

DNA BRANDS INC
Form 424B2
June 08, 2011

PROSPECTUS

Filed Pursuant to Rule 424(b)(2)
Registration No. 333-171177

DNA BRANDS, INC.

3,573,980 Shares of Common Stock

This Prospectus relates to the resale by the selling stockholders (the "Selling Stockholders") of 3,573,980 shares of our common stock (the "Common Stock" or the "Securities"). The Selling Stockholders may sell their shares of our Common Stock from time to time at the then prevailing market price or privately negotiated prices. See "SELLING STOCKHOLDERS" and "PLAN OF DISTRIBUTION."

We will pay the expenses of registering these shares. We will not receive any proceeds from the sale of shares of Common Stock in this Offering. All of the net proceeds from the sale of our Common Stock will go to the Selling Stockholders.

Our Common Stock is currently listed for trading on the OTC Bulletin Board under the symbol "DNAX". On May 26, 2011, the closing price for our Common Stock was \$1.00.

Investing in our Common Stock involves a high degree of risk. You should invest in our Common Stock only if you can afford to lose your entire investment.

SEE "RISK FACTORS" BEGINNING ON PAGE 3.

The information in this Prospectus is not complete and may be changed. This Prospectus is included in the registration statement that was filed by DNA Brands, Inc. with the Securities and Exchange Commission. The Selling Stockholders may not sell these Shares until the registration statement becomes effective. This Prospectus is not an offer to sell these Shares and is not soliciting an offer to buy these Shares in any State where the offer or sale is not permitted.

Neither the Securities and Exchange Commission nor any state securities commission has approved or disapproved of these securities or determined if this prospectus is truthful or complete. Any representation to the contrary is a criminal offense.

The date of this Prospectus is June 7, 2011

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page No.
Prospectus Summary	1
Special Note About Forward-Looking Statements	3
Risk Factors	3
Market Price of and Dividends on the Company's Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters	13
Selling Stockholders	15
Plan of Distribution	16
Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	17
Description of Business	25
Management	41
Executive Compensation	43
Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners & Management	44
Certain Relationships and Related Transactions	44
Description of Securities	46
Shares Eligible for Future Sale	46
Interests of Named Experts and Counsel	47
Legal Matters	47
Experts	47
Disclosure of Commission Position on Indemnification for Securities Act Liabilities	47
Additional Information	48
Financial Statements	48

PROSPECTUS SUMMARY

This summary provides an overview of certain information contained elsewhere in this Prospectus and does not contain all of the information that you should consider or that may be important to you. Before making an investment decision, you should read the entire Prospectus carefully, including the “Risk Factors” section and the financial statements and the notes to the financial statements. In this Prospectus, the terms “DNA,” “the “Company,” “we,” “us” and “our” refer to DNA Brands, Inc., unless otherwise specified herein.

Overview

DNA Brands, Inc. (hereinafter referred to as “us,” “our,” “we,” the “Company” or “DNA”) was incorporated in the State of Colorado on May 23, 2007 under the name “Famous Products, Inc.” Prior to July 6, 2010 we were a holding company operating as a promotion and advertising company. Our current business commenced in May 2006 in the State of Florida under the name “Grass Roots Beverage Company, Inc.” (“Grass Roots”). Initial operations of Grass Roots included development of our energy drinks, sampling and other marketing efforts and initial distribution in the State of Florida.

Effective July 6, 2010, we executed agreements to acquire all of the assets, liabilities and contract rights of DNA Beverage Corporation of Boca Raton, Florida (“DNA Beverage”), including 100% of the common stock of DNA Beverage’s wholly owned subsidiary Grass Roots Beverage Company, Inc. (“Grass Roots”) in exchange for the issuance of 31,250,000 shares of our common stock. We were classified as a “shell” company prior to the aforesaid transaction. As part of the terms of these transactions:

- we amended our Articles of Incorporation to change our name to “DNA Brands, Inc.” and our authorized capital to 100,000,000 shares of Common Stock and 10,000,000 shares of Preferred stock. A relevant Information Statement regarding this action was not filed or disseminated to our shareholders of record on the date this action occurred. As a result, it is possible that we, along with our former and current officers and directors may have potential liability for non-compliance under the laws of the State of Colorado as well as federal securities laws. We believe that any such potential liability would not be considered material;
- our former President agreed to voluntarily redeem 19,274,400 common shares back to us;
- our former Board of Directors approved a “spin-off” of our wholly owned subsidiary company, Fancy Face Promotions, Inc., a Colorado corporation. The terms of this “spin-off” provide for a dividend to be issued to our shareholders of one share of common stock for every share that our shareholders owned as of June 30, 2010, the record date of the dividend.
- our former officers and directors resigned their positions with us and were replaced by the former management team of DNA Beverage. Mr. Darren Marks, became a director and our President and CEO, and Mr. Melvin Leiner, became a director and our Executive Vice President, Secretary and COO/CFO. See “MANAGEMENT.”

The share issuance represented approximately 94.6% of our outstanding shares at the time of issuance.

We incurred net losses of (\$7,468,422) and (\$3,918,721), respectively, during the years ending December 31, 2010 and 2009. For the three month period ended March 31, 2011, we incurred a net loss of \$1,066,677, or \$0.03 per basic and fully diluted share, as compared to a net loss of \$2,420,623, or \$0.13 per basic and fully diluted share during the corresponding period of the prior year. Based upon our current business plan, our ability to begin to generate profits

from operations is dependent upon our obtaining additional financing and there can be no assurances that we will ever establish profitable operations. See "RISK FACTORS" and "MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS."

Our principal offices are located at 506 NW 77th Street, Boca Raton, Florida, 33487, telephone (954) 970-3826. Our website is www.dnabrandsusa.com.

About The Offering

Common Stock to be Offered by Selling Shareholders 3,573,980 shares, which represents approximately 10% of the total number of shares outstanding following this Offering.

Number of shares outstanding before and after the Offering 36,024,030 (1)

Use of Proceeds We will not receive any proceeds from the sale of the Common Stock by the Selling Shareholders.

Risk Factors See the discussion under the caption “RISK FACTORS” and other information in this Prospectus for a discussion of factors you should carefully consider before deciding to invest in our Common Stock.

(1) Because we are not selling any of our Common Stock as part of this Offering, the number of issued and outstanding shares of our Common Stock will remain the same following this Offering.

Selected Financial Data

The following summary of our financial information at December 31, 2010 and 2009, and for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, has been derived from, and should be read in conjunction with, our audited financial statements included elsewhere in this Prospectus. The summary of our financial information as at March 31, 2011 and for the three month periods ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 has been derived from, and should be read in conjunction with, our unaudited interim financial statements also included elsewhere in this Prospectus.

Statement of Operations:

	Three Months Ended		Year Ended December 31,	
	March 31,	March 31,	2010	2009
	2011	2010		
Revenues	\$265,817	\$310,305	\$1,168,461	\$667,276
Total operating expenses	\$1,142,715	\$2,412,578	\$7,651,728	\$3,627,903
(Loss) from operations	\$(1,057,612)	\$(2,363,703)	\$(7,352,341)	\$(3,428,747)
Other (expense)	\$(9,065)	\$(56,920)	\$(116,081)	\$(489,974)
Provision for income tax	\$-			
Net (loss)	\$(1,066,677)	\$(2,420,623)	\$(7,468,422)	\$(3,918,721)

Edgar Filing: DNA BRANDS INC - Form 424B2

Net (loss) per share – (basic and fully diluted	\$ (0.03)	\$ (0.13)	\$ (0.28)	\$ (0.26)
Weighted average common shares outstanding	35,031,697		19,072,805		26,729,555		15,366,097	

2

Balance Sheet:

	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010	December 31, 2009
Cash	\$90,579	\$74,604	\$11,392
Current assets	\$718,003	\$438,824	\$298,860
Total assets	\$763,120	\$493,105	\$340,888
Current liabilities	\$2,933,879	\$2,951,266	\$2,766,431
Total liabilities	\$3,405,635	\$2,954,443	\$3,381,113
Total stockholders' equity	\$(2,642,515)	\$(2,461,338)	\$(3,040,225)

SPECIAL NOTE ABOUT FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

We have made some statements in this Prospectus, including some under "RISK FACTORS," "MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS," "DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS" and elsewhere, which constitute forward-looking statements. These statements may discuss our future expectations or contain projections of our results of operations or financial condition or expected benefits to us resulting from acquisitions or transactions and involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause our actual results, levels of activity, performance or achievements to be materially different from any results, levels of activity, performance or achievements expressed or implied by any forward-looking statements. These factors include, among other things, those listed under "RISK FACTORS" and elsewhere in this Prospectus. In some cases, forward-looking statements can be identified by terminology such as "may," "should," "could," "expects," "intends," "plans," "anticipates," "believes," "estimates," "predicts," "potential" or negative of these terms or other comparable terminology. Although we believe that the expectations reflected in forward-looking statements are reasonable, we cannot guarantee future results, levels of activity, performance or achievements.

RISK FACTORS

An investment in our Common Stock is a risky investment. In addition to the other information contained in this Prospectus, prospective investors should carefully consider the following risk factors before purchasing shares of our Common Stock offered hereby. We believe that we have included all material risks.

Risks Related to our Operations

Our independent accountants have expressed a "going concern" opinion.

Our financial statements accompanying this Prospectus have been prepared assuming that we will continue as a going concern, which contemplates the realization of assets and liquidation of liabilities in the normal course of business. The financial statements do not include any adjustment that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty. We have a minimal operating history and minimal revenues or earnings from operations. We have no significant assets or financial resources. We will, in all likelihood, sustain operating expenses without corresponding revenues, at least until the third quarter of our fiscal year ending December 31, 2011, provided that we are successful in obtaining additional financing. See "DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS" and "MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS – Liquidity and Capital Resources." There are no assurances that we will generate profits from operations.

We have not generated profits from our operations.

We incurred net losses of (\$7,468,422) and (\$3,918,721), respectively, during the years ending December 31, 2010 and 2009. For the three month period ended March 31, 2011, we incurred a net loss of \$1,066,677, or \$0.03 per basic and fully diluted share, as compared to a net loss of \$2,420,623, or \$0.13 per basic and fully diluted share during the corresponding period of the prior year. Based upon our current business plan, our ability to begin to generate profits from operations is dependent upon our obtaining additional financing and there can be no assurances that we will ever establish profitable operations. As we pursue our business plan, we are incurring significant expenses without corresponding revenues. In the event that we remain unable to generate significant revenues to pay our operating expenses, we will not be able to achieve profitability or continue operations.

Our ability to continue as a going concern is dependent on raising additional capital, which we may not be able to do on favorable terms, or at all.

We need to raise additional capital to support our current operations and fund our sales and marketing programs. We estimate that we will need a minimum of \$3 million in additional capital in order to generate profits from operations. We can provide no assurance that additional funding will be available on a timely basis, on terms acceptable to us, or at all. If we are unsuccessful raising additional funding, our business may not continue as a going concern. Even if we do find additional funding sources, we may be required to issue securities with greater rights than those currently possessed by holders of our common stock. We may also be required to take other actions that may lessen the value of our common stock or dilute our common stockholders, including borrowing money on terms that are not favorable to us or issuing additional equity securities. If we experience difficulties raising money in the future, our business and liquidity will be materially adversely affected.

We do not currently have an external line of credit facility with any financial institution.

As indicated above, we have estimated that we need approximately \$3 million in additional capital to generate profits from operations. We have attempted to establish credit facilities with financial institutions but have experienced little or no success in these attempts due primarily to the current economic climate, specifically the reluctance of most financial institutions to provide such lines of credit to relatively new business ventures. We also have limited assets available to secure such a line of credit. We intend to continue to attempt to establish an external line of credit in the future, but there can be no assurances we will be able to do so. The failure to obtain an external line of credit could have a negative impact on our ability to generate profits.

Our financial results may fluctuate from period to period as a result of several factors which could adversely affect our stock price.

Our operating results may fluctuate significantly in the future as a result of a variety of factors, many of which are outside our control. Factors that will affect our financial results include:

- acceptance of our products and market penetration;
- the amount and timing of capital expenditures and other costs relating to the implementation of our business plan;
- the introduction of new products by our competitors; and
- general economic conditions and economic conditions specific to our industry.

As a strategic response to changes in the competitive environment, we may from time to time make certain pricing, service, or marketing decisions or acquisitions that could have a material adverse effect on our business, prospects, financial condition, and results of operations.

We are dependent upon third party suppliers of our raw materials.

We are dependent on outside vendors for our supplies of raw materials. While we believe that there are numerous sources of supply available, if the third party suppliers were to cease production or otherwise fail to supply us with quality raw materials in sufficient quantities on a timely basis and we were unable to contract on acceptable terms for these services with alternative suppliers, our ability to produce our products would be materially adversely affected.

We rely on our distributors, retailers and brokers, and this could affect our ability to efficiently and profitably distribute and market our products, maintain our existing markets and expand our business into other geographic markets.

Our ability to establish a market for our brands and products in new geographic distribution areas, as well as maintain and expand our existing markets, is dependent on our ability to establish and maintain successful relationships with reliable distributors, retailers and brokers strategically positioned to serve those areas. Most of our distributors, retailers and brokers sell and distribute competing products, including non-alcoholic and alcoholic beverages, and our products may represent a small portion of their business. To the extent that our distributors, retailers and brokers are distracted from selling our products or do not employ sufficient efforts in managing and selling our products, including re-stocking the retail shelves with our products, our sales and results of operations could be adversely affected. Our ability to maintain our distribution network and attract additional distributors, retailers and brokers will depend on a number of factors, some of which are outside our control. Some of these factors include:

- the level of demand for our brands and products in a particular distribution area;
- our ability to price our products at levels competitive with those of competing products; and
- our ability to deliver products in the quantity and at the time ordered by distributors, retailers and brokers.

We may not be able to meet all or any of these factors in any of our current or prospective geographic areas of distribution. Our inability to achieve any of these factors in a geographic distribution area will have a material adverse effect on our relationships with our distributors, retailers and brokers in that particular geographic area, thus limiting our ability to expand our market, which will likely adversely affect our revenues and financial results.

We generally do not have long-term agreements with our distributors, and we incur significant time and expense in attracting and maintaining key distributors.

Our marketing and sales strategy depends in large part on the availability and performance of our independent distributors. We have entered into written agreements with many of our distributors in the U.S., with normal industry terms of one year and automatically renewable for one year terms thereafter. We currently do not have, nor do we anticipate in the future that we will be able to establish, long-term contractual commitments from many of our distributors. In addition, despite the terms of the written agreements with many of our top distributors, there are no minimum levels of purchases under many of those agreements, and most of the agreements may be terminated at any time by us, generally with a termination fee. We may not be able to maintain our current distribution relationships or establish and maintain successful relationships with distributors in new geographic distribution areas. Moreover, there

is the additional possibility that we may have to incur additional expenditures to attract and maintain key distributors in one or more of our geographic distribution areas in order to profitably exploit our geographic markets.

If we lose any of our key distributors or regional retail accounts, our financial condition and results of operations could be adversely affected.

