

Thomson David
Form 4
August 28, 2009

FORM 4

**UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549**

OMB APPROVAL

OMB Number: 3235-0287
Expires: January 31, 2005
Estimated average burden hours per response... 0.5

Check this box if no longer subject to Section 16. Form 4 or Form 5 obligations may continue. See Instruction 1(b).

STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP OF SECURITIES

Filed pursuant to Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, Section 17(a) of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 or Section 30(h) of the Investment Company Act of 1940

(Print or Type Responses)

1. Name and Address of Reporting Person *
Thomson David

2. Issuer Name and Ticker or Trading Symbol
MANNKIND CORP [MNKD]

5. Relationship of Reporting Person(s) to Issuer

(Check all applicable)

(Last) (First) (Middle)
28903 NORTH AVENUE PAINE

3. Date of Earliest Transaction
(Month/Day/Year)
08/15/2009

____ Director _____ 10% Owner
 Officer (give title below) _____ Other (specify below)

VP & General Counsel

(Street)

4. If Amendment, Date Original Filed(Month/Day/Year)

6. Individual or Joint/Group Filing(Check Applicable Line)
 Form filed by One Reporting Person
 Form filed by More than One Reporting Person

VALENCIA, CA 91355

(City) (State) (Zip)

Table I - Non-Derivative Securities Acquired, Disposed of, or Beneficially Owned

1. Title of Security (Instr. 3)	2. Transaction Date (Month/Day/Year)	2A. Deemed Execution Date, if any (Month/Day/Year)	3. Transaction Code (Instr. 8)	4. Securities Acquired (A) or Disposed of (D) (Instr. 3, 4 and 5)	5. Amount of Securities Beneficially Owned Following Reported Transaction(s) (Instr. 3 and 4)	6. Ownership Form: Direct (D) or Indirect (I) (Instr. 4)	7. Nature of Indirect Ownership (Instr. 4)
			Code	V	Amount	(D)	Price
Common Stock, \$.01 Par Value	08/15/2009		F ⁽¹⁾		1,201	D	\$ 7.37
Common Stock, \$.01 Par Value	08/16/2009		F ⁽¹⁾		344	D	\$ 7.37
Common Stock, \$.01 Par Value	08/27/2009		S		7,306 <u>(2)</u>	D	\$ 7.8443

Reminder: Report on a separate line for each class of securities beneficially owned directly or indirectly.

Persons who respond to the collection of information contained in this form are not required to respond unless the form displays a currently valid OMB control number.

SEC 1474
(9-02)

Table II - Derivative Securities Acquired, Disposed of, or Beneficially Owned
(e.g., puts, calls, warrants, options, convertible securities)

1. Title of Derivative Security (Instr. 3)	2. Conversion or Exercise Price of Derivative Security	3. Transaction Date (Month/Day/Year)	3A. Deemed Execution Date, if any (Month/Day/Year)	4. Transaction Code (Instr. 8)	5. Number of Derivative Securities Acquired (A) or Disposed of (D) (Instr. 3, 4, and 5)	6. Date Exercisable and Expiration Date (Month/Day/Year)	7. Title and Amount of Underlying Securities (Instr. 3 and 4)	8. Price of Derivative Security (Instr. 5)	9. Number of Derivative Securities Beneficially Owned (Instr. 5)
				Code	V (A) (D)	Date Exercisable	Expiration Date	Title	Amount or Number of Shares

Reporting Owners

Reporting Owner Name / Address	Relationships			
	Director	10% Owner	Officer	Other
Thomson David 28903 NORTH AVENUE PAINE VALENCIA, CA 91355			VP & General Counsel	

Signatures

/s/ David
Thomson 08/27/2009

**Signature of Reporting Person Date

Explanation of Responses:

- * If the form is filed by more than one reporting person, see Instruction 4(b)(v).
 - ** Intentional misstatements or omissions of facts constitute Federal Criminal Violations. See 18 U.S.C. 1001 and 15 U.S.C. 78ff(a).
- (1) Shares withheld to satisfy the tax liability incident to the vesting of previously reported restricted stock units.
- (2) Transaction occurred pursuant to a 10B5-1 Plan entered into as part of a divorce settlement.

Note: File three copies of this Form, one of which must be manually signed. If space is insufficient, see Instruction 6 for procedure. Potential persons who are to respond to the collection of information contained in this form are not required to respond unless the form displays a currently valid OMB number. e="font-family:inherit;font-size:8pt;">1,981

Single issuer trust preferred securities issued by banks

Edgar Filing: Thomson David - Form 4

—

—

—

—

—

1,000

1,004

1,000

1,004

Pooled trust preferred securities issued by banks and insurers

—

—

—

—

—

—

2,159

1,800

2,159

1,800

Explanation of Responses:

Edgar Filing: Thomson David - Form 4

Small business administration pooled securities

—

—

—

—

—

—

55,961

54,336

55,961

54,336

Total available for sale securities

\$
278

\$
281

\$
65,948

\$
64,448

\$
112,857

\$
109,469

\$
271,412

Explanation of Responses:

Edgar Filing: Thomson David - Form 4

\$
261,663

\$
450,495

\$
435,861

Held to maturity securities

U.S. Treasury securities
\$
—

\$
—

\$
1,004

\$
1,006

\$
—

\$
—

Explanation of Responses:

Edgar Filing: Thomson David - Form 4

\$

—

\$

—

\$

1,004

\$

1,006

Agency mortgage-backed securities

—

—

12,442

12,191

37,473

36,481

139,564

135,416

189,479

184,088

Agency collateralized mortgage obligations

—

—

—

Explanation of Responses:

Edgar Filing: Thomson David - Form 4

—

941

936

335,405

322,580

336,346

323,516

Single issuer trust preferred securities issued by banks

—

—

—

—

1,500

1,490

—

—

1,500

1,490

Small business administration pooled securities

—

—

Explanation of Responses:

Edgar Filing: Thomson David - Form 4

—

—

—

—

25,376

24,522

25,376

24,522

Total held to maturity securities

\$

—

\$

—

\$

13,446

\$

13,197

\$

39,914

\$

38,907

\$

500,345

\$

482,518

\$

Explanation of Responses:

553,705

\$
534,622

Inclusive in the table above is \$6.3 million of callable securities at September 30, 2018.

The carrying value of securities pledged to secure public funds, trust deposits, repurchase agreements and for other purposes, as required or permitted by law, was \$523.6 million and \$547.2 million at September 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017, respectively.

At September 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017, the Company had no investments in obligations of individual states, counties, or municipalities which exceeded 10% of stockholders' equity.

Other-Than-Temporary Impairment ("OTTI")

The Company continually reviews investment securities for the existence of OTTI, taking into consideration current market conditions, the extent and nature of changes in fair value, issuer rating changes and trends, the credit worthiness of the obligor of the security, volatility of earnings, current analysts' evaluations, the Company's intent to sell the security, whether it is more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell the debt security before its anticipated recovery, as well as other qualitative factors. The term "other-than-temporary" is not intended to indicate that the decline is permanent, but indicates that the prospects for a near-term recovery of value are not necessarily favorable, or that there is a lack of evidence to support a realizable value equal to or greater than the carrying value of the investment.

15

Table of Contents

The following tables show the gross unrealized losses and fair value of the Company's investments in an unrealized loss position, which the Company has not deemed to be OTTI, aggregated by investment category and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position:

	September 30, 2018						
	# of holdings	Less than 12 months		12 months or longer		Total	
		Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses
	(Dollars in thousands)						
U.S. government agency securities	3	\$31,572	\$(907)	\$—	\$—	\$31,572	\$(907)
Agency mortgage-backed securities	154	284,810	(8,375)	77,412	(3,662)	362,222	(12,037)
Agency collateralized mortgage obligations	57	294,626	(8,847)	154,168	(10,213)	448,794	(19,060)
Single issuer trust preferred securities issued by banks and insurers	1	1,490	(10)	—	—	1,490	(10)
Pooled trust preferred securities issued by banks and insurers	1	—	—	1,800	(359)	1,800	(359)
Small business administration pooled securities	8	38,264	(685)	40,594	(1,794)	78,858	(2,479)
Total temporarily impaired securities	224	\$650,762	\$(18,824)	\$273,974	\$(16,028)	\$924,736	\$(34,852)

	December 31, 2017						
	# of holdings	Less than 12 months		12 months or longer		Total	
		Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses
	(Dollars in thousands)						
U.S. government agency securities	4	\$24,343	\$(131)	\$—	\$—	\$24,343	\$(131)
Agency mortgage-backed securities	84	235,411	(1,493)	14,886	(310)	250,297	(1,803)
Agency collateralized mortgage obligations	42	178,142	(1,579)	159,506	(5,572)	337,648	(7,151)
Pooled trust preferred securities issued by banks and insurers	1	—	—	1,640	(539)	1,640	(539)
Small business administration pooled securities	4	34,553	(223)	9,647	(95)	44,200	(318)
Equity securities	28	3,290	(39)	7,619	(403)	10,909	(442)
Total temporarily impaired securities	163	\$475,739	\$(3,465)	\$193,298	\$(6,919)	\$669,037	\$(10,384)

The Company does not intend to sell these investments and has determined, based upon available evidence, that it is more likely than not that the Company will not be required to sell each security before the recovery of its amortized cost basis. As a result, the Company does not consider these investments to be OTTI and accordingly, there was no OTTI recorded and no cumulative credit related component of OTTI for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2018 and 2017. The Company made this determination by reviewing various qualitative and quantitative factors regarding each investment category, such as current market conditions, extent and nature of changes in fair value, issuer rating changes and trends, volatility of earnings, and current analysts' evaluations.

As a result of the Company's review of these qualitative and quantitative factors, the causes of the impairments listed in the table above by category are as follows at September 30, 2018:

U.S. Government Agency Securities, Agency Mortgage-Backed Securities, Agency Collateralized Mortgage Obligations and Small Business Administration Pooled Securities: These portfolios have contractual terms that generally do not permit the issuer to settle the securities at a price less than the current par value of the investment. The decline in market value of these securities is attributable to changes in interest rates and not credit quality. Additionally, these securities are implicitly guaranteed by the U.S. Government or one of its agencies.

Table of Contents

Single Issuer Trust Preferred Securities: This portfolio consists of one security, which is investment grade. The unrealized loss on this security is attributable to the illiquid nature of the trust preferred market in the current economic environment. Management evaluates various financial metrics for the issuers, including regulatory capital ratios of the issuers.

Pooled Trust Preferred Securities: This portfolio consists of one below investment grade security which is performing. The unrealized loss on this security is attributable to the illiquid nature of the trust preferred market in the current economic and regulatory environment. Management evaluates collateral credit and instrument structure, including current and expected deferral and default rates and timing. In addition, discount rates are determined by evaluating comparable spreads observed currently in the market for similar instruments.

Table of Contents**NOTE 4 - LOANS, ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES, AND CREDIT QUALITY**

The following tables bifurcate the amount of loans and the allowance allocated to each loan category based on the type of impairment analysis as of the periods indicated:

September 30, 2018

(Dollars in thousands)

	Commercial Industrial	Commercial Real Estate	Commercial Construction	Small Business	Residential Real Estate	Home Equity	Other Consumer	Total
Financing receivables ending balance:								
Collectively evaluated for impairment	\$972,842	\$ 3,114,414	\$ 352,491	\$ 148,609	\$ 784,533	\$ 1,067,389	\$ 13,425	\$6,453,703
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$30,938	\$ 13,023	\$ —	\$591	\$ 12,825	\$ 6,410	\$ 244	\$64,031
Purchased credit impaired loans	\$—	\$ 5,054	\$ —	\$—	\$ 4,452	\$ 162	\$ —	\$9,668
Total loans by group	\$ 1,003,780	\$ 3,132,491	\$ 352,491	\$ 149,200	\$ 801,810	\$ 1,073,961	\$ 13,669	\$6,527,402(1)

December 31, 2017

(Dollars in thousands)

	Commercial Industrial	Commercial Real Estate	Commercial Construction	Small Business	Residential Real Estate	Home Equity	Other Consumer	Total
Financing receivables ending balance:								
Collectively evaluated for impairment	\$853,885	\$ 3,093,945	\$ 401,797	\$ 131,667	\$ 733,809	\$ 1,045,053	\$ 9,573	\$6,269,729
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$34,643	\$ 16,638	\$ —	\$703	\$ 13,684	\$ 6,826	\$ 307	\$72,801
Purchased credit impaired loans	\$—	\$ 5,978	\$ —	\$—	\$ 6,836	\$ 209	\$ —	\$13,023
Total loans by group	\$888,528	\$ 3,116,561	\$ 401,797	\$ 132,370	\$ 754,329	\$ 1,052,088	\$ 9,880	\$6,355,553(1)

The amount of net deferred costs on originated loans included in the ending balance was \$6.9 million and \$6.1 million at September 30, 2018 and (1) December 31, 2017, respectively. Net unamortized discounts on acquired loans not deemed to be purchased credit impaired ("PCI") included in the ending balance was \$8.6 million and \$9.4 million at September 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017, respectively.

Table of Contents

The following tables summarize changes in allowance for loan losses by loan category for the periods indicated:

Three Months Ended September 30, 2018

(Dollars in thousands)

	Commercial Industrial Real Estate	Commercial Construction	Small Business	Residential Real Estate	Home Equity	Other Consumer	Total
Allowance for loan losses							
Beginning balance	\$ 14,788	\$ 32,095	\$ 5,216	\$ 1,709	\$ 2,909	\$ 5,468	\$ 62,557
Charge-offs	(218)	(82)	—	(111)	—	(87)	(349)
Recoveries	108	29	—	10	9	71	223
Provision (benefit)	430	226	(189)	160	153	102	193
Ending balance	\$ 15,108	\$ 32,268	\$ 5,027	\$ 1,768	\$ 3,071	\$ 5,554	\$ 63,235

Three Months Ended September 30, 2017

(Dollars in thousands)

	Commercial Industrial Real Estate	Commercial Construction	Small Business	Residential Real Estate	Home Equity	Other Consumer	Total
Allowance for loan losses							
Beginning balance	\$ 13,544	\$ 30,947	\$ 4,814	\$ 1,613	\$ 2,693	\$ 5,353	\$ 59,479
Charge-offs	(124)	—	—	(164)	(43)	(81)	(405)
Recoveries	404	286	—	17	15	65	261
Provision (benefit)	(994)	(233)	806	140	111	89	81
Ending balance	\$ 12,830	\$ 31,000	\$ 5,620	\$ 1,606	\$ 2,776	\$ 5,426	\$ 59,710

Table of Contents**Nine Months Ended September 30, 2018**

(Dollars in thousands)

	Commercial Industrial	Commercial Real Estate	Commercial Construction	Small Business	Residential Real Estate	Home Equity	Other Consumer	Total
Allowance for loan losses								
Beginning balance	\$ 13,256	\$ 31,453	\$ 5,698	\$ 1,577	\$ 2,822	\$ 5,390	\$ 447	\$ 60,643
Charge-offs	(355)	(82)	—	(237)	(148)	(261)	(926)	(2,009)
Recoveries	179	67	—	29	12	128	611	1,026
Provision (benefit)	2,028	830	(671)	399	385	297	307	3,575
Ending balance	\$ 15,108	\$ 32,268	\$ 5,027	\$ 1,768	\$ 3,071	\$ 5,554	\$ 439	\$ 63,235
Ending balance: collectively evaluated for impairment	\$ 15,101	\$ 32,234	\$ 5,027	\$ 1,767	\$ 2,197	\$ 5,386	\$ 426	\$ 62,138
Ending balance: individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 7	\$ 34	\$ —	\$ 1	\$ 874	\$ 168	\$ 13	\$ 1,097

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2017

(Dollars in thousands)

	Commercial Industrial	Commercial Real Estate	Commercial Construction	Small Business	Residential Real Estate	Home Equity	Other Consumer	Total
Allowance for loan losses								
Beginning balance	\$ 16,921	\$ 30,369	\$ 4,522	\$ 1,502	\$ 2,621	\$ 5,238	\$ 393	\$ 61,566
Charge-offs	(3,715)	—	—	(258)	(182)	(217)	(1,151)	(5,523)
Recoveries	604	343	—	96	29	167	778	2,017
Provision (benefit)	(980)	288	1,098	266	308	238	432	1,650
Ending balance	\$ 12,830	\$ 31,000	\$ 5,620	\$ 1,606	\$ 2,776	\$ 5,426	\$ 452	\$ 59,710
Ending balance: collectively evaluated for impairment	\$ 12,759	\$ 30,951	\$ 5,620	\$ 1,605	\$ 1,756	\$ 5,169	\$ 433	\$ 58,293
Ending balance: individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 71	\$ 49	\$ —	\$ 1	\$ 1,020	\$ 257	\$ 19	\$ 1,417

For the purpose of estimating the allowance for loan losses, management segregates the loan portfolio into the portfolio segments detailed in the above tables. Each of these loan categories possesses unique risk characteristics that are considered when determining the appropriate level of allowance for each segment. Some of the risk characteristics unique to each loan category include:

Commercial Portfolio

Commercial and Industrial: Loans in this category consist of revolving and term loan obligations extended to business and corporate enterprises for the purpose of financing working capital and/or capital investment. Collateral generally consists of pledges of business assets including, but not limited to: accounts receivable, inventory, plant and equipment, or real estate, if applicable. Repayment sources consist of primarily, operating cash flow, and secondarily, liquidation of assets.

Commercial Real Estate: Loans in this category consist of mortgage loans to finance investment in real property such as multi-family residential, commercial/retail, office, industrial, hotels, educational and healthcare facilities and other specific use properties. Loans are typically written with amortizing payment structures. Collateral values are determined based upon third party appraisals and evaluations. Loan to value ratios at origination are governed by established policy and regulatory guidelines. Repayment sources consist of, primarily, cash flow from operating leases and rents and, secondarily, liquidation of assets.

Commercial Construction: Loans in this category consist of short-term construction loans, revolving and nonrevolving credit lines and construction/permanent loans to finance the acquisition, development and construction or rehabilitation of real property. Project types include residential 1-4 family, condominium and multi-family homes, commercial/retail, office, industrial, hotels, educational and healthcare facilities and other specific use properties. Loans may be written with nonamortizing or hybrid payment structures depending upon the type of project. Collateral values are determined based upon third party appraisals and evaluations. Loan to value ratios at origination are governed by established policy and regulatory guidelines. Repayment sources vary depending upon the type of project and may consist of sale or lease of units, operating cash flows or liquidation of other assets.

Table of Contents

Small Business: Loans in this category consist of revolving, term loan and mortgage obligations extended to sole proprietors and small businesses for purposes of financing working capital and/or capital investment. Collateral generally consists of pledges of business assets including, but not limited to, accounts receivable, inventory, plant and equipment, or real estate if applicable. Repayment sources consist primarily of operating cash flows and, secondarily, liquidation of assets.

For the commercial portfolio it is the Company's policy to obtain personal guarantees for payment from individuals holding material ownership interests of the borrowing entities.

Consumer Portfolio

Residential Real Estate: Residential mortgage loans held in the Company's portfolio are made to borrowers who demonstrate the ability to make scheduled payments with full consideration to underwriting factors such as current and expected income, employment status, current assets, other financial resources, credit history and the value of the collateral. Collateral consists of mortgage liens on 1-4 family residential properties. Residential mortgage loans also include loans to construct owner-occupied 1-4 family residential properties.

Home Equity: Home equity loans and credit lines are made to qualified individuals and are primarily secured by senior or junior mortgage liens on owner-occupied 1-4 family homes, condominiums or vacation homes. Each home equity loan has a fixed rate and is billed in equal payments comprised of principal and interest. Each home equity line of credit has a variable rate and is billed in interest-only payments during the draw period. At the end of the draw period, the home equity line of credit is billed as a percentage of the then outstanding principal balance plus all accrued interest over a predetermined repayment period, as set forth in the note. Additionally, the Company has the option of renewing each line of credit for additional draw periods. Borrower qualifications include favorable credit history combined with supportive income requirements and combined loan to value ratios within established policy guidelines.

Other Consumer: Other consumer loan products include personal lines of credit and amortizing loans made to qualified individuals for various purposes such as education, debt consolidation, personal expenses or overdraft protection. Borrower qualifications include favorable credit history combined with supportive income and collateral requirements within established policy guidelines. These loans may be secured or unsecured.

Credit Quality

The Company continually monitors the asset quality of the loan portfolio using all available information. Based on this information, loans demonstrating certain payment issues or other weaknesses may be categorized as adversely risk-rated, delinquent, impaired, nonperforming and/or put on nonaccrual status. Additionally, in the course of resolving such loans, the Company may choose to restructure the contractual terms of certain loans to match the borrower's ability to repay the loan based on their current financial condition. If a restructured loan meets certain criteria, it may be categorized as a troubled debt restructuring ("TDR").

The Company reviews numerous credit quality indicators when assessing the risk in its loan portfolio. For the commercial portfolio, the Company utilizes a 10-point credit risk-rating system, which assigns a risk-grade to each loan obligation based on a number of quantitative and qualitative factors associated with a commercial or small business loan transaction. Factors considered include industry and market conditions, position within the industry, earnings trends, operating cash flow, asset/liability values, debt capacity, guarantor strength, management and controls, financial reporting, collateral, and other considerations. The risk-ratings categories are defined as follows:

1- 6 Rating — Pass: Risk-rating grades "1" through "6" comprise those loans ranging from 'Substantially Risk Free' which indicates borrowers are of unquestioned credit standing and the pinnacle of credit quality, well established companies with a very strong financial condition, and loans fully secured by cash collateral, through 'Acceptable Risk', which indicates borrowers may exhibit declining earnings, strained cash flow, increasing or above average leverage and/or weakening market fundamentals that indicate below average asset quality, margins and market share. Collateral coverage is protective.

7 Rating — Potential Weakness: Borrowers exhibit potential credit weaknesses or downward trends deserving management's close attention. If not checked or corrected, these trends will weaken the Company's asset and position. While potentially weak, currently these borrowers are marginally acceptable; no loss of principal or interest is envisioned.

8 Rating — Definite Weakness Loss Unlikely: Borrowers exhibit well defined weaknesses that jeopardize the orderly liquidation of debt. Loan may be inadequately protected by the current net worth and paying capacity of the obligor or by the collateral pledged, if any. Normal repayment from the borrower is in jeopardy, although no loss of principal is envisioned. However, there is a distinct possibility that a partial loss of interest and/or principal will occur if the deficiencies are not corrected. Collateral coverage may be inadequate to cover the principal obligation.

21

Table of Contents

9 Rating — Partial Loss Probable: Borrowers exhibit well defined weaknesses that jeopardize the orderly liquidation of debt with the added provision that the weaknesses make collection of the debt in full, on the basis of currently existing facts, conditions, and values, highly questionable and improbable. Serious problems exist to the point where partial loss of principal is likely.

10 Rating — Definite Loss: Borrowers deemed incapable of repayment. Loans to such borrowers are considered uncollectible and of such little value that continuation as active assets of the Company is not warranted.

The credit quality of the commercial loan portfolio is actively monitored and any changes in credit quality are reflected in risk-rating changes. Risk-ratings are assigned or reviewed for all new loans, when advancing significant additions to existing relationships (over \$50,000), at least quarterly for all actively managed loans, and any time a significant event occurs, including at renewal of the loan.

The Company utilizes a comprehensive strategy for monitoring commercial credit quality. Actively managed commercial borrowers are required to provide updated financial information at least annually which is carefully evaluated for any changes in credit quality. Larger loan relationships are subject to a full annual credit review by an experienced credit analysis group, while continuous portfolio monitoring techniques are employed to evaluate changes in credit quality for smaller loan relationships. Additionally, the Company retains an independent loan review firm to evaluate the credit quality of the commercial loan portfolio. The independent loan review process achieves significant penetration into the commercial loan portfolio and reports the results of these reviews to the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors on a quarterly basis.

