CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP INC Form S-1/A April 05, 2004 Table of Contents

As filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 5, 2004

Registration No. 333-112867

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

Amendment No. 1

to

FORM S-1

REGISTRATION STATEMENT

UNDER

THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933

CB Richard Ellis Group, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware (State or other jurisdiction of

6500 (Primary Standard Industrial 94-3391143 (I.R.S. Employer

incorporation or organization)

Classification Code Number)

Identification No.)

865 South Figueroa Street, Suite 3400

Los Angeles, CA 90017

(213) 438-4880

(Address, including zip code, and telephone number, including area code, of registrant s principal executive offices)

Kenneth J. Kay

Chief Financial Officer

CB Richard Ellis Group, Inc.

(formerly known as CBRE Holding, Inc.)

865 South Figueroa Street, Suite 3400

Los Angeles, CA 90017

(213) 438-4880

| (Name, address, including zip code, and telephone n | umber, including area code, of agent for service) |
|---|--|
| With cop | ies to: |
| William B. Brentani | Stephen L. Burns |
| Simpson Thacher & Bartlett LLP | Cravath, Swaine & Moore LLP |
| 3330 Hillview Avenue | 825 Eighth Avenue |
| Palo Alto, CA 94304 | New York, NY 10019 |
| (650) 251-5000 | (212) 474-1000 |
| Fax: (650) 251-5002 | Fax: (212) 474-3700 |
| Approximate date of commencement of proposed sale to the public: Statement. | As soon as practicable after the effective date of this Registration |
| If any of the securities being registered on this form are being offered on a Act of 1933, check the following box. $^{\circ}$ | delayed or continuous basis pursuant to Rule 415 under the Securitie |
| If this form is filed to register additional securities for an offering pursuant list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective | |
| If this form is a post-effective amendment filed pursuant to Rule 462(c) un Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration states | |
| If this form is a post-effective amendment filed pursuant to Rule 462(d) ur Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration states | _ |
| If delivery of the prospectus is expected to be made pursuant to Rule 434, | check the following box. " |

The registrant hereby amends this Registration Statement on such date or dates as may be necessary to delay its effective date until the registrant shall file a further amendment which specifically states that this Registration Statement shall thereafter become effective in accordance with section 8(a) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or until this Registration Statement shall become effective on such date as the Commission, acting pursuant to said Section 8(a), may determine.

The information in this prospectus is not complete and may be changed. We may not sell these securities until the registration statement filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission is effective. This prospectus is not an offer to sell these securities and we are not soliciting an offer to buy these securities in any jurisdiction where the offer or sale is not permitted.

SUBJECT TO COMPLETION, DATED APRIL 5, 2004

Shares

CB Richard Ellis Group, Inc.

Class A Common Stock

Prior to this offering, there has been no public market for our Class A common stock. The initial public offering price of our Class A common stock is expected to be between \$ and \$ per share. We have applied to list our Class A common stock on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol CBG.

We are selling shares of Class A common stock and the selling stockholders are selling shares of Class A common stock. We will not receive any of the proceeds from the shares of Class A common stock sold by the selling stockholders.

The underwriters have an option to purchase a maximum of stockholders to cover over-allotments of shares.

additional shares of Class A common stock from the selling

Investing in our Class A common stock involves risks. See Risk Factors beginning on page 8.

Proceeds to
Underwriting
Discounts and
Price to Public
Commissions
Proceeds to
CB Richard
Proceeds to Selling
Price to Public
Commissions
Ellis Group
Stockholders



Delivery of the shares of Class A common stock will be made on or about

Neither the Securities and Exchange Commission nor any state securities commission has approved or disapproved of these securities or determined if this prospectus is truthful or complete. Any representation to the contrary is a criminal offense.

Credit Suisse First Boston

Citigroup

The date of this prospectus is

, 2004.

, 2004.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|--|------|
| Prospectus Summary | 1 |
| Risk Factors | 8 |
| Forward-Looking Statements | 20 |
| <u>Use of Proceeds</u> | 21 |
| DIVIDEND POLICY | 21 |
| <u>Capitalization</u> | 22 |
| <u>Dilution</u> | 24 |
| Unaudited Pro Forma Financial Information | 26 |
| SELECTED HISTORICAL FINANCIAL DATA | 34 |
| Management s Discussionand Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations | 36 |
| Business | 60 |
| <u>Management</u> | 71 |
| Related Party Transactions | 83 |
| | Page |
| Principal and Selling Stockholders | 88 |
| DESCRIPTION OF CAPITAL STOCK | 91 |
| Shares Eligible for Future Sale | 97 |
| DESCRIPTION OF CERTAIN LONG-TERM INDEBTEDNESS | 98 |
| CERTAIN U.S. TAX CONSEQUENCES TO NON-U.S. HOLDERS | 103 |
| Underwriting | 106 |
| LEGAL MATTERS | 109 |
| EXPERTS | 109 |
| Change in Accountants | 110 |
| WHERE YOU CAN FIND MORE INFORMATION | 110 |
| INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS | F-1 |

You should rely only on the information contained in this document or to which we have referred you. We have not authorized anyone to provide you with information that is different. This document may only be used where it is legal to sell these securities. The information in this document may only be accurate on the date of this prospectus.

CB Richard Ellis and the CBRE CB Richard Ellis corporate logo set forth on the cover of this prospectus are the registered trademarks of CB Richard Ellis Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries in the United States. All other trademarks or service marks are trademarks or service marks of the companies that use them.

Industry and market data used in this prospectus were obtained from our own research, publicly available studies conducted by third parties and publicly available industry and general publications published by third parties and, in some cases, are management estimates based on its industry and other knowledge. While we believe our research and management estimates are reliable, they have not been verified by independent sources.

Some figures in this prospectus may not total due to rounding adjustments.

Dealer Prospectus Delivery Obligation

Until , 2004, all dealers that effect transactions in these securities, whether or not participating in the offering, may be required to deliver a prospectus. This is in addition to the dealer s obligation to deliver a prospectus when acting as an underwriter and with respect to unsold allotments or subscriptions.

PROSPECTUS SUMMARY

This summary may not contain all of the information that may be important to you. You should read this summary together with the entire prospectus, including the information presented under the heading Risk Factors and the more detailed information in the financial statements and related notes appearing elsewhere in this prospectus, before making an investment decision. Unless the context indicates otherwise, (1) references in this prospectus to common stock mean our Class A common stock and (2) information presented on a proforma basis gives effect to our acquisition of Insignia Financial Group, Inc. on July 23, 2003 and the related transactions and financings and the completion of the offering and the use of the net proceeds we receive, in each case as described in this prospectus under the heading Unaudited Pro Forma Financial Information.

CB Richard Ellis Group, Inc.

We are the largest global commercial real estate services firm, based on 2003 revenue, offering a full range of services to occupiers, owners, lenders and investors in office, retail, industrial, multi-family and other commercial real estate assets. As of December 31, 2003, we operated in 48 countries with over 13,500 employees in 220 offices providing commercial real estate services under the CB Richard Ellis brand name. Our business is focused on several service competencies, including strategic advice and execution assistance for property leasing and sales, forecasting, valuations, origination and servicing of commercial mortgage loans, facilities and project management and real estate investment management. We generate revenues both on a per project or transaction basis and from annual management fees.

We have a well-balanced, highly diversified base of clients that includes more than 60% of the *Fortune 100*. Many of our clients are consolidating their commercial real estate-related expenditures with fewer providers and, as a result, awarding their business to those providers that have a strong presence in important markets and the ability to provide a complete range of services worldwide. As a result of this trend and our ability to deliver comprehensive solutions for our clients—needs across a wide range of markets, we believe we are well positioned to capture a growing percentage of our clients—commercial real estate services expenditures.

Industry Overview

We estimate the U.S. commercial real estate services market generated approximately \$27 billion in revenue in 2003, representing approximately one-third of the total global market for commercial real estate services. Based upon average rental rates and total stock of office and industrial space, we also estimate that the U.S. commercial real estate services market grew at a compound annual growth rate of 3.4% from 1991 through 2003, and we expect this market to grow to approximately \$32 billion in revenue by 2006, representing a compound annual growth rate of 5.8%.

During the next few years, we believe the key drivers of revenue growth for the largest commercial real estate services companies will be the following:

Outsourcing. Motivated by reduced costs, lower overhead, improved execution across markets, increased operational efficiency and a desire to focus on their core competencies, property owners and occupiers have increasingly contracted out for commercial real estate services, including transaction management, facilities management, project management, lease administration, property management and property accounting.

Consolidation. The commercial real estate services industry remains highly fragmented, and we believe that major property owners and corporate users are motivated to consolidate their service provider relationships on a regional, national and global basis to obtain more consistent execution across markets, to achieve economies of scale and enhanced purchasing power and to benefit from streamlined management oversight and the efficiency of single point of contact service delivery.

1

Institutional Ownership of Commercial Real Estate. Institutional owners, such as real estate investment trusts, or REITs, pension funds, foreign institutions and other financial entities, increasingly are acquiring more real estate assets and financing them in the capital markets. We believe it is likely that these owners will consolidate their use of commercial real estate services vendors and outsource management of their portfolios.

Our Regions of Operation and Principal Services

We have organized our business and report our results of operations through three geographically organized segments: (1) the Americas, (2) Europe, Middle East and Africa, or EMEA, and (3) Asia Pacific.

The Americas

The Americas is our largest segment of operations and provides a comprehensive range of services throughout the United States and in the largest metropolitan regions in Canada, Mexico and other selected parts of Latin America. Our Americas segment accounted for 73.5% of our 2003 revenue.

Within our Americas segment, we organize our services into the following business areas:

Advisory Services. Our advisory services business line accounted for 59.7% of our 2003 revenue. We hold the leading commercial real estate services market position in many U.S. metropolitan statistical areas (as defined by the U.S. Census Bureau), including in terms of 2003 office leasing transactions in New York and Philadelphia; property sales and leasing transactions in Atlanta; and leased square footage in Chicago, Boston and Dallas.

Real Estate Services. We provide strategic advice and execution assistance to owners, investors and occupiers of real estate in connection with leasing, disposition and acquisition of property.

Mortgage Loan Origination and Servicing. Our wholly owned L.J. Melody & Company subsidiary originates and services commercial mortgage loans without incurring principal risk.

Valuation. We provide valuation services that include market value appraisals, litigation support, discounted cash flow analyses and feasibility and fairness opinions.

Outsourcing Services. Our outsourcing services business line accounted for 11.2% of our 2003 revenue. As of December 31, 2003, we managed approximately 422.8 million square feet of commercial space for property owners and occupiers, which we believe represents one of the largest portfolios in the Americas.

Asset Services. We provide property management, construction management, marketing, leasing, accounting and financial services on a contractual basis for income-producing office, industrial and retail properties owned by local, regional and institutional investors.

Corporate Services. We provide a comprehensive set of portfolio management, transaction management, project management, strategic consulting, facilities management and other corporate real estate services to leading global companies and public sector institutions with large, geographically-diverse real estate portfolios.

Investment Management Services. Our investment management services business line accounted for 2.6% of our 2003 revenue. Our wholly owned subsidiary, CB Richard Ellis Investors, L.L.C., provides investment management services to clients that include pension plans, investment funds, insurance companies and other organizations seeking to generate returns and diversification through investment in real estate and sponsors funds and investment programs that span the risk/return spectrum.

2

Europe, Middle East and Africa

Our EMEA segment has offices in 28 countries, with its largest operations located in the United Kingdom, France, Spain, The Netherlands and Germany. Operations within the EMEA countries generally include brokerage, investment properties, corporate services, valuation/appraisal services, asset management services, facilities management and other services similar to our Americas segment. We hold strong commercial real estate services market positions in a number of European metropolitan areas, including the leading market position in London in terms of 2003 leased square footage. The EMEA segment accounted for 19.2% of our 2003 revenue.

Asia Pacific

Our Asia Pacific segment has offices in 11 countries, with our principal operations located in China (including Hong Kong), Singapore, South Korea, Japan, Australia and New Zealand. The services we provide in our Asia Pacific segment are generally similar to those provided by our Americas and EMEA segments. We believe we are one of only a few companies that can provide a full range of commercial real estate services to large corporations throughout the Asia Pacific region. The Asia Pacific segment accounted for 7.3% of our 2003 revenue.

Our Competitive Position

We believe we possess several competitive strengths that position us to capitalize on the positive outsourcing, consolidation and globalization trends in the commercial real estate services industry. Our strengths include the following:

Global Brand and Market Leading Positions. For nearly a century, we and our predecessors have built the CB Richard Ellis brand into the largest commercial real estate services provider in the world, based on 2003 revenue.

Full Service Capabilities. We provide a full range of commercial real estate services to meet the needs of our clients, and we believe this suite of services represents a broader range globally than nearly all of our competitors.

Strong Client Relationships and Client-tailored Service. We have forged long-term relationships with many of our clients. Our clients include more than 60% of the *Fortune 100*, with nearly half of these clients purchasing more than one service from us.

Attractive Business Model. Our business model features a diversified client base, recurring revenue streams, a variable cost structure, low capital requirements and strong cash flow generation.

Strong Management Team and Workforce. We have recruited a talented and motivated workforce of over 13,500 employees worldwide, who are supported by a strong and deep senior management team consisting of a number of highly-respected executives, most of whom have over 20 years of broad experience in the real estate industry.

Although we believe these strengths will create significant opportunities for our business, you should also be aware of the risks that may impact our competitive position, which include the following:

Significant Leverage. We have significant debt service obligations and the agreements governing our long-term debt impose operating and financial restrictions on the conduct of our business.

Geographic Concentration. A significant portion of our U.S. operations is concentrated in California and the New York metropolitan area. Adverse effects on these local economies may affect us more than our competitors.

3

Exposure to Risks of International Operations. Because a significant portion of our revenue is derived from operations outside the United States, we are exposed to exchange rate and other foreign social, political and economic risks.

Smaller Presence in Some Markets than our Local Competitors. Although we have a large global presence, many of our competitors may be larger on a local or regional basis and devote more resources to these markets.

Our Growth Strategy

We believe we have built an integrated, global services platform that is unparalleled in our industry. Our primary business objective is to use this platform to garner a disproportionate share of industry revenues relative to our competitors. We believe this will enable us to maximize and sustain our long-term cash flow and increase long-term stockholder value. Our strategy to achieve these business objectives consists of several elements:

Increase Revenue from Large Clients. We plan to capitalize on our client management strategy for our large clients, by using relationship management teams to provide these clients with a full range of services globally while maximizing our revenue per client.

Capitalize on Cross-selling Opportunities. Because we believe cross-selling represents a large growth opportunity within the commercial real estate services industry, we have dedicated substantial resources and implemented several management initiatives to better enable our workforce to capitalize on these opportunities among our various lines of business.

Continue to Grow our Investment Management Business. Our growing investment management business provides us with an attractive revenue source through fees on assets under management and gains on the sale of assets.

Focus on Best Practices to Improve Operating Efficiency. In 2001, we launched a best practices initiative, branded People, Platform & Performance, to achieve operating cost reductions, and we continue to strive for efficiency improvements and cost savings in order to maximize our operating margins and cash flow.

We were incorporated in Delaware on February 20, 2001. Our principal executive offices are located at 865 South Figueroa Street, Suite 3400, Los Angeles, California 90017 and our telephone number is (213) 438-4880. Our website address is *www.cbre.com*. The information contained on, or accessible through, our website is not part of this prospectus.

4

The Offering

Common stock offered by us shares

Common stock offered by the selling shares (or shares if the underwriters exercise the over-allotment

stockholders option in full)

Common stock to be outstanding after the

offering

shares

Proposed New York Stock Exchange symbol CBG

Use of proceeds We estimate that our net proceeds from the offering will be \$ million, based on an

initial public offering price of \$ per share, which is the mid-point of the range set forth on the cover page of this prospectus. We intend to use these net proceeds of the offering to redeem all of our outstanding 16% senior notes due 2011 and for other general corporate purposes, including repayment of other indebtedness. We will not receive any of the proceeds

from the sale of shares of our common stock by the selling stockholders.

Dividend Policy Following the consummation of the offering, we do not expect to pay any dividends on our

common stock for the foreseeable future.

Risk Factors You should carefully read and consider the information set forth under Risk Factors and all

other information set forth in this prospectus before deciding to invest in shares of our common

stock.

The number of shares shown to be outstanding after the offering is based upon 21,853,987 shares outstanding as of March 1, 2004, reflects the automatic conversion at a one-to-one ratio of all outstanding shares of our Class B common stock into shares of Class A common stock in connection with the completion of the offering and excludes:

2,493,561 shares subject to options issued under our 2001 stock incentive plan at a weighted average exercise price of \$16.00 per share;

1,129,181 shares underlying outstanding stock fund units under our deferred compensation plan, which shares are issuable in connection with future distributions under the plan pursuant to the elections made by plan participants; and

2,500,000 additional shares available for future issuance under our 2004 stock incentive plan that we expect to adopt prior to the completion of the offering.

The number of shares shown to be outstanding after the offering includes shares that will be issued by us in connection with the automatic cashless exercise of outstanding warrants to acquire 255,477 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$30.00 per share as a result of the completion of the offering. This number of shares issued upon exercise of these warrants assumes an initial public offering price of \$ per share, which is the mid-point of the range set forth on the cover page of this prospectus. For additional information regarding these

warrants, including the cashless exercise terms, you should read the description of these warrants under the heading Description of Capital Stock Warrants.

Except as otherwise indicated, all information in this prospectus assumes:

the amendment and restatement of our certificate of incorporation prior to, and again immediately after, the completion of the offering; and

no exercise by the underwriters of their option to purchase up to over-allotments of shares.

additional shares from the selling stockholders to cover

5

Summary Historical and Pro Forma Financial Data

The following table is a summary of our historical consolidated financial data as of and for the periods presented, as well as pro forma financial data giving effect to our acquisition of Insignia Financial Group, Inc., or Insignia, the related transactions and financings for such acquisition and the offering for the periods presented. On July 20, 2001, we acquired CB Richard Ellis Services, Inc. Except as otherwise indicated below, the statement of operations data, statement of cash flow data, other data and balance sheet data for the dates and periods ended prior to July 20, 2001 are derived from the consolidated financial statements of CB Richard Ellis Services, our predecessor company. You should read this data along with the information included under the headings Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and Unaudited Pro Forma Financial Information and the financial statements and related notes included elsewhere in this prospectus. The pro forma statement of operations data do not purport to represent what our results of operations would have been if the Insignia acquisition, the related transactions and financings and the offering had occurred as of the date indicated or what our results will be for future periods.

| | CB Richard Ellis Group | | | | | | Predecessor Company | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|------------|--------------------------|-------------|---|---------------------|--|------------|----------------------------|-----------|------|-----------|
| | | Ended r 31, 2003 | | Year Ended December 31, | | Period from February 20 (inception) to December 31, | | Period from January 1 to July 20, | | Year Ended December 31, | | | |
| | Pro Forma | A | Actual (1) | | 2002 | 2001 (2) | | 2001 | | 2000 | | 1999 | |
| | | | | | (Dollars in | tho | usands, excep | t sh | are data) | | | | |
| Statement of Operations Data: | | | | | | | • | | | | | | |
| Revenue | \$ 1,948,827 | \$ | 1,630,074 | \$ | 1,170,277 | \$ | 562,828 | \$ | 607,934 | \$ | 1,323,604 | \$ | 1,213,039 |
| Operating income (loss) | 33,217 | | 40,195 | | 106,062 | | 62,732 | | (14,174) | | 107,285 | | 76,899 |
| Interest expense, net | 64,355 | | 81,175 | | 57,229 | | 27,290 | | 18,736 | | 39,146 | | 37,438 |
| Net (loss) income | (28,214) | | (34,704) | | 18,727 | | 17,426 | | (34,020) | | 33,388 | | 23,282 |
| EPS (3): | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Basic | | | (1.89) | | 1.25 | | 2.22 | | (1.60) | | 1.60 | | 1.11 |
| Diluted | | | (1.89) | | 1.23 | | 2.20 | | (1.60) | | 1.58 | | 1.10 |
| Weighted average shares (4): | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Basic | | | 18,373,118 | | 15,025,308 | | 7,845,004 | 1 | 21,306,584 | 2 | 0,931,111 | 2 | 0,998,097 |
| Diluted | | | 18,373,118 | | 15,222,111 | | 7,909,797 | 2 | 21,306,584 | 2 | 1,097,240 | 2 | 1,072,436 |
| Statement of Cash Flow Data: | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Net cash provided by (used in) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| operating activities | | \$ | 63,941 | \$ | 64,882 | \$ | 91,334 | \$ | (120,230) | \$ | 80,859 | \$ | 70,340 |
| Net cash used in investing activities | | | (284,795) | | (24,130) | | (261,393) | | (12,139) | | (32,469) | | (23,096) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| financing activities | | | 303,664 | | (17,838) | | 213,831 | | 126,230 | | (53,523) | | (37,721) |
| Other Data: | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| EBITDA (5) | 136,602 | | 132,817 | | 130,676 | | 74,930 | | 11,482 | | 150,484 | | 117,369 |
| | | | | | СВ | Rich | ard Ellis Gro | up | | | Predec | esso | r Company |
| | | | | | A | s of | December 31 | , | | | As of | Dec | ember 31, |
| | | | | | 2003 | | | | | | | | |

Table of Contents 18

Actual

2002

2001

2000

1999

Pro Forma

(In thousands)

| Balance Sheet Data: | | | | | | |
|---|------------|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 198,588 | \$ 163,881 | \$ 79,701 | \$ 57,450 | \$ 20,854 | \$ 27,844 |
| Total assets | 2,253,318 | 2,213,481 | 1,324,876 | 1,354,512 | 963,105 | 929,483 |
| Long-term debt, including current portion | 767,233 | 802,705 | 509,715 | 517,423 | 289,447 | 348,435 |
| Total liabilities | 1,838,424 | 1,873,896 | 1,067,920 | 1,097,693 | 724,018 | 715,874 |
| Total stockholders equity | 408,238 | 332,929 | 251,341 | 252,523 | 235,339 | 209,737 |

(footnotes on following page)

(footnotes for previous page)

- (1) The actual results for the year ended December 31, 2003 include the activities of Insignia from July 23, 2003, the date Insignia was acquired by our wholly owned subsidiary, CB Richard Ellis Services.
- (2) The results for the period from February 20, 2001 (inception) to December 31, 2001 include the activities of CB Richard Ellis Services from July 20, 2001, the date we acquired CB Richard Ellis Services.
- (3) EPS represents earnings (loss) per share. See earnings (loss) per share information in note 16 to our audited consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this prospectus.
- (4) For the period from February 20, 2001 (inception) to December 31, 2001, the 7,845,004 and the 7,909,797 shares represent the weighted average shares outstanding for basic and diluted earnings per share, respectively. These balances take into consideration the lower number of shares outstanding prior to July 20, 2001, the date we acquired CB Richard Ellis Services.
- (5) EBITDA represents earnings before net interest expense, income taxes, depreciation and amortization. Our management believes EBITDA is useful to investors because it is frequently used by securities analysts, investors and other interested parties in the evaluation of companies in our industry. In addition, our management believes that EBITDA is useful in evaluating our operating performance compared to that of other companies in our industry because the calculation of EBITDA generally eliminates the effects of financing and income taxes and the accounting effects of capital spending and acquisitions, which items may vary for different companies for reasons unrelated to overall operating performance. As a result, our management uses EBITDA as a measure to evaluate the performance of our various business lines and for other discretionary purposes, including as a significant component when measuring our performance under our employee incentive programs.

However, EBITDA is not a recognized measurement under U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, or GAAP, and when analyzing our operating performance, investors should use EBITDA in addition to, and not as an alternative for, operating income (loss) and net (loss) income, each as determined in accordance with GAAP. Because not all companies use identical calculations, our presentation of EBITDA may not be comparable to similarly titled measures of other companies. Furthermore, EBITDA is not intended to be a measure of free cash flow for our management s discretionary use, as it does not consider certain cash requirements such as tax payments and debt service requirements. The amounts shown for EBITDA also differ from the amounts calculated under similarly titled definitions in our debt instruments, which are further adjusted to reflect certain other cash and non-cash charges and are used to determine compliance with financial covenants and our ability to engage in certain activities, such as incurring additional debt and making certain restricted payments.

EBITDA is calculated as follows:

| | | CB Richard Ellis Group | | | | | | Predecessor Company | | | | |
|-------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|------------|--------|---|---------|--|---------------------|--------|----|--------|--|
| | Year I December | | Year Ended | | Period From February 20 (inception) to December 31, | | Period From January 1 to July 20, | Year Ei | | | | |
| | Pro Forma | Actual | | | | | 2001 | | 2000 | | 1999 | |
| | | | | (] | n tho | usands) | | _ | | _ | | |
| Net (loss) income | \$ (28,214) | \$ (34,704) | \$ | 18,727 | \$ | 17,426 | \$ (34,020) | \$ | 33,388 | \$ | 23,282 | |
| Add: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 103,385 | 92,622 | | 24,614 | | 12,198 | 25,656 | | 43,199 | | 40,470 | |
| Interest expense | 71,921 | 87,216 | | 60,501 | | 29,717 | 20,303 | | 41,700 | | 39,368 | |

Edgar Filing: CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP INC - Form S-1/A

| (Benefit) provision for income taxes | (2,924) | (6,276) | | 30,106 | | 18,016 | 1,110 | 34,751 | 16,179 |
|--------------------------------------|------------|------------|----|---------|----|---------|-----------|------------|------------|
| Less: | | | | | | | | | |
| Interest income | 7,566 | 6,041 | | 3,272 | | 2,427 | 1,567 | 2,554 | 1,930 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| EBITDA | \$ 136,602 | \$ 132.817 | \$ | 130,676 | \$ | 74,930 | \$ 11.482 | \$ 150.484 | \$ 117.369 |
| EDITOR | Ψ 150,002 | Ψ 132,017 | Ψ | 150,070 | Ψ | 7 1,550 | Ψ 11,102 | Ψ 150,101 | Ψ 117,507 |

RISK FACTORS

Investing in our common stock involves risks. Before making an investment in our common stock, you should carefully consider the following risks, as well as the other information contained in this prospectus, including our consolidated financial statements and the related notes and the section titled Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations. The risks described below are those that we believe are the material risks we face. Any of the risk factors described below could significantly and adversely affect our business, prospects, financial condition and results of operations. As a result, the trading price of our common stock could decline and you may lose all or part of your investment.

Risks Relating to Our Business

The success of our business is significantly related to general economic conditions and, accordingly, our business could be harmed in the event of an economic slowdown or recession.

Periods of economic slowdown or recession, rising interest rates, a declining demand for real estate or the public perception that any of these events may occur, can harm many of our business lines. These economic conditions could result in a general decline in rents, which in turn would reduce revenue from property management fees and brokerage commissions derived from property sales and leases. In addition, these conditions could lead to a decline in sales prices as well as a decline in demand for funds invested in commercial real estate and related assets. An economic downturn or a significant increase in interest rates also may reduce the amount of loan originations and related servicing by our commercial mortgage banking business. If our brokerage and mortgage banking businesses are negatively impacted, it is likely that our other lines of business would also suffer due to the relationship among our various business lines. Further, as a result of our debt level and the terms of our existing debt instruments, our exposure to adverse general economic conditions is heightened.

As an example of this risk, during 2002 and 2001, we were adversely affected by the slowdown in the U.S. economy, which negatively impacted the commercial real estate market. This caused a decline in our leasing activities within the United States. Moreover, in part because of the terrorist attacks on September 11, 2001 and the subsequent conflict with Iraq, the economic climate in the United States became very uncertain, which had an adverse effect on commercial real estate market conditions and, in turn, our operating results for 2002 and 2001.

If the properties that we manage fail to perform, then our financial condition and results of operations could be harmed.

The revenue we generate from our asset services and facilities management lines of business is generally a percentage of aggregate rent collections from properties, although many management agreements provide for a specified minimum management fee. Accordingly, our success partially depends upon the performance of the properties we manage. The performance of these properties will depend upon the following factors, among others, many of which are partially or completely outside of our control:

our ability to attract and retain creditworthy tenants;

the magnitude of defaults by tenants under their respective leases;

our ability to control operating expenses;
governmental regulations, local rent control or stabilization ordinances which are in, or may be put into, effect;
various uninsurable risks;

financial conditions prevailing generally and in the areas in which these properties are located;

the nature and extent of competitive properties; and

the real estate market generally.

8

We have numerous significant competitors, some of which may have greater financial resources than we do.

We compete across a variety of business disciplines within the commercial real estate industry, including investment management, tenant representation, corporate services, construction and development management, property management, agency leasing, valuation and mortgage banking. In general, with respect to each of our business disciplines, we cannot give assurance that we will be able to continue to compete effectively or maintain our current fee arrangements or margin levels or that we will not encounter increased competition. Each of the business disciplines in which we compete is highly competitive on an international, national, regional and local level. Although we are the largest commercial real estate services firm in the world in terms of 2003 revenue, our relative competitive position varies significantly across product and service categories and geographic areas. Depending on the product or service, we face competition from other real estate service providers, institutional lenders, insurance companies, investment banking firms, investment managers and accounting firms, some of which may have greater financial resources than we do. Many of our competitors are local or regional firms. Although substantially smaller than us, some of these competitors are larger on a local or regional basis. We are also subject to competition from other large national and multi-national firms that have similar service competencies to ours.

Our international operations subject us to social, political and economic risks of doing business in foreign countries.

We conduct a significant portion of our business and employ a substantial number of people outside of the United States. During 2003, we generated approximately 30.2% of our revenue from operations outside the United States. Circumstances and developments related to international operations that could negatively affect our business, financial condition or results of operations include, but are not limited to, the following factors:

difficulties and costs of staffing and managing international operations;

currency restrictions, which may prevent the transfer of capital and profits to the United States;

unexpected changes in regulatory requirements;

potentially adverse tax consequences;

the responsibility of complying with multiple and potentially conflicting laws;

the impact of regional or country-specific business cycles and economic instability;

the geographic, time zone, language and cultural differences among personnel in different areas of the world;

greater difficulty in collecting accounts receivable in some geographic regions such as Asia, where many countries have underdeveloped insolvency laws and clients are often slow to pay, and in some European countries, where clients also tend to delay payments;

political instability; and

foreign ownership restrictions with respect to operations in countries such as China.

We have committed additional resources to expand our worldwide sales and marketing activities, to globalize our service offerings and products in selected markets and to develop local sales and support channels. If we are unable to successfully implement these plans, to maintain adequate long-term strategies that successfully manage the risks associated with our global business or to adequately manage operational fluctuations, our business, financial condition or results of operations could be harmed.

In addition, our international operations and, specifically, the ability of our non-U.S. subsidiaries to dividend or otherwise transfer cash among our subsidiaries, including transfers of cash to pay interest and principal on our debt, may be affected by limitations on imports, currency exchange control regulations, transfer pricing regulations and potentially adverse tax consequences, among other things.

Our revenue and earnings may be adversely affected by foreign currency fluctuations.

Our revenue from non-U.S. operations is denominated primarily in the local currency where the associated revenue was earned. During 2003, approximately 30.2% of our business was transacted in currencies of foreign countries, the majority of which included the Euro, the British Pound Sterling, the Hong Kong dollar, the Singapore dollar and the Australian dollar. Thus, we may experience fluctuations in revenues and earnings because of corresponding fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates. For example, during 2003, the U.S. dollar dropped in value against many of the currencies in which we conduct business.

We have made significant acquisitions of non-U.S. companies, and, although we currently have no specific acquisition plans, we may acquire additional foreign companies in the future. As we increase our foreign operations, fluctuations in the value of the U.S. dollar relative to the other currencies in which we may generate earnings could adversely affect our business, financial condition and operating results. Due to the constantly changing currency exposures to which we will be subject and the volatility of currency exchange rates, we cannot predict the effect of exchange rate fluctuations upon future operating results. In addition, fluctuations in currencies relative to the U.S. dollar may make it more difficult to perform period-to-period comparisons of our reported results of operations.

From time to time, our management uses currency hedging instruments, including foreign currency forward and option contracts and borrows in foreign currencies. Economic risks associated with these hedging instruments include unexpected fluctuations in inflation rates, which impact cash flow relative to paying down debt, and unexpected changes in the underlying net asset position. These hedging activities also may not be effective.

Our growth has depended significantly upon acquisitions, which may not be available in the future.

A significant component of our growth has occurred through acquisitions, including our acquisition of Insignia on July 23, 2003. Although we currently have no specific acquisition plans, any future growth through acquisitions will be partially dependent upon the continued availability of suitable acquisition candidates at favorable prices and upon advantageous terms and conditions. However, future acquisitions may not be available at advantageous prices or upon favorable terms and conditions. In addition, acquisitions involve risks that the businesses acquired will not perform in accordance with expectations and that business judgments concerning the value, strengths and weaknesses of businesses acquired will prove incorrect.

Although we currently have no specific acquisition plans, if we acquire companies in the future, we may experience integration costs and the acquired business may not perform as we expect.

We have had, and may continue to experience, difficulties in integrating operations and accounting systems acquired from other companies. These difficulties include the diversion of management statention from other business concerns and the potential loss of our key employees or those of the acquired operations. We believe that most acquisitions will initially have an adverse impact on operating and net income. For example, in 2003 we incurred costs associated with integrating Insignia s business into our existing business lines. Acquisitions also frequently involve significant costs related to integrating information technology, accounting and management services and rationalizing personnel levels. In connection with the Insignia acquisition, we recorded significant charges during 2003 relating to integration costs.

In addition, we have several different accounting systems as a result of acquisitions we have made, including the accounting systems of Insignia. If we are unable to fully integrate the accounting and other systems of the businesses we own, we may not be able to effectively manage our acquired businesses. Moreover, the integration process itself may be disruptive to our business as it requires coordination of geographically diverse organizations and implementation of new accounting and information technology systems.

10

A significant portion of our operations are concentrated in California and New York, and our business could be harmed if the economic downturn continues in the California or New York real estate markets.

During 2003, approximately 23.8% of our revenue was generated from transactions originating in California and approximately 6.9% was generated from transactions originating in the greater New York metropolitan area. In addition, due to our acquisition of Insignia on July 23, 2003, we expect that the percentage of our revenue generated in the New York metropolitan area in future years will increase. As a result of the geographic concentrations in California and New York, any future economic downturn in the California or New York commercial real estate markets and in the local economies in San Diego, Los Angeles, Orange County or the greater New York metropolitan area could further harm our results of operations.

Our results of operations vary significantly among quarters during each calendar year, which makes comparisons of our quarterly results difficult.

A significant portion of our revenue is seasonal. Historically, this seasonality has caused our revenue, operating income, net income and cash flow from operating activities to be lower in the first two quarters and higher in the third and fourth quarters of each year. The concentration of earnings and cash flow in the fourth quarter is due to an industry-wide focus on completing transactions toward the fiscal year-end. This has historically resulted in lower profits or a loss in the first and second quarters, with profits growing (or losses decreasing) in each subsequent quarter. This variance among quarters during each calendar year makes comparison between such quarters difficult, but does not generally affect the comparison of the same quarters during different calendar years.

Our substantial leverage and debt service obligations could harm our ability to operate our business, remain in compliance with debt covenants and make payments on our debt, including the notes.

We are highly leveraged and have significant debt service obligations. For 2003, on a pro forma basis, our interest expense was \$71.9 million. Our substantial level of indebtedness increases the possibility that we may be unable to generate cash sufficient to pay when due the principal of, interest on or other amounts due in respect of our indebtedness. In addition, we may incur additional debt from time to time to finance strategic acquisitions, investments, joint ventures or for other purposes, subject to the restrictions contained in the documents governing our indebtedness. If we incur additional debt, the risks associated with our substantial leverage, including our ability to service our debt, would increase.

Our substantial debt could have other important consequences, which include, but are not limited to, the following:

we could be required to use a substantial portion, if not all, of our cash flow from operations to pay principal and interest on our debt;

our level of debt may restrict us from raising additional financing on satisfactory terms to fund working capital, strategic acquisitions, investments, joint ventures and other general corporate requirements;

our interest expense could increase if interest rates increase because all of our debt under the amended and restated credit agreement governing our senior secured credit facilities, including \$300.0 million in term loans and a revolving credit facility of up to \$90.0 million, bears interest at floating rates, generally between LIBOR plus 3.00% to 3.75% or the alternate base rate plus 2.00% to 2.75%. The alternate base rate is the higher of (1) Credit Suisse First Boston s prime rate or (2) the federal funds effective rate plus 0.50%;

our substantial leverage could increase our vulnerability to general economic downturns and adverse competitive and industry conditions, placing us at a disadvantage compared to those of our competitors that are less leveraged;

our debt service obligations could limit our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in our business and in the commercial real estate services industry;

11

Table of Contents

our failure to comply with the financial and other restrictive covenants in the documents governing our indebtedness, which, among others, require us to maintain specified financial ratios and limit our ability to incur additional debt and sell assets, could result in an event of default that, if not cured or waived, could harm our business or prospects and could result in our filing for bankruptcy; and

from time to time, Moody s Investor Service and Standard and Poor s Ratings Service rate our outstanding senior secured term loans, our 934% senior notes and our 114% senior subordinated notes, and these ratings may impact our ability to borrow under any new agreements in the future, as well as the interest rates and other terms of any such future borrowings.

We cannot be certain that our earnings will be sufficient to allow us to pay principal and interest on our debt and meet our other obligations. If we do not have sufficient earnings, we may be required to refinance all or part of our existing debt, sell assets, borrow more money or sell more securities, none of which we can guarantee we will be able to do.

We will be able to incur more indebtedness, which may intensify the risks associated with our substantial leverage, including our ability to service our indebtedness.

The amended and restated credit agreement governing our senior secured credit facilities and the indentures relating to our 93/4% senior notes due 2010 and our 111/4% senior subordinated notes due 2011 permit us, subject to specified conditions, to incur a significant amount of additional indebtedness, including additional indebtedness under our \$90.0 million revolving credit facility. If we incur additional debt, the risks associated with our substantial leverage, including our ability to service our debt, would increase.

Our debt instruments impose significant operating and financial restrictions on us, and in the event of a default, all of our borrowings would become immediately due and payable.

The indentures governing our 93/4% senior notes due 2010 and our 111/4% senior subordinated notes due 2011 impose, and the terms of any future debt may impose, operating and other restrictions on us and many of our subsidiaries. These restrictions will affect, and in many respects will limit or prohibit, our ability and our restricted subsidiaries abilities to:

incur or guarantee additional indebtedness;

pay dividends or make distributions on capital stock or redeem or repurchase capital stock;

repurchase equity interests;

make investments;

create restrictions on the payment of dividends or other amounts to us;

sell stock of subsidiaries:

| transfer or sell assets; |
|---|
| create liens; |
| enter into transactions with affiliates; |
| enter into sale/leaseback transactions; and |
| enter into mergers or consolidations. |

In addition, the amended and restated credit agreement governing our senior secured credit facilities includes other and more restrictive covenants and prohibits us from prepaying most of our other debt while debt under our senior secured credit facilities is outstanding. The amended and restated credit agreement governing our senior secured credit facilities also requires us to maintain compliance with specified financial ratios. Our ability to comply with these ratios may be affected by events beyond our control.

12

The restrictions contained in our debt instruments could:

limit our ability to plan for or react to market conditions or meet capital needs or otherwise restrict our activities or business plans; and

adversely affect our ability to finance ongoing operations, strategic acquisitions, investments or other capital needs or to engage in other business activities that would be in our interest.

A breach of any of these restrictive covenants or the inability to comply with the required financial ratios could result in a default under our debt instruments. If any such default occurs, the lenders under the senior secured credit facilities and the holders of our 93/4% senior notes due 2010 and our 111/4% senior subordinated notes due 2011, pursuant to the respective indentures, may elect to declare all outstanding borrowings, together with accrued interest and other fees, to be immediately due and payable. The lenders under our senior secured credit facilities also have the right in these circumstances to terminate any commitments they have to provide further borrowings. If we are unable to repay outstanding borrowings when due, the lenders under the senior secured credit facilities will have the right to proceed against the collateral granted to them to secure the debt, which collateral is described in the immediately following risk factor. If the debt under the senior secured credit facilities, our 93/4% senior notes due 2010 and our 111/4% senior subordinated notes due 2011 were to be accelerated, we cannot give assurance that these assets would be sufficient to repay our debt.

If we fail to meet our payment or other obligations under the senior secured credit facilities, the lenders under the senior secured credit facilities could foreclose on, and acquire control of, substantially all of our assets.

In connection with the incurrence of indebtedness under our senior secured credit facilities and the completion of our acquisition of Insignia, the lenders under our senior secured credit facilities received a pledge of all of our equity interests in our significant domestic subsidiaries, including CB Richard Ellis Services, Inc., CB Richard Ellis Investors, L.L.C., L.J. Melody & Company, Insignia and Insignia/ESG, Inc., which was subsequently renamed CB Richard Ellis Real Estate Services, Inc., and 65% of the voting stock of our foreign subsidiaries that is held directly by us or our domestic subsidiaries. Additionally, these lenders generally have a lien on substantially all of our accounts receivable, cash, general intangibles, investment property and future acquired material property. As a result of these pledges and liens, if we fail to meet our payment or other obligations under the senior secured credit facilities, the lenders under the senior secured credit facilities will be entitled to foreclose on substantially all of our assets and liquidate these assets.

Our co-investment activities subject us to real estate investment risks which could cause fluctuations in earnings and cash flow.

An important part of the strategy for our investment management business involves investing our capital in certain real estate investments with our clients. As of December 31, 2003, we had committed \$26.6 million to fund future co-investments. We expect that approximately \$23 million of these commitments will be funded during 2004. In addition to required future capital contributions, some of the co-investment entities may request additional capital from us and our subsidiaries holding investments in those assets and the failure to provide these contributions could have adverse consequences to our interests in these investments. These adverse consequences could include damage to our reputation with our co-investment partners and clients, as well as the necessity of obtaining alternative funding from other sources that may be on disadvantageous terms for us and the other co-investors. Providing co-investment financing is also a very important part of CBRE Investor s investment management business, which would suffer if we were unable to make these investments. Although our debt instruments contain restrictions that will limit our ability to provide capital to the entities holding direct or indirect interests in co-investments, we may provide this capital in many instances.

13

Participation in real estate transactions through co-investment activity could increase fluctuations in earnings and cash flow. Other risks associated with these activities include, but are not limited to, the following:

losses from investments;

difficulties associated with international co-investments described in Our international operations subject us to social, political and economic risks of doing business in foreign countries and Our revenue and earnings may be adversely affected by foreign currency fluctuations; and

potential lack of control over the disposition of any co-investments and the timing of the recognition of gains, losses or potential incentive participation fees.

Our joint venture activities involve unique risks that are often outside of our control which, if realized, could harm our business.

We have utilized joint ventures for commercial investments and local brokerage and other partnerships both in the United States and internationally, and although we currently have no specific plans to do so, we may acquire minority interests in other joint ventures in the future. In many of these joint ventures, we may not have the right or power to direct the management and policies of the joint ventures and other participants may take action contrary to our instructions or requests and against our policies and objectives. In addition, the other participants may become bankrupt or have economic or other business interests or goals that are inconsistent with ours. If a joint venture participant acts contrary to our interest, it could harm our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Our success depends upon the retention of our senior management, as well as our ability to attract and retain qualified and experienced employees.

Our continued success is highly dependent upon the efforts of our executive officers and other key employees, including Ray Wirta, our Chief Executive Officer; Brett White, our President; Kenneth J. Kay, our Chief Financial Officer; Stephen Siegel, our Chairman, Global Brokerage; Mitchell Rudin, our President, U.S. Brokerage Services; and Alan Froggatt, our Chief Executive Officer, EMEA. In addition, Messrs. Wirta and White currently are not parties to employment agreements with us. If any of our key employees leave and we are unable to quickly hire and integrate a qualified replacement, our business, financial condition and results of operations may suffer. In addition, the growth of our business is largely dependent upon our ability to attract and retain qualified personnel in all areas of our business, including brokerage and property management personnel. If we are unable to attract and retain these qualified personnel, our growth may be limited and our business and operating results could suffer.

If we fail to comply with laws and regulations applicable to real estate brokerage and mortgage transactions and other business lines, we may incur significant financial penalties.

Due to the broad geographic scope of our operations and the numerous forms of real estate services performed, we are subject to numerous federal, state and local laws and regulations specific to the services performed. For example, the brokerage of real estate sales and leasing transactions requires us to maintain brokerage licenses in each state in which we operate. If we fail to maintain our licenses or conduct brokerage activities without a license, we may be required to pay fines or return commissions received or have licenses suspended. In addition, because the size and scope of real estate sales transactions have increased significantly during the past several years, both the difficulty of ensuring

compliance with the numerous state licensing regimes and the possible loss resulting from non-compliance have increased. Furthermore, the laws and regulations applicable to our business, both in the United States and in foreign countries, also may change in ways that materially increase the costs of compliance.

We may have liabilities in connection with real estate brokerage and property management activities.

As a licensed real estate broker, we and our licensed employees are subject to statutory due diligence, disclosure and standard-of-care obligations. Failure to fulfill these obligations could subject us or our employees to litigation from parties who purchased, sold or leased properties that we or they brokered or managed. We could become subject to claims by participants in real estate sales claiming that we did not fulfill our statutory obligations as a broker.

In addition, in our property management business, we hire and supervise third-party contractors to provide construction and engineering services for our managed properties. While our role is limited to that of a supervisor, we may be subjected to claims for construction defects or other similar actions. Adverse outcomes of property management litigation could negatively impact our business, financial condition or results of operations.

We agreed to retain contingent liabilities in connection with Insignia s sale of substantially all of its real estate investment assets in 2003.

Immediately prior to the completion of our acquisition of Insignia on July 23, 2003, Insignia completed the sale of substantially all of its real estate investment assets to Island Fund. Under the terms of the purchase agreement, we agreed to retain some contingent liabilities related to these real estate investment assets, including approximately \$10.2 million of letters of credit support and a guarantee of approximately a \$1.3 million repayment obligation. Island Fund is obligated to reimburse us for only 50% of any future draws against these letters of credit or the repayment guarantee, and there can be no assurance that Island Fund will be able to satisfy any future requests for reimbursement.

Also in connection with the sale to Island Fund, we agreed to indemnify Island Fund against any losses resulting from the ownership, use or operation of the real estate investment assets prior to the closing of the sale. Although this indemnification obligation to Island Fund is subject to a number of exceptions and limitations, future claims against us pursuant to this indemnification obligation may be material.

In addition, a number of the real estate investment assets that we agreed to sell to Island Fund required the consent of one or more third parties in order to transfer such assets to Island Fund, and some of these third party consents were not obtained prior to the closing and have not been obtained since then. As a result, we continue to hold these real estate investment assets pending the receipt of these third party consents. While we continue to hold these assets, we generally have agreed to provide Island Fund with the economic benefits from these assets, and Island Fund generally has agreed to indemnify us with respect to any losses incurred in connection with our continuing to hold these assets. There can be no assurance, however, that Island Fund actually will be able to provide such indemnification if required to do so at any future date.

Risks Relating to the Offering and Ownership of Our Common Stock

The price of our common stock may fluctuate significantly, and you could lose all or part of your investment.

The market price of our common stock could fluctuate significantly, in which case you may not be able to resell your shares at or above the initial public offering price. Fluctuations may occur in response to the risk factors listed in this prospectus and for many other reasons, including:

our financial performance or the performance of our competitors and similar companies;

changes in estimates of our performance or recommendations by securities analysts;

failure to meet financial projections for each fiscal quarter;

technological innovations or other trends in our industry;

15

the introduction of new services by us or our competitors;

the arrival or departure of key personnel;

acquisitions, strategic alliances or joint ventures involving us or our competitors; and

market conditions in our industry, the financial markets and the economy as a whole.

In addition, the stock market, in general, has historically experienced significant price and volume fluctuations. These fluctuations are often unrelated to the operating performance of particular companies. These broad market fluctuations may cause declines in the market price of our common stock. When the market price of a company s common stock drops significantly, stockholders often institute securities class action lawsuits against the company. A lawsuit against us could cause us to incur substantial costs and could divert the time and attention of our management and other resources from our business.

There is no existing market for our common stock and we do not know if one will develop to provide you with adequate liquidity.

There has not been a public market for our common stock. We cannot predict the extent to which investor interest in our company will lead to the development of an active trading market on the New York Stock Exchange or otherwise or how liquid that market might become. If an active trading market does not develop, you may have difficulty selling any of our common stock that you buy. The initial public offering price for the shares will be determined by negotiations among us, the selling stockholders and the representative of the underwriters and may not be indicative of prices that will prevail in the open market following this offering. Consequently, you may not be able to sell shares of our common stock at prices equal to or greater than the price paid by you in this offering.

Future sales of common stock by our existing stockholders could cause our stock price to decline.

If our current stockholders sell substantial amounts of common stock in the public market, including shares we may issue upon the exercise of outstanding options and in connection with future distributions pursuant to stock fund units under our deferred compensation plan, the market price of our common stock could decline significantly. The perception among investors that these sales may occur could produce the same effect. After the offering, shares owned by our current stockholders, holders of options and warrants to acquire our common stock and participants in our deferred compensation plan who have stock fund units, assuming the exercise of all options and warrants and the distribution of shares underlying all stock fund units, are expected to constitute approximately % of our total outstanding common stock, or % if the underwriters over-allotment option is exercised in full. Following the expiration of a 180-day lock-up period to which approximately % of the shares held by our current stockholders as of the date of this prospectus will be subject, these shares of common stock may become available in the public market.

After the offering, the holders of approximately shares of our common stock, including shares that will be issuable upon the automatic exercise of outstanding warrants in connection with the completion of the offering, will have rights, subject to some conditions, to require us to file registration statements covering their shares or to include their shares in registration statements that we may file. By exercising these registration rights and selling a large number of shares, these holders could cause the price of our common stock to decline. Furthermore, if we were to include their shares in a registration statement, those sales could impair our ability to raise needed capital by depressing the price at which we could sell our common stock.

See the information under the heading Shares Eligible for Future Sale for a more detailed description of the shares that will be available for future sales upon completion of the offering.

16

For so long as affiliates of Blum Capital Partners, L.P. continue to own a significant percentage of our common stock they will have significant influence over our affairs and policies, and their interests may be different from yours.

After the completion of the offering, affiliates of Blum Capital Partners will beneficially own approximately % of our outstanding common stock, or approximately % if the underwriters exercise in full their over-allotment option to purchase additional shares. In addition, pursuant to a securityholders agreement, these affiliates of Blum Capital Partners, following the offering and subject to the applicable listing rules of the New York Stock Exchange, are entitled to nominate a percentage of our total number of directors that is equivalent to the percentage of the outstanding common stock beneficially owned by these affiliates, with this percentage of our directors being rounded up to the nearest whole number of directors. Also pursuant to this agreement, some of our other stockholders will be obligated to vote their shares in favor of the directors nominated by these affiliates of Blum Capital Partners. These other stockholders, collectively, will beneficially own approximately % of our outstanding common stock, or approximately % if the underwriters exercise in full their over-allotment option to purchase additional shares. There are no restrictions in the securityholders agreement on the ability of these affiliates of Blum Capital Partners to sell their shares to any third party or to assign their rights under the securityholders agreement in connection with a sale of a majority of their shares to a third party.

For so long as these affiliates of Blum Capital Partners continue to beneficially own a significant portion of our outstanding common stock, they will continue to have significant influence over matters submitted to our stockholders for approval and to exercise significant control over our business policies and affairs, including the following:

the composition of our board of directors and, as a result, any determinations of our board with respect to our business direction and policy, including the appointment and removal of our officers;

determinations with respect to mergers and other business combinations, including those that may result in a change of control;

sales and dispositions of our assets; and

the amount of debt financing that we incur.

In addition, as a result of supermajority requirements under our restated certificate of incorporation and restated by-laws that we expect will become effective prior to the completion of the offering, these affiliates of Blum Capital Partners will be able to prevent removal of our directors and amendments to our certificate of incorporation and by-laws by our stockholders for so long as these affiliates of Blum Capital Partners beneficially own over 20% of the outstanding shares of Class A common stock.

The significant ownership position of the affiliates of Blum Capital Partners could have the effect of delaying, deterring or preventing a change of control or other business combination that might otherwise be beneficial to our other stockholders. In addition, we cannot assure you that the interests of the affiliates of Blum Capital Partners will not conflict with yours. For additional information regarding the share ownership of, and our relationships with, these affiliates of Blum Capital Partners, you should read the information under the headings

Principal and Selling Stockholders
and
Related Party Transactions.

Delaware law and provisions of our restated certificate of incorporation and restated by-laws will contain provisions that could delay, deter or prevent a change of control.

The anti-takeover provisions of Delaware law impose various impediments to the ability of a third party to acquire control of us, even if a change of control would be beneficial to our existing stockholders. Although we are not currently subject to the Delaware anti-takeover provisions, we expect to take action to become subject to these provisions prior to completion of the offering. Additionally, our restated certificate of incorporation that we expect to file, and our restated by-laws that we expect will become effective, prior to the completion of the

17

offering will contain provisions that might enable our management to resist a proposed takeover of our company. These provisions could discourage, delay or prevent a change of control of our company or an acquisition of our company at a price that our stockholders may find attractive. These provisions also may discourage proxy contests and make it more difficult for our stockholders to elect directors and take other corporate actions. The existence of these provisions could limit the price that investors might be willing to pay in the future for shares of our common stock. The provisions include:

limitations on the ability to remove our directors;

a requirement that special meetings of our stockholders may be called only by our board of directors, our chief executive officer and the chairman of the board;

removal of the ability of our stockholders to act by written consent in lieu of a meeting;

advance notice requirements for stockholder proposals and nominations;

supermajority requirements for the amendment of a number of provisions in our certificate of incorporation and restated by-laws; and

the authority of our board to issue, without stockholder approval, preferred stock with such terms as our board may determine.

For additional information regarding these provisions, you should read the information under the heading titled Description of Capital Stock Anti-Takeover Effects of Certain Provisions of our Restated Certificate of Incorporation and Restated By-Laws and Delaware Anti-Takeover Statute.

You will suffer immediate and substantial dilution because the net tangible book value of shares purchased in the offering will be substantially lower than the initial public offering price.

The net tangible book value per share of our common stock, adjusted to reflect the net proceeds we receive from the offering, will be substantially below the initial public offering price. You will therefore incur immediate and substantial dilution of \$\\$ per share at an initial public offering price of \$\\$ per share, which is the mid-point of the initial offering price range per share set forth on the cover page of this prospectus. In addition, as of March 1, 2004, we had options outstanding to acquire 2,493,561 shares of our common stock with a weighted average exercise price of \$16.00 per share, warrants outstanding to acquire 255,477 shares of common stock with an exercise price of \$30.00 per share and stock units under our deferred compensation plan with 1,129,181 underlying shares of our common stock. To the extent these securities are exercised or otherwise issued, you will incur further dilution. As a result, if we are liquidated, you may not receive the full amount of your investment. See the heading titled Dilution for a more complete description of the dilution you will incur.

We have broad discretion in how we use a portion of the net proceeds of the offering, and we may not use these proceeds in a manner desired by our stockholders.

We plan to use a portion of the net proceeds from the offering to redeem the remaining \$38.3 million aggregate principal amount of our 16% senior notes due 2011. We do not currently have a specific plan with respect to the use of the other net proceeds we receive from the offering

and have not committed these proceeds to any particular purpose. Accordingly, our management will have broad discretion with respect to the use of those net proceeds and investors will be relying on the judgment of our management regarding the application of these proceeds. You will not have the opportunity, as part of your investment in our common stock, to influence the manner in which the net proceeds of the offering are used. Our management could spend these proceeds in ways which our stockholders may not desire or that do not yield a favorable return. In addition, our financial performance may differ from our current expectations or our business needs may change as our business evolves. As a result, a substantial portion of the proceeds we receive in the offering may be used in a manner significantly different from our current expectations.

18

A portion of the net proceeds of this offering will be received by affiliates of one of our underwriters, and this may present a conflict of interest.

Affiliates of Credit Suisse First Boston LLC, one of the representatives of the underwriters for the offering, own approximately \$34.8 million in aggregate principal amount of our 16% senior notes due 2011, all of which we expect to redeem with the net proceeds we receive from the offering. In connection with the redemption, they also will receive a premium payment of approximately \$3.4 million, plus accrued but unpaid interest through the redemption date. This may present a conflict of interest since Credit Suisse First Boston LLC may have an interest in the successful completion of the offering in addition to the underwriting discounts and commissions it would receive. This offering is therefore being made using a qualified independent underwriter in compliance with Rule 2710(c)(8) of the Conduct Rules of the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc., which is intended to address potential conflicts of interest involving underwriters. See the information under the heading Underwriting for a more detailed description of the independent underwriting procedures that are being used in connection with the offering.

Your ability to recover from our former auditors, Arthur Andersen LLP, for any potential financial misstatements is limited.

On April 23, 2002, at the recommendation of our audit committee, we dismissed Arthur Andersen LLP as our independent public accountants and engaged Deloitte & Touche LLP to serve as our independent public accountants for fiscal year 2002. Our audited consolidated financial statements for the period from February 20, 2001 (inception) to December 31, 2001 and the audited consolidated financial statements of CB Richard Ellis Services for the period from January 1, 2001 through July 20, 2001, which are included in this prospectus, have been audited by Arthur Andersen, our former independent public accountants, as set forth in their report, but Arthur Andersen has not consented to our use of their report in this prospectus.

Arthur Andersen completed its audit of our consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2001 and issued its report relating to these consolidated financial statements on February 26, 2002. Subsequently, Arthur Andersen was convicted of obstruction of justice for the activities relating to its previous work for another of its audit clients and has ceased to audit publicly-held companies. We are unable to predict the impact of this conviction or whether other adverse actions may be taken by governmental or private entities against Arthur Andersen. If Arthur Andersen has no assets available for creditors, you may not be able to recover against Arthur Andersen for any claims you may have under securities or other laws as a result of Arthur Andersen s previous role as our independent public accountants and as author of the audit report for some of the audited financial statements included in this prospectus.

19

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This prospectus includes forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933. The words anticipate, believe, could, should, propose, continue, estimate, expect, intend, may, plan, predict, project, will and similar terms prospectus to identify forward-looking statements. The forward-looking statements in this prospectus include, but are not limited to, statements under the captions Prospectus Summary, Risk Factors, Unaudited Pro Forma Financial Information, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and Business regarding our future financial condition, prospects, developments and business strategies. These statements relate to analyses and other information based on forecasts of future results and estimates of amounts not yet determinable. These statements also relate to our future prospects, developments and business strategies.

These forward-looking statements are made based on our management s expectations and beliefs concerning future events affecting us and are subject to uncertainties and factors relating to our operations and business environment, all of which are difficult to predict and many of which are beyond our control. These uncertainties and factors could cause our actual results to differ materially from those matters expressed in or implied by these forward-looking statements.

The following factors are among those that may cause actual results to differ materially from the forward-looking statements:

changes in general economic and business conditions;

the failure of properties managed by us to perform as anticipated;

competition;

changes in social, political and economic conditions in the foreign countries in which we operate;

foreign currency fluctuations;

integration issues relating to acquired businesses;

future acquisitions;

an economic downturn in the California and New York real estate markets;

significant variability in our results of operations among quarters;

our substantial leverage and debt service obligations;

our ability to incur additional indebtedness;

our ability to generate a sufficient amount of cash to service our existing and future indebtedness;

the success of our co-investment and joint venture activities;

our ability to retain our senior management and attract and retain qualified and experienced employees;

our ability to comply with the laws and regulations applicable to real estate brokerage and mortgage transactions;

our exposure to liabilities in connection with real estate brokerage and property management activities;

the significant influence of our largest stockholders; and

the other factors described under the heading Risk Factors.

Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date the statements are made. You should not put undue reliance on any forward-looking statements. We assume no obligation to update forward-looking statements to reflect actual results, changes in assumptions or changes in other factors affecting forward-looking information, except to the extent required by applicable securities laws. If we do update one or more forward-looking statements, no inference should be drawn that we will make additional updates with respect to those or other forward-looking statements.

20

USE OF PROCEEDS

The net proceeds from the sale of the shares of common stock offered by us will be approximately \$ million, based on an estimated initial public offering price of \$ per share, which is the mid-point of the range set forth on the cover page of this prospectus, and after deducting the underwriting discounts and commissions and estimated offering expenses payable by us. We will not receive any proceeds from the sale of the shares to be sold by the selling stockholders.

The primary purposes of the offering are to create a public market for our common stock, obtain additional equity capital and facilitate future access to public markets. We expect to use our net proceeds from the offering to redeem the remaining \$38.3 million aggregate principal amount of our 16% senior notes due 2011. In addition to repayment of the outstanding principal amount, the redemption of the 16% senior notes will require payment of a \$3.7 million premium, plus accrued but unpaid interest through the date of redemption. Affiliates of Credit Suisse First Boston LLC, one of the representatives of the underwriters of the offering, hold a substantial majority of the outstanding 16% senior notes and, as a result, will receive a payment of approximately \$38.2 million, representing principal and premium, in connection with the redemption of their notes, together with accrued but unpaid interest through the date of redemption. The amended and restated credit agreement governing our senior secured credit facilities currently would limit our ability to complete a portion of this redemption. We expect to enter into an amendment to such agreement prior to the completion of the offering in order to permit the full redemption to be completed.

We will use the remainder of our net proceeds from the offering, as well as the net proceeds we would otherwise use to redeem our 16% senior notes if we are unable to negotiate the necessary amendment to our amended and restated credit agreement, for other general corporate purposes, including repayment of other indebtedness. We do not currently have any specific plans for the use of these remaining net proceeds. Our reason for issuing and selling shares in the offering is to obtain additional capital to fund the operation of our business.

We will have significant discretion in the use of a significant portion of the net proceeds we receive from the offering. Investors will be relying on the judgment of our management regarding the application of those net proceeds. In addition, any investments, capital expenditures or other application of our proceeds may not produce the anticipated results. Pending use of these proceeds as discussed above, we intend to invest these funds in short-term, interest-bearing investment-grade obligations.

DIVIDEND POLICY

We have not declared or paid any dividends on any class of our common stock since our inception on February 20, 2001, and we do not anticipate declaring or paying any dividends on our common stock for the foreseeable future. We currently intend to retain any future earnings to finance future growth. Any future determination to pay dividends will be at the discretion of our board of directors and will depend on our financial condition, results of operations, capital requirements and other factors the board of directors deems relevant. In addition, our ability to declare and pay dividends after the offering will be restricted by the amended and restated credit agreement governing our senior secured credit facilities and the indentures relating to our 9 3/4% senior notes due 2010 and our 11 1/4% senior subordinated notes due 2011. As a result, you will need to sell your shares of common stock to realize a return on your investment, and you may not be able to sell your shares at or above the price you paid for them.

21

CAPITALIZATION

The following table sets forth our cash and cash equivalents and capitalization as of December 31, 2003:

on an actual basis; and

on an as adjusted basis, giving effect to:

the conversion at a one-to-one ratio of all outstanding shares of our Class B common stock into shares of Class A common stock in connection with the completion of the offering;

our sale of shares of our common stock in the offering at an initial public offering price of \$ per share, the mid-point of the range set forth on the cover page of this prospectus, and after deducting underwriting discounts and estimated expenses payable by us;

the redemption by us of the remaining \$38.3 million aggregate principal amount of our 16% senior notes due 2011; and

the payment of bonuses that are payable to several of our non-executive real estate services employees pursuant to their employment agreements as a result of the completion of the offering.

