

FREESTONE RESOURCES, INC.  
Form 10-K  
September 28, 2011

---

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D. C. 20549

FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(D) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2011

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(D) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the Transition Period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

FREESTONE RESOURCES, INC.  
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

NEVADA (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation)	000-28753 (Commission File Number)	33-0880427 (IRS Employer Identification No.)
Republic Center, Suite 1350 325 N. St. Paul St. Dallas, TX (Address of Principal Executive Offices)		75201 (Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: 214-880-4870

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act: None

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: Common Stock, Par value \$0.001

Indicate by a check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined by Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes  No

Indicate by a check mark whether the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has (1) filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the past 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports) (2) has been subject to such filing requirement for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (s229.405 of this chapter)

Edgar Filing: FREESTONE RESOURCES, INC. - Form 10-K

is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large Accelerated Filer	<input type="radio"/>	Accelerated Filer	<input type="radio"/>
Non-Accelerated Filer	<input type="radio"/>	Smaller Reporting Company	<input type="checkbox"/>

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act): Yes  No

Aggregate market value of the voting stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant as of September 26, 2011: \$12,680,157

Indicate the number of Shares of outstanding of each of the Registrant's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date: As of September 26, 2011, the Registrant had 52,612,760 shares of common stock outstanding.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

None

---

---

---

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

## PART I

Item 1.	Description of Business	3
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	6
Item 1B.	Unresolved Staff Comments	6
Item 2.	Description of Properties	6
Item 3.	Legal Proceedings	6
Item 4.	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	7

## PART II

Item 5.	Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	8
Item 6.	Selected Financial Data	8
Item 7.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	9
Item 7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	11
Item 8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	11
Item 9.	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	12
Item 9A.	Controls and Procedures	12
Item 9B.	Other Information	

## PART III

Item 10.	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	13
Item 11.	Executive Compensation	15
Item 12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	16
Item 13.	Certain Relationship and Related Transactions and Director Independence	16
Item 14.	Principal Accounting Fees and Services	17

## PART IV

Item 15.	Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules	17
----------	--	----

## FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This report includes forward-looking statements as the term is defined in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 or by the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission in its rules, regulations and releases, regarding, among other things, all statements other than statements of historical facts contained in this report, including statements regarding our future financial position, business strategy and plans and objectives of management for future operations. The words “believe,” “may,” “estimate,” “continue,” “anticipate,” “intend,” “should,” “plan,” “could,” “target,” “likely,” “will,” “expect” and similar expressions, as they relate to us, are intended to identify forward-looking statements. We have based these forward-looking statements largely on our current expectations and projections about future events and financial trends that we believe may affect our financial condition, results of operations, business strategy and financial needs. In addition, our past results of operations do not necessarily indicate our future results.

Other sections of this report may include additional factors which could adversely affect our business and financial performance. New risk factors emerge from time to time and it is not possible for us to anticipate all the relevant risks to our business, and we cannot assess the impact of all such risks on our business or the extent to which any risk, or combination of risks, may cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statements. Those factors include, among others, those matters disclosed in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Except as otherwise required by applicable laws and regulations, we undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements or the risk factors described in this report, whether as a result of new information, future events, changed circumstances or any other reason after the date of this report. Neither the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 nor Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 provides any protection to us for statements made in this report. You should not rely upon forward-looking statements as predictions of future events or performance. We cannot assure you that the events and circumstances reflected in the forward-looking statements will be achieved or occur. Although we believe that the expectations reflected in the forward-looking statements are reasonable, we cannot guarantee future results, levels of activity, performance or achievements.

## PART I

### ITEM 1. DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS

#### Company Background

Freestone Resources, Inc. (the “Company” or “Freestone”) is an oil and gas technology development company that is actively developing and marketing technologies and solvents designed to benefit various sectors in the oil and gas industry. The Company’s flagship technology, the Oil Recovery Unit (“ORU”), was developed for the extraction of hydrocarbons of value from ground soils, oil sands, vessels and other hydrocarbon-containing materials. The ORU’s primary use is for the cleanup of hydrocarbon contamination, and the extraction of hydrocarbons of value from oil sands and oil shale.

Freestone is also actively researching complimentary technologies that will be utilized with the ORU system in order to provide complete production and remediation solutions to oil and gas operators, drillers, and producers. The technologies currently under evaluation include systems designed to recycle frac water and produced water.

#### Available Information

The Freestone website is [www.freestonerresourcesinc.com](http://www.freestonerresourcesinc.com). The Company’s references to the URLs for these websites are intended to be inactive textual references only. The Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K, and amendments to reports filed pursuant to Sections 13(a) and 15(d) of

the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, are filed with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC”).

The public may read and copy any materials filed by the Company with the SEC at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE, Room 1580, Washington, DC 20549. The public may obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. The SEC maintains an Internet site that contains reports, proxy, and information statements and other information regarding issuers that file electronically with the SEC at <http://www.sec.gov>.

#### Technology Development Business

Freestone is actively developing relationships with oil and gas companies, as well as environmental service companies that can benefit from the ORU's unique abilities to extract hydrocarbons of value from various mediums. One such relationship includes the Company's issuance of a License Agreement to Hydrex Technologies, LLC ("Hydrex"), a Texas limited liability company for the use and utilization of the Company's ORU system in various territories.

#### Products and Services

##### Oil Recovery Units and Solvent Testing

Freestone has been engaged in extensive laboratory testing of solvents to perfect the ORU systems. Most tests of the aforementioned solvents have included the extraction of oil from oil sands and oil shale, as well as the extraction of hydrocarbons from contaminated soils. The ORU systems were licensed to Hydrex Technologies, LLC so that they can be built for commercial use.

Freestone's current well assets and leases were purchased for the purpose of testing various solvents and technologies designed to increase oil and gas production. These leases contain wells that have paraffin and asphaltine problems, and the tests are allowing the company to perfect a treatment method that can be marketed to potential customers.

##### Acquisition of Earth Oil Services, Inc.

On September 24, 2009 the Company entered into a Stock Purchase Agreement (the "Agreement") with Environmental Services and Support, Inc., a California corporation ("Enviro Serv") and Lawrence Shultz, an individual ("Shultz") that provides for the sale to and purchase by Enviro Serv and Shultz of 31,603,734 shares of common stock of the Company ("Company Shares") in exchange for and purchase by the Company of all of the issued and outstanding shares of capital stock (the "EOSI Shares") of Earth Oil Services, Inc., a Nevada corporation ("EOSI"), plus the payment of a contingency amount of \$250,000 (the "Contingency Amount") by the Company to an Enviro Serv creditor, and the payment of \$150,000 to Enviro Serv in a deferred license fee payment.

Enviro Serv stated that it owned certain proprietary technology that is purportedly a chemical solvent that can separate, extract and recycle hydrocarbon contaminants from ground soils, tar sands, vessels and other materials (the "Technology"). EOSI has an exclusive license to use the Enviro Serv proprietary technology. In this connection, Enviro Serv has engaged a fabricator to build a prototype machine (the "Prototype") designed to be used in conjunction with the Technology. Enviro Serv is indebted to the fabricator for its development of the Prototype. As aforesaid, the Company has agreed to pay this indebtedness (the Contingency Amount) if certain conditions are met such as release of all liens on the Prototype and demonstrations that the Prototype effectively does what it is designed to do, i.e. recover the chemical solvent after use.

The Company filed a lawsuit in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division, on July 9, 2010, Civil Action Number 3:10-cv-01349-O against Lawrence Shultz, Environmental Services and Support, Inc., David Feuerborn and Thomas Jennings for, but not limited to, the rescission of the Agreement. See Item 3, “Legal Proceedings” for additional information.

On June 26, 2011 the Company entered into a Settlement Agreement (the “Settlement Agreement”) with Enviro Serv, Shultz, David Feuerborn, an individual residing in Riverside County, California, and Thomas Jennings, an individual residing in Orange County, California (collectively the “Parties”). The Settlement Agreement permanently and irrevocably effectuates a final and binding global settlement and release of the Parties regarding the pending lawsuit between the Parties in the Northern District of Texas, Cause No. 3:10-CV-01349-O. Furthermore, the Settlement Agreement rescinds the Stock Purchase Agreement entered into by and between the Parties on September 24, 2009, and cancels 28,818,734 shares of Freestone’s common stock of the 31,603,734 shares of Freestone common stock that was originally issued to Enviro Serv and Shultz, and returns said cancelled stock to the Company’s treasury.

#### Research and Development

Freestone is actively evaluating other oil and gas treatment technologies, solvents, and water treatment systems that enhance the services and technologies offered by the Company. The current technologies under evaluation focus on the waste streams created by the oil and gas industry. These waste streams include: oil-based sludge, frac water, produced water, tailing ponds derived from oil sand production, etc.

#### Growth Strategy

Freestone is actively pursuing a strategy of growth through the development of joint ventures and license agreements with oil and gas, and environmental service companies that can utilize the ORU systems. Freestone intends to research various methods in which to expand its marketing efforts to refineries, oil and gas storage companies, independent operators, and environmental service companies.

#### Sale of Natural Gas and Oil

Freestone does not intend to refine its natural gas or oil production. Freestone will sell all or most of its production to certain purchasers in a manner consistent with industry practices at prevailing rates. Freestone currently sells its natural gas to Shoreline Gas, LLC and sells oil to Bargas, Inc, Superior Crude Gathering, Inc., and BML, Inc. Under current conditions, we should be able to find other purchasers, if needed. All of our produced oil is held in tank batteries and then each respective purchaser transports the oil by truck. Respectively, our natural gas is transported via pipeline.

