

WILLIAMS SONOMA INC
Form 10-K
April 01, 2010
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

(Mark One):

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended January 31, 2010.

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number 001-14077

WILLIAMS-SONOMA, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

California
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)
3250 Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, CA
(Address of principal executive offices)

94-2203880
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)
94109
(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (415) 421-7900

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Edgar Filing: WILLIAMS SONOMA INC - Form 10-K

Common Stock, \$.01 par value
(Title of class)

New York Stock Exchange, Inc.
(Name of each exchange on which registered)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes No

As of August 2, 2009, the approximate aggregate market value of the registrant's common stock held by non-affiliates was \$1,443,815,000. It is assumed for purposes of this computation that an affiliate includes all persons as of August 2, 2009 listed as executive officers and directors with the Securities and Exchange Commission. This aggregate market value includes all shares held in the registrant's Williams-Sonoma, Inc. Stock Fund.

As of March 29, 2010, 107,452,150 shares of the registrant's common stock were outstanding.

Table of Contents

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of our definitive Proxy Statement for the 2010 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, also referred to in this Annual Report on Form 10-K as our Proxy Statement, which will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC, have been incorporated in Part III hereof, and portions of our 2009 Annual Report to shareholders have been incorporated in Part II hereof.

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Annual Report on Form 10-K and the letter to shareholders contained in this Annual Report contain forward-looking statements within the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 that involve risks and uncertainties, as well as assumptions that, if they do not fully materialize or prove incorrect, could cause our business and operating results to differ materially from those expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. Such forward-looking statements include, without limitation: any projections of earnings, revenues or financial items, including future comparable store sales, projected capital expenditures, the impact of new accounting pronouncements, and our quarterly and fiscal 2010 tax rates; statements related to enhancing shareholder value; statements related to our beliefs about our competitive position; statements related to the plans, strategies, initiatives and objectives of management for future operations, including our key initiatives in fiscal 2010; statements related to our intent to market certain Williams-Sonoma Home merchandising categories through the Williams-Sonoma brand; statements related to the timing of store openings in the Middle East; statements related to decreasing retail leased square footage in fiscal 2010; statements related to the Pottery Barn brand and its extensions continuing to be the major source of revenue in the direct-to-customer segment; statements related to our belief that our available cash, cash equivalents and cash flow from operations will be sufficient to finance our operations and expected capital requirements for at least the next 12 months; statements related to the payment of dividends; statements related to our plans to occupy a new distribution center in South Brunswick, New Jersey; statements related to our belief that our facilities are adequate for our current needs and that suitable additional or substitute space will be available, if necessary; statements related to our belief that the ultimate resolution of current legal proceedings will not have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial statements taken as a whole; statements related to our belief that the franchise model provides significant opportunity to expand the reach of our brands outside of North America; statements related to continuing to capitalize on the benefits of our 2009 initiatives; statements related to capturing market share; statements related to increasing our investment in e-commerce; statements related to our competitive advantages in this reset economy; statements related to our focus on expanding and completing the rollout of our clienteling services programs; statements related to continuing to refine our catalog circulation; statements related to continuing to identify new opportunities to build brand awareness and customer engagement; statements related to continuing to capitalize on the benefits from the supply chain efficiencies initiatives we rolled out in fiscal 2009; statements related to continuing to expand the insourcing of our third-party furniture delivery hubs; statements related to reengineering in-bound packaging; statements related to implementing the first phase of our east coast distribution center consolidation; statements related to driving increased sales and improving selling margins, rationalizing our real estate portfolio and reducing the operating losses in Williams-Sonoma Home; statements related to managing inventory and capital spending; statements related to remaining in compliance with our bank covenants; statements related to our investments in the purchase of property and equipment, the construction of new stores and remodeled or expanded stores, and distribution center and other infrastructure projects; statements related to our plans to enter into foreign currency contracts; statements related to indemnifications under our agreements; and statements of belief and statements of assumptions underlying any of the foregoing. You can identify these and other forward-looking statements by the use of words such as will, may, should, expects, plans, anticipates, believes, estimates, predicts, intends, potential, continue, or the negative of such terms, or other comparable terminology.

The risks, uncertainties and assumptions referred to above that could cause our results to differ materially from the results expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, those discussed under the heading Risk Factors in Item 1A hereto and the risks, uncertainties and assumptions discussed from time to time in our other public filings and public announcements. All forward-looking statements included in this document are based on information available to us as of the date hereof, and we assume no obligation to update these forward-looking statements.

Table of Contents

WILLIAMS-SONOMA, INC.

ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K

FISCAL YEAR ENDED JANUARY 31, 2010

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
<u>PART I</u>	
Item 1. <u>Business</u>	3
Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u>	6
Item 1B. <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	18
Item 2. <u>Properties</u>	18
Item 3. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	19
Item 4. <u>Reserved</u>	19
<u>PART II</u>	
Item 5. <u>Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	20
Item 6. <u>Selected Financial Data</u>	23
Item 7. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	24
Item 7A. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	40
Item 8. <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	41
Item 9. <u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	65
Item 9A. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	65
Item 9B. <u>Other Information</u>	66
<u>PART III</u>	
Item 10. <u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>	67
Item 11. <u>Executive Compensation</u>	67
Item 12. <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	67
Item 13. <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Director Independence</u>	67
Item 14. <u>Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u>	67
<u>PART IV</u>	
Item 15. <u>Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u>	68

Table of Contents

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

OVERVIEW

We are a specialty retailer of products for the home. The retail segment of our business sells our products through our five retail store concepts (Williams-Sonoma, Pottery Barn, Pottery Barn Kids, West Elm and Williams-Sonoma Home). The direct-to-customer segment of our business sells similar products through our seven direct-mail catalogs (Williams-Sonoma, Pottery Barn, Pottery Barn Kids, Pottery Barn Bed and Bath, PBteen, West Elm and Williams-Sonoma Home) and six e-commerce websites (williams-sonoma.com, potterybarn.com, potterybarnkids.com, pbteen.com, westelm.com and wshome.com). The catalogs reach customers throughout the U.S., while the five retail concepts, as of January 31, 2010, operated 610 stores in 44 states, Washington, D.C., Canada and Puerto Rico.

Based on net revenues for the 52-weeks ended January 31, 2010 (fiscal 2009), retail net revenues accounted for 60.5% of our business and direct-to-customer net revenues accounted for 39.5% of our business. Based on their contribution to our net revenues in fiscal 2009, the core brands in both the retail and direct-to-customer channels are: Pottery Barn, which sells casual home furnishings; Williams-Sonoma, which sells cooking and entertaining essentials; and Pottery Barn Kids, which sells stylish children s furnishings.

Williams-Sonoma

We were founded in 1956 by Charles E. Williams, currently a Director Emeritus, with the opening of our first store in Sonoma, California. Today, our Williams-Sonoma stores offer a wide selection of culinary and serving equipment, including cookware, cookbooks, cutlery, informal dinnerware, glassware, table linens, specialty foods and cooking ingredients. Our direct-to-customer business began in 1972 when we introduced our flagship catalog, *A Catalog for Cooks*, which marketed the Williams-Sonoma brand. The stores continued to grow throughout the years and in 1999, we launched both our Williams-Sonoma e-commerce website and our Williams-Sonoma bridal and gift registry.

Pottery Barn

In 1986, we acquired Pottery Barn, a retailer of casual home furnishings and, in 1987, we launched the first Pottery Barn catalog. Pottery Barn features a large assortment of home furnishings and furniture that we design internally and source from around the world to create a classic american look in the home. In 2000, we introduced our Pottery Barn e-commerce website and created Pottery Barn Bed and Bath, a catalog dedicated to bed and bath products. Additionally, in 2001 we launched our Pottery Barn gift and bridal registry.

Pottery Barn Kids

In 1999, Pottery Barn Kids, a premier retailer offering children s furnishings and accessories, began with the introduction of the Pottery Barn Kids catalog. In 2000, we opened our first Pottery Barn Kids stores across the U.S. and, in 2001, we launched our Pottery Barn Kids e-commerce website and gift registry.

West Elm

In 2002, the West Elm brand was launched with the mailing of our first West Elm catalog. This brand targets design conscious consumers looking for a modern aesthetic to furnish and accessorize their living spaces with quality products at accessible price points. West Elm offers a broad range of home furnishing categories including furniture, textiles, decorative accessories, lighting and tabletop items. In 2003, we launched our West Elm e-commerce website and opened our first West Elm retail store.

PBteen

The PBteen brand began with the introduction of the PBteen catalog in 2003. PBteen offers exclusive collections of home furnishings and decorative accessories that are specifically designed to reflect the personalities of the teenage market. In late 2003, we launched our PBteen e-commerce website.

Table of Contents

Williams-Sonoma Home

In 2004, the Williams-Sonoma Home brand was started with the introduction of the Williams-Sonoma Home catalog. This premium brand, offering classic home furnishings and decorative accessories, extends the Williams-Sonoma lifestyle beyond the kitchen into every room of the home. In 2005, we opened our first three Williams-Sonoma Home stores and, in 2006, we launched our Williams-Sonoma Home e-commerce website.

In fiscal 2009, however, after another difficult year and an extensive review of our strategic alternatives, we concluded that the future potential of this brand is limited. As such, we are working on a plan to restructure the unprofitable segments of the business, including the operations of our 11 retail stores. As part of this restructuring, it is our intent to market those merchandising categories that support our bridal registry, expanded flagship and designer assortments through the Williams-Sonoma kitchen brand. These categories will be available both on-line and in select Williams-Sonoma stores.

International

In 2001, we expanded the geographic impact of our brands by opening five new retail stores in Toronto, Canada and, as of January 31, 2010, we now operate 17 stores across Canada representing all of our retail brands except Williams-Sonoma Home. In 2008, we further increased our presence by opening two new retail stores in Puerto Rico, one Pottery Barn and one West Elm store.

In addition, during fiscal 2009, we entered into a multi-year franchise agreement with the M.H. Alshaya Company to launch our portfolio of brands in the Middle East. We opened our first Pottery Barn and Pottery Barn Kids stores in March 2010, and will open an additional two stores in the same brands during the second quarter of fiscal 2010.

RETAIL STORES

The retail segment has five merchandising concepts (Williams-Sonoma, Pottery Barn, Pottery Barn Kids, West Elm and Williams-Sonoma Home). As of January 31, 2010, we operated 610 retail stores, located in 44 states, Washington, D.C., Canada and Puerto Rico. This represents 259 Williams-Sonoma, 199 Pottery Barn, 87 Pottery Barn Kids, 36 West Elm, 11 Williams-Sonoma Home and 18 Outlet stores (which carry merchandise from all merchandising concepts).

In fiscal 2010, we expect to decrease retail leased square footage by approximately 1% to 2% through the closure of 17 stores, including the permanent closure of 14 stores (5 Pottery Barn, 4 Williams-Sonoma, 3 West Elm, 1 Pottery Barn Kids and 1 Outlet) and the temporary closure of 3 stores (1 Pottery Barn, 1 Williams-Sonoma and 1 Outlet), partially offset by the addition of 10 stores, including 4 new stores (2 West Elm, 1 Williams-Sonoma and 1 Outlet) and 6 remodeled or expanded stores (3 Pottery Barn, 2 Williams-Sonoma and 1 Outlet). The average leased square footage for new and expanded stores in fiscal 2010 will be approximately 18,200 leased square feet for West Elm, 15,300 leased square feet for Pottery Barn, 6,500 leased square feet for Williams-Sonoma and 22,400 leased square feet for Outlet.

The retail business complements the direct-to-customer business by building brand awareness. Our retail stores serve as billboards for our brands, which we believe inspires confidence in our customers to shop via our direct-to-customer channels.

Detailed financial information about the retail segment is found in Note O to our Consolidated Financial Statements.

DIRECT-TO-CUSTOMER OPERATIONS

The direct-to-customer segment has six merchandising concepts (Williams-Sonoma, Pottery Barn, Pottery Barn Kids, PBteen, West Elm and Williams-Sonoma Home) and sells products through our seven direct-mail catalogs (Williams-Sonoma, Pottery Barn, Pottery Barn Kids, Pottery Barn Bed and Bath, PBteen, West Elm and Williams-Sonoma Home) and six e-commerce websites (williams-sonoma.com, potterybarn.com, potterybarnkids.com, pbteen.com, westelm.com and wshome.com). Of these six merchandising concepts, the Pottery Barn brand and its extensions continue to be the major source of revenue in the direct-to-customer segment.

Table of Contents

The direct-to-customer business complements the retail business by building brand awareness and acting as an effective advertising vehicle. In addition, we believe that our direct-mail catalogs and the Internet act as a cost-efficient means of testing market acceptance of new products and new brands.

The direct-to-customer channel over the past several years has been strengthened by the introduction of e-commerce websites in all of our brands and has contributed to the shift we are continuing to see in the purchasing behavior of our customers across all channels. As a result, our marketing efforts, including the circulation of catalogs and the use of e-commerce advertising are targeted toward driving sales to all of our channels, including retail. While we know this shift in behavior is continuing to occur, the quantification of the sales driven to each channel by our marketing efforts has become increasingly difficult to determine and analyze. Therefore, our estimate of advertising costs by segment are currently based on historical allocation methodologies, which may be required to be refined as additional information becomes available.

Consistent with our published privacy policies, we send our catalogs to addresses from our proprietary customer list, as well as to addresses from lists of other mail order merchandisers, magazines and companies with whom we establish a business relationship. In accordance with prevailing industry practice and our privacy policies, we primarily rent our list to select merchandisers. Our customer mailings are continually updated to include new prospects and to eliminate non-responders. In addition, we send electronic direct marketing communications only to those customers who have voluntarily provided us with their e-mail addresses. These e-mail addresses are not shared with any third parties.

Detailed financial information about the direct-to-customer segment is found in Note O to our Consolidated Financial Statements.

SUPPLIERS

We purchase our merchandise from numerous foreign and domestic manufacturers and importers, the largest of which accounted for approximately 5.0% of our purchases during fiscal 2009. Approximately 59% of our merchandise purchases in fiscal 2009 were foreign-sourced from vendors in 40 countries, predominantly from Asia, of which approximately 96% were negotiated and paid for in U.S. dollars.

COMPETITION AND SEASONALITY

The specialty retail business is highly competitive. Our specialty retail stores, mail order catalogs and e-commerce websites compete with other retail stores, including large department stores, discount retailers, other specialty retailers offering home-centered assortments, other mail order catalogs and other e-commerce websites. The substantial sales growth in the direct-to-customer industry within the last decade, particularly in e-commerce, has encouraged the entry of many new competitors and an increase in competition from established companies. In addition, the recent decline in the economic environment has generated increased competition from discount retailers which, in the past, may not have competed with us or to this degree. We compete on the basis of our brand authority, the quality of our merchandise, service to our customers, our proprietary customer list, our e-commerce websites and our Internet marketing capabilities, as well as the location and appearance of our stores. We believe that we compare favorably with many of our current competitors with respect to some or all of these factors.

Our business is subject to substantial seasonal variations in demand. Historically, a significant portion of our revenues and net earnings have been realized during the period from October through December, and levels of net revenues and net earnings have generally been lower during the period from January through September. We believe this is the general pattern associated with the retail and direct-to-customer industries. In anticipation of our peak season, we hire a substantial number of additional temporary employees in our retail stores, customer care centers and distribution centers, and incur significant fixed catalog production and mailing costs.

TRADEMARKS, COPYRIGHTS, PATENTS AND DOMAIN NAMES

We own and/or have applied to register over 50 separate trademarks and service marks. We own and/or have applied to register our core marks in the U.S., Canada and approximately 40 additional jurisdictions. Exclusive rights to the trademarks and service marks are held by Williams-Sonoma, Inc. and are used by our subsidiaries

Table of Contents

under license. These marks include the core brand names or house marks for our subsidiaries, as well as brand names for selected products and services. The house marks in particular, including Williams-Sonoma, the Williams-Sonoma Grande Cuisine logo, Pottery Barn, pottery barn kids, PBteen, west elm and Williams-Sonoma Home are of material importance to us. Trademarks are generally valid as long as they are in use and/or their registrations are properly maintained, and they have not been found to have become generic. Trademark registrations can generally be renewed indefinitely so long as the marks are in use. We own numerous copyrights and trade dress rights for our products, product packaging, catalogs, books, house publications, website designs and store designs, among other things, which are also used by our subsidiaries under license. We hold patents on certain product functions and product designs. Patents are generally valid for 20 years as long as their registrations are properly maintained. In addition, we have registered and maintain numerous Internet domain names, including williams-sonoma.com, potterybarn.com, potterybarnkids.com, pbteen.com, westelm.com, wshome.com, and williams-sonomainc.com. Collectively, the trademarks, copyrights, trade dress rights and domain names that we hold are of material importance to us.

EMPLOYEES

As of January 31, 2010, we had approximately 26,000 employees of whom approximately 6,100 were full-time. During the fiscal 2009 peak season (defined as the period from October through December), we hired approximately 11,900 temporary employees primarily in our retail stores, customer care centers and distribution centers.

AVAILABLE INFORMATION

We file annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, proxy and information statements and amendments to reports filed or furnished pursuant to Sections 13(a), 14 and 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. The public may read and copy these materials at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20549. The public may obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. The SEC also maintains a website at www.sec.gov that contains reports, proxy and information statements and other information regarding Williams-Sonoma, Inc. and other companies that file materials with the SEC electronically. Our annual reports, Forms 10-K, Forms 10-Q, Forms 8-K and proxy and information statements are also available, free of charge, on our website at www.williams-sonomainc.com.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

A description of the risks and uncertainties associated with our business is set forth below. You should carefully consider such risks and uncertainties, together with the other information contained in this report and in our other public filings. If any of such risks and uncertainties actually occurs, our business, financial condition or operating results could differ materially from the plans, projections and other forward-looking statements included in the section titled Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and elsewhere in this report and in our other public filings. In addition, if any of the following risks and uncertainties, or if any other risks and uncertainties, actually occurs, our business, financial condition or operating results could be harmed substantially, which could cause the market price of our stock to decline, perhaps significantly.

The changes in general economic conditions over the past few years, and the resulting impact on consumer confidence and consumer spending, could continue to adversely impact our results of operations.

Our financial performance is subject to changes in general economic conditions and the impact of such economic conditions on levels of consumer confidence and consumer spending. Over the past few years, consumer confidence and consumer spending have deteriorated significantly, and could remain depressed for an extended period of time. Consumer purchases of discretionary items, including our merchandise, generally decline during periods where disposable income is adversely affected, unemployment rates increase or there is economic uncertainty. The current economic environment could cause our vendors to go out of business or our banks to discontinue lending us or our vendors money, or it could cause us to undergo additional restructurings, any of which would adversely impact our business and operating results.

Table of Contents

We are unable to control many of the factors affecting consumer spending, and declines in consumer spending on home furnishings in general could reduce demand for our products.

Our business depends on consumer demand for our products and, consequently, is sensitive to a number of factors that influence consumer spending, including general economic conditions, disposable consumer income, fuel prices, recession and fears of recession, unemployment, war and fears of war, inclement weather, availability of consumer credit, consumer debt levels, conditions in the housing market, interest rates, sales tax rates and rate increases, inflation, consumer confidence in future economic conditions and political conditions, and consumer perceptions of personal well-being and security. In particular, the current economic downturn has led to decreased discretionary spending, which has adversely impacted our business. In addition, a decrease in home purchases has led and may continue to lead to significantly decreased consumer spending on home products. These factors have affected our various brands and channels differently. Adverse changes in factors affecting discretionary consumer spending have reduced and may continue to further reduce consumer demand for our products, thus reducing our sales and harming our business and operating results.

If we are unable to identify and analyze factors affecting our business, anticipate changing consumer preferences and buying trends, and manage our inventory commensurate with customer demand, our sales levels and profit margin may decline.

Our success depends, in large part, upon our ability to identify and analyze factors affecting our business and to anticipate and respond in a timely manner to changing merchandise trends and customer demands. For example, in the specialty home products business, style and color trends are constantly evolving. Consumer preferences cannot be predicted with certainty and may change between selling seasons. Changes in customer preferences and buying trends may also affect our brands differently. We must be able to stay current with preferences and trends in our brands and address the customer tastes for each of our target customer demographics. We must also be able to identify and adjust the customer offerings in our brands to cater to customer demands. For example, a change in customer preferences for children's room furnishings may not correlate to a similar change in buying trends for other home furnishings. If we misjudge either the market for our merchandise or our customers' purchasing habits, our sales may decline significantly, and we may be required to mark down certain products to sell the resulting excess inventory or to sell such inventory through our outlet stores or other liquidation channels at prices which are significantly lower than our retail prices, either of which would negatively impact our business and operating results.

In addition, we must manage our inventory effectively and commensurate with customer demand. Much of our inventory is sourced from vendors located outside the United States. Thus, we usually must order merchandise, and enter into contracts for the purchase and manufacture of such merchandise, up to twelve months in advance of the applicable selling season and frequently before trends are known. The extended lead times for many of our purchases may make it difficult for us to respond rapidly to new or changing trends. Our vendors also may not have the capacity to handle our demands or may go out of business in times of economic crisis. In addition, the seasonal nature of the specialty home products business requires us to carry a significant amount of inventory prior to peak selling season. As a result, we are vulnerable to demand and pricing shifts and to misjudgments in the selection and timing of merchandise purchases. If we do not accurately predict our customers' preferences and acceptance levels of our products, our inventory levels will not be appropriate, and our business and operating results may be negatively impacted.

Our sales may be negatively impacted by increasing competition from companies with brands or products similar to ours.

The specialty retail and direct-to-customer business is highly competitive. Our specialty retail stores, direct mail catalogs and e-commerce websites compete with other retail stores, other direct mail catalogs and other e-commerce websites that market lines of merchandise similar to ours. We compete with national, regional and local businesses utilizing a similar retail store strategy, as well as traditional furniture stores, department stores and specialty stores. The substantial sales growth in the direct-to-customer industry within the last decade has

Table of Contents

encouraged the entry of many new competitors and an increase in competition from established companies. In addition, the decline in the global economic environment has led to increased competition from discount retailers selling similar products at reduced prices. The competitive challenges facing us include:

- anticipating and quickly responding to changing consumer demands or preferences better than our competitors;
- maintaining favorable brand recognition and achieving customer perception of value;
- effectively marketing and competitively pricing our products to consumers in several diverse market segments;
- developing innovative, high-quality products in colors and styles that appeal to consumers of varying age groups and tastes, and in ways that favorably distinguish us from our competitors; and
- effectively managing our supply chain and distribution strategies in order to provide our products to our consumers on a timely basis and minimize returns, replacements, and damaged products.

In light of the many competitive challenges facing us, we may not be able to compete successfully. Increased competition could reduce our sales and harm our operating results and business.

We depend on key domestic and foreign agents and vendors for timely and effective sourcing of our merchandise, and we may not be able to acquire products in sufficient quantities and at acceptable prices to meet our needs, which would impact our operations and financial results.

Our performance depends, in part, on our ability to purchase our merchandise in sufficient quantities at competitive prices. We purchase our merchandise from numerous foreign and domestic manufacturers and importers. We have no contractual assurances of continued supply, pricing or access to new products, and any vendor could change the terms upon which it sells to us, discontinue selling to us, or go out of business at any time. We may not be able to acquire desired merchandise in sufficient quantities on terms acceptable to us in the future. Better than expected sales demand may also lead to customer backorders and lower in-stock positions of our merchandise.

Any inability to acquire suitable merchandise on acceptable terms or the loss of one or more of our key agents or vendors could have a negative effect on our business and operating results because we would be missing products that we felt were important to our assortment, unless and until alternative supply arrangements are secured. We may not be able to develop relationships with new agents or vendors, and products from alternative sources, if any, may be of a lesser quality and/or more expensive than those we currently purchase.

In addition, we are subject to certain risks, including risks related to the availability of raw materials, labor disputes, union organizing activities, vendor financial liquidity, inclement weather, natural disasters, general economic and political conditions and regulations to address climate change that could limit our vendors' ability to provide us with quality merchandise on a timely basis and at prices that are commercially acceptable. For these or other reasons, one or more of our vendors might not adhere to our quality control standards, and we might not identify the deficiency before merchandise ships to our stores or customers. In addition, our vendors may have difficulty adjusting to our changing demands and growing business. Our vendors' failure to manufacture or import quality merchandise in a timely and effective manner could damage our reputation and brands, and could lead to an increase in customer litigation against us and an attendant increase in our routine litigation costs. Further, any merchandise that we receive, even if it meets our quality standards, could become subject to a recall, which could damage our reputation and brands, and harm our business. Recently enacted legislation has given the U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission increased regulatory and enforcement power, particularly with regard to children's safety. As a result, companies like ours are recalling more products and incurring recall-related expenses.

Our dependence on foreign vendors and our increased overseas operations subject us to a variety of risks and uncertainties that could impact our operations and financial results.

In fiscal 2009, we sourced our products from vendors in 40 countries outside of the United States. Approximately 59% of our merchandise purchases were foreign-sourced, predominantly from Asia. Our dependence on foreign

Table of Contents

vendors means that we may be affected by changes in the value of the U.S. dollar relative to other foreign currencies. For example, any upward valuation in the Chinese yuan, the euro, or any other foreign currency against the U.S. dollar may result in higher costs to us for those goods. Although approximately 96% of our foreign purchases of merchandise are negotiated and paid for in U.S. dollars, declines in foreign currencies and currency exchange rates might negatively affect the profitability and business prospects of one or more of our foreign vendors. This, in turn, might cause such foreign vendors to demand higher prices for merchandise in their effort to offset any lost profits associated with any currency devaluation, delay merchandise shipments to us, or discontinue selling to us, any of which could ultimately reduce our sales or increase our costs. In addition, an increase in the cost of living in the foreign countries in which our vendors operate may result in an increase in our costs or in our vendors going out of business.

