

Great Wolf Resorts, Inc.
Form 10-Q
November 09, 2012

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2012

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from to

Commission File Number 000-51064

GREAT WOLF RESORTS, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Edgar Filing: Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

51-0510250
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

525 Junction Road, Suite 6000 South

Madison, Wisconsin 53717
(Address of principal executive offices)

53717
(Zip Code)

(608) 662-4700
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer
Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

The number of shares outstanding of the issuer's common stock was 200 as of November 9, 2012.

Great Wolf Resorts, Inc.

Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q

For the Quarter Ended September 30, 2012

INDEX

| | Page No. |
|--|-------------|
| <u>PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u> | |
| <u>Item 1. Financial Statements (unaudited)</u> | |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011</u> | 4 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, the period May 5, 2012 through September 30, 2012, the period January 1, 2012 through May 4, 2012, and the nine months ended September 30, 2011</u> | 5 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the period May 5, 2012 through September 30, 2012, the period January 1, 2012 through May 4, 2012 and the nine months ended September 30, 2011</u> | 6 |
| <u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | 7 |
| <u>Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 45 |
| <u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | 62 |
| <u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u> | 63 |
| <u>PART II. OTHER INFORMATION</u> | |
| <u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u> | 63 |
| <u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u> | 64 |
| <u>Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> | 65 |
| <u>Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u> | 65 |
| <u>Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures</u> | 65 |
| <u>Item 5. Other Information</u> | 65 |
| <u>Item 6. Exhibits</u> | 65 |
| <u>Signatures</u> | 66 |
| FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS | |

Some of the statements contained or that may be included in this report or in information we file with the Securities and Exchange Commission, or the SEC, are or may be deemed to be forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and are subject to the safe harbor created by the Private Securities Litigation Act of 1995. All statements, other than statements of historical facts, including, among others, statements regarding our future financial results or position, business strategy, projected levels of growth, projected costs and projected financing needs, are forward-looking statements. Those statements include statements regarding our intent, belief or current expectations and those of the members of our management team, as well as the assumptions on which such statements are based, and generally are identified by the use of words such as may, might, will, could, plan, objective, predict, project, potential, continue, ongoing, seeks, anticipates, believes, estimates, expects, plans, intends, expressions. Forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance and involve risks and uncertainties that actual results may differ materially from those contemplated by such forward-looking statements. Many of these factors are beyond our ability to control or predict. Such factors include, but are not limited to, competition in our market, changes in family vacation patterns and consumer spending habits, regional or national economic downturns or other economic disruptions, our ability to attract a significant number of guests from our target markets, economic conditions in our target markets, the impact of fuel costs and other operating costs, our ability to develop new resorts in desirable markets or further develop existing resorts on a timely and cost efficient basis, our ability to manage growth, including the expansion of our infrastructure and systems necessary to support growth, our ability to manage cash and obtain additional cash required for growth, the general tightening in the U.S. lending markets, potential accidents or injuries at our resorts, decreases in travel due to pandemic or other widespread illness, our ability to

achieve or sustain profitability, downturns in our industry segment and extreme weather conditions, reductions in the availability of credit to indoor waterpark resorts generally or to us and our subsidiaries, increases in operating costs and other expense items and costs, uninsured losses or losses in excess of our insurance coverage, our ability to protect our intellectual property, trade secrets and the value of our brands, and current and possible future legal restrictions and requirements. Further descriptions of these risks, uncertainties, and other matters can be found in our annual report and other reports filed from time to time with the SEC, including but not limited to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011. We caution that the foregoing list of important factors is not complete, and we assume no obligation to update any forward-looking statement that we may make.

We believe these forward-looking statements are reasonable; however, undue reliance should not be placed on any forward-looking statements, which are based on current expectations. All written and oral forward-looking statements attributable to us or persons acting on our behalf are qualified in their entirety by these cautionary statements. Further, forward-looking statements speak only as of the date they are made, and we undertake no obligation to update or revise forward-looking statements to reflect changed assumptions, the occurrence of unanticipated events or changes to future operating results over time unless otherwise required by law. Past financial or operating performance is not necessarily a reliable indicator of future performance and you should not use our historical performance to anticipate results or future period trends.

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION**ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****GREAT WOLF RESORTS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS****(Unaudited; dollars in thousands, except share and per share data)**

| | September 30, 2012 Successor | December 31, 2011 Predecessor |
|---|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 35,531 | \$ 33,767 |
| Escrows | 5,758 | 2,618 |
| Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$2 and \$5 | 7,244 | 3,660 |
| Accounts receivable affiliate | 2,041 | 3,243 |
| Inventory | 7,013 | 7,570 |
| Other current assets | 10,404 | 6,212 |
| Total current assets | 67,991 | 57,070 |
| Property and equipment, net | 624,489 | 576,262 |
| Investments in and advances to affiliate | 25,623 | 24,311 |
| Other assets | 10,162 | 20,556 |
| Goodwill | 97,497 | 1,365 |
| Intangible assets | 50,636 | 25,310 |
| Total assets | \$ 876,398 | \$ 704,874 |
| LIABILITIES AND EQUITY | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Current portion of long-term debt | \$ 67,201 | \$ 67,678 |
| Accounts payable | 3,620 | 5,301 |
| Accounts payable affiliate | 17 | 27 |
| Accrued interest payable | 14,081 | 8,012 |
| Accrued expenses | 24,751 | 24,211 |
| Advance deposits | 9,853 | 7,715 |
| Other current liabilities | 8,645 | 7,529 |
| Total current liabilities | 128,168 | 120,473 |
| Mortgage debt | 399,707 | 366,951 |
| Other long-term debt | 60,893 | 80,545 |
| Deferred tax liability | 17,200 | 11,907 |
| Deferred compensation liability | 2,086 | 1,502 |
| Total liabilities | 608,054 | 581,378 |
| Commitments and contingencies | | |
| Great Wolf Resorts Inc. stockholders equity: | | |
| Common stock, \$0.01 par value; 250,000,000 shares authorized; 200 and 32,470,524 shares issued and outstanding | 0 | 325 |
| Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value, 10,000,000 shares authorized, no shares issued or outstanding | 0 | 0 |
| Additional paid-in-capital | 264,973 | 404,714 |
| Accumulated deficit | (1,490) | (281,314) |
| Deferred compensation | 0 | (200) |

Edgar Filing: Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|---|------------|------------|
| Total Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. stockholders' equity | 263,483 | 123,525 |
| Noncontrolling interest | 4,861 | (29) |
| Total equity | 268,344 | 123,496 |
| Total liabilities and equity | \$ 876,398 | \$ 704,874 |

See accompanying notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements.

GREAT WOLF RESORTS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(Unaudited; dollars in thousands, except share and per share data)

| | Successor Three months ended September 30, 2012 | Predecessor Three months ended September 30, 2011 | Successor Period May 5, 2012 through September 30, 2012 | Predecessor Period January 1, 2012 through May 4, 2012 | Predecessor Nine months ended September 30, 2011 |
|---|--|--|---|---|--|
| Revenues: | | | | | |
| Rooms | \$ 52,223 | \$ 50,340 | \$ 80,277 | \$ 63,793 | \$ 137,358 |
| Food and beverage | 13,414 | 12,829 | 21,535 | 17,273 | 36,020 |
| Other | 13,601 | 12,920 | 20,868 | 15,920 | 35,638 |
| Management and other fees | 1,181 | 912 | 1,661 | 1,398 | 2,319 |
| Management and other fees affiliates | 1,002 | 957 | 1,537 | 1,414 | 2,984 |
| | 81,421 | 77,958 | 125,878 | 99,798 | 214,319 |
| Other revenue from managed properties | 3,129 | 2,969 | 5,007 | 4,193 | 8,751 |
| Other revenue from managed properties affiliates | 2,811 | 2,624 | 4,540 | 3,901 | 8,091 |
| Total revenues | 87,361 | 83,551 | 135,425 | 107,892 | 231,161 |
| Operating expenses by department: | | | | | |
| Rooms | 7,082 | 6,676 | 11,304 | 9,458 | 19,299 |
| Food and beverage | 9,603 | 9,318 | 15,732 | 12,946 | 27,067 |
| Other | 10,621 | 9,743 | 17,206 | 13,450 | 28,305 |
| Other operating expenses: | | | | | |
| Selling, general and administrative | 18,104 | 15,356 | 31,480 | 42,205 | 49,261 |
| Selling, general and administrative affiliates | 1,008 | 0 | 1,008 | 0 | 0 |
| Property operating costs | 9,471 | 8,544 | 14,345 | 11,347 | 25,824 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 11,766 | 14,799 | 19,545 | 16,469 | 41,362 |
| Loss on disposition of assets | 151 | 330 | 151 | 47 | 1,368 |
| | 67,806 | 64,766 | 110,771 | 105,922 | 192,486 |
| Other expenses from managed properties | 3,129 | 2,969 | 5,007 | 4,193 | 8,751 |
| Other expenses from managed properties affiliates | 2,811 | 2,624 | 4,540 | 3,901 | 8,091 |
| Total operating expenses | 73,746 | 70,359 | 120,318 | 114,016 | 209,328 |
| Net operating income (loss) | 13,615 | 13,192 | 15,107 | (6,124) | 21,833 |
| Investment income affiliates | (220) | (220) | (357) | (303) | (682) |
| Interest income | (48) | (50) | (79) | (82) | (156) |
| Interest expense | 10,015 | 11,969 | 16,274 | 16,016 | 36,174 |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes and equity in (income) loss of unconsolidated affiliates | 3,868 | 1,493 | (731) | (21,755) | (13,503) |
| Income tax expense | 250 | 218 | 529 | 269 | 839 |
| Equity in (income) loss of unconsolidated affiliates, net of tax | (138) | (101) | 264 | (551) | (552) |
| Net income (loss) from continuing operations | 3,756 | 1,376 | (1,524) | (21,473) | (13,790) |
| Discontinued operations, net of tax | (2) | 105 | (9) | 23 | (6,704) |

Edgar Filing: Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | |
|--|----------|----------|------------|-------------|------------|
| Net income (loss) | 3,758 | 1,271 | (1,515) | (21,496) | (7,086) |
| Net (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interest, net of tax | (14) | 18 | (25) | (15) | (14) |
| Net income (loss) attributable to Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. | \$ 3,772 | \$ 1,253 | \$ (1,490) | \$ (21,481) | \$ (7,072) |

See accompanying notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements.

GREAT WOLF RESORTS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(Unaudited; dollars in thousands)

| | Successor | Predecessor | |
|---|--|--|---|
| | Period May 5, 2012 through September 30, 2012 | Period January 1, 2012 through May 4, 2012 | Nine months ended September 30, 2011 |
| Operating activities: | | | |
| Net loss | \$ (1,515) | \$ (21,496) | \$ (7,086) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by operating activities: | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 19,545 | 16,469 | 41,462 |
| Bad debt expense | 116 | 26 | 38 |
| Amortization of debt fair value | (2,078) | 0 | 0 |
| Non-cash employee compensation and professional fees expense | 1,120 | 3,348 | 1,638 |
| Loss on disposition of assets | 151 | 47 | 1,368 |
| Gain on disposition of property included in discontinued operations | 0 | 0 | (6,667) |
| Equity in loss (income) of unconsolidated affiliates | 260 | (559) | (653) |
| Deferred tax expense | 163 | 73 | 159 |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities: | | | |
| Accounts receivable and other assets | (6,177) | 103 | (3,207) |
| Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities | 4,859 | 3,667 | 217 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 16,444 | 1,678 | 27,269 |
| Investing activities: | | | |
| Capital expenditures for property and equipment | (6,168) | (2,237) | (7,720) |
| Loan repayment from unconsolidated affiliates | 0 | 0 | 807 |
| Investment in development | (43) | (75) | (316) |
| Proceeds from sale of a discontinued operation | 0 | 0 | 4,200 |
| Proceeds from the sale of assets | 0 | 3 | 2 |
| Increase in restricted cash | (488) | (3,464) | (1,910) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (6,699) | (5,773) | (4,937) |
| Financing activities: | | | |
| Principal payments on debt | (3,021) | (1,777) | (79,239) |
| Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt | 0 | 0 | 56,000 |
| Payment of loan costs | (59) | (120) | (1,549) |
| Capital contributions | 1,091 | 0 | 0 |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (1,989) | (1,897) | (24,788) |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | 7,756 | (5,992) | (2,456) |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period | 27,775 | 33,767 | 36,988 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of period | \$ 35,531 | \$ 27,775 | \$ 34,532 |
| Supplemental Cash Flow Information: | | | |
| Cash paid for interest | \$ 7,272 | \$ 20,499 | \$ 28,946 |
| Cash paid for income taxes | \$ 470 | \$ 211 | \$ 662 |
| Non-cash items: | | | |

Edgar Filing: Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|----|---|----|---|----|-------|
| Transfer of fixed assets to inventory | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 1,883 |
|---------------------------------------|----|---|----|---|----|-------|

See accompanying notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements.

GREAT WOLF RESORTS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited; dollars in thousands, except share and per share amounts)

1. ORGANIZATION

The terms Great Wolf Resorts, us, we, our and Company are used in this report to refer to Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. and its consolidated subsidiaries.

Business Summary

We are a family entertainment resort company that provides our guests with a high quality vacation at an affordable price. We are the largest owner, licensor, operator and developer in North America of drive-to, destination family resorts featuring indoor waterparks and other family-oriented entertainment activities based on the number of resorts in operation. Each of our resorts features approximately 300 to 600 family suites, each of which sleeps from six to ten people and includes a wet bar, microwave oven, refrigerator and dining and sitting area. We provide a full-service entertainment resort experience to our target customer base: families with children ranging in ages from 2 to 14 years old that live within a convenient driving distance of our resorts. Our resorts are open year-round and provide a consistent, comfortable environment where our guests can enjoy our various amenities and activities. We operate and license resorts under our Great Wolf Lodge® brand name. We have entered into licensing and management arrangements with third parties relating to the operation of resorts under the Great Wolf Lodge brand name.

We provide our guests with a self-contained vacation experience and focus on capturing a significant portion of their total vacation spending. We earn revenues through the sale of rooms (which includes admission to our indoor waterpark), and other revenue-generating resort amenities. Each of our resorts features a combination of the following revenue-generating amenities: themed restaurants, ice cream shop and confectionery, full-service adult spa, kid spa, game arcade, gift shop, miniature golf, interactive game attraction, family tech center and meeting space. We also generate revenues from licensing fees, management fees and other fees with respect to our operation or development of properties owned in whole or in part by third parties.

On March 24, 2011, we sold our Blue Harbor Resort in Sheboygan, WI. We continue to license the Blue Harbor Resort and related trade names to the buyer at no fee. As of March 24, 2011, we no longer operated this resort or managed the condominium units there.

On May 4, 2012, the Company merged with K-9 Acquisition, Inc., a Delaware corporation (Merger Sub), in the Merger (as defined and discussed in Note 4 below). Although the Company continued as the same legal entity after the Merger, the Company's capital structure changed significantly as a result of the Merger and our financial statement presentations distinguish between a Predecessor for periods prior to the Merger and a Successor for periods subsequent to the Merger. The Merger was accounted for as a business combination using the acquisition method of accounting and Successor financial statements reflect a new basis of accounting that is based on the fair value of assets acquired and liabilities assumed as of the effective time of the Merger. The determination of these fair values reflects appraisals prepared by independent third parties and is based on actual tangible and identifiable intangible assets and liabilities that existed as of the effective time of the Merger. As a result of the application of the acquisition method of accounting as of the effective time of the Merger, the financial statements for the Predecessor period and for the Successor period are presented on different bases and are, therefore, not comparable.

The following table presents an overview of our portfolio of resorts. As of September 30, 2012, we operated, managed and/or had licensing arrangements relating to the operation of 11 Great Wolf Lodge resorts (our signature Northwoods-themed resorts). We anticipate that most of our future resorts will be licensed and/or developed under our Great Wolf Lodge brand, but we may operate and/or enter into licensing arrangements with other resorts using different brands in appropriate markets.

| | Ownership Percentage | Opened | Number of Guest Suites | Indoor Entertainment Area (1) (Approx. sq. ft) |
|--------------------------|----------------------|--------|------------------------|--|
| Wisconsin Dells, WI (3) | | 1997 | 385(2) | 102,000 |
| Sandusky, OH (3) | | 2001 | 271 | 41,000 |
| Traverse City, MI | 100% | 2003 | 280 | 57,000 |
| Kansas City, KS | 100% | 2003 | 281 | 57,000 |
| Williamsburg, VA (4) | 100% | 2005 | 405 | 87,000 |
| Pocono Mountains, PA (4) | 100% | 2005 | 401 | 101,000 |
| Niagara Falls, ONT (5) | | 2006 | 406 | 104,000 |
| Mason, OH (4) | 100% | 2006 | 401 | 105,000 |
| Grapevine, TX (4) | 100% | 2007 | 605 | 110,000 |
| Grand Mound, WA (6) | 49% | 2008 | 398 | 74,000 |
| Concord, NC (4) | 100% | 2009 | 402 | 97,000 |

- (1) Our indoor entertainment areas generally include our indoor waterpark, game arcade, children's activity room, family tech center, MagiQuest® (an interactive game attraction) and fitness room, as well as our spa in the resorts that have such amenities.
- (2) Total number of guest suites includes 77 condominium units that are individually owned and we manage.
- (3) These properties are owned by CNL Lifestyle Properties, Inc. (CNL), a real estate investment trust focused on leisure and lifestyle properties. We currently manage both properties and license the Great Wolf Lodge brand to these resorts.
- (4) Five of our properties (Great Wolf Lodge resorts in Williamsburg, VA; Pocono Mountains, PA; Mason, OH; Grapevine, TX and Concord, NC) each had a book value of fixed assets equal to ten percent or more of our total assets as of September 30, 2012 and each of those five properties had total revenues equal to ten percent or more of our total revenues for the three months ended September 30, 2012, the period January 1 – May 4, 2012, and the period May 5 – September 30, 2012.
- (5) An affiliate of Ripley Entertainment, Inc. (Ripley), our licensee, owns this resort. We have granted Ripley a license to use the Great Wolf Lodge name for this resort through April 2016.
- (6) This property is owned by a joint venture. The Confederated Tribes of the Chehalis Reservation (Chehalis) owns a 51% interest in the joint venture, and we own a 49% interest. We operate the property and license the Great Wolf Lodge brand to the joint venture under long-term agreements through April 2057, subject to earlier termination in certain situations. The joint venture leases the land for the resort from the United States Department of the Interior, which is trustee for Chehalis.

2. REVISION OF PRIOR PERIOD FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

In connection with the preparation of our condensed consolidated financial statements for the second quarter of 2012, we identified an error in the manner in which deferred tax balances were calculated. In accordance with accounting guidance found in ASC 250-10 (SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 99, *Materiality*), we assessed the materiality of the error and concluded that the error was not material to any of our previously issued financial statements. In accordance with accounting guidance found in ASC 250-10 (SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 108, *Considering the Effects of Prior Year Misstatements when Quantifying Misstatements in Current Year Financial Statements*), we revised our previously issued financial statements to correct the effect of this error. This non-cash revision does not impact our operating income or cash flows for any prior period.

The following tables present the effect of this correction on the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets, Statements of Operations, Statements of Equity and Statements of Cash Flows for all Predecessor periods affected:

| | As Previously Reported | Adjustment | As Revised |
|---|------------------------|------------|------------|
| March 31, 2012 | | | |
| Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet | | | |
| Other current assets | \$ 8,459 | \$ (955) | \$ 7,504 |
| Total current assets | 72,403 | (955) | 71,448 |
| Other assets | 25,977 | (5,373) | 20,604 |
| Total assets | 715,439 | (6,328) | 709,111 |
| Deferred tax liability | 0 | 11,961 | 11,961 |
| Total liabilities | 582,517 | 11,961 | 594,478 |
| Accumulated deficit | (272,223) | (18,289) | (290,512) |
| Total Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. stockholders' equity | 132,964 | (18,289) | 114,675 |
| Total equity | 132,922 | (18,289) | 114,633 |

Edgar Filing: Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | | | |
|------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Total liabilities and equity | 715,439 | (6,328) | 709,111 |
|------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|

| | As Previously Reported | Adjustment | As Revised |
|--|------------------------|------------|------------|
| Three Months Ended March 31, 2012 | | | |
| Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations | | | |
| Income tax expense | \$ 441 | \$ (66) | \$ 375 |
| Equity in income of unconsolidated affiliates, net of tax | (92) | (1) | (93) |
| Net loss from continuing operations | (9,241) | 67 | (9,174) |
| Net loss | (9,277) | 67 | (9,210) |
| Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest, net of tax | (13) | 1 | (12) |
| Net loss attributable to Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. | (9,264) | 66 | (9,198) |
| Loss per share of common stock basic and diluted: | | | |
| Loss from continuing operations, net of net income attributable to noncontrolling interest, net of tax | \$ (0.29) | \$ 0.00 | \$ (0.29) |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax | (0.00) | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Basic and diluted loss per common share | \$ (0.29) | \$ 0.00 | \$ (0.29) |
| Condensed Consolidated Statement of Cash Flow | | | |
| Net loss | \$ (9,277) | \$ 67 | \$ (9,210) |
| Deferred tax expense | 120 | (67) | 53 |

| | As Previously Reported | Adjustment | As Revised |
|--|------------------------|------------|------------|
| December 31, 2011 | | | |
| Consolidated Balance Sheet | | | |
| Other current assets | \$ 7,167 | \$ (955) | \$ 6,212 |
| Total current assets | 58,025 | (955) | 57,070 |
| Other assets | 26,049 | (5,493) | 20,556 |
| Total assets | 711,322 | (6,448) | 704,874 |
| Deferred tax liability | 0 | 11,907 | 11,907 |
| Total liabilities | 569,471 | 11,907 | 581,378 |
| Accumulated deficit | (262,959) | (18,355) | (281,314) |
| Total Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. stockholders equity | 141,880 | (18,355) | 123,525 |
| Total equity | 141,851 | (18,355) | 123,496 |
| Total liabilities and equity | 711,322 | (6,448) | 704,874 |
| Year Ended December 31, 2011 | | | |
| Consolidated Statement of Operations | | | |
| Income tax expense | \$ 7,086 | \$ (5,954) | \$ 1,132 |
| Equity in loss of unconsolidated affiliates, net of tax | 18 | (5) | 13 |
| Net loss from continuing operations | (32,324) | 5,959 | (26,365) |
| Net loss | (25,690) | 5,959 | (19,731) |
| Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest, net of tax | (27) | 9 | (18) |

| | As Previously Reported | Adjustment | As Revised |
|--|---------------------------|------------|-------------|
| Net loss attributable to Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. | (25,663) | 5,950 | (19,713) |
| Loss per share of common stock basic and diluted: | | | |
| Loss from continuing operations, net of net income attributable to noncontrolling interest, net of tax | \$ (1.03) | \$ 0.19 | \$ (0.84) |
| Income from discontinued operations, net of tax | 0.21 | 0.00 | 0.21 |
| | | | |
| Basic and diluted loss per common share | \$ (0.82) | \$ 0.19 | \$ (0.63) |
| | | | |
| Consolidated Statement of Equity | | | |
| Net loss attributable to Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. | \$ (25,663) | \$ 5,950 | \$ (19,713) |
| Accumulated deficit | (262,959) | (18,355) | (281,314) |
| Consolidated Statement of Cash Flow | | | |
| Net loss | \$ (25,690) | \$ 5,959 | \$ (19,731) |
| Deferred tax expense | 6,167 | (5,959) | 208 |

| | As Previously Reported | Adjustment | As Revised |
|--|---------------------------|------------|------------|
| September 30, 2011 | | | |
| Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet | | | |
| Other assets | \$ 29,470 | \$ (8,234) | \$ 21,236 |
| Total assets | 729,783 | (8,234) | 721,549 |
| Deferred tax liability | 0 | 11,853 | 11,853 |
| Total liabilities | 574,175 | 11,853 | 586,028 |
| Accumulated deficit | (248,586) | (20,087) | (268,673) |
| Total Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. stockholders' equity | 155,634 | (20,087) | 135,547 |
| Total equity | 155,608 | (20,087) | 135,521 |
| Total liabilities and equity | 729,783 | (8,234) | 721,549 |
| Three Months Ended September 30, 2011 | | | |
| Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations | | | |
| Income tax expense | \$ 39 | \$ 179 | \$ 218 |
| Equity in income of unconsolidated affiliates, net of tax | (184) | 83 | (101) |
| Net income from continuing operations | 1,638 | (262) | 1,376 |
| Net income | 1,533 | (262) | 1,271 |
| Net income attributable to Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. | 1,515 | (262) | 1,253 |
| Income per share of common stock basic and diluted: | | | |
| Income from continuing operations, net of net income attributable to noncontrolling interest, net of tax | \$ 0.05 | \$ (0.01) | \$ 0.04 |
| Income from discontinued operations, net of tax | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| | | | |
| Basic and diluted loss per common share | \$ 0.05 | \$ (0.01) | \$ 0.04 |

