

Marathon Patent Group, Inc.
Form 10-K
March 30, 2016
[Table of Contents](#)

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

x ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015

or

o TRANSITION REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from to

Commission file number 001-36555

MARATHON PATENT GROUP, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Edgar Filing: Marathon Patent Group, Inc. - Form 10-K

Nevada

(State or other jurisdiction of Incorporation or organization)

01-0949984

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

11100 Santa Monica Blvd. Ste. 380, Los Angeles, CA

(Address of principal executive offices)

90025

(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code **(703) 232-1701**

Securities registered under Section 12(b) of the Exchange Act:

Common Stock \$0.0001 par value per share
(Title of class)

The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC
(Name of each exchange on which registered)

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Note - Checking the box above will not relieve any registrant required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act from their obligations under those Sections.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers in response to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendments to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Edgar Filing: Marathon Patent Group, Inc. - Form 10-K

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Smaller reporting company

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes No

As of June 30, 2015, the aggregate market value of voting stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant, based on the closing sales price of common stock, par value \$0.001 per share (the Common Stock) on June 30, 2015, was approximately \$38.9 million.

As of March 15, 2016, the registrant had 14,967,141 shares of Common Stock outstanding.

Table of Contents

Table of Contents

	Page
<u>PART I</u>	
<u>Item 1. Business</u>	1
<u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u>	4
<u>Item 2. Properties</u>	17
<u>Item 3. Legal Proceedings</u>	17
<u>Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	17
<u>PART II</u>	
<u>Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	18
<u>Item 6. Selected Financial Data</u>	23
<u>Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	23
<u>Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	F-1
<u>Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	34
<u>Item 9A. Controls and Procedures</u>	34
<u>Item 9B. Other Information</u>	34
<u>PART III</u>	
<u>Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>	35
<u>Item 11. Executive Compensation</u>	39
<u>Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	42
<u>Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u>	45
<u>Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services</u>	45
<u>PART IV</u>	
<u>Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u>	46

Table of Contents

MARATHON PATENT GROUP, INC.

FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Annual Report on Form 10-K and other written and oral statements made from time to time by us may contain so-called forward-looking statements, all of which are subject to risks and uncertainties. Forward-looking statements can be identified by the use of words such as expects, plans, will, forecasts, projects, intends, estimates, and other words of similar meaning. One can identify them by the fact that they do not strictly to historical or current facts. These statements are likely to address our growth strategy, financial results and product and development programs. One must carefully consider any such statement and should understand that many factors could cause actual results to differ from our forward-looking statements. These factors may include inaccurate assumptions and a broad variety of other risks and uncertainties, including some that are known and some that are not. No forward-looking statement can be guaranteed and actual future results may vary materially.

These statements are only predictions and involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors, including the risks in the section entitled Risk Factors and the risks set out below, any of which may cause our or our industry's actual results, levels of activity, performance or achievements to be materially different from any future results, levels of activity, performance or achievements expressed or implied by these forward-looking statements. These risks include, by way of example and not in limitation:

- The uncertainty of profitability;
- Risks related to failure to obtain adequate financing on a timely basis and on acceptable terms; and
- Other risks and uncertainties related to our business plan and business strategy.

This list is not an exhaustive list of the factors that may affect any of our forward-looking statements. These and other factors should be considered carefully and readers should not place undue reliance on our forward-looking statements. Forward looking statements are made based on management's beliefs, estimates and opinions on the date the statements are made and we undertake no obligation to update forward-looking statements if these beliefs, estimates and opinions or other circumstances should change. Although we believe that the expectations reflected in the forward-looking statements are reasonable, we cannot guarantee future results, levels of activity, performance or achievements. Except as required by applicable law, including the securities laws of the United States we do not intend to update any of the forward-looking statements to conform these statements to actual results.

Information regarding market and industry statistics contained in this Annual Report on Form 10-K is included based on information available to us that we believe is accurate. It is generally based on industry and other publications that are not produced for purposes of securities offerings or economic analysis. We have not reviewed or included data from all sources. Forecasts and other forward-looking information obtained from these sources are subject to the same qualifications and the additional uncertainties accompanying any estimates of future market size, revenue and market acceptance of products and services. As a result, investors should not place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements.

Edgar Filing: Marathon Patent Group, Inc. - Form 10-K

As used in this annual report, the terms we, us, our, the Company, Marathon Patent Group, Inc. and MARA mean Marathon Patent Group and its subsidiaries, unless otherwise indicated.

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

We were incorporated in the State of Nevada on February 23, 2010 under the name Verve Ventures, Inc. On December 7, 2011, we changed our name to American Strategic Minerals Corporation and were engaged in exploration and potential development of uranium and vanadium minerals business. In June 2012, we discontinued our minerals business and began to invest in real estate properties in Southern California. In October 2012, we discontinued our real estate business when our CEO joined the firm and we commenced our current business, at which time the Company's name was changed to Marathon Patent Group, Inc.

We acquire patents and patent rights from owners or other ventures and seek to monetize the value of the patents through litigation and licensing strategies, alone or with others. Part of our acquisition strategy is to acquire or invest in patents and patent rights that cover a wide-range of subject matter which allows us to seek the benefits of a diversified portfolio of assets in differing industries and countries. Generally, the patents and patent rights that we seek to acquire have large identifiable targets who are or have been using technology that we believe infringes our patents and patent rights. We generally monetize our portfolio of patents and patent rights by entering into license discussions, and if that is unsuccessful, initiating enforcement activities against any infringing parties with the objective of entering into comprehensive settlement and license agreements that may include the granting of non-exclusive retroactive and future rights to use the patented technology, a covenant not to sue, a release of the party from certain claims, the dismissal of any pending litigation and such other terms as we deem appropriate. Our strategy has been developed with the expectation that it will result in a long-term, diversified revenue stream for the Company. As of December 31, 2015, we owned 327 U.S. and foreign patents and patent rights and 12 patent applications.

Table of Contents

Our principal office is located at 11100 Santa Monica Blvd., Suite 380, Los Angeles, CA 90225. Our telephone number is (703) 232-1701. Our internet address is www.marathonpg.com. Information on our website is not incorporated into this report.

Industry Overview and Market Opportunity

Under U.S. law, an inventor or patent owner has the right to seek to exclude others from making, selling or using their patented invention and to seek damages for infringement. Unfortunately, it is often the case that infringers are unwilling, at least initially, to negotiate or pay reasonable royalties for their unauthorized use of patents and some prefer to contest allegations of patent infringement. Inventors and/or patent holders, without sufficient legal, financial and/or expert technical resources to commence or continue legal action are at a disadvantage as they may be perceived to lack credibility in dealing with potential licensees and as a result, are often ignored. As a result of the common reluctance of patent infringers to negotiate and ultimately obtain a patent license for the use of patented technologies, patent licensing and enforcement often begins with the filing of patent enforcement litigation. However, the majority of patent infringement litigations settle out of court based on the strength of the patent claims, validity, and persuasive evidence and clarity that the patent is being infringed.

Business Model and Strategy Overview

Our business encompasses two main elements: (1) the identification, analysis and acquisition of patents and patent rights; and (2) the generation of revenue from the acquired patents or patent rights. Typically, we compensate the patent holder with some combination of cash, equity, earn-out or debt in consideration for the patents or patent rights or resolution of claims.

Key Factors of Our Business Model

Diversification

As of December 31, 2015, we owned 327 U.S. and foreign patents and patent rights and 12 patent applications across a broad array of technologies, markets and countries. We intend to add more patents and patent applications to our portfolio for the purpose of generating additional revenues from assertion of claims against infringers. By owning multiple patent assets, we seek to continue to be diversified in both the types of patents that we own as well as the frequency and size of the monetization revenue generated by such patents. This diversification prevents us from having to rely on a single patent, or patent family, to generate our revenue. Additionally, by commencing multiple settlement and licensing campaigns with our different patent assets, we intend to generate frequent revenue events through the execution of multiple settlement and licensing agreements. Finally, we have commenced operations in Germany and France and are considering other venues as well, giving the Company diversification across different countries and increasing the damages footprint for our portfolios with counterparts in different countries. Our diversification of patent assets and revenue generation allows us to avoid the binary risk that can be associated with owning a single patent asset that typically generates a single stream of licensing revenue.

Patent Acquisition Opportunities

We have worked to establish a supply of patent acquisition opportunities with patent brokers and dealers, with individual inventors and patent owners, as well as with large corporations (including Fortune 500 corporations) who own patents. Service providers, such as patent prosecution and litigation attorneys and patent licensing professionals have also become key providers of patent opportunities. We maintain an important relationship with IP Navigation Group LLC (IP Nav) and have received a significant amount of our patent acquisition opportunities from our relationship with IP Nav. Affiliates of IP Nav maintain a significant ownership interest in our Company, as well as participate with us in revenue from various asset monetization efforts. We intend to continue to expand our relationships for patent acquisitions and expand the industries to which our patents apply.

Patent Portfolio Evaluation

We follow a disciplined due diligence approach when analyzing potential patent acquisitions. Each opportunity to acquire a patent can vary based on the amount and type of patent assets, the complexity of the underlying inventions and the analysis of the industries in which the invention is being used. Our portfolio evaluation involves an initial screening with our analytics platform, Opus Analytics, followed by internal technical analysis, third-party experts and damages assessment.

Table of Contents

In September 2014 we acquired a limited field of use exclusive license to use Opus Analytics from IP Nav. Opus Analytics is a proprietary patent analytics tool that we use extensively to review and analyze patent acquisition opportunities.

We enter potential patent acquisition opportunities into Opus Analytics to evaluate patent decisions. The algorithm underlying Opus Analytics is comprised of approximately 120 factors, and it has been continuously updated using actual observations. After evaluation of the patents by Opus Analytics, the Company reviews subtleties in the language of a patent's recorded interactions with the patent office and evaluates prior art and literature. This evaluation can make significant differences in the potential monetization revenue derived from a patent or patent portfolio. We have developed proprietary processes and procedures for identifying problem areas and evaluating the strength of a patent portfolio before the decision is made to allocate resources to an acquisition or to launch an effective monetization effort, using the judgment and skill of our personnel.

We often also seek to use third-party experts in the evaluation and due diligence of patent assets. The combination of our management team and third-party patent attorneys, intellectual property licensing experts and technology engineers allow us to conduct our tailored patent acquisition and evaluation processes and procedures. We evaluate both the types and strength of the claims of the patent as well as the file history of the patent.

Finally, we identify potential infringers; industries within which the potential infringers exist; longevity of the patented technology; and a variety of other factors that directly impact the magnitude and potential success of a licensing and enforcement program.

Competition

While there has previously been a noticeable proliferation of patent monetization firms seeking to enter the business, both public and private, there has been a visible decline over the last 6-12 months in the competition for purchasing patents. This has had the effect of reducing the purchase prices and making acquisitions less competitive, providing the Company with considerable opportunities for new acquisitions, both in the United States and internationally.

Customers

Currently, we define customers as those companies that procure licenses to our patents, to satisfy legal claims of infringement against commercial products or services they produce or sell. Our licensees generally obtain non-recurring, non-exclusive, non-assignable license agreements in return for a single payment upon execution of the license agreement. However, in certain cases, such as the licenses for our Medtech portfolio, we may enter into licenses with recurring royalty payments that continue for a defined period of time.

Intellectual Property and Patent Rights

Edgar Filing: Marathon Patent Group, Inc. - Form 10-K

Our intellectual property is primarily comprised of issued patents, patent applications and contract rights to patents. We began to generate revenue from patents during the second quarter of 2013. As of December 31, 2015, the median expiration date for patents in our portfolio is September 28, 2017 and the latest expiration date for a patent in our portfolio is March 29, 2029. A summary of our patent portfolios is as follows:

Table of Contents

Subsidiary	Number of Patents	Earliest Expiration Date	Median Expiration Date	Latest Expiration Date	Subject Matter
Bismarck IP Inc.	17	09/15/16	09/15/15	01/22/18	Communication and PBX equipment
Clouding Corp.	60	Expired	10/05/21	03/29/29	Network and data management
CRFD Research, Inc.	5	09/17/21	08/11/22	08/19/23	Web page content translator and device-to-device transfer system
Cyberfone Systems, LLC	35	Expired	01/31/17	06/07/20	Telephony and data transactions
Dynamic Advances, LLC	4	Expired	10/02/17	03/06/23	Natural language interface
E2E Processing, Inc.	4	04/27/20	11/17/23	07/18/24	Manufacturing schedules using adaptive learning
Hybrid Sequence IP, Inc.	2	11/14/15	09/09/16	07/17/17	Asynchronous communications
IP Liquidity Ventures, LLC	3	Expired	Expired	Expired	Pharmaceuticals / tire pressure systems
Loopback Technologies, Inc.	10	Expired	09/25/17	08/27/22	Automotive
Medtech Group Acquisition Corp.	132	Expired	06/01/18	02/17/29	Medical technology
Relay IP, Inc.	1	Expired	Expired	Expired	Multicasting
Sampo IP, LLC	3	03/13/18	03/13/18	11/16/23	Centrifugal communications
Sarif Biomedical LLC	4	Expired	Expired	Expired	Microsurgery equipment
Selene Communication Technologies, LLC	3	05/05/18	11/23/20	11/28/21	Communications
Signal IP, Inc.	7	03/10/14	12/01/15	08/06/22	Automotive
TLI Communications, LLC	6	06/17/17	06/17/17	06/17/17	Telecommunications
Vantage Point Technology, Inc.	31	Expired	12/21/16	03/09/18	Computer networking and operations
		Median	09/28/17		

Patent Enforcement Litigation

We are involved in numerous ongoing enforcement proceedings alleging infringement of patent rights in numerous jurisdictions, both within the United States and internationally. As of December 31, 2015, we were involved in enforcement actions against approximately 34 defendants, as set forth below:

United States

District of Delaware	8
Central District of California	9
Eastern District of Michigan	2
Northern District of New York	1
US Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit	4

Foreign

Germany	9
France	1

Research and Development

We have not expended funds for research and development costs.

Employees

As of December 31, 2015, we had 6 full-time employees. We believe our employee relations to be good.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

There are numerous and varied risks, known and unknown, that may prevent us from achieving our goals. If any of these risks actually occur, our business, financial condition or results of operation may be materially adversely affected. In such case, the trading price of our Common Stock could decline and investors could lose all or part of their investment.

Table of Contents

Risks Related to Our Company

We have changed the focus of our business to acquiring patents and patent rights and monetizing the value of those assets through enforcement campaigns that are expected to generate revenue. We may not be able to successfully monetize the patents that we acquire and thus we may fail to realize all of the anticipated benefits of such acquisitions.

There is no assurance that we will be able to continue to successfully acquire, develop or monetize our patent portfolio. The acquisition of patents could fail to produce anticipated benefits or there could be other adverse effects that we do not currently foresee. Failure to successfully monetize our patents would have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

In addition, the acquisition of patent portfolios is subject to a number of risks, including, but not limited to the following:

- There is a significant time lag between acquiring a patent portfolio and recognizing revenue from such patent asset. During such time lag, substantial amounts of costs are likely to be incurred that could have a negative effect on our results of operations, cash flows and financial position;
- The monetization of a patent portfolio is a time consuming and expensive process that may disrupt our operations. If our monetization efforts are not successful, our results of operations could be harmed. In addition, we may not achieve anticipated synergies or other benefits from such acquisition; and
- We may encounter unforeseen difficulties with our business or operations in the future that may deplete our capital resources more rapidly than anticipated. As a result, we may be required to obtain additional working capital in the future through public or private debt or equity financings, borrowings or otherwise. If we are required to raise additional working capital in the future, such financing may be unavailable to us on favorable terms, if at all, or may be dilutive to our existing stockholders. If we fail to obtain additional working capital, as and when needed, such failure could have a material adverse impact on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Therefore, there is no assurance that the monetization of our patent portfolios will generate enough revenue to recoup our investment.

We presently rely upon the patent assets we acquire from other patent owners. If we are unable to monetize such assets and generate revenue and profit through those assets or by other means, there is a significant risk that our business would fail.

Edgar Filing: Marathon Patent Group, Inc. - Form 10-K

When we commenced our current line of business in 2012, we acquired a portfolio of patent assets from Sampo IP, LLC (Sampo), a company affiliated with our Chief Executive Officer, Douglas Croxall, from which we have generated revenue from enforcement activities and for which we plan to continue to generate enforcement related revenue. On April 16, 2013, we acquired a patent from Mosaid Technologies Incorporated, a Canadian corporation. On April 22, 2013, we acquired a patent portfolio through a merger between our wholly-owned subsidiary, CyberFone Acquisition Corp., a Texas corporation and CyberFone Systems LLC, a Texas limited liability company (CyberFone Systems). In June 2013, in connection with the closing of a licensing agreement with Siemens Technology, we acquired a patent portfolio from that company. In September 2013, we acquired a portfolio from TeleCommunication Systems and an additional portfolio from Intergraph Corporation. In October 2013, we acquired a patent portfolio from TT IP, LLC. In December 2013 we engaged in three transactions: (i) in connection with a licensing agreement with Zhone, we acquired a portfolio of patents from that company; (ii) we acquired a patent portfolio from Delphi Technologies, Inc.; and (iii) in connection with a settlement and license agreement, we agreed to settle and release a defendant for past and future use of our patents, whereby the defendant agreed to assign and transfer two U.S. patents and rights to us. In May 2014, we acquired ownership rights of Dynamic Advances, LLC, a Texas limited liability company, IP Liquidity Ventures, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company and Sarif Biomedical, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, all of which hold patent portfolios or contract rights to the revenue generated from patent portfolios. In June 2014, we acquired Selene Communication Technologies, LLC, which holds multiple patents in the search and network intrusion field. In August 2014, we acquired patents from Clouding IP LLC, with such patents related to network and data management technology. In September 2014, we acquired TLI Communications, which owns a single patent in the telecommunication field. In October 2014, we acquired three patent portfolios from MedTech Development, LLC, which owns medical technology patents. We plan to generate revenues from our acquired patent portfolios. However, if our efforts to generate revenue from these assets fail, we will have incurred significant losses and may be unable to acquire additional assets. If this occurs, our business would likely fail.

Table of Contents

We have economic interests in patent portfolios that the Company does not control and the decision regarding the timing and amount of licenses are held by third parties, which could lead to outcomes materially different than what the Company intended.

The Company owns contract rights to two patent portfolios over which it does not exercise control and cannot determine when and if, and if so, for how much, the patent owner licenses the patents. This could lead to situations where we have dedicated resources, time and money to portfolios that, despite the best interests of the Company, provide little or no return on our investment. In these situations, the Company would record a loss on its investment and incur losses that contribute to the overall performance of the Company and could have a material adverse impact on its financial condition.

Failure to effectively manage our growth could place strains on our managerial, operational and financial resources and could adversely affect our business and operating results.

Our growth has placed, and is expected to continue to place, a strain on our limited managerial, operational and financial resources and systems. Further, as our subsidiary companies' businesses grow, we will be required to continue to manage multiple relationships. Any further growth by us or our subsidiary companies, or an increase in the number of our strategic relationships, may place additional strain on our managerial, operational and financial resources and systems. Although we may not grow as we expect, if we fail to manage our growth effectively or to develop and expand our managerial, operational and financial resources and systems, our business and financial results would be materially harmed.

We initiate legal proceedings against potentially infringing companies in the normal course of our business and we believe that extended litigation proceedings would be time-consuming and costly, which may adversely affect our financial condition and our ability to operate our business.

To monetize our patent assets, we generally initiate legal proceedings against potential infringing companies, pursuant to which we may allege that such companies infringe on one or more of our patents. Our viability could be highly dependent on the outcome of the litigation, and there is a risk that we may be unable to achieve the results we desire from such litigation, which failure would substantially harm our business. In addition, the defendants in the litigations are likely to be much larger than us and have substantially more resources than we do, which could make our litigation efforts more difficult and impact the duration of the litigation which would require us to devote our limited financial, managerial and other resources to support litigation that may be disproportionate to the anticipated recovery.

We anticipate that these legal proceedings may continue for several years and may require significant expenditures for legal fees and other expenses. Disputes regarding the assertion of patents and other intellectual property rights are highly complex and technical. Once initiated, we may be forced to litigate against others to enforce or defend our patent rights or to determine the validity and scope of other party's patent rights. The defendants or other third parties involved in the lawsuits in which we are involved may allege defenses and/or file counterclaims or commence re-examination proceedings by patenting issuance authorities in an effort to avoid or limit liability and damages for patent infringement, or declare our patents to be invalid or non-infringed. If such defenses or counterclaims are successful, they may preclude our ability to derive monetization revenue from the patents we own. A negative outcome of any such litigation, or an outcome which affects one or more claims contained within any such litigation, could materially and adversely impact our business. Additionally, we anticipate that our legal fees and other expenses will be material and will negatively impact our financial condition and results of operations and may result in our inability to continue our business.

Variability in intellectual property laws may adversely affect our intellectual property position.

Intellectual property laws, and patent laws and regulations in particular, have been subject to significant variability either through administrative or legislative changes to such laws or regulations or changes or differences in judicial interpretation, and it is expected that such variability will continue to occur. Additionally, intellectual property laws and regulations differ among countries. Variations in the patent laws and regulations or in interpretations of patent laws and regulations in the United States and other countries may diminish the value of our intellectual property and may change the impact of third-party intellectual property on us. Accordingly, we cannot predict the scope of patents that may be granted to us, the extent to which we will be able to enforce our patents against third parties, or the extent to which third parties may be able to enforce their patents against us.

Table of Contents

We may seek to internally develop additional new inventions and intellectual property, which would take time and be costly. Moreover, the failure to obtain or maintain intellectual property rights for such inventions would lead to the loss of our investments in such activities.

We may in the future seek to engage in commercial business ventures or seek internal development of new inventions or intellectual property. These activities would require significant amounts of financial, managerial and other resources and would take time to achieve. Such activities could also distract our management team from its present business initiatives, which could have a material and adverse effect on our business. There is also the risk that such initiatives may not yield any viable new business or revenue, inventions or technology, which would lead to a loss of our investment in such activities.

In addition, even if we are able to internally develop new inventions, in order for those inventions to be viable and to compete effectively, we would need to develop and maintain, and we would be heavily reliant upon, a proprietary position with respect to such inventions and intellectual property. However, there are significant risks associated with any such intellectual property we may develop principally including the following:

- patent applications we may file may not result in issued patents or may take longer than we expect to result in issued patents;
- we may be subject to interference proceedings;
- we may be subject to opposition proceedings in the U.S. or foreign countries;
- any patents that are issued to us may not provide meaningful protection;
- we may not be able to develop additional proprietary technologies that are patentable;
- other companies may challenge patents issued to us;
- other companies may have independently developed and/or patented (or may in the future independently develop and patent) similar or alternative technologies, or duplicate our technologies;

- other companies may design around technologies we have developed; and
- enforcement of our patents would be complex, uncertain and very expensive.

We cannot be certain that patents will be issued as a result of any future patent applications, or that any of our patents, once issued, will provide us with adequate protection from competing products. For example, issued patents may be circumvented or challenged, declared invalid or unenforceable or narrowed in scope. In addition, since publication of discoveries in scientific or patent literature often lags behind actual discoveries, we cannot be certain that we will be the first to make our additional new inventions or to file patent applications covering those inventions. It is also possible that others may have or may obtain issued patents that could prevent us from commercializing our products or require us to obtain licenses requiring the payment of significant fees or royalties in order to enable us to conduct our business. As to those patents that we may acquire, our continued rights will depend on meeting any obligations to the seller and we may be unable to do so. Our failure to obtain or maintain intellectual property rights for our inventions would lead to the loss of our investments in such activities, which would have a material adverse effect on us.

Moreover, patent application delays could cause delays in recognizing revenue from our internally generated patents and could cause us to miss opportunities to license patents before other competing technologies are developed or introduced into the market.

Our future success depends on our ability to expand our organization to match the growth of our activities.

As our operations grow, the administrative demands upon us will grow, and our success will depend upon our ability to meet those demands. We are organized as a holding company, with numerous subsidiaries. Both the parent company and each of our subsidiaries require certain financial, managerial and other resources, which could create challenges to our ability to successfully manage our subsidiaries and operations and impact our ability to assure compliance with our policies, practices and procedures. These demands include, but are not limited to, increased executive, accounting, management, legal services, staff support and general office services. We may need to hire additional qualified personnel to meet these demands, the cost and quality of which is dependent in part upon market factors outside of our control. Further, we will need to effectively manage the training and growth of our staff to maintain an efficient and effective workforce, and our failure to do so could adversely affect our business and operating results.

Table of Contents

Potential acquisitions may present risks, and we may be unable to achieve the financial or other goals intended at the time of any potential acquisition.

Our future growth depends in part on our ability to acquire patented technologies, patent portfolios or companies holding such patented technologies and patent portfolios. Accordingly, we have engaged in acquisitions to expand our patent portfolios and we intend to continue to explore such acquisitions. Such acquisitions are subject to numerous risks, including, but not limited to the following:

- our inability to enter into a definitive agreement with respect to any potential acquisition, or if we are able to enter into such agreement, our inability to consummate the potential acquisition;

- difficulty integrating the operations, technology and personnel of the acquired entity including achieving anticipated synergies;

- our inability to achieve the anticipated financial and other benefits of the specific acquisition;

- difficulty in maintaining controls, procedures and policies during the transition and monetization process;

- diversion of our management's attention from other business concerns; and

- failure of our due diligence process to identify significant issues, including issues with respect to patented technologies and patent portfolios and other legal and financial contingencies.

If we are unable to manage these risks effectively as part of any acquisition, our business could be adversely affected.

Our revenues are unpredictable, and this may harm our financial condition.

From November 12, 2012 to the present, our operating subsidiaries have executed our business strategy of acquiring patent portfolios and accompanying patent rights and monetizing the value of those assets. As of December 31, 2015, on a consolidated basis, our operating subsidiaries owned, controlled or had economic rights to 327 patent assets, which include U.S. patents and certain foreign counterparts, covering

Edgar Filing: Marathon Patent Group, Inc. - Form 10-K

technologies used in a wide variety of industries. These acquisitions continue to expand and diversify our revenue generating opportunities. However, due to the nature of our patent monetization business and uncertainties regarding the amount and timing of the receipt of funds from the monetization of our patent assets resulting in part from uncertainties regarding the outcome of enforcement actions, rates of adoption of our patented technologies, outlook for the businesses for defendants, and certain other factors, our revenues may vary substantially from quarter to quarter, which could make our business difficult to manage, adversely affect our business and operating results, cause our quarterly results to fall below expectations and adversely affect the market price of our Common Stock.

Our patent monetization cycle is lengthy and costly, and our marketing, legal and administrative efforts may be unsuccessful.

We expect significant marketing, legal and administrative expenses prior to generating revenue from monetization efforts. We will also spend considerable time and resources educating defendants on the benefits of a settlement, prior to or during litigation, that may include issuing a license to our patents and patent rights. As such, we may incur significant losses in any particular period before revenue streams commence.

If our efforts to convince defendants of the benefits of a settlement arrangement prior to litigation are unsuccessful, we may need to continue with the litigation process or other enforcement action to protect our patent rights and to realize revenue from those rights. We may also need to litigate to enforce the terms of existing license agreements, protect our trade secrets or determine the validity and scope of the proprietary rights of others. Enforcement proceedings are typically protracted and complex. The costs are typically substantial, and the outcomes are unpredictable. Enforcement actions will divert our managerial, technical, legal and financial resources from business operations.

Our exposure to uncontrollable risks, including new legislation, court rulings or actions by the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO), could adversely affect our activities including our revenues, expenses and results of operations.

Our patent acquisition and monetization business is subject to numerous risks including new legislation, regulations and rules.

If new legislation, regulations or rules are implemented either by Congress, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, the executive branch, or the courts, that impact the patent application process, the patent enforcement process, the rights of patent holders, or litigation practices, such changes could materially and negatively affect our revenue and expenses and, therefore, our results of operations and the overall success of our Company. On March 16, 2013 the Leahy-Smith America Invents Act or the America Invents Act became effective. The America Invents Act includes a number of significant changes to U.S. patent law. In general, the legislation

Table of Contents

attempts to address issues surrounding the enforceability of patents and the increase in patent litigation by, among other things, establishing new procedures for patent litigation. For example, the America Invents Act changes the way that parties may be joined in patent infringement actions, increasing the likelihood that such actions will need to be brought against individual allegedly-infringing parties by their respective individual actions or activities. In addition, the America Invents Act enacted a new inter-partes review, or IPR, process at the USPTO which can be used by defendants, and other individuals and entities, to separately challenge the validity of any patent. At this time, it is not clear what, if any, impact the America Invents Act will have on the operation of our patent monetization and enforcement business. However, the America Invents Act and its implementation could increase the uncertainties and costs surrounding the enforcement of our patented technologies, which could have a material adverse effect on our business and financial condition. Patents from nine of our portfolios are currently the subject of inter-partes reviews.

In addition, the U.S. Department of Justice, or the DOJ, has conducted reviews of the patent system to evaluate the impact of patent assertion entities on industries in which those patents relate. It is possible that the findings and recommendations of the DOJ could impact the ability to effectively monetize and enforce standards-essential patents and could increase the uncertainties and costs surrounding the enforcement of any such patented technologies. Also, the Federal Trade Commission, or FTC, has published its intent to initiate a proposed study under Section 6(b) of the Federal Trade Commission Act to evaluate the patent assertion practice and market impact of Patent Assertion Entities, or PAEs. The FTC's notice and request for public comment relating to the PAE study appeared in the Federal Register on October 3, 2013. The FTC has solicited information from the Company regarding its portfolios and activities, and the Company is currently in the process of complying with the FTC request for such information. It is expected that the results of the PAE study by the FTC will be provided to Congress and other agencies, such as the DOJ, who could take action, including legislative proposals, based on the results of the study.

Finally, new rules regarding the burden of proof in patent enforcement actions could substantially increase the cost of our enforcement actions and new standards or limitations on liability for patent infringement could negatively impact our revenue derived from such enforcement actions.

Changes in patent laws could adversely impact our business.

Patent laws may continue to change and may alter the historically consistent protections afforded to owners of patent rights. Such changes may not be advantageous for us and may make it more difficult for us to obtain adequate patent protection to enforce our patents against infringing parties. Increased focus on the growing number of patent-related lawsuits may result in legislative changes that increase our costs and related risks of asserting patent enforcement actions. For instance, in December 2013, the United States House of Representatives passed a bill that would require non-practicing entities that bring patent infringement lawsuits to pay defendants' legal fees if the lawsuits are unsuccessful and certain standards are not met.

Trial judges and juries often find it difficult to understand complex patent enforcement litigation, and as a result, we may need to appeal adverse decisions by lower courts in order to successfully enforce our patent rights.

It is difficult to predict the outcome of litigation, particularly patent enforcement litigation. It is often difficult for juries and trial judges to understand complex, patented technologies and, as a result, there is a higher rate of successful appeals in patent enforcement litigation than more standard business litigation. Such appeals are expensive and time consuming, resulting in increased costs and delayed final non-appealable judgments that can require payment of damages to the Company. Although we diligently pursue enforcement litigation, we cannot predict with significant reliability the decisions that may be made by juries and trial courts.

More patent applications are filed each year resulting in longer delays in getting patents issued by the USPTO.

We hold and continue to acquire pending patents in the application or review phase. We believe there is a trend of increasing patent applications each year, which we believe is resulting in longer delays in obtaining approval of pending patent applications. The application delays could cause delays in monetizing such patents which could cause us to miss opportunities to license patents before other competing technologies are developed or introduced into the market.

The length of time required time to litigate an enforcement action is increasing.

Our patent enforcement actions are almost exclusively prosecuted in federal court. Federal trial courts that hear our patent enforcement actions also hear criminal and other cases. Criminal cases always take priority over our actions. As a result, it is difficult to predict the length of time it will take to complete an enforcement action. Moreover, we believe there is a trend in increasing numbers of civil and criminal proceedings and, as a result, we believe that the risk of delays in our patent enforcement actions has grown and will continue to grow and will increasingly affect our business in the future unless this trend changes.

Table of Contents

Any reductions in the funding of the USPTO could have an adverse impact on the cost of processing pending patent applications and the value of those pending patent applications.

Our ownership or acquisition of pending patent applications before the USPTO is subject to funding and other risks applicable to a government agency. The value of our patent portfolio is dependent, in part, on the issuance of patents in a timely manner, and any reductions in the funding of the USPTO could negatively impact the value of our assets. Further, reductions in funding from Congress could result in higher patent application filing and maintenance fees charged by the USPTO, causing an unexpected increase in our expenses.

Our acquisitions of patent assets may be time consuming, complex and costly, which could adversely affect our operating results.

Acquisitions of patent or other intellectual property assets, are often time consuming, complex and costly to consummate. We may utilize many different transaction structures in our acquisitions and the terms of such acquisition agreements tend to be heavily negotiated. As a result, we expect to incur significant operating expenses and may be required to raise capital during the negotiations even if the acquisition is ultimately not consummated. Even if we are able to acquire particular patent assets, there is no guarantee that we will generate sufficient revenue related to those patent assets to offset the acquisition costs. While we will seek to conduct sufficient due diligence on the patent assets we are considering for acquisition, we may acquire patent assets from a seller who does not have proper title to those assets. In those cases, we may be required to spend significant resources to defend our ownership interest in the patent assets and, if we are not successful, our acquisition may be invalid, in which case we could lose part or all of our investment in the assets.

We may also identify patent or other patent assets that cost more than we are prepared to spend. We may incur significant costs to organize and negotiate a structured acquisition that does not ultimately result in an acquisition of any patent assets or, if consummated, proves to be unprofitable for us. These higher costs could adversely affect our operating results and, if we incur losses, the value of our securities will decline.

In addition, we may acquire patents and technologies that are in the early stages of adoption in the commercial, industrial and consumer markets. Demand for some of these technologies will likely be untested and may be subject to fluctuation based upon the rate at which our companies may adopt our patented technologies in their products and services. As a result, there can be no assurance as to whether technologies we acquire or develop will have value that we can monetize.

In certain acquisitions of patent assets, we may seek to defer payment or finance a portion of the acquisition price. This approach may put us at a competitive disadvantage and could result in harm to our business.

We have limited capital and may seek to negotiate acquisitions of patent or other intellectual property assets where we can defer payments or finance a portion of the acquisition price. These types of debt financing or deferred payment arrangements may not be as attractive to sellers of patent assets as receiving the full purchase price for those assets in cash at the closing of the acquisition. As a result, we might not compete effectively against other companies in the market for acquiring patent assets, many of whom have substantially greater cash resources than we have. In addition, any failure to satisfy any debt repayment obligations that we may incur, may result in adverse consequences to our operating results.

Any failure to maintain or protect our patent assets could significantly impair our return on investment from such assets and harm our brand, our business and our operating results.

Our ability to operate our business and compete in the patent market largely depends on the superiority, uniqueness and value of our acquired patent assets. To protect our proprietary rights, we rely on and will rely on a combination of patent, trademark, copyright and trade secret laws, confidentiality agreements, common interest agreements and agreements with our employees and third parties, and protective contractual provisions. No assurances can be given that any of the measures we undertake to protect and maintain the value of our assets will be successful.

Following the acquisition of patent assets, we will likely be required to spend significant time and resources to maintain the effectiveness of such assets by paying maintenance fees and making filings with the USPTO. We may acquire patent assets, including patent applications that require us to spend resources to prosecute such patent applications with the USPTO. Moreover, there is a material risk that patent related claims (such as, for example, infringement claims (and/or claims for indemnification resulting therefrom), unenforceability claims or invalidity claims) will be asserted or prosecuted against us, and such assertions or prosecutions could materially and adversely affect our business. Regardless of whether any such claims are valid or can be successfully asserted, defending such claims could cause us to incur significant costs and could divert resources away from our core business activities.

Table of Contents

Despite our efforts to protect our intellectual property rights, any of the following or similar occurrences may reduce the value of our intellectual property:

- our patent applications, trademarks and copyrights may not be granted and, if granted, may be challenged or invalidated;
- issued trademarks, copyrights, or patents may not provide us with any competitive advantages when compared to potentially infringing other properties;
- our efforts to protect our intellectual property rights may not be effective in preventing misappropriation of our technology; or
- our efforts may not prevent the development and design by others of products or technologies similar to or competitive with, or superior to those we acquire and/or prosecute.