We anticipate that, as consumer awareness of our brand develops and increases, we will continue to upgrade and expand our distributor network and accounts, we cannot be assured that we will be able to maintain our key distributor base which may result in an adverse effect on our revenues and financial results, our ability to retain our relationships with our distributors and our ability to expand our market and will place an increased dependence on any one or more of our independent distributors or regional accounts.

Because our distributors are not required to place minimum orders with us, we need to manage our inventory levels, and it is difficult to predict the timing and amount of our sales.

Our independent distributors are not required to place minimum monthly or annual orders for our products. In order to reduce inventory costs, independent distributors endeavor to order products from us on a “just in time” basis in quantities, and at such times, based on the demand for the products in a particular distribution area. Accordingly, there is no assurance as to the timing or quantity of purchases by any of our independent distributors or that any of our distributors will continue to purchase products from us in the same frequencies and volumes as they may have done in the past. In order to be able to deliver our products on a timely basis, we need to maintain adequate inventory levels of the desired products, but we cannot predict the number of cases sold by any of our distributors. If we fail to meet our shipping schedules, we could damage our relationships with distributors and/or retailers, increase our shipping costs or cause sales opportunities to be delayed or lost, which would unfavorably impact our future sales and adversely affect our operating results. In addition, if the inventory of our products held by our distributors and/or retailers is too high, they will not place orders for additional products, which would also unfavorably impact our future sales and adversely affect our operating results.

Our business plan and future growth is dependent in part on our distribution arrangements directly with retailers and regional retail accounts. If we are unable to establish and maintain these arrangements, our results of operations and financial condition could be adversely affected.

We currently have distribution arrangements with a few regional retail accounts to distribute our products directly through their venues; however, there are several risks associated with this distribution strategy. First, we do not have long-term agreements in place with any of these accounts and thus, the arrangements are terminable at any time by these retailers or us. Accordingly, we may not be able to maintain continuing relationships with any of these national accounts. A decision by any of these retailers, or any other large retail accounts we may obtain, to decrease the amount purchased from us or to cease carrying our products could have a material adverse effect on our reputation, financial condition or results of operations. In addition, we may not be able to establish additional distribution arrangements with other national retailers.

We have dedicated, and will continue to dedicate, significant resources to our sponsorship agreements and may not realize the benefits expected from those agreements.

Our sponsorship agreements require us to make substantial annual payments in exchange for certain promotional and branding benefits. There can be no assurance, however, that the benefit we anticipate from those and similar agreements will compensate for the annual payment commitments required by the agreements. These commitments are significant, totaling approximately \$550,000 over the remaining terms of the agreements as of December 31, 2010.

Given our limited cash resources, we intend to continue attempting to renegotiate these sponsorship agreements in order to reduce our payment obligations. Relevant thereto, in January 2011 we successfully renegotiated the contractual obligation with Star Racing wherein we agreed to issue 600,000 shares of our Common Stock to offset a \$268,000 cash payment due for the 2011 season. There can be no assurance that our association with these particular sponsors will have a positive effect on our image and brand. There is a risk that we will be unable to recover the costs associated with our sponsorship agreements, which would have an adverse effect on our results of operations.

We rely on independent contract packers of our products, and this dependence could make management of our marketing and distribution efforts inefficient or unprofitable.

We do not own the plants or the majority of the equipment required to manufacture and package our beverage products, and do not directly manufacture our products but instead outsource the manufacturing process to third party bottlers and independent contract packers (co-packers). We do not anticipate bringing the manufacturing process in-house in the future. We currently use 7 Up Southeast Snapple as our primary co-packer to prepare, bottle and package our products. Our contract packers are located in Jacksonville, FL. 7-Up Southeast Snapple has several co-packing plants located throughout the US that are capable of bottling product should we so require. As a consequence, we depend on independent contract packers to produce our beverage products.

We do not have written agreements with our contract packers.

Our ability to attract and maintain effective relationships with our contract packers and other third parties for the production and delivery of our beverage products in a particular geographic distribution area is important to the achievement of successful operations within each distribution area. While we believe there are other contract packers that can provide the services we need, there are no assurances that we will be able to identify and reach a mutually agreeable arrangement with a new contract packer in a specific geographic region if necessary. This could also affect the economic terms of our agreements with our packers. There is no written agreement with our contract packers and they may terminate their arrangements with us at any time, in which case we could experience disruptions in our ability to deliver products to our customers. We may not be able to maintain our relationships with current contract packers or establish satisfactory relationships with new or replacement contract packers, whether in existing or new geographic distribution areas. The failure to establish and maintain effective relationships with contract packers for a distribution area could increase our manufacturing costs and thereby materially reduce profits realized from the sale of our products in that area. In addition, poor relations with any of our contract packers could adversely affect the amount and timing of product delivered to our distributors for resale, which would in turn adversely affect our revenues and financial condition.

As is customary in the contract packing industry for comparably sized companies, we are expected to arrange for our contract packing needs sufficiently in advance of anticipated requirements. To the extent demand for our products exceeds available inventory and the capacities produced by contract packing arrangements, or orders are not submitted on a timely basis, we will be unable to fulfill distributor orders on demand. Conversely, we may produce more product than warranted by the actual demand for it, resulting in higher storage costs and the potential risk of inventory spoilage. Our failure to accurately predict and manage our contract packaging requirements may impair relationships with our independent distributors and key accounts, which, in turn, would likely have a material adverse effect on our ability to maintain effective relationships with those distributors and key accounts.

Our business and financial results depend on the continuous supply and availability of raw materials.

The principal raw materials we use include aluminum cans, labels and cardboard cartons, flavorings, and proprietary energy blend ingredients which include vitamins and minerals. The cost of our ingredients is subject to fluctuation. If our supply of these raw materials is impaired or if prices increase significantly, our business would be adversely affected.

We may not correctly estimate demand for our products. Our ability to estimate demand for our products is imprecise, particularly with new products, and may be less precise during periods of rapid growth, particularly in new markets. If we materially underestimate demand for our products or are unable to secure sufficient ingredients or raw materials including, but not limited to, cans, glass, labels, flavors, supplements, and certain sweeteners, or sufficient packing arrangements, we might not be able to satisfy demand on a short-term basis. Moreover, industry-wide shortages of certain concentrates, supplements and sweeteners have been experienced and could, from time to time in the future, be experienced, which could interfere with and/or delay production of certain of our products and could have a material adverse effect on our business and financial results.

Disruption of our supply chain could have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Our ability and that of our suppliers, business partners (including packagers), contract manufacturers, independent distributors and retailers to make, move and sell products is critical to our success. Damage or disruption to manufacturing or distribution capabilities due to weather, natural disaster, fire or explosion, terrorism, pandemics such as avian flu, strikes or other reasons, could impair our ability to manufacture or sell our products. Failure to take adequate steps to mitigate the likelihood or potential impact of such events, or to effectively manage such events if they occur, could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations, as well as require additional resources to restore our supply chain.

If we are unable to maintain brand image and product quality, or if we encounter other product issues such as product recalls, our business may suffer.

Our success depends on our ability to maintain brand image for our existing products and effectively build up brand image for new products and brand extensions. There can be no assurance, however, that additional expenditures and our advertising and marketing will have the desired impact on our products' brand image and on consumer preferences. Product quality issues, real or imagined, or allegations of product contamination, even when false or unfounded, could tarnish the image of the affected brands and may cause consumers to choose other products.

In addition, because of changing government regulations or implementation thereof, allegations of product contamination may require us from time to time to recall products entirely or from specific markets. Product recalls could affect our profitability and could negatively affect brand image. Adverse publicity surrounding obesity concerns, water usage and other concerns could negatively affect our overall reputation and our products' acceptance by consumers.

The inability to attract and retain key personnel would directly affect our efficiency and results of operations.

Our success depends on our ability to attract and retain highly qualified employees in such areas as production, distribution, sales, marketing and finance. We compete to hire new employees, and, in some cases, must train them and develop their skills and competencies. Our operating results could be adversely affected by increased costs due to increased competition for employees, higher employee turnover or increased employee benefit costs. We expect that given our continued exploration of strategic alternatives, we may be further impacted by turnover among employees. Any unplanned turnover, particularly involving one of our key personnel, could negatively impact our operations,

financial condition and employee morale.

Our inability to protect our trademarks, patents and trade secrets may prevent us from successfully marketing our products and competing effectively.

Failure to protect our intellectual property could harm our brand and our reputation, and adversely affect our ability to compete effectively. Further, enforcing or defending our intellectual property rights, including our trademarks, patents, copyrights and trade secrets, could result in the expenditure of significant financial and managerial resources. We regard our intellectual property, particularly our trademarks, patents and trade secrets to be of considerable value and importance to our business and our success. We rely on a combination of trademark, patent, and trade secrecy laws, confidentiality procedures and contractual provisions to protect our intellectual property rights. There can be no assurance that the steps taken by us to protect these proprietary rights will be adequate or that third parties will not infringe or misappropriate our trademarks, patented processes, trade secrets or similar proprietary rights. In addition, there can be no assurance that other parties will not assert infringement claims against us, and we may have to pursue litigation against other parties to assert our rights. Any such claim or litigation could be costly. In addition, any event that would jeopardize our proprietary rights or any claims of infringement by third parties could have a material adverse effect on our ability to market or sell our brands, profitably exploit our products or recoup our associated research and development costs.

Litigation or legal proceedings could expose us to significant liabilities and damage our reputation.

We may become party to litigation claims and legal proceedings. Litigation involves significant risks, uncertainties and costs, including distraction of management attention away from our current business operations. We evaluate litigation claims and legal proceedings to assess the likelihood of unfavorable outcomes and to estimate, if possible, the amount of potential losses. Based on these assessments and estimates, we establish reserves and/or disclose the relevant litigation claims or legal proceedings, as appropriate. These assessments and estimates are based on the information available to management at the time and involve a significant amount of management judgment. We caution you that actual outcomes or losses may differ materially from those envisioned by our current assessments and estimates. Our policies and procedures require strict compliance by our employees and agents with all United States and local laws and regulations applicable to our business operations, including those prohibiting improper payments to government officials. Nonetheless, there can be no assurance that our policies and procedures will always ensure full compliance by our employees and agents with all applicable legal requirements. Improper conduct by our employees or agents could damage our reputation in the United States and internationally or lead to litigation or legal proceedings that could result in civil or criminal penalties, including substantial monetary fines, as well as disgorgement of profits.

Changes in accounting standards and subjective assumptions, estimates and judgments by management related to complex accounting matters could significantly affect our financial results.

Generally accepted accounting principles and related pronouncements, implementation guidelines and interpretations with regard to a wide variety of matters that are relevant to our business, such as, but not limited to, revenue recognition, stock-based compensation, trade promotions, sports sponsorship agreements and income taxes are highly complex and involve many subjective assumptions, estimates and judgments by our management. Changes to these rules or their interpretation or changes in underlying assumptions, estimates or judgments by our management could significantly change our reported results.

If we are unable to build and sustain proper information technology infrastructure, our business could suffer.

We depend on information technology as an enabler to improve the effectiveness of our operations and to interface with our customers, as well as to maintain financial accuracy and efficiency. If we do not allocate and effectively manage the resources necessary to build and sustain the proper technology infrastructure, we could be subject to transaction errors, processing inefficiencies, the loss of customers, business disruptions, or the loss of or damage to intellectual property through security breach. Our information systems could also be penetrated by outside parties intent on extracting information, corrupting information or disrupting business processes. Such unauthorized access could disrupt our business and could result in the loss of assets.

We have no manufacturing facilities and are largely dependent upon third parties to manufacture our products.

We have no manufacturing facilities and have entered into manufacturing arrangements with third parties to manufacture our products. Accordingly, our ability to market our products is partially dependent on our relationships with our third party contract manufacturers and their ability to manufacture our products on a timely basis in accordance with our specifications. While we believe that there are numerous other third party manufacturers capable of manufacturing our products, should we not be able to continue to obtain contract manufacturing on commercially

reasonable terms with our current suppliers, we may experience difficulty obtaining inventory rapidly when needed. Any of such events may materially, adversely affect our business, prospects, financial condition, and results of operations.

Our success depends, to an extent, upon the continued services of Darren Marks, our President and Chief Executive Officer and Mel Leiner, our Chief Financial Officer and Chief Operating Officer.

We rely on the services of Darren Marks and Mel Leiner, our founders, for strategic and operational management and the relationships they have built. The loss of either of Messrs. Marks or Leiner could also result in the loss of our favorable relationships with one or more of our customers. We have not entered into an employment agreement with either Mr. Marks or Leiner but expect to do so in the near future. In addition, we do not maintain “key person” life insurance covering any of our management and we do not expect to obtain the same in the future due primarily to the cost of premiums for such insurance and our limited financial resources. This could also preclude our ability to attract and retain qualified persons to agree to become directors of our Company.

The industry in which we operate is highly competitive.

Numerous well-known companies, which have substantially greater capital, research and development capabilities and experience than we have, are presently engaged in the energy drink and meat product market. By virtue of having or introducing competitive products on the market before us, these entities may gain a competitive advantage. If we are unable to successfully compete in our chosen markets, our business, prospects, financial condition, and results of operations would be materially adversely affected.

Provisions of our Articles of Incorporation and Bylaws may delay or prevent a take-over that may not be in the best interests of our stockholders.

Provisions of our Articles of Incorporation and Bylaws may be deemed to have anti-takeover effects, which include when and by whom special meetings of our stockholders may be called, and may delay, defer or prevent a takeover attempt.

In addition, our Articles of Incorporation authorizes the issuance of up to 10,000,000 shares of Preferred Stock with such rights and preferences determined from time to time by our Board of Directors. As of the date of this Prospectus, none of our Preferred Stock is currently issued or outstanding. Our Board of Directors may, without stockholder approval, issue additional Preferred Stock with dividends, liquidation, conversion, voting or other rights that could adversely affect the voting power or other rights of the holders of our Common Stock.

Our failure to maintain and develop our brand names could adversely affect our revenues.

We believe that maintaining and developing our brand name, including the trademark “DNA®” are critical to our success. The importance of our name recognition may increase as our products gain market acceptance and as we enter additional markets. If our brand building strategy is unsuccessful, we may be unable to increase our future revenues or expand our products and services. Such events would have a material adverse effect on our business, prospects, financial condition and results of operations.

Any inability by us to respond to changes in consumer demands in a timely manner could materially adversely affect our business, prospects, financial condition, and results of operations.

Our success depends on our ability to identify, originate and define product trends in our markets, as well as to anticipate, gauge and react to changing consumer demands in a timely manner. Our products must appeal to a broad range of consumers whose preferences cannot be predicted with certainty and are subject to periodic change. We may not be able to meet changing consumer demands in the future. If we misjudge the market for our products, we may be faced with significant excess inventories for some products and missed opportunities for other products. Either of such events could have a material adverse effect on our business, prospects, financial condition, and results of operations.

Risks Related to our Common Stock

There is a limited trading market for our Common Stock and there can be no assurance that a larger market will develop in the future.

In the absence of a public trading market, an investor may be unable to liquidate his investment in our Company.

We do not have significant financial reporting experience, which may lead to delays in filing required reports with the Securities and Exchange Commission and suspension of quotation of our securities on the OTCBB which will make it more difficult for you to sell your securities.

