BLACKROCK MUNICIPAL INCOME TRUST II Form N-CSR November 07, 2011 UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM N-CSR

CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES

Investment Company Act file number 811-21126

Name of Fund: BlackRock Municipal Income Trust II (BLE)

Fund Address: 100 Bellevue Parkway, Wilmington, DE 19809

Name and address of agent for service: John M. Perlowski, Chief Executive Officer, BlackRock Municipal Income Trust II, 55 East 52nd Street, New York, NY 10055

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (800) 882-0052, Option 4

Date of fiscal year end: 08/31/2011

Date of reporting period: 08/31/2011

Item 1 – Report to Stockholders

August 31, 2011

Annual Report

BlackRock Municipal Bond Investment Trust (BIE) BlackRock Municipal Bond Trust (BBK) BlackRock Municipal Income Investment Quality Trust (BAF) BlackRock Municipal Income Quality Trust (BYM) BlackRock Municipal Income Trust II (BLE) BlackRock MuniHoldings Investment Quality Fund (MFL) BlackRock MuniVest Fund, Inc. (MVF)

Not FDIC Insured § No Bank Guarantee § May Lose Value

Table of Contents

	Page
Dear Shareholder	3
Annual Report:	
Municipal Market Overview	4
Trust Summaries	5
The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging	12
Derivative Financial Instruments	12
Financial Statements:	
Schedules of Investments	13
Statements of Assets and Liabilities	42
Statements of Operations	43
Statements of Changes in Net Assets	44
Statements of Cash Flows	46
Financial Highlights	47
Notes to Financial Statements	51
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	59
Important Tax Information	59
Disclosure of Investment Advisory Agreements and Sub-Advisory Agreements	60
Automatic Dividend Reinvestment Plans	64
Officers and Trustees	65
Additional Information	68

2

ANNUAL REPORT

Dear Shareholder

Market volatility has been extraordinary in recent months. Government debt and deficit issues in both the US and Europe have taken a toll on investor sentiment while weaker-than-expected US economic data raised concerns of another recession. Political instability and concerns that central banks have nearly exhausted their stimulus measures have further compounded investor uncertainty. Although markets remain volatile and conditions are highly uncertain, BlackRock remains focused on finding opportunities in this environment.

The pages that follow reflect your fund s reporting period ended August 31, 2011. Accordingly, the following discussion is intended to provide you with additional perspective on the performance of your investments during that period.

One year ago, the global economy appeared to solidly be in recovery mode and investors were optimistic given the anticipated second round of quantitative easing from the US Federal Reserve (the Fed). Stock markets rallied despite the ongoing sovereign debt crisis in Europe and inflationary pressures looming over emerging markets. Fixed income markets, however, saw yields move sharply upward (pushing prices down) especially on the long end of the historically steep yield curve. While high yield bonds benefited from the risk rally, most fixed income sectors declined in the fourth quarter. The tax-exempt municipal market faced additional headwinds as it became evident that the Build America Bond program would not be extended and municipal finance troubles abounded.

The new year brought spikes of volatility as political turmoil swept across the Middle East/North Africa region and as prices of oil and other commodities soared. Natural disasters in Japan disrupted industrial supply chains and concerns mounted over US debt and deficit issues. Equities generally performed well early in the year, however, as investors chose to focus on the continuing stream of strong corporate earnings and positive economic data. Credit markets were surprisingly resilient in this environment and yields regained relative stability in 2011. The tax-exempt market saw relief from its headwinds and steadily recovered from its fourth-quarter lows. Equities, commodities and high yield bonds outpaced higher-quality assets as investors increased their risk tolerance.

However, longer-term headwinds had been brewing. Inflationary pressures intensified in emerging economies, many of which were overheating, and the European debt crisis continued to escalate. Markets were met with a sharp reversal in May when political unrest in Greece pushed the nation closer to defaulting on its debt. This development rekindled fears about the broader debt crisis and its further contagion among peripheral European countries. Concurrently, it became evident that the pace of global economic growth had slowed as higher oil prices and supply chain disruptions finally showed up in economic data. By mid-summer, confidence in policymakers was tarnished as the prolonged US debt ceiling debate revealed the degree of polarization in Washington, DC. The downgrade of the US government s credit rating on August 5 was the catalyst for the recent turmoil in financial markets. Extreme volatility persisted as Europe s debt and banking crisis deepened and US economic data continued to weaken. Investors fled from riskier assets, pushing stock and high yield bond indices into negative territory for the six-month period ended August 31, while lower-risk investments including US Treasuries, municipal securities and investment grade corporate bonds posted gains. Twelve-month returns on all asset classes remained positive. Continued low short-term interest rates kept yields on money market securities near their all-time lows.

Sincerely,

Rob Kapito President, BlackRock Advisors, LLC

BlackRock remains focused on managing risk and finding opportunities in all market environments.

Rob Kapito President, BlackRock Advisors, LLC

Total Returns as of August 31, 2011

	6-month	12-month
US large cap equities	(7.23)%	18.50%
(S&P 500 Index)		
US small cap equities	(11.17)	22.19

(Russell 2000 Index)		
International equities (MSCI Europe, Australasia,	(11.12)	10.01
Far East Index)		
Emerging market equities (MSCI Emerging Markets Index)	(5.11)	9.07
3-month Treasury bill (BofA Merrill Lynch 3-Month Treasury Bill Index)	0.08	0.15
US Treasury securities (BofA Merrill Lynch 10- Year US Treasury Index)	13.04	6.21
US investment grade bonds (Barclays Capital US Aggregate Bond Index)	5.49	4.62
Tax-exempt municipal bonds (Barclays Capital Municipal Bond Index)	6.39	2.66
US high yield bonds (Barclays Capital US Corporate High Yield 2% Issuer Capped Index)	(1.57)	8.32

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Index performance is shown for illustrative purposes only. You cannot invest directly in an index.

THIS PAGE NOT PART OF YOUR FUND REPORT

Municipal Market Overview

For the 12-Month Period Ended August 31, 2011

At the outset of the 12-month period, investor concerns were focused on the possibility of deflation and a double-dip recession in the US economy thus leading to a flatter municipal yield curve at that time as compared to August 31, 2011. Rates moved lower (and prices higher) across the curve through September 2010, reaching historic lows in August when the yield on 5-year issues touched 1.06%, the 10-year reached 2.18% and the 30-year closed at 3.67%. However, the market took a turn in October amid a perfect storm of events that ultimately resulted in the worst quarterly performance for municipals since the Fed tightening cycle of 1994. Treasury yields lost support due to concerns over the US deficit and municipal valuations suffered a quick and severe setback as it became evident that the Build America Bond (BAB) program would expire at the end of 2010. The program had opened the taxable market to municipal issuers, successfully alleviating supply pressure in the traditional tax-exempt marketplace and bringing down yields in that space.

Towards the end of the fourth quarter 2010, news about municipal finance troubles mounted and damaged confidence among retail investors. From mid-November through year end, weekly outflows from municipal mutual funds averaged over \$2.5 billion. Political uncertainty surrounding the midterm elections and tax policies along with the expiration of the BAB program exacerbated the situation. These conditions combined with seasonal illiquidity sapped willful market participation from the trading community. December brought declining demand with no comparable reduction in supply as issuers rushed their deals to market before the BAB program was retired. This supply-demand imbalance led to wider quality spreads and higher yields.

Demand is usually strong at the beginning of a new year, but retail investors continued to move away from municipal mutual funds in 2011. From mid-November, outflows persisted for 29 consecutive weeks, totaling \$35.1 billion before the trend finally broke in June. Weak demand has been counterbalanced by lower supply in 2011. According to Thomson Reuters, year-to-date through August, new issuance was down 38% compared to the same period last year. Issuers have been reluctant to bring new deals to the market due to higher interest rates, fiscal policy changes and a reduced need for municipal borrowing. In this positive technical environment, the S&P/Investortools Main Municipal Bond Index gained 4.22% for the second quarter of 2011, its best second-quarter performance since 1992, and municipals outperformed most other fixed income asset classes for the quarter.

On August 5, S&P downgraded the US credit rating from AAA to AA+, leading to the downgrade of 11,000 municipal issues directly linked to the US government debt rating. Nevertheless, the municipal market posted solid gains for the month of August, aided primarily by an exuberant Treasury market, severe volatility in US equities and continued supply constraint in the primary municipal market. For the month of August, the curve flattened due to outperformance in the long-end driven by demand from both traditional and non-traditional buyers.

Overall, the municipal yield curve steepened during the period from August 31, 2010, to August 31, 2011. As measured by Thomson Municipal Market Data, yields on AAA quality-rated 30-year municipals rose 22 basis points (bps) to 3.89%, while yields for 5-year maturities rallied by 17 bps to .89%, and 10-year maturities increased by 7 bps to 2.25%. With the exception of the 2- to 5-year range, the yield spread between maturities increased over the past year, with the greatest increase seen in the 5- to 30-year range, where the spread widened by 39 bps, while overall the slope between 2- and 30-year maturities increased by 27 bps to 3.59%.

The fundamental picture for municipalities is improving as most states began their new fiscal year with a balanced budget. Austerity is the general theme across the country, while a small number of states continue to rely on the kick the can approach, using aggressive revenue projections and accounting gimmicks to close their shortfalls. As long as economic growth stays positive, tax receipts for states should continue to rise and lead to better credit fundamentals. BlackRock maintains a constructive view of the municipal market, recognizing that careful credit research and security selection remain imperative amid uncertainty in the economic environment.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Index performance is shown for illustrative purposes only. You cannot invest directly in an index.

4

ANNUAL REPORT

BlackRock Municipal Bond Investment Trust

Trust Overview

BlackRock Municipal Bond Investment Trust s (BIE) (the Trust) investment objective is to provide current income exempt from regular federal income tax and Florida intangible personal property tax. The Trust seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing primarily in municipal bonds exempt from federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax). Under normal market conditions, the Trust invests at least 80% of its assets in municipal bonds that are investment grade quality at the time of investment. The Trust may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives. Due to the repeal of the Florida intangible personal property tax, the Board approved an amended policy in September 2008, allowing the Trust the flexibility to invest in municipal obligations regardless of geographical location.

No assurance can be given that the Trust s investment objective will be achieved.

