UNITED FIRE & CASUALTY CO Form 10-Q November 09, 2009

# UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

#### **FORM 10-0**

Quarterly Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2009

Commission File Number 001-34257

# **UNITED FIRE & CASUALTY COMPANY** (Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Iowa (State of Incorporation)

42-0644327

(IRS Employer Identification No.)

118 Second Avenue, S.E., Cedar Rapids, Iowa 52407 (Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

Registrant s telephone number, including area code: (319) 399-5700

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports) and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

YES b NO o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

YES o NO o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definition of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer b Accelerated filero Non-accelerated filer o Smaller reporting company o Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act).

YES o NO b

As of November 4, 2009, 26,591,951 shares of common stock were outstanding.

# United Fire & Casualty Company and Subsidiaries Index to Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q September 30, 2009

	Page
Forward-Looking Information	2
Part I. Financial Information	
Item 1. Financial Statements	
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2009 (unaudited) and December 31, 2008	3
Consolidated Statements of Income (unaudited) for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2009 and 2008	4
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders Equity (unaudited) for the nine month periods ended September 30, 2009 and 2008	5
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (unaudited) for the nine month periods ended September 30, 2009 and 2008	6
Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements	7
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	26
Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	27
Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	40
Item 4. Controls and Procedures	41
Part II. Other Information	
Item 1. Legal Proceedings	41
Item 1A. Risk Factors	41
Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	41
Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities	42
Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	42
Item 5. Other Information	42
Item 6. Exhibits	42

# **Signatures and Certifications**

Exhibit 31.1 Exhibit 31.2 Exhibit 32.1 Exhibit 32.2

4

43

## **Table of Contents**

## FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION

It is important to note that our actual results could differ materially from those projected in our forward-looking statements. Information concerning factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those in the forward-looking statements is contained in Part I, Item 2 Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and Part II, Item 1A Risk Factors.

2

**Table of Contents** 

# PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

United Fire & Casualty Company and Subsidiaries Consolidated Balance Sheets

(In Thousands, Except Per Share Data and Number of Shares)  ASSETS Investments Fixed maturities (including \$86,698, at fair value, pledged as collateral for securities lending in 2009)	-	otember 30, 2009 naudited)	De	ecember 31, 2008
Held-to-maturity, at amortized cost (fair value \$12,035 in 2009 and \$15,146 in 2008)  Available-for-sale, at fair value (amortized cost \$2,027,937 in 2009 and \$1,942,466 in 2008)  Equity securities, at fair value (cost \$52,875 in 2009 and \$66,246 in 2008)  Trading securities, at fair value (amortized cost \$10,259 in 2009 and \$8,713 in 2008)  Mortgage loans  Policy loans  Other long-term investments  Short-term investments	\$	11,906 2,123,951 126,374 10,994 7,455 7,977 14,276 6,519	\$	15,177 1,898,569 120,985 8,055 7,821 7,808 11,216 26,142
Cash and cash equivalents Accrued investment income Securities lending collateral Premiums receivable Deferred policy acquisition costs Property and equipment (primarily land and buildings, at cost, less accumulated depreciation of \$30,150 in 2009 and \$27,994 in 2008) Reinsurance receivables and recoverables Prepaid reinsurance premiums Income taxes receivable Deferred income taxes Other assets  TOTAL ASSETS	\$ \$	2,309,452 176,324 29,398 89,035 145,986 95,980 20,400 56,826 1,854 24,796 20,765 2,970,816	\$ \$ \$	2,095,773 109,582 27,849 134,295 158,265 15,275 60,275 1,559 26,974 8,297 48,986 2,687,130
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY Liabilities Future policy benefits and losses, claims and loss settlement expenses Property and casualty insurance Life insurance Unearned premiums Securities lending payable	\$	597,021 1,289,719 225,836 89,035	\$	586,109 1,167,665 216,966

6

Edgar Filing: UNITED FIRE & CASUALTY CO - Form 10-Q

Accrued expenses and other liabilities Deferred income taxes	73,727 14,686	74,649
TOTAL LIABILITIES	\$ 2,290,024	\$ 2,045,389
Stockholders Equity Common stock, \$3.33 1/3 par value; authorized 75,000,000 shares; 26,591,951 and 26,624,086 shares issued and outstanding in 2009 and 2008,		
respectively Additional paid-in capital Retained earnings Accumulated other comprehensive income, net of tax	\$ 88,640 139,807 386,443 65,902	\$ 88,747 138,511 410,634 3,849
TOTAL STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY	\$ 680,792	\$ 641,741
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY	\$ 2,970,816	\$ 2,687,130

The Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of these statements.

## **Table of Contents**

United Fire & Casualty Company and Subsidiaries Consolidated Statements of Income (Unaudited)

(In Thousands, Except Per Share Data and Number of Shares)	Three Months Ended September 30, 2009 2008			r 30,	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009 2008		
Revenues Net premiums earned Investment income, net of investment expenses Realized investment gains (losses) Other income	\$	120,759 27,786 1,925 231	\$	128,017 25,192 (4,154) 326	\$	358,751       \$ 374,234         78,416       81,091         (14,716)       (4,364)         559       709	
	\$	150,701	\$	149,381	\$	<b>423,010</b> \$ 451,670	
Benefits, Losses and Expenses Losses and loss settlement expenses Future policy benefits Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs Other underwriting expenses Disaster charges and other related expenses, net of recoveries Interest on policyholders accounts	\$	115,167 6,101 29,015 9,170 (793) 10,630		120,267 6,696 32,481 7,810 484 9,844		291,803       \$ 288,456         15,363       17,902         87,216       97,036         27,626       20,298         (1,339)       4,237         30,799       30,507	
	\$	169,290	\$	177,582	\$	<b>451,468</b> \$ 458,436	
Loss before income taxes Federal income tax benefit	\$	(18,589) (8,433)	\$	(28,201) (11,375)	\$	(28,458) \$ (6,766) (16,238) (8,544)	
Net Income (Loss)	\$	(10,156)	\$	(16,826)	\$	<b>(12,220)</b> \$ 1,778	
Weighted average common shares outstanding Basic earnings (loss) per common share Diluted earnings (loss) per common share Cash dividends declared per common share	\$ \$ \$	26,591,951 (0.38) (0.38) 0.15	\$ \$	26,807,442 (0.63) (0.63) 0.15	<b>\$</b> <b>\$</b>	<b>26,598,957</b> 27,049,564 ( <b>0.46</b> ) \$ 0.07 ( <b>0.46</b> ) \$ 0.07 <b>0.45</b> \$ 0.45	

The Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of these statements.

# United Fire & Casualty Company and Subsidiaries Consolidated Statements of Stockholders Equity (Unaudited)

	Nine Months Ended Septer 30,			eptember
(In Thousands, Except Per Share Data and Number of Shares)		2009	,	2008
Common stock Balance, beginning of year Shares repurchased (33,300 in 2009 and 423,992 in 2008) Shares issued for stock-based awards (1,165 in 2009 and 7,850 in 2008)	\$	88,747 (111) 4	\$	90,653 (1,413) 27
Balance, end of period	\$	88,640	\$	89,267
Additional paid-in capital Balance, beginning of year Compensation expense and related tax benefit for stock-based award grants Shares repurchased Shares issued for stock-based awards	\$	138,511 1,708 (427) 15	\$	149,511 1,117 (10,525) 126
Balance, end of period	\$	139,807	\$	140,229
Retained earnings Balance, beginning of year Net income (loss) Dividends on common stock (\$0.45 per share in 2009 and 2008)	\$	410,634 (12,220) (11,971)	\$	439,860 1,778 (12,168)
Balance, end of period	\$	386,443	\$	429,470
Accumulated other comprehensive income, net of tax Balance, beginning of year Change in net unrealized appreciation (1) Change in underfunded status of employee benefit plans (2)	\$	3,849 60,868 1,185	\$	71,473 (46,862) 615
Balance, end of period	\$	65,902	\$	25,226
Summary of changes Balance, beginning of year Net income (loss) All other changes in stockholders equity accounts	\$	641,741 (12,220) 51,271	\$	751,497 1,778 (69,083)
Balance, end of period	\$	680,792	\$	684,192

(1)

The change in net unrealized appreciation is net of reclassification adjustments and income taxes.

(2) The recognition of the underfunded status of employee benefit plans is net of income taxes.

The Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of these statements.

5

# United Fire & Casualty Company and Subsidiaries Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited)

(In Thousands)	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009 2008						
Cash Flows From Operating Activities		2009		2000			
Net income (loss)	\$	(12,220)	\$	1,778			
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by	Ψ	(12,220)	Ψ	1,770			
operating activities							
		2 220		2 506			
Net bond premium amortization		2,229		2,506			
Depreciation and amortization		2,695		2,636			
Stock-based compensation expense		1,688		1,302			
Realized investment losses		14,716		4,364			
Net cash flows from trading investments		(1,374)		2,990			
Deferred income tax benefit		(10,180)		(7,291)			
Changes in:							
Accrued investment income		(1,549)		(1,570)			
Premiums receivable		(11,691)		(27,126)			
Deferred policy acquisition costs		(2,521)		503			
Reinsurance receivables		3,449		(2,246)			
Prepaid reinsurance premiums		(295)		920			
Income taxes receivable (payable)		2,178		(12,639)			
Other assets		28,221		(31,201)			
Future policy benefits and losses, claims and loss settlement expenses		26,367		82,548			
Unearned premiums		8,870		9,365			
Accrued expenses and other liabilities		901		(682)			
Deferred income taxes		(317)		436			
Other, net		648		4,626			
Other, net		040		4,020			
Total adjustments	\$	64,035	\$	29,441			
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$	51,815	\$	31,219			
Cash Flows From Investing Activities							
Proceeds from sale of available-for-sale investments	\$	11,420	\$	3,561			
Proceeds from call and maturity of held-to-maturity investments		3,291		11,397			
Proceeds from call and maturity of available-for-sale investments		246,178		267,017			
Proceeds from short-term and other investments		28,681		89,158			
Changes in securities lending collateral		(89,035)		(42,628)			
Purchase of available-for-sale investments		(349,661)		(412,960)			
Purchase of short-term and other investments		(11,254)		(57,691)			
Net purchases and sales of property and equipment		(7,857)		(1,127)			
Net cash used in investing activities	\$	(168,237)	\$	(143,273)			
Cash Flows From Financing Activities							
Policyholders account balances							
Deposits to investment and universal life contracts	\$	220,377	\$	152,901			
Withdrawals from investment and universal life contracts		(113,778)		(193,323)			

Edgar Filing: UNITED FIRE & CASUALTY CO - Form 10-Q

Payment of cash dividends	(11,971)	(12,168)
Changes in securities lending payable	89,035	42,628
Repurchase of common stock	(538)	(11,938)
Proceeds from exercise of stock options		138
Issuance of common stock	19	
Tax benefit from issuance of common stock	20	42
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	\$ 183,164	\$ (21,720)
Net Change in Cash and Cash Equivalents Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Period	\$ 66,742 109,582	\$ (133,774) 252,565
Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Period	\$ 176,324	\$ 118,791

The Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of these statements.

## **Table of Contents**

United Fire & Casualty Company and Subsidiaries

Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements

## NOTE 1. NATURE OF OPERATIONS AND BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The terms United Fire, we, us, or our refer to United Fire & Casualty Company or United Fire & Casualty Company and its consolidated subsidiaries and affiliate, as the context requires. In the opinion of the management of United Fire, the accompanying unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements contain all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring adjustments) necessary to present fairly the financial position, results of operations and cash flows for the periods presented. The results reported for the interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the results of operations that may be expected for the year. The unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements should be read in conjunction with our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008. The review report of Ernst & Young LLP as of and for the three- and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2009, accompanies the unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 1 of Part I.

We maintain our records in conformity with the accounting practices prescribed or permitted by the insurance departments of the states in which we are domiciled. To the extent that certain of these practices differ from U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ( GAAP ), we have made adjustments to present the accompanying unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements in conformity with GAAP.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. The financial statement categories that are most dependent on management estimates and assumptions include investments, deferred policy acquisition costs, and future policy benefits and losses, claims and loss settlement expenses.

Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation.

## **Cash and Cash Equivalents**

For purposes of reporting cash flows, cash and cash equivalents include cash, money market accounts and non-negotiable certificates of deposit with original maturities of three months or less. We made payments for income taxes of \$2.3 million and \$13.8 million for the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. We received tax refunds totaling \$10.3 million and \$2.9 million for the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively, due to overpayment of prior year tax and operating loss carrybacks. We made no significant payments of interest for the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, other than interest credited to policyholders accounts.

## **Income Taxes**

We reported a federal income tax benefit of \$16.2 million and \$8.5 million for the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Our effective tax rate differs from the federal statutory rate of 35.0 percent due principally to the effect of tax-exempt municipal bond interest income and non-taxable dividend income.

We file income tax returns in the U.S. federal jurisdiction and various state jurisdictions. We are no longer subject to U.S. federal income tax examination by tax authorities for years before 2004 and state income tax examination for years before 2003. There are no ongoing examinations of income tax returns by federal or state tax authorities.

7

## **Table of Contents**

#### **Legal Proceedings**

We have been named as a defendant in various lawsuits, including actions seeking certification from the court to proceed as a class action suit and actions filed by individual policyholders, relating to disputes arising from damages that occurred as a result of Hurricane Katrina in 2005. As of September 30, 2009, there were approximately 250 individual policyholder cases pending, and an additional eight class action cases pending. These cases have been filed in Louisiana state courts and federal district courts and involve, among other claims, disputes as to the amount of reimbursable claims in particular cases, as well as the scope of insurance coverage under homeowners and commercial property policies due to flooding, civil authority actions, loss of use and business interruption. Certain of these cases also claim a breach of duty of good faith or violations of Louisiana insurance claims-handling laws or regulations and involve claims for punitive or exemplary damages. Other cases claim that under Louisiana so-called Valued Policy Law, the insurers must pay the total insured value of a home that is totally destroyed if any portion of such damage was caused by a covered peril, even if the principal cause of the loss was an excluded peril. Other cases challenge the scope or enforceability of the water damage exclusion in the policies.

