## BLACKROCK MUNIHOLDINGS FUND II , INC

Form N-CSRS April 09, 2007

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM N-CSRS

CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES

Investment Company Act file number 811-08215

Name of Fund: BlackRock MuniHoldings Fund II, Inc.

Fund Address: P.O. Box 9011

Princeton, NJ 08543-9011

Name and address of agent for service: Robert C. Doll, Jr., Chief Executive Officer, BlackRock MuniHoldings Fund II, Inc., 800 Scudders Mill Road, Plainsboro, NJ, 08536. Mailing address: P.O. Box 9011, Princeton, NJ, 08543-9011

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (609) 282-2800

Date of fiscal year end: 07/31/07

Date of reporting period: 08/01/07 - 01/31/07

Item 1 - Report to Stockholders

ALTERNATIVES BLACKROCK SOLUTIONS EQUITIES FIXED INCOME LIQUIDITY REAL ESTATE

Semi-Annual Reports
(Unaudited)

JANUARY 31, 2007

BlackRock MuniHoldings Fund II, Inc.

BlackRock MuniHoldings New Jersey Insured Fund, Inc.

(BLACKROCK logo)

NOT FDIC INSURED MAY LOSE VALUE NO BANK GUARANTEE

These reports, including the financial information herein, are transmitted to shareholders of BlackRock MuniHoldings Fund II, Inc. and BlackRock MuniHoldings

New Jersey Insured Fund, Inc. for their information. This is not a prospectus. Past performance results shown in these reports should not be considered a representation of future performance. The Funds have leveraged their Common Stock and intend to remain leveraged by issuing Preferred Stock to provide the Common Stock shareholders with a potentially higher rate of return. Leverage creates risks for Common Stock shareholders, including the likelihood of greater volatility of net asset value and market price of shares of the Common Stock, and the risk that fluctuations in the short-term dividend rates of the Preferred Stock may affect the yield to Common Stock shareholders. Statements and other information herein are as dated and are subject to change.

A description of the policies and procedures that the Funds use to determine how to vote proxies relating to portfolio securities is available (1) without charge, upon request, by calling toll-free 1-800-441-7762; (2) at www.blackrock.com; and (3) on the Securities and Exchange Commission's Web site at http://www.sec.gov. Information about how the Funds voted proxies relating to securities held in the Funds' portfolios during the most recent 12-month period ended June 30 is available (1) at www.blackrock.com; and (2) on the Securities and Exchange Commission's Web site at http://www.sec.gov.

BlackRock MuniHolidngs Fund II, Inc. BlackRock MuniHoldings New Jersey Insured Fund, Inc. P.O. Box 9011 Princeton, NJ 08543-9011

(GO PAPERLESS... logo)
It's Fast, Convenient, & Timely!

BlackRock MuniHoldings Fund II, Inc.

BlackRock MuniHoldings New Jersey Insured Fund, Inc.

Quality Profiles as of January 31, 2007

BlackRock MuniHoldings Fund II, Inc. By S&P/Moody's Rating	Percent of Total Investments
AAA/Aaa	44.3%
AA/Aa	9.2
A/A	12.8
BBB/Baa	13.7
BB/Ba	0.5
B/B	0.8
CCC/Caa	2.6
NR (Not Rated)	15.3
Other*	0.8

<sup>\*</sup> Includes portfolio holdings in short-term investments and variable rate demand notes.

BlackRock MuniHoldings New Jersey Percent of Insured Fund, Inc. Total By S&P/Moody's Rating Investments

AAA/Aaa	88.0%
AA/Aa	2.6
A/A	3.6
BBB/Baa	3.8
BB/Ba	0.4
Other*	1.6

<sup>\*</sup> Includes portfolio holdings in short-term investments.

Announcement of Annual Stockholders Meeting

The Funds have determined that their annual stockholders meeting originally scheduled to be held in April 2007 will be postponed and will be held in September 2007. Proposals of stockholders intended to be presented at the meeting must be received by the Funds by May 15, 2007 for inclusion in each Fund's proxy statement and form of proxy for that meeting. The persons named as proxies in the proxy materials for each Fund's 2007 annual meeting of stockholders may exercise discretionary authority with respect to any stockholder proposal presented at such meeting if written notice of such proposal has not been received by the Funds by July 1, 2007. Written Proposals and notices should be sent to the Secretary of the Fund, 800 Scudders Mill Road, Plainsboro, New Jersey 08536.

#### Dividend Policy

The Funds' dividend policy is to distribute all or a portion of their net investment income to their shareholders on a monthly basis. In order to provide shareholders with a more stable level of dividend distributions, the Funds may at times pay out less than the entire amount of net investment income earned in any particular month and may at times in any particular month pay out such accumulated but undistributed income in addition to net investment income earned in that month. As a result, the dividends paid by the Funds for any particular month may be more or less than the amount of net investment income earned by the Funds during such month. The Funds' current accumulated but undistributed net investment income, if any, is disclosed in the Statement of Net Assets, which comprises part of the financial information included in these reports.

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORTS JANUARY 31, 2007

A Letter to Shareholders

Dear Shareholder

The new year began on a positive note for most financial markets, continuing the momentum from the fourth quarter of 2006. Returns for the annual and semi-annual periods ended January 31, 2007 were as follows:

Total Returns as of January 31, 2007	6-month
U.S. equities (Standard & Poor's 500 Index)	+13.75%
Small cap U.S. equities (Russell 2000 Index)	+14.95
International equities (MSCI Europe, Australasia, Far East Index)	+14.33
Fixed income (Lehman Brothers Aggregate Bond Index)	+ 3.65
Tax-exempt fixed income (Lehman Brothers Municipal Bond Index)	+ 3.06
High yield bonds (Credit Suisse High Yield Index)	+ 8.45

After raising the target short-term interest rate 17 times between June 2004 and June 2006, the Federal Reserve Board (the Fed) finally opted to pause on August 8, 2006. This left the target rate at 5.25%, where it remained through period-end. In interrupting its interest rate-hiking campaign, the Fed initially acknowledged that economic growth was slowing, but maintained a cautionary view on inflation. Most recently, the central bankers have pointed to a firming in economic data and moderation in inflation, causing many to believe the Fed will likely remain on hold for the time being.

Overall, 2006 was a good year for U.S. equities, despite a mid-year correction that was largely triggered by rising interest rates, inflation fears, elevated oil prices and geopolitical uncertainties. Nevertheless, strong corporate earnings, abundant liquidity and record merger-and-acquisition activity provided a solid backdrop for stocks. Many international markets (with the notable exception of Japan) performed even better, outpacing U.S. stocks for the fifth consecutive year. European equities and select emerging markets fared particularly well. This broad equity strength carried into January.

For much of 2006, bond yields moved higher as their prices declined. Prices began to improve (yields fell) in the summer as the economy showed signs of weakening and the Fed paused. Notably, the Treasury curve remained inverted for much of 2006 and into 2007. The 30-year Treasury yield stood at 4.93% at January 31, 2007, while the six-month Treasury offered the highest yield on the curve at 5.16% - both still below the federal funds rate.

As 2007 began, investors were left with a few key questions: Will the U.S. economy achieve a soft landing, will the Fed reverse its prior policy and cut interest rates, and how might these outcomes impact the investment climate. As you navigate these and other uncertainties inherent in the financial markets, we encourage you to review your investment goals with your financial professional and to make portfolio changes, as needed. For more reflection on 2006 and our 10 predictions for 2007, please ask your financial professional for a copy of "What's Ahead in 2007: An Investment Perspective," or view it online at www.blackrock.com/funds. We thank you for trusting BlackRock with your investment assets, and we look forward to continuing to serve you in the months and years ahead.

Sincerely,

(Robert C. Doll, Jr.)
Robert C. Doll, Jr.
Fund President and Director

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORTS

JANUARY 31, 2007

A Discussion With Your Fund's Portfolio Managers

Portfolio activity was centered on maintenance of a neutral investment posture and competitive yield amid the prevailing low interest rate environment.

Describe the recent market environment relative to municipal bonds.

Long-term bond yields moved slightly lower during the past six months as their prices, which move in the opposite direction, increased. The municipal market's strong technical position allowed municipal bond yields to decline more than taxable yields.

The strength in bond prices came amid a slowdown in U.S. economic growth and the Federal Reserve Board's (the Fed) decision at its August 8, 2006 meeting to refrain from raising its target interest rate after 17 consecutive increases since June 2004. Bond prices found additional support in moderating oil prices. By November 30, 2006, 30-year Treasury bond yields had declined 51 basis points (.51%) to 4.56%. However, stronger-than-expected economic releases and year-end profit taking pushed yields higher during December and January. For the six-month period overall, 30-year U.S. Treasury bond yields fell 14 basis points to 4.93% and 10-year Treasury note yields declined 16 basis points to 4.83%.

By and large, the tax-exempt bond market outperformed comparable U.S. Treasury issues as investor demand for municipal product outstripped a resurgent newissue calendar. For the six months ended January 31, 2007, as reported by Municipal Market Data, yields on 30-year, AAA-rated municipal issues declined 30 basis points to 4.17%. During the same period, yields on 10-year, AAA-rated tax-exempt bonds declined 16 basis points to 3.86%.

Investor demand for municipal product remained strong throughout the reporting period. According to statistics from the Investment Company Institute, in 2006, long-term municipal bond funds had net new cash flows of over \$15 billion, a 200% increase versus 2005. At the same time, new-issue volume has surged in recent months as municipalities rushed to take advantage of low market yields and higher demand levels. In the three months ended January 31, more than \$117 billion in new long-term municipal bonds was issued, an increase of over 30% versus the same period a year ago. Issuance in January, which totaled \$29 billion, was the highest January volume on record. For all of 2006, new bond underwritings totaled nearly \$385 billion, just 6% below 2005's record issuance and close to initial estimates. The recent increase in issuance has made the municipal market's outperformance even more impressive. In the past three months, we saw more than 10 underwritings that were greater than \$700 million in size. Investor demand has been so robust that these issues have been well oversubscribed and seen their initial offering yields reduced by as much as 10 basis points.

Looking ahead, we expect the technical environment for the tax-exempt bond market to remain constructive. Attractive yield ratios, coupled with a relatively steep municipal yield curve and manageable new issuance, should help to sustain investor appetite for municipal product and enable the sector to continue its strong performance.

BlackRock MuniHoldings Fund II, Inc.

How did the Fund perform during the period?

For the six-month period ended January 31, 2007, the Common Stock of BlackRock

MuniHoldings Fund II, Inc. (formerly MuniHoldings Fund II, Inc.) had net annualized yields of 5.14% and 5.28%, based on a period-end per share net asset value of \$15.06 and a per share market price of \$14.65, respectively, and \$.390 per share income dividends. Over the same period, the total investment return on the Fund's Common Stock was +4.33%, based on a change in per share net asset value from \$14.82 to \$15.06, and assuming reinvestment of all distributions.

The Fund's total return, based on net asset value, exceeded the +4.23% average return of the Lipper General Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged) category for the six-month period. (Funds in this Lipper category invest primarily in municipal debt issues rated in the top four credit-rating categories. These funds can be leveraged via use of debt, preferred equity and/or reverse repurchase agreements.)

Performance benefited primarily from the Fund's fairly neutral stance on interest rates and our fully invested posture. We also were able to maintain the Fund's competitive dividend yield, a by-product of the seasoned nature of the Fund's holdings (that is, the portfolio consists primarily of higher-quality issues with higher coupons). Notably, the Fund preserved its strong accrual rate despite having reduced an overweight exposure to lower-quality, higher-yielding municipal securities, which outpaced higher-quality names throughout 2006. Another positive contributor was the ongoing spate of refunding activity in the marketplace, which proved beneficial to some of the Fund's holdings. When a bond is refinanced ahead of its maturity date, its price typically increases. A generally favorable bond market environment further aided performance as long-dated municipals - in which we held a modest overweight position - generated impressive returns, particularly during the latter half of the period.

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORTS

JANUARY 31, 2007

For a description of the Fund's total investment return based on a change in the per share market value of the Fund's Common Stock (as measured by the trading price of the Fund's shares on the New York Stock Exchange), and assuming reinvestment of distributions, please refer to the Financial Highlights section of this report. As a closed-end fund, the Fund's shares may trade in the secondary market at a premium or discount to the Fund's net asset value. As a result, total investment returns based on changes in the market value of the Fund's Common Stock may vary significantly from total investment returns based on changes in the Fund's net asset value.

What changes were made to the portfolio during the period?

Portfolio activity was limited during the period. In part, this signals our overall satisfaction with the Fund's existing composition and risk profile. It also is a reflection of the significant supply/demand imbalance that still persists in the municipal market. Municipal supply surged more than 30% in the latter half of the period, reaching \$383 billion for full-year 2006. Although this is a nominally high level, it is 6.1% lower than the record volume recorded in 2005. The smaller supply, coupled with a firm Treasury market, caused municipal cash to perform strongly during the semi-annual period, but offered few relative value opportunities. Having said that, we did find attractive relative value in the health care sector, where a heavy slate of issuance late in 2006 put pressure on credit spreads and created some advantageous buying opportunities, particularly within higher-rated healthcare credits (A-rated and AA-rated names).

Sector concentration was largely static, with our primary focus still on the tax-backed-, corporate- and health care- related segments. The main exception was refunded bonds, to which we increased our exposure by virtue of the heightened refunding activity in the market. While this became an increasingly significant component of the portfolio during the reporting period, we do not expect material changes here in the future. This is largely because, as callable bonds are refunded, they assume risk parameters commensurate with the five-year to 10-year maturity range, an area of the municipal curve that we believe represents compelling value.

For the six months ended January 31, 2007, the average yield for the Fund's Auction Market Preferred Stock was 3.49% for Series A and 3.36% for Series B. There was no material change in the Fund's borrowing costs, as the Fed left the short-term interest rate target unchanged throughout the period. In light of the Fed's current wait-and-see stance on monetary policy, we would expect additional increases in the Fund's cost of funds to be limited. The tax-exempt yield curve maintained a positive slope throughout the period, allowing us to borrow at a lower rate than where we invest. This continued to generate an income benefit to the holders of Common Stock from the leveraging of Preferred Stock. However, should the spread between short-term and long-term interest rates narrow, the benefits of leveraging will decline and, as a result, reduce the yield on the Fund's Common Stock. For a more complete explanation of the benefits and risks of leveraging, see page 8 of this report to shareholders.

How would you characterize the Fund's position at the close of the period?

The Fund ended the period fully invested and with an overall neutral market posture. We believe this is the most suitable positioning given the Fed's wait-and-see approach to monetary policy and the historical flatness of the yield curve. We will look for a steepening of the yield curve to present attractive investment opportunities for the portfolio; specifically, we believe the 15-year to 20-year segment of the curve could offer strong relative performance in the future. Overall, we will seek to generate excess return for our shareholders primarily through our research capabilities, security selection and yield curve strategies, with further benefit from a continually constructive environment for municipals.

BlackRock MuniHoldings New Jersey Insured Fund, Inc.

Describe conditions in the State of New Jersey.

The State of New Jersey is rated Aa3, AA and AA- by Moody's, Standard & Poor's and Fitch, respectively, all with stable outlooks. New Jersey ranks fourth in both debt per capita and debt as a percent of personal income, according to Moody's. The state ranked second in per capita personal income in 2005, according to the U.S. Department of Commerce. New Jersey's November 2006 unemployment rate was 4.5%, consistent with the national rate.

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORTS

JANUARY 31, 2007

A Discussion With Your Fund's Portfolio Managers (concluded)

Fiscal year 2006 operations resulted in an estimated \$1.5 billion general fund balance. The \$31 billion fiscal year 2007 budget includes a 1.0% sales tax

hike, which is expected to generate roughly \$1.2 billion, and approximately \$2 billion in spending reductions and freezes. These are the major components in reducing a projected \$4.5 billion budget gap. The legislature recently approved a tax reform measure that cuts property taxes for most New Jersey residents by 20%. The tax reform legislation also imposes a 4% annual cap on property tax increases by municipalities and school districts, although various spending exemptions exist. The legislation awaits the governor's signature. Other key credit issues include budgetary pressures from retiree healthcare costs and pensions. The governor expects to propose his fiscal year 2008 budget later in February, as is customary in New Jersey.

How did the Fund perform during the period?

For the six-month period ended January 31, 2007, the Common Stock of BlackRock MuniHoldings New Jersey Insured Fund, Inc. (formerly MuniHoldings New Jersey Insured Fund, Inc.) had net annualized yields of 4.95% and 4.88%, based on a period-end per share net asset value of \$15.15 and a per share market price of \$15.38, respectively, and \$.378 per share income dividends. Over the same period, the total investment return on the Fund's Common Stock was +4.18%, based on a change in per share net asset value from \$14.91 to \$15.15, and assuming reinvestment of all distributions.

The Fund's total return, based on net asset value, trailed the +4.48% average return of the Lipper New Jersey Municipal Debt Funds category for the sixmonth period. (Funds in this Lipper category limit their investment to those securities exempt from taxation in New Jersey or a city in New Jersey.) Our neutral duration position proved favorable in protecting the Fund's net asset value during times of interest rate volatility but less advantageous as municipal rates fell throughout the period. Total return performance also was restrained by the Fund's conservative investment parameters, which restrict its ability to invest in lower-quality issues relative to its peer group. Further tightening of credit spreads allowed these securities to continue outperforming higher-quality issues of comparable maturity.

