TYLER TECHNOLOGIES INC Form 10-Q April 28, 2009

#### UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549 FORM 10-Q

# bQUARTERLY REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE<br/>ACT OF 1934.

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2009

OR

# • TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934.

Commission File Number 1-10485 TYLER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

DELAWARE (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) 75-2303920 (I.R.S. employer identification no.)

5949 SHERRY LANE, SUITE 1400

DALLAS, TEXAS

75225

(Address of principal executive offices)

(Zip code)

(972) 713-3700

(Registrant s telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes b No o Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large acceleratedAccelerated filer bNon-accelerated filer oSmaller reportingfiler o(Do not check if a smaller reporting<br/>company)company o

Indicated by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act.) Yes o No b The number of shares of common stock of registrant outstanding on April 23, 2009 was 35,290,763.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION **ITEM 1. Financial Statements** ITEM 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations ITEM 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk ITEM 4. Controls and Procedures Part II. OTHER INFORMATION ITEM 1. Legal Proceedings ITEM 1A. Risk Factors ITEM 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds ITEM 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities ITEM 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders ITEM 5. Other Information ITEM 6. Exhibits **SIGNATURES** EX-31.1 EX-31.2 EX-32.1

# PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. Financial Statements

#### TYLER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF INCOME (In thousands, except per share amounts) (Unaudited)

	Three months end March 31,		
	2009	2008	
Revenues: Software licenses	\$ 10.756	¢ 0 260	
	\$ 10,756 3,976	\$ 8,369 2 265	
Subscriptions Software services	19,232	3,265 16,525	
Maintenance	29,138	24,849	
Appraisal services	4,892	4,582	
Hardware and other	1,571	4,382	
	1,571	1,701	
Total revenues	69,565	59,351	
Cost of revenues:			
Software licenses	1,276	2,203	
Acquired software	315	436	
Software services, maintenance and subscriptions	33,087	30,444	
Appraisal services	3,363	3,167	
Hardware and other	1,232	1,298	
Total cost of revenues	39,273	37,548	
Gross profit	30,292	21,803	
Selling, general and administrative expenses	17,410	14,752	
Research and development expense	2,235	1,816	
Amortization of customer and trade name intangibles	672	567	
Operating income	9,975	4,668	
Other (expense) income, net	(14)	402	
Income before income taxes	9,961	5,070	
Income tax provision	3,955	1,944	
-			
Net income	\$ 6,006	\$ 3,126	
Earnings per common share:			
Basic	\$ 0.17	\$ 0.08	
Table of Contents		4	

Diluted	\$ 0.16	\$ 0.08
Basic weighted average common shares outstanding Diluted weighted average common shares outstanding <i>See accompanying notes</i> .	35,497 36,747	38,020 39,527
1		

#### TYLER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. CONDENSED BALANCE SHEETS (In thousands, except par value and share amounts)

		March 31, 2009 Jnaudited)	E	December 31, 2008
ASSETS	(-			
Current assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	2,311	\$	1,762
Restricted cash equivalents		5,082		5,082
Short-term investments available-for-sale				775
Accounts receivable (less allowance for losses of \$2,281 in 2009 and \$2,115 in				<b>-</b> ( 000
2008)		65,596		76,989
Prepaid expenses		8,597		8,602
Other current assets		1,551		1,444
Deferred income taxes		2,586		2,570
Total current assets		85,723		97,224
Accounts receivable, long-term portion		292		197
Property and equipment, net		27,874		26,522
Non-current investments available-for-sale		3,733		3,779
		5,755		5,115
Other assets:				
Goodwill		88,791		88,791
Customer related intangibles, net		26,805		27,438
Software, net		5,176		5,112
Other intangibles, net		2,366		2,471
Sundry		241		227
	\$	241,001	\$	251,761
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY Current liabilities:				
Accounts payable	\$	3,444	\$	2,617
Accrued liabilities	ψ	18,536	φ	22,917
Short-term revolving line of credit		7,500		8,000
Deferred revenue		87,042		95,773
Income taxes payable		2,755		166
neone anes payable		2,700		100
Total current liabilities		119,277		129,469
Deferred income taxes		8,030		8,030

Commitments and contingencies

Shareholders equity: Preferred stock, \$10.00 par value; 1,000,000 shares authorized, none issued		
Common stock, \$0.01 par value; 100,000,000 shares authorized; 48,147,969		
shares issued in 2009 and 2008	481	481
Additional paid-in capital	151,601	151,245
Accumulated other comprehensive loss, net of tax	(417)	(387)
Retained earnings	56,500	50,494
Treasury stock, at cost; 12,885,539 and 12,333,549 shares in 2009 and 2008, respectively	(94,471)	(87,571)
	(> 1,171)	(07,271)
Total shareholders equity	113,694	114,262
	\$ 241,001	\$ 251,761
See accompanying notes.		

2

# TYLER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (In thousands) (Unaudited)

31, 20092008Cash flows from operating activities: Net income\$ 6,006\$ 3,126Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operations: Depreciation and amortization2,3322,936Share-based compensation expense1,127716Excess tax benefit from exercises of share-based arrangements(148)(42)
Net income\$ 6,006\$ 3,126Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operations:2,3322,936Depreciation and amortization2,3322,936Share-based compensation expense1,127716
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operations:2,3322,936Depreciation and amortization2,3322,936Share-based compensation expense1,127716
Depreciation and amortization2,3322,936Share-based compensation expense1,127716
Share-based compensation expense1,127716
Excess tax benefit from exercises of share-based arrangements (148) (42)
Changes in operating assets and liabilities, exclusive of effects of acquired
companies:
Accounts receivable 11,298 5,607
Income tax payable 2,830 782
Prepaid expenses and other current assets (162) (478
Accounts payable 827 912
Accrued liabilities (3,173) (1,847
Deferred revenue (8,731) 6,056
Net cash provided by operating activities12,20617,768
Cash flows from investing activities:
Proceeds from sale of investments 775 42,265
Purchases of investments (8,625
Cost of acquisitions, net of cash acquired (525) (13,864
Additions to property and equipment(2,333)
Increase in restricted investments (620
Increase in other (6) (400
Net cash (used) provided by investing activities(2,089)17,865
Cash flows from financing activities:
Purchase of treasury shares (10,096) (12,646
Net payments on revolving line of credit (500)
Contributions from employee stock purchase plan 322 256
Proceeds from exercise of stock options 558 164
Excess tax benefit from exercises of share-based arrangements 148 42
Net cash used by financing activities (9,568) (12,184
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents 549 23,449
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period 1,762 9,642

#### Table of Contents

Cash and cash equivalents at end of period		\$ 2,311	\$ 33,091
See accompanying notes.	3		
	-		

Tyler Technologies, Inc. Notes to Condensed Financial Statements (Unaudited) (Tables in thousands, except per share data)

#### (1) Basis of Presentation

We prepared the accompanying condensed financial statements following the requirements of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) and accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, or GAAP, for interim reporting. As permitted under those rules, certain footnotes or other financial information that are normally required by GAAP can be condensed or omitted for interim periods. Balance sheet amounts are as of March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008 and operating result amounts are for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, and include all normal and recurring adjustments that we considered necessary for the fair summarized presentation of our financial position and operating results. As these are condensed financial statements, one should also read the financial statements and notes included in our latest Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008. Revenues, expenses, assets and liabilities can vary during each quarter of the year. Therefore, the results and trends in these interim financial statements may not be the same as those for the full year.