Several actions pending against various insurers, including us, were consolidated for purposes of pretrial discovery and motion practice under the caption In re Katrina Canal Breaches Consolidated Litigation, Civil Action No. 05-4182 in the United States District Court, Eastern District of Louisiana. In August 2009, the Federal trial court ruled that certification of policyholder claims as a class would be inappropriate. This ruling has been appealed by the plaintiff policyholders. Lafayette Insurance Company, as a Louisiana domiciled insurance company, is subject to jurisdiction in state court, and this ruling will not be determinative of class certification decisions in those state court suits seeking class certification.

In light of an April 2008 Louisiana Supreme Court decision finding that flood damage was clearly excluded from coverage, state and federal courts have been reviewing the pending lawsuits seeking class certification and other pending lawsuits in order to expedite pre-trial discovery and to move the cases towards trial. In the nine-months ended September 30, 2009 we have proceeded to trial or otherwise resolved approximately 170 of these lawsuits, which were pending at December 31, 2008.

In June 2008, a commercial policyholder was awarded approximately \$21.0 million in additional Hurricane Katrina damages by a Federal Court jury sitting in New Orleans. The claims associated with this litigation represent what we consider to be our single largest exposure as a result of that hurricane. Our appeal of this decision was decided in August 2009 in favor of the policyholder. We paid the policyholder a total of \$28.9 million, which included additional interest and penalties awarded by the appellate court and an unsettled claim that was not included in the original lawsuit, in final settlement of this litigation in August 2009. Of the amount paid to the policyholder, \$10.8 million, net of reinsurance, was incurred in 2008 in response to the initial verdict, and \$6.7 million, net of reinsurance, was incurred in 2009. We have subsequently resolved all issues with this policyholder and we will be obtaining a satisfaction of the judgment.

In July 2008, Lafayette Insurance Company participated in a hearing in St Bernard Parish, Louisiana after which the court entered an order certifying a class defined as all Lafayette Insurance Company personal lines policyholders within an eight parish area in and around New Orleans who sustained wind damage as a result of Hurricane Katrina and whose claim was at least partially denied or allegedly misadjusted. We appealed this order as we feel it was not supported by the evidence. On October 14, 2009, we were notified that our appeal to the Louisiana 4th Circuit Court of Appeals was denied. We have filed for reconsideration with the appeals court and are likely to seek appeal to the Louisiana Supreme Court. We have reserved each case based on the estimated exposure attributable to our policy. However, in the event that our reconsideration is denied, we may increase reserves.

We intend to continue to defend the cases related to losses incurred as a consequence of Hurricane Katrina. We have established our loss and loss settlement expense reserves on the assumption that the application of the Valued Policy Law will not result in our having to pay damages for perils not otherwise covered. We believe that, in the aggregate, these reserves are adequate. However, our evaluation of these claims and the adequacy of recorded reserves may change if we encounter adverse developments in the further defense of these claims. We consider all of our other litigation pending as of September 30, 2009 to be ordinary, routine, and incidental to our business.

## **Table of Contents**

#### **Securities Lending**

We participate in a securities lending program administered by The Northern Trust Company (Northern Trust), which generates investment income and discounts other investment fees we are charged by Northern Trust. Pursuant to the lending agreement, certain of our fixed maturity securities are loaned to other institutions for short periods of time. Borrowers of these securities must deposit collateral, which is generally in the form of cash, with Northern Trust, as our agent, that is equal to at least 102% of the market value of the loaned securities plus accrued interest. The value of the loaned securities is marked to market daily by Northern Trust at an aggregate level per borrower. As the market value of the loaned securities fluctuates, the borrower either deposits additional collateral or Northern Trust refunds collateral to the borrower in order to maintain the collateral level at 102%. We retain the right to terminate the loan at any time, whereupon the borrower must return the loaned securities to Northern Trust. If the borrower defaults, Northern Trust will use the deposited collateral to purchase equivalent securities for us. However, we would receive the deposited collateral in place of the borrowed securities if Northern Trust is unable to purchase equivalent securities. Under the accounting guidance for secured borrowing transactions, the collateral deposited by the borrower and our obligation to return that collateral to the borrower is reported in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets as an asset (securities lending collateral) and a corresponding liability (securities lending payable) at September 30, 2009. There were no securities on loan under the program at December 31, 2008.

## **Recently Issued Accounting Standards**

## Adopted Accounting Standards

**Business Combinations** 

In December 2007, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued revised guidance on accounting for business combinations, which addresses the manner in which an acquirer recognizes and measures in its financial statements the identifiable assets acquired, the liabilities assumed and any noncontrolling interest in the acquiree. In addition, the revised guidance provides instruction on the recognition and measurement of goodwill, as well as instruction specific to the recognition, classification, and measurement of assets and liabilities related to insurance and reinsurance contracts acquired in a business combination. We will apply the revised guidance prospectively to business combinations occurring on or after January 1, 2009. The adoption of the revised guidance did not have any impact on the amounts reported in our Consolidated Financial Statements. *Subsequent Events* 

In May 2009, the FASB issued accounting guidance on evaluating subsequent events, which establishes general standards for the accounting and disclosure of events that occur after the balance sheet date but before financial statements are issued. The guidance is effective for interim or annual periods ending after June 15, 2009. We have evaluated all events or transactions that occurred after September 30, 2009 through October 30, 2009, the date on which our interim Consolidated Financial Statements are filed, for potential recognition and/or disclosure in our Consolidated Financial Statements. We did not identify any material subsequent events during this period. *Fair Value Measurements* 

In April 2009, the FASB issued interpretative guidance on determining fair value when the volume and level of activity for the asset or liability have significantly decreased and identifying transactions that are not orderly. Under the guidance, transactions or quoted prices may not accurately reflect fair value if an entity determines that there has been a significant decrease in the volume and level of activity for the asset or the liability in relation to the normal market activity for the asset or liability (or similar assets or liabilities). In addition, if there is evidence that the transaction for the asset or liability is not orderly, the entity shall place little, if any weight on that transaction price as an indicator of fair value. The guidance is effective for periods ending after June 15, 2009. The adoption of the guidance did not have any impact on the amounts reported in our Consolidated Financial Statements.

9

#### **Table of Contents**

In April 2009, the FASB issued an amendment to existing accounting guidance to require disclosure on the fair value of financial instruments in all interim financial statements. The amended guidance is effective for interim periods ending after June 15, 2009. The guidance did not have any impact on our financial position or results of operations. *Other-Than-Temporary Impairment of Investments* 

In April 2009, the FASB issued accounting guidance on the recognition and presentation of other-than-temporary impairments (OTTI) for fixed maturity securities that are classified as available-for-sale and held-to-maturity. The guidance replaces the current requirement that an entity have the positive intent and ability to hold an impaired security until recovery of its amortized cost basis in order to conclude an impairment was not other-than-temporary. The guidance adds the requirement that management not intend to sell the impaired security and that it is more likely than not it will not be required to sell the impaired security before the recovery of its amortized cost basis. If management concludes a security is other-than-temporarily impaired, the guidance requires that the difference between the fair value and the amortized cost of the security be presented as an OTTI realized loss with an offset for any noncredit-related loss component of the OTTI realized loss to be recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income. Accordingly, only the credit loss component will have an impact on earnings for the reporting period. We recorded no OTTI realized losses for the three-month period ended September 30, 2009.

The guidance also requires extensive disclosures for both fixed maturity securities and equity securities on an interim and annual basis to provide further disaggregated information as well as information about how the credit loss component of the OTTI realized loss was determined along with a roll forward of such amount for each reporting period. The guidance is effective for interim and annual periods ending after June 15, 2009. The adoption of the guidance did not have any impact on our financial position or results of operations.

## **Pending Accounting Standards**

Employers Disclosures about Postretirement Benefit Plan Assets

In December 2008, the FASB issued accounting guidance that requires an employer to provide certain disclosures about the assets held by its defined benefit pension or other postretirement benefit plans. The required disclosures include the investment policies and strategies of the plans, the fair value of the major categories of plan assets, the inputs and valuation techniques used to develop fair value measurements and a description of significant concentrations of risk in plan assets. The guidance is effective for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2009. We do not expect the adoption of the guidance to have a material impact on our Consolidated Financial Statements.

10

## **NOTE 2. SUMMARY OF INVESTMENTS**

A reconciliation of the amortized cost (cost for equity securities) to fair value of investments in held-to-maturity and available-for-sale fixed maturity and equity securities as of September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, is as follows:

September 30, 2009	Cost or Amortized Cost		(Dollars in 'Gross Unrealized Appreciation		Thousands) Gross Unrealized Depreciation		Fa	uir Value
HELD-TO-MATURITY			<b>r</b> )		r			
Fixed maturities								
Bonds								
United States government Collateralized mortgage obligations	\$	1,190	\$	33	\$		\$	1,223
Mortgage-backed securities	Ψ	554	Ψ	68	Ψ		Ψ	622
States, municipalities and political								
subdivisions						_		
General obligations		1,803		26 170		7		1,822
Special revenue		8,359		170		161		8,368
Total Held-to-Maturity Fixed Maturities	\$	11,906	\$	297	\$	168	\$	12,035
AVAILABLE-FOR-S ALE								
Fixed maturities								
Bonds								
United States government								
Collateralized mortgage obligations	\$	17,390	\$	1,684	\$		\$	19,074
Mortgage-backed securities		2 22 221		<b>525</b>		10		20.555
US Treasury		27,831		737 170		13 38		28,555
Agency States, municipalities and political		28,709		1/0		30		28,841
subdivisions								
General obligations		372,714		29,486		50		402,150
Special revenue		221,022		14,072		650		234,444
Foreign bonds		,		,				,
Canadian		54,814		2,599		173		57,240
Other foreign		66,760		3,363		99		70,024
Public utilities								
Electric		215,968		12,346		216		228,098
Natural gas		55,039		3,026				58,065
Other Corporate bonds		3,675		295				3,970
Bank, trust and insurance companies		300,461		8,534		12,227		296,768
Transportation		30,453		1,850		22		32,281
Energy		134,063		6,357		154		140,266
Technology		91,583		5,253		65		96,771
Basic industry		104,071		4,524		284		108,311
Credit cyclicals		69,379		2,707		153		71,933
Other		234,003		14,166		1,011		247,158

Edgar Filing: UNITED FIRE & CASUALTY CO - Form 10-Q

Total Available-For-S ale Fixed Maturities	\$ 2	2,027,937	\$ 111,169	\$ 15,155	\$ 2	2,123,951
Equity securities						
Common stocks						
Public utilities						
Electric	\$	6,646	\$ 3,014	\$ 366	\$	9,294
Natural gas		838	626			1,464
Bank, trust and insurance companies						
Banks		6,684	29,155	252		35,587
Insurance		3,129	9,099	65		12,163
Other		18	380			398
All other common stocks						
Transportation		38	1,252			1,290
Energy		4,903	4,193	39		9,057
Technology		8,407	5,133	441		13,099
Basic industry		7,156	6,131	97		13,190
Credit cyclicals		1,402	1,421			2,823
Other		12,193	14,922	34		27,081
Nonredeemable preferred stocks		1,461		533		928
Total Available-for-S ale Equity Securities	\$	52,875	\$ 75,326	\$ 1,827	\$	126,374
Total Available-for-S ale Securities	\$ 2	,080,812	\$ 186,495	\$ 16,982	\$ 2	2,250,325

11

## **Table of Contents**

	(Dollars in Thousands)							
		_		Gross		Gross		
		Cost or nortized	Unrealized		Unrealized			
December 31, 2008	Al	Cost	Δr	preciation	Dei	preciation	Fa	ir Value
HELD-TO-MATURITY		Cost	7 <b>1</b> F	preciation	DC	preciation	10	iii varae
Fixed maturities								
Bonds								
United States government								
Collateralized mortgage obligations	\$	1,900	\$	51	\$		\$	1,951
Mortgage-backed securities		641		58				699
States, municipalities and political								
subdivisions		2 201		0.7				2.216
General obligations		2,281		35		270		2,316
Special revenue		9,290		208		270		9,228
All other corporate bonds		1,065				113		952
<b>Total Held-to-Maturity Fixed Maturities</b>	\$	15,177	\$	352	\$	383	\$	15,146
AVAILABLE-FOR-SALE								
Fixed maturities								
Bonds								
United States government								
Collateralized mortgage obligations	\$	17,368	\$	1,023	\$		\$	18,391
Mortgage-backed securities		3		1.050				3
US Treasury		25,333		1,258		222		26,591
Agency		99,814		269		233		99,850
States, municipalities and political subdivisions								
General obligations		367,370		10,122		821		376,671
Special revenue		225,069		4,538		2,491		227,116
Foreign bonds		220,000		1,230		2, . > 1		227,110
Canadian		33,046		349		2,245		31,150
Other foreign		47,363		525		1,335		46,553
Public utilities								
Electric		201,847		1,386		6,798		196,435
Natural gas		54,327		587		731		54,183
Other		4,213		484		2		4,695
Corporate bonds								
Bank, trust and insurance companies		318,964		1,209		28,818		291,355
Transportation		29,291		205		486		29,010
Energy		90,211		1,017		2,362		88,866
Technology		73,707		1,205		2,388		72,524
Basic industry Credit cyclicals		85,517 56,979		519 368		3,519 5,394		82,517 51,953
Other		212,044		2,861		3,39 <del>4</del> 14,199		200,706
omei		212,0 <del>11</del>		2,001		17,177		200,700
Total Available-For-Sale Fixed Maturities	\$ 1	,942,466	\$	27,925	\$	71,822	\$ 1	,898,569

Equity securities						
Common stocks						
Public utilities						
Electric	\$	7,681	\$ 2,501	\$ 376	\$	9,806
Natural gas		838	552			1,390
Other		2	2			4
Bank, trust and insurance companies						
Banks		7,166	28,274			35,440
Insurance		4,234	9,802	35		14,001
Other		139	335			474
All other common stocks						
Transportation		189	1,185			1,374
Energy		5,057	3,640	1,067		7,630
Technology		8,706	2,991	750		10,947
Basic industry		11,674	1,775	3,055		10,394
Credit cyclicals		5,959	388	2,372		3,975
Other		13,140	13,671	1,946		24,865
Nonredeemable preferred stocks		1,461		776		685
Total Available-for-Sale Equity Securities	\$	66,246	\$ 65,116	\$ 10,377	\$	120,985
Total Available-for-Sale Securities	\$ 2	,008,712	\$ 93,041	\$ 82,199	\$ 2	2,019,554

12

#### **Table of Contents**

The amortized cost and fair value of held-to-maturity, available-for-sale and trading securities at September 30, 2009, by contractual maturity, are shown in the following table. Actual maturities may differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties. Mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations may be subject to prepayment risk and are therefore not categorized by contractual maturity.