Moreover, we may not be able to effectively protect our intellectual property rights in certain foreign countries where we may do business in the future or from which competitors may operate. If we fail to maintain, defend or prosecute our patent assets properly, the value of those assets would be reduced or eliminated, and our business would be harmed.

Weak global economic conditions may cause infringing parties to delay entering into settlement and licensing agreements, which could prolong our litigation and adversely affect our financial condition and operating results.

Our business depends significantly on worldwide economic conditions, and the United States and world economies have recently experienced weak economic conditions. Uncertainty about global economic conditions poses a risk as businesses may postpone spending in response to tighter credit, negative financial news and declines in income or asset values. This response could have a material adverse effect on the willingness of parties infringing on our assets to enter into settlements or other revenue generating agreements voluntarily. Entering into such agreements is critical to our business and our failure to do so could cause material harm to our business.

If we are unable to adequately protect our patent assets, we may not be able to compete effectively.

Our ability to compete depends in part upon the strength of the patents and patent rights that we own or may hereafter acquire. We rely on a combination of U.S. and foreign patents, copyrights, trademark, trade secret laws and other types of agreements to establish and protect our

Edgar Filing: Marathon Patent Group, Inc. - Form 10-K

patent, intellectual property and proprietary rights. The efforts we take to protect our patents, intellectual property and proprietary rights may not be sufficient or effective at stopping unauthorized use of our patents, intellectual property and proprietary rights. In addition, effective trademark, patent, copyright and trade secret protection may not be available or cost-effective in every country in which our services are made available. There may be instances where we are not able to fully protect or utilize our patent and other intellectual property in a manner that maximizes competitive advantage. If we are unable to protect our patent assets and intellectual property and proprietary rights from unauthorized use, the value of those assets may be reduced, which could negatively impact our business. Our inability to obtain appropriate protections for our intellectual property may also allow competitors to enter markets and produce or sell the same or similar products. In addition, protecting our patents and patent rights is expensive and diverts critical managerial resources. If any of the foregoing were to occur, or if we are otherwise unable to protect our intellectual property and proprietary rights, our business and financial results could be adversely affected.

If we are forced to resort to legal proceedings to enforce our intellectual property rights, the proceedings could be burdensome and expensive. In addition, our patent rights could be at risk if we are unsuccessful in, or cannot afford to pursue, those proceedings. We also rely on trade secrets and contract law to protect some of our patent rights and proprietary technology. We will enter into confidentiality and invention agreements with our employees and consultants. Nevertheless, these agreements may not be honored and they may not effectively protect our right to our un-patented trade secrets and know-how. Moreover, others may independently develop substantially equivalent proprietary information and techniques or otherwise gain access to our trade secrets and know-how.

Table of Contents

We expect that we will be substantially dependent on a concentrated number of customers. If we are unable to establish, maintain or replace our relationships with customers and develop a diversified customer base, our revenues may fluctuate and our growth may be limited.

A significant portion of our revenues will be generated from a limited number of customers and licenses to such customers. For the year ended December 31, 2015, the five largest licenses accounted for approximately 62% of our revenue. There can be no guarantee that we will be able to obtain additional licenses for the Company's patents, or if we are able to do so, that the licenses will be of the same or larger size allowing us to sustain or grow our revenue levels, respectively. If we are not able to generate licenses from the limited group of prospective customers that we anticipate may generate a substantial majority of our revenues in the future, or if they do not generate revenues at the levels or at the times that we anticipate, our ability to maintain or grow our revenues and our results of operations will be adversely affected.

We acquired the rights to market and license a patent analytics tool from IP Navigation Group, LLC and will dedicate resources and incur costs in an effort to generate revenues. We may not be able to generate revenues and there is a risk that the time spent marketing and licensing the tool will distract management from the enforcement of the Company's patent portfolios.

We expect to dedicate resources and incur costs in the marketing and licensing of Opus Analytic, the patent analytics tool, in order to generate revenue, but there are no assurances that our efforts will be successful. We may not generate any revenues from the licensing of Opus Analytic or may not generate enough license revenue to exceed our costs. Our efforts therefore could lead to losses and could have a material adverse affect on our income, expenses or results of operations.

In addition, the time and effort spent marketing and licensing Opus Analytics could distract the Company and its officers from the management of the balance of the Company's business and have a deleterious effect on results from the enforcement of the Company's patents and patent rights. This could lead to either sub-par returns from the patent and patent right enforcement efforts or even total losses of the value of such patents and patent rights, leading to considerable losses.

Risks Related to Our Indebtedness

Our cash flows and capital resources may be insufficient to make required payments on our indebtedness and future indebtedness.

As of December 31, 2015, we have \$23,607,061 of indebtedness outstanding, net of discounts. Our indebtedness could have important consequences to our shareholders. For example, it could:

- make it difficult for us to satisfy our debt obligations;
- make us more vulnerable to general adverse economic and industry conditions;

- limit our ability to obtain additional financing for working capital, capital expenditures, acquisitions and other general corporate requirements;
- expose us to interest rate fluctuations because the interest rate on the debt under our existing credit facility is variable;
- require us to dedicate a portion of our cash flow from operations to payments on our debt, thereby reducing the availability of our cash flow for operations and other purposes;
- limit our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in our business and the industry in which we operate; and
- place us at a competitive disadvantage compared to competitors that may have proportionately less debt and greater financial resources.

In addition, our ability to make scheduled payments or refinance our obligations depends on our successful financial and operating performance, cash flows and capital resources, which in turn depend upon prevailing economic conditions and certain financial, business and other factors, many of which are beyond our control. These factors include, among others:

- economic and demand factors affecting our industry;
- pricing pressures;
- increased operating costs;
- competitive conditions; and
- other operating difficulties.

Table of Contents

If our cash flows and capital resources are insufficient to fund our debt service obligations, we may be forced to reduce or delay capital expenditures, sell material assets or operations, obtain additional capital or restructure our debt. In the event that we are required to dispose of material assets or operations to meet our debt service and other obligations, the value realized on such assets or operations will depend on market conditions and the availability of buyers. Accordingly, any such sale may not, among other things, be for a sufficient dollar amount. Our obligations pursuant to our loan agreement with Fortress (as defined below) are secured by a security interest in all of our assets, exclusive of intellectual property. The foregoing encumbrances may limit our ability to dispose of material assets or operations. We also may not be able to restructure our indebtedness on favorable economic terms, if at all.

We may incur additional indebtedness in the future, including pursuant to the Fortress Documents (as defined herein). Our incurrence of additional indebtedness would intensify the risks described above.

The Fortress Documents contain various covenants limiting the discretion of our management in operating our business.

On January 29, 2015, the Company and certain of its subsidiaries entered into a series of agreements including a Securities Purchase Agreement (the Fortress Purchase Agreement) and a Subscription Agreement with DBD Credit Funding, LLC (DBD), an affiliate of Fortress Credit Corp., pursuant to which the Company sold to the purchasers: (i) \$15,000,000 original principal amount of Senior Secured Notes (the Fortress Notes), (ii) a right to receive a portion of certain proceeds from monetization net revenues received by the Company (after receipt by the Company of \$15,000,000 of monetization net revenues and repayment of the Fortress Notes), (iii) a five-year warrant (the Fortress Warrant) to purchase 100,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock exercisable at \$7.44 per share, subject to adjustment; and (iv) 134,409 shares of the Company's Common Stock. Pursuant to the Fortress Purchase Agreement, as security for the payment and performance in full of the secured obligations, the Company and certain subsidiaries executed and delivered in favor of the purchasers a Security Agreement and a Patent Security Agreement, including a pledge of the Company's interests in certain of its subsidiaries (together with the Fortress Purchase Agreement, the Fortress Notes and the Fortress Warrant, the Fortress Documents). On February 12, 2015, the Company exercised its right to require the purchasers to purchase an additional \$5,000,000 of Notes from the Company.

The Fortress Documents contain, subject to certain carve-outs, various restrictive covenants that limit our management's discretion in operating our business. In particular, these instruments limit our ability to, among other things:

- incur additional debt;
- grant liens on assets;
- dispose assets outside the ordinary course of business; and
- make fundamental business changes.

If we fail to comply with the restrictions in the Fortress Documents, a default may allow the creditors under the relevant instruments to accelerate the related debt and to exercise their remedies under these agreements, which will typically include the right to declare the principal amount of that debt, together with accrued and unpaid interest and other related amounts, immediately due and payable, to exercise any remedies the creditors may have to foreclose on assets that are subject to liens securing that debt and to terminate any commitments they had made to supply further funds.

The rights of the holders of the Company's Common Stock will be subordinate to our creditors.

On October 13, 2014, we issued a note in the amount of \$9,000,000 pursuant to the acquisition of three patent portfolios from MedTech Development, LLC, of which \$2,952,435 remains outstanding as of December 31, 2015. On October 16, 2014, we issued convertible notes in the aggregate principal amount of \$5,550,000, which mature on October 16, 2018, of which, \$500,000 remains outstanding as of December 31, 2015. On January 29, 2015 and February 12, 2015, we issued to DBD notes in the principal amounts of \$15,000,000 and \$5,000,000, respectively. Including payable in kind (PIK) interest, \$20,513,892 remains outstanding as of December 31, 2015.

Accordingly, the holders of Common Stock will rank junior to such indebtedness, as well as to other non-equity claims on the Company and our assets, including claims upon liquidation.

Table of Contents

Risks Relating to Our Stock

Our management will be able to exert significant influence over us to the detriment of minority stockholders.

Our executive officers and directors beneficially own approximately 15.4% of our outstanding Common Stock as of March 15, 2016. As a result, our management could exert significant influence over our business and affairs and all matters requiring stockholder approval, including mergers or other fundamental corporate transactions. The concentration of ownership may have the effect of delaying or preventing a change in control and could affect the market price of our Common Stock.

Exercise of warrants will dilute stockholders' percentage of ownership.

We have issued options and warrants to purchase shares of our Common Stock to our officers, directors, consultants and certain shareholders. In the future, we may grant additional options, warrants and convertible securities. The exercise or conversion of options, warrants or convertible securities will dilute the percentage ownership of our stockholders. The dilutive effect of the exercise or conversion of these securities may adversely affect our ability to obtain additional capital. The holders of these securities may be expected to exercise or convert such options, warrants and convertible securities at a time when we would be able to obtain additional equity capital on terms more favorable than such securities or when our common stock is trading at a price higher than the exercise or conversion price of the securities. The exercise or conversion of outstanding warrants, options and convertible securities will have a dilutive effect on the securities held by our stockholders.

Our Common Stock may be delisted from The NASDAQ Capital Market (NASDAQ) if we fail to comply with continued listing standards.

Our Common Stock is currently traded on NASDAQ under the symbol MARA . If we fail to meet any of the continued listing standards of NASDAQ, our Common Stock could be delisted from NASDAQ. These continued listing standards include specifically enumerated criteria, such as:

- a \$1.00 minimum closing bid price;
- stockholders' equity of \$2.5 million;
- 500,000 shares of publicly-held Common Stock with a market value of at least \$1 million;
- 300 round-lot stockholders; and
- compliance with NASDAQ's corporate governance requirements, as well as additional or more stringent criteria that may be applied in the exercise of NASDAQ's discretionary authority.

We could fail in future financing efforts or be delisted from NASDAQ if we fail to receive stockholder approval when required.

Under the NASDAQ rules, we are required to obtain stockholder approval for any issuance of additional equity securities that would comprise 20% or more of the total shares of our Common Stock outstanding before the issuance of such securities sold at a discount to the greater of book or market value in an offering that is not deemed to be a public offering by NASDAQ. Funding of our operations and acquisitions of assets may require issuance of additional equity securities at a discount that would comprise 20% or more of the total shares of our Common Stock outstanding, but we might not be successful in obtaining the required stockholder approval for such an issuance. If we are unable to obtain financing due to stockholder approval difficulties, such failure may have a material adverse effect on our ability to continue operations.

Our Common Stock may be affected by limited trading volume and price fluctuations, which could adversely impact the value of our Common Stock.

There has been limited trading in our Common Stock and there can be no assurance that an active trading market in our Common Stock will either develop or be maintained. Our Common Stock has experienced, and is likely to experience in the future, significant price and volume fluctuations, which could adversely affect the market price of our Common Stock without regard to our operating performance. In addition, we believe that factors such as quarterly fluctuations in our financial results and changes in the overall economy or the condition of the financial markets could cause the price of our Common Stock to fluctuate substantially. These fluctuations may also cause short sellers to periodically enter the market in the belief that we will have poor results in the future. We cannot predict the actions of market participants and, therefore, can offer no assurances that the market for our will be stable or appreciate over time.

Table of Contents

Holders of the Company's Common Stock will experience immediate and substantial dilution upon the conversion of the Company's outstanding preferred stock, convertible note and the exercise of the Company's outstanding warrants.

On May 1, 2014, we issued 2,047,158 shares of Series A Convertible Preferred Stock (Series A Preferred Stock), 782,000 shares of our par value \$0.0001 Series B Convertible Preferred Stock (the Series B Preferred Stock) and warrants to purchase an aggregate of 511,790 shares of Common Stock. In addition, pursuant to a consulting agreement entered into in September 2014, we entered into an agreement to issue 200,000 shares of Series B Preferred Stock, pursuant to which we issued 100,000 shares of Series B Preferred Stock on September 17, 2014 and 16,666 shares of Series B Preferred Stock on the monthly anniversary of entering into the agreement for the next six months. We issued convertible notes and warrants to purchase 258,998 shares of Common Stock on October 16, 2014. Finally, we issued a five-year warrant to purchase 100,000 shares of our common stock exercisable at \$7.44 per share, and 134,409 shares of Common Stock to DBD Credit Funding, LLC (DBD) on January 29, 2015. While all of the Series A Convertible Preferred Stock was automatically converted into Common Stock pursuant to the terms of the Series A Preferred Stock Certificate of Designation during the year ended December 31, 2014, notes in the aggregate principal amount of \$5,050,000 were redeemed in February, 2015 and 199,996 shares of the Series B Preferred Stock were converted into Common Stock during the third and fourth quarters of 2015, upon conversion of the remaining Series B Preferred Stock and convertible notes and exercise of the warrants, you will experience dilution. Assuming full conversion of the Series B Preferred Stock and the convertible notes and exercise of the outstanding options and warrants, the number of shares of our Common Stock outstanding will increase 6,253,246 shares from 14,967,141 shares of Common Stock outstanding as of March 15, 2016 to 21,220,387 shares of Common Stock outstanding.

Our stock price may be volatile.

The market price of our Common Stock is likely to be highly volatile and could fluctuate widely in price in response to various factors, many of which are beyond our control, including the following:

- changes in our industry;
- competitive pricing pressures;
- our ability to obtain working capital financing;
- additions or departures of key personnel;
- sales of our Common Stock;
- our ability to execute our business plan;
- operating results that fall below expectations;
- loss of any strategic relationship;
- regulatory developments; and
- economic and other external factors.

In addition, the securities markets have from time to time experienced significant price and volume fluctuations that are unrelated to the operating performance of particular companies. These market fluctuations may also materially and adversely affect the market price of our Common Stock.

We have never paid nor do we expect in the near future to pay cash dividends.

On November 19, 2014, we declared a stock dividend pursuant to which holders of our common stock as of the close of business on December 15, 2014 received one additional share of Common Stock for each share of common stock held by such holders. Other than as described herein, we have never paid cash dividends on our capital stock and do not anticipate paying any cash dividends on our Common Stock for the foreseeable future. While it is possible that we may declare a dividend after a large settlement, investors should not rely on such a possibility, nor should they rely on an investment in us if they require income generated from dividends paid on our capital stock. Any income derived from our Common Stock would only come from rise in the market price of our Common Stock, which is uncertain and unpredictable.

Offers or availability for sale of a substantial number of shares of our Common Stock may cause the price of our Common Stock to decline.

If our stockholders sell substantial amounts of our Common Stock in the public market upon the expiration of any statutory holding period or lockup agreements, under Rule 144, or issued upon the exercise of outstanding warrants or other convertible securities, it could create a circumstance commonly referred to as an "overhang" and in anticipation of which the market price of our Common Stock could fall. The existence of an overhang, whether or not sales have occurred or are occurring, also could make more difficult our ability to raise additional financing through the sale of equity or equity-related securities in the future at a time and price that we deem reasonable or appropriate. The shares of our restricted Common Stock will be freely tradable upon the earlier of: (i) effectiveness of a registration statement covering such shares and (ii) the date on which such shares may be sold without registration pursuant to Rule 144 (or other applicable exemption) under the Securities Act.

Table of Contents

Because we became a public company by means of a reverse merger, we may not be able to attract the attention of major brokerage firms.

There may be risks associated with us becoming a public company through a reverse merger. Securities analysts of major brokerage firms may not provide coverage of us since there is no incentive to brokerage firms to recommend the purchase of our Common Stock. No assurance can be given that brokerage firms will, in the future, want to conduct any secondary offerings on our behalf.

Investor relations activities, nominal float and supply and demand factors may affect the price of our stock.

We expect to utilize various techniques such as non-deal road shows and investor relations campaigns in order to generate investor awareness. These campaigns may include personal, video and telephone conferences with investors and prospective investors in which our business practices are described. We may provide compensation to investor relations firms and pay for newsletters, websites, mailings and email campaigns that are produced by third parties based upon publicly-available information concerning us. We do not intend to review or approve the content of such analysts' reports or other materials based upon analysts' own research or methods. Investor relations firms should generally disclose when they are compensated for their efforts, but whether such disclosure is made or complete is not under our control. In addition, investors may, from time to time, also take steps to encourage investor awareness through similar activities that may be undertaken at the expense of the investors. Investor awareness activities may also be suspended or discontinued which may impact the trading market our Common Stock.

If we lose key personnel or are unable to attract and retain additional qualified personnel, we may not be able to successfully manage our business and achieve our objectives.

We believe our future success will depend upon our ability to retain our key management, including Doug Croxall, our Chief Executive Officer. The loss of Mr. Croxall or any other key members of management would have a material adverse effect on our operations. We have entered into an amendment to the employment agreement with Mr. Croxall, which extends the term of his employment agreement to November 2017. In addition, Erich Spangenberg, the founder and former Chief Executive Officer and principal of IP Nav and a significant stockholder of the Company, is also important to the success of our Company. We do not have any agreement with Mr. Spangenberg related to services he is to perform for IP Nav or the Company. We may not be successful in attracting, assimilating and retaining our employees in the future. We are competing for employees against companies that are more established than we are and that have the ability to pay more cash compensation than we do. As of the date hereof, we have not experienced problems hiring employees.

If we fail to establish and maintain an effective system of internal control, we may not be able to report our financial results accurately and timely or to prevent fraud. Any inability to report and file our financial results accurately and timely could harm our reputation and adversely impact the trading price of our Common Stock.

Effective internal control is necessary for us to provide reliable financial reports and prevent fraud. If we cannot provide reliable financial reports or prevent fraud, we may not be able to manage our business as effectively as we would if an effective control environment existed, and our business and reputation with investors may be harmed. As a result, our small size and any future internal control deficiencies may adversely affect our financial condition, results of operation and access to capital. We have not performed an in-depth analysis to determine if historical un-discovered failures of internal controls exist, and may in the future discover areas of our internal control that need improvement.

As a result of its internal control assessment, the Company determined there is a material weakness with respect to segregation of duties.

The Company determined that there is a material weakness in its internal controls with respect to the segregation of duties. Since the Company has six employees, most of whom have no involvement in our financial controls and reporting, we are unable to sufficiently distribute reporting and accounting to tasks across enough individuals to insure that the Company does not have a material weakness in its financial reporting system.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

We lease approximately 1,732 square feet of office space at 11100 Santa Monica Blvd., Suite 380, Los Angeles, California 90025. In October 2013, we entered into a new seven-year lease for the office space which lease commenced on May 1, 2014. The lease provides for an initial monthly base rent of \$5,300 plus the payment of certain operating expenses. In addition, the lease contains annual increases in rent.

We lease approximately 200 square feet of office space at 2331 Mill Road, Suite 100, Alexandria, Virginia 22314. The lease provides for a month-to-month term at a rate of \$175 per month.

We lease a suite at 911 NW Loop 281, Longview, Texas 75604. The lease provides for a month-to-month term at a rate of \$654 per month.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

In the normal course of our business of patent monetization, it is generally necessary for us to initiate litigation in order to commence the process of protecting our patent rights. Such litigation is expected to lead to a monetization event. Accordingly, we are, and in the future expect to become, a party to ongoing patent enforcement related litigation alleging infringement by various third parties of certain patented technologies owned and/or controlled by us. Litigation is commenced by and managed through the subsidiary that owns the related portfolio of patents or patent rights. In connection with our enforcement activities, we are currently involved in multiple patent infringement cases. As of December 31, 2015, the Company is involved into a total of 34 lawsuits against defendants in the following jurisdictions:

United States	
District of Delaware	8
Central District of California	9
Eastern District of Michigan	2
Northern District of New York	1
US Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit	4
Foreign	
Germany	9
France	1

Other than as disclosed herein, we know of no other material, active or pending legal proceedings against us, nor are we involved as a plaintiff in any material proceedings or pending litigation other than in the normal course of business.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES.

Not applicable.

Table of Contents**PART II****ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES.****Market Information**

Our Common Stock is currently quoted on The NASDAQ Capital Market under the symbol **MARA** . Through July 25, 2014, our Common Stock was quoted on the OTCQB under the symbol **MARA** .

The following table sets forth the range of high and low sale prices for our common stock as reported on NASDAQ commencing in the third quarter ending September 30, 2014 through the fourth quarter ended December 31, 2015 and the interim period through March 15, 2016 and the high and low bid quotations for our Common Stock as reported on the OTCQB for the quarters ended March 31, 2014 and June 30, 2014. The prices set forth below give retroactive effect to the 1:13 reverse split effectuated on July 18, 2013 and the 1:2 stock dividend issued on December 22, 2014.

	High	Low
Fiscal 2016		
First quarter through March 15, 2016	\$ 2.41	\$ 1.49
Fiscal 2015		
First Quarter	\$ 8.43	\$ 5.59
Second Quarter	6.06	2.85
Third Quarter	3.32	1.85
Fourth Quarter	2.00	1.34
Fiscal 2014		
First Quarter	\$ 3.58	\$ 2.88
Second Quarter	5.55	3.18
Third Quarter	7.95	5.43
Fourth Quarter	9.67	5.86

Holdings

As of March 15, 2016, there were 59 holders of record of 14,967,181 shares of the Company's Common Stock.

Dividends

On November 19, 2014, we declared a stock dividend pursuant to which holders of our Common Stock, par value \$0.0001 as of the close of business on December 15, 2014 received one additional share of common stock for each share of Common Stock held by such holders (Stock Dividend). All share numbers and per share prices in this Annual Report reflect the Stock Dividend, unless otherwise indicated. Other than as described herein, the Company has not paid any cash dividends to date and does not anticipate or contemplate paying cash dividends in the foreseeable future. It is the present intention of management to utilize all available funds for the development of the Company's business.

Securities Authorized for Issuance under Equity Compensation Plans

2012 and 2014 Equity Incentive Plans

The following table gives information about the Company's Common Stock that may be issued upon the exercise of options and warrants and Common and Preferred Stock granted to employees, directors and consultants under the Company's 2012 Equity Incentive Plan and 2014 Equity Incentive Plan as of December 31, 2015.

On August 1, 2012, our Board of Directors and stockholders adopted the 2012 Equity Incentive Plan, pursuant to which 1,538,462 shares of our Common Stock are reserved for issuance as awards to employees, directors, consultants, advisors and other service providers.

Table of Contents

On September 16, 2014, our Board of Directors adopted the 2014 Equity Incentive Plan (the "2014 Plan") and on July 31, 2015 the stockholders approved the 2014 Plan. The 2014 Plan authorizes the Company to grant stock options, restricted stock, preferred stock, other stock based awards, and performance awards to purchase up to 2,000,000 shares of stock. Awards may be granted to the Company's directors, officers, consultants, advisors and employees. Unless earlier terminated by the Board of Directors, the 2014 Plan will terminate, and no further awards may be granted, after September 16, 2024.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

Set forth below is a summary of outstanding option, warrant and stock grants within plans approved by security holders:

Plan category	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	3,383,267	\$ 4.25	155,195
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders		\$	
Total	3,383,267	\$ 4.25	155,195

Recent issuances of unregistered securities

On April 22, 2014, the Company issued 300,000 shares of Restricted Common Stock to TT IP LLC in consideration of acquisition of patents on November 13, 2013. The transaction did not involve any underwriters, underwriting discounts or commissions, or any public offering. The issuance of these securities was deemed to be exempt from the registration requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, by virtue of Section 4(a)(2) thereof, as a transaction by an issuer not involving a public offering.

On May 14, 2014, the Company issued to consultants, five (5) year options to purchase an aggregate of 160,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock with an exercise price of \$4.165 per share, subject to adjustment, which shall vest in three (3) annual installments, with 33% vesting on the first anniversary of the date of grant, 33% on the second anniversary of the date of grant and 34% on the third anniversary of the date of grant. The issuance of these securities was deemed to be exempt from the registration requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, by virtue of Section 4(a)(2) therefore, as a transaction by an issuer not involving a public offering. The options were valued based on the Black-Scholes model, using the strike and market prices of \$4.165 per share, life of 3.5 years, volatility of 50% based on the closing price of the 50 trading sessions immediately preceding the grant and a discount rate as published by the Federal Reserve of 1.00%.

On May 15, 2014, the Company entered into an executive employment agreement with Francis Knuettel II ("Knuettel Agreement") pursuant to which Mr. Knuettel would serve as the Company's Chief Financial Officer. As part of the consideration, the Company agreed to grant Mr. Knuettel a ten (10) year stock option to purchase an aggregate of 290,000 shares of Common Stock, with a strike price of \$4.165 per share, vesting in thirty-six (36) equal installments on each monthly anniversary of the date of the Knuettel Agreement. The issuance of these securities was deemed to be exempt from the registration requirements of the Securities Act of 1933 by virtue of Section 4(a)(2) thereof, as a transaction

Edgar Filing: Marathon Patent Group, Inc. - Form 10-K

by an issuer not involving a public offering.

On June 15, 2014, the Company issued to a consultant a five (5) year stock option to purchase an aggregate of 40,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock with an exercise price of \$5.05 per share, subject to adjustment, which shall vest in twenty-four (24) each monthly installments on each monthly anniversary date of the grant. The issuance of these securities was deemed to be exempt from the registration requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, by virtue of Section 4(a)(2) therefore, as a transaction by an issuer not involving a public offering.

On June 2, 2014, the Company issued 48,078 shares of unrestricted Common Stock to an investor in the May 2013 private placement, pursuant to the exercise of a warrant received in the May 2013 private placement. The transaction did not involve any underwriters, underwriting discounts or commissions, or any public offering. The issuance of these securities was deemed to be exempt from the registration requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, by virtue of Section 4(a)(2) thereof, as a transaction by an issuer not involving a public offering.

Table of Contents

On June 30, 2014, the Company issued 200,000 shares of restricted Common Stock in the acquisition of Selene Communications Technologies, LLC. In connection with this transaction, the Company valued the shares at the fair market value on the date of grant at \$4.90 per share or \$980,000. The transaction did not involve any underwriters, underwriting discounts or commissions, or any public offering. The issuance of these securities was deemed to be exempt from the registration requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, by virtue of Section 4(a)(2) thereof, as a transaction by an issuer not involving a public offering.

On July 18, 2014, the Company issues a total of 26,722 shares of Common Stock pursuant to the exercise of stock options held by a former member of the Company's Board of Directors and the Company's former Chief Financial Officer. The transaction did not involve any underwriters, underwriting discounts or commissions, or any public offering. The issuance of these securities was deemed to be exempt from the registration requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, by virtue of Section 4(a)(2) thereof, as a transaction by an issuer not involving a public offering.

On August 29, 2014, the Company entered into an executive employment agreement with Daniel Gelbtuch (Gelbtuch Agreement) pursuant to which Mr. Gelbtuch would serve as the Company's Chief Marketing Officer. As part of the consideration, the Company agreed to grant Mr. Gelbtuch ten (10) year stock options to purchase an aggregate of 290,000 shares of Common Stock, with a strike price of \$5.62 per share, vesting in thirty-six (36) equal installments on each monthly anniversary of the date of the Gelbtuch Agreement. The issuance of these securities was deemed to be exempt from the registration requirements of the Securities Act of 1933 by virtue of Section 4(a)(2) thereof, as a transaction by an issuer not involving a public offering. Mr. Gelbtuch's employment with the Company was terminated as of January 20, 2015 and the vested shares at that time remain available for Mr. Gelbtuch to exercise.

On September 16, 2014, the Company issued to two of its independent board members, in lieu of cash compensation, 6,178 shares each of Restricted Common Stock. The shares shall vest quarterly over twelve (12) months commencing on the date of grant. The transaction did not involve any underwriters, underwriting discounts or commissions, or any public offering. The issuance of these securities was deemed to be exempt from the registration requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, by virtue of Section 4(a)(2) thereof, as a transaction by an issuer not involving a public offering.

On September 17, 2014, the Company entered into a consulting agreement (the Consulting Agreement) with GRQ Consultants, Inc. (GRQ), pursuant to which GRQ shall provide certain consulting services including, but not limited to, advertising, marketing, business development, strategic and business planning, channel partner development and other functions intended to advance the business of the Company. As consideration, GRQ shall be entitled to 200,000 shares of the Company's Series B Convertible Preferred Stock, 50% of which vested upon execution of the Consulting Agreement, and 50% of which shall vest in six (6) equal monthly installments of commencing on October 17, 2014. The first tranche of 100,000 shares of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock was issued to GRQ on October 6, 2014 and 150,000 shares in total, for a value of \$1,103,581, was issued in 2014 and 50,000 shares of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock for a value of \$345,334 was issued in 2015. In addition, the Consulting Agreement allows for GRQ to receive additional shares of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock upon the achievement of certain performance benchmarks. No milestones were met and no additional shares were issued in 2015. All shares of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock issuable to GRQ shall be pursuant to the 2014 Plan and shall be subject to shareholder approval of the 2014 Plan on or prior to September 16, 2015. The Consulting Agreement contains an acknowledgement that the conversion of the preferred stock into shares of the Company's Common Stock is precluded by the equity blockers set forth in the certificate of designation and in Section 17 of the 2014 Plan to ensure compliance with NASDAQ Listing Rule 5635(d). Every share of Series B Preferred Stock may be converted into two shares of Common Stock, after giving effect to the 2:1 stock dividend issued on December 22, 2014. The transaction did not involve any underwriters, underwriting discounts or commissions, or any public offering. The issuance of these securities was deemed to be exempt from the registration requirements of the Securities Act by virtue of the provisions of Section 4(a)(2) and Regulation D (Rule 506) thereunder, and the corresponding provisions of state securities laws.

Edgar Filing: Marathon Patent Group, Inc. - Form 10-K

On September 19, 2014, the Company authorized the issuance of 60,000 shares of Common Stock to the sellers of TLI Communications LLC. The Company valued the Common Stock at the fair market value on the date of the Interests Sale Agreement at \$13.63 per share or \$818,000. The transaction did not involve any underwriters, underwriting discounts or commissions, or any public offering. The issuance of these securities was deemed to be exempt from the registration requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, by virtue of Section 4(a)(2) thereof, as a transaction by an issuer not involving a public offering.

On September 30, 2014, the Company issued 50,000 shares of restricted Common Stock in the acquisition of the assets of Clouding IP, LLC. In connection with this transaction, the Company valued the shares at the quoted market price on the date of grant at \$5.62 per share or \$281,000. The transaction did not involve any underwriters, underwriting discounts or commissions, or any public offering. The issuance of these securities was deemed to be exempt from the registration requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, by virtue of Section 4(a)(2) thereof, as a transaction by an issuer not involving a public offering.

Table of Contents

For the three months ended September 30, 2014, certain holders of warrants exercised their warrants in a cashless, net exercise basis in exchange for 84,652 shares of the Company's Common Stock. The transaction did not involve any underwriters, underwriting discounts or commissions, or any public offering. The issuance of these securities was deemed to be exempt from the registration requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, by virtue of Section 4(a)(2) thereof, as a transaction by an issuer not involving a public offering.

On October 16, 2014, the Company sold to certain accredited investors an aggregate of \$5,550,000 of principal amount of convertible notes due October 9, 2018 along with two-year warrants to purchase 258,998 shares of the Company's Common Stock, par value \$0.0001 per share pursuant to a securities purchase agreement. The warrants were valued at \$169,015 and were recorded as a discount to the fair value of the convertible notes. The notes and warrants are initially convertible into shares of the Company's Common Stock at a conversion price of \$7.50 per share and an exercise price of \$8.25 per share, respectively. The conversion and exercise prices are subject to adjustment in the event of certain events, including stock splits and dividends. The Notes bear interest at the rate of 11% per annum, payable quarterly in cash on each of the three, six, nine and twelve month anniversary of the issuance date and on each conversion date. The Company reviewed the instruments in the context of ASC 480 and determined that the convertible notes should be recorded as a liability and analyzed the conversion feature and bifurcation pursuant to ASC 815 and ASC 470, respectively, to determine that there was no beneficial conversion feature and that the convertible notes and warrants should not be bifurcated.

On October 31, 2014, the Company entered into an executive employment agreement with Enrique Sanchez (Sanchez Agreement) pursuant to which Mr. Sanchez would serve as the Company's Senior Vice President of Licensing. As part of the consideration, the Company agreed to grant Mr. Sanchez a ten (10) year stock option to purchase an aggregate of 160,000 shares of Common Stock, with a strike price of \$6.40 per share, vesting in thirty-six (36) equal installments on each monthly anniversary of the date of the Sanchez Agreement. The issuance of these securities was deemed to be exempt from the registration requirements of the Securities Act of 1933 by virtue of Section 4(a)(2) thereof, as a transaction by an issuer not involving a public offering.

On October 31, 2014, the Company entered into an executive employment agreement with Umesh Jani (Jani Agreement) pursuant to which Mr. Jani would serve as the Company's Chief Technology Officer and SVP of Licensing. As part of the consideration, the Company agreed to grant Mr. Jani a ten (10) year stock option to purchase an aggregate of 100,000 shares of Common Stock, with a strike price of \$6.40 per share, vesting in thirty-six (36) equal installments on each monthly anniversary of the date of the Jani Agreement. The issuance of these securities was deemed to be exempt from the registration requirements of the Securities Act of 1933 by virtue of Section 4(a)(2) thereof, as a transaction by an issuer not involving a public offering.

On October 31, 2014, the Company issued existing employees, ten (10) year options to purchase an aggregate of 680,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock with an exercise price of \$6.40 per share, subject to adjustment, which shall vest in twenty-four (24) equal installments on each monthly anniversary. The issuance of these securities was deemed to be exempt from the registration requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, by virtue of Section 4(a)(2) therefore, as a transaction by an issuer not involving a public offering.

On October 31, 2014, the Company issued to a consultant, a five (5) year option to purchase an aggregate of 30,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock with an exercise price of \$6.40 per share, subject to adjustment, which shall vest in twenty-four (24) equal installments on each monthly anniversary of the grant. The issuance of these securities was deemed to be exempt from the registration requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, by virtue of Section 4(a)(2) therefore, as a transaction by an issuer not involving a public offering.

For the three months ended December 31, 2014, certain holders of warrants exercised their warrants in exchange for 29,230 shares of the Company's Common Stock. The transaction did not involve any underwriters, underwriting discounts or commissions, or any public offering.

Edgar Filing: Marathon Patent Group, Inc. - Form 10-K

The issuance of these securities was deemed to be exempt from the registration requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, by virtue of Section 4(a)(2) thereof, as a transaction by an issuer not involving a public offering.

On February 5, 2015 the Company issued to a consultant, a five (5) year option to purchase an aggregate of 25,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock with an exercise price of \$6.80 per share, subject to adjustment, which shall vest in twenty-four (24) equal installments on each monthly anniversary of the grant. The options were valued based on the Black-Scholes model, using the strike and market prices of \$6.80 per share, an expected term of 3.25 years, volatility of 47% based on the average volatility of comparable companies over the comparable prior period and a discount rate as published by the Federal Reserve of 0.92%.

On March 6, 2015 the Company issued a new board member a five (5) year option to purchase an aggregate of 20,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock with an exercise price of \$7.37 per share, subject to adjustment, which shall vest in twelve (12) equal installments on each monthly anniversary of the grant. The options were valued based on the Black-Scholes model, using the strike and market prices of \$7.37 per share, an expected term of 3.0 years, volatility of 41% based on the average volatility of comparable companies over the comparable prior period and a discount rate as published by the Federal Reserve of 1.16%.