## Environmental Matters

Freestone's oil and gas operations and properties ORU operations are subject to extensive and changing federal, state and local laws and regulations relating to environmental protection, including the generation, storage, handling, emission, transportation and discharge of materials into the environment, and relating to safety and health. The recent trend in environmental legislation and regulation generally is toward stricter standards, and this trend will likely continue.

These laws and regulations may:

- require the acquisition of a permit or other authorization before construction or drilling commences and for certain other activities;
- limit or prohibit construction, drilling and other activities on certain lands lying within wilderness and other protected areas; and
- impose substantial liabilities for pollution resulting from its operations, or due to previous operations conducted on any leased lands.

The permits required for our operations may be subject to revocation, modification and renewal by issuing authorities. Governmental authorities have the power to enforce their regulations, and violations are subject to fines or injunctions, or both. In the opinion of management, we are in substantial compliance with current applicable environmental laws and regulations, and have no material commitments for capital expenditures to comply with existing environmental requirements. Nevertheless, changes in existing environmental laws and regulations or in interpretations thereof could have a significant impact on us, as well as the oil and natural gas industry in general.

The Comprehensive Environmental, Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, as amended ("CERCLA"), and comparable state statutes impose strict, joint and several liability on owners and operators of sites and on persons who disposed of or arranged for the disposal of "hazardous substances" found at such sites. It is not uncommon for the neighboring land owners and other third parties to file claims for personal injury and property damage allegedly caused by the hazardous substances released into the environment. The Federal Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, as amended ("RCRA"), and comparable state statutes govern the disposal of "solid waste" and "hazardous waste" and authorize the imposition of substantial fines and penalties for noncompliance. Although CERCLA currently excludes petroleum from its definition of "hazardous substance," state laws affecting our operations may impose clean-up liability relating to petroleum and petroleum related products. In addition, although RCRA classifies certain oil field wastes as "non-hazardous," such exploration and production wastes could be reclassified as hazardous wastes thereby making such wastes subject to more stringent handling and disposal requirements.

## ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

As a smaller reporting company we are not required to provide the information required by this item.

## ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

## ITEM 2. DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY



Freestone's corporate offices are located at Republic Center, Suite 1350, 325 N. St. Paul St. Dallas, TX 75201. Freestone entered into a lease agreement on this property for a term of five years.

### ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

The Company filed a lawsuit in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division, on July 9, 2010, Civil Action Number 3:10-cv-01349-O against Lawrence Shultz (“Shultz”), Environmental Services and Support, Inc. (“ESSI”), David Feuerborn (“Feuerborn”) and Thomas Jennings (Jennings”) (the “Defendants”).

The Company alleges that the Defendants and possibly others, committed fraud by nondisclosure, the common law tort of conversion by pretext and swindling, deceptive trade practices, common law fraud and fraud by misrepresentation/statutory fraud upon the Company in connection with an executed Stock Purchase Agreement (the "Agreement").

The Company is seeking rescission of the Agreement and setting aside the shares of Company common stock issued to the Defendants or their assigns and the recovery of certain sums paid in connection with the agreement. The Company is also seeking a declaratory judgment that the Agreement is void and unenforceable; that the Company's shares of stock issued to one or more of Defendants be cancelled; that the Company may adjust its share register to so reflect such cancellation; that the Agreement is void, unenforceable, and subject to cancellation by this Court; and that the Company's damages were proximately caused by such fraud and deception and the breach of legal duties owed to it by one or more of the Defendants. The Company is also seeking actual damages from the Defendants, jointly and severally, of at least \$1,614,149, as well as reasonable and necessary attorney's fees. The Company is further seeking unliquidated damages within the jurisdictional limits of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division, exemplary damages, statutory damages, prejudgment interest and post judgment interest, compounded annually, and such other and further relief to which the Company may show itself to be justly entitled.

On June 26, 2011 the Company entered into a Settlement Agreement (the "Settlement Agreement") with Enviro Serv, Shultz, David Feuerborn, an individual residing in Riverside County, California, and Thomas Jennings, an individual residing in Orange County, California (collectively the "Parties"). The Settlement Agreement permanently and irrevocably effectuates a final and binding global settlement and release of the Parties regarding the pending lawsuit between the Parties in the Northern District of Texas, Cause No. 3:10-CV-01349-O. Furthermore, the Settlement Agreement rescinds the Stock Purchase Agreement entered into by and between the Parties on September 24, 2009, and cancels 28,818,734 shares of Freestone's common stock of the 31,603,734 shares of Freestone common stock that was originally issued to Enviro Serv and Shultz, and returns said cancelled stock to the Company's treasury.

#### ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

None. The Company intends to hold an annual shareholder's meeting in the third quarter of the fiscal year ending June 30, 2012.

## PART II

## ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

The Common Stock is currently quoted on the OTC:BB under the symbol "FSNR."

The following tables set forth the quarterly high and low bid prices for the Common Stock for 2011 and 2010. The prices set forth below represent interdealer quotations, without retail markup, markdown or commission and may not be reflective of actual transactions.

Fiscal 2011	High	Low
First Quarter	\$ 0.51	\$ 0.09
Second Quarter	\$ 0.35	\$ 0.10
Third Quarter	\$ 0.35	\$ 0.13
Fourth Quarter	\$ 0.30	\$ 0.12
Fiscal 2010	High	Low
First Quarter	\$ 0.09	\$ 0.03
Second Quarter	\$ 0.39	\$ 0.04
Third Quarter	\$ 0.28	\$ 0.16
Fourth Quarter	\$ 0.89	\$ 0.17

## Shareholders

As of June 30, 2011, there were approximately 247 record holders of the Common Stock. This number excludes any estimate by Freestone of the number of beneficial owners of shares held in street name, the accuracy of which cannot be guaranteed.

## Dividends

Freestone has not paid cash dividends on any class of common equity since formation and Freestone does not anticipate paying any dividends on its outstanding common stock in the foreseeable future.

## Warrants

In June 2011, the Company issued a warrant to purchase 500,000 shares of common stock to Hydrex Technologies, ("Hydrex") in conjunction with the issuance of a License Agreement to Hydrex. The warrants were exercised on June 9, 2011 for \$24,000, which, per the agreement, was 20% of the closing price on the last trading day prior to the exercise. The Company has a similar warrant agreement with Hydrex, in which the warrant is only granted and exercisable upon the completion of commercial-scale Oil Recovery Units within a stated period of time.

## Stock compensation

On April 21, 2011 the Company's Board of Directors approved the following stock compensation awards to Officers and stock for services to consultants. All stock awards are subject to Rule 144 restrictions are valued at the closing trading price on that day of \$.18. Clayton Carter, President and CEO, 1,000,000 shares or \$180,000; Don Edwards, Chief Investment Officer and Vice President, 1,000,000 shares or \$180,000; James Carroll, Chief Financial Officer, 500,000 shares or \$90,000; Richard Harris, consultant, 600,000 shares or \$108,000; Jimmy Carter, consultant,

750,000 shares or \$135,000; Cade Carter, consultant, 500,000 shares or \$90,000; S. George Alfonso, the Company's attorney, 200,000 or \$36,000; Mark Smith, consulting accountant, 100,000 shares or \$18,000; Michael McGhan, consultant, 250,000 shares or \$45,000; Richard Feldman, consultant, 250,000 shares or \$45,000; and Jim Miller, consultant, 150,000 shares or \$27,000.

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

As a smaller reporting company we are not required to provide the information required by this item.

## ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT DISCUSSIONS AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

At present, Freestone's management is focused on the utilization of our unique solvent EncapSol. Freestone's acquisitions of certain oil and gas properties are necessary to conduct research and development for EncapSol, and our hydrocarbon-based product Petrozene. Minimal revenues have been earned and related expenses have been incurred from the incidental operation of these oil and gas interests, as well as miscellaneous fees associated with the corporation. Freestone continues to look for various solvents, chemicals, and technologies that might fit into Freestone's petro-chemical line.

### Critical Accounting Policies

Our consolidated financial statements were prepared in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. As such, management is required to make certain estimates, judgments and assumptions that they believe are reasonable based upon the information available. These estimates and assumptions affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of income and expense during the periods presented. The significant accounting policies which management believes are the most critical to aid in fully understanding and evaluating our reported financial results include the following:

#### Revenue Recognition

Revenues from oil, gas and natural gas liquids, which are produced from the Company's wells used its research and development activities, are recognized when the products are sold to a purchaser at a fixed or determinable price, delivery has occurred and title has transferred, and collectability of the revenue is reasonably assured.

#### Stock Based Compensation

Pursuant to Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") 505, the guidelines for recording stock issued for services require the fair value of the shares granted be based on the fair value of the services received or the publicly traded share price of the Company's registered shares on the date the shares were granted (irrespective of the fact that the shares granted were unregistered), whichever is more readily determinable. This position has been further clarified by the issuance of ASC 820,. ASC 820 defines fair value as "the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date". Accordingly, the Company elected the application of these guidelines. Freestone has determined that the fair value of all common stock issued for goods or services is more readily determinable based on the publicly traded share price on the date of grant.

#### Research and Development

The Company currently has limited finances available for research and development. As the Company's financial position improves the Company plans to develop an appropriate research and development policy.

