DANA HOLDING CORP Form DEF 14A March 11, 2016 Table of Contents

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

PROXY STATEMENT PURSUANT TO SECTION 14(a) OF THE SECURITIES

EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

(AMENDMENT NO.)

Filed by the Registrant x

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant "

Check the appropriate box:

- " Preliminary Proxy Statement
- CONFIDENTIAL, FOR USE OF THE COMMISSION ONLY (AS PERMITTED BY RULE 14a-6(e)(2))
- x Definitive Proxy Statement
- " Definitive Additional Materials
- " Soliciting Material Pursuant to Section 240.14a-12

DANA HOLDING CORPORATION

(NAME OF REGISTRANT AS SPECIFIED IN ITS CHARTER)

(NAME OF PERSON(S) FILING PROXY STATEMENT, IF OTHER THAN THE REGISTRANT)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

x No fee required.

Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.
(1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
(2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
(3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (Set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):
(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:
(5) Total fee paid:
Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.
Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.
(1) Amount Previously Paid:
(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:
(3) Filing Party:
(4) Date Filed:

Dana Holding Corporation

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy

Materials for the Annual Meeting of

Shareholders to be Held on April 28, 2016

Proxy Statement and Notice of

2016 Annual Meeting of Shareholders

Our Proxy Statement and Annual Report

are Available at www.dana.com/proxy

Dana Holding Corporation

3939 Technology Drive

Maumee, Ohio 43537

March 11, 2016

Dear Fellow Shareholder:

It is our pleasure to invite you to attend the 2016 Annual Meeting of Shareholders of Dana Holding Corporation at 8:30 a.m., Eastern Time, on Thursday, April 28, 2016 at The Westin Detroit Metropolitan Airport, 2501 Worldgateway Place, Romulus, Michigan 48242. Registration will begin at 7:30 a.m., Eastern Time. A map showing the location of the Annual Meeting is on the back cover of the accompanying proxy statement.

The annual report, which is included in this package, summarizes Dana s major developments and includes our consolidated financial statements.

Whether or not you plan to attend the 2016 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, please either sign and return the accompanying proxy card in the postage-paid envelope or instruct us by telephone or via the Internet indicating how you would like your shares voted. Instructions on how to vote your shares by telephone or via the Internet are on the proxy card enclosed with this proxy statement.

Sincerely, Joseph C. Muscari Chairman of the Board of Directors

PROXY STATEMENT

Table of Contents

Questions and Answers	1
Executive Officers	7
Compensation of Executive Officers	9
Compensation Discussion and Analysis	9
Officer Stock Ownership Guidelines	23
Compensation Committee Report	25
Summary Compensation Table	26
Grants of Plan-Based Awards	27
Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year End	29
Option Exercises and Stock Vested During 2015 Fiscal Year	30
Retirement Plans	31
CEO Employment Agreement	31
Potential Payments and Benefits Upon Termination or Change in Control	32
Transactions of Executive Officers with Dana	39
Proposal I Submitted for Your Vote Election of Directors	40
Information about the Nominees	41
Corporate Governance	44
Risk Oversight	45
Committees and Meetings of Directors	46
Non-Management Directors and Communication with the Board	47
Director Independence and Transactions of Directors with Dana	47
Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation	49
Compensation of Directors	50
Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management	51
Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance	52
Proposal II Submitted for Your Vote - Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation	53
Proposal III Submitted for Your Vote Ratification of the Appointment of the Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	54
Proposal IV Submitted for Your Vote - Shareholder Proposal	56
Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	54
Audit Committee Report	55
Annual Report to Shareholders	59
Other Matters	59

Dana Holding Corporation

Notice of Annual Meeting of Shareholders

March 11, 2016

Date: April 28, 2016

Time: 8:30 a.m., Eastern Time

Place: The Westin Detroit Metropolitan Airport

2501 Worldgateway Place

Romulus, Michigan 48242

We invite you to attend the Dana Holding Corporation 2016 Annual Meeting of Shareholders to:

- 1. Elect seven Directors for a one-year term expiring in 2017 or upon the election and qualification of their successors;
- 2. Act on an advisory vote to approve executive compensation;
- 3. Ratify the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as the independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2016;
- 4. Consider a shareholder proposal regarding proxy access, if properly presented at the Annual Meeting; and
- 5. Transact any other business that is properly submitted before the Annual Meeting or any adjournments or postponements of the Annual Meeting.

The record date for the Annual Meeting is March 1, 2016 (the Record Date). Only shareholders of record at the close of business on the Record Date can vote at the Annual Meeting. Dana mailed this Notice of Annual Meeting to those shareholders. Action may be taken at the Annual Meeting on any of the foregoing proposals on the date specified above or any date or dates to which the Annual Meeting may be adjourned or postponed.

Dana will have a list of shareholders who can vote at the Annual Meeting available for inspection by shareholders at the Annual Meeting and, for 10 days prior to the Annual Meeting, during regular business hours at Dana s Law Department, 3939 Technology Drive, Maumee, Ohio 43537.

If you plan to attend the Annual Meeting, but are not a shareholder of record because you hold your shares in street name, please bring evidence of your beneficial ownership of your shares (*e.g.*, a copy of a recent brokerage statement showing the shares) with you to the Annual Meeting. You also must bring the proxy card your broker provided to you if you intend to vote at the meeting. See the Questions and Answers section of the proxy statement for a discussion of the difference between a shareholder of record and a street name holder.

Whether or not you plan to attend the Annual Meeting and whether you own a few or many shares of stock, the Board of Directors urges you to vote promptly. Registered holders may vote by signing, dating and returning the enclosed proxy card, by using the automated telephone voting system, or by using the Internet voting system. You will find instructions for voting by telephone and by the Internet on the proxy card and in the Questions and Answers section of the proxy statement.

By Order of the Board of Directors,

Marc S. Levin Senior Vice President, General Counsel,

March 11, 2016 and Corporate Secretary

Dana Holding Corporation

3939 Technology Drive

Maumee, Ohio 43537

2016 PROXY STATEMENT

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

The Board of Directors is soliciting proxies to be used at the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held on Thursday, April 28, 2016, beginning at 8:30 a.m., Eastern Time, at The Westin Detroit Metropolitan Airport, 2501 Worldgateway Place, Romulus, Michigan 48242. This proxy statement and the enclosed form of proxy are being made available to shareholders beginning March 11, 2016.

What is a proxy?

A proxy is your authorization for someone else to vote for you in the way that you want to vote. When you complete and submit a proxy card or use the automated telephone voting system or the Internet voting system, you are submitting a proxy. Dana s Board of Directors is soliciting this proxy. All references in this proxy statement to you will mean you, the shareholder, and to yours will mean the shareholder s or shareholders, as appropriate.

What is a proxy statement?

A proxy statement is a document the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC) requires to explain the matters on which you are asked to vote on by proxy and to disclose certain related information. This proxy statement and the accompanying proxy card were first mailed to the shareholders on or about March 11, 2016.

What is the purpose of the Annual Meeting?

At our Annual Meeting, shareholders will act upon the matters outlined in the notice of meeting, including i) the election of directors; ii) an advisory vote on executive compensation; iii) ratification of the selection of Dana s independent registered public accounting firm; and iv) a shareholder proposal, if properly presented at the Annual Meeting. Also, management will report on the state of Dana and respond to questions from shareholders.

What is the record date and what does it mean?

The record date for the Annual Meeting is March 1, 2016 (the Record Date). The Record Date was established by the Board of Directors as required by Delaware law. Holders of our common stock at the close of business on the Record Date are entitled to receive notice of the meeting and to vote at the meeting and any adjournments or postponements of the meeting.

Who is entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting?

Holders of our common stock at the close of business on the Record Date may vote at the meeting. On March 1, 2016, 148,840,204 shares of our common stock were outstanding, and accordingly, are eligible to be voted.

What are the voting rights of the holders of common stock?

Each outstanding share of common stock will be entitled to one vote on each matter to be voted upon.

1

What is the difference between a shareholder of record and a street name holder?

If your shares are registered directly in your name, you are considered the shareholder of record with respect to those shares.

If your shares are held in a stock brokerage account or by a bank or other nominee, then the brokerage firm, bank or other nominee is considered to be the shareholder of record with respect to those shares. However, you still are considered the beneficial owner of those shares, and your shares are said to be held in street name. Street name holders generally cannot vote their shares directly and must instead instruct the brokerage firm, bank or other nominee how to vote their shares. See How do I vote my shares? below.

How do I vote my shares?

If you are a shareholder of record as of March 1, 2016, as opposed to a street name holder, you will be able to vote in four ways: In person, by telephone, by the Internet, or by proxy card.

To vote by proxy card, sign, date and return the enclosed proxy card. To vote by using the automated telephone voting system or the Internet voting system, the instructions for shareholders of record are as follows:

TO VOTE BY TELEPHONE: 866-883-3382

Use any touch-tone telephone to vote your proxy.

Have your proxy card and the last four digits of your Social Security Number or Tax Identification Number available when you call.

Follow the simple instructions the system provides you.

You may dial this toll free number at your convenience, 24 hours a day, 7 days a week. The deadline for telephone voting is 11:59 p.m. (ET) on April 27, 2016.

(OR)

TO VOTE BY THE INTERNET: www.proxypush.com/DAN

Use the Internet to vote your proxy.

Have your proxy card and the last four digits of your Social Security Number or Tax Identification Number available when you access the website.

Follow the simple instructions to obtain your records and create an electronic ballot.

You may log on to this Internet site at your convenience, 24 hours a day, 7 days a week. The deadline for Internet voting is 11:59 p.m. (ET) on April 27, 2016.

If you submit a proxy to Dana before the Annual Meeting, the persons named as proxies will vote your shares as you directed. If no instructions are specified, the proxy will be voted: i) <u>FO</u>R all of the listed director nominees; <u>ii)</u> FOR approval of the advisory vote on executive compensation; <u>iii)</u> <u>FO</u>R ratification of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as the independent registered public accounting firm; and <u>iv)</u> <u>AGAI</u>NST the shareholder proposal.

You may revoke a proxy at any time before the proxy is exercised by:

- delivering written notice of revocation to the Corporate Secretary of Dana at the Dana Law Department, 3939 Technology Drive, Maumee, Ohio 43537;
- (2) submitting another properly completed proxy card that is later dated;
- (3) voting by telephone at a subsequent time;

2

- (4) voting by Internet at a subsequent time; or
- (5) voting in person at the Annual Meeting.

If you hold your shares in street name, you must provide voting instructions for your shares in the manner prescribed by your brokerage firm, bank or other nominee. Your brokerage firm, bank or other nominee has enclosed or otherwise provided a voting instruction card for you to use in directing the brokerage firm, bank or other nominee how to vote your shares. If you hold your shares in street name and you want to vote in person at the Annual Meeting, you must obtain a legal proxy from your broker and present it at the Annual Meeting. You will also need to provide to us a brokerage statement if you intend to attend the Annual Meeting.

What is a quorum?

There were 148,840,204 shares of Dana s common stock issued and outstanding on the Record Date. A majority of the issued and outstanding shares or 74,420,103 shares, present or represented by proxy, constitutes a quorum. A quorum must exist to conduct business at the Annual Meeting.

Will my shares be voted if I do not provide my proxy?

For shareholders of record: If you are the shareholder of record and you do not vote by proxy card, by telephone or via the Internet or in person at the Annual Meeting, your shares will not be voted at the Annual Meeting.

For holders in street name: If your shares are held in street name, your shares may be voted even if you do not provide the brokerage firm with voting instructions. Under New York Stock Exchange (the NYSE) rules, your broker may vote shares held in street name on certain routine matters. The NYSE rules consider the ratification of the appointment of our independent registered public accounting firm to be a routine matter. As a result, your broker is permitted to vote your shares on this matter at its discretion without instruction from you.

When a proposal is not a routine matter, such as the election of directors and the advisory vote on executive compensation, and you have not provided voting instructions to the brokerage firm with respect to that proposal, the brokerage firm cannot vote the shares on that proposal. The missing votes for these non-routine matters are called broker non-votes. Broker non-votes will be treated as shares that are present and entitled to vote for purposes of determining the presence of a quorum, but not as shares present and voting on a specific proposal.

What vote is required?

Proposal I Election of Directors: If a quorum exists, the election requires a plurality vote of the shares present in person or represented by proxy at the Annual Meeting and entitled to vote, meaning that the director nominees with the most affirmative votes are elected to fill the available seats. As outlined in our Bylaws, regardless of this plurality vote any director who receives more withheld votes than for votes in an uncontested election is required to tender his or her resignation to the Board for consideration in accordance with the procedures set forth in the Bylaws. Our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will then evaluate the best interests of Dana and its shareholders and will recommend to the Board the action to be taken with respect to the tendered resignation. Following the Board's determination, Dana will promptly publicly disclose the Board's decision of whether or not to accept the resignation and an explanation of how the decision was reached, including, if applicable, the reasons for rejecting the resignation. Broker non-votes will not be counted as eligible to vote and, therefore, will have no effect on the outcome of the voting.