The following tables detail the amount of outstanding principal balances relative to each of the risk-rating categories for the Company's commercial portfolio:

Category	Risk Rating	September 30, 2018				Total
		Commercial and Industrial	Commercial Real Estate	Commercial Construction	Small Business	
(Dollars in thousands)						
Pass	1 - 6	\$935,769	\$3,041,551	\$352,190	\$146,809	\$4,476,319
Potential weakness	7	17,655	52,089	301	1,053	71,098
Definite weakness-loss unlikely	8	45,399	38,453	—	1,338	85,190
Partial loss probable	9	4,957	398	—	—	5,355
Definite loss	10	—	—	—	—	—
Total		\$1,003,780	\$3,132,491	\$352,491	\$149,200	\$4,637,962

Category	Risk Rating	December 31, 2017				Total
		Commercial and Industrial	Commercial Real Estate	Commercial Construction	Small Business	
(Dollars in thousands)						
Pass	1 - 6	\$806,331	\$3,007,672	\$400,964	\$130,265	\$4,345,232
Potential weakness	7	16,563	69,788	—	1,471	87,822
Definite weakness-loss unlikely	8	59,415	38,637	833	631	99,516
Partial loss probable	9	6,219	464	—	3	6,686
Definite loss	10	—	—	—	—	—
Total		\$888,528	\$3,116,561	\$401,797	\$132,370	\$4,539,256

Table of Contents

For the Company's consumer portfolio, the quality of the loan is best indicated by the repayment performance of an individual borrower. However, the Company does supplement performance data with current Fair Isaac Corporation ("FICO") scores and Loan to Value ("LTV") estimates. Current FICO data is purchased and appended to all consumer loans on a regular basis. In addition, automated valuation services and broker opinions of value are used to supplement original value data for the residential and home equity portfolios, periodically. The following table shows the weighted average FICO scores and the weighted average combined LTV ratios as of the periods indicated below:

	September 30, 2018		December 31, 2017	
Residential portfolio				
FICO score (re-scored)(1)	748		745	
LTV (re-valued)(2)	58.2	%	59.2	%
Home equity portfolio				
FICO score (re-scored)(1)	767		766	
LTV (re-valued)(2)(3)	48.9	%	50.1	%

The average FICO scores at September 30, 2018 are based upon rescoring available from August 2018 and origination score data for loans booked in September (1)2018. The average FICO scores at December 31, 2017 are based upon rescoring available from August 2017 and origination score data for loans booked between September and December 2017.

The combined LTV ratios for September 30, 2018 are based upon updated automated valuations as of August 2018, when available or the most current valuation data available. The combined LTV ratios for December 31, 2017 are based upon updated automated valuations as of August 2017, when available, or (2) the most current valuation data available. The updated automated valuations provide new information on loans that may be available since the previous valuation was obtained. If no new information is available, the valuation will default to the previously obtained data or most recent appraisal.

(3) For home equity loans and lines in a subordinate lien, the LTV data represents a combined LTV, taking into account the senior lien data for loans and lines.

Asset Quality

The Company's philosophy toward managing its loan portfolios is predicated upon careful monitoring, which stresses early detection and response to delinquent and default situations. Delinquent loans are managed by a team of collection specialists and the Company seeks to make arrangements to resolve any delinquent or default situation over the shortest possible time frame. As a general rule, loans more than 90 days past due with respect to principal or interest are classified as nonaccrual loans. The Company also may use discretion regarding other loans over 90 days delinquent if the loan is well secured and/or in process of collection.

The following table shows information regarding nonaccrual loans at the dates indicated:

	September 30, 2018	December 31, 2017
	(Dollars in thousands)	
Commercial and industrial	\$28,742	\$ 32,055
Commercial real estate	1,960	3,123
Small business	191	230
Residential real estate	8,076	8,129
Home equity	6,367	6,022
Other consumer	49	71
Total nonaccrual loans (1)	\$45,385	\$ 49,630

(1) Included in these amounts were \$3.4 million and \$6.1 million of nonaccruing TDRs at September 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017, respectively.

Edgar Filing: Thomson David - Form 4

Table of Contents

The following table shows information regarding foreclosed residential real estate property at the dates indicated:

	September 30, 2018	December 31, 2017
Foreclosed residential real estate property held by the creditor	\$190	\$612
Recorded investment in mortgage loans collateralized by residential real estate property that are in the process of foreclosure	\$3,880	\$2,971

(Dollars in thousands)

The following tables show the age analysis of past due financing receivables as of the dates indicated:

September 30, 2018											
	30-59 days		60-89 days		90 days or more		Total Past Due		Total Financing Receivables	Recorded Investment >90 Days and Accruing	
Loan Portfolio	Number of Loans	Principal Balance	Number of Loans	Principal Balance	Number of Loans	Principal Balance	Number of Loans	Principal Balance			Current
Commercial and industrial	3	\$ 265	5	\$ 431	10	\$ 28,694	18	\$ 29,390	\$974,390	\$ 1,003,780	\$ —
Commercial real estate	7	2,188	5	849	6	1,430	18	4,467	3,128,024	3,132,491	—
Commercial construction	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	352,491	352,491	—
Small business	9	483	6	60	17	139	32	682	148,518	149,200	—
Residential real estate	10	1,129	12	2,367	19	3,367	41	6,863	794,947	801,810	—
Home equity	16	909	9	797	25	2,825	50	4,531	1,069,430	1,073,961	—
Other consumer (1)	272	118	8	20	13	46	293	184	13,485	13,669	9
Total	317	\$ 5,092	45	\$ 4,524	90	\$ 36,501	452	\$ 46,117	\$ 6,481,285	\$ 6,527,402	\$ 9

(Dollars in thousands)

December 31, 2017											
	30-59 days		60-89 days		90 days or more		Total Past Due		Total Financing Receivables	Recorded Investment >90 Days and Accruing	
Loan Portfolio	Number of Loans	Principal Balance	Number of Loans	Principal Balance	Number of Loans	Principal Balance	Number of Loans	Principal Balance			Current
Commercial and industrial	2	\$ 195	2	\$ 370	14	\$ 32,007	18	\$ 32,572	\$855,956	\$ 888,528	\$ —
Commercial real estate	7	3,060	—	—	9	1,793	16	4,853	3,111,708	3,116,561	—
Commercial construction	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	401,797	401,797	—
Small business	17	339	11	144	10	57	38	540	131,830	132,370	—
Residential real estate	6	870	13	2,385	22	3,471	41	6,726	747,603	754,329	—
Home equity	22	1,310	6	451	20	2,025	48	3,786	1,048,302	1,052,088	—
Other consumer (1)	265	197	16	27	17	45	298	269	9,611	9,880	8
Total	319	\$ 5,971	48	\$ 3,377	92	\$ 39,398	459	\$ 48,746	\$ 6,306,807	\$ 6,355,553	\$ 8

(Dollars in thousands)

(1) Other consumer portfolio is inclusive of deposit account overdrafts recorded as loan balances.

Troubled Debt Restructurings

In the course of resolving nonperforming loans, the Bank may choose to restructure the contractual terms of certain loans. The Bank attempts to work out an alternative payment schedule with the borrower in order to avoid foreclosure actions. Any loans that are modified are reviewed by the Bank to identify if a TDR has occurred, which is when, for economic or legal reasons related to a borrower's financial difficulties, the Bank grants a concession to the borrower that it would not otherwise consider. Terms may be modified to fit the ability of the borrower to repay in line with its current financial status and the restructuring of the loan may include the transfer of assets from the borrower to satisfy the debt, a modification of loan terms, or a combination of the two.

Table of Contents

The following table shows the Company's total TDRs and other pertinent information as of the dates indicated:

	September 30, 2018	December 31, 2017
	(Dollars in thousands)	
TDRs on accrual status	\$24,554	\$ 25,852
TDRs on nonaccrual	3,370	6,067
Total TDRs	\$27,924	\$ 31,919
Amount of specific reserves included in the allowance for loan losses associated with TDRs	\$1,097	\$ 1,342
Additional commitments to lend to a borrower who has been a party to a TDR	\$1,224	\$ 487

The Company's policy is to have any restructured loan which is on nonaccrual status prior to being modified remain on nonaccrual status for six months subsequent to being modified before management considers its return to accrual status. If the restructured loan is on accrual status prior to being modified, it is reviewed to determine if the modified loan should remain on accrual status. Additionally, loans classified as TDRs are adjusted to reflect the changes in value of the recorded investment in the loan, if any, resulting from the granting of a concession. For all residential loan modifications, the borrower must perform during a 90 day trial period before the modification is finalized. The following tables show the modifications which occurred during the periods indicated and the change in the recorded investment subsequent to the modifications occurring:

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30, 2018		September 30, 2018	
	Pre-Modification Number of Outstanding Loans	Post-Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment (1)	Pre-Modification Number of Outstanding Loans	Post-Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment (1)
(Dollars in thousands)				
Troubled debt restructurings				
Commercial and industrial	2	\$ 126	2	\$ 126
Commercial real estate	1	205	2	650
Residential real estate	3	503	4	652
Home equity	2	74	8	546
Total	8	\$ 908	16	\$ 1,974

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30, 2017		September 30, 2017	
	Pre-Modification Number of Outstanding Loans	Post-Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment (1)	Pre-Modification Number of Outstanding Loans	Post-Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment (1)
(Dollars in thousands)				
Troubled debt restructurings				
Commercial and industrial	1	\$ 196	9	\$ 1,575
Commercial real estate	—	—	6	1,884
Small business	2	183	10	447
Residential real estate	—	—	5	889
Home equity	4	436	14	1,427
Total	7	\$ 815	44	\$ 6,222

(1) The post-modification balances represent the legal principal balance of the loan on the date of modification. These amounts may show an increase when modifications include a capitalization of interest.

Table of Contents

The following table shows the Company's post-modification balance of TDRs listed by type of modification during the periods indicated:

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30		September 30	
	2018	2017	2018	2017
	(Dollars in thousands)		(Dollars in thousands)	
Extended maturity	\$617	\$486	\$1,062	\$4,565
Combination rate and maturity	237	196	237	196
Court ordered concession	74	133	695	1,475
Total	\$928	\$815	\$1,994	\$6,236

The Company considers a loan to have defaulted when it reaches 90 days past due. As of September 30, 2018, there were no loans modified during the past twelve months that subsequently defaulted during the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2018. There were no loans modified during the preceding twelve months that subsequently defaulted during the three month period ended September 30, 2017. There was one residential real estate loan modified during the preceding twelve months with a recorded investment of \$205,000, which subsequently defaulted during the nine month period ended September 30, 2017.

All TDR loans are considered impaired and therefore are subject to a specific review for impairment. The impairment analysis appropriately discounts the present value of the anticipated cash flows by the loan's contractual rate of interest in effect prior to the loan's modification. The amount of impairment, if any, is recorded as a specific loss allocation to each individual loan in the allowance for loan losses. Commercial loans (commercial and industrial, commercial construction, commercial real estate and small business loans), residential loans, and home equity loans that have been classified as TDRs and which subsequently default are reviewed to determine if the loan should be deemed collateral dependent. In such an instance, any shortfall between the value of the collateral and the carrying value of the loan is determined by measuring the recorded investment in the loan against the fair value of the collateral less costs to sell. The Company charges off the amount of any confirmed loan loss in the period when the loans, or portion of loans, are deemed uncollectible. Smaller balance consumer TDR loans are reviewed for performance to determine when a charge-off is appropriate.

Impaired Loans

A loan is considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect the scheduled payments of principal or interest when due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Factors considered by management in determining impairment include payment status, collateral value, and the probability of collecting scheduled principal and interest payments when due. Loans that experience insignificant payment delays and payment shortfalls generally are not classified as impaired. Management determines the significance of payment delays and payment shortfalls on a case-by-case basis, taking into consideration all of the circumstances surrounding the loan and the borrower, including the length of the delay, the reasons for the delay, the borrower's prior payment record, and the amount of the shortfall in relation to the principal and interest owed.

Table of Contents

The tables below set forth information regarding the Company's impaired loans by loan portfolio at the dates indicated:

	September 30, 2018		
	Recorded Investment	Unpaid Principal Balance	Related Allowance
	(Dollars in thousands)		
With no related allowance recorded			
Commercial and industrial	\$30,618	\$38,297	\$—
Commercial real estate	11,329	11,962	—
Small business	445	523	—
Residential real estate	4,783	4,925	—
Home equity	4,973	5,181	—
Other consumer	60	61	—
Subtotal	52,208	60,949	—
With an allowance recorded			
Commercial and industrial	\$320	\$320	\$7
Commercial real estate	1,694	1,694	34
Small business	146	152	1
Residential real estate	8,042	8,921	874
Home equity	1,437	1,620	168
Other consumer	184	187	13
Subtotal	11,823	12,894	1,097
Total	\$64,031	\$73,843	\$1,097
	December 31, 2017		
	Recorded Investment	Unpaid Principal Balance	Related Allowance
	(Dollars in thousands)		
With no related allowance recorded			
Commercial and industrial	\$34,267	\$38,329	\$—
Commercial real estate	13,245	14,374	—
Small business	556	619	—
Residential real estate	4,264	4,397	—
Home equity	4,950	5,056	—
Other consumer	91	92	—
Subtotal	57,373	62,867	—
With an allowance recorded			
Commercial and industrial	\$376	\$376	\$10
Commercial real estate	3,393	3,399	42
Small business	147	153	1
Residential real estate	9,420	10,154	1,007
Home equity	1,876	2,110	265
Other consumer	216	217	17
Subtotal	15,428	16,409	1,342
Total	\$72,801	\$79,276	\$1,342

Table of Contents

The following tables set forth information regarding interest income recognized on impaired loans, by portfolio, for the periods indicated:

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30, 2018		September 30, 2018	
	Average Recorded Investment	Interest Income Recognized	Average Recorded Investment	Interest Income Recognized
	(Dollars in thousands)			
With no related allowance recorded				
Commercial and industrial	\$31,376	\$ 28	\$32,424	\$ 89
Commercial real estate	12,040	135	12,423	428
Small business	432	4	478	13
Residential real estate	4,798	56	4,826	173
Home equity	5,078	58	5,185	168
Other consumer	62	1	66	3
Subtotal	53,786	282	55,402	874
With an allowance recorded				
Commercial and industrial	\$324	\$ 4	\$330	\$ 11
Commercial real estate	1,701	24	1,715	71
Small business	148	3	154	8
Residential real estate	8,057	69	8,194	207
Home equity	1,453	14	1,467	39
Other consumer	187	1	194	4
Subtotal	11,870	115	12,054	340
Total	\$65,656	\$ 397	\$67,456	\$ 1,214

Table of Contents

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30, 2017		September 30, 2017	
	Average Recorded Investment	Interest Recognized	Average Recorded Investment	Interest Recognized
(Dollars in thousands)				
With no related allowance recorded				
Commercial and industrial	\$33,935	\$ 18	\$36,329	\$ 179
Commercial real estate	14,569	151	14,798	460
Small business	682	5	702	17
Residential real estate	3,928	51	3,962	152
Home equity	4,883	50	4,935	146
Other consumer	99	2	104	5
Subtotal	58,096	277	60,830	959
With an allowance recorded				
Commercial and industrial	\$1,698	\$ 21	\$1,768	\$ 65
Commercial real estate	4,569	65	4,599	195
Small business	305	3	315	11
Residential real estate	9,752	79	9,838	234
Home equity	1,765	14	1,782	41
Other consumer	229	2	237	5
Subtotal	18,318	184	18,539	551
Total	\$76,414	\$ 461	\$79,369	\$ 1,510

Purchased Credit Impaired Loans

Certain loans acquired by the Company may have shown evidence of deterioration of credit quality since origination and it was therefore deemed unlikely that the Company would be able to collect all contractually required payments. As such, these loans were deemed to be PCI loans and the carrying value and prospective income recognition are predicated upon future cash flows expected to be collected. The following table displays certain information pertaining to PCI loans at the dates indicated:

	September 30, 2018	December 31, 2017
(Dollars in thousands)		
Outstanding balance	\$10,725	\$ 14,485
Carrying amount	\$9,668	\$ 13,023

The following table summarizes activity in the accretable yield for the PCI loan portfolio:

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30		September 30	
	2018	2017	2018	2017
(Dollars in thousands)				
Beginning balance	\$1,604	\$2,185	\$1,791	\$2,370
Accretion	(518)	(359)	(931)	(968)
Other change in expected cash flows (1)	104	167	308	573
Reclassification from nonaccretable difference for loans which have paid off (2)	203	70	225	88
Ending balance	\$1,393	\$2,063	\$1,393	\$2,063

Edgar Filing: Thomson David - Form 4

- (1) Represents changes in cash flows expected to be collected and resulting in increased interest income as a prospective yield adjustment over the remaining life of the loan(s).
- (2) Results in increased interest income during the period in which the loan paid off at amount greater than originally expected.

29

Table of Contents**NOTE 5 -EARNINGS PER SHARE**

Earnings per share consisted of the following components for the periods indicated:

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30		September 30	
	2018	2017	2018	2017
	(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)			
Net income	\$ 33,015	\$ 23,852	\$ 91,688	\$ 65,140
Weighted Average Shares				
Basic shares	27,537,847	27,436,792	27,517,210	27,242,902
Effect of dilutive securities	63,499	76,307	62,596	78,043
Diluted shares	27,601,346	27,513,099	27,579,806	27,320,945
Net income per share				
Basic EPS	\$ 1.20	\$ 0.87	\$ 3.33	\$ 2.39
Effect of dilutive securities	—	—	(0.01)	(0.01)
Diluted EPS	\$ 1.20	\$ 0.87	\$ 3.32	\$ 2.38

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2018 and 2017 there were no options to purchase common stock or shares of performance-based restricted stock that were excluded from the calculation of diluted earnings per share because they were anti-dilutive.

Table of Contents**NOTE 6 - STOCK BASED COMPENSATION*****Time Vested Restricted Stock Awards***

During the nine months ended September 30, 2018, the Company made the following awards of restricted stock:

Date	Shares Granted	Plan	Grant Date Fair Value Per Share	Vesting Period
2/15/2018	39,950	2005 Employee Stock Plan	\$ 71.75	Ratably over 5 years from grant date
2/27/2018	1,150	2005 Employee Stock Plan	\$ 72.60	Ratably over 5 years from grant date
5/15/2018	530	2005 Employee Stock Plan	\$ 74.00	Ratably over 5 years from grant date
5/22/2018	6,000	2018 Non-Employee Director Stock Plan	\$ 76.58	Shares vested immediately

The fair value of the restricted stock awards is based upon the average of the high and low price at which the Company's common stock traded on the date of grant. The holders of restricted stock awards are entitled to receive dividends and to vote from and as of the date of grant.

Performance-Based Restricted Stock Awards

On February 15, 2018, the Company granted 16,300 performance-based restricted stock awards to certain executive level employees. These performance-based restricted stock awards were issued from the 2005 Employee Stock Plan and were determined to have a grant date fair value per share of \$71.75, determined by the average of the high and low price at which the Company's common stock traded on the date of grant. The number of shares to be vested will be contingent upon the Company's attainment of certain performance measures outlined in the award agreement and will be measured as of the end of the three year performance period, January 1, 2018 through December 31, 2020. The awards will vest upon the earlier of the date on which it is determined if the performance goal is achieved subsequent to the performance period or March 31, 2021. These awards are accounted for as equity awards due to the nature of these awards and the fact that these shares will not be settled in cash.

The holders of these awards are not entitled to receive dividends or vote until the shares are vested.

On February 27, 2018, the performance-based restricted stock awards that were awarded on February 12, 2015 vested at 100% of the maximum target shares awarded, or 16,427 shares.

Stock Options

The Company has made the following awards of nonqualified options to purchase shares of common stock during the nine months ended September 30, 2018:

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2018
Date of grant	4/3/2018
Plan	Non-Employee Director Stock Plan
Options granted	5,000
Vesting period (1)	21 months
Expiration date	4/3/2028
Expected volatility	21.15 %
Expected life (years)	5.5

Explanation of Responses:

Expected dividend yield 1.94 %

Risk free interest rate 2.62 %

Fair value per option \$ 13.46

(1) Vesting period began on the grant date.

31

Table of Contents**NOTE 7 - REPURCHASE AGREEMENTS**

The Company can raise additional liquidity by entering into repurchase agreements at its discretion. These repurchases are accounted for as a secured borrowing transaction for accounting purposes. Payments on such borrowings are interest only until the scheduled repurchase date. In a repurchase agreement the Company is subject to the risk that the purchaser may default at maturity and not return the securities underlying the agreements. In order to minimize this potential risk, the Company enters into repurchase agreements that stipulate that the securities underlying the agreement are not delivered to the customer and instead are held in segregated safekeeping accounts by the Company's safekeeping agents.

The table below sets forth information regarding the Company's repurchase agreements allocated by source of collateral at the dates indicated:

	September 30, 2018	December 31, 2017
	(Dollars in thousands)	
Sources of collateral		
U.S. government agency securities	\$ 14,664	\$ 16,867
Agency mortgage-backed securities	57,378	51,273
Agency collateralized mortgage obligations	69,134	94,539
Total customer repurchase agreements (1)	\$ 141,176	\$ 162,679

(1) All customer repurchase agreements have an overnight and continuous maturity date.

For further information regarding the Company's repurchase agreements see Note 9 - Balance Sheet Offsetting.

NOTE 8 - DERIVATIVE AND HEDGING ACTIVITIES

The Company early adopted ASU 2017-12, *Derivatives and Hedging: Targeted Improvements to Accounting for Hedging Activities* as of January 1, 2018 to incorporate the new standard's alignment of hedge accounting qualifications with the Company's interest rate risk management with respect to new hedges entered into during the first quarter of 2018. This new standard was adopted under a modified retrospective transition, resulting in no changes to the accounting for hedge positions entered in to prior to January 1, 2018.

The Company's derivative financial instruments are used to manage differences in the amount, timing, and duration of the Company's known or expected cash receipts and its known or expected cash payments principally to manage the Company's interest rate risk. Additionally, the Company enters into interest rate derivatives and foreign exchange contracts to accommodate the business requirements of its customers ("customer related positions"). The Company minimizes the market and liquidity risks of customer related positions by entering into similar offsetting positions with broker-dealers. Derivative instruments are carried at fair value in the Company's financial statements. The accounting for changes in the fair value of a derivative instrument is dependent upon whether or not it qualifies as a hedge for accounting purposes, and further, by the type of hedging relationship.

The Company does not enter into proprietary trading positions for any derivatives.

Interest Rate Positions

The Company may utilize various interest rate derivatives as hedging instruments against interest rate risk associated with the Company's borrowings and loan portfolios. An interest rate derivative is an agreement whereby one party agrees to pay a floating rate of interest on a notional principal amount in exchange for receiving a fixed rate of interest on the same notional amount, for a predetermined period of time, from a second party. The amounts relating to the notional principal amount are not actually exchanged.

Edgar Filing: Thomson David - Form 4

Table of Contents

The following tables reflect the Company's derivative positions for the periods indicated below for interest rate derivatives which qualify as cash flow hedges for accounting purposes:

September 30, 2018

Notional Amount	Trade Date	Effective Date	Maturity Date	Receive (Variable) Index	Current Rate Received	Pay Fixed Swap Rate	Fair Value
(Dollars in thousands)							
\$25,000	12/9/2008	12/10/2008	12/10/2018	3 Month LIBOR	2.33 %	2.94	% \$(29)
25,000	4/1/2016	1/17/2017	12/15/2021	3 Month LIBOR	2.33 %	1.36	% 1,260
25,000	4/1/2016	1/17/2017	12/15/2021	3 Month LIBOR	2.33 %	1.36	% 1,251
25,000	7/18/2017	8/15/2017	8/15/2022	3 Month LIBOR	2.31 %	1.88	% 1,041

Notional Amount	Trade Date	Effective Date	Maturity Date	Pay (Variable) Index	Current Rate Paid	Receive Fixed Swap Rate	Fair Value
50,000	1/9/2018	1/16/2018	1/15/2023	1 Month LIBOR	2.16 %	2.24	% \$(1,347)
50,000	7/31/2018	8/15/2018	8/15/2023	1 Month LIBOR	2.16 %	2.82	% (189)

Notional Amount	Trade Date	Effective Date	Maturity Date	Pay (Variable) Index	Current Rate Paid	Receive Fixed Swap Rate Cap - Floor	Fair Value
50,000	1/9/2018	1/16/2018	1/15/2022	1 Month LIBOR	2.16 %	2.75% - 1.80%	\$(516)
50,000	7/31/2018	8/15/2018	8/15/2022	1 Month LIBOR	2.16 %	3.08% - 2.50%	(128)
50,000	9/24/2018	10/15/2018	4/15/2023	(1) 1 Month LIBOR	N/A	3.09% - 2.75%	32

\$1,375

December 31, 2017

Notional Amount	Trade Date	Effective Date	Maturity Date	Receive (Variable) Index	Current Rate Received	Pay Fixed Swap Rate	Fair Value
(Dollars in thousands)							
\$25,000	12/9/2008	12/10/2008	12/10/2018	3 Month LIBOR	1.54 %	2.94	% \$(264)
25,000	4/1/2016	1/17/2017	12/15/2021	3 Month LIBOR	1.59 %	1.36	% 772
25,000	4/1/2016	1/17/2017	12/15/2021	3 Month LIBOR	1.59 %	1.36	% 763
25,000	7/18/2017	8/15/2017	8/15/2022	3 Month LIBOR	1.42 %	1.88	% 345
							\$1,616

In September 2018, the Company entered into a forward-starting interest rate collar with a notional amount of \$50.0 million, with the intention of hedging (1) against adverse fluctuations in interest rates by reducing its exposure to variability in cash flows relating to interest income on the Company's variable-rate loans.

