

ENVESTNET, INC.
Form 10-K
June 14, 2013
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

x ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the year ended December 31, 2012

.. TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
Commission file number 001-34835

Envestnet, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of

incorporation or organization)

35 East Wacker Drive, Suite 2400, Chicago, IL
(Address of principal executive offices)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code:

20-1409613
(I.R.S Employer

Identification No.)

60601
(Zip Code)

Edgar Filing: ENVESTNET, INC. - Form 10-K

(312) 827-2800

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

| <u>Title of Each Class:</u> | <u>Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered:</u> |
|---|---|
| Common stock, par value \$0.005 per share | NYSE |

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

None

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

| | | | |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Large accelerated filer | <input type="checkbox"/> | Accelerated filer | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Non-accelerated filer | <input type="checkbox"/> | Smaller reporting company | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes No

Aggregate market value of registrant's common stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant, based upon the closing price of a share of the registrant's common stock on June 30, 2012 as reported on The New York Stock Exchange on that date: \$205,972,224. For purposes of this calculation, shares of common stock held by (i) persons holding more than 5% of the outstanding shares of stock, and (ii) officers and directors of the registrant, as of June 30, 2012, are excluded in that such persons may be deemed to be affiliates. This determination is not necessarily conclusive of affiliate status.

As of June 12, 2013, 32,411,665 shares of the common stock with a par value of \$0.005 per share were outstanding.

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|---|-------------|
| <u>PART I</u> | |
| <u>Forward-Looking Statements</u> | 3 |
| Item 1. <u>Business</u> | 4 |
| Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u> | 17 |
| Item 1B. <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u> | 31 |
| Item 2. <u>Properties</u> | 31 |
| Item 3. <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | 32 |
| Item 4. <u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u> | 32 |
| <u>PART II</u> | |
| Item 5. <u>Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u> | 33 |
| Item 6. <u>Selected Financial Data</u> | 35 |
| Item 7. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 36 |
| Item 7A. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | 60 |
| Item 8. <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u> | 61 |
| Item 9. <u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u> | 96 |
| Item 9A. <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | 96 |
| Item 9B. <u>Other Information</u> | 101 |
| <u>PART III</u> | |
| Item 10. <u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u> | 101 |
| Item 11. <u>Executive Compensation</u> | 104 |
| Item 12. <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u> | 115 |
| Item 13. <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u> | 116 |
| Item 14. <u>Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u> | 118 |
| <u>PART IV</u> | |
| Item 15. <u>Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u> | 120 |
| <u>SIGNATURES</u> | 123 |

Table of Contents

Forward-Looking Statements

This annual report on Form 10-K contains forward-looking statements regarding future events and our future results within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These forward-looking statements include, in particular, statements about our plans, strategies and prospects under the heading Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations. These statements are based on our current expectations and projections about future events and are identified by terminology such as anticipate, believe, continue, could, estimate, expect, expected, intend, will, may, or should or the negative of those terms or variations of such words, and similar expressions are intended to identify such forward-looking statements. In addition, any statements that refer to projections of our future financial performance, our anticipated growth and trends in our business and other characteristics of future events or circumstances are forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements may include, among others, statements relating to:

difficulty in sustaining rapid revenue growth, which may place significant demands on the Company's administrative, operational and financial resources,

fluctuations in the Company's revenue,

the concentration of nearly all of the Company's revenues from the delivery of investment solutions and services to clients in the financial advisory industry,

the Company's reliance on a limited number of clients for a material portion of its revenue,

the renegotiation of fee percentages or termination of the Company's services by its clients,

the Company's ability to identify potential acquisition candidates, complete acquisitions and successfully integrate acquired companies,

the impact of market and economic conditions on the Company's revenues,

compliance failures,

regulatory actions against the Company,

the failure to protect the Company's intellectual property rights,

the Company's inability to successfully execute the conversion of its clients' assets from their technology platform to the Company's technology platform in a timely and accurate manner,

general economic conditions, and

Edgar Filing: ENVESTNET, INC. - Form 10-K

political and regulatory conditions, as well as management's response to these factors. Although we believe that our plans, intentions and expectations are reasonable, we may not achieve our plans, intentions or expectations.

These forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties. Important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from the forward-looking statements we make in this annual report are set forth in Part I under "Risk Factors"; accordingly, investors should not place undue reliance upon our forward-looking statements. We undertake no obligation to update any of the forward-looking statements after the date of this annual report to conform those statements to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events, except as required by applicable law.

You should read this annual report on Form 10-K completely and with the understanding that our actual future results, levels of activity, performance and achievements may be different from what we expect and that these differences may be material. We qualify all of our forward-looking statements by these cautionary statements.

The following discussion and analysis should also be read along with our consolidated financial statements and the related notes included elsewhere in this annual report. Except for the historical information contained

Table of Contents

herein, this discussion contains forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. Actual results could differ materially from those discussed below.

*Unless the context requires otherwise, the words *Envestnet*, *the Company*, *we*, *us* and *our* are references to *Envestnet, Inc.* and its subsidiaries as a whole.*

Except where we have otherwise indicated or the context otherwise requires, dollar amounts presented in this Form 10-K are in thousands, except for Part III and per share amounts.

Item 1. Business **General**

We are a leading provider of unified wealth management software and services to financial advisors and institutions. By integrating a wide range of investment solutions and services, our technology platform provides financial advisors with the flexibility to address their clients' needs. As of December 31, 2012, approximately 23,000 advisors used our technology platform, supporting approximately \$368 billion of assets in approximately 1.7 million investor accounts.

Envestnet empowers financial advisors to deliver fee-based advice to their clients. We work with both Independent Registered Investment Advisors (RIAs), as well as advisors associated with financial institutions such as broker-dealers and banks. The services we offer and market to financial advisors address advisors' ability to grow their practice as well as to operate more efficiently. The Envestnet platform spans the various elements of the wealth management process, from the initial meeting an advisor has with a prospective client to the ongoing day-to-day operations of managing an advisory practice.

Our centrally-hosted technology platform, which we refer to as having an open architecture because of its flexibility, provides financial advisors with access to a series of integrated services to help them better serve their clients. These services include risk assessment and selection of investment strategies and solutions, asset allocation models, research and due diligence, portfolio construction, proposal generation and paperwork preparation, model management and account rebalancing, account monitoring, customized fee billing, overlay services covering asset allocation, tax management and socially responsible investing, aggregated multi-custodian performance reporting and communication tools, as well as access to a wide range of leading third-party asset custodians.

We offer these solutions principally through the following product and services suites:

Envestnet's wealth management software empowers advisors to better manage client outcomes and strengthen their practice. Our software unifies the applications and services advisors use to manage their practice and advise their clients, including financial planning; capital markets assumptions; asset allocation guidance; research and due diligence on investment managers and funds; portfolio management, trading and rebalancing; multi-custodial, aggregated performance reporting; and billing calculation and administration.

Our Portfolio Management Consultants group (Envestnet | PM[®]) primarily engages in consulting services aimed at providing financial advisors with additional support in addressing their clients' needs, as well as the creation of proprietary investment solutions and products. Envestnet | PM's investment solutions and products include managed account and multi-manager portfolios, mutual fund portfolios and Exchange Traded Fund (ETF) portfolios. Envestnet | PM also offers Prima Premium Research, comprising institutional-quality research and due diligence on investment managers, mutual funds, ETFs and liquid alternatives funds.

Envestnet | Tamarac provides leading portfolio accounting, rebalancing, trading, performance reporting and client relationship management software, principally to high-end RIAs.

Table of Contents

Envestnet Reporting Solutions software aggregates and manages investment data, provides performance reporting and benchmarking, giving advisors an in-depth view of clients' various investments, empowering advisors to give holistic, personalized advice and consulting.

We believe that our business model results in a high degree of recurring and predictable financial results.

The majority of our revenues is derived from fees charged as a percentage of the assets that are managed or administered on our technology platform by financial advisors. We also generate revenues from recurring, contractual licensing fees for providing access to our technology platform and from professional services.

For the year ended December 31, 2012, we earned fees of \$127,213 from assets under management (AUM) or assets under administration (AUA) or collectively (AUM/A) by advisors using our technology platform. Asset-based fees accounted for approximately 81%, 81% and 77% of our total revenues for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Licensing and professional services fees accounted for 19%, 19% and 23% of our total revenues for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

For over 85% of our asset-based fee arrangements, we bill customers at the beginning of each quarter based on the market value of customer assets on our technology platform as of the end of the prior quarter, providing for a high degree of revenue visibility in the current quarter. Furthermore, our licensing fees are highly predictable because they are generally set in multi-year contracts providing longer-term visibility regarding that portion of our total revenues.

As the tables below indicate, our business has experienced steady and significant growth over the last several years. We believe this growth is attributable not only to secular trends in the wealth management industry as described below but also to the uniqueness and comprehensiveness of our product set.

The following table sets forth the assets under management (AUM) or administration (AUA) as of the end of the quarters indicated:

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth the number of accounts with assets under management (AUM) or administration (AUA) as of the end of the quarters indicated:

The following table sets forth as of the end of the years indicated the number of financial advisors that had client accounts on our technology platform:

We were founded in 1999 and through organic growth and strategic transactions we have grown to become a leading independent provider of integrated wealth management software and services to financial advisors and institutions. Our headquarters are located in Chicago and we have offices in New York, New York; Denver, Colorado; Seattle, Washington; Sunnyvale, California; Boston, Massachusetts; Landis and Raleigh, North Carolina; and two locations in Trivandrum, India.

Table of Contents

Our Market Opportunity

The wealth management industry has experienced significant growth in terms of assets invested by retail investors in the past several years. According to the Federal Reserve, U.S. household and non-profit organization financial assets totaled \$54.4 trillion as of December 31, 2012, up 7% from \$50.6 trillion at December 31, 2011, and exceeding the 2007 peak of \$52.2 trillion.

In addition to experiencing significant growth in financial assets, the wealth management industry is characterized by a number of important trends, including those described below, which we believe create a significant market opportunity for technology-enabled investment solutions and services like ours.

Increase in independent financial advisors. Based on industry news reports, we believe that over the past several years an increasing percentage of financial advisors have elected to leave large financial institutions and start their own financial advisory practices or move to smaller, more independent firms. According to an analysis done by Cerulli Associates, the number of RIAs and dually-registered advisors increased 7% annually from 34,000 in 2006 to 47,000 in 2011.

Increased reliance on technology among independent financial advisors. In order to compete effectively in the marketplace, independent financial advisors are increasingly relying on technology service providers to help them provide comparable services cost effectively and efficiently.

Increased use of financial advisors. We believe, based on an analysis done by Cerulli Associates, that the recent significant volatility and increasing complexity in securities markets have resulted in increased investor interest in receiving professional financial advisory services.

Increased use of fee-based investment solutions. Based on our industry experience, we believe that in order for financial advisors to effectively manage their clients' assets, they are seeking account types that offer the flexibility to choose among the widest range of investment solutions. Financial advisors typically charge their fees for these types of flexible accounts based on a percentage of assets rather than on a commission or other basis.

More stringent standards applicable to financial advisors. Increased scrutiny of financial advisors to ensure compliance with current laws, coupled with the possibility of new laws focused on a fiduciary standard, may require changes to the way financial advisors offer advice. In order to adapt to these changes, we believe that financial advisors may benefit from utilizing a technology platform, such as ours, that allows them to address their clients' wealth management needs.

Our Business Model

We believe that a number of attractive characteristics significantly contribute to the success of our business model, including:

Positioned to capitalize on favorable industry trends. As a leading provider of unified wealth management software and services to financial advisors, we believe we are well positioned to take advantage of favorable secular trends in the wealth management industry, particularly the increased prevalence and use of independent financial advisors, the movement toward fee-based pricing structures and advisors' increased reliance on technology.

Recurring and resilient revenue base. The substantial majority of our revenues is recurring and derived either from asset-based fees, which generally are billed at the beginning of each quarter or from fixed fees under multi-year license agreements. For the year ended December 31, 2012, we derived 81% of our total revenues from asset-based fees and 19% from licensing and professional services revenues.

Table of Contents

Strong customer retention. We believe that the breadth of access to investment solutions and the multitude of services that we provide allow financial advisors to address a wide range of their clients' needs and, as a result, financial advisors are less likely to move away from our technology platform. Because a technology platform is involved in nearly all of a financial advisor's activities needed to serve their clients, once a financial advisor has moved clients and their assets onto our technology platform, significant time, costs and/or resources would be required for the financial advisor to shift to another technology platform.

Substantial operating leverage. Because we have designed our systems architecture to accommodate growth in the number of advisors and accounts we support and to provide the flexibility to add new investment solutions and services, our technology platform and infrastructure allow us to grow our business efficiently, without the need for significant additional expenditures as assets grow. This, combined with low marginal costs required to add additional accounts and new investment solutions and services, enables us to generate substantial operating leverage during the course of our relationship with a financial advisor as the advisor adds accounts to our platform and the assets of the advisor's clients grow through financial advisors' use of additional investment solutions and services we provide.

Our Growth Strategy

Investnet serves the fastest growing segments of the wealth management industry: independent financial advisors; fee-based solutions; and outsourced investment and technology solutions. We intend to increase revenue and profitability by continuing to pursue the following strategies:

Increase the advisor base. Through the outreach and marketing activities of our sales and client service teams, we continue the process of leveraging existing enterprise client relationships to add new financial advisors to our technology platform, and building new relationships to add additional advisors. Generally, when we establish an enterprise client relationship, we are provided access to the client's financial advisors and are given the opportunity to convert them to our technology platform. During the five-year period ended December 31, 2012, within existing enterprises, we increased the number of advisors with AUM or AUA on our platform at a compound annual growth rate of 9%. We added a comparable number of advisors through new enterprise relationships established during the past five years, resulting in the total number of advisors with AUM or AUA on our platform growing at a compound annual growth rate of 18%. Even with that steady growth, we continue to have the opportunity to increase the number of financial advisors we serve within our existing enterprise client relationships as advisors increasingly shift their businesses to fee-based practices.

Extend the account base within a given advisor relationship. We work with existing clients to shift an increasing portion of their business to the Investnet platform. During the five-year period ended December 31, 2012, the average number of AUM or AUA accounts per advisor on our technology platform grew from approximately 16 to 28, an increase of over 75%. As a result, total AUM or AUA accounts increased at a compound annual growth rate of 32% during the same period.

Expand the services utilized by each advisor. In most cases, an advisor will leverage only a portion of Investnet's services. Accordingly, through our sales and marketing efforts, we will continue to educate our financial advisor customers regarding our capabilities in order to expand the scope of our investment solutions and services they employ.

Obtain new enterprise clients. Growing a fee-based offering has become a strategic priority for financial services firms. Investnet is positioned in the marketplace to empower these firms to deliver fee-based solutions to their advisors. These enterprise clients provide us with access to a large number of financial advisors that may be interested in utilizing our technology platform, as well as to the assets that are managed by these financial advisors. We believe that the current market opportunity for enterprise conversions continues to be significant. New enterprise clients also provide further opportunities to execute on the other strategies discussed above.

Table of Contents

Continue to invest in our technology platform. We intend to continue to invest in our technology platform to provide financial advisors with access to investment solutions and services that address the widest range of financial advisors' front-, middle- and back-office needs. In the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, our technology development expenditures totaled \$8,659, \$6,424 and \$5,588, respectively.

Continue to pursue strategic transactions and other relationships. We intend to continue to selectively pursue acquisitions, investments and other relationships that we believe can enhance the attractiveness of our technology platform or expand our client base. Given our scale of operations and record of past transactions, we believe we are well-positioned to engage in such transactions in the future.

In December 2011, we completed the acquisition of FundQuest Incorporated (FundQuest), a subsidiary of BNP Paribas Investment Partners USA Holdings, Inc. FundQuest (which was renamed Envestnet Portfolio Solutions, Inc.), a provider of fee-based managed services and solutions with approximately \$15 billion in AUM or AUA, was integrated fully into Envestnet during 2012.

In April 2012, we acquired Prima Capital Holding, Inc. (Prima). Prima, now part of Envestnet | PMC, provides Prima Premium Research, including investment manager due diligence, consulting, and custom research to the wealth management and retirement industries. Prima's clientele includes banks, independent RIAs, regional broker-dealers, family offices and trust companies.

In May 2012, we acquired Tamarac, Inc. (Tamarac). Tamarac, now operating as Envestnet | Tamarac, provides leading portfolio accounting, rebalancing, trading, performance reporting and client relationship management software, principally to high-end RIAs.

On April 11, 2013, we entered into a definitive agreement to acquire substantially all of the assets of the Wealth Management Solutions (WMS) division of Prudential Investments for \$10,000 in cash upon closing, subject to certain post-closing adjustments, plus contingent consideration of up to a total of \$23,000 in cash, based upon meeting certain performance targets, to be paid over three years. WMS is a provider of technology solutions that enables financial services firms to develop and enhance their wealth management offerings. We anticipate the acquisition will be completed in the third quarter of 2013.

Our Technology Platform

Our proprietary Web-based platform provides financial advisors with access to investment solutions and services that address, in one unified, centrally-hosted platform, based on our knowledge of the industry, the widest range of front-, middle- and back-office needs in our industry. The open architecture design of our technology platform provides financial advisors with flexibility in terms of the investment solutions and services they access, and configurability in the manner in which the financial advisors utilize particular investment solutions and services. The multi-tenant platform architecture ensures that this level of flexibility and customization is achieved without requiring us to create unique applications for each client, thereby reducing the need for additional technology personnel and associated expenses. In addition, though our technology platform is designed to deliver a breadth of functions, financial advisors are able to select from the various investment solutions and services we offer, without being required to subscribe to or purchase more than what they believe is necessary.

Table of Contents

Envestnet's Advisor Suite® enables advisors to better advise their clients, invest portfolios, manage their practice and those portfolios, and report on their clients' holdings.

Advise. Our technology platform provides financial advisors with a flexible proposal and presentation tool that is capable of creating highly customized documents, including signature-ready forms needed to open client accounts. Also, our platform includes a number of financial planning tools such as Monte Carlo simulations, portfolio diagnostics and retirement planning which enable financial advisors to create and implement financial plans that are tailored to each client's investment goals, risk tolerance and assets. Our technology platform provides financial advisors with a customizable risk tolerance questionnaire, which assists advisors in understanding the investment objectives and preferences of their clients and also helps the advisor comply with applicable regulatory requirements regarding the suitability of investments and fiduciary obligations. Based on answers to the questionnaire, the advisor can analyze whether the current portfolio is appropriate to reach the client's goals and suggest an investment policy. We also empower advisors to improve their research and advice through the institutional quality research we provide on investment managers, funds, and alternative investments, and asset allocation guidance through Envestnet | PMC and Prima Premium Research.

Invest. Once the investment solutions have been selected, our technology platform, through relationships we have established with a variety of investment managers, allows the financial advisor to access and choose from a wide range of investment programs, including separately managed accounts, unified managed accounts, third-party strategist programs, mutual fund and ETF programs, and others, depending on the financial advisor's assessment of the client's needs. Because our technology platform supports nearly every investment program type that is currently available, financial advisors are able to keep more of a client's assets on one technology platform, thereby simplifying the operation of their practice, saving time and lowering costs. Envestnet | PMC provides consulting services aimed at providing financial advisors with additional support in addressing their clients' needs, including asset allocation modeling, asset manager and mutual fund due diligence, manager and fund selection and ongoing monitoring, investment portfolio construction and overlay services, principally relating to ongoing portfolio management and asset allocation rebalancing. Envestnet | PMC also creates proprietary investment solutions and products, including separate account strategies, multi-manager portfolios, mutual funds, mutual fund wrap and ETF asset allocation strategies.

Table of Contents

Manage. Once a financial advisor has created a client account and selected investment solutions and programs, our technology platform provides access to ongoing account management services. Additionally, Envestnet | PMC portfolio managers review all Envestnet | PMC models and proprietary portfolios to determine when to rebalance across asset classes. We also offer overlay services that can help enhance an advisor's ability to carry out his or her fiduciary responsibility. Financial advisors can receive risk and style drift alerts, enabling them to adjust their clients' portfolios to ensure that the portfolios remain in compliance with their clients' stated investment objectives and risk tolerance levels. These services include ongoing review of investment portfolios for compliance with asset allocation criteria, with rebalancing recommendations made as necessary, assistance with investment portfolio tax management and review of investment accounts to ensure that investment decisions are consistent with the client's investment objectives. We also offer a socially responsible overlay which the financial advisor may use to maintain compliance with clients' investment restrictions. These may include securities issued by specific companies or from issuers in certain industries that clients want to exclude from their investment accounts.

Envestnet | Tamarac Advisor Xi integrates portfolio accounting, model management, monitoring, rebalancing, trading, performance reporting, and enterprise-level client relationship management (CRM) software. Advisor Xi also empowers advisors to receive drift alerts and rebalancing notifications to help ensure that asset allocations conform to a client's investment policy. Envestnet | Tamarac's rebalancing software also can be configured to rebalance assets in the most tax-efficient manner for the client.

Report. Our technology platform helps advisors to better report on their clients' consolidated holdings through our Envestnet | Vantage and Envestnet | Tamarac offerings, which provide trade-ready, fully-reconciled aggregated performance reports to advisors and their end clients. Our technology platform provides financial advisors with access to over 30 third-party custodians, real-time data and Web-based service tools. Our platform generates highly configurable aggregated reports showing holdings, investment performance, capital gains and losses and other information for financial advisors to provide to their clients that can be downloaded, viewed online or printed. In addition, through our India operations, our technology platform provides financial advisors with access to client account data reconciled daily with records maintained by multiple custodians. Accordingly, when securities markets open each day, financial advisors have the most up-to-date account data available. Our technology platform also supports a wide range of fee and billing structures. These include breakpoint pricing, where lower fee rates are applied as asset levels meet or exceed pre-established thresholds, fees based on aggregated client funds across several accounts held by family members, fees tailored to different investment programs and investment solution types and other customized fee and billing arrangements. Our technology platform includes configurable Fiduciary Oversight Notes (FONs) that help advisors understand implications of the decisions they make via educational text boxes, and then memorialize those decisions for client service and reporting. The FONs may be searched and reviewed by home offices or the advisors themselves.

Our Customers

Independent financial advisors that are working alone or as part of financial advisory firms. Our principal value proposition aimed at independent financial advisors working alone or as part of financial advisory firms is that our technology platform allows them to compete effectively with financial advisors employed by large financial institutions. We provide independent financial advisors with access to as many or more of the investment solutions and services that are typically available to financial advisors working at the largest firms. An example of one of our independent financial advisory firm clients is Commonwealth Financial Network.

Enterprise clients. We provide enterprise clients with a customized, private-labeled technology platform that enables them to support their affiliated financial advisors with a broad range of investment solutions and services. Our contracts with enterprise clients establish the applicable terms and conditions, including pricing terms, service level agreements and basic platform configurations. For the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, revenues associated with our relationship with our single largest enterprise client, FMR LLC, an affiliate of FMR Corp., or Fidelity, accounted for 22%, 31% and 31%, respectively, of our total revenues. No other client accounted for more than 10% of our total revenues. Examples of our other enterprise clients include Northwestern Mutual, National Financial Partners, National Planning Holdings and Russell Investments.

Table of Contents

Sales and Marketing

Our sales and marketing staff of more than 100 employees is organized by sales channel and product offering. Our enterprise sales team focuses on entering into agreements with enterprise clients, which enable us to sell our platform capabilities to those firms and the advisors associated with them. Our advisory sales team sells to the individual financial advisors of broker dealers and enters into agreements with RIA firms. Envestnet | Tamarac sells rebalancing, performance reporting and CRM solutions principally to large RIA firms. Envestnet | PMC offers portfolio and investment management consulting services, including Prima Premium Research and due diligence capabilities.

The principal aim of our marketing efforts is to create greater visibility of our company and our brands, and to provide thought leadership to the wealth management industry. Our marketing efforts are focused on our core markets: financial advisors and enterprise clients. We use advertising and public relations to communicate our message to these target markets. Examples of these marketing efforts include:

quotes in wealth management industry publications regarding our views on financial advisor trends and challenges;

advertising and other marketing materials promoting our investment solutions and services;

frequent participation in industry conferences and tradeshows, including events sponsored by our custodian partners, by sponsorship, making presentations and speaking on panels;

hosting conferences on wealth management solutions;

providing insights on industry trends through internal research and sponsoring and writing industry white papers; and

creating marketing tools for financial advisors to better communicate with their current and prospective clients.

To implement our marketing efforts, we generally employ paid print and online advertisements in a variety of industry publications, as well as promotions that include e-blast campaigns and sponsored webinars. We also partner with independent broker dealers (IBDs) on direct mail campaigns targeting such firms' financial advisors to describe the investment solutions and services that we offer, produce brochures and presentations for financial advisors to use with their clients and we create Internet pages or sites to promote our investment solutions and services.

Competition

We generally compete on the basis of several factors, including the breadth and quality of investment solutions and services to which we provide access through our technology platform, the number of custodians that are connected through our technology platform, the price of our investment solutions and services, the ease of use of our technology platform and the nature and scope of investment solutions and services that each client believes are necessary to address their needs. Our competitors offer a variety of products and services that compete with one or more of the investment solutions and services provided through our technology platform, although, based on our industry experience, we believe that none offers a more comprehensive set of products and services than we do. Our principal competitors include:

Turnkey Asset Management Platform Providers. Providers of turnkey asset management platforms, including SEI Investments Company, Genworth Financial Inc. and Lockwood Advisors (a subsidiary of BNY Mellon Corporation), typically provide financial advisors with one or more types of products and services but generally offer fewer choices in terms of custodians, asset managers, technology features and functionality.

Table of Contents

Providers of Specific Service Applications. A number of our competitors, including Advent Software, Inc., provide financial advisors with a product or service designed to address one specific issue or need, such as financial planning or performance reporting. While our technology platform also provides access to these investment solutions or services, financial advisors may elect to utilize a single application rather than a fully integrated platform.

Custodians. A number of leading asset custodians, such as Pershing (a subsidiary of BNY Mellon Corporation) and The Charles Schwab Corporation, have expanded beyond their custodial businesses to also offer advisor trading tools that compete with our financial advisor-directed solutions.

Technology

Our technology platform features a three-tier architecture integrating a Web-based user interface, an application tier that houses the business logic for all of the platform's functionality and a SQL Server database. The application tier resides behind load balancers which distribute the workload demands across our servers. We believe our technology design allows for significant scalability.

We devote significant resources to ensuring sufficient platform capacity and system uptime. In 2012, our actual uptime was 99.8%. We have achieved Service Organization Control Report (SOC1) compliance with our platform and we maintain multiple redundancies, back up our databases and safeguard technologies and proprietary information consistent with industry best practices. We also maintain a comprehensive business continuity plan and company-wide risk assessment program that is consistent with industry best practices and that complies with applicable regulatory requirements.

We have historically made significant investments in platform development in order to enhance and expand our technology platform and expect to continue to make significant investments in the future. In the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, we incurred technology development expenditures totaling approximately \$8,659, \$6,424 and \$5,588, respectively. Of these expenditures, we capitalized approximately \$2,350, \$1,482 and \$1,340, respectively, as internally developed software. The increase in the amount of technology development expenditures and the increase in the amount we capitalized in 2012 compared to 2011 is a result of technology development expenditures related to Envestnet | Tamarac products. We expect to continue focusing our technology development efforts principally on adding features to increase our market competitiveness, enhancements to improve operating efficiency and reduce risk, and client-driven requests for new capabilities.

Intellectual Property and Proprietary Rights

We rely on a combination of trademark, copyright and trade secret protection laws to protect our proprietary technology and our intellectual property. We seek to control access to and distribution of our proprietary information. We enter into confidentiality agreements with our employees, consultants, customers and vendors that generally provide that any confidential or proprietary information developed by us or on our behalf be kept confidential. In the normal course of business, we provide our intellectual property to third parties through licensing or restricted use agreements. We have proprietary know-how in algorithms, implementation and business on-boarding functions, along with a wide variety of applications software. We have registered the mark ENVESTNET with the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office in addition to several marks commonly used by Envestnet. We also pursue the registration of certain of our other trademarks and service marks in the United States. In addition, we have registered our domain name, www.envestnet.com and several other websites, such as www.envestnetpmc.com, www.investpmc.com, www.fiduciaryopportunity.com and www.envestnetadvisor.com. We have established a system of security measures to protect our computer systems from security breaches and computer viruses. We have employed various technology and process-based methods, such as clustered and multi-level firewalls, intrusion detection mechanisms, vulnerability assessments, content filtering, antivirus software and access control mechanisms. We also use encryption techniques for data transmissions. We control and limit access to confidential and proprietary information on a need to know basis.

Table of Contents

Regulation

Overview

The financial services industry is among the most extensively regulated industries in the United States. We operate investment advisory, broker-dealer and mutual fund advisory businesses, each of which is subject to a specific regulatory scheme, including regulation at the federal and state level, as well as regulation by self-regulatory organizations and non-U.S. regulatory authorities. In addition, we are subject to numerous laws and regulations of general application.

Our wholly-owned subsidiaries, Envestnet Asset Management, Inc., Portfolio Management Consultants, Inc. and Envestnet Portfolio Solutions, Inc. operate investment advisory businesses. These subsidiaries are registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) as investment advisers under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, as amended (the Advisers Act), and are regulated thereunder. As described further below, many of our investment advisory programs are conducted pursuant to the non-exclusive safe harbor from the definition of an investment company provided for under Rule 3a-4 of the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the Investment Company Act). If Rule 3a-4 were to cease to be available, or if the SEC were to modify the rule or its interpretation of how the rule is applied, it could have a substantial effect on our business. Envestnet Asset Management, Inc. serves as the investment adviser to two mutual funds and a series of collective investment trusts managed towards retirement target dates. Mutual funds are registered as investment companies under the Investment Company Act. The Advisers Act and the Investment Company Act, together with related regulations and interpretations of the SEC, impose numerous obligations and restrictions on investment advisers and mutual funds, including recordkeeping requirements, limitations on advertising, disclosure and reporting obligations, prohibitions on fraudulent activities, and detailed operating requirements, including restrictions on transactions between an adviser and its clients, and between a mutual fund and its advisers and affiliates. The fiduciary obligations of investment advisers to their clients require advisers to, among other things, consider the suitability of the investment products and advice they provide, seek best execution for their clients securities transactions, conduct due diligence on third-party products offered to clients, consider the appropriateness of the adviser s fees, and provide extensive and ongoing disclosure to clients. The application of these requirements to wrap fee programs is particularly complex and the SEC has in the past scrutinized firms compliance with these requirements. The SEC is authorized to institute proceedings and impose fines and sanctions for violations of the Advisers Act and the Investment Company Act and has the power to restrict or prohibit an investment adviser from carrying on its business in the event that it fails to comply with applicable laws and regulations. Although we believe we are in compliance in all material respects with the requirements of the Advisers Act and the Investment Company Act and the rules and interpretations promulgated thereunder, our failure to comply with such laws, rules and interpretations could have a material adverse effect on us. Collective investment trusts are unregistered funds operated by a trust company or a bank regulated by the Office of the Comptroller of Currency (the OCC). In our role as advisor to these funds, we operate under substantially similar obligations to those discussed above for mutual funds.

Portfolio Brokerage Services, Inc., (PBS), our broker-dealer subsidiary, is registered as a broker-dealer with the SEC under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, (Exchange Act), in all 50 states and the District of Columbia. In addition, PBS is a member of the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (FINRA), the securities industry self-regulatory organization that supervises and regulates the conduct and activities of broker-dealers. Broker-dealers are subject to regulations that cover all aspects of their business, including sales practices, market making and trading among broker-dealers, use and safekeeping of customers funds and securities, capital structure, record-keeping and the conduct of directors, officers, employees, representatives and associated persons. FINRA and the SEC conduct periodic examinations of the operations of its members, including PBS. Violation of applicable regulations can result in the suspension or revocation of a broker-dealer s registration, the imposition of censures or fines and the suspension or expulsion of the broker-dealer from FINRA. PBS is subject to minimum net capital requirements under the Exchange Act, SEC and FINRA rules and conducts its business pursuant to the exemption from the SEC s customer protection rule provided by Rule 15c3-3(k)(2)(i) under the Exchange Act. As of December 31, 2012, PBS was required to maintain a minimum of \$100 in net capital and its actual net capital was \$968.

Table of Contents

Our regulated subsidiaries are subject to various federal and state laws and regulations that grant supervisory agencies, including the SEC, broad administrative powers. In the event of a failure to comply with these laws and regulations, the possible sanctions that may be imposed include the suspension of individual employees, limitations on the permissibility of our regulated subsidiaries and our other subsidiaries to engage in business for specified periods of time, censures, fines, and the revocation of registration as a broker-dealer or investment adviser, as applicable. Additionally, the securities laws applicable to us and our subsidiaries provide for certain private rights of action that could give rise to civil litigation. Any litigation could have significant financial and non-financial consequences including monetary judgments and the requirement to take action or limit activities that could ultimately affect our business.

Additional legislation and regulations, including those relating to the activities of investment advisers and broker-dealers, changes in rules imposed by the SEC or other regulatory authorities and self-regulatory organizations, or changes in the interpretation or enforcement of existing laws and rules may adversely affect our business and profitability. Our businesses may be materially affected not only by regulations applicable to it as an investment adviser or broker-dealer, but also by regulations that apply to companies generally.

Investment Advisory Program Conducted Under Rule 3a-4

Under the Investment Company Act, an issuer that is engaged in the business of investing, reinvesting or trading in securities may be deemed an investment company, in which case the issuer may be subject to registration requirements and regulation as an investment company under the Investment Company Act. In order to provide assurance that certain discretionary investment advisory programs would not be considered investment companies, the SEC adopted Rule 3a-4 under the Investment Company Act, which provides a non-exclusive safe harbor from the definition of an investment company for programs that meet the requirements of the rule. We conduct the following programs pursuant to the Rule 3a-4 safe harbor:

Separately managed accounts;

Unified managed account portfolios;

Mutual fund portfolios and exchange-traded fund portfolios; and

Advisor as portfolio manager.

We believe that, to the extent we exercise discretion over accounts in any of these programs, these programs qualify for the safe-harbor because all of the programs have the following characteristics, which are generally required in order for a program to be eligible for the Rule 3a-4 safe harbor:

Each client account is managed on the basis of the client's financial situation, investment objectives and reasonable client-imposed investment restrictions;

At the opening of the account, the client's financial advisor obtains information from the client and provides us with the client's financial situation, investment objectives and reasonable restrictions;

On no less than an annual basis, the client's financial advisor contacts the client to determine whether there have been any changes in the client's financial situation or investment objectives, and whether the client wishes to impose any reasonable restrictions on the management of the account or reasonably modify existing restrictions. This information is communicated to us and reflected in our management of client accounts;

Edgar Filing: ENVESTNET, INC. - Form 10-K

On a quarterly basis, we or another designated person (in most cases this will be the client's financial advisor) notify the client to contact us or another designated person if there have been any changes to the client's financial position or investment objectives or if the client wishes to impose any reasonable restrictions on the management of the account;

We, the client's financial advisor and the manager of the client's account, all of whom are knowledgeable about the account and its management, are reasonably available to the client for consultation;

Table of Contents

All of the programs allow each client to impose reasonable restrictions on the management of his or her account;

On at least a quarterly basis, the client is provided with a statement containing a description of all activity in the client's account during the preceding period, including all transactions made on behalf of the account, all contributions and withdrawals made by the client, all fees and expenses charged to the account, and the value of the account at the beginning and end of the period; and

For all of the programs, each client retains, with respect to all securities and funds in the client's account, the right to withdraw securities or cash, vote securities, or delegate the authority to vote securities to another person, receive written confirmation or other notification of each securities transaction by the client's independent custodian, and proceed directly as a security holder against the issuer of any security in the client's account without the obligation to include us or any other client of the program in any such action as a condition precedent to initiating such proceeding.

Employees

As of December 31, 2012, we had 769 employees, including 115 in sales and marketing, 235 in engineering and systems, 342 in operations, 23 in investment management and research, and 54 in executive and corporate functions. Of these 769 employees, 326 were located in India. None of our employees is represented by a labor union. We have never experienced a work stoppage and believe our relationship with our employees is good.

Executive Officers of the Registrant

The following table summarizes information about each one of our executive officers.

| Name | Age | Position(s) |
|----------------|------------|--|
| Judson Bergman | 56 | Chairman, Chief Executive Officer, Director |
| William Crager | 49 | President |
| Peter D Arrigo | 45 | Chief Financial Officer |
| Scott Grinis | 51 | Chief Technology Officer |
| Shelly O Brien | 47 | Chief Legal Officer, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary |
| Brandon Thomas | 49 | Chief Investment Officer |

Judson Bergman, See information disclosed in Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance.

William Crager, Age 49. Mr. Crager has served as our President since 2002. Prior to joining us, Mr. Crager served as Managing Director of Marketing and Client Services at Rittenhouse Financial Services, Inc., an investment management firm affiliated with Nuveen Investments, Inc. (Nuveen), a diversified investment manager. Mr. Crager received an MA from Boston University and a BA from Fairfield University, with a dual major in economics and English.

Peter D Arrigo, Age 45. Mr. D Arrigo has served as our Chief Financial Officer since 2008. Prior to joining us, Mr. D Arrigo worked at Nuveen where he served as Treasurer since 1999, as well as holding a variety of other titles after joining them in 1990. Mr. D Arrigo received an MBA from the Northwestern University Kellogg Graduate School of Management and an undergraduate degree in applied mathematics from Yale University.

Scott Grinis, Age 51. Mr. Grinis has served as our Chief Technology Officer since 2004. Prior to joining us, Mr. Grinis co-founded Oberon Financial Technology, Inc., our subsidiary, prior to its acquisition by us. Mr. Grinis received a BS and an MS degree in electrical engineering from Stanford University.

Shelly O Brien, Age 47. Ms. O Brien has served as our Chief Legal Officer, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary since 2002. Prior to joining us, Ms. O Brien was General Counsel and Director of Legal and

Table of Contents

Compliance for ING (U.S.) Securities, Futures & Options Inc., a broker-dealer, and futures commission merchant. Ms. O'Brien received a degree in political science from Northwestern University, a JD from Hamline University School of Law, and an LLM in taxation from John Marshall Law School.