Proposal II Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation: The proposal represents an advisory vote and the results will not be binding on the Board or Dana. If a quorum exists, the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares present in person or represented by proxy at the Annual Meeting and entitled to vote on the matter will constitute the shareholders non-binding approval with respect to our executive compensation programs. Therefore, abstentions will have the same effect as voting against the proposal. Broker non-votes will not be counted as eligible to vote on the proposal and, therefore, will have no effect on the outcome of the voting on the proposal. The Board will review the voting results and take them into consideration when making future decisions regarding executive compensation.

3

Table of Contents

Proposal III Ratify the Appointment of the Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm: If a quorum exists, the proposal to ratify the appointment of the independent registered public accounting firm must receive the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares present in person or represented by proxy at the Annual Meeting and entitled to vote on the proposal. Therefore, abstentions will have the same effect as voting against the proposal. Brokers will have discretionary voting power to vote this proposal so we do not anticipate any broker non-votes (described above).

Proposal IV Shareholder Proposal. The shareholder proposal will be voted on at the Annual Meeting only if properly presented by or on behalf of the shareholder proponent. If a quorum exists, the shareholder proposal must receive the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares present in person or represented by proxy at the Annual Meeting and entitled to vote on the proposal. Therefore, abstentions will have the same effect as voting against the proposal. Broker non-votes will not be counted as eligible to vote on the applicable proposal and, therefore, will have no effect on the outcome of the voting on that proposal.

Dana will vote properly completed proxies it receives prior to the Annual Meeting in the way you direct. If you do not specify how you want your shares voted, they will be voted in accordance with the Board's recommendations. If you hold shares in more than one account, you must vote each proxy and/or voting instruction card you receive to ensure that all shares you own are voted. No other matters are currently scheduled to be presented at the Annual Meeting. An independent third party, Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., will act as the inspector of the Annual Meeting and the tabulator of votes.

Who pays for the costs of the Annual Meeting?

Dana pays the cost of preparing and printing the proxy statement and soliciting proxies. Dana will solicit proxies primarily by mail, but may also solicit proxies personally and by telephone, the Internet, facsimile or other means. Dana will use the services of D.F. King & Co., Inc., a proxy solicitation firm, at a cost of \$11,500 plus out-of-pocket expenses and fees for any special services. Officers and regular employees of Dana and its subsidiaries may also solicit proxies, but they will not receive additional compensation for soliciting proxies. Dana also will reimburse banks, brokerage houses and other custodians, nominees and fiduciaries for their out-of-pocket expenses for forwarding solicitation materials to beneficial owners of Dana s common stock.

How can shareholders propose business (other than nominations) for consideration by shareholders at the 2017 Annual Meeting of Shareholders?

Proposals to be Considered for Inclusion in Dana s Proxy Materials Pursuant to Rule 14a-8 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act), we must receive shareholder proposals by November 11, 2016 to consider them for inclusion in our proxy materials for the 2017 Annual Meeting of Shareholders. A shareholder submitting a proposal for inclusion in our proxy materials must comply with Rule 14a-8.

Other Proposals for Consideration at the 2017 Annual Meeting A shareholder who intends to propose an item of business at the 2017 Annual Meeting of Shareholders (not for inclusion in our proxy materials) must comply with the requirements set forth in our Bylaws. Under Dana's Bylaws, our shareholders must provide advance notice to Dana in such cases. For the 2017 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, notice must be received by Dana's Corporate Secretary no later than the close of business on January 28, 2017 and no earlier than the open of business on December 29, 2016.

If Dana moves the 2017 Annual Meeting of Shareholders to a date that is more than 25 days before or after the date which is the one-year anniversary of this year s Annual Meeting date (*i.e.*, April 28, 2017), Dana must receive your notice no later than the close of business on the 10th day following the day on which notice of the meeting date is first distributed to shareholders or Dana makes a public announcement of the meeting date, whichever occurs first.

4

Under Dana s Bylaws, the notice of proposed business must include a description of the business and the reasons for bringing the proposed business to the meeting, any material interest of the shareholder in the business and certain other information about the shareholder. Dana s Bylaws specifying the advance notice and additional requirements for submission of shareholder proposals are available on Dana s website at www.dana.com.

How can shareholders nominate individuals for election as directors for consideration by shareholders at the 2017 Annual Meeting of Shareholders?

Director Nominations for Inclusion in Dana s Proxy Materials (Proxy Access) Pursuant to Dana s Bylaws, a shareholder (or a group of up to 20 shareholders) who has continuously owned at least 3% of our shares for at least three years and has complied with the other requirements of our Bylaws may nominate and include in Dana s proxy materials director nominees constituting up to 25% of Dana s Board. Notice of a proxy access nomination for consideration at the 2017 Annual Meeting must be received no later than the close of business on November 11, 2016 and no earlier than the open of business on October 12, 2016.

Other Nominations for Consideration at the 2017 Annual Meeting A shareholder who intends to nominate a person for election as a director at the 2017 Annual Meeting of Shareholders (other than under proxy access) must comply with the requirements set forth in our Bylaws. Under Dana s Bylaws, our shareholders must provide advance notice to Dana in such cases. For the 2017 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, notice must be received by Dana s Corporate Secretary no later than the close of business on January 28, 2017 and no earlier than the open of business on December 29, 2016.

If Dana moves the 2017 Annual Meeting of Shareholders to a date that is more than 25 days before or after the date which is the one-year anniversary of this year s Annual Meeting date (*i.e.*, April 28, 2017), Dana must receive your notice no later than the close of business on the 10th day following the day on which notice of the meeting date is first distributed to shareholders or Dana makes a public announcement of the meeting date, whichever occurs first.

In All Cases Whether a nomination is made under our proxy access bylaw or under our advance notice bylaw, a shareholder s notice to nominate individuals for election to the Board of Directors must provide information about the shareholder and the nominee, as well as the written consent of the proposed nominee to being named in the proxy statement and to serve as a director if elected. Dana s Bylaws specifying the proxy access, advance notice and additional requirements for submission of nominations are available on Dana s website at www.dana.com.

Where should shareholders send proposals for business and director nominations for consideration at the 2017 Annual Meeting of Shareholders?

All shareholder nominations of individuals for election as directors or proposals of other items of business to be considered by shareholders at the 2017 Annual Meeting of Shareholders must be submitted in writing to our Corporate Secretary, Dana Holding Corporation, 3939 Technology Drive, Maumee, Ohio 43537.

How many of Dana s directors are independent?

Dana s Board of Directors has determined that six of Dana s seven current directors, or 85.7%, are independent. For a discussion of the Board of Directors basis for this determination, see the section of this proxy statement entitled Director Independence and Transactions of Directors with Dana.

Does Dana have a Code of Ethics?

Yes, Dana has *Standards of Business Conduct for Employees*, which applies to employees and agents of Dana and its subsidiaries and affiliates, as well as *Standards of Business Conduct for Members of the Board of Directors*. The *Standards of Business Conduct for Employees* and *Standards of Business Conduct for Members of the Board of Directors* are available on Dana's website at www.dana.com.

5

Is this year s proxy statement available electronically?

Yes. You may view this proxy statement and the proxy card, as well as the 2015 annual report, electronically by going to our website at www.dana.com/proxy and clicking on the document you wish to view, either the proxy statement and proxy card or annual report.

How can I find the results of the Annual Meeting?

Preliminary results will be announced at the Annual Meeting. Final results will be published in a Current Report on Form 8-K to be filed with the SEC within four business days after the Annual Meeting. If the official results are not available at that time, we will provide preliminary voting results in the Form 8-K and will provide the final results in an amendment to the Form 8-K as soon as they become available.

A copy of Dana s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, may be obtained without charge upon written request to the Corporate Secretary, Dana Holding Corporation, 3939 Technology Drive, Maumee, Ohio 43537.

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Shareholder Meeting to Be Held on April 28, 2016.

The proxy statement and Dana s annual report to shareholders are available on our website at www.dana.com/proxy.

6

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

Following are the names and ages of the executive officers of Dana, their positions with Dana and summaries of their backgrounds and business experience. Our executive officers are those individuals who serve on Dana s Executive Leadership Team. All executive officers are elected or appointed by the Board of Directors and hold office until the annual meeting of the Board of Directors following the annual meeting of shareholders in each year.

	Age as of	Principal Occupation and Business		
	March 1,		Exec	utive
Name	2016	Experience During Past 5 Years	Offi	icer
Aziz S. Aghili	57	President of Off-Highway Technologies (since July 2011),	2011	Present
		President, Asia Pacific (October 2010 to April 2012), Dana		
		Holding Corporation.		
Jeffrey S. Bowen	58	Chief Administrative Officer (since September 2011), Dana	2011	Present
		Holding Corporation; Corporate Vice President (January 2010		
		to August 2011), Navistar International Corporation		
		(commercial vehicle manufacturer).		
George T. Constand	57	Chief Technical and Quality Officer (since January 2009), Dana	2009	Present
		Holding Corporation.		
Rodney R. Filcek	63	Interim Chief Financial Officer (since December 2015), Senior	2015	Present
		Vice President and Chief Accounting Officer (since May 2011),		
		Vice President Finance (January 2005 to May 2011), Dana		
		Holding Corporation.		
James K. Kamsickas	49	President and Chief Executive Officer (since August 2015),	2015	Present
		Dana Holding Corporation; President, Chief Executive Officer		
		(April 2012 to August 2015), Global Co-Chief Executive		
		Officer and President of North America and Asia (January 2011		
		to April 2012), President and Chief Executive Officer of North		
		America/Asia (April 2007 to December 2010), International		
		Automotive Components Group, S.A. (global supplier of		
		automotive interior components and systems).		
Marc S. Levin	61	Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary (since	2008	Present
		February 2008), Dana Holding Corporation.		
Dwayne E. Matthews	56	President of Power Technologies (since September 2009), Dana	2011	Present
		Holding Corporation.		
Robert Pyle	49	President of Light Vehicle Driveline Technologies (since	2014	Present
		January 2014); President of Asia Pacific (May 2012 to		
		December 2013), Dana Holding Corporation; General Manager		
		(June 2009 to April 2012), Yanfeng Visteon Automotive Trim		
		Systems Co., Ltd. (supplier of automotive interior, exterior,		
		seating, and electronics and safety systems).		

Name	Age as of March 1, 2016	Principal Occupation and Business Experience During Past 5 Years		eutive icer
Mark E. Wallace	49	Executive Vice President Dana, Group President On-Highway Driveline Technologies (since January 2014), Executive Vice President (June 2011 to January 2014), President of Light Vehicle Driveline Technologies (September 2012 to January 2014), President of On-Highway Technologies (June 2011 to September 2012), President Heavy Vehicle Group (August 2009 to June 2011), Dana Holding Corporation.	2008	Present

8

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

Introduction

Our Compensation Discussion and Analysis (CD&A) describes the key principles and approaches used to determine the elements of compensation awarded to, earned by and paid to each of our named executive officers (NEOs) during 2015. This discussion provides information and context to the compensation disclosures included in the accompanying compensation tables and corresponding narrative discussion and footnotes below, and it should be read in conjunction with those disclosures.

The following Dana executives are our NEOs for 2015:

Name	Title
James K. Kamsickas	President and Chief Executive Officer
Roger J. Wood	Former President and Chief Executive Officer
Rodney R. Filcek	Senior Vice President, Interim Chief Financial Officer and Chief Accounting Officer
William G. Quigley, III	Former Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
Mark E. Wallace	Executive Vice President Dana, Group President On-Highway Driveline Technologies
Aziz S. Aghili	President, Off-Highway Technologies
Jeffrey S. Bowen	Chief Administrative Officer

As disclosed last year, Mr. Wood stepped down as President and Chief Executive Officer on August 11, 2015 and is continuing in an advisory role until his retirement in April 2016. Mr. Kamsickas was appointed President and Chief Executive Officer effective August 11, 2015. Mr. Quigley stepped down as Chief Financial Officer on November 30, 2015 and is continuing in an advisory role until his retirement in March 2016. Mr. Filcek was appointed interim Chief Financial Officer effective December 1, 2015.

We will first provide a brief executive overview of our compensation program and then discuss and analyze the following topics:

Relationship between Dana's Pay & Performance How Compensation Decisions are Made
Elements of Executive Compensation Program Compensation Policies & Practices

Executive Overview

Dana Performance

Summarized below are key highlights of our financial performance for 2015:

- ü We achieved positive net income of \$159 million.
- Our Adjusted EBITDA was \$652 million, or 10.8% of Sales.
- ü Our Free Cash Flow was \$146 million.
- ü Share repurchase of \$311 million in 2015; total of \$1.4 billion or 67 million shares since repurchase program s inception, completing our \$1.4 billion authorization.

These achievements represent a solid year in 2015. The compensation decisions made by our Compensation Committee with respect to 2015 reflect Dana s performance relative to our expectations for the year despite the volatility of the global economy and the impacts to a number of end-markets we serve. Based on Dana s 2015

9

performance (using financial metrics approved by our Compensation Committee in early 2015), our Annual Incentive Plan paid an incentive award of 68% (consolidated results) of the target opportunity for our NEOs.