The maximum length of time over which the Company is currently hedging its exposure to the variability in future cash flows for forecasted transactions related to the payment of variable interest on existing financial instruments is five years.

For derivative instruments that are designated and qualify as cash flow hedging instruments, the effective portion of the gains or losses is reported as a component of other comprehensive income ("OCI"), and is subsequently reclassified into earnings in the period that the hedged forecasted transaction affects earnings. The Company expects approximately \$17,000 (pre-tax) to be reclassified to interest income and \$912,000 (pre-tax) to be reclassified as an offset to interest expense, from OCI related to the Company's cash flow hedges in the next twelve months. This reclassification is due to anticipated payments that will be made and/or received on the swaps based upon the forward curve as of September 30, 2018.

The Company recognized \$61,000 and \$183,000 of net amortization income that was an offset to interest expense related to previously terminated swaps for each of the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2018 and 2017, respectively.

The Company had no fair value hedges as of September 30, 2018 or December 31, 2017.

Table of Contents**Customer Related Positions**

Loan level derivatives, primarily interest rate swaps, offered to commercial borrowers through the Company's loan level derivative program do not qualify as hedges for accounting purposes. The Company believes that its exposure to commercial customer derivatives is limited because these contracts are simultaneously matched at inception with an offsetting dealer transaction. The commercial customer derivative program allows the Company to retain variable-rate commercial loans while allowing the customer to synthetically fix the loan rate by entering into a variable-to-fixed interest rate swap.

Foreign exchange contracts offered to commercial borrowers through the Company's derivative program do not qualify as hedges for accounting purposes. The Company acts as a seller and buyer of foreign exchange contracts to accommodate its customers. To mitigate the market and liquidity risk associated with these derivatives, the Company enters into similar offsetting positions.

The following tables reflect the Company's customer related derivative positions for the periods indicated below for those derivatives not designated as hedging:

	Notional Amount Maturing						Total	Fair Value
	Number of Positions	Less than 1 year	Less than 2 years	Less than 3 years	Less than 4 years	Thereafter		
September 30, 2018								
(Dollars in thousands)								
Loan level swaps								
Receive fixed, pay variable	246	\$47,115	\$65,256	\$168,249	\$57,508	\$619,232	\$957,360	\$(22,233)
Pay fixed, receive variable	231	\$47,115	\$65,256	\$168,249	\$57,508	\$619,232	\$957,360	\$22,219
Foreign exchange contracts								
Buys foreign currency, sells U.S. currency	24	\$43,013	\$2,796	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$45,809	\$(1,021)
Buys U.S. currency, sells foreign currency	24	\$43,013	\$2,796	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$45,809	\$1,043
December 31, 2017								
(Dollars in thousands)								
Loan level swaps								
Receive fixed, pay variable	246	\$36,023	\$61,500	\$152,287	\$111,147	\$591,385	\$952,342	\$3,875
Pay fixed, receive variable	231	\$36,023	\$61,500	\$152,287	\$111,147	\$591,385	\$952,342	\$(3,880)
Foreign exchange contracts								
Buys foreign currency, sells U.S. currency	15	\$26,382	\$3,780	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$30,162	\$1,202
Buys U.S. currency, sells foreign currency	15	\$26,382	\$3,780	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$30,162	\$(1,188)

(1) The Company may enter into one dealer swap agreement which offsets multiple commercial borrower swap agreements.

Mortgage Derivatives

Prior to closing and funding certain 1-4 family residential mortgage loans, an interest rate lock commitment is generally extended to the borrower. During the period from commitment date to closing date, the Company is subject to the risk that market rates of interest may change. If market rates rise, investors generally will pay less to purchase such loans resulting in a reduction in the gain on sale of the loans or, possibly, a loss. In an effort to mitigate such risk, forward delivery sales commitments are executed, under which the Company agrees to deliver whole mortgage loans to various investors. These forward commitments carry a market price that has a strong inverse relationship to that of mortgage prices. Certain assumptions, including pull through rates and rate lock periods, are used in managing the existing and future hedges. The accuracy of underlying assumptions will impact the ultimate effectiveness of any hedging strategies.

The change in fair value on the interest rate lock commitments and forward delivery sale commitments are recorded in current period earnings as a component of mortgage banking income. In addition, the Company has elected the fair value option

34

Table of Contents

to carry loans held for sale at fair value. The change in fair value of loans held for sale is recorded in current period earnings as a component of mortgage banking income in accordance with the Company's fair value election. The change in fair value associated with loans held for sale was an increase of \$103,000 and a decrease of \$108,000 for the three month periods ended September 30, 2018 and 2017, respectively, and an increase of \$147,000 and a decrease of \$102,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2018 and 2017, respectively. These amounts were offset in earnings by the change in the fair value of mortgage derivatives. Additionally, the aggregate amount of net realized gains or losses on sales of such loans included within mortgage banking income was \$1.1 million and \$1.4 million for the three month periods ended September 30, 2018 and 2017, respectively and \$2.6 million and \$3.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2018 and 2017, respectively.

Edgar Filing: Thomson David - Form 4

Table of Contents

The table below presents the fair value of the Company's derivative financial instruments, as well as their classification on the balance sheet at the periods indicated:

	Asset Derivatives		Liability Derivatives	
	Fair Value at September 30, 2018	Fair Value at December 31, 2017	Fair Value at September 30, 2018	Fair Value at December 31, 2017
Derivatives designated as hedges				
Interest rate derivatives	Other assets \$3,584	\$1,880	Other liabilities \$2,209	\$264
Derivatives not designated as hedges				
Customer Related Positions				
Loan level derivatives	Other assets \$25,041	\$14,236	Other liabilities \$25,055	\$14,241
Foreign exchange contracts	Other assets 1,143	1,202	Other liabilities 1,121	1,188
Mortgage Derivatives				
Interest rate lock commitments	Other assets 233	149	Other liabilities —	—
Forward sales agreements	Other assets —	9	Other liabilities 61	—
	\$26,417	\$15,596	\$26,237	\$15,429
Total	\$30,001	\$17,476	\$28,446	\$15,693

The table below presents the effect of the Company's derivative financial instruments included in OCI and current earnings for the periods indicated:

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2018		Nine Months Ended September 30, 2017	
	2018	2017	2018	2017
Derivatives designated as hedges				
Gain (loss) in OCI on derivatives (effective portion), net of tax	\$(405)	\$109	\$(302)	\$8
Gain (loss) reclassified from OCI into interest income or interest expense (effective portion)	\$268	\$(91)	\$525	\$(264)
Loss recognized in income on derivatives (ineffective portion and amount excluded from effectiveness testing)				
Interest expense	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—
Other expense	—	—	—	—
Total	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—
Derivatives not designated as hedges				
Changes in fair value of customer related positions				
Other income	\$3	\$7	\$27	\$7
Other expense	(10)	(7)	(28)	(17)
Changes in fair value of mortgage derivatives				
Mortgage banking income	(139)	(33)	14	71
Total	\$(146)	\$(33)	\$13	\$61

The Company's derivative agreements with institutional counterparties contain various credit-risk related contingent provisions, such as requiring the Company to maintain a well-capitalized capital position. If the Company fails to meet these conditions, the counterparties could request the Company make immediate payment or demand that the Company provide immediate and ongoing full collateralization on derivative positions in net liability positions. The

aggregate fair value of all derivative instruments with credit-risk related contingent features that were in a net liability position was \$34,000 and \$4.2 million at September 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017, respectively. Although none of the contingency provisions have applied as of

Table of Contents

September 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017, the Company has posted collateral to offset the net liability exposures with institutional counterparties.

By using derivatives, the Company is exposed to credit risk to the extent that counterparties to the derivative contracts do not perform as required. Should a counterparty fail to perform under the terms of a derivative contract, the Company's credit exposure on interest rate swaps is limited to the net positive fair value and accrued interest of all swaps with each counterparty. The Company seeks to minimize counterparty credit risk through credit approvals, limits, monitoring procedures, and obtaining collateral, where appropriate. Institutional counterparties must have an investment grade credit rating and be approved by the Company's Board of Directors. As such, management believes the risk of incurring credit losses on derivative contracts with institutional counterparties is remote. The Company's exposure relating to institutional counterparties was \$27.3 million and \$7.1 million at September 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017, respectively. The Company's exposure relating to customer counterparties was approximately \$1.5 million and \$9.5 million at September 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017, respectively. Credit exposure may be reduced by the value of collateral pledged by the counterparty.

NOTE 9 - BALANCE SHEET OFFSETTING

The Company does not offset fair value amounts recognized for derivative instruments or repurchase agreements. The Company does net the amount recognized for the right to reclaim cash collateral against the obligation to return cash collateral arising from derivative instruments executed with the same counterparty under a master netting arrangement. Collateral legally required to be maintained at dealer banks by the Company is monitored and adjusted as necessary.

The following tables present the Company's asset and liability derivative positions and the potential effect of netting arrangements on its financial position, as of the periods indicated:

	Gross Amounts Recognized in the Statement of Financial Position	Gross Amounts Offset in the Statement of Financial Position	Net Amounts Presented in the Statement of Financial Position	Gross Amounts Not Offset in the Statement of Financial Position		Net Amount
				Financial Instrument (1)	Collateral Pledged (Received)	
September 30, 2018						
(Dollars in thousands)						
Derivative Assets						
Interest rate swaps	\$3,584	\$ —	-\$3,584	\$2,189	\$(1,133)	\$262
Loan level derivatives	25,041	—	25,041	1,409	(12,605)	11,027
Customer foreign exchange contracts	1,143	—	1,143	—	—	1,143
	\$29,768	\$ —	-\$29,768	\$3,598	\$(13,738)	\$12,432
Derivative Liabilities						
Interest rate swaps	\$2,209	\$ —	-\$2,209	\$2,189	\$20	\$ —
Loan level derivatives	25,055	—	25,055	1,409	2	23,644
Customer foreign exchange contracts	1,121	—	1,121	—	—	1,121
	\$28,385	\$ —	-\$28,385	\$3,598	\$22	\$24,765
Customer repurchase agreements	141,176	—	141,176	—	141,176	—

(1) Reflects offsetting derivative positions with the same counterparty.

Table of Contents

	Gross Amounts Recognized in the Statement of Financial Position December 31, 2017	Gross Amounts Offset in the Statement of Financial Position December 31, 2017	Net Amounts Presented in the Statement of Financial Position	Gross Amounts Not Offset in the Statement of Financial Position		Net Amount
				Financial Instrument (1)	Collateral pledged (Received)	
(Dollars in thousands)						
Derivative Assets						
Interest rate swaps	\$1,880	\$ —	-\$1,880	\$805	\$ —	\$1,075
Loan level derivatives	14,236	—	14,236	4,578	—	9,658
Customer foreign exchange contracts	1,202	—	1,202	—	—	1,202
	\$17,318	\$ —	-\$17,318	\$5,383	\$ —	\$11,935
Derivative Liabilities						
Interest rate swaps	\$264	\$ —	-\$264	\$ —	\$264	\$ —
Loan level derivatives	14,241	—	14,241	5,383	3,675	5,183
Customer foreign exchange contracts	1,188	—	1,188	—	—	1,188
	\$15,693	\$ —	-\$15,693	\$5,383	\$3,939	\$6,371
Customer repurchase agreements	162,679	—	162,679	—	162,679	—

(1) Reflects offsetting derivative positions with the same counterparty.

NOTE 10 - FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

Fair value is a market-based measure considered from the perspective of a market participant rather than an entity-specific measure. Therefore, even when market assumptions are not readily available, the assumptions applied by the Company when determining fair value reflect those that the Company determines market participants would use to price the asset or liability at the measurement date. If there has been a significant decrease in the volume and level of activity for the asset or liability, regardless of the valuation technique(s) used, the objective of a fair value measurement remains the same. Fair value is the price that would be received if the asset were to be sold or that would be or paid if the liability were to be transferred in an orderly market transaction (that is, not a forced liquidation or distressed sale) between market participants at the measurement date under current market conditions. When determining fair value, the Company considers pricing information and other inputs that are current as of the measurement date. In periods of market dislocation, the observability of prices and other inputs may be reduced for certain instruments, or not available at all. The unavailability or reduced availability of pricing or other input information could cause an instrument to be reclassified from one level to another.

The Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures Topic of the FASB ASC defines fair value and establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value. The hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1 measurements) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3 measurements). The three levels of the fair value hierarchy under the Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures Topic of the FASB ASC are described below:

Level 1 – Inputs are quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the reporting entity has the ability to access at the measurement date.

Level 2 – Valuations based on quoted prices in markets that are not active or for which all significant inputs are observable, either directly or indirectly.

Level 3 – Prices or valuations that require inputs that are both significant to the fair value measurement and unobservable.

To the extent that valuation is based on models or inputs that are less observable or unobservable in the market, the determination of fair value requires more judgment. Accordingly, the degree of judgment exercised by the Company in determining

38

Table of Contents

fair value is greatest for instruments categorized in Level 3. A financial instrument's level within the fair value hierarchy is based on the lowest level of any input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

Valuation Techniques

There have been no changes in the valuation techniques used during the current period.

Securities

Trading and Equity Securities

These equity securities are valued based on market quoted prices. These securities are categorized in Level 1 as they are actively traded and no valuation adjustments have been applied.

U.S. Government Agency Securities

Fair value is estimated using either multi-dimensional spread tables or benchmarks. The inputs used include benchmark yields, reported trades, and broker/dealer quotes. These securities are classified as Level 2.

Agency Mortgage-Backed Securities

Fair value is estimated using either a matrix or benchmarks. The inputs used include benchmark yields, reported trades, broker/dealer quotes, and issuer spreads. These securities are categorized as Level 2.

Agency Collateralized Mortgage Obligations and Small Business Administration Pooled Securities

The valuation model for these securities is volatility-driven and ratings based, and uses multi-dimensional spread tables. The inputs used include benchmark yields, reported trades, new issue data, broker dealer quotes, and collateral performance. If there is at least one significant model assumption or input that is not observable, these securities are categorized as Level 3 within the fair value hierarchy; otherwise, they are classified as Level 2.

State, County, and Municipal Securities

The fair value is estimated using a valuation matrix with inputs including bond interest rate tables, recent transactions, and yield relationships. These securities are categorized as Level 2.

Single and Pooled Issuer Trust Preferred Securities

The fair value of trust preferred securities, including pooled and single issuer preferred securities, is estimated using external pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies or similar techniques. The inputs used in these valuations include benchmark yields, reported trades, new issue data, broker dealer quotes, and collateral performance. If there is at least one significant model assumption or input that is not observable, these securities are classified as Level 3 within the fair value hierarchy; otherwise, they are classified as Level 2.

Loans Held for Sale

The Company has elected the fair value option to account for originated closed loans intended for sale. The fair value is measured on an individual loan basis using quoted market prices and when not available, comparable market value or discounted cash flow analysis may be utilized. These assets are typically classified as Level 2.

Derivative Instruments

Derivatives

The valuation of these instruments is determined using widely accepted valuation techniques including discounted cash flow analysis on the expected cash flows of each derivative. This analysis reflects the contractual terms of the derivatives, including the period to maturity, and uses observable market-based inputs, including interest rate curves and implied volatilities. The Company incorporates credit valuation adjustments to appropriately reflect nonperformance risk in the fair value measurements. In adjusting the fair value of its derivative contracts for the effect of nonperformance risk, the Company has considered the impact of netting and any applicable credit enhancements, such as collateral postings. Additionally, in conjunction with fair value measurement guidance, the Company has made an accounting policy election to measure the credit risk of its derivative financial instruments that are subject to master netting agreements on a net basis by counterparty portfolio. Although the Company has determined that the majority of the inputs used to value its interest rate derivatives fall within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy, the credit valuation adjustments associated with its interest rate derivatives may also utilize Level 3 inputs, such as estimates of current credit spreads to evaluate the likelihood of default by the Company and its counterparties. However, as of September 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017, the Company has assessed the significance of the impact of the credit valuation adjustments on the overall valuation of its derivative positions and has determined that the credit valuation adjustments are not significant to the

Table of Contents

overall valuation of its derivatives. As a result, the Company has determined that its derivative valuations in their entirety are properly classified as Level 2.

Mortgage Derivatives

The fair value of mortgage derivatives is determined based on current market prices for similar assets in the secondary market and, therefore, classified as Level 2 within the fair value hierarchy.

Impaired Loans

Collateral dependent loans that are deemed to be impaired are valued based upon the lower of cost or fair value of the underlying collateral less costs to sell. The inputs used in the appraisals of the collateral are not always observable, and in such cases the loans may be classified as Level 3 within the fair value hierarchy; otherwise, they are classified as Level 2.

Other Real Estate Owned and Other Foreclosed Assets

Other Real Estate Owned ("OREO") and Other Foreclosed Assets are valued at the lower of cost or fair value of the property, less estimated costs to sell. The fair values are generally estimated based upon recent appraisal values of the property less costs to sell the property. Certain inputs used in appraisals are not always observable, and therefore OREO and Other Foreclosed Assets may be classified as Level 3 within the fair value hierarchy.

Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

Goodwill and other intangible assets are subject to impairment testing. The Company conducts an annual impairment test of goodwill in the third quarter of each year, or more frequently if necessary. Other intangible assets are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the assets may not be recoverable. To estimate the fair value of goodwill and, if necessary, other intangible assets, the Company utilizes both a comparable analysis of relevant price multiples in recent market transactions and discounted cash flow analysis. Both valuation models require a significant degree of management judgment. In the event the fair value as determined by the valuation model is less than the carrying value, the intangibles may be impaired. If the impairment testing resulted in impairment, the Company would classify the impaired goodwill and other intangible assets subjected to nonrecurring fair value adjustments as Level 3.

Edgar Filing: Thomson David - Form 4

Table of Contents

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring and nonrecurring basis were as follows as of the dates indicated:

	Balance	Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using Quoted Prices		
		in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
September 30, 2018 (Dollars in thousands)				
Recurring fair value measurements				
Assets				
Trading securities	\$1,581	\$1,581	\$—	\$—
Equity securities	20,430	20,430	—	—
Securities available for sale				
U.S. Government agency securities	31,572	—	31,572	—
Agency mortgage-backed securities	207,523	—	207,523	—
Agency collateralized mortgage obligations	137,645	—	137,645	—
State, county, and municipal securities	1,981	—	1,981	—
Single issuer trust preferred securities issued by banks and insurers	1,004	—	1,004	—
Pooled trust preferred securities issued by banks and insurers	1,800	—	—	1,800
Small business administration pooled securities	54,336	—	54,336	—
Loans held for sale	10,431	—	10,431	—
Derivative instruments	30,001	—	30,001	—
Liabilities				
Derivative instruments	28,446	—	28,446	—
Total recurring fair value measurements	\$469,858	\$22,011	\$446,047	\$ 1,800
Nonrecurring fair value measurements				
Assets				
Collateral dependent impaired loans	\$32,009	\$—	\$—	\$ 32,009
Other real estate owned and other foreclosed assets	190	—	—	190
Total nonrecurring fair value measurements	\$32,199	\$—	\$—	\$ 32,199

Table of Contents

	Balance	Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using Quoted Prices		
		in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
December 31, 2017				
(Dollars in thousands)				
Recurring fair value measurements				
Assets				
Trading securities	\$1,324	\$1,324	\$—	\$—
Equity securities	20,584	20,584	—	—
Securities available for sale				
U.S. Government agency securities	35,430	—	35,430	—
Agency mortgage-backed securities	215,764	—	215,764	—
Agency collateralized mortgage obligations	122,012	—	122,012	—
State, county, and municipal securities	2,274	—	2,274	—
Single issuer trust preferred securities issued by banks and insurers	2,016	—	2,016	—
Pooled trust preferred securities issued by banks and insurers	1,640	—	—	1,640
Small business administration pooled securities	47,778	—	47,778	—
Loans held for sale	4,768	—	4,768	—
Derivative instruments	17,476	—	17,476	—
Liabilities				
Derivative instruments	15,693	—	15,693	—
Total recurring fair value measurements	\$455,373	\$21,908	\$431,825	\$1,640
Nonrecurring fair value measurements:				
Assets				
Collateral dependent impaired loans	\$33,567	\$—	\$—	\$33,567
Other real estate owned and other foreclosed assets	612	—	—	612
Total nonrecurring fair value measurements	\$34,179	\$—	\$—	\$34,179

Table of Contents

The table below presents a reconciliation for all assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3), which were valued using pricing models and discounted cash flow methodologies, as of the dates indicated:

	Three Months Ended	
	September 30	
	2018	2017
	(Dollars in thousands)	
Pooled Trust Preferred Securities		
Beginning balance	\$1,751	\$1,593
Gains and (losses) (realized/unrealized)		
Included in other comprehensive income	55	51
Settlements	(6)	(20)
Ending balance	\$1,800	\$1,624

	Nine Months Ended	
	September 30	
	2018	2017
	(Dollars in thousands)	
Pooled Trust Preferred Securities		
Beginning balance	\$1,640	\$1,584
Gains and (losses) (realized/unrealized)		
Included in other comprehensive income	180	58
Settlements	(20)	(18)
Ending balance	\$1,800	\$1,624

It is the Company's policy to recognize the transfers between levels of the fair value hierarchy as of the end of the reporting period. There were no transfers between the levels of the fair value hierarchy for any assets or liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis during the nine month periods ended September 30, 2018 or 2017.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth certain unobservable inputs regarding the Company's investment in securities that are classified as Level 3 for the periods indicated:

Valuation Technique	September 30	December 31	Unobservable Inputs	September 30	December 31	September 30	December 31
	2018	2017		2018	2017	2018	2017
	Fair Value		Range	Weighted Average			
(Dollars in thousands)							
Discounted cash flow methodology							
Pooled trust preferred securities	\$1,800	\$1,640	Cumulative prepayment	0% - 59%	0% - 61%	2.4%	2.5%
			Cumulative default	5% - 100%	5% - 100%	13.5%	12.4%
			Loss given default	85% - 100%	85% - 100%	94.4%	94.3%
			Cure given default	0% - 75%	0% - 75%	60.9%	60.9%
Appraisals of collateral(1)							
Collateral dependent impaired loans	\$32,009	\$33,567					
Other real estate owned and foreclosed assets	\$190	\$612					

Fair value is generally determined through independent appraisals of the underlying collateral, which generally include various Level 3 inputs which are not (1) identifiable. Appraisals may be adjusted by management for qualitative factors such as economic factors and estimated liquidation expenses. The range of these possible adjustments may vary.

For the fair value measurements in the table above, which are classified as Level 3 within the fair value hierarchy, the Company's Treasury and Finance groups determine the valuation policies and procedures. For the pricing of the securities, the Company uses third-party pricing information, without adjustment. Depending on the type of the security, management employs various techniques to analyze the pricing it receives from third parties, such as analyzing changes in market yields and in certain instances reviewing the underlying collateral of the security. Management reviews changes in fair value from period to period and performs testing to ensure that prices received from the third parties are consistent with their expectation of the market. For the securities whose market is deemed to be inactive and which are categorized as Level 3, the fair value models are calibrated and significant inputs are back tested on a quarterly basis, to the extent possible. This testing is done by the third party service provider, who performs this testing by comparing anticipated inputs to actual results. Significant changes in fair value from period to period are closely scrutinized to ensure fair value models are not flawed. The driver(s) of the respective change in fair value and the method for forecasting the driver(s) are closely considered by management.

The significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of the Company's pooled trust preferred securities are cumulative prepayment rates, cumulative default rates, loss given default rates and cure given default rates. Significant increases (decreases) in deferrals or defaults, in isolation, would result in a significantly lower (higher) fair value measurement. Alternatively, significant increases (decreases) in cure rates, in isolation, would result in a significantly higher (lower) fair value measurement.

