EMC INSURANCE GROUP INC Form 10-Q August 09, 2006

to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FO	\mathbf{RM}	10	-0

X QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 (OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the quarterly period ended JUNE 30, 2006	
OR	
o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 C	OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the transition period fromto	
Commission File Number: <u>0-10956</u>	
EMC INSURANCE GROUP INC. (Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)	
Iowa	42-6234555
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)	(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)
717 Mulberry Street, Des Moines, Iowa (Address of principal executive office)	50309 (Zip Code)
(515) 280-2902 (Registrant s telephone number, including area code)	
	ports required to be filed by Sections 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act iod that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject

x Yes o No

Indicate by	check mark whether	the registrant is a	a large accelerated fil	er, an accelerated filer	, or a non-accelerated f	iler (as defined in	Rule 12b-2
of the Act).							

Large accelerated filer O	Accelerated filer X	Non-accelerated filer O
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a	shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-	2 of the Exchange Act).
		o Yes X No
Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each	of the issuer s classes of common stoc	k, as of the latest practicable date.
Class Common stock, \$1.00 par value	Outstanding at July 31, 13,728,219	2006
Total pages 50		

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		PAGE
PART I	FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
Item 1.	Financial Statements	3
Item 2.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	19
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	42
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	42
PART II	OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	43
Item 4.	Submissions of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	44
Item 6.	Exhibits	44
Signatures		45
Index to Exhi	bits	46

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

EMC INSURANCE GROUP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(Unaudited)

	June 30, 2006	December 31, 2005
ASSETS	2000	2003
Investments:		
Fixed maturities:		
Securities held-to-maturity, at amortized cost		
(fair value \$8,917,452 and \$18,287,704)	\$ 8,804,993	\$ 17,927,478
Securities available-for-sale, at fair value	+ -,,,,,,,	+,,,,
(amortized cost \$736,752,143 and \$740,845,145)	733,000,761	753,399,943
Fixed maturity securities on loan:	, ,	, ,
Securities held-to-maturity, at amortized cost		
(fair value \$10,903,406 and \$1,891,504)	10,901,088	1,866,928
Securities available-for-sale, at fair value	, ,	, ,
(amortized cost \$28,946,474 and \$41,922,225)	28,347,643	41,656,150
Equity securities available-for-sale, at fair value	, ,	, ,
(cost \$70,703,689 and \$66,115,755)	96,373,067	93,343,172
Other long-term investments, at cost	2,901,957	4,269,566
Short-term investments, at cost	51,551,412	37,345,456
Total investments	931,880,921	949,808,693
Balances resulting from related party transactions with		
Employers Mutual:		
Reinsurance receivables	40,705,138	46,372,087
Prepaid reinsurance premiums	4,685,637	4,846,084
Deferred policy acquisition costs	32,653,655	34,106,217
Defined benefit retirement plan, prepaid asset	4,469,184	5,633,370
Other assets	4,126,629	2,281,025
Indebtedness of related party	1,552,476	-
Cash	264,020	333,048
Accrued investment income	11,076,191	10,933,046
Accounts receivable (net of allowance for uncollectible		
accounts of \$0 and \$0)	656,555	211,595
Deferred income taxes	21,155,257	13,509,369
Goodwill, at cost less accumulated amortization		
of \$2,616,234 and \$2,616,234	941,586	941,586
Securities lending collateral	40,641,563	44,705,501
Total assets	\$ 1,094,808,812	\$ 1,113,681,621

See accompanying Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statemen	nts.	
3		

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(Unaudited)

	June 30, 2006	December 31, 2005
LIABILITIES		
Balances resulting from related party transactions with		
Employers Mutual:		
Losses and settlement expenses	\$ 540,318,572	\$ 544,051,061
Unearned premiums	154,206,368	160,693,288
Other policyholders' funds	5,803,510	5,359,116
Surplus notes payable	36,000,000	36,000,000
Indebtedness to related party	-	19,899,329
Employee retirement plans	14,547,105	13,681,388
Other liabilities	20,793,260	21,764,259
Income taxes payable	3,922,353	5,644,516
Securities lending obligation	40,641,563	44,705,501
Total liabilities	816,232,731	851,798,458
STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Common stock, \$1 par value, authorized 20,000,000		
shares; issued and outstanding, 13,727,542		
shares in 2006 and 13,642,705 shares in 2005	13,727,542	13,642,705
Additional paid-in capital	106,543,454	104,800,407
Accumulated other comprehensive income	13,642,005	25,470,039
Retained earnings	144,663,080	117,970,012
Total stockholders' equity	278,576,081	261,883,163
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 1,094,808,812	\$ 1,113,681,621

See accompanying Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

(Unaudited)

All balances presented below, with the exception of net investment income, realized investment gains and income tax expense (benefit), are the result of related party transactions with Employers Mutual.

	Three June 3	months ende	d		Six mor	nths ended		
	2006	·,	200	5	2006	,	2005	
REVENUES								
Premiums earned	\$ 98,2	17,921	\$ 10	04,202,209	\$ 193,7	10,119	\$ 205,4	96,279
Investment income, net	11,368	3,427	10,2	00,537	23,146,	873	19,132,	247
Realized investment gains	2,187,	894	833,	237	4,032,7	69	1,561,6	79
Other income	224,33	33	147,	574	332,893	3	243,670)
	111,99	08,575	115,	383,557	221,222	2,654	226,433	3,875
LOSSES AND EXPENSES								
Losses and settlement expenses	60,111	,798	73,5	43,676	108,529	9,827	136,386	5,684
Dividends to policyholders	1,739,	425	791,	213	2,731,1	43	2,342,0	72
Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs	22,494	,421	23,7	21,250	43,991,	550	46,207,	961
Other underwriting expenses	10,240	,	,	91,685	19,671,	195	19,091,	761
Interest expense	278,10	00	278,	100	556,200)	556,200)
Other expense	628,71	.6	508,	390	1,078,453		914,520	
	95,493	3,058	109,	034,314	176,558	3,368	205,499	9,198
Income before income tax expense	16,505	5,517	6,34	9,243	44,664,	286	20,934,	677
INCOME TAX EXPENSE (BENEFIT)								
Current	5,526,	842	1,80	7,444	14,862,	847	7,285,8	37
Deferred	(835,8	51)	(618	3,859)	(1,276,9)	946)	(2,014,414)	
	4,690,	991	1,18	8,585	13,585,	901	5,271,4	23
Net income	\$ 11,8	14,526	\$	5,160,658	\$ 31,0	78,385	\$ 15,6	63,254
Net income per common share								
-basic and diluted	\$	0.86	\$	0.38	\$	2.27	\$	1.15
Dividend per common share	\$	0.16	\$	0.15	\$	0.32	\$	0.30
Average number of common shares outstanding								
-basic and diluted	13,718	3,236	13,6	02,194	13,690,	586	13,593,	652

See accompanying Notes to Interim Consolic	lated Financial Statements.		
5			

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

(Unaudited)

	Three months ended June 30,	i	Six months ended June 30,	
	2006	2005	2006	2005
Net income	\$ 11,814,526	\$ 5,160,658	\$ 31,078,385	\$ 15,663,254
OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME Unrealized holding gains (losses) arising during the period, before deferred income tax expense (benefit) Deferred income tax expense (benefit)	(11,667,837) (4,083,742)	12,225,986 4,279,094	(14,164,206) (4,957,472)	5,710,808 1,998,783
	(7,584,095)	7,946,892	(9,206,734)	3,712,025
Reclassification adjustment for gains included in net income, before				
income tax expense	(2,187,894)	(833,237)	(4,032,769)	(1,561,679)
Income tax expense	765,763	291,633	1,411,469	546,588
	(1,422,131)	(541,604)	(2,621,300)	(1,015,091)
Other comprehensive income (loss)	(9,006,226)	7,405,288	(11,828,034)	2,696,934
Total comprehensive income	\$ 2,808,300	\$ 12,565,946	\$ 19,250,351	\$ 18,360,188

See accompanying Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(Unaudited)

	Six months ended June 30 2006	2005
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES		
Net income	\$ 31,078,385	\$ 15,663,254
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash		
(used in) provided by operating activities:		
Balances resulting from related party transactions		
with Employers Mutual:		
Losses and settlement expenses	(3,732,489)	22,750,975
Unearned premiums	(6,486,920)	(1,590,709)
Other policyholders' funds	444,394	(508,984)
Indebtedness to related party	(21,451,805)	(13,771,074)
Employee retirement plans	2,029,903	1,853,560
Reinsurance receivables	5,666,949	(2,899,773)
Prepaid reinsurance premiums	160,447	42,639
Commission payable	(5,101,480)	(6,726,327)
Interest payable	(556,200)	(556,200)
Prepaid assets	(1,841,532)	(1,354,089)
Deferred policy acquisition costs	1,452,562	324,645
Other, net	(317,392)	545,257
Accrued investment income	(143,145)	(853,778)
Accrued income tax:		
Current	(1,722,163)	872,859
Deferred	(1,276,946)	(2,014,414)
Realized investments gains	(4,032,769)	(1,561,679)
Accounts receivable	(444,960)	3,532
Amortization of premium/discount on securities	401,977	523,858
	(36,951,569)	(4,919,702)
Cash provided by the change in the property		
and casualty insurance subsidiaries' pool		
participation percentage	-	107,801,259
Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities	\$ (5,873,184)	\$ 118,544,811

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS, CONTINUED

(Unaudited)

	Six months ended June 30, 2006		,	2005	
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES					
Maturities of fixed maturity securities					
held-to-maturity	\$	75,320	\$	9,206,464	
Purchases of fixed maturity securities					
available-for-sale	(35,631	,726)	(358	8,351,306)	
Disposals of fixed maturity securities					
available-for-sale	57,672,	591	247	,787,328	
Purchases of equity securities					
available-for-sale	(23,428	,331)		(22,288,869)	
Disposals of equity securities					
available-for-sale	22,512,0	082		22,098,307	
Purchases of other long-term investments	(300,00	0)		(729,000)	
Disposals of other long-term investments	1,667,60	09		572,276	
Net purchases of short-term investments	(14,205,956)		(12,	885,371)	
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	8,361,589		(114	4,590,171)	
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES					
Balances resulting from related party transactions					
with Employers Mutual:					
Issuance of common stock through Employers					
Mutual's stock option plans	1,827,83	84	711	,825	
Dividends paid to Employers Mutual	(2,490,3)	352)	(2,2	12,203)	
Dividends paid to Employers Mutual					
(reimbursement for non-GAAP expense)	-		(136	5,025)	
Dividends paid to public stockholders	(1,894,9	965)	(1.8	67,367)	
Net cash used in financing activities	(2,557,4	,	, ,	(03,770)	
The bush used in initiations activities	(2,337,	.55)	(3,3	05,770)	
NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH	(69,028			,870	
Cash at the beginning of the year	333,048		61,0		
Cash at the end of the quarter	\$	264,020	\$	511,958	

See accompanying Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements.

EMC INSURANCE GROUP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO INTERIM CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)
1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION
The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements have been prepared on the basis of U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and notes required by GAAP for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring adjustments) considered necessary for a fair presentation of the interim financial statements have been included. The results of operations for the interim periods reported are not necessarily indicative of results to be expected for the year.
The consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2005 has been derived from the audited financial statements at that date, but does not include all of the information and notes required by GAAP for complete financial statements.

In reading these financial statements, reference should be made to the Company s 2005 Form 10-K or the 2005 Annual Report to Shareholders for more detailed footnote information.

Certain amounts previously reported in prior years consolidated financial statements have been reclassified to conform to current year

2. QUOTA SHARE AGREEMENT

presentation.

Effective January 1, 2006, the terms of the quota share agreement between Employers Mutual and the reinsurance subsidiary were revised. The majority of the changes were prompted by the significant amount of hurricane losses retained by Employers Mutual during the severe 2005 hurricane season; however, other changes were made to simplify and clarify the terms and conditions of the quota share agreement. The revised terms of the quota share agreement for 2006 are as follows: (1) the reinsurance subsidiary s retention, or cap, on losses assumed per event increased from \$1,500,000 to \$2,000,000; (2) the cost of the \$2,000,000 cap on losses assumed per event will be treated as a reduction to written premiums rather than commission expense; (3) the reinsurance subsidiary will no longer directly pay for the outside reinsurance protection that Employers Mutual purchases to protect itself from catastrophic losses on the assumed reinsurance business it retains in excess of the cap, and will instead pay a higher premium rate (previously accounted for as commission); and (4) the reinsurance subsidiary will assume all foreign currency exchange risk/benefit associated with contracts incepting on January 1, 2006 and thereafter that are subject to the quota share agreement. For 2006, the premium rate paid by the reinsurance subsidiary to Employers Mutual is 10.5 percent of written premiums. The corresponding rate for 2005 was approximately 8.5 percent (4.5 percent override commission rate plus approximately 4.0 percent for the cost of

the outside reinsurance protection).		
9		

NOTES TO INTERIM CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, CONTINUED

(Unaudited)

3. REINSURANCE

The effect of reinsurance on premiums written and earned, and losses and settlement expenses incurred, for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 is presented below.

	Three months ended Ju	ine 30,	Six months ended June	30,
	2006	2005	2006	2005
Premiums written				
Direct	\$ 44,395,380	\$ 45,533,224	\$ 87,852,063	\$ 90,717,045
Assumed from nonaffiliates	932,834	1,507,248	2,107,148	2,588,161
Assumed from affiliates	103,977,802	109,997,649	197,422,609	241,956,689
Ceded to nonaffiliates	(6,308,909)	(5,378,211)	(12,798,517)	(11,330,529)
Ceded to affiliates	(44,395,380)	(45,533,224)	(87,852,063)	(90,717,045)
Net premiums written	\$ 98,601,727	\$ 106,126,686	\$ 186,731,240	\$ 233,214,321
Premiums earned				
Direct	\$ 49,041,921	\$ 46,471,335	\$ 93,988,022	\$ 92,947,584
Assumed from nonaffiliates	1,086,769	1,492,071	2,304,177	2,280,501
Assumed from affiliates	103,662,749	108,197,734	204,364,908	213,570,331
Ceded to nonaffiliates	(6,531,597)	(5,487,596)	(12,958,966)	(10,354,553)
Ceded to affiliates	(49,041,921)	(46,471,335)	(93,988,022)	(92,947,584)
Net premiums earned	\$ 98,217,921	\$ 104,202,209	\$ 193,710,119	\$ 205,496,279
Losses and settlement expenses incurred				
Direct	\$ 23,348,843	\$ 42,503,944	\$ 40,400,300	\$ 68,177,685
Assumed from nonaffiliates	893,518	234,254	1,800,233	4,220,633
Assumed from affiliates	61,171,060	78,877,065	111,956,411	147,467,971
Ceded to nonaffiliates	(1,952,780)	(5,567,643)	(5,226,817)	(15,301,920)
Ceded to affiliates	(23,348,843)	(42,503,944)	(40,400,300)	(68,177,685)
Net losses and settlement				
expenses incurred	\$ 60,111,798	\$ 73,543,676	\$ 108,529,827	\$ 136,386,684

For 2006, premiums written and earned assumed from affiliates, and losses and settlement expenses incurred assumed from affiliates, reflect a reduction in Employers Mutual s participation in the Mutual Reinsurance Bureau (MRB) pool. The board of directors of the MRB pool approved the admission of Kentucky Farm Bureau Mutual and Country Mutual Insurance Company as new assuming companies to the pool effective January 1, 2006. This reduced Employers Mutual s participation in the pool from a one-third share to an approximate one-fifth share (Country Mutual is only assuming property exposures). The premiums written assumed from affiliates and net premiums written amounts for the six

months ended June 30, 2006 also include a negative \$3,440,024 portfolio adjustment related to this change in participation.

In 2005, the Company s aggregate participation interest in the pooling agreement increased from 23.5 percent to 30.0 percent. The premiums written assumed from affiliates and net premiums written amounts for the six months ended June 30, 2005 include a \$29,630,612 portfolio adjustment increase related to this change in pool participation.

EMC INSURANCE GROUP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO INTERIM CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, CONTINUED

(Unaudited)

4. STOCK BASED COMPENSATION

The Company has no stock based compensation plans of its own; however, Employers Mutual has several stock plans which utilize the common stock of the Company. Employers Mutual can provide the common stock required under its plans by: 1) using shares of common stock that it currently owns; 2) purchasing common stock on the open market; or 3) directly purchasing common stock from the Company at the current fair value. Employers Mutual has historically purchased common stock from the Company for use in its incentive stock option plans.

Employers Mutual maintains two separate stock option plans for the benefit of officers and key employees of Employers Mutual and its subsidiaries. A total of 1,000,000 shares have been reserved for issuance under the 1993 Employers Mutual Casualty Company Incentive Stock Option Plan (1993 Plan) and a total of 1,500,000 shares of the Company s common stock have been reserved for the 2003 Employers Mutual Casualty Company Incentive Stock Option Plan (2003 Plan).

There is a ten year time limit for granting options under the plans. Options can no longer be granted under the 1993 Plan. Options granted under the plans have a vesting (requisite service) period of two, three, four or five years with options becoming exercisable in equal annual cumulative increments. Option prices cannot be less than the fair value of the stock on the date of grant.

The Senior Executive Compensation and Stock Option Committee (the Committee) of Employers Mutual s Board of Directors (the Board) is the administrator of the plans. Options granted are initially determined by the Committee and subsequently approved by the Board. In 2004, the Company s Board of Directors established its own Compensation Committee (the Company Compensation Committee) and, commencing in 2005, the Company Compensation Committee considered and approved all stock options granted to the Company s executive officers.

Under the terms of the pooling and quota share agreements, stock option expense is allocated to the Company s insurance subsidiaries as determined on a statutory basis of accounting. The Company s insurance subsidiaries reimburse Employers Mutual for their share of the statutory-basis compensation expense (equal to the excess of the fair value of the stock over the option s exercise price) associated with stock option exercises.

In December 2004, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 123 (revised 2004) Share-Based Payment, which is a revision of SFAS No. 123 (as amended by SFAS 148) Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation and supersedes Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees. SFAS 123(R) requires all share-based payments to employees, including grants of employee stock options, to be recognized in the financial statements based on their fair values. Effective January 1, 2006, the Company adopted SFAS 123(R) using the modified prospective method, which requires compensation expense to be recorded for all options granted after the date of adoption as well as for existing options for which the requisite service has not been rendered as of the date of adoption. The modified-prospective method does not require restatement of prior periods to reflect the impact of

SFAS 123(R). There was no initial change to net income or total stockholders—equity upon the adoption of SFAS 123(R). As a result of adopting SFAS 123(R), the Company recognized compensation expense of \$42,362 and \$97,371 (gross and net of tax) for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2006.

Prior to January 1, 2006, under the provisions of APB 25, the Company did not recognize any compensation expense from the operation of Employers Mutual s stock option plans since the exercise price of the options was equal to the fair value of the stock on the date of grant. The statutory-basis compensation expense that was paid by the Company s subsidiaries to Employers Mutual (\$29,378 and \$136,025 for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2005) was reclassified as a dividend payment to Employers Mutual in these GAAP-basis financial statements.

NOTES TO INTERIM CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, CONTINUED

(Unaudited)

The following table illustrates the effect on net income and net income per share for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2005 if the Company had applied the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS 123 (as amended by SFAS 148) Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation to Employers Mutual s stock option plans:

	Three mor June 30, 2		Six months ended June 30, 2005	
Net income, as reported Deduct: Total stock-based employee compensation expense determined under the fair value method for all awards	\$	5,160,658	\$	15,663,254
vesting in the current calendar year	39,727		58,885	
Pro forma net income	\$	5,120,931	\$	15,604,369
Net income per share:				
Basic and diluted -				
As reported	\$	0.38	\$	1.15
Pro forma	\$	0.38	\$	1.15

During the first six months of 2006, 188,175 options were granted under the 2003 Plan to eligible participants at a price of \$24.60 and 124,897 options were exercised under the plans at prices ranging from \$9.25 to \$22.28. A summary of the activity under Employers Mutual s incentive stock option plans for the six months ended June 30, 2006 is as follows:

	Six months en	ded June 30, 2006		
	Options	Weighted- average exercise price	Weighted- average remaining contractual term (years)	Aggregate intrinsic value
Outstanding, beginning of year	733,999	\$ 16.50		
Granted	188,175	24.60		
Exercised	(124,897)	12.80		
Forfeited	-	-		
Expired	(1,800)	13.25		
Outstanding, June 30, 2006	795,477	19.00	7.34	\$ 7,411,826
Exercisable, Jume 30, 2006	307,877	\$ 15.25	5.18	\$ 4,023,643

EMC INSURANCE GROUP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO INTERIM CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, CONTINUED

(Unaudited)

The weighted average grant-date fair value of options granted during the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 amounted to \$3.93 and \$4.57, respectively. The fair value of each option grant is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes-Merton option-pricing model with the following assumptions used for the grants:

	2006	2005
Dividend yield	2.60%	3.10%
Expected volatility	18.5% - 23.5%	26.10%
Weighted-average volatility	22.60%	26.10%
Risk-free interest rate	4.45% - 4.72%	4.21%
Expected term (years)	0.25 - 6.20	6.60

The expected term of the options for 2006 was estimated using historical data adjusted to remove the effects of options that were exercised prior to the normal vesting period due to the option holder s retirement. The expected term of options granted to individuals eligible to retire prior to the completion of the normal vesting period has been adjusted to reflect the potential accelerated vesting period. This produced a weighted average term of 3.63 years.

The expected volatility of the price of the underlying shares for 2006 was computed by using the historical average high and low monthly prices of the Company s common stock for a period covering 6.25 years, which approximates the average life of the options and produced an expected volatility of 23.5 percent. The expected volatility of the options granted to individuals eligible to retire prior to the completion of the normal vesting period was computed by using the historical average high and low daily, weekly, or monthly prices for the period approximating the expected term of these options. This produced expected volatility ranging from 18.5 percent to 22.9 percent.

At June 30, 2006, the Company s portion of the total compensation cost related to non-vested awards not yet recognized under Employers Mutual s incentive stock option plans was \$529,114, with a 1.93 year weighted-average period over which the compensation expense is expected to be recognized.

The Company s portion of the total intrinsic value of options exercised under Employers Mutual s incentive stock option plans was \$178,589 and \$29,378 for the three months and \$490,889 and \$136,025 for the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively. As stated earlier, under the terms of the pooling and quota share agreements these amounts were paid to Employers Mutual and the Company received the full fair value for all shares issued under these plans.

Employers Mutual s incentive stock option plans do not result in tax deductions to the Company. Therefore, no cash flow effects have resulted from the application of the provisions of SFAS 123(R) on share-based payment arrangements. The income tax benefit that results from								
disqualifying dispositions is deemed immaterial.								
12								
13								

NOTES TO INTERIM CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, CONTINUED

(Unaudited)

5. SEGMENT INFORMATION

The Company s operations consist of a property and casualty insurance segment and a reinsurance segment. The property and casualty insurance segment writes both commercial and personal lines of insurance, with a focus on medium sized commercial accounts. The reinsurance segment provides reinsurance for other insurers and reinsurers. The segments are managed separately due to differences in the insurance products sold and the business environment in which they operate.

Summarized financial information for the Company s segments is as follows:

Three months ended June 30, 2006 Premiums earned	cas	operty and sualty surance 79,895,345	Rei	insurance 18,322,576	Parer comp		Con \$	solidated 98,217,921
Underwriting gain	2,6	534,354	997	7,325		-	3,63	1,679
Net investment income	8,4	128,243	2,8	75,891	64,29	93	11,3	68,427
Realized investment gains	1,8	352,727	335	5,167		-	2,18	7,894
Other income	20	7,499		16,834		-	224.	,333
Interest expense	19	3,125		84,975		_	278.	,100
Other expenses	46	6,678		(2,015)	164,0	053	628.	716
Income (loss) before income								
tax expense (benefit)	\$	12,463,020	\$	4,142,257	\$	(99,760)	\$	16,505,517

Three months ended	Property and casualty		Parent		
June 30, 2005	insurance	Reinsurance	company		Consolidated
Premiums earned	\$ 81,177,327	\$ 23,024,882	\$	-	\$ 104,202,209
Underwriting gain (loss)	(5,735,276)	1,689,661		_	(4,045,615)
Net investment income	7,488,089	2,665,174	47,274		10,200,537
Realized investment gains	811,547	21,690		-	833,237
Other income	147,574	-		-	147,574
Interest expense	193,125	84,975		-	278,100
Other expenses	247,520	-	260,870		508,390
Income (loss) before income					

tax expense (benefit) \$ 2,271,289 \$ 4,291,550 \$ (213,596) \$ 6,349,243

NOTES TO INTERIM CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, CONTINUED

(Unaudited)

Six months ended	Property and casualty		Parent	
June 30, 2006	insurance	Reinsurance	company	Consolidated
Premiums earned	\$ 157,638,116	\$ 36,072,003	\$ -	\$ 193,710,119
Underwriting gain	16,794,989	1,991,415	-	18,786,404
Net investment income	17,092,187	5,945,722	108,964	23,146,873
Realized investment gains	3,383,768	649,001	-	4,032,769
Other income	316,059	16,834	-	332,893
Interest expense	386,250	169,950	-	556,200
Other expenses	726,585	-	351,868	1,078,453
Income (loss) before income				
tax expense (benefit)	\$ 36,474,168	\$ 8,433,022	\$ (242,904)	\$ 44,664,286
	Ф. 027.204.003	A. 264 452 05	ф. 25 0 5 02 022	ф. 1.050.450.500
Assets	\$ 827,294,803	\$ 264,453,957	\$ 278,703,929	\$ 1,370,452,689
Eliminations	-	-	(272,795,791)	(272,795,791)
Reclassifications	(101,648)	(2,402,759)	(343,679)	(2,848,086)
Net assets	\$ 827,193,155	\$ 262,051,198	\$ 5,564,459	\$ 1,094,808,812
	Property and			
Six months ended	Property and casualty		Parent	
Six months ended June 30, 2005	Property and casualty insurance	Reinsurance		Consolidated
Six months ended June 30, 2005 Premiums earned	casualty	Reinsurance \$ 44,600,680	Parent company \$ -	Consolidated \$ 205,496,279
June 30, 2005 Premiums earned	casualty insurance \$ 160,895,599	\$ 44,600,680	company	\$ 205,496,279
June 30, 2005 Premiums earned Underwriting gain (loss)	casualty insurance \$ 160,895,599 (530,802)	\$ 44,600,680 1,998,603	company \$ -	\$ 205,496,279 1,467,801
June 30, 2005 Premiums earned Underwriting gain (loss) Net investment income	casualty insurance \$ 160,895,599 (530,802) 13,829,570	\$ 44,600,680 1,998,603 5,160,451	company \$ - - 142,226	\$ 205,496,279 1,467,801 19,132,247
June 30, 2005 Premiums earned Underwriting gain (loss) Net investment income Realized investment gains (losses)	casualty insurance \$ 160,895,599 (530,802) 13,829,570 1,678,661	\$ 44,600,680 1,998,603	company \$ - 142,226	\$ 205,496,279 1,467,801 19,132,247 1,561,679
June 30, 2005 Premiums earned Underwriting gain (loss) Net investment income Realized investment gains (losses) Other income	casualty insurance \$ 160,895,599 (530,802) 13,829,570 1,678,661 243,670	\$ 44,600,680 1,998,603 5,160,451 (116,982)	company \$ - - 142,226	\$ 205,496,279 1,467,801 19,132,247 1,561,679 243,670
June 30, 2005 Premiums earned Underwriting gain (loss) Net investment income Realized investment gains (losses) Other income Interest expense	casualty insurance \$ 160,895,599 (530,802) 13,829,570 1,678,661 243,670 386,250	\$ 44,600,680 1,998,603 5,160,451	company \$ - 142,226 -	\$ 205,496,279 1,467,801 19,132,247 1,561,679 243,670 556,200
June 30, 2005 Premiums earned Underwriting gain (loss) Net investment income Realized investment gains (losses) Other income Interest expense Other expenses	casualty insurance \$ 160,895,599 (530,802) 13,829,570 1,678,661 243,670	\$ 44,600,680 1,998,603 5,160,451 (116,982)	company \$ - 142,226	\$ 205,496,279 1,467,801 19,132,247 1,561,679 243,670
June 30, 2005 Premiums earned Underwriting gain (loss) Net investment income Realized investment gains (losses) Other income Interest expense Other expenses Income (loss) before income	casualty insurance \$ 160,895,599 (530,802) 13,829,570 1,678,661 243,670 386,250 418,849	\$ 44,600,680 1,998,603 5,160,451 (116,982) - 169,950	company \$ - 142,226 - - 495,671	\$ 205,496,279 1,467,801 19,132,247 1,561,679 243,670 556,200 914,520
June 30, 2005 Premiums earned Underwriting gain (loss) Net investment income Realized investment gains (losses) Other income Interest expense Other expenses	casualty insurance \$ 160,895,599 (530,802) 13,829,570 1,678,661 243,670 386,250	\$ 44,600,680 1,998,603 5,160,451 (116,982)	company \$ - 142,226 -	\$ 205,496,279 1,467,801 19,132,247 1,561,679 243,670 556,200
June 30, 2005 Premiums earned Underwriting gain (loss) Net investment income Realized investment gains (losses) Other income Interest expense Other expenses Income (loss) before income	casualty insurance \$ 160,895,599 (530,802) 13,829,570 1,678,661 243,670 386,250 418,849 \$ 14,416,000	\$ 44,600,680 1,998,603 5,160,451 (116,982) - 169,950 - \$ 6,872,122	company \$ - 142,226 - 495,671 \$ (353,445)	\$ 205,496,279 1,467,801 19,132,247 1,561,679 243,670 556,200 914,520 \$ 20,934,677
June 30, 2005 Premiums earned Underwriting gain (loss) Net investment income Realized investment gains (losses) Other income Interest expense Other expenses Income (loss) before income tax expense (benefit)	casualty insurance \$ 160,895,599 (530,802) 13,829,570 1,678,661 243,670 386,250 418,849	\$ 44,600,680 1,998,603 5,160,451 (116,982) - 169,950	company \$ - 142,226 - 495,671 \$ (353,445) \$ 243,582,599	\$ 205,496,279 1,467,801 19,132,247 1,561,679 243,670 556,200 914,520 \$ 20,934,677 \$ 1,308,791,271
June 30, 2005 Premiums earned Underwriting gain (loss) Net investment income Realized investment gains (losses) Other income Interest expense Other expenses Income (loss) before income tax expense (benefit) Assets	casualty insurance \$ 160,895,599 (530,802) 13,829,570 1,678,661 243,670 386,250 418,849 \$ 14,416,000	\$ 44,600,680 1,998,603 5,160,451 (116,982) - 169,950 - \$ 6,872,122	company \$ - 142,226 - 495,671 \$ (353,445)	\$ 205,496,279 1,467,801 19,132,247 1,561,679 243,670 556,200 914,520 \$ 20,934,677

NOTES TO INTERIM CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, CONTINUED

(Unaudited)

The following table displays the net premiums earned of the property and casualty insurance segment and the reinsurance segment for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, by line of insurance.

Three months ended June 30,			/
2006	2005	2006	2005
	. , ,	. , ,	\$ 36,054,833
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	, ,		29,669,873
	, ,	, ,	31,611,452
, ,	, ,	, ,	32,606,022
2,021,761	1,750,556	3,944,429	3,415,554
67,918,397	67,510,528	133,489,822	133,357,734
6,340,386	7,600,848	12,816,706	15,229,441
5,482,378	5,914,455	11,024,990	12,010,087
154,184	151,496	306,598	298,337
11,976,948	13,666,799	24,148,294	27,537,865
\$ 79,895,345	\$ 81,177,327	\$ 157,638,116	\$ 160,895,599
\$ 2,569,137	\$ 4,457,519	\$ 6,061,651	\$ 9,975,056
4,301,373	5,269,390	6,794,488	8,693,975
111,103	348,477	57,501	404,015
276,435	133,035	698,192	425,685
1,556,498	1,882,729	3,534,023	3,257,147
8,814,546	12,091,150	17,145,855	22,755,878
6,502,434	5,887,829	12,690,875	13,620,902
3,005,775	5,035,776	6,236,617	8,237,567
(179)	10,127	(1,344)	(13,667)
9,508,030	10,933,732	18,926,148	21,844,802
\$ 18,322,576	\$ 23,024,882	\$ 36,072,003	\$ 44,600,680
\$ 98,217,921	\$ 104,202,209	\$ 193,710,119	\$ 205,496,279
	\$ 17,741,165 15,137,083 16,127,402 16,890,986 2,021,761 67,918,397 6,340,386 5,482,378 154,184 11,976,948 \$ 79,895,345 \$ 2,569,137 4,301,373 111,103 276,435 1,556,498 8,814,546 6,502,434 3,005,775 (179) 9,508,030 \$ 18,322,576	\$ 17,741,165 \$ 18,185,219 \\ 15,137,083	2006 2005 2006 \$ 17,741,165 \$ 18,185,219 \$ 35,281,236 15,137,083 15,128,760 30,165,479 16,127,402 15,926,218 30,492,645 16,890,986 16,519,775 33,606,033 2,021,761 1,750,556 3,944,429 67,918,397 67,510,528 133,489,822 6,340,386 7,600,848 12,816,706 5,482,378 5,914,455 11,024,990 154,184 151,496 306,598 11,976,948 13,666,799 24,148,294 \$ 79,895,345 \$ 81,177,327 \$ 157,638,116 \$ 2,569,137 \$ 4,457,519 \$ 6,061,651 4,301,373 5,269,390 6,794,488 111,103 348,477 57,501 276,435 133,035 698,192 1,556,498 1,882,729 3,534,023 8,814,546 12,091,150 17,145,855 6,502,434 5,887,829 12,690,875 3,005,775 5,035,776 6,236,617 (179) 10,127 (1,344) 9,508,030 10,933,732

NOTES TO INTERIM CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, CONTINUED

(Unaudited)

6. INCOME TAXES

The actual income tax expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 differed from the expected tax expense for those periods (computed by applying the United States federal corporate tax rate of 35 percent to income before income tax expense) as follows:

Commented "over exted" to a	Three months ended June 30, 2006	2005	Six months ended June 30, 2006	2005
Computed "expected" tax expense	\$ 5,776,931	\$ 2,222,235	\$ 15,632,500	\$ 7,327,137
Increases (decreases) in tax resulting from: Tax-exempt interest income	(1,079,732)	(1,099,410)	(2.165,343)	(2,187,106)
Proration of tax-exempt interest and dividends received deduction	176.593	176.918	360.246	347.720
Other, net Income tax expense	(182,801) \$ 4,690,991	(111,158) \$ 1,188,585	(241,502) \$ 13,585,901	(216,328) \$ 5,271,423

7. EMPLOYEE RETIREMENT PLANS

The components of net periodic benefit cost for Employers Mutual s pension and postretirement benefit plans are as follows:

	Three months end June 30,	ed	Six months ended June 30,	
	2006	2005	2006	2005
Pension plans:				
Service cost	\$ 2,127,017	\$ 1,872,977	\$ 4,254,034	\$ 3,745,954
Interest cost	2,109,469	1,947,072	4,218,938	3,894,144
Expected return on plan assets	(2,503,819)	(2,220,757)	(5,007,638)	(4,441,514)
Amortization of net loss	294,765	204,905	589,530	409,810

Amortization of prior service costs	110,722	111,864	221,444	223,728
Net periodic pension benefit cost	\$ 2,138,154	\$ 1,916,061	\$ 4,276,308	\$ 3,832,122

NOTES TO INTERIM CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, CONTINUED

(Unaudited)

	Three months end June 30,	ed	Six months ended June 30,	
	2006	2005	2006	2005
Postretirement benefit plans:				
Service cost	\$ 1,236,397	\$ 1,039,981	\$ 2,472,794	\$ 2,079,962
Interest cost	1,244,894	1,018,762	2,489,788	2,037,524
Expected return on plan assets	(335,436)	(272,282)	(670,872)	(544,564)
Amortization of net loss	170,127	10,023	340,254	20,046
Net periodic postretirement				
benefit cost	\$ 2,315,982	\$ 1,796,484	\$ 4,631,964	\$ 3,592,968

Pension expense allocated to the Company amounted to \$657,909 and \$1,315,821 for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2006 compared to \$587,002 and \$1,174,004 for the same periods in 2005.

Postretirement benefit expense allocated to the Company amounted to \$664,007 and \$1,328,013 for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2006 compared to \$512,544 and \$1,025,088 for the same periods in 2005.

Employers Mutual plans to contribute approximately \$13,000,000 to the defined benefit retirement plan and \$4,777,000 to the postretirement benefit plans VEBA trusts in 2006. As of June 30, 2006, Employers Mutual has not made a contribution to the pension plan and has contributed \$4,200,000 to the postretirement benefit plans VEBA trusts.

8. CONTINGENT LIABILITIES

The Company and Employers Mutual and its other subsidiaries are parties to numerous lawsuits arising in the normal course of the insurance business. The Company believes that the resolution of these lawsuits will not have a material adverse effect on its financial condition or its net income. The companies involved have reserves which are believed adequate to cover any potential liabilities arising out of all such pending or threatened proceedings.

The participants of the pooling agreement have purchased annuities from life insurance companies, under which the claimant is payee, to fund
future payments that are fixed pursuant to specific claim settlement provisions. The Company s share of loss reserves eliminated by the purchase
of these annuities was \$861,438 at December 31, 2005. The Company has a contingent liability of \$861,438 should the issuers of these annuities
fail to perform under the terms of the annuities. The Company s share of the amount due from any one life insurance company does not equal or
exceed one percent of its subsidiaries policyholders surplus.

EMC INSURANCE GROUP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

(Unaudited)

COMPANY OVERVIEW

EMC Insurance Group Inc., a 56.7 percent owned subsidiary of Employers Mutual Casualty Company (Employers Mutual), is an insurance holding company with operations in property and casualty insurance and reinsurance. Property and casualty insurance is the most significant segment, representing 81.4 percent of consolidated premiums earned during the first six months of 2006. For purposes of this discussion, the term Company is used interchangeably to describe EMC Insurance Group Inc. (Parent Company only) and EMC Insurance Group Inc. and its subsidiaries. Employers Mutual and all of its subsidiaries (including the Company) and an affiliate are referred to as the EMC Insurance Companies.

The Company s four property and casualty insurance subsidiaries and two subsidiaries and an affiliate of Employers Mutual are parties to reinsurance pooling agreements with Employers Mutual (collectively the pooling agreement). Under the terms of the pooling agreement, each company cedes to Employers Mutual all of its insurance business, with the exception of any voluntary reinsurance business assumed from nonaffiliated insurance companies, and assumes from Employers Mutual an amount equal to its participation in the pool. All premiums, losses, settlement expenses and other underwriting and administrative expenses, excluding the voluntary reinsurance business assumed by Employers Mutual from nonaffiliated insurance companies, are prorated among the parties on the basis of participation in the pool. Employers Mutual negotiates reinsurance agreements that provide protection to the pool and each of its participants, including protection against losses arising from catastrophic events.

Operations of the pool give rise to inter-company balances with Employers Mutual, which are settled on a quarterly basis. The investment and income tax activities of the pool participants are not subject to the pooling agreement. The pooling agreement also provides that Employers Mutual will make up any shortfall or difference resulting from an error in its systems and/or computational processes that would otherwise result in the required restatement of the pool participants financial statements.

The purpose of the pooling agreement is to spread the risk of an exposure insured by any of the pool participants among all the companies. The pooling agreement produces a more uniform and stable underwriting result from year to year for all companies in the pool than might be experienced individually. In addition, each company benefits from the capacity of the entire pool, rather than being limited to policy exposures of a size commensurate with its own assets, and from the wide range of policy forms, lines of insurance written, rate filings and commission plans offered by each of the companies.

Effective January 1, 2005, the Company s aggregate participation in the pooling agreement increased from 23.5 percent to 30.0 percent. In connection with this change in the pooling agreement, the Company s liabilities increased \$115,042,000, invested assets increased \$107,801,000 and other assets increased \$722,000. The Company reimbursed Employers Mutual \$6,519,000 for expenses that were incurred to generate the

additional business assumed by the Company, but this expense was offset by an increase in deferred received \$275,000 in interest income from Employers Mutual as the actual cash settlement did not o	1 1 1
19	

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS, CONTINUED

(Unaudited)

The Company s reinsurance subsidiary is a party to a quota share reinsurance retrocessional agreement with Employers Mutual (the quota share agreement). Under the terms of the quota share agreement, the reinsurance subsidiary assumes a 100 percent quota share portion of Employers Mutual s assumed reinsurance business, exclusive of certain reinsurance contracts. This includes all premiums and related losses, settlement expenses, and other underwriting and administrative expenses of this business, subject to a maximum loss of \$2,000,000 per event (\$1,500,000 in 2005). The reinsurance subsidiary does not directly reinsure any of the insurance business written by Employers Mutual or the other pool participants; however, the business assumed from Employers Mutual includes reinsurance business from the Mutual Reinsurance Bureau (MRB) pool and this pool provides a small amount of reinsurance protection to the participants of the pooling agreement. As a result, the reinsurance subsidiary s assumed exposures include a small portion of the direct business produced by the participants in the pooling agreement, after ceded reinsurance protections purchased by the MRB pool are applied. In addition, the reinsurance subsidiary does not reinsure any involuntary facility or pool business that Employers Mutual assumes pursuant to state law. Operations of the quota share agreement give rise to inter-company balances with Employers Mutual, which are settled on a quarterly basis. The investment and income tax activities of the reinsurance subsidiary are not subject to the quota share agreement.

Effective January 1, 2006, the terms of the quota share agreement between Employers Mutual and the reinsurance subsidiary were revised. The majority of the changes were prompted by the significant amount of hurricane losses retained by Employers Mutual during the severe 2005 hurricane season; however, other changes were made to simplify and clarify the terms and conditions of the quota share agreement. The revised terms of the quota share agreement for 2006 are as follows: (1) the reinsurance subsidiary s maximum retention, or cap, on losses assumed per event increased from \$1,500,000 to \$2,000,000; (2) the cost of the \$2,000,000 cap on losses assumed per event will be treated as a reduction to written premiums, rather than commission expense; (3) the reinsurance subsidiary will no longer directly pay for the outside reinsurance protection that Employers Mutual purchases to protect itself from catastrophic losses on the assumed reinsurance business it retains in excess of the cap, and will instead pay a higher premium rate (previously accounted for as commission); and (4) the reinsurance subsidiary will assume all foreign currency exchange risk/benefit associated with contracts incepting on January 1, 2006 and thereafter that are subject to the quota share agreement. For 2006, the premium rate paid by the reinsurance subsidiary to Employers Mutual is 10.5 percent of written premiums. The corresponding rate for 2005 was approximately 8.5 percent (4.5 percent override commission rate plus approximately 4.0 percent for the cost of the outside reinsurance protection).

In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring adjustments) considered necessary for a fair presentation of the interim financial statements have been included. The results of operations for the interim periods reported are not necessarily indicative of results to be expected for the year.

INDUSTRY OVERVIEW

An insurance company s underwriting results reflect the profitability of its insurance operations, excluding investment income. Underwriting results are calculated by subtracting losses and expenses incurred from premiums earned. An underwriting profit indicates that a sufficient amount of premium income was received to cover the risks insured. An underwriting loss indicates that premium income was not adequate. The

combined ratio is a measure utilized by insurance companies to gauge underwriting profitability and is calculated by dividing losses and expenses incurred by premiums earned. A number less than 100 generally indicates an underwriting gain; a number greater than 100 generally indicates an underwriting loss.

Insurance companies collect cash in the form of insurance premiums and pay out cash in the form of loss and settlement expense payments. Additional cash outflows occur through the payment of acquisition and underwriting costs such as commissions, premium taxes, salaries and general overhead. During the loss settlement period, which varies by line of business and by the circumstances surrounding each claim and may cover several years, insurance companies invest the cash premiums and earn interest and dividend income. This investment income supplements underwriting results and contributes to net earnings.

EMC INSURANCE GROUP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS, CONTINUED

(Unaudited)

Additional information regarding issues affecting the insurance industry is presented in the Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations section of the Company s 2005

Form 10-K.

MANAGEMENT ISSUES AND PERSPECTIVES

Management was focused on three primary issues of importance to the Company during the first six months of 2006. These issues include balancing profitability and production as the insurance marketplace becomes increasingly competitive, meeting the challenges posed by a significant increase in hurricane frequency and severity, and replacing the reinsurance business lost through Employers Mutual s reduced participation in the MRB pool and the restructuring of a Lloyd s of London marine syndicate. These issues are discussed further in the following paragraphs. A discussion of other issues being addressed by management is presented in the Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations section of the Company s 2005

Form 10-K.

The Company s net written premiums increased significantly in 2005 due to the property and casualty insurance subsidiaries increased participation in the pooling agreement; however, net written premiums for the EMC Insurance Companies pool declined 1.1 percent in 2005 and 2.4 percent in the first six months of 2006. The Company has experienced a small decline in direct premiums written through its branch offices as a result of increased competition in the marketplace, and an increase in the cost of catastrophe reinsurance coverage. The decline in direct premiums written reflects a moderate reduction in implemented premium rates and a reduction in policy count, most notably in personal lines. Policy retention has remained at high levels over the last several years, but policy count has declined as a result of increased competition for good business and Company initiatives to exit unprofitable business. The Company has several initiatives underway (including improved product management initiatives and technology improvements that will make it easier for agents to do business with the Company) that should begin to positively affect written premiums through the remainder of 2006. In addition, during the first six months of 2006 executive management met individually with each of the sixteen branch managers, held over forty agency sales meetings, met collectively with all field marketing representatives and conducted sales effectiveness training with over two hundred branch underwriting and marketing employees - all for the purpose of discussing the Company s desire and willingness to write new business. Signs of progress toward this goal are beginning to appear in certain targeted areas and lines of business with new business production increasing moderately in those locations.

To properly address the marketing challenges facing the Company, management has determined that a more formalized process for commercial lines product development is needed. Increased competition in the insurance marketplace has made it increasingly difficult to provide the Company s 16 branch offices with an effective and efficient means of product development that can respond quickly to changing market conditions. Management has also determined that the sales effectiveness skills of the branch marketing and underwriting personnel must

improve in order for the branch offices to take greater advantage of new product opportunities. To achieve these goals, management has hired an outside consulting firm to facilitate the implementation of project Fusion . Project Fusion is a three phase project designed to improve both product development and sales effectiveness, and establish a metrics measurement process to analyze the potential of new products and gauge the success of product rollouts. The implementation of Project Fusion is expected to be completed during the third quarter of 2006.

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS, CONTINUED

(Unaudited)

There was speculation in late 2005 that the severe 2005 hurricane season would spark sizable across-the-board rate increases for all types of reinsurance coverage during the January 2006 renewal period, and that these rate increases might halt, or at least slow, the momentum of declining premium rates in the direct insurance market. Reinsurance pricing has increased, especially for business with coastal exposures, and continues to climb as increased demand for catastrophe coverage puts pressure on available reinsurance capital. However, this increased reinsurance pricing has not resulted in any noticeable firming of prices in the direct insurance market. Reinsurance rates are expected to continue to increase during 2006, but these increases are not expected to influence the direct market. As a result, rate competition is expected to continue in the direct market during 2006 and may intensify somewhat in the Midwestern states, where the Company does most of its business, as insurance companies attempt to reduce their coastal exposures while maintaining premium growth.

Hurricanes Katrina, Rita and Wilma produced a record amount of losses for the insurance and reinsurance industries in 2005. The Company had exposure to these hurricanes in both the property and casualty insurance segment and the reinsurance segment; however, net losses associated with these events were mitigated by a properly structured catastrophe reinsurance program protecting the pool participants, and the reinsurance subsidiary s cap on losses assumed per event under the quota share agreement with Employers Mutual. As previously noted, Employers Mutual retained a significant amount of hurricane losses under the quota share agreement in 2005, which prompted changes in both the terms and pricing of the agreement for 2006. Similarly, the catastrophe reinsurance program protecting the pool participants contains some modifications for 2006. While the pool participants were able to renew the first layer of the catastrophe reinsurance program (95 percent of occurrence losses in excess of \$10,000,000 up to \$20,000,000), coverage was reduced for this layer through the addition of an annual aggregate deductible (95 percent of \$10,000,000). In addition, the top limit of the program was increased from \$100,000,000 to \$110,000,000 effective January 1, 2006.

As a result of the record amount of hurricane losses generated by Hurricanes Katrina and Rita in 2005, the catastrophe modeling tools used by insurance and reinsurance companies to evaluate their reinsurance needs are being revised. These revisions are expected to result in a significant increase in the amount of insured exposures contained in hurricane prone areas. In anticipation of the release of these revised models, and considering the fact that the pool participants have a concentration of risks susceptible to hurricane damage in Rhode Island and that reinsurance pricing was still considered reasonable at the time, management decided to purchase an additional layer of catastrophe reinsurance coverage effective May 1, 2006. This increased the top limit of the catastrophe reinsurance program to \$150,000,000 for 2006. In total, the cost of the catastrophe reinsurance program increased approximately 58 percent in 2006 (additional cost of approximately \$1,063,000 for the Company). It should be noted that the new layer added to the program (covering losses of the pool from \$90,000,000 to \$125,000,000) contains an exclusion of coverage for losses occurring in the states of Florida and California, which was deemed acceptable since premium writings in those states account for only a small fraction of the pool s total direct insurance business.

Effective January 1, 2006, Employers Mutual s participation in the MRB pool was reduced through the addition of two new assuming companies to that pool. During 2005, the MRB pool consisted of three assuming companies who shared the reinsurance business equally. The increase in the number of assuming companies will have a negative impact on the reinsurance subsidiary s earned premiums in 2006 as the pool business will be split between more participants; however, the addition of the new companies will strengthen MRB s surplus base and should favorably impact future marketing efforts. For calendar year 2005, the reinsurance subsidiary s earned premiums from the MRB pool totaled approximately \$40 million. Based on current production estimates, it is estimated that the reinsurance subsidiary s 2006 earned premiums from the MRB pool will decline to approximately \$20 million. In addition, effective January 1, 2006, Employers Mutual is no longer participating in a Lloyd s of

London marine syndicate due to a restructuring of that program. The loss of this account will reduce the reinsurance subsidiary s earned premiums by approximately \$4.0 million in 2006 and an additional \$1.0 to \$2.0 million in the first quarter of 2007. Increased pricing and the addition of several new accounts during 2006 will partially offset the loss of this business, and Employers Mutual is attempting to replace the remainder of the lost business through increased participation in other programs.

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS, CONTINUED

(Unaudited)

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The accounting policies considered by management to be critically important in the preparation and understanding of the Company s financial statements and related disclosures are presented in the Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations section of the Company s 2005

Form 10-K.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Segment information and consolidated net income for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 are as follows:

	Three months ended June 30,	d	Six months ended June 30,	
(\$ in thousands)	2006	2005	2006	2005
Property and Casualty Insurance				
Premiums earned	\$ 79,895	\$ 81,177	\$ 157,638	\$ 160,895
Losses and settlement expenses	46,966	59,119	82,606	106,250
Acquisition and other expenses	30,296	27,794	58,238	55,177
Underwriting gain (loss)	\$ 2,633	\$ (5,736)	\$ 16,794	\$ (532)
Loss and settlement expense ratio	58.8%	72.9%	52.4%	66.0%
Acquisition expense ratio	37.9%	34.2%	36.9%	34.3%
Combined ratio	96.7%	107.1%	89.3%	100.3%
Losses and settlement expenses (1):				
Insured events of current year	\$ 61,484	\$ 56,629	\$ 101,420	\$ 110,353
(Decrease) increase in provision for insured	,	,		•
events of prior years	(14,518)	2,490	(18,814)	(4,103)
Total losses and settlement expenses	\$ 46,966	\$ 59,119	\$ 82,606	\$ 106,250
Catastrophe and storm losses	\$ 4,759	\$ 5,776	\$ 6,698	\$ 7,540

(1) The allocation of incurred losses and settlement expenses between events associated with the current accident year and events associated with prior accident years for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2006 reflect an adjustment in the factors utilized to allocate the direct incurred but not reported (IBNR) reserve by accident year. For a detailed analysis of this issue, see the discussion under Losses and settlement expenses IBNR reserve accident year allocation factors.

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS, CONTINUED

(Unaudited)

	Three months ended		Six months ended		
	June 30,		June 30,		
(\$ in thousands)	2006	2005	2006	2005	
Reinsurance					
Premiums earned	\$ 18,323	\$ 23,025	\$ 36,072	\$ 44,601	
Losses and settlement expenses	13,146	14,425	25,924	30,137	
Acquisition and other expenses	4,179	6,910	8,156	12,465	
Underwriting gain	\$ 998	\$ 1,690	\$ 1,992	\$ 1,999	
Loss and settlement expense ratio	71.7%	62.7%	71.9%	67.6%	
Acquisition expense ratio	22.9%	30.0%	22.6%	27.9%	
Combined ratio	94.6%	92.7%	94.5%	95.5%	
Losses and settlement expenses:					
Insured events of current year	\$ 14,969	\$ 14,865	\$ 28,486	\$ 29,870	
(Decrease) increase in provision for insured					
events of prior years	(1,823)	(440)	(2,562)	267	
Total losses and settlement expenses	\$ 13,146	\$ 14,425	\$ 25,924	\$ 30,137	
Catastrophe and storm losses	\$ 3	\$ 205	\$ 204	\$ 1,066	

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS, CONTINUED

(Unaudited)

	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,		
(\$ in thousands)	2006	2005	2006	2005	
Consolidated					
REVENUES					
Premiums earned	\$ 98,218	\$ 104,202	\$ 193,710	\$ 205,496	
Net investment income	11,369	10,200	23,147	19,132	
Realized investment gains	2,188	834	4,033	1,562	
Other income	224	148	333	244	
	111,999	115,384	221,223	226,434	
LOSSES AND EXPENSES					
Losses and settlement expenses	60,112	73,544	108,530	136,387	
Acquisition and other expenses	34,475	34,704	66,394	67,642	
Interest expense	278	278	556	556	
Other expense	629	509	1,079	915	
•	95,494	109,035	176,559	205,500	
Income before income tax expense	16,505	6,349	44,664	20,934	
Income tax expense	4,691	1,189	13,586	5,271	
Net income	\$ 11,814	\$ 5,160	\$ 31,078	\$ 15,663	
Net income per share	\$ 0.86	\$ 0.38	\$ 2.27	\$ 1.15	
Loss and settlement expense ratio	61.2%	70.6%	56.0%	66.4%	
Acquisition expense ratio	35.1%	33.3%	34.3%	32.9%	
Combined ratio	96.3%	103.9%	90.3%	99.3%	
Losses and settlement expenses (1):					
Insured events of current year	\$ 76,453	\$ 71,494	\$ 129,906	\$ 140,223	
(Decrease) increase in provision for insured					
events of prior years	(16,341)	2,050	(21,376)	(3,836)	
Total losses and settlement expenses	\$ 60,112	\$ 73,544	\$ 108,530	\$ 136,387	
Catastrophe and storm losses	\$ 4,762	\$ 5,981	\$ 6,902	\$ 8,606	

⁽¹⁾ The allocation of incurred losses and settlement expenses between events associated with the current accident year and events associated with prior accident years for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2006 reflect an adjustment in the factors utilized to allocate the property and casualty insurance segment s IBNR reserve by accident year. For a detailed analysis of this issue, see the discussion under Losses and settlement expenses IBNR reserve accident year allocation factors.

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS, CONTINUED

(Unaudited)

Net income increased significantly to \$11,814,000 (\$0.86 per share) and \$31,078,000 (\$2.27 per share) for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2006 from \$5,160,000 (\$0.38 per share) and \$15,663,000 (\$1.15 per share) for the same periods in 2005. These large increases are attributed to a significant improvement in underwriting results and, to a lesser extent, an increase in investment income, including realized investment gains. For the three months and six months ended June 30, 2006, the Company generated underwriting profits of \$3,631,000 and \$18,786,000, respectively, which include favorable development on prior years direct case loss reserves stemming from final settlements of claims in the property and casualty insurance segment of approximately \$7,680,000 and \$18,930,000, respectively. The reinsurance segment also reported favorable development on prior years reserves of \$1,823,000 and \$2,562,000 for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2006. In comparison, the Company had an underwriting loss of \$4,046,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2005 (with \$2,050,000 of adverse development on prior years reserves) and an underwriting gain of \$1,467,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2005 (with \$3,836,000 of favorable development on prior years reserves). The Company s underlying book of business in the property and casualty insurance segment continued to perform exceptionally well during the second quarter and premium rates are still considered adequate in most lines of business; however, the moderate rate reductions that have been implemented during the last two years are beginning to have an impact on profitability. The increase in investment income is primarily attributed to the fact that the \$107,801,000 in cash received from Employers Mutual in the first quarter of 2005 in connection with the change in pool participation has been fully invested.

Premiums Earned

Premiums earned decreased 5.7 percent to \$98,218,000 and \$193,710,000 for both the three months and six months ended June 30, 2006 from \$104,202,000 and \$205,496,000 for the same periods in 2005. These decreases are primarily attributed to the reinsurance segment and are associated with Employers Mutual s reduced participation in the MRB pool and the revised terms of the quota share agreement with Employers Mutual. The property and casualty insurance segment also experienced a slight decline in earned premiums during the first six months of 2006. On an overall basis, rate competition increased in the property and casualty insurance marketplace during the first half of 2006 and management expects market conditions to remain competitive for the remainder of the year, assuming no significant market altering catastrophic events. Consequently, the Company s overall rate level is expected to continue to decline moderately during the remainder of 2006.

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS, CONTINUED

(Unaudited)

Premiums earned for the property and casualty insurance segment decreased 1.6 percent and 2.0 percent to \$79,895,000 and \$157,638,000 for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2006 from \$81,177,000 and \$160,895,000 for the same periods in 2005. These decreases reflect a decline in premium rates, a reduction in policy count and an increase in the cost of the Company s outside reinsurance coverage. Increased rate competition during 2005 and the first half of 2006 has resulted in the implementation of moderate premium rate reductions, increased use of discretionary credits in commercial lines business and a decline in policy count in both commercial and personal lines of business. Due to the timing of policy renewals and the earning of premiums ratably over the terms of the underlying policies, a time delay exists for implemented rate changes (both increases and decreases) to have a noticeable impact on premiums earned. Premium rates are still considered adequate in most lines of business, but the impact of the recent rate reductions will increase as the year progresses. The Company is attempting to address the loss of policy count through various measures including programs geared towards small businesses and enhanced automation to make it easier and more efficient for agents to do business with the Company. There were signs of progress toward this objective during the first half of 2006 as new business policy counts increased, retention rates remained high, and the rate of decline in total policy count slowed. During the first six months of 2006, commercial lines new business premium increased approximately 20.9 percent and personal lines new business premium increased approximately 5.6 percent. It should be noted that commercial lines new business premium was relatively low in the first six months of 2005. As a result, the large increase reported for the first six months of 2006 is somewhat misleading and should be considered in context. Overall policy retention was up slightly to 86.5 percent in commercial lines, 83.1 percent for personal property and 83.9 percent for personal auto. In light of current rate levels and the quality of the Company s book of business, management is receptive to opportunities to write new business, but continues to stress profitability over production.

Premiums written for the property and casualty insurance segment decreased 17.6 percent to \$156,708,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2006 from \$190,207,000 for the same period in 2005. This large decrease reflects a \$29,631,000 portfolio adjustment that was recorded in the first quarter of 2005 in connection with the increased participation in the pooling agreement. Excluding this portfolio adjustment, written premiums declined 2.4 percent in the first six months of 2006.

Premiums earned for the reinsurance segment decreased 20.4 percent and 19.1 percent to \$18,323,000 and \$36,072,000 for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2006 from \$23,025,000 and \$44,601,000 for the same periods in 2005. These decreases are attributed to a decline in MRB premiums and the revised terms of the quota share agreement with Employers Mutual. The decrease in MRB premiums is primarily due to the addition of two new participants to the pool (an increase from three in 2005 to five in 2006), but also reflects a reduction in the amount of business produced by MRB. In total, MRB earned premiums declined by \$4,720,000 and \$7,256,000 for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2006 from the same periods in 2005. In conjunction with Employers Mutual s reduced participation in the MRB pool, the reinsurance subsidiary recorded a negative \$3,440,000 portfolio adjustment in its written premiums in the first quarter of 2006. Including this adjustment, MRB written premiums declined by \$4,848,000 and \$11,698,000 for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2006 from the same periods in 2005.

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS, CONTINUED

(Unaudited)

In accordance with the revised terms of the quota share agreement, Employers Mutual retained 10.5 percent of the assumed written premiums subject to cession to the reinsurance subsidiary during the first six months of 2006 as compensation for the \$2,000,000 cap on losses assumed per event (reduction in premiums written and earned of \$1,944,000 and \$3,522,000 for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2006). In addition, the reinsurance subsidiary is earned premiums reflect a reduction of \$66,000 and \$508,000 for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2006 associated with the runoff of the unearned premium remaining from the 2005 arrangement, whereby the reinsurance subsidiary directly paid for the outside reinsurance protection that Employers Mutual purchased to protect itself from catastrophic losses on the assumed reinsurance business it retained in excess of the cap. In total, the reinsurance subsidiary is earned premiums were reduced by \$2,011,000 and \$4,031,000 for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2006. For comparative purposes, the reinsurance subsidiary is cost for the \$1,500,000 cap on losses assumed per event totaled \$1,902,000 and \$3,774,000 for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2005 (reduction in premiums earned of \$922,000 and \$1,838,000 plus override commission expense of \$980,000 and \$1,936,000). In total, the revised terms of the quota share agreement reduced the reinsurance subsidiary is earned premiums by \$1,089,000 and \$2,193,000 and written premiums by \$374,000 and \$1,242,000 for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2006 from the same periods in 2005. As a percentage of gross earned premiums, the cost of the cap protection totaled 10.1 percent for the first six months of 2006 compared to 8.1 percent for the first six months of 2005.

Losses and settlement expenses

IBNR reserve accident year allocation factors

The allocation of incurred losses and settlement expenses between events associated with the current accident year and events associated with prior accident years for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2006 reflects an adjustment in the factors utilized to allocate the property and casualty insurance segment s direct IBNR reserve by accident year. Following is a more detailed discussion of this issue.

An IBNR reserve is established at the end of each quarter for every line of business. For financial reporting purposes, this IBNR reserve is allocated to the various loss accident years using actuarially determined factors. After analyzing the accident year allocation of the IBNR reserve for the last several years, management noted that at the beginning of a new calendar year an insufficient amount of the IBNR reserve appeared to be allocated to prior accident years. In other words, the IBNR reserve allocated to the various accident years at the end of recent calendar years appeared to be released too quickly during the subsequent calendar year. For calendar year 2005, this contributed to favorable development on prior years reserves in the first quarter, with adverse development on prior years reserves occurring in the second quarter.

To address this situation for 2006, management adjusted the IBNR reserve accident year allocation factors so that a larger portion of the March 31, 2006 IBNR reserve was retained in the prior accident years, with a correspondingly smaller amount allocated to the current accident year. A

similar, but smaller, adjustment was made in the IBNR reserve accident year allocation factors utilized at June 30, 2006.

It is important to note that the adjustments made to the IBNR reserve accident year allocation factors did not have any impact on the net income amounts reported for 2006. The only impact of this change in accident year allocation factors is that the reported amount of favorable development experienced on prior years reserves was \$10,752,000 less in the first quarter, and was \$5,392,000 greater in the second quarter, than what would have been reported had the factors not been adjusted. Conversely, the current accident year results were \$10,752,000 better in the first quarter, and \$5,392,000 worse in the second quarter, than would have been experienced had the factors not been adjusted, resulting in no affect on net income.

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS, CONTINUED

(Unaudited)

Following is a reconciliation of the development on prior years reserves for the property and casualty insurance segment from what would have been reported had the IBNR reserve accident year allocation factors not been adjusted to the amounts reported in the Company s financial results for the first and second quarters of 2006.

(\$ in thousands) Property and Casualty Insurance Segment (Favorable) adverse development experienced		nonths ended 31, 2006	June 30), 2006	Six mo ended June 3	onths 0, 2006
on prior years':						
Direct case loss reserves	\$	(11,250)	\$	(7,680)	\$	(18,930)
Direct IBNR reserves	(1,347)		(460)		(1,807)
Direct settlement expense reserves	(3,239)		(1,016))	(4,255)
Assumed and ceded reinsurance, net	788		30		818	
Amount of favorable development on prior years' reserves that would have been reported had the IBNR reserve accident year allocation factors not been adjusted	(15,048	3)	(9,126)	,	(24,17	4)
Adverse (favorable) development on prior years' reserves resulting from the adjustment of the IBNR reserve accident year allocation factors on: IBNR reserves Settlement expense reserves	9,305 1,447		(4,672) (720)		4,633 727	
Total	10,752		(5,392)		5,360	
Reported amount of favorable development experienced on prior years' reserves after the adjustment in the IBNR reserve accident year allocation factors	\$	(4,296)	\$	(14,518)	\$	(18,814)

As of June 30, 2006, there is \$4,633,000 of IBNR reserves and \$727,000 of settlement expense reserves above the actuarial indications remaining in the prior accident years due to the utilization of the adjusted IBNR accident year allocation factors. It is currently management s intention to eliminate this adjustment by September 30, 2006. If this adjustment is eliminated, it will generate \$5,360,000 of reported favorable development on prior years—reserves in the third quarter of 2006. There will be no impact on third quarter net income from the elimination of this adjustment as the current accident year results will increase by the same \$5,360,000.

EMC INSURANCE GROUP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS, CONTINUED

(Unaudited)

Losses and settlement expenses

Losses and settlement expenses decreased 18.3 percent and 20.4 percent to \$60,112,000 and \$108,530,000 for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2006 from \$73,544,000 and \$136,387,000 for the same periods in 2005. The loss and settlement expense ratio declined to 61.2 percent and 56.0 percent for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2006 from 70.6 percent and 66.4 percent for the same periods in 2005.

The loss and settlement expense ratio for the property and casualty insurance segment decreased to 58.8 percent and 52.4 percent for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2006 from 72.9 percent and 66.0 percent for the same periods in 2005. This improvement is attributed to \$7,680,000 and \$18,930,000 of favorable development experienced on direct case loss reserves stemming from final settlements of claims during the three months and six months ended June 30, 2006, as well as good loss experience in the underlying book of business. The favorable development on prior years—direct case loss reserves occurred primarily in the other liability and workers—compensation lines of business. Overall loss frequency continued to trend downward during the first six months of 2006, but this benefit was largely offset by an increase in claim severity.

The loss and settlement expense ratio for the reinsurance segment increased to 71.7 percent and 71.9 percent for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2006 from 62.7 percent and 67.6 percent for the same periods in 2005. These increases are primarily attributed to the revised terms of the quota share agreement with Employers Mutual whereby the full cost of the \$2,000,000 cap on losses assumed per event for 2006 is recorded as a reduction of premiums. It should be noted that much of the increase in the 2006 loss and settlement expense ratio is offset by a decline in the acquisition expense ratio due to the elimination of the override commission.

Acquisition and other expenses

Acquisition and other expenses decreased 0.7 percent and 1.8 percent to \$34,475,000 and \$66,394,000 for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2006 from \$34,704,000 and \$67,642,000 for the same periods in 2005. These decreases are primarily attributed to the reinsurance segment and reflect Employers Mutual s reduced participation in the MRB pool and the revised terms of the quota share agreement. The acquisition expense ratio increased to 35.1 percent and 34.3 percent for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2006 from 33.3 percent and 32.9 percent for the same periods in 2005, primarily as a result of higher salary and hurricane assessment costs, and the decline in premium income.

For the property and casualty insurance segment, the acquisition expense ratio increased to 37.9 percent and 36.9 percent for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2006 from 34.2 percent and 34.3 percent for the same periods in 2005. These increases are attributed to an increase in salary costs, assessments from the Mississippi Windstorm Underwriting Association related to Hurricane Katrina, and a decline in premium income. It should be noted that hurricane related assessments, including the Mississippi Windstorm Underwriting Association assessment, are recoverable from reinsurers and are included as a reduction of losses (reflected in the loss and settlement expense ratio).

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS, CONTINUED

(Unaudited)

The property and casualty insurance subsidiaries incurred \$6,519,000 of commission expense in the first quarter of 2005 in connection with the change in pool participation. This commission expense was used to reimburse Employers Mutual for expenses incurred to generate the additional insurance business that was transferred to the property and casualty insurance subsidiaries on January 1, 2005. However, due to the fact that acquisition expenses, which include commissions, are deferred and amortized to expense as the related premiums are earned, all of the \$6,519,000 of commission expense was capitalized as a deferred policy acquisition cost, and was amortized to expense as the unearned premiums became earned to properly match acquisition expenses and premium income.

For the reinsurance segment, the acquisition expense ratio decreased to 22.9 percent and 22.6 percent for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2006 from 30.0 percent and 27.9 percent for the same periods in 2005. These declines reflect Employers Mutual s reduced participation in the MRB pool and the revised terms of the quota share agreement. Also contributing to the decline in the acquisition expense ratio for the three months ended June 30, 2006 was a large decrease in contingent commission expense reported by the MRB pool.

The reinsurance subsidiary recognized \$1,343,000 of commission income in the first quarter of 2006 in connection with Employers Mutual s reduced participation in the MRB pool. This commission income reimbursed the reinsurance subsidiary for expenses previously incurred to generate the insurance business that was transferred to the new assuming members of the MRB pool on January 1, 2006. However, this commission income was partially offset by \$688,000 of deferred policy acquisition cost that was amortized to expense in the first quarter of 2006 as a result of Employers Mutual s reduced participation in the MRB pool.

The revised terms of the quota share agreement contributed to the reduction in the acquisition expense ratio for both the three months ended and the six months ended June 30, 2006. The previous terms of the quota share agreement provided for a 4.5 percent override commission payment to Employers Mutual as compensation for the cap on losses assumed per event, which generated \$979,000 and \$1,935,000 of commission expense for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2005. Effective January 1, 2006, the reinsurance subsidiary no longer pays this override commission, and instead pays a 10.5 percent premium charge. Had the revised terms been in place in 2005, the acquisition expense ratio would have been approximately 3.1 and 2.6 percentage points lower for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2005 after giving consideration to the changes in acquisition and other expenses, premiums earned, and implications on the calculation of the deferred policy acquisition cost asset.

Investment results

Net investment income increased 11.5 percent and 21.0 percent to \$11,369,000 and \$23,147,000 for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2006 from \$10,200,000 and \$19,132,000 for the same periods in 2005. These increases are primarily attributed to the fact that the \$107,801,000 in cash received from Employers Mutual in the first quarter of 2005 in connection with the change in pool participation has been

fully invested. In addition, the Company has benefited from higher rates of return on its fixed maturity securities.

The Company reported net realized investment gains of \$2,188,000 and \$4,033,000 for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2006 compared to \$834,000 and \$1,562,000 for the same periods in 2005. The majority of the realized investment gains of 2006 are from the Company s equity portfolio. The Company recognized a \$45,000 other-than-temporary impairment loss in the first quarter of 2006 on an investment in Ford Motor Company common stock. No other-than-temporary impairment losses were recognized during the first six months of 2005.

EMC INSURANCE GROUP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

<u>ITEM 2.</u>	MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS, CONTINUED
(Unaudited)	
Income tax	
30, 2006 from \$1,1 30, 2006 was 28.4	e increased 294.5 percent and 157.7 percent to \$4,691,000 and \$13,586,000 for the three months and six months ended June 89,000 and \$5,271,000 for the same periods in 2005. The effective tax rate for the three months and six months ended June percent and 30.4 percent compared to 18.7 percent and 25.2 percent for the same periods in 2005. The increase in the effects the large increase in pre-tax income earned during these periods relative to the amount of tax-exempt interest income

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Liquidity

Liquidity is a measure of a company s ability to generate sufficient cash flows to meet cash obligations as they come due. The Company had negative cash flows from operations of \$5,873,000 during the first six months of 2006 compared to of positive cash flows from operations of \$118,545,000 for the first six months of 2005. Included in cash flows from operations for the first six months of 2005 is \$107,801,000 received from Employers Mutual in connection with the change in pool participation. Excluding this amount, cash flows from operations for the first six months of 2005 amounted to \$10,744,000. The Company typically generates substantial positive cash flows from operations because cash from premium payments is generally received in advance of cash payments made to settle claims. These positive cash flows provide the foundation of the Company s asset/liability management program and are the primary drivers of the Company s liquidity. The negative cash flow from operations during the first six months of 2006 is attributed to an increase in income tax payments and a decrease in the cash flow from the reinsurance segment. When investing funds made available from operations, the Company invests in securities with maturities that approximate the anticipated payments of losses and settlement expenses of the underlying insurance policies. In addition, the Company maintains a portion of its investment portfolio in relatively short-term and highly liquid assets as a secondary source of liquidity should net cash flows from operating activities prove inadequate to fund current operating needs. As of June 30, 2006, the Company did not have any significant variations between the maturity dates of its investments and the expected payments of its loss and settlement expense reserves.

The Company is a holding company whose principal assets are its investments in its insurance subsidiaries. As a holding company, the Company is dependent upon cash dividends from its insurance company subsidiaries to meet its obligations and to pay cash dividends to its stockholders. State insurance regulations restrict the maximum amount of dividends insurance companies can pay without prior regulatory approval. The maximum amount of dividends that the insurance company subsidiaries can pay to the Company in 2006 without prior regulatory approval is approximately \$40,058,000. The Company received \$5,000,000 and \$3,086,000 of dividends from its insurance company subsidiaries and paid cash dividends to its stockholders totaling \$4,385,000 and \$4,080,000 in the first six months of 2006 and 2005, respectively.

The Company s insurance company subsidiaries must have adequate liquidity to ensure that their cash obligations are met; however, because of their participation in the pooling agreement and the quota share agreement, they do not have the daily liquidity concerns normally associated with an insurance or reinsurance company. This is due to the fact that under the terms of the pooling and quota share agreements, Employers Mutual receives all premiums and pays all losses and expenses associated with the insurance business produced by the pool participants and the assumed reinsurance business ceded to the Company s reinsurance subsidiary, and then settles the inter-company balances generated by these transactions with the participating companies on a quarterly basis.

EMC INSURANCE GROUP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS, CONTINUED

(Unaudited)

At the insurance company subsidiary level, the primary sources of cash are premium income, investment income and maturing investments. The principal outflows of cash are payments of claims, commissions, premium taxes, operating expenses, income taxes, dividends, interest and principal payments on debt, and investment purchases. Cash outflows can be variable because of uncertainties regarding settlement dates for unpaid losses and because of the potential for large losses, either individually or in the aggregate. Accordingly, the insurance company subsidiaries maintain investment and reinsurance programs generally intended to provide adequate funds to pay claims without forced sales of investments.

The Company maintains a portion of its investment portfolio in relatively short-term and highly liquid investments to ensure the availability of funds to pay claims and expenses. At June 30, 2006, approximately 50 percent of the Company s fixed maturity securities were in U.S. government or U.S. government agency issued securities. A variety of maturities are maintained in the Company s portfolio to assure adequate liquidity. The maturity structure of the fixed maturity securities is also established by the relative attractiveness of yields on short, intermediate and long-term securities. The Company does not invest in high-yield, non-investment grade debt securities. Any non-investment grade securities held by the Company are the result of rating downgrades that occurred subsequent to their purchase.

The Company considers itself to be a long-term investor and generally purchases fixed maturity securities with the intent to hold them to maturity. Despite this intent, the Company currently classifies purchases of fixed maturity securities as available-for-sale to provide flexibility in the management of the investment portfolio. The Company had an unrealized holding loss, net of deferred taxes, on fixed maturity securities available-for-sale totaling \$2,828,000 at June 30, 2006, compared to an unrealized holding gain, net of deferred taxes, of \$7,988,000 at December 31, 2005. The fluctuation in the market value of these investments is primarily due to changes in the interest rate environment during this time period. Since the Company does not actively trade in the bond market, such fluctuations in the fair value of these investments are not expected to have a material impact on the operations of the Company, as forced liquidations of investments are not anticipated. The Company closely monitors the bond market and makes appropriate adjustments in its portfolio as changing conditions warrant.

The majority of the Company s assets are invested in fixed maturity securities. These investments provide a substantial amount of investment income that supplements underwriting results and contributes to net earnings. As these investments mature, or are called, the proceeds will be reinvested at current rates, which may be higher or lower than those now being earned; therefore, more or less investment income may be available to contribute to net earnings depending on the interest rate level.

The Company participates in a securities lending program administered by Mellon Bank, N.A. whereby certain fixed maturity securities from the investment portfolio are loaned to other institutions for short periods of time. The Company receives a fee for each security loaned out under this program and requires initial collateral, primarily cash, equal to 102 percent of the market value of the loaned securities. The cash collateral that secures the Company s loaned securities is invested in a Delaware business trust that is managed by Mellon Bank. The earnings from this trust are used, in part, to pay the fee the Company receives for each security loaned under the program.

The Company held \$2,902,000 and \$4,270,000 of minority ownership interests in limited partnerships and limited liability companies at June 30,2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively. The Company does not hold any other unregistered securities.						
33						

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS, CONTINUED

(Unaudited)

The Company s cash balance was \$264,000 and \$333,000 at June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively.

Employers Mutual plans to contribute approximately \$13,000,000 to the pension plan and \$4,777,000 to the postretirement benefit plan in 2006. During the first six months of 2006, no contributions were made to the pension plan and \$4,200,000 was contributed to the postretirement benefit plans. The Company reimbursed Employers Mutual \$1,198,000 during the first six months of 2006 for its share of the postretirement benefit plans contribution.

Employers Mutual contributed \$15,000,000 to the pension plan and \$5,120,000 to the postretirement benefit plans in 2005. During the first six months of 2005, no contributions were made to the pension plan and \$3,770,000 was contributed to the postretirement benefit plans. The Company reimbursed Employers Mutual \$4,575,000 for its share of the pension contribution in 2005 (no reimbursement was paid in the first six months of 2005) and \$1,459,000 for its share of the postretirement benefit plans contribution in 2005 (including \$1,074,000 during the first six months of 2005). In 2005 the Company received reimbursement from Employers Mutual for a net \$722,000 of pension assets and \$2,518,000 of postretirement benefit liabilities transferred to it in connection with the change in pool participation.

Capital Resources

Capital resources consist of stockholders equity and debt, representing funds deployed or available to be deployed to support business operations. For the Company s insurance subsidiaries, capital resources are required to support premium writings. Regulatory guidelines suggest that the ratio of a property and casualty insurer s annual net premiums written to its statutory surplus should not exceed three to one. All of the Company s insurance subsidiaries were well under this guideline at June 30, 2006.

The Company s insurance subsidiaries are required to maintain certain minimum surplus on a statutory basis and are subject to regulations under which payment of dividends from statutory surplus is restricted and may require prior approval of their domiciliary insurance regulatory authorities. The Company s insurance subsidiaries are also subject to Risk Based Capital (RBC) requirements that may further impact their ability to pay dividends. RBC requirements attempt to measure minimum statutory capital needs based upon the risks in a company s mix of products and investment portfolio. At December 31, 2005, the Company s insurance subsidiaries had total adjusted statutory capital of \$259,026,000, which is well in excess of the minimum RBC requirement of \$53,648,000.

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS, CONTINUED

(Unaudited)

The Company had total cash and invested assets with a carrying value of \$932.1 million and \$950.1 million as of June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively. The following table summarizes the Company s cash and invested assets as of the dates indicated:

	June 30, 2006			
			Percent of	
	Amortized	Fair	Total at	Carrying
(\$ in thousands)	Cost	Value	Fair Value	Value
Fixed maturity securities held-to-maturity	\$ 19,706	\$ 19,821	2.1%	\$ 19,706
Fixed maturity securities available-for-sale	765,699	761,348	81.7%	761,348
Equity securities available-for-sale	70,704	96,373	10.4%	96,373
Cash	264	264	-	264
Short-term investments	51,551	51,551	5.5%	51,551
Other long-term investments	2,902	2,902	0.3%	2,902
	\$ 910,826	\$ 932,259	100.0%	\$ 932,144

	December 31, 2	2005		
			Percent of	
	Amortized Fair		Total at	Carrying
(\$ in thousands)	Cost	Value	Fair Value	Value
Fixed maturity securities held-to-maturity	\$ 19,794	\$ 20,179	2.1%	\$ 19,794
Fixed maturity securities available-for-sale	782,767	795,056	83.6%	795,056
Equity securities available-for-sale	66,116	93,343	9.8%	93,343
Cash	333	333	-	333
Short-term investments	37,346	37,346	4.0%	37,346
Other long-term investments	4,270	4,270	0.5%	4,270
	\$ 910,626	\$ 950,527	100.0%	\$ 950,142

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS, CONTINUED

(Unaudited)

The amortized cost and estimated fair value of fixed maturity and equity securities at June 30, 2006 were as follows:

	Held-to-Maturity			
		Gross	Gross	
	Amortized	Unrealized	Unrealized	Estimated
(\$ in thousands)	Cost	Gains	Losses	Fair Value
U.S. treasury securities and obligations of				
U.S. government corporations and agencies	\$ 18,998	\$ 73	\$ 1	\$ 19,070
Mortgage-backed securities	708	43	-	751
Total securities held-to-maturity	\$ 19,706	\$ 116	\$ 1	\$ 19,821

	Available-for-Sale				
		Gross	Gross		
	Amortized	Unrealized	Unrealized	Estimated	
(\$ in thousands)	Cost	Gains	Losses	Fair Value	
U.S. treasury securities and obligations of					
U.S. government corporations and agencies	\$ 375,923	\$ 6	\$ 11,264	\$ 364,665	
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	251,341	5,758	791	256,308	
Mortgage-backed securities	19,013	802	193	19,622	
Public utility securities	6,004	207	-	6,211	
Debt securities issued by foreign governments	6,975	68	109	6,934	
Corporate securities	106,443	2,541	1,376	107,608	
Total fixed maturity securities	765,699	9,382	13,733	761,348	
Common stocks	67,204	27,943	2,244	92,903	
Non-redeemable preferred stocks	3,500	-	30	3,470	
Total equity securities	70,704	27,943	2,274	96,373	
Total securities available-for-sale	\$ 836,403	\$ 37,325	\$ 16,007	\$ 857,721	

The Company s insurance and reinsurance subsidiaries have \$36 million of surplus notes issued to Employers Mutual. These surplus notes have an annual interest rate of 3.09 percent and do not have a maturity date. Payment of interest and repayment of principal can only be made out of the applicable subsidiary s statutory surplus and is subject to prior approval by the insurance commissioner of the respective state of domicile. The surplus notes are subordinate and junior in right of payment to all obligations or liabilities of the applicable insurance subsidiaries. The Company s subsidiaries incurred interest expense of \$556,000 in the first six months of both 2006 and 2005 on these surplus notes. At December

31, 2005, the Company	s subsidiaries had received approval for the payment of interest accrued on the surplus notes during 2005.
As of June 30, 2006, the	Company had no material commitments for capital expenditures.
36	

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS, CONTINUED

(Unaudited)

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

Employers Mutual receives all premiums and pays all losses and expenses associated with the assumed reinsurance business ceded to the reinsurance subsidiary and the insurance business produced by the pool participants, and then settles the inter-company balances generated by these transactions with the participating companies on a quarterly basis. When settling the inter-company balances, Employers Mutual provides the reinsurance subsidiary and the pool participants with full credit for the premiums written during the quarter and retains all receivable amounts. Any receivable amounts that are ultimately deemed to be uncollectible are charged-off by Employers Mutual and the expense is charged to the reinsurance subsidiary or allocated to the pool members on the basis of pool participation. As a result, the Company has an off-balance sheet arrangement with an unconsolidated entity that results in a credit-risk exposure that is not reflected in the Company s financial statements. Based on historical data, this credit-risk exposure is not considered to be material to the Company s results of operations or financial position.

Investment Impairments and Considerations

The Company recorded a \$45,000 other-than-temporary impairment loss in the first quarter of 2006 on an investment in Ford Motor Company common stock. This investment was subsequently disposed of during the second quarter of 2006 at a loss of \$17,000 before tax. No other-than-temporary impairment losses were recognized during the three months ended June 30, 2006 or during the first six months of 2005. At June 30, 2006, the Company had unrealized losses on held-to-maturity and available-for-sale securities as presented in the table below. The estimated fair value is based on quoted market prices, where available, or on values obtained from independent pricing services. None of these securities are considered to be in concentrations by either security type or industry. The Company uses several factors to determine whether the carrying value of an individual security has been other-than-temporarily impaired. Such factors include, but are not limited to, the security s value and performance in the context of the overall markets, length of time and extent the security s fair value has been below carrying value, key corporate events and collateralization of fixed maturity securities. Based on these factors, and the Company s ability and intent to hold the fixed maturity securities until maturity, it was determined that the carrying value of these securities was not other-than-temporarily impaired at June 30, 2006. Risks and uncertainties inherent in the methodology utilized in this evaluation process include interest rate risk, equity price risk and the overall performance of the economy, all of which have the potential to adversely affect the value of the Company s investments. Should a determination be made at some point in the future that these unrealized losses are other-than-temporary, the Company s earnings would be reduced by approximately \$10,405,000, net of tax; however, the Company s financial position would not be affected due to the fact that unrealized losses on available-for-sale securities are reflected in the Company s financial statements as a component of stockholders equity, net of deferred taxes.