Performance

For the 12 months ended August 31, 2011, the Trust returned (2.38)% based on market price and 1.29% based on net asset value (NAV.) For the same period, the closed-end Lipper General &Insured Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged) category posted an average return of (0.90)% based on market price and 2.36% based on NAV. All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends. The Trust moved from a premium to NAV to a discount by period end, which accounts for the difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV. The following discussion relates to performance based on NAV. Contributing positively to the Trust s performance was its exposure to spread sectors, including housing and health bonds, which provided a relatively high degree of incremental income in the low interest rate environment. The Trust s holdings of premium coupon bonds (6% or higher) and shorter-duration bonds (bonds with lower sensitivity to interest rate movements) performed well as long-term interest rates climbed toward the end of 2010 and into the early part of 2011. Conversely, the Trust s exposure to bonds with longer duration (greater sensitivity to interest rate movements) and bonds with longer-dated maturities detracted from performance as the municipal yield curve steepened over the 12-month period. US Treasury financial futures contracts used to hedge interest rate risk in the portfolio had a negative impact on performance.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

Trust Information

Symbol on New York Stock Exchange (NYSE)	BIE
Initial Offering Date	April 30, 2002
Yield on Closing Market Price as of August 31, 2011 (\$14.22) ¹	6.84%
Tax Equivalent Yield ²	10.52%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.0810
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.9720
Leverage as of August 31, 2011 ⁴	41%

- ¹ Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.
- 2 Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum federal tax rate of 35%.
- ³ The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.
- ⁴ Represents Auction Market Preferred Shares (AMPS) and tender option bond trusts (TOBs) as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Trust, including any assets attributable to AMPS and TOBs, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Trust, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 12.

The table below summarizes the changes in the Trust s market price and NAV per share:

	8/31/11	8/31/10	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$14.22	\$15.60	(8.85)%	\$15.76	\$12.14
Net Asset Value	\$14.67	\$15.51	(5.42)%	\$15.51	\$12.76
The following charts show the sector and credit quality allocated	ations of the Trust's long-	term investme	ents:		

The following charts show the sector and credit quality allocations of the Trust s long-term investments:

Sector Allocations

	8/31/11	8/31/10
Health	23%	22%
Transportation	21	18
Utilities	19	18
County/City/Special District/School District	17	19
Education	7	8
State	6	8
Housing	5	5
Tobacco	1	1
Corporate	1	1

Credit Quality Allocations⁵

	8/31/11	8/31/10
AAA/Aaa	10%	14%
AA/Aa	62	64
A	21	17
BBB/Baa	6	4
BB/Ba	1	
Not Rated		1

5 Using the higher of Standard & Poor s (S&P s) or Moody s Investors Service (Moody s) ratings.

ANNUAL REPORT

AUGUST 31, 2011

5

BlackRock Municipal Bond Trust

Trust Overview

BlackRock Municipal Bond Trust s (BBK) (the Trust) investment objective is to provide current income exempt from regular federal income tax. The Trust seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing primarily in municipal bonds exempt from regular federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax). The Trust invests, under normal market conditions, at least 80% of its assets in municipal bonds that are investment grade quality. The Trust may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

No assurance can be given that the Trust s investment objective will be achieved.

Performance

For the 12 months ended August 31, 2011, the Trust returned 1.38% based on market price and 2.02% based on NAV. For the same period, the closed-end Lipper General & Insured Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged) category posted an average return of (0.90)% based on market price and 2.36% based on NAV. All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends. The Trust s premium to NAV, which narrowed during the period, accounts for the difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV. The following discussion relates to performance based on NAV. The Trust s positive performance was derived mostly from its holdings in higher-yielding sectors including health, corporate/industrial development and housing bonds, which provided incremental income. The Trust also benefited from its exposure to lower-quality bonds, which, in addition to offering higher embedded yields, experienced some price appreciation due to spread compression during the period. The Trust was heavily invested in tax-backed credits and moderately invested in the education sector, both of which returned moderately positive performance. Over the period, the Trust maintained a slightly long duration bias and greater exposure to the long end of the yield curve. Although this positioning was favorable as the period drew to a close, it detracted from performance on the whole for the year. The Trust s allocation to Puerto Rico credits, which underperformed all other states and territories for the period, had a negative impact on returns. US Treasury financial futures contracts used to hedge interest rate risk in the portfolio had a negative impact on performance.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

Trust Information

Symbol on NYSE	BBK
Initial Offering Date	April 30, 2002
Yield on Closing Market Price as of August 31, 2011 (\$14.86) ¹	7.15%
Tax Equivalent Yield ²	11.00%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.0885
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ³	\$1.0620
Leverage as of August 31, 2011 ⁴	37%

- ¹ Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.
- 2 Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum federal tax rate of 35%.
- ³ The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.
- ⁴ Represents AMPS and TOBs as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Trust, including any assets attributable to AMPS and TOBs, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Trust, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 12.

The table below summarizes the changes in the Trust s market price and NAV per share:

	5	8/31/11	8	/31/10	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$	14.86	\$	15.79	(5.89)%	\$16.00	\$12.20
Net Asset Value	\$	14.48	\$	15.29	(5.30)%	\$15.30	\$ 12.70
The following charts show the sector and credit quality allocations of the Trus	st s	long-tern	n in	vestments	:		

Sector Allocations

	8/31/11	8/31/10
Health	21%	23%
State	14	15
Housing	14	14
County/City/Special District/School District	12	13
Transportation	10	9
Education	10	10
Corporate	10	8
Utilities	7	5
Tobacco	2	3

Credit Quality Allocations⁵

6

	8/31/11	8/31/10
AAA/Aaa	11%	26%
AA/Aa	35	20
A	18	22
BBB/Baa	22	20
BB/Ba	1	1
В	6	3
CCC/Caa	1	1
CCC/Caa Not Rated ⁶	6	7

⁵ Using the higher of S&P s or Moody s ratings.

⁶ The investment advisor has deemed certain of these non-rated securities to be of investment grade quality. As of August 31, 2011 and August 31, 2010, the market value of these securities was \$4,646,558, representing 2%, and \$6,207,616, representing 3%, respectively, of the Trust s long-term investments.

ANNUAL AUGUST 31, 2011 REPORT

BlackRock Municipal Income Investment Quality Trust

Trust Overview

Effective November 9, 2010, BlackRock Insured Municipal Income Investment Trust changed its name to BlackRock Municipal Income Investment Quality Trust.

BlackRock Municipal Income Investment Quality Trust s (BAF) (the Trust) investment objective is to provide current income exempt from federal income tax, including the alternative minimum tax and Florida intangible property tax. The Trust seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing, under normal circumstances, at least 80% of its assets in municipal bonds exempt from federal income taxes, including the alternative minimum tax. The Trust also invests primarily in municipal bonds that are investment grade quality at the time of investment. The Trust may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives. Due to the repeal of the Florida intangible personal property tax, the Board approved an amended policy in September 2008, allowing the Trust the flexibility to invest in municipal obligations regardless of geographical location.

No assurance can be given that the Trust s investment objective will be achieved.

Performance

Effective November 9, 2010, the Trust s investment policy was changed by the removal of the insurance investment policy that required at least 80% of its assets to be invested in insured municipal securities. Accordingly, the Trust was moved from the Lipper Insured Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged) category. During the period, Lipper combined these categories into one General & Insured Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged) category. For the 12 months ended August 31, 2011, the Trust returned (5.01)% based on market price and 2.62% based on NAV. For the same period, the closed-end Lipper General & Insured Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged) category posted an average return of (0.90)% based on market price and 2.36% based on NAV. All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends. The Trust moved from a premium to NAV to a discount by period end, which accounts for the difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV. The following discussion relates to performance based on NAV. Contributing positively to the Trust s performance was its exposure to spread sectors, including housing and health bonds, which provided a relatively high degree of incremental income in the low interest rate environment. The Trust s holdings of premium coupon bonds (6% or higher) and shorter-duration bonds (bonds with lower sensitivity to interest rate movements) performed well as long-term interest rates climbed toward the end of 2010 and into the early part of 2011. Conversely, the Trust s exposure to bonds with longer duration (greater sensitivity to interest rate movements) and bonds with longer-dated maturities detracted from performance as the municipal yield curve steepened over the 12-month period. US Treasury financial futures contracts used to hedge interest rate risk in the portfolio had a negative impact on performance.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

Trust Information

Symbol on NYSE	BAF
Initial Offering Date	October 31, 2002
Yield on Closing Market Price as of August 31, 2011 (\$13.92) ¹	6.42%
Tax Equivalent Yield ²	9.88%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.0745
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.8940
Leverage as of August 31, 2011 ⁴	34%

¹ Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.

 2 Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum federal tax rate of 35%.

- ³ The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.
- ⁴ Represents AMPS and TOBs as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Trust, including any assets attributable to AMPS and TOBs, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Trust, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 12.

The table below summarizes the changes in the Trust s market price and NAV per share:

	8/31/11	8/31/10	Change High	Low
Market Price	\$ 13.92	\$ 15.64	(11.00)% \$15.92	\$11.92
Net Asset Value	\$ 14.50	\$ 15.08	(3.85)% \$15.08	\$12.76
The following charts show the sector and credit quality allocations of the	ne Trust s lo	ong-term invest	ments:	

Sector Allocations

Sector Anotations		
	8/31/11	8/31/10
County/City/Special District/School District	34%	36%
Utilities	22	27
Transportation	16	15
Health	9	10
Education	9	
State	8	11
Housing	1	1
Tobacco	1	

Credit Quality Allocations⁵

AAA/Aaa 14%	500
AAA/Ada 14/	59%
AA/Aa 70	25
A 12	13
BBB/Baa 4	
Not Rated	36

⁵ Using the higher of S&P s or Moody s ratings.

⁶ The investment advisor has deemed certain of these non-rated securities to be of investment grade quality. As of August 31, 2010, the market value of these securities was \$5,171,100, representing 3% of the Trust s long-term investments.

ANNUAL REPORT

BlackRock Municipal Income Quality Trust

Trust Overview

Effective November 9, 2010, BlackRock Insured Municipal Income Trust changed its name to BlackRock Municipal Income Quality Trust.

BlackRock Municipal Income Quality Trust s (BYM) (the Trust) investment objective is to provide current income exempt from federal income taxes, including the alternative minimum tax. The Trust seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing, under normal circumstances, at least 80% of its assets in municipal bonds exempt from federal income taxes, including the alternative minimum tax. The Trust also invests primarily in municipal bonds that are investment grade quality at the time of investment. The Trust may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

No assurance can be given that the Trust s investment objective will be achieved.

Performance

Effective November 9, 2010, the Trust s investment policy was changed by the removal of the insurance investment policy that required at least 80% of its assets to be invested in insured municipal securities. Accordingly, the Trust was moved from the Lipper Insured Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged) category. During the period, Lipper combined these categories into one General & Insured Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged) category. For the 12 months ended August 31, 2011, the Trust returned (2.79)% based on market price and 3.09% based on NAV. For the same period, the closed-end Lipper General & Insured Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged) category posted an average return of (0.90)% based on market price and 2.36% based on NAV. All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends. The Trust moved from a premium to NAV to a discount by period end, which accounts for the difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV. The following discussion relates to performance based on NAV. The Trust s exposure to bonds with shorter maturities and shorter durations (lower sensitivity to interest rate movements) contributed positively to performance as yields on the short and intermediate parts of the municipal curve increased to a smaller degree than on the long end (bond prices fall as yields rise). Holdings of premium coupon bonds, which tend to be less sensitive to changes in interest rates, also had a positive impact. Conversely, the Trust s exposure to longer maturity bonds had a negative impact as the long end of the yield curve steepened during the period (i.e., long-term interest rates increased more than short and intermediate rates). Holdings of tobacco issues also detracted as the sector lagged the broader market. US Treasury financial futures contracts used to hedge interest rate risk in the portfolio had a negative impact on performance.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

Trust Information

Symbol on NYSE	BYM
Initial Offering Date	October 31, 2002
Yield on Closing Market Price as of August 31, 2011 (\$13.85) ¹	6.67%
Tax Equivalent Yield ²	10.26%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.0770
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.9240
Leverage as of August 31, 2011 ⁴	38%

¹ Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.