### Results of Operations for the Year Ended June 30, 2011 Compared to the Year Ended June 30, 2010

#### Revenues

Revenue for the years ended June 30 2011 and June 30, 2010 were \$40,864 and \$71,660, respectively. This was provided by sales of oil of \$0 and \$40,777 respectively, sales of gas of \$40,864 and \$30,883 respectively.



### Operating Expense

Total operating expenses in the years ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 were \$1,316,610 and \$645,493, respectively. These included cost of sales which, for the years ended June 30, 2011 and June 30, 2010 were made up of lease operating costs of \$26,728 and \$28,656, respectively. Stock based compensation included of consulting and contract services paid for by the issuance of common stock of \$954,000 and \$0 for the years ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, engineering expense was \$0 and \$175,000 for the years ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, depreciation and depletion of \$11,246 and \$1,871 year respectively, with the balance of general and administrative expenses of \$324,636 and \$432,317, respectively. Impairment expenses related to equipment and oil and gas properties were \$0 for the year ending June 30, 2011 and \$7,649 for the year ended June 30, 2010.

The increase in general and administrative expenses of \$832,151 is due to issuance of stock based compensation of \$954,000; this leaves a net decrease of about \$122,000. This decrease is a result of reduced environmental consulting services of \$96,000, reduced expenses by EOS of \$70,000 and reduced audit/accounting expenses of \$16,000. The decreases were partially offset by increased legal expenses of \$38,000 related to the EOS lawsuit, increased royalty expense due to higher natural gas production and increased payroll of \$10,000.

Other income and expense for the years ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 consist of other income of \$1,666,279 and expense of (\$1,664,159), respectively, gain on sale of investment of \$17,276 and \$0, respectively, revision of our asset retirement obligation (“ARO”) liability of \$16,206 and \$0 and interest expense of \$2,179 and \$6,229, for the periods, respectively. The increase in other income is due to the unwinding of the EOS transaction. The income impact of unwinding EOS was \$1,181,364 on the Freestone results and \$480,010 on the EOS results for a total of \$1,661,374.

### Net (Loss) Income

Net income for the year ended June 30, 2011 was \$421,391. Net loss for year ended June 30, 2010 was \$2,238,091.

### Liquidity and Capital Resources

We have little cash reserves and liquidity to the extent we receive it from operations and through the sale of common stock.

During the year ended June 30, 2011, cash increased by \$64,953 to \$93,015 at June 30, 2011. This increase is resulted from the financing activities, specifically the sale of stock, of about \$445,000 and mostly off-set by use of cash in our operating activities.

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$1,073,262 for the year ended June 30, 2011 compared to (\$648,251) used by operating activities for the same period ending June 30, 2010.

### Employees

As of June 30, 2011, our only employees are the officers of the Company.

### Need for Additional Financing

The Company believes it will not generate sufficient liquidity from its operations so the need for additional funding will be necessary. We may sell stock and/or issue additional debt to raise capital to accelerate our growth.

### Going Concern Uncertainties

As of the date of this annual report, there is doubt regarding our ability to continue as a going concern as we have not generated sufficient cash flows to fund our business operations and loan commitments. Our future success and viability, therefore, are dependent upon our ability to generate capital financing. The failure to generate sufficient revenues or raise additional capital may have a material and adverse effect upon the Company and our shareholders.



## ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Market risk represents the risk of loss arising from adverse changes in market rates and foreign exchange rates. At June 30, 2011, we had outstanding notes payable totaling \$21,461 to a related party. The amount of our outstanding debt at any time may fluctuate and we may from time to time be subject to refinancing risk. A hypothetical 100 basis point increase in interest rates would have a material effect on our annual interest expense and on our results of operations or financial condition as we rely on these notes to sustain our operations. Since we do not have transactions in foreign currencies, we do not consider it necessary to hedge against currency risk.

## ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

The consolidated financial statements of Freestone Resources, Inc., together with the Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm of The Hall Group CPAs covering our years ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, appear on pages 20 through 39 of this report.

## SELECTED QUARTERLY FINANCIAL INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)

The following is a summary of selected quarterly results of operations for the years ended June 30, 2011 and 2010.

Fiscal year ended June 30, 2011	Quarter Ended:			
	30-Sep-10	31-Dec-10	31-Mar-11	30-Jun-11
Revenues	\$ 9,173	\$ 9,235	\$ 2,327	\$ 20,129
Gross profit	9,173	9,235	2,237	20,129
Net income (loss)	(107,256)	(42,196)	(74,386)	661,595
Net income (loss) per share, basic and diluted	\$ (0.00)	\$ (0.00)	\$ (0.00)	\$ 0.01
Weighted average shares used in per share calculation, basic and diluted	72,266,820	72,618,994	73,153,438	78,255,506
Fiscal year ended June 30, 2010	Quarter Ended:			
	30-Sep-09	31-Dec-09	31-Mar-10	30-Jun-10
Revenues	\$ 21,492	\$ 5,703	\$ 25,539	\$ 18,926
Gross profit	21,492	5,703	25,539	18,926
Net income (loss)	(15,172)	(115,056)	(153,993)	(1,953,870)
Net income (loss) per share, basic and diluted	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00	\$ (0.03)
Weighted average shares used in per share calculation, basic and diluted	37,519,892	66,718,994	68,298,994	71,498,994

## ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURES

None.

### ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

#### Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We carried out an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) as of June 30, 2011. This evaluation was accomplished under the supervision and with the participation of our chief executive officer / principal executive officer, and chief financial officer / principal financial officer who concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are not effective to ensure that all material information required to be filed in the annual report on Form 10-K has been made known to them.

For purposes of this section, the term disclosure controls and procedures means controls and other procedures of an issuer that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the issuer in the reports that it files or submits under the Act (15 U.S.C. 78a et seq.) is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the Commission's rules and forms. Disclosure, controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by in our reports filed under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Act") is accumulated and communicated to the issuer's management, including its principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

#### Management's Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) of the Exchange Act. Our internal control system was designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes, in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America. Our internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the Company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, and that receipts and expenditures of the Company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management of the Company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the Company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of inherent limitations, a system of internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate due to change in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Our management conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting using the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in Internal Control—Integrated Framework at June 30, 2011. Based on its evaluation, our management concluded that, as of June 30, 2011, our internal control over financial reporting was not effective because of: 1) Our reliance upon independent

financial reporting consultants for review of critical accounting areas and disclosures and material non-standard transaction; and 2) a lack of sufficient accounting staff which results in a lack of segregation of duties necessary for a good system of internal control. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of control deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the Company's annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected on a timely basis.

This annual report does not include an attestation report of the Company's registered public accounting firm regarding internal control over financial reporting. Management's report was not subject to the attestation by the Company's registered public accounting firm pursuant to the rules of the SEC that permit the Company to provide only management's report in this annual report.

### PART III

#### ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

The following persons serve as directors and officers of Freestone Resources, Inc.:

Clayton Carter, Chief Executive Officer and President  
James F. Carroll, Chief Financial Officer  
Don Edwards, Chief Investment Officer

Clayton Carter, age 26, received his Bachelor of Arts in Integrated Marketing and Communications from Pepperdine University. With his extensive knowledge of the public markets and investment-based finance, Mr. Carter has raised the capital to develop multiple startups. Mr. Carter has served as President and Director of Freestone Resources since January 2009, and will continue his current duties at the Company as the Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Company. Mr. Carter strongly believes in Freestone's continuing mission to develop new technologies that allow for the utilization of various petroleum resources in an environmentally responsible and cost effective way. Mr. Carter has served as the President of Freestone Resources since September of 2008. Previous positions within the past five years include a position as a customer service representative at Wells Fargo bank.

Don Edwards, age 62, is a graduate from Texas Christian University with a BBA degree concentrating in Finance and Economics. Mr. Edwards started his business career with E. F. Hutton where he was a regional OTC Coordinator. He also ran a trading desk for OTC stocks. He later served as President, CFO, CEO and Director for four securities firms as well as a Director for two savings and loans. He has been responsible for managing many public and private companies. He has raised startup capital for dozens of both private and public companies. Mr. Edwards has vast knowledge in the investment field including fine art. He has bought and sold art works of such artists as Charles Russell and Monet. Don was a licensed Insurance agent for many years and assisted in managing the West Texas region for Mass Mutual Life Ins. Co. Don also has a background in the Oil and Gas Industry. His family has run a successful Drilling Co. in West Texas for over half a century. Mr. Edwards will maintain his position as Chief Investment Officer of the Company. Mr. Edwards has served as the Chief Investment Officer for the Company for six months. Prior to his employment at the Company, Mr. Edwards was self employed.

James F. Carroll, 55, has served as the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer of Freestone since May 1, 1999. He has served as a director of Freestone since November 12, 1999. From December 1973 to April 1999, Mr. Carroll was employed by F. Schumacher & Co., a New York fabric company, as a manager of production, purchasing and inventory. Mr. Carroll received a B.B.A. degree in public accounting from Pace University of New York in 1985.

#### Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), requires our executive officers and directors, and persons who beneficially own more than ten percent of our common stock, to file initial reports of ownership and reports of changes in ownership with the SEC. Executive officers, directors and greater than ten percent beneficial owners are required by SEC regulations to furnish us with copies of all Section 16(a) forms they file. We believe that as of the date of this report they were all current in their 16(a) reports, with the exception of the late filing of a Form 3 reports Environmental Services and Support, Inc., a California corporation, which will report the issuance 24,603,507 shares of common stock, respectfully, on September 24, 2009.