Table of Contents

On March 18, 2015 the Company issued a new board member a five (5) year option to purchase an aggregate of 20,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock with an exercise price of \$6.61 per share, subject to adjustment, which shall vest in twelve (12) equal installments on each monthly anniversary of the grant. The options were valued based on the Black-Scholes model, using the strike and market prices of \$6.61 per share, an expected term of 3.0 years, volatility of 41% based on the average volatility of comparable companies over the comparable prior period and a discount rate as published by the Federal Reserve of 0.92%.

On April 7, 2015, the Company entered into a consulting agreement (the "Consulting Agreement") with Richard Chernicoff, a member of the Company's Board of Directors, pursuant to which Mr. Chernicoff shall provide certain services to the Company, including serving as the interim General Counsel and interim General Manager of commercial product commercialization development. Pursuant to the terms of the Consulting Agreement, Mr. Chernicoff shall receive a monthly retainer of \$27,000 and subject to shareholder approval and pursuant to the Company's 2014 Equity Incentive Plan (the "2014 Plan"), a ten (10) year stock option to purchase 280,000 shares of the Company's common stock (the "Award"). The stock options shall have an exercise price of \$6.76 per share, the closing price of the Company's common stock on the date immediately prior to the Board of Directors approval of such stock options and the options shall vest as follows: 25% of the Award shall vest on the 12 month anniversary of the effective date and thereafter 2.083% on the 21st day of each succeeding calendar month for the following twelve months, provided Mr. Chernicoff continues to provide services (in addition to as a member of the Company's Board of Directors) at the time of vesting. The Award shall be subject in all respects to the terms of the 2014 Plan. Notwithstanding anything herein to the contrary, the remainder of the Award shall be subject to the following as an additional condition of vesting: (A) options to purchase 70,000 shares of the Company's common stock under the Award shall not vest at all unless the price of the Company's common stock while Mr. Chernicoff continues as an officer and/or director reach \$8.99 and (B) options to purchase 70,000 shares of the Company's common stock under the Award shall not vest at all unless the price of the Company's common stock while Mr. Chernicoff continues as an officer and/or director reach \$10.14. For valuation purposes, the options were divided into two parts—the time-based vesting component and the performance-based vesting component. The time-based vesting component was valued based on the Black-Scholes model, using the strike and market prices of \$6.76 per share, an expected term of 6.25 years, volatility of 53% based on the average volatility of comparable companies over the comparable prior period and a discount rate as published by the Federal Reserve of 1.53%. The performance-based vesting component was valued based on the Monte Carlo Simulation model, using the strike and market prices of \$6.76 per share, an expected term of 10.0 years, volatility of 61% based on the average volatility of comparable companies over the comparable prior period and a discount rate as published by the Federal Reserve of 1.89%.

On July 16, 2015, the Company entered into a forbearance agreement (the "Agreement") with MedTech Development, the holder of a Promissory Note issued by the Company, dated October 10, 2014. Pursuant to the Agreement, among other terms, the Company issues to MedTech Development 200,000 shares of restricted common stock of the Company. In connection with this transaction, the Company valued the shares at the quoted market price on the date of grant at \$3.27 per share or \$654,000. The transaction did not involve any underwriters, underwriting discounts or commissions, or any public offering. The issuance of these securities was deemed to be exempt from the registration requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, by virtue of Section 4(a)(2) thereof, as a transaction by an issuer not involving a public offering.

On September 16, 2015, the Company issued its independent board members ten (10) year options to purchase an aggregate of 80,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock with an exercise price of \$2.03 per share, subject to adjustment, which shall vest monthly over twelve (12) months commencing on the date of grant. The options were valued based on the Black-Scholes model, using the strike and market prices of \$2.03 per share, an expected term of 5.5 years, volatility of 47% based on the average volatility of comparable companies over the comparable prior period and a discount rate as published by the Federal Reserve of 1.72%.

On September 21, 2015, the Company issued 150,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock to Alex Partners, LLC and Del Mar Consulting Group, Inc., pursuant to a services agreement entered into on September 21, 2015. In connection with this transaction, the Company valued the shares at the quoted market price on the date of grant at \$2.23 per share or \$334,500. The transaction did not involve any underwriters, underwriting discounts or commissions, or any public offering. The issuance of these securities was deemed to be exempt from the registration requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, by virtue of Section 4(a)(2) thereof, as a transaction by an issuer not involving a public offering.

On October 14, 2015, the Company issued certain of its employees ten (10) year options to purchase an aggregate of 385,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock with an exercise price of \$1.86 per share, subject to adjustment, which shall vest monthly over twenty-four (24) months commencing on the date of grant. The options were valued based on the Black-Scholes model, using the strike and market prices of \$1.86 per share, an expected term of 6.5 years, volatility of 49% based on the average volatility of comparable companies over the comparable prior period and a discount rate as published by the Federal Reserve of 1.57%.

Table of Contents

On October 14, 2015, the Company issued certain of its consultants ten (10) year options to purchase an aggregate of 70,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock with an exercise price of \$1.86 per share, subject to adjustment, which shall vest monthly over twenty-four (24) months commencing on the date of grant. The options were valued based on the Black-Scholes model, using the strike and market prices of \$1.86 per share, an expected term of 6.5 years, volatility of 49% based on the average volatility of comparable companies over the comparable prior period and a discount rate as published by the Federal Reserve of 1.57%.

On October 20, 2015, 16,666 shares of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock associated with the GRQ Consulting Agreement was converted into 16,666 shares of the Company's Common Stock.

On November 4, 2015, the Company issued 300,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock to Dominion Harbor Group LLC (Dominion), pursuant to a settlement agreement entered into with Dominion on October 30, 2015. In connection with this transaction, the Company valued the shares at the quoted market price on the date of grant at \$1.71 per share or \$513,000. The transaction did not involve any underwriters, underwriting discounts or commissions, or any public offering. The issuance of these securities was deemed to be exempt from the registration requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, by virtue of Section 4(a)(2) thereof, as a transaction by an issuer not involving a public offering.

On December 9, 2015, the Company entered into an agreement with Melechdavid, Inc. (Melechdavid), pursuant to which the Company agreed to issue 100,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock. In connection with this transaction, the Company valued the shares at the quoted market price on the date of grant at \$1.61 per share or \$161,000. The transaction did not involve any underwriters, underwriting discounts or commissions, or any public offering. The issuance of these securities was deemed to be exempt from the registration requirements of the Securities Act by virtue of Section 4(a)(2) thereof, as a transaction by an issuer not involving a public offering.

Recent Repurchases of Securities

None.

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

We are a smaller reporting company as defined by Rule 12b-2 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act) and are not required to provide the information under this item.

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Business of the Company

We acquire patents and patent rights from owners or other ventures and seek to monetize the value of the patents through litigation and licensing strategies, alone or with others. Part of our acquisition strategy is to acquire or invest in patents and patent rights that cover a wide-range of subject matter which allows us to seek the benefits of a diversified portfolio of assets in differing industries and countries. Generally, the patents and patent rights that we seek to acquire have large identifiable targets who are or have been using technology that we believe infringes upon patents and patent rights. We generally monetize our portfolio of patents and patent rights by entering into license discussions, and if that is unsuccessful, initiating enforcement activities against any infringing parties with the objective of entering into comprehensive settlement and license agreements that may include the granting of non-exclusive retroactive and future rights to use the patented technology, a covenant not to sue, a release of the party from certain claims, the dismissal of any pending litigation and such other terms as we deem appropriate. Our strategy has been developed with the expectation that it will result in a long-term, diversified revenue stream for the Company. As of December 31, 2015, we owned 327 U.S. and foreign patents and patent rights and 12 patent applications.

Recent Developments

On November 15, 2015, the Company and its wholly-owned subsidiary IP Liquidity Ventures LLC (IP Liquidity) entered into a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with Bridgestone Americas, Inc. (Bridgestone) and IPNav pursuant to which Bridgestone acknowledged that IP Liquidity was entitled to certain fees under an Advisory Services Agreement dated December 3, 2012. In addition, (i) the parties further agreed to terminate the agreement and (ii) terminate the German Patent Purchase Agreement (BATO PPA) entered into between Bridgestone and the Company on April 23, 2015, as amended.

Table of Contents

In connection with the termination of the agreement and the BATO PPA, as of November 15, 2015, the Company removed notes payable in the amount of \$10,000,000 and \$9,068,504, net of accumulated amortization, in patent assets from the Company's books and records, and in connection with the termination of the agreement, the Company removed \$1,694,411, net of accumulated amortization, in patents assets from the Company's books and records.

On February 22, 2016, Marathon Group SA, a Luxembourg *société anonyme*, Uniloc Luxembourg, S.A., a Luxembourg *société anonyme*, Uniloc Corporation Pty. Limited, an Australian company limited by shares ACN 058 043 744, and the Company, entered into a Termination Agreement terminating the Business Combination Agreement dated August 14, 2015 by and among the parties set forth above.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based upon our financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. On an on-going basis, we evaluate our estimates based on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

Management believes the following critical accounting policies affect the significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of the financial statements.

Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with GAAP and present the financial statements of the Company and our wholly-owned and majority owned subsidiaries. In the preparation of our consolidated financial statements, intercompany transactions and balances are eliminated.

Use of Estimates and Assumptions

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Significant estimates made by management include, but are not limited to, estimating the useful lives of patent assets, the assumptions used to calculate fair value of warrants and options granted, goodwill and intangible assets impairment, realization of long-lived assets, valuation of Clouding IP earn out liability, deferred income taxes, unrealized tax positions and business combination accounting.

Revenue Recognition

The Company recognizes revenue in accordance with ASC Topic 605, Revenue Recognition. Revenue is recognized when (i) persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, (ii) all obligations have been substantially performed, (iii) amounts are fixed or determinable and (iv) collectability of amounts is reasonably assured.

The Company considers the revenue generated from a settlement and licensing agreement as one unit of accounting under ASC 605-25, Multiple-Element Arrangements as the delivered items do not have value to customers on a standalone basis, there are no undelivered elements and there is no general right of return relative to the license. Under ASC 605-25, the appropriate recognition of revenue is determined for the combined deliverables as a single unit of accounting and revenue is recognized upon delivery of the final elements, including the license for past and future use and the release.

Also, due to the fact that the settlement element and license element for past and future use are the Company's major central business, the Company presents these two elements as one revenue category in its statement of operations. The Company does not expect to provide licenses that do not provide some form of settlement or release.

Table of Contents

Accounting for Acquisitions

In the normal course of its business, the Company makes acquisitions of patent assets and may also make acquisitions of businesses. With respect to each such transaction, the Company evaluates facts of the transaction and follows the guidelines prescribed in accordance with ASC 805 Business Combinations to determine the proper accounting treatment for each such transaction and then records the transaction in accordance with the conclusions reached in such analysis. The Company performs such analysis with respect to each material acquisition within the consolidated group of entities.

Intangible Assets - Patents

Intangible assets include patents purchased and patents acquired in lieu of cash in licensing transactions. The patents purchased are recorded based on the cost to acquire them and patents acquired in lieu of cash are recorded at their fair market value. The costs of these assets are amortized over their remaining useful lives. Useful lives of intangible assets are periodically evaluated for reasonableness and the assets are tested for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may no longer be recoverable. The Company performs the annual testing for impairment of intangible assets at the reporting unit level during the quarter ended September 30. The Company also continues to review the carrying value of the intangible assets in each of its reporting units upon any change in response to various business metrics and the regulatory and judicial environment. The Company did not record any impairment charges to its intangible assets during the year ended December 31, 2014 and recorded impairment charges in the amount of \$5,793,409 in its Clouding IP portfolio for the year ended December 31, 2015.

Goodwill

Goodwill is tested for impairment at the reporting unit level at least annually in accordance with ASC 350, and between annual tests if an event occurs or circumstances change that would more likely than not reduce the fair value of a reporting unit below its carrying value. In accordance with ASC 350-30-65, Intangibles - Goodwill and Others, the Company assesses the impairment of identifiable intangibles whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable. Factors the Company considers to be important which could trigger an impairment review include the following:

1. Significant underperformance relative to expected historical or projected future operating results;
2. Significant changes in the manner of use of the acquired assets or the strategy for the overall business;
3. Significant negative industry or economic trends; and
4. Significant reduction or exhaustion of the potential licenses of the patents which gave rise to the goodwill.

Edgar Filing: Marathon Patent Group, Inc. - Form 10-K

When the Company determines that the carrying value of intangibles may not be recoverable based upon the existence of one or more of the above indicators of impairment and the carrying value of the asset cannot be recovered from projected undiscounted cash flows, the Company records an impairment charge. The Company measures any impairment based on a projected discounted cash flow method using a discount rate determined by management to be commensurate with the risk inherent in the current business model. When conducting its annual goodwill impairment assessment, the Company initially performs a qualitative evaluation of whether it is more likely than not that goodwill is impaired. If it is determined by a qualitative evaluation that it is more likely than not that goodwill is impaired, the Company then applies a two-step impairment test. The two-step impairment test first compares the fair value of the Company's reporting unit to its carrying or book value. If the fair value of the reporting unit exceeds its carrying value, goodwill is not impaired and the Company is not required to perform further testing. If the carrying value of the reporting unit exceeds its fair value, the Company determines the implied fair value of the reporting unit's goodwill and if the carrying value of the reporting unit's goodwill exceeds its implied fair value, then an impairment loss equal to the difference is recorded in the consolidated statement of operations. The Company performs the annual testing for impairment of goodwill at the reporting unit level during the quarter ended September 30.

For the year ended December 31, 2015, the Company recorded no impairment charge to its goodwill, and for the year ended December 31, 2014, the Company recorded an impairment charge in the amount of \$2,144,488 to the goodwill associated with CyberFone.

Other Intangible Assets

In accordance with ASC 350-30-65, *Intangibles - Goodwill and Others*, the Company assesses the impairment of identifiable intangibles whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable. Factors the Company considers to be important which could trigger an impairment review include the following: (1) significant underperformance relative to expected historical or projected future operating results; (2) significant changes in the manner of use of the acquired assets or the strategy for the overall business; and (3) significant negative industry or economic trends.

Table of Contents

When the Company determines that the carrying value of intangibles may not be recoverable based upon the existence of one or more of the above indicators of impairment and the carrying value of the asset cannot be recovered from projected undiscounted cash flows, the Company records an impairment charge. The Company measures any impairment based on a projected discounted cash flow method using a discount rate determined by management to be commensurate with the risk inherent in the current business model.

Impairment of Long-lived Assets

The Company accounts for the impairment or disposal of long-lived assets according to the ASC 360 Property, Plant and Equipment . The Company continually monitors events and changes in circumstances that could indicate that the carrying amounts of long-lived assets may not be recoverable. Recoverability of assets to be held and used is measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of an asset to the estimated future net undiscounted cash flows that the Company expects to be generated by the asset. When necessary, impaired assets are written down to estimated fair value based on the best information available. Estimated fair value is generally based on either appraised value or measured by discounting estimated future cash flows. Considerable management judgment is necessary to estimate discounted future cash flows. Accordingly, actual results could vary significantly from such estimates. The Company recognizes an impairment loss when the sum of expected undiscounted future cash flows is less than the carrying amount of the asset. The Company did not record any impairment charges on its long-lived assets during the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014.

Stock-based Compensation

Stock-based compensation is accounted for based on the requirements of the Share-Based Payment Topic of ASC 718 which requires recognition in the consolidated financial statements of the cost of employee and director services received in exchange for an award of equity instruments over the period the employee or director is required to perform the services in exchange for the award (presumptively, the vesting period). The ASC also requires measurement of the cost of employee and director services received in exchange for an award based on the grant-date fair value of the award.

Pursuant to ASC Topic 505-50, for share-based payments to consultants and other third parties, compensation expense is determined at the measurement date. The expense is recognized over the vesting period of the award. Until the measurement date is reached, the total amount of compensation expense remains uncertain. The Company initially records compensation expense based on the fair value of the award at the reporting date. As stock-based compensation expense is recognized based on awards expected to vest, forfeitures are also estimated at the time of grant and revised, if necessary, in subsequent periods if actual forfeitures differ from those estimates. For the year ended December 31, 2015, the expected forfeiture rate was 10.40%, which resulted in an expense of \$28,663, recognized in the Company's compensation expenses. There were no forfeitures for the year ended December 31, 2014. The Company will continue to re-assess the impact of forfeitures if actual forfeitures increase in future quarters.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

At December 31, 2015, we had approximately \$2.6 million in cash and cash equivalents and a working capital deficit of approximately \$12.2 million.

Based on the Company's current revenue and profit projections, management is uncertain that the Company's existing cash and accounts receivables will be sufficient to fund its operations through at least the next twelve months. If we do not meet our revenue and profit projections or the business climate turns negative, then we will need to:

- raise additional funds to support the Company's operations; provided, however, there is no assurance that the Company will be able to raise such additional funds on acceptable terms, if at all. If the Company raises additional funds by issuing securities, existing stockholders may be diluted; and
- review strategic alternatives.

If adequate funds are not available, we may be required to curtail our operations or other business activities or obtain funds through arrangements with strategic partners or others that may require us to relinquish rights to certain technologies or potential markets.

Table of Contents

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In November 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-17, *Balance Sheet Classification of Deferred Taxes*. This update requires an entity to classify deferred tax liabilities and assets as noncurrent within a classified statement of financial position. ASU 2015-17 is effective for annual and interim reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016. This update may be applied either prospectively to all deferred tax liabilities and assets or retrospectively to all periods presented. Early application is permitted as of the beginning of the interim or annual reporting period. The Company adopted this standard for the annual period ending December 31, 2015. The effect of adopting the new guidance on the balance sheet was not significant.

In September 2015, the Financial Accounting Standards Board, or FASB, issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2015-16, *Business Combinations (Topic 805): Simplifying the Accounting for Measurement-Period Adjustments*, or ASU 2015-16. This amendment requires the acquirer in a business combination to recognize in the reporting period in which adjustment amounts are determined, any adjustments to provisional amounts that are identified during the measurement period, calculated as if the accounting had been completed at the acquisition date. Prior to the issuance of ASU 2015-16, an acquirer was required to restate prior period financial statements as of the acquisition date for adjustments to provisional amounts. The new standard for an annual reporting period beginning after December 15, 2017 with an earlier effective application is permitted only as of annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016. The new guidance is not expected to have significant impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In April 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-05, *Intangibles-Goodwill and Other Internal-Use Software; Customer's Accounting for Fees Paid in a Cloud Computing Arrangement*. Prior to this ASU, U.S. GAAP did not include explicit guidance about a customer's accounting for fees paid in a cloud computing arrangement. Examples of cloud computing arrangements include software as a service, platform as a service, infrastructure as a service, and other similar hosting arrangements. This ASU provides guidance to customers about whether a cloud computing arrangement includes a software license, in which case the customer should account for such license consistent with the acquisitions of other software licenses. If the cloud computing arrangement does not include a software license, the customer should account for the arrangement as a service contract. The ASU does not change the accounting for service contracts. The new standard is effective for us on January 1, 2016 with early adoption permitted. We do not expect the adoption of ASU 2015-05 to have a significant impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In April 2015, the FASB issued new guidance on the presentation of debt issuance costs (ASU 2015-03, *Interest - Imputation of Interest (Subtopic 835-30): Simplifying the Presentation of Debt Issuance Costs*), effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2015, and interim periods within those fiscal years and should be applied retrospectively to all periods presented. Early adoption of the new guidance is permitted for financial statements that have not been previously issued. The new guidance will require that debt issuance costs be presented in the balance sheet as a direct deduction from the related debt liability rather than as an asset, consistent with debt discounts. The Company adopted ASU 2015-03 and as such, the debt issuance costs for Fortress note was presented in the balance sheet as direct deduction from the related debt liability.

In August 2014, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2014-15, *Disclosure of Uncertainties About an Entity's Ability to Continue as a Going Concern*. This standard update provides guidance around management's responsibility to evaluate whether there is substantial doubt about an entity's ability to continue as a going concern and to provide related footnote disclosures. The new guidance is effective for all annual and interim periods ending after December 15, 2016. The new guidance is not expected to have a significant impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Edgar Filing: Marathon Patent Group, Inc. - Form 10-K

In May 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (*FASB*) issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2014-09, *Revenue from Contracts with Customers*, or ASU 2014-09, which requires an entity to recognize the amount of revenue to which it expects to be entitled for the transfer of promised goods or services to customers. The standard will replace most existing revenue recognition guidance in U.S. GAAP when it becomes effective and shall take effective on January 1, 2017. The standard permits the use of either the retrospective or cumulative effect transition method and the early application of the standard is not permitted. The Company is presently evaluating the effect that ASU 2014-09 will have on its consolidated financial statements and related disclosures and has not yet selected a transition method.

There were other updates recently issued, most of which represented technical corrections to the accounting literature or application to specific industries and are not expected to have a material impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Table of Contents**Results of Operations for the Years Ended December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014**Revenues

Revenues decreased by \$2,426,675, or 11%, to \$18,997,794 in the year ended December 31, 2015 compared to \$21,404,469 of revenue in the year ended December 31, 2014. The decrease in revenues in 2015 resulted from slower time to monetization for the Company's patents resulting from trial delays in a number of the Company's higher profile cases as well as the absence of a single large license agreement as the Company experienced in 2014.

Revenues from five licenses from four different subsidiaries of the Company accounted for approximately 62% of the Company's revenue for the year ended December 31, 2015 compared to the year ended December 31, 2014 in which five licenses from five different subsidiaries of the Company accounted for approximately 88% of the Company's revenue, as summarized below:

For the Year Ended December 31, 2015

Licensor	License Amount	% of Revenue
TLI Communications LLC	\$ 3,300,000	17%
Vantage Point Technology, Inc.	\$ 2,750,000	15%
Orthophenix, LLC	\$ 2,050,000	11%
IP Liquidity Ventures, LLC	\$ 1,870,790	10%
IP Liquidity Ventures, LLC	\$ 1,800,000	9%
	Total	62%

For the Year Ended December 31, 2014

Licensor	License Amount	% of Revenue
Clouding Corp.	\$ 10,500,000	49%
Selene Communications Technologies, LLC	\$ 2,900,000	14%
CRFD Research, Inc.	\$ 2,800,000	13%
Realy IP, LLC	\$ 1,750,000	8%
IP Liquidity Ventures, LLC	\$ 937,500	4%
	Total	88%

The Company derived these revenues from the one-time issuance of non-recurring, non-exclusive, non-assignable licenses to certain licensees and their affiliates for certain of the Company's patents. While the Company has a growing portfolio of patents, at this time, the Company expects that a significant portion of its future revenues will be based on one-time grants of similar non-recurring, non-exclusive, non-assignable licenses to a relatively small number of entities and their affiliates. Further, with the expected small number of firms with which the Company enters into license agreements, and the amount and timing of such license agreements, the Company also expects that its revenues may be highly

variable from one period to the next.

Operating Expenses

Direct costs of revenues for the years ended December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014 amounted to \$16,603,792 and \$11,787,445, respectively. For the year ended December 31, 2015, this represented an increase of \$4,816,347, or 41%. Direct costs of revenue include contingent payments to patent enforcement legal costs, patent enforcement advisors and inventors. Direct costs of revenue also includes various non-contingent costs associated with enforcing the Company's patent rights and otherwise in developing and entering into settlement and licensing agreements that generate the Company's revenue. Such costs include other legal fees and expenses, consulting fees, data management costs and other costs. Direct costs of revenues for 2015 were higher than in 2014 due to a fixed fee engagement agreement with a law firm that represented one of the Company's subsidiaries in two United States trials, an increase in enforcement activity in Germany and to a lesser extent France and preparation for a significant number of trials in both the United States and Germany in 2015.

We incurred other operating expenses of \$28,054,433 and \$15,823,752 for the years ended December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively. This represented an increase of \$12,230,681, or 77%, in 2015 compared to 2014. These expenses primarily consisted of amortization of patents, general expenses, compensation to our officers, directors and employees, professional fees and consulting incurred in connection with the day-to-day operation of our business as well as an impairment of patent assets in the amount of \$5,793,409 for the year ended December 31, 2015 (compared to no impairment of patent assets for the year ended December 31, 2014), offset partially by an impairment of goodwill in the amount of \$0 and \$2,144,488 in the years ended December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively. Other operating expenses consisted of the following:

Table of Contents

	Total Other Operating Expenses	
	For the Year Ended December 31, 2015	For the Year Ended December 31, 2014
Amortization of patents	\$ 10,825,164	\$ 5,528,280
Compensation and related taxes	5,419,252	3,904,462
Consulting fees	2,324,248	2,134,672
Professional fees	2,548,492	1,566,375
Other general and administrative	1,143,869	545,475
Patent impairment	5,793,409	
Goodwill impairment		2,144,488
Total	\$ 28,054,433	\$ 15,823,752

Operating expenses for the years ended December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014 include non-cash operating expenses totaling \$20,803,067 and \$10,966,155, respectively. The results for the year ended December 31, 2015 represent an increase in non-cash operating expenses in the amount of \$9,836,912 or 90%, compared to the non-cash operating expenses for the year ended December 31, 2014. Non-cash operating expenses consisted of the following:

	Non-Cash Operating Expenses	
	For the Year Ended December 31, 2015	For the Year Ended December 31, 2014
Amortization of patents	\$ 10,825,164	\$ 5,528,280
Compensation and related taxes	2,176,711	1,751,034
Consulting fees	1,590,346	1,536,603
Professional fees	34,109	5,750
Other general and administrative	383,328	
Patent impairment	5,793,409	
Goodwill impairment		2,144,488
Total	\$ 20,803,067	\$ 10,966,155

Amortization of patents

Amortization expenses were \$10,825,164 and \$5,528,280 for the years ended December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively, an increase of \$5,296,884 or 96%. The increase results from the significant number of patents and patent portfolios we have added at various points in 2014 and early 2015, during which the Company acquired ownership of or contractual rights to eleven patent portfolios. When the Company acquires patents and patent rights, the Company capitalizes those assets and amortizes the costs over the remaining useful lives of the assets. All patent amortization expenses are non-cash expenses.

Compensation expense and related taxes

Compensation expense includes cash compensation, related payroll taxes and benefits and also non-cash equity compensation. For the years ended December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, total compensation expense and related payroll taxes were \$5,419,252 and \$3,904,462, respectively, an increase of \$1,514,790 or 39%. The increase in compensation primarily reflects an increase in the number of average employees in 2015 compared to 2014, as two of the Company's six employees as of December 31, 2015 were hired during the fourth quarter of 2014 and to

Edgar Filing: Marathon Patent Group, Inc. - Form 10-K

a lesser extent from an increase in cash compensation, equity-based compensation, payroll taxes and benefits to our employees. During the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, we recognized non-cash employee and board equity based compensation of \$2,176,711 and \$1,751,034, respectively.

Consulting fees

For the years ended December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, we incurred consulting fees of \$2,324,248 and \$2,134,672, respectively, an increase of \$189,576 or 9%. Consulting fees include both cash and non-cash related consulting fees primarily for investor relations and public relations services as well as other consulting services. During the years ended December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, we recognized non-cash equity based consulting of \$1,590,346 and \$1,536,603, respectively.

Table of Contents

Professional fees

Professional fees for the years ended December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively, were \$2,548,492 and \$1,566,375, an increase of \$982,117 or 63%. Professional fees primarily reflect the costs of professional outside accounting fees, legal fees and audit fees. The increase in professional fees for the year ended December 31, 2015 compared to the same period in 2014 are predominately related to professional outside legal, accounting and audit fees resulting from the Business Combination Agreement entered into with Uniloc on August 14, 2015 and to a lesser extent, costs associated with establishing operations in Germany. During the years ended December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, we recognized non-cash equity based professional fees of \$34,109 and \$5,750, respectively.

Other general and administrative expenses

For the years ended December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, other general and administrative expenses were \$1,143,868 and \$545,475, respectively, an increase of \$598,393, or approximately 110%. General and administrative expenses reflect the other non-categorized operating costs of the Company and include expenses related to being a public company, rent, insurance, technology and other expenses incurred to support the operations of the Company. During the years ended December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, we recognized non-cash equity based professional fees of \$383,328 and \$0, respectively.

Loss on impairment of intangible assets

For the years ended December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, the Company recorded a loss on the impairment of intangible assets in the amounts of \$5,793,409 and \$0, respectively.

Loss on impairment of goodwill

For the years ended December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, the Company recorded a loss on the impairment of goodwill in the amounts of \$0 and \$2,144,488, respectively.

Operating loss

The operating income (loss) from increased by \$19,473,704 to \$(25,680,432) in 2015 from \$(6,206,728) in 2014 as a result of the decrease in revenues, an increase in direct costs of revenues associated with a fixed fee legal representation engagement agreement and considerably higher non-cash expenses, especially patent amortization and impairment of patent assets.

Other income (expense)

Other income (expense) was \$584,125 for the year ended December 31, 2015 compared to other expense of \$(588,627) for the year ended December 31, 2014. The improvement in other income is attributable to a gain on the reduction of the value of the Clouding IP earn out liability, which was reduced with the impairment of the Clouding IP intangible assets, offset by an increase in interest expense from \$543,283 for the year ended December 31, 2014 to \$4,245,982 for the year ended December 31, 2015, primarily associated with the Fortress transaction and MedTech acquisition debt, as well as a loss on a debt extinguishment associated with the MedTech acquisition debt.

Income tax benefit

We recognized an income tax benefit in the amount of \$8,156,448 and \$4,913,232 for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

Net income and net income available to common shareholders

We reported net income (loss) of \$(16,939,859) and \$(3,153,615) for the years ended December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively. For the year ended December 31, 2014, the net loss included a \$1,271,492 expense associated with a deemed dividend related to a beneficial conversion feature of the Series A Convertible Preferred Stock.

Table of Contents*Loss per common share, basic and diluted*

The Company reported an increase in the net loss per share of \$0.92 per share to \$(1.19) per share for the year ended December 31, 2015 from \$(0.27) for the year ended December 31, 2014. The deterioration in the net loss per share reflected lower revenue, increased costs of revenues, costs associated with the Business Combination Agreement with Uniloc and higher non-cash patent amortization and impairment expenses, offset partially by an increase in the number of weighted average shares outstanding. The increase in the number of weighted-average shares outstanding reflects increases in shares outstanding resulting from shares issued in connection with certain non-cash compensation arrangements plus the issuance of new shares in connection with the Company's private placement financing.

	For the Year Ended December 31, 2015	For the Year Ended December 31, 2014
Net loss attributable to Common Shareholders	\$ (16,939,859)	\$ (3,153,615)
Denominator		
Weighted Average Common Shares - Basic	14,208,787	11,660,879
Weighted Average Common Shares - Diluted	14,208,787	11,660,879
Earnings (Loss) per common share:		
Earnings (Loss) - Basic	\$ (1.19)	\$ (0.16)
Earnings (Loss) - Diluted	\$ (1.19)	\$ (0.16)

Non-GAAP Reconciliation

The Company uses a Non-GAAP reconciliation of net income (loss) and earnings (loss) per share in the presentation of financial results here. Management believes that this presentation may be more meaningful in analyzing our income generation.

On a Non-GAAP basis, the Company's recorded a decrease in the net loss in the amount of \$10,143,457 for the year ended December 31, 2015 compared to an increase in net income in the amount of \$7,324,415 for the year ended December 31, 2014. The details of those expenses and non-GAAP reconciliation of these non-cash items are set forth below:

	Non-GAAP Reconciliation	
	For the Year Ended December 31, 2015	For the Year Ended December 31, 2014
Net loss attributable to Common Shareholders	\$ (16,939,859)	\$ (3,153,615)
Non-GAAP		
Amortization of intangible assets & depreciation	10,825,164	5,528,280
Equity-based compensation	3,801,166	3,293,387
Beneficial conversion feature		1,271,492
Impairment of patents	5,793,409	
Impairment of goodwill		2,144,488
Change in fair value of clouding IP earn out	(6,137,116)	
Non-cash interest expense	2,220,992	
Deferred tax benefit	(8,156,448)	(4,913,232)

Edgar Filing: Marathon Patent Group, Inc. - Form 10-K

Loss on debt restructuring and extinguishment		1,416,915		
Other		383,328		
Non-GAAP earnings (loss)	\$	(6,792,449)	\$	4,170,800

Non-GAAP Loss per common share, basic and diluted

For the year ended December 31, 2015, net loss per common share on a Non-GAAP basis was \$(0.48) per common share compared to net income per basic common share on a Non-GAAP basis of \$0.36 for the year ended December 31, 2014 and net income per diluted common share on a Non-GAAP basis of \$0.29 for the year ended December 31, 2014.

Table of Contents

	Non-GAAP Reconciliation of Earnings Per Share	
	For the Year Ended December 31, 2015	For the Year Ended December 31, 2014
Non-GAAP net income (loss)	\$ (6,792,449)	\$ 4,170,800
Denominator		
Weighted Average Common Shares - Basic	14,208,787	11,660,879
Weighted Average Common Shares - Diluted	14,208,787	14,311,048
Non-GAAP earnings (loss) per common share:		
Non-GAAP earnings (loss) - Basic	\$ (0.48)	\$ 0.36
Non-GAAP earnings (loss) - Diluted	\$ (0.48)	\$ 0.29

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Liquidity is the ability of a company to generate funds to support its current and future operations, satisfy its obligations, and otherwise operate on an ongoing basis. At December 31, 2015, the Company's cash and cash equivalents balances totaled \$2,555,151 compared to \$5,082,569 at December 31, 2014. The decrease in the cash balances of \$2,527,418 resulted primarily from the Company's loss from operations and the repayment of most of the convertible notes issued in October 2014 as well as the repayment of acquisition debt associated with portfolios purchased in May 2014 and October 2014.

Despite the reduction in cash at December 31, 2015 compared to December 31, 2014, net working capital increased by \$2,088,847 to a deficit of \$(12,172,746) at December 31, 2015 from a deficit of \$(14,261,593) at December 31, 2014. The increase in net working capital resulted primarily from a reduction in the value of short-term notes payable and a reduction in the current portion of the Clouding IP earn out at December 31, 2015 compared to December 31, 2014, offset partially by the aforementioned decline in cash and an increase in accounts payable.

Cash provided (used) by operating activities was \$(2,961,238) during the year ended December 31, 2015 compared to cash provided by operating activities of \$4,455,105 during the year ended December 31, 2014.

Cash used in investing activities was \$58,386 for the year ended December 31, 2015 compared to cash used in investing activities in the amount of \$7,869,795 for the year ended December 31, 2014. Cash generated by investing activities during the year ended December 31, 2015 was related to the disposal of a patent portfolio partially offset by the purchase of equipment, with no cash used in the acquisition of patent assets. This compares to cash used in investing activities during the year ended December 31, 2014 related to patent assets purchased in a series of transactions entered into on May 2, 2014, June 17, 2014, August 29, 2014, September 19, 2014 and October 13, 2014, through which the Company acquired ten new patent portfolios, as well as equipment purchases. However, purchase of non-patent assets, specifically equipment and other non-patent intangibles represented less than 1% of total acquisitions of assets.

Cash provided by financing activities was \$508,838 during the year ended December 31, 2015 compared to cash provided by financing activities in the amount of \$4,888,528 during the year ended December 31, 2014. Cash provided by financing activities for the year ended December 31, 2015 resulted from proceeds from the Fortress transaction, offset by the repayment of debts incurred in the acquisitions of various patent portfolios as more fully described above.

Edgar Filing: Marathon Patent Group, Inc. - Form 10-K

Management believes that the balance of cash and cash equivalents of \$2,555,151 at December 31, 2015, combined with licenses agreements entered into by the Company prior to the date of this Annual Report along with expected operating cash flow is sufficient to continue to fund the Company's current operations at least through March 2017. However, the Company's operations are subject to various risks and there is no assurance that changes in the operations of the Company will not require the Company to raise additional cash sooner than planned in order to continue uninterrupted operations. In that event, the Company would seek to raise additional capital from the sale of the Company's securities, from borrowing or from other sources. Should the Company seek to raise capital from the issuances of its securities, such transactions would be subject to the risks of the market for the Company's securities at the time.

Table of Contents

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

None.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

We are a smaller reporting company as defined by Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act and are not required to provide the information under this item.