Also detracting from performance was the ongoing effect of a low-interest-rate environment, wherein issuers of higher-coupon bonds pursue refinancing opportunities and call their outstanding bonds. This trend persisted throughout the semi-annual period, when a number of the Fund's seasoned holdings with higher coupons were retired by their issuers, thus lowering the distribution income of the Fund. Given our limited ability to participate in lower-rated issues and a scant new-issue supply, it remained ever more difficult to compensate for the yield lost to calls.

For a description of the Fund's total investment return based on a change in the per share market value of the Fund's Common Stock (as measured by the trading price of the Fund's shares on the New York Stock Exchange), and assuming reinvestment of distributions, please refer to the Financial Highlights section of this report. As a closed-end fund, the Fund's shares may trade in the secondary market at a premium or discount to the Fund's net asset value. As a result, total investment returns based on changes in the market value of the Fund's Common Stock may vary significantly from total investment returns based on changes in the Fund's net asset value.

What changes were made to the portfolio during the period?

Portfolio activity was centered on maintaining the Fund's relatively neutral investment stance and competitive distribution rate. We continued to trim some of the portfolio's short-term investments, specifically shorter-dated prerefunded bonds and high-coupon, short-call bonds. Early in the period, we redeployed some of these assets in the intermediate portion of the yield curve

(10 years to 15 years) as the degree of curve flattening pointed toward a reasonable likelihood for strong relative performance in this area. As it became more evident that, for the foreseeable future, the Fed is content on the sidelines, we believed it reasonable to also seek prospects on the long end of the curve, where we found attractive opportunities to trade the portfolio and generate incremental yield for the Fund. Accordingly, our purchases were comprised primarily of out-of-favor coupons (particularly discounted bonds), housing bonds, as well as a few zero-coupon bonds that included Puerto Rican zero-coupon bonds. Because zero-coupon bonds tend to add duration, we were especially selective in this area to ensure that our investments preserved the Fund's neutral duration posture.

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORTS

JANUARY 31, 2007

With respect to new issuance, levels were depressed versus the prior year (down 38% for full-year 2006) and that limited overall portfolio activity during the period. However, a surge of supply at year-end provided several opportunities to participate in the market and diversify into new credits and better structures. In addition to the aforementioned longer-dated, discounted bonds, we also participated in several discounted new issues in the higher-education sector. Although these deals were substantially smaller than those we would normally target (and generally fly below the radar screen for many), they fit well within the investment parameters of the Fund and offered an excellent opportunity to capture additional market yield.

Throughout the period, we continued to maintain a high-quality portfolio. Consistent with the Fund's investment parameters, at least 80% of the portfolio was invested in AAA-rated, insured bonds.

For the six months ended January 31, 2007, the Fund's Auction Market Preferred Stock had average yields as follows: Series A, 3.17%; Series B, 3.15%; Series C, 3.16%; Series D, 3.09%; and Series E, 3.13%. There was no material change in the Fund's borrowing costs as the Fed left the short-term interest rate target unchanged throughout the period. In light of the Fed's current wait-and-see stance on monetary policy, we would expect additional increases in the Fund's cost of funds to be limited. The tax-exempt yield curve maintained a positive slope throughout the period, allowing us to borrow at a lower rate than where we invest. This continued to generate an income benefit to the holders of Common Stock from the leveraging of Preferred Stock. However, should the spread between short-term and long-term interest rates narrow, the benefits of leveraging will decline and, as a result, reduce the yield on the Fund's Common Stock. For a more complete explanation of the benefits and risks of leveraging, see page 8 of this report to shareholders.

How would you characterize the Fund's position at the close of the period?

The Fund ended the period fully invested and with an overall neutral market positioning. Given a quiet Fed and few new-issue prospects, we are focusing our efforts on identifying pockets of smaller transactions that offer compelling opportunities, while continuing to add higher-yielding, out-of-favor bonds as occasions arise. At the same time, we await a larger new-issue calendar to offer greater opportunities to diversify and restructure the portfolio. Looking ahead, we expect individual security selection and yield to be the primary drivers of the Fund's performance, and intend to seek that value and capital appreciation on the long end of the municipal yield curve.

Theodore R. Jaeckel Jr., CFA

Portfolio Manager BlackRock MuniHoldings Fund II, Inc.

Timothy Browse, CFA
Portfolio Manager
BlackRock MuniHoldings New Jersey Insured Fund, Inc.

February 22, 2007

Effective October 2, 2006, Theodore R. Jaeckel Jr. assumed responsibility for the day-to-day management of BlackRock MuniHoldings Fund II, Inc.'s portfolio. Mr. Jaeckel is a Managing Director with BlackRock, Inc. Previously, he was a Managing Director in the Municipal Tax-Exempt Fund Management group with Merrill Lynch Investment Managers (MLIM) from 2005 to 2006, a Director thereof from 1997 to 2005 and a Vice President from 1991 to 1997.

As of the same date, Timothy T. Browse assumed responsibility for the day-to-day management of BlackRock MuniHoldings New Jersey Insured Fund, Inc.'s portfolio. Mr. Browse is a Vice President of BlackRock, Inc. Previously, he was a Vice President in the Municipal Tax-Exempt Fund Management group of MLIM from 2004 to 2006. Prior to that, Mr. Browse was a Vice President, Portfolio Manager and Team Leader of the Municipal Investments Team with Lord Abbett & Co. from 2000 to 2003, and Vice President and Portfolio Manager in the Municipal Fund Management group of Eaton Vance Management, Inc. from 1992 to 2000.

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORTS

JANUARY 31, 2007

The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging

The Funds utilize leveraging to seek to enhance the yield and net asset value of their Common Stock. However, these objectives cannot be achieved in all interest rate environments. To leverage, each Fund issues Preferred Stock, which pays dividends at prevailing short-term interest rates, and invests the proceeds in long-term municipal bonds. The interest earned on these investments, net of dividends to Preferred Stock, is paid to Common Stock shareholders in the form of dividends, and the value of these portfolio holdings is reflected in the per share net asset value of each Fund's Common Stock. However, in order to benefit Common Stock shareholders, the yield curve must be positively sloped; that is, short-term interest rates must be lower than long-term interest rates. At the same time, a period of generally declining interest rates will benefit Common Stock shareholders. If either of these conditions change, then the risks of leveraging will begin to outweigh the benefits.

To illustrate these concepts, assume a fund's Common Stock capitalization of \$100 million and the issuance of Preferred Stock for an additional \$50 million, creating a total value of \$150 million available for investment in long-term municipal bonds. If prevailing short-term interest rates are approximately 3% and long-term interest rates are approximately 6%, the yield curve has a strongly positive slope. The fund pays dividends on the \$50 million of Preferred Stock based on the lower short-term interest rates. At the same time, the fund's total portfolio of \$150 million earns the income based on long-term interest rates. Of course, increases in short-term interest rates would reduce (and even eliminate) the dividends on the Common Stock.

In this case, the dividends paid to Preferred Stock shareholders are significantly lower than the income earned on the fund's long-term investments, and therefore the Common Stock shareholders are the beneficiaries of the incremental yield. However, if short-term interest rates rise, narrowing the differential between short-term and long-term interest rates, the incremental yield pickup on the Common Stock will be reduced or eliminated completely. At the same time, the market value of the fund's Common Stock (that is, its price as listed on the New York Stock Exchange) may, as a result, decline. Furthermore, if long-term interest rates rise, the Common Stock's net asset value will reflect the full decline in the price of the portfolio's investments, since the value of the fund's Preferred Stock does not fluctuate. In addition to the decline in net asset value, the market value of the fund's Common Stock may also decline. As of January 31, 2007, BlackRock MuniHoldings Fund II, Inc. and BlackRock MuniHoldings New Jersey Insured Fund, Inc. had leverage amounts, due to Auction Market Preferred Stock, of 39.48% and 38.72%, respectively, before the deduction of Preferred Stock.

As a part of its investment strategy, the Funds may invest in certain securities whose potential income return is inversely related to changes in a floating interest rate ("inverse floaters"). In general, income on inverse floaters will de-crease when short-term interest rates increase and increase when short-term interest rates decrease. Investments in inverse floaters may be characterized as derivative securities and may subject the Funds to the risks of reduced or eliminated interest payments and losses of invested principal. In addition, inverse floaters have the effect of providing investment leverage and, as a result, the market value of such securities will generally be more volatile than that of fixed rate, tax-exempt securities. To the extent the Funds invest in inverse floaters, the market value of each Fund's portfolio and the net asset value of each Fund's shares may also be more volatile than if the Funds did not invest in these securities.

#### Swap Agreements

The Funds may invest in swap agreements, which are over-the-counter contracts in which one party agrees to make periodic payments based on the change in market value of a specified bond, basket of bonds, or index in return for periodic payments based on a fixed or variable interest rate or the change in market value of a different bond, basket of bonds or index. Swap agreements may be used to obtain exposure to a bond or market without owning or taking physical custody of securities. Swap agreements involve the risk that the party with whom each Fund has entered into the swap will default on its obligation to pay the Fund and the risk that the Fund will not be able to meet its obligations to pay the other party to the agreement.

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORTS JANUARY 31, 2007

Schedule of Investments as of January 31, 2007 (Unaudited) (in Thousands)

BlackRock MuniHoldings Fund II, Inc.

Face
Amount Municipal Bonds

Value

Alabama3.3%		
\$ 1,145 835 3,450	Birmingham, Alabama, Special Care Facilities Financing Authority, Revenue Refunding Bonds (Ascension Health Credit), Series C-2: 5% due 11/15/2036 5% due 11/15/2039  Jefferson County, Alabama, Limited Obligation School Warrants, Series A, 5% due 1/01/2024	\$ 1,186 863 3,595
Arizona4.6%		
1,000	Arizona Health Facilities Authority Revenue Bonds (Catholic Healthcare West), Series A, 6.625% due 7/01/2020	1,098
1,365	Maricopa County, Arizona, IDA, Education Revenue Bonds (Arizona Charter Schools Project 1),	
2,060	Series A, 6.50% due 7/01/2012 Phoenix, Arizona, IDA, Airport Facility, Revenue Refunding Bonds (America West Airlines Inc.	1,392
985	Project), AMT, 6.30% due 4/01/2023 Pima County, Arizona, IDA, Education Revenue Bonds (Arizona Charter Schools Project), Series C, 6.75%	2,102
1,000	due 7/01/2031 Pinal County, Arizona, COP, 5% due 12/01/2029	1,055 1,036
980	Show Low, Arizona, Improvement District Number 5, Special Assessment Bonds, 6.375% due 1/01/2015	1,003
Arkansas0.6%	5	
1,000	University of Arkansas, University Construction Revenue Bonds (UAMS Campus), Series B, 5% due 11/01/2022 (d)	1,056
California21	.0%	
2,000	Benicia, California, Unified School District, GO, Refunding, Series A, 5.615% due 8/01/2020 (b) (m)	1,119
5,200	California State Public Works Board, Lease Revenue Bonds (Department of Corrections), Series C, 5.25% due 6/01/2028	5 <b>,</b> 493
1,000	East Side Union High School District, California, Santa Clara County, GO (Election of 2002),	
870	Series D, 5% due 8/01/2020 (i) Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corporation of California, Tobacco Settlement Revenue Bonds,	1,068
8,010	Series A-3, 7.875% due 6/01/2042 Los Angeles, California, Unified School District, GO,	1,060
1,750	Series A, 5% due 7/01/2013 (d) (h) Poway, California, Unified School District, Special Tax (Community Facilities District Number 6 Area),	8 <b>,</b> 586
	Series A, 6.125% due 9/01/2033	1,844
Face Amount	Municipal Bonds	Value

California (concluded)

\$ 1,820 1,945 2,070 5,525 4,925	San Marino, California, Unified School District,  GO, Series A (d) (m):  5.50% due 7/01/2017  5.55% due 7/01/2018  5.60% due 7/01/2019  Sequoia, California, Unified High School District,  GO, Refunding, Series B, 5.50% due 7/01/2035 (c)  Tracy, California, Area Public Facilities Financing  Agency, Special Tax Refunding Bonds (Community  Facilities District Number 87-1), Series H, 5.875%  due 10/01/2019 (d)	1,176 1,199 1,216 6,156
1,250	Tustin, California, Unified School District, Senior Lien Special Tax Bonds (Community Facilities District Number 97-1), Series A, 5% due 9/01/2032 (c)	1 <b>,</b> 295
Colorado1.6%		
1,675 860	Elk Valley, Colorado, Public Improvement Revenue Bonds (Public Improvement Fee), Series A, 7.10% due 9/01/2014 Plaza Metropolitan District Number 1, Colorado, Tax	1 <b>,</b> 787
	Allocation Revenue Bonds (Public Improvement Fees), 8.125% due 12/01/2025	866
Florida8.8%		
1,640	Ballantrae, Florida, Community Development District, Capital Improvement Revenue Bonds, 6% due 5/01/2035	1,726
2,100	Highlands County, Florida, Health Facilities Authority, Hospital Revenue Refunding Bonds (Adventist Health System), Series G, 5.125% due 11/15/2032	•
1,765	Miami-Dade County, Florida, Subordinate Special Obligation Revenue Bonds, Series A, 5.24%	
2,450	due 10/01/2037 (d) (m) Midtown Miami, Florida, Community Development District, Special Assessment Revenue Bonds,	376
2,400	Series A, 6.25% due 5/01/2037  Orange County, Florida, Health Facilities Authority, Hospital Revenue Bonds (Orlando Regional	2,657
1,515	Healthcare), 6% due 12/01/2012 (h) Orlando, Florida, Greater Orlando Aviation Authority, Airport Facilities Revenue Bonds (JetBlue Airways	2,654
525	Corp.), AMT, 6.50% due 11/15/2036  Palm Coast Park Community Development District, Florida, Special Assessment Revenue Bonds, 5.70%	1,632
1,270	due 5/01/2037  Preserve at Wilderness Lake, Florida, Community  Development District, Capital Improvement Bonds,	536
1,700	Series A, 5.90% due 5/01/2034 West Villages Improvement District, Florida, Special Assessment Revenue Refunding Bonds (Unit of	1,321
	Development Number 2), 5.80% due 5/01/2036	1,769

Portfolio Abbreviations

To simplify the listings of portfolio holdings in the Schedules of Investments, we have abbreviated the names of many of the securities according to the list at right.

AMT	Alternative Minimum Tax (subject to)
COP	Certificates of Participation
DRIVERS	Derivative Inverse Tax-Exempt Receipts
EDA	Economic Development Authority
EDR	Economic Development Revenue Bonds
GO	General Obligation Bonds
HDA	Housing Development Authority
HFA	Housing Finance Agency
IDA	Industrial Development Authority
IDR	Industrial Development Revenue Bonds
PCR	Pollution Control Revenue Bonds
RIB	Residual Interest Bonds
S/F	Single Family
VRDN	Variable Rate Demand Notes

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORTS JANUARY 31, 2007

Schedule of Investments (continued)

(in Thousands)

BlackRock MuniHoldings Fund II, Inc.

Face	Municipal Danda	77-7
Amount	Municipal Bonds	Value
Georgia3.7%		
\$ 1,250	Atlanta, Georgia, Tax Allocation Bonds (Atlantic Station Project), 7.90% due 12/01/2024	\$ 1,382
1,535	Brunswick and Glynn County, Georgia, Development Authority, First Mortgage Revenue Bonds (Coastal Community Retirement Corporation Project),	
	Series A, 7.25% due 1/01/2035	1,490
1,945	Fulton County, Georgia, Development Authority, PCR (General Motors Corporation), Refunding, VRDN, 8% due 4/01/2010 (j)	1 0/15
1,250	Milledgeville-Baldwin County, Georgia, Development	1,945
1,250	Authority Revenue Bonds (Georgia College and	
	State University Foundation), 5.50% due 9/01/2024	1,343
Idaho1.3%		
2,000	Power County, Idaho, Industrial Development Corporation, Solid Waste Disposal Revenue Bonds (FMC Corporation Project), AMT, 6.45% due 8/01/2032	2 125
	uue 0/01/2032	2,125

Illinois--2.8%

1,000 1,000 2,000 500	Chicago, Illinois, O'Hare International Airport, Special Facility Revenue Refunding Bonds (American Airlines Inc. Project), 8.20% due 12/01/2024 Chicago, Illinois, Special Assessment Bonds (Lake Shore East), 6.75% due 12/01/2032 Illinois HDA, Homeowner Mortgage Revenue Bonds, AMT, Sub-Series C-2, 5.25% due 8/01/2022 Illinois State Finance Authority Revenue Bonds (Landing At Plymouth Place Project), Series A, 6% due 5/15/2025	1,030 1,081 2,057
Louisiana2.4	86 8	
2,500 1,275	Louisiana Public Facilities Authority, Hospital Revenue Bonds (Franciscan Missionaries of Our Lady Health System, Inc.), Series A, 5.25% due 8/15/2036 New Orleans, Louisiana, Financing Authority Revenue Bonds (Xavier University of Louisiana Project), 5.30% due 6/01/2026 (d)	2,623 1,337
Maine2.1%		
3,425	Maine State Housing Authority, Mortgage Purchase Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series B, 5.30% due 11/15/2023	3,563
Maryland0.6%		
1,050	Maryland State Energy Financing Administration, Limited Obligation Revenue Bonds (Cogeneration- AES Warrior Run), AMT, 7.40% due 9/01/2019	1,056
Massachusetts-	-4.6%	
600 1,500 2,100 3,000	Massachusetts State Development Finance Agency Revenue Bonds (Neville Communities Home), Series A (f): 5.75% due 6/20/2022 6% due 6/20/2044  Massachusetts State HFA, Housing Revenue Bonds, AMT, Series A, 5.25% due 12/01/2048  Massachusetts State School Building Authority, Dedicated Sales Tax Revenue Bonds, Series A, 5% due 8/15/2030 (c)	670 1,680 2,160
Michigan0.7%		
1,100	Flint, Michigan, Hospital Building Authority, Revenue Refunding Bonds (Hurley Medical Center), Series A, 6% due 7/01/2020 (k)	1,198
Face Amount	Municipal Bonds	Value