We are also subject to other risks and uncertainties associated with changing economic and political conditions in foreign countries. These risks and uncertainties include import duties and quotas, compliance with anti-dumping regulations, work stoppages, economic uncertainties and adverse economic conditions (including inflation and recession), foreign government regulations, employment matters, wars and fears of war, political unrest, natural disasters, regulations to address climate change and other trade restrictions. We cannot predict whether any of the countries in which our products are currently manufactured or may be manufactured in the future will be subject to trade restrictions imposed by the U.S. or foreign governments or the likelihood, type or effect of any such restrictions. Any event causing a disruption or delay of imports from foreign vendors, including the imposition of additional import restrictions, restrictions on the transfer of funds and/or increased tariffs or quotas, or both, could increase the cost or reduce the supply of merchandise available to us and adversely affect our business, financial condition and operating results. Furthermore, some or all of our foreign vendors' operations may be adversely affected by political and financial instability resulting in the disruption of trade from exporting countries, restrictions on the transfer of funds and/or other trade disruptions. In addition, an economic downturn in or failure of foreign markets may result in financial instabilities for our foreign vendors, which may cause our foreign vendors to decrease production, discontinue selling to us, or to cease operations altogether. Our overseas operations in Europe and Asia could also be affected by changing economic and political conditions in foreign countries, any of which could have a negative effect on our business, financial condition and operating results.

Although we continue to improve our global compliance program, there remains a risk that one or more of our foreign vendors will not adhere to our global compliance standards, such as fair labor standards and the prohibition on child labor. Non-governmental organizations might attempt to create an unfavorable impression of our sourcing practices or the practices of some of our vendors that could harm our image. If either of these occurs, we could lose customer goodwill and favorable brand recognition, which could negatively affect our business and operating results.

In addition, as we continue to expand our overseas operations, we are subject to certain U.S. laws, including the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, in addition to the laws of the foreign countries in which we operate. We must ensure that our employees comply with these laws. If any of our overseas operations, or our employees or agents, violates such laws, we could become subject to sanctions or other penalties that could negatively affect our business and operating results.

A number of factors that affect our ability to successfully open new stores or close existing stores are beyond our control, and these factors may harm our ability to expand or contract our retail operations and harm our ability to increase our sales and profits.

Historically, the majority of our net revenues have been generated by our retail stores. Our ability to open additional stores or close existing stores successfully will depend upon a number of factors, including:

- general economic conditions;
- our identification of, and the availability of, suitable store locations;
- our success in negotiating new leases and amending or terminating existing leases on acceptable terms;
- the success of other retail stores in and around our retail locations;
- our ability to secure required governmental permits and approvals;

Table of Contents

our hiring and training of skilled store operating personnel, especially management;
the availability of financing on acceptable terms, if at all; and
the financial stability of our landlords and potential landlords.

Many of these factors are beyond our control. For example, for the purpose of identifying suitable store locations, we rely, in part, on demographic surveys regarding location of consumers in our target market segments. While we believe that the surveys and other relevant information are helpful indicators of suitable store locations, we recognize that these information sources cannot predict future consumer preferences and buying trends with complete accuracy. In addition, changes in demographics, in the types of merchandise that we sell and in the pricing of our products may reduce the number of suitable store locations. Further, time frames for lease negotiations and store development vary from location to location and can be subject to unforeseen delays. We may not be able to open new stores or, if opened, operate those stores profitably. Construction and other delays in store openings could have a negative impact on our business and operating results. Additionally, in these economic times, we may not be able to renegotiate the terms of our current leases or close our underperforming stores, either of which could negatively impact our operating results.

Our business and operating results may be harmed if we are unable to timely and effectively deliver merchandise to our stores and customers.

The success of our business depends, in part, on our ability to timely and effectively deliver merchandise to our stores and customers. We cannot control all of the various factors that might affect our fulfillment rates in direct-to-customer sales and timely and effective merchandise delivery to our stores. We rely upon third party carriers for our merchandise shipments and reliable data regarding the timing of those shipments, including shipments to our customers and to and from all of our stores. In addition, we are heavily dependent upon two carriers for the delivery of our merchandise to our customers. Accordingly, we are subject to the risks, including labor disputes, union organizing activity, inclement weather, natural disasters, the closure of their offices or a reduction in operational hours due to an economic slowdown, possible acts of terrorism associated with such carriers' ability to provide delivery services to meet our shipping needs and disruptions or increased fuel costs associated with any regulations to address climate change. Failure to deliver merchandise in a timely and effective manner could damage our reputation and brands. In addition, fuel costs have been volatile and airline and other transportation companies continue to struggle to operate profitably, which could lead to increased fulfillment expenses. Any rise in fulfillment costs could negatively affect our business and operating results by increasing our transportation costs and decreasing the efficiency of our shipments.

Our failure to successfully manage our order-taking and fulfillment operations could have a negative impact on our business and operating results.

Our direct-to-customer business depends, in part, on our ability to maintain efficient and uninterrupted order-taking and fulfillment operations in our customer care centers and on our e-commerce websites. Disruptions or slowdowns in these areas could result from disruptions in telephone service or power outages, inadequate system capacity, system issues, computer viruses, security breaches, human error, changes in programming, union organizing activity, disruptions in our third party labor contracts, natural disasters or adverse weather conditions. Industries that are particularly seasonal, such as the home furnishings business, face a higher risk of harm from operational disruptions during peak sales seasons. These problems could result in a reduction in sales as well as increased selling, general and administrative expenses.

In addition, we face the risk that we cannot hire enough qualified employees to support our direct-to-customer operations, or that there will be a disruption in the labor we hire from our third party providers, especially during our peak season. The need to operate with fewer employees could negatively impact our customer service levels and our operations.

Our facilities and systems, as well as those of our vendors, are vulnerable to natural disasters and other unexpected events, any of which could result in an interruption in our business and harm our operating results.

Our retail stores, corporate offices, distribution centers, infrastructure projects and direct-to-customer operations, as well as the operations of our vendors from which we receive goods and services, are vulnerable to damage

Table of Contents

from earthquakes, tornadoes, hurricanes, fires, floods, power losses, telecommunications failures, hardware and software failures, computer viruses and similar events. If any of these events result in damage to our facilities or systems, or those of our vendors, we may experience interruptions in our business until the damage is repaired, resulting in the potential loss of customers and revenues. In addition, we may incur costs in repairing any damage beyond our applicable insurance coverage.

Declines in our comparable store sales may harm our operating results and cause a decline in the market price of our common stock.

Various factors affect comparable store sales, including the number, size and location of stores we open, close, remodel or expand in any period, the overall economic and general retail sales environment, consumer preferences and buying trends, changes in sales mix among distribution channels, our ability to efficiently source and distribute products, changes in our merchandise mix, competition (including competitive promotional activity and discount retailers), current local and global economic conditions, the timing of our releases of new merchandise and promotional events, the success of marketing programs, the cannibalization of existing store sales by our new stores, changes in catalog circulation and in our direct-to-customer business and fluctuations in foreign exchange rates. Among other things, weather conditions can affect comparable store sales because inclement weather can alter consumer behavior or require us to close certain stores temporarily and thus reduce store traffic. Even if stores are not closed, many customers may decide to avoid going to stores in bad weather. These factors have caused and may continue to cause our comparable store sales results to differ materially from prior periods and from earnings guidance we have provided. For example, the overall economic and general retail sales environment, as well as current local and global economic conditions, has caused a significant decline in our comparable store sales results.

Our comparable store sales have fluctuated significantly in the past on an annual, quarterly and monthly basis, and we expect that comparable store sales will continue to fluctuate in the future. Comparable store sales have decreased in the past and may continue to decrease in fiscal 2010, however, past comparable store sales are not necessarily an indication of future results. Our ability to improve our comparable store sales results depends, in large part, on maintaining and improving our forecasting of customer demand and buying trends, selecting effective marketing techniques, effectively driving traffic to our stores through marketing and various promotional events, providing an appropriate mix of merchandise for our broad and diverse customer base and using effective pricing strategies. Any failure to meet the comparable store sales expectations of investors and securities analysts in one or more future periods could significantly reduce the market price of our common stock.

Our failure to successfully manage the costs and performance of our catalog mailings might have a negative impact on our business.

Catalog mailings are an important component of our business. Postal rate increases, paper costs, printing costs and other catalog distribution costs affect the cost of our catalog mailings. We rely on discounts from the basic postal rate structure, which could be changed or discontinued at any time. Market paper costs have fluctuated significantly during the past three fiscal years and may continue to fluctuate in the future. Future increases in postal rates, paper costs or printing costs would have a negative impact on our operating results to the extent that we are unable to offset such increases by: raising prices; implementing more efficient printing, mailing, delivery and order fulfillment systems; or through the use of alternative direct-mail formats. In addition, if the performance of our catalogs declines, if we misjudge the correlation between our catalog circulation and net sales, or if our catalog circulation optimization strategy overall does not continue to be successful, our results of operations could be negatively impacted.

We have historically experienced fluctuations in customer response to our catalogs. Customer response to our catalogs is substantially dependent on merchandise assortment, merchandise availability and creative presentation, as well as the selection of customers to whom the catalogs are mailed, changes in mailing strategies, the sizing and timing of delivery of the catalogs, as well as the general retail sales environment and current domestic and global economic conditions. In addition, environmental organizations and other consumer advocacy groups may attempt to create an unfavorable impression of our paper use in catalogs and our distribution of catalogs generally, which may have a negative effect on our sales and our reputation. In addition, we depend upon external vendors to print our

Table of Contents

catalogs. The failure to effectively produce or distribute our catalogs could affect the timing of catalog delivery. The timing of catalog delivery has been and can be affected by postal service delays. Any delays in the timing of catalog delivery could cause customers to forego or defer purchases.

If we are unable to effectively manage our Internet business, our reputation and operating results may be harmed.

Our Internet business has been our fastest growing channel over the last several years and continues to be a significant part of our sales success. The success of our Internet business depends, in part, on factors over which we have limited control. We must successfully respond to changing consumer preferences and buying trends relating to Internet usage. We are also vulnerable to certain additional risks and uncertainties associated with the Internet, including changes in required technology interfaces, website downtime and other technical failures, costs and technical issues as we upgrade our website software, computer viruses, changes in applicable federal and state regulations, security breaches and consumer privacy concerns. In addition, we must keep up to date with competitive technology trends, including the use of improved technology, creative user interfaces and other Internet marketing tools such as paid search, which may increase costs and which may not succeed in increasing sales or attracting customers. Our failure to successfully respond to these risks and uncertainties might adversely affect the sales in our Internet business, as well as damage our reputation and brands.

Our failure to successfully anticipate merchandise returns might have a negative impact on our business.

We record a reserve for merchandise returns based on historical return trends together with current product sales performance in each reporting period. If actual returns are greater than those projected and reserved for by management, additional sales returns might be recorded in the future. In addition, to the extent that returned merchandise is damaged, we often do not receive full retail value from the resale or liquidation of the merchandise. Further, the introduction of new merchandise, changes in merchandise mix, changes in consumer confidence, or other competitive and general economic conditions may cause actual returns to exceed merchandise return reserves. In particular, the current adverse economic conditions have resulted and may continue to result in increased merchandise returns. Any significant increase in merchandise returns that exceeds our reserves could harm our business and operating results.

If we are unable to manage successfully the complexities associated with a multi-channel and multi-brand business, we may suffer declines in our existing business and our ability to attract new business.

During the past several years, with the launch and expansion of our Internet business, new brands and brand extensions, our overall business has become substantially more complex. The changes in our business have forced us to develop new expertise and face new challenges, risks and uncertainties. For example, we face the risk that our Internet business might cannibalize a significant portion of our retail and catalog businesses, and we face the risk of catalog circulation cannibalizing our retail sales. While we recognize that our Internet sales cannot be entirely incremental to sales through our retail and catalog channels, we seek to attract as many new customers as possible to our e-commerce websites. We continually analyze the business results of our three channels and the relationships among the channels in an effort to find opportunities to build incremental sales.

If we are unable to introduce new brands and brand extensions successfully, or to reposition or close existing brands, our business and operating results may be negatively impacted.

We have in the past and may in the future introduce new brands, and brand extensions, or reposition or close existing brands. Our newest brands West Elm, PBteen and Williams-Sonoma Home and any other new brands, may not grow as we project and plan for. Further, if we devote time and resources to new brands, brand extensions or brand repositioning, and those businesses are not as successful as we planned, then we risk damaging our overall business results. Alternatively, if our new brands, brand extensions or repositioned brands prove to be very successful, we risk hurting our other existing brands through the potential migration of existing brand customers to the new businesses. In addition, we may not be able to introduce new brands and brand extensions, or to reposition brands, in a manner that improves our overall business and operating results and may therefore be forced to close the brands. For example, after another difficult year and an extensive review of our

Table of Contents

strategic alternatives, we concluded that the future potential of the Williams-Sonoma Home brand is limited. As such, we are working on a plan to restructure the unprofitable segments of the business, including the operations of our 11 retail stores. As part of this restructuring, it is our intent to market those merchandising categories that support our bridal registry, expanded flagship and designer assortments through the Williams-Sonoma kitchen brand. These categories will be available both on-line and in select Williams-Sonoma stores.

Our inability to obtain commercial insurance at acceptable prices or our failure to adequately reserve for self-insured exposures might increase our expenses and have a negative impact on our business.

We believe that commercial insurance coverage is prudent in certain areas of our business for risk management. Insurance costs may increase substantially in the future and may be affected by natural catastrophes, fear of terrorism, financial irregularities and other fraud at publicly-traded companies, intervention by the government and a decrease in the number of insurance carriers. In addition, the carriers with which we hold our policies may go out of business, or may be otherwise unable to fulfill their contractual obligations. In addition, for certain types or levels of risk, such as risks associated with earthquakes, hurricanes or terrorist attacks, we may determine that we cannot obtain commercial insurance at acceptable prices, if at all. Therefore, we may choose to forego or limit our purchase of relevant commercial insurance, choosing instead to self-insure one or more types or levels of risks. We are primarily self-insured for workers' compensation, employee health benefits and product and general liability claims. If we suffer a substantial loss that is not covered by commercial insurance or our self-insurance reserves, the loss and attendant expenses could harm our business and operating results. In addition, exposures exist for which no insurance may be available and for which we have not reserved.

Our inability or failure to protect our intellectual property would have a negative impact on our brands, goodwill and operating results.

Our trademarks, service marks, copyrights, trade dress rights, trade secrets, domain names and other intellectual property are valuable assets that are critical to our success. The unauthorized reproduction or other misappropriation of our intellectual property could diminish the value of our brands or goodwill and cause a decline in our sales. Protection of our intellectual property and maintenance of distinct branding are particularly important as they distinguish our products and services from our competitors who may sell similar products and services. We may not be able to adequately protect our intellectual property. In addition, the costs of defending our intellectual property may adversely affect our operating results.

We may be subject to legal proceedings that could be time consuming, result in costly litigation, require significant amounts of management time and result in the diversion of significant operational resources.

We are involved in lawsuits, claims and proceedings incident to the ordinary course of our business. Litigation is inherently unpredictable. Any claims against us, whether meritorious or not, could be time consuming, result in costly litigation, require significant amounts of management time and result in the diversion of significant operational resources. There are a growing number of business method patent infringement lawsuits. There has also been a rise in lawsuits against companies that collect personal information from customers. In addition, there has been an increase in employment-related lawsuits. From time to time, we have been subject to these types of lawsuits. The cost of defending claims against us or the ultimate resolution of such claims may harm our business and operating results. In addition, the significant deterioration in the global financial markets may create a more litigious environment and therefore subjects us to increased exposure to shareholder lawsuits.

Our operating results may be harmed by unsuccessful management of our employment, occupancy and other operating costs, and the operation and growth of our business may be harmed if we are unable to attract qualified personnel.

To be successful, we need to manage our operating costs and continue to look for opportunities to reduce costs. We recognize that we may need to increase the number of our employees, especially during peak sales seasons, and incur other expenses to support new brands and brand extensions, as well as the opening of new stores and direct-to-customer growth of our existing brands. Alternatively, if we are unable to make substantial adjustments

Table of Contents

to our cost structure during times of uncertainty, such as this current economic environment, we may incur unnecessary expenses, we may have too few resources to properly run our business, or our business and operating results may be negatively impacted. From time to time, we may also experience union organizing activity in currently non-union facilities. Union organizing activity may result in work slowdowns or stoppages and higher labor costs. In addition, there appears to be a growing number of wage-and-hour lawsuits and other employment-related lawsuits against retail companies, especially in California.

We contract with various agencies to provide us with qualified personnel for our workforce. Any negative publicity regarding these agencies, such as in connection with immigration issues or employment practices, could damage our reputation, disrupt our ability to obtain needed labor or result in financial harm to our business, including the potential loss of business-related financial incentives in the jurisdictions where we operate.

Although we strive to secure long-term contracts with our service providers and other vendors and to otherwise limit our financial commitment to them, we may not be able to avoid unexpected operating cost increases in the future. Further, we incur substantial costs to warehouse and distribute our inventory. Significant increases in our inventory levels may result in increased warehousing and distribution costs in addition to potential increases in costs associated with inventory that is lost, damaged or aged. Higher than expected costs, particularly if coupled with lower than expected sales, would negatively impact our business and operating results. In addition, in times of economic uncertainty, these long-term contracts may make it difficult to quickly reduce our fixed operating costs, which could negatively impact our business and operating results.

We are undertaking certain systems changes that might disrupt our business operations.

Our success depends, in part, on our ability to source and distribute merchandise efficiently through appropriate systems and procedures. We are in the process of substantially modifying our information technology systems, which involves updating or replacing legacy systems with successor systems over the course of several years. There are inherent risks associated with replacing our core systems, including supply chain and merchandising systems disruptions, that could affect our ability to get the correct products into the appropriate stores and delivered to customers. We may not successfully launch these new systems, or the launch of such systems may result in disruptions to our business operations. In addition, changes to any of our software implementation strategies could result in the impairment of software-related assets. We are also subject to the risks associated with the ability of our vendors to provide information technology solutions to meet our needs. Any disruptions could negatively impact our business and operating results.

We outsource certain aspects of our business to third party vendors and are in the process of insourcing certain business functions from third party vendors, both of which subject us to risks, including disruptions in our business and increased costs.

We outsource certain aspects of our business to third party vendors that subject us to risks of disruptions in our business as well as increased costs. For example, we utilize outside vendors for such things as payroll processing and various distribution center services. Accordingly, we are subject to the risks associated with their ability to successfully provide the necessary services to meet our needs. If our vendors are unable to adequately protect our data and information is lost, our ability to deliver our services is interrupted, or our vendors' fees are higher than expected, then our business and operating results may be negatively impacted.

In addition, we are in the process of insourcing certain aspects of our business, including the management of certain infrastructure technology, furniture manufacturing, furniture delivery to our customers and the management of our international vendors, each of which were previously outsourced to third party providers. This may cause disruptions in our business and result in increased cost to us. In addition, if we are unable to perform these functions better than, or at least as well as, our third party providers, our business may be harmed.

Our efforts to expand internationally through franchising arrangements may not be successful and could impair the value of our brands.

We have entered into a franchise agreement with the M.H. Alshaya Company, an unaffiliated franchisee, to operate stores in the Middle East. Under this agreement, this third party will operate stores that sell goods

Table of Contents

purchased from us under our brand names. We have no prior experience operating through these types of third party arrangements, and this arrangement may not be successful. The administration of this relationship may divert management attention and require more resources than we expect. While we expect that this will be a small part of our business in the near future, if successful, we plan to continue to increase the number of stores and countries in which these franchises operate as part of our efforts to expand internationally. The effect of these arrangements on our business and results of operations is uncertain and will depend upon various factors, including the demand for our products in new markets internationally. In addition, certain aspects of these arrangements are not directly within our control, such as the ability of this third party to meet their projections regarding store openings and sales. Moreover, while the agreement we have entered into may provide us with certain termination rights, to the extent that this third party does not operate its stores in a manner consistent with our requirements regarding our brand identities and customer experience standards, the value of our brands could be impaired. In addition, in connection with this new franchise agreement, we are implementing certain new processes that will subject us to additional regulations and laws, such as U.S. export regulations. Failure to comply with any applicable laws or regulations could have an adverse effect on our results of operations.

If our operating and financial performance in any given period does not meet the extensive guidance that we have provided to the public, our stock price may decline.

We provide extensive public guidance on our expected operating and financial results for future periods. Although we believe that this guidance provides investors and analysts with a better understanding of management's expectations for the future and is useful to our shareholders and potential shareholders, such guidance is comprised of forward-looking statements subject to the risks and uncertainties described in this report and in our other public filings and public statements. Our actual results may not always be in line with or exceed the guidance we have provided, especially in times of great economic uncertainty. In the past, when we have reduced our previously provided guidance, the market price of our common stock has declined. If, in the future, our operating or financial results for a particular period do not meet our guidance or the expectations of investment analysts or if we reduce our guidance for future periods, the market price of our common stock may decline as well.

A variety of factors, including seasonality and the economic downturn, may cause our quarterly operating results to fluctuate, leading to volatility in our stock price.

Our quarterly results have fluctuated in the past and may fluctuate in the future, depending upon a variety of factors, including shifts in the timing of holiday selling seasons, including Valentine's Day, Easter, Halloween, Thanksgiving and Christmas, as well as changes in economic conditions. A significant portion of our revenues and net earnings has typically been realized during the period from October through December each year. In anticipation of increased holiday sales activity, we incur certain significant incremental expenses prior to and during peak selling seasons, particularly October through December, including fixed catalog production and mailing costs and the costs associated with hiring a substantial number of temporary employees to supplement our existing workforce. For example, we realized lower-than-historical revenues and net earnings during the October through December selling season of fiscal 2008 due to the economic downturn, which affected our business and operating results.

We may require external funding sources for operating funds, which may cost more than we expect, or not be available at the levels we require and, as a consequence, our expenses and operating results could be negatively affected.

We regularly review and evaluate our liquidity and capital needs. We currently believe that our available cash, cash equivalents and cash flow from operations will be sufficient to finance our operations and expected capital requirements for at least the next 12 months. However, we might experience periods during which we encounter additional cash needs and we might need additional external funding to support our operations. Although we were able to amend our line of credit facility during fiscal 2008 on acceptable terms, in the event we require additional liquidity from our lenders, such funds may not be available to us or may not be available to us on acceptable terms in the future. For example, in the event we were to breach any of our financial covenants, our banks would not be required to provide us with additional funding, or they may require us to renegotiate our

Table of Contents

existing credit facility on less acceptable terms. In addition, we may not be able to renew our letters of credit that we use to help pay our suppliers on terms that are acceptable to us, or at all, as the availability of letter of credit facilities may continue to be limited. Further, the providers of such credit may reallocate the available credit to other borrowers. If we are unable to access credit at the levels we require, or the cost of credit is greater than expected, it could adversely affect our operating results.

Disruptions in the financial markets may adversely affect our liquidity and capital resources and our business.

Disruptions in the global financial markets and banking systems have made credit and capital markets more difficult for companies to access, even for some companies with established revolving or other credit facilities. We have access to capital through our revolving line of credit facility. Each financial institution, which is part of the syndicate for our revolving line of credit facility, is responsible for providing a portion of the loans to be made under the facility. If any participant, or group of participants, with a significant portion of the commitments in our revolving line of credit facility fails to satisfy its obligations to extend credit under the facility and we are unable to find a replacement for such participant or group of participants on a timely basis (if at all), our liquidity and our business may be materially adversely affected.

If we are unable to pay quarterly dividends at intended levels, our reputation and stock price may be harmed.

During fiscal 2009, our quarterly cash dividend was \$0.12 per common share. Subsequent to year end, in March, 2010, our Board of Directors authorized an increase in our quarterly cash dividend from \$0.12 to \$0.13 per common share. The dividend program may require the use of a significant portion of our cash earnings. As a result, we may not retain a sufficient amount of cash to fund our operations or finance future growth opportunities, new product development initiatives and unanticipated capital expenditures. Further, our Board of Directors may, at its discretion, decrease the intended level of dividends or entirely discontinue the payment of dividends at any time. Our ability to pay dividends will depend on our ability to generate sufficient cash flows from operations in the future. This ability may be subject to certain economic, financial, competitive and other factors that are beyond our control. Any failure to pay dividends after we have announced our intention to do so may negatively impact our reputation and investor confidence in us and may negatively impact our stock price.

If we fail to maintain proper and effective internal controls, our ability to produce accurate and timely financial statements could be impaired and investors' views of us could be harmed.

We have evaluated and tested our internal controls in order to allow management to report on, and our registered independent public accounting firm to attest to, our internal controls, as required by Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. If we are not able to continue to meet the requirements of Section 404 in a timely manner, or with adequate compliance, we would be required to disclose material weaknesses if they develop or are uncovered and we may be subject to sanctions or investigation by regulatory authorities, such as the Securities and Exchange Commission or the New York Stock Exchange. In addition, our internal controls may not prevent or detect all errors and fraud. A control system, no matter how well designed and operated, is based upon certain assumptions and can provide only reasonable assurance that the objectives of the control system will be met. If any of the above were to occur, our business and the perception of us in the financial markets could be negatively impacted.

Changes to accounting rules or regulations may adversely affect our operating results.

Changes to existing accounting rules or regulations may impact our future operating results. A change in accounting rules or regulations may even affect our reporting of transactions completed before the change is effective. The introduction of new accounting rules or regulations and varying interpretations of existing accounting rules or regulations have occurred and may occur in the future. Future changes to accounting rules or regulations, or the questioning of current accounting practices, may adversely affect our operating results.

Changes to estimates related to our property and equipment, including information technology systems, or operating results that are lower than our current estimates at certain store locations, may cause us to incur impairment charges.

We make certain estimates and projections in connection with impairment analyses for certain of our store locations and other property and equipment, including information technology systems. These impairment analyses require

Table of Contents

that we review for impairment all stores for which current or projected cash flows from operations are not sufficient to recover the carrying value of the asset. An impairment charge is required when the carrying value of the asset exceeds the undiscounted future cash flows over the remaining life of the lease. These calculations require us to make a number of estimates and projections of future results, up to 22 years into the future. If these estimates or projections change or prove incorrect, we may be, and have been, required to record impairment charges on certain store locations and other property and equipment, including information technology systems. These impairment charges have been significant in the past and may be in the future and, as a result of these charges, our operating results have been and may be adversely affected. For example, we recorded impairment charges related to our underperforming retail stores of \$28,941,000, \$33,995,000 and \$1,082,000 in selling, general and administrative expenses in fiscal 2009, fiscal 2008 and fiscal 2007, respectively.