The OTCBB, an inter-dealer quotation system, and other national stock exchanges each limits quotations to securities of issuers that are current in their reports filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Because we do not have significant financial reporting experience, we may experience delays in filing required reports with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"). Because issuers whose securities are qualified for quotation on the OTCBB or any other national exchange are required to file these reports with the SEC in a timely manner, the failure to do so may result in a suspension of trading or delisting.

There are no automated systems for negotiating trades on the OTCBB and it is possible for the price of a stock to go up or down significantly during a lapse of time between placing a market order and its execution, which may affect your trades in our securities.

Because there are no automated systems for negotiating trades on the OTCBB, they are conducted via telephone. In times of heavy market volume, the limitations of this process may result in a significant increase in the time it takes to execute investor orders. Therefore, when investors place market orders, an order to buy or sell a specific number of shares at the current market price, it is possible for the price of a stock to go up or down significantly during the lapse of time between placing a market order and its execution.

Our stock will be considered a "penny stock" so long as it trades below \$5.00 per share. This can adversely affect its liquidity.

Our Common Stock is considered a "penny stock" and will continue to be considered a penny stock so long as it trades below \$5.00 per share and as such, trading in our Common Stock will be subject to the requirements of Rule 15c-9 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Under this rule, broker/dealers who recommend low-priced securities to persons other than established customers and accredited investors must satisfy special sales practice requirements. The broker/dealer must make an individualized written suitability determination for the purchaser and receive the purchaser's written consent prior to the transaction.

SEC regulations also require additional disclosure in connection with any trades involving a "penny stock," including the delivery, prior to any penny stock transaction, of a disclosure schedule explaining the penny stock market and its associated risks. In addition, broker-dealers must disclose commissions payable to both the broker-dealer and the registered representative and current quotations for the securities they offer. The additional burdens imposed upon broker-dealers by such requirements may discourage broker-dealers from recommending transactions in our securities, which could severely limit the liquidity of our securities and consequently adversely affect the market price for our securities. In addition, few broker or dealers are likely to undertake these compliance activities. Other risks associated with trading in penny stocks could also be price fluctuations and the lack of a liquid market.

We do not anticipate payment of dividends, and investors will be wholly dependent upon the market for the Common Stock to realize economic benefit from their investment.

As holders of our Common Stock, you will only be entitled to receive those dividends that are declared by our Board of Directors out of retained earnings. We do not expect to have retained earnings available for declaration of dividends in the foreseeable future. There is no assurance that such retained earnings will ever materialize to permit payment of dividends to you. Our Board of Directors will determine future dividend policy based upon our results of operations, financial condition, capital requirements, reserve needs and other circumstances.

Any adverse effect on the market price of our Common Stock could make it difficult for us to raise additional capital through sales of equity securities at a time and at a price that we deem appropriate.

Sales of substantial amounts of our Common Stock, or in anticipation that such sales could occur, may materially and adversely affect prevailing market prices for our Common Stock.

The market price of our Common Stock may fluctuate significantly in the future.

We expect that the market price of our Common Stock may fluctuate in response to one or more of the following factors, many of which are beyond our control:

- competitive pricing pressures;
- our ability to market our services on a cost-effective and timely basis;
- our inability to obtain working capital financing, if needed;
- changing conditions in the market;
- changes in market valuations of similar companies;
- stock market price and volume fluctuations generally;
- regulatory developments;
- fluctuations in our quarterly or annual operating results;
- additions or departures of key personnel; and
- future sales of our Common Stock or other securities.

The price at which you purchase shares of our Common Stock may not be indicative of the price that will prevail in the trading market. You may be unable to sell your shares of Common Stock at or above your purchase price, which may result in substantial losses to you and which may include the complete loss of your investment. In the past, securities class action litigation has often been brought against a company following periods of stock price volatility. We may be the target of similar litigation in the future. Securities litigation could result in substantial costs and divert management's attention and our resources away from our business. Any of the risks described above could adversely affect our sales and profitability and also the price of our Common Stock.

Risks Relating To This Offering

The market price of our Common Stock is subject to volatility.

There can be no assurance that an active trading market for the securities offered herein will develop after this Offering, or, if developed, be sustained. Purchasers of our Common Stock may have difficulty selling their securities should they desire to do so and holders may lose their entire investment.

FINRA sales practice requirements may limit a stockholder's ability to buy and sell our stock.

The Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (“FINRA”) has adopted rules that require that in recommending an investment to a customer, a broker-dealer must have reasonable grounds for believing that the investment is suitable for that customer. Prior to recommending speculative low priced securities to their non-institutional customers, broker-dealers must make reasonable efforts to obtain information about the customer’s financial status, tax status, investment objectives and other information. Under interpretations of these rules, the FINRA believes that there is a high probability that speculative low priced securities will not be suitable for at least some customers. The FINRA requirements make it more difficult for broker-dealers to recommend that their customers buy our Common Stock, which may have the effect of reducing the level of trading activity in our Common Stock. As a result, fewer broker-dealers may be willing to make a market in our Common Stock, reducing a stockholder’s ability to resell shares of our Common Stock.

State securities laws may limit secondary trading, which may restrict the states in which you can sell the shares offered by this Prospectus.

If you purchase shares of our Common Stock sold in this Offering, you may not be able to resell the shares in any state unless and until the shares of our Common Stock are qualified for secondary trading under the applicable securities laws of such state or there is confirmation that an exemption, such as listing in certain recognized securities manuals, is available for secondary trading in such state. There can be no assurance that we will be successful in registering or qualifying our Common Stock for secondary trading, or identifying an available exemption for secondary trading in our Common Stock in every state. If we fail to register or qualify, or to obtain or verify an exemption for the secondary trading of, our Common Stock in any particular state, our Common Stock could not be offered or sold to, or purchased by, a resident of that state. In the event that a significant number of states refuse to permit secondary trading in our Common Stock, the market for our Common Stock will be limited which could drive down the market price of our Common Stock and reduce the liquidity of the shares of our Common Stock and a stockholder’s ability to resell shares of our Common Stock at all or at current market prices, which could increase a stockholder’s risk of losing some or all of his investment.

We cannot predict whether we will successfully effectuate our current business plan. Each prospective purchaser is encouraged to carefully analyze the risks and merits of an investment in our Common Stock and should take into consideration when making such analysis, among others, the Risk Factors discussed above.

MARKET PRICE OF AND DIVIDENDS ON THE COMPANY'S COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

Market Information

Trading of our Common Stock commenced on the OTCBB in July 2008 under the trading symbol “FPRD”. In November 2010 our trading symbol became “DNAX”.

The table below sets forth the reported high and low bid prices for the periods indicated. The bid prices shown reflect quotations between dealers, without adjustment for markups, markdowns or commissions, and may not represent actual transactions in our Common Stock.

Quarter Ended	High	Low
March 31, 2009	\$0.55	\$0.25
June 30, 2009	\$0.55	\$0.25
September 30, 2009	\$0.55	\$0.25
December 31, 2009	\$0.55	\$0.25
March 31, 2010	\$0.39	\$0.00
June 30, 2010	\$1.25	\$0.00
September 30, 2010	\$1.35	\$0.35
December 31, 2010	\$1.50	\$0.10
March 31, 2011	\$1.10	\$0.45

As of May 26, 2011, the closing bid price of our Common Stock was \$1.00.

Trading volume in our Common Stock has been very limited since we commenced trading. As a result, the trading price of our Common Stock is subject to significant fluctuations.

Holder

As of the date of this Prospectus we had 45 holders of record for our Common Shares. The number of record shareholders does not include those persons who hold their shares in "street name."

Dividend Policy

We have not paid any dividends since our incorporation and do not anticipate the payment of dividends in the foreseeable future. At present, our policy is to retain earnings, if any, to develop and market our products. The payment of dividends in the future will depend upon, among other factors, our earnings, capital requirements, and operating financial conditions.

SELLING STOCKHOLDERS

The persons listed in the following table plan to offer their shares shown opposite their respective names by means of this Prospectus. The Selling Stockholders are all U.S. persons who acquired their shares from us in either our private placement transaction pursuant to Regulation D promulgated under the 33 Act or as a result of authorized issuance by our Board of Directors. None of the Selling Stockholders herein have held any position, office or have had any other material relationship with us (or any of our predecessors or affiliates) over the past three years.

The following table provides as of the date of this Prospectus, information regarding the beneficial ownership of our Common Stock held by each of the Selling Stockholders and the percentage owned by each Selling Stockholder of the total shares offered herein and the percentage owned by each Selling Stockholder of the total issued and outstanding stock of the Company as of the date of this Prospectus.

Name of Selling Shareholder(1)	Shares of Common STOCK Owned Prior To OfferinG)	Shares of Common Stock Offered herein	Shares of Common Stock Owned After The Offering(1)	% of Ownership After the Offering(4)
DOUG APPLING(2)	50,000	50,000	-0-	0%
RICHARD BRAUN(3)	120,000	120,000	-0-	0%
BARRY COOPER(2)	100,000	100,000	-0-	0%
JAMES DEVLIN(2)	40,000	40,000	-0-	0%
DOUGLAS ENGERS(2)	20,000	20,000	-0-	0%
DOUGLAS ENGERS(2)	50,000	50,000	-0-	0%
KERRY GOODMAN(2)	200,000	200,000	-0-	0%
TONY JENSEN(2)	10,000	10,000	-0-	0%
BARRY KAYE(2)	100,000	100,000	-0-	0%
BARRY KAYE(2)	150,000	150,000	-0-	0%
CAROLE AND BARRY KAYE FOUNDATION(2)	100,000	100,000	-0-	0%
CAROLE AND BARRY KAYE FOUNDATION(2)	150,000	150,000	-0-	0%
CURTIS J. NELSON(2)	104,000	104,000	-0-	0%
JESSICA R.PATTERSON(3)	20,000	20,000	-0-	0%
BOBBY REGAN(3)	600,000	600,000	0-	0%
ROBERT C. ROBERSON(3)	100,000	100,000	-0-	0%
T O M O R C A R R I E SCHNEIDER(2)	20,000	20,000	-0-	0%
CLYDE SHELDON(2)	16,000	16,000	-0-	0%
ANDREW I. TELSEY(3)	673,980	673,980	-0-	0%
PHILIP TEMPLETON(2)	200,000	200,000	-0-	0%
WALTER THOMAS(2)	50,000	50,000	-0-	0%
WALTER THOMAS(2)	100,000	100,000	-0-	0%
LEONARD W. TILLMAN(2)	50,000	50,000	-0-	0%
LEONARD W. TILLMAN(2)	20,000	20,000	-0-	0%
U N I Q U E H E A L T H C A R E PRODUCTS INC.(2)	500,000	500,000	-0-	0%
ALAN WATSON(2)	20,000	20,000	-0-	0%
JEFFREY WHITE(2)	10,000	10,000	-0-	0%
TOTALS	3,573,980	3,573,980	-0-	

(1)The numbers in this table assume that none of the Selling Stockholders sells shares of Common Stock being offered in this Prospectus or purchases additional shares of our Common Stock.

(2) Issued as part of the private placement of shares undertaken by us between July 7, 2010 and January 5, 2011.

(3) Issued by us in transactions exempt from registration pursuant to Section 4/2 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, subsequent to September 8, 2010.

(4)Percentage of ownership is based on 36,024,030 shares of Common Stock outstanding as of the date of this Prospectus.

None of the Selling Stockholders have had a material relationship with us or any of our affiliates other than as a stockholder at any time within the past three years. See the table and footnotes to the table located in “SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS & MANAGEMENT” below.

PLAN OF DISTRIBUTION

The shares of common stock owned by the Selling Stockholders and any of his/her pledges, assignees, and successors-in-interest may, from time to time, be offered and sold from time to time on any stock exchange, market, or trading facility on which the shares are traded or in private transactions. The Selling Stockholders may offer shares in transactions at fixed or negotiated prices. Sales may be at fixed or negotiated prices. A selling security holder may use any one or more of the following methods when selling shares:

- ordinary brokerage transactions and transactions in which the broker-dealer solicits purchasers;
- block trades in which the broker-dealer will attempt to sell the shares as agent but may position and resell a portion of the block as principal to facilitate the transaction;
 - purchases by a broker-dealer as principal and resale by the broker-dealer for its account;
 - an exchange distribution in accordance with the rules of the applicable exchange;
 - privately negotiated transactions;
- settlement of short sales entered into after the effective date of the registration statement of which this Prospectus is a part;
- broker-dealers may agree with the selling security holders to sell a specified number of such shares at a stipulated price per share;
- through the writing or settlement of options or other hedging transactions, whether through an options exchange or otherwise;
 - a combination of any such methods of sale; or
 - any other method permitted pursuant to applicable law.

In competing sales, brokers or dealers engaged by the selling shareholders may arrange for other brokers or dealers to participate. Brokers or dealers may receive commissions or discounts from selling shareholders in amounts to be negotiated. As to any particular broker-dealer, this compensation might be in excess of customary commissions. Neither we nor the selling stockholders can presently estimate the amount of such compensation. Notwithstanding the above, no FINRA member will charge commissions that exceed 8% of the total proceeds from the sale. The Selling Stockholders and any broker/dealers who act in connection with the sale of their securities may be deemed to be an "underwriter" within the meaning of Section 2(11) of the Securities Acts of 1933, and any commissions received by them and any profit on any resale of the securities as principal will be deemed to be underwriting discounts and commissions under the Securities Act. The Selling Stockholder, who is an "underwriter" within the meaning of Section 2(11) of the Securities Act, is subject to the Prospectus delivery requirements of the Securities Act. Each Selling Stockholder has informed the Company that it does not have any agreement or understanding, directly or indirectly, with any person to distribute the Common Stock.

If any Selling Stockholder enters into an agreement to sell his or her securities to a broker-dealer as principal, and the broker-dealer is acting as an underwriter, we will file a post-effective amendment to the registration statement, of which this prospectus is a part, identifying the broker-dealer, providing required information concerning the plan of

distribution, and otherwise revising the disclosures in this prospectus as needed. We will also file the agreement between the selling shareholder and the broker-dealer as an exhibit to the post-effective amendment to the registration statement.

We are bearing all costs relating to the registration of the Common Stock, which are estimated at \$33,482. The Selling Stockholders, however, will pay any commissions or other fees payable to brokers or dealers in connection with the exercise and purchase of the Common Stock and any sale of the Common Stock. We have agreed to indemnify the Selling Stockholders against certain losses, claims, damages, and liabilities, including liabilities under the 33 Act.

We agreed to keep this Prospectus effective until the earlier of (i) the date on which the shares may be resold by the Selling Stockholders without registration by reason of Rule 144 under the Securities Act or any other rule of similar effect, or (ii) all of the shares have been sold pursuant to this Prospectus or Rule 144 under the Securities Act or any other rule of similar effect. The resale shares will be sold only through registered or licensed brokers or dealers if required under applicable state securities laws. In addition, in certain states, the resale shares may not be sold unless they have been registered or qualified for sale in the applicable state or an exemption from the registration or qualification requirement is available and is complied with.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Overview

Some of the information in this Prospectus contains forward-looking statements that involve substantial risks and uncertainties. You can identify these statements by forward-looking words such as “may,” “will,” “expect,” “anticipate,” “believe,” “estimate” and “continue,” or similar words. You should read statements that contain these words carefully because they:

- discuss our future expectations;
- contain projections of our future results of operations or of our financial condition;
and
- state other “forward-looking” information.

We believe it is important to communicate our expectations. However, there may be events in the future that we are not able to accurately predict or over which we have no control. Our actual results and the timing of certain events could differ materially from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements as a result of certain factors, including those set forth under “RISK FACTORS” and “DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS” and elsewhere in this Prospectus. See “RISK FACTORS.”

Company Overview and History

DNA Brands, Inc. (hereinafter referred to as “us,” “our,” “we,” the “Company” or “DNA”) was incorporated in the State of Colorado on May 23, 2007 under the name “Famous Products, Inc.” Prior to July 6, 2010 we were a holding company operating as a promotion and advertising company. Our current business commenced in May 2006 in the State of Florida under the name “Grass Roots Beverage Company, Inc.” (“Grass Roots”). Initial operations of Grass Roots included development of our energy drinks, sampling and other marketing efforts and initial distribution in the State of Florida.

Effective July 6, 2010, we executed agreements to acquire all of the remaining assets, liabilities and contract rights of DNA Beverage Corporation of Boca Raton, Florida (“DNA Beverage”), and 100% of the common stock of DNA

Beverage's wholly owned subsidiary Grass Roots Beverage Company, Inc. ("Grass Roots") in exchange for the issuance of 31,250,000 shares of our common stock. As part of the terms of these transactions, our former President agreed to voluntarily redeem 19,274,400 common shares back to us. The share issuance represented approximately 94.6% of our outstanding shares at the time of issuance. Each DNA Beverage shareholder on the Record Date will receive 0.729277764 shares of our Common Stock for every one share of DNA Beverage they owned on the Record Date. We had previously mistakenly announced that the conversion ratio was 0.7787576.

Additionally, our officers and directors resigned their positions with us and were replaced by the former management team of DNA Beverage. Mr. Darren Marks became a director and our President and CEO, and Mr. Melvin Leiner became a director and our Executive Vice President, Secretary and COO/CFO. As a result of this transaction we changed our name to "DNA Brands, Inc." Our principal offices are located at 506 NW 77th Street, Boca Raton, Florida, 33487, telephone (954) 970-3826. Our website is www.dnabrandsusa.com.

In addition, to the transaction described above, our former Board of Directors approved a "spin-off" of our wholly owned subsidiary company, Fancy Face Promotions, Inc., a Colorado corporation. The terms of this "spin-off" provide for a dividend to be issued to our shareholders of one share of common stock for every share that our shareholders owned as of June 30, 2010, the record date of the dividend.

Following is our results of operations for our fiscal years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 and for the three month periods ended March 31, 2011 and 2010. All DNA Beverage share amounts for the periods presented in this Prospectus including weighted average shares outstanding and shares outstanding have been adjusted to reflect the conversion ratio of 0.729277764. Share amounts for the audited periods of December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, have not been converted using this conversion ratio.

Results Of Operations

Comparison of Results of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009

Revenue

Revenue for the year ended December 31, 2010 was \$1,168,461 compared to \$667,276 for the year ended December 31, 2009. This increase of 75.1% for year ended December 31, 2010 compared to the year ended December 31, 2009 is primarily attributable to our growing number of retail distribution channels in 2010, compared to 2009 combined with increased marketing efforts. While no assurances can be provided, we expect that our ongoing sales and marketing efforts, combined with brand recognition and awards we have received for the quality of our products will generate significant incremental revenue increases in the future. However our ability to continue to expand our revenue is dependent upon our success in raising additional capital and there can be assurance we will be successful or obtain funding to support our marketing efforts. See "Liquidity and Capital Resources" below.

Gross Margin

We calculate gross margin by subtracting cost of goods sold from revenue. Gross margin percentage is calculated by dividing the gross margin by revenue. Our gross margin for the year ended December 31, 2010 was \$299,387 compared to \$199,156 in the same period in 2009. Our gross margin percentage in 2010 decreased to 25.6% compared to 29.8% in 2009. Since we are in our growth phase and a small number of sales and transactions can impact our gross margin percentage, we do not believe that the gross margin percentages for the year ended December 31, 2010 is indicative of future results. Until we reach higher and more consistent sales level, we believe that our future gross margin levels will vary from quarter to quarter and year to year.

Compensation and Benefits

Compensation and benefits for the year ended December 31, 2010 were \$3,510,129 compared to \$2,272,551 for the same period in 2009. Due to our limited liquidity, in 2009 we began to incentivize key employees with a small base salary and significant stock grants. Our two executive officers have deferred all cash salary since 2008. The increase

in 2010 compensation levels compared to 2009 is primarily attributable to an increase in stock grants of approximately \$665,000 over 2009 levels and the hiring of additional personnel in the area of sales and marketing to help support our growth.

General and Administrative

General and administrative expense (“G&A”) for the year ended December 31, 2010 was \$999,015 compared to \$733,516 for the year ended December 31, 2009. G&A is primarily comprised of office and warehouse rent, utilities, corporate insurance, travel and entertainment, and other expenses. The increase in G&A for the year ended December 31, 2010 compared to the same periods in 2009 is attributable to increases in rent, travel, insurance and vehicle expenses. We believe that we can significantly increase sales levels with minimal increases in G&A. However, there can be no assurances that we will be successful in increasing sales levels or minimizing G&A expenses in the future.

Professional and outside services

Professional and outside services for the year ended December 31, 2010 was \$2,209,840 compared to \$333,520 for the year ended December 31, 2009. Professional and outside services are comprised primarily of legal, public relations, accounting and other fees. The significant increase in 2010 professional and outside services is attributable to increases in legal fees of approximately \$1,400,000, increases in accounting fees of approximately \$165,000 and increase in investor relations fees of approximately \$249,000. These expenses were incurred as a result of the reverse merger that occurred on July 6th as described throughout this Prospectus. Approximately \$1,400,000 of the increased expenses in 2010 is attributable to non-cash stock awards.

Selling and marketing expenses

Selling and marketing expenses for the year ended December 31, 2010 was \$906,367, compared to \$266,569 for the year ended December 31, 2009. The material increase in selling and marketing expenses during the year ended December 31, 2010 compared to 2009 is attributable to marketing, promotion and selling efforts. During 2010, we increased the number of our distribution chains allowing us to utilize a greater number of promotional opportunities to expand our sales territories. Additionally, we upgraded our sponsorship agreements to include higher profile athletes in an effort to establish a larger national presence. We believe that these increased efforts have yielded a number of new accounts with significant potential for new sources of revenue. There can be no assurances that ongoing and additional marketing efforts will generate new sources of revenue in excess of these marketing expenses

Interest expense

Interest expense for the year ended December 31, 2010 was \$116,081 compared to \$489,974 for the year ended December 31, 2009. The primary reason for the significant drop in interest expense for the year ended December 31, 2010 compared to the same periods in 2009 is attributable to the conversion of convertible debt to common stock (See Note 10 to the Notes to Financial Statements) in May and June of 2010. As a result we no longer recorded interest expense associated with the amortization of loan discount. Due to the thinly traded nature of our Common Stock and selling restrictions placed upon insiders and executive management, we believe that the amount of shares issued to retire this debt was reasonable.

Net loss

We incurred a net loss of \$7,468,422 during the year ended December 31, 2010, or, \$0.28 per share compared to a net loss of \$3,918,721 for the year ended December 31, 2009, or, \$0.26 per share. Since inception we have generated material operating losses. A significant portion of these losses as described in this Prospectus are non-cash in nature, however, the losses remain substantial excluding those items. We believe that based upon our growing distribution channels, recognition of the quality of our products and marketing plan than we can become profitable from operations by the beginning of 2012. However there can be no assurances that we will be successful or that we will

have sufficient liquidity to execute our plans.

Comparison of Results of Operations for the three month periods ended March 31, 2011 and 2010

Revenue

Revenue for the three month period ended March 31, 2011 was \$265,817, compared to \$310,305 during the corresponding period of the prior year. Our revenue for the three months ended March 31, 2011 decreased 14.3% compared to the same period in the prior year. This decrease is primarily attributable to a changing customer base during our growth phase where we are continually testing our products through a variety of channels. For the first quarter of 2011, there were a number of new customers who we weren't selling to during the first quarter of 2010. However, the revenue from these new customers in 2011 did not offset the loss of revenue from customers from the same period in 2010 who tested the product and did not re-order, or, who we chose not to continue doing business with in 2011. We believe this a normal process for the introduction of a new product and can provide no assurances that we will not have sales fluctuation from quarter to quarter while we continue to introduce our product into various retail markets and distribution channels.

While no assurance can be provided, we expect that our ongoing sales and marketing efforts, combined with our increasing brand recognition and the awards we have received for the quality of our products will generate significant incremental revenue for us in the future. However, our ability to achieve increased revenue is dependent upon our success in raising additional capital. No assurances can be provided that we will successfully raise the funding necessary to support our marketing efforts. See "Liquidity and Capital Resources."

Gross margin

We calculate gross margin by subtracting cost of goods sold from revenue. Gross margin percentage is calculated by dividing the gross margin by revenue. Our gross margin for the three month period ended March 31, 2011 was \$85,103, as compared to \$48,875 during the corresponding period of the prior year. Our gross margin percentage increased to 32.0% from 15.8% when compared to the prior year period. The increase in gross margin percentage is due to the varying price structures that we have tested during 2010 and 2011 in various markets. Since we are in our growth phase a small number of sales and transactions can impact our gross margin percentage either up or down. We do not believe that the gross margin percentages for the three month periods in 2011 and 2010 are necessarily indicative of future results when applied to larger sales volumes.

Compensation and benefits

Compensation and benefits for the three month period ended March 31, 2011 was \$480,335, as compared to \$1,707,551 during the corresponding period of the prior year. The decrease in compensation and benefits of \$1,227,216, or 71.9%, from the prior year period is primarily attributable to the issuance of common stock to employees as compensation in 2010. Due to our limited liquidity, we have incentivized key employees earning a small base salary with significant stock grants.

Stock grants to employees vest immediately and are recorded at their fair market value on the date that our Board of Directors approves such grants. On January 11, 2010, we granted five key employees an aggregate of 1,932,586 shares of our common stock. We valued these shares at their quoted market values upon authorization and recorded an expense of \$1,245,500 during the three month period ended March 31, 2010.

Our two executive officers have deferred cash payment of their salaries since 2008. For both the three month periods ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, we recorded \$62,500 in compensation expense related to these deferrals. At March

31, 2011, the aggregate value of these salary deferrals totaled \$812,500 and was included in our accrued liabilities.

General and administrative

General and administrative expenses (“G&A”) for the three month period ended March 31, 2011 were \$231,557, as compared to \$221,624 during the corresponding period of the prior year. G&A remained relatively similar to the levels incurred in the prior year period, increasing by only \$9,933 or 4.5%. G&A is primarily comprised of the rent associated with our facilities, cost of utilities, insurance premiums, travel and entertainment, and other miscellaneous expenses.

Professional and outside services

Professional and outside services for the three month period ended March 31, 2011 was \$205,468, as compared to \$271,413 during the corresponding period of the prior year. Professional and outside services are comprised primarily of accounting fees, legal fees, investor and public relations expenses and other miscellaneous services. The decrease in professional and outside services of \$65,945, or 24.3%, is primarily attributable to the reduction in investor relation fees to \$33,500 in the current period from \$93,113 in the prior year.

Selling and marketing expenses

Selling and marketing expenses for the three month period ended March 31, 2011 were \$219,455, as compared to \$206,113 during the corresponding period of the prior year. The nominal increase in selling and marketing expenses of \$13,342, or 6.5%, is primarily attributable to our continued marketing and promotional efforts. We continue to increase the number of our distribution chains; allowing us to utilize a greater number of vehicles to expand our sales territories. Additionally, we continue to upgrade our sponsorship agreements to include higher profile athletes in an effort to establish a larger national presence. There can be no assurances that these expenditures will enable us to increase revenue.

Interest expense

Interest expense for the three month period ended March 31, 2011 was \$9,065, as compared to \$56,920 during the corresponding period of the prior year. The decrease in interest expense of \$47,855, or 84.1%, is primarily attributable to the amortization of loan discounts related to convertible, subordinated debentures during the prior year period. During the three month period ended March 31, 2010, we recorded \$42,447 in non-cash interest expense relative to these loan discounts, resulting from beneficial conversion features.

In February 2011 we issued 125,000 shares of our common stock in connection with the issuance of a \$500,000 convertible, subordinated debenture. These restricted shares were valued at their fair market value and recorded as a discount to the stated value of the debenture. The discount is being amortized using the effective interest rate method over the term of the debenture. During the three months ended March 31, 2011, we recorded \$1,170 in non-cash interest expense relative to this loan discount (See Note 10).

Net loss

For the three month period ended March 31, 2011, we incurred a net loss of \$1,066,677, or \$0.03 per basic and fully diluted share, as compared to a net loss of \$2,420,623, or \$0.13 per basic and fully diluted share during the corresponding period of the prior year. Since our inception, we have generated material operating losses. A significant portion of our losses are non-cash in nature; however, our losses remain substantial even after excluding those items.

The weighted average number of basic and fully diluted shares outstanding for the three month period ended March 31, 2011 was 35,031,697, as compared to 19,072,805 shares for the corresponding period of the prior year. There were no dilutive equivalents included in our calculation of fully diluted shares during either period since their inclusion would be anti-dilutive due to our net loss per share.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

At March 31, 2011, we had \$90,579 in cash and cash equivalents.

During the three month period ended March 31, 2011, we recorded a net loss of \$1,066,677 and had negative cash flows of \$778,082 from our operating activities. At March 31, 2011, we had a working capital deficit of \$2,215,876 and a stockholders' deficit of \$2,642,515. We have relied, in large part, upon debt and equity financing to fund our operations. These matters collectively raise a substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern.

Net cash used in operations was \$778,802 for the three month period ended March 31, 2011, as compared to \$729,998 during the corresponding period of the prior year. The increase in net cash used of \$48,804, or 6.7%, over the prior year period is primarily due to an increase in prepaid expenses of \$216,561 in 2011 compared to a decrease of \$96,033 in 2010. We recorded a net loss of \$1,066,677 during the three month period ended March 31, 2011, as compared to \$2,420,623 in the corresponding period of the prior year. After excluding non-cash expenses of \$1,245,500 in 2010 resulting from the issuance of common stock to key employees as a form of compensation, we realized a net cash benefit of \$108,446 from operating activities over the prior year period. Further, we realized a cash benefit from the growth in our accrued expenses; increasing by \$207,556 as compared to \$80,088 during the prior year period. The period over period net change in our principal working capital assets (accounts receivable, inventory and accounts payable) was immaterial.

Net cash provided by investing activities was \$3,398 for the three month period ended March 31, 2011, as compared to net cash used of \$26,106 during the corresponding period of the prior year. The positive change in net cash from investing activities of \$29,504, or 113.0%, over the prior year period is primarily due to the purchase of capital assets aggregating \$26,106 in 2009. Additionally, we recovered \$3,148 of the advances it made to a related party, Royal Strategies and Solutions, Inc., during the three month period ended March 31, 2011.