Minnesota--7.0%

\$	1,680	Minneapolis, Minnesota, Community Development Agency, Supported Development Revenue Refunding Bonds (Common Bond), Series G-3,	
	4 000	5.35% due 12/01/2011 (h) \$	1,792
	4,220	Minnesota State Municipal Power Agency, Electric Revenue Bonds, 5.25% due 10/01/2021 Rockford, Minnesota, Independent School District Number 883, GO (c):	4,504
	2,870	5.60% due 2/01/2019	3,013
	2,390	5.60% due 2/01/2020	2,509
Missi	ssippi1	.5%	
		Mississippi Business Finance Corporation, Mississippi, PCR, Refunding (System Energy Resources, Inc. Project):	
	2,000	5.875% due 4/01/2022	2,021
	500	5.90% due 5/01/2022	505
Misso	uri1.9%		
	950	Fenton, Missouri, Tax Increment Revenue Refunding and Improvement Bonds (Gravois Bluffs), 7% due 10/01/2011 (h)	1,084
	1,000	Kansas City, Missouri, IDA, First Mortgage Health Facilities Revenue Bonds (Bishop Spencer Place), Series A, 6.50% due 1/01/2035	1,058
	1,000	Missouri State Development Finance Board, Infrastructure Facilities Revenue Refunding Bonds (Branson), Series A, 5.50% due 12/01/2032	1,050
New J	ersey11	.4%	
		New Jersey EDA, Cigarette Tax Revenue Bonds:	
	4,050	5.75% due 6/15/2029	4,352
	1,890	5.50% due 6/15/2031  New Jersey EDA, Retirement Community Revenue  Bonds, Series A (h):	1,990
	1,000	(Cedar Crest Village, Inc. Facility), 7.25% due 11/15/2011	1,140
	2,000	(Seabrook Village, Inc.), 8.125% due 11/15/2010	2,292
	2,000	New Jersey EDA, Special Facility Revenue Bonds (Continental Airlines, Inc. Project), AMT, 6.625% due 9/15/2012	2 <b>,</b> 171
	2,375	New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority Revenue Bonds (South Jersey Hospital), 6% due 7/01/2012 (h)	2,613
	2,500	New Jersey State Turnpike Authority, Turnpike Revenue	_, ====
	1,725	Bonds, Series C, 5% due 1/01/2030 (c) Tobacco Settlement Financing Corporation of	2 <b>,</b> 627
		New Jersey, Asset-Backed Revenue Bonds, 7% due 6/01/2013 (h)	2,026

## New Mexico--3.9%

3,675 Farmington, New Mexico, PCR, Refunding (Public Service Company of New Mexico--San Juan

=aga.	i milgi bertoru to ort mortii to ebii talo i ortb ii ; ii to ii i	
2 <b>,</b> 675	Project), Series A, 5.80% due 4/01/2022 New Mexico Finance Authority, Senior Lien State Transportation Revenue Bonds, Series A, 5.125%	3,713
	due 6/15/2018 (d)	2,880
New York11.	4%	
900	Dutchess County, New York, IDA, Civic Facility Revenue Refunding Bonds (Saint Francis Hospital), Series A, 7.50% due 3/01/2029	999
415	New York City, New York, City IDA, Civic Facility Revenue Bonds, Series C, 6.80% due 6/01/2028	455
SEMI-ANNUAL R	EPORTS JANU	ARY 31, 2007
Schedule of I	nvestments (continued) (i	n Thousands)
	BlackRock MuniHoldings F	und II, Inc.
Face Amount	Municipal Bonds	Value
New York (con	cluded)	
	New York City, New York, City IDA, Special Facility Revenue Bonds (Continental Airlines, Inc. Project) AMT:	,
\$ 525	8% due 11/01/2012	\$ 582
525	8.375% due 11/01/2016	588
3 <b>,</b> 855	New York City, New York, Sales Tax Asset Receivable Corporation Revenue Bonds, Series A, 5% due 10/15/2020 (d)	4,106
2,725	New York State Dormitory Authority Revenue Bonds (School Districts Financing Program), Series D,	1,100
	5.25% due 10/01/2023 (d)	2,903
20	Suffolk County, New York, IDA, Civic Facility Revenu Bonds (Special Needs Facilities Pooled Program), Series D-1, 5.50% due 7/01/2007 Tobacco Settlement Financing Corporation of New York	20
1 100	Revenue Bonds:	1 1 5 4
1,100 2,400	Series A-1, 5.50% due 6/01/2015 Series A-1, 5.50% due 6/01/2018	1,154 2,574
2,750	Series C-1, 5.50% due 6/01/2010 (b)	2,977
1,100	Series C-1, 5.50% due 6/01/2022	1,187
1,575	Westchester County, New York, IDA, Continuing Care Retirement, Mortgage Revenue Bonds (Kendal on Hudson Project), Series A, 6.50% due 1/01/2034	1,687
North Carolin	a3.0%	
3,130	North Carolina Capital Facilities Finance Agency, Revenue Refunding Bonds (Duke University Project), Series B, 4.25% due 7/01/2042	2 <b>,</b> 938
2,000	North Carolina Eastern Municipal Power Agency,	_,

_aga:	Tilling. BEAGINTOON MOINTOEBINGO TOND II, INO TOITIN	00.10
	Power System Revenue Bonds, Series D, 6.75% due 1/01/2026	2,152
Oklahoma0.4	%	
645	Oklahoma State Housing Finance Agency, S/F Mortgage Revenue Bonds (Homeownership Loan Program), Series D-2, AMT, 6.25% due 9/01/2029 (f)(g)	651
Pennsylvania-	-3.9%	
2,750	Pennsylvania Economic Development Financing Authority, Exempt Facilities Revenue Bonds (National Gypsum Company), AMT, Series A, 6.25% due 11/01/2027	2,893
540	Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, Authority for IDR,	2,000
2,630	Commercial Development, 7.75% due 12/01/2017 Sayre, Pennsylvania, Health Care Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds (Guthrie Healthcare System),	541
	Series B, 7.125% due 12/01/2031	3,113
Rhode Island-	-1.5%	
2,190	Rhode Island State Health and Educational Building Corporation, Hospital Financing Revenue Bonds (Lifespan Obligation Group), 6.50% due 8/15/2012 (h)	2,476
South Carolin	a2.8%	
2,080	Medical University Hospital Authority, South Carolina, Hospital Facilities Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series A, 6.375% due 8/15/2012 (h)	2 245
2,000	South Carolina Jobs, EDA, EDR (Westminster	2,345
,	Presbyterian Center), 7.75% due 11/15/2010 (h)	2,307
Face		
Amount	Municipal Bonds	Value
Tennessee5.	2%	
\$ 2,200	Hardeman County, Tennessee, Correctional Facilities Corporation Revene Bonds, Series B, 7.375% due 8/01/2017 \$ Shelby County, Tennessee, Health, Educational and Housing Facility Board, Hospital Revenue	2,256
3,450	Refunding Bonds: (Methodist Healthcare), 6.50% due 9/01/2012 (h)	3,914
2,500	(Saint Jude Children's Research Hospital), 5% due 7/01/2031	2,611
Texas8.0%		
1,000	Alliance Airport Authority, Inc., Texas, Special Facilit	ies

0.665	Revenue Bonds (American Airlines Inc. Project), AMT, 7.50% due 12/01/2029	1,020
2,665	Austin, Texas, Convention Center Revenue Bonds (Convention Enterprises, Inc.), First Tier, Series A 6.70% due 1/01/2011 (h)	, 2,938
2,500	Brazos River, Texas, Harbor Navigation District, Brazoria County Environmental Revenue Refunding Bonds (Dow Chemical Company Project), AMT,	
843	Series A-7, 6.625% due 5/15/2033 Harris County, Texas, Revenue Refunding Bonds,	2,793
	DRIVERS, Series 1111, 6.55% due 8/15/2009 (c)(e)	909
1,300	Houston, Texas, Health Facilities Development Corporation, Retirement Facility Revenue Bonds (Buckingham Senior Living Community), Series A,	
2 065	7.125% due 2/15/2034	1,449
2,965	Matagorda County, Texas, Navigation District Number 1, Revenue Refunding Bonds (Reliant	
	Energy, Inc.), Series C, 8% due 5/01/2029	3,130
1,100	Port Corpus Christi, Texas, Individual Development	
	Corporation, Environmental Facilities Revenue Bonds (Citgo Petroleum Corporation Project), AMT,	
	8.25% due 11/01/2031	1,130
Vermont0.6%		
1,000	Vermont Educational and Health Buildings Financing Agency, Revenue Bonds (Developmental and Mental Health), Series A, 6.50% due 6/15/2032	1,058
Virginia13.2	8	
575	Chesterfield County, Virginia, IDA, PCR, Refunding (Virginia Electric and Power Company), Series B, 5.875% due 6/01/2017	619
425	Chesterfield County, Virginia, IDA, PCR (Virginia	013
	Electric and Power Company), Series A, 5.875%	455
5,000	due 6/01/2017 Fairfax County, Virginia, EDA, Resource Recovery	455
2,332	Revenue Refunding Bonds, AMT, Series A, 6.10%	F 202
	due 2/01/2011 (a) Pocahontas Parkway Association, Virginia, Toll Road	5,383
	Revenue Bonds (h):	
3,885	Senior Series A, 5.50% due 8/15/2008	4,065
18,400 2,185	Senior Series B, 7.35% due 8/15/2008 (m) Tobacco Settlement Financing Corporation of	4 <b>,</b> 929
2,103	3 -	
	Virginia, Asset-Backed Revenue Bonds, 5.625%	2 225
1,095	due 6/01/2037	2,335
1,095		2,335 1,139
1,095 3,200	<pre>due 6/01/2037 Virginia State HDA, Rental Housing Revenue Bonds,   AMT, Series B, 5.625% due 8/01/2011 Virginia State HDA, Revenue Bonds, AMT, Series D,</pre>	1,139
	<pre>due 6/01/2037 Virginia State HDA, Rental Housing Revenue Bonds, AMT, Series B, 5.625% due 8/01/2011</pre>	

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORTS JANUARY 31, 2007

Schedule of Investments (concluded) (in Thousands)

BlackRock MuniHoldings Fund II, Inc.

Face Amount	Municipal Bonds	Value
Washington0	.6%	
\$ 1,015	Seattle, Washington, Housing Authority Revenue Bonds (Replacement Housing Project), 6.125% due 12/01/2032 \$	1,039
Wisconsin0.9	9%	
1,360	Wisconsin State Health and Educational Facilities Authority Revenue Bonds (Synergyhealth Inc.), 6% due 11/15/2032	1,471
Puerto Rico	0.9%	
1,550	Puerto Rico Industrial, Medical and Environmental Pollution Control Facilities Financing Authority, Special Facilities Revenue Bonds (American Airlines Inc.), Series A, 6.45% due 12/01/2025	1,582
U.S. Virgin Is	slands1.8%	
2,680	Virgin Islands Government Refinery Facilities, Revenue Refunding Bonds (Hovensa Coker Project), AMT, 6.50% due 7/01/2021	3,016
	Total Municipal Bonds (Cost\$216,731)138.0%	232,123
	Municipal Bonds Held in Trust (o)	
California3	.2%	
5,130	California Pollution Control Financing Authority, PCR, Refunding (Pacific Gas and Electric), AMT, Series A, 5.35% due 12/01/2016 (d)	5,468
Maryland5.09	<u> </u>	
7,765	Baltimore, Maryland, Convention Center Hotel Revenue Bonds, Senior Series A, 5.25% due 9/01/2039 (i)	8,390
Face Amount	Municipal Bonds Held in Trust (o)	Value
Michigan3.29	8	

Ş	5,000	Michigan State Strategic Fund, Limited Obligation Revenue Refunding Bonds (Detroit Edison Company Pollution Control Project), AMT, Series C, 5.65% due 9/01/2029 (i)	\$	5 <b>,</b> 297
New Y	/ork2.1%			
	3,205	New York City, New York, Sales Tax Asset Receivable Corporation Revenue Bonds, Series A, 5.25% due 10/15/2027 (a)		3 <b>,</b> 456
South	n Carolina	5.1%		
	8,400	South Carolina State Ports Authority, Ports Revenue Bonds, AMT, 5.30% due 7/01/2026 (c)		8,596
Texas	s4.3%			
	7,045	Harris County, Texas, Toll Road Revenue Refunding Bonds, Senior Lien, Series A, 5.25% due 8/15/2035 (c)		7,318
		Total Municipal Bonds Held in Trust (Cost\$38,367)22.9%		38,525
	Shares			
	Held	Short-Term Securities		
	12	Merrill Lynch Institutional Tax-Exempt Fund, 3.46% (1)(n)		12
		Total Short-Term Securities (Cost\$12)0.0%		12
		nts (Cost\$255,110*)160.9%		270,660
		ess Liabilities1.8% Trust Certificates, Including Interest		3,084
		ble(11.0%) k, at Redemption Value(51.7%)		(18,473) (87,017)
Net A	Assets App	licable to Common Stock100.0%		168,254
			==	======

\* The cost and unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of investments as of January 31, 2007, as computed for federal income tax purposes, were as follows:

	=====	
Net unrealized appreciation	\$	15,990
Gross unrealized appreciation Gross unrealized depreciation	\$	16,261 (271)
Aggregate cost	\$ =====	236,400

- (a) AMBAC Insured.
- (b) FGIC Insured.
- (c) FSA Insured.
- (d) MBIA Insured.
- (e) The rate disclosed is that currently in effect. This rate changes periodically and inversely based upon prevailing market rates.
- (f) GNMA Collateralized.
- (g) FNMA Collateralized.
- (h) Prerefunded.
- (i) XL Capital Insured.
- (j) Security may have a maturity of more than one year at time of issuance, but has variable rate and demand features that qualify it as a short-term security. The rate disclosed is that currently in effect. This rate changes periodically based upon prevailing market rates.
- (k) ACA Insured.
- (1) Investments in companies considered to be an affiliate of the Fund, for purposes of Section 2(a)(3) of the Investment Company Act of 1940, were as follows:

Affiliate Net Dividend Activity Income

Merrill Lynch Institutional Tax-Exempt Fund -- --

- \* Amount is less than \$1,000.
- (m) Represents a zero coupon bond; the interest rate shown reflects the effective yield at the time of purchase.
- (n) Represents the current yield as of January 31, 2007.
- (o) Securities represent underlying bonds transferred to a separate securitization trust established in a tender option bond transaction in which the Fund may acquire the residual interest certificates. These securities serve as collateral in a financing transaction. See Note 1(c) to Financial Statements for details of Municipal Bonds Held in Trust.

See Notes to Financial Statements.

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORTS JANUARY 31, 2007

Schedule of Investments as of January 31, 2007 (Unaudited) (in Thousands)

BlackRock MuniHoldings New Jersey Insured Fund, Inc.