If we do not properly account for our unredeemed gift certificates, gift cards and merchandise credits, our operating results will be harmed.

We maintain a liability for unredeemed gift cards, gift certificates and merchandise credits until the earlier of redemption, escheatment or four years. After four years, the remaining unredeemed gift cards, gift certificate or merchandise credit liability is relieved and recorded as a benefit within selling, general and administrative expenses. In the event that our historical redemption patterns change in the future, we might change the minimum time period for maintaining a liability for unredeemed gift certificates on our balance sheets, which would affect our financial position or operating results. Further, in the event that a state or states were to require that the unredeemed amounts should have been escheated to that state or states, our business and operating results would be harmed.

We may be exposed to risks and costs associated with credit card fraud and identity theft that could cause us to incur unexpected expenses and loss of revenue.

A significant portion of our customer orders are placed through our website or through our customer care centers. In addition, a significant portion of sales made through our retail channel require the collection of certain customer data, such as credit card information. In order for our sales channel to function and develop successfully, we and other parties involved in processing customer transactions must be able to transmit confidential information, including credit card information, securely over public networks. Third parties may have the technology or knowledge to breach the security of customer transaction data. Although we take the security of our systems and the privacy of our customers' confidential information seriously, we cannot guarantee that our security measures will effectively prevent others from obtaining unauthorized access to our information and our customers' information. Any person who circumvents our security measures could destroy or steal valuable information or disrupt our operations. Any security breach could cause consumers to lose confidence in the security of our website or stores and choose not to purchase from us. Any security breach could also expose us to risks of data loss, litigation and liability and could seriously disrupt our operations and harm our reputation, any of which could harm our business.

In addition, states and the federal government are increasingly enacting laws and regulations to protect consumers against identity theft. We collect personal information from consumers in the course of doing business. These laws will likely increase the costs of doing business and, if we fail to implement appropriate safeguards or to detect and provide prompt notice of unauthorized access as required by some of these new laws, we could be subject to potential claims for damages and other remedies, which could harm our business.

Fluctuations in our tax obligations and effective tax rate may result in volatility of our operating results and stock price.

We are subject to income taxes in many U.S. and certain foreign jurisdictions. We record tax expense based on our estimates of future payments, which include reserves for estimates of probable settlements of foreign and domestic tax audits. At any one time, many tax years are subject to audit by various taxing jurisdictions. The results of these audits and negotiations with taxing authorities may affect the ultimate settlement of these issues. As a result, we expect that throughout the year there could be ongoing variability in our quarterly tax rates as taxable events occur and exposures are evaluated. In addition, our effective tax rate in a given financial statement

Table of Contents

period may be materially impacted by changes in the mix and level of earnings or by changes to existing accounting rules or regulations. Further, there is proposed tax legislation that may be enacted in the future, which could negatively impact our current or future tax structure and effective tax rates.

If we fail to attract and retain key personnel, our business and operating results may be harmed.

Our future success depends to a significant degree on the skills, experience and efforts of key personnel in our senior management, whose vision for our company, knowledge of our business and expertise would be difficult to replace. If any of our key employees leaves, is seriously injured or is unable to work, and we are unable to find a qualified replacement, we may be unable to execute our business strategy.

In addition, our main offices are located in the San Francisco Bay Area, where competition for personnel with retail and technology skills can be intense. If we fail to identify, attract, retain and motivate these skilled personnel, especially in this challenging economic environment, our business may be harmed. Further, in the event we need to hire additional personnel, we may experience difficulties in attracting and successfully hiring such individuals due to competition for highly skilled personnel, as well as the significantly higher cost of living expenses in our market.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

We lease store locations, distribution centers, customer care centers and corporate facilities for original terms ranging generally from 2 to 22 years. Certain leases contain renewal options for periods of up to 20 years.

For our store locations, our gross leased store space, as of January 31, 2010, totaled approximately 6,081,000 square feet for 610 stores compared to approximately 6,148,000 square feet for 627 stores as of February 1, 2009.

Distribution Centers

We lease distribution facility space in the following locations:

Location	Square Footage (Approximate)
Olive Branch, Mississippi	2,105,000
Memphis, Tennessee ¹	1,023,000
City of Industry, California	1,180,000
Cranbury and South Brunswick, New Jersey	1,199,000
Hickory, North Carolina	199,000
Lakeland and Pompano Beach, Florida	72,000
Urbancrest, Ohio	38,000

¹ See Note F to our Consolidated Financial Statements for more information.

During the second quarter of fiscal 2009, we entered into a lease agreement in Ohio for 38,000 square feet of distribution facility space associated with the additional insourcing of our furniture delivery hubs within the region.

During the third quarter of fiscal 2009, we eliminated 1,170,000 square feet of excess distribution capacity by exiting one of our distribution centers located in Olive Branch, Mississippi prior to its lease expiration.

During the fourth quarter of fiscal 2009, we entered into an agreement to lease a 1,351,000 square foot distribution center in South Brunswick, New Jersey. We will occupy this new building in two phases, approximately in June 2010 and October 2011, corresponding with the natural expiration of the leases on our current New Jersey distribution centers. This distribution center will replace the two existing New Jersey distribution centers, which currently occupy 1,199,000 square feet.

Edgar Filing: WILLIAMS SONOMA INC - Form 10-K

In addition to the above long-term contracts, we enter into other agreements for such things as our offsite storage needs for both our distribution centers and our retail store locations. As of January 31, 2010, we had

Table of Contents

approximately 139,000 square feet of leased space relating to these agreements that is not included in the table above. This compares to approximately 194,000 square feet of leased space as of February 1, 2009.

Customer Care Centers

We lease customer care center space in the following locations:

Location	Square Footage (Approximate)
Las Vegas, Nevada	36,000
Oklahoma City, Oklahoma	36,000
Shafter, California	7,000

During the first quarter of 2009, we began occupying a 7,000 square foot customer care center in Shafter, California.

Corporate Facilities

We also lease office, design studio, photo studio, warehouse and data center space in the following locations:

Location	Square Footage (Approximate)
Brisbane, California	194,000
San Francisco, California	90,000
New York City, New York	52,000
Rocklin, California	25,000

During the fourth quarter of fiscal 2009, we exited 83,000 square feet of excess office space in San Francisco, California at the natural expiration of our lease.

Owned Properties

In addition to the above leased facilities, we own buildings comprising approximately 326,000 square feet that we use for our corporate headquarters located in San Francisco, California and a 13,000 square foot data center located in Memphis, Tennessee.

We believe that all of our facilities are adequate for our current needs and that suitable additional or substitute space will be available in the future to replace our existing facilities, or to accommodate the expansion of our operations, if necessary.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

We are involved in lawsuits, claims and proceedings incident to the ordinary course of our business. These disputes are not currently material. Litigation is inherently unpredictable. Any claims against us, whether meritorious or not, could be time consuming, result in costly litigation, require significant amounts of management time and result in the diversion of significant operational resources. The results of these lawsuits, claims and proceedings cannot be predicted with certainty. However, we believe that the ultimate resolution of these current matters will not have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial statements taken as a whole.

ITEM 4. RESERVED

Table of Contents**PART II****ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES**
MARKET INFORMATION

Our common stock is traded on the New York Stock Exchange, or the NYSE, under the symbol WSM. The following table sets forth the high and low closing prices of our common stock on the NYSE for the periods indicated:

	High	Low
Fiscal 2009 (52 Weeks)		
4 th Quarter	\$ 23.23	\$ 18.95
3 rd Quarter	\$ 22.25	\$ 13.88
2 nd Quarter	\$ 14.70	\$ 10.61
1 st Quarter	\$ 14.00	\$ 7.55
Fiscal 2008 (52 Weeks)	High	Low
4 th Quarter	\$ 9.57	\$ 4.72
3 rd Quarter	\$ 19.44	\$ 8.01
2 nd Quarter	\$ 27.67	\$ 17.44
1 st Quarter	\$ 27.72	\$ 22.00

The closing price of our common stock on the NYSE on March 29, 2010 was \$26.82. See Quarterly Financial Information on page 65 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K for the quarter-end closing price of our common stock for each quarter listed above.

SHAREHOLDERS

The number of shareholders of record of our common stock as of March 29, 2010 was 455. This number excludes shareholders whose stock is held in nominee or street name by brokers.

Table of Contents**PERFORMANCE GRAPH**

This graph compares the cumulative total shareholder return for our common stock with those for the NYSE Composite Index and the S&P Retailing Index, our peer group index. The cumulative total return listed below assumed an initial investment of \$100 and reinvestment of dividends. The graph shows historical stock price performance, including reinvestment of dividends, and is not necessarily indicative of future performance.

COMPARISON OF FIVE-YEAR CUMULATIVE TOTAL RETURN***Among Williams-Sonoma, Inc., The NYSE Composite Index****And The S&P Retailing Index**

· Williams-Sonoma, Inc.
 D NYSE Composite Index
 O S&P Retailing Index

	1/28/05	1/27/06	1/26/07	2/1/08	1/30/09	1/29/10
Williams-Sonoma, Inc.	100.00	117.64	100.28	81.83	24.46	60.37
NYSE Composite Index	100.00	117.71	136.02	140.88	81.11	110.40
S&P Retailing Index	100.00	110.59	123.75	108.29	68.30	108.84

*** Notes:**

- The lines represent monthly index levels derived from compounded daily returns that include all dividends.
- The indices are re-weighted daily, using the market capitalization on the previous trading day.
- If the monthly interval, based on the fiscal year-end, is not a trading day, the preceding trading day is used.
- The index level for all Series was set to \$100.00 on 1/28/2005.

Table of Contents

DIVIDEND POLICY

During fiscal 2009 and fiscal 2008, total cash dividends declared were approximately \$51,424,000 and \$51,189,000, respectively, or \$0.12 per common share per quarter. Subsequent to year end, in March, 2010, our Board of Directors authorized an increase in our quarterly cash dividend from \$0.12 to \$0.13 per common share, subject to capital availability, payable on May 24, 2010 to shareholders of record as of the close of business on April 27, 2010. Our quarterly cash dividend may be limited or terminated at any time.

STOCK REPURCHASE PROGRAM

We did not repurchase any shares of our common stock during fiscal 2009 or fiscal 2008, and there currently is no stock repurchase program authorized by our Board of Directors.

Table of Contents**ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA***Five-Year Selected Financial Data*

<i>Dollars and amounts in thousands, except percentages,</i>	Jan. 31, 2010	Feb. 1, 2009	Feb. 3, 2008	Jan. 28, 2007	Jan. 29, 2006
<i>per share amounts and retail stores data</i>	(52 Weeks)	(52 Weeks)	(53 Weeks)	(52 Weeks)	(52 Weeks)
Results of Operations					
Net revenues	\$ 3,102,704	\$ 3,361,472	\$ 3,944,934	\$ 3,727,513	\$ 3,538,947
Net revenue growth (decline)	(7.7%)	(14.8%)	5.8%	5.3%	12.8%
Gross margin	\$ 1,103,237	\$ 1,135,172	\$ 1,535,971	\$ 1,487,287	\$ 1,435,482
Earnings before income taxes	\$ 120,289	\$ 41,953	\$ 316,340	\$ 337,186	\$ 348,798
Net earnings	\$ 77,442	\$ 30,024	\$ 195,757	\$ 208,868	\$ 214,866
Basic net earnings per share	\$ 0.73	\$ 0.28	\$ 1.79	\$ 1.83	\$ 1.86
Diluted net earnings per share	\$ 0.72	\$ 0.28	\$ 1.76	\$ 1.79	\$ 1.81
Gross margin as a percent of net revenues	35.6%	33.8%	38.9%	39.9%	40.6%
Pre-tax operating margin as a percent of net revenues ¹	3.9%	1.2%	8.0%	9.0%	9.9%
Financial Position					
Working capital	\$ 616,711	\$ 479,936	\$ 438,241	\$ 473,229	\$ 492,772
Total assets	\$ 2,079,169	\$ 1,935,464	\$ 2,093,854	\$ 2,048,331	\$ 1,981,620
Return on assets	3.9%	1.5%	9.4%	10.1%	11.4%
Long-term debt and other long-term obligations	\$ 62,792	\$ 62,071	\$ 68,761	\$ 32,562	\$ 29,201
Shareholders' equity	\$ 1,211,595	\$ 1,147,984	\$ 1,165,723	\$ 1,151,431	\$ 1,125,318
Shareholders' equity per share (book value)	\$ 11.33	\$ 10.86	\$ 11.07	\$ 10.48	\$ 9.80
Return on equity	6.6%	2.6%	16.9%	18.3%	20.6%
Debt-to-equity ratio	0.8%	2.2%	2.2%	2.5%	3.0%
Annual dividends declared per share	\$ 0.48	\$ 0.48	\$ 0.46	\$ 0.40	
Retail Revenues					
Retail revenue growth (decline)	(4.3%)	(14.0%)	5.9%	6.0%	12.3%
Retail revenues as a percent of net revenues	60.5%	58.4%	57.8%	57.8%	57.4%
Comparable store sales growth (decline) ²	(5.1%)	(17.2%)	0.3%	0.3%	4.9%
Store count					
Williams-Sonoma	259	264	256	254	254
Pottery Barn	199	204	198	197	188
Pottery Barn Kids	87	95	94	92	89
West Elm	36	36	27	22	12
Williams-Sonoma Home	11	10	9	7	3
Outlets	18	18	16	16	16
Hold Everything					8
Number of stores at year-end	610	627	600	588	570
Store selling area at fiscal year-end (sq. ft.)	3,763,000	3,828,000	3,575,000	3,389,000	3,140,000
Store leased area at fiscal year-end (sq. ft.)	6,081,000	6,148,000	5,739,000	5,451,000	5,035,000
Direct-to-Customer Revenues					
Direct-to-customer revenue growth (decline)	(12.5%)	(15.9%)	5.7%	4.5%	13.6%
Direct-to-customer revenues as a percent of net revenues	39.5%	41.6%	42.2%	42.2%	42.6%
Catalogs circulated during the year	262,351	313,740	393,160	379,011	385,158
Percent increase (decrease) in number of catalogs circulated	(16.4%)	(20.2%)	3.7%	(1.6%)	4.6%
Percent increase (decrease) in number of pages circulated	(21.1%)	(30.3%)	7.9%	3.2%	9.7%

¹ Pre-tax operating margin is defined as earnings before income taxes.

² Calculated on a 52-week to 52-week basis, with the exception of fiscal 2007 which was calculated on a 53-week to 53-week basis.

The information set forth above is not necessarily indicative of future operations and should be read in conjunction with Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and the Consolidated Financial Statements and notes thereto in this

Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion and analysis of our financial condition, results of operations, and liquidity and capital resources for the 52 weeks ended January 31, 2010 (fiscal 2009), the 52 weeks ended February 1, 2009 (fiscal 2008), and the 53 weeks ended February 3, 2008 (fiscal 2007) should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and notes thereto. All explanations of changes in operational results are discussed in order of magnitude.

OVERVIEW

Fiscal 2009 Financial Results

In fiscal 2009, our net revenues decreased 7.7% to \$3,102,704,000 from \$3,361,472,000 in fiscal 2008. Across brands, we saw improving sales trends and steadily increasing selling margins throughout the year, which led to an increase in our diluted earnings per share of 157%, to \$0.72 in fiscal 2009 from \$0.28 in fiscal 2008. To generate these results, we delivered the highest operating contribution rate in the history of our direct-to-customer segment, reduced our selling, general and administrative expense rate, strategically reduced our inventories to their lowest level in five years (ending the year at \$466,124,000), while gaining market share, and generated more cash in one year than ever before, ending the year with \$513,943,000.

Retail net revenues in fiscal 2009 decreased by \$84,464,000, or 4.3%, compared to fiscal 2008. This decrease was primarily due to the continued negative impact of the general economic environment during fiscal 2009, which resulted in a comparable store sales decrease of 5.1%, as well as the temporary and permanent closure of 11 stores and 23 stores, respectively. This revenue decrease was partially offset by 9 new store openings and the remodeling or expansion of an additional 8 stores. The net revenue decrease was led by the Pottery Barn and Pottery Barn Kids brands.

In our direct-to-customer channel, net revenues in fiscal 2009 decreased by \$174,304,000, or 12.5%, compared to fiscal 2008. This decrease was driven by declining net revenues in all brands primarily due to the continued negative impact of the general economic environment during fiscal 2009. Additionally, our catalog and page circulation decreased 16.4% and 21.1%, respectively (including the impact of our catalog circulation optimization strategy).

In our core brands, net revenues decreased by 7.5%, compared to fiscal 2008, driven by declining net revenues in all brands (led by Pottery Barn and Pottery Barn Kids), due to the continued negative impact of the general economic environment during fiscal 2009. Comparable store sales decreases were 9.5%, 4.4% and 2.7% for the Pottery Barn Kids, Pottery Barn and Williams-Sonoma brands, respectively. Although net revenues decreased, we continued to see economic resilience throughout the year in the Williams-Sonoma brand. Sales trends, however, improved in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2009, when comparable store sales were positive in all core brands.

Similar to our core brands, our emerging brands (including West Elm, PBteen and Williams-Sonoma Home) also continued to be impacted by the general economic environment. Net revenues decreased 9.9% compared to fiscal 2008, driven by declining net revenues in all brands.

In Williams-Sonoma Home, after another difficult year and an extensive review of our strategic alternatives, we concluded that the future potential of this brand is limited. As such, we are working on a plan to restructure the unprofitable segments of the business, including the operations of our 11 retail stores. As part of this restructuring, it is our intent to market those merchandising categories that support our bridal registry, expanded flagship and designer assortments through the Williams-Sonoma kitchen brand. These categories will be available both on-line and in select Williams-Sonoma stores.

Fiscal 2009 Operational Results

In supply chain, we saw greater than expected benefits from the distribution, transportation and quality returns initiatives that we had implemented throughout the year. These initiatives included: implementing distribution

Table of Contents

accuracy programs to reduce inventory shrinkage and improve customer service; regionalizing large-cube inventory to consolidate furniture shipments, lower shipping costs and enhance the customer experience; insourcing our Ohio furniture delivery hub to bring company-managed volume to over 40% and reduce damages and replacements; and aggressively managing inventory flow to reduce distribution square footage by 16%.

In international sourcing, we completed the transition of our Asian furniture network. This initiative has allowed us to establish local expertise, improve vendor performance and reduce furniture returns, replacements and damages. Many of the products which are currently driving the turnaround in the Pottery Barn and Pottery Barn Kids brands were sourced under this new initiative.

In information technology, we completed the rollout of our new e-commerce platform at the end of the third quarter. This platform enables our merchants to make rapid changes on the sites without IT intervention, optimizes natural search returns and provides customers with faster download times and easier navigation. We also implemented new functionality related to customer insights, which is allowing us to generate more relevant emails and improve catalog productivity. While much opportunity lies ahead of us in both of these areas, these initiatives are driving increased traffic and incremental sales to the brands.

In real estate, our occupancy cost reduction initiatives were a key focus throughout the year, and we made significant progress. In 2009, we closed 1,170,000 square feet of distribution space, 83,000 square feet of corporate office space and 23 retail stores.

Lastly, during fiscal 2009, we established a multi-year franchise agreement with the M.H. Alshaya Company to launch our portfolio of brands in the Middle East. We opened our first franchised Pottery Barn and Pottery Barn Kids stores in Dubai in March 2010 and will open two additional stores in Kuwait in the second quarter of fiscal 2010. We believe with this business model, there is a significant opportunity to expand the reach of our brands outside of North America.

Fiscal 2010

As we look forward to fiscal 2010, we will continue to capitalize on the benefits of our 2009 initiatives that have not yet been fully realized and focus on the five key initiatives that are driving our momentum today including: implementing innovative growth strategies to capture market share; delivering superior customer service; executing our catalog and Internet marketing initiatives; driving supply chain efficiencies; and maximizing profitability and cash flow.

To capture market share, we plan to continue to introduce and market new products with an emphasis on innovation, exclusivity and value. We also plan to increase our investment in e-commerce. We believe that one of our most competitive advantages in this post-recessionary economy is our ability to serve customers in the channel of their choice, and the Internet is increasingly becoming that channel for both product research and purchases. We believe that as time passes and the economy improves, there is an opportunity for our brands to capture market share from those retailers who have closed stores and do not have significant multi-channel capabilities, have become less relevant or have failed completely in these difficult times.

In the area of customer service, our focus will be on expanding the clienteling services program that we launched last year in our Pottery Barn stores. In fiscal 2010, we will complete the rollout of this program in West Elm.

To execute our catalog and Internet marketing initiatives, we plan to continue to refine our catalog circulation by increasing the use of versioning and special mailers, while continuing to shift catalog dollars into e-marketing. E-commerce is our fastest growing and most profitable channel and we plan to continue to identify new opportunities to build brand awareness and customer engagement through search, e-mail modeling, affiliate programs and enhanced functionality.

To drive further supply chain efficiencies, we plan to continue to capitalize on the benefits from the initiatives we rolled out in fiscal 2009, as well as continue to expand the insourcing of our third-party furniture delivery hubs; reengineer inbound packaging to reduce the cubic volume of shipments through the supply chain to reduce packaging, transportation and distribution warehousing costs; and implement the first phase of our east coast distribution center consolidation that, after moving costs are covered, will result in lower rent, utility and labor costs.

Table of Contents

To maximize profitability and cash flow, we plan to continue to drive increased sales and improve selling margins, rationalize our real estate portfolio and reduce the operating losses in Williams-Sonoma Home with a planned total company net revenue increase in fiscal 2010 in the range of 3% to 6%, diluted earnings per share increase in the range of \$1.12 to \$1.22 and a retail leased square footage decrease of approximately 1% to 2%. In addition, we also plan to tightly manage inventory and overall capital spending.

Finally, we remain confident in the cash-generating power of our multi-channel, multi-brand business model and our ability to generate cash flow in excess of the funding requirements necessary to grow and operate our business, even in the current economic environment. Accordingly, in fiscal 2010, our Board of Directors authorized an 8.3% increase in our quarterly cash dividend from \$0.12 to \$0.13 per common share, subject to capital availability, for a total annual payout of approximately \$56,000,000.

Table of Contents**Results of Operations****NET REVENUES**

Net revenues consist of retail sales and direct-to-customer sales, both of which include shipping fees. Retail sales include sales of merchandise to customers at our retail stores, as well as shipping fees on any retail products shipped to our customers' homes. Direct-to-customer sales include sales of merchandise to customers through our catalogs and the Internet, as well as shipping fees. Shipping fees consist of revenue received from customers for delivery of merchandise to their homes. Revenues are presented net of sales returns and other discounts.

	Fiscal 2009		Fiscal 2008		Fiscal 2007	
<i>Dollars in thousands</i>	(52 Weeks)	% Total	(52 Weeks)	% Total	(53 Weeks)	% Total
Retail revenues	\$ 1,878,034	60.5%	\$ 1,962,498	58.4%	\$ 2,281,218	57.8%
Direct-to-customer revenues	1,224,670	39.5%	1,398,974	41.6%	1,663,716	42.2%
Net revenues	\$ 3,102,704	100.0%	\$ 3,361,472	100.0%	\$ 3,944,934	100.0%

Net revenues for fiscal 2009 decreased by \$258,768,000, or 7.7%, over fiscal 2008. This decrease was driven by declining net revenues in all brands primarily due to the continued negative impact of the general economic environment during fiscal 2009, which resulted in a comparable store sales decrease of 5.1%, as well as the temporary and permanent closure of 11 stores and 23 stores, respectively. Additionally, our catalog and page circulation decreased 16.4% and 21.1%, respectively (including the impact of our catalog circulation optimization strategy). This revenue decrease was partially offset by 9 new store openings and the remodeling or expansion of an additional 8 stores.

Net revenues for fiscal 2008 decreased by \$583,462,000, or 14.8%, compared to fiscal 2007. This decrease was primarily due to the downturn in the economic environment during fiscal 2008 resulting in a comparable store sales decrease of 17.2%, the impact of the extra week of net revenues in fiscal 2007 (a 53-week year) of approximately \$70,000,000, the temporary and permanent closure of 21 stores and 4 stores, respectively and a decrease in catalog and page circulation of 20.2% and 30.3%, respectively. This decrease was partially offset by an increase in store leased square footage of 7.1% (including 29 new store openings and the remodeling or expansion of an additional 23 stores).

RETAIL REVENUES AND OTHER DATA

	Fiscal 2009	Fiscal 2008	Fiscal 2007
<i>Dollars in thousands</i>	(52 Weeks)	(52 Weeks)	(53 Weeks)
Retail revenues	\$ 1,878,034	\$ 1,962,498	\$ 2,281,218
Retail revenue growth (decline)	(4.3%)	(14.0%)	5.9%
Comparable store sales growth (decline)	(5.1%)	(17.2%)	0.3%
Number of stores beginning of year	627	600	588
Number of new stores	9	29	23
Number of new stores due to remodeling ¹	8	23	26
Number of closed stores due to remodeling ¹	(11)	(21)	(28)
Number of permanently closed stores	(23)	(4)	(9)
Number of stores end of year	610	627	600
Store selling square footage at year-end	3,763,000	3,828,000	3,575,000
Store leased square footage (LSF) at year-end	6,081,000	6,148,000	5,739,000

¹ Remodeled stores are defined as those stores temporarily closed and subsequently reopened during the year due to square footage expansion, store modification or relocation.