Although we have a number of operating divisions, separate segment data has not been presented as they meet the criteria set forth in Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 131, Disclosures About Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information to be presented as one segment.

## (2) Revenue Recognition

# Software Arrangements:

We earn revenue from software licenses, subscriptions, software services, post-contract customer support (PCS or maintenance), and hardware. PCS includes telephone support, bug fixes, and rights to upgrades on a when-and-if available basis. We provide services that range from installation, training, and basic consulting to software modification and customization to meet specific customer needs. In software arrangements that include rights to multiple software products, specified upgrades, PCS, and/or other services, we allocate the total arrangement fee among each deliverable based on the relative fair value of each.

We typically enter into multiple element arrangements, which include software licenses, software services, PCS and occasionally hardware. The majority of our software arrangements are multiple element arrangements, but for those arrangements that involve significant production, modification or customization of the software, or where software services are otherwise considered essential to the functionality of the software in the customer s environment, we use contract accounting and apply the provisions of Statement of Position (SOP) 81-1 Accounting for Performance of Construction Type and Certain Production Type Contracts.

If the arrangement does not require significant production, modification or customization or where the software services are not considered essential to the functionality of the software, revenue is recognized when all of the following conditions are met:

- i. persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists;
- ii. delivery has occurred;
- iii. our fee is fixed or determinable; and
- iv. collectibility is probable.

For multiple element arrangements, each element of the arrangement is analyzed and we allocate a portion of the total arrangement fee to the elements based on the fair value of the element using vendor-specific objective evidence of fair value (VSOE), regardless of any separate prices stated within the contract for each element. Fair value is considered the price a customer would be required to pay if the element was sold separately based on our historical experience of stand-alone sales of these elements to third parties. For PCS, we use renewal rates for continued support arrangements to determine fair value. For software services, we use the fair value we charge our customers when those services are sold separately. We monitor our transactions to insure we maintain and periodically revise VSOE to reflect fair value.

In software arrangements in which we have the fair value of all undelivered elements but not of a delivered element, we apply the residual method as allowed under SOP 98-9 in accounting for any element of a multiple element arrangement involving software that remains undelivered such that any discount inherent in a contract is allocated to the delivered element. Under the residual method, if the fair value of all undelivered elements is determinable, the fair value of the undelivered elements is deferred and the remaining portion of the arrangement fee is allocated to the delivered element(s) and is recognized as revenue assuming the other revenue recognition criteria are met. In software arrangements in which we do not have VSOE for all

undelivered elements, revenue is deferred until fair value is determined or all elements for which we do not have VSOE have been delivered. Alternatively, if sufficient VSOE does not exist and the only undelivered element is services that do not involve significant modification or customization of the software, the entire fee is recognized over the period during which the services are expected to be performed.

Software Licenses

We recognize the revenue allocable to software licenses and specified upgrades upon delivery of the software product or upgrade to the customer, unless the fee is not fixed or determinable or collectibility is not probable. If the fee is not fixed or determinable, including new customers whose payment terms are three months or more from shipment, revenue is generally recognized as payments become due from the customer. If collectibility is not considered probable, revenue is recognized when the fee is collected. Arrangements that include software services, such as training or installation, are evaluated to determine whether those services are essential to the product s functionality. A majority of our software arrangements involve off-the-shelf software. We consider software to be off-the-shelf software if it can be added to an arrangement with minor changes in the underlying code and it can be used by the customer for the customer s purpose upon installation. For off-the-shelf software arrangements, we recognize the software license fee as revenue after delivery has occurred, customer acceptance is reasonably assured, that portion of the fee represents a non-refundable enforceable claim and is probable of collection, and the remaining services such as training are not considered essential to the product s functionality.

For arrangements that involve significant production, modification or customization of the software, or where software services are otherwise considered essential, we recognize revenue using contract accounting. We generally use the percentage-of-completion method to recognize revenue from these arrangements. We measure progress-to-completion primarily using labor hours incurred, or value added. The percentage-of-completion method generally results in the recognition of reasonably consistent profit margins over the life of a contract because we have the ability to produce reasonably dependable estimates of contract billings and contract costs. We use the level of profit margin that is most likely to occur on a contract. If the most likely profit margin cannot be precisely determined, the lowest probable level of profit in the range of estimates is used until the results can be estimated more precisely. These arrangements are often implemented over an extended time period and occasionally require us to revise total cost estimates. Amounts recognized in revenue are calculated using the progress-to-completion measurement after giving effect to any changes in our cost estimates. Changes to total estimated contract costs, if any, are recorded in the period they are determined. Estimated losses on uncompleted contracts are recorded in the period in which we first determine that a loss is apparent.

For arrangements that include new product releases for which it is difficult to estimate final profitability except to assume that no loss will ultimately be incurred, we recognize revenue under the completed contract method. Under the completed contract method, revenue is recognized only when a contract is completed or substantially complete. Historically these amounts have been immaterial.

Subscription-Based Services

Subscription-based services primarily consist of revenues derived from application service provider (ASP) arrangements and other hosted service offerings, software subscriptions and disaster recovery services.

We recognize revenue for ASP and other hosting services, software subscriptions, term license arrangements with renewal periods of twelve months or less and disaster recovery ratably over the period of the applicable agreement as services are provided. Disaster recovery agreements and other hosting services are typically renewable annually. ASP and software subscriptions are typically for periods of three to six years and automatically renew unless either party cancels the agreement. The majority of the ASP and other hosting services and software subscriptions also include professional services as well as maintenance and support. In certain ASP arrangements, the customer also acquires a license to the software.