Face Amount	Municipal Bonds	Value
New Jersey14		
\$ 1 <b>,</b> 875	Atlantic Highlands, New Jersey, Highland Regional	
	Sewer Authority, Sewer Revenue Refunding Bonds, 5.50% due 1/01/2020 (b)	1,998
	Camden County, New Jersey, Improvement Authority,	
2,635	Lease Revenue Bonds (c)(h): 5.375% due 9/01/2010	2,779
1,540	5.50% due 9/01/2010	1,631
430	Carteret, New Jersey, Board of Education, COP, 6% due 1/15/2010 (d)(h)	461
2,500	Delaware River and Bay Authority Revenue Bonds, New Jersey, 5% due 1/01/2033 (d)	2,604
4,630	Delaware River Joint Toll Bridge Commission of New	
	Jersey and Pennsylvania, Bridge Revenue Refunding Bonds, 5% due 7/01/2028	4,794
	Delaware River Port Authority of New Jersey and Pennsylvania Revenue Bonds (c):	
5,000	5.50% due 1/01/2012	5,228
6,000	5.625% due 1/01/2013	6,296
500	5.75% due 1/01/2015	526
4,865	6% due 1/01/2018	5,148
5 <b>,</b> 525	6% due 1/01/2019	5 <b>,</b> 846
2,425	(Port District Project), Series B, 5.625% due 1/01/2026	2,536
7,895	East Orange, New Jersey, Board of Education, COP, 5.50% due 8/01/2012 (c)	8,399
	(1)	,
1,000	East Orange, New Jersey, Water Utility, GO, Refunding, 5.70% due 6/15/2008 (a)(h)	1,036
4,000	Essex County, New Jersey, Improvement Authority,	
	Lease Revenue Bonds (Correctional Facility Project), $6\%$ due $10/01/2010$ (b) (h)	4,308
4,400	Essex County, New Jersey, Improvement Authority Revenue Bonds, Series A, 5% due 10/01/2028 (b)	4,605
	Garden State Preservation Trust of New Jersey, Capital Appreciation Revenue Bonds, Series B (c)(k):	
9,000	5.12% due 11/01/2023	4,399
10,000	5.20% due 11/01/2025	4,430
	Garden State Preservation Trust of New Jersey, Open Space and Farmland Preservation Revenue Bonds,	
	Series A (c):	
1,960	5.80% due 11/01/2021	2,232
2,730	5.80% due 11/01/2023	3,110
9,160	5.75% due 11/01/2028	11,126
	Garden State Preservation Trust of New Jersey, Open Space and Farmland Preservation, Revenue	

5,000 7,705	Refunding Bonds, Series C (c): 5.25% due 11/01/2020 5.25% due 11/01/2021	5,628 8,696
6,640	Hopatcong, New Jersey, GO, Sewer Refunding Bonds, 4.50% due 8/01/2033 (a)	6,660
2,230	Jersey City, New Jersey, GO, Series B, 5.25% due 9/01/2023 (c)	2,383
5 <b>,</b> 250	Lafayette Yard, New Jersey, Community Development Revenue Bonds (Hotel/Conference Center Project- Trenton), 6% due 4/01/2010 (d)(h)	5 <b>,</b> 649
1,550	Middlesex County, New Jersey, COP, 5.25% due 6/15/2023 (d)	1,604
Face Amount	Municipal Bonds	Value
New Jersey (c	•	Value
New Delsey (C	one indea,	
\$ 1,375	Middlesex County, New Jersey, COP, Refunding, 5.50% due 8/01/2016 (d)	\$ 1,467
5,270	Middlesex County, New Jersey, Improvement Authority, Lease Revenue Bonds (Educational Services Commission Projects), 6% due 7/15/2010 (h)	5,701
500	Middlesex County, New Jersey, Improvement Authority Revenue Bonds (Senior Citizens Housing Project), AMT, 5.50% due 9/01/2030 (a)	521
	Monmouth County, New Jersey, Improvement	
1 540	Authority Revenue Refunding Bonds (a):	1 610
1,540 1,470	5.35% due 12/01/2017 5.375% due 12/01/2018	1,619 1,546
1,470	3.373% due 12/01/2010	1,540
	New Jersey EDA, Cigarette Tax Revenue Bonds:	
2,700	5.625% due 6/15/2019	2,822
2,000	5.75% due 6/15/2029	2,149
585	5.50% due 6/15/2031	616
1,180	5.75% due 6/15/2034	1,260
5,000	New Jersey EDA, Lease Revenue Bonds (University of	
	Medicine and Dentistry-International Center for	
	Public Health Project), 6% due 6/01/2032 (a)	5,327
	New Jersey EDA, Motor Vehicle Surcharge Revenue	
	Bonds, Series A (d):	
7,500	5.25% due 7/01/2026	8,560
11,105	5.25% due 7/01/2033	11,863
4,485	5% due 7/01/2034	4,691
1,000	New Jersey EDA, Parking Facility Revenue Bonds	
	(Elizabeth Development Company Project), 5.60%	
	due 10/15/2007 (b) (h)	1,031
2	Mary Tanana EDA Danier - Deede Datuma	
3	New Jersey EDA, Revenue Bonds, DRIVERS, Series 219, 8.052% due 5/01/2016 (c)(e)	3
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	

	New Jersey EDA, School Facilities Construction Revenue Bonds:		
9,000 8,420	Series L, 5% due 3/01/2030 (c) Series O, 5.25% due 3/01/2023		439 056
2,500	New Jersey EDA, Solid Waste Disposal Facilities Revenue Bonds (Waste Management, Inc.), AMT, Series A, 5.30% due 6/01/2015	2,	638
2,670 3,000	New Jersey EDA, State Lease Revenue Bonds: (Liberty State Park Project), Series C, 5% due 3/01/2022 (c) (State Office Buildings Projects), 6%	2,	828
·	due 6/15/2010 (a)(h)	3,	214
4,620	(State Office Buildings Projects), 6.25% due 6/15/2010 (a)(h)	4,	984
10,775	New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority Department of Human Services Revenue Bonds (Greystone Park Psychiatric Hospital Project), 5% due 9/15/2023 (a)	11,	401
	New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority Revenue Bonds:		
3,015	(RWJ Healthcare Corporation), Series B, 5% due 7/01/2035 (i)	3,	137
2,820	(Society of the Valley Hospital), 5.375% due 7/01/2025 (a)	2,	945
2,135 5,440	(Somerset Medical Center), 5.50% due 7/01/2033 (South Jersey Hospital), 6% due 7/01/2012 (h)	2,	206 984
SEMI-ANNUAL		RY 31, 2	
		Thousand	ds)
	Investments (continued) (in	Thousand	ds)
	Investments (continued) (in	Thousand	ds)
Schedule of	Investments (continued) (in BlackRock MuniHoldings New Jersey Insured Municipal Bonds	Thousand	ds) nc.
Schedule of  Face Amount  New Jersey (	Investments (continued) (in  BlackRock MuniHoldings New Jersey Insured  Municipal Bonds  continued)  New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority Revenue Refunding Bonds:	Thousand Fund, In	ds) nc.
Schedule of Face Amount	Investments (continued) (in  BlackRock MuniHoldings New Jersey Insured  Municipal Bonds  continued)  New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority	Thousand, In	ds) nc.
Schedule of  Face Amount  New Jersey (	Investments (continued) (in  BlackRock MuniHoldings New Jersey Insured  Municipal Bonds  continued)  New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority Revenue Refunding Bonds:  (AHS Hospital Corporation), Series A, 6%	Thousand Fund, In Va.	ds) nc. lue
Face Amount New Jersey (	Investments (continued) (in  BlackRock MuniHoldings New Jersey Insured  Municipal Bonds  continued)  New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority Revenue Refunding Bonds:  (AHS Hospital Corporation), Series A, 6% due 7/01/2013 (a) (Atlantic City Medical Center), 6.25% due 7/01/2017 (Atlantic City Medical Center), 5.75%	Thousand Fund, In Va.  \$ 4,4	ds) nc. lue
Face Amount  New Jersey ( \$ 4,000  1,455  3,500  1,775	Investments (continued) (in  BlackRock MuniHoldings New Jersey Insured  Municipal Bonds  continued)  New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority Revenue Refunding Bonds:  (AHS Hospital Corporation), Series A, 6%  due 7/01/2013 (a)  (Atlantic City Medical Center), 6.25%  due 7/01/2017  (Atlantic City Medical Center), 5.75%  due 7/01/2025  (Holy Name Hospital), 6% due 7/01/2025	Thousand Fund, In Va.  \$ 4,4 1,4 3,6	ds) nc. lue
Face Amount New Jersey ( \$ 4,000 1,455 3,500	Investments (continued) (in  BlackRock MuniHoldings New Jersey Insured  Municipal Bonds  continued)  New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority Revenue Refunding Bonds:  (AHS Hospital Corporation), Series A, 6% due 7/01/2013 (a)  (Atlantic City Medical Center), 6.25% due 7/01/2017  (Atlantic City Medical Center), 5.75% due 7/01/2025	Thousand Fund, In  Va.  \$ 4,4  1,4  3,1,4	ds) nc. lue 446 605 752

5,890 3,000	Center) (d): 5.50% due 3/01/2021 5.50% due 3/01/2022	6,738 3,451
	3.30% due 3/01/2022	3,431
2,400	New Jersey Sports and Exposition Authority, State Contract Revenue Bonds, Series A, 6% due 3/01/2013 (d)	2,548
7,500	New Jersey State Educational Facilities Authority, Higher Education, Capital Improvement Revenue Bonds, Series A, 5.125% due 9/01/2012 (a)(h)	8 <b>,</b> 007
	New Jersey State Educational Facilities Authority Revenue Bonds:	
9,420	(Capital Improvement Fund), Series A, 5.75% due 9/01/2010 (c)(h)	10,052
1,200	(Montclair State University), Series A, 5% due 7/01/2021 (a)	1,286
2,880	(Montclair State University), Series A, 5% due 7/01/2022 (a)	3,078
3 <b>,</b> 615	(Rowan University), Series C, 5.125% due 7/01/2028 (d)	3,831
3 <b>,</b> 260	(Rowan University), Series C, 5% due 7/01/2034 (d)	3,414
800	(Rowan University), Series G, 4.50% due 7/01/2031 (d)	793
3,210	(Richard Stockton College), Series F, 4.25% due 7/01/2036 (d)	3,070
	New Jersey State Educational Facilities Authority,	
7,510	Revenue Refunding Bonds: (Montclair State University), Series L, 5%	
1,250	due 7/01/2014 (d)(h) (Ramapo College), Series I, 4.25%	8,067
1,230	due 7/01/2031 (a)	1,200
2,000	(Ramapo College), Series I, 4.25% due 7/01/2036 (a)	1,913
2 <b>,</b> 375	(Rowan University), Series C, $5.25\%$ due $7/01/2017$ (b)	2,524
2,820	(Rowan University), Series C, 5.25% due 7/01/2018 (b)	2,992
2,635	(Rowan University), Series C, 5.25% due 7/01/2019 (b)	2,796
1,410	(Rowan University), Series C, 5%	
	due 7/01/2031 (b)	1,461
Face		
Amount	Municipal Bonds	Value
New Jersey (c	ontinued)	
\$ 11,225	New Jersey State Housing and Mortgage Finance Agency, Capital Fund Program Revenue Bonds, Series A, 4.70% due 11/01/2025 (c)	\$ 11,471
	New Jersey State Housing and Mortgage Finance Agency, Home Buyer Revenue Bonds, AMT, Series U (d):	
1,000 2,820	5.60% due 10/01/2012 5.65% due 10/01/2013	1,022 2,882
2,020	0.000 000 107 017 2010	2,002

3 <b>,</b> 000 805	5.75% due 4/01/2018 5.85% due 4/01/2029	3 <b>,</b> 068 822
5,000	New Jersey State Transit Corporation, COP (Federal Transit Administration Grants), Series A, 6.125% due 9/15/2009 (a)(h)	5 <b>,</b> 299
7,500 1,400 5,500 7,800	New Jersey State Transportation Trust Fund Authority, Transportation System Revenue Bonds: Series A, 6% due 6/15/2010 (h) Series C, 5.05% due 12/15/2035 (a)(k) Series C, 5.05% due 12/15/2036 (a)(k) Series D, 5% due 6/15/2019 (c)	8,034 384 1,443 8,313
10,750 9,165	New Jersey State Transportation Trust Fund Authority, Transportation System Revenue Refunding Bonds: Series A, 5.25% due 12/15/2020 (c) Series B, 5.50% due 12/15/2021 (d)	12,060 10,549
7,615	New Jersey State Turnpike Authority, Turnpike Revenue Bonds, Series B, 5.15% due 1/01/2035 (a)(k)	5,394
910 4,610 4,665	New Jersey State Turnpike Authority, Turnpike Revenue Refunding Bonds: Series C, 6.50% due 1/01/2016 (d) Series C, 6.50% due 1/01/2016 (d) (g) Series C-1, 4.50% due 1/01/2031 (a)	1,048 5,320 4,645
1,000 3,260 1,250	North Bergen Township, New Jersey, Board of Education, COP (c): 6% due 12/15/2010 (h) 6.25% due 12/15/2010 (h) 5% due 12/15/2018	1,089 3,580 1,292
4,335	North Hudson Sewage Authority, New Jersey, Sewer Revenue Refunding Bonds, 5.125% due 8/01/2020 (d)	4,811
1,035	Orange Township, New Jersey, Municipal Utility and Lease, GO, Refunding, Series C, 5.10% due 12/01/2017 (d)	1,067
1,980 2,000	Paterson, New Jersey, Public School District, COP (d): 6.125% due 11/01/2015 6.25% due 11/01/2019	2,112 2,140
4,750	Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, Consolidated Revenue Refunding Bonds, 119th Series, 5.50% due 9/15/2019 (b)	4,797
650 13,500 1,500 3,000	Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, Special Obligation Revenue Bonds, (JFK International Air Terminal LLC), AMT (d):  RIB, Series 157, 7.55% due 12/01/2022 (e) Series 6, 6.25% due 12/01/2011 Series 6, 6.25% due 12/01/2015 Series 6, 5.75% due 12/01/2025	695 14,792 1,726 3,044

Schedule of Investments (concluded) (in Thousands) BlackRock MuniHoldings New Jersey Insured Fund, Inc. Face Amount Municipal Bonds Value New Jersey (concluded) \$ 9,600 Rahway Valley Sewerage Authority, New Jersey, Sewer Revenue Bonds (Capital Appreciation), Series A, 4.79% due 9/01/2028 (d)(k) \$ 3,674 South Jersey Port Corporation of New Jersey, Revenue Refunding Bonds: 3,750 4.50% due 1/01/2015 3,842 1,920 4.50% due 1/01/2016 1,961 5% due 1/01/2026 1,500 1,549 2,000 5.10% due 1/01/2033 2,072 4,755 Tobacco Settlement Financing Corporation of New Jersey, Asset-Backed Revenue Bonds, 7% due 6/01/2013 (h) 5,584 2,000 University of Medicine and Dentistry of New Jersey, COP, 5% due 6/15/2029 (d) 2,095 University of Medicine and Dentistry of New 4,740 Jersey, Revenue Bonds, Series A, 5.50% due 12/01/2027 (a) 5,091 West Deptford Township, New Jersey, GO, 5.625% 8,580 due 9/01/2010 (b) (h) 9,121 West Orange, New Jersey, Board of Education, COP, 3,615 6% due 10/01/2009 (d) (h) 3,858 Puerto Rico--7.7% Puerto Rico Commonwealth Highway and Transportation Authority, Transportation Revenue Refunding Bonds: Series J, 5% due 7/01/2029 (d) 4,742 4,500 Series K, 5% due 7/01/2040 3,480 3,611 Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, Power Revenue 6,830 Series HH, 5.25% due 7/01/2029 (c) 7,164 5,100 Series RR, 5% due 7/01/2028 (f) 5,383 Puerto Rico Industrial, Tourist, Educational, Medical and Environmental Control Facilities Revenue Bonds, Series A: 1,780 (Hospital Auxilio Mutuo Obligation Group),

6.25% due 7/01/2024 (d)

1,793

Face Amount	Municipal Bonds	Value
Puerto Rico7	7.7%	
\$ 1,750	Puerto Rico Industrial, Tourist, Educational, Medical and Environmental Control Facilities Revenue Bonds, Series A (concluded):  (Hospital de la Concepcion), 6.50% due 11/15/2020	\$ 1,916
	Total Municipal Bonds (Cost\$464,141)151.7%	487,360
	Municipal Bonds Held in Trust (m)	
New Jersey14	1.9%	
25 <b>,</b> 230	New Jersey EDA, Natural Gas Facilities Revenue Refunding Bonds (NUI Corporation Projects), AMT, Series A, 5.70% due 6/01/2032 (d)	25,852
9,155	New Jersey EDA, Revenue Bonds (Transportation Project), Sub-Lease, Series A, 6% due 5/01/2009 (c)(h)	9,607
3,030	Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, Special Obligation Revenue Bonds (JFK International Air Terminal), AMT, Series 6, 5.75% due 12/01/2022 (d)	3,133
8,650	Trenton, New Jersey, Parking Authority, Parking Revenue Bonds, 6.10% due 4/01/2010 (b)(h)	9 <b>,</b> 256
	Total Municipal Bonds Held in Trust (Cost\$46,716)14.9%	47,848
Shares Held	Short-Term Securities	
8,211	CMA New Jersey Municipal Money Fund, 3.03% (j)(1)	8,211
	Total Short-Term Securities (Cost\$8,211)2.5%	8,211
Other Assets I	ents (Cost\$519,068*)169.1% Less Liabilities1.3% Trust Certificates, Including Interest	543,419 4,138
Expense Paya Preferred Stoc	able(7.2%) ck, at Redemption Value(63.2%)	(23,216) (203,064)
Net Assets App	plicable to Common Stock100.0%	\$ 321,277 =======

<sup>\*</sup> The cost and unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of investments as of January 31, 2007, as computed for federal income tax purposes, were as follows:

Aggregate cost	\$	496,959
Gross unrealized appreciation	===== \$	23,799
Gross unrealized depreciation	Ÿ	(371)
Net unrealized appreciation	\$	23,428
	=====	

- (a) AMBAC Insured.
- (b) FGIC Insured.
- (c) FSA Insured.
- (d) MBIA Insured.
- (e) The rate disclosed is that currently in effect. This rate changes periodically and inversely based upon prevailing market rates.
- (f) CIFG Insured.
- (g) Escrowed to maturity.
- (h) Prerefunded.
- (i) Radian Insured.
- (j) Investments in companies considered to be an affiliate of the Fund, for purposes of Section 2(a)(3) of the Investment Company Act of 1940, were as follows:

	Net	Dividend
Affiliate	Activity	Income
CMA New Jersey Municipal Money Fund	7,161	\$ 62

- (k) Represents a zero coupon bond; the interest rate shown reflects the effective yield at the time of purchase.
- (1) Represents the current yield as of January 31, 2007.
- (m) Securities represent underlying bonds transferred to a separate securitization trust established in a tender option bond transaction in which the Fund may acquire the residual interest certificates. These securities serve as collateral in a financing transaction. See Note 1(c) to Financial Statements for details of Municipal Bonds Held in Trust.

See Notes to Financial Statements.

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORTS

JANUARY 31, 2007

Statements of Net Assets

As of January 31, 2007 (Unaudited) Assets Investments in unaffiliated securities, at value\* Investments in affiliated securities, at value\*\* Interest receivable Prepaid expenses Total assets Liabilities Trust certificates Interest expense payable Payable for securities purchased Payable to investment adviser Payable for other affiliates Dividends payable to shareholders Accrued expenses Total liabilities Preferred Stock Preferred Stock, at redemption value, par value \$.10 per share\*\*\* of AMPS+++ at \$25,000 per share liquidation preference Net Assets Applicable to Common Stock Net assets applicable to Common Stock Net Assets Consist of Undistributed investment income--net Accumulated realized capital losses--net Unrealized appreciation--net Total accumulated earnings--net Common Stock, par value \$.10 per share++ Paid-in capital in excess of par Net Assets Net asset value per share of Common Stock Market price \* Identified cost on unaffiliated securities \*\* Identified cost on affiliated securities \*\*\* Preferred Shares authorized, issued and outstanding:

Series A Shares

==

==

==

Series B Shares

Series C Shares

Series D Shares

Series E Shares

- ++ Common Shares issued and outstanding
- +++ Auction Market Preferred Stock.

