Dr Pepper Snapple Group, Inc.

Form 10-Q July 24, 2014

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

R OUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE

SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

FOR THE QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED June 30, 2014

OR

o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)

OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from to

Commission file number 001-33829

Delaware 98-0517725
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) (I.R.S. employer identification number)

5301 Legacy Drive, Plano, Texas 75024 (Address of principal executive offices) (Zip code)

(972) 673-7000

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes R No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes R No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Large Accelerated Filer R Accelerated Filer o Non-Accelerated Filer o Smaller Reporting Company o

(Do not check if a smaller reporting

company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934).

Yes o No R

As of July 22, 2014, there were 195,125,961 shares of the registrant's common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, outstanding.

DR PEPPER SNAPPLE GROUP, INC.

FORM 10-Q INDEX

			Page
Part I.	Financia	al Information	
rare 1.	Item 1.	Financial Statements (Unaudited)	
	10111 1.	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income for the Three and Six Months Ended June	
		30, 2014 and 2013	<u>1</u>
		Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the Three and Six	<u>2</u>
		Months Ended June 30, 2014 and 2013	
		Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013	<u>3</u>
		Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Six Months Ended June 30,	<u>4</u>
		2014 and 2013	
		Condensed Consolidated Statement of Stockholders' Equity for the Six Months Ended June 20, 2014	<u>³</u> 5
		<u>50, 2014</u>	
		Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	<u>0</u>
		1 General2 Inventories	<u>0</u>
		3 Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets	<u>o</u>
		4 Prepaid Expenses and Other Current Assets and Other Current Liabilities	6 8 9 11
		5 Debt	<u>11</u>
		6 Derivatives	<u>13</u>
		7 Other Non-Current Assets and Other Non-Current Liabilities	<u>19</u>
		8 Income Taxes	19
		9 Other Income, Net	19 20 20 20 22 24 25 27 27 28
		10 Employee Benefit Plans	<u>20</u>
		11 Fair Value	<u>20</u>
		12 Stock-Based Compensation	<u>22</u>
		13 Earnings Per Share	<u>24</u>
		14 Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	<u>25</u>
		15 Supplemental Cash Flow Information	<u>27</u>
		16 Commitments and Contingencies	<u>27</u>
		17 Segments	
		18 Guarantor and Non-Guarantor Financial Information	<u>29</u>
	<u>Item 2.</u>	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	<u>38</u>
	Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	<u>54</u>
	<u>Item 4.</u>	Controls and Procedures	<u>56</u>
Part II.	Other In	<u>formation</u>	
	Item 1.	Legal Proceedings	<u>57</u>
		. Risk Factors	<u>57</u>
	Item 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	<u>57</u>
	<u>Item 6.</u>	Exhibits	<u>58</u>

DR PEPPER SNAPPLE GROUP, INC.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

For the Three and Six Months Ended June $30,\,2014$ and 2013

(Unaudited, in millions, except per share data)

PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. Financial Statements (Unaudited)

	For the				For the			
	Three Mont	ths End	ded		Six Months	s Ende	d	
	June 30,				June 30,			
	2014		2013		2014		2013	
Net sales	\$1,631		\$1,611		\$3,029		\$2,991	
Cost of sales	665		676		1,219		1,266	
Gross profit	966		935		1,810		1,725	
Selling, general and administrative expenses	592		619		1,146		1,182	
Depreciation and amortization	29		29		58		58	
Other operating (income) expense, net	(3)	2		(2)	3	
Income from operations	348		285		608		482	
Interest expense	27		31		53		65	
Interest income			(1)	(1)	(1)
Other income, net	(1)	(41)	(2)	(44)
Income before provision for income taxes and								
equity in earnings of unconsolidated	322		296		558		462	
subsidiaries								
Provision for income taxes	113		142		194		202	
Income before equity in earnings of	209		154		364		260	
unconsolidated subsidiaries	209		134		30 4		200	
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated	1		1		1		1	
subsidiaries, net of tax	1		1		1		1	
Net income	\$210		\$155		\$365		\$261	
Earnings per common share:								
Basic	\$1.07		\$0.76		\$1.85		\$1.28	
Diluted	1.06		0.76		1.84		1.27	
Weighted average common shares outstanding	; :							
Basic	196.6		204.1		197.3		204.4	
Diluted	197.8		205.5		198.6		206.0	
Cash dividends declared per common share	\$0.41		\$0.38		\$0.82		\$0.76	
The second of th	£ 41	14			I - 4 - 1 C' '	1 -4-4	4	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

DR PEPPER SNAPPLE GROUP, INC.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

For the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2014 and 2013

(Unaudited, in millions)

Comprehensive income

For the		For the			
Three Mont	ths Ended	Six Months Ended			
June 30,		June 30,			
2014	2013	2014	2013		
\$216	\$148	\$368	\$267		

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

DR PEPPER SNAPPLE GROUP, INC.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

As of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013

(Unaudited, in millions, except share and per share data)

	June 30, 2014	December 31, 2013
Assets	_01.	2010
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$135	\$153
Accounts receivable:		
Trade, net	589	564
Other	53	58
Inventories	213	200
Deferred tax assets	65	66
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	134	78
Total current assets	1,189	1,119
Property, plant and equipment, net	1,122	1,173
Investments in unconsolidated subsidiaries	15	15
Goodwill	2,988	2,988
Other intangible assets, net	2,693	2,694
Other non-current assets	137	127
Non-current deferred tax assets	82	85
Total assets	\$8,226	\$8,201
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$313	\$271
Deferred revenue	65	65
Short-term borrowings and current portion of long-term obligations	72	66
Income taxes payable	54	33
Other current liabilities	559	595
Total current liabilities	1,063	1,030
Long-term obligations	2,537	2,508
Non-current deferred tax liabilities	776	755
Non-current deferred revenue	1,286	1,318
Other non-current liabilities	295	313
Total liabilities	5,957	5,924
Commitments and contingencies		
Stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value, 15,000,000 shares authorized, no shares issued		_
Common stock, \$0.01 par value, 800,000,000 shares authorized, 195,528,740 and	2	2
197,979,971 shares issued and outstanding for 2014 and 2013, respectively	2	2
Additional paid-in capital	808	970
Prepaid forward repurchase of common stock	(50) —
Retained earnings	1,594	1,393
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(85) (88
Total stockholders' equity	2,269	2,277
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$8,226	\$8,201
The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited condensed consolid		

DR PEPPER SNAPPLE GROUP, INC.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2014 and 2013

(Unaudited, in millions)

(Onaudited, in ininions)	T .1		
	For the		
	Six Months End	ded	
	June 30,		
	2014	2013	
Operating activities:			
Net income	\$365	\$261	
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation expense	99	97	
Amortization expense	18	18	
Amortization of deferred revenue	(32) (32)
Employee stock-based compensation expense	22	19	
Deferred income taxes	22	62	
Other, net	(23) 16	
Changes in assets and liabilities, net of effects of acquisition:			
Trade accounts receivable	(25) (73)
Other accounts receivable	5	(6)
Inventories	(13) (25)
Other current and non-current assets	(53) (17)
Other current and non-current liabilities	(24) (77)
Trade accounts payable	48	32	
Income taxes payable	29	20	
Net cash provided by operating activities	438	295	
Investing activities:		_,_	
Acquisition of business	_	(10)
Purchase of property, plant and equipment	(71) (80)
Purchase of intangible assets	(1) (5	Ś
Proceeds from disposals of property, plant and equipment	7	1	,
Other, net	(3) —	
Net cash used in investing activities	(68) (94)
Financing activities:	(00	, (> .	,
Repayment of senior unsecured notes		(250)
Net issuance of commercial paper	5	68	,
Repurchase of shares of common stock	(206) (126)
Cash paid for shares not yet received	(50) —	,
Dividends paid	(157) (148)
Tax withholdings related to net share settlements of certain stock awards	(16) (12)
Proceeds from stock options exercised	28	12	,
Excess tax benefit on stock-based compensation	8	6	
Other, net	_	(1)
Net cash used in financing activities	(388) (451)
Cash and cash equivalents — net change from:	(300) (431	,
Operating, investing and financing activities	(18) (250)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	(10	(3)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	 153	366	,
Cash and Cash equivalents at beginning of year	133	300	

Cash and cash equivalents at end of period

\$135

\$113

See Note 15 for supplemental cash flow information.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Prepaid

Table of Contents

DR PEPPER SNAPPLE GROUP, INC. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2014

(Unaudited, in millions, except per share data)

				Forward		Accumulated	
	Commo Stock	on	Additional	l Repurchase	e	Other	
	Issued		Paid-In	of Common	Retained	Comprehensi	veTotal
	Shares	Amoun	tCapital	Stock	Earnings	Loss	Equity
Balance as of January 1, 2014	198.0	\$2	\$ 970	\$ —	\$1,393	\$ (88)	\$2,277
Shares issued under employee stock-based compensation plans and other	1.4	_	_	_	_	_	_
Net income		_		_	365		365
Other comprehensive income		_		_	_	3	3
Dividends declared, \$0.82 per share		_	2		(164)	_	(162)
Stock options exercised and stock-based compensation, net of tax of (\$8)	_	_	42	_	_	_	42
Common stock repurchases	(3.9)	_	(206)			_	(206)
Common stock repurchases for shares not yet received	_	_	_	(50)	_	_	(50)
Balance as of June 30, 2014	195.5	\$2	\$ 808	\$ (50)	\$1,594	\$ (85)	\$2,269
The accompanying notes are an integral part of	of these	unaudite	d condense	ed consolida	ted financ	ial statements.	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents
DR PEPPER SNAPPLE GROUP, INC.
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

1. General

References in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q to "DPS" or "the Company" refer to Dr Pepper Snapple Group, Inc. and all entities included in the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements. Cadbury plc and Cadbury Schweppes plc are hereafter collectively referred to as "Cadbury" unless otherwise indicated. Kraft Foods Inc. acquired Cadbury on February 2, 2010 and on October 1, 2012, Kraft Foods Inc. spun-off its North American grocery business to its shareholders and changed its name to Mondelēz International, Inc. ("Mondelēz"). This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q refers to some of DPS' owned or licensed trademarks, trade names and service

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q refers to some of DPS' owned or licensed trademarks, trade names and service marks, which are referred to as the Company's brands. All of the product names included herein are either DPS' registered trademarks or those of the Company's licensors.

BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("U.S. GAAP") for interim financial information and in accordance with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by U.S. GAAP for complete consolidated financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments, consisting principally of normal recurring adjustments, considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and the accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from these estimates. These unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the Company's audited consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013.

USE OF ESTIMATES

The process of preparing DPS' unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires the use of estimates and judgments that affect the reported amount of assets, liabilities, revenue and expenses. These estimates and judgments are based on historical experience, future expectations and other factors and assumptions the Company believes to be reasonable under the circumstances. These estimates and judgments are reviewed on an ongoing basis and are revised when necessary. Changes in estimates are recorded in the period of change. Actual amounts may differ from these estimates:

- •goodwill and other indefinite-lived intangible assets;
- •customer incentives and marketing programs;
- •revenue recognition;
- •pension and postretirement benefits;
- •multi-employer pension plan withdrawal liability;
- •risk management programs; and
- •income taxes.

These critical accounting estimates are discussed in greater detail in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013.

CORRECTION OF PRIOR PERIOD AMOUNTS

Subsequent to the issuance of the Company's 2012 Consolidated Financial Statements, management determined that an error resulted from the Company improperly determining the amount related to purchases of property and equipment included in accounts payable and other current liabilities. As a result, such amounts in the unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 30, 2013 have been corrected from the amounts previously reported to properly reflect cash purchases of property and equipment and the net change in operating assets and liabilities.

Table of Contents DR PEPPER SNAPPLE GROUP, INC. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited, Continued)

The following table reflects the impact of this correction for the six months ended June 30, 2013 (in millions):

•	For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2013						
	As previously reported	•	Correction		As corrected		
Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows:							
Change in other current and non-current liabilities	\$(80)	\$3		\$(77)	
Change in trade accounts payable	16		16		32		
Net cash provided by operating activities	276		19		295		
Purchase of property, plant and equipment	(61)	(19)	(80)	
Net cash used in investing activities	(75)	(19)	(94)	
Note 15 - Supplemental Cash Flow Information:							
Capital expenditures included in accounts payable and other current liabilities	\$73		\$(66)	\$7		
Note 18 - Guarantor and Non-Guarantor Financial Information:							
Net cash provided by operating activities - Guarantors	\$310		\$19		\$329		
Purchase of property, plant and equipment - Guarantors	(51)	(19)	(70)	
Net cash used in investing activities - Guarantors	(287)	(19)	(306)	

There was no impact on previously reported total cash and cash equivalents, consolidated balance sheets or consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income.

RECLASSIFICATIONS

Changes have been made to the December 31, 2013 presentation of other non-current liabilities disclosed in Note 7 to conform to the current period's presentation. These changes had no impact to total other non-current liabilities as of December 31, 2013.

RECENTLY ISSUED ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

In April 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Accounting Standard Update ("ASU") 2014-08, Presentation of Financial Statements (Topic 205) and Property, Plant, and Equipment (Topic 360) ("ASU 2014-08"). The amendments in ASU 2014-08 provide guidance for the recognition and disclosure of discontinued operations. ASU 2014-08 is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2014. The Company does not anticipate a material impact to the Company's financial position, results of operations or cash flows as a result of this change.

In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606) ("ASU 2014-09"). The new guidance sets forth a new five-step revenue recognition model which replaces the prior revenue recognition guidance in its entirety and is intended to eliminate numerous industry-specific pieces of revenue recognition guidance that have historically existed in U.S. GAAP. The underlying principle of the new standard is that a business or other organization will recognize revenue to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects what it expects in exchange for the goods or services. The standard also requires more detailed disclosures and provides additional guidance for transactions that were not addressed completely in the prior accounting guidance. ASU 2014-09 provides alternative methods of initial adoption and is effective for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2016 and interim periods within those annual periods. Early adoption is not permitted. The Company is currently evaluating the impact that this standard will have on the consolidated financial statements.

DR PEPPER SNAPPLE GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited, Continued)

RECENTLY ADOPTED PROVISIONS OF U.S. GAAP

In accordance with U.S. GAAP, the following provisions, which had no material impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations or cash flows, were effective as of January 1, 2014:

- •the requirement to provide disclosures related to obligations resulting from joint and several liability arrangements from which the total amount of the obligation is fixed at the reporting date; and
- •the requirement related to the financial statement presentation of unrecognized tax benefits when a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss or a tax credit carryforward exists.

2. Inventories

Inventories as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 consisted of the following (in millions):

	June 30,	December 31,	
	2014	2013	
Raw materials	\$70	\$86	
Spare parts	23	22	
Work in process	5	4	
Finished goods	151	122	
Inventories at first in first out cost	249	234	
Reduction to last in first out ("LIFO") cost	(36) (34)
Inventories	\$213	\$200	
Reduction to last in first out ("LIFO") cost	`	, ,)

Approximately \$162 million and \$154 million of the Company's inventory was accounted for under the LIFO method of accounting as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively. The reduction to LIFO cost reflects the excess of the current cost of LIFO inventories as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, over the amount at which these inventories were valued on the unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, there was no LIFO inventory liquidation. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, a LIFO inventory liquidation increased the Company's gross profit by \$10 million and \$17 million, respectively.

DR PEPPER SNAPPLE GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited, Continued)

3. Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

Changes in the carrying amount of goodwill for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and the year ended December 31, 2013, by reporting unit, are as follows (in millions):

	Beverage Concentrates	WD Reporting Unit ⁽¹⁾	DSD Reporting Unit ⁽¹⁾	Latin America Beverages	Total	
Balance as of January 1, 2013						
Goodwill	\$1,732	\$1,220	\$180	\$31	\$3,163	
Accumulated impairment losses		_	(180)		(180)
	1,732	1,220		31	2,983	
Acquisition activity ⁽²⁾		_	5		5	
Balance as of December 31,						
2013						
Goodwill	1,732	1,220	185	31	3,168	
Accumulated impairment losses		_	(180)		(180)
	1,732	1,220	5	31	2,988	
Foreign currency impact		_				
Balance as of June 30, 2014						
Goodwill	1,732	1,220	185	31	3,168	
Accumulated impairment losses		_	(180)		(180)
	\$1,732	\$1,220	\$5	\$31	\$2,988	

The Packaged Beverages segment is comprised of two reporting units, the Direct Store Delivery ("DSD") system and the Warehouse Direct ("WD") system.

⁽²⁾ The acquisition activity represents the goodwill associated with the purchase of DP/7UP Bottling Company of the West in 2013.