Table of Contents

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

MARATHON PATENT GROUP, INC.

CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

DECEMBER 31, 2015

Index to Financial Statements

<u>REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM</u>	F-2
<u>CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS</u>	F-3
<u>CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS</u>	F-4
<u>CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHNSIVE LOSS</u>	F-5
<u>CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY</u>	F-6
<u>CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS</u>	F-7
<u>NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS</u>	F-8 to F-38

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors

Marathon Patent Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Marathon Patent Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries (collectively, the Company) as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive loss, change in stockholders' equity, and cash flows for the years then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audit included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Company as of December 31, 2015 and 2014 and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the years then ended, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

/s/ SingerLewak LLP
Los Angeles, California
March 30, 2016

Edgar Filing: Marathon Patent Group, Inc. - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

MARATHON PATENT GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

	December 31, 2015	December 31, 2014
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash	\$ 2,555,151	\$ 5,082,569
Accounts receivable - net of allowance for bad debt of \$375,750 and \$0 for December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014	136,842	216,997
Bonds posted with courts	1,748,311	1,946,196
Prepaid expenses and other current assets, net of discounts of \$3,414 and \$0 for December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014	338,598	438,391
Total current assets	4,778,902	7,684,153
Other assets:		
Property and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation of \$67,052 and \$16,135 for December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014	61,297	53,828
Intangible assets, net of accumulated amortization of \$15,557,353 and \$6,550,528 for December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014	25,457,639	43,363,832
Deferred tax assets	12,437,741	4,789,293
Other non current assets, net of discounts of \$4,831 and \$0 for December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014	9,169	
Goodwill	4,482,845	4,894,208
Total other assets	42,448,691	53,101,161
Total Assets	\$ 47,227,593	\$ 60,785,314
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$ 6,534,825	\$ 3,293,746
Clouding IP earn out - current portion	33,646	2,092,000
Notes payable, net of discounts of \$730,945 and \$82,010 for December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014	10,383,177	16,560,000
	16,951,648	21,945,746
Long-term liabilities		
Notes Payable, net of discount of \$1,425,167 and \$64,925, for December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014	12,223,884	5,403,065
Clouding IP earn out	3,281,238	7,360,000
Deferred Tax Liability	1,044,997	1,823,884
Revenue share liability	1,000,000	
Other long term liability	50,084	
Total long-term liabilities	17,600,203	14,586,949
Total liabilities	34,551,851	36,532,695
Stockholders Equity:		
Preferred stock Series A, \$.0001 par value, 50,000,000 shares authorized: 0 and 0 issued and outstanding at December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014		
Preferred stock Series B, \$.0001 par value, 50,000,000 shares authorized: 782,004 and 932,000 issued and outstanding at December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014	78	93

Edgar Filing: Marathon Patent Group, Inc. - Form 10-K

Common stock, (\$.0001 par value; 200,000,000 shares authorized; 14,867,141 and 13,791,460 adjusted for the stock dividend issued and outstanding at December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014		1,487		1,379
Additional paid-in capital		43,217,513		36,977,169
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)		(1,265,812)		(388,357)
Accumulated deficit		(29,277,524)		(12,337,665)
Total stockholders' equity		12,675,742		24,252,619
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$	47,227,593	\$	60,785,314

The accompanying notes are an integral part to these audited consolidated financial statements.

Edgar Filing: Marathon Patent Group, Inc. - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

MARATHON PATENT GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

	For The Year Ended December 31, 2015	For The Year Ended December 31, 2014
Revenues	\$ 18,977,794	\$ 21,404,469
Expenses		
Cost of revenues	16,603,792	11,787,445
Amortization of patents and website	10,825,164	5,528,280
Compensation and related taxes	5,419,252	3,904,462
Consulting fees	2,324,248	2,134,672
Professional fees	2,548,492	1,566,375
General and administrative	1,143,869	545,475
Patent impairment	5,793,409	
Goodwill impairment		2,144,488
Total operating expenses	44,658,226	27,611,197
Operating loss	(25,680,432)	(6,206,728)
Other income (expenses)		
Other income (expense)	170,706	(52,228)
Foreign exchange gain (loss)	(61,868)	
Change in fair value of Clouding IP earn out	6,137,116	
Realized loss, available for sale		6,250
Interest income	1,068	634
Interest expense	(4,245,982)	(543,283)
Loss on debt extinguishment	(1,416,915)	
Total other income (expenses)	584,125	(588,627)
Loss before benefit from income taxes	(25,096,307)	(6,795,355)
Income tax benefit	8,156,448	4,913,232
Net loss	(16,939,859)	(1,882,123)
Deemed dividends related to beneficial conversion feature of Series A preferred stock		(1,271,492)
Net loss attributable to common shareholders	\$ (16,939,859)	\$ (3,153,615)
Loss per common share, basic and diluted:	\$ (1.19)	\$ (0.16)
WEIGHTED AVERAGE COMMON SHARES OUTSTANDING - Basic and Diluted	14,208,787	11,660,879

The accompanying notes are an integral part to these audited consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

MARATHON PATENT GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
 CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE LOSS

	For The Year Ended December 31, 2015	For The Year Ended December 31, 2014
Net loss attributable to Marathon Patent Group, Inc.	\$ (16,939,859)	\$ (3,153,615)
Other Comprehensive Loss:		
Unrealized loss on foreign currency translation	(877,455)	(388,357)
Realized loss on investment securities, available for sale		6,250
Comprehensive loss attributable to Marathon Patent Group, Inc.	\$ (17,817,314)	\$ (3,535,722)

The accompanying notes are an integral part to these audited consolidated financial statements.

Edgar Filing: Marathon Patent Group, Inc. - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

MARATHON PATENT GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

	Preferred Stock/Units Shares	Par Value	Common Stock Shares	Par Value	Add 1 Paid in Capital	Accumulated Deficit	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Total Stockholders Equity (Deficit)						
BALANCE														
December 31, 2013		\$	10,979,186	\$	1,098	\$	22,673,287	\$	(10,488,018)	\$	(6,250)	\$	12,180,117	
Write-off of marketable securities / discontinued assets							32,662		6,250				38,912	
Stock compensation expense						2,203,222							2,203,222	
Common stock issued in acquisition			185,000		19	2,078,781							2,078,800	
Exercise of stock option and warrants			107,814		11	249,213							249,224	
Consulting services paid in warrants						41,576							41,576	
Warrant issued in conjunction with convertible debt						164,020							164,020	
Currency translation loss								(388,357)					(388,357)	
Adjustment resulting from stock dividend and other	466,000		47	1,495,881		149		(6)					(186)	4
Series A preferred stock	1,000,502		100										6,238,164	
Series A preferred stock compensation	23,077		2										149,998	
Common stock issued upon conversion of series A preferred stock	1,023,579		(102)	1,023,579		102								
Series B preferred stock	466,000		46										3,178,914	
Beneficial conversion feature													1,271,492	
													(1,271,492)	
Net Loss								(1,882,123)					(1,882,123)	
BALANCE														
December 31, 2014	932,000	\$	93	13,791,460	\$	1,379	\$	36,977,169	\$	(12,337,665)	\$	(388,357)	\$	24,252,619
Stock compensation expense						2,490,175							2,490,175	
Common stock issued for service			210,000		21	900,479							900,500	
Exercise of stock option and warrants			31,276		4	18,745							18,749	
Common stock issued in conjunction with debt financing			134,409		13	999,987							1,000,000	
Issuance of common stock in debt restructuring			200,000		20	653,980							654,000	
Warrant issued in conjunction with debt financing						318,679							318,679	

Edgar Filing: Marathon Patent Group, Inc. - Form 10-K

Conversion of series B Preferred Stock	(199,996)	(20)	199,996	20					
Series B Preferred Stock compensation expense	50,000	5			345,329				345,334
Issue common stock in litigation settlement			300,000	30	512,970				513,000
Currency translation loss							(877,455)		(877,455)
Net Loss						(16,939,859)			(16,939,859)
BALANCE									
December 31, 2015	782,004	\$ 78	14,867,141	\$ 1,487	\$ 43,217,513	\$ (29,277,524)	\$ (1,265,812)	\$	12,675,742

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these audited consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

MARATHON PATENT GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	For The Year Ended December 31, 2015	For The Year Ended December 31, 2014
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net loss	\$ (16,939,859)	\$ (3,153,615)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:		
Depreciation	7,578	6,233
Amortization of patents and website	10,825,164	5,522,047
Provision for allowance for doubtful accounts	375,750	
Deferred tax asset	(7,618,580)	(1,774,807)
Deferred tax liability	(660,455)	
Impairment of intangible assets	5,793,409	2,144,488
Loss on debt extinguishment	1,416,915	
Stock based compensation	2,490,175	1,751,035
Stock issued for services	1,245,834	1,542,353
Non-cash interest, discount, and financing costs	2,220,992	
Change in fair value of Clouding earnout	(6,137,116)	
Deemed Series A dividend beneficial conversion		1,271,492
Income tax benefit		(3,177,502)
Other non-cash adjustments	260,938	71,467
Changes in operating assets and liabilities		
Accounts receivable	(295,608)	110,053
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	(162,706)	(2,346,667)
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	4,216,331	2,488,528
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	(2,961,238)	4,455,105
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Acquisition of patents		(7,816,832)
Purchase of property, equipment, and other intangible assets	(58,386)	(52,963)
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	(58,386)	(7,869,795)
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Payment on note payable in connection with the acquisition of IP Liquidity	(1,109,375)	(1,215,625)
Payment on note payable in connection with the acquisition of Dynamic Advances	(2,624,375)	(225,625)
Payment on note payable in connection with the acquisition of Orthophoenix	(5,500,000)	
Payment on note payable in connection with the acquisition of Medtech and Orthophoenix	(4,318,287)	(2,000,000)
Payable (Payment) on Mdr Escrow (TLI)	(50,000)	50,000
Payment on note payable in connection with the acquisition of Sarif	(276,250)	(23,750)
Payment on convertible debt	(5,050,000)	
Cash received upon issuance of notes payable (net of issuance costs)	19,600,000	
Payments of notes payable to vendors	(181,626)	
Payments on earn-out connected to the acquisition of Clouding		(2,883,960)
Cash received upon the issuance of convertible debt securities		5,550,000
Proceeds from sale of preferred and common stock, net of issuance costs		6,388,266
Payment in connection with the acquisition of Clouding		-1,000,000
Cash received upon exercise of warrant	18,751	249,222
Net cash provided by financing activities	508,838	4,888,528

Edgar Filing: Marathon Patent Group, Inc. - Form 10-K

Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	(16,632)	(1,531)
Net increase in cash	(2,527,418)	1,472,307
Cash at beginning of period	5,082,569	3,610,262
Cash at end of period	\$ 2,555,151	\$ 5,082,569

SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION:

Cash paid for:

Interest expense	\$ 1,982,140	\$ 280,783
Taxes paid	\$ 168,378	\$ 39,078
Loan fees	\$ 400,000	\$ 1,050,000

SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF NON-CASH INVESTING AND FINANCING ACTIVITIES:

Common stock issued in conjunction with note payable	\$ 1,000,000	\$
Warrants issued in conjunction with note payable	\$ 318,679	\$
Revenue share liability incurred in conjunction with note payable	\$ 1,000,000	\$
Non-cash interest increase in debt assumed in conjunction with the acquisition of Orthophoenix	\$ 750,000	\$
Common stock issued in conjunction with debt extinguishment	\$ 654,000	\$
Conversion of accounts payable to notes payable	\$ 705,093	\$
Common stock issued in connection with the acquisition of Clouding Corp	\$	\$ 281,000
Earn-out liability in connection with the acquisition of Clouding Corp	\$	\$ 9,452,000
Common stock granted in connection with the acquisition of TLI Communications, LLC	\$	\$ 817,800
Series B Preferred stock issued in connection with the acquisition of Dynamic Advances LLC	\$	\$ 1,403,690
Series B Convertible Preferred Stock issued in connection with the acquisition of Dynamic Advances LLC and IP Liquidity Ventures, LLC	\$	\$ 2,087,380
Common stock issued in connection with the acquisition of Selene Communication Technologies	\$	\$ 980,000
Value of warrants pertaining to equity issuance	\$	\$ 11,595
Value of warrants pertaining to convertible debt issuance	\$	\$ 146,935
Notes payable issued in connection with the acquisition of IP Liquidity Ventures, LLC, Dynamic Advances, LLC, Selene Communications Technologies, LLC, Clouding Corp, and Medtech Companies	\$	\$ 14,000,000
Issuance of common stock issued for prepaid services	\$	\$ (298,301)

The accompanying notes are an integral part to these audited consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

MARATHON PATENT GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

DECEMBER 31, 2015

NOTE 1 - ORGANIZATION AND DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS

Organization

Our business is to acquire patents and patent rights and to monetize the value of those assets to generate revenue and profit for the Company. We acquire patents and patent rights from their owners, who range from individual inventors to Fortune 500 companies. Part of our acquisition strategy is to acquire or invest in patents and patent rights that cover a wide-range of subject matter, which allows us to achieve the benefits of a growing diversified portfolio of assets. Generally, the patents and patent rights that we acquire are characterized by having large identifiable companies who are or have been using technology that infringes our patents and patent rights. We generally monetize our portfolio of patents and patent rights by entering into license discussions, and if that is unsuccessful, initiating enforcement activities against any infringing parties with the objective of entering into a standard form of comprehensive settlement and license agreement that may include the granting of non-exclusive retroactive and future rights to use the patented technology, a covenant not to sue, a release of the party from certain claims, the dismissal of any pending litigation and other terms that are appropriate in the circumstances. Our strategy has been developed with the expectation that it will result in a long-term, diversified revenue stream for the Company.

Marathon Patent Group, Inc. (the Company), formerly American Strategic Minerals Corporation, was incorporated under the laws of the State of Nevada on February 23, 2010.

On December 7, 2011, the Company changed its name to American Strategic Minerals Corporation from Verve Ventures, Inc., and increased the Company's authorized capital to 200,000,000 shares of Common Stock, par value \$0.0001 per share, and 100,000,000 shares of preferred stock, par value \$0.0001 per share. In June 2012, the Company discontinued its exploration and potential development of uranium and vanadium minerals business. In October 2012, we discontinued our real estate business when our CEO joined the firm and we commenced our current business, at which time the Company's name was changed to Marathon Patent Group, Inc.

On August 1, 2012, the shareholders holding a majority of the Company's voting capital voted in favor of (i) changing the name of the Company to Fidelity Property Group, Inc. and (ii) the adoption the 2012 Equity Incentive Plan and reserving 20,000,000 shares of Common Stock for issuance thereunder (the 2012 Plan). The board of directors of the Company (the Board of Directors) approved the name change and the adoption of the 2012 Plan on August 1, 2012. The Company did not file an amendment to its Articles of Incorporation with the Secretary of State of Nevada and subsequently abandoned the decision to adopt the Fidelity Property Group, Inc. name.

On October 1, 2012, the shareholders holding a majority of the Company's voting capital had voted and authorized the Company to (i) change the name of the Company to Marathon Patent Group, Inc. and (ii) effectuate a reverse stock split of the Company's Common Stock by a ratio of 3-for-2 (the Reverse Split) within one year from the date of approval of the stockholders of the Company. The Board of Directors approved the

Edgar Filing: Marathon Patent Group, Inc. - Form 10-K

name change and the Reverse Split on October 1, 2012. The Board of Directors determined the name Marathon Patent Group, Inc. better reflects the long-term strategy in exploring other opportunities and the identity of the Company going forward. On February 15, 2013, the Company filed the Certificate of Amendment with the Secretary of State of the State of Nevada in order to effectuate the name change. On May 31, 2013, shareholders of record holding a majority of the outstanding voting capital of the Company approved a reverse stock split of the Company's issued and outstanding Common Stock by a ratio of not less than one-for-five and not more than one-for-fifteen at any time prior to April 30, 2014, with such ratio to be determined by the Company's Board of Directors, in its sole discretion. On June 24, 2013, the reverse stock split ratio of one- (1) for thirteen (13) basis was approved by the Board of Directors. On July 18, 2013, the Company filed a Certificate of Amendment to its Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation with the Secretary of State of the State of Nevada in order to effectuate a reverse stock split of the Company's issued and outstanding Common Stock, par value \$0.0001 per share on a one (1) for thirteen (13) basis. All share and per share values for all periods presented in the accompanying consolidated financial statements are retroactively restated for the effect of the reverse stock split.

Table of Contents

On March 6, 2013, the Company entered into an Asset Purchase Agreement with Augme Technologies (Seller) whereby Seller agreed to sell to the Company certain office equipment, data, documentation, and business information related to the Seller s business and assign agreements and prospective clients and business opportunities to the Company. In consideration for the assets and assigned agreements, the Company paid \$10,000 at closing and provides litigation assistance as defined in the agreement. As additional consideration, the Company also entered into a two-year Service Agreement (the Service Agreement) with the Seller whereby the Seller shall engage the Company to provide consulting services including patent litigation matters, sale, license involving the Seller s intellectual property and general consulting services to continue the Seller s business operations. The Company recorded the \$10,000 payment, which was primarily attributable to property and equipment and assumed an office lease agreement that expired in July 2013.

On April 16, 2013, the Company through its subsidiary, Relay IP, Inc. acquired a US patent for \$350,000.

On April 22, 2013, CyberFone Acquisition Corp. (Acquisition Corp.), a Texas corporation and newly formed wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company entered into a merger agreement (the CyberFone Agreement) with CyberFone Systems LLC, a Texas limited liability company (CyberFone Systems), TechDev Holdings LLC (TechDev) and The Spangenberg Family Foundation for the Benefit of Children s Healthcare and Education (Spangenberg Foundation). TechDev and Spangenberg Foundation owned 100% of the membership interests of CyberFone Systems (collectively, the CyberFone Sellers). In the transaction, the Company acquired 10 US patents, 27 foreign patents and 1 patent pending from CyberFone Systems valued at \$1,135,512 (see note 3).

On May 6, 2013, in connection with the closing of a settlement and license agreement, the Company agreed to settle and release a certain defendant for past and future use of the Company s patents. The defendant agreed to assign and transfer three US patents and rights valued at \$1,000,000 in lieu of an additional cash payment, which amount has been included in the Company s revenue during the year ended December 31, 2013.

In September 2013, the Company acquired 14 US patents for a total purchase price of \$1,100,000.

On November 13, 2013, the Company acquired four patents for 150,000 shares of the Company s Common Stock, which the Company valued at \$718,500 based on the fair market value of the stock issued.

On December 16, 2013, the Company acquired certain patents from Delphi Technologies, Inc. for \$1,700,000 pursuant to a Patent Purchase Agreement entered into on October 31, 2013 and amended on December 16, 2013.

On December 22, 2013, in connection with a settlement and license agreement, the Company agreed to settle and release another defendant for past and future use of the Company s patents, whereby the defendant agreed to assign and transfer two US patents and rights to the Company. The Company valued the two patents at an aggregate of \$700,000 and included that amount in revenue during the year ended December 31, 2013.

Edgar Filing: Marathon Patent Group, Inc. - Form 10-K

On April 22, 2014, the Company issued 300,000 shares of restricted Common Stock valued at \$718,500 to TT IP LLC in consideration of acquisition of patents on November 13, 2013.

On May 1, 2014, the Company issued 2,047,158 shares of Series A Convertible Preferred Stock and warrants to purchase an aggregate of 511,790 shares of Common Stock in a private placement to accredited investors. All of the Series A Convertible Preferred Stock was automatically converted pursuant to the terms of the Series A Convertible Preferred Stock Certificate of Designation during the year ended December 31, 2014. The exercise price of the warrants is \$3.75, after giving effect to the two-for-one stock dividend issued on December 22, 2014.

On May 2, 2014, the Company issued an aggregate of 782,000 shares of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock valued at \$2,807,380 to acquire IP Liquidity Ventures, LLC, Dynamic Advances, LLC and Sarif Biomedical, LLC.

On June 2, 2014, the Company issued 48,078 shares of unrestricted Common Stock to an investor in the May 2013 private placement, pursuant to the exercise of a warrant received in the May 2013 private placement.

On June 30, 2014, the Company issued 200,000 shares of restricted Common Stock in the acquisition of Selene Communications Technologies, LLC. In connection with this transaction, the Company valued the shares at the fair market value on the date of grant at \$4.90 per share or \$980,000.

On July 18, 2014, the Company issued a total of 26,722 shares of Common Stock pursuant to the exercise of stock options held by a former member of the Company's Board of Directors and the Company's former Chief Financial Officer.

Edgar Filing: Marathon Patent Group, Inc. - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

On August 29, 2014, the Company entered into a patent purchase agreement to acquire a portfolio of patents from Clouding IP, LLC for an aggregate purchase price of \$2.4 million, of which \$1.4 million was paid in cash and \$1.0 million was paid in the form of a promissory note issued by the Company that matured on October 31, 2014 and paid on October 1, 2014. The Company also issued 25,000 shares of its restricted common stock valued at \$281,000 in connection with the acquisition. Clouding IP, LLC is also entitled to certain possible future cash payments. Clouding IP LLC is owned or controlled by Erich Spangenberg or family members or associates.

On September 16, 2014, the Company issued to two of its independent board members, in lieu of cash compensation, 6,178 shares of restricted Common Stock valued at \$45,995 to each of its directors. The shares shall vest quarterly over twelve (12) months commencing on the date of grant and \$13,415 in expense was recognized in 2014 for each of the two grants.

On September 17, 2014, the Company entered into a consulting agreement (the Consulting Agreement) with GRQ Consultants, Inc. (GRQ), pursuant to which GRQ shall provide certain consulting services including, but not limited to, advertising, marketing, business development, strategic and business planning, channel partner development and other functions intended to advance the business of the Company. As consideration, GRQ shall be entitled to 200,000 shares of the Company's Series B Convertible Preferred Stock, 50% of which vested upon execution of the Consulting Agreement, and 50% of which shall vest in six (6) equal monthly installments commencing on October 17, 2014. The first tranche of 100,000 shares of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock was issued to GRQ on October 6, 2014. The Company issued an aggregate of 150,000 shares of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock for a value of \$1,103,581 in 2014 and 50,000 shares of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock for a value of \$345,334 was issued in 2015. In addition, the Consulting Agreement allows for GRQ to receive additional shares of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock upon the achievement of certain performance benchmarks. No milestones were met and no additional shares were issued in 2015. All shares of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock issuable to GRQ shall be pursuant to the 2014 Plan. The Consulting Agreement contains an acknowledgement that the conversion of the preferred stock into shares of the Company's common stock is precluded by the beneficial ownership blockers set forth in the Series B Convertible Preferred Stock Certificate of Designation and in Section 17 of the 2014 Plan to ensure compliance with NASDAQ Listing Rule 5635(d). Every share of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock may be converted into two shares of Common Stock, after giving effect to the two-for-one stock dividend issued on December 22, 2014.

On September 19, 2014, the Company authorized the issuance of 60,000 shares of Common Stock to the sellers of TLI Communications LLC. The Company valued the Common Stock at the fair market value on the date of the Interests Sale Agreement at \$13.63 per share or \$818,000.

On September 30, 2014, the Company issued 50,000 shares of restricted Common Stock in the acquisition of the assets of Clouding IP, LLC. In connection with this transaction, the Company valued the shares at the quoted market price on the date of grant at \$5.62 per share or \$281,000.

For the three months ended September 30, 2014, certain holders of warrants exercised their warrants in a cashless, net exercise basis in exchange for 84,652 shares of the Company's Common Stock. The transaction did not involve any underwriters, underwriting discounts or commissions, or any public offering. The issuance of these securities was deemed to be exempt from the registration requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, by virtue of Section 4(a)(2) thereof, as a transaction by an issuer not involving a public offering.

On October 10, 2014, the Company entered into an interest sale agreement with MedTech Development, LLC (MedTech) to acquire from MedTech 100% of the limited liability membership interests of OrthoPhoenix and TLIF as well as 100% of the shares of MedTech GmbH. In connection with the transaction, the Company paid MedTech \$1 million at closing and is obligated to pay \$1 million on each of the following nine (9) month anniversary dates of the closing. On July 16, 2015, the Company entered into a forbearance agreement (the Agreement) with MedTech Development, the holder of a Promissory Note issued by the Company, dated October 10, 2014. Pursuant to the Agreement, the term of the Note was extended to October 1, 2015 and the Note began accruing interest starting from May 13, 2015. In addition, the Company agreed

Edgar Filing: Marathon Patent Group, Inc. - Form 10-K

to make certain mandatory prepayments under certain circumstances and issue to MedTech Development 200,000 shares of restricted common stock of the Company. In accordance with ASC 470-50, the Company recorded this agreement as debt extinguishment and \$654,000 was recorded as loss on debt extinguishment for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015. On October 23, 2015, the Company entered into Amendment No. 1 to the Forbearance Agreement (the Amendment) entered into with MedTech Development on July 16, 2015. Pursuant to the Amendment, the due date of the Promissory Note was extended to October 23, 2016 in return for which the Company made a payment of \$100,000 on October 23, 2015 and modified the terms under which the Company agreed to make mandatory prepayments under certain circumstances. The acquired subsidiaries are also obligated to make certain additional payments to MedTech from recoveries following the receipt by the acquired subsidiaries of 200% of the purchase payments, plus recovery of out of pocket expenses in connection with patent claims. The participation payments may be paid, at the election of the Company, in common stock of the Company at the market price on the date of issuance.

Table of Contents

On October 16, 2014, the Company sold to certain accredited investors an aggregate of \$5,550,000 of principal amount of convertible notes due October 9, 2018 along with two-year warrants to purchase 258,998 shares of the Company's Common Stock, par value \$0.0001 per share pursuant to a securities purchase agreement. The warrants were valued at \$169,015 and were recorded as a discount to the fair value of the convertible notes. The notes and warrants are initially convertible into shares of the Company's Common Stock at a conversion price of \$7.50 per share and an exercise price of \$8.25 per share, respectively. The conversion and exercise prices are subject to adjustment in the event of certain events, including stock splits and dividends. The notes bear interest at the rate of 11% per annum, payable quarterly in cash on each of the three, six, nine and twelve month anniversary of the issuance date and on each conversion date. The Company reviewed the instruments in the context of ASC 480 and determined that the convertible notes should be recorded as a liability and analyzed the conversion feature and bifurcation pursuant to ASC 815 and ASC 470, respectively, to determine that there was no beneficial conversion feature and that the convertible notes and warrants should not be bifurcated.

For the three months ended December 31, 2014, certain holders of warrants exercised their warrants in exchange for 29,230 shares of the Company's Common Stock.

On January 29, 2015, the Company and certain of its subsidiaries entered into a series of agreements including a Securities Purchase Agreement (the "Fortress Purchase Agreement") and a Subscription Agreement with DBD Credit Funding, LLC ("DBD"), an affiliate of Fortress Credit Corp., pursuant to which the Company sold to the purchasers: (i) \$15,000,000 original principal amount of Senior Secured Notes (the "Fortress Notes"), (ii) a right to receive a portion of certain proceeds from monetization net revenues received by the Company (after receipt by the Company of \$15,000,000 of monetization net revenues and repayment of the Fortress Notes), (iii) a five-year warrant (the "Fortress Warrant") to purchase 100,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock exercisable at \$7.44 per share, subject to adjustment; and (iv) 134,409 shares of the Company's Common Stock. Pursuant to the Fortress Purchase Agreement, as security for the payment and performance in full of the secured obligations, the Company and certain subsidiaries executed and delivered in favor of the purchasers a Security Agreement and a Patent Security Agreement, including a pledge of the Company's interests in certain of its subsidiaries (together with the Fortress Purchase Agreement, the Fortress Notes and the Fortress Warrant, the "Fortress Documents"). On February 12, 2015, the Company exercised its right to require the purchasers to purchase an additional \$5,000,000 of notes from the Company.

On March 13, 2015, the Company settled a dispute with a former consultant whereby the Company issued the consultant 60,000 shares of Common Stock for a full release of all claims.

For the three months ended March 31, 2015, certain holders of warrants exercised their warrants to purchase, in cash, 5,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock.

For the three months ended June 30, 2015, certain holders of options exercised their options to purchase, on a net exercise basis, 33,968 (net) shares of the Company's Common Stock.

On July 16, 2015, the Company entered into a forbearance agreement (the "Agreement") with MedTech Development, the holder of a Promissory Note issued by the Company, dated October 10, 2014. Pursuant to the Agreement, among other terms, the Company issues to MedTech Development 200,000 shares of restricted common stock of the Company. In connection with this transaction, the Company valued the shares at the quoted market price on the date of grant at \$3.27 per share or \$654,000. The transaction did not involve any underwriters, underwriting discounts or commissions, or any public offering. The issuance of these securities was deemed to be exempt from the registration requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, by virtue of Section 4(a)(2) thereof, as a transaction by an issuer not involving a public offering.

Edgar Filing: Marathon Patent Group, Inc. - Form 10-K

On August 14, 2015, the Company entered into a Business Combination Agreement (the "Business Combination Agreement") with Marathon Group SA, a Luxembourg société anonyme ("Holdco") and Uniloc Luxembourg SA, a Luxembourg société anonyme ("Uniloc"), and Uniloc Corporation Pty. Limited, an Australian corporation ("Uniloc Australia"). The Business Combination Agreement was subsequently terminated on February 23, 2016.

In a series of transactions, the Series B Convertible Preferred Stock associated with the GRQ Consulting Agreement was converted into shares of the Company's Common Stock, with 183,330 shares of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock converted into Common Stock prior to September 30, 2015.

On September 21, 2015, the Company issued 150,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock to Alex Partners, LLC and Del Mar Consulting Group, Inc. pursuant to a services agreement entered into on September 21, 2015. In connection with this transaction, the Company valued the shares at the quoted market price on the date of grant at \$2.23 per share or \$334,500. The transaction did not involve any underwriters, underwriting discounts or commissions, or any public offering. The issuance of these securities was deemed to be exempt from the registration requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, by virtue of Section 4(a)(2) thereof, as a transaction by an issuer not involving a public offering.

Table of Contents

On October 20, 2015, the remaining 16,666 shares of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock associated with the GRQ Consulting Agreement was converted into 16,666 shares of the Company's Common Stock.

On November 4, 2015, the Company issued 300,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock to Dominion Harbor Group LLC (Dominion), pursuant to a settlement agreement entered into with Dominion on October 30, 2015. In connection with this transaction, the Company valued the shares at the quoted market price on the date of grant at \$1.71 per share or \$513,000. The transaction did not involve any underwriters, underwriting discounts or commissions, or any public offering. The issuance of these securities was deemed to be exempt from the registration requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, by virtue of Section 4(a)(2) thereof, as a transaction by an issuer not involving a public offering.

On December 9, 2015, the Company entered into an agreement with Melechdavid, Inc. (Melechdavid), pursuant to which the Company agreed to issue 100,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock. In connection with this transaction, the Company valued the shares at the quoted market price on the date of grant at \$1.61 per share or \$161,000. The transaction did not involve any underwriters, underwriting discounts or commissions, or any public offering. The issuance of these securities was deemed to be exempt from the registration requirements of the Securities Act by virtue of Section 4(a)(2) thereof, as a transaction by an issuer not involving a public offering.

NOTE 2 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Basis of Presentation and Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (US GAAP) and present the consolidated financial statements of the Company and its wholly owned and majority owned subsidiaries as of December 31, 2015. In the preparation of consolidated financial statements of the Company, intercompany transactions and balances are eliminated.

Use of Estimates and Assumptions

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with US GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Significant estimates made by management include, but are not limited to, estimating the useful lives of patent assets, the assumptions used to calculate fair value of warrants and options granted, goodwill and intangible assets impairment, realization of long-lived assets, valuation of Clouding IP earn out liability, deferred income taxes, unrealized tax positions and business combination accounting.

Cash

Edgar Filing: Marathon Patent Group, Inc. - Form 10-K

The Company considers all highly liquid debt instruments and other short-term investments with maturity of three months or less, when purchased, to be cash equivalents. The Company maintains cash and cash equivalent balances at one financial institution that is insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation. The Company's accounts at this institution are insured, up to \$250,000, by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC). For the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, the Company's bank balances exceeded the FDIC insurance limit. To reduce its risk associated with the failure of such financial institution, the Company evaluates at least annually the rating of the financial institution in which it holds deposits.

Accounts Receivable

The Company has a policy of reserving for accounts based on its best estimate of the amount of probable credit losses in its existing accounts receivable. The Company periodically reviews its accounts receivable to determine whether an allowance is necessary based on an analysis of past due accounts and other factors that may indicate that the realization of an account may be in doubt. Account balances deemed to be uncollectible are charged to the bad debt expense after all means of collection have been exhausted and the potential for recovery is considered remote. At December 31, 2015 and 2014, the Company had recorded an allowance for bad debts in the amounts of \$375,750 and \$0, respectively. Net accounts receivable at December 31, 2015 and 2014 were \$136,842 and \$216,997, respectively.

Table of Contents

Concentration of Revenue and Geographic Area

Revenue from the Company's patent enforcement activities is considered United States revenue as any payments for licenses included in that revenue are for United States operations irrespective of the location of the licensee's or licensee's parent home domicile.

Revenues from the five largest licenses in 2015 accounted for approximately 62% of the Company's revenue for the year ended December 31, 2015 and revenue from the largest five licenses in 2014 accounted for approximately 88% of the Company's revenues for the year ended December 31, 2014. The Company derived these revenues from the one-time issuance of non-recurring, non-exclusive, non-assignable licenses to two different entities and their affiliates for certain of the Company's patents. While the Company has a growing portfolio of patents, at this time, the Company expects that a significant portion of its future revenues will be based on one-time grants of similar non-recurring, non-exclusive, non-assignable licenses to a relatively small number of entities and their affiliates. Further, with the expected small number of firms with which the Company enters into license agreements, and the amount and timing of such license agreements, the Company also expects that its revenues may be highly variable from one period to the next.

At the current time, we define customers as firms that obtain licenses to the Company's patents, either prior to or during enforcement litigation. These firms generally enter into non-recurring, non-exclusive, non-assignable license agreements with the Company, and these customers do not generally engage on ongoing, recurring business activity with the Company. The Company has historically had a small number of customers enter into such agreements, resulting in higher levels of revenue concentration.

Revenue Recognition

The Company recognizes revenue in accordance with ASC Topic 605, Revenue Recognition. Revenue is recognized when (i) persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, (ii) all obligations have been substantially performed, (iii) amounts are fixed or determinable and (iv) collectability of amounts is reasonably assured.

The Company considers the revenue generated from its settlement and licensing agreements as one unit of accounting under ASC 605-25, Multiple-Element Arrangements as the delivered items do not have value to customers on a standalone basis, there are no undelivered elements and there is no general right of return relative to the license. Under ASC 605-25, the appropriate recognition of revenue is determined for the combined deliverables as a single unit of accounting and revenue is recognized upon delivery of the final elements, including the license for past and future use and the release.

Also, due to the fact that the settlement element and license element for past and future use are the Company's major central business, the Company presents these two elements as one revenue category in its statement of operations. The Company does not expect to provide licenses that do not provide some form of settlement or release. Revenue from patent enforcement activities accounted for 100% of the Company's revenues for the years ended December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014.

Prepaid Expenses

Prepaid expenses of \$338,598 and \$438,391 at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively, consist primarily of costs paid for future services that will occur within a year. Prepaid expenses include prepayments in cash and in equity instruments for investor relations public relations services, business advisory, other consulting and prepaid insurance, all of which assets are being amortized over the terms of their respective agreements.

Bonds Posted With Courts

Under certain circumstances related to litigations in Germany, the Company is either required to or may decide to enter a bond with the courts. During the years ended December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, the Company posted bonds in the amount of \$1,748,311 and \$1,946,196, respectively. These bonds were entered into in Germany after the first instance of litigation of some of the Company's patents in German courts and the difference in the balance of the litigation bonds at December 31, 2015 compared to December 31, 2014 is attributable solely to currency translation. With the resolution of the IP Liquidity cases, \$523,835 is being returned to the Company during the first quarter of 2016.

Table of Contents

Related Party Transactions

Parties are considered related to the Company if the parties, directly or indirectly, through one or more intermediaries, control, are controlled by, or are under common control with the Company. Related parties also include principal owners of the Company, its management, members of the immediate families of principal owners of the Company and its management and other parties with which the Company may deal if one party controls or can significantly influence the management or operating policies of the other to an extent that one of the transacting parties might be prevented from fully pursuing its own separate interests. The Company discloses all related party transactions.