See Notes to Financial Statements.

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORTS

JANUARY 31, 2007

Statements of Operations

For the Six Months Ended January 31, 2007 (Unaudited)

Investment Income

Interest
Dividends from affiliates

Total income

#### Expenses

Investment advisory fees
Interest expense and fees
Commission fees
Accounting services
Professional fees
Transfer agent fees
Printing and shareholder reports
Directors' fees and expenses
Listing fees
Custodian fees
Pricing fees
Other

Total expenses before waiver and/or reimbursement Waiver and/or reimbursement of expenses

Total expenses after waiver and/or reimbursement

Investment income--net

32

==

==

Realized & Unrealized Gain--Net

Realized gain on investments--net Change in unrealized appreciation on investments--net

Total realized and unrealized gain--net

Dividends to Preferred Shareholders

Investment income--net

Net Increase in Net Assets Resulting from Operations

See Notes to Financial Statements.

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORTS

JANUARY 31, 2007

Statements of Changes in Net Assets (As Restated for the Year Ended 2006. See Note 7)

Increase (Decrease) in Net Assets:

Operations

Investment income--net
Realized gain--net
Change in unrealized appreciation--net
Dividends to Preferred Stock shareholders

Net increase in net assets resulting from operations

Dividends to Common Stock Shareholders

Investment income--net

Net decrease in net assets resulting from dividends to Common Stock shareholders

Common Stock Transactions

Value of shares issued to Common Stock shareholders in reinvestment of dividends

Net Assets Applicable to Common Stock

Total increase (decrease) in net assets applicable to Common Stock Beginning of period

End of period\*

\* Undistributed investment income--net

33

Blac

Ja

See Notes to Financial Statements.

BlackRock MuniHoldin

Ja

\$

\$

Blac

Increase (Decrease) in Net Assets:

Operations

Investment income--net
Realized gain--net
Change in unrealized appreciation--net
Dividends to Preferred Stock shareholders

Net increase in net assets resulting from operations

Dividends to Common Stock Shareholders

Investment income--net

Net decrease in net assets resulting from dividends to Common Stock shareholders

Common Stock Transactions

Value of shares issued to Common Stock shareholders in reinvestment of dividends

Net Assets Applicable to Common Stock

Total increase (decrease) in net assets applicable to Common Stock Beginning of period

End of period\*

\* Undistributed investment income--net

See Notes to Financial Statements.

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORTS JANUARY 31, 2007

Financial Highlights (As Restated for the Years Ended 2006, 2005, 2004, 2003 and 2002. See Note 7)

For the Six

34

The following per share data and ratios have been derived from information provided in the financial statements.	Januar	ths Ended ry, 31 200 audited)		2006		For the 2005	e Yea	ar En 200
Per Share Operating Performance								
Net asset value, beginning of period	\$	14.82		15.03	\$	13.98	\$	1
Investment incomenet Realized and unrealized gain (loss)net Less dividends to Preferred Stock shareholders from investment incomenet		.24		1.04++ (.11)		1.08++ 1.15		1.
Total from investment operations		.63 		.70		2.09		
Less dividends to Common Stock shareholders from investment incomenet		(.39)		(.91)		(1.04)		(1
Net asset value, end of period	\$	15.06	\$	14.82	\$	15.03	\$	1
Market price per share, end of period	\$	14.65 ======	\$		\$	15.25	\$	
Total Investment Return***								
Based on net asset value per share		4.33%+++		4.89%		15.46% ======		11
Based on market price per share	(			(1.50%)				10 ====
Ratios Based on Average Net Assets Applicable	to Coi	mmon Stocl	k					
Total expenses, net of reimbursement and excluding interest expense**	===	1.17%* ======		1.18%		1.19%	==	1
Total expenses, net of reimbursement**		1.59%*		1.44%		1.27%		1
Total expenses**		1.59%*		1.44%		1.27%		===== 1
Total investment incomenet**		====== 6.89%* ======		7.04%		7.38%		===== 8 =====
Amount of dividends to Preferred Stock shareholders		1.77%* ======		1.55%		.98%		=====
Investment incomenet, to Common Stock shareholders		5.12%* ======		5.49%		6.40%		7 
Ratios Based on Average Net Assets Applicable	e to Pro	eferred St	tock					
Dividends to Preferred Stock shareholders	===	3.43%*	==:	2.95%	==:	1.84%	==:	1 =====
Supplemental Data								
Net assets applicable to Common Stock, end of period (in thousands)	\$	168 <b>,</b> 254	\$	165 <b>,</b> 565	\$	167 <b>,</b> 588	\$	155 =====
Preferred Stock outstanding at liquidation preference, end of period (in thousands)	\$	87,000	\$	87,000	\$	87,000	\$	87
Portfolio turnover	====	====== 5%	===	41%	===	38%	===	

	========		========		========		======	
Leverage								
Asset coverage per \$1,000	\$	2,934	\$	2,903	\$	2,926 =====	\$	2
Dividends Per Share on Preferred Stock Outstar	ıding							
Series AInvestment incomenet	\$	440	\$	754	\$	445	\$	
Series BInvestment incomenet	\$	424	\$	724	\$	471	\$	

<sup>\*</sup> Annualized.

- \*\* Do not reflect the effect of dividends to Preferred Stock shareholders.
- \*\*\* Total investment returns based on market value, which can be significantly greater or than the net asset value, may result in substantially different returns. Total investment exclude the effects of sales charges.
- ++ Based on average shares outstanding.
- +++ Aggregate total investment return.

See Notes to Financial Statements.

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORTS JANUARY 31, 2007

Financial Highlights (As Restated for the Years Ended 2006, 2005, 2004, 2003 and 2002. See Note 7)

BlackRock MuniHoldin

The following per share data and ratios have been derived from information provided in the financial statements.	January, 31 2007				For the 2005		Year En 200	
Per Share Operating Performance								
Net asset value, beginning of period	\$	14.91	\$	15.62	\$ 15.03	\$	1	
Investment incomenet* Realized and unrealized gain (loss)net Less dividends to Preferred Stock				1.03				
shareholders from investment incomenet		(.15)		(.26)	 (.16)		(	
Total from investment operations		.62		.16	 1.54			
Less dividends to Common Stock shareholders from investment incomenet		(.38)		(.87)	(.95)		(	
Net asset value, end of period	\$	15.15		14.91		\$	1	
Market price per share, end of period	·	15.38	\$	14.98	\$	•	==== 1 ====	

Total Investment Return***				
Based on net asset value per share	4.18%++++	1.09%	10.63%	10
Based on market price per share	5.27%++++	(.16%)	19.37% ======	11
Ratios Based on Average Net Assets Applicable	to Common Stock	ζ		
Total expenses, net of waiver and reimbursemen and excluding reorganization expenses and interest expense**	t 1.16%++	1.15%	1.14%	1
	=======	1.136	1.146	
Total expenses, net of waiver and reimbursemen and excluding reorganization expenses**	1.43%++ =======	1.39%	1.25%	1
Total expenses, net of waiver and reimbursement**	1.43%++	1.39%	1.25%	1
Total expenses**	1.49%++	1.45%	1.31%	1
Total investment incomenet**	6.63%++	6.80%	6.69%	======= 6 =======
Amount of dividends to Preferred Stock shareholders	1.97%++	1.72%	1.02%	======
Investment incomenet, to Common Stock shareholders	4.66%++	5.08%	5.67% ======	6
Ratios Based on Average Net Assets Applicable	to Preferred St	tock		
Ratios Based on Average Net Assets Applicable Dividends to Preferred Stock shareholders	3.14%++++	2.71%	1.64%	=====
	3.14%++++	2.71%		=====
Dividends to Preferred Stock shareholders	3.14%++++  \$ 321,277	2.71%	\$ 328,853	\$ 316
Dividends to Preferred Stock shareholders  Supplemental Data  Net assets applicable to Common Stock,	\$ 321,277 ===================================	\$ 315,649 ====================================	\$ 328,853 ====================================	\$ 316 ====== \$ 203
Dividends to Preferred Stock shareholders  Supplemental Data  Net assets applicable to Common Stock, end of period (in thousands)  Preferred Stock outstanding at liquidation	3.14%++++ =================================	2.71% ====================================	\$ 328,853	\$ 316 =====
Dividends to Preferred Stock shareholders  Supplemental Data  Net assets applicable to Common Stock, end of period (in thousands)  Preferred Stock outstanding at liquidation preference, end of period (in thousands)	\$ 321,277 ===================================	\$ 315,649 ====================================	\$ 328,853 ======== \$ 203,000 ========== 29%	\$ 316 ====== \$ 203 ======
Dividends to Preferred Stock shareholders  Supplemental Data  Net assets applicable to Common Stock, end of period (in thousands)  Preferred Stock outstanding at liquidation preference, end of period (in thousands)  Portfolio turnover	\$ 321,277 ===================================	\$ 315,649 ====================================	\$ 328,853 ======== \$ 203,000 ========== 29%	\$ 316 ====== \$ 203 ======
Dividends to Preferred Stock shareholders  Supplemental Data  Net assets applicable to Common Stock, end of period (in thousands)  Preferred Stock outstanding at liquidation preference, end of period (in thousands)  Portfolio turnover  Leverage	\$ 321,277 ===================================	\$ 315,649 ====================================	\$ 328,853 ========= \$ 203,000 =================================	\$ 316 ====== \$ 203 ======
Dividends to Preferred Stock shareholders  Supplemental Data  Net assets applicable to Common Stock, end of period (in thousands)  Preferred Stock outstanding at liquidation preference, end of period (in thousands)  Portfolio turnover  Leverage  Asset coverage per \$1,000	\$ 321,277 ===================================	\$ 315,649 ====================================	\$ 328,853 ====================================	\$ 316 ====== \$ 203 ====== \$ 2 =====
Dividends to Preferred Stock shareholders  Supplemental Data  Net assets applicable to Common Stock, end of period (in thousands)  Preferred Stock outstanding at liquidation preference, end of period (in thousands)  Portfolio turnover  Leverage  Asset coverage per \$1,000  Dividends Per Share on Preferred Stock Outstan	\$ 321,277 ===================================	\$ 315,649 ====================================	\$ 328,853 ====================================	\$ 316 ======= \$ 203 ======= \$ 2 ======= \$
Dividends to Preferred Stock shareholders  Supplemental Data  Net assets applicable to Common Stock, end of period (in thousands)  Preferred Stock outstanding at liquidation preference, end of period (in thousands)  Portfolio turnover  Leverage  Asset coverage per \$1,000  Dividends Per Share on Preferred Stock Outstandard Series AInvestment incomenet	\$ 321,277 ===================================	\$ 315,649 ====================================	\$ 328,853 ====================================	\$ 316 ====== \$ 203 ====== \$ 2 ======

Series E--Investment income--net

\$ 394 \$ 655 \$ 

394 \$

- \* Based on average shares outstanding.
- \*\* Do not reflect the effect of dividends to Preferred Stock shareholders.
- \*\*\* Total investment returns based on market value, which can be significantly greater or than the net asset value, may result in substantially different returns. Total investm exclude the effects of sales charges.
- ++ Annualized.
- ++++ Aggregate total investment return.

See Notes to Financial Statements.

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORTS

JANUARY 31, 2007

Notes to Financial Statements (unaudited)

#### 1. Significant Accounting Policies:

On September 29, 2006, MuniHoldings Fund II, Inc. and MuniHoldings New Jersey Insured Fund, Inc. were renamed BlackRock MuniHoldings Fund II, Inc. and BlackRock MuniHoldings New Jersey Insured Fund, Inc. (the "Funds" or individually, the "Fund"), respectively. The Funds are registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended, as non-diversified, closed-end management investment companies. The Funds' financial statements are prepared in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, which may require the use of management accruals and estimates. Actual results may differ from these estimates. These unaudited financial statements reflect all adjustments, which are, in the opinion of management, necessary to present a fair statement of the results for the interim period. All such adjustments are of a normal, recurring nature. The Funds determine and make available for publication the net asset value of their Common Stock on a daily basis. The Funds' Common Stock shares are listed on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbols MUH and MUJ, respectively. The following is a summary of significant accounting policies followed by the Funds.

(a) Valuation of investments--Municipal bonds are traded primarily in the overthe-counter ("OTC") markets and are valued at the last available bid price in the OTC market or on the basis of values as obtained by a pricing service. Pricing services use valuation matrixes that incorporate both dealer-supplied valuations and valuation models. The procedures of the pricing service and its valuations are reviewed by the officers of the Funds under the general direction of the Boards of Directors. Such valuations and procedures are reviewed periodically by the Boards of Directors of the Funds. Financial futures contracts and options thereon, which are traded on exchanges, are valued at their closing prices as of the close of such exchanges. Options written or purchased are valued at the last sale price in the case of exchangetraded options. Options traded in the OTC market are valued at the last asked price (options written) or the last bid price (options purchased). Swap agreements are valued by quoted fair values received daily by the Funds' pricing service. Short-term investments with a remaining maturity of 60 days or less are valued at amortized cost, which approximates market value, under which method the investment is valued at cost and any premium or discount is

amortized on a straight line basis to maturity. Investments in open-end investment companies are valued at their net asset value each business day. Securities and other assets for which market quotations are not readily available are valued at fair value as determined in good faith by or under the direction of the Boards of Directors of the Funds.

- (b) Derivative financial instruments—Each Fund may engage in various portfolio investment strategies both to increase the return of the Fund and to hedge, or protect, its exposure to interest rate movements and movements in the securities markets. Losses may arise due to changes in the value of the contract or if the counterparty does not perform under the contract.
- \* Financial futures contracts—Each Fund may purchase or sell financial futures contracts and options on such financial futures contracts. Financial futures contracts are contracts for delayed delivery of securities at a specific future date and at a specific price or yield. Upon entering into a contract, the Fund deposits and maintains as collateral such initial margin as required by the exchange on which the transaction is effected. Pursuant to the contract, the Fund agrees to receive from or pay to the broker an amount of cash equal to the daily fluctuation in value of the contract. Such receipts or payments are known as variation margin and are recorded by the Fund as unrealized gains or losses. When the contract is closed, the Fund records a realized gain or loss equal to the difference between the value of the contract at the time it was opened and the value at the time it was closed.
- \* Options—Each Fund may write covered call options and purchase call and put options. When the Fund writes an option, an amount equal to the premium received by the Fund is reflected as an asset and an equivalent liability. The amount of the liability is subsequently marked—to—market to reflect the current market value of the option written. When a security is purchased or sold through an exercise of an option, the related premium paid (or received) is added to (or deducted from) the basis of the security acquired or deducted from (or added to) the proceeds of the security sold. When an option expires (or the Fund enters into a closing transaction), the Fund realizes a gain or loss on the option to the extent of the premiums received or paid (or gain or loss to the extent the cost of the closing transaction exceeds the premium paid or received).

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORTS

JANUARY 31, 2007

Notes to Financial Statements (continued)

Written and purchased options are non-income producing investments.

- \* Forward interest rate swaps--Each Fund may enter into forward interest rate swaps. In a forward interest rate swap, the Fund and the counterparty agree to make periodic net payments on a specified notional contract amount, commencing on a specified future effective date, unless terminated earlier. When the agreement is closed, the Fund records a realized gain or loss in an amount equal to the value of the agreement.
- \* Swaps—Each Fund may enter into swap agreements, which are OTC contracts in which the Fund and a counterparty agree to make periodic net payments on a specified notional amount. The net payments can be made for a set period of time or may be triggered by a predetermined credit event. The net periodic payments may be based on a fixed or variable interest rate; the change in market value of a specified security, basket of securities, or index; or the

return generated by a security. These periodic payments received or made by the Fund are recorded in the accompanying Statements of Operations as realized gains or losses, respectively. Gains or losses are also realized upon termination of the swap agreements. Swaps are marked-to-market daily and changes in value are recorded as unrealized appreciation (depreciation). Risks include changes in the returns of the underlying instruments, failure of the counterparties to perform under the contracts' terms and the possible lack of liquidity with respect to the swap agreements.