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$790,659 for the three month period ended March 31, 2011, as compared to \$961,543 during the corresponding period of the prior year. The decrease in net cash provided by financing activities of \$170,884, or 17.8%, from the prior year period is primarily due to the repayment of loans to officers. During the three month period ended March 31, 2010, we made net loan repayments of \$268,369 to its officers, as compared to net repayments of \$70,054 in the corresponding period of the prior year. We received proceeds from the issuance new capital, as described below, aggregating \$1,063,750 during the three months ended March 31, 2011, as compared to \$1,122,477 in the prior year period.

In the first quarter of 2011 a number of our employees agreed to defer a portion of their cash compensation to assist us in improving our liquidity position. In return, we agreed to file an S-8 registration statement with the SEC to register 900,000 shares of common stock to reimburse the employees with free-trading shares of our common stock that could be immediately sold and cash proceeds realized subject to the trading volume of our stock; and, additionally to provide a means for paying consultants and service providers. This S-8 registration statement became effective on April 14, 2011. As of March 31, 2011 there was \$47,500 in accrued payroll related to this arrangement.

In July 2010, we undertook a private offering of our common stock whereby we offered up to 3,000,000 shares at an offering price of \$0.50 per share to "accredited investors" as that term is defined under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. During the three month period ended March 31, 2011, we sold 50,000 shares and received proceeds of \$25,000. As of the date of this report, we have sold an aggregate of 2,060,000 shares and have received proceeds of \$1,030,000 therefrom. This offering was closed to investors in the first quarter of 2011.

In February 2011, we undertook a private offering of our preferred stock whereby we offered up to 4,000,000 shares at an offering price of \$0.25 per share to accredited investors. During the three months ended March 31, 2011, we sold 2,155,000 shares and received proceeds of \$538,750. As of the date of this report, we have sold an aggregate of 4,000,000 shares and have received proceeds of \$1,000,000 therefrom.

In February 2011, we issued a secured, convertible debenture to an existing shareholder in the principal amount of \$500,000, which becomes due three years from the date of issuance. The debenture bears interest at 12% per annum and is payable quarterly beginning in May 2011. In addition to interest, as inducement for the maker to loan funds to us, the maker received 125,000 restricted shares of our common stock contemporaneously with the execution of the debenture. Further, we agreed to pay to the maker an annual transaction fee of \$30,000 in equal installments on a quarterly basis beginning in May 2011. The balance due under the debenture is collateralized by all of our assets, including but not limited to inventory, receivables, vehicles and warehouse equipment. Lastly, we agreed to issue 750,000 shares of its common stock (the "Escrowed Shares"), in favor of the maker, to be held in escrow by a mutually agreeable party. In the event of failure to pay all or any portion of the principal and interest due under the debenture, including any and all rights to cure, the Escrowed Shares shall be released to the maker. The Escrowed Shares are not entitled to voting rights, or to receive any dividends if and when declared unless and until the Escrowed Shares are released.

We are working on generating new sales from additional retail outlets, distribution centers or through sponsorship agreements; and allocating sufficient resources to continue with advertising and marketing efforts. Based upon our current operating activity, we believe will require a minimum of approximately \$6.0 million in new funding to execute our business plan during the next year. There can be no assurances that if we can secure these funds we will be able to generate a sufficient level of revenue to sustain our ongoing cash flow requirements.

We intend to continue to raise funds through private placements of our common stock, debt offerings and through short-term borrowing. While we have engaged in discussions with various investment banking firms, venture capitalists and private investors to provide us these funds, as of the date of this report we have not reached any definitive agreement with any party that has agreed to provide us with the capital necessary to continue to expand our operations to the point where we are generating profits from our operations. Our inability to obtain sufficient funds from external sources when needed will have a material adverse effect on our plan of operation, results of operations and financial condition.

Trends

Our emphasis over the next 12 months will continue to be to build our brand and increase revenues. We have been actively involved in discussions with potential investors to provide us with additional equity funding. While we believe our efforts in this regard will result in our obtaining this funding, as of the date of this Prospectus we have no definitive agreement with any third party to provide us with this funding. However, as stated in the paragraph above, we have executed a letter agreement with Equinox Securities, Inc. where we have retained Equinox as our placement agent to raise up to \$6 million in equity capital, at a share price to be agreed, on a "best efforts" basis. There are no assurances that Equinox will successfully raise any equity capital on our behalf.

Assuming receipt of funding we intend to continue to increase our expansion efforts, including expanding operations into New York and Texas. Clem Distributing of New York, one of the largest snack distributors in NY, and Chappell Hill Sausage of Texas have agreed to represent us and our products. Texas has over 14,000 convenience store outlets. DNA meat snacks will be made available to the over 10,000 outlets serviced by Clem Distributing. DNA Meat snacks will be available throughout the major metropolitan region of New York City and its five boroughs.

Creating more brand awareness and trials will be addressed through a significant public relations and advertising program. Public relations, targeted cable TV advertising, increased "cans in hand" sampling, events and billboards will round out the program. We will also continue to develop and expand those areas where our products are currently being distributed. The public relations and advertising program will encompass these locations as well.

Inflation

Although our operations are influenced by general economic conditions, we do not believe that inflation had a material effect on our results of operations during the three month period ended March 31, 2011.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We have not entered into any off-balance sheet arrangements that have or are reasonably likely to have a current or future effect on our financial condition, changes in financial condition, revenues or expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures or capital resources and would be considered material to investors.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Critical accounting estimates – The discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based upon our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The preparation of these consolidated financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. On an on-going basis, we evaluate our estimates based on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions. The following represents a summary of our critical accounting policies, defined as those policies that we believe are the most important to the portrayal of our financial condition and results of operations and that require management's most difficult, subjective or complex judgments, often as a result of the need to make estimates about the effects of matters that are inherently uncertain.

Leases – We follow the guidance in SFAS No. 13 “Accounting for Leases,” as amended, which requires us to evaluate the lease agreements we enter into to determine whether they represent operating or capital leases at the inception of the lease.

Stock-based compensation – Effective January 1, 2006, we adopted Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Statement of Financial Accounting Standard (SFAS) No. 123R, “Share Based Payment.” SFAS 123R requires a public entity to measure the cost of employee services received in exchange for an award of equity instruments based on the grant-date fair value of the award. That cost is recognized on a straight-line basis over the employee service period (usually the vesting period). That cost is measured based on the fair value of the equity or liability instruments issued using the Black-Scholes option pricing model.

Controls And Procedures

Disclosure Controls and Procedures - Our management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the “Exchange Act”)) as of the end of the period covered by this Prospectus.

These controls are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the reports we file or submit pursuant to the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reports within the time periods specified in the rules and forms of the Securities and Exchange Commission, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our CEO and CFO, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Based on this evaluation, our CEO and CFO concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of March 31, 2011, at the reasonable assurance level. We believe that our consolidated financial statements presented in this Prospectus fairly present, in all material respects, our financial position, results of operations, and cash flows

for all periods presented herein.

Inherent Limitations - Our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, do not expect that our disclosure controls and procedures will prevent all error and all fraud. A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. The design of any system of controls is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within our company have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty, and that breakdown can occur because of simple error or mistake. In particular, many of our current processes rely upon manual reviews and processes to ensure that neither human error nor system weakness has resulted in erroneous reporting of financial data.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting - There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the three month period ended March 31, 2011, which were identified in conjunction with management's evaluation required by paragraph (d) of Rules 13a-15 and 15d-15 under the Exchange Act, that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS

Introduction and History

DNA Brands, Inc. (hereinafter referred to as "us," "our," "we," the "Company" or "DNA") was incorporated in the State of Colorado on May 23, 2007 under the name "Famous Products, Inc." Prior to the transaction described in "PROSPECTUS SUMMARY – Overview" and "MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS – Overview and History" above, we were a holding company operating as a promotion and advertising company. Our current business commenced in May 2006 in the State of Florida under the name "Grass Roots Beverage Company, Inc." ("Grass Roots"). Initial operations of Grass Roots included development of our energy drinks, sampling and other marketing efforts and initial distribution in the State of Florida. In August 2007, Grass Roots engaged in a share exchange with Imagine Holding Corporation ("Imagine") wherein all of the issued and outstanding stock of Grass Roots was acquired by Imagine making Grass Roots a wholly owned subsidiary. As part of this transaction, Imagine's name was changed to "DNA Beverage Corporation." Grass Roots developed into a distribution company and the balance of our current operations was conducted through DNA Beverage Corporation.

As a result of the transactions described "PROSPECTUS SUMMARY – Overview" and "MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS – Company Overview and History," we have changed our name to DNA Brands, Inc., as well as our current business plan. Our principal offices are located at 506 NW 77th Street, Boca Raton, Florida, 33487, telephone (954) 970-3826. Our website is www.dnabrandsusa.com.

We currently produce, market and sell a proprietary line of three carbonated blends of DNA Energy Drinks®, as well as a line of meat snacks made up of two beef jerky flavors and three flavors of beef sticks. We began selling our energy drink initially in the State of Florida in 2007. As of the date of this Prospectus we are currently distributing our products throughout 31 of 42 Florida counties as well as the Southeastern US, including Georgia, Louisiana and Mississippi. We also distribute in California, Maryland, Ohio, Pennsylvania and Michigan and are in the process of expanding our distribution into the Carolinas. In addition we will soon be fully represented in Illinois, Indiana, New

York and parts of New Jersey. In New York we will be distributing DNA Shred Stix® through Clems Distributing, one of New York's largest snack distributors with over 10,000 points of distribution. We are also in discussions for Clems to distribute DNA Energy Drink®. It is our intention to have nationwide distribution by 2012 provided we are able to obtain the financing necessary to accomplish this objective.

We strive to maintain credibility with our core demographic, increase our consumer base by adapting to trends and changes, keep the brand in front of consumers through TV, magazines, events and viral campaigns and at the same time giving the consumer superior products at a lower price with quality service. We have demonstrated our ability to adapt to market trends by pioneering the DNA Beef Jerky and Shred Stix line, giving us numerous cross marketing opportunities.

Our founders are Mr. Darren Marks, our current President and CEO, and Mr. Melvin Leiner, our current Executive Vice President, Secretary and COO/CFO, who together founded DNA to leverage their experience and create a prominent brand in the energy drink segment of the beverage industry.

We are a Florida based company focused on building our DNA brand. In an industry where only 5% of new companies survive, we feel our continued success will be based upon a methodical approach to build our brand. We started out with the idea that energy drinks could be functional and delicious tasting at the same time and made the conscious decision not to follow the industry leaders taste profile and created energy drinks to set us apart from the competition. In January 2010 we were awarded a 1st Place "Platinum Award," as the best tasting energy drink at the prestigious World Beverage Competition™ held in Geneva, Switzerland. More than 30 counties and over 10,000 entries were submitted in all beverage categories.

Knowing full well that brands are not built overnight, especially in the highly competitive energy drink category, our first two years were devoted to brand development, creating awareness through sampling programs (over 20,000 cases sampled) and creating credibility among our core demographic by concentrating marketing efforts on action sports locations and events (surf, motocross, skate, etc.) which we continue today throughout Florida.

As we learned through trial and error, there was a severe lack of meaningful brand-building distribution options available to new non-alcoholic brands in Florida forcing us to create our own Direct Store Distribution (DSD) entity, Grass Roots Beverage Company, Inc. ("Grass Roots"). Grass Roots directly covered 31 of 42 counties in Florida before Anheuser-Busch agreed to become our distributor for Florida. Grass Roots continues to service accounts in these counties and/or assists Anheuser Busch in the selling or distribution of our branded products and will do so until the products are fully assimilated into Anheuser Busch's network. Once we were comfortable that the brand had some legitimacy we aggressively went after the independent convenience and chain stores. Our products are currently sold in over 3,000 Florida stores, most of which came on board over the 7 months prior to the date of this Prospectus. Having our own DSD has given us insight into what is required from both a manufacturer's and distributor's standpoint to successfully build a brand.

On February 23, 2010, the committee for Anheuser Busch's ("AB") 26 Florida distributors recommended that DNA Energy Drink become the replacement for Monster Energy Drink that terminated their relationship with AB. Combined the 26 AB-Budweiser houses sold 1.4 million cases of Monster with gross revenues approximating \$40 million. As a bonus the committee also gave its approval for distribution of our entire meat snack line. Quality Distributors of Deltona, Florida became the first AB distributor to receive product. Since February 2010 we have entered into eleven (11) separate agreements with AB distributors and expect to continue to enter into new distribution agreements over the next 6 months. However, as of the date of this Prospectus AB is not servicing approximately 60% of the State of Florida and as a result, we still maintain Grass Roots to fill in the territories not yet serviced by AB and to act as service representatives for the AB network.

On March 1 2011, we entered into a distribution agreement with Sand Dollar Distributors, LLC for the distribution of our entire line of products. This agreement calls for Sand Dollar to service the Miami/Dade, Monroe (Key West through Miami), and Palm Beach counties where we have no AB coverage. Sand Dollars was Red Bull's exclusive South Florida distributor until recently and largely credited with its success in South Florida. Distribution efforts are expected to begin in April 2011.

We are the “title” sponsor of a factory Yamaha AMA super cross team the “DNA Shred Stix Star/Yamaha Racing Team.” AMA Motocross/Supercross is only second to NASCAR in motor sport attendance according to the AMA Supercross Association. The DNA team is one of only four teams that contended for the world “Lites” title and had four podium appearances with a title win in Seattle in 2010 and have had extensive coverage on CBS and Speed channels already in 2011.

We try to maintain credibility with the core demographic and increase our consumer base by adapting to trends and changes, keeping the brand in front of consumers through TV, magazines, events and viral campaigns and at the same time giving the consumer superior products at a lower price with quality service. We believe we have demonstrated our ability to adapt to market trends and when we were certain that our energy drink had gained credibility among our core demographic we pioneered the release of the DNA Beef Jerky and Shred Stix line in July 2009 and January 2010, respectively. We believe this gives us numerous cross marketing opportunities.

Products

We produce, market and sell an initial proprietary line of three carbonated blends of DNA Energy Drink® (“DNA®”) as well as a line of meat snacks made up of two beef jerky flavors and three flavors of beef sticks. These drinks are sold in 16 ounce cans styled with the name DNA® prominently placed and a logo that includes the DNA Skull and Helix. The beef jerky is packaged in a 3.0 oz sealable pouch and the beef stick is 1.0 oz stick form. We believe the name DNA, our edgy color schemes, logo and other graphics stand out on store shelves and coolers. The DNA name resonates highly with our target market which includes a younger core of a more active demographic involved in today’s rapidly expanding and trend setting action sports community. Our initial product flavors include:

DNA Energy Drink®

- Citrus –Tastes like a true blend of real oranges with specific citrus nuances
 - Lemon Lime –Velvety and smooth lemon lime mix
- Citrus Sugar free (No carbs) – The taste of a very high end orange soda but with a jolt of energy
- Cranberry Raspberry Sugar Free (CranRazzberry) (No carbs) – a mix of Cranberry and Raspberry with the correct energy boost.

DNA Beef Jerky™

- Original – True beef flavor
- Teriyaki – Tastes like authentic Asian seasoning

DNA Shred Stix®

- Original – Real beef flavor
- Pizza – Authentic Pepperoni Pizza taste
 - Jalapeno – Hot and Spicy
- Taco –Authentic Taco Flavor

Energy Drinks

We have formulated DNA® to the highest flavor profile standard and believe it is superior in taste to any of the other energy drink brands in the industry. We incorporate the best and highest quality ingredient mix in our proprietary blends which have been formulated to maximize energy and awareness levels that result in improved performance on demand.

