WATERS CORP /DE/ Form DEF 14A March 29, 2013 Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the

Securities Exchange Act of 1934

(Amendment No.)

Filed by the Registrant "Filed by a Party other than the Registrant "

Check the appropriate box:

- " Preliminary Proxy Statement
- " Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
- x Definitive Proxy Statement
- " Definitive Additional Materials
- " Soliciting Material under §240.14a-12

Waters Corporation

(Name of registrant as specified in its charter)

 $(Name\ of\ person(s)\ filing\ proxy\ statement,\ if\ other\ than\ the\ registrant)$

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- x No fee required
- " Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11
 - (1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

(2)	Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
(3)	Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):
(4)	Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:
(5)	Total fee paid:
Fee	paid previously with preliminary materials.
	ck box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.
(1)	Amount Previously Paid:
(2)	Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:
(3)	Filing Party:
(4)	Date Filed:

March 29, 2013

Dear Stockholder:

On behalf of the Board of Directors of Waters Corporation (Waters or the Company), I cordially invite you to attend the Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the Meeting) of the Company to be held at Waters Corporation, 34 Maple Street, Milford, Massachusetts 01757 on May 9, 2013 at 11:00 a.m., local time.

The notice of Meeting, the Proxy Statement and proxy card from Waters are enclosed. You may also read the notice of Meeting, the Proxy Statement and the Waters Annual Report (Annual Report) on the Internet at http://www.proxydocs.com/wat.

Waters has adopted the Securities and Exchange Commission rule allowing companies to furnish proxy materials to their stockholders over the Internet. We believe that this e-proxy process expedites stockholders receipt of proxy materials, lowers the costs and reduces the environmental impact of our annual meeting. On March 29, 2013, we mailed to stockholders a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials (the Notice) containing instructions on how to access our Proxy Statement and Annual Report and vote by Internet. The Notice contains instructions on how you can (i) receive a paper copy of the Proxy Statement and Annual Report, if you only received a Notice by mail, or (ii) elect to receive your Proxy Statement and Annual Report over the Internet.

The matters scheduled to be considered at the Meeting are (i) to elect directors to serve for the ensuing year and until their successors are elected, (ii) to ratify the selection of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as the Company s independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2013, (iii) to approve, by non-binding vote, executive compensation, and (iv) to consider and act upon any other matters which may properly come before the Meeting or any adjournment thereof. These matters are more fully explained in the Proxy Statement that you are encouraged to read in its entirety.

The Company s Board of Directors values and encourages stockholder participation at the Meeting. It is important that your shares be represented, whether or not you plan to attend the Meeting. Please take a moment to vote on the Internet, by telephone, or if you receive a paper copy of the Proxy Statement and Annual Report, sign, date and return your proxy card in the envelope provided even if you plan to attend the Meeting.

We hope you will be able to attend the Meeting.

Sincerely,

Douglas A. Berthiaume

Chairman, President and

Chief Executive Officer

WATERS CORPORATION

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

Notice is hereby given that the Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the Meeting) of Waters Corporation (Waters or the Company) will be held at Waters Corporation, 34 Maple Street, Milford, Massachusetts 01757 on May 9, 2013 at 11:00 a.m., local time, for the following purposes:

- 1. To elect directors to serve for the ensuing year and until their successors are elected;
- 2. To ratify the selection of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as the Company s independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2013;
- 3. To approve, by non-binding vote, executive compensation; and,
- 4. To consider and act upon any other matters which may properly come before the Meeting or any adjournment thereof. In accordance with the provisions of the Company s bylaws, the Company s Board of Directors has fixed the close of business on March 15, 2013 as the record date for the determination of the holders of common stock entitled to notice of and to vote at the Meeting.

The Proxy Statement and Annual Report and the means to vote by Internet are available at http://www.proxydocs.com/wat.

By order of the Board of Directors

Mark T. Beaudouin

Vice President

General Counsel and Secretary

Milford, Massachusetts

March 29, 2013

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Electronic Delivery of Waters Stockholder Communications	2
Voting	3
Proxy Statement	4
Voting Matters	4
Matters to be Acted Upon	5
Proposal 1 Election of Directors	5
Proposal 2 Ratification of Selection of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	7
Proposal 3 Non-Binding Vote on Executive Compensation	8
Proposal 4 Other Business	9
<u>Directors Meetings and Board Committees</u>	9
Corporate Governance	10
Report of the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors	14
Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation	16
Risk Oversight	16
Compensation of Directors and Executive Officers	18
Compensation Committee Report	35
Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management	36
Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance	37
Stockholder Proposals	37
Stockholders Sharing an Address	37
ELECTRONIC DELIVERY OF WATERS STOCKHOLDER COMMUNICATIONS	

Notice of Electronic Availability of Proxy Statement and Annual Report

As permitted by Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) rules, Waters is making this Proxy Statement and its Annual Report available to its stockholders electronically via the Internet. On March 29, 2013, we mailed to our stockholders a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials (Notice) containing instructions on how to access this Proxy Statement and our Annual Report and vote by Internet. If you received the Notice by mail, you will not receive a printed copy of the proxy materials in the mail. Instead, the Notice instructs you on how to access and review all of the important information contained in the Proxy Statement and Annual Report electronically or to receive a printed version in the mail. The Notice also instructs you on how you may submit your proxy over the Internet or in person at the Meeting.

 ${\it Important\ Notice\ Regarding\ Availability\ of\ Proxy\ Materials:}$

The Proxy Statement and Annual Report are available at http://www.proxydocs.com/wat.

Whether or not you expect to attend the Meeting in person, we urge you to vote your shares by phone, via the Internet, or, if you receive a paper copy of the Proxy Statement and Annual Report, by signing, dating, and returning the proxy card by mail at your earliest convenience. This will ensure the presence of a quorum at the Meeting. Promptly voting your shares will save us the expense and extra work of additional solicitation. Submitting your proxy now will not prevent you from voting your stock at the Meeting if you want to do so, as your vote by proxy is revocable at your option.

VOTING

To ensure that your vote is recorded promptly, please vote as soon as possible, even if you plan to attend the Meeting in person. Stockholders have three options for submitting their votes: (1) via the Internet, (2) by phone or (3) by mail, using a paper proxy card. If you have Internet access, we encourage you to record your vote on the Internet. It is convenient for you, and it saves the Company significant postage and processing costs. In addition, when you vote via the Internet or by telephone prior to the Meeting date, your vote is recorded immediately and there is no risk that postal delays will cause your vote to arrive late and therefore not be counted. Refer to your Notice, or the email you received for electronic delivery of the Proxy Statement for further instructions on voting.

VOTE BY INTERNET http://www.proxypush.com/wat	VOTE BY TELEPHONE 866-307-0858	VOTE BY MAIL Mark, sign, and date the proxy card		
24 hours a day/7 days a week	toll-free 24 hours	and return it in the enclosed postage- paid envelope.		
	a day/7 days a week			
Use the Internet to vote your	Use any touch-tone telephone			
Proxy. Have your proxy card	to vote your Proxy. Have your			
in hand when you access the	proxy card in hand when you call.			

website.

If you vote your proxy by Internet or by telephone, please do NOT mail back the proxy card. You can access, view and download this year s Proxy Statement and Annual Report at http://www.proxydocs.com/wat.

3

WATERS CORPORATION

34 Maple Street

Milford, Massachusetts 01757

PROXY STATEMENT

Annual Meeting of Stockholders

May 9, 2013, 11:00 a.m.

This Proxy Statement is being furnished by the Board of Directors (the Board) of Waters Corporation (Waters or the Company), in connection with the Board s solicitation of proxies (each a Proxy and, collectively, Proxies), for use at the 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the Meeting) to be held on May 9, 2013 at 11:00 a.m., local time, at the Company s headquarters located at 34 Maple Street, Milford, Massachusetts 01757. Solicitation of Proxies, which is being made by the Board, may be made through officers and regular employees of the Company by telephone or by oral communications with stockholders following the original solicitation. No additional compensation will be paid to officers or regular employees for such Proxy solicitation. The Company has retained Alliance Advisors, LLC to do a broker solicitation for a fee of \$6,000, plus reasonable out-of-pocket expenses. Expenses incurred in connection with the solicitation of Proxies will be borne by the Company.

VOTING MATTERS

The representation in person or by Proxy of a majority of the outstanding shares of common stock of the Company, par value \$.01 per share, entitled to vote at the Meeting is necessary to provide a quorum for the transaction of business at the Meeting. Shares can only be voted if a stockholder is present in person, has voted via the Internet or by telephone, or is represented by a properly signed Proxy. Each stockholder s vote is very important. Whether or not you plan to attend the Meeting in person, please vote over the Internet, by telephone or sign and promptly return the Proxy card, which requires no additional postage if mailed in the United States. All signed and returned Proxies will be counted towards establishing a quorum for the Meeting, regardless of how the shares are voted.

Shares represented by Proxy will be voted in accordance with your instructions. You may specify how you want your shares to be voted by voting on the Internet, by telephone, or marking the appropriate box on the Proxy card. If your Proxy card is signed and returned without specifying how you want your shares to be voted, your shares will be voted as recommended by the Board, or as the individuals named as Proxy holders on the Proxy deem advisable on all other matters as may properly come before the Meeting. The Proxy will be voted at the Meeting if the signer of the Proxy was a stockholder of record on March 15, 2013 (the Record Date).

Any stockholder voting by Proxy has the power to revoke the Proxy prior to its exercise either by voting by ballot at the Meeting, by executing a later-dated Proxy or by delivering a signed written notice of the revocation to the office of the Secretary of the Company at 34 Maple Street, Milford, Massachusetts 01757 before the Meeting begins.