Additionally, the Company has certain assets which are marked to fair value on a nonrecurring basis which are categorized within Level 3. These assets include collateral dependent impaired loans and OREO. The determination of the fair value amount is derived from the use of independent third party appraisals and evaluations. Real estate appraisals are prepared by firms from a predetermined list of qualified and approved appraisers or evaluators. Upon receipt of a real estate appraisal or evaluation, the Company's Commercial Real Estate Appraisal Department will review the report for compliance with regulatory and Company standards, as well as reasonableness and acceptance of the value conclusions. Any issues or concerns regarding compliance or value conclusions will be addressed with the engaged firm and the report may be adjusted or revised. If a disagreement cannot be resolved, the Company will either address the key issues and modify the report for acceptance or reject the report and re-order a new report. Ultimately, the Company will confirm the collateral value as part of its review process.

Table of Contents

The estimated fair values and related carrying amounts for assets and liabilities for which fair value is only disclosed are shown below as of the periods indicated:

	Carrying Value	Fair Value	Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using	
			Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)
			Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	
September 30, 2018				
(Dollars in thousands)				
Financial assets				
Securities held to maturity(a)				
U.S. Treasury securities	\$ 1,004	\$ 1,006	\$ —	\$ 1,006
Agency mortgage-backed securities	189,479	184,088	—	184,088
Agency collateralized mortgage obligations	336,346	323,516	—	323,516
Single issuer trust preferred securities issued by banks	1,500	1,490	—	1,490
Small business administration pooled securities	25,376	24,522	—	24,522
Loans, net of allowance for loan losses(b)	6,432,158	6,229,090	—	—
Federal Home Loan Bank stock(c)	13,107	13,107	—	13,107
Cash surrender value of life insurance policies(d)	153,186	153,186	—	153,186
Financial liabilities				
Deposit liabilities, other than time deposits(e)	\$ 6,312,788	\$ 6,312,788	\$ —	\$ 6,312,788
Time certificates of deposits(f)	663,451	654,685	—	654,685
Federal Home Loan Bank borrowings(f)	50,767	49,974	—	49,974
Customer repurchase agreements and other short-term borrowings(f)	141,176	141,176	—	—
Junior subordinated debentures(g)	73,078	70,720	—	70,720
Subordinated debentures(f)	34,717	31,360	—	—

Table of Contents

	Carrying Value	Fair Value	Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using		Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
			Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Observable Inputs (Level 2)	
December 31, 2017					
(Dollars in thousands)					
Financial assets					
Securities held to maturity(a)					
U.S. Treasury securities	\$1,006	\$1,035	\$ —	\$ 1,035	\$ —
Agency mortgage-backed securities	204,768	205,823	—	205,823	—
Agency collateralized mortgage obligations	262,998	258,408	—	258,408	—
Single issuer trust preferred securities issued by banks	1,500	1,529	—	1,529	—
Small business administration pooled securities	27,416	27,399	—	27,399	—
Loans, net of allowance for loan losses(b)	6,261,343	6,116,051	—	—	6,116,051
Federal Home Loan Bank stock(c)	11,597	11,597	—	11,597	—
Cash surrender value of life insurance policies(d)	151,528	151,528	—	151,528	—
Financial liabilities					
Deposit liabilities, other than time deposits(e)	\$6,084,952	\$6,084,952	\$ —	\$ 6,084,952	\$ —
Time certificates of deposits(f)	644,301	639,060	—	639,060	—
Federal Home Loan Bank borrowings(f)	53,264	52,111	—	52,111	—
Customer repurchase agreements and other short-term borrowings(f)	162,679	162,679	—	—	162,679
Junior subordinated debentures(g)	73,073	74,680	—	74,680	—
Subordinated debentures(f)	34,682	32,707	—	—	32,707

(a) The fair values presented are based on quoted market prices, where available. If quoted market prices are not available, fair values are based on quoted market prices of comparable instruments and/or discounted cash flow analysis.

In accordance with recent accounting guidance, the fair value of loans as of September 30, 2018 was measured using the exit price valuation method, determined primarily by discounting the future cash flows using the current rates at which similar loans would be made to borrowers with similar credit ratings

and for the same remaining maturities or cash flows, while incorporating liquidity and credit assumptions. Previously the fair value of loans as of December 31, 2017 was estimated solely by discounting the future cash flows using the current rates at which similar loans would be made to borrowers with similar credit ratings and for the same remaining maturities or cash flows. Additionally, this amount excludes collateral dependent impaired loans, which are deemed to be marked to fair value on a nonrecurring basis.

(c) FHLB stock has no quoted market value and is carried at cost, therefore the carrying amount approximates fair value.

(d) Cash surrender value of life insurance is recorded at its cash surrender value (or the amount that can be realized upon surrender of the policy), therefore carrying amount approximates fair value.

(e) Fair value of demand deposits, savings and interest checking accounts and money market deposits is the amount payable on demand at the reporting date.

(f) Fair value was determined by discounting anticipated future cash payments using rates currently available for instruments with similar remaining maturities.

(g) Fair value was determined based upon market prices of securities with similar terms and maturities.

This summary excludes certain financial assets and liabilities for which the carrying value approximates fair value.

For financial assets, these may include cash and due from banks, federal funds sold and short-term investments. For financial liabilities, these may include federal funds purchased. These instruments would all be considered to be classified as Level 1 within the fair value hierarchy. Also excluded from the summary are financial instruments measured at fair value on a recurring and nonrecurring basis, as previously described.

The Company considers its current use of financial instruments to be the highest and best use of the instruments.

Table of Contents**NOTE 11 - REVENUE RECOGNITION**

The Company adopted the new revenue recognition standard under Accounting Standards Codification Topic 606 ("ASC 606") as of January 1, 2018 and is using the modified retrospective transition method upon adoption. The Company determined that there were no material changes to be made to revenue recognition upon adoption and that there were no practical expedients to apply to its contracts.

A portion of the Company's noninterest income is derived from contracts with customers, and as such, the revenue recognized depicts the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. The Company considers the terms of the contract and all relevant facts and circumstances when applying this guidance. To ensure its alignment with this core principle, the Company measures revenue and the timing of recognition by applying the following five steps:

1. Identify the contract(s) with customers
2. Identify the performance obligations
3. Determine the transaction price
4. Allocate the transaction price to the performance obligations
5. Recognize revenue when (or as) the entity satisfies a performance obligation

The Company has disaggregated its revenue from contracts with customers into categories that depict how the nature, amount, timing, and uncertainty of revenue and cash flows are affected by economic factors. The following table presents the revenue streams that the Company has disaggregated as of the periods indicated:

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30, 2018	September 30, 2017	September 30, 2018	September 30, 2017
	(Dollars in thousands)			
Deposit account fees (inclusive of cash management fees)	\$4,658	\$ 4,401	\$13,640	\$13,337
Interchange fees	3,943	3,597	11,267	10,279
ATM fees	825	815	2,215	2,261
Investment management - wealth management and advisory services	5,912	5,402	17,577	16,027
Investment management - retail investments and insurance revenue	652	565	1,951	1,549
Merchant processing income	227	337	1,006	904
Other noninterest income	1,152	1,188	3,186	3,269
Total noninterest income in-scope of ASC 606	17,369	16,305	50,842	47,626
Total noninterest income out-of-scope of ASC 606	5,895	4,465	14,172	13,454
Total noninterest income	23,264	20,770	65,014	61,080

In each of the revenue streams identified above, there were no significant judgments made in determining or allocating the transaction price, as the consideration and service requirements are generally explicitly identified in the associated contracts. Additional information related to each of the revenue streams is further noted below:

Deposit Account Fees

The Company offers various deposit account products to its customers governed by specific deposit agreements applicable to either personal customers or business customers. These agreements identify the general conditions and obligations of both parties, and include standard information regarding deposit account related fees.

Deposit account services include providing access to deposit accounts as well as access to the various deposit transactional services of the Company. These transactional services are primarily those that are identified in the

standard fee schedule, and include, but are not limited to, services such as overdraft protection, wire transfer, and check collection. Revenue is recognized in conjunction with the various services being provided. For example, the Company may assess monthly fixed service fees associated with the customer having access to the deposit account, which can vary depending on the account type and daily account balance. In addition, the Company may also assess separate fixed fees associated with and at the time specific transactions are entered into by the customer. As such, the Company considers its performance obligations to be met concurrently with providing the account access or completing the requested deposit transaction.

47

Table of Contents*Cash Management*

Cash management services are a subset of the Deposit account fees revenue stream. These services primarily include ACH transaction processing, positive pay and remote deposit services. These services are also governed by separate agreements entered into with the customer. The fee arrangement for these services is structured to assess fees under one of two scenarios, either a per transaction fee arrangement or an earnings credit analysis arrangement. Under the per transaction fee arrangement, fixed fees are assessed concurrently with customers executing the transactions, and as such, the Company considers its performance obligations to be met concurrently with completing the requested transaction. Under the earnings credit analysis arrangement, the Company provides a monthly earnings credit to the customer that is negotiated and determined based on various factors. The credit is then available to absorb the per transaction fees that are assessed on the customer's deposit account activity for the month. Any amount of the transactional fees in excess of the earnings credit is recognized as revenue in that month.

Interchange Fees

The Company earns interchange revenue from its issuance of credit and debit cards granted through its membership in various card payment networks. The Company provides credit cards and debit cards to its customers which are authorized and settled through these payment networks, and in exchange, the Company earns revenue as determined by each payment network's interchange program. The revenue is recognized concurrently with the settlement of card transactions within each network.

ATM Fees

The Company deploys automated teller machines (ATMs) as part of its overall branch network. Certain transactions performed at the ATMs require customers to acknowledge and pay a fee for the requested service. Certain ATM fees are disclosed in the deposit account agreement fee schedules, whereas those assessed to non-Rockland Trust deposit holders are solely determined during the transaction at the machine.

The ATM fee is a fixed dollar per transaction amount, and as such, is recognized concurrently with the overall daily processing and settlement of the ATM activity.

Investment Management - Wealth Management and Advisory Services

The Company offers investment management and trust services to individuals, institutions, small businesses and charitable institutions. Each investment management product is governed by its own contract along with a separate identifiable fee schedule unique to that product. The Company also offers additional services, such as estate settlement, financial planning, tax services and other special services quoted at the client's request.

The asset management and/or custody fees are based upon a percentage of the monthly valuation of the principal assets in the customer's account, whereas fees for additional or special services are fixed in nature and are charged as services are rendered. As the fees are dependent on assets under management, which are susceptible to market factors outside of the Company's control, this variable consideration is constrained and therefore no revenue is estimated at contract initiation. As such, all revenue is recognized in correlation to the monthly management fee determinations or as transactional services are provided. Due to the fact that payments are primarily made subsequent to the valuation period, the Company records a receivable for revenue earned but not received. The following table provides the amount of investment management revenue earned but not received as of the periods indicated:

	September 30, 2018	December 31, 2017
Receivables, included in other assets	\$ 1,892	\$ 1,934

(Dollars in thousands)

Investment Management - Retail Investments and Insurance Revenue

The Company offers the sale of mutual fund shares, unit investment trust shares, general securities, fixed and variable annuities and life insurance products through registered representatives who are both employed by the Company and licensed and contracted with various Broker General Agents to offer these products to the Company's customer base. As such, the Company performs these services as an agent and earns a fixed commission on the sales of these products and services. To a lesser degree, production bonus commissions can also be earned based upon the Company meeting certain volume thresholds.

Table of Contents

In general, the Company recognizes commission revenue at the point of sale, and for certain insurance products, may also earn and recognize annual residual commissions commensurate with annual premiums being paid.

Merchant Processing Income

The Company refers customers to third party merchant processing partners in exchange for commission and fee income. The income earned is comprised of multiple components, including a fixed referral fee per each referred customer, a rebate amount determined primarily as a percentage of net revenue earned by the third party from services provided to each referred customer, and overall production bonus commissions if certain new account production thresholds are met. Merchant processing income is recognized in conjunction with either completing the referral to earn the fixed fee amount or as the merchant activity is processed to derive the Company's rebate and/or production bonus amounts.

Other Noninterest Income

The Company earns various types of other noninterest income that fall within the scope of the new revenue recognition rules, and have been aggregated into one general revenue stream in the table noted above. This amount includes, but is not limited to, the following types of revenue with customers:

Safe Deposit Rent

The Company rents out the use of safe deposit boxes to its customers, which can be accessed when the bank is open for business. The safe deposit box rental fee is paid upfront and is recognized as revenue ratably over the annual term of the contract.

1031 Exchange Fee Revenue

The Company provides like-kind exchange services pursuant to Section 1031 of the Internal Revenue Code. Fee income is recognized in conjunction with completing the exchange transactions.

Foreign Currency

The Company earns fee income associated with various transactions related to foreign currency product offerings, including foreign currency bank notes and drafts and foreign currency wires. The majority of this income is derived from commissions earned related to customers executing the above mentioned foreign currency transactions through arrangements with third party correspondents.

Table of Contents**NOTE 12 - COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)**

The following tables present a reconciliation of the changes in the components of other comprehensive income (loss) for the dates indicated, including the amount of income tax (expense) benefit allocated to each component of other comprehensive income (loss):

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2018			Nine Months Ended September 30, 2018		
	Pre Tax Amount	Tax (Expense) Benefit	After Tax Amount	Pre Tax Amount	Tax (Expense) Benefit	After Tax Amount
	(Dollars in thousands)					
Change in fair value of securities available for sale	\$(2,988)	\$ 726	\$(2,262)	\$(12,761)	\$ 3,107	\$(9,654)
Less: net security gains reclassified into other noninterest income (expense)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Net change in fair value of securities available for sale	(2,988)) 726	(2,262)) (12,761)) 3,107	(9,654)
Change in fair value of cash flow hedges	(296)) 83	(213)) 100	(25)) 75
Less: net cash flow hedge gains reclassified into interest income or interest expense (1)	268	(76)) 192	525	(148)) 377
Net change in fair value of cash flow hedges	(564)) 159	(405)) (425)) 123	(302)
Amortization of net actuarial losses	94	(26)) 68	281	(79)) 202
Amortization of net prior service costs	69	(20)) 49	207	(58)) 149
Net change in other comprehensive income for defined benefit postretirement plans (2)	163	(46)) 117	488	(137)) 351
Total other comprehensive loss	\$(3,389)	\$ 839	\$(2,550)	\$(12,698)	\$ 3,093	\$(9,605)
	Three Months Ended September 30, 2017			Nine Months Ended September 30, 2017		
	Pre Tax Amount	Tax (Expense) Benefit	After Tax Amount	Pre Tax Amount	Tax (Expense) Benefit	After Tax Amount
	(Dollars in thousands)					
Change in fair value of securities available for sale	\$347	\$(152)) \$195	\$2,520	\$(1,001)) \$1,519
Less: net security gains reclassified into other noninterest income (expense)	11	(4)) 7	13	(5)) 8
Net change in fair value of securities available for sale	336	(148)) 188	2,507	(996)) 1,511
Change in fair value of cash flow hedges	91	(36)) 55	(250)) 102	(148)
Less: net cash flow hedge losses reclassified into interest income or interest expense (1)	(91)) 37	(54)) (264)) 108	(156)
Net change in fair value of cash flow hedges	182	(73)) 109	14	(6)) 8
Net unamortized loss related to defined benefit pension and other postretirement adjustments arising during the period	(6)) 2	(4)) (20)) 8	(12)
Amortization of net actuarial losses	69	(28)) 41	208	(85)) 123
Amortization of net prior service costs	70	(29)) 41	208	(85)) 123
Net change in other comprehensive income for defined benefit postretirement plans (2)	133	(55)) 78	396	(162)) 234
Total other comprehensive income	\$651	\$(276)) \$375	\$2,917	\$(1,164)) \$1,753

Edgar Filing: Thomson David - Form 4

Table of Contents

Includes the amortization of the remaining balance of a realized but unrecognized gain, net of tax, from the termination of interest rate swaps in 2009. The (1) original gain of \$1.4 million, net of tax, is being recognized in earnings through December 2018, the original maturity date of the swap. The balance of this gain has amortized to \$34,000 and \$137,000 at September 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017, respectively.

The amortization of prior service costs is included in the computation of net periodic pension cost as disclosed in the Employee Benefit Plans footnote in the (2) Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2017, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Effective January 1, 2018, the Company elected to reclassify certain tax effects from accumulated other comprehensive income to retained earnings, related to items that were stranded in other comprehensive income as a result of the Tax Act. A description of the other income tax effects that were reclassified as a result of the Tax Act are listed in the table below.

Information on the Company's accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax, is comprised of the following components as of the periods indicated:

	Unrealized Gain on Securities	Unrealized Gain (Loss) on Cash Flow Hedge	Deferred Gain on Hedge Transactions	Defined Benefit Postretirement Plans	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)
(Dollars in thousands)					
2018					
Beginning balance: January 1, 2018	\$(504)	\$ 948	\$ 137	\$ (2,412)	\$ (1,831)
Opening balance reclassification	(111)	205	29	(520)	(397)
Cumulative effect accounting adjustment	(831)	—	—	—	(831)
Net change in other comprehensive income (loss)	(9,654)	(170)	(132)	351	(9,605)
Ending balance: September 30, 2018	\$(11,100)	\$ 983	\$ 34	\$ (2,581)	\$ (12,664)
2017					
Beginning balance: January 1, 2017	\$173	\$ 361	\$ 281	\$ (2,152)	\$ (1,337)
Net change in other comprehensive income (loss)	1,511	116	(108)	234	1,753
Ending balance: September 30, 2017	\$1,684	\$ 477	\$ 173	\$ (1,918)	\$ 416

Table of Contents**NOTE 13 - COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES*****Financial Instruments with Off-Balance Sheet Risk***

In the normal course of business, the Company enters into various transactions to meet the financing needs of its customers, which, in accordance with GAAP, are not included in its consolidated balance sheets. These transactions include commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit, which involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit risk and interest rate risk in excess of the amounts recognized in the consolidated balance sheets. The Company minimizes its exposure to loss under these commitments by subjecting them to credit approval and monitoring procedures.

The Company enters into contractual commitments to extend credit, normally with fixed expiration dates or termination clauses, at specified rates and for specific purposes. Substantially all of these commitments to extend credit are contingent upon customers maintaining specific credit standards at the time of loan funding.

Standby letters of credit are written conditional commitments issued to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. In the event the customer does not perform in accordance with the terms of the agreement with the third party, the Company would be required to fund the commitment. The maximum potential amount of future payments the Company could be required to make is represented by the contractual amount of the commitment. If the commitment were funded, the Company would be entitled to seek recovery from the customer. The Company's policies generally require that standby letter of credit arrangements contain security and debt covenants similar to those contained in loan agreements.

The fees collected in connection with the issuance of standby letters of credit are representative of the fair value of the Company's obligation undertaken in issuing the guarantee. In accordance with applicable accounting standards related to guarantees, fees collected in connection with the issuance of standby letters of credit are deferred. The fees are then recognized in income proportionately over the life of the standby letter of credit agreement. The deferred standby letter of credit fees represent the fair value of the Company's potential obligations under the standby letter of credit guarantees.

The following table summarizes the above financial instruments at the dates indicated:

	September 30, 2018	December 31, 2017
	(Dollars in thousands)	
Commitments to extend credit	\$2,551,217	\$2,443,478
Standby letters of credit	16,704	15,534
Deferred standby letter of credit fees	128	102

Lease Commitments

The Company leases office space, space for ATM locations, and certain branch locations under noncancelable operating leases. Several of these leases have renewal options that typically range from 5 to 10 years.

Rent expense incurred under operating leases was approximately \$2.3 million and \$2.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2018 and 2017, respectively, and \$7.1 million and \$6.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2018 and 2017, respectively.

There has been no significant change in the future minimum lease payments payable by the Company since December 31, 2017. See the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2017 for information regarding leases and other commitments.

Other Contingencies

At September 30, 2018, Rockland Trust was involved in pending lawsuits that arose in the ordinary course of business. Management has reviewed these pending lawsuits with legal counsel and has taken into consideration the view of counsel as to their outcome. In the opinion of management, the final disposition of pending lawsuits is not expected to have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

The Bank is required to maintain certain reserve requirements of vault cash and/or deposits with the Federal Reserve Bank of Boston. The reserve requirement was \$34.9 million and \$35.8 million at September 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017, respectively.

Table of Contents**NOTE 14 - LOW INCOME HOUSING PROJECT INVESTMENTS**

The Company has invested in low income housing projects that generate Low Income Housing Tax Credits (“LIHTC”) which provide the Company with tax credits and operating loss tax benefits over a period of approximately 15 years. None of the original investment is expected to be repaid.

The following table presents certain information related to the Company's investments in low income housing projects as of the dates indicated:

	September	December
	30	31
	2018	2017
	(Dollars in thousands)	
Original investment value	\$47,464	\$ 47,399
Current recorded investment	32,032	35,225
Unfunded liability obligation	2,003	4,536
Tax credits and benefits	5,458	(1)5,654
Amortization of investments	4,334	(2)4,402 (4)
Net income tax benefit	1,124	(3)1,253

(1) This amount reflects anticipated tax credits and tax benefits for the full year ended December 31, 2018.

(2) The amortization amount reduces the tax credits and benefits anticipated for the full year ended December 31, 2018.

(3) This amount represents the net tax benefit expected to be realized for the full year ended December 31, 2018 in determining the Company's effective tax rate.

(4) The 2017 amount is inclusive of \$466,000 related to the revaluation of LIHTC investments as a result of the Tax Act.

