

STAR GAS PARTNERS LP
Form 10-Q
February 06, 2013
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, DC 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended December 31, 2012

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number: 001-14129

STAR GAS PARTNERS, L.P.

(Exact name of registrants as specified in its charters)

Edgar Filing: STAR GAS PARTNERS LP - Form 10-Q

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

2187 Atlantic Street,
Stamford, Connecticut
(Address of principal executive office)

06-1437793
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

06902

(203) 328-7310
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrants are large accelerated filers, accelerated filers, non-accelerated filers or smaller reporting companies. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrants are shell companies (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes No

At January 31, 2013, the registrant had 59,896,467 common units outstanding.

Table of Contents

STAR GAS PARTNERS, L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES

INDEX TO FORM 10-Q

| | Page |
|---|-------------|
| Part I Financial Information | |
| Item 1 Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements | |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2012 (unaudited) and September 30, 2012</u> | 3 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations (unaudited) for the three months ended December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011</u> | 4 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (unaudited) for the three months ended December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011</u> | 5 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statement of Partners' Capital (unaudited) for the three months ended December 31, 2012</u> | 6 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (unaudited) for the three months ended December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011</u> | 7 |
| <u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)</u> | 8-16 |
| <u>Item 2 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 17-31 |
| <u>Item 3 Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | 31 |
| <u>Item 4 Controls and Procedures</u> | 32 |
| Part II Other Information: | |
| <u>Item 1 Legal Proceedings</u> | 32 |
| <u>Item 1A Risk Factors</u> | 33 |
| <u>Item 2 Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> | 33 |
| <u>Item 6 Exhibits</u> | 33 |
| <u>Signatures</u> | 34 |

Table of Contents

STAR GAS PARTNERS, L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

| (in thousands) | December 31, 2012 (unaudited) | September 30, 2012 |
|--|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Current assets | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 14,316 | \$ 108,091 |
| Receivables, net of allowance of \$8,444 and \$6,886, respectively | 192,720 | 88,267 |
| Inventories | 83,148 | 47,465 |
| Fair asset value of derivative instruments | 977 | 5,004 |
| Current deferred tax assets, net | 23,308 | 25,844 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | 30,888 | 26,848 |
| Total current assets | 345,357 | 301,519 |
| Property and equipment, net | 51,389 | 52,608 |
| Goodwill | 201,103 | 201,103 |
| Intangibles, net | 72,434 | 74,712 |
| Deferred charges and other assets, net | 9,111 | 9,405 |
| Total assets | \$ 679,394 | \$ 639,347 |
| LIABILITIES AND PARTNERS CAPITAL | | |
| Current liabilities | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 31,462 | \$ 22,583 |
| Revolving credit facility borrowings | 36,703 | |
| Fair liability value of derivative instruments | 4,642 | 453 |
| Accrued expenses and other current liabilities | 83,562 | 78,518 |
| Unearned service contract revenue | 50,279 | 40,799 |
| Customer credit balances | 63,373 | 85,976 |
| Total current liabilities | 270,021 | 228,329 |
| Long-term debt | 124,382 | 124,357 |
| Long-term deferred tax liabilities, net | 7,035 | 8,436 |
| Other long-term liabilities | 16,694 | 18,080 |
| Partners capital | | |
| Common unitholders | 287,546 | 286,819 |
| General partner | 94 | 97 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss, net of taxes | (26,378) | (26,771) |
| Total partners capital | 261,262 | 260,145 |
| Total liabilities and partners capital | \$ 679,394 | \$ 639,347 |

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

STAR GAS PARTNERS, L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

| (in thousands, except per unit data - unaudited) | Three Months Ended December 31, | |
|--|--|-----------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Sales: | | |
| Product | \$ 454,470 | \$ 406,669 |
| Installations and service | 62,055 | 54,805 |
| Total sales | 516,525 | 461,474 |
| Cost and expenses: | | |
| Cost of product | 356,613 | 316,673 |
| Cost of installations and service | 57,221 | 52,351 |
| (Increase) decrease in the fair value of derivative instruments | 7,965 | 7,118 |
| Delivery and branch expenses | 68,387 | 67,757 |
| Depreciation and amortization expenses | 4,358 | 3,629 |
| General and administrative expenses | 4,491 | 5,365 |
| Operating income | 17,490 | 8,581 |
| Interest expense | (3,427) | (3,452) |
| Interest income | 1,098 | 728 |
| Amortization of debt issuance costs | (492) | (274) |
| Income before income taxes | 14,669 | 5,583 |
| Income tax expense | 4,917 | 2,652 |
| Net income | \$ 9,752 | \$ 2,931 |
| General Partner's interest in net income | 53 | 15 |
| Limited Partners' interest in net income | \$ 9,699 | \$ 2,916 |
| Basic and Diluted income per Limited Partner Unit (1) | \$ 0.15 | \$ 0.05 |
| Weighted average number of Limited Partner units outstanding: | | |
| Basic and Diluted | 60,556 | 64,189 |

(1) See Note 13 Earnings (Loss) Per Limited Partner Unit.
See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

STAR GAS PARTNERS, L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

| (in thousands - unaudited) | Three Months Ended | |
|---|---------------------------|-----------------|
| | December 31, 2012 | 2011 |
| Net income | \$ 9,752 | \$ 2,931 |
| Other comprehensive income: | | |
| Unrealized gain on pension plan obligation | 664 | 688 |
| Tax effect of unrealized gain on pension plan | (271) | (281) |
| Total other comprehensive income | 393 | 407 |
| Total comprehensive income | \$ 10,145 | \$ 3,338 |

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**STAR GAS PARTNERS, L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF PARTNERS CAPITAL**

| (in thousands) | Number of Units | | Common | General Partner | Accum. Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) | Total Partners Capital |
|---|-----------------|-----------------|------------|-----------------|--|------------------------|
| | Common | General Partner | | | | |
| Balance as of September 30, 2012 | 61,002 | 326 | \$ 286,819 | \$ 97 | \$ (26,771) | \$ 260,145 |
| Net income | | | 9,699 | 53 | | 9,752 |
| Unrealized gain on pension plan obligation | | | | | 664 | 664 |
| Tax effect of unrealized gain on pension plan | | | | | (271) | (271) |
| Distributions | | | (4,725) | (56) | | (4,781) |
| Retirement of units (1) | (1,015) | | (4,247) | | | (4,247) |
| Balance as of December 31, 2012 (unaudited) | 59,987 | 326 | \$ 287,546 | \$ 94 | \$ (26,378) | \$ 261,262 |

(1) See Note 3 Common Unit Repurchase and Retirement.

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**STAR GAS PARTNERS, L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

| (in thousands - unaudited) | Three Months Ended December 31, | |
|--|------------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Cash flows provided by (used in) operating activities: | | |
| Net income | \$ 9,752 | \$ 2,931 |
| Adjustment to reconcile net income to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities: | | |
| (Increase) decrease in fair value of derivative instruments | 7,965 | 7,118 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 4,850 | 3,903 |
| Provision for losses on accounts receivable | 1,763 | 1,450 |
| Change in deferred taxes | 864 | 1,154 |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities: | | |
| Increase in receivables | (106,395) | (79,111) |
| Increase in inventories | (35,683) | (18,883) |
| Increase in other assets | (3,799) | (3,202) |
| Increase in accounts payable | 8,878 | 7,205 |
| Decrease in customer credit balances | (22,603) | (5,316) |
| Increase in other current and long-term liabilities | 13,826 | 7,986 |
| Net cash used in operating activities | (120,582) | (74,765) |
| Cash flows provided by (used in) investing activities: | | |
| Capital expenditures | (848) | (1,276) |
| Proceeds from sales of fixed assets | 16 | 241 |
| Acquisitions | | (25,863) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (832) | (26,898) |
| Cash flows provided by (used in) financing activities: | | |
| Revolving credit facility borrowings | 36,703 | 46,834 |
| Distributions | (4,781) | (5,072) |
| Unit repurchase | (4,247) | (12,642) |
| Deferred charges | (36) | (326) |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | 27,639 | 28,794 |
| Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents | (93,775) | (72,869) |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 108,091 | 86,789 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ 14,316 | \$ 13,920 |

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

STAR GAS PARTNERS, L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

1) Partnership Organization

Star Gas Partners, L.P. (Star Gas Partners, the Partnership, we, us, or our) is a home heating oil and propane distributor and services provider with one reportable operating segment that principally provides services to residential and commercial customers to heat their homes and buildings. Star Gas Partners is a master limited partnership, which at December 31, 2012, had outstanding 60.0 million common units (NYSE: SGU), representing the 99.46% limited partner interest in Star Gas Partners, and 0.3 million general partner units, representing the 0.54% general partner interest in Star Gas Partners.

The Partnership is organized as follows:

The general partner of the Partnership is Kestrel Heat, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company (Kestrel Heat or the General Partner). The Board of Directors of Kestrel Heat is appointed by its sole member, Kestrel Energy Partners, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company (Kestrel).

The Partnership's operations are conducted through Petro Holdings, Inc. and its subsidiaries (Petro). Petro is a Minnesota corporation that is an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of the Partnership. Petro is subject to Federal and state corporation income taxes. Petro is a Northeast and Mid-Atlantic region retail distributor of home heating oil and propane that at December 31, 2012 served approximately 417,000 full-service residential and commercial home heating oil and propane customers. Petro also sold home heating oil, gasoline and diesel fuel to approximately 53,000 customers on a delivery only basis. In addition, Petro installed, maintained, and repaired heating and air conditioning equipment for its customers, and provided ancillary home services, including home security and plumbing, to approximately 11,500 customers.

Star Gas Finance Company is a 100% owned subsidiary of the Partnership. Star Gas Finance Company serves as the co-issuer, jointly and severally with the Partnership, of its \$125 million (excluding discount) 8.875% Senior Notes outstanding at December 31, 2012, that are due 2017. The Partnership is dependent on distributions, including inter-company interest payments from its subsidiaries, to service the Partnership's debt obligations. The distributions from the Partnership's subsidiaries are not guaranteed and are subject to certain loan restrictions. Star Gas Finance Company has nominal assets and conducts no business operations. (See Note 8 Long-Term Debt and Bank Facility Borrowings)

2) Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Presentation

The Consolidated Financial Statements include the accounts of Star Gas Partners, L.P. and its subsidiaries. All material inter-company items and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

The financial information included herein is unaudited; however, such information reflects all adjustments (consisting solely of normal recurring adjustments), which are, in the opinion of management, necessary for the fair statement of financial condition and results for the interim periods. Due to the seasonal nature of the Partnership's business, the results of operations and cash flows for the three month period ended December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year.