Dana s Compensation Philosophy

Our compensation program is designed to balance short-term performance with long-term growth. Our compensation and benefits must be competitive with executive compensation arrangements provided to executive officers at similar levels at comparably-sized companies with whom we compete for talent. Dana s executive compensation philosophy is reviewed annually by the Compensation Committee, and has the following key objectives:

- **Reward performance** The majority of executive pay is performance-based and therefore at risk. Our pay programs reflect our pay-for-performance culture that aligns incentives with shareholder interests.
- Emphasize long-term incentive compensation We share a portion ü of the value created for shareholders with those responsible for the results superior results To be consistently better than our competitors, we through our performance-based long-term incentive compensation plans. Performance Shares reward executives for superior Total Shareholder Return (TSR) relative to the Dow Jones US Industrial Index and Return on Invested Capital (ROIC).
- **Drive ownership mentality** We require executives to personally invest in Dana s success through stock ownership guidelines that require executives to own a significant amount of our stock.
 - Attract, retain and reward the best talent to achieve need to recruit and retain superior talent that is able to drive superior results. We have structured our compensation program to motivate and reward these results.

Dana s Executive Compensation Practices

Dana s executive compensation program features many best practices that serve shareholder interests.

What We Do Wha

Base half of our long-term compensation on the achievement of objective, pre-established goals tied to financial, operational, and strategic measures.

No excise tax gross ups.

No excessive perquisites.

Award incentive compensation based on objective measures.

No hedging or pledging of Dana stock.

Apply an accelerated schedule to meet minimum stock ownership guidelines.

No excessive change-in-control and executive severance provisions.

Maintain a clawback policy to recapture unearned incentive payments.

Retain an independent compensation consultant.

Include double trigger of vesting of equity awards and severance payments upon a change in control.

Say on Pay

Last year s advisory vote on executive compensation (Say on Pay) was overwhelmingly supported by our shareholders with 96.6% of the votes cast in favor of our pay practices. Although there was strong support from our shareholders, the Compensation Committee decided to make some modifications to the executive compensation program to further align executive interests with those of our shareholders.

10

2015 Compensation Changes

In early 2015, Management and the Compensation Committee reviewed the performance measures of Dana s Annual Incentive Plan design to ensure alignment with Dana s current business strategy and market industry outlook. That review brought some changes in the Annual Incentive Plan s performance measures or metrics. In addition to the current Earnings Before Interest, Taxes (EBIT) performance measure, we introduced two other performance measures: EBIT Margin and Working Capital Efficiency. In determining the Annual Incentive Award, each of these performance measures are weighted as follows:

Earnings Before Interest, Taxes (EBIT):	60%
EBIT Margin:	20%
Working Capital Efficiency:	20%

The performance measures (and weightings) used in the 2014 Annual Incentive Plan were EBIT (80%) and Adjusted Operating Cash Flow (20%). We believe these changes provide a sharp focus on critical results in running our business effectively and efficiently. A more detailed description of these performance measures and results can be found in the Annual Performance-Based Cash Incentive section of this Compensation and Discussion Analysis.

Relationship between Dana Pay & Performance

Comparator Compensation Data

One of the factors our Compensation Committee uses in setting executive compensation is an evaluation of how our target compensation and benefits levels compare to those of similarly-situated executives at companies that comprise our executive compensation peer group (Peer Group). Dana s philosophy for senior executive pay, including NEO pay, is to target a range of +/- 15% of the 5% percentile of our Peer Group and general industry market data as provided by the Compensation Committee s independent compensation consultant. In addition to market data, other factors such as an individual s experience, responsibilities, and long-term strategic value to Dana are also considered when making recommendations and decisions on compensation.

The Peer Group used for benchmarking executive pay for all NEOs is made up of companies in similar industries (Auto Components, Industrial Machinery, Construction and Farm Machinery, Heavy Trucks, and other Durable Goods manufacturers), of similar size range (as measured by annual revenue) and of similar complexity to Dana.

The Peer Group is reviewed by the Compensation Committee every year and modifications are made to ensure each company in the group meets the above comparison criteria. In 2015, the Compensation Committee, upon analysis and review with their executive compensation consultants, made some changes to the Peer Group. The table below comprises the companies in our Peer Group:

American Axle & Mfg Holdings	The Manitowoc Company, Inc.
BorgWarner, Inc.	Meritor, Inc.
Cooper-Standard Holdings	Navistar International Corporation
Delphi Automotive	Oshkosh Corporation
Ingersoll-Rand PLC	Tenneco Inc
Joy Global Inc.	Terex Corp
Lear Corn	The Timken Company

In 2015, the Compensation Committee removed TRW Automotive Holdings, Visteon Corporation and Federal Mogul from the Peer Group due to recent mergers and/or divestitures which may create dissimilarities in

compensation practices for comparison purposes. Based on the compensation comparison selection criteria indicated above, Parker-Hannifin was also removed from, and Delphi Automotive and Cooper-Standard Holdings were added to, the Peer Group in 2015.

The table below shows that Dana s revenue and market capitalization are close to the median of the Peer Group:

	Revenue	Market Capitalization
2015 Summary Statistics	(in millions)	(in millions)
Median	\$6,831	\$3,529
Dana	\$6,537	\$3,526

^{*} Information in above table from S&P Research Insight over 12-month period ending April 2015.

Pay-for-Performance

We believe it is important to look at how NEO realizable pay compares to Dana s performance as it represents the value of the awards NEOs actually or could potentially receive. The Compensation Committee and management analyzed the alignment between the pay of our NEOs and Dana s three-year (2012-2014) performance relative to the Peer Group. The table below shows the characteristics that were used for the study:

Realizable Pay includes base salary, actual bonus payouts and theoretical gains of long-term incentive grants from 2012 through pay-for-performance analysis and is often considered an effective
2014 (in-the-money portion of options, all restricted stock awards/units granted and performance share/cash payouts). Long-term incentives include the value at the end of the period of the awards granted, which is not necessarily the value at vesting or exercise. Note that this differs from the summary compensation table pay, which represents the grant-date value of the awards.

12

The following graphs show the correlation between realizable pay and TSR over a three-year period for Dana s CEO (Mr. Wood in the below illustration) and other NEOs and the CEOs and other NEOs in our Peer Group:

NEO Pay Mix

To align pay levels for our NEOs with Dana's performance, our pay mix places the greatest emphasis on performance-based incentives. A significant majority (84%) of our CEO's (Mr. Kamsickas) target compensation and 72% of the average target compensation of our other NEOs (Messrs. Filcek, Wallace, Aghili and Bowen) is performance-based.

14

Elements of 2015 Executive Compensation Program

Our annual executive compensation program has three primary pay components: Base salary, annual performance-based cash bonuses and long-term equity incentives. We also offer retirement and additional benefits.

Cost to Dana Element		Key Characteristic	Why We Pay This Element	How We Determine the Amount		
Fixed	Base salary	Fixed compensation payable in cash. Reviewed annually and adjusted when appropriate.	Provide base level of competitive cash compensation for attracting and retaining executive talent.	Experience, job scope, market data and individual performance.		
Variable	Annual cash incentive award	Variable compensation payable in cash based on performance-related financial and individual goals.	Motivate high performance and reward short-term Dana-wide, Business Unit and individual performance.	Corporate funding pool is based on financial performance metrics (EBIT, EBIT Margin and Working Capital Efficiency) and individual performance goals.		
	Performance share awards	PSAs vest after the 3-year performance period based on financial metrics.	Align the interests of senior executives with long-term shareholder value and retain executive talent.	Target awards based on job scope, market data and individual performance.		
			Minimizes risk-taking behaviors for positive long-term results.	Earned awards based on our performance on financial metrics (TSR & ROIC) over 3-year period.		
	Restricted stock units	RSUs vest on the 3 rd anniversary of the grant date.	Align the interests of senior executives with long-term shareholder value and retain executive talent.	Target award based on job scope, market data and individual performance.		
			Minimizes risk-taking behaviors for positive long-term results.			

Base Salary

We provide base salaries to compensate our NEOs for their primary roles and responsibilities and to provide a stable level of annual compensation. Actual NEO salary levels and increases vary based on the NEO s role, level of responsibility, experience, individual performance, future potential and market value. In addition, salary increases may be warranted because of a promotion or change in responsibilities.

Considering market based positioning and their individual performance, the Compensation Committee approved the 2015 base salary adjustments of the NEOs below:

NEO	20	014 Salary	Action	20	015 Salary
James K. Kamsickas		N/A	N/A	\$	1,100,000
Roger J. Wood	\$	1,025,000	0% Increase	\$	1,025,000
Rodney R. Filcek ⁽¹⁾	\$	324,161	3.0% Increase	\$	333,886
William G. Quigley III	\$	615,000	2.4% Increase	\$	630,000
Mark E. Wallace	\$	565,000	2.6% Increase	\$	580,000
Aziz S. Aghili ⁽²⁾	\$	460,000	11.9% Increase	\$	515,000
Jeffrey S. Bowen	\$	475,000	5.2% Increase	\$	500,000

- (1) Mr. Filcek s base pay above reflects pay as Chief Accounting Officer (2014) and as Chief Accounting Officer and Interim Chief Financial Officer (2015). Compensation for Mr. Filcek was determined by Management during the annual compensation review process and not by the Compensation Committee.
- (2) Mr. Aghili s increase in base pay reflects additional responsibilities in managing and leading our aftermarket business.

Annual Performance-Based Cash Incentive

Our performance-based annual bonus program, the Dana Annual Incentive Plan (AIP) is a cash-based plan intended to motivate and reward employees based on Dana-wide, business unit and individual performance that drive shareholder value.

The AIP covers approximately 2,400 employees, including our NEOs. At the beginning of each year, the Compensation Committee reviews and approves an annual cash bonus target for the NEOs, as a percentage of base salary for the upcoming performance-period. The NEOs may earn from 0% to 200% of their individual target depending on actual consolidated and business unit financial performance, as well as important strategic, operational and other personal performance goals that are pre-established by the Compensation Committee.

As indicated above, the AIP award opportunity is defined for each NEO by consolidated and business unit results as applicable. The objective is to assign a portion of the AIP opportunity to the individual business unit for which the NEO has responsibility, while also promoting collaboration within and between the business units.

For our NEOs, the 2015 AIP target payout opportunities and results weightings are shown in the table below:

NEO	AIP Target Opportunity (% of Base salary)	Performance Results Weighting
James K. Kamsickas	110%	100% Consolidated results
Roger J. Wood	125%	100% Consolidated results
Rodney R. Filcek	50%	100% Consolidated results
William G. Quigley III	75%	100% Consolidated results
Mark E. Wallace	75%	100% Consolidated results
Aziz S. Aghili	70%	40% Consolidated; 60% Business Unit results
Jeffrey S. Bowen	70%	100% Consolidated results

There were no changes to the AIP Target Opportunity for any of the NEOs in 2015, as the target opportunity levels are within the competitive pay range for each position.

The 2015 AIP was based on three key financial performance metrics and designed to reward the achievement of performance goals at both the consolidated and business unit levels. The three performance metrics were:

Earnings Before Interest, Taxes or EBIT (as adjusted for certain non-recurring transactions as approved by Compensation Committee)

EBIT Margin and

Working Capital Efficiency.

EBIT and EBIT Margin are appropriate measures of our underlying earnings for 2015 and a good indication of our overall financial performance. Working Capital Efficiency is a key measure of operating efficiency and is calculated quarterly as the net working capital divided by trade sales. Each quarter is weighted at 25% to determine the overall Working Capital Efficiency result for the performance year.

To determine whether annual incentive awards are paid, performance for the year is measured against specified target levels for each performance metric. The target for 100% annual incentive achievement was based on achieving the levels of EBIT, EBIT Margin and Working Capital Efficiency from the Company s (and Business Unit s) annual operating plan.

Consolidated AIP Performance

The weighting, target performance, actual performance and payout of the 2015 AIP metrics at the consolidated level are as follows:

				Payout
AIP Performance Metrics	Weight	Target	Actual	(as a % of Target)
EBIT	60%	\$514M	\$458M	58%
EBIT Margin	20%	7.9%	7.3%	73%
Working Capital Efficiency	20%	31.4 days	31.8 days	90%
	Weighted Pay	out for Consolid	ated Metrics:	68%

17

Business Unit AIP Performance

For Mr. Aghili, 60% of his AIP award is based on the weighted payout of the three performance metrics described above for the Off-Highway Technologies business unit for which he is responsible. The weighted payout for EBIT, EBIT Margin and Working Capital Efficiency in the Off-Highway Technologies Driveline business unit was 94%.

2015 Annual Incentive Plan Results

The annual incentive payment for 2015, based on the performance metrics shown above, for the NEOs are shown in the table below:

NEO	2015	2015 AIP Award	
James K. Kamsickas	\$	320,892	
Roger J. Wood	\$	871,250	
Rodney R. Filcek	\$	113,521	
William G. Quigley III	\$	321,300	
Mark E. Wallace	\$	295,800	
Aziz S. Aghili	\$	302,820	
Jeffrey S. Bowen	\$	238,000	

The performance and payout range (threshold, target and maximum incentive opportunity) of annual cash incentives for reaching 2015 performance goals under the 2015 AIP for each of our NEOs is provided in the table titled Grants of Plan-Based Awards. The actual award paid, as shown in the table above, is also provided in the Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation column of the Summary Compensation Table.