Table of Contents

	Fiscal 2009		Fiscal 2008		Fiscal 2007	
	Store	Avg. LSF	Store	Avg. LSF	Store	Avg. LSF
	Count		Count		Count	
Williams-Sonoma	259	6,300	264	6,300	256	6,100
Pottery Barn	199	13,000	204	12,900	198	12,500
Pottery Barn Kids	87	8,100	95	7,900	94	7,900
West Elm	36	17,600	36	17,100	27	18,200
Williams-Sonoma Home	11	13,200	10	13,300	9	14,300
Outlets	18	20,200	18	20,300	16	20,500
Total	610	10,000	627	9,800	600	9,600

Retail net revenues in fiscal 2009 decreased by \$84,464,000, or 4.3%, compared to fiscal 2008. This decrease was primarily due to the continued negative impact of the general economic environment during fiscal 2009, which resulted in a comparable store sales decrease of 5.1%, as well as the temporary and permanent closure of 11 stores and 23 stores, respectively. This revenue decrease was partially offset by 9 new store openings and the remodeling or expansion of an additional 8 stores. The net revenue decrease was led by the Pottery Barn and Pottery Barn Kids brands.

Retail net revenues in fiscal 2008 decreased by \$318,720,000, or 14.0%, compared to fiscal 2007. This decrease was primarily due to the downturn in the economic environment during fiscal 2008 resulting in a comparable store sales decrease of 17.2%, the impact of the extra week of net revenues in fiscal 2007 (a 53-week year) of approximately \$30,000,000 and the temporary and permanent closure of 21 stores and 4 stores, respectively. This decrease was partially offset by an increase in store leased square footage of 7.1%, including 29 new store openings and the remodeling or expansion of an additional 23 stores. Net revenue decreases were led by the Pottery Barn, Williams-Sonoma and Pottery Barn Kids brands, partially offset by net revenue increases in the West Elm brand.

Comparable Store Sales

Comparable stores are defined as those stores in which gross square footage did not change by more than 20% in the previous 12 months and which have been open for at least 12 consecutive months without closure for seven or more consecutive days. By measuring the year-over-year sales of merchandise in the stores that have a history of being open for a full comparable 12 months or more, we can better gauge how the core store base is performing since it excludes new store openings, store remodelings and expansions. Comparable stores exclude new retail concepts until such time as we believe that comparable store results in those concepts are of sufficient size to evaluate the performance of the retail strategy, therefore, fiscal 2009, 2008 and 2007 total comparable store sales exclude the West Elm and Williams-Sonoma Home concepts.

Percentages represent changes in comparable store sales versus the same period in the prior year.

	Fiscal 2009	Fiscal 2008	Fiscal 2007
<i>Percent increase (decrease) in comparable store sales</i>	(52 Weeks)	(52 Weeks)	(53 Weeks)
Williams-Sonoma	(2.7%)	(11.4%)	0.7%
Pottery Barn	(4.4%)	(21.8%)	(0.3%)
Pottery Barn Kids	(9.5%)	(17.8%)	(1.5%)
Outlets	(14.8%)	(17.1%)	5.8%
Total	(5.1%)	(17.2%)	0.3%

Various factors affect comparable store sales, including the overall economic and general retail sales environment as well as current local and global economic conditions, each of which were significant factors in our comparable store sales results in fiscal 2009. Additional factors have affected our comparable store sales results in the past and may continue to affect them in the future, such as the number, size and location of stores we open, close, remodel or expand in any period, consumer preferences and buying trends, changes in sales mix

Table of Contents

among distribution channels, our ability to efficiently source and distribute products, changes in our merchandise mix, competition (including competitive promotional activity and discount retailers), the timing of our releases of new merchandise and promotional events, the success of marketing programs, the cannibalization of existing store sales by our new stores, the benefits of closing underperforming stores in multi-store markets, changes in catalog circulation and in our direct-to-customer business and fluctuations in foreign exchange rates. Among other things, weather conditions can affect comparable store sales because inclement weather can alter consumer behavior or require us to close certain stores temporarily and thus reduce store traffic. Even if stores are not closed, many customers may decide to avoid going to stores in bad weather. These factors have caused our comparable store sales to fluctuate significantly in the past on an annual, quarterly and monthly basis and, as a result, we expect that comparable store sales will continue to fluctuate in the future.

DIRECT-TO-CUSTOMER REVENUES

	Fiscal 2009	Fiscal 2008	Fiscal 2007
<i>Dollars in thousands</i>	(52 Weeks)	(52 Weeks)	(53 Weeks)
Catalog revenues	\$ 281,569	\$ 365,574	\$ 559,966
Internet revenues	943,101	1,033,400	1,103,750
Total direct-to-customer revenues	\$ 1,224,670	\$ 1,398,974	\$ 1,663,716
Direct-to-customer revenue growth (decline)	(12.5%)	(15.9%)	5.7%
Percent increase (decrease) in number of catalogs circulated	(16.4%)	(20.2%)	3.7%
Percent increase (decrease) in number of pages circulated	(21.1%)	(30.3%)	7.9%

In our direct-to-customer channel, net revenues in fiscal 2009 decreased by \$174,304,000, or 12.5%, compared to fiscal 2008. This decrease was driven by declining net revenues in all brands primarily due to the continued negative impact of the general economic environment during fiscal 2009. Additionally, our catalog and page circulation decreased 16.4% and 21.1%, respectively (including the impact of our catalog circulation optimization strategy).

In our direct-to-customer channel, net revenues in fiscal 2008 decreased by \$264,742,000, or 15.9%, compared to fiscal 2007. This decrease was primarily driven by the downturn in the overall economic environment during fiscal 2008, the impact of the extra week of net revenues in fiscal 2007 (a 53-week year) of approximately \$40,000,000 and a decrease in catalog and page circulation of 20.2% and 30.3%, respectively. Declining net revenues in the Pottery Barn and Pottery Barn Kids brands were the primary contributors to the year-over-year net revenue decrease. All brands except PBteen had declining net revenues during fiscal 2008.

COST OF GOODS SOLD

	Fiscal 2009		Fiscal 2008		Fiscal 2007	
<i>Dollars in thousands</i>	(52 Weeks)	% Net	(52 Weeks)	% Net	(53 Weeks)	% Net
Total cost of goods sold ¹	\$ 1,999,467	64.4%	\$ 2,226,300	66.2%	\$ 2,408,963	61.1%

¹ Includes total occupancy expenses of \$519,224,000, \$536,181,000 and \$521,144,000 in fiscal 2009, fiscal 2008 and fiscal 2007, respectively.

Cost of goods sold includes cost of goods, occupancy expenses and shipping costs. Cost of goods consists of cost of merchandise, inbound freight expenses, freight-to-store expenses and other inventory related costs such as shrinkage, damages and replacements. Occupancy expenses consist of rent, depreciation and other occupancy costs, including common area maintenance and utilities. Shipping costs consist of third party delivery services and shipping materials.

Our classification of expenses in cost of goods sold may not be comparable to other public companies, as we do not include non-occupancy related costs associated with our distribution network in cost of goods sold. These costs, which include distribution network employment, third party warehouse management and other distribution-related administrative expenses, are recorded in selling, general and administrative expenses.

Table of Contents

Within our reportable segments, the direct-to-customer channel does not incur freight-to-store or store occupancy expenses, and typically operates with lower markdowns and inventory shrinkage than the retail channel. However, the direct-to-customer channel incurs higher customer shipping, damage and replacement costs than the retail channel.

Fiscal 2009 vs. Fiscal 2008

Cost of goods sold decreased by \$226,833,000, or 10.2%, in fiscal 2009 compared to fiscal 2008. Including expense of approximately \$3,725,000 from lease termination related costs associated with underperforming retail stores and the exit of excess distribution capacity, cost of goods sold as a percentage of net revenues decreased to 64.4% in fiscal 2009 from 66.2% in fiscal 2008. This decrease as a percentage of net revenues was driven by a reduction in the cost of merchandise (including the impact of reduced markdown activity), favorable inventory shrinkage results and a decrease in replacement and damages expense, partially offset by the deleverage of fixed occupancy expenses resulting from declining net revenues and the expense from lease termination related costs.

In the retail channel, cost of goods sold as a percentage of retail net revenues decreased 320 basis points during fiscal 2009 compared to fiscal 2008. This decrease as a percentage of net revenues was primarily driven by a reduction in cost of merchandise (including the impact of reduced markdown activity), favorable inventory shrinkage results, partially offset by the deleverage of fixed occupancy expenses resulting from declining net revenues.

In the direct-to-customer channel, cost of goods sold as a percentage of direct-to-customer net revenues decreased by 130 basis points during fiscal 2009 compared to fiscal 2008. This decrease as a percentage of net revenues was driven by a decrease in replacement and damages expense and favorable inventory shrinkage results, partially offset by an increase in cost of merchandise (including the impact of greater markdown activity) and the deleverage of fixed occupancy expenses resulting from declining net revenues.

Fiscal 2008 vs. Fiscal 2007

Cost of goods sold decreased by \$182,663,000, or 7.6%, in fiscal 2008 compared to fiscal 2007. Including expense of approximately \$2,390,000 from lease termination related costs associated with our infrastructure cost reduction program, cost of goods sold as a percentage of net revenues increased to 66.2% in fiscal 2008 from 61.1% in fiscal 2007. This increase as a percentage of net revenues was driven by the deleverage of fixed occupancy expenses primarily due to declining sales, an increase in cost of merchandise (including the impact of greater markdowns) and an increase in inventory related reserves of approximately \$11,172,000 partially offset by favorable replacement and damages expense.

In the retail channel, cost of goods sold as a percentage of retail net revenues increased 670 basis points during fiscal 2008 compared to fiscal 2007. This increase as a percentage of net revenues was primarily driven by the deleverage of fixed occupancy expenses due to declining sales, an increase in cost of merchandise (including the impact of greater markdowns) and an increase in inventory related reserves, partially offset by favorable replacement and damages expense.

In the direct-to-customer channel, cost of goods sold as a percentage of direct-to-customer net revenues increased by 180 basis points during fiscal 2008 compared to fiscal 2007. This increase as a percentage of net revenues was primarily driven by an increase in cost of merchandise (including the impact of greater markdowns), an increase in inventory related reserves and the deleverage of fixed occupancy expenses due to declining sales, partially offset by favorable replacement and damages expense.

SELLING, GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES

	Fiscal 2009	% Net	Fiscal 2008	% Net	Fiscal 2007	% Net
<i>Dollars in thousands</i>	(52 Weeks)	Revenues	(52 Weeks)	Revenues	(53 Weeks)	Revenues
Selling, general and administrative expenses	\$ 981,795	31.6%	\$ 1,093,019	32.5%	\$ 1,222,573	31.0%
Selling, general and administrative expenses consist of non-occupancy related costs associated with our retail stores, distribution warehouses, customer care centers, supply chain operations (buying, receiving and						

Table of Contents

inspection), and corporate administrative functions. These costs include employment, advertising, third party credit card processing and other general expenses.

We experience differing employment and advertising costs as a percentage of net revenues within the retail and direct-to-customer channels due to their distinct distribution and marketing strategies. Store employment costs represent a greater percentage of retail net revenues than employment costs as a percentage of net revenues within the direct-to-customer channel. However, advertising expenses are greater within the direct-to-customer channel than the retail channel.

Fiscal 2009 vs. Fiscal 2008

Selling, general and administrative expenses decreased by \$111,224,000, or 10.2%, compared to fiscal 2008. Including expense of approximately \$32,898,000 from asset impairment and early lease termination charges for underperforming retail stores and \$5,981,000 associated with the exit of excess distribution capacity, selling, general and administrative expenses as a percentage of net revenues decreased to 31.6% in fiscal 2009 from 32.5% in fiscal 2008. This decrease as a percentage of net revenues was primarily driven by the year-over-year benefit from our infrastructure cost reduction program implemented at the end of fiscal 2008 and advertising expense reductions associated with our catalog circulation optimization strategy. Further contributing to this decrease was severance related costs of approximately \$10,344,000 associated with our infrastructure cost reduction program recorded in fiscal 2008, which did not recur in fiscal 2009. This decrease as a percentage of net revenues was partially offset by an increase in incentive compensation expense in fiscal 2009; an approximate \$16,000,000 benefit related to a gain on the sale of our corporate aircraft, an \$11,023,000 benefit associated with the reversal of performance-based stock compensation expense and a net \$9,350,000 incentive payment received from a landlord to compensate us for terminating a store lease prior to its original expiration, all of which were recorded in fiscal 2008 and did not recur in fiscal 2009; and an increase in asset impairment and lease termination costs related to our underperforming retail stores and the exit of excess distribution capacity in fiscal 2009.

In the retail channel, selling, general and administrative expenses as a percentage of retail net revenues decreased approximately 180 basis points in fiscal 2009 compared to fiscal 2008. This decrease as a percentage of net revenues was primarily driven by a year-over-year reduction in employment costs due to our infrastructure cost reduction program. This decrease was partially offset by a \$9,350,000 incentive payment received from a landlord to compensate us for terminating a store lease prior to its original expiration recorded in fiscal 2008 that did not recur in fiscal 2009.

In the direct-to-customer channel, selling, general and administrative expenses as a percentage of direct-to-customer net revenues decreased by approximately 290 basis points in fiscal 2009 compared to fiscal 2008. This decrease as a percentage of net revenues was primarily driven by fiscal 2009 advertising expense reductions associated with our catalog circulation optimization strategy.

Fiscal 2008 vs. Fiscal 2007

Selling, general and administrative expenses decreased by \$129,554,000, or 10.6%, compared to fiscal 2007, however, as a percentage of net revenues, selling, general and administrative expenses increased to 32.5% in fiscal 2008 from 31.0% in fiscal 2007. This increase as a percentage of net revenues was primarily driven by the deleverage of our employment costs due to declining sales, asset impairment charges of approximately \$33,995,000 related to our underperforming retail stores, and severance related costs of approximately \$10,344,000 associated with our infrastructure cost reduction program. This increase was partially offset by an approximate \$16,000,000 benefit related to a gain on the sale of our corporate aircraft, a net benefit of \$11,023,000 associated with the reversal of performance-based stock compensation expense, a \$9,350,000 incentive payment received from a landlord to compensate us for terminating a store lease prior to its original expiration and reductions in other general expenses. Although total advertising costs as a percentage of net revenues increased due to declining sales, during fiscal 2008 compared to fiscal 2007 we saw a reduction in catalog advertising expenditures due to our catalog circulation optimization strategy.

In the retail channel, selling, general and administrative expenses as a percentage of retail net revenues increased approximately 230 basis points in fiscal 2008 compared to fiscal 2007. This increase as a percentage of net

Table of Contents

revenues was primarily driven by impairment charges of \$33,995,000 associated with our underperforming retail stores and the deleverage of our employment costs due to declining sales, partially offset by a \$9,350,000 incentive payment received from a landlord to compensate us for terminating a store lease prior to its original expiration.

In the direct-to-customer channel, selling, general and administrative expenses as a percentage of direct-to-customer net revenues increased by approximately 120 basis points in fiscal 2008 compared to fiscal 2007. This increase as a percentage of net revenues was primarily driven by the deleverage of our employment and advertising costs due to declining sales, partially offset by reductions in other general expenses. Although total advertising costs as a percentage of net revenues increased due to declining sales during fiscal 2008 compared to fiscal 2007, we saw a reduction in catalog advertising expenditures due to our catalog circulation optimization strategy.

INCOME TAXES

Our effective income tax rate was 35.6% for fiscal 2009, 28.4% for fiscal 2008 and 38.1% for fiscal 2007. The increase in the effective income tax rate over fiscal 2008 was primarily driven by certain favorable income tax resolutions during fiscal 2008 that did not recur in fiscal 2009.

We currently expect our fiscal 2010 effective tax rate to be in the range of 37% to 40%. Throughout the year, we expect that there could be ongoing variability in our quarterly tax rates as changes in the level of earnings can increase the volatility of our tax rate. Additionally, our quarterly tax rate may continue to experience ongoing variability as taxable events occur and uncertain tax positions are re-evaluated for changes in events or circumstances, settlements, or expiration of statutes of limitations.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

As of January 31, 2010, we held \$513,943,000 in cash and cash equivalent funds (the highest cash balance in our history), the majority of which are held in money market funds and highly liquid U.S. Treasury bills. As is consistent with our industry, our cash balances are seasonal in nature, with the fourth quarter historically representing a significantly higher level of cash than other periods.

Throughout the fiscal year, we utilize our cash balances to build our inventory levels in preparation for our fourth quarter holiday sales. In fiscal 2009, our cash resources were used to fund our inventory and inventory related purchases, catalog advertising and marketing initiatives, purchases of property and equipment and dividend payments. In addition, on December 7, 2009, in an effort to reduce interest and other debt-related costs and due to our significant cash balances on hand, we repaid the remaining outstanding balance on our Mississippi industrial development bonds in the amount of \$13,150,000, without penalty. In addition to the current cash balances on hand, we have a credit facility that provides for a \$300,000,000 unsecured revolving line of credit that may be used for loans or letters of credit. Prior to April 4, 2011, we may, upon notice to the lenders, request an increase in the credit facility of up to \$200,000,000 to provide for a total of \$500,000,000 of unsecured revolving credit. No amounts were outstanding under the credit facility as of January 31, 2010 or February 1, 2009. Additionally, as of January 31, 2010, \$26,112,000 in issued but undrawn standby letters of credit was outstanding under the credit facility. Further, as of January 31, 2010, we had four unsecured letter of credit reimbursement facilities for a total of \$125,000,000. As of January 31, 2010, an aggregate of \$30,625,000 was outstanding under these letter of credit facilities, which represent only a future commitment to fund inventory purchases to which we had not taken legal title. We are currently in compliance with all of our bank covenants and based on our current projections, we expect to remain in compliance throughout fiscal 2010. We believe our cash on-hand, in addition to our available credit facilities, will provide adequate liquidity for our business operations over the next 12 months.

In fiscal 2009, net cash provided by operating activities was \$490,718,000 compared to net cash provided by operating activities of \$230,163,000 in fiscal 2008. Net cash provided by operating activities in fiscal 2009 was primarily attributable to a decrease in merchandise inventories due to our inventory reduction initiatives throughout fiscal 2009, an increase in income taxes payable resulting from an increase in earnings as well as an increase in accounts payable and accrued salaries, benefits and other expenses due to the timing of expenditures.

Table of Contents

Net cash provided by operating activities in fiscal 2009 increased compared to fiscal 2008 primarily due to an increase in income taxes payable, an increase in accrued salaries, benefits and other expenses and an increase in accounts payable.

In fiscal 2008, net cash provided by operating activities was \$230,163,000 compared to net cash provided by operating activities of \$245,539,000 in fiscal 2007. Cash provided by operating activities in fiscal 2008 was primarily attributable to a decrease in merchandise inventories due to our inventory reduction initiatives throughout fiscal 2008, an increase in deferred rent and lease incentives due to the opening of new stores and a decrease in our prepaid catalog costs due to our catalog circulation optimization strategy. This was partially offset by a decrease in income taxes payable due to the payment of our fiscal 2007 income taxes and a significantly reduced income tax obligation due to reduced earnings in the back half of fiscal 2008.

Net cash used in investing activities was \$71,230,000 for fiscal 2009 compared to \$144,039,000 in fiscal 2008. Fiscal 2009 purchases of property and equipment were \$72,263,000, comprised of \$40,717,000 for 9 new and 8 remodeled or expanded stores, \$26,163,000 for systems development projects (including e-commerce websites) and \$5,383,000 for distribution center and other infrastructure projects. Net cash used in investing activities for fiscal 2009 decreased compared to fiscal 2008 primarily due to a reduction in our purchases of property and equipment resulting from a decrease in the number of new and remodeled stores we opened during fiscal 2009 and an overall reduction in all other capital expenditures, partially offset by proceeds received from the sale of a corporate aircraft during fiscal 2008 that did not recur in fiscal 2009.

Net cash used in investing activities was \$144,039,000 for fiscal 2008 compared to \$197,250,000 in fiscal 2007. Fiscal 2008 purchases of property and equipment were \$191,789,000, comprised of \$131,792,000 for 29 new and 23 remodeled or expanded stores, \$45,847,000 for systems development projects (including e-commerce websites) and \$14,150,000 for distribution center and other infrastructure projects. Net cash used in investing activities in fiscal 2008 was partially offset by proceeds from the sale of a corporate aircraft of \$46,787,000.

In fiscal 2010, we anticipate investing \$70,000,000 to \$75,000,000 in the purchase of property and equipment, primarily for systems development projects (including e-commerce websites), the construction of 4 new stores and 6 remodeled or expanded stores, and distribution center and other infrastructure projects.

For fiscal 2009, net cash used in financing activities was \$55,498,000 compared to \$52,160,000 in fiscal 2008. Net cash used in financing activities in fiscal 2009 was primarily attributable to the payment of dividends and the repayment of long-term obligations predominantly associated with the remaining outstanding balance on our Mississippi industrial development bonds, partially offset by net proceeds from the exercise of stock-based awards. Net cash used in financing activities in fiscal 2009 increased compared to fiscal 2008 primarily due to the repayment of the remaining outstanding balance on our Mississippi industrial development bonds, partially offset by net proceeds from the exercise of stock-based awards during fiscal 2009.

For fiscal 2008, net cash used in financing activities was \$52,160,000 compared to \$208,482,000 in fiscal 2007. Net cash used in financing activities in fiscal 2008 was primarily attributable to the payment of dividends. Net cash used in financing activities in fiscal 2008 decreased from fiscal 2007 primarily due to the repurchase of \$190,378,000 of common stock in fiscal 2007 that did not recur in fiscal 2008.

Stock Repurchase Program

We did not repurchase any shares of our common stock during fiscal 2009 or fiscal 2008 and there currently is no stock repurchase program authorized by our Board of Directors.

Dividends

During fiscal 2009 and fiscal 2008, total cash dividends declared were approximately \$51,424,000 and \$51,189,000, respectively, or \$0.12 per common share per quarter. Subsequent to year end, in March 2010, our Board of Directors authorized an increase in our quarterly cash dividend from \$0.12 to \$0.13 per common share, subject to capital availability, payable on May 24, 2010 to shareholders of record as of the close of business on April 27, 2010. Our quarterly cash dividend may be limited or terminated at any time.

Table of Contents**Contractual Obligations**

The following table provides summary information concerning our future contractual obligations as of January 31, 2010:

	Payments Due by Period ¹				
	Fiscal 2011	Fiscal 2012	Fiscal 2013	Fiscal 2014	Thereafter
<i>Dollars in thousands</i>					
Memphis-based distribution facilities obligation	\$ 1,461	\$ 4,585	\$ 3,754	\$	\$ 9,800
Capital leases	126	333			459
Interest ²	974	2,050	556		3,580
Operating leases ³	235,982	602,789	300,434	543,998	1,683,203
Purchase obligations ⁴	456,880	7,812			464,692
Total	\$ 695,423	\$ 617,569	\$ 304,744	\$ 543,998	\$ 2,161,734

¹ This table excludes \$20.9 million of liabilities for unrecognized tax benefits associated with uncertain tax positions as we are not able to reasonably estimate when cash payments for these liabilities will occur. This amount, however, has been recorded as a liability in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheet as of January 31, 2010.

² Represents interest expected to be paid on our long-term debt and our capital leases.

³ Projected payments include only those amounts that are fixed and determinable as of the reporting date.

⁴ Represents estimated commitments at year-end to purchase inventory and other goods and services in the normal course of business to meet operational requirements.

Memphis-Based Distribution Facilities Obligation

As of January 31, 2010, total debt of \$9,800,000 consists entirely of bond-related debt pertaining to the consolidation of our Memphis-based distribution facilities due to their related party relationship and our obligation to renew the leases until the bonds are fully repaid. See discussion of the consolidation of our Memphis-based distribution facilities at Note F to our Consolidated Financial Statements.

Capital Leases

As of January 31, 2010, capital lease obligations of \$459,000 consist primarily of leases for distribution center equipment.

Operating Leases

We lease store locations, distribution centers, customer care centers, corporate facilities and certain equipment for original terms ranging generally from 2 to 22 years. Certain leases contain renewal options for periods up to 20 years. The rental payment requirements in our store leases are typically structured as either minimum rent, minimum rent plus additional rent based on a percentage of store sales if a specified store sales threshold is exceeded, or rent based on a percentage of store sales if a specified store sales threshold or contractual obligations of the landlord has not been met. Contingent rental payments, including rental payments that are based on a percentage of sales, cannot be predicted with certainty at the onset of the lease term. Accordingly, any contingent rental payments are recorded as incurred each period when the sales threshold is probable and are excluded from our calculation of deferred rent liability. See Notes A and E to our Consolidated Financial Statements.

We are party to a variety of contractual agreements under which we may be obligated to indemnify the other party for certain matters. These contracts primarily relate to our commercial contracts, operating leases, trademarks, intellectual property, financial agreements and various other agreements. Under these contracts, we may provide certain routine indemnifications relating to representations and warranties or personal injury matters. The terms of these indemnifications range in duration and may not be explicitly defined. Historically, we have not made significant payments for these indemnifications. We believe that if we were to incur a loss in any of these matters, the loss would not have a material effect on our financial condition or results of operations.

Other Contractual Obligations

We have other liabilities reflected in our Consolidated Balance Sheets. The payment obligations associated with these liabilities are not reflected in the table above due to the absence of scheduled maturities. The timing of

Table of Contents

these payments cannot be determined, except for amounts estimated to be payable in fiscal 2010 which are included in our current liabilities as of January 31, 2010.