These interim financial statements of the Partnership have been prepared in accordance with U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP) for interim financial information and Rule 10-01 of Regulation S-X of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission and should be read in conjunction with the financial statements included in the Partnership's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2012.

Comprehensive Income (Loss)

Edgar Filing: STAR GAS PARTNERS LP - Form 10-Q

Comprehensive income (loss) is comprised of net income (loss) and other comprehensive income (loss). Other comprehensive income (loss) consists of the unrealized gain (loss) amortization on the Partnership's pension plan obligation for its two frozen defined benefit pension plans, and the corresponding tax effect.

Table of Contents

The Partnership adopted the provisions of Accounting Standards Update (ASU) ASU No. 2011-05, Comprehensive Income (220): Presentation of Comprehensive Income, by including as part of our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, a separate statement of comprehensive income. The adoption of ASU No. 2011-05 did not impact our results of operations or the amount of assets and liabilities reported.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In December 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued ASU No. 2011-11, Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities. This standard requires an entity to disclose information about offsetting and related arrangements to enable users of financial statements to understand the effect of those arrangements on its financial position. The amendments will enhance disclosures by requiring improved information about financial instruments and derivative instruments that are either (1) offset in accordance with other GAAP or (2) subject to an enforceable master netting arrangement or similar agreement, regardless of whether they are offset on the balance sheet. This new guidance is effective for annual reporting periods beginning in the first quarter of fiscal year 2014. The adoption of ASU No. 2011-11 will not impact our results of operations or the amount of assets and liabilities reported. We are currently evaluating the impact on our disclosures.

3) Common Unit Repurchase and Retirement

In July 2012, the Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to 3.0 million of the Partnership's common units (Plan III). The authorized common unit repurchases may be made from time-to-time in the open market, in privately negotiated transactions or in such other manner deemed appropriate by management. There is no guarantee of the exact number of units that will be purchased under the program and the Partnership may discontinue purchases at any time. The program does not have a time limit. The Partnership's repurchase activities take into account SEC safe harbor rules and guidance for issuer repurchases. All of the common units purchased in the repurchase program will be retired.

The Partnership must maintain Availability (as defined in the revolving credit facility agreement) of \$61.3 million, 17.5% of the maximum facility size on a historical pro forma and forward-looking basis, and a fixed charge coverage ratio of not less than 1.15 in order to repurchase common units.

(in thousands, except per unit amounts)

| Period | Total Number of Units Purchased as Part of a Publicly Announced Plan or Program | | Average Price Paid per Unit (a) | Maximum Number of Units that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Program |
|---|---|----|------------------------------------|--|
| | | | | |
| Plan III - Number of units authorized | | | | 3,000 |
| Plan III - Fiscal year 2012 total | 22 | \$ | 4.26 | 2,978 |
| Plan III - October 2012 | 39 | \$ | 4.28 | 2,939 |
| Plan III - November 2012 | 645 | \$ | 4.20 | 2,294 |
| Plan III - December 2012 | 331 | \$ | 4.13 | 1,963 |
| Plan III - First quarter fiscal year 2013 total | 1,015 | \$ | 4.18 | |

(a) Amounts include repurchase costs.

4) Derivatives and Hedging Disclosures and Fair Value Measurements

The Partnership uses derivative instruments such as futures, options and swap agreements in order to mitigate exposure to market risk associated with the purchase of home heating oil for price-protected customers, physical inventory on hand, inventory in transit and priced purchase commitments.

To hedge a substantial majority of the purchase price associated with heating oil gallons anticipated to be sold to its price-protected customers as of December 31, 2012, the Partnership held 2.4 million gallons of physical inventory and held 10.2 million gallons of swap contracts to buy heating oil, 3.8 million gallons of call options, 7.8 million gallons of put options and 84.8 million net gallons of synthetic calls. To hedge the

Edgar Filing: STAR GAS PARTNERS LP - Form 10-Q

inter-month differentials for its price-protected customers, its physical inventory on hand and inventory in transit, the Partnership, as of December 31, 2012, had 66.3 million gallons of future contracts to buy heating oil, 76.8 million gallons of future contracts to sell heating oil, 13.0 million gallons of swap contracts to buy diesel (for NYS ultra-low sulfur heating oil customers) and 30.8 million gallons of swap contracts to sell heating oil (including 13.0 million gallons designated for NYS ultra-low sulfur heating oil customers). To hedge a majority of its internal fuel usage for fiscal 2013, the Partnership as of December 31, 2012, had 1.1 million gallons of swap contracts to buy gasoline and 1.0 million gallons of swap contracts to buy diesel.

Table of Contents

To hedge a substantial majority of the purchase price associated with heating oil gallons anticipated to be sold to its price-protected customers, as of December 31, 2011, the Partnership had 2.0 million gallons of physical inventory and had 8.1 million gallons of swap contracts to buy heating oil, 4.0 million gallons of call options, 5.8 million gallons of put options and 90.2 million net gallons of synthetic calls. To hedge the inter-month differentials for its price-protected customers, its physical inventory on hand and inventory in transit, the Partnership as of December 31, 2011, had 17.5 million gallons of future contracts to buy heating oil, 26.5 million gallons of future contracts to sell heating oil, and 27.8 million gallons of swap contracts to sell heating oil. To hedge a majority of its internal fuel usage for fiscal 2012, the Partnership, as of December 31, 2011, had 1.3 million gallons of swap contracts to buy gasoline and 1.0 million gallons of swap contracts to buy diesel.

The Partnership's derivative instruments are with the following counterparties: Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., Key Bank, N.A., Bank of Montreal, Cargill, Inc., JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., Societe Generale, Regions Financial Corporation, Bank of America, N.A., and Newedge USA, LLC. The Partnership assesses counterparty credit risk and maintains master netting arrangements with counterparties to help manage the risks, and record derivative positions on a net basis. The Partnership considers counterparty credit risk to be low. At December 31, 2012, the aggregate cash posted as collateral in the normal course of business at counterparties was \$1.4 million. Positions with counterparties who are also parties to our revolving credit facility are collateralized under that facility. As of December 31, 2012, \$13.5 million of hedge positions were secured under the credit facility.

FASB ASC 815-10-05 Derivatives and Hedging, established accounting and reporting standards requiring that derivative instruments be recorded at fair value and included in the consolidated balance sheet as assets or liabilities, along with qualitative disclosures regarding the derivative activity. To the extent derivative instruments designated as cash flow hedges are effective and the standard's documentation requirements have been met, changes in fair value are recognized in other comprehensive income until the underlying hedged item is recognized in earnings. The Partnership has elected not to designate its derivative instruments as hedging instruments under this standard and the change in fair value of the derivative instruments is recognized in our statement of operations in the line item (Increase) decrease in the fair value of derivative instruments. Depending on the risk being hedged, realized gains and losses are recorded in cost of product, cost of installations and service, or delivery and branch expenses.

FASB ASC 820-10 Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures, established a three-tier fair value hierarchy, which classified the inputs used in measuring fair value. These tiers include: Level 1, defined as observable inputs such as quoted prices for identical instruments in active markets; Level 2, defined as inputs other than quoted prices in active markets that are either directly or indirectly observable; and Level 3, defined as unobservable inputs in which little or no market data exists, therefore requiring an entity to develop its own assumptions. The Partnership's Level 1 derivative assets and liabilities represent the fair value of commodity contracts used in its hedging activities that are identical and traded in active markets. The Partnership's Level 2 derivative assets and liabilities represent the fair value of commodity contracts used in its hedging activities that are valued using either directly or indirectly observable inputs, whose nature, risk and class are similar. No significant transfers of assets or liabilities have been made into and out of the Level 1 or Level 2 tiers. All derivative instruments were non-trading positions and were either a Level 1 or Level 2 instrument. The fair market value of our Level 1 and Level 2 derivative assets and liabilities are calculated by our counter-parties and are independently validated by the Partnership. The Partnership's calculations are, for Level 1 derivative assets and liabilities, based on the published New York Mercantile Exchange (NYMEX) market prices for the commodity contracts open at the end of the period. For Level 2 derivative assets and liabilities the calculations performed by the Partnership are based on a combination of the NYMEX published market prices and other inputs, including such factors as present value, volatility and duration.

The Partnership had no assets or liabilities that are measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis subsequent to their initial recognition. The Partnership's financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis are listed on the following table.

Table of Contents

(In thousands)

| Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments Under FASB ASC 815-10 | | Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using: | | | |
|---|---|--|--|---------------------------------------|---|
| | | Total | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets Level 1 | Significant Observable Inputs Level 2 | Other Significant Unobservable Inputs Level 3 |
| Asset Derivatives at December 31, 2012 | | | | | |
| Commodity contracts | Fair asset and fair liability value of derivative instruments | \$ 14,282 | \$ 1,297 | \$ 12,985 | \$ |
| Commodity contract assets at December 31, 2012 | | \$ 14,282 | \$ 1,297 | \$ 12,985 | \$ |
| Liability Derivatives at December 31, 2012 | | | | | |
| Commodity contracts | Fair liability and fair asset value of derivative instruments | \$ (17,947) | \$ (1,716) | \$ (16,231) | \$ |
| Commodity contract liabilities at December 31, 2012 | | \$ (17,947) | \$ (1,716) | \$ (16,231) | \$ |
| Asset Derivatives at September 30, 2012 | | | | | |
| Commodity contracts | Fair asset and fair liability value of derivative instruments | \$ 15,100 | \$ 1,749 | \$ 13,351 | \$ |
| Commodity contract assets at September 30, 2012 | | \$ 15,100 | \$ 1,749 | \$ 13,351 | \$ |
| Liability Derivatives at September 30, 2012 | | | | | |
| Commodity contracts | Fair liability and fair asset value of derivative instruments | \$ (10,549) | \$ (1,898) | \$ (8,651) | \$ |
| Commodity contract liabilities at September 30, 2012 | | \$ (10,549) | \$ (1,898) | \$ (8,651) | \$ |

(In thousands)

The Effect of Derivative Instruments on the Statement of Operations

Derivatives Not

| Designated as Hedging Instruments Under FASB ASC 815-10 | Location of (Gain) or Loss Recognized in Income on Derivative | Amount of (Gain) or Loss Recognized | |
|---|---|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| | | Three Months Ended December 31, 2012 | Three Months Ended December 31, 2011 |
| Commodity contracts | Cost of product (a) | \$ 4,876 | \$ (592) |
| Commodity contracts | Cost of installations and service (a) | \$ (89) | \$ 51 |
| Commodity contracts | Delivery and branch expenses (a) | \$ (85) | \$ 8 |
| Commodity contracts | (Increase) / decrease in the fair value of derivative instruments | \$ 7,965 | \$ 7,118 |

(a) Represents realized closed positions and includes the cost of options as they expire.