This table should be read in conjunction with our financial statements, Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and Unaudited Pro Forma Financial Information contained elsewhere in this prospectus.

| | | As of December 31, 2003 | | |
|---|----|-------------------------|-------------|--|
| | | Actual | As Adjusted | |
| | | (In tho | usands) | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ | 163,881 | \$ | |
| | _ | | | |
| Long-term debt, including current portion: | | | | |
| CB Richard Ellis Group: | | | | |
| 16% senior notes due 2011 (1) | \$ | 35,472 | \$ | |
| CB Richard Ellis Services: | | | | |
| Revolving credit facility (2) | | | | |
| Senior secured term loan (3) | | 297,500 | | |
| 93/4% senior notes due 2010 | | 200,000 | | |
| 111/4% senior subordinated notes due 2011 (4) | | 226,173 | | |
| Other long-term debt | | 43,560 | | |
| | _ | | | |
| Total long-term debt, including current portion | | 802,705 | | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | _ | | | |
| Stockholders equity: | | | | |

Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value; no shares authorized, no shares issued or outstanding, actual; and 25,000,000 shares authorized, no shares issued or outstanding, as adjusted

| 25,000,000 shares addictized, no shares issued of outstanding, as adjusted | | |
|--|--------------|----|
| Class A common stock; \$0.01 par value; 75,000,000 shares authorized, 2,728,441 shares issued and | | |
| outstanding (including treasury shares), actual; and 325,000,000 shares authorized, shares issued | | |
| and outstanding (including treasury shares), as adjusted (5)(6) | 27 | |
| Class B common stock, \$0.01 par value; 25,000,000 authorized, 19,271,948 shares issued and outstanding, | | |
| actual; and no shares authorized, no shares issued and outstanding, as adjusted | 193 | |
| Additional paid-in capital | 361,912 | |
| Notes receivable from sale of stock | (4,680) | |
| Accumulated earnings (deficit) | 1,449 | |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (23,780) | |
| Treasury stock at cost, 138,958 shares | (2,192) | |
| | | |
| Total stockholders equity | 332,929 | |
| | | |
| Total capitalization | \$ 1,135,634 | \$ |
| | | |

(footnotes on following page)

Table of Contents

(footnotes for previous page)

- (1) The amount shown for our actual capitalization is net of unamortized discount of \$2.8 million associated with the issuance of our 16% senior notes dues 2011.
- (2) As of December 31, 2003, there were no borrowings outstanding under our revolving credit facility and an aggregate of \$10.8 million of letters of credit drawn under our revolving credit facility, which reduces the amount we may borrow under our revolving credit facility. Borrowings of up to \$90.0 million are available at any one time for general corporate purposes under our revolving credit facility.
- (3) Includes current portion of \$10.0 million due and payable on or prior to December 31, 2004.
- (4) The amount shown for our actual and as adjusted capitalization is net of unamortized discount of \$2.8 million associated with the issuance of our 11¼% senior subordinated notes due 2011.
- (5) The number of shares of Class A common stock outstanding after the offering excludes:
 - 2,488,561 shares subject to options issued under our 2001 stock incentive plan at a weighted average exercise price of \$16.00 per share:
 - 1,133,736 shares underlying outstanding stock fund units under our deferred compensation plan, which shares are issuable in connection with future distributions under the plan pursuant to the elections made by plan participants; and
 - 2,500,000 additional shares available for future issuance under our 2004 stock incentive plan that we expect to adopt prior to the completion of the offering.
- (6) The number of shares of Class A common stock outstanding on an as adjusted basis includes shares that will be issued by us in connection with the automatic cashless exercise of outstanding warrants to acquire 255,477 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$30.00 per share in connection with the offering. This number of shares issued upon exercise of these warrants assumes an initial public offering price of \$ per share, which is the mid-point of the range set forth on the cover page of this prospectus. For additional information regarding these warrants, including the cashless exercise terms, you should read the description of these warrants under the heading Description of Capital Stock Warrants.

23

DILUTION

If you invest in our common stock, your interest will be diluted to the extent of the difference between the public offering price per share of our common stock and the pro forma net tangible book value per share of our common stock after the offering. Dilution results from the fact that the per share offering price of the common stock is in excess of the book value per share attributable to the existing stockholders for the presently outstanding common stock

Our net tangible book deficit as of December 31, 2003 was \$738.1 million, or \$33.76 per share of common stock. Net tangible book deficit per share before the offering is equal to the total book value of tangible assets less total liabilities, divided by the number of shares of common stock outstanding as of December 31, 2003. After giving effect to the sale of shares of our common stock in the offering at the initial public offering price of \$ per share, which is the mid-point of the range set forth on the cover page of this prospectus, and after deducting underwriting discounts and commissions and estimated offering expenses payable by us, and giving effect to the other transactions described under the heading Use of Proceeds, the pro forma net tangible book value as of December 31, 2003 would have been \$ million, or \$ per share. This represents an immediate increase in pro forma net tangible book value per share of \$ to existing stockholders and dilution in net tangible book value per share of \$ to new investors purchasing shares in the offering. The following table summarizes this per share dilution:

| Assumed initial public offering price per share | | \$ |
|--|------------|----|
| Net tangible book value (deficit) per share as of December 31, 2003 | \$ (33.76) | |
| Increase in pro forma net tangible book value per share attributable to the offering | | |
| | | |
| Pro forma net tangible book value per share after the offering | | |
| | | |
| Dilution in net tangible book value per share to new investors | | \$ |
| | | |

The following table summarizes, on a pro forma basis as of December 31, 2003, the differences between our existing stockholders and new investors with respect to the number of shares of common stock issued by us, the total consideration paid and the average price per share paid before deducting underwriting discounts and commissions and our estimated offering expenses:

| | Shares Purc | Shares Purchased | | Total Consideration | | |
|-----------------------|----------------|-------------------------|----------------|----------------------------|----|----------------------|
| | Number | Percent | Amount | Percent | | age Price r Share |
| | (In thousands) | | (In thousands) | | | |
| Existing stockholders | 21,861 | % | \$ 349,776 | % | \$ | 16.00 |
| New investors | | | | | | |
| Total | | 100.0% | \$ | 100.0% | | |
| | | | | | | |

If the underwriters exercise their over-allotment option in full, (1) the number of shares of common stock held by existing stockholders will decrease to , or approximately % of the total number of shares of common stock outstanding after the offering, and (2) the number of newly issued shares of common stock held by new investors will increase to , or approximately % of the total number

of shares of our common stock outstanding after the offering.

As of December 31, 2003, there was an aggregate of (1) 2,488,561 shares of common stock issuable upon the exercise of outstanding options granted under our 2001 stock incentive plan at a weighted average exercise price of \$16.00 per share, of which options to purchase 552,427 shares were then exercisable; (2) 255,477 shares of common stock issuable upon the exercise of outstanding warrants at an exercise price of \$30.00 per share;

24

(3) 3,499,466 additional shares of common stock reserved for future issuance under our 2001 stock incentive plan; and (4) 1,133,736 shares underlying outstanding stock fund units under our deferred compensation plan, which shares are issuable in connection with future distributions under the plan pursuant to the elections made by participants, of which stock fund units with 703,036 underlying shares were then vested. Prior to the completion of the offering, we expect to terminate our 2001 stock incentive plan and to adopt our 2004 stock incentive plan, which new plan we expect will have 2,500,000 shares reserved for future issuance pursuant to awards made under the plan.

The following table adjusts the information set forth in the table above to reflect the assumed exercise of options and warrants and the distribution of shares underlying stock fund units, in each case outstanding as of December 31, 2003, that are described in the preceding paragraph:

| | Shares Pt | ırchased | Total Cons | ideration | |
|----------------------------|-----------|----------|-------------------|-----------|--------------------|
| | Number | Percent | Amount | Percent | age Price Share |
| | (In thou | ısands) | (In thou | sands) | |
| Existing stockholders | 21,861 | % | \$ 349,776 | % | \$ 16.00 |
| Option and warrant holders | 2,744 | | 47,481 | | 17.30 |
| Stock fund unit holders | 1,134 | | 18,140 | | 16.00 |
| New investors | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Total | \$ | 100.0% | \$ | 100.0% | |
| | | | | | |

Assuming the exercise of the foregoing outstanding options and warrants and the distribution of shares underlying the foregoing stock fund units, dilution to new investors in net tangible book value per share would be \$

UNAUDITED PRO FORMA FINANCIAL INFORMATION

The following unaudited pro forma financial information is based on the historical financial statements of CB Richard Ellis Group and Insignia included elsewhere in this prospectus. The unaudited pro forma statement of operations for the year ended December 31, 2003 gives effect to the following transactions as if they had occurred on January 1, 2003:

Disposition of Real Estate Investment Assets by Insignia

the disposition by Insignia Financial Group, Inc. to Island Fund I LLC, immediately prior to the completion of the merger described below on July 23, 2003 and for aggregate cash consideration of \$36.9 million, of Insignia s real estate investment assets, which consisted of Insignia subsidiaries and joint ventures that held (1) minority investments in office, retail, industrial, apartment and hotel properties, (2) minority investments in office development projects and a related undeveloped parcel of land, (3) wholly owned or consolidated investments in Norman, Oklahoma, New York City and the U.S. Virgin Islands and (4) investments in private equity funds that invest in mortgage-backed debt securities and other real estate-related assets;

Insignia Acquisition and Related Transactions

the acquisition of Insignia by our wholly owned subsidiary, CB Richard Ellis Services, Inc., which occurred pursuant to the merger of Apple Acquisition Corp., a wholly owned subsidiary of CB Richard Ellis Services, with and into Insignia on July 23, 2003;

the issuance on May 22, 2003 by CBRE Escrow, Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of CB Richard Ellis Services, of \$200.0 million aggregate principal amount of 9¾% senior notes due 2010, which notes were assumed by CB Richard Ellis Services on July 23, 2003 in connection with the merger of CBRE Escrow with and into CB Richard Ellis Services on the same day;

the term loan borrowing by CB Richard Ellis Services of \$75.0 million on July 23, 2003 pursuant to our amended and restated credit agreement dated May 22, 2003; and

fees and expenses related to each of the transactions and financings described in the Insignia Acquisition and Related Transactions bullet points above; and

The Offering

the redemptions on October 27, 2003 and December 29, 2003 of \$20.0 million and \$10.0 million, respectively, in aggregate principal amount of our 16% senior notes due 2011, and the payment of premiums of \$2.9 million in connection with such redemptions; and

the offering and the application of net proceeds of the offering to the redemption of the remaining \$38.3 million outstanding principal amount of our 16% senior notes due 2011, including payment of a \$3.7 million premium in connection with such redemption.

The following unaudited pro forma balance sheet as of December 31, 2003 gives effect to the second bullet point under The Offering above, as well as the payment of contractual bonuses in the aggregate amount of \$15.0 million that are payable to several of our non-executive real estate

services employees pursuant to their employment agreements as a result of the completion of the offering, in each case as if such transactions had occurred on December 31, 2003.

The unaudited pro forma financial information is presented for informational purposes only and does not purport to represent what our results of operations or financial position actually would have been had the Insignia acquisition and related transactions and the offering in fact occurred on the dates specified, nor does the information purport to project our results of operations for any future period or at any future date.

26

Table of Contents

All pro forma adjustments with respect to the Insignia acquisition and related transactions are based on preliminary estimates and assumptions and are subject to revision upon finalization of purchase accounting. Once we finalize the required purchase price allocations in connection with the Insignia acquisition and related transactions, the unaudited pro forma financial information will be subject to adjustment and there can be no assurance that such adjustments will not be material.

The unaudited pro forma financial information does not give effect to the refinancing of all outstanding borrowings under our amended and restated credit agreement on October 14, 2003.

The unaudited pro forma financial information should be read in conjunction with the other information contained in this prospectus under the headings Prospectus Summary Summary Historical and Pro Forma Financial Data, Capitalization, Selected Historical Financial Data and Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and the respective financial statements of CB Richard Ellis Group and Insignia and the related notes included elsewhere in this prospectus.

27

CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.

UNAUDITED PRO FORMA STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS

For the Year Ended December 31, 2003

(In thousands, except share data)

| Histori | ical | Pro Forma Adjustments | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--------------------------|
| CB Richard Ellis Group for the Year Ended December 31, 2003 | Insignia from January 1, 2003 to July 23, 2003 | Disposition of Real Estate Investment Assets by Insignia (a) | Insignia Acquisition and Related Transactions | The Offering | Pro Forma As Adjusted |
| \$ 1,630,074 | \$ 325,600 | \$ (6,847) | \$ | \$ | \$ 1,948,827 |
| , ,,,,,,, | , , , , , , , , | (2)2 | • | | , , , , , , , , |
| 796,408 | | | | | 796,408 |
| , | | | | | 678,397 |
| | 320,319 | (8,039) | 2,688 (b) | | 314,968 |
| 92,622 | 10,148 | | | | 103,385 |
| | | , , | | | |
| | | | | | |
| (14,365) | 4,439 | (4,439) | | | (14,365) |
| 36,817 | 21,627 | (12,832) | (8,795)(e) | | 36,817 |
| | | | | | |
| 1.589.879 | 356,533 | (26.102) | (4.700) | | 1,915,610 |
| | | (20,102) | (1,700) | | 1,513,010 |
| 40.105 | (20,022) | 10.255 | 4.700 | | 33,217 |
| , | . , , | 19,233 | | | 7,566 |
| | | (9/1) | | (20,605)(;) | 71,921 |
| 87,210 | | (041) | —————————————————————————————————————— | (20,093)(1) | 71,921 |
| (40 980) | (35.054) | 20 096 | 4 105 | 20 695 | (31,138) |
| (10,700) | (55,051) | 20,070 | 1,103 | 20,000 | (31,130) |
| (6,276) | (12,104) | 8,239 | 1,642 (h) | 5,575 (j) | (2,924) |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| \$ (34,704) | \$ (22,950) | \$ 11,857 | \$ 2,463 | \$ 15,120 | \$ (28,214) |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| \$ (1.89) | | | | | \$ |
| | | | | | |
| 18,373,118 | | | | | (k) |
| | CB Richard Ellis Group for the Year Ended December 31, 2003 \$ 1,630,074 796,408 678,397 92,622 (14,365) 36,817 1,589,879 40,195 6,041 87,216 (40,980) (6,276) \$ (34,704) | for the Year Ended December 31, 2003 | CB Richard Ellis Group Insignia Disposition of Real Estate Investment Assets by Insignia (a) for the Year Ended December 31, 2003 July 23, 2003 (a) \$ 1,630,074 \$ 325,600 \$ (6,847) 796,408 678,397 320,319 (8,039) 92,622 10,148 (792) (14,365) 4,439 (4,439) (4,439) 36,817 21,627 (12,832) 1,589,879 356,533 (26,102) 40,195 (30,933) (30,933) (30,933) (40,933 | CB Richard Ellis Group Insignia from January 1, 2003 to July 23, 2003 Disposition of Real Estate Investment Assets by Insignia (a) Insignia Acquisition and Related Transactions \$ 1,630,074 \$ 325,600 \$ (6,847) \$ 796,408 678,397 \$ 320,319 (8,039) 2,688 (b) 92,622 10,148 (792) (2,134)(c) 3,541 (d) (14,365) 4,439 (4,439) 36,817 21,627 (12,832) (8,795)(e) 1,589,879 356,533 (26,102) (4,700) 40,195 (30,933) 19,255 4,700 6,041 1,924 (399)(f) 87,216 6,045 (841) 196 (g) (40,980) (35,054) 20,096 4,105 (6,276) (12,104) 8,239 1,642 (h) \$ (34,704) \$ (22,950) \$ 11,857 \$ 2,463 | CB Richard Elis Group |

Edgar Filing: CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP INC - Form S-1/A

| share | | |
|--|------------|-----|
| | | |
| Diluted loss per share from continuing operations | \$ (1.89) | \$ |
| Weighted average shares outstanding for diluted loss per share | 18,373,118 | (k) |
| | | |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Notes to Unaudited Pro Forma Statements of Operations

For the Year Ended December 31, 2003

- (a) Reflects the elimination of the historical results of the real estate investment assets that were sold by Insignia to Island Fund immediately prior to the closing of the Insignia acquisition. For purposes of the unaudited pro forma combined statement of operations, these dispositions were assumed to have occurred prior to January 1, 2003.
- (b) This adjustment mainly represents pro forma broker draw expense as a result of conforming the accounting for Insignia s historical draws to our policy. Additionally, the adjustment includes incremental pro forma deferred rent expense resulting from the recalculation of deferred rent expense from the Insignia acquisition, assumed to have closed on January 1, 2003 for purposes of the unaudited pro forma combined statement of operations.
- (c) Represents a reduction to depreciation expense as a result of fair value adjustments to property and equipment.
- (d) Represents an adjustment to amortization expense resulting from the recalculation of amortization expense relating to intangible assets acquired in the Insignia acquisition. For purposes of the unaudited pro forma combined statement of operations, the Insignia acquisition is assumed to have occurred on January 1, 2003. The largest intangible asset acquired in the Insignia acquisition relates to net revenue backlog. The net revenue backlog consists of net commissions receivable on Insignia s revenue producing transactions, which were at various stages of completion prior to the Insignia acquisition, for which Insignia recognized no revenue. The net revenue backlog is amortized as cash is received or upon final closing of these pending transactions, a large portion of which is expected to occur within twelve months after the date of the Insignia acquisition.
- (e) Per Rule 11-02 of Regulation S-X, pro forma combined statements of operations are required to disclose income (loss) from continuing operations before nonrecurring charges or credits directly attributable to the transaction. Accordingly, this adjustment removes such charges from the pro forma statement of operations. Insignia s historical merger costs primarily include the loss on the sale of the real estate investment assets to Island Fund prior to the closing of the Insignia acquisition and legal fees incurred related to the Insignia acquisition.
- (f) Represents the reversal of historical interest income earned by us on the net proceeds from the \$200.0 million in aggregate principal amount of our 9 3/4% senior notes held in escrow from May 22, 2003 through July 23, 2003, the date of the closing of the Insignia acquisition. The net proceeds held in escrow were released to us upon consummation of the Insignia acquisition.

29

(g) The increase in pro forma interest expense as a result of the Insignia acquisition is summarized as follows:

| | (In t | thousands) |
|---|-------|------------|
| Interest on \$200.0 million in aggregate principal amount senior notes at 93/4% per annum | \$ | 19,500 |
| Incremental interest on \$75.0 million in additional tranche B term loan borrowings at LIBOR plus 4.25% (1) | | 2,355 |
| Additional 0.50% interest rate margin on existing senior secured term loan facilities | | 649 |
| Incremental amortization of deferred financing costs over the term of each respective debt instrument | | 1,688 |
| Incremental commitment and administration fees | | 196 |
| | | |
| Subtotal | | 24,388 |
| Less: historical interest expense of CB Richard Ellis Group for \$200.0 million in aggregate principal | | |
| amount of 9 ³ /4% senior notes | | (11,918) |
| Less: historical interest expense of Insignia | | (1,978) |
| Less: historical amortization of deferred financing costs of CB Richard Ellis Group (credit facility in | | |
| effect prior to Insignia acquisition) | | (7,950) |
| Less: historical amortization of deferred financing costs of Insignia | | (2,346) |
| | | |
| Subtotal | | (24,192) |
| | | |
| Net increase in interest expense | \$ | 196 |
| | | |

- (1) For purposes of the calculations above, LIBOR is based on the average three-month LIBOR for fiscal year 2003.
- (h) Represents the tax effect of the pro forma adjustments included in notes (b) through (g) above at the respective statutory rates.
- (i) The decrease in pro forma interest expense as a result of the public equity offering is summarized as follows:

| | (In | thousands) |
|---|-----|------------|
| | _ | |
| Historical interest expense on our 16% senior notes | \$ | (13,203) |
| Historical amortization of deferred financing costs related to our 16% senior notes | | (2,350) |
| Historical amortization of discount related to our 16% senior notes | | (2,262) |
| Historical premiums on early redemptions of our 16% senior notes | | (2,880) |
| | _ | |
| Net decrease in interest expense | \$ | (20,695) |
| | | |

- (j) Represents the tax effect of the pro forma adjustments included in note (i) above at the respective statutory rates, excluding some items that are permanently non-deductible for tax purposes.
- (k) Reflects the pro forma number of weighted average shares giving effect to the 852,865 shares of Class A common stock of CB Richard Ellis Group and the 6,647,135 shares of Class B common stock of CB Richard Ellis Group issued in connection with the Insignia acquisition and the shares of Class A common stock of CB Richard Ellis Group to be issued in connection with the offering, in each case, as though such shares were issued on January 1, 2003. In connection with the offering, all outstanding shares of our Class B common stock will be converted into shares of Class A common stock at a one-to-one ratio.

CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.

UNAUDITED PRO FORMA BALANCE SHEET

As of December 31, 2003

(In thousands, except share data)

| | Historical | Pro Forma Adjustments for the Offering | Pro Forma As Adjusted |
|---|--------------|--|-----------------------|
| ASSETS | | | |
| Current Assets: | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 163,881 | \$ 34,707 (a)(b)(c) | \$ 198,588 |
| Restricted cash | 14,899 | | 14,899 |
| Receivables, less allowance for doubtful | | | |
| accounts of \$16,181 | 322,416 | | 322,416 |
| Warehouse receivable | 230,790 | | 230,790 |
| Prepaid expenses | 22,854 | | 22,854 |
| Deferred tax assets, net | 57,681 | 8,480 (d) | 66,161 |
| Other current assets | 26,461 | | 26,461 |
| | | | |
| Total current assets | 838,982 | 43,187 | 882,169 |
| Property and equipment, net | 113,569 | | 113,569 |
| Goodwill | 819,558 | | 819,558 |
| Other intangible assets, net of accumulated | | | |
| amortization of \$73,449 | 131,731 | | 131,731 |
| Deferred compensation assets | 76,389 | | 76,389 |
| Investments in and advances to unconsolidated | | | |
| subsidiaries | 68,361 | | 68,361 |
| Deferred tax assets, net | 32,179 | | 32,179 |
| Other assets, net | 132,712 | (3,350)(e) | 129,362 |
| | | | |
| Total assets | \$ 2,213,481 | \$ 39,837 | \$ 2,253,318 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

| | Historical | Pro Forma Adjustments for the Offering | Pro Forma As Adjusted |
|--|------------|--|--------------------------|
| LIABILITIES & STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY | | | |
| Current Liabilities: | | | |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | \$ 189,787 | \$ | 189,787 |
| Compensation and employee benefits payable | 148,874 | | 148,874 |
| Accrued bonus and profit sharing | 200,343 | | 200,343 |
| Short-term borrowings: | | | |
| Warehouse line of credit | 230,790 | | 230,790 |
| Other | 39,347 | | 39,347 |
| | | | |
| Total short-term borrowings | 270,137 | | 270,137 |
| Current maturities of long-term debt | 11,285 | | 11,285 |
| Other current liabilities | 12,991 | | 12,991 |
| | | | |
| Total current liabilities | 833,417 | | 833,417 |
| Long-Term Debt: | 033,417 | | 655,417 |
| Senior secured term loans | 287,500 | | 287,500 |
| 934% senior notes | 200,000 | | 200,000 |
| 111/4% senior subordinated notes, net of unamortized discount | 200,000 | | 200,000 |
| of \$2,827 | 226,173 | | 226,173 |
| 16% senior notes, net of unamortized discount of \$2,844 | 35,472 | (35,472)(a)(f) | 220,173 |
| Other long-term debt | 42,275 | (33,172)(4)(1) | 42,275 |
| Other long term debt | 12,273 | | 12,279 |
| Total lang tame daht | 791,420 | (25.472) | 755,948 |
| Total long-term debt | | (35,472) | |
| Deferred compensation liability | 138,037 | | 138,037 |
| Pension liability | 35,998 | | 35,998 |
| Other liabilities | 75,024 | | 75,024 |
| m - 12 122 | 1.072.006 | (25, 472) | 1 020 424 |
| Total liabilities | 1,873,896 | (35,472) | 1,838,424 |
| Minority interest | 6,656 | | 6,656 |
| Commitments and contingencies | | | |
| Stockholders Equity: | | | |
| Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value; no shares authorized, no | | | |
| shares issued or outstanding, actual; and 25,000,000 shares | | | |
| authorized, no shares issued or outstanding, pro forma as | | | |
| adjusted Class A common stock, \$0.01 par value; 75,000,000 shares | | | |
| authorized, 2,728,441 shares issued and outstanding (including | | | |
| treasury shares), actual; and 325,000,000 shares authorized, | | | |
| shares issued and outstanding (including treasury | | | |
| shares), pro forma as adjusted | 27 | 193(g) | 220 |
| Class B common stock; \$0.01 par value; 25,000,000 shares | 21 | 193(g) | 220 |
| authorized, 19,271,948 shares issued and outstanding, actual; | | | |
| and no shares authorized, no shares issued or outstanding, pro | | | |
| forma as adjusted | 193 | (193)(g) | |
| Additional paid-in capital | 361,912 | 91,700 (a) | 453,612 |
| Notes receivable from sale of stock | (4,680) | > 1,700 (u) | (4,680) |
| Accumulated earnings (deficit) | 1,449 | (16,391)(b)(c)(d)(e)(f) | (14,942) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (23,780) | (10,5)1)(0)(0)(0)(0)(1) | (23,780) |
| Treasury stock at cost, 138,958 shares | (2,192) | | (2,192) |
| | (2,1)2) | | (2,1)2) |
| Total stockholders equity | 332,929 | 75,309 | 408,238 |
| Total stockholders equity | 334,747 | 13,309 | +00,430 |

| Total liabilities and stockholders equity | \$ 2,213,481 | \$ 39,837 | \$ 2,253,318 |
|---|--------------|-----------|--------------|
| | | | |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Notes to Unaudited Pro Forma Balance Sheet

as of December 31, 2003

(a) Reflects the net proceeds received from the offering as well as the application of the net proceeds from the offering to the full repayment of our 16% senior notes. The increase in pro forma cash and cash equivalents as a result of the pro forma transactions is summarized as follows:

| | (In | thousands) |
|--|-----|------------|
| | | |
| Proceeds from the offering | \$ | 100,000 |
| Less: estimated expenses related to the offering | | (8,300) |
| Net proceeds related to the offering | | 91,700 |
| Less: repayment of our 16% senior notes | | (38,316) |
| Less: premium in connection with repayment of our 16% senior notes | | (3,677) |
| | | |
| Net increase in cash and cash equivalents | \$ | 49,707 |
| | _ | |

- (b) Includes \$3.7 million of premium payments in connection with the full redemption of the 16% senior notes using the net proceeds from the offering.
- (c) Includes the impact of the payment of bonuses in connection with the offering in an aggregate amount of \$15.0 million to be paid to several of our non-executive real estate services employees pursuant to their employment agreements as a result of completion of the offering.
- (d) Represents the tax effect of the pro forma adjustments at the respective statutory rates, excluding some items that are permanently non-deductible for tax purposes.
- (e) Represents the write-off of unamortized deferred financing costs associated with the redemption of the remaining outstanding principal amount of our 16% senior notes with proceeds from the offering.
- (f) Represents the write-off of unamortized discount associated with the redemption of the remaining outstanding principal amount of our 16% senior notes with proceeds from the offering.
- (g) In connection with the offering, all outstanding shares of our Class B common stock will be converted into shares of Class A common stock at a one-to-one ratio.

SELECTED HISTORICAL FINANCIAL DATA

The following table sets forth our selected historical consolidated financial information for each of the five years in the period ended December 31, 2003. On July 20, 2001, we acquired CB Richard Ellis Services, Inc. Except as otherwise indicated below, the selected historical financial data for the dates and periods ended prior to July 20, 2001 are derived from the consolidated financial statements of CB Richard Ellis Services, our predecessor company. The statement of operations, statement of cash flow data and other data for the year ended December 31, 2003 and 2002, for the period from February 20, 2001 (inception) to December 31, 2001 and for the period from January 1 to July 20, 2001 and the balance sheet data as of December 31, 2003 and 2002 were derived from our or our predecessor s audited consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this prospectus. The statement of operations, statement of cash flow data and other data for the year ended December 31, 2000 and 1999 and the balance sheet data as of December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999 were derived from our predecessor s audited consolidated financial statements that are not included in this prospectus.

The selected financial data presented below are not necessarily indicative of results of future operations and should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and the information included under the headings Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and Unaudited Pro Forma Financial Information included elsewhere in this prospectus.

| | CB Richard Ellis Group | | | | Predecessor Company | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|------------|--|------------|--|----------------|----------------------------|---------------|-----|------------|----|------------|
| | Year Ended December 31, | | Period From February 20 (inception) to December 31, | | Period From January 1 to July 20, | | Year Ended December 31, | | | | | |
| | 2003(1) | | | 2002 | 2001(2) | | 2001 | | | 2000 | | 1999 |
| | | | | (D | ollar | s in thousand: | s, exc | cept share da | ta) | | | |
| Statement of Operations Data: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Revenue | \$ | 1,630,074 | \$ | 1,170,277 | \$ | 562,828 | \$ | 607,934 | \$ | 1,323,604 | \$ | 1,213,039 |
| Operating income (loss) | | 40,195 | | 106,062 | | 62,732 | | (14,174) | | 107,285 | | 76,899 |
| Interest expense, net | | 81,175 | | 57,229 | | 27,290 | | 18,736 | | 39,146 | | 37,438 |
| Net (loss) income | | (34,704) | | 18,727 | | 17,426 | | (34,020) | | 33,388 | | 23,282 |
| EPS (3): | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Basic | | (1.89) | | 1.25 | | 2.22 | | (1.60) | | 1.60 | | 1.11 |
| Diluted | | (1.89) | | 1.23 | | 2.20 | | (1.60) | | 1.58 | | 1.10 |
| Weighted average shares (4): | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Basic | | 18,373,118 | | 15,025,308 | | 7,845,004 | | 21,306,584 | | 20,931,111 | | 20,998,097 |
| Diluted | | 18,373,118 | | 15,222,111 | | 7,909,797 | | 21,306,584 | | 21,097,240 | 2 | 21,072,436 |
| Statement of Cash Flow Data: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities | \$ | 63,941 | \$ | 64,882 | \$ | 91,334 | \$ | (120,230) | \$ | 80,859 | \$ | 70,340 |
| Net cash used in investing activities | | (284,795) | | (24,130) | | (261,393) | | (12,139) | | (32,469) | | (23,096) |
| Net cash provided (used in) by financing activities | | 303,664 | | (17,838) | | 213,831 | | 126,230 | | (53,523) | | (37,721) |
| Other Data: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| EBITDA (5) | | 132,817 | | 130,676 | | 74,930 | | 11,482 | | 150,484 | | 117,369 |

| СВ | Richard Ellis Gr | Predecessor Company | | | | | |
|--------------------|------------------|---------------------|-----------|-----------|--|--|--|
| As of December 31, | | | As of Dec | ember 31, | | | |
| 2003 | 2002 | 2001 | 2000 | 1999 | | | |

| | | | (In thousands) | | |
|---|------------|-----------|----------------|-----------|-----------|
| Balance Sheet Data: | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 163,881 | \$ 79,701 | \$ 57,450 | \$ 20,854 | \$ 27,844 |
| Total assets | 2,213,481 | 1,324,876 | 1,354,572 | 963,105 | 929,483 |
| Long-term debt, including current portion | 802,705 | 509,715 | 517,423 | 289,447 | 348,435 |
| Total liabilities | 1,873,896 | 1,067,920 | 1,097,693 | 724,018 | 715,874 |
| Total stockholders equity | 332,929 | 251,341 | 252,523 | 235,339 | 209,737 |

 $(footnotes\ on\ following\ page)$

(footnotes for previous page)

Note: We and our predecessor have not declared any cash dividends for the periods shown.

- (1) The actual results for the year ended December 31, 2003 include the activities of Insignia from July 23, 2003, the date Insignia was acquired by our wholly owned subsidiary, CB Richard Ellis Services.
- (2) The results for the period from February 20, 2001 (inception) to December 31, 2001 include the activities of CB Richard Ellis Services from July 20, 2001, the date we acquired CB Richard Ellis Services.
- (3) EPS represents (loss) earnings per share. See (loss) earnings per share information in note 16 to our audited consolidated financial statements, included elsewhere in this prospectus.
- (4) For the period from February 20, 2001 (inception) to December 31, 2001, the 7,845,004 and the 7,909,797 shares represent the weighted average shares outstanding for basic and diluted earnings per share, respectively. These balances take into consideration the lower number of shares outstanding prior to July 20, 2001, the date we acquired CB Richard Ellis Services.
- (5) EBITDA represents earnings before net interest expense, income taxes, depreciation and amortization. Our management believes EBITDA is useful to investors because it is frequently used by securities analysts, investors and other interested parties in the evaluation of companies in our industry. In addition, our management believes that EBITDA is useful in evaluating our operating performance compared to that of other companies in our industry because the calculation of EBITDA generally eliminates the effects of financing and income taxes and the accounting effects of capital spending and acquisitions, which items may vary for different companies for reasons unrelated to overall operating performance. As a result, our management uses EBITDA as a measure to evaluate the performance of our various business lines and for other discretionary purposes, including as a significant component when measuring our performance under our employee incentive programs.

However, EBITDA is not a recognized measurement under U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, or GAAP, and when analyzing our operating performance, investors should use EBITDA in addition to, and not as an alternative for, operating income (loss) and net (loss) income, each as determined in accordance with GAAP. Because not all companies use identical calculations, our presentation of EBITDA may not be comparable to similarly titled measures of other companies. Furthermore, EBITDA is not intended to be a measure of free cash flow for our management s discretionary use, as it does not consider certain cash requirements such as tax payments and debt service requirements. The amounts shown for EBITDA also differ from the amounts calculated under similarly titled definitions in our debt instruments, which are further adjusted to reflect certain other cash and non-cash charges and are used to determine compliance with financial covenants and our ability to engage in certain activities, such as incurring additional debt and making certain restricted payments.

EBITDA is calculated as follows:

| СВ | Richard Elli | s Group | Predecessor Company | | | | | | |
|--------|--------------|--|-----------------------------|------------|---------|--|--|--|--|
| Year I | Ended | Period From February 20 (inception) to | Period From January 1 | Year Ended | | | | | |
| Decemb | ber 31, | December 31, | to July 20, | Decem | ber 31, | | | | |
| 2003 | 2002 | 2001 | 2001 | 2000 | 1999 | | | | |

Edgar Filing: CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP INC - Form S-1/A

| | | | _ | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|------------|----|-----------|-------------|------------|------------|
| | | | | (In thous | sands) | | |
| Net (loss) income | \$ (34,704) | \$ 18,727 | \$ | 17,426 | \$ (34,020) | \$ 33,388 | \$ 23,282 |
| Add: | | | | | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 92,622 | 24,614 | | 12,198 | 25,656 | 43,199 | 40,470 |
| Interest expense | 87,216 | 60,501 | | 29,717 | 20,303 | 41,700 | 39,368 |
| (Benefit) provision for income taxes | (6,276) | 30,106 | | 18,016 | 1,110 | 34,751 | 16,179 |
| Less: | | | | | | | |
| Interest income | 6,041 | 3,272 | | 2,427 | 1,567 | 2,554 | 1,930 |
| | | | | | | | |
| EBITDA | \$ 132,817 | \$ 130,676 | \$ | 74,930 | \$ 11,482 | \$ 150,484 | \$ 117,369 |
| | | | | | | | |

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

This prospectus contains forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. Our actual results could differ materially from those anticipated in forward-looking statements for many reasons, including the risks described under the heading Risk Factors and elsewhere in this prospectus. You should read the following discussion in conjunction with the information included under the headings Unaudited Pro Forma Financial Information and Selected Historical Financial Data and the financial statements and related notes included elsewhere in this prospectus.

Overview

We are the largest global commercial real estate services firm, based on 2003 revenue, offering a full range of services to occupiers, owners, lenders and investors in office, retail, industrial, multi-family and other commercial real estate assets. As of December 31, 2003, we operated in 48 countries with over 13,500 employees in 220 offices providing commercial real estate services under the CB Richard Ellis brand name. Our business is focused on several service competencies, including strategic advice and execution assistance for property leasing and sales, forecasting, valuations, origination and servicing of commercial mortgage loans, facilities and project management and real estate investment management. We generate revenues both on a per project or transaction basis and from annual management fees.

When you read our financial statements and the information included in this section, you should consider that we have experienced, and continue to experience, several material trends and uncertainties that have affected our financial condition and results of operations and make it challenging to predict our future performance based on our historical results. We believe that the following material trends and uncertainties are most crucial to an understanding of the variability in our historical earnings and cash flows and the potential for such variances in the future:

Macroeconomic Conditions

Our operations are directly affected by actual and perceived trends in various national and economic conditions that affect global and regional markets for commercial real estate services, including interest rates, the availability of credit to finance commercial real estate transactions and the impact of tax laws affecting real estate. Periods of economic slowdown or recession, rising interest rates, a declining demand for real estate or the public perception that any of these events may occur, can harm many of our business lines. These economic conditions could result in a general decline in rents, which in turn would reduce revenue from property management fees and brokerage commissions derived from property sales and leases. In addition, these conditions could lead to a decline in sales prices as well as a decline in demand for funds invested in commercial real estate and related assets. An economic downturn or a significant increase in interest rates also may reduce the amount of loan originations and related servicing by our commercial mortgage banking business. If our brokerage and mortgage banking businesses are negatively impacted, it is likely that our other lines of business would also suffer due to the relationship among our various business lines.

During 2002 and 2001, we were adversely affected by the slowdown in the U.S. economy, which negatively impacted the commercial real estate market generally. This caused a decline in our leasing activities within the United States. Moreover, in part because of the terrorist attacks on September 11, 2001 and the subsequent conflict with Iraq, the economic climate in the United States became very uncertain, which had an adverse effect on commercial real estate market conditions and, in turn, our operating results for 2002 and 2001. During 2003, economic conditions in the United States improved, which positively impacted the commercial real estate market generally. This caused an improvement in our Americas segment s sales and leasing activities. We expect this trend to continue in the near term.

Our management team primarily addresses adverse changes in economic conditions through our compensation structure. Compensation is one of our largest expenses, and the sales and leasing professionals in our largest line of business, advisory services, generally are paid on a commission and bonus basis that correlates with our revenue performance. As a result, the negative effect on our operating margins during difficult market conditions is partially mitigated. In addition, in circumstances when economic conditions are particularly severe, our management also has sought to improve operational performance through cost reduction programs. For example, as economic conditions worsened in 2001, our management team made targeted reductions in our workforce, reduced senior management bonuses, streamlined general and administrative operations and cut capital expenditures and other discretionary operating expenses. After our acquisition of CB Richard Ellis Services in 2001, our management also instituted a best practices program branded People, Platform & Performance in order to implement and encourage new business practices that would result in lower operating expenses and enhance revenue and margin growth. Notwithstanding these approaches, adverse global and regional economic changes remain one of the most significant risks to our future financial condition and results of operations.

Effects of Prior Acquisitions

Although we do not currently have any specific acquisition plans, our management historically has made significant use of strategic acquisitions to add new service competencies, to increase our scale within existing competencies and to expand our presence in various geographic regions around the world. For example, we enhanced our mortgage banking services through our 1996 acquisition of L.J. Melody & Company and we significantly increased the scale of our investment management business through our 1995 acquisition of Westmark Realty Advisors and our 1997 acquisition of Koll Real Estate Services. An example of a strategic acquisition that increased our geographic coverage was our 1998 acquisition of Hillier Parker May & Rowden in the United Kingdom. Our largest acquisition to date was our July 23, 2003 acquisition of Insignia Financial Group, which not only significantly increased the scale of our real estate services and outsourcing services business lines in the Americas segment but also significantly increased our presence in the New York, London and Paris metropolitan areas.

Although our management believes that strategic acquisitions can significantly decrease the cost, time and commitment of management resources necessary to attain a meaningful competitive position within targeted markets or to expand our presence within our current markets, our management also believes that most acquisitions will initially have an adverse impact on our operating and net income, both as a result of transaction-related expenses and charges and the costs of integrating the acquired business and its financial and accounting systems into our own. For example, we incurred approximately \$159.4 million of transaction-related expenses in connection with our acquisition of Insignia in 2003 and approximately \$87.6 million of transaction-related expenses in connection with our acquisition of CB Richard Ellis Services in 2001. Transaction-related expenses include severance costs, lease termination costs, transaction costs, deferred financing costs and merger-related costs, among others. In addition, during 2003, we incurred approximately \$13.6 million of costs in connection with the integration of Insignia s business lines, as well as accounting and other systems, into our own.

International Operations

We have made significant acquisitions of non-U.S. companies and, although we currently have no specific plans to do so, we may acquire additional foreign companies in the future. As we increase our foreign operations through either acquisitions or organic growth, fluctuations in the value of the U.S. dollar relative to the other currencies in which we may generate earnings could adversely affect our business, financial condition and operating results. Our management team generally seeks to mitigate our exposure by balancing assets and liabilities that are denominated in the same currency and by maintaining cash positions outside the United States only at levels necessary for operating purposes. In addition, from time to time we enter into foreign currency exchange contracts to mitigate our exposure to exchange rate changes related to particular transactions. However, our management historically has not entered into agreements to hedge the risks associated with the translation of

37

Table of Contents

foreign currencies into U.S. dollars but may consider doing so in the future. As a result, due to the constantly changing currency exposures to which we are subject and the volatility of currency exchange rates, our management cannot predict the effect of exchange rate fluctuations upon future operating results. In addition, fluctuations in currencies relative to the U.S. dollar may make it more difficult to perform period-to-period comparisons of our reported results of operations.

Our international operations also are subject to, among other things, political instability and changing regulatory environments, which may adversely affect our future financial condition and results of operations.

Our management routinely monitors these risks and costs and evaluates the appropriate amount of resources to allocate towards business activities in foreign countries where such risks and costs are particularly significant. For example, in late 2001 and early 2002 we decided to sell our wholly owned operations in Thailand, the Philippines and India. These operations had highly cyclical financial performance due to continuing economic and political instability in the region. By selling the operations and entering into cross-referral and royalty agreements with the purchasers, we were able to maintain our presence, brand and service capability in those countries while generally eliminating our financial risk. However, these measures have only mitigated our overall exposure to the risks associated with operating outside the United States.

Leverage

We are highly leveraged and have significant debt service obligations. Although our management believes that the incurrence of this long-term indebtedness has been important in the development of our business, including facilitating our acquisition of Insignia Financial Group in 2003, the cash flow necessary to service this debt is not available for other general corporate purposes, which may limit our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in our business and in the commercial real estate services industry. Our management seeks to mitigate this exposure both through the refinancing of debt when available on attractive terms and through selective repayment and retirement of indebtedness. For example, we refinanced our senior secured credit facilities in October 2003 to obtain more attractive interest rates and other terms and we redeemed \$30.0 million of our 16% senior notes in late 2003. In addition, we expect to use the net proceeds we receive from the offering to redeem all of the remaining outstanding 16% senior notes. Notwithstanding such activities, our level of indebtedness and the operating and financial restrictions in our debt agreements both place significant constraints on the operation of our business.

Basis of Presentation

Recent Significant Acquisitions and Dispositions

On July 20, 2001, we acquired CB Richard Ellis Services, Inc. pursuant to an amended and restated agreement and plan of merger, dated as of May 31, 2001, among CB Richard Ellis Group (formerly known as CBRE Holding, Inc.), CB Richard Ellis Services and Blum CB Corp., a wholly owned subsidiary of CB Richard Ellis Group. Blum CB was merged with and into CB Richard Ellis Services, with CB Richard Ellis Services became a wholly owned subsidiary of CB Richard Ellis Group.

Our results of operations, including our segment operations and cash flows, for the year ended December 31, 2001 have been derived by combining the results of operations and cash flows of CB Richard Ellis Group for the period from February 20, 2001 (inception) to December 31, 2001 with the results of operations and cash flows of CB Richard Ellis Services, our predecessor, from January 1, 2001 to July 20, 2001, the date of the merger. The results of operations and cash flows of our predecessor prior to the merger incorporated in the following discussion are the historical results and cash flows of our predecessor. These results of our predecessor do not reflect any purchase accounting adjustments,

which are included in our results subsequent to the merger. Due to the effects of purchase accounting applied as a result of the merger and the additional interest expense associated with the debt incurred to finance the merger, our results of operations may not be comparable in all respects to the results of operations for our predecessor prior to the merger. However, our management believes a discussion of our 2001 operations is more meaningful by combining our results with the results of our predecessor.

38

On July 23, 2003, pursuant to an amended and restated agreement and plan of merger, dated as of May 28, 2003, by and among CB Richard Ellis Services, CB Richard Ellis Group, Apple Acquisition Corp., a Delaware corporation and wholly owned subsidiary of CB Richard Ellis Services, and Insignia Financial Group, Inc., Apple Acquisition was merged with and into Insignia Financial Group. Insignia Financial Group was the surviving corporation in the merger and at the effective time of the merger became a wholly owned subsidiary of CB Richard Ellis Services. Also on July 23, 2003, immediately prior to the completion of the merger, Insignia Financial Group completed the sale of its real estate investment assets to Island Fund I LLC for cash consideration of \$36.9 million pursuant to a purchase agreement, dated as of May 28, 2003, among CB Richard Ellis Group, CB Richard Ellis Services, Apple Acquisition, Insignia Financial Group and Island Fund. These real estate investment assets consisted of Insignia Financial Group subsidiaries and joint ventures that held (1) minority investments in office, retail, industrial, apartment and hotel properties, (2) minority investments in office development projects and a related undeveloped parcel of land, (3) wholly owned or consolidated investments in Norman, Oklahoma, New York City and the U.S. Virgin Islands and (4) investments in private equity funds that invest in mortgage-backed debt securities and other real estate-related assets.

Segment Reporting

We report our operations through three geographically organized segments: (1) the Americas, (2) Europe, the Middle East and Africa, or EMEA, and (3) Asia Pacific. The Americas consists of operations located in the United States, Canada, Mexico and South America. EMEA mainly consists of operations in Europe, while Asia Pacific includes operations in Asia, Australia and New Zealand.

In 1998, CB Richard Ellis Services, our predecessor company, expanded internationally through acquisition. Over the ensuing few years, it was determined that the line of business segments around which the company had previously been organized, were not applicable internationally since those jurisdictions were managed on a geographic basis by country. In order to achieve global consistency, the company decided to segment itself by geographic region starting in the 2001 fiscal year.

Results of Operations

The following table sets forth items derived from the consolidated statements of operations for the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001, presented in dollars and as a percentage of revenue:

Year Ended December 31,

| | 2003 | | 2002 | | 2001 | |
|--|--------------|--------|------------------|---------|--------------|--------|
| | | | (Dollars in thou | isands) | | |
| Revenue | \$ 1,630,074 | 100.0% | \$ 1,170,277 | 100.0% | \$ 1,170,762 | 100.0% |
| Costs and expenses: | | | | | | |
| Cost of services | 796,408 | 48.8 | 547,093 | 46.7 | 542,804 | 46.4 |
| Operating, administrative and other | 678,397 | 41.6 | 501,798 | 42.9 | 517,405 | 44.2 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 92,622 | 5.7 | 24,614 | 2.1 | 37,854 | 3.2 |
| Equity income from unconsolidated subsidiaries | (14,365) | (0.9) | (9,326) | (0.8) | (4,428) | (0.4) |
| Merger-related and other nonrecurring charges | 36,817 | 2.3 | 36 | | 28,569 | 2.5 |
| | | | | | | |
| Operating income | 40,195 | 2.5 | 106,062 | 9.1 | 48,558 | 4.1 |
| Interest income | 6,041 | 0.4 | 3,272 | 0.3 | 3,994 | 0.4 |

Edgar Filing: CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP INC - Form S-1/A

| Interest expense | 87,216 | 5.4 | 60,501 | 5.2 | 50,020 | 4.3 |
|---|-------------|--------|------------|-------|-------------|--------|
| | | | | | | |
| (Loss) income before (benefit) provision for income | | | | | | |
| taxes | (40,980) | (2.5) | 48,833 | 4.2 | 2,532 | 0.2 |
| (Benefit) provision for income taxes | (6,276) | (0.4) | 30,106 | 2.6 | 19,126 | 1.6 |
| | | | | | | |
| Net (loss) income | \$ (34,704) | (2.1)% | \$ 18,727 | 1.6% | \$ (16,594) | (1.4)% |
| | | | | | | |
| EBITDA | \$ 132,817 | 8.1% | \$ 130,676 | 11.2% | \$ 86,412 | 7.4% |
| | | | | | | |

EBITDA represents earnings before net interest expense, income taxes, depreciation and amortization. Our management believes EBITDA is useful to investors because it is frequently used by securities analysts, investors and other interested parties in the evaluation of companies in our industry. In addition, our management believes that EBITDA is useful in evaluating our performance compared to that of other companies in our industry because the calculation of EBITDA generally eliminates the effects of financing and income taxes and the accounting effects of capital spending and acquisitions, which items may vary for different companies for reasons unrelated to overall operating performance. As a result, our management uses EBITDA as a measure to evaluate the performance of our various business lines and for other discretionary purposes, including as a significant component when measuring our performance under our employee incentive programs.

However, EBITDA is not a recognized measurement under U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, or GAAP, and when analyzing our operating performance, investors should use EBITDA in addition to, and not as an alternative for, operating income (loss) and net (loss) income, each as determined in accordance with GAAP. Because not all companies use identical calculations, our presentation of EBITDA may not be comparable to similarly titled measures of other companies. Furthermore, EBITDA is not intended to be a measure of free cash flow for our management s discretionary use, as it does not consider certain cash requirements such as tax payments and debt service requirements. The amounts shown for EBITDA also differ from the amounts calculated under similarly titled definitions in our debt instruments, which are further adjusted to reflect certain other cash and non-cash charges and are used to determine compliance with financial covenants and our ability to engage in certain activities, such as incurring additional debt and making certain restricted payments.

EBITDA is calculated as follows:

| | Yea | Year Ended December 31, | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|-------------------------|-------------|--|--|--|
| | 2003 | 2002 | 2001 | | | |
| | | (In thousands) | | | | |
| Net (loss) income | \$ (34,704) | \$ 18,727 | \$ (16,594) | | | |
| Add: | | | | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 92,622 | 24,614 | 37,854 | | | |
| Interest expense | 87,216 | 60,501 | 50,020 | | | |
| (Benefit) provision for income taxes | (6,276) | 30,106 | 19,126 | | | |
| Less: | | | | | | |
| Interest income | 6,041 | 3,272 | 3,994 | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| EBITDA | \$ 132,817 | \$ 130,676 | \$ 86,412 | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Year Ended December 31, 2003 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2002

We reported a consolidated net loss of \$34.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2003 on revenue of \$1.6 billion as compared to consolidated net income of \$18.7 million on revenue of \$1.2 billion for the year ended December 31, 2002.

Our revenue on a consolidated basis increased \$459.8 million, or 39.3%, during the year ended December 31, 2003 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2002. The increase was driven by higher revenue as a result of our capturing a larger market share in our Americas real estate services business line through our acquisition of Insignia, particularly leasing activity in the New York area. Additionally, as a result of the improvement of general economic conditions in the United States, we experienced significantly higher sales transaction revenue as well as

increased lease transaction revenue and appraisal fees. Internationally, the Insignia acquisition helped us to expand our reach in Europe as evidenced by increased sales and lease transaction revenue, as well as higher consultation and appraisal fees, particularly in London and Paris. We expect that this increased revenue level will be maintained in the near term. Lastly, foreign currency translation had a \$54.4 million positive impact on total revenue during the year ended December 31, 2003.

Our cost of services on a consolidated basis totaled \$796.4 million, an increase of \$249.3 million, or 45.6%, from the year ended December 31, 2002. This increase was mainly due to higher commission expense, bonus accruals and producer retention expense as a result of the Insignia acquisition as well as increased worldwide sales and lease transaction revenue. Our sales and leasing professionals are paid on a commission and bonus basis, which generally correlates with our revenue performance. Accordingly, as revenue increases, cost of services will also increase. Additionally, we paid bonuses to the top advisory services professionals of Insignia that we retained in the acquisition. The producer retention expense represents the amortization of these bonuses, which are being amortized to cost of services over the lives of the related employment agreements. The producer retention expense is considered an integration cost associated with the Insignia acquisition and amounted to \$2.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2003. Also contributing to the increase in cost of services over the prior year was increased worldwide payroll related costs, including worldwide insurance and pension expense in the United Kingdom, which were mainly driven by increased headcount resulting from the Insignia acquisition. Finally, foreign currency translation had a \$23.9 million negative impact on cost of services during the year ended December 31, 2003.

Our operating, administrative and other expenses on a consolidated basis were \$678.4 million, an increase of \$176.6 million, or 35.2 %, for the year ended December 31, 2003 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2002. The increase was primarily driven by higher costs as a result of the Insignia acquisition, including \$10.9 million of integration costs, as well as increased worldwide bonuses and payroll-related expenses, principally in the Americas and Europe. Included in the 2003 bonus amount was an accrual for a one-time performance award of approximately \$6.9 million. Also contributing to the variance was a nonrecurring legal settlement in the United States in 2003 as well as higher occupancy expense in the United Kingdom as a result of our relocation to a new facility in 2003. Lastly, foreign currency translation had a \$23.4 million negative impact on total operating expenses during the year ended December 31, 2003. These increases were partially offset by net foreign currency transaction gains resulting from the weaker U.S. dollar. Over 2003 and 2002, the U.S. dollar has continued to weaken, which has resulted in us recognizing foreign currency transaction gains. Due to the volatility of currency exchange rates, there is no way for us to predict if this trend will continue in the future.

Our depreciation and amortization expense on a consolidated basis increased by \$68.0 million, or 276.3%, for the year ended December 31, 2003 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2002 mainly due to \$59.1 million of amortization of the net revenue backlog acquired as part of the Insignia acquisition. As of December 31, 2003, the net book value of the intangible asset representing the remaining net revenue backlog acquired in the Insignia acquisition was \$13.4 million, which is expected to be fully amortized by the end of 2004 (see note 8 of our audited consolidated financial statement included elsewhere in this prospectus). The increase over the prior year was also due to a one-time reduction of amortization expense recorded in 2002 related to the adjustment of certain intangible assets to their estimated fair values as of their acquisition date in connection with our acquisition of CB Richard Ellis Services in 2001.

Our equity income from unconsolidated subsidiaries on a consolidated basis increased \$5.0 million, or 54.0%, for the year ended December 31, 2003 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2002, primarily due to a one-time gain on sale of owned units in an investment fund. In addition, the trend of improved performance in our other domestic joint ventures continued, but was offset by a decrease in equity income versus the prior year as a result of a one-time disposition fee received in 2002 upon liquidation of one of our joint ventures.

Our merger-related charges on a consolidated basis were \$36.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2003. These charges primarily consisted of lease termination costs associated with vacated spaces, change of control payments, consulting costs and severance costs, all of which were attributable to the Insignia acquisition.

Our consolidated interest expense was \$87.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2003, an increase of \$26.7 million, or 44.2%, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2002. This increase was primarily driven by a one-time \$6.8 million write-off of unamortized deferred financing fees associated with our prior credit facility and \$6.6 million of nonrecurring write-offs of unamortized deferred financing fees and unamortized

41

discount, as well as premiums paid, in connection with the \$30.0 million of redemptions of our 16% senior notes in the fourth quarter of 2003. Additionally, interest expense was higher in 2003 as a result of the new debt incurred in connection with the Insignia acquisition.

Our benefit for income tax on a consolidated basis was \$6.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2003 as compared to a provision for income tax of \$30.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2002. The income tax (benefit) provision and effective tax rate generally were not comparable between periods due to the effects of the Insignia acquisition. Additionally, non-deductible expenses contributed to a lower effective tax benefit rate in 2003 as compared to 2002.

Year Ended December 31, 2002 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2001

We reported consolidated net income of \$18.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2002 on revenue of \$1.2 billion as compared to a consolidated net loss of \$16.6 million on revenue of \$1.2 billion for the year ended December 31, 2001.

Our revenue on a consolidated basis for the year ended December 31, 2002 was comparable to the year ended December 31, 2001. Overall revenue decreased in our Americas segment primarily caused by declines in lease transaction revenue, which were driven by the continued softness in the leasing industry in the United States as a result of general economic uncertainty, combined with a nonrecurring sale of mortgage fund contracts of \$5.6 million in 2001. In Asia Pacific, revenue declined mainly due to the sale of our wholly-owned operations in Thailand, the Philippines and India. These decreases were mostly offset by higher worldwide sales transaction revenue driven by investment property sales and higher investment management fees in Japan as result of the expansion of this business in that region. Foreign currency translation had a \$10.5 million positive impact on total revenue during the year ended December 31, 2002.

Our cost of services on a consolidated basis totaled \$547.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2002, an increase of \$4.3 million, or 0.8%, from the year ended December 31, 2001. This increase was primarily due to higher compensation of advisory services professionals within our international operations associated with expanded international activities. These increases were partially offset by lower variable commissions, principally in our Americas segment, driven by lower lease transaction revenue. Foreign currency translation had a \$4.2 million negative impact on cost of services during the year ended December 31, 2002.

Our operating, administrative and other expenses on a consolidated basis were \$501.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2002, a decrease of \$15.6 million, or 3.0%, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2001. This decrease was primarily driven by cost reduction measures and operational efficiencies from programs initiated in May 2001, as well as foreign currency transaction and settlement gains resulting from the weaker U.S. dollar. The trend of foreign currency transaction gains resulting from the weakening of the U.S. dollar has continued in 2003. These reductions were partially offset by an increase in bonuses and other incentives, primarily within our international operations, due to improved results. Foreign currency translation also had a \$4.1 million negative impact on total operating expenses during the year ended December 31, 2002.

Our depreciation and amortization expense on a consolidated basis decreased by \$13.2 million, or 35.0%, for the year ended December 31, 2002 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2001 was mainly due to the discontinuation of goodwill amortization after our acquisition of CB Richard Ellis Services in 2001 in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*, or SFAS No. 142, and lower depreciation expense, principally due to lower capital expenditures for the year ended December 31, 2002. The lower capital expenditures resulted from cost reduction measures initiated in 2001. Our capital expenditures increased in 2003 primarily as a result of our planned relocation to a new facility in the United Kingdom in 2003. The year ended December 31, 2002 also included a one-time reduction of amortization expense of \$2.0 million arising from the adjustment of certain intangible assets to their estimated fair values as of July

20, 2001, the date we acquired CB Richard Ellis Services.

42

Table of Contents

Our equity income from unconsolidated subsidiaries increased by \$4.9 million, or 110.6%, for the year ended December 31, 2002 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2001, primarily due to a \$2.2 million nonrecurring disposition fee received upon liquidation of one of our joint ventures in the United States as well as the improved performance from several of our other domestic joint ventures. Earnings from these domestic joint ventures continued to increase during 2003 as general economic conditions improved in the United States.

Our merger-related and other nonrecurring charges on a consolidated basis were \$28.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2001. These costs primarily consisted of merger-related charges of \$18.3 million, the write-off of assets, primarily e-business investments, of \$7.2 million as well as severance costs of \$3.1 million related to our cost reduction program initiated in May 2001.

Our consolidated interest expense was \$60.5 million, an increase of \$10.5 million, or 21.0%, over the year ended December 31, 2001. This was primarily attributable to our change in debt structure in connection with our acquisition of CB Richard Ellis Services in 2001.

Our income tax expense on a consolidated basis was \$30.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2002 as compared to \$19.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2001. The income tax provision and effective tax rate were not comparable between periods due to effects of our acquisition of CB Richard Ellis Services in 2001 and the adoption of SFAS No. 142, which resulted in the elimination of the amortization of goodwill. In addition, non-deductible losses associated with our deferred compensation plan contributed to an increased effective tax rate.

43

Segment Operations

The following table summarizes our revenue, costs and expenses and operating income (loss) by our Americas, EMEA and Asia Pacific operating segments for the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001. Our Americas 2003 results include merger-related charges of \$20.4 million attributable to the acquisition of Insignia. Our Americas 2001 results include a nonrecurring sale of mortgage fund contracts of \$5.6 million, as well as merger-related and other nonrecurring charges of \$26.9 million attributable to our acquisition of CB Richard Ellis Services. Our EMEA 2003 results include merger-related charges of \$16.0 million attributable to the Insignia acquisition. Our Asia Pacific 2001 results include merger-related and other nonrecurring charges of \$1.2 million attributable to the acquisition of CB Richard Ellis Services.

Year Ended December 31,

| | 2003 | | 2002 | | | 2001 | | | |
|---|------|-----------|---------|--------------|---------------|---------|----|----------|---------|
| | | | | (D e | ollars in tho | ısands) | | | |
| The Americas | | | | | | | | | |
| Revenue | \$ 1 | 1,197,626 | 100.0% | \$ | 896,064 | 100.0% | \$ | 928,799 | 100.0% |
| Costs and expenses: | | | | | | | | | |
| Cost of services | | 609,619 | 50.9 | | 438,842 | 48.9 | | 448,813 | 48.4 |
| Operating, administrative and other | | 474,317 | 39.6 | | 367,360 | 41.0 | | 388,645 | 41.8 |
| Depreciation and amortization | | 58,216 | 4.9 | | 16,958 | 1.9 | | 27,452 | 3.0 |
| Equity income from unconsolidated subsidiaries | | (14,180) | (1.2) | | (8,425) | (0.9) | | (3,808) | (0.4) |
| Merger-related and other nonrecurring charges | | 20,367 | 1.7 | | 36 | | | 26,923 | 2.8 |
| Operating income | \$ | 49,287 | 4.1% | \$ | 81,293 | 9.1% | \$ | 40,774 | 4.4% |
| | _ | | | _ | | | - | | |
| EBITDA | \$ | 107,503 | 9.0% | \$ | 98,251 | 11.0% | \$ | 68,226 | 7.3% |
| EMEA | | | | _ | | | _ | | |
| Revenue | \$ | 313,686 | 100.0% | \$ | 182,222 | 100.0% | \$ | 161,306 | 100.0% |
| Costs and expenses: | Ψ | 313,000 | 100.070 | Ψ | 102,222 | 100.070 | Ψ | 101,500 | 100.070 |
| Cost of services | | 135,854 | 43.3 | | 70,309 | 38.6 | | 60,309 | 37.4 |
| Operating, administrative and other | | 151,077 | 48.2 | | 90,047 | 49.4 | | 84,762 | 52.5 |
| Depreciation and amortization | | 31,287 | 10.0 | | 4,579 | 2.5 | | 6,492 | 4.0 |
| Equity loss (income) from unconsolidated subsidiaries | | 188 | | | (82) | | | (2) | |
| Merger-related and other nonrecurring charges | | 15,958 | 5.1 | _ | | | | 451 | 0.3 |
| Operating (loss) income | \$ | (20,678) | (6.6)% | \$ | 17,369 | 9.5% | \$ | 9,294 | 5.8% |
| | Φ. | 10.600 | 0.46 | _ | 21.010 | 12.00 | Φ. | 4.5.50.6 | 0.00 |
| EBITDA | \$ | 10,609 | 3.4% | \$ | 21,948 | 12.0% | \$ | 15,786 | 9.8% |
| Asia Pacific | | | | | | | | | |
| Revenue | \$ | 118,762 | 100.0% | \$ | 91,991 | 100.0% | \$ | 80,657 | 100.0% |
| Costs and expenses: | | | | | | | | | |
| Cost of services | | 50,935 | 42.9 | | 37,942 | 41.3 | | 33,682 | 41.8 |
| Operating, administrative and other | | 53,003 | 44.6 | | 44,391 | 48.3 | | 43,998 | 54.5 |
| Depreciation and amortization | | 3,119 | 2.6 | | 3,077 | 3.3 | | 3,910 | 4.9 |
| Equity income from unconsolidated subsidiaries | | (373) | (0.3) | | (819) | (0.9) | | (618) | (0.8) |
| Merger-related and other nonrecurring charges | | 492 | 0.4 | | | | | 1,195 | 1.5 |
| Operating income (loss) | \$ | 11,586 | 9.8% | \$ | 7,400 | 8.0% | \$ | (1,510) | (1.9)% |

| EBITDA | \$ 14,705 | 12.4% | \$ 10,477 | 11.4% | \$ 2,400 | 3.0% |
|--------|--------------|-------|-----------|-------|-------------|------|
| | | | | | | |

44

EBITDA represents earnings before net interest expense, income taxes, depreciation and amortization. Our management believes EBITDA is useful to investors because it is frequently used by securities analysts, investors and other interested parties in the evaluation of companies in our industry. In addition, our management believes that EBITDA is useful in evaluating our operating performance compared to that of other companies in our industry because the calculation of EBITDA generally eliminates the effects of financing and income taxes and the accounting effects of capital spending and acquisitions, which items may vary for different companies for reasons unrelated to overall operating performance. As a result, our management uses EBITDA as a measure to evaluate the performance of our various business lines and for other discretionary purposes, including as a significant component when measuring our performance under our employee incentive programs.