Table of Contents**Item 2. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations**

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements, notes and tables included in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2017, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, in the Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and elsewhere, contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Such statements are not historical facts and include expressions about management’s confidence and strategies and management’s expectations about new and existing programs and products, acquisitions, relationships, opportunities, taxation, technology, market conditions and economic expectations. These statements may be identified by forward-looking terminology such as “should,” “expect,” “believe,” “view,” “opportunity,” “allow,” “continues,” “reflects,” “typically,” “usually,” “anticipate,” or similar statements or variations of such terms. Such forward-looking statements involve certain risks and uncertainties and our actual results may differ materially from such forward-looking statements. Factors that may cause actual results to differ materially from those contemplated by such forward-looking statements, in addition to those risk factors listed under the “Risk Factors” section of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2017, include, but are not limited to:

- *a weakening in the United States economy in general and the regional and local economies within the New England region and the Company’s market area;*
- *adverse changes or volatility in the local real estate market;*
- *adverse changes in asset quality including an unanticipated credit deterioration in our loan portfolio including those related to one or more large commercial relationships;*
- *acquisitions may not produce results at levels or within time frames originally anticipated and may result in unforeseen integration issues or impairment of goodwill and/or other intangibles;*
- *inability to raise capital on terms that are favorable;*
- *additional regulatory oversight and additional costs associated with the Company's anticipated increase in assets to over \$10 billion;*
- *changes in trade, monetary and fiscal policies and laws, including interest rate policies of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System;*
- *higher than expected tax expense, resulting from failure to comply with general tax laws, changes in tax laws, or failure to comply with requirements of the federal New Markets Tax Credit program;*
- *unexpected changes in market interest rates for interest earning assets and/or interest bearing liabilities;*
- *unexpected increased competition in the Company’s market area;*
- *unanticipated loan delinquencies, loss of collateral, decreased service revenues, and other potential negative effects on our business caused by severe weather or other external events;*
- *deterioration in the conditions of the securities markets;*
- *deterioration of the credit rating for U.S. long-term sovereign debt;*
- *our inability to adapt to changes in information technology, including changes to industry accepted delivery models driven by a migration to the internet as a means of service delivery;*
- *electronic fraudulent activity within the financial services industry, especially in the commercial banking sector;*
- *adverse changes in consumer spending and savings habits;*
- *failure to consummate or a delay in consummating the acquisitions of MNB Bancorp and Blue Hills Bancorp, which are subject to certain standard conditions, including regulatory approvals and shareholder approval for the Blue Hills Bancorp transaction;*
- *the inability to realize expected synergies from merger transactions in the amounts or in the timeframe anticipated;*
- *inability to retain customers and employees, including those acquired in the MNB Bancorp and Blue Hills Bancorp acquisitions;*

the effect of laws and regulations regarding the financial services industry including, but not limited to, the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and the Consumer Protection Act and regulatory uncertainty surrounding these laws and regulations;

54

Table of Contents

- *changes in laws and regulations (including laws and regulations concerning taxes, banking, securities and insurance) generally applicable to the Company's business;*
- *changes in accounting policies, practices and standards, as may be adopted by the regulatory agencies as well as the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board, the Financial Accounting Standards Board, and other accounting standard setters;*
- *cyber security attacks or intrusions that could adversely impact our businesses; and*
- *other unexpected material adverse changes in our operations or earnings.*

Except as required by law, the Company disclaims any intent or obligation to update publicly any such forward-looking statements, whether in response to new information, future events or otherwise. Any public statements or disclosures by the Company following this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q which modify or impact any of the forward-looking statements contained in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q will be deemed to modify or supersede such statements in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Selected Quarterly Financial Data

The selected consolidated financial and other data of the Company set forth below does not purport to be complete and should be read in conjunction with, and is qualified in its entirety by, the more detailed information, including the Consolidated Financial Statements and related notes, appearing elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

	Three Months Ended					
	September 30, 2018	June 30, 2018	March 31, 2018	December 31, 2017	September 30, 2017	
	(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)					
Financial condition data						
Securities	\$1,011,578	\$1,002,921	\$996,287	\$946,510	\$909,221	
Loans	6,527,402	6,479,271	6,362,056	6,355,553	6,289,902	
Allowance for loan losses	(63,235)	(62,557)	(60,862)	(60,643)	(59,710)	
Goodwill and other intangible assets	239,185	239,724	240,268	241,147	242,105	
Total assets	8,375,497	8,381,002	8,090,410	8,082,029	8,052,919	
Total deposits	6,976,239	7,013,490	6,751,511	6,729,253	6,682,942	
Total borrowings	299,739	300,792	298,939	323,698	340,683	
Stockholders' equity	998,305	977,065	956,059	943,809	931,224	
Nonperforming loans	45,394	47,112	47,713	49,638	50,277	
Nonperforming assets	45,584	47,357	48,071	50,250	53,175	
Income statement						
Interest income	\$82,875	\$79,167	\$73,749	\$72,876	\$71,778	
Interest expense	6,641	5,999	5,278	5,044	4,705	
Net interest income	76,234	73,168	68,471	67,832	67,073	
Provision for loan losses	1,075	2,000	500	1,300	—	
Noninterest income	23,264	21,887	19,863	21,914	20,770	
Noninterest expenses	55,439	52,688	53,451	51,467	51,310	
Net income	33,015	31,118	27,555	22,064	23,852	
Per share data						
Net income—basic	\$1.20	\$1.13	\$1.00	\$0.80	\$0.87	
Net income—diluted	1.20	1.13	1.00	0.80	0.87	
Cash dividends declared	0.38	0.38	0.38	0.32	0.32	
Book value per share	36.25	35.49	34.75	34.38	33.94	
Tangible book value per share (1)	27.56	26.78	26.02	25.60	25.12	
Performance ratios						
Return on average assets	1.57	% 1.52	% 1.39	% 1.08	% 1.18	%
Return on average common equity	13.19	% 12.85	% 11.73	% 9.28	% 10.18	%

Explanation of Responses:

Edgar Filing: Thomson David - Form 4

Table of Contents

Net interest margin (on a fully tax equivalent basis)	%94	%89	%77	%64	%65
Equity to assets	\$1.92	\$1.66	\$1.82	\$1.68	\$1.56
Dividend payout ratio	\$1.69	\$3.60	\$1.88	\$0.79	\$6.80
Asset Quality Ratios					
Nonperforming loans as a percent of gross loans	0%70	0%73	0%75	0%78	0%80
Nonperforming assets as a percent of total assets	0%54	0%57	0%59	0%62	0%66
Allowance for loan losses as a percent of total loans	0%97	0%97	0%96	0%95	0%95
Allowance for loan losses as a percent of nonperforming loans	\$39.30	\$32.78	\$27.56	\$22.17	\$18.76
Capital ratios					
Tier 1 leverage capital ratio	\$0.49	\$0.39	\$0.32	\$0.04	\$0.03
Common equity tier 1 capital ratio	\$1.98	\$1.64	\$1.47	\$1.20	\$1.13
Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio	\$2.07	\$2.73	\$2.57	\$2.31	\$2.24
Total risk-based capital ratio	\$4.58	\$4.24	\$4.08	\$3.82	\$3.75

(1) Represents a non-GAAP measure. For reconciliation to GAAP book value per share, see Item 2 "*Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Executive Level Overview - Non-GAAP Measures*" below.

Table of Contents

Executive Level Overview

Management evaluates the Company's operating results and financial condition using measures that include net income, earnings per share, return on assets and equity, return on tangible common equity, net interest margin, tangible book value per share, asset quality indicators, and many others. These metrics are used by management to make key decisions regarding the Company's balance sheet, liquidity, interest rate sensitivity, and capital resources and assist with identifying opportunities for improving the Company's financial position or operating results. The Company is focused on organic growth, but will also consider acquisition opportunities that can provide a satisfactory financial return. During the second quarter of 2018, the Company announced the signing of a definitive merger agreement with MNB Bancorp ("MNB"), which is expected to close in the fourth quarter of 2018. During the third quarter of 2018, the Company announced the signing of a definitive merger agreement with Blue Hills Bancorp ("BHB"), which is expected to close in the first half of 2019.

Interest-Earning Assets

Management's balance sheet strategy emphasizes commercial and home equity lending. The results depicted in the following table reflect an overall increase in total loans over the past five quarters due to the results of that strategy, as well as the impact from acquisitions. For the third quarter of 2018, the Company experienced loan growth across all major categories.

Management strives to be disciplined about loan pricing and considers interest rate sensitivity when generating loan assets. The Company has gradually and intentionally shifted its balance sheet composition so that its interest-rate risk position is fundamentally asset-sensitive. Management takes a disciplined approach to credit underwriting, seeking to avoid undue credit risk and loan losses.

Table of Contents

Funding and Net Interest Margin

The Company's overall sources of funding reflect strong business and retail deposit growth, supporting management's emphasis on core deposit growth to fund loans, as depicted by the following chart:

As of September 30, 2018, core deposits comprised 90.25% of total deposits. The continued emphasis on core deposits has resulted in a cost of deposits of 0.30% for the 2018 third quarter, which increased by three basis points when compared to the second quarter of 2018.

The Company's net interest margin was 3.94% for the quarter ended September 30, 2018, a five basis point increase from the second quarter of 2018, reflecting the Company's asset sensitive position, as shown by the following chart:

Table of Contents

Noninterest Income

Management continues to focus on noninterest income growth, which is primarily comprised of deposit account fees, interchange and ATM fees, investment management fees and mortgage banking income. The following chart shows the components of noninterest income over the past five quarters:

Expense Control

Management seeks to take a balanced approach to noninterest expense control by monitoring the management of ongoing operating expenses while making needed capital expenditures and prudently investing in growth initiatives. The Company's primary expenses arise from Rockland Trust's employee salaries and benefits, as well as expenses associated with buildings and equipment. The following chart depicts the Company's efficiency ratio on a GAAP basis (calculated by dividing noninterest expense by the sum of noninterest income and net interest income), as well as the Company's efficiency ratio on a non-GAAP operating basis, if applicable (calculated by dividing noninterest expense, excluding certain noncore items, by the sum of noninterest income, excluding certain noncore items, and net interest income), over the past five quarters:

*See "Non-GAAP Measures" below for a reconciliation to GAAP financial measures.

Table of Contents***Tax Effectiveness***

The Company participates in federal and state tax credit programs designed to promote economic development, affordable housing, and job creation. The Company continues to participate in the federal New Markets Tax Credit program and has also made low-income housing tax credit investments. The Company has also established security corporation subsidiaries and, through its subsidiaries, purchased tax-exempt bonds. Federal and state tax credit program participation and other tax strategies help the Company operate in a more tax effective manner and sometimes also create a competitive advantage for Rockland Trust and its community development subsidiaries. During the third quarter of 2018, the Company's effective tax rate was 23.19%.

Capital

The Company's approach with respect to revenue, expense, and tax effectiveness is designed to promote long-term earnings growth. Strong earnings retention has contributed to capital growth. Book value per share increased 2.1% in the third quarter of 2018 and 6.8% over the past four quarters. In addition, tangible book value per share rose 2.9% in the third quarter of 2018 and was higher by 9.7% over the past four quarters (see "Non-GAAP Measures" below for a reconciliation of non-GAAP measures). Stockholders' equity as a percentage of total assets was 11.92% for the third quarter of 2018, compared to 11.66% in the second quarter of 2018. The Company's tangible common equity ratio (or tangible common equity as a percentage of tangible assets) rose to 9.33% for the third quarter of 2018, as compared to 9.06% in the second quarter of 2018. See "Non-GAAP Measures" below for a reconciliation of non-GAAP measures. The following chart shows the Company's book value and tangible book value per share over the past five quarters:

*See "Non-GAAP Measures" below for a reconciliation to GAAP financial measures.

The Company's growth in capital enables the payment of cash dividends. The Company declared quarterly cash dividends of \$0.38 per share for the first three quarters of 2018, representing an increase of 18.8% from the 2017 quarterly dividend rate of \$0.32 per share.

Third Quarter 2018 Results

Net income for the third quarter of 2018 was \$33.0 million, or \$1.20 on a diluted earnings per share basis, an increase of 38.4% and 37.9%, respectively, as compared to \$23.9 million, or \$0.87 on a diluted earnings per share basis, for the prior year third quarter. The third quarter of 2018 included merger and acquisition costs which the Company deems to be noncore. Excluding these merger and acquisition expenses, third quarter 2018 operating net income was \$34.9 million compared to operating net income from the third quarter of 2017 of \$23.9 million, an increase of 46.5%. See "Non-GAAP Measures" below for a reconciliation of non-GAAP measures.

Table of Contents

2018 Outlook

During the Company's third quarter 2018 earnings call, the Company stated that it anticipates the following for the full year ending December 31, 2018:

- Loan and deposit growth for the full year 2018 to be in the low to mid-single digit range, excluding the MNB acquisition;
- Assuming no further federal funds rate increases, the full year 2018 net interest margin is expected to expand by 30 to 32 basis points versus the full year 2017;
- Net interest margin will increase 4 to 6 basis points in the fourth quarter;
- Most noninterest income categories (with the exception of gain on life insurance benefits) are expected to be higher during the fourth quarter as compared to the third quarter;
- Noninterest expense is expected to up slightly in the fourth quarter, even when including the MNB acquisition with the operating efficiency ratio improving for the remainder of the year; and
- The effective tax rate for the remainder of the year (excluding discrete items) is expected to be approximately 23.0%.

Non-GAAP Measures

When management assesses the Company's financial performance for purposes of making day-to-day and strategic decisions, it does so based upon the performance of its core banking business, which is primarily derived from the combination of net interest income and noninterest or fee income, reduced by operating expenses, the provision for loan losses, and the impact of income taxes and other noncore items shown in the table that follows. There are items that impact the Company's results that management believes are unrelated to its core banking business such as gains or losses on the sales of securities, merger and acquisition expenses and other items, such as one-time adjustments as a result of changes in laws and regulations. Management, therefore, computes certain non-GAAP measures including net operating earnings and operating EPS, noninterest income on an operating basis and efficiency ratio on an operating basis, which exclude items management considers to be noncore. Management believes excluding these items facilitates greater visibility into the Company's core banking business and underlying trends that may, to some extent, be obscured by inclusion of such items.

Management also supplements its evaluation of financial performance with an analysis of tangible book value per share (which is computed by dividing stockholders' equity less goodwill and identifiable intangible assets, or tangible common equity, by common shares outstanding) and with the Company's tangible common equity ratio (which is computed by dividing tangible common equity by tangible assets) which are non-GAAP measures. The Company has included information on these tangible ratios because management believes that investors may find it useful to have access to the same analytical tools used by management to assess performance and identify trends. The Company has recognized goodwill and other intangible assets in conjunction with merger and acquisition activities. Excluding the impact of goodwill and other intangibles in measuring asset and capital values for the ratios provided, along with other bank standard capital ratios, facilitates comparison of the capital adequacy of the Company to other companies in the financial services industry.

These non-GAAP measures should not be viewed as a substitute for financial results determined in accordance with GAAP. An item which management deems to be noncore and excludes when computing these non-GAAP measures can be of substantial importance to the Company's results for any particular period. The Company's non-GAAP performance measures are not necessarily comparable to similarly named non-GAAP performance measures which may be presented by other companies.

Edgar Filing: Thomson David - Form 4

Table of Contents

The following tables summarize adjustments for noncore items for the time periods indicated below and reconcile non-GAAP measures:

	Three Months Ended September 30			
	Net Income		Diluted Earnings Per Share	
	2018	2017	2018	2017
	(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)			
Net income available to common shareholders (GAAP)	\$33,015	\$23,852	\$1.20	\$0.87
Non-GAAP adjustments				
Noninterest expense components				
Merger and acquisition expenses	2,688	—	0.10	—
Total impact of noncore items	2,688	—	0.10	—
Net tax benefit associated with noncore items (1)	(756)	—	(0.03)	—
Noncore items, net of tax	\$1,932	\$—	\$0.07	\$—
Operating net income (Non-GAAP)	\$34,947	\$23,852	\$1.27	\$0.87

	Nine Months Ended September 30			
	Net Income		Diluted Earnings Per Share	
	2018	2017	2018	2017
	(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)			
Net income available to common shareholders (GAAP)	\$91,688	\$65,140	\$3.32	\$2.38
Non-GAAP adjustments				
Noninterest expense components				
Merger and acquisition expenses	3,122	3,393	0.12	0.12
Total impact of noncore items	3,122	3,393	0.12	0.12
Net tax benefit associated with noncore items (1)	(878)	(1,241)	(0.03)	(0.04)
Operating net income (Non-GAAP)	\$93,932	\$67,292	\$3.41	\$2.46

(1) The net tax benefit associated with noncore items is determined by assessing whether each noncore item is included or excluded from net taxable income and applying the Company's combined marginal tax rate to only those items included in net taxable income.

Edgar Filing: Thomson David - Form 4

Table of Contents

	Three Months Ended					
	September 30 2018	June 30 2018	March 31 2018	December 31 2017	September 30 2017	
(Dollars in thousands)						
Net interest income (GAAP)	\$76,234	\$73,168	\$68,471	\$67,832	\$67,073	(a)
Noninterest income (GAAP)	\$23,264	\$21,887	\$19,863	\$21,914	\$20,770	(b)
Noninterest income on an operating basis (Non-GAAP)*	\$23,264	\$21,887	\$19,863	\$21,914	\$20,770	(c)
Noninterest expense (GAAP)	\$55,439	\$52,688	\$53,451	\$51,467	\$51,310	(d)
Less:						
Merger and acquisition expense	2,688	434	—	—	—	
Noninterest expense on an operating basis (Non-GAAP)	\$52,751	\$52,254	\$53,451	\$51,467	\$51,310	(e)
Total revenue (GAAP)	\$99,498	\$95,055	\$88,334	\$89,746	\$87,843	(a+b)
Total operating revenue (Non-GAAP)*	\$99,498	\$95,055	\$88,334	\$89,746	\$87,843	(a+c)
Ratios						
Noninterest income as a % of revenue (GAAP based)	23.38	% 23.03	% 22.49	% 24.42	% 23.64	% (b/(a+b))
Noninterest income as a % of revenue on an operating basis (Non-GAAP)*	23.38	% 23.03	% 22.49	% 24.42	% 23.64	% (c/(a+c))
Efficiency ratio (GAAP based)	55.72	% 55.43	% 60.51	% 57.35	% 58.41	% (d/(a+b))
Efficiency ratio on an operating basis (Non-GAAP)	53.02	% 54.97	% 60.51	% 57.35	% 58.41	% (e/(a+c))

* There were no adjustments for the periods presented.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the calculation of the Company's tangible common equity ratio and tangible book value per share for the periods indicated:

	September 30, 2018	June 30 2018	March 31 2018	December 31 2017	September 30, 2017	
	(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)					
Tangible common equity						
Stockholders' equity (GAAP)	\$ 998,305	\$ 977,065	\$ 956,059	\$ 943,809	\$ 931,224	(a)
Less: Goodwill and other intangibles	239,185	239,724	240,268	241,147	242,105	
Tangible common equity (Non-GAAP)	759,120	737,341	715,791	702,662	689,119	(b)
Tangible assets						
Assets (GAAP)	8,375,498	8,381,002	8,090,410	8,082,029	8,052,919	(c)
Less: Goodwill and other intangibles	239,185	239,724	240,268	241,147	242,105	
Tangible assets (Non-GAAP)	\$ 8,136,313	\$ 8,141,278	\$ 7,850,142	\$ 7,840,882	\$ 7,810,814	(d)
Common shares	27,540,843	27,532,524	27,512,328	27,450,190	27,437,791	(e)
Common equity to assets ratio (GAAP)	11.92	% 11.66	% 11.82	% 11.68	% 11.56	%(a/c)
Tangible common equity to tangible assets ratio (Non-GAAP)	9.33	% 9.06	% 9.12	% 8.96	% 8.82	%(b/d)
Book value per share (GAAP)	\$ 36.25	\$ 35.49	\$ 34.75	\$ 34.38	\$ 33.94	(a/e)
Tangible book value per share (Non-GAAP)	\$ 27.56	\$ 26.78	\$ 26.02	\$ 25.60	\$ 25.12	(b/e)

Critical Accounting Policies

Critical accounting policies are defined as those that are reflective of significant judgments and uncertainties, and could potentially result in materially different results under different assumptions and conditions. The Company believes that the most critical accounting policies are those which the Company's financial condition depends upon, and which involve the most complex or subjective decisions or assessments.

There have been no material changes in critical accounting policies during the first nine months of 2018. Please refer to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2017 for a complete listing of critical accounting policies.

Table of Contents**FINANCIAL POSITION**

Securities Portfolio The Company's securities portfolio consists of trading securities, equity securities, securities available for sale, and securities which management intends to hold until maturity. Securities increased by \$65.1 million, or 6.9%, at September 30, 2018 as compared to December 31, 2017, reflecting new purchases of \$182.4 million made during the nine month period, partially offset by paydowns on existing securities. The ratio of securities to total assets was 12.08% and 11.71% at September 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017, respectively.

The Company monitors investment securities for the presence of other-than-temporary impairment ("OTTI"). For debt securities, the primary consideration in determining whether impairment is OTTI is whether or not the Bank expects to collect all contractual cash flows. Further details regarding the Company's analysis of potential OTTI can be found in Note 3 "Securities" within Condensed Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 1 hereof.

Residential Mortgage Loan Sales The Company's primary loan sale activity arises from the sale of government sponsored enterprise eligible residential mortgage loans. During the nine months ended September 30, 2018 and 2017, the Bank originated residential loans with the intention of selling them in the secondary market or to hold in the Company's residential portfolio. When a loan is sold, the Company enters into agreements that contain representations and warranties about the characteristics of the loans sold and their origination. The Company may be required to either repurchase mortgage loans or to indemnify the purchaser from losses if representations and warranties are breached. The Company incurred no losses during the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2018 and September 30, 2017 related to these activities.

The following table shows the total residential loans that were closed and whether the amounts were held in the portfolio or sold/held for sale in the secondary market during the periods indicated:

Table 1 - Closed Residential Real Estate Loans

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30		September 30	
	2018	2017	2018	2017
	(Dollars in thousands)			
Held in portfolio	\$52,125	\$31,766	\$132,711	\$116,537
Sold or held for sale in the secondary market	58,320	64,924	142,262	164,458
Total closed loans	\$110,445	\$96,690	\$274,973	\$280,995

The Company sold \$61.9 million and \$68.3 million in residential loans during the three months ended September 30, 2018 and 2017, respectively, and \$141.0 million and \$166.1 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2018 and 2017, respectively. All loans sold during these periods were sold with servicing rights released.

Table of Contents

Currently, the Bank sells the servicing of sold loans for a servicing release premium, simultaneous with the sale of the loan. In the past, the Bank may have opted to sell loans and retain the servicing. In the event of a sale with servicing rights retained, a mortgage servicing asset is established, which represents the then current estimated fair value based on market prices for comparable mortgage servicing contracts, when available, or alternatively is based on a valuation model that calculates the present value of estimated future net servicing income. The valuation model incorporates assumptions that market participants would use in estimating future net servicing income, such as the cost to service, the discount rate, an inflation rate, ancillary income, prepayment speeds and default rates and losses. Servicing rights are recorded in other assets in the consolidated balance sheets, are amortized in proportion to and over the period of estimated net servicing income, and are assessed for impairment based on fair value at each reporting date. Impairment is determined by stratifying the rights based on predominant characteristics, such as interest rate, loan type and investor type. Impairment is recognized through a valuation allowance, to the extent that fair value is less than the capitalized amount. If the Company later determines that all or a portion of the impairment no longer exists, a reduction of the allowance may be recorded as an increase to income. The principal balance of loans serviced by the Bank on behalf of investors was \$244.9 million, \$277.8 million and \$292.2 million at September 30, 2018, December 31, 2017, and September 30, 2017, respectively. The following table shows the adjusted cost of the servicing rights associated with these loans and the changes for the periods indicated:

Table 2 - Mortgage Servicing Asset

	Three Months Ended September 30		Nine Months Ended September 30	
	2018	2017	2018	2017
	(Dollars in thousands)			
Balance at beginning of period	\$1,559	\$1,876	\$1,697	\$2,048
Acquired portfolio	—	—	—	28
Amortization	(71)	(100)	(230)	(309)
Change in valuation allowance	(10)	9	11	18
Balance at end of period	\$1,478	\$1,785	\$1,478	\$1,785

Forward sale contracts of mortgage loans, considered derivative instruments for accounting purposes, may be utilized by the Company in its efforts to manage risk of loss associated with its mortgage loan commitments and mortgage loans held for sale. Prior to closing and funding certain one-to-four family residential mortgage loans, an interest rate lock commitment is generally extended to the borrower. During the period from commitment date to closing date, the Company is subject to the risk that market rates of interest may change. If market rates rise, investors generally will pay less to purchase such loans, resulting in a reduction in the gain on sale of the loans or, possibly, a loss. In an effort to mitigate such risk, forward delivery sales commitments are executed, under which the Company agrees to deliver whole mortgage loans to investors which economically hedges this market risk. See Note 8, "Derivative and Hedging Activities" within Condensed Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 1 hereof for more information on mortgage activity and mortgage related derivatives.

Loan Portfolio The Company's loan portfolio increased by \$171.8 million during the first nine months of 2018, primarily driven by the commercial and industrial portfolio which increased by \$115.3 million and by the residential real estate portfolio which increased by \$47.5 million.

Table of Contents

The Company's commercial loan portfolio is comprised primarily of commercial and industrial loans as well as commercial real estate loans. Management considers the Company's commercial and industrial portfolio to be well-diversified with loans to various types of industries. The following pie chart shows the diversification of the commercial and industrial portfolio as of September 30, 2018:

	(Dollars in thousands)
Average loan size	\$253
Largest individual commercial and industrial loan outstanding	\$25,448
Commercial and industrial nonperforming loans/commercial and industrial loans	2.86 %

The Company's commercial real estate loan portfolio, inclusive of commercial construction, is the Company's largest loan type concentration. The Company believes that this portfolio is also well-diversified with loans secured by a variety of property types, such as owner-occupied and nonowner-occupied commercial, retail, office, industrial, warehouse, industrial development bonds, and other special purpose properties, such as hotels, motels, nursing homes, restaurants, churches, recreational facilities, marinas, and golf courses. Commercial real estate also includes loans secured by certain residential-related property types including multi-family apartment buildings, residential development tracts and condominiums. The following pie chart shows the diversification of the commercial real estate loan portfolio as of September 30, 2018:

Table of Contents

	(Dollars in thousands)	
Average loan size	\$876	
Largest individual commercial real estate mortgage outstanding	\$27,522	
Commercial real estate nonperforming loans/commercial real estate loans	0.06	%
Owner occupied commercial real estate loans/commercial real estate loans	16.0	%

In addition to the commercial portfolios, the Company also originates both fixed-rate and adjustable-rate residential real estate loans as well as residential construction lending related to single-home residential development within the Company's market area. The Company also provides home equity loans and lines that may be made as a fixed rate term loan or under a variable rate revolving line of credit secured by a first or junior mortgage on the borrower's residence or second home. Additionally, the Company makes loans for a wide variety of other personal needs. Consumer loans primarily consist of installment loans and overdraft protections. The residential, home equity and other consumer portfolios totaled \$1.9 billion at September 30, 2018.

Asset Quality The Company continually monitors the asset quality of the loan portfolio using all available information. Based on this assessment, loans demonstrating certain payment issues or other weaknesses may be categorized as delinquent, impaired, nonperforming and/or put on nonaccrual status. Additionally, in the course of resolving such loans, the Company may choose to restructure the contractual terms of certain loans to match the borrower's ability to repay the loan based on their current financial condition. If a restructured loan meets certain criteria, it may be categorized as a troubled debt restructuring ("TDR").