Commercial Commitments

The following table provides summary information concerning our outstanding commercial commitments as of January 31, 2010:

<i>Dollars in thousands</i>	Amount of Outstanding Commitment Expiration By Period				Total
	Fiscal 2010	Fiscal 2011 to Fiscal 2013	Fiscal 2014 to Fiscal 2015	Thereafter	
Credit facility	\$				\$
Letter of credit facilities	30,625				30,625
Standby letters of credit	26,112				26,112
Total	\$ 56,737				\$ 56,737

Credit Facility

We have a credit facility that provides for a \$300,000,000 unsecured revolving line of credit that may be used for loans or letters of credit. Prior to April 4, 2011, we may, upon notice to the lenders, request an increase in the credit facility of up to \$200,000,000, to provide for a total of \$500,000,000 of unsecured revolving credit. The revolving line of credit facility contains certain financial covenants, including a maximum leverage ratio (funded debt adjusted for lease and rent expense to earnings before interest, depreciation, amortization and rent expense EBITDAR), a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio (calculated as EBITDAR to total fixed charges), and covenants limiting our ability to repurchase shares of stock or increase our dividend, in addition to covenants limiting our ability to dispose of assets, make acquisitions, be acquired (if a default would result from the acquisition), incur indebtedness, grant liens and make investments. The credit facility also contains events of default that include, among others, non-payment of principal, interest or fees, violation of covenants, inaccuracy of representations and warranties, bankruptcy and insolvency events, material judgments, cross defaults to material indebtedness and events constituting a change of control. The occurrence of an event of default will increase the applicable rate of interest by 2.0% and could result in the acceleration of our obligations under the credit facility and an obligation of any or all of our subsidiaries that have guaranteed our credit facility to pay the full amount of our obligations under the credit facility. As of January 31, 2010, we were in compliance with our financial covenants under the credit facility and, based on current projections, expect to be in compliance throughout fiscal 2010. The credit facility matures on October 4, 2011, at which time all outstanding borrowings must be repaid and all outstanding letters of credit must be cash collateralized.

We may elect interest rates calculated at (i) Bank of America's prime rate (or, if greater, the average rate on overnight federal funds plus one-half of one percent, or a rate based on LIBOR plus one percent) plus a margin based on our leverage ratio, or (ii) LIBOR plus a margin based on our leverage ratio. No amounts were outstanding under the credit facility as of January 31, 2010 or February 1, 2009. Additionally, as of January 31, 2010, \$26,112,000 in issued but undrawn standby letters of credit was outstanding under the credit facility. The standby letters of credit were issued to secure the liabilities associated with workers' compensation and other insurance programs.

Letter of Credit Facilities

We have four unsecured commercial letter of credit reimbursement facilities, each of which matures on September 3, 2010. The aggregate credit available under all letter of credit facilities is \$125,000,000. The letter of credit facilities contain covenants and provide for events of default that are consistent with our unsecured revolving line of credit. Interest on unreimbursed amounts under the letter of credit facilities accrues at the lender's prime rate (or if greater, the average rate on overnight federal funds plus one-half of one percent) plus 2.0%. As of January 31, 2010, an aggregate of \$30,625,000 was outstanding under the letter of credit facilities, which represent only a future commitment to fund inventory purchases to which we had not taken legal title. The latest expiration possible for any future letters of credit issued under the facilities is January 31, 2011.

Table of Contents

CONSOLIDATION OF MEMPHIS-BASED DISTRIBUTION FACILITIES

Our Memphis-based distribution facilities include an operating lease entered into in July 1983 for a distribution facility in Memphis, Tennessee. The lessor is a general partnership (Partnership 1) comprised of W. Howard Lester, our Chairman of the Board of Directors and Chief Executive Officer, and James A. McMahan, a Director Emeritus and a significant shareholder. Partnership 1 does not have operations separate from the leasing of this distribution facility and does not have lease agreements with any unrelated third parties.

Partnership 1 financed the construction of this distribution facility through the sale of a total of \$9,200,000 of industrial development bonds in 1983 and 1985. Annual principal payments and monthly interest payments are required through maturity in December 2010. The Partnership 1 industrial development bonds are collateralized by the distribution facility and the individual partners guarantee the bond repayments. As of January 31, 2010, \$175,000 was outstanding under the Partnership 1 industrial development bonds.

We made annual rental payments in fiscal 2009, fiscal 2008 and fiscal 2007 of approximately \$618,000, plus interest on the bonds calculated at a variable rate determined monthly (approximately 1.8% as of January 31, 2010), applicable taxes, insurance and maintenance expenses. The term of the lease automatically renews on an annual basis until the bonds are fully repaid in December 2010, at which time, we intend to enter into a new short-term lease agreement on this facility.

Our other Memphis-based distribution facility includes an operating lease entered into in August 1990 for another distribution facility that is adjoined to the Partnership 1 facility in Memphis, Tennessee. The lessor is a general partnership (Partnership 2) comprised of W. Howard Lester, James A. McMahan and two unrelated parties. Partnership 2 does not have operations separate from the leasing of this distribution facility and does not have lease agreements with any unrelated third parties.

Partnership 2 financed the construction of this distribution facility and related addition through the sale of a total of \$24,000,000 of industrial development bonds in 1990 and 1994. Quarterly interest and annual principal payments are required through maturity in August 2015. The Partnership 2 industrial development bonds are collateralized by the distribution facility and require us to maintain certain financial covenants. As of January 31, 2010, \$9,625,000 was outstanding under the Partnership 2 industrial development bonds.

We made annual rental payments of approximately \$2,582,000, \$2,577,000 and \$2,591,000 plus applicable taxes, insurance and maintenance expenses in fiscal 2009, fiscal 2008 and fiscal 2007, respectively. The term of the lease automatically renews on an annual basis until these bonds are fully repaid in August 2015.

The two partnerships described above qualify as variable interest entities due to their related party relationship to us and our obligation to renew the leases until the bonds are fully repaid. Accordingly, the two related party variable interest entity partnerships, from which we lease our Memphis-based distribution facilities, are consolidated by us. As of January 31, 2010, our consolidated balance sheet includes \$15,765,000 in assets (primarily buildings), \$9,800,000 in debt and \$5,965,000 in other long-term liabilities related to these leases.

IMPACT OF INFLATION

The impact of inflation (or deflation) on our results of operations for the past three fiscal years has not been significant. In light of the current economic environment, however, we cannot be certain of the effect inflation (or deflation) may have on our results of our operations in the future.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations is based on our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses and related disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities. These estimates and assumptions are evaluated on an ongoing basis and are based on historical experience and various other factors that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

Table of Contents

We believe the following critical accounting policies affect the significant estimates and assumptions used in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements.

Merchandise Inventories

Merchandise inventories, net of an allowance for excess quantities and obsolescence, are stated at the lower of cost (weighted average method) or market. To determine if the value of our inventory should be marked down below cost, we consider current and anticipated demand, customer preferences, age of the merchandise and fashion trends. Our inventory value is adjusted periodically to reflect current market conditions, which requires management judgments that may significantly affect the ending inventory valuation, as well as gross margin. The significant estimates used in inventory valuation are obsolescence (including excess and slow-moving inventory and lower of cost or market reserves) and estimates of inventory shrinkage. We reserve for obsolescence based on historical trends, aging reports, specific identification and our estimates of future retail sales prices.

Reserves for shrinkage are estimated and recorded throughout the year, at the concept and channel level, as a percentage of net sales based on historical shrinkage results, expectations of future shrinkage and current inventory levels. Actual shrinkage is recorded at year-end based on the results of our physical inventory count and can vary from our estimates due to such factors as changes in operations within our distribution centers, the mix of our inventory (which ranges from large furniture to small tabletop items) and execution against loss prevention initiatives in our stores, distribution centers, off-site storage locations, and with our third-party transportation providers. Accordingly, there is no remaining shrinkage reserve balance at year-end.

Due to these factors, our obsolescence and shrinkage reserves contain uncertainties. Both estimates have calculations that require management to make assumptions and to apply judgment regarding a number of factors, including market conditions, the selling environment, historical results and current inventory trends. If actual obsolescence or shrinkage estimates change from our original estimate, we will adjust our reserves accordingly throughout the year. Management does not believe that changes in the assumptions used in these estimates would have a significant effect on our inventory balances. We have made no material changes to our assumptions included in the calculations of the obsolescence and shrinkage reserves throughout the year. In addition, we do not believe a 10% change in our inventory reserves would have a material effect on net earnings. As of January 31, 2010 and February 1, 2009, our inventory obsolescence reserves were \$18,565,000 and \$20,647,000, respectively.

Advertising and Prepaid Catalog Expenses

Advertising expenses consist of media and production costs related to catalog mailings, e-commerce advertising and other direct marketing activities. All advertising costs are expensed as incurred, or upon the release of the initial advertisement, with the exception of prepaid catalog expenses. Prepaid catalog expenses consist primarily of third party incremental direct costs, including creative design, paper, printing, postage and mailing costs for all of our direct response catalogs. Such costs are capitalized as prepaid catalog expenses and are amortized over their expected period of future benefit. Such amortization is based upon the ratio of actual revenues to the total of actual and estimated future revenues on an individual catalog basis. Estimated future revenues are based upon various factors such as the total number of catalogs and pages circulated, the probability and magnitude of consumer response and the assortment of merchandise offered. Each catalog is generally fully amortized over a six to nine month period, with the majority of the amortization occurring within the first four to five months. Prepaid catalog expenses are evaluated for realizability on a monthly basis by comparing the carrying amount associated with each catalog to the estimated probable remaining future profitability (remaining net revenues less merchandise cost of goods sold, selling expenses and catalog-related costs) associated with that catalog. If the catalog is not expected to be profitable, the carrying amount of the catalog is impaired accordingly.

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment is stated at cost. Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets.

We review the carrying value of all long-lived assets for impairment, primarily at a store level, whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of an asset may not be recoverable. We review for impairment all stores for which current or projected cash flows from operations are not sufficient to recover the

Table of Contents

carrying value of the assets. Impairment results when the carrying value of the assets exceeds the undiscounted future cash flows over the remaining life of the lease. Our estimate of undiscounted future cash flows over the store lease term (generally 5 to 22 years) is based upon our experience, historical operations of the stores and estimates of future store profitability and economic conditions. The future estimates of store profitability and economic conditions require estimating such factors as sales growth, gross margin, employment rates, lease escalations, inflation on operating expenses and the overall economics of the retail industry and are therefore subject to variability and difficult to predict. If a long-lived asset is found to be impaired, the amount recognized for impairment is equal to the difference between the net carrying value and the asset's fair value. Long-lived assets are measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis using Level 3 inputs as defined in the fair value hierarchy. The fair value is estimated based upon future cash flows (discounted at a rate that is commensurate with the risk and approximates our weighted average cost of capital). We recorded impairment charges related to our underperforming retail stores of \$28,941,000, \$33,995,000 and \$1,082,000 in selling, general and administrative expenses in fiscal 2009, fiscal 2008 and fiscal 2007, respectively.

Self-Insured Liabilities

We are primarily self-insured for workers' compensation, employee health benefits and product and general liability claims. We record self-insurance liabilities based on claims filed, including the development of those claims, and an estimate of claims incurred but not yet reported. Factors affecting this estimate include future inflation rates, changes in severity, benefit level changes, medical costs and claim settlement patterns. Should a different amount of claims occur compared to what was estimated, or costs of the claims increase or decrease beyond what was anticipated, reserves may need to be adjusted accordingly. We determine our workers' compensation liability and product and general liability claims reserves based on an actuarial analysis of historical claims data. Self-insurance reserves for employee health benefits, workers' compensation and product and general liability claims were \$20,111,000 and \$21,006,000 as of January 31, 2010 and February 1, 2009, respectively and are recorded within accrued salaries, benefits and other on our Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Customer Deposits

Customer deposits are primarily comprised of unredeemed gift cards, gift certificates, and merchandise credits and deferred revenue related to undelivered merchandise. We maintain a liability for unredeemed gift cards, gift certificates, and merchandise credits until the earlier of redemption, escheatment or four years as we have concluded that the likelihood of our gift cards and gift certificates being redeemed beyond four years from the date of issuance is remote.

Revenue Recognition

We recognize revenues and the related cost of goods sold (including shipping costs) at the time the products are delivered to our customers. Revenue is recognized for retail sales (excluding home-delivered merchandise) at the point of sale in the store and for home-delivered merchandise and direct-to-customer sales when the merchandise is delivered to the customers. Discounts provided to customers are accounted for as a reduction of sales. We record a reserve for estimated product returns in each reporting period. Shipping and handling fees charged to the customer are recognized as revenue at the time the products are delivered to the customer. Revenues are presented net of any taxes collected from customers and remitted to governmental authorities.

Sales Return Reserve

Our customers may return purchased items for an exchange or refund. We record a reserve for estimated product returns, net of cost of goods sold, based on historical return trends together with current product sales performance. As of January 31, 2010 and February 1, 2009, our reserve for sales returns was \$11,839,000 and \$10,142,000, respectively.

Stock-Based Compensation

We measure and record compensation expense in our consolidated financial statements for all stock-based awards using a fair value method. For stock options and stock-settled stock appreciation rights (option awards), fair value is determined using the Black-Scholes valuation model, while restricted stock units are valued using

Table of Contents

the closing price of our stock on the date prior to the date of issuance. Significant factors affecting the fair value of option awards include the estimated future volatility of our stock price and the estimated expected term until the option award is exercised or cancelled. The fair value of the award is amortized over the requisite service period. Total stock-based compensation expense was \$24,989,000, \$12,131,000 (which includes an \$11,023,000 reversal of compensation expense related to performance-based stock awards, see Note H) and \$26,812,000, in fiscal 2009, fiscal 2008 and fiscal 2007, respectively, and is recorded as a component of selling, general and administrative expenses.

Income Taxes

Income taxes are accounted for using the asset and liability method. Under this method, deferred income taxes arise from temporary differences between the tax basis of assets and liabilities and their reported amounts in the consolidated financial statements. We record reserves for estimates of probable settlements of foreign and domestic tax audits. At any one time, many tax years are subject to audit by various taxing jurisdictions. The results of these audits and negotiations with taxing authorities may affect the ultimate settlement of these issues. Additionally, our effective tax rate in a given financial statement period may be materially impacted by changes in the mix and level of our earnings.

In accordance with the accounting for income taxes and uncertain tax positions, we make estimates regarding the likelihood that certain tax positions will be realized upon ultimate settlement and we record reserves where necessary. It is reasonably possible that current income tax examinations involving uncertain tax positions could be resolved within the next 12 months through administrative adjudicative procedures or settlement.

NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In June 2009, Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Topic 810-10 *Consolidation*, (formerly Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 167, *Amendments to FASB Interpretation No. 46(R)*) was issued. This standard revises the consolidation guidance for variable interest entities and became effective for us on February 1, 2010. We do not expect this standard to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

We are exposed to market risks, which include significant deterioration of the U.S. and foreign markets, changes in U.S. interest rates, foreign currency exchange rates, including the devaluation of the U.S. dollar, and the effects of uncertain economic forces which may affect the prices we pay our vendors in the foreign countries in which we do business. We do not engage in financial transactions for trading or speculative purposes.

Interest Rate Risk

As of January 31, 2010, we had two debt instruments with variable interest rates which subject us to risks associated with changes in interest rates, the interest payable on our credit facility and the bond-related debt associated with one of our Memphis-based distribution facilities. As of January 31, 2010, the total outstanding principal balance on these instruments was \$175,000 (with an interest rate of 1.8%) solely pertaining to our bond-related debt associated with our Memphis-based distribution facilities. If interest rates on these existing variable rate debt instruments rose 10%, our results from operations and cash flows would not be materially affected.

In addition, we have fixed and variable income investments consisting of short-term investments classified as cash and cash equivalents, which are also affected by changes in market interest rates. As of January 31, 2010, our investments, made primarily in money market funds and highly liquid U.S. Treasury bills, are stated at cost and approximate their fair values.

Foreign Currency Risks

We purchase a significant amount of inventory from vendors outside of the U.S. in transactions that are denominated in U.S. dollars; however, only approximately 4% of our international purchase transactions are in currencies other than the U.S. dollar, primarily the euro. Any currency risks related to these international purchase transactions were not significant to us during fiscal 2009 and fiscal 2008. Since we pay for the majority of our international purchases in U.S. dollars, however, a decline in the U.S. dollar relative to other foreign currencies would subject us to risks associated with increased purchasing costs from our vendors in their effort to offset any lost profits associated with any currency devaluation. We cannot predict with certainty the effect these increased costs may have on our financial statements or results of operations.

In addition, as of January 31, 2010, we have 17 retail stores in Canada and limited sourcing operations in both Europe and Asia, each of which expose us to market risk associated with foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations. Although these exchange rate fluctuations have not been material to us in the past, we may enter into foreign currency contracts in the future to minimize any currency remeasurement risk associated with the intercompany assets and liabilities of our subsidiaries. We did not enter into any foreign currency contracts during fiscal 2009 or fiscal 2008.

Table of Contents**ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA***Williams-Sonoma, Inc.**Consolidated Statements of Earnings*

	<i>Fiscal Year Ended</i>		
	Jan. 31, 2010 (52 Weeks)	Feb. 1, 2009 (52 Weeks)	Feb. 3, 2008 (53 Weeks)
<i>Dollars and shares in thousands, except per share amounts</i>			
Net revenues	\$ 3,102,704	\$ 3,361,472	\$ 3,944,934
Cost of goods sold	1,999,467	2,226,300	2,408,963
Gross margin	1,103,237	1,135,172	1,535,971
Selling, general and administrative expenses	981,795	1,093,019	1,222,573
Interest income	(235)	(1,280)	(5,041)
Interest expense	1,388	1,480	2,099
Earnings before income taxes	120,289	41,953	316,340
Income taxes	42,847	11,929	120,583
Net earnings	\$ 77,442	\$ 30,024	\$ 195,757
Basic earnings per share	\$ 0.73	\$ 0.28	\$ 1.79
Diluted earnings per share	\$ 0.72	\$ 0.28	\$ 1.76
Shares used in calculation of earnings per share:			
Basic	105,763	105,530	109,273
Diluted	107,373	106,880	111,447

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents*Williams-Sonoma, Inc.**Consolidated Balance Sheets*

<i>Dollars and shares in thousands, except per share amounts</i>	Jan. 31, 2010	Feb. 1, 2009
ASSETS		
Current assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 513,943	\$ 148,822
Accounts receivable net	44,187	37,405
Merchandise inventories net	466,124	572,899
Prepaid catalog expenses	32,777	36,424
Prepaid expenses	22,109	45,354
Deferred income taxes	92,195	90,349
Other assets	8,858	9,420
Total current assets	1,180,193	940,673
Property and equipment net	829,027	942,219
Non-current deferred income taxes	53,809	36,555
Other assets net	16,140	16,017
Total assets	\$ 2,079,169	\$ 1,935,464
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Current liabilities		
Accounts payable	\$ 188,241	\$ 162,362
Accrued salaries, benefits and other	107,710	75,732
Customer deposits	195,185	192,209
Income taxes payable	48,260	112
Current portion of long-term debt	1,587	14,702
Other liabilities	22,499	15,620
Total current liabilities	563,482	460,737
Deferred rent and lease incentives	241,300	264,672
Long-term debt	8,672	10,259
Other long-term obligations	54,120	51,812
Total liabilities	867,574	787,480
Commitments and contingencies See Note K		
Shareholders' equity		
Preferred stock, \$.01 par value, 7,500 shares authorized, none issued		
Common stock, \$.01 par value, 253,125 shares authorized, 106,962 shares issued and outstanding at January 31, 2010;		
105,664 shares issued and outstanding at February 1, 2009	1,070	1,057
Additional paid-in capital	448,848	416,366
Retained earnings	751,290	725,052
Accumulated other comprehensive income	10,387	5,509
Total shareholders' equity	1,211,595	1,147,984
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ 2,079,169	\$ 1,935,464

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents*Williams-Sonoma, Inc.**Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity*

<i>Dollars and shares in thousands</i>	Common Shares	Stock Amount	Additional Paid-in Capital	Retained Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income	Total Shareholders' Equity	Comprehensive Income
Balance at January 28, 2007	109,868	\$ 1,099	\$ 358,223	\$ 784,325	\$ 7,784	\$ 1,151,431	
Net earnings				195,757		195,757	\$ 195,757
Foreign currency translation adjustment					7,482	7,482	7,482
Unrealized loss on investment					(15)	(15)	(15)
Exercise of stock-based awards and related tax effect	1,677	16	36,337			36,353	
Repurchase and retirement of common stock	(6,196)	(61)	(18,060)	(172,257)		(190,378)	
Stock-based compensation expense			26,717	60		26,777	
Dividends declared				(50,000)		(50,000)	
Adoption of FIN 48				(11,684)		(11,684)	
Comprehensive income							\$ 203,224
Balance at February 3, 2008	105,349	\$ 1,054	\$ 403,217	\$ 746,201	\$ 15,251	\$ 1,165,723	
Net earnings				30,024		30,024	\$ 30,024
Foreign currency translation adjustment					(9,742)	(9,742)	(9,742)
Exercise of stock-based awards and related tax effect	299	3	1,034			1,037	
Conversion/release of stock-based awards	16						
Stock-based compensation expense			12,115	16		12,131	
Dividends declared				(51,189)		(51,189)	
Comprehensive income							\$ 20,282
Balance at February 1, 2009	105,664	\$ 1,057	\$ 416,366	\$ 725,052	\$ 5,509	\$ 1,147,984	
Net earnings				77,442		77,442	\$ 77,442
Foreign currency translation adjustment					4,876	4,876	4,876
Unrealized gain on investment					2	2	2
Exercise of stock-based awards and related tax effect	963	10	11,337			11,347	
Conversion/release of stock-based awards	335	3	(3,624)			(3,621)	
Stock-based compensation expense			24,769	220		24,989	
Dividends declared				(51,424)		(51,424)	
Comprehensive income							\$ 82,320
Balance at January 31, 2010	106,962	\$ 1,070	\$ 448,848	\$ 751,290	\$ 10,387	\$ 1,211,595	

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents*Williams-Sonoma, Inc.**Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows*

	Jan. 31, 2010	Fiscal Year Ended	
		Feb. 1, 2009	Feb. 3, 2008
	(52 Weeks)	(52 Weeks)	(53 Weeks)
<i>Dollars in thousands</i>			
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net earnings	\$ 77,442	\$ 30,024	\$ 195,757
Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	151,796	148,083	140,701
Loss on disposal/impairment of assets	33,136	39,317	4,700
Gain on sale of asset		(16,115)	
Amortization of deferred lease incentives	(36,799)	(31,266)	(29,400)
Deferred income taxes	(23,595)	5,107	(31,951)
Tax benefit from exercise of stock-based awards	714	1,059	3,922
Stock-based compensation expense	24,989	12,131	26,812
Other		(416)	
Changes in:			
Accounts receivable	(6,620)	9,579	2,091
Merchandise inventories	108,332	118,679	(81,469)
Prepaid catalog expenses	3,647	18,483	4,702
Prepaid expenses and other assets	23,349	(8,578)	(8,161)
Accounts payable	29,202	(27,532)	(30,068)
Accrued salaries, benefits and other current and long-term liabilities	42,084	(24,361)	5,945
Customer deposits	2,353	(8,644)	13,458
Deferred rent and lease incentives	12,403	49,619	37,675
Income taxes payable	48,285	(85,006)	(9,175)
Net cash provided by operating activities	490,718	230,163	245,539
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Purchases of property and equipment	(72,263)	(191,789)	(212,024)
Proceeds from sale of assets and investments	1,033	47,257	285
Proceeds from software developer reimbursement			14,770
Other		493	(281)
Net cash used in investing activities	(71,230)	(144,039)	(197,250)
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Borrowings under line of credit		195,800	189,000
Repayments of borrowings under line of credit		(195,800)	(189,000)
Repayments of long-term obligations	(14,702)	(1,617)	(2,703)
Net proceeds from exercise of stock-based awards	11,861	461	28,362
Tax withholdings from the conversion/release of stock-based awards	(3,621)		
Excess tax benefit from exercise of stock-based awards	2,131	1,034	5,100
Payment of dividends	(51,132)	(50,518)	(48,863)
Repurchases of common stock			(190,378)
Other	(35)	(1,520)	
Net cash used in financing activities	(55,498)	(52,160)	(208,482)
Effect of exchange rates on cash and cash equivalents	1,131	(4,092)	3,714
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	365,121	29,872	(156,479)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	148,822	118,950	275,429
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	\$ 513,943	\$ 148,822	\$ 118,950
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:			
Cash paid/(received) during the year for:			

Edgar Filing: WILLIAMS SONOMA INC - Form 10-K

Interest	\$ 3,198	\$ 2,550	\$ 3,484
Income taxes, net of refunds	(8,593)	118,356	154,948

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

Williams-Sonoma, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Note A: Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

We are a specialty retailer of products for the home. The retail segment of our business sells our products through our five retail store concepts (Williams-Sonoma, Pottery Barn, Pottery Barn Kids, West Elm and Williams-Sonoma Home). The direct-to-customer segment of our business sells similar products through our seven direct-mail catalogs (Williams-Sonoma, Pottery Barn, Pottery Barn Kids, Pottery Barn Bed and Bath, PBteen, West Elm and Williams-Sonoma Home) and six e-commerce websites (williams-sonoma.com, potterybarn.com, potterybarnkids.com, pbteen.com, westelm.com and wshome.com). The catalogs reach customers throughout the U.S., while the five retail concepts, as of January 31, 2010, operated 610 stores in 44 states, Washington, D.C., Canada and Puerto Rico.

Significant intercompany transactions and accounts have been eliminated.

Fiscal Year

Our fiscal year ends on the Sunday closest to January 31, based on a 52/53-week year. Fiscal 2009, a 52-week year, ended on January 31, 2010; fiscal 2008, a 52-week year, ended on February 1, 2009; and fiscal 2007, a 53-week year, ended on February 3, 2008.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses and related disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities. These estimates and assumptions are evaluated on an ongoing basis and are based on historical experience and various other factors that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

Cash Equivalents

Cash equivalents include highly liquid investments with an original maturity of three months or less. Our policy is to invest in high-quality, short-term instruments that maintain a level of liquidity consistent with our needs. As of January 31, 2010, we were invested primarily in money market funds and highly liquid U.S. Treasury bills. Book cash overdrafts issued, but not yet presented to the bank for payment, are reclassified to accounts payable.

Accounts Receivable and Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

Accounts receivable are stated at their carrying values, net of an allowance for doubtful accounts. Accounts receivable consist primarily of credit card and landlord receivables for which collectability is reasonably assured. Other miscellaneous receivables are evaluated for collectability on a regular basis and an allowance for doubtful accounts is recorded as deemed necessary. Our allowance for doubtful accounts was not material to our financial statements as of January 31, 2010 and February 1, 2009.