However, EBITDA is not a recognized measurement under U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, or GAAP, and when analyzing our operating performance, investors should use EBITDA in addition to, and not as an alternative for, operating income (loss) as determined in accordance with GAAP. Because not all companies use identical calculations, our presentation of EBITDA may not be comparable to similarly titled measures of other companies. Furthermore, EBITDA is not intended to be a measure of free cash flow for our management s discretionary use, as it does not consider certain cash requirements such as interest payments, tax payments and debt service requirements.

We do not allocate net interest expense or (benefit) provision for income taxes among our segments. Accordingly, EBITDA for our segments is calculated as follows:

| | Year E | Year Ended December 31, | | | | |
|------------------------------------|-------------|-------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | 2003 | 2002 2001 | | | | |
| | | (in thousands) | | | | |
| The Americas | | | | | | |
| Operating income | \$ 49,287 | \$ 81,293 \$ 40,774 | | | | |
| Add: Depreciation and amortization | 58,216 | 16,958 27,452 | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| EBITDA | \$ 107,503 | \$ 98,251 \$ 68,226 | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| EMEA | | | | | | |
| Operating (loss) income | \$ (20,678) | \$ 17,369 \$ 9,294 | | | | |
| Add: Depreciation and amortization | 31,287 | 4,579 6,492 | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| EBITDA | \$ 10,609 | \$ 21,948 \$ 15,786 | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Asia Pacific | | | | | | |
| Operating income (loss) | \$ 11,586 | \$ 7,400 \$ (1,510) | | | | |
| Add: Depreciation and amortization | 3,119 | 3,077 3,910 | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| EBITDA | \$ 14,705 | \$ 10,477 \$ 2,400 | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Year Ended December 31, 2003 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2002

The Americas

Revenue increased by \$301.6 million, or 33.7%, for the year ended December 31, 2003 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2002 primarily driven by the expansion of our market share in our real estate services business line through our acquisition of Insignia, particularly in the leasing industry in the New York area. Additionally, the improvement of general economic conditions in the United States led to an increase in volume of transactions resulting in significantly higher sales transaction revenue as well as increased lease transaction revenue and appraisal fees. Cost of services increased by \$170.8 million, or 38.9%, for the year ended December 31, 2003 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2002 primarily due to higher commission expense, bonus accruals and producer retention expense as a result of the Insignia acquisition as well as the higher sales and lease transaction revenue. The producer retention expense represents bonuses paid to the top

advisory services professionals of Insignia that we retained at the time of the acquisition that is being amortized through cost of services over the respective lives of the underlying employment agreements. The producer retention expense is considered an integration cost associated with the Insignia acquisition and amounted to \$1.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2003. Operating, administrative and other expenses increased \$107.0 million, or 29.1%, mainly caused by higher costs as a result of the Insignia acquisition, including integration expenses of \$9.1 million, increased bonuses and payroll related costs mainly resulting from improved operating performance, and a nonrecurring legal settlement in the United States. Included in the 2003 bonus was an accrual for a one-time performance award of approximately \$6.9 million. These increases were partially offset by net foreign currency transaction gains resulting from the weakened U.S. dollar, a trend that we have experienced in 2003 and 2002.

EMEA

Revenue increased by \$131.5 million, or 72.1%, for the year ended December 31, 2003 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2002, primarily driven by increased revenue as a result of the Insignia acquisition as evidenced by higher sales and lease transaction revenue as well as increased consultation and appraisal fees, predominantly in the United Kingdom and France. Foreign currency translation had a \$35.5 million positive impact on total revenue during the year ended December 31, 2003. Cost of services increased \$65.5 million, or 93.2%, as a result of higher producer compensation expense and bonuses as well as increased payroll-related costs, including insurance expense throughout Europe and pension expense in the United Kingdom, primarily due to the Insignia acquisition. Also included in producer compensation expense for 2003 were integration costs of \$1.2 million, representing the amortization of bonuses paid to the top producers of Insignia in the United Kingdom, which is being amortized over the respective lives of the underlying employment agreements. Foreign currency translation had a \$15.0 million negative impact on cost of services during the current year. Operating, administrative and other expenses increased by \$61.0 million, or 67.8%, mainly driven by increased costs as a result of the Insignia acquisition, including integration expenses of \$1.8 million, as well as higher bonus, payroll related and consulting expenses. Additionally, occupancy expense was higher in the United Kingdom as a result of our relocation to a new facility. Lastly, foreign currency translation had a \$16.4 million negative impact on total operating expenses during the year ended December 31, 2003.

Asia Pacific

Revenue increased by \$26.8 million, or 29.1%, for the year ended December 31, 2003 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2002. The increase was primarily driven by an overall increase in revenue in Australia and New Zealand, primarily resulting from our incremental efforts to increase our market share in the region as well as due to our organic growth. Foreign currency translation had a \$13.8 million positive impact on total revenue during the current year. Cost of services increased by \$13.0 million, or 34.2%, mainly attributable to increased transaction revenue as well as higher producer compensation expense due to increased headcount in Australia and New Zealand resulting from our incremental efforts to increase our market share in this region. Foreign currency translation had a \$6.1 million negative impact on cost of services for the year ended December 31, 2003. Operating, administrative and other expenses increased by \$8.6 million, or 19.4%, primarily due to an increased accrual for long-term incentives as well as higher payroll related costs in Australia and New Zealand. The long-term incentive plan term ended in 2003 with payout of approximately \$7.8 million anticipated in early 2004. We anticipate implementing a new long-term incentive plan starting in 2004. Foreign currency translation also had a \$5.6 million negative impact on total operating expenses during the year ended December 31, 2003.

Year Ended December 31, 2002 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2001

The Americas

Revenue decreased by \$32.7 million, or 3.5%, for the year ended December 31, 2002 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2001, primarily driven by a lower average value per transaction in lease transaction revenue resulting from the continued softness in the leasing industry in the United States combined with a

46

nonrecurring sale of mortgage fund contracts of \$5.6 million in 2001. These decreases were partially offset by higher sales transaction revenue, which was driven by a higher number of transactions as well as a higher average value per transaction, primarily due to investment property sales. The improvement in sales transaction revenue continued in 2003. Cost of services decreased by \$10.0 million, or 2.2%, for the year ended December 31, 2002 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2001, caused primarily by lower variable commissions commensurate with lower lease transaction revenue. Operating, administrative and other expenses decreased by \$21.3 million, or 5.5%, as a result of cost reduction and efficiency measures, the organizational restructuring implemented after our acquisition of CB Richard Ellis Services in 2001, and foreign currency transaction and settlement gains resulting from the weaker U.S. dollar. The trend of foreign currency transaction gains resulting from the weakening U.S. dollar continued throughout 2003.

EMEA

Revenue increased by \$20.9 million, or 13.0%, for the year ended December 31, 2002 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2001. This was mainly driven by higher sales transaction revenue across Europe as the general economy in this region improved. Foreign currency translation had an \$8.9 million positive impact on total revenue during the year ended December 31, 2002. Cost of services increased by \$10.0 million, or 16.6%, due to higher producer compensation as a result of increased revenue arising from expanded activities in Europe. Foreign currency translation had a \$3.4 million negative impact on cost of services during the year ended December 31, 2002. Operating, administrative and other expenses increased by \$5.3 million, or 6.2%, mainly attributable to higher incentives due to improved results, higher occupancy costs and consulting fees. Foreign currency translation also had a \$3.7 million negative impact on total operating expenses during the year ended December 31, 2002.

Asia Pacific

Revenue increased by \$11.3 million, or 14.1%, for the year ended December 31, 2002 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2001. This increase was primarily driven by higher investment management fees in Japan and an increase in overall revenue in Australia and New Zealand due to increased efforts to expand our market share in these locations, partially offset by lower revenues as a result of the sale of our wholly owned operations in Thailand, the Philippines and India. Foreign currency translation had a \$2.8 million positive impact on total revenue during the year ended December 31, 2002. Cost of services increased by \$4.3 million, or 12.6%, primarily driven by higher producer compensation expense due to increased personnel in Australia, New Zealand and China, slightly offset by lower commissions due to conversions to affiliate offices elsewhere in Asia. Foreign currency translation had a \$1.3 million negative impact on cost of services for the year ended December 31, 2002. Operating, administrative and other expenses increased by \$0.4 million, or 0.9%, primarily due to increased bonuses as a result of improved results in Australia and New Zealand, partially offset by lower expenses as a result of sales of operations in Asia. Foreign currency translation also had a \$1.1 million negative impact on total operating expenses during the year ended December 31, 2002.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

We believe we can satisfy our working capital requirements and funding of investments with internally generated cash flow and borrowings under the revolving credit facility or our amended and restated credit agreement described below. Included in the capital requirements that we expect to be able to fund are approximately \$40 million of anticipated capital expenditures, net of concessions received, during 2004.

During both 2001 and 2003, we required substantial amounts of new equity and debt financing to fund our acquisitions of CB Richard Ellis Services and Insignia Financial Group. Absent extraordinary transactions such as these, we historically have not needed sources of financing other than our internally generated cash flow and our revolving credit facility to fund our capital requirements and investments. As a result, our

management anticipates that our cash flow from operations and revolving credit facility will be sufficient to meet our

47

anticipated cash requirements, including those reflected in the summary of contractual obligations and other commitments table below, for the foreseeable future, but at a minimum for the next twelve months.

Although we currently do not have any specific acquisition plans, our management believes that any future material acquisitions that we make most likely would require us to obtain additional debt or equity financing. In the past, we have been able to obtain such financing for other material transactions on terms that our management believed to be reasonable. However, we may not be able to find acquisition financing on favorable terms in the future, if we decide to make any material acquisitions.

Our current long-term liquidity needs, other than those related to ordinary course obligations and commitments such as operating leases, generally are comprised of two parts. The first is the repayment of the outstanding principal amounts of our long-term indebtedness, including our senior secured term loan in 2008, our 9¾% senior notes in 2010 and our 16% senior notes and 11¼% senior subordinated notes in 2011. We expect to use a portion of the net proceeds we receive from the offering to redeem all of our remaining outstanding 16% senior notes. Our management is unable to project whether our long-term cash flow from operations will be sufficient to repay the other amounts of our long-term debt when it comes due. If this cash flow is insufficient, then our management expects that we would need to refinance such indebtedness or otherwise amend its terms to extend the maturity dates. Our management cannot assure you that such refinancings or amendments, if necessary, would be available on attractive terms, if at all.

The other primary component of our long-term liquidity needs are our obligations related to our deferred compensation plan and our U.K. pension plans. Pursuant to our deferred compensation plan, a select group of our management and other highly-compensated employees have been permitted to defer receipt of some or all of their compensation until future distribution dates and have the deferred amount credited towards specified investment alternatives. Except for deferrals into stock fund units that provide for future issuances of our common stock, the deferrals under the deferred compensation plan represent future cash payment obligations for us. We currently have invested in insurance funds for the purpose of funding approximately half of our future cash deferred compensation obligations. In addition, upon each distribution under the plan, we receive a corresponding tax deduction for such compensation payment. Our U.K. subsidiaries maintain pension plans with respect to which a limited number of our U.K. employees are participants. Our historical policy has been to fund pension costs as actuarially determined and as required by applicable law and regulations. As of December 31, 2003, based upon actuarial calculations of future benefit obligations under these plans, these plans were in the aggregate approximately \$44.2 million underfunded. Our management expects that any future obligations under our deferred compensation plan and pension plans that are not currently funded will be funded out of our future cash flow from operations.

| | Payments Due by Period | | | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------------|--|
| Contractual Obligations | Total | Less Than 1 Year | 1-3 Years | 4-5 Years | More Than 5 Years | |
| | | | (In thousands) | | | |
| Total debt (1) | \$ 1,072,842 | \$ 281,422 | \$ 20,384 | \$ 309,287 | \$ 461,749 | |
| Operating leases (2) | 710,262 | 96,123 | 167,164 | 134,094 | 312,881 | |
| Deferred compensation plan liability (3)(4) | 138,037 | 6,087 | 13,435 | 12,117 | 106,398 | |
| Pension liability (3)(4) | 35,998 | | | | 35,998 | |
| Total Contractual Obligations | \$ 1,957,139 | \$ 383,632 | \$ 200,983 | \$ 455,498 | \$ 917,665 | |
| | | Amount of Co | mmitments Expe | ected by Period | | |
| Other Commitments | Total | Less Than 1 Year | 1-3 Years | 4-5 Years | More Than 5 Years | |

Edgar Filing: CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP INC - Form S-1/A

| | | | | |
|-------------------------------|--------------|-----------|-------------|----------|
| Letters of credit (2) | \$ 22,557 | \$ 22,557 | \$ | \$ \$ |
| Guarantees (2) | 10,558 | 10,558 | | |
| Co-investment commitments (2) | 26,564 | 22,903 | 3,661 | |
| | | | | |
| Total Commitments | \$ 59,679 | \$ 56,018 | \$ 3,661 | \$ \$ |
| | | | | |

Table of Contents

Includes capital lease obligations.

See note 13 to our audited consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this prospectus.

See note 11 to our audited consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this prospectus.

(4) Because these obligations are related, either wholly or partially, to the future retirement of our employees and such retirement dates are not predictable, an undeterminable portion of this amount will be paid in future years.

Historical Cash Flows

Operating Activities

Net cash provided by operating activities totaled \$63.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2003, a decrease of \$0.9 million compared to the year ended December 31, 2002. The acquisition of Insignia Financial Group in July 2003 has impacted substantially all components of cash provided by our operating activities making comparison against the prior year not meaningful.

Net cash provided by operating activities totaled \$64.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2002, an increase of \$93.8 million compared to the year ended December 31, 2001. This increase was primarily due to our improved 2002 earnings, as well as lower payments made in the year ended December 31, 2002 for 2001 bonus and profit sharing as compared to the 2000 bonus and profit sharing payments made in the year ended December 31, 2001.

Investing Activities

Net cash used in investing activities totaled \$284.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2003, an increase of \$260.7 million compared to the year ended December 31, 2002. This increase was primarily due to costs incurred in 2003 associated with the Insignia acquisition. Capital expenditures, net of concessions received, of \$27.0 million during the year ended December 31, 2003 were \$12.7 million higher than 2002. This increase was mainly driven by net capital expenditures incurred in connection with our relocation to new offices in the United Kingdom in 2003.

We utilized \$24.1 million in investing activities during the year ended December 31, 2002, a decrease of \$249.4 million compared to the year ended December 31, 2001. This decrease was primarily due to the prior year payment of the purchase price and related expenses associated with our acquisition of CB Richard Ellis Services in July 2001. Capital expenditures, net of concessions received, of \$14.3 million during the year ended December 31, 2002 were \$7.0 million lower than 2001, driven primarily by efforts to reduce spending and improve cash flows.

Financing Activities

Net cash provided by financing activities totaled \$303.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2003 compared to net cash used in financing activities of \$17.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2002. This increase was mainly attributable to the additional net debt and equity financing resulting from the Insignia acquisition.

Net cash used in financing activities totaled \$17.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2002 compared to cash provided by financing activities of \$340.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2001. This decrease was mainly attributable to the debt and equity financing required for our acquisition of CB Richard Ellis Services in 2001.

49

Indebtedness

Our substantial level of indebtedness increases the possibility that we may be unable to generate cash sufficient to pay when due the principal of, interest on or other amounts due in respect of our indebtedness. In addition, we may incur additional debt from time to time to finance strategic acquisitions, investments, joint ventures or for other purposes, subject to the restrictions contained in the documents governing our indebtedness. However, we currently do not have any specific acquisition plans. If we incur additional debt, the risks associated with our substantial leverage, including our ability to service our debt, would increase. For additional information regarding the terms of certain of our long-term indebtedness, see the information under the heading Description of Certain Long-Term Indebtedness.

Most of our long-term indebtedness was incurred in connection with our acquisition of CB Richard Ellis Services in July 2001 and our acquisition of Insignia. The CB Richard Ellis Services acquisition, which was a going private transaction involving members of our senior management, affiliates of Blum Capital Partners and Freeman Spogli & Co. and some of our other existing stockholders, was undertaken so that we could take advantage of growth opportunities and focus on improvements in the CB Richard Ellis Services businesses. The Insignia acquisition increased the scale of our real estate services and outsourcing services businesses as well as significantly increasing our presence in the New York, London and Paris metropolitan areas.

In order to partially fund our acquisition of CB Richard Ellis Services in 2001, we entered into a credit agreement with Credit Suisse First Boston, or CSFB, and other lenders and borrowed \$235.0 million of term loans on July 20, 2001. To partially fund our acquisition of Insignia Financial Group in 2003, we amended and restated this credit agreement and borrowed an aggregate of an additional \$75.0 million of term loans on July 23, 2003. On October 14, 2003, we refinanced all of the outstanding loans under our amended and restated credit agreement. As part of this refinancing, we entered into a new amended and restated credit agreement. The existing amended and restated credit agreement includes the following: (1) a term loan facility of \$300.0 million, which was fully drawn on October 14, 2003, requires quarterly principal payments of \$2.5 million through September 30, 2008 and matures on December 31, 2008; and (2) a \$90.0 million revolving credit facility, including revolving credit loans, letters of credit and a swingline loan facility, maturing on July 20, 2007. The revolving credit facility requires the repayment of any outstanding balance for a period of 45 consecutive days commencing on any day in the month of December of each year as determined by us. We repaid our revolving credit facility as of July 23, 2003 and November 5, 2002, and at December 31, 2003 and 2002, we had no revolving line of credit principal outstanding.

Borrowings under the term loan facility bear interest at varying rates based, at our option, on either LIBOR plus 3.25% or the alternate base rate plus 2.25%. Borrowings under the revolving credit facility bear interest at varying rates based on our option, at either the applicable LIBOR plus 3.00% to 3.75% or the alternate base rate plus 2.00% to 2.75%, in both cases as determined by reference to our ratio of total debt less available cash to EBITDA, which are defined in the amended and restated credit agreement. The alternate base rate is the higher of (1) CSFB s prime rate or (2) the Federal Funds Effective Rate plus one-half of one percent. In addition, we are required to pay a revolving credit facility fee based on the total amount of the unused commitment. The borrowings under the amended and restated credit agreement are jointly and severally guaranteed by us and substantially all of our domestic subsidiaries and are secured by a pledge of substantially all of our assets. The total amount outstanding under the term loan facilities included in senior secured term loans and current maturities of long-term debt in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets included elsewhere in this prospectus was \$297.5 million and \$221.0 million as of December 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively.

On May 22, 2003, CBRE Escrow, Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of CB Richard Ellis Services, issued \$200.0 million in aggregate principal amount of 9¾% senior notes due May 15, 2010. The proceeds of this issuance were placed in escrow pending the completion of the Insignia acquisition on July 23, 2003, on which date the proceeds were released from escrow in order to partially fund the acquisition, CBRE Escrow merged with and into CB Richard Ellis Services and CB Richard Ellis Services assumed all obligations with respect to

50

the 9¾% senior notes. The 9¾% senior notes are unsecured obligations of CB Richard Ellis Services, senior to all of its current and future unsecured indebtedness, but subordinated to all of CB Richard Ellis Services current and future secured indebtedness. The 9¾% senior notes are jointly and severally guaranteed on a senior basis by us and substantially all of our domestic subsidiaries. Interest accrues at a rate of 9¾% per year and is payable semi-annually in arrears on May 15 and November 15. The 9¾% senior notes are redeemable at our option, in whole or in part, on or after May 15, 2007 at 104.875% of par on that date and at declining prices thereafter. In addition, before May 15, 2006, we may redeem up to 35.0% of the originally issued amount of the 9¾% senior notes at 109¾% of par, plus accrued and unpaid interest, solely with the net cash proceeds from public equity offerings. In the event of a change of control, we are obligated to make an offer to purchase the 9¾% senior notes at a redemption price of 101.0% of the principal amount, plus accrued and unpaid interest. The amount of the 9¾% senior notes included in our audited consolidated balance sheets included elsewhere in this prospectus was \$200.0 million as of December 31, 2003.

In order to partially finance our acquisition of CB Richard Ellis Services in 2001, Blum CB Corp. issued \$229.0 million in aggregate principal amount of 11¼% senior subordinated notes due June 15, 2011 for approximately \$225.6 million, net of discount, on June 7, 2001. CB Richard Ellis Services assumed all obligations with respect to the 11¼% senior subordinated notes in connection with the merger of Blum CB with and into CB Richard Ellis Services on July 20, 2001. The 11¼% senior subordinated notes are jointly and severally guaranteed on a senior subordinated basis by us and substantially all of our domestic subsidiaries. The 11¼% senior subordinated notes require semi-annual payments of interest in arrears on June 15 and December 15 and are redeemable in whole or in part on or after June 15, 2006 at 105.625% of par on that date and at declining prices thereafter. In addition, before June 15, 2004, we may redeem up to 35.0% of the originally issued amount of the notes at 111¼% of par, plus accrued and unpaid interest, solely with the net cash proceeds from public equity offerings. In the event of a change of control, we are obligated to make an offer to purchase the 11¼% senior subordinated notes at a redemption price of 101.0% of the principal amount, plus accrued and unpaid interest. The amount of the 11¼% senior subordinated notes included in our audited consolidated balance sheets included elsewhere in this prospectus, net of unamortized discount, was \$226.2 million and \$225.9 million as of December 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively.

Also to partially fund our acquisition of CB Richard Ellis Services in 2001, we issued \$65.0 million in aggregate principal amount of 16% senior notes due July 20, 2011. The 16% senior notes are unsecured obligations, senior to all of our current and future unsecured indebtedness, but subordinated to all of our current and future secured indebtedness. Interest accrues at a rate of 16.0% per year and is payable quarterly in arrears. Interest may be paid in kind to the extent our ability to pay cash dividends is restricted by the terms of our amended and restated credit agreement. Additionally, interest in excess of 12.0% may, at our option, be paid in kind through July 2006. We elected to pay in kind the interest in excess of 12.0% that was payable on April 20, 2002, July 20, 2002, October 20, 2002, January 20, 2003 and April 20, 2003.

In the event of a change in control, we are obligated to make an offer to purchase all of our outstanding 16% senior notes at 101.0% of par. In addition, under the terms of the indenture governing the 16% senior notes, the notes are redeemable at our option, in whole or in part, at 116.0% of par commencing on July 20, 2001 and at declining prices thereafter. However, the restricted payments covenant in our amended and restated credit agreement prevents us from purchasing or redeeming the 16% senior notes unless the purchase or redemption falls within the specified exceptions to the covenant. On October 27, 2003 and December 29, 2003, we redeemed \$20.0 million and \$10.0 million, respectively, in aggregate principal amount of our 16% senior notes pursuant to these exceptions. We paid \$2.9 million of premiums in connection with these redemptions.

The amount of the 16% senior notes included in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets included elsewhere in this prospectus, net of unamortized discount, was \$35.5 million as of December 31, 2003. We expect to use our net proceeds from the offering to redeem the remaining 16% senior notes. The terms of our amended and restated credit agreement, however, currently would not permit us to complete the full amount of this redemption. Accordingly, we expect to enter into an amendment to the restricted payments covenant in such agreement prior to the completion of the offering in order to permit the full redemption to be completed.

Table of Contents

Our amended and restated credit agreement and the indentures governing our 16% senior notes, our 9¾% senior notes and our 11¼% senior subordinated notes each contain numerous restrictive covenants that, among other things, limit our ability to incur additional indebtedness, pay dividends or make distributions to stockholders, repurchase capital stock or debt, make investments, sell assets or subsidiary stock, engage in transactions with affiliates, enter into sale/leaseback transactions, issue subsidiary equity and enter into consolidations or mergers. Our amended and restated credit agreement also currently requires us to maintain a minimum coverage ratio of interest and certain fixed charges and a maximum leverage and senior secured leverage ratio of EBITDA to funded debt.

From time to time, Moody s Investor Service and Standard and Poor s Ratings Service rate our outstanding senior secured term loans, our 10 1/4% senior subordinated notes. Although neither the Moody s nor the Standard and Poor s ratings impact our ability to borrow or affect our interest rates for our senior secured term loans, they may impact our ability to borrow under new agreements in the future and the interest rates of any such future borrowings.

Our wholly owned subsidiary, L.J. Melody & Company, has a credit agreement with Residential Funding Corporation, or RFC, for the purpose of funding mortgage loans that will be resold. The agreement provides for a revolving warehouse line of credit of up to \$200.0 million, bears interest at one-month LIBOR plus 1.0% and expires on August 31, 2004 and all outstanding borrowings will be due unless it is extended. On June 25, 2003, the agreement was modified to provide a temporary revolving line of credit increase of \$200.0 million that resulted in a total line of credit equaling \$400.0 million, which expired on August 30, 2003. By amendment on November 14, 2003, the agreement was modified to provide a revolving line of credit increase of \$50.0 million that resulted in a total line of credit equaling \$250.0 million, which expires on August 31, 2004. We have a long-term business relationship with RFC and have entered into a number of amendments to the line of credit since its inception. Accordingly, we expect that we will reach a satisfactory amendment to extend the term of the agreement prior to its expiration on August 31, 2004. During the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively, we had a maximum of \$272.5 million and \$309.0 million revolving line of credit principal outstanding with RFC. At December 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively, we had a \$230.8 million and a \$63.1 million warehouse line of credit outstanding, which are included in short-term borrowings in our audited consolidated balance sheets included elsewhere in this prospectus. Additionally, we had a \$230.8 million and a \$63.1 million warehouse receivable representing mortgage loans funded through the line of credit that had not been purchased as of December 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively, which are also included in our audited consolidated balance sheets included elsewhere in this prospectus.

L.J. Melody & Company also has a credit agreement with JP Morgan Chase. The credit agreement provides for a revolving line of credit of up to \$20.0 million, bears interest at 1.0% in excess of the bank s cost of funds and expires on May 28, 2004. L.J. Melody uses this credit line from time to time to fund short-term investments in governmental and quasi-governmental instruments. Any such investments acquired by L.J. Melody are pledged as collateral for outstanding borrowings under the credit line. At December 31, 2003 and 2002, no amounts were outstanding under this line of credit.

In connection with our acquisition of Westmark Realty Advisors in 1995, which significantly expanded our investment management services business, we issued approximately \$20.0 million in aggregate principal amount of senior notes. The Westmark senior notes are secured by letters of credit equal to approximately 50% of the outstanding balance at December 31, 2003. The Westmark senior notes are redeemable at the discretion of the note holders and have final maturity dates of June 30, 2008 and June 30, 2010. During the year ended December 31, 2002, all of the Westmark senior notes bore interest at 9.0%. On January 1, 2003, the interest rate on some of these notes was converted to varying rates equal to the interest rate in effect with respect to amounts outstanding under our credit agreement. On January 1, 2005, the interest rate on all of the other Westmark senior notes will be adjusted to equal the interest rate then in effect with respect to amounts outstanding under our credit agreement. The amount of the Westmark senior notes included in short-term borrowings in our audited consolidated balance sheets included elsewhere in this prospectus was \$12.1 million as of December 31, 2003 and 2002.

Insignia, which we acquired in July 2003, issued loan notes as partial consideration for previous acquisitions of businesses in the United Kingdom, which was part of Insignia s business strategy of increasing its presence in that country. The acquisition loan notes are payable to the sellers of the previously acquired U.K. businesses and are secured by restricted cash deposits in approximately the same amount. The acquisition loan notes are redeemable semi-annually at the discretion of the note holder and have a final maturity date of April 2010. As of December 31, 2003, \$12.2 million of the acquisition loan notes were outstanding, which are included in short-term borrowings in our audited consolidated balance sheets included elsewhere in this prospectus.

A significant number of our subsidiaries in Europe have had a Euro cash pool loan since 2001, which is used to fund their short-term liquidity needs. The Euro cash pool loan is an overdraft line for our European operations issued by HSBC Bank. The Euro cash pool loan has no stated maturity date and bears interest at varying rates based on a base rate as defined by the bank plus 2.5%. The amount of the Euro cash pool loan included in short-term borrowings in our audited consolidated balance sheets included elsewhere in this prospectus was \$11.5 million and \$7.9 million as of December 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively.

During 2001, a joint venture that we consolidate incurred \$37.2 million of non-recourse debt to acquire a real estate investment in Japan. The debt is secured by a mortgage on the acquired real estate asset. During the third quarter of 2003, the maturity date on this debt was extended to July 31, 2008. In our accompanying consolidated balance sheets, this debt comprised \$41.8 million of our other long-term debt as of December 31, 2003 and \$40.0 million of our other short-term borrowings as of December 31, 2002. Additionally, during the third quarter of 2003, this joint venture incurred an additional \$1.9 million of non-recourse mortgage debt with a maturity date of June 15, 2004. As of December 31, 2003, \$2.0 million of this non-recourse debt is included in short-term borrowings in our audited consolidated balance sheet included elsewhere in this prospectus.

Deferred Compensation Plan Obligations

Each participant in our deferred compensation plan, or DCP, is allowed to defer a portion of his or her compensation for distribution generally either after his or her employment with us ends or on a future date at least three years after the deferral election date. The investment alternatives available to participants include two interest index funds and an insurance fund in which gains and losses on deferrals are measured by one or more of approximately 30 mutual funds. In addition, prior to our acquisition of CB Richard Ellis Services in 2001, participants were entitled to invest their deferrals in stock fund units that entitled the participants to receive future distributions of shares of CB Richard Ellis Services common stock. As of March 1, 2004, there were 1,129,181 shares underlying outstanding stock fund units under the DCP, which shares are issuable in connection with future distributions under the plan pursuant to the elections made by plan participants and the stock fund units with respect to 702,980 of such shares were vested. Except for the stock funds units, all deferrals under the DCP represent obligations to make future cash payments. The deferred compensation liability in the accompanying balance sheets included elsewhere in this prospectus was \$138.0 million and \$106.3 million at December 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively.

Effective January 1, 2004, we closed the DCP to new participants. Currently, the DCP is accepting compensation deferrals from participants who have a balance, meet the eligibility requirements and elect to participate, up to a maximum annual contribution amount of \$250,000 per participant. We are currently reviewing the future status of this plan.

Because a substantial majority of the deferrals under the DCP have a distribution date based upon the end of the relevant participant s employment with us, we have an ongoing obligation to make distributions to these participants as they leave our employment. As the level of employee departures is not predictable, the timing of these obligations also is not predictable. Accordingly, we may face significant unexpected cash funding obligations in the future if a larger number of our employees leave our employment than we expect.

53

Pension Liability

Our subsidiaries based in the United Kingdom maintain two defined benefit pension plans to provide retirement benefits to existing and former employees participating in the plans. With respect to these plans, our historical policy has been to contribute annually an amount to fund pension cost as actuarially determined by an independent pension consulting firm and as required by applicable laws and regulations. Our contributions to these plans are invested and, if these investments do not perform in the future as well as we expect, we will be required to provide additional funding to cover the shortfall. The pension liability in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets included elsewhere in this prospectus was \$36.0 million and \$10.8 million at December 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively.

Other Obligations and Commitments

In connection with the sale of real estate investment assets by Insignia to Island Fund on July 23, 2003, Insignia agreed to maintain letter of credit support for real estate investment assets that were subject to the purchase agreement until the earlier of (1) the third anniversary of the completion of the sale, (2) the date on which the letter of credit is no longer required pursuant to the applicable real estate investment asset agreement or (3) the completion of a sale of the relevant underlying real estate investment asset. As of December 31, 2003, an aggregate of approximately \$10.2 million of this letter of credit support remained outstanding under the purchase agreement. Also in connection with the sale, Insignia agreed to maintain a \$1.3 million guarantee of a repayment obligation with respect to one of the real estate investment assets. Island Fund agreed to reimburse us for 50% of any draws against these letters of credit or the repayment guarantee while they are outstanding and delivered a letter of credit to us in the amount of approximately \$2.9 million as security for Island Fund s reimbursement obligation. As a result of this reimbursement obligation, we effectively retain potential liability for 50% of any future draws against these letters of credit and the repayment guarantee. However, there can be no assurance that Island Fund will be able to reimburse us in the event of any draws against the letters of credit or the repayment guarantee or that Island Fund s future reimbursement obligations will not exceed the amount of the letter of credit provided to us by Island Fund.

L.J. Melody & Company previously executed an agreement with Federal National Mortgage Association, or Fannie Mae, to initially fund the purchase of a commercial mortgage loan portfolio using proceeds from its RFC line of credit. Subsequently, a 100% participation in the loan portfolio was sold to Fannie Mae and we retained the credit risk on the first 2% of losses incurred on the underlying portfolio of commercial mortgage loans. The current loan portfolio balance is \$98.6 million and we have collateralized a portion of our obligations to cover the first 1% of losses through a letter of credit in favor of Fannie Mae for a total of approximately \$1.0 million. The other 1% is covered in the form of a guarantee to Fannie Mae.

We had outstanding letters of credit totaling \$22.6 million as of December 31, 2003, excluding letters of credit securing our outstanding indebtedness. Approximately \$10.8 million of these letters of credit secure certain office leases and are outstanding pursuant to the revolving credit facility under our amended and restated credit agreement. An additional \$10.8 million of these letters of credit were issued pursuant to the terms of the purchase agreement with Island Fund described above and are outstanding pursuant to a reimbursement agreement with the Bank of Nova Scotia. Under this agreement, we may issue up to a maximum of approximately \$11.0 million of letters of credit outstanding at any one time and the outstanding letters of credit are secured by the same assets of ours that secure our amended and restated credit agreement. The remaining outstanding letter of credit, which is for the Fannie Mae agreement as described above, was issued pursuant to a credit agreement with Wells Fargo Bank. We may issue up to a maximum of \$8 million of letters of credit outstanding at any one time under this agreement, and it is also secured by the same assets of ours that secure our amended and restated credit agreement. The outstanding letters of credit as of December 31, 2003 expire at varying dates through August 31, 2004. However, we are obligated to renew the letters of credit related to the revolving credit facility until 2023, the letters of credit related to the Island Fund purchase agreement until as late as July 23, 2006 and the Fannie Mae letter of credit until our obligation to cover potential credit losses is satisfied.

54

Table of Contents

We had guarantees totaling \$10.6 million as of December 31, 2003, which consisted primarily of guarantees of property debt, as well as the obligations to Island Fund and Fannie Mae discussed above. Generally, the guarantees remain outstanding until certain conditions have been satisfied.

An important part of the strategy for our investment management business involves investing our capital in certain real estate investments with our clients. As of December 31, 2003, we had committed \$26.6 million to fund future co-investments. We expect that approximately \$23 million of these commitments will be funded during 2004. In addition to required future capital contributions, some of the co-investment entities may request additional capital from us and our subsidiaries holding investments in those assets and the failure to provide these contributions could have adverse consequences to our interests in these investments.

As a result of the completion of the offering, we will incur an aggregate of \$15.0 million of compensation expenses relating to bonus payments that are payable to several of our non-executive real estate services employees pursuant to their employment agreements.

Seasonality

A significant portion of our revenue is seasonal, which affects your ability to compare our financial condition and results of operations on a quarter-by-quarter basis. Historically, this seasonality has caused our revenue, operating income, net income and cash flow from operating activities to be lower in the first two quarters and higher in the third and fourth quarters of each year. The concentration of earnings and cash flow in the fourth quarter is due to an industry-wide focus on completing transactions toward the fiscal year-end. This has historically resulted in lower profits or a loss in the first and second quarters, with profits growing or losses decreasing in each subsequent quarter.

Inflation

Our commissions and other variable costs related to revenue are primarily affected by real estate market supply and demand, which may be affected by general economic conditions including inflation. However, to date, we do not believe that general inflation has had a material impact upon our operations.

Application of Critical Accounting Policies

Our consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, which require management to make estimates and assumptions that affect reported amounts. The estimates and assumptions are based on historical experience and on other factors that management believes to be reasonable. Actual results may differ from those estimates under different assumptions or conditions. We believe that the following critical accounting policies represent the areas where more significant judgments and estimates are used in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements:

Revenue Recognition

We record real estate commissions on sales upon close of escrow or upon transfer of title. Real estate commissions on leases are generally recorded as income once we satisfy all obligations under the commission agreement. A typical commission agreement provides that we earn a portion of the lease commission upon the execution of the lease agreement by the tenant, while the remaining portion(s) of the lease commission is earned at a later date, usually upon tenant occupancy. The existence of any significant future contingencies will result in the delay of recognition of revenue until such contingencies are satisfied. For example, if we do not earn all or a portion of the lease commission until the tenant pays its first month s rent, and the lease agreement provides the tenant with a free rent period, we delay revenue recognition until cash rent is paid by the tenant. Investment management and property management fees are recognized when earned under the provisions of the related agreements. Appraisal fees are recorded after services have been rendered. Loan origination fees are recognized at the time the loan closes and we have no significant remaining obligations for performance in connection with

the transaction, while loan servicing fees are recorded to revenue as monthly principal and interest payments are collected from mortgagors. Other commissions, consulting fees and referral fees are recorded as income at the time the related services have been performed unless significant future contingencies exist.

In establishing the appropriate provisions for trade receivables, we make assumptions with respect to their future collectibility. Our assumptions are based on an individual assessment of a customer s credit quality as well as subjective factors and trends, including the aging of receivables balances. In addition to these individual assessments, in general, outstanding trade accounts receivable amounts that are more than 180 days overdue are fully provided for.

Principles of Consolidation

Our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this prospectus include our accounts and those of our majority owned subsidiaries. Additionally, the consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this prospectus include the accounts of CB Richard Ellis Services prior to the date we acquired it in 2001, as CB Richard Ellis Services is considered our predecessor for purposes of Regulation S-X. The equity attributable to minority shareholders interests in subsidiaries is shown separately in our consolidated balance sheets included elsewhere in this prospectus. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Our investments in unconsolidated subsidiaries in which we have the ability to exercise significant influence over operating and financial policies, but do not control, are accounted for under the equity method. Accordingly, our share of the earnings of these equity-method basis companies is included in consolidated net income. All other investments held on a long-term basis are valued at cost less any impairment in value.

Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

Goodwill mainly represents the excess of the purchase price paid by us over the fair value of the tangible and intangible assets and liabilities acquired in our acquisition of CB Richard Ellis Services in 2001 and our acquisition of Insignia Financial Group in 2003. Other intangible assets include trademarks, which were separately identified as a result of the 2001 acquisition, as well as a trade name separately identified as a result of the Insignia acquisition representing the Richard Ellis trade name in the United Kingdom that was owned by Insignia prior to the Insignia acquisition. Both the trademarks and the trade name are not being amortized and have indefinite estimated useful lives. Other intangible assets also include backlog, which represents the fair value of Insignia s net revenue backlog as of July 23, 2003 that was acquired as part of the Insignia acquisition. The net revenue backlog consists of the net commission receivable on Insignia s revenue producing transactions, which were at various stages of completion prior to the Insignia acquisition. Net revenue backlog is being amortized as cash is received or upon final closing of these pending transactions. The remaining other intangible assets primarily include management contracts, loan servicing rights, franchise agreements and a trade name, which are all being amortized on a straight-line basis over estimated useful lives ranging up to 20 years.

We fully adopted SFAS No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*, effective January 1, 2002. This statement requires us to perform at least annually an assessment of impairment of goodwill and other intangible assets deemed to have indefinite useful lives based on assumptions and estimates of fair value and future cash flow information. We perform an annual assessment of our goodwill and other intangible assets deemed to have indefinite lives for impairment based in part on a third-party valuation as of the beginning of the fourth quarter of each year. We also assess goodwill and other intangible assets deemed to have indefinite useful lives for impairment when events or circumstances indicate that their carrying value may not be recoverable from future cash flows. We completed our required annual impairment tests as of October 1, 2003 and 2002 and determined that no impairment existed as of those dates.

New Accounting Pronouncements

In January 2003, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued FASB Interpretation No. 46, or FIN 46, *Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities*. This standard clarifies the application of Accounting Research Bulletin No. 51, *Consolidated Financial Statements*, and addresses consolidation by business enterprises of variable interest entities. FIN 46 requires existing unconsolidated variable interest entities to be consolidated by their primary beneficiaries if the entities do not effectively disperse risk among the parties involved. This statement is immediately effective for variable interest entities created or in which an enterprise obtains an interest after January 31, 2003.

In December 2003, the FASB issued a revised version of FIN 46, or FIN 46R. Among other things, the revision clarifies the definition of a variable interest entity, exempts most entities that are businesses from the scope of FIN 46R and delays the effective date of the revised standard to no later than the end of the first reporting period ending after December 15, 2003 for special purpose entities and March 15, 2004 for all other types of entities. The adoption of this interpretation has not had, and is not expected to have, a material impact on our financial position or results of operations.

In April 2003, the FASB issued SFAS No. 149, Amendment to Statement 133 on Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities. SFAS No. 149 amends and clarifies accounting for derivative instruments, including certain derivative instruments embedded in other contracts, and for hedging activities under SFAS No. 133. SFAS No. 149 is applied prospectively and is effective for contracts entered into or modified after June 30, 2003, except for SFAS No. 133 implementation issues that have been effective for fiscal quarters that began prior to June 15, 2003 and certain provisions relating to forward purchases and sales on securities that do not yet exist. The adoption of this statement has not had a material impact on our financial position or results of operations.

In May 2003, the FASB issued SFAS No. 150, Accounting for Certain Financial Instruments with Characteristics of both Liabilities and Equity. SFAS No. 150 establishes standards for the classification and measurement of financial instruments with characteristics of both liabilities and equity. The financial instruments affected include mandatorily redeemable stock, certain financial instruments that require or may require the issuer to buy back some of its shares in exchange for cash or other assets and certain obligations that can be settled with shares of stock. SFAS No. 150 is effective for all financial instruments entered into or modified after May 31, 2003 and must be applied to our existing financial instruments effective July 1, 2003. On October 29, 2003, the FASB deferred indefinitely the provisions of paragraphs 9 and 10 and related guidance in the appendices of this pronouncement as they apply to mandatorily redeemable noncontrolling interests. The adoption of the effective provisions of SFAS No. 150 have not had a material impact on our financial position or results of operations.

In December 2003, the FASB issued a revised version of SFAS No. 132 *Employers Disclosures about Pensions and Other Postretirement Benefits*. The revised statement retains the disclosure requirements contained in SFAS No. 132 and requires additional disclosures about the assets, obligations, cash flows and net periodic benefit cost of defined benefit pension plans and other defined benefit postretirement plans. We have adopted this statement for the year ended December 31, 2003. In addition, we expect to adopt additional disclosures for our U.K. pension plans during 2004.

Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Our exposure to market risk consists of foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations related to our international operations and changes in interest rates on debt obligations.

Exchange Rates

Approximately 30.2% of our business was transacted in local currencies of foreign countries for the year ended December 31, 2003, the majority of which included the Euro, the British Pound Sterling, the Hong Kong

57

dollar, the Singapore dollar and the Australian dollar. We attempt to manage our exposure primarily by balancing assets and liabilities, and maintaining cash positions in foreign countries only at levels necessary for operating purposes. However, we do not enter into agreements to hedge the risks associated with translation of foreign currencies into U.S. dollars. As a result, fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates affect reported amounts of our total assets and liabilities, which are reflected in our financial statements as translated into U.S. dollars for each financial reporting period at the exchange rate in effect on the respective balance sheet dates, and our total revenues and expenses, which are reflected in our financial statements as translated into U.S. dollars for each financial reporting period at the monthly average exchange rate. For example, during 2003, the U.S. dollar dropped against many of the currencies in which we conduct business. As a result, during 2003 foreign currency translation had a \$54.4 million positive impact on our total revenue and a \$47.3 million negative impact on our total costs of services and operating, administrative and other expenses.

We routinely monitor our exposure to currency exchange rate changes in connection with transactions and sometimes enter into foreign currency exchange forward and option contracts to limit our exposure to such transactions, as appropriate. We apply Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, as amended by SFAS No. 138, Accounting for Certain Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, when accounting for any such contracts. In the normal course of business, we also sometimes utilize derivative financial instruments in the form of foreign currency exchange forward contracts to mitigate foreign currency exchange exposure resulting from intercompany loans. In all cases, we view derivative financial instruments as a risk management tool and, accordingly, do not engage in any speculative activities with respect to foreign currency. At March 1, 2004, we had foreign currency exchange forward contracts with an aggregate notional amount of \$36.5 million, which mature on various dates through December 31, 2004. The net impact on our earnings resulting from unrealized gains or losses on these foreign currency exchange forward contracts is not significant.

Interest Rates

We manage our interest expense by using a combination of fixed and variable rate debt. Our fixed and variable rate long-term debt at December 31, 2003 consisted of the following:

| Year of Maturity | Fixed Rate | One-Month Yen LIBOR +3.5% | One-Month LIBOR +1.0% | Six-Month LIBOR +3.25% | Interest Rate Range of 1.0% to 6.25% | Rate Six-Month Range of Yen 1.0% to LIBOR | | Total | |
|--------------------------------|---------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|--|---|----------|--------------|--|
| | | | | (Dollars in thou | ısands) | | | | |
| 2004 | \$ 20,445 | \$ | \$ 230,790 | \$ 12,006 (1) | \$ 12,663 | \$ 373 | \$ 5,145 | \$ 281,422 | |
| 2005 | 367 | | | 10,000 | | | | 10,367 | |
| 2006 | 17 | | 10,000 | | | | | | |
| 2007 | 17 | | 10,000 10,017 | | | | | | |
| 2008 | 17 | 41,753 | 257,500 (2) 299,270 | | | | | | |
| Thereafter (3) | 461,749 | | | | | | | 461,749 | |
| Total | \$ 482,612 | \$ 41,753 | \$ 230,790 | \$ 299,506 | \$ 12,663 | \$ 373 | \$ 5,145 | \$ 1,072,842 | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Weighted average interest rate | 10.8% | 3.9% | 2.1% | 4.4% | 5.5% | 3.8% | 1.5% | 6.8% | |
| interest rate | 10.070 | 3.770 | 2.1 /0 | 4.470 | 3.5 70 | 3.6 /6 | 1.5 /6 | 0.070 | |

⁽¹⁾ Includes \$10.0 million relating to our senior secured credit facilities and \$2.0 million related to our Westmark senior notes (see note 12 to our audited consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this prospectus).

- (2) Consists of amounts due under our senior secured credit facilities.
- (3) Primarily includes our 11¼% senior subordinated notes, 9¾% senior notes and 16% senior notes.

58

Table of Contents

We utilize sensitivity analyses to assess the potential effect of our variable rate debt. If interest rates were to increase by 35 basis points, approximately 10% of the weighted average variable rate at December 31, 2003, the net impact would be a decrease of \$2.1 million on annual pre-tax income and cash provided by operating activities for the year ended December 31, 2003.

Based on dealers quotes at December 31, 2003, the estimated fair values of our 93/4% senior notes and 111/4% senior subordinated notes were \$222.0 million and \$256.5 million, respectively. There was no trading activity for our 16% senior notes due in 2011. The carrying value of our 16% senior notes as of December 31, 2003 totaled \$35.5 million. Estimated fair values for the term loans under our senior secured credit facilities and our remaining long-term debt are not presented because we believe that they are not materially different from book value, primarily because the majority of our remaining debt is based on variable rates that approximate terms that could be obtained at December 31, 2003.

We historically have not entered into agreements with third parties for the purpose of hedging our exposure to changes in interest rates. Although we do not have any current intentions to enter into such agreements in the future, we may do so in connection with our on-going assessment of our interest rate exposure. If we do enter into any such agreements, we would do so for risk management purposes only and not to engage in speculative activities with respect to interest rates. We would apply Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, as amended by SFAS No. 138, Accounting for Certain Derivative Instruments and Certain Hedging Activities, when accounting for any such derivatives.

59

BUSINESS

Overview

We are the largest global commercial real estate services firm, based on 2003 revenue, offering a full range of services to occupiers, owners, lenders and investors in office, retail, industrial, multi-family and other commercial real estate assets. As of December 31, 2003, we operated in 48 countries with over 13,500 employees in 220 offices providing commercial real estate services under the CB Richard Ellis brand name. Our business is focused on several service competencies, including strategic advice and execution assistance for property leasing and sales, forecasting, valuations, origination and servicing of commercial mortgage loans, facilities and project management and real estate investment management. We generate revenues both on a per project or transaction basis and from annual management fees. For the year ended December 31, 2003, approximately 87.3% of our revenue related to engagements us on a per project or transaction basis and approximately 12.7% of our revenue related to ongoing management fee engagements.

We have a well-balanced, highly diversified base of clients that includes more than 60% of the *Fortune 100*. Many of our clients are consolidating their commercial real estate-related expenditures with fewer providers and, as a result, awarding their business to those providers that have a strong presence in important markets and the ability to provide a complete range of services worldwide. As a result of this trend and our ability to deliver comprehensive solutions for our clients needs across a wide range of markets, we believe we are well positioned to capture a growing percentage of our clients commercial real estate services expenditures.

Our History

We trace our roots to a San Francisco-based firm formed in 1906 that grew to become one of the largest commercial real estate services firms in the western United States during the 1940s. In the 1960s and 70s, the company expanded both its service portfolio and geographic coverage to become a full-service provider with a growing presence throughout the United States.

In 1989, employees and third-party investors acquired the company s operations to form CB Commercial. Throughout the 1990s, CB Commercial moved aggressively to accelerate growth and cultivate global capabilities to meet client demands. The company acquired leading firms in investment management (Westmark Realty Advisors now CB Richard Ellis Investors, in 1995), mortgage banking (L.J. Melody & Company, in 1996) and property and corporate facilities management, as well as capital markets and investment management (Koll Real Estate Services, in 1997). In 1996, CB Commercial became a public company.

In 1998, the company, then known as CB Commercial Real Estate Services Group, achieved significant global expansion with the acquisition of REI Limited. REI Limited, which traces its roots to London in 1773, was the holding company for all Richard Ellis operations outside of the United Kingdom. Following the REI Limited acquisition, the company changed its name to CB Richard Ellis Services, Inc. and, later in 1998, acquired the London-based firm of Hillier Parker May & Rowden, one of the top property services firms operating in the United Kingdom. With these acquisitions, we believe we became the first real estate services firm with a platform to deliver integrated real estate services across the world s major business capitals through one commonly-owned, commonly-managed company.

CB Richard Ellis Group, Inc., which was initially known as Blum CB Holding Corp. and later as CBRE Holding, Inc., was formed by an affiliate of Blum Capital Partners, L.P. as a Delaware corporation on February 20, 2001 for the purpose of acquiring all of the outstanding stock

of CB Richard Ellis Services in a going private transaction. This transaction, which involved members of our senior management team and affiliates of Blum Capital Partners and Freeman Spogli & Co., was completed in July 2001.

In July 2003, our global position was further solidified as CB Richard Ellis Services and Insignia Financial Group, Inc. were brought together to form a premier, worldwide, full-service real estate company. As a result of the Insignia acquisition, we now operate globally under the CB Richard Ellis brand name, which we believe is

60

a well-recognized brand in virtually all of the world skey business centers. Lastly, in order to enhance our financing flexibility and to provide liquidity for some of our stockholders, in February 2004 we filed a registration statement, which included this prospectus, with the Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC, for an initial public offering of our common stock.

Our Corporate Structure

We are a holding company and conduct all of our operations through our indirect subsidiaries. Our directly-owned subsidiary CB Richard Ellis Services is also generally a holding company and is the primary obligor or issuer with respect to most of our long-term indebtedness, including our senior secured credit facilities, our 9\%% senior notes due 2010 and our 11\%% senior subordinated notes due 2011.

In our Americas segment described below, substantially all of our advisory services and outsourcing services operations, other than mortgage loan origination and servicing, are conducted through our indirect wholly owned subsidiaries CB Richard Ellis Real Estate Services, Inc., which we acquired in connection with the Insignia acquisition and was formerly known as Insignia/ESG, Inc. and CB Richard Ellis Inc. Our mortgage loan origination and servicing operations are conducted exclusively through our indirect wholly owned subsidiary, L.J. Melody & Company, and its subsidiaries. Our investment management business in our Americas segment is conducted almost entirely through our indirect wholly owned subsidiary CB Richard Ellis Investors, L.L.C. Our operations in Canada are primarily conducted through our indirect wholly owned subsidiary CB Richard Ellis Limited.

Our operations outside the Americas segment, including both our Europe, Middle East and Africa, and Asia-Pacific segments described below, are conducted through a number of indirect wholly owned subsidiaries. The most significant of such subsidiaries in Europe, Middle East and Africa include CB Richard Ellis Ltd. and Insignia Richard Ellis Europe Limited (the United Kingdom), CB Richard Ellis SA and Insignia France SARL (France), CB Richard Ellis SA (Spain) and CB Richard Ellis, B.V. (The Netherlands). The most significant of such subsidiaries in Asia-Pacific include CB Richard Ellis Pty Ltd. (Australia), CB Richard Ellis (Agency) Ltd. (New Zealand), CB Richard Ellis Ltd. (Hong Kong) and CB Richard Ellis Pte Ltd. (Singapore).

Industry Overview

Our business addresses all of the various services that comprise the commercial real estate services industry, which includes leasing, sales, investment management, property management, facilities management, consulting, mortgage origination and servicing and valuation and appraisal services. We estimate the U.S. market for all these services generated an aggregate of approximately \$27 billion in revenue in 2003, representing approximately one-third of the total global market for commercial real estate services. Based upon average rental rates and total stock of office and industrial space, we also estimate that the U.S. commercial real estate services market grew at a compound annual growth rate of 3.4% from 1991 through 2003, and we expect this market to grow to approximately \$32 billion in revenue by 2006, representing a compound annual growth rate of 5.8%.

During the next few years, we believe the key drivers of revenue growth for the largest commercial real estate services companies will be: (1) the continued outsourcing of commercial real estate services, (2) the consolidation of clients—activities with fewer providers and (3) the increasing institutional ownership of commercial real estate.

Outsourcing

Motivated by reduced costs, lower overhead, improved execution across markets, increased operational efficiency and a desire to focus on their core competencies, property owners and occupiers have increasingly contracted out for commercial real estate services, including the following:

Transaction management oversight of purchase and sale of properties, execution of lease transactions, renewal of leases, expansions and relocation of offices and disposition of surplus space;

61

Facilities management oversight of all the operations associated with the functioning of occupied real estate, whether owned and leased, including engineering services, janitorial services, security services, landscaping and capital improvements and directing and monitoring of various subcontractors;

Project management oversight of the design and construction of interior space (as distinct from building design and construction), including assembling and coordinating contracting teams, and creating and managing budgets;

Lease administration analysis of all real estate leases of a client to ensure that it is in compliance with all terms and maintenance of reports on all lease data, including critical dates such as renewal options, expansion options and termination options, performance of required services and proper charging or payment for costs;

Property Management oversight of the daily operation of a single property or portfolio of properties, including tenant service/relations and bidding, awarding and administering subcontracts for maintenance, landscaping, security, parking, capital and tenant improvements to implement the owner s specific property value enhancement objectives through maximization of cash flow; and

Property Accounting performance of all of the accounting and financial reporting associated with a property or portfolio, including operating budget and expenses, rent collection and other accounts receivable, accounts payable, capital and tenant improvements and tenant lease administration.

According to an Ernst & Young study of major corporations published in the Fall of 2002, 57% of the subject corporations retained third-party service providers for transaction management services, 46% outsourced their lease administration functions and 37% outsourced their facilities management functions. We believe this represents an increase from historical outsourcing of these functions, and we expect this outsourcing trend to continue.

Consolidation

Despite recent consolidation, the commercial real estate services industry remains highly fragmented. Other than the limited number of national and international real estate services firms with whom we compete in a number of service competencies, most firms within the industry are local or regional firms that are substantially smaller than us on an overall basis, although in some cases have a larger local presence in certain competencies. We believe that major property owners and corporate users are motivated to consolidate their service provider relationships on a regional, national and global basis to obtain more consistent execution across markets, to achieve economies of scale and enhanced purchasing power and to benefit from streamlined management oversight and the efficiency of single point of contact service delivery. As a result, we believe large owners and occupiers are awarding a disproportionate share of this business to the larger real estate services providers, particularly those that provide a full suite of services across geographical boundaries.

Institutional Ownership of Commercial Real Estate

Institutional owners, such as real estate investment trusts, or REITs, pension funds, foreign institutions and other financial entities, increasingly are acquiring more real estate assets and financing them in the capital markets. Total U.S. real estate assets held by institutional owners increased to \$423 billion in 2003 from \$223 billion in 1994. REITs were the main drivers of this growth, with a portfolio increase of more than 400% over this time period. Pension fund assets also grew by 48% and foreign institutions augmented their U.S. real estate investments by 77%. We believe it is likely that these owners will consolidate their use of commercial real estate services vendors and outsource management of their portfolios.

Our Regions of Operation and Principal Services

We have organized our business and report our results of operations through three geographically organized segments: (1) the Americas, (2) Europe, Middle East and Africa, or EMEA, and (3) Asia Pacific. Within our Americas segment, we organize our services into the following business areas in order to maximize synergies

62

and cross-selling opportunities among our clients: (a) advisory services, (b) outsourcing services and (c) investment management services.

Information regarding revenue and operating income or loss, attributable to each of our segments, is included in Segment Operations within the Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations section of this prospectus and within note 21 to our audited consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this prospectus. Information concerning the identifiable assets of each of our business segments is set forth in note 21 to our audited consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this prospectus.

The Americas

The Americas is our largest segment of operations and provides a comprehensive range of services throughout the United States and in the largest metropolitan regions in Canada, Mexico and other selected parts of Latin America. Our Americas segment accounted for 73.5% of our 2003 revenue, 76.6% of our 2002 revenue and 79.3% of our 2001 revenue.

Advisory Services

Corporations, institutions and other users of real estate services have been increasingly consolidating their relationships with fewer service providers that have depth of resources, full array of services and broad geographic reach. We believe our advisory services businesses have been at the vanguard of this trend, offering occupier/tenant and investor/owner services that meet the full spectrum of marketplace needs, including (1) real estate services, (2) mortgage loan origination and servicing and (3) valuation. Our advisory services business line accounted for 59.7% of our 2003 revenue, 60.5% of our 2002 revenue and 61.3% of our 2001 revenue.

Within advisory services, our major service lines are the following:

Real Estate Services. We provide strategic advice and execution assistance to owners, investors and occupiers of real estate in connection with leasing, disposition and acquisition of property. These businesses are built upon strong client relationships that frequently lead to recurring revenue opportunities over many years. Our real estate services professionals are particularly adept at aligning real estate strategies with client business objectives, serving as an advisor as well as transaction executor. During 2003, on a pro forma basis, we advised on nearly 23,000 lease transactions involving aggregate rents of approximately \$27.3 billion and more than 4,700 real estate sales transactions with an aggregate value of approximately \$27.6 billion. We hold the leading commercial real estate services market position in many of the top U.S. metropolitan statistical areas (as defined by the U.S. Census Bureau), including in terms of 2003 office leasing transactions in New York and Philadelphia; property sales and leasing transactions in Atlanta; and leased square footage in Chicago, Boston and Dallas.

Our advice and execution assistance professionals are compensated primarily through commission-based programs, which are payable upon completion of the assignment. Therefore, as compensation is one of our largest expenses, this flexible cost structure permits us to mitigate the negative effect on our operating margins during difficult market conditions. Due to the low barriers to entry and significant competition for quality employees, we strive to retain top professionals through an attractive compensation program tied to productivity.

We further strengthen our relationships with our real estate services clients by offering proprietary research to clients through our Torto Wheaton Research unit, a leading provider of commercial real estate market information, forecasting and consulting services. Torto Wheaton Research provides data and analysis to its clients in various formats, including TWR Outlook reports for office, industrial, hotel, retail and multi-housing sectors covering 56 U.S. metropolitan areas and TWR Select office and industrial database coverage of over 210,000 commercial properties.

63

Mortgage Loan Origination and Servicing. Our L.J. Melody & Company subsidiary originates and services commercial mortgage loans primarily through relationships established with investment banking firms, national banks, credit companies, insurance companies, pension funds and government agencies. During 2003, L.J. Melody originated \$11.0 billion in mortgage loans and, through a joint venture with GE Capital Real Estate, serviced approximately \$61.0 billion in mortgage loans, \$23.2 billion of which relates to servicing rights of L.J. Melody. Approximately \$1.4 billion in loans were originated for federal government sponsored entities using a revolving credit line dedicated exclusively for this purpose. These loan originations generally occur without principal risk because L.J. Melody obtains a legally binding purchase commitment from the government sponsored entity before it actually originates the loan.

Valuation. We provide valuation services that include market value appraisals, litigation support, discounted cash flow analyses and feasibility and fairness opinions. Our valuation business has developed proprietary technology for preparing and delivering valuation reports to its clients, which we believe provides it with a competitive advantage over its rivals. We believe that our valuation business is one of the largest in our industry. During 2003, on a pro forma basis, we completed over 11,500 valuation, appraisal and advisory assignments.

Outsourcing Services

Outsourcing is a long-term trend in commercial real estate, with corporations, institutions and others seeking to achieve improved efficiency, better execution and lower costs by relying on the expertise of third-party real estate specialists. Our outsourcing services business includes two business lines that seek to capitalize on this trend: (1) asset services and (2) corporate services. Although our management agreements with our outsourcing clients generally may be terminated on relatively short notice ranging between 30 days to a year, we have developed long-term relationships with many of these clients and we continue to work closely with them to implement their specific goals and objectives and to preserve and expand upon these relationships. As of December 31, 2003, we managed approximately 422.8 million square feet of commercial space for property owners and occupiers, which we believe represents one of the largest portfolios in the Americas. Our outsourcing services business line accounted for 11.2% of our 2003 revenue, 13.1% of our 2002 revenue and 14.7% of our 2001 revenue.

Asset Services. We provide property management, construction management, marketing, leasing, accounting and financial services on a contractual basis for income-producing office, industrial and retail properties owned by local, regional and institutional investors. We believe our contractual relationships with these clients put us in an advantageous position to provide other services for them, including refinancing, disposition and appraisal.

Corporate Services. We provide a comprehensive set of portfolio management, transaction management, project management, strategic consulting, facilities management and other corporate real estate services to leading global companies and public sector institutions with large, geographically-diverse real estate portfolios. Corporate facilities under management in the Americas region include headquarters buildings, regional offices, administrative offices and manufacturing and distribution facilities. Corporate services clients are typically companies or public sector institutions with large, distributed real estate portfolios. We enter into long-term, contractual relationships with these organizations with the goal of ensuring that our clients real estate strategies support their overall business strategies.

Investment Management Services

Our wholly owned subsidiary, CB Richard Ellis Investors, L.L.C., provides investment management services to clients that include pension plans, investment funds, insurance companies and other organizations seeking to generate returns and diversification through investment in real estate and sponsors funds and investment programs that span the risk/return spectrum. In higher yield strategies, CBRE Investors co-invests with its clients/partners. Our investment management services business line accounted for 2.6% of our 2003 revenue, 3.0% of our 2002 revenue and 3.3% of our 2001 revenue.