#### Board of Directors

Our board of directors currently consists of three members. Our directors serve one-year terms. Our board of directors has affirmatively determined that there are currently no independent directors serving on our board.

#### Committees of the Board of Directors

##### Audit Committee

We do not have a standing audit committee of the Board of Directors. Management has determined not to establish an audit committee at present because of our limited resources and limited operating activities do not warrant the formation of an audit committee or the expense of doing so. We do not have a financial expert serving on the Board of Directors or employed as an officer based on management's belief that the cost of obtaining the services of a person who meets the criteria for a financial expert under Item 401(e) of Regulation S is beyond its limited financial resources and the financial skills of such an expert are simply not required or necessary for us to maintain effective internal controls and procedures for financial reporting in light of the limited scope and simplicity of accounting issues raised in its financial statements at this stage of its development.

##### Governance, Compensation and Nominating Committee

We do not have a standing governance, compensation and nominating committee of the Board of Directors. Management has determined not to establish governance, compensation and nominating committee at present because of our limited resources and limited operations do not warrant such a committee or the expense of doing so.

##### Code of Ethics

The Company has not yet adopted a code of ethics for officers, directors and employees. The Company intends to adopt a code of ethics.

##### Limitation of Liability of Directors

Pursuant to the Nevada General Corporation Law, our Articles of Incorporation exclude personal liability for our Directors for monetary damages based upon any violation of their fiduciary duties as Directors, except as to liability for any breach of the duty of loyalty, acts or omissions not in good faith or which involve intentional misconduct or a knowing violation of law, or any transaction from which a Director receives an improper personal benefit. This exclusion of liability does not limit any right which a Director may have to be indemnified and does not affect any Director's liability under federal or applicable state securities laws.

## ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

## Compensation of Executive Officers

The following summary compensation table sets forth all compensation awarded to, earned by, or paid to the named executive officers paid by us during the fiscal years ended June 30, 2011 in all capacities for the accounts of our executives, including the Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Financial Officer (CFO), and Chief Investment Officer (CIO):

## SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)	Stock Awards (\$)	Option Awards (\$)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$)	Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Earnings (\$)	All Other Compensation (\$)	Totals (\$)
Clayton Carter, CEO	2011	34,700	0	180,000	0	0	0	0	214,700
	2010	26,725	0	0	0	0	0	0	26,725
Don Edwards, CIO	2011	23,000	0	180,000	0	0	0	0	203,000
	2010	15,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	15,000
James Carroll, CFO	2011	0	0	90,000	0	0	0	0	90,000
	2010	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

## Employment Agreements

We do not have any employment agreements in place.

## Compensation of Directors

Directors do not receive any compensation for their services as directors. The Board of Directors has the authority to fix the compensation of directors. No amounts have been paid to, or accrued to, directors in such capacity.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED SHAREHOLDER MATTERS

As of June 30, 2011, the following persons are known to Freestone to own 5% or more of Freestone's Common Stock, as well as the Company's officers and directors.

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner, Officer or Director	Amount Beneficially Owned*	Percent of Class
Clayton Carter, President, CEO and Director	4,005,000	7.63%
James Carroll, Chief Financial Officer and Director	1,690,000	3.22%
Don Edwards, Chief Investment Officer and Director	2,900,000	5.52%
Richard Feldman	3,500,000	6.67%
Cade Carter (a)	3,123,180	5.95%

Directors and Officers as a Group

325 N. St. Paul St.  
Suite 1350  
Dallas, TX 75201

(a) Mr. Cade Carter is the trustee of the 360 Trust which owns 2,623,180 shares

\* Unless otherwise indicated such person is the sole beneficial owner of the shares set forth opposite his name.

ITEM CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS AND DIRECTOR

13. INDEPENDENCE

We were not a party to any transactions or series of similar transactions that have occurred during this fiscal year in which a director, executive officer, holder of more than 5% of our common stock or any member of their immediate family had or will have a direct or indirect material interest.

Due to our limited resources, the Company does not have any independent directors serving on the board of directors.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

Audit Fees

The aggregate fees billed for professional services rendered by our auditors, for the audit of the our annual financial statements and review of the financial statements included in our Form 10-K and Forms 10-Q or services that are normally provided by the accountant in connection with statutory and regulatory filings or engagements for the year ended June 30, 2011 was \$28,144, and \$54,000 for fees relating to the year ended June 30, 2010.

Audit Related Fees

None.

Tax Fees

None.

All Other Fees

None.

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

(a) The following documents are filed as part of this report: Included in Part II, Item 7 of this report:

Report of Independent Registered Public Accountant

Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2011 and 2010

Consolidated Statements of Operations – For the Years Ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 and Since Reentering the Development Stage (July 1, 2010 through June 30, 2011)

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows - For the Years Ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 and Since Reentering the Development Stage (July 1, 2010 through June 30, 2011)

Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity (Deficit) – For the Years Ended June 30, 2011 and 2010

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements



(b) Freestone filed the following Exhibits in the year ended June 30, 2011.

On July 9, 2010, Freestone filed an 8-K to report Civil Action Number 3:10-cv-01349-O. (incorporated by reference to the Form 8-K filed on July 13, 2010)

On April 21, 2011, Freestone filed an 8-K to report Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities. (incorporated by reference to the Form 8-K filed on April 28, 2011)

On June 14, 2011, Freestone filed an 8-K to report Entry into a Material Definitive Agreement. (incorporated by reference to the Form 8-K filed on June 14, 2011)

On June 26, 2011 Freestone filed a Form 8-K to report Entry into a Material Definitive Agreement. (incorporated by reference to the Form 8-K filed on June 30, 2011)

(c) Exhibits

31 Certification

32 Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 – CEO and CFO

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Freestone Resources, Inc.

Dated: September 28, 2011

By: /s/ Clayton Carter  
Clayton Carter,  
Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this Annual Report on Form 10-K to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned hereunto duly authorized.

Name	Title	Date
By: /s/ Clayton Carter Clayton Carter	President, Chief Executive Officer and Director	September 28, 2011
By: /s/ James Carroll James Carroll	Chief Financial Officer, Director	September 28, 2011
By: /s/ Don Edwards Don Edwards	Chief Investment Officer, Director	September 28, 2011

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Board of Directors and Stockholders  
Freestone Resources, Inc.  
Dallas, Texas

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Freestone Resources, Inc. (a Development Stage Company) as of June 30, 2011 and 2010 and the related consolidated statements of operations, cash flows and stockholders' equity for the years then ended and since reentering the development stage (July 1, 2010 through June 30, 2011). These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits of these financial statements in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

We were not engaged to examine management's assertion about the effectiveness of Freestone Resources, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of June 30, 2011 and 2010 and, accordingly, we do not express an opinion thereon.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Freestone Resources, Inc. as of June 30, 2011 and 2010 and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the years then ended and since reentering the development stage (July 1, 2010 through June 30, 2011), in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. As discussed in Note 14 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company has suffered significant losses and will require additional capital to develop its business until the Company either (1) achieves a level of revenues adequate to generate sufficient cash flows from operations; or (2) obtains additional financing necessary to support its working capital requirements. These conditions raise substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. Management's plans in regard to these matters are also described in Note 14. The financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

/s/ The Hall Group, CPAs

The Hall Group, CPAs  
Dallas, Texas

September 28, 2011

FREESTONE RESOURCES, INC.  
(A Development Stage Company)  
Consolidated Balance Sheets  
As of June 30, 2011 and 2010

	2011	2010
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Current assets:		
Cash	\$ 93,015	\$ 28,062
Accounts receivable, net of allowance of \$0 and \$0	2,153	22,029
<b>Total current assets</b>	<b>95,168</b>	<b>50,091</b>
Oil and gas properties used for research and development	26,000	26,000
Equipment and other fixed assets, net of accumulated depreciation of \$15,032 and \$3,786	52,520	5,181
<b>Total fixed assets, net</b>	<b>78,520</b>	<b>31,181</b>
Other assets	3,087	15,511
<b>TOTAL ASSETS</b>	<b>\$ 176,775</b>	<b>\$ 96,783</b>
<b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIT)</b>		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 23,047	\$ 250,769
Accounts payable, related party	0	150,010
Accrued expenses	8,237	12,559
Note payable, related party	21,461	34,321
Stock to be issued	0	150,000
<b>Total current liabilities</b>	<b>52,745</b>	<b>597,659</b>
Long term liabilities:		
Asset retirement obligations	24,917	41,123
<b>Total long term liabilities</b>	<b>24,917</b>	<b>41,123</b>
<b>TOTAL LIABILITIES</b>	<b>77,662</b>	<b>638,782</b>
<b>STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIT):</b>		
Common stock, \$.001 par value, 200,000,000 shares authorized, 52,512,760 and 71,718,994 shares issued and outstanding		
	52,513	71,719
Additional paid in capital	16,538,716	16,299,789
Accumulated deficit	(16,492,116)	(16,913,507)
<b>Total stockholders' equity (deficit)</b>	<b>99,113</b>	<b>(541,999)</b>
<b>TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIT)</b>	<b>\$ 176,775</b>	<b>\$ 96,783</b>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.



