

AIR LEASE CORP
Form DEF 14A
March 18, 2015

Use these links to rapidly review the document

[TABLE OF CONTENTS](#)

[Table of Contents](#)

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of
the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No.)

Filed by the Registrant ☒

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant ☐

Check the appropriate box:

- ☐ Preliminary Proxy Statement
- ☐ **Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))**
- ☒ Definitive Proxy Statement
- ☐ Definitive Additional Materials
- ☐ Soliciting Material under §240.14a-12

Air Lease Corporation

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- ☒ No fee required.
- ☐ Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.
 - (1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
 - (2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
 - (3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

Edgar Filing: AIR LEASE CORP - Form DEF 14A

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

(5) Total fee paid:

o Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

o Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount Previously Paid:

(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

Table of Contents

Air Lease Corporation

2000 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 1000N

Los Angeles, California 90067

(310) 553-0555

March 18, 2015

Dear Fellow Stockholder:

Your officers and directors join me in inviting you to attend the 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders at 7:30 a.m., Pacific time, on Wednesday, May 6, 2015, at Century Plaza Towers, 2029 Century Park East, Concourse Level, Conference Room A, Los Angeles, California 90067.

The expected items of business for the meeting are described in detail in the attached Notice of 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and Proxy Statement.

We look forward to seeing you on May 6th.

Sincerely,

Steven F. Udvar-Házy
Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

Table of Contents

NOTICE OF 2015 ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

- Time and Date: 7:30 a.m., Pacific time, on Wednesday, May 6, 2015
- Location: Century Plaza Towers, 2029 Century Park East, Concourse Level, Conference Room A, Los Angeles, California 90067
- Agenda:
- (1) Elect eight directors, each to serve for a one-year term;
 - (2) Ratify the appointment of KPMG LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for 2015;
 - (3) Advisory vote to approve named executive officer compensation; and
 - (4) Act upon such other matters as may properly come before the meeting.
- Record Date: You can vote at the meeting and at any postponement or adjournment of the meeting if you were a stockholder of record on March 16, 2015.
- Voting: Please vote as soon as possible, even if you plan to attend the meeting, to ensure that your shares will be represented. You do not need to attend the meeting to vote if you vote your shares before the meeting. If you are a record holder, you may vote your shares by mail, telephone or the Internet. If your shares are held by a broker or other nominee, you must follow the instructions of your broker or nominee to vote your shares.
- Annual Report: Copies of our 2014 Annual Report to Stockholders (the "Annual Report"), including audited financial statements, are being made available to stockholders concurrently with the accompanying proxy statement. We anticipate that these materials will first be made available to stockholders on or about April 2, 2015. You may also access our 2014 Annual Report on Form 10-K, which we have filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, on our website at <http://www.airleasecorp.com>.

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be Held on May 6, 2015: Our Proxy Statement and Annual Report are available online at <http://www.proxyvote.com>

By Order of the Board of Directors,

Carol H. Forsyte
*Executive Vice President, General Counsel, Corporate
Secretary and Chief Compliance Officer*

Los Angeles, California
March 18, 2015

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>GENERAL INFORMATION</u>	Page
<u>CORPORATE GOVERNANCE</u>	<u>1</u>
<u>Board of Directors</u>	<u>4</u>
<u>Director Independence</u>	<u>4</u>
<u>Executive Sessions of Non-Employee Directors</u>	<u>4</u>
<u>Committees of the Board of Directors</u>	<u>4</u>
<u>The Board of Directors' Role in Risk Oversight and Board Leadership Structure</u>	<u>4</u>
<u>Corporate Governance Guidelines and Code of Business Conduct</u>	<u>5</u>
<u>Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation</u>	<u>6</u>
<u>Consideration of Director Candidates</u>	<u>7</u>
<u>Communications with the Board of Directors</u>	<u>7</u>
<u>Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance</u>	<u>7</u>
<u>Director Compensation</u>	<u>8</u>
<u>Director Compensation Summary</u>	<u>8</u>
<u>ITEMS OF BUSINESS</u>	<u>9</u>
<u>Proposal 1: Election of Directors</u>	<u>10</u>
<u>Proposal 2: Ratification of Appointment of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	<u>10</u>
<u>Proposal 3: Advisory Vote to Approve Named Executive Officer Compensation</u>	<u>15</u>
<u>OWNERSHIP OF AIR LEASE CORPORATION CLASS A COMMON STOCK</u>	<u>15</u>
<u>EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u>	<u>16</u>
<u>Compensation Discussion and Analysis</u>	<u>20</u>
<u>Compensation Committee Report</u>	<u>20</u>
<u>Executive Compensation Tables</u>	<u>33</u>
<u>Employment Agreements and Arrangements and Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control</u>	<u>34</u>
<u>AUDIT-RELATED MATTERS</u>	<u>37</u>
<u>Audit Committee Report</u>	<u>47</u>
<u>Independent Auditor Fees and Services</u>	<u>47</u>

Edgar Filing: AIR LEASE CORP - Form DEF 14A

<u>Auditor Services Pre-Approval Policy</u>	<u>47</u>
<u>OTHER MATTERS</u>	<u>49</u>
<u>Certain Relationships and Related Person Transactions</u>	<u>49</u>
<u>Stockholder Proposals and Director Nominations for our 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders</u>	<u>49</u>
<u>Householding of Proxy Materials</u>	<u>50</u>

Table of Contents

Air Lease Corporation

2000 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 1000N
Los Angeles, California 90067
(310) 553-0555

**PROXY STATEMENT
for the
2015 ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS**

General Information

When and where is the Annual Meeting being held?

The Annual Meeting will be held on Wednesday, May 6, 2015, at 7:30 a.m., Pacific time, at Century Plaza Towers, 2029 Century Park East, Concourse Level, Conference Room A, Los Angeles, California 90067.

What is the purpose of this Proxy Statement?

The Board of Directors is providing you with this Proxy Statement to solicit your voting proxy for the Annual Meeting. It provides you with information to help you decide how you want your shares to be voted at the Annual Meeting. This Proxy Statement, the Notice of Annual Meeting and a form of proxy are first being made available to stockholders on or about April 2, 2015.

Who can vote at the Annual Meeting?

Holders of record of the 102,558,529 shares of our Class A Common Stock outstanding on March 16, 2015, which is the "record date" for the Annual Meeting, are entitled to one vote for each share held. There is no cumulative voting.

Who is a "holder of record"?

If your shares are registered directly in your name with our transfer agent, American Stock Transfer & Trust Company, you are considered a "holder of record". If your shares are held in a brokerage account, by a financial institution or by another holder of record, you are considered the "beneficial owner" of the shares, and they are considered held in "street name".

Who is paying the costs of soliciting proxies?

The Company is paying the costs of soliciting proxies on behalf of the Board of Directors. In addition to this Proxy Statement, our officers, directors and other employees may solicit proxies personally or in writing or by telephone for no additional compensation. We will, if requested, reimburse banks, brokers and other custodians and nominees for their reasonable expenses in providing these materials to their beneficial holders. We have hired D.F. King & Co., Inc., a professional advisory firm, to assist us in proxy solicitation. We will pay D.F. King & Co., Inc. \$10,500 plus reimbursement of out-of-pocket expenses.

Edgar Filing: AIR LEASE CORP - Form DEF 14A

Table of Contents

What is the quorum requirement for the Annual Meeting?

For stockholders to take action at the Annual Meeting, a majority of the shares of our Class A Common Stock outstanding on the record date must be present or represented at the Annual Meeting. Abstentions and broker non-votes are counted for this purpose.

What is a broker non-vote?

A broker non-vote occurs when a broker does not receive voting instructions from a beneficial owner and does not have discretionary authority under applicable rules to vote on a proposal. At the Annual Meeting, we understand that brokers have discretionary authority to vote only on the proposal to ratify the appointment of our independent registered public accounting firm.

How do I cast my vote?

Holders of record may vote by mail, telephone or the Internet. Holders of record may also vote in person at the Annual Meeting. If you are a beneficial owner, your broker should send you voting instructions. Beneficial owners who want to attend and vote in person at the Annual Meeting will need to obtain a legal proxy from their broker and present it with their ballot.

How can I change my vote?

Holders of record can revoke a prior proxy vote by submitting a later-dated proxy, by voting in person at the Annual Meeting, or by sending a letter to our Secretary at the address for our principal executive office listed on the cover page of this Proxy Statement so that it arrives no later than the close of business on May 5, 2015. If you are a beneficial owner, you will need to contact your broker to obtain instructions on how to change your vote.

Who are the proxies?

The named proxies for the Annual Meeting are Steven F. Udvar-Házy and Carol H. Forsyte, and they will follow all properly submitted voting instructions. They will vote as the Board of Directors recommends as to any submitted instructions that do not direct how to vote on any item of business, and will vote in their judgment on any other matters properly presented at the Annual Meeting.

What are the votes required to approve the proposals?

Election of Directors. The Company's Bylaws provide that to be elected, a director nominee must receive the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the shares present in person or by proxy, and entitled to vote, at the Annual Meeting. Abstentions will have the same effect as a vote "Against" the director nominee. Broker non-votes will have no effect on the outcome of the director election.

Ratification of Appointment of KPMG as our Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm. The affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the shares present in person or by proxy and entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting will be required to ratify the selection of KPMG LLP. Abstentions will have the same effect as a vote "Against" the proposal.

Advisory Vote to Approve Named Executive Officer Compensation. With regard to the stockholder advisory vote on executive compensation, the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the shares present in person or by proxy and entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting will be required for the advisory approval. Abstentions will have the same effect as a vote "Against" the proposal. Broker non-votes will have no effect on the outcome of the advisory vote. The results of this vote are not binding on the Board of Directors.

Table of Contents

Are there any dissenters' rights available?

There are no rights of appraisal or other rights of dissenters with respect to any matter to be acted upon at the Annual Meeting.

Who will serve as the inspector of the election?

We have engaged First Coast Results, Inc. to count the votes and act as an independent inspector of the election.

How can I obtain directions to be able to attend the meeting and vote in person?

You may request directions to the location of the Annual Meeting by sending a letter to our Secretary at the address for our principal executive office listed on the cover page of this Proxy Statement.

Table of Contents

Corporate Governance

Board of Directors

Our Board of Directors is currently composed of eight members: Matthew J. Hart, Cheryl Gordon Krongard, Marshall O. Larsen, Robert A. Milton, John L. Plueger, Ian M. Saines, Ronald D. Sugar and Steven F. Udvar-Házy. Our directors serve until their successors are duly elected and qualified at the stockholders' annual meeting each year. Certain information regarding our directors is set forth below in *Proposal 1: Election of Directors*. There are no family relationships among any of our directors or executive officers.

Our Board of Directors held five meetings in 2014. Each of the directors standing for re-election at the Annual Meeting attended at least 75% of the meetings of the Board of Directors and the committees of the Board of Directors on which he or she served. We expect but do not require our directors to attend the annual meeting of stockholders each year. All of our directors who stood for election at the 2014 annual meeting attended that meeting.

Director Independence

Each director will qualify as "independent" pursuant to the New York Stock Exchange (the "NYSE") listing standards only if our Board of Directors affirmatively determines that he or she has no material relationship with us, either directly or as a partner, stockholder or officer of an organization that has a relationship with us. Accordingly, our Board of Directors has affirmatively determined that six of our eight current directors, Mr. Hart, Ms. Krongard, Mr. Larsen, Mr. Milton, Mr. Saines and Dr. Sugar, were independent in accordance with the NYSE rules during the periods in 2014 and 2015 that they served as directors of our Board of Directors. Mr. Milton serves as our lead independent director. Messrs. Udvar-Házy and Plueger are not independent because they are employees of the Company.