64

CBRE Investors is organized into three general client-focused groups according to investment strategy, which include managed accounts group (low risk), strategic partners (value added funds) and special situations (higher yield and highly focused strategies). Operationally, a dedicated investment team with the requisite skill sets executes each investment strategy, with the team s compensation being driven largely by the investment performance of its particular strategy/fund. This organizational structure is designed to align the interests of team members with those of the firm and its investor clients/partners and to enhance accountability and performance. Dedicated teams share resources such as accounting, financial controls, information technology, investor services and research. In addition to the research provided by our advisory services group, which focuses primarily on market conditions and forecasts, CBRE Investors has an in-house team of research professionals who focus on investment strategy and underwriting.

CBRE Investors closed over \$1.2 billion of new acquisitions in the Americas in each of 2002 and 2003, and it has increased its assets under management in the Americas from \$3.5 billion in 1998 to \$5.7 billion in 2003, representing a 10.2% compound annual growth rate.

Europe, Middle East and Africa

Our EMEA segment has offices in 28 countries, with its largest operations located in the United Kingdom, France, Spain, The Netherlands and Germany. Operations within the EMEA countries generally include brokerage, investment properties, corporate services, valuation/appraisal services, asset management services, facilities management and other services similar to our Americas segment. The EMEA segment accounted for 19.2% of our 2003 revenue, 15.6% of our 2002 revenue and 13.8% of our 2001 revenue.

We are one of the leading commercial real estate services companies in the United Kingdom. We hold the leading market position in London in terms of 2003 leased square footage and provide a broad range of commercial property real estate services to investment, commercial and corporate clients located in London. We also have eight regional offices in Birmingham, Bristol, Jersey, Leeds, Liverpool, Manchester, Edinburgh and Glasgow. In France, we believe we are a market leader in Paris and we provide a complete range of services to the commercial property sector, as well as some services to the residential property market. In Spain, we provide expansive coverage operating through our offices in Madrid, Barcelona, Valencia, Malaga, Marbella and Palma de Mallorca. Our business in The Netherlands is based in Amsterdam, while our German operations are located in Frankfurt, Munich, Berlin and Hamburg. Our operations in these countries generally provide a full range of services to the commercial property sector, along with some residential property services.

We also have minor operations in the Middle East and Africa, including the countries of Bostwana, Israel, Kenya, South Africa, Uganda and Zimbabwe.

Asia Pacific

Our Asia Pacific segment has offices in 11 countries. We believe that we are one of only a few companies that can provide a full range of real estate services to large corporations throughout the region, including the similar broad range of services provided by our Americas and EMEA segments. Our principal operations in Asia are located in China (including Hong Kong), Singapore, South Korea and Japan. The Pacific region includes Australia and New Zealand, with principal offices located in Brisbane, Melbourne, Sydney, Perth, Auckland and Wellington. The Asia Pacific segment accounted for 7.3% of our 2003 revenue, 7.8% of our 2002 revenue and 6.9% of our 2001 revenue.

Our Competitive Position

We believe we possess several competitive strengths that position us to capitalize on the positive outsourcing, consolidation and globalization trends in the commercial real estate services industry. Our strengths include the following:

Global Brand and Market Leading Positions. For nearly a century, we and our predecessors have built the CB Richard Ellis brand into the largest commercial real estate services provider in the world,

65

based on 2003 revenue, and one of only two commercial real estate services companies with a global brand. As a result of our global brand recognition and geographic reach, large corporations, institutional owners and users of real estate recognize us as a leading provider of world-class, comprehensive real estate services. Operating under the global CB Richard Ellis brand name, we are a leader in many of the local markets in which we operate, including New York, Los Angeles, Chicago and London.

Full Service Capabilities. We provide a full range of commercial real estate services to meet the needs of our clients, and we believe this suite of services represents a broader range globally than those of many of our competitors. When combined with our extensive global reach and localized knowledge, this full range of real estate services enables us to provide world-class service to our multi-regional and multi-national clients, as well as to maximize our revenue per client.

Strong Client Relationships and Client-tailored Service. We have forged long-term relationships with many of our clients. Our clients include more than 60% of the Fortune 100, with nearly half of these clients purchasing more than one service from us. In order to better satisfy the needs of our largest clients and to capture cross-selling opportunities, we have organized fully integrated client coverage teams comprised of senior management, a global relationship manager and regional and product specialists. We believe that this client-tailored approach contributed significantly to our 38.6% increase in revenues from the 50 largest clients of our U.S. investment sales group within our real estate services line of business during the period from 1999 to 2003.

Attractive Business Model. Our business model features a diversified client base, recurring revenue streams, a variable cost structure, low capital requirements and strong cash flow generation.

Diversified Client Base. Our global operations, multiple service lines and extensive client relationships provide us with a diversified revenue base. For 2003, on a pro forma basis, we estimate that corporations accounted for approximately 25% of our global revenues, insurance companies and banks accounted for approximately 23% of our revenue, pension funds and their advisors accounted for approximately 14% of our revenue, individuals and partnerships accounted for approximately 11% of our revenue, REITs accounted for approximately 10% of our revenue and other types of clients accounted for the remainder of our revenues.

Recurring Revenue Streams. Our years of strong local market presence have allowed us to develop significant repeat client relationships, which along with the turnover of leases and properties for which we have previously acted as transaction manager we estimate accounted for approximately 65% of our 2003 revenue. This includes our contractual, annual fee-for-services businesses, which generally involve facilities management, property management, mortgage loan servicing provided by L.J. Melody & Company and asset management provided by CBRE Investors. Our contractual, fee-for-service business represented 12.7% of our 2003 revenue.

Variable Cost Structure. Compensation is one of our largest expenses, and our sales and leasing professionals are generally paid on a commission and bonus basis, which correlates with our revenue performance. This flexible cost structure mitigates the negative effect on our operating margins during difficult market conditions. However, our cost structure also includes significant other operating expenses that may not correlate to our revenue performance, including office lease and information technology maintenance expenses along with insurance premiums.

Low Capital Requirements. Our business model is structured to provide value-added services with low capital intensity. During 2003, our net capital expenditures were 1.7% of our revenue.

Strong Cash Flow Generation. Our strong brand name, full-service capabilities, and global presence enable us to generate significant revenues which, when combined with our flexible cost structure and low capital requirements, have allowed us historically to generate significant cash flow in a variety of economic conditions.

Strong Management Team and Workforce. Our most important asset is our people. We have recruited a talented and motivated workforce of over 13,500 employees worldwide, who are supported by a strong and deep senior management team consisting of a number of highly-respected executives, most of whom have over 20 years of broad experience in the real estate industry. In addition, we use equity compensation to align the interests of our senior management team with the interests of our stockholders. Our senior management team beneficially owned approximately 3.9% of our common stock as of March 1, 2004, and our employees, as a group, owned 8.0% of our common stock on the same date. After giving effect to the offering, our senior management team will own approximately % and our employees as a group will own approximately % of our outstanding common stock.

Although we believe these strengths will create significant opportunities for our business, you should also be aware of the risks that may impact our competitive position, which include the following:

Significant Leverage. We are highly leveraged and have significant debt service obligations. For the year ended December 31, 2003, on a pro forma basis, our interest expense was \$71.9 million. In addition, the instruments governing our indebtedness impose significant operating and financial restrictions on the conduct of our business.

Geographic Concentration. During 2003, approximately 23.8% of our revenue was generated from transactions originating in California and approximately 6.9% of our revenue was generated from transactions originating in the greater New York metropolitan area. In addition, a significant portion of our European operations is concentrated in London and Paris. As a result, future adverse economic effects in these regions may affect us more than our competitors.

Exposure to Risks of International Operations. We conduct a significant portion of our business and employ a substantial number of people outside of the United States. During 2003, we generated approximately 30.2% of our revenue from operations outside the United States. Because a significant portion of our revenues are derived from operations outside the United States, we are exposed to adverse changes in exchange rates and social, political and economic risks of doing business in foreign countries.

Smaller Presence in Some Markets than our Local Competitors. Although we are the largest commercial real estate services firm in the world in terms of 2003 revenue, our relative competitive position varies significantly across service categories and geographic areas. Depending on the service, we face competition from other real estate service providers, institutional lenders, insurance companies, investment banking firms, investment managers and accounting firms, some of which may have greater financial resources than we do. Many of our competitors are local or regional firms. Although substantially smaller than we are, some of these competitors are larger on a local or regional basis.

Our Growth Strategy

We believe we have built the premier integrated global services platform in our industry. In developing this integrated global platform, we acquired such entities as The Koll Company, Westmark Realty Advisors, L.J. Melody, Richard Ellis International and Hillier Parker May & Rowden during the 1990s and, in 2003, we acquired Insignia. Today, we believe we offer the commercial real estate services industry s most complete suite of service offerings and the leadership position in most of the top 25 business centers around the world. Our primary business objective is to leverage this platform in order to garner an increasing share of industry revenues relative to our competitors. We believe this will enable us to maximize and sustain our long-term cash flow and increase long-term stockholder value. Our strategy to achieve these business objectives consists of several elements:

Increase Revenue from Large Clients. We plan to capitalize on our client management strategy for our large clients, which is designed to provide them with a full range of services globally while

67

maximizing our revenue per client. We deliver these services through relationship management teams that are charged with thoroughly understanding our customer—s business and real estate strategies and matching our services to the customers—requirements. The global relationship manager is a highly seasoned professional who is focused on maximizing revenue per client and compensated with a salary and a performance-based bonus and is supported by salaried professionals with specialized expertise, such as marketing, financial analysis and construction. The team leader also taps into our field-level transaction professionals, as necessary, for execution of client strategies. We believe this approach to client management will lead to stronger client relationships and enable us to maximize cross-selling opportunities and capture a larger share of our clients—commercial real estate services expenditures. For example:

we generated repeat business in 2003 from approximately 60% of our U.S. real estate sales and leasing clients;

more than 40% of our corporate services clients today purchase more than one service and, in many cases, more than two;

the square footage we manage for our 15 largest asset services clients has grown by 55% in three years; and

the 50 largest clients of the investment sales group within our real estate services line of business generated \$52.6 million in revenues in 2003 up 38.6% from \$37.9 million for these same 50 clients four years earlier.

Capitalize on Cross-selling Opportunities. Because we believe cross-selling represents a large growth opportunity within the commercial real estate services industry, we are committed to emphasizing this opportunity across all of our clients, services and regions. We have dedicated substantial resources and implemented several management initiatives to better enable our workforce to capitalize on these opportunities among our various lines of business, including our CBRE University outside Chicago that provides intensive training for sales and management professionals, a customer relationship management database and sales management principles and incentives designed to improve individual productivity. We believe the combination of these initiatives will enable us to further penetrate local markets and better capitalize on our worldwide platform.

Continue to Grow our Investment Management Business. Our growing investment management business provides us with an attractive revenue source through fees on assets under management and gains on the sales of assets. We also expect to achieve strong growth in this business by continuing to harness the vast resources of the entire CB Richard Ellis organization for the benefit of our investment management clients. CBRE Investors independent structure creates an alignment of interests with its investors, while permitting its portfolio companies to use the broad range of services provided by our other business lines. As a result, we historically have received significant revenue from the provision of services on an arm s length basis to these portfolio companies, and we believe this will continue in the future.

Focus on Best Practices to Improve Operating Efficiency. In 2001, we launched a best practices initiative, branded People, Platform & Performance, and we believe the process and operational improvements associated with this initiative contributed to operating cost reductions. We believe our focus on best practices has enabled us to generate industry-leading operating margins. We remain keenly focused on this strategic initiative and continue to strive for efficiency improvements and cost savings in order to maximize our operating margins and cash flow.

Competition

We compete across a variety of business disciplines within the commercial real estate services industry, including investment management, tenant representation, corporate services, construction and development management, property management, agency leasing, valuation and mortgage banking. Each of the business

68

disciplines in which we compete is highly competitive on an international, national, regional and local level. Although we are the largest commercial real estate services firm in the world in terms of 2003 revenue, our relative competitive position varies significantly across product and service categories and geographic areas. Depending on the product or service, we face competition from other commercial real estate service providers, institutional lenders, insurance companies, investment banking firms, investment managers and accounting firms, some of which may have greater financial resources than we do. Many of our competitors are local or regional firms. Although substantially smaller than we are, some of these competitors are larger on a local or regional basis. We are also subject to competition from other large national and multi-national firms that have similar service competencies to ours, including Cushman & Wakefield, Grubb & Ellis, Jones Lang LaSalle and Trammell Crow.

Different factors weigh heavily in the competition for clients. In advisory services, key differentiating factors include quality service, resource depth, demonstrated track record, analytical skills, market knowledge, strategic thinking and creative problem-solving. These factors are also vital in outsourcing services, and are supplemented by consistency of execution across markets, economies of scale, enhanced efficiency and cost reduction strategies. In investment management the ability to enhance asset value and produce solid, consistent returns on invested capital are keys to success.

Seasonality

A significant portion of our revenue is seasonal. Historically, this seasonality has caused our revenue, operating income, net income and cash flow from operating activities to be lower in the first two calendar quarters and higher in the third and fourth calendar quarters of each year. The concentration of earnings and cash flow in the fourth quarter is due to an industry-wide focus on completing transactions by year-end.

Employees

At December 31, 2003, we had approximately 13,500 employees worldwide. At March 1, 2004, approximately 245 of our employees were subject to collective bargaining agreements, the substantial majority of whom are employees in our asset services business in the New York/New Jersey area. We believe that our relations with our employees are satisfactory.

Intellectual Property

We hold various trademarks and trade names worldwide, which include the CB Richard Ellis name. Although we believe our intellectual property plays a role in maintaining our competitive position in a number of the markets that we serve, we do not believe we would be materially adversely affected by expiration or termination of our trademarks or trade names or the loss of any of our other intellectual property rights other than the CB Richard Ellis name and the L.J. Melody name. With respect to the CB Richard Ellis and L.J. Melody names, we have processed and continuously maintain trademark registrations for these trade names in the United States and, solely with respect to the CB Richard Ellis name, in most foreign jurisdictions where we conduct significant business. We obtained our most recent U.S. trademark registrations for the CB Richard Ellis name and related trade names in 2001, and these registrations would expire in 2007 if we failed to renew them. We obtained our most recent U.S. trademark registration for the L.J. Melody name in 1997, and this registration would expire in 2007 if we failed to renew it.

In addition to trade names, we have developed proprietary technology for preparing and developing valuation reports to our clients through our valuation business and we offer proprietary research to clients through our Torto Wheaton research unit. We also offer proprietary investment structures through CB Richard Ellis Investors. While we seek to secure our rights under applicable intellectual property protection laws in these

and any other proprietary assets that we use in our business, we do not believe any of these other items of intellectual property are material to our business.

69

Environmental Matters

Federal, state and local laws and regulations impose environmental controls, disclosure rules and zoning restrictions that impact the management, development, use, or sale of commercial real estate. We are not aware of any material noncompliance with the environmental laws or regulations currently applicable to us, and we are not the subject of any material claim for liability with respect to contamination at any location. However, these laws and regulations may discourage sales and leasing activities and mortgage lending with respect to some properties, which may adversely affect both us and the commercial real estate services industry in general. In addition, if we fail to disclose environmental issues in connection with a real estate transaction, we may become liable to a buyer or lessee of property. Environmental contamination or other environmental liabilities may also negatively affect the value of commercial real estate assets held by entities that are managed by our investment management business, which could adversely impact the result of operations of that business line.

Applicable laws and contractual obligations to property owners could also subject us to environmental liabilities through our provision of management services. Environmental laws and regulations impose liability on current or previous real property owners or operators for the cost of investigating, cleaning up or removing contamination caused by hazardous or toxic substances at the property. As a result, we may be held liable as an operator for such costs in our role as an on-site property manager. This liability may result even if the original actions were legal and we had no knowledge of, or were not responsible for, the presence of the hazardous or toxic substances. Under certain environmental laws, we could also be held responsible for the entire amount of the liability if other responsible parties are unable to pay. We may also be liable under common law to third parties for property damages and personal injuries resulting from environmental contamination at our sites, including the presence of asbestos-containing materials. Insurance coverage for such matters may be unavailable or inadequate to cover our liabilities.

Additionally, liabilities incurred to comply with more stringent future environmental requirements could adversely affect any or all of our lines of business.

Facilities

We occupied the following offices as of December 31, 2003:

| | Sales | Corporate | |
|--------------------------------|---------|-----------|-------|
| Location | Offices | Offices | Total |
| | | | |
| The Americas | 139 | 2 | 141 |
| Europe, Middle East and Africa | 52 | 1 | 53 |
| Asia Pacific | 25 | 1 | 26 |
| | | | |
| Total | 216 | 4 | 220 |
| | | | |

In general, these leased offices are fully utilized. The most significant terms of the leasing arrangements for our offices are the term of the lease and the rent. Our leases have terms varying in duration. The rent payable under our office leases varies significantly from location to location as a result of differences in prevailing commercial real estate rates in different geographic locations. Our management believes that no single office lease is material to our business, results of operations or financial condition. In addition, we believe there is adequate alternative office space available at acceptable rental rates to meet our needs, although adverse movements in rental rates in some markets may negatively affect our profits in those markets when we enter into new leases.

We do not own any offices, which is consistent with our strategy to lease instead of own.

Legal Proceedings

We are party to a number of pending or threatened lawsuits arising out of, or incident to, our ordinary course of business. Our management believes that any liability imposed on us that may result from disposition of these lawsuits will not have a material effect on our consolidated financial position or results of operations.

70

MANAGEMENT

Executive Officers and Directors

The following table sets forth information about our executive officers and directors as of March 1, 2004:

| Name | Age | Position |
|-----------------------|-----|--------------------------------------|
| | | |
| Ray Wirta | 60 | Chief Executive Officer and Director |
| Brett White | 44 | President and Director |
| Kenneth J. Kay | 48 | Chief Financial Officer |
| Richard C. Blum | 68 | Chairman of the Board of Directors |
| Jeffrey A. Cozad | 39 | Director |
| Patrice Marie Daniels | 43 | Director |
| Bradford M. Freeman | 62 | Director |
| Michael Kantor | 64 | Director |
| Frederic V. Malek | 67 | Director |
| Jeffrey S. Pion | 42 | Director |
| Gary L. Wilson | 64 | Director |

Ray Wirta. Mr. Wirta has been Chief Executive Officer of CB Richard Ellis Group since July 2001 and a director of CB Richard Ellis Group since September 2001. He has been Chief Executive Officer of CB Richard Ellis Services since May 1999. He served as its Chief Operating Officer from May 1998 to May 1999. Mr. Wirta holds a B.A. from California State University, Long Beach and an M.B.A. in International Management from Golden Gate University.

Brett White. Mr. White has been President and a director of CB Richard Ellis Group since September 2001. He was Chairman of the Americas of CB Richard Ellis Services from May 1999 to September 2001 and was its President of Brokerage Services from August 1997 to May 1999. Previously, he was its Executive Vice President from March 1994 to July 1997 and Managing Officer of its Newport Beach, California office from May 1993 to March 1994. Mr. White is a member of the board of directors of Mossimo, Inc. Mr. White received his B.A. from the University of California, Santa Barbara.

Kenneth J. Kay. Mr. Kay has been Chief Financial Officer of CB Richard Ellis Group since July 2002. He previously served as Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Dole Food Company, Inc. from December 1999 to June 2002. Mr. Kay served as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer for the consumer products group of Universal Studios, Inc. from December 1997 to December 1999. Mr. Kay is a certified public accountant in the State of California and holds a B.A. and an M.B.A. from the University of Southern California.

Richard C. Blum. Mr. Blum has been Chairman of the Board of Directors of CB Richard Ellis Group since September 2001 and a director of CB Richard Ellis Group since July 2001. He is the Chairman and President of Richard C. Blum & Associates, Inc., the general partner of Blum Capital Partners, L.P., a long-term strategic equity investment management firm that acts as general partner for various investment partnerships and provides investment advisory services, which he founded in 1975. Mr. Blum is a member of the boards of directors of Northwest Airlines Corporation, Glenborough Realty, URS Corporation and Playtex Products, Inc. Mr. Blum also serves as Vice Chairman of URS Corporation. Mr. Blum holds a B.A. and an M.B.A. from the University of California, Berkeley.

Jeffrey A. Cozad. Mr. Cozad has been a director of CB Richard Ellis Group since September 2001. Mr. Cozad has been a partner of Blum Capital Partners, L.P. since 2000. Prior to joining Blum Capital Partners, Mr. Cozad was a managing director of Security Capital Group Incorporated, a global real estate research, investment and operating management company from 1991 to 2000. Mr. Cozad holds a B.A. from DePauw University and an M.B.A. from the University of Chicago Graduate School of Business.

71

Patrice Marie Daniels. Ms. Daniels has been a director of CB Richard Ellis Group since February 2004. Ms. Daniels is a founding partner of Onyx Capital Ventures, L.P., a private equity investment firm, which was founded in October 2001. She previously served as Managing Director, Corporate and Leveraged Finance for CIBC World Markets, an investment banking firm, from March 1997 to October 2001. Ms. Daniels holds a B.S. from the University of California, Berkeley and an M.B.A. from the University of Chicago Graduate School of Business.

Bradford M. Freeman. Mr. Freeman has been a director of CB Richard Ellis Group since July 2001. Mr. Freeman is a founding partner of Freeman Spogli & Co. Incorporated, a private investment company founded in 1983. Mr. Freeman is also a member of the board of directors of Edison International. Mr. Freeman holds a B.A. from Stanford University and an M.B.A. from Harvard Business School.

Michael Kantor. Mr. Kantor has been a director of CB Richard Ellis Group since February 2004. Mr. Kantor has been a partner with the law firm of Mayer, Brown, Rowe & Maw LLP since March 1997. From 1993 to 1996, he served as the U.S. Trade Representative and from 1996 to 1997 as U.S. Secretary of Commerce. Mr. Kantor holds a B.A. from Vanderbilt University and a J.D. from Georgetown University.

Frederic V. Malek. Mr. Malek has been a director of CB Richard Ellis Group since September 2001. He has served as Chairman of Thayer Capital Partners, a merchant banking firm he founded, since 1993. He also serves on the boards of directors of Automatic Data Processing Corp., Federal National Mortgage Association, FPL Group, Inc., Manor Care, Inc. and Northwest Airlines Corporation. Mr. Malek recently retired as director of American Management Systems, Inc., effective March 31, 2004. Mr. Malek holds a B.S. degree from the United States Military Academy at West Point and an M.B.A. from Harvard Business School.

Jeffrey S. Pion. Mr. Pion has been a director of CB Richard Ellis Group since October 2003. Mr. Pion has been an Executive Vice President of CB Richard Ellis Group since January 2003. For the last 18 years, Mr. Pion has been a broker at our subsidiary CB Richard Ellis, Inc., focusing on the sale and leasing of office and commercial properties. Prior to joining CB Richard Ellis, Inc., Mr. Pion worked at Central Real Estate Corp., a real estate development and investment company based in Los Angeles. Mr. Pion holds a B.A. degree from the University of California, Santa Barbara.

Gary L. Wilson. Mr. Wilson has been a director of CB Richard Ellis Group since September 2001. He previously served as a director of our company from 1989 to July 2001. Since April 1997, Mr. Wilson has been Chairman of Northwest Airlines Corporation, for which he served as Co-Chairman from January 1991 to April 1997. Mr. Wilson also serves on the boards of directors of The Walt Disney Company, On Command Corporation, Veritas Holdings GmbH and Yahoo! Inc. Mr. Wilson holds a B.A. from Duke University and an M.B.A. from the Wharton Graduate School of Business and Commerce at the University of Pennsylvania.

Each executive officer serves at the discretion of our board of directors and holds office until his successor is elected and qualified or until his earlier resignation or removal. There are no family relationships among any of our directors or executive officers.

Board Structure

Our board of directors currently consists of ten directors. Our board of directors has determined that each of Ms. Daniels and Messrs. Blum, Cozad, Freeman, Kantor, Malek and Wilson is independent, as defined under and required by the federal securities laws and the rules of the New York Stock Exchange.

All of our directors stand for election at each annual meeting of our stockholders.

As described in greater detail under the heading Related Party Transactions Securityholders Agreement, pursuant to a securityholders agreement, after the completion of the offering our stockholders

72

affiliated with Blum Capital Partners, L.P. are entitled to nominate a percentage of our total number of directors that is equivalent to the percentage of the outstanding common stock beneficially owned by these affiliates, with this percentage of our directors being rounded up to the nearest whole number of directors. Accordingly, these affiliates of Blum Capital Partners have nominated Messrs. Blum and Cozad to our board of directors. In addition to Messrs. Blum and Cozad, assuming our board of directors continues to consist of ten directors in the future, these affiliates will be entitled to nominate up to additional directors in future board elections based upon their percentage ownership of our common stock immediately after completion of the offering, or up to additional directors if the underwriters exercise their over-allotment option in full. Also pursuant to the securityholders agreement, after the completion of the offering our stockholders affiliated with Freeman Spogli & Co. Incorporated are entitled to nominate one of our directors, and they have nominated Mr. Freeman.

Committees of the Board

The standing committees of our board of directors currently consist of an audit committee, a corporate governance and nominating committee, a compensation committee and an executive committee.

Audit Committee

The principal duties of our audit committee are as follows:

to retain, compensate, oversee and terminate any registered public accounting firm in connection with the preparation or issuance of an audit report, and to approve all audit services and any permissible non-audit services provided by the independent auditors;

to receive the direct reports from any registered public accounting firm engaged to prepare or issue an audit report;

to review and discuss annual audited and quarterly unaudited financial statements with management and the independent auditors;

to review with the independent auditor any audit problems and management s response;

to discuss earnings releases, financial information and earnings guidance provided to analysts and rating agencies;

to periodically meet separately with management, internal auditors and the independent auditors;

to establish procedures to receive, retain and treat complaints regarding accounting, internal accounting controls or auditing matters;

to obtain and review, at least annually, an independent auditors—report describing the independent auditors—internal quality-control procedures and any material issues raised by the most recent internal quality-control review of the independent auditors or any inquiry by governmental authorities;

to set hiring policies for employees or former employees of the independent auditors;

to retain independent counselor and other outside advisors, including experts in the area of accounting, as it determines necessary to carry out its duties; and

to report regularly to our full board of directors with respect to any issues raised by the foregoing.

Our audit committee is comprised of Ms. Daniels and Messrs. Malek and Wilson, and our board of directors has determined that each of the members of our audit committee is independent, as defined under and required by the federal securities laws and the rules of the New York Stock Exchange, or NYSE, including Rule 10A-3(b)(i) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Our board of directors has determined that Ms. Daniels qualifies as an audit committee financial expert, as this term has been defined by the SEC in Item 401(h)(2) of Regulation S-K. Our board of directors determined

73

that Ms. Daniels acquired the required attributes for such designation as a result of the following relevant experience, which forms of experience are not listed in any order of importance and were not assigned any relative weights or values by our board of directors in making such determination:

Ms. Daniels received a B.S. degree in Business Administration at the University of California, Berkeley and an M.B.A. degree in Finance at the University of Chicago Graduate School of Business.

Ms. Daniels served in several capacities, including as a Managing Director, with Bankers Trust from July 1987 to March 1997, which included arranging private and public senior and subordinated debt financing and equity capital for leveraged buyout transactions and for restructuring or acquisitions for non-investment grade companies.

Ms. Daniels served as a Managing Director with CIBC World Markets from March 1997 to October 2001, which included providing investment and commercial banking products to non-investment grade companies and leveraged buyout firms.

Ms. Daniels is a founding partner of Onyx Capital Ventures, L.P., a private equity investment firm, which was founded in October 2001.

Ms. Daniels served on the audit committee of the board of directors of World Color Press, Inc., a diversified commercial printing company that was publicly traded on the NYSE until it was acquired by Quebecor Printing Inc. in 1999, from January 1998 to October 1999.

Our board of directors has adopted a written charter for the audit committee which will be available on our website prior to completion of the offering.

Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee

The principal duties of the corporate governance and nominating committee are as follows:

subject to the provisions of the securityholders agreement described in further detail under the heading Related Party

Transactions Securityholders Agreement, to recommend to our board of directors proposed nominees for election to the board of directors by the stockholders at annual meetings, including an annual review as to the renominations of incumbents and proposed nominees for election by the board of directors to fill vacancies that occur between stockholder meetings; and

to make recommendations to the board of directors regarding corporate governance matters and practices.

Our corporate governance and nominating committee is comprised of Messrs. Blum, Malek and Kantor, and our board of directors has determined that each of the members of our corporate governance and nominating committee is independent, as defined under and required by the federal securities laws and the rules of the NYSE.

Our board of directors has adopted a written charter for the corporate governance and nominating committee which will be available on our website prior to completion of the offering.

Compensation Committee

The principal duties of the compensation committee are as follows:

to review key employee compensation policies, plans and programs;

to review and approve the compensation of our chief executive officer and the other executive officers of the company and its subsidiaries;

to review and approve any employment contracts or similar arrangement between the company and any executive officer of the company;

74

Table of Contents

to review and consult with our chief executive officer concerning selection of officers, management succession planning, performance of individual executives and related matters; and

to administer our stock plans, incentive compensation plans and any such plans that the board may from time to time adopt and to exercise all the powers, duties and responsibilities of the board of directors with respect to such plans.

Our compensation committee is comprised of Messrs. Malek, Freeman and Cozad, and our board of directors has determined that each of the members of our compensation committee is independent, as defined under and required by the federal securities laws and the rules of the NYSE.

Our board of directors has adopted a written charter for the compensation committee which will be available on our website prior to completion of the offering.

Executive Committee

Our board of directors has delegated to the executive committee the authority to act for the board on most matters during intervals between board meetings, except with respect to issuances of stock, declarations of dividends and other matters that, under Delaware law, may not be delegated to a committee of the board of directors. The principal duties of the executive committee are as follows:

to develop and implement our Company s policies, plans and strategies; and

to approve, modify or reject certain acquisitions or investments.

The executive committee currently is composed of Messrs. Wirta, White and Blum.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

No member of our compensation committee serves as a member of the board of directors or compensation committee of any entity that has one or more executive officers serving as a member of our board of directors or compensation committee. Additional information concerning transactions between us and the members of our compensation committee or entities affiliated with such members is described under the heading Related Party Transactions.

Codes of Conduct and Ethics and Corporate Governance Guidelines

Our board of directors has adopted (1) a code of business conduct and ethics applicable to our directors, officers and employees, (2) a code of ethics applicable to our chief executive officer, chief financial officer and global controller and (3) corporate governance guidelines, each in

accordance with applicable rules and regulations of the SEC and the NYSE. Prior to completion of the offering, each of these codes of ethics and conduct and the corporate governance guidelines will be available on our website.

Compensation of Directors

On November 5, 2003, we granted Gary Wilson options to acquire 10,000 shares of our Class A common stock for \$16.00 per share in connection with his agreement to serve on the audit committee of our board of directors. On February 9, 2004, we granted Michael Kantor options to acquire 5,000 shares of our Class A common stock for \$16.00 per share in connection with his agreement to serve on our board of directors. The options of Messrs. Wilson and Kantor were granted pursuant to our 2001 stock incentive plan, vest 20% per anniversary of their respective grant dates and expire on the earlier of the tenth anniversary of the grant date or the one-year anniversary after such director ceases to be a member of our board of directors.

75

Table of Contents

In addition, we recently adopted a director compensation policy that provides for the following annual compensation for each of our non-employee directors:

a \$20,000 annual cash retainer;

a grant of a number of unrestricted shares of our common stock with a fair market value equal to \$10,000 on the date of grant;

a stock option grant for a number of shares equal to \$50,000 divided by the fair market value of our common stock on the date of grant; and

a restricted stock grant for a number of shares equal to \$25,000 divided by the fair market value of our common stock on the date of grant.

Pursuant to this policy, our directors also receive an additional payment of \$1,000 per meeting attended and \$1,000 per committee meeting attended that was not scheduled in conjunction with a meeting of our board of directors. The chairman of the audit committee receives an additional annual cash retainer of \$10,000, and the chairmen of all other committees receive additional annual cash retainers of \$5,000 each. The annual cash retainer, the additional payments per meeting attended and the additional annual cash retainers for committee chairmanships became effective under this policy as of March 11, 2004.

With respect to the equity compensation components of our director compensation policy, we anticipate making automatic grants of stock options and restricted stock, as described above, to our current outside directors pursuant to our 2004 stock incentive plan, the terms of which are described below. These grants will be pro-rated to cover only the period from the date the registration statement of which this prospectus is a part is declared effective by the SEC to the following May 15, the end date of the annual pro-ration cycle as determined by the 2004 stock incentive plan.

We also reimburse our non-employee directors for all out-of-pocket expenses incurred in the performance of their duties as directors. Our employee directors do not receive any fees for attendance at meetings or for their service on our board of directors.

76

Compensation of Executive Officers

Summary Compensation Table

The following table sets forth information concerning the compensation of our chief executive officer and our other executive officers for the three years ended December 31, 2003:

| | Annual Compensation | | | | _ | Long-Term Compensation | | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------|----|--------------------------------------|---|--|----------------------------------|
| Name and Principal Position | Year | Salary | Bonus (1) | | Other Annual mpensation (2) | Restricted Stock Awards (2)(3) | Securities Underlying Stock Options | All Other Compensation (4) |
| Ray Wirta Chief Executive Officer | 2003 2002 2001 | \$ 573,129 518,511 518,510 | \$ 521,310 | \$ | 28,562 27,359 8,092 | | 176,153 | \$ 489,375 |
| Brett White President | 2003 2002 2001 | 506,156 450,501 415,883 | 333,481 | | 15,284 71,897 62,552 | | 141,782 | 971,000 (5) |
| Kenneth J. Kay (6) Current Senior Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer | 2003 2002 | 450,000 207,692 | 300,000 77,295 | | | | | 300,000 (7) |
| James H. Leonetti (8) Former Senior Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer | 2002 2001 | 147,138 254,458 | | | | | | 170,000 (9) 453,500 (10) |

⁽¹⁾ Bonuses for each year are paid in the first quarter of the following year pursuant to our Annual Management Bonus Plan. For example, the bonus shown for 2003 represents the 2002 annual bonus that was paid in the first quarter of 2003.

⁽²⁾ Pursuant to the 1996 Equity Incentive Plan, or EIP, Mr. White purchased 25,000 shares of CB Richard Ellis Services common stock in 1998 at a purchase price of \$38.50 per share and 20,000 shares of CB Richard Ellis Services common stock in 2000 at a purchase price of \$12.875 per share. These purchases were paid for by the delivery of full-recourse promissory notes. A First Amendment to Mr. White s 1998 promissory note provided that the portion of the then outstanding principal in excess of the fair market value of the shares would be forgiven in the event that Mr. White was an employee of ours or of our subsidiaries on November 16, 2002 and the fair market value of our common stock was at least \$38.50 per share on November 16, 2002. Mr. White s promissory note was subsequently amended in 2001, terminating the First Amendment and adjusting the original 1998 Stock Purchase Agreement by reducing the purchase price from \$38.50 to \$16.00. The 25,000 shares held as security for the Second Amended Promissory Note were tendered as full payment for this note. The remaining note delivered by Mr. White bears interest at 7.40%. As part of our acquisition of CB Richard Ellis Services in 2001, the 20,000 shares of CB Richard Ellis Services common stock purchased by Mr. White were exchanged for 20,000 shares of our Class B common stock, which shares were substituted for CB Richard Ellis Services shares as security for the note. Pursuant to the EIP, Mr. Wirta purchased 30,000 shares of CB Richard Ellis Services common stock in 2000 at a purchase price of \$12.875 that was paid for by the

delivery of a full-recourse promissory note bearing interest at 7.40%. As part of the acquisition, the 30,000 shares of CB Richard Ellis Services common stock were exchanged for 30,000 shares of our Class B common stock, which shares were substituted for the CB Richard Ellis Services shares as security for the note. All interest charged on the outstanding promissory note balances for any year is forgiven if the executive s performance produces a high enough level of bonus, with approximately \$7,500 of interest forgiven for each \$10,000 of bonus. In 2003, our board of directors forgave all 2002 interest on Mr. White s and Mr. Wirta s notes. Based on the 2003 bonuses paid to Messrs.

77

Wirta and White in the first quarter of 2004, we expect all interest charged on their outstanding promissory notes in 2003 to be forgiven in 2004.

- (3) In connection with our acquisition of CB Richard Ellis Services in 2001, we offered and sold shares of our Class A common stock for \$16.00 per share to certain of our employees, including 64,063 shares to Mr. Wirta and 26,563 shares to Mr. White. If the employment of the owner of such shares is terminated, we have the right to repurchase a portion of the shares at either fair market value or the amount paid for such shares by the owner, which depends upon whether the owner was terminated for cause or voluntarily left for a good reason, as such terms are defined in the owner subscription agreement. On each of the first five anniversaries of the July 20, 2001 purchase date of the shares, 20% of the shares initially subject to repurchase cease to be subject to the right of repurchase. Accordingly, at December 31, 2003, 60% of such shares acquired by Mr. Wirta and Mr. White remain subject to repurchase. The per share consideration paid for these shares was the same as the per share consideration paid by certain of our stockholders to acquire shares of our Class A common stock and Class B common stock on July 20, 2001, which consideration was used to partially finance our acquisition of CB Richard Ellis Services. Our shares of Class A common stock are not publicly traded. Accordingly, the Summary Compensation Table reflects a valuation of \$0 for these restricted stock awards.
- (4) In connection with our acquisition of CB Richard Ellis Services in 2001, we awarded cash retention bonuses to Messrs. Wirta, White and Leonetti to provide an incentive and reward for continued service up to and including the date of the acquisition. At the effective time of the acquisition, Messrs. Wirta, White and Leonetti also received for each of their options to purchase shares of our common stock the greater of (a) the amount by which \$16.00 exceeded the exercise price of the option, if any, and (b) \$1.00.
- (5) As described in greater detail in footnote (2) above, the promissory note delivered by Mr. White in 1998 as consideration for his purchase of 25,000 shares of CB Richard Ellis Services common stock for \$38.50 per share, or a total of \$962,500, was amended to adjust the principal amount of such promissory note to \$400,000. The \$562,500 difference is included as other compensation for Mr. White.
- (6) Mr. Kay joined us effective June 13, 2002.
- (7) Pursuant to Mr. Kay s employment agreement, he received a sign-on bonus of \$300,000.
- (8) Mr. Leonetti ceased to be an executive officer and an employee of ours on July 19, 2002.
- (9) In connection with the termination of Mr. Leonetti s employment, he received a severance payment of \$170,000.
- (10) Pursuant to a separation agreement executed on November 19, 2001, Mr. Leonetti received a payment of \$300,000.

Option Grants Table

The following table sets forth information concerning stock option grants to our executive officers during the year ended December 31, 2003, each of which was granted pursuant to our 2001 stock incentive plan:

| Name | Number of | Percentage | Exercise | Expiration | Potential Realizable |
|------|------------|------------|----------|------------|----------------------|
| | Securities | of Total | Price | Date | |
| | Underlying | Options | Per | | Value at Assumed |
| | Options | Granted to | Share | | value at Assumed |
| | Granted | Employees | | | |

Annual Rates of Stock in 2003 **Price Appreciation** for Option Term 5% 10% Ray Wirta (1) \$ 2,141,990 84,000 7.9% \$ 16.00 9/16/13 \$ 845,234 Brett White (1) \$ 16.00 84,000 7.9% 9/16/13 \$ 845,234 \$ 2,141,990 Kenneth J. Kay (1) 36,000 3.4%\$ 16.00 9/16/13 \$ 362,243 \$ 917,996

⁽¹⁾ The options vest 20% per anniversary of the September 16, 2003 grant date.

Aggregated Options Table

The following table sets forth information concerning unexercised options held as of December 31, 2003 by the persons named in the table under Summary Compensation Table. No options were exercised by our executive officers during 2003.

| | Shares Acquired | Value Realized | Underlyin Options at | of Securities g Unexercised December 31, | In- the-Money Options December 31, 2003 | |
|----------------|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|--|---|---------------|
| Name | on Exercise | (\$) | Exercisable | Unexercisable | Exercisable | Unexercisable |
| Ray Wirta | | | 70,461 | 189,692 | | |
| Brett White | | | 56,713 | 169,069 | | |
| Kenneth J. Kay | | | 12,400 | 85,600 | | |

Incentive Plans

2001 Stock Incentive Plan

Our 2001 stock incentive plan was adopted by our board of directors and approved by our stockholders on June 7, 2001. The 2001 stock incentive plan permits the grant of nonqualified stock options, incentive stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, restricted stock units and other stock-based awards to our employees, directors or independent contractors. A total of 6,500,000 shares of Class A common stock have been reserved for issuance under the 2001 stock incentive plan, and 3,494,466 shares remained available for future issuance as of March 1, 2004. The number of shares issued or reserved pursuant to the 2001 stock incentive plan, or pursuant to outstanding awards, is subject to adjustment on account of stock splits, stock dividends and other dilutive changes in our Class A common stock. Class A common stock covered by awards that expire, terminate or lapse will again be available for option or grant under the 2001 stock incentive plan. No award may be granted under the 2001 stock incentive plan after June 7, 2011, but awards granted prior to June 7, 2011 may extend beyond that date.

The 2001 stock incentive plan is administered by our board of directors, which may delegate its duties and powers in whole or in part to any committee of the board of directors. The board of directors has the sole discretion to determine the employees, directors and independent contractors to whom awards may be granted under the 2001 stock incentive plan and the manner in which the awards will vest. Options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, restricted stock units and other stock-based awards will be granted by the board of directors to employees, directors and independent contractors in the numbers and at the times during the term of the 2001 stock incentive plan as the board of directors determines.

Unless otherwise determined by our board of directors, awards granted under the 2001 stock incentive plan are not transferable other than by will or by the laws of descent and distribution. In the event of a change of control, which is defined in the 2001 stock incentive plan, (1) any outstanding awards then held by participants, including executive officers, which are unvested or otherwise unexercisable will automatically be deemed exercisable or otherwise vested, as the case may be, as of immediately prior to the change of control and (2) our board of directors may (a) provide for a cash payment to the holder of an award in consideration for the cancellation of the award and/or (b) provide for substitute or adjusted awards.

Our 2001 stock incentive plan is expected to be terminated prior to the completion of the offering. Outstanding stock awards granted under the 2001 stock incentive plan will remain outstanding according to their terms, and we will continue to issue shares to the extent required under the terms of such outstanding awards.

2004 Stock Incentive Plan

Our board of directors has adopted, and prior to the completion of the offering we expect our stockholders will have approved, our 2004 stock incentive plan, which will become effective on the date the registration

79

statement of which this prospectus is a party is declared effective by the SEC. The 2004 stock incentive plan authorizes the grant of stock-based awards to our employees, directors and consultants.

A total of 2,500,000 shares of our Class A common stock have been reserved for issuance under the 2004 stock incentive plan. This share reserve will be reduced by one share upon exercise or redemption of an option or stock appreciation right, and reduced by 2.25 shares upon issuance of stock pursuant to other stock-based awards. Shares of our common stock covered by awards that expire, terminate, lapse, are reacquired by us or are redeemed for cash rather than shares will again be available for grant under the stock incentive plan. No employee will be eligible to be granted options or stock appreciation rights covering more than 750,000 shares during any calendar year. In addition, our board of directors has adopted a policy stating that no person will be eligible to be granted options, stock appreciation rights, or restricted stock purchase rights covering more than 250,000 shares during any calendar year and to be granted any other form of stock award permitted under the 2004 stock incentive plan covering more than 125,000 shares during any calendar year.

The number of shares issued or reserved pursuant to the 2004 stock incentive plan, or pursuant to outstanding awards, is subject to adjustment on account of mergers, consolidations, reorganizations, stock splits, stock dividends and other dilutive changes in our common stock. In addition, our board of directors may adjust outstanding awards to preserve the awards benefits or potential benefits.

Our board of directors has delegated administration of the 2004 stock incentive plan to the compensation committee. The compensation committee, or our board of directors if the delegation of authority to the compensation committee is terminated in the future, has the authority to:

designate participants in the plan;

determine the type(s), number, terms and conditions of awards, as well as the timing and manner of grant;

interpret the plan; establish, adopt or revise any rules and regulations to administer the plan; and

make all other decisions and determinations that may be required under the plan.

Incentive stock options must have an exercise price that is at least equal to, and nonstatutory stock options an exercise price at least 85% of, the fair market value of our Class A common stock on the date the option is granted. An option holder may exercise an option by payment of the exercise price (1) in cash, (2) according to a deferred payment or similar arrangement, (3) pursuant to a same day sale program, (4) by the surrender of a number of shares of Class A common stock already owned by the option holder for at least six months with a fair market value equal to the exercise price or (5) by a combination approved by the board. In the event of the option holder s termination, the option holder will generally have up to three months (up to one year if due to disability or 18 months if due to death) from termination to exercise his/her vested options.

Directors who are not employed by us nor receive a management fee from us will each automatically receive an annual grant of stock options with a per share exercise price equal to the fair market value of our Class A common stock and an aggregate exercise price equal to \$50,000. They will also each automatically receive an annual grant of restricted stock worth a total of \$25,000 on the date of grant.

Our board of directors may award restricted stock bonuses. Our board may also award restricted stock units, which entitle the participant the right to receive one share of common stock per unit at the time the unit vests, with delivery of such common stock on a date chosen by the participant. For both restricted stock bonuses and units, vesting will generally be based on the participant s continuous service. In the event a participant s continuous service terminates, all unvested common stock as of the date of termination will be subject to our reacquisition.

Our board of directors may grant stock appreciation rights independent of or in connection with an option. The base price per share of a stock appreciation right may be no less than 85% of the fair market value of our

80

Class A common stock. Generally, each stock appreciation right will entitle a participant upon redemption to an amount equal to (a) the excess of (1) the fair market value on the redemption date of one share of common stock over (2) the base price, times (b) the number of shares of common stock covered by the stock appreciation right. To the extent a stock appreciation right is granted concurrently with an option, the redemption of the stock appreciation right will proportionately reduce the number of shares of common stock subject to the concurrently granted option. Payment shall be made in common stock or in cash, or a combination of both, as determined by the board. The plan also allows for grants of other stock-based awards such as restricted stock purchase rights, phantom stock units, performance shares and performance share units.

Unless otherwise determined by our board of directors or provided for in a written agreement evidencing an award, awards granted under the 2004 stock incentive plan are not transferable other than by will or by the laws of descent and distribution.

In the event of a change of control, as defined in the stock incentive plan, other than dissolution, the board may provide for the (1) assumption or continuation of any stock awards outstanding under the plan, (2) issuance of substitute awards that will substantially preserve the terms of any awards, (3) payment in exchange for the cancellation of an award or (4) termination of an award upon the consummation of the change of control, but only if the participant has been permitted to exercise or redeem an option or stock appreciation right prior to the change of control. Furthermore, at any time the board may provide for the acceleration of exercisability and/or vesting of an award.

Our board of directors may amend, suspend, or terminate the stock incentive plan in any respect at any time, but no amendment may materially impair any of the rights of a participant under any awards previously granted, without his/her consent.

Deferred Compensation Plan

Our deferred compensation plan, or DCP, historically has permitted a select group of management employees, as well as other highly compensated employees, to elect, immediately prior to the beginning of each calendar year, to defer receipt of some or all of their compensation for the next year generally either after his or her employment with us ends or until a future distribution date at least three years after the deferred election date, and have it credited to one or more of several funds in the DCP. The investment alternatives available to participants in connection with their deferrals include two interest index funds and an insurance fund in which gains and losses on deferrals are measured by one or more of approximately 30 mutual funds. In addition, prior to our acquisition of CB Richard Ellis Services in 2001, participants were entitled to invest their deferrals in stock fund units that entitled the participants to receive future distributions of shares of our common stock, which stock fund units now represent the right to receive future distributions of Shares of CB Richard Ellis Group common stock. The deferred compensation plan permits participants to elect in-service distributions, which may not begin less than three years following the election and post-employment distributions. There is limited flexibility to change distribution elections once made. A participant may elect to receive a distribution of his or her vested accounts at any time subject to a charge equal to 7.5% of the amount to be distributed.

Effective January 1, 2004, we closed the DCP to new participants. Currently the DCP is accepting compensation deferrals from participants who have a balance, meet the eligibility requirements and elect to participate, up to a maximum annual contribution amount of \$250,000 per participant. We are currently reviewing the future status of this plan.

401(k) Plan

We maintain a tax qualified 401(k) retirement plan. Generally, our employees are eligible to participate in the plan if they are at least 21 years old. The plan provides for participant contributions, as well as discretionary contributions by us. A participant is allowed to contribute to the plan from 1% to 15% of his or her compensation, subject to limits imposed by applicable law. Each year, we determine an amount of employer

81

Table of Contents

contributions that we will contribute, if any, to the plan based on the performance and profitability of our consolidated U.S. operations. Our contributions for a year are allocated to participants who are actively employed on the last day of the plan year in proportion to each participant s pre-tax contributions for that year, up to 5% of the participant s compensation.

In connection with our acquisition of CB Richard Ellis Services in 2001, participants were entitled to make a one-time election to invest in shares of our common stock to be credited to their account balance within the plan, which shares continue to be held in the plan. Since the 2001 acquisition, participants have not been entitled to purchase additional shares of our common stock for allocation to their account balance.

A participant may elect to receive a distribution from the plan in a single lump sum payment of his or her account balance following termination of the participant s employment with us. However, if the participant has an account balance in our common stock fund, the participant may receive all or a portion of his or her balance in that fund either in shares or in cash.

Employment Agreements with Executive Officers

On June 13, 2002, Mr. Kay entered into a two-year employment agreement with us to serve as our chief financial officer. Pursuant to Mr. Kay s employment agreement, he received a sign-on bonus of \$300,000 and he receives an annual base salary of \$450,000 and is eligible for an annual bonus of up to $66^2/3\%$ of his base salary based upon the achievement of performance goals established by our board of directors. Additionally, Mr. Kay was granted options to purchase 62,000 shares of our Class A common stock at a \$16.00 per share exercise price, which vest 20% per year on the anniversary date of the grant over the next five years. Pursuant to the terms of the our 2001 stock incentive plan, all unvested options held by Mr. Kay will automatically vest if there is a change of control, as defined in the plan.

If prior to the second anniversary of the agreement we terminate Mr. Kay s employment for any reason, he is entitled to receive a severance payment equal to 100% of one year s base salary. If Mr. Kay voluntarily resigns from his employment within the first 24 months of employment, he will not be eligible to receive this severance payment. In the event that Mr. Kay s employment is terminated as a result of a change of control, he is eligible to receive 150% of one year s base salary as a severance payment in lieu of any other severance payment to which he would otherwise be entitled. Mr. Kay s employment agreement also contains a customary provision regarding confidentiality following his termination of employment with us.

82

RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

Securityholders Agreement

In connection with our acquisition of CB Richard Ellis Services in 2001, we and CB Richard Ellis Services entered into a securityholders agreement with our stockholders listed below:

our stockholders affiliated with Blum Capital Partners, L.P.;

our stockholders affiliated with Freeman Spogli & Co. Incorporated;

Ray Wirta, who is our Chief Executive Officer;

Brett White, who is our President;

Frederic V. Malek, who is one of our directors;

The Koll Holding Company;

California Public Employees Retirement System, or CalPERS; and

our stockholders that purchased shares of our Class A common stock in connection with the issuance on July 20, 2001 of our 16% senior notes due 2011, some of whom are affiliates of Credit Suisse First Boston.

The securityholders agreement defines various rights of the stockholders that are parties to the agreement related to their ownership of common stock. Many of these rights will terminate as a result of the completion of the offering.

Prior to Completion of the Offering

Each of the following provisions apply prior to the completion of the offering but will terminate simultaneously with the completion of the offering:

Designation of Directors and Board Observers. The agreement provides that, prior to the offering, the parties to the agreement that own shares of our Class B common stock, which consist of the stockholders in the first six bullet points above, will vote all of the shares of our voting capital stock they own to elect to our board of directors individuals designated as follows:

| five directors designated by our stockholders affiliated with Blum Capital Partners; |
|--|
| one director designated by our stockholders affiliated with Freeman Spogli; |
| Ray Wirta; and |
| Brett White. |
| In addition, the following stockholders are entitled to the following numbers of non-voting observers at each of the meetings of our board of directors prior to the completion of the offering: |

for so long as our stockholders affiliated with Freeman Spogli collectively own at least 7.5% of our outstanding common stock, they are entitled to have two non-voting observers at meetings;

for so long as our stockholders that purchased their shares in connection with the 2001 offering of our 16% senior notes, collectively, own at least 1.0% of our outstanding common stock or a majority in principal amount of the 16% senior notes, they generally are entitled to have one observer at meetings; and

for so long as CalPERS owns any shares of our outstanding common stock, it is entitled to have one observer at meetings.

83

Voting and Transfer Restrictions. Subject to limited exceptions, each of the parties to the agreement that owns shares of our Class B common stock agreed to vote all the shares of our voting capital stock it or he beneficially owns on matters to be decided by our stockholders in the same manner as our stockholders affiliated with Blum Capital Partners vote the shares that they beneficially own. As a result, prior to the completion of the offering, on most matters to be decided by our stockholders, our stockholders affiliated with Blum Capital Partners are able to control the outcome. The agreement also provides that the consent of our director designated by the stockholders affiliated with Freeman Spogli is required before we are able to take certain actions, including, subject to exceptions, incurring certain indebtedness, consummating certain acquisitions or dispositions and issuing stock or options to its employees.

Also prior to completion of the offering, the securityholders—agreement includes restrictions on transfers of shares by the stockholder parties to the agreement, as well as provisions regarding a right of first offer for potential sales and co-sales of shares and required sale rights applicable in connection with sale transactions involving our shares and participation rights regarding future issuances of our shares of common stock.

Other Rights. Prior to the offering, each of our stockholders that is a party to this agreement generally has the right to receive specified annual, quarterly and monthly financial information about us and is able to inspect our books, records and properties and to discuss our affairs, finances and accounts with our officers and independent auditor.

After Completion of the Offering

Each of the following provisions will apply after the completion of the offering, subject to termination pursuant to the terms of the securityholders agreement:

Nomination of Directors and Voting. Following the completion of the offering, our stockholders affiliated with Blum Capital Partners will be entitled to nominate a percentage of our total number of directors that is equivalent to the percentage of the outstanding common stock beneficially owned by these affiliates, with this percentage of our directors being rounded up to the nearest whole number of directors. Also following the offering, our stockholders affiliated with Freeman Spogli will be entitled to nominate one person to our board of directors for so long as these stockholders, collectively, beneficially own at least 7.5% of our outstanding common stock. The stockholders that are parties to the securityholders—agreement that currently own shares of our Class B common stock, other than Mr. Malek, will be obligated to vote their shares after the offering in favor of the directors nominated by these affiliates of Blum Capital Partners and Freeman Spogli. Immediately after completion of the offering, these stockholders, collectively, will beneficially own approximately—% of our outstanding common stock, or approximately—% if the underwriters exercise in full their over-allotment option to purchase additional shares.

Registration Rights. Each of the stockholders that are parties to this agreement has registration rights, which are described in further detail under the heading Description of Capital Stock Registration Rights.

Indemnification

Both before and after the offering, we are obligated to indemnify the stockholders that are parties to the securityholders—agreement and each of their respective affiliates, controlling persons, directors, officers, employees and agents from and against any and all damages, claims, losses, expenses, costs, obligations and liabilities, including all reasonable attorneys—fees and expenses but excluding special or consequential damages, arising from, relating to or otherwise in respect of, any governmental or other third party claim against these indemnified persons that arises

from, relates to or is otherwise in respect of (1) our business, operations, liabilities or obligations or (2) the ownership by the stockholders or any of their respective affiliates of any of our equity securities, except to the extent these losses and expenses (x) arise from any claim that the indemnified person s investment decision relating to the purchase or sale of these equity securities violated a duty or other

84

obligation of the indemnified person to the claimant or (y) are finally determined in a judicial action by a court of competent jurisdiction to have resulted from the gross negligence or willful misconduct of the stockholder or its affiliates.

Loans to Our Executive Officers

Currently Outstanding Loans and Commitments

Loan Related to Acquisition of Common Stock by Ray Wirta. At the time of our acquisition of CB Richard Ellis Services in 2001, Mr. Wirta delivered a full-recourse note in the amount of \$512,504 as payment for a portion of our shares of Class A common stock purchased in connection with an offering of shares of our Class A common stock to our employees in 2001. This note bears interest at 10.0% per year. During 2002 and 2003, Mr. Wirta paid down his loan amount by \$40,004 and \$70,597, respectively. As of December 31, 2003, Mr. Wirta has an outstanding loan balance of \$401,903, which is included in notes receivable from sale of common stock in our consolidated balance sheet included elsewhere in this prospectus.

1996 Equity Incentive Plan Loans to Ray Wirta and Brett White. Each of Mr. Wirta and Mr. White has an outstanding loan pursuant to the CB Richard Ellis Services 1996 Equity Incentive Plan, which loans are described in further detail under the heading Management Compensation of Executive Officers.

Commitment to Provide Loan to Ray Wirta. In the event that our common stock is not freely tradable on a national securities exchange or an over-the-counter market by June 2004, we agreed in 2001 to loan Mr. Wirta up to \$3.0 million on a full-recourse basis to enable him to exercise an existing option to acquire shares held by The Koll Holding Company if Mr. Wirta is employed by us at the time of exercise, was terminated without cause or resigned for good reason. This loan will become repayable upon the earliest to occur of the following: (1) 90 days following termination of his employment, other than by us without cause or by him for good reason, (2) seven months following the date our common stock becomes freely tradable as described above and (3) the receipt of proceeds from the sale of the pledged shares. This loan will bear interest at the prime rate in effect on the date of the loan, compounded annually, and will be repayable to the extent of any net proceeds received by Mr. Wirta upon the sale of any shares of our common stock. Mr. Wirta is required to pledge the shares received upon exercise of the option as security for the loan.

Previously Outstanding Loans

Retention and Recruitment Award Loans. In the past we have made loans to our employees that represent prepaid retention and recruitment awards at varying principal amounts, bearing interest at rates up to 10.0% per annum and maturing on various dates through 2007. As of December 31, 2003, the outstanding employee loan balances included a \$0.3 million loan to Ray Wirta and a \$0.2 million loan to Brett White. These non-interest-bearing loans to Mr. Wirta and Mr. White were issued during 2002 and were due and payable on December 31, 2003. The compensation committee of our board of directors forgave these loans to Messrs. Wirta and White in full, effective January 1, 2004.

Loans Related to Acquisitions of Common Stock. In the past, we have made full recourse loans to employees, officers and certain of our stockholders for the purchase of shares of our commons stock. These loans are secured by shares of our common stock that are owned by the borrowers. As of December 31, 2003 and 2002 Mr. White, had outstanding loans of \$179,886 and \$164,832, respectively, which are included in notes receivable from sale of common stock in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets included elsewhere in this prospectus. This loan

relates to the acquisition of 12,500 shares of CB Richard Ellis Services common stock prior to our acquisition of CB Richard Ellis Services in 2001. Subsequent to the 2001 acquisition, these shares were converted into shares of our common stock and the related loan amount was carried forward. As amended, this loan accrued interest at 6.0% and the principal and all accrued interest was payable on or before April 23, 2010. Mr. White repaid this loan in full on February 10, 2004.

85

At the time of our acquisition of CB Richard Ellis Services, Mr. Wirta delivered to us an \$80,000 promissory note as payment for the purchase of 5,000 shares of our Class B common stock. Mr. Wirta repaid this promissory note in full in April of 2002. Additionally, Mr. White delivered a full-recourse note in the amount of \$209,734 as payment for a portion of our shares of Class A common stock purchased in connection with an offering of shares of our Class A common stock to our employees in 2001. This note bore interest at 10.0% per year. During 2002, Mr. White paid off his note in its entirety.

1996 Equity Incentive Plan Loans to Ray Wirta and Brett White. In addition to the currently outstanding loan referenced above, Mr. White had an outstanding loan pursuant to the CB Richard Ellis Services 1996 Equity Incentive Plan that was repaid in full, which loan is described in further detail under the heading Management Compensation of Executive Officers.

Transactions Related to Our Acquisition of CB Richard Ellis Services in 2001

Purchases of Common Stock and Grants of Stock Options. In connection with our acquisition of CB Richard Ellis Services in 2001, our stockholders that currently own shares of our Class B common stock, collectively, contributed 7,967,774 shares of CB Richard Ellis Services common stock to us in exchange for an equal number of shares of our Class B common stock. Also in connection with the acquisition, our stockholders affiliated with Blum Capital Partners made aggregate cash contributions to us of approximately \$71.0 million in exchange for an aggregate of 4,435,154 shares of our Class B common stock and CalPERS made a cash contribution of \$10.0 million in exchange for 625,000 shares of our Class A common stock.

Also in connection with the acquisition, we offered and sold shares of our Class A common stock to certain of our employees at the time that were designated by our board of directors in consultation with Ray Wirta and Brett White. If each of these designated employees subscribed for a specified number of shares that was determined by our board of directors, they were entitled to receive a grant of options to acquire our Class A common stock. These options have an exercise price of \$16.00 per share and a term of 10 years, with 20% of the options vesting on each of the first five anniversaries of the completion of the acquisition and all vesting if there is a change in control of us. In connection with this offering, Ray Wirta purchased 64,063 shares of our Class A common stock and received a grant of 176,153 options to acquire Class A common stock and Brett White purchased 26,563 shares of our Class A common stock and received a grant of 141,782 shares of our Class A common stock. As described in greater detail above, Mr. Wirta delivered a full-recourse note to us in the aggregate principal amount of \$512,504 as payment for a portion of his shares and Mr. White delivered a full-recourse note in the aggregate principal amount of \$209,734 as payment for a portion of his shares. Each of Mr. Wirta and Mr. White pledged as security for his full-recourse note a number of shares having an offering price equal to 200% of the amount of his note.

Transaction Fees. In connection with advisory services related to our acquisition of CB Richard Ellis Services in 2001, we paid a fee of \$3.0 million to an affiliate of Blum Capital Partners and \$2.0 million to an affiliate of Freeman Spogli. These advisory services included, among other things, transaction and structuring analysis, financing analysis and the arrangement and negotiation of debt and equity financing. The amounts of these fees were the result of arms length negotiations among the affiliates of Blum Capital Partners and Freeman Spogli and the other parties that provided equity financing in connection with our acquisition of CB Richard Ellis Services. We also reimbursed certain expenses of our stockholders affiliated with Blum Capital Partners and Freeman Spogli.

Treatment of Warrants to Acquire Shares of CB Richard Ellis Services Common Stock. Pursuant to an agreement entered into in connection with the acquisition of CB Richard Ellis Services, we issued to our stockholders affiliated with Freeman Spogli a warrant to acquire 255,477 shares of our Class B common stock at an exercise price of \$30.00 per share in exchange for the cancellation of previously outstanding warrants to acquire 364,884 shares of CB Richard Ellis Services common stock. These warrants will automatically be exercised on a cashless basis in connection with the completion of the offering. For additional information regarding these warrants, see the heading titled Description of Capital Stock Warrants.

Also pursuant to the same agreement, previously outstanding warrants to acquire 84,988 shares of CB Richard Ellis Services common stock beneficially owned by Ray Wirta and The Koll Holding Company were cancelled and Mr. Wirta and The Koll Holding Company received \$1.00 per share underlying these warrants in connection with the closing of the 2001 acquisition.

Transactions Related to Our Acquisition of Insignia in 2003

In connection with our acquisition of Insignia, our stockholders affiliated with Blum Capital Partners made aggregate cash contributions to us of \$105,394,160 in exchange for an aggregate of 6,587,135 shares of our Class B common stock, CalPERS made a cash contribution to us of \$10.0 million in exchange for 625,000 shares of our Class A common stock, some of our stockholders affiliated with CSFB made aggregate cash contributions to us of \$3,645,840 in exchange for an aggregate of 227,865 shares of our Class A common stock and Frederic V. Malek made a cash contribution to us of \$960,000 in exchange for 60,000 shares of our Class B common stock.