FREESTONE RESOURCES, INC.  
(A Development Stage Company)  
Consolidated Statements of Operations  
For the Years Ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 and  
Since Reentering the Development Stage (July 1, 2010 to June 30, 2011)

	2011	2010	Since Reentering the Development Stage (July 1, 2010 to June 30, 2011)
<b>Revenue:</b>			
Oil & gas revenues resulting from research activities	\$40,864	\$71,660	40,864
Total revenue	40,864	71,660	40,864
<b>Operating expenses:</b>			
Lease operating costs	26,728	28,656	26,728
Depreciation	11,246	1,871	11,246
Stock-based compensation	954,000	0	954,000
Engineering	0	175,000	0
Impairment of oil and gas properties	0	7,649	0
General and administrative	324,636	432,317	324,636
Total operating expenses	1,316,610	645,493	1,316,610
Net operating (loss)	(1,275,746 )	(573,833 )	(1,275,746 )
<b>Other income (expense):</b>			
Other income related to the settlement of the EOS litigation	1,665,834	0	1,665,834
Impairment of intangible assets related to the EOS acquisition	0	(1,664,159 )	0
Gain on sale of asset	17,276	0	17,276
Gain on forgiveness of debt	0	6,200	0
Revision to ARO estimate	16,206	0	16,206
Interest expense	(2,179 )	(6,299 )	(2,179 )
Total other income (expense)	1,697,137	(1,664,258 )	1,697,137
Net income (loss)	\$421,391	\$(2,238,091 )	\$ 421,391
<b>Basic and diluted income (loss) per share:</b>			
Net income (loss) per share	\$0.01	\$(0.04 )	
<b>Weighted average shares outstanding:</b>			
Basic and diluted	74,069,741	60,843,055	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.



FREESTONE RESOURCES, INC.  
(A Development Stage Company)  
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flow  
For the Years Ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 and  
Since Reentering the Development Stage (July 1, 2010 to June 30, 2011)

	2011	2010	Since Reentering the Development Stage (July 1, 2010 to June 30, 2011)
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES</b>			
Net income (loss)	\$421,391	\$(2,238,091)	\$ 421,391
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:			
Depreciation	11,246	1,871	11,246
Impairment of oil and gas properties	0	7,649	0
Impairment of intangible assets related to the EOS acquisition	0	1,664,159	0
Gain on sale of investment asset	(17,276 )	0	(17,276 )
Shares issued for demonstration equipment	58,585	0	58,585
Stock based compensation	954,000	0	954,000
Decrease in revision to ARO estimate	(16,206 )	0	(16,206 )
Shares issued for warrants	24,000	0	24,000
Change in assets and liabilities:			
Accounts receivable	19,876	(17,470 )	19,876
Other assets	(300 )	(9,443 )	(300 )
Accounts payable	(227,722 )	(23,662 )	(227,722 )
Accounts payable – related parties	(150,010 )		(150,010 )
Accrued expenses	(4,322 )	12,559	(4,322 )
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	1,073,262	(602,428 )	1,073,262
<b>CASH FLOWS USED IN INVESTING ACTIVITIES</b>			
Purchase of property and equipment	(58,585 )	(7,649 )	(58,585 )
Sale of investment asset	30,000	0	30,000
Net cash (used in) investing activities	(28,585 )	(7,649 )	(28,585 )
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES</b>			
Proceeds from sale of stock	444,500	500,000	444,500 )
Stock to be issued	(150,000 )	150,000	(150,000 )
Payments on notes payable to bank	0	(25,997 )	0
Proceeds from notes payable, related parties	0	34,321	0
Payments on notes payable, related parties	(12,860 )	(25,000 )	(12,860 )
Stock returned upon settlement of litigation	(1,261,364)	0	(1,261,364 )
Net cash provided by financing activities	(979,724 )	633,324	(979,724 )



Edgar Filing: FREESTONE RESOURCES, INC. - Form 10-K

NET CHANGE IN CASH	64,953	23,247	64,953
CASH AT BEGINNING OF YEAR	28,062	4,815	28,062
CASH AT END OF YEAR	\$93,015	\$28,062	\$ 93,015
Supplemental cash flow information:			
Cash paid for interest	\$242	\$3,128	\$ 242
Cash paid for income taxes	0	0	0
Non-cash investing activities:			
Stock issued for acquisition of subsidiary	(1,261,364)	1,264,149	(1,261,364 )
Licenses assumed in acquisition of subsidiary	0	150,000	0
Assumption of accounts payable in acquisition of subsidiary	0	400,000	0
Intangible asset	0	10,000	0

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

FREESTONE RESOURCES, INC.  
(A Development Stage Company)  
Consolidated Statement of Stockholders' Equity (Deficit)  
For the Years Ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 and  
The Period July 1, 2010 (Development Stage Inception) to June 30, 2011

	Common Stock Shares	Amount	Additional paid in capital	Accumulated Deficit	Total
Balance, June 30, 2009	35,115,260	\$ 35,115	\$ 14,572,244	\$(14,675,416)	\$ (68,057)
Common stock issued for cash	5,000,000	5,000	495,000	0	500,000
Common stock issued for acquisition of EOS	31,603,734	31,604	1,232,545	0	1,264,149
Net loss				(2,238,091)	(2,238,091)
Balance, June 30, 2010	71,718,994	71,719	16,299,789	(16,913,507)	(541,999)
Common stock issued for cash	3,712,500	3,713	440,787	0	444,500
Common stock issued for Demo equipment	100,000	100	58,485	0	58,585
Common stock issued for services	5,300,000	5,300	948,700	0	954,000
Common stock issued for warrants	500,000	500	23,500	0	24,000
Common stock returned for EOS acquisition	(28,818,734)	(28,819)	(1,232,545)	0	(1,261,364)
Net income				421,391	421,391
Balance, June 30, 2011	52,512,760	\$ 52,513	\$ 16,538,716	\$(16,492,116)	\$ 99,113

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

FREESTONE RESOURCES, INC.  
Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements  
June 30, 2011 and 2010

NOTE 1 – NATURE OF ACTIVITIES AND SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Nature of Activities, History and Organization:

Freestone Resources, Inc. (“Freestone” or the “Company”) is an oil and gas technology development company. The Company is located in Dallas, Texas and is incorporated under the laws of the State of Nevada.

The Company’s primary business is the development of new technologies that allow for the utilization of oil and gas resources in an environmentally responsible and cost effective way, as well as the development of technologies that can be used in the environmental cleanup of oil-based contaminant byproducts.

The Company acquired one hundred percent (100%) of the issued and outstanding stock of Earth Oil Services, Inc., a Nevada corporation (“EOS”), in a stock based transaction on September 24, 2009 (the “EOS Agreement”). The Company issued 31,603,734 shares of restricted common stock of the Company in consideration for this transaction. EOS owns certain exclusive, territorial, license agreements to a proprietary technology that is a chemical solvent that can separate, extract and recycle hydrocarbon contaminants from ground soils, tar sands, vessels and other materials. EOS has engaged a fabricator to build a prototype machine (the “Prototype”) designed to be used in conjunction with the solvent. EOS is indebted to the fabricator for its development of the Prototype. EOS is now a wholly owned subsidiary of Freestone and all intercompany accounts have been eliminated in consolidation. As discussed in Note 16, the Company has filed a lawsuit seeking to rescind the EOS Agreement. On June 26, 2011 the Company entered into a Settlement Agreement (the “Settlement Agreement”) with Enviro Serv, Shultz, David Feuerborn, an individual residing in Riverside County, California, and Thomas Jennings, an individual residing in Orange County, California (collectively the “Parties”). The Settlement Agreement permanently and irrevocably effectuates a final and binding global settlement and release of the Parties regarding the pending lawsuit between the Parties in the Northern District of Texas, Cause No. 3:10-CV-01349-O. Furthermore, the Settlement Agreement rescinds the Stock Purchase Agreement entered into by and between the Parties on September 24, 2009, and cancels 28,818,734 shares of Freestone’s common stock of the 31,603,734 shares of Freestone common stock that was originally issued to Enviro Serv and Shultz, and returns said cancelled stock to the Company’s treasury.

Development Stage Company

The Company is a development-stage company as defined in FASB Accounting Standards Codification (“ASC”) 915 “Development Stage Enterprises”. As of July 1, 2010 the Company reentered the development stage entity because it is devoting substantially all of its efforts to raising capital and establishing its business and principal operations, and no sales have been derived to date from its principal operations. The Company reentered the development stage due to management's decision to cease any operations of the oil separation technology licensed by Earth Oil Services, Inc. Instead, the Company began development of its own oil separation technology. The development of the aforesaid technology resulted in the need to raise additional capital for the construction and development of a prototype Oil Recovery Unit

Significant Accounting Policies:

The Company’s management selects accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and adopts methods for their application. The application of accounting principles requires the estimating, matching and timing of revenue and expense. It is also necessary for management to determine, measure and allocate resources and

obligations within the financial process according to those principles. The accounting policies used conform to generally accepted accounting principles which have been consistently applied in the preparation of these financial statements.

The financial statements and notes are representations of the Company's management which is responsible for their integrity and objectivity. Management further acknowledges that it is solely responsible for adopting sound accounting practices, establishing and maintaining a system of internal accounting control and preventing and detecting fraud. The Company's system of internal accounting control is designed to assure, among other items, that 1) recorded transactions are valid; 2) valid transactions are recorded; and 3) transactions are recorded in the proper period in a timely manner to produce financial statements which present fairly the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the Company for the respective periods being presented.