For ASP and other hosting arrangements, we evaluate whether each of the elements in these arrangements represents a separate unit of accounting, as defined by Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) No. 00-21, using all applicable facts and circumstances, including whether (i) we sell or could readily sell the element unaccompanied by the other elements, (ii) the element has stand-alone value to the customer, (iii) there is objective reliable evidence of the fair value of the undelivered item, and (iv) there is a general right of return. We consider the applicability of EITF

No. 00-03, Application of SOP 97-2 to Arrangements That Include the Right to Use Software Stored on Another Entity s Hardware on a contract-by-contract basis. In hosted term-based agreements, where the customer does not have the contractual right to take possession of the software, hosting fees are recognized on a monthly basis over the term of the contract commencing when the customer has access to the software. For professional services associated with hosting arrangements that we determine do not have stand-alone value to the customer, we recognize the services revenue ratably over the remaining

contractual period once hosting has gone live and we may begin billing for the hosting services. We record amounts that have been invoiced in accounts receivable and in deferred revenue or revenues, depending on whether the revenue recognition criteria have been met.

If we determine that the customer has the contractual right to take possession of our software at any time during the hosting period without significant penalty, and can feasibly maintain the software on the customer s hardware or enter into another arrangement with a third party to host the software, we recognize the license, professional services and hosting services revenues pursuant to SOP 97-2.

Software Services

Some of our software arrangements include services considered essential for the customer to use the software for the customer s purposes. For these software arrangements, both the software license revenue and the services revenue are recognized as the services are performed using the percentage-of-completion contract accounting method. When software services are not considered essential, the fee allocable to the service element is recognized as revenue as we perform the services.

Computer Hardware Equipment

Revenue allocable to computer hardware equipment, which is based on VSOE, is recognized when we deliver the equipment and collection is probable.

Postcontract Customer Support

Our customers generally enter into PCS agreements when they purchase our software licenses. Our PCS agreements are typically renewable annually. Revenue allocated to PCS is recognized on a straight-line basis over the period the PCS is provided. All significant costs and expenses associated with PCS are expensed as incurred. Fair value for the maintenance and support obligations for software licenses is based upon the specific sale renewals to customers. Allocation of Revenue in Statement of Income

In our statements of income, we allocate revenue to software licenses, software services, maintenance and hardware and other based on the VSOE of fair value for elements in each revenue arrangement and the application of the residual method for arrangements in which we have established VSOE of fair value for all undelivered elements. In arrangements where we are not able to establish VSOE of fair value for all undelivered elements, revenue is first allocated to any undelivered elements for which VSOE of fair value has been established. We then allocate revenue to any undelivered elements for which VSOE of fair value has not been established based upon management s best estimate of fair value of those undelivered elements and apply a residual method to determine the license fee. Management s best estimate of fair value of undelivered elements for which VSOE of fair value has not been established. SoE of fair value has not been established based upon the VSOE of fair value of undelivered elements for which VSOE of fair value has not been established. Appraisal Services:

For our property appraisal projects, we recognize revenue using the proportionate performance method of revenue recognition since many of these projects are implemented over one to three year periods and consist of various unique activities. Under this method of revenue recognition, we identify each activity for the appraisal project, with a typical project generally calling for bonding, office set up, training, routing of map information, data entry, data collection, data verification, informal hearings, appeals and project management. Each activity or act is specifically identified and assigned an estimated cost. Costs which are considered to be associated with indirect activities, such as bonding costs and office set up, are expensed as incurred. These costs are typically billed as incurred and are recognized as revenue equal to cost. Direct contract fulfillment activities and related supervisory costs such as data collection, data entry and verification are expensed as incurred. The direct costs for these activity is assigned a consistent unit of measure to determine progress towards completion and revenue is recognized for each activity based upon the percentage complete as applied to the estimated revenue for that activity. Progress for the fulfillment activities is typically based on labor hours or an output measure such as the number of parcel counts completed for that activity. Estimated losses on uncompleted contracts are recorded in the period in which we first determine that a loss is apparent.

#### Other:

The majority of deferred revenue consists of unearned support and maintenance revenue that has been billed based on contractual terms in the underlying arrangement with the remaining balance consisting of payments received in advance of revenue being earned under software licensing, subscription-based services, software and appraisal services and hardware installation. Unbilled revenue is not billable at the balance sheet date but is recoverable over the remaining life of the contract through billings made in accordance with contractual agreements. The termination clauses in most of our contracts provide for the payment for the fair value of products delivered and services performed in the event of an early termination.

Prepaid expenses and other current assets include direct and incremental costs, consisting primarily of commissions associated with arrangements for which revenue recognition has been deferred and third party subcontractor payments. Such costs are expensed at the time the related revenue is recognized.

#### (3) Financial Instruments

Assets recorded at fair value in the balance sheet as of March 31, 2009 are categorized based upon the level of judgment associated with the inputs used to measure their fair value. Hierarchical levels, defined by SFAS No. 157

Fair Value Measurements are directly related to the amount of subjectivity associated with the inputs to fair valuation of these assets are as follows:

Level 1 Inputs are unadjusted, quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities at the measurement date;

Level 2 Inputs other than Level 1 inputs that are either directly or indirectly observable; and

Level 3 Unobservable inputs, for which little or no market data exist, therefore requiring an entity to develop its own assumptions.

We measure the following financial assets at fair value on a recurring basis. The fair value of these financial assets was determined using the following inputs at March 31, 2009:

	Total	i active fe iden ass	d prices n markets or atical sets yel 1)	Significant other observable inputs (Level 2)	unobs in	ificant servable puts vel 3)
Cash and cash equivalents Non-current investments available-for-sale	\$ 7,393 3,733	\$	7,393	\$	\$	3,733
Total	\$11,126	\$	7,393	\$	\$	3,733

Cash and cash equivalents consist primarily of money market funds with original maturity dates of three months or less, for which we determine fair value through quoted market prices. Investments available-for-sale consist of auction rate municipal securities ( ARS ) which are collateralized debt obligations supported by municipal and state agencies and do not include mortgage-backed securities.

All of our ARS are reflected at estimated fair value in the balance sheet at March 31, 2009. In prior periods, due to the auction process which took place every 28 to 35 days for most ARS, quoted market prices were readily available, which would have qualified as Level 1 under SFAS No. 157. However, due to the current financial market crisis the auction events for most of these securities have failed. Therefore, quoted prices in active markets are no longer available and we determined the estimated fair values of these securities utilizing a discounted trinomial model. The model considers the probability of three potential occurrences for each auction event through the maturity date of each ARS. The three potential outcomes for each auction are (i) successful auction/early redemption, (ii) failed auction and

(iii) issuer default. Inputs in determining the probabilities of the potential outcomes include but are not limited to, the securities collateral, credit rating, insurance, issuer s financial standing, contractual restrictions on disposition and the liquidity in the market. The fair value of each ARS is determined by summing the present value of the probability-weighted future principal and interest payments determined by the model.