(c) Municipal bonds held in trust--The Funds invest in leveraged residual certificates ("TOB Residuals") issued by tender option bond trusts ("TOBs"). A TOB is established by a third party sponsor forming a special purpose entity, into which a Fund, or an agent on behalf of the Fund, transfers municipal securities. A TOB typically issues two classes of beneficial interests: shortterm floating rate certificates, which are sold to third party investors, and residual certificates, which are generally issued to the Fund which made the transfer or to affiliates of the Fund. The Funds' transfers of the municipal securities to a TOB do not qualify for sale treatment under Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 140 "Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities," therefore the municipal securities deposited into a TOB are presented in the Funds' schedules of investments and the proceeds from the transactions are reported as a liability for trust certificates of the Funds. Similarly, proceeds from residual certificates issued to affiliates, if any, from the transaction are included in the liability for trust certificates. Interest income from the underlying security is recorded by the Funds on an accrual basis. Interest expense incurred on the secured borrowing and other expenses related to remarketing, administration and trustee services to a TOB are reported as expenses of a Fund. The floating rate certificates have interest rates that generally reset weekly and their holders have the option to tender certificates to the TOB for redemption at par at each reset date. The residual interests held by the Funds include the right of the Funds (1) to cause the holders of a proportional share of floating rate certificates to tender their certificates at par, and (2) to transfer a corresponding share of the municipal securities from the TOB to the Funds. At January 31, 2007, the aggregate value of the underlying municipal securities transferred to TOBs and the related liability for trust certificates were:

		Range of	
		Interest	Underlying
		Rates on	Municipal
	Liability	the Liability	Bonds
	for Trust	for Trust	Transferred
	Certificates	Certificates	to TOBs
BlackRock MuniHoldings		3.65% -	
Fund II, Inc.	\$18,270,000	3.66%	\$38,525,164
BlackRock MuniHoldings			
New Jersey		3.65% -	
Insured Fund, Inc.	\$23,032,500	3.66%	\$47,847,830

Financial transactions executed through TOBs generally will underperform the market for fixed rate municipal bonds in a rising interest rate environment, but tend to outperform the market for fixed rate bonds when interest rates decline or remain relatively stable. Should short-term interest rates rise, the Funds' investments in TOB Residuals likely will adversely affect the Funds' investment income - net and distributions to shareholders. Fluctuations in the market value of municipal securities deposited into the TOB may adversely affect the Funds' net asset value per share.

While the Funds' investment policies and restrictions expressly permit investments in inverse floating rate securities such as TOB Residuals, they generally do not allow the Funds to borrow money for purposes of making investments. The Funds' management believes that the Funds' restrictions on borrowings do not apply to the secured borrowings deemed to have occurred for accounting purposes.

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORTS

JANUARY 31, 2007

Notes to Financial Statements (continued)

- (d) Income taxes—It is each Fund's policy to comply with the requirements of the Internal Revenue Code applicable to regulated investment companies and to distribute substantially all of its taxable income to its shareholders. Therefore, no federal income tax provision is required.
- (e) Security transactions and investment income—Security transactions are recorded on the dates the trans-actions are entered into (the trade dates). Realized gains and losses on security transactions are determined on the identified cost basis. Dividend income is recorded on the ex-dividend dates. Interest income is recognized on the accrual basis. The Funds amortize all premiums and discounts on debt securities.
- (f) Dividends and distributions—Dividends from net investment income are declared and paid monthly. Distributions of capital gains are recorded on the ex-dividend dates.
- (g) Recent accounting pronouncements—In July 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Interpretation No. 48 ("FIN 48"), "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes—an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109." FIN 48 prescribes the minimum recognition threshold a tax position must meet in connection with accounting for uncertainties in income tax positions taken or expected to be taken by an entity including mutual funds before being measured and recognized in the financial statements. Adoption of FIN 48 is required for the last net asset value calculation in the first required financial statement reporting period for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. The impact on each of the Funds' financial statements, if any, is currently being assessed.

In addition, in September 2006, Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 157, Fair Value Measurements ("FAS 157"), was issued and is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. FAS 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. Management is currently evaluating the implications of FAS 157. At this time, its impact on each of the Funds' financial statements has not been determined.

2. Investment Advisory Agreement and Transactions with Affiliates:
On September 29, 2006, BlackRock, Inc. and Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc. ("Merrill Lynch") combined Merrill Lynch's investment management business, Merrill Lynch Investment Managers, L.P. ("MLIM"), and its affiliates, including Fund Asset Management, L.P. ("FAM"), with BlackRock, Inc. to create a new independent company. Merrill Lynch has a 49.8% economic interest and a 45% voting interest in the combined company and The PNC Financial Services Group, Inc. has approximately a 34% economic and voting interest. The new company operates under the BlackRock name and is governed by a board of directors with a

majority of independent members.

On August 15, 2006, shareholders of each Fund approved a new Investment Advisory Agreement with BlackRock Advisors, Inc. (the "Manager"), an indirect, wholly owned subsidiary of BlackRock, Inc. BlackRock Advisors, Inc. was recently reorganized into a limited liability company and renamed BlackRock Advisors, LLC. The new Investment Advisory Agreements between each Fund and the Manager became effective on September 29, 2006. Prior to September 29, 2006, FAM was the Funds' Manager. The general partner of FAM is Princeton Services, Inc. ("PSI"), an indirect, wholly owned subsidiary of Merrill Lynch, which is the limited partner.

The Manager is responsible for the management of the Funds' portfolios and provides the necessary personnel, facilities, equipment and certain other services necessary to the operations of the Funds. For such services, each Fund pays a monthly fee at an annual rate of .55% of the Fund's average daily net assets, including proceeds from the issuance of Preferred Stock. The Manager (and formerly FAM) has agreed to reimburse its management fee by the amount of management fees each Fund pays to the Manager and/or FAM indirectly through its investment as described below:

	Investment	For the Period August 1, 2006 to September 29, 2006 Reimbursement by FAM	For the Period September 30, 2006 to January 31, 2007 Reimbursement by the Manager
BlackRock MuniHoldings Fund II, Inc. BlackRock MuniHoldings New Jersey Insured Fund, Inc.	Merrill Lynch Institutional Tax-Exempt Fund CMA New Jersey Municipal Money Fund	\$ 4 \$2,005	\$ 7 \$7 <b>,</b> 209

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORTS JANUARY 31, 2007

Notes to Financial Statements (continued)

In addition, for BlackRock MuniHoldings New Jersey Insured Fund, Inc., the Manager (and previously FAM) has agreed to waive its management fee based on the proceeds of Preferred Stock that exceeds 35% of the Fund's total net assets. For the six months ended January 31, 2007, the Manager and/or FAM earned and waived fees for BlackRock MuniHoldings New Jersey Insured Fund, Inc. as follows:

For the Period	For the Period
August 1,	September 30,
2006 to	2006 to

September 29, January 31, 2006 2007 FAM Manager Fees Fees Fees Fees Earned Waived Earned Waived \$489,390 \$28,255 \$969,887 \$51,883

In addition, the Manager has entered into a sub-advisory agreement in respect to each Fund with BlackRock Investment Management, LLC, an affiliate of the Manager, under which the Manager pays the Sub-Adviser for services it provides a monthly fee at an annual rate equal to the percentage of the management fee paid by each Fund to the Manager.

The Funds reimbursed the Manager and/or FAM for certain accounting services. The reimbursements were as follows:

	For the Period August 1, 2006 to September 29, 2006 Reimbursement to FAM	For the Period September 30, 2006 to January 31, 2007 Reimbursement to the Manager
BlackRock MuniHoldings Fund II, Inc. BlackRock MuniHoldings New Jersey Insured	\$ 863	\$1,727
Fund, Inc.	\$1 <b>,</b> 764	\$3 <b>,</b> 728

Prior to September 29, 2006, certain officers and/or directors of the Funds were officers and/or directors of Merrill Lynch, FAM, MLIM, and/or PSI.

Commencing September 29, 2006, certain officers and/or directors of the Funds are officers and/or directors of BlackRock, Inc. or its affiliates.

#### 3. Investments:

Purchases and sales of investments, excluding short-term securities, for the six months ended January 31, 2007 were as follows:

		BlackRock
		MuniHoldings
	BlackRock	New Jersey
	MuniHoldings	Insured
	Fund II, Inc.	Fund, Inc.
Total Purchases	\$15,343,300	\$14,871,622
Total Sales	\$14,695,348	\$26,814,403

#### 4. Stock Transactions:

Each Fund is authorized to issue 200,000,000 shares of stock, including Preferred Stock, par value \$.10 per share, all of which were initially classified as Common Stock. The Board of Directors of each Fund is authorized,

however, to reclassify any unissued shares of stock without approval of holders of Common Stock.

Common Stock

BlackRock MuniHoldings Fund II, Inc.

Shares issued and outstanding during the six months ended January 31, 2007 remained constant and for the year ended July 31, 2006 increased by 21,687 as a result of dividend reinvestment.

BlackRock MuniHoldings New Jersey Insured Fund, Inc.

Shares issued and outstanding during the six months ended January 31, 2007 and the year ended July 31, 2006 increased by 34,151 and 122,432, respectively, as a result of dividend reinvestment.

Preferred Stock

Auction Market Preferred Stock are shares of Preferred Stock of the Funds, with a liquidation preference of \$25,000 per share plus accrued and unpaid dividends that entitle their holders to receive cash dividends at an annual rate that may vary for the successive dividend periods. The yields in effect at January 31, 2007 were as follows:

		BlackRock MuniHoldings
	BlackRock MuniHoldings Fund II, Inc.	New Jersey Insured Fund, Inc.
Series A	3.55%	3.10%
Series B	3.54%	3.10%
Series C		3.20%
Series D		3.18%
Series E		2.85%

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORTS JANUARY 31, 2007

Notes to Financial Statements (continued)

Each Fund pays commissions to certain broker-dealers at the end of each auction at an annual rate ranging from .25% to .375%, calculated on the proceeds of each auction. For the six months ended January 31, 2007, Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith, Incorporated earned commissions as follows:

	Com	missions
BlackRock MuniHoldings Fund II, Inc.	\$	31,822
BlackRock MuniHoldings New Jersey		
Insured Fund, Inc.	\$	130,290

#### 5. Capital Loss Carryforward:

BlackRock MuniHoldings Fund II, Inc.

On July 31, 2006, the Fund had a net capital loss carryforward of \$15,556,223, of which \$2,569,629 expires in 2008, \$12,107,981 expires in 2009, \$689,205 expires in 2010 and \$189,408 expires in 2011. This amount will be available to offset like amounts of any future taxable gains.

BlackRock MuniHoldings New Jersey Insured Fund, Inc.

On July 31, 2006, the Fund had a net capital loss carryforward of \$25,221,422, of which \$24,985,528 expires in 2009 and \$235,894 expires in 2011. This amount will be available to offset like amounts of any future taxable gains.

#### 6. Subsequent Event:

Each Fund paid a tax-exempt income dividend to holders of Common Stock in the amount of \$.063000 per share and \$.061000 per share relating to BlackRock MuniHoldings Fund II, Inc. and BlackRock MuniHoldings New Jersey Insured Fund, Inc., respectively, on March 1, 2007 to shareholders of record on February 15, 2007.

#### 7. Restatement Information:

During the six months ended January 31, 2007, the Funds determined that the criteria for sale accounting in Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 140 had not been met for certain transfers of municipal bonds and that these transfers should have been accounted for as secured borrowings rather than as sales. Accordingly, the Funds have restated the statement of changes in net assets for the year ended July 31, 2006 and the financial highlights for the years ended July 31, 2006, 2005, 2004, 2003 and 2002. The effects of the restatement were to record the transfers of the municipal bonds as secured borrowings to give effect to offsetting changes in realized gain – net and in the change in unrealized appreciation/ depreciation – net on the transferred municipal securities and to give effect to interest on the bonds as interest income and interest on the secured borrowings as interest expense.

BlackRock MuniHoldings Fund II, Inc.

Statement of Changes in Net Assets For the Year Ended July 31, 2006

Class A

	Previously Reported	Restated
Realized gainnet Change in unrealized	\$ 1,052,644	\$ 1,049,246
appreciationnet	\$ (2,329,402)	\$ (2,326,004)

2006

Financial Highlights for the Years Ended July 31, 2006, 2005, 2004, 2003, 2002

Previously	Previously	Previously	Previously

2005

Reported Restated Reported Restated Reported Restated

45

Reported

2004

20

Total expenses, net							
of reimbursement**	1.18%	1.44%	1.19%	1.27%	1.21%	1.30%	1.26%
Total expenses**	1.18%	1.44%	1.19%	1.27%	1.22%	1.31%	1.26%
Portfolio turnover	49.12%	41%	45.11%	38%	31.03%	29%	44.03%

<sup>\*\*</sup> Do not reflect the effect of dividends to Preferred Stock shareholders.

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORTS JANUARY 31, 2007

Notes to Financial Statements (concluded)

BlackRock MuniHoldings New Jersey Insured Fund, Inc.

Statement of Changes in Net Assets For the Year Ended July 31, 2006

	Previously	
	Reported	Restated
Realized gainnet	\$ 3,944,138	\$ 3,099,555
Change in unrealized		
appreciationnet	\$ (16,888,244)	\$ (16,043,661)

Financial Highlights for the Years Ended July 31, 2006, 2005, 2004, 2003, 2002

	2006		2005		20	20	
	eviously Reported	Restated	Previously Reported	Restated	Previously Reported	Restated	Previously Reported
Total expenses, net of waiver and reimbursement and excluding reorganization	1.15%	1.39%	1.14%	1.25%	1.13%	1.19%	1.15%
expenses** Total expenses, net of waiver	1.15%	1.39%	1.146	1.256	1.136	1.196	1.13%
and reimbursement*: Total expenses** Portfolio turnover	* 1.15% 1.21% 19.11%	1.39% 1.45% 1%	1.14% 1.20% 29.61%	1.25% 1.31% 29%	1.13% 1.21% 8.53%	1.19% 1.27% 8%	1.15% 1.23% 28.89%

<sup>\*\*</sup> Do not reflect the effect of dividends to Preferred Stock shareholders.

While the Statements of Net Assets as of July 31, 2006, 2005, 2004, 2003 and 2002, not presented herein, have not been reissued to give effect to the restatement, the principal effects of the restatement would be to increase investments and liability for trust certificates by corresponding amounts at

each year, with no effect on previously reported net assets.

The Statements of Operations for each of these Funds for the years ended July 31, 2006, 2005, 2004, 2003 and 2002, not presented herein, have not been reissued to give effect to the restatement. However, the principal effects of the restatement would be to increase interest income and interest expense and fees by corresponding amounts each year, and where applicable, to revise realized gain (loss) on investments - net, and the change in unrealized appreciation/depreciation on investments - net, by corresponding and offsetting amounts.

The Statements of Changes in Net Assets for each of these Funds for the years ended July 31, 2005, 2004, 2003 and 2002, not presented herein, have not been reissued to give effect to the restatement, but the principal effects of a restatement, where applicable, would be to revise previously reported realized gain (loss) on investments – net, and change in unrealized appreciation/depreciation – net, by corresponding and offsetting amounts.

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORTS

JANUARY 31, 2007

Proxy Results

BlackRock MuniHoldings Fund II, Inc.

During the six-month period ended January 31, 2007, BlackRock MuniHoldings Fund II, Inc.'s shareholders voted on the following proposals, which were approved at a special shareholders' meeting on August 15, 2006. A description of the proposals and number of shares voted are as follows:

	Shares Voted For	Shares Voted Against	Shares Voted Abstain
To approve a new investment advisory agreement with BlackRock Advisors, Inc.	6,039,908	134,921	236,390
To approve a contingent sub-advisory agreement with BlackRock Advisors, Inc.	6,041,155	136,369	233,695

BlackRock MuniHoldings New Jersey Insured Fund, Inc.

During the six-month period ended January 31, 2007, BlackRock MuniHoldings New Jersey Insured Fund, Inc.'s shareholders voted on the following proposals, which were approved at a special shareholders' meeting on August 15, 2006. A description of the proposals and number of shares voted are as follows:

Shares Voted Shares Voted Shares Voted

	For	Against	Abstain
To approve a new investment advisory agreement with BlackRock Advisors, Inc.	10,506,782	444,071	495,258
To approve a contingent sub-advisory agreement with BlackRock Advisors, Inc.	10,445,858	482,960	517,293

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORTS

JANUARY 31, 2007

Disclosure of Investment Advisory Agreement

BlackRock Investment Advisory Agreements--Matters Considered by the Boards

The following disclosure appeared in the July 31, 2006 Annual Report of the Funds and is the discussion referred to in "New BlackRock Sub-Advisory Agreements - Matters Considered by the Boards" below. The term "Investment Adviser" as used herein refers to Fund Asset Management, L.P.

In connection with the Transaction between Merrill Lynch and BlackRock, each Fund's Board of Directors considered a new investment advisory agreement (each a "New Investment Advisory Agreement") between the Fund and BlackRock Advisors, Inc. or its successor ("BlackRock Advisors"). Each Fund's New Investment Advisory Agreement has been approved by the Fund's shareholders and is expected to become effective upon the closing of the Transaction in the third quarter of 2006.

The Boards discussed the New Investment Advisory Agreements at telephonic and in-person meetings held during April and May 2006. Each Board, including the independent directors, approved the applicable New Investment Advisory Agreement at a meeting held on May 12, 2006.

To assist each Fund's Board in its consideration of the Fund's New Investment Advisory Agreement, BlackRock provided materials and information about BlackRock, including its financial condition and asset management capabilities and organization, and Merrill Lynch provided materials and information about the Transaction. Each Fund's independent directors, through their independent legal counsel, also requested and received additional information from Merrill Lynch and BlackRock in connection with their consideration of the Fund's New Investment Advisory Agreement. The additional information was provided in advance of the May 12, 2006 meetings. In addition, each Fund's independent directors consulted with their counsel and Fund counsel on numerous occasions, discussing, among other things, the legal standards and certain other considerations relevant to the directors' deliberations.

At each Fund's Board meetings, the directors discussed with Merrill Lynch management and certain BlackRock representatives the Transaction, its strategic rationale and BlackRock's general plans and intentions regarding the Fund. At these Board meetings, representatives of Merrill Lynch and BlackRock made presentations to and responded to questions from the Boards. The directors also inquired about the plans for and anticipated roles and responsibilities of certain employees and officers of the Investment Adviser and certain affiliates being transferred to BlackRock in connection with the Transaction. The independent directors also conferred separately and with their counsel about the Transaction and other matters related to the Transaction on a number of occasions, including in connection with the April and May 2006 meetings. After the presentations and after reviewing the written

materials provided, each Fund's independent directors met in executive sessions with their counsel to consider the Fund's New Investment Advisory Agreement.