On November 14, 2012, upon the closing of the Sampo Share Exchange with LVL Patent Group LLC, Mr. Croxall, our Chief Executive Officer, who was also the Chief Executive Officer of LVL Patent Group LLC, and John Stetson (both Mr. Croxall and Mr. Stetson were also former members of Sampo), received 307,692 and 38,461 shares of the Company's Common Stock, respectively, in connection with the Sampo Share Exchange.

On May 13, 2013, we entered into a six-year advisory services agreement (the Advisory Services Agreement) with IP Navigation Group, LLC, of which Erich Spangenberg is founder and former Chief Executive Officer. Mr. Spangenberg is an affiliate of the Company. The terms of the Advisory Services Agreement provides that, in consideration for its services as intellectual property licensing agent, the Company will pay to IP Navigation Group, LLC between 10% and 20% of the gross proceeds of certain licensing campaigns in which IP Navigation Group, LLC acts as intellectual property licensing agent.

On May 31, 2013, Barry Honig, a beneficial owner of more than 5% of our Common Stock at the time, purchased an aggregate of \$100,000 of shares of Common Stock and warrants in our private placement.

On August 2, 2013, GRQ Consultants Inc. 401K funded a subscription of \$150,000 of shares of Common Stock and warrants in our private placement, which was assigned to it by another investor. Barry Honig is the trustee of GRQ Consultants Inc. 401K and was a beneficial owner of more than 5% of our Common Stock at the time of the transaction.

On November 11, 2013, we entered into a consulting agreement with Kairix pursuant to which we granted options to acquire 300,000 shares of Common Stock to Kairix in exchange for services. The options shall vest 33%, 33% and 34% on each annual anniversary of the date of the issuance. Craig Nard, a member of our Board of Directors at the time the Company entered into the agreement with Kairix, is a principal of Kairix. On June 18, 2014, the Company cancelled an option to purchase an aggregate amount of 300,000 shares of Common Stock provided to Kairix Analytics when the consulting agreement was terminated without any vesting having occurred.

On November 18, 2013, we entered into Amendment No. 1 to the Executive Employment Agreement with our Chief Executive Officer and Chairman, Doug Croxall, pursuant to which Mr. Croxall's base salary was raised to \$480,000, subject to a 3% increase every year commencing on November 14, 2014. We also granted Mr. Croxall a bonus of \$350,000 and ten year stock options to purchase an aggregate of 100,000 shares of our Common Stock, with a strike price of \$5.93 per share (representing the closing price on the date of grant), vesting in twenty-four (24) equal installments on each monthly anniversary of the date of grant.

Edgar Filing: Marathon Patent Group, Inc. - Form 10-K

On November 18, 2013, we entered into a consulting agreement with Jeff Feinberg (Feinberg Agreement), pursuant to which we agreed to grant Mr. Feinberg 100,000 shares of our restricted Common Stock, 50% of which shall vest on the one-year anniversary of the Feinberg Agreement and the remaining 50% of which shall vest on the second year anniversary of the Feinberg Agreement. Mr. Feinberg is the trustee of The Feinberg Family Trust and holds voting and dispositive power over shares held by The Feinberg Family Trust, which is a 10% beneficial owner of our Common Stock.

On May 1, 2014, the Company conducted a private placement of units to certain accredited investors for a purchase price of \$6.50 per unit. Each unit consisted of: (i) one share of the Company's 8% Series A Preferred Stock, and (ii) a two year warrant to purchase shares of the Company's Common Stock in an amount equal to twenty five percent (25%) of the number of Series A Preferred Stock purchased. Stuart Smith, who was a director of the Company at the time, purchased 5,000 units and John Stetson, who was an officer and director of the Company at the time, purchased 30,769 units through entities controlled by him.

On May 2, 2014, the Company completed the acquisition of certain ownership rights (the Acquired Intellectual Property) from TechDev, Granicus and SFF pursuant to the terms of three purchase agreements between: (i) the Company, TechDev, SFF and DA Acquisition LLC, a newly formed Texas limited liability company and wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company; (ii) the Company, Granicus, SFF and IP Liquidity Ventures Acquisition LLC, a newly formed Delaware limited liability company and wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company; and (iii) the Company, TechDev, SFF and Sarif Biomedical Acquisition LLC, a newly formed Delaware limited liability company and wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company.

Table of Contents

Pursuant to the DA Agreement, the Company acquired 100% of the limited liability company membership interests of Dynamic Advances, LLC, a Texas limited liability company, in consideration for: (i) two cash payments of \$2,375,000, one payment due at closing and the other payment was due on or before June 30, 2014, with such second payment being subject to increase to \$2,850,000 if not made on or before June 30, 2014; and (ii) 195,500 shares of the Company's Series B Convertible Preferred Stock. The remaining cash payment was made on April 1, 2015 and is fully paid. Under the terms of the DA Agreement, TechDev and SFF are entitled to possible future payments for a maximum consideration of \$250,000,000 pursuant to the Pay Proceeds Agreement described below.

Pursuant to the IP Liquidity Agreement, the Company acquired 100% of the limited liability company membership interests of IP Liquidity Ventures, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, in consideration for: (i) two cash payments of \$2,375,000, one payment due at closing and the other payment was due on or before June 30, 2014, with such second payment being subject to increase to \$2,850,000 if not made on or before June 30, 2014; and (ii) 195,500 shares of the Company's Series B Convertible Preferred Stock. The remaining cash payment was made on April 1, 2015 and is fully paid. Under the terms of the IP Liquidity Agreement, Granicus and SFF are entitled to possible future payments for a maximum consideration of \$250,000,000 pursuant to the Pay Proceeds Agreement described below.

Pursuant to the Sarif Agreement, the Company acquired 100% of the limited liability company membership interests of Sarif Biomedical, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, in consideration for two cash payments of \$250,000, one payment due at closing and the other payment was due on or before June 30, 2014, with such second payment being subject to increase to \$300,000 if not made on or before June 30, 2014. The remaining cash payment was made on February 24, 2015 and is fully paid. Under the terms of the Sarif Agreement, TechDev and SFF are entitled to possible future payments for a maximum consideration of \$250,000,000 pursuant to the Pay Proceeds Agreement described below.

Pursuant to the Pay Proceeds Agreement, the Company may pay the sellers a percentage of the net recoveries (gross revenues minus certain defined expenses) that the Company makes with respect to the assets held by the entities that the Company acquired pursuant to the DA Agreement, the IP Liquidity Agreement and the Sarif Agreement. Under the terms of the Pay Proceeds Agreement, if the Company recovers \$10,000,000 or less with regard to the IP Assets, then nothing is due to the sellers; if the Company recovers between \$10,000,000 and \$40,000,000 with regard to the IP Assets, then the Company shall pay 40% of the cumulative gross proceeds of such recoveries to the sellers; and if the Company recovers over \$40,000,000 with regard to the IP Assets, the Company shall pay 50% of the cumulative gross proceeds of such recoveries to the sellers. In no event will the total payments made by the Company under the Pay Proceeds Agreement exceed \$250,000,000.

TechDev, SFF and Granicus is owned or controlled by Erich Spangenberg or family members or associates.

On May 2, 2014, we entered into an opportunity agreement (the "Marathon Opportunity Agreement") with Erich Spangenberg, whom is an affiliate of the Company. The terms of the Marathon Opportunity Agreement provide that we have ten business days after receiving notice from Mr. Spangenberg to provide up to 50% of the funding for certain opportunities relating to the licensing, intellectual property acquisitions and/or intellectual property enforcement actions in which Mr. Spangenberg, IP Nav or any entity controlled by Mr. Spangenberg, other than: (i) IP Nav or any of its affiliates, and (ii) Medtech Development, LLC or any of its affiliates.

On May 2, 2014, we acquired the rights to market Opus Analytics from IP Nav. Opus Analytics is a proprietary patent analytics tool that we use extensively to review and analyze patent acquisition opportunities. Opus Analytics is also a SAAS (Software as a Service) tool that we intend to offer to third parties to generate additional revenue streams from financial professional, investors, patent licensing and monetization companies, and legal and investment professionals.

On June 17, 2014, Selene Communication Technologies Acquisition LLC (Acquisition LLC), a Delaware limited liability company and newly formed wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, entered into a merger agreement with Selene Communication Technologies, LLC (Selene). Selene owns a patent portfolio consisting of three United States patents in the field of search and network intrusion that relate to tools for intelligent searches applied to data management systems as well as global information networks such as the internet. IP Nav will continue to support and manage the portfolio of patents and retain a contingent participation interest in all recoveries. IP Nav provides patent monetization and support services under an existing agreement with Selene.

On August 29, 2014, the Company entered into a patent purchase agreement to acquire a portfolio of patents from Clouding IP, LLC for an aggregate purchase price of \$2.4 million, of which \$1.4 million was paid in cash and \$1.0 million was paid in the form of a promissory note issued by the Company that matured on October 31, 2014 and was fully paid prior to the maturation date. The Company also issued 25,000 shares of its restricted common stock in connection with the acquisition. Clouding IP, LLC is also entitled to certain possible future cash payments. Clouding IP LLC is owned or controlled by Erich Spangenberg or family members or associates.

Table of Contents

On October 10, 2014, the Company entered into an interest sale agreement with MedTech Development, LLC (MedTech) to acquire from MedTech 100% of the limited liability membership interests of OrthoPhoenix and TLIF as well as 100% of the shares of MedTech GmbH. In connection with the transaction, the Company is obligated to pay to MedTech \$1 million at closing and \$1 million on each of the following nine (9) month anniversary dates of the closing. On July 16, 2015, the Company entered into a forbearance agreement (the Agreement) with MedTech Development, the holder of a Promissory Note issued by the Company, dated October 10, 2014. Pursuant to the Agreement, the term of the Note was extended to October 1, 2015 and the Note began accruing interest starting from May 13, 2015. In addition, the Company agreed to make certain mandatory prepayments under certain circumstances and issue to MedTech Development 200,000 shares of restricted common stock of the Company. In accordance with ASC 470-50, the Company recorded this agreement as debt extinguishment and \$654,000 was recorded as loss on debt extinguishment for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015. On October 23, 2015, the Company entered into Amendment No. 1 to the Forbearance Agreement (the Amendment) entered into with MedTech Development on July 16, 2015. Pursuant to the Amendment, the due date of the Promissory Note was extended to October 23, 2016 in return for which the Company made a payment of \$100,000 on October 23, 2015 and modified the terms under which the Company agreed to make mandatory prepayments under certain circumstances. The acquired subsidiaries are also obligated to make certain additional payments to MedTech from recoveries following the receipt by the acquired subsidiaries of 200% of the purchase payments, plus recovery of out of pocket expenses in connection with patent claims. The participation payments may be paid, at the election of the Company, in common stock of Marathon at the market price on the date of issuance. In connection with the transaction, the Company entered into a promissory note, common interest agreement and in the event of issuance of common stock to MedTech, will enter into a lockup and registration rights agreement. Approximately forty-five percent (45%) of MedTech is owned or controlled by Erich Spangenberg or family members or associates.

Comprehensive Income

Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2011-05 amends Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Codification Topic 220 on comprehensive income (1) to eliminate the current option to present the components of other comprehensive income (loss) in the statement of changes in equity, and (2) to require presentation of net income (loss) and other comprehensive income (loss) (and their respective components) either in a single continuous statement or in two separate but consecutive statements. These amendments do not alter any current recognition or measurement requirements in respect of items of other comprehensive income. The amendments in this Update are effective from fiscal years ending after December 15, 2012 and have been applied to our financial statements.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The Company adopted FASB ASC 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures (ASC 820), for assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis. ASC 820 establishes a common definition for fair value to be applied to existing US GAAP that require the use of fair value measurements, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosure about such fair value measurements. The adoption of ASC 820 did not have an impact on the Company's financial position or operating results, but did expand certain disclosures. ASC 820 defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Additionally, ASC 820 requires the use of valuation techniques that maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs. These inputs are prioritized below:

- Level 1: Observable inputs such as quoted market prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities
- Level 2: Observable market-based inputs or unobservable inputs that are corroborated by market data
- Level 3: Unobservable inputs for which there is little or no market data, which require the use of the reporting entity's own assumptions.

The carrying amounts reported in the consolidated balance sheet for cash, accounts receivable, accounts payable, and accrued expenses, approximate their estimated fair market value based on the short-term maturity of these instruments. The carrying value of notes payable and other long-term liabilities approximate fair value as the related interest rates approximate rates currently available to the Company.

Clouding IP earn out liability was determined as a Level 3 liability, which requires fair assessment of fair value at each period end by using discounted cash flow as valuation technique using unobservable inputs, such as revenue and expenses forecasts, timing of proceeds, and discount rate. Based on reassessment of fair value as of December 31, 2015, the Company determined Clouding IP earn out liability as \$33,646 for current portion and \$3,281,238 as long-term portion, which resulted in gain from exchange in fair value adjustment of \$6,317,116 for year ended December 31, 2015. Further, the periodic reassessment resulted in non-routine impairment of Clouding patent intangible assets of \$5,793,409 for the year ended December 31, 2015.

Under certain circumstances related to litigations in Germany, the Company is either required to or may decide to enter a bond with the courts. During the years ended December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, the Company posted bonds in the amount of \$1,748,311 and \$1,946,196, respectively. The Company adjusted the value as of December 31, 2014 of the bonds to reflect changes to the exchange rate between the Euro and the US Dollar.

Table of Contents

Accounting for Acquisitions

In the normal course of its business, the Company makes acquisitions of patent assets and may also make acquisitions of businesses. With respect to each such transaction, the Company evaluates facts of the transaction and follows the guidelines prescribed in accordance with ASC 805 Business Combinations to determine the proper accounting treatment for each such transaction and then records the transaction in accordance with the conclusions reached in such analysis. The Company performs such analysis with respect to each material acquisition within the consolidated group of entities.

Income Taxes

The Company accounts for income taxes pursuant to the provision of ASC 740-10, Accounting for Income Taxes which requires, among other things, an asset and liability approach to calculating deferred income taxes. The asset and liability approach requires the recognition of deferred tax assets and liabilities for the expected future tax consequences of temporary differences between the carrying amounts and the tax bases of assets and liabilities. A valuation allowance is provided to offset any net deferred tax assets for which management believes it is more likely than not that the net deferred asset will not be realized.

The Company follows the provision of the ASC 740-10 related to Accounting for Uncertain Income Tax Position. When tax returns are filed, it is highly certain that some positions taken would be situated upon examination by the taxing authorities, while others are subject to uncertainty about the merits of the position taken or the amount of the position that would be ultimately sustained. In accordance with the guidance of ASC 740-10, the benefit of a tax position is recognized in the financial statements in the period during which, based on all available evidence, management believes it is most likely that not that the position will be sustained upon examination, including the resolution of appeals or litigation processes, if any. Tax positions taken are not offset or aggregated with other positions.

Tax positions that meet the more-likely-than-not recognition threshold are measured as the largest amount of tax benefit that is more than 50% likely of being realized upon settlement with the applicable taxing authority. The portion of the benefits associated with tax positions taken that exceeds the amount measured as described above should be reflected as a liability for uncertain tax benefits in the accompanying balance sheet along with any associated interest and penalties that would be payable to the taxing authorities upon examination. The Company believes its tax positions are all highly certain of being upheld upon examination. As such, the Company has not recorded a liability for uncertain tax benefits.

The Company has adopted ASC 740-10-25 Definition of Settlement, which provides guidance on how an entity should determine whether a tax position is effectively settled for the purpose of recognizing previously unrecognized tax benefits and provides that a tax position can be effectively settled upon the completion and examination by a taxing authority without being legally extinguished. For tax position considered effectively settled, an entity would recognize the full amount of tax benefit, even if the tax position is not considered more likely than not to be sustained based solely on the basis of its technical merits and the statute of limitations remains open. The federal and state income tax returns of the Company are subject to examination by the Internal Revenue Service and state taxing authorities, generally for three years after they were filed. The Company is in the process of filing the previous year's tax returns. After review of the prior year financial statements and the results of operations through December 31, 2015, the Company has recorded a deferred tax asset in the amount of \$12,437,741, from which the Company expects to realize benefits in the future, and an income tax payable of \$0.

Basic and Diluted Net Loss per Share

Net loss per common share is calculated in accordance with ASC Topic 260: Earnings Per Share (ASC 260). Basic loss per share is computed by dividing net loss by the weighted average number of shares of Common Stock outstanding during the period. The computation of diluted net loss per share does not include dilutive Common Stock equivalents in the weighted average shares outstanding, as they would be anti-dilutive. As of December 31, 2015, the Company has warrants to purchase 2,021,308 shares of Common Stock outstanding, options to purchase 3,383,267 shares of Common Stock outstanding, convertible notes convertible into 66,667 shares of Common Stock outstanding and 782,004 shares of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock convertible into 782,004 shares of Common Stock outstanding, all of which were excluded from the computation of diluted shares outstanding as they would have had an anti-dilutive impact on the Company's net loss per share computation.

Edgar Filing: Marathon Patent Group, Inc. - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted loss per share on a GAAP basis:

	For the Year Ended December 31, 2015		For the Year Ended December 31, 2014	
Net loss attributable to Common Shareholders	\$	(16,939,859)	\$	(3,153,615)
Denominator				
Weighted Average Common Shares - Basic		14,208,787		11,660,879
Weighted Average Common Shares - Diluted		14,208,787		11,660,879
Earnings (Loss) per common share:				
Earnings (Loss) - Basic	\$	(1.19)	\$	(0.27)
Earnings (Loss) - Diluted	\$	(1.19)	\$	(0.27)

Intangible Assets - Patents

Intangible assets include patents purchased and patents acquired in lieu of cash in licensing transactions. The patents purchased are recorded based on the cost to acquire them and patents acquired in lieu of cash are recorded at their fair market value. The costs of these assets are amortized over their remaining useful lives. Useful lives of intangible assets are periodically evaluated for reasonableness and the assets are tested for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may no longer be recoverable. The Company did not record any impairment charges to its intangible assets during the year ended December 31, 2014 and recorded impairment charges in the amount of \$5,793,409 in its Clouding IP portfolio for the year ended December 31, 2015.

Goodwill

Goodwill is tested for impairment at the reporting unit level at least annually in accordance with ASC 350, and between annual tests if an event occurs or circumstances change that would more likely than not reduce the fair value of a reporting unit below its carrying value. In accordance with ASC 350-30-65, *Intangibles - Goodwill and Others*, the Company assesses the impairment of identifiable intangibles whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable. Factors the Company considers to be important which could trigger an impairment review include the following:

1. Significant underperformance relative to expected historical or projected future operating results;
2. Significant changes in the manner of use of the acquired assets or the strategy for the overall business;
3. Significant negative industry or economic trends; and
4. Significant reduction or exhaustion of the potential licenses of the patents which gave rise to the goodwill.

When the Company determines that the carrying value of intangibles may not be recoverable based upon the existence of one or more of the above indicators of impairment and the carrying value of the asset cannot be recovered from projected undiscounted cash flows, the Company records an impairment charge. The Company measures any impairment based on a projected discounted cash flow method using a discount rate determined by management to be commensurate with the risk inherent in the current business model. When conducting its annual goodwill impairment assessment, the Company initially performs a qualitative evaluation of whether it is more likely than not that goodwill is impaired. If it is determined by a qualitative evaluation that it is more likely than not that goodwill is impaired, the Company then applies a two-step

Edgar Filing: Marathon Patent Group, Inc. - Form 10-K

impairment test. The two-step impairment test first compares the fair value of the Company's reporting unit to its carrying or book value. If the fair value of the reporting unit exceeds its carrying value, goodwill is not impaired and the Company is not required to perform further testing. If the carrying value of the reporting unit exceeds its fair value, the Company determines the implied fair value of the reporting unit's goodwill and if the carrying value of the reporting unit's goodwill exceeds its implied fair value, then an impairment loss equal to the difference is recorded in the consolidated statement of operations. The Company performs the annual testing for impairment of goodwill at the reporting unit level during the quarter ended September 30.

For the year ended December 31, 2015, the Company recorded no impairment charge to its goodwill, and for the year ended December 31, 2014, the Company recorded an impairment charge in the amount of \$2,144,488 to the goodwill associated with CyberFone.

Table of Contents

Impairment of Long-lived Assets

The Company accounts for the impairment or disposal of long-lived assets according to the ASC 360 Property, Plant and Equipment . The Company continually monitors events and changes in circumstances that could indicate that the carrying amounts of long-lived assets may not be recoverable. Recoverability of assets to be held and used is measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of an asset to the estimated future net undiscounted cash flows expected to be generated by the asset. When necessary, impaired assets are written down to estimated fair value based on the best information available. Estimated fair value is generally based on either appraised value or measured by discounting estimated future cash flows. Considerable management judgment is necessary to estimate discounted future cash flows. Accordingly, actual results could vary significantly from such estimates. The Company recognizes an impairment loss when the sum of expected undiscounted future cash flows is less than the carrying amount of the asset. The Company did not record any impairment charges on its long-lived assets, except for patent intangible assets noted above, during the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014.

Stock-based Compensation

Stock-based compensation is accounted for based on the requirements of the Share-Based Payment Topic of ASC 718 which requires recognition in the consolidated financial statements of the cost of employee and director services received in exchange for an award of equity instruments over the period the employee or director is required to perform the services in exchange for the award (presumptively, the vesting period). The ASC also requires measurement of the cost of employee and director services received in exchange for an award based on the grant-date fair value of the award.

Pursuant to ASC Topic 505-50, for share-based payments to consultants and other third parties, compensation expense is determined at the measurement date. The expense is recognized over the vesting period of the award. Until the measurement date is reached, the total amount of compensation expense remains uncertain. The Company initially records compensation expense based on the fair value of the award at the reporting date. As stock-based compensation expense is recognized based on awards expected to vest, forfeitures are also estimated at the time of grant and revised, if necessary, in subsequent periods if actual forfeitures differ from those estimates. For the year ended December 31, 2015, the expected forfeiture rate was 10.3975%, which resulted in an expense of \$28,663, recognized in the Company's compensation expenses. There were no forfeitures for the year ended December 31, 2014. The Company will continue to re-assess the impact of forfeitures if actual forfeitures increase in future quarters.

Reclassification

Certain prior year reported amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation. The reclassification did not have an impact on previously issued net income (loss) or Total Shareholders' Equity.

Table of Contents

Liquidity and Capital Resources

At December 31, 2015, we had approximately \$2.6 million in cash and cash equivalents and a working capital deficit of approximately \$12.2 million.

Based on the Company's current revenue and profit projections, management is uncertain that the Company's existing cash and accounts receivables will be sufficient to fund its operations through at least the next twelve months. If we do not meet our revenue and profit projections or the business climate turns negative, then we will need to:

- raise additional funds to support the Company's operations; provided, however, there is no assurance that the Company will be able to raise such additional funds on acceptable terms, if at all. If the Company raises additional funds by issuing securities, existing stockholders may be diluted; and
- review strategic alternatives.

If adequate funds are not available, we may be required to curtail our operations or other business activities or obtain funds through arrangements with strategic partners or others that may require us to relinquish rights to certain technologies or potential markets.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In November 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-17, *Balance Sheet Classification of Deferred Taxes*. This update requires an entity to classify deferred tax liabilities and assets as noncurrent within a classified statement of financial position. ASU 2015-17 is effective for annual and interim reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016. This update may be applied either prospectively to all deferred tax liabilities and assets or retrospectively to all periods presented. Early application is permitted as of the beginning of the interim or annual reporting period. The Company adopted this standard for the annual period ending December 31, 2015. The effect of adopting the new guidance on the balance sheet was not significant.

In September 2015, the Financial Accounting Standards Board, or FASB, issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2015-16, *Business Combinations (Topic 805): Simplifying the Accounting for Measurement-Period Adjustments*, or ASU 2015-16. This amendment requires the acquirer in a business combination to recognize in the reporting period in which adjustment amounts are determined, any adjustments to provisional amounts that are identified during the measurement period, calculated as if the accounting had been completed at the acquisition date. Prior to the issuance of ASU 2015-16, an acquirer was required to restate prior period financial statements as of the acquisition date for adjustments to provisional amounts. The new standard for an annual reporting period beginning after December 15, 2017 with an earlier effective application is permitted only as of annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016. The new guidance is not expected to have significant impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements,

In April 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-05, *Intangibles-Goodwill and Other Internal-Use Software; Customer's Accounting for Fees Paid in a Cloud Computing Arrangement*. Prior to this ASU, U.S. GAAP did not include explicit guidance about a customer's accounting for fees paid in a cloud computing arrangement. Examples of cloud computing arrangements include software as a service, platform as a service, infrastructure as a service, and other similar hosting arrangements. This ASU provides guidance to customers about whether a cloud computing arrangement includes a software license, in which case the customer should account for such license consistent with the acquisitions of other software licenses. If the cloud computing arrangement does not include a software license, the customer should account for the arrangement as a service contract. The ASU does not change the accounting for service contracts. The new standard is effective for us on January 1, 2016 with early adoption permitted. We do not expect the adoption of ASU 2015-05 to have a significant impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In April 2015, the FASB issued new guidance on the presentation of debt issuance costs (ASU 2015-03, *Interest - Imputation of Interest (Subtopic 835-30): Simplifying the Presentation of Debt Issuance Costs*), effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2015, and interim periods within those fiscal years and should be applied retrospectively to all periods presented. Early adoption of the new guidance is permitted for financial statements that have not been previously issued. The new guidance will require that debt issuance costs be presented in the balance sheet as a direct deduction from the related debt liability rather than as an asset, consistent with debt discounts. The Company adopted ASU 2015-03 and as such, the debt issuance costs for Fortress note was presented in the balance sheet as direct deduction from the related debt liability.

In August 2014, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2014-15, *Disclosure of Uncertainties About an Entity's Ability to Continue as a Going Concern*. This standard update provides guidance around management's responsibility to evaluate whether there is substantial doubt about an entity's ability to continue as a going concern and to provide related footnote disclosures. The new guidance is effective for all annual and interim periods ending after December 15, 2016. The new guidance is not expected to have a significant impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

In May 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (*FASB*) issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2014-09, *Revenue from Contracts with Customers*, or ASU 2014-09, which requires an entity to recognize the amount of revenue to which it expects to be entitled for the transfer of promised goods or services to customers. The standard will replace most existing revenue recognition guidance in U.S. GAAP when it becomes effective and shall take effective on January 1, 2017. The standard permits the use of either the retrospective or cumulative effect transition method and the early application of the standard is not permitted. The Company is presently evaluating the effect that ASU 2014-09 will have on its consolidated financial statements and related disclosures and has not yet selected a transition method.

There were other updates recently issued, most of which represented technical corrections to the accounting literature or application to specific industries and are not expected to have a material impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

NOTE 3 ACQUISITIONS

CyberFone Systems, LLC

On April 22, 2013, Acquisition Corp., a Texas corporation and newly formed wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company entered into a merger agreement with CyberFone Systems, TechDev and Spangenberg Foundation. TechDev and Spangenberg Foundation owned 100% of the membership interests of CyberFone Systems.

CyberFone Systems owns a patent portfolio that includes claims that provide specific transactional data processing, telecommunications, network and database inventions, including financial transactions. The portfolio consists of ten United States patents and 27 foreign patents and one patent pending. The patent rights that cover digital communications and data transaction processing are foundational to certain applications in the wireless, telecommunications, financial and other industries. IP Nav will continue to support and manage the portfolio of patents and retain a contingent participation interest in all recoveries. IP Nav provides patent monetization and support services under an existing agreement with CyberFone Systems.

Pursuant to the terms of the CyberFone Merger Agreement, CyberFone Systems merged with and into Acquisition Corp with CyberFone Systems surviving the merger as the wholly owned subsidiary of the Company (the *Merger*). The Company (i) issued 923,076 shares of Common Stock to the CyberFone Sellers (the *Merger Shares*), (ii) paid the CyberFone Sellers \$500,000 cash and (iii) issued a \$500,000 promissory note to TechDev (the *Note*). The Company valued these common shares at the fair market value on the date of grant at \$2.47 per share or \$2,280,000. The Note was non-interest bearing and was due on June 22, 2013, subject to acceleration in the event of default. The Company may prepay the Note at any time without premium or penalty. On June 21, 2013, we paid \$500,000 to TechDev in satisfaction of the note. The transaction resulted in a business combination and caused CyberFone Systems to become a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company.

In addition to the payments described above, within thirty days following the end of each calendar quarter (commencing with the first full calendar quarter following the calendar quarter in which CyberFone Systems recovers \$4 million from licensing or enforcement activities related to the patents), CyberFone Systems will be required to pay out a certain percentage of such recoveries.

Edgar Filing: Marathon Patent Group, Inc. - Form 10-K

The Company accounted for the acquisition utilizing the purchase method of accounting in accordance with ASC 805 Business Combinations. The Company is the acquirer for accounting purposes and CyberFone Systems is the acquired company. Accordingly, the Company applied push down accounting for the transaction and adjusted to fair value all of the assets and liabilities directly on the financial statements of the subsidiary.

The net purchase price paid by the Company was allocated to assets acquired and liabilities assumed on the records of the Company as follows:

Intangible assets	\$	1,135,512
Goodwill		2,144,488
Net purchase price	\$	3,280,000

Per the disclosure set forth above, the Company determined at September 30, 2014 that the goodwill was impaired and an impairment loss in the amount of \$2,144,488 was charged to the consolidated statement of operations.

Table of Contents

Dynamic Advances, IP Liquidity and Sarif Biomedical

On May 2, 2014, the Company completed the acquisition of certain ownership rights (the Acquired Intellectual Property) from TechDev, Granicus IP, LLC (Granicus) and SFF pursuant to the terms of three purchase agreements between: (i) the Company, TechDev, SFF and DA Acquisition LLC, a newly formed Texas limited liability company and wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company; (ii) the Company, Granicus, SFF and IP Liquidity Ventures Acquisition LLC, a newly formed Delaware limited liability company and wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company; and (iii) the Company, TechDev, SFF and Sarif Biomedical Acquisition LLC, a newly formed Delaware limited liability company and wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company (the DA Agreement, the IP Liquidity Agreement and the Sarif Agreement, respectively and the collective transactions, the Acquisitions).

Dynamic Advances

Pursuant to the DA Agreement, the Company acquired 100% of the limited liability company membership interests of Dynamic Advances, LLC, a Texas limited liability company, in consideration for: (i) two cash payments totaling \$5,225,000; and (ii) 391,000 shares of the Company's Series B Convertible Preferred Stock. Under the terms of the DA Agreement, TechDev and SFF are entitled to possible future payments for a maximum consideration of \$250,000,000 pursuant to the Pay Proceeds Agreement described below. Dynamic Advances, LLC holds exclusive license to monetize certain patents owned by a third party.

On May 2, 2014, the Company issued TechDev and SFF a promissory note in order to evidence the second cash payment due under the terms of the DA Agreement in the amount of \$2,375,000 due on or before September 30, 2014, with such amount due under the terms of the promissory note being subject to increase to \$2,850,000 if the Company's payment pursuant to the terms of the DA Agreement are not made on or before June 30, 2014. The Company did not make the payment prior to June 30, 2014 and the promissory note matured on September 30, 2014. Effective September 30, 2014, TechDev and SFF extended the maturity to March 31, 2015 in return for a payment of \$249,375, payable within thirty days. The payment for this extension of the maturity date was made on October 10, 2014 and the loan was paid off on April 1, 2015. The promissory note did not otherwise include any interest payable by the Company. Since the Company did not make the payment on the promissory note prior to June 30, 2014, the Company included in the consideration paid for Dynamic Advances the promissory note balance of \$2,850,000. Further, the Company had the Series B Convertible Preferred Stock valued by a third party firm that determined, based on the rights and privileges of the Series B Convertible Preferred Stock, that it was on par with the value of the Company's Common Stock. The total amount of consideration paid by the Company for Dynamic Advances, including capitalized costs associated with the purchase, was \$6,653,078.

After evaluating the facts and circumstances of the purchase, the Company determined that this was an asset purchase. In coming to its conclusion, the Company reviewed the status of the assets, the historical activity and the absence of any employees, licenses, revenues, and any other assets other than the IP Assets. Further, as there are no assumed licensees or historical revenues, the Company is not certain that it will be able to obtain access to customers pursuant to AC 805-10-55-7.

IP Liquidity

Pursuant to the IP Liquidity Agreement, the Company acquired 100% of the limited liability company membership interests of IP Liquidity Ventures, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, in consideration for: (i) two cash payments totaling \$5,225,000; and (ii) 391,000 shares of

Edgar Filing: Marathon Patent Group, Inc. - Form 10-K

the Company's Series B Convertible Preferred Stock. Under the terms of the IP Liquidity Agreement, Granicus and SFF are entitled to possible future payments for a maximum consideration of \$250,000,000 pursuant to the Pay Proceeds Agreement described below. IP Liquidity Ventures, LLC holds contract rights to the proceeds from the monetization of certain patents owned by a number of third parties.

On May 2, 2014, the Company issued Granicus and SFF a promissory note in order to evidence the second cash payment due under the terms of the IP Liquidity Agreement in the amount of \$2,375,000 due on or before September 30, 2014, with such amount due under the terms of the promissory note being subject to increase to \$2,850,000 if the Company's payment pursuant to the terms of the IP Liquidity Agreement are not made on or before June 30, 2014. The Company did not make the payment prior to June 30, 2014 and the promissory note matured on September 30, 2014. Effective September 30, 2014, Granicus and SFF extended the maturity to March 31, 2015 in return for a payment of \$249,375, payable within thirty days. The payment for this extension of the maturity date was made on October 10, 2014 and the loan was paid off on April 1, 2015. The promissory note did not otherwise include any interest payable by the Company. Since the Company did not make the payment on the promissory note prior to June 30, 2014, the Company included in the consideration paid for IP Liquidity the promissory note balance of \$2,850,000. Further, the Company had the Series B Convertible Preferred Stock valued by a third party firm that determined, based on the rights and privileges of the Series B Convertible Preferred Stock that it was on par with the value of the Company's Common Stock. The total amount of consideration paid by the Company for IP Liquidity, including capitalized costs associated with the purchase, was \$6,653,078.

Table of Contents

After evaluating the facts and circumstances of the purchase, the Company determined that this was an asset purchase. In coming to its conclusion, the Company reviewed the status of the assets, the historical activity and the absence of any employees, licenses, revenues, and any other assets other than the IP Assets. Further, as there are no assumed licensees or historical revenues, the Company is not certain that it will be able to obtain access to customers pursuant to AC 805-10-55-7.

Sarif Biomedical

Pursuant to the Sarif Agreement, the Company acquired 100% of the limited liability company membership interests of Sarif Biomedical, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, in consideration for two cash payments totaling \$550,000. Under the terms of the Sarif Agreement, TechDev is entitled to possible future payments for a maximum consideration of \$250,000,000 pursuant to the Pay Proceeds Agreement described below. Sarif Biomedical, LLC holds ownership rights to certain patents.

On May 2, 2014, the Company issued TechDev a promissory note in order to evidence the second cash payment due under the terms of the Sarif Agreement in the amount of \$250,000 due on or before September 30, 2014, with such amount due under the terms of the promissory note being subject to increase to \$300,000 if the Company's payment pursuant to the terms of the Sarif Agreement are not made on or before September 30, 2014. The Company did not make the payment prior to June 30, 2014 and the promissory note matured on September 30, 2014. Effective September 30, 2014, TechDev extended the maturity to March 31, 2015 in return for a payment of \$26,250, payable within thirty days. The payment for this extension of the maturity date was made on October 10, 2014 and the loan was paid off on February 24, 2015. The promissory note did not otherwise include any interest payable by the Company. Since the Company did not make the payment on the promissory note prior to June 30, 2014, the Company included in the consideration paid for Dynamic Advances the higher principal amount of the promissory note. The total amount of consideration paid by the Company for Sarif Biomedical, including capitalized costs associated with the purchase, was \$552,024.

After evaluating the facts and circumstances of the purchase, the Company determined that this was an asset purchase. In coming to its conclusion, the Company reviewed the status of the assets, the historical activity and the absence of any employees, licenses, revenues, and any other assets other than the IP Assets. Further, as there are no assumed licensees or historical revenues, the Company is not certain that it will be able to obtain access to customers pursuant to AC 805-10-55-7.