Delinquency The Company's philosophy toward managing its loan portfolios is predicated upon careful monitoring, which stresses early detection and response to delinquent and default situations. The Company seeks to make arrangements to resolve any delinquent or default situation over the shortest possible time frame. Generally, the Company requires that a delinquency notice be mailed to a borrower upon expiration of a grace period (typically no longer than 15 days beyond the due date). Reminder notices may be sent and telephone calls may be made prior to the expiration of the grace period. If the delinquent status is not resolved within a reasonable time frame following the mailing of a delinquency notice, the Bank's personnel charged with managing its loan portfolios contacts the borrower to ascertain the reasons for delinquency and the prospects for payment. Any subsequent actions taken to resolve the delinquency will depend upon the nature of the loan and the length of time that the loan has been delinquent. The borrower's needs are considered as much as reasonably possible without jeopardizing the Bank's position. A late charge is usually assessed on loans upon expiration of the grace period.

Nonaccrual Loans As a general rule, loans more than 90 days past due with respect to principal or interest are classified as nonaccrual loans. However, certain loans that are more than 90 days past due may be kept on an accruing status if the loans are well secured and/or in the process of collection. The Company may also put a junior lien mortgage on nonaccrual status as a

result of delinquency with respect to the first position, which is held by another financial institution, while the junior lien is currently performing. Income accruals are suspended on all nonaccrual loans and all previously accrued and uncollected interest is reversed against current income. A loan remains on nonaccrual status until it becomes current with respect to principal and interest (and in certain instances remains current for up to six months), the loan is liquidated, or when the loan is determined to be uncollectible and is charged-off against the allowance for loan losses.

Troubled Debt Restructurings In the course of resolving problem loans, the Company may choose to restructure the contractual terms of certain loans. The Company attempts to work out an alternative payment schedule with the borrower in order to avoid or cure a default. Loans that are modified are reviewed by the Company to identify if a TDR has occurred, which is when, for economic or legal reasons related to a borrower's financial difficulties, the Bank grants a concession to the borrower that it would not otherwise consider. Terms may be modified to fit the ability of the borrower to repay in line with its current financial status and the restructuring of the loan may include adjustments to interest rates, extensions of maturity, consumer loans where the borrower's obligations have been effectively discharged through Chapter 7 Bankruptcy and the borrower has not reaffirmed the debt to the Bank, and other actions intended to minimize economic loss and avoid foreclosure or repossession of collateral. If such efforts by the Bank do not result in satisfactory performance, the loan is referred to legal counsel, at which time foreclosure proceedings are initiated. At any time prior to a sale of the property at foreclosure, the Bank may terminate foreclosure proceedings if the borrower is able to work out a satisfactory payment plan.

It is the Company's policy to have any restructured loans which are on nonaccrual status prior to being modified remain on nonaccrual status for six months, subsequent to being modified, before management considers its return to accrual status. If the restructured loan is on accrual status prior to being modified, it is reviewed to determine if the modified loan should remain on accrual status. Loans that are considered TDRs are classified as performing, unless they are on nonaccrual status or greater than 90 days delinquent. Loans classified as TDRs remain classified as such for the life of the loan, except in limited circumstances, when it may be determined that the borrower is performing under modified terms and the restructuring agreement specified an interest rate greater than or equal to an acceptable market rate for a comparable new loan at the time of the restructuring.

Purchased Credit Impaired Loans Purchased Credit Impaired ("PCI") loans are acquired loans which had evidence of deterioration in credit quality at the purchase date and for which it is probable that all contractually required payments will not be collected. PCI loans are recorded at fair value without any carryover of the allowance for loan losses. The excess cash flows expected to be collected over the carrying amount of the loans, referred to as the "accretable yield," is accreted into interest income over the life of the loans using the effective yield method. Accordingly, PCI loans are not subject to classification as nonaccrual in the same manner as originated loans, rather they are generally considered to be accruing loans because their interest income recognized relates to the accretable yield and not to contractual interest payments. See *Note 4, "Loans, Allowance for Loan Losses, and Credit Quality"* within Condensed Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 1 hereof for more information.

Nonperforming Assets Nonperforming assets are comprised of nonaccrual loans, loans past due 90 days or more but still accruing interest, and other real estate owned ("OREO").

OREO consists of real estate properties, which have primarily served as collateral to secure loans, that are controlled or owned by the Bank. These properties are recorded at fair value less estimated costs to sell at the date control is established, resulting in a new cost basis. The amount by which the recorded investment in the loan exceeds the fair value (net of estimated costs to sell) of the foreclosed asset is charged to the allowance for loan losses. Subsequent declines in the fair value of the foreclosed asset below the new cost basis are recorded through the use of a valuation allowance. Subsequent increases in the fair value are recorded as reductions in the valuation allowance, but not below zero. All costs incurred thereafter in maintaining the property are generally charged to noninterest expense. In the event the real estate is utilized as a rental property, net rental income and expenses are recorded as incurred within noninterest expense.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth information regarding nonperforming assets held by the Company at the dates indicated:

Table 3 - Nonperforming Assets

	September 30, 2018	December 31, 2017	September 30, 2017	
	(Dollars in thousands)			
Loans accounted for on a nonaccrual basis				
Commercial and industrial	\$28,742	\$32,055	\$32,556	
Commercial real estate	1,960	3,123	3,052	
Small business	191	230	403	
Residential real estate	8,076	8,129	8,297	
Home equity	6,367	6,022	5,903	
Other consumer	49	71	59	
Total (1)	\$45,385	\$49,630	\$50,270	
Loans past due 90 days or more but still accruing				
Other consumer	9	8	7	
Total	\$9	\$8	\$7	
Total nonperforming loans	\$45,394	\$49,638	\$50,277	
Other real estate owned	190	612	2,898	
Total nonperforming assets	\$45,584	\$50,250	\$53,175	
Nonperforming loans as a percent of gross loans	0.70	% 0.78	% 0.80	%
Nonperforming assets as a percent of total assets	0.54	% 0.62	% 0.66	%

(1) Inclusive of TDRs on nonaccrual status of \$3.4 million, \$6.1 million, and \$5.8 million at September 30, 2018, December 31, 2017, and September 30, 2017, respectively.

The following table summarizes the changes in nonperforming assets for the periods indicated:

Table 4 - Activity in Nonperforming Assets

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30, 2018	September 30, 2017	September 30, 2018	September 30, 2017
	(Dollars in thousands)			
Nonperforming assets beginning balance	\$47,357	\$54,812	\$50,250	\$61,580
New to nonperforming	4,984	3,573	10,627	11,140
Loans charged-off	(847)	(817)	(2,009)	(5,523)
Loans paid-off	(4,932)	(3,679)	(9,833)	(9,548)
Loans transferred to other real estate owned and foreclosed assets	—	(107)	—	(564)
Loans restored to performing status	(921)	(557)	(3,101)	(2,828)
New to other real estate owned	—	107	—	564
Valuation write down	—	(238)	—	(333)
Sale of other real estate owned	—	—	(254)	(1,505)
Other	(57)	81	(96)	192
Nonperforming assets ending balance	\$45,584	\$53,175	\$45,584	\$53,175

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth information regarding troubled debt restructured loans as of the dates indicated:

Table 5 - Troubled Debt Restructurings

	September 30, 2018	December 31, 2017	September 30, 2017	
	(Dollars in thousands)			
Performing troubled debt restructurings	\$24,554	\$25,852	\$26,731	
Nonaccrual troubled debt restructurings	3,370	6,067	5,776	
Total	\$27,924	\$31,919	\$32,507	
Performing troubled debt restructurings as a % of total loans	0.38	% 0.41	% 0.42	%
Nonaccrual troubled debt restructurings as a % of total loans	0.05	% 0.10	% 0.09	%
Total troubled debt restructurings as a % of total loans	0.43	% 0.50	% 0.51	%

The following table summarizes changes in TDRs for the periods indicated:

Table 6 - Activity in Troubled Debt Restructurings

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30 2018	September 30 2017	September 30 2018	September 30 2017
	(Dollars in thousands)			
TDRs beginning balance	\$29,623	\$32,636	\$31,919	\$32,292
New to TDR status	432	799	1,045	4,006
Transfer to OREO	—	(107)	—	(322)
Paydowns	(1,952)	(821)	(4,612)	(3,450)
Charge-offs	(179)	—	(428)	(19)
TDRs ending balance	\$27,924	\$32,507	\$27,924	\$32,507

Income accruals are suspended on all nonaccrual loans and all previously accrued and uncollected interest is reversed against current income. The table below shows interest income that was recognized or collected on all nonaccrual loans and TDRs as of the dates indicated:

Table 7 - Interest Income - Nonaccrual Loans and Troubled Debt Restructurings

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	2018	2017	2018	2017
	(Dollars in thousands)			
The amount of incremental gross interest income that would have been recorded if nonaccrual loans had been current in accordance with their original terms	\$650	\$639	\$1,918	\$1,670
The amount of interest income on nonaccrual loans and performing TDRs that was included in net income	\$519	\$434	\$1,301	\$1,148

A loan is considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Bank will be unable to collect the scheduled payments of principal or interest when due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Factors considered by management in determining impairment include payment status, collateral value, and the probability of collecting scheduled principal and interest payments when due. Loans that experience insignificant payment delays and payment shortfalls generally are not classified as impaired. Management determines the significance of payment delays and payment

Table of Contents

shortfalls on a case-by-case basis, taking into consideration all of the circumstances surrounding the loan and the borrower, including the length of the delay, the reasons for the delay, the borrower's prior payment record, and the amount of the shortfall in relation to the principal and interest owed.

Impaired loans include all commercial and industrial loans, commercial real estate loans, commercial construction and small business loans that are on nonaccrual status, TDRs, and other loans that have been categorized as impaired. Impairment is measured on a loan by loan basis by comparing the loan's value to either the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate, the loan's obtainable market price, or the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent. For impaired loans deemed collateral dependent, where impairment is measured using the fair value of the collateral, the Bank will either order a new appraisal or use another available source of collateral assessment such as a broker's opinion of value to determine a reasonable estimate of the fair value of the collateral.

Total impaired loans at September 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017 were \$64.0 million and \$72.8 million, respectively. For additional information regarding the Company's asset quality, including delinquent loans, nonaccruals, TDRs, and impaired loans, see *Note 4, "Loans, Allowance for Loan Losses, and Credit Quality"* within Condensed Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 1 hereof.

Potential problem loans are any loans which are not included in nonaccrual or nonperforming loans, where known information about possible credit problems of the borrowers causes management to have concerns as to the ability of such borrowers to comply with present loan repayment terms. At September 30, 2018, there were 49 relationships, with an aggregate balance of \$44.7 million, deemed to be potential problem loans. These potential problem loans continued to perform with respect to payments. Management actively monitors these loans and strives to minimize any possible adverse impact to the Company.

Allowance for Loan Losses The allowance for loan losses is maintained at a level that management considers appropriate to provide for probable loan losses based upon evaluation of known and inherent risks in the loan portfolio. The allowance is increased by providing for loan losses through a charge to expense and by credits for recoveries of loans previously charged-off and is reduced by loans being charged-off.

While management uses available information to recognize losses on loans, future additions to the allowance may be necessary based on increases in nonperforming loans, changes in economic conditions, or for other reasons.

Additionally, various regulatory agencies, as an integral part of the Bank's examination process, periodically assess the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses to assess whether the allowance was determined in accordance with GAAP and applicable guidance.

The allowance for loan losses is allocated to loan types using both a formula-based approach applied to groups of loans and an analysis of certain individual loans for impairment. The formula-based approach emphasizes loss factors derived from actual historical portfolio loss rates, which are combined with an assessment of certain qualitative factors to determine the allowance amounts allocated to the various loan categories. Allowance amounts are determined based on an estimate of the historical average annual percentage rate of loan loss for each loan category, an estimate of the incurred loss emergence and confirmation period for each loan category, and certain qualitative risk factors considered in the computation of the allowance for loan losses. Additionally, the Company reviews numerous credit quality indicators when assessing the risk in its loan portfolio. For the commercial portfolio, the Company utilizes a 10-point commercial risk-rating system, which assigns a risk-grade to each borrower based on a number of quantitative and qualitative factors associated with a commercial loan transaction. Factors considered include industry and market conditions, position within the industry, earnings trends, operating cash flow, asset/liability values, debt capacity, guarantor strength, management and controls, financial reporting, collateral and other considerations.

As of September 30, 2018, the allowance for loan losses totaled \$63.2 million, or 0.97% of total loans, as compared to \$60.6 million, or 0.95% of total loans, at December 31, 2017.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes changes in the allowance for loan losses and other selected statistics for the periods presented:

Table 8 - Summary of Changes in the Allowance for Loan Losses

	Three Months Ended				
	September 30, 2018	June 30, 2018	March 31, 2018	December 31, 2017	September 30, 2017
	(Dollars in thousands)				
Average total loans	\$6,500,907	\$6,432,287	\$6,334,295	\$6,308,374	\$6,275,200
Allowance for loan losses, beginning of period	\$62,557	\$60,862	\$60,643	\$59,710	\$59,479
Charged-off loans					
Commercial and industrial	218	4	133	176	124
Commercial real estate	82	—	—	39	—
Small business	111	102	24	44	164
Residential real estate	—	109	39	25	43
Home equity	87	95	79	59	81
Other consumer	349	259	318	343	405
Total charged-off loans	847	569	593	686	817
Recoveries on loans previously charged-off					
Commercial and industrial	108	59	12	11	404
Commercial real estate	29	18	20	42	286
Small business	10	10	9	18	17
Residential real estate	9	1	2	2	15
Home equity	71	23	34	31	65
Other consumer	223	153	235	215	261
Total recoveries	450	264	312	319	1,048
Net loans charged-off (recovered)					
Commercial and industrial	110	(55)	121	165	(280)
Commercial real estate	53	(18)	(20)	(3)	(286)
Small business	101	92	15	26	147
Residential real estate	(9)	108	37	23	28
Home equity	16	72	45	28	16
Other consumer	126	106	83	128	144
Total net loans charged-off (recovered)	397	305	281	367	(231)
Provision for loan losses	1,075	2,000	500	1,300	—
Total allowance for loan losses, end of period	\$63,235	\$62,557	\$60,862	\$60,643	\$59,710
Net loans charged-off (recovered) as a percent of average total loans (annualized)	0.02	% 0.02	% 0.02	% 0.02	% (0.01)
Allowance for loan losses as a percent of total loans	0.97	% 0.97	% 0.96	% 0.95	% 0.95
Allowance for loan losses as a percent of nonperforming loans	139.30	% 132.78	% 127.56	% 122.17	% 118.76

For purposes of the allowance for loan losses, management segregates the loan portfolio into the portfolio segments detailed in the table below. The allocation of the allowance for loan losses is made to each loan category using the analytical techniques and estimation methods described herein. While these amounts represent management's best estimate of the distribution of probable losses at the evaluation dates, they are not necessarily indicative of either the categories in which actual losses may occur or the extent of such actual losses that may be recognized within each category. Each of these loan categories possess unique risk

Table of Contents

characteristics that are considered when determining the appropriate level of allowance for each segment. The total allowance is available to absorb losses from any segment of the loan portfolio.

The following table sets forth the allocation of the allowance for loan losses by loan category at the dates indicated:

Table 9 - Summary of Allocation of Allowance for Loan Losses

	September 30, 2018		December 31, 2017			
	Allowance Amount	Percent of Loans In Category To Total Loans		Allowance Amount	Percent of Loans In Category To Total Loans	
	(Dollars in thousands)					
Commercial and industrial	\$15,108	15.4	%	\$13,256	14.0	%
Commercial real estate	32,268	47.9	%	31,453	48.9	%
Commercial construction	5,027	5.4	%	5,698	6.3	%
Small business	1,768	2.3	%	1,577	2.1	%
Residential real estate	3,071	12.3	%	2,822	11.9	%
Home equity	5,554	16.5	%	5,390	16.6	%
Other consumer	439	0.2	%	447	0.2	%
Total allowance for loan losses	\$63,235	100.0	%	\$60,643	100.0	%

To determine if a loan should be charged-off, all possible sources of repayment are analyzed. Possible sources of repayment include the potential for future cash flows, the value of the Bank's collateral, and the strength of co-makers or guarantors. When available information confirms that specific loans or portions thereof are uncollectible, these amounts are promptly charged-off against the allowance for loan losses and any recoveries of such previously charged-off amounts are credited to the allowance.

Regardless of whether a loan is unsecured or collateralized, the Company charges off the amount of any confirmed loan loss in the period when the loans, or portions of loans, are deemed uncollectible. For troubled, collateral-dependent loans, loss-confirming events may include an appraisal or other valuation that reflects a shortfall between the value of the collateral and the carrying value of the loan or receivable, or a deficiency balance following the sale of the collateral.

For additional information regarding the Company's allowance for loan losses, see *Note 4, "Loans, Allowance for Loan Losses, and Credit Quality"* within Condensed Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 1 hereof.

Table of Contents

Federal Home Loan Bank Stock The Bank held an investment in Federal Home Loan Bank (“FHLB”) of Boston of \$13.1 million and \$11.6 million at September 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017, respectively. The FHLB is a cooperative that provides services to its member banking institutions. The primary reason for the FHLB of Boston membership is to gain access to a reliable source of wholesale funding, particularly term funding, as a tool to manage interest rate risk. The purchase of stock in the FHLB is a requirement for a member to gain access to funding. The Company purchases and/or is subject to redemption of FHLB stock proportional to the volume of funding received and views the holdings as a necessary long-term investment for the purposes of balance sheet liquidity and not for investment return.

Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets Goodwill and other intangible assets were \$239.2 million and \$241.1 million as of September 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017, respectively. The decrease in 2018 was due to amortization of definite-lived intangibles.

The Company typically performs its annual goodwill impairment testing during the third quarter of the year, unless certain indicators suggest earlier testing to be warranted. Accordingly, the Company performed its annual goodwill impairment testing during the third quarter of 2018 and determined that the Company's goodwill was not impaired. Other intangible assets are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the assets may not be recoverable. There were no events or changes that indicated impairment of other intangible assets.

Cash Surrender Value of Life Insurance Policies The Bank holds life insurance policies for the purpose of offsetting its future obligations to its employees under its retirement and benefits plans. The cash surrender value of life insurance policies was \$153.2 million and \$151.5 million at September 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017, respectively. The Company recorded tax exempt income from life insurance policies of \$984,000 and \$1.0 million for the three months ended September 30, 2018 and 2017, respectively, and \$2.9 million and \$3.0 million for the nine month periods ended September 30, 2018 and 2017, respectively. In addition, the Company received proceeds on life insurance policies in the amount of \$2.9 million during the third quarter of 2018, resulting in a gain of \$1.5 million.

Deposits As of September 30, 2018, total deposits were \$7.0 billion, representing a \$247.0 million, or 3.7%, increase from December 31, 2017. The Company experienced growth in all categories with a continued emphasis on core deposits, which represent 90.25% of total deposits as of September 30, 2018. The total cost of deposits was 0.30% and 0.20% for the three months ended September 30, 2018 and 2017, respectively, and 0.27% and 0.19%, for the nine months ended September 30, 2018 and 2017, respectively.

The Company also participates in the Promontory Interfinancial Network, allowing the Bank to provide easy access to multi-million dollar Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (“FDIC”) deposit insurance protection on certificate of deposit and money market investments for consumers, businesses and public entities. This channel allows the Company to seek additional funding in potentially large quantities by attracting deposits from outside the Bank’s core market and amounted to \$51.7 million and \$48.5 million, at September 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017, respectively. During the second quarter of 2018, the Economic Growth, Regulatory Relief, and Consumer Protection Act was promulgated and determined that reciprocal deposits, such as those acquired through the Promontory Interfinancial Network, were no longer to be treated as brokered deposits. Accordingly, these amounts are no longer included in the total brokered amounts reported by the Company.

In addition, the Company may occasionally raise funds through the use of brokered deposits outside of the Promontory Interfinancial Network, which amounted to \$6.0 million at both September 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017.

Table of Contents

Borrowings The Company's borrowings consist of both short-term and long-term borrowings and provide the Bank with one of its primary sources of funding. Maintaining available borrowing capacity provides the Bank with a contingent source of liquidity.

The Company's borrowings, net of applicable debt issuance costs, consisted of the following as of the periods indicated:

Table 10 - Borrowings

	September 30, 2018	December 31, 2017
	(Dollars in thousands)	
Federal Home Loan Bank borrowings	\$50,767	\$53,264
Short-term borrowings - one year and under (1)		
Customer repurchase agreements	141,176	162,679
Long-term borrowings - over one year (1)		
Junior subordinated debentures:		
Capital Trust V	51,504	51,503
Slades Ferry Trust I	10,233	10,229
Central Trust I	5,258	5,258
Central Trust II	6,083	6,083
Subordinated debentures	34,717	34,682
Total long-term borrowings	\$107,795	\$107,755
Total borrowings	\$299,738	\$323,698

(1) Classification is based upon duration at origination and not predicated upon remaining time to maturity.

The Bank had \$2.9 billion of assets pledged as collateral against borrowings at both September 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017. These assets are primarily pledged to the FHLB of Boston and the Federal Reserve Bank of Boston. Assets are also pledged as collateral for customer repurchase agreements.

Table of Contents

Capital Resources On September 20, 2018, the Company's Board of Directors declared a cash dividend of \$0.38 per share to stockholders of record as of the close of business on October 1, 2018. This dividend was paid on October 5, 2018.

The Company and the Bank are subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the federal banking agencies. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory and possibly additional discretionary actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on the Company's financial statements. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, the Company and the Bank must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of the Company's and the Bank's assets, liabilities and certain off-balance sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. The capital amounts and classification are also subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators about components, risk weightings and other factors.

Quantitative measures established by regulation to ensure capital adequacy require the Company and the Bank to maintain minimum amounts and ratios (set forth in the table below) of Total, Tier 1 Capital and Common Equity Tier 1 Capital (as defined for regulatory purposes) to risk weighted assets (as defined for regulatory purposes) and Tier 1 Capital to average assets (as defined for regulatory purposes). At September 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017, the Company and the Bank exceeded the minimum requirements for all applicable ratios that were in effect during the respective periods. The Company's and the Bank's capital amounts and ratios are presented in the following table, along with the applicable minimum requirements for each period indicated:

Table 11 - Company and Bank's Capital Amounts and Ratios

	Actual		For Capital Adequacy Purposes		To Be Well Capitalized Under Prompt Corrective Action Provisions	
	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
September 30, 2018 (Dollars in thousands)						
Company (consolidated)						
Total capital (to risk weighted assets)	\$954,622	14.58 %	\$523,794	≥8.0 %	N/A	N/A
Common equity tier 1 capital (to risk weighted assets)	784,427	11.98 %	294,634	≥4.5 %	N/A	N/A
Tier 1 capital (to risk weighted assets)	855,427	13.07 %	392,845	≥6.0 %	N/A	N/A
Tier 1 capital (to average assets)	855,427	10.49 %	335,151	≥4.0 %	N/A	N/A
Bank						
Total capital (to risk weighted assets)	\$902,696	13.79 %	\$523,579	≥8.0 %	\$654,474	≥10.00 %
Common equity tier 1 capital (to risk weighted assets)	838,218	12.81 %	294,513	≥4.5 %	425,408	≥6.50 %
Tier 1 capital (to risk weighted assets)	838,218	12.81 %	392,684	≥6.0 %	523,579	≥8.00 %
Tier 1 capital (to average assets)	838,218	10.28 %	326,079	≥4.0 %	407,598	≥5.00 %
December 31, 2017 (Dollars in thousands)						
Company (consolidated)						
Total capital (to risk weighted assets)	\$886,807	13.82 %	\$513,398	≥8.0 %	N/A	N/A
Common equity tier 1 capital (to risk weighted assets)	718,995	11.20 %	288,787	≥4.5 %	N/A	N/A
Tier 1 capital (to risk weighted assets)	789,992	12.31 %	385,049	≥6.0 %	N/A	N/A
Tier 1 capital (to average assets)	789,992	10.04 %	314,756	≥4.0 %	N/A	N/A
Bank						
Total capital (to risk weighted assets)	\$846,147	13.19 %	\$513,175	≥8.0 %	\$641,469	≥10.00 %
Common equity tier 1 capital	784,014	12.22 %	288,661	≥4.5 %	416,955	≥6.50 %

Explanation of Responses:

Edgar Filing: Thomson David - Form 4

(to risk weighted assets)

Tier 1 capital (to risk weighted assets)	784,014	12.22%	384,881	≥6.0%	513,175	≥8.00%
Tier 1 capital (to average assets)	784,014	9.97%	314,630	≥4.0%	393,288	≥5.00%

77

Table of Contents

In addition to the minimum risk-based capital requirements outlined in the table above, the Company is required to maintain a minimum capital conservation buffer, in the form of common equity, in order to avoid restrictions on capital distributions and discretionary bonuses. The required amount of the capital conservation buffer is being phased-in, beginning at 0.625% on January 1, 2016 and ultimately increasing to 2.5% on January 1, 2019. At September 30, 2018 the Company's capital levels exceeded the fully-phased in buffer of 2.5%.