Debt Financing and Other Fees Paid to CSFB and its Affiliates

Affiliates of Credit Suisse First Boston, or CSFB, beneficially own approximately 27.8% of our outstanding Class A common stock and 3.3% of our Class A common stock and Class B common stock combined, in each case as of March 1, 2004. In connection with our acquisition of Insignia in 2003, CSFB or certain of its affiliates received customary fees and reimbursement of expenses for (1) its role as administrative agent and collateral agent with respect to the amendment and restatement of our senior secured credit facilities in May 2003 and October 2003, (2) its role as lead book-running manager in connection with the May 2003 offering and initial purchase of our 9 3/4% senior notes due 2010 and (3) the performance of mergers and acquisitions advisory services. In connection with the acquisition of CB Richard Ellis Services in 2001, CSFB or certain of its affiliates also received customary fees and reimbursement of expenses for (a) its role as administrative agent and collateral agent with respect to our senior secured credit facilities in July 2001 and (b) its role as lead book-running manager in connection with the June 2001 offering and initial purchase of our 1134% senior subordinated notes due 2011. In each case with respect to the services provided by CSFB and its affiliates, the fees received were in conformity with prevailing market rates for services of that nature.

Co-Investment with CalPERS

California Public Employees Retirement System, or CalPERS, beneficially owns approximately 48.4% of our Class A common stock as of March 1, 2004. In March 2001, our wholly owned subsidiary, CB Richard Ellis Investors, L.L.C., entered into a joint venture with CalPERS. This joint venture, Global Innovation Partners, targets real estate and private equity investments and expected opportunities created by the convergence of technology and real estate. The managing member of the joint venture is 50% owned by one of our subsidiaries. In connection with formation of the joint venture, CBRE Investors, CalPERS and some of our employees entered into an aggregate of \$526 million of capital commitments to Global Innovations Partners, of which CalPERS committed an aggregate of \$500 million.

Other Business Relationships with Our Directors

CBRE Investors and certain investment funds managed by it retained the law firm of Mayer, Brown, Rowe & Maw LLP, including its predecessors, to provide legal services during each of 2003, 2002 and 2001. Michael Kantor, who has been a member of our board of directors since February 2004, currently is a partner at Mayer, Brown, Rowe & Maw LLP.

87

PRINCIPAL AND SELLING STOCKHOLDERS

The table below sets forth the number of shares of our Class A common stock and Class B common stock beneficially owned, and the percentage ownership of our common stock, as of March 1, 2004 for the following persons:

each person that beneficially owns 5% or more of our Class A common stock or our Class B common stock;

each of our directors;

each of our executive officers; and

all of our directors and executive officers as a group.

All outstanding shares of Class B common stock will convert at a one-to-one ratio into shares of Class A common stock in connection with the completion of the offering. For additional information regarding our common stock, see the heading titled Description of Capital Stock Common Stock.

Except as otherwise noted below, the address for each person listed on the table is c/o CB Richard Ellis Group, Inc., 865 South Figueroa Street, Suite 3400, Los Angeles, California 90017. Beneficial ownership is determined in accordance with the federal securities rules that generally attribute beneficial ownership of securities to persons who possess sole or shared voting power or investment power with respect to those securities. Unless otherwise indicated, the persons or entities identified in this table have sole voting and investment power with respect to all shares shown as beneficially owned by them, subject to applicable community property laws. In computing the number of shares beneficially owned by a person and the percentage ownership of that person, shares subject to options or warrants held by that person that are or will become exercisable within 60 days are deemed outstanding, although the shares are not deemed outstanding for purposes of computing percentage ownership of any person.

| | Shares Benefici Prior to the O | · | | Shares Beneficially Owned After the Offering | |
|---|-----------------------------------|---------|---|---|-------------|
| | Number | Percent | Shares to be Sold in the Offering | Number | Percent (2) |
| Greater than 5% Stockholders: Blum Strategic Partners, L.P. | | | | | |
| Blum Strategic Partners II, L.P. | | | | | |
| Blum Strategic Partners II GmbH & Co. KG (3)(4) | 14,688,060 | 67.2% | | | % |
| FS Equity Partners III, L.P. | | | | | |
| FS Equity Partners International, L.P. (3)(5) | 3,402,463 | 15.6 | | | |
| California Public Employees Retirement System (6) | 1,250,000 | 5.7 | | | |
| DLJ Investment Partners II, L.P. | | | | | |
| DLJ Investment Partners, L.P. | | | | | |
| DLJIP II Holdings, L.P. (7) | 718,344 | 3.3 | | | |

Edgar Filing: CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP INC - Form S-1/A

| Executive Officers and Directors: |
|---|
| Ray Wirta (3)(8) 691,113 3.2 |
| Brett White (3)(9) |
| Kenneth J. Kay (10) |
| Richard C. Blum (3)(4) 14,688,060 67.2 |
| Jeffrey A. Cozad (3)(4) 14,688,060 67.2 |
| Patrice Marie Daniels |
| Bradford M. Freeman (3)(5) 3,402,463 15.6 |
| Michael Kantor |
| Frederic V. Malek 457,873 2.1 |
| Jeffrey S. Pion (11) 5,817 * |
| Gary L. Wilson |
| All directors and executive officers as a group 19,392,685 88.7 |

Other Selling Stockholders:

(12)

(footnotes on the following page)

88

(footnotes for previous page)

- * less than 1.0%
- (1) Our outstanding common stock as of March 1, 2003 includes 2,582,039 shares of our Class A common stock and 19,271,948 shares of our Class B common stock. All shares of outstanding common stock indicated as beneficially owned by Blum Strategic Partners, L.P., Blum Strategic Partners II, L.P., Blum Strategic Partners II GmbH & Co. KG, FS Equity Partners III, L.P., FS Equity Partners International, L.P. and Frederic V. Malek are Class B common stock. Among the shares of outstanding common stock indicated as beneficially owned by Ray Wirta and Brett White, 556,589 of Mr. Wirta s shares are Class B common stock and 32,500 of Mr. White s shares are Class B common stock. All other shares of outstanding common stock are Class A common stock.
- (2) Percentage ownership is based on the number of outstanding shares described in footnote (1) above and the sale of shares of Class A common stock by the selling stockholders and the issuance and sale of shares of Class A common stock by us in the offering. If the underwriters exercise in full their over-allotment option, the selling stockholders would sell additional shares of Class A common stock, which sale is not reflected in the table above.
- (3) As a result of the voting provisions set forth in the securityholders agreement described in greater detail in this prospectus under the heading Related Party Transactions Securityholders Agreement, this stockholder, together with our other stockholders that own shares of Class B common stock prior to the offering, may be deemed to constitute a group, within the meaning of Section 13(d)(3) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, after the offering. Accordingly, the group formed by these stockholders may be deemed to beneficially own shares of our Class A common stock after the offering.
- (4) Prior to the offering, consists of 6,720,494 shares of our Class B common stock owned by Blum Strategic Partners, L.P., 7,806,606 shares of our Class B common stock owned by Blum Strategic Partners II, L.P. and 160,960 shares of our Class B common stock owned by Blum Strategic Partners II GmbH & Co. KG. The sole general partner of Blum Strategic Partners, L.P. is Blum Strategic GP, L.L.C., and the sole general partner of Blum Strategic Partners II, L.P. and the managing limited partner of Blum Strategic Partners II GmbH & Co. KG is Blum Strategic GP II, L.L.C. Richard C. Blum is a managing member and Jeffrey A. Cozad is a member of Blum Strategic GP, L.L.C. and each of Messrs. Blum and Cozad is a managing member of Blum Strategic GP II, L.L.C. Except as to any pecuniary interest, each of Messrs. Blum and Cozad disclaims beneficial interest in all of these shares. The business address of Blum Strategic Partners, L.P., Blum Strategic Partners II GmbH & Co. KG, Blum Strategic GP, L.L.C., Blum Strategic GP II, L.L.C., Richard C. Blum and Jeffrey A. Cozad is 909 Montgomery Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, California 94133. As a result of the securityholders agreement, Blum Strategic Partners, L.P., Blum Strategic Partners II, L.P. and Blum Strategic Partners II GmbH & Co. KG share voting power over the indicated shares with our other stockholders that own shares of Class B common stock prior to the offering.
- (5) Prior to the offering, consists of 3,278,447 shares of our Class B common stock held by FS Equity Partners III, L.P., or FSEP III, and 124,016 shares of our Class B common stock held by FS Equity Partners International, L.P. or FSEP International. Shares beneficially owned after the offering reflects the cashless exercise of warrants to acquire shares of our Class A common stock in connection with the merger, which will result in the issuance of shares to FSEP III and shares to FSEP International. This number of shares issued upon exercise of these warrants assumes an initial public offering price of \$ per share, which is the mid-point of the range set forth on the cover page of this prospectus. As general partner of FS Capital Partners, L.P., which is the general partner of FSEP III, FS Holdings, Inc. has the power to vote and dispose of the shares owned by FSEP III. As general partner of FS&Co. International, L.P., which is the general partner of FSEP International, FS International Holdings Limited has the power to vote and dispose of the shares owned by FSEP International. Bradford Freeman, who is one of our directors, Ronald Spogli, Frederick Simmons, William Wardlaw, John Roth and Charles Rullman, Jr. are the directors, officers and shareholders of FS Holdings, Inc. and FS International Holdings Limited, and may be deemed

89

Table of Contents

to be the beneficial owners of the shares of common stock, and rights to acquire common stock, owned by FSEP III and FSEP International. The business address of FSEP III, FS Capital Partners, L.P. and FS Holdings, Inc. and their directors, officers and beneficial owners is 11100 Santa Monica Boulevard, Suite 1900, Los Angeles, California 90025. The business address of FSEP International, FS&Co. International, L.P. and FS International Holdings Limited is c/o Paget-Brown & Company, Ltd., West Winds Building, Third Floor, Grand Cayman, Cayman Islands, British West Indies. As a result of the securityholders agreement, FSEP III and FSEP International share voting power over the indicated shares with our other stockholders that own shares of Class B common stock prior to the offering.

- (6) The business address of CalPERS is 400 P Street, Suite 3492, Sacramento, California 95814.
- (7) The shares of Class A common stock beneficially owned by these entities comprise 27.8% of our outstanding Class A common stock and 3.3% of our Class A common stock and Class B common stock combined, in each case as of March 1, 2004. The shares beneficially owned include 408,226 shares of Class A common stock owned by DLJ Investment Partners II, L.P., 181,413 shares of Class A common stock owned by DLJIP II Holdings, L.P. (collectively, the DLJIP Entities). Credit Suisse First Boston, a Swiss bank, owns a majority of the voting stock of Credit Suisse First Boston, Inc., which in turn owns all the voting stock of Credit Suisse First Boston (USA), Inc. (CSFB-USA). The DLJIP Entities are private equity funds advised by subsidiaries of CSFB-USA. Credit Suisse First Boston LLC, one of the underwriters in this offering, is a direct subsidiary of CSFB-USA and itself does not hold any ownership interest in the DLJIP Entities. The principal address for each of the DLJIP Entities is 11 Madison Avenue, 16th Floor, New York, NY 10010.
- (8) Includes 272,457 shares of Class B common stock held by The Koll Holding Company that Mr. Wirta has the right to acquire under an option agreement. Also includes 70,461 shares of Class A common stock subject to options that are exercisable or are exercisable within 60 days. As a result of the securityholders agreement, Mr. Wirta shares voting power over 620,652 of the indicated shares with our other stockholders that own shares of Class B common stock prior to the offering.
- (9) Includes 56,713 shares of Class A common stock subject to options that are exercisable or are exercisable within 60 days. Also includes 25,000 shares of Class A common stock underlying vested stock fund units in our deferred compensation plan. In connection with any voluntary or involuntary termination of his employment with us, Mr. White may be entitled to receive an issuance of some or all of the shares underlying the stock fund units within 60 days of such termination, depending upon the date of such termination and the current terms of the election he has made under the plan. As a result of the securityholders—agreement, Mr. White shares voting power over 59,063 of the indicated shares with our other stockholders that own shares of Class B common stock prior to the offering.
- (10) Includes 12,400 shares of Class A common stock subject to options that are exercisable or are exercisable within 60 days.
- (11) Includes 5,817 shares of Class A common stock underlying vested stock fund units in our deferred compensation plan. In connection with any voluntary or involuntary termination of his employment with us, Mr. Pion may be entitled to receive a distribution of some or all of the shares underlying the stock fund units within 60 days of such termination, depending upon the date of such termination and the current terms of the election he has made under the plan.

(12) To be filed by amendment.

90

DESCRIPTION OF CAPITAL STOCK

The following description summarizes information regarding our capital stock. This information does not purport to be complete and is subject in all respects to the applicable provisions, of the Delaware General Corporation Law, and our restated certificate of incorporation and restated by-laws, which are included as exhibits to the registration statement of which this prospectus forms a part.

Common Stock

Generally. Prior to the offering, we have a dual class common stock structure. We are authorized to issue an aggregate of 100,000,000 shares of common stock consisting of 75,000,000 shares of Class A common stock, \$0.01 par value per share, and 25,000,000 shares of Class B common stock, \$0.01 par value per share. We expect to amend and restate our certificate of incorporation prior to the completion of the offering, which will increase the shares of Class A common stock authorized for issuance to 325,000,000. Except with respect to voting as described below, the holders of Class A common stock and Class B common stock have the same rights. Pursuant to the automatic conversion provision described below, all outstanding shares of Class B common stock will convert at a one-to-one ratio into shares of Class A common stock in connection with the completion of the offering. As a result, after the completion of the offering, shares of Class B common stock will be outstanding, or shares if the underwriters exercise in full their over-allotment option, and no shares of Class B common stock will be outstanding. Immediately after the completion of the offering, we expect to amend and restate our certificate of incorporation again to eliminate the authorized shares of Class B common stock. As a result, we do not expect to be able to issue shares of our Class B common stock after completion of the offering.

Prior to Completion of the Offering

Voting Rights. Each share of Class A common stock entitles the holder to one vote in all matters submitted to a vote of our stockholders. Each share of Class B common stock entitles the holder to ten votes in all matters submitted to a vote of stockholders. There is no cumulative voting. Except as required by applicable law, the holders of Class A common stock and the holders of Class B common stock vote together on all matters submitted to a vote of our stockholders. In the event that any amendment to the certificate of incorporation is proposed that would alter or change the powers, preferences or special rights of either class of our common stock so as to affect them adversely, we must obtain the approval of a majority of the votes entitled to be cast by the holders of the outstanding shares of the class affected by the proposed amendment. In addition, the number of authorized shares of Class A common stock or Class B common stock may be increased or decreased, but not below the number of shares then outstanding, by the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority in voting power of our outstanding shares of capital stock entitled to vote generally in the election of directors.

Dividends. Holders of Class A common stock and Class B common stock are entitled to receive ratably any dividends that may be declared from time to time by our board of directors out of funds legally available for that purpose. In the event that a dividend or distribution is paid or distributed with respect to one class of common stock, a simultaneous dividend or distribution must be paid or distributed on the other class and in the same proportion. However, in the case of dividends or other distributions payable in common stock, only shares of Class A common stock would be paid or distributed with respect to Class A common stock and only shares of Class B common stock would be paid or distributed with respect to Class B common stock. We may not subdivide or combine shares of either class of our common stock without at the same time proportionally subdividing or combining shares of the other class.

Changes in Capitalization. In the event there is an increase or decrease in the number of issued shares of common stock resulting from any stock split, stock dividend, reverse stock split, combination or reclassification of our common stock, or any other similar event resulting in an

increase or decrease in the number of outstanding shares of common stock, the outstanding shares of Class A common stock and the outstanding shares of Class B common stock must be adjusted in the same manner.

91

Optional Conversion. As long as shares of Class B common stock are outstanding, a holder of Class B common stock may at any time convert any shares of Class A common stock the holder owns, in whole or in part, on a share for share basis into the same number of shares of Class B common stock. A holder of Class B common stock may at any time convert any shares of Class B common stock it owns, in whole or in part, on a share for share basis into the same number of shares of Class A common stock. In the event of a transfer of shares of Class B common stock to any person or entity other than a permitted transferee, each share of Class B common stock so transferred will be converted automatically into one share of Class A common stock. For the purposes of a transfer of capital stock, the permitted transferees include affiliates of Blum Capital Partners, any person or entity that owned Class B common stock at the effective time of our acquisition of CB Richard Ellis Services and any single person or entity to which a current Class B common stock holder transfers its right to be a permitted holder and all of its Class B common stock.

Automatic Conversion. Each share of Class B common stock converts automatically into one share of Class A common stock upon completion of the offering.

Mergers and Other Business Combinations. Subject to the next sentence, unless otherwise approved by a majority of the votes entitled to be cast by the holders of the outstanding shares of Class A common stock and the outstanding shares of Class B common stock, each voting separately as a class, all shares of Class A common stock and Class B common stock are entitled to receive equally on a per share basis the same kind and amount of consideration in the event of any merger, reorganization or consolidation of us with any company. In the event that one or more of the other corporations or entities that is a party to a merger or similar transaction with us deems it necessary for the merger to be treated as a recapitalization for financial accounting purposes and for us to no longer be subject to the reporting requirements of Section 14 of the Exchange Act after the closing date of the merger, then, solely to the extent deemed necessary by the other corporation or entity to satisfy these requirements, the kind of consideration that a holder of a share of Class A common stock would be entitled to receive may be different than the kind of consideration that a holder of a share of Class B common stock would be entitled to receive.

Liquidation. In the event of liquidation, dissolution or winding up, the holders of Class A common stock and Class B common stock are entitled to share ratably in all assets remaining after the payment of liabilities.

After Completion of the Offering

Voting Rights. Holders of our Class A common stock generally will be entitled to one vote per share on all matters on which our stockholders are entitled to vote. The holders of Class A common stock will not have cumulative voting rights in the election of directors.

Dividends. Holders of our Class A common stock will be entitled to receive ratably dividends if, as and when declared from time to time by our board of directors out of funds legally available for that purpose, after payment of dividends required to be paid on any outstanding preferred stock, as described below. Our senior credit facilities and indentures impose restrictions on our ability to declare dividends with respect to our Class A common stock.

Liquidation Rights. Upon our dissolution, liquidation or winding up, the holders of our Class A common stock will be entitled to receive ratably the assets available for distribution to our stockholders after payment of liabilities and accrued but unpaid dividends and liquidation preferences on any outstanding preferred stock.

Other Matters. Our Class A common stock will not have preemptive or conversion rights and will not be subject to further calls or assessment by us. There will be no redemption or sinking fund provisions applicable to our Class A common stock.

Preferred Stock

In our restated certificate of incorporation that we expect to file prior to completion of the offering, our board of directors will be authorized, subject to any limitations imposed by law, without the approval of our stockholders, to issue from time to time up to a total of 25,000,000 shares of our preferred stock, in one or more series, with each such series having rights and preferences, including voting rights, dividend rights, conversion rights, redemption privileges and liquidation preferences, as our board of directors may determine. The issuance of our preferred stock, while potentially providing us with flexibility in connection with possible acquisitions and other corporate purposes, could have the effect of making it more difficult for a third party to acquire, or discourage a third party from attempting to acquire, a majority of our outstanding voting stock. We have no present plans to issue any shares of preferred stock.

Warrants

In connection with our acquisition of CB Richard Ellis Services in 2001, we issued to our stockholders affiliated with Freeman Spogli a warrant to acquire, subject to customary anti-dilution provisions, 255,477 shares of our Class B common stock at an exercise price of \$30.00 per share in exchange for the cancellation of previously outstanding warrants to acquire 364,884 shares of CB Richard Ellis Services common stock. These warrants may be exercised at the option of the holders on August 26 and 27, 2007 either by delivery of the exercise price in cash or by a cashless exercise. These warrants are exercised automatically on a cashless basis in connection with specified triggering events, one of which is the completion of an underwritten initial public offering of our common stock that results in our common stock being listed on either the New York Stock Exchange or the Nasdaq National Market. In connection with any cashless exercise, instead of payment of the exercise price in cash, the stockholders affiliated with Freeman Spogli surrender their warrant certificates and receive a net amount of shares of our common stock based on the fair market value of our common stock at the time of the exercise of the warrants, after deducting the aggregate exercise price.

Accordingly, in connection with the completion of the offering, these warrants will automatically be exercised and we will issue an aggregate of shares to our stockholders affiliated with Freeman Spogli. This number of shares issued upon exercise of these warrants assumes an initial public offering price of \$ per share, which is the mid-point of the range set forth on the cover page of this prospectus.

Registration Rights

Pursuant to a securityholders agreement, the other terms of which are described under the heading Related Party Transactions Securityholders Agreement, we have granted registration rights to our stockholders that are parties to that agreement.

Demand Registrations. As a result of these registration rights, after we have completed this offering and upon the expiration or earlier waiver of the lock-up period imposed by the underwriters, we can be required by some of our stockholders to effect additional registration statements, or demand registrations, registering the securities held by the stockholder for sale under the Securities Act of 1933. Under this agreement, our stockholders affiliated with Blum Capital Partners may request six demand registrations, our stockholders affiliated with Freeman Spogli may request three demand registrations and our stockholders that acquired their shares in connection with the offering of our 16% senior notes due 2011 may request one demand registration. After completion of the offering, these stockholders will beneficially own shares of our common stock, or shares if the underwriters exercise their over-allotment option in full. If a demand registration is underwritten and the managing underwriter advises us that marketing factors require a limitation on the number of shares to be underwritten, priority of inclusion in the demand registration generally is such that the stockholder initiating the demand registration receives first priority.

Piggyback Registrations. In addition to our obligations with respect to demand registrations, if we propose to register any of our securities, other than a registration relating to our employee benefit plans or a corporate reorganization or other transaction under Rule 145 of the Securities

Act, whether or not the registration is for our

93

own account, we are required to give each of our stockholders that is party to the securityholders—agreement the opportunity to participate, or piggyback, in the registration. These piggyback registration rights apply in the offering because affiliates of Blum Capital Partners are selling shares in the offering. If a piggyback registration is underwritten and the managing underwriter advises us that marketing factors require a limitation on the number of shares to be underwritten, priority of inclusion in the demand registration generally is such that we receive first priority with respect to the shares we are issuing and selling.

Other Registration Provisions. The registration rights are subject to conditions and limitations, among them the right of the underwriters of an offering subject to the registration to limit the number of shares included in the offering. We generally are required to pay the registration expenses in connection with both demand and piggyback registrations. A stockholder s registration rights will terminate if we have completed an initial public offering of our common stock, the stockholder holds less than 2% of our outstanding common stock and the stockholder is entitled to sell all of its shares in any 90-day period under Rule 144 of the Securities Act. For additional information regarding sales under Rule 144, see the description under the heading Shares Eligible for Future Sale Sale of Restricted Shares.

Anti-Dilution Agreement

In connection with the 2001 issuance and sale of our 16% senior notes due 2011, we issued an aggregate of 339,820 shares of our Class A common stock to the purchasers of the senior notes. We also entered into an anti-dilution agreement pursuant to which these stockholders have the right to purchase additional shares of our Class A common stock for \$0.01 per share upon the occurrence of specified events.

These specified events include any issuance of shares of our common stock or options, warrants or other securities convertible into, or exchangeable or exercisable for, shares of our common stock, in each case, at a price that is less than the current market price per share of our common stock. The current market price per share of any class of our common stock at any date generally is the average of the quoted price of our common stock on a securities exchange for 30 consecutive trading days commencing 45 trading days before the date in question. If our shares are not listed on a securities exchange on the date in question, then the current market price would be determined by our board of directors, which determination in some cases must based upon a valuation by an unaffiliated nationally-recognized investment banking or appraisal firm. With respect to issuances of stock options by us, the current market price (1) prior to an initial public offering of our common stock may be determined by our board of directors in good faith and (2) after an initial public offering is determined based upon the quoted price of our common stock on the trading day immediately preceding the date of grant of the option.

The right of these stockholders to purchase additional shares of our Class A common stock pursuant to the anti-dilution agreement is subject to important exceptions, which include issuances of common stock pursuant to bona fide public offerings and issuances of common stock pursuant to certain employee stock purchase programs.

If we consolidate or merge with or into, or transfer or lease all or substantially all of our assets to, any person, and in connection with such transaction the holders receive common stock of another entity or option, warrants or other securities convertible into or exchange for common stock of another entity, then upon consummation of such transaction, the right to purchase additional shares of our common stock under this agreement will automatically become applicable to the common stock of the other entity.

No adjustment in the number of shares held by these stockholders is required to be made unless the adjustment would require an increase or decrease of at least 1% in the number of shares held by these stockholders. Any such adjustments that are not made are carried forward and taken into account in determining any subsequent adjustments.

94

Table of Contents

The anti-dilution agreement terminates on July 20, 2011 and, with respect to each of the shares of our Class A common stock subject to such agreement, the agreement also terminates at such time as such share has been transferred pursuant to a registration statement filed with the SEC or pursuant to Rule 144 of the rules and regulations promulgated by the SEC under the Securities Act of 1933.

Anti-Takeover Effects of Certain Provisions of Our Restated Certificate of Incorporation and Restated By-Laws

Certain provisions of our restated certificate of incorporation and restated by-laws, which we expect will be filed and become effective prior to the completion of the offering, may have an anti-takeover effect and may delay, defer or prevent a tender offer or takeover attempt that a stockholder might consider in its best interest, including those attempts that might result in a premium over the market price for the shares held by stockholders.

Removal of Directors; Vacancies

Our restated certificate of incorporation will provide that our directors may be removed only upon the affirmative vote of holders of at least 80% of the voting power of all the then outstanding shares of stock entitled to vote generally in the election of directors, voting together as a single class. In addition, our restated certificate of incorporation and restated by-laws also will provide that any newly created directorships and vacancies on our board of directors generally will be filled only by the affirmative vote of a majority of the remaining directors, although less than a quorum.

No Stockholder Action by Written Consent; Calling of Special Meetings of Stockholders

Our restated certificate of incorporation will prohibit stockholder action by written consent. In addition, our restated certificate of incorporation and restated by-laws will provide that special meetings of our stockholders may be called only by the chairman of our board, our chief executive officer or our corporate secretary at the direction of the board of directors.

Advance Notice Requirements for Stockholder Proposals and Director Nominations

Our restated by-laws will provide that stockholders seeking to nominate candidates for election as directors or to bring business before an annual meeting of stockholders must provide timely notice of their proposal in writing to the corporate secretary. Generally, to be timely, a stockholder s notice will need to be received at our principal executive offices not less than 90 days nor more than 120 days prior to the first anniversary date of the previous year s annual meeting. Our restated by-laws also will specify requirements as to the form and content of a stockholder s notice. These provisions may impede stockholders ability to bring matters before an annual meeting of stockholders or make nominations for directors at an annual meeting of stockholders.

Amendments

Delaware law generally provides that the affirmative vote of a majority of the outstanding shares entitled to vote is required to amend a corporation s certificate of incorporation or by-laws, unless the certificate of incorporation requires a greater percentage. Our restated certificate of incorporation and restated by-laws will provide that the following provisions in our certificate of incorporation and by-laws may be amended only by a vote of at least 80% of the voting power of all of the outstanding shares of our stock entitled to vote:

the removal of directors and filling newly-created directorships and board of director vacancies;

the prohibition on stockholder action by written consent;

the ability to call a special meeting of stockholders being vested solely in our board of directors, our chief executive officer and the chairman of our board;

95

Table of Contents

the advance notice requirements for stockholder proposals and director nominations; and

the amendment provision requiring that the above provisions be amended only with an 80% supermajority vote.

In addition, our restated certificate of incorporation will grant our board of directors the authority to amend and repeal our by-laws without a stockholder vote in any manner not inconsistent with the laws of the State of Delaware or our certificate of incorporation.

Limitations on Liability and Indemnification of Officers and Directors

Our certificate of incorporation provides that our directors may not be held liable to us or our stockholders for monetary damages for breach of their fiduciary duties as directors, except to the extent the exemption from, or limitation of, liability is not permitted under Delaware law.

Our certificate of incorporation also provides that we must indemnify our directors and officers to the fullest extent authorized by Delaware law. We are also expressly authorized to carry directors and officers insurance providing indemnification for our directors, officers and certain employees for some liabilities. We believe that these indemnification provisions and insurance are useful to attract and retain qualified directors and executive officers.

The limitation of liability and indemnification provisions in our certificate of incorporation may discourage stockholders from bringing a lawsuit against directors for breach of their fiduciary duty. These provisions may also have the effect of reducing the likelihood of derivative litigation against directors and officers, even though such an action, if successful, might otherwise benefit us and our stockholders. In addition, your investment may be adversely affective to the extent we pay the costs of settlement and damage awards against directors and officers pursuant to these indemnification provisions.

There is currently no pending material litigation or proceeding involving any of our directors, officers or employees for which indemnification is sought.

Delaware Anti-Takeover Statute

Pursuant to our current certificate of incorporation, we have opted out of the protections of Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law. We expect to opt in as part of our restated certificate of incorporation that we expect will be filed prior to the completion of the offering. Subject to specified exceptions, Section 203 prohibits a publicly held Delaware corporation from engaging in a business combination with an interested stockholder for a period of three years after the date of the transaction in which the person became an interested stockholder. Business combinations include mergers, asset sales and other transactions resulting in a financial benefit to the interested stockholder. Subject to various exceptions, an interested stockholder is a person who together with his or her affiliates and associates, owns, or within three years did own, 15% or more of the corporation s outstanding voting stock. These restrictions generally prohibit or delay the accomplishment of mergers or other takeover or change-in control attempts. However, in connection with our expected opt in, our stockholders that currently own 15% or more of our outstanding voting stock, including affiliates of Blum Capital Partners and affiliates of Freeman Spogli & Co. Incorporated, will not be considered interested stockholders under Section 203.

Transfer Agent

The transfer agent for our Class A common stock is The Bank of New York located at 101 Barclay Street, New York, New York, 10286 and its telephone number is (212) 815-3776.

96

SHARES ELIGIBLE FOR FUTURE SALE

Prior to this offering, there was no market for our common stock. We can make no predictions as to the effect, if any, that sales of shares or the availability of shares for sale will have on the market price prevailing from time to time. Nevertheless, sales of significant amounts of our common stock in the public market, or the perception that those sales may occur, could adversely affect prevailing market prices and impair our future ability to raise capital through the sale of our equity at a time and price we deem appropriate.

Sale of Restricted Shares

Upon completion of the offering, we will have shares of common stock outstanding, or shares outstanding if the underwriters exercise in full their over-allotment option. In addition, shares of common stock are issuable upon the exercise of outstanding stock options and warrants or in connection with distributions pursuant to our deferred compensation plan. All shares to be outstanding after the offering will be freely tradable without restriction under the Securities Act of 1933, subject in certain cases to the lock-up agreements described below and the manner of sale provision of Rule 144, and except for any of the shares which may be held or acquired by an affiliate of our company, as that term is defined in Rule 144 promulgated under the Securities Act of 1933, which shares will be subject to the volume limitations and other restrictions of Rule 144 described below.

In general, under Rule 144 as currently in effect, an affiliate of our company will be entitled to sell in the public market a number of shares within any three-month period that does not exceed the greater of 1% of the then outstanding shares of the common stock or the average weekly reported volume of trading of the common stock on the New York Stock Exchange during the four calendar weeks preceding the sale. The holder may sell those shares only through brokers transactions or in the transactions directly with a market maker, as those terms are defined in Rule 144. Sales under Rule 144 are also subject to requirements regarding providing notice of those sales and the availability of current public information concerning us.

Registrations on Form S-8

We intend to file registration statements on Form S-8 under the Securities Act of 1933 to register shares of common stock issuable under our 2001 stock incentive plan, our deferred compensation plan and our 2004 stock incentive plan that we expect to adopt prior to the completion of the offering. These registration statements are expected to be filed following the effective date of the registration statement of which this prospectus forms a part and will be effective upon filing. As a result, after the effective date of these Form S-8 registration statements, shares issued pursuant to our 2001 stock incentive plan and our 2004 stock incentive plan, including upon the exercise of stock options, and shares issued pursuant to the deferred compensation plan will be eligible for resale in the public market without restriction, subject to Rule 144 limitations applicable to affiliates and the lock-up agreements described below.

Lock-Up Agreements

For a description of the lock-up agreements with the underwriters that restrict sales of shares by us, our directors and executive officers and certain of our other employees and stockholders, see the information under the heading Underwriting.

Registration Rights

For a description of registration rights with respect to our common stock, see the information under the heading Description of Capital Stock Registration Rights.

97

DESCRIPTION OF CERTAIN LONG-TERM INDEBTEDNESS

CB Richard Ellis Services Senior Secured Credit Facilities

In connection with our acquisition of CB Richard Ellis Services in 2001, CB Richard Ellis Services entered into a credit agreement for which Credit Suisse First Boston, or CSFB, serves as the administrative agent and collateral agent. The credit agreement was amended as of the closing of the offering of our 9¾% senior notes on May 22, 2003 to permit the issuance of the 9¾% senior notes and was amended and restated upon the consummation of the Insignia acquisition on July 23, 2003. On October 14, 2003, CB Richard Ellis Services amended and restated the credit agreement a second time. CB Richard Ellis Services senior secured credit facilities, as set forth in the current amended and restated credit agreement, consist of a \$300.0 million term loan facility and a \$90.0 million revolving credit facility.

The senior secured credit facilities are jointly and severally guaranteed by us and substantially all of CB Richard Ellis Services domestic subsidiaries, including future domestic subsidiaries. The senior secured credit facilities are secured by a pledge of all of the equity interests of CB Richard Ellis Services and its significant domestic subsidiaries, including CB Richard Ellis, Inc., CBRE Investors, L.L.C., L.J. Melody & Company, Insignia Financial Group, Inc. and Insignia/ESG, Inc., which was renamed CB Richard Ellis Real Estate Services, Inc., and 65% of the voting stock of its foreign subsidiaries that are held directly by it or its domestic subsidiaries. Additionally, these lenders generally have a lien on substantially all of our accounts receivable, cash, general intangibles, investment property and future acquired property.

The term loan facility matures on December 31, 2008 and amortizes in equal quarterly installments of \$2.5 million through September 30, 2008, with the balance payable on the maturity date. The revolving credit facility terminates on July 20, 2007.

Borrowings under the senior secured credit facilities bear interest at varying rates based, at CB Richard Ellis Services option, on either LIBOR plus 3.00% to 3.75% or the alternate base rate plus 2.00% to 2.75%, in the case of the revolving facility (in each case determined by reference to our ratio of total debt less available cash to EBITDA, as such term is defined in the credit agreement), and LIBOR plus 3.25% or the alternate base rate plus 2.25%, in the case of the term loan facility. The alternate base rate is the higher of (1) CSFB s prime rate or (2) the effective rate for federal funds plus 0.50%.

We are required to pay to the lenders under the senior secured credit facilities a commitment fee on the unused portion of the revolving credit facility and a letter of credit fee on each letter of credit outstanding. We are also required to apply certain proceeds of sales of assets, issuances of equity, incurrences of debt and excess cash flow to the prepayment of the term loans.

The amended and restated credit agreement for the senior secured credit facilities contains customary restrictive covenants, including, among others, limitations on the ability of us and our subsidiaries to pay dividends on, redeem and repurchase capital stock; prepay, redeem and repurchase debt; incur liens; enter into sale/leaseback transactions; make loans and investments; incur indebtedness; enter into mergers, acquisitions and asset sales; enter into transactions with affiliates; change lines of business; and make capital expenditures.

In addition, the amended and restated credit agreement contains covenants that require us to maintain specified financial ratios, which include the following ratios: total debt less available cash to EBITDA; total senior secured debt less available cash to EBITDA; EBITDA to interest expense plus expense associated with dividends paid to us to pay amounts due under the 16% senior notes due 2011; and EBITDA less capital expenditures and co-investments to interest expense plus expense associated with dividends paid to us to pay amounts due under the 16% senior

notes due 2011.

The amended and restated credit agreement also includes customary events of default, including nonpayment of principal, interest, fees or reimbursement obligations with respect to letters of credit, violation of

98

covenants, inaccuracy of representations and warranties in any material respect, cross default and cross-acceleration to certain other indebtedness and agreements, bankruptcy and insolvency events, material judgments and liabilities, defaults or judgments under ERISA and change of control. The occurrence of any of the events of default could result in acceleration of our obligations under the amended and restated credit agreement and foreclosure on the collateral securing the obligations.

This summary of the material provisions of the amended and restated credit agreement is qualified in its entirety by reference to all of the provisions of the amended and restated credit agreement, which is filed as an exhibit to the registration statement of which this prospectus is a part.

CB Richard Ellis Services 93/4% Senior Notes Due 2010

On May 22, 2003, CBRE Escrow, Inc. issued \$200.0 million in aggregate principal amount of 9¾% senior notes due 2010 for \$200.0 million. In connection with our acquisition of Insignia on July 23, 2003, CBRE Escrow merged into CB Richard Ellis Services, Inc., which assumed the 9¾% senior notes, and we and substantially all of our domestic subsidiaries guaranteed the 9¾% senior notes. CB Richard Ellis Services 9¾% senior notes are its unsecured senior obligations and rank equally in right of payment with any of our existing and future senior unsecured indebtedness but are effectively subordinated to all of our secured debt to the extent of the value of the assets securing such debt. They are also structurally subordinated to all of the existing and future liabilities of CB Richard Ellis Services subsidiaries that do not guarantee the notes. The 9¾% senior notes are governed by an indenture among CB Richard Ellis Services, us, the other guarantors and U.S. Bank National Association, as trustee.

Interest accrues at a rate of 934% per year and is payable semiannually in arrears. Interest is computed on the basis of a 360-day year comprised of twelve 30-day months. There are no mandatory sinking fund payments for our 934% senior notes. We may at any time, and from time to time, purchase our 934% senior notes in the open market or otherwise. We and certain of our subsidiaries guaranteed our 934% senior notes on a senior unsecured basis. These guarantees by the guarantors of the notes are *pari passu* to all of such guarantors existing and future indebtedness.

Until May 15, 2006, our 9¾% senior notes may be redeemed on one or more occasions in an amount not to exceed 35% of the principal amount of all issued 9¾% senior notes at a redemption price of 109¾%, plus accrued and unpaid interest to the redemption date, with cash proceeds raised in certain public equity offerings, as long as:

at least 65% of the aggregate principal amount of our 93/4% senior notes, including any additional 93/4% senior notes, remains outstanding after each redemption;

if the money is raised in an equity offering by us, we contribute to CB Richard Ellis Services an amount sufficient to redeem the 93/4% senior notes; and

the 93/4% senior notes are redeemed within 90 days after the completion of the related equity offering.

On and after May 15, 2007, all or a portion of our 934% senior notes will be redeemable at our option upon not less than 30 nor more than 60 days notice. The notes are redeemable at the redemption prices, expressed as a percentage of the principal amount on the redemption date, set forth in the table below, plus accrued and unpaid interest, if redeemed during the twelve-month period commencing May 15 of the years below:

| Year | Percentage |
|---------------------|------------|
| | |
| 2007 | 104.875% |
| 2008 | 102.438 |
| 2009 and thereafter | 100.000 |

In the event of a change of control, which is defined in the indenture governing the 9\%% senior notes, we will be obligated to make an offer to purchase all outstanding 9\%% senior notes at a redemption price of 101\% of the principal amount plus accrued interest, subject to certain conditions.

The indenture governing our 93/4% senior notes contains customary restrictive covenants for high yield securities, including, among others, limitations on our ability and the ability of our subsidiaries to incur or guarantee additional indebtedness; pay dividends or distributions on capital stock or redeem or repurchase capital stock; make investments; create restrictions on the payment of dividends or other amounts to us; sell stock of our subsidiaries; transfer or sell assets; enter into transactions with affiliates; and enter into mergers and consolidations.

This summary of the material provisions of our 93/4% senior notes is qualified in its entirety by reference to all of the provisions of the indenture governing our 93/4% senior notes, which is filed as an exhibit to the registration statement of which this prospectus is a part.

CB Richard Ellis Services 111/4% Senior Subordinated Notes Due 2011

On June 7, 2001, Blum CB Corp. issued \$229.0 million in aggregate principal amount of 11¼% senior subordinated notes due 2011 for \$225.6 million. In connection with our acquisition of CB Richard Ellis Services in 2001, CB Richard Ellis Services assumed the 11¼% senior subordinated notes and we and substantially all of our domestic subsidiaries guaranteed the 11¼% senior subordinated notes. CB Richard Ellis Services 11¼% senior subordinated notes are our unsecured senior subordinated obligations and rank equally in right of payment with any of our existing and future senior subordinated unsecured indebtedness but are subordinated to any of our existing and future senior indebtedness. The 11¼% senior subordinated notes are governed by an indenture among CB Richard Ellis Services, us, the other guarantors and U.S. Bank National Association (as successor to State Street Bank and Trust Company of California, N.A.), as trustee.

Interest accrues at a rate of 11¼% per year and is payable semiannually in arrears. Interest is computed on the basis of a 360-day year comprised of twelve 30-day months. There are no mandatory sinking fund payments for our 11¼% senior subordinated notes. We may at any time and from time to time purchase our 11¼% senior subordinated notes in the open market or otherwise. We and substantially all of our domestic subsidiaries guaranteed the 11¼% senior subordinated notes on a senior subordinated basis. These guarantees are subordinated to all of such guarantors existing and future senior indebtedness, including guarantees by them of the senior secured credit facilities.

Until June 15, 2004, our 11¼% senior subordinated notes may be redeemed on one or more occasions in an amount not to exceed 35% of the principal amount of all issued 11¼% senior subordinated notes at a redemption price of 111¼%, plus accrued and unpaid interest to the redemption date, with cash proceeds raised in certain public equity offerings, as long as:

at least 65% of the aggregate principal amount of the 11¼% senior subordinated notes, including any additional 11¼% senior subordinated notes, remains outstanding after each redemption;

if the money is raised in an equity offering by us, we contribute to CB Richard Ellis Services an amount sufficient to redeem the 111/4% senior subordinated notes; and

the 111/4% senior subordinated notes are redeemed within 90 days after the completion of the related equity offering.

100

On and after June 15, 2006, all or a portion of our 11¼% senior subordinated notes will be redeemable at our option upon not less than 30 nor more than 60 days notice. The notes are redeemable at the redemption prices, expressed as a percentage of the principal amount on the redemption date, set forth in the table below, plus accrued and unpaid interest, if redeemed during the twelve-month period commencing June 15 of the years below:

| Year | Percentage |
|---------------------|------------|
| | |
| 2006 | 105.625% |
| 2007 | 103.750 |
| 2008 | 101.875 |
| 2009 and thereafter | 100.000 |

In the event of a change of control, which is defined in the indenture governing the 11¼% senior subordinated notes, we will be obligated to make an offer to purchase all outstanding 11¼% senior subordinated notes at a redemption price of 101% of the principal amount plus accrued interest.

The indenture governing our 11¼% senior subordinated notes contains customary restrictive covenants for high yield securities, which covenants are substantially the same as the covenants in the indenture governing our 9¾% senior notes.

This summary of the material provisions of our 11¼% senior subordinated notes is qualified in its entirety by reference to all of the provisions of the indenture governing our 11¼% senior subordinated notes, which is filed as an exhibit to the registration statement of which this prospectus is a part.

CB Richard Ellis Group s 16% Senior Notes Due 2011

In connection with our acquisition of CB Richard Ellis Services in 2001, we issued an aggregate of 65,000 units, which consisted in the aggregate of \$65.0 million in aggregate principal amount of 16% senior notes due July 20, 2011 and 339,820 shares of our Class A common stock. The 16% senior notes are unsecured obligations, senior to all of our existing and future unsecured indebtedness but effectively subordinated to all of our existing and future indebtedness. The net proceeds from the units were contributed by us to CB Richard Ellis Services as equity. The 16% senior notes are governed by an indenture between us and U.S. Bank National Association (as successor to State Street Bank and Trust Company of California, N.A.), as trustee, and will mature on July 20, 2011. On October 27, 2003 and December 29, 2003, we redeemed \$20.0 million and \$10.0 million, respectively, in aggregate principal amount of the 16% senior notes. We paid \$2.9 million of premiums in connection with these redemptions. We expect to use the net proceeds that we receive from the offering to redeem all of the remaining outstanding principal amount of the 16% senior notes. However, as discussed below, the terms of the amended and restated credit agreement for our senior secured credit facilities currently would not permit us to complete the full amount of this redemption. Accordingly, we expect to enter into an amendment to the restricted payments covenant in such agreement prior to the completion of the offering in order to permit the full redemption to be completed.

Interest accrues on the 16% senior notes at a rate of 16% per year and is payable quarterly in cash in arrears. However, until the fifth anniversary of the issuance of the 16% senior notes, interest in excess of 12% for the 16% senior notes may be paid in kind and, at any time, interest may be paid in kind to the extent that CB Richard Ellis Services ability to pay cash dividends to us is restricted by the terms of the senior secured credit facilities, which are described above. There are no mandatory sinking fund payments for the 16% senior notes.

101

Subject to the restrictions in our amended and restated credit agreement described below, the 16% senior notes are redeemable at our option, in whole at any time or in part from time to time upon not less than 30 nor more than 60 days notice. The notes are redeemable at the redemption prices, expressed as a percentage of the principal amount, set forth in the table below, plus accrued and unpaid interest, if redeemed during the twelve-month period commencing July 20 of the years below:

| Year | Percentage |
|---------------------|------------|
| | |
| 2003 | 109.6% |
| 2004 | 106.4 |
| 2005 | 103.2 |
| 2006 and thereafter | 100.0 |

In the event of a change of control, which is defined in the indenture governing the 16% senior notes, we are obligated to make an offer to purchase all outstanding 16% senior notes at a purchase price equal to 101% of the principal amount of the 16% senior notes, plus accrued and unpaid interest, subject to various conditions.

The restricted payments covenant in the amended and restated credit agreement for our senior secured credit facilities prevents us from redeeming or purchasing the 16% senior notes unless the redemption or purchase falls within the specified exceptions to the covenant. The October 27, 2003 and December 29, 2003 redemptions described above were pursuant to the exceptions contained in such covenant.

The indenture governing our 16% senior notes contains customary restrictive covenants for high yield securities, which covenants are substantially the same as the covenants in the indenture governing our 9¾% senior notes.

This summary of the material provisions of our 16% senior notes is qualified in its entirety by reference to all of the provisions of the indenture governing the 16% senior notes, which is filed as an exhibit to the registration statement of which this prospectus forms a part.

102

CERTAIN U.S. TAX CONSEQUENCES TO NON-U.S. HOLDERS

The following summary describes the material U.S. federal income and estate tax consequences of the ownership of our Class A common stock by a non-U.S. holder, as defined below, as of the date of this prospectus. This discussion does not address all aspects of U.S. federal income and estate taxes and does not deal with foreign, state and local consequences that may be relevant to non-U.S. holders in light of their personal circumstances. Special rules may apply to certain non-U.S. holders, such as U.S. expatriates, controlled foreign corporations, passive foreign investment companies, foreign personal holding companies, corporations that accumulate earnings to avoid U.S. federal income tax, and investors in pass-through entities that are subject to special treatment under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, which we refer to as the Code. These non-U.S. holders should consult their own tax advisors to determine the U.S. federal, state, local and other tax consequences that may be relevant to them. Furthermore, the discussion below is based upon the provisions of the Code, and U.S. Treasury regulations, rulings and judicial decisions under the Code as of the date of this prospectus, and these authorities may be repealed, revoked or modified, perhaps retroactively, so as to result in U.S. federal income and estate tax consequences different from those discussed below. **Persons considering the ownership of our Class A common stock should consult their own tax advisors concerning the U.S. federal income and estate tax consequences in light of their particular situations as well as any consequences arising under the laws of any other taxing jurisdiction.**

If a partnership holds our Class A common stock, the tax treatment of a partner will generally depend upon the status of the partner and the activities of the partnership. Persons who are partners of partnerships holding our Class A common stock should consult their tax advisors.

As used in this section of the prospectus, a non-U.S. holder of our Class A common stock means a beneficial owner, other than an entity treated as a partnership, that is not any of the following for U.S. federal income tax purposes:

a citizen or resident of the United States.

a corporation, or entity treated as a corporation for U.S. federal income tax purposes, created or organized in or under the laws of the United States or any political subdivision of the United States,

an estate the income of which is subject to U.S. federal income taxation regardless of its source or

a trust if it (1) is subject to the primary supervision of a court within the United States and one or more U.S. persons have the authority to control all substantial decisions of the trust or (2) has a valid election in effect under applicable U.S. Treasury regulations to be treated as a U.S. person.

Dividends

Dividends paid to a non-U.S. holder of our Class A common stock generally will be subject to withholding of U.S. federal income tax at a 30% rate or such lower rate as may be specified by an applicable income tax treaty. However, dividends that are effectively connected with the conduct of a trade or business by the non-U.S. holder within the United States and, where a tax treaty applies, are attributable to a U.S. permanent establishment of the non-U.S. holder, are not subject to the withholding tax, provided certain certification and disclosure requirements are satisfied. Instead, such dividends are subject to U.S. federal income tax on a net income basis in the same manner as if the non-U.S. holder were a U.S. person as defined under the Code. Any such effectively connected dividends received by a foreign corporation may be subject to an additional branch profits tax at a 30% rate or such lower rate as may be specified by an applicable income tax treaty.

A non-U.S. holder of our Class A common stock who wishes to claim the benefit of an applicable treaty rate and avoid backup withholding, as discussed below, for dividends, will be required to (a) complete Internal Revenue Service Form W-8BEN or other applicable form and certify under penalty of perjury that such holder is not a U.S. person or (b) if the Class A common stock is held through certain foreign intermediaries, satisfy the

103

Table of Contents

relevant certification requirements of applicable U.S. Treasury regulations. Special certification and other requirements apply to certain non-U.S. holders that are entities rather than individuals.

A non-U.S. holder of our Class A common stock eligible for a reduced rate of U.S. withholding tax pursuant to an income tax treaty may obtain a refund of any excess amounts withheld by filing an appropriate claim for refund with the Internal Revenue Service.

Gain on Disposition of Our Class A Common Stock

A non-U.S. holder generally will not be subject to U.S. federal income tax with respect to gain recognized on a sale or other disposition of our Class A common stock unless one of the following applies:

the gain is effectively connected with a trade or business of the non-U.S. holder in the United States, and, where a tax treaty applies, is attributable to a U.S. permanent establishment of the non-U.S. holder,

in the case of a non-U.S. holder who is an individual and holds the Class A common stock as a capital asset, such holder is present in the United States for 183 or more days in the taxable year of the sale or other disposition and certain other conditions are met, or

we are or have been a United States real property holding corporation for U.S. federal income tax purposes.

An individual non-U.S. holder described in the first bullet point immediately above will be subject to tax on the net gain derived from the sale under regular graduated U.S. federal income tax rates. An individual non-U.S. holder described in the second bullet point immediately above will be subject to a flat 30% tax on the gain derived from the sale, which may be offset by U.S. source capital losses, even though the individual is not considered a resident of the United States. If a non-U.S. holder that is a foreign corporation falls under the first bullet point immediately above, it will be subject to tax on its net gain in the same manner as if it were a U.S. person as defined under the Code and, in addition, may be subject to the branch profits tax equal to 30% of its effectively connected earnings and profits or at such lower rate as may be specified by an applicable income tax treaty.

We believe we are not, and do not anticipate becoming, a United States real property holding corporation for U.S. federal income tax purposes.

Federal Estate Tax

Class A common stock held by an individual non-U.S. holder at the time of death will be included in such holder s gross estate for U.S. federal estate tax purposes, unless an applicable estate tax treaty provides otherwise.

Information Reporting and Backup Withholding

We must report annually to the Internal Revenue Service and to each non-U.S. holder the amount of dividends paid to such holder and the tax withheld with respect to such dividends, regardless of whether withholding was required. Copies of the information returns reporting such dividends and withholding may also be made available to the tax authorities in the country in which the non-U.S. holder resides under the provisions of an applicable income tax treaty.

A non-U.S. holder will be subject to backup withholding for dividends paid to such holder unless such holder certifies under penalty of perjury that it is a non-U.S. holder, and the payor does not have actual knowledge or reason to know that such holder is a U.S. person, or such holder otherwise establishes an exemption.

104

Table of Contents

Information reporting and, depending on the circumstances, backup withholding will apply to the proceeds of a sale of our Class A common stock within the United States or conducted through U.S.-related financial intermediaries unless the beneficial owner certifies under penalty of perjury that it is a non-U.S. holder, and the payor does not have actual knowledge or reason to know that the beneficial owner is a U.S. person, or such owner otherwise establishes an exemption.

Any amounts withheld under the backup withholding rules may be allowed as a refund or a credit against such holder s U.S. federal income tax liability provided the required information is furnished to the Internal Revenue Service.

105

UNDERWRITING

Under the terms and subject to the conditions contained in an underwriting agreement dated , 2004, we and the selling stockholders have agreed to sell to the underwriters named below, for whom Credit Suisse First Boston LLC and Citigroup Global Markets Inc. are acting as representatives, the following respective numbers of shares of common stock:

| | Number of |
|--------------------------------|-----------|
| Underwriter | Shares |
| | |
| Credit Suisse First Boston LLC | |
| Citigroup Global Markets Inc. | |
| | |
| Total | |
| 1 Otal | |

The underwriting agreement provides that the underwriters are obligated to purchase all the shares of common stock in the offering if any are purchased, other than those shares covered by the over-allotment option described below. The underwriting agreement also provides that if an underwriter defaults the purchase commitments of non-defaulting underwriters may be increased or the offering may be terminated.

The selling stockholders have granted to the underwriters a 30-day option to purchase on a pro rata basis up to additional outstanding shares from them at the initial public offering price less the underwriting discounts and commissions. The option may be exercised only to cover any over-allotments of common stock.

The underwriters propose to offer the shares of common stock initially at the public offering price on the cover page of this prospectus and to selling group members at that price less a selling concession of \$ per share. The underwriters and selling group members may allow a discount of \$ per share on sales to other broker/dealers. After the initial public offering, the representatives may change the public offering price and concession and discount to broker/dealers.

The following table summarizes the compensation and estimated expenses we and the selling stockholders will pay:

| | Per | Share | Total | | |
|---|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|--|
| | Without With | | Without | With | |
| | Over-allotment | Over-allotment | Over-allotment | Over-allotment | |
| Underwriting discounts and commissions | | | | | |
| paid by us | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | |
| Expenses payable by us | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | |
| Underwriting discounts and commissions paid by selling stockholders | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | |

Expenses payable by the selling stockholders.

\$

\$

\$

\$

Because Credit Suisse First Boston LLC is an underwriter and its affiliates may receive more than 10% of the entire net proceeds in this offering, they may be deemed to have a conflict of interest under Rule 2710(c)(8) of the Conduct Rules of the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. Accordingly, this offering will be made in compliance with the applicable provisions of Rule 2720 of the Conduct Rules. Rule 2720 requires that the initial public offering price can be no higher than that recommended by a qualified independent underwriter, as defined by the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. Citigroup Global Markets Inc. has served in that capacity and performed due diligence investigations and reviewed and participated in the preparation of the registration statement of which this prospectus forms a part.

We have agreed that we will not offer, sell, contract to sell, pledge or otherwise dispose of, directly or indirectly, or file with the Securities and Exchange Commission a registration statement under the Securities Act of 1933, relating to any shares of our common stock or securities convertible into or exchangeable or exercisable

106

for any shares of our common stock, or publicly disclose the intention to make any offer, sale, pledge, disposition or filing, without the prior written consent of Credit Suisse First Boston LLC for a period of 180 days after the date of this prospectus, subject to specified exemptions.

Our directors, executive officers and certain of our other employees and stockholders, which together beneficially own approximately of our outstanding Class A common stock and Class B common stock as of the date of this prospectus, have agreed, subject to certain exceptions, that they will not offer, sell, contract to sell, pledge or otherwise dispose of, directly or indirectly, any shares of our common stock or securities convertible into or exchangeable or exercisable for any shares of our common stock, enter into a transaction that would have the same effect, or enter into any swap, hedge or other arrangement that transfers, in whole or in part, any of the economic consequences of ownership of our common stock, whether any of these transactions are to be settled by delivery of our common stock or other securities, in cash or otherwise, or publicly disclose the intention to make any offer, sale, pledge or disposition, or to enter into any transaction, swap, hedge or other arrangement, without, in each case, the prior written consent of Credit Suisse First Boston LLC for a period of 180 days after the date of this prospectus.

We have applied to list the shares of common stock on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol CBG. In order to meet one of the requirements for the listing of the common stock on the NYSE, the underwriters will undertake to sell lots of 100 or more shares to a minimum of 2,000 beneficial owners.

We and the selling stockholders have agreed to indemnify the underwriters against liabilities under the Securities Act, or contribute to payments that the underwriters may be required to make in that respect.

Certain of the underwriters and their respective affiliates have from time to time performed, and may in the future perform, various financial advisory, commercial banking and investment banking services for us and our affiliates in the ordinary course of business, for which they received, or will receive, customary fees and expenses. In particular, Credit Suisse First Boston, an affiliate of Credit Suisse First Boston LLC, serves as the administrative agent and collateral agent for, and is a lender under, our senior secured credit facilities. See Description of Certain Long-Term Indebtedness. In addition, Credit Suisse First Boston is the beneficial owner of 718,344 shares, or approximately 3.3%, of our outstanding common stock prior to the offering. After the offering, Credit Suisse First Boston will beneficially own approximately % of our common stock. See the information under the heading Principal and Selling Stockholders. As of March 1, 2004, affiliates of Credit Suisse First Boston also own approximately \$34.8 million in aggregate principal amount of our 16% senior notes due 2011, all of which we expect to redeem with the net proceeds we receive from the offering.

Prior to the offering, there has been no market for our common stock. The initial public offering price will be determined by negotiation among us, the selling stockholders and the underwriters and will not necessarily reflect the market price of the common stock following the offering. The principal factors that will be considered in determining the public offering price will include:

the information presented in this prospectus and otherwise available to the underwriters;

the history of and the prospects for the industry in which we will compete;

the ability of our management;

the prospects for our future earnings;

the present state of our development and our current financial condition;

the recent market prices of, and the demand for, publicly traded common stock of generally comparable companies; and

the general condition of the securities markets at the time of the offering.

107

We offer no assurances that the initial public offering price will correspond to the price at which the common stock will trade in the public market subsequent to this offering or that an active trading market for the common stock will develop and continue after the offering.

In connection with the offering the underwriters may engage in stabilizing transactions, over-allotment transactions, syndicate covering transactions and penalty bids in accordance with Regulation M under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Stabilizing transactions permit bids to purchase the underlying security so long as the stabilizing bids do not exceed a specified maximum.

Over-allotment involves sales by the underwriters of shares in excess of the number of shares the underwriters are obligated to purchase, which creates a syndicate short position. The short position may be either a covered short position or a naked short position. In a covered short position, the number of shares over-allotted by the underwriters is not greater than the number of shares that they may purchase in the over-allotment option. In a naked short position, the number of shares involved is greater than the number of shares in the over-allotment option. The underwriters may close out any covered short position by either exercising their over-allotment option and/or purchasing shares in the open market.

Syndicate covering transactions involve purchases of the common stock in the open market after the distribution has been completed in order to cover syndicate short positions. In determining the source of shares to close out the short position, the underwriters will consider, among other things, the price of shares available for purchase in the open market as compared to the price at which they may purchase shares through the over-allotment option. If the underwriters sell more shares than could be covered by the over-allotment option, a naked short position, the position can only be closed out by buying shares in the open market. A naked short position is more likely to be created if the underwriters are concerned that there could be downward pressure on the price of the shares in the open market after pricing that could adversely affect investors who purchase shares in the offering.

Penalty bids permit the representatives to reclaim a selling concession from a syndicate member when the common stock originally sold by the syndicate member is purchased in a stabilizing or syndicate covering transaction to cover syndicate short positions.

These stabilizing transactions, syndicate covering transactions and penalty bids may have the effect of raising or maintaining the market price of our common stock or preventing or retarding a decline in the market price of the common stock. As a result, the price of our common stock may be higher than the price that might otherwise exist in the open market. These transactions may be effected on the New York Stock Exchange or otherwise and, if commenced, may be discontinued at any time.

A prospectus in electronic format may be made available on the web sites maintained by one or more of the underwriters, or selling group members, if any, participating in the offering and one or more of the underwriters participating in this offering may distribute prospectuses electronically by e-mail. The representatives may agree to allocate a number of shares to underwriters and selling group members for sale to their online brokerage account holders. Internet distributions will be allocated by the underwriters and selling group members that will make internet distributions on the same basis as other allocations.

108

LEGAL MATTERS

The validity of the shares of common stock being offered by us and the selling stockholders in the offering will be passed upon for us by Simpson Thacher & Bartlett LLP, Palo Alto, California. Selected legal matters in connection with the offering will be passed upon for the underwriters by Cravath, Swaine & Moore LLP, New York, New York.

EXPERTS

The consolidated financial statements and the related financial statement schedules of CB Richard Ellis Group, Inc. as of and for the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002 included in this prospectus have been audited by Deloitte & Touche LLP, independent auditors, as stated in their report appearing herein (which report expresses an unqualified opinion and includes explanatory paragraphs referring to the adoption of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 142 effective January 1, 2002 and concerning the application of procedures relating to certain disclosures of financial statement amounts related to the 2001 financial statements that were audited by other auditors who have ceased operations and for which Deloitte & Touche LLP expressed no opinion or other form of assurance other than with respect to such disclosures), and have been so included in reliance upon the report of such firm given upon their authority as experts in accounting and auditing.

The consolidated financial statements of CB Richard Ellis Group, Inc. for the period from February 20, 2001 (inception) to December 31, 2001 and the financial statements of CB Richard Ellis Services, Inc. for the period from January 1, 2001 through July 20, 2001 included in this prospectus were audited by Arthur Andersen LLP, independent public accountants. See Risk Factors Risks Relating to the Offering and Ownership of Our Common Stock Your ability to recover from our former auditors, Arthur Andersen LLP, for any potential financial misstatements is limited.

The consolidated financial statements of Insignia Financial Group, Inc. as of and for the year ended December 31, 2002 have been included herein and in the registration statement in reliance upon the report of KPMG LLP, independent accountants, appearing elsewhere herein, which report refers to changes in accounting principles relating to the adoption of the fair value recognition provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 and the adoption of the accounting principles set forth in Statements of Financial Accounting Standards Nos. 141 and 142 effective January 1, 2002, and upon the authority of said firm as experts in accounting and auditing.

The consolidated financial statements of Insignia Financial Group, Inc. as of December 31, 2001 and for each of the two years in the period ended December 31, 2001 appearing in this prospectus and the registration statement to which it forms a part have been audited by Ernst & Young LLP, independent auditors, as set forth in their report thereon appearing elsewhere herein and is included in reliance upon such report given on the authority of such firm as experts in accounting and auditing.

109

CHANGE IN ACCOUNTANTS

On April 23, 2002, we dismissed our independent auditors, Arthur Andersen LLP, and engaged the services of Deloitte & Touche LLP as our new independent auditors for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2002. Our board of directors and our audit committee authorized the dismissal of Arthur Andersen LLP and the engagement of Deloitte & Touche LLP.

Arthur Andersen LLP s reports on CB Richard Ellis Group s consolidated financial statements for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2001 and 2000 and for the period from CB Richard Ellis Group s inception through the date of Arthur Andersen LLP s dismissal did not contain an adverse opinion or disclaimer of opinion, nor were such reports qualified or modified as to uncertainty, audit scope or accounting principles.