Merchandise Inventories

Merchandise inventories, net of an allowance for excess quantities and obsolescence, are stated at the lower of cost (weighted average method) or market. To determine if the value of our inventory should be marked down below cost, we consider current and anticipated demand, customer preferences, age of the merchandise and fashion trends. Our inventory value is adjusted periodically to reflect current market conditions, which requires management judgments that may significantly affect the ending inventory valuation, as well as gross margin. The significant estimates used in inventory valuation are obsolescence (including excess and slow-moving inventory and lower of cost or market reserves) and estimates of inventory shrinkage. We reserve for obsolescence based on historical trends, aging reports, specific identification and our estimates of future retail sales prices.

Reserves for shrinkage are estimated and recorded throughout the year, at the concept and channel level, as a percentage of net sales based on historical shrinkage results, expectations of future shrinkage and current inventory levels. Actual shrinkage is recorded at year-end based on the results of our physical inventory count

Table of Contents

and can vary from our estimates due to such factors as changes in operations within our distribution centers, the mix of our inventory (which ranges from large furniture to small tabletop items) and execution against loss prevention initiatives in our stores, distribution centers, off-site storage locations, and with our third party transportation providers. Accordingly, there is no remaining shrinkage reserve balance at year-end.

Due to these factors, our obsolescence and shrinkage reserves contain uncertainties. Both estimates have calculations that require management to make assumptions and to apply judgment regarding a number of factors, including market conditions, the selling environment, historical results and current inventory trends. If actual obsolescence or shrinkage estimates change from our original estimate, we will adjust our reserves accordingly throughout the year. Management does not believe that changes in the assumptions used in these estimates would have a significant effect on our inventory balances. We have made no material changes to our assumptions included in the calculations of the obsolescence and shrinkage reserves throughout the year. In addition, we do not believe a 10% change in our inventory reserves would have a material effect on net earnings. As of January 31, 2010 and February 1, 2009, our inventory obsolescence reserves were \$18,565,000 and \$20,647,000, respectively.

Advertising and Prepaid Catalog Expenses

Advertising expenses consist of media and production costs related to catalog mailings, e-commerce advertising and other direct marketing activities. All advertising costs are expensed as incurred, or upon the release of the initial advertisement, with the exception of prepaid catalog expenses. Prepaid catalog expenses consist primarily of third party incremental direct costs, including creative design, paper, printing, postage and mailing costs for all of our direct response catalogs. Such costs are capitalized as prepaid catalog expenses and are amortized over their expected period of future benefit. Such amortization is based upon the ratio of actual revenues to the total of actual and estimated future revenues on an individual catalog basis. Estimated future revenues are based upon various factors such as the total number of catalogs and pages circulated, the probability and magnitude of consumer response and the assortment of merchandise offered. Each catalog is generally fully amortized over a six to nine month period, with the majority of the amortization occurring within the first four to five months. Prepaid catalog expenses are evaluated for realizability on a monthly basis by comparing the carrying amount associated with each catalog to the estimated probable remaining future profitability (remaining net revenues less merchandise cost of goods sold, selling expenses and catalog-related costs) associated with that catalog. If the catalog is not expected to be profitable, the carrying amount of the catalog is impaired accordingly.

Total advertising expenses (including catalog advertising, e-commerce advertising and all other advertising costs) were approximately \$264,963,000, \$328,019,000 and \$379,468,000 in fiscal 2009, fiscal 2008 and fiscal 2007, respectively.

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment is stated at cost. Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets below.

Leasehold improvements	Shorter of estimated useful life or lease term (generally 2 – 22 years)
Fixtures and equipment	2 – 20 years
Buildings and building improvements	5 – 40 years
Capitalized software	2 – 10 years
Corporate aircraft	20 years (20% salvage value)

Interest costs related to assets under construction, including software projects, are capitalized during the construction or development period. We capitalized interest costs of \$1,763,000, \$1,163,000 and \$1,389,000 in fiscal 2009, fiscal 2008 and fiscal 2007, respectively.

We review the carrying value of all long-lived assets for impairment, primarily at a store level, whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of an asset may not be recoverable. We review for impairment all stores for which current or projected cash flows from operations are not sufficient to recover the carrying value of the assets. Impairment results when the carrying value of the assets exceeds the undiscounted

Table of Contents

future cash flows over the remaining life of the lease. Our estimate of undiscounted future cash flows over the store lease term (generally 5 to 22 years) is based upon our experience, historical operations of the stores and estimates of future store profitability and economic conditions. The future estimates of store profitability and economic conditions require estimating such factors as sales growth, gross margin, employment rates, lease escalations, inflation on operating expenses and the overall economics of the retail industry, and are therefore subject to variability and difficult to predict. If a long-lived asset is found to be impaired, the amount recognized for impairment is equal to the difference between the net carrying value and the asset's fair value. Long-lived assets are measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis using Level 3 inputs as defined in the fair value hierarchy. The fair value is estimated based upon future cash flows (discounted at a rate that is commensurate with the risk and approximates our weighted average cost of capital).

For any store or facility closure where a lease obligation still exists, we record the estimated future liability associated with the rental obligation on the cease use date.

During fiscal 2009, we recorded expense of approximately \$35,024,000 associated with asset impairment and early lease termination charges for underperforming retail stores, of which \$32,898,000 is recorded within selling, general and administrative expenses, and the remainder of which is recorded within cost of goods sold. We also recorded charges of \$7,580,000 associated with the exit of excess distribution capacity, of which \$5,981,000 is recorded within selling, general and administrative expenses, and the remainder of which is recorded within cost of goods sold.

During fiscal 2008, we recorded expense of approximately \$33,995,000 associated with asset impairment charges for underperforming retail stores, all of which is recorded within selling, general and administrative expenses. In addition, during fiscal 2008, we recorded a benefit of approximately \$9,350,000 within selling, general and administrative expenses related to an incentive payment received from a landlord to compensate us for terminating a store lease prior to its expiration.

Self-Insured Liabilities

We are primarily self-insured for workers' compensation, employee health benefits and product and general liability claims. We record self-insurance liabilities based on claims filed, including the development of those claims, and an estimate of claims incurred but not yet reported. Factors affecting this estimate include future inflation rates, changes in severity, benefit level changes, medical costs and claim settlement patterns. Should a different amount of claims occur compared to what was estimated, or costs of the claims increase or decrease beyond what was anticipated, reserves may need to be adjusted accordingly. We determine our workers' compensation liability and product and general liability claims reserves based on an actuarial analysis of historical claims data. Self-insurance reserves for employee health benefits, workers' compensation and product and general liability claims were \$20,111,000 and \$21,006,000 as of January 31, 2010 and February 1, 2009, respectively, and are recorded within accrued salaries, benefits and other.

Customer Deposits

Customer deposits are primarily comprised of unredeemed gift cards, gift certificates, and merchandise credits and deferred revenue related to undelivered merchandise. We maintain a liability for unredeemed gift cards, gift certificates, and merchandise credits until the earlier of redemption, escheatment or four years as we have concluded that the likelihood of our gift cards and gift certificates being redeemed beyond four years from the date of issuance is remote.

Deferred Rent and Lease Incentives

For leases that contain fixed escalations of the minimum annual lease payment during the original term of the lease, we recognize rental expense on a straight-line basis over the lease term, including the construction period, and record the difference between rent expense and the amount currently payable as deferred rent. We record rental expense during the construction period. Deferred lease incentives include construction allowances received from landlords, which are amortized on a straight-line basis over the lease term, including the construction period.

Table of Contents*Fair Value of Financial Instruments*

The carrying values of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, investments, accounts payable and debt approximate their estimated fair values.

Revenue Recognition

We recognize revenues and the related cost of goods sold (including shipping costs) at the time the products are delivered to our customers. Revenue is recognized for retail sales (excluding home-delivered merchandise) at the point of sale in the store and for home-delivered merchandise and direct-to-customer sales when the merchandise is delivered to the customers. Discounts provided to customers are accounted for as a reduction of sales. We record a reserve for estimated product returns in each reporting period. Shipping and handling fees charged to the customer are recognized as revenue at the time the products are delivered to the customer. Revenues are presented net of any taxes collected from customers and remitted to governmental authorities.

Sales Returns Reserve

Our customers may return purchased items for an exchange or refund. We record a reserve for estimated product returns, net of cost of goods sold, based on historical return trends together with current product sales performance. A summary of activity in the sales returns reserve is as follows:

	Fiscal 2009 ¹	Fiscal 2008 ¹	Fiscal 2007 ¹
<i>Dollars in thousands</i>	(52 Weeks)	(52 Weeks)	(53 Weeks)
Balance at beginning of year	\$ 10,142	\$ 17,259	\$ 15,467
Provision for sales returns	203,053	206,288	277,281
Actual sales returns	(201,356)	(213,405)	(275,489)
Balance at end of year	\$ 11,839	\$ 10,142	\$ 17,259

¹ Amounts are shown net of cost of goods sold.

Vendor Allowances

We receive allowances or credits from certain vendors for volume rebates. We treat such volume rebates as an offset to the cost of the product or services provided at the time the expense is recorded. These allowances and credits received are recorded in both cost of goods sold and in selling, general and administrative expenses.

Cost of Goods Sold

Cost of goods sold includes cost of goods, occupancy expenses and shipping costs. Cost of goods consists of cost of merchandise, inbound freight expenses, freight-to-store expenses and other inventory-related costs such as shrinkage, damages and replacements. Occupancy expenses consist of rent, depreciation and other occupancy costs, including common area maintenance and utilities. Shipping costs consist of third party delivery services and shipping materials.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

Selling, general and administrative expenses consist of non-occupancy related costs associated with our retail stores, distribution warehouses, customer care centers, supply chain operations (buying, receiving and inspection) and corporate administrative functions. These costs include employment, advertising, third party credit card processing and other general expenses.

Stock-Based Compensation

We account for stock-based compensation arrangements by measuring and recording compensation expense in our consolidated financial statements for all stock-based awards using a fair value method. For stock options and stock-settled stock appreciation rights (option awards), fair value is determined using the Black-Scholes valuation model, while restricted stock units are valued using the closing price of our stock on

the date prior to the date of grant. Significant factors affecting the fair value of option awards include the estimated future volatility of our stock price and the estimated expected term until the option award is exercised or cancelled. The fair value of the award is amortized over the requisite service period.

Table of Contents*Foreign Currency Translation*

As of January 31, 2010, we have 17 retail stores in Canada and limited sourcing operations in both Europe and Asia, each of which expose us to market risk associated with foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations.

Additionally, some of our foreign operations have a functional currency different than the U.S. dollar, such as in Canada (functional currency of the Canadian Dollar) and in Europe (functional currency of the Euro). Assets and liabilities are translated into U.S. dollars using the current exchange rates in effect at the balance sheet date, while revenues and expenses are translated at the average exchange rates during the period. The resulting translation adjustments are recorded as other comprehensive income within shareholders' equity. Gains and losses in fiscal 2009 and fiscal 2008 resulting from foreign currency transactions have not been significant and are included in selling, general and administrative expenses.

Earnings Per Share

Basic earnings per share is computed as net earnings divided by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Diluted earnings per share is computed as net earnings divided by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period plus common stock equivalents consisting of shares subject to stock-based awards with exercise prices less than or equal to the average market price of our common stock for the period, to the extent their inclusion would be dilutive.

Income Taxes

Income taxes are accounted for using the asset and liability method. Under this method, deferred income taxes arise from temporary differences between the tax basis of assets and liabilities and their reported amounts in the consolidated financial statements. We record reserves for estimates of probable settlements of foreign and domestic tax audits. At any one time, many tax years are subject to audit by various taxing jurisdictions. The results of these audits and negotiations with taxing authorities may affect the ultimate settlement of these issues. Additionally, our effective tax rate in a given financial statement period may be materially impacted by changes in the mix and level of our earnings.

New Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2009, Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Topic 810-10 *Consolidation*, (formerly Statement of Financial Account Standards No. 167, *Amendments to FASB Interpretation No. 46(R)*) was issued. This standard revises the consolidation guidance for variable interest entities and became effective for us on February 1, 2010. We do not expect this standard to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

Note B: Property and Equipment

Property and equipment consists of the following:

<i>Dollars in thousands</i>	Jan. 31, 2010	Feb. 1, 2009
Leasehold improvements	\$ 831,757	\$ 828,414
Fixtures and equipment	576,488	578,259
Capitalized software	267,724	247,613
Land and buildings	135,692	133,406
Corporate systems projects in progress ¹	65,989	66,469
Construction in progress ²	14,905	25,866
Corporate aircraft (held for sale)	10,029	11,503
Total	1,902,584	1,891,530
Accumulated depreciation and amortization	(1,073,557)	(949,311)
Property and equipment - net	\$ 829,027	\$ 942,219

¹ Corporate systems projects in progress is primarily comprised of a new merchandising, inventory management and order management system currently under development.

² Construction in progress is primarily comprised of leasehold improvements and furniture and fixtures related to new, expanded or remodeled retail stores where construction had not been completed as of year-end.

Table of Contents**Note C: Borrowing Arrangements**

Long-term debt consists of the following:

<i>Dollars in thousands</i>	Jan. 31, 2010	Feb. 1, 2009
Capital leases	\$ 459	\$ 573
Memphis-based distribution facilities obligation	9,800	11,238
Mississippi industrial development bonds		13,150
Total debt	10,259	24,961
Less current maturities	1,587	14,702
Total long-term debt	\$ 8,672	\$ 10,259

Capital Leases

As of January 31, 2010 and February 1, 2009, capital lease obligations of \$459,000 and \$573,000, respectively, consist primarily of leases for distribution center equipment.

Memphis-Based Distribution Facilities Obligation

As of January 31, 2010 and February 1, 2009, total debt of \$9,800,000 and \$11,238,000, respectively, consists entirely of bond-related debt pertaining to the consolidation of our Memphis-based distribution facilities due to their related party relationship and our obligation to renew the leases until the bonds are fully repaid. See Note F for a discussion on our bond-related debt pertaining to our Memphis-based distribution facilities.

Mississippi Industrial Development Bonds

In June 2004, we entered into an agreement whereby the Mississippi Business Finance Corporation issued \$15,000,000 in long-term variable rate industrial development bonds, the proceeds, net of debt issuance costs, of which were loaned to us to finance the acquisition and installation of leasehold improvements and equipment located in our Olive Branch, Mississippi distribution center. On December 7, 2009, the remaining outstanding balance on these bonds in the amount of \$13,150,000 was repaid, without penalty.

The aggregate maturities of long-term debt at January 31, 2010 were as follows:

<i>Dollars in thousands</i>	
Fiscal 2010	\$ 1,587
Fiscal 2011	1,542
Fiscal 2012	1,652
Fiscal 2013	1,724
Fiscal 2014	1,785
Thereafter	1,969
Total	\$ 10,259

Credit Facility

We have a credit facility that provides for a \$300,000,000 unsecured revolving line of credit that may be used for loans or letters of credit. Prior to April 4, 2011, we may, upon notice to the lenders, request an increase in the credit facility of up to \$200,000,000, to provide for a total of \$500,000,000 of unsecured revolving credit. The revolving line of credit facility contains certain financial covenants, including a maximum leverage ratio (funded debt adjusted for lease and rent expense to earnings before interest, income tax, depreciation, amortization and rent expense EBITDAR), a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio (calculated as EBITDAR to total fixed charges), and covenants limiting our ability to repurchase shares of stock or increase our dividend, in addition to covenants limiting our ability to dispose of assets, make acquisitions, be acquired (if a default would result from the acquisition), incur indebtedness, grant liens and make investments. The credit facility also contains events of default that include, among others, non-payment of principal, interest or fees, violation of covenants, inaccuracy of representations and warranties, bankruptcy and insolvency events, material judgments, cross defaults to

Table of Contents

material indebtedness and events constituting a change of control. The occurrence of an event of default will increase the applicable rate of interest by 2.0% and could result in the acceleration of our obligations under the credit facility and an obligation of any or all of our subsidiaries that have guaranteed our credit facility to pay the full amount of our obligations under the credit facility. As of January 31, 2010, we were in compliance with our financial covenants under the credit facility and, based on current projections, expect to be in compliance throughout fiscal 2010. The credit facility matures on October 4, 2011, at which time all outstanding borrowings must be repaid and all outstanding letters of credit must be cash collateralized.

We may elect interest rates calculated at (i) Bank of America's prime rate (or, if greater, the average rate on overnight federal funds plus one-half of one percent, or a rate based on LIBOR plus one percent) plus a margin based on our leverage ratio, or (ii) LIBOR plus a margin based on our leverage ratio. No amounts were outstanding under the credit facility as of January 31, 2010 or February 1, 2009. Additionally, as of January 31, 2010, \$26,112,000 in issued but undrawn standby letters of credit was outstanding under the credit facility. The standby letters of credit were issued to secure the liabilities associated with workers' compensation and other insurance programs.

Letter of Credit Facilities

We have four unsecured commercial letter of credit reimbursement facilities, each of which matures on September 3, 2010. The aggregate credit available under all letter of credit facilities is \$125,000,000. The letter of credit facilities contain covenants and provide for events of default that are consistent with our unsecured revolving line of credit. Interest on unreimbursed amounts under the letter of credit facilities accrues at the lender's prime rate (or if greater, the average rate on overnight federal funds plus one-half of one percent) plus 2.0%. As of January 31, 2010, an aggregate of \$30,625,000 was outstanding under the letter of credit facilities, which represent only a future commitment to fund inventory purchases to which we had not taken legal title. The latest expiration possible for any future letters of credit issued under the facilities is January 31, 2011.

Note D: Income Taxes

The components of earnings before income taxes, by tax jurisdiction, are as follows:

<i>Dollars in thousands</i>	<i>Fiscal Year Ended</i>		
	Jan. 31, 2010 (52 Weeks)	Feb. 1, 2009 (52 Weeks)	Feb. 3, 2008 (53 Weeks)
United States	\$ 111,689	\$ 33,376	\$ 299,235
Foreign	8,600	8,577	17,105
Total earnings before income taxes	\$ 120,289	\$ 41,953	\$ 316,340

The provision for income taxes consists of the following:

<i>Dollars in thousands</i>	<i>Fiscal Year Ended</i>		
	Jan. 31, 2010 (52 Weeks)	Feb. 1, 2009 (52 Weeks)	Feb. 3, 2008 (53 Weeks)
Current			
Federal	\$ 55,563	\$ 5,143	\$ 126,219
State	8,122	(1,096)	19,254
Foreign	2,757	2,775	7,061
Total current	66,442	6,822	152,534
Deferred			
Federal	(21,636)	4,817	(26,494)
State	(2,280)	(83)	(4,796)
Foreign	321	373	(661)
Total deferred	(23,595)	5,107	(31,951)
Total provision	\$ 42,847	\$ 11,929	\$ 120,583

Table of Contents

Except where required by U.S. tax law, we have historically elected not to provide for U.S. income taxes with respect to the undistributed earnings of our foreign subsidiaries as we have intended to utilize those earnings in our foreign operations for an indefinite period of time. In the fourth quarter of fiscal 2008, based on the current economic environment, we assessed our anticipated future cash needs and the overall financial position of our Canadian subsidiary and concluded that the remaining undistributed earnings were in excess of our future cash requirements for the ongoing operations of our Canadian subsidiary. Accordingly, our Canadian subsidiary repatriated \$13,900,000 to our U.S. operations in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2008. These repatriated earnings were offset by foreign tax credits that reduced the financial tax liability associated with this foreign dividend to zero. As of January 31, 2010, the accumulated undistributed earnings of all of our foreign subsidiaries were approximately \$4,968,000 and are sufficient to support our anticipated future cash needs for our foreign operations. We currently intend to utilize the remainder of these undistributed earnings for an indefinite period of time and will only repatriate such earnings when it is tax effective to do so. It is currently not practical to estimate the tax liability that might be payable if these foreign earnings were to be repatriated.

A reconciliation of income taxes at the federal statutory corporate rate to the effective rate is as follows:

	<i>Fiscal Year Ended</i>		
	Jan. 31, 2010 (52 Weeks)	Feb. 1, 2009 (52 Weeks)	Feb. 3, 2008 (53 Weeks)
Federal income taxes at the statutory rate	35.0%	35.0%	35.0%
State income tax rate	2.4%	(8.2%) ¹	3.5%
Other	(1.8%)	1.6%	(0.4%)
Total	35.6%	28.4%	38.1%

¹ The decrease in the fiscal 2008 state income tax rate was primarily driven by certain favorable income tax resolutions during fiscal 2008, representing (14.7%).

Significant components of our deferred tax accounts are as follows:

	Jan. 31, 2010	Feb. 1, 2009
	(52 Weeks)	(52 Weeks)
<i>Dollars in thousands</i>		
Current:		
Compensation	\$ 8,659	\$ 12,436
Merchandise inventories	21,715	19,538
Accrued liabilities	17,451	11,868
Customer deposits	53,229	58,197
Prepaid catalog expenses	(13,014)	(14,589)
Other	4,155	2,899
Total current	92,195	90,349
Non-current:		
Depreciation	37,586	13,392
Deferred rent	16,007	15,672
Deferred lease incentives	(36,556)	(27,548)
Stock-based compensation	23,956	20,828
Executive deferral plan	5,307	4,527
Uncertainties	7,252	8,260
Other	257	1,424
Total non-current	53,809	36,555
Total deferred tax assets, net	\$ 146,004	\$ 126,904

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the activity related to our gross unrecognized tax benefits:

	Jan. 31, 2010 (52 Weeks)	Feb. 1, 2009 (52 Weeks)	Feb. 3, 2008 (53 Weeks)
<i>Dollars in thousands</i>			
Balance at beginning of year	\$ 16,243	\$ 35,211	\$ 30,981
Increases related to current year tax positions	1,029	2,018	7,076
Increases for tax positions for prior years	655	178	712
Decreases for tax positions for prior years	(179)	(1,628)	(1,010)
Settlements	(329)	(18,469)	(1,979)
Lapses in statute of limitations	(1,553)	(1,067)	(569)
Balance at end of year	\$ 15,866	\$ 16,243	\$ 35,211

As of January 31, 2010, February 1, 2009 and February 3, 2008 we had \$15,866,000, \$16,243,000 and \$35,211,000, respectively, of gross unrecognized tax benefits, of which \$10,594,000, \$10,558,000 and \$22,634,000, respectively, would, if recognized, affect the effective tax rate.

We accrue interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits in the provision for income taxes. As of January 31, 2010 and February 1, 2009, our accruals, primarily for the payment of interest, totaled \$5,081,000, and \$6,450,000, respectively.

Due to the potential resolution of state issues, it is reasonably possible that the balance of our gross unrecognized tax benefits balance could decrease within the next twelve months by a range of zero to \$4,400,000.

We file income tax returns in the U.S. federal jurisdiction, and various states and foreign jurisdictions. We have concluded all U.S. federal income tax matters through 2005. Substantially all material state, local and foreign income tax examinations have been concluded for years through 1999.

Note E: Accounting for Leases*Operating Leases*

We lease store locations, distribution centers, customer care centers, corporate facilities and certain equipment for original terms ranging generally from 2 to 22 years (typically 12 years for retail locations). Certain leases contain renewal options for periods up to 20 years (typically 5-12 years for retail locations). The rental payment requirements in our store leases are typically structured as either minimum rent, minimum rent plus additional rent based on a percentage of store sales if a specified store sales threshold is exceeded, or rent based on a percentage of store sales if a specified store sales threshold or contractual obligations of the landlord has not been met. Contingent rental payments, including rental payments that are based on a percentage of sales, cannot be predicted with certainty at the onset of the lease term. Accordingly, any contingent rental payments are recorded as incurred each period when the sales threshold is probable and are excluded from our calculation of deferred rent liability.

Total rental expense for all operating leases was as follows:

	Jan. 31, 2010 (52 Weeks)	<i>Fiscal Year Ended</i>	
		Feb. 1, 2009 (52 Weeks)	Feb. 3, 2008 (53 Weeks)
<i>Dollars in thousands</i>			
Rent expense	\$ 189,404	\$ 192,579	\$ 175,675
Contingent rent expense	33,994	32,268	35,731
Rent expense before deferred lease incentive income	223,398	224,847	211,406
Deferred lease incentive income	(36,799)	(31,325)	(29,449)
Less: sublease rental income	(326)	(175)	(46)
Total rent expense ¹	\$ 186,273	\$ 193,347	\$ 181,911

¹ Excludes all other occupancy-related costs including depreciation, common area maintenance, utilities and property taxes.

Table of Contents

The aggregate future minimum annual cash rental payments under non-cancelable operating leases (excluding the Memphis-based distribution facilities) in effect at January 31, 2010 were as follows:

<i>Dollars in thousands</i>	<i>Lease Commitments^{1,2}</i>
Fiscal 2010	\$ 235,982
Fiscal 2011	219,053
Fiscal 2012	200,897
Fiscal 2013	182,839
Fiscal 2014	161,872
Thereafter	682,560
Total	\$1,683,203

¹ Represents future projected cash payments and, therefore, is not necessarily representative of future expected rental expense.

² Projected cash payments include only those amounts that are fixed and determinable as of the reporting date. We currently pay rent for certain store locations based on a percentage of store sales if a specified store sales threshold is or is not met or if contractual obligations of the landlord have not been met. Projected payments for these locations are based on minimum rent, which is generally higher than rent based on a percentage of store sales, as future store sales cannot be predicted with certainty. In addition, these projected payments do not include any benefit from deferred lease incentive income, which is reflected within Total rent expense above.

Note F: Consolidation of Memphis-Based Distribution Facilities

Our Memphis-based distribution facilities include an operating lease entered into in July 1983 for a distribution facility in Memphis, Tennessee. The lessor is a general partnership (Partnership 1) comprised of W. Howard Lester, our Chairman of the Board of Directors and Chief Executive Officer, and James A. McMahan, a Director Emeritus and a significant shareholder. Partnership 1 does not have operations separate from the leasing of this distribution facility and does not have lease agreements with any unrelated third parties.