Dividend Restrictions In the ordinary course of business, the Company is dependent upon dividends from the Bank to provide funds for the payment of dividends to shareholders and to provide for other cash requirements. Banking regulations may limit the amount of dividends that may be paid. Approval by regulatory authorities is required if the effect of dividends declared would cause the regulatory capital of the Bank to fall below specified minimum levels. Approval is also required if dividends declared exceed the net profits for that year combined with the retained net profits for the preceding two years. Under the foregoing dividend restrictions and while maintaining its "well capitalized" status, dividends paid by the Bank to the Company totaled \$15.5 million and \$11.8 million for the three months ended September 30, 2018 and 2017, respectively, and totaled \$44.5 million and \$35.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2018 and 2017, respectively.

Trust Preferred Securities In accordance with the applicable accounting standard related to variable interest entities, the common stock of trusts which have issued trust preferred securities has not been included in the consolidated financial statements of the Company. At both September 30, 2018 and 2017, \$71.0 million in trust preferred securities have been included in the Tier 1 capital of the Company for regulatory reporting purposes pursuant to the Federal Reserve's capital adequacy guidelines.

Community Reinvestment Act In September 2017, the FDIC and the Massachusetts Division of Banks assigned the Bank a CRA rating of Satisfactory.

Investment Management As of September 30, 2018, the Rockland Trust Investment Management Group had assets under administration of \$3.6 billion, representing 5,710 trust, fiduciary, and agency accounts. At December 31, 2017, assets under administration were \$3.5 billion, representing approximately 5,616 trust, fiduciary, and agency accounts. Included in these amounts as of September 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017 are assets under administration of \$307.2 million and \$303.7 million, respectively, relating to the Company's registered investment advisor, Bright Rock Capital Management, LLC, which provides investment management services to institutional and high net worth clients. Revenue from the Investment Management Group was \$5.9 million and \$5.4 million for the three months ended September 30, 2018 and 2017, respectively, and \$17.6 million and \$16.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2018 and 2017, respectively.

Retail investments and insurance revenue was \$652,000 and \$565,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2018 and 2017, respectively, and \$2.0 million and \$1.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2018 and 2017, respectively. Retail investments and insurance revenue includes commission revenue from LPL Financial ("LPL") and its affiliates and their insurance subsidiary, LPL Insurance Associates, Inc., which offers the sale of mutual fund shares, unit investment trust shares, general securities, fixed and variable annuities and life insurance. Registered representatives who are both employed by the Bank and licensed and contracted with LPL are onsite to offer these products to the Bank's customer base. These same agents are also approved and appointed with various other Broker General Agents for the purposes of processing insurance solutions for clients.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following table provides a summary of results of operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2018 and 2017:

Table 12 - Summary of Results of Operations

	Three Months Ended September 30		Nine Months Ended September 30		
	2018	2017	2018	2017	
	(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)				
Net Income	\$33,015	\$23,852	\$91,688	\$65,140	
Diluted earnings per share	\$1.20	\$0.87	\$3.32	\$2.38	
Return on average assets	1.57	% 1.18	% 1.49	% 1.11	%
Return on average equity	13.19	% 10.18	% 12.60	% 9.65	%
Net interest margin	3.94	% 3.65	% 3.87	% 3.59	%

Net Interest Income The amount of net interest income is affected by changes in interest rates and by the volume, mix, and interest rate sensitivity of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities.

On a fully tax equivalent basis ("FTE"), net interest income for the third quarter of 2018 was \$76.4 million, representing an increase of \$9.0 million, or 13.3%, when compared to the third quarter of 2017. Net interest income benefited from the Company's sustained asset sensitive position.

Table of Contents

The following tables present the Company's average balances, net interest income, interest rate spread, and net interest margin for the three and nine months ending September 30, 2018 and 2017, respectively. Nontaxable income from loans and securities is presented on a FTE basis by adjusting tax-exempt income upward by an amount equivalent to the prevailing income taxes that would have been paid if the income had been fully taxable.

Table 13 - Average Balance, Interest Earned/Paid & Average Yields Quarter-to-Date

	Three Months Ended September 30					
	2018			2017		
	Average Balance	Interest Earned/Paid	Yield/Rate	Average Balance	Interest Earned/Paid	Yield/Rate
(Dollars in thousands)						
Interest-earning assets						
Interest-earning deposits with banks, federal funds sold, and short term investments	\$ 180,802	\$ 916	2.01 %	\$ 132,327	\$ 417	1.25 %
Securities						
Securities - trading	1,608	—	— %	1,299	—	— %
Securities - taxable investments	1,005,787	6,664	2.63 %	908,560	5,642	2.46 %
Securities - nontaxable investments (1)	1,992	18	3.58 %	2,817	29	4.08 %
Total securities	\$ 1,009,387	\$ 6,682	2.63 %	\$ 912,676	\$ 5,671	2.47 %
Loans held for sale	8,340	61	2.90 %	5,766	33	2.27 %
Loans (2)						
Commercial and industrial	975,980	11,936	4.85 %	868,358	9,173	4.19 %
Commercial real estate (1)	3,144,613	37,048	4.67 %	3,104,098	32,875	4.20 %
Commercial construction	356,091	4,572	5.09 %	365,143	4,177	4.54 %
Small business	147,518	2,183	5.87 %	130,275	1,828	5.57 %
Total commercial	4,624,202	55,739	4.78 %	4,467,874	48,053	4.27 %
Residential real estate	792,154	7,959	3.99 %	749,813	7,656	4.05 %
Home equity	1,071,511	11,457	4.24 %	1,046,894	10,081	3.82 %
Total consumer real estate	1,863,665	19,416	4.13 %	1,796,707	17,737	3.92 %
Other consumer	13,040	244	7.42 %	10,619	241	9.00 %
Total loans	\$ 6,500,907	\$ 75,399	4.60 %	\$ 6,275,200	\$ 66,031	4.17 %
Total interest-earning assets	\$ 7,699,436	\$ 83,058	4.28 %	\$ 7,325,969	\$ 72,152	3.91 %
Cash and due from banks	106,273			100,228		
Federal Home Loan Bank stock	13,107			12,734		
Other assets	547,296			567,297		
Total assets	\$ 8,366,112			\$ 8,006,228		
Interest-bearing liabilities						
Deposits						
Savings and interest checking accounts	\$ 2,654,157	\$ 1,433	0.21 %	\$ 2,562,557	\$ 992	0.15 %
Money market	1,373,594	2,056	0.59 %	1,309,457	1,171	0.35 %
Time deposits	652,638	1,762	1.07 %	611,080	1,168	0.76 %
Total interest-bearing deposits	\$ 4,680,389	\$ 5,251	0.45 %	\$ 4,483,094	\$ 3,331	0.29 %
Borrowings						
Federal Home Loan Bank borrowings	\$ 50,770	\$ 248	1.94 %	\$ 53,926	\$ 302	2.22 %
Customer repurchase agreements	148,575	75	0.20 %	172,387	67	0.15 %
Junior subordinated debentures	73,077	640	3.47 %	73,070	578	3.14 %
Subordinated debentures	34,711	427	4.88 %	34,664	427	4.89 %
Total borrowings	\$ 307,133	\$ 1,390	1.80 %	\$ 334,047	\$ 1,374	1.63 %

Edgar Filing: Thomson David - Form 4

Table of Contents

Total interest-bearing liabilities	\$4,987,522	\$6,641	0.53%	\$4,817,141	\$4,705	0.39%
Demand deposits	2,300,943			2,174,600		
Other liabilities	84,442			84,782		
Total liabilities	\$7,372,907			\$7,076,523		
Stockholders' equity	993,205			929,705		
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$8,366,112			\$8,006,228		
Net interest income (1)		\$76,417			\$67,447	
Interest rate spread (3)			3.75%			3.52%
Net interest margin (4)			3.94%			3.65%
Supplemental information						
Total deposits, including demand deposits	\$6,981,332	\$5,251		\$6,657,694	\$3,331	
Cost of total deposits			0.30%			0.20%
Total funding liabilities, including demand deposits	\$7,288,465	\$6,641		\$6,991,741	\$4,705	
Cost of total funding liabilities			0.36%			0.27%

- The total amount of adjustment to present interest income and yield on a FTE basis is \$183,000 and \$374,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2018 and 2017, respectively. The FTE adjustment relates to nontaxable investment securities with average balances of \$2.0 million and \$2.8 million and nontaxable
- (1) industrial development bonds recorded within commercial real estate with average balances of \$57.4 million and \$69.3 million, for the three months ended September 30, 2018 and 2017, respectively.
 - (2) Average nonaccruing loans are included in loans.
 - (3) Interest rate spread represents the difference between the weighted average yield on interest-earning assets and the weighted average cost of interest-bearing liabilities.
 - (4) Net interest margin represents annualized net interest income as a percentage of average interest-earning assets.

Table of Contents**Table 14 - Average Balance, Interest Earned/Paid & Average Yields Year-to-Date**

	Nine Months Ended September 30			2017		
	2018			2017		
	Average Balance	Interest Earned/Paid	Yield/Rate	Average Balance	Interest Earned/Paid	Yield/Rate
	(Dollars in thousands)					
Interest-earning assets						
Interest-earning deposits with banks, federal funds sold, and short-term investments	\$ 128,646	\$ 1,768	1.84 %	\$ 103,437	\$ 814	1.05 %
Securities						
Securities - trading	1,547	—	— %	1,198	—	— %
Securities - equity						
Securities - taxable investments	988,885	19,381	2.62 %	894,809	16,618	2.48 %
Securities - nontaxable investments (1)	2,152	58	3.60 %	3,462	109	4.21 %
Total securities	\$ 992,584	\$ 19,439	2.62 %	\$ 899,469	\$ 16,727	2.49 %
Loans held for sale	5,291	110	2.78 %	4,086	68	2.23 %
Loans (2)						
Commercial and industrial	933,163	32,667	4.68 %	881,387	26,913	4.08 %
Commercial real estate (1)	3,115,076	105,511	4.53 %	3,054,336	94,057	4.12 %
Commercial construction	390,061	14,499	4.97 %	353,134	11,859	4.49 %
Small business	139,523	6,053	5.80 %	127,938	5,284	5.52 %
Total commercial	4,577,823	158,730	4.64 %	4,416,795	138,113	4.18 %
Residential real estate	772,663	23,121	4.00 %	699,793	20,779	3.97 %
Home equity	1,061,280	32,492	4.09 %	1,024,164	28,233	3.69 %
Total consumer real estate	1,833,943	55,613	4.05 %	1,723,957	49,012	3.80 %
Other consumer	11,340	669	7.89 %	10,828	722	8.91 %
Total loans	\$ 6,423,106	\$ 215,012	4.48 %	\$ 6,151,580	\$ 187,847	4.08 %
Total interest-earning assets	\$ 7,549,627	\$ 236,329	4.19 %	\$ 7,158,572	\$ 205,456	3.84 %
Cash and due from banks	101,642			97,457		
Federal Home Loan Bank stock	13,174			13,180		
Other assets	546,276			553,129		
Total assets	\$ 8,210,719			\$ 7,822,338		
Interest-bearing liabilities						
Deposits						
Savings and interest checking accounts	\$ 2,632,311	\$ 3,819	0.19 %	\$ 2,536,954	\$ 2,604	0.14 %
Money market	1,357,488	5,087	0.50 %	1,285,492	2,963	0.31 %
Time deposits	646,055	4,867	1.01 %	618,518	3,443	0.74 %
Total interest-bearing deposits	\$ 4,635,854	\$ 13,773	0.40 %	\$ 4,440,964	\$ 9,010	0.27 %
Borrowings						
Federal Home Loan Bank borrowings	\$ 62,055	803	1.73 %	\$ 61,206	\$ 1,123	2.45 %
Customer repurchase agreements	149,174	205	0.18 %	161,850	178	0.15 %
Junior subordinated debentures	73,076	1,855	3.39 %	73,074	1,697	3.10 %
Subordinated debentures	34,699	1,282	4.94 %	34,652	1,282	4.95 %
Total borrowings	\$ 319,004	\$ 4,145	1.74 %	\$ 330,782	\$ 4,280	1.73 %
Total interest-bearing liabilities	\$ 4,954,858	\$ 17,918	0.48 %	\$ 4,771,746	\$ 13,290	0.37 %
Demand deposits	2,202,305			2,063,668		

Table of Contents

Other liabilities	80,964		84,063	
Total liabilities	\$7,238,127		\$6,919,477	
Stockholders' equity	972,592		902,861	
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$8,210,719		\$7,822,338	
Net interest income (1)		\$218,411		\$192,166
Interest rate spread (3)			3.71%	3.47%
Net interest margin (4)			3.87%	3.59%
Supplemental information				
Total deposit, including demand deposits	\$6,838,159	\$13,773	\$6,504,632	\$9,010
Cost of total deposits			0.27%	0.19%
Total funding liabilities, including demand deposits	\$7,157,163	\$17,918	\$6,835,414	\$13,290
Cost of total funding liabilities			0.33%	0.26%

The total amount of adjustment to present interest income and yield on a FTE basis is \$538,000 and \$1.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2018 and 2017, respectively. The FTE adjustment relates to nontaxable investment securities with average balances of \$2.2 million and \$3.5 million and (1) nontaxable industrial development bonds recorded within commercial real estate with average balances of \$54.8 million and \$71.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2018 and 2017, respectively.

(2) Average nonaccruing loans are included in loans.

(3) Interest rate spread represents the difference between the weighted average yield on interest-earning assets and the weighted average cost of interest-bearing liabilities.

(4) Net interest margin represents annualized net interest income as a percentage of average interest-earning assets.

Table of Contents

The following table presents certain information on a FTE basis regarding changes in the Company's interest income and interest expense for the periods indicated. For each category of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, information is provided with respect to changes attributable to: (1) changes in rate (change in rate multiplied by prior period volume), (2) changes in volume (change in volume multiplied by old rate), and (3) changes in volume/rate (change in volume multiplied by change in rate) which is allocated to the change due to rate column:

Table 15 - Volume Rate Analysis

	Three Months Ended September 30 2018 Compared To 2017			Nine Months Ended September 30 2018 Compared To 2017		
	Change Due to Rate	Change Due to Volume	Total Change	Change Due to Rate	Change Due to Volume	Total Change
(Dollars in thousands)						
Income on interest-earning assets						
Interest earning deposits, federal funds sold and short term investments	\$346	\$153	\$499	\$756	\$198	\$954
Securities						
Securities - taxable investments	418	604	1,022	1,016	1,747	2,763
Securities - nontaxable investments (1)	(3)	(8)	(11)	(10)	(41)	(51)
Total securities			1,011			2,712
Loans held for sale	13	15	28	22	20	42
Loans						
Commercial and industrial	1,626	1,137	2,763	4,173	1,581	5,754
Commercial real estate (1)	3,744	429	4,173	9,584	1,870	11,454
Commercial construction	499	(104)	395	1,400	1,240	2,640
Small business	113	242	355	291	478	769
Total commercial			7,686			20,617
Residential real estate	(129)	432	303	178	2,164	2,342
Home equity	1,139	237	1,376	3,236	1,023	4,259
Total consumer real estate			1,679			6,601
Other consumer	(52)	55	3	(87)	34	(53)
Total loans (1)(2)			9,368			27,165
Total income of interest-earning assets			\$10,906			\$30,873
Expense of interest-bearing liabilities						
Deposits						
Savings and interest checking accounts	\$406	\$35	\$441	\$1,117	\$98	\$1,215
Money market	828	57	885	1,958	166	2,124
Time certificates of deposits	515	79	594	1,271	153	1,424
Total interest bearing deposits			1,920			4,763
Borrowings						
Federal Home Loan Bank borrowings	(36)	(18)	(54)	(336)	16	(320)
Customer repurchase agreements and other short-term borrowings	17	(9)	8	41	(14)	27
Junior subordinated debentures	62	—	62	158	—	158
Subordinated debentures	(1)	1	—	(2)	2	—
Total borrowings			16			(135)
Total expense of interest-bearing liabilities			1,936			4,628
Change in net interest income			\$8,970			\$26,245

(1) The table above reflects income determined on a FTE basis. See footnote (1) to table 13 and 14 above for the related adjustments.

(2)

Edgar Filing: Thomson David - Form 4

Loans include portfolio loans and nonaccrual loans; however, unpaid interest on nonaccrual loans has not been included for purposes of determining interest income.

84

Table of Contents

Provision For Loan Losses The provision for loan losses represents the charge to expense that is required to maintain an appropriate level of allowance for loan losses. The provision for loan losses was \$1.1 million and \$3.6 million, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2018, respectively, as compared to zero and \$1.7 million for the comparable year-ago periods. The Company's allowance for loan losses, as a percentage of total loans, was 0.97% at September 30, 2018, and 0.95% at both December 31, 2017 and September 30, 2017. The Company recorded net charge-offs of \$397,000 and \$983,000 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2018, as compared to net recoveries of \$231,000 and net charge-offs of \$3.5 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2017, respectively.

Management's periodic evaluation of the appropriate allowance for loan losses considers past loan loss experience, known and inherent risks within the loan portfolio, adverse situations which may affect the borrowers' ability to repay, the estimated value of the underlying collateral, if any, and current economic conditions.

Regarding the estimated value of the underlying collateral, substantial portions of the Bank's loans are secured by real estate in Massachusetts and Rhode Island. Accordingly, the ultimate collectability of a substantial portion of the Bank's loan portfolio is susceptible to changes in property values within those states.

In general, the national and local economies expanded at a moderate pace through much of the third quarter of 2018. A tight labor market persists in the New England market with higher-skilled workers in short supply; wage increases appear mixed in the region. Retailers reported generally favorable sales trends year-over-year, however some trepidation over looming tariffs and their effects on prices and sales remains in the marketplace. Median sales prices on residential real estate have continued to increase through the busy spring and summer seasons, owing to strong demand and a tight supply of housing. Commercial real estate markets remained relatively strong, although rising construction costs due to labor shortages and material price increases have begun to place some pressure on certain projects. Leasing activity for office space remained strong in the New England region with rents remaining stable or increasing slightly. The overall outlook for the near term remains positive for the New England region.

Table of Contents

Noninterest Income The following table sets forth information regarding noninterest income for the periods shown:

Table 16 - Noninterest Income

	Three Months Ended			
	September 30		Change	
	2018	2017	Amount	%
	(Dollars in thousands)			
Deposit account fees	\$4,658	\$4,401	\$257	5.84 %
Interchange and ATM fees	4,947	4,525	422	9.33 %
Investment management	6,564	5,967	597	10.01 %
Mortgage banking income	1,222	1,338	(116)	(8.67)%
Gain on life insurance benefits	1,463	—	1,463	100.00%
Gain on sale of equity securities	4	12	(8)	(66.67)%
Increase in cash surrender value of life insurance policies	984	1,019	(35)	(3.43)%
Loan level derivative income	392	784	(392)	(50.00)%
Other noninterest income	3,030	2,724	306	11.23 %
Total	\$23,264	\$20,770	\$2,494	12.01 %

	Nine Months Ended			
	September 30		Change	
	2018	2017	Amount	%
	(Dollars in thousands)			
Deposit account fees	\$13,640	\$13,337	\$303	2.27 %
Interchange and ATM fees	13,889	12,881	1,008	7.83 %
Investment management	19,528	17,576	1,952	11.11 %
Mortgage banking income	3,130	3,609	(479)	(13.27)%
Gain on life insurance benefits	1,463	—	1,463	100.00%
Increase in cash surrender value of life insurance policies	2,929	3,000	(71)	(2.37)%
Gain on sale of equity securities	6	19	(13)	(68.42)%
Loan level derivative income	1,547	2,727	(1,180)	(43.27)%
Other noninterest income	8,882	7,931	951	11.99 %
Total	\$65,014	\$61,080	\$3,934	6.44 %

The primary reasons for the variances in the noninterest income category shown in the preceding tables include:

- Deposit account fees increased due to overall increased household accounts.
- Interchange and ATM fees have increased, driven mainly by increased account activity and customer base.
- Investment management income growth was driven primarily from growth in overall assets under administration, which were \$3.6 billion as of September 30, 2018, an increase of \$373.4 million, or 11.4%, compared to September 30, 2017.
- Mortgage banking income decreased in the current year periods due to lower volume, driven in part by a greater percentage of originations being retained within the Company's loan portfolio.
- The Company received proceeds on life insurance policies during the third quarter, resulting in a gain of \$1.5 million.
- Loan level derivative income decreased as a result of lower customer demand.
- Other noninterest income increased due to higher rental income related to equipment leasing, merchant processing income and an increase in equity securities unrealized gains.

Table of Contents

Noninterest Expense The following table sets forth information regarding non-interest expense for the periods shown:

Table 17 - Noninterest Expense

	Three Months Ended		Change		
	September 30		Amount	%	
	2018	2017			
	(Dollars in thousands)				
Salaries and employee benefits	\$31,095	\$29,289	\$1,806	6.17	%
Occupancy and equipment expenses	6,310	6,085	225	3.70	%
Data processing & facilities management	1,287	1,272	15	1.18	%
FDIC assessment	725	673	52	7.73	%
Advertising expense	1,395	1,359	36	2.65	%
Consulting expense	1,128	937	191	20.38	%
Debit card expense	755	992	(237)	(23.89)	%
Legal fees	752	1,423	(671)	(47.15)	%
Loss on sale of equity securities	—	1	(1)	(100.00)	%
Merger and acquisition expenses	2,688	—	2,688	100.00%	
Software maintenance	1,079	888	191	21.51	%
Other noninterest expenses	8,225	8,391	(166)	(1.98)	%
Total	\$55,439	\$51,310	\$4,129	8.05	%

	Nine Months Ended		Change		
	September 30		Amount	%	
	2018	2017			
	(Dollars in thousands)				
Salaries and employee benefits	\$92,483	\$86,267	\$6,216	7.21	%
Occupancy and equipment expenses	20,215	18,302	1,913	10.45	%
Data processing & facilities management	3,837	3,732	105	2.81	%
FDIC assessment	2,214	2,234	(20)	(0.90)	%
Advertising expense	3,684	4,018	(334)	(8.31)	%
Consulting expense	2,973	2,753	220	7.99	%
Debit card expense	2,405	2,616	(211)	(8.07)	%
Legal fees	2,084	2,074	10	0.48	%
Loss on sale of equity securities	—	6	(6)	(100.00)	%
Merger and acquisition expenses	3,122	3,393	(271)	(7.99)	%
Software maintenance	3,048	2,714	334	12.31	%
Other noninterest expenses	25,513	24,783	730	2.95	%
Total	\$161,578	\$152,892	\$8,686	5.68	%

The primary reasons for the variances in the noninterest expense category shown in the preceding tables include: The increase in salaries and employee benefits reflects overall increases in the employee base along with increases in expenses associated with merit and incentive programs, payroll taxes, medical insurance and post-retirement benefit costs.

Occupancy and equipment expense increases were attributable to rental expense depreciation on equipment associated with the Company's equipment leasing initiative, as well as increases in snow removal for the nine month periods.

Table of Contents

- Advertising expenses fluctuate due to timing of various campaigns and strategic initiatives.
- Debit card expense decreased due to reduced network processing costs.
- Legal fees decreased for the three month period due to loan work out costs associated with the bankruptcy of a large commercial customer in September of 2017.

Merger and acquisition expense in 2018 consists primarily of investment banker, legal, and professional fees associated with the pending acquisitions of MNB, which is anticipated to close in the fourth quarter of 2018, and BHB, which is anticipated to close in the first half of 2019. Merger and acquisition expense in 2017 is primarily related to compensation and severance agreements, as well as contract termination costs related to the Island Bancorp acquisition, which closed in the second quarter of 2017.