Executive Sessions of Non-Employee Directors

As part of the Board of Directors' regularly scheduled meetings, the non-employee directors meet in executive session. Any non-employee director can request additional executive sessions. Mr. Milton, as lead independent director, schedules and chairs the executive sessions.

Committees of the Board of Directors

Our Board of Directors has three standing committees: an audit committee, a compensation committee and a nominating and corporate governance committee. Our Board of Directors has determined that each of these committees is composed solely of independent directors under the applicable NYSE rules. Our Board of Directors has adopted a charter for each committee that is available on our website at www.airleasecorp.com.

Audit Committee. Our audit committee consists of Messrs. Hart, Milton and Saines. Mr. Hart is the Chairman of the audit committee. Our audit committee's duties include, but are not limited to, monitoring (1) the integrity of the financial statements of the Company, (2) the independent registered public accounting firm's qualifications and independence, (3) the performance of our internal audit function and independent registered public accounting firm, (4) our compliance with legal and regulatory requirements and (5) our overall risk profile. Our audit committee is a separately designated standing audit committee as defined in Section 3(a)(58)(A) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

Our audit committee must at all times be composed exclusively of directors who are "financially literate" as defined under the NYSE listing standards. The audit committee also must have at least one member who has past employment experience in finance or accounting, requisite professional

Table of Contents

certification in accounting or other comparable experience or background that results in the individual's financial sophistication, and who qualifies as an "audit committee financial expert," as defined under the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"). All of our audit committee members are financially literate, and our Board of Directors has determined that Mr. Hart is our audit committee financial expert. Mr. Hart serves on the audit committees of three other public companies and, as required by NYSE rules, our Board has determined that such service does not impair his ability to effectively serve on the Company's audit committee. In addition to being "independent" under NYSE rules, each member of our audit committee also meets the independence requirements of the SEC for purposes of serving on an audit committee.

Our audit committee held four meetings in 2014.

Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. Our nominating and corporate governance committee consists of Messrs. Milton, Hart, and Larsen and Dr. Sugar. Mr. Milton is the Chairman of the nominating and corporate governance committee. Mr. Larsen was appointed to the committee in May 2014 when he was elected to the Board of Directors. Our nominating and corporate governance committee monitors the implementation of sound corporate governance principles, practices and risks and will, among other things: (1) identify individuals believed to be qualified to become a member of our Board of Directors and select or recommend candidates for all directorships to be filled, (2) annually review and recommend changes, as appropriate, to our corporate governance guidelines and (3) oversee the evaluation of our Board of Directors. Our nominating and corporate governance committee also reviews and approves all related party transactions in accordance with our policies with respect to such matters.

Our nominating and corporate governance committee held four meetings in 2014.

Compensation Committee. Our compensation committee consists of Dr. Sugar, Ms. Krongard and Mr. Milton. Dr. Sugar is the Chairman of the compensation committee. Our compensation committee has overall responsibility for evaluating, and approving or recommending, all of our compensation plans, policies and programs as they affect the executive officers, including the Chief Executive Officer, as well as overseeing the evaluation of management and succession planning for executive officer positions and to review risk exposures related to its areas of responsibility. The compensation committee also oversees preparation of the compensation discussion and analysis to be included in our annual proxy statement, recommends to the Board of Directors whether to so include the compensation discussion and analysis and provides an accompanying report to be included in our annual proxy statement.

The compensation committee has engaged Exequity Inc., a nationally recognized independent compensation consultant, to provide advice with respect to compensation decisions for the non-employee directors of our Board of Directors and our executive officers.

In addition to being "independent" under NYSE rules, each member of our compensation committee also qualifies as a "non-employee director" under SEC rules and as an "outside director" under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code for purposes of serving on a compensation committee.

Our compensation committee held four meetings in 2014.

The Board of Directors' Role in Risk Oversight and Board Leadership Structure

The Board of Directors has delegated risk oversight responsibilities to the audit committee, except for risks relating to executive compensation. In accordance with its charter, the audit committee is responsible for monitoring the Company's policies and practices with respect to risk assessment and risk management. The audit committee periodically meets with our senior executives to discuss, among other things, material risks to our business. The audit committee also periodically meets with

Table of Contents

representatives of our independent registered public accounting firm. The Chairman of the audit committee reports to the full Board of Directors regarding material risks as deemed appropriate.

The compensation committee provides oversight with respect to risks that may arise from our compensation arrangements and policies. This is accomplished on an ongoing basis through the compensation committee's review and approval of specific arrangements and policies to ensure that they are consistent with our overall compensation philosophy and our business goals. The compensation committee periodically discusses any risk-related concerns with senior management and with its outside compensation consultant. The Chairman of the compensation committee will report to the full Board of Directors regarding any material risks as deemed appropriate. In view of this oversight and based on our ongoing assessment, we do not believe that our present employee compensation arrangements, plans, programs or policies are likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company.

The Board of Directors believes that its governance structure supports the Board's role in risk oversight. Independent directors chair each of the Board committees responsible for risk oversight. The Company has a lead independent director who facilitates communication between management and directors, and all directors are involved in the review of key enterprise risks. Our Board of Directors has determined that the combined role of Chairman and Chief Executive Officer provides strong and unambiguous leadership in our relationship-driven industry, appropriately balanced by independent oversight of management through the presence of our lead independent director and the governance structure of our Board of Directors described above.

Corporate Governance Guidelines and Code of Business Conduct

Corporate Governance Guidelines. Our Board of Directors has adopted Corporate Governance Guidelines to assist the Board of Directors in the exercise of its duties and responsibilities and to serve the best interests of the Company and our stockholders. The Guidelines are intended to serve as a flexible framework for the conduct of the Board of Directors' business and not as a set of legally binding obligations. The Guidelines describe the Board of Directors' responsibilities, the qualification criteria for serving as a director, and standards for the conduct of meetings and establishing and maintaining committees. The Guidelines also confirm that the directors will have full and free access to officers and employees of the Company and have authority to retain independent advisors as necessary and appropriate in carrying out their activities. In addition, the Guidelines establish frameworks for director compensation, director orientation and continuing education, and an annual evaluation of the Board and its committees and of the Guidelines. Finally, the Guidelines charge the compensation committee with oversight of management evaluation and succession, and detail the Company's policies regarding confidentiality and communications between our Board of Directors and the press and media on matters pertaining to the Company. Our Corporate Governance Guidelines are available on our website at www.airleasecorp.com.

Code of Business Conduct and Ethics. Our Board of Directors has adopted a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics that applies to all of our directors, employees and officers. Among other things, the Code of Business Conduct and Ethics is intended to ensure fair and accurate financial reporting, to promote ethical conduct and compliance with applicable laws and regulations, to provide guidance with respect to the handling of ethical issues, to foster a culture of honesty and accountability and to deter wrongdoing. It also requires disclosure to us of any situation, transaction or relationship that may give rise to any actual or potential conflict of interest. Such conflicts must be avoided unless approved by our nominating and corporate governance committee. The Code of Business Conduct and Ethics prohibits our employees, officers and directors from taking, or directing a third party to take, a business opportunity that is discovered through the use of our property. A copy of our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics is available on our website at www.airleasecorp.com.

Table of Contents

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

None of the members of our compensation committee has at any time been one of our officers or employees. None of our executive officers serves, or in the past year has served, as a member of the board of directors or the compensation committee of any entity that has one or more executive officers who serve on our Board of Directors or compensation committee.

Consideration of Director Candidates

Our nominating and corporate governance committee is responsible for identifying and evaluating director candidates based on the perceived needs of the Board of Directors at the time. Among other attributes, our nominating and corporate governance committee will consider a director candidate's diversity of background and personal experience. In this context, diversity may encompass a candidate's educational and professional history, community or public service, expertise or knowledge base and certain unique personal characteristics, as well as the candidate's race, ethnicity, national origin and gender. The nominating and corporate governance committee does not have a formal policy specifying how diversity of background and personal experience should be applied in identifying or evaluating director candidates, and a candidate's background and personal experience, while important, does not necessarily outweigh other attributes or factors the nominating and corporate governance committee considers in evaluating candidates.

The most important characteristic of any director candidate is his or her ability to faithfully represent the interests of our stockholders. Other important qualities include the candidate's integrity, judgment and independence of thought; an absence of conflicting time commitments; financial literacy; leadership experience; and a fit of abilities and personality that helps build an effective, collegial and responsive Board of Directors.

Any stockholder may recommend a director candidate for our nominating and corporate governance committee to consider by submitting the candidate's name and qualifications to us in care of the Secretary at the address for our principal executive office listed on the cover page of this Proxy Statement. Director candidates recommended by a stockholder are considered in the same manner as any other candidates, although the nominating and corporate governance committee may prefer candidates who are personally known to the existing directors and whose reputations are highly regarded. Our nominating and corporate governance committee has not retained professional search firms to assist it in recruiting potential director candidates.

Our bylaws provide that stockholders of record seeking to nominate candidates for election as directors at our annual meeting of stockholders (or to bring other business before our annual meeting of stockholders) may do so by providing timely notice of their intent in writing. To be timely, the notice from the stockholder of record must be delivered to the Secretary at our principal executive office not less than 90 days nor more than 120 days prior to the annual meeting. Our bylaws also specify certain requirements as to the form and content of the necessary notice. For more information, see the section below titled *Stockholder Proposals and Director Nominations for our 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders*.

Communications with the Board of Directors

Stockholders and any other interested parties who wish to communicate with the Board of Directors or an individual director, including our lead independent director, may send a letter to the Secretary at the address for our principal executive office listed on the cover page of this Proxy Statement. The mailing envelope must contain a clear notation indicating that the enclosed letter is a "Board Communication" or "Director Communication". All such letters must clearly state the author's interest in the Company and whether the intended recipients are all members of the Board of

Table of Contents

Directors or just certain specified individual directors. The Secretary will make copies of all such letters and circulate them to the appropriate director or directors.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Based solely on written representations furnished to us from reporting persons and our review of Forms 3, 4 and 5 and any amendments thereto furnished to us, we believe all such Forms required to be filed during 2014 under Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, were filed on a timely basis.

Director Compensation

Our Board of Directors sets non-employee director compensation based on recommendations from the compensation committee. Messrs. Udvar-Házy and Plueger do not receive separate compensation for their service on our Board of Directors. The compensation committee's independent compensation consultant assists in obtaining market information and designing various aspects of our compensation program for the directors.

With respect to 2014, we provided the non-employee members of our Board of Directors with an annual retainer in the amount of \$80,000 payable in quarterly installments. In addition, the Chairmen of our compensation committee and nominating and corporate governance committee each received an additional annual retainer of \$20,000, while the other members of our compensation committee and nominating and corporate governance committee each received an additional annual retainer of \$10,000. The Chairman of our audit committee received an additional annual retainer of \$35,000, while the other members of the audit committee each received an additional annual retainer of \$15,000. Our lead independent director received an additional annual retainer of \$50,000. As a matter of policy each director could elect to have his or her retainer paid in cash or shares of our Class A Common Stock, or a combination thereof. During 2014 three of our directors elected to have one or more quarterly retainer fees paid in shares of our Class A common stock. We reimbursed directors for travel and lodging expenses incurred in connection with their attendance at meetings. We also have entered into agreements with each of our non-employee directors to provide them with indemnification and advancement of expenses to supplement that provided under our certificate of incorporation and bylaws, subject to certain requirements and limitations.