In association with this estimate of fair value, we have recorded an after tax temporary unrealized loss on our ARS of \$30,000, net of related tax effects of \$16,000 in the three months ended March 31, 2009, which is included in accumulated other comprehensive loss on our balance sheet. As of March 31, 2009, we have continued to earn and collect interest on all of our ARS. We believe that this

7

temporary decline in fair value is due entirely to liquidity issues, because the underlying assets of these securities are supported by municipal and state agencies and do not include mortgage-backed securities, have redemption features which call for redemption at 100% of par value and have a current credit rating between A and AAA. The ratings on the ARS take into account credit support through insurance policies guaranteeing each of the bonds payment of principal and accrued interest, if it becomes necessary. In addition, we do not plan to sell any of the ARS prior to maturity at an amount below the original purchase value and, at this time, do not deem it probable that we will receive less than 100% of the principal and accrued interest. Based on our cash and cash equivalents balance of \$7.4 million and expected operating cash flows we do not believe a lack of liquidity associated with our ARS will adversely affect our ability to conduct business, and believe we have the ability to hold the securities throughout the currently estimated recovery period. We have classified these securities as non-current because we believe the market for these securities may take in excess of twelve months to fully recover. We will continue to evaluate any changes in the market value of our ARS and in the future, depending upon existing market conditions, we may be required to record an other-than-temporary decline in market value.

The following table reflects the activity for assets measured at fair value using Level 3 inputs for the three months ended March 31, 2009:

Balance as of December 31, 2008	\$ 3,779
Transfers into level 3	
Transfers out of level 3	
Unrealized losses included in accumulated other comprehensive loss	(46)

Balance as of March 31, 2009

(4) Shareholders Equity

The following table details activity in our common stock:

	Three months ended March 31,					
	2	009		2008		
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount		
Purchases of common stock	(707)	\$(8,832)	(814)	\$(10,520)		
Stock option exercises	120	558	31	672		
Employee stock plan purchases	35	362	29	318		
Shares issued for acquisitions			126	1,719		

As of March 31, 2009 we have authorization from our board of directors to repurchase up to 791,000 additional shares of Tyler common stock.

(5) Short-Term Revolving Line of Credit

On October 20, 2008, we entered into a revolving bank credit agreement (the Credit Facility ) and a related pledge and security agreement. The Credit Facility matures October 19, 2009 and provides for total borrowings of up to \$25.0 million and a \$6.0 million Letter of Credit facility under which the bank will issue cash collateralized letters of credit. Borrowings under the Credit Facility bear interest at a rate of either LIBOR plus 1% or prime rate minus 1.5%. As of March 31, 2009, our effective interest rate was 1.47% under the Credit Facility. The effective average interest rate for borrowings during the three months ended March 31, 2009 was also 1.47%. The Credit Facility is secured by substantially all of our personal property. The Credit Facility requires us to maintain certain financial ratios and other financial conditions and prohibits us from making certain investments, advances, cash dividends or loans, restricts the amount of our common stock we may purchase and limits incurrence of additional indebtedness and liens. As of March 31, 2009, we were in compliance with those covenants.

As of March 31, 2009, we had outstanding borrowings of \$7.5 million and unused available borrowing capacity of \$17.5 million under the Credit Facility. In addition, as of March 31, 2009, our bank had issued outstanding letters of credit totaling \$5.1 million to secure surety bonds required by some of our customer contracts. These letters of credit

\$3,733

have been collateralized by restricted cash balances and expire through early 2010. The carrying amount of the Credit Facility approximates fair value due to the short-term nature of the instrument.

#### Table of Contents

(6) Income Tax Provision

For the three months ended March 31, 2009, we had an effective income tax rate of 39.7%, compared to 38.3% for the three months ended March 31, 2008. The effective income tax rates for the periods presented were different from the statutory United States federal income tax rate of 35% primarily due to state income taxes, non-deductible share-based compensation expense, the qualified manufacturing activities deduction and non-deductible meals and entertainment costs. The effective tax rate for the three months ended March 31, 2009 was slightly higher than the prior year due to lower tax-free interest income.

We made federal and state income tax payments, net of refunds, of \$1.2 million in both the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008.

(7) Earnings Per Share

The following table details the reconciliation of basic earnings per share to diluted earnings per share:

	Three months ende March 31,			ded
Numerator for basic and diluted earnings per share:	20	)09	2	008
Net income	\$ 6	6,006	\$	3,126
Denominator:				
Weighted-average basic common shares outstanding Assumed conversion of dilutive securities:	35	5,497	3	8,020
Stock Options	1	,250		1,507
Denominator for diluted earnings per share Adjusted weighted-average shares	36	5,747	3	9,527
Earnings per common share:				
Basic	\$	0.17	\$	0.08
Diluted	\$	0.16	\$	0.08

For the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, stock options representing the right to purchase common stock of 2.7 million shares and 243,000 shares, respectively, were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share because their inclusion would have had an antidilutive effect.

(8) Share-Based Compensation

The following table summarizes share-based compensation expense related to share-based awards under SFAS No. 123R, Share-Based Payment, recorded in the statements of operations:

	Three months ended March 31,					
	2009			2008		
Cost of software services, maintenance and subscriptions Selling, general and administrative expense	\$	120 1,007	\$	69 647		
Total share-based compensation expense	\$	1,127	\$	716		

(9) Commitments and Contingencies

On November 3, 2008, a putative collective action complaint was filed against us in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas on behalf of current and former customer support analysts, client liaisons, engineers,

trainers, and education services specialists. The petition alleges that we misclassified these groups of employees as exempt rather than non-exempt under the Fair Labor Standards Act and that we therefore failed to properly pay overtime wages. The suit was initiated by six former employees working out of our Longview, Texas, office and seeks to recover damages in the form of lost overtime pay since October 31, 2005, liquidated damages equal to the amount of lost overtime pay, interest, costs, and attorneys fees. We intend to vigorously defend the action. Given the preliminary nature of the alleged claims and the inherent unpredictability of litigation, we cannot at this time estimate the possible outcome of any such action.

Other than ordinary course, routine litigation incidental to our business and except as described in this Quarterly Report, there are no material legal proceedings pending to which we are party or to which any of our properties are subject.

(10) Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In March 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) released Proposed Staff Position No. 157-e, Determining Whether a Market Is Not Active and a Transaction Is Not Distressed (SFAS No. 157-e). This proposal provides additional guidance in determining whether a market for a financial asset is not active and a transaction is not distressed for fair value measurement purposes as defined in SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements. SFAS No. 157-e is effective for interim periods ending after June 15, 2009, but early adoption is permitted for interim periods ending after March 15, 2009. We plan to adopt the provisions of SFAS No. 157-e during the second quarter of 2009, but do not believe this guidance will have a significant impact on our financial position, cash flows or disclosures.