| | As Previously Reported | Adjustment | As Revised |
|--|---------------------------|------------|------------|
| Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011 | | | |
| Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations | | | |
| Income tax expense | \$ 5,175 | \$ (4,336) | \$ 839 |
| Equity in income of unconsolidated affiliates, net of tax | (667) | 115 | (552) |
| Net loss from continuing operations | (18,011) | 4,221 | (13,790) |
| Net loss | (11,307) | 4,221 | (7,086) |
| Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest, net of tax | (17) | 3 | (14) |
| Net loss attributable to Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. | (11,290) | 4,218 | (7,072) |
| Loss per share of common stock basic and diluted: | | | |
| Loss from continuing operations, net of net income attributable to noncontrolling interest, net of tax | \$ (0.58) | \$ 0.13 | \$ (0.45) |
| Income from discontinued operations, net of tax | 0.22 | 0.00 | 0.22 |
| | | | |
| Basic and diluted loss per common share | \$ (0.36) | \$ 0.13 | \$ (0.23) |
| | | | |
| Condensed Consolidated Statement of Cash Flow | | | |
| Net loss | \$ (11,307) | \$ 4,221 | \$ (7,086) |
| Deferred tax expense | 4,380 | (4,221) | 159 |

| | As Previously Reported | Adjustment | As Revised |
|---|---------------------------|------------|------------|
| June 30, 2011 | | | |
| Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet | | | |
| Other assets | \$ 30,704 | \$ (8,026) | \$ 22,678 |
| Total assets | 744,470 | (8,026) | 736,444 |
| Deferred tax liability | 0 | 11,798 | 11,798 |
| Total liabilities | 590,908 | 11,798 | 602,706 |
| Accumulated deficit | (250,101) | (19,824) | (269,925) |
| Total Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. stockholders' equity | 153,607 | (19,824) | 133,783 |
| Total equity | 153,562 | (19,824) | 133,738 |
| Total liabilities and equity | 744,470 | (8,026) | 736,444 |

| | As Previously Reported | Adjustment | As Revised |
|--|---------------------------|------------|------------|
| Three Months Ended June 30, 2011 | | | |
| Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations | | | |
| Income tax expense | \$ 134 | \$ 214 | \$ 348 |
| Equity in income of unconsolidated affiliates, net of tax | (332) | (12) | (344) |
| Net loss from continuing operations | (6,745) | (202) | (6,947) |
| Discontinued operations, net of tax | 107 | (172) | (65) |
| Net loss | (6,852) | (30) | (6,882) |
| Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest, net of tax | (21) | (2) | (23) |
| Net loss attributable to Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. | (6,831) | (28) | (6,859) |
| Loss per share of common stock basic and diluted: | | | |
| Loss from continuing operations, net of net income attributable to noncontrolling interest, net of tax | \$ (0.22) | \$ 0.00 | \$ (0.22) |
| Income from discontinued operations, net of tax | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| | | | |
| Basic and diluted loss per common share | \$ (0.22) | \$ 0.00 | \$ (0.22) |

| | As Previously Reported | Adjustment | As Revised |
|--|---------------------------|------------|------------|
| Six months Ended June 30, 2011 | | | |
| Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations | | | |
| Income tax expense | \$ 5,136 | \$ (4,515) | \$ 621 |
| Equity in (income) loss of unconsolidated affiliates, net of tax | (483) | 32 | (451) |
| Net loss from continuing operations | (19,649) | 4,483 | (15,166) |
| Net loss | (12,840) | 4,483 | (8,357) |
| Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest, net of tax | (35) | 3 | (32) |
| Net loss attributable to Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. | (12,805) | 4,480 | (8,325) |
| Loss per share of common stock basic and diluted: | | | |
| Loss from continuing operations, net of net income attributable to noncontrolling interest, net of tax | \$ (0.63) | \$ 0.14 | \$ (0.49) |
| Income from discontinued operations, net of tax | 0.22 | 0.00 | 0.22 |
| Basic and diluted loss per common share | \$ (0.41) | \$ 0.14 | \$ (0.27) |
| Condensed Consolidated Statement of Cash Flow | | | |
| Net loss | \$ (12,840) | \$ 4,483 | \$ (8,357) |
| Deferred tax expense | 4,589 | (4,483) | 106 |
| March 31, 2011 | | | |
| Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet | | | |
| Other assets | \$ 31,496 | \$ (8,053) | \$ 23,443 |
| Total assets | 761,625 | (8,053) | 753,572 |
| Deferred tax liability | 0 | 11,744 | 11,744 |
| Total liabilities | 601,655 | 11,744 | 613,399 |
| Accumulated deficit | (243,270) | (19,797) | (263,067) |
| Total Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. stockholders' equity | 159,993 | (19,797) | 140,196 |
| Total equity | 159,970 | (19,797) | 140,173 |
| Total liabilities and equity | 761,625 | (8,053) | 753,572 |
| Three Months Ended March 31, 2011 | | | |
| Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations | | | |
| Income tax expense | \$ 5,002 | \$ (4,729) | \$ 273 |
| Equity in income of unconsolidated affiliates, net of tax | (151) | 44 | (107) |
| Net loss from continuing operations | (12,904) | 4,685 | (8,219) |
| Discontinued operations, net of tax | (6,916) | 172 | (6,744) |
| Net loss | (5,988) | 4,513 | (1,475) |
| Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest, net of tax | (14) | 5 | (9) |
| Net loss attributable to Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. | (5,974) | 4,508 | (1,466) |
| Loss per share of common stock basic and diluted: | | | |
| Loss from continuing operations, net of net income attributable to noncontrolling interest, net of tax | \$ (0.41) | \$ 0.14 | \$ (0.27) |
| Income from discontinued operations, net of tax | 0.22 | 0.00 | 0.22 |
| Basic and diluted loss per common share | \$ (0.19) | \$ 0.14 | \$ (0.05) |
| Condensed Consolidated Statement of Cash Flow | | | |
| Net loss | \$ (5,988) | \$ 4,513 | \$ (1,475) |
| Deferred tax expense | 4,562 | (4,513) | 49 |

| | As Previously Reported | Adjustment | As Revised |
|--|---------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| December 31, 2010 | | | |
| Consolidated Balance Sheet | | | |
| Other assets | \$ 38,649 | \$ (12,615) | \$ 26,034 |
| Total assets | 771,238 | (12,615) | 758,623 |
| Deferred tax liability | 0 | 11,690 | 11,690 |
| Total liabilities | 605,468 | 11,690 | 617,158 |
| Accumulated deficit | (237,296) | (24,305) | (261,601) |
| Total Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. stockholders equity | 165,779 | (24,305) | 141,474 |
| Total equity | 165,770 | (24,305) | 141,465 |
| Total liabilities and equity | 771,238 | (12,615) | 758,623 |
| Year Ended December 31, 2010 | | | |
| Consolidated Statement of Operations | | | |
| Income tax expense (benefit) | \$ (5,403) | \$ 6,188 | \$ 785 |
| Equity in loss of unconsolidated affiliates, net of tax | 576 | 72 | 648 |
| Net loss from continuing operations | (50,563) | (6,260) | (56,823) |
| Discontinued operations, net of tax | 455 | 54 | 509 |
| Net loss | (51,018) | (6,314) | (57,332) |
| Net loss attributable to Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. | (51,009) | (6,314) | (57,323) |
| Loss per share of common stock basic and diluted: | | | |
| Loss from continuing operations, net of net income attributable to noncontrolling interest, net of tax | \$ (1.63) | \$ (0.20) | \$ (1.83) |
| Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax | (0.02) | 0.00 | (0.02) |
| Basic and diluted loss per common share | \$ (1.65) | \$ (0.20) | \$ (1.85) |
| Consolidated Statement of Equity | | | |
| Net loss attributable to Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. | \$ (51,009) | \$ (6,314) | \$ (57,323) |
| Accumulated deficit | (237,296) | (24,305) | (261,601) |
| Consolidated Statement of Cash Flow | | | |
| Net loss | \$ (51,018) | \$ (6,314) | \$ (57,332) |
| Deferred tax expense | (6,408) | 6,314 | (94) |

| | As Previously Reported | Adjustment | As Revised |
|--|---------------------------|------------|------------|
| Year Ended December 31, 2009 | | | |
| Consolidated Statement of Operations | | | |
| Income tax expense | \$ 220 | \$ (40) | \$ 180 |
| Equity in loss of unconsolidated affiliates, net of tax | 2,435 | (3) | 2,432 |
| Net loss from continuing operations | (30,518) | 43 | (30,475) |
| Discontinued operations, net of tax | 27,958 | (41) | 27,917 |
| Net loss | (58,476) | 84 | (58,392) |
| Loss per share of common stock basic and diluted: | | | |
| Loss from continuing operations, net of net income attributable to noncontrolling interest, net of tax | \$ (0.99) | \$ (0.00) | \$ (0.99) |
| Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax | (0.91) | 0.00 | (0.91) |
| Basic and diluted loss per common share | \$ (1.90) | \$ 0.00 | \$ (1.90) |

| | As Previously Reported | Adjustment | As Revised |
|--|---------------------------|------------|-------------|
| Consolidated Statement of Equity | | | |
| Net loss | \$ (58,476) | \$ 84 | \$ (58,392) |
| Accumulated deficit | (186,287) | (17,991) | (204,278) |
| Consolidated Statement of Cash Flow | | | |
| Net loss | \$ (58,476) | \$ 84 | \$ (58,392) |
| Deferred tax expense | 131 | (84) | 47 |

3. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

General We have prepared these unaudited condensed consolidated interim financial statements according to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). Accordingly, we have omitted certain information and footnote disclosures that are normally included in annual financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (GAAP). The December 31, 2011 consolidated balance sheet data was derived from audited financial statements, but does not include all disclosures required by GAAP. These interim financial statements should be read in conjunction with the financial statements, accompanying notes and other information included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated interim financial statements reflect all adjustments, which are of a normal and recurring nature, necessary for a fair presentation of the financial condition and results of operations and cash flows for the periods presented. The preparation of financial statements in accordance with GAAP requires us to make estimates and assumptions. Such estimates and assumptions affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, as well as the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Our actual results could differ from those estimates. The results of operations for the interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the entire year.

Certain 2011 amounts have been reclassified to conform to the 2012 presentation:

On our condensed consolidated balance sheet, we have reclassified and presented as a separate line item accrued interest payable, which was previously included with accrued expenses; and

On our condensed consolidated balance sheet, we have reclassified and presented as a separate line item goodwill, which was previously included with intangible assets.

Principles of Consolidation The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements include all of the accounts of Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. and our consolidated subsidiaries. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in the consolidated financial statements.

Intangibles Our intangible assets consist of the value of our brand names, management contracts and patented and unpatented technologies. A summary of our intangibles is as follows:

| | Successor September 30, 2012 | Predecessor December 31, 2011 |
|---|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Brand names | \$ 40,800 | \$ 23,829 |
| Management contracts, net of amortization | 8,015 | |
| Patented and unpatented technologies | 1,821 | 1,481 |
| | \$ 50,636 | \$ 25,310 |

The brand name intangible assets have indefinite useful lives. We do not amortize the brand name intangibles, but instead test them for possible impairment at least annually or when circumstances warrant, by comparing the fair value of the intangible asset with its carrying amount. We amortize our management contract intangibles over the remaining life of the contracts, ranging from 4 years to 45 years. We amortize our patented and unpatented technologies over 15 years.

Goodwill The excess of the purchase price of entities that are considered to be purchases of businesses over the estimated fair value of tangible and identifiable intangible assets acquired is recorded as goodwill. We are required to assess goodwill for impairment annually, or more

Edgar Filing: Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. - Form 10-Q

frequently if circumstances indicate impairment may have occurred. Recoverability of goodwill is measured at the reporting unit level and determined using a two-step process. The first step compares the carrying amount of the reporting unit to its estimated fair value. If the estimated fair value of a reporting unit

exceeds its carrying amount, goodwill of the reporting unit is not impaired and the second step of the impairment test is not necessary. To the extent that the carrying value of the reporting unit exceeds its estimated fair value, a second step is performed, wherein the reporting unit's carrying value of goodwill is compared to the implied fair value of goodwill. To the extent that the carrying value exceeds the implied fair value, impairment exists and must be recognized. We determine our reporting units' fair values using a discounted cash flow model.

In connection with the acquisition of the majority interest in Creative Kingdoms, LLC (CK) in 2010, we recorded \$1,365 of goodwill. In 2012 in connection with the Merger, we recorded \$97,497 of goodwill as part of purchase accounting.

| | |
|---|-----------|
| Balance as of January 1, 2011 (Predecessor) | |
| Goodwill recorded related to the acquisition of the majority interest in CK | \$ 1,365 |
| Balance as of December 31, 2011 and May 4, 2012 (Predecessor) | \$ 1,365 |
| Goodwill removed as a result of the Merger | (1,365) |
| Goodwill recorded related to the Merger | 97,497 |
| Balance as of September 30, 2012 (Successor) | \$ 97,497 |

Noncontrolling Interests We record the interests in CK not owned by us as a separate component of our consolidated equity on our condensed consolidated balance sheet. The net earnings attributable to the controlling and noncontrolling interests are included on the face of our statements of operations.

Discontinued Operations We record the results of the operations of an entity that has been disposed of as a discontinued operation in the consolidated statements of operations when the operations and cash flows of the entity have been eliminated from the ongoing operations and we do not have any significant continuing involvement in the operations of the entity after the disposal transaction. During the nine months ended September 30, 2011 we disposed of our Blue Harbor Resort property and have included that property's operations and gain on sale in discontinued operations for all periods presented. The operations of Blue Harbor Resort were formerly included in our Resort Ownership/Operation segment.

Income Taxes At the end of each interim reporting period, we estimate the effective tax rate expected to be applicable for the full fiscal year. We use that estimated effective tax rate in providing for income taxes on a year-to-date basis. We account for the tax effect of significant unusual or extraordinary items in the period in which they occur. We account for major changes in our valuation allowance within continuing operations in the period in which they occur.

Segments We have two reportable segments:

Resort ownership/operation-revenues derived from our consolidated owned resorts; and

Resort third-party management/licensing-revenues derived from management, license and other related fees from unconsolidated managed resorts. The following summarizes significant financial information regarding our segments:

The Other column in the table includes items that do not constitute a reportable segment and represent corporate-level activities and the activities of other operations not included in the Resort Ownership/Operation or Resort Third-Party Management/License segments. Included in net operating loss in the other column are \$1,626, \$2,678 and \$15,970 of merger-related transaction costs for the three months ended September 30, 2012 and the periods May 5, 2012 – September 30, 2012, and January 1, 2012 – May 4, 2012, respectively. Total assets at the corporate level primarily consist of cash, our investment in affiliates, and intangibles. At September 30, 2012 goodwill in the amounts of \$92,688 and \$4,809 have been assigned to the Resort Ownership/Operation and Other segments, respectively.

| | Resort Ownership/ Operation | Resort Third-Party Management /License | Other | Totals per Financial Statements |
|---|-----------------------------------|---|----------|---------------------------------------|
| Three months ended September 30, 2012 (Successor) | | | | |
| Revenues | \$ 77,425 | \$ 8,123 | \$ 1,813 | \$ 87,361 |
| Depreciation and amortization | (10,520) | | (1,246) | (11,766) |
| Net operating income (loss) | 14,551 | 2,183 | (3,119) | 13,615 |
| Investment income affiliates | | | | (220) |
| Interest income | | | | (48) |
| Interest expense | | | | 10,015 |
| Income from continuing operations before income taxes and equity in income of unconsolidated affiliates | | | | \$ 3,868 |
| Total assets | 747,092 | 1,238 | 128,068 | \$ 876,398 |

| | Resort Ownership/ Operation | Resort Third-Party Management /License | Other | Totals per Financial Statements |
|---|-----------------------------------|---|----------|---------------------------------------|
| Period May 5, 2012 through September 30, 2012 (Successor) | | | | |
| Revenues | \$ 120,089 | \$ 12,745 | \$ 2,591 | \$ 135,425 |
| Depreciation and amortization | (17,470) | | (2,075) | (19,545) |
| Net operating income (loss) | 17,936 | 3,198 | (6,027) | 15,107 |
| Investment income affiliates | | | | (357) |
| Interest income | | | | (79) |
| Interest expense | | | | 16,274 |
| Loss from continuing operations before income taxes and equity in income of unconsolidated affiliates | | | | \$ (731) |
| Additions to long-lived assets | 5,968 | | 200 | \$ 6,168 |

| | Resort Ownership/ Operation | Resort Third-Party Management /License | Other | Totals per Financial Statements |
|---|-----------------------------------|---|----------|---------------------------------------|
| Period January 1, 2012 through May 4, 2012 (Predecessor) | | | | |
| Revenues | \$ 95,876 | \$ 10,906 | \$ 1,110 | \$ 107,892 |
| Depreciation and amortization | (15,476) | | (993) | (16,469) |
| Net operating income (loss) | 11,070 | 2,813 | (20,007) | (6,124) |
| Investment income affiliates | | | | (303) |
| Interest income | | | | (82) |
| Interest expense | | | | 16,016 |
| Loss from continuing operations before income taxes and equity in income of unconsolidated affiliates | | | | \$ (21,755) |
| Additions to long-lived assets | 2,173 | | 64 | \$ 2,237 |

| | Resort Ownership/ Operation | Resort Third-Party Management /License | Other | Totals per Financial Statements |
|---|-----------------------------------|---|----------|---------------------------------------|
| Three months ended September 30, 2011(Predecessor) | | | | |
| Revenues | \$ 74,194 | \$ 7,462 | \$ 1,895 | \$ 83,551 |
| Depreciation and amortization | (14,045) | | (754) | (14,799) |
| Net operating income | 11,243 | 1,868 | 81 | 13,192 |
| Investment income affiliates | | | | (220) |
| Interest income | | | | (50) |
| Interest expense | | | | 11,969 |
| Income from continuing operations before income taxes and equity in income of unconsolidated affiliates | | | | \$ 1,493 |
| Additions to long-lived assets | 2,400 | | 146 | \$ 2,546 |

| | Resort Ownership/ Operation | Resort Third-Party Management /License | Other | Totals per Financial Statements |
|---|-----------------------------------|---|----------|---------------------------------------|
| Nine months ended September 30, 2011(Predecessor) | | | | |
| Revenues | \$ 204,655 | \$ 22,145 | \$ 4,361 | \$ 231,161 |
| Depreciation and amortization | (39,083) | | (2,279) | (41,362) |
| Net operating income (loss) | 19,086 | 5,303 | (2,556) | 21,833 |
| Investment income affiliates | | | | (682) |
| Interest income | | | | (156) |
| Interest expense | | | | 36,174 |
| Loss from continuing operations before income taxes and equity in income of unconsolidated affiliates | | | | \$ (13,503) |
| Additions to long-lived assets | 7,362 | | 358 | \$ 7,720 |
| Total assets | 611,112 | 927 | 109,510 | \$ 721,549 |

Recent Accounting Pronouncements In May 2011, the FASB issued guidance that clarifies and changes the application of various fair value measurement principles and disclosure requirements. This guidance is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In September 2011, the FASB issued guidance that permits an entity an option to first perform a qualitative assessment to determine whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. If an entity believes, as a result of its qualitative assessment, that it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount, the quantitative impairment test is required. Otherwise, no further impairment testing is required. The guidance is effective for annual and interim goodwill impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In July 2012, the FASB issued guidance on testing indefinite-lived intangible assets, other than goodwill, for impairment. An entity testing an indefinite-lived intangible asset for impairment has the option of performing a qualitative assessment before calculating the fair value of the asset. If an entity believes, as a result of its qualitative assessment, that it is more likely than not that the fair value of the asset is less than its carrying amount, the quantitative impairment test is required. Otherwise, no further impairment testing is required. The guidance is effective for annual and interim indefinite-lived intangible assets impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after September 15, 2012. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

4. PURCHASE ACCOUNTING IN CONNECTION WITH THE MERGER

On March 12, 2012, we entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger (as amended, the Merger Agreement) with K-9 Holdings, Inc., a Delaware corporation (K-9), and Merger Sub, a direct wholly-owned subsidiary of K-9. Pursuant to the terms of the Merger Agreement, among other things, K-9 and Merger Sub agreed to make a tender offer (the Offer) for all of the outstanding shares (each, a Share) of common stock (including restricted shares), par value \$0.01 per share, of the Company (the Common Stock) at a price of \$7.85 per share, net to the seller in cash, without interest (the Offer Price). Approximately 76% of the outstanding Shares were tendered in the Offer and the Company accepted all such tendered Shares for payment.

Following the expiration of the Offer, on May 4, 2012, Merger Sub exercised its option under the Merger Agreement to purchase a number of shares of Common Stock necessary for Merger Sub to own one share more than 90% of the outstanding Shares of Common Stock (the Top-Up Shares) at the Offer Price.

On May 4, 2012, following Merger Sub's purchase of the Top-Up Shares, K-9 completed its acquisition of the Company through the merger of Merger Sub with and into the Company, with the Company continuing as the surviving corporation in the merger and becoming a direct wholly-owned subsidiary of K-9 (the Merger).

At the effective time of the Merger, each share of Common Stock issued and outstanding immediately prior thereto (other than Common Stock owned or held (i) in treasury by the Company or any wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, (ii) by K-9 or any of its subsidiaries (including the Top-Up Shares), or (iii) by stockholders who have validly exercised their appraisal rights), was canceled and converted into the right to receive the Offer Price in cash, without interest and subject to applicable withholding tax.

The total cost to acquire all outstanding Shares pursuant to the Offer and the Merger was approximately \$263,000. The source of the funds for the acquisition of the Company was provided by committed equity capital contributed by certain equity funds managed by Apollo Management VII, L.P. (Apollo).

The Merger was accounted for as a business combination using the acquisition method of accounting, whereby the purchase price was allocated to tangible and intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed, based on their estimated fair market values. Fair value measurements have been applied based on assumptions that market participants would use in the pricing of the assets or liabilities. The purchase price allocation could change in subsequent periods, up to one year from the Merger date. Any subsequent changes to the purchase price allocation that result in material changes to our consolidated financial statements will be adjusted retroactively.

We applied the acquisition method of accounting in connection with the Merger. In conjunction with purchase accounting we:

Recorded property and equipment, other assets, debt and non-controlling interest at their preliminarily estimated fair values;

Recorded a deferred tax liability resulting from the difference between the preliminarily estimated fair values and the tax bases of assets. We recorded this liability at our anticipated effective tax rate of 40%; and

Recorded as goodwill the excess of consideration in the purchase transaction over the estimated fair value of net tangible and intangible assets.

| | |
|---|------------|
| Purchase of Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. common equity | \$ 262,773 |
| Less: Historical book value of Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. net assets acquired | 105,414 |
| Excess of purchase price over historical book value of net assets acquired | \$ 157,359 |
| Allocated to: | |
| Goodwill | \$ 97,497 |
| Property, plant and equipment | 74,776 |
| Intangible assets | 24,231 |
| Investments in and advances to affiliates | 219 |
| Other assets | (9,801) |
| Debt | (19,502) |
| Non-controlling interest | (4,932) |
| Deferred tax liabilities | (5,129) |
| Total Adjustments | \$ 157,359 |

As a result of the Merger, we had \$97,497 of goodwill at May 4, 2012, all of which related to the application of purchase accounting in conjunction with the Merger. Some of the values and amounts used in the initial application of purchase accounting for our consolidated balance sheet were based on estimates and assumptions. We do not expect any of the goodwill amounts recorded in conjunction with the Merger to be deductible for tax purposes.

The following table presents the unaudited pro forma results as if the Merger had occurred as of January 1, 2011:

| | Three months | | Nine months | |
|--|--------------------------|-----------|--------------------------|------------|
| | ended September 30, 2012 | 2011 | ended September 30, 2012 | 2011 |
| Revenues | \$ 87,361 | \$ 83,551 | \$ 243,317 | \$ 231,161 |
| Net income (loss) from continuing operations | 3,756 | 5,664 | (22,997) | (3,361) |
| Net income (loss) attributable to Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. | 3,772 | 5,541 | (2,143) | 3,357 |

5. INVESTMENT IN AFFILIATES

Our unconsolidated joint venture with The Confederated Tribes of the Chehalis Reservation owns the Great Wolf Lodge resort and conference center on a 39-acre land parcel in Grand Mound, Washington. This joint venture is a limited liability company. We are a member of that limited liability company with a 49% ownership interest. The joint venture does not currently meet the significant subsidiary test threshold of SEC Regulation S-X Rule 3-09, *Separate financial statements of subsidiaries not consolidated and 50 percent or less owned persons*. Accordingly, summarized financial information for the joint venture is not required to be presented.