Brandon Thomas, Age 49. Mr. Thomas is a co-founder of our company and has served as Chief Investment Officer and Managing Director of Portfolio Management Consultants, our internal investment management and portfolio consulting group, since 1999. Prior to joining us, Mr. Thomas was Director of Equity Funds for Nuveen. Mr. Thomas received an MBA from the University of Chicago, a JD from DePaul University and is a graduate of Brown University.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Investment in our securities involves risk. An investor or potential investor should consider the risks summarized in this section when making investment decisions regarding our securities. These risks and uncertainties include, but are not limited to, the risk factors set forth below. The risks and uncertainties described in this section are not the only ones we face. Additional risks and uncertainties not presently known to us or that we currently believe are immaterial may also affect our business. If any of these known or unknown risks or uncertainties actually occurs, our business, financial condition and results of operations could be materially adversely affected.

Risks Related to Our Business

We have experienced rapid revenue growth, which may be difficult to sustain and which may place significant demands on our administrative, operational and financial resources and any inability to maintain or manage our growth could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition or business.

Our revenues during the three years ended December 31, 2012 have grown at a compound annual growth rate of 27%. We expect our growth to continue, which could place additional demands on our resources and increase our expenses. Our future growth will depend on, among other things, our ability to successfully grow our total assets under management and administration and add additional clients. If we are unable to implement our growth strategy, develop new investment solutions and services and gain new clients, our results of operations, financial condition or business may be materially adversely affected.

Sustaining growth will also require us to commit additional management, operational and financial resources and to maintain appropriate operational and financial systems. In addition, continued growth increases the challenges involved in:

recruiting, training and retaining sufficiently skilled technical, marketing, sales and management personnel;

preserving our culture, values and entrepreneurial environment;

successfully expanding the range of investment solutions and services offered to our clients;

developing and improving our internal administrative infrastructure, particularly our financial, operational, compliance, record-keeping, communications and other internal systems; and

maintaining high levels of satisfaction with our investment solutions and services among clients.

There can be no assurance that we will be able to maintain or accelerate our growth, and any failure to do so could adversely affect our results of operations, financial condition or business.

Table of Contents

Our revenue can fluctuate from period to period, which could cause our share price to fluctuate.

Our revenue may fluctuate from period-to-period in the future due to a variety of factors, many of which are beyond our control. Factors relating to our business that may contribute to these fluctuations include the following events, as well as other factors described elsewhere in this document:

a decline or slowdown of the growth in the value of financial market assets, which may reduce the value of assets under management and administration and therefore our revenues and cash flows;

negative public perception and reputation of the financial services industry, which would reduce demand for our investment solutions and services;

unanticipated changes to economic terms in contracts with clients, including renegotiations;

downward pressure on fees we charge our clients, which would therefore reduce our revenue;

changes in laws or regulations that could impact our ability to offer investment solutions and services;

failure to obtain new clients;

cancellation or non-renewal of existing contracts with clients;

failure to protect our proprietary technology and intellectual property rights;

unanticipated delays in connection with the conversion of client assets onto our technology platform;

reduction in the suite of investment solutions and services provided to existing clients; or

changes in our pricing policies or the pricing policies of our competitors to which we have to adapt.

As a result of these and other factors, the results of operations for any quarterly or annual period may differ materially from the results of operations for any prior or future quarterly or annual period and should not be relied upon as indications of our future performance.

We operate in a highly competitive industry, with many firms competing for business from financial advisors on the basis of a number of factors, including the quality and breadth of investment solutions and services, ability to innovate, reputation and the prices of services and this competition could hurt our financial performance.

We compete with many different types of companies that vary in size and scope, including Pershing LLC (a subsidiary of BNY Mellon Corporation), The Charles Schwab Corporation, SEI Investments Company, Genworth Financial Inc., Advent Software, Inc., and Lockwood Advisors (a subsidiary of BNY Mellon Corporation) and which are discussed in greater detail under Business Competition included in this Form 10-K. In addition, some of our clients have developed or may develop the in-house capability to provide the technology and/or investment advisory services they have retained us to perform. These clients may also offer internally developed services to their financial advisors,

Edgar Filing: ENVESTNET, INC. - Form 10-K

obviating the need to hire us, and they may offer these services to third-party financial advisors or financial institutions, thereby competing directly with us for that business.

Many of our competitors have significantly greater resources than we do. These resources may allow our competitors to respond more quickly to changes in demand for investment solutions and services, to devote greater resources to developing and promoting their services and to make more attractive offers to potential clients and strategic partners, which could hurt our financial performance.

We may lose clients as a result of the sale or merger of a client, a change in a client's senior management, competition from other financial advisors and financial institutions and for other reasons. We also face increased competition due to the current trend of industry consolidation. If large financial institutions that are not our clients are able to attract assets from our clients, our ability to grow revenues and earnings may be adversely affected.

Table of Contents

Our Envestnet | PMC group competes with other providers of investment solutions and products. These competitors may offer broader solutions and/or products and their solutions and/or products may have better investment returns during one or more periods. If the investment returns on our investment products are not perceived to be competitive, we could experience outflows of assets from these products and face difficulty attracting new assets to these products.

Our failure to successfully compete in any of the above-mentioned areas could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition or business. Competition could also affect the revenue mix of services we provide, resulting in decreased revenues in lines of business with higher profit margins.

We derive nearly all of our revenues from the delivery of investment solutions and services to clients in the financial advisory industry and our revenue could suffer if that industry experiences a downturn.

A decline or lack of growth in demand for financial advisory services would adversely affect our clients and, in turn, our results of operations, financial condition and business. For example, the availability of free or low-cost investment information and resources, including research and information relating to publicly traded companies and mutual funds available on the Internet or on company websites, could lead to lower demand by investors for the services provided by financial advisors. In addition, demand for our investment solutions and services among financial advisors could decline for many reasons. Consolidation or limited growth in the financial advisory industry could reduce the number of our clients and potential clients. Events that adversely affect our clients' businesses, rates of growth or the numbers of customers they serve, including decreased demand for our clients' products and services, adverse conditions in our clients' markets or adverse economic conditions generally, could decrease demand for our investment solutions and services and thereby decrease our revenues. Any of the foregoing could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition or business.

A limited number of clients account for a material portion of our revenue. Termination of our contracts with any of these clients could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition or business.

For the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, revenues associated with our relationship with our single largest client, FMR LLC, an affiliate of FMR Corp., or Fidelity, accounted for 22%, 31% and 31% respectively, of our total revenues and our ten largest clients accounted for 47%, 64% and 62%, respectively, of our total revenues. Our license agreements with large financial institutions are generally multi-year contracts that may be terminated upon the expiration of the contract term or prior to such time for cause, which may include breach of contract, bankruptcy, insolvency and other reasons. The license fee payments pursuant to our license agreement with Fidelity were renegotiated and reduced as of December 31, 2011 and were extended for an additional five years. The agreement, as amended, includes receiving ongoing platform services fees through the Fidelity relationship based upon asset-based fees. No assurance can be given as to whether any projected increase in asset-based fees will offset the reduction in license fees. A majority of our agreements with financial advisors generally provides for termination at any time. If our contractual relationship with Fidelity were to terminate, or if a significant number of our most important clients were to terminate their contracts with us and we were unable to obtain a significant number of new clients, our results of operations, financial condition or business could be materially adversely affected.

Our clients that pay us an asset-based fee may seek to negotiate a lower fee percentage or may cease using our services, which could limit the growth of, or decrease, our revenues.

A significant portion of our revenues are derived from asset-based fees. Our clients may, for a number of reasons, seek to negotiate a lower asset-based fee percentage. For example, an increase in the use of index-linked investment products by the clients of our financial advisor clients may result in lower fees being paid to our clients, and our clients may in turn seek to negotiate lower asset-based fee percentages for our services. In addition, as competition among our clients increases, they may be required to lower the fees they charge to their

Table of Contents

clients, which could cause them to seek to decrease our fees accordingly. Any of these factors could result in fluctuation or a decline in our asset-based fees, which would have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition or business.

Changes in market and economic conditions could lower the value of assets on which we earn revenues and could decrease the demand for our investment solutions and services.

Asset-based fees make up a significant portion of our revenues. Asset-based fees represented 81%, 81% and 77% of our total revenues for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively. We expect that asset-based fees will continue to represent a significant percentage of our total revenues in the future. Significant fluctuations in securities prices may materially affect the value of the assets managed by our clients and may also influence financial advisor and investor decisions regarding whether to invest in, or maintain an investment in, a mutual fund or other investment solution. If such market fluctuation led to less investment in the securities markets, our revenues and earnings derived from asset-based fees could be materially adversely affected.

We provide our investment solutions and services to the financial services industry. The financial markets, and in turn the financial services industry, are affected by many factors, such as U.S. and foreign economic conditions and general trends in business and finance that are beyond our control. In the event that the U.S. or international financial markets suffer a severe or prolonged downturn, investors may choose to withdraw assets from financial advisors, which we refer to as redemptions, and transfer them to investments that are perceived to be more secure, such as bank deposits and Treasury securities. For example, in late 2007 and through the first quarter of 2009, the financial markets experienced a broad and prolonged downturn, our redemption rates were higher than our historical average, and our results of operations, financial condition and business were materially adversely affected. Any prolonged downturn in financial markets or increased levels of asset withdrawals could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition or business.

Investors' decisions regarding their investment assets are affected by many factors and investors may redeem or withdraw their investment assets generally at any time. Significant changes in investing patterns or large-scale withdrawal of investment funds could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition or business.

The clients of our financial advisors are generally free to change financial advisors, forgo the advice and other services provided by financial advisors or withdraw the funds they have invested with financial advisors. These clients of financial advisors may elect to change their investment strategies, by moving their assets away from equity securities to fixed income or other investment options, or by withdrawing all or a portion of their assets from their accounts to avoid all securities markets-related risks. These actions by investors are outside of our control and could materially adversely affect the market value of the investment assets that our clients manage, which could materially adversely affect the asset-based fees we receive from our clients.

We are subject to liability for losses that result from a breach of our fiduciary duties.

Our investment advisory services involve fiduciary obligations that require us to act in the best interests of our clients, and we may be sued and face liabilities for actual or claimed breaches of our fiduciary duties. Because we provide investment advisory services, both directly and indirectly, with respect to substantial assets we could face substantial liability to our clients if it is determined that we have breached our fiduciary duties. In certain circumstances, which generally depend on the types of investment solutions and services we are providing, we may enter into client agreements jointly with advisors and retain third-party investment money managers on behalf of clients. As a result, we may be included as a defendant in lawsuits against financial advisors and third-party investment money managers that involve claims of breaches of the duties of such persons, and we may face liabilities for the improper actions and/or omissions of such advisors and third-party investment money managers. In addition, we may face claims based on the results of our investment advisory recommendations, even in the absence of a breach of our fiduciary duty. Such claims and liabilities could therefore have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition or business.

Table of Contents

We are subject to liability for losses that result from potential, perceived or actual conflicts of interest.

Potential, perceived and actual conflicts of interest are inherent in our existing and future business activities and could give rise to client dissatisfaction, litigation or regulatory enforcement actions. In particular, we pay varying fees to third-party asset managers and custodians and our financial advisor customers, or their clients, could accuse us of directing them toward those asset managers or custodians that charge us the lowest fees. In addition, we offer proprietary mutual funds and portfolios of mutual funds through our internal investment management and portfolio consulting group, and financial advisors or their clients could conclude that we favor our proprietary investment products because of their belief that we earn higher fees when our proprietary investment products are used. Adequately addressing conflicts of interest is complex and difficult and if we fail, or appear to fail, to adequately address potential, perceived or actual conflicts of interest, the resulting negative public perception and reputational harm could materially adversely affect our client relations or ability to enter into contracts with new clients and, consequently, our results of operations, financial condition and business.

If our reputation is harmed, our results of operations, financial condition or business could be materially adversely affected.

Our reputation, which depends on earning and maintaining the trust and confidence of our clients, is critical to our business. Our reputation is vulnerable to many threats that can be difficult or impossible to control, and costly or impossible to remediate. Regulatory inquiries or investigations, lawsuits initiated by our clients or stockholders, employee misconduct, perceptions of conflicts of interest and rumors, among other developments, could substantially damage our reputation, even if they are baseless or satisfactorily addressed. In addition, any perception that the quality of our investment solutions and services may not be the same or better than that of other providers, can also damage our reputation. Any damage to our reputation could harm our ability to attract and retain clients, which would materially adversely affect our results of operations, financial condition and business.

If our investment solutions and services fail to perform properly due to undetected errors or similar problems, our results of operations, financial condition and business could be materially adversely affected.

Investment solutions and services we develop or license may contain undetected errors or defects despite testing. Such errors can exist at any point in the life cycle of our investment solutions or services, but are frequently found after introduction of new investment solutions and services or enhancements to existing investment solutions or services. We continually introduce new investment solutions and services and new versions of our investment solutions and services. Despite internal testing and testing by current and potential clients, our current and future investment solutions and services may contain serious defects or malfunctions. If we detect any errors before release, we might be required to delay the release of the investment solution or service for an extended period of time while we address the problem. We might not discover errors that affect our new or current investment solutions, services or enhancements until after they are deployed, and we may need to provide enhancements to correct such errors. Errors may occur that could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition or business and could result in harm to our reputation, lost sales, delays in commercial release, third-party claims, contractual disputes, contract terminations or renegotiations, or unexpected expenses and diversion of management and other resources to remedy errors. In addition, negative public perception and reputational damage caused by such claims would adversely affect our client relationships and our ability to enter into new contracts. Any of these problems could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition and business.

Table of Contents

We could face liability or incur costs to remediate operational errors or to address possible customer dissatisfaction.

Operational risk generally refers to the risk of loss resulting from our operations, including, but not limited to, improper or unauthorized execution and processing of transactions, deficiencies in our operating systems, business disruptions and inadequacies or breaches in our internal control processes. We operate in diverse markets and are reliant on the ability of our employees and systems to process large volumes of transactions often within short time frames. In the event of a breakdown or improper operation of systems, human error or improper action by employees, we could suffer financial loss, regulatory sanctions or damage to our reputation.

In addition, there may be circumstances when our customers are dissatisfied with our investment solutions and services, even in the absence of an operational error. In such circumstances, we may elect to make payments or otherwise incur increased costs or lower revenues in order to maintain a strong customer relationship. In any of the forgoing circumstances, our results of operations, financial condition or business could be materially adversely affected.

We may become subject to liability based on the use of our investment solutions and services by our clients.

Our investment solutions and services support the investment processes of our clients, which, in the aggregate, manage billions of dollars of assets. Our client agreements have provisions designed to limit our exposure to potential liability claims brought by our clients or third parties based on the use of our investment solutions and services. However, these provisions have certain exceptions and could be invalidated by unfavorable judicial decisions or by federal, state, foreign or local laws. Use of our products as part of the investment process creates the risk that clients, or the parties whose assets are managed by our clients, may pursue claims against us for very significant dollar amounts. Any such claim, even if the outcome were to be ultimately favorable to us, would involve a significant commitment of our management, personnel, financial and other resources and could have a negative impact on our reputation. Such claims and lawsuits could therefore have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition or business.

Furthermore, our clients may use our investment solutions and services together with software, data or products from other companies. As a result, when problems occur, it might be difficult to identify the source of the problem. Even when our investment solutions and services do not cause these problems, the existence of these errors might cause us to incur significant costs and divert the attention of our management and technical personnel, any of which could materially adversely affect our results of operations, financial condition or business.

Our business relies heavily on computer equipment, electronic delivery systems and the Internet. Any failures or disruptions in such technologies could result in reduced revenues, increased costs and the loss of customers.

Our business relies heavily on our computer equipment (including our servers), electronic delivery systems and the Internet, but these technologies are vulnerable to disruptions, failures or slowdowns caused by fire, earthquake, power loss, telecommunications failure, terrorist attacks, wars, Internet failures, computer viruses and other events beyond our control. Furthermore, we rely on agreements with our suppliers, such as our current data hosting and service provider, to provide us with access to certain computer equipment, electric delivery systems and the Internet. We are unable to predict whether a future contractual dispute may arise with one of our suppliers that could cause a disruption in service, or whether our agreements with our suppliers can be obtained or renewed on acceptable terms, or at all. An unanticipated disruption, failure or slowdown affecting our key technologies or facilities may have significant ramifications, such as data-loss, data corruption, damaged software codes or inaccurate processing of transactions. We maintain off-site back-up facilities for our electronic information and computer equipment, but these facilities could be subject to the same interruptions that may

Table of Contents

affect our primary facilities. Any significant disruptions, failures, slowdowns, data-loss or data corruption could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition or business and result in the loss of customers.

We could face liability related to disclosure or theft of the personal information we store on our technology platform.

Clients may maintain personal investment and financial information on our technology platform and we could be subject to liability if we were to inappropriately disclose any user's personal information, inadvertently or otherwise, or if third parties were able to penetrate our network security or otherwise gain access to any user's name, address, portfolio holdings or other financial information. Any such event could subject us to claims for misuses of personal information, such as unauthorized marketing or unauthorized access to personal portfolio information and could therefore have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition or business.

We could incur significant costs protecting the personal information we store on our technology platform.

Users of our investment solutions and services are located in the United States and around the world. As a result, we collect and store the personal information of individuals who live in many different countries. Privacy regulators in some of those countries have publicly stated that foreign entities (including entities based in the United States) may render themselves subject to those countries' privacy laws and the jurisdiction of such regulators by collecting or storing the personal data of those countries' residents, even if such entities have no physical or legal presence there. Consequently, we may be obligated to comply with the privacy and data security laws of such foreign countries. Our exposure to foreign countries' privacy and data security laws impacts our ability to collect and use personal information, increases our legal compliance costs and may expose us to liability.

We have incurred, and will continue to incur, expenses to comply with privacy and security standards and protocols imposed by law, regulation, industry standards or contractual obligations. Increased domestic or international regulation of data utilization and distribution practices could require us to modify our operations and incur significant additional expense, which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition or business.

We could face liability for certain information we provide, including information based on data we obtain from other parties.

We may be subject to claims for securities law violations, negligence, breach of fiduciary duties or other claims relating to the information we provide. For example, individuals may take legal action against us if they rely on information we have provided and it contains an error. In addition, we could be subject to claims based upon the content that is accessible from our website through links to other websites. Moreover, we could face liability based on inaccurate information provided to us by others. Defending any such claims could be expensive and time-consuming, and any such claim could materially adversely affect our results of operations, financial condition or business.

We depend on our senior management team and other key personnel and the loss of their services could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition or business.

We depend on the efforts, relationships and reputations of our senior management team and other key personnel, including Judson Bergman, our Chief Executive Officer, William Crager, our President, and Scott Grinis, our Chief Technology Officer, in order to successfully manage our business. We believe that success in our business will continue to be based upon the strength of our intellectual capital. The loss of the services of any member of our senior management team or of other key personnel could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition or business.

Table of Contents

Our operations are subject to extensive government regulation, and compliance failures or regulatory action against us could adversely affect our results of operations, financial condition or business.

The financial services industry is among the most extensively regulated industries in the United States. We operate investment advisory, broker-dealer, mutual fund and collective investment trust lines of business, each of which is subject to a specific and extensive regulatory scheme. In addition, we are subject to numerous laws and regulations of general application. It is very difficult to predict the future impact of the legislative and regulatory requirements affecting our business and our clients' businesses.

Certain of our subsidiaries are registered as investment advisers with the SEC under the Advisers Act and are regulated thereunder. In addition, many of our investment advisory services are conducted pursuant to the non-exclusive safe harbor from the definition of an investment company provided under Rule 3a-4 under the Investment Company Act. If Rule 3a-4 were to cease to be available, or if the SEC were to modify the rule or its interpretation of how the rule is applied, our business could be adversely affected. Certain of our registered investment adviser subsidiaries provide advice to mutual fund clients and collective investment trusts. Mutual funds are registered as investment companies under the Investment Company Act. The Advisers Act and the Investment Company Act, together with related regulations and interpretations of the SEC, impose numerous obligations and restrictions on investment advisers and mutual funds, including requirements relating to the safekeeping of client funds and securities, limitations on advertising, disclosure and reporting obligations, prohibitions on fraudulent activities, restrictions on transactions between an adviser and its clients, and between a mutual fund and its advisers and affiliates, and other detailed operating requirements, as well as general fiduciary obligations. Collective investment trusts are unregistered funds operated by a trust company or a bank regulated by the OCC. In our role as advisor to these funds, we operate under substantially similar obligations to those discussed above for mutual funds.

In addition, PBS, our broker-dealer subsidiary, is registered as a broker-dealer with the SEC and with all 50 states and the District of Columbia, and is a member of FINRA, a securities industry self-regulatory organization that supervises and regulates the conduct and activities of its members. Broker-dealers are subject to regulations that cover all aspects of their business, including sales practices, market making and trading among broker-dealers, use and safekeeping of customer funds and securities, capital structure, recordkeeping and the conduct of directors, officers, employees, representatives and associated persons. FINRA conducts periodic examinations of the operations of its members, including PBS. As a broker-dealer, PBS is also subject to certain minimum net capital requirements under SEC and FINRA rules. Compliance with the net capital rules may limit our ability to withdraw capital from PBS.

All of the foregoing laws and regulations are complex and we are required to expend significant resources in order to maintain our compliance with such laws and regulations. Any failure on our part to comply with these and other applicable laws and regulations could result in regulatory fines, suspensions of personnel or other sanctions, including revocation of our registration or that of our subsidiaries as an investment adviser or broker-dealer, as the case may be, which could, among other things, require changes to our business practices and scope of operations or harm our reputation, which, in turn could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition or business.

Changes to the laws or regulations applicable to us or to our financial advisor clients could adversely affect our results of operations, financial condition or business.

We may be adversely affected as a result of new or revised legislation or regulations imposed by the SEC or other U.S. or foreign governmental regulatory authorities or self-regulatory organizations that supervise the financial markets around the world. In addition, we may be adversely affected by changes in the interpretation or enforcement of existing laws and rules by these governmental authorities and self-regulatory organizations. It is impossible to determine the extent of the impact of any new laws, regulations or initiatives that may be proposed, or whether any current proposals will become law, and it is difficult to predict how any changes or potential changes could affect our business. Changes to laws or regulations could increase our potential liability in

Table of Contents

connection with the investment solutions and services that we provide. The introduction of any new laws or regulations could make our ability to comply with applicable laws and regulations more difficult and expensive. Any of the foregoing could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition or business.

A deemed change of control of our company could require us to obtain the consent of our clients and a failure to do so properly could adversely affect our results of operations, financial condition or business.

Under the Advisers Act, the investment advisory agreements entered into by our investment adviser subsidiaries may not be assigned without the client's consent. Under the Investment Company Act, advisory agreements with registered funds terminate automatically upon assignment and, any assignment of an advisory agreement must be approved by the board of directors and the shareholders of the registered fund. Under the Advisers Act and the Investment Company Act, such an assignment may be deemed to occur upon a change of control of the Company. A change of control includes both gaining or losing a controlling person. Whether someone is a controlling person for these purposes depends significantly on the specific facts and circumstances. There can be no assurance that if we undergo a change of control, we would be successful in obtaining all necessary consents or that the method by which we obtain such consents could not be challenged at a later time. If we are unable to obtain all necessary consents or if such a challenge were to be successful it could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition or business.

We rely on exemptions from certain laws and if for any reason these exemptions were to become unavailable to us, we could become subject to regulatory action or third-party claims and our business could be materially and adversely affected.

We regularly rely on exemptions from various requirements of the Exchange Act, the Investment Company Act and the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 in conducting our activities. These exemptions are sometimes highly complex and may in certain circumstances depend on compliance by third parties whom we do not control. If for any reason these exemptions were to become unavailable to us, we could become subject to regulatory action or third-party claims and our business could be materially and adversely affected.

If government regulation of the Internet or other areas of our business changes, or if consumer attitudes toward use of the Internet change, we may need to change the manner in which we conduct our business or incur greater operating expenses.

The adoption, modification or interpretation of laws or regulations relating to the Internet or other areas of our business could adversely affect the manner in which we conduct our business. Such laws and regulations may cover sales practices, taxes, user privacy, data protection, pricing, content, copyrights, distribution, electronic contracts, consumer protection, broadband residential Internet access and the characteristics and quality of services. Moreover, it is not clear how existing laws governing these matters apply to the Internet. If we are required to comply with new regulations or legislation or new interpretations of existing regulations or legislation, we may be required to incur additional expenses or alter our business model, either of which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition or business.

We are substantially dependent on our intellectual property rights, and a failure to protect these rights could adversely affect our results of operations, financial condition or business.

We have made substantial investments in software and other intellectual property on which our business is highly dependent. We rely on trade secret, trademark and copyright laws, confidentiality and nondisclosure agreements and other contractual and technical security measures to protect our proprietary technology. Any loss of our intellectual property rights, or any significant claim of infringement or indemnity for violation of the intellectual property rights of others, could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition or business.

Table of Contents

None of our technologies, investment solutions or services is covered by any copyright registration, issued patent or patent application. We are the owner of eight registered trademarks in the United States, including ENVESTNET, and we claim common law rights in other trademarks that are not registered. We cannot guarantee that:

our intellectual property rights will provide competitive advantages to us;

our ability to assert our intellectual property rights against potential competitors or to settle current or future disputes will not be limited by our agreements with third parties;

our intellectual property rights will be enforced in jurisdictions where competition may be intense or where legal protection may be weak;

any of the trademarks, copyrights, trade secrets or other intellectual property rights that we presently employ in our business will not lapse or be invalidated, circumvented, challenged or abandoned;

our trademark applications will lead to registered trademarks; or

competitors will not design around our intellectual property rights or develop similar technologies, investment solutions or products; or that we will not lose the ability to assert our intellectual property rights against others.

We are also a party to a number of third-party intellectual property license agreements. Some of these license agreements require us to make one-time payments or ongoing subscription payments. We cannot guarantee that the third-party intellectual property we license will not be licensed to our competitors or others in our industry. In the future, we may need to obtain additional licenses or renew existing license agreements. We are unable to predict whether these license agreements can be obtained or renewed on acceptable terms, or at all. In addition, we have granted our customers certain rights to use our intellectual property in the ordinary course of our business. Some of our customer agreements restrict our ability to license or develop certain customized technology or services within certain markets or to certain competitors of our customers. For example, our agreement with Fidelity restricts our ability to develop an enterprise-level integration or combination of products and services substantially similar to the technology platform we have developed for Fidelity. Some of our customer agreements grant our customers ownership rights with respect to the portion of the intellectual property we have developed or customized for our customers. In addition, some of our customer agreements require us to deposit the source code to the customized technology and investment solutions with a source code escrow agent, which source code may be released in the event we enter into bankruptcy or are unable to provide support and maintenance of the technology or investment solutions we have licensed to our customers. These provisions in our agreements may limit our ability to grow our business in the future.

Third parties may sue us for intellectual property infringement or misappropriation which, if successful, could require us to pay significant damages or make changes to the investment solutions or services that we offer.

We cannot be certain that our internally developed or acquired technologies, investment solutions or services do not and will not infringe the intellectual property rights of others. In addition, we license content, software and other intellectual property rights from third parties and may be subject to claims of infringement if such parties do not possess the necessary intellectual property rights to the products they license to us. The risk of infringement claims against us will increase if more of our competitors are able to obtain patents for investment solutions or services or business processes. In addition, we face additional risk of infringement or misappropriation claims if we hire an employee who possesses third party proprietary information who decides to use such information in connection with our investment solutions, services or business processes without such third-party's authorization. We have in the past been and may in the future be subject to legal proceedings and claims that we have infringed or misappropriated the intellectual property rights of a third party. These claims sometimes involve patent holding companies who have no relevant product revenues and against whom our own proprietary technology may therefore provide little or no deterrence. In addition, third parties may in the future

Table of Contents

assert intellectual property infringement claims against our customers, which, in certain circumstances, we have agreed to indemnify. Any intellectual property related infringement or misappropriation claims, whether or not meritorious, could result in costly litigation and could divert management resources and attention. Moreover, should we be found liable for infringement or misappropriation, we may be required to enter into licensing agreements, if available on acceptable terms or at all, pay substantial damages or make changes to the investment solutions and services that we offer. Any of the foregoing could prevent us from competing effectively, result in substantial costs to us, divert management's attention and our resources away from our operations and otherwise harm our reputation.

If our intellectual property and proprietary technology are not adequately protected to prevent use or appropriation by our competitors, our business and competitive position would suffer.

Our future success and competitive position depend in part on our ability to protect our intellectual property rights. The steps we have taken to protect our intellectual property rights may be inadequate to prevent the misappropriation of our proprietary technology. There can be no assurance that others will not develop or patent similar or superior technologies, investment solutions or services. Unauthorized copying or other misappropriation of our proprietary technologies could enable third parties to benefit from our intellectual property rights without paying us for doing so, which could harm our business. Policing unauthorized use of proprietary technology is difficult and expensive and our monitoring and policing activities may not be sufficient to identify any misappropriation and protect our proprietary technology. In addition, third parties may knowingly or unknowingly infringe our trademarks and other intellectual property rights, and litigation may be necessary to protect and enforce our intellectual property rights. If litigation is necessary to protect and enforce our intellectual property rights, any such litigation could be very costly and could divert management attention and resources. If we are unable to protect our intellectual property rights or if third parties independently develop or gain access to our or similar technologies, investment solutions or services, our results of operations, financial condition and business could be materially adversely affected.

The use of open source code in investment solutions may expose us to additional risks and harm our intellectual property rights.

To a limited extent, we rely on open source code to develop our investment solutions and support our internal systems and infrastructure. While we monitor our use of open source code to attempt to avoid subjecting our investment solutions to conditions we do not intend, such use could inadvertently occur. Additionally, if a third-party software provider has incorporated certain types of open source code into software we license from such third party for our investment solutions, we could, under certain circumstances, be required to disclose the source code for our investment solutions. This could harm our intellectual property position and have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition and business.

Confidentiality agreements with employees, consultants and others may not adequately prevent disclosure of trade secrets and other proprietary information.

We have devoted substantial resources to the development of our proprietary technologies, investment solutions and services. In order to protect our proprietary rights, we enter into confidentiality agreements with our employees, consultants and independent contractors. These agreements may not effectively prevent unauthorized disclosure of confidential information or unauthorized parties from copying aspects of our technologies, investment solutions or products or obtaining and using information that we regard as proprietary. Moreover, these agreements may not provide an adequate remedy in the event of such unauthorized disclosures of confidential information and we cannot assure you that our rights under such agreements will be enforceable. In addition, others may independently discover trade secrets and proprietary information, and in such cases we could not assert any trade secret rights against such parties. Costly and time-consuming litigation could be necessary to enforce and determine the scope of our proprietary rights, and failure to obtain or maintain trade secret protection could reduce any competitive advantage we have developed and cause us to lose customers or otherwise harm our business.

Table of Contents

Our failure to successfully integrate acquisitions could strain our resources. In addition, there are significant risks associated with growth through acquisitions, which may materially adversely affect our results of operations, financial condition or business.

We expect to grow our business by, among other things, making acquisitions. In December 2011, we completed the acquisition of FundQuest and in the second quarter of 2012, we completed the acquisitions of Prima, Tamarac, and on April 11, 2013, we entered into a definitive agreement to acquire substantially all of the assets of the WMS, which we anticipate will be completed in the third quarter of 2013. Acquisitions involve a number of risks. They can be time-consuming and may divert management's attention from day-to-day operations. Financing an acquisition could result in dilution from issuing equity securities or a weaker balance sheet from using cash or incurring debt. Acquisitions might also result in losing key employees. In addition, we may fail to successfully integrate acquisitions successfully. We may also fail to generate enough revenues or profits from an acquisition to earn a return on the associated purchase price.

To the extent we grow our business through acquisitions, any such future acquisitions could present a number of other risks, including:

incorrect assumptions regarding the future results of acquired operations or assets or expected cost reductions or other synergies expected to be realized as a result of acquiring operations or assets;

failure to integrate the operations or management of any acquired operations or assets successfully and on a timely and cost effective basis;

insufficient knowledge of the operations and markets of acquired businesses;

loss of key personnel;

failure to obtain necessary customer consents or retain key customers;

diversion of management's attention from existing operations or other priorities;

increased costs or liabilities as a result of undetected or undisclosed legal, regulatory or financial issues related to acquired operations or assets; and

inability to secure, on terms we find acceptable, sufficient financing that may be required for any such acquisition or investment. In addition, if we are unsuccessful in completing acquisitions of other businesses, operations or assets or if such opportunities for expansion do not arise, our results of operations, financial condition or business could be materially adversely affected.

Our failure to successfully execute the conversion of our clients' assets from their technology platform to our platform in a timely and accurate manner could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition or business.

When we begin working with a new client, or acquire new client assets through an acquisition or other transaction, we are often required to convert the new assets from the clients' technology platform to our technology platform. These conversions present significant technological and operational challenges, can be time-consuming and may divert management's attention from other operational activities. If we fail to successfully complete our conversions in a timely and accurate manner, we may be required to expend more time and resources than anticipated, which could erode the profitability of the client relationship. In addition, any such failure may harm our reputation and may make it less likely that prospective clients will commit to working with us. Any of these risks could materially adversely affect our results of operations, financial condition or business.

Table of Contents

Our business will suffer if we do not keep up with rapid technological change, evolving industry standards or changing requirements of clients.

We expect technological developments to continue at a rapid pace in our industry. Our success will depend, in part, on our ability to:

continue to develop our technology expertise;

recruit and retain skilled technology professionals;

enhance our current investment solutions and services;

develop new investment solutions and services that meet changing client needs;

advertise and market our investment solutions and services;

protect our proprietary technology and intellectual property rights; or

influence and respond to emerging industry standards and other technological changes.

We must accomplish these tasks in a timely and cost-effective manner and our failure to do so could materially adversely affect our results of operations, financial condition or business.

We must continue to introduce new investment solutions and services and investment solution and service enhancements to address our clients changing needs, market changes and technological developments and failure to do so could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition or business.

The market for our investment solutions and services is characterized by shifting client demands, evolving market practices and, for some of our investment solutions and services, rapid technological change. Changing client demands, new market practices or new technologies can render existing investment solutions and services obsolete and unmarketable. As a result, our future success will continue to depend upon our ability to develop new investment solutions and services and investment solution and service enhancements that address the future needs of our target markets and respond to technological and market changes. We incurred technology development expenditures of \$8,659, \$6,424 and \$5,588 in the years ended December, 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively. We expect that our technology development expenditures will continue at this level or they may increase in the future. We may not be able to accurately estimate the impact of new investment solutions and services on our business or how their benefits will be perceived by our clients. Further, we may not be successful in developing, introducing, marketing and licensing our new investment solutions or services or investment solution or service enhancements on a timely and cost effective basis, or at all, and our new investment solutions and services and enhancements may not adequately meet the requirements of the marketplace or achieve market acceptance. In addition, clients may delay purchases in anticipation of new investment solutions or services or enhancements. Any of these factors could materially adversely affect our results of operations, financial condition or business.

In preparing our financial statements for 2012, we identified a material weakness in our internal control over financial reporting, and our failure to remedy this or other material weaknesses that we may identify in the future could result in material misstatements in our financial statements.

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over our financial reporting, as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Exchange Act. Our management identified a material weakness in our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012. A material weakness is defined as a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of our annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or

detected on a timely basis.

Table of Contents

The material weaknesses identified by management as of December 31, 2012 consisted of inadequate and ineffective controls over income tax accounting. As a result of this material weakness, our management concluded as of December 31, 2012 that our internal control over financial reporting was not effective based on criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organization of the Treadway Commission in Internal Control – An Integrated Framework (September 1992).

If the remedial measures we have begun implementing that are designed to address this material weakness are insufficient to address this material weakness, or if additional material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in our internal control are discovered or occur in the future, our consolidated financial statements may contain material misstatements and we could be required to restate our financial results.

Risks Related to our Common Stock

Our share price may be volatile, and the value of an investment in our common stock may decline.

An active, liquid and orderly market for our common stock may not be sustained, which could depress the trading price of our common stock. The price of our common stock has been, and is likely to continue to be, volatile, which means that it could decline substantially within a short period of time. The market price of shares of our common stock could be subject to wide fluctuations in response to many risk factors listed in this section, many of which are beyond our control, including:

actual or anticipated fluctuations in our financial condition and operating results;

changes in the economic performance or market valuations of other companies engaged in providing wealth management software and services;

loss of a significant amount of existing business;

actual or anticipated changes in our growth rate relative to our competitors;

actual or anticipated fluctuations in our competitors' operating results or changes in their growth rates;

issuance of new or updated research reports by securities analysts;

our announcement of actual results for a fiscal period that are higher or lower than projected results or our announcement of revenue or earnings guidance that is higher or lower than expected;

regulatory developments in our target markets affecting us, our customers or our competitors;

fluctuations in the valuation of companies perceived by investors to be comparable to us;

share price and volume fluctuations attributable to inconsistent trading volume levels of our shares;

sales or expected sales of additional common stock;

terrorist attacks or natural disasters or other such events impacting countries where we or our customers have operations; and

general economic and market conditions.

Furthermore, the stock markets have experienced extreme price and volume fluctuations that have affected and continue to affect the market prices of equity securities of many companies. These fluctuations often have been unrelated or disproportionate to the operating performance of those companies. These broad market and industry fluctuations, as well as general economic, political and market conditions such as recessions, interest rate changes or international currency fluctuations, may cause the market price of shares of our common stock to decline. In the past, companies that have experienced volatility in the market price of their stock have been subject to securities class action litigation. We may be the target of this type of litigation in the future. Securities litigation against us could result in substantial costs and divert our management's attention from other business concerns, which could seriously harm our business.

Table of Contents

Our insiders who are significant stockholders may have interests that conflict with those of other stockholders.

Our directors and executive officers, together with members of their immediate families, as a group, beneficially own, in the aggregate, approximately 24% of our outstanding capital stock as of December 31, 2012. As a result, when acting together, this group has the ability to exercise significant influence over most matters requiring our stockholders' approval, including the election and removal of directors and significant corporate transactions. The interests of our insider stockholders may not be aligned with the interests of our other stockholders and conflicts of interest may arise. In addition, the concentration of our shares may have the effect of delaying, deterring or preventing significant corporate transactions which may otherwise adversely affect the market price of our shares.