- 2 Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum federal tax rate of 35%.
- ³ The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.

⁴ Represents AMPS and TOBs as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Trust, including any assets attributable to AMPS and TOBs, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Trust, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 12.

The table below summarizes the changes in the Trust s market price and NAV per share:

	8/31/11	8/31/10	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$ 13.85	\$15.26	(9.24)%	\$ 15.42	\$11.71
Net Asset Value	\$ 14.09	\$ 14.64	(3.76)%	\$ 14.69	\$ 12.20
The following charts show the sector and credit quality allocations of the Trust s lon	ng-term inves	tments:			

Sector Allocations

	8/31/11	8/31/10
Transportation	24%	21%
Utilities	21	24
County/City/Special District/School District	18	21
State	14	15
Health	8	7
Tobacco	6	6
Education	6	3
Corporate	2	2
Housing	1	1

Credit Quality Allocations⁵

	8/31/11	8/31/10
AAA/Aaa	21%	57%
AA/Aa	58	24
A	13	12
BBB/Baa	8	5
Not Rated		26

- ⁵ Using the higher of S&P s or Moody s ratings
- ⁶ The investment advisor has deemed certain of these non-rated securities to be of investment grade quality. As of August 31, 2010, the market value of these securities was \$10,513,600, representing 2% of the Trust s long-term investments.

8

ANNUAL REPORT

BlackRock Municipal Income Trust II

Trust Overview

BlackRock Municipal Income Trust II s (BLE) (the Trust) investment objective is to provide current income exempt from regular federal income tax. The Trust seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing primarily in municipal bonds exempt from federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax). The Trust invests, under normal market conditions, at least 80% of its assets in municipal bonds that are investment grade quality at the time of investment. The Trust may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

No assurance can be given that the Trust s investment objective will be achieved.

Performance

For the 12 months ended August 31, 2011, the Trust returned (0.07)% based on market price and 2.70% based on NAV. For the same period, the closed-end Lipper General & Insured Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged) category posted an average return of (0.90)% based on market price and 2.36% based on NAV. All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends. The Trust s premium to NAV, which narrowed during the period, accounts for the difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV. The following discussion relates to performance based on NAV. Security selection contributed positively to performance, particularly among housing, tax-backed, tobacco, transportation and health credits. An emphasis on industrial development bonds had a positive impact as these issues outperformed the broader municipal market during most of the period. In addition, the Trust s holdings generated a high distribution yield, which over the course of the year had a meaningful impact on returns. Detracting from performance was the Trust s large exposure to lower-quality bonds when credits widened toward the end of 2010. Additionally, the Trust s long portfolio duration and yield curve positioning had a negative impact as long rates increased and the yield curve steepened over the period. US Treasury financial futures contracts used to hedge interest rate risk in the portfolio had a negative impact on performance.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

Trust Information

Symbol on NYSE Amex	BLE
Initial Offering Date	July 30, 2002
Yield on Closing Market Price as of August 31, 2011 (\$14.13) ¹	7.09%
Tax Equivalent Yield ²	10.91%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.0835
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ³	\$1.0020
Leverage as of August 31, 2011 ⁴	37%

- ¹ Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.
- 2 Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum federal tax rate of 35%.
- ³ The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.
- ⁴ Represents AMPS and TOBs as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Trust, including any assets attributable to AMPS and TOBs, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Trust, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 12.

The table below summarizes the changes in the Trust s market price and NAV per share:

	8/31/11	8/31/10	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$ 14.13	\$15.22	(7.16)%	\$ 15.35	\$ 11.87
Net Asset Value	\$ 13.96	\$ 14.63	(4.58)%	\$ 14.63	\$ 12.41
The following charts show the sector and credit quality allocations of the Trust s lo	ng-term inves	tments:			

Sector Allocations

	8/31/11	8/31/10
Health	21%	19%
State	16	17
Transportation	13	11
Utilities	13	13
Corporate	10	11
County/City/Special District	10	12
Education	8	7
Housing	5	6
Tobacco	4	4

Credit Quality Allocations⁵

	8/31/11	8/31/10
AAA/Aaa	10%	18%
AA/Aa	32	21
A	26	30
BBB/Baa	16	17
BB/Ba	5	1
В	4	6
CCC/Caa		1
CCC/Caa Not Rated ⁶	7	6

⁵ Using the higher of S&P s or Moody s ratings.

⁶ The investment advisor has deemed certain of these non-rated securities to be of investment grade quality. As of August 31, 2011 and August 31, 2010, the market value of these securities was \$11,677,703, representing 2%, and \$13,839,185, representing 3%, respectively, of the Trust s long-term investments.

ANNUAL REPORT

AUGUST 31, 2011

9

BlackRock MuniHoldings Investment Quality Fund

Trust Overview

Effective November 9, 2010, BlackRock MuniHoldings Insured Investment Fund changed its name to BlackRock MuniHoldings Investment Quality Fund.

BlackRock MuniHoldings Investment Quality Fund s (MFL) (the Trust) investment objective is to provide shareholders with current income exempt from federal income tax and to provide shareholders with the opportunity to own shares the value of which is exempt from Florida intangible personal property tax. The Trust seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing primarily in long-term, investment grade municipal obligations exempt from federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax). Under normal market conditions, the Trust invests at least 80% of its assets in municipal obligations with remaining maturities of one year or more. The Trust may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives. Due to the repeal of the Florida intangible personal property tax, the Board approved an amended policy in September 2008, allowing the Trust the flexibility to invest in municipal obligations regardless of geographical location.

No assurance can be given that the Trust s investment objective will be achieved.

Performance

Effective November 9, 2010, the Trust s investment policy was changed by the removal of the insurance investment policy that required at least 80% of its assets to be invested in insured municipal securities. Accordingly, the Trust was moved from the Lipper Insured Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged) category. During the period, Lipper combined these categories into one General & Insured Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged) category. For the 12 months ended August 31, 2011, the Trust returned 1.12% based on market price and 2.01% based on NAV. For the same period, the closed-end Lipper General & Insured Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged) category posted an average return of (0.90)% based on market price and 2.36% based on NAV. All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends. The Trust s discount to NAV, which widened during the period, accounts for the difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV. The following discussion relates to performance based on NAV. Contributing positively to the Trust s performance was its exposure to spread sectors, including housing and health bonds, which provided a relatively high degree of incremental income in the low interest rate environment. The Trust s holdings of premium coupon bonds (6% or higher) and shorter-duration bonds (bonds with lower sensitivity to interest rate movements) performed well as long-term interest rates climbed toward the end of 2010 and into the early part of 2011. Conversely, the Trust s exposure to bonds with longer duration (greater sensitivity to interest rate movements) and bonds with longer-dated maturities detracted from performance as the municipal yield curve steepened over the 12-month period. US Treasury financial futures contracts used to hedge interest rate risk in the portfolio had a negative impact on performance.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

Trust Information

Symbol on NYSE	MFL
Initial Offering Date	September 26, 1997
Yield on Closing Market Price as of August 31, 2011 (\$13.84) ¹	6.63%
Tax Equivalent Yield ²	10.20%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.0765
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.9180
Leverage as of August 31, 2011 ⁴	40%

¹ Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.

- 2 Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum federal tax rate of 35%.
- ³ The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.
- ⁴ Represents Variable Rate Demand Preferred Shares (VRDP Shares) and TOBs as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Trust, including any assets attributable to VRDP Shares and TOBs, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Trust, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 12.

The table below summarizes the changes in the Trust s market price and NAV per share:

	8/31/11	8/31/10	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$13.84	\$ 14.65	(5.53)%	\$ 14.87	\$ 11.68
Net Asset Value	\$ 14.00	\$ 14.69	(4.70)%	\$ 14.69	\$ 12.23
The following charts show the sector and credit quality allocations of the Trust slong-term investments:					

Sector Allocations

	8/31/11	8/31/10
Utilities	25%	26%
Transportation	25	27
County/City/Special District/School District	18	18
Health	11	11
State	10	12
Education	6	2
Housing	4	4
Tobacco	1	

Credit Quality Allocations⁵

	8/31/11	8/31/10
AAA/Aaa	12%	64%
AA/Aa	72	24
A	12	11
BBB/Baa	2	
Not Rated ⁶	2	1

⁵ Using the higher of S&P s or Moody s ratings.

⁶ The investment advisor has deemed certain of these non-rated securities to be of investment grade quality. As of August 31, 2011 and August 31, 2010, the market value of these securities was \$3,979,631, representing less than 1%, and \$5,793,997, representing 1%, respectively, of the Trust s long-term investments.

ANNUAL REPORT

BlackRock MuniVest Fund, Inc.

Trust Overview

BlackRock MuniVest Fund, Inc. s (MVF) (the Trust) investment objective is to provide shareholders with as high a level of current income exempt from federal income taxes as is consistent with its investment policies and prudent investment management. The Trust seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing at least 80% of its assets in municipal obligations exempt from federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax). The Trust invests, under normal market conditions, primarily in long term municipal obligations rated investment grade at the time of investment and invests primarily in long term municipal obligations with maturities of more than ten years at the time of investment. The Trust may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

No assurance can be given that the Trust s investment objective will be achieved.

Performance

For the 12 months ended August 31, 2011, the Trust returned 1.11% based on market price and 2.90% based on NAV. For the same period, the closed-end Lipper General & Insured Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged) category posted an average return of (0.90)% based on market price and 2.36% based on NAV. All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends. The Trust s premium to NAV, which narrowed during the period, accounts for the difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV. The following discussion relates to performance based on NAV. The Trust benefited from its higher coupon bond holdings, which performed well in the rising interest rate environment. In addition, the Trust sought investments with valuations that remain attractive relative to their level of credit risk. However, the Trust s overall long duration stance (greater sensitivity to interest rates) detracted from performance as the municipal market saw long-term interest rates rise and the yield curve steepen over the 12-month period due to municipal credit concerns and the expiration of the BAB program. During the period, the Trust increased its cash position for the purpose of improving portfolio diversification. The elevated cash balance did not have a material impact on performance.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

Trust Information

Symbol on NYSE Amex	MVF
Initial Offering Date	September 29, 1988
Yield on Closing Market Price as of August 31, 2011 (\$9.73) ¹	7.28%
Tax Equivalent Yield ²	11.20%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.0590
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.7080
Leverage as of August 31, 2011 ⁴	41%

- ¹ Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.
- 2 Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum federal tax rate of 35%.
- ³ The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.
- ⁴ Represents AMPS and TOBs as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Trust, including any assets attributable to AMPS and TOBs, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Trust, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 12.