	Held-To	-Maturity	Available	e-For-Sale	Trading			
(Dollars in Thousands)	Amortized	Fair	Amortized	Fair	Amortized	Fair		
September 30, 2009	Cost	Value	Cost	Value	Cost	Value		
Due in one year or less	\$ 713	\$ 721	\$ 170,693	\$ 172,015	\$ 610	\$ 849		
Due after one year through five								
years	6,203	6,157	1,032,234	1,080,595	3,787	4,051		
Due after five years through 10								
years	3,246	3,312	678,255	715,112				
Due after 10 years			129,363	137,153	5,862	6,094		
Mortgage-backed securities	554	622	2	2				
Collateralized mortgage								
obligations	1,190	1,223	17,390	19,074				
	\$ 11,906	\$ 12,035	\$ 2,027,937	\$ 2,123,951	\$ 10,259	\$ 10,994		

For the three- and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, the proceeds and gross realized gains (losses) on the sale of available-for-sale fixed maturities were as follows:

	Three Months Ended September 30,				Nine Months Ended				
					September 30,				
(In Thousands)	2009	<b>2009</b> 2008			2009	2008			
Proceeds from sales	\$	\$	5,206	\$	8,049	\$	5,206		
Gross realized gains (losses)			4		(80)		4		

There were no sales of held-to-maturity securities during the three- and nine-months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008.

Our investment portfolio includes trading securities with embedded derivatives. These securities, which are primarily convertible redeemable preferred debt securities, are recorded at fair value. Income or loss, including the change in the fair value of these trading securities, is recognized currently in earnings as a component of realized investment gains and losses. Our portfolio of trading securities had a fair value of \$11.0 million at September 30, 2009 and \$8.1 million at December 31, 2008.

For the three- and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, the additional gross realized gains (losses) attributable to the change in fair value of trading securities still held at September 30, 2009 and 2008 were as follows:

	Three Months Ended September 30,					ded		
					September 30,			
(In Thousands)	2009		<b>2009</b> 2008		2009		2008	
Additional gross realized gains (losses)	\$	579	\$	(686)	\$	1,394	\$	(1,099)

## **Table of Contents**

The cost of investments sold is determined by the specific identification method. A summary of net realized investment gains (losses) resulting from sales, calls and other-than-temporary impairments, is as follows:

		Three Months Ended September 30,				Nine Months Ended September 30,				
(In Thousands)	2009		2008		2009		2008			
Net realized investment gains (losses)										
Fixed maturities	\$	233	\$	(5,808)	\$	(5,268)	\$	(7,330)		
Equity securities		662		1,925		(11,320)		2,611		
Trading securities		698		(271)		1,537		355		
Other long-term investments		332				332				
Short-term investments						3				
Total realized investment gains (losses)	\$	1,925	\$	(4,154)	\$	(14,716)	\$	(4,364)		

A summary of net changes in unrealized investment appreciation, less applicable income taxes, is as follows:

	Nine Months Ended September 30,						
(In Thousands)		2008					
Net changes in unrealized investment appreciation							
Available-for-sale fixed maturities and equity securities	\$	158,671	\$	(102,492)			
Deferred policy acquisition costs		(64,806)		30,392			
Income tax effect		(32,997)		25,238			
Change in net unrealized appreciation	\$	60,868	\$	(46,862)			

We continually monitor the difference between our cost basis and the estimated fair value of our investments. Our accounting policy for impairment recognition requires other-than-temporary impairment charges to be recorded when we determine that it is more likely than not that we will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the fixed maturity security or that the anticipated recovery in fair value of the equity security will not occur in a reasonable amount of time. Impairment charges on investments are recorded based on the fair value of the investments at the measurement date. Factors considered in evaluating whether a decline in value is other-than-temporary include: the length of time and the extent to which fair value has been less than cost; the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer; our intention to hold the investment; and the likelihood that we will be required sell the investment.

The tables on the following pages present a summary of fixed maturity and equity securities that were in an unrealized loss position at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008. It is possible that we could recognize other-than-temporary impairment charges in future periods on securities that we held at September 30, 2009, if future events or information cause us to determine that a decline in fair value is other-than-temporary.

We believe the deterioration in value of our fixed maturity portfolio is primarily attributable to changes in market interest rates and not the credit quality of the issuer. We have no intent to sell and it is more likely than not that we will not be required to sell the securities until such time as the value recovers or the securities mature.

We attribute the deterioration in value of our equity security portfolio to the current economic conditions that resulted in market volatility in the first nine months of 2009 and not to an explicit matter impacting the financial position of the underlying companies in which we are invested. We have evaluated the unrealized losses reported for all of our equity securities at September 30, 2009, and have concluded that the duration and severity of these losses do not warrant the recognition of an other-than-temporary impairment charge at September 30, 2009. Specifically, the unrealized losses reported for individual securities that have been in an unrealized loss position for greater than 12 months has fluctuated significantly during 2009. Our largest unrealized loss greater than 12 months on an individual equity

security at September 30, 2009 was \$.3 million. We have no intention to sell any of these securities prior to a recovery in value, but will continue to monitor the fair value reported for these securities as part of our overall process to evaluate investments for other-than-temporary impairment recognition.

14

## **Table of Contents**

(Dollars in Thousands)	Less	s than 12	2 months Gross	12	months o	r longer Gross	Т	Total Gross		
September 30, 2009	Number of	Fair	Unrealized N	Number of	Fair	Unrealized	Fair	Unrealized		
Type of Investment  HELD-TO-MATURITY  Fixed maturities  Bonds  States, municipalities and	Issues	Value	Depreciation		Value	Depreciation	Value	Depreciation		
political subdivisions General obligations Special revenue	1	297	7	1	675	161	297 675			
Total Held-to-Maturity Fixed Maturities	1	297	7	1	675	161	972	168		
AVAILABLE-FOR-S ALE Fixed maturities Bonds United States government										
US Treasury Agency States, municipalities and political subdivisions	1	2,469	13	1	4,963	38	2,469 4,963			
General obligations	1	230	1	3	2,173	49	2,403	50		
Special revenue	6	9,371	206	6	5,583	444	14,954	650		
Foreign bonds										
Canadian	1	2,020		2	5,626		7,646			
Other foreign	2	2,049	52	2	6,908	47	8,957	99		
Public utilities	4	1 061	160	2	4 200	5.0	0.254	216		
Electric Corporate bonds	4	4,864	100	2	4,390	56	9,254	216		
Bank, trust and insurance	29	35,675	2,255	40	86,557	9,972	122,232	12,227		
Transportation	1	1,994	•	40	00,557	7,712	1,994	,		
Energy	2	4,051		3	8,802	78	12,853			
Technology		,		2	5,809		5,809			
Basic industry	2	4,806	194	5	12,278		17,084			
Credit cyclicals	3	5,914	150	1	2,145	3	8,059	153		
Other	1	1,559	21	9	18,130	990	19,689	1,011		
Total Available-For-S ale Fixed Maturities	53	75,002	3,160	76	163,364	11,995	238,366	15,155		
Equity securities Common stocks										
Public utilities										
Electric	4	1,120	131	8	850	235	1,970	366		

Edgar Filing: UNITED FIRE & CASUALTY CO - Form 10-Q

Bank, trust and insurance								
companies								
Banks	1	443	112	1	153	140	596	252
Insurance	2	335	10	3	401	55	736	65
All other common stock								
Energy	2	174	39				174	39
Technology	5	1,869	167	4	832	274	2,701	441
Basic industry				2	164	97	164	97
Other	2	1,484	16	3	260	18	1,744	34
Nonredeemable preferred stocks				5	929	533	929	533
Total Available-for-Sale								
<b>Equity Securities</b>	16	5,425	475	26	3,589	1,352	9,014	1,827
Total Available-for-Sale								
Securities	69	80,427	3,635	102	166,953	13,347	247,380	16,982
Total	70	80,724	3,642	103	167,628	13,508	248,352	17,150

15

## **Table of Contents**

(Dollars in Thousands)	Les	s than 12	months Gross	12	months o	or longer Gross	Total Gross		
December 31, 2008	Number of	Fair	UnrealizedN	umber	Fair	Unrealized	Fair	Unrealized	
Type of Investment HELD-TO-MATURITY Fixed maturities Bonds States, municipalities and	Issues	Value	Depreciation		Value	Depreciation	Value	Depreciation	
political subdivisions Special revenue Corporate bonds All other corporate bonds				1	704	270	704	270	
Other	6	952	113				952	113	
Total Held-to-Maturity Fixed Maturities	6	952	113	1	704	270	1,656	383	
AVAILABLE-FOR-SALE Fixed maturities Bonds United States government									
Agency States, municipalities and political subdivisions	3	11,906	178	1	8,072	2 55	19,978	233	
General obligations Special revenue Foreign bonds	32 43	27,912 46,904		10 15	7,432 14,581		35,344 61,485		
Canadian Other foreign Public utilities	9 9	26,402 30,076		1	2,797	930	29,199 30,076	·	
Electric Natural gas Other	33 8 1	112,462 25,533 356	731	6	24,123	3 2,423	136,585 25,533 356	731	
Corporate bonds Bank, trust and insurance Transportation	47 7 16	149,356 18,823 47,647	486	28	78,755	5 17,440	228,111 18,823 47,647	486	
Energy Technology Basic industry Credit cyclicals	12 19 10	39,420 51,424 41,770	1,344 3,494	1 1 1	3,790 975 3,030	5 25	43,210 52,399 44,800	2,388 3,519	
Other	30	96,310		8	19,120		115,430	•	
Total Available-For-Sale Fixed Maturities	279	726,301	39,594	72	162,675	32,228	888,976	71,822	
Equity securities									

Public utilities

Edgar Filing: UNITED FIRE & CASUALTY CO - Form 10-Q

Electric	1	535	100	2	382	276	917	376
Banks								
Insurance				2	422	35	422	35
All other common stock								
Energy	2	2,328	1,067				2,328	1,067
Technology	5	3,836	750				3,836	750
Basic industry	4	4,481	3,055				4,481	3,055
Credit cyclicals				1	3,471	2,372	3,471	2,372
Other	5	752	1,556	2	1,207	390	1,959	1,946
Nonredeemable preferred stocks	1	514	717	1	171	59	685	776
Total Available-for-Sale Equity Securities	18	12,446	7,245	8	5,653	3,132	18,099	10,377
Total Available-for-Sale Securities	297	738,747	46,839	80	168,328	35,360	907,075	82,199
Total	303	739,699	46,952	81	169,032	35,630	908,731	82,582

16

#### **NOTE 3.** FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

We estimate the fair value of our financial instruments based on relevant market information or by discounting estimated future cash flows at estimated current market discount rates appropriate to the particular asset or liability shown.

In most cases, we use quoted market prices to determine the fair value of fixed maturities, equity securities, trading securities and short-term investments. Where quoted market prices do not exist, we base fair values on pricing or valuation techniques that require inputs that are both unobservable and significant to the overall fair value measurement of the financial instrument. Such inputs may reflect management s own assumptions about the assumptions a market participant would use in pricing the financial instrument.

We base the estimated fair value of mortgage loans on discounted cash flows, utilizing the market rate of interest for similar loans in effect at the valuation date.

The estimated fair value of policy loans is equivalent to carrying value. We do not make policy loans for amounts in excess of the cash surrender value of the related policy. In all instances, the policy loans are fully collateralized by the related liability for future policy benefits for traditional insurance policies or by the policyholders account balance for interest-sensitive policies.

Our other long-term investments consist primarily of holdings in limited liability partnership funds that are valued by the various fund managers and are recorded on the equity method of accounting. In management s opinion, these values represent fair value at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008.

For cash and cash equivalents and accrued investment income, carrying value is a reasonable estimate of fair value, due to its short-term nature.

We calculate the fair value of the liabilities for all annuity products based upon the estimated value of the business, using current market rates and forecast assumptions and risk-adjusted discount rates, when relevant observable market data does not exist.