Representatives of the Company s independent registered public accounting firm, PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, are expected to be present at the Meeting. They will have the opportunity to make statements if they desire to do so and will be available to respond to appropriate questions.

As of the Record Date, there were 85,646,429 shares of common stock outstanding and entitled to vote at the Meeting. Each outstanding share of common stock is entitled to one vote. This Proxy Statement and form of Proxy is first being made available to the stockholders of record on or about March 29, 2013. A list of the stockholders entitled to vote at the Meeting will be available for inspection at the Meeting and for ten days prior to the Meeting at the Company s headquarters for proper purposes relating to the Meeting.

4

MATTERS TO BE ACTED UPON

PROPOSAL 1. ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

Nine members of the Board (the Directors) are to be elected at the Meeting, each to hold office until his or her successor is elected and qualified or until his or her earlier resignation, death or removal. It is intended that the Proxies in the form enclosed with this Proxy Statement will be voted for the nominees set forth below unless stockholders specify to the contrary in their Proxies or specifically abstain from voting on this matter.

The following information pertains to the nominees, their ages, principal occupations and other public directorships for at least the last five years, and information regarding their specific experience, qualifications, attributes or skills that led to the conclusion that each such person should serve as a Director of the Company in light of the Company s business and structure.

Douglas A. Berthiaume, 64, has served as Chairman of the Board since February 1996 and has served as President, Chief Executive Officer and a Director of the Company since August 1994 (except from January 2002 to March 2003, during which time he did not serve as President). From 1990 to 1994, Mr. Berthiaume served as President of the Waters Chromatography Division of Millipore Corporation, the predecessor business of the Company, which was purchased in 1994. Mr. Berthiaume is the Chairman of the Children's Hospital Trust Board, and a trustee of the Children's Hospital Medical Center and The University of Massachusetts Amherst Foundation. Through more than 25 years direct work experience at Waters and its predecessor company, Millipore, and as a director of Genzyme Corporation, Mr. Berthiaume brings to the Waters Board significant experience in both the business and technical issues facing life science/biotechnology companies.

Joshua Bekenstein, 54, has served as a Director of the Company since August 1994. Mr. Bekenstein is a Managing Director of Bain Capital, LLC, where he has worked since its inception in 1984. Mr. Bekenstein is a director of Bombardier Recreational Products, Inc., Toys R Us, Bright Horizons Family Solutions, Inc., Dollarama, Michaels Stores, Inc., Burlington Coat Factory Warehouse Corporation and Gymboree. Mr. Bekenstein s many years of experience both as a senior executive of a large investment firm and as a director of companies in various business sectors makes him highly qualified to serve on the Waters Board.

Michael J. Berendt, Ph.D., 64, has served as a Director of the Company since March 1998. Dr. Berendt is an independent director and investor. From March 2006 to July 2011, Dr. Berendt served as the President and Chief Executive Officer of Aegera Therapeutics Inc. From August 2004 to December 2005, Dr. Berendt served as Managing Director of Research Corporation Technologies. From November 2000 to August 2004, Dr. Berendt served as Managing Director of AEA Investors. Dr. Berendt also worked for 18 years, from 1982 to 2000, in the pharmaceutical industry where he served in a number of senior management positions including Senior Vice President of Research for the Pharmaceutical Division of Bayer Corporation, and a Group Director of Drug Discovery at Pfizer, Inc. Dr. Berendt has served as a director of Onyx Pharmaceuticals, Myriad Genetics, Inc., Catalyst Biosciences and Northstar Neuroscience. Dr. Berendt s experience in the pharmaceutical industry both from a management and a scientific perspective provides unique technical insight to the Waters Board.

Edward Conard, 56, has served as a Director of the Company since August 1994. Mr. Conard is an independent director and investor. He was a Managing Director of Bain Capital, LLC from March 1993 to December 2007. Mr. Conard was previously a Director of Wasserstein Perella and Company, an investment banking firm that specializes in mergers and acquisitions, and a Vice President of Bain & Company heading up the firm s operations practice area. Mr. Conard is also a director of Unisource Worldwide, Inc. His years of experience as a director and a managing director of two large investment firms affords the Waters Board the benefit of Mr. Conard s considerable financial, accounting and business strategy skills.

Laurie H. Glimcher, M.D., 61, has served as a Director of the Company since January 1998. Dr. Glimcher is the Stephen and Suzanne Weiss Dean of the Weill Cornell Medical College, Provost of Medical Affairs Cornell University. From 1991 through 2011, Dr. Glimcher served as the Irene Heinz Given Professor of Immunology at the Harvard School of Public Health and Professor of Medicine at Harvard Medical School. Dr. Glimcher is a director of Bristol-Myers Squibb Company. She is a Fellow of the American Academy of Arts and Sciences and a member of the National Academy of Sciences and the Institutes of Medicine of the National Academy of

Table of Contents

Sciences. As a physician, scientist and professor, Dr. Glimcher brings a diversity of technical skills and experience to the Waters Board.

Christopher A. Kuebler, 59, has served as a Director of the Company since May 2006. Mr. Kuebler is an independent director and investor. He served as Chairman and CEO of Covance Inc., and its predecessor companies from November 1994 to December 2004 and as Chairman during 2005. Prior to joining Covance Inc., Mr. Kuebler spent nearly 20 years in the pharmaceutical industry at Abbott Laboratories, Squibb Inc. and Monsanto Health Care. Mr. Kuebler is a director of Nektar Therapeutics. With 30 years of experience in the pharmaceutical and pharmaceutical service industries, including 10 years as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Covance Inc., Mr. Kuebler brings an experienced management perspective to the Waters Board.

William J. Miller, 67, has served as a Director of the Company since January 1998. Mr. Miller is an independent director and investor. From April 1996 to November 1999, Mr. Miller served as Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board of Directors of Avid Corporation, where from September 1996 to January 1999 he served as President. From March 1992 to September 1995, Mr. Miller served as Chief Executive Officer of Quantum Corporation. From May 1992 to September 1995, Mr. Miller served as a member of the Board of Directors of Quantum Corporation and from September 1993 to August 1995, he served as Chairman of its Board of Directors. From 1981 to March 1992, he served in various positions at Control Data Corporation, most recently as Executive Vice President and President, Information Services.

Mr. Miller served as a director of Viewsonic Corporation from January 2004 to April 2008 and Overland Storage, Inc. from June 2006 to September 2009. Mr. Miller is a director of Nvidia Corporation, Digimarc Corporation, and Glu Mobile Inc. Mr. Miller s extensive experience as a former chief executive officer, director, and investor brings both management and stockholder perspectives to the Waters Board.

JoAnn A. Reed, 57, has served as a Director of the Company since May 2006. Ms. Reed is a health care services consultant. From April 2008 to April 2009, she was an advisor to the Chief Executive Officer of Medco Health Solutions. From 2002 to March 2008, Ms. Reed served as Senior Vice President, Finance and Chief Financial Officer of Medco Health Solutions. From 1992 to 2002, she served as Senior Vice President, Finance of Medco Health Solutions. She joined Medco Containment Services, Inc. in 1988. Her prior experience includes employment with CBS, Inc., Aetna/American Re-insurance Co., Standard and Poor s, and Unisys/Timeplex. Ms. Reed is a director of American Tower and a trustee of St. Mary s College of Notre Dame. Ms. Reed s extensive experience as a senior financial executive provides the Waters Board with significant accounting, finance and health care industry expertise.

Thomas P. Salice, 53, has served as a Director of the Company since July 1994. Mr. Salice is a co-founder and principal of SFW Capital Partners, LLC, a private equity firm. He has served as a Managing Member of SFW Capital Partners since January 2005. From June 1989 to December 2004, Mr. Salice served in a variety of capacities with AEA Investors, Inc., including Managing Director, President and Chief Executive Officer and Vice-Chairman. Mr. Salice has a Masters in Business Administration from Harvard University. Mr. Salice is a Director of Mettler-Toledo International, Inc., Agdata, L.P., MD Buyline, Inc. and Spectro Inc. With more than 20 years of experience in the private equity business, Mr. Salice brings to the Waters Board in-depth experience in strategic planning, finance, capital structure and mergers and acquisitions.

Required Vote and Recommendation of the Board of Directors

With respect to the election of Directors of the Company, a nominee for director shall be elected to the Board by a majority vote (i.e. the votes cast for such nominee exceed the votes cast against such nominee), except that Directors will be elected by plurality vote at any meeting of stockholders for which the number of nominees exceeds the number of directors to be elected. If an incumbent director fails to be re-elected by a majority vote when such a vote is required and offers to resign, and if that resignation is not accepted by the Board, such director shall continue to serve until the next annual meeting and until his or her successor is duly elected, or his or her earlier resignation or removal. If an incumbent director s resignation is accepted by the Board, or if a nominee for director is not elected and the nominee is not an incumbent director, then the Board, in its sole discretion, may fill any resulting vacancy. Abstentions and shares with respect to which a broker or representative does not vote on a particular matter because it does not have discretionary voting authority on that

6

matter (so-called broker non-votes) will be counted as present for the purpose of determining whether a quorum is present but will not be treated as shares cast with respect to any nominee and therefore will not have an effect on the determination of whether a nominee has been elected.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR EACH NOMINEE FOR DIRECTOR SET FORTH ABOVE.

PROPOSAL 2. RATIFICATION OF SELECTION OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Audit Committee of the Board has selected PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, to audit the books, records and accounts of the Company for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2013. In accordance with a vote of the Audit Committee and as approved by the Board, this selection is being presented to the stockholders for ratification at the Meeting.