Our energy drink makes an immediate and lasting difference in elevating energy levels of consumers. This category is the only one that creates an immediate expectation of an effect on consumer bodily functions. There are many energy drinks that have compromised functionality for cost savings. We believe they have learned all too late that if an energy drink does not deliver on its promise for an immediate and lasting increase in energy levels, it is no more than an expensive soda. Energy drink consumers will go to another reliable brand. We believe one of the several principal

reasons new energy drinks entrants commercially fail soon after introduction is because they use inferior ingredients and as a result do not provide the expected results.

DNA® is formulated to ensure that DNA® drinkers will, upon first drink, experience a taste that is delicious beyond the typical expected institutionalized medicinal taste that has been the main negative reaction associated with the vast number of brands of energy drinks including the major brands. We have not sacrificed taste for functionality and performance which we believe gives us a major competitive advantage over other energy drinks and has awarded us with high accolades from distributors and industry insiders, as well as from numerous action sports publications. These early taste accolades for DNA® have converted numerous energy drink consumers to us in those geographic areas of our distribution. We believe on taste alone, given our high functionality profile, we are able to quickly convert consumers from other brands. In fact, our tag line, “Tastes Like No Other,” was given to us by a first time consumer in our initial sampling program. Our taste and functionality profiles have begun to create a positive response in our target market, with distributors and with convenience store chains that dominate energy drink distribution. DNA® has also captured industry attention at the highest levels. DNA was awarded the 1st Prize “Platinum Award” in the “Best Tasting Energy Drink” category by the World Beverage Competition for 2010 which was held in Geneva, Switzerland. More than 30 countries participated and more than 10,000 entrants in all beverage categories were submitted for judging. One winner from each category was selected in double blind tasting tests.

We are experimenting with line extensions on these blends and also on completely new items that are in the research and development process. We will not introduce them until significant distribution and wide name recognition is obtained for our core line offerings.

DNA Meat Snacks

In July 2009 we released our beef jerky line, followed in January 2010 with our Shred Stix meat stick, all of which are produced in the United States with 100% American muscle beef unlike most all of our competition. DNA meat snacks are made under the strictest controls and supervision to insure the highest quality. Quality, taste and consistency remains very much in the forefront of production philosophy as it is for our energy drinks. As is with our energy drink, DNA Shred Stix is the only brand that does not contain MSG. Extensive market testing and research has gone into the brand prior to production.

Because we use 100% American beef and the finished goods are packaged only once within hours of production, we are able to bake our flavors into the meat. This process eliminates the greasy look, feel and taste that are prevalent in much of our competitors’ products. In addition to 100% American beef, our production process uses imported dry spices, ground and blended to provide great, well rounded flavors that stay true with extended shelf life resulting in more flavor control and more consistency from batch to batch. Some competitive jerky is made 51% in the USA and 49% in South America then blended before final packaging. This allows them to avoid the “Product of Argentina” declaration on the package. Some competitors’ products may not be packaged for several weeks after production, the result of which is they virtually paint the flavor just prior to packaging to be able to retain the taste.

DNA Meat Snacks are being marketed to a similar demographic that consumes energy drinks. Distributors are gravitating to the brand with great enthusiasm on a local, regional and national level and see the brand extension as a natural progression to servicing the needs of our demographic base.

Recent Developments

While no assurances can be provided, our management believes that we are on the verge of explosive growth. In support of this contention below are several recent events that have occurred to foster this belief:

- Ø As discussed above, on February 23, 2010, the committee for Anheuser Busch's ("AB") 26 Florida distributors recommended that DNA Energy Drink become the replacement for Monster Energy Drink that terminated their relationship with AB. Combined, the 26 AB-Budweiser houses sold 1.4 million cases of Monster with gross revenues approximating \$40 million. As a bonus the committee also gave its approval for distribution of our entire meat snack line. Quality Distributors of Deltona, Florida became the first AB distributor to receive product. Since February 2010 we have entered into eleven (11) separate agreements with AB distributors and expect to continue to enter into new distribution agreements over the next 6 months.
- Ø Since June 2009, we have reached verbal and written agreements with quality retailers such as CVS, Walgreens, Race Track, Circle-K and 7-Eleven to offer our products. Based upon early successes with Walgreens, in February 2011 we entered into a full statewide distribution program with Walgreens covering 823 locations throughout Florida. Each Walgreens location will carry the full line of our meat snacks and energy drink.
- Ø Over the past few years we have entered into various co-Branding marketing agreements with CVS and Walgreens and major league sport franchises, including the New Orleans Hornets, Cleveland Cavaliers and Orlando Magic of the NBA, the Florida Marlins and Cincinnati Reds of MLB, and the Arizona Cardinals, Houston Texans and Indianapolis Colts of the NFL. These agreements are entered into for a 9-12 month term and usually coincide with our entry into a new geographic section of the US. We believe that these agreements are fueling expansion. These programs are expected to continue in the foreseeable future.
- Ø We have been approved by both military buying organizations, AAFES and DeCA, for the purchase of DNA branded meat snack products. Initial orders have been received and deliveries have been made to both agencies. Orders are generally placed by individual distribution centers and have been between \$1,000 and \$50,000. While no assurances can be provided, we expect that this will be a recurring order.
- Ø In the second quarter of 2010 we began to distribute product in both the Midwest and Mid-Atlantic regions.
- Ø Grass Roots, our wholly owned subsidiary, is currently servicing 600 convenience stores and independent grocers in the Detroit, Michigan area and is in negotiations with Garden Foods, Inc., one of the largest non-alcoholic distributor in the Midwest, to become our master distributor covering several counties surrounding Detroit. Product was initially launched on March 29, 2009 and we have subsequently received 3 additional full container truck load (approximately \$200,000) orders since that time.
- Ø On March 1, 2011, we signed a distribution agreement with Sand Dollar Distributors, LLC for the distribution of our entire line of products. Sand Dollar will service Miami Dade, Monroe and Palm Beach counties of Florida from Key West to Fort Pierce with the exception of Broward County which is serviced by AB's Double Eagle. This Agreement is significant for two reasons, including (i) Sand Dollar was the former "exclusive" distributor of Red Bull covering all of South Florida for approximately 10 years and was largely credited with its success in South Florida; and (ii) the appointment of Sand Dollar fills two key areas in the Southeastern US where we have experienced difficulty in obtaining AB approval, including Miami, which is a corporate AB location that sells AB products exclusively and Palm Beach, which we have not yet secured.

Sales and Marketing

DNA Energy Drink® and DNA Beef Snacks™ provide immediate and sustained energy and/or satisfies the hunger needs of all groups of people in need of an energy lift to meet the challenges of the day and these groups may include parents, office workers, truck drivers, postal carriers, laborers, students, night watchmen and scores of others in every walk of life. We have specifically targeted our marketing attention to the “trend setters” in two sectors: (1) today’s rapidly growing action sports community, our initial and most critical target market and more recently (2) the music industry, that may or may not be action sports orientated. In either case the audience is trendy and edgy and we believe our perfect customer.

Our choice to target the action sports community reflects our management’s personal and professional experiences coupled with the fact that this demographic group represents those most likely to seek alternative beverages and meat snacks and the immediate gratification that energy drinks provide. More importantly, they set the tone and influence others to try our products.

These action sports include:

- Surfing
- Wake Boarding
- Skim Boarding
- Skate Boarding
 - BMX
- Motocross/Supercross
- Free Style Motocross

We are also the “title” sponsor of a factory Yamaha AMA super cross team. AMA is only second to NASCAR in motor sport attendance. The DNA team is one of only four teams that contended for a world “Lites” title and was on the winner’s podium four times this season with a DNA rider taking first place in Seattle. We have had extensive coverage on CBS and Speed channels. We believe that this sponsorship program provides significant exposure of our products to our target demographic that we could not currently afford if we elected to purchase equivalent advertising.

Our target demographic is 18 to 39 years of age and predominantly male although with the growing popularity, female participants and fans are beginning to enter the field in larger numbers. This group tends to be on the cutting edge of style and have a profound influence on cultural trends and fashion. They are individualistic and tend to avoid corporate culture in favor of personal individual expression. They are extreme, risk takers, can spot the next “next” in the culture and are quick to try it. They quickly adopt it and spread the word if they like it and are as quick to toss it aside if it compromises their integrity and individuality. This group will provide the greatest initial benefit to the energy drink market and to DNA® and, therefore, they are the group on which we are focusing the greatest attention. The 18-39 year old profile represents approximately 90 million people who can likely be potential energy drinkers and meat snack consumers.

We believe that an aggressive “grass roots” marketing approach directed at the core demographic through support of their activities and events leads to product acceptance and credibility, the two ingredients we believe are necessary for success. Additional more conventional marketing and advertising programs directed at radio/television campaigns will reinforce our message. We believe that top down advertising strategies are costly and will not work against the highly capitalized brands on a dollar-for-dollar basis and will lead to failure. A prime example of this in the energy drink category is Xyience Energy which declared bankruptcy after spending all its capital in one quarter on high priced advertising in support of their drink Xenergy.

Our objective is to build and maintain credibility with our target market and create a loyalty to our brand among consumers beginning at a younger age. We see action sports as a community, tied together by like mindedness, similarity of lifestyle, a commitment to their sport and its stars and more importantly their constant presence either as participants or as fans within the action sports lifestyle. This community is present at and a part of local or national events, on street corners, parks or whether following the sport through websites dedicated to each of the action sports, national magazines that cover all the sporting events including Dirt Rider, RacerX, Transworld Skate, Mundo Rad, Surfer Magazine, Surfing Magazine and Eastern Surf Magazine, or national television and cable networks like CBS, Fuel TV, ESPN, EXPN, and the Speed Channel which televise all events. We strive to be seen in this community at all times through our individual athletes, our teams who wear our logos proudly and drive their rigs with our banners and logos, with their photographs drinking DNA® and with our sampling vans placing “Cans In Hands.” All of these are inexpensive ways that have created a “buzz” for DNA® that has the appearance and effect of spending that major brands spend.

Our strategy is to be prominently featured in each of these venues on an ongoing basis through our sponsored athletes without the high costs of advertising and event sponsorships. We want to receive de facto and real endorsements from the stars of the sports which will further confirm the DNA® brand within our target market given the grass roots ground work we are laying with our sampling and other awareness programs. The DNA® brand is beginning to appear in all of these forums as well as magazines such as the June 2008 issue of Racer X where we are mentioned as one of the driving forces behind AMA Motocross. For fractions of the dollars, we believe we are now perceived to be a prominent factor in the market we are pursuing and are on the same playing field as Red Bull, Monster, Rock Star and No Fear. We are seeing the positive effects on our sales and distribution efforts both with retailers and consumers.

To maintain the pulse of the action sports community we believe we need to secure recognized athletes and teams for specific periods before they are “the” bona fide star. Because of our intimate experience in this field, we have been able to recognize the upcoming stars at an early stage in their careers. These athletes and teams, who are not far behind those of the major athletes and teams, cost the major brands significantly more but give them no more than we receive in brand exposure.

Motocross/Supercross

We are the “title” sponsor of a factory Yamaha AMA super cross team the “DNA Shred Stix Star/Yamaha Racing Team.” According to the AMA Supercross Association, AMA Motocross/Supercross is only second to NASCAR in motor sport attendance and popularity as it tours North America with 17 events. The DNA team is one of only four teams that contended for the world “Lites” title and had four podium appearances with a title win in Seattle in 2010 and have had extensive coverage on CBS and Speed channels already during the past couple of years. In 2011 our riders have already been on the podium several times culminating with a first place win on March 12, 2011 in Indianapolis, Indiana before in excess of 50,000 spectators.

Our title sponsorship agreement with Star Yamaha runs year to year and required a sponsorship fee of \$250,000 in 2010. Relevant thereto, in January 2011 we successfully renegotiated our contractual obligation with Star wherein we agreed to issue 600,000 shares of our Common Stock to offset a \$268,000 cash payment due for the 2011 season. We believe that after comparing the benefits gained from this agreement in terms of advertising and media generated with similar industry agreements that our competition has which cost millions of dollars that our ground-up grass roots strategy demonstrates its powerful effectiveness.

Surf, Wakeboard, Skateboard

Our Surf, Wake and Skateboard teams comprise a combination of recognized up-and-coming amateur athletes and seasoned professionals. Our Surf team is made up of Tommy O'Brien, Billabong Pro Team Rider and Jr. Pro Champion; Cody and Evan Thompson, Billabong Professional Surfers and Jr. Pro Surfers; Jeremy Johnson, Professional Surfer; Mark Dawson, Professional Surfer and Jr. Pro Surfer; and Luke Marks, a 12 year old star on the horizon.

Our typical sponsorship contracts are for one to three years, and according to the athletes' ranking and exposure, we provide compensation that range from event entrance fees to annual sponsorship. Generally, annual fees are a few thousand dollars and sometimes include a nominal amount of stock options. In return, our name and logo is placed on their boards, shirts, other apparel and gear. Our logo then appears in magazines around the world to the extent of the media coverage they earn. We are always on the look-out for the rising stars and because of our history with these sports, we believe we are better suited to identify the best value propositions for our capital.

We currently employ 13 persons in direct sales and sampling. We train our people to distinguish the benefits of DNA® from other brands in the market. Our staff stresses visibility and sampling for a period of 30-90 days before we commence distribution activities in a geographic market. We have outfitted DNA® vehicles, apparel, and signage and take them to locations where action sports take place including outdoor and indoor playgrounds where people are playing and begin to systematically sample DNA® with our "Cans In Hands" and "Shred Stix" program.

Our sampling techniques are programmed to create interest, trial and demand. We engage consumers about their experiences regarding taste and functionality. We acquire lists of distributors' store accounts and begin a very organized sampling program both outside and within stores which are designed to create requests for our products before it is available. We attend all action sports events and provide sampling at such events alongside our vehicles and, in some cases, with an 18 wheel rig which is replete with our DNA® graphics and logos, and are motored nationwide by our Motor Cross Racing teams. Further, we have placed over 200 branded 5 foot tall DNA® coolers in the action shops where enthusiasts congregate which are becoming introductory revenue platforms for us.

The objective of our "Cans In Hands" and Shred Stix sample programs are not only to build awareness, introduce newcomers to the category and to our brand but also to compete with the established brands on taste and functionality. We want the consumer to experience and believe that DNA® tastes better and is as effective, if not more effective than all the other brands. This program has created our core grass roots loyal followers who are now our first line of brand evangelists as they move to the action sports shops and see our branded DNA® coolers. We then extend our expansion to the next geographic target. Together with our action sports individual athlete and team endorsements, our brand evangelists in hand and our developing interactive web component, our marketing program is also geared to create a viral effect both within and outside our target demographic and spread the word about DNA®.

When our sales team calls on beverage distributors and convenience store retailers, whether chain or independently owned, we are already known to them. Because of the grass roots pull we have created for DNA®, they have been eager to accept meetings with us as we represent a legitimate revenue opportunity for them. Today, we are receiving calls from a wide range of outlets as a result of our grass roots efforts. This effort has built good will with distributors and retailers who frequently express their appreciation to us for developing awareness, expectation and demand ahead of the date the product is on the shelf.