Income Taxes The tax effect of all income and expense transactions is recognized by the Company in each year's consolidated statements of income, regardless of the year in which the transactions are reported for income tax purposes. The following table sets forth information regarding the Company's tax provision and applicable tax rates for the periods indicated:

Table 18 - Tax Provision and Applicable Tax Rates

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30		September 30	
	2018	2017	2018	2017
	(Dollars in thousands)			
Combined federal and state income tax provision	\$9,969	\$12,681	\$26,046	\$32,426
Effective income tax rate	23.19 %	34.71 %	22.12 %	33.23 %
Blended statutory tax rate	28.22 %	40.86 %	28.22 %	40.86 %

The Company's blended statutory and effective tax rates in 2018 are lower as compared to the year ago period due primarily to the impact of the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act ("Tax Act"), which decreased the maximum Federal corporate tax rate from 35% to 21%. Additionally, the effective tax rates in the table above are lower than the blended statutory tax rates due to certain tax preference assets such as life insurance policies and tax exempt bonds, as well as federal tax credits recognized primarily in connection with the New Markets Tax Credit program and investments in low income housing project investments. Lastly, the effective tax rate includes the impact of excess tax benefits associated with stock compensation transactions and other discrete items which can fluctuate year over year.

The Company's subsidiaries have received several awards of tax credit allocation authority under the federal New Markets Tax Credit program which enable the Company to recognize federal tax credits over a seven year period totaling 39.0% of the total award. The Company recognizes federal tax credits as capital investments that are made into its subsidiaries to fund below market interest rate loans to qualifying businesses in low income communities. The following table details the remaining tax credit recognition by year associated with this program:

Table 19 - New Markets Tax Credit Recognition Schedule

Year and Amount of Investment	2018	2019	Total Remaining Credits
2012	\$21,400	\$1,285	\$—
2013	\$44,600	2,675	2,675
Total	\$66,000	\$3,960	\$2,675
			\$ 6,635

The Company invests in various low income housing projects which are real estate limited partnerships that acquire,

develop, own and operate low and moderate-income housing developments. As a limited partner in these operating partnerships, the Company will receive tax credits and tax deductions for losses incurred by the underlying properties. The investments are accounted for using the proportional amortization method and will be amortized over various periods through 2032, which represents the period that the tax credits and other tax benefits will be utilized. The total committed investment in these partnerships is \$47.5

88

Table of Contents

million, of which \$45.5 million has been funded as of September 30, 2018. It is expected that the limited partnership investments will generate a net tax benefit of approximately \$1.1 million for the full calendar year of 2018 and a total of \$6.7 million over the remaining life of the investments from the combination of the tax credits and operating losses.

Risk Management

The Company's Board of Directors and Executive Management have identified significant risk categories which affect the Company. The risk categories include: credit risk, operations risk, compliance risk, strategic and reputation risk, market risk and liquidity risk. The Board of Directors has approved an Enterprise Risk Management Policy that addresses each category of risk. The Senior Portfolio Risk Officer, Chief Financial Officer, Chief Information Officer, Director of Residential Lending, Compliance Officer, Executive Vice President of Commercial Lending and other members of management provide regular reports to the Board of Directors, identifying key risk issues and plans to mitigate key risks. The Board of Directors seeks to ensure the level of risk is maintained within limits established by both the Risk Management Policy and other previously approved policies.

Credit Risk Credit risk represents the possibility that the Company's borrowing customers or other counterparties may not repay loans or other contractual obligations according to their terms due to changes in the financial capacity, ability and willingness of such borrowing customers or counterparties to meet their obligations. In some cases, the collateral securing the payment of the loans may be sufficient to assure repayment, but in other cases the Company may experience significant credit losses which could have an adverse effect on its operating results. The Company makes various assumptions and judgments about the collectability of its loan portfolio, including the creditworthiness of its borrowers and counterparties and the value of the real estate and other assets serving as collateral for the repayment of loans. For further discussion regarding the credit risk and the credit quality of the Company's loan portfolio, see *Note 4, "Loans, Allowance for Loan Losses, and Credit Quality"* within Condensed Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 1 hereof.

Operations Risk Operations risk is the risk of loss due to human behavior, inadequate or failed internal systems and controls, and external influences such as market conditions, fraudulent activities, natural disasters and security risks. The potential for operational risk exposure exists throughout the organization. Integral to the Company's performance is the continued effectiveness of the Company's technical systems, operational infrastructure, relationships with third parties and the associates and key executives in day-to-day and ongoing operations. Failure by any or all of these resources subjects the Company to risks that may vary in size, scale and scope. These risks include, but are not limited to, operational or technical failures, unlawful tampering with technical systems, cyber security, terrorist activities, ineffectiveness or exposure due to interruption in third party support, as well as the loss of key individuals or failure on the part of the key individuals to perform properly. The Bank has an Operations Risk Management Committee that meets monthly and reports to the Board quarterly, or more frequently if warranted. The Committee is chaired by the Director of Risk Management and members of the Committee include representatives from Audit, Finance, Technology, Operations, Information Security, Compliance and periodic attendance from business units throughout the organization. An operations risk management report is updated quarterly and reviewed with the Board.

Compliance Risk Compliance risk represents the risk of regulatory sanctions or financial loss resulting from the Company's failure to comply with rules and regulations issued by the various banking agencies, the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, the NASDAQ Stock Market, and standards of good banking practice. Activities which may expose the Company to compliance risk include, but are not limited to, those dealing with the prevention of money laundering, privacy and data protection, adherence to all applicable laws and regulations, community reinvestment initiatives and employment and tax matters. Compliance risk is mitigated through the use of written policies and procedures, training of staff, and monitoring of activities for adherence to those procedures. The Bank has a Compliance Committee that meets quarterly and updates the Board and management quarterly or more frequently if warranted. The Committee is chaired by the Director of Compliance, and members of the Committee include representatives from each of the principal business lines as well as Enterprise Risk Management, Audit, Finance, Technology and Information Security.

Strategic and Reputation Risk Strategic and reputation risk represents the risk of loss due to impairment of reputation, failure to fully develop and execute business plans, and failure to assess current and new opportunities and threats in business, markets, and products. Management seeks to mitigate strategic and reputational risk through

annual strategic planning, frequent executive strategic reviews, ongoing competitive and technological observation, assessment processes of new product, new branch, and new business initiatives, adherence to ethical standards, a philosophy of customer advocacy, a structured process of customer complaint resolution, and ongoing reputational monitoring, crisis management planning, and management tools.

Market Risk Market risk is the sensitivity of income to changes in interest rates, foreign exchange rates, commodity prices and other market-driven rates or prices. Interest rate sensitivity is the most significant market risk to which the Company is exposed.

Interest rate risk is the sensitivity of income to changes in interest rates. Changes in interest rates, as well as fluctuations in the level and duration of assets and liabilities, affect net interest income, the Company's primary source of revenue. Interest

Table of Contents

rate risk arises directly from the Company's core banking activities. In addition to directly impacting net interest income, changes in the level of interest rates can also affect the amount of loans originated, the timing of cash flows on loans and securities, and the fair value of securities and derivatives, as well as other effects.

The primary goal of interest rate risk management is to control this risk within limits approved by the Board of Directors. These limits reflect the Company's tolerance for interest rate risk over both short-term and long-term horizons. The Company attempts to manage interest rate risk by identifying, quantifying, and where appropriate, hedging its exposure. If assets and liabilities do not re-price simultaneously and in equal volume, the potential for interest rate exposure exists. It is the Company's objective to maintain stability in the growth of net interest income through the maintenance of an appropriate mix of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities and, when necessary, within limits management determines to be prudent, through the use of off-balance sheet hedging instruments such as interest rate swaps, floors and caps.

The Company quantifies its interest rate exposures using net interest income simulation models, as well as simpler gap analysis, and Economic Value of Equity analysis. Key assumptions in these simulation analyses relate to behavior of interest rates and behavior of the Company's deposit and loan customers. The most material assumptions relate to the prepayment of mortgage assets (including mortgage loans and mortgage-backed securities) and the life and sensitivity of nonmaturity deposits (e.g. DDA, NOW, savings and money market). In the case of prepayment of mortgage assets, assumptions are derived from published dealer median prepayment estimates for comparable mortgage loans. The risk of prepayment tends to increase when interest rates fall. Since future prepayment behavior of loan customers is uncertain, interest rate sensitivity of loans cannot be determined exactly and actual behavior may differ from assumptions.

Based upon the net interest income simulation models, the company currently forecasts that the Bank's assets re-price faster than the liabilities. As a result, the net interest income of the Bank will benefit as market rates increase. Conversely, if rates were to fall, the net interest margin of the Bank is expected to contract. The Company runs several scenarios to quantify and effectively assist in managing this position. These scenarios include instantaneous parallel shifts in market rates as well as gradual (12-24 months) shifts in market rates.

Table of Contents

The results of all scenarios and the impact to net interest income are outlined in the table below:

Table 20 - Interest Rate Sensitivity

	September 30			
	2018		2017	
	Year 1	Year 2	Year 1	Year 2
Parallel rate shocks (basis points)				
-100	(6.5)%	(7.0)%	(9.5)%	(12.4)%
+100	4.3 %	9.4 %	5.7 %	9.1 %
+200	8.0 %	15.0 %	11.1 %	16.9 %
+300	11.8 %	21.0 %	16.4 %	24.7 %
+400	15.6 %	26.7 %	21.8 %	32.3 %
Gradual rate shifts (basis points)				
-100 over 12 months	(2.7)%	(5.7)%	(4.9)%	(11.2)%
+200 over 12 months	3.9 %	13.7 %	5.3 %	14.9 %
+400 over 24 months	3.9 %	17.3 %	5.3 %	20.0 %
Alternative scenarios				
Flat up 200 basis points scenario	3.9 %	12.9 %	5.6 %	14.9 %

As previously noted, the results in the table above are dependent on material assumptions. For instance, asymmetrical rate behavior can have a material impact on the simulation results. If competition for deposits prompts the Company to raise rates on those liabilities more quickly than is assumed in the simulation analysis without a corresponding increase in asset yields, net interest income would be negatively impacted. Alternatively, if the Company is able to lag increases in deposit rates as loans re-price upward, net interest income would be positively impacted.

The most significant factors affecting market risk exposure of the Company's net interest income during the nine months ended September 30, 2018 were the shape of the U.S. Government securities and interest rate swap yield curve, the U.S. prime interest rate and LIBOR rates, and the interest rates being offered on long-term fixed rate loans. The Company manages the interest rate risk inherent in both its loan and borrowing portfolios by utilizing interest rate swap agreements and interest rate caps and floors. An interest rate swap is an agreement whereby one party agrees to pay a floating rate of interest on a notional principal amount in exchange for receiving a fixed rate of interest on the same notional amount for a predetermined period of time from a second party. Interest rate caps and floors are agreements whereby one party agrees to pay a floating rate of interest on a notional principal amount for a predetermined period of time to a second party if certain market interest rate thresholds are realized. The amounts relating to the notional principal amount are not actually exchanged. Additionally, the Company may manage the interest rate risk inherent in its mortgage banking operations by entering into forward sales contracts. Prior to closing and funding certain 1- 4 family residential mortgage loans, an interest rate lock commitment is generally extended to the borrower. During the period from commitment date to closing date, the Company is subject to the risk that market rates of interest may change. If market rates rise, investors generally will pay less to purchase such loans resulting in a reduction in the gain on sale of the loans or, possibly, a loss. In an effort to mitigate such risk, forward delivery sales commitments are executed, under which the Company agrees to deliver whole mortgage loans to various investors. See Note 8, "Derivative and Hedging Activities" within Condensed Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 1 hereof for additional information regarding the Company's Derivative Financial Instruments.

The Company's earnings are not directly or materially impacted by movements in foreign currency rates or commodity prices. Movements in equity prices may have a modest impact on earnings by affecting the volume of activity or the amount of fees from investment-related business lines. See Note 3, "Securities" within Condensed Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 1 hereof.

Liquidity Risk Liquidity risk is the risk that the Company will not have the ability to generate adequate amounts of cash in the most economical way for the institution to meet its ongoing obligations to pay deposit withdrawals, service borrowings, and to fund loan commitments. The Company's primary sources of funds are deposits, borrowings, and the amortization, prepayment and maturities of loans and securities. The Bank utilizes its extensive branch network to access retail customers who

Table of Contents

provide a base of in-market core deposits. These funds are principally comprised of demand deposits, interest checking accounts, savings accounts, and money market accounts. Deposit levels are greatly influenced by interest rates, economic conditions, and competitive factors.

The Company actively manages its liquidity position under the direction of the Asset-Liability Committee of the Bank ("ALCO"). The Company's primary measure of short-term liquidity is the Total Basic Surplus/Deficit as a percentage of assets. This ratio, which is an analysis of the relationship between liquid assets plus available funding at the FHLB less short-term liabilities relative to total assets, was within policy limits at September 30, 2018. The Total Basic Surplus/Deficit measure is affected primarily by changes in deposits, securities and short-term investments, loans and borrowings. An increase in deposits, without a corresponding increase in nonliquid assets, will improve the Total Basic Surplus/Deficit measure, whereas, an increase in loans, with no increase in deposits, will decrease the measure. Other factors affecting the Total Basic Surplus/Deficit measure include collateral requirements at the FHLB, changes in the securities portfolio, and the mix of deposits.

The Bank seeks to increase deposits without adversely impacting the weighted average cost of those funds. As part of a prudent liquidity risk management practice, the Company maintains various liquidity sources, some of which are only accessed on a contingency basis. Accordingly, management has implemented funding strategies that include FHLB advances, Federal Reserve Bank borrowing capacity and repurchase agreement lines. These nondeposit funds are also viewed as a contingent source of liquidity and, when profitable lending and investment opportunities exist, access to such funds provides a means to grow the balance sheet.

Borrowing capacity at the FHLB and the Federal Reserve is impacted by the amount and type of assets available to be pledged. For example, a prime, one-to-four family, residential loan, may provide 75 cents of borrowing capacity for every \$1.00 pledged, whereas, a commercial loan may provide a lower amount. As a result, the Company's strategic lending decisions can also affect its liquidity position.

The Company can raise additional funds through the issuance of equity or unsecured debt privately or publicly and has done so in the past. Additionally, the Company is able to enter into additional repurchase agreements or acquire brokered deposits at its discretion. The availability and cost of equity or debt on an unsecured basis is dependent on many factors. Some factors that will impact this source of liquidity are the Company's financial position, the market environment, and the Company's credit rating. As such, the Company is careful to monitor the various factors that could impact its ability to raise liquidity through these channels.

Table of Contents

The table below shows current and unused liquidity capacity from various sources as of the dates indicated:

Table 21 - Sources of Liquidity

	September 30, 2018		December 31, 2017		
	Outstanding	Additional Borrowing Capacity	Outstanding	Additional Borrowing Capacity	
	(Dollars in thousands)				
Federal Home Loan Bank of Boston	\$50,767	\$985,424	(1) \$53,264	\$954,789	(1)
Federal Reserve Bank of Boston	—	701,211	(2) —	720,005	(2)
Unpledged Securities	—	463,980	—	375,155	
Customer repurchase agreements	141,176	—	(3) 162,679	—	(3)
Junior subordinated debentures	73,078	—	(3) 73,073	—	(3)
Subordinated debt	34,717	—	(3) 34,682	—	(3)
Reciprocal deposits	51,738	—	(3) —	—	
Brokered deposits	6,000	—	(3) 54,541	(4) —	(3)
	\$357,476	\$2,150,615	\$378,239	\$2,049,949	

(1) Loans with a carrying value of \$1.5 billion at both September 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017 have been pledged to the Federal Home Loan Bank of Boston resulting in this additional unused borrowing capacity.

(2) Loans with a carrying value of \$1.2 billion at both September 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017 have been pledged to the Federal Reserve Bank of Boston resulting in this additional unused borrowing capacity.

(3) The additional borrowing capacity has not been assessed for these categories.

Inclusive of \$48.5 million of reciprocal deposits acquired through participation in the Promontory Interfinancial Network as of and December 31, 2017. The

(4) Economic Growth, Regulatory Relief, and Consumer Protection Act, which was promulgated during the second quarter of 2018, states that most reciprocal deposits are no longer treated as brokered deposits. As such, the Company is prospectively reporting deposits from the Promontory Interfinancial Network as nonbrokered.

In addition to policies used for managing operational liquidity, the Board of Directors and the ALCO recognize the need to establish reasonable guidelines for managing through an environment of heightened liquidity risk. Catalysts for elevated liquidity risk can be Bank-specific issues and/or systemic industry-wide events. It is therefore the responsibility of the Board and the ALCO to institute systems and controls to provide advanced detection of potentially significant funding shortages, establish methods for assessing and monitoring risk levels, and institute prompt responses that may alleviate/circumvent a potential liquidity crisis. As such, the Board of Directors and the ALCO have put a Liquidity Contingency Plan in place. The overall goal of this plan is to provide a framework for the Bank to help detect liquidity problems promptly and appropriately address potential liquidity problems in a timely manner. In a period of perceived heightened liquidity risk, the Liquidity Contingency Plan provides for the establishment of a Liquidity Crisis Task Force. The Liquidity Crisis Task Force is responsible for monitoring the potential for a liquidity crisis and for establishing and executing an appropriate response.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements There were no material changes in off-balance sheet financial instruments during the three months ended September 30, 2018, with the exception of the merger agreement entered into on September 20, 2018 for the BHB acquisition.

See Note 8, "Derivative and Hedging Activities" and Note 13, "Commitments and Contingencies" within Condensed Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 1 hereof for more information relating to the Company's other off-balance sheet financial instruments.

Contractual Obligations, Commitments, and Contingencies There were no material changes in contractual obligations, commitments, or contingencies during the three months ended September 30, 2018. Please refer to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2017 for a complete table of contractual obligations, commitments and contingencies.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Information required by this Item 3 is included in the "Risk Management" section of Item 2 of Part I of this Form

10-Q, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations."

93

Table of Contents**Item 4. Controls and Procedures**

Conclusion Regarding the Effectiveness of Disclosure Controls and Procedures. The Company carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including the Company's Chief Executive Officer along with the Company's Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures, as such term is defined under Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Based upon that evaluation, the Company's Chief Executive Officer along with the Company's Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report.

Changes in Internal Controls over Financial Reporting. There were no changes in the Company's internal controls over financial reporting that occurred during the third quarter of 2018 that have materially affected or are reasonably likely to materially affect the Company's internal controls over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION**Item 1. Legal Proceedings**

At September 30, 2018, the Bank was involved in pending lawsuits that arose in the ordinary course of business. Management has reviewed these pending lawsuits with legal counsel and has taken into consideration the view of counsel as to their outcome. In the opinion of management, the final disposition of pending lawsuits is not expected to have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

Item 1A. Risk Factors***The Company intends to incur debt to fund cash payments required in connection with the BHB merger.***

The Company will be required to pay cash consideration in connection with the closing of the merger. Although the merger agreement does not include a financing contingency, the Company does not currently believe that it will have sufficient cash at closing at the holding company level to pay the cash portion of the merger consideration and other transaction costs and expenses required to complete the merger. Accordingly, the Company intends to issue debt in order to raise cash to complete the merger. If the Company is unable to issue debt on terms that are acceptable or at all, the Bank may have to pay a special dividend to the Company to supply the Company with the cash needed to complete the merger. However, any special dividend is subject to regulatory approval and the cash reserves and regulatory capital of the Bank may be materially adversely affected by the special cash dividend. The Company has not entered into any definitive agreement for debt financing, and its ability to obtain debt financing is subject to various factors, including market conditions, the Company's operating performance and financial condition and third party credit ratings. The Company cannot assure you that we will be able to secure debt financing in a sufficient amount, on acceptable terms, in a timely manner or at all, and we further cannot assure you that in the event we is unable to secure debt financing, the Bank will receive regulatory approval to pay a special dividend to the Company.

The Company and the Bank will have over \$10 billion in total consolidated assets as a result of the BHB merger, which will lead to increased regulation.

Upon consummation of the merger, and as of September 30, 2018 on a pro forma basis giving effect to the merger, the Company and the Bank will each have over \$11 billion in total consolidated assets. Accordingly, the Company and the Bank will become subject to certain regulations that apply only to depository institution holding companies or depository institutions with total consolidated assets of \$10 billion or more.

Debit card interchange fee restrictions set forth in Section 1075 of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, which is known as the Durbin Amendment, as implemented by regulations of the Federal Reserve Board, cap the maximum debit interchange fee that a debit card issuer may receive per transaction at the sum of \$0.21 plus five basis points. A debit card issuer that adopts certain fraud prevention procedures may charge an additional \$0.01 per transaction. Debit card issuers with total consolidated assets of less than \$10 billion, which currently includes the Bank and Blue Hills Bank, are exempt from these interchange fee restrictions. The exemption for small issuers ceases to apply as of July 1st of the year following the calendar year in which the debit card issuer has total

consolidated assets of \$10 billion or more at calendar year-end. As a result, if the bank merger is consummated in 2019, the Bank will become subject to the interchange restrictions of the Durbin Amendment beginning July 1, 2020. The Company and the Bank are currently evaluating the financial impact of the Bank becoming subject to the Durbin Amendment.

Table of Contents

In addition, an insured depository institution with total assets of \$10 billion or more is subject to supervision, examination, and enforcement with respect to consumer protection laws by the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau, which we refer to as the CFPB. Under its current policies, the CFPB will assert jurisdiction in the first quarter after the call reports of merging insured depository institutions, on a combined basis, show total consolidated assets of \$10 billion or more for four consecutive quarters, including quarters ended prior to the merger. As a result, the Company expects the Bank to become subject to CFPB supervision, examination and enforcement at the beginning of the quarter following consummation of the bank merger.

There are other regulatory requirements that apply to insured depository institution holding companies and insured depository institutions with total consolidated assets of \$10 billion or more. These include, but are not limited to, (i) the establishment by publicly traded depository institution holding companies with \$10 billion or more in assets of a risk committee responsible for oversight of enterprise-wide risk management practices that are commensurate with the entity's structure, risk profile, complexity, activities and size and (ii) an institution with total consolidated assets of \$10 billion or more no longer being entitled to benefit from the FDIC's offset of the effect of the increase in the statutory minimum Deposit Insurance Fund reserve ratio to 1.35% from the former statutory minimum of 1.15% that is required for institutions with assets of less than \$10 billion by the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act.

In addition, Congress and/or regulatory agencies may impose new requirements or surcharges on these institutions in the future. The Economic Growth, Regulatory Reform, and Consumer Protection Act, which was enacted on May 24, 2018, includes provisions that, as they are implemented, relieve banking organizations with total consolidated assets of less than \$10 billion (and that satisfy certain other conditions) from risk-based capital requirements, restrictions on proprietary trading and investment and sponsorship in hedge funds and private equity funds known as the Volcker Rule, and certain other regulatory requirements. Once the Company and the Bank have total consolidated assets of \$10 billion or more, the Company and the Bank will no longer qualify for any of the foregoing relief.

There can be no assurance that the benefits of the merger will outweigh the regulatory costs resulting from the Company and the Bank having total consolidated assets of \$10 billion or more.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

(a) Not applicable.

(b) Not applicable.

(c) The following table sets forth information regarding the Company's repurchases of its common stock during the three months ended September 30, 2018:

Period	Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities			
	Total Number of Shares Purchased (1)	Average Price Paid Per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plan or Program (2)	Maximum Number of Shares That May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plan or Program
July 1 to July 31, 2018	—	\$ —	—	—
August 1 to August 31, 2018	—	\$ —	—	—
September 1 to September 30, 2018	2,604	\$87.09	—	—
Total	2,604		—	—

(1) Shares repurchased relate to the surrendering of shares in connection with the exercise and/or vesting of equity compensation grants to satisfy related tax withholding obligations.

(2) The Company does not currently have a stock repurchase program or plan in place.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities—None

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures - Not Applicable

Item 5. Other Information—None

95

Table of Contents

Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibit Index

No. Exhibit

- Agreement and Plan of Merger dated September 20, 2018 by and among Independent Bank Corp., Rockland Trust Company, Blue Hills Bancorp, Inc., and Blue Hills Bank is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to form 8-K filed on September 24, 2018.
- 31.1 Section 302 Certification of Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 is attached hereto.*
- 31.2 Section 302 Certification of Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 is attached hereto.*
- 32.1 Section 906 Certification of Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 is attached hereto.+
- 32.2 Section 906 Certification of Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 is attached hereto.+
- 101 The instance document does not appear in the interactive data file because its XBRL tags are embedded within the inline XBRL document

* Filed herewith

+ Furnished herewith

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

INDEPENDENT BANK CORP.

(registrant)

November 1, 2018 /s/ Christopher Oddleifson
Christopher Oddleifson
President and
Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)

November 1, 2018 /s/ Robert D. Cozzone
Robert D. Cozzone
Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial Officer)