

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

Duke Energy CORP
Form 10-K
February 21, 2018

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549
FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

✓ ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the fiscal period ended December 31, 2017 or

..TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF
1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

| | | |
|---------------------------|--|------------------------------------|
| Commission file number | Registrant, State of Incorporation or Organization, Address of Principal Executive Offices and Telephone Number | IRS Employer Identification No. |
|---------------------------|--|------------------------------------|

| | | |
|---------------------------|---|--|
| 1-32853 | DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION (a Delaware corporation) 550 South Tryon Street Charlotte, NC 28202-1803 704-382-3853 | 20-2777218 |
| Commission file number | Registrant, State of Incorporation or Organization, Address of Principal Executive Offices, Telephone Number and IRS Employer Identification Number | Commission file number |
| 1-4928 | DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC (a North Carolina limited liability company) 526 South Church Street Charlotte, North Carolina 28202-1803 704-382-3853 56-0205520 | 1-3274 |
| 1-15929 | PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. (a North Carolina corporation) 410 South Wilmington Street Raleigh, North Carolina 27601-1748 704-382-3853 56-2155481 | 1-1232 |
| 1-3382 | DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC (a North Carolina limited liability company) 410 South Wilmington Street Raleigh, North Carolina 27601-1748 704-382-3853 56-0165465 | 1-3543 |
| 1-6196 | PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC. (a North Carolina corporation) 4720 Piedmont Row Drive | |
| | | DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC (a Florida limited liability company) 299 First Avenue North St. Petersburg, Florida 33701 704-382-3853 59-0247770 |
| | | DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. (an Ohio corporation) 139 East Fourth Street Cincinnati, Ohio 45202 704-382-3853 31-0240030 |
| | | DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC (an Indiana limited liability company) 1000 East Main Street Plainfield, Indiana 46168 704-382-3853 35-0594457 |

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

Charlotte, North Carolina 28210
704-364-3120
56-0556998

SECURITIES REGISTERED PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(b) OF THE ACT:

| Registrant | Title of each class | Name of each exchange on which registered |
|---------------------------------------|--|---|
| Duke Energy Corporation (Duke Energy) | Common Stock, \$0.001 par value | New York Stock Exchange, Inc. |
| Duke Energy | 5.125% Junior Subordinated Debentures due January 15, 2073 | New York Stock Exchange, Inc. |

SECURITIES REGISTERED PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(g) OF THE ACT: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act

| Registrant | Yes | No | Registrant | Yes | No |
|--|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Duke Energy | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Duke Energy Florida, LLC (Duke Energy Florida) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC (Duke Energy Carolinas) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. (Duke Energy Ohio) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Progress Energy, Inc. (Progress Energy) | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Duke Energy Indiana, LLC (Duke Energy Indiana) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Duke Energy Progress, LLC (Duke Energy Progress) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Piedmont Natural Gas Company, Inc. (Piedmont) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act.

Yes No (Response applicable to all registrants.)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrants (1) have filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrants have submitted electronically and posted on their corporate website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. (Only applicable to Duke Energy)

Indicate by check mark whether Duke Energy is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one): Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company Emerging growth company

If an emerging growth company, indicate by check mark if the registrant has elected not to use the extended transition period for complying with any new or revised financial accounting standards provided pursuant to Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act.

Indicate by check mark whether Duke Energy Carolinas, Progress Energy, Duke Energy Progress, Duke Energy Florida, Duke Energy Ohio, Duke Energy Indiana and Piedmont are large accelerated filers, accelerated filers, non-accelerated filers, or smaller reporting companies. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one): Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company Emerging growth company

If an emerging growth company, indicate by check mark if the registrant has elected not to use the extended transition period for complying with any new or revised financial accounting standards provided pursuant to Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act.

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark whether the registrants are a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes No

Estimated aggregate market value of the common equity held by nonaffiliates of Duke Energy at June 30, 2017. \$58,468,482,557

Number of shares of Common Stock, \$0.001 par value, outstanding at January 31, 2018. 700,092,667

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the Duke Energy definitive proxy statement for the 2018 Annual Meeting of the Shareholders or an amendment to this Annual Report are incorporated by reference into PART III, Items 10, 11 and 13 hereof.

This combined Form 10-K is filed separately by eight registrants: Duke Energy, Duke Energy Carolinas, Progress Energy, Duke Energy Progress, Duke Energy Florida, Duke Energy Ohio, Duke Energy Indiana and Piedmont (collectively the Duke Energy Registrants). Information contained herein relating to any individual registrant is filed by such registrant solely on its own behalf. Each registrant makes no representation as to information relating exclusively to the other registrants.

Duke Energy Carolinas, Progress Energy, Duke Energy Progress, Duke Energy Florida, Duke Energy Ohio, Duke Energy Indiana and Piedmont meet the conditions set forth in General Instructions I(1)(a) and (b) of Form 10-K and are, therefore, filing this Form 10-K with the reduced disclosure format specified in General Instructions I(2) of Form 10-K.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

FORM 10-K FOR THE YEAR ENDED December 31, 2017

| Item | Page |
|--|------|
| CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION | |
| GLOSSARY OF TERMS | |
| PART I. | |
| 1. <u>BUSINESS</u> | 9 |
| <u>DUKE ENERGY</u> | 9 |
| <u>GENERAL</u> | 9 |
| <u>BUSINESS SEGMENTS</u> | 9 |
| <u>EMPLOYEES</u> | 20 |
| <u>EXECUTIVE OFFICERS</u> | 20 |
| <u>ENVIRONMENTAL MATTERS</u> | 21 |
| <u>DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS</u> | 21 |
| <u>PROGRESS ENERGY</u> | 21 |
| <u>DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS</u> | 21 |
| <u>DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA</u> | 22 |
| <u>DUKE ENERGY OHIO</u> | 22 |
| <u>DUKE ENERGY INDIANA</u> | 22 |
| <u>PIEDMONT</u> | 22 |
| 1A. <u>RISK FACTORS</u> | 23 |
| 1B. <u>UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS</u> | 30 |
| 2. <u>PROPERTIES</u> | 31 |
| 3. <u>LEGAL PROCEEDINGS</u> | 35 |
| 4. <u>MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES</u> | 35 |
| PART II. | |
| 5. <u>MARKET FOR REGISTRANT’S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES</u> | 36 |
| 6. <u>SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA</u> | 38 |
| 7. <u>MANAGEMENT’S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS</u> | 39 |
| 7A. <u>QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK</u> | 83 |
| 8. <u>FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA</u> | 85 |

| | | |
|------|---|------------|
| 9. | <u>CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE</u> | <u>259</u> |
| 9A. | <u>CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES</u> | <u>260</u> |
| PART | | |
| III. | | |
| 10. | <u>DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE</u> | <u>262</u> |
| 11. | <u>EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u> | <u>262</u> |
| 12. | <u>SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS</u> | <u>262</u> |
| 13. | <u>CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE</u> | <u>263</u> |
| 14. | <u>PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES</u> | <u>263</u> |
| PART | | |
| IV. | | |
| 15. | <u>EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES</u> | <u>265</u> |
| | EXHIBIT INDEX | <u>E-1</u> |
| | <u>SIGNATURES</u> | <u>E-2</u> |

CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION

This document includes forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Forward-looking statements are based on management's beliefs and assumptions and can often be identified by terms and phrases that include "anticipate," "believe," "intend," "estimate," "expect," "continue," "should," "could," "may," "plan," "project," "predict," "will," "potential," "forecast," "target" or other similar terminology. Various factors may cause actual results to be materially different than the suggested outcomes within forward-looking statements; accordingly, there is no assurance that such results will be realized.

These factors include, but are not limited to:

State, federal and foreign legislative and regulatory initiatives, including costs of compliance with existing and future environmental requirements, including those related to climate change, as well as rulings that affect cost and investment recovery or have an impact on rate structures or market prices;

The extent and timing of costs and liabilities to comply with federal and state laws, regulations and legal requirements related to coal ash remediation, including amounts for required closure of certain ash impoundments, are uncertain and difficult to estimate;

The ability to recover eligible costs, including amounts associated with coal ash impoundment retirement obligations and costs related to significant weather events, and to earn an adequate return on investment through rate case proceedings and the regulatory process;

The costs of decommissioning Crystal River Unit 3 and other nuclear facilities could prove to be more extensive than amounts estimated and all costs may not be fully recoverable through the regulatory process;

Costs and effects of legal and administrative proceedings, settlements, investigations and claims;

Industrial, commercial and residential growth or decline in service territories or customer bases resulting from sustained downturns of the economy and the economic health of our service territories or variations in customer usage patterns, including energy efficiency efforts and use of alternative energy sources, such as self-generation and distributed generation technologies;

Federal and state regulations, laws and other efforts designed to promote and expand the use of energy efficiency measures and distributed generation technologies, such as private solar and battery storage, in Duke Energy service territories could result in customers leaving the electric distribution system, excess generation resources as well as stranded costs;

Advancements in technology;

Additional competition in electric and natural gas markets and continued industry consolidation;

The influence of weather and other natural phenomena on operations, including the economic, operational and other effects of severe storms, hurricanes, droughts, earthquakes and tornadoes, including extreme weather associated with climate change;

The ability to successfully operate electric generating facilities and deliver electricity to customers including direct or indirect effects to the company resulting from an incident that affects the U.S. electric grid or generating resources;

The ability to complete necessary or desirable pipeline expansion or infrastructure projects in our natural gas business;

Operational interruptions to our natural gas distribution and transmission activities;

The availability of adequate interstate pipeline transportation capacity and natural gas supply;

The impact on facilities and business from a terrorist attack, cybersecurity threats, data security breaches and other catastrophic events, such as fires, explosions, pandemic health events or other similar occurrences;

The inherent risks associated with the operation of nuclear facilities, including environmental, health, safety, regulatory and financial risks, including the financial stability of third-party service providers;

The timing and extent of changes in commodity prices and interest rates and the ability to recover such costs through the regulatory process, where appropriate, and their impact on liquidity positions and the value of underlying assets;

The results of financing efforts, including the ability to obtain financing on favorable terms, which can be affected by various factors, including credit ratings, interest rate fluctuations, compliance with debt covenants and conditions and general market and economic conditions;

Credit ratings of the Duke Energy Registrants may be different from what is expected;

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

- Declines in the market prices of equity and fixed-income securities and resultant cash funding requirements for defined benefit pension plans, other post-retirement benefit plans and nuclear decommissioning trust funds;
 - Construction and development risks associated with the completion of the Duke Energy Registrants' capital investment projects, including risks related to financing, obtaining and complying with terms of permits, meeting construction budgets and schedules and satisfying operating and environmental performance standards, as well as the ability to recover costs from customers in a timely manner, or at all;
 - Changes in rules for regional transmission organizations, including changes in rate designs and new and evolving capacity markets, and risks related to obligations created by the default of other participants;
 - The ability to control operation and maintenance costs;
 - The level of creditworthiness of counterparties to transactions;
 - Employee workforce factors, including the potential inability to attract and retain key personnel;
-

• The ability of subsidiaries to pay dividends or distributions to Duke Energy Corporation holding company (the Parent);

• The performance of projects undertaken by our nonregulated businesses and the success of efforts to invest in and develop new opportunities;

• The effect of accounting pronouncements issued periodically by accounting standard-setting bodies;

• The impact of new U.S. tax legislation to our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows and our credit ratings;

• The impacts from potential impairments of goodwill or equity method investment carrying values;

• The ability to successfully complete future merger, acquisition or divestiture plans; and

• The ability to implement our business strategy.

Additional risks and uncertainties are identified and discussed in the Duke Energy Registrants' reports filed with the SEC and available at the SEC's website at www.sec.gov. In light of these risks, uncertainties and assumptions, the events described in the forward-looking statements might not occur or might occur to a different extent or at a different time than described. Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date they are made and the Duke Energy Registrants expressly disclaim an obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

Glossary of Terms

The following terms or acronyms used in this Form 10-K are defined below:

| Term or Acronym | Definition |
|-------------------|--|
| 2013 Settlement | Revised and Restated Stipulation and Settlement Agreement approved in November 2013 among Duke Energy Florida, the Florida OPC and other customer advocates |
| the 2015 Plan | Duke Energy Corporation 2015 Long-Term Incentive Plan |
| 2017 Settlement | Second Revised and Restated Settlement Agreement in 2017 among Duke Energy Florida, the Florida OPC and other customer advocates, which replaces and supplants the 2013 Settlement |
| ACP | Atlantic Coast Pipeline, LLC, a limited liability company owned by Dominion, Duke Energy and Southern Company Gas |
| ACP Pipeline | The approximately 600-mile proposed interstate natural gas pipeline |
| ADIT | Net Accumulated Deferred Income Tax |
| AFUDC | Allowance for funds used during construction |
| the Agents | Wells Fargo Securities, LLC, Citigroup Global Market Inc., J.P. Morgan Securities, LLC |
| ALJ | Administrative Law Judge |
| Amended Complaint | Amended Verified Consolidated Shareholder Derivative Complaint |
| AMI | Advanced Metering Infrastructure |
| ANPRM | Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking |
| AOCI | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) |
| ARO | Asset Retirement Obligation |
| the ASR | Accelerated Stock Repurchase Program |
| ASRP | Accelerated natural gas service line replacement program |
| Audit Committee | Audit Committee of the Board of Directors |
| Barclays | Barclays Capital Inc. |
| BCWF | Benton County Wind Farm, LLC |
| Beckjord | Beckjord Generating Station |