During the period from CB Richard Ellis Group s inception through the date of Arthur Andersen s dismissal, there were no (1) disagreements with Arthur Andersen LLP on any matters of accounting principles or practices, financial statement disclosure or auditing scope or procedure which disagreements, if not resolved to Arthur Andersen LLP s satisfaction, would have caused it to make reference to the subject matter of the disagreements in connection with its report on CB Richard Ellis Group s consolidated financial statements or (2) reportable events as defined in Item 304(a)(1)(v) of Regulation S-K.

On April 8, 2002, Ernst & Young was dismissed as Insignia s principal independent accountant and, effective April 11, 2002, KPMG was retained as its principal independent accountant. The reports of Ernst & Young on Insignia s financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2001 and December 31, 2000 did not contain an adverse opinion or a disclaimer of opinion and were not qualified or modified as to uncertainty, audit scope or accounting principles. The decision to change accountants was recommended by Insignia s audit committee and approved by Insignia s board of directors.

During the years ended December 31, 2001 and December 31, 2000 and through April 8, 2002, there were no disagreements with Ernst & Young on any matter of accounting principles or practices, financial statement disclosure or auditing scope or procedure, which disagreements, if not resolved to the satisfaction of Ernst & Young, would have caused it to make reference thereto in its reports on the financial statements for such periods.

WHERE YOU CAN FIND MORE INFORMATION

We have filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission a registration statement on Form S-1, which includes amendments and exhibits, under the Securities Act of 1933 and the rules and regulations under the Securities Act, for the registration of the common stock being offered by this prospectus. Although this prospectus, which forms a part of the registration statement, contains all material information included in the registration statement, parts of the registration statement have been omitted from this prospectus as permitted by the rules and regulations of the SEC. For further information with respect to us and the common stock offered by this prospectus, please refer to the registration statement.

We currently file reports and other information with the SEC as a result of requirements under the indentures governing our 93/4% senior notes due 2010, our 111/4% senior subordinated notes due 2011 and our 16% senior notes due 2011. The registration statements and other reports or information can be inspected, and copies may be obtained, at the Public Reference Room of the SEC, 450 Fifth Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20549, at prescribed rates. Information on the operation of the Public Reference Room of the SEC may be obtained by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. The SEC also maintains a website at http://www.sec.gov that contains reports, proxy and information statements and other

information that we have filed electronically with the SEC.

110

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

| | Page |
|--|------|
| CB Richard Ellis Group, Inc. | |
| Independent Auditors Report | F-2 |
| Report of Independent Public Accountants | F-3 |
| Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2003 and 2002 | F-4 |
| Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002, for the period from February 20 (inception) through December 31, 2001 and for the period from January 1, 2001 through July 20, 2001 (predecessor) | F-5 |
| Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002, for the period from February 20 (inception) through December 31, 2001 and for the period from January 1 through July 20, 2001 (predecessor) | F-6 |
| Consolidated Statements of Stockholders Equity for the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002, for the period from February 20 (inception) through December 31, 2001 and for the period from January 1, 2001 through July 20, 2001 (predecessor) | F-7 |
| Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive (Loss) Income for the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002, for the period from February 20 (inception) through December 31, 2001 and for the period from January 1 through July 20, 2001 (predecessor) | F-8 |
| Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements | F-9 |
| Quarterly Results of Operations (Unaudited) | F-57 |
| Schedule II Valuation and Qualifying Accounts | F-58 |
| Insignia Financial Group, Inc. | |
| Consolidated Balance Sheet at June 30, 2003 (Unaudited) | F-59 |
| Consolidated Statements of Operations for the six months ended June 30, 2003 and 2002 (Unaudited) | F-60 |
| Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 30, 2003 and 2002 (Unaudited) | F-61 |
| Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) | F-62 |
| <u>Independent Auditor s Repo</u> rt | F-75 |
| Report of Independent Auditors | F-76 |
| Consolidated Balance Sheets December 31, 2002 and 2001 | F-77 |
| Consolidated Statements of Operations Years ended December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000 | F-78 |
| Consolidated Statements of Stockholders Equity Years ended December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000 | F-80 |
| Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows Years ended December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000 | F-82 |
| Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements | F-84 |

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS REPORT

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of CB Richard Ellis Group, Inc.:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of CB Richard Ellis Group, Inc., a Delaware corporation, and subsidiaries (the Company) as of December 31, 2003 and 2002 and the related consolidated statements of operations, cash flows, stockholders equity and comprehensive (loss) income for each of the two years in the period ended December 31, 2003. Our audits also included the 2003 and 2002 financial statement schedules listed in the Index to Consolidated Financial Statements. These financial statements and the financial statement schedules are the responsibility of the Company s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the 2003 and 2002 financial statements and the financial statement schedules based on our audits. The consolidated financial statements and the financial statement schedule of the Company for the period from February 20 (inception) to December 31, 2001 and the consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedule of CB Richard Ellis Services, Inc. (the Predecessor) for the period from January 1 to July 20, 2001 were audited by other auditors who have ceased operations. Those auditors expressed an unqualified opinion on those financial statements and stated that such 2001 financial statement schedules, when considered in relation to the 2001 basic financial statements taken as a whole, presented fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein, in their report dated February 26, 2002.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such 2003 and 2002 consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Company as of December 31, 2003 and 2002 and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the two years in the period ended December 31, 2003, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also, in our opinion, the 2003 and 2002 financial statement schedules, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, present fairly in all material respects the information set forth therein.

As discussed in Note 8 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, the Company changed its method of accounting for goodwill and other intangible assets in 2002 to conform to Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets (SFAS 142).

As discussed above, the consolidated financial statements of the Company for the period from February 20 (inception) to December 31, 2001 and the consolidated financial statements of the Predecessor for the period from January 1 to July 20, 2001 were audited by other auditors who have ceased operations. As described in Note 8, these consolidated financial statements have been revised to include the transitional disclosures required by SFAS 142, which was adopted by the Company as of January 1, 2002. Our audit procedures with respect to the disclosures in Note 8 with respect to 2001 included (i) comparing the previously reported net income (loss) to the previously issued consolidated financial statements and the adjustments to reported net income (loss) representing amortization expense (including any related tax effects) recognized in those periods relating to goodwill that is no longer being amortized as a result of initially applying SFAS 142 to the Company s and the Predecessor s underlying analysis obtained from management, and (ii) testing the mathematical accuracy of the reconciliation of adjusted net income (loss) to reported net income (loss), and the related earnings (loss)-per-share amounts. In our opinion, the disclosures for 2001 in Note 8 are appropriate. However, we were not engaged to audit, review, or apply any procedures to the 2001 consolidated financial statements of the Company and the Predecessor other than with respect to such disclosures, and accordingly, we do not express an opinion or any other form of assurance on the 2001 consolidated financial statements taken as a whole.

DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

Los Angeles, California

March 30, 2004

F-2

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

To the Stockholders and Board of Directors of CBRE Holding, Inc.:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheet of CBRE Holding, Inc., a Delaware corporation, (the Company) as of December 31, 2001 and related consolidated statements of operations, cash flows, stockholders—equity and comprehensive income for the period from February 20, 2001 (inception) through December 31, 2001. We have also audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheet of CB Richard Ellis Services, Inc. (Predecessor) as of December 31, 2000, and the related consolidated statements of operations, cash flows, stockholders equity and comprehensive (loss) income for the period from January 1, 2001 to July 20, 2001, and the twelve months ended December 31, 2000 and 1999. These financial statements and the schedule referred to below are the responsibility of the Company—s and the Predecessor—s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of CBRE Holding, Inc. as of December 31, 2001 and the results of their operations and their cash flows for the period from February 20, 2001 (inception) through December 31, 2001 and the financial position of CB Richard Ellis Services, Inc. (the Predecessor) as of December, 31 2000 and the results of their operations and their cash flows for the period from January 1, 2001 to July 20, 2001, and the twelve months ended December 31, 2000 and 1999, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States.

Our audits were made for the purpose of forming an opinion on the basic financial statements taken as a whole. The schedule listed in the index to consolidated financial statements is presented for purposes of complying with the Securities and Exchange Commission s rules and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. This schedule has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in our audits of the basic financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

ARTHUR ANDERSEN LLP

Los Angeles, California

February 26, 2002

NOTE: The report of Arthur Andersen LLP presented above is a copy of a previously issued Arthur Andersen LLP report. This report has not been reissued by Arthur Andersen LLP nor has Arthur Andersen LLP provided a consent to the inclusion of its report in this Form 10-K.

NOTE: The consolidated financial statements for the period from February 20 (inception) to December 31, 2001 and for the period from January 1 to July 20, 2001 have been revised to include the transitional disclosures required by Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 142. Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets (see Note 8). The report of Arthur Andersen LLP presented above does not extend to these revisions.

NOTE: On February 13, 2004, CBRE Holding, Inc. changed its name to CB Richard Ellis Group, Inc.

F-3

CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(Dollars in thousands, except share data)

| | Decer | nber 31, |
|--|--------------|--------------|
| | 2003 | 2002 |
| ASSETS | | |
| Current Assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 163,881 | \$ 79,701 |
| Restricted cash | 14,899 | Ψ 77,701 |
| Receivables, less allowance for doubtful accounts of \$16,181 and \$10,892 at December 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively | 322,416 | 166,213 |
| Warehouse receivable | 230,790 | 63,140 |
| Prepaid expenses | 22,854 | 9,748 |
| Deferred tax assets, net | 57,681 | 18,723 |
| Other current assets | 26,461 | 8,415 |
| Suct cureit assets | 20,401 | 0,415 |
| | | |
| Total current assets | 838,982 | 345,940 |
| Property and equipment, net | 113,569 | 66,634 |
| Goodwill | 819,558 | 577,137 |
| Other intangible assets, net of accumulated amortization of \$73,449 and \$7,739 at December 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively | 131,731 | 91,082 |
| Deferred compensation assets | 76,389 | 63,642 |
| Investments in and advances to unconsolidated subsidiaries | 68,361 | 50,208 |
| Deferred tax assets, net | 32,179 | 36,376 |
| Other assets, net | 132,712 | 93,857 |
| Total assets | \$ 2,213,481 | \$ 1,324,876 |
| | | |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY | | |
| Current Liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | \$ 189,787 | \$ 102,415 |
| Compensation and employee benefits payable | 148,874 | 63,734 |
| Accrued bonus and profit sharing | 200,343 | 103,858 |
| Income taxes payable | | 15,451 |
| Short-term borrowings: | | |
| Warehouse line of credit | 230,790 | 63,140 |
| Other | 39,347 | 60,054 |
| Total short-term borrowings | 270,137 | 123,194 |
| Current maturities of long-term debt | 11,285 | 10,711 |
| Other current liabilities | 12,991 | 11,724 |
| Outer current matrixes | | 11,724 |
| Total current liabilities | 833,417 | 431,087 |
| Long-Term Debt: | | |
| 11 ¹ /4% senior subordinated notes, net of unamortized discount of \$2,827 and \$3,057 at December 31, 2003 and 2002, | | |
| respectively | 226,173 | 225,943 |
| Senior secured term loans | 287,500 | 211,000 |
| 9 ³ /4% senior notes | 200,000 | |
| 16% senior notes, net of unamortized discount of \$2,844 and \$5,107 at December 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively | 35,472 | 61,863 |
| Other long-term debt | 42,275 | 198 |
| | | |

| Total long-term debt | 791,420 | 499,004 |
|---|--------------|--------------|
| Deferred compensation liability | 138,037 | 106,252 |
| Pension liability | 35,998 | 10,766 |
| Other liabilities | 75,024 | 20,811 |
| | | |
| Total liabilities | 1,873,896 | 1,067,920 |
| Minority interest | 6,656 | 5,615 |
| Commitments and contingencies | 0,000 | 2,012 |
| Stockholders Equity: | | |
| Class A common stock; \$0.01 par value; 75,000,000 shares authorized; 2,728,441 and 1,793,254 shares issued and | | |
| outstanding (including treasury shares) at December 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively | 27 | 17 |
| Class B common stock; \$0.01 par value; 25,000,000 shares authorized; 19,271,948 and 12,624,813 shares issued and | | |
| outstanding at December 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively | 193 | 127 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 361,912 | 240,574 |
| Notes receivable from sale of stock | (4,680) | (4,800) |
| Accumulated earnings | 1,449 | 36,153 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (23,780) | (18,998) |
| Treasury stock at cost, 138,958 and 110,174 shares at December 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively | (2,192) | (1,732) |
| | | |
| Total stockholders equity | 332,929 | 251,341 |
| | | |
| Total liabilities and stockholders equity | \$ 2,213,481 | \$ 1,324,876 |
| • • | | |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(Dollars in thousands, except share data)

| | CB Richard Ellis Group | | | | | | Predecessor Company | |
|---|------------------------|--------------|---------|-----------|----------------------------|-------------|------------------------|-----------------------|
| | | Year Ended I | Decembe | er 31, | Period From February 20 | | | |
| | | | | | (i | (inception) | | |
| | | | | | | to | | riod From anuary 1 |
| | | | | | Dec | cember 31, | to | July 20, |
| | | 2003 | | 2002 | | 2001 | | 2001 |
| Revenue | \$ | 1,630,074 | \$ | 1,170,277 | \$ | 562,828 | \$ | 607,934 |
| Costs and expenses: | | | | | | · | | |
| Cost of services | | 796,408 | | 547,093 | | 263,601 | | 279,203 |
| Operating, administrative and other | | 678,397 | | 501,798 | | 219,409 | | 297,996 |
| Depreciation and amortization | | 92,622 | | 24,614 | | 12,198 | | 25,656 |
| Equity income from unconsolidated subsidiaries | | (14,365) | | (9,326) | | (1,554) | | (2,874) |
| Merger-related and other nonrecurring charges | | 36,817 | | 36 | | 6,442 | | 22,127 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Operating income (loss) | | 40,195 | | 106,062 | | 62,732 | | (14,174) |
| Interest income | | 6,041 | | 3,272 | | 2,427 | | 1,567 |
| Interest expense | | 87,216 | | 60,501 | | 29,717 | | 20,303 |
| | _ | | _ | | _ | | _ | |
| (Loss) income before (benefit) provision for income taxes | | (40,980) | | 48,833 | | 35,442 | | (32,910) |
| (Benefit) provision for income taxes | | (6,276) | | 30,106 | | 18,016 | | 1,110 |
| \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ | | | | | | | | |
| Net (loss) income | \$ | (34,704) | \$ | 18,727 | \$ | 17,426 | \$ | (34,020) |
| | φ. | (1.00) | Φ. | | Φ. | | | (4.60) |
| Basic (loss) earnings per share | \$ | (1.89) | \$ | 1.25 | \$ | 2.22 | \$ | (1.60) |
| Weighted average shares outstanding for basic (loss) | | | | | | | | |
| earnings per share | 1 | 8,373,118 | 1: | 5,025,308 | | 7,845,004 | 2 | 1,306,584 |
| | | | _ | , , | _ | , , | _ | |
| Diluted (loss) earnings per share | \$ | (1.89) | \$ | 1.23 | \$ | 2.20 | \$ | (1.60) |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Weighted average shares outstanding for diluted (loss) | | | | | | | | |
| earnings per share | 1 | 8,373,118 | 1 | 5,222,111 | | 7,909,797 | 2 | 1,306,584 |
| currings per snare | 1 | .0,575,110 | 1. | J,222,111 | | 1,,,00,,191 | | 1,500,504 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

F-5

CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(Dollars in thousands)

| Vear Ended December 31, | | c | Predecessor Company | | | |
|---|---|-----------------|------------------------|----------------|-------------|--|
| CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES: Net (loss) income \$ (34,704) \$ 18,727 \$ 17,426 \$ (34,020) | | | | | Period From | |
| CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES: Net (loss) income \$ (34,704) \$ 18,727 \$ 17,426 \$ (34,020) | | | _ | (inception) to | | |
| CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES: Net (loss) income \$ (34,704) \$ 18,727 \$ 17,426 \$ (34,020) Adjustments to reconcile net (loss) income to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities: Depreciation and amortization 92,622 24,614 12,198 25,656 Amortization and write-off of deferred financing costs 13,276 3,322 1,316 1,152 Amortization and write-off of long-term debt discount 2,493 444 201 136 Deferred compensation deferrals 13,715 15,925 16,151 16,447 Gain on sale of properties and servicing rights (5,321) (6,287) (2,868) (10,009) Equity income from unconsolidated subsidiaries (14,365) (9,326) (1,554) (2,874) Provision for doubtful accounts 3,436 3,415 1,317 3,387 Deferred income tax (benefit) provision (12,750) 5,158 (1,948) (1,569) (Increase) decrease in receivables (43,011) (4,770) (18,379) 26,970 (Increase) decrease in deferred compensation assets (12,747) 5,743 (4,517) (11,665) Increase (decrease) in accounts payable and accrued expenses 14,448 7,912 (4,749) (5,491) Increase (decrease) in compensation and employee benefits payable and | | | | December 31, | to July 20, | |
| Net (loss) income \$ (34,704) \$ 18,727 \$ 17,426 \$ (34,020) Adjustments to reconcile net (loss) income to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities: | | 2003 | 2002 | 2001 | 2001 | |
| Net (loss) income \$ (34,704) \$ 18,727 \$ 17,426 \$ (34,020) Adjustments to reconcile net (loss) income to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities: | CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES: | | | | | |
| Adjustments to reconcile net (loss) income to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities: Depreciation and amortization 92,622 24,614 12,198 25,656 Amortization and write-off of deferred financing costs 13,276 3,322 1,316 1,152 Amortization and write-off of long-term debt discount 2,493 444 201 136 Deferred compensation deferrals 13,715 15,925 16,151 16,447 Gain on sale of properties and servicing rights (5,321) (6,287) (2,868) (10,009) Equity income from unconsolidated subsidiaries (14,365) (9,326) (1,554) (2,874) Provision for doubtful accounts 3,436 3,415 1,317 3,387 Deferred income tax (benefit) provision (12,750) 5,158 (1,948) (1,569) (Increase) decrease in receivables (43,011) (4,770) (18,379) 26,970 (Increase) decrease in deferred compensation assets (12,747) 5,743 (4,517) (11,665) Increase (decrease) in accounts payable and accrued expenses 14,448 7,912 (4,749) (5,491) Increase (decrease) in compensation and employee benefits payable and | | \$ (34.704) | \$ 18.727 | \$ 17.426 | \$ (34.020) | |
| operating activities: 92,622 24,614 12,198 25,656 Amortization and write-off of deferred financing costs 13,276 3,322 1,316 1,152 Amortization and write-off of long-term debt discount 2,493 444 201 136 Deferred compensation deferrals 13,715 15,925 16,151 16,447 Gain on sale of properties and servicing rights (5,321) (6,287) (2,868) (10,009) Equity income from unconsolidated subsidiaries (14,365) (9,326) (1,554) (2,874) Provision for doubtful accounts 3,436 3,415 1,317 3,387 Deferred income tax (benefit) provision (12,750) 5,158 (1,948) (1,569) (Increase) decrease in receivables (43,011) (4,770) (18,379) 26,970 (Increase) decrease in deferred compensation assets (12,747) 5,743 (4,517) (11,665) Increase (decrease) in compensation and employee benefits payable and 14,448 7,912 (4,749) (5,491) | | Ψ (ε ι,, τ σ ι) | Ψ 10,727 | ¥ 17,120 | ψ (δ.,620) | |
| Depreciation and amortization 92,622 24,614 12,198 25,656 Amortization and write-off of deferred financing costs 13,276 3,322 1,316 1,152 Amortization and write-off of long-term debt discount 2,493 444 201 136 Deferred compensation deferrals 13,715 15,925 16,151 16,447 Gain on sale of properties and servicing rights (5,321) (6,287) (2,868) (10,009) Equity income from unconsolidated subsidiaries (14,365) (9,326) (1,554) (2,874) Provision for doubtful accounts 3,436 3,415 1,317 3,387 Deferred income tax (benefit) provision (12,750) 5,158 (1,948) (1,569) (Increase) decrease in receivables (43,011) (4,770) (18,379) 26,970 (Increase) decrease in deferred compensation assets (12,747) 5,743 (4,517) (11,665) Increase (decrease) in accounts payable and accrued expenses 14,448 7,912 (4,749) (5,491) Increase (decrease) in compensation and employee benefits payable and | | | | | | |
| Amortization and write-off of deferred financing costs 13,276 3,322 1,316 1,152 Amortization and write-off of long-term debt discount 2,493 444 201 136 Deferred compensation deferrals 13,715 15,925 16,151 16,447 Gain on sale of properties and servicing rights (5,321) (6,287) (2,868) (10,009) Equity income from unconsolidated subsidiaries (14,365) (9,326) (1,554) (2,874) Provision for doubtful accounts 3,436 3,415 1,317 3,387 Deferred income tax (benefit) provision (12,750) 5,158 (1,948) (1,569) (Increase) decrease in receivables (43,011) (4,770) (18,379) 26,970 (Increase) decrease in deferred compensation assets (12,747) 5,743 (4,517) (11,665) Increase (decrease) in accounts payable and accrued expenses 14,448 7,912 (4,749) (5,491) Increase (decrease) in compensation and employee benefits payable and | 1 6 | 92,622 | 24,614 | 12,198 | 25,656 | |
| Amortization and write-off of long-term debt discount 2,493 444 201 136 Deferred compensation deferrals 13,715 15,925 16,151 16,447 Gain on sale of properties and servicing rights (5,321) (6,287) (2,868) (10,009) Equity income from unconsolidated subsidiaries (14,365) (9,326) (1,554) (2,874) Provision for doubtful accounts 3,436 3,415 1,317 3,387 Deferred income tax (benefit) provision (12,750) 5,158 (1,948) (1,569) (Increase) decrease in receivables (43,011) (4,770) (18,379) 26,970 (Increase) decrease in deferred compensation assets (12,747) 5,743 (4,517) (11,665) Increase (decrease) in accounts payable and accrued expenses 14,448 7,912 (4,749) (5,491) Increase (decrease) in compensation and employee benefits payable and (5,491) | • | | | | | |
| Deferred compensation deferrals 13,715 15,925 16,151 16,447 Gain on sale of properties and servicing rights (5,321) (6,287) (2,868) (10,009) Equity income from unconsolidated subsidiaries (14,365) (9,326) (1,554) (2,874) Provision for doubtful accounts 3,436 3,415 1,317 3,387 Deferred income tax (benefit) provision (12,750) 5,158 (1,948) (1,569) (Increase) decrease in receivables (43,011) (4,770) (18,379) 26,970 (Increase) decrease in deferred compensation assets (12,747) 5,743 (4,517) (11,665) Increase (decrease) in accounts payable and accrued expenses 14,448 7,912 (4,749) (5,491) Increase (decrease) in compensation and employee benefits payable and (5,491) (5,491) | e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e | , | | | | |
| Equity income from unconsolidated subsidiaries (14,365) (9,326) (1,554) (2,874) Provision for doubtful accounts 3,436 3,415 1,317 3,387 Deferred income tax (benefit) provision (12,750) 5,158 (1,948) (1,569) (Increase) decrease in receivables (43,011) (4,770) (18,379) 26,970 (Increase) decrease in deferred compensation assets (12,747) 5,743 (4,517) (11,665) Increase (decrease) in accounts payable and accrued expenses 14,448 7,912 (4,749) (5,491) Increase (decrease) in compensation and employee benefits payable and | · · | 13,715 | 15,925 | 16,151 | 16,447 | |
| Equity income from unconsolidated subsidiaries (14,365) (9,326) (1,554) (2,874) Provision for doubtful accounts 3,436 3,415 1,317 3,387 Deferred income tax (benefit) provision (12,750) 5,158 (1,948) (1,569) (Increase) decrease in receivables (43,011) (4,770) (18,379) 26,970 (Increase) decrease in deferred compensation assets (12,747) 5,743 (4,517) (11,665) Increase (decrease) in accounts payable and accrued expenses 14,448 7,912 (4,749) (5,491) Increase (decrease) in compensation and employee benefits payable and | Gain on sale of properties and servicing rights | (5,321) | (6,287) | (2,868) | (10,009) | |
| Provision for doubtful accounts 3,436 3,415 1,317 3,387 Deferred income tax (benefit) provision (12,750) 5,158 (1,948) (1,569) (Increase) decrease in receivables (43,011) (4,770) (18,379) 26,970 (Increase) decrease in deferred compensation assets (12,747) 5,743 (4,517) (11,665) Increase (decrease) in accounts payable and accrued expenses 14,448 7,912 (4,749) (5,491) Increase (decrease) in compensation and employee benefits payable and (4,749) (5,491) | | (14,365) | (9,326) | (1,554) | (2,874) | |
| (Increase) decrease in receivables (43,011) (4,770) (18,379) 26,970 (Increase) decrease in deferred compensation assets (12,747) 5,743 (4,517) (11,665) Increase (decrease) in accounts payable and accrued expenses 14,448 7,912 (4,749) (5,491) Increase (decrease) in compensation and employee benefits payable and | Provision for doubtful accounts | 3,436 | | 1,317 | 3,387 | |
| (Increase) decrease in deferred compensation assets (12,747) 5,743 (4,517) (11,665) Increase (decrease) in accounts payable and accrued expenses 14,448 7,912 (4,749) (5,491) Increase (decrease) in compensation and employee benefits payable and | Deferred income tax (benefit) provision | (12,750) | 5,158 | (1,948) | (1,569) | |
| Increase (decrease) in accounts payable and accrued expenses 14,448 7,912 (4,749) (5,491) Increase (decrease) in compensation and employee benefits payable and | (Increase) decrease in receivables | (43,011) | (4,770) | (18,379) | 26,970 | |
| Increase (decrease) in compensation and employee benefits payable and | (Increase) decrease in deferred compensation assets | (12,747) | 5,743 | (4,517) | (11,665) | |
| | Increase (decrease) in accounts payable and accrued expenses | 14,448 | 7,912 | (4,749) | (5,491) | |
| 11 1 (4.1) | Increase (decrease) in compensation and employee benefits payable and | | | | | |
| accrued bonus and profit sharing 42,634 17,541 64,677 (101,312) | accrued bonus and profit sharing | 42,634 | 17,541 | 64,677 | (101,312) | |
| (Decrease) increase in income taxes payable (15,197) 3,225 13,578 (16,357) | (Decrease) increase in income taxes payable | (15,197) | 3,225 | 13,578 | (16,357) | |
| Increase (decrease) in other liabilities 16,021 (15,203) (9,260) (11,305) | Increase (decrease) in other liabilities | 16,021 | (15,203) | (9,260) | (11,305) | |
| Other operating activities, net 3,391 (5,558) 7,745 624 | Other operating activities, net | 3,391 | (5,558) | 7,745 | 624 | |
| | | | | | | |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities 63,941 64,882 91,334 (120,230) | Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities | 63,941 | 64,882 | 91,334 | (120,230) | |
| CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES: | CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES: | | | | | |
| Capital expenditures, net of concessions received (26,961) (14,266) (6,501) (14,814) | | (26.961) | (14.266) | (6.501) | (14.814) | |
| Proceeds from sale of properties and servicing rights 3,949 6,378 2,108 9,544 | 1 1 | (, , | | \ / / | \ / / | |
| Investment in property held for sale (2,282) | 1 1 | - /- | ., | (40,174) | | |
| Acquisition of businesses including net assets acquired, intangibles and | 1 1 7 | | | (1, 1) | () - / | |
| goodwill, net of cash acquired (263,683) (14,811) (214,702) (1,924) | | (263,683) | (14,811) | (214,702) | (1,924) | |
| Other investing activities, net 1,900 (1,431) (2,124) (2,663) | Other investing activities, net | 1,900 | (1,431) | (2,124) | (2,663) | |
| <u> </u> | | | | | | |
| Net cash used in investing activities (284,795) (24,130) (261,393) (12,139) | Net cash used in investing activities | (284,795) | (24,130) | (261,393) | (12,139) | |
| CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES: | CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES: | | | | | |
| Proceeds from revolver and swingline credit facility 152,850 238,000 113,750 | | 152,850 | 238,000 | 113,750 | | |
| Repayment of revolver and swingline credit facility (152,850) (238,000) (113,750) | | | , | | | |
| Proceeds from senior secured term loans 375,000 235,000 | 1 3 | 375,000 | | | | |
| Repayment of senior secured term loans (298,475) (9,351) (4,675) | Repayment of senior secured term loans | | (9,351) | | | |
| Proceeds from 9 ³ /4% senior notes 200,000 | Proceeds from 9 3/4% senior notes | 200,000 | | | | |

| Repayment of notes payable | (43,000) | | | |
|---|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Proceeds from 16% senior notes | | | 65,000 | |
| Repayment of 16% senior notes | (30,000) | | | |
| Proceeds from (repayment of) senior notes and other loans, net | 3,029 | (8,205) | (1,188) | 446 |
| Proceeds from 11 ¹ /4% senior subordinated notes | | | 225,629 | |
| Repayment of 8 7/8% senior subordinated notes | | | (175,000) | |
| Proceeds from non-recourse debt related to property held for sale | | | 37,179 | |
| Proceeds from revolving credit facility | | | | 195,000 |
| Repayment of revolving credit facility | | | (235,000) | (70,000) |
| Payment of deferred financing fees | (22,707) | (443) | (21,750) | (8) |
| Proceeds from issuance of common stock | 120,980 | 180 | 92,156 | |
| Other financing activities, net | (1,163) | (19) | (3,520) | 792 |
| | | | - | - |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | 303,664 | (17,838) | 213,831 | 126,230 |
| , , | | | | |
| NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH AND CASH | | | | |
| EQUIVALENTS | 82,810 | 22,914 | 43,772 | (6,139) |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, AT BEGINNING OF PERIOD | 79,701 | 57,450 | 13,662 | 20,854 |
| Effect of currency exchange rate changes on cash | 1,370 | (663) | 16 | (1,053) |
| | | | - | - |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, AT END OF PERIOD | \$ 163,881 | \$ 79,701 | \$ 57,450 | \$ 13,662 |
| • | | | | |
| SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF CASH FLOW | | | | |
| INFORMATION: | | | | |
| Cash paid during the period for: | | | | |
| Interest, net of amount capitalized | \$ 63,718 | \$ 52,647 | \$ 26,126 | \$ 18,457 |
| , <u> </u> | | | | |
| Income taxes, net of refunds | \$ 17,783 | \$ 19,142 | \$ 5,061 | \$ 19,083 |
| | | | | |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY

(Dollars in thousands, except share data)

CB Richard Ellis Group

| | ~ | | Additional | Notes receivable | | compr | ated other ehensive ne (loss) | | |
|--|----------------------|--------|------------|---------------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------------------------------|----------|----------|
| | Class A commor | common | paid-in | from sale | Accumulated | Minimum pension | Foreign currency | Treasury | |
| | stock | stock | capital | of stock | earnings | liability | translation | stock | Total |
| Balance, February 20, 2001 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Net income | | | | | 17,426 | | | | 17,426 |
| Contribution of deferred compensation | | | | | | | | | |
| plan stock fund units | | | 18,771 | | | | | | 18,771 |
| Contribution of shares by certain shareholders of CB Richard Ellis | | | | | | | | | |
| Services, Inc. | | 80 | 121,732 | | | | | | 121,812 |
| Net issuance of Class A common stock | 17 | | 27,672 | | | | | | 27,689 |
| Issuance of Class B common stock | | 47 | 72,366 | | | | | | 72,413 |
| Notes receivable from sale of stock | | | | (5,884) | | | | | (5,884) |
| Foreign currency translation gain | | | | | | | 296 | | 296 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Balance, December 31, 2001 | 17 | 127 | 240,541 | (5,884) | 17,426 | | 296 | | 252,523 |
| Net income | 1, | 127 | 2.0,5.1 | (2,001) | 18,727 | | 2,0 | | 18,727 |
| Issuance of Class A common stock | | | 460 | (180) | 10,727 | | | | 280 |
| Net cancellation of deferred | | | | (200) | | | | | |
| compensation stock fund units | | | (427) | | | | | | (427) |
| Net collection on notes receivable from | | | () | | | | | | () |
| sale of stock | | | | 1,264 | | | | | 1,264 |
| Purchase of common stock | | | | | | | | (1,732) | (1,732) |
| Minimum pension liability adjustment, net of tax | | | | | | (17,039) | | | (17,039) |
| Foreign currency translation loss | | | | | | (1,111) | (2,255) | | (2,255) |
| , | | | | | | | | | |
| Balance, December 31, 2002 | 17 | 127 | 240,574 | (4,800) | 36,153 | (17,039) | (1,959) | (1,732) | 251,341 |
| Net loss | 17 | 127 | 240,374 | (4,000) | (34,704) | (17,037) | (1,,,,,) | (1,732) | (34,704) |
| Issuance of Class A common stock | 10 | | 14,697 | | (54,704) | | | | 14,707 |
| Issuance of Class B common stock | 10 | 66 | 106,287 | | | | | | 106,353 |
| Issuance of deferred compensation stock | (| 00 | 100,207 | | | | | | 100,555 |
| fund units, net of cancellations | | | 195 | | | | | | 195 |
| Net collection on notes receivable from | | | | | | | | | |
| sale of stock | | | | 120 | | | | | 120 |
| Purchase of common stock | | | | | | | | (460) | (460) |
| Minimum pension liability adjustment, | | | | | | | | | |
| net of tax | | | | | | 1,930 | | | 1,930 |
| Compensation expense for stock options | 3 | | 159 | | | | | | 159 |
| Foreign currency translation loss | | | | | | | (6,712) | | (6,712) |
| | | | | | | | | | |

Balance, December 31, 2000

Amortization of cheap and restricted stock

Tax deduction from issuance of stock

Foreign currency translation loss

Cancellation of common stock and elimination of historical equity due to the

Cancellation of common stock

Balance, July 20, 2001

Net loss

of stock

merger

Notes Accumulated Additional receivable other Accumulated comprehensive Common paid-in from sale Treasury Total capital deficit stock of stock loss stock \$ 217 \$ 364,168 \$ (11,847) (89,097) (12,258)\$ (15,844) \$ 235,339 (34,020)(34,020)Common stock issued for incentive plans 360 360 Contributions, deferred compensation plan 1,004 1,004 Deferred compensation plan co-match 492 492 Net collection on notes receivable from sale (742)1,001 259

Predecessor Company

123,117

(7,106)

19,364

15,844

211

1,479

(7,106)

(197,964)

(54)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

10,846

210

(54)

1,479

(366,917)

(218)

CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE (LOSS) INCOME

(Dollars in thousands)

| | c | CB Richard Ellis Group | | | |
|--|-------------|-------------------------|--------------|---|--|
| | Year l | Year Ended December 31, | | | |
| | Decem | | | | |
| | | | (inception) | Period From January 1 to July 20, | |
| | | | December 31, | | |
| | 2003 | 2002 | 2001 | 2001 | |
| Net (loss) income | \$ (34,704) | \$ 18,727 | \$ 17,426 | \$ (34,020) | |
| Other comprehensive (loss) income: | | | | | |
| Foreign currency translation (loss) gain | (6,712) | (2,255) | 296 | (7,106) | |
| Minimum pension liability adjustment, net of tax | 1,930 | (17,039) | | | |
| Total other comprehensive (loss) income | (4,782) | (19,294) | 296 | (7,106) | |
| | | | | | |
| Comprehensive (loss) income | \$ (39,486) | \$ (567) | \$ 17,722 | \$ (41,126) | |
| | | | | | |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. Nature of Operations

CB Richard Ellis Group, Inc. (formerly known as CBRE Holding, Inc.), a Delaware corporation, was incorporated on February 20, 2001 and was created to acquire all of the outstanding shares of CB Richard Ellis Services, Inc. (CBRE), an international commercial real estate services firm. Prior to July 20, 2001, we were a wholly owned subsidiary of Blum Strategic Partners, L.P. (Blum Strategic), formerly known as RCBA Strategic Partners, L.P., which is an affiliate of Richard C. Blum, a director of CBRE and our company.

On July 20, 2001, we acquired all of the outstanding stock of CBRE pursuant to an Amended and Restated Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated May 31, 2001, among CBRE, Blum CB Corp. (Blum CB) and us. Blum CB was merged with and into CBRE with CBRE being the surviving corporation (the 2001 Merger). In July 2003, our global position in the commercial real estate services industry was further solidified as CBRE acquired Insignia Financial Group, Inc. (Insignia Acquisition). We have no substantive operations other than our investment in CBRE.

We offer a full range of services to occupiers, owners, lenders and investors in office, retail, industrial, multi-family and other commercial real estate assets globally under the CB Richard Ellis brand name. Our business is focused on several service competencies, including strategic advice and execution assistance for property leasing and sales, forecasting, valuations, origination and servicing of commercial mortgage loans, facilities and project management and real estate investment management. We generate revenues both on a per project or transaction basis and from annual management fees.

2. Significant Accounting Policies

Principles of Consolidation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include our accounts and those of our majority-owned subsidiaries. Additionally, the consolidated financial statements for the period from January 1 to July 20, 2001 include the accounts of CBRE prior to the 2001 Merger as CBRE is considered our predecessor for purposes of Regulation S-X. The equity attributable to minority shareholders interests in subsidiaries is shown separately in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Our investments in unconsolidated subsidiaries in which we have the ability to exercise significant influence over operating and financial policies, but do not control, are accounted for under the equity method. Accordingly, our share of the earnings of these equity-method basis companies is included in consolidated net income. All other investments held on a long-term basis are valued at cost less any impairment in value.

Use of Estimates

Our consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, which require management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts in the financial statements. Actual results may differ from these estimates. Management believes that these estimates provide a reasonable basis for the fair presentation of our financial condition and results of operations.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents generally consist of cash and highly liquid investments with an original maturity of less than three months. We control certain cash and cash equivalents as an agent for our investment and property management clients. These amounts are not included in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets (See Note 17).

F-9

CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment is stated at cost, net of accumulated depreciation, or in the case of capitalized leases, at the present value of the future minimum lease payments. Depreciation and amortization of property and equipment is computed primarily using the straight-line method over estimated useful lives ranging up to ten years. Leasehold improvements are amortized over the term of the respective leases, excluding options to renew. We capitalize expenditures that materially increase the life of the related assets and expense the costs of maintenance and repairs.

We periodically review property and equipment for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. If any of the significant assumptions inherent in this assessment materially change due to market, economic, and/or other factors, the recoverability is assessed based on the revised assumptions. If this analysis indicates that such assets are considered to be impaired, the impairment is recognized in the period the changes occur and represents the amount by which the carrying value exceeds the fair value of the asset.

Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

Goodwill mainly represents the excess of the purchase price paid by us over the fair value of the tangible and intangible assets and liabilities acquired in the 2001 Merger and in the Insignia Acquisition. Other intangible assets include trademarks, which were separately identified as a result of the 2001 Merger, as well as a trade name separately identified as a result of the Insignia Acquisition representing the Richard Ellis trade name in the United Kingdom (U.K.) that was owned by Insignia prior to the Insignia Acquisition. Both the trademarks and the trade name are not being amortized and have indefinite estimated useful lives. Other intangible assets also include backlog, which represents the fair value of Insignia s net revenue backlog as of July 23, 2003 that was acquired as part of the Insignia Acquisition. The backlog consists of the net commission receivable on Insignia s revenue producing transactions, which were at various stages of completion prior to the Insignia Acquisition. Backlog is being amortized as cash is received or upon final closing of these pending transactions. The remaining other intangible assets primarily include management contracts, loan servicing rights, franchise agreements and a trade name, which are all being amortized on a straight-line basis over estimated useful lives ranging up to 20 years.

We fully adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*, effective January 1, 2002. This statement requires us to perform at least an annual assessment of impairment of goodwill and other intangible assets deemed to have indefinite useful lives based on assumptions and estimates of fair value and future cash flow information. We perform an annual assessment of our goodwill and other intangible assets deemed to have indefinite lives for impairment based in part on a third-party valuation as of the beginning of the fourth quarter of each year. We also assess our goodwill and other intangible assets deemed to have indefinite useful lives for impairment when events or circumstances indicate that our carrying value may not be recoverable from future cash flows. We completed our required annual impairment tests as of October 1, 2003 and 2002, and determined that no impairment existed as of those dates.

Deferred Financing Costs

Costs incurred in connection with financing activities are deferred and amortized using the straight-line method over the terms of the related debt agreements ranging up to ten years. Amortization of these costs is charged to interest expense in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations. In the third quarter of 2003, in connection with the Insignia Acquisition, we entered into an amended and restated credit facility and wrote off \$6.8 million of unamortized deferred financing costs associated with our prior credit facility. In the

F-10

CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

fourth quarter of 2003, we wrote off \$1.8 million of unamortized deferred financing costs associated with the \$20.0 million and \$10.0 million redemptions of our 16% senior notes on October 27, 2003 and December 29, 2003, respectively. Total deferred costs, net of accumulated amortization, included in other assets in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets were \$29.9 million and \$20.5 million, as of December 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively.

Revenue Recognition

Real estate commissions on sales are recorded as income upon close of escrow or upon transfer of title. Real estate commissions on leases are generally recorded as income once we satisfy all obligations under the commission agreement. A typical commission agreement provides that we earn a portion of the lease commission upon the execution of the lease agreement by the tenant, while the remaining portion(s) of the lease commission is earned at a later date, usually upon tenant occupancy. The existence of any significant future contingencies will result in the delay of recognition of revenue until such contingencies are satisfied. For example, if we do not earn all or a portion of the lease commission until the tenant pays their first month—s rent and the lease agreement provides the tenant with a free rent period, we delay revenue recognition until cash rent is paid by the tenant. Investment management and property management fees are recognized when earned under the provisions of the related agreements. Appraisal fees are recorded after services have been rendered. Loan origination fees are recognized at the time the loan closes and we have no significant remaining obligations for performance in connection with the transaction, while loan servicing fees are recorded to revenue as monthly principal and interest payments are collected from mortgagors. Other commissions, consulting fees and referral fees are recorded as income at the time the related services have been performed unless significant future contingencies exist.

In establishing the appropriate provisions for trade receivables, we make assumptions with respect to their future collectibility. Our assumptions are based on an individual assessment of a customer s credit quality as well as subjective factors and trends, including the aging of receivables balances. In addition to these individual assessments, in general, outstanding trade accounts receivable amounts that are greater than 180 days are fully provided for.

Business Promotion and Advertising Costs

The costs of business promotion and advertising are expensed as incurred in accordance with Statement of Position 93-7, *Reporting on Advertising Costs*. Business promotion and advertising costs of \$23.5 million, \$16.8 million, \$6.1 million and \$12.5 million were included in operating, administrative and other expenses for the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002, the period from February 20 (inception) to December 31, 2001 and the period from January 1 to July 20, 2001, respectively.

Foreign Currencies

The financial statements of subsidiaries located outside the United States (U.S.) are generally measured using the local currency as the functional currency. The assets and liabilities of these subsidiaries are translated at the rates of exchange at the balance sheet date, and income and expenses are translated at the average monthly rate. The resulting translation adjustments are included in the accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income component of stockholders—equity. Gains and losses resulting from foreign currency transactions are included in the results of operations. The aggregate transaction gains and losses included in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations are a \$9.8 million gain, a \$6.4 million gain, a \$0.2 million loss and a \$0.3 million gain for the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002, the period from February 20 (inception) to December 31, 2001 and the period from January 1 to July 20, 2001, respectively.

F-11

CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Comprehensive (Loss) Income

Comprehensive (loss) income consists of net (loss) income and other comprehensive (loss) income. Accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income consists of foreign currency translation adjustments and minimum pension liability adjustments. Foreign currency translation adjustments exclude income tax expense (benefit) given that earnings of non-U.S. subsidiaries are deemed to be reinvested for an indefinite period of time. The income tax benefit associated with the minimum pension liability adjustments is \$6.5 million and \$7.3 million as of December 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively.

Accounting for Transfers and Servicing

We follow SFAS No. 140, Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities in accounting for loan sales and acquisition of servicing rights. SFAS No. 140 provides accounting and reporting standards for transfers and servicing of financial assets and extinguishments of liabilities. Those standards are based on consistent application of a financial-components approach that focuses on control. Under the approach, after a transfer of financial assets, an entity recognizes the financial and servicing assets it controls and the liabilities it has incurred at fair value. Servicing assets are amortized over the period of estimated servicing income with a write-off required when control is surrendered. Our recording of servicing rights at their fair value resulted in gains, which have been reflected in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations. Corresponding servicing assets of approximately \$1.8 million and \$2.1 million, for the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively, are included in other intangible assets reflected in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

Stock-Based Compensation

Prior to 2003, we accounted for stock-based compensation plans under the recognition and measurement provisions of Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees. No stock-based employee compensation cost is reflected in net income (loss) for the year ended December 31, 2002, for the period from February 20 (inception) to December 31, 2001 or for the period from January 1 to July 20, 2001, as all options granted during those periods had an exercise price equal to or greater than the market value of the underlying common stock on the date of grant.

In the fourth quarter of 2003, we adopted the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation* prospectively to all employee awards granted, modified or settled after January 1, 2003, as permitted by SFAS No. 148, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation Transition and Disclosure An Amendment of FASB Statement No. 123.* Awards under our stock-based compensation plans vest over five-year periods. Therefore, the cost related to stock-based employee compensation included in the determination of net loss for the year ended December 31, 2003 is less than that which would have been recognized if the fair value based method had been applied to all awards since the original effective date of SFAS No. 123.

In accordance with SFAS No. 123, we estimate the value of our options based upon the Minimum Value method. Option valuation models require the input of assumptions such as the expected stock price volatility. As our common stock is not freely tradable on a national securities exchange or an over-the-counter market, an effectively zero percent volatility was utilized. The dividend yield is also excluded from the calculation, as it is our present intention to retain all earnings.

F-12

CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The following table illustrates the effect on net (loss) income and (loss) earnings per share if the minimum value based method had been applied to all outstanding and unvested awards in each period (dollars in thousands, except share data):

| | Cl | Predecessor Company | | |
|--|-------------|------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|
| | Year Ended | | Period From February 20 | |
| | Decemb | per 31, | (inception) | |
| | | | to | Period From January 1 |
| | | | December 31, | to July 20, |
| | 2003 | 2002 | 2001 | 2001 |
| Net (loss) income as reported | \$ (34,704) | \$ 18,727 | \$ 17,426 | \$ (34,020) |
| Add: Stock-based employee compensation expense included in reported net (loss) income, net of related tax effect | 98 | | | |
| Deduct: Total stock-based employee compensation expense determined under the minimum value method for all awards, net of related tax | 70 | | | |
| effect | (648) | (523) | (272) | (2,758) |
| Pro Forma net (loss) income | \$ (35,254) | \$ 18,204 | \$ 17,154 | \$ (36,778) |
| | | | | |
| Basic EPS: | | | | |
| As Reported | \$ (1.89) | \$ 1.25 | \$ 2.22 | \$ (1.60) |
| Pro Forma | \$ (1.92) | \$ 1.21 | \$ 2.19 | \$ (1.73) |
| | | | | |
| Diluted EPS: | | | | |
| As Reported | \$ (1.89) | \$ 1.23 | \$ 2.20 | \$ (1.60) |
| Pro Forma | \$ (1.92) | \$ 1.20 | \$ 2.17 | \$ (1.73) |
| | | | | |

The weighted average minimum value of options and warrants granted by us was \$1.62 for the year ended December 31, 2003, \$2.33 for the year ended December 31, 2002 and \$1.86 for the period from February 20 (inception) to December 31, 2001. There were no stock options or warrants granted by CBRE for the period from January 1 to July 20, 2001 that remained outstanding as of December 31, 2001.

The minimum value of each option grant and warrant is estimated on the date of grant utilizing the following weighted average assumptions:

| | Year Ended | | Period From February 20 |
|------|------------|---------|----------------------------|
| | Decemb | ber 31, | (inception) |
| | | | to |
| | | | December 31, |
| 200 |)3 | 2002 | 2001 |
| 3 | .02% | 4.06% | 4.69% |
| | .00% | 0.00% | 0.00% |
| 5 ye | ears | 5 years | 5 years |

(Loss) Earnings Per Share

Basic (loss) earnings per share is computed by dividing net (loss) income by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during each period. The computation of diluted earnings per share further assumes the dilutive effect of stock options, stock warrants and contingently issuable shares. Contingently issuable shares

CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

represent unvested stock fund units in the deferred compensation plan. In accordance with SFAS No. 128, *Earnings Per Share* these shares are included in the dilutive earnings per share calculation under the treasury stock method (see Note 16).

Income Taxes

Income taxes are accounted for under the asset and liability method in accordance with SFAS No. 109, *Accounting for Income Taxes*. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on temporary differences between the financial reporting and the tax basis of assets and liabilities and operating loss and tax credit carry forwards. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured by applying enacted tax rates and laws to taxable income in the years in which the temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date. Valuation allowances are provided against deferred tax assets when it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax asset will not be realized.

New Accounting Pronouncements

In January 2003, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued FASB Interpretation No. 46 (FIN 46), *Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities*. This standard clarifies the application of Accounting Research Bulletin No. 51, *Consolidated Financial Statements*, and addresses consolidation by business enterprises of variable interest entities. FIN 46 requires existing unconsolidated variable interest entities to be consolidated by their primary beneficiaries if the entities do not effectively disperse risk among the parties involved. This statement is immediately effective for variable interest entities created or in which an enterprise obtains an interest after January 31, 2003.

In December 2003, the FASB issued a revised version of FIN 46 (FIN 46R). Among other things, the revision clarifies the definition of a variable interest entity, exempts most entities that are businesses from the scope of FIN 46R and delays the effective date of the revised standard to no later than the end of the first reporting period ending after December 15, 2003 for special purpose entities and March 15, 2004 for all other types of entities. The adoption of this interpretation has not had, and is not expected to have, a material impact on our financial position or results of operations.

In April 2003, the FASB issued SFAS No. 149, Amendment to Statement 133 on Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities. SFAS No. 149 amends and clarifies accounting for derivative instruments, including certain derivative instruments embedded in other contracts, and for hedging activities under SFAS No. 133. SFAS No. 149 is applied prospectively and is effective for contracts entered into or modified after June 30, 2003, except for SFAS No. 133 implementation issues that have been effective for fiscal quarters that began prior to June 15, 2003 and certain provisions relating to forward purchases and sales on securities that do not yet exist. The adoption of this statement has not had a material impact on our financial position or results of operations.

In May 2003, the FASB issued SFAS No. 150, Accounting for Certain Financial Instruments with Characteristics of both Liabilities and Equity. SFAS No. 150 establishes standards for the classification and measurement of financial instruments with characteristics of both liabilities and equity. The financial instruments affected include mandatorily redeemable stock, certain financial instruments that require or may require the issuer to buy back some of its shares in exchange for cash or other assets and certain obligations that can be settled with shares of stock. SFAS No. 150 is effective for all financial instruments entered into or modified after May 31, 2003 and must be applied to our existing financial instruments effective July 1, 2003. On October 29, 2003, the FASB deferred indefinitely the provisions of paragraphs 9 and 10 and related guidance in the

F-14

CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

appendices of this pronouncement as they apply to mandatorily redeemable noncontrolling interests. The adoption of the effective provisions of SFAS No. 150 have not had a material impact on our financial position or results of operations.

In December 2003, the FASB issued a revised version of SFAS No. 132, *Employers Disclosures about Pensions and Other Postretirement Benefits*. The revised statement retains the disclosure requirements contained in SFAS No. 132 and requires additional disclosures about the assets, obligations, cash flows and net periodic benefit cost of defined benefit pension plans and other defined benefit postretirement plans. We have adopted this statement for the year ended December 31, 2003. In addition, we expect to adopt additional disclosures for our U.K. pension plans during 2004.

Reclassifications

Certain reclassifications, which do not have an effect on net income or equity, have been made to the 2002 and 2001 financial statements to conform to the 2003 presentation.

3. Insignia Acquisition

On July 23, 2003, pursuant to an Amended and Restated Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated May 28, 2003 (the Insignia Acquisition Agreement), by and among us, CBRE, Apple Acquisition Corp. (Apple Acquisition), a Delaware corporation and wholly owned subsidiary of CBRE, and Insignia Financial Group, Inc. (Insignia), Apple Acquisition was merged with and into Insignia (the Insignia Acquisition). Insignia was the surviving corporation in the Insignia Acquisition and at the effective time of the Insignia Acquisition became a wholly owned subsidiary of CBRE. We acquired Insignia to solidify our position as the market leader in the commercial real estate services industry.

In conjunction with and immediately prior to the Insignia Acquisition, Island Fund I LLC (Island), a Delaware limited liability company, which is affiliated with Andrew L. Farkas (Insignia s former Chairman and Chief Executive Officer) and some of Insignia s other former officers, completed the purchase of specified real estate investment assets of Insignia, pursuant to a Purchase Agreement, dated May 28, 2003 (the Island Purchase Agreement), by and among Insignia, us, CBRE, Apple Acquisition and Island. A number of the real estate investment assets that were sold to Island required the consent of one or more third parties in order to transfer such assets. Some of these third party consents were not obtained prior to or since the closing of the Insignia Acquisition. As a result, we continue to hold these real estate investment assets pending the receipt of these third party consents. While we hold these assets, we have generally agreed to provide Island with the economic benefits from these assets and Island generally has agreed to indemnify us with respect to any losses incurred in connection with continuing to hold these assets.

Pursuant to the terms of the Insignia Acquisition Agreement, (1) each issued and outstanding share of Insignia Common Stock (other than treasury shares), par value \$0.01 per share, was converted into the right to receive \$11.156 in cash, without interest (the Insignia Common Stock Merger Consideration), (2) each issued and outstanding share of Insignia s Series A Preferred Stock, par value \$0.01 per share, and Series B Preferred Stock, par value \$0.01 per share, was converted into the right to receive \$100.00 per share, plus accrued and unpaid dividends, (3) all outstanding warrants and options to acquire Insignia common stock other than as described below, whether vested or unvested, were canceled and represented the right to receive a cash payment, without interest, equal to the excess, if any, of the Insignia Common Stock Merger Consideration over the per share exercise price of the option or warrant, multiplied by the number of shares of Insignia Common Stock subject to the option or warrant less any applicable withholding taxes and (4) outstanding options to purchase Insignia Common Stock granted pursuant to Insignia s 1998 Stock Investment Plan, whether vested or unvested,

F-15

CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

were canceled and represented the right to receive a cash payment, without interest, equal to the excess, if any, of (a) the higher of (x) the Insignia Common Stock Merger Consideration, or (y) the highest final sale price per share of the Insignia Common Stock as reported on the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) at any time during the 60-day period preceding the closing of the Insignia Acquisition (which was \$11.20), over (b) the exercise price of the options, multiplied by the number of shares of Insignia Common Stock subject to the options, less any applicable withholding taxes. Following the Insignia Acquisition, the Insignia Common Stock was delisted from the NYSE and deregistered under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

The funding to complete the Insignia Acquisition, as well as the refinancing of substantially all of the outstanding indebtedness of Insignia, was obtained through (a) the sale of 6,587,135 shares of our Class B Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share, to Blum Strategic, a Delaware limited partnership, Blum Strategic Partners II, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership and Blum Strategic Partners II GmbH & Co. KG, a German limited partnership, for an aggregate cash purchase price of \$105,394,160; (b) the sale of 227,865 shares of our Class A Common Stock, par value \$.01 per share, to DLJ Investment Partners, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership, DLJ Investment Partners II, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership and DLJIP II Holdings, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership, for an aggregate cash purchase price of \$3,645,840; (c) the sale of 625,000 shares of our Class A Common Stock to California Public Employees Retirement System (CalPERS) for an aggregate cash purchase price of \$10,000,000; (d) the sale of 60,000 shares of our Class B Common Stock to Frederic V. Malek, a director of our company, for an aggregate cash purchase price of \$960,000; (e) the release from escrow of the net proceeds from the offering by CBRE Escrow, Inc. (CBRE Escrow), a wholly owned subsidiary of CBRE that merged with and into CBRE in connection with the Insignia Acquisition, of \$200.0 million of the 9 3/4% Senior Notes due May 15, 2010 (see Note 12), issued and sold by CBRE Escrow on May 22, 2003; (f) \$75.0 million of term loan borrowings under the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement (see Note 12), dated as of May 22, 2003, by and among CBRE, Credit Suisse First Boston (CSFB) as Administrative Agent and Collateral Agent, the other lenders named in the credit agreement, us and the guarantors named in the credit agreement and (g) \$36,870,230 of cash proceeds from the completion of the sale to Island.

The aggregate preliminary purchase price for the Insignia Acquisition was approximately \$328.0 million, which includes: (1) \$267.9 million in cash paid for shares of Insignia s outstanding common stock, valued at \$11.156 per share, (2) \$100.00 per share plus accrued and unpaid dividends paid to the owners of Insignia s outstanding Series A preferred stock and Series B preferred stock totaling \$38.2 million, (3) cash payments of \$7.9 million to holders of Insignia s vested and unvested warrants and options and (4) \$14.0 million of direct costs incurred in connection with the acquisition, consisting mostly of legal and accounting fees.

F-16

CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The preliminary purchase accounting adjustments related to the Insignia Acquisition have been recorded in the accompanying consolidated financial statements as of, and for periods subsequent to, July 23, 2003. The final valuation of the net assets acquired is expected to be completed as soon as practicable, but no later than one year from the acquisition date. Given the size and complexity of the acquisition, the fair valuation of certain assets acquired, primarily net deferred tax assets, is still preliminary. Additionally, adjustments to the estimated liabilities assumed in connection with the Insignia Acquisition may still be required. The following table summarizes the estimated fair values of the assets acquired and the liabilities assumed at the date of acquisition (in thousands):

Fair Value of Assets Acquired and Liabilities Assumed

At July 23, 2003

| Current assets | \$ 270,641 |
|---|------------|
| Property and equipment, net | 32,532 |
| Goodwill | 237,569 |
| Other intangible assets, net | 102,748 |
| Other assets | 30,776 |
| | |
| Total assets acquired | 674,266 |
| | |
| Current liabilities | 168,574 |
| Liabilities assumed in connection with the Insignia Acquisition | 87,739 |
| Notes payable | 43,000 |
| Other liabilities | 46,994 |
| | |
| Total liabilities assumed | 346,307 |
| | |
| Net assets acquired | \$ 327,959 |
| | |

The following is a summary of the intangible assets acquired in connection with the Insignia Acquisition (dollars in thousands):

| | Weighted | Jul | y 23, 2003 | Decembe | ber 31, 2003 | |
|----------------------|-----------------------------------|-----|----------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|--------------------|
| | Average Amortization Period | | s Carrying Amount | Accumulated Amortization | | Carrying Amount |
| Backlog | (1) | \$ | 72,503 | \$ (59,108) | \$ | 13,395 |
| Trade name | n/a | | 19,826 | | | 19,826 |
| Management contracts | 5 years | | 4,611 | (490) | | 4,121 |

Other 6 years 5,808 (821) 4,987

(1) Weighted average amortization period is not determinable. See Note 8 for additional information.

F-17

CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The Insignia Acquisition gave rise to the consolidation and elimination of some Insignia duplicate facilities and Insignia redundant employees as well as the termination of certain contracts as a result of a change of control of Insignia. As a result, we have accrued certain liabilities in accordance with Emerging Issues Task Force Issue No. 95-3, *Recognition of Liabilities in Connection with a Purchase Business Combination.* These liabilities assumed in connection with the Insignia Acquisition consist of the following (dollars in thousands):

| | 2003 Charge to Goodwill | Utilized to Date | To be Utilized |
|---|----------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Severance | \$ 30,706 | \$ 13,676 | \$ 17,030 |
| Lease termination costs | 28,922 | 3,065 | 25,857 |
| Change of control payments | 10,451 | 10,451 | |
| Costs associated with exiting contracts | 8,921 | 7,632 | 1,289 |
| Legal settlements anticipated | 8,739 | 2,900 | 5,839 |
| | | | |
| | \$ 87,739 | \$ 37,724 | \$ 50,015 |
| | | | |

The liability for severance covers approximately 450 employees with the bulk of the terminations occurring in the U.S. A majority of the amount unpaid as of December 31, 2003 represents future payments required as per severance agreements for the top six former senior executives of Insignia. These amounts will be paid as required by their severance agreements up through their expiration dates of December 31, 2004 and December 31, 2005. All other outstanding liabilities for severance are expected to be paid in 2004. We identified approximately 50 redundant facilities consisting of both sales and corporate offices. A total accrual for lease termination costs of \$28.9 million was established for office closures, the majority of which were located in the U.S. The liability for lease termination costs will be paid over the remaining contract periods through 2012. The change of control payments represented amounts paid to the top six former senior executives of Insignia as a direct result of the Insignia Acquisition as stipulated in their employment contracts. In connection with the Insignia Acquisition, we incurred costs associated with the termination of contracts that Insignia entered into prior to the Insignia Acquisition. We expect to pay all remaining costs relating to exiting these contracts in 2004. We have accrued approximately \$8.7 million to cover our exposure in various lawsuits involving Insignia that were pending prior to the Insignia Acquisition. These liabilities will be paid as each case is settled.

4. 2001 Merger

On July 20, 2001, we acquired CBRE pursuant to an Amended and Restated Agreement and Plan of Merger dated May 31, 2001 (the 2001 Merger Agreement) among us, CBRE and Blum CB. At the effective time of the 2001 Merger, CBRE became our wholly owned subsidiary. Pursuant to the terms of the 2001 Merger Agreement, each issued and outstanding share of common stock of CBRE was converted into the right to receive \$16.00 in cash, except for: (i) shares of common stock of CBRE owned by us and Blum CB immediately prior to the 2001 Merger, totaling 7,967,774 shares, which were cancelled, (ii) treasury shares and shares of common stock of CBRE owned by any of its subsidiaries, which were cancelled and (iii) shares of CBRE held by stockholders who perfected appraisal rights for such shares in accordance with Delaware law. All shares of common stock of CBRE outstanding prior to the 2001 Merger were acquired by us and subsequently cancelled. Immediately prior to the 2001 Merger, the following, collectively referred to as the buying group, contributed to us all the shares of CBRE s common stock that he or it directly owned in exchange for an equal number of shares of our Class B common stock: Blum Strategic, FS Equity Partners III, L.P. (FSEP III), a Delaware limited partnership, The

Koll Holding Company, a California corporation, Frederic V. Malek, a director of our company and CBRE, Raymond E. Wirta, the Chief Executive Officer and a director of our company and CBRE, and Brett White, the President and a director of our company and CBRE. Such shares of common stock of CBRE, which totaled 7,967,774

F-18

CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

shares of common stock, were then cancelled. In addition, we offered to purchase for cash, options outstanding to acquire common stock of CBRE at a purchase price per option equal to the greater of the amount by which \$16.00 exceeded the exercise price of the option, if at all, or \$1.00. In connection with the 2001 Merger, CBRE purchased its outstanding options on our behalf, which were recorded as merger-related and other nonrecurring charges by CBRE in the period from January 1 to July 20, 2001.

The funding to complete the 2001 Merger, as well as the refinancing of substantially all of the outstanding indebtedness of CBRE, was obtained through: (i) a cash contribution of \$74.8 million from the sale of our Class B common stock for \$16.00 per share, (ii) sale of shares of our Class A common stock for \$16.00 per share to employees and independent contractors of CBRE, (iii) sale of 625,000 shares of our Class A common stock to CalPERS for \$16.00 per share, (iv) issuance and sale of 65,000 units for \$65.0 million to DLJ Investment Funding, Inc. and other purchasers, which units consisted of \$65.0 million in aggregate principal amount of 16% Senior Notes due July 20, 2011 and 339,820 shares of our Class A common stock, (v) issuance and sale by Blum CB of \$229.0 million in aggregate principal amount of 11 \(^{1}/4\%\) Senior Subordinated Notes due June 15, 2011 for \$225.6 million (which were assumed by CBRE in connection with the 2001 Merger) and (vi) borrowings by CBRE under a new \$325.0 million senior credit facility with CSFB and other lenders.