A summary of the carrying value and estimated fair value of our financial instruments at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 is as follows:

	Septem	ber 30, 2009	Decemb	per 31, 2008
		Carrying		Carrying
(In Thousands)	Fair Value	Value	Fair Value	Value
Assets				
Investments				
Held-to-maturity fixed maturities	\$ 12,035	\$ 11,906	\$ 15,146	\$ 15,177
Available-for-sale fixed maturities	2,123,951	2,123,951	1,898,569	1,898,569
Trading securities	10,994	10,994	8,055	8,055
Equity securities	126,374	126,374	120,985	120,985
Mortgage loans	8,344	7,455	8,719	7,821
Policy loans	7,977	7,977	7,808	7,808
Other long-term investments	14,276	14,276	11,216	11,216
Short-term investments	6,519	6,519	26,142	26,142
Cash and cash equivalents	176,324	176,324	109,582	109,582
Accrued investment income	29,398	29,398	27,849	27,849
Liabilities				
Policy Reserves				
Annuity (accumulations)	\$ 894,920	\$ 892,063	\$ 748,506	\$ 786,063
Annuity (benefit payments)	73,460	74,774	69,976	73,094

#### **Table of Contents**

FASB guidance on fair value measurements includes the application of a fair value hierarchy that requires us to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. Our financial instruments are categorized into a three level hierarchy, which is based upon the priority of the inputs to the valuation technique. The fair value hierarchy gives the highest priority to quoted prices in active markets for identical assets (Level 1) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3). If the inputs used to measure fair value fall within different levels of the hierarchy, the category level is based on the lowest priority level input that is significant to the fair value measurement of the instrument.

Financial instruments recorded at fair value are categorized in the fair value hierarchy as follows:

Level 1: Valuations are based on unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical financial instruments.

Level 2: Valuations are based on quoted prices, other than quoted prices included in Level 1, in markets that are not active or on inputs that are observable either directly or indirectly for the full term of the financial instrument.

Level 3: Valuations are based on pricing or valuation techniques that require inputs that are both unobservable and significant to the overall fair value measurement of the financial instrument. Such inputs may reflect management s own assumptions about the assumptions a market participant would use in pricing the financial instrument.

To determine the fair value of the majority (i.e., 99.5 percent) of our investments, we utilize prices obtained from independent, nationally recognized pricing services. We obtain one price for each security. When the pricing services cannot provide a determination of fair value for a specific security, we obtain non-binding price quotes from broker-dealers that we have had several years—experience with and who have demonstrated knowledge of the subject security. We request and utilize one broker quote per security.

We validate the prices obtained from pricing services and brokers prior to accepting them for reporting purposes by evaluating their reasonableness on a monthly basis. Our validation process includes a review for unusual fluctuations. In our opinion, the pricing obtained at September 30, 2009, was reasonable.

In order to determine the proper classification in the fair value hierarchy for each security where the price is obtained from an independent pricing service, we obtain and evaluate the vendors pricing procedures and inputs used to price the security, which include unadjusted quoted market prices for identical securities such as a New York Stock Exchange closing price and quoted prices for identical securities in markets that are not active. For fixed maturity securities, an evaluation of interest rates and yield curves observable at commonly quoted intervals, volatility, prepayment speeds, loss severities, and credit risks and default rates may also be performed. We have determined that these processes and inputs result in fair values and classifications consistent with the FASB guidance on fair value measurements.

We review our fair value hierarchy categorizations on a quarterly basis, at which time the classification of certain financial instruments may change if the input observations have changed.

18

#### **Table of Contents**

The following table presents the categorization for our financial instruments measured at fair value on a recurring basis in our Consolidated Balance Sheet at September 30, 2009:

(In Thousands)			Fair Value Measurements							
	Se	ptember 30,								
Description		2009 Level 1 Level 2					Level 3			
Assets:										
Available-for-sale fixed maturities	\$	2,123,951	\$		\$ 2,093,141	\$	30,810			
Equity securities		126,374	125	5,371	1,003					
Trading securities		10,994	2	2,046	8,948					
Short-term investments		6,519	1	1,200	4,569		750			
Money market accounts		88,141	88	3,141						
Total assets	\$	2,355,979	\$ 216	5,758	\$ 2,107,661	\$	31,560			

The fair value of securities that are categorized as Level 1 is based on quoted market prices that are readily and regularly available.

The fair value of securities that are categorized as Level 2 is determined by management in reliance on market values obtained from independent pricing services and brokers. Such estimated fair values do not necessarily represent the values for which these securities could have been sold at the reporting date. Our independent pricing services and brokers obtain prices from reputable pricing vendors in the marketplace. They continually monitor and review the external pricing sources, while actively participating to resolve any pricing issues that may arise.

The securities categorized as Level 3 include holdings in certain private placement fixed maturity and equity securities and certain impaired securities for which there is not an active market. The fair value of our Level 3 impaired securities was determined primarily based upon management s assumptions regarding the timing and amount of future cash inflows.

If a security has been written down or the issuer is in bankruptcy, management relies in part on outside opinions from rating agencies, our lien position on the security, general economic conditions and management s expertise to determine fair value. We have the ability and the positive intent to hold securities until such time that we are able to recover all or a portion of our original investment. If a security does not have a market at the balance sheet date, management will estimate the security s fair value based on other securities in the market. Management will continue to monitor securities after the balance sheet date to confirm that their estimated fair value is reasonable. The following table provides a summary of the changes in fair value of our Level 3 securities for the three-month period ended September 30, 2009:

	Availa	ble-for-sale				
(In Thousands)	fixed	maturities	Equity securities	Short-investn		Total
Balance at June 30, 2009	\$	9,305	\$	\$		\$ 9,305
Realized gains (1)		1,675				1,675
Unrealized losses (1)		(202)				(202)
Purchases and disposals		2,437			750	3,187
Transfers in		17,895				17,895
Transfers out		(300)				(300)
Balance at September 30, 2009	\$	30,810	\$	\$	750	\$ 31,560

(1)

Realized gains are recorded as a component of current operations whereas unrealized losses are recorded as a component of comprehensive income (loss).

The amount reported in the previous table as purchases and disposals and transfers in consisted primarily of private placement securities that had no observable price available at September 30, 2009.

19

#### **Table of Contents**

The following table provides a summary of the changes in fair value of our Level 3 securities for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2009:

	Availa	ble-for-sale					
		fixed		Equity		Short-term	
(In Thousands)	ma	aturities	se	curities		investments	Total
Balance at December 31, 2008	\$	6,254	\$	1,851	\$		\$ 8,105
Realized gains (1)		1,674					1,674
Unrealized gains (losses) <sup>(1)</sup>		187		(4)			183
Purchases and disposals		(1,115)				750	(365)
Transfers in		26,010					26,010
Transfers out		(2,200)		(1,847)			(4,047)
Balance at September 30, 2009	\$	30,810	\$		\$	750	\$ 31,560(1)

(1) Realized gains are recorded as a component of current operations whereas unrealized gains (losses) are recorded as a component of comprehensive income (loss).

The amount reported in the previous table as transfers in consisted of \$22.7 million in available-for-sale fixed maturities that were primarily private placement securities that had no observable price available at September 30, 2009, and \$3.3 million of available-for-sale fixed maturities that were subsequently reclassified, as a disposal, to other long-term investments due to bankruptcy reorganization. The \$4.0 million in transfers out resulted from available-for-sale fixed maturities and equity securities that previously had no observable price at December 31, 2008, but for which observable prices were available at September 30, 2009.

## **NOTE 4. EMPLOYEE BENEFITS**

Our pension and postretirement benefit expense for the three- and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2009 and 2008 are displayed in the following table.

	Three Months Ended			Nine Months Ended September 30,				
	September 30,							
(In Thousands)	2009		2008		2009		2008	
Pension expense	\$	1,361	\$	757	\$	4,085	\$	2,271
Other postretirement benefit expense		629		847		1,886		1,710

We previously disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 that we expected to contribute \$4.0 million to our pension plan in 2009. For the nine-month period ended September 30, 2009, we have contributed \$3.0 million to the pension plan. We do not anticipate that the total contribution in 2009 will vary significantly from the expected contribution.

## **NOTE 5. STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION**

#### **Nonqualified Employee Stock Award Plan**

The United Fire & Casualty Company 2008 Stock Plan (the Plan) authorizes the issuance of restricted stock awards, stock appreciation rights, incentive stock options, and nonqualified stock options for up to 1,900,000 shares of United Fire common stock to employees, with 929,125 authorized shares available for future issuance at September 30, 2009. The Plan is administered by the Board of Directors who has the authority to determine which employees will receive awards under the Plan, when awards will be granted, and the terms and conditions of the awards. The Board of Directors may also take any action it deems necessary and appropriate for the administration of the Plan. Pursuant to the Plan, the Board of Directors may, in its sole discretion, grant awards to employees of United Fire or any of its affiliated companies who are in positions of substantial responsibility with United Fire.

Option awards granted pursuant to the Plan are granted to buy shares of United Fire s common stock at the market value of the stock on the date of grant. All outstanding option awards vest and are exercisable in installments of 20.0 percent of the number of shares covered by the option award each year from the grant date, unless the Board of Directors authorizes the acceleration of vesting. To the extent not exercised, vested option awards accumulate and are exercisable by the awardee, in whole or in part, in any subsequent year included in the option period, but not later than 10 years from the grant date. Restricted stock awards granted pursuant to the Plan fully vest after five years from the date of issuance, unless accelerated upon the approval of the Board of Directors, at which time United Fire common stock will be issued to the awardee. Restricted stock awards are generally granted free of charge to the eligible employees of United Fire as designated by the Board of Directors.

20

#### **Table of Contents**

The activity in the Plan is displayed in the following table.

	Nine	
	<b>Months Ended</b>	Inception
	September 30,	•
Authorized Shares Available for Future Award Grants	2009	to Date
Beginning balance	1,021,025	1,000,000
Additional authorization from 2008 Stock Plan		900,000
Number of awards granted	(103,500)	(1,024,975)
Number of awards forfeited or expired	11,600	54,100
Ending balance	929,125	929,125
Number of option awards exercised	600	167,042
Number of restricted stock awards vested		

#### Nonqualified Nonemployee Director Stock Option and Restricted Stock Plan

We have a nonemployee director stock option and restricted stock plan that authorizes United Fire to grant restricted stock and nonqualified stock options to purchase 150,000 shares of United Fire s common stock, with 70,003 options available for future issuance at September 30, 2009. The Board of Directors has the authority to determine which nonemployee directors receive awards under the plan, when options and restricted stock shall be granted, the option price, the option expiration date, the date of grant, the vesting schedule of options or whether the options shall be immediately vested, the terms and conditions of options and restricted stock (other than those terms and conditions set forth in the plan) and the number of shares of common stock to be issued pursuant to an option agreement or restricted stock agreement. The Board of Directors may also take any action it deems necessary and appropriate for the administration of the plan.

The activity in our nonemployee director stock option and restricted stock plan is displayed in the following table.

	Nine	
	Months Ended September 30,	Inception
Authorized Shares Available for Future Award Grants	2009	to Date
Beginning balance	70,003	150,000
Number of awards granted		(86,000)
Number of awards forfeited or expired		6,003
Ending balance	70,003	70,003
Number of awards exercised		

#### **Stock-Based Compensation Expense**

For the three-month periods ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, we recognized stock-based compensation expense of \$.4 million, and \$.5 million respectively. For the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, we recognized stock-based compensation expense of \$1.7 million and \$1.3 million, respectively. As of September 30, 2009, we have \$4.1 million in stock-based compensation expense that has yet to be recognized through our results of operations. This compensation is expected to be recognized over a term of five years, except with respect to awards that are accelerated by the Board of Directors, in which case any remaining compensation expense will be recognized in the period in which the awards are accelerated.

21

#### **NOTE 6. SEGMENT INFORMATION**

We have two reportable business segments in our operations: property and casualty insurance and life insurance. All of our property and casualty offices are aggregated, as they target a similar customer base, market the same products, and use the same marketing strategies. All of our insurance is sold domestically; we have no revenues allocable to foreign operations.

Our management evaluates the two segments on the basis of both statutory accounting practices prescribed by our states of domicile and GAAP. We analyze results based on profitability (i.e., loss ratios), expenses, and return on equity. The basis we use to determine and analyze segments and to measure segment profit or loss have not changed from that reported in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

The following analyses for the three-month periods ended September 30, 2009 and 2008 have been reconciled to the amounts reported in our unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements to adjust for inter-segment eliminations.

	Property and Casualty		Life			
(In Thousands) Three Months Ended September 30, 2009	Insurance	]	Insurance		Total	
Net premiums earned Investment income, net of investment expenses Realized investment gains Other income	\$ 109,749 8,496 1,040 74	\$	11,088 19,334 885 157	\$	120,837 27,830 1,925 231	
Revenues	\$ 119,359	\$	31,464	\$	150,823	
Inter-segment Eliminations	(44)		(78)		(122)	
<b>Total Revenues</b>	\$ 119,315	\$	31,386	\$	150,701	
Net Income (Loss)	\$ (13,435)	\$	3,279	\$	(10,156)	
Assets	\$ 1,340,343	340,343 \$ 1,630,473		\$ 2,970,816		
Invested Assets	\$ 910,976	\$	\$ 1,398,476		\$ 2,309,452	
Three Months Ended September 30, 2008 Net premiums earned Investment income, net of investment expenses Realized investment gains (losses) Other income (loss)	\$ 117,278 7,164 1,724 (32)	\$	10,813 18,050 (5,878) 358	\$	128,091 25,214 (4,154) 326	
Revenues	\$ 126,134	\$	23,343	\$	149,477	
Inter-segment Eliminations	(40)		(56)		(96)	
Total Revenues	\$ 126,094	\$	23,287	\$	149,381	
Net Loss	\$ (15,166)	\$	(1,660)	\$	(16,826)	

Assets \$ 1,314,067 \$ 1,440,137 \$ 2,754,204

Invested Assets \$ 890,949 \$ 1,239,085 \$ 2,130,034

22

#### **Table of Contents**

The following analyses for the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2009 and 2008 have been reconciled to the amounts reported in our unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements to adjust for inter-segment eliminations.