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

| | |
|----------------------|--|
| Belews Creek | Belews Creek Steam Station |
| Bison | Bison Insurance Company Limited |
| Board of Directors | Duke Energy Board of Directors |
| Bresalier Complaint | Shareholder derivative lawsuit filed by Saul Bresalier related to ash basin management practices |
| Bresalier Defendants | Several current and former Duke Energy officers and directors named in the Bresalier Complaint |
| Bridge Facility | \$4.9 billion senior secured financing facility with Barclays Capital Inc. |
| Brunswick | Brunswick Nuclear Plant |
| CAA | Clean Air Act |
| Cardinal | Cardinal Pipeline Company, LLC |
| Catawba | Catawba Nuclear Station |
| CC | Combined Cycle |
| CCR | Coal Combustion Residuals |
| CCS | Carbon Capture and Storage |
| CECPCN | Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and Public Convenience and Necessity |
| CEO | Chief Executive Officer |
| CertainTeed | CertainTeed Gypsum NC, Inc. |
| Cinergy | Cinergy Corp. (collectively with its subsidiaries) |
| CO ₂ | Carbon Dioxide |

| | |
|------------------------|---|
| Coal Ash Act | North Carolina Coal Ash Management Act of 2014 |
| COL | Combined Operating License |
| the Company | Duke Energy Corporation and its subsidiaries |
| Consolidated Complaint | Corrected Verified Consolidated Shareholder Derivative Complaint |
| Constitution | Constitution Pipeline Company, LLC |
| COSO | Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission |
| CP | Capacity Performance |
| CPCN | Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity |
| CPP | Clean Power Plan |
| CRC | Cinergy Receivables Company LLC |
| Crystal River Unit 3 | Crystal River Unit 3 Nuclear Plant |
| CSA | Comprehensive Site Assessment |
| CSAPR | Cross-State Air Pollution Rule |
| CT | Combustion Turbine |
| CTG | China Three Gorges Energy S.à.r.l. |
| CWA | Clean Water Act |
| DATC | Duke-American Transmission Co. |
| D.C. Circuit Court | U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia |
| the Dealers | Goldman, Sachs & Co. and JPMorgan Chase Bank |
| DEFPPF | Duke Energy Florida Project Finance, LLC |
| DEFR | Duke Energy Florida Receivables, LLC |
| Deloitte | Deloitte & Touche LLP, and the member firms of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu and their respective affiliates |
| DEPR | Duke Energy Progress Receivables, LLC |

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

| | |
|-------------------------|---|
| DERF | Duke Energy Receivables Finance Company, LLC |
| DHHS | North Carolina Department of Health and Human Services |
| Directors' Savings Plan | Duke Energy Corporation Directors' Savings Plan |
| DOE | U.S. Department of Energy |
| DOJ | Department of Justice |
| Dominion | Dominion Resources |
| DRIP | Dividend Reinvestment Program |
| DSM | Demand Side Management |
| Dth | Dekatherm |
| Duke Energy | Duke Energy Corporation (collectively with its subsidiaries) |
| Duke Energy Carolinas | Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC |
| Duke Energy Defendants | Several current and former Duke Energy officers and directors named as defendants in the Consolidated Complaint |
| Duke Energy Florida | Duke Energy Florida, LLC |
| Duke Energy Indiana | Duke Energy Indiana, LLC |
| Duke Energy Kentucky | Duke Energy Kentucky, Inc. |
| Duke Energy Ohio | Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. |
| Duke Energy Progress | Duke Energy Progress, LLC |

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

| | |
|-------------------------|--|
| Duke Energy Registrants | Duke Energy, Duke Energy Carolinas, Progress Energy, Duke Energy Progress, Duke Energy Florida, Duke Energy Ohio, Duke Energy Indiana and Piedmont |
| Dynegy | Dynegy Inc. |
| East Bend | East Bend Generating Station |
| the EDA | Equity Distribution Agreement |
| EE | Energy efficiency |
| EGU | Electric Generating Units |
| EIS | Environmental Impact Statement |
| ELG | Effluent Limitations Guidelines |
| EPA | U.S. Environmental Protection Agency |
| EPC | Engineering, Procurement and Construction agreement |
| EPS | Earnings Per Share |
| ESP | Electric Security Plan |
| ETR | Effective tax rate |
| Exchange Act | Exchange Act of 1934 |
| FASB | Financial Accounting Standards Board |
| FERC | Federal Energy Regulatory Commission |
| Fitch | Fitch Ratings, Inc. |
| FirstEnergy | FirstEnergy Corp. |
| Florida OPC | Florida Office of Public Counsel |
| Form S-3 | Registration statement |
| FP&L | Florida Power & Light Company |
| FPSC | Florida Public Service Commission |
| FRR | Fixed Resource Requirement |
| FTR | Financial transmission rights |

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

| | |
|------------------------------|---|
| GAAP | Generally Accepted Accounting Principles in the United States |
| GHG | Greenhouse Gas |
| GWh | Gigawatt-hours |
| Hardy Storage | Hardy Storage Company, LLC |
| Harris | Shearon Harris Nuclear Plant |
| Hines | Hines Energy Complex |
| I Squared | ISQ Enerlam Aggregator, L.P. and Enerlam Holding Ltd. |
| IBNR | Incurred but not yet reported |
| ICPA | Inter-Company Power Agreement |
| IGCC | Integrated Gasification Combined Cycle |
| IGCC Rider | Tracking mechanism used to recover costs related to the Edwardsport IGCC plant from retail electric customers |
| IGCC Settlement | 2015 Settlement to resolve disputes with intervenors related to five IGCC riders |
| IMR | Integrity Management Rider |
| International Disposal Group | Duke Energy's international business, excluding National Methanol Company |
| IRP | Integrated Resource Plans |
| IRS | Internal Revenue Service |

| | |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| ISFSI | Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation |
| ISO | Independent System Operator |
| ITC | Investment Tax Credit |
| IURC | Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission |
| Investment Trusts | Grantor trusts of Duke Energy Progress, Duke Energy Florida and Duke Energy Indiana |
| JDA | Joint Dispatch Agreement |
| KO Transmission | KO Transmission Company |
| KPSC | Kentucky Public Service Commission |
| kV | Kilovolt |
| kWh | Kilowatt-hour |
| LDC | Local Distribution Company |
| Lee Nuclear Station | William States Lee III Nuclear Station |
| Legacy Duke Energy Directors | Members of the pre-merger Duke Energy Board of Directors |
| Levy | Duke Energy Florida's proposed nuclear plant in Levy County, Florida |
| LIBOR | London Interbank Offered Rate |
| Long-Term FERC Mitigation | The revised market power mitigation plan related to the Progress Energy merger |
| Master Trust | Duke Energy Master Retirement Trust |
| McGuire | McGuire Nuclear Station |
| Merger Agreement | The Agreement and Plan of Merger between Duke Energy and Piedmont |
| Merger Chancery Litigation | Four shareholder derivative lawsuits filed in the Delaware Chancery Court related to the Progress Energy merger |
| MGP | Manufactured gas plant |
| Midwest Generation Disposal Group | Duke Energy Ohio's nonregulated Midwest generation business and Duke Energy Retail Sales, LLC |

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

| | |
|---------|--|
| MISO | Midcontinent Independent System Operator, Inc. |
| MMBtu | Million British Thermal Unit |
| MPP | Money Purchase Pension |
| Moody's | Moody's Investors Service, Inc. |
| MTBE | Methyl tertiary butyl ether |
| MTEP | MISO Transmission Expansion Planning |
| MW | Megawatt |
| MVP | Multi Value Projects |
| MWh | Megawatt-hour |
| NCDEQ | North Carolina Department of Environmental Quality (formerly the North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources) |
| NCEMC | North Carolina Electric Membership Corporation |
| NCEMPA | North Carolina Eastern Municipal Power Agency |
| NCRC | Florida's Nuclear Cost Recovery Clause |
| NCRS | Nuclear Power Plant Cost Recovery Statutes |
| NCUC | North Carolina Utilities Commission |
| NDTF | Nuclear decommissioning trust funds |
| NEIL | Nuclear Electric Insurance Limited |

| | |
|---------------------------------|---|
| New Source Review | New Source Review (NSR) is a CAA program that requires industrial facilities to install modern pollution control equipment when they are built or when making a change that increases emissions significantly |
| NYSDEC | New York State Department of Environmental Conservation |
| NMC | National Methanol Company |
| NOL | Net operating loss |
| NOV | Notice of violation |
| NO _x | Nitrogen oxide |
| NPDES | National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System |
| NPNS | Normal purchase/normal sale |
| NPR | Notice of Proposed Rulemaking |
| NRC | U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission |
| NWPA | Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982 |
| NYSE | New York Stock Exchange |
| Oconee | Oconee Nuclear Station |
| OPEB | Other Post-Retirement Benefit Obligations |
| ORS | Office of Regulatory Staff |
| Osprey Plant acquisition | Duke Energy Florida's purchase of a Calpine Corporation's 599-MW combined-cycle natural gas plant in Auburndale, Florida |
| OTTI | Other-than-temporary impairment |
| OVEC | Ohio Valley Electric Corporation |
| the Parent | Duke Energy Corporation holding company |
| PCAOB | Public Company Accounting Oversight Board |
| PGA | Purchased Gas Adjustments |
| Phase I CCR Compliance Projects | Duke Energy Indiana's federally mandated compliance projects to comply with the EPA's CCR rule |

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

| | |
|----------------------------|--|
| Philadelphia Utility Index | Philadelphia Sector Index |
| PHMSA | Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration |
| Piedmont | Piedmont Natural Gas Company, Inc. |
| Piedmont Pension Assets | Qualified pension plan assets associated with the Retirement Plan of Piedmont |
| Piedmont Term Loan | 18-month term loan facility with commitments totaling \$250M entered in June 2017 |
| Pine Needle | Pine Needle LNG Company, LLC |
| Pioneer | Pioneer Transmission, LLC |
| PJM | PJM Interconnection, LLC |
| PMPA | Piedmont Municipal Power Agency |
| PPA | Purchase Power Agreement |
| Progress Energy | Progress Energy, Inc. |
| PSCSC | Public Service Commission of South Carolina |
| PTC | Production Tax Credits |
| PUCO | Public Utilities Commission of Ohio |
| PUCO Order | Order issued by PUCO approving a settlement of Duke Energy Ohio's natural gas base rate case and authorizing the recovery of certain MGP costs |
| PURPA | Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act of 1978 |
| QF | Qualifying Facility |

| | |
|-----------------------|---|
| RCA | Revolving Credit Agreement |
| RCRA | Resource Conservation and Recovery Act |
| Relative TSR | TSR of Duke Energy stock relative to a predefined peer group |
| Robinson | Robinson Nuclear Plant |
| RRBA | Roanoke River Basin Association |
| RSU | Restricted Stock Unit |
| RTO | Regional Transmission Organization |
| Sabal Trail | Sabal Trail Transmission, LLC |
| Sabal Trail Pipeline | Sabal Trail Natural Gas Pipeline |
| SACE | Southern Alliance of Clean Energy |
| SAFSTOR | A method of decommissioning in which a nuclear facility is placed and maintained in a condition that allows the facility to be safely stored and subsequently decontaminated to levels that permit release for unrestricted use |
| S.C. Court of Appeals | Court of Appeals of South Carolina |
| SCCL | South Carolina Coastal Conservation League |
| SEC | Securities and Exchange Commission |
| SEIS | Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement |
| SELC | Southern Environmental Law Center |
| Segment Income | Income from continuing operations net of income attributable to noncontrolling interests |
| SO ₂ | Sulfur dioxide |
| SouthStar | SouthStar Energy Services, LLC |
| Spectra Capital | Spectra Energy Capital, LLC |
| S&P | Standard & Poor's Rating Services |
| S&P 500 | Standard & Poor's 500 Stock Index |
| SSO | Standard Service Offer |

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

| | |
|------------------------------------|---|
| State Utility Commissions | NCUC, PSCSC, FPSC, PUCO, IURC, KPSC and TPUC (Collectively) |
| State Electric Utility Commissions | NCUC, PSCSC, FPSC, PUCO, IURC and KPSC (Collectively) |
| State Gas Utility Commissions | NCUC, PSCSC, PUCO, TPUC and KPSC (Collectively) |
| Subsidiary Registrants | Duke Energy Carolinas, Progress Energy, Duke Energy Progress, Duke Energy Florida, Duke Energy Ohio, Duke Energy Indiana and Piedmont |
| Sutton | L.V. Sutton Combined Cycle Plant |
| the Tax Act | Tax Cut and Jobs Act |
| T&D Rider | Tracking mechanism to recover grid infrastructure improvement costs in Indiana |
| TPUC | Tennessee Public Utility Commission |
| TSR | Total shareholder return |
| Uprate Project | Hines Chiller Uprate Project |
| U.S. | United States |
| U.S. Court of Appeals | U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit |
| VEBA | Voluntary Employees' Beneficiary Association |
| VIE | Variable Interest Entity |
| WACC | Weighted Average Cost of Capital |
| WNA | weather normalization adjustment |
| WVPA | Wabash Valley Power Association, Inc. |

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

DUKE ENERGY

General

Duke Energy Corporation (collectively with its subsidiaries, Duke Energy) was incorporated on May 3, 2005, and is an energy company headquartered in Charlotte, North Carolina, subject to regulation by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC). Duke Energy operates in the United States (U.S.) primarily through its direct and indirect subsidiaries. Certain Duke Energy subsidiaries are also subsidiary registrants, including Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC (Duke Energy Carolinas); Progress Energy, Inc. (Progress Energy); Duke Energy Progress, LLC (Duke Energy Progress); Duke Energy Florida, LLC (Duke Energy Florida); Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. (Duke Energy Ohio); Duke Energy Indiana, LLC (Duke Energy Indiana) and Piedmont Natural Gas Company, Inc. (Piedmont). When discussing Duke Energy's consolidated financial information, it necessarily includes the results of its separate subsidiary registrants (collectively referred to as the Subsidiary Registrants), which along with Duke Energy, are collectively referred to as the Duke Energy Registrants.

Piedmont, a North Carolina corporation, is an energy services company whose principal business is the distribution of natural gas to over 1 million residential, commercial, industrial and power generation customers in portions of North Carolina, South Carolina and Tennessee, including customers served by municipalities who are Piedmont's sales for resale customers. In October 2016, Duke Energy completed the acquisition of Piedmont. Piedmont's earnings and cash flows are only included in Duke Energy's consolidated results subsequent to the acquisition date. See Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Acquisitions and Dispositions," for additional information regarding the acquisition.

In December 2016, Duke Energy completed an exit of the Latin American market to focus on its domestic regulated business, which was further bolstered by the acquisition of Piedmont. The sale of the International Energy business segment, excluding an equity method investment in National Methanol Company (NMC), was completed through two transactions including a sale of assets in Brazil to China Three Gorges (Luxembourg) Energy S.à.r.l. (CTG) and a sale of Duke Energy's remaining Latin American assets in Peru, Chile, Ecuador, Guatemala, El Salvador and Argentina to ISQ Enerlam Aggregator, L.P. and Enerlam (UK) Holding Ltd. (I Squared) (collectively, the International Disposal Group). See Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Acquisitions and Dispositions," for additional information on the sale of International Energy.

The Duke Energy Registrants electronically file reports with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), including Annual Reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, proxies and amendments to such reports.

The public may read and copy any materials the Duke Energy Registrants file with the SEC at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549. The public may obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. The SEC also maintains an internet site that contains reports, proxy and information statements and other information regarding issuers that file electronically with the SEC at <http://www.sec.gov>. Additionally, information about the Duke Energy Registrants, including reports filed with the SEC, is available through Duke Energy's website at <http://www.duke-energy.com>. Such reports are accessible at no charge and are made available as soon as reasonably practicable after such material is filed with or furnished to the SEC.

Business Segments

Duke Energy's segment structure includes three reportable operating segments (business segments); Electric Utilities and Infrastructure, Gas Utilities and Infrastructure and Commercial Renewables. The remainder of Duke Energy's operations is presented as Other. Duke Energy's chief operating decision-maker routinely reviews financial information about each of these business segments in deciding how to allocate resources and evaluate the performance of the business. For additional information on each of these business segments, including financial and geographic

information, see Note 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, “Business Segments.” The following sections describe the business and operations of each of Duke Energy’s business segments, as well as Other.

ELECTRIC UTILITIES AND INFRASTRUCTURE

Electric Utilities and Infrastructure conducts operations primarily through the regulated public utilities of Duke Energy Carolinas, Duke Energy Progress, Duke Energy Florida, Duke Energy Indiana and Duke Energy Ohio. Electric Utilities and Infrastructure provides retail electric service through the generation, transmission, distribution and sale of electricity to approximately 7.6 million customers within the Southeast and Midwest regions of the U.S. The service territory is approximately 95,000 square miles across six states with a total estimated population of 24 million people. The operations include electricity sold wholesale to municipalities, electric cooperative utilities and other load-serving entities. Electric Utilities and Infrastructure is also a joint owner in certain electric transmission projects. Electric Utilities and Infrastructure has a 50 percent ownership interest in Duke-American Transmission Co. (DATC), a partnership with American Transmission Company, formed to design, build and operate transmission infrastructure. DATC owns 72 percent of the transmission service rights to Path 15, an 84-mile transmission line in central California. Electric Utilities and Infrastructure also has a 50 percent ownership interest in Pioneer Transmission, LLC, which builds, owns and operates electric transmission facilities in North America.

PART I

The electric operations and investments in projects are subject to the rules and regulations of the FERC, the North Carolina Utilities Commission (NCUC), the Public Service Commission of South Carolina (PSCSC), the Florida Public Service Commission (FPSC), the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission (IURC), the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio (PUCO) and the Kentucky Public Service Commission (KPSC).

The following table represents the distribution of billed sales by customer class for the year ended December 31, 2017.

| | Duke Energy Carolinas | Duke Energy Progress | Duke Energy Florida | Duke Energy Ohio | Duke Energy Indiana | |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|---|
| Residential | 30 | % 26 | % 49 | % 34 | % 26 | % |
| General service | 33 | % 23 | % 37 | % 38 | % 25 | % |
| Industrial | 25 | % 16 | % 8 | % 23 | % 32 | % |
| Total retail sales | 88 | % 65 | % 94 | % 95 | % 83 | % |
| Wholesale and other sales | 12 | % 35 | % 6 | % 5 | % 17 | % |
| Total sales | 100 | % 100 | % 100 | % 100 | % 100 | % |

The number of residential and general service customers within the Electric Utilities and Infrastructure service territory is expected to increase over time. While economic conditions within the service territory continue to improve, sales growth has been hampered by continued adoption of energy efficiencies and self-generation. The continued adoption of more efficient housing and appliances is expected to have a negative impact on average usage per residential customer over time. While residential sales increased in 2017 compared to 2016, the growth rate was modest when compared to historical periods.

Seasonality and the Impact of Weather

Revenues and costs are influenced by seasonal weather patterns. Peak sales of electricity occur during the summer and winter months, which results in higher revenue and cash flows during these periods. By contrast, lower sales of electricity occur during the spring and fall, allowing for scheduled plant maintenance. Residential and general service customers are more impacted by weather than industrial customers. Estimated weather impacts are based on actual current period weather compared to normal weather conditions. Normal weather conditions are defined as the long-term average of actual historical weather conditions.

The estimated impact of weather on earnings is based on the temperature variances from a normal condition and customers' historic usage patterns. The methodology used to estimate the impact of weather does not consider all variables that may impact customer response to weather conditions such as humidity in the summer or wind chill in the winter. The precision of this estimate may also be impacted by applying long-term weather trends to shorter-term periods.

Heating-degree days measure the variation in weather based on the extent the average daily temperature falls below a base temperature. Cooling-degree days measure the variation in weather based on the extent the average daily temperature rises above the base temperature. Each degree of temperature below the base temperature counts as one heating-degree day and each degree of temperature above the base temperature counts as one cooling-degree day.

Competition

Retail

Electric Utilities and Infrastructure's businesses operate as the sole supplier of electricity within their service territories, with the exception of Ohio, which has a competitive electricity supply market for generation service. Electric Utilities and Infrastructure owns and operates facilities necessary to transmit and distribute electricity and, except in Ohio, to generate electricity. Services are priced by state commission approved rates designed to include the costs of providing these services and a reasonable return on invested capital. This regulatory policy is intended to provide safe and reliable electricity at fair prices.

Competition in the regulated electric distribution business is primarily from the development and deployment of alternative energy sources including on-site generation from industrial customers and distributed generation, such as private solar, at residential, general service and/or industrial customer sites.

Duke Energy is not aware of any proposed legislation within any of its jurisdictions that would provide retail customers the right to choose their electricity provider or otherwise restructure or deregulate the electric industry, including broadly subsidizing distributed generation such as private solar.

Although there is no pending legislation at this time, if the retail jurisdictions served by Electric Utilities and Infrastructure become subject to deregulation, the recovery of stranded costs could become a significant consideration. Stranded costs primarily include the generation assets of Electric Utilities and Infrastructure whose value in a competitive marketplace may be less than their current book value, as well as above-market purchased power commitments from qualifying facilities (QFs). The Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act of 1978 (PURPA) established a new class of generating facilities as QFs, typically small power production facilities that generate power within a utility company's service territory for which the utility companies are legally obligated to purchase the energy at an avoided cost rate. Thus far, all states that have passed restructuring legislation have provided for the opportunity to recover a substantial portion of stranded costs.

PART I

Electric Utilities and Infrastructure's largest stranded cost exposure is primarily related to Duke Energy Florida's purchased power commitments with QFs, under which it has future minimum expected capacity payments through 2043 of \$2.4 billion. Duke Energy Florida was obligated to enter into these contracts under provisions of PURPA. Duke Energy Florida continues to seek ways to address the impact of escalating payments under these contracts. However, the FPSC allows full recovery of the retail portion of the cost of power purchased from QFs. For additional information related to these purchased power commitments, see Note 5 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Commitments and Contingencies."

In Ohio, Electric Utilities and Infrastructure conducts competitive auctions for electricity supply. The cost of energy purchased through these auctions is recovered from retail customers. Electric Utilities and Infrastructure earns retail margin in Ohio on the transmission and distribution of electricity and not on the cost of the underlying energy.

Wholesale

Duke Energy competes with other utilities and merchant generators for bulk power sales, sales to municipalities and cooperatives and wholesale transactions under primarily cost-based contracts approved by FERC. The principal factors in competing for these sales are price, availability of capacity and power and reliability of service. Prices are influenced primarily by market conditions and fuel costs.

Increased competition in the wholesale electric utility industry and the availability of transmission access could affect Electric Utilities and Infrastructure's load forecasts, plans for power supply and wholesale energy sales and related revenues. Wholesale energy sales will be impacted by the extent to which additional generation is available to sell to the wholesale market and the ability of Electric Utilities and Infrastructure to attract new customers and to retain existing customers.

Energy Capacity and Resources

Electric Utilities and Infrastructure owns approximately 49,506 megawatts (MW) of generation capacity. For additional information on owned generation facilities, see Item 2, "Properties."

Energy and capacity are also supplied through contracts with other generators and purchased on the open market. Factors that could cause Electric Utilities and Infrastructure to purchase power for its customers may include, but are not limited to, generating plant outages, extreme weather conditions, generation reliability, demand growth and price. Electric Utilities and Infrastructure has interconnections and arrangements with its neighboring utilities to facilitate planning, emergency assistance, sale and purchase of capacity and energy and reliability of power supply. Electric Utilities and Infrastructure's generation portfolio is a balanced mix of energy resources having different operating characteristics and fuel sources designed to provide energy at the lowest possible cost to meet its obligation to serve retail customers. All options, including owned generation resources and purchased power opportunities, are continually evaluated on a real-time basis to select and dispatch the lowest-cost resources available to meet system load requirements.

Potential Plant Retirements

The Subsidiary Registrants periodically file Integrated Resource Plans (IRP) with state regulatory commissions. The IRPs provide a view of forecasted energy needs over a long term (10 to 20 years) and options being considered to meet those needs. Recent IRPs filed by the Subsidiary Registrants included planning assumptions to potentially retire certain coal-fired generating facilities earlier than their current estimated useful lives, primarily because these facilities do not have the requisite emission control equipment to meet United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) regulations recently approved or proposed. Duke Energy continues to evaluate the potential need to retire these coal-fired generating facilities earlier than the current estimated useful lives and plans to seek regulatory recovery for amounts that would not be otherwise recovered when any of these assets are retired. For additional information related to potential plant retirements, see Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Regulatory Matters."

On October 23, 2015, the EPA published in the Federal Register the final Clean Power Plan (CPP) rule that regulates carbon dioxide (CO₂) emissions from existing fossil fuel-fired electric generating units (EGUs). The CPP establishes CO₂ emission rates and mass cap goals that apply to existing fossil fuel-fired EGUs. Petitions challenging the rule were filed by several groups and on February 9, 2016, the Supreme Court issued a stay of the final CPP rule, halting

implementation of the CPP until legal challenges are resolved. States in which the Duke Energy Registrants operate have suspended work on the CPP in response to the stay. Oral arguments before 10 of the 11 judges on D.C. Circuit Court were heard on September 27, 2016. The court has not issued its opinion in the case.

On March 28, 2017, President Trump signed an executive order directing EPA to review the CPP and determine whether to suspend, revise or rescind the rule. On the same day, the Department of Justice (DOJ) filed a motion with the D.C. Circuit Court requesting that the court stay the litigation of the rule while it is reviewed by EPA. On April 28, 2017, the court issued an order to suspend the litigation for 60 days. On August 8, 2017, the court, on its own motion, extended the suspension of the litigation for an additional 60 days. On October 16, 2017, EPA issued a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPR) to repeal the CPP based on a change to EPA's legal interpretation of the section of the Clean Air Act (CAA) on which the CPP was based. In the proposal, EPA indicates that it has not determined whether it will issue a rule to replace the CPP, and if it will do so, when and what form that rule will take. The comment period on EPA's NPR ends April 26, 2018. On December 28, 2017 EPA issued an Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (ANPRM) in which it seeks public comment on various aspects of a potential CPP replacement rule. The comment period on the ANPRM ends February 26, 2018. If EPA decides to move forward with a CPP replacement rule, it will need to issue a formal proposal for public comment. Litigation of the CPP remains on hold in the D.C. Circuit and the February 2016 U.S. Supreme Court stay of the CPP remains in effect.

PART I

Should the CPP be upheld, compliance could cause the industry to replace coal-fired generation with natural gas and renewables. Costs to operate coal-fired generation plants continue to grow due to increasing environmental compliance requirements, including ash management costs unrelated to CPP, which may result in the retirement of coal-fired generation plants earlier than the current end of useful lives. The Duke Energy Registrants could incur increased fuel, purchased power, operation and maintenance and other costs for replacement generation as a result of this rule. Due to the uncertainties related to the implementation of the CPP, the Duke Energy Registrants cannot predict the outcome of these matters.

Sources of Electricity

Electric Utilities and Infrastructure relies principally on coal, nuclear fuel and natural gas for its generation of electricity. The following table lists sources of electricity and fuel costs for the three years ended December 31, 2017.

| | Generation by Source | | | Cost of Delivered Fuel per Net Kilowatt-hour Generated (Cents) | | |
|---|----------------------|---------|---------|---|------|------|
| | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 |
| Coal ^(a) | 27.4 % | 27.1 % | 29.0 % | 2.72 | 3.07 | 3.24 |
| Nuclear ^(a) | 27.8 % | 27.4 % | 27.0 % | 0.69 | 0.66 | 0.65 |
| Natural gas and oil ^(a) | 23.6 % | 22.9 % | 23.1 % | 2.85 | 3.07 | 3.74 |
| All fuels (cost-based on weighted average) ^(a) | 78.8 % | 77.4 % | 79.1 % | 2.04 | 2.22 | 2.50 |
| Hydroelectric and solar ^(b) | 0.7 % | 0.7 % | 0.8 % | | | |
| Total generation | 79.5 % | 78.1 % | 79.9 % | | | |
| Purchased power and net interchange | 20.5 % | 21.9 % | 20.1 % | | | |
| Total sources of energy | 100.0 % | 100.0 % | 100.0 % | | | |

^(a) Statistics related to all fuels reflect Electric Utilities and Infrastructure's ownership interest in jointly owned generation facilities.

^(b) Generating figures are net of output required to replenish pumped storage facilities during off-peak periods.