The table below summarizes the changes in the Trust s market price and NAV per share:

	8/31/11	8/31/10	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$ 9.73	\$ 10.38	(6.26)%	\$ 10.45	\$ 8.53
Net Asset Value	\$ 9.55	\$ 10.01	(4.60)%	\$ 10.03	\$ 8.45
The following charts show the sector and credit quality allocations of the Trust s lon	ng-term investi	nents:			

Sector Allocations

	8/31/11	8/31/10
Health	23%	22%
Transportation	17	13
Corporate	13	17
Utilities	12	12
County/City/Special District/School District	9	10
Education	9	7
State	8	8
Housing	7	7
Tobacco	2	4

Credit Quality Allocations⁵

	8/31/11	8/31/10
AAA/Aaa	12%	23%
AA/Aa	46	35
A	22	23
BBB/Baa	15	15
BB/Ba	1	
В	1	1
Not Rated ⁶	3	3

⁵ Using the higher of S&P s or Moody s ratings

⁶ The investment advisor has deemed certain of these non-rated securities to be of investment grade quality. As of August 31, 2011 and August 31, 2010, the market value of these securities was \$22,724,541 and \$21,938,423, each representing 2%, respectively, of the Trust s long-term investments.

ANNUAL REPORT

AUGUST 31, 2011 11

The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging

The Trusts may utilize leverage to seek to enhance the yield and NAV of their common shares (Common Shares). However, these objectives cannot be achieved in all interest rate environments.

To leverage, the Trusts issue AMPS or VRDP Shares (collectively, Preferred Shares), which pay dividends at prevailing short-term interest rates, and invest the proceeds in long-term municipal bonds. In general, the concept of leveraging is based on the premise that the financing cost of assets to be obtained from leverage, which will be based on short-term interest rates, will normally be lower than the income earned by each Trust on its longer-term portfolio investments. To the extent that the total assets of each Trust (including the assets obtained from leverage) are invested in higher-yielding portfolio investments, each Trust shareholders will benefit from the incremental net income.

To illustrate these concepts, assume a Trust s Common Shares capitalization is \$100 million and it issues Preferred Shares for an additional \$50 million, creating a total value of \$150 million available for investment in long-term municipal bonds. If prevailing short-term interest rates are 3% and long-term interest rates are 6%, the yield curve has a strongly positive slope. In this case, the Trust pays dividends on the \$50 million of Preferred Shares based on the lower short-term interest rates. At the same time, the securities purchased by the Trust with assets received from Preferred Shares issuance earn income based on long-term interest rates. In this case, the dividends paid to holders of Preferred Shares (Preferred Shareholders) are significantly lower than the income earned on the Trust s long-term investments, and therefore the Common Shareholders are the beneficiaries of the incremental net income.

If short-term interest rates rise, narrowing the differential between short-term and long-term interest rates, the incremental net income pickup will be reduced or eliminated completely. Furthermore, if prevailing short-term interest rates rise above long-term interest rates, the yield curve has a negative slope. In this case, the Trust pays higher short-term interest rates whereas the Trust s total portfolio earns income based on lower long-term interest rates.

Furthermore, the value of the Trusts portfolio investments generally varies inversely with the direction of long-term interest rates, although other factors can influence the value of portfolio investments. In contrast, the redemption value of the Trusts Preferred Shares does not fluctuate in relation to interest rates. As a result, changes in interest rates can influence the Trusts NAV positively or negatively in addition to the impact on Trust performance from leverage from Preferred Shares discussed above.

The Trusts may also leverage their assets through the use of TOBs, as described in Note 1 of the Notes to Financial Statements. TOB investments generally will provide the Trusts with economic benefits in periods of declining short-term interest rates, but expose the Trusts to risks during periods of rising short-term interest rates similar to those associated with Preferred Shares issued by the Trusts, as described above. Additionally, fluctuations in the market value of municipal bonds deposited into the TOB trust may adversely affect each Trust s NAV per share.

The use of leverage may enhance opportunities for increased income to the Trusts and Common Shareholders, but as described above, it also creates risks as short- or long-term interest rates fluctuate. Leverage also will generally cause greater changes in the Trusts NAVs, market prices and dividend rates than comparable portfolios without leverage. If the income derived from securities purchased with assets received from leverage exceeds the cost of leverage, the Trusts net income will be greater than if leverage had not been used. Conversely, if the income from the securities purchased is not sufficient to cover the cost of leverage, each Trust s net income will be reduced. Each Trust may be required to sell portfolio securities at inopportune times or at distressed values in order to comply with regulatory requirements applicable to the use of leverage or as required by the terms of leverage instruments, which may cause a Trust to incur losses. The use of leverage may limit each Trust s ability to invest in certain types of securities or use certain types of hedging strategies, such as in the case of certain restrictions imposed by ratings agencies that rate the Preferred Shares issued by the Trusts. Each Trust will incur expenses in connection with the use of leverage, all of which are borne by the Common Shareholders and may reduce income to the Common Shares.

Under the Investment Company Act of 1940, the Trusts are permitted to issue Preferred Shares in an amount of up to 50% of their total managed assets at the time of issuance. Under normal circumstances, each Trust anticipates that the total economic leverage from Preferred Shares and/or TOBs will not exceed 50% of its total managed assets at the time such leverage is incurred. As of August 31, 2011, the Trusts had economic leverage from Preferred Shares and/or TOBs as a percentage of their total managed assets as follows:

Percent of
Leverage
Leverage 41%

BBK	37%
BBK BAF	34%
BYM	38%
BLE MFL MVF	37%
MFL	40%
MVF	41%

Derivative Financial Instruments

The Trusts may invest in various derivative financial instruments, including financial futures contracts, as specified in Note 2 of the Notes to Financial Statements, which may constitute forms of economic leverage. Such derivative financial instruments are used to obtain exposure to a market without owning or taking physical custody of securities or to hedge market and/or interest rate risks. Derivative financial instruments involve risks, including the imperfect correlation between the value of a derivative financial instrument and the underlying asset, possible default of the counterparty to the transaction or illiquidity of the derivative financial instrument. The Trusts ability to use a derivative financial instrument successfully depends on the investment advisor s ability to predict pertinent market movements accurately, which cannot be assured. The use of derivative financial instruments may result in losses greater than if they had not been used, may require a Trust to sell or purchase portfolio investments at inopportune times or for distressed values, may limit the amount of appreciation a Trust can realize on an investment, may result in lower dividends paid to shareholders or may cause a Trust to hold an investment that it might otherwise sell. The Trusts investments in these instruments are discussed in detail in the Notes to Financial Statements.

12

ANNUAL REPORT

Schedule of Investments August 31, 2011

BlackRock Municipal Bond Investment Trust (BIE) (Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

		Value
Alaska 0.2%		
Northern Tobacco Securitization Corp., RB, Asset-Backed		
Series A, 5.00%, 6/01/46	\$ 180	\$ 111,834
California 14.0%		
Bay Area Toll Authority, Refunding RB, San Francisco		
Bay Area, Series F-1, 5.63%, 4/01/44	720	776,563
California Educational Facilities Authority, RB,		
University of Southern California,		
Series A, 5.25%, 10/01/38	700	750,365
California Health Facilities Financing Authority,		
Refunding RB, Catholic Healthcare West,		
Series A, 6.00%, 7/01/39	120	126,814
Cucamonga Valley Water District, RB,		
Refunding Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 9/01/26	785	847,164
Grossmont Union High School District, GO,		
Election of 2008, Series B, 4.75%, 8/01/45	950	929,584
Los Angeles Department of Water & Power, RB,		
Power System, Sub-Series A-1, 5.25%, 7/01/38	1,660	1,753,425
San Diego Regional Building Authority California, RB,		
County Operations Center & Annex,		
Series A, 5.38%, 2/01/36	850	899,725
State of California, GO, Various Purpose, 6.00%, 3/01/33	685	772,899
		6,856,539
Colorado 1.2%		
Colorado Health Facilities Authority, Refunding RB,		
Catholic Healthcare, Series A, 5.50%, 7/01/34	580	603,902
Delaware 1.3%		
County of Sussex Delaware, RB, NRG Energy, Inc.,		
Indian River Project, 6.00%, 10/01/40	655	649,354
District of Columbia 1.4%		
District of Columbia Water & Sewer Authority, RB,		
Series A, 5.25%, 10/01/29	640	697,965
Florida 0.2%		
County of St. John s Florida, RB, CAB (AMBAC),		T C 0 2 -
5.40%, 6/01/32 (a)	240	79,022
Georgia 2.4%		
Municipal Electric Authority of Georgia, Refunding RB,		
Project One, Sub-Series D, 6.00%, 1/01/23	1,000	1,150,060

Municipal Bonds Illinois 12.0%	Par (000)		Value
City of Chicago Illinois, Refunding RB, General,			
Third Lien, Series C, 6.50%, 1/01/41	\$ 1,590	\$	1,790,022
County of Cook Illinois, GO, Refunding, Series A,			
5.25%, 11/15/33	900		951,750
Illinois Finance Authority, RB, Navistar International,			
Recovery Zone, 6.50%, 10/15/40	270		270,526
Illinois Finance Authority, Refunding RB:			

Carle Foundation, Series A 6.00%, 8/15/41	750	763,470
Northwestern Memorial Hospital 6.00%, 8/15/39	1,000	1,077,870
OSF Healthcare System 6.00%, 5/15/39	520	526,074
Railsplitter Tobacco Settlement Authority, RB:		
5.50%, 6/01/23	365	384,100
6.00%, 6/01/28	105	107,321
		5,871,133
Indiana 3.1%		
Indiana Finance Authority, Refunding RB, 5.25%,		
10/01/38	220	228,419
Indiana Municipal Power Agency, RB, Series B, 6.00%,		
1/01/39	1,190	1,285,700
		1,514,119
Iowa 0.2%		
Iowa Tobacco Settlement Authority, RB, Asset-Backed,		
Series C, 5.63%, 6/01/46	140	98,710
Kansas 2.0%		
Kansas Development Finance Authority, Refunding RB,		
Adventist Health, 5.50%, 11/15/29	900	976,536
Kentucky 3.9%		
Kentucky Economic Development Finance Authority,		
Refunding RB, Owensboro Medical Health System,		
Series A, 6.38%, 6/01/40	350	354,753
Louisville & Jefferson County Metropolitan Government,		
Refunding RB, Jewish Hospital & St. Mary s HealthCare,		
6.13%, 2/01/37	675	681,541
Louisville & Jefferson County Metropolitan Government		,
Parking Authority, RB, Series A, 5.75%, 12/01/34	800	879,208
		1,915,502
Louisiana 0.8%		, ,
Louisiana Local Government Environmental Facilities &		
Community Development Authority, RB, Westlake		
Chemical Corp., Series A-1, 6.50%, 11/01/35	380	387,839
Maine 1.5%		,
Maine Health & Higher Educational Facilities Authority,		
RB, Maine General Medical Center, 7.50%, 7/01/32	675	727,461
,	0.0	, . 01