### Going Concern Uncertainties

As of the date of this annual report, there is substantial doubt regarding our ability to continue as a going concern as we have not generated sufficient profits and cash flows to fund our business operations and loan commitments. Our future success and viability, therefore, are dependent upon our ability to generate capital financing and to develop products through our research and development activities that will generate revenues sufficient to cover our operating costs and expenses. The failure to generate sufficient revenues or raise additional capital may have a material and adverse effect upon the Company and our shareholders.

### FASB Accounting Standards Codification:

In June 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued new guidance concerning the organization of authoritative guidance under U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles ("GAAP"). This new guidance created the FASB Accounting Standards Codification ("FASC" or Codification"). The Codification has become the source of authoritative U.S. GAAP recognized by the FASB to be applied by nongovernmental entities. Rules and interpretive releases of the SEC under authority of federal securities laws are also sources of authoritative U.S. GAAP for SEC registrants. The Codification became effective for the Company in its quarter ended March 31, 2010. As the Codification is not intended to change or alter existing U.S. GAAP, it did not have any impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements. On its effective date, the Codification superseded all then-existing non-SEC accounting and reporting standards. All other nongrandfathered non-SEC accounting literature not included in the Codification will become nonauthoritative.

### Basis of Presentation:

The Company prepares its financial statements on the accrual basis of accounting. The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its wholly owned subsidiaries, Freestone Technologies, LLC and EOS, all of which have a fiscal year end of June 30, 2010. All significant intercompany accounts, balances and transactions have been eliminated in the consolidation.

The Company consolidates its subsidiaries in accordance with ASC 810, "Business Combinations", (formally SFAS 141R) and specifically ASC 810-10-15-8 which states, "The usual condition for a controlling financial interest is ownership of a majority voting interest, and, therefore, as a general rule ownership by one reporting entity, directly or indirectly, or over 50% of the outstanding voting shares of another entity is a condition pointing toward consolidation."

The Company owns 100% of EOS and has applied ASC 810 in consolidating the subsidiary. EOS owns 50% of BRC, as does an unrelated third party, Hidden Peak Group. Although each party owns 50%, Hidden Peak Group maintains control of the three person management board with three representatives, and therefore, applying the requirements for consolidations under ASC 810, EOS has not consolidated BRC but shows its impact through the Noncontrolling Interest method.

### Use of Estimates:

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect certain reported amounts and disclosures. Accordingly, actual results could differ from those estimates.

### Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements:

The Company does not expect the adoption of recently issued accounting pronouncements to have a significant impact on the Company's results of operations, financial position or cash flow.

Income Taxes:

The Company has adopted ASC 740-10 "Income Taxes" (formerly SFAS No. 109), which requires the use of the liability method in the computation of income tax expense and the current and deferred income taxes payable. A valuation allowance is provided for the amount of deferred tax assets that, based on available evidence, are not expected to be realized.

Earnings per Share:

Basic net loss per share is calculated by dividing the net loss by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period covered. Freestone has no potentially dilutive securities; therefore fully diluted loss per share is identical to basic loss per share.

#### Cash and Cash Equivalents:

Cash and cash equivalents includes cash in banks with original maturities of three months or less and are stated at cost which approximates market value, which in the opinion of management, are subject to an insignificant risk of loss in value. The Company maintains deposits in a financial institution which provides Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation coverage for interest bearing and non interest bearing transaction accounts of up to \$250,000 through December 31, 2013. At June 30, 2011, none of the Company's cash was in excess of federally insured limits.

#### Revenue Recognition:

The Company recognizes revenue from the sale of products in accordance with ASC 605-15 "Revenue Recognition", (formerly Securities and Exchange Commission Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 104, "Revenue Recognition in Financial Statements" ("SAB 104")). Revenue will be recognized only when all of the following criteria have been met:

- \* Persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists;
- \* Ownership and all risks of loss have been transferred to buyer, which is generally upon shipment;
- \* The price is fixed and determinable; and
- \* Collectability is reasonably assured.

Revenues associated with sales of crude oil, natural gas, natural gas liquids, petroleum and chemical products, and other items are recognized when title passes to the customer, which is when the risk of ownership passes to the purchaser and physical delivery of goods occurs, either immediately or within a fixed delivery schedule that is reasonable and customary in the industry.

Revenues from the production of natural gas and crude oil properties, in which we have an interest with other producers, are recognized based on the actual volumes we sold during the period. Any differences between volumes sold and entitlement volumes, based on our net working interest, which are deemed to be non-recoverable through remaining production, are recognized as accounts receivable or accounts payable, as appropriate. Cumulative differences between volumes sold and entitlement volumes are generally not significant.

#### Accounts Receivable:

Accounts Receivable consists of accrued oil and gas receivables due from purchasers of oil and gas for which the Company owns an interest. Oil and natural gas sales are generally unsecured and such amounts are generally due within 30 to 45 days after the month of sale. Accounts Receivable are carried at their face amount, less an allowance for doubtful accounts. On a periodic basis, the Company evaluates accounts receivable and establishes the allowance for doubtful accounts based on a combination of specific customer circumstances and credit conditions, based on a history of write offs and collections. The Company's policy is generally not to charge interest on trade receivables after the invoice becomes past due. A receivable is considered past due if payments have not been received within agreed upon invoice terms. Write offs are recorded at a time when a customer receivable is deemed uncollectible. The Company had no bad debt accruals at June 30, 2011 and 2010.

#### Oil and Gas Properties:

Freestone is actively purchasing marginal oil and gas properties and leasing properties that will be used in the further research and development of oil and gas technologies. This research focuses on the types of formations that will benefit the most from the use of the solvent, as well as the various applications from production and storage to end cycle refinement.

Equipment:

Equipment is carried at the cost of acquisition or construction and depreciated over the estimated useful lives of the assets. Costs associated with repair and maintenance are expensed as incurred. Costs associated with improvements which extend the life, increase the capacity or improve the efficiency of our property and equipment are capitalized and depreciated over the remaining life of the related asset. Gains and losses on dispositions of equipment are reflected in operations. Depreciation and amortization are provided using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets, which are 3 to 30 years. Oil and gas properties were purchased primarily for product testing and are depreciated over their estimated useful lives of 3 years but not reduced below estimated salvage value.



#### Impairment of Long-Lived Assets:

The Company evaluates, on a periodic basis, long-lived assets to be held and used for impairment in accordance with the reporting requirements of ASC 360-10, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets", (formerly "SFAS" No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets). The evaluation is based on certain impairment indicators, such as the nature of the assets, the future economic benefit of the assets, any historical or future profitability measurements, as well as other external market conditions or factors that may be present. If these impairment indicators are present or other factors exist that indicate that the carrying amount of the asset may not be recoverable, then an estimate of the discounted value of expected future operating cash flows is used to determine whether the asset is recoverable and the amount of any impairment is measured as the difference between the carrying amount of the asset and its estimated fair value. The fair value is estimated using valuation techniques such as market prices for similar assets or discounted future operating cash flows.

#### Asset Retirement Obligation:

The Company records the fair value of a liability for asset retirement obligations ("ARO") in the period in which an obligation is incurred and records a corresponding increase in the carrying amount of the related long-lived asset. For Freestone Resources, asset retirement obligations primarily relate to the abandonment of oil and gas properties. The present value of the estimated asset retirement cost is capitalized as part of the carrying amount of oil and gas properties. The settlement date fair value is discounted at Freestone Resource's credit adjusted risk-free rate in determining the abandonment liability. The abandonment liability is accreted with the passage of time to its expected settlement fair value. Revisions to such estimates are recorded as adjustments to the ARO and capitalized asset retirement costs and are charged to operations in the period in which they become known. At the time the abandonment cost is incurred, Freestone Resources is required to recognize a gain or loss if the actual costs do not equal the estimated costs included in the ARO. During 2011, the Company has not recognized accretion expense, as the properties were written down to salvage value as of June 30, 2009.

The amounts recognized for the ARO are based upon numerous estimates and assumptions, including future abandonment costs, future recoverable quantities of oil and gas, future inflation rates, and the credit adjusted risk free interest rate.

#### Fair Value Measurements:

ASC Topic 820, "Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures", defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles, and requires certain disclosures about fair value measurements. In general, fair value of financial instruments are based upon quoted market prices, where available. If such quoted market prices are not available, fair value is based upon internally developed models that primarily use, as inputs, observable market based parameters. Valuation adjustments may be made to ensure that financial instruments are recorded at fair value. These adjustments may include amounts to reflect counterparty credit quality and the Corporation's credit worthiness, among other things, as well as unobservable parameters.

## Stock-Based Compensation:

The Company accounts for non-employee stock-based awards in which services are the consideration received for the equity instruments based on the fair value of the equity instruments issued, in accordance with the Emerging Issues Task Force (“EITF”) Issue 96-18, Accounting for Equity Instruments That Are Issued To Other Than Employees For Acquiring, or in Conjunction With Selling Goods or Services.

The Company does not have any employee benefit or stock option plans.

## Concentrations of Credit Risk:

The Company’s financial instruments that are exposed to concentrations of credit risk consist primarily of cash. The Company places its cash in highly-rated financial institutions, limits the amount of credit exposure with any one financial institution and conducts ongoing evaluation of the credit worthiness of the financial institutions with which it does business.

## NOTE 2 – FIXED ASSETS

Fixed assets at June 30, 2011 and 2010 are as follows:

	June 30, 2011	June 30, 2010
Computers & office furniture	\$ 67,552	\$ 8,967
Oil and gas properties used for research and development	26,000	26,000
Total fixed assets	93,552	34,967
Less: Accumulated depreciation	(15,032)	(3,786)
Total fixed assets, net of accumulated depreciation	\$ 78,520	\$ 31,181

Depreciation expense for the year ended was \$11,246 and \$1,871 for the years ended June 30, 2011 and 2010.