In connection with each Board's review of the New Investment Advisory Agreement, Merrill Lynch and/or BlackRock advised the directors about a variety of matters. The advice included the following, among other matters:

- \* that there is not expected to be any diminution in the nature, quality and extent of services provided to each Fund and its shareholders by BlackRock Advisors, including compliance services;
- \* that operation of New BlackRock as an independent investment management firm will enhance its ability to attract and retain talented professionals;
- \* that each Fund should benefit from having access to BlackRock's state of the art technology and risk management analytic tools, including investment tools, provided under the BlackRock Solutions (R) brand name;
- \* that BlackRock has no present intention to alter any applicable expense waivers or reimbursements currently in effect and, while it reserves the right to do so in the future, it would seek Board approval before making any changes;
- \* that in connection with the Transaction, Merrill Lynch and BlackRock have agreed to conduct, and use reasonable best efforts to cause their respective affiliates to conduct, their respective businesses in compliance with the conditions of Section 15(f) of the Investment Company Act of 1940 (the "1940 Act") in relation to any public funds advised by BlackRock or the Investment Adviser (or its affiliates), respectively; and
- \* that Merrill Lynch and BlackRock would derive benefits from the Transaction and that, as a result, they have a different financial interest in the matters that were being considered than do Fund shareholders.

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORTS

JANUARY 31, 2007

The directors considered the information provided by Merrill Lynch and BlackRock above, and, among other factors, the following:

- \* the potential benefits to each Fund's shareholders from being part of a combined fund family with BlackRock-sponsored funds, including possible economies of scale and access to investment opportunities;
- \* the reputation, financial strength and resources of BlackRock and its investment advisory subsidiaries and the anticipated financial strength and resources of New BlackRock;
- \* the compliance policies and procedures of BlackRock Advisors;
- \* the terms and conditions of each New Investment Advisory Agreement, including the fact that neither Fund's schedule of total advisory fees will increase by virtue of the Fund's New Investment Advisory Agreement, but will remain the same;
- \* that in February 2006, each Fund's Board performed a full annual review of the investment advisory agreement currently in effect for the Fund (each a "Current Investment Advisory Agreement") as required by the 1940 Act and

determined that the Investment Adviser has the capabilities, resources and personnel necessary to provide the advisory and administrative services currently provided to the Fund; and that the advisory and/or management fees to be paid by the Fund, taking into account any applicable agreed-upon fee waivers and breakpoints, represented reasonable compensation to the Investment Adviser in light of the services to be provided, the expected costs to the Investment Adviser of providing those services, potential economies of scale, the fees and other expenses paid by similar funds (including information provided by Lipper Inc. ["Lipper"]), and such other matters as the directors considered relevant in the exercise of their reasonable judgment; and

\* that Merrill Lynch agreed to pay all expenses of each Fund in connection with the Board's consideration of the New Investment Advisory Agreement and related agreements and all costs of shareholder approval of the New Investment Advisory Agreement and as a result the Fund would bear no costs in obtaining shareholder approval of the New Investment Advisory Agreement.

Certain of these considerations are discussed in more detail below.

In its review of the New Investment Advisory Agreement, each Board assessed the nature, scope and quality of the services to be provided to the applicable Fund by the personnel of BlackRock Advisors and its affiliates, including administrative services, shareholder services, oversight of fund accounting and assistance in meeting legal and regulatory requirements. In its review of the New Investment Advisory Agreement, each Board also considered a range of information in connection with its oversight of the services to be provided by BlackRock Advisors and its affiliates. Among the matters considered by each Board were: (a) fees (in addition to management fees) to be paid to BlackRock Advisors and its affiliates by the Fund; (b) Fund operating expenses paid to third parties; (c) the resources devoted to and compliance reports relating to the Fund's investment objective, policies and restrictions, and its compliance with its Code of Ethics and BlackRock Advisors' compliance policies and procedures; and (d) the nature, cost and character of non-investment management services to be provided by BlackRock Advisors and its affiliates.

In the period prior to each Fund's Board meeting to consider the renewal of the Fund's Current Investment Advisory Agreement, the Board had requested and received materials specifically relating to the Current Investment Advisory Agreement. For each Fund, these materials included (a) information compiled by Lipper on the fees and expenses and the investment performance of the Fund as compared to a comparable group of funds as classified by Lipper; (b) information comparing the Fund's market price with its net asset value per share; (c) a discussion by the Fund's portfolio management team on investment strategies used by the Fund during its most recent fiscal year; (d) information on the profitability to the Investment Adviser of the Current Investment Advisory Agreement and other payments received by the Investment Adviser and its affiliates from the Fund; and (e) information provided by the Investment Adviser concerning services related to the valuation and pricing of Fund portfolio holdings, the Fund's portfolio turnover statistics, and direct and indirect benefits to the Investment Adviser and its affiliates from their relationship with the Fund.

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORTS

JANUARY 31, 2007

Disclosure of Investment Advisory Agreement (continued)

In their deliberations, each Fund's directors considered information received in connection with their recent continuation of the Fund's Current Investment Advisory Agreement, in addition to information provided by BlackRock and BlackRock Advisors in connection with their evaluation of the terms and conditions of the Fund's New Investment Advisory Agreement. Neither Fund's directors identified any particular information that was all-important or controlling, and each director attributed different weights to the various factors. The directors of each Fund, including a majority of the independent directors, concluded that the terms of the Fund's New Investment Advisory Agreement are appropriate, that the fees to be paid are reasonable in light of the services to be provided to the Fund, and that the New Investment Advisory Agreement should be approved and recommended to Fund shareholders.

Nature, Quality and Extent of Services Provided—Each Fund's Board reviewed the nature, extent and quality of services provided by the Investment Adviser, including the investment advisory services and the resulting performance of the Fund, as well as the nature, quality and extent of services expected to be provided by BlackRock Advisors. Each Fund's Board focused primarily on the Investment Adviser's advisory services and the Fund's investment performance, but also considered certain areas in which both the Investment Adviser and the Fund receive services as part of the Merrill Lynch complex. Each Fund's Board compared the Fund's performance — both including and excluding the effects of the Fund's fees and expenses — to the performance of a comparable group of funds, and the performance of a relevant index or combination of indexes. While each Board reviews performance data at least quarterly, consistent with the Investment Adviser's investment goals, the Board attaches more importance to performance over relatively long periods of time, typically three to five years.

In evaluating the nature, quality and extent of the services to be provided by BlackRock Advisors under the New Investment Advisory Agreement, each Fund's directors considered, among other things, the expected impact of the Transaction on the operations, facilities, organization and personnel of New BlackRock and how it would affect the Fund; the ability of BlackRock Advisors to perform its duties after the Transaction; and any anticipated changes to the current investment and other practices of the Fund.

Each Fund's directors were given information with respect to the potential benefits to the Fund and its shareholders from having access to BlackRock's state of the art technology and risk management analytic tools, including the investment tools provided under the BlackRock Solutions brand name.

Each Fund's directors were advised that, as a result of Merrill Lynch's equity interest in BlackRock after the Transaction, the Fund will continue to be subject to restrictions concerning certain transactions involving Merrill Lynch affiliates (for example, transactions with a Merrill Lynch broker-dealer acting as principal) absent revised or new regulatory relief. Each Fund's directors were advised that a revision of existing regulatory relief with respect to these restrictions was being sought from the Securities and Exchange Commission and were advised of the possibility of receipt of such revised regulatory relief. There can be no assurance that such relief will be obtained.

Based on their review of the materials provided and the assurances they had received from the management of Merrill Lynch and of BlackRock, each Fund's directors determined that the nature and quality of services to be provided to the Fund under the New Investment Advisory Agreement were expected to be as good as or better than that provided under the Fund's Current Investment Advisory Agreement. It was noted, however, that it is expected that there will be changes in personnel following the Transaction and the combination of the operations of the Investment Adviser and its affiliates with those of BlackRock. Each Fund's directors noted that if current portfolio managers or

other personnel cease to be available, the Board would consider all available options, which could include seeking the investment advisory or other services of BlackRock affiliates. Accordingly, each Fund's directors concluded that, overall, they were satisfied at the present time with assurances from BlackRock and BlackRock Advisors as to the expected nature, extent and quality of the services to be provided to the Fund under its New Investment Advisory Agreement.

Costs of Services Provided and Profitability--It was noted that, in conjunction with their recent review of each Fund's Current Investment Advisory Agreement, the Fund's directors had received, among other things, a report from Lipper comparing the Fund's expected fees and expenses to those of a peer group selected by Lipper, and information as to the fees charged by the Investment Adviser or its affiliates to other registered investment company clients for investment management services. Each Fund's Board reviewed the Fund's contractual management fee rate and actual management fee rate as a percentage of total assets at common asset levels - the actual rate includes advisory fees and the effects of any fee waivers - compared to the other funds in its Lipper category. Each Fund's Board also compared the Fund's total expenses to those of other comparable funds. The information showed that each Fund had fees and expenses within the range of fees and expenses of comparable funds. Each Fund's Board considered the services to be provided by and the fees to be charged by BlackRock Advisors to other funds with similar investment mandates and noted that the fees charged by BlackRock Advisors in those cases, including fee waivers and expense reimbursements, were generally comparable to those being charged to the Fund. Each Fund's Board concluded that the Fund's management fee and fee rate and overall expense ratio are reasonable compared to those of other comparable funds.

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORTS

JANUARY 31, 2007

In evaluating the costs of the services to be provided by BlackRock Advisors under each Fund's New Investment Advisory Agreement, the Fund's directors considered, among other things, whether advisory fees or other expenses would change as a result of the Transaction. Based on their review of the materials provided and the fact that each New Investment Advisory Agreement is substantially similar to the applicable Current Investment Advisory Agreement in all material respects, including the rate of compensation, each Fund's directors determined that the Transaction should not increase the total fees payable, including any fee waivers and expense reimbursements, for advisory and administrative services. Each Fund's directors noted that it was not possible to predict how the Transaction would affect BlackRock Advisors' profitability from its relationship with the Fund.

Each Fund's directors discussed with BlackRock Advisors its general methodology to be used in determining its profitability with respect to its relationship with the Fund. The directors noted that they expect to receive profitability information from BlackRock Advisors on at least an annual basis and thus be in a position to evaluate whether any adjustments in Fund fees and/or fee breakpoints would be appropriate.

Fees and Economies of Scale—Each Fund's Board considered the extent to which economies of scale might be realized as the assets of the Fund increase and whether there should be changes in the management fee rate or structure in order to enable the Fund to participate in these economies of scale. Each Fund's Board determined that changes were not currently necessary.

In reviewing the Transaction, the directors considered, among other things,

whether advisory fees or other expenses would change as a result of the Transaction. Based on the fact that each New Investment Advisory Agreement is substantially similar to the applicable Current Investment Advisory Agreement in all material respects, including the rate of compensation, each Fund's directors determined that as a result of the Transaction, the Fund's total advisory fees would be no higher than the fees under the current Investment Advisory Agreement. Each Fund's directors noted that in conjunction with their most recent deliberations concerning the Current Investment Advisory Agreement, they had determined that the expected total fees for advisory and administrative services for the Fund were reasonable in light of the services to be provided. It was noted that in conjunction with the recent review of each Current Investment Advisory Agreement, each Fund's directors had received, among other things, a report from Lipper comparing the Fund's expected fees and expenses to those of a peer group selected by Lipper, and information as to the fees charged by the Investment Adviser or its affiliates to other registered investment company clients for investment management services. Each Fund's directors concluded that, because the rates for advisory fees for the Fund would be no higher than its current fee rates, the proposed management fee structure, including any fee waivers, was reasonable and that no additional changes were currently necessary.

Fall-Out Benefits—In evaluating the fall-out benefits to be received by BlackRock Advisors under the New Investment Advisory Agreement, each Fund's directors considered whether the Transaction would have an impact on the fall-out benefits received by the Investment Advisor by virtue of the Current Investment Advisory Agreement. Based on their review of the materials provided, including materials received in connection with their most recent approval of the Current Investment Advisory Agreement, and their discussions with management of the Investment Advisory Agreement, and their discussions determined that those benefits could include increased ability for BlackRock to distribute shares of its funds and other investment products. The directors noted that any fall-out benefits were difficult to quantify with certainty at this time, and indicated that they would continue to evaluate them going forward.

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORTS

JANUARY 31, 2007

Disclosure of Investment Advisory Agreement (concluded)

Investment Performance--Each Fund's directors considered investment performance for the Fund. Each Fund's directors compared the Fund's performance - both including and excluding the effects of the Fund's fees and expenses - to the performance of a comparable group of funds, and the performance of a relevant index or combination of indexes. The comparative information received from Lipper showed each Fund's performance at various levels within the range of performance of comparable funds over different time periods. While each Board reviews performance data at least quarterly, consistent with the Investment Adviser's investment goals, the Board attaches more importance over relatively long periods of time, typically three to five years. Each Fund's directors believed the Fund's performance was satisfactory. Also, the directors took into account the investment performance of funds currently advised by BlackRock Advisors. Each Board considered comparative information from Lipper which showed that the performance of the funds advised by BlackRock Advisors was within the range of performance of comparable funds over different time periods. Each Fund's Board noted BlackRock's considerable investment management experience and capabilities, but was unable to predict what effect, if any, consummation of the Transaction would have on the future performance

of the Fund.

Conclusion—After the independent directors of each Fund deliberated in executive session, the Fund's entire Board, including the independent directors, approved the Fund's New Investment Advisory Agreement, concluding that the advisory fee rate was reasonable in relation to the services provided and that the New Investment Advisory Agreement was in the best interests of the Fund's shareholders. In approving the New Investment Advisory Agreement, each Board noted that it anticipated reviewing the continuance of the agreement in advance of the expiration of the initial two-year period.

Contingent BlackRock Sub-Advisory Agreements--Matters Considered by the Boards

At the telephonic and in-person meetings held during April and May 2006 at which each Board of Directors discussed and approved the New Investment Advisory Agreement, each Board, including the independent directors, also considered and approved a contingent sub-advisory agreement (each a "Contingent Sub-Advisory Agreement") between the Investment Adviser and BlackRock Advisors (the "BlackRock Sub-Adviser"). Each Fund's Contingent Sub-Advisory Agreement is intended to ensure that the Fund operates with efficient portfolio management services until the closing of the Transaction, in the event that the Fund's Board deems it necessary and in the best interests of the Fund and its shareholders that the BlackRock Sub-Adviser assist in managing the operations of the Fund during the interim period until the closing of the Transaction. Each Fund's Contingent Sub-Advisory Agreement would take effect only upon recommendation from the Investment Adviser and upon subsequent approval of the Fund's Board in the period up to the closing of the Transaction. The effectiveness of each Fund's Contingent Sub-Advisory Agreement, therefore, is contingent on further Board approval. Pursuant to each Contingent Sub-Advisory Agreement, the BlackRock Sub-Adviser would receive a monthly fee from the Investment Adviser equal to 50% of the advisory fee received by the Investment Adviser. The Investment Adviser would pay the BlackRock Sub-Adviser out of its own resources. There would be no increase in either Fund's expenses as a result of the Contingent Sub-Advisory Agreement.

In making its approval at the May in-person meeting, each Board considered the Contingent Sub-Advisory Agreement in conjunction with the New Investment Advisory Agreement and reviewed the same information and factors discussed above, and came to the same conclusions. Each Fund's Board also considered in conjunction with the Contingent Sub-Advisory Agreement the necessity of ensuring that the Fund operates with effective management services until the closing of the Transaction. In reviewing the sub-advisory fee rate provided in each Fund's Contingent Sub-Advisory Agreement, the Fund's Board took note of the fact that both the Investment Adviser and the BlackRock Sub-Adviser would have significant responsibilities under their respective advisory agreements. The Investment Adviser would remain responsible for oversight of each Fund's operations and administration and the BlackRock Sub-Adviser would provide advisory services to the Fund under the Contingent Sub-Advisory Agreement. Each Fund's Board also took into account the expected short duration of the term of any Contingent Sub-Advisory Agreement and the fact that total advisory fees paid by the Fund would not increase as a result of the Contingent Sub-Advisory Agreement. Under all of the circumstances, each Fund's Board concluded that it was a reasonable allocation of fees for the BlackRock Sub-Adviser to receive 50% of the advisory fee paid by the Fund to the Investment Adviser.

After the independent directors of each Fund deliberated in executive session, the Fund's entire Board, including the independent directors, approved the applicable Contingent Sub-Advisory Agreement, concluding that the advisory fee was reasonable in relation to the services provided and that the Contingent Sub-Advisory Agreement was in the best interests of the Fund's shareholders.

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORTS

JANUARY 31, 2007

New BlackRock Sub-Advisory Agreements--Matters Considered by the Boards

At an in-person meeting held on August 14 - 16, 2006, each Fund's Board of Directors, including the independent directors, discussed and approved the subadvisory agreement with respect to each Fund between BlackRock Advisors, LLC (previously organized as BlackRock Advisors, Inc.) ("BlackRock Advisors") and its affiliate, BlackRock Investment Management, LLC (the "Sub-Advisor") (each, a "BlackRock Sub-Advisory Agreement"). Each BlackRock Sub-Advisory Agreement became effective on September 29, 2006, at the same time the Fund's New Investment Advisory Agreement with BlackRock Advisors (which had been approved by the Fund's shareholders) became effective.