Portfolio Abbreviations

To simplify the listings of portfolio holdings in the Schedules of Investments, the names and descriptions of many of the securities have been abbreviated according to the following list:

ACA AGC	American Capital Access Corp.
	Assured Guaranty Corp.
AGM	Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp.
AMBAC	American Municipal Bond Assurance Corp.
AMT	Alternative Minimum Tax (subject to)
ARB	Airport Revenue Bonds
BHAC	Berkshire Hathaway Assurance Corp.
CAB	Capital Appreciation Bonds
CIFG	CDC IXIS Financial Guaranty
СОР	Certificates of Participation
EDA	Economic Development Authority
EDC	Economic Development Corp.
ERB	Education Revenue Bonds
FHA	Federal Housing Administration
GARB	General Airport Revenue Bonds
GO	General Obligation Bonds
HFA	Housing Finance Agency
HRB	Housing Revenue Bonds

IDA	Industrial Development Authority
IDB	Industrial Development Board
ISD	Independent School District
MRB	Mortgage Revenue Bonds
NPFGC	National Public Finance Guarantee Corp.
PILOT	Payment in Lieu of Taxes
PSF-GTD	Permanent School Fund Guaranteed
Q-SBLF	Qualified School Bond Loan Fund
Radian	Radian Group, Inc.
RB	Revenue Bonds
SAN	State Aid Notes
S/F	Single-Family
VRDN	Variable Rate Demand Notes

See Notes to Financial Statements.

ANNUAL REPORT

AUGUST 31, 2011

13

Schedule of Investments (continued)

BlackRock Municipal Bond Investment Trust (BIE) (Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