The future sale of shares of our common stock may negatively impact our stock price.

If our stockholders sell substantial amounts of our common stock, the market price of our common stock could fall. A reduction in ownership by a large stockholder could cause the market price of our common stock to fall. In December 2012, we filed a registration statement on Form S-3 for the potential resale of shares representing up to approximately 24% of our outstanding common stock. While that Form S-3 is no longer effective, such registration could indicate a present desire to sell those shares. In addition, the average daily trading volume in our stock is relatively low. The lack of trading activity in our stock may lead to greater fluctuations in our stock price. Low trading volume may also make it difficult for stockholders to execute transactions in a timely fashion.

Certain provisions in our charter documents and agreements and Delaware law may inhibit potential acquisition bids for our company and prevent changes in our management.

Our certificate of incorporation and bylaws contains provisions that could depress the trading price of our common stock by acting to discourage, delay or prevent a change of control of our company or changes in management that our stockholders might deem advantageous. As a result of these provisions in our certificate of incorporation, the price investors may be willing to pay for shares of our common stock may be limited.

In addition, we are subject to Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law, which imposes certain restrictions on mergers and other business combinations between us and any holder of 15% or more of our common stock.

We do not currently intend to pay dividends on our common stock for the foreseeable future and, consequently, your ability to achieve a return on your investment will depend on appreciation in the price of our common stock.

We do not anticipate paying any cash dividends to holders of our common stock in the foreseeable future. Consequently, investors must rely on sales of their common stock after price appreciation, which may never occur, as the only way to realize any future gains on their investment. Investors seeking cash dividends should not purchase our common stock.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

None.

Item 2. Properties

Our headquarters are located in Chicago, Illinois, and consist of approximately 38,000 square feet of leased space. We also lease office space in Denver, Colorado; New York, New York; Sunnyvale, California; Boston, Massachusetts; Seattle, Washington; Raleigh and Landis, North Carolina and two locations in Trivandrum, India. We believe that our office facilities are adequate for our immediate needs and that additional or substitute space is available if needed to accommodate the foreseeable growth of our operations.

Table of Contents

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

We are involved in litigation arising in the ordinary course of our business. We do not believe that the outcome of any of these proceedings, individually or in the aggregate, would, if determined adversely to us, have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition or business.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

This section is not applicable.

Table of Contents**PART II****Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities**
(a) Market Information

Our common stock is listed on the New York Stock Exchange.

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, the high and low closing sale prices of our common stock, for each of the periods presented below as reported in the consolidated reporting system for the New York Stock Exchange Composite Transactions.

| | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|
| | 2011 |
| Quarter ended March 31, 2011 | \$16.78 to \$11.91 |
| Quarter ended June 30, 2011 | \$15.02 to \$12.99 |
| Quarter ended September 30, 2011 | \$14.94 to \$9.67 |
| Quarter ended December 31, 2011 | \$12.24 to \$9.60 |
| | 2012 |
| Quarter ended March 31, 2012 | \$13.01 to \$11.34 |
| Quarter ended June 30, 2012 | \$12.98 to \$10.94 |
| Quarter ended September 30, 2012 | \$12.75 to \$11.23 |
| Quarter ended December 31, 2012 | \$13.98 to \$11.77 |

(b) Holders

The approximate number of common stockholders was 228 as of June 12, 2013.

(c) Dividends

We have not paid dividends for the most recent two years.

Common Stock

As of December 31, 2012, we had 500,000,000 common shares authorized at a par value of \$0.005, of which 32,355,675 shares were outstanding.

Preferred Stock

As of December 31, 2012, we had 50,000 preferred shares authorized at a par value of \$0.001, of which no shares were outstanding.

(d) Securities Authorized for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plan

For a description of securities authorized under our equity compensation plans, see note 13 to the notes to consolidated financial statements in Part II, Item 8.

(e) Stock Performance Graph

The following graph compares the cumulative return to stockholders on our common stock relative to the cumulative total returns of the Russell® 2000 Index and The S&P North American Technology-Services Index™ from the effective date of our initial public offering on July 28, 2010 through December 31, 2012. In calculating total annual stockholder return, reinvestment of dividends, if any, is assumed. The indices are included for comparative purposes only. This graph is not soliciting material, is not deemed filed with the SEC and is not to be incorporated by reference in any of our filings under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Exchange Act, as amended, whether made

before or after the date hereof and irrespective of any general incorporation language in any such filing.

Table of Contents

29 MONTH STOCK PERFORMANCE GRAPH

| | 7/28/2010 | 12/31/2010 | 12/31/2011 | 12/31/2012 |
|---|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Envestnet, Inc. (ENV) | \$ 100.00 | \$ 189.55 | \$ 132.89 | \$ 155.00 |
| Russell® 2000 Index | 100.00 | 119.02 | 114.05 | 132.70 |
| S&P North American Technology-Services Index™ | 100.00 | 112.38 | 126.21 | 153.33 |

The stock price performance included in this graph is not necessarily indicative of future stock price performance.

(f) Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities

None

(g) Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

None

Table of Contents**Item 6. Selected Financial Data****Consolidated Statements of Operations**

| | Year ended December 31, | | | | |
|--|--|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| | (In thousands, except share and per share information) | | | | |
| Revenues: | | | | | |
| Assets under management or administration | \$ 127,213 | \$ 99,236 | \$ 75,951 | \$ 56,857 | \$ 71,738 |
| Licensing and professional services | 30,053 | 23,942 | 22,101 | 21,067 | 20,104 |
| Total revenues | 157,266 | 123,178 | 98,052 | 77,924 | 91,842 |
| Operating expenses: | | | | | |
| Cost of revenues | 56,119 | 42,831 | 31,444 | 24,624 | 34,604 |
| Compensation and benefits | 54,973 | 40,305 | 37,027 | 28,763 | 28,452 |
| General and administration | 30,617 | 21,856 | 21,607 | 15,726 | 15,500 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 12,400 | 6,376 | 5,703 | 4,499 | 3,538 |
| Restructuring charges | 115 | 434 | 961 | - | - |
| Total operating expenses | 154,224 | 111,802 | 96,742 | 73,612 | 82,094 |
| Income from operations | 3,042 | 11,376 | 1,310 | 4,312 | 9,748 |
| Total other income (expense) | 26 | (796) | (403) | (3,368) | 115 |
| Income before income tax provision | 3,068 | 10,580 | 907 | 944 | 9,863 |
| Income tax provision | 2,603 | 2,975 | 1,533 | 1,816 | 4,608 |
| Net income (loss) | 465 | 7,605 | (626) | (872) | 5,255 |
| Less preferred stock dividends | - | - | (422) | (720) | (203) |
| Less net income allocated to participating convertible preferred stock | - | - | - | - | (2,406) |
| Income (loss) attributable to common shareholders | \$ 465 | \$ 7,605 | \$ (1,048) | \$ (1,592) | \$ 2,646 |
| Net income (loss) per share attributable to common stockholders | | | | | |
| Basic | \$ 0.01 | \$ 0.24 | \$ (0.05) | \$ (0.12) | \$ 0.20 |
| Diluted | \$ 0.01 | \$ 0.23 | \$ (0.05) | \$ (0.12) | \$ 0.19 |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding: | | | | | |
| Basic | 32,162,672 | 31,643,390 | 20,805,911 | 12,910,998 | 13,354,845 |
| Diluted | 33,341,615 | 32,863,834 | 20,805,911 | 12,910,998 | 13,354,845 |

Consolidated Balance Sheet Data

| | 2012 | 2011 | December 31, 2010 (In thousands) | 2009 | 2008 |
|--------------------------------|-----------|-----------|--|-----------|-----------|
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 29,983 | \$ 64,909 | \$ 67,668 | \$ 31,525 | \$ 28,445 |
| Working capital | 14,178 | 64,944 | 62,979 | 27,262 | 21,405 |
| Goodwill and intangible assets | 92,794 | 33,559 | 3,213 | 3,261 | 4,331 |
| Total assets | 162,399 | 137,702 | 141,029 | 74,064 | 71,257 |
| Stockholders' equity | 125,996 | 115,639 | 101,480 | 57,252 | 57,589 |

Table of Contents

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Except where we have otherwise indicated or the context otherwise requires, dollar amounts presented in this Form 10-K are in thousands, except for Part III and per share amounts.

Overview

We are a leading provider of unified wealth management software and services to financial advisors and institutions. By integrating a wide range of investment solutions and services, our Web-based platform provides financial advisors with the flexibility to address their clients' needs.

Investnet empowers financial advisors to deliver fee-based advice to their clients. We work with both independent advisors (RIAs), as well as advisors associated with financial institutions (broker dealers, banks). The services we offer and market to financial advisors address advisors' ability to grow their practice as well as operate more efficiently—the Investnet platform spans from the initial meeting an advisor has with a prospective client to the ongoing day-to-day operations of managing an advisory practice.

Our centrally-hosted technology platform, which we refer to as having "open architecture" because of its flexibility, provides financial advisors with access to a series of integrated services to help them better serve their clients. These services include risk assessment and selection of investment strategies and solutions, asset allocation models, research and due diligence, portfolio construction, proposal generation and paperwork preparation, model management and account rebalancing, account monitoring, customized fee billing, overlay services covering asset allocation, tax management and socially responsible investing, aggregated multi-custodian performance reporting and communication tools, as well as access to a wide range of leading third-party asset custodians.

We offer these solutions principally through the following product and services suites:

Investnet's wealth management software empowers advisors to better manage client outcomes and strengthen their practice. Our software unifies the applications and services advisors use to manage their practice and advise their clients, including financial planning; capital markets assumptions; asset allocation guidance; research and due diligence on investment managers and funds; portfolio management, trading and rebalancing; multi-custodial, aggregated performance reporting; and billing calculation and administration.

Our Portfolio Management Consultants group (Investnet | PMC[®]) primarily engages in consulting services aimed at providing financial advisors with additional support in addressing their clients' needs, as well as the creation of proprietary investment solutions and products. Investnet | PMC's investment solutions and products include managed account and multi-manager portfolios, mutual fund portfolios and ETF portfolios. Investnet | PMC also offers Prima Premium Research, comprising institutional-quality research and due diligence on investment managers, mutual funds, ETFs and liquid alternatives funds.

Investnet | Tamarac provides leading portfolio accounting, rebalancing, trading, performance reporting and client relationship management software, principally to high-end RIAs.

Investnet Reporting Solutions software aggregates and manages investment data, provides performance reporting and benchmarking, giving advisors an in-depth view of clients' various investments, empowering advisors to give holistic, personalized advice.

We believe that our business model results in a high degree of recurring and predictable financial results.

Revenues

Overview

We earn revenues primarily under two pricing models. First, a majority of our revenues is derived from fees charged as a percentage of the assets that are managed or administered on our technology platform by

Table of Contents

financial advisors. These revenues are recorded under revenues from assets under management (AUM) or administration (AUA) or collectively (AUM/A). Our asset-based fees vary based on the types of investment solutions and services that financial advisors utilize. Asset-based fees accounted for approximately 81%, 81% and 77% of our total revenues for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively. In future periods, the percentage of our total revenues attributable to asset-based fees is expected to vary based on fluctuations in securities markets, whether we enter into significant license agreements, the mix of AUM or AUA, and other factors. As of December 31, 2012, approximately \$98 billion of investment assets subject to asset-based fees were managed or administered utilizing our technology platform by approximately 16,100 financial advisors through approximately 450,000 investor accounts.

We also generate revenues from recurring, contractual licensing fees for providing access to our technology platform. These revenues are recorded under revenues from licensing and professional services. Licensing fees are generally fixed in nature for the contract term and are based on the level of investment solutions and services provided, rather than on the amount of client assets on our technology platform. Licensing fees accounted for 15%, 16% and 20% of our total revenues for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Fees received in connection with professional services accounted for the remainder of our total revenues. As of December 31, 2012, approximately \$270 billion of investment assets for which we receive licensing fees for utilizing our technology platform were serviced by approximately 6,900 financial advisors through approximately 1,228,000 investor accounts.

The following table provides information regarding the amount of assets utilizing our platform, financial advisors and investor accounts in the periods indicated.

| | As of December 31, | | |
|-----------------------------------|---|-------------------|-------------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| | (in millions except accounts and advisors data) | | |
| Platform Assets | | | |
| Assets Under Management (AUM) | \$ 30,970 | \$ 22,936 | \$ 14,486 |
| Assets Under Administration (AUA) | 67,368 | 47,148 | 49,202 |
| Subtotal AUM/A | 98,338 | 70,084 | 63,688 |
| Licensing | 269,729 | 69,514 | 75,668 |
| Total Platform Assets | \$ 368,067 | \$ 139,598 | \$ 139,356 |
| Platform Accounts | | | |
| AUM | 156,327 | 124,636 | 65,663 |
| AUA | 293,151 | 216,038 | 241,162 |
| Subtotal AUM/A | 449,478 | 340,674 | 306,825 |
| Licensing | 1,228,016 | 588,038 | 603,950 |
| Total Platform Accounts | 1,677,494 | 928,712 | 910,775 |
| Advisors | | | |
| AUM/A | 16,085 | 13,887 | 13,833 |
| Licensing | 6,941 | 5,709 | 7,746 |
| Total Advisors | 23,026 | 19,596 | 21,579 |

Revenues from assets under management or administration

We generally charge our customers fees based on a higher percentage of the market value of AUM than the fees we charge on the market value of AUA, because we provide fiduciary oversight and/or act as the investment advisor in connection with assets we categorize as AUM. The level of fees varies based on the nature of the investment solutions and services we provide, as well as the specific investment manager, fund and/or custodian chosen by the financial advisor. A portion of our revenues from assets under management or administration include costs paid by us to third parties for sub-advisory, clearing, custody and brokerage services. These expenses are recorded under cost of revenues. We do not have

Edgar Filing: ENVESTNET, INC. - Form 10-K

fiduciary responsibility in connection with AUA and, therefore, charge lower fees on these assets. Our fees for AUA vary based on the nature of the investment solutions and services we provide.

Table of Contents

For over 85% of our revenues from assets under management or administration, we bill customers at the beginning of each quarter based on the market value of customer assets on our technology platform as of the end of the prior quarter. For example, revenues from assets under management or administration recognized during the fourth quarter of 2012 were primarily based on the market value of assets as of September 30, 2012. Our revenues from assets under management or administration are generally recognized ratably throughout the quarter based on the number of days in the quarter.

Our revenues from assets under management or administration are affected by the amount of new assets that are added to existing and new client accounts, which we refer to as gross sales, and the amount of assets that are withdrawn from client accounts, which we refer to as redemptions. We refer to the difference between asset in-flows and outflows as net flows. Positive net flows indicate that the market value of assets added to client accounts exceeds the market value of assets that have been withdrawn from client accounts.

Our revenues from assets under management or administration are also affected by changes in the market values of securities held in client accounts due to fluctuations in the securities markets. Certain types of securities have historically experienced greater market price fluctuations, such as equity securities, than other securities, such as fixed income securities, though in any given period the nature of securities that experience the greatest fluctuations may vary. For example, from October 2007 to March 2009, the equity markets, as measured by the value of the S&P 500 index, declined in value by approximately 57%, which significantly contributed to the 21% decrease in our revenues from assets under management or administration from 2008 to 2009.

The following table provides information regarding the degree to which gross sales, redemptions, net flows and changes in the market values of assets contributed to changes in AUM or AUA in the periods indicated.

| | Asset Rollforward - 2012 | | | | | |
|--|--|------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| | As of 12/31/11 | Gross Sales | Redemp- tions | Net Flows | Market Impact | As of 12/31/12 |
| | (in millions except account data) | | | | | |
| Assets under Management (AUM) | \$ 22,936 | \$ 12,487 | \$ (6,850) | \$ 5,637 | \$ 2,397 | \$ 30,970 |
| Assets under Administration (AUA) | 47,148 | 28,381 | (12,520) | 15,861 | 4,359 | 67,368 |
| Subtotal AUM/A | \$ 70,084 | \$ 40,868 | \$ (19,370) | \$ 21,498 | \$ 6,756 | \$ 98,338 |

| | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|
| <i>Number of Fee-Based Accounts</i> | <i>340,674</i> | <i>191,551</i> | <i>(82,747)</i> | <i>108,804</i> | <i>449,478</i> |
|-------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|

During 2012, we added \$10.4 billion of conversions included in the above AUM/A gross sales figures, and an additional \$13.2 billion of conversions in licensing.

| | Asset Rollforward - 2011 | | | | | | |
|--|--|------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|
| | As of 12/31/10 | Gross Sales | Redemp- tions | Net Flows | Market Impact | Fund Quest | As of 12/31/11 |
| | (in millions except account data) | | | | | | |
| Assets under Management (AUM) | \$ 14,486 | \$ 7,737 | \$ (4,795) | \$ 2,942 | \$ (317) | \$ 5,825 | \$ 22,936 |
| Assets under Administration (AUA) | 49,202 | 24,873 | (18,537) | 6,336 | (1,089) | (7,301) | 47,148 |
| Subtotal AUM/A | \$ 63,688 | \$ 32,610 | \$ (23,332) | \$ 9,278 | \$ (1,406) | \$ (1,476) | \$ 70,084 |

| | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|----------------|
| <i>Number of Fee-Based Accounts</i> | <i>306,825</i> | <i>135,963</i> | <i>(92,060)</i> | <i>43,903</i> | <i>(10,054)</i> | <i>340,674</i> |
|-------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|----------------|

On December 13, 2011, we completed our acquisition of FundQuest. At that time, \$5.8 billion of FundQuest assets previously reported as AUA were reclassified to AUM. Also during the fourth quarter, one of FundQuest's clients with \$1.5 billion in assets transitioned to licensing for a flat fee and is no longer reflected in AUA as of December 31, 2011. During 2011, we added \$8.3 billion of conversions, which are included in the above AUM/A gross sales figures and an additional \$1.0 billion in conversions under licensing agreements.

Table of Contents

The mix of assets under management and assets under administration was as follows for the periods indicated:

| | 2012 | December 31, 2011 | 2010 |
|--|------|----------------------|------|
| Assets under management (AUM) | 31% | 33% | 23% |
| Assets under administration (AUA) | 69% | 67% | 77% |
| | 100% | 100% | 100% |

We expect the percentage of AUM and AUA will fluctuate in future periods. The nature and type of services requested by our customers are the key drivers in determining whether customer assets are classified as AUM or AUA. Therefore, we do not have direct control over the mix of AUM and AUA.

Revenues from licensing and professional services fees

Our revenues received under license agreements are recognized over the contractual term. To a lesser degree we also receive revenues from professional services fees by providing customers with certain technology platform software development services. In the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, our revenues from professional services fees were \$6,145, \$3,818 and \$2,907, respectively. These revenues are generally recognized under a proportional performance model utilizing an output based approach. Our contracts have fixed prices, and generally specify or quantify interim deliverables.

We may enter into license agreements in future periods if requested by our customers and commercially attractive to us.

Expenses

The following is a description of our principal expense items.

Cost of revenues

Cost of revenues primarily includes expenses related to our receipt of sub-advisory and clearing, custody and brokerage services from third parties. The largest component of cost of revenues, sub-advisory fees paid to third party investment managers, relates only to AUM since a sub-advisor is not utilized in connection with AUA. Clearing, custody and brokerage services are provided by third-party providers. These expenses are typically calculated based upon a contractual percentage of the market value of assets held in customer accounts measured as of the end of each fiscal quarter and are recognized ratably throughout the quarter based on the number of days in the quarter. Also included in cost of revenues are vendor specific expenses related to the direct support of revenues associated with the Envestnet | Tamarac products.

Compensation and benefits

Compensation and benefits expenses primarily relate to employee compensation, including salaries, commissions, non-cash stock-based compensation, incentive compensation, benefits and employer-related taxes.

General and administration

General and administration expenses include occupancy costs and expenses relating to communications services, research and data services, website and system development, marketing, professional and legal services and travel and entertainment.

Table of Contents

Depreciation and amortization

Depreciation and amortization expenses include depreciation and amortization related to:

fixed assets, including computer equipment and software, leasehold improvements, office furniture and fixtures and other office equipment;

internally developed software; and

intangible assets, primarily related to customer lists, proprietary technology and trade names, the value of which are capitalized in connection with our acquisitions.

Furniture and equipment is depreciated using the straight-line method based on the estimated useful lives of the depreciable assets. Leasehold improvements are amortized using the straight-line method over their estimated economic useful lives or the remaining lease term, whichever is shorter. Improvements are capitalized, while repairs and maintenance costs are recorded as expenses in the period they are incurred. Assets are tested for recoverability whenever events or circumstances indicate that the carrying value of the assets may not be recoverable.

Internally developed software is amortized on a straight-line basis over its estimated useful life. We evaluate the useful lives of these assets on an annual basis and test for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances occur that could impact the recoverability of these assets.

Intangible assets are depreciated using an accelerated basis over their estimated economic useful lives and are reviewed for possible impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances occur that could impact the recoverability of these assets.

Recent Developments

2013 Developments

Wealth Management Solutions

On April 11, 2013, we entered into a definitive agreement to acquire substantially all of the assets of the Wealth Management Solutions (WMS) division of Prudential Investments for \$10,000 in cash upon closing, subject to certain post-closing adjustments, plus contingent consideration of up to a total of \$23,000 in cash, based upon meeting certain performance targets, to be paid over three years. WMS is a provider of technology solutions that enables financial services firms to develop and enhance their wealth management offerings. We anticipate the acquisition will be completed in the third quarter of 2013.

2012 Developments

Prima Capital Holding, Inc. Agreement

On April 5, 2012, we completed the acquisition of Prima. In accordance with the stock purchase agreement, we acquired all of the outstanding shares of Prima for consideration of \$13,925. Prima, now part of Envestnet | PMC, provides investment management due diligence, research applications, asset allocation modeling and multi-manager portfolios to the wealth management and retirement industries. Prima's clientele includes banks, independent RIAs, regional broker-dealers, family offices and trust companies.

Tamarac, Inc. Agreement

On May 1, 2012, we completed the acquisition of Tamarac. In accordance with the merger agreement, a newly formed subsidiary of Envestnet merged with and into Tamarac, and Tamarac became a wholly-owned subsidiary of Envestnet. Under the terms of the merger agreement, net consideration was \$48,427 for all of the outstanding stock of Tamarac. Tamarac provides leading portfolio accounting, rebalancing, trading, performance reporting and client relationship management software, principally to high-end RIAs.

Table of Contents

In accordance with the terms of the merger agreement between Envestnet and Tamarac, Tamarac senior management were required to apply at least 50% (up to 100%) of the aggregate proceeds of the Tamarac change of control payment totaling \$2,759 to purchase registered shares of Envestnet common stock (232,150 shares) in an amount equal to 95% multiplied by the Envestnet closing market price on the day before the merger closed (See notes 3 and 12 to the notes to consolidated financial statements).

In addition, we adopted the Envestnet, Inc. Management Incentive Plan for Envestnet | Tamarac Management Employees (the 2012 Plan). The 2012 Plan provides for the grant of up to 559,551 shares of unvested common stock. The unvested common stock vests based upon Tamarac meeting certain performance conditions and then a subsequent two-year service condition. We also granted to certain Tamarac employees 232,150 stock options to acquire Envestnet common stock at an exercise price of \$12.51. These stock options vest on the second anniversary of the grant date (See notes 3 and 13 to the notes to consolidated financial statements).

2011 Developments

FundQuest Agreement

On December 13, 2011, we acquired all of the outstanding shares of FundQuest for total consideration of \$27,796. FundQuest, operating as Envestnet Portfolio Solutions, Inc., provides managed account programs, overlay portfolio management, mutual funds, institutional asset management and investment consulting to registered investment advisors, independent advisors, broker-dealers, banks and trust organizations. Upon closing of the transaction, the existing platform services agreement between us and FundQuest was terminated (see note 3 to the notes to consolidated financial statements) and approximately \$5.8 billion of FundQuest's assets were reclassified to assets under management from assets under administration. In addition, one of FundQuest's clients with \$1.5 billion in assets transitioned to licensing from assets under administration.

Fidelity Agreement

For the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, revenues associated with our relationship with our single largest client, Fidelity, accounted for 22%, 31% and 31%, respectively, of our total revenues. As of December 31, 2011, we renegotiated a five-year license agreement with Fidelity which resulted in a reduction in 2012 license fee revenues. In addition, as a part of the renegotiated agreement, we will continue to receive ongoing platform services fees through the Fidelity relationship based upon asset-based fees.

Critical Accounting Policies

Our consolidated financial statements are prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, or (U.S. GAAP). The accounting policies described below require management to apply significant judgment in connection with the preparation of our consolidated financial statements. In particular, judgment is applied to determine the appropriate assumptions to be used in calculating estimates that affect certain reported amounts in our consolidated financial statements. These estimates and assumptions are based on historical experience and on various other factors that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances. If different estimates or assumptions were used, our results of operations, financial condition and cash flows could have been materially different than those reflected in our consolidated financial statements. For additional information regarding our critical accounting policies, see note 2 to the notes to the consolidated financial statements.

Revenue recognition

We recognize revenues when all four of the following criteria have been met:

Persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists;

The product has been delivered or the service has been performed;

Table of Contents

The fee is fixed or determinable; and

Collectability is reasonably assured.

Types of revenues

We generate revenues from assets under management or administration and from licensing and professional service fees. Revenues from assets under management or administration are generated from fees based on a contractual percentage of assets under management or administration valued at each quarter-end. These fees are generally collected at the beginning of a quarter in advance based upon the previous quarter-end values. In less than 15% of our contracts, fees are collected at the end of the quarter based upon the average daily balance. The contractual fee percentages vary based upon the level and type of services we provide to our customers. Pursuant to the contracts with our customers, we calculate our fees based on the asset values in the customer's account, without making any judgment or estimates. None of our fees is earned pursuant to performance-based or other incentive-based arrangements.

We generate revenues from licensing fees pursuant to recurring contractual fixed-fee agreements, principally with a portion of our enterprise clients. Our licensing fees vary based on the type of services we provide. We generate revenues from professional service fees by providing customers with customized technology platform software development services. These revenues are received pursuant to contracts that detail the nature of the services to be provided by us, the estimated number of hours such work will require and the total contract fee amount.

Recognition of revenues

Application of the applicable accounting principles of U.S. GAAP requires us to make judgments and estimates in connection with the measurement and recognition of revenues. Revenues are recognized in the period in which the related services are provided. In certain cases, management is required to determine whether revenues should be recognized in an amount equal to the gross fees we receive or as a net amount reflecting the payment of expenses to third-parties, such as sub-advisors and custodians, that provide services to us in connection with certain of our financial advisors' client accounts. When fees are collected for sub-advisory, clearing, custody or brokerage services in circumstances where we do not have a direct contract with the third-party provider, the fees are recorded as revenue on a net basis. Fees we received in advance of the performance of services are recorded as deferred revenues on our consolidated balance sheet and are recognized as revenues when earned, generally over three months.

Revenues from licensing are recognized over the contractual term. Contracts with nonstandard terms and conditions may require contract interpretation to determine the appropriate revenue recognition policy to apply. For non-software multiple-element arrangements, we allocate the revenue in the arrangement using our best estimate of selling price, when vendor-specific objective evidence (VSOE) or third-party evidence (TPE) of selling price is not available. We generally recognize revenue for consideration allocated to implementation and consulting services in a multiple-element arrangement as services are performed because these services have standalone value separate from the license fees.

Revenues from professional services are recognized under a proportional performance model utilizing an output-based approach. Our contracts have fixed prices, and generally specify or quantify interim deliverables.

Our revenue recognition is also affected by our judgment in determining appropriate allowances for uncollectible receivables. We consider customer-specific information related to delinquent accounts and past lost experience, as well as current economic conditions in establishing the amount of the allowance.

Customer inducements

In certain instances, we have entered into agreements which include inducement payments to the customer. In accordance with U.S. GAAP, inducement payments made to customers are capitalized and amortized against

Table of Contents

revenue on a straight-line basis over the term of the agreement. Customer inducement amortization totaled zero, \$4,568 and \$3,238 for 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Customer inducement assets are reviewed for impairment whenever events or circumstances occur that may impact the fair value of these assets. There were no customer inducement assets as of December 31, 2012 and 2011.

Purchase accounting

During the fourth quarter of 2011, we completed the acquisition of FundQuest for consideration totaling \$27,796 and in the second quarter of 2012, we completed the acquisitions of Prima and Tamarac for consideration totaling \$13,925 and \$48,427, respectively. For more information on the acquisitions see note 3 to the notes to consolidated financial statements.

Assigning fair market values to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed at the date of an acquisition requires knowledge of current market values, and the values of assets in use, and often requires the application of judgment regarding estimates and assumptions. While the ultimate responsibility resides with management, for material acquisitions, we retain the services of certified valuation specialists to assist with assigning estimated values to certain acquired assets and assumed liabilities, including intangible assets.

Acquired intangible assets, excluding goodwill, are valued using a discounted cash flow methodology based on future cash flows specific to the type of intangible asset purchased. This methodology incorporates various estimates and assumptions, the most significant being projected revenue growth rates, earnings margins, and forecasted cash flows based on the discount rate and terminal growth rate. Management projects revenue growth rates, earnings margins and cash flows based on the historical operating results of the acquired entity adjusted for synergies anticipated to be achieved through integration, expected future performance, operational strategies, and the general macroeconomic environment. We review finite-lived intangible assets for triggering events such as significant changes in operations, customers or future revenue that might indicate the need to impair the assets acquired or change the useful lives of the assets acquired. There was no impairment or change in useful lives recognized on other intangible assets in 2012, 2011 or 2010.

Assumed liabilities are valued based on estimates of anticipated expenditures to be incurred to satisfy the assumed obligations, including contractual liabilities assumed, which require the exercise of professional judgment.

Assumed contracts may have favorable or unfavorable terms that must be valued as of the acquisition date. Such valuation is subject to management judgment regarding the evaluation and interpretation of contract terms in relation to other economic circumstances, such as the market rates for office space leases.

If we assume a performance obligation to customers as of the acquisition date, a deferred revenue obligation is recognized. Judgment is required to evaluate whether a future performance obligation exists and to assign a value to the performance obligation.

Estimation of working capital settlement amounts, if not resolved prior to the first reporting period after an acquisition, but before the end of the purchase measurement period, requires exercise of management judgment. We measure these amounts at the acquisition date fair value, if their fair value can be determined during the measurement period. If these estimated working capital settlement amounts are not resolved prior to the first reporting period after acquisition, we recognize the asset or liability if it can be reasonably estimated. Subsequent adjustments to these provisional working capital settlement amounts are evaluated by management to determine the proper accounting treatment under relevant U.S. GAAP authoritative guidance.

Assumed acquired tax liabilities for uncertain tax positions are dependent on assessing the past practices of the acquisition target based on our review of actual tax filings and information obtained through due diligence procedures. Evaluation of the validity of tax positions taken by the acquisition target are subject to management judgment.

Transaction costs associated with business combinations are expensed as they are incurred.

Table of Contents***Internally developed software***

Costs relating to internally developed software that are incurred in the preliminary stages of development are expensed as incurred. Management determines when projects have met the criteria of the application development stage. This typically occurs when the conceptual formulation and evaluation of software functionality are finalized.

Once work on a software application has passed the preliminary stages, internal and external costs, if direct and incremental, are capitalized until the software application is substantially complete and ready for its intended use. These costs include expenditures related to software design, technical specifications, coding, installation of hardware and parallel testing. We cease capitalizing these costs upon completion of all substantial testing of the software application.

We also capitalize costs related to specific upgrades and enhancements of our internally developed software when we conclude that it is probable that the expenditures will result in additional functionality. Our maintenance and training costs are expensed as incurred.

As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, we had net capitalized internally developed software of \$4,324 and \$3,524, respectively. We capitalized \$2,350, \$1,482 and \$1,340 in internally developed software during the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Internally developed software is amortized on a straight-line basis over its estimated useful life. We evaluate the useful lives of these assets on an annual basis and test for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances occur that could impact the recoverability of these assets. There were no impairments to internally developed software during the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010.

Non-cash stock-based compensation expense

Since our 2004 Stock Incentive Plan, 2010 Long-Term Incentive Plan and the 2012 Plan were adopted, stock-based awards have been an important component of our compensation structure. We expect that this will continue to be the case in the future. Our Board of Directors is responsible for determining the timing and magnitude of all equity grants. Prior to our initial public offering on July 28, 2010, our Board of Directors was responsible for determining the fair value of our common stock on the date of each stock option grant. The Board of Directors had delegated certain of its responsibilities to the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors and certain members of management. As required under our 2004 Stock Incentive Plan and our 2010 Long-Term Incentive Plan, all of our stock options are granted with exercise prices at or above the fair value of our common stock on the grant date.

The following table provides information regarding stock options granted from January 1, 2009 through our initial public offering on July 28, 2010:

| Date | Shares | Stock Price | Exercise Price | Intrinsic Value As of December 31, 2012 |
|-----------------|---------------|--------------------|-----------------------|--|
| Options: | | | | |
| 2/16/2009 | 1,000 | \$ 7.85 | \$ 7.85 | \$ 6.10 |
| 4/8/2009 | 8,230 | 7.85 | 7.85 | 6.10 |
| 5/15/2009 | 232,732 | 7.15 | 7.15 | 6.80 |
| 7/6/2009 | 10,000 | 7.15 | 7.15 | 6.80 |
| 11/16/2009 | 12,000 | 11.50 | 11.50 | 2.45 |
| 2/22/2010 | 71,000 | 13.45 | 13.45 | 0.50 |

Prior to our initial public offering in July 2010, there was no market for our common stock and therefore no readily available price to reference when determining the fair value of our common stock in connection with the granting of stock options. The value of our common stock was dependent upon our company valuation and, as described below, we had periodically obtained independent valuations and performed internal valuations of our

Table of Contents

common stock. In each case, such valuations had been performed contemporaneously and we had determined the fair market value of our company in conformity with commonly accepted corporate valuation techniques and methodologies.

Prior to our initial public offering, we generally had obtained contemporaneous independent valuations at least annually and at the time of broad-based option grants, such as on May 15, 2009. For our internal valuations, we applied the same approach and methodology used by the independent valuation firm. For any option grants made between quarterly valuations of our common stock, our Board of Directors assessed all available information in determining whether the stock price in effect at the time of the grant should otherwise be adjusted. As a private company, we had performed our quarterly valuations such that they were effective approximately 45 days following the end of each calendar quarter to approximate the date upon which, if we were a reporting company, we would be required to disclose to the public through filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) our financial performance and associated operating metrics, which include assets under management and administration. Until such date, any information about a given quarter s financial performance, ending asset values, and other information that could be deemed material to investors, would not be known to the public even if we were a reporting company and therefore is not included in the valuation of our common stock during interim periods.

In the specific cases of option grants made after the dates of our quarterly valuations during the period under review, our Board of Directors concluded that no adjustment should have been made to the most recent valuation of our common stock based on its assessment that, had we been a reporting company, no new material information would have been available to the public since the date of the prior valuation of our common stock.

Prior to our initial public offering, our company valuation, whether prepared by an independent valuation firm or performed internally, considered an income approach, also known as a discounted cash flow analysis, incorporating our historical and expected financial performance, the relevant market and industry and economic trends. Our valuation also considered a market approach, including recent capital transactions involving either our company or comparable companies, and comparable public company valuations. The resulting calculation assigned a value for 100% of our company s equity on a marketable equivalent, non-controlling interest basis. We considered, but did not include, an asset approach, as we did not believe the book value of our assets provides meaningful input into our expected revenue and earnings, or the value of our company.

We believe the value of our common stock had the potential to change each fiscal quarter in the normal course of our business, since the majority of our total revenues earned in a given quarter is calculated based on the value of AUM and AUA as of the end of the previous fiscal quarter. These revenues, and our historical resulting projections for earnings and cash flow, were inherently subject to fluctuations from quarter to quarter.

Accordingly, prior to our initial public offering, we calculated the value of our common stock at least once each fiscal quarter. The historical quarterly valuations did at times fluctuate significantly as the market value of our assets under management or administration drives our near term financial results and longer term projections. The value of our common stock could also change if a material financing transaction or other significant event occurred within a given fiscal quarter. In such circumstances we performed an additional valuation of our common stock at the time of the transaction or event, using the same valuation methodology that was utilized in connection with our quarterly valuations.

After we determined a value for our company, we allocated the value to each class of our shares, including our common stock. Our value allocation methodology applied the principles set forth in the AICPA Practice Aid Valuation of Privately-Held-Company Equity Securities Issued as Compensation, or the Practice Aid. The Practice Aid defines appropriate methods to allocate enterprise value to common shares when multiple share classes exist. Based on various factors, including the stage of a company s life and the timing and likelihood of various liquidity events, one method of allocation may be more appropriate than the others. We considered, but did not use, the probability-weighted expected return method due to the number of assumptions for each scenario that are difficult to estimate, and the fact that our most likely liquidation event was an initial public offering.

Table of Contents

Additionally, we did not apply the liquidation method because, as the Practice Aid indicates, it would be inappropriate for a later-stage company such as ours to use that method to allocate value to the various share classes. Furthermore, the more imminent a liquidity event becomes, the more aligned the liquidation model and option pricing model become in attributing value to each share class. Accordingly, we used the option pricing method, as defined in the Practice Aid, which treats each class of equity as having a call option on the enterprise value. The option pricing method considers the economic preferences and other rights attributable to each share class, resulting in a price for each of our share classes, including our common stock. Our valuations of our common stock also reflected a discount for lack of marketability, adjusted over time to reflect the expected likelihood and timing of a liquidity event subsequent to each valuation date. No other discounts were applied in determining the value of our common stock.