Each non-employee director who joins our Board of Directors receives an initial grant of restricted stock units ("RSUs") to be settled in shares of our Class A Common Stock ("Initial Director Grant"). On May 8, 2014, the aggregate value of the Initial Director Grant was increased from \$120,000 to \$180,000.

Thereafter, each year our non-employee directors receive an annual RSU award to be settled in shares of Class A Common Stock (the "Annual Director Grant"). Effective May 7, 2014, the aggregate value of the Annual Director Grant was increased from \$80,000 to \$120,000.

The value of all grants of RSUs is based on the closing price of our Class A Common Stock on the date of grant. All RSUs awarded to our non-employee directors vest in full on the first anniversary of the grant date, and if the Board of Directors service of such a director terminates for any reason, other than a change in control, the RSUs will vest on a daily prorated basis according to the number of days between the grant date and the termination of service, divided by 365. If the service terminates following a change in control, the RSUs will vest in full. Beginning May 7, 2014, the Initial Director Grants and the Annual Director Grants were, or will be, made pursuant to the Air Lease Corporation 2014 Equity Incentive Plan or any successor plan.

Effective January 1, 2015, each director may defer the receipt of his or her Annual Director Grant shares beyond the one year vesting period. Annually directors may elect to defer his or her shares until

Edgar Filing: AIR LEASE CORP - Form DEF 14A

Table of Contents

separation from service. A director may also elect a deferral period of five years or ten years from the date of grant, provided that shares will be distributed upon a separation from service, a change of control or at death, if earlier than the elected deferral date.

On May 7, 2014, each non-employee director received the Annual Director Grant, other than Mr. Larsen who received an Initial Director Grant of RSUs upon election to the Board of Directors.

Director Compensation Summary

The following table sets forth compensation paid to or earned by the individuals who served as non-employee directors of our Company during 2014.

Name	Fees earned or paid in cash \$(1)	Stock Awards \$(2)	Total (\$)
Mr. Hart	\$ 125,000	\$ 120,000	\$ 245,000
Ms. Krongard(3)	\$ 90,000	\$ 120,000	\$ 210,000
Mr. Larsen(4)	\$ 67,500	\$ 120,000	\$ 187,500
Mr. Milton	\$ 175,000	\$ 120,000	\$ 295,000
Mr. Saines(5)	\$ 95,000	\$ 120,000	\$ 215,000
Dr. Sugar	\$ 110,000	\$ 120,000	\$ 230,000

(1) *Fees Earned or Paid in Cash:* The amount shown for each non-employee director is composed of his or her annual retainer fees and committee and/or Chairmanship fees. During 2014, Ms. Krongard, Mr. Larsen and Mr. Saines elected to receive all or a portion of their annual cash retainer or other cash fees in the form of shares of Class A Common Stock, round down to the nearest whole share. See footnotes 3, 4 and 5 below, respectively.

(2) *Stock Awards:* On May 7, 2014, each of the non-employee directors with the exception of Mr. Larsen was granted an Annual Director Grant of RSUs covering 3,207 shares. Mr. Larsen was granted an Initial Director Grant of RSUs covering 3,207 shares. Each of the stock awards vests in full on May 7, 2015. There were no other outstanding equity awards for our non-employee directors on December 31, 2014.

The dollar amounts shown for these stock awards reflect \$37.41 per share which is the grant date fair value of one share of Class A Common Stock computed in accordance with GAAP. Each RSU represents a contingent right to receive one share of our Class A Common Stock.

(3) Ms. Krongard elected to receive shares of Class A Common Stock in lieu of her annual cash retainer or other cash fees as follows: 601 shares at \$37.41 per share on May 7, 2014; 635 shares at \$35.41 per share on August 6, 2014 and 613 shares at \$36.67 per share on November 4, 2014.

(4) Mr. Larsen elected to receive shares of Class A Common Stock in lieu of his annual cash retainer or other cash fees as follows: 601 shares at \$37.41 per share on May 7, 2014; 635 shares at \$35.41 per share on August 6, 2014 and 613 shares at \$36.67 per share on November 4, 2014.

(5) Mr. Saines elected to receive shares of Class A Common Stock in lieu of his annual cash retainer or other cash fees as follows: 670 shares at \$35.41 per share on August 6, 2014 and 647 shares at \$36.67 per share on November 4, 2014.

Table of Contents

Director Stock Ownership

Our Board of Directors has adopted stock ownership guidelines for all non-employee directors. Each non-employee director has five years from the time he becomes subject to these guidelines to achieve ownership of Class A Common Stock equivalents with an aggregate market value equal to three times the amount of the then current cash retainer fee for service on our Board of Directors. For a non-employee director, Class A Common Stock equivalents are shares of Class A Common Stock personally owned by the director, shares of Class A Common Stock underlying vested RSUs awarded to a director and shares of Class A Common Stock underlying unvested RSUs awarded to a director that are subject to time-based vesting only. All directors have met the guidelines.

Items of Business

Proposal 1: Election of Directors

At the Annual Meeting, the Board of Directors is recommending to stockholders that Mr. Matthew J. Hart, Ms. Cheryl Gordon Krongard, Mr. Marshall O. Larsen, Mr. Robert A. Milton, Mr. John L. Plueger, Mr. Ian M. Saines, Dr. Ronald D. Sugar and Mr. Steven F. Udvar-Házy each be elected as a director to serve for a one-year term ending at the 2016 annual meeting of stockholders. Each of the nominees named below is currently a director and was elected at the Annual Meeting held on May 7, 2014. Each nominee has consented to be nominated and has agreed to serve as a director if elected. Should any of these individuals become unable to serve as a director prior to the Annual Meeting, the proxies for the Annual Meeting will, unless otherwise directed, vote for the election of such other individual as the Board of Directors may recommend, unless the Board in its discretion reduces the number of directors.

Under our bylaws, the election of each director nominee will require the affirmative vote of a majority of shares of Class A Common Stock present or represented, and entitled to vote, at the Annual Meeting. Abstentions will have the same effect as a vote "Against" the director nominee. Broker non-votes will have no effect on the outcome of the director election.

The Board of Directors recommends that you vote "FOR" the election to the Board of Directors of each of the eight nominees.

Edgar Filing: AIR LEASE CORP - Form DEF 14A

Table of Contents

A summary of each nominee's principal occupation, recent professional experience, directorships at other public companies for at least the past five years, and certain other qualifications, is provided below:

Matthew J. Hart **Retired President and Chief Operating Officer of Hilton Hotels Corporation**

Age: 62
Director since May 2010

Board Committees

Other Public Company Directorships

Audit

American Airlines Group Inc.

Nominating and Corporate
Governance

B. Riley Financial, Inc. (formerly known as Great
American Group, Inc.)

Trustee, American Homes 4 Rent

Mr. Hart served as President and Chief Operating Officer of Hilton Hotels Corporation, a global hospitality company, from May 2004 until the buyout of Hilton by a private equity firm in October 2007. Mr. Hart also served as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Hilton from 1996 to 2004. Prior to joining Hilton, Mr. Hart served as the Senior Vice President and Treasurer of The Walt Disney Company and Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer for Host Marriott Corp. He was a director of US Airways Group, Inc. from 2006 until its December 2013 merger with American Airlines Group Inc. (formerly AMR Corporation).

Qualifications:

Mr. Hart possesses significant executive experience in the hotel industry and currently serves on the board of directors of a major U.S. airline. Mr. Hart provides our Board of Directors with an important combination of management, airline industry and financial expertise. His experience as the chief financial officer of two Fortune 500 companies, and his service on the audit committees of three other public companies, make him instrumental in helping our Board of Directors implement business and financial strategy.

Cheryl Gordon Krongard **Private Investor**

Age: 59
Director since
December 2013

Board Committee

Other Public Company Directorships

Compensation

Legg Mason, Inc.

Ms. Krongard is engaged in private investment activities. Ms. Krongard was a senior partner of Apollo Management, L.P., a private investment company, from January 2002 to December 2004. From 1994 to 2000, she served as the Chief Executive Officer of Rothschild Asset Management and as Senior Managing Director for Rothschild North America. Additionally, she served as a director of Rothschild North America, Rothschild Asset Management, Rothschild Asset Management BV, and Rothschild Realty Inc. and as Managing Member of Rothschild Recovery Fund. Ms. Krongard also served as a director of US Airways Group, Inc. from 2003 until its December 2013 merger with American Airlines Group, Inc. (formerly AMR Corporation) and of Educate, Inc. Ms. Krongard was elected a lifetime governor of the Iowa State University Foundation in 1997 and has served as Chairperson of its Investment Committee. She also is a member of the Deans Advisory Council, Iowa State University College of Business.

Qualifications:

Ms. Krongard brings substantial asset management expertise and leadership experience serving as a senior executive at large, complex asset management organizations. Her strategic planning experience and airline experience gained as a director of a public company is a key resource to our Board of Directors for financial investments and business strategy.

Edgar Filing: AIR LEASE CORP - Form DEF 14A

Table of Contents

Marshall O. Larsen	Former Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of Goodrich Corporation
---------------------------	---

Age: 66
Director since May 2014

Board Committee

Nominating and Corporate
Governance

Other Public Company Directorships

Becton, Dickinson and Company

Lowe's Companies, Inc.

United Technologies Corporation

Mr. Larsen served as Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of Goodrich Corporation, a supplier of systems and services to the aerospace and defense industry, from 2003 until his retirement in July 2012 when the company was acquired by United Technologies Corporation. He was elected as President and Chief Operating Officer of Goodrich in February 2002, and as a director in April 2002. From 1995 through January 2002, Mr. Larsen served as Executive Vice President of Goodrich and President and Chief Operating Officer of Goodrich Aerospace division of Goodrich. Mr. Larsen joined Goodrich in 1977. Mr. Larsen is a former director of the Federal Reserve Bank of Richmond and former Chairman of the U.S. Aerospace Industries Association. He is active in numerous community activities and is a member of the Krannert School of Management Advisory Board, Purdue University.

Qualifications:

Mr. Larsen brings substantial business and leadership experience as the chairman and chief executive officer of a publicly-traded company for nine years including insights in governance, regulatory and management issues facing public companies. His in-depth knowledge of the aerospace industry and the conditions that affect the industry significantly benefits the discussions of our Board of Directors.

Robert A. Milton	Former Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of ACE Aviation Holdings, Inc.
-------------------------	---

Age: 54
Director since April 2010

Board Committees

Audit

Compensation

Other Public Company Directorships

AirAsia Berhad

Edgar Filing: AIR LEASE CORP - Form DEF 14A

Nominating and Corporate Governance

Mr. Milton was the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of ACE Aviation Holdings, Inc., a holding company for Air Canada and other aviation interests ("ACE") from 2004 until June 2012. He also was the President of ACE from 2004 until 2011. Mr. Milton was also the Chairman of Air Canada until December 2007. He held the position of President and Chief Executive Officer of Air Canada from August 1999 until December 2004. Mr. Milton is a former director of US Airways Group, Inc.. He is a member of the board of directors of the Smithsonian National Air & Space Museum. Mr. Milton served as Chair of the International Air Transport Association's Board of Governors from 2005 to 2006. He is one of the past Chairmen of the Georgia Tech Advisory Board and currently serves as a Trustee of the Georgia Tech Foundation.

Qualifications:

Mr. Milton's extensive experience in the aviation industry, including his many years with Air Canada, provides our Board of Directors with deep industry experience. Our Board of Directors has benefited from Mr. Milton's many relationships in the aircraft manufacturing, aircraft leasing and airline industries. Mr. Milton's management experience and understanding of the aircraft leasing industry make him an ideal choice to act as our lead independent director.

