it may be exposed to commodity price risk.

Both the Partnership's profitability and cash flows are affected by volatility in prevailing natural gas and NGL prices. Natural gas and NGL prices are impacted by changes in the supply and demand for NGLs and natural gas, as well as price volatility. Adverse effects on cash flows from reductions in natural gas and NGL product prices could adversely affect the Partnership's ability to make distributions to unitholders. The Partnership manages this commodity price exposure through an integrated strategy that includes management of its contract portfolio, matching sales prices of commodities with purchases, optimization of its portfolio by monitoring basis and other price differentials in operating areas, and the use of derivative contracts.

The Partnership has executed swap contracts settled against condensate, ethane, propane, butane, natural gas, and natural gasoline market prices. The Partnership hedged its expected exposure to declines in prices for NGLs, condensate and natural gas volumes produced for its account in the approximate percentages set for below:

	2009	2010	2011
NGL	99%	56%	18%
Condensate	76%	76%	18%
Natural gas	86%	44%	0%

Effective June 19, 2007, the Partnership elected to account for all outstanding commodity hedging instruments on a mark-to-market basis except for the portion pursuant to which all NGL products for a particular year were hedged and the hedging relationship was, for accounting purposes, effective. The swaps continued to serve as economic hedges against price exposure for the Partnership. The Partnership uses West Texas Intermediate crude oil program to hedge condensate. At September 30, 2009, the Partnership has the following commodity swaps that qualify as cash flow hedges: the 2009 NGLs, natural gas and West Texas Intermediate crude oil hedging programs and the 2010 NGLs, natural gas and West Texas Intermediate crude oil hedging programs.

In March 2008, the Partnership entered offsetting trades against its existing 2009 NGL portfolio of mark-to-market hedges, which it believes will substantially reduce the volatility of its 2009 NGL hedges. This group of trades, along with the pre-existing 2009 NGL portfolio, will continue to be accounted for on a mark-to-market basis. Simultaneously, the Partnership executed additional 2009 NGL swaps which were designated as cash flow hedges. In May 2008, the Partnership entered into commodity swaps to hedge a portion of its 2010 NGL commodity

risk, except for ethane, which are accounted for using the mark-to-market accounting treatment.

The Partnership accounts for a portion of its West Texas Intermediate crude oil swaps using mark-to-market accounting. In August 2008, the Partnership entered into an offsetting trade against its existing 2009 West Texas Intermediate crude oil swap to minimize the volatility of the original 2009 swap. Simultaneously, the Partnership executed an additional 2009 West Texas Intermediate crude oil swap, which was designated as a cash flow hedge. In May 2008, the Partnership entered into a West Texas Intermediate crude oil swap to hedge its 2010 condensate price risk, which was designated as a cash flow hedge.

In December 2008, the Partnership entered into two natural gas swaps to hedge its equity exposure to natural gas for 2009. In May 2009, the Partnership entered into a natural gas swap to hedge a portion of its equity exposure to natural gas for 2010. These natural gas swaps were designated as cash flow hedges.

In July 2009, the Partnership entered offsetting trades against half of its existing 2010 NGL portfolio of mark-to-market hedges, which it believes will substantially reduce the volatility of its 2010 NGL hedges. This group of trades, along with the pre-existing 2010 NGL portfolio, will continue to be accounted for on a mark-to-market basis. Simultaneously, the Partnership executed additional 2010 NGL swaps which were designated as cash flow hedges.

Additionally, in July 2009, the Partnership entered into swap transactions to hedge a portion of its forecasted NGLs and condensate equity exposure for the first half of 2011. These swaps are accounted for using the mark-to-market accounting treatment.

Interest Rate Risk. The Partnership is exposed to variable interest rate risk as a result of borrowings under its existing credit facility. As of September 30, 2009, the Partnership had \$608,102,000 of outstanding long-term balances exposed to variable interest rate risk. An increase of 100 basis points in the LIBOR rate would increase the Partnership's annual payment by \$6,081,000. On February 29, 2008, the Partnership entered into two-year interest rate swaps related to \$300,000,000 of borrowings under its revolving credit facility, effectively locking the base rate for these borrowings at 2.4 percent, plus the applicable margin (3 percent as of September 30, 2009) through March 5, 2010. These interest rate swaps were designated as cash flow hedges.

Credit Risk. The Partnership's resale of natural gas exposes it to credit risk, as the margin on any sale is generally a very small percentage of the total sales price. Therefore, a credit loss can be very large relative to overall profitability on these transactions. The Partnership attempts to ensure that it issues credit only to credit-worthy counterparties and that in appropriate circumstances any such extension of credit is backed by adequate collateral such as a letter of credit or a guarantee from a parent company with potentially better credit.

The Partnership is exposed to credit risk from its derivative counterparties. The Partnership does not require collateral from these counterparties. The Partnership has entered into Master International Swap Dealers Association ("ISDA") Agreements that allow for netting of swap contract receivables and payables in the event of default by either party. If the Partnership's counterparties failed to perform under existing swap contracts, the Partnership's maximum loss is \$40,719,000, which would be reduced by \$10,882,000 due to the netting feature. The Partnership has elected to present assets and liabilities under Master ISDA Agreements gross on the condensed consolidated balance sheet.

Embedded Derivatives. The Convertible Redeemable Preferred Units contain embedded derivatives, such as the holders' conversion option and the Partnership's call option. These embedded derivatives are accounted for using mark-to-market accounting treatment. Changes in the fair value are recorded in other income and deductions, net within the statement of operations. The Partnership does not expect the embedded derivatives to affect its cash flows. During the three months ended September 30, 2009, the total amount of loss recognized was \$13,986,000.

Quantitative Disclosures. The Partnership expects to reclassify \$12,470,000 of net hedging gains to revenues or interest expense from accumulated other comprehensive income in the next 12 months.

The Partnership's derivative assets and liabilities, including its credit risk adjustment, are detailed below as of September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008.

	Assets		Liabil	ities
	September 30, 2009	December 31, 2008	September 30, 2009	December 31, 2008
		(in th	ousands)	
Derivatives designated as cash flow hedges Current amounts				
Interest rate contracts	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,677	\$ 4,680
Commodity contracts	19,640	59,882	3,048	-
Long-term amounts				
Interest rate contracts	-	-	-	560
Commodity contracts	2,681	13,373	99	-
Total cash flow hedging				
instruments	22,321	73,255	5,824	5,240

Derivatives not designated as cash flow hedges

Edgar Filing: Regency Energy Partners LP - Form 10-Q

-			-			-			-	
14,330			16,001			6,292			38,402	
-			-			-			-	
4,068			23,425			766			-	
-			-			(42,894	)		-	
18,398			39,426			(35,836	)		38,402	
(220	)		(1,890	)		(120	)		(391	)
40,499		\$	110,791		\$	(30,132	)	\$	43,251	
	14,330 - 4,068 - 18,398	14,330 - 4,068 - 18,398	14,330 - 4,068 - 18,398 (220 )	14,330 16,001 4,068 23,425 18,398 39,426 (220 ) (1,890	14,330	14,330	14,330     16,001     6,292       -     -     -       4,068     23,425     766       -     -     (42,894)       18,398     39,426     (35,836)       (220)     (1,890)     (120)	14,330     16,001     6,292       -     -     -       4,068     23,425     766       -     -     (42,894 )       18,398     39,426     (35,836 )       (220 )     (1,890 )     (120 )	14,330     16,001     6,292       -     -     -       4,068     23,425     766       -     -     (42,894)       18,398     39,426     (35,836)       (220)     (1,890)     (120)	14,330       16,001       6,292       38,402         -       -       -       -         4,068       23,425       766       -         -       -       (42,894)       -         18,398       39,426       (35,836)       38,402         (220)       (1,890)       (120)       (391