Dynamic Advances, IP Liquidity and Sarif Biomedical

Pursuant to the Pay Proceeds Agreement, the Company may pay the sellers a percentage of the net recoveries (gross revenues minus certain defined expenses) that the Company makes with respect to the assets held by the entities that the Company acquired pursuant to the DA Agreement, the IP Liquidity Agreement and the Sarif Agreement (the IP Assets). Under the terms of the Pay Proceeds Agreement, if the Company recovers \$10,000,000 or less with regard to the IP Assets, then nothing is due to the sellers; if the Company recovers between \$10,000,000 and \$40,000,000 with regard to the IP Assets, then the Company shall pay 40% of the net proceeds of such recoveries to the sellers; and if the Company recovers over \$40,000,000 with regard to the IP Assets, the Company shall pay 50% of the net proceeds of such recoveries to the sellers. In no event will the total payments made by the Company under the Pay Proceeds Agreement exceed \$250,000,000.

Edgar Filing: Marathon Patent Group, Inc. - Form 10-K

Pursuant to a Registration Rights Agreement with the sellers (the Acquisition Registration Rights Agreement), the Company agreed to file a resale registration statement with the SEC covering at least 10% of the registrable shares of the Company's Series B Convertible Preferred Stock issued to the sellers under the terms of the DA Agreement and the IP Liquidity Agreement, at any time on or after November 2, 2014 upon receipt of a written demand from the sellers which describes the amount and type of securities to be included in the registration and the intended method of distribution thereof. The Company shall not be required to file more than three such registration statements not more than sixty days after the receipt of each such written demand from the sellers.

TechDev and Mr. Erich Spangenberg (the founder of IP Nav) and his spouse Audrey Spangenberg have jointly filed a Schedule 13G and are deemed to be affiliates of the Company.

Selene Communication Technologies

On June 17, 2014, Selene Communication Technologies Acquisition LLC (Acquisition LLC), a Delaware limited liability company and newly formed wholly owned subsidiary of the Company, entered into a merger agreement with Selene Communication Technologies, LLC (Selene).

Table of Contents

Selene owns a patent portfolio consisting of three United States patents in the field of search and network intrusion that relate to tools for intelligent searches applied to data management systems as well as global information networks such as the internet. IP Nav will continue to support and manage the portfolio of patents and retain a contingent participation interest in all recoveries. IP Nav provides patent monetization and support services under an existing agreement with Selene.

Pursuant to the terms of the Selene Interests Sale Agreement, Selene merged with and into Acquisition LLC with Selene surviving the merger as the wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company. The Company (i) issued 200,000 shares of Common Stock to the Selene Sellers and (ii) paid the Selene Sellers \$50,000 cash. The Company valued these common shares at the fair market value on the date of grant at \$4.90 per share or \$980,000. The transaction resulted in a business combination and caused Selene to become a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company.

The Company accounted for the acquisition as a business combination in accordance with ASC 805 Business Combinations in which the Company is the acquirer for accounting purposes and Selene is the acquired company. The Company engaged a third party valuation firm to determine the fair value of the assets purchases, and the net purchase price paid by the Company was subsequently allocated to assets acquired and liabilities assumed on the records of the Company as follows:

Intangible assets	\$	910,000
Net working capital		37,000
Goodwill		83,000
Net purchase price	\$	1,030,000

Clouding Corp.

On August 29, 2014, the Company entered into a patent purchase agreement (the Clouding Agreement) between Clouding Corp., a Delaware corporation and a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company (Clouding) and Clouding IP, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company (Clouding IP), pursuant to which Clouding acquired a portfolio of patents from Clouding IP. Clouding owns patents related to network and data management technology.

The Company paid Clouding IP (i) \$1.4 million in cash, (ii) \$1.0 million in the form of a promissory note issued by the Company that matures on October 31, 2014, (iii) 50,000 shares of its restricted Common Stock valued at \$281,000 and (iv) fifty percent (50%) of the net recoveries (gross revenues minus certain defined expenses) in excess of \$4.0 million in net revenues that the Company makes with respect to the patents purchased from Clouding IP. The Company valued the Common Stock at the fair market value on the date of the Interests Sale Agreement at \$5.62 per share or \$281,000 and the promissory note was paid in full prior to October 31, 2014. The revenue share under item (iv) above was booked as an earn out liability on the balance sheet in accordance with the appraisal of the consideration and intangible value. The Company booked a payable to the sellers pursuant to the earn out liability in the amount of \$2,148,000 at September 30, 2014, based on license agreements entered into during the quarter. No further amount is owed until the Company generates additional revenue, if any, from the Clouding patents.

The Company accounted for the acquisition as a business combination in accordance with ASC 805 Business Combinations. The Company engaged a third party valuation firm to determine the fair value of the assets purchases, and the net purchase price paid by the Company was subsequently allocated to assets acquired and liabilities assumed on the records of the Company as follows:

Edgar Filing: Marathon Patent Group, Inc. - Form 10-K

Intangible assets	\$	14,500,000
Goodwill		1,296,000
Net purchase price	\$	15,796,000

Total consideration paid of the following:

Cash	\$	1,400,000
Promissory Note		1,000,000
Common Stock		281,000
Earn-Out Liability		13,115,000
Net purchase price	\$	15,796,000

F-24

Table of Contents

Upon further evaluation, the total value of the earn-out liability was reduced, measured as of the acquisition date, to reflect certain underlying changes in the litigation schedule. Historical financial statements of Clouding and the pro forma condensed combined consolidated financial statements can be found on the Form 8-K/A filed with the SEC on November 12, 2014. The unaudited pro forma condensed combined consolidated financial statements are not necessarily indicative of the results that actually would have been attained if the merger had been in effect on the dates indicated or which may be attained in the future. Such statements should be read in conjunction with the historical financial statements of the Company.

Clouding IP earn out liability was determined as a Level 3 liability, which requires fair assessment of fair value at each period end by using discounted cash flow as valuation technique using unobservable inputs, such as revenue and expenses forecasts, timing of proceeds, and discount rate. Based on reassessment of fair value as of December 31, 2015, the Company determined Clouding IP earn out liability as \$33,646 for current portion and \$3,281,238 as long-term portion, which resulted in gain from exchange in fair value adjustment of \$6,137,116 for year ended December 31, 2015. Further, the periodic reassessment resulted in non-routine impairment of Clouding patent intangible assets of \$5,793,409 for the year ended December 31, 2015.

TLI Communications LLC

On September 19, 2014, TLI Acquisition Corp (TLIA), a Virginia corporation and newly formed wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, entered into an interest sale agreement to purchase 100% of the membership interests of TLI Communications LLC (TLIC), a Delaware limited liability company. TLIC owns a patent in the telecommunications field.

Pursuant to the terms of the TLIC Interests Sale Agreement, TLIC merged with and into TLIA with TLIC surviving the merger as the wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company. The Company (i) agreed to issue 120,000 shares of Common Stock to the sellers of TLIC (TLIC Sellers), (ii) paid the TLIC Sellers \$350,000 cash and (iii) agreed to pay the TLIC Sellers fifty percent (50%) of the net recoveries (gross revenues minus certain defined expenses and the cash portion of the acquisition consideration) that the Company makes with respect to the patent purchased pursuant to the acquisition of TLIC. As of December 31, 2015, the Company accrued \$1,401,844 for payment to the TLIC Sellers. The Company valued the Common Stock at the fair market value on the date of the Interests Sale Agreement at \$6.815 per share or \$818,000. The cash portion of the consideration was outstanding at September 30, 2014 and was subsequently paid in October. The transaction resulted in a business combination and caused TLIC to become a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company.

The Company accounted for the acquisition as a business combination in accordance with ASC 805 Business Combinations . The Company is the acquirer for accounting purposes and TLIC is the acquired company. The Company engaged a third party valuation firm to determine the fair value of the assets purchases, and the net purchase price paid by the Company was subsequently allocated to assets acquired and liabilities assumed on the records of the Company as follows:

Intangible assets	\$	940,000
Goodwill		228,000
Net purchase price	\$	1,168,000

Medtech Entities

On October 13, 2014, Medtech Group Acquisition Corp (Medtech Corp.), a Texas corporation and newly formed wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, entered into an interest sale agreement to purchase 100% of the equity or membership interests of OrthoPhoenix, LLC (OrthoPhoenix), a Delaware limited liability company, TLIF, LLC (TLIF) and MedTech Development Deutschland GmbH (MedTech GmbH) and along with OrthoPhoenix and TLIF, the Medtech Entities) from MedTech Development, LLC (MedTech Development). The Medtech Entities own patents in the medical technology field.

Pursuant to the terms of the Interest Sale Agreement between MedTech Development, Medtech Corp. and the Medtech Entities, the Company (i) paid MedTech Development \$1,000,000 cash and (ii) issue a Promissory Note to MedTech Development in the amount of \$9,000,000 and (iii) assumed existing debt payable to Medtronic, Inc. The assumed debt payable to Medtronic was renegotiated, as a result of which, the outstanding amount was \$6.25 million prior to any repayment by the Company. The debt is due in installments through July 20, 2015; in the event that the Company paid the total amount due by June 30, 2015, the Company would have received a reduction in the remaining principal owed by the Company in the amount of \$750,000. Since the Company expected to make the payment by that time when it entered into the agreement, the Company took a discount to the principal amount during the fourth quarter of 2014 when it made the acquisition. However, since the Company did not actually make the payment of the final principal amount by June 30, 2015, the Company reversed the earlier discount as of June 30, 2015. The transaction resulted in a business combination and caused the Medtech Entities to become wholly-owned subsidiaries of the Company..

Table of Contents

The Company accounted for the acquisition as a business combination in accordance with ASC 805 Business Combinations . The Company is the acquirer for accounting purposes and TLIC is the acquired company. The Company engaged a third party valuation firm to determine the fair value of the assets purchases, and the net purchase price paid by the Company was subsequently allocated to assets acquired and liabilities assumed on the records of the Company as follows:

Intangible assets	\$	12,800,000
Goodwill		2,700,000
Net purchase price	\$	15,500,000

Historical financial statements of the Medtech Entities and the pro forma condensed combined consolidated financial statements can be found on the Form 8-K/A filed with the SEC on December 24, 2014. The unaudited pro forma condensed combined consolidated financial statements are not necessarily indicative of the results that actually would have been attained if the merger had been in effect on the dates indicated or which may be attained in the future. Such statements should be read in conjunction with the historical financial statements of the Company.

Bridgestone Americas Tire Operations, LLC (BATO)

On April 23, 2015, IP Liquidity entered into a Patent Purchase Agreement (BATO PPA), as amended, whereby IP Liquidity purchased 43 patents from Bridgestone Americas Tire Operations LLC (BATO).

Pursuant to the terms of the BATO PPA, the Company agreed to pay BATO (i) \$3.5 million in two increments shortly after the execution of the document and (ii) an additional \$7.5 million in the event that the Company funds the German court bond requirement to put an injunction in place. The Company has not made the first payment to BATO pending further potential amendments to the BATO PPA.

The Company accounted for the acquisition as an asset acquisition in accordance with ASC 805 Business Combinations . The Company engaged a third party valuation firm to determine the fair value of the assets purchased, which determined that the fair value of the assets was in excess of the purchase consideration, so the Company booked the assets at the purchase consideration of \$11 million.

On November 15, 2015, the Company and its wholly-owned subsidiary, IP Liquidity, entered into a Memorandum of Understanding with BATO and IPNav pursuant to which BATO acknowledged that IP Liquidity was entitled to certain fees under an Advisory Services Agreement dated December 3, 2012. In addition, (i) the parties further agreed to terminate the agreement and (ii) terminate the BATO PPA entered into between Bridgestone and the Company on April 23, 2015, as amended. In connection with the termination of the agreement and the BATO PPA, as of November 15, 2015, the Company removed notes payable in the amount of \$10,000,000 and \$9,068,504 in patent assets from the Company's books and records, and in connection with the termination of the agreement, the Company removed \$2,451,550 in patents assets from the Company's books and records.

NOTE 4 - DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

None

NOTE 5 INTANGIBLE ASSETS

Intangible assets include patents purchased and patents acquired in lieu of cash in licensing transactions. Patents purchased are recorded based at their acquisition cost and patents acquired in lieu of cash are recorded at their fair market value. Intangible assets consisted of the following:

	December 31, 2015		December 31, 2014	
Intangible Assets	\$	41,014,992	\$	49,914,360
Accumulated Amortization & Impairment		(15,557,353)		(6,550,528)
Intangible assets, net	\$	25,457,639	\$	43,363,832

Intangible assets are comprised of patents with estimated useful lives between approximately 1 to 13 years. Once placed in service, the Company amortizes the costs of intangible assets over their estimated useful lives on a straight-line basis. Costs incurred to acquire patents, including legal costs, are also capitalized as long-lived assets and amortized on a straight-line basis with the associated

Table of Contents

patent. Amortization of patents is included as an operating expense as reflected in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations. The Company assesses fair market value for any impairment to the carrying values. Management concluded that there was impairment to the carrying value in the amount of \$5,793,409 for the year ended December 31, 2015 and no impairment for the year ended December 31, 2014.

Amortization and depreciation expense for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 was \$10,825,164 and \$5,528,280, respectively. Future amortization of current intangible assets, net is as follows:

2016	\$	7,495,068
2017		5,312,559
2018		3,715,236
2019		2,879,831
2020		2,143,028
2021 and thereafter		3,911,917
Total	\$	25,457,639

Since November 2012, the Company has continued to add to its intangible assets, through either the purchase of intangible asset directly or purchasing entities holding intangible assets. During the years ended December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, the Company made the following intangible asset acquisitions:

- In May 2014, we acquired ownership rights of Dynamic Advances, LLC, a Texas limited liability company, IP Liquidity Ventures, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, and Sarif Biomedical, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, all of which hold patent portfolios or contract rights to the revenue generated from the patent portfolios;
- In June 2014, we acquired Selene Communication Technologies, LLC, which holds multiple patents in the search and network intrusion field;
- In August 2014, we acquired patents from Clouding IP LLC, with such patents related to network and data management technology;
- In September 2014, we acquired TLI Communications, which owns a single patent in the telecommunication field;
- In October 2014, we acquired three patent portfolios from MedTech Development, LLC, which owns medical technology patents; and
- In April 2015, we purchased 43 patents from Bridgestone Americas Tire Operations LLC (BATO), with such patents related to automobile tire pressure monitoring systems, with such purchase terminated and reversed on November 15, 2015.

Edgar Filing: Marathon Patent Group, Inc. - Form 10-K

On December 7, 2011, the Company increased its authorized capital to 200,000,000 shares of Common Stock from 75,000,000 shares, changed the par value to \$0.0001 per share from \$.001 per share, and authorized new 100,000,000 shares of preferred stock, par value \$0.0001 per share.

On June 24, 2013, the reverse stock split ratio of one-for-thirteen basis was approved by the Board of Directors. On July 18, 2013, the Company filed a Certificate of Amendment to its Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation with the Secretary of State of the State of Nevada in order to effectuate a reverse stock split of the Company's issued and outstanding common stock, par value \$0.0001 per share on a one-for-thirteen basis.

On November 19, 2014, the Board of Directors of the Company declared a stock dividend pursuant to which holders of the Company's Common Stock as of the close of business of the record date of December 15, 2014 received one additional share of Common Stock at the close of business on December 22, 2014 for each share of Common Stock held by such holders. Throughout this Annual Report, all share and per share values for all periods presented in the accompanying consolidated financial statements are retroactively restated for the effect of the reverse stock split and stock dividend.

Table of Contents

Preferred Stock

On May 1, 2014, the Company issued 2,047,158 shares of Series A Convertible Preferred Stock and warrants to purchase an aggregate of 511,790 shares of Common Stock in a private placement to accredited investors. All of the Series A Convertible Preferred Stock was automatically converted pursuant to the terms of the Series A Convertible Preferred Stock Certificate of Designation during the year ended December 31, 2014. The exercise price of the warrants is \$3.75, after giving effect to the two-for-one stock dividend issued on December 22, 2014. The transaction did not involve any underwriters, underwriting discounts or commissions, or any public offering. The issuance of these securities was deemed to be exempt from the registration requirements of the Securities Act by virtue of the provisions of Section 4(a)(2) and Regulation D (Rule 506) thereunder, and the corresponding provisions of state securities laws.

On May 2, 2014, the Company issued an aggregate of 782,000 shares of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock valued at \$2,807,380 to acquire IP Liquidity Ventures, LLC, Dynamic Advances, LLC and Sarif Biomedical, LLC. The transaction did not involve any underwriters, underwriting discounts or commissions, or any public offering. The issuance of these securities was deemed to be exempt from the registration requirements of the Securities Act by virtue of Section 4(a)(2) thereof, as a transaction by an issuer not involving a public offering.

On September 17, 2014, the Company entered into a consulting agreement (the Consulting Agreement) with GRQ Consultants, Inc. (GRQ), pursuant to which GRQ shall provide certain consulting services including, but not limited to, advertising, marketing, business development, strategic and business planning, channel partner development and other functions intended to advance the business of the Company. As consideration, GRQ shall be entitled to 200,000 shares of the Company's Series B Convertible Preferred Stock, 50% of which vested upon execution of the Consulting Agreement, and 50% of which shall vest in six (6) equal monthly installments of commencing on October 17, 2014. The first tranche of 100,000 shares of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock was issued to GRQ on October 6, 2014. An aggregate of 150,000 shares of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock for a value of \$1,103,581 was issued in 2014 and 50,000 shares of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock for a value of \$345,334 was issued in 2015. In addition, the Consulting Agreement allows for GRQ to receive additional shares of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock upon the achievement of certain performance benchmarks. No milestones were met and no additional shares were issued in 2015. All shares of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock issuable to GRQ shall be pursuant to the 2014 Plan (as defined below). The Consulting Agreement contains an acknowledgement that the conversion of the preferred stock into shares of the Company's Common Stock is precluded by the beneficial ownership blockers set forth in the Series B Convertible Preferred Stock Certificate of Designation and in Section 17 of the 2014 Plan to ensure compliance with NASDAQ Listing Rule 5635(d).

Common Stock

In April 2013, the Company sold an aggregate of 4,808 post-split units with gross proceeds to the Company of \$25,000 to a certain accredited investor pursuant to a subscription agreement. Each unit was sold for a purchase price of \$5.20 per unit and consists of: (i) two shares of the Company's Common Stock and (ii) a five-year warrant to purchase an additional share of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$3.90 per share, subject to adjustment upon the occurrence of certain events such as stock splits and dividends. The warrants may be exercised on a cashless basis.

On April 17, 2013, the Company executed a consulting agreement with a consultant pursuant to a twelve-month consulting agreement for business advisory services. Pursuant to the terms of the agreement, the consultant shall receive a retainer of \$5,000 per month. Additionally, the Company shall issue to the consultant 61,538 shares of Common Stock of which, 15,384 shares vest immediately and the remaining 46,154 shares vested over a 12-month period.

Edgar Filing: Marathon Patent Group, Inc. - Form 10-K

In connection with the acquisition of CyberFone Systems, the Company (i) issued 923,076 shares of Common Stock to the CyberFone sellers. The Company valued these common shares at the fair market value on the date of grant at \$2.47 per share or \$2,280,000.

On May 22, 2013, the Company executed a one-year consulting agreement with a consultant for business advisory and capital restructuring services. The Company granted 46,154 post-split shares of Common Stock in connection with this consulting agreement and was valued at fair market value on the date of grant at approximately \$2.925 post-split per share. The Company recorded the total consideration of \$135,000 as prepaid expense and amortized \$78,750 during 2013 and the remaining balance was amortized during 2014.

On May 31, 2013, the Company sold an aggregate of 1,999,996 units (the Units) representing gross proceeds to the Company of \$5,200,000 to certain accredited investors (the Investors) pursuant to a securities purchase agreement (the Securities Purchase Agreement). Each Unit was subscribed for a purchase price of \$2.60 per Unit and consists of: (i) one share (the Shares) of the Company's Common Stock and (ii) a three-year warrant to purchase half a share of the Common Stock at an exercise price of \$3.25 per share, subject to adjustment upon the occurrence of certain events such as stock splits and stock dividends and similar events. The Company paid placement agent fees of \$170,000 to two broker-dealers in connection with the sale of the Units, of which \$30,000 was previously paid by the Company as a retainer.

Table of Contents

The above warrants may be exercised on a cashless basis at any time that the registration statement to be filed pursuant to the Registration Rights Agreement is not effective after the Effectiveness Date (as defined below). The above warrants contains limitations on the holder's ability to exercise such warrant in the event such exercise causes the holder to beneficially own in excess of 9.99% of the Company's issued and outstanding Common Stock.

Pursuant to a Registration Rights Agreement with the Investors, the Company has agreed to file a resale registration statement with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) covering the Shares and the Common Stock underlying the Warrants within 45 days of the final closing date of the sale of Units (the Filing Date) and to maintain the effectiveness of such registration statement. The Company has agreed to use its best efforts to have the initial registration statement declared effective within 120 days of the Filing Date (or within 135 days of the Filing Date in the event that the registration statement is subject to full review by the SEC) (the Effectiveness Date). If (i) a registration statement is (A) not filed with the SEC on or before the Filing Date or (B) not declared effective by the SEC on or before the Effectiveness Date, (ii) other than during an allowable grace period, sales cannot be made pursuant to the registration statement or the prospectus contained therein is not available for use for any reason or (iii) the Company fails to file with the SEC any required reports under the Exchange, then, the Company shall pay to the Investors an amount in cash equal to one percent (1%) of such Investor's purchase price every thirty (30) days. Notwithstanding the foregoing, however, the Company shall not be obligated to pay any such liquidated damages if the Company is unable to fulfill its registration obligations as a result of rules, regulations, positions or releases issued or actions taken by the SEC pursuant to its authority with respect to Rule 415, provided the Company registers at such time the maximum number of shares of Common Stock permissible upon consultation with the staff of the SEC.

In June 2013, the Company issued 23,076 shares for services rendered and valued these common shares at the fair market value on the date of grant at approximately \$2.515 per share or \$58,000. In third quarter of 2013, the Company issued an aggregate of 11,538 shares of Common Stock in connection with this consulting agreement. The Company valued the shares at the fair market value on the date of grant at approximately \$3.00 per share or \$34,480.

On June 11, 2013, the Company granted an aggregate of 192,308 shares of Common Stock to the Company's CFO and to a director of the Company, which were valued at fair market value on the date of grant at approximately \$2.635 per share for a total of \$506,250. The shares vested immediately on issuance. During the year ended December 31, 2013, the Company recorded stock-based compensation expense of the total \$506,250 related to the vested restricted stock grants.

On June 28, 2013, the Company executed one-year consulting agreements with two consultants for investor communications and public relation services. The Company granted an aggregate of 134,616 shares of Common Stock in connection with these consulting agreements, which shares were valued at fair market value on the date of grant at approximately \$2.275 post-split per share for aggregate value of \$306,251. In connection with the issuance of these common shares, the Company recorded prepaid stock-based consulting of \$306,256 and amortized \$153,128 during the year ended December 31, 2013, with the balance amortized during 2014.

On July 25, 2013, the Company granted 8,760 shares of Common Stock for legal services rendered. In connection with this transaction, the Company valued the shares at the fair market value on the date of grant at \$3.425 per share or \$30,000.

On July 29, 2013, the Company converted legal fees of \$29,620 into 11,392 units of securities. Each unit was subscribed for a purchase price of \$2.60 per unit and consists of: (i) one share of the Company's Common Stock and (ii) a three-year warrant to purchase half a share of the Common Stock at an exercise price of \$3.25 per share, subject to adjustment upon the occurrence of certain events such as stock splits and stock dividends and similar events.

Edgar Filing: Marathon Patent Group, Inc. - Form 10-K

In August 2013, the Company sold an aggregate of 307,692 units representing gross proceeds to the Company of \$800,000 to certain accredited investors pursuant to a securities purchase agreement. Each unit was subscribed for a purchase price of \$2.60 per unit and consists of: (i) one share of the Company's Common Stock and (ii) a three-year warrant to purchase half a share of the Common Stock at an exercise price of \$3.25 per share, subject to adjustment upon the occurrence of certain events such as stock splits and stock dividends and similar events. Additionally, the Company paid placement agent fees of \$35,029 and legal fees of \$42,375 in connection with the sale of units.

On September 19, 2014, the Company authorized the issuance of 60,000 shares of Common Stock to the sellers of TLI Communications LLC. The Company valued the Common Stock at the fair market value on the date of the Interests Sale Agreement at \$13.63 per share or \$818,000. The transaction did not involve any underwriters, underwriting discounts or commissions, or any public offering.

Edgar Filing: Marathon Patent Group, Inc. - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

On November 13, 2013, the Company acquired four US patents in consideration for 300,000 restricted shares of the Company's Common Stock. The restricted shares shall be subject to forfeiture rights for the benefit of the Company in the event no enforcement action is effected by the lapse of the enforcement period as defined in the patent purchase agreement. In connection with this transaction, the Company valued the shares at the fair market value on the date of grant at \$2.395 per share or \$718,500. The shares were issued on April 22, 2014.

On November 12, 2013, the Company received, in cash, the amount of \$25,000 in full payment of a subscription receivable for the purchase of 9,616 shares of the Company's Common Stock and subsequently issued the shares to the investor.

On June 2, 2014, the Company issued 48,078 shares of unrestricted Common Stock to an investor in the May 2013 PIPE, pursuant to the exercise of a warrant received in the May 2013 PIPE investment.

On June 30, 2014, the Company issued 200,000 shares of restricted Common Stock pursuant to the acquisition of Selene Communications Technologies, LLC (see Note 3). In connection with this transaction, the Company valued the shares at the fair market value on the date of grant at \$4.90 per share or \$980,000.

On July 18, 2014, the Company issues a total of 26,722 shares of Common Stock pursuant to the exercise of stock options held by a former member of the Company's Board of Directors and the Company's former Chief Financial Officer.

On September 16, 2014, the Company issued to two of its independent board members, in lieu of cash compensation, 6,178 shares valued at \$45,995 of restricted Common Stock to each of its directors. The shares shall vest quarterly over twelve (12) months commencing on the date of grant.

On September 30, 2014, the Company issued 50,000 shares of restricted Common Stock pursuant to the acquisition of the assets of Clouding IP, LLC (see Note 3). In connection with this transaction, the Company valued the shares at the quoted market price on the date of grant at \$5.62 per share or \$281,000.

For the three months ended September 30, 2014, certain holders of warrants exercised their warrants in a cashless, net exercise basis in exchange for 84,652 shares of the Company's Common Stock.

For the three months ended December 31, 2014, certain holders of warrants exercised their warrants in exchange for 29,230 shares of the Company's Common Stock.

On January 29, 2015, the Company issued 134,409 shares of the Company's Common Stock to DBD Credit Funding, LLC (DBD), an affiliate of Fortress Credit Corp., pursuant to the Fortress transaction.

Edgar Filing: Marathon Patent Group, Inc. - Form 10-K

On March 13, 2015, the Company settled a dispute with a former consultant whereby the Company issued the consultant 60,000 shares of Common Stock for a full release of all claims.

For the three months ended March 31, 2015, certain holders of warrants exercised their warrants to purchase, in cash, 5,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock.

For the three months ended June 30, 2015, certain holders of options exercised their options to purchase, on a net exercise basis, 33,968 (net) shares of the Company's Common Stock.

In a series of transactions, the Series B Convertible Preferred Stock associated with the GRQ Consulting Agreement was converted into shares of the Company's Common Stock, with 183,330 shares of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock converted into Common Stock prior to September 30, 2015.

On September 21, 2015, the Company issued 150,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock to Alex Partners, LLC and Del Mar Consulting Group, Inc., pursuant to a services agreement entered into on September 21, 2015. In connection with this transaction, the Company valued the shares at the quoted market price on the date of grant at \$2.23 per share or \$334,500. The transaction did not involve any underwriters, underwriting discounts or commissions, or any public offering. The issuance of these securities was deemed to be exempt from the registration requirements of the Securities Act by virtue of Section 4(a)(2) thereof, as a transaction by an issuer not involving a public offering.

Edgar Filing: Marathon Patent Group, Inc. - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

On October 20, 2015, 16,666 shares of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock associated with the GRQ Consulting Agreement was converted into 16,666 shares of the Company's Common Stock.

On November 4, 2015, the Company issued 300,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock to Dominion Harbor Group LLC (Dominion), pursuant to a settlement agreement entered into with Dominion on October 30, 2015. In connection with this transaction, the Company valued the shares at the quoted market price on the date of grant at \$1.71 per share or \$513,000. The transaction did not involve any underwriters, underwriting discounts or commissions, or any public offering. The issuance of these securities was deemed to be exempt from the registration requirements of the Securities Act by virtue of Section 4(a)(2) thereof, as a transaction by an issuer not involving a public offering.

On December 9, 2015, the Company entered into an agreement with Melechdavid, Inc. (Melechdavid), pursuant to which the Company agreed to issue 100,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock. In connection with this transaction, the Company valued the shares at the quoted market price on the date of grant at \$1.61 per share or \$161,000. The transaction did not involve any underwriters, underwriting discounts or commissions, or any public offering. The issuance of these securities was deemed to be exempt from the registration requirements of the Securities Act by virtue of Section 4(a)(2) thereof, as a transaction by an issuer not involving a public offering.

Common Stock Warrants

During the year ended December 31, 2015, the Company issued warrants to purchase 100,000 shares of Common Stock in connection with financings, warrants for 5,000 shares of Common Stock were exercised and warrants for 0 shares of Common Stock were forfeited in accordance with the terms of the underlying agreements. During the year ended December 31, 2015, the Company recorded stock based compensation expense of \$3,465 in connection with the vested warrants associated with one warrant-based compensatory grant. At December 31, 2015, there was a total of \$0 of unrecognized compensation expense related to future recognition of warrant-based compensation arrangements.

As of December 31, 2015, the Company had warrants outstanding to purchase 2,021,308 shares of Common Stock with a weighted average remaining life of 0.87 years. A summary of the status of the Company's outstanding stock warrants and changes during the period then ended is as follows:

	Number of Warrants	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Life
Balance at December 31, 2014	1,926,308	\$ 4.10	1.55
Granted	100,000	\$ 7.44	4.08
Cancelled			
Forfeited			
Exercised	5,000	\$ 3.75	
Balance at December 31, 2015	2,021,308	4.27	0.87
Warrants exercisable at December 31, 2015	2,021,308		
Weighted average fair value of warrants granted during the period		\$ 3.19	

Common Stock Options

On November 14, 2012, the Company entered into an employment agreement with Doug Croxall (the Croxall Employment Agreement), whereby Mr. Croxall agreed to serve as Company s Chief Executive Officer for a period of two years. Mr. Croxall received a ten-year option award to purchase an aggregate of 307,692 shares of the Company s Common Stock with an exercise price of \$3.25 per share, subject to adjustment, which shall vest in 24 equal monthly installments on each monthly anniversary of the date of the Croxall Employment Agreement. The options were valued on the grant date at approximately \$3.12 per option or a total of \$968,600 using a Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following assumptions: stock price of \$3.25 per share (based on the recent selling price of the Company s Common Stock at private placements), volatility of 192%, expected term of 5 years, and a risk free interest rate of 0.61%.

On January 28, 2013, the Company entered into an employment agreement with John Stetson, the Company s Chief Financial Officer and Secretary (the Stetson Employment Agreement) whereby Mr. Stetson agreed to serve as the Company s Chief Financial Officer for a period of one year, subject to renewal. Mr. Stetson received a ten-year option award to purchase an aggregate of 76,924 shares of the Company s Common Stock with an exercise price of \$3.25 per share, subject to adjustment, which shall vest in three (3) equal annual installments on the beginning on the first annual anniversary of the date of the Stetson Employment Agreement, provided Mr. Stetson is still employed by the Company.

Table of Contents

On March 1, 2013, Mr. Nathaniel Bradley was appointed as the Company's Chief Technology Officer and President of IP Services. Pursuant to the employment agreement between the Company and Mr. Bradley dated March 1, 2013 (Bradley Employment Agreement), Mr. Bradley was awarded five-year stock options to purchase an aggregate of 153,846 shares of the Company's Common Stock, with a strike price based on the closing price of the Company's Common Stock on March 1, 2013 as reported by the OTC Bulletin Board or an exercise price of \$5.525 per share, vesting in twenty-four (24) equal installments on each monthly anniversary of March 1, 2013, provided Mr. Bradley is still employed by the Company on each such date. On June 19, 2013, the Board of Directors accepted resignation of Mr. Bradley from his position of Chief Technology Officer and President of IP Services with the Company. In connection with his resignation, Mr. Bradley entered into a Separation and Release Agreement with the Company, pursuant to which, Mr. Bradley received a vested option to purchase 19,230 shares of Common Stock and an option to purchase 134,616 shares of Common Stock were cancelled.

On March 1, 2013, Mr. James Crawford was appointed as the Company's Chief Operating Officer. Pursuant to the employment agreement between the Company and Mr. Crawford dated March 1, 2013 (Crawford Employment Agreement), Mr. Crawford shall serve as the Company's Chief Operating Officer for two (2) years. Mr. Crawford was awarded five-year stock options to purchase an aggregate of 76,924 shares of the Company's Common Stock, with a strike price based on the closing price of the Company's Common Stock on March 1, 2013 as reported by the OTC Bulletin Board or an exercise price of \$5.525 per share, vesting in twenty-four (24) equal installments on each monthly anniversary of March 1, 2013, provided Mr. Crawford is still employed by the Company on each such date. On June 19, 2013, the Company granted Mr. Crawford an option to purchase 76,924 shares of Common Stock. The stock options granted have an exercise price equal to the fair market value per share on the option grant date, which was \$2.47 per share. The options issued to Mr. Crawford are conditioned upon the cancellation of the stock options granted to him on March 1, 2013 under his employment agreement with the Company and will vest in twenty-four (24) equal installments on each monthly anniversary of the date of grant.

Pursuant to the Independent Director Agreement between the Company and each of Mr. Nard and Mr. Rosellini dated March 8, 2013, each director was granted a five-year stock option to purchase an aggregate of 15,384 shares of the Company's Common Stock, with a strike price based on the closing price of the Company's Common Stock on March 8, 2013 as reported by the OTC Bulletin Board or an exercise price of \$3.25 per share. The options shall vest as follows: 33% the first anniversary hereof; 33% on the second anniversary and 34% on the third anniversary, and shall be subject to the Company's stock plan as in effect from time to time, including any claw-back and termination provisions therein. The option agreements shall provide for cashless exercise features. Such agreement shall be terminated upon resignation or removal of Mr. Nard and Mr. Rosellini as members of our Board of Directors. Mr. Nard resigned from the Company's Board of Directors in April 2014 and on July 18, 2014, the Company issued a total of 7,608 shares of Common Stock to Mr. Nard pursuant to the exercise of vested stock options.

On June 11, 2013, the Company granted five-year options to purchase an aggregate of 353,846 shares of Common Stock exercisable at \$2.625 per share to the Chief Executive Officer and two directors of the Company. The stock options shall vest pro rata monthly over the following 24-month period.

On June 11, 2013, the Company granted a five-year option to purchase 30,770 shares of Common Stock exercisable at \$2.625 per share to a consultant for legal services. The stock options shall vest pro rata monthly over the following 24-month period.

On June 19, 2013, the Company granted two five-year options to purchase an aggregate of 46,154 shares of Common Stock exercisable at \$2.47 per share to two employees of the Company. The options shall vest as follows: 33% the first anniversary hereof; 33% on the second anniversary and 34% on the third anniversary.

Edgar Filing: Marathon Patent Group, Inc. - Form 10-K

On July 25, 2013, the Company granted four five-year options to purchase an aggregate of 134,614 shares of Common Stock to four consultants who are employees of IP Nav. Such options shall vest 33% on the first year anniversary, 33% on the second year anniversary and 34% on the third year anniversary. The exercise price was based on the \$3.425 closing price of the Company's Common Stock on the date of grant. These options were forfeited in accordance with the termination of consultant relationships.

On August 19, 2013, the Company granted two five-year options to purchase an aggregate of 607,692 shares of Common Stock to two consultants who are employees of IP Nav. Such options shall vest 33% on the first year anniversary, 33% on the second year anniversary and 34% on the third year anniversary. The exercise price was based on the \$2.925 closing price of the Company's Common Stock on the date of grant. These options were forfeited in accordance with the termination of consultant relationships.