Edgar Filing: AIR LEASE CORP - Form DEF 14A

Table of Contents

John L. Plueger

President and Chief Operating Officer of Air Lease Corporation

Age: 60
Director since April 2010

Other Public Company Directorships

Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.

Mr. Plueger has served as our President and Chief Operating Officer since March 2010. Mr. Plueger has more than 28 years of aviation industry and aircraft leasing experience, 23 of which were with International Lease Finance Corporation ("ILFC") where he served as acting Chief Executive Officer from February 2010 to March 2010, as President and Chief Operating Officer from 2002 to February 2010 and on its board of directors from 2002 to 2010. Mr. Plueger's professional experience also includes testifying before the U.S. House of Representatives as an aircraft leasing industry expert witness as well as responding to European Commission formal inquiries concerning aerospace industry related mergers and acquisitions. Mr. Plueger is a Certified Public Accountant and is an FAA Airline Transport Pilot with type ratings on multiple jet aircraft and single/multi engine and instrument instructor ratings. Mr. Plueger is a member of the board of directors of the Smithsonian National Air and Space Museum, a member of the Pepperdine University Board of Regents and also serves on the board of directors of the Wings Club.

Qualifications:

Mr. Plueger has more than 28 years of aviation industry and aircraft leasing experience, providing our Board of Directors with an in-depth understanding of our business. His many years of business, financial, accounting, managerial and executive experience in our industry make him an invaluable member of our Board of Directors.

Ian M. Saines

Chief Executive, Funds Management Challenger Limited

Age: 52
Director since June 2010

Board Committee

Other Public Company Directorships

Audit

None

Mr. Saines is Chief Executive, Funds Management of Challenger Limited, an Australian investment management firm. From December 2013 to March 2, 2015 he was engaged in private investment activities. From December 2008 to December 2013, Mr. Saines was employed by Commonwealth Bank of Australia in the role of Group Executive of the Institutional Banking and Markets Division. At Commonwealth Bank of Australia Mr. Saines was responsible for managing Commonwealth Bank's relationships with major corporate, government and investor clients and providing a full range of capital raising, transactional and risk management products and services. Prior to joining Commonwealth Bank of Australia in May 2004, Mr. Saines was a Management Committee member of Zurich Capital Markets Asia, the investment banking arm of the Zurich Financial Services Group. He previously held various senior roles with Bankers Trust Australia Limited and was also employed by the Reserve Bank of Australia. He is currently a director of the American Australian Association Limited. Mr. Saines is a Fellow of the Australian Institute of Company Directors (FAICD), and a Certified Finance and Treasury Professional (CFTP).

Qualifications:

Mr. Saines brings to our Board of Directors a wealth of experience in commercial banking and deep knowledge of financial risk management. He provides our Board of Directors with key insights with respect to financial products, the financial markets, capital raising activities and the management of a large, complex business.

Table of Contents

Ronald D. Sugar	Retired Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of Northrop Grumman Corporation
------------------------	--

Age: 66
Director since April 2010

Board Committees

Other Public Company Directorships

Compensation

Amgen Inc.

Nominating and Corporate
Governance

Apple Inc.

Chevron Corporation

Dr. Sugar was Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of Northrop Grumman Corporation, a global aerospace and defense company, from 2003 until 2010 and President and Chief Operating Officer from 2001 until 2003. He was President and Chief Operating Officer of Litton Industries, Inc. from 2000 until the company was acquired by Northrop Grumman in 2001. He was earlier Chief Financial Officer of TRW Inc. He is also a senior adviser to Ares Management LLC, Bain & Company and Northrop Grumman Corporation. He is a trustee of the University of Southern California, board of visitors member of the University of California, Los Angeles Anderson School of Management, past Chairman of the Aerospace Industries Association, and a member of the National Academy of Engineering.

Qualifications:

Dr. Sugar has significant executive experience in the global aerospace business. In addition to drawing on Dr. Sugar's in-depth executive and financial experience in related industries, our Board of Directors also benefits from Dr. Sugar's current experience as a board member of three Fortune 500 companies. He has particularly useful experience with risk oversight, advanced technology, and a deep understanding of legislative and regulatory processes.

Steven F. Udvar-Házy

Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Air Lease Corporation

Age: 69
Director since
February 2010

Other Public Company Directorships

SkyWest, Inc. (Lead Director)

Mr. Udvar-Házy has served as our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer since our launch in February 2010. In 1973, Mr. Udvar-Házy co-founded the aircraft leasing business that became ILFC and from 1973 to February 2010 served as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of ILFC. ILFC became a subsidiary of American International Group, Inc. in 1990. Mr. Udvar-Házy is an FAA Airline Transport Pilot with type ratings on multiple jet aircraft and has over 30 years of experience flying jet aircraft.

Qualifications:

Mr. Udvar-Házy brings extensive industry, managerial and leadership experience to our Board of Directors. With more than 40 years of aviation industry experience, Mr. Udvar-Házy provides our Board of Directors with a critical understanding and appreciation of our business and the know-how to craft and execute on our business and strategic plans. He is the founder, and a substantial stockholder, of our Company.

The Board of Directors recommends a vote **FOR** election of all the Directors set forth above.

Table of Contents

Proposal 2: Ratification of Appointment of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Our audit committee has appointed KPMG LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm to audit our financial statements for 2015. During 2014, KPMG served as our independent public accounting firm and provided certain other audit-related services. Representatives of KPMG are expected to attend the Annual Meeting, be available to respond to appropriate questions and, if they desire, make a statement.

This is a non-binding vote. If KPMG's appointment is not ratified, the audit committee will reconsider whether to retain KPMG, but still may retain KPMG. Even if the appointment is ratified, the audit committee, in its discretion, may change the appointment at any time during the year if it determines that such a change would be appropriate.

Approval of the ratification of KPMG as our independent registered public accounting firm for 2015 requires the affirmative vote of a majority of shares of Class A Common Stock present or represented, and entitled to vote thereon, at the Annual Meeting. Abstentions will have the same effect as a vote "Against" the proposal.

The Board of Directors recommends that you vote FOR the ratification of KPMG LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for 2015.

Proposal 3: Advisory Vote to Approve Named Executive Officer Compensation

We are seeking an advisory vote from our stockholders to approve our named executive officer compensation as disclosed in the section below titled *Executive Compensation*. Notwithstanding the majority of votes in favor of holding the advisory vote on named executive officer compensation every three years at the 2012 annual meeting of stockholders, the Board of Directors decided to hold the advisory vote every year in response to the significant number of shares voted in favor of an annual vote and a desire to adopt what is now perceived to be a governance best practice. Our named executive officers include Mr. Udvar-Házy, our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, and Mr. John Plueger, our President and Chief Operating Officer and the three other executive officers named in the tables that appear in the *Executive Compensation* section below.

Our executive compensation program is designed to attract, motivate and retain the most talented individuals in the aircraft leasing business. The compensation committee and our Board of Directors believe that the program has been very successful in accomplishing these objectives as reflected by our strong financial performance in 2014.

Compared to 2013:

Revenues increased 22% in 2014, exceeding \$1.0 billion for the first time

Pretax income increased 35% to \$395 million with a pretax profit margin of 38% and a pretax return on equity ("Pretax ROE") of 15%

Net income increased 34% to \$256 million

Diluted earnings per share increased 32% to \$2.38 per share

Our quarterly dividend increased 33% to \$0.04 per share

The combination of a competitive base salary and bonus, and the potential for even greater rewards as a stockholder, has helped us assemble and retain a formidable management team and focus them on growing the value of the Company over the long term. We believe having a small, but experienced and motivated senior management team is essential to the success of the Company and provides us with an

important competitive advantage.

Table of Contents

Stockholders are urged to read the section titled *Executive Compensation Compensation Discussion and Analysis* set forth below, which contains a detailed description of how our compensation program implements our compensation philosophy.

We are asking our stockholders to vote FOR the following advisory resolution:

RESOLVED, that the stockholders of Air Lease Corporation approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation paid to its named executive officers, as disclosed pursuant to Item 402 of Regulation S-K under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, compensation tables and narrative discussion set forth below in the section titled *Executive Compensation*.

This is a non-binding vote. The compensation committee and our Board of Directors will continue to review the voting results in connection with their regular evaluation of our compensation program. They also will continue to consider any input from our major stockholders throughout the year in connection with their annual evaluation.

Approval of this advisory vote requires the affirmative vote of a majority of shares of Class A Common Stock present or represented, and entitled to vote thereon, at the Annual Meeting. Abstentions will have the same effect as a vote "Against" the proposal. Broker non-votes will have no effect on the outcome of the advisory vote.

The Board of Directors recommends that you vote FOR the advisory resolution to approve our named executive officer compensation.

Ownership of Air Lease Corporation Class A Common Stock

The following table sets forth information as of March 16, 2015, except as noted below, regarding the beneficial ownership of our Class A Common Stock by:

each person known by us to beneficially own more than five percent of our Class A Common Stock;

each of our named executive officers;

each of our directors and nominees; and

all of our executive officers, directors and nominees, as a group.

Beneficial ownership for the purposes of the following table is determined in accordance with the rules and regulations of the SEC. These rules generally provide that a person is the beneficial owner of securities if they have or share the power to vote or direct the voting thereof, or to dispose or direct the disposition thereof, or have the right to acquire such powers within 60 days. Unless otherwise indicated, each person has sole voting and investment power over the shares reported.

In computing the percentage ownership of a person, shares of our Class A Common Stock subject to warrants or options held by that person are deemed to be outstanding if they are exercisable within 60 days of March 16, 2015. The shares subject to such warrants or options are not deemed to be outstanding for the purpose of computing the percentage ownership of any other person. All percentages in the following table are based on a total of 102,558,529 shares of our Class A Common Stock outstanding as of March 16, 2015. The address of each person named in the table below, unless

Edgar Filing: AIR LEASE CORP - Form DEF 14A

Table of Contents

otherwise indicated, is c/o Air Lease Corporation, 2000 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 1000N, Los Angeles, California 90067.

Name of beneficial owner	Class A Common Stock	
	Number of shares beneficially owned	%
Greater than 5% Stockholders		
FMR LLC(1)	12,713,449	12.40%
Baron Capital Group, Inc. and related persons(2)	7,304,124	7.12%
Steven F. Udvar-Házy(3)	6,972,750	6.69%
Commonwealth Bank of Australia(4)	6,518,125	6.34%
The Vanguard Group(5)	5,816,783	5.67%
Named Executive Officers, Directors and Nominees		
Steven F. Udvar-Házy(3)	6,972,750	6.69%
John L. Plueger(6)	1,382,610	1.34%
Jie Chen(7)	498,748	*
Grant A. Levy(8)	296,404	*
Gregory B. Willis(9)	43,474	*
Matthew J. Hart(10)	23,831	*
Cheryl Gordon Krongard(10)	14,513	*
Marshall O. Larsen(10)	7,556	*
Robert A. Milton(10)	53,831	*
Ian M. Saines(11)	16,289	*
Dr. Ronald D. Sugar(12)	63,831	*
All executive officers, directors and nominees, as a group (15 persons)(13)	9,993,469	9.45%

*

Represents beneficial ownership of less than 1%.

(1)

Based solely on an amendment to a Schedule 13G filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission by FMR LLC on February 13, 2015, FMR LLC, as the parent holding company, is the beneficial owner of 12,713,449 shares of Class A Common Stock with sole voting power over 418,937 shares and sole dispositive power over 12,713,449 shares. The address for FMR LLC is 245 Summer Street, Boston, Massachusetts 02210. The foregoing amended Schedule 13G reported information as of December 31, 2014.