Pursuant to each BlackRock Sub-Advisory Agreement, the Sub-Adviser receives a monthly fee from BlackRock Advisors at an annual rate equal to 59% of the advisory fee received by BlackRock Advisors from the Fund. BlackRock Advisors pays the Sub-Adviser out of its own resources, and there is no increase in the expenses of either Fund as a result of the BlackRock Sub-Advisory Agreements.

In approving each BlackRock Sub-Advisory Agreement at the August in-person meeting, each Fund's Board reviewed its considerations in connection with its approval of the New Investment Advisory Agreement in May 2006. Each Fund's Board relied on the same information and considered the same factors as those discussed above in connection with the approval of the Fund's New Investment Advisory Agreement, and came to the same conclusions. Each Fund's Board considered all relevant factors and did not indentify any particular information that was controlling. In reviewing the sub-advisory fee rate provided for in each Fund's BlackRock Sub-Advisory Agreement, the Fund's Board noted the fact that both BlackRock Advisors and the Sub-Adviser have significant responsibilities under their respective advisory agreements. Under the New Investment Advisory Agreement, BlackRock Advisors remains responsible for the overall management of each Fund and for oversight of each Fund's operations and administration. Under each Fund's BlackRock Sub-Advisory Agreement, the Sub-Adviser provides advisory services to the Fund and is responsible for the day-to-day management of the Fund's portfolio. Each Fund's Board also took into account the fact that there is no increase in total advisory fees paid by the Fund as a result of the Fund's BlackRock Sub-Advisory Agreement. Based on its considerations, each Fund's Board concluded that it was a reasonable allocation of fees for the Sub-Adviser to receive a fee at an annual rate equal to 59% of the advisory fee paid by the Fund to BlackRock Advisors.

After each Fund's independent directors deliberated in executive session, each Fund's entire Board, including the independent directors, approved the Fund's BlackRock Sub-Advisory Agreement, concluding that the sub-advisory fee was reasonable in relation to the services provided and that the BlackRock Sub-Advisory Agreement was in the best interests of the Fund's shareholders.

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORTS

JANUARY 31, 2007

Officers and Directors

Robert C. Doll, Jr., President and Director Ronald W. Forbes, Director Cynthia A. Montgomery, Director Jean Margo Reid, Director Roscoe S. Suddarth, Director Richard R. West, Director Donald C. Burke, Vice President and Treasurer John M. Loffredo, Senior Vice President Jeffrey Hiller, Fund Chief Compliance Officer Alice A. Pellegrino, Secretary

Custodian
The Bank of New York
100 Church Street
New York, NY 10286

Transfer Agents

Common Stock: The Bank of New York 101 Barclay Street--11 East New York, NY 10286

Preferred Stock: The Bank of New York 101 Barclay Street--7 West New York, NY 10286

Effective January 1, 2007, Edward D. Zinbarg retired as a Director of BlackRock MuniHoldings Fund II, Inc. and BlackRock MuniHoldings New Jersey Insured Fund, Inc. The Funds' Boards of Directors wish Mr. Zinbarg well in his retirement.

Investment Objectives

NYSE Symbol BlackRock MuniHoldings Fund II, Inc. seeks to provide shareholders MUH with current income exempt from federal income taxes by investing primarily in a portfolio of long-term, investment grade municipal obligations the interest on which, in the opinion of bond counsel to the issuer, is exempt from federal income taxes.

NYSE Symbol BlackRock MuniHoldings New Jersey Insured Fund, Inc. seeks to provide shareholders with current income exempt from federal income tax and New Jersey personal income taxes by investing in a portfolio of long-term, investment grade municipal obligations the interest on which, in the opinion of bond counsel to the issuer, is exempt from federal income tax and New Jersey personal income taxes.

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORTS

JANUARY 31, 2007

Availability of Quarterly Schedule of Investments

The Funds file their complete schedules of portfolio holdings with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") for the first and third quarters of each fiscal year on Form N-Q. The Funds' Forms N-Q are available on the SEC's Web site at http://www.sec.gov. The Funds' Forms N-Q may also be reviewed and copied at the SEC's Public Reference Room in Washington, DC. Information on the operation of the Public Reference Room may be obtained by calling 1-800-SEC-0330.

#### Electronic Delivery

Electronic copies of most financial reports and prospectuses are available on the Fund's Web site. Shareholders can sign up for e-mail notifications of quarterly statements, annual and semi-annual reports and prospectuses by enrolling in the Fund's electronic delivery program.

#### To enroll:

Shareholders Who Hold Accounts with Investment Advisers, Banks or Brokerages: Please contact your financial advisor. Please note that not all investment advisers, banks or brokerages may offer this service.

#### BlackRock Privacy Principles

BlackRock is committed to maintaining the privacy of its current and former fund investors and individual clients (collectively, "Clients") and to safeguarding their nonpublic personal information. The following information is provided to help you understand what personal information BlackRock collects, how we protect that information and why in certain cases we share such information with select parties.

If you are located in a jurisdiction where specific laws, rules or regulations require BlackRock to provide you with additional or different privacy-related rights beyond what is set forth below, then BlackRock will comply with those specific laws, rules or regulations.

BlackRock obtains or verifies personal nonpublic information from and about you from different sources, including the following: (i) information we receive from you or, if applicable, your financial intermediary, on applications, forms or other documents; (ii) information about your transactions with us, our affiliates, or others; (iii) information we receive from a consumer reporting agency; and (iv) from visits to our Web sites.

BlackRock does not sell or disclose to nonaffiliated third parties any nonpublic personal information about its Clients, except as permitted by law or as is necessary to service Client accounts. These nonaffiliated third parties are required to protect the confidentiality and security of this information and to use it only for its intended purpose.

We may share information with our affiliates to service your account or to provide you with information about other BlackRock products or services that may be of interest to you. In addition, BlackRock restricts access to nonpublic personal information about its Clients to those BlackRock employees with a legitimate business need for the information. BlackRock maintains physical, electronic and procedural safeguards that are designed to protect

the nonpublic personal information of its Clients, including procedures relating to the proper storage and disposal of such information.

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORTS

JANUARY 31, 2007

- Item 2 Code of Ethics Not Applicable to this semi-annual report
- Item 3 Audit Committee Financial Expert Not Applicable to this semiannual report
- Item 5 Audit Committee of Listed Registrants Not Applicable to this
   semi-annual report
- Item 6 Schedule of Investments Not Applicable
- - (a) (1) BlackRock MuniHoldings Fund II, Inc. is managed by a team of investment professionals comprised of Theodore R. Jaeckel, Jr., CFA, Managing Director at BlackRock, and Walter O'Connor, Managing Director at BlackRock. Each is a member of BlackRock's municipal tax-exempt management group. Mr. Jaeckel and Mr. O'Connor are responsible for setting the Fund's overall investment strategy and overseeing the management of the Fund. Mr. Jaeckel is also the Fund's lead portfolio manager and is responsible for the day-to-day management of the Fund's portfolio and the selection of its investments. Mr. O'Connor has been a member of the Fund's management team since 2006 and Mr. Jaeckel has been the Fund's portfolio manager since 2006.
  - Mr. Jaeckel joined BlackRock in 2006. Prior to joining BlackRock, he was a Managing Director (Municipal Tax-Exempt Fund Management) of Merrill Lynch Investment Managers, L.P. ("MLIM") from 2005 to 2006 and a Director of MLIM from 1997 to 2005. He has been a portfolio manager with BlackRock or MLIM since 1991.
  - Mr. O'Connor joined BlackRock in 2006. Prior to joining BlackRock, he was a Managing Director (Municipal Tax-Exempt Fund Management) of MLIM from 2003 to 2006 and was a Director of MLIM from 1997 to 2002. He has been a portfolio manager with BlackRock or MLIM since 1991.
  - (a) (2) As of January 31, 2007:

(iii) Number of Other Ac Assets for Which Adviso Performance-Base

(i) Name of Portfolio Manager	Other Registered Investment Companies	Other Pooled Investment Vehicles		Other Accounts		Other Registered Investment Companies		Other Pooled Investment Vehicles	
Theodore R.									
Jaeckel, Jr.	80		0		0		0		1
	\$ 29,189,760,840	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$ 26,	,524 <b>,</b> 194
Walter									
O'Connor	80		0		0		0		1
	\$ 29,189,760,840	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0

#### (iv) Potential Material Conflicts of Interest

BlackRock has built a professional working environment, firm-wide compliance culture and compliance procedures and systems designed to protect against potential incentives that may favor one account over another. BlackRock has adopted policies and procedures that address the allocation of investment opportunities, execution of portfolio transactions, personal trading by employees and other potential conflicts of interest that are designed to ensure that all client accounts are treated equitably over time. Nevertheless, BlackRock furnishes investment management and advisory services to numerous clients in addition to the Fund, and BlackRock may, consistent with applicable law, make investment recommendations to other clients or accounts (including accounts which are hedge funds or have performance or higher fees paid to BlackRock, or in which portfolio managers have a personal interest in the receipt of such fees), which may be the same as or different from those made to the Fund. In addition, BlackRock, its affiliates and any officer, director, stockholder or employee may or may not have an interest in the securities whose purchase and sale BlackRock recommends to the Fund. BlackRock, or any of its affiliates, or any officer, director, stockholder, employee or any member of their families may take different actions than those recommended to the Fund by BlackRock with respect to the same securities. Moreover, BlackRock may refrain from rendering any advice or services concerning securities of companies of which any of BlackRock's (or its affiliates') officers, directors or employees are directors or officers, or companies as to which BlackRock or any of its affiliates or the officers, directors and employees of any of them has any substantial economic interest or possesses material non-public information. Each portfolio manager also may manage accounts whose investment strategies may at times be opposed to the strategy utilized for the Fund. In this connection, it should be noted that certain portfolio managers currently manage certain accounts that are subject to performance fees. In addition, certain portfolio managers assist in managing certain hedge funds and may be entitled to receive a portion of any incentive fees earned on such funds and a portion of such incentive fees may be voluntarily or involuntarily deferred. Additional portfolio managers may in the future manage other such accounts or funds and may be entitled to receive incentive fees.

As a fiduciary, BlackRock owes a duty of loyalty to its clients and must treat each client fairly. When BlackRock purchases or sells securities for more than one account, the trades must be allocated in a manner consistent with its fiduciary duties. BlackRock attempts to allocate investments in a fair and equitable manner among client

accounts, with no account receiving preferential treatment. To this end, BlackRock has adopted a policy that is intended to ensure that investment opportunities are allocated fairly and equitably among client accounts over time. This policy also seeks to achieve reasonable efficiency in client transactions and provide BlackRock with sufficient flexibility to allocate investments in a manner that is consistent with the particular investment discipline and client base.

#### (a) (3) As of January 31, 2007:

Portfolio Manager Compensation

#### Compensation Program

The elements of total compensation for portfolio managers on BlackRock's municipal team include a fixed base salary, annual performance-based cash and stock compensation (cash and stock bonus) and other benefits. BlackRock has balanced these components of pay to provide these portfolio managers with a powerful incentive to achieve consistently superior investment performance. By design, compensation levels for these portfolio managers fluctuate—both up and down—with the relative investment performance of the portfolios that they manage.

#### Base compensation

Like that of many asset management firms, base salaries represent a relatively small portion of a portfolio manager's total compensation. This approach serves to enhance the motivational value of the performance-based (and therefore variable) compensation elements of the compensation program.

#### Performance-Based Compensation

BlackRock believes that the best interests of investors are served by recruiting and retaining exceptional asset management talent and managing their compensation within a consistent and disciplined framework that emphasizes pay for performance in the context of an intensely competitive market for talent. To that end, BlackRock and its affiliates portfolio manager incentive compensation is based on a formulaic compensation program. BlackRock's formulaic portfolio manager compensation program includes: investment performance relative to a subset of singlestate, closed-end, leveraged municipal debt funds over 1-, 3- and 5-year performance periods and a measure of operational efficiency. Portfolio managers are compensated based on the pre-tax performance of the products they manage. If a portfolio manager's tenure is less than 5 years, performance periods will reflect time in position. Portfolio managers are compensated based on products they manage. A discretionary element of portfolio manager compensation may include consideration of: financial results, expense control, profit margins, strategic planning and implementation, quality of client service, market share, corporate reputation, capital allocation, compliance and risk control, leadership, workforce diversity, supervision, technology and innovation. All factors are considered collectively by BlackRock management.

Long-Term Retention and Incentive Plan (LTIP)

The LTIP is a long-term incentive plan that seeks to reward certain key employees. The plan provides for the grant of awards that are expressed as an amount of cash that, if properly vested and subject to the attainment of certain performance goals, will be

settled in cash and/or in BlackRock, Inc. common stock.

Cash Bonus

Performance-based compensation is distributed to portfolio managers in a combination of cash and stock. Typically, the cash bonus, when combined with base salary, represents more than 60% of total compensation for portfolio managers.

#### Stock Bonus

A portion of the dollar value of the total annual performancebased bonus is paid in restricted shares of BlackRock stock. Paying a portion of annual bonuses in stock puts compensation earned by a portfolio manager for a given year "at risk" based on the company's ability to sustain and improve its performance over future periods. The ultimate value of stock bonuses is dependent on future BlackRock stock price performance. As such, the stock bonus aligns each portfolio manager's financial interests with those of the BlackRock shareholders and encourages a balance between short-term goals and long-term strategic objectives. Management strongly believes that providing a significant portion of competitive performance-based compensation in stock is in the best interests of investors and shareholders. This approach ensures that portfolio managers participate as shareholders in both the "downside risk" and "upside opportunity" of the company's performance. Portfolio managers therefore have a direct incentive to protect BlackRock's reputation for integrity.

#### Other Compensation Programs

Portfolio managers who meet relative investment performance and financial management objectives during a performance year are eligible to participate in a deferred cash program. Awards under this program are in the form of deferred cash that may be benchmarked to a menu of BlackRock mutual funds (including their own fund) during a five-year vesting period. The deferred cash program aligns the interests of participating portfolio managers with the investment results of BlackRock products and promotes continuity of successful portfolio management teams.

#### Other Benefits

Portfolio managers are also eligible to participate in broadbased plans offered generally to employees of BlackRock and its affiliates, including broad-based retirement, 401(k), health, and other employee benefit plans.

- (a) (4) Beneficial Ownership of Securities. As of January 31, 2007, neither of Messrs. Jaeckel and O'Connor beneficially owns any stock issued by the Fund.
- Item 10 Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders Not Applicable
- Item 11 Controls and Procedures
- 11(a) The Registrant's principal executive and principal financial officers have evaluated the Registrant's disclosure controls and procedures, including internal control over financial reporting, within 90 days of this filing. Such principal officers have concluded that as of March, 2007 the Registrant's disclosure controls and procedures were effective in design and operation to reasonably ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Registrant in this Form N-CSR was recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the required time periods, and were sufficient

to form the basis of the certifications required by Rule 30a-2 of the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended. Prior to reaching that conclusion, such principal officers had become aware of matters relating to the Registrant's participation in certain inverse floater structures that necessitated adjustments to financial information included in Item 1 of this filing. As a result, management of the Registrant had reevaluated certain disclosure controls and procedures determined not to be effective, as discussed more fully below.

Management of the Registrant is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting. In fulfilling this responsibility, estimates and judgments by management are required to assess the expected benefits and related costs of controls. The Registrant's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Such internal control includes policies and procedures that provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of a registrant's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

A control deficiency exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the Registrant's ability to initiate, authorize, record, process or report financial data reliably in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles such that there is more than a remote likelihood that a misstatement of the Registrant's annual or interim financial statements that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected. A material weakness is a significant deficiency, or combination of significant deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected.

Prior to the filing of the Registrant's Form N-CSR, the Registrant identified the following control deficiency, that was determined to be a material weakness, as defined above, in the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting at January 31, 2006. The Registrant's controls related to the review and analysis of relevant terms and conditions of transfers of certain assets pertaining to inverse floater structures were not operating effectively to appropriately determine whether the transfers of assets qualified for sale accounting under the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 140, "Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities" ("SFAS 140"). As a result, these controls did not detect that certain transfers were not appropriately recorded as borrowings. Accordingly, the Registrant's financial statements as of and for the period ended January 31, 2006, including prior periods where

applicable, were adjusted prior to being issued to appropriately reflect transfers of such securities as secured borrowings and to report the related income and expense. These adjustments had no impact on net assets, net asset value per share or total return.

Prior to the evaluation of the design and operation of the Registrant's disclosure controls and procedures at March, 2007, the Registrant's disclosure controls and procedures were modified to enhance the review and analysis of the relevant terms and conditions of transfers of securities in connection with inverse floater structures in light of SFAS 140.

- 11(b) There have been no changes in the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 30a-3(d) under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended) that occurred during the second half of the Registrant's fiscal year that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting. However, as discussed above, as of March 2007, the Registrant has enhanced controls related to the application of SFAS 140.
- Item 12 Exhibits attached hereto
- 12(a)(1) Code of Ethics Not Applicable to this semi-annual report
- 12(a)(2) Certifications Attached hereto
- 12(a)(3) Not Applicable
- 12(b) Certifications Attached hereto

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

BlackRock MuniHoldings Fund II, Inc.

By: /s/ Robert C. Doll, Jr.

Robert C. Doll, Jr.,
Chief Executive Officer of
BlackRock MuniHoldings Fund II, Inc.

Date: March 26, 2007

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

By: /s/ Robert C. Doll, Jr.

------Robert C. Doll, Jr.,
Chief Executive Officer of
BlackRock MuniHoldings Fund II, Inc.

Date: March 26, 2007

By: /s/ Donald C. Burke
----Donald C. Burke,

Chief Financial Officer of

BlackRock MuniHoldings Fund II, Inc.

Date: March 26, 2007