Following the 2001 Merger, the common stock of CBRE was delisted from the NYSE. CBRE also successfully completed a tender offer and consent solicitation for all of the outstanding principal amount of its 8 $^7/8\%$ Senior Subordinated Notes due 2006 (the Subordinated Notes). The Subordinated Notes were purchased at \$1,079.14 for each \$1,000 principal amount of Subordinated Notes, which included a consent payment of \$30.00 per \$1,000 principal amount of Subordinated Notes. We also repaid the outstanding balance of CBRE s existing revolving credit facility. We entered into the 2001 Merger in order to enhance the flexibility to operate CBRE s existing businesses and to develop new ones.

5. Basis of Preparation

The accompanying consolidated balance sheets as of December 31, 2003 and 2002, and the consolidated statements of operations, cash flows and stockholders equity for the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002 and for the period from February 20 (inception) to December 31, 2001, reflect our consolidated balance sheets, results of operations, cash flows and stockholders equity from our company s inception and also include the consolidated financial statements of CBRE from the date of the 2001 Merger, including all material adjustments required under the purchase method of accounting. For purposes of Regulation S-X, CBRE is considered our predecessor. As such, the historical financial statements of CBRE prior to the 2001 Merger are included in the accompanying consolidated financial statements, including the consolidated statements of operations, cash flows and stockholders equity for the period from January 1 to July 20, 2001 (the Predecessor financial statements). The Predecessor financial statements have not been adjusted to reflect our acquisition of CBRE. As such, our consolidated financial statements after the 2001 Merger are not directly comparable to the Predecessor financial statements prior to the 2001 Merger. Additionally, the accompanying consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2003 and the consolidated statements of operations and cash flows for the year ended December 31, 2003 include the consolidated financial statements of Insignia from July 23, 2003, the date of the Insignia Acquisition, including all material adjustments required under the purchase method of accounting. As such, our consolidated financial statements after the Insignia Acquisition are not directly comparable to our financial statements prior to the Insignia Acquisition.

Unaudited pro forma results, assuming the Insignia Acquisition had occurred as of January 1, 2003 and 2002 for purposes of the 2003 and 2002 pro forma disclosures, respectively, are presented below. These unaudited pro forma results have been prepared for comparative purposes only

and include certain adjustments, such as increased amortization expense as a result of intangible assets acquired in the Insignia Acquisition as

F-19

CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

well as higher interest expense as a result of debt incurred to finance the Insignia Acquisition. These unaudited pro forma results do not purport to be indicative of what operating results would have been had the Insignia Acquisition occurred on January 1, 2003 or 2002, respectively, and may not be indicative of future operating results (dollars in thousands, except share data):

| | Year Ende | l Deceml | ber 31, |
|--|-----------------|----------|------------|
| | 2003 | | 2002 |
| | (Una | audited) | |
| Revenue | \$ 1,948,827 | \$ | 1,744,162 |
| Operating income | \$ 33,217 | \$ | 69,436 |
| Net loss | \$ (43,334) | \$ | (19,713) |
| Basic and diluted loss per share | \$ (1.92) | \$ | (0.88) |
| Weighted average shares outstanding for basic and diluted loss per share | 22,544,351 | | 22,525,308 |

6. Restricted Cash

Included in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2003 is restricted cash of \$14.9 million, which primarily consists of cash pledged to secure the guarantee of notes issued in connection with previous acquisitions by Insignia in the U.K. The acquisitions include the 1999 acquisition of St. Quintin Holdings Limited and the 1998 acquisition of Richard Ellis Group Limited.

7. Property and Equipment

Property and equipment consists of the following (dollars in thousands):

| | Decemb | oer 31, |
|--------------------------------|-------------|-----------|
| | 2003 | 2002 |
| Leasehold improvements | \$ 48,741 | \$ 20,000 |
| Furniture and equipment | 162,157 | 116,268 |
| Equipment under capital leases | 12,820 | 13,925 |
| | | |
| | 223,718 | 150,193 |
| Accumulated depreciation | (110,149) | (83,559) |

| Property and equipment, net | \$ 113,569 | \$ 66,634 |
|-----------------------------|------------|-----------|
| | | |

Depreciation expense was \$28.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2003, \$20.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2002, \$9.1 million for the period from February 20 (inception) to December 31, 2001 and \$12.6 million for the period from January 1 to July 20, 2001.

F-20

CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

8. Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

In June 2001, the FASB issued SFAS No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*. Under SFAS No. 142, goodwill and other intangible assets deemed to have indefinite useful lives are no longer amortized but are subject to impairment tests on an annual basis, at a minimum, or whenever events or circumstances occur indicating that those assets might be impaired. We adopted the non-amortization provisions of SFAS No. 142 on July 20, 2001, the effective date of the 2001 Merger. The following table presents the impact of SFAS No. 142 on, our net (loss) income and net (loss) earnings per share had the standard been in effect for the period from January 1 to July 20, 2001 (dollars in thousands, except share data):

| | CI | CB Richard Ellis Group | | | | |
|---|-------------|--------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|--|--|
| | Year I | Year Ended December 31, | | | | |
| | Decemb | | | Period From January 1 | | |
| | 2003 | 2002 | December 31, 2001 | to July 20, 2001 | | |
| Reported net (loss) income | \$ (34,704) | \$ 18,727 | \$ 17,426 | \$ (34,020) | | |
| Add back amortization of goodwill, net of taxes | | | | 7,701 | | |
| Adjusted net (loss) income | \$ (34,704) | \$ 18,727 | \$ 17,426 | \$ (26,319) | | |
| Basic (loss) earnings per share: | | | | | | |
| Reported (loss) earnings per share Add back goodwill amortization per share | \$ (1.89) | \$ 1.25 | \$ 2.22 | \$ (1.60) 0.36 | | |
| Adjusted basic (loss) earnings per share | \$ (1.89) | \$ 1.25 | \$ 2.22 | \$ (1.24) | | |
| Diluted (loss) earnings per share: | | | | | | |
| Reported (loss) earnings per share Add back goodwill amortization per share | \$ (1.89) | \$ 1.23 | \$ 2.20 | \$ (1.60) 0.36 | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Adjusted diluted (loss) earnings per share | \$ (1.89) | \$ 1.23 | \$ 2.20 | \$ (1.24) | | |

The preliminary purchase accounting adjustments associated with the Insignia Acquisition have been recorded in the accompanying consolidated financial statements. We are in the process of finalizing the fair value of all assets acquired and liabilities assumed as of July 23, 2003, the effective date of the Insignia Acquisition (See Note 3 for additional information). The following table summarizes the estimated goodwill allocated to our operating segments in connection with the Insignia Acquisition as well as other changes in the carrying amount of goodwill for the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002 (dollars in thousands):

| | Americas | EMEA | Asia Pacific | Total |
|---|------------|------------|--------------|------------|
| | | | | |
| Balance at January 1, 2002 | \$ 510,188 | \$ 96,637 | \$ 2,718 | \$ 609,543 |
| Purchase accounting adjustments related to acquisitions | 15,321 | 5,809 | 688 | 21,818 |
| Reclassed (to)from intangibles assets | (57,841) | 3,617 | | (54,224) |
| | | | | |
| Balance at December 31, 2002 | 467,668 | 106,063 | 3,406 | 577,137 |
| Purchase accounting adjustments related to acquisitions | 130,771 | 111,043 | 607 | 242,421 |
| | | | | |
| Balance at December 31, 2003 | \$ 598,439 | \$ 217,106 | \$ 4,013 | \$ 819,558 |

F-21

CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Other intangible assets totaled \$131.7 million and \$91.1 million, net of accumulated amortization of \$73.5 million and \$7.7 million, as of December 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively, and are comprised of the following (dollars in thousands):

| - | | • | - | - |
|---|----|---|---|---|
| | en | | | |
| | | | | |

| | 20 | 2003 | | 2002 | |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| | Gross Carrying Amount | Accumulated Amortization | Gross Carrying Amount | Accumulated Amortization | |
| Unamortizable intangible assets | | | | | |
| Trademarks | \$ 63,700 | | \$ 63,700 | | |
| Trade name | 19,826 | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Total | \$ 83,526 | | \$ 63,700 | | |
| | | | | | |
| Amortizable intangible assets | | | | | |
| Backlog | \$ 72,503 | \$ (59,108) | \$ | \$ | |
| Management contracts | 25,649 | (9,708) | 18,887 | (5,605) | |
| Loan servicing rights | 17,694 | (3,812) | 16,234 | (2,134) | |
| Other | 5,808 | (821) | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Total | \$ 121,654 | \$ (73,449) | \$ 35,121 | \$ (7,739) | |
| | | | | | |

In accordance with SFAS No. 141, *Business Combinations*, trademarks of \$63.7 million were separately identified as a result of the 2001 Merger. As a result of the Insignia Acquisition, a \$19.8 million trade name was separately identified, which represents the Richard Ellis trade name in the U.K. that was owned by Insignia prior to the Insignia Acquisition. Both the trademarks and the trade name have indefinite useful lives and accordingly are not being amortized.

Backlog represents the fair value of Insignia s net revenue backlog as of July 23, 2003, which was acquired as part of the Insignia Acquisition. The backlog consists of the net commissions receivable on Insignia s revenue producing transactions, which were at various stages of completion prior to the Insignia Acquisition. This intangible asset is being amortized as cash is received or upon final closing of these pending transactions.

Management contracts are primarily comprised of property management contracts in the U.S., the U.K., France and other European operations, as well as valuation services and fund management contracts in the U.K. These management contracts are being amortized over estimated useful lives of up to ten years.

Loan servicing rights represent the fair value of servicing assets in our mortgage banking line of business in the U.S., the majority of which were acquired as part of the 2001 Merger. The loan servicing rights are being amortized over estimated useful lives of up to ten years.

Other amortizable intangible assets represent other intangible assets acquired as a result of the Insignia Acquisition, including an intangible asset recognized for other non-contractual revenue acquired in the U.S. as well as franchise agreements and a trade name in France. These other intangible assets are being amortized over estimated useful lives of up to 20 years.

Amortization expense related to intangible assets was \$64.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2003, \$3.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2002, \$3.1 million for the period from February 20 (inception) to December 31, 2001 and \$13.1 million for the period from January 1 to July 20, 2001. The estimated amortization expense for the five years ending December 31, 2008 approximates \$20.3 million, \$6.5 million, \$5.1 million, \$4.2 million and \$3.4 million, respectively.

F-22

CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

9. Investments in and Advances to Unconsolidated Subsidiaries

Combined condensed financial information for the entities accounted for using the equity method is as follows (dollars in thousands):

Condensed Balance Sheets Information:

| | Decen | December 31, | |
|------------------------|--------------|--------------|--|
| | 2003 | 2002 | |
| Current assets | \$ 208,743 | \$ 127,635 | |
| Noncurrent assets | \$ 2,040,138 | \$ 1,552,546 | |
| Current liabilities | \$ 154,778 | \$ 108,463 | |
| Noncurrent liabilities | \$ 969,993 | \$ 664,241 | |
| Minority interest | \$ 4,600 | \$ 3,938 | |

Condensed Statements of Operations Information:

| | Yea | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|------------------------|------------|-------------------------|------------|--|
| | 2003 | 2002 | 2001 | |
| Net revenue | \$ 450,542 | \$ 349,121 | \$ 286,138 | |
| Income from operations | \$ 111,585 | \$ 78,171 | \$ 60,259 | |
| Net income | \$ 174,629 | \$ 81,498 | \$ 30,098 | |

Included in other current assets in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet was a note receivable from our equity investment in Investor 1031, L.L.C. in the amount of \$1.2 million as of December 31, 2002. This note was issued on June 20, 2002, bore interest at 20.0% per annum and was due for repayment on July 15, 2003. This note and related interest were paid in full during the second quarter of 2003.

Our investment management business involves investing our own capital in certain real estate investments with clients. We have provided investment management, property management, brokerage, appraisal and other professional services to these equity investees and earned revenues from these co-investments of \$21.6 million, \$22.4 million and \$15.4 million during the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001, respectively.

In March 2001, our wholly owned subsidiary, CB Richard Ellis Investors, L.L.C. (CBRE Investors), entered into a joint venture, Global Innovation Partners, with CalPERS. This joint venture targets real estate and private equity investments and expected opportunities created by the convergence of technology and real estate. The managing member of the joint venture is 50% owned by one of our subsidiaries. In connection with formation of the joint venture, CBRE Investors, CalPERS and some of our employees entered into an aggregate of \$526.0 million of capital commitments to Global Innovations Partners, of which CalPERS committed an aggregate of \$500.0 million.

F-23

CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

10. Other Assets

The following table summarizes the items included in other assets (dollars in thousands):

| | Decemb | December 31, | |
|---|------------|--------------|--|
| | 2003 | 2002 | |
| Property held for sale | \$ 50,615 | \$ 45,883 | |
| Deferred financing costs, net | 29,898 | 20,467 | |
| Employee loans (1) | 17,622 | 4,089 | |
| Property investments held pursuant to the Island Purchase Agreement (2) | 7,457 | | |
| Cost investments | 7,096 | 6,524 | |
| Long-term trade receivables, net | 6,542 | 1,128 | |
| Notes receivable | 5,640 | 4,943 | |
| Deposits | 4,621 | 8,714 | |
| Miscellaneous | 3,221 | 2,109 | |
| | | | |
| Total | \$ 132,712 | \$ 93,857 | |
| | | | |

⁽¹⁾ See Note 22 for additional information.

11. Employee Benefit Plans

Stock Incentive Plans and Warrants.

2001 Stock Incentive Plan. Our 2001 stock incentive plan was adopted by our board of directors and our stockholders on June 7, 2001. The stock incentive plan permits the grant of nonqualified stock options, incentive stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, restricted stock units and other stock-based awards to our employees, directors or independent contractors. A total of 6,500,000 shares of Class A common stock have been reserved for issuance under the stock incentive plan, and 3,499,466 shares remained available for future issuance as of December 31, 2003. The number of shares issued or reserved pursuant to the stock incentive plan, or pursuant to outstanding awards, is subject to adjustment on account of stock splits, stock dividends and other dilutive changes in our Class A common stock. Class A common stock covered by awards that expire, terminate or lapse will again be available for option or grant under the stock incentive plan. No award may be granted under the stock incentive plan after June 7, 2011, but awards granted prior to June 7, 2011 may extend beyond that date. In the event of a change of control

⁽²⁾ Represents property investments held for the benefit of Island Fund pursuant to the Island Purchase Agreement pending the receipt of third party consents (see Note 3 for additional information).

of our company, all outstanding options will become fully vested and exercisable.

In connection with the 2001 Merger, we offered and sold shares of our Class A common stock to certain of our employees that were designated by our board of directors in consultation with Ray Wirta, our Chief Executive Officer, and Brett White, our President. If each of these designated employees subscribed for a specified number of shares that was determined by our board of directors, they were then entitled to receive a grant of options to acquire our Class A common stock. As part of the 2001 Merger, we issued and sold 423,223 shares of our Class A common stock and granted 1,520,207 options to acquire our Class A common stock at an exercise price of \$16.00 per share and a term of ten years. These options vest and are exercisable in 20% annual increments over a five-year period ending on July 20, 2006.

On September 16, 2003, we issued to employees 876,000 options to acquire our Class A common stock at an exercise price of \$16.00 per share and a term of ten years. These options vest and are exercisable in 20% annual increments over a five-year period ending September 16, 2008.

F-24

CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Since the 2001 Merger, there have been instances where employees have forfeited their options as a result of the termination of their employment with our company. In these instances, we have generally issued individual grants to replacement hires made as well as to retain certain key employees. Additionally, individual grants of options and issuances and sales of shares of Class A common stock have been made from time to time to key new hires. As of December 31, 2003, a total of 88,750 shares of our Class A common stock had been issued and sold and 305,802 options to acquire our Class A common stock had been granted to individuals under the instances described above since the 2001 Merger. These options have exercise prices of \$16.00 per share, terms of ten years and vest and are exercisable in 20% annual increments over various five-year periods through November 2008.

Warrants. Pursuant to an agreement entered into in connection with the 2001 Merger, we issued to FSEP III and FSEP International warrants to acquire 255,477 shares of our Class B common stock at an exercise price of \$30.00 per share in exchange for the cancellation of previously outstanding warrants to acquire 364,884 shares of CBRE common stock. Subject to limited exceptions, these warrants do not vest until August 26, 2007, expire on August 27, 2007 and will become fully vested and exercisable upon a change in control of our company.

Option Plans and Warrants of CBRE, our Predecessor. The options and warrants outstanding prior to the 2001 Merger were issued in connection with various acquisitions and employee stock-based compensation plans and had exercise prices that ranged from \$10.00 to \$36.75 with vesting periods that ranged up to 5 years and expired at various dates through August 2010.

At the effective time of the 2001 Merger, each holder of an option to acquire CBRE s common stock, whether or not vested, had the right to receive, in consideration for the cancellation of his or her options, an amount per share of common stock equal to the greater of (i) the amount by which \$16.00 exceeded the exercise price of the option, if any, or (ii) \$1.00, reduced in each case by applicable withholding taxes. Warrants to acquire 84,988 shares of CBRE beneficially owned by Ray Wirta and one of the other members of the CBRE board of directors prior to the 2001 Merger were cancelled in exchange for a cash payment of \$1.00 per share of common stock underlying the warrants. Warrants held by non-employees, other than FS Equity Partners III, L.P. and FS Equity Partners International, L.P. who received warrants to acquire shares of CBRE s Class B common stock, were cancelled and no payments were made to such shareholders. As of December 31, 2001, there were no options or warrants outstanding to acquire CBRE s stock.

A summary of the status of our option plans and warrants, as well as our Predecessor s, is presented in the tables below:

CB Richard Ellis Group

| Shares | Weighted | Exercisable | Weighted |
|--------|----------|-------------|----------|
| | Average | Shares | Average |
| | Exercise | | Exercise |
| | Price | | Price |

Edgar Filing: CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP INC - Form S-1/A

| Outstanding at February 20, 2001 (Inception) | | \$ | | |
|--|-----------|----------|---------|----------|
| Granted | 1,775,684 | 18.01 | | |
| Forfeited | (17,186) | 16.00 | | |
| | | | | |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2001 | 1,758,498 | 18.03 | | |
| | | | | |
| Granted | 123,873 | 16.00 | | |
| Forfeited | (175,295) | 16.00 | | |
| | | | | |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2002 | 1,707,076 | 18.10 | 277,575 | \$ 16.00 |
| | | | | |
| Granted | 1,057,929 | 16.00 | | |
| Forfeited | (20,967) | 16.00 | | |
| | | | | |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2003 | 2,744,038 | \$ 18.10 | 552,427 | \$ 16.00 |
| | | | | |

CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

| | | Predecessor Company | | | | |
|--|-------------|---------------------|-------------|----------|--|--|
| | | Weighted | | | | |
| | | Average | | Average | | |
| | | Exercise | Exercisable | Exercise | | |
| | Shares | Price | Shares | Price | | |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2000 | 3,340,010 | \$ 21.25 | 1,824,665 | \$ 23.90 | | |
| Exercised | (86,521) | 12.89 | ,- , | | | |
| Forfeited/Expired | (93,370) | 20.27 | | | | |
| Paid and/or cancelled as a result of the 2001 Merger | (3,160,119) | 21.50 | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Outstanding at July 20, 2001 | | \$ | | | | |
| - | | | | | | |

Option plans and warrants outstanding at December 31, 2003 and their related weighted average exercise price and life information is presented below:

| | | | | Exercisable | e Options |
|-----------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------|-------------|-----------|
| | Outstand | ling Options and Wa | rrants | and Wa | rrants |
| | | Weighted | Weighted | | Weighted |
| | | Average | Average | | Average |
| | Number | Remaining | Exercise | Number | Exercise |
| Exercise Prices | Outstanding | Contractural Life | Price | Exercisable | Price |
| \$16.00 | 2 400 541 | 8.49 | \$ 16.00 | 552 427 | \$ 16.00 |
| \$30.00 | 2,488,561 255,477 | 3.66 | 30.00 | 552,427 | \$ 10.00 |
| | 2,744,038 | | \$ 17.30 | 552,427 | \$ 16.00 |
| | | | | | |

Deferred Compensation Plan. Our deferred compensation plan (the DCP) historically has permitted a select group of management employees, as well as other highly compensated employees, to elect, immediately prior to the beginning of each calendar year, to defer receipt of some or all of

their compensation for the next year until a future distribution date and have it credited to one or more of several funds in the DCP. The DCP permits participants to elect in-service distributions, which may not begin less than three years following the election and post-employment distributions. There is limited flexibility to change distribution elections once made. A participant may elect to receive a distribution of his or her vested accounts at any time subject to a charge equal to 7.5% of the amount to be distributed. The investment alternatives available to participants in connection with their deferrals include two interest index funds and an insurance fund in which gains or losses on deferrals are measured by one or more of approximately 30 mutual funds. In addition, prior to the 2001 Merger, participants were entitled to invest their deferrals in stock fund units that entitled the participants to receive future distributions of shares of CBRE common stock, which stock fund units now represent the right to receive future distributions of shares of our common stock.

Each stock fund unit that was unvested prior to the 2001 Merger remained in participants accounts, but after the 2001 Merger was converted to the right to receive one share of our Class A common stock. These unvested stock fund units have been accounted for as a deferred compensation asset and are being amortized as compensation expense over the remaining vesting period for such stock fund units in accordance with FASB Interpretation No. 44, *Accounting for Certain Transactions Involving Stock Compensation*, with \$1.8 million charged to compensation expense for the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002, and \$0.9 million charged to compensation expense for the period from February 20 (inception) to December 31, 2001. The accompanying consolidated balance sheets include the unamortized balances totaling \$1.4 million and \$1.9 million in other current assets as of December 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively, and \$1.4 million in other assets as of December 31, 2002. Subsequent to the 2001 Merger, no new deferrals have been allowed in stock fund units.

F-26

CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

In 2001, we announced a match for the Plan Year 2000, effective July 2001, in the amount of \$8.0 million to be invested in an interest bearing account on behalf of participants. The 2000 Company Match vests at 20% per year and will be fully vested by December 2005. The related compensation expense is being amortized over the vesting period. The amounts charged to expense for the 2000 Company match were \$1.7 million for the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002, \$0.7 million for the period from February 20 (inception) to December 31, 2001 and \$0.2 million for the period from January 1 to July 20, 2001.

Included in our accompanying consolidated balance sheets is an accumulated non-stock liability of \$138.0 million and \$106.3 million at December 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively, and the assets (in the form of insurance) set aside to cover the liability of \$76.4 million and \$63.6 million as of December 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively. In addition, our stock fund unit deferrals included in additional paid-in capital totaled \$18.1 million and \$18.2 million at December 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively.

Early in the fourth quarter of 2003, we announced that effective January 1, 2004, we will close the DCP to new participants. Currently, the DCP is accepting compensation deferrals from participants who have a balance, meet the eligibility requirements and elect to participate, up to a maximum annual contribution amount of \$250,000 per participant. We are currently reviewing the future status of this plan.

Stock Purchase Plans. Prior to the 2001 Merger, CBRE had restricted stock purchase plans covering select key executives including senior management. A total of 500,000 and 550,000 shares of common stock were reserved for issuance under CBRE s 1999 and 1996 Equity Incentive Plans, respectively. The shares were issued to senior executives for a purchase price equal to the greater of \$18.00 and \$10.00 per share or fair market value, respectively. The purchase price for these shares was paid either in cash or by delivery of a full recourse promissory note. All promissory notes related to the 1999 Equity Incentive Plan were repaid as part of the 2001 Merger. The majority of the notes related to the 1996 Equity Incentive Plan were also repaid, with the remaining unpaid outstanding balance of \$0.6 million as of December 31, 2003 and 2002, included in notes receivable from sale of stock in the accompanying consolidated statements of stockholders equity. As part of the 2001 Merger, the CBRE shares related to these outstanding promissory notes were exchanged for shares of our Class B common stock.

Bonuses. We have bonus programs covering select key employees, including senior management. Awards are based on the position and performance of the employee and the achievement of pre-established financial, operating and strategic objectives. The amounts charged to expense for bonuses were \$51.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2003, \$40.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2002, \$18.0 million for the period from February 20 (inception) to December 31, 2001 and \$16.5 million for the period from January 1 to July 20, 2001.

401(k) Plans. Our CB Richard Ellis 401(k) Plan (401(k) Plan) is defined contribution profit sharing plan under Section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code. Generally, our U.S. employees are eligible to participate in the plan if the employee is at least 21 years old. The 401 (k) Plan provides for participant contributions as well as discretionary employer contributions. A participant is allowed to contribute to the 401 (k) Plan from 1% to 15%, in whole percentages, of his or her compensation, subject to limits imposed by the U.S. Internal Revenue Code. Each year, we determine the amount of employer contributions, if any, we will contribute to the 401 (k) Plan based on the performance and profitability of our consolidated U.S. operations. Our contributions for the year are allocated to participants who are actively employed on the last day of the plan year in proportion to each participant s pre-tax contributions for that year, up to 5% of the participant s compensation. In connection with the 401 (k) Plan, we incurred \$2.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2003, no expense for the year ended December 31, 2002, \$0.8 million for the period from February 20 (inception) to December 31, 2001 and no expense for the period from January 1 to July 20, 2001.

F-27

CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

In connection with the 2001 Merger, each share of common stock of CBRE formerly held by the 401(k) Plan and credited to participant accounts was exchanged for \$16.00 in cash. In addition, the 401(k) Plan was amended to eliminate the common stock of CBRE as an investment option within the 401(k) Plan after July 20, 2001. The cash received for the shares of CBRE common stock was available for reinvestment in one or more of the investment alternatives available within the 401(k) Plan in accordance with the terms of the plan, including a new company stock fund in which employees could invest on a one-time basis in our Class A shares of common stock. Subsequent to the 2001 Merger, participants are no longer entitled to purchase additional shares of our Class A or Class B common stock for allocation to their account balances.

In connection with the Insignia Acquisition, we assumed Insignia s existing 401(k) Retirement Savings Plan (Insignia 401(k) Plan) and its 401(k) Restoration Plan.

The Insignia 401(k) Plan covered substantially all Insignia employees in the U.S. Insignia made contributions equal to 25% of the employees contributions up to a maximum of 6% of the employees compensation and participants fully vested in employees contributions after five years. Insignia s contribution was discontinued effective July 23, 2003. Upon the close of the Insignia Acquisition, participants in the Insignia 401(k) Plan were required, instead, to join our 401(k) Plan. Currently, only loan payments are being accepted into the former Insignia 401(k) Plan until we receive IRS approval to terminate the plan and transfer plan balances into our 401(k) Plan.

The 401(k) Restoration Plan allowed designated executives of Insignia and certain participating affiliated employees in the Insignia 401(k) Plan to defer the receipt of a portion of their compensation in excess of the amount of compensation that was permitted to be contributed to the Insignia 401(k) Plan. This plan ceased to accept deferrals on July 23, 2003.

Pension Plans. The London-based firm of Hillier Parker May & Rowden, which we acquired in 1998, had a contributory defined benefit pension plan. A subsidiary of Insignia, which we acquired in connection with the Insignia Acquisition in 2003, had a contributory defined benefit pension plan in the U.K. Our subsidiaries based in the U.K. maintain these plans to provide retirement benefits to existing and former employees participating in the plans. With respect to these plans, our historical policy has been to contribute annually an amount to fund pension cost as actuarially determined and as required by applicable laws and regulations. Pension expense totaled \$7.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2003, \$3.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2002, \$1.4 million for the period February 20 (inception) to December 31, 2001, and \$0.9 million for the period from January 1 to July 20, 2001.

F-28

CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The following table sets forth a reconciliation of the benefit obligation, plan assets, plan s funded status and amounts recognized in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets for our defined benefit pension plans (in thousands):

| | Year Ended I | December 31, |
|--|--------------|--------------|
| | 2003 | 2002 |
| Change in benefit obligation | | |
| Benefit obligation at beginning of period | \$ 96,734 | \$ 74,418 |
| Service cost | 6,248 | 5,578 |
| Interest cost | 7,573 | 4,764 |
| Actuarial loss | 7,472 | 3,997 |
| Insignia Acquisition | 64,392 | |
| Benefits paid, net of plan participants contributions | (1,942) | (713) |
| Foreign currency translation | 19,709 | 8,690 |
| Benefit obligation at end of period | \$ 200,186 | \$ 96,734 |
| | | |
| Change in plan assets | | |
| Fair value of plan assets at beginning of period | \$ 76,430 | \$ 80,950 |
| Actual return on plan assets | 18,317 | (13,777) |
| Company contributions | 2,850 | 2,299 |
| Insignia Acquisition | 45,295 | |
| Benefits paid, net of plan participants contributions | (1,942) | (713) |
| Foreign currency translation | 15,008 | 7,671 |
| Fair value of plan assets at end of period | \$ 155,958 | \$ 76,430 |
| a control parameter production and p | | |
| Funded status | \$ (44,228) | \$ (20,304) |
| Unrecognized net actuarial loss | 29,331 | 33,350 |
| Company contributions in the post-measurement period | 485 | 530 |
| | | |
| Net amount recognized | \$ (14,412) | \$ 13,576 |
| Not any and management in the consolidated halance shoots | | |
| Net amount recognized in the consolidated balance sheets | Φ (25.000) | e (10.760 |
| Accrued benefit liability | \$ (35,998) | \$ (10,766) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | 21,586 | 24,342 |
| | \$ (14,412) | \$ 13,576 |
| | | |

Weighted average assumptions used to determine our projected benefit obligation were as follows:

| | | Year Ended December 31, | |
|--------------------------------|-------|----------------------------|--|
| | 2003 | 2002 | |
| Discount rate | 5.60% | 5.60% | |
| Expected return on plan assets | 7.90% | 8.20% | |
| Rate of compensation increase | 4.40% | 4.30% | |

CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Weighted average assumptions used to determine our net periodic pension cost were as follows:

| | | CB Richa | | Predecessor Company | |
|--------------------------------|--------|--------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| | Year E | inded | Period From February 20 | | |
| | Decemb | December 31, (inception) | | | |
| | | | to | Period From January 1 | |
| | | D | December 31, | to July 20, | |
| | 2003 | 2002 | 2001 | 2001 | |
| Discount rate | 5.56% | 6.00% | 6.00% | 6.00% | |
| Expected return on plan assets | 7.88% | 8.00% | 7.50% | 7.75% | |
| Rate of compensation increase | 4.24% | 4.50% | 4.75% | 5.00% | |

Net periodic pension cost consisted of the following (in thousands):

| | | Predecessor Company | | |
|---------------------------------------|------------------|------------------------|--------------|--------------------------|
| | Year I Decemb | February | | |
| | | | (inception) | |
| | | | to | Period From January 1 |
| | | | December 31, | to July 20, |
| | 2003 | 2002 | 2001 | 2001 |
| Service cost | \$ 6,248 | \$ 5,578 | \$ 2,325 | \$ 2,875 |
| Interest cost | 7,573 | 4,764 | 2,059 | 2,316 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (8,023) | (6,767) | (2,945) | (4,257) |
| Amortization of unrecognized net gain | 2,024 | | | |

CB Richard

| Net periodic pension cost | \$ 7,822 | \$ 3,575 | \$ 1,439 | \$ 934 |
|---------------------------|----------|----------|-------------|-----------|
| | | | | |

F-30

CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

12. Debt

Total debt consists of the following (dollars in thousands):

| | December 31, | |
|--|--------------|------------|
| | 2003 | 2002 |
| Long-Term Debt: | | |
| Senior secured term loans, with interest ranging from 4.40% to 7.50%, due from 2003 through 2008 | \$ 297,500 | \$ 220,975 |
| 11 ¹ /4% Senior Subordinated Notes, net of unamortized discount of \$2.8 million and \$3.1 million at December | | |
| 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively, due in 2011 | 226,173 | 225,943 |
| 9 3/4% Senior Notes due in 2010 16% Senior Notes, net of unamortized discount of \$2.8 million and \$5.1 million at December 31, 2003 and | 200,000 | |
| 2002, respectively, due in 2011 | 35,472 | 61,863 |
| Non-recourse mortgage debt related to property held for sale with interest at one-month Yen LIBOR plus | 33,172 | 01,003 |
| 3.50% and a maturity date of July 31, 2008 | 41,753 | |
| Capital lease obligations, mainly for automobiles and telephone equipment, with interest ranging from 6.50% | | |
| to 9.74%, due through 2007 | 259 | 763 |
| Other | 1,548 | 171 |
| | | |
| Subtotal | 802,705 | 509,715 |
| Less current maturities of long-term debt | 11,285 | 10,711 |
| | 501.400 | 100.004 |
| Total long-term debt | 791,420 | 499,004 |
| Short-Term Borrowings: | | |
| Warehouse Line of Credit, with interest at 1.00% over the Residential Funding Corporation base rate with a | | |
| maturity date of August 31, 2004 | 230,790 | 63,140 |
| Non-recourse mortgage debt related to property held for sale with interest at one-month Yen LIBOR plus | | 40.005 |
| 3.50% and a maturity date of June 18, 2003 Insignia acquisition loan notes, with interest ranging from 1.53% to 3.00%, due on demand | 12,191 | 40,005 |
| Westmark Senior Notes, with interest ranging from 4.40% to 9.00%, due on demand | 12,191 | 12,129 |
| Euro cash pool loan, with interest at 2.50% over the applicable HSBC base rate and no stated maturity date | 11,517 | 7,904 |
| Other | 3,510 | 16 |
| | | |
| Total short-term borrowings | 270,137 | 123,194 |
| Add current maturities of long-term debt | 11,285 | 10,711 |
| | | |
| Total current debt | 281,422 | 133,905 |
| | | |
| Total debt | \$ 1,072,842 | \$ 632,909 |
| | | |

Future annual aggregate maturities of total consolidated debt at December 31, 2003 are as follows (dollars in thousands): 2004 \$281,422; 2005 \$10,367; 2006 \$10,017; 2007 \$10,017; 2008 \$299,270; and \$461,749 thereafter.

In connection with the 2001 Merger, we entered into a credit agreement (the Credit Facility) with CSFB and other lenders. In connection with the Insignia Acquisition, we entered into an amended and restated credit agreement with CSFB and other lenders. On October 14, 2003, we refinanced all of the outstanding loans under that agreement. As part of this refinancing, we entered into a new amended and restated credit agreement. The

F-31

CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

prior credit facilities were, and the current amended and restated credit facilities continue to be, jointly and severally guaranteed by us and each of our domestic subsidiaries and are secured by a pledge of substantially all of our assets.

The Credit Facility entered into in connection with the 2001 Merger included the following: (1) a Tranche A term facility of \$50.0 million maturing on July 20, 2007, which was fully drawn in connection with the 2001 Merger; (2) a Tranche B term facility of \$185.0 million maturing on July 18, 2008, which was fully drawn in connection with the 2001 Merger; and (3) a revolving line of credit of \$90.0 million, including revolving credit loans, letters of credit and a swingline loan facility, maturing on July 20, 2007. Borrowings under the Tranche A term facility and revolving facility bore interest at varying rates based on our option, at either the applicable LIBOR rate plus 2.50% to 3.25% or the alternate base rate plus 1.50% to 2.25%, in both cases as determined by reference to our ratio of total debt less available cash to EBITDA, which was defined in the credit agreement. The alternate base rate is higher of (1) CSFB s prime rate or (2) the Federal Funds Effective Rate plus one-half of one percent. Borrowings under the Tranche B term facility bore interest at varying rates based on our option at either the applicable LIBOR plus 3.75% or the alternate base rate plus 2.75%.

The amended and restated credit facilities entered into in connection with the Insignia Acquisition included the following: (1) a Tranche A term facility of \$50.0 million maturing on July 20, 2007; (2) a Tranche B term facility of \$260.0 million maturing on July 18, 2008, \$75.0 million of which was drawn in connection with the Insignia Acquisition; and (3) a revolving line of credit of \$90.0 million, including revolving credit loans, letters of credit and a swingline loan facility, maturing on July 20, 2007. After the amendment and restatement in connection with the Insignia Acquisition, borrowings under the Tranche A term facility and revolving facility bore interest at varying rates based on our option, at either the applicable LIBOR plus 3.00% to 3.75% or the alternate base rate plus 2.00% to 2.75%, in both cases as determined by reference to our ratio of total debt less available cash to EBITDA, which is defined in the amended and restated credit agreement. After the amendment and restatement in connection with the Insignia Acquisition, borrowings under the Tranche B term facility bore interest at varying rates based on our option at either the applicable LIBOR plus 4.25% or the alternate base rate plus 3.25%.

In connection with the October 14, 2003 refinancing of our credit facilities and the signing of a new amended and restated credit agreement, the former Tranche A term facility and Tranche B term facility were combined into a new single term loan facility. The new term loan facility, of which \$300.0 million was drawn on October 14, 2003, requires quarterly principal payments of \$2.5 million through September 30, 2008 and matures on December 31, 2008. Borrowings under the new term loan facility bear interest at varying rates based on our option at either LIBOR plus 3.25% or the alternate base rate plus 2.25%. The maturity date and interest rate for borrowings under the revolving credit facility remain unchanged in the new amended and restated credit agreement. The revolving line of credit requires the repayment of any outstanding balance for a period of 45 consecutive days commencing on any day in the month of December of each year as determined by us. We repaid our revolving credit facility as of July 23, 2003 and November 5, 2002, and at December 31, 2003 and 2002, we had no revolving line of credit principal outstanding. At December 31, 2003, we had an aggregate of \$10.8 million in letters of credit outstanding under the revolving credit facility, which reduces the amount we may borrow under the revolving credit facility. The total amounts outstanding under the senior secured credit facilities included in senior secured term loans and current maturities of long-term debt in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets were \$297.5 million and \$221.0 million as of December 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively.

On May 22, 2003, CBRE Escrow, Inc. (CBRE Escrow), a wholly owned subsidiary of CBRE, issued \$200.0 million in aggregate principal amount of 9³/4% Senior Notes due May 15, 2010 The proceeds of this issuance were placed in escrow pending the completion of the Insignia Acquisition on July 23, 2003, on which date the

CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

proceeds were released from escrow in order to partially fund the acquisition. CBRE Escrow merged with and into CBRE, and CBRE assumed all obligations with respect to the 9 ³/4% Senior Notes. The 9 ³/4% Senior Notes are unsecured obligations of CBRE, senior to all of its current and future unsecured indebtedness, but subordinated to all of CBRE s current and future secured indebtedness. The 9/4% Senior Notes are jointly and severally guaranteed on a senior basis by us and substantially all our domestic subsidiaries. Interest accrues at a rate of 9 ³/4% per year and is payable semi-annually in arrears on May 15 and November 15. The 9 ³/4% Senior Notes are redeemable at our option, in whole or in part, on or after May 15, 2007 at 104.875% of par on that date and at declining prices thereafter. In addition, before May 15, 2006, we may redeem up to 35.0% of the originally issued amount of the 9 ³/4% Senior Notes at 109 ³/4% of par, plus accrued and unpaid interest, solely with the net cash proceeds from public equity offerings. In the event of a change of control, we are obligated to make an offer to purchase the 9 ³/4% Senior Notes at a redemption price of 101.0% of the principal amount, plus accrued and unpaid interest. The amount of the 9 ³/4% Senior Notes included in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet was \$200.0 million as of December 31, 2003.

In order to partially finance the 2001 Merger, Blum CB issued \$229.0 million in aggregate principal amount of 11 \(^1/4\%\) Senior Subordinated Notes due June 15, 2011 for approximately \$225.6 million, net of discount, on June 7, 2001. CBRE assumed all obligations with respect to the 11 \(^1/4\%\) Senior Subordinated Notes in connection with the 2001 Merger on July 20, 2001. The 11 \(^1/4\%\) Senior Subordinated Notes are jointly and severally guaranteed on a senior subordinated basis by us and substantially all of our domestic subsidiaries. The 11 \(^1/4\%\) Senior Subordinated Notes require semi-annual payments of interest in arrears on June 15 and December 15 and are redeemable in whole or in part on or after June 15, 2006 at 105.625\% of par on that date and at declining prices thereafter. In addition, before June 15, 2004, we may redeem up to 35.0\% of the originally issued amount of the notes at 111 \(^1/4\%\) of par, plus accrued and unpaid interest, solely with the net cash proceeds from public equity offerings. In the event of a change of control, we are obligated to make an offer to purchase the 11 \(^1/4\%\) Senior Subordinated Notes at a redemption price of 101.0\% of the principal amount, plus accrued and unpaid interest. The amount of the 11 \(^1/4\%\) Senior Subordinated Notes included in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets, net of unamortized discount, was \$226.2 million and \$225.9 million as of December 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively.

Also in connection with the 2001 Merger, we issued \$65.0 million in aggregate principal amount of 16% Senior Notes due July 20, 2011. The 16% Senior Notes are unsecured obligations, senior to all of our current and future unsecured indebtedness but subordinated to all of our current and future secured indebtedness. Interest accrues at a rate of 16% per year and is payable quarterly in arrears. Interest may be paid in kind to the extent our ability to pay cash dividends is restricted by the terms of our amended and restated credit agreement. Additionally, interest in excess of 12.0% may, at our option, be paid in kind through July 2006. We elected to pay in kind interest in excess of 12.0% or 4.0%, that was payable on April 20, 2002, July 20, 2002, October 20, 2002, January 20, 2003 and April 20, 2003. The 16% Senior Notes are redeemable at our option, in whole or in part, at 116.0% of par commencing on July 20, 2001 and at declining prices thereafter. On October 27, 2003 and December 29, 2003, we redeemed \$20.0 million and \$10.0 million, respectively, in aggregate principal amount of the 16% Senior Notes and paid \$2.9 million of premiums in connection with these redemptions. In the event of a change in control, we are obligated to make an offer to purchase all of the outstanding 16% Senior Notes at 101.0% of par. The amount of the 16% Senior Notes included in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets, net of unamortized discount, was \$35.5 million and \$61.9 million as of December 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively.

The 16% Senior Notes are solely our obligation to repay. CBRE has neither guaranteed nor pledged any of its assets as collateral for the 16% Senior Notes and is not obligated to provide cash flow to us for repayment of these 16% Senior Notes. However, we have no substantive assets or operations other than our investment in CBRE to meet any required principal and interest payments on the 16% Senior Notes. We will depend on CBRE s cash flows to fund principal and interest payments as they come due.

F-33

CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Our amended and restated credit agreement and the indentures governing our 9 ³/4% Senior Notes, our 11 ¹/4% Senior Subordinated Notes and our 16% Senior Notes each contain numerous restrictive covenants that, among other things, limit our ability to incur additional indebtedness, pay dividends or distributions to stockholders, repurchase capital stock or debt, make investments, sell assets or subsidiary stock, engage in transactions with affiliates, enter into sale/leaseback transactions, issue subsidiary equity and enter into consolidations or mergers. The amendment and restatement of the credit agreement modified the financial covenant ratios to provide a greater degree of flexibility than the prior credit agreement. The amended and restated credit agreement requires us to maintain a minimum coverage ratio of interest and certain fixed charges and a maximum leverage and senior secured leverage ratio of earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization to funded debt. The credit agreement required, and after the amendment and restatement continues to require, us to pay a facility fee based on the total amount of the unused commitment.

During 2001, a joint venture that we consolidate incurred \$37.2 million of non-recourse mortgage debt secured by a real estate investment. During the third quarter of 2003, the maturity date on this non-recourse debt was extended to July 31, 2008. In our accompanying consolidated balance sheets, this debt comprised \$41.8 million of our other long-term debt at December 31, 2003 and \$40.0 million of our other short-term borrowings at December 31, 2002. Additionally, during the third quarter of 2003, this joint venture incurred an additional \$1.9 million of non-recourse mortgage debt with a maturity date of June 15, 2004. At December 31, 2003, \$2.0 million of this non-recourse debt is included in short-term borrowings in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet.

We had short-term borrowings of \$270.1 million and \$123.2 million with related average interest rates of 2.7% and 4.3% as of December 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively.

One of our subsidiaries has a credit agreement with Residential Funding Corporation (RFC) for the purpose of funding mortgage loans that will be resold. On December 16, 2002, we entered into a Third Amended and Restated Warehousing Credit and Security Agreement effective December 20, 2002. The agreement provided for a revolving warehouse line of credit of \$200.0 million, bore interest at the lower of one-month LIBOR or 2.0% (RFC Base Rate) plus 1.0% and expired on August 31, 2003. On June 25, 2003, the agreement was modified to provide a temporary revolving line of credit increase of \$200.0 million that resulted in a total line of credit equaling \$400.0 million, which expired on August 30, 2003 and changed the RFC Base Rate to one-month LIBOR. By amendment on August 29, 2003, the expiration date of the agreement was extended to September 25, 2003. On September 26, 2003, we entered into a Fourth Amended and Restated Warehousing Credit and Security Agreement. The agreement provides for a revolving line of credit of up to \$200.0 million, bears interest at one-month LIBOR plus 1.0% and expires on August 31, 2004. By amendment on November 14, 2003, the agreement was further modified to provide a revolving line of credit increase of \$50.0 million that resulted in a total line of credit equaling \$250.0 million.

During the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively, we had a maximum of \$272.5 million and \$309.0 million revolving line of credit principal outstanding with RFC. At December 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively, we had a \$230.8 million and a \$63.1 million warehouse line of credit outstanding, which are included in short-term borrowings in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. Additionally, we had a \$230.8 million and a \$63.1 million warehouse receivable, representing mortgage loans funded through the line of credit that had not been purchased as of December 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively, which are also included in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

Insignia, which we acquired in July 2003, issued acquisition loan notes in connection with previous acquisitions of businesses in the U.K. The acquisition loan notes are payable to the sellers of the previously acquired U.K. businesses and are secured by restricted cash deposits in approximately the same amount. The

F-34

CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

acquisition loan notes are redeemable semi-annually at the discretion of the note holder and have a final maturity date of April 2010. At December 31, 2003, \$12.2 million of the acquisition loan notes were outstanding and are included in short-term borrowings in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet.

In connection with our acquisition of Westmark Realty Advisors in 1995, one of our subsidiaries issued approximately \$20.0 million in aggregate principal amount of Senior Notes (Westmark Senior Notes). The Westmark Senior Notes are secured by letters of credit equal to approximately 50% of the outstanding balance at December 31, 2003. The Westmark Senior Notes are redeemable at the discretion of the note holders and have final maturity dates of June 30, 2008 and June 30, 2010. During the year ended December 31, 2002, all of the Westmark Senior Notes bore interest at 9.0%. On January 1, 2003 the interest rate on some of these notes was converted to varying rates equal to the interest rate in effect with respect to amounts outstanding under our credit agreement. On January 1, 2005, the interest rate on all of the other Westmark Senior Notes will be adjusted to equal the interest rate then in effect with respect to amounts outstanding under our credit agreement. The amount of the Westmark Senior Notes included in short-term borrowings in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets was \$12.1 million as of December 31, 2003 and 2002.

Our subsidiaries in Europe have had a Euro cash pool loan since 2001. The Euro cash pool loan is an overdraft line for our European operations issued by HSBC Bank. The Euro cash pool loan has no stated maturity date and bears interest at varying rates based on a base rate as defined by the bank plus 2.5%. The amount of the Euro cash pool loan included in short-term borrowings in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets was \$11.5 million and \$7.9 million as of December 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively.

One of our subsidiaries has a credit agreement with JP Morgan Chase. The credit agreement provides for a revolving line of credit of up to \$20.0 million, bears interest at 1.0% in excess of the bank s cost of funds and expires on May 28, 2004. At December 31, 2003 and 2002, no amounts were outstanding under this line of credit.

In connection with the Insignia Acquisition, on July 23, 2003, we immediately repaid Insignia s outstanding revolving credit facility of \$28.0 million and subordinated credit facility of \$15.0 million.

13. Commitments and Contingencies

We are a party to a number of pending or threatened lawsuits arising out of, or incident to, our ordinary course of business. Our management believes that any liability imposed upon us that may result from disposition of these lawsuits will not have a material effect on our consolidated financial position or results of operations.

The following is a schedule by year of future minimum lease payments for noncancellable operating leases as of December 31, 2003 (dollars in thousands):

| 2004 | \$ 96,123 |
|---------------------------------|------------------|
| 2005 | 89,961 |
| 2006 | 77,203 |
| 2007 | 69,539 64,555 |
| 2008 | 64,555 |
| Thereafter | 312,881 |
| Total minimum payments required | \$ 710,262 |
| | |

The total minimum payments for noncancellable operating leases were not reduced by the minimum sublease rental income of \$4.7 million due in the future under noncancellable subleases.

CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Substantially all leases require us to pay maintenance costs, insurance and property taxes. The composition of total rental expense under noncancellable operating leases consisted of the following (dollars in thousands):

| | C | B Richard Ellis (| 3 Richard Ellis Group | | |
|-----------------------|-----------|-------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| | Year l | Ended | Period From February 20 | | |
| | Decem | ber 31, | (inception) | | |
| | | | to | Period From January 1 | |
| | | | December 31, | to July 20, | |
| | 2003 | 2002 | 2001 | 2001 | |
| Minimum rentals | \$ 81,361 | \$ 68,711 | \$ 27,203 | \$ 32,831 | |
| Less sublease rentals | (2,134) | (1,157) | (500) | (551) | |
| | \$ 79,227 | \$ 67,554 | \$ 26,703 | \$ 32,280 | |
| | | | | | |

In connection with the sale of real estate investment assets by Insignia to Island on July 23, 2003 (See Note 3), Insignia agreed to maintain letter of credit support for real estate investment assets that were subject to the purchase agreement until the earlier of (1) the third anniversary of the completion of the sale, (2) the date on which the letter of credit is no longer required pursuant to the applicable real estate investment asset agreement or (3) the completion of a sale of the relevant underlying real estate investment asset. As of December 31, 2003, an aggregate of approximately \$10.2 million of this letter of credit support remained outstanding under the purchase agreement. Also in connection with the sale, Insignia agreed to maintain a \$1.3 million guarantee of a repayment obligation with respect to one of the real estate investment assets. Island agreed to reimburse us for 50% of any draws against these letters of credit or the repayment guarantee while they are outstanding and delivered a letter of credit to us in the amount of approximately \$2.9 million as security for Island s reimbursement obligation. As a result of this reimbursement obligation, we effectively retain potential liability for 50% of any future draws against these letters of credit and the repayment guarantee. However, there can be no assurance that Island will be able to reimburse us in the event of any draws against the letters of credit or the repayment guarantee or that Island s future reimbursement obligations will not exceed the amount of the letter of credit provided to us by Island.

One of our subsidiaries previously executed an agreement with Fannie Mae to initially fund the purchase of a commercial mortgage loan portfolio using proceeds from its RFC line of credit. Subsequently, a 100% participation in the loan portfolio was sold to Fannie Mae and we retained the credit risk on the first 2% of losses incurred on the underlying portfolio of commercial mortgage loans. The current loan portfolio balance is \$98.6 million and we have collateralized a portion of our obligations to cover the first 1% of losses through a letter of credit in favor of Fannie Mae for a total of approximately \$1.0 million. The other 1% is covered in the form of a guarantee to Fannie Mae.

We had outstanding letters of credit totaling \$22.6 million as of December 31, 2003, excluding letters of credit related to our outstanding indebtedness. Approximately \$10.8 million of these letters of credit secure certain office leases and are outstanding pursuant to the revolving credit facility under our amended and restated credit agreement. An additional \$10.8 million of these letters of credit were issued pursuant to the terms of the purchase agreement with Island described above and are outstanding pursuant to a reimbursement agreement with the Bank of Nova Scotia. Under this agreement, we may issue up to a maximum of approximately \$11.0 million of letters of credit outstanding at any one time and the outstanding letters of credit are secured by the same assets of ours that secure our amended and restated credit agreement. The remaining outstanding letters of credit have been issued pursuant to a credit agreement with Wells Fargo Bank for the Fannie Mae letter of credit described above. The outstanding letters of credit as of December 31, 2003 expire at varying dates through

F-36

CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

August 31, 2004. However, we are obligated to renew the letters of credit related to the revolving credit facility until 2023, the letters of credit related to the Island Purchase Agreement until as late as July 23, 2006 and the Fannie Mae letter of credit until our obligation to cover potential credit losses is satisfied.

We had guarantees totaling \$10.6 million as of December 31, 2003, which consisted primarily of guarantees of property debt as well as the obligations to Island and Fannie Mae discussed above. Generally, the guarantees remain outstanding until certain conditions have been satisfied.

An important part of the strategy for our investment management business involves investing our capital in certain real estate investments with our clients. These co-investments typically range from 2% to 5% of the equity in a particular fund. As of December 31, 2003, we had committed \$26.6 million to fund future co-investments.

14. Income Taxes

Our tax (benefit) provision consisted of the following (in thousands):

| | C | CB Richard Ellis Group | | |
|--------------------------------|------------|------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|
| | Year E | Ended | Period From February 20 | |
| | Decemb | per 31, | (inception) | |
| | | | to | Period From January 1 |
| | | | December 31, | to July 20, |
| | 2003 | 2002 | 2001 | 2001 |
| Federal: | | | | |
| Current | \$ (5,335) | \$ 10,204 | \$ 11,747 | \$ |
| Deferred | (6,637) | 6,232 | (3,252) | (911) |
| Change in valuation allowances | | | 796 | |
| | | - | | |
| | (11,972) | 16,436 | 9,291 | (911) |
| State: | | · | · · · | |
| Current | | 1,824 | 3,173 | 1,600 |

Edgar Filing: CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP INC - Form S-1/A

| Deferred | (1,613) | 378 | (494) | (658) |
|----------|------------|-----------|-----------|----------|
| | | | | |
| | (1,613) | 2,202 | 2,679 | 942 |
| Foreign: | | | | |
| Current | 6,642 | 12,920 | 10,137 | 1,079 |
| Deferred | 667 | (1,452) | (4,091) | |
| | | | | |
| | 7,309 | 11,468 | 6,046 | 1,079 |
| | | | | |
| | \$ (6,276) | \$ 30,106 | \$ 18,016 | \$ 1,110 |
| | | | | |

CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The following is a reconciliation, stated as a percentage of pre-tax income, of the U.S. statutory federal income tax rate to our effective tax rate on income from operations:

| | | Predecessor Company | | | |
|--|----------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| | Year Ended December 31, | | Period From February 20 | | |
| | | | (inception) | | |
| | | | to | Period From January 1 | |
| | | | December 31, | to July 20, | |
| | 2003 | 2002 | 2001 | 2001 | |
| Federal statutory tax rate | (35)% | 35% | 35% | (35)% | |
| Permanent differences | 1 | 15 | 5 | 25 | |
| State taxes, net of federal benefit | (3) | 3 | 5 | 2 | |
| Taxes on foreign income which differ from the U.S. statutory | • • | | | | |
| rate | 21 | 9 | 4 | 11 | |
| State NOLs not benefited | 1 | | | | |
| Change in valuation allowances | | | 2 | | |
| Effective tax rate | (15)% | 62% | 51% | 3% | |
| | | | | | |

The domestic component of (loss) income before (benefit) provision for income taxes included in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations was \$(31.6) million for the year ended December 31, 2003, \$32.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2002, \$22.6 million for the period from February 20 (inception) to December 31, 2001 and \$(21.5) million for the period from January 1 to July 20, 2001. The international component of (loss) income before (benefit) provision for income taxes was \$(9.4) million for the year ended December 31, 2003, \$16.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2002, \$12.8 million for the period from February 20 (inception) to December 31, 2001 and \$(11.4) million for the period from January 1 through July 20, 2001.

Cumulative tax effects of temporary differences are shown below at December 31, 2003 and 2002 (in thousands):

December 31,

| | 2003 | 2002 |
|--|-----------|-----------|
| | | |
| Asset (Liability) | | |
| Property and equipment | \$ 6,738 | \$ 10,960 |
| Bad debts and other reserves | (17,768) | (14,228) |
| Capitalized costs and intangibles | (4,113) | (7,003) |
| Bonus, unexercised restricted stock, deferred compensation | 80,048 | 57,780 |
| Investment | 5,622 | 4,189 |
| Net operating loss (NOL), alternative minimum tax credit and charitable contribution carryforwards | 36,200 | 5 |
| Unconsolidated affiliates | 5,266 | 5,283 |
| Pension obligation | 14,492 | 7,303 |
| Acquisitions | 3,237 | |
| All other | 18,892 | 4,702 |
| | | |
| Net deferred tax assets before valuation allowances | 148,614 | 68,991 |
| Valuation allowances | (58,754) | (13,892) |
| | | |
| Net deferred tax assets | \$ 89,860 | \$ 55,099 |

CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Total deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities at December 31, 2003 and 2002 were as follows (in thousands):

| | Decem | ber 31, |
|---|-------------|------------|
| | 2003 | 2002 |
| Total deferred tax assets | \$ 213,164 | \$ 103,302 |
| Deferred tax asset valuation allowances | (58,754) | (13,892) |
| | 154,410 | 89,410 |
| Total deferred tax liabilities | (64,550) | (34,311) |
| | | |
| Net deferred tax assets | \$ 89,860 | \$ 55,099 |

As a result of the Insignia Acquisition and the current year s tax loss, at December 31, 2003, we had U.S. federal NOL carryforwards of approximately \$67.7 million, translating to a deferred tax asset before valuation allowance of \$23.7 million. Approximately \$3.8 million of these NOLs begin to expire in 2010 and the remainder begins to expire in 2019. There were also deferred tax assets of approximately \$9.8 million related to state NOLs. The utilization of NOLs may be subject to certain limitations under U.S. federal and state laws.

Management determined that as of December 31, 2003, \$58.8 million of deferred tax assets do not satisfy the recognition criteria set forth in SFAS No. 109. Accordingly, a valuation allowance has been recorded for this amount. The valuation allowance was recorded against deferred tax assets during the 2001 Merger and the Insignia Acquisition, with the offset to goodwill. Accordingly, any tax benefits subsequently recognized will reduce goodwill.

A deferred U.S. tax liability has not been provided on the unremitted earnings of foreign subsidiaries because it is our intent to permanently reinvest these earnings. Unremitted earnings of foreign subsidiaries, which have been, or are intended to be, permanently invested in accordance with APB No. 23, *Accounting for Income Taxes Special Areas*, aggregated \$79.0 million at December 31, 2003. The determination of the tax liability upon repatriation is not practicable.

15. Stockholders Equity

We are authorized to issue 100,000,000 shares of common stock, including 75,000,000 shares of Class A common stock and 25,000,000 shares of Class B common stock, both with \$0.01 par value per share. The holders of Class A common stock are entitled to one vote for each share. Holders of Class B common stock are entitled to ten votes for each share. There are no differences between the two classes of common stock

other than the number of votes. The holders of Class A and Class B common stock shall share equally on a per-share basis all dividends and other cash, stock or property distributions.

Upon written request of any holder of Class B common stock, any shares will be automatically converted on a share-for-share basis into the same number of shares of Class A common stock. In addition, upon any transfer, sale or other disposition of shares of Class B common stock, other than transfers to certain permitted transferees, such shares shall be converted into shares of Class A common stock on a share-for-share basis. Also, upon completion of an underwritten public offering in which we become listed on a national securities exchange, all outstanding shares of Class B common stock shall automatically be converted into shares of Class A common stock on a share-for-share basis.

As long as Class B common stock is outstanding, if a holder of Class B common stock purchases any shares of Class A common stock, the holder may convert the Class A common shares on a share-for-share basis into the same number of shares of Class B common stock.

F-39

CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

16. (Loss) Earnings Per Share Information

The following is a calculation of (loss) earnings per share (dollars in thousands, except share data):

| | CB Richard Ellis Group | | | | | | | Predecessor Company | | | | |
|--|-------------------------|------------|-----------|-----------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------|------------|-----------|
| | Year Ended December 31, | | | | Period From February 20 | | Period From January 1 | | | | | |
| | 2003 | | | 2002 | | (inception) to December 31, 2001 | | | to July 20, 2001 | | | |
| | | | Per | | | Per | | | Per | | | Per |
| | | | Share | | | Share | | | Share | | | Share |
| | Loss | Shares | Amount | Income | Shares | Amount | Income | Shares | Amount | Loss | Shares | Amount |
| Basic (loss) earnings per share: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Net (loss) income applicable to common stockholders | \$ (34,704) | 18,373,118 | \$ (1.89) | \$ 18.727 | 15,025,308 | \$ 1.25 | \$ 17.426 | 7.845.004 | \$ 2.22 | \$ (34.020) | 21,306,584 | \$ (1.60) |
| | | | . (, | | | | | | | | | |
| Diluted (loss) earnings per share: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Net (loss) income applicable to common | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| stockholders Dilutive effect of | \$ (34,704) | 18,373,118 | | \$ 18,727 | 15,025,308 | | \$ 17,426 | 7,845,004 | | \$ (34,020) | 21,306,584 | |
| contingently issuable shares | | | | | 196,803 | | | 64,793 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Net (loss) income applicable to common | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| stockholders | \$ (34,704) | 18,373,118 | \$ (1.89) | \$ 18,727 | 15,222,111 | \$ 1.23 | \$ 17,426 | 7,909,797 | \$ 2.20 | \$ (34,020) | 21,306,584 | \$ (1.60) |

The following items were not included in the computation of diluted (loss) earnings per share because their exercise price was at or above fair market value during such periods:

| | | CB Richard Ellis Group | | | | |
|-------------------|-------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|--|--|
| | Year Ended | December 31, | Period From February 20 | | | |
| | | | (inception) | | | |
| | | | to | Period From January 1 | | |
| | | | December 31, | to July 20, | | |
| | 2003 | 2002 | 2001 | 2001 | | |
| Stock options | | | | | | |
| Outstanding | 2,488,561 | 1,451,599 | 1,503,021 | 2,562,150 | | |
| Price ranges | \$16.00 | \$16.00 | \$16.00 | \$0.38 - \$36.75 | | |
| Expiration ranges | 7/20/11 - 11/5/13 | 7/20/11 - 7/31/12 | 7/20/11 | 6/8/04 - 8/31/10 | | |
| Stock warrants | | | | | | |
| Outstanding | 255,477 | 255,477 | 255,477 | 597,969 | | |
| Price | \$30.00 | \$30.00 | \$30.00 | \$30.00 | | |
| Expiration date | 8/27/07 | 8/27/07 | 8/27/07 | 8/28/04 | | |

All options and warrants for the year ended December 31, 2003 and for the period from January 1 to July 20, 2001 were anti-dilutive since we reported a net loss in these periods. Any assumed exercise of options or warrants would have been anti-dilutive as they would have resulted in a lower loss per share.

17. Fiduciary Funds

The accompanying consolidated balance sheets do not include the net assets of escrow, agency and fiduciary funds, which are held by us on behalf of clients and which amounted to \$626.3 million and \$414.6 million at December 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively.

F-40

CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

18. Fair Value of Financial Instruments

SFAS No. 107, *Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments*, requires disclosure of fair value information about financial instruments, whether or not recognized in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. Value is defined as the amount at which an instrument could be exchanged in a current transaction between willing parties other than in a forced or liquidation sale. The fair value estimates of financial instruments are not necessarily indicative of the amounts we might pay or receive in actual market transactions. The use of different market assumptions and/or estimation methodologies may have a material effect on the estimated fair value amounts.

Cash and Cash Equivalents: This balance includes cash and cash equivalents with maturities of less than three months. The carrying amount approximates fair value due to the short maturity of these instruments.

Short-Term Borrowings: The majority of this balance represents the warehouse line of credit. Due to their short-term maturities and variable interest rates, fair value approximates carrying value (See Note 12).