(2)

Based solely on a Schedule 13G jointly filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 17, 2015 by BAMCO, Inc., Baron Capital Group, Inc., Baron Capital Management, Inc. and Ronald Baron, (a) each of Baron Capital Group, Inc. and Ronald Baron had shared voting power over 6,866,469 shares and shared dispositive power over 7,304,124 shares, (b) BAMCO, Inc. had shared voting power over 6,123,457 shares and shared dispositive power over 6,561,112 shares and (c) Baron Capital Management, Inc. had shared voting and dispositive power over 743,012 shares. The address for each of the above persons is 767 Fifth Avenue, 49th Floor, New York, New York 10153. The foregoing Schedule 13G reported information as of December 31, 2014.

(3)

Consists of 1,030,492 shares of Class A Common Stock held directly by Mr. Udvar-Házy; 328,889 shares of Class A Common Stock held directly by Air Intercontinental, Inc.; 101,667 shares of Class A Common Stock held directly by Ocean Equities, Inc.; 35,925 shares of Class A Common Stock held directly by Emerald Financial LLC; 2,700,000 and 953,225 shares of Class A Common Stock held directly by two trusts, respectively, of

Table of Contents

which Mr. Udvar-Házy is the trustee and has sole voting and investment power; 79,700 shares of Class A Common Stock held directly in the aggregate by Mr. Udvar-Házy's wife and children; and 1,742,852 options to purchase Class A Common Stock held directly by Mr. Udvar-Házy, all of which are exercisable. Mr. Udvar-Házy has sole voting and investment power with respect to the shares held by Air Intercontinental, Inc., of which he is the sole stockholder and one of three directors. The remaining directors, his wife and one of his sons disclaim beneficial ownership of the shares held by Air Intercontinental, Inc., except to the extent of their respective pecuniary interests therein. Mr. Udvar-Házy has sole voting and investment power with respect to the shares held by Ocean Equities, Inc. A trust of which Mr. Udvar-Házy is the trustee is the sole stockholder of Ocean Equities, Inc., and Mr. Udvar-Házy is one of the three directors. The remaining directors, his wife and one of his sons, disclaim beneficial ownership of the shares held by Ocean Equities, Inc., except to the extent of their respective pecuniary interests therein. Mr. Udvar-Házy has sole voting and investment power with respect to the shares of Class A Common Stock held by Emerald Financial LLC. A trust of which he is trustee controls a majority of the membership interests in Emerald Financial LLC; in addition, Mr. Udvar-Házy is one of three managers of Emerald Financial LLC, together with his wife and one of his daughters. His wife and his daughter disclaim beneficial ownership of the shares held by Emerald Financial LLC, except to the extent of their respective pecuniary interests therein. Mr. Udvar-Házy disclaims beneficial ownership of the shares held directly by his wife and children, except to the extent of his pecuniary interest therein.

- (4) Based solely on an amendment to a Schedule 13G filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission by Commonwealth Bank of Australia on February 14, 2013, Commonwealth Bank of Australia is the direct beneficial owner of 6,250,000 shares of Class A Common Stock and one warrant to purchase up to 268,125 shares of Class A Common Stock with sole voting and dispositive power over 6,518,125 shares. The address of Commonwealth Bank of Australia is 201 Sussex Street, Sydney, Australia NSW 2000. The foregoing amended Schedule 13G reported information as of December 31, 2012.
- (5) Based solely on a Schedule 13G filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission by The Vanguard Group on February 11, 2015, The Vanguard Group, as the parent holding company, is the beneficial owner of 5,816,783 shares of Class A Common Stock with sole voting power over 63,807 shares, sole dispositive power over 5,760,676 shares and shared dispositive power over 56,107 shares. The address of The Vanguard Group is 100 Vanguard Blvd., Malvern, PA 19355. The foregoing Schedule 13G reported information as of December 31, 2014.
- (6) Consists of 670,804 shares of Class A Common Stock held directly by Mr. Plueger; 1,000 shares of Class A Common Stock held directly in the aggregate by Mr. Plueger's children and 710,806 options to purchase Class A Common Stock held directly by Mr. Plueger, all of which are exercisable. Mr. Plueger disclaims beneficial ownership of the shares held directly by his children, except to the extent of his pecuniary interest therein.
- (7) Consists of 208,748 shares of Class A Common Stock held directly by Mr. Chen over which Mr. Chen shares voting and investment power and 290,000 options to purchase Class A Common Stock held by Mr. Chen, all of which are exercisable.
- (8) Consists of 146,904 shares of Class A Common Stock held directly by Mr. Levy; 1,500 shares of Class A Common Stock held directly in the aggregate by Mr. Levy's children and 148,000 options to purchase Class A Common Stock held by Mr. Levy, all of which

Edgar Filing: AIR LEASE CORP - Form DEF 14A

Table of Contents

are exercisable. Mr. Levy disclaims beneficial ownership of the shares held directly by his children, except to the extent of his pecuniary interest therein.

- (9) Consists of 28,474 shares of Class A Common Stock held directly by Mr. Willis and 15,000 options to purchase Class A Common Stock held by Mr. Willis, all of which are exercisable.
- (10) Includes 3,207 shares of Class A Common Stock underlying restricted stock units held directly by the director that vest within 60 days of March 16, 2015.
- (11) Consists of 9,659 shares of Class A Common Stock, including 3,207 shares of Class A Common Stock underlying restricted stock units as described in footnote (10) above, held directly by Mr. Saines and 6,630 shares of Class A Common Stock held by Mufassa Pty Ltd., of which Mr. Saines is the sole stockholder and sole director.
- (12) Consists of 13,831 shares of Class A Common Stock, including 3,207 shares of Class A Common Stock underlying restricted stock units as described in footnote (10) above, held directly by Dr. Sugar and 50,000 shares of Class A Common Stock held by a trust of which Dr. Sugar is a co-trustee; Dr. Sugar shares voting and investment power over the shares held by the trust.
- (13) Includes 3,207,158 options to purchase Class A Common Stock held in the aggregate by the executive officers of the Company, all of which are exercisable and 19,242 shares of Class A Common Stock underlying restricted stock units held in the aggregate by non-employee directors that vest within 60 days of March 16, 2015.

Equity Compensation Plan Information*

Plan Category	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights(1) (a)	Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights(2) (b)	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a))(3)(4) (c)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	3,580,283	\$ 20.34	6,648,524
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders			
Total	3,580,283	\$ 20.34	6,648,524

*

As of December 31, 2014

- (1) Includes shares subject to outstanding stock options and performance based restricted stock units under the Air Lease Corporation 2014 Equity Incentive Plan and the prior Amended and Restated Air Lease Corporation 2010 Equity Incentive Plan which is no longer in effect for new grants. This amount assumes that the target number of our performance-based RSUs granted are issued.
- (2) The weighted-average exercise price does not include restricted stock units.
- (3) In addition to stock options, other equity benefits which may be granted under the Air Lease Corporation 2014 Equity Incentive Plan are stock appreciation rights, restricted stock awards, restricted stock units, stock bonus awards, incentive bonus awards or any

combination of the foregoing. This amount assumes that the target number of our performance-based RSUs granted are issued.

Table of Contents

(4)

The number of TSR RSUs that will ultimately vest is based upon the percentile ranking of the Company's total stockholder return among a peer group. The number of shares that will ultimately vest will range from 0% to 200% of the RSUs initially granted depending on the extent to which the total stockholder return metric is achieved. If the maximum total stockholder return metric is achieved the number of shares available for issuance will be reduced to 4,323,347.

Executive Compensation

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

Executive Summary

Our Company was launched in February 2010, and we completed our initial public offering in April 2011. Our executive compensation program is designed to address some of the unique challenges associated with being a young company that requires a small number of extraordinary and talented individuals with industry experience to manage and lead a capital-intensive business. We do this by tying compensation to the achievement of performance goals that promote the creation of stockholder value and by designing compensation to retain our high-caliber executives in a competitive market. Since our initial public offering in 2011, we have profitably grown our business and created value for our stockholders. We believe that our compensation reflects our strong performance.

*

Pretax ROE is calculated as pretax income divided by average stockholders' equity per our GAAP financial statements.

Our growth continued into 2014 as we exceeded \$10 billion in assets and \$1 billion in revenue for the first time, reflecting an increase of 15% and 22%, respectively, compared to 2013. Pretax income increased 35% from 2013 to \$395 million with a pretax profit margin of 38%. During the year we took delivery of 36 aircraft, sold 16 aircraft and entered into agreements to manage 13 additional aircraft ending 2014 with a fleet of 213 owned aircraft and 17 managed aircraft on lease to 80 airlines in 47 countries.

Table of Contents

In addition, our 65 employees along with our independent directors collectively own 10% of the Company. We believe that this significant ownership by our employees and directors also helps ensure that we are aligned with the interests of our stockholders and that our compensation program drives sustainable growth.

Financial Highlights

Our strategy of purchasing new commercial jet aircraft directly from the aircraft manufacturers and leasing those aircraft to airlines throughout the world has led to consistent growth of our Company and strong financial results. We experienced another year of record fleet, revenue and profitability growth.

*

Pretax ROE is calculated as pretax income divided by average stockholders' equity per our GAAP financial statements.

Operational Highlights

Expanded Global Airline Customer Base. We added seven new airline customers, bringing our count to 80 airlines across 47 countries.

Aircraft Acquisitions. We acquired 36 additional aircraft from our new order pipeline, all of which are leased to our airline customers, ending 2014 with a total of 213 aircraft.

Aircraft Sales. We profitably sold 16 aircraft from our operating lease portfolio, exceeding our 2014 goal.

Increased New Order Pipeline. We entered into commitments to acquire 97 additional aircraft from Airbus, Boeing and ATR, and we now have 364 aircraft on order through 2023. We were the first launch customer for the Airbus A330neo aircraft and A321LR aircraft, entering into memoranda of understanding for the purchase of 25 A330neo aircraft and 30 A321LR aircraft in July 2014 and January 2015, respectively. Deliveries of aircraft subject to a memorandum of understanding are scheduled to commence in 2018 and continue through 2023.

Table of Contents

Expanded Our Management Business. We expanded the number of aircraft under management by 325% in 2014 to 17 aircraft compared to four aircraft in the prior year. During the year we entered into a joint venture that was formed to invest in commercial aircraft and lease them to airlines around the globe. We have a 9.5% interest in the joint venture. The joint venture is expected to acquire total aircraft assets of approximately \$2.0 billion by the end of 2016, and we expect to sell the joint venture approximately \$500 million of aircraft from our portfolio. We will also provide management services to the joint venture for a fee based on aircraft assets under management.

Impact of 2014 Performance on Pay. Our 2014 financial and operational performance was reflected in the results of our 2014 performance-based annual bonuses. The weighted earned payout under our annual bonus plans was 190%.

Our long-term equity is comprised of RSUs that vest based on attainment of book value goals (the "Book Value RSUs") and RSUs that vest based on attainment of total stockholder return goals (the "TSR RSUs"). The degree to which TSR RSUs vest at the end of a three year period depends upon on our ranking within the S&P 400 Mid-Cap Index. The TSR RSU granted in February 2012 vested, based on our percentile ranking of 42% in the S&P 400 Mid-Cap Index as of December 31, 2014, which resulted in vesting of shares at 55% of target. Annual grants of Book Value RSUs vest equally over a three year period. At December 31, 2014, as a result of our strong performance, the target for the third tranche of Book Value RSUs issued in 2012, the target for the second tranche of Book Value RSUs issued in 2013 and the target for the first tranche of Book Value RSUs issued in 2014, were each met.