Derivatives designated as cash f	Cash flow hedges Three Months Ended September 30, 2009 Three Months Ended September 30, 2008 Interest					
	Rate	Commodity	/ Total	Interest Rate	e Commodity	Total
Gain (loss) recorded in accumulated OCI (Effective)	\$(522	) \$(3,005	) \$(3,527		) \$57,444	\$56,693
Gain (loss) reclassified from accumulated OCI into income (Effective)*	(1,612	) 13,514	11,902	51	(14,773 )	(14,722 )
Gain (loss) recognized in income (Ineffective)*	-	(1,383	) (1,383	) -	1,511	1,511
	Nine Month Interest	s Ended Septe	ember 30, 200		nths Ended Sep 2008	tember 30,
	Rate	Commodity	y Total	Interest Rate	e Commodity	Total
Gain (loss) recorded in accumulated OCI (Effective)	\$(2,035	) \$(8,501	) \$(10,536	) 3,693	3,581	\$7,274
Gain (loss) reclassified from accumulated OCI into income (Effective)*	(4,597	) 45,578	40,981	410	(40,617 )	(40,207)
Gain (loss) recognized in income (Ineffective)*	-	849	849	-	1,997	1,997
Derivatives not designated as ca hedges	sh flow					
	Three Mo	onths Ended Se 2009	eptember 30,	Three Mo	onths Ended Sep 2008	otember 30,
	Embedded Derivative		y Total	Embedded Derivative		Total
Loss from dedesignation amortized from accumulated						
OCI into income* (Loss) gain recognized in	\$-	\$(432	) \$(432	) \$-	\$(65)	\$(65)
income*	(13,986	) 143	(13,843	) -	19,982	19,982
	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009  Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009					tember 30,
Loss from dedesignation	Embedded Derivative		/ Total	Embedded Derivative		Total
amortized from accumulated OCI into income*	\$-	\$(1,617	) \$(1,617	) \$-	\$(182     )	\$(182)
Loss recognized in income*	(13,986	) (6,948	) (20,934	) -	(1,908)	(1,908)

Edgar Filing: Regency Energy Partners LP - Form 10-Q

Credit risk assessment for commodity and interest rate swaps

	Three Months Ended		Nine Mon	ths Ended
	September	September	September	September
	30, 2009	30, 2008	30, 2009	30, 2008
Gain recognized in income*	\$450	\$162	\$1,400	\$1,110

<sup>\*</sup> Gain and loss related to commodity swaps, interest swaps and embedded derivatives were included in revenue, interest expense, and other income and deductions, net, respectively, in the Partnership's condensed consolidated statements of operations for the three and nine months of September 30, 2009 and 2008.

#### 6. Long-term Debt, net

Obligations in the form of senior notes and borrowings under the credit facilities are as follows.

	Se	September 30, 2009 (in thou		ecember 31, 2008
Senior notes	\$	594,290	\$	357,500
Revolving loans		608,102		768,729
Total		1,202,392		1,126,229
Less: current portion		-		-
Long-term debt	\$	1,202,392	\$	1,126,229
Availability under revolving				
credit facility:				
Total credit facility limit	\$	900,000	\$	900,000
Unfunded Lehman				
commitments		(7,030	)	(8,646)
Revolving loans		(608,102		(768,729)
Letters of credit		(16,257	)	(16,257)
Total available	\$	268,611	\$	106,368

On May 20, 2009, the Partnership and Finance Corp. issued \$250,000,000 senior notes in a private placement that matures on June 1, 2016. The senior notes bear interest at 9.375 percent with interest payable semiannually on June 1 and December 1. The proceeds were used to partially repay revolving loans under the Partnership's credit facility.

At any time before June 1, 2012, up to 35 percent of the senior notes can be redeemed at a price of 109.375 percent plus accrued interest. Beginning June 1, 2013, the Partnership may redeem all or part of the notes for the principal amount plus a declining premium until June 1, 2015, and thereafter at par, plus accrued and unpaid interest. At any time prior to June 1, 2013, the Partnership may also redeem all or part of the notes at a price equal to 100 percent of the principal amount of notes redeemed plus accrued interest and the applicable premium, which equals to the greater of (1) 1 percent of the principal amount of the note; or (2) the excess of the present value at such redemption date of (i) the redemption price of the note at June 1, 2013 plus (ii) all required interest payments due on the note through June 1, 2013, computed using a discount rate equal to the Treasury Rate as of such redemption date plus 50 basis points over the principal amount of the note.

Upon a change of control, each noteholder will be entitled to require the Partnership to purchase all or a portion of its notes at a purchase price of 101 percent plus accrued interest. The senior notes contain various covenants that limit, among other things, the Partnership's ability, and the ability of certain of its subsidiaries, to:

- · incur additional indebtedness;
- pay distributions on, or repurchase or redeem equity interests;
- · make certain investments;
- · incur liens:
- enter into certain types of transactions with affiliates; and
- sell assets, consolidate or merge with or into other companies.

The senior notes are jointly and severally guaranteed by all of the Partnership's current consolidated subsidiaries, other than Finance Corp., and by certain of its future subsidiaries. The senior notes and the guarantees are unsecured and rank equally with all of the Partnership's and the guarantors' existing and future unsubordinated obligations. The senior notes and the guarantees will be senior in right of payment to any of the Partnership's and the guarantors' future obligations that are, by their terms, expressly subordinated in right of payment to the notes and the guarantees. The

senior notes and the guarantees will be effectively subordinated to the Partnership's and the guarantors' secured obligations, including the Partnership's Credit Facility, to the extent of the value of the assets securing such obligations.

Finance Corp. has no operations and will not have revenue other than as may be incidental as co-issuer of the senior notes. Since the Partnership has no independent operations, the guarantees are fully unconditional and joint and several of its subsidiaries, except certain wholly owned subsidiaries, the Partnership has not included condensed consolidated financial information of guarantors of the senior notes.

The carrying value of the Partnership's senior notes due 2016 is as follows.

	September		D	ecember	
	30, 2009		3	31, 2008	
		(in thou	usands)		
Principal amount	\$	250,000	\$	-	
Less: Unamortized					
discount		(13,210)		-	
Carrying value	\$	236,790	\$	-	

On March 17, 2009, RGS amended its credit agreement to authorize the contribution of RIGS to a joint venture (HPC) and allow for future investment up to \$135,000,000 in a joint venture. The amendment imposed additional financial restrictions that limit the ratio of senior secured indebtedness to EBITDA. The alternate base rate used to calculate interest on base rate loans will be calculated based on the greatest to occur of a base rate, a federal funds effective rate plus 0.50 percent and an adjusted one-month LIBOR rate plus 1.50 percent. The applicable margin shall range from 1.50 percent to 2.25 percent for base rate loans, 2.50 percent to 3.25 percent for Eurodollar loans, and commitment fees will range from 0.375 percent to 0.500 percent.

On July 24, 2009, RGS further amended its credit agreement to allow for a \$25,000,000 working capital facility for the RIGS Haynesville Joint Venture.

GECC Credit Facility. On February 26, 2009, the Partnership entered into a \$45,000,000 unsecured revolving credit agreement with GECC. The proceeds of the GECC Credit Facility were available for expenditures made in connection with the Haynesville Expansion Project prior to the effectiveness of the above March 17, 2009 amendment. The commitments under the Revolving Credit Facility terminated on March 17, 2009. The Partnership paid a commitment fee of \$2,718,000 to GECC related to this GECC Credit Facility, which was recorded as a decrease to gain on asset sales, net.

On September 15, 2008, Lehman filed a petition in the United States Bankruptcy Court seeking relief under Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code. As a result, a subsidiary of Lehman that is a committed lender under our Credit Facility has declined requests to honor its commitment to lend. The total amount committed by Lehman was \$20,000,000 and as of September 30, 2009, the Partnership had borrowed all but \$7,030,000 of that amount. Since Lehman has declined requests to honor its remaining commitment, our total size of the Credit Facility's capacity has been reduced from \$900,000,000 to \$892,970,000. Further, if the Partnership makes repayments of loans against the Credit Facility which were, in part, funded by Lehman, the amounts funded by Lehman may not be reborrowed.

The outstanding balance of revolving debt under the Credit Facility bears interest at LIBOR plus a margin or Alternate Base Rate (equivalent to the U.S prime rate lending rate) plus a margin or a combination of both. The weighted average interest rates for the revolving loans and senior notes, including interest rate swap settlements, commitment fees, and amortization of debt issuance costs were 6.44 percent and 6.37 percent for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively, and 7.42 percent and 6.15 percent for the three months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The senior notes pay fixed interest rates and the weighted average rate is 8.787 percent.

#### 7. Commitments and Contingencies

Legal. The Partnership is involved in various claims and lawsuits incidental to its business. These claims and lawsuits in the aggregate should not have a material adverse effect on the Partnership's business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Escrow Payable. At September 30, 2009, \$1,510,000 remained in escrow pending the completion by El Paso of environmental remediation projects pursuant to the purchase and sale agreement ("El Paso PSA") related to assets in

north Louisiana and the mid-continent area. In the El Paso PSA, El Paso indemnified the predecessor of the Partnership's operating partnership, RGS, against losses arising from pre-closing and known environmental liabilities subject to a limit of \$84,000,000 and certain deductible limits. Upon completion of a Phase II environmental study, the Partnership notified El Paso of remediation obligations amounting to \$1,800,000 with respect to known environmental matters and \$3,600,000 with respect to pre-closing environmental liabilities. This escrow amount will be further reduced under a specified schedule as El Paso completes its cleanup obligations and the remainder will be released upon completion.

Environmental. A Phase I environmental study was performed on certain assets located in west Texas in connection with the pre-acquisition due diligence process in 2004. Most of the identified environmental contamination had either been remediated or was being remediated by the previous owners or operators of the properties. The aggregate potential environmental remediation costs at specific locations were estimated to range from \$1,900,000 to \$3,100,000. No governmental agency has required the Partnership to undertake these remediation efforts. Management believes that the likelihood that it will be liable for any significant potential remediation liabilities identified in the study is remote. Separately, the Partnership acquired an environmental pollution liability insurance policy in connection with the acquisition to cover any undetected or unknown pollution discovered in the future. The policy covers clean-up costs and damages to third parties, and has a 10-year term (expiring 2014) with a \$10,000,000 limit subject to certain deductibles. No claims have been made against the Partnership or under the policy.

TCEQ Notice of Enforcement. In February 2008, the TCEQ issued a NOE concerning one of the Partnership's processing plants located in McMullen County, Texas (the "Plant"). The NOE alleges that, between March 9, 2006, and May 8, 2007, the Plant experienced 15 emission events of various durations from four hours to 41 days, which were not reported to TCEQ and other agencies within 24 hours of occurrence. In April 2008, TCEQ presented the Partnership with a written offer to settle the allegation in the NOE in exchange for payment of an administrative penalty of \$480,000, and it later reduced its settlement demand to \$360,000 in July 2008. The Partnership was unable to settle this matter on a satisfactory basis and the TCEQ has referred the matter to its litigation division for further administrative proceedings.

Keyes Litigation. In August 2008, Keyes Helium Company, LLC ("Keyes") filed suit against Regency Gas Services LP, the Partnership, and the General Partner. Keyes entered into an output contract with the Partnership's predecessor in 1996 under which it purchased all of the helium produced at the Lakin, Kansas processing plant. In September 2004, the Partnership decided to shut down its Lakin plant and contract with a third party for the processing of volumes processed at Lakin; as a result, the Partnership no longer delivered any helium to Keyes. In this suit, Keyes alleges it is entitled to an unspecified amount of damages for the costs of covering its purchases of helium. Discovery ended in October 2009 and trial is scheduled for December 2009.

Kansas State Severance Tax. In August 2008, a customer began remitting severance tax to the state of Kansas based on the value of condensate purchased from one of the Partnership's Mid-Continent gathering fields and deducting the tax from its payments to the Partnership. The Kansas Department of Revenue advised the customer that it was appropriate to remit such taxes and withhold the taxes from its payments to the Partnership, absent an order or legal opinion from the Kansas Department of Revenue stating otherwise. The Partnership has requested a determination and refund from the Kansas Department of Revenue regarding the matter since severance taxes were already paid on the gas from which the condensate is collected and no additional tax is due. The Kansas Department of Revenue has initiated an audit of the Partnership's condensate sales in Kansas. If the Kansas Department of Revenue determines that the condensate sales are taxable, then the Partnership may be subject to additional taxes, interest and possibly penalties for past and future condensate sales.

Caddo Gas Gathering LLC v. Regency Intrastate Gas LLC. Caddo Gas Gathering LLC ("Caddo Gas") claims that RIGS breached a 1988 natural gas transportation agreement (the "Transportation Agreement"). Caddo Gas alleges that the Transportation Agreement requires RIGS to take receipt of gas at any receipt point on the "Regency Gas System" and redeliver that gas for \$0.05 per MMbtu. Caddo Gas further alleges that RIGS' obligation to provide transportation to Caddo Gas is unconditional and that RIGS breached the Transportation Agreement when it refused to let Caddo Gas access a fully-subscribed receipt point interconnect at the Centerpoint Energy Sligo Plant ("Sligo Point"), but offered to install a new interconnect at Caddo Gas' cost. RIGS filed an answer denying that Caddo Gas was entitled to access the Regency Gas System through the Sligo Point and denying that its actions constituted a breach of the Transportation Agreement. No trial date has been set.

Remediation of Groundwater Contamination at Calhoun and Dubach Plants. RFS currently owns the Dubach and Calhoun gas processing plants in north Louisiana (the "Plants"). The Plants each have groundwater contamination as result of historical operations. At the time that RFS acquired the Plants from El Paso, Kerr-McGee Corporation (Kerr-McGee) was performing remediation of the groundwater contamination, because the Plants were once owned by Kerr-McGee and when Kerr-McGee sold the Plants to a predecessor of El Paso in 1988, Kerr-McGee retained liability for any environmental contamination at the Plants. In 2005, Kerr-McGee created and spun off Tronox and Tronox allegedly assumed certain of Kerr-McGee's environmental remediation obligations (including its obligation to perform remediation at the Plants) prior to the acquisition of Kerr-McGee by Anadarko Petroleum Corporation. In January 2009, Tronox filed for Chapter 11 bankruptcy protection. RFS filed a claim in the bankruptcy proceeding relating to the environmental remediation work at the Plants. Tronox has thus far continued its remediation efforts at the Regency facilities.

#### 8. Convertible Redeemable Preferred Units

On September 2, 2009, the Partnership issued 4,371,586 Convertible Redeemable Preferred Units at a price of \$18.30 per unit, less a four percent discount of \$3,200,000, for net proceeds of \$76,800,000. The Convertible Redeemable Preferred Units are convertible to common units under terms described below, and if outstanding, are redeemable on September 2, 2029. The Convertible Redeemable Preferred Units will receive fixed quarterly cash distributions of \$0.445 per unit beginning with the quarter ending March 31, 2010.

Distributions on the Convertible Redeemable Preferred Units will be accrued for the quarters ending September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2009 (and not paid in cash) and will result in an increase in the number of common units issuable upon conversion. If on any distribution payment date beginning March 31, 2010, the Partnership (1) fails to pay distributions on the Convertible Redeemable Preferred Units, (2) reduces the distributions on the common units to zero and (3) is prohibited by its material financing agreements from paying cash distributions, such distributions shall automatically accrue and accumulate until paid in cash. If the Partnership has failed to pay cash distributions in full for two quarters (whether or not consecutive) from and including the quarter ending on March 31, 2010, then if the Partnership fails to pay cash distributions on the Convertible Redeemable Preferred Units, all future distributions on the Convertible Redeemable Preferred Units that are accrued rather than being paid in cash by the Partnership will consist of the following: (1) \$0.35375 per Convertible Redeemable Preferred Unit per quarter, (2) \$0.09125 per Convertible Redeemable Preferred Unit Distribution Amount"), payable solely in common units, and (3) \$0.09125 per Convertible Redeemable Preferred Unit per quarter (the "PIK Distribution Additional Amount"), payable solely in common units. The total number of common units payable in connection with the Common Unit Additional Amount or the PIK Distribution Additional Amount cannot exceed 1,600,000 in any period of twenty consecutive fiscal quarters.

Upon the Partnership's breach of certain covenants related to the 9.375 percent Senior Notes due 2016 (a "Covenant Default"), the holders of the Convertible Redeemable Preferred Units will be entitled to an increase of \$0.1825 per quarterly distribution, payable solely in common units (the "Covenant Default Additional Amount"). All accumulated and unpaid distributions will accrue interest (i) at a rate of 2.432 percent per quarter, or (ii) if the Partnership has failed to pay all PIK Distribution Additional Amounts or Covenant Default Additional Amounts or any Covenant Default has occurred and is continuing, at a rate of 3.429 percent per quarter while such failure to pay or such Covenant Default continues.

The Convertible Redeemable Preferred Units are convertible, at the holder's option, into common units commencing on March 2, 2010, provided that the holder must request conversion of at least 375,000 Convertible Redeemable Preferred Units. The conversion price will initially be \$18.30, subject to adjustment for customary events (such as unit splits) and until December 31, 2011, based on a weighted average formula in the event the Partnership issues any common units (or securities convertible or exercisable into common units) at a per Common Unit price below \$16.47 per common unit. The number of common units issuable is equal to the issue price of the Convertible Redeemable Preferred Units (i.e. \$18.30) being converted plus all accrued but unpaid distributions and accrued but unpaid interest thereon (the "Redeemable Face Amount"), divided by the applicable conversion price.

Commencing on September 2, 2014, if at any time the volume-weighted average trading price of the common units over the trailing 20-trading day period (the "VWAP Price") is less than the then-applicable conversion price, the conversion ratio will be increased to: the quotient of (1) the Redeemable Face Amount on the date that the holder's conversion notice is delivered, divided by (2) the product of (x) the VWAP Price set forth in the applicable conversion notice and (y) 91 percent, but will not be less than \$10.

Also commencing on September 2, 2014, the Partnership will have the right at any time to convert all or part of the Convertible Redeemable Preferred Units into common units, if (1) the daily volume-weighted average trading price of the common units is greater than 150 percent of the then-applicable conversion price for twenty (20) out of the trailing thirty (30) trading days, and (2) certain minimum public float and trading volume requirements are satisfied.

The Convertible Redeemable Preferred Units are mandatorily redeemable on September 2, 2029 for \$80,000,000 plus all accrued but unpaid distributions thereon (the "Series A Liquidation Value").

In the event of a change of control followed by a ratings decline, the Partnership will be required to make an offer to the holders of the Convertible Redeemable Preferred Units to purchase their Convertible Redeemable Preferred Units for an amount equal to 101 percent of their Series A Liquidation Value. In addition, until and including the fifth anniversary of the issuance date, in the event of certain business combinations or other transactions involving the Partnership in which the holders of common units receive cash consideration exclusively in exchange for their common units (a "Cash Event"), the Partnership must use commercially reasonable efforts to ensure that the holders of the Convertible Redeemable Preferred Units will be entitled to receive a security issued by the surviving entity in the Cash Event with comparable powers, preferences and rights to the Convertible Redeemable Preferred Units. If the Partnership is unable to ensure that the holders of the Convertible Redeemable Preferred Units will be entitled to receive such a security, then the Partnership will be required to make an offer to the holders of the Convertible Redeemable Preferred Units for an amount equal to 120 percent of their Series A Liquidation Value. If the Partnership enters into any recapitalization, reorganization, consolidation, merger, spin-off that is not a Cash Event, the Partnership will make appropriate provisions to ensure that the holders of the Convertible Redeemable Preferred Units receive a security with comparable powers, preferences and rights to the Convertible Redeemable Preferred Units upon consummation of such transaction.

The September 30, 2009 accrued distributions of \$1,945,000 was added to the value of Convertible Redeemable Preferred Units and will increase the number of common units that Convertible Redeemable Preferred may be converted beginning March 2, 2010 to 4,477,890 upon conversion.

Net proceeds from the issuance of Convertible Redeemable Preferred Units on September 2, 2009 was \$76,800,000, of which \$28,908,000 was allocated to the initial fair value of the embedded derivatives and recorded into long-term derivative liabilities on the balance sheet. The remaining \$47,892,000 represented the initial value of the Convertible Redeemable Preferred Units and will be accreted to \$80,000,000 by deducting the accretion amounts from partners' capital over 20 years.

The following table presents the change in Convertible Redeemable Preferred Units for the nine months ended September 30, 2009.

	For the Nine
	Months Ended
	September 30,
	2009
	(in thousands)
Beginning Balance	\$ -
Issuance	47,892
Accretion	51
Accrued distribution	1,945
Ending Balance	\$ 49,888

### 9. Related Party Transactions

The employees operating the assets of the Partnership and its subsidiaries and all those providing staff or support services are employees of the General Partner. Pursuant to the Partnership Agreement, our General Partner receives a monthly reimbursement for all direct and indirect expenses incurred on behalf of the Partnership. Reimbursements of \$8,289,000, \$7,284,0000, \$24,563,000, and \$22,605,000 were recorded in the Partnership's financial statements during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively, as operation and maintenance expenses or general and administrative expenses, as appropriate.

In conjunction with distributions by the Partnership to its limited and general partner interests, during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, GE EFS received cash distributions of \$1,865,000, \$5,429,000, \$1,679,000 and \$2,801,000.

Under a Master Services Agreement with HPC, the Partnership operates and provides all employees and services for the operation and management of HPC. Under this agreement the Partnership will receive \$500,000 monthly as a partial reimbursement of its general and administrative costs. The amount is recorded as fee revenue in the Partnership's corporate and other segment. The Partnership also incurs expenditures on behalf of HPC and these amounts are billed to HPC on a monthly basis. Additionally, the Partnership's gathering and processing and contract compression segments provide processing and contract compression services to HPC. As of and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009, the Partnership's related party receivables, related party payables, related party revenues and related party cost of sales were primarily a result of the transactions described above.

On September 2, 2009, the Partnership purchased 52,650 units representing a five percent partner's interest in HPC from EFS Haynesville for \$63,000,000.

On February 26, 2009, the Partnership entered into a \$45,000,000 unsecured revolving credit agreement with GECC. The proceeds of the GECC Credit Facility were available for expenditures made in connection with the Haynesville Expansion Project prior to the effectiveness of the above March 17, 2009 amendment. The commitments under the Revolving Credit Facility terminated on March 17, 2009. The Partnership paid a commitment fee of \$2,718,000 to GECC related to this GECC Credit Facility, which was recorded as a decrease to gain on asset sales, net.

#### 10. Segment Information

With the completion of the Contribution Agreement, the Partnership's management realigned the composition of its segments. Accordingly, the Partnership has restated the items of segment information for earlier periods to reflect this new alignment.

The Partnership has three principal reportable segments: (a) gathering and processing, (b) transportation, and (c) contract compression. Gathering and processing involves collecting raw natural gas from producer wells and transporting it to treating plants where water and other impurities such as hydrogen sulfide and carbon dioxide are removed. Treated gas is then processed to remove the natural gas liquids. The treated and processed natural gas is then transported to market separately from the natural gas liquids. Revenues and the associated cost of sales from the gathering and processing segment directly expose the Partnership to commodity price risk, which is managed through derivative contracts and other measures. The Partnership aggregates the results of its gathering and processing activities across five geographic regions into a single reporting segment. The Partnership, through its producer services function, primarily purchases natural gas from producers at gathering systems and plants connected to its pipeline systems and sells this gas at downstream outlets.

Following the initial contribution of RIGS to HPC in March 2009, as well as the subsequent acquisition of an additional five percent interest in HPC, the transportation segment consists exclusively of the Partnership's 43 percent interest in HPC, for which equity method accounting applies. Prior periods have been restated to reflect the

Partnership's then wholly owned subsidiary of Regency Intrastate Gas LLC as the exclusive reporting unit within this segment. The transportation segment uses pipelines to transport natural gas from receipt points on its system to interconnections with other pipelines, storage facilities or end-use markets. RIGS performs transportation services for shipping customers under firm or interruptible arrangements. In either case, revenues are primarily fee based and involve minimal direct exposure to commodity price fluctuations. The north Louisiana intrastate pipeline operated by this segment serves the Partnership's gathering and processing facilities in the same area and those transactions create a portion of the intersegment revenues shown in the table below.

The contract compression segment provides customers with turn-key natural gas compression services to maximize their natural gas and crude oil production, throughput, and cash flow. The Partnership's integrated solutions include a comprehensive assessment of a customer's natural gas contract compression needs and the design and installation of a compression system that addresses those particular needs. The Partnership is responsible for the installation and ongoing operation, service, and repair of its compression units, which are modified as necessary to adapt to customers' changing operating conditions. The contract compression segment also provides services to certain operations in the gathering and processing segment, creating a portion of the intersegment revenues shown in the table below.

The corporate and others segment comprises regulated entities and the Partnership's corporate offices. Revenues in this segment include the collection of the partial reimbursement of general and administrative costs from HPC.

Management evaluates the performance of each segment and makes capital allocation decisions through the separate consideration of segment margin and operation and maintenance expenses. Segment margin, for the gathering and processing and for the transportation segments, is defined as total revenues, including service fees, less cost of sales. In the contract compression segment, segment margin is defined as revenues minus direct costs, which primarily consist of compressor repairs. Management believes segment margin is an important measure because it directly relates to volume, commodity price changes and revenue generating horsepower. Operation and maintenance expenses are a separate measure used by management to evaluate performance of field operations. Direct labor, insurance, property taxes, repair and maintenance, utilities and contract services comprise the most significant portion of operation and maintenance expenses. These expenses fluctuate depending on the activities performed during a specific period. The Partnership does not deduct operation and maintenance expenses from total revenues in calculating segment margin because management separately evaluates commodity volume and price changes in segment margin.

Results for each income statement period, together with amounts related to balance sheets for each segment are shown below.

External Revenue	Gathering and Processing	Transportation	on	Contract Compression (in thou		Elimination	ıS	Total
For the three months ended								
September 30, 2009	\$211,787	\$ -		\$ 36,367	\$2,428	\$ -		\$250,582
For the three months ended	Ψ211,707	Ψ		Ψ 30,307	Ψ2, 420	Ψ		Ψ230,302
September 30, 2008	500,425	9,366		36,162	1,222	_		547,175
For the nine months ended	, ,	- ,			,			.,
September 30, 2009	664,006	9,078		113,866	7,152	-		794,102
For the nine months ended	·				·			
September 30, 2008	1,372,960	29,576		94,016	2,563	-		1,499,115
Intersegment Revenue								
For the three months ended								
September 30, 2009	(3)	-		1,208	87	(1,292	)	-
For the three months ended	(1 <b>-</b> )			c = 10		(6.604		
September 30, 2008	(4,567)	4,547		6,549	75	(6,604	)	-
For the nine months ended	(0.755	4.022		2.002	222	507		
September 30, 2009	(8,755)	4,933		2,993	232	597		-
For the nine months ended September 30, 2008	10,979	10,906		6,831	253	(28,969	`	
Cost of Sales	10,979	10,900		0,631	233	(20,909	)	-
For the three months ended								
September 30, 2009	152,283	_		3,490	(103	) (84	)	155,586
For the three months ended	,			2,120	(200	, (0 :	,	200,000
September 30, 2008	407,458	(2,754	)	3,423	3	35		408,165
For the nine months ended								
September 30, 2009	479,567	2,297		9,994	13	3,590		495,461
For the nine months ended								
September 30, 2008	1,192,303	(10,422	)	8,695	3	(22,138	)	1,168,441
Segment Margin								
For the three months ended	50.501			24.005	0.610	(1.200	,	04.006
September 30, 2009	59,501	-		34,085	2,618	(1,208	)	94,996
For the three months ended September 30, 2008	88,400	16,667		39,288	1,294	(6,639	`	139,010
For the nine months ended	88,400	10,007		39,200	1,294	(0,039	)	139,010
September 30, 2009	175,684	11,714		106,865	7,371	(2,993	)	298,641
For the nine months ended	175,001	11,711		100,003	7,571	(2,775	,	250,011
September 30, 2008	191,636	50,904		92,152	2,813	(6,831	)	330,674
Operation and Maintenance	,	,		,	,	,		,
For the three months ended								
September 30, 2009	22,518	-		11,012	170	(1,561	)	32,139
For the three months ended								
September 30, 2008	25,225	(1,007	)	16,020	73	(6,623	)	33,688
For the nine months ended								
September 30, 2009	66,866	2,112		35,040	302	(4,166	)	100,154

Edgar Filing: Regency Energy Partners LP - Form 10-Q

For the nine months ended							
September 30, 2008	63,293	1,833	36,253	461	(6,791	)	95,049
Depreciation and Amortization							
For the three months ended							
September 30, 2009	16,881	-	9,271	857	-		27,009
For the three months ended							
September 30, 2008	14,865	3,506	7,537	514	-		26,422
For the nine months ended							
September 30, 2009	50,015	2,448	26,253	2,418	-		81,134
For the nine months ended							
September 30, 2008	42,286	10,439	20,370	1,543	-		74,638
Assets							
September 30, 2009	1,015,823	454,562	924,142	119,403	-		2,513,930
December 31, 2008	1,103,770	325,310	881,552	148,007	-		2,458,639
Investment in Unconsolidated							
Subsidiary							
September 30, 2009	-	454,427	-	-	-		454,427
December 31, 2008	-	-	-	-	-		-
Goodwill							
September 30, 2009	63,232	-	164,882	-	-		228,114
December 31, 2008	63,232	34,244	164,882	-	-		262,358
Expenditures for Long-Lived							
Assets							
For the nine months ended							
September 30, 2009	55,969	22,367	83,579	1,974	-		163,889
For the nine months ended							
September 30, 2008	108,330	92	133,367	1,871	-		243,660
21							

The table below provides a reconciliation of net (loss) income attributable to Regency Energy Partners LP to total segment margin.

		Three 1	Mon	ths E	nded		Nine Months Ended					
	September 30, 2009		Se	2008			eptember 30, 2009		Se	ptember 30, 2008		
	(in the					thou	susands)					
Net (loss) income attributable to					`							
Regency Energy Partners LP	\$	(10,504	)	\$	48,907		\$	143,775		\$	69,227	
Add (deduct):												
Operation and maintenance		32,139			33,688			100,154			95,049	
General and administrative		14,126			13,976			43,331			38,784	
(Gain) loss on asset sales, net of												
costs		(109	)		(34	)		(133,389	)		434	
Management services termination												
fee		-			-			-			3,888	
Transaction expenses		-			2			-			536	
Depreciation and amortization		27,009			26,422			81,134			74,638	
Income from unconsolidated												
subsidiary		(3,532	)		-			(5,455	)		-	
Interest expense, net		22,173			16,072			55,968			48,261	
Other income and deductions, net		13,929			(118	)		13,673			(450)	
Income tax (benefit) expense		(196	)		(67	)		(611	)		142	
Net (loss) income attributable to												
the noncontrolling interest		(39	)		162			61			165	
Total segment margin	\$	94,996		\$	139,010		\$	298,641		\$	330,674	

#### 11. Equity-Based Compensation

#### Non-Vested Units

In December 2005, the General Partner approved a LTIP for the Partnership's employees, directors, and consultants covering an aggregate of 2,865,584 common units and providing for the awards of non-vested units and options to purchase common units. Non-vested units generally vest on the basis of one-fourth of the award each year. The Partnership expects to recognize \$10,918,000 of compensation expense related to non-vested units over a weighted average period of approximately 2.16 years. All outstanding options are vested and expire ten years after the grant date. In addition, non-vested units receive the same distributions as common units.

Non-vested common units are subject to contractual restrictions against transfer which lapse over time; non-vested units are subject to forfeitures on termination of employment. Upon exercise of the common unit options, the Partnership anticipates settling these obligations with common units.

The non-vested common units and common unit options activity for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 are as follows.

		Weighted
		Average Grant
		Date Fair
Non-Vested Common Units	Units	Value
Outstanding at beginning of		
period	704,050	\$ 29.26
Granted	24,500	11.13
Vested	(173,416)	29.89
Forfeited or expired	(84,625)	28.44
Outstanding at end of period	470,509	28.23

			Weighted						
			Weighted	Average	Aggregate				
		Av	Average Exercise Contractual Terr		Intrinsic Value*				
Common Unit Options	Units		Price	(Years)	(in thousands)				
Outstanding at beginning of period	431,918	\$	21.31						
Granted	-		-						
Exercised	-		-						
Forfeited or expired	(123,300	)	20.88						
Outstanding at end of period	308,618		21.49	6.55	-				
Exercisable at end of period	308,618	\$	21.49		-				

<sup>\*</sup> Intrinsic value equals the closing market price of a unit less the option strike price, multiplied by the number of unit options outstanding as of the end of the period presented. Unit options with an exercise price greater than the end of the period closing market price are excluded.

#### **Phantom Units**

During the nine months ended September 30, 2009, the Partnership awarded 303,200 phantom units to senior management and certain key employees. These phantom units are in substance two grants composed of (1) service condition grants (also defined as "time-based grants" in the LTIP plan document) with graded vesting occurring on March 15 of each of the following three years; and (2) market condition grants (also defined as "performance-based grants" in the LTIP plan document) with cliff vesting based upon the Partnership's relative ranking in total unitholder return among 20 peer companies, which peer companies are disclosed in Item 11 of the Partnership's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008. At the end of the measurement period (March 15, 2012) for the market condition grants, the phantom units will convert to common units in a ratio ranging from 0 to 150 percent. Upon a change in control, the market condition based grants will convert to common units at 150 percent and the service condition grants will conver to common on a one-for-one basis. For both the service condition grants and the market condition grants, distributions will be accumulated and paid upon vesting.

In determining the grant date fair value, the grant date closing price of the Partnership's common units was used for the service condition awards. For the market condition awards, a Monte Carlo simulation was performed which incorporated variables such as unit price volatility, merger and acquisition activity within the peer group, changes in credit ratings of the peer group members, and employee turnover. The grant-date closing price of the Partnership's common units was also a factor in determining the grant-date fair value of the market condition awards.

The Partnership expects to recognize \$1,708,000 of compensation expense related to non-vested phantom units over a period of 2.7 years. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009, the Partnership recognized \$157,000 and \$204,000 of expense, respectively, which is reflected in general and administrative expense on the condensed consolidated income statement.

The following table presents phantom unit activity for the nine months ended September 30, 2009.

			Weighted
		Av	erage Grant
Phantom Units	Units	Dat	te Fair Value
Outstanding at the beginning of the period	-	\$	-
Service condition grants	128,480		13.17
Market condition grants	174,720		4.64
Vested service condition	-		-
Vested market condition	-		-
Forfeited service condition	(1,800	)	-
Forfeited market condition	(2,700	)	-
Total outstanding at end of period	298,700	\$	8.38

#### 12. Fair Value Measures

On January 1, 2008, the Partnership adopted the fair value measurement provisions for financial assets and liabilities and on January 1, 2009, the Partnership applied the fair value measurement provisions to non-financial assets and liabilities, such as goodwill, indefinite-lived intangible assets, property, plant and equipment and asset retirement obligations. These provisions establish a three-tiered fair value hierarchy that prioritizes inputs to valuation techniques used in fair value calculations. The three levels of inputs are defined as follows:

- Level 1- unadjusted quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in active markets accessible by the Partnership;
- Level 2- inputs that are observable in the marketplace other than those classified as Level 1; and
- · Level 3- inputs that are unobservable in the marketplace and significant to the valuation.

Entities are encouraged to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs. If a financial instrument uses inputs that fall in different levels of the hierarchy, the instrument will be categorized based upon the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value calculation.

Derivatives. The Partnership's financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis are derivatives related to interest rate and commodity swaps and embedded derivatives in the Convertible Redeemable Preferred Units. Derivatives related to interest rate and commodity swaps are valued using discounted cash flow techniques. These techniques incorporate Level 1 and Level 2 inputs such as future interest rates and commodity prices. These market inputs are utilized in the discounted cash flow calculation considering the instrument's term, notional amount, discount rate and credit risk and are classified as Level 2 in the hierarchy. Derivatives related to Convertible Redeemable Preferred Units are valued using a binomial lattice model. The market inputs utilized in the model include credit spread, probabilities of the occurrence of certain events, dividend yield, and expected volatility, and are classified as Level 3 in the hierarchy.

The following table presents the Partnership's derivative assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis.

	Septen	nber 30, 1	2009		December 31, 2008				
	Assets		Liabilities		Assets		Liabilities		
				(in thousand	ds)				
Level 1	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-		
Level 2	40,499		12,762		110,791		43,251		
Level 3	-		42,894		-		-		

Edgar Filing:	Regency	Energy	<b>Partners</b>	IP-	Form	10-Q
Lagai i iiiig.	ricgoncy	Liloigy	i ditioio		1 01111	10 0

Total \$ 40,499 \$ 55,656 \$ 110,791 \$ 43,251

The following table presents the changes in Level 3 derivatives measured on a recurring basis for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009. There were no Level 3 derivatives in 2008.

	Derivatives related to Convertible Redeemable Preferred Units						
	Fo	r the Three	For the Nine				
	Mo	onths Ended	Mo	Months Ended			
	Se	ptember 30,	Sep	otember 30,			
		2009	_	2009			
		(in the	ousands	sands)			
Beginning Balance	\$	-	\$	-			
Issuance		28,908		28,908			
Net unrealized (gains) losses							
included in other income and							
deductions, net		13,986		13,986			
Ending Balance	\$	42,894	\$	42,894			

The carrying amount of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable and accounts payable approximates fair value due to their short-term maturities. Restricted cash and related escrow payable approximates fair value due to the relatively short-term settlement period of the escrow payable. Long-term debt (other than the senior notes) is comprised of borrowings under which, interest accrues under a floating interest rate structure. Accordingly, the carrying value approximates fair value for the long-term debt amounts outstanding. The estimated fair value of the 8.375 and 9.375 percent senior notes based on third party market value quotations was \$363,756,250 and \$258,750,000, respectively, as of September 30, 2009.

#### 13. Subsequent Events

On October 27, 2009, the Partnership declared a distribution of \$0.445 per outstanding common unit including units equivalent to the General Partner's two percent interest in the Partnership, and an aggregate distribution of approximately \$633,000, with respect to incentive distribution rights, payable on November 13, 2009 to unitholders of record at the close of business on November 6, 2009.

The Partnership evaluated subsequent events up to and including November 9, 2009, the date on which these financial statements were issued.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations
The following discussion analyzes our financial condition and results of operations. You should read the following discussion of our financial condition and results of operations in conjunction with our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and notes included elsewhere in this document.

OVERVIEW. We are a growth-oriented publicly-traded master limited partnership engaged in the gathering, processing, contract compression, marketing, and transportation of natural gas and NGLs. We provide these services through systems located in Louisiana, Texas, Arkansas, and the mid-continent region of the United States, which includes Kansas and Oklahoma.

#### RECENT DEVELOPMENTS.

Partnership. On September 2, 2009, we issued 4,371,586 Series A Cumulative Convertible Preferred Units ("Convertible Redeemable Preferred Units") at a price of \$18.30 per unit, less a four percent discount of \$3,200,000, for net proceeds of \$76,800,000.

On September 11, 2009, we announced the Logansport Expansion which will extend our Nexus gathering system. This project will add approximately 300 MMcf/d of gathering capacity and is anticipated to cost \$46,500,000. The Logansport Expansion will be funded from the proceeds of the recently issued Convertible Redeemable Preferred Units and our revolving credit facility.

Joint Venture. On March 17, 2009, we announced the completion of the transactions included in the Contribution Agreement relating to a joint venture among Regency HIG, EFS Haynesville and the Alinda Investors. We contributed RIGS, which owned the Regency Intrastate Gas System, valued at \$401,356,000, to HPC, in exchange for a 38 percent interest in HPC. EFS Haynesville and the Alinda Investors contributed \$126,928,000 and \$528,284,000 in cash, respectively, to HPC in return for a 12 percent and a 50 percent interest, respectively. On September 2, 2009, we purchased an additional five percent partner's interest in HPC from EFS Haynesville for \$63,000,000, increasing our ownership percentage to 43 percent.

HPC was formed to finance the construction and development of the expansion of our existing natural gas pipeline in north Louisiana and to operate the Regency Intrastate Gas System.

On September 14, 2009, HPC announced plans to construct a \$47,000,000 pipeline extension of the Haynesville Expansion Project. This extension, which is called the Red River Lateral, will add approximately 100,000 MMbtu/d of capacity to the Haynesville Expansion Project, bringing the total project capacity to approximately 1.2 Bcf/d. Substantially all of the incremental capacity on the Red River Lateral has been contracted to third parties.

Drilling and Pricing Pressure Trends.

General. We continue to see a lower level of drilling activity in certain operating regions compared to last year. As long as natural gas prices remain at current levels, we believe that drilling activity will continue to remain low and may decline further. We believe that current drilling levels are not sufficient to meet expected demand for natural gas over the next few years and that higher prices will be needed for drilling levels to rise to more normal historical levels. Management cannot predict the timing of higher natural gas prices, but if prices remain at current levels for an extended period of time, our business operations could be adversely impacted.

Contract Compression Segment. As a result of depressed natural gas prices and decreased drilling activity, our natural gas contract compression segment is currently experiencing a challenging environment. Overall, revenue generating horsepower decreased by three percent for the three months ended September 30, 2009, compared to levels as of June 30, 2009, and we anticipate continued challenges in redeploying compression that comes up for renewal as well as

deploying newly-fabricated compression units during the near term.

OUR OPERATIONS. We manage our business and analyze and report our results of operations through three business segments.

- Gathering and Processing: We provide "wellhead-to-market" services to producers of natural gas, which include transporting raw natural gas from the wellhead through gathering systems, processing raw natural gas to separate NGLs from the raw natural gas and selling or delivering the pipeline-quality natural gas and NGLs to various markets and pipeline systems;
- · Transportation: We own a 43 percent interest in HPC that delivers natural gas from northwest Louisiana to more favorable markets in northeast Louisiana through a 320-mile intrastate pipeline system; and
- Contract Compression: We provide customers with turn-key natural gas compression services to maximize their natural gas and crude oil production, throughput, and cash flow. Our integrated solutions include a comprehensive assessment of a customer's natural gas contract compression needs and the design and installation of a compression system that addresses those particular needs. We are responsible for the installation and ongoing operation, service, and repair of our compression units, which we modify as necessary to adapt to our customers' changing operating conditions.

HOW WE EVALUATE OUR OPERATIONS. We use a variety of financial and operational measurements to analyze our performance. We view these key performance indicators as important tools for evaluating the success of our operations and review these key performance indicators on a monthly basis for consistency and trends. For our gathering and processing and transportation segments, the key performance indicators include volumes, segment margin, and operation and maintenance expenses. For our contract compression segment, the key performance indicators include revenue generating horsepower, average horsepower per revenue generating compression unit, segment margin, and operation and maintenance expenses. Management also reviews EBITDA for each reportable segment and in total to analyze performance.

Volumes. We must continually obtain new supplies of natural gas to maintain or increase throughput volumes on our gathering and processing systems. Our ability to maintain existing supplies of natural gas and obtain new supplies is affected by:

- the level of workovers or recompletions of existing connected wells and successful drilling activity in areas currently dedicated to our pipelines;
- · our ability to compete for volumes from successful new wells in other areas; and
- our ability to obtain natural gas that has been released from other commitments.

We routinely monitor producer activities in the areas served by our gathering and processing systems to pursue new supply opportunities.

To increase throughput volumes on our gathering systems, we must contract with shippers, including producers and marketers, for supplies of natural gas. We routinely monitor producer and marketing activities in the areas served by our transportation system in search of new supply opportunities.

Revenue Generating Horsepower. Revenue generating horsepower growth is the primary driver for revenue growth in our contract compression segment, and it is also the primary measure for evaluating our operational efficiency. Revenue generating horsepower is our total available horsepower less horsepower under contract that is not generating revenue and idle horsepower.

Average Horsepower per Revenue Generating Compression Unit. We calculate average horsepower per revenue generating compression unit as our revenue generating horsepower divided by the number of revenue generating compression units.