In March 2009, the FASB issued Proposed Staff Position SFAS No. 115-a, SFAS No. 124-a and EITF No. 99-20-b, Recognition and Presentation of Other-Than-Temporary Impairments. This proposal provides guidance in determining whether impairments in debt securities are other than temporary, and modifies the presentation and disclosures surrounding such instruments. This Proposed Staff Position is effective for interim periods ending after June 15, 2009, but early adoption is permitted for interim periods ending after March 15, 2009. We plan to adopt the provisions of this Proposed Staff Position during the second quarter of 2009, but do not believe this guidance will have a significant impact on our financial position, cash flows or disclosures.

ITEM 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

The statements in this discussion that are not historical statements are forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These forward-looking statements include statements about our business, financial condition, business strategy, plans and the objectives of our management, and future prospects. In addition, we have made in the past and may make in the future other written or oral forward-looking statements, including statements regarding future operating performance, short and long-term revenue and earnings growth, the timing of the revenue and earnings impact for new contracts, backlog, the value of new contract signings, business pipeline, and industry growth rates and our performance relative thereto. Any forward-looking statements may rely on a number of assumptions concerning future events and be subject to a number of uncertainties and other factors, many of which are outside our control, which could cause actual results to differ materially from such statements. These include, but are not limited to: declining economic conditions and uncertainties in the financial credit markets, our ability to improve productivity and achieve synergies from acquired businesses; technological risks associated with the development of new products and the enhancement of existing products; changes in the budgets and regulating environments of our government customers; competition in the industry in which we conduct business and the impact of competition on pricing, revenues and margins; with respect to customer contracts accounted for under the percentage-of-completion method of accounting, the performance of such contracts in accordance with our cost and revenue estimates; our ability to maintain health and other insurance coverage and capacity due to changes in the insurance market and the impact of increasing insurance costs on the results of operations; the costs to attract and retain qualified personnel, changes in product demand, the availability of products, economic conditions, costs of

compliance with corporate governance and public disclosure requirements as issued by the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and New York Stock Exchange rules, changes in tax risks and other risks indicated in our filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission. The

factors described in this paragraph and other factors that may affect Tyler, its management or future financial results, as and when applicable, are discussed in Tyler s filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission, on its Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008. Except to the extent required by law, we are not obligated to update or revise any forward-looking statements whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. When used in this Ouarterly Report, the words believes, plans, estimates, expects, anticipates, intends. continue. may projects. could or the negative of such terms and similar expressions as they relate to Ty should. forecast. might.

our management are intended to identify forward-looking statements. GENERAL

We provide integrated information management solutions and services for local governments. We develop and market a broad line of software products and services to address the information technology (IT) needs of cities, counties, schools and other local government entities. In addition, we provide professional IT services to our customers, including software and hardware installation, data conversion, and training and for certain customers, product modifications, along with continuing maintenance and support for customers using our systems. We also provide subscription-based services such as application service provider arrangements and other hosting services as well as property appraisal outsourcing services for taxing jurisdictions.

As of March 31, 2009, our total employee count increased to 1,991 from 1,784 at March 31, 2008. Total employee count at March 31, 2009, includes 48 employees, which were added as a result of one acquisition completed after March 31, 2008.

#### <u>Outlook</u>

The financial market crisis has continued to disrupt credit and equity markets worldwide in 2009. Local and state governments may face financial pressures that could in turn affect our growth rate and operating results in 2009. We are closely monitoring market conditions and the potential impact on our business, especially in the second half of the year.

#### CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES

The discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based upon our condensed financial statements. These condensed financial statements have been prepared following the requirements of accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (GAAP) for interim periods and require us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. On an ongoing basis, we evaluate our estimates, including those related to revenue recognition and amortization and potential impairment of intangible assets and goodwill and share-based compensation expense. As these are condensed financial statements, one should also read expanded information about our critical accounting policies and estimates provided in Item 7, Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, included in our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008. There have been no material changes to our critical accounting policies and estimates provides and estimates from the information provided in our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

#### ANALYSIS OF RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

#### <u>Revenues</u>

The following table sets forth the key components of our revenues for the periods presented as of March 31:

		First Q	uarter			
		% of		% of	Chang	e
(\$ in thousands)	2009	Total	2008	Total	\$	%
Software licenses	\$10,756	15%	\$ 8,369	14%	\$ 2,387	29%
Subscriptions	3,976	6	3,265	5	711	22
Software services	19,232	28	16,525	28	2,707	16
Maintenance	29,138	42	24,849	42	4,289	17
Appraisal services	4,892	7	4,582	8	310	7
Hardware and other	1,571	2	1,761	3	(190)	(11)

Edgar Filing: TYLER TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-Q						
Total revenues	\$ 69,565	100%	\$ 59,351	100%	\$ 10,214	17%
		11				

*Software licenses*. Software license revenues consist of the following components for the periods presented as of March 31:

First Quarter						
	% of			% of	Change	
(\$ in thousands)	2009	Total	2008	Total	\$	%
Financial management and						
education	\$ 6,044	56%	\$ 5,947	71%	\$ 97	2%
Courts and justice	3,618	34	1,558	19	2,060	132
Appraisal and tax and other	1,094	10	864	10	230	27
Total software license revenues	\$ 10,756	100%	\$ 8,369	100%	\$ 2,387	29%

In the three months ended March 31, 2009, we signed 15 significant, new contracts with average software license fees of approximately \$397,000 compared to 18 significant, new contracts signed in the three months ended March 31, 2008 with average software license fees of approximately \$296,000. We consider contracts with a license fee component of \$100,000 or more to be significant. Although a contract is signed in a particular quarter, the period in which the revenue is recognized may be different because we recognize revenue according to our revenue recognition policy as described in Note 2 in the Notes to the Unaudited Condensed Financial Statements.

Software license revenue related to our courts and justice software solutions for the three months ended March 31, 2009, increased 132% compared to the prior year period and comprised the majority of the increase in total software license revenues. In the three months ended March 31, 2009, we recorded software license revenue of approximately \$1.2 million from two courts and justice arrangements which had been deferred in accordance with the terms of the contracts. The remaining increase was due to continued progress on several courts and justice statewide arrangements which began in late 2008 and higher municipal courts software solutions and public safety software solutions volume. *Subscriptions*. Subscription-based services revenue primarily consists of revenues derived from application service provider (ASP) arrangements and other hosted service offerings, software subscriptions and disaster recovery services. ASP and other software subscriptions agreements are typically for periods of three to six years and automatically renew unless either party cancels the agreement. Disaster recovery and miscellaneous other hosted service agreements are typically renewable annually. New ASP customers as well as existing customers that converted to our ASP model, provided most of the subscription revenue increase with the remaining increase due to new disaster recovery customers and slightly higher rates for disaster recovery services and miscellaneous other hosted services. *Software services*. Changes in software services revenues consist of the following components:

Software services revenue related to financial management and education solutions, which comprise approximately half of our software services revenue in the periods presented, rose significantly compared to the three months ended March 31, 2008. This increase was driven in part by additions to our implementation and support staff as well as leverage in the utilization of our implementation and support staff. In addition, our revenue mix included more contracts with larger customers than the prior year period. Contracts with large customers tend to require more project management and consulting services.