During 2009 and through the date our initial public offering on July 28, 2010, we performed the following contemporaneous valuations of our common stock:

| Date | Fair Value of Common Stock |
|------------|-------------------------------|
| 2/15/2009 | \$ 7.85 |
| 5/15/2009 | 7.15 |
| 8/15/2009 | 9.90 |
| 11/15/2009 | 11.50 |
| 2/15/2010 | 13.45 |

As described above, the assets under management or administration on our technology platform at the end of a given quarter have a significant impact on our short and long-term financial projections and resulting valuation. For example, the valuation conducted on May 15, 2009 incorporated financial projections based on assets under management or administration as of March 31, 2009. The value of those assets was 6% below the value of the assets as of December 31, 2008. This contributed to the decline in the estimated fair value of our common stock between periods. Conversely, assets under management or administration increased 16% between March 31, 2009 and June 30, 2009, contributing to an increase in the estimated fair value of our common stock between May 15, 2009 and August 15, 2009. In addition, assets under management or administration increased 15% between June 30, 2009 and September 30, 2009, which contributed to the increase in the fair value of our common stock between August 15, 2009 and November 15, 2009. A 4% increase in assets under management or administration between September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2009, as well as the platform services agreement signed with FundQuest in February of 2010, contributed to the increase in the fair value of our common stock between November 15, 2009 and February 15, 2010. The decrease between the fair value of our common stock on November 15, 2009 and February 15, 2010 and the initial public offering price was principally attributable to volatility in the trading prices of the common stock of comparable companies and the difficult conditions in the market for initial public offerings at and immediately prior to our determination of the initial public offering price. Other factors, such as updated financial projections not related to changes in our assets under management or administration, as well as fluctuations in the value of comparable publicly-traded companies, also contributed to the differences in the estimated fair value of our common stock between periods.

Since our initial public offering on July 28, 2010, we have not performed internal valuations or obtained independent valuations in order to determine the Company's stock price to reference when determining the fair value of our common stock in connection with the granting of stock options or restricted stock.

Non-cash stock-based compensation expense for stock option and restricted stock grants is estimated at the grant date based on each grant's fair value, calculated using the Black-Scholes option pricing model for stock options, and intrinsic value for restricted stock. Compensation and benefits expenses are recognized over the vesting period for each grant. The fair value of our stock options and the resulting expenses are based on various assumptions, including the expected volatility of our stock price, the expected term of the stock options, estimated forfeiture rates and the risk-free interest rate. The use of different assumptions would result in different fair values and compensation and benefits expenses for our option grants.

Table of Contents

The 2012 Plan provides for the grant of up to 559,551 shares of unvested common stock (Target Incentive Awards). The Target Incentive Awards vest based upon Tamarac meeting certain performance conditions and then a subsequent two-year service condition. We measured the cost of these awards based on the estimated fair value of the award as of the market closing price on the day before the acquisition closed. We are recognizing the estimated expense on a graded-vesting method over a requisite service period of three to five years, which is the estimated vesting period. We have estimated expected forfeitures at the grant date and will recognize compensation expense only for those awards expected to vest. The initial forfeiture assumption is reassessed by management in subsequent periods and may change based upon new facts and circumstances. Changes in the forfeiture assumptions may impact the total amount of expense ultimately recognized over the vesting period.

Income taxes

We are subject to income taxes in the United States and India. Significant judgment is required in evaluating our tax positions and determining our provision for income taxes.

We use the asset and liability method to account for income taxes. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases, and for net operating loss carryforwards. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in the Company's income tax provision in the period that includes the enactment date. We record a valuation allowance to reduce deferred tax assets to an amount that we determine is more-likely-than-not to be realized in the future.

In our ordinary course of business, we may enter into transactions for which the ultimate tax determination is uncertain. In such cases, we establish reserves for tax-related uncertainties based on our estimates of whether, and the extent to which, additional taxes will be due. The reserves are established when we believe that certain positions are likely to be challenged and may not be fully sustained on review by tax authorities. We adjust these reserves in light of changing facts and circumstances, such as the closing of a tax audit or refinement of an estimate. Although we believe our reserves are reasonable, no assurance can be given that the final outcome of these matters will not be different from that which is reflected in our historical income tax provisions and accruals. To the extent that the final tax outcome of these matters is different than the amounts recorded, such differences will be reflected in our provision for income taxes. The provision for income taxes includes the impact of reserve provisions and changes to reserves that are considered appropriate.

The amount of income tax we pay is subject to audits by federal, state and foreign tax authorities, which may result in proposed assessments. Our estimate of the potential outcome for any uncertain tax issue is highly judgmental. We believe that we have adequately provided for the foreseeable outcome related to these matters. However, our future results may include favorable or unfavorable adjustments to our estimated tax liabilities in the period the assessments are made or resolved, audits are closed or when statutes of limitations on potential assessments expire. Additionally, the jurisdictions in which our earnings or deductions are realized may differ from our current estimates. As a result, our effective tax rate may fluctuate significantly on a quarterly basis.

Significant judgment is also required in determining any valuation allowance recorded against deferred tax assets. In assessing the need for a valuation allowance, we consider all available evidence, including past operating results, estimates of future taxable income and the feasibility of tax planning strategies. In the event that we change our determination as to the amount of deferred tax assets that can be realized, we will adjust our valuation allowance with a corresponding impact to the provision for income taxes in the period in which such determination is made.

Our effective tax rates differ from the statutory rates primarily due to adjustments in valuation allowances, unrecognized tax benefits, state income taxes and changes in rates. Our provision for income taxes varies based on, among other things, changes in the valuation of our deferred tax assets and liabilities, the tax effects of non-cash stock-based compensation or changes in applicable tax laws, regulations and accounting principles or interpretations thereof.

Table of Contents

As of December 31, 2012, we had net operating loss carryforwards for federal and state income tax purposes of \$42,912 and \$30,271, respectively, available to reduce future income subject to income taxes. The federal and state net operating loss carryforwards expire through 2033. In addition, we have alternative minimum tax credit carryforwards of approximately \$445, which are available to reduce future federal regular income taxes, if any, over an indefinite period.

We are subject to examination of our income tax returns by the U.S. Internal Revenue Service and other tax authorities. We assess the likelihood of adverse outcomes resulting from these examinations to determine the adequacy of our provision for income taxes. There can be no assurance that the outcomes from these examinations will not have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition and cash flows.

Our India subsidiary is currently under examination by the India Taxing Authority for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2009 and March 31, 2010. Based on the outcome of examinations of our subsidiary or the result of the expiration of statutes of limitations, it is reasonably possible that the related unrecognized tax benefits could change from those recorded in the consolidated balance sheets. It is possible that one or more of these audits may be finalized within the next twelve months.

Results of Operations***Year ended December 31, 2012 compared to year ended December 31, 2011***

| | Year Ended December 31, | | Increase (Decrease) | |
|---|-------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|--------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | Amount | % |
| | (In thousands) | | | |
| Revenues: | | | | |
| Assets under management or administration | \$ 127,213 | \$ 99,236 | \$ 27,977 | 28% |
| Licensing and professional services | 30,053 | 23,942 | 6,111 | 26% |
| Total revenues | 157,266 | 123,178 | 34,088 | 28% |
| Operating expenses: | | | | |
| Cost of revenues | 56,119 | 42,831 | 13,288 | 31% |
| Compensation and benefits | 54,973 | 40,305 | 14,668 | 36% |
| General and administration | 30,617 | 21,856 | 8,761 | 40% |
| Depreciation and amortization | 12,400 | 6,376 | 6,024 | 94% |
| Restructuring charges | 115 | 434 | (319) | -74% |
| Total operating expenses | 154,224 | 111,802 | 42,422 | 38% |
| Income from operations | 3,042 | 11,376 | (8,334) | -73% |
| Other income (expense): | | | | |
| Interest income | 29 | 77 | (48) | -62% |
| Interest expense | (3) | (786) | 783 | -100% |
| Other income | - | 1,100 | (1,100) | -100% |
| Other expense | - | (1,183) | 1,183 | -100% |
| Unrealized gain (loss) on investments | - | (4) | 4 | -100% |
| Total other income (expense) | 26 | (796) | 822 | -103% |
| Income before income tax provision | 3,068 | 10,580 | (7,512) | -71% |
| Income tax provision | 2,603 | 2,975 | (372) | -13% |
| Net income | \$ 465 | \$ 7,605 | \$ (7,140) | -94% |

Table of Contents

Revenues

Total revenues increased 28% from \$123,213 in 2011 to \$157,266 in 2012. The increase was primarily due to an increase in revenues from assets under management or administration of \$27,977. Revenues from assets under management or administration comprised 81% of total revenues in both 2012 and 2011.

Assets under management or administration

Revenues earned from assets under management or administration increased 28% from \$99,236 in 2011 to \$127,213 in 2012. This increase was primarily due to an increase in asset values applicable to our quarterly billing cycles in 2012, relative to those used in 2011. Our 2012 revenues were positively affected by new account growth and positive net flows of AUM and AUA during 2011 and through September 2012, as well as an increase in revenues related to FundQuest.

The number of financial advisors with AUM or AUA on our technology platform increased from 13,887 as of December 31, 2011 to 16,085 as of December 31, 2012 and the number of AUM or AUA client accounts increased from approximately 341,000 as of December 31, 2011 to approximately 450,000 as of December 31, 2012.

Licensing and professional services

Licensing and professional services revenues increased 26% from \$23,942 in 2011 to \$30,053 in 2012. This increase was primarily due to an increase in licensing revenue of \$3,787 and an increase in professional services revenue of \$2,327. The increase in licensing revenue was primarily a result of the acquisitions of Prima and Tamarac, partially offset by the renegotiated license agreement with Fidelity.

Cost of revenues

Cost of revenues increased 31% from \$42,831 in 2011 to \$56,119 in 2012, primarily due to the corresponding increase in revenues from AUM or AUA. As a percentage of total revenues, cost of revenues increased from 35% in 2011 to 36% in 2012.

Compensation and benefits

Compensation and benefits increased 36% from \$40,305 in 2011 to \$54,973 in 2012, primarily due to an increase in salaries, benefits and commissions of \$12,416 related to an increase in headcount as a result of the FundQuest, Prima and Tamarac acquisitions. In addition, incentive compensation and non-cash compensation expense increased \$1,137 and \$1,280, respectively, over the prior year period. Headcount increased from an average of 486 in 2011 to an average of 655 in 2012, primarily to support the growth of our operations, as well as increased headcount from acquisitions. As a percentage of total revenues, compensation and benefits increased from 33% in 2011 to 35% in 2012.

General and administration

General and administration expenses increased 40% from \$21,856 in 2011 to \$30,617 in 2012, primarily due to increases in transaction-related costs of \$2,051, occupancy costs of \$1,814, communication, research and data services expense of \$1,283, travel and entertainment costs of \$998, marketing expenses of \$655 and website hosting and development expenses of \$600. As a percentage of total revenues, general and administration expenses increased from 18% in 2011 to 19% in 2012.

Depreciation and amortization

Depreciation and amortization expense increased 94% from \$6,376 in 2011 to \$12,400 in 2012, primarily due to an increase in intangible asset amortization of \$5,230 and fixed asset depreciation of \$823. The increase in intangible asset amortization was due to an increase in intangible assets as a result of the FundQuest, Prima and

Table of Contents

Tamarac acquisitions (see note 3 to the notes to consolidated financial statements). The increase in depreciation and amortization expense was primarily due to increases in capitalized computer equipment and software to support the growth of our operations. As a percentage of total revenues, depreciation and amortization increased from 5% in 2011 to 8% in 2012.

Restructuring charges

In 2012, we incurred restructuring charges of \$115 primarily for severance charges related to the termination of certain Prima and Tamarac employees related to these acquisitions. In 2011, we incurred restructuring charges of \$434 primarily for severance charges related to the termination of certain FundQuest and Envestnet employees related to the FundQuest acquisition.

Interest expense

Interest expense decreased from \$786 in 2011 to \$3 in 2012, primarily due to eleven months of imputed interest on payments due to FundQuest in 2011 compared to no imputed interest in 2012. As discussed in note 3 to the notes to consolidated financial statements, as a result of the FundQuest acquisition and the related termination of the Platform Services Agreement with FundQuest, we ceased imputing interest expense as of the date of acquisition.

Other income

Other income decreased from \$1,100 in 2011 to zero in 2012. In 2011, the Company received proceeds from an insurance recovery (see note 15 to the notes to consolidated financial statements).

Other expense

Other expense decreased from \$1,183 in 2011 to zero in 2012. In 2011, the Company incurred non-cash contract settlement charges related to the termination of the Platform Services Agreement between the Company and FundQuest (see note 3 to the notes to consolidated financial statements).

Income tax provision

| | Year Ended December 31, | |
|----------------------|--------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| | (in thousands) | |
| Income tax provision | \$ 2,603 | \$ 2,975 |
| Effective tax rate | 84.8% | 28.1% |

Our 2012 effective tax rate differs from the statutory rate primarily as a result of unrecognized tax benefits recorded in India, the effect of state tax rate changes, permanent differences, and changes in the valuation of federal and state net operating losses and adjustments to state deferred tax assets. The unrecognized tax benefits recorded relate to India tax exposure resulting from an examination performed by the India Taxing Authority. The change in state tax rates was primarily related to recognizing the benefit of state tax deductions on our federal tax return as well as changes in state tax laws regarding the sourcing of state taxable income. The adjustments to state deferred tax assets result from an analysis performed on the tax basis of fixed assets. It was determined that our net deferred tax assets did not properly reflect the future state tax benefits that will be recorded, and therefore we adjusted our balances accordingly.

Our 2011 effective tax rate differs from the statutory rate primarily as a result of changes in our estimates of our state income tax obligations for prior years, changes in state tax rates and the effect of permanent differences. Our 2011 effective tax rate also differs from the statutory rate primarily as a result of the reversal of certain deferred income tax liabilities totaling \$1,186 related to the termination of the Platform Services Agreement between Envestnet and FundQuest (see note 3 to the notes to consolidated financial statements).

Table of Contents*Year ended December 31, 2011 compared to year ended December 31, 2010*

| | Year Ended December 31, 2011 | | 2010 (In thousands) | | Increase (Decrease) Amount | % |
|---|---------------------------------|----------------|------------------------|---------------|-------------------------------|------------|
| Revenues: | | | | | | |
| Assets under management or administration | \$ | 99,236 | \$ | 75,951 | \$ 23,285 | 31% |
| Licensing and professional services | | 23,942 | | 22,101 | 1,841 | 8% |
| Total revenues | | 123,178 | | 98,052 | 25,126 | 26% |
| Operating expenses: | | | | | | |
| Cost of revenues | | 42,831 | | 31,444 | 11,387 | 36% |
| Compensation and benefits | | 40,305 | | 37,027 | 3,278 | 9% |
| General and administration | | 21,856 | | 21,607 | 249 | 1% |
| Depreciation and amortization | | 6,376 | | 5,703 | 673 | 12% |
| Restructuring charges | | 434 | | 961 | (527) | -55% |
| Total operating expenses | | 111,802 | | 96,742 | 15,060 | 16% |
| Income from operations | | 11,376 | | 1,310 | 10,066 | * |
| Other income (expense): | | | | | | |
| Interest income | | 77 | | 149 | (72) | -48% |
| Interest expense | | (786) | | (564) | (222) | 39% |
| Other income | | 1,100 | | - | 1,100 | 100% |
| Other expense | | (1,183) | | - | (1,183) | 100% |
| Unrealized gain (loss) on investments | | (4) | | 12 | (16) | -133% |
| Total other (expense) | | (796) | | (403) | (393) | 49% |
| Income before income tax provision | | 10,580 | | 907 | 9,673 | * |
| Income tax provision | | 2,975 | | 1,533 | 1,442 | 94% |
| Net income (loss) | \$ | 7,605 | \$ | (626) | \$ 8,231 | * |

* Not meaningful.

Revenues

Total revenues increased 26% from \$98,052 in 2010 to \$123,178 in 2011. The increase was primarily due to an increase in revenues from assets under management or administration of \$23,285. Revenues from assets under management or administration comprised 81% and 77% of total revenues in 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Assets under management or administration

Revenues earned from assets under management or administration increased 31% from \$75,951 in 2010 to \$99,236 in 2011. This increase was primarily due to an increase in asset values applicable to our quarterly billing cycles in 2011, relative to those used in 2010. Our 2011 revenues were positively affected by new account growth and positive net flows of AUM and AUA during the fourth quarter of 2010 through September 30, 2011. This increase was partially offset by a decrease in the market value of AUM and AUA from the fourth quarter of 2010 to September 30, 2011.

Edgar Filing: ENVESTNET, INC. - Form 10-K

New account growth and positive net flows of AUM and AUA resulted from continued efforts to increase the number of financial advisors and accounts on our technology platform and the implementation of the FundQuest assets on our technology platform. The number of financial advisors with AUM or AUA on our technology platform increased from 13,833 as of December 31, 2010 to 13,887 as of December 31, 2011 and the number of AUM or AUA client accounts increased from approximately 307,000 as of December 31, 2010 to approximately 341,000 as of December 31, 2011.

Table of Contents

Licensing and professional services

Licensing and professional services revenues increased 8% from \$22,101 in 2010 to \$23,942 in 2011, primarily due to an increase in licensing revenue of \$935 and an increase in professional services revenue of \$911.

Cost of revenues

Cost of revenues increased 36% from \$31,444 in 2010 to \$42,831 in 2011, primarily due to an increase in revenues from assets under management or administration. As a percentage of total revenues, cost of revenues increased from 32% in 2010 to 35% in 2011.

Compensation and benefits

Compensation and benefits increased 9% from \$37,027 in 2010 to \$40,305 in 2011, primarily due to an increase in salaries and commissions of \$2,048 related to an increase in headcount, an increase in non-cash stock-based compensation expense of \$1,331 primarily due to the grant of stock options on the date of our initial public offering and an increase in benefits and payroll taxes of \$960, offset by a decrease in incentive compensation expense of \$976. Headcount increased from an average of 437 in 2010 to an average of 486 in 2011, primarily to support the growth of our operations, as well as increased headcount from acquisitions. As a percentage of total revenues, compensation and benefits decreased from 38% in 2010 to 33% in 2011.

General and administration

General and administration expenses increased 1% from \$21,607 in 2010 to \$21,856 in 2011. Significant changes from 2010 to 2011 include a decrease of \$2,668 in bad debt expense related to the uncollectible portion of accounts and notes receivable from Fetter Logic (see note 16 to the notes to consolidated financial statements) and a decrease of \$1,897 in legal fees related to the Fetter Logic litigation, offset by increases in communication, research and data services expense of \$925, occupancy costs of \$580, insurance and bank charges of \$404, travel and entertainment costs of \$401 and professional and other legal fees of \$804. As a percentage of total revenues, general and administration expenses decreased from 22% in 2010 to 18% in 2011. Excluding bad debt expense of \$2,668 and legal fees of \$1,933 related to the Fetter Logic litigation, general and administration expenses as a percentage of total revenues would have been 17% in 2010.

Depreciation and amortization

Depreciation and amortization expense increased 12% from \$5,703 in 2010 to \$6,376 in 2011, primarily due to an increase in fixed asset depreciation and amortization of \$855. The increase in depreciation and amortization expense was primarily due to increases in capitalized computer equipment and software to support the growth of our operations. As a percentage of total revenues, depreciation and amortization decreased from 6% in 2010 to 5% in 2011.

Restructuring charges

Effective March 31, 2010, we closed our Los Angeles office in order to more appropriately align and manage our resources and incurred restructuring charges of approximately \$961 in 2010 and \$53 in 2011. These expenses related to vacating rental office space, relocation expenses and severance charges. In 2011, we incurred restructuring charges of approximately \$381 primarily for severance charges related to the termination of certain FundQuest and Envestnet employees related to the FundQuest acquisition (see note 9 to the notes to consolidated financial statements).

Interest expense

Interest expense increased from \$564 in 2010 to \$786 in 2011, primarily due to imputed interest on the payments due to FundQuest. Due to the FundQuest acquisition and the related termination of the Platform Services Agreement with FundQuest, we have ceased imputing interest expense as of the date of acquisition (see note 3 to the notes to consolidated financial statements).

Table of Contents**Other income**

Other income increased from zero in 2010 to \$1,100 in 2011, due to the proceeds from an insurance recovery in 2011 (see note 15 to the notes to consolidated financial statements).

Other expense

Other expense increased from zero in 2010 to \$1,183 in 2011, due to the contract settlement charges related to the termination of the Platform Services Agreement between Envestnet and FundQuest (see note 3 to the notes to consolidated financial statements).

Income tax provision

| | Year Ended December 31, | |
|----------------------|-------------------------|----------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| | (in thousands) | |
| Income tax provision | \$ 2,975 | \$ 1,533 |
| Effective tax rate | 28.1% | * |

* Not meaningful.

Our 2011 effective tax rate differs from the statutory rate primarily as a result of changes in our estimates of our state income tax obligations for prior years, changes in state tax rates and the effect of permanent items. The changes in state tax rates were primarily related to changes in state tax laws regarding the sourcing of state taxable income. Our 2011 effective tax rate also differs from the statutory rate primarily as a result of the reversal of certain deferred income tax liabilities totaling \$1,187 related to the termination of the Platform Services Agreement between Envestnet and FundQuest (see note 3 to the notes to consolidated financial statements).

Our 2010 effective tax rate differs from the statutory rate primarily as a result of changes in our estimates of our state income tax obligations for prior years and changes in state tax rates. The changes in state tax rates were primarily related to changes in state tax laws regarding the sourcing of state taxable income. Our 2010 effective tax rate also differs from the statutory rate primarily as a result of an increase in our tax valuation allowance we recorded in 2010. In 2010, our management determined that newly generated deferred tax assets related to capital losses from investments and bad debts were not expected to be utilized and correspondingly, we increased our tax valuation allowance.

Non-U.S. GAAP Financial Measures

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------|------------|-----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| | (in thousands) | | |
| Adjusted revenues | \$ 158,514 | \$ 123,178 | \$ 98,052 |
| Adjusted EBITDA | 23,988 | 27,436 | 18,115 |
| Adjusted net income | 10,570 | 13,754 | 7,629 |
| Adjusted net income per share | 0.32 | 0.42 | 0.24 |

Adjusted revenues excludes the effect of purchase accounting on the fair value of acquired deferred revenue. Under U.S. GAAP, we record at fair value the acquired deferred revenue for contracts in effect at the time the entities were acquired. Consequently, revenue related to acquired entities for periods subsequent to the acquisition does not reflect the full amount of revenue that would have been recorded by these entities had they remained stand-alone entities.

Adjusted EBITDA represents net income (loss) before deferred revenue fair value adjustment, interest income, interest expense, income tax provision, depreciation and amortization, non-cash compensation expense,

Table of Contents

restructuring charges and transaction costs, severance, litigation-related expense, gain (loss) on investments, other income, impairment of customer inducement asset, contract settlement charges, bad debt expense and customer inducement costs.

Adjusted net income represents net income (loss) before deferred revenue fair value adjustment, non-cash compensation expense, severance, amortization of acquired intangibles, litigation-related expense, non-recurring tax items, bad debt expense, customer inducement costs, contract settlement charges, contract settlement reversal of deferred taxes, impairment of customer inducement asset, other income and imputed interest expense. Reconciling items, excluding bad debt expense, contract settlement charges, contract settlement reversal of deferred taxes and non-deductible transaction costs, are tax effected using the income tax rates in effect on the applicable date.

Adjusted net income per share represents adjusted net income attributable to common stockholders divided by the diluted number of weighted-average shares outstanding.

Our Board of Directors and our management use adjusted revenues, adjusted EBITDA, adjusted net income and adjusted net income per share:

As measures of operating performance;

For planning purposes, including the preparation of annual budgets;

To allocate resources to enhance the financial performance of our business;

To evaluate the effectiveness of our business strategies; and

In communications with our Board of Directors concerning our financial performance.

Our Compensation Committee, Board of Directors and our management may also consider adjusted EBITDA, among other factors, when determining management's incentive compensation.

We also present adjusted revenues, adjusted EBITDA, adjusted net income and adjusted net income per share as supplemental performance measures because we believe that they provide our Board of Directors, management and investors with additional information to assess our performance. Adjusted revenues provide comparisons from period to period by excluding the effect of purchase accounting on the fair value of acquired deferred revenue. Adjusted EBITDA provide comparisons from period to period by excluding potential differences caused by variations in the age and book depreciation of fixed assets affecting relative depreciation expense and amortization of internally developed software, amortization of acquired intangible assets, amortization of customer inducement costs, litigation-related expense, severance, gain on investments, and changes in interest expense and interest income that are influenced by capital structure decisions and capital market conditions. Our management also believes it is useful to exclude non-cash stock-based compensation expense from adjusted EBITDA and adjusted net income because non-cash equity grants made at a certain price and point in time do not necessarily reflect how our business is performing at any particular time.

We believe adjusted revenues, adjusted EBITDA, adjusted net income and adjusted net income per share are useful to investors in evaluating our operating performance because securities analysts use adjusted revenues, adjusted EBITDA, adjusted net income and adjusted net income per share as supplemental measures to evaluate the overall performance of companies, and we anticipate that our investor and analyst presentations will include adjusted revenues, adjusted EBITDA, adjusted net income and adjusted net income per share.

Adjusted revenues, adjusted EBITDA, adjusted net income and adjusted net income per share are not measurements of our financial performance under U.S. GAAP and should not be considered as an alternative to revenues, net income, operating income or any other performance measures derived in accordance with U.S. GAAP, or as an alternative to cash flows from operating activities as a measure of our profitability or liquidity.

Table of Contents

We understand that, although adjusted revenues, adjusted EBITDA, adjusted net income and adjusted net income per share are frequently used by securities analysts and others in their evaluation of companies, these measures have limitations as an analytical tool, and you should not consider them in isolation, or as a substitute for an analysis of our results as reported under U.S. GAAP. In particular you should consider:

Adjusted revenues, adjusted EBITDA, adjusted net income and adjusted net income per share do not reflect our cash expenditures, or future requirements for capital expenditures or contractual commitments;

Adjusted revenues, adjusted EBITDA, adjusted net income and adjusted net income per share do not reflect changes in, or cash requirements for, our working capital needs;

Adjusted revenues, adjusted EBITDA, adjusted net income and adjusted net income per share do not reflect non-cash components of employee compensation;

Although depreciation and amortization are non-cash charges, the assets being depreciated and amortized often will have to be replaced in the future, and adjusted EBITDA does not reflect any cash requirements for such replacements;

Due to either net losses before income tax expenses or the use of federal and state net operating loss carryforwards in 2012, 2011 and 2010, we had cash income tax payments of \$796, \$813 and \$171 in the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Income tax payments will be higher if we continue to generate taxable income and our existing net operating loss carryforwards for federal and state income taxes have been fully utilized or have expired; and

Other companies in our industry may calculate adjusted revenues, adjusted EBITDA, adjusted net income and adjusted net income per share differently than we do, limiting their usefulness as a comparative measure.

Management compensates for the inherent limitations associated with using adjusted revenues, adjusted EBITDA, adjusted operating income, adjusted net income and adjusted net income per share through disclosure of such limitations, presentation of our financial statements in accordance with U.S. GAAP and reconciliation of adjusted revenues to revenues, the most directly comparable U.S. GAAP measure and adjusted EBITDA, adjusted net income and adjusted net income per share to net income and net income per share, the most directly comparable U.S. GAAP measure. Further, our management also reviews U.S. GAAP measures and evaluates individual measures that are not included in some or all of our non-U.S. GAAP financial measures, such as our level of capital expenditures and interest income, among other measures.

The following table sets forth a reconciliation of total revenues to adjusted revenues based on our historical results:

| | 2012 | Year Ended December 31, 2011 (in thousands) | 2010 |
|--|------------|---|-----------|
| Total revenues | \$ 157,266 | \$ 123,178 | \$ 98,052 |
| Deferred revenue fair value adjustment | 1,248 | - | - |
| Adjusted revenues | \$ 158,514 | \$ 123,178 | \$ 98,052 |

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth the reconciliation of net income (loss) to adjusted EBITDA based on our historical results:

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|---|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| | (in thousands) | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 465 | \$ 7,605 | \$ (626) |
| Add (deduct): | | | |
| Deferred revenue fair value adjustment | 1,248 | - | - |
| Interest income | (29) | (77) | (149) |
| Interest expense | 3 | 786 | 564 |
| Income tax provision | 2,603 | 2,975 | 1,533 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 12,400 | 6,376 | 5,703 |
| Non-cash compensation expense | 4,037 | 3,062 | 1,731 |
| Restructuring charges and transaction costs | 2,718 | 1,054 | 961 |
| Severance | 278 | 698 | 570 |
| Litigation-related expense | 265 | 128 | 1,933 |
| (Gain) loss on investments | - | 4 | (12) |
| Other income | - | (1,100) | - |
| Impairment of customer inducement asset | - | 174 | - |
| Contract settlement charges | - | 1,183 | - |
| Bad debt expense | - | - | 2,668 |
| Customer inducement costs | - | 4,568 | 3,239 |
| Adjusted EBITDA | \$ 23,988 | \$ 27,436 | \$ 18,115 |

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth the reconciliation of net income (loss) to adjusted net income and adjusted net income per share based on our historical results:

| | 2012 * | Year Ended December 31, 2011 * (in thousands) | 2010 * |
|--|-------------------|---|-------------------|
| Net income (loss) | \$ 465 | \$ 7,605 | \$ (626) |
| Add: | | | |
| Deferred revenue fair value adjustment | 746 | - | - |
| Non-cash compensation expense | 2,414 | 1,831 | 1,077 |
| Restructuring charges and transaction costs | 1,810 | 630 | 598 |
| Severance | 166 | 417 | 355 |
| Amortization of acquired intangible assets | 3,687 | 559 | 694 |
| Litigation-related expense | 158 | 77 | 1,202 |
| Non-recurring tax items | 1,124 | - | - |
| Bad debt expense | - | - | 2,668 |
| Customer inducement costs | - | 2,732 | 2,015 |
| Contract settlement charges | - | 1,183 | - |
| Contract settlement - reversal of deferred taxes | - | (1,187) | - |
| Impairment of customer inducement asset | - | 104 | - |
| Other income | - | (658) | - |
| Imputed interest expense | - | 461 | 340 |
| Adjusted net income | 10,570 | 13,754 | 8,323 |
| Less: Preferred stock dividends | - | - | (422) |
| Less: Net income allocated to participating preferred stock | - | - | (2,069) |
| Adjusted net income attributable to common stockholders | \$ 10,570 | \$ 13,754 | \$ 5,832 |
| Basic number of weighted-average shares outstanding | 32,162,672 | 31,643,390 | 20,805,911 |
| Effect of dilutive shares: | | | |
| Options to purchase common stock | 954,056 | 974,192 | 992,753 |
| Common warrants | 177,257 | 211,495 | 154,364 |
| Restricted stock | 47,630 | 34,757 | - |
| Diluted number of weighted-average shares outstanding | 33,341,615 | 32,863,834 | 21,953,028 |
| Adjusted net income per share | \$ 0.32 | \$ 0.42 | \$ 0.27 |

* Adjustments, excluding non-recurring tax items, bad debt expense, contract settlement charges, contract settlement reversal of deferred taxes and non-deductible transaction costs, are tax effected using income tax rates as follows: for 2012 and 2011 40.2%; for 2010 37.8%.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

As of December 31, 2012, we had total cash and cash equivalents of \$29,983, compared to \$64,909 as of December 31, 2011. We plan to use existing cash as of December 31, 2012 and cash generated in the ongoing operations of our business to fund our current operations and capital expenditures in 2013.

Table of Contents**Cash Flows**

The following table presents information regarding our cash flows and cash and cash equivalents for the periods indicated:

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|--|-------------------------|------------------------|----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 (In thousands) | 2010 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | \$ 28,541 | \$ 24,721 | \$ 1,467 |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (69,707) | (30,133) | (5,501) |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | 6,240 | 2,653 | 40,177 |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | (34,926) | (2,759) | 36,143 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of period | 29,983 | 64,909 | 67,668 |

Operating Activities

Net cash provided by operating activities in 2012 increased by \$3,820 compared to 2011, primarily due to a decrease in net earnings of \$7,140 in 2012 compared to the prior year period, a decrease in amortization of customer inducements of \$4,568, offset by an increase in \$6,024 in depreciation and amortization expense, and an overall net increase in operating assets and liabilities of \$12,939.

Net cash provided by operating activities in 2011 increased by \$23,254 compared to 2010, primarily due to an increase in net earnings of \$8,231 for the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to the prior year period and a decrease in customer inducement liability payments of \$10,300, primarily a result of a \$1,000 payment to FundQuest in 2011 compared to a payment of \$11,300 to FundQuest in 2010.

Investing Activities

Net cash used in investing activities in 2012 increased by \$39,574 compared to 2011. In 2012, the Company acquired Prima and Tamarac for net cash totaling \$62,352 combined and in 2011, the Company acquired FundQuest for net cash totaling \$23,719 (see notes 3 to the notes to consolidated financial statements). Additionally, cash disbursements in 2012 and 2011 totaled \$7,188 and \$6,280, respectively, for purchases of property and equipment and capitalization of internally developed software.

Net cash used in investing activities in 2011 increased by \$24,632 compared to 2010. In 2011, the Company acquired FundQuest for net cash totaling \$23,719. Additionally, cash disbursements in 2011 and 2010 totaled \$6,280 and \$5,509, respectively, for purchases of property and equipment and capitalization of internally developed software.

Financing Activities

Net cash provided by financing activities in 2012 increased by \$3,587 compared 2011, primarily a result of the proceeds from the issuance of restricted stock in the Tamarac acquisition of \$2,759 (see notes 3 and 12 to the notes to consolidated financial statements) offset by a decrease in proceeds from the exercise of stock options of \$678.

Net cash provided by financing activities in 2011 decreased by \$37,524 million compared to 2010, primarily due to the receipt of net proceeds of \$42,066 from our initial public offering after deducting underwriting discounts and offering costs in 2010, net proceeds of \$1,525 for the exercise of warrants in 2010 and net proceeds of \$1,925 from the exercise of stock options in 2010, partially offset by \$3,993 used in purchases of our common stock in 2010 and payments of preferred stock dividends of \$1,346 in 2010. In 2011, we received net proceeds of \$2,747 from the exercise of stock options.

Table of Contents**Commitments**

The following table sets forth information regarding our contractual obligations as of December 31, 2012:

| | Total | Payments Due by Period | | | |
|----------------------|------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| | | Less than 1 year | 1-3 years (In thousands) | 3-5 years | More than 5 years |
| Operating leases (1) | \$ 46,571 | \$ 4,488 | \$ 10,364 | \$ 10,646 | \$ 21,073 |
| Purchase obligations | 710 | 637 | 73 | - | - |
| Total | \$ 47,281 | \$ 5,125 | \$ 10,437 | \$ 10,646 | \$ 21,073 |

(1) We lease facilities under non-cancelable operating leases expiring at various dates through 2023. The table above does not reflect the following:

Amounts estimated for uncertain tax positions since the timing and likelihood of such payments cannot be reasonably estimated.

Voluntary employer matching contributions to our defined contribution benefit plans since the amount cannot be reasonably estimated. For the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, we made voluntary employer matching contributions of \$660, \$474 and \$427, respectively.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

Other than operating leases as indicated above, we do not have any other off-balance sheet arrangements.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2011, the FASB issued authoritative guidance that amends ASC Topic 220, Comprehensive Income, to require that all non-owner changes in stockholders' equity be presented either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements, and it eliminates the option to present components of other comprehensive income as a part of the statement of changes in stockholders' equity. In addition, this guidance requires an entity to present on the face of the financial statements reclassification adjustments for items that are reclassified from other comprehensive income to net income in the statement(s) where the components of net income and the components of other comprehensive income are presented. These amendments are to be applied retrospectively and are effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011; however, early adoption is permitted. The adoption of this guidance on January 1, 2012 did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In September 2011, the FASB issued authoritative guidance regarding the testing of goodwill for impairment. This guidance allows companies to perform a qualitative assessment to determine whether or not the current two-step quantitative testing method, in which a company compares the fair value of reporting units to their carrying amounts including goodwill, must be followed. If a qualitative assessment indicates that it is more-likely-than-not that the fair value of a reporting unit is greater than its carrying amount, then the quantitative impairment test is not required. A company may choose to use the qualitative assessment on none, some, or all of its reporting units or to bypass the qualitative assessment and proceed directly to the two-step quantitative testing method. This guidance is effective for annual and interim goodwill impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011; however, early adoption is permitted. The adoption of this guidance on January 1, 2012 did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Market risk

Our exposure to market risk is directly related to revenues from asset management or administration services earned based upon a contractual percentage of AUM or AUA. In the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, 81%, 81% and 77% of our revenues, respectively, were derived from revenues based on the market value of AUM or AUA. We expect this percentage to vary over time. A decrease in the aggregate value of AUM or AUA may cause our revenue and income to decline.

Foreign currency risk

The expenses of our India subsidiary, which primarily consist of expenditures related to compensation and benefits, are paid using the Indian Rupee. We are directly exposed to changes in foreign currency exchange rates through the translation of these monthly expenditures into U.S. dollars. As of December 31, 2012, we estimate that a hypothetical 10% increase in the value of the Indian Rupee to the U.S. dollar would result in a decrease of \$470 to pre-tax earnings and a hypothetical 10% decrease in the value of the Indian Rupee to the U.S. dollar would result in a \$385 increase to pre-tax earnings.

Interest rate risk

We have no floating interest rate debt, therefore we are not directly exposed to interest rate risk.