Maryland EDC, Refunding RB, CNX Marine Terminals, Inc. 575% (20172) \$ 525 \$ 515.996 Massachusetts Devlopment Finance Agency, Refunding RB, Pratners Beathcare System, Series B. 525%, 701/29 .000 .000.570 Massachusetts Health & Educational Facilities Authority, Refunding RB, Partners Healthcare System, Series B. 525%, 701/29 .000 1.0000 Massachusetts State College Building Authority, RB, Series S. 525%, 701/29 .000 1.0000 1.0000,570 Massachusetts State College Building Authority, RB, Series S. 525%, 701/29 .200 .269.233 Michigan A.3%	Municipal Bonds	Par (000)	Value
Inc. 5.75% - 90/1/25 \$ 515.96 \$ 515.96 Massachusetts Bevelopment Finance Agency. Refunding RB. Trustees of Deerfield Academy, 5.00%, 10/01/40 375 407,482 Refunding RB, Partners Health Are Educational Facilities Authority, Refunding RB, Partners Healthcare System, 1.000 71000 Series A. 5.50%, 500139 1.000 1.000,570 Massachusetts Health Are Educational Facilities Authority, RB, 260 2.029,233 Michigan 4.3% Kalamazoe Hospital Finance Authority, Refunding RB, 1.677,285 441,987 Lansing Board of Water & Light, RB, Series A, 5.50%, 701/41 485 525,973 Michigan 4.3% 445 441,987 2.00 534,560 Ryal Oak Hospital Finance Authority, Refunding RB, 500 534,560 534,560 Ryal Oak Hospital Finance Authority Michigan, 2.117,396 301,020 Facilities Program, Series I, 6.00%, 10/15/38 500 534,560 2.117,396 Multi-State 6.4% 2.000 3.301,020 3.301,020 1.012,850 Vity of Lav Vegas Nevada, GO, Limited Tax, 2.000 3.301,020 1.02,850 Neval Deerge 4.5% <t< td=""><td>Maryland 1.1%</td><td></td><td></td></t<>	Maryland 1.1%		
Massachusetts 3.4% 375 407,482 Reinding RB, Trustees of Deerfield Academy, 5.00%, 10/01/40 375 407,482 Massachusetts Bealth & Educational Facilities Authority, RE status Is Reinding RB, Partners Healthcare System, Series 8, 5.53%, 701/29 1,000 1,000,570 Massachusetts State College Building Authority, RB, Series 8, 5.53%, 701/29 260 269,233 Michigan 4.3% 250 269,233 Massachusetts State College Building Authority, RE 445 441,987 Lamsing Board of Water & Light, RB, Series A, 5.50%, 71/15/36 445 441,987 Lamsing Board of Water & Light, RB, Series A, 5.50%, 71/15/36 500 534,560 Kriding RB, William Beaumont Hospital, 8.25%, 90/179 530 615,076 Multi-State 6.8% 2,117,596 2,017,396 Centerine Equity Issuer Trast, 7,20%, 11/15/52 (b)(c) 3,000 3,301,020 Nevada 8.2% 1,000 1,102,850 Courty of Law Nevada, RB: 1,300 1,89,755 Motor Vehicle Fuel Tax, 5,00%, 7/01/28 1,310 1,89,755 Nev Jerges Movada, GO, Limited Tax, Creet, 6,00%, 4/01/34 1,000 1,102,850 Courty of Law Nevada, RB:		*	
Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, 375 407,482 Refunding RB, Trustees of Deerfield Academy, 5.00%, 375 407,482 Massachusetts Health & Educational Facilities Authority, 8 8 Refunding RB, Partners Healtharea System, 1,000 1,000,570 Series S, 5.50%, 701/29 1,000 1,000,570 Massachusetts Stute College Building Authority, RB, 6 6 Series A, 5.50%, 501/39 250 269,233 Massachusetts Stute College Building Authority, Refunding RB, 8 8 Bronson Methodist Hospital, 5.50%, 5/15/36 445 441,987 Lansing Board of Water & Light, RB, Series A, 5.50%, 7/01/41 485 525,973 Michigan State Building Authority, Refunding RB, 7 7 Facilities Program, Series I, Colow, 10/15/38 500 534,560 Refunding RB, William Beaumont Hospital, 8.25%, 9 500 3,000 3,001,200 9/01/39 500 3,000 3,001,200 1,102,850 County of Clark Nevada, RB: 1,000 1,102,850 1,100 1,102,850 County of Clark Nevada, RB: 1		\$ 525	\$ 515,996
Refunding RB, Trustees of Deerfield Academy, 5.00%, 375 407,482 Massachusetts Health & Educational Facilities Authority, 375 407,482 Refunding RB, Partners Healtheare System, 500 1,000,570 Massachusetts State College Building Authority, RB, 250 269,233 reires A, 5.50%, 500/39 250 269,233 Richigen A.3% 445 441,987 Kalamazoo Hospital Finance Authority, Refunding RB, 485 525,973 Wichigen State Building Authority, Refunding RB, 485 525,973 Wichigen State Building Authority, Refunding RB, 500 534,560 Royal Oak Hospital Finance Authority Michigan, 826 615,076 World State Building Authority Michigan, 826 615,076 World State Building Authority Michigan, 826 615,076 World State			
100/140			
Massechusetts Health & Educational Pacifities Authority, Refunding RB, Partners Healthcare System, Series B, 5.25%, 7/01/29 1.000 Massachusetts State College Building Authority, RB, Series A, 5.50%, 5/01/39 250 Ze92,233 Methigan 4.3% Kalamazoo Hospital Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Bronson Methodist Hospital, 550%, 510/36 Lansing Board of Water & Light, RB, Series A, 5.50%, 7/01/41 485 Michigan State Building Authority, Refunding RB, Facilities Program, Series I, 6.00%, 10/15/38 500 Royal Oak Hospital Finance Authority (hichigan, Refunding RB, William Beaumont Hospital, 8.25%, 901 9/01/39 530 615.076 Clitty of Las Vegas Nevada, GO, Limited Tax, 20 Performing Arts Center, 6.00%, 4/01/34 1.000 1.102.850 County of Clark Nevada, RB. 1.100 1.102.850 Neot Vehicle Fuel Tax 5.00%, 7/01/28 1.130 1.189.755 Series B. 5.75%, 7/01/42 1.630 1.727.164 Neot Vehicle Fuel Tax 5.00%, 12/15/29 750 807.952 New Jersey AS% 2.217.164 2.217.164		275	407 402
Refunding RB, Partners Healthcare System, 1.000 1.000,570 Series B, 5.25%, 7/01/29 20 269,233 Michigan 4.3% 1.677,285 Kalamazo Hospital Finance Authority, Refunding RB, 1.677,285 Bronson Methodist Hospital, 5.50%, 5/15/36 445 441,987 Lansing Board of Water & Light, RB, Series A, 5.50%, 7/01/28 500 534,550 Roiding Authority, Refunding RB, 500%, 5/15/36 445 525,573 Michigan State Building Authority, Refunding RB, 500 534,550 Refunding RB, William Beaumont Hospital, 8.25%, 900139 500 534,550 Quildies C.8% 2,117,596 2,117,596 Multi-State 6.8% 2,117,596 3,000 3,301,020 Nevada 8.27 3,000 3,301,020 1,002,850 County of Clark Nevada, RB: 1,000 1,102,850 2,117,396 Motor Vehicle Fuel Tax, 5,00%, 7/01/28 1,130 1,189,755 5eries 8, 5,75%, 7/01/28 4,019,769 New Jersey EDA, Refunding RB, School Facilities Construction, Series AA, 5,50%, 10/129 620 644,726 New Jersey EDA, Refunding RB, School Facilities Construction, Series AA, 5,50%, 10/129 620		3/5	407,482
Series B, 5.25%, 7/01/29 1,000 1,000,070 Massachusetts State College Building Authority, RB, 250 269,233 icfris A, 5.50%, 5/01/39 250 269,233 icfris A, 5.50%, 5/01/39 1,677,285 1,677,285 Kalamazoo Hospital Finance Authority, Refunding RB, 485 525,973 Bronson Methodist Hospital, 5.50%, 5/1/30 445 441,987 You Yat 485 525,973 Michigan State Building Authority, Refunding RB, 300 534,660 Royal Oak Hospital Finance Authority Michigan, 826 22,117,596 Multi-State 6.8% 2,117,596 3,000 3,301,020 Nexada 8.2% 2 1,000 1,102,850 County of Clark Nevada, RB: 1,000 1,102,850 1,100 1,102,850 Notor Vehicle Fuel Tax 5,00%, 7/01/28 1,130 1,189,755 1,277,164 4,019,769 New Jersey DA. Refunding RB, School Facilities 750 807,952 750 807,952 New Jersey DA. Refunding RB, School Facilities 758, 873, 22 1,201,110,203 1,202,117,164 1,202,71,164			
Massachusetts State College Building Authority, RB, 250 269.233 Series A, 5.50%, 5/01/39 250 269.233 Michigan 4.3% 31 777.285 Kalamazoo Hospital Finance Authority, Refunding RB, 445 441,987 Lansing Board of Water & Light, RB, Series A, 5.50%, 701/41 485 525,973 Michigan State Building Authority, Refunding RB, 783 525,973 Michigan State Building Authority, Refunding RB, 784 525,973 Michigan State Building Authority, Refunding RB, 784 525,973 Michigan State Building Bayer Trus, 7,50%, 50%, 500 534,560 Royal Oak Hospital Finance Authority Michigan, 790 530 615,076 Qill/JS 530 615,076 2,117,596 Multi-State 6.8% 700 1,000 1,102,850 County of Las Vegas Nevada, GO, Limited Tax, 700 1,102,850 2000 1,130 1,189,755 County of Las Vegas Nevada, GO, Limited Tax, 700 807,972 800 1,630 1,137,164 Motor Vehicle Fuel Tax 5,00%, 701/28 1,130 1,18	-	1 000	1 000 570
Series A, 5.50%, 5/01/39 250 269, 233 Michigan 4.3% 1.677, 285 Kalamazoo Hospital Finance Authority, Refunding RB, 441, 987 Bronson Methodis Hospital, 5, 50%, 5/15/36 445 441, 987 Lansing Board of Water & Light, RB, Series A, 5.50%, 485 525, 973 Michigan State Building Authority, Refunding RB, 500 534, 560 Royal Oak Hospital Finance Authority Michigan, 885 530 615,076 Refunding RB, William Beaumont Hospital, 8.25%, 9011/39 530 615,076 9011/39 530 615,076 2.117,596 Multi-State 6.8% Centerline Equity Issuer Trust, 7.20%, 11/15/52 (b)(c) 3,000 3,301,020 Nevada 8.2% City of Las Vegas Nevada, GO, Limited Tax, Performing Arts Center, 6,00%, 4/01/34 1,000 1,102,850 County of Clark Nevada, RB: 1,130 1,189,755 4,019,765 Motor Vehicle Fuel Tax 5.00%, 7/01/42 1,630 1,727,164 New Jersey EDA, Refunding RB, School Facilities 750 807,952 New Jersey EDA, Refunding RB, School Facilities 620 644,726		1,000	1,000,570
I. 677.285 Michigan 4.3% Kalamazoo Hospital Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Bronson Methodist Hospital, 5.50%, 5/15/36 Lansing Board of Water & Light, RB, Series A, 5.50%, 701/41 485 Facilities Program, Series I, 6.00%, 10/15/38 500 Royal Oak Hospital Finance Authority, Mefunding RB, 500 Facilities Program, Series I, 6.00%, 10/15/38 500 Royal Oak Hospital Finance Authority Michigan, 70/14 Refunding RB, William Beaumont Hospital, 8.25%, 90/1/39 9/01/39 530 615,076 9/01/39 530 615,076 Voltas Vegas Nevada, GO, Limited Tax, 2,117,596 Multi-State 6.8% 2,1000 1,000 City of Lax Vegas Nevada, GO, Limited Tax, 2,1000 1,102,850 County of Clark Nevada, RB: 0 1,272,164 Motor Vehicle Fuel Tax 5.00%, 7/01/28 1,300 1,272,164 New Jersey EDA, Refunding RB, School Facilities 750 807,952 Construction, Series AA, 5.50%, 1215/29 750 807,952 New Jersey EDA, Refunding RB, School Facilities 2,211,420		250	260.222
Michigan 4.3% Kalamazoo Hospital Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Harmazoo Hospital Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Enorson Methodis Hospital, 5.50%, 5/15/36 445 441,987 Lansing Board of Water & Light, RB, Series A, 5.50%, 701/41 485 525,973 Michigan State Building Authority, Refunding RB, 845 525,973 Michigan State Building Authority, Refunding RB, 845 525,973 Mithities Program, Series I, 6.00%, 10/15/38 500 534,560 Refunding RB, William Beaumont Hospital, 8.25%, 901/39 530 615,076 9/01/39 530 615,076 2,117,596 Multi-State 6.8% 2,117,596 3,000 3,301,020 Nevada 8.2% 1,000 1,102,850 2,000 1,02,850 County of Clark Nevada, RB: 4009,769 401,97,555 3,000 1,189,755 Series B 5.75%, 7/01/42 1,630 1,727,164 4,019,769 New Jersey EDA, Refunding RB, School Facilities 505 644,726 New Jersey State Housing & Mortgage Finance Agency, 750 807,952 New Jersey	Series A, 5.50%, 5/01/39	250	
Kalamizoo Hospital Finance Authority, Refunding RB, 441 Bronson Methodist Hospital, 5.50%, 5/15/36 445 441,987 Lansing Board of Water & Light, RB, Series A, 5.50%, 485 525,973 Michigan State Building Authority, Refunding RB, 500 534,560 Royal Oak Hospital Finance Authority Michigan, 826 615,076 goli/139 530 615,076 2,117,590 9/01/39 530 615,076 2,117,590 Multi-State 6.8% 2 2,117,590 3,000 3,301,020 Nevada 8.2% 300 3,301,020 1,000 1,102,850 Centerline Equity Issuer Trust, 7.20%, 11/15/52 (b)(c) 3,000 3,301,020 Nevada 8.2% 1,300 1,102,850 Cuttor of Clark Nevada, GO, Limited Tax, Performing Arts Center, 6,00%, 4/01/34 1,000 1,102,850 Ounty of Clark Nevada, RB: 1,300 1,189,755 Series 8, 5,55%, 7/01/42 4,019,769 New Jersey EDA, Refunding RB, School Facilities Construction, Series AA, 5,50%, 12/15/29 750 807,952 New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority, RB, Transportation Trust Fund Authority, RB, 2,211,410 New York 5.4%	Michigan 130		1,077,283
Bronson Methodist Hospital, 5.50%, 5/15/36 445 441987 Lansing Board of Water & Light, RB, Series A, 5.50%, 485 525,973 Michigan State Building Authority, Refunding RB, 500 534,560 Royal Oak Hospital Finance Authority Michigan, 530 615,076 Refunding RB, William Beaumont Hospital, 8.25%, 901/39 530 615,076 9/01/39 530 615,076 2,117,596 Multi-State 6.8% 2,117,596 Centerline Equity Issuer Trust, 7.20%, 11/15/52 (b)(c) 3,000 3,301,020 Nevada 8.2% 7 7 City of Las Vegas Nevada, GO, Limited Tax, 7 7 7 Performing Arts Center, 6,00%, 4/01/34 1,000 1,102,850 1,630 1,727,164 County of Clark Nevada, RB: 1,130 1,189,755 1,630 1,727,164 4,019,769 New Jersey EDA, Refunding RB, School Facilities 750 807,952 807,952 807,952 New Jersey EDA, Refunding RB, School Facilities 620 644,726 84,574 4,219,769 New Jersey State Housing & Mortgage Finance Agency, RB, SF Housing, Serics C, 5,25%, 1/01/02 620			
Lansing Board of Water & Light, RB, Series A, 5.50%, 7/01/41 485 525,973 Michigan State Building Authority, Refunding RB, Facilities Program, Series I, 6.00%, 10/15/38 500 534,560 Royal Oak Hospital Finance Authority Michigan, Refunding RB, William Beaumont Hospital, 8.25%, 9/01/39 530 615.076 2,117,596 Multi-State 6.8% Centerline Equity Issuer Trust, 7.20%, 11/15/52 (b)(c) 3.000 3,301.020 Nevada 8.2% City of Las Vegas Nevada, GO, Limited Tax, Performing Arts Center, 6.00%, 4/01/34 1.000 1,102,850 County of Clark Nevada, RB: Motor Vehicle Fuel Tax 5.00%, 7/01/28 1,130 1,189,755 Series B 5.75%, 7/01/42 1,530 1,727,164 4/019,7769 New Jersey 4.5% New Jersey 4.5% New Jersey State Housing & School Facilities Construction, Series AA, 5.50%, 12/15/29 750 807,952 New Jersey State Housing & Mortgage Finance Agency, RB, S/F Housing, Series C, 5.25%, 10/01/29 602 644,726 New Jersey Tansportation Trust Fund Authority, RB, Transportation System, Transportation System, Series A, 5.8%, 12/15/38 695 758,732 crity of Tvy New York, Refunding RB, Renselaer Polytechnic, Series A, 5.13%, 90/140 350 348,170 New York 5.4% City of Troy New York, Refunding RB, Renselaer Polytechnic, Series A, 5.13%, 90/140 New York 5.4% City of Troy New York, Refunding RB, Renselaer Polytechnic, Series A, 5.5%, 17/15/39 1,000 1,058,130 New York City Brane Cauthority, RB, Fransportation Finance Authority, RB, Fiscal 2009, Series S-3, 5.13%, 90/140 New York City Transitional Finance Authority, RB, Fiscal 2009, Series S-3, 5.13%, 90/140 New York City Bank of America Tower at One Bryant Pack Project, 6.38%, 71/15/49 225 335,273		115	441 087
7/01/41 485 525,973 Michigan State Building Authority, Refunding RB, 500 534,560 Reclifties Program, Series I, 6.00%, 10/15/38 500 534,560 Royal Oak Hospital Finance Authority Michigan, 860 530 615,076 901/39 530 615,076 2,117,596 Multi-State 6.8% 2,117,596 Centerline Equity Issuer Trust, 7.20%, 11/15/52 (b)(c) 3,000 3,301,020 Newada 8.2% 615,076 2,117,596 City of Las Vegas Nevada, GO, Limited Tax, Performing Arts Center, 6,00%, 4/01/34 1,000 1,102,850 County of Clark Nevada, RB: 1,130 1,189,755 Series B 5,75%, 7/01/42 4,019,769 New Jersey 4.5% New Jersey FDA, Refunding RB, School Facilities New Jersey FDA, Refunding RB, School Facilities New Jersey Tate Housing, & Mortgage Finance Agency, RB, SF Housing, Series C, 5,25%, 10/01/29 620 644,726 New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority, RB, Transportation System, Series A, 5,80%, 12/15/28 695 758,732 City of Troy New York, Refunding RB, Renselaer Polytechnic, Series A, 5,13%, 9/01/40 350 348,170 New York City Transitonal Finance Authority, RB, Fiscal 2000, Series S		445	441,907
Michigan State Building Authority, Refunding RB, 500 534,560 Facilities Program, Series I, 6.00%, 10/15/38 500 534,560 Royal Oak Hospital Finance Authority Michigan, 2,117,596 9/01/39 530 615,076 9/01/39 530 3,301,020 Nevada 8.2% 3,000 3,301,020 City of Las Vegas Nevada, GO, Limited Tax, 1,000 1,102,850 Country of Clark Nevada, RB: 1,000 1,102,850 Motor Vehicle Fuel Tax S.00%, 7/01/28 1,130 1,189,755 Series B 5.75%, 7/01/42 1,630 1,727,164 Motor Vehicle Fuel Tax S.00%, 7/01/28 750 807,952 New Jersey 4.5% New Jersey 500 807,952 New Jersey State Housing & Mortgage Finance Agency, 807,952 807,952 New Jersey State Housing & Mortgage Finance Agency, 805 758,732 2,211,410 New York 5.4% 2 2,211,410 1,401 1,402,850 2,211,4110 New York 5.4% 695 758,732 2,211,4110 2,211,4110 2,211,4110 2,211,4110 2,211,4110 2,211,4110 2,211,4110 2,211,4110 2,2		185	525 073