Table of Contents

On November 11, 2013, we entered into a three-year consulting agreement with Kairix Analytics, Ltd. (Kairix) (the Kairix Agreement), pursuant to which we agreed to grant to Kairix an option to purchase 600,000 shares of the Company s Common Stock at an exercise price of \$2.85 per share, reflecting the closing price of the Company s Common Stock on the date of grant. The option has a term of five (5) years and vests 33% on each of the first and second anniversaries and 34% on the third anniversary of the Kairix Agreement. The Company has valued the option at \$984,447 using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following assumptions: an expected life of two and one-half years; volatility of 100% and a risk-free interest rate of 0.65%. In addition, Kairix will be entitled to receive either 2% or 5% of the net revenue derived from the enforcement of patents by either the Company or its subsidiaries and resulting from work performed by Kairix on behalf of the Company, with the percentage applied to be based on the contribution made to the generation of the revenue by Kairix, as further described in the Kairix Agreement. No net revenues were ever paid to Kairix as the consulting agreement was terminated without any work being performed by Kairix. Mr. Craig Nard, one of the principals of Kairix, was a member of our Board of Directors at the time the Company entered into the agreement with Kairix. On June 18, 2014, the Company cancelled an option to purchase an aggregate amount of 600,000 shares of Common Stock provided to Kairix Analytics when the consulting agreement was terminated without any vesting having occurred.

On November 18, 2013, we entered into Amendment No. 1 to the Croxall Employment Agreement with our Chief Executive Officer and Chairman, Doug Croxall. As part of Amendment No. 1, we granted Mr. Croxall a ten-year stock options to purchase an aggregate of 200,000 shares of our Common Stock, with an exercise price of \$2.965 per share, reflecting the closing price of our Common Stock on the date of grant, and vesting in twenty-four (24) equal installments on each monthly anniversary date of the grant. The Company has valued the option grant at \$442,692 using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following assumptions: an expected life of five years; volatility of 100%; and a risk-free rate of 1.33%.

On November 18, 2013, we entered into a two-year executive employment agreement with Richard Raisig (the Raisig Agreement), pursuant to which Mr. Raisig shall serve as our Chief Financial Officer, effective December 3, 2013. As part of the Raisig Agreement, we agreed to issue Mr. Raisig a ten-year stock option to purchase an aggregate of 230,000 shares of Common Stock, with an exercise price of \$2.95 per share, vesting in twenty-four (24) equal installments on each monthly anniversary of the date of the Raisig Agreement, provided Mr. Raisig is still employed by us on each such date. We have valued the options at \$511,036 using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following assumptions: market price on the date of grant of \$2.95; an expected life of five years; volatility of 101%; and a risk-free rate of 1.40%. Mr. Raisig s employment with the Company was terminated in April 2014 and on July 18, 2014, the Company issued a total of 19,114 shares of Common Stock to Mr. Raisig pursuant to the exercise of vested stock options.

On April 15, 2014, the Company issued a new board member, Edward Kovalik, a five (5) year option to purchase an aggregate of 20,000 shares of the Company s Common Stock with an exercise price of \$3.295 per share, subject to adjustment, which shall vest in twelve (12) monthly installments commencing on the date of grant. The option was valued based on the Black-Scholes model, using the strike and market prices of \$3.295 per share, life of three years, volatility of 51% based on the closing price of the 50 trading sessions immediately preceding the grant and a discount rate as published by the Federal Reserve of 0.84%.

On May 14, 2014, the Company issued existing employees, ten-year options to purchase an aggregate of 80,000 shares of the Company s Common Stock with an exercise price of \$4.165 per share, subject to adjustment, which shall vest in three (3) annual installments, with 33% vesting on the first anniversary of the date of grant, 33% on the second anniversary of the date of grant and 34% on the third anniversary of the date of grant. The options were valued based on the Black-Scholes model, using the strike and market prices of \$4.165 per share, life of 6.5 years, volatility of 63% based on the closing price of the 50 trading sessions immediately preceding the grant and a discount rate as published by the Federal Reserve of 1.97%.

On May 14, 2014, the Company issued to consultants, five (5) year options to purchase an aggregate of 160,000 shares of the Company s Common Stock with an exercise price of \$4.165 per share, subject to adjustment, which shall vest in three (3) annual installments, with 33%

Edgar Filing: Marathon Patent Group, Inc. - Form 10-K

vesting on the first anniversary of the date of grant, 33% on the second anniversary of the date of grant and 34% on the third anniversary of the date of grant. The options were valued based on the Black-Scholes model, using the strike and market prices of \$4.165 per share, life of 3.5 years, volatility of 50% based on the closing price of the 50 trading sessions immediately preceding the grant and a discount rate as published by the Federal Reserve of 1.00%.

On May 15, 2014, the Company entered into an executive employment agreement with Francis Knuettel II (Knuettel Agreement) pursuant to which Mr. Knuettel would serve as the Company's Chief Financial Officer. As part of the consideration, the Company agreed to grant Mr. Knuettel a ten-year stock option to purchase an aggregate of 290,000 shares of Common Stock, with a strike price of \$4.165 per share, vesting in thirty-six (36) equal installments on each monthly anniversary of the date of the Knuettel Agreement. The option was valued based on the Black-Scholes model, using the strike and market prices of \$4.165 per share, life of 6.5 years, volatility of 63% based on the closing price of the 50 trading sessions immediately preceding the grant and a discount rate as published by the Federal Reserve of 1.97%.

Table of Contents

On June 15, 2014, the Company issued to a consultant a five-year stock option to purchase an aggregate of 40,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock with an exercise price of \$5.05 per share, subject to adjustment, which shall vest in twenty-four (24) equal monthly installments on each monthly anniversary date of the grant. The options were valued based on the Black-Scholes model, using the strike and market prices of \$5.05 per share, life of 3.25 years, volatility of 50% based on the closing price of the 50 trading sessions immediately preceding the grant and a discount rate as published by the Federal Reserve of 1.05%.

On August 29, 2014, the Company entered into an executive employment agreement with Daniel Gelbtuch (Gelbtuch Agreement) pursuant to which Mr. Gelbtuch would serve as the Company's Chief Marketing Officer. As part of the consideration, the Company agreed to grant Mr. Gelbtuch ten-year stock options to purchase an aggregate of 290,000 shares of Common Stock, with a strike price of \$5.62 per share, vesting in thirty-six (36) equal installments on each monthly anniversary of the date of the Gelbtuch Agreement. Mr. Gelbtuch's employment with the Company was terminated as of January 20, 2015 and the vested shares at that time remain available for Mr. Gelbtuch to exercise. The option was valued based on the Black-Scholes model, using the strike and market prices of \$5.62 per share, life of 6.5 years, volatility of 62% based on the average volatility of comparable companies over the prior 10-year period and a discount rate as published by the Federal Reserve of 1.95%.

On September 16, 2014, the Company issued its independent board members five-year options to purchase an aggregate of 60,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock with an exercise price of \$7.445 per share, subject to adjustment, which shall vest monthly over twelve (12) months commencing on the date of grant. The options were valued based on the Black-Scholes model, using the strike and market prices of \$7.445 per share, life of three years, volatility of 49% based on the average volatility of comparable companies over the prior 5-year period and a discount rate as published by the Federal Reserve of 1.04%.

On October 31, 2014, the Company entered into an executive employment agreement with Enrique Sanchez (Sanchez Agreement) pursuant to which Mr. Sanchez would serve as the Company's Senior Vice President of Licensing. As part of the consideration, the Company agreed to grant Mr. Sanchez a ten-year stock option to purchase an aggregate of 160,000 shares of Common Stock, with a strike price of \$6.40 per share, vesting in thirty-six (36) equal installments on each monthly anniversary of the date of the Sanchez Agreement. The options were valued based on the Black-Scholes model, using the strike and market prices of \$6.40 per share, an expected term of 5.75 years, volatility of 53% based on the average volatility of comparable companies over the comparable prior period and a discount rate as published by the Federal Reserve of 1.78%.

On October 31, 2014, the Company entered into an executive employment agreement with Umesh Jani (Jani Agreement) pursuant to which Mr. Jani would serve as the Company's Chief Technology Officer and SVP of Licensing. As part of the consideration, the Company agreed to grant Mr. Jani a ten-year stock option to purchase an aggregate of 100,000 shares of Common Stock, with a strike price of \$6.40 per share, vesting in thirty-six (36) equal installments on each monthly anniversary of the date of the Jani Agreement. The options were valued based on the Black-Scholes model, using the strike and market prices of \$6.40 per share, an expected term of 5.75 years, volatility of 53% based on the average volatility of comparable companies over the comparable prior period and a discount rate as published by the Federal Reserve of 1.78%.

On October 31, 2014, the Company issued to existing employees, ten-year options to purchase an aggregate of 680,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock with an exercise price of \$6.40 per share, subject to adjustment, which shall vest in twenty-four (24) equal installments on each monthly anniversary. The options were valued based on the Black-Scholes model, using the strike and market prices of \$6.40 per share, an expected term of 5.75 years, volatility of 53% based on the average volatility of comparable companies over the comparable prior period and a discount rate as published by the Federal Reserve of 1.78%.

On October 31, 2014, the Company issued to a consultant, a five-year option to purchase an aggregate of 30,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock with an exercise price of \$6.40 per share, subject to adjustment, which shall vest in twenty-four (24) equal installments on each

Edgar Filing: Marathon Patent Group, Inc. - Form 10-K

monthly anniversary of the grant. The options were valued based on the Black-Scholes model, using the strike and market prices of \$6.40 per share, an expected term of 3.25 years, volatility of 49% based on the average volatility of comparable companies over the comparable prior period and a discount rate as published by the Federal Reserve of 1.03%.

On February 5, 2015 the Company issued to a consultant, a five-year option to purchase an aggregate of 25,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock with an exercise price of \$6.80 per share, subject to adjustment, which shall vest in twenty-four (24) equal installments on each monthly anniversary of the grant. The options were valued based on the Black-Scholes model, using the strike and market prices of \$6.80 per share, an expected term of 3.25 years, volatility of 47% based on the average volatility of comparable companies over the comparable prior period and a discount rate as published by the Federal Reserve of 0.92%.

Table of Contents

On March 6, 2015 the Company issued to a new board member a five-year option to purchase an aggregate of 20,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock with an exercise price of \$7.37 per share, subject to adjustment, which shall vest in twelve (12) equal installments on each monthly anniversary of the grant. The options were valued based on the Black-Scholes model, using the strike and market prices of \$7.37 per share, an expected term of 3.0 years, volatility of 41% based on the average volatility of comparable companies over the comparable prior period and a discount rate as published by the Federal Reserve of 1.16%.

On March 18, 2015 the Company issued to a new board member a five-year option to purchase an aggregate of 20,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock with an exercise price of \$6.61 per share, subject to adjustment, which shall vest in twelve (12) equal installments on each monthly anniversary of the grant. The options were valued based on the Black-Scholes model, using the strike and market prices of \$6.61 per share, an expected term of 3.0 years, volatility of 41% based on the average volatility of comparable companies over the comparable prior period and a discount rate as published by the Federal Reserve of 0.92%.

On April 7, 2015 (the Effective Date), the Company entered into a consulting agreement (the Consulting Agreement) with Richard Chernicoff, a member of the Company's Board of Directors, pursuant to which Mr. Chernicoff shall provide certain services to the Company, including serving as the interim General Counsel and interim General Manager of commercial product commercialization development. Pursuant to the terms of the Consulting Agreement, Mr. Chernicoff shall receive a monthly retainer of \$27,000 and a ten-year stock option to purchase 280,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock pursuant to the Company's 2014 Equity Incentive Plan (the 2014 Plan). The stock options shall have an exercise price of \$6.76 per share, the closing price of the Company's common stock on the date immediately prior to the Board of Directors approval of such stock options and the options shall vest as follows: 25% of the option shall vest on the 12 month anniversary of the Effective Date and thereafter 2.083% on the 21st day of each succeeding calendar month for the following twelve months, provided Mr. Chernicoff continues to provide services (in addition to as a member of the Company's Board of Directors) at the time of vesting. The option shall be subject in all respects to the terms of the 2014 Plan. Notwithstanding anything herein to the contrary, the remainder of the option shall be subject to the following as an additional condition of vesting: (A) options to purchase 70,000 shares of the Company's common stock under the option shall not vest at all unless the price of the Company's common stock while Mr. Chernicoff continues as an officer and/or director reaches \$8.99 and (B) options to purchase 70,000 shares of the Company's common stock under the option shall not vest at all unless the price of the Company's common stock while Mr. Chernicoff continues as an officer and/or director reaches \$10.14. For valuation purposes, the options were divided into two parts—the time-based vesting component and the performance-based vesting component. The time-based vesting component was valued based on the Black-Scholes model, using the strike and market prices of \$6.76 per share, an expected term of 6.25 years, volatility of 53% based on the average volatility of comparable companies over the comparable prior period and a discount rate as published by the Federal Reserve of 1.53%. The performance-based vesting component was valued based on the Monte Carlo Simulation model, using the strike and market prices of \$6.76 per share, an expected term of 10.0 years, volatility of 61% based on the average volatility of comparable companies over the comparable prior period and a discount rate as published by the Federal Reserve of 1.89%.

On September 16, 2015, the Company issued its independent board members ten-year options to purchase an aggregate of 80,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock with an exercise price of \$2.03 per share, subject to adjustment, which shall vest monthly over twelve (12) months commencing on the date of grant. The options were valued based on the Black-Scholes model, using the strike and market prices of \$2.03 per share, an expected term of 5.5 years, volatility of 47% based on the average volatility of comparable companies over the comparable prior period and a discount rate as published by the Federal Reserve of 1.72%.

On October 14, 2015, the Company issued certain of its employees ten-year options to purchase an aggregate of 385,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock with an exercise price of \$1.86 per share, subject to adjustment, which shall vest monthly over twenty-four (24) months commencing on the date of grant. The options were valued based on the Black-Scholes model, using the strike and market prices of \$1.86 per share, an expected term of 6.5 years, volatility of 49% based on the average volatility of comparable companies over the comparable prior period and a discount rate as published by the Federal Reserve of 1.57%.

Edgar Filing: Marathon Patent Group, Inc. - Form 10-K

On October 14, 2015, the Company issued certain of its consultants ten (10) year options to purchase an aggregate of 70,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock with an exercise price of \$1.86 per share, subject to adjustment, which shall vest monthly over twenty-four (24) months commencing on the date of grant. The options were valued based on the Black-Scholes model, using the strike and market prices of \$1.86 per share, an expected term of 6.5 years, volatility of 49% based on the average volatility of comparable companies over the comparable prior period and a discount rate as published by the Federal Reserve of 1.57%.

At December 31, 2015, there was a total of \$2,856,485 of unrecognized compensation expense related to non-vested option-based compensation arrangements entered into during the year.

A summary of the stock options as of December 31, 2015 and changes during the period are presented below:

F-35

Edgar Filing: Marathon Patent Group, Inc. - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

	Number of Options	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Life
Balance at December 31, 2014	3,017,690	\$ 4.64	7.77
Granted	880,000	\$ 3.81	9.21
Cancelled		\$	
Forfeited	480,455	\$ 5.56	
Exercised	33,968	\$ 3.25	
Balance at December 31, 2015	3,383,267	\$ 4.25	7.11
Options Exercisable at December 31, 2015	1,925,963		
Options expected to vest	1,457,304		
Weighted average fair value of options granted during the period		\$ 1.48	

Stock options outstanding at December 31, 2015 as disclosed in the above table have \$0 in intrinsic value at the end of the period.

NOTE 7 COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Office Lease

In October 2013, the Company entered into a net-lease for its current office space in Los Angeles, California. The lease commenced on May 1, 2014 and has a term of seven years, which term expires on April 30, 2021, with monthly lease payments escalating each year of the lease. In addition, to paying a deposit of \$7,564 and the monthly base lease cost, the Company is required to pay its pro rata share of operating expenses and real estate taxes. Under the terms of the lease, the Company will not be required to pay rent for the first five months but must remain in compliance with the terms of the lease to continue to maintain that benefit. In addition, the Company has a one-time option to terminate the lease in the 42nd month of the lease. Minimum future lease payments under this lease at December 31, 2015, net of the rent abatement, for the next five years are as follows:

2016	68,244
2017	71,288
2018	74,540
2019	77,872
Thereafter	108,840
Total	\$ 400,784

The leases for the properties maintained in Alexandria, Virginia and Longview, Texas are month-to-month and can be cancelled upon thirty days notice.

NOTE 8 - INCOME TAXES

Edgar Filing: Marathon Patent Group, Inc. - Form 10-K

The Company accounts for income taxes under ASC Topic 740: Income Taxes, which requires the recognition of deferred tax assets and liabilities for both the expected impact of differences between the financial statements and the tax basis of assets and liabilities, and for the expected future tax benefit to be derived from tax losses and tax credit carry-forwards. ASC Topic 740 additionally requires the establishment of a valuation allowance to reflect the likelihood of realization of deferred tax assets.

F-36

Edgar Filing: Marathon Patent Group, Inc. - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

The following table presents the current and deferred provision (benefit) for income taxes for the years ended December 31, 2015:

	2015	2014
Current:		
Federal	(28,000)	
State	48,188	
Foreign		
	\$ 20,188	\$
Deferred:		
Federal	(6,046,674)	(3,942,754)
State	(1,171,260)	(824,804)
Foreign	(958,702)	(184,751)
	(8,176,636)	(4,952,309)
	\$ (8,156,448)	\$ (4,952,309)

The table below summarizes the differences between the Company's effective tax rate and the statutory federal rate for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014.

	2015	2014
Tax benefit computed at expected statutory rate	\$ (8,533,296)	\$ (2,742,728)
State income taxes, net of benefit	(818,432)	(48,135)
Permanent differences:		
Deemed Dividend		432,307
Stock based compensation and consulting	738,904	581,216
Transaction Cost	208,481	
Other permanent differences	247,895	2,535
Timing differences		
Amortization of patents and other		
Change in valuation allowance		(3,177,504)
Net income tax benefit	\$ (8,156,448)	\$ (4,952,309)

The table below summarizes the differences between the Companies' effective tax rate and the statutory federal rate as follows for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014:

	2015	2014
Computed expected tax expense (benefit)	-34.00%	(34.00)%
State income taxes	(3.26)%	(0.60)%
Permanent differences	4.75%	12.60%
Timing differences	%	%
Change in valuation allowance	%	(39.39)%
Effective tax rate	(32.51)%	(61.39)%

Table of Contents

The Company has a deferred tax asset, which is summarized as follows at December 31:

	2015		2014
Deferred tax assets:			
Total deferred tax assets	\$ 12,437,741	\$	4,789,293
Total deferred tax liabilities	(1,044,997)		(1,823,884)
Less: valuation allowance			
Net deferred tax asset	\$ 11,392,744	\$	2,965,409

The Company does not have any taxable income in carryback years in which net operating losses (NOLs) can be carried back to. At December 31, 2015, the Company did not have any taxable temporary differences that will reverse and generate taxable income and was still in a cumulative loss position. Based on all the available information, including tax planning strategies and future forecast, the Company believes that it is more likely than not that the net deferred tax assets will be realized; therefore, valuation allowance is not needed.

As of December 31, 2015, the Company had NOL carry-forwards for federal and state purposes of approximately \$21.6 million and \$20.2 million, respectively, which will begin to expire in 2032. The utilization of NOL and credit carry-forwards may be limited under the provisions of the Internal Revenue Code (IRC) Section 382 and similar state provisions. IRC Section 382 generally imposes an annual limitation on the amount of NOL carry-forwards that may be used to offset taxable income where a corporation has undergone significant changes in stock ownership. The Company has not analyzed whether an ownership change has taken place that could limit the utilization of NOL. An analysis may be required at the time the Company begins utilizing any of its net operating losses to determine if there is an IRC Section 382 limitation.

As of December 31, 2015 and 2014, the Company does not increase or decrease liability for unrecognized tax benefit. As of December 31, 2015 and 2014 the Company did not increase or decrease penalties or interest in connection with liability for unrecognized tax benefit. The Company does not expect its unrecognized tax benefits to change significantly over the next 12 months. The Company files U.S. and state income tax returns with varying statutes of limitations. The 2011 through 2014 tax years generally remain subject to examination by federal and state tax authorities.

The Company has not recognized a deferred tax liability on foreign earnings that it has declared as indefinitely reinvested. This amount may become taxable upon repatriation of assets from the subsidiaries or a sale or liquidation of the subsidiaries. The amount of earnings designated as indefinitely reinvested offshore is based upon our expectations of the future cash needs of the Company's foreign entities.

NOTE 9 SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On February 22, 2016, Marathon Group SA, a Luxembourg *société anonyme*, Uniloc Luxembourg, S.A., a Luxembourg *société anonyme*, Uniloc Corporation Pty. Limited, an Australian company limited by shares ACN 058 043 744, and Marathon Patent Group, Inc., a Nevada corporation, entered into a Termination Agreement terminating the Business Combination Agreement dated August 14, 2015 by and among the parties set forth above.

Table of Contents

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Management's Conclusions Regarding Effectiveness of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (Disclosure Controls), as defined by Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act), as of December 31, 2015, the end of the period covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The Disclosure Controls evaluation was done under the supervision and with the participation of management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer. There are inherent limitations to the effectiveness of any system of disclosure controls and procedures. Accordingly, even effective disclosure controls and procedures can only provide reasonable assurance of achieving their control objectives. Based upon this evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that, due to our limited internal audit function, our Disclosure Controls were not effective as of December 31, 2015, such that the information required to be disclosed by us in reports filed under the Exchange Act is (i) recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms and (ii) accumulated and communicated to our management, including our principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding disclosure.

Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act. Our management is also required to assess and report on the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting in accordance with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (Section 404). Our internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes of accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. Management assessed the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2015. In making this assessment, we used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in Internal Control - Integrated Framework in the 2013 COSO framework. During our assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2015, management identified material weaknesses related to (i) our internal audit functions and (ii) a lack of segregation of duties within accounting functions. Accordingly, management concluded that our internal controls over financial reporting were not effective as of December 31, 2015.

Management has determined that our internal audit function is significantly deficient due to insufficient qualified resources to perform internal audit functions.

Edgar Filing: Marathon Patent Group, Inc. - Form 10-K

Due to our size and nature, segregation of all conflicting duties may not always be possible and may not be economically feasible. However, to the extent possible, we will implement procedures to assure that the initiation of transactions, the custody of assets and the recording of transactions will be performed by separate individuals.

We believe that the foregoing steps if implemented, will help remediate the material weakness identified above, and we will continue to monitor the effectiveness of these steps and make any changes that our management deems appropriate. Due to the nature of this material weakness in our internal control over financial reporting, there is more than a remote likelihood that misstatements which could be material to our annual or interim financial statements could occur that would not be prevented or detected.

A material weakness (within the meaning of PCAOB Auditing Standard No. 5) is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of our annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those responsible for oversight of the company's financial reporting.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies and procedures may deteriorate.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting.

During the year ended December 31, 2015, there was no change in our internal control over financial reporting (as such term is defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Exchange Act) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

Table of Contents**PART III****ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE**

The following table presents information with respect to our officers, directors and significant employees as of the date of this Report:

Name and Address	Age	Date First Elected or Appointed	Position(s)
Doug Croxall	47	November 14, 2012	Chief Executive Officer and Chairman
Francis Knuettel II	49	May 15, 2014	Chief Financial Officer
James Crawford	41	March 1, 2013	Chief Operating Officer
Umesh Jani	41	October 31, 2014	Chief Technology Officer and SVP of Licensing
Enrique Sanchez Jr.	39	November 3, 2014	Executive Vice President of Licensing and IP Counsel
Richard S. Chernicoff	50	March 6, 2015	Director
Edward Kovalik	41	April 15, 2014	Director
William Rosellini	36	March 8, 2013	Director
Richard Tyler	58	March 18, 2015	Director

Background of officers and directors

The following is a brief account of the education and business experience during at least the past five years of our officers and directors, indicating each person's principal occupation during that period, and the name and principal business of the organization in which such occupation and employment were carried out.

Doug Croxall - Chief Executive Officer and Chairman

Mr. Croxall, 47, has served as the Chief Executive Officer and Founder of LVL Patent Group LLC, a privately owned patent licensing company since 2009. From 2003 to 2008, Mr. Croxall served as the Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of FirePond, a software company that licensed configuration pricing and quotation software to Fortune 1000 companies. Mr. Croxall earned a Bachelor of Arts degree in Political Science from Purdue University in 1991 and a Master of Business Administration from Pepperdine University in 1995. Mr. Croxall was chosen as a director of the Company based on his knowledge of and relationships in the patent acquisition and monetization business.

Francis Knuettel II - Chief Financial Officer

Prior to joining the Company, Mr. Knuettel, 49, was Managing Director and CFO for Greyhound IP LLC, an investor in patent litigation expenses for patents enforced by small firms and individual inventors. Since 2007 he has been the Managing Member of Camden Capital LLC, a company focused on the monetization of patents Mr. Knuettel acquired in 2007. From 2007 through 2013, Mr. Knuettel served as the Chief

Edgar Filing: Marathon Patent Group, Inc. - Form 10-K

Financial Officer of IP Commerce, Inc. and from 2005 through 2007, Mr. Knuettel served as the CFO of InfoSearch Media, Inc., a publicly traded company. From 2000 through 2004, Mr. Knuettel was at Internet Machines Corporation, a fables semiconductor company located in Los Angeles, where he served on the Board of Directors and held several positions, including Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer. From 2008 through 2011, Mr. Knuettel was a member of the Board of Directors and Chairman of the Audit Committee for Firepond, Inc., a publicly traded producer of CPQ software systems and currently sits on the Board of Directors of Spindle Inc, a publicly traded provider of unified commerce solutions for electronic payments for small and medium sized businesses. Mr. Knuettel received his BA with honors in Economics from Tufts University and holds an MBA in Finance and Entrepreneurial Management from The Wharton School at the University of Pennsylvania.

Table of Contents

James Crawford - Chief Operating Officer

Mr. Crawford, 41, was a founding member of Kino Interactive, LLC, and of AudioEye, Inc. Mr. Crawford's experience as an entrepreneur spans the entire life cycle of companies from start-up capital to compliance officer and director of reporting public companies. Prior to his involvement as Chief Operating Officer of the Company, Mr. Crawford served as a director and officer of Augme Technologies, Inc. beginning March 2006, and assisted the company in maneuvering through the initial challenges of acquisitions executed by the company through 2011 that established the company as a leading mobile marketing company in the United States. Mr. Crawford is experienced in public company finance and compliance functions. He has extensive experience in the area of intellectual property creation, management and licensing. Mr. Crawford also served on the board of directors Modavox and Augme Technologies, and as founder and managing member of Kino Digital, Kino Communications, and Kino Interactive.

Umesh Jani - Chief Technology Officer and SVP of Licensing

Mr. Jani, 41, manages various aspects of portfolio evaluation and licensing. Mr. Jani has extensive experience in patent monetization, strategic analysis, investment strategy and technology. Prior to joining the Company, from 2012 through 2014, Mr. Jani was Vice President and joint-CTO at IP Navigation Group, LLC (IPNav). His responsibilities at IPNav included intake analysis as well as campaign execution and management. Prior to joining IPNav, Mr. Jani was a critical part of several successful patent licensing campaigns where he provided strategic as well as technical guidance. He has worked as a systems engineer with broadband communications as well as display and image processing groups at a prestigious multi-national company where he was elected to the technical ladder in recognition of his technical contributions and leadership skills. Mr. Jani is also a registered patent agent, holds a master's degree in electrical engineering with specialization in communications and signal processing, and a bachelor's degree in electronics and telecommunications.

Enrique Sanchez Jr - Executive Vice President of Licensing and IP Counsel

As the Executive Vice President of Licensing and IP Counsel, Mr. Sanchez, 39, is responsible for implementing and managing the Company's monetization campaigns. Previously, from 2013 through 2014, he was a Director at IP Navigation Group, LLC, where he successfully assisted his clients in monetizing their patent portfolios, including formulating and executing monetization strategies, negotiating patent licenses, managing litigation and prosecution counsel, and performing diligence on patent portfolios. His legal experience includes patent litigation and patent prosecution in the fields of semiconductors, medical devices, power electronics, mobile devices, telecommunication systems and signal processing systems. He also has several years of experience working as an electrical engineer at companies such as Raytheon and SAIC, where he developed algorithms and systems to satisfy customer requirements. Mr. Sanchez served as a Cryptologic Officer in the United States Navy Reserve at Space and Naval Warfare Systems Command and Naval Security Group. Mr. Sanchez earned a J.D. from Texas Wesleyan University School of Law and a B.S. in Electrical Engineering with a minor in Mathematics from New Mexico State University. Mr. Sanchez is a member of the National Order of Barristers and the Honorable Barbara M.G. Lynn American Inn of Court.

Richard S. Chernicoff - Director

Richard Chernicoff, 50, has served as a director of Unwired Planet, Inc. since March 2014. Prior to joining the board of directors of Unwired Planet, Inc., Mr. Chernicoff was President of Tessera Intellectual Property Corp. from July 2011 to January 2013. Mr. Chernicoff was President

Edgar Filing: Marathon Patent Group, Inc. - Form 10-K

of Unity Semiconductor Corp. from December 2009 to July 2011. Prior to that, Mr. Chernicoff was with San Disk from 2003 to 2009 where as Senior Vice President, Business Development, Mr. Chernicoff was responsible for mergers and acquisitions and intellectual property matters. Previously, Mr. Chernicoff was a mergers and acquisitions partner in the Los Angeles office of Brobeck, Phleger & Harrison LLP from 2001 to 2003, and Mr. Chernicoff was a corporate lawyer in the Los Angeles office of Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP from 1995 to 2000. From 1993 to 1995 Mr. Chernicoff was a member of the staff of the United States Securities and Exchange Commission in Washington D.C.. Mr. Chernicoff began his career as a certified public accountant with Ernst & Young. Mr. Chernicoff has a B.S. in Business Administration from California State University Northridge and received a J.D. from St. John's University School of Law. The Board believes Mr. Chernicoff's qualifications to sit on the Board of Directors include his significant experience with mergers and acquisitions, intellectual property (acquisition, licensing and litigation) and leadership of business organizations.

Edward Kovalik Director

Edward Kovalik, 41, is the Chief Executive Officer and Managing Partner of KLR Group, which he co-founded in 2012. KLR Group is an investment bank specializing in the Energy sector. Mr. Kovalik manages the firm and focuses on structuring customized financing solutions for the firm's clients. He has over 16 years of experience in the financial services industry. Prior to founding KLR, Mr. Kovalik was Head of Capital Markets at Rodman & Renshaw, and headed Rodman's Energy Investment Banking team. Prior to Rodman, from 1999 to 2002, Mr. Kovalik was a Vice President at Ladenburg Thalmann & Co, where he focused on private placement transactions for public companies. Mr. Kovalik serves as a director on the board of River Bend Oil and Gas.

Table of Contents

William Rosellini - Director

William Rosellini, 35, is Founder and Chairman of Microtransponder Inc. and Rosellini Scientific, LLC. Dr. Rosellini previously served as the founding CEO of Microtransponder from 2006 to 2012 and Lexington Technology Group in 2012. During his tenures as CEO he has raised nearly \$30M in venture funding and \$10M in National Institutes of Health (NIH) grants. Dr. Rosellini has been named a MTBC Tech Titan and a GSEA Entrepreneur of the Year and has testified to Congress on the importance of non-dilutive funding for inventors and researchers. Dr. Rosellini holds a BA in economics from the University of Dallas, a J.D. from Hofstra Law, an MBA and MS of Accounting from the University of Texas, a MS of Computational Biology from Rutgers, a MS of Regulatory Science from USC and a MS of Neuroscience from University of Texas. The Board of Directors has determined that Dr. Rosellini's medical technology expertise and industry knowledge and experience will make him a valuable member of the Board of Directors.

Richard Tyler - Director

Richard Tyler, Age 58, has a background in private equity, venture capital and mergers and acquisitions. He has been serving as a Managing Director of Vulano Group, a leading technology and intellectual property development company since 2007. Prior to Vulano Group, he founded M2P Capital, LLC, a Denver based private equity firm, where he has served as partner since 2002. Prior to forming M2P Capital, he was a partner in Taleria Ventures, a venture firm engaged in early stage investing and start-up management. In 1988, he founded BACE Industries; a company that executed buy and build strategies in the manufacturing, distribution, business services and technology industries. In addition, he serves as a director and adviser to numerous private companies and is a director of The American Institute for Avalanche Research and Education, Colorado Outward Bound School and The American Mountain Guides Association. He graduated from the Colorado College in 1980 with a BA degree. The Board of Directors believes Mr. Tyler's qualifications to sit as a member on the Board of Directors includes his significant experience with mergers and acquisitions, intellectual property (acquisition, licensing and litigation) and leadership of business organizations.

Code of Business Conduct and Ethics

We have adopted a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics that applies to our principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer or controller or persons performing similar functions and also to other employees. Our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics can be found on the Company's website at www.marathonpg.com.

Family Relationships

There are no family relationships between any of our directors, executive officers or directors.

Involvement in Certain Legal Proceedings

Edgar Filing: Marathon Patent Group, Inc. - Form 10-K

During the past ten years, none of our officers, directors, promoters or control persons have been involved in any legal proceedings as described in Item 401(f) of Regulation S-K.

Term of Office

The Company instituted a staggered Board at the annual stockholders meeting held on September 16, 2014, whereat individual Members of the Board of Directors were elected into specific classes defining the termination of their terms. As such, Mr. Kovalik serves until the 2018 annual meeting of stockholders, Mr. Rosellini serves until the 2016 annual meeting of stockholders and Mr. Croxall serves until the 2017 annual meeting of stockholders. Mr. Chericoff, who replaced Mr. Smith on the Board, serves until the 2016 annual meeting of stockholders. Mr. Tyler, who replaced Mr. Stetson on the Board, serves until the 2018 annual meeting of stockholders.

Director Independence

Mr. Richard Tyler, Mr. Edward Kovalik and Dr. William Rosellini are independent directors based on the definition of independence in the listing standards of the NASDAQ Stock Market LLC (NASDAQ).

Table of Contents

Committees of the Board of Directors

Audit Committee

The Audit Committee has authority to review our financial records, engage with our independent auditors, recommend policies with respect to financial reporting to the Board of Directors and investigate all aspects of the our business. The members of the Audit Committee are Mr. Edward Kovalik, Mr. William Rosellini and Mr. Richard Tyler. All members of the Audit Committee currently satisfy the independence requirements and other established criteria of NASDAQ.

Compensation Committee

The Compensation Committee oversees our executive compensation and recommends various incentives for key employees to encourage and reward increased corporate financial performance, productivity and innovation. The members of the Compensation Committee are Mr. Edward Kovalik, Mr. William Rosellini and Mr. Richard Tyler. All members of the Compensation Committee currently satisfy the independence requirements and other established criteria of NASDAQ.

Nominating Committee

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee identifies and nominates candidates for membership on the Board of Directors, oversees Board of Directors committees, advises the Board of Directors on corporate governance matters and ay related matters required by the federal securities laws. The members of the Nominating Committee are Mr. Edward Kovalik, Mr. William Rosellini and Mr. Richard Tyler. All members of the Nominating Committee currently satisfy the independence requirements and other established criteria of NASDAQ.

Charters for all three committees are available on our website at www.marathonpg.com.

Changes in Nominating Procedures

None.

Board Leadership Structure and Role in Risk Oversight

Although we have not adopted a formal policy on whether the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer positions should be separate or combined, we have traditionally determined that it is in the best interests of the Company and its shareholders to partially combine these roles. Due to the small size of the Company, we believe it is currently most effective to have the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer positions partially combined.

Our Board of Directors is primarily responsible for overseeing our risk management processes. The Board of Directors receives and reviews periodic reports from management, auditors, legal counsel, and others, as considered appropriate regarding the Company's assessment of risks. The Board of Directors focuses on the most significant risks facing the Company and our general risk management strategy, and also ensures that risks undertaken by us are consistent with the Board of Directors' risk parameters. While the Board of Directors oversees the Company, our management is responsible for day-to-day risk management processes. We believe this division of responsibilities is the most effective approach for addressing the risks facing the Company and that our board leadership structure supports this approach.

Compliance with Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act

Section 16(a) of Exchange Act requires our executive officers and directors and persons who beneficially own more than 10% of a registered class of our equity securities to file with the Securities and Exchange Commission initial statements of beneficial ownership, statements of changes in beneficial ownership and annual statement of changes in beneficial ownership with respect to their ownership of the Company's securities, on Form 3, 4 and 5 respectively. Executive officers, directors and greater than 10% shareholders are required by the Securities and Exchange Commission regulations to furnish our Company with copies of all Section 16(a) reports they file.