In February 2015 our compensation committee met to decide 2015 compensation and also took into account our strong 2014 performance in deciding individual compensation adjustments.

Compensation Program Overview and Objectives

The primary objective of our executive compensation program is to attract, retain and motivate the highest caliber executives in the aircraft leasing industry by offering a comprehensive compensation program that is attractive enough to entice and retain successful senior executives. We also believe it is important that our compensation program attracts the highest caliber of executive who is experienced and capable of managing our aircraft fleet with a small team to help drive our profitability. At the end of 2014, we had total assets of \$10.8 billion and we had 65 total employees. We believe that the ratio of employees to total assets compares favorably to other companies in capital-intensive businesses.

Our compensation program includes fixed compensation elements that are very competitive in the marketplace, combined with performance-based elements that are designed to reward our executive offices named in the Summary Compensation Table on page 34 of this proxy statement (our "named executive officers" or "NEOs") for achieving results that derive value for our stockholders. In accordance with the rules of the SEC, our NEOs are Steven F. Udvar-Házy (our principal executive officer); Gregory B. Willis (our principal financial and accounting officer); and John L. Plueger, Jie Chen and Grant A. Levy, the three other most highly compensated executive officers who were serving as executive officers at the end of 2014.

Table of Contents

Another important objective of our executive compensation program is to incorporate pay and governance best practices. Pay and governance best practices at the Company, which we discuss in our proxy statement, include the following:

Compensation program designed to mitigate undue risk

Voluntary adoption of clawback policy

Moderate and reasonable severance benefits

Moderate perquisites

Share ownership requirements

Anti-hedging and pledging policy

Double-trigger change in control provisions for equity awards granted since February 2014

Review of tally sheets when making executive compensation decision

Use of an independent compensation consulting firm which provides no other services to the Company

No 280G or other tax gross-ups

No repricing underwater stock options

Stockholder Advisory Vote Approving Executive Compensation

Notwithstanding the majority of votes in favor of holding the advisory vote on NEO compensation every three years, at the 2012 Annual Meeting of stockholders, the Board of Directors has decided to hold the advisory vote every year in response to the significant number of shares voted in favor of an annual vote and a desire to adopt what is now perceived to be a governance best practice. At the upcoming 2015 annual meeting, we again will provide our stockholders with the opportunity to approve, by a non-binding vote, executive compensation.

In May, 2014 the advisory vote to approve NEO compensation ("compensation proposal") received the affirmative support of 71.4% of our stockholders represented at the meeting and entitled to vote on the matter. In evaluating our executive compensation program, our compensation committee considered the results of the compensation proposal, our stockholder outreach and other factors as discussed in this Compensation Discussion and Analysis. We regularly meet with our major stockholders and, as in previous years, our major stockholders have not expressed to us in these meetings any concerns with our executive compensation program. In addition, we have continued to enhance our disclosure to provide more detail about our compensation programs and philosophy and how we believe our compensation programs directly impacted our strong performance in 2014 and is aligned with the interests of our stockholders.

This Compensation Discussion and Analysis should be read together with the executive compensation tables that follow, which disclose the compensation awarded to, earned by or paid to our NEOs with respect to 2014.

How We Determine Compensation

Edgar Filing: AIR LEASE CORP - Form DEF 14A

Role of the compensation committee. The compensation committee is composed of Dr. Sugar, who serves as Chairman of the committee, Ms. Krongard, and Mr. Milton. The compensation committee oversees the design, administration and evaluation of our overall executive compensation program and

Table of Contents

recommends to the independent directors of the Board of Directors the total compensation for our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer and our President and Chief Operating Officer. It also approves the total compensation for the other NEOs. Each member of the compensation committee must be an independent, non-employee director, as those terms are defined in SEC, NYSE and Internal Revenue Service rules. Among other things, the compensation committee will at least annually:

Review and adjust (or recommend adjustments to) each NEO's compensation in order to ensure an appropriate mix of cash and equity, and an appropriate balance of fixed and at-risk compensation, in light of, among other factors, each individual's particular role and responsibilities, personal motivations, stock ownership exposure and wealth accumulation.

Approve specific performance targets and individual goals for each NEO with respect to the at-risk portions of his compensation.

Consult with the compensation committee's independent consultant to help ensure that the total compensation paid to each NEO is appropriate in light of our compensation objectives, tax and accounting considerations and compensation best practices.

Consider specific input from stockholders on our executive compensation programs in the design of the next year's executive compensation program.

Design annual incentive awards with quantitative factors and qualitative milestones applicable to all our officers that further our overall business objectives, and approve award payouts based on performance actually achieved.

Role of Management. The compensation committee formulates its recommendation for the overall compensation of our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer without management participation. In addition, the committee recommends to the Board of Directors the overall compensation of our President and Chief Operating Officer with input from our Chief Executive Officer. Finally, the committee determines the overall compensation of our other NEOs with input from our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Operating Officer. None of our NEOs is present when his compensation is discussed by the committee. Our management administers all compensation and benefits programs, subject to the oversight of the committee. This delegation to management is strictly limited to implementation of the programs, and does not include any discretion to make material decisions regarding the overall executive compensation program.

Role of Independent Consultant. The compensation committee has engaged Exequity as an independent consultant to provide advice with respect to compensation decisions for our executive officers. The independent consultant assists in evaluating our compensation objectives, obtaining market information, and designing various aspects of our compensation program. The independent consultant attends meetings of the committee by invitation, and committee members have direct access to the independent consultant without management involvement. The independent consultant will also consult with our senior executives as directed by the committee. The committee has the sole authority to hire and fire the independent consultant. In order to help ensure impartiality and objectivity, the committee requires that the independent consultant provide services only to the committee and not to management, absent specific committee approval. In 2014, Exequity did not perform any services unrelated to its committee engagement, including any separate work for our management or employees. The independence of Exequity has been evaluated in accordance with SEC and NYSE rules, and it has been determined that its work did not raise any conflicts of interest.

Peer Group and Benchmarking. We operate in a highly specialized industry with a small number of other companies, many of which are foreign, private or are subsidiaries of other large companies. For that reason, the compensation committee believes it is not possible to develop a robust group of

Table of Contents

relevant peer companies against which to accurately benchmark our performance. The compensation committee has reconsidered this decision each year after carefully considering possible companies for a peer group.

Since 2012, the compensation committee's independent consultant has collected compensation information about similarly sized U.S.-based employers, including aircraft leasing companies. In 2015, the compensation committee expanded its review of such information when considering 2015 compensation decisions by purchasing additional industry specific compensation data. We use such information to supplement the collective knowledge and experience of our Board of Directors, senior executives and the consultant. Our compensation committee does not, however, make decisions primarily based on how our compensation practices compare to those of other companies. Our compensation decisions will continue to be guided by what we consider to be the amount and form of compensation that will best enable us to attract, motivate and retain the most talented executives and to focus them on the growth and long-term success of our business.

Risk Management

We believe that the best way to ensure our NEOs' and other employees' personal commitment to our long-term goals is to ensure that their financial rewards as stockholders will, over the long term, far outweigh any cash compensation they earn as employees. In this regard, the interests of our NEOs and our stockholders are strongly aligned. Our NEOs as a group beneficially own approximately 8.6% of our Class A Common Stock, and each NEO has made a meaningful personal investment in our stock.

In addition, our executive compensation program has been designed to discourage executives from taking unnecessary risks that could threaten the long-term interests of our young company. As described in more detail below, a significant portion of our incentive-based compensation has been tied to an increase in our book value, and not to metrics that may encourage risk-taking behavior focused on short-term results. Similarly, we have mitigated potential risk by subjecting regular annual equity awards granted since 2012 to performance-based vesting conditions. If the respective performance measures are achieved, awards tied to an increase in book value vest annually over three years and awards tied to total stockholder returns vest at the end of three years.

We have also capped our short and long-term incentive opportunities; there is no upside associated with performance-based RSUs that vest based on book value and the TSR RSUs are capped at 200% of target to limit potential payouts even in instances of superior performance. In addition, the annual bonuses of our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Operating Officer are capped at 200% of target as set forth in their employment agreements. We also base executive rewards on a variety of internal performance measures (as explained below) so as to avoid an over reliance on any singular indicator of performance. Additionally, since 2012 half of our long-term incentive awards were based on stockholder returns relative to a broad index. We believe that these design safeguards align our executive incentives and corresponding payouts with stockholder value creation. Also annually, the compensation committee's independent consultant performs a compensation risk analysis. In February 2014, our Board of Directors also adopted a clawback policy relating to incentive compensation, as described below.

Ownership Guidelines. We also believe that our executives' significant equity ownership in our Company aligns their long-term interests with those of our stockholders. Our Board of Directors has adopted stock ownership guidelines for our executive officers at the level of Executive Vice President or higher. Our Chief Executive Officer is required to own Class A Common Stock equivalents with an aggregate market value equal to six times his annual rate of salary. Mr. Udvar-Házy beneficially owns 5,150,198 shares of our Class A Common Stock with a value that far exceeds six times his annual rate of salary. Our Chief Operating Officer is required to own Class A Common Stock equivalents with an aggregate market value equal to three times his annual rate of salary. Mr. Plueger beneficially owns

Table of Contents

670,804 shares of our Class A Common Stock with a value that far exceeds three times his annual rate of salary. Each of our other executive officers subject to these guidelines is required to own Class A Common Stock equivalents with an aggregate market value equal to at least his annual rate of salary. Messrs. Chen, Levy and Willis each own shares of our Class A Common Stock that exceed this requirement. Class A Common Stock equivalents are shares of Class A Common Stock owned personally by an executive officer and shares of Class A Common Stock underlying unvested RSUs that are subject to time-vesting only. Each executive officer subject to these guidelines has five years from the time he or she becomes subject to the guidelines to achieve the required level of ownership.

No Hedging or Pledging. The Company also prohibits our directors and executive officers from engaging in short-term or speculative transactions, such as hedging, in the Company's securities, including our Class A Common Stock.

Clawback Policy. In February 2014 our Board of Directors adopted a clawback policy. The policy provides that we will require reimbursement of any incentive payments to an executive officer which was predicated upon achieving certain financial results that were subsequently the subject of a substantial restatement of Company financial statements filed with the SEC, if our Board of Directors determines that the executive engaged in intentional misconduct that caused or substantially caused the need for a substantial restatement of financial results and a lower payment would have been made to the executive based on the restated financial results. In each such instance, we will to the extent practicable, seek to recover from the individual executive the amount by which the individual executive's incentive payments for the relevant period exceeded the lower payment that would have been made based on the restated financial results.

Elements of the Executive Compensation Program

We have four primary elements of our executive compensation program: base salary, annual cash incentive bonuses, long-term equity incentive awards and long-term cash bonuses. In 2014, approximately 72% of our CEO's total compensation for these elements was performance-based and not guaranteed. His significant performance-based compensation coupled with his significant ownership of the Company's equity aligns his interests with the interests of our stockholders.

Base Salary. Base salary is the main "fixed" component of our executive compensation program, and it is aimed primarily at attracting and retaining the best possible executive talent. The relative levels of base salary for our NEOs are based on the particular responsibilities and expectations associated with each executive's position. Another factor that we consider extremely important is the experience of each NEO in our industry. We review salaries annually and consider possible merit increases, increases in connection with promotions and changes in responsibilities and market competitive factors.

None of our NEOs are guaranteed an annual salary increase. The base salaries of Messrs. Udvar-Házy and Plueger have remained unchanged since 2010 and are determined in accordance with their employment agreements. The base salaries of the other NEOs are determined by the compensation committee, with the input of Messrs. Udvar-Házy and Plueger and taking into consideration the objectives and philosophies of our overall executive compensation program.