Table of Contents**5) Inventories**

The Partnership's product inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market computed on the weighted average cost method. All other inventories, representing parts and equipment are stated at the lower of cost or market using the FIFO method. The components of inventory were as follows (in thousands):

| | December 31, 2012 | September 30, 2012 |
|---------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| Product | \$ 65,530 | \$ 30,786 |
| Parts and equipment | 17,618 | 16,679 |
| | \$ 83,148 | \$ 47,465 |

6) Property and Equipment

Property and equipment are stated at cost. Depreciation is computed over the estimated useful lives of the depreciable assets using the straight-line method (in thousands):

| | December 31, 2012 | September 30, 2012 |
|--------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| Property and equipment | \$ 167,569 | \$ 167,060 |
| Less: accumulated depreciation | 116,180 | 114,452 |
| Property and equipment, net | \$ 51,389 | \$ 52,608 |

7) Other Intangible Assets***Intangibles, net***

The gross carrying amount and accumulated amortization of intangible assets subject to amortization are as follows (in thousands):

| | December 31, 2012 | | | September 30, 2012 | | |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|-----------|-----------------------------|------------------------|-----------|
| | Gross Carrying Amount | Accum. Amortization | Net | Gross Carrying Amount | Accum. Amortization | Net |
| Customer lists and other intangibles | \$ 286,783 | \$ 214,349 | \$ 72,434 | \$ 286,783 | \$ 212,071 | \$ 74,712 |

Amortization expense for intangible assets was \$2.3 million for the three months ended December 31, 2012, compared to \$1.7 million for the three months ended December 31, 2011. Total estimated annual amortization expense related to intangible assets subject to amortization, for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2013, and the four succeeding fiscal years ending September 30, is as follows (in thousands):

| | Estimated Annual Book Amortization Expense |
|------|---|
| 2013 | \$ 9,111 |
| 2014 | \$ 9,035 |

Edgar Filing: STAR GAS PARTNERS LP - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|------|----|-------|
| 2015 | \$ | 8,900 |
| 2016 | \$ | 8,729 |
| 2017 | \$ | 8,209 |

Table of Contents**8) Long-Term Debt and Bank Facility Borrowings**

The Partnership's debt is as follows (in thousands):

| | December 31, 2012 | | September 30, 2012 | |
|--|-------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|
| | Carrying Amount | Estimated Fair Value (a) | Carrying Amount | Estimated Fair Value (a) |
| 8.875% Senior Notes (b) | \$ 124,382 | \$ 126,875 | \$ 124,357 | \$ 126,563 |
| Revolving Credit Facility Borrowings (c) | 36,703 | 36,703 | | |
| Total debt | \$ 161,085 | \$ 163,578 | \$ 124,357 | \$ 126,563 |
| Total long-term portion of debt | \$ 124,382 | \$ 126,875 | \$ 124,357 | \$ 126,563 |

- (a) The Partnership's fair value estimates of long-term debt are made at a specific point in time, based on relevant market information, open market quotations and information about the financial instrument. These estimates are subjective in nature and involve uncertainties and matters of significant judgment. Changes in assumptions could significantly affect the estimates.
- (b) The 8.875% Senior Notes were originally issued in November 2010 in a private placement offering pursuant to Rule 144A and Regulation S under the Securities Act of 1933, and in February 2011, were exchanged for substantially identical public notes registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission. These public notes mature in December 2017 and accrue interest at an annual rate of 8.875% requiring semi-annual interest payments on June 1 and December 1 of each year. The discount on these notes was \$0.6 million at December 31, 2012. Under the terms of the indenture, these notes permit restricted payments after passing certain financial tests. The Partnership can incur debt up to \$100 million for acquisitions and can also pay restricted payments of \$22.0 million without passing certain financial tests.
- (c) In June 2011, the Partnership entered into an amended and restated asset based revolving credit facility agreement with a bank syndication comprised of fifteen banks. The amended and restated revolving credit facility expires in June 2016. In November 2011, the Partnership exercised the provision under this agreement to expand the facility by an additional \$50 million. Under this agreement, the Partnership may borrow up to \$250 million (\$350 million during the heating season from December to April each year) for working capital purposes (subject to certain borrowing base limitations and coverage ratios) and may issue up to \$100 million in letters of credit. The Partnership can increase the facility size by \$100 million without the consent of the bank group. The bank group is not obligated to fund the \$100 million increase. If the bank group elects not to fund the increase, the Partnership can add additional lenders to the group, with the consent of the agent (as appointed in the revolving credit facility agreement), which shall not be unreasonably withheld. Obligations under the revolving credit facility are guaranteed by the Partnership and its subsidiaries and are secured by liens on substantially all of the Partnership's assets including accounts receivable, inventory, general intangibles, real property, fixtures and equipment.

The interest rate is LIBOR plus (i) 1.75% (if Availability, as defined in the revolving credit facility agreement is greater than or equal to \$150 million), or (ii) 2.00% (if Availability is greater than \$75 million but less than \$150 million), or (iii) 2.25% (if Availability is less than or equal to \$75 million). The Commitment Fee on the unused portion of the facility is 0.375% per annum. This amended and restated revolving credit facility imposes certain restrictions, including restrictions on the Partnership's ability to incur additional indebtedness, to pay distributions to unitholders, to pay inter-company dividends or distributions, make investments, grant liens, sell assets, make acquisitions and engage in certain other activities.

With the exception of the period from April 1, 2012 to December 31, 2012, (during which certain of the financial covenants have been modified, as described below), the Partnership is obligated to meet certain financial covenants under the amended and restated revolving credit facility, including the requirement to maintain at all times either Availability (borrowing base less amounts borrowed and letters of credit issued) of \$43.8 million, 12.5% of the maximum facility size, or a fixed charge coverage ratio (as defined in the revolving credit facility agreement) of not less than 1.1, which is calculated based upon Adjusted EBITDA for the trailing twelve months. In order to make acquisitions, the Partnership must maintain Availability of \$40 million on a historical pro forma and forward-looking basis. In addition, the Partnership must maintain Availability of \$61.3 million, 17.5% of the maximum facility size on a historical pro forma and forward-looking basis, and a fixed charge coverage ratio of not less than 1.15 in order to pay any distributions to unitholders or repurchase common units.

Edgar Filing: STAR GAS PARTNERS LP - Form 10-Q

In April 2012, the Partnership amended its bank facility (Second Amendment) for the period April 1, 2012, to December 31, 2012, to permit payment of distributions as long as Availability, as defined in the bank facility, is not less than \$50.0 million and provided that distributions made during such period did not exceed \$0.2325 per Common Unit. During this period, the Partnership was not required to meet the fixed charge coverage ratio test of 1.15 to pay distributions.

Table of Contents

The amended and restated revolving credit facility prohibits certain activities including investments, acquisitions, asset sales, inter-company dividends or distributions (including those needed to pay interest or principal on the 8.875% senior notes), except to the Partnership or a wholly owned subsidiary of the Partnership, if the relevant covenant described above has not been met. The occurrence of an event of default or an acceleration under the amended and restated revolving credit facility would result in the Partnership's inability to obtain further borrowings under that facility, which could adversely affect its results of operations. Such a default may also restrict the ability of the Partnership to obtain funds from its subsidiaries in order to pay interest or pay down debt. An acceleration under the amended and restated revolving credit facility would result in a default under the Partnership's other funded debt.

At December 31, 2012, \$36.7 million was outstanding under the revolving credit facility and \$45.6 million of letters of credit were issued. At September 30, 2012, no amount was outstanding under the revolving credit facility and \$42.8 million of letters of credit were issued.

At December 31, 2012, availability was \$154.2 million and the Partnership was in compliance with the fixed charge coverage ratio. At September 30, 2012, availability was \$179.2 million and the Partnership was in compliance with the fixed charge coverage ratio.

In July 2011, the Partnership's shelf registration became effective, providing for the sale of up to \$250 million in one or more offerings of common units representing limited partnership interests, partnership securities and debt securities; which may be secured or unsecured senior debt securities or secured or unsecured subordinated debt securities. As of December 31, 2012, no offerings under this shelf registration have occurred.

9) Employee Benefit Plan

| (in thousands) | Three Months Ended | |
|---|--------------------|--------|
| | December 31, | |
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| <u>Components of net periodic benefit cost:</u> | | |
| Service cost | \$ | \$ |
| Interest cost | 620 | 714 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (948) | (941) |
| Net amortization | 664 | 688 |
| Net periodic benefit cost | \$ 336 | \$ 461 |

For the three months ended December 31, 2012, the Partnership contributed \$0.7 million and expects to make an additional \$2.7 million contribution in fiscal 2013 to fund its pension obligation.

10) Income Taxes

As most of the Partnership's income is derived from its corporate subsidiaries, these financial statements reflect significant Federal and State income taxes. For corporate subsidiaries of the Partnership, a consolidated Federal income tax return is filed. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amount of assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases and operating loss carry-forwards. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. A valuation allowance is recognized if, based on the weight of available evidence including historical tax losses, it is more likely than not that some or all of deferred tax assets will not be realized.

The Partnership is a master limited partnership and is not subject to tax at the entity level for Federal and State income tax purposes. Rather, income and losses of the Partnership are allocated directly to the individual partners (the Partnership's corporate subsidiaries are subject to tax at the entity level for federal and state income tax purposes). While the Partnership will generate non-qualifying Master Limited Partnership revenue through its corporate subsidiaries, distributions from the corporate subsidiaries to the Partnership are generally included in the determination of qualified Master Limited Partnership income. All or a portion of the distributions received by the Partnership from the corporate subsidiaries could be a dividend or capital gain to the partners.