The Company issued 100,000 shares for demonstration equipment valued at \$58,585 during the year ended June 30, 2011 and are depreciating over three years.

As discussed in Note, 12, the Company’s oil and gas properties used for research and development were written down to salvage value during the year ended June 30, 2009.

## NOTE 3 – NOTES PAYABLE - RELATED PARTIES

On May 26, 2009, the Company received a loan from Mike Doran (“Doran”), the Company’s CEO at that time, in the amount of \$25,000. A note payable was formally prepared by the Company but never executed by Doran. The terms of the loan included an interest rate of three and a half percent, and the payment of twelve monthly installments beginning on October 31, 2009. On July 8, 2009, an amended and restated promissory note with similar terms was executed to replace the original note payable, at which time the Company recorded a \$6,200 gain on extinguishment of debt. During the year ended June 30, 2009, the Company received an advance from Doran of \$20,000 which was repaid during the year. As of June 30, 2011 and 2010 the balance owed to Mr. Doran was \$6,461 and \$12,314 respectively.

On July 9, 2009, the Company received an advance from James Carter, a shareholder, in the amount of \$25,000. There are no terms on the advance and no interest is paid. At June 30, 2011 and 2010 the balance owed was

\$15,000 and \$20,000.

NOTE 4 – ASSET RETIREMENT OBLIGATIONS

The Company's asset retirement obligations ("ARO") represents the estimated present value of the amount Freestone Resources will incur to plug, abandon and remediate its producing properties at the end of their productive lives, in accordance with applicable state laws. Freestone Resources determines the ARO on its oil and gas properties by calculating the present value of estimated cash flows related to the liability. At June 30, 2010, the liability for ARO was \$41,123, all of which is considered long term. The asset retirement obligations are recorded as current or non-current liabilities based on the estimated timing of the anticipated cash flows. For the year ended June 30, 2011 and June 30, 2010, Freestone Resources has recognized accretion expense of \$0 and \$0. During 2011 and 2010, the Company has not recognized accretion expense, as the properties were written down to salvage value as of June 30, 2009.

The following table presents the changes in the asset retirement obligations for the year ended June 30, 2011 and 2010.

	2011	2010
Asset retirement obligations beginning period	\$ 41,123	\$ 41,123
Accretion expense	0	0
Change in ARO estimate	(16,206)	0
Addition to ARO	0	0
Asset retirement obligations, end of period	\$ 24,917	\$ 41,123

#### NOTE 5 – EQUITY

The Company is authorized to issue 200,000,000 common shares at a par value of \$0.001 per share. These shares have full voting rights. At June 30, 2011 and 2010, there were 52,512,760 and 71,718,994, respectively, common shares outstanding.

During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011, the Company sold 3,712,500 shares for cash at an average price of \$.10 a share. The Company also issued 5,300,000 shares for services with a total value of \$954,000; 500,000 shares for warrants with a total value of \$24,000; and 100,000 shares for demonstration equipment with a total value of \$58,585. These shares are restricted pursuant to SEC Rule 144.

As discussed in Note 1, the Company issued 31,603,734 shares of restricted common stock for 100% of the issued and outstanding stock of EOS. On July 9, 2010, the Company filed a lawsuit seeking to rescind the stock purchase agreement and cancel the shares that were issued. See Note 16 for additional information. On June 26, 2011, a settlement was reached to return and cancel 28,818,734 shares; please see Note 8 for additional information.

In June 2011, the Company issued a warrant to purchase 500,000 shares of common stock to Hydrex Technologies, (“Hydrex”) in conjunction with the issuance of a License Agreement to Hydrex. The warrants were exercised on June 9, 2011 for \$24,000, which, per the agreement, was 20% of the closing price on the last trading day prior to the exercise. The Company has a similar warrant agreement with Hydrex, in which the warrant is only granted and exercisable upon the completion of commercial-scale Oil Recovery Units within a stated period of time.

The Company has not paid a dividend to its shareholders and does not have any stock option plans or warrants outstanding.

#### NOTE 6 – INCOME TAXES

The Company has adopted ASC 740-10, “Income Taxes”, (formerly SFAS No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes), which requires the use of the liability method in the computation of income tax expense and the current and deferred income taxes payable. Freestone has calculated its tax liability in accordance with Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code which generally limits the amount of its income that can be offset by historical losses (NOL carryforwards) once a corporation has undergone an ownership change. Ownership changed in Freestone on November 1, 2007. The cumulative net operating loss carry-forward that can offset current and/or future income includes amounts and is approximately \$1,535,000. The use of this loss carryforward is limited under Internal Revenue Code Section 382 due to an ownership change in the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008. The annual limitation is \$151,000.

During the year ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, the Company had net income of \$421,391 and a net loss of \$2,238,091, respectively, changing the deferred tax asset by (\$143,273) and \$760,951 at the statutory tax rate of 34%. All NOLs will expire between 2019 and 2030. The realization of deferred tax benefits is contingent upon future

earnings and, therefore, is fully reserved at June 30, 2011 and 2010.

Freestone's net deferred tax amounts are as follows:

	Year ended June 30,					
	2011			2010		
Tax benefit (expense) at statutory rate	\$143,273	34	%	\$(760,951)	34	%
Permanent differences	0	0	%	0	0	%
State income taxes	0	0	%	0	0	%
Expiration of NOL's and other	0	0	%	0		%
Valuation allowance	143,273	(4)	)%	(760,951)	(4)	)%
Effective tax rate	\$0	0	%	\$0	0	%

Deferred income taxes reflect the net tax effects of temporary differences between the carrying amount of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for income tax purposes. Significant components of deferred tax assets as of June 30, 2011 and 2010 are as follows:

	June 30, 2011	June 30, 2010
Deferred tax assets:		
Net operating losses carry forwards	\$ 1,282,960	\$ 522,009
Current year benefit (expense)	(143,273)	760,951
Total deferred tax assets	1,139,687	1,282,960
Deferred tax liabilities	0	0
Total deferred tax liabilities	0	0
Net deferred tax asset	1,139,687	1,282,960
Valuation allowance	(1,139,687)	(1,282,960)
Deferred tax asset, net	\$ 0	\$ 0

#### NOTE 7 – CONCENTRATION OF REVENUE

During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011, 65% of revenue from oil and gas sales was generated from two customers. In fiscal year ended June 30, 2010, 73% of revenue was from the same two customers.

#### NOTE 8 – LEGAL

The Company filed a lawsuit in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division, on July 9, 2010, Civil Action Number 3:10-cv-01349-O against Lawrence Shultz, Environmental Services and Support, Inc., David Feuerborn and Thomas Jennings for, but not limited to, the rescission of the Agreement. See Item 3, "Legal Proceedings" for additional information.

On June 26, 2011 the Company entered into a Settlement Agreement (the "Settlement Agreement") with Enviro Serv, Shultz, David Feuerborn, an individual residing in Riverside County, California, and Thomas Jennings, an individual residing in Orange County, California (collectively the "Parties"). The Settlement Agreement permanently and irrevocably effectuates a final and binding global settlement and release of the Parties regarding the pending lawsuit between the Parties in the Northern District of Texas, Cause No. 3:10-CV-01349-O. Furthermore, the Settlement Agreement rescinds the Stock Purchase Agreement entered into by and between the Parties on September 24, 2009, and cancels 28,818,734 shares of Freestone's common stock of the 31,603,734 shares of Freestone common stock that was originally issued to Enviro Serv and Shultz, and returns said cancelled stock to the Company's treasury.



## NOTE 9 – ACQUISITION OF EARTH OIL SERVICES &amp; IMPAIRMENT OF GOODWILL

On September 24, 2009 the Company acquired one hundred percent (100%) of the issued and outstanding stock of Earth Oil Services, Inc., a Nevada corporation (“EOS”), in a non-cash transaction. The following presents a summary of the net assets acquired:

## EOS Summary of Net Assets as of September 24, 2009

Assets	
Investment in Bleeding Rock, LLC	\$ 250,010
Intangible assets	10,000
Licenses	150,000
Total Assets	410,010
Liabilities	
Accounts payable	250,000
Accounts payable – Related Party	150,010
Total Liabilities	400,010
Net Assets	\$ 10,000

The transaction generated goodwill of \$1,254,149. This amount was capitalized and is segregated on the balance sheet. As discussed in Note 16, the Company has filed a lawsuit seeking to rescind the Stock Purchase Agreement entered into by and between the Company and the shareholders of EOS. The Company has determined that as of June 30, 2010, the assets and goodwill related to this transaction have been permanently impaired, and have recorded an impairment loss of \$1,664,159 for the year ended June 30, 2010. Note 16 also presents a proforma balance sheet and statement of operations representing the Company as of and for the year ended June 30, 2010, as if EOS had not been acquired on September 24, 2009.