At September 30, 2012, the joint venture had aggregate outstanding indebtedness to third parties of \$93,768. Neither joint venture partner guarantees the third party debt in the years presented. As of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, we have made combined loan and equity contributions, net of loan repayments, of \$27,179, to the joint venture to fund a portion of construction costs of the resort. The loan we had extended to the joint venture was fully repaid in 2011.

We had receivables from the joint venture of \$2,041 and \$3,243 as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively, that relate primarily to accrued preferred equity returns and management fees. We had payables to the joint venture of \$17 and \$27 as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. The amount of investment income and management fee income recorded by us is included in the Investment income affiliates and Management and other fees affiliates line items, respectively, on our consolidated statements of operations.

6. VARIABLE INTEREST ENTITIES

A legal entity is referred to as a variable interest entity if, by design (1) the total equity investment at risk is not sufficient to permit the legal entity to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support from other parties, or (2) the entity has equity investors that cannot make significant decisions about the entity's operations through voting rights, or do not have the obligation to absorb the expected losses, or do not have the right to receive the residual returns of the entity. A variable interest entity must be consolidated if it is determined that we have both the (1) power to direct the activities of the variable interest entity that most significantly impact the entity's economic performance and (2) obligation to absorb losses or the right to receive benefits of the variable interest entity that could potentially be significant to the variable interest entity.

In accordance with the guidance for the consolidation of variable interest entities, we analyze our variable interests, including equity investments and management agreements, to determine if an entity in which we have a variable interest is a variable interest entity and whether we must consolidate that variable interest entity. Our analysis includes both quantitative and qualitative reviews. We base our quantitative analysis on the forecasted cash flows of the entity, and our qualitative analysis on a consideration of all facts and circumstances including, but not limited to, our role in establishing the variable interest entity, our ongoing rights and responsibilities, the organization structure, and relevant financial and other agreements.

We have equity investments in the joint venture that owns the Great Wolf Lodge resort in Grand Mound, Washington as described in Note 5. We manage that resort and we have concluded that the joint venture is a variable interest entity due to the management contract that provides us with certain rights. However, we have concluded that we are not the primary beneficiary because the majority equity owner has substantive participating rights over the activities that most significantly impact the economic performance of the joint venture. As a result, we have concluded that power is shared between us and the other equity investor. As we share power with the majority equity owner, we are not the primary beneficiary of the joint venture and, therefore, we do not consolidate this entity. We have not provided any support to this entity that we were not contractually obligated to provide as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011. Our maximum exposure to loss related to our involvement with this entity as of September 30, 2012 and 2011 is limited to the carrying value of our equity investments in the joint venture and receivables as of that date. Our exposure is limited because of the non-recourse nature of the borrowings of the joint venture. The total carrying values of those items on our balance sheet as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 is \$26,869 and \$27,554, respectively, and are included in the Accounts receivable affiliates and Investments in and advances to affiliates line items on our consolidated balance sheet.

7. SHARE-BASED COMPENSATION

Overview

Prior to the Merger on May 4, 2012, the Great Wolf Resorts 2004 Incentive Stock Plan (the Old Plan) authorized us to grant up to 3,380,740 options, stock appreciation rights or shares of our common stock to employees and directors. Upon consummation of the Merger, the Old Plan was terminated. We have not issued any stock options or made any stock grants from Old Plan since the Merger.

Under the Old Plan, we recognized share-based compensation expense of \$3,348, and \$868, net of estimated forfeitures, for the periods January 1, 2012 – May 4, 2012, and May 5, 2012 – September 30, 2012, respectively. The total income tax (benefit) expense recognized related to share-based compensation was \$43, and \$49, for the periods January 1, 2012 – May 4, 2012, and May 5, 2012 – September 30, 2012, respectively.

Under the Old Plan, we recognized share-based compensation expense of \$507 and \$1,638, net of estimated forfeitures, for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively. The total income tax expense recognized related to share-based compensation was \$11 and \$36 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively.

On August 13, 2012, the board of directors of K-9 adopted the K-9 Holdings, Inc. 2012 Equity Incentive Plan (the K-9 Plan). The purpose of the K-9 Plan is to provide a means for K-9 and K-9's subsidiaries, including the Company, to attract and retain key personnel and for K-9 and its subsidiaries' directors, officers, employees, consultants and advisors to acquire and maintain an equity interest in K-9. The K-9 Plan will terminate automatically on August 13, 2022. No awards will be granted under the K-9 Plan after that date, but awards granted prior to that date may extend beyond that date.

Under the K-9 Plan, K-9 may grant awards of stock options, including both incentive stock options and nonqualified stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock and restricted stock units, stock bonus awards and performance compensation awards. Subject to adjustment for certain corporate events, K-9 has authorized and reserved for issuance a maximum of 2,436,182 shares of Common Stock under the K-9 Plan.

Under the K-9 Plan, we recognized share-based compensation of \$252, net of estimated forfeitures, for the three months ended September 30, 2012 and the period May 5, 2012. The total income tax expense recognized related to share-based compensation under the K-9 Plan was \$9 for the three months ended September 30, 2012 and the period May 5, 2012.

We recognize compensation expense on grants of share-based compensation awards on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period of each award recipient. As of September 30, 2012, total unrecognized compensation cost related to share-based compensation awards was \$10,266, which we expect to recognize over a weighted average period of approximately 5.1 years.

We anticipate that K-9 would have to issue new shares of its common stock for stock option exercises.

Stock Options

Prior to the Merger, we had granted non-qualified stock options to purchase our common stock under the Old Plan at prices equal to the fair market value of the common stock on the grant dates. The exercise price for options granted under the Old Plan could be paid in cash, shares of common stock or a combination of cash and shares. Stock options expired ten years from the grant date and vested ratably over three years.

We recorded no stock option expense for the periods January 1, 2012 – May 4, 2012; May 5, 2012 – September 30, 2012; and the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011. There were no stock options granted during the periods January 1, 2012 – May 4, 2012; May 5, 2012 – September 30, 2012; and the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011. Upon the consummation of the Merger, all of our outstanding stock options under the Old Plan were cancelled for no consideration.

Edgar Filing: Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. - Form 10-Q

A summary of stock option activity under the Old Plan during the period January 1, 2012 May 4, 2012:

| | Shares | Predecessor Weighted Average Exercise Price | Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life |
|------------------------------------|----------|---|---|
| Number of shares under option: | | | |
| Outstanding at beginning of period | 15,500 | \$ 17.44 | 3.02 years |
| Exercised | 0 | | |
| Cancelled | (15,500) | \$ 17.44 | |
| Outstanding at end of period | 0 | | |
| Exercisable at end of period | 0 | | |

Under the K-9 Plan, K-9 has granted non-qualified stock options to purchase K-9 s common stock. These stock options have been issued in three Tranches:

Tranche A options will vest and become exercisable in equal installments on each of the first five anniversaries of May 4, 2012.

Tranche B options will vest and become exercisable at such time as K-9 Investors, L.P., (K-9 s Principal Stockholder), realizes an internal rate of return that equals or exceeds 20% and a return on invested capital that equals or exceeds two, in each case, based on cash proceeds received by K-9 s Principal Stockholder.

Tranche C options will vest and become exercisable at such time as K-9 s Principal Stockholder realizes an internal rate of return that equals or exceeds 25% and a return on invested capital that equals or exceeds three, in each case, based on cash proceeds received by K-9 s Principal Stockholder.

The exercise price for the stock options granted under the K-9 Plan may be paid in cash or shares of common stock. Stock options under the K-9 Plan expire ten years after the date of grant.

Stock options were granted as follows:

Tranche A options 598,771 stock options, with a per share fair market value of \$6.27 per share. The fair market value was estimated using the Black-Scholes pricing model and the following assumptions:

| | |
|----------------------------|---------|
| Dividend yield | 0% |
| Risk free interest rate | 0.71% |
| Expected life of option | 5 years |
| Expected equity volatility | 78.5% |

Tranche B options 598,748 stock options, with a per share fair market value of \$5.64 per share. The fair market value was estimated using the Monte Carlo pricing model and the following assumptions:

| | |
|----------------|----|
| Dividend yield | 0% |
|----------------|----|

Edgar Filing: Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | |
|----------------------------|-----------|
| Risk free interest rate | 0.82% |
| Expected life of option | 5.5 years |
| Expected equity volatility | 75.2% |

Tranche C options 598,748 stock options, with a per share fair market value of \$5.30 per share. The fair market value was estimated using the Monte Carlo pricing model and the following assumptions:

| | |
|----------------------------|-----------|
| Dividend yield | 0% |
| Risk free interest rate | 0.82% |
| Expected life of option | 5.5 years |
| Expected equity volatility | 75.2% |

Edgar Filing: Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. - Form 10-Q

We recorded stock option expense related to the K-9 Plan of \$145 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012.

A summary of stock option activity under the K-9 Plan during the period August 13, 2012 – September 30, 2012:

| | Shares | Successor Weighted Average Exercise Price | Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life |
|------------------------------------|-----------|---|---|
| Number of shares under option: | | | |
| Outstanding at beginning of period | 0 | | |
| Granted | 1,796,267 | \$ 10.000 | 5.17 years |
| Exercised | 0 | | |
| Cancelled | 0 | | |
| | | | |
| Outstanding at end of period | 1,796,267 | \$ 10.000 | 5.17 years |
| Exercisable at end of period | 0 | \$ 10.000 | 5.17 years |

Market Condition Share Awards

Prior to the Merger, under the Old Plan, certain employees were eligible to receive shares of our common stock in payment of market condition share awards granted to them in accordance with the terms thereof.

We granted 407,593 and 444,002 market condition share awards during the period January 1, 2012 – May 4, 2012 and the nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively. We recorded share-based compensation expense of \$1,493 and \$868 for the periods January 1, 2012 – May 4, 2012, and May 5, 2012 – September 30, 2012, respectively. We recorded share-based compensation expense of \$183 and \$572 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively.

Of the 2012 market condition shares granted:

255,107 were based on our common stock's performance in 2012 relative to a stock index, as designated by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors. For shares that are earned, 1/3 of the shares were to vest on December 31, 2012, 1/3 vest on December 31, 2013, and 1/3 vest on December 31, 2014. The per share fair value of these market condition shares was \$2.12 as of the grant date.

The fair value of these market condition shares was determined using a Monte Carlo simulation and the following assumptions:

| | |
|---|--------|
| Dividend yield | 0 |
| Weighted average, risk free interest rate | 0.14% |
| Expected stock price volatility | 49.92% |
| Expected stock price volatility (small-cap stock index) | 29.14% |

We used an expected dividend yield of 0%, as we did not pay a dividend and did not contemplate paying a dividend in the foreseeable future. The weighted average, risk free interest rate was based on the .89-year treasury constant maturity. Our expected stock price volatility and the expected stock price volatility for the small cap stock index was estimated using daily returns data of our stock and the index for the period February 10, 2010 through February 9, 2012.

Upon the consummation of the Merger, all of the 2012 market condition shares granted were cancelled for no consideration. Unrecognized expense of \$542 was recorded during the period May 5, 2012 – September 30, 2012.

Edgar Filing: Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. - Form 10-Q

76,243 were based on our common stock's absolute performance during the three-year period 2010-2012. For shares that are earned, half of the shares were to vest on December 31, 2012, and the other half vest on December 31, 2013. The per share fair value of these market condition shares was \$2.05 as of the grant date.

The fair value of these market condition shares was determined using a Monte Carlo simulation and the following assumptions:

| | |
|---|--------|
| Dividend yield | 0 |
| Weighted average, risk free interest rate | 0.14% |
| Expected stock price volatility | 49.92% |

We used an expected dividend yield of 0%, as we did not pay a dividend and did not contemplate paying a dividend in the foreseeable future. The weighted average, risk free interest rate was based on the .89-year treasury constant maturity. Our expected stock price volatility was estimated using daily returns data of our stock for the period February 10, 2010 through February 9, 2012.

Upon the consummation of the Merger, all of the 2012 market condition shares granted were cancelled for no consideration. Unrecognized expense of \$156 was recorded during the period May 5, 2012 – September 30, 2012.

76,243 were based on our common stock's performance in 2010-2012 relative to a stock index, as designated by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors. For shares that are earned, half of the shares were to vest on December 31, 2012, and the other half vest on December 31, 2013. The per share fair value of these market condition shares was \$2.22 as of the grant date.

The fair value of these market condition shares was determined using a Monte Carlo simulation and the following assumptions:

| | |
|---|--------|
| Dividend yield | 0 |
| Weighted average, risk free interest rate | 0.14% |
| Expected stock price volatility | 49.92% |
| Expected stock price volatility (small-cap stock index) | 29.14% |

We used an expected dividend yield of 0%, as we did not pay a dividend and did not contemplate paying a dividend in the foreseeable future. The weighted average, risk free interest rate was based on the .89-year treasury constant maturity. Our expected stock price volatility and the expected stock price volatility for the small cap stock index was estimated using daily returns data of our stock and the index for the period February 10, 2010 through February 9, 2012.

Upon the consummation of the Merger, all of the 2012 market condition shares granted were cancelled for no consideration. Unrecognized expense of \$170 was recorded during the period May 5, 2012 – September 30, 2012.

Of the 2011 market condition shares granted:

277,894 were based on our common stock's performance in 2011 relative to a stock index, as designated by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors. For shares that were earned, 1/3 of the shares vest on December 31, 2011, 1/3 vest on December 31, 2012, and 1/3 vest on December 31, 2013. The per share fair value of these market condition shares was \$2.35 as of the grant date.

The fair value of these market condition shares was determined using a Monte Carlo simulation and the following assumptions:

| | |
|---|--------|
| Dividend yield | 0 |
| Weighted average, risk free interest rate | 0.25% |
| Expected stock price volatility | 64.73% |
| Expected stock price volatility (small-cap stock index) | 29.39% |

We used an expected dividend yield of 0%, as we did not pay a dividend and did not contemplate paying a dividend in the foreseeable future. The weighted average, risk free interest rate was based on the 10.6-month treasury constant maturity. Our expected stock price volatility and the expected stock price volatility for the small cap stock index was estimated using daily returns data of our stock and the index for the period February 11, 2009 through February 10, 2011.

We originally granted 277,894 market condition shares for 2011 and recorded expense during 2011 associated with that estimated number of shares to be issued for these market condition awards. The original share grant amount represented the number of shares that would be earned at a target level of performance. Based on our common stock's performance in 2011, however, the maximum performance condition for these market condition share awards was met. As a result, we issued 416,841 shares related to these market condition awards. Accordingly, we recorded \$109 of additional compensation expense in the fourth quarter of 2011 related to our employees earning the maximum level of shares rather than the target level of shares for these awards.

These shares vested upon consummation of the Merger, and the remaining unrecognized expense of \$544 was recorded in the period ended May 4, 2012.

83,054 were based on our common stock's absolute performance during the three-year period 2010-2012. For shares that are earned, half of the shares vest on December 31, 2012, and the other half vest on December 31, 2013. The per share fair value of these market condition shares was \$2.15 as of the grant date.

The fair value of these market condition shares was determined using a Monte Carlo simulation and the following assumptions:

| | |
|---|--------|
| Dividend yield | 0 |
| Weighted average, risk free interest rate | 0.58% |
| Expected stock price volatility | 64.73% |

We used an expected dividend yield of 0%, as we did not pay a dividend and did not contemplate paying a dividend in the foreseeable future. The weighted average, risk free interest rate was based on the 1.89-year treasury constant maturity. Our expected stock price volatility was estimated using daily returns data of our stock for the period February 11, 2009 through February 10, 2011.

The original share grant amount represented the number of shares that would be earned at a target level of performance. In conjunction with the signing of the Merger Agreement on March 12, 2012, these shares were deemed granted at the maximum level of performance. As a result, we issued 124,581 shares related to these market condition awards. Accordingly, we recorded \$37 of additional compensation expense in the first quarter of 2012 related to our employees being granted the maximum level of shares rather than the target level of shares for these awards.

These shares vested upon consummation of the Merger, and the remaining unrecognized expense of \$119 was recorded in the period ended May 4, 2012.

83,054 were based on our common stock's performance in 2010-2012 relative to a stock index, as designated by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors. For shares that are earned, half of the shares vest on December 31, 2012, and the other half vest on December 31, 2013. The per share fair value of these market condition shares was \$2.19 as of the grant date.

The fair value of these market condition shares was determined using a Monte Carlo simulation and the following assumptions:

| | |
|---|--------|
| Dividend yield | 0 |
| Weighted average, risk free interest rate | 0.58% |
| Expected stock price volatility | 64.73% |
| Expected stock price volatility (small-cap stock index) | 29.39% |

We used an expected dividend yield of 0%, as we did not pay a dividend and did not contemplate paying a dividend in the foreseeable future. The weighted average, risk free interest rate was based on the 1.89-year treasury constant maturity. Our expected stock price volatility and the expected stock price volatility for the small cap stock index was estimated using daily returns data of our stock and the index for the period February 11, 2009 through February 10, 2011.

The original share grant amount represented the number of shares that would be earned at a target level of performance. In conjunction with the signing of the Merger Agreement on March 12, 2012, these shares were deemed granted at the maximum level of performance. As a result, we issued 124,581 shares related to these market condition awards. Accordingly, we recorded \$38 of additional compensation expense in the first quarter of 2012 related to our employees being granted the maximum level of shares rather than the target level of shares for these awards.

These shares vested upon consummation of the Merger, and the remaining unrecognized expense of \$121 was recorded in the period ended May 4, 2012.

Of the 2010 market condition shares granted:

91,463 were based on our common stock's absolute performance during the three-year period 2010-2012. For shares that were earned, half of the shares vest on December 31, 2012, and the other half vest on December 31, 2013. The per share fair value of these market condition shares was \$2.53 as of the grant date.

The fair value of these market condition shares was determined using a Monte Carlo simulation and the following assumptions:

| | |
|---|--------|
| Dividend yield | 0 |
| Weighted average, risk free interest rate | 1.27% |
| Expected stock price volatility | 95.21% |

We used an expected dividend yield of 0%, as we did not pay a dividend and did not contemplate paying a dividend in the foreseeable future. The weighted average, risk free interest rate was based on the 2.75-year treasury constant maturity. Our expected stock price volatility was estimated using daily returns data of our stock for the period June 29, 2007 through March 30, 2010.

The original share grant amount represented the number of shares that would be earned at a target level of performance. In conjunction with the signing of the Merger Agreement on March 12, 2012, these shares were deemed granted at the maximum level of performance. As a result, we issued 137,195 shares related to these market condition awards. Accordingly, we recorded \$68 of additional compensation expense in the first quarter of 2012 related to our employees being granted the maximum level of shares rather than the target level of shares for these awards.

These shares vested upon consummation of the Merger, and the remaining unrecognized expense of \$111 was recorded in the period ended May 4, 2012.

91,463 were based on our common stock's performance in 2010-2012 relative to a stock index, as designated by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors. For shares that are earned, half of the shares vest on December 31, 2012, and the other half vest on December 31, 2013. The per share fair value of these market condition shares was \$2.61 as of the grant date.

The fair value of these market condition shares was determined using a Monte Carlo simulation and the following assumptions:

| | |
|---|--------|
| Dividend yield | 0 |
| Weighted average, risk free interest rate | 1.27% |
| Expected stock price volatility | 95.21% |
| Expected stock price volatility (small-cap stock index) | 37.51% |

We used an expected dividend yield of 0%, as we did not pay a dividend and did not contemplate paying a dividend in the foreseeable future. The weighted average, risk free interest rate was based on the 2.75-year treasury constant maturity. Our expected stock price volatility and the expected stock price volatility for the small cap stock index was estimated using daily returns data of our stock for the period June 29, 2007 through March 30, 2010.

The original share grant amount represented the number of shares that would be earned at a target level of performance. In conjunction with the signing of the Merger Agreement on March 12, 2012, these shares were deemed granted at the maximum level of performance. As a result, we issued 137,195 shares related to these market condition awards. Accordingly, we recorded \$70 of additional compensation expense in the first quarter of 2012 related to our employees being granted the maximum level of shares rather than the target level of shares for these awards.

These shares vested upon consummation of the Merger, and the remaining unrecognized expense of \$114 was recorded in the period ended May 4, 2012.

Performance Share Awards

Prior to the Merger, under the Old Plan, certain employees were eligible to receive shares of our common stock in payment of performance share awards granted to them. Grantees of performance shares were eligible to receive shares of our common stock based on the achievement of certain individual and departmental performance criteria during the calendar year in which the shares were granted. We granted 85,036 and

Edgar Filing: Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. - Form 10-Q

92,633 performance shares during the period January 1, 2012 – May 4, 2012 and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively. Shares granted in 2012 were to vest over a three year period, 2012-2014; and shares granted in 2011 were to vest over a three year period, 2011-2013.

The per share fair value of performance shares granted during the period January 1, 2012 – May 4, 2012 and nine months ended September 30, 2011 was \$3.35 and \$3.23, respectively, which represents the fair value of our common stock on the grant date. We recorded share-based compensation expense of \$264 for the period January 1, 2012 – May 4, 2012. There was no share-based compensation expense for the period May 5, 2012 – September 30, 2012. We recorded share-based compensation expense of \$71 and \$214 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively. Since all shares originally granted were not earned, we recorded a reduction in expense of \$19 and \$16 during the period January 1, 2012 – May 4, 2012 and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, related to the shares not issued.

Based on their achievement of certain individual and departmental performance goals:

Employees earned and were issued 75,152 performance shares in February 2012 related to the 2011 grants and

Employees earned and were issued 96,305 performance shares in February 2011 related to the 2010 grants.

Upon the consummation of the Merger, all of the 2012 performance share awards granted were cancelled for no consideration. Performance shares awards granted in 2010 and 2011 vested upon consummation of the Merger, and the remaining unrecognized expense of \$68 and \$135, respectively, was recorded in the period ending May 4, 2012.

On August 13, 2012, under the K-9 Plan, certain employees received shares of K-9's common stock, which will vest on the date that those employees receive a bonus in respect of 2012 from the Company. To the extent the bonus payable to the employees for fiscal year 2012 is less than a certain threshold, the employees will forfeit a number of shares with a value equal to the shortfall. We granted 37,500 performance shares during the period August 13, 2012 – September 30, 2012. The per share fair value of the performance shares was \$10.00, which represents the fair value of K-9's common stock on the grant date. We recorded share-based compensation expense of \$107 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively.

Deferred Compensation Awards

Pursuant to their employment arrangements, two executives received bonuses upon completion of our initial public offering. Executives receiving bonus payments totaling \$2,200 elected to defer those payments pursuant to our deferred compensation plan. To satisfy this obligation, we contributed 129,412 shares of our common stock to the trust that holds the assets to pay obligations under our deferred compensation plan. The fair value of that stock at the date of contribution was \$2,200. We have recorded the fair value of the shares of common stock, at the date the shares were contributed to the trust, as a reduction of our stockholders' equity. In 2008, one of the executives who had deferred a bonus payment resigned from our company and our deferred compensation plan sold the shares held in that plan related to the deferred bonus payment. In 2012, the other executive who had deferred a bonus payment sold the shares in the deferred compensation plan. As a result, we have reclassified \$2,200 previously recorded as deferred compensation to additional paid-in-capital.

We account for the change in fair value of the shares held in the trust as a charge to compensation cost. We recorded share-based compensation income of \$34 for the period January 1, 2012 – May 4, 2012. There was no share-based compensation expense for the period May 5, 2012 – September 30, 2012. We recorded share-based compensation income of \$6 and \$1, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively.

Non-vested Shares

Prior to the Merger, we had granted non-vested shares as follows:

We had granted non-vested shares to certain employees and our directors. These shares vested over time periods between three and five years. We valued these non-vested shares at the closing market value of our common stock on the date of grant.

We had granted non-vested shares to certain employees for shares earned under the Market Condition Share Awards as described above. These shares vested ratably over a three-year period. We valued the non-vested shares related to Market Condition Shares using a Monte Carlo simulation as described above.

Edgar Filing: Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. - Form 10-Q

We had granted non-vested shares to certain employees for shares earned under the Performance Share Awards as described above. These shares vested ratably over a three-year period. We valued the non-vested shares related to Performance Share Awards at the closing market value of our common stock on the date of grant of the Performance Share Awards.