Edgar Filing: STAR GAS PARTNERS LP - Form 10-Q

The accompanying financial statements are reported on a fiscal year, however, the Partnership and its Corporate subsidiaries file Federal and State income tax returns on a calendar year.

Table of Contents

The current and deferred income tax expenses for the three months ended December 31, 2012, and 2011 are as follows (in thousands):

| (in thousands) | Three Months Ended December 31, | |
|----------------------------|------------------------------------|----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Income before income taxes | \$ 14,669 | \$ 5,583 |
| Current tax expense | \$ 4,053 | \$ 1,498 |
| Deferred tax expense | 864 | 1,154 |
| Total tax expense | \$ 4,917 | \$ 2,652 |

As of the calendar tax year ended December 31, 2012, Star Acquisitions, a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Partnership, had an estimated Federal net operating loss carry forward (NOLs) of approximately \$10.6 million. The Federal NOLs, which will expire between 2018 and 2024, are generally available to offset any future taxable income but are also subject to annual limitations of between \$1.0 million and \$2.2 million.

FASB ASC 740-10-05-6 Income Taxes: Uncertain Tax Position, provides financial statement accounting guidance for uncertainty in income taxes and tax positions taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. At December 31, 2012, we had unrecognized income tax benefits totaling \$0.7 million. These unrecognized tax benefits are primarily the result of State tax uncertainties. If recognized, these tax benefits would be recorded as a benefit to the effective tax rate.

We believe that the total liability for unrecognized tax benefits will not materially change during the next 12 months ending December 31, 2013. Our continuing practice is to recognize interest related to income tax matters as a component of income tax expense. We file U.S. Federal income tax returns and various state and local returns. A number of years may elapse before an uncertain tax position is audited and finally resolved. For our Federal income tax returns we have four tax years subject to examination. In our major state tax jurisdictions of New York, Connecticut, Pennsylvania and New Jersey, we have four, four, four and five tax years, respectively, that are subject to examination. While it is often difficult to predict the final outcome or the timing of resolution of any particular uncertain tax position, based on our assessment of many factors including past experience and interpretation of tax law, we believe that our provision for income taxes reflect the most probable outcome. This assessment relies on estimates and assumptions and may involve a series of complex judgments about future events.

11) Supplemental Disclosure of Cash Flow Information

| (in thousands) | Three Months Ended December 31, | |
|--|---------------------------------------|----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| <u>Cash paid during the period for:</u> | | |
| Income taxes, net | \$ 2,541 | \$ 243 |
| Interest | \$ 6,143 | \$ 6,038 |
| <u>Non-cash financing activities:</u> | | |
| Increase in interest expense amortization of debt discount on 8.875% Senior Note | \$ 25 | \$ 23 |

12) Commitments and Contingencies

The Partnership's operations are subject to the operating hazards and risks normally incidental to handling, storing and transporting and otherwise providing for use by consumers of combustible liquids such as home heating oil and propane. As a result, at any given time, the Partnership is generally a defendant in various legal proceedings and litigation arising in the ordinary course of business. The Partnership maintains insurance policies in amounts and with coverages and deductibles we believe are reasonable and prudent. However, the Partnership cannot assure that this insurance will be adequate to protect it from all material expenses related to potential future claims for personal and property damage or that these levels of insurance will be available in the future at economical prices. The Partnership does not carry business interruption insurance. In the opinion of management the Partnership is not a party to any litigation, which individually or in the aggregate could reasonably be expected to have a material adverse effect on the Partnership's results of operations, financial position or liquidity.

Table of Contents**13) Earnings (Loss) Per Limited Partner Unit**

Income per limited partner unit is computed in accordance with FASB ASC 260-10-05 Earnings Per Share, Master Limited Partnerships (EITF 03-06), by dividing the limited partners' interest in net income by the weighted average number of limited partner units outstanding. The pro forma nature of the allocation required by this standard provides that in any accounting period where the Partnership's aggregate net income exceeds its aggregate distribution for such period, the Partnership is required to present net income per limited partner unit as if all of the earnings for the periods were distributed, regardless of whether those earnings would actually be distributed during a particular period from an economic or practical perspective. This allocation does not impact the Partnership's overall net income or other financial results. However, for periods in which the Partnership's aggregate net income exceeds its aggregate distributions for such period, it will have the impact of reducing the earnings per limited partner unit, as the calculation according to this standard results in a theoretical increased allocation of undistributed earnings to the general partner. In accounting periods where aggregate net income does not exceed aggregate distributions for such period, this standard does not have any impact on the Partnership's net income per limited partner unit calculation. A separate and independent calculation for each quarter and year-to-date period is performed, in which the Partnership's contractual participation rights are taken into account.

The following presents the net income allocation and per unit data using this method for the periods presented:

| Basic and Diluted Earnings Per Limited Partner: (in thousands, except per unit data) | Three Months Ended December 31, | |
|--|--|-------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Net income | \$ 9,752 | \$ 2,931 |
| Less General Partners' interest in net income | 53 | 15 |
| Net income available to limited partners | 9,699 | 2,916 |
| Less dilutive impact of theoretical distribution of earnings under FASB ASC 260-10-45-60 | 762 | |
| Limited Partner's interest in net income under FASB ASC 260-10-45-60 | \$ 8,937 | \$ 2,916 |
| <u>Per unit data:</u> | | |
| Basic and diluted net income available to limited partners | \$ 0.16 | \$ 0.05 |
| Less dilutive impact of theoretical distribution of earnings under FASB ASC 260-10-45-60 | 0.01 | |
| Limited Partner's interest in net income under FASB ASC 260-10-45-60 | \$ 0.15 | \$ 0.05 |
| Weighted average number of Limited Partner units outstanding | 60,556 | 64,189 |

14) Subsequent Events*Quarterly Distribution Declared*

In January 2013, we declared a quarterly distribution of \$0.0775 per unit, or \$0.31 per unit on an annualized basis, on all common units with respect to the first quarter of fiscal 2013, payable on February 5, 2013, to holders of record on January 28, 2013. In accordance with our Partnership Agreement, the amount of distributions in excess of the minimum quarterly distribution of \$0.0675, are distributed 90% to the holders of common units and 10% to the holders of the General Partner units (until certain distribution levels are met), subject to the management incentive compensation plan. As a result, \$4.6 million will be paid to the common unit holders, \$0.055 million to the General Partner (including \$0.033 million of incentive distribution as provided in our Partnership Agreement) and \$0.033 million to management pursuant to the management incentive compensation plan which provides for certain members of management to receive incentive distributions that would otherwise be payable to the General Partner.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2.

**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF
FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Disclosure

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q includes forward-looking statements which represent our expectations or beliefs concerning future events that involve risks and uncertainties, including those associated with the effect of weather conditions on our financial performance, the price and supply of the products that we sell, the consumption patterns of our customers, our ability to obtain satisfactory gross profit margins, our ability to obtain new customers and retain existing customers, our ability to make strategic acquisitions, the impact of litigation, our ability to contract for our current and future supply needs, natural gas conversions, future union relations and the outcome of current and future union negotiations, the impact of current and future governmental regulations, including environmental, health, and safety regulations, the ability to attract and retain employees, customer credit worthiness, counterparty credit worthiness, marketing plans, general economic conditions and new technology. All statements other than statements of historical facts included in this Report including, without limitation, the statements under Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and elsewhere herein, are forward-looking statements. Without limiting the foregoing, the words believe, anticipate, plan, expect, seek, estimate, and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. Although we believe that the expectations reflected in such forward-looking statements are reasonable, we can give no assurance that such expectations will prove to be correct and actual results may differ materially from those projected as a result of certain risks and uncertainties. These risks and uncertainties include, but are not limited to, those set forth under the heading Risk Factors and Business Strategy in our Annual Report on Form 10-K (the Form 10-K) for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012 and under the heading Risk Factors in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. Important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from our expectations (Cautionary Statements) are disclosed in the Annual Report on Form 10-K and in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. All subsequent written and oral forward-looking statements attributable to the Partnership or persons acting on its behalf are expressly qualified in their entirety by the Cautionary Statements. Unless otherwise required by law, we undertake no obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise after the date of this Report.

Overview

The following is a discussion of the historical financial condition and results of our operations and should be read in conjunction with the description of our business and the historical financial and operating data and notes thereto included elsewhere in this Report.

Seasonality

The following matters should be considered in analyzing our financial results. Our fiscal year ends on September 30. All references to quarters and years respectively in this document are to the fiscal quarters and years unless otherwise noted. The seasonal nature of our business has resulted, on average during the last five years, in the sale of approximately 30% of our volume of home heating oil and propane in the first fiscal quarter and 50% of our volume in the second fiscal quarter, the peak heating season. We generally realize net income in both of these quarters and net losses during the quarters ending June and September. In addition, sales volume typically fluctuates from year to year in response to variations in weather, wholesale energy prices and other factors.

Table of Contents**Degree Day**

A degree day is an industry measurement of temperature designed to evaluate energy demand and consumption. Degree days are based on how far the average daily temperature departs from 65°F. Each degree of temperature above 65°F is counted as one cooling degree day, and each degree of temperature below 65°F is counted as one heating degree day. Degree days are accumulated each day over the course of a year and can be compared to a monthly or a long-term (multi-year) average to see if a month or a year was warmer or cooler than usual. Degree days are officially observed by the National Weather Service.

Every ten years, the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) computes and publishes average meteorological quantities, including the average temperature for the last 30 years by geographical location, and the corresponding degree days. The latest and most widely used data covers the years from 1981 to 2010. Our calculations of normal weather is based on these published 30 year averages for heating degree days, weighted by volume for the locations where we have existing operations.