Annual Cash Incentives. We pay annual cash bonuses to drive the achievement of key business results for the year and to recognize individuals based on their contributions to those results. In 2013 the Company adopted the 2013 Cash Bonus Plan to provide annual cash awards to our NEOs, other than our Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer that recognize and reward the achievement of corporate performance goals and that are designed to qualify as performance-based compensation within the meaning of Section 162(m). The annual cash bonuses for the Senior Vice President and

Edgar Filing: AIR LEASE CORP - Form DEF 14A

Table of Contents

Chief Financial Officer and other executive officers who are not NEOs are determined in accordance with the Company's other annual bonus plan.

Each year the compensation committee establishes performance guidelines for bonus payments. The guidelines are developed taking into account the Company's applicable fiscal year plan and its long-term strategy. These guidelines also take into account expectations regarding probability of achieving performance goals and include "stretch" goals that are supported by the business plan. Goals are set at the beginning of the performance period.

For 2014, the compensation committee developed the following performance- based guidelines for the payment of annual incentive awards to our NEOs:

Performance Measures	Component Weighting
Overall Revenue	20%
Pre-Tax Operating Margin	20%
Pre-Tax Return on Equity	20%
Dollar Value of Aircraft Added to our Fleet	20%
Strategic Objectives	20%

Execute on aircraft sales plan

Expand our aircraft management business

Achieve a credit ratings upgrade or obtain a new investment grade rating

Add new airline customers

Continue to optimize specified internal processes

Each NEO had the opportunity to earn his target award (a percentage of base salary) based on Company performance, as modified by an individual performance factor determined by the Committee for 2014 to be between 0% and 120%, based on the achievement relative to individual performance goals described below (but in no event in excess of 200% of target for our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Operating Officer). Below is the formula:

$$\text{Target Award} \times \text{Company Performance Factor} \times \text{Individual Performance Factor}$$

The weighted earned payout based on company performance for 2014 was 190%. A summary of these performance measures as well as the related fiscal 2014 results are as follows (dollars in millions):

Performance Measure	2014			Fiscal	Interpolated Payout	Component Weighting	Weighted Payout
	Minimum	Target	Maximum	2014 Result			
Overall Revenues	\$ 975	\$ 1,003	\$ 1,030	\$ 1,050	200%	20.00%	40%
Pre-tax Operating Margin	30.7%	34.0%	34.8%	37.6%	200%	20.00%	40%
Pre-tax Return on Equity	11.8%	12.9%	14.0%	14.9%	200%	20.00%	40%
Dollar value of Acquired Aircraft	\$ 1,977	\$ 2,098	\$ 2,240	\$ 2,296	200%	20.00%	40%
Strategic Goals					150%	20.00%	30%
Total							190%

Edgar Filing: AIR LEASE CORP - Form DEF 14A

The weighted earned payout of 190% reflects the Company's very strong performance in 2014. Compared to 2013, our 2014 revenue increased 22.3%, our pre-tax operating margin increased to 38% versus 34%, our pre-tax return on equity increased to 14.9% versus 12.1%, and we acquired additional aircraft with a total net book value of \$2.296 billion, all of which are leased.

With respect to our strategic objectives, we exceeded all of our target goals other than our goal to achieve a credit ratings upgrade or obtain a new investment grade rating, which we did not meet.

Table of Contents

During the year, we added 7 new airline customers. We exceeded our aircraft sales plan by profitably selling 16 aircraft during the year. This is the first year we sold a significant number of aircraft and a successful sales program was important to the Company. We also expanded our fee-based aircraft management business and currently provide fee-based management services for 13 additional aircraft for a total of 17. Finally, we continued to optimize internal processes, including implementing new contracts management and record retentions systems.

The compensation committee also considered the individual performance of each of the NEOs. It considered, among other things, their individual contributions to our strong financial results in 2014, contributions to longer-term growth in 2015 and beyond and leadership within the Company, including their ability to motivate and leverage a very small team of professionals. Based on this review, the committee concluded that each executive's performance warranted an individual factor of 100%.

After evaluating the Company's strong performance relative to the guidelines established at the beginning of 2014 and taking into account individual contributions in 2014, our compensation committee awarded Messrs. Chen, Levy and Willis annual cash performance bonuses in the amounts set forth below and our compensation committee recommended, and our independent Board of Directors approved, annual cash performance bonuses for Messrs. Udvar-Házy and Plueger in the amounts set forth below.

Name	Target Bonus	Corporate Factor	Individual Factor	Actual Bonus
Mr. Udvar-Házy	\$ 1,800,000	190%	100%	\$ 3,420,000
Mr. Plueger	1,500,000	190%	100%	2,850,000
Mr. Chen	910,000	190%	100%	1,729,000
Mr. Levy	798,250	190%	100%	1,516,675
Mr. Willis	337,500	190%	100%	641,250

Long-Term Equity Incentive Awards. Consistent with our executive compensation objectives, the compensation committee believes that an important aspect of attracting and retaining exceptionally talented executives and aligning their interests with those of our stockholders is to provide equity-based incentive compensation. As a guideline, the compensation committee determines annual equity grants based on 45% and 40% of target cash compensation in the year of grant for executive vice presidents and senior vice presidents, respectively.

On February 25, 2014, we made long-term equity incentive awards to all of our NEOs as part of our planned equity grant cycle. Our compensation committee established an overall value for each executive officer, and applied a mix of 50% RSUs that vest based on attainment of book value goals (the "Book Value RSUs") and 50% RSUs that vest based on attainment of total stockholder return goals (the "TSR RSUs"). The compensation committee believes this mix creates a balanced incentive because the RSUs provide executives with the incentive to steadily increase the book value of the Company while seeking an overall increase in total stockholder return over a three-year period. These awards were made under our Amended and Restated 2010 Equity Incentive Plan. All RSUs awarded in 2014 are denominated in share units, each of which is equivalent to one share of Class A Common Stock, and are subject to performance conditions and time vesting.

The degree to which TSR RSUs vest depends on performance over a three-year measurement period. The number of shares to be received at the end of the three-year performance period, which runs from January 1, 2014 through December 31, 2016, will depend on our ranking within the

Edgar Filing: AIR LEASE CORP - Form DEF 14A

Table of Contents

S&P 400 Mid-Cap Index as set forth in the table below. Results between the points in the table will be interpolated on a linear basis.

Actual TSR Percentile Ranking	Applicable Percentage
85 th or higher	200%
70 th	150%
55 th	100%
40 th	50%
below 25 th	0%

Total stockholder return (TSR) is the change in price of a share of Class A Common Stock plus accumulated dividends over a specified period of time and is an indicator of management's achievement of long-term growth in stockholder value. Comparing the Company's TSR over a specified period of time to index companies' returns over the same period of time is an objective external measure of the Company's effectiveness in translating its results into stockholder returns. The compensation committee benchmarks the TSR goals against the S&P 400 Mid-Cap Index as the compensation committee believes it represents a broad range of investment alternatives with similar market capitalization as our Company. The compensation committee also believes that tying a portion of our executive compensation to the stockholder returns of similarly capitalized companies emphasizes our pay-for-performance philosophy. As previously discussed, we operate in a highly specialized industry with a finite number of other companies, many of which are foreign, private or are subsidiaries of other companies. For that reason, the compensation committee believes it is not possible to develop a robust group of relevant peer companies against which to accurately benchmark our performance.

The chart below shows the February 25, 2014, long-term equity incentive awards to our NEOs in the form of Book Value RSUs and TSR RSUs, including the number of shares of Class A Common Stock underlying the awards at the time of grant:

	Number of Book Value RSUs	Target / Maximum Number of TSR RSUs
Mr. Udvar-Házy	46,715	46,714/93,428
Mr. Plueger	33,479	33,478/66,956
Mr. Chen	12,753	12,753/25,506
Mr. Levy	11,187	11,187/22,374
Mr. Willis	4,905	4,905/9,810

The Book Value RSUs generally vest in three equal installments over a three-year performance period, but only if the Company has met certain per share book value targets, as determined in accordance with GAAP, as of December 31, 2014, 2015 and 2016. The per share book value targets for the February 25, 2014 awards are \$23.30 in the first year, \$24.23 in the second year and \$25.44 in the third year. If a specified target is not attained as of December 31 of the applicable year, the installment for such year will not vest and will expire as of such date.

The Company's December 31, 2014 per share book value target of \$23.30 was met and one-third of each of the February 25, 2014, February 25, 2013 and March 8, 2012 Book Value RSUs vest. The underlying shares were released and issued in accordance with their terms on February 26, 2015.

A partial vesting of 55% of the TSR RSUs that were granted on March 8, 2012 occurred as the Company's percentile ranking within the S&P 400 Mid-Cap Index at the end of the three year performance period on December 31, 2014 was 42%. If the Company's actual TSR was 85% or higher, the NEOs as a group had an opportunity to acquire 244,851 shares. Because the Company's actual TSR

Edgar Filing: AIR LEASE CORP - Form DEF 14A

Table of Contents

percentile ranking was 42% resulting in a 55% of the TSR RSUs vesting, the NEOs as a group received 69,420 shares and forfeited the opportunity to acquire 181,775 shares with a value on February 26, 2015 of \$7.1 million.

In addition, the last tranche of 25% of the Book Value RSUs granted to Messrs. Udvar-Házy, Plueger, Levy and Willis in 2010 vested as a result of our Company attaining at least 13.63% growth in book value per share over the book value as of June 30, 2010. The final tranche of 50% of the Book Value RSUs granted to Mr. Chen on April 25, 2011, also vested as a result of our Company attaining at least 13.63% growth in book value per share over the book value as of June 30, 2010.

Long-Term Cash Bonuses

Retention Bonuses. Because we believe the Company's continued success depends on each of Messrs. Udvar-Házy and Plueger remaining with the Company for the term of their employment agreements, each of them is eligible for a retention bonus for service completion upon completion of the three year term of their employment agreements, ending on June 30, 2016. A description of the employment agreements is set forth in the section titled *Executive Compensation Employment Agreements and Arrangements and Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control*.

Deferred Bonuses. We pay cash bonuses to our employees under our Amended and Restated Deferred Bonus Plan. The purpose of the plan is to provide retention incentives that are time-vested and based on amounts already earned, thereby providing a balance against our incentives that are tied to uncertain, future performance. Under the plan, our employees have an opportunity to receive a cash bonus in an amount equal to a percentage of the aggregate amount of base salary and annual and other short-term cash bonus compensation paid with respect to a particular year. The deferred bonus will generally vest upon the second anniversary of the end of the year with respect to which the award was made, provided that the employee is still employed by us on a full-time basis on that date.

Our executive officers are each eligible to participate in our Amended and Restated Deferred Bonus Plan. Each executive received a cash payout in the amount set forth below for deferred bonuses granted in 2013 and vested on December 31, 2014. In February 2014, we granted deferred bonuses in the amounts set forth in the table below, which will vest on December 31, 2015.

	Amount Paid Vested Award 2013 - 2014(2)	2014 - 2015 Grant
Mr. Udvar-Házy	\$ 347,328(1)	\$ 456,840
Mr. Plueger	\$ 258,552(1)	\$ 380,700
Mr. Chen	\$ 228,500	\$ 246,881
Mr. Levy	\$ 139,148	\$ 185,626
Mr. Willis	\$ 43,969	\$ 67,085

(1) Under their employment agreements, Messrs. Udvar-Házy's and Plueger's have a right to receive a deferred cash bonus in an amount equal to 9% of his aggregate amount of base salary and annual and other short-term cash bonus compensation paid with respect to a particular year.