Based solely on our review of the copies of such reports received by us, and on written representations by our officers and directors regarding their compliance with the applicable reporting requirements under Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act and without conducting any independent investigation of our own, we believe that with respect to the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015, our officers and directors, and all of the persons known to us to beneficially own more than 10% of our common stock filed all required reports on a timely basis.

Table of Contents**ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION**

The following summary compensation table sets forth information concerning compensation for services rendered in all capacities during 2015 and 2014 awarded to, earned by or paid to our executive officers. The value attributable to any option awards and stock awards reflects the grant date fair values of stock awards calculated in accordance with FASB Accounting Standards Codification Topic 718. As described further in Note 6 Stockholders Equity - Common Stock Options to our consolidated year-end financial statements, the assumptions made in the valuation of these option awards and stock awards is set forth therein.

Doug Croxall CEO and Chairman	2015	496,200	575,000	137,095	1,208,295
	2014	480,000	180,000	958,298	1,618,298
James Crawford COO	2015	185,002	18,700	31,989	235,691
	2014	185,002	61,975	331,313	578,290
Umesh Jani (3) CTO, SVP of Licensing	2015	225,000	43,500	45,698	314,198
	2014	37,500		453,445	490,945
Daniel Gelbtuch (5) Former CMO	2015	12,196		22,494	34,690
	2014	34,690		976,599	1,011,289
John Stetson (7) Former EVP, Secretary, CFO	2015	20,678	6,250		26,928
	2014	100,000	37,500	463,177	600,677

(1) Francis Knuettel II was appointed as Chief Financial Officer on May 15, 2014.

(2) Enrique Sanchez was appointed as the Senior Vice President of Licensing of the Company on November 3, 2014.

(3) Umesh Jani was appointed as the Chief Technology Officer and SVP of Licensing of the Company on October 31, 2014.

(4) Richard Chernicoff was appointed as the Interim General Counsel on April 7, 2015 in addition to his responsibilities as a Director.

(5) Daniel Gelbtuch was appointed as the Chief Marketing Officer on September 9, 2014 and he ceased to serve effective January 20, 2015.

(6) Richard Raisig was appointed as Chief Financial Officer on December 3, 2013 and resigned on April 25, 2014.

(7) John Stetson was appointed as President, Chief Operating Officer and a director on June 26, 2012. On November 14, 2012, John Stetson resigned as the Company's President and Chief Operating Officer and was re-appointed as the Chief Financial Officer and Secretary on January 28, 2013. Mr. Stetson ceased to serve as Chief Financial Officer, effective December 3, 2013 when we appointed Mr. Richard Raisig as our Chief Financial Officer, effective December 3, 2013. Mr. Stetson served as interim Chief Financial Officer from April 25, 2014 through May 15, 2014 and remained an Executive Vice President and Secretary through his resignation on February 6, 2014.

Employment Agreements

On November 14, 2012, we entered into an employment agreement with Doug Croxall (the "Croxxall Employment Agreement"), whereby Mr. Croxxall agreed to serve as our Chief Executive Officer for a period of two years, subject to renewal, in consideration for an annual salary of \$350,000 and an Indemnification Agreement. Additionally, under the terms of the Croxxall Employment Agreement, Mr. Croxxall shall be eligible for an annual bonus if we meet certain criteria, as established by the Board of Directors, subject to standard claw-back rights in the event of any restatement of any prior period earnings or other results as from which any annual bonus shall have been determined. As further consideration for his services, Mr. Croxxall received a ten-year option award to purchase an aggregate of 307,692 shares of our common stock with an exercise price of \$3.25 per share, which shall vest in twenty-four (24) equal monthly installments on each monthly anniversary of the date of the Croxxall Employment Agreement. On November 18, 2013, we entered into Amendment No. 1 to the Croxxall Employment Agreement ("Amendment"). Pursuant to the Amendment, the term of the Croxxall Agreement shall be extended to November 14, 2017, and Mr. Croxxall's annual base salary shall be increased to \$480,000, subject to a 3% increase every year, commencing on November 14, 2014.

Table of Contents

On January 28, 2013, we entered into an employment agreement with John Stetson, our Chief Financial Officer and Secretary (the Stetson Employment Agreement) whereby Mr. Stetson agreed to serve as our Chief Financial Officer for a period of one year, subject to renewal, in consideration for an annual salary of \$75,000. Additionally, Mr. Stetson shall be eligible for an annual bonus if we meet certain criteria, as established by the Board of Directors, subject to standard claw-back rights in the event of any restatement of any prior period earnings or other results as from which any annual bonus shall have been determined. As further consideration for his services, Mr. Stetson received a ten-year option award to purchase an aggregate of 76,923 shares of our common stock with an exercise price of \$3.25 per share, which shall vest in three (3) equal annual installments on the beginning on the first annual anniversary of the date of the Stetson Employment Agreement, provided Mr. Stetson is still employed by us. In the event of Mr. Stetson's termination prior to the expiration of his employment term under his employment agreement, unless he is terminated for Cause (as defined in the Stetson Employment Agreement), or in the event Mr. Stetson resigns without Good Reason (as defined in the Stetson Employment Agreement), we shall pay to him a lump sum in an amount equal to the sum of his (i) base salary for the prior 12 months plus (ii) his annual bonus amount during the prior 12 months. On February 6, 2015, our Board of Directors accepted Mr. Stetson's resignation from his position of Executive Vice President and Secretary and with no continuing obligation by the Company pursuant to the Stetson Employment Agreement.

On March 1, 2013, Mr. James Crawford was appointed as our Chief Operating Officer. Pursuant to the employment agreement with Mr. Crawford dated March 1, 2013 (Crawford Employment Agreement). Mr. Crawford shall serve as our Chief Operating Officer for two years. The Crawford Employment Agreement shall be automatically renewed for successive one year periods thereafter. Mr. Crawford shall be entitled to a base salary at an annual rate of \$185,000, with such upward adjustments as shall be determined by the Board of Directors in its sole discretion. Mr. Crawford shall also be entitled to an annual bonus if we meet or exceed criteria adopted by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors for earning bonuses. Mr. Crawford shall be awarded five-year stock options to purchase an aggregate of 76,923 shares of our common stock, with a strike price based on the closing price of our common stock on March 1, 2013, vesting in twenty-four (24) equal installments on each monthly anniversary of March 1, 2013, provided Mr. Crawford is still employed by us on each such date.

On November 18, 2013, we entered into a two-year executive employment agreement with Richard Raisig (Raisig Agreement), pursuant to which Mr. Raisig shall serve as our Chief Financial Officer, effective December 3, 2013. Pursuant to the terms of the Raisig Agreement, Mr. Raisig shall receive a base salary at an annual rate of \$250,000 and an annual bonus up to 100% of Mr. Raisig's base salary as determined by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors. As further consideration for Mr. Raisig's services, we agreed to issue Mr. Raisig ten-year stock options to purchase an aggregate of 230,000 shares of common stock, with a strike price of \$2.85 per share, vesting in twenty-four (24) equal installments on each monthly anniversary of the date of the Raisig Agreement, provided Mr. Raisig is still employed by us on each such date. On April 25, 2014, our Board of Directors accepted Mr. Raisig's resignation from his position of Chief Financial Officer.

On May 15, 2014, we entered into a three-year executive employment agreement with Francis Knuettel II (Knuettel Employment Agreement), pursuant to which Mr. Knuettel will serve as the Chief Financial Officer of the Company, effective May 15, 2014. Pursuant to the terms of the Knuettel Employment Agreement, Mr. Knuettel shall receive a base salary at an annual rate of \$250,000 and an annual bonus up to 75% of Mr. Knuettel's base salary as determined by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors. As further consideration for Mr. Knuettel's services, the Company agreed to issue Mr. Knuettel ten-year stock options to purchase an aggregate of 290,000 shares of common stock, with a strike price of \$4.165 per share, vesting in thirty-six (36) equal installments on each monthly anniversary of the date of the Knuettel Employment Agreement, provided Mr. Knuettel is still employed by the Company on each such date.

On September 9, 2014, we entered into a three-year executive employment agreement with Daniel Gelbtuch (Gelbtuch Employment Agreement) pursuant to which Mr. Gelbtuch shall serve as the Company's Chief Marketing Officer. Pursuant to the terms of the Employment Agreement, Mr. Gelbtuch shall receive a base salary at an annual rate of \$230,000.00 and an additional \$2,000.00 monthly remote operating expense. Mr. Gelbtuch shall be entitled to incentive compensation up to 80% of Mr. Gelbtuch's base salary as determined by the Compensation Committee of the Company. As further consideration for Mr. Gelbtuch's services, the Company agreed to issue Mr. Gelbtuch ten year stock options outside of the Company's 2012 Equity Incentive Plan to purchase an aggregate of 290,000 shares of common stock, with an exercise price of \$5.62 per share, which was the closing price on the day the Board of Directors approved such grant. The options shall vest in thirty-six (36) equal installments on each monthly anniversary of the date of the Gelbtuch Employment Agreement, provided Mr. Gelbtuch is still

Edgar Filing: Marathon Patent Group, Inc. - Form 10-K

employed by the Company on each such date. On January 20, 2015, Mr. Gelbtuch and the Company mutually agreed that Mr. Gelbtuch would cease to serve, effective immediately, as the Company's Chief Marketing Officer.

Table of Contents

On October 31, 2014, we entered into a two-year executive employment agreement with Umesh Jani (Jani Employment Agreement) pursuant to which Mr. Jani shall serve as the Company's Chief Technology Officer and SVP Licensing. Pursuant to the terms of the Jani Employment Agreement, Mr. Jani shall receive a base salary at an annual rate of \$225,000 and an annual incentive compensation of up to 100% of the base salary, as determined by the Compensation Committee. As further consideration for Mr. Jani's services, the Company agreed to issue him ten-year stock options under the Company's 2014 Equity Incentive Plan to purchase an aggregate of 100,000 shares of common stock, with an exercise price of \$6.40 per share. The options shall vest in thirty-six (36) equal installments on each monthly anniversary of the date of the Jani Employment Agreement, provided Mr. Jani is still employed by the Company on each such date.

On November 3, 2014, we entered into a two-year executive employment agreement (Sanchez Employment Agreement) with Rick Sanchez, effective October 31, 2014, pursuant to which Mr. Sanchez shall serve as the Company's Senior Vice President of Licensing. Pursuant to the terms of the Sanchez Employment Agreement, Mr. Sanchez shall receive a base salary at an annual rate of \$215,000 and an annual incentive compensation of up to 100% of the base salary, as determined by the Compensation Committee. As further consideration for Mr. Sanchez's services, the Company agreed to issue him ten-year stock options under the Company's 2014 Equity Incentive Plan to purchase an aggregate of 160,000 shares of common stock, with an exercise price of \$6.40 per share. The options shall vest in thirty-six (36) equal installments on each monthly anniversary of the date of the Sanchez Employment Agreement, provided Mr. Sanchez is still employed by the Company on each such date.

On April 7, 2015 (the Chernicoff Effective Date), the Company entered into a consulting agreement (the Consulting Agreement) with Richard Chernicoff, a member of the Company's Board of Directors, pursuant to which Mr. Chernicoff shall provide certain services to the Company, including serving as the interim General Counsel and interim General Manager of commercial product commercialization development. Pursuant to the terms of the Consulting Agreement, Mr. Chernicoff shall receive a monthly retainer of \$27,000 and a ten (10) year stock option to purchase 280,000 shares of the Company's common stock (the Award) pursuant to the Company's 2014 Equity Incentive Plan. The stock options shall have an exercise price of \$6.76 per share, the closing price of the Company's common stock on the date immediately prior to the Board of Directors approval of such stock options and the options shall vest as follows: 25% of the Award shall vest on the twelve month anniversary of the Effective Date and thereafter 2.083% on the 21st day of each succeeding calendar month for the following twelve months, provided Mr. Chernicoff continues to provide services (in addition to as a member of the Company's Board of Directors) at the time of vesting. The Award shall be subject in all respects to the terms of the 2014 Plan Equity Incentive Plan. Notwithstanding anything herein to the contrary, the remainder of the Award shall be subject to the following as an additional condition of vesting: (A) options to purchase 70,000 shares of the Company's common stock under the Award shall not vest at all unless the price of the Company's common stock while Mr. Chernicoff continues as an officer and/or director reaches \$8.99 and (B) options to purchase 70,000 shares of the Company's common stock under the Award shall not vest at all unless the price of the Company's common stock while Mr. Chernicoff continues as an officer and/or director reaches \$10.14.

Directors Compensation

The following summary compensation table sets forth information concerning compensation for services rendered in all capacities during 2015 and 2014 awarded to, earned by or paid to our directors. The value attributable to any warrant awards reflects the grant date fair values of stock awards calculated in accordance with FASB Accounting Standards Codification Topic 718. As described further in Note 6 Stockholders' Equity (Deficit) - Common Stock Warrants to our consolidated year-end financial statements, a discussion of the assumptions made in the valuation of these warrant awards.

Fees Earned or paid in cash	Stock awards	Option awards	Non-equity incentive plan compensation	Non-qualified deferred compensation earnings	All other compensation	Total
--------------------------------------	-----------------	------------------	---	---	---------------------------	-------

Edgar Filing: Marathon Patent Group, Inc. - Form 10-K

Name		(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)
Richard Chernicoff (1)							
	2015	20,923		60,742			81,665
	2014						
Edward Kovalik							
	2015			18,060			18,060
	2014		45,995	73,076			119,071
William Rosellini (4)							
	2015	53,125		18,060			71,185
	2014	14,875		50,026			64,901
Richard Tyler (2)							
	2015	23,270		55,868			79,138
	2014						
Stuart Smith (3)							
	2015						
	2014		45,995	50,026			96,021

Table of Contents

- (1) Richard Chernicoff was appointed as a Director on March 6, 2015. Does not include an accrued fee of \$9,000 as of December 31, 2015.
- (2) Richard Tyler was appointed as a Director on March 18, 2015. Does not include an accrued fee of \$10,875 as of December 31, 2015.
- (3) Stuart Smith resigned from his position as Director on March 3, 2015.
- (4) Does not include an accrued fee of \$12,750 as of December 31, 2015.

Employee Grants of Plan Based Awards and Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End

On August 1, 2012, our Board of Directors and stockholders adopted the 2012 Equity Incentive Plan, pursuant to which 1,538,462 shares of our common stock are reserved for issuance as awards to employees, directors, consultants, advisors and other service providers, after giving effect to the Reverse Split.

On September 16, 2014, our Board of Directors adopted the 2014 Equity Incentive Plan (the 2014 Plan), and only July 31, 2015, the shareholders approved the 2014 Plan at the Company's annual meeting. The 2014 Plan authorizes the Company to grant stock options, restricted stock, preferred stock, other stock based awards, and performance awards to purchase up to 2,000,000 shares of common stock. Awards may be granted to the Company's directors, officers, consultants, advisors and employees. Unless earlier terminated by the Board, the 2014 Plan will terminate, and no further awards may be granted, after September 16, 2024. As of December 31 2015, the following sets forth the option and stock awards to officers of the Company.

	Number of securities underlying unexercised options (1) (#) exercisable	Number of securities underlying unexercised options (#) unexercisable	Option Awards Equity incentive plan awards: Number of securities underlying unexercised unearned options (#) unexercisable		Option exercise price (\$)	Option expiration date	Stock awards		Equity incentive plan awards: Market or payout value of unearned shares, units or other rights that have not vested (\$)
			Number of shares of units of stock that have not vested (#)	Market value of shares of units of stock that have not vested (\$)			Equity incentive plan awards: Number of unearned shares, units or other rights that have not vested (#)		
Doug Croxall	307,692				\$ 3.25	11/14/22			
Doug Croxall	307,692				\$ 2.64	06/11/18			
Doug Croxall	200,000				\$ 2.97	11/18/23			
Doug Croxall	175,000	125,000			\$ 6.40	10/31/24			
Doug Croxall	12,500	137,500			\$ 1.86	10/14/25			
James Crawford	76,923				\$ 2.47	06/19/18			

Edgar Filing: Marathon Patent Group, Inc. - Form 10-K

James Crawford	9,900	20,100	\$ 4.17	05/14/24
James Crawford	46,667	33,333	\$ 6.40	10/31/24
James Crawford	2,917	32,083	\$ 1.86	10/14/25
Francis Knuettel II	153,056	136,944	\$ 4.17	05/05/24
Francis Knuettel II	58,333	41,667	\$ 6.40	10/31/24
Francis Knuettel II	8,333	91,667	\$ 1.86	10/14/25
Umesh Jani	58,333	41,667	\$ 6.40	10/31/24
Umesh Jani	13,200	26,800	\$ 4.17	05/14/19
Umesh Jani	30,000	10,000	\$ 5.05	06/15/19
Umesh Jani	4,167	45,833	\$ 1.86	10/14/25
Enrique Sanchez	13,200	26,800	\$ 4.17	05/14/19
Enrique Sanchez	93,333	66,667	\$ 6.40	10/31/24
Enrique Sanchez	4,167	45,833	\$ 1.86	10/14/25

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

None of our executive officers serves as a member of the Board of Directors or compensation committee of any other entity that has one or more of its executive officers serving as a member of our Board of Directors.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

The following table sets forth certain information regarding beneficial ownership of our common stock as of March 15, 2016: (i) by each of our directors, (ii) by each of the named executive officers, (iii) by all of our executive officers and directors as a group, and (iv) by each person or entity known by us to beneficially own more than five percent (5%) of any class of our outstanding shares. As of March 15, 2016, there were 14,967,141 shares of our common stock outstanding.

Table of Contents**Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownerships of March 15, 2016 (1)**

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner (1)	Common Stock	Options	Warrants	Total	Percentage of Common Stock (%)
Officers and Directors					
Doug Croxall (Chairman and CEO) (2)	615,384	1,071,634		1,687,018	10.5%
Francis Knuettel II (Chief Financial Officer) (3)		289,167		289,167	1.9%
James Crawford (Chief Operating Officer) (4)		125,554		125,554	*
Umesh Jani (Chief Technology Officer and SVP, Licensing) (5)		125,150		125,150	*
Enrique Sanchez Jr. (Senior Vice President, Licensing) (6)		116,817		116,817	*
Richard Chernicoff (Director) (7)		113,819		113,819	*
Edward Kovalik (Director) (8)		51,667		51,667	*
William Rosellini (Director) (9)		70,128		70,128	*
Richard Tyler (Director) (10)		31,667		31,667	*
All Directors and Executive Officers (nine persons)	615,384	1,995,602		2,610,986	15.4%
Persons owning more than 5% of voting securities					
Spangenberg Holder (11)	2,408,924		48,078	2,457,002	16.4%
Series B Convertible Preferred Stock	782,000			782,000	5.2%
Common Stock	1,626,924			1,626,924	10.9%
Warrants			48,078	48,078	*

* Less than 1%

(1) Amounts set forth in the table and footnotes gives effect to the two-for-one stock dividend that we effectuated on December 22, 2014. In determining beneficial ownership of our common stock as of a given date, the number of shares shown includes shares of common stock which may be acquired on exercise of warrants or options or conversion of convertible securities within 60 days of March 15, 2015. In determining the percent of common stock owned by a person or entity on March 15, 2015, (a) the numerator is the number of shares of the class beneficially owned by such person or entity, including shares which may be acquired within 60 days on exercise of warrants or options and conversion of convertible securities, and (b) the denominator is the sum of (i) the total shares of common stock outstanding on March 15, 2015 and (ii) the total number of shares that the beneficial owner may acquire upon conversion of securities and upon exercise of the warrants and options, subject to limitations on conversion and exercise as more fully described below. Unless otherwise stated, each beneficial owner has sole power to vote and dispose of its shares and such person's address is c/o Marathon Patent Group, Inc., 11100 Santa Monica Blvd., Ste. 380, Los Angeles, CA 90025.

Table of Contents

(2) Shares of Common Stock are held by Croxall Family Revocable Trust, over which Mr. Croxall holds voting and dispositive power. Represents options to purchase (i) 307,692 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$3.25 per share, (ii) 307,692 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$2.625 per share, (iii) 200,000 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$2.965 per share, (iv) 225,000 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$6.40 per share and (v) 31,250 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$1.86 per share. Excludes options to purchase (i) 75,000 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$6.40 per share and (ii) 118,750 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$1.86 per share, all of which do not vest and are not exercisable within 60 days of March 15, 2016.

(3) Represents options to purchase (i) 193,333 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$4.165 per share, (ii) 75,000 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$6.40 per share and (iii) 20,83 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$1.86 per share. Excludes options to purchase (i) 96,667 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$4.165 per share, (ii) 25,000 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$6.40 per share and (iii) 79,167 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$1.86 per share, all of which do not vest and are not exercisable within 60 days of March 15, 2016.

(4) Represents options to purchase (i) 38,462 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$2.47 per share, (ii) 19,800 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$4.165 per share, (iii) 60,000 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$6.40 per share and (iv) 7,292 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$1.86 per share. Excludes options to purchase (i) 10,200 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$4.165 per share, (ii) 20,000 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$6.40 per share and (iii) 27,708 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$1.86 per share, all of which do not vest and are not exercisable within 60 days of March 15, 2016.

(5) Represents options to purchase (i) 50,000 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$6.40 per share, (ii) 26,400 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$4.165 per share, (iii) 38,333 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$5.05 per share, and (iv) 10,417 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$1.86 per share. Excludes options to purchase (i) 50,000 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$6.40 per share, (ii) 13,600 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$4.165 per share, (iii) 1,667 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$5.05 per share and (iv) 39,583 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$1.86 per share, all of which do not vest and are not exercisable within 60 days of March 15, 2016.

(6) Represents options to purchase (i) 26,400 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$4.165 per share, (ii) 80,000 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$6.40 per share and (iii) 10,417 of Common Stock shares at an exercise price of \$1.86 per share. Excludes options to purchase (i) 13,600 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$4.165 per share, (ii) 80,000 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$6.40 per share and (iii) 39,583 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$1.86 per share, all of which do not vest and are not exercisable within 60 days of March 15, 2016.

(7) Represents options to purchase (i) 20,000 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$7.37 per share, (ii) 71,944 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$6.76 per share, (iii) 11,667 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$2.03 per share, and (iv) 10,208 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$1.86 per share. Excludes options to purchase (i) 208,056 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$6.76 per share, (ii) 8,333 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$2.03 per share and, (iii) 24,792 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$1.86 per share, all of which do not vest and are not exercisable within 60 days of March 15, 2016.

(8) Represents options to purchase (i) 20,000 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$3.295 per share, (ii) 20,000 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$7.445 per share and (iii) 11,667 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$2.03 per share. Excludes an option to purchase 8,333 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$2.03 per share that does not vest and is not exercisable within 60 days of March 15, 2016.

Edgar Filing: Marathon Patent Group, Inc. - Form 10-K

(9) Represents options to purchase (i) 15,385 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$3.25 per share, (ii) 23,077 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$2.625 per share, (iii) 20,000 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$7.445 per share and (iv) 11,667 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$2.03 per share. Excludes an option to purchase 8,333 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$2.03 per share that does not vest and is not exercisable within 60 days of March 15, 2016.

(10) Represents an option to purchase (i) 20,000 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$6.61 per share and (ii) an option to purchase 11,667 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$2.03 per share. Excludes an option to purchase 8,333 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$2.03 per share that does not vest and are is not exercisable within 60 days of March 15, 2016.

(11) Represents shares of Series B Convertible Preferred, warrants to purchase Common Stock and Common Stock by all entities owned or controlled by the Spangenberg family.

Table of Contents

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

Other than disclosed herein, there were no transactions during the year ended December 31, 2015 or any currently proposed transactions, in which the Company was or is to be a participant and the amount involved exceeds \$120,000, and in which any related person had or will have a direct or indirect material interest.

Director Independence

Our Board of Directors consists of five members. Our Board of Directors has determined that each of Mr. Richard Tyler, Mr. Edward Kovalik and Dr. William Rosellini are independent, as defined by SEC rules adopted pursuant to the requirements of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and in accordance with Rule 4200(a)(15) of the Marketplace Rules of the Nasdaq Stock Market, Inc.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES

During the years ended December 31, 2015, and 2014, we engaged SingerLewak LLP, as our independent auditor. For the years ended December 31, 2015, and 2014, we incurred fees as discussed below:

	Fiscal Year Ended	
	December 31, 2015	December 31, 2014
Audit fees	\$ 246,947	\$ 214,891
Audit related fees		
Tax fees	12,297	13,382
All other fees		

Audit fees consist of fees related to professional services rendered in connection with the audit of our annual financial statements, review of our quarterly financial statements and review of the Company's S-4 and registration statements.

Our policy is to pre-approve all audit and permissible non-audit services performed by the independent accountants. These services may include audit services, audit-related services, tax services and other services. Under our Audit Committee's policy, pre-approval is generally provided for particular services or categories of services, including planned services, project based services and routine consultations. In addition, the Audit Committee may also pre-approve particular services on a case-by-case basis. Our Audit Committee approved all services that our independent accountants provided to us in the past two fiscal years.

Table of Contents

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS

Exhibit No.	Description
2.1	Business Combination Agreement by and among Marathon Group SA, Uniloc Luxembourg SA, Uniloc Corporation Pty. Limited and Marathon Patent Group, Inc. dated as of August 14, 2015 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on August 14, 2015)
3.1	Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of the Company (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on December 9, 2011)
3.2	Amended and Restated Bylaws of the Company (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on December 9, 2011)
3.3	Certificate of Amendment to Articles of Incorporation (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on February 20, 2013)
3.4	Certificate of Amendment to Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on February 20, 2013)
3.5	Certificate of Designations of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock of Marathon Patent Group, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on May 7, 2014)
4.1	Form of Warrant Amendment Letter dated April 20, 2014 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Current Report on 8-K filed with the SEC on April 24, 2014)
10.1	Employment Agreement between the Company and Doug Croxall (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on November 20, 2012)
10.2	Form of Indemnification Agreement between the Company and Doug Croxall (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on November 20, 2012)
10.3	Form of Subscription Agreement (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on December 28, 2012)
10.4	Form of Warrant (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on December 28, 2012)
10.5	Form of Registration Rights Agreement (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on December 28, 2012)
10.6	Employment Agreement between the Company and James Crawford dated March 1, 2013 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on March 6, 2013)
10.7	Independent Director Agreement between the Company and William Rosellini dated March 8, 2013 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on March 11, 2013)
10.8	Merger Agreement dated as of April 22, 2013 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on April 26, 2013)
10.9	Form of Promissory Note (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on April 26, 2013)
10.1	Form of Registration Rights Agreement (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on April 26, 2013)
10.11	License Agreement (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on April 26, 2013)
10.12	Merger Agreement dated as of May 1, 2013 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on May 3, 2013)
10.13	Form of Securities Purchase Agreement (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on June 3, 2013)
10.14	Form of Warrant (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on June 3, 2013)
10.15	Form of Registration Rights Agreement (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on June 3, 2013)
10.16	

Edgar Filing: Marathon Patent Group, Inc. - Form 10-K

- Lease Agreement by and between Westwood Gateway II LLC and the Company dated October 14, 2013 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.54 to the Company's Annual Report on 10-K, filed with the SEC on March 31, 2014)
- 10.17 Amendment No. 1 to the Executive Employment Agreement between the Company and Doug Croxall dated November 18, 2013 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on November 22, 2013)
- 10.18 Executive Employment Agreement between the Company and Richard Raisig dated November 18, 2013 (Incorporated by

Edgar Filing: Marathon Patent Group, Inc. - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

- reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on November 22, 2013)
- 10.19 Consulting Agreement between the Company and Jeff Feinberg dated November 18, 2013 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on November 22, 2013)
- 10.20 Consulting Agreement between the Company and Jeff Feinberg dated November 18, 2013 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on November 22, 2013)
- 10.21 Independent Director Agreement between the Company and Edward Kovalik dated April 14, 2014 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on March 18, 2014)
- 10.22 Patent Purchase Agreement by and between Delphi Technologies, Inc. and Loopback Technologies, Inc. dated October 31, 2013 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.55 to the Company's Annual Report on 10-K, filed with the SEC on March 31, 2014)+
- 10.23 Form of Securities Purchase Agreement (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on May 7, 2014)
- 10.24 Form of PIPE Warrant (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on May 7, 2014)
- 10.25 Form of PIPE Registration Rights Agreement dated May 1, 2014 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on May 7, 2014)
- 10.26 Purchase Agreement between the Company, TechDev, SFF and DA Acquisition LLC dated May 2, 2014 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on May 7, 2014)
- 10.27 Purchase Agreement the Company, Granicus, SFF and IP Liquidity Ventures Acquisition LLC dated May 2, 2014 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on May 7, 2014)
- 10.28 Purchase Agreement the Company, TechDev, SFF and Sarif Biomedical Acquisition LLC dated May 2, 2014 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on May 7, 2014)
- 10.29 Pay Proceeds Agreement dated May 2, 2014 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on May 7, 2014)
- 10.30 Acquisition Registration Rights Agreement dated May 2, 2014 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on May 7, 2014)
- 10.31 Promissory Note between the Company, TechDev and SFF dated May 2, 2014 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on May 7, 2014)
- 10.32 Promissory Note between the Company, Granicus and SFF dated May 2, 2014 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on May 7, 2014)
- 10.33 Promissory Note between the Company, TechDev and SFF dated May 2, 2014 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.11 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on May 7, 2014)
- 10.34 Executive Employment Agreement by and between Marathon Patent Group, Inc. and Francis Knuettel II dated May 15, 2014 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on May 16, 2014)
- 10.35 Patent rights agreement between the Company and RPX Corporation (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, filed with the SEC on May 15, 2014)
- 10.36 Patent license agreement between Relay IP, Inc. and RPX Corporation (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, filed with the SEC on May 15, 2014)
- 10.37 Patent license agreement between Sampo IP, LLC and RPX Corporation (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, filed with the SEC on May 15, 2014)
- 10.38 Patent Purchase Agreement between TeleCommunication Systems, Inc. and CRFD Research, Inc. dated September 26, 2013 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.60 to the Company's Annual Report on 10-K/A, filed with the SEC on May 30, 2014)
- 10.39 Patent Purchase Agreement between Intergraph Corporation and Vantage Point Technology, Inc. dated September 25, 2013 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.61 to the Company's Annual Report on 10-K/A, filed with the SEC on May 30, 2014)
- 10.40 Advisory Services Agreement between the Company and IP Navigation Group, LLC dated May 13, 2013 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.62 to the Company's Annual Report on 10-K/A, filed with the SEC on May 30, 2014)
- 10.41 Amendment to the Patent Purchase Agreement by and between Delphi Technologies, Inc. and Loopback Technologies, Inc. dated December 16, 2013 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.59 to the Company's Annual Report on 10-K/A, filed with the SEC on June 12, 2014) +
- 10.42 Patent rights agreement between the Company and RPX Corporation. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Annual Report on 10-K/A, filed with the SEC on July 1, 2014)

Edgar Filing: Marathon Patent Group, Inc. - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

10.43	Executive Employment Agreement by and between Marathon Patent Group, Inc. and Daniel Gelbtuch dated September 9, 2014 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on 8-K, filed with the SEC on September 15, 2014)
10.44	Consulting Agreement by and between Marathon Patent Group, Inc. and GRQ Consultants, Inc. dated September 17, 2014 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on 8-K, filed with the SEC on September 19, 2014)
10.45	Marathon Patent Group, Inc. 2014 Equity Incentive Plan, dated September 16, 2014 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on 8-K, filed with the SEC on September 19, 2014)
10.46	Marathon Patent Group, Inc. 2014 Non-Employee Director Compensation Plan, as amended, dated September 16, 2014 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Current Report on 8-K, filed with the SEC on September 19, 2014)
10.47	Executive Employment Agreement by and between Marathon Patent Group, Inc. and Umesh Jani dated October 31, 2014 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on 8-K, filed with the SEC on November 6, 2014)
10.48	Executive Employment Agreement by and between Marathon Patent Group, Inc. and Rick Sanchez dated October 31, 2014 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Company's Quarterly Report on 10-Q, filed with the SEC on November 12, 2014)
10.49	Patent Purchase Agreement by and between Marathon Patent Group, Inc., Clouding Corp. and Clouding IP, LLC dated August 29, 2014 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Company's Current Report on 8-K, filed with the SEC on November 6, 2014)
10.50	Revenue Sharing and Securities Purchase Agreement by and among Marathon Patent Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries and DBD Credit Funding LLC dated January 29, 2015 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on 8-K, filed with the SEC on February 3, 2015) +
10.51	Note due July 29, 2018 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on 8-K, filed with the SEC on February 3, 2015)
10.52	Warrant to Purchase Common Stock dated January 29, 2015 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Current Report on 8-K, filed with the SEC on February 3, 2015)
10.53	Subscription Agreement between Marathon Patent Group, Inc. and DBD Credit Funding LLC dated January 29, 2015 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Company's Current Report on 8-K, filed with the SEC on February 3, 2015)
10.54	Security Agreement by and among Marathon Patent Group, Inc. and certain of its subsidiaries and DBD Credit Funding LLC dated January 29, 2015 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Company's Current Report on 8-K, filed with the SEC on February 3, 2015)+
10.55	Patent Security Agreement by Marathon Patent Group, Inc. and certain of its subsidiaries in favor of DBD Credit Funding LLC dated January 29, 2015 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to the Company's Current Report on 8-K, filed with the SEC on February 3, 2015)+
10.56	Lockup Agreement by and between DBD Credit Funding LLC and Marathon Patent Group, Inc. dated January 29, 2015 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to the Company's Current Report on 8-K, filed with the SEC on February 3, 2015)
10.57	Lockup Agreement by and between TechDev Holdings, LLC, Audrey Spangenberg, Erich Spangenberg, Granicus IP, LLC and Marathon Patent Group, Inc. dated January 29, 2015 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 to the Company's Current Report on 8-K, filed with the SEC on February 3, 2015)
10.58	Lockup Agreement by and between TechDev Holdings, LLC, Audrey Spangenberg, Erich Spangenberg, Granicus IP, LLC and Marathon Patent Group, Inc. dated January 29, 2015 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 to the Company's Current Report on 8-K, filed with the SEC on February 3, 2015)
10.59	Patent License Agreement by and among Marathon Patent Group, Inc. and certain of its subsidiaries and DBD Credit Funding LLC dated January 29, 2015 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9 to the Company's Current Report on 8-K, filed with the SEC on February 3, 2015)+
10.60	Guaranty Agreement by certain subsidiaries of Marathon Patent Group, Inc. in favor of DBD Credit Funding LLC dated January 29, 2015 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10 to the Company's Current Report on 8-K, filed with the SEC on February 3, 2015)
10.61	Consulting Agreement by and between Marathon Patent Group, Inc. and Richard Chernicoff dated April 7, 2015 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on 8-K, filed with the SEC on April 13, 2015)
14.1	Code of Business Conduct and Ethics (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 14.1 to the Company's Annual Report on 10-K, filed with the SEC on March 31, 2014)

Table of Contents

23.1	Consent of SingerLewak LLP*
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 *
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 *
32.1	Section 1350 Certification of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer*
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Calculation Linkbase Document
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Label Linkbase Document
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Presentation Linkbase Document
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Document

* Filed herewith.

+ Portions of these exhibits have been omitted pursuant to a confidential treatment request. This exhibit omits the information subject to this confidentiality request. Omitted portions have been filed separately with the SEC.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Date: March 30, 2016

MARATHON PATENT GROUP, INC.

By: /s/ Doug Croxall
 Name: Doug Croxall
 Title: Chief Executive Officer
 (Principal Executive Officer)

By: /s/ Francis Knuettel II
 Name: Francis Knuettel II
 Title: Chief Financial Officer
 (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Signature	Title	Date
/s/ Doug Croxall Doug Croxall	Chief Executive Officer and Chairman (Principal Executive Officer)	March 30, 2016
/s/ Francis Knuettel II Francis Knuettel II	Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)	March 30, 2016
/s/ Richard Chernicoff Richard Chernicoff	Director	March 30, 2016
/s/ Edward Kovalik Edward Kovalik	Director	March 30, 2016
/s/ William Rosellini William Rosellini	Director	March 30, 2016
/s/ Richard Tyler Richard Tyler	Director	March 30, 2016