Home Heating Oil Price Volatility

In recent years, the wholesale price of home heating oil has been extremely volatile, resulting in increased consumer price sensitivity to heating costs and increased gross customer losses. As a commodity, the price of home heating oil is generally impacted by many factors, including economic and geopolitical forces. The price of home heating oil is closely linked to the price refiners pay for crude oil, which is the principal cost component of home heating oil. The volatility in the wholesale cost of home heating oil, as measured by the New York Mercantile Exchange (NYMEX) price per gallon for the fiscal years ending September 30, 2009, through 2013, on a quarterly basis, is illustrated in the following chart:

| Quarter Ended | Fiscal 2013 | | Fiscal 2012 | | Fiscal 2011 | | Fiscal 2010 | | Fiscal 2009 | |
|---------------|-------------|---------|-------------|---------|-------------|---------|-------------|---------|-------------|---------|
| | Low | High | Low | High | Low | High | Low | High | Low | High |
| December 31 | \$ 2.90 | \$ 3.26 | \$ 2.72 | \$ 3.17 | \$ 2.19 | \$ 2.54 | \$ 1.78 | \$ 2.12 | \$ 1.20 | \$ 2.85 |
| March 31 | | | 2.99 | 3.32 | 2.49 | 3.09 | 1.89 | 2.20 | 1.13 | 1.63 |
| June 30 | | | 2.53 | 3.25 | 2.75 | 3.32 | 1.87 | 2.35 | 1.31 | 1.86 |
| September 30 | | | 2.68 | 3.24 | 2.77 | 3.13 | 1.92 | 2.24 | 1.50 | 1.96 |

Impact on Liquidity of Wholesale Product Cost Volatility

Our liquidity is adversely impacted in times of increasing wholesale product costs, as we must use more cash to fund our hedging requirements and a portion of the increased levels of accounts receivable and inventory. Our liquidity is also adversely impacted at times by sudden and sharp decreases in wholesale product costs due to the increased margin requirements for futures contracts and collateral requirements for options and swaps that we use to manage market risks.

Impact of Warm Weather on Operating Results; Weather Hedge Contract Fiscal Year 2012

Weather conditions have a significant impact on the demand for home heating oil and propane because our customers depend on these products principally for heating purposes. Actual weather conditions can vary substantially from year to year, significantly affecting our financial performance. To partially mitigate the adverse effect of warm weather on our cash flows, we have used weather hedging contracts for a number of years. For fiscal 2012, we entered into a weather hedge contract under which we were entitled to receive a payment of \$35,000 per heating degree-day shortfall, when the total number of heating degree-days in the period covered less than 92.5% of the ten year average (the Payment Threshold). The hedge covered the period from November 1, 2011, through March 31, 2012, taken as a whole, and resulted in a maximum payout of \$12.5 million, the benefit of which was recorded in the three months ended March 31, 2012, as a reduction in delivery and branch expenses and which was collected in April 2012.

Table of Contents

Weather Hedge Contract Fiscal Years 2013, 2014 and 2015

In July 2012, the Partnership entered into a weather hedge contract for the fiscal years 2013, 2014 and 2015, with Swiss Re Financial Products Corporation, under which we are entitled to receive a payment of \$35,000 per heating degree-day shortfall when the total number of heating degree-days in the period covered is less than 92.5% of the ten year average (the Payment Threshold). The hedge covers the period from November 1 through March 31, taken as a whole, for each respective fiscal year and has a maximum payout of \$12.5 million for each fiscal year. The Partnership did not record any benefit under its weather hedge contract during the first quarter of fiscal 2013.

Per Gallon Gross Profit Margins

We believe home heating oil and propane margins should be evaluated on a cents per gallon basis, before the effects of increases or decreases in the fair value of derivative instruments (as we believe that realized per gallon margins should not include the impact of non-cash changes in the market value of hedges before the settlement of the underlying transaction).

A significant portion of our home heating oil volume is sold to individual customers under an arrangement pre-establishing a ceiling sales price or fixed price for home heating oil over a fixed period of time, which is generally twelve months (price-protected customers). When these price-protected customers agree to purchase home heating oil from us for the next heating season, we purchase option contracts, swaps and futures contracts for a substantial majority of the heating oil that we expect to sell to these customers. The amount of home heating oil volume that we hedge per price-protected customer is based upon the estimated fuel consumption per average customer per month. In the event that the actual usage exceeds the amount of the hedged volume on a monthly basis, we may be required to obtain additional volume at unfavorable costs. In addition, should actual usage in any month be less than the hedged volume, our hedging losses could be greater, thus reducing expected margins.

Derivatives

FRS ASC 815-10-05 Derivatives and Hedging, requires that derivative instruments be recorded at fair value and included in the consolidated balance sheet as assets or liabilities. To the extent derivative instruments designated as cash flow hedges are effective, as defined under this guidance, changes in fair value are recognized in other comprehensive income until the forecasted hedged item is recognized in earnings. We have elected not to designate our derivative instruments as hedging instruments under this guidance, and as a result, the changes in fair value of the derivative instruments are recognized in our statement of operations. Therefore, we experience volatility in earnings as outstanding derivative instruments are marked to market and non-cash gains and losses are recorded prior to the sale of the commodity to the customer. The volatility in any given period related to unrealized non-cash gains or losses on derivative instruments can be significant to our overall results. However, we ultimately expect those gains and losses to be offset by the cost of product when purchased.

New York State Ultra Low Sulfur Fuel Oil Regulation

On July 1, 2012, new regulations went into effect in New York State (an important area of operations for us) that require the use of ultra low sulfur home heating oil, (which is essentially ultra low sulfur diesel fuel with a dye additive). From July 1, 2012, through January 31, 2013, the additional cost of ultra low sulfur home heating oil versus high sulfur home heating oil in New York ranged from between \$0.035 and \$0.230 cents per gallon. The NYMEX will continue to trade only the high sulfur home heating oil hedge contract through April 2013. After April 2013, the NYMEX contract specification will be the same as the New York mandate. This means there will be a nine month period, from July 2012 through March 2013, when the Partnership will need to continue to purchase and sell ultra low sulfur home heating oil for its New York State customers while this

Table of Contents

contract is not directly available to hedge on the NYMEX. Furthermore, due to the change in the specifications of the NYMEX home heating oil contract in April 2013, the Partnership will have a similar mis-match from April 2013 forward in its ability to hedge high sulfur home heating oil requirements for purchases and sales in states other than New York.

We believe that the new requirements in New York which have created the hedging pricing mis-matches previously described along with any volatility in these pricing differences, will increase the potential complexity, costs and risks inherent in hedging the Partnership's physical inventory and in its sales to its price-protected customers.

Income Taxes*Net Operating Loss Carry Forwards*

As of December 31, 2012, we estimate that our Federal Net Operating Loss carryforwards (NOLs) were \$10.6 million, subject to annual limitations of between \$1.0 million and \$2.2 million on the amount of such losses that can be used.

Book Versus Tax Deductions

The amount of cash flow that we generate in any given year depends upon a variety of factors including the amount of cash income taxes that our corporate subsidiaries are required to pay. The amount of depreciation and amortization that we deduct for book (i.e., financial reporting) purposes will differ from the amount that our subsidiaries can deduct for tax purposes. The table below compares the estimated depreciation and amortization for book purposes to the amount that our subsidiaries expect to deduct for tax purposes based on currently owned assets. Our subsidiaries file their tax returns based on a calendar year. The amounts below are based on our September 30 fiscal year.

Estimated Depreciation and Amortization Expense

| (in thousands) | | |
|----------------|-----------|-----------|
| Fiscal Year | Book | Tax |
| 2013 | \$ 18,809 | \$ 32,845 |
| 2014 | 17,243 | 27,747 |
| 2015 | 15,736 | 23,948 |
| 2016 | 13,741 | 18,331 |
| 2017 | 11,723 | 11,223 |

Non-Deductible Partnership Expenses

The Partnership incurs certain expenses at the Partnership level that are not deductible for Federal or state income tax purposes by our corporate subsidiaries. As a result, our effective tax rate could differ from the statutory rate that would be applicable if such expenses were deductible.

Storm Sandy

On October 29, 2012, storm Sandy made landfall in our service area, resulting in widespread power outages for a number of our customers. In addition, certain third-party terminals where we purchase and store liquid product were closed for a short period of time due to damage sustained from the storm or by the loss of power. During the period subsequent to Sandy, our operations and systems functioned without any meaningful disruptions.

Table of Contents

Deliveries of home heating oil and propane were less than expected for certain of our customers who were without power for several weeks subsequent to Sandy. However, since our operations were able to provide uninterrupted service to current and new customers, our sales of diesel fuel for the weeks after the storm increased, as did our service and installation sales, along with the related costs to provide these services.

EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA (non-GAAP financial measures)

EBITDA (Earnings from continuing operations before net interest expense, income taxes, depreciation and amortization) and Adjusted EBITDA (Earnings from continuing operations before net interest expense, income taxes, depreciation and amortization, (increase) decrease in the fair value of derivatives, gain or loss on debt redemption, goodwill impairment, and other non-cash and non-operating charges) are non-GAAP financial measures that are used as supplemental financial measures by management and external users of our financial statements, such as investors, commercial banks and research analysts, to assess:

- our compliance with certain financial covenants included in our debt agreements;
- our financial performance without regard to financing methods, capital structure, income taxes or historical cost basis;
- our ability to generate cash sufficient to pay interest on our indebtedness and to make distributions to our partners;
- our operating performance and return on invested capital compared to those of other companies in the retail distribution of refined petroleum products business, without regard to financing methods and capital structure; and
- the viability of acquisitions and capital expenditure projects and the overall rates of return of alternative investment opportunities.

The method of calculating Adjusted EBITDA may not be consistent with that of other companies and each of EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA has its limitations as an analytical tool, should not be considered in isolation and should be viewed in conjunction with measurements that are computed in accordance with GAAP. Some of the limitations of EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA are:

- EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA do not reflect our cash used for capital expenditures;
- Although depreciation and amortization are non-cash charges, the assets being depreciated or amortized often will have to be replaced and EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA do not reflect the cash requirements for such replacements;
- EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA do not reflect changes in, or cash requirements for, our working capital requirements;
- EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA do not reflect the cash necessary to make payments of interest or principal on our indebtedness; and
- EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA do not reflect the cash required to pay taxes.

Customer Attrition

We measure net customer attrition on an ongoing basis for our full service residential and commercial home heating oil and propane customers. Net customer attrition is the difference between gross customer losses and customers added through marketing efforts. Customers added through acquisitions are not included in the calculation of gross customer gains. However, additional customers that are obtained through marketing efforts or lost at newly acquired businesses are included in these calculations. Customer attrition percentage calculations include customers added through acquisitions in the denominators of the calculations on a weighted average basis. Gross customer losses are the result of a number of factors, including price competition, move-outs, credit losses and conversion to natural gas. When a customer moves out of an existing home, we count the move out as a loss and, if we are successful in signing up the new homeowner, the move in is treated as a gain.