Viral Component

This component of our marketing program, in play from the inception of our marketing strategy, beginning with sampling, building our brand awareness through our association with action sports and our public relations strategy, is the essence of our communications platform. It is what we do to communicate our message on a perpetual basis to accelerate trial of DNA® in our target market and within the natural extensions into other demographics. The objective of our viral program is to accelerate potential in a competitive segment of the beverage market. We want to make DNA® an acronym for energy drinks in every market we enter and use our target market as our brand evangelists to spread the word that DNA® tastes good and is cool to drink. Therefore, as we develop our message we will explore ideas to use DNA within our message as a substitute for drinking energy drinks.

As we have expanded our awareness through sampling, events driven participation and endorsements, we have received and benefited from significant public relations that has had a greater positive effect on our awareness program than advertising. We will continue our strategy to use our action sports teams and athletes on the back of our grass roots marketing strategy to expand this recognition platform.

We plan to accelerate the expansion of our community with our web site which will also be a destination point we will use to aggregate the action sports community as a one stop resource to learn about all that is going on in action sports. We are creating the “DNA Report” as an aggregator of all the current action sports stories and events, and drive our target market to the site in all our messaging. Our site will be the place to go to learn about what is going on in action sports.

We have successfully developed DNA Facebook, Twitter, My Space, Hooked It, Sponsor House and a fully interactive website. Our web site includes videos of our teams’ performances, daily updates, events and relevant brand news and also includes music components and music tours.

Distribution

In Florida, prior to Anheuser Busch agreeing to take over distribution of our energy drink and meat snack lines, our wholly owned distribution subsidiary, Grass Roots Beverage Company, Inc. (“Grass Roots”) covered 31 out of the 42 counties in the state and all of the heavily populated areas. As of the date of this Prospectus 13 out of the 23 Anheuser Busch distributors in Florida are distributing DNA products, 4 more have given approval and will be added shortly with most of the others expected to be aboard over the next 3 months.

On March 1, 2011, we entered into a distribution agreement with Sand Dollar Distributors, LLC for the distribution of our entire line of products. The agreement calls for Sand Dollar to service the Miami/Dade, Monroe (Key West through Miami) and Palm Beach counties where we have no AB coverage. Sand Dollar was Red Bull’s exclusive South Florida distributor until recently and largely credited with its success in South Florida. Distribution efforts are expected to begin in April 2011.

Grass Roots will continue to service those areas not presently covered by Anheuser-Busch until distribution agreements with the additional branches of the Anheuser Busch dealers are executed and the brand is fully assimilated in the Anheuser Busch network. Currently Grass Roots has ten company branded delivery vehicles and three branded sampling vehicles. Ralph Sabella, our Vice President of Operations, manages the eight sales people and four sampling teams of two people each. As Anheuser Busch’s involvement increases Grass Roots will begin to scale back its delivery function, reduce its delivery personnel and concentrate on providing back up sales and marketing support in the region.

In addition to implementing our events support programs and on street and in-store sampling programs, Grass Roots also calls on action sports shops, individual or small convenience stores in Florida from one targeted territory to the next. Our staff has established weekly sales calls and actual sales they must make. They are trained on how to ask for the order including offering our initial trial offer of three cases and an additional one for free. Most convenience stores agree to take our offer. Our staff provides all of the customer support and repeat orders which they have been trained to promote.

Our goals in Florida for the next 12 months are to secure additional distribution among the chain convenience, pharmacy and grocery locations such as Chevron (1,000 locations), 7 Eleven (1,000 locations), CVS Pharmacies, (700 locations) and Gate Petroleum (150 locations), among others. Authorizations have already been received by CVS, Circle K and 7 Eleven. We are actively pursuing the remaining accounts and will leverage Circle K as a means to acquire other similar distribution. Additionally, Grass Roots continues to service independents and chain stores in areas that are not yet covered by Anheuser Busch and newly appointed Sand Dollar distributors.

Based upon early successes with Walgreens, in February 2011 we entered into a full statewide distribution program with Walgreens covering 823 locations throughout Florida. Each Walgreens location will carry the full line of our meat snacks and energy drinks.

The state of Louisiana is also the responsibility of Grass Roots. Grass Roots made the decision to expand operations into Louisiana when Race Trac, Circle K and CVS expressed interest in carrying the DNA brands. Race Trac, Circle K and CVS will act as a base to secure additional chains and independent convenience store business. To facilitate product placement and awareness we entered into a marketing agreement with CVS and the New Orleans Hornets of the NBA in which the products will be featured at CVS on their end-cap program and promoted through the New Orleans Hornets.

In February 2010 we launched operations into the Georgia market with Savannah Distributing pursuant to a verbal agreement. Currently Savannah is servicing Race Trac stores turned over to them by Grass Roots and are seeking additional distribution among the more than 1,200 Independent/Chain C Store Accounts they service. We are also currently in discussions with a large Anheuser Busch distributor in that region.

We are currently in negotiations with a large Miller Wholesaler in Wisconsin that distributes to over half of that state. If a mutually acceptable agreement can be reached, May 15, 2011 is the anticipated kick off date.

Our exclusive broker, Royal Strategies and Solutions, has enlisted the services of several prominent brokerage companies to assist in acquiring new chain and wholesale business on the west coast of the United States utilizing several distribution methods. In addition, we are utilizing the sales and marketing network of Monogram Food Solutions, our manufacturing, sales and marketing partner in the production of DNA Beef Jerky™ and DNA Shred Stix™.

Advertising

Our budget as it relates to traditional media advertising is relatively small and at this time will not support traditional advertising on television or radio that would support our growth as we cannot afford to compete by matching our competitor's budget for this type of exposure. However, we do recognize its importance and are close to being able to address these markets in what we believe is an economical and inventive way. We also believe that traditional advertising is contrary to the nature of our target market and will use it only as support for successful grass roots programs. Therefore, we are looking at the Internet as our source for advertising. We are looking at compiling all of the action sport web sites and creating a linked presence in each of them. We are developing search engine optimization and key Google and Yahoo ad words to ensure that DNA® is one of the first places to go when energy drinks and meat snacks are Googled. This process is being handled by our own in-house IT specialist who is responsible for keeping the website current and Facebook, Twitter, MySpace, Hookit and Sponsorship fresh, but does not perform any other IT functions for us. We believe that the DNA® 18-wheel rig traveling throughout the United States has provided us with major visibility. We intend to expand these programs as a strategy of high effective low cost advertising.

We believe that how we communicate our message must be integrated and coordinated among all of the above initiatives to deliver the message and create the necessary reach. A top down approach as employed by the elite brands is capital intensive and we believe will not allow us to exploit the window of weakness in elite brands' marketing strategy to enter the market. We believe that we must communicate with our target market from the ground-up.

We are confident our products can compete on taste and functionality which we hope will allow us to convert a portion of our competitor's market share. However, their vast marketing dollars and existing national presence make it unrealistic to compete successfully with them on an initial national level for their customer base. To succeed, it is our intention to build and maintain prominent positions in each successive phased geographic location we enter. This means our products must have prominent shelf space in the vast majority of stores that the elite brands occupy in each state we enter. Therefore, we understand we must be competitive on quality; we must expand awareness to accelerate

trial, and must provide an appealing value proposition to our customers.

We have a master broker agreement with Royal Strategies & Solutions, Inc. (“Royal”), a company owned by our management. See “CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS,” below. Under the terms of the agreement Royal seeks to place our products on the shelves of major chain, drug and grocery stores in specifically targeted areas selected by us. They receive constant support from us in making sales calls or working to create in store promotion programs to accelerate sales. Royal specializes in the launch of new products and oversees a national network of brokers, distributors, manufactures and retailers selling a wide array of products to retailers across the nation. Royal’s most recent launch success is DRSI’s “ReStore Energy Formula” that is gaining national distribution through retailers such as Rite-Aid and Kroger. Prior to ReStore, Royal launched Zestra Laboratories (touted as the female Viagra®), gaining nearly 35,000 shelves nationwide in less than 24 months including nearly every major drug, pharmacy, and supermarket, including Wal-Mart. We are currently in final negotiations with a group representing significant distribution in the Caribbean. The business will consist of volume sales to these regions at a discounted price. However due to the lack of required support normally given the brand, we do not feel the net profit per case will be adversely affected.

We will not extend our presence beyond our human resources, production capability, and capital means to support each market to the levels we promise to our distributors and retailers. If and when we secure a prominent position in a target territory using our grass roots marketing strategy, we will leverage our relationships and achievements to move to the next area and repeat our programs there.

Manufacturing and Production

Our energy drink products are based on a proprietary formulation we have created with our contract development group under a non-disclosure agreement. Our energy drinks are currently manufactured at Seven-Up Snapple Southeast (“SUS”) f/k/a/ Southeast Atlantic Beverage in Jacksonville, Florida under a confidentiality agreement. SUS is a full service contract manufacturer and also manufactures beverages for Welch’s Sunkist, Hawaiian Punch and many others. This facility owns all of the manufacturing equipment and was identified by us as having an excellent record for contract manufacturing and the capacity to meet all of our initial growth expectations in southeastern United States. SUS has manufacturing plants located throughout the United States, which is expected to provide us a significant benefit as our operations expand throughout the United States. As we expand geographically, we believe we can use any of SUS’s manufacturing plants located throughout the country to expand capacity and save costs on transportation. We believe this facility can manufacture enough cases to meet all of our immediate needs in the Southeast. Production turnaround time is 14-30 days. Our terms of payment are C.O.D. We do not believe there are any problems that may obstruct the procurement of raw materials. Raw materials are ordered 2-4 weeks in advance. Payment terms for ingredients are 30 days after receipt.

Our 16 oz. cans are manufactured by Rexam Can Company at their North Carolina facility. Rexam, formerly American Can Company, is one of the largest producers of cans in the world. Estimated turn-around time varies from season to season and runs between 14 to 30 days. The manufacturer has the capacity to produce over 50 billion cans per annum. Upon completion, the cans are shipped by truck to the contract manufacturer where they are filled. We do not believe there are any problems in procuring the raw materials to manufacture the cans.

We purchase our raw materials for our energy drink from several producers, including Energy Blend from Anmar International, Bridgeport, CT. Our flavors come from Seethness Greenleaf in Illinois, and Guarana is sourced from Gateway in New Jersey. Prices are fixed for a period of one year and all bought against purchase orders. The raw materials portion of our beverage represents approximately 33% of the cost of goods sold of our product. We receive delivery of shipment at contract manufacturers (cans & producer) within 14 days of our order for which we pay C.O.D. All other terms are based net 30 days.

We purchase the raw materials for our cans from Rexam and those costs represent 33% percent of our cost of goods sold. Our terms are based net 30 days. Manufacturing costs represent 33% of our cost of goods sold. Based on our average order, our cost is approximately \$10 per case of 24 cans depending on flavor. Cases come in pallets of 80 and are shrink wrapped and include shipping to warehouse.

Our meat snack products are also produced with proprietary formulation that has been created by us and produced by our manufacturing “partner,” Monogram Food Solutions, under a Manufacturing, Sales and Marketing Agreement (the “Agreement”). The product is produced at either the Martinsville, Virginia or Chandler, Minnesota facility. The terms of the Agreement call for Monogram to finance the production, produce, distribute and sell the product and for us to market, distribute, sell and promote the DNA Branded meat products. The highlight of this line is the “Shred Stix,” a 1 ounce meat stick that is produced in 3 flavors. Profits on the sale of our meat snacks are shared equally by Monogram and us. Monogram has advised that it is the largest producer of 100 % US meat snacks in the US and the third largest overall. Monogram is maintaining an adequate inventory to insure delivery promises can be met and will require a 4-6 week period to handle special orders.

Industry Overview

Energy Drink

We have entered into the United States’ \$23 billion (AC Nielsen 2008) New Age Beverage category that according to Global Industry Analysts, Inc., the Sports and Energy Drink sector we occupy is collectively expected to reach US\$39.2 billion by 2011. New Age Beverage category is made up of a line of functional beverages that address specific health and performance needs. These beverages range from Gatorade, introduced in the 1960’s initially to replace electrolytes for athletes, to drinks filled with vitamins and nutrients to improve energy, awareness and hydration, among numerous other functions. According to BEVNET.com, Inc, a leading trade source in the industry, New Age Beverages are rapidly gaining in popularity over carbonated sodas and juices as people are becoming more health conscience and seeking an edge to improve their performance either athletically or to handle their daily challenges with more vigor. New categories are constantly finding ways into the New Age beverage sector.

Industry experts appear to be in agreement that the energy drink market is one of the fastest growing segments of the functional drink market. Energy drinks were introduced initially in the United States by Red Bull in 1997 after its major success in Europe. By 2001, the energy drink market had developed to almost \$400 million in retail sales. By 2005, it had grown to approximately \$4 billion. This trend is continuing. Energy drinks as a category are no longer considered a fad. It has been on a steep growth curve since its introduction over 10 years ago. New brands are constantly being introduced to meet the growing demand.

In 1998 Red Bull, the largest selling energy drink in the world, introduced its Red Bull Energy Drink in the United States to a younger demographic, 18-39, of people who are highly active and in need of energy. Despite injecting significant funds into its initial marketing campaign, there were many obstacles to overcome including a high price barrier of \$2 and more for an 8 ounce can and a medicinal taste. Red Bull developed a highly disciplined training program for their employees and introduced Red Bull in several key major trend setting markets. They sampled heavily, made it available initially in the major and most popular night clubs and events. With discipline, Red Bull demonstrated that with its high quality ingredients, it provided consumers with the energy lift they wanted. They were able to define the category and set price point acceptance among a highly motivated and developing consumer base. Beyond its initial target they expanded their marketing to include all those people in need of energy in their daily routine.

Approximately 85% (NAACS Jan. 2010) of all purchases of energy drinks at retail are sold through the 146,294 individual and chain convenience store outlets and gas stations with attached convenience stores in single serve cold cans. Moreover, the top brands are finding their way onto supermarket shelves and also into branded coolers. Other sales outlets included among the 739,441 total combined retail/on-premise locations are restaurants, bars, actions sport shops, grocers, pharmacies, parks, beaches and generally everywhere drinks are sold. Our principal focus has been and will continue to be on convenience stores. Once we have made inroads into convenience stores in a particular

territory, we will work with Royal's broker network and with relevant distributors to move into supermarket, mass market and pharmacy stores as outlets for DNA®.

Top Convenience Store States (National Association of Convenience Stores “NACS” January 2010)

State	Stores
Texas	14,226
California	10,312
Florida	9,223
New York	7,552
Georgia	6,363
North Carolina	6,146
Ohio	5,182
Michigan	4,814
Illinois	4,496
Virginia	4,461

The typical consumers of energy drinks are 18-39 year olds, active in or fans of action sports (Bev Net, Nacs, Convenience Store News, Supermarket news). Energy drink users consume drinks before, during and after activities and at any other time when an additional source of energy is wanted. Although there is brand loyalty, energy drink purchasing continues to be in good portion an impulse purchase in single cans. With the introduction of the category into large retail outlets, energy drinks are now being sold in multi-can cartons, which serve to lessen some of the impulse buying and augers well for the category as it competes with other beverage categories including carbonated soda and coffees. According to the Mintel Oxygen Report’s Global Market Navigator, August 2010, American’s consume 3.05 liters of energy drinks per capita each year, which translates into approximately two cans per day for energy drinkers. On the heels of Red Bull’s success, numerous other brands were developed.

Numerous major beverage companies have no presence in this category but do have large distribution and marketing capacity to leverage. We believe that that the typical energy drink consumer does not connect to the corporate culture that these large beverage companies carry with them. Therefore, it is viewed as a more logical approach that a larger company would acquire an up and coming brand in order to acquire a strong foothold and presence in this side of the industry.

To date, the larger beverage companies have not purchased energy drink companies but have made significant contributions to their distribution. Vitamin Water, in the functional beverage category, is a huge success story with Coca Cola purchasing the company for 12 times revenue at a sale price in excess of \$4 billion in 2007. Hansen Natural Beverages was a regional successful carbonated soda company. It was only when Monster Energy was developed and launched that its sales exceeded \$1 billion per annum. The other top brands are controlled by Coke and Pepsi. We believe that there is room for other energy drink companies to build a successful brand not by competing dollar for dollar with the elite brands, but by seeking a place of prominence in store shelves and with consumers in our target market alongside these elite brands based on the quality of our taste and functional profile, and by establishing intimate ground roots recognition and adoption within DNA’s target demographic at low and controlled costs.

Meat Snacks

According to the USDA, processed beef represents approximately 13% of total beef consumption Of the 30 billion pounds of beef annually consumed in the US, 90% of all households consume beef according to the USDA (LDP-M-135-02 Factors Affecting US Beef Consumption) but only 23% beef jerky. The challenge is bridging the gap between household consumption and meat snack consumption.

We believe it is important that we understand market variations and our competition before we can fully address and implement intelligent product alternatives and marketing programs. There are gender considerations to consider. According to the USDA (LDP-M-135-02 Factors Affecting US Beef Consumption) males consume an average of more than 38 lbs. of beef annually than women. Per-capita beef consumption was highest for males 20-30 and females 12-19. There are also generation considerations. The USDA Economic Research institute expects beef consumption to decrease as the population ages. Finally there are race/ethnicity considerations. Beef consumption (most to least) is in the following order: Black/Hispanic/White/Other. However, Hispanics are expected to exceed consumption by Blacks due to population increases. The challenge is to target and identify new consumer segments as the existing target audience continues to age and shrink and bring them products that are innovative as well as nutritionally satisfying.

Existing characteristics of the energy drink and meat snack markets include:

- The categories are real and growing.
- Price point adoption. Red Bull has set the high price point and the market has adopted it. At these levels, there has been no resistance.
 - Brand loyalty exists at younger age levels. Brand loyalty can be somewhat offset by the high degree of impulse buying principally in single cans from convenience stores.
- Impulse buying habits are also being changed, albeit slowly, by quantity purchases from supermarkets primarily by the older elements of our target market and those outside our target market.

Employees

Currently we have seventeen (17) full time employees of which two are executive management. Eleven (11) are employed in direct sales and sampling who are predominantly on the road and four (4) are in administrative support and fulfillment. Additionally, we use contract labor and consultants on an “as needed” basis primarily in the areas of administration, accounting, investor relations, and on a limited basis in sales and marketing.

We require all our employees and consultants to sign a confidentiality and non-disclosure agreement. Our success relies on our ability to hire additional employees, particularly on the local sales side. We believe there are numerous quality people to choose from throughout our area of targeted expansion.

As we grow we anticipate in the near future we will require a national marketing director, an in-house IT director and regional sales directors for each region and a Chief Financial Officer/controller.

None of our employees are members of any union. We believe that our relationship with our employees is excellent.

Competition

Competition – Energy Drinks

We are competing with publicly and privately held companies, each of whom having greater resources, both financial and otherwise, than the resources presently available to us. The energy drink market is dominated by five brands including:

- **Red Bull:** With estimated worldwide sales in excess of \$5 billion, Red Bull is the largest participant in the energy drink sector. Red Bull is owned by Dietrich Mateschitz, who introduced it to the European market in 1987. Red Bull's distributed more than one billion cans in 2001 without owning a single plant, truck or retail outlet. The taste profile of Red Bull is along medicinal lines with its ingredients being of standard fare. Due to the lack of competition, Red Bull was able to build a strong a brand and a loyal client base. Red Bull caters to the action sports community, on-premise liquor sales, and a "yuppie" contingency. Red Bull is sold through Red Bull exclusive regional distributors in more than 50 countries worldwide.
- **Monster Energy:** Monster Energy is owned by Hansen's Natural Beverage and in 2007 it achieved \$1 billion in revenue for the first time. Monster has risen to become the second largest energy drink producer behind Red Bull building a predominately strong core following through the sponsorship of major action sports events and teams. In 2007 the company opted to forsake its established distribution relationships in favor of Anheuser-Busch to take advantage of AB's on premise liquor business which has left a major void in the conventional beverage distributors' portfolios.
- **Rock Star:** Rock Star Energy is the third largest producer in the energy drink category with approximately 528 million cans sold in 2007. Rock Star is a California/Nevada based operation with strong ties to the entertainment world. Rock Star also has shut off its distributors in favor of a national distribution relationship with Coca-Cola.
- **Full Throttle:** Full Throttle is in fourth position behind Red Bull, Monster and Rock Star. Full Throttle is owned by Coca-Cola but does not compete nearly as well as the top three, we believe because the corporate image behind Coke and Pepsi is viewed as contrary to the images of "cool and credible" that permeates among a younger target market.
- **AMP:** AMP is a new Pepsi product and rounds off the top of the line in the category. We believe it sells on par with Full Throttle and has image issues for similar reasons we raised for Full Throttle.

These five brands represented more than 90% of the total dollar sales in the energy drink category in 2010 as reported by Symphony. The data does not include mass market retailers.

The elite brands today also trade on functionality. However, it is principally the recognition they are able to build with extremely high marketing dollars that maintain their status in the category. Several brands are expanding their SKU's into new energy drink categories including children energy drinks, coffee energy drinks and high concentration long lasting energy drinks as category line extensions.

We believe there are several avenues on which we compete including on our high taste and functional profiles. At \$1.89-\$1.99 per can, we are priced at retail at up to 50 cents less than the existing top brands (even more so with Red Bull as they sell an 8 ounce can at over \$2.49 per can) giving us an advantageous value proposition which is important on three levels: On the distributor level in which the distributor pays less per case for our product and can sell it for more of a profit than other top brands; on the retail level in which retailers are finding they can sell our product over our MSRP but under the retail price suggested by the elite brands to obtain higher margins per ring, and; on a consumer level with those having tried and liked DNA® or heard about it, who are more likely to impulsively reach for it when they see a price of up to 50 cents lower.

Competition - Meat Snacks

In the meat snack segment of our business, the following are our principal competitors:

- Jack Link's – The leaders in the beef jerky segment of the meat snack category is Jack Links holding 11 of the top 25 spots and a 44% market share according to a 2009 SCANTRACK convenience survey. Jack Link's (Matador) continues to grow as a result of the Frito Lay Partnership. We believe that it is doubtful that retailers will want to allocate more than 50% of the snack category revenue to one supplier. Oberto follows in the category with a 7% declining share and rounded out by Penrose and Pemmican.
- Slim Jim – Holds the outright lead in meat stick (1.0 oz.) sales with nearly two-thirds market and is a clear number two in overall meat snacks with 25% market share.

The top four brands drive nearly 80% of sales revenue in the category according to Scantrack Conv (52 weeks ending 06/13/09) and AC Nielsen (12 weeks ending 06/13/09).

Property

Our principal place of business is located at 506 NW 77th Street, Boca Raton, Florida 33487. This location consists of 5,000 square feet of office and conference room space and also houses our primary warehouse which consists of approximately 12,000 square feet. Our lease expires in June 2014 and we pay rent of \$10,400 per month. We do not anticipate that we will need to expand the office facility for the next 12 months.

We also maintain two satellite warehouses in Orlando and Tampa to facilitate distribution at a monthly cost of \$300 and \$250, respectively. These leases are month to month. As we expand our distribution geographically, we anticipate that we will require additional warehousing closer to the manufacturing facility and to the distribution which will create a cost savings on shipping for us as well as allow us to service our accounts on a timely basis. Moreover, those warehouses can support the local and regional sales and sampling staff we take on as we expand our business.

Additionally, we own/lease a fleet of 13 DNA® branded vans which are used for selling, delivery and sampling to outlets. We purchase or lease these vans new and used as and when we believe the local market can support them. We also spend an average of \$2,000 per vehicle to create the DNA® branded graphics that are distinct to our Company.

Our IT, primarily our web site, is hosted remotely with redundancy capability.

We own and/or lease over 200 branded coolers that are placed primarily at actions sports shops across the state. We will require more as we expand. We believe these coolers pay for themselves in 18 months.

Government Regulations

While we do not manufacture our products, the production and marketing of our licensed and proprietary products are subject to the rules and regulations of various federal, state and local health agencies, including in particular the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA). The FDA also regulates labeling of our products. From time to time, we may receive notifications of various technical labeling or ingredient reviews with respect to our licensed products. We believe that we have a compliance program in place to ensure compliance with production, marketing and labeling regulations.

Packagers of our beverage products presently offer non-refillable, recyclable containers in the U.S. and various other markets. Some of these packagers also offer refillable containers, which are also recyclable. Legal requirements have been enacted in jurisdictions in the U.S. requiring that deposits or certain eco-taxes or fees be charged for the sale,

marketing and use of certain non-refillable beverage containers. The precise requirements imposed by these measures vary. Other beverage container related deposit, recycling, eco-tax and/or product stewardship proposals have been introduced in various jurisdictions in the U.S. We anticipate that similar legislation or regulations may be proposed in the future at local, state and federal levels in the U.S.

Legal Proceedings

As of the date of this Prospectus we are involved in the following legal matters:

DNA Brands, Inc. v. Edwards Investments, Inc., Alchemy Financial Services, Inc. and Craig Edelman a/k/a Craig Edwards, Fifteenth Judicial Circuit Court, Palm Beach County, Florida, Case No. 50 2010 CA 029413. This is an action filed on December 7, 2010, for a declaratory judgment (to determine whether notes from DNA Brands, Inc. to Edwards Investments, Inc. for \$50,000 and to Alchemy Financial Services, Inc. for \$200,000 are enforceable due to lack of consideration) and for fraudulent and negligent inducement as to Edwards Investments, Inc. and Craig Edelman a/k/a Craig Edwards. Edwards Investments, Inc. and Alchemy Financial Services, Inc. served a Motion to Quash and/or Abate (contesting jurisdiction over them in Florida) on January 21, 2011. Mr. Edelman has not yet been served.

Edwards Investments, Inc. v. DNA Brands, Inc., District Court, Arapahoe County, Colorado, Case No. 10CV2693. This is an action filed on December 17, 2010, for breach of a promissory note in the principal amount of \$50,000. We filed an Answer and asserted Affirmative Defenses on January 24, 2011, asserting defenses based upon lack of consideration for the note.

Alchemy Financial Services, Inc. v. DNA Brands, Inc., District Court, Arapahoe County, Colorado, Case No. 10CV2694. This is an action filed on December 17, 2010, for breach of a promissory note in the principal amount of \$200,000. DNA Brands, Inc. served an Answer and Affirmative Defenses on January 24, 2011, asserting defenses based upon lack of consideration for the note.

Discovery is in the initial stages on the above matters. While we are optimistic that we will be successful in the above matters, due to the uncertainties of litigation it is not possible to provide an evaluation of the likelihood of an unfavorable outcome or an estimate of the amount or range of potential loss at this time.

We are not involved in any other material legal proceedings, nor are we aware of any other legal proceedings threatened or in which any director or officer or any of their affiliates is a party adverse to our Company or has a material interest adverse to us.

MANAGEMENT

Executive Officers, Directors and Key Personnel

The following table sets forth information regarding our executive officers and directors:

Name	Age	Position
Darren M. Marks	43	Chief Executive Officer, President, Treasurer and Director
Melvin Leiner	71	Chief Financial Officer, Chief Operating Officer, Secretary, Treasurer and Director

The above listed officers and directors will serve until the next annual meeting of the shareholders or until their death, resignation, retirement, removal, or disqualification, or until their successors have been duly elected and qualified. Vacancies in the existing Board of Directors are filled by majority vote of the remaining Directors. Officers serve at the will of the Board of Directors.

Resumes

Darren M. Marks has been the President, Chief Executive Officer and a Director of DNA since August 2007. Prior, from May 2004 through July 2007, he was the President, CEO and a director of Grass Roots Beverage Company, Inc., Boca Raton, FL. From 2001 through April 2006, Mr. Marks served in an executive capacity for Royal Strategies and Solutions, Inc., a brokerage services company servicing primarily ethnic food companies seeking to expand distribution and is currently its Vice President and a director. He has been instrumental in the development, production and marketing of DNA's initial product offering and has been responsible for developing all DNA's relationships in the action sports community. From 1991 to 1997, Mr. Marks served as founder and Vice President of Sims Communications, Inc., a publicly-traded NASDAQ telecommunications company, and was responsible for the creation, design and funding of a national telecommunication program for clients such as Alamo Rent-a-Car and the American Automobile Association. He devotes substantially all of his time to our affairs.

Melvin Leiner has been Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer, Chief Operating Officer, Secretary, Treasurer and a Director of DNA since August 2007. Prior, from May 2004 through July 2007, he held similar positions with Grass Roots Beverage Company, Inc., Boca Raton, FL. From 2001 through April 2006, Mr. Leiner served in an executive capacity for Royal Strategies and Solutions, Inc., a brokerage services company servicing primarily ethnic food companies seeking to expand distribution and is currently its President and a director. Mr. Leiner has over 35 years of entrepreneurial and management experience in developing, initiating, and operating companies in a broad range of industries including the beverage industry. He has served in an executive capacity and consultant for numerous privately held and public companies in the beverage and telecommunications industries. Mr. Leiner was also the founder, Chairman and CEO of Sims Communications, Inc., a NASDAQ-traded telecommunications company and former financial consultant with several firms specializing in new ventures. He devotes substantially all of his time to our affairs.

We have elected Messrs. Leiner and Marks as directors as a result of their extensive experience in our industry, as discussed above. Additionally, each of our directors has had prior experience as officers and directors of public companies prior to assuming their positions with us. We believe that we are currently unable to attract additional experienced individuals to serve as directors because we have not obtained director and officer liability insurance. We expect to obtain the same once we have obtained the funding necessary to fully effectuate our business plan, as discussed above in both the Management's Discussion and Business sections of this Prospectus. Until we obtain such insurance our ability to attract other experienced business people who agree to serve as officers and/or directors is expected to be limited due to the potential liabilities that accrue to public companies.

Board Committees

As of the date of this Prospectus we do not have any committees of our Board of Directors. We expect to appoint outside Directors to serve on our Board in the near future, but as of the date of this Prospectus we have not identified such prospective Directors. Once appointed, we expect to form an Audit Committee, a Compensation Committee, a Corporate Governance Committee and a Nominating Committee.