Personal Performance

In addition, the Compensation Committee reviews strategic, operational and other personal performance goals for the CEO and other NEOs. In 2015, these goals included improving Dana s financial performance, TSR, increasing net new business wins, improving quality and safety, extending the Dana Operating System and lean manufacturing blueprint, and specific product delivery improvements and efficiencies.

Based on the results for those personal performance goals, the executive s annual incentive may be increased or decreased up to 30% of the annual incentive target. However, any additional award based on meeting personal performance goals is contingent on satisfying the minimum level of financial performance set forth in the AIP. Also, the overall award cannot exceed 200% of the individual target. A specific weighting is not assigned to personal performance goals, instead the Compensation Committee applies its judgment considering an executive s performance and contributions to Dana s results on the various performance goals, to determine this award amount, if any. For the 2015 performance year, the following additional awards, based on a percentage of the target award were provided: Mr. Kamsickas (24.1%), Mr. Wood (30%), Mr. Quigley (0%), Mr. Wallace (12.8%), Mr. Aghili (16.6%) and Mr. Bowen (16.9%). Mr. Filcek did not participate in the personal performance portion of the Annual Incentive Plan in 2015.

Long-Term Incentive Program (LTIP)

We provide long-term incentive awards to approximately 150 senior management employees, including our NEOs. We believe that our long-term incentive awards serve an important role by balancing short-term goals with long-term shareholder value creation and minimizing risk-taking behaviors that could negatively affect long-term results. All long-term incentive awards are made under the 2012 Dana Holding Corporation Omnibus Incentive Plan (the Plan).

18

Jeffrey S. Bowen

Similar to the other elements of compensation, at the beginning of the performance period, the Compensation Committee approves the amount of the long-term incentive award, which is based on a percentage of the NEO s base salary. Each NEO s award opportunity is based on a target dollar value assigned to his or her position based on market comparisons for similar positions, using both peer group and general industry market data. The target levels for the NEOs are shown in the table below:

	2015 LTIP Target Opportunity			
	Number			
NEO	of	Number of		
NEO				
	Performance Shares	Restricted Share Units		
James K. Kamsickas	98,061	98,061		
Roger J. Wood	97,849	97,849		
Rodney R. Filcek	$0^{(1)}$	5,825		
William G. Quigley III	35,225	35,225		
Mark E. Wallace	29,189	29,189		
Aziz S. Aghili	23,135	23,135		

22,939

22,939

(1) Mr. Filcek s 2015 Target Opportunity for the LTI plan is paid in the form of Performance Cash of \$129,665, There were no changes to the LTIP Target Opportunity (in terms of a percentage of base salary) for any of the NEOs in 2015, as the target opportunity levels are within the competitive pay range of each position. The value of performance share awards and Restricted Stock Units (RSUs) granted to each of our NEOs in 2015 is shown in the Summary Compensation Table below.

As shown in the table above, the mix of equity incentives for our NEOs is 50% Performance Shares and 50% RSUs. Each of these incentives cliff vest—after a three-year period. We believe both Performance Shares and RSUs are forms of performance-based incentive compensation because Performance Shares provide direct alignment with shareholder interests and the value of RSUs fluctuates based on the stock price performance.

Performance Share awards are tied to the achievement of two performance measures, each weighted equally: Total Shareholder Return (TSR) and Return on Invested Capital (ROIC). Each metric has a performance range that can result in Performance Share awards of 0% to 200% of the target opportunity. TSR performance is relative to the Dow Jones US Industrial Index. TSR is a metric that directly aligns executive pay and value creation for shareholders. ROIC ensures management uses the Company s capital in an effective manner that drives shareholder returns and is well aligned with, and different from, the performance measures used in the AIP. Furthermore, the value of Performance Shares is also tied to the Company s stock price performance, which aligns the executives interests with those of shareholders.

RSUs encourage executives to achieve long-term goals because they increase in value based on gains in the stock price that would also create value for, and alignment with, our shareholders.

To receive both Performance Share awards and RSUs, the executive must remain employed with Dana for three years from the grant date. The graphs and tables below show the correlation between pay and performance for each of the long-term incentive plan performance metrics:

Performance Measure	Threshold	Target	Maximum
Pre-tax Return on Invested Capital (ROIC)			
Performance	91%	100%	109%
Payout	25%	100%	200%
Relative Total Shareholder Return (TSR)			
Performance	25th Percentile	50th Percentile	80th Percentile
Payout	25%	100%	200%
2012 I TID Douforman on Dagod Awards			

2013 LTIP Performance-Based Awards

As previously disclosed in our 2014 Proxy Statement, Dana granted performance cash awards pursuant to the 2013 LTIP covering three performance cycles (2013, 2014 and 2015). Each cycle was measured independently using ROIC as a performance metric, aligned to each year s annual operating plan goals. Each year had the potential to be earned at, below, or above target but the award does not vest until the end of the performance period (December 31, 2015). The ROIC metric had a performance range of 25% to 200% of target. The payout of the 2013 LTIP Performance Cash Award amounted to 96.67% of target which was determined as the average of each tranche: 2013 (100% of target); 2014 (143% of target); and 2015 (47% of target).

Equity awards granted to each of our named executive officers are shown in the Grants of Plan-Based Awards table and Summary Compensation Table below.

Other Elements of Compensation

To remain competitive with other companies and to attract, retain, and motivate highly talented executives, we offer perquisites and a benefits package.

Executive Perquisites Plan

We have adopted an Executive Perquisites Plan that provides for an annual cash allowance to eligible employees (including our NEOs) in lieu of individual executive perquisites. We provide a fixed cash allowance as part of a competitive pay package to assist in recruiting and retaining talented executives. A cash-based program is preferred in lieu of programs such as car allowances, club memberships, and tax and financial

20

Table of Contents

planning typically provided in a company-managed executive perquisite program, which can be administratively burdensome and costly. In addition, our cash perquisite program is a taxable benefit paid on a semi-monthly basis and, unlike some managed perquisite programs, we do not provide tax gross-up payments to cover applicable taxes on the allowance. Our CEO is entitled to \$50,000 annually and the remaining NEOs are each entitled to \$35,000 annually. Mr. Filcek s annual cash perquisite allowance is \$25,000.

International Assignment Benefits

We maintain an International Assignment Policy for certain employees who accept an international assignment at the request of Dana. The benefits under this program generally include some or all of the following benefits as needed: cost of living allowance, location premium, relocation allowance, housing allowance, transportation allowance, tax preparation, assignment completion payment, repatriation allowance and annual home leave. As a result of Mr. Aghili s role as President, Off-Highway Technologies, he receives benefits under this program.

For more information on the benefits provided to Mr. Aghili, see the Summary Compensation Table and related footnotes below.

Health & Welfare Wellness Benefits

We also provide other benefits such as medical, dental, life insurance, accidental disability and dismemberment insurance, short-term disability and long-term disability to our NEOs, which are also provided to all eligible US-based salaried employees. Eligible employees can purchase additional life, dependent life, and accidental death and dismemberment coverage as part of their employee benefits package. Our NEOs and certain other manager-level employees may also purchase supplemental long-term disability insurance.

As part of our employee health and wellness benefit initiative, we provide an executive physical program to certain executives, including Messrs. Kamsickas, Wallace, Aghili, Bowen, Wood and Quigley. The benefit provides an annual routine wellness examination and physical at a cost to Dana not to exceed \$2,500.

Retirement Benefits

We maintain a tax-qualified, safe harbor 401(k) plan for our employees, including the NEOs. Eligible participants may make voluntary contributions to the plan up to Internal Revenue Code limits. Dana makes matching contributions and a discretionary fixed contribution to each eligible employee s 401(k) plan account. We match 100% of the employee s contributions up to 3% of compensation and 50% of the employee s contributions from 3% to 5% of compensation, providing a maximum employer match of 4% of compensation to an employee. The discretionary company fixed contribution is 3.5% of an employee s compensation into the 401(k) plan.

We maintain a non-qualified savings plan (restoration plan), to which we credit amounts to participants, including our NEOs that we would have otherwise provided as matching and fixed contributions under the 401(k) plan if IRS statutory limits on 401(k) plan contributions had not been applicable.

We maintain a non-qualified defined contribution Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan (SERP) and a deferred compensation program for certain executives, including our NEOs. We believe that the SERP and deferred compensation benefits enable us to provide our NEOs with a competitive retirement program in line with our peers. A portion of the SERP benefit is based on our performance. Mr. Filcek is not eligible to participate in the Dana SERP. For more information regarding our non-qualified retirement programs, see the narrative following the Nonqualified Deferred Compensation table.

21

How Compensation Decisions are Made

Role of the Compensation Committee, CEO and Chief Administrative Officer

The Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors assists the Board in fulfilling its obligations related to the compensation of Dana's executive officers, and in general, with respect to compensation and benefits programs relating to all employees. Our current Compensation Committee consists of a chairman and independent directors who are appointed annually by the Board. Under its Charter, the Compensation Committee must have a minimum of three members who meet the requirements for independence as set forth by the SEC, the New York Stock Exchange and our Standards of Director Independence. Members of the Committee must also qualify as non-employee directors within the meaning of Exchange Act Rule 16b-3 and as outside directors for purposes of Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code.

The Compensation Committee members during 2015 were: Keith E. Wandell (Chairman), Joseph C. Muscari and R. Bruce McDonald.

The Compensation Committee s responsibilities include, but are not limited to, reviewing our executive compensation philosophy and strategy, participating in the performance evaluation process for our CEO, setting base salary and incentive opportunities for our CEO and other senior executives (our Executive Leadership Team), establishing the overarching pay philosophy for Dana s management team, establishing incentive compensation and performance goals and objectives for our executive officers and other eligible executives and management, and determining whether performance objectives have been achieved. The Compensation Committee also recommends to the Board employment and severance agreements for our CEO and Executive Leadership Team members. Executive sessions are held by the Compensation Committee without the participation of any member of executive management, including the NEOs. Each year, the Compensation Committee reviews the performance and total compensation package of our NEOs. The Compensation Committee reviews and establishes each NEO s total target and actual compensation for the current year, which includes base salary, annual bonus opportunities and long-term incentive awards.

Our CEO and Chief Administrative Officer (CAO) are responsible for making recommendations to the Compensation Committee regarding base salary and incentive opportunity for the NEOs other than with respect to their own compensation.

Compensation decisions are made by the Compensation Committee using its sole judgment. The Compensation Committee focuses primarily on each NEO s performance against his or her financial and strategic objectives, our overall performance, and a Business Unit s performance where applicable while reserving discretion to reflect the overall business performance.

Role of the Independent Compensation Consultant

The Compensation Committee s charter states the Compensation Committee may retain outside compensation consultants, lawyers or other advisors. The Compensation Committee retains an independent consultant, Mercer (US) Inc. (Mercer), a wholly-owned subsidiary of Marsh & McLennan Companies, Inc. (MMC), to advise it on certain compensation matters. The Compensation Committee has the sole authority to retain, compensate and terminate any independent compensation consultants of its choosing.

In connection with the Compensation Committee s engagement of Mercer, the Compensation Committee considered factors relevant to Mercer s independence, including six factors specified by NYSE rules, and determined that its work does not raise any conflict of interest. The Compensation Committee requested Mercer s advice on a variety of issues, including compensation strategy, market comparisons, review of our peer group, pay and performance alignment versus industry peers, executive pay trends, stock ownership guidelines, compensation best practices and potential compensation plan designs and modifications.

22

Mercer provided the Peer Group and general industry compensation data to management and the Compensation Committee and it was used as a frame of reference for establishing compensation targets for base salary, annual bonus and long-term incentives for all of the NEOs at the beginning of 2015.

In addition to its services for the Compensation Committee, separate and distinct from executive and director compensation consulting services, Mercer provided select services for Dana in various other capacities in 2015. Those services included international benefits pooling consulting and other global compensation consulting where Mercer data was most relevant in a given country. These other services were not approved by our Board of Directors or the Compensation Committee because they relate to broad-based compensation and benefit plans. Our management used Pay Governance LLC (Pay Governance) for executive compensation advice.

Compensation Policies & Practices

Dana s Stock Ownership Guidelines

The Compensation Committee adopted stock ownership guidelines for our NEOs to encourage executives to own a significant number of shares of our common stock. The stock ownership guidelines are calculated based on a multiple of the executive s annual base salary.

We require the NEOs to achieve the required percentage of the targeted stock ownership levels on a schedule from two to five years of being promoted or named to the applicable executive position. The table below shows the schedule in attaining the targeted amount of stock ownership:

	Minimum	Percentage of Ownership Guideline to Satisfy			
Title	Investment	2 Years	4 Years	5 Years	
President and Chief Executive Officer Other NEOs	5 x Base Salary 3 x Base Salary	40% 40%	80% 80%	100% 100%	

All of the NEOs have met or exceeded the ownership requirements according to the above schedule as established under our guidelines. Mr. Filcek is not required to meet the stock ownership guidelines.