Required Vote and Recommendation of the Board of Directors

The affirmative vote of a majority of the shares present at the Meeting in person or represented by Proxy and entitled to vote on the matter is required to approve the proposal. Abstentions will be counted as present for the purpose of determining whether a quorum is present and will be treated as shares present and entitled to vote, but will not be treated as an affirmative vote in favor of the proposal and therefore will have the effect of a vote against the proposal. Ratification by stockholders is not required. If this Proposal 2 is not approved by the stockholders, the Audit Committee does not intend to change the appointment for fiscal year 2013, but will consider the stockholder vote in selecting an independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal year 2014.

Fees

The aggregate fees for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 billed by the Company s independent registered public accounting firm, PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, were as follows:

	2012	2011
Audit Fees	\$ 3,347,262	\$ 3,470,295
Audit-Related Fees	31,670	32,999
Tax Related Fees		
Tax Compliance	326,600	453,402
Tax Planning	429,500	321,690
Total Tax Related Fees	756,100	775,092
All Other Fees	-0-	1,500
Total	\$ 4,135,032	\$ 4,279,886

Audit Fees consists of fees for the audit of the Company s annual financial statements, review of the interim condensed consolidated financial statements included in quarterly reports, assistance with review of documents filed with the SEC, and services that are normally provided by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP in connection with statutory and regulatory filings or engagements, and attest services, except those not required by statute or regulation.

Audit-Related Fees consists of fees for assurance and related services that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of the Company's consolidated financial statements and are not reported under. Audit Fees a These services include statutory audits, employee benefit plan audits, acquisition-related services, attest services not required by statute or regulation, and accounting consultations and reviews for various matters.

Tax Related Fees consists of fees for tax compliance and planning services. Tax compliance fees include fees for professional services related to international tax compliance and preparation. Tax planning fees consist primarily of fees including but not limited to, the impact of acquisitions, restructurings and changes in regulations.

7

Table of Contents

All Other Fees consists of fees for all permissible services other than those reported above.

The Audit Committee pre-approved 100% of the services listed under the preceding captions Audit Fees, Audit-Related Fees, Tax Related Fees and All Other Fees. The Audit Committee s pre-approval policies and procedures are more fully described in its report set forth in this Proxy Statement.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR THE RATIFICATION OF THE SELECTION OF PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LLP AS THE COMPANY S INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM.

PROPOSAL 3. NON-BINDING VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Under the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the Dodd-Frank Act), the stockholders of Waters are entitled to cast an advisory vote at the Meeting to approve the compensation of the Company s named executive officers, as disclosed in this proxy statement. Pursuant to the Dodd-Frank Act, the stockholder vote is an advisory vote only and is not binding on Waters or its Board.

Although the vote is non-binding, the Compensation Committee and the Board value your opinions and will consider the outcome of the vote in establishing compensation philosophy and making future compensation decisions.

As described more fully in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, in the Summary Compensation Table and subsequent tables, the Company s named executive officers are compensated in a manner consistent with our business strategy, competitive practice, sound compensation governance principles, and stockholder interests and concerns.

Our compensation policies and decisions are focused on pay-for-performance.

Annual performance targets represent challenging operational and financial goals.

Named executive officers have had an annual performance target of 15% non-GAAP earnings per diluted share (E.P.S.) growth for 2012 and the prior sixteen years.

Target non-GAAP E.P.S. performance of 15% growth has been achieved in twelve of the past seventeen years and Waters has delivered an annual compounded non-GAAP E.P.S. growth rate of 16% over the past ten years.

In 2012, neither the target of 15% non-GAAP E.P.S growth nor the threshold of 7% non-GAAP E.P.S. growth were met resulting in no annual bonus payments to named executive officers for 2012.

A description of GAAP to non-GAAP items can be found here under in the section, Compensation of Directors and Executive Officers, Elements of Executive Compensation, *Annual Incentive*. A reconciliation of GAAP to non-GAAP E.P.S. and non-GAAP operating income can be found on the Company s web-site at http://www.waters.com under the caption Investors and copies may be obtained, without charge, upon written request to the Company, c/o Vice President, Investor Relations, 34 Maple Street, Milford, MA 01757.

Waters also has several compensation governance programs in place as described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis to manage compensation risk and align Waters executive compensation with long-term stockholder interests. These programs include:

stock ownership guidelines;

an independent compensation committee and compensation committee consultant;

a compensation recoupment policy; and

a balanced program that does not include perquisites and guaranteed payments as a significant source of compensation. We are requesting your non-binding vote on the following resolution: Resolved, that the compensation of the Company s named executive officers as described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, in the Summary Compensation Table and subsequent tables, is approved.

8

Table of Contents

Required Vote and Recommendation of the Board of Directors

The affirmative vote of a majority of the shares of Waters common stock present or represented by Proxy and voting at the Meeting, is required for approval, on an advisory basis, of this proposal. If you own shares through a bank, broker or other holder of record, you must instruct your bank, broker or other holder of record how to vote in order for them to vote your shares so that your vote can be counted on this proposal.

Abstentions will have the effect of a vote against this proposal. Broker non-votes will have no effect on this proposal.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR THE RESOLUTION.

PROPOSAL 4. OTHER BUSINESS

The Board does not know of any other business to be presented at the Meeting. If any other matters properly come before the Meeting, however, it is intended that the persons named in the enclosed form of Proxy will vote said Proxy in accordance with their best judgment.

DIRECTORS MEETINGS AND BOARD COMMITTEES

Meetings

The Board held six meetings during the year ended December 31, 2012. The Board has determined that each Director other than Mr. Berthiaume, the Company s Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer, has no material relationship with the Company and otherwise qualifies as independent under applicable listing standards of the New York Stock Exchange and the Company s independence criteria, which are summarized under Corporate Governance Board/Director Independence below.

Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee currently consists of Dr. Michael J. Berendt (Chair), Dr. Laurie H. Glimcher, and Mr. Thomas P. Salice. The responsibilities of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee include the recruitment and recommendation of candidates for the Board. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee may, as it deems appropriate, give consideration to any candidates suggested by the stockholders of the Company. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee also develops and recommends to the Board the Corporate Governance Guidelines for the Company. The charter of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, which sets forth all of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee is functions, is available on the Company s website at http://www.waters.com under the caption Corporate Governance . Each member of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee is independent under applicable listing standards of the New York Stock Exchange and the Company is independence criteria, which are summarized under Corporate Governance Board/Director Independence below.

Audit Committee

The Audit Committee, which currently consists of Mr. Thomas P. Salice (Chair), Mr. Edward Conard, Mr. William J. Miller and Ms. JoAnn A. Reed, oversees the activities of the Company s independent registered public accounting firm, PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP. The Audit Committee meets the definition of Audit Committee as defined in Section 3(a)(58)(A) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act). The Audit Committee engages the independent registered public accounting firm, and performs certain other functions pursuant to its charter, a copy of which is available on the Company s website at http://www.waters.com under the caption Corporate Governance . Each member of the Audit Committee is independent under SEC rules and the applicable listing standards of the New York Stock Exchange and the Company s independence criteria, which are summarized under Corporate Governance Board/Director Independence below. The Board has determined that each of the four members of the Audit Committee Messrs. Salice, Conard and Miller and Ms. Reed is an audit committee financial expert within the meaning of the SEC rules and has accounting or related financial management expertise within the meaning of New York Stock Exchange rules.

Compensation Committee

The Compensation Committee, which currently consists of Mr. William J. Miller (Chair), Mr. Joshua Bekenstein, Mr. Christopher A. Kuebler and Mr. Thomas P. Salice, approves the compensation of executives of the Company, makes recommendations to the Board with respect to standards for setting compensation levels and administers the Company s incentive plans. The Compensation Committee s charter is available on the Company s website at http://www.waters.com under the caption Corporate Governance. Each member of the Compensation Committee is independent under applicable listing standards of the New York Stock Exchange and the Company s independence criteria, which are summarized under Corporate Governance. Board/Director Independence below.

During fiscal year 2012, each of the Company s Directors attended in excess of 75% of the aggregate of the meetings of the Board and the meetings of committees of the Board of which such Director was a member. During fiscal year 2012, the Compensation Committee met two times, the Audit Committee met seven times and the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee met two times. The Company does not have a formal policy, but encourages Director attendance at annual stockholder meetings. All but two Directors attended the 2012 annual meeting of stockholders.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Annual Evaluation

During 2012, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee of the Board conducted its annual evaluation of the Board and each of its committees. The evaluation, in the form of a questionnaire, was circulated to all members of the Board and each committee in November 2012. The Company s General Counsel received all of the questionnaires, compiled the results and circulated them to the Board and each committee for discussion and analysis during January and February 2013. It is the intention of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee to continue to engage in this process annually.

Related Party Transactions Policy

The Board has adopted a Related Party Transactions Policy, which covers Interested Transactions between a Related Party or parties and the Company. An Interested Transaction is a transaction or arrangement in which the aggregate amount involved will or may be expected to exceed \$120,000 in any calendar year and in which the Company and/or any Related Party may have an interest. A Related Party includes an executive officer, director or nominee for election as a director of the Company, any holder of more than a 5% beneficial ownership interest in the Company, any immediate family member of any of the foregoing or any firm, corporation or entity in which any of the foregoing persons is employed or is a general partner or principal or in which such person or persons collectively have a 10% or greater beneficial ownership interest.

Pursuant to the policy, the General Counsel is responsible for identifying potential Interested Transactions and determining whether a proposed transaction is an Interested Transaction and accordingly, reportable to the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee for consideration at its next regularly scheduled meeting. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will review the material facts of all Interested Transactions and report its recommendations to the Board which will either approve or disapprove the Interested Transaction.