Facilities Program, Series I, 6.00%, 10/15/38 500 534,560 Royal Oak Hospital Finance Authority Michigan, 8 8 Refunding RB, William Beaumont Hospital, 8.25%, 530 615,076 9/01/39 530 615,076 Voltaste 6.8% 2,117,596 Multi-State 6.8% 3,000 3,301,020 Newala 8.2% 1,000 1,102,850 Conterline Equity Issuer Trust, 7.20%, 11/15/52 (b)(c) 3,000 1,102,850 Couty of Clark Nevada, GO, Limited Tax, 1,000 1,102,850 Couty of Clark Nevada, RB: 1,000 1,128,755 Series B 5.75%, 7/01/42 1,630 1,727,164 Motor Vehicle Fuel Tax S.50%, 7/01/28 1,130 1,189,755 Series B 5.75%, 7/01/42 1,630 1,727,164 New Jersey EDA, Refunding RB, School Facilities 500 807,952 New Jersey State Housing & Mortgage Finance Agency, 8 8 RB, S/F Housing, Series C, 5,25%, 10/01/29 620 644,726 New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority, RB, Transportation System, Transportation System, Transportation System, Transportation System, Transportation System, Transportation System, Series A, 5.30%, 10/15/39 300 345,170		405	525,975
Royal Oak Hospital Finance Authority Michigan, S30 615.076 9/01/39 530 615.076 9/01/39 S30 615.076 9/01/39 S30 615.076 9/01/39 S30 615.076 9/01/39 S30 615.076 9/01/39 S300 3,000 3,000 Multi-State 6.8% C S000 3,000 3,301.020 Newada 8.2% 1,000 1,102,850 S00 S,301.020 City of Las Vegas Nevada, GO, Limited Tax, Performing Arts Center, 6.00%, 4/01/34 1,000 1,102,850 County of Clark Nevada, RB: 1,130 1,189,755 Series B 5,75%, 7/01/42 4,019,755 Series B 5,75%, 7/01/42 1,630 1,727,164 4,019,755 New Jersey BDA, Refunding RB, School Facilities Construction, Series A,5,50%, 12/15/29 750 807,952 New Jersey State Housing & Mortgage Finance Agency, RS, SF Housing, Series CC, 5.25%, 10/01/29 620 644,726 New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority, RB, Transportation System, Series A, 5.13%, 9/01/40 350		500	534 560
Refunding RB, William Beaumont Hospital, 8.25%, 530 615,076 9/01/39 530 615,076 Multi-State 6.8% 2,117,596 Multi-State 6.8% 3,000 3,301,020 Nevada 8.2% 1,000 1,102,850 Conterline Equity Issuer Trust, 7.20%, 11/15/52 (b)(c) 3,000 1,000 1,102,850 Nevada 8.2% 1,000 1,102,850 Control of Lark Nevada, RB: 1,000 1,128,850 Motor Vehicle Fuel Tax 5.00%, 7/01/28 1,130 1,189,755 Series B 5.75%, 7/01/42 1,630 1,727,164 Motor Vehicle Fuel Tax 5.00%, 12/15/29 750 807,952 New Jersey EDA, Refunding RB, School Facilities 0 620 644,726 New Jersey State Housing & Mortgage Finance Agency, 8 8 750 807,952 New Jersey State Housing & Mortgage Finance Agency, 620 644,726 2211,710 New Jersey State Housing & Mortgage Finance Agency, 8 575 758,732 2211,710 Series A, 5.88%, 12/15/38 695 758,732 2211,710 221,710 New York S.4% 1,000 1,058,130 7		500	554,500
9/01/39 530 615,076 2,117,596 2,117,596 Multi-State 6.8% 3,000 3,301,020 Nevada 8.2% 3,000 3,301,020 City of Las Vegas Nevada, GO, Limited Tax, Performing Arts Center, 6,00%, 4/01/34 1,000 1,102,850 County of Clark Nevada, RB: 1,000 1,102,850 1,630 1,727,164 Motor Vehicle Fuel Tax 5,00%, 7/01/28 1,630 1,727,164 4,019,769 New Jersey 4.5% 4,019,769 4,019,769 4,019,769 New Jersey State Housing & Mortgage Finance Agency, 8 8,575 5758,732 New Jersey State Housing & Mortgage Finance Agency, 8 8 750 807,952 New Jersey State Housing & Mortgage Finance Agency, 8 8 750 2,211,410 New Jersey State Housing & Mortgage Finance Agency, 8 2,211,410 2,211,410 New Jersey State Housing & Mortgage Finance Agency, 8 2,211,410 2,211,410 New York 5.4% 2,211,410 2,211,410 2,211,410 New York City Transitional Finance Authority, RB, 350 348,170 New York City Transitional Finance Authority,			
Multi-State 6.8% 2,117,596 Multi-State 6.8% 3,000 3,301,020 Nevada 8.2% 2 City of Las Vegas Nevada, GO, Limited Tax, 1,000 1,102,850 County of Clark Nevada, RB: 1,000 1,102,850 Motor Vehicle Fuel Tax 5,00%, 7/01/28 1,130 1,189,755 Series B 5.75%, 7/01/42 1,630 1,727,164 Multi-State Housing & Mortgage Finance Agency, 8 8 New Jersey EDA, Refunding RB, School Facilities 0 807,952 New Jersey Etate Housing & Mortgage Finance Agency, 8 8,5/F RB, S/F Housing, Series C, 5.25%, 10/01/29 620 644,726 New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority, RB, 758,732 2,211,410 New York 5.4% 2,211,410 2,211,410 New York 5.4% 350 348,170 New York S.45% 350 348,170 New York City Transitional Finance Authority, RB, 530 348,170 New York City Transitional Finance Authority, RB, 1,000 1,058,130 New York City Transitional Finance Authority, RB, 1,000 1,058,130 New York City Transitional Finance Authority, R		530	615.076
Multi-State 6.8% Centerline Equity Issuer Trust, 7.20%, 11/15/52 (b)(c) 3,000 3,301,020 Nevada 8.2%	/01/5/	550	
Centerline Equity Issuer Trust, 7.20%, 11/15/52 (b)(c) 3,000 3,301.020 Nevada 8.2%	Multi-State 6.8%		2,117,590
Nevada 8.2% City of Las Vegas Nevada, GO, Limited Tax, 1000 Performing Arts Center, 6.00%, 4/01/34 1,000 County of Clark Nevada, RB: 1,130 Motor Vehicle Fuel Tax 5.00%, 7/01/28 1,130 Series B 5.75%, 7/01/42 1,630 New Jersey 1,630 New Jersey 4.5% 1,630 New Jersey EDA, Refunding RB, School Facilities 750 Construction, Series AA, 5.50%, 12/15/29 750 New Jersey State Housing & Mortgage Finance Agency, 8 RB, S/F Housing, Series CC, 5.25%, 10/01/29 620 New Jersey Tansportation Trust Fund Authority, RB, 758,732 Transportation System, Transportation System, 2,211,410 New York 5.4% 459 City of Troy New York, Refunding RB, Rensselaer 50 Polytechnic, Series A, 5.13%, 9/01/40 350 348,170 New York City Transitional Finance Authority, RB, 1,000 1,058,130 Piscal 2009, Series S-3, 5.25%, 1/15/39 1,000 1,058,130 New York City Transitional Finance Authority, RB, 1,000 1,058,130 New York City Transiti		3 000	3 301 020
City of Las Vegas Nevada, GO, Limited Tax, 1,000 1,102,850 Performing Arts Center, 6.00%, 4/01/34 1,000 1,102,850 County of Clark Nevada, RB: 1,130 1,189,755 Motor Vehicle Fuel Tax 5.00%, 7/01/28 1,630 1,727,164 Very Series B 5.75%, 7/01/42 1,630 1,727,164 New Jersey 4.5% 4,019,769 New Jersey EDA, Refunding RB, School Facilities 5 Construction, Series AA, 5.50%, 12/15/29 750 807,952 New Jersey State Housing & Mortgage Finance Agency, 8 8/F Housing, Series CC, 5.25%, 10/01/29 620 644,726 New Jersey Tasportation Trust Fund Authority, RB, 750 807,952 807,952 807,952 New Jersey Tasportation System, 695 758,732 2,211,410 New York 5.4% 2,211,410 805 2,211,410 New York City Transitional Finance Authority, RB, 750 348,170 New York City Transitional Finance Authority, RB, 1,000 1,058,130 New York City Transitional Finance Authority, RB, 1,000 1,058,130 New York City Transitional Finance Authority, RB, 1,000 1,058,130 New York		5,000	5,501,020
Performing Arts Center, 6.00%, 4/01/34 1,000 1,102,850 County of Clark Nevada, RB: 1,130 1,189,755 Motor Vehicle Fuel Tax, 5.00%, 7/01/28 1,630 1,727,164 August 1,727,164 4,019,769 New Jersey 4.5% 1,630 1,727,164 New Jersey EDA, Refunding RB, School Facilities 507,952 807,952 New Jersey State Housing & Mortgage Finance Agency, 620 644,726 RB, S/F Housing, Series CC, 5.25%, 10/01/29 620 644,726 New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority, RB, 758,732 2,211,410 Transportation Systems, Transportation System, 558,732 2,211,410 New York 5.4% 1,000 1,058,130 City of Troy New York, Refunding RB, Rensselaer 1,000 1,058,130 Polytechnic, Series A, 5.13%, 9/01/40 350 348,170 New York City Transitional Finance Authority, RB, 1,000 1,058,130 Fiscal 2009, Series S-3, 5.25%, 1/15/39 1,000 1,058,130 New York Liberty Development Corp., Refunding RB, 200 1,000 1,058,130 New York City Transitional Finance Authority, RB, 1,000 1,058,130			
County of Clark Nevada, RB: 1,130 1,189,755 Motor Vehicle Fuel Tax 5.00%, 7/01/28 1,630 1,727,164 Series B 5.75%, 7/01/42 4,019,769 New Jersey 4.5%		1.000	1.102.850
Motor Vehicle Fuel Tax 5.00%, 7/01/28 1,130 1,189,755 Series B 5.75%, 7/01/42 1,630 1,727,164 A,019,769 4,019,769 New Jersey 4.5%		-,	-,,
Series B 5.75%, 7/01/42 1,630 1,727,164 New Jersey 4.5% 4,019,769 New Jersey EDA, Refunding RB, School Facilities 750 Construction, Series AA, 5.50%, 12/15/29 750 New Jersey State Housing & Mortgage Finance Agency, 870 RB, S/F Housing, Series CC, 5.25%, 10/01/29 620 New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority, RB, 750 Transportation Systems, Transportation System, 895 Series A, 5.88%, 12/15/38 695 Orty New York 5.4% City of Troy New York, Refunding RB, Rensselaer 700 Polytechnic, Series A, 5.13%, 9/01/40 350 New York City Transitional Finance Authority, RB, 7100 Fiscal 2009, Series S-3, 5.25%, 11/15/39 1,000 New York Liberty Development Corp., Refunding RB, 1,000 New York Liberty Development Corp., Refunding RB, 325 Second Priority, Bank of America Tower at One Bryant 325	•	1.130	1.189.755
4,019,769 New Jersey 4.5% New Jersey EDA, Refunding RB, School Facilities Construction, Series AA, 5.50%, 12/15/29 New Jersey State Housing & Mortgage Finance Agency, RB, S/F Housing, Series CC, 5.25%, 10/01/29 New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority, RB, Transportation Systems, Transportation System, Series A, 5.88%, 12/15/38 695 758,732 2,211,410 New York 5.4% City of Troy New York, Refunding RB, Rensselaer Polytechnic, Series A, 5.13%, 9/01/40 New York City Transitional Finance Authority, RB, Fiscal 2009, Series S-3, 5.25%, 1/15/39 New York Liberty Development Corp., Refunding RB, Second Priority, Bank of America Tower at One Bryant Park Project, 6.38%, 7/15/49			
New Jersey 4.5% New Jersey EDA, Refunding RB, School Facilities 750 Construction, Series AA, 5.50%, 12/15/29 750 New Jersey State Housing & Mortgage Finance Agency, 807,952 RB, S/F Housing, Series CC, 5.25%, 10/01/29 620 New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority, RB, 620 Transportation Systems, Transportation System, 895 Series A, 5.88%, 12/15/38 695 Otype 750 New York 5.4% 2,211,410 City of Troy New York, Refunding RB, Rensselaer 90/technic, Series A, 5.13%, 9/01/40 New York City Transitional Finance Authority, RB, 350 348,170 New York Liberty Development Corp., Refunding RB, 1,000 1,058,130 New York Liberty Development Corp., Refunding RB, 232 335,273		-,	
New Jersey EDA, Refunding RB, School Facilities750807,952Construction, Series AA, 5.50%, 12/15/29750807,952New Jersey State Housing & Mortgage Finance Agency, RB, S/F Housing, Series CC, 5.25%, 10/01/29620644,726New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority, RB, Transportation Systems, Transportation System, Series A, 5.88%, 12/15/38695758,7322,211,4102,211,410New York 5.4%70City of Troy New York, Refunding RB, Rensselaer Polytechnic, Series A, 5.13%, 9/01/40350348,170New York City Transitional Finance Authority, RB, Fiscal 2009, Series S-3, 5.25%, 1/15/391,0001,058,130New York Liberty Development Corp., Refunding RB, Second Priority, Bank of America Tower at One Bryant Park Project, 6.38%, 7/15/49325335,273	New Jersey 4.5%		, ,
Construction, Series AA, 5.50%, 12/15/29750807,952New Jersey State Housing & Mortgage Finance Agency, RB, S/F Housing, Series CC, 5.25%, 10/01/29620644,726New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority, RB, Transportation Systems, Transportation System, Series A, 5.88%, 12/15/38695758,7322,211,4102,211,410New York 5.4%2City of Troy New York, Refunding RB, Rensselaer Polytechnic, Series A, 5.13%, 9/01/40350348,170New York City Transitional Finance Authority, RB, Fiscal 2009, Series S-3, 5.25%, 1/15/391,0001,058,130New York Liberty Development Corp., Refunding RB, Second Priority, Bank of America Tower at One Bryant Park Project, 6.38%, 7/15/49325335,273			
New Jersey State Housing & Mortgage Finance Agency, RB, S/F Housing, Series CC, 5.25%, 10/01/29620644,726New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority, RB, Transportation Systems, Transportation System, Series A, 5.88%, 12/15/38695758,732Series A, 5.88%, 12/15/38695758,7322,211,410New York5.4%22City of Troy New York, Refunding RB, Rensselaer Polytechnic, Series A, 5.13%, 9/01/40350348,170New York City Transitional Finance Authority, RB, Fiscal 2009, Series S-3, 5.25%, 1/15/391,0001,058,130New York Liberty Development Corp., Refunding RB, Second Priority, Bank of America Tower at One Bryant Park Project, 6.38%, 7/15/49325335,273		750	807,952
RB, S/F Housing, Series CC, 5.25%, 10/01/29620644,726New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority, RB, Transportation Systems, Transportation System, Series A, 5.88%, 12/15/38695758,732New York 5.4%2,211,4102,211,410City of Troy New York, Refunding RB, Rensselaer Polytechnic, Series A, 5.13%, 9/01/40350348,170New York City Transitional Finance Authority, RB, Fiscal 2009, Series S-3, 5.25%, 1/15/391,0001,058,130New York Liberty Development Corp., Refunding RB, Second Priority, Bank of America Tower at One Bryant Park Project, 6.38%, 7/15/49325335,273			
New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority, RB, Transportation Systems, Transportation System, Series A, 5.88%, 12/15/38695758,732 2,211,410New York 5.4%222City of Troy New York, Refunding RB, Rensselaer Polytechnic, Series A, 5.13%, 9/01/40350348,170New York City Transitional Finance Authority, RB, Fiscal 2009, Series S-3, 5.25%, 1/15/391,0001,058,130New York Liberty Development Corp., Refunding RB, Second Priority, Bank of America Tower at One Bryant Park Project, 6.38%, 7/15/49325335,273		620	644,726
Transportation Systems, Transportation System, 695 758,732 Series A, 5.88%, 12/15/38 695 758,732 2,211,410 2,211,410 New York 5.4% 5.13% City of Troy New York, Refunding RB, Rensselaer 350 Polytechnic, Series A, 5.13%, 9/01/40 350 New York City Transitional Finance Authority, RB, 1,000 Fiscal 2009, Series S-3, 5.25%, 1/15/39 1,000 New York Liberty Development Corp., Refunding RB, 1,000 Second Priority, Bank of America Tower at One Bryant 325 Park Project, 6.38%, 7/15/49 325	New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority, RB,		
New York5.4%City of Troy New York, Refunding RB, Rensselaer Polytechnic, Series A, 5.13%, 9/01/40350348,170New York City Transitional Finance Authority, RB, Fiscal 2009, Series S-3, 5.25%, 1/15/391,0001,058,130New York Liberty Development Corp., Refunding RB, Second Priority, Bank of America Tower at One Bryant Park Project, 6.38%, 7/15/49325335,273			
New York5.4%City of Troy New York, Refunding RB, RensselaerPolytechnic, Series A, 5.13%, 9/01/40350348,170New York City Transitional Finance Authority, RB,Fiscal 2009, Series S-3, 5.25%, 1/15/391,0001,058,130New York Liberty Development Corp., Refunding RB,Second Priority, Bank of America Tower at One BryantPark Project, 6.38%, 7/15/49325335,273	Series A, 5.88%, 12/15/38	695	758,732
City of Troy New York, Refunding RB, Rensselaer350Polytechnic, Series A, 5.13%, 9/01/40350New York City Transitional Finance Authority, RB,Fiscal 2009, Series S-3, 5.25%, 1/15/391,000New York Liberty Development Corp., Refunding RB,Second Priority, Bank of America Tower at One BryantPark Project, 6.38%, 7/15/49325325			2,211,410
Polytechnic, Series A, 5.13%, 9/01/40350348,170New York City Transitional Finance Authority, RB, Fiscal 2009, Series S-3, 5.25%, 1/15/391,0001,058,130New York Liberty Development Corp., Refunding RB, Second Priority, Bank of America Tower at One Bryant Park Project, 6.38%, 7/15/49325335,273	New York 5.4%		
New York City Transitional Finance Authority, RB, Fiscal 2009, Series S-3, 5.25%, 1/15/391,0001,058,130New York Liberty Development Corp., Refunding RB, Second Priority, Bank of America Tower at One Bryant Park Project, 6.38%, 7/15/49325335,273	City of Troy New York, Refunding RB, Rensselaer		
Fiscal 2009, Series S-3, 5.25%, 1/15/391,0001,058,130New York Liberty Development Corp., Refunding RB, Second Priority, Bank of America Tower at One Bryant325335,273Park Project, 6.38%, 7/15/49325335,273		350	348,170
New York Liberty Development Corp., Refunding RB, Second Priority, Bank of America Tower at One Bryant Park Project, 6.38%, 7/15/49325335,273	New York City Transitional Finance Authority, RB,		
Second Priority, Bank of America Tower at One BryantPark Project, 6.38%, 7/15/49325335,273		1,000	1,058,130
Park Project, 6.38%, 7/15/49 325 335,273			
840 903 470	Park Project, 6.38%, 7/15/49		335,273
		840	903,470