Clawback Provisions

To mitigate risk to Dana of paying either annual or long-term incentives based on faulty financial results, we have a policy (Clawback Policy) regarding adjustment of performance-based compensation in the event of a restatement of our financial results. It provides that the Compensation Committee will review all bonuses and other compensation paid or awarded to our executive officers based on the achievement of corporate performance goals during the period covered by a restatement. If the amount of such compensation paid or payable to any executive officer based on the originally reported financial results differs from the amount that would have been paid or payable based on the restated financial results, the Compensation Committee makes a recommendation to the independent members of the Board as to whether to seek recovery from the executive officer of any compensation exceeding that to which he or she would have been entitled based on the restated results. In the case of Messrs. J. Kamsickas and R. Wood, their executive employment agreements set forth clawback provisions in addition to the Clawback Policy described above. These additional clawback provisions are described below under Executive Agreements.

Hedging & Pledging of Dana Stock

Table of Contents

Under the terms of our Insider Trading Policy, no employee or director is permitted to engage in securities transactions that would allow them either to insulate themselves from, or profit from, a decline in Dana s stock

36

price. Similarly, no employee or director may enter into hedging transactions in Dana s stock. Such transactions include, and are not limited to, short sales as well as any hedging transactions in derivative securities (e.g. puts, calls, swaps or collars) or other speculative transactions relating to Dana s stock. Pledging of Dana s stock is also prohibited.

Equity-Based Grant Practices

Under our equity-based grant practices, we make regular equity-based grants to eligible employees, including NEOs, in the first quarter of the calendar year at a regularly scheduled meeting of the Compensation Committee. Under our current practice, the exercise price, in the case of stock options, is the closing price of our common stock on the New York Stock Exchange on the day of the grant. We also may award equity-based grants during the year to newly-hired executive officers as part of their compensation package or to executives based on a promotion during the year. In the case of equity-based grants to newly-hired employees who may be executive officers within the meaning of Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code, or officers subject to Section 16 of the Exchange Act, including NEOs, the grants are authorized by the Compensation Committee.

Mitigation of Potential Risk in Pay Programs

The Compensation Committee has reviewed our compensation policies and practices and determined that none are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on Dana. To avoid excessive risk-taking behaviors, Dana has put in place several mechanisms, including, but not limited to:

Caps on annual incentive payouts;
Financial performance-based annual incentive program;
Long-term incentive awards (which are delivered primarily in the form of equity);
Mix of multiple types of awards;
Use of multiple metrics to determine annual and long-term incentive payouts; and

CEO Employment Agreements

Clawback and anti-hedging and pledging policies.

Our Compensation Committee determined it is market practice to offer an executive employment agreement to the President and Chief Executive Officer. Terms of the employment agreements for Messrs. J. Kamsickas and R. Wood can be found in the CEO Employment Agreement section below. No other NEO has an employment agreement.

Severance Arrangements

We have adopted both an executive severance plan (Executive Severance Plan) and a change in control severance plan (Change in Control Plan). Each of our current NEOs participates in these plans. These arrangements provide certainty to both Dana and the former executive as to their rights and obligations to each other, including restrictive covenants and non-compete agreements.

Executive Severance Plan

The Executive Severance Plan was adopted in 2008 to provide severance compensation to eligible executives whose employment is terminated for a reason other than cause, death, total disability or voluntary resignation.

24

Change in Control Plan

We have also adopted the Change in Control Plan to provide severance benefits to eligible executives whose employment is terminated as a result of a change in control. Each of our current NEOs is eligible to participate in the plan. We believe that such a plan helps to both attract and retain executives by reducing the personal uncertainty that arises from the possibility of a future business combination or restructuring. Dana believes that the Change in Control Plan helps to increase shareholder value by encouraging the executives to consider change in control transactions that are in the best interest of Dana and its shareholders, even if the transaction may ultimately result in termination of their employment. The plan contains a double-trigger provision (i.e. termination of employment after a change in control) in vesting of equity awards and for distributing severance payments in the event of any change in control. No excise tax gross-up is provided for under this plan.

Additional information on the terms and conditions of these plans as they relate to our NEOs is described in the section entitled Potential Payments and Benefits upon Termination or Change in Control below.

Impact of Accounting and Tax Treatments

Deductibility of Executive Compensation

Subject to certain exceptions, Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code limits our ability to deduct compensation in excess of \$1 million per year paid to our NEOs (other than the CFO). This limitation generally does not apply to compensation that is considered performance-based. It is our Compensation Committee s position that in administering the performance-based portion of Dana s executive compensation program, it will attempt to satisfy the requirements for deductibility under Section 162(m).

Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation

We account for stock-based payments under our equity-based plans in accordance with the requirements of FASB ASC Topic 718. Further information about this accounting treatment can be found in Note 9 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in Dana s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015 which accompanies this Proxy Statement.

Compensation Committee Report

The Compensation Committee has reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis (CD&A) with management. Based on this review and discussion, the Compensation Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the CD&A be included in this Proxy Statement and incorporated by reference into our Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Compensation Committee

Keith E. Wandell, Chairman

Joseph C. Muscari

R. Bruce McDonald

February 24, 2016

25

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The following table summarizes the compensation of our President and CEO, Executive Vice President and CFO, and our three other most highly compensated executive officers serving at the end of the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015 (collectively, the named executive officers) for services rendered during the years stated in all capacities to Dana and our subsidiaries.

SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE

							Change		
							in		
							Nonqualified		
Name and				Stock	Ontion	Incentive Plan	Deferred		
Name and			_		Option		Compensation		
	(2)	Salary	Bonus	Awards		Compensation		All Other	Total
Principal Position ⁽¹⁾	Year ⁽²⁾	(\$)	(\$) ⁽³⁾	(\$) ⁽⁴⁾	(\$)	(\$)	(.,	mpensation(\$) ⁽⁷⁾	(\$)
James K. Kamsickas	2015	430,833	500,000	3,467,888	0	612,000	0	82,970	5,093,691
President and Chief Executive									
Officer	2015	1.025.000	0	4 400 571	0	2 (50 20)		401.270	0.500.511
Roger J. Wood	2015	1,025,000	0	4,422,571	0	2,659,306		401,370	8,508,511
Former President and Chief	2014	1,025,000	0	4,414,982	0	2,974,151		441,370	8,855,503
Executive Officer (Retiring	2013	1,006,250	0	1,402,863	1,349,448	3,230,316	0	2,026,525	9,015,402
April, 2016)	2015	221 455	0	2(2.100(5)	0	100 500	0	(2.112	957 227
Rodney R. Filcek	2015	331,455	0	263,180 ⁽⁵⁾	0	199,589	0	63,113	857,337
Senior Vice President, Interim									
Chief Financial Officer and Chief Accounting Officer									
William G. Quigley III	2015	626,250	0	1,592,374	0	810,192	. 0	197,886	3,226,702
Former Executive Vice	2013	615,000	0	1,588,123	0	1,043,192		213,987	3,460,302
President	2014	013,000	Ü	1,366,123	U	1,043,192	, 0	213,967	3,400,302
and Chief Financial Officer	2013	611,250	0	522,309	511,368	548,888	0	175,819	2,369,634
(Retiring March, 2016)	2013	011,230	Ü	322,309	311,300	540,000	0	175,619	2,309,034
Aziz S. Aghili	2015	508,125	0	1,044,691	0	694,552	. 0	1,103,260(8)	3,350,628
President, Off-Highway	2013	460,000	0	931,976	0	761,461		725,744	2,879,181
Technologies	2013	457,500	0	309,502	300,801	540,986		1,634,821	3,243,610
Mark E. Wallace	2015	576,250	0	1,321,625	0	781,324		190,166	2,869,365
Executive Vice President Dana	2014	565,000	0	1,316,432	0	951,560		209,099	3,042,091
and		,		-,,		,		,	-,- :-,
Group President On-Highway	2013	561,750	0	440,318	424,337	985,297	0	174,313	2,586,015
Driveline Technologies		,		,-	,	,		,-	, ,,-
Jeffrey S. Bowen	2015	493,750	0	1,036,982	0	643,539	0	228,837	2,403,108
Chief Administrative Officer	2014	475,000	0	1,036,851	0	775,393		169,745	2,456,989
	2013	472,500	0	344,879	334,140	740,314	. 0	148,575	2,040,408

Footnotes:

- (1) The latest position held by the named executive officer as of December 31, 2015.
- (2) We have disclosed full year compensation only for those years during which the executive was a named executive officer.
- (3) This column includes a sign-on bonus provided to Mr. Kamsickas as part of his executive employment agreement upon commencement of his with Dana as discussed in the Executive Agreement section below.

(4)

With respect to the 2015 grants, this column shows the grant date value of the performance share and restricted stock unit awards. Also, included in this column are dividend equivalent units earned in 2015. For additional information on the assumptions used in determining fair value for share-based compensation, refer to Notes 1 and 9 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements in Dana s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015. See the Grants of Plan-Based Awards table below for information on awards made in 2015. See the Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End table for information on the market value of shares not vested as of December 31, 2015.

- (5) Mr. Filcek s 2015 grant included performance cash and not performance shares.
- (6) This column shows the cash incentive awards earned for performance under our 2015 Annual Incentive Program (AIP) and our 2013 Long-Term Incentive Performance Cash program payable in 2016.

Annual Incentive Program Payments	Long-Term Incentive Performance Cash Payments							
James K. Kamsickas	\$ 612,000	James K. Kamsickas	\$	0				
Roger J. Wood	\$ 1,255,625	Roger J. Wood	\$ 1	,403,681				
Rodney R. Filcek	\$ 113,521	Rodney R. Filcek	\$	86,068				
William G. Quigley III	\$ 321,300	William G. Quigley III	\$	488,892				
Aziz S. Aghili	\$ 362,663	Aziz S. Aghili	\$	331,889				
Mark E. Wallace	\$ 351,480	Mark E. Wallace	\$	429,844				
Jeffrey S. Bowen	\$ 297,150	Jeffrey S. Bowen	\$	346,389				

26

Table of Contents

- (7) The total values shown for the individuals during 2015 include perquisites and benefits set forth below and in footnote 6. See the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section above regarding our executive perquisites allowance:
 - a. *James K. Kamsickas* \$20,833 for perquisite allowance; \$19,875 for contributions to Dana Retirement Savings Plan (401K); \$12,438 for credits to Dana Restoration Plan; \$25,658 representing the change in value of the supplemental executive retirement plan; \$1,363 for life benefits (including AD&D and group variable universal life insurance); and \$2,803 for business-related spousal travel.
 - b. Roger J. Wood \$50,000 for perquisite allowance; \$19,875 for contributions to Dana Retirement Savings Plan (401K); \$154,093 for credits to Dana Restoration Plan; \$170,920 representing the change in value of the supplemental executive retirement plan; \$2,620 for life benefits (including AD&D and group variable universal life insurance); \$1,951 for business-related spousal travel; and \$2,175 for executive physical.
 - c. Rodney R. Filcek \$25,000 for perquisite allowance; \$19,875 for contributions to Dana Retirement Savings Plan (401K); \$15,560 for credits to Dana Restoration Plan; \$1,977 for life benefits (including AD&D and group variable universal life insurance); and \$701 for business-related spousal travel.
 - d. William G. Quigley III \$35,000 for perquisite allowance; \$19,875 for contributions to Dana Retirement Savings Plan (401K); \$62,504 for credits to Dana Restoration Plan; \$78,237 representing the change in value of the supplemental executive retirement plan; \$1,720 for life benefits (including AD&D and group variable universal life insurance); and \$550 for executive physical.
 - e. Aziz S. Aghili \$35,000 for perquisite allowance; \$19,875 for contributions to Dana Retirement Savings Plan (401K); \$48,741 for credits to Dana Restoration Plan; \$65,935 representing the change in value of the supplemental executive retirement plan; \$1,579 for life benefits (including AD&D and group variable universal life insurance); and \$932,130 for international assignment benefits.
 - f. Mark E. Wallace \$35,000 for perquisite allowance; \$19,875 for contributions to Dana Retirement Savings Plan (401K); \$57,134 for credits to Dana Restoration Plan; \$75,694 representing the change in value of the supplemental executive retirement plan; \$936 for life benefits (including AD&D and group variable universal life insurance); and \$1,527 for business-related spousal travel.
 - g. *Jeffrey S. Bowen* \$35,000 for perquisite allowance; \$19,875 for contributions to Dana Retirement Savings Plan (401K); \$45,780 for credits to Dana Restoration Plan; \$125,843 representing the change in value of the supplemental executive retirement plan; \$1,932 for life benefits (including AD&D and group variable universal life insurance); and \$407 for business-related spousal travel.
- (8) During 2015, Dana made tax gross-up payments to Mr. Aghili, of \$72,262 for international assignment benefits.

 The following table contains information on grants of awards to named executive officers in the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015 under Dana s Plan.