Table of Contents**Gross customer gains and gross customer losses**

| | 2013 | | Fiscal Year Ended 2012 | | | 2011 | | | |
|-----------------------|----------------|--------|---------------------------|----------------|--------|-----------------------|----------------|--------|-----------------------|
| | Gross Customer | | Net | Gross Customer | | Net | Gross Customer | | Net |
| | Gains | Losses | Gain / (Attrition) | Gains | Losses | Gain / (Attrition) | Gains | Losses | Gain / (Attrition) |
| First Quarter | 26,100 | 24,400 | 1,700 | 25,700 | 26,600 | (900) | 21,900 | 24,100 | (2,200) |
| Second Quarter | | | | 11,500 | 19,700 | (8,200) | 11,800 | 17,200 | (5,400) |
| Third Quarter | | | | 7,000 | 13,700 | (6,700) | 6,000 | 11,400 | (5,400) |
| Fourth Quarter | | | | 13,000 | 18,200 | (5,200) | 15,300 | 17,100 | (1,800) |
| Total | 26,100 | 24,400 | 1,700 | 57,200 | 78,200 | (21,000) | 55,000 | 69,800 | (14,800) |

Net customer gain (attrition) as a percentage of the home heating oil and propane customer base

| | 2013 | | Fiscal Year Ended 2012 | | | 2011 | | | |
|-----------------------|----------------|--------|---------------------------|----------------|--------|-----------------------|----------------|--------|-----------------------|
| | Gross Customer | | Net | Gross Customer | | Net | Gross Customer | | Net |
| | Gains | Losses | Gain / (Attrition) | Gains | Losses | Gain / (Attrition) | Gains | Losses | Gain / (Attrition) |
| First Quarter | 6.3% | 5.9% | 0.4% | 6.2% | 6.4% | (0.2%) | 5.3% | 5.8% | (0.5%) |
| Second Quarter | | | | 2.7% | 4.7% | (2.0%) | 2.8% | 4.1% | (1.3%) |
| Third Quarter | | | | 1.5% | 3.1% | (1.6%) | 1.5% | 2.8% | (1.3%) |
| Fourth Quarter | | | | 3.0% | 4.1% | (1.1%) | 3.6% | 4.0% | (0.4%) |
| Total | 6.3% | 5.9% | 0.4% | 13.4% | 18.3% | (4.9%) | 13.2% | 16.7% | (3.5%) |

During the first quarter of fiscal 2013, the Partnership grew its account base by 1,700 accounts and net customer attrition improved by 2,600 accounts versus the first quarter of fiscal 2012. The change was primarily due to lower gross customer losses of 2,200 as credit and product pricing losses declined. As a result of Sandy, certain customers have ceased taking deliveries from the Partnership as they are contemplating replacing or repairing their home heating oil systems. It is possible that these customers may decide to switch home heating oil suppliers or convert to natural gas at a later date.

During the first quarter of fiscal 2013, we lost 0.7% of our home heating oil accounts to natural gas versus losses of 0.6% for the first quarter of fiscal 2012, and 0.4% for the first quarter of fiscal 2011. Conversions to natural gas are increasing and we believe they may continue to do so as natural gas has become significantly less expensive than home heating oil on an equivalent BTU basis. In addition, the states of New York, Connecticut and Pennsylvania are seeking to encourage homeowners to expand the use of natural gas as a heating fuel through legislation and regulatory efforts.

Consolidated Results of Operations

The following is a discussion of the consolidated results of operations of the Partnership and its subsidiaries, and should be read in conjunction with the historical Financial and Operating Data and Notes thereto included elsewhere in this Quarterly Report.

Table of Contents**Three Months Ended December 31, 2012****Compared to the Three Months Ended December 31, 2011****Volume**

For the three months ended December 31, 2012, retail volume of home heating oil and propane increased by 6.0 million gallons, or 6.6%, to 97.1 million gallons, compared to 91.1 million gallons for the three months ended December 31, 2011. For those locations where the Partnership had existing operations during both periods, which we sometimes refer to as the base business (i.e., excluding acquisitions), temperatures (measured on a heating degree day basis) for the three months ended December 31, 2012 were 15.8% colder than the three months ended December 31, 2011 but 7.4% warmer than normal, as reported by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA). For the twelve months ended December 31, 2012, net customer attrition for the base business was 4.6%. Due to various reasons including the significant increase in the price per gallon of home heating oil and propane over the last several years, we believe that our customers are adopting conservation measures to use less of such products. The impact of any such conservation, along with any period-to-period differences in delivery scheduling, the timing of accounts added or lost during the fiscal years, equipment efficiency and other volume variances not otherwise described, are included in the chart under the heading Other. On October 29, 2012, storm Sandy made landfall in our service area, resulting in widespread power outages that affected a number of our customers. Deliveries of home heating oil and propane were less than expected for certain of our customers who were without power several weeks subsequent to storm Sandy. The home heating oil and propane volume loss due to Sandy is also in the chart below under the heading Other. An analysis of the change in the retail volume of home heating oil and propane, which is based on management's estimates, sampling and other mathematical calculations and certain assumptions, is found below:

| (in millions of gallons) | Heating Oil and Propane |
|---|----------------------------|
| Volume - Three months ended December 31, 2011 | 91.1 |
| Acquisitions | 5.2 |
| Impact of colder temperatures | 13.6 |
| Net customer attrition | (4.2) |
| Other | (8.6) |
| Change | 6.0 |
| Volume - Three months ended December 31, 2012 | 97.1 |

The following chart sets forth the percentage by volume of total home heating oil sold to residential variable-price customers, residential price-protected customers and commercial/industrial customers for the three months ended December 31, 2012, compared to the three months ended December 31, 2011:

| Customers | Three Months Ended | |
|-----------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| | December 31, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
| Residential Variable | 42.2% | 43.0% |
| Residential Price-Protected | 43.5% | 43.7% |
| Commercial/Industrial | 14.3% | 13.3% |
| Total | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Table of Contents

Volume of other petroleum products increased by 2.5 million gallons, or 17.5%, to 16.8 million gallons for the three months ended December 31, 2012, compared to 14.3 million gallons for the three months ended December 31, 2011, largely due to an increase in motor fuel demand (including to power generators) as a result of Sandy.

Product Sales

For the three months ended December 31, 2012, product sales increased \$47.8 million, or 11.8%, to \$454.5 million, compared to \$406.7 million for the three months ended December 31, 2011, due to an increase in total volume of 8.0% and higher product selling prices. Selling prices increased in response to higher wholesale product costs of \$0.1271 per gallon.

Installation and Service Sales

For the three months ended December 31, 2012, installation and service sales increased \$7.2 million, or 13.2%, to \$62.1 million, compared to \$54.8 million for the three months ended December 31, 2011, due to additional revenue from acquisitions of \$2.9 million and an increase in the base business of \$4.3 million largely attributable to Sandy related service and installation billings.

Cost of Product

For the three months ended December 31, 2012, cost of product increased \$39.9 million, or 12.6%, to \$356.6 million, compared to \$316.7 million for the three months ended December 31, 2011, due to an increase in total volume of 8.0% and the impact of higher per gallon wholesale product costs of \$0.1271, or 4.2%.

Gross Profit Product

The table below calculates the Partnership's per gallon margins and reconciles product gross profit for home heating oil and propane and other petroleum products. We believe the change in home heating oil and propane margins should be evaluated before the effects of increases or decreases in the fair value of derivative instruments, as we believe that realized per gallon margins should not include the impact of non-cash changes in the market value of hedges before the settlement of the underlying transaction. On that basis, home heating oil and propane margins for the three months ended December 31, 2012 increased by \$0.0013 per gallon, or 0.1%, to \$0.9517 per gallon, from \$0.9504 per gallon during the three months ended December 31, 2011. Product sales and cost of product include home heating oil, propane, other petroleum products and liquidated damages billings.

Table of Contents

| | Three Months Ended | | | |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|------------|----------------------------|------------|
| | December 31, 2012 | | December 31, 2011 | |
| | Amount (in millions) | Per Gallon | Amount (in millions) | Per Gallon |
| Home Heating Oil and Propane | | | | |
| Volume | 97.1 | | 91.1 | |
| Sales | \$ 394.6 | \$ 4.0633 | \$ 359.1 | \$ 3.9423 |
| Cost | \$ 302.2 | \$ 3.1116 | \$ 272.6 | \$ 2.9919 |
| Gross Profit | \$ 92.4 | \$ 0.9517 | \$ 86.5 | \$ 0.9504 |
| Other Petroleum Products | | | | |
| Volume | 16.8 | | 14.3 | |
| Sales | \$ 59.9 | \$ 3.5698 | \$ 47.6 | \$ 3.3218 |
| Cost | \$ 54.4 | \$ 3.2459 | \$ 44.1 | \$ 3.0829 |
| Gross Profit | \$ 5.5 | \$ 0.3239 | \$ 3.5 | \$ 0.2389 |
| Total Product | | | | |
| Sales | \$ 454.5 | | \$ 406.7 | |
| Cost | \$ 356.6 | | \$ 316.7 | |
| Gross Profit | \$ 97.9 | | \$ 90.0 | |

For the three months ended December 31, 2012, total product gross profit increased by \$7.9 million to \$97.9 million, compared to \$90.0 million for the three months ended December 31, 2011, due to an increase in home heating oil and propane volume (\$5.7 million), the impact of higher home heating oil and propane margins (\$0.1 million) and the additional gross profit from other petroleum products (\$2.1 million).

Cost of Installations and Service

For the three months ended December 31, 2012, cost of installation and service increased by \$4.9 million, or 9.3%, to \$57.2 million, compared to \$52.3 million for the three months ended December 31, 2011, due to a \$2.4 million increase related to acquisitions and \$2.5 million tied to our base business largely due to storm Sandy.

