MUNIHOLDINGS FUND II INC /NJ/ Form N-CSR September 28, 2006

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM N-CSR

CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES

Investment Company Act file number 811-08215

Name of Fund: MuniHoldings Fund II, Inc.

Fund Address: P.O. Box 9011

Princeton, NJ 08543-9011

Name and address of agent for service: Robert C. Doll, Jr., Chief Executive Officer, MuniHoldings Fund II, Inc., 800 Scudders Mill Road, Plainsboro, NJ, 08536. Mailing address: P.O. Box 9011, Princeton, NJ, 08543-9011

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (609) 282-2800

Date of fiscal year end: 07/31/06

Date of reporting period: 08/01/05 - 07/31/06

Item 1 - Report to Stockholders

Annual Report July 31, 2006

MuniHoldings Fund II, Inc. MuniHoldings New Jersey Insured Fund, Inc.

(BULL LOGO) Merrill Lynch Investment Managers www.mlim.ml.com

Mercury Advisors A Division of Merrill Lynch Investment Managers www.mercury.ml.com

These reports, including the financial information herein, are transmitted to shareholders of MuniHoldings Fund II, Inc. and MuniHoldings New Jersey Insured Fund, Inc. for their information. This is not a prospectus. Past performance results shown in these reports should not be considered a representation of future performance. The Funds have leveraged their Common Stock and intend to remain leveraged by issuing Preferred Stock to provide the Common Stock shareholders with a potentially higher rate of return. Leverage creates risks for Common Stock shareholders, including the likelihood of greater volatility of net asset value and market price of shares of the Common Stock, and the risk that fluctuations in the short-term dividend rates of the Preferred Stock may affect the yield to Common Stock shareholders. Statements and other

information herein are as dated and are subject to change.

A description of the policies and procedures that the Funds use to determine how to vote proxies relating to portfolio securities is available (1) without charge, upon request, by calling toll-free 1-800-637-3863; (2) at www.mutualfunds.ml.com; and (3) on the Securities and Exchange Commission's Web site at http://www.sec.gov. Information about how the Funds voted proxies relating to securities held in the Funds' portfolios during the most recent 12-month period ended June 30 is available (1) at www.mutualfunds.ml.com; and (2) on the Securities and Exchange Commission's Web site at http://www.sec.gov.

MuniHoldings Fund II, Inc. MuniHoldings New Jersey Insured Fund, Inc. Box 9011 Princeton, NJ 08543-9011

(GO PAPERLESS LOGO)
It's Fast, Convenient, & Timely!
To sign up today, go to www.icsdelivery.com/live.

MuniHoldings Fund II, Inc. MuniHoldings New Jersey Insured Fund, Inc.

Announcement to Shareholders

On February 15, 2006, BlackRock, Inc. ("BlackRock") and Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc. ("Merrill Lynch") entered into an agreement to contribute Merrill Lynch's investment management business, Merrill Lynch Investment Managers, L.P. and certain affiliates (including Fund Asset Management, L.P. and Merrill Lynch Investment Managers International Limited), to BlackRock to create a new independent company ("New BlackRock") that will be one of the world's largest asset management firms with over \$1 trillion in assets under management (based on combined assets under management as of June 30, 2006) (the "Transaction"). The Transaction is expected to close around the end of the third quarter of 2006, at which time the new company will operate under the BlackRock name. Each Fund's Board of Directors and shareholders have approved a new investment advisory agreement with BlackRock Advisors, Inc. or its successor on substantially the same terms and for the same advisory fee as the Funds' current investment advisory agreement with the Investment Adviser. BlackRock Advisors, Inc. or its successor is expected to become the investment adviser of the Funds upon the closing of the Transaction.

Quality Profiles as of July 31, 2006

MuniHoldings Fund II, Inc. By S&P/Moody's Rating	Percent of Total Investments
AAA/Aaa	38.8%
AA/Aa	8.8
A/A	12.9
BBB/Baa	17.1
BB/Ba	0.6

В/В	1.3
CCC/Caa	2.5
NR (Not Rated)	17.2
Other*	0.8

* Includes portfolio holdings in short-term investments and variable rate demand notes.

MuniHoldings New Jersey	Percent of
Insured Fund, Inc.	Total
By S&P/Moody's Rating	Investments
AAA/Aaa	87.4%
AA/Aa	3.2
A/A	3.8
BBB/Baa	5.4

* Includes portfolio holdings in short-term investments.

ANNUAL REPORTS JULY 31, 2006

0.2

A Letter From the President

Dear Shareholder

Other*

By now, you have probably heard of the important changes unfolding at Merrill Lynch Investment Managers ("MLIM"). We have been communicating with shareholders, via letters like this and in a detailed proxy mailing, about MLIM's impending union with another highly regarded investment manager — BlackRock, Inc. ("BlackRock"). This transaction marks the next chapter in MLIM's growth story and, we believe, will be a benefit to our investors.

MLIM, a division of Merrill Lynch with over \$583 billion in assets under management, is a leading investment manager offering more than 100 investment strategies in vehicles ranging from mutual funds to institutional portfolios. BlackRock, with \$464.1 billion in assets under management, is one of the largest publicly traded investment management firms in the United States managing assets on behalf of institutional and individual investors worldwide through a variety of equity, fixed income, liquidity and alternative investment products. At the completion of the transaction, which is expected around the end of the third quarter of 2006, the resultant firm will be a top-10 investment manager worldwide with over \$1 trillion in assets under management.*

The combined company, to be known as BlackRock, will provide a wide selection of high-quality investment solutions across a range of asset classes and investment styles. The organization will have over 4,500 employees in 18 countries and a major presence in key markets worldwide. MLIM and BlackRock possess complementary capabilities that together create a well-rounded organization uniting some of the finest money managers in the industry. The firms share similar values and beliefs – each strives for excellence in all areas, and both make investment performance their single most important mission. As such, our combination only reinforces our commitment to shareholders.

Most of MLIM's investment products — including mutual funds, separately managed accounts, annuities and variable insurance funds — eventually will carry the "BlackRock" name. This will be reflected in newspaper and online information sources beginning in October. Your account statements will reflect the BlackRock name beginning with the October month—end reporting period. Unless otherwise communicated via a proxy statement, your funds will maintain the same investment objectives that they do today. Importantly, the MLIM/ BlackRock union will not affect your brokerage account or your relationship with your financial advisor. If you are a client of Merrill Lynch, you will remain a client of Merrill Lynch.

As always, we thank you for entrusting us with your investment assets. We look forward to continuing to serve your investment needs with even greater strength and scale as the new BlackRock.

Sincerely,

(Robert C. Doll, Jr.)
Robert C. Doll, Jr.
President and Chief Investment Officer
Merrill Lynch Investment Managers

* \$1.047 trillion in assets under management as of June 30, 2006.

Data, including assets under management, are as of June 30, 2006.

ANNUAL REPORTS JULY 31, 2006

A Discussion With Your Funds' Portfolio Managers

The Funds adopted a neutral stance on interest rates as the Federal Reserve Board approached a pause in its prolonged interest rate tightening campaign.

Describe the recent market environment relative to municipal bonds.

During the past 12 months, long-term bond yields rose sharply while their prices, which move in the opposite direction, declined. The drop in bond prices largely reflects investors' focus on solid economic growth. Despite a decline in gross domestic product growth between the first and second quarters of 2006, U.S. economic activity so far this year has outpaced the 3.2% annual growth rate posted in 2005. Rising commodity prices also have stoked inflationary fears, further weighing on bond prices.

The Federal Reserve Board (the Fed) continued to raise short-term interest rates at each of its meetings during the past year, bringing the federal funds rate to 5.25%. Consequently, the yield curve continued to flatten, with short-term interest rates rising more than longer-term interest rates. Overall, 30-year U.S. Treasury bond yields rose 60 basis points (.60%) during the 12-month period to 5.07%, while 10-year U.S. Treasury note yields rose 71 basis points to 4.99%. Municipal bond yields also rose in recent months, although

the tax-exempt market's strong technical position provided significant price support. This allowed municipal bond prices to decline less than their taxable counterparts. As measured by Municipal Market Data, yields on AAA-rated issues maturing in 30 years rose just 12 basis points to 4.47%, and yields on AAA-rated issues maturing in 10 years rose 33 basis points to 3.99%.

The rise in yields prompted a revival in investor demand for municipal bonds. The increased demand also was triggered by seasonal factors that served to generate large cash flows into investor accounts. Investors received more than \$40 billion in June and July from coupon income and the proceeds from bond maturities and early redemptions. Consequently, municipal bond fund flows have continued to be supportive. As reported by the Investment Company Institute, open-end tax-exempt bond funds received net new cash inflows of over \$6.5 billion in the first six months of 2006, compared to \$2.5 billion during the same period in 2005.

Also contributing to the outperformance of the municipal bond market has been declines in new issuance. During the past six months, more than \$189 billion in new long-term tax-exempt bonds was underwritten, a decline of 14% compared to the same period a year earlier. Recent declines in issuance have largely been the result of a 57% drop in refunding activity so far this year. Rising bond yields have made the refinancing of existing higher-couponed debt issues increasingly problematic, as the potential economic savings have rapidly diminished. In addition, the improved fiscal condition of many state and local governments has resulted in lower borrowing trends, with many new municipal capital projects being financed from existing budget surpluses. The declines in issuance have led many analysts to lower their annual issuance forecasts to the \$300 billion - \$325 billion range. Lower annual issuance would further solidify the tax-exempt market's already positive technical position.

Looking ahead, municipal market fundamentals are likely to remain favorable, leading us to expect the tax-exempt market to perform at least as well as comparable U.S. Treasury issues. Attractive yield ratios have continued to draw both retail and institutional investors to the municipal market. Based on this, and the prospect for reduced annual issuance in 2006, we believe the municipal market should continue to perform well in the coming months.

MuniHoldings Fund II, Inc.

How did the Fund perform during the fiscal year?

For the 12-month period ended July 31, 2006, the Common Stock of MuniHoldings Fund II, Inc. had net annualized yields of 6.00% and 6.30%, based on a year-end per share net asset value of \$14.82 and a per share market price of \$14.12, respectively, and \$.889 per share income dividends. Over the same period, the total investment return on the Fund's Common Stock was \$+4.89%, based on a change in per share net asset value from \$15.03 to \$14.82, and assuming reinvestment of all distributions.

The Fund's total return, based on net asset value, exceeded the +3.52% average return of the Lipper General Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged) category for the 12-month period. (Funds in this Lipper category invest primarily in municipal debt issues rated in the top four credit-rating categories. These funds can be leveraged via use of debt, preferred equity and/or reverse repurchase agreements.)

ANNUAL REPORTS JULY 31, 2006

Fund performance during the year benefited from the continued contraction of credit spreads in the municipal market. This had a favorable impact on our positions in tax-backed and corporate-related debt, where we have a significant concentration, as well as our healthcare-related holdings and land-secured deals. The greatest contribution to performance came from the Fund's positions in airline-related debt. While this sector lagged in the first six months, airlines rallied strongly in the latter half of the period due to considerable spread tightening. This proved beneficial to some of our larger holdings in Continental Airlines, Inc., American Airlines Inc. and US Airways (formerly America West Airlines). Also contributing to performance was strong appreciation in the portfolio's holdings in the bonds of Pocahontas Parkway Association, a toll road in Virginia that was constructed within the past few years. The bonds increased in value as the roadway was sold to a private entity resulting in the subsequent defeasance of the project's outstanding debt. (When bonds are defeased, it typically means that the securities are retired at their first call date, enabling the bonds to appreciate significantly.) Finally, our yield curve strategy also proved advantageous. For most of the period, the Fund was overweight in bonds with maturities greater than 20 years. This benefited performance as the yield curve flattened and longer-term bonds outperformed short-term issues.

For the six-month period ended July 31, 2006, the total investment return on the Fund's Common Stock was +2.82%, based on a change in per share net asset value from \$14.84 to \$14.82, and assuming reinvestment of all distributions.

For a description of the Fund's total investment return based on a change in the per share market value of the Fund's Common Stock (as measured by the trading price of the Fund's shares on the New York Stock Exchange), and assuming reinvestment of dividends, please refer to the Financial Highlights section of this report. As a closed-end fund, the Fund's shares may trade in the secondary market at a premium or discount to the Fund's net asset value. As a result, total investment returns based on changes in the market value of the Fund's Common Stock may vary significantly from total investment returns based on changes in the Fund's net asset value.

What changes were made to the portfolio during the period?

Broadly speaking, we moved the portfolio from a slightly defensive stance to a more neutral posture as the Fed appeared to be approaching a pause in its interest rate-hiking campaign. Although both the Treasury and the municipal yield curves continued to flatten during the past 12 months, the move was not nearly as dramatic as we have seen in prior periods. Notably, the municipal curve retained a positive slope, particularly relative to the Treasury curve, which actually inverted early in 2006. This contributed to the municipal market's and the Fund's positive performance.

The Fund maintained its focus on refundable, essential service securities from high demand states. The lack of new-issue supply and increased demand for municipal bonds has caused spreads to narrow in all sectors of the municipal market. We took advantage of these tight spreads to move the Fund's exposure to spread product to neutral while increasing its position in bonds exempt from tax in specialty states. We believe this strategy should prove beneficial with any shift in the demand/supply imbalance in both the high yield sector and the broader municipal market.

For the six months ended July 31, 2006, the average yield for the Fund's Auction Market Preferred Stock (AMPS) was 3.35% for Series A and 3.13% for Series B. The Fed raised the short-term interest rate target 200 basis points during the 12-month period, and this continued to affect the Fund's borrowing costs. On August 8, 2006, the Fed opted to pause in its interest rate-hiking

campaign and is expected to be "data dependent" in determining monetary policy going forward. As such, we would expect additional increases in the Fund's cost of funds to be more limited. Despite the interest rate increases during the period, the tax-exempt yield curve maintained a positive slope, allowing us to borrow at a lower rate than where we invest. This continued to generate an income benefit to the holders of Common Stock from the leveraging of Preferred Stock. However, should the spread between short-term and long-term interest rates narrow, the benefits of leveraging will decline and, as a result, reduce the yield on the Fund's Common Stock. At the end of the period, the Fund's leverage amount, due to AMPS, was 34.40% of total net assets, before the deduction of Preferred Stock. (For a more complete explanation of the benefits and risks of leveraging, see page 8 of this report to shareholders.)

ANNUAL REPORTS JULY 31, 2006

A Discussion With Your Funds' Portfolio Managers (concluded)

How would you characterize the Fund's position at the close of the period?

We remain focused on generating an attractive level of tax-exempt income for our shareholders. The Fund ended the period fully invested and with an overall neutral market posture. After 17 consecutive interest rate hikes, the Fed paused in its monetary tightening program in early August and has indicated that it will look at the economic data when determining monetary policy going forward. Because global economies and certain pockets of the U.S. economy continue to show solid growth with higher headline inflationary figures, we believe that it still may be early to become too aggressive in our investment strategy. In our view, a neutral posture is prudent in the current environment and should provide for competitive performance.

MuniHoldings New Jersey Insured Fund, Inc.

Describe conditions in the State of New Jersey.

Jon Corzine claimed victory in the state's November gubernatorial election and, upon beginning his term in January, immediately faced the challenges of the fiscal year 2007 budget. By the end of the second quarter, New Jersey had failed to adopt a balanced budget for the new fiscal year (beginning July 1). The point of contention was Governor Corzine's proposal to raise the state sales tax to 7% from 6%. As a result, the governor ordered a shutdown of non-essential state services for several days in early July until an agreement could be reached with the State Assembly. In the past, deficit financing had served as one alternative to deadlocked budget negotiations; however, the New Jersey Supreme Court had recently prohibited the state from borrowing in order to balance budgets. Nevertheless, throughout the shutdown, the rating agencies maintained the state's ratings and outlook.

Ultimately, a \$31 billion budget was adopted for fiscal year 2007. The 1% sales tax increase was implemented and is expected to generate roughly \$1.2 billion in income. As part of the agreement with the Assembly, one-half of the new sales tax receipts will be allocated to property tax rebates. The budget also includes tax increases and surcharges on various items, such as cigarettes, car rentals and luxury goods. Other key components in reducing the projected \$4.5 billion budget gap included approximately \$2 billion in spending reductions and freezes. The budget keeps state aid to municipalities and school

districts essentially flat for another year and, as such, local property taxes are likely to increase. The budget also contributes \$1.1 billion into the state pension system, exceeding the entire amount allocated in the past 10 years. The adopted budget significantly reduces reliance on onetime revenues and, therefore, is more structurally balanced than the state's last several budgets — a positive from a credit rating perspective.

New Jersey has a high and growing debt burden compared to similarly rated states. In addition, the state recently started a five-year, \$6 billion debt financing program for transportation projects, and funding of billions of dollars in court-mandated school construction projects is yet to be determined.

How did the Fund perform during the fiscal year?

For the 12-month period ended July 31, 2006, the Common Stock of MuniHoldings New Jersey Insured Fund, Inc. had net annualized yields of 5.74% and 5.71%, based on a year-end per share net asset value of \$14.91 and a per share market price of \$14.98, respectively, and \$.856 per share income dividends. Over the same period, the total investment return on the Fund's Common Stock was +1.09%, based on a change in per share net asset value from \$15.62 to \$14.91, and assuming reinvestment of all distributions.

The Fund's total return, based on net asset value, trailed the +2.70% average return of the Lipper New Jersey Municipal Debt Funds category for the 12-month period. (Funds in this Lipper category limit their investment to those securities exempt from taxation in New Jersey or a city in New Jersey. Notably, the Fund was disadvantaged relative to many of its peers by its conservative investment parameters. Specifically, the Fund is limited in its ability to invest in lower-quality issues, which outperformed during the year as credit spreads (versus higher-quality issues of comparable maturity) tightened dramatically. Per its investment parameters, at least 80% of the portfolio was invested in AAA-rated, insured bonds throughout the year.

Another factor that weighed on the Fund's performance was the fact that a number of seasoned holdings with higher coupons were called by their issuers. Given our limited ability to buy lower-rated issues and a decline in new-issue supply during the period, particularly in the early months of 2006, it was especially difficult to compensate for the income lost to calls.

ANNUAL REPORTS JULY 31, 2006

For the six-month period ended July 31, 2006, the total investment return on the Fund's Common Stock was +.53%, based on a change in per share net asset value from \$15.24 to \$14.91, and assuming reinvestment of all distributions.

For a description of the Fund's total investment return based on a change in the per share market value of the Fund's Common Stock (as measured by the trading price of the Fund's shares on the New York Stock Exchange), and assuming reinvestment of dividends, please refer to the Financial Highlights section of this report. As a closed-end fund, the Fund's shares may trade in the secondary market at a premium or discount to the Fund's net asset value. As a result, total investment returns based on changes in the market value of the Fund's Common Stock may vary significantly from total investment returns based on changes in the Fund's net asset value.

What changes were made to the portfolio during the period?