Table of Contents

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders

Envestnet, Inc.:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Envestnet, Inc. and subsidiaries (the Company) as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2012. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Company as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2012, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012, based on the criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated June 14, 2013 expressed an adverse opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ KPMG LLP

Chicago, Illinois

June 14, 2013

Table of Contents**Investnet, Inc.****Consolidated Balance Sheets****(In thousands, except share information)**

| | December 31, | |
|--|---------------------|-------------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Assets | | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 29,983 | \$ 64,909 |
| Fees receivable | 9,188 | 9,644 |
| Deferred tax assets, net | 2,089 | 2,605 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | 2,501 | 4,929 |
| Total current assets | 43,761 | 82,087 |
| Property and equipment, net | 11,791 | 11,091 |
| Internally developed software, net | 4,324 | 3,524 |
| Intangible assets, net | 27,150 | 12,225 |
| Goodwill | 65,644 | 21,334 |
| Deferred tax assets, net | 6,194 | 4,279 |
| Other non-current assets | 3,535 | 3,162 |
| Total assets | \$ 162,399 | \$ 137,702 |
| Liabilities and Stockholders Equity | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Accrued expenses | \$ 20,201 | \$ 14,919 |
| Accounts payable | 2,614 | 1,974 |
| Note payable | - | 171 |
| Deferred revenue | 5,768 | 79 |
| Total current liabilities | 28,583 | 17,143 |
| Deferred rent liability | 2,195 | 1,414 |
| Lease incentive liability | 3,886 | 2,933 |
| Other non-current liabilities | 1,739 | 573 |
| Total liabilities | 36,403 | 22,063 |
| Commitments and contingencies | | |
| Stockholders equity | | |
| Preferred stock | - | - |
| Common stock, par value \$0.005, 500,000,000 shares authorized as of December 31, 2012 and 2011; 44,071,564 and 43,515,899 shares issued as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively; 32,355,675 and 31,810,726 shares outstanding as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively | 220 | 218 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 173,611 | 163,584 |
| Accumulated deficit | (37,277) | (37,742) |
| Treasury stock at cost, 11,715,889 and 11,705,173 shares as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively | (10,558) | (10,421) |
| Total stockholders equity | 125,996 | 115,639 |

Edgar Filing: ENVESTNET, INC. - Form 10-K

| | | |
|--|------------|------------|
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ 162,399 | \$ 137,702 |
|--|------------|------------|

See accompanying notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents**Envestnet, Inc.****Consolidated Statements of Operations****(In thousands, except share and per share information)**

| | 2012 | Year ended December 31, | |
|---|----------------|-------------------------|-------------------|
| | | 2011 | 2010 |
| Revenues: | | | |
| Assets under management or administration | \$ 127,213 | \$ 99,236 | \$ 75,951 |
| Licensing and professional services | 30,053 | 23,942 | 22,101 |
| Total revenues | 157,266 | 123,178 | 98,052 |
| Operating expenses: | | | |
| Cost of revenues | 56,119 | 42,831 | 31,444 |
| Compensation and benefits | 54,973 | 40,305 | 37,027 |
| General and administration | 30,617 | 21,856 | 21,607 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 12,400 | 6,376 | 5,703 |
| Restructuring charges | 115 | 434 | 961 |
| Total operating expenses | 154,224 | 111,802 | 96,742 |
| Income from operations | 3,042 | 11,376 | 1,310 |
| Other income (expense): | | | |
| Interest income | 29 | 77 | 149 |
| Interest expense | (3) | (786) | (564) |
| Other income | - | 1,100 | - |
| Other expense | - | (1,183) | - |
| Gain (loss) on investments | - | (4) | 12 |
| Total other income (expense) | 26 | (796) | (403) |
| Income before income tax provision | 3,068 | 10,580 | 907 |
| Income tax provision | 2,603 | 2,975 | 1,533 |
| Net income (loss) | 465 | 7,605 | (626) |
| Less preferred stock dividends | - | - | (422) |
| Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders | \$ 465 | \$ 7,605 | \$ (1,048) |
| Net income (loss) per share attributable to common stockholders: | | | |
| Basic | \$ 0.01 | \$ 0.24 | \$ (0.05) |
| Diluted | \$ 0.01 | \$ 0.23 | \$ (0.05) |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding: | | | |
| Basic | 32,162,672 | 31,643,390 | 20,805,911 |
| Diluted | 33,341,615 | 32,863,834 | 20,805,911 |

Table of Contents**Envestnet, Inc.****Consolidated Statements of Stockholders Equity**

(In thousands, except share information)

| | Preferred Stock | | Common Stock | | Treasury Stock | | | Additional Paid-in Capital | Accumulated Deficit | Total Stockholders Equity |
|---|-----------------|--------|--------------|--------|------------------|---------------------|-------------|----------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | Shares | Amount | Shares | Amount | Common Shares | Preferred Shares | Amount | | | |
| Balance, December 31, 2009 | 76,643 | \$ - | 13,524,276 | \$ 68 | (613,600) | - | \$ (6,334) | \$ 106,893 | \$ (43,375) | \$ 57,252 |
| Exercise of Series B warrants | 1,497 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1,497 | - | 1,497 |
| Conversion of preferred stock to common stock effective upon initial public offering | (45,890) | - | 7,842,034 | 39 | - | - | - | (39) | - | - |
| Merger transactions between EnvestNet Group, Inc. and Envestnet, Inc.: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Issuance of Envestnet, Inc. common stock to EnvestNet Group, Inc. shareholders | - | - | 10,680,000 | 54 | - | - | - | (54) | - | - |
| Conversion of Envestnet, Inc. preferred and common stock held by EnvestNet Group, Inc., into treasury stock (common equivalents) | (32,250) | - | 5,160,000 | 26 | (10,680,000) | - | - | (26) | - | - |
| Net operating loss tax benefit recognized from EnvestNet Group, Inc. merger | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 839 | - | 839 |
| Initial public offering of common stock, net of offering costs | - | - | 5,411,325 | 27 | - | - | - | 42,039 | - | 42,066 |
| Issuance of warrant to FundQuest, Inc. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2,946 | - | 2,946 |
| Exercise of common warrants | - | - | 154,548 | - | - | - | - | 28 | - | 28 |
| Exercise of stock options | - | - | 296,188 | 1 | - | - | - | 1,924 | - | 1,925 |
| Stock-based compensation | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1,731 | - | 1,731 |
| Purchase of treasury stock (at cost) | - | - | - | - | (381,480) | (122) | (3,993) | - | - | (3,993) |
| Conversion of preferred stock in treasury to common stock | - | - | - | - | (24,469) | 122 | - | - | - | - |
| Preferred stock dividends | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | (1,346) | (1,346) |
| Net loss | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | (626) | (626) |
| Balance, December 31, 2010 | - | \$ - | 43,068,371 | \$ 215 | (11,699,549) | - | \$ (10,327) | \$ 157,778 | \$ (45,347) | \$ 102,319 |
| Exercise of stock options | - | - | 447,528 | 3 | - | - | - | 2,744 | - | 2,747 |
| Stock-based compensation | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3,062 | - | 3,062 |
| Purchase of treasury stock (at cost) | - | - | - | - | (5,624) | - | (94) | - | - | (94) |
| Net income | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 7,605 | 7,605 |
| | - | \$ - | 43,515,899 | \$ 218 | (11,705,173) | - | \$ (10,421) | \$ 163,584 | \$ (37,742) | \$ 115,639 |

Edgar Filing: ENVESTNET, INC. - Form 10-K

Balance, December 31,
2011

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---------|---|----------|---|-------|-------|-----|-------|
| Exercise of stock options | - | - | 298,947 | 1 | - | - | - | 2,068 | - | 2,069 |
| Issuance of common stock: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Vesting of restricted stock | - | - | 24,568 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Issuance of restricted stock | - | - | 232,150 | 1 | - | - | - | 2,758 | - | 2,759 |
| Stock-based compensation | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4,342 | - | 4,342 |
| Tax benefit attributable to exercise of stock options | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1,549 | - | 1,549 |
| Reversal of net operating loss tax benefit recognized from EnvestNet Group, Inc. merger | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | (690) | - | (690) |
| Purchase of treasury stock (at cost) | - | - | - | - | (10,716) | - | (137) | - | - | (137) |
| Net income | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 465 | 465 |

Balance, December 31,
2012

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|---|------------|----|-----|--------------|---|----|----------|----|---------|----|----------|----|---------|
| - | \$ | - | 44,071,564 | \$ | 220 | (11,715,889) | - | \$ | (10,558) | \$ | 173,611 | \$ | (37,277) | \$ | 125,996 |
|---|----|---|------------|----|-----|--------------|---|----|----------|----|---------|----|----------|----|---------|

See accompanying notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents**Envestnet, Inc.****Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows****(In thousands)**

| | Year ended December 31, | | |
|--|--------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| OPERATING ACTIVITIES: | | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 465 | \$ 7,605 | \$ (626) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities: | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 12,400 | 6,376 | 5,703 |
| Amortization of customer inducements | - | 4,568 | 3,238 |
| Deferred rent and lease incentive | 1,389 | 332 | 58 |
| Deferred income taxes | 83 | 2,162 | 1,215 |
| Stock-based compensation | 4,342 | 3,062 | 1,731 |
| Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation | (1,549) | - | - |
| Non-cash interest expense | 3 | 786 | 564 |
| Loss (gain) on investments | - | 4 | (12) |
| Impairment of customer inducement asset | - | 174 | - |
| Contract settlement charges | - | 1,183 | - |
| Provision for doubtful accounts | - | - | 2,668 |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of acquisitions: | | | |
| Fees receivable | 1,017 | 1,940 | (3,718) |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | 4,645 | (1,988) | (599) |
| Other non-current assets | (188) | (1,006) | (52) |
| Customer inducements, net | - | (1,000) | (11,300) |
| Accrued expenses | 3,100 | 802 | 2,437 |
| Accounts payable | 640 | 267 | (185) |
| Deferred revenue | 1,028 | (507) | 208 |
| Other non-current liabilities | 1,166 | (39) | 137 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 28,541 | 24,721 | 1,467 |
| INVESTING ACTIVITIES: | | | |
| Purchase of property and equipment | (4,838) | (4,798) | (4,169) |
| Capitalization of internally developed software | (2,350) | (1,482) | (1,340) |
| Repayment of notes payable assumed in acquisition | (174) | (162) | - |
| Proceeds from repayment of notes receivable | - | - | 985 |
| Increase in notes receivable | - | - | (90) |
| Proceeds from investments | 7 | 28 | 30 |
| Acquisition of businesses, net of cash acquired | (62,352) | (23,719) | (917) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (69,707) | (30,133) | (5,501) |
| FINANCING ACTIVITIES: | | | |
| Proceeds from exercise of stock options | 2,069 | 2,747 | 1,925 |
| Issuance of restricted stock | 2,759 | - | - |
| Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation expense | 1,549 | - | - |
| Proceeds from exercise of warrants | - | - | 1,525 |
| Net proceeds from issuance of common stock | - | - | 42,066 |
| Purchase of treasury stock | (137) | (94) | (3,993) |
| Preferred stock dividends | - | - | (1,346) |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | 6,240 | 2,653 | 40,177 |
| INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS | (34,926) | (2,759) | 36,143 |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, BEGINNING OF PERIOD | 64,909 | 67,668 | 31,525 |

Edgar Filing: ENVESTNET, INC. - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, END OF PERIOD | \$ 29,983 | \$ 64,909 | \$ 67,668 |
| Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information | | | |
| cash paid during the period for income taxes, net of refunds | \$ 796 | \$ 813 | \$ 171 |
| Supplemental disclosure of non-cash investing and financing activities: | | | |
| Leasehold improvements funded by lease incentive | 1,054 | 491 | 119 |
| Non-cash consideration issued in a business acquisition | - | 4,897 | - |
| Issuance of warrant for customer inducement | - | - | 2,946 |
| Customer inducement payable | - | - | 19,261 |
| Note payable assumed in a business acquisition | - | - | 300 |
| Contingent consideration issued in a business acquisition | - | - | 150 |

See accompanying notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents**Envestnet, Inc.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements****(In thousands, except share and per share amounts)****1. Organization and Description of Business**

Envestnet, Inc. (Envestnet) and its subsidiaries (collectively, the Company) provide open-architecture wealth management services and technology to independent financial advisors and financial institutions. These services and related technology are provided via the Envestnet Advisor Suite®, Envestnet | PMC®, Envestnet | Vantage , and Envestnet | Tamarac .

Advisor Suite is a platform of integrated, internet-based technology applications and related services that provide portfolio diagnostics, proposal generation, investment model management, rebalancing and trading, portfolio performance reporting and monitoring solutions, billing, and back-office and middle-office operations and administration.

The Company s investment consulting group, Envestnet | PMC, provides investment manager due diligence and research, a full spectrum of investment offerings supported by both proprietary and third-party research, and overlay portfolio management services.

Envestnet | Tamarac provides leading portfolio accounting, rebalancing, trading, performance reporting and client relationship management software, principally to high-end Registered Investment Advisors (RIAs).

Through these platform and service offerings, the Company provides open-architecture support for a wide range of investment products (separately managed accounts, multi-manager accounts, mutual funds, exchange-traded funds, stock baskets, alternative investments, and other fee-based investment solutions) from Envestnet | PMC and other leading investment providers via multiple custodians, and also account administration and reporting services.

Envestnet operates four RIAs and a registered broker-dealer. The RIAs are registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). The broker-dealer is registered with the SEC, all 50 states and the District of Columbia and is a member of the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (FINRA).

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

The Company follows accounting standards established by the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) to ensure consistent reporting of financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. References to Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP) in these footnotes are to the FASB *Accounting Standards Codification*TM, sometimes referred to as the codification or ASC.

Principles of Consolidation The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Envestnet and its wholly-owned subsidiaries: Oberon Financial Technology, Inc. (Oberon); NetAssetManagement, Inc. (NAM); Envestnet Asset Management, Inc.; Envestnet Portfolio Solutions, Inc. (EPS) (formerly FundQuest Incorporated); Prima Capital Holding, Inc.; Tamarac, Inc. (Tamarac); Sigma Asset Management, LLC; PMC International, Inc. and its wholly-owned subsidiaries, Portfolio Management Consultants, Inc. and Portfolio Brokerage Services, Inc. (PBS). All significant intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated in consolidation. Accounts denominated in a non-U.S. currency have been re-measured using the U.S. dollar as the functional currency.

Management Estimates Management of the Company has made certain estimates and assumptions relating to the reporting of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities to prepare these consolidated financial statements in conformity with GAAP. Significant areas requiring the use of management estimates relate to estimating uncollectible receivables, revenue recognition, costs capitalized for internally developed software, valuations and assumptions used for impairment testing of goodwill, intangible and other long-lived assets, fair value of stock and stock options issued, fair value of customer inducement assets and liabilities, realization of deferred tax assets and assumptions used to allocate purchase prices in business combinations. Actual results could differ materially from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

Table of Contents

Investnet, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

(In thousands, except share and per share amounts)

Revenue Recognition The Company recognizes revenue from services related to asset management and administration, licensing and professional services fees. The Company recognizes revenue when all of the following conditions are satisfied: (i) there is persuasive evidence of an arrangement, (ii) the service or product has been provided to the customer and no uncertainties exist surrounding product acceptances (iii) the amount of fees to be paid by the customer is fixed or determinable; and (iv) the collection of fees is reasonably assured.

Asset management and administration fees The Company derives revenues from fees charged as a percentage of the assets that are managed or administered on its technology platform by financial advisors, financial institutions, and their clients (collectively customers) and for services the Company provides to its customers. Such services include investment manager due diligence and research, portfolio diagnostics, proposal generation, investment model management, rebalancing and trading, portfolio performance reporting and monitoring solutions, billing, and back office and middle-office operations and administration. Investment decisions for assets under management or administration are made by our customers. The asset management and administration fees the Company earns are generally based upon a contractual percentage of assets managed or administered on our platform based on preceding quarter-end values. The contractual fee percentages vary based on the level and type of services the Company provides to its customers. Fees related to assets under management or administration increase or decrease based on values of existing customer accounts. The values are affected by inflows or outflows of customer funds and market fluctuations.

Licensing and professional services fees

Licensing The Company derives licensing fees from recurring contractual fixed fee contracts with larger financial institutions or enterprise clients. Licensing contracts allow the customer to provide a unique configuration of platform features and investment solutions for their advisors. The licensing fees vary based on the type of services provided and our revenues received under license agreements are recognized over the contractual term. The Company's license agreements do not generally provide its customers the ability to take possession of the software or host the software on the customers' own systems or through a hosting arrangement with an unrelated party.

When the Company enters into arrangements with multiple deliverables, exclusive of arrangements with software deliverables, it applies the FASB's guidance for revenue arrangements with multiple deliverables and evaluates each deliverable to determine whether it represents a separate unit of accounting based on the following criteria: (i) whether the delivered item has value to the customer on a stand-alone basis, and (ii) if the contract includes a general right of return relative to the delivered item, delivery or performance of the undelivered item(s) is considered probable and substantially in the control of the Company. Revenue is allocated to each unit of accounting or element based on relative selling prices. The Company determines relative selling prices by using either (i) vendor-specific objective evidence (VSOE) if it exists; or (ii) third-party evidence (TPE) of selling price. When neither VSOE nor TPE of selling price exists for a deliverable, the Company uses its best estimate of the selling price for that deliverable.

After determining which deliverables represent a separate unit of accounting, each unit is then accounted for under the applicable revenue recognition guidance. In cases where elements cannot be treated as separate units of accounting, the elements are combined into a single unit of accounting for revenue recognition purposes.

When the Company enters into arrangements with multiple deliverables involving software, the Company applies the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) accounting guidance for software. The entire arrangement fee is allocated to each element in the arrangement based on the respective VSOE of fair value of each element.

Table of Contents

Envestnet, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

(In thousands, except share and per share amounts)

Professional services The Company derives professional service fees from providing contractual customized service platform software development, which are recognized under a proportional performance model utilizing an output-based approach. The Company's contracts have fixed prices, and generally specify or quantify interim deliverables.

Revenues are recognized in the periods in which the related services are performed provided that persuasive evidence of an agreement exists, the fee is fixed or determinable, and collectability is reasonably assured. Cash received by the Company in advance of the performance of services is deferred and recognized as revenue when earned. Certain portions of the Company's revenues require management's consideration of the nature of the client relationship in determining whether to recognize as revenue the gross amount billed or net amount retained after payments are made to providers for certain services related to the product or service offering.

The Company uses the following factors to determine whether to record revenue on a gross or net basis is based on whether:

the Company has a direct contract with the third party service provider;

the Company has discretion in establishing fees paid by the customer and fees due to the third party service provider; and

the Company has credit risk

When customer fees include charges for third party service providers where the Company has a direct contract with such third party service providers, gross revenue recognized by the Company equals the fee paid by the customer. The cost of revenues recognized by the Company is the amount due to the third party service provider.

In instances where the Company does not have a direct contract with the third party service provider, the Company cannot exercise discretion in establishing fees paid by the customer and fees due to the third party service provider, and the Company does not have credit risk, the Company records the revenue on a net basis.

Deferred Revenue Deferred revenue primarily consists of implementation and set up fees, professional services, and license fee payments received in advance from customers.

Cost of Revenues Cost of revenues primarily include expenses related to sub-advisory and clearing, custody and brokerage services. Generally, these expenses are calculated based upon a contractual percentage of the market value of assets held in customer accounts measured as of the end of each quarter and are recognized ratably throughout the quarter based on the number of days in the quarter.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts The Company evaluates the need for an allowance for doubtful accounts for potentially uncollectible fee receivables. In establishing the amount of the allowance, if any, customer-specific information is considered related to delinquent accounts, including historical loss experience and current economic conditions. As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Company's allowance for doubtful accounts was zero.

Segments The Company's chief operating decision maker is its chief executive officer, who reviews financial information presented on a consolidated basis. Historically, the Company has determined that it has a single reporting segment and operating unit structure. As a result of the acquisitions as discussed in Note 3, the Company has re-examined its reporting and operating structure and has determined it continues to maintain a single reporting segment and operating unit structure.

Table of Contents

Investnet, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

(In thousands, except share and per share amounts)

Fair Value of Financial Instruments The carrying amounts of financial instruments, net of any allowances, including cash equivalents, fees receivable, accounts payable and accrued expenses are considered to be reasonable estimates of their fair values due to their short-term nature.

Cash and Cash Equivalents The Company considers all highly liquid investments purchased with an original maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents. Cash and cash equivalents are recorded at cost, which approximates fair value. The Company's financial instruments that are exposed to concentrations of credit risk consist primarily of cash and cash equivalents. The Company maintains its cash accounts at financial institutions in excess of amounts insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC). The Company monitors such credit risk and has not experienced any losses related to such risk.

Investments Investments are recorded at cost and reviewed for impairment. Investments are included in Other non-current assets on the consolidated balance sheets and consist of non-marketable investments in privately held companies, as well as other alternative investments. The Company reviews these investments on a regular basis to evaluate the carrying amount and economic viability of these investments. This policy includes, but is not limited to, reviewing each of the investee's cash position, financing needs, earnings/revenue outlook, operational performance, management/ownership changes and competition. The evaluation process is based on information that the Company requests from these investees. This information is not subject to the same disclosure regulations as U.S. publicly traded companies, and as such, the basis for these evaluations is subject to the timing and accuracy of the data received from these investees.

The Company's investments are assessed for impairment when a review of the investee's operations indicates that there is a decline in value of the investment and the decline is other than temporary. Such indicators include, but are not limited to, limited capital resources, limited prospects of receiving additional financing, and prospects for liquidity of the related securities. Impaired investments are written down to estimated fair value. The Company estimates fair value using a variety of valuation methodologies, including comparing the investee with publicly traded companies in similar lines of business, applying valuation multiples to estimated future operating results and estimated discounted future cash flows.

Property and Equipment Property and equipment are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation and amortization. Depreciation of furniture and equipment is computed using the straight-line method based on estimated useful lives of the depreciable assets. Leasehold improvements are amortized on a straight-line basis over their estimated economic useful lives or the remaining lease term, whichever is shorter. Improvements are capitalized, while repairs and maintenance costs are charged to operations as incurred. Assets are reviewed for recoverability whenever events or circumstances indicate the carrying value may not be recoverable.

Customer Inducements Payments made to customers as an inducement are capitalized and amortized against revenue on a straight-line basis over the term of the agreement.

Internally Developed Software Costs incurred in the preliminary stages of development are expensed as incurred. Once an application has reached the development stage, internal and external costs, if direct and incremental, are capitalized until the software is substantially complete and ready for its intended use. Capitalization ceases upon completion of all substantial testing. The Company also capitalizes costs related to specific upgrades and enhancements when it is probable the expenditures will result in additional functionality. Maintenance and training costs are expensed as incurred. Internally developed software is amortized on a straight-line basis over its estimated useful life. Management evaluates the useful lives of these assets on an annual basis and tests for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances occur that could impact the recoverability of these assets. There were no impairments of internally developed software during the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010.

Table of Contents

Envestnet, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

(In thousands, except share and per share amounts)

Goodwill and Intangible Assets Goodwill consists of the excess of the purchase price over the fair value of identifiable net assets of businesses acquired. Goodwill is reviewed for impairment each year using a two-step process that is performed at least annually or whenever events or circumstances indicate that impairment may have occurred. The Company has concluded that it has a single reporting unit. The first step is a comparison of the fair value of an internal reporting unit with its carrying amount, including goodwill. If the fair value of the reporting unit exceeds its carrying value, goodwill of the reporting unit is not considered impaired and the second step is unnecessary. If the carrying value of the reporting unit exceeds its fair value, a second test is performed to measure the amount of impairment by comparing the carrying amount of the goodwill to a determination of the implied fair value of the goodwill. If the carrying amount of the goodwill is greater than the implied value, an impairment loss is recognized for the difference. The implied value of the goodwill is determined as of the test date by performing a purchase price allocation, as if the reporting unit had just been acquired, using currently estimated fair values of the individual assets and liabilities of the reporting unit, together with an estimate of the fair value of the reporting unit taken as a whole. The estimate of the fair value of the reporting unit is based upon information available regarding prices of similar groups of assets, or other valuation techniques including present value techniques based upon estimates of future cash flow. No impairment charges have been recorded for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010.

Intangible assets are recorded at cost less accumulated amortization. Intangible assets are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances may affect the recoverability of the net assets. Such reviews include an analysis of current results and take into consideration the undiscounted value of projected operating cash flows.

Long-Lived Assets Long-lived assets, such as property, equipment, capitalized internal use software and intangible assets subject to amortization are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset group may not be recoverable. Recoverability of assets to be held and used is measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of an asset group to estimated undiscounted future cash flows expected to be generated by the asset group. If the carrying amount of an asset group exceeds its estimated future cash flows, an impairment charge is recognized by the amount by which the carrying amount of the asset group exceeds the fair value of the asset group. All long-lived assets of the Company are located in the U.S., except for approximately \$764 and \$822 as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, which are located in India.

Management evaluates the useful lives of these assets on an annual basis and tests for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances occur that could impact recoverability of these assets. There were no impairments to long-lived assets during the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010.

Leases In certain circumstances, the Company enters into leases with free rent periods, rent escalations or lease incentives over the term of the lease. In such cases, the Company calculates the total payments over the term of the lease and records them ratably as rent expense over that term.

Income Taxes The Company uses the asset and liability method to account for income taxes. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases and net operating loss carryforwards. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date. The Company records a valuation allowance to reduce deferred tax assets to an amount that is more likely than not to be realized.

The Company follows authoritative guidance related to how uncertain tax positions should be recognized, measured, disclosed and presented in the consolidated financial statements. This requires the evaluation of tax

Table of Contents

Investnet, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

(In thousands, except share and per share amounts)

positions taken or expected to be taken in the course of preparing the Company's tax returns to determine whether the tax positions are more-likely-than-not of being sustained when challenged or when examined by the applicable tax authority. Tax positions deemed to meet the more-likely-than-not threshold would be recorded as a tax benefit or expense and liability in the current year. The tax benefits recognized in the consolidated financial statements from tax positions are measured based on the largest benefit that has a greater than 50% likelihood of being realized upon ultimate settlement.

Advertising Costs The Company expenses all advertising costs as incurred and they are classified within general and administration expenses. Advertising costs totaled approximately \$1,504, \$1,388 and \$1,160 for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Business Combinations The Company accounts for business combinations under the acquisition method. The cost of an acquired company is assigned to the tangible and intangible assets acquired and the liabilities assumed on the basis of their fair values at the date of acquisition. The determination of fair values of assets acquired and liabilities assumed requires management to make estimates and use valuation techniques when market values are not readily available. Any excess of purchase price over the fair value of net tangible and intangible assets acquired is allocated to goodwill. Transaction costs associated with business combinations are expensed as incurred.

Stock-Based Compensation Compensation cost relating to stock-based awards made to employees and directors is recognized in the consolidated financial statements using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model in the case of non-qualified stock option awards, and intrinsic value in the case of restricted stock awards. The Company measures the cost of such awards based on the estimated fair value of the award measured at the grant date and recognizes the expense on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period, which is the vesting period.

Determining the fair value of stock options requires the Company to make several estimates, including the volatility of its stock price, the expected life of the option, forfeiture rate, dividend yield and interest rates. Prior to July 28, 2010, the Company was not a publicly traded company. Accordingly, the Company had limited historical information on the price of its stock as well as employees' stock option exercise behavior. Because of this limitation, the Company cannot rely on its historical experience alone to develop assumptions for stock-price volatility and the expected life of its options. The Company estimates the expected life of its options using the Simplified Method. The Company estimates stock-price volatility with reference to a peer group of publicly traded companies. Determining the companies to include in this peer group involves judgment. The Company utilizes a risk-free interest rate, which is based on the yield of U.S. zero coupon securities with a maturity equal to the expected life of the options. The Company has not and does not expect to pay dividends on its common shares.

The Company is required to estimate expected forfeitures of stock-based awards at the grant date and recognize compensation cost only for those awards expected to vest. The forfeiture assumption is ultimately adjusted to the actual forfeiture rate. Therefore, changes in the forfeiture assumptions may impact the total amount of expense ultimately recognized over the vesting period. Estimated forfeitures will be reassessed in subsequent periods and may change based on new facts and circumstances.

Reclassifications Certain reclassifications were made to the December 31, 2011 consolidated balance sheet to conform to the 2012 presentation.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2011, the FASB issued authoritative guidance that amends ASC Topic 220, Comprehensive Income, to require that all non-owner changes in stockholders' equity be presented either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements, and it eliminates the option to

Table of Contents**Envestnet, Inc.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****(In thousands, except share and per share amounts)**

present components of other comprehensive income as a part of the statement of changes in stockholders' equity. In addition, this guidance requires an entity to present on the face of the financial statements reclassification adjustments for items that are reclassified from other comprehensive income to net income in the statement(s) where the components of net income and the components of other comprehensive income are presented. These amendments are to be applied retrospectively and are effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011; however, early adoption is permitted. The adoption of this guidance on January 1, 2012 did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In September 2011, the FASB issued authoritative guidance regarding the testing of goodwill for impairment. This guidance allows companies to perform a qualitative assessment to determine whether or not the current two-step quantitative testing method, in which a company compares the fair value of reporting units to their carrying amounts including goodwill, must be followed. If a qualitative assessment indicates that it is more-likely-than-not that the fair value of a reporting unit is greater than its carrying amount, then the quantitative impairment test is not required. A company may choose to use the qualitative assessment on none, some, or all of its reporting units or to bypass the qualitative assessment and proceed directly to the two-step quantitative testing method. This guidance is effective for annual and interim goodwill impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011; however, early adoption is permitted. The adoption of this guidance on January 1, 2012 did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

3. Business Acquisitions*FundQuest Incorporated*

On December 13, 2011, the Company acquired all of the outstanding shares of FundQuest Incorporated (FundQuest), a subsidiary of BNP Paribas Investment Partners USA Holdings, Inc. for total consideration of \$27,796. FundQuest was renamed Envestnet Portfolio Solutions, Inc. (EPS) subsequent to the acquisition. EPS provides managed account programs, overlay portfolio management, mutual funds, institutional asset management and investment consulting to registered investment advisors, independent advisors, broker-dealers, banks and trust organizations. The goodwill arising from the acquisition represents the expected synergistic benefits of the transaction and the knowledge and experience of the workforce in place. The goodwill recognized is not deductible for income tax purposes.

In February 2010, the Company signed a seven-year platform services agreement (the Agreement) with FundQuest. Pursuant to the Agreement with FundQuest, the Company provided FundQuest and its clients with the Company's platform technology and support services, replacing FundQuest's technology platform. The Company earned fees based upon a contractual percentage of assets under administration. In connection with the Agreement, the Company was required to make various payments to FundQuest during the contract term as defined in the Agreement. These payments included an up-front payment upon completion of the conversion of FundQuest's clients' assets to the Company's technology platform, five annual payments and a payment after the fifth year of the Agreement calculated based on the average annual revenues the Company was to receive from FundQuest during the first five years of the contract term. In addition, the Company also issued to FundQuest a warrant to purchase 1,388,888 shares of its common stock, with an exercise price of \$10.80 for an estimated fair value of \$2,946 (see Notes 8 and 12). The present value of all payments and the fair value of the warrant was originally accounted for as customer inducement costs and were amortized as a reduction to the Company's revenues from assets under management or administration on a straight-line basis over the contract term of seven years. Customer inducement amortization totaled zero, \$4,568 and \$3,239 for 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively, and imputed interest totaled zero, \$771 and \$546 for 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Table of Contents**Investnet, Inc.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****(In thousands, except share and per share amounts)**

Upon the acquisition, the Agreement between the Company and FundQuest was effectively settled. The Company analyzed the Agreement to determine the amount by which the contract was favorable or unfavorable when compared to current market pricing. The Company, using the discounted cash flow method, determined the Agreement resulted in a favorable amount of \$4,897. The favorable amount of the Agreement was compared to the net book value of the customer inducement asset and liability at the date of the business combination resulting in a charge of approximately \$1,183, which is included in other expense in the consolidated statements of operations for the year ended December 31, 2011. The net cash portion of the total consideration paid is included in Cash flows from investing activities in the consolidated statements of cash flows.

The consideration transferred in the acquisition was as follows:

| | |
|----------------------------|-----------|
| Cash paid to owners | \$ 24,390 |
| Non-cash consideration: | |
| Favorable contract | 4,897 |
| Other | 1,241 |
| Cash acquired | (671) |
| Working capital adjustment | (2,061) |
| | \$ 27,796 |

During 2012, the Company finalized the estimated working capital adjustment, which resulted in a decrease in goodwill of approximately \$889 and an increase in prepaid expense and other current assets, which was retrospectively adjusted in the December 31, 2011 consolidated balance sheet and the related notes to the consolidated financial statements.

The following table summarizes the estimated fair values of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed at the acquisition date of December 13, 2011, adjusted.

| | December 31, 2011 (As Adjusted) |
|---|--|
| Accounts receivable | \$ 2,603 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | 46 |
| Property and equipment | 442 |
| Intangible assets | 11,830 |
| Goodwill | 19,303 |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | (1,364) |
| Deferred income taxes | (4,710) |
| Deferred revenue | (354) |
| Total assets acquired | \$ 27,796 |

A summary of intangible assets acquired, estimated useful lives and amortization method was as follows:

| | Amount | Weighted- Average Useful Life In Years | Amortization Method |
|---------------|---------------|---|--------------------------------|
| Customer list | \$ 11,830 | 7 | Accelerated |

The results of EPS s operations are included in the consolidated statements of operations beginning December 13, 2011 and were not material to the 2011 results of operations.

Table of Contents**Envestnet, Inc.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****(In thousands, except share and per share amounts)*****Prima Capital Holding, Inc. Acquisition***

On April 5, 2012, the Company completed the acquisition of Prima Capital Holding, Inc. (Prima). In accordance with the stock purchase agreement, the Company acquired all of the outstanding shares of Prima for total consideration of approximately \$13,925. Prima provides investment management due diligence, research applications, asset allocation modeling and multi-manager portfolios to the wealth management and retirement industries. Prima's clientele includes banks, independent RIAs, regional broker-dealers, family offices and trust companies. The goodwill arising from the acquisition represents the expected synergistic benefits of the transaction and the knowledge and experience of the workforce in place. The goodwill recognized is not deductible for income tax purposes.

The consideration transferred in the acquisition was as follows:

| | | |
|--|----|---------|
| Cash paid to owners | \$ | 13,750 |
| Cash acquired | | (1,767) |
| Cash paid for working capital settlement | | 1,942 |
| | \$ | 13,925 |

The following table summarizes the estimated fair values of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed at the date of acquisition:

| | | |
|---|----|---------|
| Accounts receivable | \$ | 72 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | | 36 |
| Notes receivable | | 860 |
| Property and equipment | | 103 |
| Deferred income taxes - non current | | 1,328 |
| Intangible assets | | 4,940 |
| Goodwill | | 9,283 |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | | (171) |
| Deferred income tax liabilities | | (1,796) |
| Deferred revenue | | (730) |
| Total net assets acquired | \$ | 13,925 |

A summary of intangible assets acquired, estimated useful lives and amortization method is as follows:

| | Amount | Weighted-Average Useful Life in Years | Amortization Method |
|---------------|----------|--|------------------------|
| Customer list | \$ 3,740 | 10 | Accelerated |

Edgar Filing: ENVESTNET, INC. - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|------------------------|----------|---|-------------|
| Proprietary technology | 700 | 5 | Accelerated |
| Trade names | 500 | 5 | Accelerated |
| Total | \$ 4,940 | | |

The results of Prima s operations are included in the consolidated statement of operations beginning April 5, 2012. Prima s revenues and net loss for the nine months ended December 31, 2012 totaled \$3,626 and (\$791), respectively. The net loss for the nine months ended December 31, 2012 included pre-tax acquired intangible asset amortization of \$1,005.

Table of Contents**Envestnet, Inc.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****(In thousands, except share and per share amounts)*****Tamarac, Inc. Acquisition***

On May 1, 2012, the Company completed the acquisition of Tamarac, Inc. (Tamarac). In accordance with the merger agreement, a newly formed subsidiary of Envestnet merged with and into Tamarac, and Tamarac became a wholly-owned subsidiary of Envestnet. Under the terms of the merger agreement, total consideration was approximately \$48,427 for all of the outstanding stock of Tamarac. Tamarac provides leading portfolio accounting, rebalancing, trading, performance reporting and client relationship management software, principally to high-end RIAs. The goodwill arising from the acquisition represents the expected synergistic benefits of the transaction and the knowledge and experience of the workforce in place. The goodwill recognized is not deductible for income tax purposes.

The consideration transferred in the acquisition was as follows:

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Cash paid to owners | \$ 54,000 |
| Non-cash consideration | 101 |
| Cash acquired | (2,533) |
| Receivable from working capital settlement | (3,141) |
| | \$ 48,427 |

The following table summarizes the estimated fair values of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed at the date of acquisition.

| | |
|---|-----------|
| Accounts receivable | \$ 489 |
| Other receivables | 681 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | 216 |
| Deferred income tax assets | 7,235 |
| Property and equipment | 444 |
| Deposits | 379 |
| Intangible assets | 16,150 |
| Goodwill | 35,027 |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | (2,356) |
| Deferred income tax liabilities | (5,907) |
| Deferred revenue | (3,931) |
| Total net assets acquired | \$ 48,427 |

A summary of intangible assets acquired, estimated useful lives and amortization method is as follows:

| Amount | Weighted-Average Useful Life | Amortization Method |
|--------|------------------------------|---------------------|
|--------|------------------------------|---------------------|

Edgar Filing: ENVESTNET, INC. - Form 10-K

in Years

| | | | |
|------------------------|-----------|----|-------------|
| Customer list | \$ 8,680 | 12 | Accelerated |
| Proprietary technology | 5,880 | 8 | Accelerated |
| Trade names | 1,590 | 5 | Accelerated |
| Total | \$ 16,150 | | |

Table of Contents**Envestnet, Inc.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****(In thousands, except share and per share amounts)**

The results of Tamarac's operations are included in the consolidated statement of operations beginning May 1, 2012. Tamarac's revenues and net loss for the eight-month period ended December 31, 2012 totaled \$9,971 and (\$1,236), respectively. The net loss for the eight months ended December 31, 2012 included pre-tax acquired intangible asset amortization of \$1,304.

In accordance with the terms of the merger agreement between Envestnet and Tamarac, Tamarac senior management were required to apply at least 50% (up to 100%) of the aggregate proceeds of the Tamarac change of control payment totaling \$2,759 to purchase registered shares of Envestnet common stock (232,150 shares) in an amount equal to 95% multiplied by the Envestnet closing market price on the day before the merger closed (see Note 12).

In addition, the Company adopted the Envestnet, Inc. Management Incentive Plan for Envestnet | Tamarac Management Employees (the 2012 Plan). The 2012 Plan provides for the grant of up to 559,551 shares of unvested common stock. The unvested common stock vests based upon Tamarac meeting certain performance conditions and then a subsequent two-year service condition (see Note 13). The Company also granted to certain Tamarac employees 232,150 stock options to acquire Envestnet common stock at an exercise price of \$12.51. These stock options vest on the second anniversary of the grant date (see Note 13).