Installation costs for the three months ended December 31, 2012, increased by \$4.4 million, or 24.0%, to \$22.7 million, compared to \$18.3 million in installation costs for the three months ended December 31, 2011. Installation costs as a percentage of installation sales for the three months ended December 31, 2012 and the three months ended December 31, 2011 were 83.1% and 82.9%, respectively. Service expenses increased to \$34.5 million for the three months ended December 31, 2012, or 99.3% of service sales, versus \$34.1 million, or 104.1% of service sales, for the three months ended December 31, 2011. We achieved a combined profit from service and installation of \$4.8 million for the three months ended December 31, 2012, compared to a combined profit of \$2.5 million for the three months ended December 31, 2011. This improvement of \$2.3 million was due to acquisitions and service and installation work from Sandy. Management views the service and installation department on a combined basis because many overhead functions and direct expenses such as service technician time cannot be separated or precisely allocated to either service or installation billings.

Table of Contents

(Increase) Decrease in the Fair Value of Derivative Instruments

During the three months ended December 31, 2012, the change in the fair value of derivative instruments resulted in a \$8.0 million charge due to the expiration of certain hedged positions (a \$0.3 million charge) and a decrease in the market value for unexpired hedges (a \$7.7 million charge).

During the three months ended December 31, 2011, the change in the fair value of derivative instruments resulted in a \$7.1 million charge due to the expiration of certain hedged positions (a \$0.5 million credit) and a decrease in market value for unexpired hedges (a \$7.6 million charge).

Delivery and Branch Expenses

For the three months ended December 31, 2012, delivery and branch expense increased \$0.6 million, or 0.9%, to \$68.4 million, compared to \$67.8 million for the three months ended December 31, 2011, as the additional expense from acquisitions of \$3.2 million was reduced by lower delivery and branch expenses of \$2.6 million in the base business. On a cents per gallon basis, delivery and branch expenses for the three months ended December 31, 2012, decreased \$0.0398, or 6.0%, to \$0.6197 compared to \$0.6595 for the three months ended December 31, 2011, due to the Partnership's efforts at reducing delivery and branch expenses over the last twelve months and certain costs being spread over higher volume.

Depreciation and Amortization

For the three months ended December 31, 2012, depreciation and amortization expenses increased by \$0.7 million, or 20.1% to \$4.3 million, compared to \$3.6 million for the three months ended December 31, 2011.

Depreciation expense was higher by \$0.1 million due to an increase of \$0.3 million from fiscal 2012 acquisitions which was partially offset by a decrease of \$0.2 million related to fleet assets which became fully depreciated in fiscal 2012 and fiscal 2013. Amortization expense increased by \$0.6 million, due to fiscal 2011 and fiscal 2012 customer lists with seven and ten year lives and trade names acquired with twenty year lives.

General and Administrative Expenses

For the three months ended December 31, 2012, general and administrative expenses decreased \$0.9 million, or 16.3%, to \$4.5 million, from \$5.4 million for the three months ended December 31, 2011, as a \$0.5 million decrease in expenses related to the Partnership's acquisition program and lower legal and professional expenses of \$0.4 million were only partially offset by an increase in profit sharing expense of \$0.2 million.

The Partnership accrues approximately 6% of Adjusted EBITDA as defined in the profit sharing plan for distribution to its employees, and this amount is payable when the Partnership achieves Adjusted EBITDA of at least 70% of the amount budgeted. The dollar amount of the profit sharing pool is subject to increases and decreases in line with increases and decreases in Adjusted EBITDA.

Interest Expense

For the three months ended December 31, 2012, interest expense was unchanged.

Interest Income

For the three months ended December 31, 2012, interest income increased \$0.4 million to \$1.1 million, compared to \$0.7 million for the three months ended December 31, 2011, due to higher finance charge income largely resulting from higher past due balances of non-budget customers.

Table of Contents

Amortization of Debt Issuance Costs

For the three months ended December 31, 2012, amortization of debt issuance costs increased by \$0.2 million to \$0.5 million, compared to \$0.3 million in the three months ended December 31, 2011.

Income Tax Expense

For the three months ended December 31, 2012, income tax expense increased by \$2.2 million to \$4.9 million from \$2.7 million for the three months ended December 31, 2011, primarily due to an increase in pretax income of \$9.1 million. The Partnership's effective tax rate was 33.5% for the three months ended December 31, 2012, less than the rate of 47.5% for the three months ended December 31, 2011. The change in the 2012 income tax rate compared to the 2011 rate was primarily due to the recording in the three months ended December 31, 2012, of a \$1.0 million deferred tax benefit related to an increase in prospective tax deductions.

Net Income

For the three months ended December 31, 2012, net income increased \$6.9 million to \$9.8 million, from \$2.9 million for the three months ended December 31, 2011, as the increase in pretax income of \$9.1 million was greater than the increase in income tax expense of \$2.2 million.

Adjusted EBITDA

For the three months ended December 31, 2012, Adjusted EBITDA increased by \$10.5 million, or 54.3%, to \$29.8 million as the impact of colder temperatures, acquisitions, and the favorable impact of Sandy on motor fuel sales and service and installation revenue more than offset the volume decline in the business base attributable to net customer attrition and other factors.

EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA should not be considered as an alternative to net income (as an indicator of operating performance) or as an alternative to cash flow (as a measure of liquidity or ability to service debt obligations), but provides additional information for evaluating our ability to make the Minimum Quarterly Distribution.

Table of Contents

EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA are calculated as follows:

| (in thousands) | Three Months Ended December 31, | |
|---|------------------------------------|--------------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Net income | \$ 9,752 | \$ 2,931 |
| Plus: | | |
| Income tax expense | 4,917 | 2,652 |
| Amortization of debt issuance cost | 492 | 274 |
| Interest expense, net | 2,329 | 2,724 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 4,358 | 3,629 |
| EBITDA (a) | 21,848 | 12,210 |
| (Increase) / decrease in the fair value of derivative instruments | 7,965 | 7,118 |
| Adjusted EBITDA (a) | 29,813 | 19,328 |
| Add / (subtract) | | |
| Income tax expense | (4,917) | (2,652) |
| Interest expense, net | (2,329) | (2,724) |
| Provision for losses on accounts receivable | 1,763 | 1,450 |
| Increase in accounts receivables | (106,395) | (79,111) |
| Increase in inventories | (35,683) | (18,883) |
| Decrease in customer credit balances | (22,603) | (5,316) |
| Change in deferred taxes | 864 | 1,154 |
| Change in other operating assets and liabilities | 18,905 | 11,989 |
| Net cash used in operating activities | \$ (120,582) | \$ (74,765) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | \$ (832) | \$ (26,898) |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | \$ 27,639 | \$ 28,794 |

- (a) EBITDA (Earnings from continuing operations before net interest expense, income taxes, depreciation and amortization) and Adjusted EBITDA (Earnings from continuing operations before net interest expense, income taxes, depreciation and amortization, (increase) decrease in the fair value of derivatives, gain or loss on debt redemption, goodwill impairment, and other non-cash and non-operating charges) are non-GAAP financial measures that are used as supplemental financial measures by management and external users of our financial statements, such as investors, commercial banks and research analysts, to assess:

our compliance with certain financial covenants included in our debt agreements;
our financial performance without regard to financing methods, capital structure, income taxes or historical cost basis;
our ability to generate cash sufficient to pay interest on our indebtedness and to make distributions to our partners;
our operating performance and return on invested capital compared to those of other companies in the retail distribution of refined petroleum products business, without regard to financing methods and capital structure; and
the viability of acquisitions and capital expenditure projects and the overall rates of return of alternative investment opportunities.

The method of calculating Adjusted EBITDA may not be consistent with that of other companies and each of EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA has its limitations as an analytical tool, should not be considered in isolation and should be viewed in conjunction with measurements that are

Edgar Filing: STAR GAS PARTNERS LP - Form 10-Q

computed in accordance with GAAP. Some of the limitations of EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA are:

EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA do not reflect our cash used for capital expenditures.

Although depreciation and amortization are non-cash charges, the assets being depreciated or amortized often will have to be replaced and EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA do not reflect the cash requirements for such replacements;

EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA do not reflect changes in, or cash requirements for, our working capital requirements;

EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA do not reflect the cash necessary to make payments of interest or principal on our indebtedness; and

EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA do not reflect the cash required to pay taxes.

Table of Contents

DISCUSSION OF CASH FLOWS

We use the indirect method to prepare our Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows. Under this method, we reconcile net income to cash flows provided by operating activities by adjusting net income for those items that impact net income but do not result in actual cash receipts or payment during the period.

Operating Activities

Due to the seasonal nature of our business, cash is generally used in operations during the winter (our first and second fiscal quarters) as we require additional working capital to support the high volume of sales during this period, and cash is generally provided by operating activities during the spring and summer (our third and fourth quarters) when customer payments exceed the cost of deliveries.

For the three months ended December 31, 2012, cash used in operating activities was \$120.6 million or \$45.8 million greater than cash used in operating activities for the three months ended December 31, 2011, of \$74.8 million. While cash generated from operations increased by \$8.6 million largely due to the impact of colder weather, cash used to finance accounts receivable, including customers on our budget payment plans, increased by \$44.6 million. In the three months ended December 31, 2012, the Partnership increased inventory quantities to a greater extent than the three months ended December 31, 2011, which resulted in a cash use of \$16.8 million. The timing of certain payments, including insurance and amounts due under the Partnership's profit sharing plan, provided \$6.9 million of cash for the three months ended December 31, 2012, compared to the three months ended December 31, 2011.

Investing Activities

Capital expenditures for the three months ended December 31, 2012, totaled \$0.8 million, as we invested in computer hardware and software (\$0.1 million), refurbished certain physical plants (\$0.1 million), expanded our propane operations (\$0.5 million) and made additions to our fleet and other equipment (\$0.1 million).

Our capital expenditures for the three months ended December 31, 2011, totaled \$1.3 million, as we invested in computer hardware and software (\$0.3 million), refurbished certain physical plants (\$0.5 million), expanded our propane operations (\$0.4 million) and made additions to our fleet and other equipment (\$0.1 million). We also completed three acquisitions for \$25.9 million and allocated \$16.1 million of the gross purchase price to intangible assets (including \$6.2 million to goodwill), \$5.8 million to fixed assets, and \$4.0 million to working capital.