Software services revenue related to courts and justice products experienced significant increases compared to the three months ended March 31, 2008, reflecting increased capacity to deliver backlog following additions to our implementation and support staff and slightly higher rates on some arrangements.

*Maintenance*. We provide maintenance and support services for our software products and third party software. For the three months ended March 31, 2009, maintenance revenues increased 17% compared to the prior year period. Maintenance and support services grew 14% excluding the impact of acquisitions completed in the prior twelve months. This increase was due to growth in our installed customer base and slightly higher maintenance rates on most of our product lines.

#### Cost of Revenues and Gross Margins

The following table sets forth a comparison of the key components of our cost of revenues, and those components stated as a percentage of related revenues for the periods presented as of March 31:

		First Q	uarter			
		% of		% of		
		related		related	Chan	ge
(\$ in thousands)	2009	revenues	2008	revenues	\$	%
Software licenses	\$ 1,276	12%	\$ 2,203	26%	\$ (927)	(42)%
Acquired software	315	3	436	5	(121)	(28)
Software services,						
maintenance and						
subscriptions	33,087	63	30,444	68	2,643	9
Appraisal services	3,363	69	3,167	69	196	6
Hardware and other	1,232	78	1,298	74	(66)	(5)
Total cost of revenues	\$ 39,273	56%	\$37,548	63%	\$ 1,725	5%

The following table sets forth a comparison of gross margin percentage by revenue type for the periods presented as of March 31:

	First Q	uarter	
Gross margin percentage	2009	2008	Change
Software licenses and acquired software	85.2%	68.5%	16.7%
Software services, maintenance and subscriptions	36.8	31.8	5.0
Appraisal services	31.3	30.9	0.4
Hardware and other	21.6	26.3	(4.7)

Overall gross margin43.5%36.7%6.8%Software licenses. Amortization expense for capitalized development costs on certain software products comprises

approximately 20% of our cost of software license revenues in the three months ended March 31, 2009, compared to approximately 50% of our cost of software license in the three months ended March 31, 2008. The remaining balance is made up of third party software costs. Once a product is released, we begin to amortize the costs associated with its development over the estimated useful life of the product. Amortization expense is determined on a

product-by-product basis at an annual rate not less than straight-line basis over the product s estimated life, which is generally five years. Development costs consist mainly of personnel costs, such as salary and benefits paid to our developers, and rent for related office space.

For the three months ended March 31, 2009, our software license gross margin percentage increased significantly compared to the prior year period because several products became fully amortized in late 2008.

*Software services, maintenance and subscription-based services.* Cost of software services, maintenance and subscriptions primarily consists of personnel costs related to installation of our software, conversion of customer data, training customer personnel and support activities and various other services such as ASP and disaster recovery. For the three months ended March 31, 2009, the software services, maintenance and subscriptions gross margin increased 5.0% from the prior year period in part because maintenance and various other services such as ASP and disaster recovery costs typically grow at a slower rate than related revenues due to leverage in the utilization of our support and maintenance staff and economies of scale. We have increased our implementation and support staff by 110 employees since March 31, 2008 in order to expand our capacity to implement our contract backlog. This increase includes 35 employees related to an acquisition completed after March 31, 2008. In addition, the software services, maintenance and subscription-based services gross margin has benefitted from slightly higher rates for certain

Our blended gross margin for the three months ended March 31, 2009, was much higher than the prior year due to lower amortization expense of software development costs described above and a revenue mix that included slightly more software license. Software license revenue inherently has higher gross margins than other revenues such as professional services and hardware. The gross margin also benefitted from leverage in the utilization of our support and maintenance staff and economies of scale and slightly higher rates on certain services.

#### Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

The following table sets forth a comparison of our selling, general and administrative (SG&A) expenses for the periods presented as of March 31:

		First Q	uarter			
		% of		% of	Chan	ge
(\$ in thousands)	2009	revenues	2008	revenues	\$	%
Selling, general and						
administrative expenses	\$17,410	25%	\$14,752	25%	\$2,658	18%
SG&A as a percent of sales w	as flat compared	to the prior year	r period. The in	ncrease in SG&	A expenses was	

SG&A as a percent of sales was flat compared to the prior year period. The increase in SG&A expenses was comprised of commission costs as well as slightly higher stock compensation expense.

#### Research and Development Expense

The following table sets forth a comparison of our research and development expense for the periods presented as of March 31:

	First Quarter					
		% of		% of	Cha	nge
(\$ in thousands)	2009	revenues	2008	revenues	\$	%
Research and development						
expense	\$2,235	3%	\$1,816	3%	\$419	23%

Research and development expense mainly consist of costs associated with the Microsoft Dynamics AX project, in addition to costs associated with other new product development efforts. In January 2007, we entered into a strategic alliance with Microsoft Corporation to jointly develop core public sector functionality for Microsoft Dynamics AX to address the accounting needs of public sector organizations worldwide. In the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, we offset our research and development expense by \$857,000 and \$130,000, respectively, which were the amounts earned under the terms of our agreement with Microsoft. We amended this agreement in September 2008 to define the scope of reimbursable development through the balance of the project and now expect to offset research and development expense by approximately \$850,000 each quarter through the end of 2010. The actual amount and timing of future research and development costs and related reimbursements and whether they are capitalized or expensed may vary.

#### Amortization of Customer and Trade Name Intangibles

Acquisition intangibles are composed of the excess of the purchase price over the fair value of net tangible assets acquired that is allocated to acquired software and customer and trade name intangibles. The remaining excess purchase price is allocated to goodwill that is not subject to amortization. Amortization expense related to acquired software is included with cost of revenues while amortization expense of customer and trade name intangibles is recorded as a other operating expense. The following table sets forth a comparison of amortization of customer and trade name intangibles for the periods presented as of March 31:

	First (	Quarter	Cha	nge
(\$ in thousands)	2009	2008	\$	%
Amortization of customer and trade name intangibles	\$672	\$567	\$105	19%
	14			

#### Income Tax Provision

The following table sets forth comparison of our income tax provision for the periods presented as of March 31:

	First Q	Juarter	Char	nge
(\$ in thousands) Income tax provision	2009 \$3,955	2008 \$1,944	\$ \$2,011	% 103%
Effective income tax rate	39.7%	38.3%		

The effective income tax rates for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008 were different from the statutory United States federal income tax rate of 35% primarily due to state income taxes, non-deductible share-based compensation expense, the qualified manufacturing activities deduction, and non-deductible meals and entertainment costs. The effective tax rate for the three months ended March 31, 2009 was slightly higher than the prior year due to lower tax-free interest income.