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and the Board have reviewed and determined that certain categories of Interested Transactions are deemed to be pre-approved or ratified (as applicable) by the Board under the terms of the policy. These are: (a) the employment and compensation arrangements of named executive officers required to be reported in the Company s Proxy Statement; (b) Director compensation required to be reported in the Company s Proxy Statement; (c) ordinary course charitable contributions periodically reviewed by the Compensation Committee of the Board; and (d) ordinary course business transactions conducted on an arm s length basis with Bristol-Myers Squibb Corporation (of which Dr. Glimcher is a director).

10

Equity Ownership Guidelines

Increasingly, stockholders of public companies are focusing on the amount of equity ownership by directors and officers of the companies in which they invest. In order to more closely align the interests of the Company's stockholders with those of management, the Company has minimum stock ownership guidelines for Directors and named executive officers. These guidelines provide for the accumulation by anyone who holds the Chief Executive Officer position of common stock equal to five times his base salary over a three-year period. Additionally, members of the Company's Executive Committee, Messrs. Caputo, Ornell and Beaudouin and Ms. Rae, are each required to accumulate common stock equal to two times their base salary over a five-year period. Pursuant to the guidelines, members of the Board are required to accumulate a minimum of 5,000 shares of common stock of the Company over a five year period.

If, after the initial three or five year period of accumulation, as the case may be, any such executive officer shall become non-compliant with the guidelines, he or she shall have a period of twelve (12) months to again come into compliance with the guidelines. If, after such twelve month period, the named executive officer remains non-compliant, then, with respect to any subsequent exercise of a stock option by such executive officer, 50% of such executive s net after tax profit from such exercise must be retained in shares of common stock until compliance with the guidelines is achieved. Exceptions to these equity ownership guidelines may be considered by the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee with respect to individual financial situations of current or future executives covered by the guidelines. For purposes of the accumulation of shares of common stock to comply with these guidelines, in addition to any direct ownership of shares of common stock by an executive officer or Director, any shares of restricted stock and vested in-the-money stock options, which either were or will be granted by the Company to such executives or Directors, apply toward the satisfaction of the guidelines. The ownership guidelines have been met by all Directors and the named executive officers (as defined below).

Board Leadership Structure

As stated in the Company s Corporate Governance guidelines, the Board has no set policy with respect to the separation of the offices of Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, but instead makes a particular determination in the context of selecting a chief executive officer. Douglas A. Berthiaume has served as both Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer since 1996.

Since 2004, Thomas P. Salice, an independent director, has served as the Board s lead director. In that capacity, he presides over executive sessions of the non-management Directors of the Board and provides a focal point for and facilitates communication among non-management Directors, Company management and Company stockholders.

The Board believes that, during the tenure of Mr. Berthiaume, combining the offices of Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer has served the Company well, fostering strong and consistent leadership. The lead independent director facilitates an appropriate balance between such leadership and independent and effective oversight of the Company s affairs.

Majority Voting

The Company s by-laws provide for majority voting for Directors in uncontested elections. A further description of the Company s majority voting provisions can be found under Proposal 1. Election of Directors herein.

Guidelines and Code of Conduct

The Board has adopted Corporate Governance Guidelines, a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics for employees, executive officers and Directors and a whistleblower policy regarding the treatment of complaints on accounting, internal accounting controls and auditing matters. All of these documents are available on the Company s website at http://www.waters.com under the caption Corporate Governance and copies may be obtained, without charge, upon written request to the Company, c/o Secretary, 34 Maple Street, Milford, MA 01757.

11

Board Candidates

With respect to potential candidates to serve on the Board, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee considers suggestions from a variety of sources, including stockholders. Any nominations of candidates, together with appropriate biographical information, should be submitted in accordance with the Company s by-laws to the Company, c/o Secretary, 34 Maple Street, Milford, MA 01757.

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee believes that candidates for service as a Director of the Company should meet certain minimum qualifications. In selecting Directors, the Board seeks individuals who are highly accomplished in their respective fields, with superior educational and professional credentials. Candidates should satisfy the Company s independence criteria, which are part of its Corporate Governance Guidelines and summarized below and the applicable listing standards of the New York Stock Exchange. In assessing candidates for Director, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will consider their skills, experience and diversity in the context of the overall composition of the Board.

The Company has a process for identifying and selecting candidates for Board membership. Initially, the Chairman/CEO, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee or other Board members identify a need to either expand the Board with a new member possessing certain specific characteristics or to fill a vacancy on the Board. A search is then undertaken by the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, working with recommendations and input from Board members, members of senior management, professional contacts, external advisors, nominations by stockholders and/or the retention of a professional search firm, if necessary. An initial slate of candidates is identified that will satisfy the criteria for Board membership and is presented to the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee for review. Upon review by the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, a series of interviews of one or more candidates is conducted by the Chairman/CEO and at least one member of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. During this process, the full Board is informally apprised of the status of the search and its input is solicited.

Upon identification of a final candidate, the entire Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will meet to consider the credentials of the candidate and thereafter, if approved, will submit the candidate for approval by the full Board.

As noted above, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, in assessing candidates for director, considers their skills, experience and diversity in the context of the Board s overall composition. The Company does not, however, have a specific policy with respect to the consideration of diversity in identifying director nominees.

Board/Director Independence

The Company s Corporate Governance Guidelines also include criteria adopted by the Board to assist it in making determinations regarding the independence of its members. The criteria, summarized below, are consistent with the New York Stock Exchange listing standards regarding director independence. To be considered independent, the Board must determine that a director does not have a material relationship, directly or indirectly, with the Company. A director will not be considered independent if he or she, or an immediate family member, has been within the last three years:

an executive officer of the Company;

a current partner or employee of an internal or external auditor of the Company or a partner or employee of an internal or external auditor of the Company who personally worked on the Company s audit;

an executive officer of a public company that was on the compensation committee of its board;

a paid advisor or consultant to the Company receiving in excess of \$100,000 per year in direct compensation from the Company (other than fees for service as a director) within the past three years or has an immediate family member who has been a paid advisor or consultant to the Company; and

an employee (or in the case of an immediate family member, an executive officer) of a company that does business with the Company and the annual payments to or from the Company exceeded the greater of \$1 million or 2% of the other company s annual gross revenues.

Table of Contents

In addition, a director will not be considered independent if he or she, or an immediate family member, has been an executive officer of a tax-exempt entity that receives contributions in any fiscal year from the Company exceeding the greater of \$1 million or 2% of its gross revenues. A director also will not be considered independent if he or she has an immediate family member who is a current employee of an internal or external auditor of the Company who participates in such firm s audit, assurance or tax compliance practice.

The Board has determined that each Director, other than Mr. Berthiaume, the Company s Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer, has no material relationship with the Company and otherwise qualifies as independent under applicable listing standards of the New York Stock Exchange.

Stockholder and Board Communications

With respect to communications with the Board on general matters, stockholders and interested parties may communicate directly with the lead director or with the non-management Directors as a group by writing to Waters Corporation, c/o Secretary, 34 Maple Street, Milford, Massachusetts 01757. Any such communication should include the name and return address of the stockholder, the specific Director or Directors to whom the contact is addressed and the nature or subject matter of the contact. All communication will be sent directly to the appropriate Board member.

13

REPORT OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

The information contained in this report shall not be deemed to be soliciting material or filed or incorporated by reference in future filings with the SEC, or subject to the liabilities of Section 18 of the Exchange Act, except to the extent that Waters specifically incorporates it by reference into a document filed under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Exchange Act.

During 2012, the Audit Committee of the Board, in conjunction with management and PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, the Company s independent registered public accounting firm, focused on the following items:

- 1. Compliance with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (the Act) and the adequacy of Company internal controls;
- 2. The appropriateness of Company financial reporting and accounting processes;
- 3. The independence and performance of the Company s independent registered public accounting firm;
- 4. Company compliance with laws and regulations, including compliance with applicable provisions of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010; and
- 5. Review of the Company's independent registered public accounting firm's quality control procedures.

 The Company retains Ernst & Young LLP to assist in elements of continuing compliance with Section 404 of the Act. The Company's compliance with Section 404 of the Act is managed primarily by the Company's Vice President, Audit & Risk Management in conjunction with the Company's Chief Financial Officer and its Vice President, Corporate Controller and Principal Accounting Officer. During 2012, the Audit Committee received regular and detailed briefings from the Company's Vice President, Audit & Risk Management and PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP regarding the Company's compliance with Section 404 of the Act. On February 26, 2013, the Company's Vice President, Audit & Risk Management and PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP reported to the Audit Committee that no material weaknesses had been identified in the Company's internal controls over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012.

The Board has adopted a written charter setting out more specifically the functions that the Audit Committee is to perform. The charter is reviewed on an annual basis by the Audit Committee and the Audit Committee is advised as to any corporate governance developments which may warrant charter amendments. No such charter amendments were made in 2012. The charter is available on the Company s website at http://www.waters.com under the caption Corporate Governance . A discussion of the Audit Committee s role in risk oversight can be found under the heading Risk Oversight Board s Role in Risk Oversight Generally below.

As stated in its charter, the Audit Committee is tasked with, among other things, reviewing with management the Company s guidelines and policies with respect to its approach to risk assessment and risk management. In addition, major financial risk exposures and means of monitoring and controlling these exposures, is to be discussed with management.