Coal

Electric Utilities and Infrastructure meets its coal demand through a portfolio of long-term purchase contracts and short-term spot market purchase agreements. Large amounts of coal are purchased under long-term contracts with mining operators who mine both underground and at the surface. Electric Utilities and Infrastructure uses spot market purchases to meet coal requirements not met by long-term contracts. Expiration dates for its long-term contracts, which have various price adjustment provisions and market re-openers, range from 2018 to 2020 for Duke Energy Carolinas, 2018 to 2020 for Duke Energy Progress, 2018 to 2020 for Duke Energy Florida, 2018 to 2020 for Duke Energy Ohio and 2018 to 2025 for Duke Energy Indiana. Electric Utilities and Infrastructure expects to renew these contracts or enter into similar contracts with other suppliers as existing contracts expire, though prices will fluctuate over time as coal markets change. Electric Utilities and Infrastructure has an adequate supply of coal under contract to meet its hedging guidelines regarding projected future consumption. As a result of volatility in natural gas prices and the associated impacts on coal-fired dispatch within the generation fleet, coal inventories will continue to fluctuate. Electric Utilities and Infrastructure continues to actively manage its portfolio and has worked with suppliers to obtain increased flexibility in its coal contracts.

Coal purchased for the Carolinas is primarily produced from mines in Central Appalachia, Northern Appalachia and the Illinois Basin. Coal purchased for Florida is primarily produced from mines in Colorado and the Illinois Basin. Coal purchased for Kentucky is delivered by barge and is produced from mines along the Ohio River in Illinois, Ohio, West Virginia and Pennsylvania. Coal purchased for Indiana is primarily produced in Indiana and Illinois. The current average sulfur content of coal purchased by Electric Utilities and Infrastructure is between 1.5 percent and 2 percent for Duke Energy Carolinas, between 1.5 percent and 2 percent for Duke Energy Progress, between 1 percent and 3

percent for Duke Energy Florida, between 3 percent and 3.5 percent for Duke Energy Ohio and between 2.5 percent and 3 percent for Duke Energy Indiana. Electric Utilities and Infrastructure's environmental controls, in combination with the use of sulfur dioxide (SO₂) emission allowances, enable Electric Utilities and Infrastructure to satisfy current SO₂ emission limitations for its existing facilities.

Nuclear

The industrial processes for producing nuclear generating fuel generally involve the mining and milling of uranium ore to produce uranium concentrates and services to convert, enrich and fabricate fuel assemblies.

Electric Utilities and Infrastructure has contracted for uranium materials and services to fuel its nuclear reactors.

Uranium concentrates, conversion services and enrichment services are primarily met through a diversified portfolio of long-term supply contracts. The contracts are diversified by supplier, country of origin and pricing. Electric Utilities and Infrastructure staggers its contracting so that its portfolio of long-term contracts covers the majority of its fuel requirements in the near term and decreasing portions of its fuel requirements over time thereafter. Near-term requirements not met by long-term supply contracts have been and are expected to be fulfilled with spot market purchases. Due to the technical complexities of changing suppliers of fuel fabrication services, Electric Utilities and Infrastructure generally sources these services to a single domestic supplier on a plant-by-plant basis using multiyear contracts.

Electric Utilities and Infrastructure has entered into fuel contracts that cover 100 percent of its uranium concentrates, conversion services and enrichment services requirements through at least 2018 and cover fabrication services requirements for these plants through at least 2027. For future requirements not already covered under long-term contracts, Electric Utilities and Infrastructure believes it will be able to renew contracts as they expire or enter into similar contractual arrangements with other suppliers of nuclear fuel materials and services.

PART I

Natural Gas and Fuel Oil

Natural gas and fuel oil supply, transportation and storage for Electric Utilities and Infrastructure's generation fleet is purchased under standard industry agreements from various suppliers, including Piedmont. Natural gas supply agreements typically provide for a percentage of forecasted burns being procured over time, with varied expiration dates. Electric Utilities and Infrastructure believes it has access to an adequate supply of natural gas and fuel oil for the reasonably foreseeable future.

Electric Utilities and Infrastructure has certain dual-fuel generating facilities that can operate utilizing both natural gas and fuel oil. The cost of Electric Utilities and Infrastructure's natural gas and fuel oil is fixed price or determined by published market prices as reported in certain industry publications, plus any transportation and freight costs. Duke Energy Carolinas, Duke Energy Progress, Duke Energy Florida and Duke Energy Indiana use derivative instruments to manage a portion of their exposure to price fluctuations for natural gas. For Duke Energy Florida, there is currently an agreed to moratorium on future hedging with the Florida Public Service Commission.

Electric Utilities and Infrastructure has firm interstate and intrastate natural gas transportation agreements and storage agreements in place to support generation needed for load requirements. Electric Utilities and Infrastructure may purchase additional shorter-term natural gas transportation and utilize natural gas interruptible transportation agreements to support generation needed for load requirements. The Electric Utilities and Infrastructure natural gas plants are served by various supply zones and multiple pipelines.

Purchased Power

Electric Utilities and Infrastructure purchases a portion of its capacity and system requirements through purchase obligations, leases and purchase capacity contracts. Electric Utilities and Infrastructure believes it can obtain adequate purchased power capacity to meet future system load needs. However, during periods of high demand, the price and availability of purchased power may be significantly affected.

The following table summarizes purchased power for the previous three years:

| | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 |
|--|-------|-------|-------|
| Purchase obligations and leases (in millions of megawatt-hours (MWh))(a) | 17.7 | 18.0 | 14.9 |
| Purchase capacity under contract (in MW)(b) | 4,028 | 4,588 | 4,573 |

(a) Represents approximately 7 percent of total system requirements for 2017 and 2016 and 6 percent for 2015.

(b) These agreements include approximately 451 MW of firm capacity under contract by Duke Energy Florida with QFs.

Inventory

Generation of electricity is capital intensive. Electric Utilities and Infrastructure must maintain an adequate stock of fuel and materials and supplies in order to ensure continuous operation of generating facilities and reliable delivery to customers. As of December 31, 2017, the inventory balance for Electric Utilities and Infrastructure was approximately \$3.1 billion. For additional information on inventory, see Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Summary of Significant Accounting Policies."

Ash Basin Management

The North Carolina Coal Ash Management Act of 2014 (Coal Ash Act) regulates the handling of coal ash within the state and requires closure of ash impoundments by no later than December 31, 2029, based on risk rankings, among other detailed requirements. The Coal Ash Act leaves the decision on cost recovery determinations related to closure of coal ash surface impoundments (ash basins or impoundments) to the normal ratemaking processes before utility regulatory commissions. Duke Energy has and will periodically submit to applicable authorities required site-specific coal ash impoundment remediation or closure plans. These plans and all associated permits must be approved before any work can begin.

On April 17, 2015, the EPA published in the Federal Register a rule to regulate the disposal of coal combustion residuals (CCR) from electric utilities as solid waste. The rule classifies CCR as nonhazardous under Subtitle D of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). The EPA CCR rule has certain requirements, which if not met could initiate impoundment closure and require closure completion within five years. The EPA CCR rule includes

extension requirements, which if met could allow the extension of closure completion by up to 10 years. The RCRA and the Coal Ash Act finalized the legal framework related to coal ash management practices and ash basin closure. Duke Energy has advanced the strategy and implementation for the remediation or closure of coal ash basins. In 2015, Duke Energy began activities at certain North Carolina sites specified as high priority by the Coal Ash Act, including moving coal ash off-site for use in structural fill or to lined landfills. Additional modifications to operating coal plants are underway to comply with the Coal Ash Act and RCRA.

Duke Energy Carolinas and Duke Energy Progress have included compliance costs associated with the EPA CCR rule and the Coal Ash Act in their respective rate case filings. During 2017, Duke Energy Carolinas' and Duke Energy Progress' wholesale contracts were amended to include the recovery of expenditures related to asset retirement obligations for the closure of coal ash basins. The amended contracts have retail disallowance parity or provisions limiting challenges to CCR cost recovery actions at FERC. FERC approved the amended wholesale rate schedules in 2017. For additional information on the ash basins and recovery, see Notes 4, 5 and 9 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Regulatory Matters," "Commitments and Contingencies" and "Asset Retirement Obligations," respectively.

PART I

Nuclear Matters

Duke Energy owns, wholly or partially, 11 operating nuclear reactors located at six stations. The Crystal River Unit 3 Nuclear Plant (Crystal River Unit 3) permanently ceased operation in February 2013. Nuclear insurance includes: nuclear liability coverage; property damage coverage; nuclear accident decontamination and premature decommissioning coverage; and accidental outage coverage for losses in the event of a major accidental outage. Joint owners reimburse Duke Energy for certain expenses associated with nuclear insurance in accordance with joint owner agreements. The Price-Anderson Act requires plant owners to provide for public nuclear liability claims resulting from nuclear incidents to the maximum total financial protection liability, which is approximately \$13.4 billion. For additional information on nuclear insurance see Note 5 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Commitments and Contingencies."

Duke Energy has a significant future financial commitment to dispose of spent nuclear fuel and decommission and decontaminate each plant safely. The NCUC, PSCSC and FPSC require Duke Energy to update their cost estimates for decommissioning their nuclear plants every five years.

The following table summarizes the fair value of nuclear decommissioning trust fund (NDTF) balances and cost study results for Duke Energy Carolinas, Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Florida. Decommissioning costs in the table below are stated in 2013 or 2014 dollars, depending on the year of the cost study, and include costs to decommission plant components not subject to radioactive contamination.

| (in millions) | NDTF ^(a) | | Decommissioning | |
|------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|
| | December 31, 2017 | December 31, 2016 | Costs ^{(a)(b)} | Year of Cost Study |
| Duke Energy | \$7,097 | \$ 6,205 | \$ 8,150 | 2013 and 2014 |
| Duke Energy Carolinas | 3,772 | 3,273 | 3,420 | 2013 |
| Duke Energy Progress | 2,588 | 2,217 | 3,550 | 2014 |
| Duke Energy Florida ^(c) | 736 | 715 | 1,180 | 2013 |

(a) Amounts for Progress Energy equal the sum of Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Florida.

(b) Amounts include the Subsidiary Registrants' ownership interest in jointly owned reactors. Other joint owners are responsible for decommissioning costs related to their interest in the reactors.

(c) Duke Energy Florida received reimbursements from the NDTF for costs related to ongoing decommissioning activity of Crystal River Unit 3.

The NCUC, PSCSC, FPSC and FERC have allowed Electric Utilities and Infrastructure to recover estimated decommissioning costs through retail and wholesale rates over the expected remaining service periods of their nuclear stations. Electric Utilities and Infrastructure believes the decommissioning costs being recovered through rates, when coupled with the existing fund balances and expected fund earnings, will be sufficient to provide for the cost of future decommissioning. For additional information, see Note 9 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Asset Retirement Obligations."

The Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982 (as amended) (NWPA) provides the framework for development by the federal government of interim storage and permanent disposal facilities for high-level radioactive waste materials. The government has not yet developed a storage facility or disposal capacity, so Electric Utilities and Infrastructure will continue to store spent fuel on its reactor sites.

Under federal law, the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) is responsible for the selection and construction of a facility for the permanent disposal of spent nuclear fuel and high-level radioactive waste. The DOE terminated the project to license and develop a geologic repository at Yucca Mountain, Nevada in 2010, and is currently taking no action to fulfill its responsibilities to dispose of spent fuel.

Until the DOE begins to accept the spent nuclear fuel, Duke Energy Carolinas, Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Florida will continue to safely manage their spent nuclear fuel. Under current regulatory guidelines, Shearon Harris Nuclear Plant (Harris) has sufficient storage capacity in its spent fuel pools through the expiration of its renewed operating license. Crystal River Unit 3 ceased operation in 2013 and was placed in a SAFSTOR condition in January 2018. As of January 2018, all spent fuel at Crystal River Unit 3 has been transferred from the spent fuel pool

to dry storage at an on-site independent spent fuel storage installation where it will be stored until the DOE removes it. With certain modifications and approvals by the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) to expand the on-site dry cask storage facilities, spent nuclear fuel dry storage facilities will be sufficient to provide storage space of spent fuel through the expiration of the operating licenses, including any license renewals, for the Brunswick Nuclear Plant (Brunswick), Catawba Nuclear Station (Catawba), McGuire Nuclear Station (McGuire), Oconee Nuclear Station (Oconee) and Robinson Nuclear Plant (Robinson).

The nuclear power industry faces uncertainties with respect to the cost and long-term availability of disposal sites for spent nuclear fuel and other radioactive waste, compliance with changing regulatory requirements, capital outlays for modifications and new plant construction.

PART I

Electric Utilities and Infrastructure is subject to the jurisdiction of the NRC for the design, construction and operation of its nuclear generating facilities. The following table includes the current year of expiration of nuclear operating licenses for nuclear stations in operation. Nuclear operating licenses are potentially subject to extension.

| Unit | Year of Expiration |
|-----------------------|--------------------|
| Duke Energy Carolinas | |
| Catawba Units 1 and 2 | 2043 |
| McGuire Unit 1 | 2041 |
| McGuire Unit 2 | 2043 |
| Oconee Units 1 and 2 | 2033 |
| Oconee Unit 3 | 2034 |
| Duke Energy Progress | |
| Brunswick Unit 1 | 2036 |
| Brunswick Unit 2 | 2034 |
| Harris | 2046 |
| Robinson | 2030 |

The NRC has acknowledged permanent cessation of operation and permanent removal of fuel from the reactor vessel at Crystal River Unit 3. Therefore, the license no longer authorizes operation of the reactor. For additional information on decommissioning activity, see Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Regulatory Matters."

On October 27, 2016, and December 15, 2016, the NRC issued combined operating licenses for Duke Energy Florida's proposed Levy Nuclear Plant Units 1 and 2 (Levy) and Duke Energy Carolinas' William States Lee III Nuclear Station Units 1 and 2, respectively. On August 25, 2017, as part of Duke Energy Carolinas rate case filing, Duke Energy Carolinas requested NCUC approval to cancel the development of the Lee Nuclear Station project with the intent to maintain the combined operating licenses. On August 29, 2017, Duke Energy announced the complete abandonment of the Levy project with the intent to terminate the combined operating licenses. For additional information on these proposed nuclear plants, see Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Regulatory Matters."

Regulation

State

The NCUC, PSCSC, FPSC, PUCO, IURC and KPSC (collectively, the state electric utility commissions) approve rates for Duke Energy's retail electric service within their respective states. The state electric utility commissions, to varying degrees, have authority over the construction and operation of Electric Utilities and Infrastructure's generating facilities. Certificates of Public Convenience and Necessity issued by the state electric utility commissions, as applicable, authorize Electric Utilities and Infrastructure to construct and operate its electric facilities and to sell electricity to retail and wholesale customers. Prior approval from the relevant state electric utility commission is required for the entities within Electric Utilities and Infrastructure to issue securities. The underlying concept of utility ratemaking is to set rates at a level that allows the utility to collect revenues equal to its cost of providing service plus earn a reasonable rate of return on its invested capital, including equity.

In addition to rates approved in base rate cases, each of the state electric utility commissions allow recovery of certain costs through various cost-recovery clauses to the extent the respective commission determines in periodic hearings that such costs, including any past over or under-recovered costs, are prudent.

Fuel, fuel-related costs and certain purchased power costs are eligible for recovery by Electric Utilities and Infrastructure. Electric Utilities and Infrastructure uses coal, hydroelectric, natural gas, oil, renewable generation and nuclear fuel to generate electricity, thereby maintaining a diverse fuel mix that helps mitigate the impact of cost increases in any one fuel. Due to the associated regulatory treatment and the method allowed for recovery, changes in fuel costs from year to year have no material impact on operating results of Electric Utilities and Infrastructure, unless a commission finds a portion of such costs to have been imprudent. However, delays between the expenditure for fuel costs and recovery from customers can adversely impact the timing of cash flows of Electric Utilities and Infrastructure.

PART I

The table below reflects significant electric rate case applications approved and effective in the past three years or applications currently pending approval.

| | Regulatory Body | Annual Increase (in millions) | Return on Equity | Equity Component of Capital Structure | Effective Date |
|---|-----------------|-------------------------------|------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Approved Rate Cases: | | | | | |
| Duke Energy Progress 2016 South Carolina Rate Case ^(a) | PSCSC | (a) | 10.1 | % 53 | % 1/1/2017 |
| Pending Rate Cases: | | | | | |
| Duke Energy Carolinas 2017 North Carolina Rate Case | NCUC | \$ 647 | 10.75 | % 53 | % 5/1/2018 ^(d) |
| Duke Energy Progress 2017 North Carolina Rate Case ^(b) | NCUC | 85 | 9.9 | % 52 | % 2/1/2018 ^(d) |
| Duke Energy Progress 2017 North Carolina Rate Case ^(c) | NCUC | 221 | 9.9 | % 52 | % 2/1/2018 ^(d) |
| Duke Energy Kentucky 2017 Kentucky Rate Case | KPSC | 49 | 10.3 | % 49 | % 4/15/2018 ^(d) |
| Duke Energy Ohio 2017 Ohio Rate Case | PUCO | 15 | 10.4 | % 50.75 | % 1/1/2018 ^(d) |

An increase of approximately \$38 million in revenues was effective January 1, 2017, and an additional increase of (a) approximately \$18.5 million in revenues was effective January 1, 2018. Duke Energy Progress amortized approximately \$18.5 million from the cost of removal reserve in 2017.

(b) On November 22, 2017, Duke Energy Progress and the North Carolina Public Staff filed an Agreement and Stipulation of Partial Settlement resolving certain portions of the proceeding, pending NCUC approval.

(c) Represents portions in the original 2017 rate case application not covered by the Agreement and Stipulation of Partial Settlement.

(d) Represents the requested effective dates in the filings. Actual effective dates may differ based on orders from the respective commission.

For more information on rate matters and other regulatory proceedings, see Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Regulatory Matters."

Federal

The FERC approves Electric Utilities and Infrastructure's cost-based rates for electric sales to certain power and transmission wholesale customers. Regulations of FERC and the state electric utility commissions govern access to regulated electric and other data by nonregulated entities and services provided between regulated and nonregulated energy affiliates. These regulations affect the activities of nonregulated affiliates with Electric Utilities and Infrastructure.

Regional Transmission Organizations (RTO). PJM Interconnection, LLC (PJM) and Midcontinent Independent System Operator, Inc. (MISO) are the Independent System Operators (ISO) and FERC-approved RTOs for the regions in which Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Indiana operate. PJM and MISO operate energy, capacity and other markets, and control the day-to-day operations of bulk power systems through central dispatch.

Duke Energy Ohio is a member of PJM and Duke Energy Indiana is a member of MISO. Transmission owners in these RTOs have turned over control of their transmission facilities and their transmission systems are currently under the dispatch control of the RTOs. Transmission service is provided on a regionwide, open-access basis using the transmission facilities of the RTO members at rates based on the costs of transmission service.

Environmental. Electric Utilities and Infrastructure is subject to the jurisdiction of the EPA and state and local environmental agencies. For a discussion of environmental regulation, see "Environmental Matters" in this section. See "Other Matters" section of MD&A for a discussion about potential Global Climate Change legislation and other EPA regulations under development and the potential impacts such legislation and regulation could have on Duke Energy's operations.

GAS UTILITIES AND INFRASTRUCTURE

Gas Utilities and Infrastructure conducts natural gas operations primarily through the regulated public utilities of Piedmont and Duke Energy Ohio. The natural gas operations are subject to the rules and regulations of the NCUC, PSCSC, PUCO, KPSC, Tennessee Public Utility Commission (TPUC), Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA) and the FERC. Gas Utilities and Infrastructure serves residential, commercial, industrial and power generation natural gas customers. Gas Utilities and Infrastructure has over 1.5 million customers, including more than 1 million customers located in North Carolina, South Carolina and Tennessee, and an additional 526,000 customers located within southwestern Ohio and northern Kentucky. In the Carolinas, Ohio and Kentucky, the service areas are comprised of numerous cities, towns and communities. In Tennessee, the service area is the metropolitan area of Nashville.

The number of residential, commercial and industrial customers within the Gas Utilities and Infrastructure service territory is expected to increase over time. Average usage per residential customer is expected to remain flat or decline for the foreseeable future, however decoupled rates in North Carolina and various rate design mechanisms in other jurisdictions partially mitigate the impact of the declining usage per customer on overall profitability. While total industrial and general service sales increased in 2017 when compared to 2016, the growth rate was modest when compared to historical periods.

Gas Utilities and Infrastructure also owns, operates and has investments in various pipeline transmission and natural gas storage facilities.

PART I

Natural Gas for Retail Distribution

Gas Utilities and Infrastructure is responsible for the distribution of natural gas to retail customers in its North Carolina, South Carolina, Tennessee, Ohio and Kentucky service territories. Gas Utilities and Infrastructure's natural gas procurement strategy is to contract primarily with major and independent producers and marketers for natural gas supply. It also purchases a diverse portfolio of transportation and storage service from interstate pipelines. This strategy allows Gas Utilities and Infrastructure to assure reliable natural gas supply and transportation for its firm customers during peak winter conditions. When firm pipeline services or contracted natural gas supplies are temporarily not needed due to market demand fluctuations, Gas Utilities and Infrastructure may release these services and supplies in the secondary market under FERC-approved capacity release provisions or make wholesale secondary market sales. In 2017, firm supply purchase commitment agreements provided 100 percent of the natural gas supply for Piedmont and 100 percent for Duke Energy Ohio.

Seasonality and the Impact of Weather

Gas Utilities and Infrastructure's costs and revenues are influenced by seasonal patterns due to peak natural gas sales occurring during the winter months. Residential customers are the most impacted by weather. There are certain regulatory mechanisms for the North Carolina, South Carolina and Tennessee service territories that normalize the margins collected from certain customer classes during the winter, providing for an adjustment either up or down. In North Carolina, rate design provides protection from both weather and other usage variations such as conservation. In South Carolina and Tennessee, revenues are adjusted solely based on weather during the periods of November through March and October through April, respectively. Rate design for the Ohio service territory also mitigates the impacts of weather on customer bills. Estimated weather impacts are based on actual current period weather compared to normal weather conditions. Normal weather conditions are defined as the long-term average of actual historical weather conditions.

Degree-day data are used to estimate energy required to maintain comfortable indoor temperatures based on each day's average temperature. Heating-degree days measure the variation in weather based on the extent the average daily temperature falls below a base temperature. The methodology used to estimate the applicable impact of weather does not consider all variables that may impact customer response to weather conditions, such as wind chill. The precision of this estimate may also be impacted by applying long-term weather trends to shorter-term periods.

Competition

Gas Utilities and Infrastructure's businesses operate as the sole supplier of natural gas within their retail service territories, with the exception of Ohio, which has a competitive natural gas supply market for distribution service. Gas Utilities and Infrastructure owns and operates facilities necessary to transport and distribute natural gas. Gas Utilities and Infrastructure earns retail margin on the transmission and distribution of natural gas and not on the cost of the underlying commodity. Services are priced by state commission approved rates designed to include the costs of providing these services and a reasonable return on invested capital. This regulatory policy is intended to provide safe and reliable natural gas service at fair prices.

In residential, commercial and industrial customer markets, natural gas distribution operations compete with other companies that supply energy, primarily electric companies, propane and fuel oil dealers, renewable energy providers and coal companies in relation to sources of energy for electric power plants, as well as nuclear energy. A significant competitive factor is price. Gas Utilities and Infrastructure's primary product competition is with electricity for heating, water heating and cooking. Increases in the price of natural gas or decreases in the price of other energy sources could negatively impact competitive position by decreasing the price benefits of natural gas to the consumer. In the case of industrial customers, such as manufacturing plants, adverse economic or market conditions, including higher natural gas costs, could cause these customers to suspend business operations or to use alternative sources of energy in favor of energy sources with lower per-unit costs.

Higher natural gas costs or decreases in the price of other energy sources may allow competition from alternative energy sources for applications that have traditionally used natural gas, encouraging some customers to move away from natural gas-fired equipment to equipment fueled by other energy sources. Competition between natural gas and other forms of energy is also based on efficiency, performance, reliability, safety and other non-price factors.

Technological improvements in other energy sources and events that impair the public perception of the non-price attributes of natural gas could erode our competitive advantage. These factors in turn could decrease the demand for natural gas, impair our ability to attract new customers and cause existing customers to switch to other forms of energy or to bypass our systems in favor of alternative competitive sources. This could result in slow or no customer growth and could cause customers to reduce or cease using our product, thereby reducing our ability to make capital expenditures and otherwise grow our business, adversely affecting our earnings.

Pipeline and Storage Investments

Duke Energy, through its Gas Utilities and Infrastructure segment, is a 47 percent equity member of Atlantic Coast Pipeline, LLC (ACP) that plans to build and own the proposed Atlantic Coast Pipeline (ACP Pipeline), an approximately 600-mile interstate natural gas pipeline, regulated by FERC. Prior to the Piedmont acquisition, Duke Energy owned a 40 percent equity ownership in ACP. The ACP pipeline is intended to transport diverse natural gas supplies into southeastern markets. Duke Energy Carolinas, Duke Energy Progress and Piedmont, among others, will be customers of the ACP pipeline. The targeted in-service date of the pipeline is late 2019.

Gas Utilities and Infrastructure also has a 7.5 percent equity ownership interest in Sabal Trail Transmission, LLC (Sabal Trail). Sabal Trail is a joint venture that owns a 515-mile natural gas pipeline (Sabal Trail pipeline) to transport natural gas to Florida, regulated by FERC. The Sabal Trail phase one mainline was placed into service in July 2017 and traverses Alabama, Georgia and Florida. A request to place in-service a lateral line to the Duke Energy Florida's Citrus County Combined Cycle facility is pending with FERC. Current legal challenges to the Sabal Trail pipeline are ongoing, which may have an impact on continuing operations of the pipeline.

Gas Utilities and Infrastructure has a 24 percent equity ownership interest in Constitution Pipeline Company, LLC (Constitution), an interstate pipeline development company formed to develop, construct, own and operate a 124-mile natural gas pipeline and related facilities connecting shale natural gas supplies and gathering systems in Susquehanna County, Pennsylvania, to Iroquois Gas Transmission and Tennessee Gas Pipeline systems in New York, regulated by FERC. As a result of permitting delays and project uncertainty, Constitution is unable to approximate an in-service date.

PART I

As a result of the Piedmont acquisition, Duke Energy, through its Gas Utilities and Infrastructure segment, has a 21.49 percent equity ownership interest in Cardinal Pipeline Company, LLC (Cardinal), an intrastate pipeline located in North Carolina regulated by the NCUC, a 45 percent equity ownership in Pine Needle LNG Company, LLC (Pine Needle), an interstate liquefied natural gas storage facility located in North Carolina and a 50 percent equity ownership interest in Hardy Storage Company, LLC (Hardy Storage), an underground interstate natural gas storage facility located in Hardy and Hampshire counties in West Virginia. Pine Needle and Hardy Storage are regulated by FERC.

KO Transmission Company (KO Transmission), a wholly owned subsidiary of Duke Energy Ohio, is an interstate pipeline company engaged in the business of transporting natural gas and is subject to the rules and regulations of FERC. KO Transmission's 90-mile pipeline supplies natural gas to Duke Energy Ohio and interconnects with the Columbia Gulf Transmission pipeline and Tennessee Gas Pipeline. An approximately 70-mile portion of KO Transmission's pipeline facilities is co-owned by Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation.

See Notes 4, 12 and 17 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Regulatory Matters," "Investments in Unconsolidated Affiliates" and "Variable Interest Entities," respectively, for further information on Duke Energy's pipeline investments.

Inventory

Gas Utilities and Infrastructure must maintain adequate natural gas inventory in order to provide reliable delivery to customers. As of December 31, 2017, the inventory balance for Gas Utilities and Infrastructure was \$106 million. For more information on inventory, see Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Summary of Significant Accounting Policies."