#### FINANCIAL CONDITION AND LIQUIDITY

As of March 31, 2009, we had cash and cash equivalents (including restricted cash equivalents) of \$7.4 million and investments of \$3.7 million, compared to cash and cash equivalents (including restricted cash equivalents) of \$6.8 million and investments of \$4.6 million at December 31, 2008. As of March 31, 2009, we had outstanding borrowings of \$7.5 million and unused available borrowing capacity of \$17.5 million under our revolving line of credit. In addition, as of March 31, 2009, our bank had issued outstanding letters of credit totaling \$5.1 million to secure surety bonds required by some of our customer contracts. These letters of credit expire through early 2010 and have been collateralized by restricted cash balances.

The following table sets forth a summary of cash flows for the periods presented as of March 31:

Three Months Ended March 31,	2009	2008
Cash flows provided (used) by: Operating activities	\$ 12,206	\$ 17,768
Investing activities Financing activities	(2,089) (9,568)	17,865 (12,184)
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	\$ 549	\$ 23,449

Net cash provided by operating activities continues to be our primary source of funds to finance operating needs and capital expenditures. Other capital resources include cash on hand, public and private issuances of debt and equity securities, and bank borrowings. The capital and credit markets have become more volatile and tight as a result of adverse conditions that have caused the failure and near failure of a number of large financial services companies. It is possible that our ability to access the capital and credit markets may be limited by these or other factors. Notwithstanding the foregoing, at this time we believe that cash provided by operating activities, cash on hand and our revolving line of credit are sufficient to fund our working capital requirements, capital expenditures, income tax obligations, and share repurchases for the foreseeable future.

For the three months ended March 31, 2009, operating activities provided net cash of \$12.2 million, primarily generated from net income of \$6.0 million, non-cash depreciation and amortization charges of \$2.3 million, non-cash share-based compensation expense of \$1.1 million, and a net decrease in operating assets and liabilities of \$2.9 million. Net operating assets and liabilities declined mainly due to the collection of annual maintenance renewals that are billed near the end of December which were offset slightly by annual incentive payments and smaller customer deposits.

Our investments available-for-sale consist of auction rate municipal securities ( ARS ) which are collateralized debt obligations supported by municipal and state agencies and do not include mortgage-backed securities. All of our ARS

are reflected at estimated fair value in the balance sheet at March 31, 2009. In prior periods, due to the auction process which took place every 28 to 35 days for most ARS, quoted market prices were readily available, which would have qualified as Level 1 under Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 157, Fair Value Measurements. However, due to the current financial market crisis, the auction events for most of these securities have failed. Therefore, quoted prices in active markets are no longer available and we determined the estimated fair values of these securities as of March 31, 2009, utilizing a discounted trinomial model.

In association with this estimate of fair value, we have recorded an after tax temporary unrealized loss on our ARS of \$30,000, net of related tax effects of \$16,000 in the three months ended March 31, 2009, which is included in accumulated other comprehensive loss on our balance sheet. As of March 31, 2009, we have continued to earn and collect interest on all of our ARS. We believe that this temporary decline in fair value is due entirely to liquidity issues, because the underlying assets of these securities are supported by municipal and state agencies and do not include mortgage-backed securities, have redemption features which call for redemption at 100% of par value and have a current credit rating between A and AAA. The ratings on the ARS take into account credit support through insurance policies guaranteeing each of the bonds payment of principal and accrued interest, if it becomes necessary. In addition, we do not plan to sell any of the ARS prior to maturity at an amount below the original purchase value and, at this time, do not deem it probable that we will receive less than 100% of the principal and accrued interest. Based on our cash and cash equivalents balance of \$7.4 million and expected operating cash flows, we do not believe a lack of liquidity associated with our ARS will adversely affect our ability to conduct business, and believe we have the ability to hold the securities throughout the currently estimated recovery period. We have classified these securities as non-current because we believe the market for these securities may take in excess of twelve months to fully recover. We will continue to evaluate any changes in the market value of our ARS and in the future, depending upon existing market conditions, we may be required to record an other-than-temporary decline in market value. Our days sales outstanding ( DSO ) was 85 days at March 31, 2009 and 99 days at December 31, 2008. Our maintenance billing cycle is at one of its highest points in December, and the majority of the related cash payments are received in the first quarter of each year. As a result, our DSO decreased in the first quarter compared to the fourth quarter. DSO is calculated based on quarter-end accounts receivable divided by the quotient of annualized quarterly revenues divided by 360 days.

Investing activities used cash of \$2.1 million in the first three months of 2009 compared to \$17.9 million cash provided by investing activities for the same period in 2008. In connection with plans to consolidate workforces and support planned long-term growth, we paid \$1.5 million for construction of an office building in Lubbock, Texas and expect to pay an additional \$9.5 million in the next six to nine months to complete this construction. In the three months ended March 31, 2009, we liquidated \$775,000 of short-term investments in ARS for cash at par, and we completed the acquisition of PulseMark, LLC for \$525,000. In the comparable prior year period, we liquidated \$33.6 million of short-term investments in ARS for cash at par, and we completed the acquisitions of all of the capital stock of VersaTrans Solutions Inc. and certain assets of Olympia Computing Company, Inc. d/b/a Schoolmaster that expanded our presence in the education market. The combined purchase price, excluding cash acquired and including transaction costs, was approximately \$13.9 million in cash and approximately 126,000 shares of Tyler common stock valued at \$1.7 million. Capital expenditures and acquisitions were funded from cash generated from operations. Financing activities used cash of \$9.6 million, in the first three months of 2009 compared to \$12.2 million in the same period for 2008. Cash used in financing activities was primarily comprised of purchases of treasury shares, net of proceeds from stock option exercises and employee stock purchase plan activity.