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS, CONTINUED

(Unaudited)

Following is a schedule of the length of time securities have continuously been in an unrealized loss position as of June 30, 2006.

			Unrealized	
<u>Description of securities</u>	Fair value		losses	
(\$ in thousands)				
Securities held-to-maturity:				
Fixed maturity securities:				
Less than six months	\$	1,951	\$	1
Six to twelve months	-		-	
Twelve months or longer	-		-	
Total temporarily impaired				
securities held-to-maturity	\$	1,951	\$	1
Securities available-for-sale:				
Fixed maturity securities:				
Less than six months	\$	104,509	\$	1,544
Six to twelve months	305	,087	10,6	71
Twelve months or longer	44,5	662	1,518	
Total fixed maturity securities	454	,158	13,733	
Equity securities:				
Less than six months	24,3	349	2,14	4
Six to twelve months	234		19	
Twelve months or longer	737		111	
Total equity securities	25,3	320	2,27	4
Total temporarily impaired				
securities available-for-sale	\$ 4	479,478	\$	16,007

The Company held two series of General Motors Acceptance Corporation fixed maturity securities that were considered non-investment grade at June 30, 2006, one of which was carried at an unrealized loss before tax of \$400,000. The Company s investment in Sears Roebuck Acceptance Corporation fixed maturity securities were also considered non-investment grade at June 30, 2006 and were carried at an unrealized loss before tax of \$19,000. All other non-investment grade fixed maturity securities held at June 30, 2006 (Great Lakes Chemical Corporation and US Freightways Corporation) were in an unrealized gain position. The Company does not purchase non-investment grade securities. Any non-investment grade securities held by the Company are the result of rating downgrades that occurred subsequent to their purchase.

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS, CONTINUED

(Unaudited)

Following is a schedule of gross realized losses recognized in 2006 along with the associated book values and sales prices aged according to the length of time the underlying securities were in an unrealized loss position. This schedule does not include realized losses stemming from corporate actions such as calls, pay-downs, redemptions, etc. Fixed maturity securities were not included in the schedule since no realized losses were recognized on these investments stemming from disposals other than corporate actions. Fixed maturity securities are generally held until maturity.

	Book	Sales	Gross	
(\$ in thousands)	value	price	realized loss	
Equity securities:				
Three months or less	\$ 6,492	\$ 5,858	\$ 634	
Over three months to six months	2,245	1,745	500	
Over six months to nine months	138	100	38	
Over nine months to twelve months (1)	271	213	58	
Over twelve months	157	142	15	
	\$ 9,303	\$ 8,058	\$ 1,245	

(1) Includes the \$45,000 other-than-temporary impairment loss recognized in the first quarter of 2006 on an investment in Ford Motor Company common stock (original book value of \$158,000 to an impaired book value of \$113,000. This investment was subsequently sold during the second quarter of 2006 at a loss of \$17,000 before tax.

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS, CONTINUED

(Unaudited)

LEASES, COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENT LIABILITIES

The following table reflects the Company s contractual obligations as of June 30, 2006. Included in the table are the estimated payments that the Company expects to make in the settlement of its loss reserves and with respect to its long-term debt. One of the Company s property and casualty insurance subsidiaries leases office facilities in Bismarck, North Dakota with lease terms expiring in 2014. Employers Mutual has entered into various leases for branch and service office facilities with lease terms expiring through 2017. All lease costs are included as expenses under the pooling agreement after allocation of the portion of these expenses to the subsidiaries that do not participate in the pooling agreement. The table reflects the Company s current 30.0 percent aggregate participation in the pooling agreement. The Company s contractual obligation for long-term debt did not change from that presented in the Company s 2005 Form 10-K.

	Payments due by period					
		Less than	1 - 3	4 - 5	More than	
	Total	1 year	years	years	5 years	
Contractual Obligations	(\$ in thousands)					
Loss and settlement expense						
reserves (1)	\$ 540,319	\$ 220,721	\$ 197,162	\$ 69,701	\$ 52,735	
Long term debt (2)	36,000	-	-	-	36,000	
Interest expense on						
long term debt (3)	11,124	1,112	2,225	2,225	5,562	
Real estate operating leases	8,580	678	2,587	2,242	3,073	
Total	\$ 596,023	\$ 222,511	\$ 201,974	\$ 74,168	\$ 97,370	

- (1) The amounts presented are estimates of the dollar amounts and time period in which the Company expects to pay out its gross loss and settlement expense reserves. These amounts are based on historical payment patterns and do not represent actual contractual obligations. The actual payment amounts and the related timing of those payments could differ significantly from these estimates.
- (2) Long-term debt reflects the surplus notes issued by the Company s insurance subsidiaries to Employers Mutual, which have no maturity date. Excluded from long-term debt are pension and other postretirement benefit obligations.
- (3) Interest expense on long-term debt reflects the interest expense on the surplus notes issued by the Company s insurance subsidiaries to Employers Mutual. Interest on the surplus notes is subject to approval by the issuing company s state of domicile. The balance shown under the heading More than 5 years represents interest expense for years six through ten. Since the surplus notes have no maturity date, total interest expense could be greater than the amount shown.

Estimated guaranty fund assessments of \$1,394,000 and \$1,493,000, which are used by states to pay claims of insolvent insurers domiciled in that state, have been accrued as of June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively. The guaranty fund assessments are expected to be paid over the next two years with premium tax offsets of \$1,784,000 expected to be realized within ten years of the payments. Estimated second injury fund assessments of \$1,647,000 and \$1,872,000, which are designed to encourage employers to employ a worker with a pre-existing disability, have been accrued as of June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively. The second injury fund assessment accruals are based on projected loss payments. The periods over which the assessments will be paid is not known.

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS, CONTINUED

(Unaudited)

The participants in the pooling agreement have purchased annuities from life insurance companies, under which the claimant is payee, to fund future payments that are fixed pursuant to specific claim settlement provisions. The Company s share of loss reserves eliminated by the purchase of these annuities was \$861,000 at December 31, 2005. The Company has a contingent liability of \$861,000 should the issuers of these annuities fail to perform under the terms of the annuities. The Company s share of the amount due from any one life insurance company does not equal or exceed one percent of its subsidiaries policyholders surplus.

NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In June 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Interpretation No. 48 Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes. This interpretation clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an enterprise's financial statements in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 109 Accounting for Income Taxes. This interpretation prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. It also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure, and transition. This interpretation is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. The Company is currently evaluating the impact this interpretation will have on the operating results of the Company.

CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

The Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 provides issuers the opportunity to make cautionary statements regarding forward-looking statements. Accordingly, any forward-looking statement contained in this report is based on management s current beliefs, assumptions and expectations of the Company s future performance, taking into account all information currently available to management. These beliefs, assumptions and expectations can change as the result of many possible events or factors, not all of which are known to management. If a change occurs, the Company s business, financial condition, liquidity, results of operations, plans and objectives may vary materially from those expressed in the forward-looking statements. The risks and uncertainties that may affect the actual results of the Company include, but are not limited to, the following: catastrophic events and the occurrence of significant severe weather conditions; the adequacy of loss and settlement expense reserves; state and federal legislation and regulations; changes in our industry, interest rates or the performance of financial markets and the general economy; rating agency actions and other risks and uncertainties inherent to the Company s business. When the Company uses the words believe, expect, anticipate, estimate or similar expressions, the Company intends to identify forward-looking statements. You should not place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

(Unaudited)

The main objectives in managing the investment portfolios of the Company are to maximize after-tax investment return while minimizing credit risks, in order to provide maximum support for the underwriting operations. Investment strategies are developed based upon many factors including underwriting results, regulatory requirements, fluctuations in interest rates and consideration of other market risks. Investment decisions are centrally managed by investment professionals and are supervised by the investment committees of the respective boards of directors for each of the Company s subsidiaries.

Market risk represents the potential for loss due to adverse changes in the fair value of financial instruments. The market risks of the financial instruments of the Company relate to the investment portfolio, which exposes the Company to interest rate and equity price risk and, to a lesser extent, credit quality and prepayment risk. Monitoring systems and analytical tools are in place to assess each of these elements of market risk.

Two categories of influences on market risk exist as it relates to financial instruments. First are systematic aspects, which relate to the investing environment and are out of the control of the investment manager. Second are non-systematic aspects, which relate to the construction of the investment portfolio through investment policies and decisions, and are under the direct control of the investment manager. The Company is committed to controlling non-systematic risk through sound investment policies and diversification.

Further analysis of the components of the Company s market risk (including interest rate risk, equity price risk, credit quality risk, and prepayment risk) can be found in the Company s 2005 Form 10-K.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

The Company, under the supervision and with the participation of its management, including the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company s disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company s disclosure controls and procedures are effective in timely making known to them material information relating to the Company and the Company s consolidated subsidiaries required to be disclosed in the Company s reports filed or submitted under the Exchange Act.

There were no changes in the Company s internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the first six months of 2006 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company s internal control over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

The following table sets forth information regarding purchases of equity securities by the Company and affiliated purchasers for the three months ended June 30, 2006:

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

(a) Total number of shares (or units) Period purchased			(b) Average price paid per share (or unit)		(c) Total number of shares (or units) purchased as part of publicly announced plans or programs	(d) Maximum number (or approximate dollar value) of shares (or units) that may yet be purchased under the plans or programs		
4/1/06 - 4/30/06	86	(1)	\$	26.18	-	(2)	\$	6,064,169
5/1/06 - 5/31/06	115	(1)		29.35	-	(2)		6,064,169
6/1/06 - 6/30/06	1,172	(1)		26.84	-	(2)		6,064,169
Total	1,373		\$	27.01	-		\$	6,064,169

^{(1) 86, 115} and 1,172 shares were purchased in the open market in April, May and June, respectively, under the Company s dividend reinvestment and common stock purchase plan.

⁽²⁾ On May 12, 2005 the Company announced that its parent company, Employers Mutual Casualty Company, had initiated a \$15 million stock purchase program under which Employers Mutual would purchase shares of the Company s common stock in the open market. This purchase program was effective immediately and does not have an expiration date.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSIONS OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

- (a) Annual Meeting of Stockholders EMC Insurance Group Inc. May 25, 2006
- (b) The following eight persons were elected to serve as directors of the Company for the ensuing year:

Margaret A. Ball George C. Carpenter, III
David J. Fisher Bruce G. Kelley
George W. Kochheiser Raymond A. Michel
Fredrick A. Schiek Joanne L. Stockdale

- (c) Items voted upon and number of votes cast:
 - 1. Election of directors:

Nominee	Votes Cast for	Votes Withheld
Margaret A. Ball	13,052,454	244,994
George C. Carpenter, III	13,169,128	128,320
David J. Fisher	13,171,300	126,148
Bruce G. Kelley	13,168,261	129,187
George W. Kochheiser	13,167,626	129,822
Raymond A. Michel	13,169,328	128,120
Fredrick A. Schiek	13,169,392	128,056
Joanne L. Stockdale	13,219,700	77,748

2. Proposal to ratify the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as the independent registered public accounting firm of the Company for the year ending December 31, 2006:

For 13,267,229 Against 17,077 Abstain 13,142

(d) None.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

The exhibits listed in the accompanying exhibit index are filed as part of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

EMC INSURANCE GROUP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
SIGNATURES
Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.
EMC INSURANCE GROUP INC. Registrant
/s/ Bruce G. Kelley Bruce G. Kelley President & Chief Executive Officer
/s/ Mark E. Reese Mark E. Reese Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
Date: August 9, 2006
45

EMC INSURANCE GROUP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

Exhibit <u>number</u>	<u>1</u>	number
	<u>Item</u>	<u>number</u>
31.1	Certification of President and Chief Executive Officer as required by Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.	
		47
31.2	Certification of Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer as required by Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.	e
		48
32.1	Certification of the President and Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.	
		49
32.2	Certification of the Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.	n
		50