The Audit Committee held seven meetings during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012. The Audit Committee reviewed on a quarterly basis, with members of the Company s management team, the Company s quarterly and annual financial results prior to the release of earnings and the filing of the Company s quarterly and annual financial statements with the SEC. The Board has determined that each of the four current members of the Audit Committee Mr. Salice (Chair), Mr. Conard, Mr. Miller and Ms. Reed is an audit committee financial expert as defined under applicable rules and regulations of the SEC and has accounting or related financial management expertise within the meaning of the New York Stock Exchange rules. Company management has primary responsibility for the financial statements and reporting processes. The Company s independent registered public accounting firm, PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, audits the annual financial statements and is responsible for expressing an opinion on their conformity with generally accepted accounting principles.

The Audit Committee has adopted the following guidelines regarding the engagement of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP to perform non-audit services for the Company:

Company management will submit to the Audit Committee for approval a list of non-audit services that it recommends the Audit Committee engage its independent registered public accounting firm to provide from time

14

Table of Contents

to time during the fiscal year and an estimated amount of fees associated with such services. Company management and the Company s independent registered public accounting firm will each confirm to the Audit Committee that each non-audit service on the list is permissible under all applicable legal requirements. The Audit Committee will, in its discretion, either approve or disapprove both the list of permissible non-audit services and the estimated fees for such services. The Audit Committee will be informed routinely as to the non-audit services actually provided by the Company s independent registered public accounting firm pursuant to this pre-approval process and the actual expenditure of fees associated therewith as well as new non-audit services being requested for approval.

To ensure prompt handling of unexpected matters, the Audit Committee delegates to its Chairman the authority to amend or modify the list of approved permissible non-audit services and fees. The Chairman will report action taken to the Audit Committee at the next Audit Committee meeting.

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP and the Company ensure that all audit and non-audit services provided to the Company have been pre-approved by the Audit Committee.

The Audit Committee hereby reports for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012 that:

- 1. It has reviewed and discussed the Company s audited financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012 with Company management;
- 2. It has discussed with PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP those matters required to be discussed by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 61, as amended (Codification of Statement on Auditing Standards, AU §380) as adopted by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (PCAOB) in rule 3200T;
- 3. It has received from PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP written disclosures and a letter required by the applicable requirements of the PCAOB regarding PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP s communications with the Audit Committee concerning independence, and has discussed with PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP its independence;
- 4. It has considered whether, and determined that, the provision of non-audit services to the Company by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as set forth below, was compatible with maintaining auditor independence; and
- 5. It has reviewed and discussed with PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP its internal quality control procedures, and any material issues raised by the most recent internal quality control review, or peer review, or by any inquiry or investigation by governmental or professional authorities within the preceding five years.

Based on the items reported above, on February 26, 2013, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board that the Company s audited financial statements be included in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012 for filing with the SEC. The recommendation was accepted by the Board on the same date.

Mr. Thomas P. Salice Mr. Edward Conard Mr. William J. Miller Ms. JoAnn A. Reed

15

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE INTERLOCKS AND INSIDER PARTICIPATION

The Compensation Committee currently consists of Mr. Joshua Bekenstein, Mr. Christopher A. Kuebler, Mr. William J. Miller (Chair), and Mr. Thomas P. Salice. During fiscal year 2012, no member of the Compensation Committee was an officer or employee of the Company or served as a member of the Board or Compensation Committee of any entity that has one or more executive officers serving as members of the Waters Board or its Compensation Committee and no executive officer of the Company served on the Compensation Committee or Board of Directors of any entity that has one or more executive officers serving on the Waters Board or Compensation Committee.

RISK OVERSIGHT

Board s Role in Risk Oversight Generally

Included in the Company s Annual Report for the year ended December 31, 2012 are the risk factors affecting the Company which are periodically reviewed by the Board and the Audit Committee and updated or expanded as warranted. Additionally, the Company has an Enterprise Risk Management program under the direction of the Director of Treasury and Risk Management and the Vice President, Audit & Risk Management. This program seeks to identify, assess, monitor and report on risks affecting the Company s business and operations on an ongoing basis. Management of the Company actively participates in this program and briefs the Audit Committee on the risks affecting the Company and efforts undertaken to mitigate them.

Compensation-Related Risk

The Company conducted a review to determine if any compensation plans and practices would be reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company. The Company reviewed various components of its compensation plans including the size, scope and design. The Company also reviewed whether the compensation plans promote unnecessary risk taking and the policies in place to mitigate compensation risk. The review included an assessment of design features that could encourage excessive risk-taking and the potential magnitude of such risks, including design features such as a short-term oriented pay mix, overly aggressive goal setting and over-weighting of annual incentives. Several features of the Company s annual incentive plan, the Management Incentive Plan, mitigate compensation-related risk including the use of payout caps, a clear link between payouts under the plan and the Company s financial performance, and Compensation Committee oversight in determining payouts under the Plan. The policies that exist to mitigate compensation-related risk include, among others, (1) the Company s Recoupment Policy for Management Incentive Plan awards; (2) stock ownership guidelines for named executive officers; and (3) independent oversight of compensation programs by the Compensation Committee with input from an independent compensation consultant. Based on this review, the Company does not believe that there are any compensation related risks arising from the Company s compensation plans that would have a material adverse effect on the Company.

Role of Compensation Consultant, Committee and Management in Decision-Making

The Compensation Committee has engaged the services of Pearl Meyer & Partners as its outside independent compensation consultant during fiscal year 2012. Pearl Meyer & Partners participates in Compensation Committee meetings and executive sessions and advises the Compensation Committee on a range of executive officer and director compensation matters including plan design, competitive market assessments, trends, best practices and technical and regulatory developments. Pearl Meyer & Partners provides services to the Compensation Committee related only to executive officer and director compensation, including defining peer groups, comparing executive officer and director compensation arrangements to the peer groups, and providing market data and advice regarding executive and director compensation plans. The Compensation Committee has the authority to engage and terminate such independent legal, accounting and other advisors as it deems necessary or appropriate to carry out its responsibilities.

The Compensation Committee regularly reviews the services provided by its outside consultants and believes that Pearl Meyer & Partners is independent in providing executive compensation consulting services.

16

Table of Contents

The Compensation Committee conducted a specific review of its relationship with Pearl Meyer & Partners in 2012, and determined that Pearl Meyer & Partners work for the Compensation Committee did not raise any conflicts of interest, considering the factors set forth in applicable SEC and New York Stock Exchange Rules. The Compensation Committee continues to monitor the independence of its compensation consultant on a periodic basis.

In determining the overall structure of the compensation elements, the Compensation Committee reviews the competitive market and compensation practice data as provided by Pearl Meyer & Partners and as described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis in the section titled Data Used to Make Compensation Determinations . The Compensation Committee also reviews each named executive officer s compensation package in total to ensure that the total compensation package emphasizes performance-based compensation elements and is designed to meet the overall objectives of the executive compensation program. The Compensation Committee considers a range of factors in determining the amount of each compensation element for each executive officer. The range of factors includes Company performance, individual performance and experience, competitive compensation levels, the competitive markets, scope of responsibility and an individual s potential for making future contributions to the Company.

The Compensation Committee approves all compensation decisions for the named executive officers, after consulting with Pearl Meyer & Partners. The Vice President of Human Resources also provides the Compensation Committee with information and analysis on the Company s executive compensation programs as requested. Mr. Berthiaume provides the Compensation Committee with his assessment of the performance of the Company and the other named executive officers, and makes recommendations for the compensation of the other named executive officers. The Compensation Committee, however, makes all final decisions with respect to the compensation of the CEO and the other named executive officers. No named executive officer makes any decision on any element of his/her own compensation.

17

COMPENSATION OF DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

Overview

This Compensation Discussion and Analysis discusses the compensation programs for our named executive officers which for the fiscal year 2012 are Douglas A. Berthiaume, Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Arthur G. Caputo, Executive Vice President and President, Waters Division, John A. Ornell, Vice President Finance and Administration and Chief Financial Officer, Mark T. Beaudouin, Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary and Elizabeth B. Rae, Vice President, Human Resources.

Executive Summary

The following is a summary of Company performance, the impact of Company performance on compensation for our named executive officers, governance practices related to our compensation programs and the impact of results of the 2012 Say on Pay vote. Details of our compensation programs are discussed in further detail in the appropriate sections of this Compensation Discussion and Analysis:

2012 Company Performance

Non-GAAP E.P.S. grew 2.5% in 2012 to \$4.93 from \$4.81 in 2011.

Non-GAAP Operating Income was \$541,574,000 in 2012 as compared to \$547,524,000 in 2011.

A description of GAAP to non-GAAP items can be found here under in the section Elements of Executive Compensation, *Annual Incentive*. A reconciliation of GAAP to non-GAAP E.P.S. and non-GAAP operating income can be found on the Company s web-site at http://www.waters.com under the caption Investors and copies may be obtained, without charge, upon written request to the Company, c/o Vice President, Investor Relations, 34 Maple Street, Milford, MA 01757

Impact of Company Performance on Compensation

The following actions and decisions were taken in 2012 as a result of Company performance:

Base Salaries: In December 2011, the Committee approved salary increases to be effective January 1, 2012 for named executive officers which ranged between 2.5% to 3.5%. For 2013, in light of projected difficult economic conditions, the base salaries of named executive officers were reduced by amounts that range from 3.0% to 5%. These salary decreases were approved in December 2012 and are effective January 1, 2013.

Annual Incentive Plan: For 2012, payments under the Company s Management Incentive Plan were based on non-GAAP E.P.S. growth for 2012 and minimum non-GAAP operating income. As neither the non-GAAP E.P.S. performance nor the non-GAAP operating income threshold was met, there were no payouts under the Management Incentive Plan to named executive officers for 2012 performance.