Table of Contents

DR PEPPER SNAPPLE GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited, Continued)

The net carrying amounts of intangible assets other than goodwill as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 are as follows (in millions):

	June 30, 2014			December 31,	2013	
	Gross	Accumulated	Net	Gross	Accumulated	Net
	Amount	Amortization	Amount	Amount	Amortization	Amount
Intangible assets with						
indefinite lives:						
Brands	\$2,652	\$ —	\$2,652	\$2,652	\$ —	\$2,652
Distribution rights	24		24	24	_	24
Intangible assets with						
finite lives:						
Brands	29	(27)	2	29	(27	2
Distribution rights	13	(4)	9	12	(3	9
Customer relationships	76	(70)	6	76	(69	7
Bottler agreements	19	(19)	_	19	(19) —
Total	\$2,813	\$(120)	\$2,693	\$2,812	\$(118	\$2,694

As of June 30, 2014, the weighted average useful life of intangible assets with finite lives was 10 years for distribution rights, brands, customer relationships and in total. Amortization expense for intangible assets was \$1 million and \$2 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, respectively, and \$2 million and \$3 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively.

Amortization expense of these intangible assets over the remainder of 2014 and the next four years is expected to be the following (in millions):

	Aggregate
Year	Amortization
	Expense
July 1, 2014 through December 31, 2014	\$2
2015	6
2016	3
2017	1
2018	1

The Company conducts impairment tests on goodwill and all indefinite-lived intangible assets annually or more frequently if circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. DPS did not identify any circumstances that indicated that the carrying amount of any goodwill or any indefinite-lived intangible asset may not be recoverable as of June 30, 2014.

Table of Contents

DR PEPPER SNAPPLE GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited, Continued)

4. Prepaid Expenses and Other Current Assets and Other Current Liabilities

The table below details the components of prepaid expenses and other current assets and other current liabilities as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 (in millions):

	June 30,	December 31,
	2014	2013
Prepaid expenses and other current assets:		
Customer incentive programs	\$55	\$24
Derivative instruments	18	21
Current assets held for sale	10	_
Other	51	33
Total prepaid expenses and other current assets	\$134	\$78
Other current liabilities:		
Customer rebates and incentives	\$201	\$214
Accrued compensation	83	107
Insurance liability	52	47
Interest accrual and interest rate swap liability	26	26
Dividends payable	81	75
Other	116	126
Total other current liabilities	\$559	\$595
5 Deht		

5. Debt

The following table summarizes the Company's long-term obligations as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 (in millions):

	June 30,	December 31,	
	2014	2013	
Senior unsecured notes ⁽¹⁾	\$2,482	\$2,453	
Capital lease obligations ⁽²⁾	57	56	
Subtotal	2,539	2,509	
Less — current portion	(2) (1)
Long-term obligations	\$2,537	\$2,508	

The carrying amount includes the unamortized net discount on debt issuances and adjustments of \$11 million and (1)\$18 million as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively, related to the change in the fair value of interest rate swaps designated as fair value hedges. See Note 6 for further information regarding derivatives.

⁽²⁾ Capital lease obligations, primarily related to manufacturing facilities, totaled \$57 million and \$56 million as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively.

Table of Contents

DR PEPPER SNAPPLE GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited, Continued)

The following table summarizes the Company's short-term borrowings and current portion of long-term obligations as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 (in millions):

	June 30,	December 31,
	2014	2013
Commercial paper	\$70	\$65
Current portion of long-term obligations	2	1
Short-term borrowings and current portion of long-term obligations	\$72	\$66

As of June 30, 2014, the Company was in compliance with all financial covenant requirements relating to its unsecured credit agreement.

SENIOR UNSECURED NOTES

The Company's senior unsecured notes consisted of the following (in millions):

			Principal Amount	Carrying Am	ount
			June 30,	June 30,	December 31,
Issuance	Maturity Date	Rate	2014	2014	2013
2016 Notes	January 15, 2016	2.90%	\$500	\$500	\$500
2018 Notes	May 1, 2018	6.82%	724	724	724
2019 Notes	January 15, 2019	2.60%	250	249	248
2020 Notes	January 15, 2020	2.00%	250	244	241
2021 Notes	November 15, 2021	3.20%	250	246	241
2022 Notes	November 15, 2022	2.70%	250	257	247
2038 Notes	May 1, 2038	7.45%	250	262	252
			\$2,474	\$2,482	\$2,453

COMMERCIAL PAPER PROGRAM

On December 10, 2010, the Company entered into a commercial paper program under which the Company may issue unsecured commercial paper notes (the "Commercial Paper") on a private placement basis up to a maximum aggregate amount outstanding at any time of \$500 million. The program is supported by a \$500 million revolving line of credit (the "Revolver"), which is discussed below. Outstanding Commercial Paper reduces the amount of borrowing capacity available under the Revolver and outstanding amounts under the Revolver reduce the Commercial Paper availability. As of June 30, 2014, the Company had outstanding Commercial Paper of \$70 million with maturities of 90 days or less with a weighted average interest rate of 0.23%. As of December 31, 2013, the Company had outstanding Commercial Paper of \$65 million with maturities of 90 days or less with a weighted average interest rate of 0.26%. UNSECURED CREDIT AGREEMENT

The following table provides amounts utilized and available under the Revolver and each sublimit arrangement type as of June 30, 2014 (in millions):

	Amount Utilized	Balances Available
Revolver	\$ —	\$429
Letters of credit	1	74
Swingline advances	_	50

An unused commitment fee is payable quarterly to the lenders on the unused portion of the commitments of the Revolver equal to 0.08% to 0.20% per annum, depending upon the Company's debt ratings. There were no significant unused commitment fees incurred during the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013.

Table of Contents
DR PEPPER SNAPPLE GROUP, INC.
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited, Continued)

SHELF REGISTRATION STATEMENT

On February 7, 2013, the Company's Board of Directors (the "Board") authorized the Company to issue up to \$1,500 million of securities from time to time. Subsequently, the Company filed a "well-known seasoned issuer" shelf registration statement with the Securities and Exchange Commission, effective May 23, 2013, which registered an indeterminable amount of securities for future sales. As of June 30, 2014, the Company had not issued any securities under this shelf registration statement.

LETTERS OF CREDIT FACILITIES

In addition to the portion of the Revolver reserved for issuance of letters of credit, the Company has incremental letters of credit facilities. Under these facilities, \$90 million is available for the issuance of letters of credit, \$63 million of which was utilized as of June 30, 2014 and \$27 million of which remains available for use.

6. Derivatives

DPS is exposed to market risks arising from adverse changes in:

- •interest rates;
- •foreign exchange rates; and
- •commodity prices affecting the cost of raw materials and fuels.

The Company manages these risks through a variety of strategies, including the use of interest rate contracts, foreign exchange forward contracts, commodity forward and future contracts and supplier pricing agreements. DPS does not hold or issue derivative financial instruments for trading or speculative purposes.

The Company formally designates and accounts for certain interest rate contracts and foreign exchange forward contracts that meet established accounting criteria under U.S. GAAP as either fair value or cash flow hedges. For derivative instruments that are designated and qualify as cash flow hedges, the effective portion of the gain or loss on the derivative instruments is recorded, net of applicable taxes, in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss ("AOCL"), a component of Stockholders' Equity in the unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets. When net income is affected by the variability of the underlying transaction, the applicable offsetting amount of the gain or loss from the derivative instrument deferred in AOCL is reclassified to net income and is reported as a component of the unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income. For derivative instruments that are designated and qualify as fair value hedges, the effective change in the fair value of the instrument, as well as the offsetting gain or loss on the hedged item attributable to the hedged risk, are recognized immediately in current-period earnings. For derivatives that are not designated or are de-designated as a hedging instrument, the gain or loss on the instrument is recognized in earnings in the period of change.

Certain interest rate contracts qualify for the "shortcut" method of accounting for hedges under U.S. GAAP. Under the shortcut method, the hedges are assumed to be perfectly effective and no ineffectiveness is recorded in earnings. For all other designated hedges, the Company assesses whether the derivative instrument is effective in offsetting the changes in fair value or variability of cash flows at the inception of the derivative contract. DPS measures hedge ineffectiveness on a quarterly basis throughout the designated period. Changes in the fair value of the derivative instrument that do not effectively offset changes in the fair value of the underlying hedged item throughout the designated hedge period are recorded in earnings each period.

If a fair value or cash flow hedge were to cease to qualify for hedge accounting, or were terminated, the derivatives would continue to be carried on the balance sheet at fair value until settled and hedge accounting would be discontinued prospectively. If the underlying hedged transaction ceases to exist, any associated amounts reported in AOCL would be reclassified to earnings at that time.

INTEREST RATES

Fair Value Hedges

The Company is exposed to the risk of changes in the fair value of certain fixed-rate debt attributable to changes in interest rates and manages these risks through the use of receive-fixed, pay-variable interest rate swaps.

Table of Contents
DR PEPPER SNAPPLE GROUP, INC.
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited, Continued)

In December 2010, the Company entered into an interest rate swap having a notional amount of \$100 million and maturing in May 2038 in order to effectively convert a portion of the 2038 Notes from fixed-rate debt to floating-rate debt and designated it as a fair value hedge. The assessment of hedge effectiveness is made by comparing the cumulative change in the fair value of the hedged item attributable to changes in the benchmark interest rate with the cumulative changes in the fair value of the interest rate swap, with any ineffectiveness recorded in earnings as interest expense during the period incurred. As of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the impact of the fair value hedge on the 2038 Notes increased the carrying value by \$12 million and \$2 million, respectively.

In November 2011, the Company entered into four interest rate swaps having an aggregate notional amount of \$250 million and durations ranging from seven to ten years in order to convert fixed-rate, long-term debt to floating rate debt. These swaps were entered into upon the issuance of the 2019 and 2021 Notes, and were accounted for as fair value hedges and qualified for the shortcut method of accounting under U.S. GAAP. As of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the impact of the fair value hedge on the 2019 and 2021 Notes decreased the carrying value by \$3 million and \$11 million, respectively.

In November 2012, the Company entered into five interest rate swaps having an aggregate notional amount of \$120 million and maturing in January 2020 in order to effectively convert fixed-rate, long-term debt to floating rate debt. These swaps were entered into upon the issuance of the 2020 Notes, and were accounted for as fair value hedges and qualified for the shortcut method of accounting under U.S. GAAP. As of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the impact of the fair value hedge on the 2020 Notes decreased the carrying value by \$5 million and \$7 million, respectively.

In December 2013, the Company entered into four interest rate swaps having an aggregate notional amount of \$250 million and maturing in November 2022 in order to effectively convert all of the 2022 Notes from fixed-rate debt to floating-rate debt and designated them as fair value hedges. The assessment of hedge effectiveness is made by comparing the cumulative change in the fair value of the hedged item attributable to changes in the benchmark interest rate with the cumulative changes in the fair value of the interest rate swap, with any ineffectiveness recorded in earnings as interest expense during the period incurred. As of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the impact of the fair value hedges on the 2022 Notes increased the carrying value by \$7 million and decreased the carrying value by \$2 million, respectively.

FOREIGN EXCHANGE

Cash Flow Hedges

The Company's Canadian business purchases its inventory through transactions denominated and settled in U.S. dollars, a currency different from the functional currency of the Canadian business. These inventory purchases are subject to exposure from movements in exchange rates. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, the Company utilized foreign exchange forward contracts designated as cash flow hedges to manage the exposures resulting from changes in these foreign currency exchange rates. The intent of these foreign exchange contracts is to provide predictability in the Company's overall cost structure. These foreign exchange contracts, carried at fair value, have maturities between one and six months as of June 30, 2014. The Company had outstanding foreign exchange forward contracts with notional amounts of \$23 million and \$45 million as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively.

COMMODITIES

Economic Hedges

DPS centrally manages the exposure to volatility in the prices of certain commodities used in its production process and transportation through forward and future contracts. The intent of these contracts is to provide a certain level of predictability in the Company's overall cost structure. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, the Company held forward and future contracts that economically hedged certain of its risks. In these cases, a natural hedging relationship exists in which changes in the fair value of the instruments act as an economic offset to changes

in the fair value of the underlying items. Changes in the fair value of these instruments are recorded in net income throughout the term of the derivative instrument and are reported in the same line item of the unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income as the hedged transaction. Unrealized gains and losses are recognized as a component of unallocated corporate costs until the Company's operating segments are affected by the completion of the underlying transaction, at which time the gain or loss is reflected as a component of the respective segment's operating profit ("SOP"). The total notional values of derivatives related to economic hedges of this type were \$145 million and \$179 million as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively.

DR PEPPER SNAPPLE GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited, Continued)

FAIR VALUE OF DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS

The following table summarizes the location of the fair value of the Company's derivative instruments within the unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 (in millions):

	Balance Sheet Location	June 30, 2014	December 31, 2013
Assets:			
Derivative instruments designated as			
hedging instruments under U.S. GAAP:			
Interest rate contracts ⁽¹⁾	Prepaid expenses and other current assets	\$15	\$17
Foreign exchange forward contracts	Prepaid expenses and other current assets	1	3
Interest rate contracts	Other non-current assets	9	
Derivative instruments not designated as			
hedging instruments under U.S. GAAP:			
Commodity contracts	Prepaid expenses and other current assets	2	1
Commodity contracts	Other non-current assets	1	_
Total assets		\$28	\$21
Liabilities:			
Derivative instruments designated as			
hedging instruments under U.S. GAAP:			
Interest rate contracts	Other non-current liabilities	\$13	\$34
Derivative instruments not designated as			
hedging instruments under U.S. GAAP:			
Commodity contracts	Other current liabilities	3	13
Total liabilities		\$16	\$47

Interest rate contracts as of June 30, 2014 did not include any offsetting amounts. Interest rate contracts as of December 31, 2013 include gross and offsetting amounts of \$19 million and \$2 million, respectively. These

⁽¹⁾ contracts are subject to a netting provision included within the counterparty agreements whereby the Company pays interest either quarterly or semi-annually and receives interest payments semi-annually. These payables and receivables are netted as appropriate.

DR PEPPER SNAPPLE GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited, Continued)

IMPACT OF CASH FLOW HEDGES

The following table presents the impact of derivative instruments designated as cash flow hedging instruments under U.S. GAAP to the unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income and Comprehensive Income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 (in millions):

	Amount of Gain Recognized in Comprehensive Income	Amount of Gain (Loss) Reclassified from AOCL into Income	Location of Gain (Loss) Reclassified from AOCL into Income
For the three months ended June 30, 2014: Interest rate contracts (1)(2)	\$ —	\$(2	Interest expense
Foreign exchange forward contracts Total	(2 \$(2	\$(2	Cost of sales
For the six months ended June 30, 2014: Interest rate contracts (1) (2) Foreign exchange forward contracts Total	\$— (1 \$(1	\$(4) 1) \$(3	Interest expense Cost of sales
For the three months ended June 30, 2013: Interest rate contracts (1)(2)	\$ —	\$(2	Interest expense
Foreign exchange forward contracts Total	2 \$2	- \$(2	Cost of sales
For the six months ended June 30, 2013: Interest rate contracts (1) (2) Foreign exchange forward contracts Total	\$— 4 \$4	\$(4 \$(4	Interest expense Cost of sales

⁽¹⁾ During the fourth quarter of 2011, the Company unwound forward starting swaps associated with the 2019 and 2021 Notes with an aggregate notional amount of \$250 million. Upon termination, the Company paid \$25 million to the counterparties, which will be amortized to interest expense over the term of the issued debt.

There was no hedge ineffectiveness recognized in earnings for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 with respect to derivative instruments designated as cash flow hedges. During the next 12 months, the Company expects to reclassify net losses of \$6 million from AOCL into net income.

⁽²⁾ During the fourth quarter of 2012, the Company unwound forward starting swaps associated with the 2020 and 2022 Notes with an aggregate notional amount of \$300 million. Upon termination, the Company paid \$49 million to the counterparties, which will be amortized to interest expense over the term of the issued debt.

DR PEPPER SNAPPLE GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited, Continued)

IMPACT OF FAIR VALUE HEDGES

The following table presents the impact of derivative instruments designated as fair value hedging instruments under U.S. GAAP to the unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 (in millions):

	Amount of Gain	Location of Gain
	Recognized in Income	Recognized in Income
For the three months ended June 30, 2014:		
Interest rate contracts	\$4	Interest expense
Total	\$4	
For the six months ended June 30, 2014:		
Interest rate contracts	\$8	Interest expense
Total	\$8	
For the three months ended June 30, 2013:		
Interest rate contracts	\$3	Interest expense
Total	\$3	
For the six months ended June 30, 2013:		
Interest rate contracts	\$5	Interest expense
Total	\$5	-

For the six months ended June 30, 2014, a \$1 million benefit due to hedge ineffectiveness was recognized in earnings with respect to derivative instruments designated as fair value hedges. There was no hedge ineffectiveness recognized in earnings for the three months ended June 30, 2014. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, \$1 million and \$2 million, respectively, of hedge ineffectiveness was recognized in earnings with respect to derivative instruments designated as fair value hedges.