Edgar Filing: Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Upon the consummation of the Merger, each non-vested share outstanding vested, was cancelled and converted into the right to receive the Offer Price and the remaining unrecognized expense of \$1,323 was recorded in the period ended May 4, 2012.

A summary of non-vested shares activity for the period January 1, 2012 – May 4, 2012 is as follows:

| | Predecessor Shares | Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value |
|--|-----------------------|---|
| Non-vested shares balance at beginning of period | 910,678 | \$ 3.13 |
| Granted | 1,015,545 | \$ 2.43 |
| Forfeited | (2,500) | \$ 3.00 |
| Vested | (1,923,723) | \$ 2.76 |
| Non-vested shares balance at end of period | 0 | \$ 0 |

We recorded share-based compensation expense related to non-vested shares of \$1,644 for the period January 1, 2012 – May 4, 2012. There was no share-based compensation expense for the period May 5, 2012 – September 30, 2012. We recorded share-based compensation expense related to non-vested shares of \$259 and \$847 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively.

Subsequent to the Merger, under the K-9 Plan, we granted non-vested shares to certain employees for shares earned under the Performance Share Awards as described above.

A summary of non-vested shares activity for the period May 5, 2012 – September 30, 2012 is as follows:

| | Successor Shares | Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value |
|--|---------------------|---|
| Non-vested shares balance at beginning of period | 0 | |
| Granted | 37,500 | \$ 10.00 |
| Forfeited | 0 | |
| Vested | 0 | |
| Non-vested shares balance at end of period | 37,500 | \$ 10.00 |

Vested Shares

During the nine months ended September 30, 2011, our directors had the option to elect to have some or the entire cash portion of their annual fees paid in the form of shares of our common stock rather than cash. Directors making this election received shares having a market value equal to 125% of the cash they would otherwise receive. Shares issued in lieu of cash fee payments are fully vested upon issuance.

We recorded non-cash professional fees expense of \$22 for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, related to these elections to received shares in lieu of cash. We issued 10,188 shares in the nine months ended September 30, 2011 related to these elections.

8. PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT

Property and equipment consist of the following:

Successor Predecessor

Edgar Filing: Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | September 30, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Land and improvements | \$ 54,720 | \$ 57,665 |
| Building and improvements | 383,434 | 417,385 |
| Furniture, fixtures and equipment | 205,192 | 344,825 |
| Construction in process | 260 | 142 |
| | 643,606 | 820,017 |
| Less accumulated depreciation | (19,117) | (243,755) |
| Property and equipment, net | \$ 624,489 | \$ 576,262 |

The above amounts as of September 30, 2012, include net fair value adjustments recorded as part of purchase accounting that increased the aggregate carrying value of property and equipment as of the Merger date (see footnote 4).

Depreciation expense for continuing operations was \$11,950, \$15,623 and \$19,570 for the three months ended September 30, 2012 and the periods January 1, 2012 through May 4, 2012 and May 5, 2012 through September 30, 2012, respectively.

Depreciation expense for continuing operations was \$12,258 and \$36,655 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively.

9. LONG-TERM DEBT

Long-term debt consists of the following:

| | Carrying Value | | Principal Amounts Successor September 30, 2012 |
|---|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|
| | Successor September 30, 2012 | Predecessor December 31, 2011 | |
| Mortgage Debt: | | | |
| Traverse City/Kansas City mortgage loan | \$ 62,484 | \$ 65,591 | \$ 64,299 |
| Pocono Mountains mortgage loan | 93,540 | 93,015 | 92,039 |
| Concord mortgage loan | 51,206 | 54,055 | 51,012 |
| First mortgage notes (net of discount of \$8,046 as of December 31, 2011) | 259,678 | 221,954 | 230,000 |
| Other Long-Term Debt: | | | |
| Junior subordinated debentures | 60,893 | 80,545 | 80,545 |
| Other | 0 | 14 | 0 |
| | 527,801 | 515,174 | 517,895 |
| Less current portion of long-term debt | (67,201) | (67,678) | (67,201) |
| Total long-term debt | \$ 460,600 | \$ 447,496 | \$ 450,694 |

The carrying value amounts as of September 30, 2012, include net fair value adjustments recorded as part of purchase accounting that increased the aggregate carrying value of debt as of the Merger date (see footnote 4). We are amortizing these adjustments as offsets to interest expense over the life of each loan, using the effective interest rate method. The unamortized fair value adjustment as of September 30, 2012 was \$9,906.

Traverse City/Kansas City Mortgage Loan This non-recourse loan is secured by our Traverse City and Kansas City resorts. The loan bears interest at a fixed rate of 6.96%, is subject to a 25-year principal amortization schedule, and matures in January 2015. The loan has customary financial and operating debt compliance covenants. The loan also has customary restrictions on our ability to prepay the loan prior to maturity. We were in compliance with all covenants under this loan at September 30, 2012.

While recourse under the loan is limited to the property owner's interest in the mortgaged property, we have provided limited guarantees with respect to certain customary non-recourse provisions and environmental indemnities relating to the loan.

The loan also contains limitations on our ability, without lender's consent, to (i) make payments to our affiliates if a default exists; (ii) enter into transactions with our affiliates; (iii) make loans or advances; or (iv) assume, guarantee or become liable in connection with any other obligations.

The loan requires us to maintain a minimum debt service coverage ratio (DSCR) of 1.35, calculated on a quarterly basis. This ratio is defined as the two collateral properties' combined trailing twelve-month net operating income divided by the greater of (i) the loan's twelve-month debt service requirements and (ii) 8.5% of the amount of the outstanding principal indebtedness under the loan. Failure to meet the minimum DSCR is not an event of default and does not accelerate the due date of the loan. Not meeting the minimum DSCR, however, subjects the two properties to a lock-box cash management arrangement, at the discretion of the loan's servicer. The loan also contains a similar lock-box requirement if we open any Great Wolf Lodge or Blue Harbor Resort within 100 miles of either resort, and the two collateral properties' combined trailing twelve-month net operating income is not at least equal to 1.8 times 8.5% of the amount of the outstanding principal indebtedness under the loan. For the quarter ended September 30, 2012, the DSCR for this loan was 0.94, and the DSCR for this loan has been below 1.35 since the second quarter of 2007.

In September 2010, the loan's master servicer implemented a lock-box cash management arrangement. The lock-box cash management arrangement requires substantially all cash receipts for the two resorts to be moved each day to a lender-controlled bank account, which the loan servicer then uses to fund debt service and operating expenses for the two resorts on a monthly basis, with excess cash flow being deposited in a reserve account and held as additional collateral for the loan. We believe that this arrangement constitutes a traditional lock-box arrangement as discussed in authoritative accounting guidance. Based on that guidance, we have classified the entire outstanding principal balance of the loan as a current liability as of September 30, 2012, since the lock-box arrangement requires us to use the properties' working capital to service the loan, and we do not presently have the ability to refinance this loan to a new, long-term loan. Although the entire principal balance of the loan is classified as a current liability as of September 30, 2012, the loan is not in default, and the principal balance is not due currently.

At our request, in October 2010 the loan was transferred to its special servicer. We informed the special servicer that, given the current and expected performance at that time of the two properties securing this loan, we might elect to cease the subsidization of debt service on this non-recourse loan. If we were to elect to cease the subsidization of debt service, that may result in a default under the loan agreement. The properties had a combined net book value of \$72,371 as of September 30, 2012, and the amount of debt outstanding under the loan was \$62,484 as of September 30, 2012.

Given improved operating trends and performance at the properties during 2011 and the nine months ended September 30, 2012, we currently expect the properties to generate sufficient cash flow so that our subsidization of debt service, if any, for 2012 will be insignificant to our overall operations. As a result, we currently believe the most likely course of action for 2012 is to continue to operate these properties, assuming these trends continue.

Pocono Mountains Mortgage Loan This loan is secured by a mortgage on our Pocono Mountains resort. The loan bears interest at a fixed rate of 6.10% and matures in January 2017. The loan is currently subject to a 30-year principal amortization schedule. The loan has customary covenants associated with an individual mortgaged property. The loan also has customary restrictions on our ability to prepay the loan prior to maturity. We were in compliance with all covenants under this loan at September 30, 2012.

The loan requires us to maintain a minimum DSCR of 1.25, calculated on a quarterly basis. Subject to certain exceptions, the DSCR is increased to 1.35 if we open up a waterpark resort within 75 miles of the property or incur mezzanine debt secured by the resort. This ratio is defined as the property's combined trailing twelve-month net operating income divided by the greater of (i) the loan's twelve-month debt service requirements and (ii) 7.25% of the amount of the outstanding principal indebtedness under the loan. Failure to meet the minimum DSCR is not an event of default and does not accelerate the due date of the loan. Not meeting the minimum DSCR, however, subjects the property to a lock-box cash management arrangement, at the discretion of the loan's servicer. We believe that lock-box arrangement would require substantially all cash receipts for the resort to be moved each day to a lender-controlled bank account, which the loan servicer would then use to fund debt service and operating expenses for the resort, with excess cash flow being deposited in a reserve account and held as additional collateral for the loan. While recourse under the loan is limited to the property owner's interest in the mortgage property, we have provided limited guarantees with respect to certain customary non-recourse provisions and environmental indemnities relating to the loan.

The loan also contains limitations on our ability, without lender's consent, to (i) make payments to our affiliates if a default exists; (ii) enter into transactions with our affiliates; (iii) make loans or advances; or (iv) assume, guarantee or become liable in connection with any other obligations.

Concord Mortgage Loan This loan is secured by a mortgage on our Concord resort. This loan bears interest at a floating rate of 30-day LIBOR plus a spread of 500 basis points with a minimum rate of 6.00% per annum (effective rate of 6.00% at September 30, 2012). This loan requires four quarterly principal payments of \$125 each beginning October 1, 2011, and quarterly principal payments of \$375 thereafter. The loan was amended in March 2012 to extend the maturity to December 31, 2016.

As part of the loan agreement, if the Concord resort does not achieve certain financial performance thresholds the lender requires excess cash from the Concord resort to be swept to the lender on a monthly basis. The lender will hold the excess cash until the end of each quarter and then will either fund the cash back to us to cover any projected cash shortfalls at the property or if there are no shortfalls projected, use the excess cash to repay the loan principal balance. The lender has a \$14,500 loan principal guarantee from Great Wolf Resorts. This loan has customary financial and operating debt compliance covenants associated with an individual mortgaged property. We were in compliance with all covenants under this loan at September 30, 2012.

In connection with the refinancing of this loan in 2011 and the amendment of this loan in 2012, we were required to provide interest rate protection on a portion of the loan amount through the loan's maturity date. Therefore, we executed interest rate caps that cap the loan at an 8.00% interest rate through December 2016. The interest rate caps were designated as ineffective cash flow hedges. We mark the interest rate caps to market and record the change to interest expense.

First Mortgage Notes In April 2010, we completed, in a private placement followed by a registered exchange offer, an offering of \$230,000 in aggregate principal amount of our 10.875% first mortgage notes (the Notes) due April 2017. The Notes were sold at a discount that provides an effective yield of 11.875% before transaction costs. The proceeds of the Notes were used to retire the outstanding mortgage debt on our Mason, Williamsburg, and Grapevine properties and for general corporate purposes. Prior to the Merger, we were amortizing the discount over the life of the Notes using the straight-line method, which approximated the effective interest method. As part of the acquisition method of accounting done in conjunction with the Merger, the Notes were recorded at fair value.

The Notes are senior obligations of GWR Operating Partnership, L.L.L.P. and Great Wolf Finance Corp (Issuers). The Notes are guaranteed by Great Wolf Resorts and by our subsidiaries that own three of our resorts and those guarantees are secured by first priority mortgages on those three resorts. The Notes are also guaranteed by certain of our other subsidiaries on a senior unsecured basis.

The Notes require that we satisfy certain tests in order to, among other things: (i) incur additional indebtedness; (ii) make distributions from GWR Operating Partnership, L.L.L.P. to Great Wolf Resorts, Inc.; (iii) repurchase the equity interests in GWR Operating Partnership, L.L.L.P. or to prepay the subordinated debt of GWR Operating Partnership, L.L.L.P. or its subsidiaries; (iv) make investments, (v) enter into affiliate transactions, (vi) sell assets other than in the ordinary course of business or (vii) merge. We are currently restricted from these activities with certain carve-outs, as provided in the indenture.

Junior Subordinated Debentures In March 2005 we completed a private offering of \$50,000 of trust preferred securities (TPS) through Great Wolf Capital Trust I (Trust I), a Delaware statutory trust which is our subsidiary. The securities pay holders cumulative cash distributions at an annual rate which is fixed at 7.80% through March 2015 and then floats at LIBOR plus a spread of 310 basis points thereafter. The securities mature in March 2035 and are callable at no premium after March 2010. In addition, we invested \$1,550 in Trust I's common securities, representing 3% of the total capitalization of Trust I.

Trust I used the proceeds of the offering and our investment to purchase from us \$51,550 of junior subordinated debentures with payment terms that mirror the distribution terms of the TPS. The indenture governing the debentures contains limitations on our ability, without the consent of the holders of the debentures, to make payments to our affiliates or for our affiliates to make payments to us if a default exists. The costs of the TPS offering totaled \$1,600, including \$1,500 of underwriting commissions and expenses and \$100 of costs incurred directly by Trust I. Trust I paid these costs utilizing an investment from us. These costs are being amortized over a 30-year period. The proceeds from our debentures sale, net of the costs of the TPS offering and our investment in Trust I, were \$48,400. We used the net proceeds to retire a construction loan.

In June 2007 we completed a private offering of \$28,125 of TPS through Great Wolf Capital Trust III (Trust III), a Delaware statutory trust which is our subsidiary. The securities pay holders cumulative cash distributions at an annual rate which is fixed at 7.90% through July 2012 and then floats at LIBOR plus a spread of 300 basis points thereafter. The securities mature in July 2017 and are callable at no premium after June 2012. In addition, we invested \$870 in the Trust's common securities, representing 3% of the total capitalization of Trust III.

Trust III used the proceeds of the offering and our investment to purchase from us \$28,995 of junior subordinated debentures with payment terms that mirror the distribution terms of the TPS of Trust III securities. The costs of the TPS offering totaled \$932, including \$870 of underwriting commissions and expenses and \$62 of costs incurred directly by Trust III. Trust III paid these costs utilizing an investment from us. The proceeds from these debentures sales, net of the costs of the TPS offering and our investment in Trust III, were \$27,193. We used the net proceeds for development costs.

On March 12, 2012, in a privately-negotiated exchange with the holder of the TPS of Trust III, Great Wolf Capital Trust IV (Trust IV), a newly-formed Delaware statutory trust that is our subsidiary, issued \$28,125 of new TPS in exchange for all \$28,125 of TPS of Trust III. The new TPS pay holders cumulative cash distributions at an annual rate fixed at 7.90% through July 31, 2012 and at a floating annual rate equal to LIBOR plus 550 basis points thereafter (effective rate of 5.95% at September 30, 2012). The new TPS mature on July 31, 2017 and are callable by the issuer at par after July 31, 2012. In conjunction with this transaction, Trust IV issued to us 870 common securities, which are all of the issued and outstanding common securities of Trust IV, with a liquidation amount of \$870. In addition, in conjunction with this transaction, we issued to Trust IV \$28,995 of junior subordinated debentures with payment terms that mirror the distribution terms of the TPS of Trust IV. Following the exchange transaction, the TPS of Trust III and the related junior subordinated debentures were cancelled.

Our consolidated financial statements present the debentures issued to the Trusts as other long-term debt. Our investments in the Trusts are accounted as cost investments and are included in other assets on our consolidated balance sheets. For financial reporting purposes, we record interest expense on the corresponding notes in our condensed consolidated statements of operations.

Future Maturities Future principal requirements on long-term debt are as follows:

| Through | |
|----------------------|-------------------|
| September 30, | |
| 2013 | \$ 4,752 |
| 2014 | 4,972 |
| 2015 | 63,553 |
| 2016 | 3,171 |
| 2017 | 389,897 |
| Thereafter | 51,550 |
| Total | \$ 517,895 |

As discussed above, the Traverse City/Kansas City mortgage loan is classified as a current liability as of September 30, 2012, due to the implementation of a traditional lock-box arrangement, although the loan is not in default and the full principal balance of the loan is not due currently. The future maturities table above reflects future cash principal repayments currently required under the provisions of that loan of \$1,851 in 2013, \$1,981 in 2014, and \$60,467 in 2015.

10. FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date (an exit price). United States Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP) outlines a valuation framework and creates a fair value hierarchy in order to increase the consistency and comparability of fair value measurements and the related disclosures. Certain assets and liabilities must be measured at fair value, and disclosures are required for items measured at fair value.

We measure our financial instruments using inputs from the following three levels of the fair value hierarchy. The three levels are as follows:

Level 1 inputs are unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that we have the ability to access at the measurement date.

Level 2 inputs include quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability (that is, interest rates, yield curves, etc.), and inputs that are derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data by correlation or other means (market corroborated inputs).

Level 3 includes unobservable inputs that reflect our assumptions about the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability. We develop these inputs based on the best information available, including our own data.

The following table summarizes our financial assets using the fair value hierarchy on a recurring basis:

September 30, 2012

| | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total |
|--------------------|---------|---------|---------|--------|
| Interest rate caps | \$ 0 | \$ 139 | \$ 0 | \$ 139 |

December 31, 2011

| | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total |
|--------------------|---------|---------|---------|-------|
| Interest rate caps | \$ 0 | \$ 77 | \$ 0 | \$ 77 |

Level 2 assets consist of our interest rate caps. To determine the estimated fair value of our interest rate caps we use market information provided by the banks from whom the interest rate caps were purchased from.

As of September 30, 2012, we estimate the total fair value of the indebtedness described above to be approximately equal to their total carrying values.

The carrying amounts for cash and cash equivalents, other current assets, escrows, accounts payable, gift certificates payable and accrued expenses approximate fair value because of the short-term nature of these instruments.

11. LITIGATION

On March 12, 2012, we entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger (as amended, the Merger Agreement) with K-9 Holdings, Inc., a Delaware corporation (K-9), and K-9 Acquisition, Inc., a Delaware corporation (Merger Sub), a direct wholly-owned subsidiary of K-9. Pursuant to the terms of the Merger Agreement, among other things, K-9 and Merger Sub agreed to make a tender offer (the Offer) for all of the outstanding shares (each, a Share) of common stock (including restricted shares), par value \$0.01 per share, of the Company (the Common Stock) at a price of \$7.85 per share, net to the seller in cash, without interest (the Offer Price). Approximately 76% of the outstanding Shares were tendered in the Offer and the Company accepted all such tendered Shares for payment.

Following the expiration of the Offer, on May 4, 2012, Merger Sub exercised its option under the Merger Agreement to purchase a number of shares of Common Stock necessary for Merger Sub to own one share more than 90% of the outstanding Shares of Common Stock (the Top-Up Shares) at the Offer Price.

On May 4, 2012, following Merger Sub's purchase of the Top-Up Shares, K-9 completed its acquisition of the Company through the merger of Merger Sub with and into the Company, with the Company continuing as the surviving corporation in the merger and becoming a direct wholly-owned subsidiary of K-9 (the Merger).

On and after March 14, 2012, the Company and certain of its current and former officers and directors and, in some cases, some or all of K-9 Investors, L.P., Apollo Management VII, L.P., Apollo Global Management, LLC and K-9 and Merger Sub were named as defendants in five class action lawsuits filed in the Delaware Court of Chancery which were ultimately consolidated into a single class action (the Delaware Action). In the Delaware Action, the plaintiff, on behalf of a putative class of stockholders, sought to enjoin the proposed transaction that was the subject of the Merger Agreement. Other lawsuits were filed in Wisconsin state and federal court two in the Circuit Court, Civil Division for Dane County, one of which was dismissed by the plaintiff prior to settlement (the surviving action, Wisconsin State Court Action), and one in the United States District Court for the Western District of Wisconsin (the Wisconsin Federal Court Action).

On April 25, 2012, the parties to the Delaware Action and the Wisconsin State Court Action reached an agreement in principle to settle those cases. The proposed settlement, which is subject to court approval, provides for, among other things, the dismissal with prejudice of plaintiffs complaints and of all claims asserted therein, that all parties would grant all applicable releases of claims against all other parties, and that the parties to the Delaware Action and the Wisconsin State Court Action have acknowledged that the plaintiffs and their counsel in those cases would petition the appropriate court or courts for an award of attorneys' fees and expenses in connection with the cases. Any award of fees and expenses to plaintiffs' counsel is subject to approval by the appropriate court or courts. Pursuant to an order from the Delaware Court of Chancery, notice to the class was mailed on October 19, 2012.

Edgar Filing: Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. - Form 10-Q

On April 30, 2012, the parties to the Wisconsin Federal Court Action agreed to settle that case, subject to court approval of the proposed class-wide settlement in the Delaware Action and entry of a final order dismissing the Delaware Action in its entirety. Pursuant to their agreement, the parties to the Wisconsin Federal Court Action filed with the court, on April 30, 2012, a stipulation providing that the Action be voluntarily dismissed with respect to all defendants and that such dismissal will be with prejudice as to the plaintiff upon the consummation of the settlement of the Delaware Action.

The Company, the members of the Board of Directors, Apollo Management VII, L.P., Apollo Global Management, LLC, K-9 and Merger Sub each have denied, and continue to deny, that they committed or attempted to commit any violation of law or breach of fiduciary duty owed to the Company and/or its stockholders, aided or abetted any breach of fiduciary duty, or otherwise engaged in any of the wrongful acts alleged in all of these cases. All of the defendants expressly maintain that they complied with their fiduciary and other legal duties. However, in order to avoid the costs, disruption and distraction of further litigation, and without admitting the validity of any allegation made in the actions or any liability with respect thereto, the defendants have concluded that it is desirable to settle the claims against them on the terms reflected in the proposed settlements.

The proposed settlements are not final, and no fee petition has yet been approved.

We are involved in litigation from time to time in the ordinary course of our business. We do not believe that the outcome of any pending or threatened litigation will have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations. However, as is inherent in legal proceedings where issues may be decided by finders of fact, there is a risk that unpredictable decisions, materially adverse to the Company, could occur.

12. DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

On March 24, 2011, we sold our Blue Harbor Resort in Sheboygan, Wisconsin to Claremont New Frontier Resort LLC (Claremont) for a purchase price of \$4,200, less a \$540 credit for purposes of real estate property tax payments to be made by Claremont for periods after the closing. We paid \$2,000 to the City of Sheboygan with respect to real estate taxes relating to the Sheboygan property and contributed \$300 toward a lease termination fee payable to a tenant at the property.

In connection with the construction of the Blue Harbor Resort, we had entered into agreements with the City of Sheboygan and the Redevelopment Authority of the City of Sheboygan whereby the City funded certain costs of construction. In exchange, we guaranteed certain levels of real and personal property tax payments, as well as room tax payments, from Blue Harbor Resort.

In connection with the closing, the existing agreements with the City of Sheboygan and the Redevelopment Authority were terminated, and we were released from all of our obligations under these agreements.

As a result of the sale, we have included the operations of the Blue Harbor Resort in discontinued operations for all periods presented. The operation of the Blue Harbor Resort was included in our Resort ownership/operation segment.

A summary of balance sheet data and operating activity related to this discontinued operation is as follows:

| | Predecessor March 23, 2011 |
|-------------------|---|
| Total assets | \$ 6,463 |
| Total liabilities | \$ 13,587 |

As part of the sales transaction on March 23, 2011, we wrote off \$5,699 of property and equipment and \$11,563 of other long-term debt.

| | Nine months ended September 30, 2012 Successor/ Predecessor | |
|---|--|--------------------|
| | Predecessor | Predecessor |
| Revenues | \$ 0 | \$ 1,578 |
| Operating expenses | (14) | (1,465) |
| Gain on sale | 0 | 6,667 |
| Interest expense, net of interest income | 0 | (76) |
| (Loss) income from discontinued operations, net of tax | \$ (14) | \$ 6,704 |

13. SUPPLEMENTAL GUARANTOR CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

In April 2010, our subsidiaries, GWR Operating Partnership, L.L.P and Great Wolf Finance Corp. were co-issuers (the Issuer) with respect to \$230,000 in principal amount of 10.875% first mortgage notes. In connection with the issuance, certain of our subsidiaries (the Subsidiary Guarantors) have guaranteed the first mortgage notes. Certain of our other subsidiaries (the Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries) have not guaranteed the first mortgage notes.

The following tables present the condensed consolidating balance sheets of the Company (Parent), the Issuers, the Subsidiary Guarantors and the Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the condensed consolidating statements of operations for the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, the periods May 5, 2012 through September 30, 2012, January 1, 2012 – May 4, 2012, and the nine months ended September 30, 2011, and the condensed consolidating statements of cash flows for the period May 5, 2012 through September 30, 2012, period January 1, 2012 through May 4, 2012 and nine months ended September 30, 2011.