Partnership 1 financed the construction of this distribution facility through the sale of a total of \$9,200,000 of industrial development bonds in 1983 and 1985. Annual principal payments and monthly interest payments are required through maturity in December 2010. The Partnership 1 industrial development bonds are collateralized by the distribution facility and the individual partners guarantee the bond repayments. As of January 31, 2010, \$175,000 was outstanding under the Partnership 1 industrial development bonds.

We made annual rental payments in fiscal 2009, fiscal 2008 and fiscal 2007 of approximately \$618,000, plus interest on the bonds calculated at a variable rate determined monthly (approximately 1.8% as of January 31, 2010), applicable taxes, insurance and maintenance expenses. The term of the lease automatically renews on an annual basis until the bonds are fully repaid in December 2010, at which time we intend to enter into a new short-term lease agreement on this facility.

Our other Memphis-based distribution facility includes an operating lease entered into in August 1990 for another distribution facility that is adjoined to the Partnership 1 facility in Memphis, Tennessee. The lessor is a general partnership (Partnership 2) comprised of W. Howard Lester, James A. McMahan and two unrelated parties. Partnership 2 does not have operations separate from the leasing of this distribution facility and does not have lease agreements with any unrelated third parties.

Partnership 2 financed the construction of this distribution facility and related addition through the sale of a total of \$24,000,000 of industrial development bonds in 1990 and 1994. Quarterly interest and annual principal payments are required through maturity in August 2015. The Partnership 2 industrial development bonds are collateralized by the distribution facility and require us to maintain certain financial covenants. As of January 31, 2010, \$9,625,000 was outstanding under the Partnership 2 industrial development bonds.

We made annual rental payments of approximately \$2,582,000, \$2,577,000 and \$2,591,000 plus applicable taxes, insurance and maintenance expenses in fiscal 2009, fiscal 2008 and fiscal 2007, respectively. The term of the lease automatically renews on an annual basis until these bonds are fully repaid in August 2015.

Table of Contents

The two partnerships described above qualify as variable interest entities due to their related party relationship and our obligation to renew the leases until the bonds are fully repaid. Accordingly, the two related party variable interest entity partnerships, from which we lease our Memphis-based distribution facilities, are consolidated by us. As of January 31, 2010, our consolidated balance sheet includes \$15,765,000 in assets (primarily buildings), \$9,800,000 in debt and \$5,965,000 in other long-term liabilities related to these leases.

Note G: Earnings Per Share

The following is a reconciliation of net earnings and the number of shares used in the basic and diluted earnings per share computations:

<i>Dollars and amounts in thousands, except per share amounts</i>	Net Earnings	Weighted Average Shares	Earnings Per-Share
2009 (52 Weeks)			
Basic	\$ 77,442	105,763	\$ 0.73
Effect of dilutive stock-based awards		1,610	
Diluted	\$ 77,442	107,373	\$ 0.72
2008 (52 Weeks)			
Basic	\$ 30,024	105,530	\$ 0.28
Effect of dilutive stock-based awards		1,350	
Diluted	\$ 30,024	106,880	\$ 0.28
2007 (53 Weeks)			
Basic	\$ 195,757	109,273	\$ 1.79
Effect of dilutive stock-based awards		2,174	
Diluted	\$ 195,757	111,447	\$ 1.76

Stock-based awards of 2,684,000, 6,428,000 and 5,612,000 in fiscal 2009, fiscal 2008 and fiscal 2007 respectively, were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share, as their inclusion would be anti-dilutive.

Note H: Stock-Based Compensation

Our Amended and Restated 2001 Long-Term Incentive Plan (the Plan) provides for grants of incentive stock options, nonqualified stock options, stock-settled stock appreciation rights (collectively, option awards), restricted stock awards, restricted stock units, deferred stock awards (collectively, stock awards) and dividend equivalents up to an aggregate of 15,959,903 shares. As of January 31, 2010, there were approximately 3,529,378 shares available for future grant. Awards may be granted under the Plan to officers, employees and non-employee Board members of the company or any parent or subsidiary. Annual grants are limited to 1,000,000 shares covered by option awards and 400,000 shares covered by stock awards on a per person basis. All grants of option awards made under the Plan have a maximum term of ten years, except incentive stock options that may be issued to 10% shareholders, which have a maximum term of five years. The exercise price of these option awards is not less than 100% of the closing price of our stock on the day prior to the grant date or not less than 110% of such closing price for an incentive stock option granted to a 10% shareholder. Option awards granted to employees generally vest over a period of four to five years. Stock awards granted to employees generally vest over a period of three to five years for service-based awards. Certain option and stock awards contain vesting acceleration clauses in the event of a merger or similar corporate event. Option and stock awards granted to non-employee Board members generally vest in one year. Non-employee Board members automatically receive stock awards on the date of their initial election to the Board and annually thereafter on the date of the annual meeting of shareholders (so long as they continue to serve as a non-employee Board member). Shares issued as a result of award exercises will be funded with the issuance of new shares.

Equity Award Exchange Program

In response to the significant decline in our stock price, on June 11, 2008, our shareholders approved an offer for our eligible employees to exchange certain outstanding option awards for restricted stock units. This offer

Table of Contents

commenced on March 16, 2009 and closed on April 10, 2009, at which time, 2,979,735 outstanding option awards were exchanged for 842,019 restricted stock units. Participants exchanged their eligible option awards for restricted stock units of an approximate equal fair value and, as such, no incremental compensation expense was recognized as a result of the exchange.

Stock-Based Compensation Expense

During fiscal 2009, fiscal 2008 and fiscal 2007, we recognized total stock-based compensation expense, as a component of selling, general and administrative expenses, of \$24,989,000, \$12,131,000 (which includes an \$11,023,000 reversal of compensation expense related to performance-based stock awards), and \$26,812,000, respectively. As of January 31, 2010, there was a remaining unamortized expense balance of \$35,716,000 (net of estimated forfeitures), which we expect to be recognized on a straight-line basis over an average remaining service period of approximately two years.

Fiscal 2008 stock-based compensation expense was impacted by the reversal of approximately \$11,023,000 of expense previously recognized relating to previously issued stock awards that would have vested based on the achievement of certain performance criteria. We had recognized compensation expense related to these awards as we believed that it was probable that the performance criteria would be achieved. During the third quarter of fiscal 2008, due to the decline in our operating performance, we concluded that it was no longer probable that these criteria would be achieved and therefore reversed approximately \$11,023,000 of compensation expense previously recognized.

Subsequently, our Board of Directors decided to remove or modify the performance conditions but retain the service provisions of the awards. As a result, these awards are now considered probable of vesting. Accordingly, we measured the fair value of all such awards as of the date of the modification and are recognizing the fair value over the remaining service period of the awards.

Stock Options

The following table summarizes our stock option activity during fiscal 2009:

	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Contractual Term Remaining (Years)	Intrinsic Value ¹
Balance at February 1, 2009	5,626,543	\$ 23.58		
Granted				
Exercised	(962,380)			\$ 7,936,000
Canceled ²	(2,051,031)			
Balance at January 31, 2010	2,613,132	\$ 22.37	2.44	\$ 6,243,000
Vested at January 31, 2010	2,572,912	22.11	2.39	\$ 6,243,000
Vested plus expected to vest at January 31, 2010	2,611,248	22.36	2.44	\$ 6,243,000

¹ Intrinsic value for outstanding and vested options is defined as the excess of the market value on the last business day of the fiscal year (or \$18.98) over the exercise price, if any. For exercises, intrinsic value is defined as the difference between the grant date exercise price and the market value on the date of exercise.

² As a result of the equity award exchange program, a total of 1,134,620 options were cancelled during the first quarter of fiscal 2009 in exchange for the issuance of restricted stock units.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes information about stock options outstanding at January 31, 2010:

Range of Exercise Prices	Stock Options Outstanding			Stock Options Exercisable	
	Number Outstanding	Weighted Average Contractual Term Remaining (Years)	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Number Exercisable	Weighted Average Exercise Price
\$ 8.31 \$ 13.66	662,188	0.73	\$ 11.75	662,188	\$ 11.75
\$ 13.85 \$ 21.80	792,536	1.80	18.31	792,536	18.31
\$ 22.25 \$ 32.39	649,808	3.17	26.62	649,808	26.62
\$ 32.80 \$ 41.94	506,600	4.73	37.08	466,780	36.93
\$ 41.99 \$ 41.99	2,000	5.97	41.99	1,600	41.99
\$ 8.31 \$ 41.99	2,613,132	2.44	\$ 22.37	2,572,912	\$ 22.11

Stock-Settled Stock Appreciation Rights

A stock-settled stock appreciation right is an award that allows the recipient to receive common stock equal to the appreciation in the fair market value of our common stock between the date the award was granted and the conversion date for the number of shares vested.

The following table summarizes our stock-settled stock appreciation right activity during fiscal 2009:

	Shares	Weighted	Contractual Term Remaining (Years)	Intrinsic Value ²
		Average Conversion Price ¹		
Balance at February 1, 2009	7,611,514	\$ 18.67		
Granted (weighted average fair value of \$8.06)	25,000	19.14		
Converted	(348,375)			\$ 4,492,000
Canceled ³	(2,740,164)			
Balance at January 31, 2010	4,547,975	\$ 13.40	8.44	\$ 38,353,000
Vested at January 31, 2010	1,335,855	\$ 21.05	7.88	\$ 7,215,000
Vested plus expected to vest at January 31, 2010	3,946,162	\$ 13.95	8.40	\$ 32,429,000

¹ Conversion price is defined as the price from which stock-settled stock appreciation rights are measured and is equal to the market value on the date of grant.

² Intrinsic value for outstanding and vested rights is defined as the excess of the current market value on the last business day of the fiscal year (or \$18.98) over the conversion price, if any. For conversions, intrinsic value is defined as the difference between the conversion price and the market value on the date of the conversion.

³ As a result of the equity award exchange program, a total of 1,845,115 stock-settled stock appreciation rights were cancelled during the first quarter of fiscal 2009 in exchange for the issuance of restricted stock units.

The following table summarizes information about stock-settled stock appreciation rights outstanding at January 31, 2010:

Range of Conversion Prices	Number Outstanding	Stock-Settled	Weighted	Stock-Settled
		Stock Appreciation Rights Outstanding		Stock Appreciation Rights Vested
		Weighted Average Contractual Term Remaining (Years)	Average Conversion	Weighted Average Conversion Price

Edgar Filing: WILLIAMS SONOMA INC - Form 10-K

				Price		
\$ 8.01	\$ 8.31	70,600	8.89	\$ 8.08	17,650	\$ 8.08
\$ 8.56	\$ 8.56	3,606,875	8.77	8.56	673,925	8.56
\$ 19.14	\$ 34.89	780,550	7.12	33.14	590,310	33.93
\$ 39.05	\$ 39.05	2,600	6.31	39.05	1,560	39.05
\$ 40.44	\$ 40.44	87,350	6.12	40.44	52,410	40.44
\$ 8.01	\$ 40.44	4,547,975	8.44	\$ 13.40	1,335,855	\$ 21.05

Table of Contents

The fair value for both options and awards is estimated on the date of the grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following weighted-average assumptions:

Expected term The expected term of the option awards represents the period of time between the grant date of the option awards and the date the option awards are either exercised or canceled, including an estimate for those option awards still outstanding.

Expected volatility The expected volatility is based on an average of the historical volatility of our stock price, for a period approximating our expected term, and the implied volatility of externally traded options of our stock that were entered into during the period.

Risk-free interest rate The risk-free interest rate is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant and with a maturity that approximates our expected term.

Dividend yield The dividend yield is based on our quarterly cash dividend and the anticipated dividend payout over our expected term of the option awards.

The weighted average assumptions used for fiscal 2009, fiscal 2008 and fiscal 2007 are as follows:

	Jan. 31, 2010	Fiscal Year Ended	
		Feb. 1, 2009	Feb. 3, 2008
	(52 Weeks)	(52 Weeks)	(53 Weeks)
Expected term (years)	5.1	5.2	5.0
Expected volatility	56.0%	49.4%	33.6%
Risk-free interest rate	2.4%	2.5%	4.4%
Dividend yield	2.3%	2.7%	1.0%
<i>Restricted Stock Units</i>			

The following table summarizes our restricted stock unit activity during fiscal 2009:

	Shares	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value	Intrinsic Value ¹
Balance at February 1, 2009	1,246,333	\$ 20.50	
Granted ^{2,3}	1,303,040	\$ 14.44	
Released	(307,890)	\$ 17.09	
Canceled	(354,323)		
Balance at January 31, 2010	1,887,160	\$ 17.11	\$ 35,818,000
Vested plus expected to vest at January 31, 2010	1,722,990	\$ 16.72	\$ 32,702,000

¹ Intrinsic value for restricted stock units is defined as the market value on the last business day of the fiscal year (or \$18.98).

² As a result of the equity award exchange program, a total of 842,019 restricted stock units were granted during the first quarter of fiscal 2009.

³ Includes a grant of 249,501 (at a fair market value of approximately \$5,000,000, which was expensed in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2009) to our Chief Executive Officer associated with his fiscal 2009 performance.

Tax Effect

We present tax benefits resulting from the exercise of stock-based awards as operating cash flows, and tax deductions in excess of the cumulative compensation cost recognized for stock-based awards exercised as financing cash flows in the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows. During fiscal 2009, fiscal 2008 and fiscal 2007, net proceeds from the exercise of stock-based awards was \$11,861,000, \$461,000 and \$28,362,000, respectively, and the tax benefit (shortfall) associated with such exercises totaled \$5,981,000, (\$1,660,000) and \$10,821,000, respectively.

Note I: Williams-Sonoma, Inc. 401(k) Plan and Other Employee Benefits

We have a defined contribution retirement plan, the Williams-Sonoma, Inc. 401(k) Plan (the Plan), which is intended to be qualified under Internal Revenue Code Sections 401(a), 401(k), 401(m) and 4975(e)(7). The Plan

Table of Contents

permits eligible employees to make salary deferral contributions up to 75% of their eligible compensation each pay period (5% for certain higher paid individuals). Employees designate the funds in which their contributions are invested. Each participant may choose to have his or her salary deferral contributions and earnings thereon invested in one or more investment funds, including our company stock fund.

Our matching contribution is equal to 50% of each participant's salary deferral contribution each pay period, taking into account only those contributions that do not exceed 6% of the participant's eligible pay for the pay period (5% for certain higher paid individuals). Each participant's matching contribution is earned on a semi-annual basis with respect to eligible salary deferrals for those employees that are employed with the company on June 30th or December 31st of the year in which the deferrals are made. Eligible associates must complete one year of service prior to receiving company matching contributions. For the first five years of the participant's employment, all matching contributions vest at the rate of 20% per year of service, measuring service from the participant's hire date. Thereafter, all matching contributions vest immediately.

The Plan consists of two parts: a profit sharing plan portion and a stock bonus plan/employee stock ownership plan (the ESOP). The ESOP portion is the portion that is invested in the Williams-Sonoma Inc. Stock Fund. The profit sharing and ESOP components of the Plan are considered a single plan under Code section 414(l). Our contributions to the plan were \$4,477,000, \$5,168,000 and \$5,336,000 in fiscal 2009, fiscal 2008 and fiscal 2007, respectively.

We also have a nonqualified executive deferred compensation plan that provides supplemental retirement income benefits for a select group of management and other certain highly compensated employees. This plan permits eligible employees to make salary and bonus deferrals that are 100% vested. As of January 1, 2010, however, we have suspended employee salary and bonus deferrals into the plan. We will continue to evaluate this benefit program to ensure it is providing the best value to our employees and to us. We have an unsecured obligation to pay in the future the value of the deferred compensation adjusted to reflect the performance, whether positive or negative, of selected investment measurement options, chosen by each participant, during the deferral period. As of January 31, 2010 and February 1, 2009, \$13,900,000 and \$11,789,000, respectively, was included in other long-term obligations. Additionally, we have purchased life insurance policies on certain participants to potentially offset these unsecured obligations. The cash surrender value of these policies was \$11,345,000 and \$9,413,000 as of January 31, 2010 and February 1, 2009, respectively, and was included in other assets.

Note J: Financial Guarantees

We are party to a variety of contractual agreements under which we may be obligated to indemnify the other party for certain matters. These contracts primarily relate to our commercial contracts, operating leases, trademarks, intellectual property, financial agreements and various other agreements. Under these contracts, we may provide certain routine indemnifications relating to representations and warranties or personal injury matters. The terms of these indemnifications range in duration and may not be explicitly defined. Historically, we have not made significant payments for these indemnifications. We believe that if we were to incur a loss in any of these matters, the loss would not have a material effect on our financial condition or results of operations.

Note K: Commitments and Contingencies

We are involved in lawsuits, claims and proceedings incident to the ordinary course of our business. These disputes, which are not currently material, are increasing in number as our business expands and our company grows larger. Litigation is inherently unpredictable. Any claims against us, whether meritorious or not, could be time consuming, result in costly litigation, require significant amounts of management time and result in the diversion of significant operational resources. The results of these lawsuits, claims and proceedings cannot be predicted with certainty. However, we believe that the ultimate resolution of these current matters will not have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial statements taken as a whole.

Table of Contents

Note L: Related Party Transaction

On May 16, 2008, we completed two transactions relating to our corporate aircraft. First, we sold our Bombardier Global Express airplane for approximately \$46,787,000 in cash (a net after-tax cash benefit of approximately \$29,000,000) to an unrelated third party. This resulted in a gain on sale of asset of approximately \$16,000,000 in the second quarter of fiscal 2008. Second, we entered into an Aircraft Lease Agreement (the Lease Agreement) with a limited liability company (the LLC) owned by W. Howard Lester (Mr. Lester), our Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board of Directors, for use of a Bombardier Global 5000 owned by the LLC. These transactions were approved by our Board of Directors.

Under the terms of the Lease Agreement, in exchange for use of the aircraft, we will pay the LLC \$375,000 for each of the thirty-six months of the lease term through May 15, 2011. We are also responsible for all use-related costs associated with the aircraft, including fixed costs such as crew salaries and benefits, insurance and hangar costs, and all direct operating costs. Closing costs associated with the Lease Agreement were divided evenly between us and the LLC, and each party paid its own attorney and advisor fees. During fiscal 2009 and fiscal 2008, we paid a total of \$4,500,000 and \$3,185,000 to the LLC, respectively.

In conjunction with the retirement and consulting agreement entered into between us and Mr. Lester (see Note M) on January 25, 2010, the aircraft agreement will continue pursuant to its economic terms through May 2011. Additionally, Mr. Lester, under the agreement, has agreed to give us an option to purchase this aircraft at the expiration of the lease term for the estimated fair market value at the time we entered into the retirement and consulting agreement of \$32,000,000.

Note M: Retirement and Consulting Agreement

On January 25, 2010, the independent members of our Board of Directors approved the company's entry into a Retirement and Consulting Agreement (the Agreement) with W. Howard Lester, our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer. Pursuant to the terms of the Agreement, Mr. Lester will retire as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer and as a member of the Board on the date of our 2010 annual shareholders meeting, or May 26, 2010 (the Retirement Date). Mr. Lester will, however, provide consulting and advisory services in order to assist with the transition to our new Chief Executive Officer. Following his retirement, Mr. Lester will have the title of Chairman Emeritus.

In recognition of his retirement and his contributions to the company, Mr. Lester, upon his Retirement Date, will receive accelerated vesting of his outstanding stock options (2,500 options), stock-settled stock appreciation rights (318,750 rights) and restricted stock units (35,195 units), at which time, these awards will become fully exercisable. The total expense associated with his retirement, primarily consisting of the fair market value of these modified awards, is expected to be approximately \$4,600,000, which will be expensed principally in the first quarter of fiscal 2010.

Pursuant to the terms of the Agreement, Mr. Lester will provide consulting and advisory services from his Retirement Date through December 2012. During the consulting period, Mr. Lester will, at our request, advise and assist on such matters as store real estate strategy, negotiations with real estate lessors, seasonal assortments and layouts, and outreach to shareholders. The Agreement also provides that Mr. Lester will not, among other things, compete with the company or attempt to hire our employees. During this consulting period, Mr. Lester will receive an annualized payment of \$500,000 per year, reasonable administrative support and reimbursement for reasonable expenses incurred in connection with his services.

Additionally, Mr. Lester will be granted units representing the right to receive 125,000 shares of our common stock in addition to receiving cash payments representing the value of 125,000 shares of our common stock, in each case, which will vest monthly over the consulting period. In the event we terminate the consulting agreement as a result of Mr. Lester's material breach of the Agreement, death, permanent disability or a change in control transaction in which the Agreement is not assumed, any unvested portion of these stock units or cash payments will be forfeited. The common stock award and cash award were initially measured at fair market value, or approximately \$5,000,000, and will be expensed over the consulting period through December 2012. The unvested shares and cash awards will be re-measured at fair market value every reporting period.

Table of Contents

Note N: Infrastructure Cost Reduction Program

On January 21, 2009, we announced a series of actions completed during the fourth quarter of fiscal 2008 to reduce our fiscal 2009 fixed and semi-fixed overhead costs by approximately \$75,000,000. These actions included an approximate 18% reduction in company-wide full time headcount (approximately 1,400 positions), the closure of our Camp Hill, Pennsylvania customer care center and the closure of a 500,000 square foot distribution facility in Memphis, Tennessee. In connection with this cost reduction program, we incurred approximately \$12,734,000 in severance and lease termination related expenses in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2008. Approximately \$2,390,000 of these expenses was recorded within cost of goods sold and \$10,344,000 was recorded within selling, general and administrative expenses.

Note O: Segment Reporting

We have two reportable segments, retail and direct-to-customer. The retail segment has five merchandising concepts which sell products for the home (Williams-Sonoma, Pottery Barn, Pottery Barn Kids, West Elm and Williams-Sonoma Home). The five retail merchandising concepts are operating segments, which have been aggregated into one reportable segment, retail. The direct-to-customer segment has six merchandising concepts (Williams-Sonoma, Pottery Barn, Pottery Barn Kids, PBteen, West Elm and Williams-Sonoma Home) and sells similar products through our seven direct mail catalogs (Williams-Sonoma, Pottery Barn, Pottery Barn Kids, Pottery Barn Bed and Bath, PBteen, West Elm and Williams-Sonoma Home) and six e-commerce websites (williams-sonoma.com, potterybarn.com, potterybarnkids.com, pbteen.com, westelm.com and wshome.com). Management's expectation is that the overall economic characteristics of each of our major concepts within each reportable segment will be similar over time based on management's judgment that the operating segments have had similar historical economic characteristics and are expected to have similar long-term financial performance in the future.

These reportable segments are strategic business units that offer similar home-centered products. They are managed separately because the business units utilize two distinct distribution and marketing strategies. Based on management's best estimate, our operating segments include allocations of certain expenses, including advertising and employment costs, to the extent they have been determined to benefit both channels. These operating segments are aggregated at the channel level for reporting purposes due to the fact that our brands are interdependent for economies of scale and we do not maintain fully allocated income statements at the brand level. As a result, material financial decisions related to the brands are made at the channel level. Furthermore, it is not practicable for us to report revenue by product group.

We use earnings before unallocated corporate overhead, interest and taxes to evaluate segment profitability. Unallocated costs before income taxes include corporate employee-related costs, occupancy expenses (including depreciation expense), administrative costs and third party service costs, primarily in our corporate systems, corporate facilities and other administrative departments. Unallocated assets include the net book value of corporate facilities and related information systems, deferred income taxes, other corporate long-lived assets and corporate cash and cash equivalents.

Income tax information by segment has not been included as taxes are calculated at a company-wide level and are not allocated to each segment.

Table of Contents**Segment Information**

<i>Dollars in thousands</i>	Retail ¹	Direct-to-Customer	Unallocated	Total
2009 (52 Weeks)				
Net revenues	\$ 1,878,034	\$ 1,224,670	\$	\$ 3,102,704
Depreciation and amortization expense	97,978	20,965	32,853	151,796
Earnings (loss) before income taxes ^{2, 3}	133,486	210,702	(223,899)	120,289
Assets ⁴	900,574	258,188	920,407	2,079,169
Capital expenditures	43,095	12,991	16,177	72,263
2008 (52 Weeks)				
Net revenues	\$ 1,962,498	\$ 1,398,974	\$	\$ 3,361,472
Depreciation and amortization expense	99,065	21,142	27,876	148,083
Earnings (loss) before income taxes ^{5, 6}	41,293	183,237	(182,577)	41,953
Assets ⁴	1,047,448	295,022	592,994	1,935,464
Capital expenditures	145,456	17,283	29,050	191,789
2007 (53 Weeks)				
Net revenues	\$ 2,281,218	\$ 1,663,716	\$	\$ 3,944,934
Depreciation and amortization expense	96,129	19,328	25,244	140,701
Earnings (loss) before income taxes	253,834	267,470	(204,964)	316,340
Assets ⁴	1,143,910	378,520	571,424	2,093,854
Capital expenditures	134,158	24,393	53,473	212,024

¹ Net revenues include \$84.2 million, \$79.9 million and \$87.3 million in fiscal 2009, fiscal 2008 and fiscal 2007, respectively, related to our foreign operations.

² Includes asset impairment and lease termination charges of \$35.0 million in the retail channel related to our underperforming retail stores.

³ Unallocated costs before income taxes includes \$7.6 million in lease termination charges related to the exit of excess distribution capacity and a \$1.9 million benefit representing Visa/MasterCard litigation settlement income.

⁴ Includes \$29.6 million, \$28.3 million and \$30.7 million of long-term assets in fiscal 2009, fiscal 2008 and fiscal 2007, respectively, related to our foreign operations.

⁵ In the retail channel, includes asset impairment charges of \$34.0 million related to our underperforming retail stores and a \$9.4 million benefit related to an incentive payment received from a landlord to compensate us for terminating a store lease prior to its original expiration.

⁶ Unallocated costs before income taxes in fiscal 2008 includes an approximate \$16.0 million benefit related to a gain on sale of our corporate aircraft, an \$11.0 million benefit related to the reversal of expense associated with certain performance-based stock awards and severance and lease termination related costs of \$12.7 million associated with our infrastructure cost reduction program (See Note N).