Acquisition related costs of \$2,317 and \$405 are included in general and administration expenses in the consolidated statements of operations for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Pro forma results for Envestnet, Inc. giving effect to the FundQuest, Prima and Tamarac acquisitions

The following unaudited pro forma financial information presents the combined results of operations of Envestnet, Prima and Tamarac for the year ended December 31, 2012 and Envestnet, FundQuest, Prima and Tamarac for the year ended December 31, 2011. The unaudited pro forma financial information presents the results as if the acquisitions had occurred as of the beginning of 2011.

The unaudited pro forma results presented include amortization charges for acquired intangible assets and stock-based compensation expense, and the elimination of intercompany transactions, unrealized gain or loss on warrant, imputed interest expense, and transaction-related expenses and the related tax effect on the aforementioned items.

Pro forma financial information is presented for informational purposes and is not indicative of the results of operations that would have been achieved if the acquisitions had taken place as of the beginning of 2011.

| | At December 31, | |
|-----------------------|------------------------|-------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Revenues | \$ 162,859 | \$ 148,695 |
| Net income | 528 | 3,772 |
| Net income per share: | | |
| Basic | 0.02 | 0.12 |
| Diluted | 0.02 | 0.11 |

Table of Contents**Investnet, Inc.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

(In thousands, except share and per share amounts)

4. Property and Equipment

Property and equipment consists of the following:

| | Estimated Useful Life | At December 31, | |
|--|------------------------------|-----------------|-----------|
| | | 2012 | 2011 |
| Cost: | | | |
| Office furniture and fixtures | 5-7 years | \$ 3,613 | \$ 2,713 |
| Computer equipment and software | 3 years | 22,098 | 18,942 |
| Other office equipment | 5 years | 598 | 598 |
| Leasehold improvements | Shorter of the lease term or | | |
| | useful life of the asset | 7,638 | 5,833 |
| | | 33,947 | 28,086 |
| Less accumulated depreciation and amortization | | (22,156) | (16,995) |
| Property and equipment, net | | \$ 11,791 | \$ 11,091 |

Depreciation and amortization expense was as follows:

| | Year ended December 31, | | |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|----------|----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Depreciation and amortization expense | \$ 4,685 | \$ 3,862 | \$ 2,980 |

5. Internally Developed Software

Internally developed software consists of the following:

| | Estimated Useful Life | At December 31, | |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------|
| | | 2012 | 2011 |
| Internally developed software | 5 years | \$ 13,232 | \$ 10,882 |
| Less accumulated amortization | | (8,908) | (7,358) |
| Internally developed software, net | | \$ 4,324 | \$ 3,524 |

Amortization expense was as follows:

| | Year ended December 31, | | |
|----------------------|-------------------------|----------|----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Amortization expense | \$ 1,550 | \$ 1,579 | \$ 1,606 |

Table of Contents**Envestnet, Inc.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

(In thousands, except share and per share amounts)

6. Goodwill and Intangible Assets

Changes in the carrying amount of the Company's goodwill were as follows:

| | |
|------------------------------|-----------|
| Balance at December 31, 2010 | \$ 2,031 |
| FundQuest acquisition | 19,303 |
| Balance at December 31, 2011 | 21,334 |
| Prima acquisition | 9,283 |
| Tamarac acquisition | 35,027 |
| Balance at December 31, 2012 | \$ 65,644 |

Intangible assets consist of the following:

| | Useful Life | December 31, 2012 | | | December 31, 2011 | | |
|--------------------------|--------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|
| | | Gross Carrying Amount | Accumulated Amortization | Net Carrying Amount | Gross Carrying Amount | Accumulated Amortization | Net Carrying Amount |
| Customer lists | 4 - 12 years | \$ 28,103 | \$ (8,720) | \$ 19,383 | \$ 15,683 | \$ (3,458) | \$ 12,225 |
| Proprietary technologies | 5 - 8 years | 6,580 | (657) | 5,923 | - | - | - |
| Trade names | 5 years | 2,090 | (246) | 1,844 | - | - | - |
| Total intangible assets | | \$ 36,773 | \$ (9,623) | \$ 27,150 | \$ 15,683 | \$ (3,458) | \$ 12,225 |

Amortization expense was as follows:

| | Year ended December 31, | | |
|----------------------|-------------------------|--------|----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Amortization expense | \$ 6,165 | \$ 935 | \$ 1,117 |

Future amortization expense of the intangible assets as of December 31, 2012, is expected to be as follows:

| Years ending December 31: | |
|---------------------------|----------|
| 2013 | \$ 6,222 |
| 2014 | 5,399 |
| 2015 | 4,582 |
| 2016 | 3,787 |

Edgar Filing: ENVESTNET, INC. - Form 10-K

| | |
|------------|-----------|
| 2017 | 2,687 |
| Thereafter | 4,473 |
| | \$ 27,150 |

Table of Contents**Investnet, Inc.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****(In thousands, except share and per share amounts)****7. Other Non-Current Assets**

Other non-current assets consist of the following:

| | At December 31, | |
|-------------------------------|-----------------|----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Investment in private company | \$ 1,250 | \$ 1,250 |
| Deposits: | | |
| Lease | 1,655 | 1,313 |
| Other | 264 | 259 |
| Other | 366 | 340 |
| | \$ 3,535 | \$ 3,162 |

In April 2008, the Company entered into an agreement to purchase 1,250,000 Preferred A Units of a private company for a total purchase price of \$1,250. The Preferred A Units are entitled to a preferred distribution at a cumulative rate of 8% per annum of unreturned capital contributions, as defined in the agreement.

8. Fair Value Measurements

Financial assets and liabilities recorded at fair value in the consolidated balance sheets are categorized based upon a fair value hierarchy established by U.S. GAAP, which prioritizes the inputs used to measure fair value into the following levels:

- Level 1: Inputs based on quoted market prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities at the measurement date.
- Level 2: Quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets; quoted prices for identical or similar assets and liabilities in markets that are not active; or inputs that are observable and can be corroborated by observable market data.
- Level 3: Inputs reflect management's best estimates and assumptions of what market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability at the measurement date. The inputs are unobservable in the market and significant to the valuation of the instruments.

Fair Value on a Recurring Basis:

The Company periodically invests excess cash in money-market funds not insured by the FDIC. The Company believes that the investments in money market funds are on deposit with creditworthy financial institutions and that the funds are highly liquid. The fair values of the Company's investments in money-market funds are based on the daily quoted market prices for the net asset value of the various money market funds. These money-market funds are considered Level 1 assets and totaled approximately \$20,682 and \$52,383 as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, and are included in cash and cash equivalents in the consolidated balance sheets.

On February 8, 2010, the Company issued a warrant to FundQuest to acquire a certain amount of the Company's common stock (see Note 3) which was initially recorded as a current liability. As a result of the Company's initial public offering effective July 28, 2010, certain terms of the warrant were determined in accordance with the warrant agreement and the Company reclassified the estimated fair value of the warrant to additional paid-in capital during 2010.

Table of Contents**Envestnet, Inc.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****(In thousands, except share and per share amounts)**

The table below presents a reconciliation of all assets and liabilities of the Company measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) for the period from December 31, 2009 to December 31, 2010:

| | Warrant |
|--|----------------|
| Balance at December 31, 2009 | \$ - |
| Issuance | 2,360 |
| Change in fair value | 586 |
| Transfer to additional paid-in capital | (2,946) |
| Balance at December 31, 2010 | \$ - |

The Company assesses the levels of the investments at each measurement date, and transfers between levels are recognized on the actual date of the event or change in circumstances that caused the transfer, in accordance with the Company's accounting policy regarding the recognition of transfers between levels of the fair value hierarchy. There were no transfers between Levels 1, 2 and 3 during the year.

9. Accrued Expenses

Accrued expenses consist of the following:

| | At December 31, | |
|--|------------------------|-------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Accrued investment manager fees | \$ 12,937 | \$ 8,451 |
| Accrued compensation and related taxes | 5,726 | 4,230 |
| Accrued professional services | 408 | 481 |
| Accrued restructuring charges | - | 290 |
| Other accrued expenses | 1,130 | 1,467 |
| | \$ 20,201 | \$ 14,919 |

Effective March 31, 2010, the Company closed its Los Angeles office in order to more appropriately align and manage the Company's resources. In the year ended December 31, 2010, the Company recognized pretax restructuring charges of \$961 consisting primarily of \$451 for accrued lease payments, \$414 for relocation and other expenses and \$96 for severance charges. In the year ended December 31, 2011, the Company recognized pretax restructuring charges of \$53 consisting primarily of relocation and other expenses.

As a result of the FundQuest acquisition in 2011, the Company incurred restructuring charges of \$381 in the year ended December 31, 2011, primarily severance charges related to the termination of certain FundQuest and Envestnet employees.

As a result of the FundQuest, Prima and Tamarac acquisitions, the Company incurred restructuring charges of \$115 in the year ended December 31, 2012, primarily severance charges for certain Tamarac employees and lease abandonment charges related to Prima.

Table of Contents**Envestnet, Inc.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****(In thousands, except share and per share amounts)**

The summary of activity in accrued restructuring charges was as follows:

| | |
|----------------------------------|-------|
| Balance at December 31, 2009 | \$ - |
| Restructuring provision incurred | 961 |
| Payments | (733) |
| Balance at December 31, 2010 | 228 |
| Restructuring provision incurred | 434 |
| Payments | (372) |
| Balance at December 31, 2011 | 290 |
| Restructuring provision incurred | 115 |
| Payments | (405) |
| Balance at December 31, 2012 | \$ - |

10. Note Payable

In connection with the acquisition of B-Ready Outsourcing Solutions, Inc. on April 1, 2010, the Company assumed a note payable in the amount of \$300 that bears simple interest of 8% per annum. A principal payment of \$150 plus interest was paid on April 30, 2011, and an additional principal payment of \$150 plus interest was paid on April 30, 2012.

11. Income Taxes

Income before income tax provision was generated in the following jurisdictions:

| | Year ended December 31, | | |
|----------|-------------------------|-----------|--------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Domestic | \$ 2,702 | \$ 10,291 | \$ 619 |
| Foreign | 366 | 289 | 288 |
| Total | \$ 3,068 | \$ 10,580 | \$ 907 |

The components of the income tax provision charged to operations are summarized as follows:

| | Year ended December 31, | | |
|----------|-------------------------|--------|------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Current: | | | |
| Federal | \$ 1,280 | \$ 261 | \$ - |

Edgar Filing: ENVESTNET, INC. - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|-----------|----------|----------|----------|
| State | 235 | 459 | (16) |
| Foreign | 946 | 94 | 76 |
| | 2,461 | 814 | 60 |
| Deferred: | | | |
| Federal | (48) | 2,243 | 1,207 |
| State | 170 | (60) | 266 |
| Foreign | 20 | (22) | - |
| | 142 | 2,161 | 1,473 |
| Total | \$ 2,603 | \$ 2,975 | \$ 1,533 |

Table of Contents**Envestnet, Inc.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****(In thousands, except share and per share amounts)**

Net deferred tax assets (liabilities) consist of the following:

| | At December 31, | |
|---|------------------------|-----------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Current: | | |
| Deferred revenue | \$ 346 | \$ 30 |
| Prepaid expenses and accruals | (108) | 162 |
| Net operating loss and tax credit carryforwards | 2,563 | 3,716 |
| Total current deferred tax assets | 2,801 | 3,908 |
| Less valuation allowance | (712) | (1,303) |
| Net current deferred tax assets | 2,089 | 2,605 |
| Non-current: | | |
| Deferred rent and lease incentives | 2,212 | 1,666 |
| Net operating loss and tax credit carryforwards | 13,980 | 7,559 |
| Loss on investments | 2,157 | 2,157 |
| Property and equipment and intangible assets | (13,284) | (5,617) |
| Stock compensation expense | 3,058 | 537 |
| Other | 180 | 118 |
| Total long-term deferred tax assets | 8,303 | 6,420 |
| Less valuation allowance | (2,109) | (2,141) |
| Net long-term deferred tax assets | \$ 6,194 | \$ 4,279 |

During 2010, the write-off of notes receivable from Fetter Logic (see Note 16) was considered a capital loss for tax purposes. In assessing the realizability of this deferred tax asset, management determined that it was more-likely-than-not that the asset would not be realized and accordingly recorded an increase to the valuation allowance in the amount of \$926. The valuation allowance for net deferred tax assets as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 was \$2,821 and \$3,444, respectively. The valuation allowance as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 was related to capital losses of \$2,157 and federal and state net operating losses of \$644 for 2012 and \$1,287 for 2011, primarily due to Internal Revenue Code Section 382 limitations. In assessing the realizability of deferred tax assets, management considers whether it is more-likely-than-not that some or all of the deferred tax assets will be realized.

The ultimate realization of deferred tax assets depends on the generation of future taxable income during the periods in which net operating losses and temporary differences are deductible. Management considers the scheduled reversal of deferred tax assets and liabilities (including the impact of available carryback and carryforward periods), projected taxable income, and tax-planning strategies in making this assessment. In order to fully realize the deferred tax assets, the Company will need to generate future taxable income before the expiration of the deferred tax assets governed by the tax code. Based on the level of taxable income and projections for future taxable income over the periods for which the net operating losses are available and deferred tax assets are deductible, management believes that it is more-likely-than-not that in consideration

Edgar Filing: ENVESTNET, INC. - Form 10-K

of its recorded valuation allowance, it will realize the benefits of the net operating losses and any other deferred tax assets. The amount of the deferred tax assets considered realizable however, could be reduced in the near term if estimates of future taxable income during the carryforward period are reduced.

Upon exercise of stock options, the Company recognizes any difference between GAAP compensation expense and income tax compensation expense as a tax windfall or shortfall. The difference is charged to equity

Table of Contents**Envestnet, Inc.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****(In thousands, except share and per share amounts)**

in the case of a windfall. When the exercise results in a windfall and the windfall results in net operating loss (NOL), or the windfall increases an NOL carryforward, no windfall is recognized until the deduction reduces the income tax payable. For GAAP purposes, the Company has recognized all previously suspended windfall tax benefits, as they will be utilized on the Company's 2012 tax return to reduce taxes payable. The benefit was recorded in stockholders' equity, and as such, does not impact the Company's effective tax rate.

The expected tax provision calculated at the statutory federal rate differs from the actual provision as follows:

| | Year ended December 31, | | |
|---|-------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Tax provision, at U.S. federal statutory tax rate | \$ 1,043 | \$ 3,597 | \$ 308 |
| State income tax, net of federal tax benefit | 64 | 449 | 42 |
| Effect of permanent items | 414 | 487 | 66 |
| Change in assertion over permanent reinvestment of foreign earnings | - | (234) | - |
| Effect of return to provision adjustment | (81) | (113) | - |
| Change in valuation allowance | (620) | - | 927 |
| Effect of contract settlement | - | (1,186) | - |
| Effect of change in state income tax rate | 691 | - | - |
| Unrecognized tax benefits | 1,105 | (25) | 106 |
| Effect of foreign tax credits | (87) | - | - |
| Effect of adjustments to state deferred taxes | (576) | - | - |
| Adjustments to state net operating losses | 638 | - | - |
| Foreign income taxes | (6) | - | 76 |
| Other | 18 | - | 8 |
| Income tax provision | \$ 2,603 | \$ 2,975 | \$ 1,533 |

At December 31, 2012, the Company had NOL carryforwards for federal income tax purposes of \$42,912, which are available to offset future federal taxable income, if any, and expire as follows:

Years ending December 31:

| | |
|------|----------|
| 2019 | \$ 1,484 |
| 2020 | - |
| 2021 | - |
| 2022 | 988 |
| 2023 | 10,990 |
| 2024 | 9,630 |
| 2025 | 696 |
| 2026 | 1,386 |
| 2027 | 1,687 |
| 2028 | 3,363 |
| 2029 | 3,969 |
| 2030 | 1,491 |

Edgar Filing: ENVESTNET, INC. - Form 10-K

| | |
|------|-----------|
| 2031 | 1,808 |
| 2032 | 4,322 |
| 2033 | 1,098 |
| | \$ 42,912 |

Of the \$42,912 in NOLs, due to Internal Revenue Code Section 382 limitations, approximately \$1,938 in NOLs will not be utilized. In addition, as of December 31, 2012, we had net operating loss carryforwards for state income tax purposes of \$30,271, available to reduce future income subject to income taxes. The federal and state net operating loss carryforwards expire through 2033. In addition, the Company has alternative minimum

Table of Contents**Envestnet, Inc.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****(In thousands, except share and per share amounts)**

tax credit carryforwards of approximately \$445, which are available to reduce future federal regular income taxes, if any, over an indefinite period.

A reconciliation of the beginning and ending amount of unrecognized tax benefit was as follows:

| | Year ended December 31, | | |
|---|-------------------------|--------|--------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Unrecognized tax benefits balance at beginning of year | \$ 364 | \$ 415 | \$ 326 |
| Additions based on tax positions related to the current year | 517 | 128 | 107 |
| Additions (deletions) based on tax positions related to prior years | 474 | (55) | (9) |
| Reductions for lapses of statute of limitations | (258) | (235) | (8) |
| Unrecognized tax benefits balance at end of year | \$ 1,097 | \$ 364 | \$ 415 |

At December 31, 2012, the amount of unrecognized tax benefits that would benefit the Company's effective tax rate, if recognized, was \$844. At this time, the Company estimates it is reasonably possible that the liability for unrecognized tax benefits will decrease by as much as \$400 in the next twelve months due to the completion of reviews by tax authorities, the voluntary filing of certain state income taxes and the expiration of certain statutes of limitations.

The Company recognizes potential interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits in income tax expense. For the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, income tax expense includes \$448, \$14 and \$53, respectively, of potential interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits. The Company had accrued interest and penalties of \$642 and \$194 as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

The Company files a consolidated federal income tax return and separate tax returns with various states. Additionally, a subsidiary of the Company files a tax return in a foreign jurisdiction. The Company's tax returns for the calendar years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 remain open to examination by the Internal Revenue Service in their entirety. With respect to state taxing jurisdictions, the Company's tax returns for fiscal year ended March 31, 2009, as well as calendar years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 remain open to examination by various state revenue services.

The Company's India subsidiary is currently under examination by the India Taxing Authority for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2009 and March 31, 2010. Based on the outcome of examinations of the Company's subsidiary or the result of the expiration of statutes of limitations it is reasonably possible that the related unrecognized tax benefits could change from those recorded in the statement of financial position. It is possible that one or more of these audits may be finalized within the next twelve months. The Company's subsidiary's tax returns for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2007 through March 31, 2012 remain open to examination by the India Taxing Authority in their entirety.

Table of Contents**Envestnet, Inc.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****(In thousands, except share and per share amounts)****12. Stockholders Equity****Preferred Stock**

Prior to the closing of the Company's initial public offering in July of 2010, the Company had the following \$0.001 par value convertible preferred stock authorized, issued and outstanding:

| | Shares Authorized | Shares Issued and Outstanding | Amount | Aggregate Liquidation Preference |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------|--|
| Series A Convertible Preferred Stock | 66,000 | 65,649 | \$ 31,475 | \$ 65,649 |
| Series B Convertible Preferred Stock | 10,000 | 7,130 | 5,330 | 7,130 |
| Series C Convertible Preferred Stock | 5,000 | 3,864 | 8,787 | 9,000 |
| Undesignated | 119,000 | - | - | - |
| | 200,000 | 76,643 | \$ 45,592 | \$ 81,779 |

Each share of preferred stock was convertible at any time after the date of issuance and was convertible at various prices and into various amounts of common stock. The preferred stock had liquidation and voting rights as defined in each preferred stock agreement. The holders of Series C Convertible Preferred Stock (Series C) were entitled to receive preferred dividends annually at a rate of 8% of the Series C original issue price, accruing and cumulative from the date of issue, whether or not earned or declared.

As noted below, upon closing of the Company's initial public offering, all of the Company's outstanding Series A, Series B and Series C Convertible Preferred Stock converted into an aggregate 13,002,034 shares of common stock. In addition, the holders of Series C were paid cumulative preferred dividends totaling approximately \$1,346.

On August 3, 2010, the Company amended its certificate of incorporation which increased the amount of authorized preferred stock to 50,000,000 shares and increased the par value to \$0.005 per share. There were no shares of preferred stock issued or outstanding as of December 31, 2012 and 2011.

Warrants

On March 24, 2005, in connection with the sale of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock (Series B), the Company issued detachable warrants to holders of Series B to purchase 1,497 shares of Series B at a price of \$1,000 per share. In 2010, all of the warrants were exercised and the Company issued 1,497 shares of Series B. In addition, during 2010, the Company acquired 122 shares of Series B for approximately \$378.

On September 18, 2008, in connection with the sale of Series C Convertible Preferred Stock (Series C), the Company issued detachable warrants to holders of Series C to purchase 154,548 shares of common stock at a price of \$0.05 per share. During 2010, all of the warrants were exercised and the Company issued 154,548 shares of common stock.

In February 2010, in connection with the Agreement (see Note 3), the Company issued to FundQuest a warrant to purchase shares of the Company's common stock, with an exercise price to be calculated as 120% of the Company's initial public offering price per share of the Company's common stock. As a result of the closing of the Company's initial public offering, the number of shares of common stock issuable to FundQuest under the warrant was determined to be 1,388,888 shares at an exercise price of \$10.80 per share and the estimated fair value of the warrant of \$2,946 is classified in equity as additional paid-in capital. During 2011, the warrant was sold by FundQuest to a third party. As of

Edgar Filing: ENVESTNET, INC. - Form 10-K

December 31, 2012, the warrant with issuable shares totaling 1,388,888 was outstanding.

Table of Contents

Envestnet, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

(In thousands, except share and per share amounts)

Common Stock

On June 29, 2010, in connection with the cashless exercise of 179,624 expiring stock options, the Company repurchased 135,827 shares of its common stock from certain of its former employees for an aggregate purchase price of \$1,616 to fund the purchase price and tax obligations of such exercises, resulting in a net issuance of 43,797 shares. Included in the above amounts, were 90,000 expiring stock options from a former officer and director of the Company in which the Company repurchased 63,279 shares for an aggregate purchase price of \$753, resulting in a net issuance of 26,721 shares to this former officer and director.

On July 28, 2010, the Company completed its initial public offering whereby the Company sold 4,705,500 shares of common stock for a price of \$9.00 per share, which resulted in proceeds, before deducting underwriting discounts and commissions and other offering expenses, of approximately \$42,350.

Upon closing of the Company's initial public offering:

as approved by the Board of Directors on June 22, 2010, one newly issued share of the Company's stock was exchanged for every five outstanding shares of stock, effective immediately prior to the effectiveness of the Company's registration statement on July 28, 2010. All share amounts and per share information referenced throughout the consolidated financial statements have been retroactively adjusted to reflect this reverse stock split;

all of the Company's outstanding Series A, Series B and Series C convertible preferred stock converted into an aggregate 13,002,034 shares of common stock. In addition, the holders of Series C were paid cumulative preferred dividends totaling approximately \$1,346;

The EnvestNet Group, Inc., the Company's 41% shareholder prior to the initial public offering (the Envestnet Shareholder) merged with and into the Company, with the Company being the surviving entity. Pursuant to the merger, all of the shareholders of the Envestnet Shareholder exchanged their Envestnet Shareholder common shares and preferred shares for 10,680,000 shares of the Company's common stock; and

each share of the Company's common and Series A convertible preferred stock held by the Envestnet Shareholder was automatically converted into treasury stock of the Company.

On August 3, 2010, the Company amended its certificate of incorporation, which increased the amount of authorized common stock to 500,000,000 shares and increased the par value to \$0.005 per share.

On August 31, 2010, the underwriters exercised their overallotment option to purchase an additional 705,825 shares of common stock for a price of \$9.00 per share, which resulted in proceeds, before deducting underwriting discounts and commissions, of approximately \$6,352.

In accordance with the terms of the merger agreement between Envestnet and Tamarac (see Note 3), Tamarac senior management were required to apply at least 50% (up to 100%) of the aggregate proceeds of the Tamarac change of control payment totaling \$2,759 to purchase registered shares of Envestnet common stock (232,150 shares) in an amount equal to 95% multiplied by the Envestnet closing market price on the day before the merger closed. These shares cannot be sold or otherwise transferred for a period of two years following the date of merger. If a participant terminates their employment with the Company or is terminated for cause, the participant shall be required to pay the Company an amount equal to 5% multiplied by the closing market price on the day before the merger closed for each of the shares purchased by the

participant.

During the fourth quarter of 2012, the Company reversed a \$690 net operating loss tax benefit that was recognized incorrectly in 2010 as a result of the EnvestNet Group, Inc. merger.

Table of Contents

Envestnet, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

(In thousands, except share and per share amounts)

13. Stock-Based Compensation

On December 31, 2004, the Company adopted a stock incentive plan (the 2004 Plan). The 2004 Plan provided for the grant of options to employees, consultants, and non-employee directors to purchase common stock, which vest over time and have a ten-year contractual term. To satisfy options granted under the 2004 Plan, the Company made common stock available from authorized but unissued shares or shares held in treasury, if any, by the Company. Stock options granted under the 2004 Plan were non-qualified stock options, as defined in the 2004 Plan agreement. Stock options were granted with an exercise price no less than the fair-market-value price of the common stock at the date of the grant.

The 2004 Plan has a change in control provision whereby if a change in control occurs and the participant's awards are not equitably adjusted, such awards shall become fully vested and exercisable and all forfeiture restrictions on such awards shall lapse. Based on the terms of the 2004 Plan, the Company's initial public offering did not trigger the change in control provision and did not result in any modifications to the outstanding equity awards under the 2004 Plan.

On February 3, 2010, the Board of Directors approved an increase to the number of shares of the Company's common stock available for issuance under the 2004 Plan by 1,875,230 shares.

On June 22, 2010, the Board of Directors approved the 2010 Long-Term Incentive Plan (2010 Plan), effective upon the closing of the Company's initial public offering. The 2010 Plan provides for the grant of options, stock appreciation rights, Full Value Awards (as defined in the 2010 Plan) and cash incentive awards to employees, consultants, and non-employee directors to purchase common stock, which vest over time and have a ten-year contractual term. The maximum number of shares of common stock that may be delivered under the 2010 Plan is equal to the sum of 2,700,000 plus the number of shares of common stock that are subject to outstanding awards under the 2004 Plan which are forfeited, expire or are cancelled after the effective date of the Company's initial public offering. Stock options and stock appreciation rights are granted with an exercise price no less than the fair-market-value price of the common stock at the date of the grant.

As a result of the merger between Envestnet and Tamarac (see Note 3), the Company adopted the Envestnet, Inc. Management Incentive Plan for Envestnet | Tamarac Management Employees (the 2012 Plan). The 2012 Plan provides for the grant of restricted common stock, stock options and the purchase of common stock for certain Tamarac employees. The maximum number of shares of stock which may be issued with respect to awards under the 2012 Plan is 1,023,851.

The 2012 Plan provides for the grant of up to 559,551 shares of unvested common stock (Target Incentive Awards). The Target Incentive Awards vest based upon Tamarac meeting certain performance conditions and then a subsequent two-year service condition. The Company measured the cost of these awards based on the estimated fair value of the award as of the market closing price on the day before the acquisition closed. The Company is recognizing the estimated expense on a graded-vesting method over a requisite service period of three to five years, which is the estimated vesting period. The Company has estimated expected forfeitures at the grant date and will recognize compensation expense only for those awards expected to vest. The initial forfeiture assumption will be reassessed in subsequent periods and may change based upon new facts and circumstances. Changes in the forfeiture assumptions may impact the total amount of expense ultimately recognized over the vesting period.

The Company also granted to certain Tamarac employees 232,150 stock options to acquire Envestnet common stock at an exercise price of \$12.51. These stock options vest on the second anniversary of the grant date.

As of December 31, 2012, the maximum number of options and restricted stock available for future issuance under the Company's plans is 1,733,230.

Table of Contents**Investnet, Inc.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****(In thousands, except share and per share amounts)**

Employee stock-based compensation expense was as follows:

| | Year ended December 31, | | |
|---|--------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Employee stock-based compensation expense | \$ 4,342 | \$ 3,062 | \$ 1,731 |
| Tax effect on employee stock-based compensation expense | (1,643) | (1,159) | (655) |
| Net effect on income | \$ 2,699 | \$ 1,903 | \$ 1,076 |

Stock Options

The following weighted average assumptions were used to value options granted during the periods indicated:

| | Year ended December 31, | | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Grant date fair value of options | \$ 4.96 | \$ 5.14 | \$ 3.71 |
| Volatility | 39.7% | 39.4% | 37.5% |
| Risk-free interest rate | 1.2% | 2.37% | 2.2% |
| Dividend yield | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Expected term (in years) | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.2 |

The following table summarizes option activity under the Company's plans:

| | Shares | Weighted-Average Exercise Price | Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Life (Years) | Aggregate Intrinsic Value |
|--|------------------|--|--|--------------------------------------|
| Outstanding as of December 31, 2009 | 3,285,579 | \$ 6.70 | | |
| Granted | 2,049,461 | 9.18 | | |
| Exercised | (296,188) | 6.50 | | |
| Forfeited | (40,515) | 7.81 | | |
| Outstanding as of December 31, 2010 | 4,998,337 | 7.64 | 7.5 | \$ 47,083 |
| Granted | 486,833 | 12.37 | | |
| Exercised | (447,528) | 6.14 | | |
| Forfeited | (173,924) | 9.36 | | |
| Outstanding as of December 31, 2011 | 4,863,718 | 8.19 | 6.8 | 18,704 |
| Granted | 738,915 | 12.53 | | |
| Exercised | (298,947) | 6.92 | | |

Edgar Filing: ENVESTNET, INC. - Form 10-K

| | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|-------|-----|--------|
| Forfeited | (26,274) | 11.03 | | |
| Outstanding as of December 31, 2012 | 5,277,412 | 8.86 | 6.3 | 26,885 |
| Options exercisable | 3,378,591 | 7.70 | 5.2 | 21,124 |

The aggregate intrinsic values in the table above represent the total pre-tax intrinsic value (the aggregate difference between the fair value of the Company's common stock on December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 of \$13.95, \$11.96 and \$17.06, respectively, and the exercise price of in-the-money options) that would have been received by the option holders had all option holders exercised their options as of that date.

Table of Contents**Envestnet, Inc.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****(In thousands, except share and per share amounts)**

Exercise prices of stock options outstanding as of December 31, 2012 range from \$1.10 to \$13.98.

Other information was as follows:

| | Year ended December 31, | | |
|---|-------------------------|----------|----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Total intrinsic value of options exercised | \$ 1,611 | \$ 3,082 | \$ 1,511 |
| Cash received from exercises of stock options | 2,069 | 2,747 | 1,925 |
| Cash received from issuance of restricted stock | 2,759 | - | - |

The following table summarizes the prices whereby the Company granted employee stock options from the period January 1, 2010 through July 27, 2010 (the date prior to the Company's initial public offering):

| Grant Date | Options Granted | Fair Market Value of Common Stock (per share) |
|-------------------|-----------------|--|
| February 22, 2010 | 71,000 | \$ 13.45 |

Prior to our initial public offering on July 28, 2010, the Board of Directors determined the exercise price was the fair market value on the respective grant dates. Historically, determining the fair value of our common stock required making subjective judgments. The valuation of the Company's common stock considered a market approach and an income approach, incorporating the Company's historical and expected financial performance, relevant market, industry and economic trends, recent capital transactions, involving either the Company or comparable companies, and comparable public company valuations. The resulting calculation assigned a value for 100% of the Company's equity on a marketable equivalent, non-controlling interest basis.

After the value of the Company had been determined, the Company allocated the value to each class of its shares, including common stock. The value allocation methodology applies the principles set forth in the AICPA Practice Aid Valuation of Privately-Held-Company Equity Securities Issued as Compensation (Practice Aid). The Practice Aid defines appropriate methods to allocate enterprise value to common shares when multiple share classes exist. Based on various factors, including the stage of a company's life and the timing and likelihood of various liquidity events, one method of allocation may be more appropriate than the others. The Company used the option pricing method, as defined in the Practice Aid, which treats each class of equity as having a call option on the enterprise value. The option pricing method considers the economic preferences and other rights attributable to each share class, resulting in a price for each of the share classes, including common stock. The valuations of common stock also reflected a discount for lack of marketability, adjusted over time to reflect the expected likelihood and timing of a liquidity event subsequent to each valuation date. No other discounts were applied in determining the value of the Company's common stock. There was inherent uncertainty in the estimates used in the valuations. If different discount rates, assumptions or weightings had been used, the valuations would have been different. From January 1, 2010 through July 27, 2010, the Company performed contemporaneous valuations to determine the fair value of the Company's common stock.

Since our initial public offering on July 28, 2010, the Company has not performed internal valuations or obtained independent valuations in order to determine the Company's stock price to reference when determining the fair value of our common stock in connection with the granting of stock options.

Table of Contents**Envestnet, Inc.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****(In thousands, except share and per share amounts)***Restricted Stock Awards*

Periodically, the Company grants restricted stock awards under the 2010 Plan to employees that vest one-third on each of the first three anniversaries of the grant date. The Company also granted restricted stock awards under the 2012 Plan that vest upon Tamarac meeting certain performance conditions and then a subsequent two-year service condition.

The following is a summary of the activity for unvested restricted stock awards granted under the Company's plans:

| | Number of Shares | Weighted- Average Grant Date Fair Value per Share |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| Balance at December 31, 2010 | - | \$ - |
| Granted | 77,224 | 12.38 |
| Vested | - | - |
| Forfeited | (3,404) | 12.55 |
| Balance at December 31, 2011 | 73,820 | 12.37 |
| Granted | 714,934 | 12.50 |
| Vested | (24,568) | - |
| Expired/cancelled | (1,064) | 12.45 |
| Forfeited | (4,132) | 12.49 |
| Balance at December 31, 2012 | 758,990 | 12.49 |

At December 31, 2012, there was \$5,736 of unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested stock options which the Company expects to recognize over a weighted-average period of 1.7 years. At December 31, 2012, there was \$1,605 of unrecognized compensation cost related to restricted stock awards which the Company expects to recognize over a weighted-average period of 3.6 years. This excludes \$6,865 of potential unrecognized stock compensation cost related to the Target Incentive Awards, which the Company expects to recognize over the remaining estimated vesting period of 2.3 to 4.3 years. The amount of stock compensation cost related to the Target Incentive Awards is dependent upon Tamarac meeting certain performance conditions and then a subsequent two-year service condition.

14. Earnings per Share

Net income per common share reflects the application of the two-class method for the year ended December 31, 2010. Under the two-class method, net income is allocated between common stock and other participating securities based on their respective participating rights. All classes of convertible preferred stock would participate pro rata in dividends and therefore are considered participating securities.

Basic net income per common share excludes dilution for potential common stock issuances and is computed by dividing net income attributable to common stockholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Diluted net income per common share reflects the potential dilution that could occur if securities or other contracts to issue common stock were exercised or converted into common stock, unless they are anti-dilutive. For the year ended December 31, 2010, convertible preferred securities were excluded from the computation of diluted net income per share as their inclusion on an as if converted basis would have been anti-dilutive. For the year ended December 31, 2010, the convertible preferred securities are

Table of Contents**Investnet, Inc.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****(In thousands, except share and per share amounts)**

considered anti-dilutive as a result of such securities not having the contractual obligation to participate in losses of the Company. For the calculation of diluted net income per common share, the basic weighted average number of shares is increased by the dilutive effective of stock options, common warrants and restricted stock using the treasury stock method.

The following table provides a reconciliation of the numerators and denominators used in computing basic and diluted net income (loss) per share attributable to common stockholders:

| | Year ended December 31, | | |
|---|--------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Basic income per share calculation: | | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 465 | \$ 7,605 | \$ (626) |
| Less: Preferred stock dividends | - | - | (422) |
| Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders | \$ 465 | \$ 7,605 | \$ (1,048) |
| Basic number of weighted-average shares outstanding | 32,162,672 | 31,643,390 | 20,805,911 |
| Basic net income (loss) per share attributable to common stockholders | \$ 0.01 | \$ 0.24 | \$ (0.05) |
| Diluted income (loss) per share calculation: | | | |
| Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders | \$ 465 | \$ 7,605 | \$ (1,048) |
| Basic number of weighted-average shares outstanding | 32,162,672 | 31,643,390 | 20,805,911 |
| Effect of dilutive shares: | | | |
| Options to purchase common stock | 954,056 | 974,192 | - |
| Common warrants | 177,257 | 211,495 | - |
| Restricted stock | 47,630 | 34,757 | - |
| Diluted number of weighted-average shares outstanding | 33,341,615 | 32,863,834 | 20,805,911 |
| Diluted net income (loss) per share attributable to common stockholders | \$ 0.01 | \$ 0.23 | \$ (0.05) |

Common share equivalents for securities that were anti-dilutive and therefore excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share are as follows:

| | Year ended December 31, | | |
|--|--------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |

Edgar Filing: ENVESTNET, INC. - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|----------------------------------|-----------|---------|-----------|
| Options to purchase common stock | 1,209,748 | 121,000 | 4,998,337 |
| Common warrants | - | - | 1,388,888 |
| Unvested restricted stock | 559,551 | - | - |
| Total | 1,769,299 | 121,000 | 6,387,225 |

Table of Contents**Envestnet, Inc.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****(In thousands, except share and per share amounts)****15. Insurance Recovery**

On April 26, 2011, the Company and its directors and officers liability insurance carrier entered into an agreement under which the insurance carrier agreed to pay the Company \$1,100 to reimburse the Company for defense fees and expenses incurred by the Company in 2010 related to certain litigation (see Note 16). This amount was received in 2011 and is included in other income in the consolidated statements of operations.