The accompanying condensed consolidating financial information has been prepared and presented pursuant to SEC Regulation S-X Rule 3-10, *Financial statements of guarantors and issuers of guaranteed securities registered or being registered*. Each of the Subsidiary Guarantors is 100% owned, directly or indirectly, by Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. There are significant restrictions on the Subsidiary Guarantors' ability to pay dividends or obtain loans or advances. The Company's and the Issuers' investments in their consolidated subsidiaries are presented under the equity method of accounting.

UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEET**September 30, 2012****Successor****(Dollars in thousands)**

| | Parent | Issuers | Subsidiary Guarantors | Non Guarantor Subsidiaries | Consolidating Adjustments | Consolidated |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------|
| ASSETS | | | | | | |
| Current assets: | | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 10,581 | \$ 19,545 | \$ (1,158) | \$ 6,563 | \$ 0 | \$ 35,531 |
| Escrows | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5,758 | 0 | 5,758 |
| Accounts receivable | 409 | (2,054) | 5,350 | 3,539 | 0 | 7,244 |
| Accounts receivable affiliate | (11) | (1,780) | 2,398 | 1,434 | 0 | 2,041 |
| Accounts receivable consolidating entities | 268,223 | 475,558 | 495,465 | 68,963 | (1,308,209) | 0 |
| Inventory | 0 | 0 | 2,643 | 4,370 | 0 | 7,013 |
| Other current assets | 1,235 | 0 | 2,742 | 6,427 | 0 | 10,404 |
| Total current assets | 280,437 | 491,269 | 507,440 | 97,054 | (1,308,209) | 67,991 |
| Property and equipment, net | 0 | 0 | 331,650 | 292,839 | 0 | 624,489 |
| Investments in consolidating entities | 268,670 | 276,846 | 0 | 0 | (545,516) | 0 |
| Investments in and advances to affiliate | 0 | 0 | 1,056 | 24,567 | 0 | 25,623 |
| Other assets | 2,473 | 1 | 7,507 | 181 | 0 | 10,162 |
| Goodwill | 0 | 0 | 43,285 | 54,212 | 0 | 97,497 |
| Intangible assets | 0 | 0 | 47,615 | 3,021 | 0 | 50,636 |
| Total assets | \$ 551,580 | \$ 768,116 | \$ 938,553 | \$ 471,874 | \$ (1,853,725) | \$ 876,398 |
| LIABILITIES AND EQUITY | | | | | | |
| Current liabilities: | | | | | | |
| Current portion of long-term debt | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 67,201 | \$ 0 | \$ 67,201 |
| Accounts payable | 0 | 0 | 2,161 | 1,459 | 0 | 3,620 |
| Accounts payable affiliate | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 17 |
| Accounts payable consolidating entities | 203,668 | 227,249 | 691,680 | 185,612 | (1,308,209) | 0 |
| Accrued interest payable | 627 | 12,506 | 0 | 948 | 0 | 14,081 |

Edgar Filing: Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-------------|---------|
| Accrued expenses | 2,860 | 13 | 13,255 | 8,623 | 0 | 24,751 |
| Advance deposits | 0 | 0 | 4,396 | 5,457 | 0 | 9,853 |
| Other current liabilities | 2,849 | 0 | 2,886 | 2,910 | 0 | 8,645 |
| Total current liabilities | 210,004 | 239,768 | 714,378 | 272,227 | (1,308,209) | 128,168 |

Edgar Filing: Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | Parent | Issuers | Subsidiary Guarantors | Non Guarantor Subsidiaries | Consolidating Adjustments | Consolidated |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|
| Mortgage debt | 0 | 259,678 | 0 | 140,029 | 0 | 399,707 |
| Other long-term debt | 60,893 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 60,893 |
| Deferred Tax liability | 17,200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17,200 |
| Deferred compensation liability | 0 | 0 | 2,086 | 0 | 0 | 2,086 |
| Total liabilities | 288,097 | 499,446 | 716,464 | 412,256 | (1,308,209) | 608,054 |
| Commitments and contingencies | | | | | | |
| Great Wolf Resorts Inc. stockholders equity: | | | | | | |
| Common stock | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Preferred stock | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Additional paid-in-capital | 264,973 | 262,773 | 207,615 | 55,158 | (525,546) | 264,973 |
| Accumulated deficit | (1,490) | 5,897 | 14,474 | (401) | (19,970) | (1,490) |
| Total Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. stockholders equity | 263,483 | 268,670 | 222,089 | 54,757 | (545,516) | 263,483 |
| Noncontrolling interest | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4,861 | 0 | 4,861 |
| Total equity | 263,483 | 268,670 | 222,089 | 59,618 | (545,516) | 268,344 |
| Total liabilities and equity | \$ 551,580 | \$ 768,116 | \$ 938,553 | \$ 471,874 | \$ (1,853,725) | \$ 876,398 |

CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEET

December 31, 2011

Predecessor

(Dollars in thousands)

| | Parent | Issuers | Subsidiary Guarantors | Non Guarantor Subsidiaries | Consolidating Adjustments | Consolidated |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|
| ASSETS | | | | | | |
| Current assets: | | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 10,039 | \$ 15,980 | \$ 814 | \$ 6,934 | \$ 0 | \$ 33,767 |
| Escrows | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,618 | 0 | 2,618 |
| Accounts receivable | 327 | 0 | 1,335 | 1,998 | 0 | 3,660 |
| Accounts receivable affiliate | 0 | 0 | 1,461 | 1,782 | 0 | 3,243 |
| Accounts receivable consolidating entities | 10,417 | 472,289 | 582,378 | 206,399 | (1,271,483) | 0 |
| Inventory | 0 | 0 | 2,882 | 4,688 | 0 | 7,570 |
| Other current assets | 1,138 | 0 | 1,673 | 3,401 | 0 | 6,212 |
| Total current assets | 21,921 | 488,269 | 590,543 | 227,820 | (1,271,483) | 57,070 |
| Property and equipment, net | 0 | 0 | 330,496 | 245,766 | 0 | 576,262 |
| Investment in consolidating entities | 200,123 | 274,959 | 0 | 0 | (475,082) | 0 |
| Investment in and advances to affiliate | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24,311 | 0 | 24,311 |
| Other assets | 4,272 | 6,702 | 7,964 | 1,618 | 0 | 20,556 |
| Goodwill | 1,365 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,365 |
| Intangible assets | 0 | 0 | 4,668 | 20,642 | 0 | 25,310 |
| Total assets | \$ 227,681 | \$ 769,930 | \$ 933,671 | \$ 520,157 | \$ (1,746,565) | \$ 704,874 |

LIABILITIES AND EQUITY

Edgar Filing: Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. - Form 10-Q

| Current liabilities: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|---------------|----|----------------|----|----------------|----|----------------|----|--------------------|----|----------------|
| Current portion of long-term debt | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 14 | \$ | 67,664 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 67,678 |
| Accounts payable | | 1 | | 0 | | 1,907 | | 3,393 | | 0 | | 5,301 |
| Accounts payable affiliate | | 0 | | 0 | | 10 | | 17 | | 0 | | 27 |
| Accounts payable consolidating entities | | 5,730 | | 341,588 | | 747,086 | | 177,079 | | (1,271,483) | | 0 |
| Accrued interest payable | | 723 | | 6,253 | | 0 | | 1,036 | | 0 | | 8,012 |
| Accrued expenses | | 866 | | 12 | | 13,702 | | 9,631 | | 0 | | 24,211 |
| Advance deposits | | 0 | | 0 | | 2,685 | | 5,030 | | 0 | | 7,715 |
| Other current liabilities | | 4,384 | | 0 | | 1,132 | | 2,013 | | 0 | | 7,529 |
| Total current liabilities | | 11,704 | | 347,853 | | 766,536 | | 265,863 | | (1,271,483) | | 120,473 |

Edgar Filing: Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | Parent | Issuers | Subsidiary Guarantors | Non Guarantor Subsidiaries | Consolidating Adjustments | Consolidated |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|
| Mortgage debt | 0 | 221,954 | 0 | 144,997 | 0 | 366,951 |
| Other long-term debt | 80,545 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 80,545 |
| Deferred tax liability | 11,907 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11,907 |
| Deferred compensation liability | 0 | 0 | 1,502 | 0 | 0 | 1,502 |
| Total liabilities | 104,156 | 569,807 | 768,038 | 410,860 | (1,271,483) | 581,378 |
| Commitments and contingencies | | | | | | |
| Great Wolf Resorts stockholders' equity: | | | | | | |
| Common stock | 325 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 325 |
| Preferred stock | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Additional paid-in-capital | 404,714 | 456,693 | 163,514 | 293,179 | (913,386) | 404,714 |
| Accumulated deficit | (281,314) | (256,570) | 2,119 | (183,853) | 438,304 | (281,314) |
| Deferred compensation | (200) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (200) |
| Total Great Wolf Resorts stockholders' equity | 123,525 | 200,123 | 165,633 | 109,326 | (475,082) | 123,525 |
| Noncontrolling interest | 0 | 0 | 0 | (29) | 0 | (29) |
| Total equity | 123,525 | 200,123 | 165,633 | 109,297 | (475,082) | 123,496 |
| Total liabilities and equity | \$ 227,681 | \$ 769,930 | \$ 933,671 | \$ 520,157 | \$ (1,746,565) | \$ 704,874 |

UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS

Three months ended September 30, 2012

Successor

(Dollars in thousands)

| | Parent | Issuers | Subsidiary Guarantors | Non Guarantor Subsidiaries | Consolidating Adjustments | Consolidated |
|---|---------|----------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------|
| Revenues: | | | | | | |
| Rooms | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 27,426 | \$ 24,797 | \$ 0 | \$ 52,223 |
| Food and beverage | 0 | 0 | 7,081 | 6,333 | 0 | 13,414 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 6,327 | 7,274 | 0 | 13,601 |
| Management and other fees | 169 | 0 | 7,222 | 6 | (6,216) | 1,181 |
| Management and other fees affiliates | 0 | 0 | 1,002 | 0 | 0 | 1,002 |
| | 169 | 0 | 49,058 | 38,410 | (6,216) | 81,421 |
| Other revenue from managed properties | 0 | 0 | 3,129 | 0 | 0 | 3,129 |
| Other revenue from managed properties affiliates | 0 | 0 | 2,811 | 0 | 0 | 2,811 |
| Total revenues | 169 | 0 | 54,998 | 38,410 | (6,216) | 87,361 |
| Operating expenses by department: | | | | | | |
| Rooms | 0 | 0 | 4,226 | 3,903 | (1,047) | 7,082 |
| Food and beverage | 0 | 0 | 5,112 | 4,491 | 0 | 9,603 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 4,872 | 5,749 | 0 | 10,621 |
| Other operating expenses: | | | | | | |
| Selling, general and administrative | 2,970 | 35 | 12,172 | 8,096 | (5,169) | 18,104 |
| Selling, general and administrative affiliates | 815 | 193 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,008 |
| Property operating costs | 0 | 0 | 4,583 | 4,888 | 0 | 9,471 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 1 | 2 | 5,788 | 5,975 | 0 | 11,766 |
| Loss on disposition of assets | 0 | 0 | 0 | 151 | 0 | 151 |
| | 3,786 | 230 | 36,753 | 33,253 | (6,216) | 67,806 |
| Other expenses from managed properties | 0 | 0 | 3,129 | 0 | 0 | 3,129 |
| Other expenses from managed properties affiliates | 0 | 0 | 2,811 | 0 | 0 | 2,811 |
| Total operating expenses | 3,786 | 230 | 42,693 | 33,253 | (6,216) | 73,746 |
| Net operating (loss) income | (3,617) | (230) | 12,305 | 5,157 | 0 | 13,615 |
| Investment income affiliates | 0 | 0 | 0 | (220) | 0 | (220) |
| Interest income | (41) | (3) | 0 | (4) | 0 | (48) |
| Interest expense | 1,532 | 4,920 | 0 | 3,563 | 0 | 10,015 |
| (Loss) income from continuing operations before income taxes and equity in income of unconsolidated affiliates | | | | | | |
| | (5,108) | (5,147) | 12,305 | 1,818 | 0 | 3,868 |
| Income tax expense | 26 | 0 | 172 | 52 | 0 | 250 |
| Equity in (income) loss of unconsolidated affiliates, net of tax | (8,906) | (14,053) | 0 | (138) | 22,959 | (138) |
| Net income (loss) from continuing operations | 3,772 | 8,906 | 12,133 | 1,904 | (22,959) | 3,756 |
| Discontinued operations, net of tax | 0 | 0 | 0 | (2) | 0 | (2) |

Edgar Filing: Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | |
|---|----------|----------|-----------|----------|-------------|----------|
| Net income (loss) | 3,772 | 8,906 | 12,133 | 1,906 | (22,959) | (3,758) |
| Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest, net of tax | 0 | 0 | 0 | (14) | 0 | (14) |
| Net income (loss) attributable to Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. | \$ 3,772 | \$ 8,906 | \$ 12,133 | \$ 1,920 | \$ (22,959) | \$ 3,772 |

UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS

Three months ended September 30, 2011

(Dollars in thousands)

| | Parent | Issuers | Subsidiary Guarantors | Non Guarantor Subsidiaries | Consolidating Adjustments | Consolidated |
|--|---------|----------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------|
| Revenues: | | | | | | |
| Rooms | \$ | \$ | \$ 26,387 | \$ 23,953 | \$ | \$ 50,340 |
| Food and beverage | | | 7,001 | 5,828 | | 12,829 |
| Other | | | 5,907 | 7,013 | | 12,920 |
| Management and other fees | 183 | | 6,689 | 5 | (5,965) | 912 |
| Management and other fees affiliates | | | 957 | | | 957 |
| | 183 | | 46,941 | 36,799 | (5,965) | 77,958 |
| Other revenue from managed properties | | | 2,969 | | | 2,969 |
| Other revenue from managed properties affiliates | | | 2,624 | | | 2,624 |
| Total revenues | 183 | | 52,534 | 36,799 | (5,965) | 83,551 |
| Operating expenses by department: | | | | | | |
| Rooms | | | 4,031 | 3,654 | (1,009) | 6,676 |
| Food and beverage | | | 5,063 | 4,255 | | 9,318 |
| Other | | | 4,585 | 5,158 | | 9,743 |
| Other operating expenses: | | | | | | |
| Selling, general and administrative | 654 | 35 | 11,928 | 7,779 | (5,040) | 15,356 |
| Property operating costs | | | 4,450 | 4,094 | | 8,544 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 38 | 348 | 6,420 | 7,993 | | 14,799 |
| Loss on disposition of assets | | | | 330 | | 330 |
| | 692 | 383 | 36,477 | 33,263 | (6,049) | 64,766 |
| Other expenses from managed properties | | | 2,969 | | | 2,969 |
| Other expenses from managed properties affiliates | | | 2,624 | | | 2,624 |
| Total operating expenses | 692 | 383 | 42,070 | 33,263 | (6,049) | 70,359 |
| Net operating (loss) income | (509) | (383) | 10,464 | 3,536 | 84 | 13,192 |
| Investment income affiliates | | | | (220) | | (220) |
| Interest income | (48) | | | (2) | | (50) |
| Interest expense | 1,584 | 6,637 | 1 | 3,747 | | 11,969 |
| (Loss) income from continuing operations before income taxes and equity in income of unconsolidated affiliates | (2,045) | (7,020) | 10,463 | 11 | 84 | 1,493 |
| Income tax (benefit) expense | (4) | | 159 | 63 | | 218 |
| Equity in (income) loss of unconsolidated affiliates, net of tax | (3,294) | (10,314) | | (101) | 13,608 | (101) |
| Net income (loss) from continuing operations | 1,253 | 3,294 | 10,304 | 49 | (13,524) | 1,376 |
| Discontinued operations, net of tax | | | | 22 | 83 | 105 |
| Net income (loss) | 1,253 | 3,294 | 10,304 | 27 | (13,607) | 1,271 |
| Net loss attributable to noncontrolling interest, net of tax | | | | 18 | | 18 |

Edgar Filing: Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | |
|--|----------|----------|-----------|------|-------------|----------|
| Net income (loss) attributable to Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. | \$ 1,253 | \$ 3,294 | \$ 10,304 | \$ 9 | \$ (13,607) | \$ 1,253 |
|--|----------|----------|-----------|------|-------------|----------|

UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS

Period May 5, 2012 through September 30, 2012

Predecessor

(Dollars in thousands)

| | Parent | Issuers | Subsidiary Guarantors | Non Guarantor Subsidiaries | Consolidating Adjustments | Consolidated |
|---|---------|----------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------|
| Revenues: | | | | | | |
| Rooms | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 42,684 | \$ 37,593 | \$ 0 | \$ 80,277 |
| Food and beverage | 0 | 0 | 11,372 | 10,163 | 0 | 21,535 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 9,760 | 11,108 | 0 | 20,868 |
| Management and other fees | 221 | 0 | 11,052 | 9 | (9,621) | 1,661 |
| Management and other fees affiliates | 0 | 0 | 1,537 | 0 | 0 | 1,537 |
| | 221 | 0 | 76,405 | 58,873 | (9,621) | 125,878 |
| Other revenue from managed properties | 0 | 0 | 5,007 | 0 | 0 | 5,007 |
| Other revenue from managed properties affiliates | 0 | 0 | 4,540 | 0 | 0 | 4,540 |
| Total revenues | 221 | 0 | 85,952 | 58,873 | (9,621) | 135,425 |
| Operating expenses by department: | | | | | | |
| Rooms | 0 | 0 | 6,617 | 6,297 | (1,610) | 11,304 |
| Food and beverage | 0 | 0 | 8,435 | 7,297 | 0 | 15,732 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 7,795 | 9,411 | 0 | 17,206 |
| Other operating expenses: | | | | | | |
| Selling, general and administrative | 4,319 | 55 | 21,967 | 13,150 | (8,011) | 31,480 |
| Selling, general and administrative affiliates | 815 | 193 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,008 |
| Property operating costs | 0 | 0 | 7,236 | 7,109 | 0 | 14,345 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 1 | 2 | 9,615 | 9,927 | 0 | 19,545 |
| Loss on disposition of assets | 0 | 0 | 0 | 151 | 0 | 151 |
| | 5,135 | 250 | 61,665 | 53,342 | (9,621) | 110,771 |
| Other expenses from managed properties | 0 | 0 | 5,007 | 0 | 0 | 5,007 |
| Other expenses from managed properties affiliates | 0 | 0 | 4,540 | 0 | 0 | 4,540 |
| Total operating expenses | 5,135 | 250 | 71,212 | 53,342 | (9,621) | 120,318 |
| Net operating (loss) income | (4,914) | (250) | 14,740 | 5,531 | 0 | 15,107 |
| Investment income affiliates | 0 | 0 | 0 | (357) | 0 | (357) |
| Interest income | (72) | (3) | 0 | (4) | 0 | (79) |
| Interest expense | 2,463 | 7,929 | 0 | 5,882 | 0 | 16,274 |
| (Loss) income from continuing operations before income taxes and equity in income of unconsolidated affiliates | | | | | | |
| | (7,305) | (8,176) | 14,740 | 10 | 0 | (731) |
| Income tax expense | 82 | 0 | 266 | 181 | 0 | 529 |
| Equity in (income) loss of unconsolidated affiliates, net of tax | (5,897) | (14,073) | 0 | 264 | 19,970 | 264 |
| Net (loss) income from continuing operations | (1,490) | 5,897 | 14,474 | (435) | (19,970) | (1,524) |
| Discontinued operations, net of tax | 0 | 0 | 0 | (9) | 0 | (9) |

Edgar Filing: Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | |
|---|------------|----------|-----------|----------|-------------|------------|
| Net (loss) income | (1,490) | 5,897 | 14,474 | (426) | (19,970) | (1,515) |
| Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest, net of tax | 0 | 0 | 0 | (25) | 0 | (25) |
| Net (loss) income attributable to Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. | \$ (1,490) | \$ 5,897 | \$ 14,474 | \$ (401) | \$ (19,970) | \$ (1,490) |

UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS

Period January 1, 2012 through May 4, 2012

Predecessor

(Dollars in thousands)

| | Parent | Issuers | Subsidiary Guarantors | Non Guarantor Subsidiaries | Consolidating Adjustments | Consolidated |
|---|----------|---------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------|
| Revenues: | | | | | | |
| Rooms | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 30,243 | \$ 33,550 | \$ 0 | \$ 63,793 |
| Food and beverage | 0 | 0 | 8,399 | 8,874 | 0 | 17,273 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 7,206 | 8,714 | 0 | 15,920 |
| Management and other fees | 191 | 0 | 8,872 | 7 | (7,672) | 1,398 |
| Management and other fees affiliates | 0 | 0 | 1,414 | 0 | 0 | 1,414 |
| | 191 | 0 | 56,134 | 51,145 | (7,672) | 99,798 |
| Other revenue from managed properties | 0 | 0 | 4,193 | 0 | 0 | 4,193 |
| Other revenue from managed properties affiliates | 0 | 0 | 3,901 | 0 | 0 | 3,901 |
| Total revenues | 191 | 0 | 64,228 | 51,145 | (7,672) | 107,892 |
| Operating expenses by department: | | | | | | |
| Rooms | 0 | 0 | 5,325 | 5,412 | (1,279) | 9,458 |
| Food and beverage | 0 | 0 | 6,466 | 6,480 | 0 | 12,946 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 5,908 | 7,542 | 0 | 13,450 |
| Other operating expenses: | | | | | | |
| Selling, general and administrative | 15,470 | 59 | 20,803 | 12,266 | (6,393) | 42,205 |
| Property operating costs | 0 | 0 | 5,266 | 6,081 | 0 | 11,347 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 53 | 480 | 8,391 | 7,545 | 0 | 16,469 |
| Loss on disposition of assets | 0 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 47 |
| | 15,523 | 539 | 52,206 | 45,326 | (7,672) | 105,922 |
| Other expenses from managed properties | 0 | 0 | 4,193 | 0 | 0 | 4,193 |
| Other expenses from managed properties affiliates | 0 | 0 | 3,901 | 0 | 0 | 3,901 |
| Total operating expenses | 15,523 | 539 | 60,300 | 45,326 | (7,672) | 114,016 |
| Net operating (loss) income | (15,332) | (539) | 3,928 | 5,819 | 0 | (6,124) |
| Investment income affiliates | 0 | 0 | 0 | (303) | 0 | (303) |
| Interest income | (74) | (7) | 0 | (1) | 0 | (82) |
| Interest expense | 2,179 | 9,136 | 0 | 4,701 | 0 | 16,016 |
| (Loss) income from continuing operations before income taxes and equity in income of unconsolidated affiliates | | | | | | |
| | (17,437) | (9,668) | 3,928 | 1,422 | 0 | (21,755) |
| Income tax expense | 66 | 0 | 141 | 62 | 0 | 269 |
| Equity in loss (income) of unconsolidated affiliates, net of tax | 3,978 | (5,690) | 0 | (551) | 1,712 | (551) |
| Net (loss) income from continuing operations | (21,481) | (3,978) | 3,787 | 1,911 | (1,712) | (21,473) |
| Discontinued operations, net of tax | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 23 |

Edgar Filing: Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | |
|---|-------------|------------|----------|----------|------------|-------------|
| Net (loss) income | (21,481) | (3,978) | 3,787 | 1,888 | (1,712) | (21,496) |
| Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest, net of tax | 0 | 0 | 0 | (15) | 0 | (15) |
| Net (loss) income attributable to Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. | \$ (21,481) | \$ (3,978) | \$ 3,787 | \$ 1,903 | \$ (1,712) | \$ (21,481) |

UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS

Nine months ended September 30, 2011

(Dollars in thousands)

| | Parent | Issuers | Subsidiary Guarantors | Non Guarantor Subsidiaries | Consolidating Adjustments | Consolidated |
|---|----------------|--------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|
| Revenues: | | | | | | |
| Rooms | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 69,815 | \$ 67,543 | \$ 0 | \$ 137,358 |
| Food and beverage | 0 | 0 | 19,068 | 16,952 | 0 | 36,020 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 16,257 | 19,381 | 0 | 35,638 |
| Management and other fees | 466 | 0 | 18,321 | 29 | (16,497) | 2,319 |
| Management and other fees affiliates | 0 | 0 | 2,984 | 0 | 0 | 2,984 |
| | 466 | 0 | 126,445 | 103,905 | (16,497) | 214,319 |
| Other revenue from managed properties | 0 | 0 | 8,751 | 0 | 0 | 8,751 |
| Other revenue from managed properties affiliates | 0 | 0 | 8,091 | 0 | 0 | 8,091 |
| Total revenues | 466 | 0 | 143,287 | 103,905 | (16,497) | 231,161 |
| Operating expenses by department: | | | | | | |
| Rooms | 0 | 0 | 11,280 | 10,775 | (2,756) | 19,299 |
| Food and beverage | 0 | 0 | 14,433 | 12,634 | 0 | 27,067 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 12,927 | 15,378 | 0 | 28,305 |
| Other operating expenses: | | | | | | |
| Selling, general and administrative | 2,192 | 103 | 36,417 | 24,206 | (13,657) | 49,261 |
| Property operating costs | 0 | 0 | 12,562 | 13,262 | 0 | 25,824 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 115 | 1,021 | 19,154 | 21,072 | 0 | 41,362 |
| Loss on disposition of property | 0 | 0 | 21 | 1,347 | 0 | 1,368 |
| | 2,307 | 1,124 | 106,794 | 98,674 | (16,413) | 192,486 |
| Other expenses from managed properties | 0 | 0 | 8,751 | 0 | 0 | 8,751 |
| Other expenses from managed properties affiliates | 0 | 0 | 8,091 | 0 | 0 | 8,091 |
| Total operating expenses | 2,307 | 1,124 | 123,636 | 98,674 | (16,413) | 209,328 |
| Net operating (loss) income | (1,841) | (1,124) | 19,651 | 5,231 | (84) | 21,833 |
| Investment income affiliates | 0 | 0 | 0 | (682) | 0 | (682) |
| Interest income | (145) | (5) | 0 | (6) | 0 | (156) |
| Interest expense | 4,752 | 19,909 | 2 | 11,511 | 0 | 36,174 |
| (Loss) income from continuing operations before income taxes and equity in income of unconsolidated affiliates | | | | | | |
| | (6,448) | (21,028) | 19,649 | (5,592) | (84) | (13,503) |
| Income tax expense | 60 | 0 | 386 | 393 | 0 | 839 |
| Equity in loss (income) of unconsolidated affiliates, net of tax | 564 | (20,464) | 0 | (552) | 19,900 | (552) |
| Net (loss) income from continuing operations | (7,072) | (564) | 19,263 | (5,433) | (19,984) | (13,790) |
| Discontinued operations, net of tax | 0 | 0 | 0 | (6,620) | (84) | (6,704) |
| Net (loss) income | (7,072) | (564) | 19,263 | 1,187 | (19,900) | (7,086) |
| Net loss attributable to noncontrolling interest, net of tax | 0 | 0 | 0 | (14) | 0 | (14) |

Edgar Filing: Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | |
|--|------------|----------|-----------|----------|-------------|------------|
| Net (loss) income attributable to Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. | \$ (7,072) | \$ (564) | \$ 19,263 | \$ 1,201 | \$ (19,900) | \$ (7,072) |
|--|------------|----------|-----------|----------|-------------|------------|

UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS

Period May 5, 2012 through September 30, 2012

Successor

(Dollars in thousands)

| | Parent | Issuers | Subsidiary Guarantors | Non Guarantor Subsidiaries | Consolidating Adjustments | Consolidated |
|---|------------|-----------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------|
| Operating activities: | | | | | | |
| Net (loss) income | \$ (1,490) | \$ 5,897 | \$ 14,474 | \$ (426) | \$ (19,970) | \$ (1,515) |
| Adjustment to reconcile net (loss) income to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities: | | | | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 1 | 2 | 9,615 | 9,927 | 0 | 19,545 |
| Bad debt expense | 0 | 0 | 18 | 98 | 0 | 116 |
| Amortization of debt fair value | (7) | (2,222) | 0 | 151 | 0 | (2,078) |
| Non-cash employee compensation and professional fees expense | 0 | 0 | 1,120 | 0 | 0 | 1,120 |
| Loss on disposition of assets | 0 | 0 | 0 | 151 | 0 | 151 |
| Equity in (income) loss of affiliates | (5,897) | (14,073) | 0 | 409 | 19,821 | 260 |
| Deferred tax benefit | 163 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 163 |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities | 69,599 | 144,101 | (66,859) | (148,308) | 149 | (1,318) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities | 62,369 | 133,705 | (41,632) | (137,998) | 0 | 16,444 |
| Investing activities: | | | | | | |
| Capital expenditures for property and equipment | 0 | 0 | (2,826) | (3,342) | 0 | (6,168) |
| Investment in development | 0 | 0 | (43) | 0 | 0 | (43) |
| Increase in restricted cash | 0 | 0 | 0 | (488) | 0 | (488) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | 0 | 0 | (2,869) | (3,830) | 0 | (6,699) |
| Financing activities: | | | | | | |
| Principal payments on debt | 0 | 0 | 0 | (3,021) | 0 | (3,021) |
| Payment of loan costs | (4) | (3) | 0 | (52) | 0 | (59) |
| Member contributions | 1,091 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,091 |
| Advances from consolidating entities, net | (76,215) | (118,066) | 47,284 | 146,997 | 0 | 0 |
| Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities | (75,128) | (118,069) | 47,284 | 143,924 | 0 | (1,989) |
| Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents | (12,759) | 15,636 | 2,783 | 2,096 | 0 | 7,756 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period | 23,340 | 3,909 | (3,941) | 4,467 | 0 | 27,775 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of period | \$ 10,581 | \$ 19,545 | \$ (1,158) | \$ 6,563 | \$ 0 | \$ 35,531 |

UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS

Period January 1, 2012 through May 4, 2012

Predecessor

(Dollars in thousands)

| | Parent | Issuers | Subsidiary Guarantors | Non Guarantor Subsidiaries | Consolidating Adjustments | Consolidated |
|---|-------------|------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------|
| Operating activities: | | | | | | |
| Net (loss) income | \$ (21,481) | \$ (3,978) | \$ 3,787 | \$ 1,888 | \$ (1,712) | \$ (21,496) |
| Adjustment to reconcile net (loss) income to net cash (used in) provided by operating activities: | | | | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 53 | 480 | 8,391 | 7,545 | 0 | 16,469 |
| Bad debt expense | 0 | 0 | 1 | 25 | 0 | 26 |
| Non-cash employee compensation and professional fees expense | 0 | 0 | 3,348 | 0 | 0 | 3,348 |
| Loss on disposition of assets | 0 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 47 |
| Equity in loss (income) of affiliates | 3,978 | (5,690) | 0 | (559) | 1,712 | (559) |
| Deferred tax benefit | 73 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 73 |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities | 14,315 | (3,747) | (3,218) | (3,580) | 0 | 3,770 |
| Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities | (3,062) | (12,935) | 12,356 | 5,319 | 0 | 1,678 |
| Investing activities: | | | | | | |
| Capital expenditures for property and equipment | 0 | 0 | (1,248) | (989) | 0 | (2,237) |
| Investment in development | 0 | 0 | (75) | 0 | 0 | (75) |
| Proceeds from sale of assets | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Increase in restricted cash | 0 | 0 | 0 | (3,464) | 0 | (3,464) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | 0 | 0 | (1,320) | (4,453) | 0 | (5,773) |
| Financing activities: | | | | | | |
| Principal payments on debt | 0 | 527 | (14) | (2,290) | 0 | (1,777) |
| Payment of loan costs | 3 | (121) | 0 | (2) | 0 | (120) |
| Advances from consolidating entities, net | 16,360 | 458 | (15,777) | (1,041) | 0 | 0 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | 16,363 | 864 | (15,791) | (3,333) | 0 | (1,897) |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | | | | | | |
| | 13,301 | (12,071) | (4,755) | (2,467) | 0 | (5,992) |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period | 10,039 | 15,980 | 814 | 6,934 | 0 | 33,767 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of period | \$ 23,340 | \$ 3,909 | \$ (3,941) | \$ 4,467 | \$ 0 | \$ 27,775 |

UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS

Nine months ended September 30, 2011

(Dollars in thousands)

| | Parent | Issuers | Subsidiary Guarantors | Non Guarantor Subsidiaries | Consolidating Adjustments | Consolidated |
|---|------------|-----------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------|
| Operating activities: | | | | | | |
| Net (loss) income | \$ (7,072) | \$ (564) | \$ 19,263 | \$ 1,187 | \$ (19,900) | \$ (7,086) |
| Adjustment to reconcile net (loss) income to net cash (used in) provided by operating activities: | | | | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 115 | 1,021 | 19,157 | 21,170 | | 41,463 |
| Bad debt expense | | | 3 | 35 | | 38 |
| Non-cash employee compensation and professional fees expense | | | 1,638 | | | 1,638 |
| Loss on disposition of assets | | | 21 | 1,347 | | 1,368 |
| Gain on disposition of property included in discontinued operations | | | | (6,667) | | (6,667) |
| Equity in loss (income) of unconsolidated affiliates | 564 | (20,464) | | (653) | 19,900 | (653) |
| Deferred tax expense | 159 | | | | | 159 |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities | (662) | 5,577 | (2,339) | (5,567) | | (2,991) |
| Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities | (6,896) | (14,430) | 37,743 | 10,852 | | 27,269 |
| Investing activities: | | | | | | |
| Capital expenditures for property and equipment | | | (2,883) | (4,837) | | (7,720) |
| Loan repayment from unconsolidated affiliates | | | | 807 | | 807 |
| Investment in development | | | (316) | | | (316) |
| Proceeds from sale of a discontinued operation | | | | 4,200 | | 4,200 |
| Proceeds from sale of assets | | | | 2 | | 2 |
| Increase in restricted cash | (1,001) | | | (909) | | (1,910) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (1,001) | | (3,199) | (737) | | (4,937) |
| Financing activities: | | | | | | |
| Principal payments on long-term debt | | 1,149 | (24) | (80,364) | | (79,239) |
| Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt | | | | 56,000 | | 56,000 |
| Payment of loan costs | (107) | (122) | | (1,320) | | (1,549) |
| Advances from consolidating entities, net | 8,004 | 10,450 | (35,773) | 17,319 | | |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | 7,897 | 11,477 | (35,797) | (8,365) | | (24,788) |
| Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents | | | | | | |
| | | (2,953) | (1,253) | 1,750 | | (2,456) |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period | 10,047 | 24,168 | (328) | 3,101 | | 36,988 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of period | \$ 10,047 | \$ 21,215 | \$ (1,581) | \$ 4,851 | \$ | \$ 34,532 |

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

This Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations is a discussion and analysis of the financial condition, results of operations and liquidity and capital resources. The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and notes thereto appearing elsewhere in this report. We make statements in this section that are forward-looking statements within the meaning of the federal securities laws. For a complete discussion of forward-looking statements, see the Forward-Looking Statements section that immediately follows the table of contents. All dollar amounts in this discussion, except for per share data and operating statistics, are in thousands.

As described in Note 2 to the condensed consolidated financial statements, in connection with the preparation of the condensed consolidated financial statements for the second quarter of 2012, we identified an error in the manner in which deferred tax balances were calculated. In accordance with accounting guidance found in ASC 250-10 (SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 99, Materiality), we assessed the materiality of the error and concluded that the error was not material to any of our previously issued financial statements. In accordance with accounting guidance found in ASC 250-10 (SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 108, Considering the Effects of Prior Year Misstatements when Quantifying Misstatements in Current Year Financial Statements), we will revise our previously issued financial statements to correct the effect of this error. This non-cash revision does not impact our operating income or cash flows for any prior period.

As a result of the May 4, 2012 merger with K-9 Acquisition, Inc., we have a Predecessor period from January 1, 2012 to May 4, 2012 and a Successor period from May 5, 2012 to September 30, 2012. In order to present Management's Discussion and Analysis in a way that offers investors a meaningful period to period comparison, we have combined Predecessor and Successor periods to arrive at the nine months ended September 30, 2012 amounts and compared to the Predecessor nine month period ended September 30, 2011; however, these combined results for 2012 are considered non-GAAP financial measures.

Overview

The terms Great Wolf Resorts, us, we, our and Company used in this Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations refer to Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. and its consolidated subsidiaries.

Business. We are a family entertainment resort company that provides our guests with a high-quality vacation at an affordable price. We are the largest owner, operator and developer in North America of drive-to, destination family resorts featuring indoor waterparks and other family-oriented entertainment activities based on the number of resorts in operation. Each of our resorts features approximately 300 to 600 family suites, each of which sleeps from six to ten people and includes a wet bar, microwave oven, refrigerator and dining and sitting area. We provide a full-service entertainment resort experience to our target customer base: families with children ranging in ages from 2 to 14 years old that live within a convenient driving distance of our resorts. Our resorts are open year-round and provide a consistent, comfortable environment where our guests can enjoy our various amenities and activities. We operate and license resorts under our Great Wolf Lodge brand name. We have entered into licensing and management arrangements with third parties relating to the operation of resorts under the Great Wolf Lodge brand name.

We provide our guests with a self-contained vacation experience and focus on capturing a significant portion of their total vacation spending. We earn revenues through the sale of rooms (which includes admission to our indoor waterpark), and other revenue-generating resort amenities. Each of our resorts features a combination of the following revenue-generating amenities: themed restaurants and snack bars, ice cream shop and confectionery, full-service adult spa, kid spa, game arcade, gift shop, miniature golf, interactive game attraction, family tech center and meeting space. We also generate revenues from licensing arrangements, management fees and other fees with respect to our operation or development of properties owned in whole or in part by third parties.

Each of our Great Wolf Lodge resorts has a Northwoods lodge theme, designed in a Northwoods cabin motif with exposed timber beams, massive stone fireplaces, Northwoods creatures including mounted wolves and an animated two-story Clock Tower that provides theatrical entertainment for younger guests. All of our guest suites are themed luxury suites, ranging in size from approximately 385 square feet to 925 square feet.

The indoor waterparks in our Great Wolf Lodge resorts range in size from approximately 34,000 to 84,000 square feet and include decorative rockwork and plantings. The focus of each Great Wolf Lodge waterpark is our signature 12-level treehouse water fort, an interactive water experience for the entire family that features over 60 water effects and is capped by

an oversized bucket that dumps between 700 and 1,000 gallons of water every five minutes. Our waterparks also feature a combination of high-speed body slides and inner tube waterslides, smaller and lower speed slides for younger children, zero-depth water activity pools with geysers, a water curtain, fountains and tumble buckets, a lazy river, additional activity pools for basketball, open swimming and other water activities and large free-form hot tubs, including hot tubs for adults only.

On March 24, 2011, we sold our Blue Harbor Resort in Sheboygan, Wisconsin to Claremont New Frontier Resort LLC (Claremont) for a purchase price of \$4,200, less a \$540 credit for purposes of real estate property tax payments to be made by Claremont for periods after the closing. We paid \$2,000 to the City of Sheboygan with respect to real estate taxes relating to the Sheboygan property and contributed \$300 toward a lease termination fee payable to a tenant at the property. We continue to license the Blue Harbor Resort and related trade names to Claremont at no fee. As of March 24, 2011, we no longer operated this resort or managed the condominium units there.

On May 4, 2012, the Company merged with K-9 Acquisition, Inc., a Delaware corporation (Merger Sub) (the Merger). Although the Company continued as the same legal entity after the Merger, the Company's capital structure changed significantly as a result of the Merger and our financial statement presentations distinguish between a Predecessor for periods prior to the Merger and a Successor for periods subsequent to the Merger. The Merger was accounted for as a business combination using the acquisition method of accounting and Successor financial statements reflect a new basis of accounting that is based on the fair value of assets acquired and liabilities assumed as of the effective time of the Merger. The determination of these fair values reflects appraisals prepared by independent third parties and is based on actual tangible and identifiable intangible assets and liabilities that existed as of the effective time of the Merger. As a result of the application of the acquisition method of accounting as of the effective time of the Merger, the financial statements for the Predecessor period and for the Successor period are presented on different bases and are, therefore, not comparable.

The following table presents an overview of our portfolio of resorts. As of September 30, 2012, we operated, managed and/or had licensing arrangements relating to the operation of 11 Great Wolf Lodge resorts (our signature Northwoods-themed resorts). We anticipate that most of our future resorts will be licensed and/or developed under our Great Wolf Lodge brand, but we may operate and/or enter into licensing arrangements with other resorts using different brands in appropriate markets.

| | Ownership Percentage | Opened | Number of Guest Suites | Indoor Entertainment Area (1) |
|--------------------------|----------------------|--------|------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Wisconsin Dells, WI (3) | | 1997 | 385(2) | 102,000 |
| Sandusky, OH (3) | | 2001 | 271 | 41,000 |
| Traverse City, MI | 100% | 2003 | 280 | 57,000 |
| Kansas City, KS | 100% | 2003 | 281 | 57,000 |
| Williamsburg, VA (4) | 100% | 2005 | 405 | 87,000 |
| Pocono Mountains, PA (4) | 100% | 2005 | 401 | 101,000 |
| Niagara Falls, ONT (5) | | 2006 | 406 | 104,000 |
| Mason, OH (4) | 100% | 2006 | 401 | 105,000 |
| Grapevine, TX (4) | 100% | 2007 | 605 | 110,000 |
| Grand Mound, WA (6) | 49% | 2008 | 398 | 74,000 |
| Concord, NC (4) | 100% | 2009 | 402 | 97,000 |

- (1) Our indoor entertainment areas generally include our indoor waterpark, game arcade, children's activity room, family tech center, MagiQuest® (an interactive game attraction) and fitness room, as well as our spa in the resorts that have such amenities.
- (2) Total number of guest suites includes 77 condominium units that are individually owned and we manage.
- (3) These properties are owned by CNL Lifestyle Properties, Inc. (CNL), a real estate investment trust focused on leisure and lifestyle properties. We currently manage both properties and license the Great Wolf Lodge brand to these resorts.
- (4) Five of our properties (Great Wolf Lodge resorts in Williamsburg, VA; Pocono Mountains, PA; Mason, OH; Grapevine, TX and Concord, NC) each had a book value of fixed assets equal to ten percent or more of our total assets as of September 30, 2012 and each of those five properties had total revenues equal to ten percent or more of our total revenues for the three months ended September 30, 2012, the period January 1 - May 4, 2012, and the period May 5 - September 30, 2012.
- (5) An affiliate of Ripley Entertainment, Inc. (Ripley), our licensee, owns this resort. We have granted Ripley a license to use the Great Wolf Lodge name for this resort through April 2016.
- (6) This property is owned by a joint venture. The Confederated Tribes of the Chehalis Reservation (Chehalis) owns a 51% interest in the joint venture, and we own a 49% interest. We operate the property and license the Great Wolf Lodge brand to the joint venture under long-term agreements through April 2057, subject to earlier termination in certain situations. The joint venture leases the land for the resort from the United States Department of the Interior, which is trustee for Chehalis.

Industry Trends. We operate in the family entertainment resort segment of the travel and leisure industry. The concept of a family entertainment resort with an indoor waterpark was first introduced to the United States in Wisconsin Dells, Wisconsin, and has evolved since the late 1980s. In an effort to boost occupancy and daily rates, as well as capture off-season demand, hotel operators in the Wisconsin Dells market began expanding indoor pools and adding waterslides and other water-based attractions to existing hotels and resorts. The success of these efforts prompted several local operators to build new, larger destination resorts based primarily on the concept.

We believe that these resorts have proven popular because of several factors, including the ability to provide a year-round vacation destination without weather-related risks, the wide appeal of water-based recreation and the favorable trends in leisure travel discussed below. We believe that no operator or developer other than us has established a national portfolio of destination family entertainment resorts featuring indoor waterparks.

While no standard industry definition for a family entertainment resort featuring an indoor waterpark has developed, we generally consider resorts with at least 200 rooms featuring indoor waterparks larger than 25,000 square feet, as well as a variety of water slides and other water-based attractions, to be competitive with our resorts. A Hotel & Leisure Advisors, LLC (H&LA) survey as of July 2011 indicates that there are 141 open indoor waterpark resort properties in the United States and Canada. Of the total, 47 are considered indoor waterpark destination resorts offering more than 30,000 square feet of indoor waterpark space. Of these 47 properties, 11 are Great Wolf Lodges.

We believe recent vacation trends favor drive-to family entertainment resorts featuring indoor waterparks, as the number of families choosing to take shorter, more frequent vacations that they can drive to have increased in recent years. We believe these trends will continue. We believe indoor waterpark resorts are generally relatively less affected by changes in economic cycles than more expensive vacation options, as drive-to destinations are generally less expensive and more convenient than destinations that require air travel.

Outlook. We believe that no operator or developer other than us has established a national portfolio of destination family entertainment resorts that feature indoor waterparks. Our resorts do, however, compete directly with other family entertainment resorts and other family entertainment attractions in our markets. We intend to continue to expand our portfolio of resorts throughout the United States and to selectively seek licensing and management opportunities domestically and internationally.

The resorts we plan to develop, acquire, license and/or operate in the future may require significant industry knowledge and/or substantial capital resources. Our external growth strategy going forward is to seek joint venture, licensing and management opportunities. We expect each of the joint venture arrangements would involve us having a minority or no ownership interest in the new resort. We believe there are opportunities to capitalize on our existing brand and operational platforms with lower capital requirements from us than if we were to be a sole or majority owner of the new resort.

Our primary business objective is to increase long-term value. We believe we can increase value by executing our internal and external growth strategies. Our primary internal growth strategies are:

leveraging our competitive advantages and increasing domestic geographic diversification through a licensing-based business model and joint venture investments in target markets;

expanding our brand footprint internationally;

selective sales/dispositions of ownership interests/recycling of capital;

expanding and enhancing existing resorts;

continuing to innovate;

maximizing total resort revenues;

minimizing total resort costs;

building upon our existing brand awareness and loyalty; and

expanding operations of our majority-owned subsidiary, Creative Kingdoms.

Revenue and Key Performance Indicators. We seek to generate positive cash flows and maximize our return on invested capital from each of our owned resorts. Our rooms revenue represents sales to guests of room nights at our resorts and is the largest contributor to our cash flows and earnings before interest, taxes, and depreciation and amortization (EBITDA). Rooms revenue accounted for approximately 67% of our total consolidated resort revenue for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. We employ sales and marketing efforts to increase overall demand for rooms at our resorts. We seek to optimize the relationship between room rates and occupancies through the use of yield management techniques that attempt to project demand in order to selectively increase room rates during peak demand. These techniques are designed to assist us in managing our higher occupancy nights to achieve maximum rooms revenue and include such practices as:

monitoring our historical trends for occupancy and estimating our high occupancy nights,

offering the highest discounts to previous guests in off-peak periods to build customer loyalty and enhance our ability to charge higher rates in peak periods,

structuring rates to allow us to offer our previous guests the best rate while simultaneously working with a promotional partner or offering internet specials,

monitoring sales of room types daily to evaluate the effectiveness of offered discounts, and

offering specials on standard suites and yielding better rates on larger suites when standard suites sell out.

In addition, we seek to maximize the amount of time and money spent on-site by our guests by providing a variety of revenue-generating amenities.

We have several key indicators that we use to evaluate the performance of our business. These indicators include the following:

occupancy;

average daily room rate, or ADR;

revenue per available room, or RevPAR;

total revenue per occupied room, or Total RevPOR;

total revenue per available room, or Total RevPAR;

non-rooms revenue per occupied room; and

earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization, or EBITDA.

Occupancy, ADR and RevPAR are commonly used measures within the hospitality industry to evaluate hotel operations and are defined as follows:

Occupancy is calculated by dividing total occupied rooms by total available rooms.

ADR is calculated by dividing total rooms revenue by total occupied rooms.

RevPAR is the product of occupancy and ADR.

Total RevPOR, Total RevPAR and Non-rooms revenue per occupied room are defined as follows:

Total RevPOR is calculated by dividing total revenue by total occupied rooms.

Total RevPAR is calculated by dividing total revenue by total available rooms.

Non-rooms revenue per occupied room is calculated by taking the difference between Total RevPOR and ADR.

Occupancy allows us to measure the general overall demand for rooms at our resorts and the effectiveness of our sales and marketing strategies. ADR allows us to measure the effectiveness of our yield management strategies. While ADR and RevPAR only include rooms revenue, Total RevPOR and Total RevPAR include both rooms revenue and other revenue derived from food and beverage and other amenities at our resorts. We consider Total RevPOR and Total RevPAR to be key performance indicators for our business because we derive a significant portion of our revenue from food and beverage and other amenities. For the nine months ended September 30, 2012, approximately 33% of our total consolidated resort revenues consisted of non-rooms revenue.