Grants of Plan-Based Awards at Fiscal Year-End

			Future Pay quity Incent Awards ⁽¹⁾				nyouts Under nn Awards ⁽²⁾	All Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares of Stock	All Other Option Awards: Number of Securities Underlying	Exercise or Base Price of Option	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option
Name	Grant Date	Threshold (\$)	Target (\$)	Maximum (\$)	Threshold (#)	Target (#)	Maximum (#)	or Units (#) ⁽³⁾	Options (#)	Awards (\$/Sh)	Awards (\$)(4)
James K. Kamsickas	8/11/2015 8/11/2015	\','	\.' <i>,</i>	\.' <i>,</i>	24,515	98,061	196,122	98,783		, , ,	1,818,051 1,829,828

Edgar Filing: DANA HOLDING CORP - Form DEF 14A

	AIP	23,595	471,900	943,800					
Roger J. Wood	2/24/2015 2/24/2015				24,462	97,849	195,698	101,431	2,178,119 2,244,440
	AIP	64,063	1,281,250	2,562,500					
Rodney R. Filcek	2/24/2015 2/24/2015	32,416	129,665	259,330				6,033	133,516
	AIP	8,347	166,943	333,886					
William G. Quigley III	2/24/2015 2/24/2015	22.625	470 500		8,806	35,225	70,450	36,530	784,109 808,265
	AIP	23,625	472,500	945,000	7 7 0 1	22.425	46.050		544.005
Aziz Aghili	2/24/2015 2/24/2015				5,784	23,135	46,270	23,930	514,985 529,706
	AIP	18,025	360,500	721,000					
Mark E. Wallace	2/24/2015 2/24/2015				7,297	29,189	58,378	30,271	649,747 671,878
	AIP	21,750	435,000	870,000					
Jeffrey S. Bowen	2/24/2015 2/24/2015				5,735	22,939	45,878	23,789	510,622 526,360
	AIP	17,500	350,000	700,000					

Footnotes:

⁽¹⁾ These columns reflect the potential payments for each of the named executive officers under our 2015 AIP. As discussed in the Annual Incentive Program section of the Compensation Discussion and Analysis above, the actual payout for the 2015 AIP consolidated metrics was 68% of target based on 2015 performance against established metrics. Refer to the Non-Equity Incentive Compensation Plan column of the Summary Compensation Table for individual pay-out amounts. Refer to the 2015 Annual Incentive Program portion of the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section above for additional information on such program, including the performance targets that correspond to the potential payments listed. The amounts reflected for Mr. Filcek are attributable to performance cash for fifty percent of the 2015 LTIP grant and cliff vest at the end of the three-year performance period.

Table of Contents

- (2) These columns reflect the potential issuance of shares for each of the named executive officers under the Performance Share component of the 2015 LTIP. As discussed in the Long-Term Incentive Awards section of the Compensation Discussion and Analysis , performance shares account for fifty percent of the 2015 LTIP and cliff vest at the end of the three-year period based on performance against established metrics. Refer to the 2015 Long-Term Incentive Awards portion of the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section above for additional information on such program, including the performance targets that correspond to the potential pay-outs listed.
- (3) This amount represents the number of restricted stock units granted under the Restricted Stock Unit component of the 2015 LTIP and the dividend equivalent units granted in 2015. As discussed in the Long-Term Incentive Awards section of the Compensation Discussion and Analysis , restricted stock units accounted for fifty percent of the 2015 LTIP. The restricted stock units cliff vest three (3) years from the date of grant.
- (4) This column represents the fair value (at grant date) of performance shares, restricted stock units and dividend equivalents granted to each of the named executive officers in 2015. The value of the performance share and restricted stock unit grants is calculated using the closing stock price on the date of grant. The performance share grants assume a target level of performance.

2012 Dana Holding Corporation Omnibus Incentive Plan. The 2012 Dana Holding Corporation Omnibus Incentive Plan (the Plan) is administered by the Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee may grant stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, restricted stock units, performance awards and other stock-based and non-stock based awards under the Plan.

The maximum number of shares of Dana s common stock available under the Plan is 5,000,000, plus (i) any shares of common stock available for future awards under the 2008 Dana Holding Corporation Omnibus Incentive Plan (Prior Plan); and (ii) any shares of common stock that are represented by awards granted under the Prior Plan which are forfeited, expire or are cancelled without delivery of the shares or which result in the forfeiture of shares back to Dana. Any shares related to awards that terminate or are forfeited are added back to the pool. The aggregate number of shares of common stock actually issued or transferred by Dana upon the exercise of incentive stock options may not exceed 4,000,000 shares. We have not granted any incentive stock options under the Plan. Further, no participant may be granted option rights or appreciation rights for more than 2,000,000 shares of common stock during any calendar year, subject to adjustments as provided in the Plan. In no event may any participant receive restricted shares, restricted stock units or performance shares in the aggregate for more than 1,500,000 shares of common stock during any calendar year, or receive an award of performance units having an aggregate maximum value as of their respective dates of grant in excess of \$15,000,000. The maximum number of shares that may be granted under the Plan is subject to adjustment in the event of stock dividends, stock splits, combinations of shares, recapitalizations, mergers, consolidations, spin-offs, reorganizations, liquidations, issuances of rights or warrants, and similar events. No grants may be made under the Plan after April 23, 2022.

Under the Plan, the Board of Directors may also, in its discretion, authorize the granting to non-employee directors of option rights and appreciation rights and may also authorize the granting of other types of awards. Upon a change in control of Dana, except as otherwise provided in the terms of the award or as provided by the Compensation Committee, to the extent outstanding awards are not assumed, converted or replaced by the resulting entity, all outstanding awards that may be exercised will become fully exercisable, all restrictions with respect to outstanding awards will lapse and such awards become fully vested and non-forfeitable, and any specified performance measures with respect to outstanding awards will be deemed to be satisfied at target levels.

28

The following table provides information on stock option, performance share and restricted stock unit grants awarded pursuant to the Plan for each named executive officer that were outstanding as of December 31, 2015. Each outstanding award is shown separately. The market value of the stock awards is based on the closing market price of Dana common stock on December 31, 2015 of \$13.80 per share.

Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End

		Option Av	vards			Sto		
	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options	Option Exercise	Option	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not
	(#)	(#)	Price	Expiration	Vested	Vested	Vested	Vested
Name	Exercisable	Unexercisable	(\$)	Date	(#)	(\$)	(#)	(\$)
James K. Kamsickas					98,783(2)	1,363,205	98,061(6)	1,353,242
Roger J. Wood	137,830		16.59	4/18/21	$84,765^{(3)}$	1,169,757	102,984 ⁽⁷⁾	1,421,179
	152,761		15.96	2/21/22	103,912(4)	1,433,986	97,849(8)	1,350,316
	120,756	60,578(1)	16.19	2/25/23	99,072 ⁽⁵⁾	1,367,194		
Rodney R. Filcek	23,470		10.00	4/16/18	$5,196^{(3)}$	71,705	89,036(9)	89,036
	30,000		0.51	3/18/19	6,079(4)	83,890	133,555(10)	133,555
	10,615		11.27	3/20/20	5,896 ⁽⁵⁾	81,365	129,665(11)	129,665
	7,752		17.80	2/23/21				
	9,023		15.96	2/21/22				
	7,310	3,656(1)	16.19	2/25/23			_	
William G. Quigley III	20,000		16.33	3/1/22	32,520(3)	448,776	37,074 ⁽⁷⁾	511,621
	59,612		16.33	3/1/22	37,874 ⁽⁴⁾	522,661	35,225(8)	486,105
	45,760	22,880(1)	16.19	2/25/23	35,664(5)	492,163	(7)	
Aziz S. Aghili	5,846		17.80	2/23/21	19,219(3)	265,222	21,749 ⁽⁷⁾	300,136
	22,702		15.96	2/21/22	22,217(4)	306,595	23,135(8)	319,263
	26,917	13,459(1)	16.19	2/25/23	23,423(5)	323,237		
Mark E. Wallace	16,012		15.96	2/21/22	26,986(3)	372,407	30,721 ⁽⁷⁾	423,950
	18,986	18,986(1)	16.19	2/25/23	31,384(4)	433,099	29,189(8)	402,808
T 00 0 D	24465		44.00	0.12.0.15	29,553(5)	407,831	21117	222.453
Jeffrey S. Bowen	34,136		11.98	9/20/21	21,249(3)	293,236	24,143(7)	333,173
	32,538		15.96	2/21/22	24,664 ⁽⁴⁾	340,363	22,939(8)	316,558
	29,900	14,951 ⁽¹⁾	16.19	2/25/23	$23,224^{(5)}$	320,491		

Footnotes:

- $(1) Options \ vest \ in \ 1/3^{rd} \ increments \ annually \ with \ the \ remaining \ vesting \ dates \ of \ February \ 25, \ 2016.$
- (2) Restricted stock units granted on August 11, 2015 to cliff vest on August 11, 2018.
- (3) Restricted stock units granted on February 25, 2013 to cliff vest on February 25, 2016.
- (4) Restricted stock units granted on February 25, 2014 to cliff vest on February 25, 2017.
- (5) Restricted stock units granted on February 24, 2015 to cliff vest on February 24, 2018.

- (6) Performance shares granted on August 11, 2015 to cliff vest after 3-year performance period.
- (7) Performance shares granted on February 25, 2014 to cliff vest after 3-year performance period.
- (8) Performance shares granted on February 24, 2015 to cliff vest after 3-year performance period.
- (9) Mr. Filcek s grant on February 25, 2013 included performance cash and not performance shares; to cliff vest after 3-year performance period.
- (10) Mr. Filcek s grant on February 25, 2014 included performance cash and not performance shares; to cliff vest after 3-year performance period.
- (11) Mr. Filcek s grant on February 24, 2015 included performance cash and not performance shares; to cliff vest after 3-year performance period.

29

The following table provides information concerning the exercise of stock options, stock appreciation rights and the vesting of performance share units and restricted stock units, during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015, for each of the named executive officers.

Options Exercised and Stock Vested During Fiscal Year

	Option A	Awards		k Aw	ards
	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise	Value Realized on Exercise	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting		lue Realized on Vesting
Name	(#)	(\$)	(#)		(\$) ⁽¹⁾
James K. Kamsickas					
Roger J. Wood			87,229	\$	1,972,248
Rodney R. Filcek			5,151	\$	116,464
William G. Quigley III			30,511	\$	666,665
Mark E. Wallace			27,429	\$	620,170
Aziz S. Aghili			19,443	\$	439,606
Jeffrey S. Bowen			18,579	\$	420,071
<u>Footnotes</u> :					

The following table provides information on the nonqualified deferred compensation of the named executive officers with respect to the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015.

Nonqualified Deferred Compensation at Fiscal Year-End

	Dana credits in 2015	Aggregate earnings in 2015	Aggregate Withdrawals/ Distributions in 2015	Aggregate Balance on 12/31/15
Name	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)
James K. Kamsickas	\$ 38,096(1)	0	0	\$ 38,096
Roger J. Wood	\$ 298,370(1)	\$ 21,714	0	\$ 1,302,708
Rodney R. Filcek ⁽⁴⁾	\$ 15,560(1)	(\$ 93)	0	\$ 76,741
William G. Quigley III	\$ 130,823(1)	\$ 7,406	0	\$ 468,259
Aziz S. Aghili	\$ 105,647(1)	\$ 6,758	0	\$ 435,762
Mark E. Wallace	\$ 120,999(1)	$(\$ 4,188)^{(2)}$	0	\$ 776,948(3)
Jeffrey S. Bowen	\$ 100,230(1)	\$ 68,572	0	\$ 1,708,235
Footnotes:				

(1) Includes credit for employer fixed and matching contributions that exceed the IRS limits for our qualified 401(k) plan and credit for the supplemental executive retirement plan described below. This credit is also reflected in footnote 7 of the Summary Compensation Table above.

Restoration Plan Company Credits		Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan Credits					
James K. Kamsickas	\$ 12.438	James K. Kamsickas	\$ 25,658				

⁽¹⁾ These values represent the vesting of restricted stock units and were determined by using the closing prices of our common stock on the New York Stock Exchange on such vesting dates.

Roger J. Wood	\$ 154,093	Roger J. Wood	\$ 144,277
Rodney R. Filcek	\$ 15,560	Rodney R. Filcek	\$ 0(4)
William G. Quigley III	\$ 62,504	William G. Quigley III	\$ 68,319
Aziz S. Aghili	\$ 48,741	Aziz S. Aghili	\$ 56,906
Mark E. Wallace	\$ 57,134	Mark E. Wallace	\$ 63,865
Jeffrey S. Bowen	\$ 45,780	Jeffrey S. Bowen	\$ 54,450

- (2) Includes earnings on employee deferrals in the deferred compensation plan.
- (3) Includes deferred compensation plan balance.
- (4) Mr. Filcek does not participate in the supplemental executive retirement plan.

30

Retirement Plans

Dana maintains a non-qualified supplemental executive retirement plan for certain executives, including the named executive officers. Under the terms of the supplemental executive retirement plan, Dana established unfunded notional defined contribution accounts subject to the claims of Dana s general creditors. Each participant account will be credited on an annual basis as follows: (a) fixed employer credits equal to 3.5% of compensation; and (b) discretionary employer credits based on Dana s sole discretion and company performance not to exceed 4% of compensation. Dana credits the accumulated balance of each account with an annualized compounded rate of return of 5%. Participants are fully vested after five (5) years of service or upon death, disability or Change in Control.

Dana also maintains a non-qualified deferred compensation plan that allows certain executives to defer base pay and/or incentive pay into unfunded notional accounts subject to the claims of Dana s general creditors.