Regulation

State

The NCUC, PSCSC, PUCO, TPUC and KPSC (collectively, the state gas utility commissions) approve rates for Duke Energy's retail natural gas service within their respective states. The state gas utility commissions, to varying degrees, have authority over the construction and operation of Gas Utilities and Infrastructure's natural gas distribution facilities. Certificates of Public Convenience and Necessity or Certificates of Environmental Compatibility and Public Necessity issued by the state gas utility commissions or other government agencies, as applicable, authorize Gas Utilities and Infrastructure to construct and operate its natural gas distribution facilities and to sell natural gas to retail and wholesale customers. Prior approval from the relevant state gas utility commission is required for Gas Utilities and Infrastructure to issue securities. The underlying concept of utility ratemaking is to set rates at a level that allows the utility to collect revenues equal to its cost of providing service plus a reasonable rate of return on its invested capital, including equity.

In addition to amounts collected from customers through approved base rates, each of the state gas utility commissions allow recovery of certain costs through various cost-recovery clauses to the extent the respective commission determines in periodic hearings that such costs, including any past over- or under-recovered costs, are prudent. Natural gas costs are eligible for recovery by Gas Utilities and Infrastructure. Due to the associated regulatory treatment and the method allowed for recovery, changes in natural gas costs from year to year have no material impact on operating results of Gas Utilities and Infrastructure, unless a commission finds a portion of such costs to have not been prudent. However, delays between the expenditure for natural gas and recovery from customers can adversely impact the timing of cash flows of Gas Utilities and Infrastructure.

The following table summarizes certain components underlying recently approved and effective base rates or rate stabilization filings in the last three years.

| | Annual Increase (in millions) | Return on Equity | Equity Component of Capital Structure | Effective Date |
|--|--|------------------------|--|----------------|
| Piedmont 2016 South Carolina Rate Stabilization Adjustment Filing ^(a) | 8 | 10.2 % | 53.0 | November 2016 |

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

Piedmont 2017 South Carolina Rate Stabilization Adjustment Filing^(a) 6 10.2 % 53.0 % November 2017

(a) Under the rate stabilization adjustment mechanism, Piedmont resets rates in South Carolina based on updated costs and revenues on an annual basis.

Gas Utilities and Infrastructure has integrity management rider (IMR) mechanisms in North Carolina and Tennessee designed to separately track and recover certain costs associated with capital investments incurred to comply with federal pipeline safety and integrity programs, as well as additional state safety and integrity requirements in Tennessee. The following table summarizes information related to recently approved or pending IMR filings.

| (in millions) | Cumulative Investment | Annual Margin Revenues | Effective Date |
|--|-----------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|
| Piedmont 2017 IMR Filing – North Carolina ^(a) | \$ 738 | \$ 77 | December 2017 |
| Piedmont 2016 IMR Filing – Tennessee ^(b) | 193 | 23 | January 2017 |
| Pending Filing: | | | Proposed Effective Date |
| Piedmont 2017 IMR Filing – Tennessee ^(c) | \$ 231 | \$ 23.4 | January 2018 |

PART I

- (a) Cumulative investment amounts through September 30, 2017.
- (b) Cumulative investment amounts through October 31, 2016.
- (c) Cumulative investment amounts through October 31, 2017. A ruling from the TPUC is pending.

For more information on rate matters and other regulatory proceedings, see Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, “Regulatory Matters.”

Federal

Gas Utilities and Infrastructure is subject to various federal regulations, including regulations that are particular to the natural gas industry. These federal regulations include but are not limited to the following:

Regulations of the FERC affect the certification and siting of new interstate natural gas pipeline projects, the purchase and sale of, the prices paid for, and the terms and conditions of service for the interstate transportation and storage of natural gas.

Regulations of the PHMSA affect the design, construction, operation, maintenance, integrity, safety and security of natural gas distribution and transmission systems.

Regulations of the EPA relate to the environment including proposed air emissions regulations that would expand to include emissions of methane. For a discussion of environmental regulation, see “Environmental Matters” in this section. Refer to “Other Matters” section of Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations for a discussion about potential Global Climate Change legislation and other EPA regulations under development and the potential impacts such legislation and regulation could have on Duke Energy’s operations.

Regulations of FERC and the state gas utility commissions govern access to regulated natural gas and other data by nonregulated entities and services provided between regulated and nonregulated energy affiliates. These regulations affect the activities of nonregulated affiliates with Gas Utilities and Infrastructure.

COMMERCIAL RENEWABLES

Commercial Renewables primarily acquires, builds, develops and operates wind and solar renewable generation throughout the continental U.S. The portfolio includes nonregulated renewable energy and energy storage businesses. Commercial Renewables' renewable energy includes utility-scale wind and solar generation assets, which total 2,907 MW across 14 states from 21 wind facilities and 63 solar facilities. Revenues are primarily generated by selling the power produced from renewable generation through long-term contracts to utilities, electric cooperatives, municipalities and commercial and industrial customers. In most instances, these customers have obligations under state-mandated renewable energy portfolio standards or similar state or local renewable energy goals. Energy and renewable energy credits generated by wind and solar projects are generally sold at contractual prices. In addition, as eligible wind and solar projects are placed in service, Commercial Renewables recognizes either investment tax credits (ITCs) when the renewable solar or wind project achieves commercial availability or production tax credits (PTC) as power is generated by wind projects over 10 years. Renewable ITCs are recognized over the useful life of the asset as a reduction to depreciation expense with the benefit of the tax basis adjustment due to the ITC recognized in income in the year of commercial availability.

As part of its growth strategy, Commercial Renewables has expanded its investment portfolio through the addition of distributed solar companies and projects, energy storage systems and energy management solutions specifically tailored to commercial businesses. These investments include the 2015 acquisition of a controlling interest in REC Solar Corp., a California-based provider of solar installations for retail, manufacturing, agriculture, technology, government and nonprofit customers across the U.S. and Phoenix Energy Technologies Inc., a California-based provider of enterprise energy management and information software to commercial businesses. In 2017, Duke Energy acquired the remaining interest in REC Solar.

For additional information on Commercial Renewables' generation facilities, see Item 2, “Properties.”

Regulation

Commercial Renewables is subject to regulation at the federal level, primarily from the FERC. Regulations of the FERC govern access to regulated market information by nonregulated entities and services provided between regulated and nonregulated utilities.

Market Environment and Competition

The market price of commodities and services, along with the quality and reliability of services provided, drive competition in the wholesale energy business. Commercial Renewables' main competitors include other nonregulated generators and wholesale power providers.

Sources of Electricity

Commercial Renewables relies on wind and solar resources for its generation of electric energy.

OTHER

The remainder of Duke Energy's operations is presented as Other. While it is not an operating segment, Other primarily includes interest expense on holding company debt, unallocated corporate costs including costs to achieve strategic acquisitions, amounts related to certain companywide initiatives and contributions made to the Duke Energy Foundation. Other also includes Bison Insurance Company Limited (Bison) and an investment in NMC.

PART I

The Duke Energy Foundation is a nonprofit organization funded by Duke Energy shareholders that makes charitable contributions to selected nonprofits and government subdivisions.

Bison, a wholly owned subsidiary of Duke Energy, is a captive insurance company with the principal activity of providing Duke Energy subsidiaries with indemnification for financial losses primarily related to property, workers' compensation and general liability.

NMC is a joint venture that operates in Jubail, Saudi Arabia, as a large regional producer of methanol and methyl tertiary butyl ether (MTBE), an additive to gasoline. In 2017, NMC produced approximately 934,000 metric tons of methanol and approximately 1,087,000 metric tons of MTBE. Approximately 40 percent of methanol is normally used in MTBE production. Upon the successful startup of NMC's polyacetal production facility during the fourth quarter of 2017, Duke Energy's ownership interest in NMC decreased from 25 percent to 17.5 percent. Duke Energy records the investment activity of NMC using the equity method of accounting and retains 25 percent of NMC's board of directors representation and voting rights.

Regulation

Certain entities within Other are subject to the jurisdiction of federal, state and local agencies.

Employees

On December 31, 2017, Duke Energy had a total of 29,060 employees on its payroll. The total includes 5,483 employees who are represented by labor unions under various collective bargaining agreements that generally cover wages, benefits, working practices, and other terms and conditions of employment.

Executive Officers of the Registrants

The following table sets forth the individuals who currently serve as executive officers. Executive officers serve until their successors are duly elected or appointed.

| Name | Age ^(a) | Current and Recent Positions Held |
|--------------------|--------------------|---|
| Lynn J. Good | 58 | Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer. Ms. Good was elected as Chairman of the Board, effective January 1, 2016, and assumed her position as President and Chief Executive Officer in July 2013. Prior to that, she served as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer since 2009. |
| Steven K. Young | 59 | Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer. Mr. Young assumed his current position in August 2013. Prior to that, he had served as Senior Vice President, Chief Accounting Officer and Controller since April 2006. |
| Douglas F. Esamann | 60 | Executive Vice President, Energy Solutions and President, Midwest and Florida Regions. Mr. Esamann assumed his current position in September 2016 and was Executive Vice President and President, Midwest and Florida Regions since June 2015. Prior to that, he was President, Duke Energy Indiana since November 2010. |
| Lloyd M. Yates | 57 | Executive Vice President, Customer and Delivery Operations and President, Carolinas Region. Mr. Yates assumed his current position in September 2016 and was Executive Vice President, Market Solutions and President, Carolinas Region since August 2014. He held the position of Executive Vice President, Regulated Utilities from December 2012 to August 2014, and prior to that, had served as Executive Vice President, Customer Operations since July 2012, upon the merger of Duke Energy and Progress Energy. Prior to the merger, Mr. Yates was President and Chief Executive Officer of Progress Energy Carolinas, Inc., which is now known as Duke Energy Progress, LLC since July 2007. |
| Dhiaa M. Jamil | 61 | Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer. Mr. Jamil assumed the role of Chief Operating Officer in May 2016. Prior to his current position, he had held the title Executive Vice President and President, Regulated Generation and Transmission since June 2015. Prior to that, he had served as Executive Vice President and President, Regulated Generation since August 2014. He served as Executive Vice President and President of Duke Energy Nuclear from March 2013 to August 2014, and Chief Nuclear Officer from February 2008 to February 2013. He also served as Chief Generation Officer for Duke Energy from July 2009 to June 2012. |

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

| | | |
|------------------------|----|--|
| Franklin H. Yoho | 58 | Executive Vice President and President, Natural Gas. Mr. Yoho assumed his current position in October 2016 upon the acquisition of Piedmont by Duke Energy. Prior to this appointment, he served as Senior Vice President and Chief Commercial Officer of Piedmont since August 2011. Prior to that, he served as Senior Vice President, Commercial Operations since March 2002. |
| Julia S. Janson | 53 | Executive Vice President, External Affairs, Chief Legal Officer and Corporate Secretary. Ms. Janson assumed her current position in December 2012 and, in May 2017, assumed the responsibilities for the External Affairs and Strategic Policy organization. Prior to that, she had held the position of President of Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Kentucky since 2008. |
| Melissa H. Anderson | 53 | Executive Vice President, Administration and Chief Human Resources Officer. Ms. Anderson assumed her position in May 2016 and had been Executive Vice President and Chief Human Resources Officer since January 2015. Prior to joining Duke Energy, she served as Senior Vice President of Human Resources at Domtar Inc. since 2010. |
| William E. Currens Jr. | 48 | Senior Vice President, Chief Accounting Officer and Controller. Mr. Currens assumed his current position in May 2016. Prior to that, he had held the position of Vice President, Investor Relations since 2009. |

(a) The ages of the officers provided are as of December 31, 2017.

There are no family relationships between any of the executive officers, nor any arrangement or understanding between any executive officer and any other person involved in officer selection.

PART I

Environmental Matters

The Duke Energy Registrants are subject to federal, state and local laws and regulations with regard to air and water quality, hazardous and solid waste disposal and other environmental matters. Environmental laws and regulations affecting the Duke Energy Registrants include, but are not limited to:

The Clean Air Act (CAA), as well as state laws and regulations impacting air emissions, including State Implementation Plans related to existing and new national ambient air quality standards for ozone and particulate matter. Owners and/or operators of air emission sources are responsible for obtaining permits and for annual compliance and reporting.

The Clean Water Act (CWA), which requires permits for facilities that discharge wastewaters into navigable waters. The Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act, which can require any individual or entity that currently owns or in the past owned or operated a disposal site, as well as transporters or generators of hazardous substances sent to a disposal site, to share in remediation costs.

The National Environmental Policy Act, which requires federal agencies to consider potential environmental impacts in their permitting and licensing decisions, including siting approvals.

Coal Ash Act, as amended, which establishes requirements regarding the use and closure of existing ash basins, the disposal of ash at active coal plants and the handling of surface water and groundwater impacts from ash basins in North Carolina.

The Solid Waste Disposal Act, as amended by the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA), which creates a framework for the proper management of hazardous and nonhazardous solid waste; classifies CCR as nonhazardous waste; and establishes standards for landfill and surface impoundment placement, design, operation and closure, groundwater monitoring, corrective action, and post-closure care.

The Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA), which gives EPA the authority to require reporting, recordkeeping and testing requirements, and to place restrictions relating to chemical substances and/or mixtures, including polychlorinated biphenyls.

For more information on environmental matters, see Notes 5 and 9 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Commitments and Contingencies – Environmental" and "Asset Retirement Obligations," respectively, and the "Other Matters" section of MD&A. Except as otherwise described in these sections, costs to comply with current federal, state and local provisions regulating the discharge of materials into the environment or other potential costs related to protecting the environment are incorporated into the routine cost structure of our various business segments and are not expected to have a material adverse effect on the competitive position, consolidated results of operations, cash flows or financial position of the Duke Energy Registrants.

The "Other Matters" section of MD&A includes an estimate of future capital expenditures required to comply with environmental regulations and a discussion of Global Climate Change including the potential impact of current and future legislation related to greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions on the Duke Energy Registrants' operations. Recently passed and potential future environmental statutes and regulations could have a significant impact on the Duke Energy Registrants' results of operations, cash flows or financial position. However, if and when such statutes and regulations become effective, the Duke Energy Registrants will seek appropriate regulatory recovery of costs to comply within its regulated operations.

DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS

Duke Energy Carolinas is a regulated public utility primarily engaged in the generation, transmission, distribution and sale of electricity in portions of North Carolina and South Carolina. Duke Energy Carolinas' service area covers approximately 24,000 square miles and supplies electric service to 2.5 million residential, commercial and industrial customers. For information about Duke Energy Carolinas' generating facilities, see Item 2, "Properties." Duke Energy Carolinas is subject to the regulatory provisions of the NCUC, PSCSC, NRC and FERC.

Substantially all of Duke Energy Carolinas' operations are regulated and qualify for regulatory accounting. Duke Energy Carolinas operates one reportable business segment, Electric Utilities and Infrastructure. For additional information regarding this business segment, including financial information, see Note 3 to the Consolidated Financial

Statements, “Business Segments.”

PROGRESS ENERGY

Progress Energy is a public utility holding company primarily engaged in the regulated electric utility business and is subject to regulation by the FERC. Progress Energy conducts operations through its wholly owned subsidiaries, Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Florida. When discussing Progress Energy’s financial information, it necessarily includes the results of Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Florida.

Substantially all of Progress Energy’s operations are regulated and qualify for regulatory accounting. Progress Energy operates one reportable business segment, Electric Utilities and Infrastructure. For additional information regarding this business segment, including financial information, see Note 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, “Business Segments.”

DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS

Duke Energy Progress is a regulated public utility primarily engaged in the generation, transmission, distribution and sale of electricity in portions of North Carolina and South Carolina. Duke Energy Progress’ service area covers approximately 32,000 square miles and supplies electric service to approximately 1.5 million residential, commercial and industrial customers. For information about Duke Energy Progress’ generating facilities, see Item 2, “Properties.” Duke Energy Progress is subject to the regulatory provisions of the NCUC, PSCSC, NRC and FERC.

PART I

Substantially all of Duke Energy Progress' operations are regulated and qualify for regulatory accounting. Duke Energy Progress operates one reportable business segment, Electric Utilities and Infrastructure. For additional information regarding this business segment, including financial information, see Note 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Business Segments."

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA

Duke Energy Florida is a regulated public utility primarily engaged in the generation, transmission, distribution and sale of electricity in portions of Florida. Duke Energy Florida's service area covers approximately 13,000 square miles and supplies electric service to approximately 1.8 million residential, commercial and industrial customers. For information about Duke Energy Florida's generating facilities, see Item 2, "Properties." Duke Energy Florida is subject to the regulatory provisions of the FPSC, NRC and FERC.

Substantially all of Duke Energy Florida's operations are regulated and qualify for regulatory accounting. Duke Energy Florida operates one reportable business segment, Electric Utilities and Infrastructure. For additional information regarding this business segment, including financial information, see Note 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Business Segments."

DUKE ENERGY OHIO

Duke Energy Ohio is a regulated public utility primarily engaged in the transmission and distribution of electricity in portions of Ohio and Kentucky, in the generation and sale of electricity in portions of Kentucky and the transportation and sale of natural gas in portions of Ohio and Kentucky. Duke Energy Ohio also conducts competitive auctions for retail electricity supply in Ohio whereby recovery of the energy price is from retail customers. Operations in Kentucky are conducted through its wholly owned subsidiary, Duke Energy Kentucky, Inc. (Duke Energy Kentucky).

References herein to Duke Energy Ohio include Duke Energy Ohio and its subsidiaries, unless otherwise noted. Duke Energy Ohio is subject to the regulatory provisions of the PUCO, KPSC and FERC.

Duke Energy Ohio's service area covers approximately 3,000 square miles and supplies electric service to approximately 850,000 residential, commercial and industrial customers and provides transmission and distribution services for natural gas to approximately 529,000 customers. For information about Duke Energy Ohio's generating facilities, see Item 2, "Properties."

KO Transmission, a wholly owned subsidiary of Duke Energy Ohio, is an interstate pipeline company engaged in the business of transporting natural gas and is subject to the rules and regulations of FERC. KO Transmission's 90-mile pipeline supplies natural gas to Duke Energy Ohio and interconnects with the Columbia Gulf Transmission pipeline and Tennessee Gas Pipeline. An approximately 70-mile portion of KO Transmission's pipeline facilities is co-owned by Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation.

On April 2, 2015, Duke Energy completed the sale of its nonregulated Midwest generation business, which sold power into wholesale energy markets, to a subsidiary of Dynegy. For further information about the sale of the Midwest Generation business, refer to Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Acquisitions and Dispositions."

Substantially all of Duke Energy Ohio's operations that remain after the sale qualify for regulatory accounting.
Business Segments

Duke Energy Ohio has two reportable operating segments, Electric Utilities and Infrastructure and Gas Utilities and Infrastructure. For additional information on these business segments, including financial information, see Note 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Business Segments."

DUKE ENERGY INDIANA

Duke Energy Indiana is a regulated public utility primarily engaged in the generation, transmission, distribution and sale of electricity in portions of Indiana. Duke Energy Indiana's service area covers 23,000 square miles and supplies electric service to 820,000 residential, commercial and industrial customers. See Item 2, "Properties" for further discussion of Duke Energy Indiana's generating facilities, transmission and distribution. Duke Energy Indiana is

subject to the regulatory provisions of the IURC and FERC.

Substantially all of Duke Energy Indiana's operations are regulated and qualify for regulatory accounting. Duke Energy Indiana operates one reportable business segment, Electric Utilities and Infrastructure. For additional information regarding this business segment, including financial information, see Note 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Business Segments."

PIEDMONT

Piedmont is a regulated public utility primarily engaged in the distribution of natural gas to over 1 million residential, commercial, industrial and power generation customers in portions of North Carolina, South Carolina and Tennessee, including customers served by municipalities who are wholesale customers. Piedmont is subject to the regulatory provisions of the NCUC, PSCSC, TPUC and FERC.

Substantially all of Piedmont's operations are regulated and qualify for regulatory accounting. Piedmont operates one reportable business segment, Gas Utilities and Infrastructure. For additional information regarding this business segment, including financial information, see Note 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Business Segments."

PART I

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

In addition to other disclosures within this Form 10-K, including "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations – Matters Impacting Future Results" for each registrant in Item 7, and other documents filed with the SEC from time to time, the following factors should be considered in evaluating Duke Energy and its subsidiaries. Such factors could affect actual results of operations and cause results to differ substantially from those currently expected or sought. Unless otherwise indicated, risk factors discussed below generally relate to risks associated with all of the Duke Energy Registrants. Risks identified at the Subsidiary Registrant level are generally applicable to Duke Energy.

Business Strategy Risks

Duke Energy's future results could be adversely affected if it is unable to implement its business strategy.

Duke Energy's future results of operations depend, in significant part, on the extent to which it can implement its business strategy successfully. Duke Energy's strategy, including transforming the customer experience, modernizing the energy grid, generating cleaner energy, expansion of natural gas infrastructure, modernizing the regulatory construct and engaging employees and stakeholders to accomplish these priorities, is subject to business, economic and competitive uncertainties and contingencies, many of which are beyond its control. As a consequence, Duke Energy may not be able to fully implement or realize the anticipated results of its strategy.

Regulatory, Legislative and Legal Risks

The Duke Energy Registrants' regulated utility revenues, earnings and results are dependent on state legislation and regulation that affect electric generation, electric and natural gas transmission, distribution and related activities, which may limit their ability to recover costs.

The Duke Energy Registrants' regulated electric and natural gas utility businesses are regulated on a cost-of-service/rate-of-return basis subject to statutes and regulatory commission rules and procedures of North Carolina, South Carolina, Florida, Ohio, Tennessee, Indiana and Kentucky. If the Duke Energy Registrants' regulated utility earnings exceed the returns established by the state utility commissions, retail electric and natural gas rates may be subject to review and possible reduction by the commissions, which may decrease the Duke Energy Registrants' future earnings. Additionally, if regulatory bodies do not allow recovery of costs incurred in providing service on a timely basis, the Duke Energy Registrants' future earnings could be negatively impacted.

If legislative and regulatory structures were to evolve in such a way that the Duke Energy Registrants' exclusive rights to serve their regulated customers were eroded, their future earnings could be negatively impacted. Federal and state regulations, laws and other efforts designed to promote and expand the use of energy efficiency measures and distributed generation technologies, such as private solar and battery storage, in Duke Energy service territories could result in customers leaving the electric distribution system and an increase in customer net energy metering, which allows customers with private solar to receive bill credits for surplus power at the full retail amount. Over time, customer adoption of these technologies and increased energy efficiency could result in excess generation resources as well as stranded costs if Duke Energy is not able to fully recover the costs and investment in generation.

State regulators have approved various mechanisms to stabilize natural gas utility margins, including margin decoupling in North Carolina, rate stabilization in South Carolina and uncollectible natural gas cost recovery in all states. State regulators have approved other margin stabilizing mechanisms that, for example, allow for recovery of margin losses associated with negotiated transactions designed to retain large volume customers that could use alternative fuels or that may otherwise directly access natural gas supply through their own connection to an interstate pipeline. If regulators decided to discontinue the Duke Energy Registrants' use of tariff mechanisms, it would negatively impact results of operations, financial condition and cash flows. In addition, regulatory authorities also review whether natural gas costs are prudent and can disallow the recovery of a portion of natural gas costs that the Duke Energy Registrants seek to recover from customers, which would adversely impact earnings.

The rates that the Duke Energy Registrants' regulated utility businesses are allowed to charge are established by state utility commissions in rate case proceedings, which may limit their ability to recover costs and earn an appropriate return on investment.

The rates that the Duke Energy Registrants' regulated utility business are allowed to charge significantly influences the results of operations, financial position and liquidity of the Duke Energy Registrants. The regulation of the rates that the regulated utility businesses charge customers is determined, in large part, by state utility commissions in rate case proceedings. Negative decisions made by these regulators could have a material adverse effect on the Duke Energy Registrants' results of operations, financial position or liquidity and affect the ability of the Duke Energy Registrants to recover costs and an appropriate return on the significant infrastructure investments being made. Duke Energy cannot predict the outcome of these rate case proceedings.

Deregulation or restructuring in the electric industry may result in increased competition and unrecovered costs that could adversely affect the Duke Energy Registrants' financial position, results of operations or cash flows and their utility businesses.

Increased competition resulting from deregulation or restructuring legislation could have a significant adverse impact on the Duke Energy Registrants' results of operations, financial position or cash flows. Retail competition and the unbundling of regulated electric service could have a significant adverse financial impact on the Duke Energy Registrants due to an impairment of assets, a loss of retail customers, lower profit margins or increased costs of capital. The Duke Energy Registrants cannot predict the extent and timing of entry by additional competitors into the electric markets. The Duke Energy Registrants cannot predict if or when they will be subject to changes in legislation or regulation, nor can they predict the impact of these changes on their financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

PART I

The Duke Energy Registrants' businesses are subject to extensive federal regulation and a wide variety of laws and governmental policies, including taxes, that may change over time in ways that affect operations and costs.

Duke Energy is subject to regulations under a wide variety of U.S. federal and state regulations and policies. There can be no assurance that laws, regulations and policies will not be changed in ways that result in material modifications of business models and objectives or affect returns on investment by restricting activities and products, subjecting them to escalating costs or prohibiting them outright.

On December 22, 2017, President Trump signed the Tax Cuts and Jobs Acts (the Tax Act) into law which, among other provisions, reduces the maximum federal corporate income tax rate from 35 percent to 21 percent and limits interest deductions outside of regulated utility operations effective January 1, 2018. The resulting revaluation of existing deferred tax assets and liabilities to the lower federal corporate tax rate were recognized in Duke Energy's December 31, 2017, financial statements. Guidance issued by the SEC indicates that additional adjustments for items that were estimated may be recorded during 2018 if new information becomes available. The Tax Act also could be amended or subject to technical correction, which could change the financial impacts that were recorded at December 31, 2017, or are expected to be recorded in future periods. The FERC and state utility commissions will determine the regulatory treatment of the impacts of the Tax Act. Duke Energy's future results of operations, financial condition and cash flows could be adversely impacted by the Tax Act, subsequent amendments or corrections, or the actions of the FERC, state utility commissions or credit rating agencies related to the Tax Act.

The Duke Energy Registrants are subject to regulation by FERC, NRC, EPA and various other federal agencies as well as the North American Electric Reliability Corporation. Regulation affects almost every aspect of the Duke Energy Registrants' businesses, including, among other things, their ability to: take fundamental business management actions; determine the terms and rates of transmission and distribution services; make acquisitions; issue equity or debt securities; engage in transactions with other subsidiaries and affiliates; and pay dividends upstream to the Duke Energy Registrants. Changes to federal regulations are continuous and ongoing. The Duke Energy Registrants cannot predict the future course of regulatory changes or the ultimate effect those changes will have on their businesses. However, changes in regulation can cause delays in or affect business planning and transactions and can substantially increase the Duke Energy Registrants' costs.

The Duke Energy Registrants are subject to numerous environmental laws and regulations requiring significant capital expenditures that can increase the cost of operations, and which may impact or limit business plans, or cause exposure to environmental liabilities.