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of

Williams-Sonoma, Inc.:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Williams-Sonoma, Inc. and subsidiaries (the Company) as of January 31, 2010 and February 1, 2009, and the related consolidated statements of income, shareholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended January 31, 2010. We also have audited the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of January 31, 2010, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. The Company's management is responsible for these financial statements, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit of financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the company's principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, and effected by the company's board of directors, management, and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of the inherent limitations of internal control over financial reporting, including the possibility of collusion or improper management override of controls, material misstatements due to error or fraud may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. Also, projections of any evaluation of the effectiveness of the internal control over financial reporting to future periods are subject to the risk that the controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Williams-Sonoma, Inc. and subsidiaries as of January 31, 2010 and February 1, 2009, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended January 31, 2010, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also, in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of

Table of Contents

January 31, 2010, based on the criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

/s/ DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

San Francisco, California

April 1, 2010

Table of Contents**Quarterly Financial Information***(Unaudited)**Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts*

	First	Second	Third	Fourth	Full
Fiscal 2009 (52 Weeks)	Quarter	Quarter	Quarter	Quarter ¹	Year
Net revenues	\$611,615	\$ 672,114	\$ 729,297	\$ 1,089,678	\$ 3,102,704
Gross margin	183,963	215,341	252,852	451,081	1,103,237
Earnings (loss) before income taxes ^{2, 3}	(29,511)	57	9,115	140,628	120,289
Net earnings (loss)	(18,705)	399	7,327	88,421	77,442
Basic earnings (loss) per share ⁴	\$ (0.18)	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.07	\$ 0.83	\$ 0.73
Diluted earnings (loss) per share ⁴	\$ (0.18)	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.07	\$ 0.81	\$ 0.72
Stock price (as of quarter-end) ⁵	\$ 13.11	\$ 14.06	\$ 18.78	\$ 18.98	\$ 18.98
	First	Second	Third	Fourth	Full
Fiscal 2008 (52 Weeks)	Quarter ⁶	Quarter ⁷	Quarter ⁸	Quarter ⁹	Year
Net revenues	\$781,784	\$ 819,621	\$ 752,052	\$ 1,008,015	\$ 3,361,472
Gross margin	276,219	278,847	240,480	339,626	1,135,172
Earnings before income taxes ¹⁰	17,062	25,233	(19,536)	19,194	41,953
Net earnings	10,447	18,384	(10,998)	12,191	30,024
Basic earnings per share ⁴	\$ 0.10	\$ 0.17	\$ (0.10)	\$ 0.12	\$ 0.28
Diluted earnings per share ⁴	\$ 0.10	\$ 0.17	\$ (0.10)	\$ 0.12	\$ 0.28
Stock price (as of quarter-end) ⁵	\$ 26.10	\$ 17.47	\$ 8.28	\$ 7.92	\$ 7.92

¹ Includes a pre-tax benefit of \$1,900,000 in the fourth quarter representing Visa/MasterCard litigation settlement income.² Includes pre-tax impairment and lease termination charges of \$6,127,000 in the first quarter, \$7,246,000 in the second quarter, \$12,156,000 in the third quarter and \$9,495,000 in the fourth quarter related to our underperforming retail stores.³ Includes pre-tax lease termination charges of \$1,335,000 in the second quarter and \$6,245,000 in the third quarter related to the exit of excess distribution capacity.⁴ The sum of the quarterly net earnings per share amounts will not necessarily equal the annual net earnings per share as each quarter is calculated independently.⁵ Stock prices represent our common stock price at the close of business on the Friday before our fiscal quarter-end.⁶ Includes a net pre-tax benefit of \$9,350,000 in selling, general and administrative expense related to an incentive payment from a landlord to compensate us for terminating a store lease prior to its expiration.⁷ Includes a pre-tax benefit of approximately \$16,000,000 in selling, general and administrative expense related to the sale of our corporate aircraft.⁸ Includes a pre-tax benefit of \$11,023,000 in selling, general and administrative expense associated with the reversal of performance-based stock compensation expense.⁹ Includes a pre-tax expense of \$2,390,000 in cost of goods sold and \$10,344,000 in selling, general and administrative expense related to our infrastructure cost reduction program.¹⁰ Includes pre-tax impairment charges of \$596,000 in the first quarter, \$1,474,000 in the second quarter, \$12,280,000 in the third quarter and \$19,645,000 in the fourth quarter related to our underperforming retail stores.**ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE**
None.**ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES**
Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As of January 31, 2010, an evaluation was performed by management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and our Executive Vice President, Chief Operating and Chief Financial Officer (CFO), of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures. Based on that evaluation, our management, including our CEO and CFO, concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective to

ensure that information we are required to disclose in reports that we file or submit under the Securities

Table of Contents

Exchange Act of 1934 is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our CEO and CFO, as appropriate, to allow for timely discussions regarding required disclosures, and that such information is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the rules and forms of the SEC.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There was no change in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during our most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over the company's financial reporting. There are inherent limitations in the effectiveness of any internal control, including the possibility of human error and the circumvention or overriding of controls. Accordingly, even any effective internal control can provide only reasonable assurance with respect to financial statement preparation. Further, because of changes in conditions, the effectiveness of any internal control may vary over time.

Our management assessed the effectiveness of the company's internal control over financial reporting as of January 31, 2010. In making this assessment, we used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in *Internal Control-Integrated Framework*. Based on our assessment using those criteria, our management concluded that, as of January 31, 2010, our internal control over financial reporting is effective.

Our independent registered public accounting firm audited the financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K and has issued an attestation report on the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Their report appears on pages 63 through 64 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

Table of Contents

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Information required by this Item is incorporated by reference herein to the information under the headings Election of Directors, Information Concerning Executive Officers, Committee Reports Nominations and Corporate Governance Committee Report, Committee Reports Audit and Finance Committee Report, Corporate Governance Guidelines and Corporate Code of Conduct and Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance in our Proxy Statement.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Information required by this Item is incorporated by reference herein to information under the headings Election of Directors, Information Concerning Executive Officers, Compensation Discussion and Analysis, and Committee Reports Compensation Committee Report in our Proxy Statement.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

Information required by this Item is incorporated by reference herein to information under the headings Security Ownership of Principal Shareholders and Management and Equity Compensation Plan Information in our Proxy Statement.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

Information required by this Item is incorporated by reference herein to information under the heading Certain Relationships and Related Transactions in our Proxy Statement.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

Information required by this Item is incorporated by reference herein to information under the headings Committee Reports Audit and Finance Committee Report and Audit and Related Fees in our Proxy Statement.

Table of Contents

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

(a)(1) Financial Statements:

The following consolidated financial statements of Williams-Sonoma, Inc. and subsidiaries and the related notes are filed as part of this report pursuant to Item 7:

Consolidated Statements of Earnings for the fiscal years ended January 31, 2010, February 1, 2009 and February 3, 2008

Consolidated Balance Sheets as of January 31, 2010 and February 1, 2009

Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity for the fiscal years ended January 31, 2010, February 1, 2009 and February 3, 2008

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the fiscal years ended January 31, 2010, February 1, 2009 and February 3, 2008

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Quarterly Financial Information

(a)(2) Financial Statement Schedules: Schedules have been omitted because they are not required or because the required information, where material, is included in the financial statements, notes, or supplementary financial information.

(a)(3) Exhibits: See Exhibit Index on pages 70 through 78.

(b) Exhibits: See Exhibit Index on pages 70 through 78.

(c) Financial Statement Schedules: Schedules have been omitted because they are not required or are not applicable.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

WILLIAMS-SONOMA, INC.

Date: April 1, 2010

By /s/ W. HOWARD LESTER
Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Date: April 1, 2010	/s/ W. HOWARD LESTER W. Howard Lester Chairman of the Board of Directors and Chief Executive Officer (principal executive officer)
Date: April 1, 2010	/s/ SHARON L. MCCOLLAM Sharon L. McCollam Executive Vice President, Chief Operating and Chief Financial Officer (principal financial officer and principal accounting officer)
Date: April 1, 2010	/s/ ADRIAN D.P. BELLAMY Adrian D.P. Bellamy Director
Date: April 1, 2010	/s/ PATRICK J. CONNOLLY Patrick J. Connolly Director
Date: April 1, 2010	/s/ ADRIAN T. DILLON Adrian T. Dillon Director
Date: April 1, 2010	/s/ ANTHONY A. GREENER Anthony A. Greener Director
Date: April 1, 2010	/s/ TED W. HALL Ted W. Hall Director
Date: April 1, 2010	/s/ MICHAEL R. LYNCH Michael R. Lynch Director
Date: April 1, 2010	/s/ RICHARD T. ROBERTSON Richard T. Robertson Director

Edgar Filing: WILLIAMS SONOMA INC - Form 10-K

Date: April 1, 2010

/s/ DAVID B. ZENOFF
David B. Zenoff
Director

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX TO ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K

FOR THE

FISCAL YEAR ENDED JANUARY 31, 2010

EXHIBIT NUMBER	EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION
ARTICLES OF INCORPORATION AND BYLAWS	
3.1	Restated Articles of Incorporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended October 29, 1995 as filed with the Commission on December 13, 1995, File No. 000-12704)
3.2	Certificate of Amendment of Restated Articles of Incorporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1A to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended January 30, 2000 as filed with the Commission on May 1, 2000, File No. 001-14077)
3.3	Certificate of Amendment of Restated Articles of Incorporation, as Amended, of the Company, dated April 29, 2002 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.3 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended August 1, 2004 as filed with the Commission on September 10, 2004, File No. 001-14077)
3.4	Certificate of Amendment of Restated Articles of Incorporation, as Amended, of the Company, dated as of July 22, 2003 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended August 3, 2003 as filed with the Commission on September 11, 2003, File No. 001-14077)
3.5	Amended and Restated Bylaws of Registrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K as filed with the Commission on May 22, 2007, File No. 001-14077)
FINANCING AGREEMENTS	
10.1*	Fourth Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated October 4, 2006, between the Company and Bank of America, N.A., as administrative agent, L/C Issuer and lender of swing line advances, Banc of America Securities LLC, as sole lead arranger and sole book manager, The Bank of New York and Wells Fargo Bank N.A., as co-syndication agents, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. and Union Bank of California, N.A., as co-documentation agents, and the lenders party thereto
10.2	Amendment No. 1 to Fourth Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of December 3, 2008, by and among Company, Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent, L/C Issuer and lender of swingline advances, each of the lenders party thereto, and each of the subsidiary guarantors party thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K as filed with the Commission on December 4, 2008, File No. 001-14077)
10.3	Reimbursement Agreement between the Company and Bank of America, N.A., dated as of July 1, 2005 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended July 31, 2005 as filed with the Commission on September 9, 2005, File No. 001-14077)

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT NUMBER	EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION
10.4	First Amendment, dated as of September 9, 2005, to the Reimbursement Agreement between the Company and Bank of America, N.A., dated as of July 1, 2005 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended October 30, 2005 as filed with the Commission on December 6, 2005, File No. 001-14077)
10.5	Second Amendment, dated as of September 8, 2006, to the Reimbursement Agreement between the Company and Bank of America, N.A., dated as of July 1, 2005 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended October 29, 2006 as filed with the Commission on December 8, 2006, File No. 001-14077)
10.6	Third Amendment, dated as of October 25, 2006, to the Reimbursement Agreement between the Company and Bank of America, N.A., dated as of July 1, 2005 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended October 29, 2006 as filed with the Commission on December 8, 2006, File No. 001-14077)
10.7	Fourth Amendment, dated as of September 8, 2007, to the Reimbursement Agreement between the Company and Bank of America, N.A., dated as of July 1, 2005 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended October 28, 2007 as filed with the Commission on December 7, 2007, File No. 001-14077)
10.8	Fifth Amendment, dated as of September 5, 2008, to the Reimbursement Agreement between the Company and Bank of America, N.A., dated as of July 1, 2005 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended November 2, 2008 as filed with the Commission on December 12, 2008, File No. 001-14077)
10.9	Sixth Amendment, dated as of September 4, 2009, to the Reimbursement Agreement between the Company and Bank of America, N.A., dated as of July 1, 2005 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended November 1, 2009 as filed with the Commission on December 12, 2009, File No. 001-14077)
10.10	Reimbursement Agreement between the Company and Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., dated as of July 1, 2005 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended July 31, 2005 as filed with the Commission on September 9, 2005, File No. 001-14077)
10.11	First Amendment, dated as of September 9, 2005, to the Reimbursement Agreement between the Company and Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., dated as of July 1, 2005 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended October 30, 2005 as filed with the Commission on December 6, 2005, File No. 001-14077)
10.12	Second Amendment, dated as of September 8, 2006, to the Reimbursement Agreement between the Company and Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., dated as of July 1, 2005 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended October 29, 2006 as filed with the Commission on December 8, 2006, File No. 001-14077)

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT NUMBER	EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION
10.13	Third Amendment, dated as of September 8, 2007, to the Reimbursement Agreement between the Company and Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., dated as of July 1, 2005 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended October 28, 2007 as filed with the Commission on December 7, 2007, File No. 001-14077)
10.14	Fourth Amendment, dated as of September 5, 2008, to the Reimbursement Agreement between the Company and Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., dated as of July 1, 2005 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended November 2, 2008 as filed with the Commission on December 12, 2008, File No. 001-14077)
10.15	Fifth Amendment, dated as of September 4, 2009, to the Reimbursement Agreement between the Company and Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., dated as of July 1, 2005 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended November 1, 2009 as filed with the Commission on December 11, 2009, File No. 001-14077)
10.16	Reimbursement Agreement between the Company and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., dated as of September 8, 2006 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended October 29, 2006 as filed with the Commission on December 8, 2006, File No. 001-14077)
10.17	First Amendment, dated as of October 25, 2006, to the Reimbursement Agreement between the Company and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., dated as of September 8, 2006 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended October 29, 2006 as filed with the Commission on December 8, 2006, File No. 001-14077)
10.18	Second Amendment, dated as of July 20, 2007, to the Reimbursement Agreement between the Company and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., dated as of September 8, 2006 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended October 28, 2007 as filed with the Commission on December 7, 2007, File No. 001-14077)
10.19	Third Amendment, dated as of September 8, 2007, to the Reimbursement Agreement between the Company and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., dated as of September 8, 2006 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended October 28, 2007 as filed with the Commission on December 7, 2007, File No. 001-14077)
10.20	Fourth Amendment, dated as of September 5, 2008, to the Reimbursement Agreement between the Company and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., dated as of September 8, 2006 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended November 2, 2008 as filed with the Commission on December 12, 2008, File No. 001-14077)
10.21	Fifth Amendment, dated as of April 13, 2009, to the Reimbursement Agreement between the Company and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., dated as of September 8, 2006 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended May 3, 2009 as filed with the Commission on June 12, 2009, File No. 001-14077)

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT NUMBER	EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION
10.22	Sixth Amendment, dated as of September 4, 2009, to the Reimbursement Agreement between the Company and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., dated as of September 8, 2006 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended November 1, 2009 as filed with the Commission on December 11, 2009, File No. 001-14077)
10.23	Reimbursement Agreement between the Company and U.S. Bank National Association, dated as of September 8, 2006 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended October 29, 2006 as filed with the Commission on December 8, 2006, File No. 001-14077)
10.24	First Amendment, dated as of October 25, 2006, to the Reimbursement Agreement between the Company and U.S. Bank National Association, dated as of September 8, 2006 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended October 29, 2006 as filed with the Commission on December 8, 2006, File No. 001-14077)
10.25	Second Amendment, dated as of September 8, 2007, to the Reimbursement Agreement between the Company and U.S. Bank National Association, dated as of September 8, 2006 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended October 28, 2007 as filed with the Commission on December 7, 2007, File No. 001-14077)
10.26	Third Amendment, dated as of September 5, 2008, to the Reimbursement Agreement between the Company and U.S. Bank National Association, dated as of September 8, 2006 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended November 2, 2008 as filed with the Commission on December 12, 2008, File No. 001-14077)
10.27	Fourth Amendment, dated as of September 4, 2009, to the Reimbursement Agreement between the Company and U.S. Bank National Association, dated as of September 8, 2006 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended November 1, 2009 as filed with the Commission on December 11, 2009, File No. 001-14077)
 STOCK PLANS	
10.28+	Williams-Sonoma, Inc. Amended and Restated 1993 Stock Option Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.12 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended January 29, 2006 as filed with the Commission on April 15, 2005, File No. 001-14077)
10.29+	Williams-Sonoma, Inc. 2000 Nonqualified Stock Option Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-8 as filed with the Commission on October 27, 2000, File No. 333-48750)
10.30+	Amended and Restated Williams-Sonoma, Inc. 2001 Long-Term Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99(D)(1) Schedule TO as filed with the Commission on March 16, 2009, File No. 001-14077)

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT NUMBER	EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION
10.31+	Forms of Notice of Grant and Stock Option Agreement under the Company's 1993 Stock Option Plan, 2000 Nonqualified Stock Option Plan and 2001 Long-Term Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended October 31, 2004 as filed with the Commission on December 10, 2004, File No. 001-14077)
10.32+	Form of Amended and Restated 2001 Long-Term Incentive Plan Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement for 2006 Employee Grants (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.27 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended February 3, 2008 as filed with the Commission on April 3, 2008, File No. 001-14077)
10.33+	Form of Williams-Sonoma, Inc. 2001 Long-Term Incentive Plan Restricted Stock Unit Award Term Sheet for Director Grants (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended July 29, 2007 as filed with the Commission on September 7, 2007, File No. 001-14077)
10.34+	Form of Williams-Sonoma, Inc. 2001 Long-Term Incentive Plan Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement for Employee Grants (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended May 4, 2008 as filed with the Commission on June 11, 2008, File No. 001-14077)
10.35+	Form of Williams-Sonoma, Inc. 2001 Long-Term Incentive Plan Stock-Settled Stock Appreciation Right Award Agreement for Employee Grants (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on March 20, 2006, File No. 001-14077)
10.36+	Form of Williams-Sonoma, Inc. 2001 Long-Term Incentive Plan Stock-Settled Stock Appreciation Right Award Agreement for Director Grants (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.31 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended February 3, 2008 as filed with the Commission on April 3, 2008, File No. 001-14077)
10.37+	Williams-Sonoma, Inc. 2001 Long-Term Incentive Plan Stock-Settled Stock Appreciation Right Award Agreement for CEO Grant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.38 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended February 1, 2009 as filed with the Commission on April 2, 2009, File No. 001-14077)
10.38+	Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement with W. Howard Lester dated January 25, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K as filed with the Commission on January 26, 2010, File No. 001-14077)
OTHER INCENTIVE PLANS	
10.39+	2001 Incentive Bonus Plan, as amended and restated (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the Commission on June 11, 2008, File No. 001-14077)
10.40+	Williams-Sonoma, Inc. Pre-2005 Executive Deferral Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.40 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended February 1, 2009 as filed with the Commission on April 2, 2009, File No. 001-14077)

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT NUMBER

EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION

10.41+	Williams-Sonoma, Inc. Executive Deferred Compensation Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.41 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended February 1, 2009 as filed with the Commission on April 2, 2009, File No. 001-14077)
10.42+	Williams-Sonoma, Inc. 401(k) Plan, as amended and restated effective January 1, 2002, except as otherwise noted, and including amendments effective through August 1, 2007 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.34 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended February 3, 2008 as filed with the Commission on April 3, 2008, File No. 001-14077)
10.43+	Amendment to the Williams-Sonoma, Inc. 401(k) Plan dated November 6, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.43 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended February 1, 2009 as filed with the Commission on April 2, 2009, File No. 001-14077)
10.44+	January 2009 Amendment to the Williams-Sonoma, Inc. 401(k) Plan dated January 20, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.44 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended February 1, 2009 as filed with the Commission on April 2, 2009, File No. 001-14077)

PROPERTIES

10.45	Warehouse Distribution Facility lease dated July 1, 1983, between the Company as lessee and the Lester-McMahan Partnership as lessor (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 1983 as filed with the Commission on October 14, 1983, File No. 000-12704)
10.46	First Amendment, dated December 1, 1985, to the Warehouse Distribution Facility lease dated July 1, 1983, between the Company as lessee and the Lester-McMahan Partnership as lessor (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.48 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended February 2, 1986 as filed with the Commission on May 2, 1986, File No. 000-12704)
10.47	Second Amendment, dated December 1, 1993, to the Warehouse Distribution Facility lease dated July 1, 1983 between the Company as lessee and the Lester-McMahan Partnership as lessor (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.27 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended January 30, 1994 as filed with the Commission on April 29, 1994, File No. 000-12704)
10.48	Sublease for the Distribution Facility at 4600 and 4650 Sonoma Cove, Memphis, Tennessee, dated as of August 1, 1990, by and between Hewson-Memphis Partners and the Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended October 28, 1990 as filed with the Commission on December 12, 1990, File No. 000-12704)
10.49	First Amendment, dated December 22, 1993, to Sublease for the Distribution Facility at 4600 and 4650 Sonoma Cove, Memphis, Tennessee between the Company and Hewson-Memphis Partners, dated as of August 1, 1990 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended January 28, 2001 as filed with the Commission on April 26, 2001, File No. 001-14077)

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT NUMBER	EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION
10.50	Second Amendment, dated September 1, 1994, to Sublease for the Distribution Facility at 4600 and 4650 Sonoma Cove, Memphis, Tennessee, dated as of August 1, 1990 between the Company and Hewson-Memphis Partners (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.38 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended October 30, 1994 as filed with the Commission on December 13, 1994, File No. 000-12704)
10.51	Third Amendment, dated October 24, 1995, to Sublease for the Distribution Facility at 4600 and 4650 Sonoma Cove, Memphis, Tennessee, dated as of August 1, 1990 between the Company and Hewson-Memphis Partners (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2E to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended October 29, 1995 as filed with the Commission on December 13, 1995, File No. 000-12704)
10.52	Fourth Amendment, dated February 1, 1996, to Sublease for the Distribution Facility at 4600 and 4650 Sonoma Cove, Memphis, Tennessee, dated as of August 1, 1990 between the Company and Hewson-Memphis Partners (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.16 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended January 28, 2001 as filed with the Commission on April 26, 2001, File No. 001-14077)
10.53	Fifth Amendment to Sublease, dated March 1, 1999, incorrectly titled Fourth Amendment to Sublease for the Distribution Facility at 4600 and 4650 Sonoma Cove, Memphis, Tennessee, dated as of August 1, 1990 between the Company and Hewson-Memphis Partners (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.43 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended February 3, 2002 as filed with the Commission on April 29, 2002, File No. 001-14077)
10.54	Memorandum of Understanding between the Company and the State of Mississippi, Mississippi Business Finance Corporation, Desoto County, Mississippi, the City of Olive Branch, Mississippi and Hewson Properties, Inc., dated August 24, 1998 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended August 2, 1998 as filed with the Commission on September 14, 1998, File No. 001-14077)
10.55	Olive Branch Distribution Facility Lease, dated December 1, 1998, between the Company as lessee and WSDC, LLC (the successor-in-interest to Hewson/Desoto Phase I, L.L.C.) as lessor (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3D to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended January 31, 1999 as filed with the Commission on April 30, 1999, File No. 001-14077)
10.56	First Amendment, dated September 1, 1999, to the Olive Branch Distribution Facility Lease between the Company as lessee and WSDC, LLC (the successor-in-interest to Hewson/Desoto Phase I, L.L.C.) as lessor, dated December 1, 1998 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3B to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended January 30, 2000 as filed with the Commission on May 1, 2000, File No. 001-14077)
10.57	Lease for an additional Company distribution facility located in Olive Branch, Mississippi between Williams-Sonoma Retail Services, Inc. as lessee and SPI WS II, LLC (the successor-in-interest to Hewson/Desoto Partners, L.L.C.) as lessor, dated November 15, 1999 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.14 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended January 30, 2000 as filed with the Commission on May 1, 2000, File No. 001-14077)

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT NUMBER	EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION
EMPLOYMENT AGREEMENTS	
10.58+	Employment Agreement between the Company and Laura Alber, dated March 19, 2001 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.77 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended February 3, 2002 as filed with the Commission on April 29, 2002, File No. 001-14077)
10.59+	Amendment, dated as of November 11, 2008, to Employment Agreement between the Company and Laura Alber, dated March 19, 2001 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.62 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended February 1, 2009 as filed with the Commission on April 2, 2009, File No. 001-14077)
10.60+	Employment Agreement between the Company and Sharon McCollam, dated December 28, 2002 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.42 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended January 29, 2006 as filed with the Commission on April 15, 2005, File No. 001-14077)
10.61+	Amendment, dated as of November 11, 2008, to Employment Agreement between the Company and Sharon McCollam, dated December 28, 2002 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.64 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended February 1, 2009 as filed with the Commission on April 2, 2009, File No. 001-14077)
10.62+	Retirement and Consulting Agreement, dated as of January 25, 2010, between the Company and Howard Lester (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K as filed with the Commission on January 26, 2010, File No. 001-14077)
OTHER AGREEMENTS	
10.63	Aircraft Lease Agreement between WHL Management LLC and the Company, dated May 16, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended August 3, 2008 as filed with the Commission on September 12, 2008, File No. 001-14077)
10.64	Form of Williams-Sonoma, Inc. Indemnification Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended August 2, 2009 as filed with the Commission on September 11, 2009, File No. 001-14077)
OTHER EXHIBITS	
21.1*	Subsidiaries
23.1*	Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT NUMBER

EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION

CERTIFICATIONS

31.1*	Certification of Chief Executive Officer, pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and Rule 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act, as amended
31.2*	Certification of Chief Financial Officer, pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and Rule 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act, as amended
32.1*	Certification of Chief Executive Officer, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.2*	Certification of Chief Financial Officer, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

* *Filed herewith.*

+ *Indicates a management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.*