16. Commitments and Contingencies***Leases***

The Company rents office space under leases that expire at various dates through 2023. Future annual minimum lease commitments under these operating leases were as follows:

| | |
|---------------------------|-----------|
| Years ending December 31: | |
| 2013 | \$ 4,488 |
| 2014 | 5,083 |
| 2015 | 5,281 |
| 2016 | 5,537 |
| 2017 | 5,109 |
| Thereafter | 21,073 |
| Total | \$ 46,571 |

Rent expense for all operating leases totaled:

| | Year ended December 31, | | |
|--------------|--------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Rent expense | \$ 4,008 | \$ 2,930 | \$ 2,529 |

Purchase Obligations and Indemnifications

The Company enters into unconditional purchase obligations arrangements for certain of its services that it receives in the normal course of business. Future minimum unconditional purchase obligations are as follows:

| | |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Years ending December 31: | |
| 2013 | \$ 637 |
| 2014 | 73 |
| 2015 | - |
| 2016 | - |
| 2017 | - |

Thereafter

-

\$ 710

The Company includes various types of indemnification and guarantee clauses in certain arrangements. These indemnifications and guarantees may include, but are not limited to, infringement claims related to intellectual property, direct or consequential damages and guarantees to certain service providers. The type and amount of any potential indemnification or guarantee varies substantially based on the nature of each

Table of Contents**Envestnet, Inc.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****(In thousands, except share and per share amounts)**

arrangement. The Company has experienced no previous claims and cannot determine the maximum amount of potential future payments, if any, related to such indemnification and guarantee provisions. The Company believes that it is unlikely it will have to make material payments under these arrangements and therefore has not recorded a contingent liability in the consolidated balance sheets.

Litigation

On November 23, 2009, the Company sued Fetter Logic, Inc. (Fetter Logic), a private company, and its chief executive officer seeking, among other things, unspecified damages for breaches of the investment agreement and operating agreement that the Company had entered into with Fetter Logic in December 2008 and a declaratory judgment that the Company owns certain rights in certain intellectual property. Fetter Logic asserted claims against the Company in a separate suit and in a counterclaim filed on November 30, 2009, for breaches of the investment agreement and operating agreement, breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing, breach of fiduciary duty, copyright infringement, misappropriation of trade secrets, an accounting, unjust enrichment and a declaratory judgment that Fetter Logic owns all rights in the contested intellectual property. Fetter Logic sought declaratory and injunctive relief, as well as unspecified compensatory and punitive damages. Both cases arose out of (1) an investment agreement, pursuant to which the Company purchased shares in Fetter Logic for approximately \$5,700, and (2) an operating agreement, under which the parties agreed to integrate their respective software applications and develop and sell joint product offerings. Fetter Logic alleged that the Company did not comply with the terms of the operating agreement to develop joint product offerings, but instead misappropriated Fetter Logic's intellectual property to develop products for its own benefit.

On July 8, 2010, the Company and Fetter Logic agreed to dismiss their respective lawsuits. As a result, the Company wrote off net fees receivable and notes receivable totaling \$2,283 in the year ended December 31, 2010. This amount is included in general and administration expenses in the consolidated statements of operations.

In connection with this settlement, none of the parties was required to make any payments to any other party, the Company relinquished its ownership interest in Fetter Logic, as well as operating receivables for services the Company provided during the term of the operating agreement and its rights under a promissory note issued to the Company in December 2009 in connection with its redemption of a portion of its ownership interest in Fetter Logic. In addition, the Company has the right to use any intellectual property developed or obtained by the Company in connection with the operating agreement. For the year ended December 31, 2010, the Company incurred legal fees of \$1,933 related to this matter.

The Company is also involved in other litigation arising in the ordinary course of its business. The Company does not believe that the outcome of any of these proceedings, individually or in the aggregate, would, if determined adversely to it, have a material adverse effect on its results of operations, financial condition, cash flows or business.

17. Major Customers

One customer accounted for the following percentage of the Company's fees receivable:

| | December 31, | |
|----------|---------------------|-------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Fidelity | 11% | 34% |

Table of Contents**Investnet, Inc.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****(In thousands, except share and per share amounts)**

One customer accounted for the following percentage of the Company's revenues:

| | 2012 | December 31, 2011 | 2010 |
|----------|-------------|------------------------------|-------------|
| Fidelity | 22% | 31% | 31% |

18. Benefit Plan

The Company sponsors a profit sharing and savings plan under Section 401(k) or the Internal Revenue Code, covering substantially all domestic employees. The Company made voluntary employer matching contributions as follows:

| | 2012 | Year ended December 31, 2011 | 2010 |
|---|-------------|---|-------------|
| Voluntary employer matching contributions | \$ 660 | \$ 474 | \$ 427 |

19. Net Capital Requirements

PBS is a broker-dealer subject to the SEC Uniform Net Capital Rule (SEC Rule 15c3-1), which requires the maintenance of minimum net capital and requires that the ratio of aggregate indebtedness to net capital (net capital ratio), both as defined, shall not exceed 15 to 1. SEC Rule 15c3-1 also provides that equity capital may not be withdrawn or cash dividends paid if the resulting net capital ratio would exceed 10 to 1. At December 31, 2012, the Company had net capital of \$968, which was \$868 in excess of its required net capital of \$100. At December 31, 2012, the Company's net capital ratio was .12 to 1.

Additionally, PBS is subject to net capital requirements of certain self-regulatory organizations and at December 31, 2012, PBS was in compliance with such requirements.

20. Subsequent Events

On April 11, 2013, the Company entered into a definitive agreement to acquire substantially all of the assets of the Wealth Management Solutions (WMS) division of Prudential Investments for \$10,000 in cash upon closing, subject to certain post-closing adjustments, plus contingent consideration of up to a total of \$23,000 in cash, based upon meeting certain performance targets, to be paid over three years. WMS is a provider of technology solutions that enables financial services to firms develop and enhance their wealth management offerings. The Company anticipates the acquisition will be completed in the third quarter of 2013.

On April 11, 2013, the Company amended the 2012 Plan (see Note 13). The purpose of the amendment was to amend the methodology for determining the vesting requirements of performance awards granted under the 2012 Plan as well as the inclusion of additional Investnet I Tamarac employees eligible to participate in the 2012 Plan. The amendment may result in changes to the timing or amount of expense to be recognized in future periods in connection with any performance awards granted under the 2012 Plan, compared to that which may have occurred without the amendment.

Table of Contents**21. Quarterly Financial Data (Unaudited)**

Quarterly results for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 were as follows:

| | 2012 | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|
| | First | Second | Third | Fourth |
| Total revenues | \$ 32,642 | \$ 37,962 | \$ 42,283 | \$ 44,379 |
| Income (loss) from operations (1) (2) | 1,232 | (1,132) | 920 | 2,022 |
| Net income (loss) (3) | 740 | (668) | 551 | (158) |
| Net income (loss) per share | | | | |
| Basic | 0.02 | (0.02) | 0.02 | (0.01) |
| Diluted | 0.02 | (0.02) | 0.02 | (0.01) |

| | 2011 | | | |
|----------------------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|
| | First | Second | Third | Fourth |
| Total revenues | \$ 29,262 | \$ 31,334 | \$ 32,040 | \$ 30,542 |
| Income from operations (4) | 2,554 | 3,151 | 3,226 | 2,445 |
| Net income | 1,404 | 2,447 | 1,925 | 1,829 |
| Net income per share | | | | |
| Basic | 0.04 | 0.08 | 0.06 | 0.06 |
| Diluted | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.06 | 0.06 |

- (1) During the fourth quarter, the Company recorded an adjustment to non-cash compensation expense that resulted in an increase of \$305 to income from operations.
- (2) Included in income (loss) from operations for the first quarter, second quarter, third quarter and fourth quarter of 2012 is \$644, \$1,353, \$215 and \$506, respectively, of restructuring and transaction related costs.
- (3) During the fourth quarter, the Company recorded certain adjustments to income taxes including \$848 related to additional India income taxes, and \$392 in additional income taxes primarily to adjust deferred tax assets related to net operating loss carryforwards.
- (4) Included in income from operations for the first quarter, second quarter, third quarter and fourth quarter of 2011 is \$10, \$53, \$302 and \$689, respectively, of restructuring and transaction related costs.

Table of Contents**Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure**

On February 13, 2013, our Audit Committee terminated McGladrey LLP (McGladrey) as our independent registered public accountants and on February 14, 2013 engaged KPMG LLP (KPMG) as our independent registered public accounting firm for our fiscal year ended December 31, 2012 and to re-audit our financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2011. In addition, on March 29, 2013, our Audit Committee determined that it needed to retain new independent registered public accountants to re-audit our financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2010. As a result, on April 2, 2013, the Audit Committee engaged KPMG to re-audit our financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Information regarding the change in independent accountants was reported in our Current Reports on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on February 14, 2013 and on April 3, 2013. McGladrey's reports on our consolidated financial statements for each of the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 did not contain an adverse opinion or disclaimer of opinion, and such reports were not qualified or modified as to uncertainty, audit scope, or accounting principle. During the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, and the period from January 1, 2012 through March 29, 2013, we did not have any disagreements with McGladrey on any matter of accounting principles or practices, financial statement disclosure or auditing scope or procedure, which disagreements, if not resolved to McGladrey's satisfaction, would have caused them to make reference thereto in their reports on Envestnet's consolidated financial statements for such periods. During the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, there were no reportable events as the term is described in Item 304(a)(1)(v) of Regulation S-K.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures*Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures*

Our management, with the participation of our chief executive officer and chief financial officer, evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of December 31, 2012. The term "disclosure controls and procedures," as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act, means controls and other procedures of a company that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by a company in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by a company in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to the Company's management, including its principal executive and principal financial officers, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving their objectives, and management necessarily applies its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures. Based on the evaluation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of December 31, 2012, and due to the material weakness in our internal control over financial reporting described in our accompanying *Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting*, our chief executive officer and chief financial officer concluded that, as of such date, our disclosure controls and procedures were not effective.

Management has excluded Tamarac, Inc. and Prima Capital Holding, Inc., from its assessment of internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012, because those entities were acquired by the Company in purchase business combinations in the second quarter of 2012. Tamarac, Inc. and Prima Capital Holding, Inc. are wholly-owned subsidiaries whose total assets represent approximately 39% and 9%, respectively, and whose revenues represent approximately 6% and 2%, respectively, of the Company's consolidated financial statement amounts as of and for the year ended December 31, 2012.

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting for our company. Internal control over financial reporting is defined in Rule 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) promulgated under the Exchange Act, as a process designed by, or under the supervision of, a company's

Table of Contents

principal executive and principal financial officers and effected by the Company's board of directors, management and other personnel, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and includes those policies and procedures that:

pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company;

provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and

provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Our management, including our chief executive officer and chief financial officer, assessed the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012. In connection with this assessment, we identified a material weakness, as described below, in internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. In making this assessment, our management used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission in *Internal Control - An Integrated Framework* (September 1992). Because of the material weakness described below, management concluded that, as of December 31, 2012, our internal control over financial reporting was not effective.

Inadequate and ineffective controls over accounting for income taxes

We did not have adequate design or operation of controls that provide reasonable assurance that the accounting for income taxes, including the related financial statement disclosures, were in accordance with U.S. GAAP. Specifically, we relied on third-party subject matter experts and did not have sufficient technical expertise in the income tax function to provide adequate review and control with respect to the (a) identification and ongoing evaluation of uncertain tax positions in foreign tax jurisdictions; (b) complete and accurate recording of deferred tax assets and liabilities due to differences in accounting treatment for book and tax purposes; and (c) complete and accurate recording of inputs to the consolidated income tax provision and related accruals.

This material weakness resulted in post-closing adjustments to deferred income tax assets and liabilities, income taxes receivable, uncertain tax liabilities as included in other non-current liabilities, and income tax expense, which were corrected by management prior to the issuance of the Company's consolidated financial statements included herein.

KPMG, our independent registered public accounting firm, has audited our consolidated financial statements and the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012. Their report appears below.

Table of Contents

Remediation Plans

Management is committed to remediating the material weakness in a timely fashion. We have begun the process of executing remediation plans that address the material weakness in internal control over financial reporting relating to accounting for income taxes. Specifically, we replaced our third-party subject matter experts and also hired internal personnel dedicated to managing the income tax function to enhance our expertise in determining the appropriate accounting for material and complex tax transactions. In addition, management's planned actions to further address the material weakness include:

Review of tax accounting process to identify and implement enhanced tax accounting processes and related internal control procedures;

Enhancement of our process and internal controls related to the preparation of tax accounting position papers documenting our analysis and conclusions for all technical tax accounting matters, and

Establish training and education programs for financial personnel responsible for income tax accounting.

The Audit Committee has directed management to develop a detailed plan and timetable for the implementation of the foregoing remedial measures (to the extent not already completed) and will monitor their implementation. In addition, under the direction of the Audit Committee, management will continue to review and make necessary changes to the overall design of the Company's internal control environment, as well as policies and procedures to improve the overall effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting.

Management believes the measures described above and others that will be implemented will remediate the control deficiencies identified and will strengthen our internal control over financial reporting. Management is committed to continuous improvement of the Company's internal control processes and will continue to diligently review our financial reporting controls and procedures. As management continues to evaluate and work to improve internal control over financial reporting, we may take additional measures to address control deficiencies or determine to modify, or in appropriate circumstances not to complete, certain of the remediation measures described above. We expect these remedial actions and or other actions related to this material weakness to be effectively implemented in 2013 in order to successfully remediate the material weakness reported within this Form 10-K by December 31, 2013.

If the remedial measures described above are insufficient to address the identified material weakness or are not implemented effectively, or additional deficiencies arise in the future, material misstatements in our interim or annual financial statements may occur in the future. Among other things, any unremediated material weakness could result in material post-closing adjustments in future financial statements. Furthermore, any such unremediated material weakness could have the effects described in Item 1A, Risk Factors. In preparing our financial statements for 2012, we identified a material weakness in our internal control over financial reporting, and our failure to remedy this or other material weaknesses that we may identify in the future could result in material misstatements in our financial statements in Part I of this Form 10-K.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended December 31, 2012 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To The Board of Directors and Stockholders

Envestnet, Inc.:

We have audited Envestnet, Inc.'s (the Company's) internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management's Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audit also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the company's annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. A material weakness related to the ineffective controls over income tax accounting and disclosure has been identified and included in management's assessment.

Envestnet, Inc. management excluded Tamarac, Inc. and Prima Capital Holding, Inc., from its assessment of the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012, because those entities were acquired by the Company in purchase business combinations in the second quarter of 2012. Tamarac, Inc. and Prima Capital Holding, Inc. are wholly-owned subsidiaries whose total assets represent approximately 39% and 9%, respectively, and whose revenues represent approximately 6% and 2%, respectively, of the related consolidated financial statement amounts as of and for the year ended December 31, 2012. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting of the Company also excluded an evaluation of the internal control over financial reporting of Tamarac, Inc. and Prima Capital Holding, Inc.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of the Company as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the years in the

Table of Contents

three-year period ended December 31, 2012 and our report dated June 14, 2013 expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements. This material weakness was considered in determining the nature, timing and extent of audit tests applied in our audit of 2012 consolidated financial statements, and this report does not affect our report dated June 14, 2013, which expressed an unqualified opinion on those financial statements.

We do not express an opinion or any other form of assurance on management's statements referring to corrective actions taken after December 31, 2012, relative to the aforementioned material weakness in internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ KPMG LLP

Chicago, Illinois

June 14, 2013

Table of Contents

Item 9B. Other Information

None.

Part III

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

Directors

The following summarizes information concerning each of our directors.

Judson Bergman Mr. Bergman, age 56, is the founder of our company and has served as our Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and a director since 1999. Prior to founding our company, Mr. Bergman was Managing Director at Nuveen. Mr. Bergman serves as a trustee of RS Investment Trust and RS Variable Products Trust, registered investment companies. Mr. Bergman received an MBA in finance and accounting from Columbia University and a BA from Wheaton College.

Mr. Bergman has extensive familiarity with the financial services industry acquired through his years with the company and his experience at Nuveen as well as his education in finance and accounting.

Ross Chapin Mr. Chapin, age 60, has served as a director of our company since 2001. Mr. Chapin is a Managing Director of Parametric Portfolio Associates LLC, a provider of structured portfolio management, which he joined as a senior executive in October 2005. Prior to Parametric, Mr. Chapin co-founded Orca Bay Partners, a private equity firm in 1998. Mr. Chapin received an MBA from Columbia University in finance and accounting, and an undergraduate degree from Denison University.

Mr. Chapin has broad knowledge of the financial services industry and financial products acquired through his experience at Parametric. In addition, the Board benefits from Mr. Chapin's experience with a broad range of companies and industries acquired as a result of the review and analysis of investments by Orca Bay Partners and his education in finance and accounting.

Gates Hawn Mr. Hawn, age 64, has served as a director of our company since 2004. Mr. Hawn is currently retired. He had previously worked as a Senior Advisor for Credit Suisse, an investment banking firm or its predecessors from 2000 to 2004. In 2000 Donaldson, Lufkin & Jenrette, or DLJ, merged with Credit Suisse, and Mr. Hawn was with DLJ from 1981 to 2000. Mr. Hawn received an undergraduate degree from Williams College.

Mr. Hawn's has extensive knowledge of the financial services industry and financial products acquired through his experience at DLJ and Credit Suisse.

James Johnson Mr. Johnson, age 75, has served as a director of our company since 2000. Mr. Johnson is a General Partner and Founder of Apex Venture Partners, or Apex, a private equity firm, which he founded in 1988. Prior to founding Apex, Mr. Johnson was one of three founding partners of Knightsbridge Partners, a private investment firm. Prior to Knightsbridge, Mr. Johnson served in senior management roles with Beatrice Foods, including corporate Chief Financial Officer and Senior Vice President of the \$6 billion U.S. Foods subsidiary. Mr. Johnson received an MBA from Northwestern University and a BS from Loyola University.

Table of Contents

Mr. Johnson has experience with a broad range of companies and industries acquired as a result of the review and analysis of investments by Apex and Knightsbridge Partners. The Board also benefits from Mr. Johnson's experience in senior financial and management roles at Beatrice Foods and his education in business administration.

Paul Koontz

Mr. Koontz, age 53, has served as a director of our company since 2004. Mr. Koontz has been a general partner at Foundation Capital Management, or Foundation Capital, a venture capital firm since 1996. Mr. Koontz serves as the Chairman of the Board of Financial Engines, Inc. and is on the boards of Yaolan Media (in Beijing), Numerate, eBates, and the Stanford University DAPER Fund. Mr. Koontz received a master's in engineering management from Stanford University and a BS from Princeton University.

Mr. Koontz has experience with a broad range of early stage and developing companies and industries acquired as a result of the review and analysis of investments by Foundation Capital. The Board also benefits from Mr. Koontz's experience serving on the boards of directors of other companies in the financial services and other industries and his education in engineering management.

Charles Roame

Mr. Roame, age 47, has served as a director of our company since August 2011. Mr. Roame has served as Managing Partner of Tiburon Strategic Advisors, a provider of research, strategy consulting, and other related services primarily to financial services firms, since 1998. Mr. Roame has served on the boards of a variety of start-up ventures. Mr. Roame received an MBA from the University of Michigan and a BA from Michigan State University.

Mr. Roame's qualifications to serve on our Board of Directors are primarily based on his industry experience.

Yves Sisteron

Mr. Sisteron, age 58, has served as a director of our company since 2004. Mr. Sisteron has been a Managing Partner and Co-Founder of GRP Partners, a private investment firm, since 2000. Mr. Sisteron serves on the boards of GumGum, Kyriba Corp. and Qualys, Inc. Mr. Sisteron holds a JD and an LLM from the University of Law (Lyon) and an LLM degree from the New York University School of Law.

Mr. Sisteron has experience with a broad range of companies and industries acquired as a result of the review and analysis of investments by GRP Partners. The Board also benefits from Mr. Sisteron's experience serving on the boards of directors of other companies and his education in law.

The Committees of the Board of Directors

Our Board of Directors, or our Board, has established an Audit Committee, a Compensation Committee and a Nominating and Governance Committee.

The Audit Committee

The Audit Committee provides oversight of the integrity of our financial statements and financial reporting process, our compliance with legal and regulatory requirements, the system of internal controls, the audit process, the performance of our internal audit program and the performance, qualification and independence of the independent auditors.

The Audit Committee is composed entirely of directors who are independent of us and our management, as defined by the New York Stock Exchange, or NYSE, listing

standards.

Table of Contents

The Board has determined that each member of the Audit Committee satisfies the financial literacy requirements of the NYSE, and that Messrs. Chapin, Johnson and Hawn are each audit committee financial experts, as that term is defined under the rules of the SEC.

The members of the Audit Committee are Mr. Johnson (Chairman), Mr. Chapin and Mr. Hawn.

The Compensation Committee

The Compensation Committee has responsibility for evaluating the performance of our chief executive officer, or our CEO, and senior management and determining executive compensation in conjunction with the independent directors. The Compensation Committee also works with the Nominating and Governance Committee and the CEO on succession planning.

The Compensation Committee is composed entirely of directors who are independent of us and our management, as defined by the NYSE listing standards.

The members of the Compensation Committee are Mr. Sisteron (Chairman), Mr. Chapin, Mr. Koontz and Mr. Roame. Mr. Roame joined the Compensation Committee in February 2012.

The Nominating and Governance Committee

The responsibilities of the Nominating and Governance Committee include identifying individuals qualified to become Board members, recommending director nominees to the Board and developing and recommending corporate governance guidelines. The Nominating and Governance Committee also has responsibility to review and make recommendations to the full Board regarding director compensation. In addition to general corporate governance matters, the Nominating and Governance Committee assists the Board and the Board committees in their self-evaluations.

The Nominating and Governance Committee is composed entirely of directors who are independent of us and our management, as defined by the NYSE listing standards.

The members of the Nominating and Governance Committee are Mr. Hawn (Chairman), Mr. Johnson, Mr. Koontz and Mr. Roame. Mr. Roame joined the Committee in February 2012.

Compliance with Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act

Our executive officers and directors are subject to the reporting requirements of Section 16 of the Exchange Act. Except as disclosed in the next sentence, we believe that all of our executive officers and directors complied with all filing requirements imposed by Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act on a timely basis during fiscal year 2012. Mr. Sisteron filed a Form 4 late regarding the purchase of 15,000 shares of our common stock in February and March of 2012 and Mr. Roame filed a Form 4 late regarding the purchase of 2,075 shares of our common stock in August 2012.

Executive Officers

The information required by this item relating to our executive officers and other corporate officers is included under the caption "Executive Officers of the Registrant" in Item 1 of this report.

Table of Contents

Code of Ethics

We have adopted a code of ethics that applies to all of our employees, including our principal executive officer, our principal financial officer and our principal accounting officer. This code of ethics is posted on our Website. The Internet address for our Website is www.envestnet.com, and the code of ethics may be found from our main Web page by clicking first on Investor Information and then Corporate Governance, and then on Code of Business Conduct and Ethics.

We intend to disclose any amendment to, or waiver from, a provision of this code of ethics that are required to be publicly disclosed pursuant to the rules of the SEC or the New York Stock Exchange by posting such information to our Website, at the address and location specified above.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

We operate in a highly competitive environment and our executive compensation program is designed to attract and retain talented executives who can execute our strategy. The discussion below describes the material elements of the 2012 compensation program for our named executive officers and the manner in which compensation decisions were made.

Philosophy and Objectives

Our executive compensation philosophy, as established by our Compensation Committee, is designed to:

Attract and retain skilled executive officers;

Support our business strategy and objectives; and

Align the interests of our executive officers with those of our stockholders through a pay-for-performance philosophy.

We do not utilize formulas to determine compensation amounts and have established a set of guiding principles that have provided the foundation for all compensation programs for executive officers and all other employees. These guiding principles are as follows:

Pay for performance in such a way as to drive our business strategy and objectives and create shareholder value, consistent with an acceptable risk profile and through legal and ethical means.

The amount of overall total compensation should be attractive to executive officers, affordable for the company, proportional to the executive officer's contribution, and fair to shareholders and employees, while providing payouts that are clearly aligned with actual performance.

Avoid controversial pay practices.

Compensation should be transparent, understandable and effectively communicated to shareholders and employees.

We are committed to providing a comprehensive total rewards program to attract, retain, and reward highly qualified, diverse and productive employees. The total rewards program emphasizes alignment of employee efforts to support our corporate strategies. The components of the program include compensation, benefits, learning and development opportunities and recognition of employee performance. We strive to remain externally competitive in relevant labor markets while maintaining internal equity. The program also promotes fiscally responsible pay

Edgar Filing: ENVESTNET, INC. - Form 10-K

decisions, encourages efficient use of our resources and ensures compliance with applicable legal and contractual requirements.

To our employees, our compensation philosophy means fair pay based on their role in the company, a subjective determination of the market value of their job and their performance in that position. In addition, there is opportunity for additional rewards when we meet or exceed business objectives. Performance rewards provide employees with the opportunity to earn additional compensation beyond their base salary.

Table of Contents

Compensation for our executive officers consists of three primary elements. They receive a base salary which is paid in semi-monthly cash installments, they receive an annual incentive-based cash payment, which is typically paid in February of the subsequent year or in quarterly installments and they receive an annual grant of restricted stock and stock options. For details regarding why we pay each element and how the amounts are determined, see [Our 2012 Executive Compensation Program Base Salary](#) , [Our 2012 Executive Compensation Program Annual Incentive-Based Cash Compensation](#) , and [Our 2012 Executive Compensation Program Equity Awards](#) . Although these sections discuss our practices employed in 2012, generally we plan to continue these practices in future years.

We do not have a specific policy that governs the allocation of compensation between cash and non-cash compensation or between long-term or current compensation. The allocations are driven primarily through a desire to pay what we view as competitive compensation, as determined solely by us based on our review of broad-based third party surveys and other generally available information, which we have historically used to obtain a general understanding of market compensation practices.

Role of Compensation Committee and Management

The Compensation Committee consists of four independent non-employee members of our Board of Directors. The Compensation Committee reviews and, as it deems appropriate, recommends to the Board of Directors policies, practices and procedures relating to the compensation of officers and other managerial employees and the establishment and administration of employee benefit plans.

The Compensation Committee determines, and recommends to the Board of Directors for approval, the Chief Executive Officer's compensation without the participation of the Chief Executive Officer. The Compensation Committee is also responsible for reviewing the performance of the Chief Executive Officer. Our Chief Executive Officer is the only executive officer that has a role in determining the compensation of our named executive officers other than himself. The Chief Executive Officer will provide the Compensation Committee with recommendations, which the Compensation Committee has the discretion to approve or disapprove, for (a) changes to base salary, (b) distribution of incentive-based annual bonus and (c) restricted stock and stock option grants.

Competitive Market Review

In December of 2012, the Compensation Committee retained an independent third-party compensation specialist, McLagan, a subsidiary of Aon Corporation, to assist in identifying and facilitating any future changes to our compensation program, including the amounts of compensation paid to our senior management, including the named executive officers.

McLagan was engaged to analyze the pay levels of senior management, including those of our named executive officers. In particular, McLagan's engagement involved (a) defining the roles and responsibilities of senior management, (b) identifying comparable firms within the relevant competitive marketplace and (c) assessing the comparability of senior management roles and compensation from those firms to our senior management. The comparable firms included companies with similar size, number of employees or business model.

In 2010, the Compensation Committee had engaged McLagan to perform a similar review.

Our 2012 Executive Compensation Program

Our 2012 executive compensation program had three primary components: base salary, cash incentive compensation and equity awards.

Base Salary. Base salaries are intended to provide our executives with a degree of financial certainty and stability that does not depend on company performance. In determining the base salaries for our Chief Executive

Table of Contents

Officer and the other named executive officers, the Compensation Committee, at the beginning of each year, reviews the overall scope of each executive officer's responsibilities while taking into account the base salaries paid by companies with which we compete for talent. For 2012, base salary adjustments were based on a subjective determination of competitive market rates, individual performance, changes in job duties and responsibilities and our overall budget for base salary increases. We did not follow a specific formula or set of criteria in determining base salary adjustments in 2012.

Market data, such as the base salary of comparable jobs at comparable companies, were reviewed to provide guidance as to what constitutes competitive base salaries. In addition, individual performance of the executive's duties and responsibilities is also considered. If the executive has performed his or her duties above expectations, then an increase in the base salary may be justified. Similarly, if the executive is given different duties or responsibilities or if they have changed jobs within the company, then their base salary may be increased or decreased accordingly.

In all cases where base salaries may be changed, the overall compensation budget must be sufficient for such changes. In certain extreme cases, our financial results and performance may lead to reductions in base salaries as a cost cutting measure.

Annual Incentive-Based Cash Compensation. We maintain an annual incentive-based cash compensation program comprising two elements: the Annual Incentive Program, which is intended to reward executives and employees based on our revenue growth and profitability; and Incentive Compensation, which is intended to reward executives for gross sales.

Annual Incentive Program. Under the Annual Incentive Program, a predetermined percentage of the revenues and profits from the preceding year are distributed to employees, including our executive officers. At the beginning of each year, the Compensation Committee approves the calculation methodology, or formula, which will be used at the end of the year to determine the amount of the annual bonus distribution. The formula includes the distribution amount as a percentage of revenues and Adjusted EBITDA, as well as a stretch incentive target and a minimum threshold. The distribution percentage, as determined by the Compensation Committee, is generally derived by (a) investigating the annual compensation practices of comparable financial services firms and (b) considering the resulting aggregate incentive for management and employees to meet or exceed the firm's financial expectations.

In calculating the amount to be paid under the Annual Incentive Program, the Compensation Committee utilizes revenues and Adjusted EBITDA, which is prior to the payment of any amount paid pursuant to the Annual Incentive Program and certain extraordinary non-cash or non-recurring general and administrative expenses. The amounts paid for 2012 under the Annual Incentive Program were based on our financial performance during the 12-month period ending December 31, 2012. The Compensation Committee established a minimum threshold amount of revenue and Adjusted EBITDA, defined as described above, of \$121.8 million and \$19.4 million, respectively. Performance above either or both of the minimum threshold amounts would result in an aggregate annual bonus pool amount of 0.101% of total revenue of revenue equal to or in excess of the threshold amount plus 0.101% of total revenue for each incremental \$1.5 million of revenue between \$121.8 million and \$129.5 million plus 1.0% of the excess revenue above \$129.5 million plus 2.2% of the incremental revenue above \$144.7 million plus 3.85% of incremental revenue above \$152.3 million plus 1.0% of revenue above \$167.5 million and 3.1% of threshold Adjusted EBITDA if the threshold amount has been achieved plus 3.9% of the excess Adjusted EBITDA above the threshold amount. The Compensation Committee has final authority to exercise its discretion in setting compensation amounts or awards for the company as a whole and for individuals and is not bound by the formula or by recommendations of Mr. Bergman nor of any consultant. For the amount to be earned in 2012, the Compensation Committee agreed with management's recommendation and approved the amount to be paid under the Annual Incentive Program of \$1.8 million.

At the end of each year, an allocation of the Annual Incentive Program to each eligible employee, including executive officers, is made. The Chief Executive Officer (CEO) recommends to the Compensation

Table of Contents

Committee the distribution amounts for each executive officer, including himself, based on a subjective analysis of his or her performance. For the named executive officers, the CEO subjectively assesses their performance broadly with consideration given to four general categories: integrity, intelligence/business knowledge, qualitative considerations and effectiveness. No quantitative criteria are used. The CEO's analysis is based on his sole and absolute discretion when assessing performance. Similarly, the amounts he recommends for individual Annual Incentive Program recommendations are based on his sole and absolute discretion. The CEO presents his recommendations to the Compensation Committee for their consideration along with his individual Annual Incentive Program distribution recommendations. The Compensation Committee reviews and makes the final approval for annual bonus distributions for the named executive officers, including the CEO. For the year ending December 31, 2012, the Compensation Committee chose to accept the individual annual bonus distribution recommendations which it received from the CEO. Distributions of the Annual Incentive Program earned for a fiscal year are paid in the subsequent fiscal year, generally within the first two months.

Incentive Compensation. We maintain a compensation program with amounts paid to eligible employees as incentive compensation based on a target percentage of gross sales. The target percentage is revised annually. Incentive Compensation amounts are calculated quarterly and paid out in 20% installments over the subsequent five quarters. Incentive Compensation payments are not guaranteed. The recipient must still be employed at the time of payment. Incentive Compensation payments are allocated among sales and service personnel and sales management, and the allocation of the incentive compensation payments is approved at the discretion of Mr. Bergman and Mr. Crager. The amount of the Incentive Compensation payments may also be adjusted based on our overall performance. In 2012, approximately \$4.3 million in incentive compensation was earned by eligible employees, of which Mr. Crager received \$142,511. Mr. Crager is the only executive officer who receives Incentive Compensation. The amount of Mr. Crager's Incentive Compensation was based on our total gross sales and the CEO's subjective assessment of his leadership of the sales organization.

Equity Awards. We grant stock options and restricted stock awards to our current and newly hired executive officers to enable them to share in our success and to reinforce a corporate culture that aligns employee interests with the interests of our stockholders. All equity grants to date have been awarded under the Envestnet Asset Management Group, Inc. 2004 Stock Incentive Plan, or the Envestnet, Inc. 2010 Long-Term Incentive Plan (the *Equity Plans*). It has been our practice to annually grant equity awards to employees, including executives, in recognition of performance and as an incentive for retention, as well as to align their interests with the interests of our stockholders. The size of these grants is based on a number of factors, including our subjective analysis of competitive practices, individual performance as determined in the discretion of the Compensation Committee, changes in the scope of the individual's position, internal equity and retention potential. Ultimately, all amounts were determined in the sole and absolute discretion of the Compensation Committee based on its conclusions as to what was appropriate and necessary after consideration of these factors. The Compensation Committee does not use a formula nor does it have formal procedures regarding grants of stock options. Historically, vesting for equity award grants occurs annually on the anniversary of the grant date with one-third vesting on each of the first three anniversaries. In keeping with the annual practice of issuing equity grants in the first quarter of the company's fiscal year, the Compensation Committee authorized equity grants equal to 1.2% of our outstanding number of fully-diluted shares as of December 31, 2011. In total, equity grants with respect to 72,792 shares of common stock were made on February 29, 2012 to our executive officers. Consistent with our executive compensation philosophy and to better align the interests of our employees with those of our stockholders through pay-for-performance, each award was made half in shares of restricted common stock and half in stock options. In addition, the recipient had the option to alter the mix of the equity award by exchanging one share of restricted common stock for options to purchase three shares of common stock, or options to purchase three shares of common stock for one share of restricted common stock, subject to the limitation that in the aggregate no more than 67% of the equity award could consist of shares of restricted common stock or options to purchase common stock, as applicable.

Our CEO, with the help of his management team, recommended to the Compensation Committee individuals who should receive stock option awards and the size of each individual award. The individual distribution amounts that were recommended were based on the CEO's subjective review of each individual's

Table of Contents

performance within his or her role in our company since the previous year's grant, as well as a subjective determination of the competitive practices necessary to retain key employees. This distribution was submitted to the Compensation Committee for its consideration and approval. As noted above, the Compensation Committee has final authority to exercise its discretion in setting compensation amounts or awards and is not bound by the use of any formula or recommendations of the CEO nor of any consultant. In its discretion, the Compensation Committee approved the recommendations as submitted. The equity grants to our named executive officers on February 29, 2012 were as follows:

| Name | Number of Shares Underlying 2012 Equity Award |
|-----------------------|--|
| <i>Judson Bergman</i> | 12,951 |
| <i>William Crager</i> | 16,713 |
| <i>Peter D Arrigo</i> | 12,534 |
| <i>Scott Grinis</i> | 12,534 |
| <i>Brandon Thomas</i> | 10,836 |

Supplemental Benefits

We provide the following benefits to our executives on the same basis as provided to all of our employees:

Health, dental and vision insurance;

Life insurance;

Medical and dependent care flexible spending account;

Short- and long-term disability, accidental death and dismemberment;

A 401(k) plan, with company match; and

A college scholarship plan for employees' children.

We believe these benefits are consistent with companies with which we compete for talent. Other than certain parking privileges to certain of our executive officers, we provide no perquisites to any of our employees, including our named executive officers.

Recoupment of earned awards

We do not currently have a formal policy requiring a fixed course of action with respect to compensation adjustments following later restatements of financial results. Under those circumstances, the Board of Directors or the Compensation Committee would evaluate whether compensation adjustments were appropriate, or required under applicable law, based on the facts and circumstances relating to the restatement.

Regulatory limitations

Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code generally limits the deductibility for federal income tax purposes of compensation in excess of \$1 million to the chief executive officer or any of the next three most highly paid executive officers of a publicly held corporation (other than the chief financial officer). We expect that on an ongoing basis we will generally consider whether a form of compensation will be deductible under

Edgar Filing: ENVESTNET, INC. - Form 10-K

section 162(m) in determining executive compensation, though other factors will also be considered. However, we may authorize compensation payments that do not comply with the exemptions under section 162(m) when we believe that such payments are appropriate to attract and retain executive talent.

In light of the strong shareholder support on our say-on-pay proposal in 2011, we made no significant changes to the executive compensation program based on concerns or issues raised by our shareholders. We continue to desire feedback from our shareholders on our executive compensation program and will consider the views of our shareholders as we evaluate our compensation program in 2013.