Long-Term Incentive Plan: Stock options were granted to the named executive officers on December 11, 2012. The number of stock options granted to each named executive officer on December 11, 2012 and the grant price of these awards were consistent with prior year grants and the objective to continue to align the long-term interests of executive officers with shareholders. Although the Compensation Committee intended to grant stock options to Mr. Berthiaume, he declined as in prior years to be considered for an option grant due to his substantial ownership position in Company stock.

Compensation Governance and Pay Practices

The Company has adopted a Recoupment Policy for incentive awards paid to executive officers under the Management Incentive Plan. A full description of the policy can be found below under the heading Recoupment Policy .

18

The Company has implemented stock ownership guidelines for the named executive officers. The details of the guidelines are below under the heading Stock Ownership Guidelines .

The Company does not offer any perquisites for the exclusive benefit of named executive officers.

Annual bonus payouts under the Management Incentive Plan are performance based and the Company has not made any discretionary or guaranteed bonus payments.

The Company maintains an independent Compensation Committee and a Compensation Committee consultant. 2012 Say on Pay Vote

The Compensation Committee values the opinions of our stockholders and considers the outcome of the annual Say on Pay stockholder votes in determining the structure of executive compensation, as well as in making future compensation decisions. Waters received strong stockholder support of our executive compensation programs in both 2012 and 2011 with 94% and 95% of voted shares voting in favor of Waters executive compensation programs, respectively. In part, due to this support, we have not made any responsive changes to our compensation philosophy or practices and continue to manage our programs in a manner consistent with prior years.

Philosophy and Objectives of Waters Executive Compensation Program

The Waters executive compensation program is intended to be both performance and market-based such that a significant portion of compensation is allocated to short and long-term variable performance-based compensation instruments. The objectives of the Company s executive compensation program are aligned with the Compensation Committee s philosophy and are as follows:

To focus senior management on achieving financial and operating objectives which provide long-term stockholder value;

To align the interests of senior management with the Company s stockholders; and

To attract and retain senior executive talent.

The compensation program is designed to motivate and reward executives for sustained high levels of achievement of the Company s financial and operating objectives. Base salaries are generally targeted below the market median for similarly situated executives in comparable firms. Actual base salaries may vary from this generally targeted position based on the performance, tenure, experience and contributions of the individual.

Annual incentive target awards are intended to be at or slightly above the market median. In aggregate, these two annual components, base salary and annual incentive, provide a target total cash compensation opportunity that approximates the median of the market for achieving target performance goals. Annual performance targets represent challenging operational and financial goals. Actual incentives will vary with the performance of the Company and the overachievement of performance goals will provide opportunity for significantly greater reward on an annual basis. Underachievement of threshold goals will result in no payout. Actual total cash compensation can be less than or greater than the median of the market, based on these factors. We believe that the structure of our total annual cash compensation effectively aligns executives interests with stockholders interests by placing emphasis on the achievement of annual financial and operating objectives.

For longer-term alignment, the Company uses stock options to align executive compensation opportunity with stockholder interests because options provide value to the executive only if the Company s stock price increases over time. The value of Waters—stock option grants is targeted to be at or above the competitive market and is intended to represent a significant portion of each executive—s total direct compensation (base salary, annual incentive plan and stock options). Additionally, stock options increase the orientation of total direct compensation toward performance-based instruments. Waters—stock options, which vest over a five-year period and have a ten-year term, are also designed to meet the

objective to retain executives. The Compensation Committee reviews competitive market data in determining the value of executive stock option grants.

19

Consistent with this performance-oriented compensation philosophy, performance-based compensation instruments in total comprise a substantial portion of the target total direct compensation (base salary, target annual incentive and value of stock option award) for named executive officers. In 2012, performance-oriented compensation instruments represent an average of 78% of the target total direct compensation for named executive officers as a group.

Data Used to Make Compensation Determinations

Competitive Market Assessment

Competitive market data is an important component in determining the amount of each element of compensation for each named executive officer. The Compensation Committee utilizes Pearl Meyer & Partners to provide advice and analysis on the structure of executive compensation as well as competitive data on base salary, total cash compensation and long-term incentives. In addition, the Compensation Committee reviews the total compensation package for each named executive officer from the perspective of total direct compensation, which includes base salary, annual incentive plan and the value of the long-term incentive grant. Pearl Meyer & Partners prepares this analysis (the Pearl Meyer & Partners Executive Compensation Competitive Assessment) annually for the Compensation Committee.

Pearl Meyer & Partners and the Compensation Committee utilize a core Industry Peer Group of 16 publicly traded companies in the life sciences and analytical instrument industry with generally similar revenues and market capitalization as Waters.

The 2012 Industry Peer Group is comprised of the same companies as in 2011. They are as follows:

Agilent Mettler-Toledo

C.R. Bard Pall
Bio-Rad Laboratories Perkin Elmer
Bruker ResMed

FLIR Systems Roper Industries Hologic Sigma-Aldrich

Illumina Thermo Fisher Scientific

Life Technologies Varian Medical

Each year, Pearl Meyer & Partners evaluates the peer group for continued appropriateness for external executive compensation comparisons based on the primary selection criteria of similarity in industry, products and services, revenue and market capitalization. The target range for both revenue and market capitalization is 50% to 200% of Waters revenue and market capitalization. The median revenue and market capitalization for the peer group for the four quarters ending June 30, 2012 was \$2,460,000,000 and \$5,848,000,000 respectively. Waters revenue and market capitalization for the same period were \$1,848,000,000 and \$6,967,000,000 respectively.

Two companies in the peer group, Agilent and Thermo Fisher Scientific, have revenues above the target range, but are included in the peer group because (1) they have similar products and services offerings as Waters, (2) they are direct competitors of Waters, (3) Waters competes with them for talent and (4) Thermo Fisher includes Waters in its own peer group.

Pearl Meyer & Partners and the Compensation Committee also utilize multiple survey sources to review the competitive marketplace for each named executive officer. Survey sources include the Hewitt Executive Compensation Survey and the CHiPS Executive and Senior Management Total Compensation Survey. The Hewitt Executive Compensation Survey provides a general industry perspective based on revenue scope for each named executive officer position. The CHiPS Executive and Senior Management Total Compensation Survey provides a high-technology perspective based on revenue for each named executive officer position. We use the broad survey data in combination with the peer group data in evaluating our named executive officer compensation. The Compensation Committee does not rely upon data from any individual company participating in any of these surveys in making compensation decisions. Data from the survey sources and the peer companies are combined to develop a primary market composite which is based on an average of survey data and peer company data.

Table of Contents 31

20

Componention

Elements of Executive Compensation

There are three key elements of Waters executive compensation program: base salary, annual incentive plan, and long-term performance-based awards. Each element of executive compensation addresses specific objectives of the program and together they meet the overall philosophy and objectives of the Waters executive compensation program as described above. The mix of short-term cash incentives and long-term equity incentives focuses executives on achievement of annual financial and operating objectives that drive long-term stockholder value. In addition, the Compensation Committee reviews the combined total of all compensation elements, or total direct compensation, in order to appropriately position total direct compensation relative to both the marketplace and the Company s objectives. Although the amount of each element of compensation for each named executive officer differs based on position-specific market data, the critical nature of the executive s position to the business, the executive s level of contribution, competitive compensation for each position, and other individual factors, the overall structure and compensation elements utilized are consistent for the CEO and all other named executive officers. The 2012 Compensation Element Results in the following table reflect the analysis completed by Pearl Meyer & Partners at the end of 2012.

Compensation		Executive Compensation	
Element	Objective	Philosophy	2012 Result
Base Salary	To attract and retain senior	Generally	The competitive
	executives and other key	targeted at or	overall
	employees.	below the	market position
		market	for 2012
		median. Actual	base salaries for
		salaries	named
		may vary based	executive
		on an	officers was at
		executive s	the 40 th
		performance,	percentile of the
		tenure,	market.
		experience and	
		contributions.	
Annual	To motivate named executive	Targets under	The 2012
	officers, senior executives and	the	targets under
Incentive	other key employees to achieve	Management	the
	annual non-GAAP E.P.S. growth	Incentive	Management
	and non-GAAP operating	Plan are	Incentive Plan
	income targets established at the	generally	for named
	beginning of the fiscal year.	positioned at or	executive
		slightly	officers ranged
		above market	from 50% to
		median to	125% of
		achieve a target	base salary. The
		total cash	overall
		position that	Total Target
		approximates	Cash market
		the median	position for
		of the	named
		competitive	executive
		market	officers was at
		for target	the 55 th
		performance.	percentile of the
		Actual payouts	market. Total
		will vary	Target
		based on	Cash is defined
		Company	as the
		performance.	total of annual
			base

salary and the target payout at 100% achievement.

21

Compensation		Executive	
Element	Objective	Compensation Philosophy	2012 Result
Long-Term Performance Based Awards	To motivate senior executives and other key employees to contribute to the Company s long-term growth of stockholder value and to align compensation with the growth in Waters stock price. Long-Term Performance-Based Awards are also designed to retain senior executives and key employees.	Equity compensation in the form of non-qualified stock options is targeted to be at or above the competitive market and to represent a significant portion of the executive s total direct compensation. Individual grants are determined based on the executive s position, performance, tenure, experience and contributions.	Non-qualified stock option grants and Target Total Direct Compensation both approximated the 70th percentile of the market. Target Total Direct Compensation is defined as the sum of Total Target Cash plus the value of the most recent Long-Term Incentive Grant.