During the three months ended March 31, 2009, we purchased 707,000 shares of our common stock for an aggregate purchase price of \$8.8 million. At March 31, 2009, we had authorization to repurchase up to 791,000 additional shares of Tyler common stock. A summary of the repurchase activity during the first quarter of 2009 is as follows:

	Total number of		Shares that may be
	shares	Average price paid	repurchased under
			current
Period	repurchased	per share	authorization
January 1 through January 31	266	\$ 11.93	1,232
February 1 through February 28	233	12.87	999
March 1 through March 31	208	12.79	791

Total first quarter707\$ 12.49The repurchase program, which was approved by our board of directors, was announced in October 2002, and was<br/>amended in April and July 2003, October 2004, October 2005, May 2007 and May and October 2008. There is no<br/>expiration date specified for the authorization and we intend to repurchase stock under the plan from time to time. Our<br/>bank credit agreement contains restrictions on the amount of common stock we may purchase.

We made federal and state income tax payments, net of refunds of \$1.2 million in both the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008.

Excluding acquisitions, we anticipate that 2009 capital spending will be between \$14.0 million and \$16.0 million. Approximately \$11.0 million of these expenditures will be incurred to complete the construction of an office building in Lubbock, Texas. The remainder of our 2009 expenditures are primarily related to computer equipment and software for infrastructure expansions. We currently do not expect to capitalize significant amounts related to software development in 2009, but the actual amount and timing of those costs, and whether they are capitalized or expensed may result in additional capitalized software development. Capital spending in 2009 is expected to be funded from existing cash balances, cash flows from operations and our revolving line of credit.

In April 2009, we completed the acquisition of all of the capital stock of Assessment Evaluation Services, Inc. (AES). The purchase price was \$1.1 million in cash and contingent consideration of up to \$500,000. AES develops integrated property appraisal solutions and specializes in applications that deal with the unique provisions of the California Revenue and Taxation Code.

From time to time we engage in discussions with potential acquisition candidates. In order to pursue such opportunities, which could require significant commitments of capital, we may be required to incur debt or to issue additional potentially dilutive securities in the future. No assurance can be given as to our future acquisitions and how they may be financed.

ITEM 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Market risk represents the risk of loss that may affect us due to adverse changes in financial market prices and interest rates. Our investments available-for-sale consist of auction rate municipal securities ( ARS ) which are collateralized debt obligations supported by municipal and state agencies and do not include mortgage-backed securities. All of our ARS are reflected at estimated fair value in the balance sheet at March 31, 2009. In prior periods, due to the auction process which took place every 28 to 35 days for most ARS, quoted market prices were readily available, which would have qualified as Level 1 under Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 157, Fair Value Measurements. However, due to the current financial market crisis, the auction events for most of these securities have failed. Therefore, quoted prices in active markets are no longer available and we determined the estimated fair values of these securities as of March 31, 2009, utilizing a discounted trinomial model.

In association with this estimate of fair value, we have recorded an after tax temporary unrealized loss on our ARS of \$30,000, net of related tax effects of \$16,000 in the three months ended March 31, 2009, which is included in accumulated other comprehensive loss on our balance sheet. As of March 31, 2009, we have continued to earn and collect interest on all of our ARS. We believe that this temporary decline in fair value is due entirely to liquidity issues, because the underlying assets of these securities are supported by municipal and state agencies and do not include mortgage-backed securities, have redemption features which call for redemption at 100% of par value and have a current credit rating between A and AAA. The ratings on the ARS take into account credit support through insurance policies guaranteeing each of the bonds payment of principal and accrued interest, if it becomes necessary. In addition, we do not plan to sell any of the ARS prior to maturity at an amount below the original purchase value and, at this time, do not deem it probable that we will receive less than 100% of the principal and accrued interest. Based on our cash and cash equivalents balance of \$7.4 million and expected operating cash flows, we do not believe a lack of liquidity associated with our ARS will adversely affect our ability to conduct business, and believe we have the ability to hold the securities throughout the currently estimated recovery period. We have classified these securities as non-current because we believe the market for these securities may take in excess of twelve months to fully recover. We will continue to evaluate any changes in the market value of our ARS and in the future, depending upon existing market conditions, we may be required to record an other-than-temporary decline in market value.

#### ITEM 4. Controls and Procedures

*Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures*. We maintain disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act) designed to provide reasonable assurance that the information required to be disclosed by us in the reports we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC s rules and forms. These include controls and procedures designed to ensure that this information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures. Management, with the participation of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on this evaluation the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of March 31, 2009.

*Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting.* During the first quarter of 2009 we began implementing a new software application which manages transactions from the point the contract is signed to the final billing stage. In March we began processing a limited number of transactions in this system. Pre-implementation testing was conducted by management to ensure that internal controls surrounding the implementation process and the application were properly designed to prevent material financial statement errors. Management has determined that the internal controls and procedures related to the financial reporting of revenue and cost of sales using this system are effective as of the period covered by this report.

Except as noted above, there were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) of the Exchange Act) during the three months ended March 31, 2009, that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

#### Part II. OTHER INFORMATION

#### ITEM 1. Legal Proceedings

On November 3, 2008, a putative collective action complaint was filed against us in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas on behalf of current and former customer support analysts, client liaisons, engineers, trainers, and education services specialists. The petition alleges that we misclassified these groups of employees as

exempt rather than non-exempt under the Fair Labor Standards Act and that we therefore, failed to properly pay overtime wages. The suit was initiated by six former employees working out of our Longview, Texas, office and seeks to recover damages in the form of lost overtime pay since October 31, 2005, liquidated damages equal to the amount of lost overtime pay, interest, costs, and attorneys fees. We intend to vigorously defend the action. Given the preliminary nature of the alleged claims and the inherent unpredictability of litigation, we cannot at this time estimate the possible outcome of any such action.

Other than ordinary course, routine litigation incidental to our business and except as described in this Quarterly Report, there are no material legal proceedings pending to which we are party or to which any of our properties are subject.

#### ITEM 1A. Risk Factors

In addition to the other information set forth in this report, one should carefully consider the discussion of various risks and uncertainties contained in Part I, Item 1A. Risk Factors in our 2008 Annual Report on Form 10-K. We believe those risk factors are the most relevant to our business and could cause our results to differ materially from the forward-looking statements made by us. Please note, however, that those are not the only risk factors facing us. Additional risks that we do not consider material, or of which we are not currently aware, may also have an adverse impact on us. Our business, financial condition and results of operations could be seriously harmed if any of these risks or uncertainties actually occurs or materializes. In that event, the market price for our common stock could decline, and our shareholders may lose all or part of their investment. During the first three months of 2009, there were no material changes in the information regarding risk factors contained in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

ITEM 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds None

ITEM 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None

ITEM 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

None

ITEM 5. Other Information

None

ITEM 6. Exhibits

Exhibit 31.1 Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

Exhibit 31.2 Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

Exhibit 32.1 Certifications Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

#### SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

TYLER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

By: /s/ Brian K. Miller Brian K. Miller Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (principal financial officer and an authorized signatory)

Date: April 27, 2009

19