(In Thousands)		Property and Casualty Insurance		Life Insurance		Total
Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009 Net premiums earned Investment income, net of investment expenses Realized investment losses Other income		328,421 23,712 (7,308) 119	\$	30,563 54,834 (7,408) 440	\$	358,984 78,546 (14,716) 559
Revenues	\$	344,944	\$	78,429	\$	423,373
Inter-segment Eliminations		(130)		(233)		(363)
<b>Total Revenues</b>	\$	344,814	\$	78,196	\$	423,010
Net Income (Loss)	\$	(15,354)	\$	3,134	\$	(12,220)
Assets	\$	1,340,343	\$	1,630,473	\$ 2	2,970,816
Invested Assets	\$	910,976	\$	1,398,476	\$ 2	2,309,452
Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008 Net premiums earned Investment income, net of investment expenses Realized investment gains (losses) Other income (loss)	\$	345,644 25,308 3,056 (61)	\$	28,798 55,858 (7,420) 770	\$	374,442 81,166 (4,364) 709
Revenues	\$	373,947	\$	78,006	\$	451,953
Inter-segment Eliminations		(124)		(159)		(283)
Total Revenues	\$	373,823	\$	77,847	\$	451,670
Net Income (Loss)	\$	(798)	\$	2,576	\$	1,778
Assets	\$	1,314,067	\$	1,440,137	\$ 2	2,754,204
Invested Assets	\$	890,949	\$	1,239,085	\$ 2	2,130,034

#### **NOTE 7.** EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE

Basic earnings or loss per share is computed by dividing net income or loss by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the reporting period. Diluted earnings per share calculates the effect of all dilutive common shares outstanding during the reporting period. The dilutive shares we consider in our diluted earnings per share calculation relate to our outstanding stock options and restricted stock awards.

We determine the dilutive effect of our stock options outstanding using the treasury stock method. Under this method, we assume the exercise of all of the outstanding awards whose exercise price is less than the weighted-average fair market value of our common stock during the period. This method also assumes that the proceeds from the hypothetical award exercises are used to repurchase shares of our common stock at the weighted-average fair market value of the stock during the period. The net of the assumed awards exercised and assumed common shares repurchased represent the number of dilutive common shares, which we add to the denominator of the earnings per share calculation.

23

#### **Table of Contents**

The components of basic and diluted earnings (loss) per share are displayed in the following tables for the three-month periods ended September 30, 2009 and 2008:

	Three Months Ended September 30,									
	2009					2008				
(In Thousands Except Per Share Data)		Basic		Diluted		Basic		Diluted		
Net loss	\$	(10,156)	\$	(10,156)	\$	(16,826)	\$	(16,826)		
Weighted-average common shares for EPS calculation		26,592		26,592		26,807		26,807		
Loss per common share	\$	(0.38)	\$	(0.38)	\$	(0.63)	\$	(0.63)		
Awards excluded from diluted EPS calculation <sup>(1)</sup>				923				833		

(1) Outstanding awards were excluded from the diluted earnings per share calculation because the effect of including them would have been

anti-dilutive.

The components of basic and diluted earnings (loss) per share are displayed in the following tables for the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2009 and 2008:

	Nine Months Ended September 30,								
		20	09			2008			
(In Thousands Except Per Share Data)		Basic	Diluted		Basic		Diluted		
Net income (loss)	\$	(12,220)	\$	(12,220)	\$	1,778	\$	1,778	
Weighted-average common shares outstanding		26,599		26,599		27,050		27,050	
Add dilutive effect of restricted stock awards								19	
Add dilutive effect of stock options								104	
Weighted-average common shares for EPS calculation		26,599		26,599		27,050		27,173	
Calculation		20,377		20,377		27,030		27,173	
Earnings (loss) per common share	\$	(0.46)	\$	(0.46)	\$	0.07	\$	0.07	
Awards excluded from diluted EPS calculation <sup>(1)</sup>				923				656	

(1)

Outstanding awards were excluded from the diluted earnings per share calculation because the effect of including them would have been anti-dilutive.

24

#### **NOTE 8.** COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

Comprehensive income includes all changes in equity during a period except those resulting from investments by shareholders and dividends to shareholders. The primary components of our comprehensive income (loss) are net income (loss) and the change in net unrealized appreciation on available-for-sale securities, as adjusted for amounts that have been reclassified as realized investment gains and losses.

The table below displays our comprehensive income (loss) and the related tax effects for the three-month periods ended September 30, 2009 and 2008.

	Three Months Ended September 30,							
(In Thousands)		2009	2008					
Net loss	\$	(10,156)	\$	(16,826)				
Other comprehensive income (loss):								
Change in net unrealized appreciation on investments		64,841		(27,473)				
Adjustment for net realized (gains) losses included in income		(1,925)		4,154				
Adjustment for costs included in employee benefit expense		608		292				
Other comprehensive income (loss), before tax		63,524		(23,027)				
Income tax effect		(22,234)		8,059				
Other comprehensive income (loss), after tax		41,290		(14,968)				
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$	31,134	\$	(31,794)				

The table below displays our comprehensive income (loss) and the related tax effects for the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2009 and 2008.

(In Thousands)	Nine	ed Sept	ed September 30, 2008		
Net income (loss)	\$	(12,220)	\$	1,778	
Other comprehensive income (loss):					
Change in net unrealized appreciation on investments		79,149		(76,464)	
Adjustment for net realized losses included in income		14,716		4,364	
Adjustment for costs included in employee benefit expense		1,823		947	
Other comprehensive income (loss), before tax		95,688		(71,153)	
Income tax effect		(33,635)		24,906	
Other comprehensive income (loss), after tax		62,053		(46,247)	
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$	49,833	\$	(44,469)	

25

# **Table of Contents**

#### **Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm**

Board of Directors and Stockholders of

United Fire & Casualty Company

We have reviewed the consolidated balance sheet of United Fire & Casualty Company as of September 30, 2009, and the related consolidated statements of income for the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, and the consolidated statements of stockholders—equity and cash flows for the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2009 and 2008. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company—s management. We conducted our review in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). A review of interim financial information consists principally of applying analytical procedures and making inquiries of persons responsible for financial and accounting matters. It is substantially less in scope than an audit conducted in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board, the objective of which is the expression of an opinion regarding the financial statements taken as a whole. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

Based on our review, we are not aware of any material modifications that should be made to the interim consolidated financial statements referred to above for them to be in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. We have previously audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheet of United Fire & Casualty Company as of December 31, 2008, and the related consolidated statements of income, stockholders equity, and cash flows for the year then ended, not presented herein, and in our report dated March 2, 2009, we expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements. In our opinion, the information set forth in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2008, is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the consolidated balance sheet from which it has been derived.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP Ernst & Young LLP

Chicago, Illinois November 9, 2009

26

# ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

#### FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This report may contain forward-looking statements about our operations, anticipated performance and other similar matters. The Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 provides a safe harbor under the Securities Act of 1933 and the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act ) for forward-looking statements. The forward-looking statements are not historical facts and involve risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those expected and/or projected. Such forward-looking statements are based on current expectations, estimates, forecasts and projections about our company, the industry in which we operate, and beliefs and assumptions made by management. Words such as expects, anticipates, intends, plans, believes, continues. should. could. may. will continue. might. hope. can and variations of such words and similar expressions a to identify such forward-looking statements. These statements are not guarantees of future performance and involve risks, uncertainties and assumptions that are difficult to predict. Therefore, actual outcomes and results may differ materially from what is expressed in such forward-looking statements. Information concerning factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those in the forward-looking statements is contained in Part II Item 1A

Risk Factors of this document. Among other factors that could cause our actual outcomes and results to differ are:

The adequacy of our loss reserves established for Hurricane Katrina, which are based on management estimates.

The resolution of regulatory issues and litigation pertaining to and arising out of Hurricane Katrina.

The valuation of invested assets.

The valuation of pension and other postretirement benefit obligations.

The valuation and recovery of deferred policy acquisition costs.

The maintenance of strong relationships with our independent agents.

The maintenance of strong relationships with our reinsurers.

The adequacy of the reinsurance coverage that we purchase.

The adequacy of premiums that are charged to our policyholders.

These are representative of the risks, uncertainties and assumptions that could cause actual outcomes and results to differ materially from what is expressed in forward-looking statements. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date of this report or as of the date they are made. Except as required under the federal securities laws and the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC), we do not have any intention or obligation to update publicly any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

#### CRITICAL ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES

Critical accounting estimates are defined as those that are reflective of significant judgments and uncertainties and that potentially may result in materially different results under different assumptions and conditions. Our discussion and analysis of our results of operations and financial condition is based upon our Consolidated Financial Statements, which we have prepared in accordance with GAAP. As we prepare these financial statements, we must make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. We evaluate our estimates on an ongoing basis. We base our estimates on historical experience and on other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Our critical accounting estimates are: the valuation of investments; the valuation of reserves for losses, claims, and loss settlement expenses; the valuation of reserves for future policy benefits; and the calculation of the deferred policy acquisition costs asset. These critical accounting estimates are more fully described in our Management s Discussion and Analysis of Results of Operations and Financial Condition presented in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

27

#### **Table of Contents**

#### OVERVIEW AND OUTLOOK

#### **Our Business**

We operate property and casualty and life insurance businesses, marketing our products through independent agents. Although we maintain a broad geographic presence that includes most of the United States, more than half of our property and casualty premiums were written in Iowa, Texas, Missouri, Louisiana and Colorado for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2009. Approximately three-fourths of our life insurance premiums were written in Iowa, Nebraska, Minnesota, Wisconsin and Illinois for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2009.

We conduct our operations through two distinct segments: property and casualty insurance and life insurance. We manage these segments separately because they generally do not share the same customer base, and they each have different pricing and expense structures. We evaluate segment profit or loss based upon operating and investment results. Segment profit or loss described in the following sections of the Management s Discussion and Analysis is reported on a pre-tax basis.

# **Financial Overview**

The insurance market continued to be challenging in the third quarter of 2009; however our total stockholders equity increased by \$39.1 million or 6.1 percent from December 31, 2008. The increase was primarily the result of an improvement in both the fixed income and equity markets, which led to an increase in our unrealized investment gains. Book value per share increased from \$24.10 at December 31, 2008 to \$25.60 at September 30, 2009. In our property and casualty segment, pricing remained fairly level in the third quarter despite a continuation of soft market conditions. As pricing pressure continues, our underwriters are committed to implementing modest rate increases on accounts with difficult exposures or adverse loss experience, as well as declining underpriced business. For the third quarter of 2009, we recorded realized investment gains of \$1.9 million with no investment write-downs as compared to realized losses of \$4.2 million, with \$5.9 million of investment write-downs, in the third quarter of 2008, which may be a sign that the investment markets are steadying.

With a less active hurricane season in 2009 than in 2008, our catastrophe losses dropped significantly, bringing them closer to what we have historically incurred in the third quarter of a year. However, Hurricane Katrina litigation continued to have an impact on our results of operations, which is explained in further detail in the following sections of Item 2.

Loss settlement expenses decreased in the third quarter of 2009 and the frequency and severity of construction defect claims stabilized from the second quarter of 2009. This is a result of some of the initiatives taken to address construction defect claims, such as providing increased loss control on accounts and monitoring costs related to outside attorneys.

Our life insurance segment, from an operational standpoint, performed well and contributed net income, which helped offset the net loss of the property and casualty segment. The life insurance segment has focused on increasing our life insurance sales in order to better diversify our portfolio of products. For the first nine months of 2009, life insurance sales increased 7.3 percent. For the first nine months of 2009, annuity sales also continued to grow, increasing 35.1 percent as consumers continued to choose investment products with less risk and guaranteed returns.

28

#### **Table of Contents**

#### Hurricane Katrina

Hurricane Katrina made landfall in New Orleans, Louisiana, on August 29, 2005, causing an estimated \$80 billion in damages. Over 95 percent of our policyholders in the New Orleans area suffered damage from Hurricane Katrina, with over 11,000 claims reported. Our total loss and loss settlement expenses inception to date, net of reinsurance, from Hurricane Katrina claims are \$289.2 million through September 30, 2009. In the first nine months of 2009, our loss and loss settlement expenses from Hurricane Katrina litigation was \$38.3 million, of which \$19.0 million was incurred from an increase in our incurred but not reported ( IBNR ) reserves. The primary reason for this reserve increase is the continuing unfavorable legal environment related to insurers of Hurricane Katrina claims in Louisiana. Our results of operations continue to be hindered by losses related to Hurricane Katrina. Without Hurricane Katrina losses, our combined ratio would have been 107.4 percent in the third quarter of 2009, compared with 129.0 percent in the third quarter of 2008, and 103.9 percent for the first nine months of 2009, compared with 107.0 percent for the same period of 2008.

Conducting business under our subsidiary company, Lafayette Insurance Company, in the state of Louisiana has put us at a considerable disadvantage in regard to our Hurricane Katrina claims litigation. Because Lafayette Insurance Company is domiciled in Louisiana, we are subject to the jurisdiction of the state court system, with limited access to the federal court system. Hurricane Katrina was, and remains, the single largest catastrophe loss in our company s history. Four years later, we continue to feel the impact of Hurricane Katrina as litigation surrounding the event progresses through the legal system.

In August 2009, we were notified by the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit sitting in New Orleans that our appeal of a \$21.0 million award to a commercial policyholder, which was awarded in June 2008, had been denied. On appeal, we raised several issues, including the coinsurance clause on the policy, the fact that the award exceeded the policy limits and excessive penalties were awarded with no consideration or offset for flood damage. While we strongly believed that these issues were meritorious, we will not be challenging the court s ruling further, as we feel it is unlikely that the U.S. Supreme Court would grant a review of the case.

We recorded the final settlement of this litigation, which included additional interest and penalties awarded by the appellate court and the settlement of an additional claim that was not included in the original lawsuit, in August 2009. We paid the policyholder a total of \$28.9 million, of which \$10.8 million, net of reinsurance, was incurred in 2008 in response to the initial verdict, and \$6.7 million, net of reinsurance, was incurred in 2009.

Legal developments involving Louisiana Citizens Property Insurance Corporation (Louisiana Citizens), which provides insurance for individuals and businesses unable to secure coverage through the voluntary insurance market, have contributed to the unfavorable legal climate for insurers in Louisiana. This publicly funded insurance plan has the ability to issue assessments to insurance companies doing business in Louisiana, including us, to fund its operations. In the third quarter of 2009, Louisiana Citizens settled a class action lawsuit for \$18.0 million involving Orleans Parish policyholders whose claims resulted from Hurricane Katrina. In August 2009, Louisiana Citizens announced its decision to appeal a \$95.0 million judgment in favor of policyholders in Jefferson Parish whose claims resulted from Hurricanes Katrina and Rita. These judgments and settlements against Louisiana Citizens could result in large assessments in future years against insurance companies who do business in Louisiana. These cases also set a standard for class action certification and settlement expectations among policyholders and plaintiff s attorneys. In an unrelated case in April 2009, the Louisiana Supreme Court refused to hear Louisiana Citizens appeal of an intermediate appellate court ruling effectively extending the time for a policyholder to file a lawsuit related to Hurricane Katrina losses. The Louisiana Citizens policyholder filed suit in February 2008, nearly two and a half years after Hurricane Katrina.

29

#### **Table of Contents**

The Louisiana Fourth Circuit held that the policyholder s deadline for filing suit would not expire until after she was notified that she could no longer participate in the class action litigation. The decision in this case has the potential to increase the number of lawsuits filed against insurance companies who are or were the subject of class action litigation that was either dismissed or had the class participants more narrowly defined. Subsequent to this decision, few additional lawsuits have been filed against our company, and it is possible that we will receive additional lawsuits in the future due to this decision.

For further discussion of our legal proceedings, refer to Note 1 *Legal Proceedings* in the Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements of this Form 10-Q.

In the four years that have passed since Hurricane Katrina, we have taken numerous steps to reduce our exposure in the New Orleans area, including significantly reducing our total insured values, purchasing additional reinsurance coverage and implementing substantial rate increases. Our modeled expected average annual loss in Louisiana has been reduced by over 60.0 percent. We will continue to closely monitor our exposure in Louisiana and may reduce it further in the future.

We are also in the process of depopulating our Louisiana domiciled subsidiary, Lafayette Insurance Company. On January 1, 2010, we will cease writing business through Lafayette in the state of Louisiana. We will continue to provide personal and commercial insurance in Louisiana under United Fire & Casualty Company and our subsidiary, United Fire & Indemnity Company. All current Lafayette Insurance Company policyholders in Louisiana will be offered the opportunity to renew their policy under either United Fire & Casualty Company or United Fire & Indemnity Company, unless the policyholder has not paid their premium or the policy is being nonrenewed for a specific underwriting reason, such as failure to comply with loss control recommendations.

By no longer doing business under Lafayette in Louisiana, we will have access to the federal court system if we so choose. If we continued to do business in Louisiana under Lafayette, we would be required to use the state court system for all cases, which has proven to be a challenging legal environment in recent years.

# **RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

#### **Consolidated Financial Highlights**

	Three Mont	ths Ended Septe	ember 30,	Nine Months Ended September 30,			
(In Thousands)	2009	2008	%	2009	2008	%	
Revenues							
Net premiums earned	\$ 120,759	\$ 128,017	-5.7%	\$ 358,751	\$ 374,234	-4.1%	
Investment income, net of							
investment expenses	27,786	25,192	10.3	<b>78,416</b>	81,091	-3.3	
Realized investment gains							
(losses)	1,925	(4,154)	146.3	(14,716)	(4,364)	-237.2	
Other income	231	326	-29.1	559	709	-21.2	
	* 4=0=04	*		* *** * * * *	* · · · · ·		
	\$ 150,701	\$ 149,381	0.9%	\$ 423,010	\$451,670	-6.3%	
Benefits, Losses and							
Expenses							
Losses and loss settlement							
expenses	\$ 115,167	\$ 120,267	-4.2%	\$ 291,803	\$ 288,456	1.2%	
Future policy benefits	6,101	6,696	-8.9	15,363	17,902	-14.2	
Amortization of deferred							
policy acquisition costs	29,015	32,481	-10.7	87,216	97,036	-10.1	
Other underwriting expenses	9,170	7,810	17.4	27,626	20,298	36.1	
	(793)	484	-263.8	(1,339)	4,237	-131.6	

Edgar Filing: UNITED FIRE & CASUALTY CO - Form 10-Q

Disaster charges and other related expenses, net of recoveries Interest on policyholders						
accounts	10,630	9,844	8.0	30,799	30,507	1.0
	\$ 169,290	\$ 177,582	-4.7%	\$ 451,468	\$ 458,436	-1.5%
Loss before income taxes Federal income tax benefit	\$ (18,589) (8,433)	\$ (28,201) (11,375)	34.1 25.9	\$ (28,458) (16,238)	\$ (6,766) (8,544)	-320.6 -90.1
Net Income (Loss)	\$ (10,156)	\$ (16,826)	39.6%	<b>\$</b> (12,220)	\$ 1,778	-787.3%

30

Table of Contents

Property and Casualty Insurance Segment Results

		Three Months Ended September 30,			Ni	Nine Months Ended September 30,				
(In Thousands) Net premiums written (1)	\$	2009 102,113	\$	2008 111,895	\$	2009 337,175	\$	2008 356,407		
Net premiums earned Losses and loss settlement expenses Amortization of deferred policy	\$	109,749 (110,738)	\$	117,278 (116,536)	\$	328,421 (279,411)	\$	345,644 (278,275)		
acquisition costs Other underwriting expenses		(26,292) (6,741)		(29,354) (5,389)		(79,434) (20,667)		(88,076) (14,021)		
Underwriting loss	\$	(34,022)	\$	(34,001)	\$	(51,091)	\$	(34,728)		
Investment income, net of underwriting expenses Realized investment gains (losses) Other income (loss) Disaster charges and other related expenses, net of recoveries		8,452 1,040 74 793		7,124 1,724 (32) (484)		23,582 (7,308) 119 1,339		25,184 3,056 (61) (4,237)		
Loss before income taxes	\$	(23,663)	\$	(25,669)	\$	(33,359)	\$	(10,786)		
GAAP Ratios:										
Net loss ratio (without catastrophes) Hurricane Katrina litigation effect on		68.7% 23.6		68.1%		67.4%		62.0%		
net loss ratio						11.7		3.1		
Net loss ratio with Hurricane Katrina		92.3%		68.1%		79.1%		65.1%		
Other catastrophes effect on net loss ratio		8.6		31.3		6.0		15.4		
Net loss ratio		100.9%		99.4%		85.1%		80.5%		
Expense ratio (2)		30.1		29.6		30.5		29.6		
Combined ratio		131.0%		129.0%		115.6%		110.1%		

<sup>(1)</sup> Please refer to the Statutory and Other Non-GAAP Financial

Measures section of this report for further explanation of this measure.

# (2) The GAAP expense ratio does not include disaster charges and other related expenses, net of recoveries.

Net premiums earned decreased by \$7.5 million or 6.4 percent and \$17.2 million or 5.0 percent for the three- and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2009, due primarily to reduced premiums from continuing competition and pricing pressure in the insurance market, as well as the nonrenewal of business that did not meet our underwriting or pricing guidelines. Our premium writings have also been affected by the downturn in the economy, specifically related to our surety business and the residential contracting business in our western states.

In the third quarter of 2009, the insurance marketplace remained competitive, especially in the commercial lines business of non-coastal areas. There was a minimal decrease in premium levels for our commercial lines business, with all regions continuing to experience downward pressure on renewal premiums for medium and large commercial accounts, as well as smaller accounts in some instances. In our personal lines business, we had an average of mid-single-digit percentage increases in our rate levels. Recently we received approval for a double-digit percentage increase on homeowners insurance rates in Louisiana. While this rate increase will increase the premium level of homeowners accounts in Louisiana, it is also likely to result in a decrease in the number of new and renewal policies. Our new business writings have been successful; however, we have observed a slight decrease in the overall pricing levels for new business during the three-months ended September 30, 2009. Though the decreases in our premium levels were relatively modest, premium levels have been decreasing gradually in most lines of business since the third quarter of 2004. We have been able to renew a growing number of accounts at a higher rate or premium level in 2009, which has historically indicated that the market may be improving.

Our policy retention rate remained strong in both the personal and commercial lines of business, with approximately 80.0 percent of our policies renewing for the nine-months ended September 30, 2009. This rate has decreased slightly from the six-months ended June 30, 2009 as our underwriters continue to focus on writing good business at an adequate price, preferring quality to volume.

31

# **Table of Contents**

Losses and loss settlement expenses improved by 5.0 percent for the three-months ended September 30, 2009, as compared with the same period in 2008. This is a result of a considerable decrease in our pretax catastrophe losses, which totaled \$9.5 million and \$36.7 million, excluding loss development on Hurricane Katrina claims litigation, for the three-month periods ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Catastrophe losses were higher than expected in the three-months ended September 30, 2008 due to an active hurricane season, which included Hurricanes Gustav and Ike. For the first nine months of 2009, losses and loss settlement expenses increased 0.4 percent due specifically to construction defect and products liability claims. Overall, claims frequency has decreased, while claims severity continues to trend slightly upward, specifically in our commercial auto and commercial general liability lines of business.

Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs decreased 10.4 percent and 9.8 percent in the three- and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2009, respectively, as compared with the same periods of 2008. The decrease in premiums written and corresponding unearned premium have resulted in a reduction of the deferred acquisition costs asset and related amortization.

The deterioration in our property and casualty underwriting results led to an increase in other underwriting expenses in the three- and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2009, as we expensed more acquisition costs in 2009 as compared to the same periods in 2008. The extent to which underwriting expenses are deferred to future periods is dependent upon our loss ratio.

The following tables display our premiums earned, losses and loss settlement expenses and loss ratio by line of business for the three- and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2009 and 2008. Three Months Ended September 30,

	<b>2009</b> 2008													
	Losses & Loss							Losses & Loss						
(In Thousands)	Pr	emiums	$\mathbf{S}$	ettlement	Loss	Pr	emiums	;	Settlement	Loss				
			1	Expenses				Expenses						
Unaudited	F	Earned	]	Incurred	Ratio	F	Earned		Incurred	Ratio				
Commercial lines														
Other liability (1)	\$	29,336	\$	23,437	<b>79.9</b> %	\$	34,091	\$	27,272	80.0%				
Fire and allied lines (2)		26,047		47,836	183.7		27,450		37,010	134.8				
Automobile		24,750		19,598	<b>79.2</b>		25,671		15,833	61.7				
Workers compensation		13,205		9,022	68.3		12,952		11,550	89.2				
Fidelity and surety		5,455		(436)	(8.0)		5,497		1,024	18.6				
Miscellaneous		217		47	21.7		218		166	76.1				
Total commercial lines	\$	99,010	\$	99,504	100.5%	\$	105,879	\$	92,855	87.7%				
Personal lines														
Fire and allied lines (3)	\$	5,644	\$	6,262	110.9%	\$	5,322	\$	21,433	402.7%				
Automobile		3,325		3,882	116.8		3,160		2,517	79.7				
Miscellaneous		93		38	40.9		84		(157)	N/A				
Total personal lines	\$	9,062	\$	10,182	112.4%	\$	8,566	\$	23,793	277.8%				
Reinsurance assumed		1,677		1,052	62.7%		2,833		(112)	(4.0)%				
Total	\$	109,749	\$	110,738	100.9%	\$ :	117,278	\$	116,536	99.4%				

- (1) Other liability is business insurance covering bodily injury and property damage arising from general business operations, accidents on the insured s premises and products manufactured or sold.
- (2) Fire and allied lines includes fire, allied lines, commercial multiple peril and inland marine.
- (3) Fire and allied lines includes fire, allied lines, homeowners and inland marine.

32

#### Commercial Lines

For the three months ended September 30, 2009, the loss ratio for our fire and allied lines was 183.7 percent compared to 134.8 percent for the same period of 2008. The deterioration in this line was driven by additional losses incurred for Hurricane Katrina claims litigation. In the automobile line of business, our loss ratio was 79.2 percent for the three months ended September 30, 2009, as compared to 61.7 percent for the same period of 2008. The deterioration in this line was due to an increase in claims severity. The loss ratio for our workers—compensation line of business improved to 68.3 percent for the three months ended September 30, 2009, as compared to 89.2 percent for the same period of 2008. The improvement was due to the normal fluctuations that generally occur in this line of business.