Segment Margin. We calculate our gathering and processing segment margin as our revenue generated from our gathering and processing operations minus the cost of natural gas and NGLs purchased and other cost of sales, including third-party transportation and processing fees. Revenue includes revenue from the sale of natural gas and NGLs resulting from these activities and fixed fees associated with the gathering and processing of natural gas. In addition, we purchase pipeline-quality natural gas at a pipeline inlet price adjusted to reflect our transportation fee and we sell that gas at the pipeline outlet.

Prior to our contribution of Regency Intrastate Gas System to HPC, we calculated our transportation segment margin as revenue generated by fee income as well as, in those instances in which we purchase and sell gas for our account, gas sales revenue minus the cost of natural gas that we purchase and transport. Revenue primarily included fees for the transportation of pipeline-quality natural gas and the margin generated by sales of natural gas transported for our account. Most of our segment margin was fee-based with little or no commodity price risk.

Since our contribution of RIGS to HPC, we do not record segment margin for the transportation segment because the income attributable to HPC is recorded as income from an unconsolidated subsidiary. Because of the significance of HPC to the Partnership, we are providing a separate discussion of HPC's results of operations and cash distributions.

We calculate our contract compression segment margin as our revenues generated from our contract compression operations minus the direct costs, primarily compressor unit repairs, associated with those revenues.

Total Segment Margin. Segment margin from gathering and processing, transportation, contract compression, corporate and other and inter-segment eliminations equal to total segment margin. We use total segment margin as a measure of performance. The reconciliation of the non-GAAP financial measures of segment margin and total segment margin to their most directly comparable GAAP measure, net income, is included in Note 10, Segment Information, within the condensed consolidated financial statements included in Item 1 of this report.

Operation and Maintenance Expense. Operation and maintenance expense is a separate measure that we use to evaluate the operating performance of field operations. Direct labor, insurance, property taxes, repair and maintenance, utilities and contract services make up the most significant portion of our operating and maintenance expenses. These expenses are largely independent of the volumes flowing through our systems but fluctuate depending on the activities performed during a specific period. We do not deduct operation and maintenance expenses from total revenues in calculating segment margin because we separately evaluate commodity volume and price changes in segment margin.

EBITDA. We define EBITDA as net income plus interest expense, provision for income taxes and depreciation and amortization expense. EBITDA is used as a supplemental measure by our management and by external users of our financial statements such as investors, commercial banks, research analysts and others, to assess:

- · financial performance of our assets without regard to financing methods, capital structure or historical cost basis;
- the ability of our assets to generate cash sufficient to pay interest costs, support our indebtedness and make cash distributions to our unitholders and general partners;
- our operating performance and return on capital as compared to those of other companies in the midstream energy sector, without regard to financing or capital structure; and
- the viability of acquisitions and capital expenditure projects and the overall rates of return on alternative investment opportunities.

EBITDA should not be considered as an alternative to net income, operating income, cash flows from operating activities or any other measure of financial performance presented in accordance with GAAP. EBITDA is the starting point in determining cash available for distribution, which is an important non-GAAP financial measure for a publicly traded master limited partnership. The following table reconciles the non-GAAP financial measure, EBITDA, to its most directly comparable GAAP measures, net income and net cash flows provided by operating activities.

	Nine Months Ended								
	Se	eptember 30,	,	S	eptember 30,	,			
		2009			2008				
			(in thou	isands)					
Not each flows may ided by anomating activities	\$	107,113		\$	149,280				
Net cash flows provided by operating activities	Ф	107,113		Ф	149,280				
Add (deduct):  Depreciation and amortization, including debt issuance cost									
amortization		(95 666	`		(76 751	`			
		(85,666 268	)		(76,751	)			
Noncash income from unconsolidated subsidiary					1 007				
Derivative portfolio valuation changes		(3,040	)		1,007				
Gain (loss) on asset sales, net		133,389			(434	)			
Unit based compensation expenses		(4,361	)		(3,087	)			
Gain on insurance settlement		-			3,282				
Changes in current assets and liabilities:									
Trade accounts receivables, accrued revenues and related party									
receivables		(32,121	)		11,084				
Other current assets		(14,478	)		(38	)			
Trade accounts payable, accrued cost of gas and liquids, and related									
party payables		47,943			11,125				
Other current liabilities		(5,628	)		(22,448	)			
Other assets and liablities		417			(3,628	)			
Net income	\$	143,836		\$	69,392				
Add:									
Interest expense, net		55,968			48,261				
Depreciation and amortization		81,134			74,638				
Income tax (benefit) expense		(611	)		142				
EBITDA	\$	280,327		\$	192,433				

CASH DISTRIBUTIONS. On October 27, 2009, the Partnership declared a distribution of \$0.445 per outstanding common unit including units equivalent to the General Partner's two percent interest in the Partnership, and an aggregate distribution of approximately \$633,000, with respect to incentive distribution rights, payable on November

13, 2009 to unitholders of record at the close of business on November 6, 2009.

#### **RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

Partnership

Three Months Ended September 30, 2009 vs. Three Months Ended September 30, 2008

	Three Months Ended										
	Se	eptember 30,	,	Se	eptember 30	,					
		2009			2008			Change		Percent	
			(in the	ousar	nds except p	ercent	ages	and volume	data)		
	\$	250,582		\$	547,175		\$	(296,593	)	54	%
Cost of sales		155,586			408,165			(252,579	)	62	
Total segment margin (1)		94,996			139,010			(44,014	)	32	
Operation and maintenance		32,139			33,688			(1,549	)	5	
General and administrative		14,126			13,976			150		1	
Gain on asset sales, net		(109	)		(34	)		(75	)	221	
Transaction expense		-			2			(2	)	N/M	
Depreciation and amortization		27,009			26,422			587		2	
Operating income		21,831			64,956			(43,125	)	66	
Income from unconsolidated											
subsidiary		3,532			-			3,532		N/M	
Interest expense, net		(22,173	)		(16,072	)		(6,101	)	38	
Other income and deductions,											
net		(13,929	)		118			(14,047	)	11,904	
Income tax benefit		(196	)		(67	)		(129	)	193	
Net loss (income) attributable											
to the noncontrolling interest		39			(162	)		201		124	
Net (loss) income attributable											
to Regency Energy Partners LP	\$	(10,504	)	\$	48,907			(59,411	)	121	%
System inlet volumes											
(MMbtu/d) (2)		1,518,263			1,604,655			(86,392	)	5	
Revenue generating											
horsepower (3)		743,289			742,804			485		-	
(1) For a reconsiliation of total a		ant manain	ta ita n	a a a t	dimantler name	manah1	a fin	anaia1 maaa	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	atad and	

<sup>(1)</sup> For a reconciliation of total segment margin to its most directly comparable financial measure calculated and presented in accordance with GAAP, please read "Item 1. Financial Statements – Note 10, Segment Information." (2) System inlet volumes include total volumes taken into both our gathering and processing and transportation

N/M – not meaningful

<sup>(2)</sup> System inlet volumes include total volumes taken into both our gathering and processing and transportation systems.

<sup>(3)</sup> Revenue generating horsepower is our total available horsepower less horsepower under contract that is not generating revenue and idle horsepower.

The following table contains key company-wide performance indicators related to our discussion of the results of operations.

Three Months Ended

September 30, 2009

September 30, 2008

Change

Percent

(in thousands except percentage and volume data)

Segment Financial and Operating

Data:

Gathering and Processing

Segment

Financial data:

Segment margin (1) (2) (3) \$ 59,501 \$ 88,400 \$ (28,899)