Triborough Bridge & Tunnel Authority, RB, General, Series A-2, 5.38%, 11/15/38

Series A-2, 5.5670, 11/15/56		
		2,645,043
North Carolina 2.6%		
North Carolina Medical Care Commission, RB,		
Novant Health Obligation, Series A, 4.75%, 11/01/43	1,450	1,261,239
Ohio 0.3%		
Buckeye Tobacco Settlement Financing Authority, RB,		
Senior Series A-2, 6.50%, 6/01/47	160	123,251
	Par	
Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value
Pennsylvania 7.4%		
Pennsylvania Economic Development Financing Authority,	* • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
RB, American Water Co. Project, 6.20%, 4/01/39	\$ 300	\$ 325,824
Pennsylvania Turnpike Commission, RB:		
Sub-Series A 5.63%, 12/01/31	1,070	1,147,618
Sub-Series A 6.00%, 12/01/41	1,500	1,582,530
Sub-Series C (AGC), 6.25%, 6/01/38	500	562,800
		3,618,772
Texas 11.2%		
Central Texas Regional Mobility Authority, RB,		
Senior Lien, 6.00%, 1/01/41	890	849,719
Conroe ISD Texas, GO, School Building, Series A,		
5.75%, 2/15/35	470	538,211
Harris County Health Facilities Development Corp.,		
Refunding RB, Memorial Hermann Healthcare System,		
Series B, 7.13%, 12/01/31	250	280,495
Lower Colorado River Authority, RB, 5.75%, 5/15/28	450	