The following proforma Consolidated Balance Sheet and Consolidated Statement of Operations represents the Company as if EOS had not been acquired and consolidated on September 24, 2009:



Freestone Resources, Inc.  
Consolidated Balance Sheets  
PROFORMA  
(Unaudited)  
Assets

June 30,  
2010

Current Assets:

Cash	\$28,062
Accounts receivable	22,029
Total Current Assets	50,091
Oil and gas properties used for research and development	26,000
Equipment and other fixed assets, net of accumulated depreciation of \$3,785	5,181
Total Fixed Assets, net	31,181
Other Assets	15,511
Total Assets	\$96,783

Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity (Deficit)

Current Liabilities:

Accounts payable	\$769
Accrued expenses	12,559
Stock to be issued	150,000
Notes payable-related parties	34,321
Total Current Liabilities	197,649

Long-term Liabilities:

Asset retirement obligations	41,123
Total Liabilities	238,772

Stockholders' Equity (Deficit):

Common stock, \$.001 par value, 100,000,000 shares authorized, 40,115,260 shares issued and outstanding, respectively	40,115
Additional paid in capital	15,067,244
Accumulated deficit	(15,249,348)
Stockholders' equity (deficit)	( 141,989 )
Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity	\$96,783

Freestone Resources, Inc.  
Consolidated Statements of Operations  
PROFORMA  
(Unaudited)

	Year Ended June 30, 2010
Revenue:	
Oil and gas resulting from research activities	\$ 71,660
Total revenue resulting from research activities	71,660
Operating expenses:	
Lease operating costs	28,656
Depreciation	1,871
Impairment expense	7,649
Engineering	175,000
General and administrative	432,317
Total operating expenses	645,493
Operating income (loss)	(573,833)
Other income (expense):	
Interest (expense)	(6,299)
Gain on settlement of debt	6,200
Total other income (expense)	(99)
Net income (loss)	\$ (573,932)

NOTE 10 – FREESTONE TECHNOLOGIES, LLC

On October 24, 2008, Freestone established Freestone Technologies, LLC (the “Subsidiary”) in the state of Texas. The Subsidiary is wholly owned by Freestone and has certain assets and liabilities relating to the purchase of oil wells. These wells were purchased to be used to test Freestone’s chemical solvents. The assets and liabilities of the Subsidiary are included in the consolidated financial statements of Freestone.

NOTE 11 – FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

Cash, accounts receivable, accounts payable and other accrued expenses and other current assets and liabilities are carried at amounts which reasonably approximate their fair values because of the relatively short maturity of those instruments.

Accounting Standards Codification (“ASC”) Topic 820, “Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures” (formally SFAS No. 157) establishes a framework for measuring fair value. That framework provides a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value. The hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1 measurements) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3 measurements). The three levels of the fair value hierarchy are described as follows:

Level 1 Inputs to the valuation methodology are unadjusted quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in active markets that the Company has the ability to access.

Level 2 Inputs to the valuation methodology include:

- 2
- quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets;
- quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in inactive markets;
- inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability;
- inputs that are derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data by correlation or other means.

If the asset or liability has a specified (contractual) term, the Level 2 input must be observable for substantially the full term of the asset or liability.

Level 3 Inputs to the valuation methodology are unobservable and significant to the fair value measurement.

The asset or liability’s fair value measurement level within the fair value hierarchy is based on the lowest level of any input that is significant to the fair value measurement. Valuation techniques used need to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs.

Following is a description of the valuation methodologies used for Company assets measured at fair value:

Asset retirement obligations are recorded based on the present value of the estimated cost to retire the oil and gas properties and are depleted over the useful life of the asset. The settlement date fair value is discounted at the Company’s credit adjusted risk-free rate in determining the abandonment liability.

The preceding method described may produce a fair value calculation that may not be indicative of net realizable value or reflective of future fair values. Furthermore, although the Company believes its valuation method is appropriate and consistent with other market participants, the use of different methodologies or assumptions to determine the fair value of certain financial instruments could result in a different fair value measurement at the reporting date. The following table sets forth by level, within the fair value hierarchy, the Company’s liabilities at fair value as of June 30, 2011:

Liabilities at Fair Value as of June 30, 2011

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Asset retirement obligations	\$ 0	\$0	\$ 24,917	\$ 24,917



In February 2008, the FASB issued FSP FAS 157-2, Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 157, which delays the effective date of SFAS 157 for nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities, except for items that are recognized and disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a recurring basis (as disclosed above).

NOTE 12 – COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

The Company leases office space under a non-cancelable operating lease that expires in June 2014. The lease requires fixed escalations and payment of electricity costs. Rent expense, included in general and administrative expenses, totaled approximately \$24,847 and \$27,185 for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

The future minimum rental commitments under the operating lease are as follows:

Fiscal Year Ending June 30,	Minimum Rental Commitments
2012	\$ 22,605
2013	24,112
2014	24,112
2015	0
2016	0
Thereafter	0
	\$ 70,829

NOTE 13 – IMPAIRMENT OF OIL AND GAS PROPERTIES

During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011 and June 30, 2010 the Company recorded impairment expense of \$0 and \$7,649, respectively, related to its oil and gas property and equipment.

#### NOTE 14 – FINANCIAL CONDITION AND GOING CONCERN

The Company has an accumulated deficit through June 30, 2011 totaling \$16,492,116 and recurring losses from operations. Because of this accumulated loss, Freestone will require additional working capital to develop its business operations. The Company intends to raise additional working capital either through private placements, public offerings, bank financing and/or shareholder funding. There are no assurances that Freestone will be able to either (1) achieve a level of revenues adequate to generate sufficient cash flow from operations; or (2) obtain additional financing through either private placement, public offerings, bank financing and/or shareholder funding necessary to support their working capital requirements. To the extent that funds generated from any private placements, public offerings, bank financing and/or shareholder funding are insufficient, Freestone will have to raise additional working capital. No assurance can be given that additional financing will be available, or if available, will be on terms acceptable to Freestone. If adequate working capital is not available Freestone may not be able to continue its operations. Management believes that the efforts it has made to promote its business will continue for the foreseeable future.

These conditions raise substantial doubt about Freestone's ability to continue as a going concern. The financial statements do not include any adjustments relating to the recoverability and classification of asset carrying amounts or the amount and classification of liabilities that might be necessary should Freestone be unable to continue as a going concern.

#### NOTE 15 – RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

The FASB has recently issued the following guidance:

In July 2010, the FASB issued ASU 2010-20, "Disclosures about the Credit Quality of Financing Receivables and the Allowance for Credit Losses." This ASU is created to provide financial statement users with greater transparency about an entity's allowance for credit losses and the credit quality of its financing receivables. This ASU is intended to provide additional information to assist financial statement users in assessing the entity's credit risk exposures and evaluating the adequacy of its allowance for credit losses. The amendments in this ASU are effective for public entities as of the end of a reporting period for interim and annual reporting periods ending on or after December 15, 2010. The disclosures about activity that occurs during a reporting period were effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning on or after December 15, 2010.

In December 2010, the FASB issued ASU 2010-28, "Intangibles – Goodwill and Other." This ASU addresses when to perform step 2 of the goodwill impairment test for reporting units with zero or negative carrying amounts. For public entities, the amendments in this ASU were effective for fiscal years, and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2010.

In December 2010, the FASB issued ASU 2010-29, "Disclosure of Supplementary Pro Forma Information for Business Combinations." This ASU addresses diversity in practice about the interpretation of the pro forma revenue and earnings disclosure requirements for business combinations. This ASU is effective prospectively for business combinations for which the acquisition date is on or after the beginning of the first annual reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2010.

In April 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-02, "A Creditor's Determination of Whether a Restructuring Is a Troubled Debt Restructuring." This ASU provides additional guidance or clarification to help creditors determine whether a restructuring constitutes a troubled debt restructuring. The amendments in this ASU are effective for the first interim or annual period beginning on or after June 15, 2011, and should be applied retrospectively to the beginning of the annual period of adoption. Additional disclosures are also required under this ASU.

In April 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-03, "Reconsideration of Effective Control for Repurchase Agreements." The objective of this ASU is to improve the accounting for repurchase agreements and other agreements that both entitle and obligate a transferor to repurchase or redeem financial assets before their maturity. This ASU prescribes when an entity may or may not recognize a sale upon the transfer of financial assets subject to repurchase agreements. The guidance in this ASU is effective for the first interim or annual period beginning on or after December 15, 2011. Early adoption is not permitted.

In May 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-04, “Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards.” The amendments in this ASU explain how to measure fair value. They do not require additional fair value measurements and are not intended to establish valuation standards or affect valuation practices outside of financial reporting. The amendments in this ASU are to be applied prospectively. The amendments are effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011.

In June 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-05, “Presentation of Comprehensive Income.” The objective of this ASU is to improve the comparability, consistency, and transparency of financial reporting and to increase the prominence of items reported in other comprehensive income. Under this ASU, an entity has the option to present the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income, and the components of other comprehensive income either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. An entity is required to present each component of net income along with total net income, each component of other comprehensive income along with a total for other comprehensive income, and a total amount for comprehensive income. An entity is required to present on the face of the financial statements reclassification adjustments for items that are reclassified from other comprehensive income to net income in the statement(s) where the components of net income and the components of other comprehensive income are presented. The amendments in this ASU should be applied retrospectively. The amendments are effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011.

Management has reviewed these new standards and believes that they will have no material impact on the financial statements of the Company.

#### NOTE 16 – SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

ASC 855-10, “Subsequent Events”, establishes general standards for accounting for and disclosure of events that occur after the balance sheet date throughout the date the financial statements are issued. In conjunction with the preparation of these financial statements, an evaluation of subsequent events was performed through the date the financial statements were issued. The following reportable subsequent events were noted:

In September 2011, the Company issued 100,000 shares of restricted common stock for \$15,000.