#### Personal Lines

For the three months ended September 30, 2009, the loss ratio for our fire and allied lines was 110.9 percent compared to 402.7 percent for the same period of 2008. The significant improvement in this line was due to the significant losses incurred in the three months ended September 30, 2008 related to Hurricanes Gustav and Ike. Nine Months Ended September 30,

		_	2009				2008	
(In Thousands)	Premiums	S	sses & Loss Settlement Expenses	Loss	Premiums	;	Settlement Expenses	Loss
Unaudited Commercial lines	Earned		Incurred	Ratio	Earned		Incurred	Ratio
Other liability (1) Fire and allied lines (2) Automobile Workers compensation Fidelity and surety Miscellaneous	\$ 90,973 77,068 73,523 39,359 15,597 642	\$	72,658 96,371 52,234 30,188 735 165	79.9% 125.0 71.0 76.7 4.7 25.7	\$ 101,439 82,074 75,652 38,950 15,649 639	\$	60,459 98,427 51,019 26,083 2,503 130	59.6% 119.9 67.4 67.0 16.0 20.3
Total commercial lines	\$ 297,162	\$	252,351	84.9%	\$ 314,403	\$	238,621	75.9%
Personal lines Fire and allied lines (3) Automobile Miscellaneous	\$ 16,431 9,594 266	\$	14,741 8,804 304	89.7% 91.8 114.3	\$ 15,951 9,463 241	\$	29,111 7,839 747	182.5% 82.8 N/A
Total personal lines	\$ 26,291	\$	23,849	90.7%	\$ 25,655	\$	37,697	146.9%
Reinsurance assumed	4,968		3,211	64.6%	5,586		1,957	35.0%
Total	\$ 328,421	\$	279,411	85.1%	\$ 345,644	\$	278,275	80.5%

(1) Other liability is business insurance covering bodily injury and property damage arising

from general business operations, accidents on the insured s premises and products manufactured or sold.

- (2) Fire and allied lines includes fire, allied lines, commercial multiple peril and inland marine.
- (3) Fire and allied lines includes fire, allied lines, homeowners and inland marine.

#### Commercial Lines

For the nine months ended September 30, 2009, the loss ratio for the other liability lines was 79.9 percent compared to 59.6 percent for the same period of 2008. The deterioration in this line was due to an increase in severity in the general liability lines of business and expenses related to construction defect claims. In the workers compensation line of business, our loss ratio was 76.7 percent for the nine months ended September 30, 2009, as compared to 67.0 percent for the same period of 2008. The deterioration in this line was due to an increase in exposure for less premium written, which is attributable to the current economic conditions.

#### Personal Lines

For the nine months ended September 30, 2009, the loss ratio for our fire and allied lines was 89.7 percent compared to 182.5 percent for the same period of 2008. The significant improvement in this line was due to the significant losses incurred in the first nine months of 2008 related to Hurricanes Gustav and Ike.

33

**Table of Contents** 

# **Life Insurance Segment Results**

		Three Mon Septem			Nine Months Ended September 30,			
(In Thousands)	2009		2008		2009		2008	
Revenues								
Net premiums written (1)	\$	16,687	\$	10,545	\$	30,150	\$	28,112
Net premiums earned	\$	11,010	\$	10,739	\$	30,330	\$	28,590
Investment income, net		19,334		18,068		54,834		55,907
Realized investment gains (losses)		885		(5,878)		(7,408)		(7,420)
Other income		157		358		440		770
<b>Total Revenues</b>	\$	31,386	\$	23,287	\$	78,196	\$	77,847
Benefits, Losses and Expenses								
Losses and loss settlement expenses	\$	4,429	\$	3,731	\$	12,392	\$	10,181
Future policy benefits		6,101		6,696		15,363		17,902
Amortization of deferred policy								
acquisition costs		2,723		3,127		7,782		8,960
Other underwriting expenses		2,429		2,421		6,959		6,277
Interest on policyholders accounts		10,630		9,844		30,799		30,507
<b>Total Benefits, Losses and Expenses</b>	\$	26,312	\$	25,819	\$	73,295	\$	73,827
Income (Loss) Before Income Taxes	\$	5,074	\$	(2,532)	\$	4,901	\$	4,020

(1) Please refer to the Statutory

and Other

Non-GAAP

Financial

Measures

section of this

report for

further

explanation of

this measure.

Net premiums earned increased 2.5 percent and 6.1 percent in the three- and nine-months ended September 30, 2009, respectively, as compared with the same periods of 2008. This is due to an increase in sales of our single premium whole life products, as more consumers choose to invest their money in products with guaranteed rates of return. Deferred annuity deposits were \$25.0 million in the three-month period ended September 30, 2009, compared with \$52.7 million in the same period of 2008. The decrease in annuity deposits is the result of only \$1.7 million of deferred annuity deposits due for renewal during the three-month period ended September 30, 2009. In comparison, during the same three-month period of 2008, \$89.3 million of deferred annuity deposits were due for renewal, of which \$33.9 million were placed in new policies with our company.

For the nine-month period ended September 30, 2009, deferred annuity deposits were \$155.5 million in 2009, compared with \$115.2 million for the same period of 2008. The significant increase in our annuity deposits in 2009 is due to increased sales, as more consumers choose to invest their money in products with guaranteed rates of return. We expect our annuity sales to continue to increase throughout the remainder of the year. Deferred annuity deposits are not recorded as a component of net premiums written or net premiums earned; however, the money is invested to generate investment income.

Our annuity sales and a reduction in withdrawals contributed to a net cash inflow related to our annuity business of \$26.2 million in the three-month period ended September 30, 2009, compared with a net cash outflow of \$32.7 million in the three-month period ended September 30, 2008. In the nine-month period ended September 30, 2009, we had a net cash inflow of \$82.8 million compared to a net cash outflow of \$58.1 million in the nine-month period ended September 30, 2008. The reduction in annuity withdrawals is indicative of the change in economic conditions and the inclination of consumers to choose investment products with less risk and guaranteed returns. We expect this trend to continue throughout the remainder of 2009.

34

# **Table of Contents**

Loss and loss settlement expenses increased 18.7 percent and 21.7 percent in the three- and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2009, respectively, as compared with the same periods of 2008, due to an increase in the policy benefits incurred for our traditional life insurance products. The amount of policy benefits incurred may fluctuate due to the unexpected nature of death benefits; however, these benefits have historically tended to level out over the course of the year. We anticipate a continued increase in loss and loss settlement expenses for the remainder of 2009 compared to 2008 due to increased sales of single premium whole life insurance in recent years and a maturing block of older traditional products.

Liability for future policy benefits decreased 8.9 percent and 14.2 percent in the three- and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2009, respectively, as compared with the same periods of 2008, due to the reduction in claims from the continuing runoff of our credit life and credit accident and health business, which we ceased writing in 2004. In September 2009, we introduced two new whole life products, a 5-pay and a 10-pay, that members of our life agency force requested to better meet the needs of their customers. The demand and profitability of these products will be determined in future quarters as the products are too new to make any determinations at this time.

#### **Investment Portfolio**

Our invested assets at September 30, 2009 totaled \$2,309.5 million, compared to \$2,095.8 million at December 31, 2008. At September 30, 2009, fixed maturity securities comprised 93.0 percent of our investment portfolio, while equity securities accounted for 5.5 percent of the value of our portfolio. Because the primary purpose of the investment portfolio is to fund future claims payments, we utilize a conservative investment philosophy, investing in a diversified portfolio of high quality, intermediate-term taxable corporate bonds, taxable U.S. government bonds and tax-exempt U.S. municipal bonds.

#### Concentration

We develop our investment strategies based on a number of factors, including estimated duration of reserve liabilities, short- and long-term liquidity needs, projected tax status, general economic conditions, expected rates of inflation and regulatory requirements. We administer our portfolio based on investment guidelines approved by management, which comply with applicable statutory regulations.

The concentration of our investment portfolio at September 30, 2009 is presented at carrying value in the following table:

	P	roperty &		
		Casualty	Life	
	1	nsurance	Insurance	
(Dollars in Thousands)		Segment	Segment	Total
Fixed maturities <sup>(1)</sup>	\$	773,098	\$ 1,362,759	\$ 2,135,857
Equity securities		113,922	12,452	126,374
Trading securities		10,994		10,994
Mortgage loans			7,455	7,455
Policy loans			7,977	7,977
Other long-term investments		11,762	2,514	14,276
Short-term investments		1,200	5,319	6,519
Total	\$	910,976	\$ 1,398,476	\$ 2,309,452

(1) Available-for-sale fixed maturities are carried at fair value.

Held-to-maturity fixed maturities

are carried at amortized cost.

At September 30, 2009, \$2,124.0 million, or 99.4 percent of our fixed maturities portfolio, was classified as available-for-sale, compared to \$1,898.6 million, or 99.2 percent, at December 31, 2008. We classify our remaining fixed maturity securities as held-to-maturity securities (which are reported at amortized cost) or trading securities. We record trading securities, primarily convertible redeemable preferred debt securities, at fair value, with any changes in fair value recognized in earnings. As of September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, we did not have direct exposure to investments in sub-prime mortgages, derivative securities or other credit enhancement vehicles.

35

#### **Table of Contents**

#### Quality

The following table displays a breakdown for all of our fixed maturity securities by credit rating at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008. Information contained in the table is based upon issue credit ratings provided by Moody s. If credit ratings are unavailable from Moody s, we obtain them from Standard & Poor s.

(In Thousands)	Septembe	December 31, 2008			
	Carrying		Carrying		
Rating	Value	% of Total	Value	% of Total	
AAA	<b>\$ 177,756</b>	8.3%	\$ 254,753	13.3%	
AA	403,107	18.8	390,726	20.3	
A	571,366	26.6	534,074	27.8	
Baa/BBB	855,326	39.8	623,527	32.4	
Other/Not Rated	139,296	6.5	118,721	6.2	
	\$ 2,146,851	100.0%	\$ 1,921,801	100.0%	

Our total carrying value of AAA rated fixed maturity securities decreased in the first nine months of 2009 as compared to year-end 2008 due to AAA agency bonds that were called during 2009. Both our AA and Baa/BBB fixed maturity securities increased due to purchases in 2009, as we sought a higher yield in our fixed maturity portfolio. Downgrades in corporate bonds and other securities during the first nine months of 2009 also shifted the composition of our investment portfolio, increasing our A and Other/Not Rated fixed maturity securities and was a contributing factor in the increase of our Baa/BBB fixed maturity securities.

Overall, the change in credit rating of our fixed maturity securities portfolio at September 30, 2009, as compared to December 31, 2008, continued to be affected by downgrades of our municipal bond holdings that occurred during 2008 and 2009. Municipal bonds can either be insured or uninsured. Municipal bonds that are insured have a credit rating that is equal to either the credit rating of the insuring company or the underlying security, whichever is greater. Municipal bonds that are uninsured are rated using the credit rating of the underlying security. During both 2008 and 2009, the credit ratings of many of the companies that insure municipal bonds were downgraded as a result of the financial market crisis and economic turmoil.

#### Duration

Our investment portfolio is comprised primarily of fixed maturity securities whose fair values are susceptible to market risk, specifically interest rate changes. Duration is a measurement used to quantify our inherent interest rate risk and analyze our ability to match our invested assets to our claims liabilities. When calculating duration, we do not adjust for changes in the value of the bond—s embedded options or the probability that the option will be exercised early. If our invested assets and claims liabilities have similar durations, then any change in interest rates will have an equal and opposite effect on our investments and claims liabilities. Mismatches in the duration of assets and liabilities can cause significant fluctuations in our results of operations. The primary purpose for matching invested assets and claims liabilities is liquidity. With appropriate matching, our investments will mature when cash is needed, preventing the need to liquidate other assets prematurely.

#### Group

The weighted average duration of our fixed maturity available-for-sale, held-to-maturity and trading security portfolios, including convertible bonds, at both September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, is 6.1 years.

Table of Contents 62

36

# **Table of Contents**

Property and Casualty Insurance Segment

For our property and casualty insurance segment, the weighted average duration of our fixed maturity available-for-sale, held-to-maturity and trading security portfolios, including convertible bonds, at September 30, 2009 is 7.5 years compared to 7.7 years at December 31, 2008.

Life Insurance Segment

For our life insurance segment, the weighted average duration of our fixed maturity available-for-sale, held-to-maturity and trading security portfolios, at September 30, 2009 is 4.0 years compared to 4.3 years at December 31, 2008.

# **Investment Results**

We recorded net investment income (before tax) of \$27.8 million and \$25.2 million for the three-month periods ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The improvement in the three-month period ended September 30, 2009 was the result of a slight improvement in the financial markets as compared to the same period of 2008. Our net investment income was impacted by the turmoil in the financial markets and resulted in lower investment income than has been earned historically in the third quarter.

We recorded net investment income (before tax) of \$78.4 million and \$81.1 million for the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The decline in the nine-month period results, ended September 30, 2009, as compared to the same period of 2008, was due to lower market interest rates earned on our investment portfolio; agency bonds that were called during 2009, the proceeds of which we reinvested at a lower interest rate than was previously available; and the discontinuation of dividend payments in some of our equity securities that previously had paid regular dividends. Also contributing to the year-to-date decrease were changes in the fair value of certain investments in limited liability partnerships, which we account for under the equity method of accounting. Our largest investment in a partnership fund is one that is designed to provide capital to, and invest in, depositories and other financial service companies. We continue to hold our investments in limited liability partnerships, as it is anticipated that the performance of these holdings will improve as the industry recovers.