DR PEPPER SNAPPLE GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited, Continued)

IMPACT OF ECONOMIC HEDGES

The following table presents the impact of derivative instruments not designated as hedging instruments under U.S. GAAP to the unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 (in millions):

		Location of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income
For the three months ended June 30, 2014:		
Commodity contracts ⁽¹⁾	\$(2)	Cost of sales
Commodity contracts ⁽¹⁾	2	SG&A expenses
Total	\$ —	
For the six months ended June 30, 2014:		
Commodity contracts ⁽¹⁾	\$9	Cost of sales
Commodity contracts ⁽¹⁾	2	SG&A expenses
Total	\$11	
For the three months ended June 30, 2013:		
Commodity contracts ⁽¹⁾	\$(7)	Cost of sales
Commodity contracts ⁽¹⁾	(1)	SG&A expenses
Total	\$(8)	
For the six months ended June 30, 2013:		
Commodity contracts ⁽¹⁾	\$(15)	Cost of sales
Commodity contracts ⁽¹⁾	_	SG&A expenses
Total	\$(15)	_

⁽¹⁾ Commodity contracts include both realized and unrealized gains and losses.

Refer to Note 11 for additional information on the valuation of derivative instruments. The Company has exposure to credit losses from derivative instruments in an asset position in the event of nonperformance by the counterparties to the agreements. Historically, DPS has not experienced credit losses as a result of counterparty nonperformance. The Company selects and periodically reviews counterparties based on credit ratings, limits its exposure to a single counterparty under defined guidelines and monitors the market position of the programs at least on a quarterly basis.

DR PEPPER SNAPPLE GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited, Continued)

7. Other Non-Current Assets and Other Non-Current Liabilities

The table below details the components of other non-current assets and other non-current liabilities as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 (in millions):

	June 30,	December 31,
	2014	2013
Other non-current assets:		
Deferred financing costs, net	\$10	\$11
Customer incentive programs	56	59
Marketable securities - trading	25	21
Derivative instruments	10	
Assets held for sale	_	1
Other	36	35
Total other non-current assets	\$137	\$127
Other non-current liabilities:		
Long-term payables due to Mondelēz	\$47	\$47
Long-term pension and post-retirement liability	25	26
Multi-employer pension plan withdrawal liability	56	56
Insurance liability	91	89
Derivative instruments	13	34
Deferred compensation liability	25	21
Other	38	40
Total other non-current liabilities	\$295	\$313

8. Income Taxes

The effective tax rates for the three months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 were 35.1% and 48.0%, respectively. The effective tax rates for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 were 34.8% and 43.7%, respectively. The primary reason for the changes in the tax rates was the enactment of a Canadian tax law as described below.

During the second quarter of 2013, a bill was enacted by the Canadian government which reduced amounts amortized for income tax purposes. The Canadian law change resulted in a non-cash reduction of \$50 million to the Company's tax assets, which increased the provision for income taxes. Refer to Note 9 for additional information on the indemnity impact related to the enactment of the Canadian law. When combined with the associated impact to other income, net, this event increased the Company's effective tax rate by 12.3% and 7.9% for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively.

Additionally, for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2013 the provision for income taxes included income tax expense of \$3 million and \$5 million, respectively, for which Mondelēz was previously obligated to indemnify the Company under the Tax Sharing and Indemnification Agreement ("Tax Indemnity Agreement").

DR PEPPER SNAPPLE GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited, Continued)

9. Other Income, Net

The table below details the components of other income, net for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 is as follows (in millions):

	For the Three Months Ended June I		d June For the Si	For the Six Months Ended Jun	
	30,		30,	30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013	
Indemnity income from Mondelēz	\$ —	\$(41) \$—	\$(43)
Other income	(1) —	(2) (1)
Other income, net	\$(1) \$(41) \$(2) \$(44)

The Company has historically recorded indemnification income from Mondelēz under the Tax Indemnity Agreement as other income, net in the unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income. In June 2013, the Company recognized a \$38 million non-cash reduction of its long-term liability to Mondelēz as a result of a bill enacted by the Canadian government. Refer to Note 8 for additional information on the income tax expense impact of the Canadian law change.

10. Employee Benefit Plans

The following table sets forth the components of net periodic benefit costs for the Company's pension plans for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 (in millions):

	For the Three Months Ended June		For the Six Months Ended June	
	30,		30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Service cost	\$ —	\$ —	\$1	\$1
Interest cost	4	3	7	6
Expected return on assets	(3) (4	(7) (8
Recognition of actuarial loss		2	1	3
Settlement loss	_	2		2
Net periodic benefit costs	\$1	\$3	\$2	\$4

The Company contributed \$1 million to its pension plans during the six months ended June 30, 2014. There were no contributions made to its pension plans during the three months ended June 30, 2014. The Company contributed \$1 million to its pension plans during the three and six months ended June 30, 2013.

11. Fair Value

Under U.S. GAAP, fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. U.S. GAAP provides a framework for measuring fair value and establishes a three-level hierarchy for fair value measurements based upon the transparency of inputs to the valuation of an asset or liability. The three-level hierarchy for disclosure of fair value measurements is as follows:

Level 1 - Quoted market prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2 - Observable inputs such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets; quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active; and model-derived valuations in which all significant inputs and significant value drivers are observable in active markets.

Level 3 - Valuations with one or more unobservable significant inputs that reflect the reporting entity's own assumptions.

Table of Contents

DR PEPPER SNAPPLE GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited, Continued)

RECURRING FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

The following table presents the fair value hierarchy for those assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of June 30, 2014 (in millions):

	Fair Value Measurements at June 30, 2014		
	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets	Other Observable Inputs	Significant Unobservable Inputs
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Commodity contracts	\$	\$3	\$
Interest rate contracts	_	24	
Foreign exchange forward contracts	_	1	
Marketable securities - trading	25		
Total assets	\$25	\$28	\$ —
Commodity contracts	\$ —	\$3	\$ —
Interest rate contracts	_	13	
Total liabilities	\$	\$16	\$ —

The following table presents the fair value hierarchy for those assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of December 31, 2013 (in millions):

recurring outsis as of December 51, 2015 (in minimons).			
	Fair Value Meas	mber 31, 2013	
	Quoted Prices ir Active Markets for Identical Assets	Other Observable Inputs	Significant Unobservable Inputs
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Commodity contracts	\$ —	\$1	\$ —
Interest rate contracts	_	17	
Foreign exchange forward contracts	_	3	
Marketable securities - trading	21	_	
Total assets	\$21	\$21	\$ —
Commodity contracts	\$	\$13	\$ —
Interest rate contracts	_	34	
Total liabilities	\$ —	\$47	\$—

The fair values of marketable securities are determined using quoted market prices from daily exchange traded markets based on the closing price as of the balance sheet date and are classified as Level 1. The fair values of commodity forward and future contracts, interest rate swap contracts and foreign currency forward contracts are determined based on inputs that are readily available in public markets or can be derived from information available in publicly quoted markets. The fair value of commodity forward and future contracts are valued using the market approach based on observable market transactions, primarily underlying commodities futures or physical index prices, at the balance sheet date. Interest rate swap contracts are valued using models based primarily on readily observable market parameters, such as LIBOR forward rates, for all substantial terms of the Company's contracts and credit risk of the counterparties. The fair value of foreign currency forward contracts are valued using quoted forward foreign exchange prices at the reporting date. Therefore, the Company has categorized these contracts as Level 2.

DR PEPPER SNAPPLE GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited, Continued)

As of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the Company did not have any assets or liabilities measured on a recurring basis without observable market values that would require a high level of judgment to determine fair value (Level 3).

There were no transfers of financial instruments between the three levels of fair value hierarchy during the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013.

ESTIMATED FAIR VALUE OF LONG-TERM OBLIGATIONS

The estimated fair values of long-term obligations as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 are as follows (in millions):

	June 30, 2014		December 31, 2013	
	Carrying Amount	Fair Value	Carrying Amount	Fair Value
Long-term debt – 2016 Notes	\$500	\$517	\$500	\$519
Long-term debt – 2018 Notes	724	849	724	856
Long-term debt – 2019 Notes ¹⁾	249	255	248	252
Long-term debt – 2020 Notes ¹⁾	244	246	241	236
Long-term debt – 2021 Note(s)	246	254	241	241
Long-term debt – 2022 Note(s)	257	239	247	226
Long-term debt – 2038 Notes ¹⁾	262	352	252	317

The carrying amount includes the unamortized discounts on the issuance of debt and adjustments related to the (1)change in the fair value of interest rate swaps designated as fair value hedges on the 2019, 2020, 2021, 2022 and 2038 Notes. Refer to Note 6 for additional information regarding derivatives.

Capital leases have been excluded from the calculation of fair value for both 2014 and 2013.

The fair value amounts of long term debt as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 were based on current market rates available to the Company (Level 2 inputs). The difference between the fair value and the carrying value represents the theoretical net premium or discount that would be paid or received to retire all debt at such date.

FAIR VALUE OF OTHER FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The fair value amounts for cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, net, commercial paper, accounts payable and other current liabilities approximate carrying amounts due to the short maturities of these instruments.

12. Stock-Based Compensation

The Company's Omnibus Stock Incentive Plans of 2008 and 2009 (collectively, the "DPS Stock Plans") provide for various long-term incentive awards, including stock options, restricted stock units ("RSUs") and performance share units ("PSUs").

Stock-based compensation expense is recorded in SG&A expenses in the unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income. The components of stock-based compensation expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 are presented below (in millions):

	For the Three	for the Three Months Ended June For the Six Months Ended		Months Ended June	e
	30,		30,		
	2014	2013	2014	2013	
Total stock-based compensation expense	\$11	\$10	\$22	\$19	
Income tax benefit recognized in the income statement	(4) (3) (8) (6)
Stock-based compensation expense, net of tax	\$7	\$7	\$14	\$13	

DR PEPPER SNAPPLE GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited, Continued)

STOCK OPTIONS

The table below summarizes stock option activity for the six months ended June 30, 2014:

	Stock Options	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term (Years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (in millions)
Outstanding as of January 1, 2014	2,031,833	\$37.59	7.65	\$23
Granted	667,139	51.68		
Exercised	(802,729	34.81		14
Forfeited or expired	_			
Outstanding as of June 30, 2014	1,896,243	43.72	8.35	28
Exercisable as of June 30, 2014	639,671	37.53	7.10	13

As of June 30, 2014, there was \$7 million of unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested stock options granted under the DPS Stock Plans that is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 1.34 years. RESTRICTED STOCK UNITS

The table below summarizes RSU activity for the six months ended June 30, 2014. The fair value of restricted stock units is determined based on the number of units granted and the grant date price of the Company's common stock.

Weighted

	RSUs	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value	Average Remaining Contractual Term (Years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (in millions)
Outstanding as of January 1, 2014	2,139,143	\$39.15	1.12	\$104
Granted	583,303	51.68		
Vested and released	(722,821) 36.49		38
Forfeited	(39,701) 42.57		
Outstanding as of June 30, 2014	1,959,924	43.79	1.57	115

As of June 30, 2014, there was \$49 million of unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested RSUs granted under the DPS Stock Plans that is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 1.55 years. During the six months ended June 30, 2014, 722,821 shares subject to previously granted RSUs vested. A majority of these vested RSUs were net share settled. The Company withheld 237,085 shares based upon the Company's closing stock price on the vesting date to settle the employees' minimum statutory obligation for the applicable income and other employment taxes. Subsequently, the Company remitted the required funds to the appropriate taxing authorities. Total payments for the employees' tax obligations to the relevant taxing authorities were \$14 million for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and are reflected as a financing activity within the unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows. The payments were used for tax withholdings related to the net share settlements of RSUs and dividend equivalent units. The payments had the effect of share repurchases by the Company as they reduced the number of shares that would have otherwise been issued on the vesting date and were recorded as a reduction of additional paid-in capital.

DR PEPPER SNAPPLE GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited, Continued)

PERFORMANCE SHARE UNITS

The table below summarizes PSU activity for the six months ended June 30, 2014. The fair value of performance share units is determined based on the number of units granted and the grant date price of the Company's common stock.

	PSUs	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term (Years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (in millions)
Outstanding as of January 1, 2014	422,866	\$39.88	1.26	\$21
Granted	149,727	51.68		
Vested and released	(104,165	36.42		5
Forfeited	(23,014	36.42		
Outstanding as of June 30, 2014	445,414	44.83	1.56	26

As of June 30, 2014, there was \$13 million of unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested PSUs granted under the DPS Stock Plans that is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 1.57 years. During the six months ended June 30, 2014, 104,165 shares subject to previously granted PSUs vested. A majority of these vested PSUs were net share settled. The Company withheld 30,944 shares based upon the Company's closing stock price on the vesting date to settle the employees' minimum statutory obligation for the applicable income and other employment taxes. Subsequently, the Company remitted the required funds to the appropriate taxing authorities. Total payments for the employees' tax obligations to the relevant taxing authorities were \$2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and are reflected as a financing activity within the unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows. The payments were used for tax withholdings related to the net share settlements of PSUs and dividend equivalent units. The payments had the effect of share repurchases by the Company as they reduced the number of shares that would have otherwise been issued on the vesting date and were recorded as a reduction of additional paid-in capital.

13. Earnings Per Share

Basic earnings per share ("EPS") is computed by dividing net income by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Diluted EPS reflects the assumed conversion of all dilutive securities. The following table presents the basic and diluted EPS and the Company's basic and diluted shares outstanding for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 (in millions, except per share data):

	For the Three Months Ended June		For the Six Months Ended June	
	30,		30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Basic EPS:				
Net income	\$210	\$155	\$365	\$261
Weighted average common shares outstanding	196.6	204.1	197.3	204.4
Earnings per common share — basic	\$1.07	\$0.76	\$1.85	\$1.28
Diluted EPS:				
Net income	\$210	\$155	\$365	\$261
Weighted average common shares outstanding	196.6	204.1	197.3	204.4
Effect of dilutive securities:				
Stock options, RSUs, PSUs and dividend equivalent units	1.2	1.4	1.3	1.6
•	197.8	205.5	198.6	206.0

Weighted average common shares outstanding and common stock equivalents

Earnings per common share — diluted \$1.06 \$0.76 \$1.84 \$1.27

Table of Contents
DR PEPPER SNAPPLE GROUP, INC.
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited, Continued)

Stock options, RSUs, PSUs and dividend equivalent units totaling 0.4 million and 0.6 million shares were excluded from the diluted weighted average shares outstanding for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, respectively, as they were not dilutive. Stock options, RSUs, PSUs and dividend equivalent units totaling 0.6 million shares were excluded from the diluted weighted average shares outstanding for each of the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, as they were not dilutive.

During the six months ended June 30, 2014, the Company executed a \$50 million prepaid forward repurchase of common stock and will receive the repurchased shares in July 2014. Shares to be repurchased under prepaid forward contracts are still considered issued and outstanding and did not reduce the number of weighted average basic and diluted shares outstanding for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014.

Under the terms of our RSU and PSU agreements, unvested RSU and PSU awards contain forfeitable rights to dividends and dividend equivalent units. Because the dividend equivalent units are forfeitable, they are defined as non-participating securities. As of June 30, 2014, there were 105,285 dividend equivalent units, which will vest at the time that the underlying RSU and PSU vests.

During 2009, 2010 and 2011, the Board authorized a total aggregate share repurchase plan of \$3 billion. The Company repurchased and retired 2.7 million shares of common stock valued at approximately \$146 million and 3.9 million shares of common stock valued at approximately \$206 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, respectively. The Company repurchased and retired 0.5 million shares of common stock valued at approximately \$25 million and 2.8 million shares of common stock valued at approximately \$126 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively. These amounts were recorded as a reduction of equity, primarily additional paid-in capital in the unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statement of Equity. As of June 30, 2014, \$366 million remains available for share repurchase under the Board authorization.

14. Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss

The following table provides a summary of changes in the balances of each component of AOCL, net of taxes, for the three months ended June 30, 2014 (in millions):

	Foreign Currency Translation	Change in Pension Liability	Cash Flow Hedges	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss
Balance as of April 1, 2014	\$(22) \$(32) \$(37) \$(91)
OCI before reclassifications	6		(2) 4
Amounts reclassified from AOCL	_		2	2
Net current year OCI	6		_	6
Balance as of June 30, 2014	\$(16) \$(32) \$(37) \$(85)

26

DR PEPPER SNAPPLE GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited, Continued)

The following table provides a summary of changes in the balances of each component of AOCL, net of taxes, for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and the year ended December 31, 2013 (in millions):

	Foreign Currency Translation		Change in Pension Liability		Cash Flow Hedges		Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	
Balance as of January 1, 2013	\$(8)	\$(56)	\$(46)	\$(110)
OCI before reclassifications	(9)	19		3		13	
Amounts reclassified from AOCL			4		5		9	
Net current year OCI	(9)	23		8		22	
Balance as of December 31, 2013	(17)	(33)	(38)	(88))
OCI before reclassifications	1		_		(1)	_	
Amounts reclassified from AOCL			1		2		3	
Net current year OCI	1		1		1		3	
Balance as of June 30, 2014	\$(16)	\$(32)	\$(37)	\$(85)

The following table presents the amount of loss reclassified from AOCL into the unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 (in millions):

	For the Three Months Ended June 30,				For the Six Months Ended June 30,		
Location of Loss Reclassified from AOCL	2014	2013		2014		2013	
Interest expense	\$(2) \$(2)	\$(4)	\$(4)
Cost of sales		_		1		_	
ontracts Total ncome tax expense Total) (2 (1) \$(1)	(3 (1 \$(2)	(4 (2 \$(2)
Selling, general and administrative expenses	\$—	\$1		\$ —		\$1	
Selling, general and administrative expenses		(2)	(1)	(3)
Selling, general and administrative expenses		(2)	_		(2)
1	 \$ \$(2	(3 (1 \$(2) \$(3))	(1 \$(1 \$(3)	(4 (1 \$(3 \$(5)))
	Reclassified from AOCL into Income Interest expense Cost of sales Selling, general and administrative expenses Selling, general and administrative expenses Selling, general and	Location of Loss Reclassified from AOCL into Income Interest expense \$(2) Cost of sales (2) \$(2) \$(2) \$(2) \$(2) \$(2) \$(2) \$(2)	Ended June 30, Location of Loss Reclassified from AOCL into Income Interest expense Cost of sales Selling, general and administrative expenses Selling, general and administrative expenses Selling, general and administrative expenses	Ended June 30, Location of Loss Reclassified from AOCL 2014 2013 into Income Interest expense \$(2) \$(2) Cost of sales — — (1) \$(2) \$(1) \$(2) \$(1) \$(2) \$(1) \$(2) \$(1) \$(2) \$(1) \$(2) \$(1) \$(2) \$(1) \$(2) \$(1) \$(2) \$(1) \$(2) \$(1) \$(2) \$(1) \$(3) \$(1) \$(3) \$(1) \$(3) \$(1) \$(3) \$(1) \$(3) \$(1) \$(3) \$(1) \$(3) \$(1) \$(3) \$(1) \$(3) \$(2) \$(3) \$(3) \$(3) \$(3) \$(4) \$(2)	Ended June 30, June 30, Location of Loss Reclassified from AOCL into Income Interest expense Cost of sales Selling, general and administrative expenses Selling, general and administrative expenses Selling, general and administrative expenses Selling, general and administrative expenses Selling, general and administrative expenses Selling, general and administrative expenses Selling, general and administrative expenses Selling, general and administrative expenses Selling, general and administrative expenses	Ended June 30, June 30, Location of Loss Reclassified from AOCL 2014 2013 2014 Interest expense Interest expense \$(2) \$(2) \$(4) Cost of sales	Ended June 30, June 30, Reclassified from AOCL into Income Interest expense \$(2) \$(2) \$(4) \$(4) \$(4) \$(5) \$(5) \$(7) \$(1) \$(7) \$

DR PEPPER SNAPPLE GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited, Continued)

15. Supplemental Cash Flow Information

The following table details supplemental cash flow disclosures of non-cash investing and financing activities for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 (in millions):

	For the Six Mon	ths Ended June
	30,	
	2014	2013
Supplemental cash flow disclosures of non-cash investing and financing activities:		
Dividends declared but not yet paid	\$81	\$78
Capital expenditures included in accounts payable and other current liabilities	11	7
Stock issued for acquisition of business	_	13
Capital lease additions	_	1
Supplemental cash flow disclosures:		
Interest paid	\$48	\$56
Income taxes paid	146	125
16.0		

16. Commitments and Contingencies

LEGAL MATTERS

The Company is occasionally subject to litigation or other legal proceedings as set forth below. The Company does not believe that the outcome of these, or any other, pending legal matters, individually or collectively, will have a material adverse effect on the results of operations, financial condition or liquidity of the Company.

ENVIRONMENTAL, HEALTH AND SAFETY MATTERS

The Company operates many manufacturing, bottling and distribution facilities. In these and other aspects of the Company's business, it is subject to a variety of federal, state and local environmental, health and safety laws and regulations. The Company maintains environmental, health and safety policies and a quality, environmental, health and safety program designed to ensure compliance with applicable laws and regulations. However, the nature of the Company's business exposes it to the risk of claims with respect to environmental, health and safety matters, and there can be no assurance that material costs or liabilities will not be incurred in connection with such claims. The federal Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980, also known as the Superfund law, as well as similar state laws, generally impose joint and several liability for cleanup and enforcement costs on current and former owners and operators of a site without regard to fault or the legality of the original conduct. In October 2008, DPS was notified by the Environmental Protection Agency that it is a potentially responsible party for study and cleanup costs at a Superfund site in New Jersey. Investigation and remediation costs are yet to be determined, therefore no reasonable estimate exists on which to base a loss accrual. Through June 30, 2014, the Company has paid approximately \$600,000 since the notification for DPS' allocation of costs related to the study for this site.

DR PEPPER SNAPPLE GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited, Continued)

17. Segments

As of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, the Company's operating structure consisted of the following three operating segments:

- •The Beverage Concentrates segment reflects sales of the Company's branded concentrates and syrup to third party bottlers primarily in the U.S. and Canada. Most of the brands in this segment are carbonated soft drink brands.
- •The Packaged Beverages segment reflects sales in the U.S. and Canada from the manufacture and distribution of finished beverages and other products, including sales of the Company's own brands and third party brands, through both DSD and WD.
- •The Latin America Beverages segment reflects sales in the Mexico, Caribbean, and other international markets from the manufacture and distribution of concentrates, syrup and finished beverages.

Segment results are based on management reports. Net sales and SOP are the significant financial measures used to assess the operating performance of the Company's operating segments.

Information about the Company's operations by operating segment for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 is as follows (in millions):

, ,		For the Three Months Ended June Fo		ths Ended June
	30,		30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Segment Results – Net sales				
Beverage Concentrates	\$327	\$336	\$608	\$599
Packaged Beverages	1,154	1,148	2,160	2,166
Latin America Beverages	150	127	261	226
Net sales	\$1,631	\$1,611	\$3,029	\$2,991
	For the Three M	Months Ended June	e For the Six Mo	nths Ended June
	30,		30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Segment Results – SOP				
Beverage Concentrates	\$214	\$205	\$388	\$359
Packaged Beverages	177	145	308	259
Latin America Beverages	24	18	37	28
Total SOP	415	368	733	646
Unallocated corporate costs	70	81	127	161
Other operating expense, net	(3) 2	(2)	3
Income from operations	348	285	608	482
Interest expense, net	27	30	52	64
Other income, net	(1) (41) (2	(44)
Income before provision for income taxes and equity in earnings of unconsolidated subsidiaries	\$322	\$296	\$558	\$462

The Company presents segment information in accordance with U.S. GAAP, which establishes reporting and disclosure standards for an enterprise's operating segments. Operating segments are defined as components of an enterprise that are businesses, for which separate financial information is available, and for which the financial information is regularly reviewed by the Company's leadership team.

18. Guarantor and Non-Guarantor Financial Information

The Company's 2016, 2018, 2019, 2020, 2021, 2022 and 2038 Notes (collectively, the "Notes") are fully and unconditionally guaranteed by substantially all of the Company's existing and future direct and indirect domestic subsidiaries (except two immaterial subsidiaries associated with charitable purposes) (the "Guarantors"), as defined in the indentures governing the Notes. The Guarantors are 100% owned either directly or indirectly by the Company and jointly and severally guarantee, subject to the release provisions described below, the Company's obligations under the Notes. None of the Company's subsidiaries organized outside of the U.S. or immaterial subsidiaries used for charitable purposes (collectively, the "Non-Guarantors") guarantee the Notes. The subsidiary guarantees with respect to the Notes are subject to release upon the occurrence of certain events, including the sale of all or substantially all of a subsidiary's assets, the release of the subsidiary's guarantee of other indebtedness of the Company, the Company's exercise of its legal defeasance option with respect to the Notes and the discharge of the Company's obligations under the applicable indenture.

The following schedules present the financial information for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, and as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, for Dr Pepper Snapple Group, Inc. (the "Parent"), Guarantors and Non-Guarantors. The consolidating schedules are provided in accordance with the reporting requirements for guarantor subsidiaries (in millions).

Condense	d (Consolidating	St	atements of Incor	ne			
For the Th	nre	e Months End	lec	l June 30, 2014				
Parent		Guarantors		Non-Guarantors	Eliminations		Total	
\$ —		\$1,443		\$196	\$(8)	\$1,631	
_		578		95	(8)	665	
_		865		101	_		966	
1		529		62	_		592	
_		27		2			29	
_		(3)				(3)
(1)	312		37	_		348	
26		12			(11)	27	
(9)			(2)	11		_	
(2)	(1)	2			(1)
(16)	301		37	_		322	
(7)	110		10			113	
(9)	191		27	_		209	
219		28		_	(247)	_	
_		_		1	_		1	
\$210		\$219		\$28	\$(247)	\$210	
	For the The Parent \$— — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	For the Three Parent \$—	For the Three Months End Parent Guarantors \$— \$1,443 — 578 — 865 1 529 — 27 — (3 (1) 312 26 12 (9) — (2) (1 (16) 301 (7) 110 (9) 191 219 28 — —	For the Three Months Ended Parent Guarantors \$— \$1,443 — 578 — 865 1 529 — 27 — (3) (1) 312 26 12 (9) — (2) (1) (16) 301 (7) 110 (9) 191 219 28 — —	For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2014 Parent Guarantors Non-Guarantors \$— \$1,443 \$196 — 578 95 — 865 101 1 529 62 — 27 2 — (3)— (1) 312 37 26 12 — (2) (1))— (2) (2) (1) 2 (16) 301 37 (7) 110 10 (9) 191 27 219 28 — — — 1	Parent Guarantors Non-Guarantors Eliminations \$	For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2014 Parent Guarantors Non-Guarantors Eliminations \$— \$1,443 \$196 \$(8) — 578 95 (8) — 865 101 — 1 529 62 — — 27 2 — — (3)——————————————————————————————————	For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2014 Parent Guarantors Non-Guarantors Eliminations Total \$— \$1,443 \$196 \$(8) \$1,631 — 578 95 (8) 665 — 865 101 — 966 1 529 62 — 592 — (3) — (3 (1) 312 37 — (3 (1) 312 37 — 348 26 12 — (11) 27 (9) — (2) 11 — (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (2) 11 — (2 (2 (1 (2 (1 (2 (1 (2 (1 (2 (2 (1 (2 (2 (2 (2 (1 (2 (2 (2 (2 (2 (2 (2 <

	Condensed Consolidating Statements of Income For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2013									
	Parent		Guarantors		Non-Guarantors	Eliminations		Total		
Net sales	\$		\$1,443		\$177	\$(9)	\$1,611		
Cost of sales			603		82	(9)	676		
Gross profit	_		840		95			935		
Selling, general and administrative expenses	_		557		62			619		
Depreciation and amortization			26		3			29		
Other operating (income) expense, net	_		2		_			2		
Income from operations	_		255		30	_		285		
Interest expense	29		23		_	(21)	31		
Interest income	(20)			(2)	21		(1)	
Other (income) expense, net	(40)	(2)	1			(41)	
Income (loss) before provision for income taxes and equity in earnings of subsidiaries			234		31	_		296		
Provision for income taxes	(3)	88		57			142		
Income (loss) before equity in earnings of subsidiaries	34		146		(26)	_		154		
Equity in earnings of consolidated subsidiaries	121		(25)	_	(96)	_		
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated subsidiaries, net of tax	_		_		1	_		1		
Net income	\$155		\$121		\$(25)	\$(96)	\$155		
30										

Condensed Consolidating Statements of Income For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2014 Guarantors Non-Guarantors Eliminations Total Parent Net sales \$---\$2,715 \$330 \$(16) \$3,029 Cost of sales 1,072 163 (16)) 1,219 Gross profit 1,643 167 1,810 Selling, general and administrative 1 1,031 114 1,146 expenses Depreciation and amortization 54 4 58 Other operating (income) expense, net (2 (2) Income from operations) 560 49 608 (1 Interest expense 51 24 (22) 53 Interest income (19 (4 22 (1 Other (income) expense, net) 3 (2) (3 (2 Income before provision for income taxes (31) 539 50 558 and equity in earnings of subsidiaries Provision for income taxes 12 194 (12)) 194 Income (loss) before equity in earnings of (19) 345 38 364 subsidiaries Equity in earnings of consolidated 384 39 (423) subsidiaries Equity in earnings of unconsolidated 1 1 subsidiaries, net of tax

\$384

\$39

\$(423

) \$365

\$365

Net income

Condensed Consolidating Statements of Income For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 Parent Guarantors Non-Guarantors Eliminations Total Net sales \$--\$2,699 \$308 \$(16) \$2,991 Cost of sales 1,137 145 (16) 1,266 Gross profit 1,562 163 1,725 Selling, general and administrative 1,070 112 1,182 expenses 5 Depreciation and amortization 53 58 Other operating (income) expense, net 3 3 Income from operations 436 482 46 Interest expense 63 (42) 65 44 Interest income (39) 42) — (4 (1 (44 Other (income) expense, net) 3 (44)) (3 Income (loss) before provision for income taxes and equity in earnings of subsidiaries 20 395 47 462 Provision for income taxes) 149 61 202 Income (loss) before equity in earnings of 28 246 (14 260 subsidiaries Equity in earnings of consolidated 233 (13 (220)) subsidiaries Equity in earnings of unconsolidated 1 1 subsidiaries, net of tax

\$233

\$(13

) \$(220

) \$261

\$261

Net income

Edgar Filing: Dr Pepper Snapple Group, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

DR PEPPER SNAPPLE GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited, Continued)

	Condensed Consolidating Statements of Comprehensive Income										
	For the Thre	ee Months Ende	ed June 30, 2014								
	Parent	Guarantors	Non-Guarantors	Eliminations	Total						
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$216	\$226	\$40	\$(266) \$216						
	Condensed	Consolidating S	Statements of Com	prehensive Inc	ome						
	For the Three	ee Months Ende	ed June 30, 2013								
	Parent	Guarantors	Non-Guarantors	Eliminations	Total						
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$148	\$108	\$(49)	\$(59) \$148						
	Condensed	Consolidating S	Statements of Com	prehensive Inc	ome						
	For the Six	Months Ended	June 30, 2014								
	Parent	Guarantors	Non-Guarantors	Eliminations	Total						
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$368	\$385	\$38	\$(423) \$368						
	Condensed	Consolidating S	Statements of Com	prehensive Inc	ome						
	For the Six	Months Ended	June 30, 2013								
	Parent	Guarantors	Non-Guarantors	Eliminations	Total						
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$267	\$230	\$(32)	\$(198) \$267						

Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheets As of June 30, 2014 Parent Guarantors Non-Guarantors Eliminations Total Current assets: Cash and cash equivalents \$---\$68 \$67 \$---\$135 Accounts receivable: 76 Trade, net 513 589 Other 3 38 12 53 Related party receivable 10 10 (20)) — Inventories 182 31 213 Deferred tax assets 62 6 (3) 65 Prepaid expenses and other current assets 196 112 6 (180)) 134 Total current assets 209 985 198 (203)) 1,189 Property, plant and equipment, net 93 1,029 1,122 Investments in consolidated subsidiaries 5,865 (6,492)627 Investments in unconsolidated subsidiaries 1 15 14 22 Goodwill 2,966 2,988 79 Other intangible assets, net 2,614 2,693 Long-term receivable, related parties 3,098 4.221 302 (7,621)) — Other non-current assets 44 93 137 25 (25 Non-current deferred tax assets 82) 82 \$9,242 Total assets \$12,535 \$790 \$(14,341) \$8,226 Current liabilities: Accounts payable \$284 \$29 \$---\$313 Related party payable 10 10 (20)) — Deferred revenue 63 2 65 Short-term borrowings and current portion 70 2 72 of long-term obligations Income taxes payable 231 3) 54 (180)Other current liabilities 116 383 63) 559 (3 Total current liabilities 186 973 107 (203)) 1,063 Long-term obligations to third parties 55 2,537 2,482 Long-term obligations to related parties 4,221 3,400 (7,621)Non-current deferred tax liabilities) 776 800 1 (25 Non-current deferred revenue 39 1,286 1,247 295 Other non-current liabilities 84 195 16 Total liabilities 6,973 6,670 (7,849)) 5,957 163 Total stockholders' equity) 2,269 2,269 5,865 627 (6,492)

\$9,242

\$12,535

\$790

Total liabilities and stockholders' equity

) \$8,226

\$(14,341

	Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheets								
		nber 31, 2013	N. G	T					
Comment	Parent	Guarantors	Non-Guarantors	Eliminations	Total				
Current assets:	¢	¢00	Φ.C.5	¢	¢ 152				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ —	\$88	\$65	\$ —	\$153				
Accounts receivable:		502	(0		561				
Trade, net		502	62		564				
Other	2	43	13		58				
Related party receivable	12	7	_	(19) _				
Inventories		172	28		200				
Deferred tax assets		63	6	(3)	66				
Prepaid and other current assets	184	58	4	(168	78				
Total current assets	198	933	178	(190	1,119				
Property, plant and equipment, net		1,081	92		1,173				
Investments in consolidated subsidiaries	5,438	590		(6,028	—				
Investments in unconsolidated subsidiaries	s 1	_	14	_	15				
Goodwill		2,966	22	_	2,988				
Other intangible assets, net		2,616	78		2,694				
Long-term receivable, related parties	3,077	3,766	259	(7,102	_				
Other non-current assets	32	95	_		127				
Non-current deferred tax assets	27	_	85	(27	85				
Total assets	\$8,773	\$12,047	\$728	\$(13,347	\$8,201				
Current liabilities:									
Accounts payable	\$ —	\$247	\$24	\$ —	\$271				
Related party payable	ψ—	12	7	(19	Ψ2/1				
Deferred revenue		63	2	(1)	65				
		03	2	_	03				
Short-term borrowings and current portion of long-term obligations	65	1			66				
Income taxes payable		194	7	(168	33				
Other current liabilities	110	448	40	(3	595				
Total current liabilities	175	965	80	(190	1,030				
Long-term obligations to third parties	2,453	55			2,508				
Long-term obligations to related parties	3,766	3,336	_	(7,102	· —				
Non-current deferred tax liabilities	_	781	1	(27	755				
Non-current deferred revenue	_	1,278	40		1,318				
Other non-current liabilities	102	194	17	_	313				
Total liabilities	6,496	6,609	138	(7,319	5,924				
Total stockholders' equity	2,277	5,438	590	(6,028	2,277				
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$8,773	\$12,047	\$728	\$(13,347	\$8,201				

	Condensed Consolidating Statements of Cash Flows For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2014									
On anoting a policities.	Parent		Guarantors		Non-Guarantors	Eliminations		Total		
Operating activities: Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities Investing activities:	\$(56)	\$445		\$49	\$ —		\$438		
Purchase of property, plant and equipment Purchase of intangible assets Return of capital			(63 (1 2)	(8) - (2)			(71 (1 —)	
Proceeds from disposals of property, plant and equipment	_		7		_	_		7		
Issuance of related party notes receivable	_		(460)	(37)	497				
Repayment of related party notes receivable	_		5		_	(5)			
Other, net	(3)	_		_	_		(3)	
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities	(3)	(510)	(47)	492		(68)	
Financing activities:										
Proceeds from issuance of related party debt	460		37		_	(497)			
Repayment of related party debt	(5)				5				
Net issuance of commercial paper	5		_		_	_		5		
Repurchase of shares of common stock	(206)	_		_	_		(206)	
Cash paid for shares not yet received	(50)			_	_		(50)	
Dividends paid	(157)			_	_		(157)	
Tax withholdings related to net share settlements of certain stock awards	(16)	_		_	_		(16)	
Proceeds from stock options exercised	28		_		_	_		28		
Excess tax benefit on stock-based compensation	_		8		_	_		8		
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	59		45		_	(492)	(388)	
Cash and cash equivalents — net change from:										
Operating, investing and financing activities	_		(20)	2	_		(18)	
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	_		_		_	_		_		
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	_		88		65	_		153		
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$		\$68		\$67	\$ —		\$135		

			Consolidating Months Ended		eatements of Cas une 30, 2013	sh	Flows			
	Parent		Guarantors		Non-Guaranton	S	Eliminations		Total	
Operating activities: Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities Investing activities:	\$(55)	\$329		\$21		\$—		\$295	
Acquisition of business			(10)					(10)
Purchase of property, plant and equipment	_		(70)	(10)	_		(80)
Purchase of intangible assets	_		(5)	_	_			(5)
Return of capital	_		40	_	(40)			_	
Proceeds from disposals of property, plant			1						1	
and equipment	_		1		_				1	
Issuance of related party notes receivable	_		(330)	_		330		_	
Repayment of related party notes receivable	250		68		_		(318)	_	
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities	250		(306)	(50)	12		(94)
Financing activities:										
Proceeds from issuance of related party debt	330		_		_		(330)	_	
Repayment of related party debt	(68)	(250)	_		318		_	
Net issuance of commercial paper	68		_		_		_		68	
Repayment of senior unsecured notes	(250)	_						(250)
Repurchase of shares of common stock	(126)			_				(126)
Dividends paid	(148)	_		_				(148)
Tax withholdings related to net share	(12	`							(12	`
settlements of certain stock awards		,							•	,
Proceeds from stock options exercised	12								12	
Excess tax benefit on stock-based compensation	_		6		_		_		6	
Other, net	(1)			_				(1)
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(195)	(244)	_		(12)	(451)
Cash and cash equivalents — net change										
from:										
Operating, investing and financing activities	_		(221)	(29)	_		(250)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	_				(3)	_		(3)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of	_		257		109				366	
year										
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$—		\$36		\$77		\$ —		\$113	

ITEM 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations You should read the following discussion in conjunction with our audited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013.

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), including, in particular, statements about future events, future financial performance, plans, strategies, expectations, prospects, competitive environment, regulation, labor matters and availability of raw materials. Forward-looking statements include all statements that are not historical facts and can be identified by the use of forward-looking terminology such as the words "may," "will," "expect," "anticipate," "believe," "estimate," "plan," "intend" or the negative of these terms or similar expressions in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. We have based these forward-looking statements on our current views with respect to future events and financial performance. Our actual financial performance could differ materially from those projected in the forward-looking statements due to the inherent uncertainty of estimates, forecasts and projections, and our financial performance may be better or worse than anticipated. Given these uncertainties, you should not put undue reliance on any forward-looking statements. All of the forward-looking statements are qualified in their entirety by reference to the factors discussed under "Risk Factors" in Part I, Item 1A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013.

Forward-looking statements represent our estimates and assumptions only as of the date that they were made. We do not undertake any duty to update the forward-looking statements, and the estimates and assumptions associated with them, after the date of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, except to the extent required by applicable securities laws. This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains the names of some of our owned or licensed trademarks, trade names and service marks, which we refer to as our brands. All of the product names included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q are either our registered trademarks or those of our licensors.

Cadbury plc and Cadbury Schweppes plc are hereafter collectively referred to as "Cadbury", unless otherwise indicated. Kraft Foods Inc. acquired Cadbury on February 2, 2010.

On October 1, 2012, Kraft Foods, Inc. spun-off its North American grocery business to its shareholders and changed its name to Mondelēz International, Inc. ("Mondelēz").

OVERVIEW

We are a leading integrated brand owner, manufacturer and distributor of non-alcoholic beverages in the United States ("U.S."), Canada and Mexico with a diverse portfolio of flavored carbonated soft drinks ("CSDs") and non-carbonated beverages ("NCBs"), including ready-to-drink teas, juices, juice drinks and mixers. Our brand portfolio includes popular CSD brands such as Dr Pepper, Sunkist soda, 7UP, A&W, Canada Dry, Crush, Squirt, Peñafiel and Schweppes, and NCB brands such as Snapple, Mott's, Hawaiian Punch, Clamato, Rose's and Mr & Mrs T mixers. Our largest brand, Dr Pepper, is a leading flavored CSD in the U.S. according to The Nielsen Company. We have some of the most recognized beverage brands in North America, with significant consumer awareness levels and long histories that evoke strong emotional connections with consumers.

We operate as an integrated brand owner, manufacturer and distributor through our three segments. We believe our integrated business model strengthens our route-to-market and provides opportunities for net sales and profit growth through the alignment of the economic interests of our brand ownership and our manufacturing and distribution businesses through both our Direct Store Delivery ("DSD") system and our Warehouse Direct ("WD") delivery system. Our integrated business model enables us to be more flexible and responsive to the changing needs of our large retail customers and allows us to more fully leverage our scale and reduce costs by creating greater geographic manufacturing and distribution coverage.

The beverage market is subject to some seasonal variations. Our beverage sales are generally higher during the warmer months and also can be influenced by the timing of holidays and religious festivals as well as weather fluctuations.

BEVERAGE CONCENTRATES

Our Beverage Concentrates segment is principally a brand ownership business. In this segment we manufacture and sell beverage concentrates in the U.S. and Canada. Most of the brands in this segment are CSD brands. Key brands

Edgar Filing: Dr Pepper Snapple Group, Inc. - Form 10-Q

include Dr Pepper, Canada Dry, Crush, Schweppes, 7UP, Sunkist soda, A&W, Sun Drop, RC Cola, Diet Rite, Squirt, Country Time, Vernors and the concentrate form of Hawaiian Punch.

Almost all of our beverage concentrates are manufactured at our plant in St. Louis, Missouri.

The beverage concentrates are shipped to third party bottlers, as well as to our own manufacturing systems, who combine them with carbonation, water, sweeteners and other ingredients, package it in PET containers, glass bottles and aluminum cans, and sell it as a finished beverage to retailers. Beverage concentrates are also manufactured into syrup, which is shipped to fountain customers, such as fast food restaurants, who mix the syrup with water and carbonation to create a finished beverage at the point of sale to consumers. Dr Pepper represents most of our fountain channel volume. Concentrate prices historically have been reviewed and adjusted at least on an annual basis. Our Beverage Concentrates brands are sold by bottlers, including our own Packaged Beverages segment, through all major retail channels, including supermarkets, fountains, mass merchandisers, club stores, vending machines, convenience stores, gas stations, small groceries, drug chains and dollar stores.

PACKAGED BEVERAGES

Our Packaged Beverages segment is principally a brand ownership, manufacturing and distribution business. In this segment, we primarily manufacture and distribute packaged beverages and other products, including our brands, third party owned brands and certain private label beverages, in the U.S. and Canada. Key NCB brands in this segment include Snapple, Hawaiian Punch, Mott's, Yoo-Hoo, Clamato, Deja Blue, Mistic, Nantucket Nectars, ReaLemon, Mr and Mrs T mixers, Rose's and Country Time. Key CSD brands in this segment include 7UP, Dr Pepper, A&W, Sunkist soda, Canada Dry, Squirt, RC Cola, Sun Drop, Diet Rite, IBC and Vernors. Additionally, we distribute third party brands such as Big Red, AriZona tea, FIJI mineral water, Neuro beverages, Vita Coco coconut water, Bai 5, Sparkling Fruit₂O and Hydrive energy drinks. We also derive a portion of our sales from bottling beverages and other products for private label owners or others for a fee. Although the majority of our Packaged Beverages' net sales relate to our brands, we also provide a route-to-market for third party brand owners seeking effective distribution for their new and emerging brands. These brands give us exposure in certain markets to fast growing segments of the beverage industry with minimal capital investment.

Our Packaged Beverages' products are manufactured in multiple facilities across the U.S. and are sold or distributed to retailers and their warehouses by our own distribution network or by third party distributors. The raw materials used to manufacture our products include aluminum cans and ends, glass bottles, PET bottles and caps, paper products, sweeteners, juices, water and other ingredients.

We sell our Packaged Beverages' products both through our DSD system, supported by a fleet of approximately 6,000 trucks and 12,000 employees, including sales representatives, merchandisers, drivers and warehouse workers, as well as through our WD system, both of which include the sales to all major retail channels, including supermarkets, fountain, mass merchandisers, club stores, vending machines, convenience stores, gas stations, small groceries, drug chains and dollar stores.

LATIN AMERICA BEVERAGES

Our Latin America Beverages segment is a brand ownership, manufacturing and distribution business. This segment participates mainly in the carbonated mineral water, flavored CSD, bottled water and vegetable juice categories, with particular strength in carbonated mineral water and grapefruit flavored CSDs. Key brands include Peñafiel, Squirt, Clamato and Aguafiel.

In Mexico, we manufacture and distribute our products through our bottling operations and third party bottlers and distributors. In the Caribbean, we distribute our products through third party bottlers and distributors. In Mexico, we also participate in a joint venture to manufacture Aguafiel brand water with Acqua Minerale San Benedetto. We provide expertise in the Mexican beverage market and Acqua Minerale San Benedetto provides expertise in water production and new packaging technologies.

We sell our finished beverages through all major Mexican retail channels, including the "mom and pop" stores, supermarkets, hypermarkets, convenience stores and on premise channels.

VOLUME

In evaluating our performance, we consider different volume measures depending on whether we sell beverage concentrates or finished beverages.

Beverage Concentrates Sales Volume

Edgar Filing: Dr Pepper Snapple Group, Inc. - Form 10-Q

In our Beverage Concentrates segment, we measure our sales volume in two ways: (1) "concentrate case sales" and (2) "bottler case sales." The unit of measurement for both concentrate case sales and bottler case sales equals 288 fluid ounces of finished beverage, the equivalent of 24 twelve ounce servings.

Concentrate case sales represent units of measurement for concentrates sold by us to our bottlers and distributors. A concentrate case is the amount of concentrate needed to make one case of 288 fluid ounces of finished beverage. It does not include any other component of the finished beverage other than concentrate. Our net sales in our concentrate businesses are based on our sales of concentrate cases.

Although net sales in our concentrate businesses are based on concentrate case sales, we believe that bottler case sales are also a significant measure of our performance because they measure sales of packaged beverages into retail channels.

Packaged Beverages Sales Volume

In our Packaged Beverages segment, we measure volume as case sales to customers. A case sale represents a unit of measurement equal to 288 fluid ounces of packaged beverage sold by us. Case sales include both our owned brands and certain brands licensed to and/or distributed by us.

Volume in Bottler Case Sales

In addition to sales volume, we measure volume in bottler case sales ("volume (BCS)") as sales of packaged beverages, in equivalent 288 fluid ounce cases, sold by us and our bottling partners to retailers and independent distributors. Our contract manufacturing sales are not included or reported as part of volume (BCS).

Bottler case sales and concentrates and packaged beverage sales volumes are not equal during any given period due to changes in bottler concentrates inventory levels, which can be affected by seasonality, bottler inventory and manufacturing practices and the timing of price increases and new product introductions.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY - FINANCIAL OVERVIEW AND RECENT DEVELOPMENTS

Net sales totaled \$1,631 million for the three months ended June 30, 2014, an increase of \$20 million, or 1%, from the three months ended June 30, 2013.

Net income for the three months ended June 30, 2014 was \$210 million, compared to \$155 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013, an increase of \$55 million, or 35%.

Diluted earnings per share was \$1.06 for the three months ended June 30, 2014 and \$0.76 for the year ago period, an increase of \$0.30, or approximately 39%.

During the second quarter of 2014, our Board of Directors (our "Board") declared a dividend of \$0.41 per share on outstanding common stock, which was paid on July 3, 2014 to shareholders of record on June 16, 2014.

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, we repurchased 2.7 million shares and 3.9 million shares, respectively, of our common stock valued at approximately \$146 million and \$206 million, respectively.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

We eliminate from our financial results all intercompany transactions between entities included in our consolidated financial statements and the intercompany transactions with our equity method investees.

References in the financial tables to percentage changes that are not meaningful are denoted by "NM."

Three Months Ended June 30, 2014 Compared to Three Months Ended June 30, 2013 Consolidated Operations

The following table sets forth our unaudited consolidated results of operations for the three months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 (dollars in millions):

2014 and 2013 (donars in millions).													
	For the T	For the Three Months Ended June 30,											
	2014				2013				Percentag	ge			
	Dollars		Percent		Dollars		Percent		Change				
Net sales	\$1,631		100.0	%	\$1,611		100.0	%	1	%			
Cost of sales	665		40.8		676		42.0						
Gross profit	966		59.2		935		58.0		3				
Selling, general and administrative expenses	s 592		36.3		619		38.4		(4)			
Depreciation and amortization	29		1.8		29		1.8						
Other operating (income) expense, net	(3)	(0.2)	2		0.2						
Income from operations	348		21.3		285		17.6		22				
Interest expense	27		1.7		31		1.9		(13)			
Interest income	_		_		(1)	(0.1)					
Other income, net	(1)	(0.1)	(41)	(2.5)					
Income before provision for income taxes ar	nd equity 322		19.7		296		18.3						
in earnings of unconsolidated subsidiaries													
Provision for income taxes	113		6.9		142		8.8						
Income before equity in earnings of unconso subsidiaries	olidated 209		12.8		154		9.5						
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated subsidi	iaries, net 1		0.1		1		0.1						
of tax	1		0.1		1		0.1						
Net income	\$210		12.9	%	\$155		9.6	%	35	%			
Earnings per common share:													
Basic	\$1.07		NM		\$0.76		NM		41	%			
Diluted	1.06		NM		0.76		NM		39	%			

Volume (BCS). Volume (BCS) increased 1% for the three months ended June 30, 2014 compared with the three months ended June 30, 2013. In the U.S. and Canada, volume was flat, and in Mexico and the Caribbean, volume increased 6%, compared with the year ago period. Branded CSD volume increased 2% while branded NCB volume declined 4%.

In branded CSDs, Peñafiel grew 25% in our LAB segment as a result of product and package innovation. Canada Dry, 7UP, A&W and Sunkist soda (our "Core 4 brands") increased 2% compared to the year ago period, driven by a 9% increase in Canada Dry partially offset by a 1% decline in A&W. 7UP and Sunkist soda were flat for the period. Schweppes grew 12% reflecting distribution gains in our seltzer water and growth in the ginger ale category while Crush grew 2%. These gains were partially offset by a 1% decline in Dr Pepper driven primarily by diet, a 4% decrease in our other brands and a 2% decline in RC Cola. Squirt was flat for the period.