Base Salary

The base salaries for the named executive officers are reviewed annually by the Compensation Committee. Individual salaries are based upon a combination of factors including past individual performance and experience, Company performance, scope of responsibility, competitive salary levels and an individual s potential for making contributions to future Company performance. The Compensation Committee considers all these factors in determining base salary increases and does not assign a specific weighting to any individual factor.

In addition to considering the factors listed above, the Compensation Committee also considers the competitive market position of an executive officer s base salary. Base salary increases are approved by the Compensation Committee at the end of the fiscal year with an effective date at the beginning of the next fiscal year, or January 1st of each year. The Pearl Meyer & Partner Executive Compensation Competitive Assessment completed at the end of 2011 provided the competitive market position used in determining the base salary in effect in 2012. The competitive market position at the end of 2011 averaged at the 40th percentile for named executive officers as a group. Salary increases for named executive officers ranged from 2.5% to 3.5% and were effective in January 2012.

Similarly, the Pearl Meyer & Partners Executive Compensation Competitive Assessment at the end of 2012 provided the competitive market information used in approving base salary changes in December 2012 to be effective in January 2013. The overall competitive position of base salaries for named executive officers in this analysis was also at the 40th percentile. However, in light of projected difficult economic conditions, salaries for named executive officers were reduced by amounts that range between 3% and 5%. The reduced salaries were effective in January 2013.

Annual Incentive

The Management Incentive Plan is the annual incentive plan for named executive officers, senior executives, and other key employees of the Company. The Compensation Committee establishes performance targets at the beginning of each fiscal year for named executive officers. Executive officers then establish performance targets for the remaining participants. Achievement of 100% of the performance target is required for an incentive payout equal to 100% of the incentive plan target. The 2012 Management Incentive Plan structure is described in the table below and is unchanged from the payout structure in 2011.

2012 Management Incentive Plan Payout Structure

	as a	as a Percent of Base Salary			
		Target	Maximum		
	Threshold				
Name	Performance	Performance	Performance		
Douglas A. Berthiaume	31.25%	125%	412.5%		
Arthur G. Caputo	27.5%	110%	350.02%		
John A. Ornell	25%	100%	318.2%		
Mark T. Beaudouin	18.75%	75%	238.65%		
Elizabeth B. Rae	12.5%	50%	159.1%		

All payouts at threshold performance are equal to .25 times the target payout for each executive officer, and are payable upon achievement of a minimum non-GAAP operating income threshold performance and a minimum non-GAAP E.P.S. goal. Performance below the minimum non-GAAP operating income threshold level results in no payout. The maximum payout under the plan is 3.3 times the target for Mr. Berthiaume and 3.182 times the target payouts for Messrs. Caputo, Ornell and Beaudouin and Ms. Rae. Payouts are interpolated for performance between threshold, target and maximum levels. The Compensation Committee believes that a maximum payout opportunity of 3.3 and 3.182 times the target payout is consistent with the philosophy to position total target cash at the median of the competitive market and to provide opportunity for significantly greater reward for overachievement of challenging performance goals. As discussed in detail below, the Compensation Committee establishes annual performance goals which represent strong Company performance.

The Compensation Committee has consistently utilized non-GAAP E.P.S. as the primary performance measure under the Management Incentive Plan for named executive officers. For the past seventeen years, the Compensation Committee has established a goal of 15% non-GAAP E.P.S. growth over the prior year. Consistent use of this measure promotes executive team alignment, focuses the executive team on operational efficiencies and profitability, provides a long-term perspective among executives and drives long-term stockholder value. The Compensation Committee also requires that a minimum non-GAAP operating income measure be achieved in addition to the non-GAAP E.P.S. growth target in order to maintain a balanced focus on operational improvements excluding the effects of any benefits from finance costs, taxes and stock repurchases to non-GAAP E.P.S. The non-GAAP E.P.S. growth targets are based on E.P.S. reported in accordance with GAAP, but adjusted to exclude certain charges and credits, net of tax, including but not limited to purchased intangibles amortization, acquisition, restructuring, litigation, lease termination, asset and equity investment impairments, tax audit settlements and adjustments and other items considered unusual or one-time costs. The Compensation Committee reviews and approves the annual adjusted non-GAAP E.P.S. for purposes of measuring E.P.S. growth goal achievement. The Company considers these items non-operational and not directly related to ongoing operations and therefore utilizes non-GAAP E.P.S. goals as the metric for the named executive officers in the annual Management Incentive Plan. The Compensation Committee evaluates the results of the Company s performance against previously established targets in order to determine the individual incentive plan payouts, if any, for the named executive officers under the Management Incentive Plan.

For the 2012 fiscal year, the Compensation Committee again established a 15% non-GAAP E.P.S. growth target over 2011. The Compensation Committee also established a minimum non-GAAP operating income threshold and a minimum non-GAAP E.P.S. threshold, both of which must be met for a non-GAAP E.P.S. payout under the Management Incentive Plan. The non-GAAP operating income threshold performance requirement for 2012 was 7% growth over 2011 and the 2012 minimum non-GAAP E.P.S threshold performance requirement was 7% growth over 2011. The maximum payout requires 27.5% non-GAAP E.P.S. growth over 2011. Once the

Table of Contents

thresholds of non-GAAP operating income and non-GAAP E.P.S. performance targets were met, the Management Incentive Plan provided increasing levels of incentive plan payouts consistent with increasing levels of non-GAAP E.P.S. growth only. In fiscal year 2012, the Company did not meet the minimum non-GAAP operating income and non-GAAP E.P.S. thresholds and there were no payouts under the Management Incentive Plan for named executive officers. Non-GAAP operating income for 2012 was \$541,574,000 which represents a 1% decline over 2011 non-GAAP operating income of \$547,524,000. Non-GAAP E.P.S. for 2012 was \$4.93 which represents 2.5% growth over 2011 non-GAAP E.P.S. of \$4.81. Non-GAAP E.P.S. and non-GAAP operating income for 2012 and 2011 excluded, net of tax, as applicable, purchased intangibles amortization, tax audit settlements and adjustments, asset impairments, restructuring costs, litigation provisions and acquisition-related costs from its non-GAAP adjusted amounts since the Company believes that these items are not directly related to ongoing operations. The Company has exceeded the target of 15% non-GAAP E.P.S. growth in 12 of the past 17 years and has exceeded threshold performance of 7% non-GAAP E.P.S. growth in 14 of the past 17 years.

The Company has reviewed the Management Incentive Plan with Pearl Meyer & Partners. The objectives of this review were to consider the Management Incentive Plan for alignment with Waters compensation philosophy and emphasis on pay for performance and to review the performance measures utilized under the Management Incentive Plan to ensure these measures provide the best ongoing assessment of strategy execution and the creation of stockholder value. Results of the review indicated that the Management Incentive Plan and the use of non-GAAP earnings growth as a metric continue to meet the goals of aligning pay with performance and holding executives accountable for strong financial and operating performance targets. The review also found that consistent achievement of 15% annual non-GAAP earnings growth was a challenging metric. A review of ten years of non-GAAP earnings growth for a group of peer companies indicated that 15% non-GAAP E.P.S. growth was achieved approximately 50% of the time. This study also found that actual executive payouts under the Company s Management Incentive Plan were aligned with both Company performance versus the peer group and total stockholder return.

Consistent with the Company s philosophy of a Total Target Cash competitive position that is at or slightly above the market median, the 2012 Pearl Meyer & Partners Executive Compensation Competitive Assessment showed that the overall Total Target Cash competitive position for named executive officers was at the 55th percentile.

On an annual basis the Committee considers an appropriate non-GAAP operating income and non-GAAP E.P.S. threshold for threshold payments under the Management Incentive Plan taking into consideration factors including, but not limited to, the Company s current year operating plan and the general outlook for economic conditions. For fiscal year 2013, the Compensation Committee has again established a 15% non-GAAP E.P.S. growth target for a target payout under the Management Incentive Plan. For a payout at threshold in 2013, the Committee established threshold performance goals of a 3% non-GAAP operating income growth and a 7% non-GAAP E.P.S. growth. The maximum payout will require non-GAAP E.P.S. growth of 27.5%. Payouts are interpolated for performance between threshold, target and maximum levels.

Long-Term Performance-Based Awards

The Compensation Committee considers and grants stock options to the named executive officers and other senior executives to align the interests of these executives with those of Waters—stockholders. We believe that stock options provide strong alignment between stockholders and these executives because the value of a stock option to an executive is directly related to the stock price appreciation delivered to stockholders over time. Conversely, poor stock price performance provides no stock option value to the executive.

The Compensation Committee reviews various long-term incentive instruments with Pearl Meyer & Partners. Based on these reviews, the Compensation Committee determined that non-qualified stock options most effectively meet Waters objectives for using performance oriented equity instruments for the named executive officers and other senior executives. Waters continues to emphasize performance-based long-term incentive instruments for the named executive officers and other senior executives and has chosen not to employ restricted stock units for its named executive officers and other senior executives to date.

The Compensation Committee considers non-qualified stock option grants annually at the Compensation Committee s December meeting. Multiple factors, considered collectively, are reviewed by the Compensation

24

Table of Contents

Committee in determining the number of shares to award each named executive officer. These factors include dilution, share usage, stock compensation expense, the financial and operational performance of the Company and each named executive officer, the prior number of shares granted, both individually to each named executive officer and in aggregate to all named executive officers, and competitive market data.