CEO EMPLOYMENT AGREEMENT

James K. Kamsickas

Mr. Kamsickas became President and Chief Executive Officer and a member of the Board of Directors of the Company effective August 11, 2015. Under the terms of the agreement in connection with his appointment as President and Chief Executive Officer, Mr. Kamsickas is entitled to the following:

Annual base salary;

Upon the achievement of target-level performance, an annual bonus of one hundred ten percent (110%) of his annual base salary which will be paid on a pro-rated basis for the 2015 performance period;

Individual incentive of plus or minus thirty percent (30%) of his annual bonus;

Eligible for annual grants pursuant to the long-term incentive program under Dana s 2012 Omnibus Incentive Plan to be valued at four hundred twenty-five percent (425%) of his annual base salary;

A special grant of common stock valued at \$5,000,000 granted thirty percent (30%) on the first anniversary of his effective date of hire, thirty-five percent (35%) on the second anniversary of his effective date of hire, and thirty-five percent (35%) on the third anniversary of his effective date of hire;

A sign-on cash award of \$1,000,000 payable fifty percent (50%) on his effective date of hire and fifty percent (50%) on the first anniversary of his effective date of hire;

Appointment to the Dana Board of Directors;

Reimbursement of reasonable relocation expenses incurred for relocation of his principal residence and temporary living expenses in accordance with Dana s relocation program applicable to Dana s senior executives;

All of Dana s benefit plans or arrangements in effect from time to time with respect generally to senior executives; and

Reimbursement for professional fees and costs incurred in connection with the negotiation and documentation of his employment arrangements.

Mr. Kamsickas employment agreement is for an initial term of three (3) years, subject to extension at the end of the term for additional one-year terms. Mr. Kamsickas executive employment agreement also provides for severance payments and benefits in the event that his position with Dana is involuntarily terminated by Dana without cause or by Mr. Kamsickas for good reason. Additionally, the executive employment agreement includes clawback provisions that apply to his sign-on awards in the event he voluntarily terminates service without good reason or is terminated for cause on or before the third anniversary date of his employment. For a period of twenty-four (24) months following his termination of employment, Mr. Kamsickas is prohibited from competing against Dana, soliciting its customers or employees, and working for a competitor. Mr. Kamsickas has also agreed that he will not disclose Dana s confidential information.

Former President and CEO Roger J. Wood

As previously disclosed, on January 12, 2015 we entered into a new executive employment agreement with Mr. Wood given his decision to retire from Dana as President and Chief Executive Officer at the end of April 2016. Since the appointment of Mr. Kamsickas as President and Chief Executive Officer on August 11, 2015, Mr. Wood continues to serve in an advisory role until his retirement.

Mr. Wood is entitled to (1) continuation of his base salary through the end of April 2016, (2) a bonus for 2015 based on actual performance as if he were employed for the entire year, and eligibility for an additional bonus equal to 30% of his target bonus based on Mr. Wood s support of the succession and transition process, (3) continuation of welfare benefits through the end of April 2016, (4) vesting of all stock options, pro-rated vesting of restricted stock units, and pro-rated vesting of performance cash and share awards for performance years that include the end of April 2016 based on actual performance and (5) deemed satisfaction of vesting requirements under our SERP. Mr. Wood will have the same entitlements if his employment is terminated by us without cause or by Mr. Wood for good reason prior to April 2016. For a period of twenty-four (24) months following his termination of employment, Mr. Wood is prohibited from competing against Dana, soliciting its customers or employees, and working for a competitor. Mr. Wood has also agreed that he will not disclose Dana s confidential information.

POTENTIAL PAYMENTS AND BENEFITS

UPON TERMINATION OR CHANGE IN CONTROL

As discussed in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section above, Dana maintains both an Executive Severance Plan and Change in Control Plan that apply to certain senior executives, including our named executive officers.

Set forth below is a description of each plan (applicable to eligible executive officers, including named executive officers). This is followed by tables relating to Messrs. Kamsickas, Wood, Filcek, Quigley, Wallace, Aghili and Bowen.

Executive Severance Plan

In the event any eligible executive officer, except our CEO, is involuntarily terminated by Dana without cause and such termination occurs prior to a change in control, Dana will pay the executive an amount based on his or her annual base salary and medical benefits coverage in effect on the date of termination for a period of twelve (12) months. The medical benefit payment allows, but does not require, the employee to purchase additional coverage equal to a total of one (1) year.

During 2015, our CEO was entitled to receive an amount based on his annual base salary in effect on the date of termination for a period of twenty-four (24) months. Additionally, we would have provided two (2) years of subsidized COBRA to our CEO if he elected to purchase additional coverage. The Executive Severance Plan contains an offset provision to prevent executives with severance provisions under an employment agreement from receiving double benefits.

Additionally, the executive, except our CEO, will receive payment or receive reimbursement for reasonable costs of outplacement services, subject to a maximum amount of \$25,000. During 2015, our CEO would have received payment or reimbursement for reasonable costs of outplacement services, subject to a maximum amount of \$50,000 for a period of twenty-four (24) months beginning on the employment termination date.

Change in Control Plan

Under our Change in Control Plan, all eligible executive officers, except our CEO, who incur a qualifying termination will be entitled to receive two (2) years of salary and twice his or her target bonus for the year in which termination occurs. Our CEO is entitled to receive three (3) years of salary and three (3) times his target

32

bonus for the year in which termination occurs. In addition, each named executive officer will be entitled to: (1) the full amount of any earned but unpaid base salary through the date of termination plus a cash payment for all unused vacation time accrued as of the termination date; (2) a pro rata portion of his or her annual bonus for the year in which termination occurs; (3) all equity awards which will vest in full and become fully exercisable as of the termination date; (4) any actual award credited to an eligible employee in connection with Dana's performance awards all of which vest in full as of date of termination; (5) a lump sum cash amount to allow, but not require, the employee to purchase additional coverage equal to a total of two (2) years (three (3) years for our CEO) of subsidized COBRA; (6) the employee assistance program; and (7) reasonable costs of outplacement services not to exceed \$25,000 (\$50,000 for our CEO). The plan does not provide for any excise tax gross-up payments to executive officers in connection with a change in control.

The following tables set forth the potential payments that would have been due to our named executive officers upon termination or a change of control as of December 31, 2015.

James K. Kamsickas

The following table describes the potential termination and change in control payments to Mr. Kamsickas, Dana s President and Chief Executive Officer, under a variety of circumstances.

	(Change in		hange in Control							•	oluntary
		Control and	and Not						Termination Without			ermination vith Good
Pay Element	Te	rminated ⁽¹⁾	Te	Terminated		Death		isability	Cause		Reason	
Cash Compensation												
Base Salary	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	$2,200,000^{(2)}$	\$	$2,200,000^{(2)}$
Annual Incentive Award (3)	\$	612,000	\$	612,000	\$	612,000	\$	612,000	\$	612,000	\$	612,000
Inducement Awards	\$	5,500,000(4)										
Separation Payment	\$	6,930,000(5)										
Long-Term Incentive												
Performance Shares	\$	1,353,242(6)	\$	0	\$	150,351(7)	\$	150,351(7)	\$	150,351(7)	\$	150,351(7)
Restricted Stock Units	\$	1,363,205(6)	\$	0	\$	151,455(8)	\$	151,455(8)	\$	151,455 ⁽⁸⁾	\$	151,455(8)
Benefits and Perquisites												
Health, insurance, etc.	\$	48,069(9)	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	32,046(10)	\$	32,046(10)
Life Insurance Benefits	\$	0	\$	0	\$	5,000,000(11)	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0
Restoration Plan ⁽¹²⁾	\$	12,438	\$	0	\$	12,438	\$	12,438	\$	12,438	\$	12,438
SERP ⁽¹³⁾	\$	25,658	\$	0	\$	25,658	\$	25,658	\$	25,658	\$	25,658
Accrued Vacation(14)	\$	91,667	\$	0	\$	91,667	\$	91,667	\$	91,667	\$	91,667
<u>Other</u>												
Outplacement	\$	50,000	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	50,000	\$	50,000
Total	\$	15,986,279	\$	612,000	\$	6,043,569	\$	1,043,569	\$	3,325,615	\$	3,325,615
Footnotes:												

- (1) Change in control benefits available to Mr. Kamsickas under our Change in Control Plan.
- (2) Mr. Kamsickas is entitled to receive an amount equal to 24 months of his base salary pursuant to the terms of his executive employment agreement.
- (3) Based on actual results.
- (4) Pursuant to Mr. Kamsickas employment agreement, he is entitled to receive a special grant of common stock valued at \$5,000,000 and a sign-on cash award of \$500,000.

(5) Mr. Kamsickas would have been eligible for a separation payment equal to the sum of his annual base salary and the target bonus multiplied by three (3).
(6) All performance shares and restricted stock units vest in full; for purposes of this analysis, we have assumed target performance for the unearned performance periods.
(7) Performance shares vest on a *pro rata* basis; for purposes of this analysis, we have assumed target performance for the unearned performance periods.
(8) Restricted stock units vest on a *pro rata* basis.
(9) For a Change in Control, Mr. Kamsickas would receive a lump sum cash payment in the amount of the difference of his employee premium share and COBRA costs for a period of three (3) years.
(10) Mr. Kamsickas would receive a lump sum cash payment in the amount of the difference of his employee premium share and COBRA costs for a period of two (2) years.
(11) Mr. Kamsickas is eligible for a life insurance benefit, valued at five (5) million dollars.

33

Table of Contents

- (12) Mr. Kamsickas is eligible to receive his Restoration Plan benefit effective December 31, 2015. The Restoration Plan benefit includes credit for fixed and matching contributions that exceed the IRS limits for our qualified 401(k) plan.
- (13) Mr. Kamsickas is eligible to receive his supplemental executive retirement plan benefit effective December 31, 2015.
- (14) For purposes of this table, we assumed Mr. Kamsickas did not take any vacation in 2015.

Roger J. Wood

The following table describes the potential termination and change in control payments to Mr. Wood, Dana s former President and Chief Executive Officer, under a variety of circumstances.

	Change in		Change in								•	Voluntary
D. 70	TF.	Control and		ontrol and Not		D 4			T	ermination Without		ermination vith Good
Pay Element	16	erminated ⁽¹⁾	1	erminated		Death	L	isability		Cause		Reason
Cash Compensation		•	Φ.							2 0 7 0 000(2)		2.050.000(2)
Base Salary	\$	0	\$		\$		\$	0		$2,050,000^{(2)}$		$2,050,000^{(2)}$
Annual Incentive Award (3)	\$	1,255,625		1,255,625		5 1,255,625		1,255,625		1,255,625		1,255,625
Performance Cash Award ⁽³⁾	\$	1,403,681	\$	1,403,681	\$	5 1,403,681	\$	1,403,681	\$	1,403,681	\$	1,403,681
Separation Payment	\$	6,918,750 ⁽⁴⁾										
Long-Term Incentive												
Stock Options	\$	0(5)	\$	0	\$	$0^{(5)}$	\$	0(5)	\$	0(6)	\$	0(6)
Performance Shares	\$	2,771,495(7)	\$	0	\$	3 1,397,554 ⁽⁸⁾	\$	1,397,554 ⁽⁸⁾	\$	1,397,554(8)	\$	1,397,554(8)
Restricted Stock Units	\$	4,003,490(7)	\$	0	\$	5 2,369,888(9)		2,369,888(9)	\$	2,369,888(9)	\$	2,369,888(9)
Benefits and Perquisites												
Health, insurance, etc.	\$	48,859(10)	\$	0	\$	6 0	\$	0	\$	32,573(11)	\$	32,573(11)
Life Insurance Benefits	\$	0	\$	0	\$	5 1,025,000(12)	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0
Restoration Plan ⁽¹³⁾	\$	598,931	\$	0	\$	598,931	\$	598,931	\$	598,931	\$	598,931
SERP ⁽¹⁴⁾	\$	703,777	\$	0	\$	5 703,777	\$	703,777	\$	703,777	\$	703,777
Accrued Vacation ⁽¹⁵⁾	\$	85,417	\$	0	\$	85,417	\$	85,417	\$	85,417	\$	85,417
<u>Other</u>												
Outplacement	\$	50,000	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	50,000	\$	50,000
Total	\$	17,840,025	\$	2,659,306	\$	8 8,839,872	\$	7,814,872	\$	9,947,445	\$	9,947,445
Footnotes:												

- (1) Change in control benefits available to Mr. Wood under our Change in Control Plan.
- (2) Mr. Wood is entitled to receive an amount equal to 24 months of his base salary pursuant to the terms of his executive employment agreement.
- (3) Based on actual results.
- (4) Mr. Wood would have been eligible for a separation payment equal to the sum of his annual base salary and the target bonus multiplied by three (3).
- (5) All unvested stock options awards immediately vest and become exercisable. This value also includes previously exercisable (but unexercised) stock options and is based on the closing price of our common stock on December 31, 2015, which is less than the stock price at issuance of stock options.