In branded NCBs, decreases were driven by a 12% decrease in Hawaiian Punch as a result of category declines and increased competitive activity, a 5% decline in other brands and a 3% decrease in Snapple primarily driven by a decline in our value products line which we are de-emphasizing. The decline was partially offset by an 8% increase in Clamato and 4% growth in our water category primarily driven by new distribution arrangements for Bai 5 and Sparkling Fruit₂O and distribution gains in Vita Coco. Mott's was flat for the period.

Net Sales. Net sales increased \$20 million, or approximately 1%, for the three months ended June 30, 2014 compared with the three months ended June 30, 2013. The drivers of the increase in net sales were favorable package and product mix, higher pricing driven primarily to cover the Mexican sugar tax and an increase in contract manufacturing. These drivers were partially offset by higher discounts and \$10 million in unfavorable foreign currency translation. The higher discounts were primarily driven by a \$15 million unfavorable comparison of the annual true-up of our estimated customer incentive liability. The unfavorable comparison was the result of a \$5 million increase in our discounts for the three months ended June 30, 2014 versus a \$10 million reduction in our discounts for the three months ended June 30, 2013.

Gross Profit. Gross profit increased \$31 million for the three months ended June 30, 2014 compared with the three months ended June 30, 2013. Gross margin of 59.2% for the three months ended June 30, 2014, was higher than the 58.0% gross margin for the three months ended June 30, 2013. The primary driver of the favorable change in gross margin was lower commodity costs, led by sweeteners and apples, net of the change in our last in first out ("LIFO") inventory provision, which increased our gross margin by 1.60% for the three months ended June 30, 2014. Ongoing productivity improvements further increased our gross margin by 0.65% while the favorable comparison in our mark-to-market activity on commodity derivative contracts increased our gross margin by 0.30%. These drivers were partially offset by unfavorable package and product mix, which reduced our gross margin by 0.60%. The net impact of the Mexican sugar tax reduced our gross margin by 0.45% while the unfavorable comparison of the annual true-up of our estimated customer incentive liability reduced our gross margin by 0.40%.

The unfavorable comparison in our LIFO inventory provision was the result of a \$1 million increase in the provision for the three months ended June 30, 2014 versus a \$10 million decrease in the provision for the three months ended June 30, 2013, driven primarily by apple prices.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses. Selling, general and administrative ("SG&A") expenses decreased \$27 million, or approximately 4%, for the three months ended June 30, 2014 compared with the prior period. The decrease was primarily the result of an \$18 million reduction in our marketing investments, the favorable comparison to the \$4 million charge for the settlement of the Delaware escheat audit recorded in the prior period, the favorable foreign currency effect on our SG&A expenses and the favorable mark-to-market activity on commodity derivative contracts. These factors were partially offset by higher logistics costs from our third party carriers partially driven by tighter than expected overall transportation system capacity.

Income from Operations. Income from operations increased \$63 million to \$348 million for the three months ended June 30, 2014 due primarily to the increase in gross profit and a decrease in SG&A expenses.

Interest Expense. Interest expense decreased \$4 million, or approximately 13%, for the three months ended June 30, 2014, compared with the year ago period primarily due to the favorable impact of our fair value hedges and the repayment of our 6.12% senior unsecured notes in May 2013 (the "2013 Notes").

Other Income, Net and Provision for Income Taxes. Through the second quarter of 2013, we recorded indemnification income from Mondelēz under the Tax Sharing and Indemnification Agreement (the "Tax Indemnity Agreement") as other income, net in the unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income. In June 2013, a bill was enacted by the Canadian government, which reduced amounts amortized for income tax purposes. We recognized \$38 million of indemnity income due to the reduction of our long-term liability to Mondelēz and \$50 million of income tax expense for the reduction of our tax assets.

The following table excludes these amounts discussed above from our other income, net, income before provision for income taxes and equity in earnings of unconsolidated subsidiaries and provision for income taxes lines within our unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income. We have presented this table as we believe the effects of those items on these lines and on our effective tax rate for the three months ended June 30, 2013 are not meaningful as reported.

•	For the Thre	e M	onths Ended J	ne 30, 2013				
(in millions)	As reported		Enactment of the Canadian bill in June 2013		As reported excluding ta and indemnitem		For the Three Months End June 30, 201	led
Other income, net	\$(41)	\$38		\$(3)	\$(1)
Income before provision for income taxes and equity in earnings of unconsolidated subsidiaries	296		(38)	258		322	
Provision for income taxes	142		(50)	92		113	
Effective tax rate	48.0	%			35.7	%	35.1	%

Results of Operations by Segment

We report our business in three segments: Beverage Concentrates, Packaged Beverages and Latin America Beverages. The key financial measures management uses to assess the performance of our segments are net sales and segment operating profit ("SOP"). The following tables set forth net sales and SOP for our segments for the three months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, as well as the other amounts necessary to reconcile our total segment results to our consolidated results presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("U.S. GAAP") (in millions):

	For the Three Months Ended June		
	30,		
	2014	2013	
Segment Results — Net sales			
Beverage Concentrates	\$327	\$336	
Packaged Beverages	1,154	1,148	
Latin America Beverages	150	127	
Net sales	\$1,631	\$1,611	
		Months Ended June	
	30,		
	2014	2013	
Segment Results — SOP			
Beverage Concentrates	\$214	\$205	
Packaged Beverages	177	145	
Latin America Beverages	24	18	
Total SOP	415	368	
Unallocated corporate costs	70	81	
Other operating expense, net	(3) 2	
Income from operations	348	285	
Interest expense, net	27	30	
Other income, net	(1) (41	
Income before provision for income taxes and equity in earnings of unconsolidated subsidiaries	\$322	\$296	

BEVERAGE CONCENTRATES

The following table details our Beverage Concentrates segment's net sales and SOP for the three months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 (in millions):

	For the Thr	ee Months Ended	June	
	30,			
	2014	2013	Change	
Net sales	\$327	\$336	\$(9)
SOP	214	205	9	

Net Sales. Net sales decreased \$9 million for the three months ended June 30, 2014, compared with the three months ended June 30, 2013. The decrease was due to higher discounts partially offset by an increase in concentrate prices. The higher discounts were primarily driven by a \$18 million unfavorable comparison of the annual true-up of our estimated customer incentive liability. The unfavorable comparison was the result of a \$7 million increase in our discounts for the three months ended June 30, 2014 versus a \$11 million reduction in our discounts for the three months ended June 30, 2013.

SOP. SOP increased \$9 million, or approximately 4%, for the three months ended June 30, 2014, compared with the three months ended June 30, 2013, primarily driven by a \$17 million reduction in our marketing investments and the favorable comparison to the \$2 million charge for the settlement of the Delaware escheat audit recorded in the prior period, partially offset by the gross margin impact of lower net sales.

Volume (BCS). Volume (BCS) was flat for the three months ended June 30, 2014, compared with the three months ended June 30, 2013. Schweppes had a 12% increase driven by distribution gains in our seltzer water and growth in the ginger ale category. Our Core 4 brands increased 2% compared to the prior year as a result of a 6% increase in Canada Dry and 3% increase in Sunkist soda partially offset by a 5% decrease in A&W and a 3% decline in 7UP. Crush grew 4%. These increases were offset by a 1% decline in Dr Pepper, driven primarily by diet, and a 6% decrease in our other brands, led by Welch's.

PACKAGED BEVERAGES

The following table details our Packaged Beverages segment's net sales and SOP for the three months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 (in millions):

For the Three Months Ended June

	TOT the Thic	c Monuis Ended J	unc
	30,		
	2014	2013	Change
Net sales	\$1,154	\$1,148	\$6
SOP	177	145	32

Volume. Branded CSD volumes increased 2% for the three months ended June 30, 2014 compared with the three months ended June 30, 2013. Volume for our Core 4 brands increased 3% compared to the prior year period, led by an 11% increase in Canada Dry, a 2% increase in 7UP and a 1% increase in A&W, partially offset by a 2% decline in Sunkist soda. Squirt increased 7% due to higher promotional activity and package innovation, while RC Cola increased 3% for the current period. These increases were offset by a 1% decrease in Dr Pepper, driven primarily by diet. Our other brands were flat for the current period.

Branded NCB volumes decreased 4%, driven primarily by a 11% decline in Hawaiian Punch as a result of category declines and increased competitive activity. Snapple decreased 6% primarily driven by a decline in our value products line which we are de-emphasizing, while our other brands decreased 5%. Mott's decreased 1% due to declines in apple sauce. These decreases were partially offset by 9% increases in both our water category and Clamato. Growth in our water category was primarily driven by new distribution arrangements for Bai 5 and Sparkling Fruit₂O and distribution gains in Vita Coco.

Contract manufacturing increased 11% for the three months ended June 30, 2014 compared with the three months ended June 30, 2013.

Net Sales. Net sales increased \$6 million for the three months ended June 30, 2014, compared with the three months ended June 30, 2013. Net sales increased due to favorable product mix partially offset by the declines in our branded

sales volumes.

SOP. SOP increased \$32 million for the three months ended June 30, 2014, compared with the three months ended June 30, 2013 primarily driven by favorability in cost of sales. Cost of sales decreased as a result of lower commodity costs, which were led by sweeteners and apples, and ongoing productivity improvements partially offset by a \$11 million unfavorable LIFO comparison. The LIFO comparison was the result of a \$1 million increase in the provision for the three months ended June 30, 2014 versus a \$10 million decrease in the provision for the three months ended June 30, 2013, driven primarily by apple prices.

Other drivers of the change included the gross margin impact of lower branded sales volumes and higher logistics costs from our third party carriers, which was partially driven by tighter than expected overall transportation system capacity. These drivers were partially offset by lower labor and benefit costs, which included the favorable impact of the restructuring activities recognized during the second half of 2013.

LATIN AMERICA BEVERAGES

The following table details our Latin America Beverages segment's net sales and SOP for the three months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 (in millions):

tune co, zor: une zore (in immons).			
	For the Thr	ee Months Ended	June
	30,		
	2014	2013	Change
Net sales	\$150	\$127	\$23
SOP	24	18	6

Volume. Sales volume increased 6% for the three months ended June 30, 2014, as compared with the three months ended June 30, 2013. The increase in volume was driven by a 25% increase in Peñafiel as a result of product innovation and a 10% increase in Clamato as a result of increased promotional activity. Squirt and Crush declined 4% and 8%, respectively, as a result of the Mexican sugar tax. Aquafiel declined 8%. 7UP decreased 16% as sales volumes in the Caribbean were lower due to shipment timing. Dr Pepper declined 12% primarily driven by lower promotional activity. Our other brands declined 1%.

Net Sales. Net sales increased \$23 million for the three months ended June 30, 2014, compared with the three months ended June 30, 2013. Net sales increased as a result of higher pricing driven by the impact of the Mexican sugar tax, favorable product mix and increased sales volumes, partially offset by \$6 million of unfavorable foreign currency translation.

SOP. SOP increased \$6 million, or 33%, for the three months ended June 30, 2014, compared with the three months ended June 30, 2013, driven by the gross margin benefit of increased sales volumes and favorable product mix and favorability in cost of sales. Cost of sales decreased due to lower commodity costs, led by PET and sweeteners, and ongoing productivity improvements. These items were partially offset by increased people costs, higher logistics costs and unfavorable foreign currency effects. The benefit of higher pricing was offset by increased costs due to the Mexican sugar tax.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2014 Compared to Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 Consolidated Operations

The following table sets forth our unaudited consolidated results of operations for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 (dollars in millions, except per share data):

For the S	Six	Months 1	End	ed June 3	0,				
2014				2013				Percentag	ge
Dollars		Percent		Dollars		Percent		Change	
\$3,029		100.0	%	\$2,991		100.0	%	1	%
1,219		40.2		1,266		42.3			
1,810		59.8		1,725		57.7		5	
1,146		37.8		1,182		39.5		(3)
58		1.9		58		1.9			
(2)			3		0.1			
608		20.1		482		16.2		26	
53		1.7		65		2.2		(18)
(1)			(1)	_			
(2)			(44)	(1.5)		
558		18.4		462		15.5			
194		6.4		202		6.8			
364		12.0		260		8.7			
1		_		1		_			
\$365		12.0	%	\$261		8.7	%	40	%
\$1.85		NM		\$1.28		NM		45	%
\$1.84		NM		\$1.27		NM		45	%
	2014 Dollars \$3,029 1,219 1,810 1,146 58 (2 608 53 (1 (2 558 194 364 1 \$365	2014 Dollars \$3,029 1,219 1,810 1,146 58 (2) 608 53 (1) (2) 558 194 364 1 \$365	2014 Dollars Percent \$3,029 100.0 1,219 40.2 1,810 59.8 1,146 37.8 58 1.9 (2)— 608 20.1 53 1.7 (1)— (2)— 558 18.4 194 6.4 364 12.0 \$1.85 NM	2014 Dollars Percent \$3,029 100.0 % 1,219 40.2 1,810 59.8 1,146 37.8 58 1.9 (2)— 608 20.1 53 1.7 (1)— (2)— 558 18.4 194 6.4 364 12.0 \$1.85 NM	2014 2013 Dollars Percent Dollars \$3,029 100.0 % \$2,991 1,219 40.2 1,266 1,810 59.8 1,725 1,146 37.8 1,182 58 1.9 58 (2) — 3 608 20.1 482 53 1.7 65 (1 (2) — (44 558 18.4 462 194 6.4 202 364 12.0 260 1 — 1 \$365 12.0 % \$261	Dollars Percent Dollars \$3,029 100.0 % \$2,991 1,219 40.2 1,266 1,810 59.8 1,725 1,146 37.8 1,182 58 1.9 58 (2) — 3 608 20.1 482 53 1.7 65 (1) — (1) (2) — (44) 558 18.4 462 194 6.4 202 364 12.0 260 1 — 1 \$365 12.0 % \$261	2014 2013 Dollars Percent Dollars Percent \$3,029 100.0 % \$2,991 100.0 1,219 40.2 1,266 42.3 1,810 59.8 1,725 57.7 1,146 37.8 1,182 39.5 58 1.9 58 1.9 (2) — 3 0.1 608 20.1 482 16.2 53 1.7 65 2.2 (1) — (44) (1.5 558 18.4 462 15.5 194 6.4 202 6.8 364 12.0 260 8.7 1 — \$260 8.7 \$1.85 NM \$1.28 NM	2014 2013 Dollars Percent \$3,029 100.0 % \$2,991 100.0 % 1,219 40.2 1,266 42.3 1,810 59.8 1,725 57.7 1,146 37.8 1,182 39.5 58 1.9 58 1.9 (2) — 3 0.1 608 20.1 482 16.2 53 1.7 65 2.2 (1) — (44) (1.5) 558 18.4 462 15.5 194 6.4 202 6.8 364 12.0 260 8.7 1 — \$260 8.7 \$1.85 NM \$1.28 NM	2014 2013 Percent Percent Percent Change \$3,029 100.0 % \$2,991 100.0 % 1 1,219 40.2 1,266 42.3 1 1,810 59.8 1,725 57.7 5 1,146 37.8 1,182 39.5 (3 58 1.9 58 1.9 (2) — 3 0.1 608 20.1 482 16.2 26 53 1.7 65 2.2 (18 (1) — (44) (1.5) 558 18.4 462 15.5 194 6.4 202 6.8 364 12.0 260 8.7 1 — \$365 12.0 % \$261 8.7 % 40 \$1.85 NM \$1.28 NM 45

Volume (BCS). Volume (BCS) was flat for the six months ended June 30, 2014 compared with the six months ended June 30, 2013. In the U.S. and Canada, volume declined 1%, and in Mexico and the Caribbean, volume increased 5%, compared with the year ago period. Branded CSD volume was flat while branded NCB volume declined 3%. In branded CSDs, Peñafiel grew 22% in our Latin America Beverages segment as a result of product and package innovation. Schweppes grew 11% reflecting distribution gains in our seltzer water and growth in the ginger ale category. Our Core 4 brands increased 1% compared to the year ago period, driven by a 6% increase in Canada Dry partially offset by a 1% decline in A&W and a 1% decline in Sunkist soda. 7UP was flat for the period. Crush grew 2%. These gains were fully offset by a 2% decline in Dr Pepper, driven primarily by diet, and a 4% decrease in our other brands. Squirt declined 4% as a result of the Mexican sugar tax while RC Cola decreased 3%. In branded NCBs, decreases were driven by a 10% decrease in Hawaiian Punch as a result of category declines and increased competitive activity, a 4% decline in other brands, and 1% decreases in both Snapple and Mott's. The decline was partially offset by a 6% increase in Clamato and 2% growth in our water category primarily driven by new distribution arrangements for Bai 5 and Sparkling Fruit₂O and distribution gains in Vita Coco.

Net Sales. Net sales increased \$38 million, or approximately 1%, for the six months ended June 30, 2014 compared with the six months ended June 30, 2013. The primary drivers of the increase in net sales were favorable package and product mix, higher pricing driven primarily by the Mexican sugar tax, increased branded sales volume and an increase in contract manufacturing. These drivers were partially offset by unfavorable segment mix, \$18 million in unfavorable foreign currency translation and higher discounts. The higher discounts were primarily driven by a \$14 million unfavorable comparison of the annual true-up of our estimated customer incentive liability. The unfavorable comparison was the result of a \$4 million increase in our discounts for the six months ended June 30, 2014 versus a \$10 million reduction in our discounts for the six months ended June 30, 2013.