A review of share usage, dilution and stock based compensation expense was prepared by Pearl Meyer & Partners as of the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011. In this analysis the Company s annual share usage, as a percent of common shares outstanding, was at the 40 percentile for the most recent annual grant and the 50th percentile for an average of the past three years grants when compared to that of the Industry Peer Group. The Company s dilution was 6.0% which represent approximately the 55 percentile of the Industry Peer Group. The Company s fair market value stock-based expense approximates the market median and as a percent of market capitalization approximates the 25th percentile. The Compensation Committee reviews these metrics annually and in 2012 determined that the overall grant practices with respect to share usage and stock compensation expense were appropriate relative to the Industry Peer Group.

Competitive market data for long-term performance-based awards is also prepared for the Compensation Committee by Pearl Meyer & Partners. As noted above, the Committee also uses this data as one of the factors in determining the stock option grant for each named executive officer. Consistent with the Compensation Committee s philosophy of emphasizing performance-based pay, the Compensation Committee targets long-term incentives in the form of 100% stock options because executives only realize value if stockholders realize value. Furthermore, the Committee targets grant values above the median of the competitive market data for each named executive officer since 100% of the long-term incentives are at risk and ultimately dependent on the long-term performance of the Company. The Pearl Meyer & Partners 2012 Competitive Assessment indicated that the stock option awards granted in December 2011 for the named executive officers ranged between the 65th and 75th percentiles and averaged approximately the 70th percentile of the Black Scholes value competitive market data prepared by Pearl Meyer & Partners. In addition, the Pearl Meyer & Partners report indicated that peer counterparts received approximately 35% to 40% of their long-term incentive awards in the form of time-based restricted stock. The option grants approved in December 2012 for Messrs. Caputo, Ornell and Beaudouin and Ms. Rae were within the competitive range derived by applying 15% above and below the 50thth percentile of the competitive market data for Long-Term Incentives as prepared by Pearl Meyer & Partners, The Compensation Committee believes that the stock option grants to the named executive officers were aligned with its philosophy to emphasize long-term performance-based awards and were consistent with the scope and level of contribution for each of the named executive officers. It was the intention of the Compensation Committee to grant 135,000 non-qualified stock options to Mr. Berthiaume in 2012. Due to Mr. Berthiaume s significant ownership position in the Company, Mr. Berthiaume declined to be considered for an option grant in 2012. The Compensation Committee will continue to consider Mr. Berthiaume for future stock option grants.

Based on Company performance, comparable grant price levels and other factors, the Compensation Committee determined to maintain the same number of shares in the annual stock option grant to named executive officers in December 2012 as in December 2011.

The Compensation Committee also believes that it is important to provide meaningful reward and recognition opportunities to the named executive officers irrespective of the potential gains they may realize from prior long-term performance based awards. Non-qualified stock options for Messrs. Caputo, Ornell and Beaudouin and Ms. Rae were granted under the Waters Corporation 2012 Equity Incentive Plan based on the closing price of the Waters common stock on the grant date, December 11, 2012. All option grants will vest 20% per year for five years, and have a ten-year term. The five-year vesting schedule supports both the long-term focus of this element of compensation and Waters objective to retain senior executives. The December 11, 2012 grant agreements provide for the acceleration of vesting of unvested options upon the death of a named executive officer or senior executive.

Perquisites and Benefits

The Company does not offer any perquisites for the exclusive benefit of executive officers.

The named executive officers are eligible to participate in compensation and benefit plans that are generally offered to other employees, such as the Waters Employee Investment Plan (the 401(k) Plan), the Employee Stock

25

Table of Contents

Purchase Plan and health and insurance plans. They are also eligible to participate in the Waters 401(k) Restoration Plan (the 401(k) Restoration Plan) that is available to all employees who meet certain minimum earnings eligibility criteria. The Waters 401(k) Restoration Plan and the Waters Retirement Restoration Plan are designed to restore the benefits, matching contributions and compensation deferral that are limited by Internal Revenue Service benefit and compensation maximums. These plans are described more fully in the narrative that accompanies the Pension Benefits table and the Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation table in this Proxy Statement.

Change of Control/Severance Agreements

Messrs. Berthiaume, Caputo, Ornell and Beaudouin and Ms. Rae are each party to an Executive Change of Control/Severance Agreement, which is described in detail in the Payments Upon Termination or Change of Control section of this Proxy Statement.

The Company provides Change of Control/Severance Agreements for named executive officers and other senior executives if they are terminated or leave for good reason prior to or following a change of control, to ensure continuity of executive management in the event of a change of control of the Company, and to ensure the ability of executives to evaluate a potential change of control in the best interests of the Company and stockholders. In addition, under the terms and conditions of the executive officers—stock option agreements issued under the 2003 Equity Incentive Plan and the 2012 Equity Incentive Plan, in the event of a change of control, all of the named executive officers and other senior executives—outstanding and unvested stock options will fully accelerate and become fully exercisable. The terms of these agreements are more fully described in the—Payments upon Termination or Change of Control—section herein.

Equity Ownership Guidelines

The importance of ownership in Waters stock by its named executive officers is emphasized through ownership guidelines that require the CEO to acquire and retain common stock equal to five times his base salary over a three-year period. The other named executive officers are required to acquire and retain common stock equal to two times their base salary over a five-year period. If a named executive officer does not achieve his or her ownership guideline within the applicable three or five-year period, a disposition guideline will be applied. The disposition guideline requires that, upon subsequent exercise of a stock option, 50% of the named executive officer s net after tax profit from such exercise be retained in shares of Waters common stock until the stock ownership guideline is achieved. A named executive officer who achieves the ownership guideline and subsequently falls out of compliance will have 12 months to again achieve compliance before the disposition guideline on stock option exercises is applied. Vested in-the-money stock options count toward determining compliance for the purpose of accumulating shares to comply with the stock ownership guidelines. The ownership guidelines have been met by all named executive officers.

Recoupment Policy

The Company has adopted a Recoupment Policy for incentive awards paid to named executive officers under the Company s Management Incentive Plan. Under this policy, if an executive officer engaged in misconduct that resulted in a restatement of financial results, the Compensation Committee, if it is determined appropriate and subject to applicable laws, could seek reimbursement of the portion of Management Incentive Plan awards impacted by the event. The Company will review and as necessary amend the Recoupment Policy to be in full compliance with the Dodd-Frank Act when rules are adopted with respect to the Dodd-Frank Act s recoupment parameters.

Stock Option Grant Practices

It has been the consistent practice of the Compensation Committee to grant stock options to senior executives annually at the Compensation Committee s December meeting. Grant prices are established based on the closing price of the common stock on the date of grant.

Tax and Accounting Implications

Waters considers all of the tax and accounting aspects of the compensation instruments utilized by the Company in determining the most efficient method to use in delivering executive compensation. This includes, but is not limited to, Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code. Section 162(m) generally limits the tax

26

deduction available to public companies for annual compensation paid to the chief executive officer and next three highest paid officers (exclusive of the chief financial officer) in excess of \$1 million unless the compensation qualifies as performance-based. The Compensation Committee intends for payments under the Management Incentive Plan and equity grants under the 2003 Equity Incentive Plan and 2012 Equity Incentive Plan to qualify as performance-based compensation under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code. The maximum payout amount of \$5,000,000 was established to comply with the maximum payout requirements of Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code. It is the Company s intent to qualify plans for full deductibility to the extent that it is consistent with the Company s overall compensation objectives.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION TABLES

The table below summarizes the total compensation paid to or earned by our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and the three other most highly paid named executive officers for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010.

Summary Compensation Tab	le								
Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)	Stock Awards (\$)	Option Awards (\$)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$)	Change in Pension Value and Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Earnings (\$)	All Other Compensation (\$)	Total (\$)
(a) Douglas A. Berthiaume	(b) 2012 2011	(c) \$814,776 \$794,903	(d)	(e)	(f) \$0 \$0	(g) \$0 \$1,562,840	(h) \$104,369 \$150,965	(i) \$143,827 \$138,022	(j) \$1,062,972 \$2,646,730
Chairman, President and		,			•	. , ,	. ,	. ,	, ,
Chief Executive Officer Arthur G. Caputo Executive Vice President	2010 2012 2011	\$757,050 \$508,506 \$491,310			\$0 \$2,611,400 \$3,289,000	\$1,483,269 \$0 \$821,893	\$155,091 \$65,248 \$102,106	\$46,755 \$16,170 \$16,032	\$2,442,165 \$3,201,324 \$4,720,341
ID . the A.W. A.									
and President, Waters Division	2010	\$463,500			\$2,651,000	\$792,652	\$92,655	\$16,032	\$4,015,839
John A. Ornell Vice	2010	\$414,704			\$1,424,400	\$192,032 \$0	\$40,961	\$36,267	\$1,916,332
John A. Ornen vice	2012	\$400,680			\$1,794,000	\$609,347	\$69,562	\$35,359	\$2,908,948
President Finance and									
Administration and									
Chief Financial Officer	2010	\$378,000			\$1,446,000	\$549,470	\$51,812	\$15,723	\$2,441,005
Mark T. Beaudouin	2012 2011	\$401,020 \$389,340			\$1,187,000 \$1,495,000	\$0 \$444,076	\$13,216 \$21,784	\$51,768 \$22,245	\$1,653,004
Vice President, General	2011	\$369,3 4 0			\$1,495,000	\$ 444 ,070	\$21,784	\$32,245	\$2,382,445
Counsel and Secretary	2010	\$370,800			\$1,205,000	\$443,885	\$17,683	\$23,272	\$2,060,640
Elizabeth B. Rae	2012	\$245,334			\$949,600	\$0	\$13,501	\$36,115	\$1,244,550
	2011	\$237,038			\$1,196,000	\$180,242	\$23,493	\$26,406	\$1,663,179
Vice President,									
Human Resources	2010	\$225,750			\$964,000	\$193,033	\$16,026	\$14,080	