We use RevPAR and Total RevPAR to evaluate the blended effect that changes in occupancy, ADR and Total RevPOR have on our results. We focus on increasing ADR and Total RevPOR because we believe those increases can have the greatest positive impact on our results. In addition, we seek to maximize occupancy, as increases in occupancy generally lead to greater total revenues at our resorts, and we believe maintaining certain occupancy levels is key to covering our fixed costs. Increases in total revenues as a result of higher occupancy are, however, typically accompanied by additional incremental costs (including housekeeping services, utilities and room amenity costs). In contrast, increases in total revenues from higher ADR and Total RevPOR are typically accompanied by lower incremental costs and result generally, in a greater increase in operating cash flow.

We also use EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA as measures of the operating performance of each of our resorts. EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA are supplemental financial measures and are not defined by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (GAAP). See

Non-GAAP Financial Measures below for further discussion of our use of EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA and a reconciliation of net loss to EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

This discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations is based on our condensed consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with GAAP. The preparation of these condensed consolidated financial statements requires management to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the unconsolidated financial statements, as well as revenue and expenses during the reporting periods. We evaluate our estimates and judgments on an ongoing basis. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other factors we believe are reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying value of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results could therefore differ materially from those estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

Intangibles Our intangible assets consist of the value of our brand names, management contracts and patented and unpatented technologies. A summary of our intangibles is as follows:

Edgar Filing: Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | Successor | Predecessor |
|---|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| | September 30, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
| Brand names | \$ 40,800 | \$ 23,829 |
| Management contracts, net of amortization | 8,015 | 0 |
| Patented and unpatented technologies | 1,821 | 1,481 |
| | \$ 50,636 | \$ 25,310 |

The brand name intangible assets have indefinite useful lives. We do not amortize the brand name intangibles, but instead test them for possible impairment at least annually or when circumstances warrant, by comparing the fair value of the intangible asset with its carrying amount. We amortize our management contract intangibles over the remaining life of the contracts, ranging from 4 years to 45 years. We amortize our patented and unpatented technologies over 15 years.

Goodwill The excess of the purchase price of entities that are considered to be purchases of businesses over the estimated fair value of tangible and identifiable intangible assets acquired is recorded as goodwill. We are required to assess goodwill for impairment annually, or more frequently if circumstances indicate impairment may have occurred. Recoverability of goodwill is measured at the reporting unit level and determined using a two-step process. The first step compares the carrying amount of the reporting unit to its estimated fair value. If the estimated fair value of a reporting unit exceeds its carrying amount, goodwill of the reporting unit is not impaired and the second step of the impairment test is not necessary. To the extent that the carrying value of the reporting unit exceeds its estimated fair value, a second step is performed, wherein the reporting unit's carrying value of goodwill is compared to the implied fair value of goodwill. To the extent that the carrying value exceeds the implied fair value, impairment exists and must be recognized. We determine our reporting unit's fair values using a discounted cash flow model.

In connection with the acquisition of the majority interest in Creative Kingdoms, LLC (CK) in 2010 we recorded \$1,365 of goodwill. In 2012 in connection with the Merger, we recorded \$97,497 of goodwill as part of purchase accounting.

| | |
|---|-----------|
| Balance as of January 1, 2011 (Predecessor) | |
| Goodwill recorded related to the acquisition of the majority interest in CK | \$ 1,365 |
| Balance as of December 31, 2011 and May 4, 2012 (Predecessor) | \$ 1,365 |
| Goodwill removed as a result of the Merger | (1,365) |
| Goodwill recorded related to the Merger | 97,497 |
| Balance as of September 30, 2012 (Successor) | \$ 97,497 |

Noncontrolling Interests We record the interests in CK not owned by us as a separate component of our consolidated equity on our condensed consolidated balance sheet. The net earnings attributable to the controlling and noncontrolling interests are included on the face of our statements of operations. Due to our acquisition of CK in June 2010 we have a consolidated subsidiary with a noncontrolling interest as of September 30, 2012.

Discontinued Operations We record the results of the operations of an entity that has been disposed of as a discontinued operation in the consolidated statements of operations when the operations and cash flows of the entity have been eliminated from the ongoing operations and we do not have any significant continuing involvement in the operations of the entity after the disposal transaction. During the three months ended March 31, 2011 we disposed of our Blue Harbor Resort property and have included that property's operations and gain on sale in discontinued operations for all periods presented.

Income Taxes At the end of each interim reporting period, we estimate the effective tax rate expected to be applicable for the full fiscal year. We use that estimated effective tax rate in providing for income taxes on a year-to-date basis. We account for the tax effect of significant unusual or extraordinary items in the period in which they occur. We account for major changes in our valuation allowance within continuing operations in the period in which they occur.

For a description of our critical accounting policies and estimates, please refer to the Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates section of our Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations contained in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011. Except as described above, there have been no material changes in any of our critical accounting policies since December 31, 2011.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2011, the FASB issued guidance that clarifies and changes the application of various fair value measurement principles and disclosure requirements. This guidance is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In September 2011, the FASB issued guidance that permits an entity an option to first perform a qualitative assessment to determine whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. If an entity believes, as a result of its qualitative assessment, that it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount, the quantitative impairment test is required. Otherwise, no further impairment testing is required. The guidance is effective for annual and interim goodwill impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In July 2012, the FASB issued guidance on testing indefinite-lived intangible assets, other than goodwill, for impairment. An entity testing an indefinite-lived intangible asset for impairment has the option of performing a qualitative assessment before calculating the fair value of the asset. If an entity believes, as a result of its qualitative assessment, that it is more likely than not that the fair value of the asset is less than its carrying amount, the quantitative impairment test is required. Otherwise, no further impairment testing is required. The guidance is effective for annual and interim indefinite-lived intangible assets impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after September 15, 2012. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

Non-GAAP Financial Measures

We use EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA as measures of our operating performance. EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA are supplemental non-GAAP financial measures.

EBITDA is commonly defined as net income plus (a) net interest expense from continuing operations and discontinued operations, (b) income taxes from continuing operations and discontinued operations, and (c) depreciation and amortization from continuing operations and discontinued operations.

We define Adjusted EBITDA as net income (loss) plus (a) interest expense, net, (b) income taxes, (c) depreciation and amortization, (d) non-cash employee and director compensation, (e) costs associated with early extinguishment of debt or potential capital markets transactions, (f) opening costs of projects under development, (g) equity in earnings (loss) of unconsolidated related parties, (h) gain or loss on disposition of property or investments, (i) separation payments to senior executives, (j) environmental liability costs, (k) asset impairment charges, (l) non-controlling interests, (m) acquisition-related expenses, and (n) other unusual or non-recurring items.

EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA as calculated by us are not necessarily comparable to similarly titled measures presented by other companies. In addition, EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA (a) do not represent net income or cash flows from operations as defined by GAAP; (b) are not necessarily indicative of cash available to fund our cash flow needs; and (c) should not be considered as alternatives to net income, operating income, cash flows from operating activities or our other financial information as determined under GAAP.

We believe EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA are useful to an investor in evaluating our operating performance because:

a significant portion of our assets consists of property and equipment that are depreciated over their remaining useful lives in accordance with GAAP. Because depreciation and amortization are non-cash items, we believe that presentation of EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA are useful measures of our operating performance;

they are widely used in the hospitality and entertainment industries to measure operating performance without regard to items such as depreciation and amortization; and

we believe they help investors meaningfully evaluate and compare the results of our operations from period to period by removing the impact of items directly resulting from our asset base, primarily depreciation and amortization, from our operating results.

Our management uses EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA:

as measurements of operating performance because they assist us in comparing our operating performance on a consistent basis as they remove the impact of items directly resulting from our asset base, primarily depreciation and amortization, from our operating results;

for planning purposes, including the preparation of our annual operating budget;

as valuation measures for evaluating our operating performance and our capacity to incur and service debt, fund capital expenditures and expand our business; and

as measures in determining the value of other acquisitions and dispositions.

Using measures such as EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA have material limitations, including the following:

they do not reflect every cash expenditure, future requirements for capital expenditures or contractual commitments;

they do not reflect the significant interest expense or the cash requirements necessary to service interest or principal payments on our debt;

although depreciation and amortization are non-cash charges, the assets being depreciated and amortized will often have to be replaced or require improvements in the future, and EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA do not reflect any cash requirements for such replacements or improvements;

they are not adjusted for all non-cash income or expense items that are reflected in our statements of cash flows;

they do not reflect limitations on our costs related to transferring earnings from our subsidiaries to us; and

other companies in our industry may calculate EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA differently than we do, limiting their usefulness as comparative measures.

Because of these limitations, our EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA measures should not be considered as measures of discretionary cash available to us to invest in the growth of our business or as measures of cash that will be available to us to meet our obligations. We compensate for these limitations by using EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA, along with other comparative tools, together with GAAP measurements, to assist in the evaluation of operating performance. Such GAAP measurements include operating income (loss), net income (loss), cash flows from operations and cash flow data. We have significant uses of cash flows, including capital expenditures, interest payments, debt principal repayments, taxes and other non-recurring charges, which are not reflected in our EBITDA- and Adjusted EBITDA-based measures.

EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA are not intended as alternatives to net income (loss), as indicators of our operating performance, as alternatives to any other measure of performance in conformity with GAAP or as alternatives to cash flow provided by operating activities as a measure of liquidity. You should therefore not place undue reliance on our EBITDA- and Adjusted EBITDA-based measures or ratios calculated using those measures. Our GAAP-based measures can be found in our consolidated financial statements and the related notes, included elsewhere in this report.

Adjusted EBITDA is an operating performance measure, and not a liquidity measure, that provides investors and analysts with a measure of operating results unaffected by differences in capital structures, capital investment cycles and ages of related assets among otherwise comparable companies. We also present Adjusted EBITDA because it is used by some investors as a way to measure our ability to incur and service debt, make capital expenditures and meet working capital requirements.

Adjusted EBITDA is a measure commonly used in our industry, and we present Adjusted EBITDA to enhance investors' understanding of our operating performance. We use Adjusted EBITDA as one criterion for evaluating our performance relative to that of our peers. The compensation committee of our board of directors determines the annual variable compensation for certain members of our management based in part on Adjusted EBITDA.

The following table reconciles net loss attributable to Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. to EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA for the periods presented (prior to the reclassification for discontinued operations).

| Three months ended September 30, | | Nine months ended September 30, | |
|----------------------------------|-------------|---------------------------------|-------------|
| 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Successor | Predecessor | Successor/ | Predecessor |

Edgar Filing: Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | Predecessor | | | |
|--|--------------------|----------|-------------|------------|
| Net income (loss) attributable to Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. | \$ 3,772 | \$ 1,253 | \$ (22,971) | \$ (7,072) |
| Adjustments: | | | | |
| Interest expense, net of interest income | 9,967 | 11,919 | 32,129 | 36,094 |
| Income tax expense | 276 | 275 | 810 | 942 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 11,766 | 14,799 | 36,014 | 41,463 |
| EBITDA | 25,781 | 28,246 | 45,982 | 71,427 |
| Non-cash employee and director compensation | 429 | 503 | 4,645 | 1,634 |
| Loss on disposition of assets | 151 | 330 | 198 | 1,368 |

| | Three months ended September 30, | | Nine months ended September 30, | |
|---|----------------------------------|-------------|---------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| | | | Successor/ | |
| | Successor | Predecessor | Predecessor | Predecessor |
| Equity in (income) loss of unconsolidated affiliates | (163) | (159) | (298) | (653) |
| Net (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interest | (14) | 19 | (41) | (17) |
| Separation payments | | | | 385 |
| Gain on disposition of property included in discontinued operations | | | | (6,667) |
| Merger-related costs | 1,792 | | 19,477 | |
| Consulting fees | 815 | | 815 | |
| Professional fees | 212 | | 212 | |
| Reimbursement agreement | 193 | | 193 | |
| Adjusted EBITDA | \$ 29,196 | 28,939 | \$ 71,183 | \$ 67,477 |

Results of Operations

General

Our financial information includes:

our subsidiary that provides resort development and management/licensing services;

our wholly-owned resorts;

our equity interest in the Grand Mound resort, in which we have a minority ownership interest but which we do not consolidate; and

our CK subsidiary, which is a developer of experiential gaming products, less our noncontrolling interest.

Revenues. Our revenues consist of:

lodging revenue, which includes rooms, food and beverage, and other department revenues from our resorts;

management fee and other revenue from resorts, which includes fees received under our management, license, development and construction management agreements;

revenue from our CK subsidiary, which includes product sales, admission fees and retail revenues; and

other revenue from managed properties. We employ the staff at our managed properties. Under our management agreements, the resort owners reimburse us for payroll, benefits and certain other costs related to the operations of the managed properties. We include the reimbursement of payroll, benefits and costs, recorded as revenue on our statements of operations, with a corresponding expense recorded as other expenses from managed properties.

Operating Expenses. Our departmental operating expenses consist of rooms, food and beverage and other department expenses.

Edgar Filing: Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Our other operating expenses include the following items:

selling, general and administrative expenses, which are associated with the operations and management of resorts and our CK subsidiary and which consist primarily of expenses such as corporate payroll and related benefits, operations management, sales and marketing, finance, legal, information technology support, human resources and other support services, as well as general corporate expenses and Merger-related expenses;

property operation and maintenance expenses, such as utility costs and property taxes;

depreciation and amortization; and

other expenses from managed properties.

Three months ended September 30, 2012, compared with the three months ended September 30, 2011

The following table shows key operating statistics for our resorts for the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011:

| | Successor 2012 | Same Store Comparison (a) Predecessor | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------|--|----------|------|
| | | 2011 | \$ | % |
| Occupancy | 73.5% | 71.8% | N/A | 2.4% |
| ADR | \$ 269.73 | \$ 265.83 | \$ 3.90 | 1.5% |
| RevPAR | \$ 198.27 | \$ 190.86 | \$ 7.41 | 3.9% |
| Total RevPOR | \$ 405.34 | \$ 397.32 | \$ 8.02 | 2.0% |
| Total RevPAR | \$ 297.95 | \$ 285.27 | \$ 12.68 | 4.4% |
| Non-rooms revenue per occupied room | \$ 135.62 | \$ 131.50 | \$ 4.12 | 3.1% |

(a) Same store comparison includes properties that were open for the full periods and with comparable number of rooms in 2012 and 2011. The positive changes in key operating statistics for the three months ended September 30, 2012, compared to the three months ended September 30, 2011, are due to an increase in overall consumer demand for our properties.

Presented below are selected amounts from the statements of operations for the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011:

| | Successor 2012 | Three months ended September 30, | |
|---|-------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| | | Predecessor 2011 | Increase / (Decrease) |
| Revenues | \$ 87,361 | \$ 83,551 | \$ 3,810 |
| Operating expenses: | | | |
| Departmental operating expenses | 27,306 | 25,737 | 1,569 |
| Selling, general and administrative | 18,104 | 15,356 | 2,748 |
| Property operating costs | 9,471 | 8,544 | 927 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 11,766 | 14,799 | (3,033) |
| Net operating income | 13,615 | 13,192 | 423 |
| Interest expense, net of interest income | 9,967 | 11,919 | (1,952) |
| Net income attributable to Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. | 3,772 | \$ 1,253 | 2,519 |

Revenues. Total revenues increased due to an increase in consumer demand for our properties.

Operating expenses.

Departmental operating expenses increased by \$1,569 in the three months ended September 30, 2012, as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2011, related primarily to our increase in revenues.

Selling, general and administrative expenses increased by \$2,748 in the three months ended September 30, 2012, as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2011, due primarily to non-recurring expenses related to the Merger.

Property operating costs increased by \$927 in the three months ended September 30, 2012, as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2011, related primarily to our increase in revenues.

Total depreciation and amortization decreased for the three months ended September 30, 2012 as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2011, primarily due to incremental depreciation and amortization that was recognized because of fair value adjustments from the Merger transaction.

Net operating income. During the three months ended September 30, 2012, we had net operating income of \$13,615 as compared to net operating income of \$13,192 for the three months ended September 30, 2011.

Net income attributable to Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. Net income attributable to Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. increased primarily due to an increase in net operating income of \$423 as well as a decrease in interest expense, net of interest income of \$1,952, which was primarily due to effects of recording purchase accounting adjustments related to the Merger transaction.

Nine months ended September 30, 2012, compared with the nine months ended September 30, 2011

The following table shows key operating statistics for our resorts for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011:

| | Successor/ Predecessor 2012 | Same Store Comparison (a) | | |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|----------|------|
| | | Predecessor 2011 | \$ | % |
| Occupancy | 68.8% | 66.9% | N/A | 2.8% |
| ADR | \$ 263.60 | \$ 261.36 | \$ 2.24 | 0.9% |
| RevPAR | \$ 181.31 | \$ 174.93 | \$ 6.38 | 3.6% |
| Total RevPOR | \$ 400.93 | \$ 395.74 | \$ 5.19 | 1.3% |
| Total RevPAR | \$ 275.77 | \$ 264.88 | \$ 10.89 | 4.1% |
| Non-rooms revenue per occupied room | \$ 137.33 | \$ 134.39 | \$ 2.94 | 2.2% |

(a) Same store comparison includes properties that were open for the full periods and with comparable number of rooms in 2012 and 2011 (that is, all properties other than our Blue Harbor Resort in Sheboygan, WI).

The positive changes in key operating statistics for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2011, are due to an increase in overall consumer demand for our properties.

Presented below are selected amounts from the statements of operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011:

| | Successor/ Predecessor 2012 | Nine months ended September 30, | |
|---|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| | | Predecessor 2011 | Increase / (Decrease) |
| Revenues | \$ 243,317 | \$ 231,161 | \$ 12,156 |
| Operating expenses: | | | |
| Departmental operating expenses | 80,096 | 74,671 | 5,425 |
| Selling, general and administrative | 73,685 | 49,261 | 24,424 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 36,014 | 41,362 | (5,348) |
| Loss on disposition of assets | 198 | 1,368 | (1,170) |
| Net operating income | 8,983 | 21,833 | (12,850) |
| Interest expense, net of interest income | 32,129 | 36,018 | (3,889) |
| Discontinued operations, net of tax | 14 | (6,704) | 6,718 |
| Net loss attributable to Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. | (22,971) | (7,072) | (15,899) |

Revenues. Total revenues increased due to an increase in consumer demand for our properties.

Operating expenses.

Departmental operating expenses increased by \$5,425 in the nine months ended September 30, 2012, as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2011, related primarily to our increase in revenues.

Selling, general and administrative expenses increased by \$24,424 in the nine months ended September 30, 2012, as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2011, due primarily due to effects of recording purchase accounting adjustments related to the Merger transaction.

Total depreciation and amortization decreased for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2011, primarily due to incremental depreciation and amortization that was recognized because of fair value adjustments from the Merger transaction.

Loss on disposition of assets decreased primarily due to a loss incurred by CK during the nine months ended September 30, 2011. There was no similar loss during the nine months ended September 30, 2012.

Net operating income. During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, we had net operating income of \$8,983 as compared to net operating income of \$21,833 for the nine months ended September 30, 2011.

Net loss attributable to Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. Net loss attributable to Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. increased primarily due to a decrease in net operating income of \$12,850. This decrease was partially offset by a decrease in interest expense, net of interest income of \$3,889, which was primarily due to effects of recording purchase accounting adjustments related to the Merger transaction.

Segments

We have two reportable segments:

resort ownership/operation-revenues derived from our consolidated owned resorts; and

resort third-party management/licensing-revenues derived from management, license and other related fees from unconsolidated managed resorts.

The Other column in the table below includes items that do not constitute a reportable segment and represent corporate-level activities and the activities of other operations not included in the Resort Ownership/Operation or Resort Third-Party Management/License segments.

See our Segments section in our Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, in Note 3 of our condensed consolidated financial statements.

| | Three months ended September 30, | | | Nine months ended September 30, | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|
| | Successor 2012 | Predecessor 2011 | Increase/ (Decrease) | Successor/ Predecessor 2012 | Predecessor 2011 | Increase/ (Decrease) |
| Resort Ownership/Operation | | | | | | |
| Revenues | \$ 77,425 | \$ 74,194 | \$ 3,231 | \$ 215,965 | \$ 204,655 | \$ 11,310 |
| EBITDA | 25,074 | 25,267 | (193) | 61,939 | 64,749 | (2,810) |
| Resort Third-Party Management/License | | | | | | |

Edgar Filing: Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | |
|--------------|---------|-------|---------|----------|--------|----------|
| Revenues | 8,123 | 7,462 | 661 | 23,651 | 22,145 | 1,506 |
| EBITDA | 2,183 | 1,869 | 314 | 6,010 | 5,303 | 707 |
| Other | | | | | | |
| Revenues | 1,813 | 1,895 | (82) | 3,701 | 4,361 | (660) |
| EBITDA | (1,476) | 1,110 | (2,586) | (21,967) | 1,375 | (23,342) |

Liquidity and Capital Resources

We had total indebtedness of \$527,801 and \$515,174 as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively, summarized as follows:

| | Carrying Value | | Principal Amounts Successor September 30, 2012 |
|--|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| | Successor September 30, 2012 | Predecessor December 31, 2011 | |
| Mortgage Debt: | | | |
| Traverse City/Kansas City mortgage loan | \$ 62,484 | \$ 65,591 | \$ 64,299 |
| Pocono Mountains mortgage loan | 93,540 | 93,015 | 92,039 |
| Concord mortgage loan | 51,206 | 54,055 | 51,012 |
| First mortgage notes (net of discount of \$8,046 as of December 31, 2011) | 259,678 | 221,954 | 230,000 |
| Other Long-Term Debt: | | | |
| Junior subordinated debentures | 60,893 | 80,545 | 80,545 |
| Other | 0 | 14 | 0 |
| | 527,801 | 515,174 | 517,895 |
| Less current portion of long-term debt | (67,201) | (67,678) | (67,201) |
| Total long-term debt | \$ 460,600 | \$ 447,496 | \$ 450,694 |

The carrying value amounts as of September 30, 2012, include net fair value adjustments recorded as part of purchase accounting that increased the aggregate carrying value of debt as of the Merger date (see footnote 4). We are amortizing these adjustments as offsets to interest expense over the life of each loan, using the effective interest rate method. The unamortized fair value adjustment as of September 30, 2012 was \$9,906.

Traverse City/Kansas City Mortgage Loan This non-recourse loan is secured by our Traverse City and Kansas City resorts. The loan bears interest at a fixed rate of 6.96%, is subject to a 25-year principal amortization schedule, and matures in January 2015. The loan has customary financial and operating debt compliance covenants. The loan also has customary restrictions on our ability to prepay the loan prior to maturity. We were in compliance with all covenants under this loan at September 30, 2012.

While recourse under the loan is limited to the property owner's interest in the mortgaged property, we have provided limited guarantees with respect to certain customary non-recourse provisions and environmental indemnities relating to the loan.

The loan also contains limitations on our ability, without lender's consent, to (i) make payments to our affiliates if a default exists; (ii) enter into transactions with our affiliates; (iii) make loans or advances; or (iv) assume, guarantee or become liable in connection with any other obligations.

The loan requires us to maintain a minimum debt service coverage ratio (DSCR) of 1.35, calculated on a quarterly basis. This ratio is defined as the two collateral properties' combined trailing twelve-month net operating income divided by the greater of (i) the loan's twelve-month debt service requirements and (ii) 8.5% of the amount of the outstanding principal indebtedness under the loan. Failure to meet the minimum DSCR is not an event of default and does not accelerate the due date of the loan. Not meeting the minimum DSCR, however, subjects the two properties to a lock-box cash management arrangement, at the discretion of the loan's servicer. The loan also contains a similar lock-box requirement if we open any Great Wolf Lodge or Blue Harbor Resort within 100 miles of either resort, and the two collateral properties combined trailing twelve-month net operating income is not at least equal to 1.8 times 8.5% of the amount of the outstanding principal indebtedness under the loan. For the quarter ended September 30, 2012, the DSCR for this loan was 0.94, and the DSCR for this loan has been below 1.35 since the second quarter of 2007.

In September 2010, the loan's master servicer implemented a lock-box cash management arrangement. The lock-box cash management arrangement requires substantially all cash receipts for the two resorts to be moved each day to a lender-controlled bank account, which the loan servicer then uses to fund debt service and operating expenses for the two resorts on a monthly basis, with excess cash flow being deposited in a reserve account and held as additional collateral for the loan. We believe that this arrangement constitutes a traditional lock-box arrangement as discussed in authoritative accounting guidance. Based on that guidance, we have classified the entire outstanding principal balance of the loan as a current liability as of September 30, 2012, since the lock-box arrangement requires us to use the properties' working capital to service the loan, and we do not presently have the ability to refinance this loan to a new, long-term loan. Although the entire principal balance of the loan is classified as a current liability as of September 30, 2012, the loan is not in default, and the principal balance is not due currently.