Financing Activities

During the three months ended December 31, 2012, we borrowed \$36.7 million under our credit facility during the period. We also paid distributions of \$4.7 million to our common unit holders, \$0.06 million to our General Partner (including \$0.03 million of incentive distributions as provided in our Partnership Agreement) and repurchased 1.0 million units for \$4.2 million in connection with our unit repurchase plan.

During the three months ended December 31, 2011, we borrowed \$46.8 million under our revolving credit facility and paid distributions of \$5.1 million, including \$0.06 million paid to our General Partner as incentive distributions (as provided for in our Partnership Agreement), and repurchased 2.4 million units for \$12.6 million.

Table of Contents

FINANCING AND SOURCES OF LIQUIDITY

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our primary uses of liquidity are to provide funds for our working capital, capital expenditures, distributions on our units, acquisitions and unit repurchases. Our ability to provide funds for such uses depends on our future performance, which will be subject to prevailing economic, financial, business and weather conditions, the ability to pass on the full impact of high product costs to customers, the effects of high net customer attrition, conservation and other factors. Capital requirements, at least in the near term, are expected to be provided by cash flows from operating activities, cash on hand as of December 31, 2012, (\$14.3 million) or a combination thereof. To the extent future capital requirements exceed cash on hand plus cash flows from operating activities, we anticipate that working capital will be financed by our revolving credit facility, as discussed below, and repaid from subsequent seasonal reductions in inventory and accounts receivable. If we require additional capital and the credit markets are receptive, we may seek to offer and sell debt or equity securities under our \$250 million shelf registration statement. Given the adverse impact of the warmer temperatures over the last 15 months, it may be more difficult for the Partnership to offer and sell its securities on attractive economic terms, which could limit the ability of the Partnership to fully implement its business plan until the return of more normal weather conditions and operating results.

Our asset-based revolving credit facility, which expires in June 2016, provides us with the ability to borrow up to \$250 million (\$350 million during the heating season from November through April of each year) for working capital purposes (subject to certain borrowing base limitations and coverage ratios), including the issuance of up to \$100 million in letters of credit. We can increase the facility size by \$100 million without the consent of the bank group. However, the bank group is not obligated to fund the \$100 million increase. If the bank group elects not to fund the increase, we can add additional lenders to the group with the consent of the Agent which shall not be unreasonably withheld. Obligations under the revolving credit facility are guaranteed by us and our subsidiaries and secured by liens on substantially all of our assets, including accounts receivable, inventory, general intangibles, real property, fixtures and equipment. As of December 31, 2012, there were \$36.7 million borrowings under our revolving credit facility and \$45.6 million in letters of credit were outstanding, of which \$45.3 million are for current and future insurance reserves and bonds and \$0.3 million are for seasonal inventory purchases and other working capital purposes.

Under the terms of the revolving credit facility, we must maintain at all times either Availability (borrowing base less amounts borrowed and letters of credit issued) of 12.5% of the maximum facility size or a fixed charge coverage ratio of not less than 1.1, which is calculated based upon Adjusted EBITDA for the trailing twelve month period. As of December 31, 2012, Availability, as defined in the revolving credit facility agreement, was \$154.2 million and the fixed charge coverage ratio for the twelve months ended December 31, 2012, was in excess of 1.1.

Maintenance capital expenditures for the remainder of 2013 are estimated to be approximately \$3.5 to \$4.5 million, excluding the capital requirements for leased fleet. In addition, we plan to invest an estimated \$1.5 million in our propane operations. We anticipate paying distributions during the remainder of 2013 at the current quarterly level of \$0.0775 per unit (subject to the Board's quarterly determination of the amount of Available Cash), for an aggregate of approximately \$13.9 million to common unit holders, \$0.2 million to our General Partner (including \$0.1 million of incentive distribution as provided in our Partnership Agreement) and \$0.1 million to management pursuant to the management incentive compensation plan which provides for certain members of management to receive incentive distributions that would otherwise be payable to the General Partner. For the balance of fiscal 2013, the Partnership's scheduled interest payments on its Senior Notes, which are due in November 2017, amount to \$5.5 million. Based upon certain actuarial assumptions, we estimate that the Partnership will make cash contributions to its frozen defined benefit pension obligations totaling approximately \$2.7 million for the remainder of fiscal 2013. We continue to seek attractive acquisition opportunities within the Availability constraints of our revolving credit facility and funding resources.

Table of Contents

Partnership Distribution Provisions

In January 2013, we declared a quarterly distribution of \$0.0775 per unit, or \$0.31 per unit on an annualized basis, on all common units with respect to the first quarter of fiscal 2013 payable on February 5, 2013, to holders of record on January 28, 2013. In accordance with our Partnership Agreement, the amount of distributions in excess of the minimum quarterly distribution of \$0.0675, are distributed 90% to the holders of common units and 10% to the holders of the General Partner units (until certain distribution levels are met), subject to the management incentive compensation plan. As a result, \$4.6 million will be paid to the common unit holders, \$0.055 million to the General Partner (including \$0.033 million of incentive distribution as provided in our Partnership Agreement) and \$0.033 million to management pursuant to the management incentive compensation plan which provides for certain members of management to receive incentive distributions that would otherwise be payable to the General Partner.

Contractual Obligations and Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

There has been no material change to Contractual Obligations and Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements since our September 30, 2012, Form 10-K disclosure and therefore, the table has not been included in this Form 10-Q.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

The Partnership adopted the provisions of Accounting Standards Update (ASU) ASU No. 2011-05, Comprehensive Income (220): Presentation of Comprehensive Income, by including as part of our Consolidated Financial Statements, a separate statement of comprehensive income. The adoption of ASU No. 2011-05 did not impact our results of operations or the amount of assets and liabilities reported.

The following new accounting standards are currently being evaluated by the Partnership, and are more fully described in Note 2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies Recent Accounting Pronouncements, of the consolidated financial statements:

ASU No. 2011-11, Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities.

Item 3.

Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

We are exposed to interest rate risk primarily through our bank credit facilities. We utilize these borrowings to meet our working capital needs.

At December 31, 2012, we had outstanding borrowings totaling \$161.1 million, of which approximately \$36.7 million is subject to variable interest rates under our revolving credit facility. In the event that interest rates associated with this facility were to increase 100 basis points, the after tax impact on future cash flows would be a decrease of \$0.2 million.

We also use derivative financial instruments to manage our exposure to market risk related to changes in the current and future market price of home heating oil. The value of market sensitive derivative instruments is subject to change as a result of movements in market prices. Sensitivity analysis is a technique used to evaluate the impact of hypothetical market value changes. Based on a hypothetical ten percent increase in the cost of product at December 31, 2012, the fair market value of these outstanding derivatives would increase by \$14.3 million to a value of \$10.6 million; and conversely a hypothetical ten percent decrease in the cost of product would decrease the fair market value of these outstanding derivatives by \$5.4 million to a negative value of \$(9.1) million.

Table of Contents

Item 4.

Controls and Procedures

a) Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures.

The General Partner's principal executive officer and its principal financial officer evaluated the effectiveness of the Partnership's disclosure controls and procedures (as that term is defined in Rule 13a-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended) as of December 31, 2012. Based on that evaluation, such principal executive officer and principal financial officer concluded that the Partnership's disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of December 31, 2012, at the reasonable level of assurance. For purposes of Rule 13a-15(e), the term *disclosure controls and procedures* means controls and other procedures of an issuer that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the issuer in the reports that it files or submits under the Act (15 U.S.C. 78a et seq.) is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the Commission's rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by an issuer in the reports that it files or submits under the Act is accumulated and communicated to the issuer's management, including its principal executive and principal financial officer, or persons performing similar functions, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

b) Change in Internal Control over Financial Reporting.

No change in the Partnership's internal control over financial reporting occurred during the Partnership's most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected or is reasonably likely to materially affect the Partnership's internal control over financial reporting.

c) The General Partner and the Partnership believe that a controls system, no matter how well designed and operated, cannot provide absolute assurance that the objectives of the controls system are met, and no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within a Partnership have been detected. Therefore, a control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Our disclosure controls and procedures are designed to provide such reasonable assurances of achieving our desired control objectives, and the principal executive officer and principal financial officer of our general partner have concluded, as of December 31, 2012, that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective in achieving that level of reasonable assurance.

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1

Legal Proceedings

In the opinion of management, we are not a party to any litigation, which individually or in the aggregate could reasonably be expected to have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial position or liquidity.

Table of Contents

Item 1A

Risk Factors

In addition to the other information set forth in this Report, investors should carefully review and consider the information regarding certain factors which could materially affect our business, results of operations, financial condition and cash flows set forth below and in Part I Item 1A. Risk Factors in our Fiscal 2012 Form 10-K. We may disclose changes to such factors or disclose additional factors from time to time in our future filings with the SEC.

Item 2

Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

See Note 3. to the Consolidated Financial Statements for information concerning the Partnership's repurchase of common units in the three months ended December 31, 2012.

Item 6

Exhibits

(a) Exhibits Included Within:

- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer, Star Gas Partners, L.P., pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a).
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer, Star Gas Partners, L.P., pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a).
- 32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 101 The following materials from the Star Gas Partners, L.P. Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 2012 formatted in Extensible Business Reporting Language (XBRL): (i) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations, (ii) the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets, (iii) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows and (iv) related notes.
- #101.INS XBRL Instance Document.
- #101.SCH XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document.
- #101.CAL XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document.
- #101.LAB XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document.
- #101.PRE XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document.
- #101.DEF XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document.
- # Filed herewith. In accordance with Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, these interactive data files are deemed not filed for purposes of section 18 of the Exchange Act, and otherwise are not subject to liability under that section.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrants have duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf of the undersigned thereunto duly authorized:

Star Gas Partners, L.P.

(Registrant)

By: Kestrel Heat LLC AS GENERAL PARTNER

| Signature | Title | Date |
|---|--|-------------------------|
| <p><i>/s/ RICHARD F. AMBURY</i></p> <p>Richard F. Ambury</p> | <p>Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer, Treasurer and Secretary Kestrel Heat LLC (Principal Financial Officer)</p> | <p>February 6, 2013</p> |
| Signature | Title | Date |
| <p><i>/s/ RICHARD G. OAKLEY</i></p> <p>Richard G. Oakley</p> | <p>Vice President Controller Kestrel Heat LLC (Principal Accounting Officer)</p> | <p>February 6, 2013</p> |