For most of the fiscal year, the portfolio was positioned for the yield curve flattening we had anticipated, and we saw little need to make significant changes as the Fed tirelessly increased interest rates and the long end of the curve outperformed. In more recent months, as the Fed approached the end of its monetary tightening campaign and the curve appeared ready to resteepen, we began to see opportunities in the intermediate maturity range. This is an area that suffered most as rates rose and, in a resteepening scenario, could be positioned for strong relative performance. To take advantage, in the final three months of the fiscal year, we focused mainly on trimming some of the short-term investments in the portfolio, particularly bonds that are callable or likely to be called within the next year or two. With the proceeds, we invested in bonds in the 10-year - 15-year portion of the curve. Despite a decline in new municipal bond issuance, we had little trouble finding opportunities in this maturity range. Throughout the year, we continued to maintain a high-quality portfolio consistent with our conservative investment parameters. Approximately 83% - 84% of the Fund's net assets was invested in insured municipal paper.

For the six-month period ended July 31, 2006, the Fund's Auction Market Preferred Stock (AMPS) had average yields as follows: Series A, 3.07%; Series B, 2.99%; Series C, 3.00%; Series D, 2.96%; and Series E, 2.94%. The Fed raised the short-term interest rate target 200 basis points during the 12-month period, and this continued to affect the Fund's borrowing costs. On August 8, 2006, the Fed opted to pause in its interest rate-hiking campaign and is expected to be "data dependent" in determining monetary policy going forward. As such, we would expect additional increases in the Fund's cost of funds to be more limited. Despite the interest rate increases during the period, the tax-exempt yield curve maintained a positive slope, allowing us to borrow at a lower rate than where we invest. This continued to generate an income benefit to the holders of Common Stock from the leveraging of Preferred Stock. However, should the spread between short-term and long-term interest rates narrow, the benefits of leveraging will decline and, as a result, reduce the yield on the Fund's Common Stock. At the end of the period, the Fund's leverage amount, due to AMPS, was 39.07% of total net assets, before the deduction of Preferred Stock. (For a more complete explanation of the benefits and risks of leveraging, see page 8 of this report to shareholders.)

How would you characterize the Fund's position at the close of the period?

At period-end, the Fund remained relatively neutral with respect to interest rate risk. As mentioned earlier, our yield curve outlook changed in the final months of the period on speculation that the Fed may be close to ending its monetary tightening program. That pause in policy tightening came in early August, affirming our revised yield curve strategy. Still, there remains uncertainty as to whether the Fed will pursue additional interest rate hikes down the road, as Chairman Bernanke and the governors have indicated that they will let the economic and inflationary data guide their interest rate decisions. Having said that, the consensus expectation is that economic growth will continue to moderate, thereby alleviating inflationary pressures and discouraging the Fed from pursuing further interest rate hikes. As such, we will continue to seek out value closer to the middle of the municipal yield curve, while maintaining a high-quality portfolio.

Robert A. DiMella, CFA Vice President and Portfolio Manager MuniHoldings Fund II, Inc.

Theodore R. Jaeckel Jr., CFA Vice President and Portfolio Manager MuniHoldings New Jersey Insured Fund, Inc.

August 9, 2006

ANNUAL REPORTS JULY 31, 2006

The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging

The Funds utilize leveraging to seek to enhance the yield and net asset value of their Common Stock. However, these objectives cannot be achieved in all interest rate environments. To leverage, each Fund issues Preferred Stock, which pays dividends at prevailing short-term interest rates, and invests the proceeds in long-term municipal bonds. The interest earned on these investments, net of dividends to Preferred Stock, is paid to Common Stock shareholders in the form of dividends, and the value of these portfolio holdings is reflected in the per share net asset value of each Fund's Common Stock. However, in order to benefit Common Stock shareholders, the yield curve must be positively sloped; that is, short-term interest rates must be lower than long-term interest rates. At the same time, a period of generally declining interest rates will benefit Common Stock shareholders. If either of these conditions change, then the risks of leveraging will begin to outweigh the benefits.

To illustrate these concepts, assume a fund's Common Stock capitalization of \$100 million and the issuance of Preferred Stock for an additional \$50 million, creating a total value of \$150 million available for investment in long-term municipal bonds. If prevailing short-term interest rates are approximately 3% and long-term interest rates are approximately 6%, the yield curve has a strongly positive slope. The fund pays dividends on the \$50 million of Preferred Stock based on the lower short-term interest rates. At the same time, the fund's total portfolio of \$150 million earns the income based on long-term interest rates. Of course, increases in short-term interest rates would reduce (and even eliminate) the dividends on the Common Stock.

In this case, the dividends paid to Preferred Stock shareholders are significantly lower than the income earned on the fund's long-term investments, and therefore the Common Stock shareholders are the beneficiaries of the incremental yield. However, if short-term interest rates rise, narrowing the differential between short-term and long-term interest rates, the incremental yield pickup on the Common Stock will be reduced or eliminated completely. At the same time, the market value of the fund's Common Stock (that is, its price as listed on the New York Stock Exchange) may, as a result, decline. Furthermore, if long-term interest rates rise, the Common Stock's net asset value will reflect the full decline in the price of the portfolio's investments, since the value of the fund's Preferred Stock does not fluctuate. In addition to the decline in net asset value, the market value of the fund's Common Stock may also decline.

As a part of its investment strategy, the Funds may invest in certain securities whose potential income return is inversely related to changes in a floating interest rate ("inverse floaters"). In general, income on inverse floaters will decrease when short-term interest rates increase and increase when short-term interest rates decrease. Investments in inverse floaters may

be characterized as derivative securities and may subject the Funds to the risks of reduced or eliminated interest payments and losses of invested principal. In addition, inverse floaters have the effect of providing investment leverage and, as a result, the market value of such securities will generally be more volatile than that of fixed rate, tax-exempt securities. To the extent the Funds invest in inverse floaters, the market value of each Fund's portfolio and the net asset value of each Fund's shares may also be more volatile than if the Funds did not invest in these securities. As of July 31, 2006, the percentages of MuniHoldings Fund II, Inc. and MuniHoldings New Jersey Insured Fund, Inc.'s total net assets invested in inverse floaters were 8.26% and 4.97%, respectively, before the deduction of Preferred Stock.

ANNUAL REPORTS JULY 31, 2006

Schedule of Investments

MuniHoldings Fund II, Inc.

(in Thousands)

Face

Amount Municipal Bonds

Value

Alabama--2.1%

\$ 3,450 Jefferson County, Alabama, Limited Obligation School Warrants, Series A, 5% due 1/01/2024

\$ 3,531

Arizona--5.0%

Arizona Health Facilities Authority Revenue Bonds	
(Catholic Healthcare West), Series A, 6.625%	
due 7/01/2020	1,101
Maricopa County, Arizona, IDA, Education Revenue	
Bonds (Arizona Charter Schools Project 1), Series A,	
6.50% due 7/01/2012	1,375
Phoenix, Arizona, IDA, Airport Facility, Revenue	
Refunding Bonds (America West Airlines Inc.	
Project), AMT, 6.30% due 4/01/2023	2,526
Pima County, Arizona, IDA, Education Revenue Bonds	
(Arizona Charter Schools Project), Series C, 6.75%	
due 7/01/2031	1,040
Pinal County, Arizona, COP, 5% due 12/01/2029	1,015
Show Low, Arizona, Improvement District Number 5,	
	(Catholic Healthcare West), Series A, 6.625% due 7/01/2020 Maricopa County, Arizona, IDA, Education Revenue Bonds (Arizona Charter Schools Project 1), Series A, 6.50% due 7/01/2012 Phoenix, Arizona, IDA, Airport Facility, Revenue Refunding Bonds (America West Airlines Inc. Project), AMT, 6.30% due 4/01/2023 Pima County, Arizona, IDA, Education Revenue Bonds (Arizona Charter Schools Project), Series C, 6.75% due 7/01/2031 Pinal County, Arizona, COP, 5% due 12/01/2029

Arkansas--0.6%

1,000 University of Arkansas, University Construction Revenue Bonds (UAMS Campus), Series B, 5% due 11/01/2022 (d)

Special Assessment Bonds, 6.375%

due 1/01/2015

1,040

1,128

California--21.4%

2,000	Benicia, California, Unified School District, GO,	
2,000	Refunding, Series A, 5.615% due 8/01/2020 (b) (m)	1,045
2,565	California Pollution Control Financing Authority, PCR,	,
	Refunding, DRIVERS, AMT, Series 878Z, 6.719%	
	due 12/01/2009 (d) (e)	2,865

5,200	California State Public Works Board, Lease Revenue Bonds (Department of Corrections), Series C, 5.25%	
1,000	<pre>due 6/01/2028 East Side Union High School District, California, Santa Clara County, GO (Election of 2002), Series D,</pre>	5,398
870	5% due 8/01/2020 (i) Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corporation of	1,052
6,030	California, Tobacco Settlement Revenue Bonds, Series A-3, 7.875% due 6/01/2042 Los Angeles, California, Unified School District, GO,	1,044
1,750	Series A, 5% due 1/01/2028 (d) Poway, California, Unified School District, Special Tax (Community Facilities District Number 6 Area),	6,223
	Series A, 6.125% due 9/01/2033 San Marino, California, Unified School District, GO,	1,859
1,820	Series A (d)(m): 5.50% due 7/01/2017	1,121
1,945	5.55% due 7/01/2018	1,135
2,070	5.60% due 7/01/2019	1,145
	(in	Thousands)
Face		
Amount	Municipal Bonds	Value
California	(concluded)	
\$ 5,525	Sequoia, California, Unified High School District, GO, Refunding, Series B, 5.50% due 7/01/2035 (c)	\$ 6,037
5,000	Tracy, California, Area Public Facilities Financing Agency, Special Tax Refunding Bonds (Community Facilities District Number 87-1), Series H, 5.875% due 10/01/2019 (d)	5,149
1,250	Tustin, California, Unified School District, Senior Lien Special Tax Bonds (Community Facilities District Number 97-1), Series A, 5% due 9/01/2032 (c)	1,274
Colorado	1.7%	
1,845	Elk Valley, Colorado, Public Improvement Revenue Bonds (Public Improvement Fee), Series A, 7.10%	
860	due 9/01/2014 Plaza Metropolitan District Number 1, Colorado, Tax	1,971
	Allocation Revenue Bonds (Public Improvement Fees), 8.125% due $12/01/2025$	862
Connecticut	t0.8%	
1,715	Bridgeport, Connecticut, Senior Living Facilities Revenue Bonds (3030 Park Retirement Community Project), 7.25% due 4/01/2035	1,291
Florida7	.6%	
1,640	Ballantrae, Florida, Community Development District, Capital Improvement Revenue Bonds, 6% due 5/01/2035	1,708
1,765	Miami-Dade County, Florida, Subordinate Special Obligation Revenue Bonds, Series A, 5.24%	
2,450	due 10/01/2037 (d)(m) Midtown Miami, Florida, Community Development	344

	District, Special Assessment Revenue Bonds, Series A, 6.25% due 5/01/2037	2,656
2,400	Orange County, Florida, Health Facilities Authority,	
	Hospital Revenue Bonds (Orlando Regional	
	Healthcare), 6% due 12/01/2012 (h)	2,669
1,515	Orlando, Florida, Greater Orlando Aviation Authority,	
	Airport Facilities Revenue Bonds (JetBlue Airways	
	Corp.), AMT, 6.50% due 11/15/2036	1,580
525	Palm Coast Park Community Development District,	
	Florida, Special Assesment Revenue Bonds, 5.70%	
	due 5/01/2037	527
1,270	Preserve at Wilderness Lake, Florida, Community	
	Development District, Capital Improvement Bonds,	
	Series A, 5.90% due 5/01/2034	1,307
1,700	West Villages Improvement District, Florida, Special	
	Assessment Revenue Refunding Bonds (Unit of	
	Development Number 2), 5.80% due 5/01/2036	1,742

Portfolio Abbreviations

To simplify the listings of portfolio holdings in the Schedules of Investments, we have abbreviated the names of many of the securities according to the list at right.

AMT	Alternative Minimum Tax (subject to)
COP	Certificates of Participation
DRIVERS	Derivative Inverse Tax-Exempt Receipts
EDA	Economic Development Authority
GO	General Obligation Bonds
HDA	Housing Development Authority
HFA	Housing Finance Agency
IDA	Industrial Development Authority
IDR	Industrial Development Revenue Bonds
PCR	Pollution Control Revenue Bonds
RIB	Residual Interest Bonds
S/F	Single Family
VRDN	Variable Rate Demand Notes

ANNUAL REPORTS JULY 31, 2006

Schedule of Investments (continued) MuniHoldings Fund II, Inc.

(in Thousands)

Face

Amount Municipal Bonds Value

Georgia--3.7%

\$ 1,250 Atlanta, Georgia, Tax Allocation Bonds (Atlantic Station Project), 7.90% due 12/01/2024 \$ 1,381

1,535 Brunswick & Glynn County, Georgia, Development
Authority, First Mortgage Revenue Bonds (Coastal Community Retirement Corporation Project),

1,945	Series A, 7.25% due 1/01/2035 Fulton County, Georgia, Development Authority, PCR (General Motors Corporation), Refunding,	1,513
1,250	VRDN, 8% due 4/01/2010 (j) Milledgeville-Baldwin County, Georgia, Development Authority Revenue Bonds (Georgia College and	1,945
	State University Foundation), 5.50% due 9/01/2024	1,300
Idaho1.3%	5	
2,000	Power County, Idaho, Industrial Development Corporation, Solid Waste Disposal Revenue Bonds (FMC Corporation Project), AMT, 6.45% due 8/01/2032	2,130
Illinois2	2.8%	
1,000	Chicago, Illinois, O'Hare International Airport, Special Facility Revenue Refunding Bonds (American Airlines	1 007
1,000	Inc. Project), 8.20% due 12/01/2024 Chicago, Illinois, Special Assessment Bonds (Lake Shore	1,027
2,000	East), 6.75% due 12/01/2032 Illinois HDA, Homeowner Mortgage Revenue Bonds, AMT, Sub-Series C-2, 5.25% due 8/01/2022	1,074
500	Illinois State Finance Authority Revenue Bonds (Landing	2,039
	at Plymouth Place Project), Series A, 6% due 5/15/2025	517
Louisiana	-2.8%	
2,500	Louisiana Public Facilities Authority, Hospital Revenue Bonds (Franciscan Missionaries of Our Lady Health System, Inc.), Series A, 5.25% due 8/15/2036	2 , 573
760	Louisiana State, Gas and Fuels Tax Revenue Bonds, Series A, 5% due 5/01/2030 (b)	782
1,275	New Orleans, Louisiana, Financing Authority Revenue Bonds (Xavier University of Louisiana Project), 5.30% due 6/01/2026 (d)	1,326
Maine2.2%		·
3 , 455	Maine State Housing Authority, Mortgage Purchase	
	Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series B, 5.30% due 11/15/2023	3 , 571
Maryland3	3.2%	
3,882	Baltimore, Maryland, Convention Center Hotel Revenue Bonds, RIB, Series 1252X, 6.60% due 9/01/2039 (e)(i)	4,305
1,050	Maryland State Energy Financing Administration, Limited Obligation Revenue Bonds (Cogeneration-AES Warrior Run), AMT, 7.40% due 9/01/2019	1,059
Massachuset		,
	Massachusetts State Development Finance Agency	
	Revenue Bonds (Neville Communities Home), Series A (f):	
600 1,500	5.75% due 6/20/2022 6% due 6/20/2044	662 1,661
2,100	Massachusetts State, HFA, Housing Revenue Bonds,	-, 001

4,835	AMT, Series A, 5.25% due 12/01/2048 Massachusetts State School Building Authority,		2,117
	Dedicated Sales Tax Revenue Bonds, Series A, 5% due 8/15/2030 (c)		5,009
		(in Thou	ısands)
Face Amount	Municipal Bonds	Va	ılue
Michigan2	2.4%		
\$ 1,100	Flint, Michigan, Hospital Building Authority, Revenue Refunding Bonds (Hurley Medical Center), Series A, 6% due 7/01/2020 (k)	\$	1,179
2,500	Michigan State Strategic Fund, Limited Obligation Revenue Refunding Bonds, DRIVERS, AMT, Series 857Z, 7.299% due 3/01/2010 (e)(i)	4	2,758
Minnesota			
1,680	Minneapolis, Minnesota, Community Development Agency, Supported Development Revenue Refunding Bonds (Common Bond), Series G-3,		
4,220	5.35% due 12/01/2011 (h) Minnesota State Municipal Power Agency, Electric		1,799
4,220	Revenue Bonds, 5.25% due 10/01/2021 Rockford, Minnesota, Independent School District Number 883, GO (c):		4,449
2,870 2,390	5.60% due 2/01/2019 5.60% due 2/01/2020		3,030 2,523
Mississippi	1.5%		
	Mississippi Business Finance Corporation, Mississippi PCR, Refunding (System Energy Resources, Inc. Project):	,	
2,000 500	5.875% due 4/01/2022 5.90% due 5/01/2022		2,006 502
Missouri1	.9%		
950	Fenton, Missouri, Tax Increment Revenue Refunding and Improvement Bonds (Gravois Bluffs), 7%		1 004
1,000	due 10/01/2011 (h) Kansas City, Missouri, IDA, First Mortgage Health Facilities Revenue Bonds (Bishop Spencer Place),		1,094
1,000	Series A, 6.50% due 1/01/2035 Missouri State Development Finance Board, Infrastructure Facilities Revenue Refunding Bonds (Branson), Series A, 5.50% due 12/01/2032		1,045
New Jersey-	11.3%		
4,050	New Jersey EDA, Cigarette Tax Revenue Bonds: 5.75% due 6/15/2029		4,289
1,890	5.50% due 6/15/2031 New Jersey EDA, Retirement Community Revenue Bonds, Series A:		1,958
1,000	(Cedar Crest Village Inc. Facility), 7.25% due 11/15/2031		1,081

2,000	(Seabrook Village Inc.), 8.125% due 11/15/2023	2 227
2,000	New Jersey EDA, Special Facility Revenue Bonds	2,237
	(Continental Airlines, Inc. Project), AMT, 6.625% due 9/15/2012	2,105
2,375	New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authorit Revenue Bonds (South Jersey Hospital), 6%	-
2,500	due 7/01/2026 New Jersey State Turnpike Authority, Turnpike Revenu	2,515 e
	Bonds, Series C, 5% due 1/01/2030 (c)	2,583
1,725	Tobacco Settlement Financing Corporation of New Jersey, Asset-Backed Revenue Bonds, 7% due 6/01/2041	1,965
ANNUAL REPO	RTS	JULY 31, 2006
Schedule of	Investments (continued) MuniHolding	s Fund II, Inc.
		(in Thousands)
Face Amount	Municipal Bonds	Value
	-	value
New Mexico-	-4.0%	
\$ 3,675	Farmington, New Mexico, PCR, Refunding (Public Service Company of New MexicoSan Juan Project), Series A, 5.80% due 4/01/2022	\$ 3,708
2,675	New Mexico Finance Authority, Senior Lien State Transportation Revenue Bonds, Series A, 5.125% due 6/15/2018 (d)	2,849
New York1		·
900	Dutchess County, New York, IDA, Civic Facility	
	Revenue Refunding Bonds (Saint Francis Hospital), Series A, 7.50% due 3/01/2029	977
415	New York City, New York, City IDA, Civic Facility Revenue Bonds, Series C, 6.80% due 6/01/2028	446
	New York City, New York, City IDA, Special Facility Revenue Bonds (Continental Airlines, Inc. Project	
525	AMT: 8% due 11/01/2012	572
525	8.375% due 11/01/2016 New York City, New York, Sales Tax Asset	580
1 600	Receivable Corporation Revenue Bonds:	
1,600	DRIVERS, Series 1133Z, 6.621% due 10/15/2012 (a)(e)	1,808
3,855 2,725	Series A, 5% due 10/15/2020 (d) New York State Dormitory Authority Revenue Bonds	4,056
2,720	(School Districts Financing Program), Series D, 5.25% due 10/01/2023 (d)	2,899
20	Suffolk County, New York, IDA, Civic Facility Revenu	
	Bonds (Special Needs Facilities Pooled Program), Series D-1, 5.50% due 7/01/2007	20
	Tobacco Settlement Financing Corporation of New York Revenue Bonds:	
1,100	Series A-1, 5.50% due 6/01/2015	1,158

	24ga. 1 milgi merm re 25m tale 1 er 15 m m e 7me, 1 em re e		
2,400 2,750 1,100 1,575	Series A-1, 5.50% due 6/01/2018 Series C-1, 5.50% due 6/01/2020 (b) Series C-1, 5.50% due 6/01/2022 Westchester County, New York, IDA, Continuing		2,565 2,959 1,173
2,070	Care Retirement, Mortgage Revenue Bonds (Kendal on Hudson Project), Series A, 6.50% due 1/01/2034		1,671
North Carol	ina1.3%		
2,000	North Carolina Eastern Municipal Power Agency, Power System Revenue Bonds, Series D, 6.75% due 1/01/2026		2,169
Oklahoma1	.2%		
945	Oklahoma State Housing Finance Agency, S/F Mortgage Revenue Bonds (Homeownership Loan Program), Series D-2, AMT, 6.25% due 9/01/2029 (f) (g)		946
1 , 075	Tulsa, Oklahoma, Municipal Airport Trust Revenue Refunding Bonds (AMR Corporation), AMT, Series A, 5.375% due 12/01/2035		1,075
Pennsylvani			1,073
-			
2,750	Pennsylvania Economic Development Financing Authority, Exempt Facilities Revenue Bonds (National Gypsum Company), AMT, Series A, 6.25% due 11/01/2027		2,894
540	Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, Authority for IDR, Commercial Development, 7.75% due 12/01/2017		544
	(in	n Thou	sands)
Face Amount	Municipal Bonds	Va	lue
Pennsylvani	a (concluded)		
\$ 2,630	Sayre, Pennsylvania, Health Care Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds (Guthrie Healthcare System), Series B, 7.125% due 12/01/2031	\$	3,100
Rhode Islar	nd1.5%		
2,190	Rhode Island State Health and Educational Building Corporation, Hospital Financing Revenue Bonds (Lifespan Obligation Group), 6.50% due 8/15/2012 (h)		2,490
South Carol	ina5.5%		
2,080	Medical University Hospital Authority, South Carolina, Hospital Facilities Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2 6.375% due 8/15/2012 (h)	Α,	2,361
2,000	South Carolina Jobs, EDA, Economic Development Revenue Bonds (Westminster Presbyterian Center), 7.75% due 11/15/2010 (h)		2,339
4,200	South Carolina State Ports Authority, Ports Revenue Bonds, RIB, AMT, Series 1390X, 6.67%		2,000

	0 0		
	due 7/01/2026 (c)(e)	4,4	13
Tennessee	6.7%		
2,200	Hardeman County, Tennessee, Correctional Corporation Revenue Bonds, Series B, 7 due 8/01/2017		65
3,450	Shelby County, Tennessee, Health, Educati and Housing Facility Board, Hospital R Refunding Bonds (Methodist Healthcare) due 9/01/2012 (h)	evenue	<i>1</i> 1
4,500	Tennessee Energy Acquisition Corporation, Revenue Bonds, Series A, 5.25% due 9/0	Gas	
Texas10.3	8		
2,665	Austin, Texas, Convention Center Revenue (Convention Enterprises Inc.), First T 6.70% due 1/01/2028		30
1,000	Brazos River Authority, Texas, PCR, Refun Energy Company LLC Project), Series B,	ding (TXU 4.75%	
2,500	due 5/01/2029 Brazos River, Texas, Harbor Navigation Di Brazoria County Environmental Revenue Bonds (Dow Chemical Company Project),	Refunding	JZ
	Series A-7, 6.625% due 5/15/2033 Harris County, Texas, Revenue Refunding B DRIVERS (c)(e):	2,7 sonds,	95
2,610	Series 1111, 6.53% due 8/15/2009	2,8	09
1,755	Series 1172-Z, 6.541% due 8/15/2009	1,8	83
1,300	Houston, Texas, Health Facilities Develop Corporation, Retirement Facility Reven (Buckingham Senior Living Community), 7.125% due 2/15/2034	ue Bonds	34
2,965	Matagorda County, Texas, Navigation Distr Revenue Refunding Bonds (Reliant Energ Series C, 8% due 5/01/2029		67
1,100	Port Corpus Christi, Texas, Individual De Corporation, Environmental Facilities (Citgo Petroleum Corporation Project),	velopment Revenue Bonds	0 7
	due 11/01/2031	1,1	44
ANNUAL REPO	RTS	JULY 31, 20	06
Schedule of	Investments (concluded)	MuniHoldings Fund II, In	с.
		(in Thousand	s)
Face Amount	Municipal Bonds	Value	
Vermont0.	6%		
\$ 1,000	Vermont Educational and Health Buildings Financing Agency, Revenue Bonds (Devel and Mental Health), Series A, 6.50% due 6/15/2032	opmental \$ 1,0	44

Virginia	13.4%	
575	Chesterfield County, Virginia, IDA, PCR, Refunding (Virginia Electric and Power Company), Series B, 5.875% due 6/01/2017	622
425	Chesterfield County, Virginia, IDA, PCR (Virginia Electric and Power Company), Series A, 5.875%	022
5,000	due 6/01/2017 Fairfax County, Virginia, EDA, Resource Recovery Revenue Refunding Bonds, AMT, Series A, 6.10%	457
	due 2/01/2011 (a) Pocahontas Parkway Association, Virginia, Toll Road Revenue Bonds (h):	5,398
3,885	Senior Series A, 5.50% due 8/15/2008	4,088
18,400	Senior Series B, 7.35% due 8/15/2008 (m)	4,818
2,185	Tobacco Settlement Financing Corporation of Virginia, Asset-Backed Revenue Bonds, 5.625% due 6/01/2037	2 267
1,095	Virginia State, HDA, Rental Housing Revenue Bonds,	2 , 267
3,200	AMT, Series B, 5.625% due 8/01/2011 Virginia State, HDA, Revenue Bonds, AMT, Series D,	1,139
	6% due 4/01/2024	3,329
Washington-	0.6%	
1,040	Seattle, Washington, Housing Authority Revenue Bonds (Replacement Housing Project), 6.125% due 12/01/2032	1,055
		in Thousands)
Face Amount	Municipal Bonds	Value
Wisconsin-	-0.9%	
\$ 1,360	Wisconsin State Health and Educational Facilities Authority Revenue Bonds (Synergyhealth Inc.), 6% due 11/15/2032	\$ 1,459
Puerto Rico	00.9%	
1,550	Puerto Rico Industrial, Medical and Environmental Pollution Control Facilities Financing Authority, Special Facilities Revenue Bonds (American Airlines Inc.), Series A, 6.45% due 12/01/2025	1,551
U.S. Virgin	n Islands1.8%	
2,680	Virgin Islands Government Refinery Facilities, Revenue Refunding Bonds (Hovensa Coker Project), AMT, 6.50% due 7/01/2021	3,002
	Total Municipal Bonds (Cost\$235,928)150.4%	249,051
Shares		

Held Short-Term Securities

12 Merrill Lynch Institutional Tax-Exempt Fund, 3.45% (1)(n) 12 Total Short-Term Securities (Cost--\$12)--0.0% 12 249,063 Total Investments (Cost--\$235,940*)--150.4% Other Assets Less Liabilities--2.1% 3,531 Preferred Stock, at Redemption Value-- (52.5%) (87,029)Net Assets Applicable to Common Stock--100.0% \$ 165,565 ========

* The cost and unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of investments as of July 31, 2006, as computed for federal income tax purposes, were as follows:

	=====	
Net unrealized appreciation	\$	13,579
Gross unrealized appreciation Gross unrealized depreciation	\$	14,555 (976)
Aggregate cost	\$	235,484

- (a) AMBAC Insured.
- (b) FGIC Insured.
- (c) FSA Insured.
- (d) MBIA Insured.
- (e) The rate disclosed is that currently in effect. This rate changes periodically and inversely based upon prevailing market rates.
- (f) GNMA Collateralized.
- (g) FNMA Collateralized.
- (h) Prerefunded.
- (i) XL Capital Insured.
- (j) Security may have a maturity of more than one year at time of issuance, but has variable rate and demand features that qualify it as a shortterm security. The rate disclosed is that currently in effect. This rate changes periodically based upon prevailing market rates.
- (k) ACA Insured.
- (1) Investments in companies considered to be an affiliate of the Fund, for purposes of Section 2(a)(3) of the Investment Company Act of 1940, were as follows:

	Net	Dividend
Affiliate	Activity	Income
Merrill Lynch Institutional Tax-Exempt Fund		*

- * Amount is less than \$1,000.
- (m) Represents a zero coupon bond; the interest rate shown reflects the effective yield at the time of purchase.
- (n) Represents the current yield as of July 31, 2006.

See Notes to Financial Statements.

ANNUAL REPORTS JULY 31, 2006

Schedule of Investments MuniHoldings New Jersey Insured Fund, Inc.

		(in	Thou	ısands)
Face Amount	Municipal Bonds		Vā	alue
New Jersey-	-155.2%			
\$ 1,875	Atlantic Highlands, New Jersey, Highland Regional Sewer Authority, Sewer Revenue Refunding Bonds, 5.50% due 1/01/2020 (b)		\$	2,004
2,635 1,540	Camden County, New Jersey, Improvement Authority, Lease Revenue Bonds (c)(e): 5.375% due 9/01/2010 5.50% due 9/01/2010			2,792 1,639
430	Carteret, New Jersey, Board of Education, COP, 6% due 1/15/2010 (d)(e)			464
2,500	Delaware River and Bay Authority Revenue Bonds, New Jersey, 5% due 1/01/2033 (d)			2,566
4,630	Delaware River Joint Toll Bridge Commission of New Jersey and Pennsylvania, Bridge Revenue Refunding Bonds, 5% due 7/01/2028			4,730
	Delaware River Port Authority of New Jersey and Pennsylvania Revenue Bonds (c):			
5,000 6,000 500	5.50% due 1/01/2012 5.625% due 1/01/2013 5.75% due 1/01/2015			5,257 6,338 530
4,865 5,525 2,425	6% due 1/01/2018 6% due 1/01/2019 (Port District Project), Series B, 5.625%			5,182 5,885
	due 1/01/2026			2,545
7 , 895	East Orange, New Jersey, Board of Education, COP, 5.50% due 8/01/2012 (c)			8,546
1,000	East Orange, New Jersey, Water Utility, GO, Refunding 5.70% due 6/15/2008 (a)(e)	,		1,045
4,000	Essex County, New Jersey, Improvement Authority,			

	Lease Revenue Bonds (Correctional Facility Project), 6% due $10/01/2010$ (b)(e)	4,338
3,300	Essex County, New Jersey, Improvement Authority, Lease Revenue Refunding Bonds (County Jail and Youth House Project), 5.35% due 12/01/2024 (a)	3,380
4,400	Essex County, New Jersey, Improvement Authority Revenue Bonds, Series A, 5% due 10/01/2028 (b)	4,528
2,705	Essex County, New Jersey, Improvement Authority, Utility System Revenue Bonds (East Orange Franchise), 6% due 7/01/2008 (d)(e)	2,843
9,000 10,000	Garden State Preservation Trust of New Jersey, Capital Appreciation Revenue Bonds, Series B (c)(k): 5.12% due 11/01/2023 5.20% due 11/01/2025	4,018 4,028
	Garden State Preservation Trust of New Jersey, Open Space and Farmland Preservation Revenue Bonds, Series A (c):	
1,960 2,730 9,160	5.80% due 11/01/2021 5.80% due 11/01/2023 5.75% due 11/01/2028	2,212 3,084 10,773
5,000 7,705	Garden State Preservation Trust of New Jersey, Open Space and Farmland Preservation, Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series C (c): 5.25% due 11/01/2020 5.25% due 11/01/2021	5,519 8,517
Face Amount	Municipal Bonds	Value
Amount	Municipal Bonds (continued)	Value
Amount		Value \$ 2,372
Amount New Jersey	(continued) Jersey City, New Jersey, GO, Series B, 5.25%	
Amount New Jersey \$ 2,230	<pre>(continued) Jersey City, New Jersey, GO, Series B, 5.25% due 9/01/2023 (c) Lafayette Yard, New Jersey, Community Development Revenue Bonds (Hotel/Conference Center</pre>	\$ 2,372
Amount New Jersey \$ 2,230 5,250	<pre>(continued) Jersey City, New Jersey, GO, Series B, 5.25% due 9/01/2023 (c) Lafayette Yard, New Jersey, Community Development Revenue Bonds (Hotel/Conference Center Project-Trenton), 6% due 4/01/2010 (d) (e) Middlesex County, New Jersey, COP, 5.25%</pre>	\$ 2,372 5,689
Amount New Jersey \$ 2,230 5,250 1,550	<pre>(continued) Jersey City, New Jersey, GO, Series B, 5.25% due 9/01/2023 (c) Lafayette Yard, New Jersey, Community Development Revenue Bonds (Hotel/Conference Center Project-Trenton), 6% due 4/01/2010 (d) (e) Middlesex County, New Jersey, COP, 5.25% due 6/15/2023 (d) Middlesex County, New Jersey, COP, Refunding,</pre>	\$ 2,372 5,689 1,608
Amount New Jersey \$ 2,230 5,250 1,550 1,375	<pre>(continued) Jersey City, New Jersey, GO, Series B, 5.25% due 9/01/2023 (c) Lafayette Yard, New Jersey, Community Development Revenue Bonds (Hotel/Conference Center Project-Trenton), 6% due 4/01/2010 (d) (e) Middlesex County, New Jersey, COP, 5.25% due 6/15/2023 (d) Middlesex County, New Jersey, COP, Refunding, 5.50% due 8/01/2016 (d) Middlesex County, New Jersey, Improvement Authority, Lease Revenue Bonds (Educational Services)</pre>	\$ 2,372 5,689 1,608

	3 3	
	Authority Revenue Refunding Bonds (a):	
1,540	5.35% due 12/01/2017	1,622
1,470	5.375% due 12/01/2018	1,550
	New Jersey EDA, Cigarette Tax Revenue Bonds:	
2,700	5.625% due 6/15/2019	2,819
2,000	5.75% due 6/15/2029	2,118
585	5.50% due 6/15/2031	606
1,180	5.75% due 6/15/2034	1,242
5,000	New Jersey EDA, Lease Revenue Bonds (University	
	of Medicine and DentistryInternational Center	
	for Public Health Project), 6% due 6/01/2032 (a)	5,352
	New Jersey EDA, Motor Vehicle Surcharge Revenue	
	Bonds, Series A (d):	
7,500	5.25% due 7/01/2026	8,308
11,105	5.25% due 7/01/2033	11,707
4,485	5% due 7/01/2034	4,616
	New Jersey EDA, Natural Gas Facilities Revenue	
	Refunding Bonds, RIB, AMT (d)(j):	
3,155	Series 161, 7.43% due 6/01/2032	3,342
9,460	Series 1202, 7.49% due 6/01/2032	10,022
1,000	New Jersey EDA, Parking Facility Revenue Bonds	
1,000	(Elizabeth Development Company Project),	
	5.60% due 10/15/2026 (b)	1,038
	, ,	,
4,580	New Jersey EDA, Revenue Bonds, DRIVERS, Series 219,	
	8.021% due 5/01/2016 (c)(j)	5,108
	New Jersey EDA, School Facilities Construction	
0 000	Revenue Bonds:	9,278
9,000 8,420	Series L, 5% due 3/01/2030 (c) Series O, 5.25% due 3/01/2023	8,914
0,420	Jerres 0, 3.23% due 3/01/2023	0,011
2,500	New Jersey EDA, Solid Waste Disposal Facilities	
	Revenue Bonds (Waste Management, Inc.), AMT,	
	Series A, 5.30% due 6/01/2015	2,608
ANNUAL REPO	DITC	JULY 31, 2006
ANNOAL KEF	JN15	00L1 31 , 2000
Schedule of	f Investments (continued) MuniHoldings New Jersey Ins	sured Fund, Inc.
		(in Thousands)
Face		
Amount	Municipal Bonds	Value
1111.0 0110	1.4.101p41 20.140	
New Jersey	(continued)	
	New Jersey EDA, State Lease Revenue Bonds:	
\$ 2,670	(Liberty State Park Project), Series C, 5%	A 2 = -
1 400	due 3/01/2022 (c)	\$ 2 , 787
1,400	(Liberty State Park Project), Series C, 5% due 3/01/2023 (c)	1,458
3,000	(State Office Buildings Projects), 6%	1,438
3,000	(Scale Strice Barraings frojects), 00	

4,620	due 6/15/2010 (a)(e) (State Office Buildings Projects), 6.25%	3,237
	due 6/15/2010 (a)(e)	5,026
10,775	New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, Department of Human Services Revenue Bonds (Greystone Park Psychiatric Hospital Project), 5% due 9/15/2023 (a)	11,223
	New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority	
2,315	Revenue Bonds: (RWJ Healthcare Corporation), Series B, 5% due 7/01/2025 (i)	2,370
3,015	(RWJ Healthcare Corporation), Series B, 5%	
2,820	due 7/01/2035 (i) (Society of the Valley Hospital), 5.375%	3,065
2,135	due 7/01/2025 (a) (Somerset Medical Center), 5.50%	2,938
5,440	due 7/01/2033 (South Jersey Hospital), 6% due 7/01/2026	2,178 5,761
	New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, Revenue Refunding Bonds:	
4,000	(AHS Hospital Corporation), Series A, 6% due 7/01/2013 (a)	4,451
1,455	(Atlantic City Medical Center), 6.25% due 7/01/2017	1,604
3,500	(Atlantic City Medical Center), 5.75% due 7/01/2025	3,704
1,775	(Holy Name Hospital), 6% due 7/01/2025	1,827
1,000	(Meridian Health System Obligation Group), 5.375% due 7/01/2024 (c)	1,042
	New Jersey Sports and Exposition Authority, Luxury Tax Revenue Refunding Bonds	
5,890	(Convention Center) (d): 5.50% due 3/01/2021	6,622
3,000	5.50% due 3/01/2022	3,380
2,400	New Jersey Sports and Exposition Authority, State Contract Revenue Bonds, Series A, 6%	
	due 3/01/2013 (d)	2 , 570
7,500	New Jersey State Educational Facilities Authority, Higher Education, Capital Improvement Revenue Bonds, Series A, 5.125% due 9/01/2022 (a)	7 , 889
	New Jersey State Educational Facilities Authority Revenue Bonds:	
9,420	(Capital Improvement Fund), Series A, 5.75% due $9/01/2010$ (c)(e)	10,112
1,200	(Montclair State University), Series A, 5% due 7/01/2021 (a)	1,264
2,880	(Montclair State University), Series A, 5%	
3,615	due 7/01/2022 (a) (Rowan University), Series C, 5.125%	3,027
3,260	due 7/01/2028 (d) (Rowan University), Series C, 5%	3,769
	due 7/01/2034 (d)	3,360

Face Amount	Municipal Bonds	Value
New Jersev	(continued)	
	New Jersey State Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Refunding Bonds:	
\$ 7,510	(Montclair State University), Series L, 5%	
0.075	due 7/01/2034 (d)	\$ 7,740
2 , 375	(Rowan University), Series C, 5.25% due 7/01/2017 (b)	2,520
2,820	(Rowan University), Series C, 5.25%	
2,635	due 7/01/2018 (b) (Rowan University), Series C, 5.25%	2,992
	due 7/01/2019 (b)	2,796
1,410	(Rowan University), Series C, 5% due 7/01/2031 (b)	1,445
	.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	_,,
11,225	New Jersey State Housing and Mortgage Finance Agency, Capital Fund Program Revenue Bonds,	
	Series A, 4.70% due 11/01/2025 (c)	11,304
	New Jersey State Housing and Mortgage Finance	
	Agency, Home Buyer Revenue Bonds, AMT,	
1 000	Series U (d):	1 025
1,000 2,820	5.60% due 10/01/2012 5.65% due 10/01/2013	1,025 2,890
3,000	5.75% due 4/01/2018	3,076
805	5.85% due 4/01/2029	824
5,000	New Jersey State Transit Corporation, COP (Federal	
	Transit Administration Grants), Series A, 6.125%	
	due 9/15/2009 (a) (e)	5 , 339
	New Jersey State Transportation Trust Fund Authority,	
7 500	Transportation System Revenue Bonds:	0 001
7,500 1,400	Series A, 6% due 6/15/2010 (e) Series C, 5.05% due 12/15/2035 (a)(k)	8,091 340
5,500	Series C, 5.05% due 12/15/2036 (a)(k)	1,272
6,200	Series D, 5% due 6/15/2015 (c)(e)	6,659
7,800	Series D, 5% due 6/15/2019 (c)	8,181
	New Jersey State Transportation Trust Fund Authority,	
10 750	Transportation System Revenue Refunding Bonds:	11 010
10,750 9,165	Series A, 5.25% due 12/15/2020 (c) Series B, 5.50% due 12/15/2021 (d)	11,812 10,337
7,615	New Jersey State Turnpike Authority, Turnpike Revenue Bonds, Series B, 5.15%	
	due 1/01/2035 (a) (k)	5,101
	New Jersey State Turnpike Authority, Turnpike	
	Revenue Refunding Bonds:	
910	Series C, 6.50% due 1/01/2016 (d)	1,049
4,610	Series C, 6.50% due 1/01/2016 (d) (g)	5,327
4,665	Series C-1, 4.50% due 1/01/2031 (a)	4,550
	North Bergen Township, New Jersey, Board of	
1,000	Education, COP (c): 6% due 12/15/2010 (e)	1,097
3,260	6.25% due 12/15/2010 (e)	3,608
1,250	5% due 12/15/2018	1,293

4,335 North Hudson Sewage Authority, New Jersey, Sewer Revenue Refunding Bonds, 5.125% due 8/01/2020 (d)

4,718

JULY 31, 2006 ANNUAL REPORTS

Schedule of Investments (concluded) MuniHoldings New Jersey Insured Fund, Inc.

		(in	Tho	usands)
Face				
Amount	Municipal Bonds		V	alue
New Jersey	(continued)			
\$ 3,035	Orange Township, New Jersey, Municipal Utility and Lease, GO, Refunding, Series C, 5.10% due 12/01/2017 (d)		\$	3 , 135
	Paterson, New Jersey, Public School District, COP (d):			
1,980 2,000	6.125% due 11/01/2015 6.25% due 11/01/2019			2,131 2,162
4,750	Port Authority of New Jersey and New York, Consolidated Revenue Refunding Bonds, AMT, 119th Series, 5.50% due 9/15/2019 (b)			4,806
	Port Authority of New Jersey and New York, Special Obligation Revenue Bonds (JFK International Air Terminal LLC), AMT (d):			
2,165	RIB, Series 157, 7.52% due 12/01/2022 (j)			2,347
13,500	Series 6, 6.25% due 12/01/2011			14,852
1,500 4,750	Series 6, 6.25% due 12/01/2015 Series 6, 5.75% due 12/01/2025			1,718 4,860
9,600	Rahway Valley Sewerage Authority, New Jersey, Sewer Revenue Bonds (Capital Appreciation), Series A, 4.79% due 9/01/2028 (d)(k)			3,310
	South Jersey Port Corporation of New Jersey, Revenue Refunding Bonds:			
3 , 750	4.50% due 1/01/2015			3,823
1,920	4.50% due 1/01/2016			1,945
1,500	5% due 1/01/2026			1,531
2,000	5.10% due 1/01/2033			2,046
4,755	Tobacco Settlement Financing Corporation of New Jersey, Asset-Backed Revenue Bonds, 7% due 6/01/2041			5,417
4,325	Trenton, New Jersey, Parking Authority, Parking Revenue Bonds, DRIVERS, Series 221, 8.23% due 4/01/2010 (b)(e)(j)			5,002
2,000	University of Medicine and Dentistry of New Jersey, COP, 5% due 6/15/2029 (d)			2,054

4,740	University of Medicine and Dentistry of New Jersey, Revenue Bonds, Series A, 5.50% due 12/01/2027 (a)	5,077
Face Amount	Municipal Bonds	Value
New Jersey	(concluded)	
\$ 8,580	West Deptford Township, New Jersey, GO, 5.625% due 9/01/2010 (b)(e)	\$ 9,170
3,615	West Orange, New Jersey, Board of Education, COP, 6% due 10/01/2009 (d)(e)	3,884
Puerto Rico	o7.7%	
4 , 500	Puerto Rico Commonwealth Highway and Transportation Authority, Transportation Revenue Refunding Bonds: Series J, 5% due 7/01/2029 (d)	4,657
3,480	Series K, 5% due 7/01/2040	3,487
	Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, Power Revenue Bonds:	
6,830 5,100	Series HH, 5.25% due 7/01/2029 (c) Series RR, 5% due 7/01/2028 (f)	7,158 5,282
	Puerto Rico Industrial, Tourist, Educational, Medical and Environmental Control Facilities Revenue Bonds, Series A:	
1,780	(Hospital Auxilio Mutuo Obligation Group), 6.25% due 7/01/2024 (d)	1,794
1,750	(Hospital de la Concepcion), 6.50% due 11/15/2020	1,930
	Total Municipal Bonds (Cost\$496,650)162.9%	·
Shares	(COSL7490, 030)102.9%	514,040
Held	Short-Term Securities	
1,050	CMA New Jersey Municipal Money Fund, 3.03% (h)(1)	1,050
	Total Short-Term Securities (Cost\$1,050)0.3%	1,050
Other Asset	stments (Cost\$497,700*)163.2% as Less Liabilities1.1% btock, at Redemption Value(64.3%)	515,090 3,645 (203,086)
Net Assets	Applicable to Common Stock100.0%	\$ 315,649

^{*} The cost and unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of investments, as of July 31, 2006, as computed for federal income tax purposes,

were as follows:

Aggregate cost	\$	497,643
	======	
Gross unrealized appreciation Gross unrealized depreciation	\$	18 , 120 (673)
Net unrealized appreciation	\$	17,447
	======	

- (a) AMBAC Insured.
- (b) FGIC Insured.
- (c) FSA Insured.
- (d) MBIA Insured.
- (e) Prerefunded.
- (f) CIFG Insured.
- (g) Escrowed to maturity.
- (h) Investments in companies considered to be an affiliate of the Fund, for purposes of Section 2(a)(3) of the Investment Company Act of 1940, were as follows:

	Net	Dividend
Affiliate	Activity	Income
CMA New Jersey Municipal Money Fund	(5 , 082)	\$ 100

- (i) Radian Insured.
- (j) The rate disclosed is that currently in effect. This rate changes periodically and inversely based upon prevailing market rates.
- (k) Represents a zero coupon bond; the interest rate shown reflects the effective yield at the time of purchase.
- (1) Represents the current yield as of July 31, 2006.

See Notes to Financial Statements.

ANNUAL REPORTS JULY 31, 2006

Statements of Net Assets

As of July 31, 2006

Assets

Investments in unaffiliated securities, at value* Investments in affiliated securities, at value** Cash Interest receivable Receivable for securities sold Prepaid expenses Total assets Liabilities Payable for securities purchased Payable to investment adviser Payable for other affiliates Payable for dividends to shareholders Accrued expenses Total liabilities Preferred Stock Preferred Stock, at redemption value, par value \$.10 per share*** of AMPS+++ at \$25,000 per share liquidation preference Net Assets Applicable to Common Stock Net assets applicable to Common Stock Net Assets Consist of Undistributed investment income--net Accumulated realized capital losses--net Unrealized appreciation -- net Total accumulated losses--net Common Stock, par value \$.10 per share++ Paid-in capital in excess of par Net Assets Net asset value per share of Common Stock Market price * Identified cost on unaffiliated securities ** Identified cost on affiliated securities *** Preferred Shares authorized, issued and outstanding: Series A Shares Series B Shares Series C Shares Series D Shares

\$ == \$

== \$

Ś

Series E Shares

++ Common Shares issued and outstanding

+++ Auction Market Preferred Stock.

See Notes to Financial Statements.

ANNUAL REPORTS JULY 31, 2006

Statements of Operations

For the Year Ended July 31, 2006

Investment Income

Interest
Dividends from affiliates

Total income

Expenses

Investment advisory fees
Commission fees
Accounting services
Professional fees
Transfer agent fees
Printing and shareholder reports
Directors' fees and expenses
Listing fees
Custodian fees
Pricing fees
Other (including \$21,248 recovery of filing fees for MuniHoldings
New Jersey Insured Fund, Inc.)

Total expenses before waiver and/or reimbursement Waiver and/or reimbursement of expenses

Total expenses after waiver and/or reimbursement

Investment income--net

Realized & Unrealized Gain (Loss) -- Net

Realized gain on:

Investments--net

Financial futures contracts and forward interest rate swaps--net

Total realized gain--net

Change in unrealized appreciation on:

F

\$

Investments--net

Financial futures contracts and forward interest rate swaps--net

Total change in unrealized appreciation

Total realized and unrealized loss--net

Dividends to Preferred Shareholders

Investment income--net

Net Increase in Net Assets Resulting from Operations

See Notes to Financial Statements.

ANNUAL REPORTS JULY 31, 2006

Statements of Changes in Net Assets

Increase (Decrease) in Net Assets:

Operations

Investment income--net
Realized gain--net
Change in unrealized appreciation--net
Dividends to Preferred Stock shareholders

Net increase in net assets resulting from operations

Dividends to Common Stock Shareholders

Investment income--net

Net decrease in net assets resulting from dividends to Common Stock shareholders

Common Stock Transactions

Value of shares issued to Common Stock shareholders in reinvestment of dividends

Net Assets Applicable to Common Stock

Total increase (decrease) in net assets applicable to Common Stock Beginning of year

End of year*

* Undistributed investment income--net

See Notes to Financial Statements.

MuniHoldin

Increase (Decrease) in Net Assets:

Operations

Investment income--net Realized gain--net Change in unrealized appreciation -- net Dividends to Preferred Stock shareholders

Net increase in net assets resulting from operations

Dividends to Common Stock Shareholders

Investment income--net

Net decrease in net assets resulting from dividends to Common Stock shareholders

Common Stock Transactions

Value of shares issued to Common Stock shareholders in reinvestment of dividends

Net Assets Applicable to Common Stock

Total increase (decrease) in net assets applicable to Common Stock Beginning of year

End of year*

* Undistributed investment income--net

See Notes to Financial Statements.

ANNUAL REPORTS JULY 31, 2006

Financial Highlights

The following per share data and ratios have been derived from information provided in the financial statements.

For the Year E

2006 2005

Per Share Operating Performance

Net asset value, beginning of year	\$ 15.03	\$ 13.98	\$
Investment incomenet Realized and unrealized gain (loss)net Less dividends to Preferred Stock shareholders from	1.04++ (.11)		
investment incomenet	(.23)	(.14)	
Total from investment operations	.70	2.09	
Less dividends to Common Stock shareholders from investment incomenet	(.91)	(1.04)	
Net asset value, end of year	\$ 14.82	·	\$
Market price per share, end of year	\$ 14.12 ======	\$ 15.25	==== \$ ====
Total Investment Return**			
Based on net asset value per share	4.89%	15.46%	====
Based on market price per share	(1.50%)	21.04%	====
Ratios Based on Average Net Assets of Common Stock			
Total expenses, net of reimbursement*	1.18%		
Total expenses*	1.18%	1.19%	====
Total investment incomenet*	7.04%	7.38%	====
Amount of dividends to Preferred Stock shareholders	1.55%	.98%	====
Investment incomenet, to Common Stock shareholders	5.49%	6.40%	====
Ratios Based on Average Net Assets of Preferred Stock			
Dividends to Preferred Stock shareholders	2.95%	1.84%	====
Supplemental Data			
Net assets applicable to Common Stock, end of year (in thousands)	\$ 165 , 565	\$ 167,588 =======	\$ 1 ====
Preferred Stock outstanding, end of year (in thousands)	\$ 87,000	\$ 87,000	\$
Portfolio turnover	49.12% ======	45.11%	====
Leverage			
Asset coverage per \$1,000	\$ 2,903	\$ 2,926	\$
Dividends Per Share on Preferred Stock Outstanding			
Series AInvestment incomenet	\$ 754 ======	\$ 445 ======	\$ ====

Series B--Investment income--net

- * Do not reflect the effect of dividends to Preferred Stock shareholders.
- ** Total investment returns based on market value, which can be significantly greater or than the net asset value, may result in substantially different returns. Total investmexclude the effects of sales charges.
- ++ Based on average shares outstanding.

See Notes to Financial Statements.

ANNUAL REPORTS JULY 31, 2006

Financial Highlights				Mun	iHoldin
The following per share data and ratios have been derived from information provided in the financial statements.		2006		For the 2005	Year E
Per Share Operating Performance					
Net asset value, beginning of year		15.62		15.03	
<pre>Investment incomenet* Realized and unrealized gain (loss)net Less dividends to Preferred Stock shareholders from</pre>		1.03		1.04	
investment incomenet		(.26)		(.16)	
Total from investment operations		.16		1.54	
Less dividends to Common Stock shareholders from investment incomenet		(.87)		(.95)	
Net asset value, end of year	\$	14.91	\$	15.62	\$
Market price per share, end of year	\$		\$		\$
Total Investment Return***					
Based on net asset value per share		1.09%		10.63%	
Based on market price per share		(.16%)		19.37%	
Ratios Based on Average Net Assets of Common Stock					
Total expenses, net of waiver and reimbursement and excluding reorganization expenses**		1.15%		1.14%	
Total expenses, net of waiver and reimbursement**		1.15%		1.14%	
Total expenses**		1.21%		1.20%	
Total investment incomenet**		6.80%		6.69%	
	===		===		====

Amount of dividends to Preferred Stock shareholders	1.72%	1.02%	====
Investment incomenet, to Common Stock shareholders	5.08%	5.67%	====
Ratios Based on Average Net Assets of Preferred Stock			
Dividends to Preferred Stock shareholders	2.71%	1.64%	====
Supplemental Data			
Net assets applicable to Common Stock, end of year (in thousands)	\$ 315 , 649	\$ 328,853 ======	\$ 3 ====
Preferred Stock outstanding, end of year (in thousands)	\$ 203,000	\$ 203,000	\$ 2
Portfolio turnover	19.11% ======	29.61% ======	====
Leverage			
Asset coverage per \$1,000	\$ 2,555 ======	\$ 2,620	\$
Dividends Per Share on Preferred Stock Outstanding			
Series AInvestment incomenet	\$ 683	\$ 402	\$
Series BInvestment incomenet	\$ 682	\$ 403	==== \$ ====
Series CInvestment incomenet	\$ 689	\$ 419	\$
Series DInvestment incomenet	\$ 673	\$ 415	\$
Series EInvestment incomenet	\$ 655 ======	\$ 394 =======	==== \$ ====

^{*} Based on average shares outstanding.

See Notes to Financial Statements.

ANNUAL REPORTS JULY 31, 2006

Notes to Financial Statements

1. Significant Accounting Policies: MuniHoldings Fund II, Inc. and MuniHoldings New Jersey Insured Fund, Inc. (the "Funds" or individually as the "Fund") are registered under the Investment

Company Act of 1940, as amended, as non-diversified, closed-end management

^{**} Do not reflect the effect of dividends to Preferred Stock shareholders.

^{***} Total investment returns based on market value, which can be significantly greater or than the net asset value, may result in substantially different returns. Total investment exclude the effects of sales charges.

investment companies. The Funds' financial statements are prepared in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, which may require the use of management accruals and estimates. Actual results may differ from these estimates. The Funds determine and make available for publication the net asset value of their Common Stock on a daily basis. The Funds' Common Stock shares are listed on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbols MUH and MUJ, respectively. The following is a summary of significant accounting policies followed by the Funds.

- (a) Valuation of investments--Municipal bonds are traded primarily in the overthe-counter ("OTC") markets and are valued at the last available bid price in the OTC market or on the basis of values as obtained by a pricing service. Pricing services use valuation matrixes that incorporate both dealer-supplied valuations and valuation models. The procedures of the pricing service and its valuations are reviewed by the officers of the Funds under the general direction of the Board of Directors. Such valuations and procedures are reviewed periodically by the Board of Directors of the Funds. Financial futures contracts and options thereon, which are traded on exchanges, are valued at their closing prices as of the close of such exchanges. Options written or purchased are valued at the last sale price in the case of exchangetraded options. In the case of options traded in the OTC market, valuation is the last asked price (options written) or the last bid price (options purchased). Swap agreements are valued by quoted fair values received daily by the Funds' pricing service. Short-term investments with a remaining maturity of 60 days or less are valued at amortized cost, which approximates market value, under which method the investment is valued at cost and any premium or discount is amortized on a straight line basis to maturity. Valuation of other short-term investment vehicles is generally based on the net asset value of the underlying investment vehicle or amortized cost. Investments in open-end investment companies are valued at their net asset value each business day. Securities and other assets for which market quotations are not readily available are valued at fair value as determined in good faith by or under the direction of the Board of Directors of the Funds.
- (b) Derivative financial instruments—Each Fund may engage in various portfolio investment strategies both to increase the return of the Fund and to hedge, or protect, its exposure to interest rate movements and movements in the securities markets. Losses may arise due to changes in the value of the contract or if the counterparty does not perform under the contract.
- * Financial futures contracts—Each Fund may purchase or sell financial futures contracts and options on such futures contracts. Futures contracts are contracts for delayed delivery of securities at a specific future date and at a specific price or yield. Upon entering into a contract, the Fund deposits and maintains as collateral such initial margin as required by the exchange on which the transaction is effected. Pursuant to the contract, the Fund agrees to receive from or pay to the broker an amount of cash equal to the daily fluctuation in value of the contract. Such receipts or payments are known as variation margin and are recorded by the Fund as unrealized gains or losses. When the contract is closed, the Fund records a realized gain or loss equal to the difference between the value of the contract at the time it was opened and the value at the time it was closed.
- * Options—Each Fund may write covered call options and purchase call and put options. When the Fund writes an option, an amount equal to the premium received by the Fund is reflected as an asset and an equivalent liability. The amount of the liability is subsequently marked—to—market to reflect the current market value of the option written. When a security is purchased or sold through an exercise of an option, the related premium paid (or received) is added to (or deducted from) the basis of the security acquired or deducted from (or added to) the proceeds of the security sold. When an option expires (or the Fund enters into a closing transaction), the Fund realizes a gain or

loss on the option to the extent of the premiums received or paid (or gain or loss to the extent the cost of the closing transaction exceeds the premium paid or received).

Written and purchased options are non-income producing investments.

ANNUAL REPORTS JULY 31, 2006

Notes to Financial Statements (continued)

- * Forward interest rate swaps—Each Fund may enter into swap agreements, which are OTC contracts in which the Fund and a counterparty agree to make periodic net payments on a specified notional amount. The net payments can be made for a set period of time or may be triggered by a predetermined credit event. The net periodic payments may be based on a fixed or variable interest rate; the change in market value of a specified security, basket of securities, or index; or the return generated by a security. These periodic payments received or made by the Fund are recorded in the accompanying Statement of Operations as realized gains or losses, respectively. Gains or losses are also realized upon termination of the swap agreements. Swaps are marked—to—market daily and changes in value are recorded as unrealized appreciation (depreciation). Risks include changes in the returns of the underlying instruments, failure of the counterparties to perform under the contracts' terms and the possible lack of liquidity with respect to the swap agreements.
- (c) Income taxes—It is each Fund's policy to comply with the requirements of the Internal Revenue Code applicable to regulated investment companies and to distribute substantially all of its taxable income to its shareholders. Therefore, no federal income tax provision is required.
- (d) Security transactions and investment income—Security transactions are recorded on the dates the transactions are entered into (the trade dates). Realized gains and losses on security transactions are determined on the identified cost basis. Dividend income is recorded on the ex-dividend dates. Interest income is recognized on the accrual basis. The Funds amortize all premiums and discounts on debt securities.
- (e) Dividends and distributions—Dividends from net investment income are declared and paid monthly. Distributions of capital gains are recorded on the \exp -dividend dates.
- (f) Recent accounting pronouncement—In July 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Interpretation No. 48 ("FIN 48") entitled "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109." FIN 48 prescribes the minimum recognition threshold a tax position must meet in connection with accounting for uncertainties in income tax positions taken or expected to be taken by an entity including mutual funds before being measured and recognized in the financial statements. FIN 48 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. The Funds will adopt FIN 48 during the fiscal 2008 year and the impact on the Funds' financial statements, if any, is currently being assessed.
- 2. Investment Advisory Agreement and Transactions with Affiliates:
 Each Fund has entered into an Investment Advisory Agreement with Fund Asset
 Management, L.P. ("FAM"). The general partner of FAM is Princeton Services,
 Inc. ("PSI"), an indirect, wholly-owned subsidiary of Merrill Lynch & Co.,

Inc. ("ML & Co."), which is the limited partner.

FAM is responsible for the management of each Fund's portfolio and provides the necessary personnel, facilities, equipment and certain other services necessary to the operations of the Fund. For such services, each Fund pays a monthly fee at an annual rate of .55% of the Fund's average weekly net assets, including proceeds from the issuance of Preferred Stock for the year ended July 31, 2006. The Investment Adviser has agreed to reimburse its management fee by the amount of management fees each Fund pays to FAM indirectly through its investment described below:

Reimbursement
For the
Year Ended
Investment

MuniHoldings Fund II, Inc.
Merrill Lynch
Institutional
Tax-Exempt Fund

\$ 22

MuniHoldings New Jersey
Insured Fund, Inc.
Municipal Money Fund

\$ 19,874

In addition, for MuniHoldings New Jersey Insured Fund, Inc., the Investment Adviser has agreed to reimburse its management fee based on the proceeds of Preferred Stock that exceeds 35% of the Fund's total net assets. For the year ended July 31, 2006, FAM earned fees of \$2,877,148, of which \$167,935 was waived.

For the year ended July 31, 2006, MuniHoldings Fund II, Inc. and MuniHoldings New Jersey Insured Fund, Inc. reimbursed FAM \$5,584\$ and \$11,597, respectively, for certain accounting services.

Certain officers and/or directors of the Funds are officers and/or directors of FAM, PSI, and/or ML & Co.

ANNUAL REPORTS JULY 31, 2006

Notes to Financial Statements (continued)

In February 2006, ML & Co. and BlackRock, Inc. entered into an agreement to contribute ML & Co.'s investment management business, including FAM, to the investment management business of BlackRock, Inc. The transaction is expected to close around the end of the third quarter of 2006.

On August 15, 2006, shareholders of each Fund approved a new Investment Advisory Agreement with BlackRock Advisors, Inc., a subsidiary of BlackRock, Inc., as well as a contingent sub-advisory agreement with BlackRock Advisors, Inc. The new advisory agreement will become effective upon the closing of the BlackRock transaction described above and the investment advisory fees will be unchanged.

3. Investments:

Purchases and sales of investments, excluding short-term securities, for the

year ended July 31, 2006 were as follows:

		MuniHoldings
		New Jersey
	MuniHoldings	Insured
	Fund II, Inc.	Fund, Inc.
Total Purchases	\$121,363,405	\$ 99,882,641
Total Sales	\$126,655,902	\$115,921,256

4. Stock Transactions:

Each Fund is authorized to issue 200,000,000 shares of stock, including Preferred Stock, par value \$.10 per share, all of which were initially classified as Common Stock. The Board of Directors is authorized, however, to reclassify any unissued shares of stock without approval of holders of Common Stock.

Common Stock

MuniHoldings Fund II, Inc.

Shares issued and outstanding during the years ended July 31, 2006 and July 31, 2005 increased by 21,687 and 18,116, respectively, as a result of dividend reinvestment.

MuniHoldings New Jersey Insured Fund, Inc.

Shares issued and outstanding during the years ended July 31, 2006 and July 31, 2005 increased by 122,432 and 11,698, respectively, as a result of dividend reinvestment.

Preferred Stock

Auction Market Preferred Stock are shares of Preferred Stock of the Funds, with a liquidation preference of \$25,000 per share plus accrued and unpaid dividends that entitle their holders to receive cash dividends at an annual rate that may vary for the successive dividend periods. The yields in effect at July 31, 2006 were as follows:

		MuniHoldings
		New Jersey
	MuniHoldings	Insured
	Fund II, Inc.	Fund, Inc.
Series A	3.50%	3.25%
Series B	3.50%	3.05%
Series C		3.25%
Series D		3.00%
Series E		3.25%

Each Fund pays commissions to certain broker-dealers at the end of each auction at an annual rate ranging from .25% to .375%, calculated on the proceeds of each auction. For the year ended July 31, 2006, Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith, Incorporated earned commissions as follows:

Commissions

MuniHoldings Fund II, Inc. \$104,256 MuniHoldings New Jersey Insured Fund, Inc. \$270,109

5. Distributions to Shareholders:

Each Fund paid a tax-exempt income dividend to holders of Common Stock in the amounts of \$.067000 per share and \$.065000 per share relating to MuniHoldings Fund II, Inc. and MuniHoldings New Jersey Insured Fund, Inc. respectively, on August 30, 2006 to shareholders of record on August 14, 2006.

MuniHoldings Fund II, Inc.

The tax character of distributions paid during the fiscal years ended July 31, 2006 and July 31, 2005 was as follows:

		7/31/2006		7/31/2005
Distributions paid from:				
Tax-exempt income	\$	12,717,464	\$	13,218,741
Total distributions	\$	12,717,464	\$	13,218,741
	====	========	====	

ANNUAL REPORTS JULY 31, 2006

Notes to Financial Statements (concluded)

As of July 31, 2006, the components of accumulated losses on a tax basis were as follows:

	====	
Total accumulated lossesnet	\$	(775,198)
Unrealized gainsnet		13,579,096**
Capital loss carryforward	(*	15,495,993)*
Total undistributed earningsnet		1,141,699
Undistributed long-term capital gains - net		
Undistributed tax-exempt incomenet	\$	1,141,699

- * On July 31, 2006, the Fund had a net capital loss carryforward of \$15,495,993, of which \$2,509,399 expires in 2008, \$12,107,981 expires in 2009, \$689,205 expires in 2010 and \$189,408 expires in 2011. This amount will be available to offset like amounts of any future taxable gains.
- ** The difference between book-basis and tax-basis net unrealized gains is attributable primarily to the tax deferral of losses on wash sales and the difference between book and tax amortization methods for premiums and discounts on fixed income securities.

MuniHoldings New Jersey Insured Fund, Inc.

The tax character of distributions paid during the fiscal years ended July 31, 2006 and July 31, 2005 was as follows:

	===		===	
Total distributions	\$	23,875,126	\$	23,265,678
Distributions paid from: Tax-exempt income	\$	23,875,126	\$	23,265,678
		7/31/2006		7/31/2005

As of July 31, 2006, the components of accumulated losses on a tax basis were as follows:

Undistributed tax-exempt incomenet	\$	2,192,503
Undistributed long-term capital gainsnet		
Total undistributed earningsnet		2,192,503*
Capital loss carryforward	(2	4,258,827)**
Unrealized gainsnet		17,313,399
Total accumulated lossesnet	\$	(4,752,925)
	===	

- * On July 31, 2006, the Fund had a net capital loss carryforward of \$24,258,827, of which \$24,022,933 expires in 2009 and \$235,894 expires in 2011. This amount will be available to offset like amounts of any future taxable gains.
- ** The difference between book-basis and tax-basis net unrealized gains is attributable primarily to the tax deferral of losses on straddles and the difference between book and tax amortization methods for premiums and discounts on fixed income securities.

ANNUAL REPORTS JULY 31, 2006

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Shareholders and Board of Directors of MuniHoldings Fund II, Inc. and MuniHoldings New Jersey Insured Fund, Inc.:

We have audited the accompanying statements of net assets, including the schedules of investments, of MuniHoldings Fund II, Inc. and of MuniHoldings New Jersey Insured Fund, Inc. (the "Funds") as of July 31, 2006, and the related statements of operations, statements of changes in net assets, and the financial highlights for the year then ended. These financial statements and financial highlights are the responsibility of the Funds' management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and financial highlights based on our audits. The respective financial statements

of each of the Funds for the year ended July 31, 2005 and the financial highlights of each of the Funds for each of the four years in the period ended July 31, 2005 were audited by other auditors whose report, dated September 12, 2005, expressed an unqualified opinion on those financial statements and financial highlights.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements and financial highlights are free of material misstatement. The Funds are not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of their internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Funds' internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our procedures included confirmation of securities owned as of July 31, 2006, by correspondence with the custodian and brokers; where replies were not received from brokers, we performed other auditing procedures. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements and financial highlights referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial positions of MuniHoldings Fund II, Inc. and of MuniHoldings New Jersey Insured Fund, Inc. as of July 31, 2006, the results of their operations, the changes in their net assets, and their financial highlights for the year then ended, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

Deloitte & Touche LLP Princeton, New Jersey September 20, 2006

Fund Certification (unaudited)

In February 2006, MuniHoldings Fund II, Inc. and MuniHoldings New Jersey Insured Fund, Inc. filed their Chief Executive Officer Certification for the prior year with the New York Stock Exchange pursuant to Section 303A.12(a) of the New York Stock Exchange Corporate Governance Listing Standards.

The Funds' Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer Certifications pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 were filed with the Funds' Form N-CSR and are available on the Securities and Exchange Commission's Web site at http://www.sec.gov.

Important Tax Information (unaudited)

All of the net investment income distributions paid by MuniHoldings Fund II, Inc. and MuniHoldings New Jersey Insured Fund, Inc. during the taxable year ended July 31, 2006 qualify as tax-exempt interest dividends for federal income tax purposes.

ANNUAL REPORTS JULY 31, 2006

Automatic Dividend Reinvestment Plan

How the Plan Works--The Funds offer a Dividend Reinvestment Plan (the "Plan") under which income and capital gains dividends paid by each Fund are automatically reinvested in additional shares of Common Stock of each Fund. The Plan is administered on behalf of the shareholders by The Bank of New York (the "Plan Agent"). Under the Plan, whenever the Funds declare a dividend, participants in the Plan will receive the equivalent in shares of Common Stock of each Fund. The Plan Agent will acquire the shares for the participant's account either (i) through receipt of additional unissued but authorized shares of each Fund ("newly issued shares") or (ii) by purchase of outstanding shares of Common Stock on the open market on the New York Stock Exchange or elsewhere. If, on the dividend payment date, each Fund's net asset value per share is equal to or less than the market price per share plus estimated brokerage commissions (a condition often referred to as a "market premium"), the Plan Agent will invest the dividend amount in newly issued shares. If the Funds' net asset value per share is greater than the market price per share (a condition often referred to as a "market discount"), the Plan Agent will invest the dividend amount by purchasing on the open market additional shares. If the Plan Agent is unable to invest the full dividend amount in open market purchases, or if the market discount shifts to a market premium during the purchase period, the Plan Agent will invest any uninvested portion in newly issued shares. The shares acquired are credited to each shareholder's account. The amount credited is determined by dividing the dollar amount of the dividend by either (i) when the shares are newly issued, the net asset value per share on the date the shares are issued or (ii) when shares are purchased in the open market, the average purchase price per share.

Participation in the Plan—Participation in the Plan is automatic, that is, a shareholder is automatically enrolled in the Plan when he or she purchases shares of Common Stock of the Funds unless the shareholder specifically elects not to participate in the Plan. Shareholders who elect not to participate will receive all dividend distributions in cash. Shareholders who do not wish to participate in the Plan must advise the Plan Agent in writing (at the address set forth below) that they elect not to participate in the Plan. Participation in the Plan is completely voluntary and may be terminated or resumed at any time without penalty by writing to the Plan Agent.

Benefits of the Plan-The Plan provides an easy, convenient way for shareholders to make additional, regular investments in the Funds. The Plan promotes a long-term strategy of investing at a lower cost. All shares acquired pursuant to the Plan receive voting rights. In addition, if the market price plus commissions of each Fund's shares is above the net asset value, participants in the Plan will receive shares of the Funds for less than they could otherwise purchase them and with a cash value greater than the value of any cash distribution they would have received. However, there may not be enough shares available in the market to make distributions in shares at prices below the net asset value. Also, since each Fund does not redeem shares, the price on resale may be more or less than the net asset value.

Plan Fees--There are no enrollment fees or brokerage fees for participating in the Plan. The Plan Agent's service fees for handling the reinvestment of distributions are paid for by the Funds. However, brokerage commissions may be incurred when the Funds purchase shares on the open market and shareholders will pay a pro rata share of any such commissions.

Tax Implications--The automatic reinvestment of dividends and distributions will not relieve participants of any federal, state or local income tax that may be payable (or required to be withheld) on such dividends. Therefore, income and capital gains may still be realized even though shareholders do not receive cash. Participation in the Plan generally will not effect the taxexempt status of exempt interest dividends paid by the Fund. If, when the Funds' shares are trading at a market premium, the Funds issue shares pursuant to the Plan that have a greater fair market value than the amount of cash reinvested, it is possible that all or a portion of the discount from the market value (which may not exceed 5% of the fair market value of each Fund's shares) could be viewed as a taxable distribution. If the discount is viewed as a taxable distribution, it is also possible that the taxable character of this discount would be allocable to all the shareholders, including shareholders who do not participate in the Plan. Thus, shareholders who do not participate in the Plan might be required to report as ordinary income a portion of their distributions equal to their allocable share of the discount.

Contact Information—All correspondence concerning the Plan, including any questions about the Plan, should be directed to the Plan Agent at The Bank of New York, Church Street Station, P.O. Box 11258, New York, NY 10286-1258, Telephone: 800-432-8224.

ANNUAL REPORTS JULY 31, 2006

Disclosure of Investment Advisory Agreement

Activities and Composition of the Boards of Directors

All but one member of each Board of Directors is an independent director, whose only association with Fund Asset Management, L.P. (the "Investment Adviser") or other Merrill Lynch affiliates is as a director of each Fund and as a trustee or director of certain other funds advised by the Investment Adviser or its affiliates. The Chairman of the Boards is also an independent director. New director nominees are chosen by a Nominating Committee comprised of independent directors. All independent directors also are members of each Board's Audit Committee, and the independent directors meet in executive session at each in-person Board meeting. Each Board and each Audit Committee meet in person for at least two days each quarter and conduct other in-person and telephone meetings throughout the year, some of which are formal Board meetings and some of which are informational meetings. The independent counsel to the independent directors attends all in-person Board and Audit Committee meetings and other meetings at the independent directors' request.

Investment Advisory Agreement--Matters Considered by the Boards

Every year, each Board considers approval of the Fund's investment advisory agreement (the "Investment Advisory Agreement"). Each Board assesses the nature, scope and quality of the services provided to the Fund by the personnel of the Investment Adviser and its affiliates, including administrative services, shareholder services, oversight of fund accounting, marketing services and assistance in meeting legal and regulatory requirements. Each Board also receives and assesses information regarding the services provided to the Fund by certain unaffiliated service providers.

At various times throughout the year, each Board also considers a range of

information in connection with its oversight of the services provided by the Investment Adviser and its affiliates. Among the matters considered are: (a) fees (in addition to management fees) paid to the Investment Adviser and its affiliates by the Fund; (b) Fund operating expenses paid to third parties; (c) the resources devoted to and compliance reports relating to the Fund's investment objective, policies and restrictions, and its compliance with its Code of Ethics and compliance policies and procedures; and (d) the nature, cost and character of non-investment management services provided by the Investment Adviser and its affiliates.

Each Board believes that the Investment Adviser is one of the most experienced global asset management firms and considers the overall services provided by the Investment Adviser to be of high quality. Each Board also believes that the Investment Adviser is financially sound and well managed and notes that the Investment Adviser is affiliated with one of America's largest financial firms. Each Board works closely with the Investment Adviser in overseeing the Investment Adviser's efforts to achieve good performance. As part of this effort, each Board discusses portfolio manager effectiveness and, when performance is not satisfactory, discusses with the Investment Adviser taking steps such as changing investment personnel.

Annual Consideration of Approval by the Boards of Directors

In the period prior to the Board meeting to consider renewal of the Investment Advisory Agreement, each Board requests and receives materials specifically relating to the Investment Advisory Agreement. These materials are prepared separately for each Fund and include (a) information compiled by Lipper Inc. ("Lipper") on the fees and expenses, investment performance and leverage of the Fund as compared to a comparable group of funds as classified by Lipper; (b) information comparing each Fund's market price with its net asset value per share; (c) a discussion by the Fund's portfolio management team regarding investment strategies used by the Fund during its most recent fiscal year; (d) information on the profitability to the Investment Adviser and its affiliates of the Investment Advisory Agreement and other relationships with the Fund; and (e) information provided by the Investment Adviser concerning investment advisory fees charged to other retail closed-end funds under similar investment mandates. Each Board also considers other matters it deems important to the approval process, such as payments made for services related to the valuation and pricing of Fund portfolio holdings, the Fund's portfolio turnover statistics, and direct and indirect benefits to the Investment Adviser and its affiliates from their relationship with the Fund. The Boards did not identify any particular information as controlling, and each member of the Boards may have attributed different weights to the various items considered.

Certain Specific Renewal Data

In connection with the most recent renewal of each Fund's Investment Advisory Agreement in February 2006, the independent directors' and Boards' review included the following:

ANNUAL REPORTS JULY 31, 2006

Disclosure of Investment Advisory Agreement (concluded)

Services Provided by the Investment Adviser—Each Board reviewed the nature, extent and quality of services provided by the Investment Adviser, including the investment advisory services and the resulting performance of the Fund. Each Board focused primarily on the Investment Adviser's investment advisory services and the Fund's investment performance. Each Board compared Fund performance — both including and excluding the effects of the Fund's fees and expenses — to the performance of a comparable group of funds and the performance of a relevant index or combination of indexes. The Board considered the small number of funds classified by Lipper as comparable funds to MuniHoldings New Jersey Insured Fund, Inc. While each Board reviews performance data at least quarterly, consistent with the Investment Adviser's investment goals, the Boards attach more importance to performance over relatively long periods of time, typically three to five years.

Relative to closed-end leveraged general municipal debt funds deemed comparable by the Investment Adviser, the Board noted that for the periods ended November 30, 2005, MuniHoldings Fund II, Inc.'s performance for the one-, three- and five-year periods ranked in the first quintile. The Board also reviewed MuniHoldings Fund II, Inc.'s performance based on annualized total return and annualized yield. The Board noted that with respect to both total return and yield the Fund ranked in the first quintile for the years ended November 30, 2005, 2004 and 2003; with respect to total return in the third quintile for the year ended November 30, 2002 and the first quintile for the year ended November 30, 2001; and with respect to yield in the second quintile for the years ended November 30, 2002 and 2001. The Board noted the lack of any significant number of comparable closed-end leveraged New Jersey municipal debt funds, but did note that relative to the three comparable funds identified MuniHoldings New Jersey Insured Fund, Inc.'s performance for the periods ended November 30, 2005 ranked third out of three funds for the oneyear period, second out of three funds for the three-year period and first out of two funds for the five-year period. The Board also noted that MuniHoldings New Jersey Insured Fund, Inc.'s performance based on annualized yields ranked second out of three funds for the years ended November 30, 2005 and 2003, third out of three funds for the year ended November 30, 2004, and second out of two funds for the years ended November 30, 2002 and 2001. Each Board concluded that the Fund's performance supported the continuation of the Investment Advisory Agreement.

The Investment Adviser's Personnel and Investment Process--Each Board reviewed the Fund's investment objectives and strategies. Each Board discusses with senior management of the Investment Adviser responsible for investment operations and the senior management of the Investment Adviser's municipal investing group the strategies being used to achieve the stated objectives. Among other things, each Board considers the size, education and experience of the Investment Adviser's investment staff, its use of technology, and the Investment Adviser's approach to training and retaining portfolio managers and other research, advisory and management personnel. Each Board also reviews the Investment Adviser's compensation policies and practices with respect to the Fund's portfolio manager. Each Board also considered the experience of the Fund's portfolio manager. It was noted that Mr. DiMella, the portfolio manager for MuniHoldings Fund II, Inc., has more than 13 years' experience in portfolio management and Mr. Jaeckel, the portfolio manager for MuniHoldings New Jersey Insured Fund, Inc., has more than 15 years' experience. The Boards considered that the Investment Adviser and its investment staff have extensive experience in analyzing and managing the types of investments used by the Funds. The Boards concluded that the Funds benefit from that experience.

Management Fees and Other Expenses—Each Board reviews the Fund's contractual management fee rate and actual management fee rate as a percentage of total assets at common asset levels — the actual rate includes advisory and administrative service fees and the effects of any fee waivers — compared to the other funds in its Lipper category. It also compares the Fund's total

expenses to those of other comparable funds. Each Board considered the services provided to and the fees charged by the Investment Adviser to retail closed-end funds with similar investment mandates. The Boards noted that the investment advisory fees charged to each of the Funds was equal to or slightly more than the fees charged to other retail closed-end funds of the Investment Adviser. With respect to MuniHoldings Fund II, Inc., the Board noted that the contractual and actual management fee rates and total expense ratio were below the median fees charged by comparable funds, as determined by Lipper. With respect to MuniHoldings New Jersey Insured Fund, Inc., the Board noted that the contractual management fee rate was equal to and the actual management fee rate was higher than the median fee charged by comparable funds, as determined by Lipper, while the actual total expense ratio was slightly lower than the median charged by such comparable funds. The Boards concluded that each Fund's management fee rate (including fee waivers) and overall expense ratio are reasonable compared to those of other comparable funds.

ANNUAL REPORTS JULY 31, 2006

Profitability—Each Board considers the cost of the services provided to the Fund by the Investment Adviser and the Investment Adviser's and its affiliates' profits relating to the management and distribution of the Fund and the MLIM/FAM—advised funds. As part of its analysis, each Board reviewed the Investment Adviser's methodology in allocating its costs to the management of the Fund and concluded that there was a reasonable basis for the allocation. The Boards also considered federal court decisions discussing an investment adviser's profitability and profitability levels considered to be reasonable in those decisions. Each Board concluded that the profits of the Investment Adviser and its affiliates are acceptable in relation to the nature and quality of services provided and given the level of fees and expenses overall.

Economies of Scale—Each Board considered the extent to which economies of scale might be realized as the assets of the Fund increase and whether there should be changes in the management fee rate or structure in order to enable the Fund to participate in these economies of scale. Each Board considered economies of scale to the extent applicable to each Fund's closed—end structure and determined that each Fund appropriately benefits from any economies of scale. Each Board determined that no changes were currently necessary.

Conclusion

After the independent directors deliberated in executive session, each entire Board, including all of the independent directors, approved the renewal of the existing Investment Advisory Agreement, concluding that the advisory fee was reasonable in relation to the services provided and that a contract renewal was in the best interests of the shareholders.

ANNUAL REPORTS JULY 31, 2006

Disclosure of New Investment Advisory Agreement

New BlackRock Investment Advisory Agreements--Matters Considered by the Boards

In connection with the Transaction between Merrill Lynch and BlackRock, each Fund's Board of Directors considered a new investment advisory agreement (each a "New Investment Advisory Agreement") between the Fund and BlackRock Advisors, Inc. or its successor ("BlackRock Advisors"). Each Fund's New Investment Advisory Agreement has been approved by the Fund's shareholders and is expected to become effective upon the closing of the Transaction in the third quarter of 2006.

The Boards discussed the New Investment Advisory Agreements at telephonic and in-person meetings held during April and May 2006. Each Board, including the independent directors, approved the applicable New Investment Advisory Agreement at a meeting held on May 12, 2006.

To assist each Fund's Board in its consideration of the Fund's New Investment Advisory Agreement, BlackRock provided materials and information about BlackRock, including its financial condition and asset management capabilities and organization, and Merrill Lynch provided materials and information about the Transaction. Each Fund's independent directors, through their independent legal counsel, also requested and received additional information from Merrill Lynch and BlackRock in connection with their consideration of the Fund's New Investment Advisory Agreement. The additional information was provided in advance of the May 12, 2006 meetings. In addition, each Fund's independent directors consulted with their counsel and Fund counsel on numerous occasions, discussing, among other things, the legal standards and certain other considerations relevant to the directors' deliberations.

At each Fund's Board meetings, the directors discussed with Merrill Lynch management and certain BlackRock representatives the Transaction, its strategic rationale and BlackRock's general plans and intentions regarding the Fund. At these Board meetings, representatives of Merrill Lynch and BlackRock made presentations to and responded to questions from the Boards. The directors also inquired about the plans for and anticipated roles and responsibilities of certain employees and officers of the Investment Adviser and certain affiliates being transferred to BlackRock in connection with the Transaction. The independent directors also conferred separately and with their counsel about the Transaction and other matters related to the Transaction on a number of occasions, including in connection with the April and May 2006 meetings. After the presentations and after reviewing the written materials provided, each Fund's independent directors met in executive sessions with their counsel to consider the Fund's New Investment Advisory Agreement.

In connection with each Board's review of the New Investment Advisory Agreement, Merrill Lynch and/or BlackRock advised the directors about a variety of matters. The advice included the following, among other matters:

- * that there is not expected to be any diminution in the nature, quality and extent of services provided to each Fund and its shareholders by BlackRock Advisors, including compliance services;
- * that operation of New BlackRock as an independent investment management firm will enhance its ability to attract and retain talented professionals;
- * that each Fund should benefit from having access to BlackRock's state of the art technology and risk management analytic tools, including investment tools, provided under the BlackRock Solutions (R) brand name;
- * that BlackRock has no present intention to alter any applicable expense waivers or reimbursements currently in effect and, while it reserves the right to do so in the future, it would seek Board approval before making

any changes;

- * that in connection with the Transaction, Merrill Lynch and BlackRock have agreed to conduct, and use reasonable best efforts to cause their respective affiliates to conduct, their respective businesses in compliance with the conditions of Section 15(f) of the Investment Company Act of 1940 (the "1940 Act") in relation to any public funds advised by BlackRock or the Investment Adviser (or its affiliates), respectively; and
- * that Merrill Lynch and BlackRock would derive benefits from the Transaction and that, as a result, they have a different financial interest in the matters that were being considered than do Fund shareholders.

The directors considered the information provided by Merrill Lynch and BlackRock above, and, among other factors, the following:

* the potential benefits to each Fund's shareholders from being part of a combined fund family with BlackRock-sponsored funds, including possible economies of scale and access to investment opportunities;

ANNUAL REPORTS JULY 31, 2006

- * the reputation, financial strength and resources of BlackRock and its investment advisory subsidiaries and the anticipated financial strength and resources of New BlackRock;
- * the compliance policies and procedures of BlackRock Advisors;
- * the terms and conditions of each New Investment Advisory Agreement, including the fact that neither Fund's schedule of total advisory fees will increase by virtue of the Fund's New Investment Advisory Agreement, but will remain the same;
- * that in February 2006, each Fund's Board performed a full annual review of the investment advisory agreement currently in effect for the Fund (each a "Current Investment Advisory Agreement") as required by the 1940 Act and determined that the Investment Advisor has the capabilities, resources and personnel necessary to provide the advisory and administrative services currently provided to the Fund; and that the advisory and/or management fees to be paid by the Fund, taking into account any applicable agreed-upon fee waivers and breakpoints, represented reasonable compensation to the Investment Adviser in light of the services to be provided, the expected costs to the Investment Adviser of providing those services, potential economies of scale, the fees and other expenses paid by similar funds (including information provided by Lipper Inc. ["Lipper"]), and such other matters as the directors considered relevant in the exercise of their reasonable judgment; and
- * that Merrill Lynch agreed to pay all expenses of each Fund in connection with the Board's consideration of the New Investment Advisory Agreement and related agreements and all costs of shareholder approval of the New Investment Advisory Agreement and as a result the Fund would bear no costs in obtaining shareholder approval of the New Investment Advisory Agreement.

Certain of these considerations are discussed in more detail below.

In its review of the New Investment Advisory Agreement, each Board assessed the nature, scope and quality of the services to be provided to the applicable Fund by the personnel of BlackRock Advisors and its affiliates, including administrative services, shareholder services, oversight of fund accounting and assistance in meeting legal and regulatory requirements. In its review of the New Investment Advisory Agreement, each Board also considered a range of information in connection with its oversight of the services to be provided by BlackRock Advisors and its affiliates. Among the matters considered by each Board were: (a) fees (in addition to management fees) to be paid to BlackRock Advisors and its affiliates by the Fund; (b) Fund operating expenses paid to third parties; (c) the resources devoted to and compliance reports relating to the Fund's investment objective, policies and restrictions, and its compliance with its Code of Ethics and BlackRock Advisors' compliance policies and procedures; and (d) the nature, cost and character of non-investment management services to be provided by BlackRock Advisors and its affiliates.

In the period prior to each Fund's Board meeting to consider the renewal of the Fund's Current Investment Advisory Agreement, the Board had requested and received materials specifically relating to the Current Investment Advisory Agreement. For each Fund, these materials included (a) information compiled by Lipper on the fees and expenses and the investment performance of the Fund as compared to a comparable group of funds as classified by Lipper; (b) information comparing the Fund's market price with its net asset value per share; (c) a discussion by the Fund's portfolio management team on investment strategies used by the Fund during its most recent fiscal year; (d) information on the profitability to the Investment Adviser of the Current Investment Advisory Agreement and other payments received by the Investment Adviser and its affiliates from the Fund; and (e) information provided by the Investment Adviser concerning services related to the valuation and pricing of Fund portfolio holdings, the Fund's portfolio turnover statistics, and direct and indirect benefits to the Investment Adviser and its affiliates from their relationship with the Fund.

In their deliberations, each Fund's directors considered information received in connection with their recent continuation of the Fund's Current Investment Advisory Agreement, in addition to information provided by BlackRock and BlackRock Advisors in connection with their evaluation of the terms and conditions of the Fund's New Investment Advisory Agreement. Neither Fund's directors identified any particular information that was all-important or controlling, and each director attributed different weights to the various factors. The directors of each Fund, including a majority of the independent directors, concluded that the terms of the Fund's New Investment Advisory Agreement are appropriate, that the fees to be paid are reasonable in light of the services to be provided to the Fund, and that the New Investment Advisory Agreement should be approved and recommended to Fund shareholders.

ANNUAL REPORTS JULY 31, 2006

Disclosure of New Investment Advisory Agreement (continued)

Nature, Quality and Extent of Services Provided—Each Fund's Board reviewed the nature, extent and quality of services provided by the Investment Adviser, including the investment advisory services and the resulting performance of the Fund, as well as the nature, quality and extent of services expected to be provided by BlackRock Advisors. Each Fund's Board focused primarily on the Investment Adviser's advisory services and the Fund's investment performance, but also considered certain areas in which both the Investment Adviser and the

Fund receive services as part of the Merrill Lynch complex. Each Fund's Board compared the Fund's performance - both including and excluding the effects of the Fund's fees and expenses - to the performance of a comparable group of funds, and the performance of a relevant index or combination of indexes. While each Board reviews performance data at least quarterly, consistent with the Investment Adviser's investment goals, the Board attaches more importance to performance over relatively long periods of time, typically three to five years.

In evaluating the nature, quality and extent of the services to be provided by BlackRock Advisors under the New Investment Advisory Agreement, each Fund's directors considered, among other things, the expected impact of the Transaction on the operations, facilities, organization and personnel of New BlackRock and how it would affect the Fund; the ability of BlackRock Advisors to perform its duties after the Transaction; and any anticipated changes to the current investment and other practices of the Fund.

Each Fund's directors were given information with respect to the potential benefits to the Fund and its shareholders from having access to BlackRock's state of the art technology and risk management analytic tools, including the investment tools provided under the BlackRock Solutions brand name.

Each Fund's directors were advised that, as a result of Merrill Lynch's equity interest in BlackRock after the Transaction, the Fund will continue to be subject to restrictions concerning certain transactions involving Merrill Lynch affiliates (for example, transactions with a Merrill Lynch broker-dealer acting as principal) absent revised or new regulatory relief. Each Fund's directors were advised that a revision of existing regulatory relief with respect to these restrictions was being sought from the Securities and Exchange Commission and were advised of the possibility of receipt of such revised regulatory relief. There can be no assurance that such relief will be obtained.

Based on their review of the materials provided and the assurances they had received from the management of Merrill Lynch and of BlackRock, each Fund's directors determined that the nature and quality of services to be provided to the Fund under the New Investment Advisory Agreement were expected to be as good as or better than that provided under the Fund's Current Investment Advisory Agreement. It was noted, however, that it is expected that there will be changes in personnel following the Transaction and the combination of the operations of the Investment Adviser and its affiliates with those of BlackRock. Each Fund's directors noted that if current portfolio managers or other personnel cease to be available, the Board would consider all available options, which could include seeking the investment advisory or other services of BlackRock affiliates. Accordingly, each Fund's directors concluded that, overall, they were satisfied at the present time with assurances from BlackRock and BlackRock Advisors as to the expected nature, extent and quality of the services to be provided to the Fund under its New Investment Advisory Agreement.

Costs of Services Provided and Profitability—It was noted that, in conjunction with their recent review of each Fund's Current Investment Advisory Agreement, the Fund's directors had received, among other things, a report from Lipper comparing the Fund's expected fees and expenses to those of a peer group selected by Lipper, and information as to the fees charged by the Investment Adviser or its affiliates to other registered investment company clients for investment management services. Each Fund's Board reviewed the Fund's contractual management fee rate and actual management fee rate as a percentage of total assets at common asset levels — the actual rate includes advisory fees and the effects of any fee waivers — compared to the other funds in its Lipper category. Each Fund's Board also compared the Fund's total expenses to those of other comparable funds. The information showed that each

Fund had fees and expenses within the range of fees and expenses of comparable funds. Each Fund's Board considered the services to be provided by and the fees to be charged by BlackRock Advisors to other funds with similar investment mandates and noted that the fees charged by BlackRock Advisors in those cases, including fee waivers and expense reimbursements, were generally comparable to those being charged to the Fund. Each Fund's Board concluded that the Fund's management fee and fee rate and overall expense ratio are reasonable compared to those of other comparable funds.

ANNUAL REPORTS JULY 31, 2006

In evaluating the costs of the services to be provided by BlackRock Advisors under each Fund's New Investment Advisory Agreement, the Fund's directors considered, among other things, whether advisory fees or other expenses would change as a result of the Transaction. Based on their review of the materials provided and the fact that each New Investment Advisory Agreement is substantially similar to the applicable Current Investment Advisory Agreement in all material respects, including the rate of compensation, each Fund's directors determined that the Transaction should not increase the total fees payable, including any fee waivers and expense reimbursements, for advisory and administrative services. Each Fund's directors noted that it was not possible to predict how the Transaction would affect BlackRock Advisors' profitability from its relationship with the Fund.

Each Fund's directors discussed with BlackRock Advisors its general methodology to be used in determining its profitability with respect to its relationship with the Fund. The directors noted that they expect to receive profitability information from BlackRock Advisors on at least an annual basis and thus be in a position to evaluate whether any adjustments in Fund fees and/or fee breakpoints would be appropriate.

Fees and Economies of Scale--Each Fund's Board considered the extent to which economies of scale might be realized as the assets of the Fund increase and whether there should be changes in the management fee rate or structure in order to enable the Fund to participate in these economies of scale. Each Fund's Board determined that changes were not currently necessary.

In reviewing the Transaction, the directors considered, among other things, whether advisory fees or other expenses would change as a result of the Transaction. Based on the fact that each New Investment Advisory Agreement is substantially similar to the applicable Current Investment Advisory Agreement in all material respects, including the rate of compensation, each Fund's directors determined that as a result of the Transaction, the Fund's total advisory fees would be no higher than the fees under Investment Advisory Agreement. Each Fund's directors noted that in conjunction with their most recent deliberations concerning the Current Investment Advisory Agreement, they had determined that the expected total fees for advisory and administrative services for the Fund were reasonable in light of the services to be provided. It was noted that in conjunction with the recent review of each Current Investment Advisory Agreement, each Fund's directors had received, among other things, a report from Lipper comparing the Fund's expected fees and expenses to those of a peer group selected by Lipper, and information as to the fees charged by the Investment Adviser or its affiliates to other registered investment company clients for investment management services. Each Fund's directors concluded that, because the rates for advisory fees for the Fund would be no higher than its current fee rates, the proposed management fee structure, including any fee waivers, was reasonable and that no additional changes were currently necessary.

Fall-Out Benefits—In evaluating the fall-out benefits to be received by BlackRock Advisors under the New Investment Advisory Agreement, each Fund's directors considered whether the Transaction would have an impact on the fall-out benefits received by the Investment Advisor by virtue of the Current Investment Advisory Agreement. Based on their review of the materials provided, including materials received in connection with their most recent approval of the Current Investment Advisory Agreement, and their discussions with management of the Investment Advisor and BlackRock, each Fund's directors determined that those benefits could include increased ability for BlackRock to distribute shares of its funds and other investment products. The directors noted that any fall-out benefits were difficult to quantify with certainty at this time, and indicated that they would continue to evaluate them going forward.

ANNUAL REPORTS JULY 31, 2006

Disclosure of New Investment Advisory Agreement (concluded)

Investment Performance--Each Fund's directors considered investment performance for the Fund. Each Fund's directors compared the Fund's performance - both including and excluding the effects of the Fund's fees and expenses - to the performance of a comparable group of funds, and the performance of a relevant index or combination of indexes. The comparative information received from Lipper showed each Fund's performance at various levels within the range of performance of comparable funds over different time periods. While each Board reviews performance data at least guarterly, consistent with the Investment Adviser's investment goals, the Board attaches more importance over relatively long periods of time, typically three to five years. Each Fund's directors believed the Fund's performance was satisfactory. Also, the directors took into account the investment performance of funds currently advised by BlackRock Advisors. Each Board considered comparative information from Lipper which showed that the performance of the funds advised by BlackRock Advisors was within the range of performance of comparable funds over different time periods. Each Fund's Board noted BlackRock's considerable investment management experience and capabilities, but was unable to predict what effect, if any, consummation of the Transaction would have on the future performance of the Fund.

Conclusion—After the independent directors of each Fund deliberated in executive session, the Fund's entire Board, including the independent directors, approved the Fund's New Investment Advisory Agreement, concluding that the advisory fee rate was reasonable in relation to the services provided and that the New Investment Advisory Agreement was in the best interests of the Fund's shareholders. In approving the New Investment Advisory Agreement, each Board noted that it anticipated reviewing the continuance of the agreement in advance of the expiration of the initial two-year period.

Contingent BlackRock Subadvisory Agreements--Matters Considered by the Boards

At the telephonic and in-person meetings held during April and May 2006 at which each Board of Directors discussed and approved the New Investment Advisory Agreement, the Board, including the independent directors, also considered and approved a contingent subadvisory agreement (each a "Contingent Subadvisory Agreement") between the Investment Adviser and BlackRock Advisors (the "BlackRock Subadviser"). Each Fund's Contingent Subadvisory Agreement is

intended to ensure that the Fund operates with efficient portfolio management services until the closing of the Transaction, in the event that the Fund's Board deems it necessary and in the best interests of the Fund and its shareholders that the BlackRock Subadviser assist in managing the operations of the Fund during the interim period until the closing of the Transaction. Each Fund's Contingent Subadvisory Agreement would take effect only upon recommendation from the Investment Adviser and upon subsequent approval of the Fund's Board in the period up to the closing of the Transaction. The effectiveness of each Fund's Contingent Subadvisory Agreement, therefore, is contingent on further Board approval. Pursuant to each Contingent Subadvisory Agreement, the BlackRock Subadviser would receive a monthly fee from the Investment Adviser equal to 50% of the advisory fee received by the Investment Adviser. The Investment Adviser would pay the BlackRock Subadviser out of its own resources. There would be no increase in either Fund's expenses as a result of the Contingent Subadvisory Agreement.

In making its approval at the May in-person meeting, each Board considered the Contingent Subadvisory Agreement in conjunction with the New Investment Advisory Agreement and reviewed the same information and factors discussed above, and came to the same conclusions. Each Fund's Board also considered in conjunction with the Contingent Subadvisory Agreement the necessity of ensuring that the Fund operates with effective management services until the closing of the Transaction. In reviewing the subadvisory fee rate provided in each Fund's Contingent Subadvisory Agreement, the Fund's Board took note of the fact that both the Investment Adviser and the BlackRock Subadviser would have significant responsibilities under their respective advisory agreements. The Investment Adviser would remain responsible for oversight of each Fund's operations and administration and the BlackRock Subadviser would provide advisory services to the Fund under the Contingent Subadvisory Agreement. Each Fund's Board also took into account the expected short duration of the term of any Contingent Subadvisory Agreement and the fact that total advisory fees paid by the Fund would not increase as a result of the Contingent Subadvisory Agreement. Under all of the circumstances, each Fund's Board concluded that it was a reasonable allocation of fees for the BlackRock Subadviser to receive 50% of the advisory fee paid by the Fund to the Investment Adviser.

After the independent directors of each Fund deliberated in executive session, the Fund's entire Board, including the independent directors, approved the applicable Contingent Subadvisory Agreement, concluding that the advisory fee was reasonable in relation to the services provided and that the Contingent Subadvisory Agreement was in the best interests of the Fund's shareholders.

ANNUAL REPORTS JULY 31, 2006

Swap Agreements

The Funds may invest in swap agreements, which are over-the-counter contracts in which one party agrees to make periodic payments based on the change in market value of a specified bond, basket of bonds, or index in return for periodic payments based on a fixed or variable interest rate or the change in market value of a different bond, basket of bonds or index. Swap agreements may be used to obtain exposure to a bond or market without owning or taking physical custody of securities. Swap agreements involve the risk that the party with whom each Fund has entered into the swap will default on its obligation to pay the Fund and the risk that the Fund will not be able to meet its obligations to pay the other party to the agreement.

Dividend Policy

The Funds' dividend policy is to distribute all or a portion of their net investment income to their shareholders on a monthly basis. In order to provide shareholders with a more stable level of dividend distributions, the Funds may at times pay out less than the entire amount of net investment income earned in any particular month and may at times in any particular month pay out such accumulated but undistributed income in addition to net investment income earned in that month. As a result, the dividends paid by the Funds for any particular month may be more or less than the amount of net investment income earned by the Funds during such month. The Funds' current accumulated but undistributed net investment income, if any, is disclosed in the Statement of Net Assets, which comprises part of the financial information included in these reports.

Change in Funds' Independent Registered Public Acccounting Firm

On August 28, 2006, Ernst & Young llp ("E&Y") resigned as the Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm of MuniHoldings Fund II, Inc. and MuniHoldings New Jersey Insured Fund, Inc. (each a "Fund" and collectively the "Funds").

E&Y's reports on the financial statements of the Funds for the past two fiscal years did not contain an adverse opinion or a disclaimer of opinion and were not qualified or modified as to uncertainty, audit scope or accounting principles.

In connection with its audits for the two most recent fiscal years and through August 28, 2006 (1) there were no disagreements with E&Y on any matter of accounting principle or practices, financial statement disclosure or auditing scope or procedure, whereby such disagreements, if not resolved to the satisfaction of E&Y, would have caused them to make reference to the subject matter of the disagreements in connection with their report on the financial statements for such years; and (2) there have been no reportable events (as defined in Item 304(a)(1)(v) of Regulation S-K).

The Audit Committee of each of the Funds' Board of Directors approved the engagement of Deloitte & Touche llp as the Funds' Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm for the fiscal years ended July 31, 2006.

ANNUAL REPORTS JULY 31, 2006

Officers and Directors

Position(s) Length of Held with Time

Name, Address & Age Funds Served Principal Occupation(s) During Past 5 Years

Interested Director

Por Fun Ove

Num

President	2005 to	President of the MLIM/FAM-advised funds	131
and	present	since 2005; President and Chief Investment	178
Director		Officer of MLIM and FAM since 2001; Co-Head	
		(Americas Region) thereof from 2000 to 2001	
		and Senior Vice President from 1999 to 2001;	
		President and Director of Princeton Services,	
		<pre>Inc. ("Princeton Services") since 2001;</pre>	
		President of Princeton Administrators, L.P.	
		("Princeton Administrators") since 2001; Chie	f
		Investment Officer of OppenheimerFunds, Inc.	in
		1999 and Executive Vice President thereof fro	
		1991 to 1999.	
	and	and present	and present since 2005; President and Chief Investment Officer of MLIM and FAM since 2001; Co-Head (Americas Region) thereof from 2000 to 2001 and Senior Vice President from 1999 to 2001; President and Director of Princeton Services, Inc. ("Princeton Services") since 2001; President of Princeton Administrators, L.P. ("Princeton Administrators") since 2001; Chie Investment Officer of OppenheimerFunds, Inc. 1999 and Executive Vice President thereof fro

^{*} Mr. Doll is a director, trustee or member of an advisory board of certain other investment companies for which MLIM or FAM acts as investment adviser. Mr. Doll is an "interested person," as defined in the Investment Company Act, of the Fund based on his positions with MLIM, FAM, Princeton Services and Princeton Administrators. Directors serve until their resignation, removal or death, or until December 31 of the year in which they turn 72. As Fund President, Mr. Doll serves at the pleasure of the Board of Directors.

ANNUAL REPORTS JULY 31, 2006

Officers and Directors (continued)

Name, Address & Age Independent Directors*	Position(s) Held with Funds	Length of Time Served	Principal Occupation(s) During Past 5 Years	Num Por Fun Ove Dir
Ronald W. Forbes** P.O. Box 9095 Princeton, NJ 08543-9095 Age: 65	Director	1998 to present	Professor Emeritus of Finance, School of Business, State University of New York at Albany since 2000 and Professor thereof from 1989 to 2000; International Consultant, Urban Institute, Washington, D.C. from 1995 to 1999	
Cynthia A. Montgomery P.O. Box 9095 Princeton, NJ 08543-9095 Age: 54	Director	1998 to present	Professor, Harvard Business School since 1989; Associate Professor, J.L. Kellogg Graduate School of Management, Northwestern University from 1985 to 1989; Associate Professor, Graduate School of Business Administration, University of Michigan from 1979 to 1985; Director, Harvard Business School Publishing since 2005; Director, McLean Hospital since 2005.	49 51
Jean Margo Reid P.O. Box 9095 Princeton,	Director	2004 to present	Self-employed consultant since 2001; Counsel of Alliance Capital Management (investment adviser) in 2000; General Counsel, Director a	49 51 nd

		Secretary of Sanford C. Bernstein & Co., Inc. (investment adviser/broker-dealer) from 1997 to 2000; Secretary, Sanford C. Bernstein Fund, Inc. from 1994 to 2000; Director and Secretary SCB, Inc. since 1998; Director and Secretary CSCB Partners, Inc. since 2000; and Director of Covenant House from 2001 to 2004.	of of
Director	2000 to present	President, Middle East Institute, from 1995 to 2001; Foreign Service Officer, United States Foreign Service, from 1961 to 1995 and Career Minister from 1989 to 1995; Deputy Inspector General, U.S. Department of State, from 1991 to 1994; U.S. Ambassador to the Hashemite Kingdom of Jordan from 1987 to 1990.	49 51
Director	1998 to present	Professor of Finance from 1984 to 1995, Dean from 1984 to 1993 and since 1995 Dean Emeritus of New York University's Leonard N. Stern School of Business Administration.	49 51
Director	2000 to present	Self-employed financial consultant since 1994; Executive Vice President of the Prudential Insurance Company of America from 1988 to 1994; Former Director of Prudential Reinsurance Company and former Trustee of the Prudential Foundation.	49 51
	_	moval or death, or until December 31	
d of Directo	rs and the $\it P$	Audit Committee.	
		JULY 31, 2006	
ı	Director Director	Director 1998 to present Director 2000 to present l their resignation, rer they turn 72.	(investment adviser/broker-dealer) from 1997 to 2000; Secretary, Sanford C. Bernstein Fund, Inc. from 1994 to 2000; Director and Secretary SCB, Inc. since 1998; Director and Secretary SCB Partners, Inc. since 2000; and Director of Covenant House from 2001 to 2004. Director 2000 to President, Middle East Institute, from 1995 present to 2001; Foreign Service Officer, United States Foreign Service, from 1961 to 1995 and Career Minister from 1989 to 1995; Deputy Inspector General, U.S. Department of State, from 1991 to 1994; U.S. Ambassador to the Hashemite Kingdom of Jordan from 1987 to 1990. Director 1998 to Professor of Finance from 1984 to 1995, Dean present from 1984 to 1993 and since 1995 Dean Emeritus of New York University's Leonard N. Stern School of Business Administration. Director 2000 to Self-employed financial consultant since present 1994; Executive Vice President of the Prudential Insurance Company of America from 1988 to 1994; Former Director of Prudential Reinsurance Company and former Trustee of the Prudential Foundation. 1 their resignation, removal or death, or until December 31 they turn 72. d of Directors and the Audit Committee.

Officers and Directors (concluded)

Officers and Directors (c	oncruded)		
Name, Address & Age	Position(s) Held with Funds	Length o Time Served	f Principal Occupation(s) During Past 5 Years
Fund Officers*			
Donald C. Burke P.O. Box 9011 Princeton, NJ 08543-9011	Vice President and Treasurer	present and	Managing Director of MLIM and FAM since 2006 and First Vice President of MLIM and FAM from 1997 to and Treasurer of Princeton Services since 1999 and President of FAM Distributors, Inc. ("FAMD") since

S 9			II INC /NJ/ - FOITH N-CSN
Age: 46		present	Vice President of MLIM and FAM from 1990 to 1997; from 1990 to 2001; Vice President, Treasurer and 2004.
Kenneth A. Jacob P.O. Box 9011 Princeton, NJ 08543-9011 Age: 55	Senior Vice President		Managing Director (Tax-Exempt Fund Management) of of MLIM from 1997 to 2000.
John M. Loffredo P.O. Box 9011 Princeton, NJ 08543-9011 Age: 42	Senior Vice President		Managing Director (Tax-Exempt Fund Management) of of MLIM from 1997 to 2000.
Robert A. DiMella P.O. Box 9011 Princeton, NJ 08543-9011 Age: 39	Vice President		Managing Director (Tax-Exempt Fund Management) of of MLIM from 2002 to 2004; Vice President of MLIM
Theodore R. Jaeckel Jr. P.O. Box 9011 Princeton, NJ 08543-9011 Age: 46	Vice President		Managing Director (Tax-Exempt Fund Management) of of MLIM from 1997 to 2005; Vice President of MLIM
Jeffrey Hiller P.O. Box 9011 Princeton, NJ 08543-9011 Age: 54	Chief Compliance Officer	2004 to present	Chief Compliance Officer of the MLIM/FAM-advised and Chief Compliance Officer of MLIM (Americas Re Compliance Officer of the IQ Funds since 2004; Gl at Morgan Stanley Investment Management from 2002 and Global Director of Compliance at Citigroup As 2002; Chief Compliance Officer at Soros Fund Mana Compliance Officer at Prudential Financial from 1 in the Securities and Exchange Commission's Divis Washington, D.C. from 1990 to 1995.
Alice A. Pellegrino P.O. Box 9011 Princeton,	Secretary	2004 to present	Director (Legal Advisory) of MLIM since 2002; Vic to 2002; Attorney associated with MLIM since 1997 and Princeton Services since 2004.

Custodian
The Bank of New York
100 Church Street
New York, NY 10286

Transfer Agents

NJ 08543-9011 Age: 46

 $^{^{\}star}$ Officers of the Funds serve at the pleasure of the Board of Directors.

Common Stock: The Bank of New York 101 Barclay Street - 11 East New York, NY 10286

Preferred Stock: The Bank of New York 101 Barclay Street - 7 West New York, NY 10286

Investment Objectives

NYSE Symbol MuniHoldings Fund II, Inc. seeks to provide shareholders with MUH current income exempt from federal income taxes by investing primarily in a portfolio of long-term, investment grade municipal obligations the interest on which, in the opinion of the bond counsel to the issuer, is exempt from federal income taxes.

NYSE Symbol MuniHoldings New Jersey Insured Fund, Inc. seeks to provide
MUJ shareholders with current income exempt from federal income tax
and New Jersey personal income taxes by investing in a portfolio
of long-term, investment grade municipal obligations the interest
on which, in the opinion of bond counsel to the issuer, is exempt
from federal income tax and New Jersey personal income taxes.

ANNUAL REPORTS JULY 31, 2006

Availability of Quarterly Schedule of Investments

The Funds file their complete schedules of portfolio holdings with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") for the first and third quarters of each fiscal year on Form N-Q. The Funds' Forms N-Q are available on the SEC's Web site at http://www.sec.gov. The Funds' Forms N-Q may also be reviewed and copied at the SEC's Public Reference Room in Washington, DC. Information on the operation of the Public Reference Room may be obtained by calling 1-800-SEC-0330.

Electronic Delivery

The Funds offer electronic delivery of communications to their shareholders. In order to receive this service, you must register your account and provide us with e-mail information. To sign up for this service, simply access this Web site at http://www.icsdelivery.com/live and follow the instructions. When you visit this site, you will obtain a personal identification number (PIN). You will need this PIN should you wish to update your e-mail address, choose to discontinue this service and/or make any other changes to the service. This service is not available for certain retirement accounts at this time.

ANNUAL REPORTS JULY 31, 2006

Item 2 - Code of Ethics - The registrant has adopted a code of ethics, as of the end of the period covered by this report, that applies to the registrant's principal executive officer, principal financial officer and principal accounting officer, or persons performing similar functions. A copy of the code of ethics is available without charge upon request by calling toll-free 1-800-MER-FUND (1-800-637-3863).

- Item 3 Audit Committee Financial Expert The registrant's board of
 directors has determined that (i) the registrant has the following
 audit committee financial experts serving on its audit committee and
 (ii) each audit committee financial expert is independent: (1)
 Ronald W. Forbes, (2) Richard R. West, and (3) Edward D. Zinbarg.
- Item 4 Principal Accountant Fees and Services

Note: The Fund changed auditors effective August, 28, 2006. Prior to that date, Ernst & Young LLP provided services as the Fund's independent registered public accountant.

- (a) Audit Fees Fiscal Year Ending July 31, 2006 \$26,500 Fiscal Year Ending July 31, 2005 \$32,000
- (b) Audit-Related Fees Fiscal Year Ending July 31, 2006 \$3,500 Fiscal Year Ending July 31, 2005 \$3,500

The nature of the services include assurance and related services reasonably related to the performance of the audit of financial statements not included in Audit Fees.

(c) Tax Fees - Fiscal Year Ending July 31, 2006 - \$6,000 Fiscal Year Ending July 31, 2005 - \$5,700

The nature of the services include tax compliance, tax advice and tax planning.

- (d) All Other Fees Fiscal Year Ending July 31, 2006 \$0 Fiscal Year Ending July 31, 2005 \$0
- (e) (1) The registrant's audit committee (the "Committee") has adopted policies and procedures with regard to the pre-approval of services. Audit, audit-related and tax compliance services provided to the registrant on an annual basis require specific pre-approval by the Committee. The Committee also must approve other non-audit services provided to the registrant and those non-audit services provided to the registrant's affiliated service providers that relate directly to the operations and the financial reporting of the registrant. Certain of these non-audit services that the Committee believes are a) consistent with the SEC's auditor independence rules and b) routine and recurring services that will not impair the independence of the independent accountants may be approved by the Committee without consideration on a specific case-by-case basis ("general pre-approval"). However, such services will only be deemed pre-approved provided that any individual project does not exceed \$5,000 attributable to the registrant or \$50,000 for all of the registrants the Committee oversees. Any proposed services exceeding the pre-approved cost levels will require specific preapproval by the Committee, as will any other services not subject to general pre-approval (e.g., unanticipated but permissible services).

The Committee is informed of each service approved subject to general pre-approval at the next regularly scheduled in-person board meeting.

- (e) (2) 0%
- (f) Not Applicable
- (g) Fiscal Year Ending July 31, 2006 \$2,186,750 Fiscal Year Ending July 31, 2005 - \$7,926,666
- (h) The registrant's audit committee has considered and determined that the provision of non-audit services that were rendered to the registrant's investment adviser and any entity controlling, controlled by, or under common control with the investment adviser that provides ongoing services to the registrant that were not preapproved pursuant to paragraph (c) (7) (ii) of Rule 2-01 of Regulation S-X is compatible with maintaining the principal accountant's independence.

Regulation S-X Rule 2-01(c)(7)(ii) - \$1,409,500,0%

> Ronald W. Forbes Cynthia A. Montgomery Jean Margo Reid Roscoe S. Suddarth Richard R. West Edward D. Zinbarg

- Item 6 Schedule of Investments Not Applicable

Proxy Voting Policies and Procedures

Each Fund's Board of Directors/Trustees has delegated to Merrill Lynch Investment Managers, L.P. and/or Fund Asset Management, L.P. (the "Investment Adviser") authority to vote all proxies relating to the Fund's portfolio securities. The Investment Adviser has adopted policies and procedures ("Proxy Voting Procedures") with respect to the voting of proxies related to the portfolio securities held in the account of one or more of its clients, including a Fund. Pursuant to these Proxy Voting Procedures, the Investment Adviser's primary objective when voting proxies is to make proxy voting decisions solely in the best interests of each Fund and its shareholders, and to act in a manner that the Investment Adviser believes is most likely to enhance the economic value of the securities held by the Fund. The Proxy Voting Procedures are designed to ensure that the Investment Adviser considers the interests of its clients, including the Funds, and not the interests of the Investment Adviser, when voting proxies and that real (or perceived) material conflicts that may arise between the Investment Adviser's interest and those of the Investment Adviser's clients are properly addressed and resolved.

In order to implement the Proxy Voting Procedures, the Investment

Adviser has formed a Proxy Voting Committee (the "Committee"). The Committee is comprised of the Investment Adviser's Chief Investment Officer (the "CIO"), one or more other senior investment professionals appointed by the CIO, portfolio managers and investment analysts appointed by the CIO and any other personnel the CIO deems appropriate. The Committee will also include two nonvoting representatives from the Investment Adviser's Legal department appointed by the Investment Adviser's General Counsel. The Committee's membership shall be limited to full-time employees of the Investment Adviser. No person with any investment banking, trading, retail brokerage or research responsibilities for the Investment Adviser's affiliates may serve as a member of the Committee or participate in its decision making (except to the extent such person is asked by the Committee to present information to the Committee, on the same basis as other interested knowledgeable parties not affiliated with the Investment Adviser might be asked to do so). The Committee determines how to vote the proxies of all clients, including a Fund, that have delegated proxy voting authority to the Investment Adviser and seeks to ensure that all votes are consistent with the best interests of those clients and are free from unwarranted and inappropriate influences. The Committee establishes general proxy voting policies for the Investment Adviser and is responsible for determining how those policies are applied to specific proxy votes, in light of each issuer's unique structure, management, strategic options and, in certain circumstances, probable economic and other anticipated consequences of alternate actions. In so doing, the Committee may determine to vote a particular proxy in a manner contrary to its generally stated policies. In addition, the Committee will be responsible for ensuring that all reporting and recordkeeping requirements related to proxy voting are fulfilled.

The Committee may determine that the subject matter of a recurring proxy issue is not suitable for general voting policies and requires a case-by-case determination. In such cases, the Committee may elect not to adopt a specific voting policy applicable to that issue. The Investment Adviser believes that certain proxy voting issues require investment analysis - such as approval of mergers and other significant corporate transactions - akin to investment decisions, and are, therefore, not suitable for general guidelines. The Committee may elect to adopt a common position for the Investment Adviser on certain proxy votes that are akin to investment decisions, or determine to permit the portfolio manager to make individual decisions on how best to maximize economic value for a Fund (similar to normal buy/sell investment decisions made by such portfolio managers). While it is expected that the Investment Adviser will generally seek to vote proxies over which the Investment Adviser exercises voting authority in a uniform manner for all the Investment Adviser's clients, the Committee, in conjunction with a Fund's portfolio manager, may determine that the Fund's specific circumstances require that its proxies be voted differently.

To assist the Investment Adviser in voting proxies, the Committee has retained Institutional Shareholder Services ("ISS"). ISS is an independent adviser that specializes in providing a variety of fiduciary-level proxy-related services to institutional investment managers, plan sponsors, custodians, consultants, and other institutional investors. The services provided to the Investment Adviser by ISS include in-depth research, voting recommendations (although the Investment Adviser is not obligated to follow such recommendations), vote execution, and recordkeeping. ISS will also

assist the Fund in fulfilling its reporting and recordkeeping obligations under the Investment Company Act.

The Investment Adviser's Proxy Voting Procedures also address special circumstances that can arise in connection with proxy voting. For instance, under the Proxy Voting Procedures, the Investment Adviser generally will not seek to vote proxies related to portfolio securities that are on loan, although it may do so under certain circumstances. In addition, the Investment Adviser will vote proxies related to securities of foreign issuers only on a best efforts basis and may elect not to vote at all in certain countries where the Committee determines that the costs associated with voting generally outweigh the benefits. The Committee may at any time override these general policies if it determines that such action is in the best interests of a Fund.

From time to time, the Investment Adviser may be required to vote proxies in respect of an issuer where an affiliate of the Investment Adviser (each, an "Affiliate"), or a money management or other client of the Investment Adviser (each, a "Client") is involved. The Proxy Voting Procedures and the Investment Adviser's adherence to those procedures are designed to address such conflicts of interest. The Committee intends to strictly adhere to the Proxy Voting Procedures in all proxy matters, including matters involving Affiliates and Clients. If, however, an issue representing a non-routine matter that is material to an Affiliate or a widely known Client is involved such that the Committee does not reasonably believe it is able to follow its guidelines (or if the particular proxy matter is not addressed by the guidelines) and vote impartially, the Committee may, in its discretion for the purposes of ensuring that an independent determination is reached, retain an independent fiduciary to advise the Committee on how to vote or to cast votes on behalf of the Investment Adviser's clients.

In the event that the Committee determines not to retain an independent fiduciary, or it does not follow the advice of such an independent fiduciary, the powers of the Committee shall pass to a subcommittee, appointed by the CIO (with advice from the Secretary of the Committee), consisting solely of Committee members selected by the CIO. The CIO shall appoint to the subcommittee, where appropriate, only persons whose job responsibilities do not include contact with the Client and whose job evaluations would not be affected by the Investment Adviser's relationship with the Client (or failure to retain such relationship). The subcommittee shall determine whether and how to vote all proxies on behalf of the Investment Adviser's clients or, if the proxy matter is, in their judgment, akin to an investment decision, to defer to the applicable portfolio managers, provided that, if the subcommittee determines to alter the Investment Adviser's normal voting guidelines or, on matters where the Investment Adviser's policy is case-by-case, does not follow the voting recommendation of any proxy voting service or other independent fiduciary that may be retained to provide research or advice to the Investment Adviser on that matter, no proxies relating to the Client may be voted unless the Secretary, or in the Secretary's absence, the Assistant Secretary of the Committee concurs that the subcommittee's determination is consistent with the Investment Adviser's fiduciary duties

In addition to the general principles outlined above, the Investment Adviser has adopted voting guidelines with respect to certain recurring proxy issues that are not expected to involve unusual circumstances. These policies are guidelines only, and the Investment Adviser may elect to vote differently from the recommendation set forth in a voting guideline if the Committee

determines that it is in a Fund's best interest to do so. In addition, the guidelines may be reviewed at any time upon the request of a Committee member and may be amended or deleted upon the vote of a majority of Committee members present at a Committee meeting at which there is a quorum.

The Investment Adviser has adopted specific voting guidelines with respect to the following proxy issues:

- * Proposals related to the composition of the Board of Directors of issuers other than investment companies. As a general matter, the Committee believes that a company's Board of Directors (rather than shareholders) is most likely to have access to important, nonpublic information regarding a company's business and prospects, and is therefore best-positioned to set corporate policy and oversee management. The Committee, therefore, believes that the foundation of good corporate governance is the election of qualified, independent corporate directors who are likely to diligently represent the interests of shareholders and oversee management of the corporation in a manner that will seek to maximize shareholder value over time. In individual cases, the Committee may look at a nominee's history of representing shareholder interests as a director of other companies or other factors, to the extent the Committee deems relevant.
- * Proposals related to the selection of an issuer's independent auditors. As a general matter, the Committee believes that corporate auditors have a responsibility to represent the interests of shareholders and provide an independent view on the propriety of financial reporting decisions of corporate management. While the Committee will generally defer to a corporation's choice of auditor, in individual cases, the Committee may look at an auditors' history of representing shareholder interests as auditor of other companies, to the extent the Committee deems relevant.
- * Proposals related to management compensation and employee benefits. As a general matter, the Committee favors disclosure of an issuer's compensation and benefit policies and opposes excessive compensation, but believes that compensation matters are normally best determined by an issuer's board of directors, rather than shareholders. Proposals to "micro-manage" an issuer's compensation practices or to set arbitrary restrictions on compensation or benefits will, therefore, generally not be supported.
- * Proposals related to requests, principally from management, for approval of amendments that would alter an issuer's capital structure. As a general matter, the Committee will support requests that enhance the rights of common shareholders and oppose requests that appear to be unreasonably dilutive.
- * Proposals related to requests for approval of amendments to an issuer's charter or by-laws. As a general matter, the Committee opposes poison pill provisions.
- * Routine proposals related to requests regarding the formalities of corporate meetings.
- * Proposals related to proxy issues associated solely with holdings of investment company shares. As with other types of companies, the Committee believes that a fund's Board of Directors (rather than its shareholders) is best-positioned to set fund policy and oversee management. However, the Committee opposes granting Boards of Directors authority over certain matters, such as changes to a fund's investment objective, that the Investment Company Act envisions will be approved directly by shareholders.
- * Proposals related to limiting corporate conduct in some manner that relates

to the shareholder's environmental or social concerns. The Committee generally believes that annual shareholder meetings are inappropriate forums for discussion of larger social issues, and opposes shareholder resolutions "micromanaging" corporate conduct or requesting release of information that would not help a shareholder evaluate an investment in the corporation as an economic matter. While the Committee is generally supportive of proposals to require corporate disclosure of matters that seem relevant and material to the economic interests of shareholders, the Committee is generally not supportive of proposals to require disclosure of corporate matters for other purposes.

(a) (1) Mr. Robert A. DiMella is primarily responsible for the day-to-day management of the registrant's portfolio ("Portfolio Manager"). Mr. DiMella has been a portfolio manager with MLIM since 1997, was a Vice President of MLIM from 1997 to 2001, was a Director (Tax-Exempt Fund Management) of MLIM from 2002 to 2004, has been a Managing Director of MLIM since 2004 and has 15 years of experience investing in Municipal Bonds as a portfolio manager on behalf of registered investment companies. He has been the portfolio manager and a Vice President of the Fund since 1998.

(a) (2) As of July 31, 2006:

(ii) Number of Other Accounts Managed and Assets by Account Type

(iii) Number of Other Ac Assets for Which Adviso Performance-Base

(i) Name of Portfolio Manager	Other Registered Investment Companies	Other Pooled Investment Vehicles	Other Accounts	Other Registered Investment Companies	Other Pooled Investment Vehicles
Robert A. DiMella	5	1	0	0	1
	\$ 3,012,641,695	\$ 23,221,741	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 23,221,741

(iv) Potential Material Conflicts of Interest

Real, potential or apparent conflicts of interest may arise when a portfolio manager has day-to-day portfolio management responsibilities with respect to more than one fund or account, including the following:

Certain investments may be appropriate for the Fund and also for other clients advised by the Investment. Adviser and its affiliates, including other client accounts managed by the Fund's portfolio management team. Investment decisions for the Fund and other clients are made with a view to achieving their respective investment objectives and after consideration of such factors as their current holdings, availability of cash for investment and the size of their investments generally. Frequently, a particular security may be bought or sold for only one client or in different amounts and at different times for more than one but less than all clients. Likewise, because clients of the Investment Adviser and its affiliates may have differing investment strategies, a particular security may be bought for one or more clients when one or more other clients are selling the security. The investment results for

the Fund may differ from the results achieved by other clients of the Investment Adviser and its affiliates and results among clients may differ. In addition, purchases or sales of the same security may be made for two or more clients on the same day. In such event, such transactions will be allocated among the clients in a manner believed by the Investment Adviser and its affiliates to be equitable to each. The Investment Adviser will not determine allocations based on whether it receives a performance based fee from the client. In some cases, the allocation procedure could have an adverse effect on the price or amount of the securities purchased or sold by the Fund. Purchase and sale orders for the Fund may be combined with those of other clients of the Investment Adviser and its affiliates in the interest of achieving the most favorable net results to the Fund.

To the extent that the Fund's portfolio management team has responsibilities for managing accounts in addition to the Fund, a portfolio manager will need to divide his time and attention among relevant accounts.

In some cases, a real, potential or apparent conflict may also arise where (i) the Investment Adviser may have an incentive, such as a performance based fee, in managing one account and not with respect to other accounts it manages or (ii) where a member of the Fund's portfolio management team owns an interest in one fund or account he or she manages and not another.

(a) (3) As of July 31, 2006:

Portfolio Manager Compensation

The Portfolio Manager Compensation Program of MLIM and its affiliates, including the Investment Adviser, is critical to MLIM's ability to attract and retain the most talented asset management professionals. This program ensures that compensation is aligned with maximizing investment returns and it provides a competitive pay opportunity for competitive performance.

Compensation Program

The elements of total compensation for MLIM and its affiliates portfolio managers are a fixed base salary, annual performance-based cash and stock compensation (cash and stock bonus) and other benefits. MLIM has balanced these components of pay to provide portfolio managers with a powerful incentive to achieve consistently superior investment performance. By design, portfolio manager compensation levels fluctuate--both up and down--with the relative investment performance of the portfolios that they manage.

Base Salary

Under the MLIM approach, like that of many asset management firms, base salaries represent a relatively small portion of a portfolio manager's total compensation. This approach serves to enhance the motivational value of the performance-based (and therefore variable) compensation elements of the compensation program.

Performance-Based Compensation

MLIM believes that the best interests of investors are served by recruiting and retaining exceptional asset management talent and managing their compensation within a consistent and disciplined framework that emphasizes pay for performance in the context of an intensely competitive market for talent. To that end, MLIM and its affiliates portfolio manager incentive compensation is based on a formulaic compensation program. MLIM's formulaic portfolio manager compensation program includes: investment performance relative to a subset of general closed-end, leveraged, municipal debt funds over 1-, 3- and 5-year performance periods and a measure of operational efficiency. Portfolio

managers are compensated based on the pre-tax performance of the products they manage. If a portfolio manager's tenure is less than 5 years, performance periods will reflect time in position. Portfolio managers are compensated based on products they manage. A discretionary element of portfolio manager compensation may include consideration of: financial results, expense control, profit margins, strategic planning and implementation, quality of client service, market share, corporate reputation, capital allocation, compliance and risk control, leadership, workforce diversity, supervision, technology and innovation. MLIM and its affiliates also consider the extent to which individuals exemplify and foster ML & Co.'s principles of client focus, respect for the individual, teamwork, responsible citizenship and integrity. All factors are considered collectively by MLIM management.

Cash Bonus

Performance-based compensation is distributed to portfolio managers in a combination of cash and stock. Typically, the cash bonus, when combined with base salary, represents more than 60% of total compensation for portfolio managers.

Stock Bonus

A portion of the dollar value of the total annual performance-based bonus is paid in restricted shares of ML & Co. stock. Paying a portion of annual bonuses in stock puts compensation earned by a portfolio manager for a given year "at risk" based on the company's ability to sustain and improve its performance over future periods. The ultimate value of stock bonuses is dependent on future ML & Co. stock price performance. As such, the stock bonus aligns each portfolio manager's financial interests with those of the ML & Co. shareholders and encourages a balance between short-term goals and long-term strategic objectives. Management strongly believes that providing a significant portion of competitive performance-based compensation in stock is in the best interests of investors and shareholders. This approach ensures that portfolio managers participate as shareholders in both the "downside risk" and "upside opportunity" of the company's performance. Portfolio managers therefore have a direct incentive to protect ML & Co.'s reputation for integrity.

Other Compensation Programs

Portfolio managers who meet relative investment performance and financial management objectives during a performance year are eligible to participate in a deferred cash program. Awards under this program are in the form of deferred cash that may be benchmarked to a menu of MLIM mutual funds (including their own fund) during a five-year vesting period. The deferred cash program aligns the interests of participating portfolio managers with the investment results of MLIM products and promotes continuity of successful portfolio management teams.

Other Benefits

Portfolio managers are also eligible to participate in broad-based plans offered generally to employees of ML & Co. and its affiliates, including broad-based retirement, 401(k), health, and other employee benefit plans.

- (a) (4) Beneficial Ownership of Securities. As of July 31, 2006, Mr. DiMella does not beneficially own any stock issued by the Fund.
- Item 10 Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders Not Applicable

Item 11 - Controls and Procedures

- 11(a) The registrant's certifying officers have reasonably designed such disclosure controls and procedures to ensure material information relating to the registrant is made known to us by others particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared. The registrant's certifying officers have determined that the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures are effective based on our evaluation of these controls and procedures as of a date within 90 days prior to the filing date of this report.
- 11(b) There were no changes in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 30a-3(d) under the Act (17 CFR 270.30a-3(d)) that occurred during the second fiscal half-year of the period covered by this report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Item 12 - Exhibits attached hereto

12(a)(1) - Code of Ethics - See Item 2

12(a)(2) - Certifications - Attached hereto

12(a)(3) - Not Applicable

12(b) - Certifications - Attached hereto

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

MuniHoldings Fund II, Inc.

By: /s/Robert C. Doll, Jr.

Robert C. Doll, Jr.,
Chief Executive Officer of
MuniHoldings Fund II, Inc.

Date: September 20, 2006

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

By: /s/Robert C. Doll, Jr.

Robert C. Doll, Jr.,
Chief Executive Officer of
MuniHoldings Fund II, Inc.

Date: September 20, 2006

By: /s/Donald C. Burke

Donald C. Burke,

Chief Financial Officer of MuniHoldings Fund II, Inc.

Date: September 20, 2006