Table of Contents**2012 Summary Compensation Table**

The following table contains compensation information for our Chief Executive Officer, our Chief Financial Officer, and the three other most highly compensated executive officers. We refer to these individuals as our named executive officers in other parts of this Form 10-K. The information included in this table reflects compensation paid to our named executive officers for services rendered to us.

| Name and Principal Position | Year | Salary (\$) | Bonus (\$) | Stock Awards (\$) | Option Awards (\$) | All Other Compensation (\$) | Total |
|---|------|-------------|------------|-------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|------------|
| <i>Judson Bergman</i> Chief Executive Officer | 2012 | \$ 400,000 | \$ 110,000 | \$ 62,250 | \$ 39,062 | \$ 5,000 | \$ 616,312 |
| | 2011 | 400,000 | 115,000 | | 52,336 | 4,900 | 572,236 |
| | 2010 | 391,667 | 160,000 | | 1,366,572 | 4,900 | 1,923,139 |
| <i>William Crager</i> President | 2012 | 334,583 | | 38,832 | 66,786 | 147,511 | 587,712 |
| | 2011 | 330,000 | 30,000 | | 130,840 | 144,190 | 635,030 |
| | 2010 | 327,500 | | | 596,058 | 211,531 | 1,135,089 |
| <i>Peter D. Arrigo</i> Chief Financial Officer | 2012 | 275,000 | 58,000 | 29,121 | 50,087 | 5,000 | 417,208 |
| | 2011 | 275,000 | 50,000 | | 52,336 | 4,900 | 382,236 |
| | 2010 | 275,000 | 65,000 | | 312,567 | 4,900 | 657,467 |
| <i>Scott Grinis</i> Chief Technology Officer | 2012 | 245,000 | 60,000 | 29,121 | 50,087 | 5,000 | 389,208 |
| | 2011 | 245,000 | 55,000 | | 52,336 | 4,900 | 357,236 |
| | 2010 | 243,333 | 65,000 | | 203,532 | 4,900 | 516,765 |
| <i>Brandon Thomas</i> Chief Investment Officer | 2012 | 240,000 | 54,000 | 39,703 | 37,547 | 5,000 | 376,250 |
| | 2011 | 240,000 | 50,000 | | 52,336 | 4,900 | 347,236 |
| | 2010 | 238,333 | 65,000 | | 225,339 | 4,900 | 533,572 |

- (1) Bonuses earned for a fiscal year are paid in the subsequent fiscal year, generally within the first two months (e.g., the bonuses earned for 2012 were paid in February 2013). The amounts disclosed in the Bonus column relate to amounts paid under our Annual Incentive Program.
- (2) Amounts disclosed in the Equity Awards column relate to grants of restricted stock and stock options in the identified year. With respect to each equity grant, the amounts disclosed reflect the full grant-date fair value in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board Accounting Standards Codification topic 718. Our assumptions with regard to determining the 2012 stock option values are set forth in note 1 to the 2012 Grants of Plan-Based Awards table.
- (3) For each person other than Mr. Crager, the amounts disclosed in the All Other Compensation column reflect matching contributions to the executive's 401(k) account. For Mr. Crager, the amounts disclosed reflect \$142,511 earned as incentive compensation in 2012, \$139,290 earned as incentive compensation in 2011, \$191,638 earned as incentive compensation in 2010 and matching contributions to his 401(k) account of \$5,000 in 2012 and \$4,900 in 2011 and 2010.

Table of Contents**2012 Grants of Plan-Based Awards**

The following table contains information concerning grants of plan-based awards made in 2012 to our named executive officers.

| Name | Grant Date (1) | All Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares of Stock or Units (#) | All Other Option Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Options (#) | Exercise or Base Price of Option Awards (\$/Sh) | Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|---|---|--|---|
| <i>Judson Bergman</i> | 2/29/2012 | 5,000 | 7,951 | \$ 12.45 | \$ 101,312 |
| <i>William Crager</i> | 2/29/2012 | 3,119 | 13,594 | 12.45 | 105,618 |
| <i>Peter D Arrigo</i> | 2/29/2012 | 2,339 | 10,195 | 12.45 | 79,208 |
| <i>Scott Grinis</i> | 2/29/2012 | 2,339 | 10,195 | 12.45 | 79,208 |
| <i>Brandon Thomas</i> | 2/29/2012 | 3,189 | 7,647 | 12.45 | 77,272 |

- (1) All restricted stock awards and stock option grants were approved by the Compensation Committee and the Board of Directors on February 8, 2012. The grants were made on February 29, 2012.
- (2) The fair value of stock options granted was determined using the Black-Scholes model as of the grant date. The model assumes: (i) the stock option would be exercised 6 years after grant date, (ii) expected stock price volatility of 39.76%, (iii) a risk-free yield equal to 1.13% US Treasury STRIPS, and (iv) our dividend yield (0%) would remain constant from grant date to exercise date.

Narrative to 2012 Summary Compensation Table and 2012 Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table

See Compensation Discussion and Analysis above for a complete description of compensation plans pursuant to which the amounts listed under the 2012 Summary Compensation Table and 2012 Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table were paid or awarded, and the criteria on which such payments were based. The Compensation Discussion and Analysis also describes certain grants of stock options to our named executive officers.

Table of Contents**2012 Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End**

The following table lists all outstanding equity awards held by our named executive officers (NEO) as of December 31, 2012:

| Name | Option Awards (1) | | | | | Stock Awards (2) | | Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested (\$) |
|-----------------------|---|---|---|----------------------------|------------------------|---|--|--|
| | Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable | Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Unexercisable | Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) | Option Exercise Price (\$) | Option Expiration Date | Number of Shares or Units of Stock that have not Vested (#) | Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Yet Vested (\$) | |
| <i>Judson Bergman</i> | 170,000 | | | \$ 5.00 | 11/14/2015 | | | |
| | 170,000 | | | 7.50 | 11/14/2015 | | | |
| | 200,000 | | | 7.50 | 4/26/2017 | | | |
| | 36,000 | | | 7.50 | 4/30/2018 | | | |
| | 15,000 | | | 7.15 | 5/15/2019 | | | |
| | 188,000 | 188,000 | | 9.00 | 7/28/2020 | | | |
| | 3,333 | 6,667 | | 12.55 | 2/28/2021 | | | |
| | | 7,951 | | 12.45 | 2/28/2022 | 5,000 | 69,750 | |
| <i>William Crager</i> | 80,000 | | | 5.00 | 11/14/2015 | | | |
| | 80,000 | | | 7.50 | 11/14/2015 | | | |
| | 20,000 | | | 1.08 | 4/26/2017 | | | |
| | 80,000 | | | 7.50 | 4/26/2017 | | | |
| | 2,806 | | | 0.11 | 4/26/2017 | | | |
| | 14,000 | | | 7.50 | 4/30/2018 | | | |
| | 12,000 | | | 7.15 | 5/15/2019 | | | |
| | 82,000 | 82,000 | | 9.00 | 7/28/2020 | | | |
| 8,333 | 16,667 | | 12.55 | 2/28/2021 | | | | |
| | 13,594 | | 12.45 | 2/28/2022 | 3,119 | 43,510 | | |
| <i>Peter D Arrigo</i> | 220,000 | | | 7.50 | 6/16/2018 | | | |
| | 6,000 | | | 7.15 | 5/15/2019 | | | |
| | 43,000 | 43,000 | | 9.00 | 7/28/2020 | | | |
| | 3,333 | 6,667 | | 12.55 | 2/28/2021 | | | |
| | | 10,195 | | 12.45 | 2/28/2022 | 2,339 | 32,629 | |
| <i>Scott Grinis</i> | 12,000 | | | 7.50 | 4/26/2017 | | | |
| | 9,000 | | | 7.50 | 4/30/2018 | | | |
| | 6,000 | | | 7.15 | 5/15/2019 | | | |
| | 28,000 | 28,000 | | 9.00 | 7/28/2020 | | | |
| | 3,333 | 6,667 | | 12.55 | 2/28/2021 | | | |
| | | 10,195 | | 12.45 | 2/28/2022 | 2,339 | 32,629 | |
| <i>Brandon Thomas</i> | 32,500 | | | 5.00 | 11/14/2015 | | | |
| | 32,500 | | | 7.50 | 11/14/2015 | | | |
| | 12,000 | | | 7.50 | 4/26/2017 | | | |
| | 9,000 | | | 7.50 | 4/30/2018 | | | |
| | 6,000 | | | 7.15 | 5/15/2019 | | | |
| | 31,000 | 31,000 | | 9.00 | 7/28/2020 | | | |
| | 3,333 | 6,667 | | 12.55 | 2/28/2021 | | | |

Edgar Filing: ENVESTNET, INC. - Form 10-K

| | | | | |
|-------|-------|-----------|-------|--------|
| 7,647 | 12.45 | 2/28/2022 | 3,189 | 44,487 |
|-------|-------|-----------|-------|--------|

- (1) Except as otherwise noted, vesting for stock option grants that expire on November 14, 2015, April 26, 2017 and June 16, 2018 occurs annually on the anniversary of the grant date where one fourth vests on the grant date, and one fourth vests on the anniversary of the grant date for the next three years. Vesting for stock option grants that expire on April 30, 2018, May 15, 2019, February 28, 2021 and February 28, 2022 occurs annually on the anniversary of the grant date where one third vests on the first anniversary, one third vests on the second anniversary and the remainder vests on the third anniversary. The 20,000 share grant to William Crager, which expires on April 26, 2017, vested immediately. Vesting for stock option grants that expire on July 28, 2020 occurs annually on the anniversary of the grant date where one fourth vests each of the first four anniversaries.

Table of Contents

2012 Option Exercises and Stock Vested

There were no options exercised or restricted stock that vested with respect to any of our NEOs for the calendar year ending December 31, 2012.

Nonqualified Deferred Compensation

We do not currently have a nonqualified deferred compensation plan. However, we may consider implementing such a plan in the future.

Potential Payments Upon Termination of Change of Control

None of our named executive officers has an employment agreement or change of control agreement.

Equity Incentive Plans. We currently maintain equity-based incentive plans the 2004 Stock Incentive Plan, the 2010 Long-Term Incentive Plan and the Envestnet, Inc. Management Incentive Plan for Envestnet | Tamarac Management Employees. No new awards are being made under the 2004 Stock Incentive Plan. We established the 2010 Long-Term Incentive Plan to (i) attract and retain key employees and other persons providing services to us and our related companies; (ii) motivate plan participants by means of appropriate incentive to achieve long-range goals; (iii) provide incentive compensation opportunities that are competitive with those of other similar corporations; and (iv) further align plan participants' interests with those of our stockholders. Under the 2010 Long-Term Incentive Plan, we may issue stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, restricted stock units and other full value awards, as well as cash incentive awards.

Change in Control. In the event that (a) a participant's employment or service, as applicable, is terminated by us, our successor or one of our related companies that is the participant's employer for reasons other than cause (as defined in the 2010 Long-Term Incentive Plan) within 24 months following a change in control (as defined in the 2010 Long-Term Incentive Plan) or (b) the 2010 Long-Term Incentive Plan is terminated by us or our successor following a change in control without provision for the continuation of outstanding awards under the 2010 Long-Term Incentive Plan, all stock options and related awards which have not otherwise expired will become immediately exercisable and all other awards will become fully vested.

Under our equity compensation plans, outstanding and unvested stock options will become fully vested and exercisable, and outstanding and unvested restricted stock will become fully vested and be distributed upon a participant's death, disability, retirement, or involuntary termination. In addition, the awards will vest upon a change-in-control irrespective of a termination of employment. In the event of a change-in-control where the company ceases to have publicly traded equity securities, after the consummation of the change-in-control, if no replacement awards are issued in lieu of outstanding awards under the equity plans, then the plans and all outstanding awards granted under the plans will terminate, and the company (or successor) will pay the participants an amount for their outstanding awards determined using the change in control price. These provisions apply to all employees who participate in the company's equity plans. The outstanding equity awards held by the NEO as of December 31, 2012 are described above under 2012 Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End.

We have estimated the amount of incremental compensation for each of Messrs. Bergman, Crager, D Arrigo, Grinis and Thomas due to accelerated vesting of outstanding restricted stock awards upon termination of the officer's employment in the event of the officer's death, disability, retirement or involuntary termination, or upon a change in control irrespective of a termination of employment, as follows: Mr. Bergman, \$951,860; Mr. Crager, \$449,625; Mr. D Arrigo \$237,476; Mr. Grinis \$163,226; and Mr. Thomas, \$174,254. These amounts assume that the termination of employment or change in control was effective as of December 31, 2012 and that the price of Common Stock on which the calculations are made was the closing price of \$20.23 on that date. We have estimated the value for the acceleration of stock options as of December 31, 2012 for each NEO as follows: Mr. Bergman, \$69,750; Mr. Crager, \$43,510; Mr. D Arrigo \$32,629; Mr. Grinis \$32,629; and Mr. Thomas, \$44,487. The amounts shown above are estimates of the incremental compensation these officers would receive upon such terminations or a change in control. The actual amounts to be received can only be determined at the time of the officer's termination of employment or at the time of a change in control.

Table of Contents

Transferability. Awards under the 2010 Long-Term Incentive Plan are not transferable except as designated by the participant by will or by laws of descent and distribution or, to the extent provided by the Compensation Committee, pursuant to a qualified domestic relations order or to or for the benefit of the participant's family (including, without limitation, to a trust or partnership for the benefit of a participant's family).

Withholding. All awards and other payments under the 2010 Long-Term Incentive Plan are subject to withholding of all applicable taxes. With the consent of the Compensation Committee, withholding obligations may be satisfied with previously-owned shares of common stock or shares of common stock to which the participant is otherwise entitled under the 2010 Long-Term Incentive Plan. Such shares may only be used to satisfy minimum withholding requirements.

Amendment and Termination. The Board may, at any time, amend or terminate the 2010 Long-Term Incentive Plan, and the Board or the Compensation Committee may amend any award, provided that no amendment or termination may adversely affect the rights of any participant without the participant's written consent. Adjustments to the 2010 Long-Term Incentive Plan and awards on account of business transactions (as described above) are not subject to the foregoing prohibition. The provisions of the 2010 Long-Term Incentive Plan that prohibit repricing of stock options and stock appreciation rights cannot be amended unless the amendment is approved by our stockholders. The 2010 Long-Term Incentive Plan also permits the Board to amend the 2010 Long-Term Incentive Plan and any awards that are subject to Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code (relating to nonqualified deferred compensation) as it deems necessary to conform to Section 409A.

Director Compensation

Until July 30, 2012 each non-employee director received an annual retainer of \$30,000 with an additional meeting attendance stipend of \$2,000 for each board meeting attended in person, including all coinciding committee meetings. The chairperson of our Audit Committee received an additional annual retainer of \$15,000. The chairpersons of our other committees received an additional annual retainer of \$10,000. The lead independent director received an additional annual retainer of \$15,000. All non-chairperson committee members received an additional annual retainer of \$5,000 for each committee on which they serve. Directors received one-half of such amounts in cash and could receive one-half in either restricted stock or in options to acquire shares of our common stock at the discretion of the company.

Effective July 31, 2012, the non-employee director compensation policy was amended so that each non-employee director receives an annual retainer of \$60,000 with an additional meeting attendance stipend of \$5,000 for each board meeting attended in person, including all coinciding committee meetings. The chairperson of our Audit Committee receives an additional annual retainer of \$15,000. The chairpersons of our other committees receive an additional annual retainer of \$10,000. The lead independent director receives an additional annual retainer of \$15,000. All non-chairperson committee members receive an additional annual retainer of \$5,000 for each committee on which they serve. Directors receive 33% of such amounts in cash and may receive 67% in either restricted stock or in options to acquire shares of our common stock at the discretion of the company.

Table of Contents

We also reimburse all of our directors for their reasonable expenses incurred in attending meetings of our Board of Directors or committees. Equity grants to our non-employee directors vest monthly over a four-year period, except that the shares that would otherwise vest over the first 12 months do not vest until the first anniversary of the grant. All equity grants to our non-employee directors are made pursuant to our 2010 Long-Term Incentive Plan. See Compensation Discussion and Analysis 2010 Long-Term Incentive Plan .

The following table sets forth our 2012 independent director compensation:

Director Compensation

| Name | Fees Earned or Paid in | | Option Awards (\$)(*) | Total (\$) |
|---------------|------------------------------|--|-----------------------------|---------------|
| | Cash (\$) | | | |
| Ross Chapin | 30,150 | | 26,035 | 56,185 |
| Gates Hawn | 33,225 | | 29,538 | 62,763 |
| James Johnson | 35,300 | | 32,044 | 67,344 |
| Paul Koontz | 31,350 | | 26,533 | 57,883 |
| Charles Roame | 31,150 | | 11,515 | 42,665 |
| Yves Sisteron | 34,725 | | 32,044 | 66,769 |

* Option awards were granted on February 28, 2012, in connection with 2011 service.

As of December 31, 2012, the following option awards were outstanding for each director in connection with option awards granted on February 29, 2012, with an exercise price of \$12.45 for 2011 service:

| | |
|---------------|---------------|
| Ross Chapin | 5,277 options |
| Gates Hawn | 5,987 options |
| James Johnson | 6,495 options |
| Paul Koontz | 5,378 options |
| Charles Roame | 2,344 options |
| Yves Sisteron | 6,495 options |

Compensation Committee Report

The Compensation Committee has reviewed and discussed the above Compensation Discussion and Analysis with management and, based on such review and discussion, the Compensation Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in the company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

The foregoing report has been approved by the following members of the Compensation Committee.

Yves Sisteron, Chairman

Ross Chapin

Paul Koontz

Charles Roame

The section captioned Compensation Committee Report on Compensation Discussion and Analysis is hereby furnished and not filed with this annual report on Form 10-K.

Table of Contents**Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters**

The following table sets forth information, as of May 31, 2013, regarding the beneficial ownership of our common stock by our directors and named executive officers and by our directors and executive officers as a group. Unless otherwise indicated, the named individual has sole voting and investment power over the common stock under the column Common Stock Beneficially Owned.

| | Shares Held | Options Exercisable within 60 Days (1) | Unvested Restricted Common Stock | Total Beneficial Ownership | Beneficial Ownership Percentages |
|---|----------------|---|---|----------------------------------|--|
| Jud Bergman (2) | 644,746 | 822,317 | 6,969 | 1,534,032 | 4.6% |
| Ross Chapin | 78,816 | 3,475 | | 78,351 | * |
| Gates Hawn | 128,000 | 3,870 | | 131,870 | * |
| Jim Johnson (3) | 1,117,381 | 4,193 | | 1,121,574 | 3.5% |
| Paul Koontz (4) | 1,450,457 | 3,511 | | 1,453,968 | 4.5% |
| Charles Roame | 2,075 | 827 | | 2,902 | * |
| Yves Sisteron (5) | 2,269,313 | 4,108 | | 2,273,421 | 7.0% |
| Bill Crager (6) | 149,935 | 433,004 | 7,720 | 549,659 | 1.8% |
| Pete D Arrigo | 491 | 300,565 | 4,679 | 305,735 | * |
| Scott Grinis | 245,138 | 79,065 | 4,679 | 328,882 | 1.0% |
| Brandon Thomas (7) | 241,184 | 147,716 | 5,246 | 394,146 | 1.2% |
| All Directors and Executive Officers as a Group | 6,324,038 | 1,991,920 | 33,510 | 8,345,251 | 24.2% |

* Denotes beneficial ownership of less than one percent.

(1) Includes options vested and exercisable within 60 days of May 31, 2013.

(2) Includes 200,000 shares held as security in a margin account

(3) Includes 1,774 shares held by Mr. Johnson and 1,115,607 shares held by various funds managed by Apex Venture Partners. As a result of positions held with these entities, Mr. Johnson may be deemed to share voting and dispositive power over the securities held by these funds. Mr. Johnson disclaims beneficial ownership of the reported securities except to the extent of his pecuniary interests therein.

(4) Includes 9,610 shares held by Paul Koontz 2010 Annuity Trust, 9,610 shares held by Andrea Koontz 2010 Annuity Trust and 1,431,237 shares held by entities affiliated with Foundation Capital.

(5) Includes 15,000 shares held by Mr. Sisteron individually and 2,254,313 held by entities affiliated with GRP Partners. *See footnote (1) under the following table.*

(6) Includes 100 shares indirectly held by Mr. Crager's wife.

(7) Includes 13,938 shares held by a trust in which Mr. Thomas is the trustee.

Table of Contents

The following table shows all persons we know to be direct or indirect owners of more than 5% of our common stock as of the close of business on May 31, 2013, unless otherwise indicated. Our information is based on reports filed with the SEC by each of the firms listed in the table below. You may obtain these reports from the SEC.

| Name and Address of Beneficial Owner | Number of Shares Beneficially Owned | Percent of Class |
|--|--|---------------------|
| Entities associated with GRP Partners (1) 2121 Avenue of the Stars Suite 1630 Los Angeles, CA 90067 | 6,801,017 | 21.0% |
| Janus Capital Management LLC (2) 151 Detroit Street Denver, CO 80206 | 1,997,187 | 6.2% |
| William Blair & Company LLC (3) 222 W. Adams Street Chicago, IL 60606 | 1,777,244 | 5.5% |

- (1) Based on a Schedule 13G filed by GRP II Investors, L.P. (GRP II Investors), GRP II Partners, L.P. (GRP II Partners), GRP Management Services Corp. (GRPMS), GRPVC, L.P. (GRPVC), and AOS Partners, L.P. (AOS) on February 15, 2012, reporting the amount of securities beneficially owned as of December 31, 2011. Hique, Inc. is the general partner of AOS. GRPVC is the general partner of GRP II Partners. GRPMS is the general partner of each of GRPVC and GRP II Investors. Steven Dietz, Brian McLoughlin and Mark Suster are members of the investment committee of AOS. Yves Sisteron, one of our directors, together with Steven Dietz and Brian McLoughlin, is an officer of GRPMS. Mr. Sisteron, together with Steven Dietz, Brian McLoughlin and Mark Suster, is a member of the investment committee of GRP II Partners. Pursuant to contractual arrangements, GRP II Investors has granted GRPMS the authority to vote and dispose of the shares held by it in the same manner as the investment committee votes or disposes of the shares held by GRP II Partners. While Mr. Sisteron may be deemed to possess indirect beneficial ownership of the shares owned by GRPVC, GRP II Partners and GRP II Investors, he does not have sole voting or investment power with respect to such shares and, as a result, disclaims beneficial ownership of any and all such shares.
- (2) Based on a Schedule 13F filed by Janus Capital Management LLC on May 15, 2013, reporting the amount of securities beneficially owned as of March 31, 2013. Janus has sole voting power over 1,770,210 shares and shared dispositive power over 226,977 shares.
- (3) Based on a Schedule 13F filed by William Blair & Company, LLC on May 8, 2013, reporting the amount of securities beneficially owned as of March 31, 2013. William Blair has sole voting power over 1,567,144 shares and shared dispositive power over 210,100 shares.
- For a description of securities authorized under our equity compensation plans, see note 13 to the notes to consolidated financial statements in Part II, Item 8.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence*Registration Rights*

On March 22, 2004, we entered into a registration rights agreement with certain holders of our common stock, or the registration rights agreement, pursuant to which these holders of our common stock are entitled to demand registration rights, Form S-3 registration rights and piggyback registration rights with respect to the registration of their shares of our common stock under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Act. We refer to shares of our common stock that are subject to the registration rights agreement as registrable securities.

Table of Contents

In connection with our initial public offering, The EnvestNet Group, Inc., Envestnet's 41% shareholder prior to the IPO (the Envestnet Shareholder), merged with and into Envestnet, with Envestnet being the surviving entity. Upon consummation of the merger of the Envestnet Shareholder with and into Envestnet, certain stockholders of the Envestnet Shareholder are entitled to become party to the registration rights agreement and to receive each of the registration rights described below.

Demand Registration Rights. The holders of registrable securities have rights, at their request, to have their shares registered for resale under the Securities Act. Holders of at least 50% of registrable securities may demand the registration of their shares on up to two occasions within any 12-month period if the gross proceeds from the registration of their shares would exceed \$15,000,000.

Registration on Form S-3. In addition to the demand registration rights discussed above, holders of at least 20% of the registrable securities may require that we register their shares of our common stock for public resale on Form S-3 or similar short-form registration statement if the gross proceeds from the registration of their shares of our common stock would exceed \$5,000,000 and our company is eligible to use Form S-3.

Piggyback Registration Rights. The holders of approximately 13.3 million shares of registrable securities have rights to have their shares of our common stock registered for resale under the Securities Act if we register any of our securities, either for our own account or for the account of other stockholders, subject to the right of the underwriters involved in any such transaction to limit the number of shares of our common stock included in an underwritten offering.

The following Related Parties are currently party to the registration rights agreement: AOS Partners, L.P., GRP II Investors, L.P., GRP II Partners, L.P., GRPVC, L.P., Apex Investment Fund IV, L.P., Apex Investment Fund V, L.P., Apex Strategic Partners IV, LLC, Foundation Capital III Principals, LLC, Foundation Capital III, L.P., Foundation Capital Leadership Principals Fund, LLC, Foundation Capital Leadership Fund, L.P., Judson Bergman (our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer and one of our directors), William Crager (our President), Scott Grinis (our Chief Technology Officer), Brandon Thomas (our Chief Investment Officer), and James Johnson, one of our directors. Holders of our registrable securities are entitled to the registration rights described above. Collectively, these Related Parties hold approximately 10.8 million shares covered by the registration rights agreement as of May 31, 2013.

Indemnification of Directors and Executive Officers

On July 28, 2010, and upon the election of Mr. Roame as a director in August 2011, we entered into agreements to indemnify our directors and certain of our officers in addition to the right to indemnification provided to such persons in our certificate of incorporation and by-laws. These agreements will, among other things, require us to indemnify these individuals to the fullest extent permitted under Delaware law, including for certain expenses (including attorneys' fees), judgments, fines and settlement amounts incurred by such person in any action or proceeding, including any action by or in our right, on account of services by any such person as a director or officer of our company or as a director or officer of any of our subsidiaries, or as a director or officer of any other company or enterprise if any such person serves in such capacity at our request. We also intend to enter into indemnification agreements with our future directors and executive officers.

Director Independence

In March 2013, our Board determined that the following directors are independent under the listing standards of the NYSE: Ross Chapin, Gates Hawn, James Johnson, Paul Koontz, Charles Roame and Yves Sisteron. These independent directors constitute substantially more than a majority of the Board of Directors. In making its determination of independence, the Board applied the categorical standards for director independence set forth in the NYSE's rules and determined that no other material relationships existed between us and these directors. The Board also considered the other directorships held by the independent directors and determined that none of these directorships constituted a material relationship with us.

Table of Contents**Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services**

On February 14, 2013, the Audit Committee engaged KPMG as our independent accountant for our fiscal year ended December 31, 2012 and to re-audit our financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2011. On February 14, 2013, we disclosed that the Audit Committee dismissed our previous auditor, McGladrey, from its engagement as our independent auditors effective February 13, 2013. McGladrey's dismissal followed a determination that certain services (the "Services") that McGladrey had provided in prior years to us may be inconsistent with the SEC's rules on auditor independence. On April 2, 2013, the Audit Committee engaged KPMG to re-audit our financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2010.

During the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 and through February 14, 2013, we had not consulted with KPMG regarding either:

(i) the application of accounting principles to any specified transaction, either completed or proposed, or the type of audit opinion that might be rendered on our financial statements, and neither a written report was provided to us nor oral advice was provided that KPMG concluded was an important factor considered by us in reaching a decision as to the accounting, auditing or financial reporting issue; or

(ii) any matter that was either the subject of a disagreement (as defined in paragraph (a)(1)(iv) of Item 304 of the SEC's Regulation S-K and the related instructions thereto) or a reportable event (as described in paragraph (a)(1)(v) of Item 304 of the SEC's Regulation S-K).

Typically, the appointment of independent auditors is approved annually by the Audit Committee and ratified by our stockholders. The Audit Committee reviews both the audit scope and estimated fees for professional services for the coming year. The Audit Committee has appointed, subject to ratification by our stockholders, KPMG as our independent auditor for the year ending December 31, 2013.

Independent Auditor Fee Information

The following table sets forth the approximate aggregate fees for professional services rendered by KPMG for the audit of our consolidated financial statements for 2012 and for the re-audit of 2011, as well as other fees paid to KPMG in 2011 and 2012 before they were appointed as our auditors.

| | 2011 | 2012 |
|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Audit fees (1) | \$ 485,000 | \$ 590,000 |
| Audit-related fees | - | - |
| Tax fees (2) | - | 4,000 |
| All other fees (3) | 36,000 | 73,000 |
| Total | \$ 521,000 | \$ 667,000 |

(1) Audit fees, related to the years ended December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2012 in connection with:

the audits of our consolidated financial statements for 2011 and 2012;

the audit of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012;

reviews of quarterly consolidated financial statements; and

the statutory audit of one of our subsidiaries for 2012.

- (2) Tax fees for assistance with transfer pricing issues related to foreign income taxes.

- (3) All other fees include a review of the compliance program of the Company, including a review of our compliance policies, procedures and related controls to comply with Rule 206(4)-7 under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940.

Table of Contents

Pre-Approval Policy of Audit and Non-Audit Services

The Audit Committee pre-approved the audit services associated with the fees described above. The tax fees and all other fees described above were incurred by the Company prior to the engagement of KPMG as the Company's independent auditor.

The Audit Committee has adopted policies and procedures for the pre-approval of all audit and permissible non-audit services provided by our independent auditor. The Audit Committee provides a general pre-approval of certain audit and non-audit services on an annual basis. The types of services that may be covered by a general pre-approval include other audit services, audit-related services and permissible non-audit services. If a type of service is not covered by the Audit Committee's general pre-approval, the Audit Committee must review the service on a specific case by case basis and pre-approve it if such service is to be provided by the independent auditor. Annual audit services engagement terms and fees require specific pre-approval of the Audit Committee. Any proposed services exceeding the pre-approved fees also require specific pre-approval by the Audit Committee. For both types of pre-approval, the Audit Committee will consider whether such services are consistent with the SEC's rules on auditor independence. The Audit Committee may delegate either type of pre-approval authority to one or more of its members.

Table of Contents

Part IV

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules

| | Page Number in Form 10-K |
|--|-----------------------------|
| (a)(1) Consolidated Financial Statements | |
| <u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u> | 61 |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2012 and 2011</u> | 62 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for each of the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010</u> | 63 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for each of the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010</u> | 64 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for each of the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010</u> | 65 |
| <u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | 66 |
| (a)(2) Evaluation and Qualifying Accounts | |
| Financial statements and schedules are omitted for the reason that they are not applicable, are not required, or the information is included in the financial statements or the related footnotes. | |

Table of Contents**INDEX TO EXHIBITS**

| Exhibit No. | Description |
|--------------------|---|
| 3.1 | Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Envestnet, Inc. (filed as Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended (File No. 333-165717), filed with the SEC on July 1, 2010 and incorporated by reference herein). |
| 3.2 | Amended and Restated Bylaws of Envestnet, Inc. (filed as Exhibit 3.2 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended (File No. 333-165717), filed with the SEC on July 1, 2010 and incorporated by reference herein). |
| 4.1 | Registration Rights Agreement dated as of March 22, 2004 (filed as Exhibit 4.2 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended (File No. 333-165717), filed with the SEC on March 26, 2010 and incorporated by reference herein). |
| 4.2 | First Amendment to Registration Rights Agreement dated as of August 30, 2004 (filed as Exhibit 4.3 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended (File No. 333-165717), filed with the SEC on March 26, 2010 and incorporated by reference herein). |
| 4.3 | Second Amendment to Registration Rights Agreement effective as of March 24, 2005 (filed as Exhibit 4.4 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended (File No. 333-165717), filed with the SEC on March 26, 2010 and incorporated by reference herein). |
| 4.4 | Joinder Agreements to Registration Rights Agreement (filed as Exhibit 4.5 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended (File No. 333-165717), filed with the SEC on March 26, 2010 and incorporated by reference herein). |
| 10.1 | Technology and Services Agreement dated as of March 31, 2008, between Registrant and FMR LLC (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended (File No. 333-165717), filed with the SEC on May 6, 2010 and incorporated by reference herein). |
| 10.2 | First Amendment to Technology and Services Agreement dated June 26, 2008 (filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended (File No. 333-165717), filed with the SEC on May 6, 2010 and incorporated by reference herein). |
| 10.3 | Second Amendment to Technology and Services Agreement dated May 5, 2009 (filed as Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended (File No. 333-165717), filed with the SEC on May 6, 2010 and incorporated by reference herein). |
| 10.4 | Third Amendment to Technology and Services Agreement dated November 16, 2009 (filed as Exhibit 10.4 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended (File No. 333-165717), filed with the SEC on May 6, 2010 and incorporated by reference herein). |
| 10.5 | Services Agreement dated December 28, 2005 between Registrant and Fidelity Brokerage Services LLC (filed as Exhibit 10.5 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended (File No. 333-165717), filed with the SEC on May 6, 2010 and incorporated by reference herein). |
| 10.6 | Services Agreement effective March 24, 2005 between Registrant and National Financial Services LLC (filed as Exhibit 10.6 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended (File No. 333-165717), filed with the SEC on May 6, 2010 and incorporated by reference herein). |
| 10.7 | Services Agreement Amendment dated effective March 2008 (filed as Exhibit 10.7 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended (File No. 333-165717), filed with the SEC on May 6, 2010 and incorporated by reference herein). |
| 10.10 | 2010 Long-Term Incentive Plan (filed as Exhibit 10.10 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended (File No. 333-165717), filed with the SEC on July 1, 2010 and incorporated by reference herein).* |
| 10.11 | 2004 Stock Incentive Plan (filed as Exhibit 10.11 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended (File No. 333-165717), filed with the SEC on July 1, 2010 and incorporated by reference herein).* |
| 10.12 | Form of Equity Award, filed as Exhibit 10.12 to the Company's 2010 Form 10-K, (filed with the SEC on March 18, 2011 and incorporated by reference herein).* |
| 10.13 | Fourth Amendment to Technology Services Agreement, dated as of December 31, 2011, between Envestnet, Inc. and FMR LLC (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 8-K filed with the SEC on January 6, 2012 and incorporated by reference herein.). |
| 10.14 | Amendment to Services Agreement effective December 31, 2011, between Envestnet Asset Management, Inc. and Fidelity (filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Form 8-K filed with the SEC on January 6, 2012 and incorporated by reference herein.). |
| 10.15 | Third Amendment to Services Agreement effective December 31, 2011, between Envestnet Asset Management, Inc. and National Financial Services LLC. (filed as Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Form 8-K filed with the SEC on January 6, 2012 and incorporated by reference herein.). |
| 10.16 | Stock Purchase Agreement by and among The Sellers of Prima Capital Holding, Inc. Named Herein and Envestnet, Inc. dated as of February 9, 2012, (filed as Exhibit 10.16 to the Company's 10-K filed with the SEC on March 9, 2012 and incorporated by reference herein.). |
| 10.17 | Merger Agreement by and among Tamarac Inc., Envestnet, Inc. and Titan Merger Corp and KLJ Consulting, LLC (as the Shareholder's Representative) dated as of February 16, 2012 (filed as Exhibit 10.17 to the Company's 10-K filed with the SEC on March 9, 2012 and incorporated by reference herein.). |
| 10.18 | Envestnet, Inc. Management Incentive Plan for Envestnet Tamarac Management Employees (filed as Exhibit 4.3 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-8 (filed with the SEC on May 1, 2012 and incorporated by reference herein).)* |
| 10.19 | First Amendment to Envestnet, Inc. Management Incentive Plan for Envestnet Tamarac Management Employees (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 8-K filed with the SEC on April 17, 2013 and incorporated by reference herein).* |

Table of Contents

| Exhibit No. | Description |
|---------------------|--|
| 10.20 | Second Amendment to Investnet, Inc. Management Incentive Plan for Investnet Tamarac Management Employees (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 8-K filed with the SEC on May 13, 2013 and incorporated by reference herein.)* |
| 10.21 | Purchase and Sale Agreement between Prudential Investments LLC and Investnet, Inc. dated as of April 11, 2013, filed herewith. ** |
| 16.1 | Letter re Change in Certifying Accountant dated February 27, 2013 (filed as Exhibit 16.1 to the Company's 8-K filed with the SEC on March 1, 2013 and incorporated by reference herein.) |
| 16.2 | Letter re Change in Certifying Accountant dated March 4, 2013 (filed as Exhibit 16.1 to the Company's 8-K filed with the SEC on March 5, 2013 and incorporated by reference herein.) |
| 16.2 | Letter re Change in Certifying Accountant dated April 4, 2013 (filed as Exhibit 16.1 to the Company's 8-K filed with the SEC on April 5, 2013 and incorporated by reference herein.) |
| 21.1 | Subsidiaries of the Company, filed herewith. |
| 23.1 | Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm, filed herewith. |
| 31.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 31.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32.1 ⁽¹⁾ | Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32.2 ⁽¹⁾ | Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 101.INS | XBRL Instance Document *** |
| 101.SCH | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document *** |
| 101.CAL | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document *** |
| 101.LAB | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document *** |
| 101.PRE | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document *** |
| 101.DEF | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document *** |

⁽¹⁾ The material contained in Exhibit 32.1 and 32.2 is not deemed filed with the SEC and is not to be incorporated by reference into any filing of the Company under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, whether made before or after the date hereof and irrespective of any general incorporation language contained in such filing, except to the extent that the registrant specifically incorporates it by reference.

* Management contract or compensation plan.

** Application has been made with the Securities and Exchange Commission to seek confidential treatment of certain provisions omitted material for which confidential treatment has been requested has been filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

*** Attached as Exhibit 101 to this Annual Report on Form 10-K are the following materials, formatted in XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language): (i) the Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2012 and 2011; (ii) the Consolidated Statements of Operations for the year ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010; (iii) the Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010; (iv) the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010; (v) Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

The XBRL information in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, Exhibit 101, is not deemed filed for purposes of Section 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the Securities Act), or Section 18 of the Securities Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act), or otherwise subject to the liabilities of those sections, and is not part of any registration statement to which it may relate, and is not incorporated by reference into any registration statement or other document filed under the Securities Act of the Exchange Act, except as is expressly set forth by specific reference in such filing or document.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) the Securities Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

ENVESTNET, INC.

Date: June 14, 2013

/s/ JUDSON BERGMAN
 Judson Bergman
Chairman and Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)

Date: June 14, 2013

/s/ PETER H. D ARRIGO
 Peter H. D Arrigo
Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial Officer)

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities on June 14, 2013.

| Name | Position |
|--|--|
| <i>/s/ JUDSON BERGMAN</i> Judson Bergman | Chairman and Chief Executive Officer; Director (Principal Executive Officer) |
| <i>/s/ PETER H. D ARRIGO</i> Peter H. D Arrigo | Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer) |
| <i>/s/ DALE SEIER</i> Dale Seier | Senior Vice President, Finance (Principal Accounting Officer) |
| <i>/s/ ROSS CHAPIN</i> Ross Chapin | Director |
| <i>/s/ GATES HAWN</i> Gates Hawn | Director |
| <i>/s/ JAMES JOHNSON</i> James Johnson | Director |
| <i>/s/ PAUL KOONTZ</i> Paul Koontz | Director |
| <i>/s/ CHARLES ROAME</i> Charles Roame | Director |

/S/ YVES SISTERON

Director

Yves Sisteron

123