(6)	Vested portion of award is exercisable until the earlier of six (6) months after termination or end of normal term. This analysis is based on the closing price of our common stock on December 31, 2015, which is less than the stock price at issuance of stock options.
(7)	All performance shares and restricted stock units vest in full; for purposes of this analysis, we have assumed target performance for the unearned performance periods.
(8)	Performance shares vest on a <i>pro rata</i> basis; for purposes of this analysis, we have assumed target performance for the unearned performance periods.
(9)	Restricted stock units vest on a <i>pro rata</i> basis.
(10)	For a Change in Control, Mr. Wood would receive a lump sum cash payment in the amount of the difference of his employee premium share and COBRA costs for a period of three (3) years.
(11)	Mr. Wood would receive a lump sum cash payment in the amount of the difference of his employee premium share and COBRA costs for a period of two (2) years.
(12)	Mr. Wood is eligible for a life insurance benefit, available to all Dana salaried employees, in an amount equivalent to one-times his annual base salary.
(13)	Mr. Wood is eligible to receive his Restoration Plan benefit effective December 31, 2015. The Restoration Plan benefit includes credit for fixed and matching contributions that exceed the IRS limits for our qualified 401(k) plan.
(14)	Mr. Wood is eligible to receive his supplemental executive retirement plan benefit effective December 31, 2015.
(15)	For purposes of this table, we assumed Mr. Wood did not take any vacation in 2015.
	34

Rodney R. Filcek

The following table describes the potential termination and change in control payments to Mr. Filcek, Dana s Senior Vice President and interim Chief Financial Officer, under a variety of circumstances.

Pay Element		Change in Control Ferminated ⁽¹⁾	Change in Control and Not Terminated			Death	D	isability	Termination Without Cause		
Cash Compensation											
Base Salary	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	333,886(2)	
Annual Incentive Award (3)	\$	113,521	\$	113,521	\$	113,521	\$	113,521	\$	113,521	
Performance Cash Award ⁽³⁾	\$	86,068	\$	86,068	\$	86,068	\$	86,068	\$	86,068	
Separation Payment	\$	1,001,658(4)									
Long-Term Incentive											
Stock Options	\$	446,773(5)	\$	0	\$	446,773(5)	\$	446,773(5)	\$	455,511(6)	
Performance Cash	\$	263,220(7)	\$	0	\$	132,257(8)	\$	132,257(8)	\$	132,257(8)	
Restricted Stock Units	\$	238,850(7)	\$	0	\$	142,085(9)	\$	142,085(9)	\$	142,085(9)	
Benefits and Perquisites											
Health, insurance, etc.	\$	23,471(10)	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	11,736(11)	
Life Insurance Benefits	\$	0	\$	0	\$	333,886(12)	\$	0	\$	0	
Restoration Plan ⁽¹³⁾	\$	76,741	\$	0	\$	76,741	\$	76,741	\$	76,741	
Accrued Vacation(14)	\$	27,824	\$	0	\$	27,824	\$	27,824	\$	27,824	
Other Other											
Outplacement	\$	25,000	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	25,000	
Total	\$	2,303,127	\$	199,589	\$	1,359,156	\$ 1	1,025,270	\$	1,404,629	
Footnotes:											

- (1) Change in control benefits available to Mr. Filcek under our Change in Control Plan.
- (2) Mr. Filcek is entitled to receive an amount equal to 12 months of his base salary pursuant to the terms of our Executive Severance Plan.
- (3) Based on actual results.
- (4) Mr. Filcek would have been eligible for a separation payment equal to the sum of his annual base salary and the target bonus multiplied by two (2).
- (5) All unvested stock options awards immediately vest and become exercisable. This value also includes previously exercisable (but unexercised) stock options and is based on the closing price of our common stock on December 31, 2015.
- (6) Vested portion of award is exercisable until the earlier of six months after termination or end of normal term.
- (7) All performance shares and restricted stock units vest in full; for purposes of this analysis, we have assumed target performance for the unearned performance periods.
- (8) Performance shares vest on a pro rata basis; for purposes of this analysis, we have assumed target performance for the unearned performance periods.

(9) Restricted stock units vest on a *pro rata* basis.
(10) For a Change in Control, Mr. Filcek would receive a lump sum cash payment in the amount of the difference of his employee premium share and COBRA costs for a period of two (2) years.
(11) Mr. Filcek would receive a lump sum cash payment in the amount of the difference of his employee premium share and COBRA costs for a period of one (1) year.
(12) Mr. Filcek is eligible for a life insurance benefit, available to all Dana salaried employees, in an amount equivalent to one-times his annual base salary.
(13) Mr. Filcek is eligible to receive his Restoration Plan benefit effective December 31, 2015. The Restoration Plan benefit includes credit for fixed and matching contributions that exceed the IRS limits for our qualified 401(k) plan.

35

(14) For purposes of this table, we assumed Mr. Filcek did not take any vacation in 2015.

William G. Quigley III

The following table describes the potential termination and change in control payments to Mr. Quigley, Dana s former Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, under a variety of circumstances.

Pay Element	Change in Control and Terminated ⁽¹⁾		Change in Control and Not Terminated			Death	D	isability	Termination Without Cause		
Cash Compensation											
Base Salary	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	630,000(2)	
Annual Incentive Award ⁽³⁾	\$	321,300	\$	321,300	\$	321,300	\$	321,300	\$	321,300	
Performance Cash Award ⁽³⁾	\$	488,892	\$	488,892	\$	488,892	\$	488,892	\$	488,892	
Separation Payment	\$	2,205,000(4)									
Long-Term Incentive											
Stock Options	\$	0(5)	\$	0	\$	0(5)	\$	0(5)	\$	0(6)	
Performance Shares	\$	997,726 ⁽⁷⁾	\$	0	\$	503,107(8)	\$	503,107(8)	\$	503,107(8)	
Restricted Stock Units	\$	1,475,551 ⁽⁷⁾	\$	0	\$	883,269(9)	\$	883,269(9)	\$	883,269(9)	
Benefits and Perquisites											
Health, insurance, etc.	\$	32,573(10)	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	16,287(11)	
Life Insurance Benefits	\$	0	\$	0	\$	630,000(12)	\$	0	\$	0	
Restoration Plan ⁽¹³⁾	\$	191,661	\$	0	\$	191,661	\$	191,661	\$	191,661	
SERP ⁽¹⁴⁾	\$	276,598	\$	0	\$	276,598	\$	276,598	\$	276,598	
Accrued Vacation(15)	\$	52,500	\$	0	\$	52,500	\$	52,500	\$	52,500	
Other		,				ĺ		ŕ		ĺ	
Outplacement	\$	25,000	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	25,000	
Total	\$	6,066,800	\$	810,192	\$	3,347,327	\$ 2	2,717,327	\$	3,388,613	
Footnotes:				, -							

- (1) Change in control benefits available to Mr. Quigley under our Change in Control Plan.
- (2) Mr. Quigley is entitled to receive an amount equal to 12 months of his base salary pursuant to the terms of our Executive Severance Plan.
- (3) Based on actual results.
- (4) Mr. Quigley would have been eligible for a separation payment equal to the sum of his annual base salary and the target bonus multiplied by two (2).
- (5) All unvested stock options awards immediately vest and become exercisable. This value also includes previously exercisable (but unexercised) stock options and is based on the closing price of our common stock on December 31, 2015, which is less than the stock price at issuance of stock options.
- (6) Vested portion of award is exercisable until the earlier of six (6) months after termination or end of normal term. This analysis is based on the closing price of our common stock on December 31, 2015, which is less than the stock price at issuance of stock options.
- (7) All performance shares and restricted stock units vest in full; for purposes of this analysis, we have assumed target performance for the unearned performance periods.

(8) Performance shares vest on a pro rata basis; for purposes of this analysis, we have assumed target performance for the unearned performance periods.
(9) Restricted stock units vest on a pro rata basis.
(10) For a Change in Control, Mr. Quigley would receive a lump sum cash payment in the amount of the difference of his employee premium share and COBRA costs for a period of two (2) years.
(11) Mr. Quigley would receive a lump sum cash payment in the amount of the difference of his employee premium share and COBRA costs for a period of one (1) year.
(12) Mr. Quigley is eligible for a life insurance benefit, available to all Dana salaried employees, in an amount equivalent to one-times his annual base salary.
(13) Mr. Quigley is eligible to receive his Restoration Plan benefit effective December 31, 2015. The Restoration Plan benefit includes credit for fixed and matching contributions that exceed the IRS limits for our qualified 401(k) plan.
(14) Mr. Quigley is eligible to receive his supplemental executive retirement plan benefit effective December 31, 2015.
(15) For purposes of this table, we assumed Mr. Quigley did not take any vacation in 2015.

Aziz S. Aghili

The following table describes the potential termination and change in control payments to Mr. Aghili, Dana s President of Off-Highway Technologies, under a variety of circumstances.

Pay Element	hange in Control ⁽¹⁾	Change in Control and Not Terminated			Death	D	isability	Termination Without Cause	
Cash Compensation									
Base Salary	\$ 0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	515,000(2)
Annual Incentive Award ⁽³⁾	\$ 362,663	\$	362,663	\$	362,663	\$	362,663	\$	362,663
Performance Cash Award ⁽³⁾	\$ 331,889	\$	331,889	\$	331,889	\$	331,889	\$	331,889
Separation Payment	\$ 1,751,000(4)								
Long-Term Incentive									
Stock Appreciation Rights	\$ 0(5)	\$	0	\$	0(5)	\$	0(5)	\$	0(6)
Performance Shares	\$ 619,399(7)	\$	0	\$	306,498(8)	\$	306,498(8)	\$	306,498(8)
Restricted Stock Units (Cash-settled)	\$ 902,051 ⁽⁷⁾	\$	0	\$	529,575 ⁽⁹⁾	\$	529,575 ⁽⁹⁾	\$	529,575(9)
Benefits and Perquisites									
Health, insurance, etc.	\$ 18,224(10)	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	9,112(11)
Life Insurance Benefits	\$ 0	\$	0	\$	515,000(12)	\$	0	\$	0
Restoration Plan(13)	\$ 189,243	\$	0	\$	189,243	\$	189,243	\$	189,243
SERP ⁽¹⁴⁾	\$ 246,519	\$	0	\$	246,519	\$	246,519	\$	246,519
Accrued Vacation ⁽¹⁵⁾	\$ 42,917	\$	0	\$	42,917	\$	42,917	\$	42,917
Other	·								
Outplacement	\$ 25,000	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	25,000
Total	\$ 4,488,905	\$	694,552	\$ 2	2,524,304	\$ 2	2,009,304	\$	2,558,416
Footnotes:									

- (1) Change in control benefits available to Mr. Aghili under our Change in Control Plan.
- (2) Mr. Aghili is entitled to receive an amount equal to 12 months of his base salary pursuant to the terms of our Executive Severance Plan.
- (3) Based on actual results.
- (4) Mr. Aghili would have been eligible for a separation payment equal to the sum of his annual base salary and the target bonus multiplied by two (2).
- (5) All unvested stock appreciation right awards immediately vest and become exercisable. This value also includes previously exercisable (but unexercised) stock options and is based on the closing price of our common stock on December 31, 2015, which is less than the stock price at issuance of stock options.
- (6) Vested portion of award is exercisable until the earlier of six (6) months after termination or end of normal term. This analysis is based on the closing price of our common stock on December 31, 2015, which is less than the stock price at issuance of stock appreciation rights.
- (7) All performance shares and restricted stock units vest in full; for purposes of this analysis, we have assumed target performance for the unearned performance periods.
- (8) Performance shares vest on a pro rata basis; for purposes of this analysis, we have assumed target performance for the unearned performance periods.

(9) Restricted stock units vest on a *pro rata* basis.
(10) For a Change in Control, Mr. Aghili would receive a lump sum cash payment in the amount of the difference of his employee premium share and COBRA costs for a period of two (2) years.
(11) Mr. Aghili would receive a lump sum cash payment in the amount of the difference of his employee premium share and COBRA costs for a period of one (1) year.
(12) Mr. Aghili is eligible for a life insurance benefit, available to all Dana salaried employees, in an amount equivalent to one-times his annual base salary.
(13) Mr. Aghili is eligible to receive his Restoration Plan benefit effective December 31, 2015. The Restoration Plan benefit includes credit for fixed and matching contributions that exceed the IRS limits for our qualified 401(k) plan.
(14) Mr. Aghili is eligible to receive his supplemental executive retirement plan benefit effective December 31, 2015.
(15) For purposes of this table, we assumed Mr. Aghili did not take any vacation in 2015.

37

Mark E. Wallace

The following table describes the potential termination and change in control payments to Mr. Wallace, Executive Vice President Dana and Group President On-Highway Driveline Technologies, under a variety of circumstances.

Pay Element	Change in Change in Control and Not Terminated					Death Disability			Termination Without Cause		
Cash Compensation											
Base Salary	\$	0		\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	580,000(2)
Annual Incentive Award ⁽³⁾	\$	351,480		\$	351,480	\$ 35	1,480	\$ 3.	51,480	\$	351,480
Performance Cash Award ⁽³⁾	\$	429,844		\$	429,844	\$ 42	9,844	\$ 4	29,844	\$	429,844
Separation Payment	\$ 2	,030,000	(4)								
Long-Term Incentive											
Stock Options	\$	00	(5)	\$	0	\$	0(5)	\$	0		