Senior Subordinated Notes: Based on dealers quotes, the estimated fair value of the 1½% Senior Subordinated Notes is \$256.5 million and \$208.4 million at December 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively. Their actual carrying value totaled \$226.2 million and \$225.9 million at December 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively (See Note 12).

9³/4% Senior Notes: Based on dealers quotes, the estimated fair value of the 9/4% Senior Notes is \$222.0 million at December 31, 2003. Their actual carrying value totaled \$200.0 million at December 31, 2003 (See Note 12).

16% Senior Notes: There was no trading activity for the 16% Senior Notes, which are due in 2011. Their carrying value totaled \$35.5 million and \$61.9 million at December 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively (see Note 12).

Senior Secured Terms Loans & Other Long-Term Debt: Estimated fair values approximate respective carrying values because the majority of these instruments are based on variable interest rates (see Note 12).

19. Merger-Related and Other Nonrecurring Charges

We recorded merger-related charges of \$36.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2003 in connection with the Insignia Acquisition. The charges consisted of the following (dollars in thousands):

| | 2003 Charge | Utilized to Date | To be Utilized |
|------------------------------|----------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| Lease termination costs | \$ 15,805 | \$ 977 | \$ 14,828 |
| Severance | 7,042 | 7,042 | |
| Change of control payments | 6,525 | 6,525 | |
| Consulting costs | 2,738 | 2,738 | |
| Other | 4,707 | 4,707 | |
| | | | |
| Total merger-related charges | \$ 36,817 | \$ 21,989 | \$ 14,828 |
| | | | |

During the period from February 20 (inception) to December 31, 2001, we recorded nonrecurring pre-tax charges totaling \$6.4 million, which mainly related to the write-off of e-business investments. During the period

F-41

CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

from January 1 to July 20, 2001, CBRE recorded merger-related and other nonrecurring charges of \$22.1 million, which included merger-related costs incurred of \$16.4 million, severance costs incurred of \$2.8 million related to CBRE s cost reduction program implemented in May 2001, as well as the write-off of an e-business investment of \$2.9 million.

20. Guarantor and Nonguarantor Financial Statements

The 9 ³/4% Senior Notes are jointly and severally guaranteed on a senior basis by us and substantially all of our domestic subsidiaries. In addition, the 11 ¹/4% Senior Subordinated Notes are jointly and severally guaranteed on a senior subordinated basis by us and substantially all of our domestic subsidiaries. See Note 12 for additional information on the 9 ³/4% Senior Notes and the 11 ¹/4% Senior Subordinated Notes.

The following condensed consolidating financial information includes:

- (1) Condensed consolidating balance sheets as of December 31, 2003 and 2002; condensed consolidating statements of operations for the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002, the period from February 20 (inception) to December 31, 2001 and the period from January 1 to July 20, 2001, and condensed consolidating statements of cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002, the period from February 20 (inception) to December 31, 2001 and the period from January 1 to July 20, 2001 of (a) CB Richard Ellis Group as the parent, (b) CBRE as the subsidiary issuer, (c) the guarantor subsidiaries, (d) the nonguarantor subsidiaries and (e) CB Richard Ellis Group on a consolidated basis; and
- (2) Elimination entries necessary to consolidate CB Richard Ellis Group as the parent, with CBRE and its guarantor and nonguarantor subsidiaries.

Investments in consolidated subsidiaries are presented using the equity method of accounting. The principal elimination entries eliminate investments in consolidated subsidiaries and intercompany balances and transactions. The preliminary purchase accounting adjustments associated with the Insignia Acquisition have been recorded in the accompanying consolidated financial statements. The condensed consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2003 reflects the allocation of goodwill based upon the estimated fair value of Insignia sacquired reporting units (See Note 3 for additional information).

F-42

CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEET

AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2003

(Dollars in thousands)

| | | | Guarantor | Nonguarantor | | Consolidated |
|--|------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|----------------|--------------------|
| | Parent | CBRE | Subsidiaries | Subsidiaries | Elimination | Total |
| Current Assets: | | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 3,008 | \$ 17 | \$ 148,752 | \$ 12,104 | \$ | \$ 163,881 |
| Restricted cash | | | 12,545 | 2,354 | | 14,899 |
| Receivables, less allowance for doubtful accounts | 27 | 18 | 114,215 | 208,156 | | 322,416 |
| Warehouse receivable | | | 230,790 | | | 230,790 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | 63,557 | 42,151 | 18,957 | 22,998 | (40,667) | 106,996 |
| Total current assets | 66,592 | 42,186 | 525,259 | 245,612 | (40,667) | 838,982 |
| Property and equipment, net | | | 66,280 | 47,289 | | 113,569 |
| Goodwill | | | 572,376 | 247,182 | | 819,558 |
| Other intangible assets, net | | | 101,326 | 30,405 | | 131,731 |
| Deferred compensation assets | | 76,389 | | | | 76,389 |
| Investment in and advances to unconsolidated | | | | | | |
| subsidiaries | | 4,973 | 50,732 | 12,656 | | 68,361 |
| Investment in consolidated subsidiaries | 321,451 | 252,399 | 199,393 | | (773,243) | |
| Intercompany loan receivable | | 787,009 | | | (787,009) | |
| Deferred tax assets, net | 32,179 | | | | | 32,179 |
| Other assets, net | 2,555 | 27,819 | 44,779 | 57,559 | | 132,712 |
| Total assets | \$ 422,777 | \$ 1,190,775 | \$ 1,560,145 | \$ 640,703 | \$ (1,600,919) | \$ 2,213,481 |
| G | | | | | | |
| Current Liabilities: | ¢ 1 107 | \$ 7,614 | \$ 64,392 | \$ 116,594 | ¢ | \$ 189,787 |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | \$ 1,187 | \$ 7,614 | \$ 64,392 | \$ 116,594 | \$ (40,667) | \$ 189,787 |
| Inter-company payable | 40,667 | | 98,160 | 50.714 | (40,667) | 140.074 |
| Compensation and employee benefits payable | | | 112,365 | / - | | 148,874 200,343 |
| Accrued bonus and profit sharing | | | 112,303 | 87,978 | | 200,343 |
| Short-term borrowings: Warehouse line of credit | | | 230,790 | | | 230,790 |
| Other | | | 25,480 | 13,867 | | 39,347 |
| Other | | | 23,480 | 13,807 | | 39,347 |
| Total short-term borrowings | | | 256,270 | 13,867 | | 270,137 |
| Current maturities of long-term debt | | 10,000 | 1,029 | 256 | | 11,285 |
| Other current liabilities | 12,522 | | | 469 | | 12,991 |
| | | - | | | | |

Edgar Filing: CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP INC - Form S-1/A

| Total current liabilities | 54,376 | 17,614 | 532,216 | 269,878 | (40,667) | 833,417 |
|---|------------|--------------|--------------|------------|----------------|--------------|
| Long-Term Debt: | | | | | | |
| 11 ¹ /4% senior subordinated notes, net of | | | | | | |
| unamortized discount | | 226,173 | | | | 226,173 |
| Senior secured term loans | | 287,500 | | | | 287,500 |
| 9 ³ /4% senior notes | | 200,000 | | | | 200,000 |
| 16% senior notes, net of unamortized discount | 35,472 | | | | | 35,472 |
| Other long-term debt | | | 330 | 41,945 | | 42,275 |
| Intercompany loan payable | | | 726,844 | 60,165 | (787,009) | |
| | | | | | | |
| Total long-term debt | 35,472 | 713,673 | 727,174 | 102,110 | (787,009) | 791,420 |
| Deferred compensation liability | | 138,037 | | | | 138,037 |
| Other liabilities | | | 48,356 | 62,666 | | 111,022 |
| | | | | | | |
| Total liabilities | 89,848 | 869,324 | 1,307,746 | 434,654 | (827,676) | 1,873,896 |
| Minority interest | | | | 6,656 | | 6,656 |
| Commitments and contingencies | | | | | | |
| Stockholders equity | 332,929 | 321,451 | 252,399 | 199,393 | (773,243) | 332,929 |
| | | | | | | |
| Total liabilities and stockholders equity | \$ 422,777 | \$ 1,190,775 | \$ 1,560,145 | \$ 640,703 | \$ (1,600,919) | \$ 2,213,481 |
| | | | | | | |

CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEET

AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2002

(Dollars in thousands)

| | | | Guarantor | Nonguarantor | | Consolidated |
|---|------------|------------|--------------|--------------|----------------|--------------|
| | Parent | CBRE | Subsidiaries | Subsidiaries | Elimination | Total |
| Current Assets: | | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 127 | \$ 54 | \$ 74,173 | \$ 5,347 | \$ | \$ 79,701 |
| Receivables, less allowance for doubtful accounts | | 40 | 61,624 | 104,549 | | 166,213 |
| Warehouse receivable | | | 63,140 | | | 63,140 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | 18,723 | 22,201 | 8,432 | 7,729 | (20,199) | 36,886 |
| Total current assets | 18,850 | 22,295 | 207,369 | 117,625 | (20,199) | 345,940 |
| Property and equipment, net | · · | Í | 51,419 | 15,215 | | 66,634 |
| Goodwill | | | 442,965 | 134,172 | | 577,137 |
| Other intangible assets, net | | | 89,075 | 2,007 | | 91,082 |
| Deferred compensation assets | | 63,642 | | | | 63,642 |
| Investment in and advances to unconsolidated | | | | | | |
| subsidiaries | | 4,782 | 39,205 | 6,221 | | 50,208 |
| Investment in consolidated subsidiaries | 302,593 | 322,794 | 66,162 | | (691,549) | |
| Intercompany loan receivable | | 429,396 | | | (429,396) | |
| Deferred tax assets, net | 36,376 | | | | | 36,376 |
| Other assets, net | 4,896 | 17,464 | 20,453 | 51,044 | | 93,857 |
| Total assets | \$ 362,715 | \$ 860,373 | \$ 916,648 | \$ 326,284 | \$ (1,141,144) | \$ 1,324,876 |
| Current Liabilities: | | | | | | |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | \$ 2.137 | \$ 4,610 | \$ 36,895 | \$ 58,773 | \$ | \$ 102,415 |
| Intercompany payable | 20,199 | ψ .,σ1σ | Ψ 20,072 | Ψ 20,772 | (20,199) | Ψ 102,.10 |
| Compensation and employee benefits payable | ,-,- | | 40,938 | 22,796 | (==,=,,) | 63,734 |
| Accrued bonus and profit sharing | | | 59,942 | 43,916 | | 103,858 |
| Income taxes payable | 15,451 | | | - /- | | 15,451 |
| Short-term borrowings: | ĺ | | | | | , |
| Warehouse line of credit | | | 63,140 | | | 63,140 |
| Other | | | 12,145 | 47,909 | | 60,054 |
| | | | | | | |
| Total short-term borrowings | | | 75,285 | 47,909 | | 123,194 |
| Current maturities of long-term debt | | 9,975 | | 736 | | 10,711 |
| Other current liabilities | 11,724 | | | | | 11,724 |
| | | | | | | |

Edgar Filing: CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP INC - Form S-1/A

| Total current liabilities | 49,511 | 14,585 | 213,060 | 174,130 | (20,199) | 431,087 |
|---|------------|------------|------------|------------|----------------|--------------|
| Long-Term Debt: | | | | | | |
| 11 ¹ /4% senior subordinated notes, net of unamortized | | | | | | |
| discount | | 225,943 | | | | 225,943 |
| Senior secured term loans | | 211,000 | | | | 211,000 |
| 16% senior notes, net of unamortized discount | 61,863 | | | | | 61,863 |
| Other long-term debt | | | | 198 | | 198 |
| Intercompany loan payable | | | 362,344 | 67,052 | (429,396) | |
| | | | | | | |
| Total long-term debt | 61,863 | 436,943 | 362,344 | 67,250 | (429,396) | 499,004 |
| Deferred compensation liability | | 106,252 | | | | 106,252 |
| Other liabilities | | | 18,450 | 13,127 | | 31,577 |
| | | | - | | | |
| Total liabilities | 111,374 | 557,780 | 593,854 | 254,507 | (449,595) | 1,067,920 |
| Minority interest | | | | 5,615 | | 5,615 |
| Commitments and contingencies | | | | | | |
| Stockholders equity | 251,341 | 302,593 | 322,794 | 66,162 | (691,549) | 251,341 |
| | | | | | | |
| Total liabilities and stockholders equity | \$ 362,715 | \$ 860,373 | \$ 916,648 | \$ 326,284 | \$ (1,141,144) | \$ 1,324,876 |
| | | | | | | |

CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS

FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2003

(Dollars in thousands)

| | | | Guarantor | narantor Nonguarantor | | Consolidated |
|--|-------------|-------------|--------------|-----------------------|-------------|--------------|
| | Parent | CBRE | Subsidiaries | Subsidiaries | Elimination | Total |
| Revenue | \$ | \$ | \$ 1,137,987 | \$ 492,087 | \$ | \$ 1,630,074 |
| Costs and expenses: | | | | | | |
| Cost of services | | | 577,808 | 218,600 | | 796,408 |
| Operating, administrative and other | 426 | 4,973 | 447,447 | 225,551 | | 678,397 |
| Depreciation and amortization | | | 56,853 | 35,769 | | 92,622 |
| Equity income from unconsolidated subsidiaries | | (132) | (13,818) | (415) | | (14,365) |
| Merger-related and other | | | | | | |
| nonrecurring charges | | | 20,367 | 16,450 | | 36,817 |
| | | | | | | |
| Operating (loss) income | (426) | (4,841) | 49,330 | (3,868) | | 40,195 |
| Interest income | 185 | 39,312 | 2,659 | 2,738 | (38,853) | 6,041 |
| Interest expense | 17,815 | 61,907 | 38,046 | 8,301 | (38,853) | 87,216 |
| Equity losses from consolidated | | | | | | |
| subsidiaries | (21,214) | (8,432) | (16,739) | | 46,385 | |
| | | | | | | |
| Loss before (benefit) provision for | | | | | | |
| income taxes | (39,270) | (35,868) | (2,796) | (9,431) | 46,385 | (40,980) |
| (Benefit) provision for income taxes | (4,566) | (14,654) | 5,636 | 7,308 | | (6,276) |
| _ | | - | | | | |
| Net loss | \$ (34,704) | \$ (21,214) | \$ (8,432) | \$ (16,739) | \$ 46,385 | \$ (34,704) |
| | | | | | | |

CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS

FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2002

(Dollars in thousands)

| | | | Guarantor | Nonguarantor | | Consolidated Total | |
|---|-----------|-----------|--------------|--------------|-------------|---------------------|--|
| | Parent | CBRE | Subsidiaries | Subsidiaries | Elimination | | |
| Revenue | \$ | \$ | \$ 849,563 | \$ 320,714 | \$ | \$ 1,170,277 | |
| Costs and expenses: | | | | | | | |
| Cost of services | | | 413,830 | 133,263 | | 547,093 | |
| Operating, administrative and other | 415 | 1,186 | 345,279 | 154,918 | | 501,798 | |
| Depreciation and amortization | | | 15,833 | 8,781 | | 24,614 | |
| Equity income from unconsolidated | | | | | | | |
| subsidiaries | | (662) | (7,449) | (1,215) | | (9,326) | |
| Merger-related and other nonrecurring charges | | 36 | | | | 36 | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Operating (loss) income | (415) | (560) | 82,070 | 24,967 | | 106,062 | |
| Interest income | 158 | 42,845 | 2,079 | 916 | (42,726) | 3,272 | |
| Interest expense | 11,344 | 42,731 | 39,742 | 9,410 | (42,726) | 60,501 | |
| Equity income from consolidated | | | | | | | |
| subsidiaries | 27,306 | 32,898 | 5,005 | | (65,209) | | |
| | · | | | | | | |
| Income before (benefit) provision for | | | | | | | |
| income taxes | 15,705 | 32,452 | 49,412 | 16,473 | (65,209) | 48,833 | |
| (Benefit) provision for income taxes | (3,022) | 5,146 | 16,514 | 11,468 | | 30,106 | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Net income | \$ 18,727 | \$ 27,306 | \$ 32,898 | \$ 5,005 | \$ (65,209) | \$ 18,727 | |
| | | | | | | | |

CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS

FOR THE PERIOD FROM FEBRUARY 20 (INCEPTION) TO DECEMBER 31, 2001

$(Dollars\ in\ thousands)$

| | | | Guarantor | Nonguarantor | | Consolidated | |
|---------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|--|
| | Parent | CBRE | Subsidiaries | Subsidiaries | Elimination | Total | |
| Revenue | \$ | \$ | \$ 416,446 | \$ 146,382 | \$ | \$ 562,828 | |
| Costs and expenses: | | | | | | | |
| Cost of services | | | 207,019 | 56,582 | | 263,601 | |
| Operating, administrative and other | 500 | 3,589 | 145,145 | 70,175 | | 219,409 | |
| Depreciation and amortization | | | 8,523 | 3,675 | | 12,198 | |
| Equity income from unconsolidated | | | | | | | |
| subsidiaries | | (198) | (1,290) | (66) | | (1,554) | |
| Merger-related and other nonrecurring | | | | | | | |
| charges | | 2,144 | 3,530 | 768 | | 6,442 | |
| - | | | | | | | |
| Operating (loss) income | (500) | (5,535) | 53,519 | 15,248 | | 62,732 | |
| Interest income | 1,135 | 19,270 | 370 | 561 | (18,909) | 2,427 | |
| Interest expense | 8,199 | 20,353 | 17,091 | 2,983 | (18,909) | 29,717 | |
| Equity income from consolidated | | | | | | | |
| subsidiaries | 22,721 | 27,713 | 8,605 | | (59,039) | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Income before (benefit) provision for | | | | | | | |
| income taxes | 15,157 | 21,095 | 45,403 | 12,826 | (59,039) | 35,442 | |
| (Benefit) provision for income taxes | (2,269) | (1,626) | 17,690 | 4,221 | , , , , , | 18,016 | |
| • | | | | | | | |
| Net income | \$ 17,426 | \$ 22,721 | \$ 27,713 | \$ 8,605 | \$ (59,039) | \$ 17,426 | |
| | | | | | | | |

CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS

FOR THE PERIOD FROM JANUARY 1 TO JULY 20, 2001

(Predecessor Company)

(Dollars in thousands)

| | | Guarantor | Guarantor Nonguarantor | | |
|--|-------------|--------------|------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | CBRE | Subsidiaries | Subsidiaries | Elimination | Total |
| Revenue | \$ | \$ 465,280 | \$ 142,654 | \$ | \$ 607,934 |
| Costs and expenses: | | | | | |
| Cost of services | | 217,799 | 61,404 | | 279,203 |
| Operating, administrative and other | 1,155 | 216,063 | 80,778 | | 297,996 |
| Depreciation and amortization | | 17,021 | 8,635 | | 25,656 |
| Equity income from unconsolidated subsidiaries | (492) | (2,141) | (241) | | (2,874) |
| Merger-related and other nonrecurring charges | 19,260 | 2,867 | | | 22,127 |
| | | | | | |
| Operating (loss) income | (19,923) | 13,671 | (7,922) | | (14,174) |
| Interest income | 16,757 | 952 | 615 | (16,757) | 1,567 |
| Interest expense | 18,014 | 14,952 | 4,094 | (16,757) | 20,303 |
| Equity losses from consolidated subsidiaries | (14,587) | (12,480) | | 27,067 | |
| | | | | · | |
| Loss before (benefit) provision for income taxes | (35,767) | (12,809) | (11,401) | 27,067 | (32,910) |
| (Benefit) provision for income taxes | (1,747) | 1,778 | 1,079 | | 1,110 |
| | | | | | |
| Net loss | \$ (34,020) | \$ (14,587) | \$ (12,480) | \$ 27,067 | \$ (34,020) |
| | | | | | |

CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS

FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2003

(Dollars in thousands)

| | | | Guarantor | Nonguarantor | Consolidated |
|--|-------------|-----------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| | Parent | CBRE | Subsidiaries | Subsidiaries | Total |
| CASH FLOWS (USED IN) PROVIDED BY OPERATING ACTIVITIES | \$ (30,872) | \$ 5,041 | \$ 59,797 | \$ 29,975 | \$ 63,941 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES: | | | | | |
| Capital expenditures, net of concessions received | | | (14,182) | (12,779) | (26,961) |
| Proceeds from sale of properties and servicing rights | | | 3,753 | 196 | 3,949 |
| Acquisition of businesses including net assets acquired, intangibles and goodwill, net of cash | | | | | |
| acquired | | | (276,401) | 12,718 | (263,683) |
| Other investing activities, net | | 26 | 6,415 | (4,541) | 1,900 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities | | 26 | (280,415) | (4,406) | (284,795) |
| CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES: | | | | | |
| Proceeds from revolver and swingline credit facility | | 152,580 | | | 152,580 |
| Repayment of revolver and swingline credit facility | | (152,580) | | | (152,580) |
| Proceeds from senior secured term loans | | 375,000 | | | 375,000 |
| Repayment of senior secured term loans | | (298,475) | | | (298,475) |
| Proceeds from 9 3/4% senior notes | | 200,000 | | | 200,000 |
| Repayment of notes payable | | (43,000) | | | (43,000) |
| Repayment of 16% senior notes | (30,000) | | | | (30,000) |
| (Repayment of) proceeds from senior notes and other loans, net | | | (914) | 3,943 | 3,029 |
| Proceeds from issuance of common stock | 120,980 | | | | 120,980 |
| (Increase) decrease in intercompany receivables, | | | | | |
| net | (56,894) | (215,929) | 296,111 | (23,288) | |
| Other financing activities, net | (333) | (22,700) | | (837) | (23,870) |

Edgar Filing: CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP INC - Form S-1/A

| Net cash provided by (used in) financing | | | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|------------|-----------|------------|
| activities | 33,753 | (5,104) | 295,197 | (20,182) | 303,664 |
| | | | | | |
| NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH | | | | | |
| AND CASH EQUIVALENTS | 2,881 | (37) | 74,579 | 5,387 | 82,810 |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, AT | | | | | |
| BEGINNING OF PERIOD | 127 | 54 | 74,173 | 5,347 | 79,701 |
| Effect of currency exchange rate changes on cash | | | | 1,370 | 1,370 |
| | | | | | |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, AT | | | | | |
| END OF PERIOD | \$ 3,008 | \$ 17 | \$ 148,752 | \$ 12,104 | \$ 163,881 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Cash paid during the period for: | | | | | |
| Interest, net of amount capitalized | \$ 15,823 | \$ 44,201 | \$ 1,491 | \$ 2,203 | \$ 63,718 |
| Income taxes, net of refunds | \$ 17,783 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 17,783 |

CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS

FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2002

(Dollars in thousands)

| | | | Guarantor | Nonguarantor | Consolidated |
|--|--------|------------|---------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| | Parent | CBRE | Subsidiaries Subsidiaries | | Total |
| CASH FLOWS PROVIDED BY (USED IN) OPERATING ACTIVITIES: | \$ 509 | \$ (7,905) | \$ 42,090 | \$ 30,188 | \$ 64,882 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES | | | | | |
| Capital expenditures, net of concessions received | | | (10,049) | (4,217) | (14,266) |
| Proceeds from sale of properties and servicing rights | | | 2,515 | 3,863 | 6,378 |
| Acquisition of businesses including net assets acquired, intangibles and goodwill, net of cash | | | | | |
| acquired | | (11,588) | (35) | (3,188) | (14,811) |
| Other investing activities, net | | <u>44</u> | 196 | (1,671) | (1,431) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | | (11,544) | (7,373) | (5,213) | (24,130) |
| CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES: | | | | | |
| Proceeds from revolver and swingline credit facility | | 238,000 | | | 238,000 |
| Repayment of revolver and swingline credit facility | | (238,000) | | | (238,000) |
| Repayment of senior secured term loans | | (9,351) | | | (9,351) |
| Repayment of senior notes and other loans, net | | (189) | (3,116) | (4,900) | (8,205) |
| Decrease (increase) in intercompany receivables, net | | 28,284 | 462 | (28,746) | |
| Other financing activities, net | (385) | (172) | (94) | 369 | (282) |
| Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities | (385) | 18,572 | (2,748) | (33,277) | (17,838) |
| NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH AND | | | | | |
| CASH EQUIVALENTS | 124 | (877) | 31,969 | (8,302) | 22,914 |
| | 3 | 931 | 42,204 | 14,312 | 57,450 |

CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, AT BEGINNING OF PERIOD

| DEGITINING OF LEXIOD | | | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------|-----------|
| Effect of currency exchange rate changes on cash | | | | (663) | (663) |
| | | | | | |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, AT END | | | | | |
| OF PERIOD | \$ 127 | \$ 54 | \$ 74,173 | \$ 5,347 | \$ 79,701 |
| | | | | | |
| SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: | | | | | |
| Cash paid during the period for: | | | | | |
| Interest, net of amount capitalized | \$ 8,509 | \$ 38,751 | \$ 1,635 | \$ 3,752 | \$ 52,647 |
| Income taxes, net of refunds | \$ 19,142 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 19,142 |

CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS

FOR THE PERIOD FROM FEBRUARY 20 (INCEPTION) TO DECEMBER 31, 2001

$(Dollars\ in\ thousands)$

| | | | Guarantor | Nonguarantor | | Consolidated |
|---|-------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------|-------------|----------------------|
| | Parent | Parent CBRE | | Subsidiaries | Elimination | Total |
| CASH FLOWS PROVIDED BY OPERATING ACTIVITIES | \$ 310 | \$ 5,947 | \$ 56,478 | \$ 28,599 | \$ | \$ 91,334 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES: | | | | | | |
| Capital expenditures, net of concessions received | | | (4,246) | (2,255) | | (6,501) |
| Proceeds from sale of properties and servicing rights | | | 1,996 | 112 | | 2,108 |
| Investment in property held for sale Contribution to CBRE | (154,881) | | | (40,174) | 154,881 | (40,174) |
| Acquisition of businesses including net assets acquired, intangibles | | | | | | |
| and goodwill, net of cash acquired Other investing activities, net | | (212,369) | (1,850) (1,950) | (483) (173) | | (214,702) (2,124) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (154,881) | (212,370) | (6,050) | (42,973) | 154,881 | (261,393) |
| CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES: | | | | | | |
| Proceeds from revolver and swingline credit facility | | 113.750 | | | | 113,750 |
| Repayment of revolver and swingline credit | | ., | | | | , |
| facility Proceeds from senior secured term loans | | (113,750) 235,000 | | | | (113,750) 235,000 |
| Repayment of senior secured term loans Proceeds from 16% senior notes | 65,000 | (4,675) | | | | (4,675) 65,000 |
| Repayment of senior notes and other loans, net Proceeds from 11 1/4% senior subordinated | 03,000 | | (1,185) | (3) | | (1,188) |
| notes | | 225,629 | | | | 225,629 |
| Repayment of 8 7/8% senior subordinated notes Proceeds from non recourse debt related to | | (175,000) | | | | (175,000) |
| property held for sale | | | | 37,179 | | 37,179 |
| Repayment of revolving credit facility | (2.593) | (235,000) | | | | (235,000) |
| Payment of deferred financing fees Proceeds from issuance of stock | (2,582) 92,156 | (19,168) 154,881 | | | (154,881) | (21,750) 92,156 |

Edgar Filing: CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP INC - Form S-1/A

| Decrease (increase) in intercompany | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|--------|----|---------|----|---------|--------------|-----------|----|---------|
| receivables, net | | | | 30,263 | | (6,981) | (23,282) | | | |
| Other financing activities, net | | | | (5,535) | | (103) | 2,118 | | | (3,520) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | 15 | 54,574 | | 206,395 | | (8,269) | 16,012 | (154,881) | | 213,831 |
| | | | _ | | _ | | | | - | |
| NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS | | 3 | | (28) | | 42,159 | 1,638 | | | 43,772 |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, AT BEGINNING OF PERIOD | | | | 959 | | 45 | 12,658 | | | 13,662 |
| Effect of currency exchange rate changes on cash | | | | | | | 16 | | | 16 |
| | | | | | _ | | | | | |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, AT | | | | | | | | | | |
| END OF PERIOD | \$ | 3 | \$ | 931 | \$ | 42,204 | \$ 14,312 | \$ | \$ | 57,450 |
| | | | _ | | _ | | | | | |
| SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cash paid during the period for: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Interest, net of amount capitalized | \$ | 2,600 | \$ | 22,562 | \$ | 874 | \$ 90 | \$ | \$ | 26,126 |
| Income taxes, net of refunds | \$ | 5,061 | \$ | | \$ | | \$ | \$ | \$ | 5,061 |

CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS

FOR THE PERIOD FROM JANUARY 1 TO JULY 20, 2001

(Predecessor Company)

(Dollars in thousands)

| | | Guarantor | Nonguarantor | Consolidated |
|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| | CBRE | Subsidiaries | Subsidiaries | Total |
| CASH FLOWS USED IN OPERATING ACTIVITIES | \$ (37,633) | \$ (53,363) | \$ (29,234) | \$ (120,230) |
| CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES: | | | | |
| Capital expenditures, net of concessions received | | (11,309) | (3,505) | (14,814) |
| Proceeds from sale of properties and servicing rights | | 9,105 | 439 | 9,544 |
| Investment in property held for sale | | | (2,282) | (2,282) |
| Acquisition of businesses including net assets acquired, intangibles | | | | |
| and goodwill, net of cash acquired | | (31) | (1,893) | (1,924) |
| Other investing activities, net | 251 | (3,024) | 110 | (2,663) |
| | | | | |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities | 251 | (5,259) | (7,131) | (12,139) |
| CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES: | | | | |
| Proceeds from revolving credit facility | 195,000 | | | 195,000 |
| Repayment of revolving credit facility | (70,000) | | | (70,000) |
| (Repayment of) proceeds from senior notes and other loans, net | (2,490) | (1,656) | 4,592 | 446 |
| Payment of deferred financing fees | (8) | | | (8) |
| (Increase) decrease in intercompany receivables, net | (85,712) | 52,846 | 32,866 | |
| Other financing activities, net | 1,489 | (81) | (616) | 792 |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | 38,279 | 51,109 | 36,842 | 126,230 |
| rect cash provided by imaneing activities | | | | |
| NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH AND CASH | | | | |
| EQUIVALENTS | 897 | (7,513) | 477 | (6,139) |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, AT BEGINNING OF | 0,, | (,,010) | | (0,10) |
| PERIOD | 62 | 7,558 | 13,234 | 20,854 |
| Effect of currency exchange rate changes on cash | | | (1,053) | (1,053) |
| | · | | | |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, AT END OF PERIOD | \$ 959 | \$ 45 | \$ 12,658 | \$ 13,662 |

| SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|-------------|----------|--------------|
| Cash paid during the period for: | | | | |
| Interest, net of amount capitalized | \$ 17,194 | \$ 1,165 | \$ 98 | \$ 18,457 |
| Income taxes, net of refunds | \$ 19,083 | \$ | \$ | \$ 19,083 |

CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

21. Industry Segments

We report our operations through three geographically organized segments: (1) Americas, (2) Europe, Middle East and Africa (EMEA) and (3) Asia Pacific. Summarized financial information by operating segment is as follows (dollars in thousands):

| | | Predecessor Company | | |
|---|--------------|------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|
| | Year Ended | December 31, | Period From February 20 | |
| | | | (inception) to | Period From January 1 |
| | | | December 31, | to July 20, |
| | 2003 | 2002 | 2001 | 2001 |
| Revenue | | | | |
| Americas | \$ 1,197,626 | \$ 896,064 | \$ 440,349 | \$ 488,450 |
| EMEA | 313,686 | 182,222 | 83,012 | 78,294 |
| Asia Pacific | 118,762 | 91,991 | 39,467 | 41,190 |
| | \$ 1,630,074 | \$ 1,170,277 | \$ 562,828 | \$ 607,934 |
| | | + -,, | | 7 001,501 |
| Operating income (loss) | | | | |
| Americas | \$ 49,287 | \$ 81,293 | \$ 49,110 | \$ (8,336) |
| EMEA | (20,678) | 17,369 | 11,463 | (2,169) |
| Asia Pacific | 11,586 | 7,400 | 2,159 | (3,669) |
| | 40,195 | 106,062 | 62,732 | (14,174) |
| Interest income | 6,041 | 3,272 | 2,427 | 1,567 |
| Interest expense | 87,216 | 60,501 | 29,717 | 20,303 |
| (Loss) income before (benefit) provision for income taxes | \$ (40,980) | \$ 48,833 | \$ 35,442 | \$ (32,910) |
| Depreciation and amortization | | | | |
| Americas | \$ 58,216 | \$ 16,958 | \$ 9,221 | \$ 18,231 |
| EMEA | 31,287 | 4,579 | 1,763 | 4,729 |
| Asia Pacific | 3,119 | 3,077 | 1,214 | 2,696 |
| | \$ 92,622 | \$ 24,614 | \$ 12,198 | \$ 25,656 |
| | | | | |

Edgar Filing: CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP INC - Form S-1/A

| Capital expenditures, net of concessions received | | | | | |
|---|----|----------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Americas | \$ | 14,960 | \$ 10,999 | \$ 4,692 | \$ 12,237 |
| EMEA | | 10,353 | 2,018 | 694 | 1,557 |
| Asia Pacific | | 1,648 | 1,249 | 1,115 | 1,020 |
| | _ | | | | |
| | \$ | 26,961 | \$ 14,266 | \$ 6,501 | \$ 14,814 |
| | _ | | | | |
| Equity (income) losses from unconsolidated subsidiaries | | | | | |
| Americas | \$ | (14,180) | \$ (8,425) | \$ (1,343) | \$ (2,465) |
| EMEA | | 188 | (82) | (22) | 20 |
| Asia Pacific | | (373) | (819) | (189) | (429) |
| | | | | | |
| | \$ | (14,365) | \$ (9,326) | \$ (1,554) | \$ (2,874) |

CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

| | | December 31, | | | | | | |
|--------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|------|-----------|--|--|--|
| | 2003 | | 2002 | | 2001 | | | |
| | | (Dollar | s in thousand | ls) | | | | |
| ïable assets | | | | | | | | |
| | \$ 1,426,525 | \$ | 868,990 | \$ | 941,732 | | | |
| | 409,087 | | 198,027 | | 171,621 | | | |
| | 124,128 | | 123,059 | | 97,552 | | | |
| | 253,741 | | 134,800 | | 143,607 | | | |
| | | _ | | _ | | | | |
| | \$ 2,213,481 | \$ | 1,324,876 | \$ 1 | 1,354,512 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

Identifiable assets by industry segment are those assets used in our operations in each segment. Corporate identifiable assets include cash and cash equivalents and net deferred tax assets.

| | Decen | iber 31, |
|--|-------------|------------|
| | 2003 | 2002 |
| | (Dollars in | thousands) |
| Investments in and advances to unconsolidated subsidiaries | | |
| Americas | \$ 56,774 | \$ 44,294 |
| EMEA | 6,494 | 1,058 |
| Asia Pacific | 5,093 | 4,856 |
| | | |
| | \$ 68,361 | \$ 50,208 |
| | | |

Geographic Information:

| (| CB Richard Ellis Gi | roup | Predecessor Company |
|------------|---------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|
| Year Ended | December 31, | Period From February 20 | Period From January 1 |
| 2003 | 2002 | (inception) to | to July 20, |

Edgar Filing: CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP INC - Form S-1/A

| | | | December 31, | 2001 | | | | |
|---------------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | | 2001 | | | | | |
| | | (Dollars in | ollars in thousands) | | | | | |
| Revenue | | | | | | | | |
| U.S. | \$ 1,137,986 | \$ 849,563 | \$ 416,445 | \$ 465,281 | | | | |
| U.K. | 179,792 | 95,947 | 48,206 | 48,210 | | | | |
| All other countries | 312,296 | 224,767 | 98,177 | 94,443 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | \$ 1,630,074 | \$ 1,170,277 | \$ 562,828 | \$ 607,934 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

The revenue shown in the table above is allocated based upon the country in which services are performed.

| | Dec | cember 31, |
|---------------------|------------|-----------------|
| | 2003 | 2002 |
| | (Dollar | s in thousands) |
| Long-lived assets | | |
| U.S. | \$ 66,280 | \$ 51,419 |
| U.K. | 31,707 | 3,297 |
| All other countries | 15,582 | 11,918 |
| | | · — |
| | \$ 113,569 | \$ 66,634 |
| | | |

The long-lived assets shown in the table above include property and equipment.

CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

22. Related Party Transactions

Included in other current and other assets in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets are employee loans of \$31.7 million and \$5.9 million as of December 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively. The majority of these loans represent sign-on and retention bonuses issued or assumed in connection with the Insignia Acquisition as well as prepaid retention and recruitment awards issued to employees. These loans are at varying principal amounts, bear interest at rates up to 10% per annum and mature on various dates through 2008. As of December 31, 2002, the outstanding employee loan balances included a \$0.3 million loan to Ray Wirta, our Chief Executive Officer, and a \$0.2 million loan to Brett White, our President. These non-interest bearing loans to Mr. Wirta and Mr. White were issued during 2002 and were due and payable on December 31, 2003. The compensation committee of our board of directors forgave Mr. Wirta s and Mr. White s loans in full, effective January 1, 2004.

The accompanying consolidated balance sheets also include \$4.7 million and \$4.8 million of notes receivable from sale of stock as of December 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively. These notes are primarily comprised of full recourse loans to our employees, officers and certain shareholders, and are secured by our common stock that is owned by the borrowers. These recourse loans are at varying principal amounts, require quarterly interest payments, bear interest at rates up to 10.0% per annum and mature on various dates through 2010.

Pursuant to the Equity Incentive Plan (EIP), Mr. Wirta purchased 30,000 shares of CBRE common stock in 2000 at a purchase price of \$12.875 per share that was paid for by the delivery of a full recourse promissory note bearing interest at 7.40%. As part of the 2001 Merger, the 30,000 shares of CBRE common stock were exchanged for 30,000 shares of our Class B common stock, which shares were substituted for the CBRE shares as security for the note. All interest charged on the outstanding promissory note balance for any year is forgiven if Mr. Wirta s performance produces a high enough level of bonus, with approximately \$7,500 of interest forgiven for each \$10,000 of bonus. In 2003, our board of directors forgave all 2002 interest on Mr. Wirta s promissory note. As of December 31, 2003 and 2002, Mr. Wirta had an outstanding loan balance of \$385,950, which is included in notes receivable from sale of stock in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

Pursuant to the EIP, Mr. White purchased 25,000 shares of CBRE common stock in 1998 at a purchase price of \$38.50 per share and 20,000 shares of CBRE common stock in 2000 at a purchase price of \$12.875 per share. These purchases were paid for by the delivery of full recourse promissory notes. A First Amendment to Mr. White s 1998 promissory note provided that the portion of the then outstanding principal in excess of the fair market value of the shares would be forgiven in the event that Mr. White was an employee of ours or of our subsidiaries on November 16, 2002 and the fair market value of our common stock was at least \$38.50 per share on November 16, 2002. Mr. White s promissory note was subsequently amended, terminating the First Amendment and adjusting the original 1998 Stock Purchase Agreement by reducing the purchase price from \$38.50 to \$16.00. During 2002, the 25,000 shares held as security for the Second Amended Promissory Note were tendered as full payment for this note. The remaining note delivered by Mr. White bears interest at 7.40%. As part of the 2001 Merger, the 20,000 shares of CBRE common stock purchased by Mr. White were exchanged for 20,000 shares of our common Class B common stock, which shares were substituted for CBRE shares as security for the note. All interest charged on the outstanding promissory note balances for any year is forgiven if Mr. White s performance produces a high enough level of bonus, with approximately \$7,500 of interest forgiven for each \$10,000 of bonus. In 2003, our board of directors forgave all 2002 interest on Mr. White s promissory note. As of December 31, 2003 and 2002, Mr. White had an outstanding loan balance \$257,300, which is included in notes receivable from the sale of stock in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

F-55

CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

As of December 31, 2003 and 2002, Mr. White also had an outstanding loan balance of \$179,886 and \$164,832, respectively, which is included in notes receivable from the sale of stock in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. This outstanding loan relates to the acquisition of 12,500 shares of CBRE s common stock prior to the 2001 Merger. Subsequent to the 2001 Merger, these shares were converted into shares of our common stock and the related loan amount was carried forward. As amended, this loan accrues interest at 6.0%, and the principal and all accrued interest is payable on or before April 23, 2010. Mr. White repaid this loan in full on February 10, 2004.

At the time of the 2001 Merger, Mr. Wirta delivered to us an \$80,000 promissory note, which bore interest at 10% per year, as payment for the purchase of 5,000 shares of our Class B common stock. Mr. Wirta repaid this promissory note in full in April of 2002. Additionally, Mr. Wirta and Mr. White delivered full-recourse notes in the amounts of \$512,504 and \$209,734, respectively, as payment for a portion of the shares of Class A common stock purchased in connection with the 2001 Merger. These notes bear interest at 10% per year. During the year ended December 31, 2002, Mr. Wirta paid down his loan amount by \$40,004 and Mr. White paid off his note in its entirety. During the year ended December 31, 2003, Mr. Wirta paid down his loan amount by \$70,597. As of December 31, 2003 and 2002, Mr. Wirta has an outstanding loan balance of \$401,903 and \$472,500, respectively, which is included in notes receivable from sale of stock in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

In the event that our common stock is not freely tradable on a national securities exchange or an over-the-counter market by May 30, 2004, we agreed in 2001 to loan Mr. Wirta up to \$3.0 million on a full-recourse basis to enable him to exercise an existing option to acquire shares held by the Koll Holding Company if Mr. Wirta is employed by us at the time of exercise, was terminated without cause or resigned for good reason. This loan will become repayable upon the earliest to occur of the following: (1) 90 days following termination of his employment, other than without cause or by him for good reason, (2) seven months following the date our common stock becomes freely tradable as described above or (3) the receipt of proceeds from the sale of the pledged shares. This loan will bear interest at the prime rate in effect on the date of the loan, compounded annually, and will be repayable to the extent of any net proceeds received by Mr. Wirta upon sale of any shares of our common stock. Mr. Wirta is required to pledge the shares received upon exercise of the option as security for the loan.

F-56

CBRE HOLDING, INC.

QUARTERLY RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

(Unaudited)

| | Three Months Ended December 31, | | Months Ended Ended September ecember 31, 30, | | Three Months Ended June 30, 2003 | | 1 | Three Months | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------|---|------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------|------|-----------------|--|
| | | | | | | | | Ended | |
| | | | | | | | M | larch 31, | |
| | | | | | | | 2003 | | |
| | | | (Dolla | ars in thousands | , except | share data) | | | |
| Revenue | \$ | 621,257 | \$ | 423,376 | \$ | 321,717 | \$ | 263,724 | |
| Operating income (loss) | \$ | 24,319 | \$ | (20,358) | \$ | 25,392 | \$ | 10,842 | |
| Net (loss) income | \$ | (10,084) | \$ | (28,445) | \$ | 5,172 | \$ | (1,347) | |
| Basic EPS (1) | \$ | (0.45) | \$ | (1.37) | \$ | 0.34 | \$ | (0.09) | |
| Weighted average shares outstanding for basic | | | | | | | | | |
| EPS (1) | 2 | 2,563,690 | 2 | 0,743,011 | 1 | 5,040,868 | 1. | 5,029,219 | |
| Diluted EPS (1) | \$ | (0.45) | \$ | (1.37) | \$ | 0.34 | \$ | (0.09) | |
| Weighted average shares outstanding for diluted EPS (1) | 2 | 2,563,690 | 2 | 0,743,011 | 1 | 5,344,038 | 1. | 5,029,219 | |

⁽¹⁾ EPS is defined as earnings (loss) per share

| | Three Months Ended December 31, | | Ended September | | Three Months Ended June 30, | | | Three Months | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------|--------------------|---------------|-------------------------------|---------------|----|-----------------|--|
| | | | | | | | | Ended | |
| | | | | | | | N | Iarch 31, | |
| | _ | 2002 | | 2002 | | 2002 | | 2002 | |
| | | | (Dolla | rs in thousan | ds, excep | ot share data |) | | |
| Revenue | \$ | 376,466 | \$ | 284,928 | \$ | 284,893 | \$ | 223,990 | |
| Operating income | \$ | 52,168 | \$ | 21,162 | \$ | 29,263 | \$ | 3,469 | |
| Net income (loss) | \$ | 15,097 | \$ | 1,881 | \$ | 7,289 | \$ | (5,540) | |
| Basic EPS (1) | \$ | 1.01 | \$ | 0.13 | \$ | 0.48 | \$ | (0.37) | |
| Weighted average shares outstanding for basic | | | | | | | | | |
| EPS (1) | 1: | 5,000,576 | 1 | 5,016,044 | 1 | 5,034,616 | 1 | 5,050,633 | |
| Diluted EPS (1) | \$ | 0.99 | \$ | 0.12 | \$ | 0.48 | \$ | (0.37) | |
| Weighted average shares outstanding for diluted EPS (1) | 1: | 5,238,038 | 1 | 5,225,788 | 1 | 5,217,186 | 1 | 5,050,633 | |

⁽¹⁾ EPS is defined as earnings (loss) per share

CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.

SCHEDULE II VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS

(in thousands)

| | CB Richard Ellis Group |
|--|---------------------------|
| | Allowance |
| | For |
| | Bad Debts |
| Balance, February 20, 2001 (inception) | \$ |
| Acquired in connection with the 2001 Merger | 12,074 |
| Charges to expense | 1,317 |
| Write-offs, payments and other | (1,643) |
| | |
| Balance, December 31, 2001 | 11,748 |
| Charges to expense | 3,415 |
| Write-offs, payments and other | (4,271) |
| | |
| Balance, December 31, 2002 | 10,892 |
| Acquired in connection with the Insignia Acquisition | 5,061 |
| Charges to expense | 3,436 |
| Write-offs, payments and other | (3,208) |
| Balance, December 31, 2003 | \$ 16,181 |
| , | |

| | Predecessor Company | |
|--------------------------------|------------------------|----|
| | Allowance | |
| | For | |
| | Bad Debts | |
| Balance, December 31, 2000 | \$ 12,631 | |
| Charges to expense | 3,387 | |
| Write-offs, payments and other | (3,944 | .) |
| | | |
| Balance, July 20, 2001 | \$ 12,074 | , |
| | | |

F-58

INSIGNIA FINANCIAL GROUP, INC.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET

(In thousands, except share data)

(Unaudited)

| | June 30, 2003 |
|---|--------------------|
| Assets | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 55,991 |
| Receivables, net | 137,566 |
| Restricted cash | 21,153 |
| Property and equipment, net | 42,140 |
| Real estate investments, net | 131,411 |
| Goodwill | 260,565 |
| Acquired intangible assets, less accumulated amortization of \$56,025 | 4,684 |
| Deferred taxes | 62,086 |
| Other assets, net | 18,653 |
| | |
| Total assets | \$ 734,249 |
| Total dissolis | Ψ 73 1,2 19 |
| T 1997 10 11 11 F 4 | |
| Liabilities and Stockholders Equity | |
| Liabilities: | \$ 8.999 |
| Accounts payable | \$ 8,999 45,744 |
| Commissions payable Accrued incentives | 13,958 |
| Accrued and sundry | 92,886 |
| Deferred taxes | 23,396 |
| Notes payable | 56,785 |
| Real estate mortgage notes | 71,986 |
| Real estate mortgage notes | 71,980 |
| m . 19 1999 | 212.554 |
| Total liabilities | 313,754 |
| | |
| Stockholders Equity: | |
| Common stock, par value \$.01 per share authorized 80,000,000 shares, 24,082,121 issued and outstanding shares, net of | 241 |
| 1,502,600 shares held in treasury | 241 |
| Preferred stock, par value \$.01 per share authorized 20,000,000 shares, Series A, 250,000 and Series B, 125,000 issued and | 4 |
| outstanding shares Additional paid-in capital | 443,101 |
| Notes receivable for common stock | (1,006) |
| Accumulated deficit | (24,104) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | 2,259 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | 2,239 |
| | 120 105 |
| Total stockholders equity | 420,495 |
| | |
| Total liabilities and stockholders equity | \$ 734,249 |
| | |

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

F-59

INSIGNIA FINANCIAL GROUP, INC.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(In thousands)

(Unaudited)

Six Months Ended

| | June | e 30, |
|--|---|------------|
| | 2003 | 2002 |
| Revenues | | |
| Real estate services | \$ 281,280 | \$ 255,446 |
| Property operations | 4,326 | 4,550 |
| Equity (loss) earnings in unconsolidated ventures | (3,318) | 3,259 |
| | 282,288 | 263,255 |
| Costs and expenses | | |
| Real estate services | 271,908 | 239,960 |
| Property operations | 3,664 | 3,165 |
| Administrative | 10,192 | 6,583 |
| Depreciation | 6,971 | 6,744 |
| Property depreciation | 753 | 1,058 |
| Amortization of intangibles | 1,222 | 2,735 |
| | 294,710 | 260,245 |
| Operating (loss) income | (12,422) | 3,010 |
| Other income and expenses: | | |
| Interest income | 1,646 | 2,081 |
| Other income | 29 | 13 |
| Interest expense | (3,293) | (4,338) |
| Property interest expense | (841) | (951) |
| Loss from continuing operations before income taxes | (14,881) | (185) |
| Income tax benefit | 5,208 | 83 |
| Loss from continuing operations | (9,673) | (102) |
| Discontinued operations, net of applicable taxes: | (,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | () |
| (Loss) income from operations | (360) | 2,869 |
| Income on disposal | 3,763 | 265 |
| (Loss) income before cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle | (6,270) | 3,032 |
| Cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle, net of applicable taxes | | (20,635) |
| Net loss | (6,270) | (17,603) |

| Preferred stock dividends | (1,594) | (573) |
|---|------------|-------------|
| Net loss available to common shareholders | \$ (7,864) | \$ (18,176) |

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

F-60

INSIGNIA FINANCIAL GROUP, INC.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(In thousands)

(Unaudited)

Six Months Ended

| | June 30, | |
|--|------------|----------|
| | 2003 | 2002 |
| Operating activities | | |
| Loss from continuing operations | \$ (9,673) | \$ (102) |
| Adjustments to reconcile loss from continuing operations to net cash used in operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 8,946 | 10,537 |
| Equity loss (earnings) in unconsolidated ventures | 3,318 | (3,259) |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities: | 0,010 | (0,20) |
| Accounts receivable | 16,441 | 36,386 |
| Other assets | (7,752) | 3,158 |
| Accrued incentives | (35,339) | (44,039) |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | (9,136) | (23,526) |
| Commissions payable | (17,543) | (33,747) |
| | | |
| Net cash used in operating activities | (50,738) | (54,592) |
| Too bash asses in operating activities | (50,750) | (6.,652) |
| Investing activities | | |
| Additions to property and equipment, net | (4,982) | (2,197) |
| Proceeds from real estate investments | 4.154 | 30,940 |
| Payments made for acquisitions of businesses | (4,071) | (6,155) |
| Proceeds from sale of discontinued operations | 66,750 | 23,250 |
| Investment in real estate | (4,732) | (4,897) |
| Decrease in restricted cash | 365 | 2,941 |
| | | |
| Net cash provided by investing activities | 57,484 | 43,882 |
| | | |
| Financing activities | | |
| Proceeds from issuance of common stock | 5,488 | 1,127 |
| Proceeds from issuance of preferred stock, net | | 12,325 |
| Preferred stock dividends | (1,593) | (633) |
| Payment on notes payable | (70,104) | (36,722) |
| Payments on real estate mortgage notes | | (20,915) |
| Proceeds from real estate mortgage notes | 5,191 | |
| Debt issuance costs | | (866) |
| | | |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (61,018) | (45,684) |
| | | |
| Net cash (used in) provided by discontinued operations | (3,002) | 5,209 |
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash | 1,818 | 1,641 |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |

| Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | (55,456) 111,447 | (49,544) 131,770 |
|---|---------------------|---------------------|
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ 55,991 | \$ 82,226 |

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

F-61

INSIGNIA FINANCIAL GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

1. Business

Insignia Financial Group, Inc. (Insignia or the Company), a Delaware corporation headquartered in New York, New York, is a leading provider of international real estate and real estate financial services, with operations in the United States, United Kingdom, France, continental Europe, Asia and Latin America. Insignia s real estate service businesses offer a diversified array of services including commercial leasing, sales brokerage, corporate real estate consulting, property management, property development, re-development and real estate oriented financial services. In addition to traditional real estate services, Insignia has historically deployed its own capital, together with the capital of third party investors, in principal real estate investments, including co-investment in existing property assets, real estate development and managed private investment funds. The Company s real estate service operations and real estate investments are more fully described below.

Insignia s primary real estate service businesses include the following: Insignia/ESG (United States, commercial real estate services), Insignia Richard Ellis (United Kingdom, commercial real estate services) and Insignia Bourdais (France, commercial real estate services; acquired in December 2001). Insignia also offers commercial real estate services throughout continental Europe, Asia and Latin America. Insignia s other businesses in continental Europe include operations in Germany, Italy, Spain, Holland and Belgium. Insignia s New York-based residential businesses Insignia Douglas Elliman and Insignia Residential Group were sold on March 14, 2003 (see further discussion under the caption Discontinued Operations in Note 6).

2. Interim Financial Information

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (GAAP) for interim financial information. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. Operating results for the six months ended June 30, 2003 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2003. For further information, refer to the consolidated financial statements and footnotes thereto included in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002.

3. Reclassifications

Certain amounts for the prior year have been reclassified to conform to the 2003 presentation. These reclassifications have no effect on reported net loss.

4. Seasonality

The Company s revenues are substantially derived from tenant representation, agency leasing, investment sales and consulting services. Revenues generated by these services are transactional in nature and therefore affected by seasonality, availability of space, competition in the market place and changes in business and capital market conditions. A significant portion of the expenses associated with these transactional activities are directly correlated to revenue. Also, certain conditions to revenue recognition for leasing commissions are outside of the Company s control.

Consistent with the industry in general, the Company s revenues and operating income have historically been lower during the first three calendar quarters than in the fourth quarter. The reasons for the concentration of earnings in the fourth quarter include a general, industry-wide focus on completing transactions by calendar year

F-62

INSIGNIA FINANCIAL GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

end, as well as the constant nature of the Company s non-variable expenses throughout the year versus the seasonality of its revenues. This phenomenon has generally produced a historical pattern of higher revenues and income in the last half of the year and a gradual slowdown in transactional activity and corresponding operating results during the first quarter. This tendency notwithstanding, it is possible that any fourth quarter may not be the best performing quarter of a particular year. Insignia s quarterly earnings are also susceptible to the potential adverse effects of unforeseen market disruptions like that of the third quarter of 2001 caused by the events of September 11. Consequently, future revenue production and earnings may be difficult to predict and comparisons from period to period may be difficult to interpret.

5. Foreign Currency

The financial statements of the Company s foreign subsidiaries are measured using the local currency as the functional currency. The British pound and euro represent the only foreign currencies of material operations, which collectively generated approximately 30% of the Company s service revenues for the six months ended June 30, 2003. Revenues and expenses of all foreign subsidiaries have been translated into U.S. dollars at the average exchange rates prevailing during the periods. Assets and liabilities have been translated at the rates of exchange at the balance sheet date. Translation gains and losses are deferred as a separate component of stockholders equity in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), unless there is a sale or complete liquidation of the underlying foreign investment. Gains and losses from foreign currency transactions, such as those resulting from the settlement of foreign receivables or payables, are included in the consolidated statements of operations in determining net income.

For the six months ended June 30, 2003, European operations were translated to U.S. dollars at average exchange rates of \$1.61 to the British pound and \$1.10 to the euro. The assets and liabilities of the Company s European operations have been translated at exchange rates of \$1.65 to the British pound and \$1.14 to the euro at June 30, 2003.

6. Discontinued Operations

On March 14, 2003, Insignia completed the sale of its New York-based residential businesses, Insignia Residential Group and Insignia Douglas Elliman, to Montauk Battery Realty. Montauk Battery Realty is located on Long Island, New York and its principal owners are New Valley Corp. and Dorothy Herman, chief executive officer of Prudential Long Island Realty. The total purchase price of \$71.75 million was paid or is payable as follows: (i) \$66.75 million paid in cash to Insignia at the closing of the transaction; (ii) \$500,000 in cash held in escrow on the closing date and up to another \$500,000 held in escrow pending receipt of specified commissions; and (iii) the assumption by the buyer of up to \$4.0 million in existing contingent earn-out payment obligations of Insignia Douglas Elliman. The escrowed amounts are available to secure Insignia s indemnity obligations under the purchase and sale agreement. Any amounts remaining in escrow on March 14, 2004 and not securing previously made indemnity claims will be released to Insignia.

Insignia Douglas Elliman, acquired by Insignia in June 1999, provides sales and rental services in the New York City residential cooperative, condominium and rental apartment market and also operates in upscale suburban markets in Long Island (Manhasset, Locust Valley and Port Washington/Sands Point). Insignia Residential Group is the largest manager of cooperative, condominium and rental apartments in the New

York metropolitan area, providing full service third-party fee management for more than 250 properties, comprising approximately 60,000 residential units. These residential businesses collectively produced service revenues in 2002, 2001 and 2000 of \$133.7 million, \$119.2 million and \$134.1 million, respectively.

F-63

INSIGNIA FINANCIAL GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

During the six months ended June 30, 2003, Insignia recognized a net gain of approximately \$3.8 million (net of \$4.7 million of applicable income taxes) in connection with the sale of its residential businesses. These businesses also generated an operating loss of \$360,000 on revenues of \$20.5 million during the 2003 period. The gain on sale and operating loss are reported as discontinued operations for financial reporting purposes. During the first quarter of 2002, Insignia recognized income on disposal of \$265,000 (net of applicable taxes of \$1.8 million) related to the sale of Realty One, the Company s former single-family home brokerage business.

The following tables summarize the aggregate assets and liabilities of Insignia Douglas Elliman and Insignia Residential Group at December 31, 2002 and the results of operations and income on disposal attributed to Insignia Douglas Elliman (2003), Insignia Residential Group (2003) and Realty One (2002) during the six months ended June 30, 2003 and 2002, respectively.

| | December 31, 2002 |
|--|----------------------|
| Assets | (In thousands) |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 66 |
| Receivables | 2,479 |
| Property and equipment | 11,766 |
| Goodwill | 34,117 |
| Acquired intangible assets | 11,999 |
| Deferred taxes | 3,365 |
| Other assets | 2,177 |
| | |
| Assets of discontinued operations | 65,969 |
| | |
| Liabilities | |
| Accounts payable | 2,535 |
| Commissions payable | 564 |
| Accrued incentives | 3,027 |
| Accrued and sundry liabilities | 3,256 |
| Deferred taxes | 789 |
| | |
| Liabilities of discontinued operations | 10,171 |
| | |
| Net assets | \$ 55,798 |
| | |

Six Months Ended

June 30,

| | (In thousands) | |
|--|----------------|-----------|
| Revenues | \$ 20,517 | \$ 69,009 |
| | | |
| (Loss) income from operations, net of tax benefit of \$248 (2003) and tax expense of \$2,347 | | |
| (2002) | (360) | 2,869 |
| Income on disposal, net of tax expense of \$4,741 (2003) and \$1,809 (2002) | 3,763 | 265 |
| | | |
| Net income | \$ 3,403 | \$ 3,134 |
| | | |

INSIGNIA FINANCIAL GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

7. Goodwill and Intangible Assets

The table below reconciles the change in the carrying amount of goodwill, by operating segment, for the period from December 31, 2002 to June 30, 2003.

| | Commercial | Residential | Total |
|---|------------|-------------|------------|
| | | | |
| Balance as of December 31, 2002 | \$ 255,444 | \$ 34,117 | \$ 289,561 |
| Adjustment for discontinued operations | | (34,117) | (34,117) |
| | 255,444 | | 255,444 |
| Other adjustments to purchase consideration | (877) | | (877) |
| Foreign currency translation | 5,998 | | 5,998 |
| Balance as of June 30, 2003 | \$ 260,565 | \$ | \$ 260,565 |
| paramet as of June 30, 2003 | \$ 200,303 | Ψ | Ψ 200,303 |

The following tables present certain information on the Company s acquired intangible assets as of June 30, 2003.

| | Weighted | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|--------------|-----------|--------------|--------------|-------------|-------|
| | Average | Gross | | | | |
| | Amortization | Carrying | Ac | cumulated | | |
| Acquired Intangible Assets | Period | Amount | Amortization | | Net Balance | |
| As of June 30, 2003 | | | (I | n thousands) | | |
| Property management contracts | 5 years | \$ 52,679 | \$ | 51,895 | \$ | 784 |
| Favorable premises leases | 11 years | 2,666 | | 257 | | 2,409 |
| Other | 3 years | 5,364 | | 3,873 | | 1,491 |
| | | | _ | | _ | |
| Total | | \$ 60,709 | \$ | 56,025 | \$ | 4,684 |

All intangible assets are being amortized over their estimated useful lives with no residual value. Intangibles included in Other consist of customer backlog, non-compete agreements, franchise agreements and trade names. The aggregate acquired intangible amortization expense for the six months ended June 30, 2003 and 2002 totaled \$1.2 million and \$2.7 million, respectively. The decline in amortization expense in 2003 is attributed to property management contracts and customer backlog that were fully amortized in 2002.

8. Real Estate Investments

Insignia has historically invested in real estate assets and real estate debt securities. Insignia has engaged in real estate investment generally through: (i) investment in operating properties through co-investments with various clients or, in limited instances, by itself; (ii) investment in and development of commercial real estate on its own behalf and through co-investments; and (iii) minority ownership in and management of private investment funds, whose investments primarily consist of securitized real estate debt.

At June 30, 2003, the Company s real estate investments totaled \$131.4 million, consisting of the following: (i) \$19.3 million in minority-owned operating properties; (ii) \$87.2 million of real estate carrying value attributed to three real estate investment entities consolidated by Insignia for financial reporting purposes; (iii) \$8.1 million in four minority owned office development properties; (iv) \$1.7 million in a land parcel held for development;

F-65

INSIGNIA FINANCIAL GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

and (v) \$15.1 million in minority-owned private investment funds owning debt securities. The properties owned by the consolidated investment entities are subject to mortgage debt of \$72.0 million and Insignia s investment in the properties totaled \$22.3 million at June 30, 2003. Insignia s investment in consolidated properties includes \$19.2 million invested in a marina-based development property in the U.S. Virgin Islands. Insignia s minority-owned investments in operating real estate assets include office, retail, industrial, apartment and hotel properties. At June 30, 2003, these real estate assets consisted of over 5.8 million square feet of commercial property and 1,967 multi-family apartment units and hotel rooms. The Company s minority ownership interests in co-investment property range from 1% to 33%.

Gains realized from sales of real estate by minority owned entities for the six months ended June 30, 2003 and 2002 totaled \$734,000 and \$1.6 million, respectively. During the six months ended June 30, 2003, the Company recorded impairment against its real estate investments of \$3.9 million on five property assets. The Company evaluates its real estate investments on a quarterly basis for evidence of impairment. Impairment losses are recognized whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate declines in value of such investments below carrying value and the related undiscounted cash flows are not sufficient to recover the asset s carrying amount. The impairments were based on changes in factors including increased vacancies, lower market rental rates and decreased projections of operating cash flows which diminished prospects for recovery of the Company s full investment upon final disposition. The gains realized from real estate sales and the losses taken on impairments are included in the caption equity (loss) earnings in unconsolidated ventures in the Company s condensed consolidated statements of operations.

The Company s only financial obligations with respect to its real estate investments, beyond its investment, are (i) partial construction financing guarantees, backed by letters of credit, totaling \$8.9 million; (ii) other letters of credit and guarantees of property debt totaling \$2.8 million; and (iii) future capital commitments for capital improvements and additional asset purchases totaling \$2.3 million.

9. Debt

At June 30, 2003, Insignia s debt consisted of the following: