DAC TECHNOLOGIES GROUP INTERNATIONAL INC Form 10KSB March 31, 2008

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549 FORM 10-KSB

x ANNUAL REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2007 o TRANSITION REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

FOR THE TRANSITION PERIOD FROM____TO ____TO

COMMISSION FILE NUMBER 000-29211

DAC TECHNOLOGIES GROUP INTERNATIONAL, INC.

(NAME OF SMALL BUSINESS ISSUER IN ITS CHARTER)

Florida 65-0847852

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

12120 Colonel Glenn Road, Suite 6200 Little Rock, AR 72210

(address of principal executive offices) (zip code)

(501) 661-9100

(Issuer s telephone number)

Securities registered under Section 12(b) of the Act:

None

Name of each exchange on which registered

Not applicable

Securities registered under Section 12(g) of the Act:

Common Stock, par value \$0.001

CHECK WHETHER THE ISSUER IS NOT REQUIRED TO FILE REPORTS PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE EXCHANGE ACT. σ

CHECK WHETHER THE ISSUER (1) FILED ALL REPORTS REQUIRED TO BE FILED BY SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE EXCHANGE ACT DURING THE PAST 12 MONTHS (OR FOR SUCH SHORTER PERIOD THAT THE REGISTRANT WAS REQUIRED TO FILE SUCH REPORTS), AND (2) HAS BEEN SUBJECT TO SUCH FILING REQUIREMENTS FOR THE PAST 90 DAYS. YES x NO o

CHECK IF THERE IS NO DISCLOSURE OF DELINQUENT FILERS IN RESPONSE TO ITEM 405 OF REGULATION S-B IS NOT CONTAINED IN THIS FORM, AND NO DISCLOSURE WILL BE CONTAINED, TO THE BEST OF THE REGISTRANT S KNOWLEDGE, IN DEFINITIVE PROXY OR INFORMATION STATEMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE IN PART III OF THIS FORM 10-KSB OR ANY AMENDMENT TO THIS FORM 10-KSB. 0

INDICATE BY CHECK MARK WHETHER THE REGISTRANT IS A SHELL COMPANY (AS DEFINED IN RULE 12b-2 OF THE EXCHANGE ACT YES 0 NOx

STATE ISSUER S REVENUES FOR ITS MOST RECENT FISCAL YEAR. \$14,777,645

STATE THE AGGREGATE MARKET VALUE OF THE VOTING STOCK HELD BY NON-AFFILIATES COMPUTED BY REFERENCE TO THE PRICE AT WHICH THE STOCK WAS SOLD, OR THE AVERAGE BID AND ASKED PRICES OF SUCH STOCK, AS OF A SPECIFIED DATE WITHIN THE PAST 60 DAYS. THE AGGREGATE MARKET VALUE OF THE VOTING STOCK HELD BY NON-AFFILIATES AS OF MARCH 13.

2008 WAS APPROXIMATELY \$3,182,981.

STATE THE NUMBER OF SHARES OUTSTANDING OF EACH OF THE ISSUER S CLASS OF COMMON EQUITY, AS OF THE LATEST PRACTICABLE DATE. AS OF MARCH 13, 2008, 6,323,364 SHARES OF COMMON STOCK ARE ISSUED AND 6,032,899 ARE OUTSTANDING.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

IF THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENTS ARE INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE, BRIEFLY DESCRIBE THEM AND IDENTIFY THE PART OF THE FORM 10-KSB INTO WHICH THE DOCUMENT IS INCORPORATED: (1) ANY ANNUAL REPORT TO SECURITY HOLDERS; (2) ANY PROXY OR INFORMATION STATEMENT; AND (3) ANY PROSPECTUS FILED PURSUANT TO RULE 424(b) OF THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933 (SECURITIES ACT). NOT APPLICABLE.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| FORWARD- | LOOKING STATEMENT | 1 |
|-----------------|---|----|
| <u>PART I</u> | | 1 |
| <u>ITEM 1.</u> | DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS | 1 |
| <u>ITEM 2.</u> | DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY | 13 |
| <u>ITEM 3.</u> | LEGAL PROCEEDINGS | 14 |
| <u>ITEM 4.</u> | SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS | 15 |
| <u>PART II</u> | | 15 |
| <u>ITEM 5.</u> | MARKET FOR COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS | 15 |
| <u>ITEM 6.</u> | MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OR PLAN OF OPERATION | 16 |
| <u>ITEM 7.</u> | FINANCIAL STATEMENTS | 20 |
| <u>ITEM 8.</u> | CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING | |
| | AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE | 20 |
| <u>ITEM 8A.</u> | CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES | 20 |
| ITEM 8B. | OTHER INFORMATION | 21 |
| PART III | | 21 |
| <u>ITEM 9.</u> | DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS, PROMOTERS AND CONTROL PERSONS: | |
| | COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION 16(a) OF THE EXCHANGE ACT | 21 |
| <u>ITEM 10.</u> | EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION | 23 |
| <u>ITEM 11.</u> | SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND | |
| | MANAGEMENTAND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS | 24 |
| <u>ITEM 12.</u> | CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS | 25 |
| <u>ITEM 13.</u> | <u>EXHIBITS</u> | 26 |
| <u>ITEM 14.</u> | PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES | 26 |
| | ended Employment Contract | |
| | n 302 Certification of CEO n 302 Certification of CFO | |
| | n 906 Certification of CEO | |
| EX-32.2 Sectio | on 906 Certification of CFO | |
| | i | |

Table of Contents

UNLESS THE CONTEXT OTHERWISE REQUIRES, THE TERMS COMPANY, WE, US, AND OUR, REFER DAC TECHNOLOGIES GROUP INTERNATIONAL, INC.

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This document includes forward looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, (the Securities Act) and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act). All statements other than statements of historical fact contained in this document, including, without limitation, the statements under Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and Liquidity and Sources of Capital regarding the Company's strategies, plans, objectives, expectations, and future operating results are forward-looking statements. Such statements also consist of any statement other than a recitation of historical fact and can be identified by the use of forward-looking terminology, such as may, estimate, or continue or the negative thereof or other variations thereon or comparable terminology. anticipate. Although the Company believes that the expectations reflected in such forward-looking statements are reasonable at this time, it can give no assurance that such expectations will prove to have been correct. Actual results could differ materially based upon a number of factors including, but not limited to, risks attending litigation and government investigation, inability to raise additional capital or find strategic partners, leverage and debt service, governmental regulation, dependence on key personnel, competition, including competition from other manufacturers of gun locks, and gun cleaning kits, costs and risks attending manufacturing, expansion of operations, market acceptance of the Company s products limited public market and liquidity, shares eligible for future sale, the Company s common stock (Common Stock) being subject to penny stock regulation and other risks detailed in the Company s filings with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC or Commission).

PART I

ITEM 1. DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS

(1) History and Business Development.

We were incorporated as a Florida corporation in July 1998, under the name DAC Technologies of America, Inc. for the purpose of succeeding to the interest of DAC Technologies of America, Inc., an Arkansas corporation (DAC Arkansas). In September 1998, we purchased substantially all of the assets of DAC Arkansas. DAC Arkansas, formed as an Arkansas corporation in 1993, may be deemed to be a predecessor of our company. DAC Arkansas commenced operations with the manufacture of various safety products, which were eventually acquired by us. Our principal owners and management held similar positions with DAC Arkansas. We have continued the operations of DAC Arkansas without any significant changes. In July 1999, we changed our name to DAC Technologies Group International, Inc.

We have not been involved in any bankruptcy, receivership or similar proceeding. Except as set forth herein, we have not been involved in any material reclassification, merger, consolidation, or purchase or sale of a significant amount of assets not in the ordinary course of business.

Our primary business is the sale of gun maintenance and hunting and camping accessories, and to a lesser degree, gun safety; our target consumer base is sportsmen, hunters and outdoorsmen, and recreational enthusiasts.

1

Table of Contents

(2) Business Plan

We are in the business of developing, outsourcing the manufacture and marketing of, various consumer products, patented and non-patented. Our products were initially security related, evolving from various personal, home and automotive electronic security devices, to firearm safety devices such as gun and trigger locks, cable locks and safes. Beginning in 2003, with the introduction of our line of GunMaster[®] gun cleaning kits, we have shifted our emphasis to gun cleaning items and related gun maintenance accessories and away from gun locks and firearm safety devices. This product line has continued to grow and now accounts for approximately 59% of the Company s sales, whereas gun locks now account for approximately 11% of sales. The percentage decline is not due to a numerical decline in the volume of gun lock sales but rather the increased sales volume of other product areas.

In 2005, we added a line of meat processing items, which is consistent with our business philosophy of marketing products to sportsmen, hunters, outdoorsmen, and recreational enthusiasts. We have continued to develop products in the hunting and camping area, adding a game processing kit (knife set) in 2006, and an aluminum camping table and turkey hunting seat in 2007. In 2007, hunting and camping accounted for approximately 28% of sales. In 2008, the Company added a new, large roll-top camping table to this area.

In December 2007, the Company began shipping three new cleaning dusters as the first items in the household cleaning area. The Company will continue to expand this product line, and has added new items in 2008.

Although a significant portion of our business is with the mass-market retailer Wal-Mart (approximately 66%), we have been able to considerably increase our business with large sporting goods retailers, distributors and catalog companies.

The majority of our products are manufactured and imported from mainland China and shipped to a central location in Little Rock, Arkansas for distribution.

The Company s business plan and strategy for growth continues to focus on:

Increased penetration of our existing markets, particularly in the gun cleaning market and accessories

Development of new products for the hunting and camping market and expanding into the household market

Identification and development of products for new markets in which the Company can be competitive due to its manufacturing relationships

Identification and recruitment of effective manufacturer s representatives to actively market these products on a national and international basis

2

Table of Contents

Aggressive cost containment, both in operating expenses and manufacturing costs

Management believes that continued growth would require the Company to continually innovate and improve its existing line of products to meet consumer, industry and governmental demands. In addition, we must continue to develop or acquire new and unique products that will appeal to gun owners, as well as non-gun related products for the expansion of our sporting goods customer base.

In addition to our traditional products, our management is actively pursuing initiatives which may add complimentary business and products. These initiatives are intended to broaden the base of revenues to make us less dependent on particular products. By developing businesses which focus on products and which compliment our current line of products, management hopes to leverage these opportunities to not only develop new sources of revenue, but to strengthen the demand for our existing products.

(3) Products

A. Introduction.

Our products were initially security related, evolving from various personal, home and automotive electronic security devices, to firearm safety devices such as gunlocks, trigger locks, cable locks and security safes. Beginning in 2003, we shifted our emphasis to gun cleaning and maintenance items, and in 2005 began adding new items in the hunting and camping area.

Our products can be grouped into four main categories: (a) gun cleaning and maintenance, (b) hunting and camping, (c) gun safety, and (d) other products. In developing these products, we focus on developing features, establishing patents, and formulating pricing to obtain a competitive edge. We currently design and engineer our products with the assistance of our Chinese trading company and manufacturers, who are responsible for the tooling, manufacture and packaging of our products.

- (1) **Gun Maintenance**. We market over forty (40) different gun cleaning kits and rod sets used to clean and maintain virtually any firearm on the market. These kits are solid brass, and consist of universal kits designed to fit a variety of firearms, caliber specific kits, as well as replacement brushes, mops, etc. These kits are available in solid wood or aluminum cases, as well as blister packed. We also carry a full line of replacement pieces for each kit. We also market several kits that have been privately labeled for certain customers. This product area accounts for 59% of our business.
- (2) **Hunting and Camping.** This category includes three meat-processing items, Sportsman s Lighter, game processing kit, two aluminum camping tables and turkey hunting seat. This product area accounts for 28% of our business.
- (3) **Gun Safety.** We market twelve (12) different gun safety locks and five security and specialty safes. The locks composition range from plastic to steel and keyed trigger locks to cable locks. The security safes are of heavy-duty, all steel construction and are designed

3

Table of Contents

for firearms, jewelry and other valuables. Eight of the Company s gun locks and two of the safes have been certified for sale consistent with the standards set out by the State of California. These California standards have been adopted by other states and by a variety of gun manufacturers. This product area accounts for 11% of our business.

- (4) **Other Products.** We market four (4) different electronic security devices designed to protect the person. These include our Body Alarm, Key Alert, Glass Window Alert and Patient Alarm. For 2007, the Company s household cleaning items are also included in this category.
- (4) Manufacturing, Suppliers and Distribution.

Through our foreign and domestic manufacturing agents, we manufacture, design and build our tooling, molds and products. Currently, at least 99% of our products are manufactured in mainland China. We customarily develop our manufacturing through trading companies located in China. Our principal agent is MDD Trading, Ltd., which is a trading company/agent that is responsible for locating manufacturers for our products. These companies typically provide us with price lists for the manufacture and tooling of our products, which we may or may not negotiate. The products are then purchased from the manufacturers by the trading companies and sold to us at marked-up costs.

We believe our relationships with our suppliers and manufacturers are satisfactory. Nonetheless, we are dependent upon our primary Chinese supplier continuing in business and its ability to ship to the United States, but believe that we could replace this supplier, if required to, at similar quality and terms. However, should any of the manufacturers cease providing for us, we believe they can be replaced within 30 days, without difficulty, and at competitive cost, due to the numerous manufacturing facilities in China capable of manufacturing our products.

Our administrative offices and warehouse facilities are located in Little Rock, Arkansas; our executive office is located in Miami Beach, Florida. We distribute the majority of our domestic, and certain of our international business out of our Little Rock facility. Most of our international business is shipped directly to our customers direct from the Shanghai, China location. Products are delivered to our Little Rock facility complete and ready for delivery to our customers. Countries outside the U. S. where we have a presence include: Ireland/England, France, Germany, Russia, Canada, New Zealand and Australia.

We utilize both internal sales personnel and commissioned independent sales representatives. We use sales promotions and sales development activities to provide assistance to the independent sales representatives through the use of brochures, product samples and demonstration products. We also utilize trade shows, both on a regional and national level to promote our products and to attract qualified sales representatives.

Our management attempts to maintain sufficient inventory levels to meet customers—demands, but there can be no assurance that we will be successful in doing so. Turnaround time from the date we place an order with our manufacturers until the product is received in our distribution center is normally between four to six weeks. This quick turnaround time allows us to maintain minimum inventory levels. However, since we outsource our manufacturing, a good portion of which is done in China, it is difficult to predict the efficiency of our vendors. Outsourcing to a foreign country also subjects our manufacturing to the risk of political instability, currency fluctuation and reliability. See, Risk Factors.

4

Table of Contents

(5) Competition

We operate in a very competitive industry, dominated by national and international companies with well-established brands, all of whom are better capitalized, have more experience in our industry and have established varying degrees of consumer loyalty. There are no assurances we will ever be successful in establishing our brands or penetrating our target markets. Our products compete with other competitors—gun cleaning kits, gunlocks and hunting and camping accessories. Many of these products are more widely known than the Company—s products. While we believe that our products are favorably priced to comparable products on the current market, we nevertheless expect competitors to develop and market similar products at competitive prices, possibly reducing the Company—s sales or profit margins or both. (See,—Risk Factors—)

Some of our competitors in the business sectors which we operate in are:

Gun Safety Master Lock (which presently controls 60%-70% of the market), Smith & Wesson, and Shot Lock.

Gun Cleaning Kits Outers and Hoppes

Security Safes Sentry Safes, GunLocker and Gun Vault.

We are subject to competition that is expected to intensify in the future because we believe that the number of competitors is increasing. There are no significant barriers to entry into our markets. We feel our greatest difficulties in competing are due to our competitors generally being bigger, better-known, and having greater resources including capital and personnel. We realize it is important to achieve brand name recognition in establishing a market share, which, in turn generates additional market share, giving consumers preferences for brand names. We believe that while brand names operate effectively in mainstream product distribution, there is significant opportunity for lesser-known names with specific products and solutions that appeal to consumers. The keys to our maintaining a competitive position are product design, pricing, quality of the product and the maintenance of favorable relationships with various mass merchandisers.

(6) Market and Customers.

The ultimate users of our products include hunters, gun owners, sportsmen and outdoor enthusiasts. Because of the uncertain size of the potential market for our products and the number of competitors, we cannot state, with any degree of certainty, the size of our market share. For example, the most recent year that the American Firearms 2008 web site reports statistics regarding hunting licenses, was 1994. In that year, there were 15, 343,000 paid license holders. A paid license holder is defined as one individual, regardless of the number of licenses owned. License holders, who hunt in multiple states, will be counted in each state where they hunt.

5

Table of Contents

The American Firearms Industry report also published that the number of guns owned in the U.S. approximated 200 million (today estimated at 240,000,000), including 60-65 million handguns. In addition, it is reported that there are approximately 60-65 million gun owners of which 30-35 million own handguns.

A 2005 report published in the *Pediatrics* online journal found that about 1.7 million children live in homes where there are unlocked and loaded guns. Most gun manufacturers already provide some kind of lock with new firearms, but the practice is voluntary. The federal legislation that would protect the firearm industry from lawsuits when guns are used to commit a crime includes an amendment that would require locks, or another safety device, to be sold with every handgun. Seven states, including California, already require that locks be sold with some firearms.

Although we sell our products both foreign and domestically, our U.S. sales account for 98% of our overall revenues.

Our primary customer base can be broken down as follows:

National retail chains such as Wal-Mart and Kmart (representing 67% of sales, Wal-Mart accounted for 66% of our total sales revenues);

Distributors such as Dick s Sporting Goods, RSR Group, Inc., Jerry s Sport Center, Inc., Acu-Sport Corp., and Cabela s Catalogue Co. (representing 17% of sales);

Gun manufacturer s such as Savage Arms, Browning, Marlin, Glock and SIG-Arms (representing 5% of sales); and

Regional retail chains and sole proprietors (representing 11% of sales).

While our arrangements with customers vary, we generally sell on the basis of purchase orders rather than fixed contracts. A purchase order represents a written contract to purchase a specified product(s) at a specified price. Any future orders from a particular customer would be dependent upon that customer s ability to sell the product and a desire to re-order. Some customers do issue blanket purchase orders, which request delivery of a specified quantity over a specified period of time.

Credit is extended to customers, generally on 30 or 60-day terms. Credit approval is performed by the Company s factor. Any credit approved by the factor is on a non-recourse basis, thus there is no risk of loss due to non-payment to the Company. For any customers whose credit is not approved by the factor, the Company will make other arrangements, such as prepayment or COD (Cash on Delivery).

The Company does have a limited warranty on most of its products, typically for one year from date of purchase. The Company does accept return of defective products, and will either replace at no charge or issue credit to the customer for the defective product. The cost to the Company for defective products in 2007 was less than 1.6% of sales.

6

Table of Contents

The Company maintains a standard price list for its customers, depending upon whether they are a distributor or a dealer. This protects our distributor customers from having to compete with the Company for our dealer customers. The Company does not set mandatory retail pricing for its customers to use.

(7) Intellectual Property.

We believe that protection of proprietary rights to our products is important because, as we are in a highly competitive market, a patent provides us with a competitive advantage by limiting or eliminating similarly designed competitive products. To this end, we have obtained U.S. patents on certain of our products as follows:

| Model | Patent No. | Expiration |
|----------------------------------|--------------|------------|
| TVP095 Trigger Lock | Des. 375,342 | 2009 |
| SWA 03 SWAT Steering Wheel Alarm | Des. 365,774 | 2009 |
| KAL 201 Personal Safety Alarm | Des. 355,863 | 2008 |
| Key Chain Alarm | 5,475,368 | 2008 |
| GWA 001 Glass/Window Alarm | Des. 371,086 | 2009 |
| Defense Spray and Flashlight | Des. 375,994 | 2009 |
| Gun Cleaning Kit | 7,020,994B2 | 2024 |

In October 2006, the Company s application to trademark the name GunMaster was granted by the U. S. Trademark office under Registration Number 3,161,436.

To date, we have not registered or trademarked any of our product names except for the (GunMaster). (See Risk Factor) We rely primarily on our patents and licensing arrangements with third parties to avoid infringing on the products of others. We also use the services of patent attorneys to insure that our unlicensed and unpatented products do not infringe. We don't patent or trademark all our products because of the cost and we have been advised by patent counsel that certain of the products are not patentable.

Depending upon the development of our business, we may also wish to develop and market products, which incorporate patented or patent-pending formulations, as well as products covered by design patents or other patent applications.

While we may seek to protect our intellectual property, in general, there can be no assurance that our efforts to protect our intellectual property rights through copyright, trademark and trade secret laws will be effective to prevent misappropriation of our products. See, Risk Factors. Our failure or inability to protect our proprietary rights could have a material adverse affect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. Moreover, inasmuch as we will often seek to manufacture products, which are similar to those manufactured by others, it is critical for us to ensure that our manufactured products do not infringe upon existing patents of others.

7

Table of Contents

(8) Governmental Regulations.

Several federal laws, including the National Firearms Act (1934), Gun Control Act (1968), Firearms Owner s Protection Act (1986), Brady Handgun Violence Prevention Act (1993), the 1994 Omnibus Crime Control Act and other laws, regulate the ownership, purchase and use of handguns. Notwithstanding these and other laws, there is not any federal law that requires the use of gunlocks, despite numerous attempts in Congress to pass such legislation.

In March 2008, the U. S. Supreme Court heard argument in the case of *District of Columbia vs. Heller*, relating to the issue of whether the gun control laws of Washington, D. C. on non-government persons violated the Second Amendment to the U. S. Constitution, the right to bear arms. The city is challenging the decision of the D.C. Circuit Court, which said that, The Second Amendment protects an individual right to keep and bear arms, and is not limited to people serving in a modern militia. It is unknown what impact, if any, a ruling upholding the D.C. Circuit s decision will have on our business.

In addition to federal gun laws, most states and some local jurisdictions have imposed their own firearms restrictions. Some states have passed Child Access Prevention (or CAP) Laws which hold gun owners responsible if they leave guns easily accessible to children and a child improperly gains access to the weapon. Additionally, the State of California has enacted legislation that establishes performance standards for firearm safety devices , lock-boxes and safes .

The fact that gun safety laws are passed by federal, state, or local governments does not ensure that the demand for our products will increase.

(9) Research and Development.

Research and development costs are expensed as incurred. We develop our products internally, utilizing the expertise of our manufacturers, input from an engineering consulting firm and input from our customers. Any R & D cost incurred by our manufacturers is passed on to us in the pricing of the tooling, molds and products. We do not pass such costs onto our customers. Because of our close relationships with our customers, we are able to determine the level of interest in a particular product before investing significant time or capital in its development. Once a potential new product is identified, we utilize the services of a patent attorney to assure that we do not infringe upon anyone s patent rights. We also design our own packaging internally.

Working closely with our manufacturers and engineers, a final design for product and cost estimations are completed. If management determines that a product can be produced at competitive prices, and the interest level justifies production, we proceed with having tooling made. We own the molds and tooling for all of our gunlock products. After pre-production samples are approved, full production begins.

Q

Table of Contents

(10) Environmental Laws.

We incur no costs and suffer no adverse effects by complying with environmental laws (federal, state and local). (11) Employees.

We currently employ eleven (11) employees, all of whom are full-time: President & Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, Vice President of Manufacturing, Salesman, Information Systems Tech, accounting clerk, receptionist/clerk, shipping manager and three full-time warehouse workers. There are no collective bargaining agreements.

(12) Reports to Security Holders.

We file reports with the SEC as a small business issuer. Copies of this report, including exhibits to the Report and other materials filed with the SEC that are not included herein, may be inspected and copied, without charge, at the Public Reference Room, 450 Fifth Street, N.W., Washington, DC 20549. Information on the operation of the Public Reference Room may be obtained by calling the Commission at 1-800-SEC-0330. In addition, the Commission maintains an Internet site on the World Wide Web at http://www.sec.gov that contains reports, proxy and information statements and other information regarding registrants that file electronically with the Commission. (13) Certain Risk Factors.

Historically, the Company has achieved growth by the development of new products. There can be no assurance that the Company will be able to continue to develop new products, to sustain rates of growth and profitability in future periods. Any future success that the Company may achieve will depend upon many factors which may be beyond the control of the Company or which cannot be predicted at this time. Although we believe that our expectations are based on reasonable assumptions within the bounds of our knowledge of our business and operations, actual results may differ materially from our expectations. Uncertainties and factors that could cause actual results or events to differ materially from those set forth or implied, including without limitation:

If we are to expand our operations, we may need additional capital. Our ability to timely expand our product operations and, in particular, the production and marketing of our products is largely dependent upon our revenues or the acquisition of additional funding. In the event that additional capital is not obtained or our revenues fall off, we may be unable to timely complete and/or implement our plans to expand our operations. While we believe we have accurately identified strategic and viable business opportunities to pursue, there is no assurance that these will become profitable operations. Technology is a rapidly developing industry and our success is dependent on, among other things, developing commercially acceptable products and pursuing the correct distribution channels.

9

Table of Contents

Our growth program and future profitability remains uncertain. We believe that operating results will be adversely affected if start-up expenses associated with our new product lines are incurred without sufficient revenues. Moreover, future events, including unanticipated expenses or increased competition could have an adverse effect on our long-term operating margins and results of operations. Consequently, there can be no assurance that our Company s growth program will result in an increase in the profitability of our operations.

Our success depends on maintaining relationships with key customers. We have several customers upon which we depend on for the sale of a large percentage of our products. For example, 66% of our business is through Wal-Mart. Customer orders are dependent upon their markets and may vary significantly in the future based upon the demand for our products. The loss of one or more of such customers, or a declining market in which such customers reduce orders or request reduced prices, could have a material adverse effect on our business.

We depend on purchase orders and have no long-term contractual relationship with our customers. Our business relationship is based upon purchase orders with our customers. We have no contracts, which require any of our customers to continue to purchase our products. Although we have had long-term relationships with many of our customers, there can be no assurance that such relationships will continue or that customers will continue ordering our products.

We depend on foreign contract manufacturers for substantially all of our manufacturing requirements. During 2007 the Company purchased 99% of its products from one major supplier, who in turn distributes the manufacturing to multiple companies in mainland China. We also rely on contract manufacturers to procure components, assemble, and package our products. The inability of our contract manufacturers to provide us with adequate supplies of high quality products or the loss of any of our contract manufacturers would have an adverse effect on our business. Because our major supplier and contract manufacturers are located in mainland China, we are exposed to risks of political uncertainty, including United States foreign trade treaties and foreign laws.

Any disruption in our relationships with any of these vendors or reductions in the production of the material supplied could, in each case, adversely affect our ability to obtain an adequate supply of our products and could impose additional operational costs associated with sourcing raw materials from new suppliers. Although the Company is dependent upon this supplier continuing in business and its ability to ship to the United States, we believe that we could replace this supplier, if required to, at similar quality and terms. Nevertheless, while we have no long-term contract with our supplier or manufacturers, we have had long-term relationships with them and believe such relationship is good, and do not currently anticipate any material shortages or disruptions in supply from this vendor or manufacturers.

10

Table of Contents

The increased cost of raw materials and manufacturing has adversely affected our profits. Over the past year, we have experienced increased manufacturing costs due to the increase in the cost of raw materials used in our products such as steel, plastic, wood and brass. The price and availability of production materials for our products are affected by a wide variety of interrelated economic and other factors, including alternative uses of materials and their components, changes in production capacity, energy prices, commodity prices, and governmental regulations. Specifically, our manufacturing experienced cost increases related to steel, brass and plastic purchases. Industry competition and the timing of price increase by suppliers and manufacturers limit to some extent our ability and the ability of other industry participants to pass raw material cost increases on to customers. We are not advised of the source or availability of the raw materials for our manufacturers. Although alternative sources exist from which we could obtain such raw materials, we do not currently have supply relationships with any of these alternative sources and cannot estimate with any certainty the length of time that would be required to establish such a supply relationship, or the sufficiency of the quantity or quality of materials that could be so obtained.

Since we have minimal control over the economics that dictate these price increases, we have suffered a corresponding reduction in profit since we are not always able to pass the additional cost on to our customers.

While we manufacture a variety of products, we rely primarily on the sale of gun cleaning kits and hunting and camping accessories as our major source of revenue. Although we sell a number of different products, we rely primarily on two product lines—gun cleaning kits and hunting and camping accessories-which account for approximately 87% of our total revenues. Should our sales of either of these product lines significantly decline due to the loss of customers, or a declining market in which such customers reduce orders or request reduced prices, it could have a material adverse effect on our business.

We may be unable to compete favorably in the highly competitive markets in which we operate. The manufacture and sale of all of our products is highly competitive and there are no substantial barriers to entry into the market. Most of our competitors are large, well-established companies with considerably greater financial, marketing, sales and technical resources than those available to us. Additionally, many of our present and potential competitors have research and development capabilities that may allow such competitors to develop new or improved products that may compete with our product lines. These companies may succeed in developing proposed products that are more effective or less costly than our proposed products or such companies may be more successful in manufacturing and marketing their proposed products. An increase in competition could result in a loss of market share.

We may not be able to attract and retain the qualified personnel we need to succeed in the future. At present the success of our company is highly dependent on our Chief Executive Officer, David A. Collins and our Chief Financial Officer, Robert Goodwin. Our future success will depend in part on our ability to attract and retain qualified personnel to manage the development and future growth of our company. There can be no assurance that we will be successful in attracting and retaining such personnel.

11

Table of Contents

We may be adversely affected by legislation and regulation over firearms. The business of all producers and marketers of firearms and firearms parts is subject to thousands of federal, state and local laws and governmental regulations and protocols. The basic federal laws are the National Firearms Act, the Federal Firearms Act, and the Gun Control Act of 1968. These laws generally prohibit the private ownership of fully automatic weapons and place certain restrictions on the interstate sale of firearms unless certain licenses are obtained. From time to time, congressional committees review proposed bills and various states enact laws relating to the regulation of firearms. These proposed bills and enacted state laws generally seek either to restrict or ban the sale and, in some cases, the ownership of various types of firearms. When such laws restrict the ownership of guns, they will have a material adverse effect on our business since our major products are gun and hunting related. Such laws, rules, regulations and protocols are subject to change. There can be no assurance that the regulation of firearms will not become more restrictive in the future and that any such restriction would not have a material adverse effect on the business of the Company.

We extend credit to our customers and should our customers default on their obligations to us, we may be subject to credit risk. The Company provides credit in the normal course of business to its customers and performs ongoing credit evaluations of its customers. Approximately 94% of these trade receivables were subject to a factoring agreement. These accounts are factored on a non-recourse basis, which reduces the Company s exposure to credit risk. We also maintain allowances for doubtful accounts and provisions for returns and credits based on factors surrounding the specific customers and circumstances. The Company generally does not require collateral from its customers. Credit risk is considered by management to be limited due to the Company s customer base and its customers financial resources.

While we may seek to protect our intellectual property, and have trademarked the GunMaster® name, there can be no assurance that our efforts to protect our intellectual property rights through copyright, trademark and trade secret laws will be effective to prevent misappropriation of our products. Our failure or inability to protect our proprietary rights could have a material adverse affect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. Among other things, it could foster more competition or create identical products sold under different labels. Moreover, inasmuch as we will often seek to manufacture products that are similar to those manufactured by others, it is critical for us to insure that our manufactured products do not infringe upon existing patents of others. Patent and other type intellectual property lawsuits are extremely expensive to prosecute or defend, and in either case success cannot be assured.

The Company has engaged in several related-party transactions, which were not effected in arms-length transactions. On occasion, we have engaged with related parties, including our chief executive officer and certain shareholders in related party transactions. These transactions include loans made by and to the Company, and were not arms-length. See, Certain Relationships and Related Transaction at Item 12 below. There has been no independent evaluation of the transactions, and therefore there can be no assurance that these transactions are fair to the Company.

We face risks associated with international trade and currency exchange. Annually, we purchase approximately \$10-\$11 million of inventory from the Chinese manufacturers and suppliers. This exposes us to risk from foreign exchange rate fluctuations. Political and economic conditions abroad may result in increasing the cost of our foreign manufactured products, particularly those manufactured in mainland China. Protectionist trade legislation in either the United States or foreign countries, such as a change in the current tariff structures, export or import compliance laws, or other trade policies, could reduce our ability to import our products from foreign manufacturers and suppliers. While we transact business predominantly in U.S. dollars and bill and collect most of our sales in U.S. dollars, our revenues result from goods that were manufactured or purchased, in whole or in part, from Chinese manufacturers and suppliers in Renminbi currency, thereby exposing us to some foreign exchange fluctuations.

12

Table of Contents

We face risks associated with international activities. These activities expose us to various economic, political, and other risks, including the following:

Compliance with local laws and regulatory requirements as well as changes in those laws and requirements;

Foreign exchange rate fluctuations;

Limitations on exports;

The possibility of appropriation of our assets without just compensation;

Overlap of tax issues;

Tariffs and duties;

The burdens and costs of compliance with a variety of foreign laws; and

Political or economic instability in countries in which we conduct business, including possible terrorist acts. Changes in policies by the United States or foreign governments resulting in, among other things, increased duties, higher taxation, currency conversion limitations, restrictions on the transfer or repatriation of funds, or limitations on imports or exports also could have a material adverse effect on us. Any actions by foreign countries to reverse policies that encourage foreign trade also could adversely affect our operating results. In addition, U.S. trade policies, such as most favored nation—status and trade preferences, could affect the attractiveness of our services to our U.S. customers.

ITEM 2. DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY

Our corporate headquarters are located at 12120 Colonel Glenn Road, Suite 6200, Little Rock, Arkansas 72210. This location consists of approximately 7,500 square feet of office space. All of the administrative and accounting functions are performed at this location, as well as some sales. This space is leased at a monthly rent of \$7,757 for four years, and expires January 31, 2011. There is one four-year renewal option. The lease provides for a three percent increase each year beginning in the third year.

The Company's distribution center is located at 3700 Old Shackleford Road, Little Rock, Arkansas 72204. This facility consists of 102,000 square feet of warehouse space and approximately 800 square feet of office space. This warehouse space is leased at a monthly rent of \$9,366.08 for four years, and expires December 31, 2010. There is one four-year renewal option. The lease provides for an increase in the monthly rent of \$425 beginning in the second year.

The Company also maintains an executive office in Miami Beach, Florida at the residence of its Chief Executive Officer, David A. Collins. The Company pays a monthly office allowance to Mr. Collins of \$5,500, for approximately 1200 square feet and secretarial support. There is no lease agreement for these premises. This office arrangement was not the product of arm-length negotiation; however, the Company has determined the arrangement to be competitive with comparable office space and secretarial support.

13

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

In December 2005, Continental Western Insurance Company filed suit against the Company in the Circuit Court of Pulaski County, Arkansas, claiming unpaid insurance premiums in the amount of \$236,121 relating to the product liability portion of three policies. On April 30, 2007, the Company settled this suit by agreeing to pay Continental Western a total of \$146,500. Terms of this settlement call for the Company to pay \$50,000 on April 30, 2007, with the balance of \$96,500 to be paid in twelve equal monthly installments of \$8,305.41 beginning May 15, 2007.

On November 8, 2005, the Company was sued in the Court of Common Pleas, in Dauphin County, Pennsylvania, on a product liability claim. This suit was turned over to the Company s insurance carrier Continental Western Insurance Company. On July 3, 2007, Continental Western executed a settlement agreement with the plaintiff, which included the release of the Company from any and all claims.

The Company was the plaintiff against a former manufacturer Skit International, Ltd. and Uni-Skit Technologies, Inc. which alleged breach of a manufacturing contract which required defendants to manufacture certain of its products within the range of competitive pricing, a defined term. The Company sought damages and rescission of 165,000 shares of its common stock as part of the compensation paid to the defendants. The defendants denied the allegations and counterclaimed for an outstanding balance of \$182,625, for rescission of the manufacturing agreement and for damage to its business reputation.

In August of 2003, this suit went to trial before a twelve (12)-member jury in the Circuit Court of Pulaski County, Arkansas. The jury awarded the Company damages in the amount of \$1,650,560, against Skit and Uni-Skit, which includes the value of the returned shares of stock previously issued to the defendants. In addition, all counterclaims of the defendants were dismissed. Pursuant to an order of the Court, the shares issued to the defendants have been cancelled and reissued to the Company. Thereafter, defendant Skit International, Ltd. filed a Motion to Set Aside Judgment. The Court denied this motion and no appeal has been filed.

On November 9, 2005, Skit International, Ltd. filed a Complaint for Declaratory Judgment in the United States District Court, Eastern District of Arkansas, Western Division, seeking once again to set aside the judgment against Skit International, Ltd., based upon the allegation that Skit International, Ltd. s former attorney did not have authorization to act on its behalf with respect to the Pulaski County case, and that the Arkansas Court did not have personal jurisdiction over the defendant. The district judge ruled in the Company s favor dismissing the action, which has been affirmed by the Eighth Circuit Court of Appeals on April 13, 2007. On August 20, 2007, the Company was advised by its legal counsel that Skit International Ltd. had filed an appeal to the United States Supreme Court. In a ruling dated October 29, 2007, and filed November 9, 2007, the Supreme Court denied Skit International, Ltd. s petition for a writ of certiorari, effectively ending all appeals. The Company is now able to pursue collection of the judgment.

14

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

There are no matters submitted during the fourth quarter of the fiscal year covered by this report to a vote of security holders, through the solicitation of proxies or otherwise.

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

On June 19, 2000, our common stock began trading on the NASDAQ Over-the-Counter Bulletin Board market under the trading symbol DAAT. The high and low bid information for each quarter is presented below. These prices reflect inter-dealer prices, without retail markup, markdown or commission and may not represent actual transactions.

| Quarter Ended | High | Low |
|--------------------|--------|--------|
| March 31, 2006 | \$2.42 | \$2.10 |
| June 30, 2006 | \$2.30 | \$2.00 |
| September 30, 2006 | \$2.05 | \$1.73 |
| December 31, 2006 | \$2.54 | \$2.00 |
| March 31, 2007 | \$2.48 | \$1.26 |
| June 30, 2007 | \$1.47 | \$1.10 |
| September 30, 2007 | \$1.35 | \$1.06 |
| December 31, 2007 | \$1.33 | \$0.82 |

As of March 13, 2008, there were approximately 65 holders of record, excluding those held in street name, of our 6,032,899 shares of common stock outstanding.

We have not paid a cash dividend on the common stock since inception. The payment of dividends may be made at the discretion of our Board of Directors and will depend upon, among other things, our operations, our capital requirements and our overall financial condition. Although there is no restriction to pay dividends as of the date of this Report, we have no present intention to declare dividends.

We have an Equity Compensation Plan in place in order to promote the interests of the Company by enabling us to motivate, attract, and retain the services of persons upon whose judgment, efforts, and contributions the success of the Company s business depends. The maximum number of shares that can be granted under this Plan is 1,000,000 shares of common stock.

15

Equity Compensation Plan Information

| | | ber of securities be issued upon | We | ighted-average | Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation |
|--|-------|-------------------------------------|------|------------------|--|
| | | exercise of | ex | ercise price of | plans |
| | outst | anding options, | outs | tanding options, | (excluding securities reflected in |
| | warı | ants and rights | war | rants and rights | column (a)) |
| Plan category | | (a) | | (b) | (c) |
| Equity compensation plans approved by security holders | None | none outstanding | zero | none outstanding | 1,000,000 |
| Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders | None | none outstanding | zero | none outstanding | None |
| Total | None | | None | | 1,000,000 |

ITEM 6. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OR PLAN OF OPERATION

The following Management Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition is qualified by reference to and should be read in conjunction with, our Consolidated Financial Statements and the Notes thereto as set forth at the end of this document. We include the following cautionary statement in this Form 10K-SB for any forward-looking statements made by, or on behalf of, the Company. Forward-looking statements include statements concerning plans, objectives, goals, strategies, expectations, future events or performances and underlying assumptions and other statements, which are other than statements of historical facts. Certain statements contained herein are forward-looking statements and accordingly, involve risks and uncertainties, which could cause actual results or outcomes to differ materially from those expressed in the forward-looking statements. The Company s expectations, beliefs and projections are expressed in good faith and are believed by the Company to have a reasonable basis, including without limitations, management s examination of historical operating trends, data contained in the Company s records and other data available from third parties, but there can be no assurance that management s expectations, beliefs or projections will result or be achieved or accomplished.

(1) Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Results of Operations

The Company reported net income of \$340,894 on net sales of \$14,777,645 for 2007 as compared to net income of \$752,838 on net sales of \$15,475,880 for 2006. Net income decreased \$411,944, or 55%, while net sales decreased \$698,235, or 5%. Earnings per share decreased from \$0.12 in 2006, to \$0.06 in 2007.

16

Table of Contents

The most significant factor affecting the decrease in net income was the decrease in gross profit margins from 29% in 2006 to 27% in 2007. This decrease is a direct result of price increases from the Company s manufacturers for many of its products, particularly in the gun cleaning and maintenance area. Rising commodity prices, particularly for brass, metal, wood and plastic resulted in increased costs for raw materials, which were passed on to the Company in price increases. Also contributing to the price increases was the devaluation of the US dollar versus the Chinese Renminbi (RMB) over the past two years.

Significant operating items for the past two years are summarized below:

| | 2007 | 2006 |
|----------------------------|---------------|---------------|
| Net sales | \$ 14,777,645 | \$ 15,475,880 |
| Gross profit | \$ 3,938,674 | \$ 4,490,316 |
| Operating expenses | \$ 3,054,455 | \$ 2,959,983 |
| Income from operations | \$ 884,219 | \$ 1,530,333 |
| Income before income taxes | \$ 557,841 | \$ 1,224,968 |
| Net income | \$ 340,894 | \$ 752,838 |
| Earnings per share | \$ 0.06 | \$ 0.12 |

The decrease in 2007 net sales of \$698,235 represents a 5% decrease over 2006. Net sales of the Company s gun cleaning kits and accessories decreased \$2,213,415, or 20%, while net sales in the hunting and camping area increased \$1,458,922, or 54%, in 2007 versus 2006. This shift also had an affect on gross margins, as margins on hunting and camping items are lower than for gun cleaning kits.

Operating expenses increased from \$2,959,983 in 2006 to \$3,054,455 in 2007. This is an increase of \$94,472, or 3%. Selling and shipping expenses decreased \$124,233, or 7% over 2006. This decrease was due mainly to cost saving measures initiated in the shipping department, most notably in freight costs, which decreased 30%. General and administrative expenses increased by \$218,705, or 20% over 2006. Included in this increase was a one-time expense to settle a lawsuit with the Company s former insurance carrier in the amount of \$146,500. The Company experienced increases in its liability insurance premiums of \$60,701 and accounting and auditing fees of \$29,279. Without these increases, over which the Company has little control, general and administrative expenses decreased over 2006.

Financial Condition

A summary of the significant balance sheet items is summarized below:

| | | 2007 | 2006 |
|---------------------------|----|--------------|--------------|
| Accounts receivable | | \$ 263,646 | \$ 532,028 |
| Due from factor | | \$ 765,510 | \$1,282,208 |
| Inventories | | \$4,925,275 | \$3,130,825 |
| Income taxes receivable | | \$ 153,870 | \$ 370,817 |
| Accounts payable-trade | | \$ 2,393,050 | \$ 1,640,445 |
| Total current assets | | \$ 6,662,270 | \$5,799,626 |
| Total current liabilities | | \$ 2,640,446 | \$ 1,905,674 |
| Net working capital | | \$4,021,824 | \$3,893,952 |
| Total assets | | \$7,387,427 | \$6,417,575 |
| Stockholders equity | | \$4,713,881 | \$4,478,801 |
| | 17 | | |

Table of Contents

Accounts receivable and due from factor

The Company maintains a factoring agreement wherein it assigns its receivables (on a non-recourse basis). The factor performs all credit and collection functions, and assumes all risks associated with the collection of the receivables. The Company pays a fee of 65/100ths of 1% of the face value of each receivable for this service. In addition, in order to generate immediate cash flow, the Company may borrow against the assigned receivables prior to their collection and is charged interest on any such advances.

Accounts receivable on the Company s balance sheet represents those receivables that have not yet been legally assigned to the factor. Due from factor represents the net equity the Company has in its assigned receivables reduced by any funds advanced by the factor. At December 31, 2007 and 2006, these amounts are calculated as follows:

| Total accounts receivable Less: assigned receivables | 2007 \$ 4,772,170 (4,508,524) | 2006 \$ 5,633,811 (5,101,783) |
|--|--|--|
| Net accounts receivables | \$ 263,646 | \$ 532,028 |
| Assigned receivables Less: Funds advanced | \$ 4,508,524 (3,743,014) | \$ 5,101,783 (3,819,575) |
| Due from factor | \$ 765,510 | \$ 1,282,208 |

Inventories

Inventories increased \$1,794,450, or 57% from 2006 to 2007. Of this increase, approximately \$1,200,000 is due to new products added during 2007, of which, \$700,730 is from the two new aluminum camping tables. The selling season for these tables begins in the spring and runs through the summer. A small portion of the increase can be attributed to fourth quarter 2007 sales falling short of what was anticipated.

Liabilities

Accounts payable increased \$752,605, or 46% from 2006. Because a significant portion of the Company s accounts payable is related to inventory purchases, the increased inventory levels is reflected in the increase in accounts payable.

18

Table of Contents

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our primary source of cash is funds from our operations. We believe that external sources of liquidity could be obtained in the form of bank loans, letters of credit, etc. We maintain an account receivable factoring arrangement in order to insure an immediate cash flow. The factor may also, at its discretion, advance funds prior to the collection of our accounts. Repayment of advances are payable to the factor on demand. Should our sales revenues significantly decline, it could affect our short-term liquidity. For the period ending December 31, 2007, our factor had advanced to us \$3,743,014.

The Company has two demand notes with a local bank guaranteed by our CEO, David Collins. The loans bear interest at 7.70% and mature in 2008. The principal collective balance of these loans on December 31, 2007 totaled \$150,376. We believe our revenues will be sufficient to pay these obligations. If not, we will seek to refinance them or request our shareholder to pay his guarantees.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

The Company is a party to a lease arrangement for its executive offices. Information pertaining to this arrangement is present in Item 2 Description of Property and Item 12 Certain Relationships and Related Transactions.

We do not have any transactions, arrangements, or other relationships with unconsolidated entities that are reasonably likely to affect our liquidity or capital resources. We have no special purpose or limited purpose entities that provide off-balance sheet financing, liquidity, or market or credit risk support that engage in leasing, hedging, research and development services, or other relationships that expose us to liability that is not reflected on the face of the financial statements.

Trends

Our business faces the issues of increased manufacturing costs and margin erosion as a result of raw material, fuel and other utility price increases, and a weak dollar. This will put pressure on our margins and overhead costs, and wherever possible, these increases will be passed on through sales price increases. Any strengthening of the US dollar would impact favorably on the business, as this would ease the pressure on margins and increase our competitiveness. Current trends, however, suggest a continued weakening which will place additional pressure on our sales into our markets.

Critical Accounting Estimates

The Company prepares its consolidated financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The Company's significant accounting policies are discussed in detail in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements. Certain of these accounting policies, as discussed below, require management to make estimates and assumptions about future events that could materially affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. Accounting estimates and assumptions discussed in this section are those that we consider to be the most critical to an understanding of our consolidated financial statements because they inherently involve significant judgments and uncertainties. For all of these estimates, we caution that future events rarely develop exactly as forecast, and the best estimates routinely require adjustment.

19

Table of Contents

Long-lived Assets

Depreciation expense is based on the estimated useful lives of the underlying property and equipment. Although the Company believes it is unlikely that any significant changes to the useful lives of its property and equipment will occur in the near term, an increase or decrease in the estimated useful lives would result in changes to depreciation expense.

The Company continually reevaluates the carrying value of its long-lived assets, for events or changes in circumstances, which indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable. As part of this reevaluation, if impairment indicators are present, the Company estimates the future cash flows expected to result from the use of the asset and its eventual disposal. If the sum of the expected future cash flows (undiscounted and without interest charges) is less than the carrying value of the asset, an impairment loss is recognized to reduce the carrying value of the long-lived asset to the estimated fair value of the asset.

Patents and Trademarks

Amortization expense is based on the estimated economic useful lives of the underlying patents and trademarks. Although the Company believes it is unlikely that any significant changes to the useful lives of its patents and trademarks will occur in the near term, rapid changes in technology or changes in market conditions could result in revisions to such estimates that could materially affect the carrying value of these assets and the Company s future consolidated operating results.

ITEM 7. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Our consolidated financial statements are contained in pages F-1 through F-20 following.

ITEM 8. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None

ITEM 8A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

DISCLOSURE CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act), that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in reports we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission s rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing and evaluating our disclosure controls and procedures, management recognized that disclosure controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and we necessarily are required to apply our judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible disclosure controls and procedures.

As of December 31, 2007, the Company carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of the Company s management, including the Company s principal executive officer and principal financial officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company s disclosure controls and procedures. Based upon that evaluation, the Company s principal executive officer and principal financial officer concluded the Company s disclosure controls and procedures were not effective, because certain deficiencies involving internal controls constituted a material weakness as discussed below. The material weakness identified did not result in the restatement of any previously reported financial statements or any other related financial disclosure, nor does management believe that it had any effect on the accuracy of the Company s financial statements for the current reporting period.

MANAGEMENT S ANNUAL REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) of the Exchange Act. Our internal control system was designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes, in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Because of inherent limitations, a system of internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become

inadequate due to change in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

20

Table of Contents

Based on its evaluation, our management concluded that there is a material weakness in our internal control over financial reporting. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of control deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the Company s annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected on a timely basis.

The material weakness relates to the monitoring and review of work performed by our principal financial officer in the preparation of audit and financial statements, footnotes and financial data provided to the Company's registered public accounting firm in connection with the annual audit. All of our financial reporting is carried out by our principal financial officer, and we do not have an audit committee. This lack of accounting staff results in a lack of segregation of duties and accounting technical expertise necessary for an effective system of internal control.

In order to mitigate this material weakness to the fullest extent possible, all financial reports are reviewed by the principal executive officer, as well as the Board of Directors for reasonableness. All unexpected results are investigated. At any time, if it appears that any control can be implemented to continue to mitigate such weakness, it is immediately implemented. Within the next few months, management anticipates hiring sufficient accounting staff to implement appropriate procedures for monitoring and review of work performed by our principal financial officer.

This annual report does not include an attestation report of the Company s registered public accounting firm regarding internal control over financial reporting. Management s report was not subject to attestation by the Company s registered public accounting form pursuant to temporary rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission that permit the Company to provide only management s report in this annual report.

ITEM 8B. OTHER INFORMATION

There was no information reportable on Form 8K for the 2007 fourth quarter, which has not otherwise been reported.

PART III

ITEM 9. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS, PROMOTERS AND CONTROL PERSONS; COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION 16(a) OF THE EXCHANGE ACT

(1) Officers & Directors

The following sets forth the names and ages of our executive officers and directors. Directors are typically elected at annual meetings of stockholders, and serve for the term for which they are elected and until their successors are duly elected and qualified. The Company, however, has not held an annual meeting for the election of its directors. Our officers are appointed by the board of directors and serve at the board s discretion.

| Name | Age | Position President, CEO, | Term |
|-------------------|----------|---------------------------------|-----------|
| David A. Collins | 62 | Director | 2007-2008 |
| Robert C. Goodwin | 51 21 | CFO/Director | 2007-2008 |

Table of Contents

David A. Collins is a founder of the Company and it predecessors, and previously served as its President, CEO and Director from inception in 1993 until July 11, 2001. From July 2001 until May 2002, Mr. Collins served as a consultant to the Company, particularly in the areas of sales and marketing. In May 2002, Mr. Collins was reappointed as President, CEO and Chairman upon the resignation of James R. Pledger.

Robert C. Goodwin has served as the Company s CFO since its inception in July 1998, as well as DAC Arkansas continuously since 1993. In July 1998, Mr. Goodwin was elected to the Company s board.

(2) Compliance with Section 16(a) of the Securities Act of 1934

Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act requires the Company s directors, executive officers and persons who own more than 10% of the Company s Common Stock (collectively, Reporting Persons) to file with the SEC initial reports of ownership and changes in ownership of the Company s Common Stock. Reporting Persons are required by SEC regulations to furnish the Company with copies of all Section 16(a) reports they file. To the Company s knowledge, based solely on its review of the copies of such reports received or written representations from certain Reporting Persons that no other reports were required, the Company believes that during its fiscal year ended December 31, 2007, all Reporting Persons complied with all applicable filing requirements except that each of the following Reporting Persons failed to timely file the following Reports:

| Name of Filer | Form Type | # Late Reports | # Late Transactions |
|---------------|-----------|----------------|---------------------|
| David Collins | Form 4 | two | three |

(3) Code of Ethics

Effective March 30, 2007, our Company s board of directors adopted a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics that applies to all of our Company s officers, directors and employees. Our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics and Compliance Program were filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission as Exhibit 14.1 to our Form 10KSB for the year ended December 31, 2006, filed on April 2, 2007. We will provide a copy of the Code of Business Conduct and Ethics and Compliance Program to any person without charge, upon request. Requests can be sent to: Robert C. Goodwin, CFO, DAC Technologies Group International, Inc., 12120 Colonel Glenn Road, Suite 6200 Little Rock, AR 72210.

(4) Committees of the Board

Our board of directors is of the view that it is appropriate for us not to have a standing compensation or nominating committees because there are currently only two directors on our board of directors, who are in frequent communication with each other as to all matters that would ordinarily be handled by such committees. These directors have performed and will perform adequately the functions of nominating and compensation committees. There has not been any defined policy or procedure requirements for stockholders to submit recommendations or nomination for directors. Our board of directors does not believe that a defined policy with regard to the consideration of candidates recommended by stockholders is necessary at this time because we believe that, given the stage of our development, a specific nominating policy would be premature and of little assistance until our business operations are at a more advanced level. The process of identifying and evaluating nominees for directors is conducted by our board of directors. Based on the information gathered, our board of directors then makes a decision on whether to recommend the candidates as nominees for director. We do not pay any fee to any third party or parties to identify or evaluate or assist in identifying or evaluating potential nominees.

22

Table of Contents

None of our directors are independent.

ITEM 10. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The following table sets forth summary information concerning the compensation received for services rendered to us during the past two (2) fiscal years.

SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE

| | | | | All Other | |
|------------------------|------|---------|------------|--------------|---------|
| Name and | | Salary | Commission | Compensation | Total |
| Principal Position | Year | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| David A. Collins, PEO | 2007 | 70,000 | 453,245 | 66,000 | 589,245 |
| | 2006 | 120,000 | 421,179 | 66,000 | 607,179 |
| Robert C. Goodwin, PFO | 2007 | 77,400 | | | 77,400 |
| | 2006 | 77,400 | | | 77,400 |

(1) Board of Directors

Our directors do not receive compensation in any form for their services as Directors.

(2) Employment Contracts and Other Compensation

David A. Collins serves in the capacity of Chairman and CEO under a five (5) year Employment Agreement commencing December 1, 2005, and unless terminated according to its terms, is renewable for three additional five-year terms. This Agreement may not be terminated by the Company except for cause, defined as a felony conviction, or violation of the non-compete or confidentiality provisions. If cause is found, Mr. Collins will cease to receive compensation. Furthermore, Mr. Collins may terminate his agreement at any time upon 30 days advance written notice to the Company; should he elect to do so, the Company will discontinue payment of benefits, except that any stock options already granted will remain in force. Should Mr. Collins be terminated from his position with the Company, he agrees not to compete with

23

the Company for a period of twelve (12) months following the date of termination. Mr. Collins is compensated both with salary and commissions on all sales generated by the accounts/customers of Mr. Collins of between 3%-5%. In addition, for the years 2006 and 2007, David A. Collins leased a portion of his home in Miami, Florida to the Company, which serves as the Company s executive office. The Company pays a monthly office allowance to Mr. Collins of \$5,500, for approximately 1200 square feet and secretarial support. There is no lease agreement for these premises. This office arrangement was not the product of arm-length negotiations; however, the Company has determined the arrangement to be competitive with comparable office space and secretarial support.

All other officers and employees serve at the discretion of the Board of Directors, and do not have employment contracts.

ITEM 11. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

The following table sets forth certain information regarding the beneficial ownership of our common stock as of March 13, 2008 by (a) each person known by us to be the beneficial owner of five (5) percent or more of the outstanding common stock and (b) all executive officers and directors both individually and as a group. Included are any securities that any person or group identified has the right to acquire within sixty (60) days pursuant to options, warrants, and conversion privileges or other rights. Unless otherwise indicated in the footnotes to this table and subject to community property laws where applicable, we believe that each of the shareholders named in this table has sole or shared voting and investment power with respect to the shares indicated as beneficially owned. Applicable percentages are based upon 6,032,899 shares of common stock outstanding.

(1) Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners.

| Title of Class | Name and Address of Beneficial Owner | Number of Shares Beneficially Owned | Percent of Class |
|-----------------|---|--|------------------|
| Common Stock | Praetorian Capital Management LLC Miami Beach, FL | 1,215,000 | 20.1% |
| Common Stock | David A. Collins Miami Beach, FL | 500,500 (1) | 8.3% |
| Common Stock | Bruce M. Stachenfeld New York, NY | 326,726 | 5.4% |

(1) Includes 32,000

shares owned by

the Collins

Family Trust.

David Collins

acknowledges

beneficial

ownership and

control of the

shares held in

this Trust. The

beneficiaries of

the Collins

Family Trust are

Payton P.

Collins and

David A.

Table of Contents

(2) Security Ownership of Management

| | Name and Address of | Number of Shares Beneficially | Percent |
|----------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------|
| Title of Class | Beneficial Owner | Owned | of Class |
| Common Stock | Robert C. Goodwin | 19,073 | 0.31% |
| | N. Little Rock, AR | | |
| Common Stock | David A. Collins | 500,500 | 8.3% |
| | Miami Beach, FL | | |

There are no arrangements, which may result in a change in control of the Company.

ITEM 12. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

At December 31, 2007 and 2006, the Company has a non-interest bearing note receivable of \$178,465 and \$130,531, respectively, from David A. Collins, Chairman and CEO. This note is due December 31, 2008. This note was not negotiated in an arms-length transaction, and the Company has not undertaken any independent evaluation to determine the fairness of the transaction.

At December 31, 2007 and 2006, the Company has a non-interest bearing note receivable of \$72,518 and \$72,518, respectively, from DAC Investment and Consulting, Inc., a company wholly-owned by David A. Collins, our Chairman and CEO. This note is due December 31, 2008. This note was not negotiated in an arms-length transaction, and the Company has not undertaken any independent evaluation to determine the fairness of the transaction.

David A. Collins, Chairman and CEO, has personally guaranteed loans obtained by the Company from a local bank. The total of these loans at December 31, 2007 and 2006 was \$150,376 and \$192,733, respectively. The notes are due on various dates in 2008. The Company intends to refinance the loans when they mature; in the event they cannot be refinanced the Company believes it will have adequate resources to pay off the loans. Mr. Collins has also personally guaranteed repayment of funds borrowed by the Company under its factoring agreement. The amounts borrowed under this factoring agreement at December 31, 2007 and 2006 were \$3,743,014 and \$3,819,575, respectively. Although the Company has not undertaken any independent evaluation to determine the fairness of the transaction, management believes that the terms of this transaction are at least as favorable as the terms the Company could have obtained from an unaffiliated third party.

For the years 2007 and 2006, our Chief Executive Officer, David Collins, leased a portion of his home in Miami, Florida to the Company, which serves as the Company s executive office. The Company pays a monthly office allowance to Mr. Collins, the Company s President of \$5,500, for approximately 1200 square feet and secretarial support. There is no lease agreement for these premises. This office arrangement was not the product of arm-length negotiation; however the Company has determined the arrangement to be is competitive with comparable office space and secretarial support.

25

ITEM 13. EXHIBITS AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K.

The following documents are incorporated by reference from the Registrant s Form 10-SB filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the commission) file #000-29211, on January 28, 2000 and the 2004 10KSB.

| Exhibit | Description |
|---------|--|
| 2 | Asset Purchase Agreement |
| 3.1 | Articles of Incorporation |
| 3.2 | Bylaws |
| 10.1 | Office Lease |
| 10.1.1 | Warehouse Lease |
| 10.2 | Factoring Agreement |
| 10.3.1 | Amended Employment contract of David A. Collins* |
| 14.1 | Code of Business Conduct & Ethics |
| 31.1 | Certification of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a)* |
| 31.2 | Certification of Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a)* |
| 32.1 | Certification of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350* |
| 32.2 | Certification of Principal Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350* |

* These exhibits are enclosed within this filing.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

(1) Audit Fees

The Company incurred the following fees to Moore Stephens Frost, PLC, the Company s independent auditors, for services rendered during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007 a total of \$67,760 for the audit of the Company s consolidated financial statements for fiscal 2006 and \$37,790 for the review of the consolidated financial statements included in each of the Company s Quarterly Reports on Form 10-QSB for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007. The Company incurred the following fees to Moore Stephens Frost, PLC, the Company s independent auditors, for services rendered during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006 a total of \$42,955 for the audit of the Company s consolidated financial statements for fiscal 2005 and \$22,907 for the reviews of the consolidated financial statements included in each of the Company s Quarterly Reports on Form 10-QSB for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006. (2) Tax Fees

The Company s Board of Directors determined that the services performed by Moore Stephens Frost, PLC, other than audit services are not incompatible with maintaining its independence. The additional fee for non-audit related services was approximately \$3,260 in 2007 and \$5,699 in 2006.

(3) Audit committee

The Company does not have a standing Audit Committee of its Board of Directors.

26

Table of Contents

March 31, 2008

March 31, 2008

SIGNATURES

In accordance with Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act, the registrant caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, hereunto duly authorized DAC Technologies Group International, Inc.

By: /s/ David A. Collins

David A. Collins, Chairman,

CEO and Principal Executive Officer

By: /s/ Robert C. Goodwin

Robert C. Goodwin, Principal

Accounting

Officer and Principal Financial Officer

27

DAC TECHNOLOGIES
GROUP INTERNATIONAL, INC.
December 31, 2007 and 2006
Consolidated Financial Statements
With
Report of Independent
Registered Public Accounting Firm
F-1

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Board of Directors and Stockholders

DAC Technologies Group International, Inc.

Little Rock, Arkansas

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of DAC Technologies Group International, Inc. as of December 31, 2007 and 2006, and the related consolidated statements of income, stockholders—equity and cash flows for the years then ended. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company has determined that it is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audit included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purposes of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company s internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall consolidated financial statement presentation. We believe our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of DAC Technologies Group International, Inc. as of December 31, 2007 and 2006, and the consolidated results of its operations and its cash flows for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm Little Rock, Arkansas March 28, 2008

F-2

DAC TECHNOLOGIES GROUP INTERNATIONAL, INC. Consolidated Balance Sheets December 31, 2007 and 2006

| | 2007 | 2006 |
|--|------------------|------------------|
| Assets | | |
| Current assets | | |
| Cash | \$ 402,468 | \$ 338,968 |
| Accounts receivable, less allowance for doubtful accounts of \$5,000 in 2007 and | | |
| 2006 | 263,646 | 532,028 |
| Due from factor | 765,510 | 1,282,208 |
| Inventories | 4,925,275 | 3,130,825 |
| Prepaid expenses and deferred charges | 115,686 | 108,965 |
| Income taxes receivable | 153,870 | 370,817 |
| Deferred income tax asset | 35,815 | 35,815 |
| Total current assets | 6,662,270 | 5,799,626 |
| | | |
| Property and equipment | | |
| Leasehold improvements | 55,323 | 1,121 |
| Furniture and fixtures | 278,322 | 228,670 |
| Molds, dies and artwork | 513,949 | 504,283 |
| | | |
| | 847,594 | 734,074 |
| Accumulated depreciation | (573,458) | (522,466) |
| Net property and equipment | 274,136 | 211,608 |
| | | |
| Other assets | | |
| Patents and trademarks, net of accumulated amortization of \$104,208 and | 122.762 | 1.47.750 |
| \$88,465 in 2007 and 2006, respectively | 133,762 | 147,750 |
| Deposit Advances to employees | 17,351 28,925 | 11,435 24,107 |
| Note receivable | 20,923 | 24,107 |
| Long-term | 20,000 | 20,000 |
| Related party | 72,518 | 72,518 |
| Stockholder | 178,465 | 130,531 |
| Total other assets | 451,021 | 406,341 |
| Total assets | \$7,387,427 | \$ 6,417,575 |
| F-3 | | |
| - | | |

Table of Contents

| Liabilities and Stockholders Equity | 2007 | 2006 |
|---|---|---|
| Current liabilities Notes payable Accounts payable Accrued payroll tax withholdings Accrued expenses other | \$ 183,186 2,393,050 25,338 38,872 | \$ 192,733 1,640,445 24,944 47,552 |
| Total current liabilities | 2,640,446 | 1,905,674 |
| Deferred income tax liability | 33,100 | 33,100 |
| Commitments and contingencies (Note 14) | | |
| Stockholders equity Preferred stock, \$.001 par value; authorized 10,000,000 shares; no shares issued and outstanding Common stock, \$.001 par value; authorized 50,000,000 shares; 6,323,364 shares issued at December 31, 2007 and 2006; 6,041,399 and 6,135,599 shares | | |
| outstanding at December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively | 6,323 | 6,323 |
| Additional paid-in capital Treasury stock, at cost Retained earnings | 1,963,102 (307,147) 3,051,603 | 1,963,102 (201,333) 2,710,709 |
| Total stockholders equity | 4,713,881 | 4,478,801 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders equity | \$7,387,427 | \$ 6,417,575 |
| The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated fin F-4 | ancial statements. | |

DAC TECHNOLOGIES GROUP INTERNATIONAL, INC. Consolidated Statements of Income For the Years Ended December 31, 2007 and 2006

| Sales, net of returns and allowances | \$ | 2007 14,777,645 | \$ 2006 15,475,880 |
|---|---------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Cost of sales | | 10,838,971 | 10,985,564 |
| Gross profit | | 3,938,674 | 4,490,316 |
| Operating expenses | | | |
| Selling | | 1,742,220 | 1,866,453 |
| General and administrative | | 1,312,235 | 1,093,530 |
| Total operating expenses | | 3,054,455 | 2,959,983 |
| Income from operations | | 884,219 | 1,530,333 |
| Other income (expense) Interest expense | | (326,473) | (318,116) |
| Other income | | 95 | 12,751 |
| Total other expense, net | | (326,378) | (305,365) |
| Income before income tax provision | | 557,841 | 1,224,968 |
| Provision for income taxes | | 216,947 | 472,130 |
| Net income | \$ | 340,894 | \$ 752,838 |
| Basic and diluted earnings per share | \$ | 0.06 | \$ 0.12 |
| Weighted-average number of common shares | | | |
| Basic | | 6,109,026 | 6,158,388 |
| Diluted The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidate F-5 | d finar | 6,109,026 acial statements. | 6,158,388 |

DAC TECHNOLOGIES GROUP INTERNATIONAL, INC. Consolidated Statements of Stockholders Equity For the Years Ended December 31, 2007 and 2006

| | Common | | Additional Paid-in | | ry Stock | Retained | |
|------------------------------|-----------|----------|-----------------------|---------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| | Shares | Amount | Capital | Shares | Cost | Earnings | Total |
| Balance January 1, 2006 | 6,323,364 | \$ 6,323 | \$ 1,963,102 | 130,000 | \$ (101,400) | \$ 1,957,871 | \$ 3,825,896 |
| Purchase of treasury stock | | | | 57,765 | (99,933) | | (99,933) |
| Net income | | | | | | 752,838 | 752,838 |
| Balance December 31, 2006 | 6,323,364 | 6,323 | 1,963,102 | 187,765 | (201,333) | 2,710,709 | 4,478,801 |
| Purchase of treasury stock | | | | 94,200 | (105,814) | | (105,814) |
| Net income | | | | | | 340,894 | 340,894 |
| Balance December 31, 2007 | 6,323,364 | \$ 6,323 | \$ 1,963,102 | 281,965 | \$ (307,147) | \$3,051,603 | \$4,713,881 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

F-6

DAC TECHNOLOGIES GROUP INTERNATIONAL, INC. Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows For the Years Ended December 31, 2007 and 2006

| | | 2007 | | 2006 |
|--|----|-----------|----|-----------|
| Cash flows from operating activities Net income | \$ | 340,894 | \$ | 752,838 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities | φ | 340,034 | Ψ | 132,636 |
| Depreciation | | 50,992 | | 55,247 |
| Amortization | | 15,743 | | 16,026 |
| Deferred income tax benefit | | 15,7 15 | | (8,615) |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities | | | | (=,===) |
| Accounts receivable | | 268,382 | | 160,662 |
| Due from factor | | 516,698 | | 31,410 |
| Inventories | (1 | ,794,450) | | (426,515) |
| Prepaid expenses and deferred charges | | (6,721) | | (39,392) |
| Income taxes receivable | | 216,947 | | (370,817) |
| Deposits | | (5,916) | | (10,000) |
| Advances to employees | | (4,818) | | (9,324) |
| Accounts payable | | 752,605 | | 659,403 |
| Accrued payroll tax withholdings | | 394 | | (4,519) |
| Accrued expenses other | | (8,680) | | 17,014 |
| Income taxes payable | | | | (380,843) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | | 342,070 | | 442,575 |
| Cash flows from investing activities | | | | |
| Purchases of property and equipment | | (113,520) | | (32,828) |
| Payments for patents and trademarks | | (1,755) | | (7,245) |
| Net advances on note receivable | | (1,700) | | (20,000) |
| Net advances on note receivable stockholder | | (47,934) | | (31,000) |
| Purchase of treasury stock | | (105,814) | | (99,933) |
| Net cash used by investing activities | | (269,023) | | (191,006) |
| Cash flows from financing activities | | | | |
| | | 96,500 | | |
| Net advances on notes payable Payments on notes payable | | (106,047) | | (43,487) |
| rayments on notes payable | | (100,047) | | (43,467) |
| Net cash used by financing activities | | (9,547) | | (43,487) |
| Net increase in cash | | 63,500 | | 208,082 |
| Cash beginning of year | | 338,968 | | 130,886 |

Cash end of year \$ 402,468 \$ 338,968

Supplementary disclosures of cash flow information

Cash paid during the year for

Interest \$ 326,662 \$ 317,219 Taxes \$ 1,232,000

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

F-7

Table of Contents

DAC TECHNOLOGIES GROUP INTERNATIONAL, INC. Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements December 31, 2007 and 2006

1. Organization and Nature of Business

DAC Technologies Group International, Inc. (DAC) was originally incorporated under the name DAC Technologies of America, Inc. In July 1999, the Company changed its name to DAC Technologies Group International, Inc. DAC develops, manufactures and markets various patented and unpatented consumer products that are designed to provide security for the consumer and their property. In addition, DAC has developed a wide range of security and other consumer products for the home, automobile and individual. The majority of DAC products are manufactured and imported from mainland China and are shipped to DAC s central warehouse facility in Little Rock, Arkansas. These products, along with other items manufactured in the United States, are sold primarily to major retail chains throughout the United States.

In February 2001, DAC formed a wholly owned subsidiary, Summit Training International (STI), an Arkansas corporation. STI was formed with the primary objective of providing training to law enforcement agencies through courses, seminars and conferences. During the early part of 2002, the Company decided not to pursue further development of STI due to changes in the perceived market. In July 2002, the Company sold certain assets of STI, including its name for \$50,000, which consisted of \$5,000 in cash and a \$45,000 note, maturing no later than eighteen (18) months from the date of the note. The Company had suspended operations of STI earlier in 2002 due to unprofitability. In connection with this sale, the Company has entered into a non-compete agreement related to educational or instructional services for a four-year period, which has expired.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

- a. **Basis of presentation** The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of DAC Technologies Group International, Inc. and its wholly owned subsidiary, Summit Training International (collectively, the Company). All material intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in the consolidation.
- b. **Revenue recognition** The Company recognizes sales revenue when the following criteria are met: persuasive evidence of an agreement exists, which is an invoice, risk of loss has been transferred which is generally F.O.B shipping point, the Company s price to the buyer is fixed and determinable, and collectibility is reasonably assured.
- c. **Cash equivalents** The Company considers all highly liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less at the time of purchase to be cash equivalents. The Company held no cash equivalents at December 31, 2007 or 2006.

F-8

Table of Contents

DAC TECHNOLOGIES GROUP INTERNATIONAL, INC.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements December 31, 2007 and 2006

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (cont.)

d. Accounts and notes receivable The majority of the Company's receivables are factored pursuant to a factoring agreement as described in Note 6. At December 31, 2007 and 2006, approximately 94% and 91%, respectively, of the Company's accounts receivable, gross of the balance due to factor, was covered by this agreement. For receivables which are not covered under this agreement, the Company evaluates customer accounts on a periodic basis and records an allowance for amounts estimated to be uncollectible. Past due status is determined based upon contractual terms. Amounts that are determined to be uncollectible are written off against this allowance when collection attempts on the accounts have been exhausted. Management uses significant judgment in estimating uncollectible accounts. In estimating uncollectible amounts, management considers factors such as current overall economic conditions, industry-specific economic conditions, historical customer performance and anticipated customer performance. While management believes the Company's processes effectively address its exposure to doubtful accounts, changes in economic, industry or specific customer conditions may require adjustment to the allowance recorded by the Company.

Interest income associated with notes receivable is recognized in the period in which it is earned based upon the terms of the note. At such time that management would deem a note to be uncollectible, interest income would cease to be recognized. Based on management s analysis, there were no conditions related to collectibility that existed in the years ended December 31, 2007 or 2006 to indicate the need to discontinue accrual of interest income.

- e. **Inventories** Inventories are stated at the lower of weighted-average cost or market. Costs include freight and applicable customs fees. Market is determined based on net realizable value. Appropriate consideration is given to obsolescence, excessive levels, deterioration and other factors in evaluating net realizable value. Inventories are shown net of a valuation reserve of \$82,926 at December 31, 2007 and 2006. The Company receives inventory from overseas at terms of F.O.B. shipping point, bearing the risk of loss at that point in time. During the time period prior to receipt in the warehouse, inventory is classified and recorded as inventory in transit. Inventory held in the warehouse is classified as finished goods.
- f. **Property and equipment** Property and equipment are stated at cost. Depreciation is provided using the straight-line method over the following useful lives:

Leasehold improvements8 yearsFurniture and fixtures10 yearsMolds, dies and artwork10 years

Depreciation expense of \$50,992 and \$55,247 was recognized during the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. Maintenance and repairs are charged to expense as incurred. Major additions and improvements of existing facilities are capitalized. For retirements or sales of property, the Company removes the original cost and the related accumulated depreciation from the accounts and the resulting gain or loss is reflected in other income (expense), net, in the accompanying consolidated statements of income.

F-9

DAC TECHNOLOGIES GROUP INTERNATIONAL, INC.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements December 31, 2007 and 2006

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (cont.)

- g. **Patents and trademarks** Costs incurred in connection with the acquisition of patents and trademarks are capitalized and amortized over their estimated useful lives, which range from five to seventeen years.
- h. **Income taxes** The Company utilizes the liability method of accounting for deferred income taxes. The liability method requires the recognition of deferred tax liabilities and assets for the expected future tax consequences of temporary differences between tax basis and financial reporting basis of assets and liabilities as of the year end date at the presently enacted tax rates. A valuation allowance is established when necessary to reduce deferred tax assets to the amount that is expected to be realized.
- i. **Shipping and handling** All shipping and handling costs are included in selling expense in the accompanying consolidated statements of income. These costs totaled \$383,477 and \$488,427 for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively.
- j. **Earnings per share** Basic earnings per share has been calculated using the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for each year. The dilutive effect of potential common shares outstanding is included in diluted earnings per share. The computations of basic earnings per share and diluted earnings per share are as follows:

| Net income | | 007 40,894 | | 2006 752,838 |
|----------------------------------|-----|----------------------|----|------------------------|
| Basic weighted-average shares | 6,1 | 09,026 | 6, | 158,388 |
| Dilutive potential common shares | 6,1 | 09,026 | 6, | 158,388 |
| Net earnings per share Basic | \$ | 0.06 | \$ | 0.12 |
| Diluted | \$ | 0.06 | \$ | 0.12 |

k. **Estimates** The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

F-10

Table of Contents

DAC TECHNOLOGIES GROUP INTERNATIONAL, INC.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements December 31, 2007 and 2006

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (cont.)

- 1. **Fair value of financial instruments** The fair values of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivables and notes payable approximate their carrying values due to the short-term nature of the instruments. The fair value of notes receivable, which is based on discounted cash flows using current interest rates, approximates the carrying value at December 31, 2007 and 2006.
- m. **Impairment of long-lived assets** Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets, requires that long-lived assets be reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amounts of any asset may not be recoverable. Recoverability of assets to be held and used is measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of an asset to future net cash flows expected to be generated by the asset. If such assets are considered to be impaired, the impairment to be recognized is measured by the amount the carrying amount of the assets exceeds the fair value of the assets. Based upon management s assessment of the impairment indicators, no impairment testing was necessary during the years ended December 31, 2007 or 2006.
- n. **Impairment of patents and trademarks** SFAS No. 144 requires that separate intangible assets that have finite lives be reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amounts of any asset may not be recoverable. Recoverability of assets to be held and used is measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of an asset to future net cash flows expected to be generated by the asset. If such assets are considered to be impaired, the impairment to be recognized is measured by the amount the carrying amount of the assets exceeds the fair value of the assets. Based on management s assessment of the impairment indicators, no impairment testing was necessary during the years ended December 31, 2007 or 2006.
- o. **New accounting pronouncements** In September 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements. This statement defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP), and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. The Company s management does not anticipate that this pronouncement will have a significant impact on the consolidated financial statements.

In September 2006, the Securities and Exchange Commission issued Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 108, Considering the Effect of Prior Year Misstatements when Quantifying Misstatements in Current Year Financial Statements (SAB 108). SAB 108 requires that registrants quantify errors using both a consolidated balance sheet and consolidated statement of income approach and evaluate whether either approach results in a misstated amount that, when all relevant quantitative and qualitative factors are considered, is material. SAB 108 is effective for the Company in fiscal 2006 and did not have a material impact on the Company s consolidated financial statements.

F-11

Table of Contents

DAC TECHNOLOGIES GROUP INTERNATIONAL, INC. Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

December 31, 2007 and 2006

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (cont.)

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities. This statement permits entities to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value without being required to apply complex hedge accounting provisions, but does not require fair value measurement. SFAS No. 159 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. The Company does not expect the adoption of SFAS No. 159 to have a material effect on its consolidated financial statements.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements. SFAS No. 160 amends Accounting Research Bulletin No. 51, Consolidated Financial Statements, to establish accounting and reporting standards for noncontrolling interest in a subsidiary and for the deconsolidation of a subsidiary. This statement clarifies that a noncontrolling interest in a subsidiary is an ownership interest in the consolidated entity and should be reported as equity in the financial statements, rather than in the liability or mezzanine section between liabilities and equity. SFAS No. 160 also requires consolidated net income be reported at amounts that include the amounts attributable to both the parent and the noncontrolling interest. The impact of SFAS No. 160 will not have a material impact on the consolidated financial statements. SFAS No. 160 is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning on or after December 15, 2008.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141R, Business Combinations. SFAS No. 141R establishes principles and requirements for how an acquirer in a business combination: 1) recognizes and measures in its financial statements the identifiable assets acquired, the liabilities assumed, and any noncontrolling interest in the acquiree; 2) recognizes and measures the goodwill acquired in the business combination or a gain from a bargain purchase; and 3) determines what information to disclose to enable users of the financial statements to evaluate the nature and financial effects of the business combination. SFAS No. 141R is effective for business combinations for which the acquisition date is on or after the beginning of the first annual reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2008. The Company does not believe that the adoption of SFAS No. 141R will have a material impact on the consolidated financial statements.

3. Variable Interest Entities

FASB Interpretation No. 46 (Revised December 2003), Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities (FIN 46R), requires that if an enterprise is the primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity, the assets, liabilities, and results of operations of the variable interest entity should be included in the consolidated financial statements of the enterprise. The Company holds a note receivable, which is a variable interest, from DAC Investment and Consulting, Inc. (DAC Investment) of \$72,518. Since 2001, DAC Investment has provided consulting and sales services to the Company. For purposes of FIN 46R, management determined that DAC Investment is a variable interest entity; however, the Company is not the primary beneficiary. The balance of the note receivable represents the Company s maximum exposure to loss as a result of its involvement with DAC Investment.

F-12

DAC TECHNOLOGIES GROUP INTERNATIONAL, INC. Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Otes to Consolidated Financial Statemen December 31, 2007 and 2006

4. Inventories

Inventories consist of the following:

| | 2007 | 2006 |
|----------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Finished goods | \$ 3,993,949 | \$ 2,551,464 |
| Inventory in transit | 908,359 | 556,394 |
| Parts | 22,967 | 22,967 |
| | \$4,925,275 | \$3,130,825 |

5. <u>Intangible Assets</u>

Intangible assets consist of the following:

| | 2007 | 2006 |
|--|------------|------------|
| Finite-lived | | |
| Patents and trademarks, net of accumulated amortization of | | |
| \$104,208 and \$88,465 in 2007 and 2006, respectively | \$ 133,762 | \$ 147,750 |

Aggregate amortization expense related to finite-lived intangible assets was \$15,743 and \$16,026 for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. Future finite-lived intangible asset amortization expenses are as follows:

| 2008 | \$ 15,000 |
|------------|-----------|
| 2009 | 13,739 |
| 2010 | 12,753 |
| 2011 | 12,753 |
| 2012 | 12,753 |
| Thereafter | 66,764 |

\$133,762

During 2007 and 2006, the Company acquired patents which pertain to technology incorporated into certain of the Company s products. The Company paid \$1,755 and \$7,245, respectively, for these patents. The fair value of these patents is being amortized over the weighted-average expected lives of 17 years.

F-13

DAC TECHNOLOGIES GROUP INTERNATIONAL, INC.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements December 31, 2007 and 2006

6. **Due From Factor**

The Company factors a majority of its receivables without recourse under a credit risk factoring agreement, which is renewable annually. This agreement provides for factoring fees of .65% to 1.8% monthly, depending on the creditworthiness and location of an account (domestic or foreign). An additional fee of .25% is charged for each 30-day period, or part thereof, when the terms of sale exceed 90 days. Fees are calculated on the gross face value of each invoice. Additionally, this agreement provides for advances of funds on the factored receivable. Interest is charged at a greater of 4% or 0.50% above prime, which was 7.25% at December 31, 2007, on the outstanding funds in use. The amounts borrowed are collateralized by the outstanding accounts receivable, and are reflected as a reduction to accounts receivable in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

These amounts are as follows:

| | 2007 | 2006 |
|----------------------------------|-------------|--------------|
| Accounts receivable factored | \$4,508,524 | \$5,101,783 |
| Amounts advanced and outstanding | 3,743,014 | 3,819,575 |
| Due from factor | \$ 765,510 | \$ 1,282,208 |

7. Notes Payable

Notes payable consist of the following:

| | 2007 | 2006 | |
|---|------------|------------|--|
| Note payable to a bank; interest at 7.70%; payable on demand or if no demand, November 1, 2008; collateralized by the Company s inventories, property and equipment, and personal guarantees of the Company s major stockholders | \$ 82,524 | \$ 105,762 | |
| Note payable to a bank; interest at 7.70%; payable on demand or if no demand, November 12, 2008; collateralized by the Company s inventories, property and equipment, and personal guarantees of the Company s major stockholders | 67,852 | 86,971 | |
| Note payable to an insurance company; interest at 6.00%; payable in monthly installments of \$8,305, including interest, with remaining principal and interest due May 15, 2008; unsecured | 32,810 | | |
| | \$ 183,186 | \$ 192,733 | |

Table of Contents 48

F-14

DAC TECHNOLOGIES GROUP INTERNATIONAL, INC. Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements December 31, 2007 and 2006

7. Notes Payable (cont.)

The weighted-average interest rates on short-term borrowings, including notes payable stockholders for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006 were 7.28% and 7.69%, respectively. The Company recognized interest expense of approximately \$18,500 and \$17,000 for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively, on notes payable.

8. Equity

During 2007, the Company purchased 94,200 shares of common stock for \$105,814. These shares are accounted for as treasury stock in the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

On May 4, 2006, the Company purchased 57,765 shares of common stock for \$99,933. These shares are accounted for as treasury stock in the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

On June 24, 2004, the Company issued 467,808 shares of common stock through private placement valued at \$681,892. In addition to the shares, investors were also issued 233,904 warrants, which upon exercise, will be able to purchase an additional 233,904 shares at a price of \$2.57 per share. The placement agent received a \$69,000 fee and was issued 160,000 warrants that will allow it to purchase up to 160,000 shares of the Company s common stock at a price of \$2.57 per share. Additionally, legal expenses incurred related to the private placement were \$16,844. The warrant holders have until June 28, 2009 to exercise the warrants.

9. Treasury Stock

In August 2000, the Company filed suit against a former manufacturer alleging breach of a manufacturing contract and seeking damages and rescission of 165,000 shares of its common stock as part of the amounts which had been previously paid to the manufacturer. During 2003, a jury awarded the Company damages in the amount of \$1,650,560, which included the value of the returned shares of common stock. The treasury stock was received during 2003 at a court-mandated value of \$0.78 per share. Of the total shares, 35,000 were paid to legal counsel as consideration for legal fees. The remaining 130,000 shares are reflected as treasury stock in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets at the \$0.78 per share, or \$101,400. The Company is attempting to collect the remainder of the award, \$1,521,860, by suit filed in October 2003 against the owners of the former manufacturer. As collection of this award is uncertain, this gain contingency has not been recorded in the accompanying consolidated statements of income.

F-15

DAC TECHNOLOGIES GROUP INTERNATIONAL, INC.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements December 31, 2007 and 2006

10. Stock Option Plan

During 2000, the Company adopted the 2000 Equity Incentive Plan (the Plan), a non-qualified stock option plan. Under the terms of the Plan, officers, directors, employees and other individuals may be granted options to purchase the Company s common stock at exercise prices determined by the Company s Board of Directors. The terms and conditions of any options granted under the Plan, to include vesting period and restrictions or limitations on the options, will be determined by the Board of Directors. The maximum number of shares that can be granted under this Plan is one million shares of stock. At December 31, 2007, the Company had granted no options pursuant to this Plan.

11. Warrants

A summary of warrant activity is as follows:

| | | N. I | ghted- erage | | Weighted- Average |
|------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| Outstanding | January 1, 2006 | Number of Warrants 393,901 | ercise rice 2.57 | Warrants Exercisable | Exercise Price \$ |
| Granted | | | | | |
| Outstanding Granted | December 31, 2006 | 393,901 | 2.57 | | |
| Outstanding | December 31, 2007 | 393,901 | \$ 2.57 | | \$ |

At December 31, 2007, all warrants outstanding have an exercise price of \$2.57 and expire on June 28, 2009. F-16

DAC TECHNOLOGIES GROUP INTERNATIONAL, INC.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements December 31, 2007 and 2006

12. **Income Taxes**

The provision (benefit) for income taxes consists of the following:

| | 2007 | 2006 |
|-------------------|------------|-------------|
| Current provision | \$ 216,947 | \$480,745 |
| Deferred benefit | | (8,615) |
| | \$ 216 947 | \$ 472, 130 |

Reconciliations of the differences between income taxes computed at the federal statutory tax rates and the provision for income taxes is as follows:

| | 2007 | 2006 |
|---|------------|------------|
| Income taxes computed at federal statutory tax rate | \$ 189,666 | \$416,489 |
| State tax provision, net of federal benefits | 23,931 | 52,551 |
| Nondeductible expenses and other | 3,350 | 3,090 |
| Provision for income taxes | \$ 216,947 | \$ 472,130 |

Temporary differences that give rise to significant deferred tax assets are as follows:

| | 2007 | 2006 |
|---|----------|----------|
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | \$ 1,915 | \$ 1,915 |
| Allowance for excess inventory | 38,125 | 38,125 |
| Accumulated tax depreciation in excess of book depreciation | (35,467) | (35,467) |
| Accumulated tax amortization in excess of book amortization | (1,858) | (1,858) |
| Net deferred tax assets | \$ 2,715 | \$ 2,715 |

The Company adopted FASB Interpretation No. 48 (FIN 48), Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, effective January 1, 2007. FIN 48 clarifies the accounting for income taxes by prescribing the minimum recognition threshold a tax position is required to meet before being recognized in the consolidated financial statements. FIN 48 also provides guidance on derecognition, measurement, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure and transition. The Company had no significant unrecognized tax benefits at the date of adoption or at December 31, 2007. Accordingly, the Company does not have any interest or penalties related to uncertain tax positions. However, if interest or penalties were to be incurred related to uncertain tax positions, such amounts would be recognized in income tax expense. Tax periods for all years after 2003 remain open to examination by the federal and state taxing jurisdictions to which it is subject.

13. Related Party Transactions

During the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, the Company made periodic advances to certain employees of the Company. At December 31, 2007 and 2006, the outstanding balances of advances to these individuals were \$28,925 and \$24,107, respectively.

F-17

DAC TECHNOLOGIES GROUP INTERNATIONAL, INC. Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements December 31, 2007 and 2006

13. Related Party Transactions (cont.)

At December 31, 2007 and 2006, the Company held a note receivable of \$178,465 and \$130,531, respectively, due from an individual, who is both an employee and a stockholder, which is due on December 31, 2008. This note is unsecured and non-interest bearing.

At December 31, 2007 and 2006, the Company held a note receivable of \$72,518 due from a related party entity, which is owned by the individual discussed above, which is due on December 31, 2008. This note is unsecured and non-interest bearing. The note receivable has been classified as non-current in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets because repayment is not anticipated during the next year.

For the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, consulting service fees in the amount of \$10,000 and \$60,000, respectively, were paid to a related party entity, which is owned by the individual discussed above. The related party provides consulting services to the Company on an ongoing basis.

Certain stockholders of the Company have personally guaranteed the Company s outstanding borrowings with a bank at December 31, 2007 and 2006.

14. Commitments and Contingencies

a. In December 2006, the Company leased new office and warehouse space. The office space lease agreement provides for rent at a rate of \$7,757 per month and expires on January 31, 2011, with a renewal option through January 31, 2015. The warehouse space lease agreement provides for rent at a rate of \$9,366 per month and expires on December 31, 2010, with a renewal option through December 31, 2014.

Additionally, the Company leases space from a shareholder for office space for \$5,500 per month under no formal lease agreement. Total rent expense for the Company for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006 was \$274,971 and \$238,433, respectively.

At December 31, 2007, future minimum rental commitments under non-cancelable operating leases in excess of one year are as follows:

| 2008 | \$ 211,011 |
|------|------------|
| 2009 | 218,912 |
| 2010 | 215,829 |
| 2011 | 8,229 |

\$653,981

F-18

Table of Contents

DAC TECHNOLOGIES GROUP INTERNATIONAL, INC. Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements December 31, 2007 and 2006

14. Commitments and Contingencies (cont.)

- b. The Company is involved in various legal actions arising in the normal course of business. In the opinion of management, the ultimate resolution of these matters will not have a material adverse effect on the Company s consolidated financial position or results of operations.
- c. During 1998, the Company entered into an asset purchase agreement, wherein it acquired certain assets and assumed certain liabilities of DAC Technologies of America, Inc. in a combination that was accounted for in a manner similar to a pooling of interest. Assets and liabilities that were not included in this transaction consisted of a receivable from a major stockholder and president, certain bridge loans, stockholder advances, an automobile, certain accounts payable, accrued commissions and accrued payroll totaling \$200,488. The Company could be held liable in the event of litigation, for the outstanding balances of certain unsecured liabilities of DAC Technologies of America, Inc. totaling approximately \$119,000. No accrual has been made for this contingency.

15. Major Customers and Suppliers

During the year ended December 31, 2007, the Company recognized aggregate sales to one customer in the amount of approximately \$9,687,000, which represented 65.6% of total net sales. During the year ended December 31, 2006, the Company recognized aggregate sales to two customers in the amount of approximately \$9,612,000 and \$1,715,000, which represented 62.1% and 11.1% of total net sales, respectively. Accounts receivable related to the sales were factored without recourse (Note 6).

During the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, the Company purchased 99.9% of its products from one major supplier. The Company is dependent upon this supplier continuing in business and its ability to ship to the United States, but believes that it could replace this supplier, if required to, at similar quality and terms.

16. Concentrations of Credit Risk

Financial instruments which potentially subject the Company to concentrations of credit risk consist primarily of trade accounts receivable with a variety of customers. As discussed in Note 6, the Company factors a majority of its receivables under a factoring agreement. These accounts are factored on a non-recourse basis which reduces the Company s exposure to credit risk. Approximately 94% and 91% of the Company s accounts receivable at December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively, were factored. The Company also provides credit in the normal course of business to certain of its customers and performs ongoing credit evaluations of these customers. It maintains allowances for doubtful accounts and provisions for returns and credits based on factors surrounding the specific customers and circumstances. The Company generally does not require collateral from its customers. Credit risk is considered by management to be limited due to the Company s customer base and its customer s financial resources.

At December 31, 2007 and 2006 and at various times throughout these years, the Company maintained cash balances with financial institutions in excess of the federally insured limit.

F-19

17. Financial Information by Business Segment

During the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, the Company operates in four primary business segments delineated by products or services. These segments are security products, gun locks, safes and non-security products. The accounting policies of the Company s segments are the same as those described in Note 2. The Company s long-lived assets are located in the United States and China.

Information concerning operations in these segments of business is as follows:

| | 2007 | 2006 |
|---------------------------------------|---------------|---------------|
| Revenues Gun cleaning and maintenance | \$ 8,659,456 | \$ 10,872,871 |
| Hunting and camping | 4,159,113 | 2,700,191 |
| Gun safety | 1,622,982 | 1,867,427 |
| Other | 336,094 | 35,391 |
| | 220,07 | 00,091 |
| Total revenues | \$ 14,777,645 | \$15,475,880 |
| | | |
| Income before income tax provision | | |
| Gun cleaning and maintenance | \$ 595,363 | \$ 1,215,318 |
| Hunting and camping | (173,213) | (124,433) |
| Gun safety | 88,464 | 149,395 |
| Other | 47,227 | (15,312) |
| Income before income tax provision | \$ 557,841 | \$ 1,224,968 |
| Identifiable assets | | |
| Gun cleaning and maintenance | | |
| United States | \$ 2,627,085 | \$ 2,033,062 |
| Hunting and camping | | |
| United States | 1,623,617 | 627,222 |
| Gun safety | | |
| United States | 489,935 | 484,854 |
| China | 19,477 | 28,829 |
| Other | | |
| United States | 292,786 | 108,441 |
| China | 31,595 | 34,889 |
| Corporate | 2,302,932 | 3,100,278 |
| Total identifiable assets | \$ 7,387,427 | \$ 6,417,575 |

Molds used to manufacture the Company s security products and gun locks are located in China (Note 1). F-20