Realized investment gains were \$1.9 million in the three-month period ended September 30, 2009, compared to realized investment losses of \$4.2 million in the same period of 2008. For the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, we reported realized investment losses of \$14.7 million and \$4.4 million, respectively. The realized investment losses were primarily due to other-than-temporary investment write-downs of fixed maturity securities and equity securities. We recorded no investment write-downs for the three-months ended September 30, 2009 compared to \$5.9 million in investment write-downs for the three-months ended September 30, 2008. For the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, we recorded write-downs of \$18.1 million and \$5.9 million, respectively.

We continually monitor the difference between our cost basis and the estimated fair value of our investments. Our accounting policy for impairment recognition requires other-than-temporary impairment charges to be recorded when we determine that it is more likely than not that we will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the fixed maturity security or that the anticipated recovery in fair value of the equity security will not occur in a reasonable amount of time. Impairment charges on investments are recorded based on the fair value of the investments at the measurement date and are included in net realized investment gains (losses). Factors considered in evaluating whether a decline in value is other-than-temporary include: the length of time and the extent to which the fair value has been less than cost; the financial conditions and near-term prospects of the issuer; company specific news; credit ratings; the economic environment; and our intent and ability to retain the investment for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery.

37

#### **Table of Contents**

Changes in unrealized gains on available-for-sale securities do not affect net income (loss) and earnings (loss) per common share but do impact comprehensive income (loss), stockholders—equity and book value per common share. We believe that our unrealized losses on available-for-sale securities at September 30, 2009 are temporary based upon our current analysis of the issuers of the securities that we hold and current market events. It is possible that we could recognize impairment losses in future periods on securities that we own at September 30, 2009, if future events and information cause us to determine that a decline in value is other-than-temporary. However, we endeavor to invest in high quality assets to provide protection from future credit quality issues and corresponding impairment write-downs. *Investment Yield* 

	Nine Months Ended September 30,						
(In Thousands)	2009	2008					
Average invested assets (1)	\$ 2,282,054	\$ 2,218,600					
Investment income, net (2)	78,416	81,091					
Yield on average invested assets	3.4	<b>%</b> 3.7%					

- (1) Average based on invested assets (including money market accounts) at beginning of the year and end of the current reporting period.
- (2) Investment income after deduction of investment expenses, but before applicable income tax. Realized gains and losses are excluded.

#### LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Liquidity measures our ability to generate sufficient cash flows to meet our short- and long-term cash obligations. Our cash inflows are primarily a result of premiums, annuity deposits, reinsurance recoveries, sales or maturities of investments, and investment income. Historically, we have generated substantial cash inflows from operations because cash from premium payments is usually received in advance of cash payments made to settle losses. When investing the cash generated from operations, we invest in securities with maturities that correlate to the anticipated timing of payments for losses and loss settlement expenses of the underlying insurance policies. The majority of our assets are invested in available-for-sale fixed maturity securities.

Our cash outflows are primarily a result of losses and loss settlement expenses, commissions, premium taxes, income taxes, operating expenses, dividends, annuity withdrawals, and investment purchases. Cash outflows may be variable

because of the uncertainty regarding settlement dates for losses. In addition, the timing and amount of individual catastrophe losses are inherently unpredictable and could increase our liquidity requirements. The following table displays a summary of cash sources and uses in 2009 and 2008.

Cash Flow Summary	Nine Months Ended September 30,						
(In Thousands)	2009			2008			
Cash provided by (used in)							
Operating activities	\$	51,815	\$	31,219			
Investing activities		(168,237)		(143,273)			
Financing activities		183,164		(21,720)			
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	\$	66,742	\$	(133,774)			

The increase of \$20.6 million in cash provided by operating activities during the nine-month period ended September 30, 2009 compared to the same period in 2008 is largely due to a \$26.4 million change in loss reserves primarily due to the life insurance segment scredit life and credit accident and health liability which is in run-off.

# **Table of Contents**

Net cash flows used in investing activities increased by \$25.0 million through September 30, 2009 as compared to the same period in 2008. We had significant cash inflows from sales of investments and from scheduled and unscheduled investment maturities, redemptions and prepayments, which totaled \$289.6 million for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2009 and \$371.1 million for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2008. Our cash outflows for purchases totaled \$368.8 million for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2009 and \$471.8 million for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2008.

For the nine-month period ended September 30, 2009, cash provided by financing activities increased \$204.9 million as compared to the same period in 2008 due primarily to positive annuity and universal life cash flows of \$106.6 million. For the nine-month period ended September 30, 2008, we experienced negative annuity and universal life cash flows of \$40.4 million. We attribute the change in cash inflows between periods to increased annuity sales as more consumers chose to invest their money in products with guaranteed rates of return as well as a reduction in annuity withdrawals from fewer annuities coming due for renewal in the first nine months of 2009. We expect this trend to continue throughout the remainder of 2009.

If our operating, investment and financing cash flows are not sufficient to support our operations, we have additional short-term investments that we could use to generate cash. At September 30, 2009, our consolidated invested assets included \$6.5 million of short-term investments, which consist primarily of fixed maturity securities that mature within one year. We may also borrow up to \$50.0 million on our existing bank line of credit, which expires on July 9, 2010. We did not use our line of credit in the first nine months of 2009, other than to secure letters of credit used in our reinsurance operations. As of September 30, 2009, \$.2 million of the line of credit was allocated for that purpose. We do not anticipate the need to draw on the line of credit in the foreseeable future.

# Stockholders Equity

Stockholders equity increased 6.1 percent from \$641.7 million at December 31, 2008 to \$680.8 million at September 30, 2009. The primary increase to stockholders equity was net unrealized appreciation after-tax of \$60.9 million. This was partially offset by a net loss of \$12.2 million and stockholder dividends of \$12.0 million. At September 30, 2009, book value per common share was \$25.60 compared to \$24.10 at December 31, 2008. STATUTORY AND OTHER NON-GAAP FINANCIAL MEASURES

We believe that disclosure of certain statutory and other non-GAAP financial measures enhances investor understanding of our financial performance. The following such measures are utilized in this report:

**Premiums written** is a measure of our overall business volume. Net premiums written comprise direct and assumed premiums written, net of what we are charged for reinsurance policies. Direct premiums written is the amount of premiums charged for policies issued during the period. Assumed premiums written is consideration or payment we receive in exchange for insurance we provide to other insurance companies. We report these premiums as revenue as they are earned over the underlying policy period. We report premiums written applicable to the unexpired term of a policy as unearned premium subject to reinsurance. We evaluate premiums written as a measure of business production for the period under review.

	Three Months Ended September 30,				Nine Months Ended September 30,			
(In Thousands)	2009		2008		2009		2008	
Net premiums written Net change in unearned premium Net change in prepaid reinsurance	\$ 118,800 1,959	\$	122,440 5,870	\$	367,325 (8,870)	\$	384,519 (9,365)	
premium			(293)		296		(920)	
Net premiums earned	\$ 120,759	\$	128,017	\$	358,751	\$	374,234	

#### **Table of Contents**

Catastrophe losses utilize the designations of the Insurance Services Office ( ISO ) and are reported with loss and loss settlement expense amounts net of reinsurance recoverables, unless specified otherwise. According to the ISO, a catastrophe loss is a single unpredictable incident or series of closely related incidents causing severe insured losses that cause \$25.0 million or more in industry-wide direct insured losses to property and that affect a significant number of insureds and insurers ( ISO catastrophes ). We also include as catastrophes those events we believe are, or will be, material to our operations, either in amount or in number of claims made. Management at times may determine for comparison purposes that it is more meaningful to exclude unusual catastrophe losses or litigation resulting from a catastrophe. The frequency and severity of catastrophic losses we experience in any year affect our results of operations and financial position. In analyzing the underwriting performance of our property and casualty insurance segment, we evaluate performance both including and excluding catastrophe losses. Portions of our catastrophe losses may be recoverable under our catastrophe reinsurance agreements. We include a discussion of the impact of catastrophes because we believe it is meaningful for investors to understand the variability in periodic earnings.

		Three Mor				ths En iber 30		
(In Thousands)	2	2009		2008		2009		2008
ISO catastrophes (1)	\$	9,274	\$	35,124	\$	17,980	\$	50,746
Non-ISO catastrophes		214		1,619		1,616		2,376
Total catastrophes	\$	9,488	\$	36,743	\$	19,596	\$	53,122

(1) This number does not include development incurred of \$25.9 million in the third-quarter 2009. \$38.3 million vear-to-date 2009 and \$10.8 million vear-to-date 2008 in additional Hurricane Katrina reserves resulting from claims litigation.

Combined ratio is a commonly used financial measure of underwriting performance. A combined ratio below 100 percent generally indicates a profitable book of business. The combined ratio is the sum of two separately calculated ratios, the loss and loss settlement expense ratio (referred to as the loss ratio ) and the underwriting expense ratio (the expense ratio ). When prepared in accordance with GAAP, the loss ratio is calculated by dividing the sum of losses and loss settlement expenses by net premium earned. The expense ratio is calculated by dividing nondeferred underwriting expenses and amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs by net premiums earned. When prepared in accordance with statutory accounting principles, the loss ratio is calculated by dividing the sum of losses and loss settlement expenses by net premium earned; the expense ratio is calculated by dividing underwriting expenses by net

premiums written.

**Underwriting income (loss)** is the gain or loss by an insurance company from the business of insurance.

Underwriting income is equal to net premiums earned less incurred losses, loss settlement expenses, amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs, and other underwriting expenses. We use this financial measure in evaluating the results of our operations and to analyze the profitability of our property and casualty segment separately from our investment results.

# ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

We have exposure to market risk arising from potential losses due to adverse changes in interest rates and market prices. However, we have the ability to hold fixed maturity investments to maturity. Our investment guidelines define the overall framework for managing our market and other investment risks, including accountability and controls. In addition, each of our subsidiaries has specific investment policies that delineate the investment limits and strategies that are appropriate given each entity s liquidity, surplus, product, and regulatory requirements. We respond to market risk by managing the character of future investment purchases.

We do not utilize financial instrument hedges or derivative financial instruments to manage risks, nor do we enter into any swap, forward or option contracts, but attempt to mitigate our exposure through active portfolio management. In addition, we place the majority of our investments in high-quality, liquid securities and limit the amount of credit exposure to any one issuer. At September 30, 2009, we did not hold investments in sub-prime mortgages, derivative securities, credit default swaps or other credit-enhancement exposures.

While our primary market risk exposure is changes in interest rates, we do have exposure to changes in equity prices and limited exposure to foreign currency exchange rates.

There have been no material changes in our market risk or market risk factors from that reported in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

40

#### ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

#### **Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures**

Our management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in the Exchange Act Rule 15d-15(e), as amended) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report were designed and functioning effectively to provide reasonable assurance that the information required to be disclosed by us in reports filed under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC s rules and forms. We believe that a controls system, no matter how well designed and operated, cannot provide absolute assurance that the objectives of the controls system are met, and no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within a company have been detected.

#### **Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

Our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, has evaluated our internal control over financial reporting to determine whether any changes occurred during the fiscal quarter to which this report relates that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting. Based on this evaluation, no such change in our internal control over financial reporting occurred during the fiscal quarter to which this report relates.

# PART II OTHER INFORMATION

#### ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

For a detailed discussion of legal proceedings of the Company, refer to Note 1 *Legal Proceedings* in the Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements of this Form 10-Q.

#### ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Our business is subject to a number of risks, including those identified in Part I, Item 1A of our 2008 Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on March 2, 2009, that could have a material effect on our business, results of operations, financial condition, and/or liquidity and that could cause our operating results to vary significantly from period to period. The risks described in the above mentioned document are not the only risks we face. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently deem to be immaterial could also have a material effect on our business, results of operations, financial condition and/or liquidity.

# ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

Under our share repurchase program, announced in August 2007, we may purchase common stock from time to time on the open market or through privately negotiated transactions. The amount and timing of any purchases will be at our discretion and will depend upon a number of factors, including the price, economic and general market conditions, and corporate and regulatory requirements. We will generally consider repurchasing company stock on the open market if (a) the trading price on the Nasdaq Stock Market LLC drops below 130 percent of its book value, (b) sufficient excess capital is available to purchase the stock, and (c) we are optimistic about future market trends. Our share repurchase program may be modified or discontinued at any time.

At its meeting in August 2009, the board of directors extended our Share Repurchase Program for an additional two years. It is currently set to expire in August 2011. Under the extended program, our company has authorization to repurchase up to 575,575 shares of our common stock. For the three-months ended September 30, 2009 we did not repurchase any shares of our common stock. For the nine months-ended September 30, 2009, we repurchased a total of 33,300 shares of our common stock.

41

# **Table of Contents**

# ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None

# ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

None

# **ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION**

None.

# **ITEM 6. EXHIBITS**

Exhibit		Filed
number	Exhibit description	herewith
11	Statement Re Computation of Per Share Earnings. All information required by	X
	Exhibit 11 is presented within Note 7 of the Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial	
	Statements, in accordance with the FASB guidance on Earnings per Share.	
31.1	Certification of Randy A. Ramlo pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of	X
	2002	
31.2	Certification of Dianne M. Lyons pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of	X
	2002	
32.1	Certification of Randy A. Ramlo pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted	X
	pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002	
32.2	Certification of Dianne M. Lyons pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted	X
	pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002	

42

#### **Table of Contents**

#### **SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

UNITED FIRE & CASUALTY COMPANY

(Registrant)

/s/ Randy A. Ramlo /s/ Dianne M. Lyons

Randy A. Ramlo Dianne M. Lyons

President, Chief Executive Officer Vice President, Chief Financial

Officer and Principal Accounting Officer

November 9, 2009 November 9, 2009

(Date) (Date)

43