Gross Profit. Gross profit increased \$85 million for the six months ended June 30, 2014 compared with the six months ended June 30, 2013. Gross margin was 59.8% for the six months ended June 30, 2014 compared to the gross margin of 57.7% for the six months ended June 30, 2013. The primary driver of the favorable change in gross margin was lower commodity costs, led by sweeteners and apples, net of the change in our LIFO inventory provision, which increased gross margin by 1.55% for the six months ended June 30, 2014. The favorable comparison in our mark-to-market activity on commodity derivative contracts and ongoing productivity improvements each increased our gross margin by 0.75%. These drivers were partially offset by unfavorable package and product mix, which reduced our gross margin by 0.45%. The net impact of the Mexican sugar tax reduced our gross margin by 0.40% while the unfavorable comparison of the annual true-up of our estimated customer incentive liability reduced our gross margin by 0.20%.

The favorable mark-to-market activity on commodity derivative contracts for the six months ended June 30, 2014 was \$11 million in unrealized gains versus \$11 million in unrealized losses in the year ago period. The unfavorable comparison in our LIFO inventory provision was the result of a \$2 million increase in the provision for the six months ended June 30, 2014 versus a \$17 million decrease in the provision for the six months ended June 30, 2013 driven primarily by apple prices.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses. SG&A expenses decreased \$36 million, or approximately 3%, for the six months ended June 30, 2014 compared with the prior period. The decrease was primarily the result of a \$25 million reduction in our marketing investments, the favorable foreign currency effect on our SG&A expenses, lower professional fees, the favorable comparison to the \$4 million charge for the settlement of the Delaware escheat audit recorded in the prior period and the favorable mark-to-market activity on commodity derivative contracts. These factors were partially offset by higher logistics costs from our third party carriers partially driven by tighter than expected overall system capacity.

Income from Operations. Income from operations increased \$126 million to \$608 million for the six months ended June 30, 2014 due primarily to the increase in gross profit and a decrease in SG&A expenses.

Interest Expense. Interest expense decreased \$12 million, or approximately 18%, for the six months ended June 30, 2014, compared with the year ago period primarily due to the repayment of the 2013 Notes and the favorable impact of our fair value hedges.

Other Income, Net and Provision for Income Taxes. Through the second quarter of 2013, we recorded indemnification income from Mondelēz under the Tax Indemnity Agreement as other income, net in the unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income. In June 2013, a bill was enacted by the Canadian government, which reduced amounts amortized for income tax purposes. We recognized \$38 million of indemnity income due to the reduction of our long-term liability to Mondelçz and \$50 million of income tax expense for the reduction of our tax assets.

The following table excludes these amounts discussed above from our other income, net, income before provision for income taxes and equity in earnings of unconsolidated subsidiaries and provision for income taxes lines within our unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income. We have presented this table as we believe the effects of those items on these lines and on our effective tax rate for the six months ended June 30, 2013 are not meaningful as reported.

	For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2013							
(in millions)	As reported		Enactment of the Canadian bill in June 2013		As reported excluding tax and indemnititem		For the Six Months End June 30, 201	
Other income, net	\$(44)	\$38		\$(6)	\$(2)
Income before provision for income taxes and equity in earnings of unconsolidated subsidiaries	462		(38)	424		558	
Provision for income taxes	202		(50)	152		194	
Effective tax rate	43.7	%	•		35.8	%	34.8	%

Results of Operations by Segment

The following tables set forth net sales and SOP for our segments for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, as well as the other amounts necessary to reconcile our total segment results to our consolidated results presented in accordance with U.S. GAAP (in millions):

	For the Six Months Ended			
	June 30,			
	2014		2013	
Segment Results — Net sales				
Beverage Concentrates	\$608		\$599	
Packaged Beverages	2,160		2,166	
Latin America Beverages	261		226	
Net sales	\$3,029		\$2,991	
	For the Six M	Iont	hs Ended	
	June 30,			
	2014		2013	
Segment Results — SOP				
Beverage Concentrates	\$388		\$359	
Packaged Beverages	308		259	
Latin America Beverages	37		28	
Total SOP	733		646	
Unallocated corporate costs	127		161	
Other operating (income) expense, net	(2)	3	
Income from operations	608		482	
Interest expense, net	52		64	
Other income, net	(2)	(44)
Income before provision for income taxes and equity in earnings of unconsolidated subsidiaries	\$558		\$462	

BEVERAGE CONCENTRATES

The following table details our Beverage Concentrates segment's net sales and SOP for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 (in millions):

	For the Six	Months Ended	
	June 30,		
	2014	2013	Change
Net sales	\$608	\$599	\$9
SOP	388	359	29

Net Sales. Net sales increased \$9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2014, compared with the six months ended June 30, 2013. The increase was due to an increase in concentrate prices and a 1% increase in concentrate case sales, partially offset by higher discounts. The higher discounts were primarily driven by a \$18 million unfavorable comparison of the annual true-up of our estimated customer incentive liability. The unfavorable comparison was the result of a \$7 million increase in our discounts for the six months ended June 30, 2014 versus a \$11 million reduction in our discounts for the six months ended June 30, 2013.

SOP. SOP increased \$29 million for the six months ended June 30, 2014, compared with the six months ended June 30, 2013, primarily due to the \$21 million reduction in our marketing investments, the gross margin impact of higher net sales and the favorable comparison to the \$2 million charge for the settlement of the Delaware escheat audit recorded in the prior period.

Volume (BCS). Volume (BCS) decreased 1% for the six months ended June 30, 2014 compared with the six months ended June 30, 2013, primarily driven by a 2% decline in Dr Pepper, driven primarily by diet, and an 8% decrease in our other brands, led by Welch's. These declines were partially offset by an 11% increase in Schweppes reflecting distribution gains in our seltzer water and growth in the ginger ale category and a 4% increase in Crush. Our Core 4 brands grew 2% compared to the prior year as a 4% increase in Canada Dry and a 3% increase in Sunkist soda were partially offset by a 4% decline in 7UP and a 3% decrease in A&W.

PACKAGED BEVERAGES

The following table details our Packaged Beverages segment's net sales and SOP for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 (in millions):

	For the Six I	Months Ended		
	June 30,			
	2014	2013	Change	
Net sales	\$2,160	\$2,166	\$(6)
SOP	308	259	49	

Volume. Branded CSD volumes were flat for the six months ended June 30, 2014 compared with the six months ended June 30, 2013. Squirt increased 6% compared to the prior year period due to higher promotional activity and package innovation. Volume for our Core 4 brands increased 1% compared to the prior year period, led by a 9% increase in Canada Dry, partially offset by a 4% decline in Sunkist soda. 7UP and A&W volumes were flat for the current period. RC Cola increased 1%. These increases were offset by a 3% decrease in Dr Pepper, driven primarily by diet, and a 1% decline in our other brands.

Branded NCB volumes decreased 3%, driven primarily by a 10% decline in Hawaiian Punch as a result of category declines and increased competitive activity. Our other brands decreased 5%, while Snapple declined 2%. Mott's decreased 2% due to declines in apple sauce. These decreases were partially offset by a 12% increase in our water category and a 6% in Clamato. Growth in our water category was primarily driven by new distribution arrangements for Bai 5 and Sparkling Fruit₂O and distribution gains in Vita Coco.

Contract manufacturing increased 10% for the six months ended June 30, 2014 compared with the six months ended June 30, 2013.

Net Sales. Net sales decreased \$6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2014 compared with the six months ended June 30, 2013. Net sales decreased due to the declines in our branded sales volumes partially offset by favorable product mix.

SOP. SOP increased \$49 million for the six months ended June 30, 2014, compared with the six months ended June 30, 2013 led by favorability in cost of sales, partially offset by the gross margin impact of lower net sales. Cost of sales decreased as a result of lower commodity costs, which were led by sweeteners and apples, and ongoing productivity improvements partially offset by a \$19 million unfavorable LIFO comparison. The unfavorable comparison in our LIFO inventory provision was the result of a \$2 million increase in the provision for the six months ended June 30, 2014 versus a \$17 million decrease in the provision for the six months ended June 30, 2013 driven primarily by apple prices.

Other drivers of the change included lower labor and benefit costs, which included the favorable impact of the restructuring activities recognized during the second half of 2013, and a reduction in our marketing investments. These drivers were partially offset by higher logistics costs from our third party carriers partially driven by tighter than expected overall transportation system capacity.

LATIN AMERICA BEVERAGES

The following table details our Latin America Beverages segment's net sales and SOP for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 (in millions):

	For the Six	Months Ended	
	June 30,		
	2014	2013	Change
Net sales	\$261	\$226	\$35
SOP	37	28	9

Volume. Sales volume increased 5% for the six months ended June 30, 2014 as compared with the six months ended June 30, 2013. The increase in sales volume was led by a 22% increase in Peñafiel as a result of product innovation. 7UP grew by 44% in the Caribbean as sales volume for the six months ended June 30, 2013 was significantly lower due to shipment timing. Clamato grew 8% due to increased promotional activity, while our other brands in total increased 1%. Squirt and Crush declined 9% and 18%, respectively, as a result of the Mexican sugar tax. Aguafiel decreased 6% while Dr Pepper declined 7% primarily driven by lower promotional activity.

Net Sales. Net sales increased \$35 million for the six months ended June 30, 2014 compared with the six months ended June 30, 2013. Net sales increased as a result of higher pricing driven by the impact of the Mexican sugar tax, favorable product mix, and increased sales volume, partially offset by \$10 million of unfavorable foreign currency translation and higher discounts.

SOP. SOP increased \$9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2014 compared with the six months ended June 30, 2013, primarily due to the gross margin impact benefit of favorable product mix and increased sales volume and favorability in cost of sales. Cost of sales decreased due to ongoing productivity improvements and lower commodity costs, led by PET and sweeteners. These favorable drivers were partially offset by increased people costs, the gross margin impact of higher discounts, higher logistics costs and the impact of unfavorable foreign currency effects. The benefit of higher pricing was offset by increased costs due to the Mexican sugar tax.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES

The process of preparing our consolidated financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires the use of estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenue and expenses. Critical accounting estimates are both fundamental to the portrayal of a company's financial condition and results and require difficult, subjective or complex estimates and assessments. These estimates and judgments are based on historical experience, future expectations and other factors and assumptions we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances. The most significant estimates and judgments are reviewed on an ongoing basis and revised when necessary.

We have identified the items described below as our critical accounting estimates:

goodwill and other indefinite-lived intangible assets;

customer incentives and marketing programs;

revenue recognition;

pension and post-retirement benefits;

multi-employer pension plan withdrawal liability;

risk management programs; and

income taxes.

These critical accounting estimates are discussed in greater detail in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Trends and Uncertainties Affecting Liquidity

Customer and consumer demand for the Company's products may be impacted by various risk factors discussed under "Risk Factors" in Part I, Item 1A, of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013, including recession or other economic downturn in the U.S., Canada, Mexico or the Caribbean, which could result in a reduction in our sales volume. Similarly, disruptions in financial and credit markets may impact the Company's ability to manage normal commercial relationships with its customers, suppliers and creditors. These disruptions could have a negative impact on the ability of our customers to timely pay their obligations to us, thus reducing our cash flow, or the ability of our vendors to timely supply materials.

We believe that the following trends and uncertainties may also impact liquidity:

continued capital expenditures to upgrade our existing plants and fleet of distribution trucks, make investments in IT systems and replace and expand our cold drink equipment;

continued payment of dividends;

seasonality of our operating cash flows could impact short-term liquidity;

our ability to issue unsecured commercial paper notes ("Commercial Paper") on a private placement basis;

our continued repurchases of our outstanding common stock pursuant to our repurchase programs; and

acquisitions of regional bottling companies, distributors and distribution rights to further extend our geographic coverage or access to new products.

Financing Arrangements

The following descriptions represent our available financing arrangements as of June 30, 2014. As of June 30, 2014, we were in compliance with all covenant requirements for our senior unsecured notes, unsecured credit agreement and commercial paper program.

Commercial Paper Program

On December 10, 2010, we entered into a commercial paper program under which we may issue Commercial Paper on a private placement basis up to a maximum aggregate amount outstanding at any time of \$500 million. The maturities of the Commercial Paper will vary, but may not exceed 364 days from the date of issuance. We issue Commercial Paper for general corporate purposes as Commercial Paper is now a more significant part of our overall cash management strategy. The program is supported by the Revolver (as defined below). Outstanding Commercial Paper reduces the amount of borrowing capacity available under the Revolver and outstanding amounts under the Revolver reduce the Commercial Paper availability. As of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, we had outstanding Commercial Paper of \$70 million and \$65 million, respectively, with maturities of 90 days or less.

Unsecured Credit Agreement

On September 25, 2012, we entered into a five-year unsecured credit agreement (the "Credit Agreement"), which provides for a \$500 million revolving line of credit (the "Revolver"). Borrowings under the Revolver bear interest at a floating rate per annum based upon the alternate base rate ("ABR") or the Eurodollar rate, in each case plus an applicable margin which varies based upon our debt ratings. Rates range from 0.000% to 0.300% for ABR loans and from 0.795% to 1.300% for Eurodollar loans. The ABR is defined as the greater of (a) JPMorgan Chase Bank's prime rate, (b) the federal funds effective rate plus 0.500% and (c) the adjusted LIBOR for a one month interest period. The adjusted LIBOR is the London interbank offered rate for dollars adjusted for a statutory reserve rate set by the Board of Governors of the U.S. Federal Reserve System.

Additionally, the Revolver is available for the issuance of letters of credit and swingline advances not to exceed \$75 million and \$50 million, respectively. Swingline advances will accrue interest at a rate equal to the ABR plus the applicable margin. Letters of credit and swingline advances will reduce, on a dollar for dollar basis, the amount available under the Revolver.

The following table provides amounts utilized and available under the Revolver and each sublimit arrangement type as of June 30, 2014 (in millions):

	Amount Utilized	Balances Available
Revolver	\$ —	\$429
Letters of credit	1	74
Swingline advances	_	50

The Credit Agreement further provides that we may request at any time, subject to the satisfaction of certain conditions, that the aggregate commitments under the facility be increased by a total amount not to exceed \$250 million.

The Credit Agreement's representations, warranties, covenants and events of default are generally customary for investment grade credit and include a covenant that requires us to maintain a ratio of consolidated total debt (as defined in the Credit Agreement) to annualized consolidated EBITDA (as defined in the Credit Agreement) of no more than 3.00 to 1.00, tested quarterly. Upon the occurrence of an event of default, among other things, amounts outstanding under the Credit Agreement may be accelerated and the commitments may be terminated. Our obligations under the Credit Agreement are guaranteed by certain of our direct and indirect domestic subsidiaries on the terms set forth in the Credit Agreement. The Credit Agreement has a maturity date of September 25, 2017; however, with the consent of lenders holding more than 50% of the total commitments under the Credit Agreement and subject to the satisfaction of certain conditions, we may extend the maturity date for up to two additional one-year terms. An unused commitment fee is payable quarterly to the lenders on the unused portion of the commitments available under the Revolver equal to 0.08% to 0.20% per annum, depending upon our debt ratings.

Shelf Registration Statement

On February 7, 2013, our Board authorized us to issue up to \$1,500 million of securities from time to time. Subsequently, we filed a "well-known seasoned issuer" shelf registration statement with the Securities and Exchange Commission, effective May 23, 2013, which registers an indeterminable amount of securities for future sales. As of June 30, 2014, we had not issued any securities under this shelf registration statement.

Letters of Credit Facilities

We currently have letters of credit facilities available in addition to the portion of the Revolver reserved for issuance of letters of credit. Under these incremental letters of credit facilities, \$90 million is available for the issuance of letters of credit, \$63 million of which was utilized as of June 30, 2014 and \$27 million of which remains available for use.

Debt Ratings

As of June 30, 2014, our debt ratings were Baa1 with a stable outlook from Moody's and BBB+ with a stable outlook from S&P. Our commercial paper ratings were P-2/A-2 from Moody's and S&P, respectively.

These debt and commercial paper ratings impact the interest we pay on our financing arrangements. A downgrade of one or both of our debt and commercial paper ratings could increase our interest expense and decrease the cash

available to fund anticipated obligations.

Cash Management

We fund our liquidity needs from cash flow from operations, cash on hand or amounts available under our financing arrangements, as Commercial Paper is now a more significant part of our overall cash management strategy. Capital Expenditures

Capital expenditures were \$71 million for the six months ended June 30, 2014. Capital expenditures primarily related to machinery and equipment, distribution fleet, IT investments and replacement of existing cold drink equipment. In 2014, we expect to incur annual capital expenditures, net of proceeds from disposals, in an amount approximately 3.00% of our net sales, which we expect to fund through cash provided by operating activities.