At our request, in October 2010 the loan was transferred to its special servicer. We informed the special servicer that, given the current and expected performance at that time of the two properties securing this loan, we might elect to cease the subsidization of debt service on this non-recourse loan. If we were to elect to cease the subsidization of debt service, that may result in a default under the loan agreement. The properties had a combined net book value of \$72,371 as of September 30, 2012, and the amount of debt outstanding under the loan was \$62,484 as of September 30, 2012.

Given improved operating trends and performance at the properties during 2011 and the nine months ended September 30, 2012, we currently expect the properties to generate sufficient cash flow so that our subsidization of debt service, if any, for 2012 will be insignificant to our overall operations. As a result, we currently believe the most likely course of action for 2012 is to continue to operate these properties, assuming these trends continue.

Pocono Mountains Mortgage Loan This loan is secured by a mortgage on our Pocono Mountains resort. The loan bears interest at a fixed rate of 6.10% and matures in January 2017. The loan is currently subject to a 30-year principal amortization schedule. The loan has customary covenants associated with an individual mortgaged property. The loan also has customary restrictions on our ability to prepay the loan prior to maturity. We were in compliance with all covenants under this loan at September 30, 2012.

The loan requires us to maintain a minimum DSCR of 1.25, calculated on a quarterly basis. Subject to certain exceptions, the DSCR is increased to 1.35 if we open up a waterpark resort within 75 miles of the property or incur mezzanine debt secured by the resort. This ratio is defined as the property's combined trailing twelve-month net operating income divided by the greater of (i) the loan's twelve-month debt service requirements and (ii) 7.25% of the amount of the outstanding principal indebtedness under the loan. Failure to meet the minimum DSCR is not an event of default and does not accelerate the due date of the loan. Not meeting the minimum DSCR, however, subjects the property to a lock-box cash management arrangement, at the discretion of the loan's servicer. We believe that lock-box arrangement would require substantially all cash receipts for the resort to be moved each day to a lender-controlled bank account, which the loan servicer would then use to fund debt service and operating expenses for the resort, with excess cash flow being deposited in a reserve account and held as additional collateral for the loan. While recourse under the loan is limited to the property owner's interest in the mortgage property, we have provided limited guarantees with respect to certain customary non-recourse provisions and environmental indemnities relating to the loan.

The loan also contains limitations on our ability, without lender's consent, to (i) make payments to our affiliates if a default exists; (ii) enter into transactions with our affiliates; (iii) make loans or advances; or (iv) assume, guarantee or become liable in connection with any other obligations.

Concord Mortgage Loan This loan is secured by a mortgage on our Concord resort. This loan bears interest at a floating rate of 30-day LIBOR plus a spread of 500 basis points with a minimum rate of 6.00% per annum (effective rate of 6.00% at September 30, 2012). This loan requires four quarterly principal payments of \$125 each beginning October 1, 2011, and quarterly principal payments of \$375 thereafter. The loan was amended in March 2012 to extend the maturity to December 31, 2016.

As part of the loan agreement, if the Concord resort does not achieve certain financial performance thresholds the lender requires excess cash from the Concord resort to be swept to the lender on a monthly basis. The lender will hold the excess cash until the end of each quarter and then will either fund the cash back to us to cover any projected cash shortfalls at the property or if there are no shortfalls projected, use the excess cash to repay the loan principal balance. The lender has a \$14,500 loan principal guarantee from Great Wolf Resorts. This loan has customary financial and operating debt compliance covenants associated with an individual mortgaged property. We were in compliance with all covenants under this loan at September 30, 2012.

In connection with the refinancing of this loan in 2011 and the amendment of this loan in 2012, we were required to provide interest rate protection on a portion of the loan amount through the loan's maturity date. Therefore, we executed interest rate caps that cap the loan at an 8.00% interest rate through December 2016. The interest rate caps were designated as ineffective cash flow hedges. We mark the interest rate caps to market and record the change to interest expense.

First Mortgage Notes In April 2010, we completed, in a private placement followed by a registered exchange offer, an offering of \$230,000 in aggregate principal amount of our 10.875% first mortgage notes (the Notes) due April 2017. The Notes were sold at a discount that provides an effective yield of 11.875% before transaction costs. The proceeds of the Notes were used to retire the outstanding mortgage debt on our Mason, Williamsburg, and Grapevine properties and for general corporate purposes. Prior to the Merger, we were amortizing the discount over the life of the Notes using the straight-line method, which approximated the effective interest method. As part of the acquisition method of accounting done in conjunction with the Merger, the Notes were recorded at fair value.

The Notes are senior obligations of GWR Operating Partnership, L.L.L.P. and Great Wolf Finance Corp (Issuers). The Notes are guaranteed by Great Wolf Resorts and by our subsidiaries that own three of our resorts and those guarantees are secured by first priority mortgages on those three resorts. The Notes are also guaranteed by certain of our other subsidiaries on a senior unsecured basis.

The Notes require that we satisfy certain tests in order to, among other things: (i) incur additional indebtedness; (ii) make distributions from GWR Operating Partnership, L.L.L.P. to Great Wolf Resorts, Inc.; (iii) repurchase the equity interests in GWR Operating Partnership, L.L.L.P. or to prepay the subordinated debt of GWR Operating Partnership, L.L.L.P. or its subsidiaries; (iv) make investments, (v) enter into affiliate transactions, (vi) sell assets other than in the ordinary course of business or (vii) merge. We are currently restricted from these activities with certain carve-outs, as provided in the indenture.

Junior Subordinated Debentures In March 2005 we completed a private offering of \$50,000 of trust preferred securities (TPS) through Great Wolf Capital Trust I (Trust I), a Delaware statutory trust which is our subsidiary. The securities pay holders cumulative cash distributions at an annual rate which is fixed at 7.80% through March 2015 and then floats at LIBOR plus a spread of 310 basis points thereafter. The securities mature in March 2035 and are callable at no premium after March 2010. In addition, we invested \$1,550 in Trust I's common securities, representing 3% of the total capitalization of Trust I.

Trust I used the proceeds of the offering and our investment to purchase from us \$51,550 of junior subordinated debentures with payment terms that mirror the distribution terms of the TPS. The indenture governing the debentures contains limitations on our ability, without the consent of the holders of the debentures, to make payments to our affiliates or for our affiliates to make payments to us if a default exists. The costs of the TPS offering totaled \$1,600, including \$1,500 of underwriting commissions and expenses and \$100 of costs incurred directly by Trust I. Trust I paid these costs utilizing an investment from us. These costs are being amortized over a 30-year period. The proceeds from our debentures sale, net of the costs of the TPS offering and our investment in Trust I, were \$48,400. We used the net proceeds to retire a construction loan.

In June 2007 we completed a private offering of \$28,125 of TPS through Great Wolf Capital Trust III (Trust III), a Delaware statutory trust which is our subsidiary. The securities pay holders cumulative cash distributions at an annual rate which is fixed at 7.90% through July 2012 and then floats at LIBOR plus a spread of 300 basis points thereafter. The securities mature in July 2017 and are callable at no premium after June 2012. In addition, we invested \$870 in the Trust's common securities, representing 3% of the total capitalization of Trust III.

Trust III used the proceeds of the offering and our investment to purchase from us \$28,995 of junior subordinated debentures with payment terms that mirror the distribution terms of the TPS of Trust III securities. The costs of the TPS offering totaled \$932, including \$870 of underwriting commissions and expenses and \$62 of costs incurred directly by Trust III. Trust III paid these costs utilizing an investment from us. The proceeds from these debentures sales, net of the costs of the TPS offering and our investment in Trust III, were \$27,193. We used the net proceeds for development costs.

On March 12, 2012, in a privately-negotiated exchange with the holder of the TPS of Trust III, Great Wolf Capital Trust IV (Trust IV), a newly-formed Delaware statutory trust that is our subsidiary, issued \$28,125 of new TPS in exchange for all \$28,125 of TPS of Trust III. The new TPS pay holders cumulative cash distributions at an annual rate fixed at 7.90% through July 31, 2012 and at a floating annual rate equal to LIBOR plus 550 basis points thereafter (effective rate of 5.95% at September 30, 2012). The new TPS mature on July 31, 2017 and are callable by the issuer at par after July 31, 2012. In conjunction with this transaction, Trust IV issued to us 870 common securities, which are all of the issued and outstanding common securities of Trust IV, with a liquidation amount of \$870. In addition, in conjunction with this transaction, we issued to Trust IV \$28,995 of junior subordinated debentures with payment terms that mirror the distribution terms of the TPS of Trust IV. Following the exchange transaction, the TPS of Trust III and the related junior subordinated debentures were cancelled.

Our consolidated financial statements present the debentures issued to the Trusts as other long-term debt. Our investments in the Trusts are accounted as cost investments and are included in other assets on our consolidated balance sheets. For financial reporting purposes, we record interest expense on the corresponding notes in our condensed consolidated statements of operations.

Future Maturities Future principal requirements on long-term debt are as follows:

| Through | |
|----------------------|-------------------|
| September 30, | |
| 2013 | \$ 4,752 |
| 2014 | 4,972 |
| 2015 | 63,553 |
| 2016 | 3,171 |
| 2017 | 389,897 |
| Thereafter | 51,550 |
| Total | \$ 517,895 |

As discussed above, the Traverse City/Kansas City mortgage loan is classified as a current liability as of September 30, 2012, due to the implementation of a traditional lock-box arrangement, although the loan is not in default and the full principal balance of the loan is not due currently. The future maturities table above reflects future cash principal repayments currently required under the provisions of that loan of \$1,851 in 2013, \$1,981 in 2014, and \$60,467 in 2015.

Short-Term Liquidity Requirements

Our short-term liquidity requirements consist primarily of funds necessary to pay operating expenses for the next 12 months, including:

recurring maintenance, repairs and other operating expenses necessary to properly maintain and operate our resorts;

recurring capital expenditures we make at our resorts;

debt maturities within the next year;

property taxes and insurance expenses;

interest expense and scheduled principal payments on outstanding indebtedness;

general and administrative expenses; and

income taxes.

Historically, we have satisfied our short-term liquidity requirements through a combination of operating cash flows and cash on hand. We believe that cash provided by our operations, together with cash on hand, will be sufficient to fund our short-term liquidity requirements for working capital, capital expenditures and debt service for the next 12 months.

Long-Term Liquidity Requirements

Our long-term liquidity requirements generally consist primarily of funds necessary to pay for the following items for periods beyond the next 12 months:

scheduled debt maturities;

costs associated with the development of new resorts;

renovations, expansions and other non-recurring capital expenditures that need to be made periodically to our resorts; and

capital contributions and loans to unconsolidated joint ventures.

We expect to meet these needs through a combination of:

existing working capital,

cash provided by operations,

proceeds from investing activities, including sales of partial or whole ownership interests in certain of our resorts; and

proceeds from financing activities, including mortgage financing on properties being developed, additional or replacement borrowings under future credit facilities, contributions from joint venture partners, and the issuance of equity instruments, including common stock, or additional or replacement debt, including debt securities, as market conditions permit.

We believe these sources of capital will be sufficient to provide for our long-term capital needs. We cannot be certain, however, that we will have access to additional future financing sufficient to meet our long-term liquidity requirements on terms that are favorable to us, or at all.

Other than debt maturities, our largest long-term expenditures are expected to be for capital expenditures for our existing resorts, capital expenditures for development of future resorts, and capital contributions or loans to joint ventures owning resorts under construction or development. Expenditures related to capital expenditures for our existing resorts were \$8,405 for the nine months ended September 30, 2012.

Off Balance Sheet Arrangements

As of September 30, 2012, we have one unconsolidated joint venture arrangement with The Confederated Tribes of the Chehalis Reservation (the Tribe) with respect to the Great Wolf Lodge resort and conference center on a 39-acre land parcel in Grand Mound, Washington. This joint venture is a limited liability company. We are a member of that limited liability company with a 49% ownership interest. We account for the joint venture using the equity method of accounting. See Note 5 to the unaudited consolidated financial statements of the Company.

Contractual Obligations

The following table summarizes our contractual obligations as of September 30, 2012:

| | Total | Payment Terms | | | |
|--------------------------------------|------------|---------------------|------------|------------|----------------------|
| | | Less Than 1 Year | 1-3 Years | 3-5 Years | More Than 5 Years |
| Debt obligations (1) | \$ 677,124 | \$ 39,931 | \$ 135,399 | \$ 450,244 | \$ 51,550 |
| Operating lease obligations | 4,208 | 1,010 | 1,833 | 1,248 | 117 |
| Reserve on unrecognized tax benefits | 1,268 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,268 |
| Total | \$ 682,600 | \$ 40,941 | \$ 137,232 | \$ 451,492 | \$ 52,935 |

(1) Amounts include interest (for fixed rate debt) and principal.

If we develop future resorts where we are the majority owner, we expect to incur significant additional debt and construction contract obligations.

Working Capital

We had \$35,531 of available cash and cash equivalents and a working capital deficit of \$60,177 (current assets less current liabilities) at September 30, 2012, compared to the \$33,767 of available cash and cash equivalents and a working capital deficit of \$63,403 at December 31, 2011. The primary reasons for the working capital deficit as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 are:

An increase accrued interest payable related our first mortgage notes that closed in April 2010, and

The classification of our Traverse City/Kansas City mortgage loan (principal balance of \$64,299 as of September 30, 2012) as a current liability due to the lender's implementation of the traditional lock-box arrangement for the two properties. Although the entire principal balance of the loan is classified as a current liability as of September 30, 2012, the loan is not in default, and the principal balance is not currently due.

Cash Flows

Nine months ended September 30, 2012, compared with the nine months ended September 30, 2011

| | Successor/ Predecessor 2012 | Predecessor 2011 | Increase/ (Decrease) |
|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|
| Net cash provided by operating activities | \$ 18,122 | \$ 27,269 | \$ (9,147) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (12,472) | (4,937) | (7,535) |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (3,886) | (24,788) | 20,902 |

Operating Activities. The decrease in net cash provided by operating activities resulted primarily from an increase in net loss, which is partially offset by an increase in accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2011.

Investing Activities. The increase in net cash used in investing activities for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2011, resulted primarily from the proceeds received from our sale of our Sheboygan resort during the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and an increase in restricted cash due to escrow activity, in the nine months ended September 30, 2012 as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2011.

Financing Activities. The decrease in net cash used in financing activities resulted primarily from a decrease in principal payments on long term debt as it relates to our Concord loan during the nine months ended September 30, 2011 as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2012. This decrease is also due to us receiving a capital contribution in connection with the Merger during the nine months ended September 30, 2012. No similar capital contribution received during the nine months ended September 30, 2011.

Inflation

Our resort properties are able to change room and amenity rates on a daily basis, so the impact of higher inflation can often be passed along to customers. However, a weak economic environment that decreases overall demand for our products and services could restrict our ability to raise room and amenity rates to offset rising costs.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Our future income, cash flows and fair values relevant to financial instruments are dependent, in part, upon prevailing market interest rates. Market risk refers to the risk of loss from adverse changes in market prices and interest rates. Our earnings are also affected by the changes in interest rates due to the impact those changes have on our interest income from cash and our interest expense from variable-rate debt instruments. We may use derivative financial instruments to manage or hedge interest rate risks related to our borrowings. We do not intend to use derivatives for trading or speculative purposes. All dollar amounts are in thousands.

As of September 30, 2012, the total carrying value of our indebtedness was \$527,801, of which \$517,895 is due to third parties. The carrying amounts as of September 30, 2012, include net fair value adjustments recorded as part of purchase accounting that increased the aggregate carrying value of debt as of the Merger date. We are amortizing these adjustments as offsets to interest expense over the life of each loan, using the effective interest rate method. Our indebtedness consisted of:

\$62,484 of fixed rate debt secured by two of our resorts, of which \$64,299 is due to a third party. This debt bears interest at a face rate of 6.96%. This loan matures in January 2015.

\$93,540 of fixed rate debt secured by one of our resorts, of which \$92,039 is due to a third party. This debt bears interest at a face rate of 6.10%. This loan matures in January 2017.

\$51,206 of variable rate debt secured by one of our resorts, of which \$51,012 is due to a third party. This debt bears interest at a floating annual rate of LIBOR plus a spread of 500 basis points, with a minimum face rate of 6.00% per annum. The

Edgar Filing: Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. - Form 10-Q

effective face rate was 6.00% at September 30, 2012. This loan matures in December 2016.

\$259,678 of Notes that are secured by first priority liens on three of our resorts, of which \$230,000 is due to third parties. The Notes bear interest at a face rate of 10.875%. The Notes are due April 2017.

\$35,504 of subordinated notes, of which \$51,550 is due to third parties. The notes bear interest at a fixed face rate of 7.80% through March 2015 and then at a floating rate of LIBOR plus 310 basis points thereafter. The securities mature in March 2035.

\$25,389 of subordinated notes, of which \$28,995 is due to third parties. The notes bear interest at a fixed face rate of 7.90% through July 2012 and then at a floating rate of LIBOR plus 550 basis points thereafter. The effective rate was 5.95% at September 30, 2012. The securities mature in July 2017.

As of September 30, 2012, we estimate the total fair value of the indebtedness described above to be approximately equal to their total carrying values.

If LIBOR were to increase by 1% or 100 basis points, the increase in interest expense on our variable rate debt would decrease future earnings and cash flows by approximately \$290 annually, based on our debt balances outstanding and current interest rates in effect as of September 30, 2012. If LIBOR were to decrease by 1% or 100 basis points, the decrease in interest expense on our variable rate debt would be approximately \$290 annually, based on our debt balances outstanding as of March 31, 2009.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, there were no other material changes in our market risk exposure. For a complete discussion of our market risk associated with interest rate risk as of September 30, 2012, see Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures designed to provide reasonable assurance that information in our reports under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act) is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified pursuant to the SEC's rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act, include controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports we file or submit under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable, and not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the system are met.

We carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our management including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the third quarter of 2012. We have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of September 30, 2012.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

During the period covered by this quarterly report on Form 10-Q, there have been no changes to our internal control over financial reporting that are reasonably likely to materially affect our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

On March 12, 2012, we entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger (as amended, the Merger Agreement) with K-9 Holdings, Inc., a Delaware corporation (K-9), and K-9 Acquisition, Inc., a Delaware corporation (Merger Sub), a direct wholly-owned subsidiary of K-9. Pursuant to the terms of the Merger Agreement, among other things, K-9 and Merger Sub agreed to make a tender offer (the Offer) for all of the outstanding shares (each, a Share) of common stock (including restricted shares), par value \$0.01 per share, of the Company (the Common Stock) at a price of \$7.85 per share, net to the seller in cash, without interest (the Offer Price). Approximately 76% of the outstanding Shares were tendered in the Offer and the Company accepted all such tendered Shares for payment.

Following the expiration of the Offer, on May 4, 2012, Merger Sub exercised its option under the Merger Agreement to purchase a number of shares of Common Stock necessary for Merger Sub to own one share more than 90% of the outstanding Shares of Common Stock (the "Top-Up Shares") at the Offer Price.

On May 4, 2012, following Merger Sub's purchase of the Top-Up Shares, K-9 completed its acquisition of the Company through the merger of Merger Sub with and into the Company, with the Company continuing as the surviving corporation in the merger and becoming a direct wholly-owned subsidiary of K-9 (the "Merger").

On and after March 14, 2012, the Company and certain of its current and former officers and directors and, in some cases, some or all of K-9 Investors, L.P., Apollo Management VII, L.P., Apollo Global Management, LLC and K-9 and Merger Sub were named as defendants in five class action lawsuits filed in the Delaware Court of Chancery which were ultimately consolidated into a single class action (the "Delaware Action"). In the Delaware Action, the plaintiff, on behalf of a putative class of stockholders, sought to enjoin the proposed transaction that was the subject of the Merger Agreement. Other lawsuits were filed in Wisconsin state and federal court—two in the Circuit Court, Civil Division for Dane County, one of which was dismissed by the plaintiff prior to settlement (the surviving action, "Wisconsin State Court Action"), and one in the United States District Court for the Western District of Wisconsin (the "Wisconsin Federal Court Action").

On April 25, 2012, the parties to the Delaware Action and the Wisconsin State Court Action reached an agreement in principle to settle those cases. The proposed settlement, which is subject to court approval, provides for, among other things, the dismissal with prejudice of plaintiffs' complaints and of all claims asserted therein, that all parties would grant all applicable releases of claims against all other parties, and that the parties to the Delaware Action and the Wisconsin State Court Action have acknowledged that the plaintiffs and their counsel in those cases would petition the appropriate court or courts for an award of attorneys' fees and expenses in connection with the cases. Any award of fees and expenses to plaintiffs' counsel is subject to approval by the appropriate court or courts. Pursuant to an order from the Delaware Court of Chancery, notice to the class was mailed on October 19, 2012.

On April 30, 2012, the parties to the Wisconsin Federal Court Action agreed to settle that case, subject to court approval of the proposed class-wide settlement in the Delaware Action and entry of a final order dismissing the Delaware Action in its entirety. Pursuant to their agreement, the parties to the Wisconsin Federal Court Action filed with the court, on April 30, 2012, a stipulation providing that the Action be voluntarily dismissed with respect to all defendants and that such dismissal will be with prejudice as to the plaintiff upon the consummation of the settlement of the Delaware Action.

The Company, the members of the Board of Directors, Apollo Management VII, L.P., Apollo Global Management, LLC, K-9 and Merger Sub each have denied, and continue to deny, that they committed or attempted to commit any violation of law or breach of fiduciary duty owed to the Company and/or its stockholders, aided or abetted any breach of fiduciary duty, or otherwise engaged in any of the wrongful acts alleged in all of these cases. All of the defendants expressly maintain that they complied with their fiduciary and other legal duties. However, in order to avoid the costs, disruption and distraction of further litigation, and without admitting the validity of any allegation made in the actions or any liability with respect thereto, the defendants have concluded that it is desirable to settle the claims against them on the terms reflected in the proposed settlements.

The proposed settlements are not final, and no fee petition has yet been approved.

We are involved in litigation from time to time in the ordinary course of our business. We do not believe that the outcome of any pending or threatened litigation will have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations. However, as is inherent in legal proceedings where issues may be decided by finders of fact, there is a risk that unpredictable decisions, materially adverse to the Company, could occur.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

In addition to the other information set forth in this report, you should carefully consider the factors discussed in Part I, "Item 1A. Risk Factors" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011, and subsequent Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, which could materially affect our business, financial condition or future results. The risks described in our Annual Report on Form 10-K, and subsequent Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, are not the only risks facing us. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently deem to be immaterial also may materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and/or operating results.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

On May 4, 2012, effective upon consummation of the Merger, the 200 shares of common stock of the Merger Sub were converted into 200 shares of common stock of the Company as the surviving corporation in the Merger. The transaction was exempt from registration as a private offering under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. We did not make any repurchases of our equity securities during the three months ended September 30, 2012.

ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

We were not in default of our obligations upon any senior securities during the applicable period.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not applicable

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION

None

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

The exhibits listed below are included as exhibits in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

| Exhibit Number | Description |
|----------------|---|
| 2.1* | Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of March 12, 2012, by and among K-9 Acquisition, Inc., K-9 Holdings, Inc. and Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 13, 2012), as amended by the First Amendment to Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of April 6, 2012, by and among K-9 Acquisition, Inc., K-9 Holdings, Inc. and Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 9, 2012), as further amended by the Second Amendment to Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of April 18, 2012, by and among K-9 Acquisition, Inc., K-9 Holdings, Inc. and Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 18, 2012), and as further amended by the Third Amendment to Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of April 20, 2012, by and among K-9 Acquisition, Inc., K-9 Holdings, Inc. and Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 20, 2012). |
| 3.2 | Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed May 4, 2012). |
| 3.3 | Amended and Restated Bylaws of Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed May 4, 2012). |
| 31.1** | Certification of Chief Executive Officer of Periodic Report Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and Rule 15d-14(a). |
| 31.2** | Certification of Chief Financial Officer of Periodic Report Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and Rule 15d-14(a). |
| 32.1*** | Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350. |
| 32.2*** | Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350. |
| 101.INS** | XBRL Instance Document. |
| 101.SCH** | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document. |
| 101.CAL** | XBRL Taxonomy Calculation Linkbase Document. |
| 101.LAB** | XBRL Taxonomy Label Linkbase Document. |
| 101.PRE** | XBRL Taxonomy Presentation Linkbase Document. |

Edgar Filing: Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. - Form 10-Q

- * All schedules to the Merger Agreement have been omitted pursuant to Item 601(b)(2) of Regulation S-K. The Company hereby agrees to furnish supplementally a copy of any omitted schedule to the SEC.
- ** Filed herewith.
- *** Furnished herewith.

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

GREAT WOLF RESORTS, INC.

/s/ James A. Calder
James A. Calder
Chief Financial Officer

(Duly authorized officer)

(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

Dated: November 9, 2012