(2) Vested on December 31, 2014 and paid in January 2015.

Other Compensation

Special Bonus for Mr. Chen. Mr. Chen was eligible for a performance bonus of up to \$450,000 payable in July 2014, subject to his continued employment and based upon the achievement of

Table of Contents

performance targets established by our Chief Executive Officer and approved by the compensation committee, including the lease placements in Asia of a specified number of aircraft and type of aircraft, (including next generation aircraft). In July 2014, Mr. Chen received \$412,502 for meeting 91.6% of the performance targets. We regard Mr. Chen as playing a key role in the potential expansion of our business in the Asian market and in recognition of the importance of the Asian market to our business and his role relative to that market, the compensation committee approved Mr. Chen's eligibility for this performance bonus.

Retirement Programs. We maintain a 401(k) savings plan for our employees and, under the terms of the plan, will make matching contributions in amounts equal to 116% of up to 6% of the contributions made by each of Messrs. Udvar-Házy, Plueger, Chen, and Levy and equal to 75% of up to 6% of the contributions made by Mr. Willis.

Benefits and Perquisites. Our NEOs generally receive the same healthcare benefits as our other employees. Mr. Udvar-Házy has additional benefits under his employment agreement, including our payment of premiums for a \$5.0 million term life insurance policy payable to his beneficiaries. Similarly, we pay Mr. Plueger's premiums for a \$2.0 million term life insurance policy payable to his beneficiaries. In addition, we pay the premiums for Messrs. Udvar-Házy, Plueger, Chen, Levy and Willis under our group term life insurance program, in which all of our employees participate.

Personal Use of Company Aircraft. The Board of Directors adopted a travel policy that requires the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer and the President and Chief Operating Officer to use, to the maximum extent practicable, Company-owned aircraft for personal use as well as business travel. In 2014, the incremental cost of Mr. Udvar-Házy's and Mr. Plueger's personal use of Company aircraft was approximately \$142,251 and \$43,944, respectively.

2015 Executive Compensation Program

The same key elements of our executive compensation program are applicable in 2015. In determining 2015 targeted compensation, the compensation committee considered, among other things, its benchmarking results and compared the proposed 2015 compensation to 2014 targeted compensation for each NEO.

Base Salary. Messrs. Udvar-Házy's and Plueger's base salary did not change. In 2015, the compensation committee increased base salaries for our other NEOs as follows: Mr. Chen, \$5,000 to \$915,000, Mr. Levy \$5,000 to \$803,250 and Mr. Willis \$50,000 to \$500,000. In determining Mr. Willis' base salary, the compensation committee took into account his performance in 2014 and market information.

Annual Cash Incentives. Subject to the achievement of key business results for 2015, we plan to pay annual cash incentives for 2015 to recognize individuals based on their contributions to those results. Similar to last year, the compensation committee developed the following 2015 performance-based guidelines for the payment of annual incentive awards to our NEOs:

Performance Measures	Component Weighting
Overall Revenue	20%
Pre-Tax Operating Margin	20%
Pre-Tax Return on Equity	20%
Dollar Value of Aircraft Added to our Fleet	20%
Strategic Objectives	20%

Table of Contents

Long-Term Equity Incentive Awards.

In February 2015, we made long-term equity incentive awards to each of our NEOs consistent with the process and similar to formulation used in 2014 (the "February 2015 Awards"). These long-term equity incentive awards were in the form of Book Value RSUs and TSR RSUs. The compensation committee continues to believe that this mix of Book Value RSUs and TSR RSUs creates a balanced incentive because the RSUs provide the executives the incentive to steadily increase the book value of the Company while seeking an overall increase in TSR over a three-year period. Unlike in previous years, the compensation committee reset the beginning per share book value for the 2015 Book Value RSUs grants to the actual book value per share on December 31, 2014. The Company's per share book value must steadily increase from December 31, 2014 for the shares to vest. Because the Company is a capital-intensive business (acquiring and leasing commercial aircraft), the book value, which is the Company's assets minus its liabilities, is an important measure of the Company's value. All awards were made under our 2014 Equity Incentive Plan.

The chart below shows the number of shares of Class A Common Stock underlying the February 2015 Awards at the time of grant:

	Number of Book Value RSUs	Target / Maximum Number of TSR RSUs
Mr. Udvar-Házy	46,902	46,901/93,802
Mr. Plueger	33,613	33,612/67,224
Mr. Chen	11,704	11,704/23,408
Mr. Levy	10,275	10,274/20,548
Mr. Willis	4,975	4,974/9,948

In March 2015, we made long-term equity incentive awards to two of our NEOs consisting of time-based RSUs that vest annually in three equal installments from the date of grant. Mr. Chen received 4,000 time-based RSUs and Mr. Willis received 8,000 time-based RSUs. The grants were made to recognize their contributions for certain major transactions in 2014. All awards were made under our 2014 Equity Incentive Plan.

Long-Term Cash Bonuses

Our executive officers are eligible to participate in our Amended and Restated Deferred Bonus Plan and the 2015 grants are set forth below:

	2015 - 2016 Grant
Mr. Udvar-Házy	\$ 469,800
Mr. Plueger	\$ 391,500
Mr. Chen	\$ 259,003
Mr. Levy	\$ 196,439
Mr. Willis	\$ 83,875

Tax and Accounting Considerations

Section 162(m) of the U.S. Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"), generally disallows a federal income tax deduction for public companies for compensation in excess of \$1.0 million paid for any fiscal year to the chief executive officer and the three other most highly compensated executive officers (other than the chief financial officer) unless the compensation qualifies as performance-based. Certain qualified performance-based compensation is not subject to the

Table of Contents

deduction limit. The Book Value RSUs, the TSR RSUs and the annual cash bonuses granted in 2014 to executive officers subject to Section 162(m) are expected to be tax deductible. Although tax consequences are considered in its compensation decisions, the compensation committee has not adopted a policy that all compensation must be deductible. Rather, the compensation committee gives priority to the overall compensation objectives discussed above.

Section 409A of the Code imposes an excise tax on the recipient of certain non-qualified deferred compensation. The compensation committee attempts to structure all executive compensation to comply with, or be exempt from, Section 409A.

The Company accounts for stock-based compensation in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718, which requires the Company to recognize compensation expense for share-based payments (including stock options and other forms of equity compensation). FASB ASC Topic 718 is taken into account by the compensation committee when determining equity based compensation awards.

Compensation Committee Report

Management has prepared the Compensation Discussion and Analysis set forth above. The compensation committee of the Board of Directors has reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis with the Company's management. Based on this review and discussion, the compensation committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this Proxy Statement.

Compensation Committee

Dr. Ronald Sugar, Chairman
Cheryl Gordon Krongard
Robert A. Milton

Table of Contents**Executive Compensation Tables****Summary Compensation Table**

The following table summarizes compensation paid to or earned by our NEOs during the fiscal years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012.

Name and principal position	Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)(1)	Stock awards* (\$)(2)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$)(3)	All other compensation (\$)(4)	Total (\$)
Steven F. Udvar-Házy <i>Chairman and Chief Executive Officer</i>	2014	1,800,000	347,328	3,897,384	3,420,000	209,717	9,674,429
	2013	1,800,000	526,291	3,376,861	3,276,000	142,934	9,122,086
	2012	1,800,000	353,045	2,648,618	2,059,200	131,514	6,992,377
John L. Plueger <i>President and Chief Operating Officer</i>	2014	1,500,000	258,552	2,793,105	2,850,000	80,373	7,482,030
	2013	1,500,000	410,561	2,412,042	2,730,000	70,242	7,122,845
	2012	1,500,000	214,061	1,888,367	1,372,800	37,969	5,013,197
Jie Chen <i>Executive Vice President & Managing Director, Asia</i>	2014	905,596	228,500	1,063,983	2,141,502	30,191	4,369,772
	2013	876,563	303,148	930,018	2,027,917	25,470	4,163,116
	2012	838,062	742,969	742,937	1,200,176	25,351	3,549,495
Grant A. Levy <i>Executive Vice President</i>	2014	794,375	139,148	933,331	1,516,675	30,191	3,413,720
	2013	773,333	226,930	841,188	1,410,500	31,850	3,283,801
	2012	761,875	102,547	675,398	875,160	27,526	2,442,506
Gregory B. Willis <i>Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer</i>	2014	443,333	43,969	409,224	641,250	16,441	1,554,217
	2013	401,969	25,886	306,571	492,492	50,449	1,277,367
	2012	330,833	19,500	200,706	297,297	18,372	866,708

*

Stock awards consist of RSUs relating to shares of our Class A Common Stock.

(1)

Bonus: The 2014 bonus amounts are the payout of deferred bonuses vested on December 31, 2014.

(2)

Stock Awards: These amounts represent the aggregate grant date fair value of awards of RSUs granted to our NEOs, computed in accordance with GAAP. Assumptions used in the calculations of these amounts, which do not correspond to the actual value that may be realized by the NEO, are included in Note 11 "Stock Based Compensation" to the financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014. The value of the full award for each of Messrs. Udvar-Házy, Plueger, Chen, Levy and Willis at 200% is \$6,153,203, \$4,409,757, \$1,679,825, \$1,473,552 and \$646,087 respectively.

(3)

Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation. The amount set forth for each of Messrs. Udvar-Házy, Plueger, Levy and Willis represents his annual incentive award for 2014 and the amount set forth for Mr. Chen represents \$1,729,000 for his annual incentive award and \$412,502 for his special performance bonus, each as described above under the sections titled *Compensation Discussion and Analysis Elements of the Executive Compensation Program Annual Cash Incentive* and *Compensation Discussion and Analysis Elements of the Executive Compensation Program Other Compensation Special Bonus for Mr. Chen.*

(4)

Premium Payments: In 2014, we paid premiums on term life insurance policies for Messrs. Udvar-Házy, Plueger, Chen, Levy, and Willis, in the aggregate amounts of \$40,786, \$9,749, \$3,511, \$3,511, and \$3,316, respectively.

401(k) Employer Matching Contributions: In 2014, we made matching contributions to a 401(k) savings plan that we maintain for our employees of \$26,680 for each of Messrs. Udvar-Házy, Plueger, Chen, and Levy and \$13,125 for Willis, in accordance with our policy.

Personal Aircraft Usage: In 2014, the incremental cost of the personal use of the Company aircraft for Mr. Udvar-Házy was \$142,251 and for Mr. Plueger was \$43,944 and as described above under *Compensation Discussion and Analysis Elements of the Executive Compensation Program Personal Use of Company Aircraft*.

Edgar Filing: AIR LEASE CORP - Form DEF 14A

Table of Contents

Grants of Plan-Based Awards

The following table sets forth information concerning grants of plan-based awards made to our NEOs during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014.

Name	Grant date(s)(1)	Type of award	Estimated future payouts under Non-equity incentive plan awards			Estimated future payouts under Equity incentive plan awards			Grant date fair value of stock and option awards(4)
			Threshold (\$)	Target (\$)	Maximum (\$)	Threshold (#)(2)	Target (#)	Maximum (#)(3)	
Mr. Udvar-Házy		Annual Bonus	\$	\$ 1,800,000	\$ 3,600,000	\$	\$	\$	\$
		Book Value							
	2/25/2014	RSU					46,715		1,641,565
	2/25/2014	TSR RSU				11,679	46,714	93,428	2,255,819
Mr. Plueger		Annual Bonus		1,500,000	3,000,000				
		Book Value							
	2/25/2014	RSU					33,479		1,176,452
	2/25/2014	TSR RSU				8,370	33,478	66,956	1,616,653
Mr. Chen		Annual Bonus		910,000	1,820,000				