Acquisitions

We may make future acquisitions, such as acquisitions of regional bottling companies, distributors and/or distribution rights to further extend our portfolio and geographic coverage. Any acquisitions may require additional funding for future capital expenditures and possibly restructuring expenses.

Liquidity

Based on our current and anticipated level of operations, we believe that our operating cash flows will be sufficient to meet our anticipated obligations for the next twelve months. To the extent that our operating cash flows are not sufficient to meet our liquidity needs, we may utilize cash on hand or amounts available under our financing arrangements, if necessary.

The following table summarizes our cash activity for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 (in millions):

	For the Six Months Ended			
	June 30,			
	2014	2013		
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$438	\$295		
Net cash used in investing activities	(68) (94)	
Net cash used in financing activities	(388) (451)	

NET CASH PROVIDED BY OPERATING ACTIVITIES

Net cash provided by operating activities increased \$143 million for the six months ended June 30, 2014, as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2013, primarily due to the increase in net income and favorable working capital comparisons to the prior year.

NET CASH USED IN INVESTING ACTIVITIES

Cash used in investing activities for the six months ended June 30, 2014, consisted primarily of purchases of property, plant and equipment of \$71 million. The decline in the cash used for investing activities was driven by the favorable comparison in the acquisition of DP/7UP Bottling Company of the West in the prior year and the timing of our capital expenditures.

NET CASH USED IN FINANCING ACTIVITIES

Cash used in financing activities for the six months ended June 30, 2014, consisted primarily of \$50 million paid for shares not yet received, dividend payments of \$157 million and stock repurchases of \$206 million. Net cash used in financing activities for the six months ended June 30, 2013 primarily consisted of the \$250 million repayment of the 2013 Notes, stock repurchases of \$126 million and dividend payments of \$148 million, partially offset by the \$68 million net issuance of Commercial Paper.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

As a result of the above items, cash and cash equivalents decreased \$18 million since December 31, 2013 to \$135 million as of June 30, 2014 primarily driven by increased returns to our shareholders partially offset by lower capital expenditures and working capital requirements.

Our cash balances are used to fund working capital requirements, scheduled debt and interest payments, capital expenditures, income tax obligations, dividend payments and repurchases of our common stock. Cash generated by our foreign operations is generally repatriated to the U.S. periodically as working capital funding requirements in those jurisdictions allow. Foreign cash balances were \$67 million and \$65 million as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively. We accrue tax costs for repatriation, as applicable, as cash is generated in those

Edgar Filing: Dr Pepper Snapple Group, Inc. - Form 10-Q

foreign jurisdictions.

Dividends

Our Board declared dividends aggregating \$0.41 and \$0.82 per share on outstanding common stock during the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, respectively, and \$0.38 and \$0.76 per share on outstanding common stock during the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively.

Common Stock Repurchases

As previously disclosed, the Board has authorized the Company to repurchase an aggregate amount of up to \$3,000 million of the Company's outstanding common stock. For the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, the Company repurchased and retired 3.9 million and 2.8 million shares of common stock, respectively, valued at approximately \$206 million and \$126 million, respectively. Refer to Part II, Item 2 of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for additional information regarding these repurchases.

Contractual Commitments and Obligations

We enter into various contractual obligations that impact, or could impact, our liquidity. Based on our current and anticipated level of operations, we believe that our proceeds from operating cash flows will be sufficient to meet our anticipated obligations. To the extent that our operating cash flows are not sufficient to meet our liquidity needs, we may utilize cash on hand or amounts available under our financing arrangements, if necessary. Refer to Note 5 of the Notes to our Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information regarding the Commercial Paper described in this table.

The following table summarizes our contractual obligations and contingencies as of June 30, 2014 (in millions):

		Payments Due in Year						
	Total	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	After 2018	
Purchase obligations (1)	\$834	\$420	\$170	\$96	\$49	\$43	\$56	
Interest Payment	839	46	95	94	93	72	439	
Commercial Paper	70	70						
Total	\$1,743	\$536	\$265	\$190	\$142	\$115	\$495	

⁽¹⁾ Amounts represent payments under agreements to purchase goods or services that are legally binding and that specify all significant terms, including capital obligations and long-term contractual obligations.

Through June 30, 2014, there have been no other material changes to the amounts disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013.

OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS

We currently participate in four multi-employer pension plans. In the event that we withdraw from participation in one of these plans, the plan will ultimately assess us a withdrawal liability for exiting the plan, and U.S. GAAP would require us to record the withdrawal charge as an expense in our consolidated statements of income and as a liability on our consolidated balance sheets once the multi-employer pension withdrawal charge is probable and estimable. There are no other off-balance sheet arrangements that have or are reasonably likely to have a current or future material effect on our results of operations, financial condition, liquidity, capital expenditures or capital resources other than letters of credit outstanding. Refer to Note 5 of the Notes to our Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information regarding outstanding letters of credit.

EFFECT OF RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

Refer to Note 1 of the Notes to our Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for a discussion of recently issued accounting standards and recently adopted provisions of U.S. GAAP.

ITEM 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

We are exposed to market risks arising from changes in market rates and prices, including movements in foreign currency exchange rates, interest rates and commodity prices. From time to time, we may enter into derivatives or other financial instruments to hedge or mitigate commercial risks. We do not enter into derivative instruments for speculation, investing or trading.

Foreign Exchange Risk

The majority of our net sales, expenses and capital purchases are transacted in U.S. dollars. However, we have some exposure with respect to foreign exchange rate fluctuations. Our primary exposure to foreign exchange rates is the Canadian dollar and Mexican peso against the U.S. dollar. Exchange rate gains or losses related to foreign currency transactions are recognized as transaction gains or losses in our income statement as incurred. As of June 30, 2014, the impact to our income from operations of a 10% change (up or down) in exchange rates is estimated to be an increase or decrease of approximately \$23 million on an annual basis.

We use derivative instruments such as foreign exchange forward contracts to manage a portion of our exposure to changes in foreign exchange rates. As of June 30, 2014, we had derivative contracts outstanding with a notional value of \$23 million maturing at various dates through December 15, 2014.

Interest Rate Risk

We centrally manage our debt portfolio through the use of interest rate swaps and monitor our mix of fixed-rate and variable rate debt. At June 30, 2014, the carrying value of our fixed-rate debt, excluding capital leases, was \$2,482 million, \$720 million of which is designated as fair value hedges and exposed to variability in interest rates. The following table is an estimate of the impact to the fair value hedges that could result from hypothetical interest rate changes during the term of the financial instruments, based on debt levels as of June 30, 2014: Sensitivity Analysis

		Change in Fair Value			
Hypothetical Change in Interest Rates	Annual Impact to Interest Expense	Other Current and Non-current Assets	Other Non-current Liabilities	Total Debt	
1-percent decrease ⁽¹⁾	\$ —	\$42 million increase	\$13 million decrease	\$55 million increase	
1-percent increase	\$7 million increase	\$14 million decrease	\$40 million increase	\$54 million decrease	

We pay an average floating rate, which fluctuates periodically, based on LIBOR and a credit spread, as a result of designated fair value hedges on certain debt instruments. See Note 5 of the Notes to our Unaudited Condensed

Commodity Risks

We are subject to market risks with respect to commodities because our ability to recover increased costs through higher pricing may be limited by the competitive environment in which we operate. Our principal commodities risks relate to our purchases of PET, diesel fuel, corn (for high fructose corn syrup), aluminum, sucrose, apple juice concentrate, apples and natural gas (for use in processing and packaging).

We utilize commodities forward and future contracts and supplier pricing agreements to hedge the risk of adverse movements in commodity prices for limited time periods for certain commodities. The fair market value of these contracts as of June 30, 2014 was fully offsetting between the asset and liability.

As of June 30, 2014, the impact of a 10% change (up or down) in market prices for these commodities where the risk of adverse movements has not been hedged is estimated to be an increase or decrease of approximately \$7 million to our income from operations for the remainder of 2014.

⁽¹⁾ Consolidated Financial Statements for further information. Our weighted average LIBOR rate as of June 30, 2014 was 0.27%. As LIBOR has not historically fallen below 0.15%, our estimate of the annual impact to interest expense reflects this assumption if our hypothetical change in the interest rate fell below the historical threshold.

ITEM 4. Controls and Procedures

Based on evaluation of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Exchange Act) our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, has concluded that, as of June 30, 2014, our disclosure controls and procedures are effective to (i) provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed in the Exchange Act filings is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified by the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms, and (ii) ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports we file or submit under the Exchange Act are accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

No change in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) of the Exchange Act) occurred during the quarter that materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. Legal Proceedings

We are occasionally subject to litigation or other legal proceedings relating to our business. See Note 16 of the Notes to our Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for more information related to commitments and contingencies, which is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 1A. Risk Factors

There have been no material changes that we are aware of from the risk factors set forth in Part I, Item 1A in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013.

ITEM 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

We repurchased approximately 2.7 million shares of our common stock, valued at approximately \$146 million, in the second quarter of 2014. Our share repurchase activity, on a monthly basis, for the quarter ended June 30, 2014 was as follows (in thousands, except per share data):

			Maxillulli
		Total Number	Dollar Value of
Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid per Share	of Shares	Shares that May
		Purchased as	Yet be
		Part of Publicly	Purchased
		Announced	Under Publicly
		Plans or	Announced
		Programs (1)	Plans or
			Programs
1,702	\$52.87	1,702	\$422,368
45	55.12	45	419,888
914	59.08	914	365,906
2,661	55.04	2,661	
	Shares Purchased 1,702 45 914	Average Price Paid per Share 1,702 \$52.87 45 55.12 914 59.08	Number of Shares Purchased Average Price Paid per Share Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs (1) 1,702 \$52.87 1,702 45 55.12 45 914 59.08 914

As previously disclosed, the Board has authorized us to repurchase an aggregate amount of up to \$3,000 million of our outstanding common stock. This column discloses the number of shares repurchased pursuant to these programs during the indicated time periods. As of June 30, 2014, there was a remaining balance of \$366 million authorized for repurchase that had not been utilized.

Maximum

ITEM 6. Exhibits

- Separation and Distribution Agreement between Cadbury Schweppes plc and Dr Pepper Snapple Group, Inc.
- and, solely for certain provisions set forth therein, Cadbury plc, dated as of May 1, 2008 (filed as Exhibit 2.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K (filed on May 5, 2008) and incorporated herein by reference).
- Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Dr Pepper Snapple Group, Inc. (filed as Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K (filed on May 12, 2008) and incorporated herein by reference). Certificate of Amendment to Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Dr Pepper Snapple
- 3.2 Group, Inc. effective as of May 17, 2012 (filed as Exhibit 3.2 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q (filed July 26, 2012) and incorporated herein by reference).

 Amended and Restated By-Laws of Dr Pepper Snapple Group, Inc. effective as of May 17, 2012 (filed as
- 3.3 Exhibit 3.3 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q (filed July 26, 2012) and incorporated herein by reference).
- Indenture, dated April 30, 2008, between Dr Pepper Snapple Group, Inc. and Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. (filed as Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K (filed on May 1, 2008) and incorporated herein by reference).
- Form of 6.12% Senior Notes due 2013 (filed as Exhibit 4.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K (filed on May 1, 2008) and incorporated herein by reference).
- Form of 6.82% Senior Notes due 2018 (filed as Exhibit 4.3 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K (filed on May 1, 2008) and incorporated herein by reference).
- Form of 7.45% Senior Notes due 2038 (filed as Exhibit 4.4 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K (filed on May 1, 2008) and incorporated herein by reference).

 Registration Rights Agreement, dated April 30, 2008, between Dr Pepper Snapple Group, Inc., J.P. Morgan Securities Inc., Banc of America Securities LLC, Goldman, Sachs & Co., Morgan Stanley & Co.
- Incorporated, UBS Securities LLC, BNP Paribas Securities Corp., Mitsubishi UFJ Securities International plc, Scotia Capital (USA) Inc., SunTrust Robinson Humphrey, Inc., Wachovia Capital Markets, LLC and TD Securities (USA) LLC (filed as Exhibit 4.5 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K (filed on May 1, 2008) and incorporated herein by reference).

 Registration Rights Agreement Joinder, dated May 7, 2008, by the subsidiary guarantors named therein
- 4.6 (filed as Exhibit 4.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K (filed on May 12, 2008) and incorporated herein by reference).
- Supplemental Indenture, dated May 7, 2008, among Dr Pepper Snapple Group, Inc., the subsidiary guarantors named therein and Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., as trustee (filed as Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K (filed on May 12, 2008) and incorporated herein by reference). Second Supplemental Indenture dated March 17, 2009, to be effective as of December 31, 2008, among
- Splash Transport, Inc., as a subsidiary guarantor, Dr Pepper Snapple Group, Inc., and Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., as trustee (filed as Exhibit 4.8 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K (filed on March 26, 2009) and incorporated herein by reference).

 Third Supplemental Indenture, dated October 19, 2009, among 234DP Aviation, LLC, as a subsidiary
- 4.9 guarantor; Dr Pepper Snapple Group, Inc., and Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., as trustee (filed as Exhibit 4.9 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q (filed November 5, 2009) and incorporated herein by reference). Indenture, dated as of December 15, 2009, between Dr Pepper Snapple Group, Inc. and Wells Fargo Bank,
- N.A., as trustee (filed as Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K (filed on December 23, 2009) and incorporated herein by reference).
 First Supplemental Indenture, dated as of December 21, 2009, among Dr Pepper Snapple Group, Inc., the
- 4.11 guarantors party thereto and Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., as trustee (filed as Exhibit 4.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K (filed on December 23, 2009) and incorporated herein by reference).
- 4.12 2.35% Senior Notes due 2012 (in global form), dated December 21, 2009, in the principal amount of \$450 million (filed as Exhibit 4.4 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K (filed on December 23, 2009)

Edgar Filing: Dr Pepper Snapple Group, Inc. - Form 10-Q

- and incorporated herein by reference.
- Second Supplemental Indenture, dated as of January 11, 2011, among Dr Pepper Snapple Group, Inc., the
- 4.13 guarantors party thereto and Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., as trustee (filed as Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K (filed on January 11, 2011) and incorporated herein by reference).

 2.90% Senior Note due 2016 (in global form), dated January 11, 2011, in the principal amount of
- 4.14 \$500 million (filed as Exhibit 4.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K (filed on January 11, 2011) and incorporated herein by reference).
 - Third Supplemental Indenture, dated as of November 15, 2011, among Dr Pepper Snapple Group, Inc., the
- 4.15 guarantors party thereto and Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., as trustee (filed as Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K (filed on November 15, 2011) and incorporated herein by reference).
 2.60% Senior Note due 2019 (in global form), dated November 15, 2011, in the principal amount of
- 4.16 \$250 million (filed as Exhibit 4.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K (filed on November 15, 2011) and incorporated herein by reference).

- 3.20% Senior Note due 2021 (in global form), dated November 15, 2011, in the principal amount of \$250 million (filed as Exhibit 4.3 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K (filed on November 15, 2011) and incorporated herein by reference).
- Fourth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of November 20, 2012, among Dr Pepper Snapple Group, Inc., the guarantors party thereto and Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., as trustee (filed as Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K (filed on November 20, 2012) and incorporated herein by reference). 2.00% Senior Note due 2020 (in global form), dated November 20, 2012, in the principal amount of \$250
- 4.19 million (filed as Exhibit 4.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K (filed on November 20, 2012) and incorporated herein by reference).
- 2.70% Senior Note due 2022 (in global form), dated November 20, 2012, in the principal amount of \$250 million (filed as Exhibit 4.3 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K (filed on November 20, 2012)
- 4.20 million (filed as Exhibit 4.3 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K (filed on November 20, 2012) and incorporated herein by reference).
- 12.1* Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges.
- 31.1* Certification of Chief Executive Officer of Dr Pepper Snapple Group, Inc. pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) or 15d-14(a) promulgated under the Exchange Act.
- Certification of Chief Financial Officer of Dr Pepper Snapple Group, Inc. pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) or 15d-14(a) promulgated under the Exchange Act.
 - Certification of Chief Executive Officer of Dr Pepper Snapple Group, Inc. pursuant to Rule 13a-14(b) or
- 32.1** 15d-14(b) promulgated under the Exchange Act, and Section 1350 of Chapter 63 of Title 18 of the United States Code.
 - Certification of Chief Financial Officer of Dr Pepper Snapple Group, Inc. pursuant to Rule 13a-14(b) or
- 32.2** 15d-14(b) promulgated under the Exchange Act, and Section 1350 of Chapter 63 of Title 18 of the United States Code.
 - The following financial information from Dr Pepper Snapple Group, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2014, formatted in XBRL (eXtensible Business Reporting Language): (i) Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013,
- (ii) Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, (iii) Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, (iv) Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, (v) Condensed Consolidated Statement of Changes in Stockholders' Equity for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, and (vi) the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

^{*} Filed herewith.

^{**} Furnished herewith.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 12 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Dr Pepper Snapple Group, Inc.

By: /s/ Martin M. Ellen

Name: Martin M. Ellen

Title: Executive Vice President and Chief Financial

Officer of Dr Pepper Snapple Group, Inc.

Date: July 24, 2014