The Duke Energy Registrants are subject to numerous environmental laws and regulations affecting many aspects of their present and future operations, including CCRs, air emissions, water quality, wastewater discharges, solid waste and hazardous waste. These laws and regulations can result in increased capital, operating and other costs. These laws and regulations generally require the Duke Energy Registrants to obtain and comply with a wide variety of environmental licenses, permits, inspections and other approvals. Compliance with environmental laws and regulations can require significant expenditures, including expenditures for cleanup costs and damages arising from contaminated properties. Failure to comply with environmental regulations may result in the imposition of fines, penalties and injunctive measures affecting operating assets. The steps the Duke Energy Registrants could be required to take to ensure their facilities are in compliance could be prohibitively expensive. As a result, the Duke Energy Registrants may be required to shut down or alter the operation of their facilities, which may cause the Duke Energy Registrants to incur losses. Further, the Duke Energy Registrants may not be successful in recovering capital and operating costs incurred to comply with new environmental regulations through existing regulatory rate structures and their contracts with customers. Also, the Duke Energy Registrants may not be able to obtain or maintain from time to time all required environmental regulatory approvals for their operating assets or development projects. Delays in obtaining any required environmental regulatory approvals, failure to obtain and comply with them or changes in environmental laws or regulations to more stringent compliance levels could result in additional costs of operation for existing facilities or development of new facilities being prevented, delayed or subject to additional costs. Although it is not expected that the costs to comply with current environmental regulations will have a material adverse effect on the Duke Energy Registrants' financial position, results of operations or cash flows due to regulatory cost recovery, the

Duke Energy Registrants are at risk that the costs of complying with environmental regulations in the future will have such an effect.

The EPA has recently enacted or proposed new federal regulations governing the management of cooling water intake structures, wastewater and CO₂ emissions. These regulations may require the Duke Energy Registrants to make additional capital expenditures and increase operating and maintenance costs.

The Duke Energy Registrants' operations, capital expenditures and financial results may be affected by regulatory changes related to the impacts of global climate change.

There is continued concern, both nationally and internationally, about climate change. The EPA may adopt and implement regulations to restrict emissions of GHGs. Increased regulation of GHG emissions could impose significant additional costs on the Duke Energy Registrants' operations, their suppliers and customers. Regulatory changes could also result in generation facilities to be retired early and result in stranded costs if Duke Energy is not able to fully recover the costs and investment in generation. At this time, the effect that climate change regulation may have in the future on Duke Energy's business, financial condition or results of operations is not able to be predicted.

PART I

Operational Risks

The Duke Energy Registrants' results of operations may be negatively affected by overall market, economic and other conditions that are beyond their control.

Sustained downturns or sluggishness in the economy generally affect the markets in which the Duke Energy Registrants operate and negatively influence operations. Declines in demand for electricity or natural gas as a result of economic downturns in the Duke Energy Registrants' regulated service territories will reduce overall sales and lessen cash flows, especially as industrial customers reduce production and, therefore, consumption of electricity and the use of natural gas. Although the Duke Energy Registrants' regulated electric and natural gas businesses are subject to regulated allowable rates of return and recovery of certain costs, such as fuel and purchased natural gas costs, under periodic adjustment clauses, overall declines in electricity or natural gas sold as a result of economic downturn or recession could reduce revenues and cash flows, thereby diminishing results of operations. Additionally, prolonged economic downturns that negatively impact the Duke Energy Registrants' results of operations and cash flows could result in future material impairment charges to write-down the carrying value of certain assets, including goodwill, to their respective fair values.

The Duke Energy Registrants also sell electricity into the spot market or other competitive power markets on a contractual basis. With respect to such transactions, the Duke Energy Registrants are not guaranteed any rate of return on their capital investments through mandated rates, and revenues and results of operations are likely to depend, in large part, upon prevailing market prices. These market prices may fluctuate substantially over relatively short periods of time and could reduce the Duke Energy Registrants' revenues and margins, thereby diminishing results of operations.

Factors that could impact sales volumes, generation of electricity and market prices at which the Duke Energy Registrants are able to sell electricity and natural gas are as follows:

- weather conditions, including abnormally mild winter or summer weather that cause lower energy or natural gas usage for heating or cooling purposes, as applicable, and periods of low rainfall that decrease the ability to operate facilities in an economical manner;
- supply of and demand for energy commodities;
- transmission or transportation constraints or inefficiencies that impact nonregulated energy operations;
- availability of competitively priced alternative energy sources, which are preferred by some customers over electricity produced from coal, nuclear or natural gas plants, and customer usage of energy-efficient equipment that reduces energy demand;
- natural gas, crude oil and refined products production levels and prices;
- ability to procure satisfactory levels of inventory, such as coal, natural gas and uranium; and
- capacity and transmission service into, or out of, the Duke Energy Registrants' markets.

Natural disasters or operational accidents may adversely affect the Duke Energy Registrants' operating results. Natural disasters (such as electromagnetic events or the 2011 earthquake and tsunami in Japan) or other operational accidents within the company or industry (such as the San Bruno, California natural gas transmission pipeline failure) could have direct significant impacts on the Duke Energy Registrants as well as on key contractors and suppliers. Such events could indirectly impact the Duke Energy Registrants through changes to policies, laws and regulations whose compliance costs have a significant impact on the Duke Energy Registrants' financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

The reputation and financial condition of the Duke Energy Registrants could be negatively impacted due to their obligations to comply with federal and state regulations, laws, and other legal requirements that govern the operations, assessments, storage, closure, remediation, disposal and monitoring relating to CCR, the high costs and new rate impacts associated with implementing these new CCR-related requirements and the strategies and methods necessary to implement these requirements in compliance with these legal obligations.

As a result of electricity produced for decades at coal-fired power plants, the Duke Energy Registrants manage large amounts of CCR that are primarily stored in dry storage within landfills or combined with water in other surface impoundments, all in compliance with applicable regulatory requirements. However, the potential exists for another

CCR-related incident, such as the one that occurred during the 2014 Dan River Steam Station ash basin release, that could raise environmental or general public health concerns. Such a CCR-related incident could have a material adverse impact on the reputation and financial condition of the Duke Energy Registrants.

During 2015, EPA regulations were enacted related to the management of CCR from power plants. These regulations classify CCR as nonhazardous waste under the RCRA and apply to electric generating sites with new and existing landfills, new and existing surface impoundments, structural fills and CCR piles, and establishes requirements regarding landfill design, structural integrity design and assessment criteria for surface impoundments, groundwater monitoring, protection and remedial procedures and other operational and reporting procedures for the disposal and management of CCR. In addition to the federal regulations, CCR landfills and surface impoundments will continue to be independently regulated by existing state laws, regulations and permits, as well as additional legal requirements that may be imposed in the future. These federal and state laws, regulations and other legal requirements may require or result in additional expenditures, increased operating and maintenance costs and/or result in closure of certain power generating facilities, which could affect the financial position, results of operations and cash flows of the Duke Energy Registrants. The Duke Energy Registrants intend to seek full cost recovery for expenditures through the normal ratemaking process with state and federal utility commissions, who permit recovery in rates of necessary and prudently incurred costs associated with the Duke Energy Registrants' regulated operations, and through other wholesale contracts with terms that contemplate recovery of such costs, although there is no guarantee of full cost recovery. In addition, the timing for recovery of such costs could have a material adverse impact on Duke Energy's cash flows.

PART I

The Duke Energy Registrants have recognized significant asset retirement obligations related to these CCR-related requirements. Closure activities began in 2015 at the four sites specified as high priority by the Coal Ash Act and at the W.S. Lee Steam Station site in South Carolina in connection with other legal requirements. Excavation at these sites involves movement of large amounts of CCR materials to off-site locations for use as structural fill, to appropriate engineered off-site or on-site lined landfills or conversion of the ash for beneficial use. At other sites, preliminary planning and closure methods have been studied and factored into the estimated retirement and management costs. The Coal Ash Act requires CCR surface impoundments in North Carolina to be closed, with the closure method and timing based on a risk ranking classification determined by legislation or state regulators. Additionally, the RCRA required closure timing depends upon meeting or continuing to meet certain criteria. As the closure and CCR management work progresses and final closure plans and corrective action measures are developed and approved at each site, the scope and complexity of work and the amount of CCR material could be greater than estimates and could, therefore, materially increase compliance expenditures and rate impacts.

The Duke Energy Registrants' financial position, results of operations and cash flows may be negatively affected by a lack of growth or slower growth in the number of customers, or decline in customer demand or number of customers. Growth in customer accounts and growth of customer usage each directly influence demand for electricity and natural gas and the need for additional power generation and delivery facilities. Customer growth and customer usage are affected by a number of factors outside the control of the Duke Energy Registrants, such as mandated energy efficiency measures, demand-side management goals, distributed generation resources and economic and demographic conditions, such as population changes, job and income growth, housing starts, new business formation and the overall level of economic activity.

Certain regulatory and legislative bodies have introduced or are considering requirements and/or incentives to reduce energy consumption by certain dates. Additionally, technological advances driven by federal laws mandating new levels of energy efficiency in end-use electric devices or other improvements in or applications of technology could lead to declines in per capita energy consumption.

Advances in distributed generation technologies that produce power, including fuel cells, microturbines, wind turbines and solar cells, may reduce the cost of alternative methods of producing power to a level competitive with central power station electric production utilized by the Duke Energy Registrants.

Some or all of these factors could result in a lack of growth or decline in customer demand for electricity or number of customers and may cause the failure of the Duke Energy Registrants to fully realize anticipated benefits from significant capital investments and expenditures, which could have a material adverse effect on their financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

Furthermore, the Duke Energy Registrants currently have energy efficiency riders in place to recover the cost of energy efficiency programs in North Carolina, South Carolina, Florida, Indiana, Ohio and Kentucky. Should the Duke Energy Registrants be required to invest in conservation measures that result in reduced sales from effective conservation, regulatory lag in adjusting rates for the impact of these measures could have a negative financial impact. The Duke Energy Registrants' operating results may fluctuate on a seasonal and quarterly basis and can be negatively affected by changes in weather conditions and severe weather, including extreme weather conditions associated with climate change.

Electric power generation and natural gas distribution are generally seasonal businesses. In most parts of the U.S., the demand for power peaks during the warmer summer months, with market prices also typically peaking at that time. In other areas, demand for power peaks during the winter. Demand for natural gas peaks during the winter months. Further, extreme weather conditions such as heat waves, winter storms and severe weather associated with climate change could cause these seasonal fluctuations to be more pronounced. As a result, the overall operating results of the Duke Energy Registrants' businesses may fluctuate substantially on a seasonal and quarterly basis and thus make period-to-period comparison less relevant.

Sustained severe drought conditions could impact generation by hydroelectric plants, as well as fossil and nuclear plant operations, as these facilities use water for cooling purposes and for the operation of environmental compliance equipment. Furthermore, destruction caused by severe weather events, such as hurricanes, tornadoes, severe

thunderstorms, snow and ice storms, can result in lost operating revenues due to outages, property damage, including downed transmission and distribution lines, and additional and unexpected expenses to mitigate storm damage. The cost of storm restoration efforts may not be fully recoverable through the regulatory process.

The Duke Energy Registrants' sales may decrease if they are unable to gain adequate, reliable and affordable access to transmission assets.

The Duke Energy Registrants depend on transmission and distribution facilities owned and operated by utilities and other energy companies to deliver electricity sold to the wholesale market. The FERC's power transmission regulations require wholesale electric transmission services to be offered on an open-access, non-discriminatory basis. If transmission is disrupted, or if transmission capacity is inadequate, the Duke Energy Registrants' ability to sell and deliver products may be hindered.

The different regional power markets have changing regulatory structures, which could affect growth and performance in these regions. In addition, the ISOs who oversee the transmission systems in regional power markets have imposed in the past, and may impose in the future, price limitations and other mechanisms to address volatility in the power markets. These types of price limitations and other mechanisms may adversely impact the profitability of the Duke Energy Registrants' wholesale power marketing business.

PART I

Duke Energy may be unable to complete necessary or desirable pipeline expansion or infrastructure development or maintenance projects, which may delay or prevent the Duke Energy Registrants from serving natural gas customers or expanding the natural gas business.

In order to serve current or new natural gas customers or expand the service to existing customers, the Duke Energy Registrants need to maintain, expand or upgrade distribution, transmission and/or storage infrastructure, including laying new pipeline and building compressor stations. Duke Energy Registrants have made significant investments in a number of pipeline development projects, which are being operated and constructed by third party joint venture partners. Various factors, such as the inability to obtain required approval from local, state and/or federal regulatory and governmental bodies, public opposition to projects, inability to obtain adequate financing, competition for labor and materials, construction delays, cost overruns and the inability to negotiate acceptable agreements relating to rights of way, construction or other material development components, may prevent or delay the completion of projects or increase costs. As a result, the Duke Energy Registrants may be unable to adequately serve existing natural gas customers or support customer growth or could incur higher than anticipated costs, which could have a negative financial impact.

The availability of adequate interstate pipeline transportation capacity and natural gas supply may decrease.

The Duke Energy Registrants purchase almost all of their natural gas supply from interstate sources that must be transported to the applicable service territories. Interstate pipeline companies transport the natural gas to the Duke Energy Registrants' systems under firm service agreements that are designed to meet the requirements of their core markets. A significant disruption to interstate pipelines capacity or reduction in natural gas supply due to events including, but not limited to, operational failures or disruptions, hurricanes, tornadoes, floods, freeze off of natural gas wells, terrorist or cyberattacks or other acts of war or legislative or regulatory actions or requirements, including remediation related to integrity inspections, could reduce the normal interstate supply of natural gas and thereby reduce earnings. Moreover, if additional natural gas infrastructure, including, but not limited to, exploration and drilling rigs and platforms, processing and gathering systems, off-shore pipelines, interstate pipelines and storage, cannot be built at a pace that meets demand, then growth opportunities could be limited and earnings negatively impacted.

Fluctuations in commodity prices or availability may adversely affect various aspects of the Duke Energy Registrants' operations as well as their financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

The Duke Energy Registrants are exposed to the effects of market fluctuations in the price of natural gas, coal, fuel oil, nuclear fuel, electricity and other energy-related commodities as a result of their ownership of energy-related assets. Fuel costs are recovered primarily through cost-recovery clauses, subject to the approval of state utility commissions.

Additionally, the Duke Energy Registrants are exposed to risk that counterparties will not be able to fulfill their obligations. Disruption in the delivery of fuel, including disruptions as a result of, among other things, transportation delays, weather, labor relations, force majeure events or environmental regulations affecting any of these fuel suppliers, could limit the Duke Energy Registrants' ability to operate their facilities. Should counterparties fail to perform, the Duke Energy Registrants might be forced to replace the underlying commitment at prevailing market prices possibly resulting in losses in addition to the amounts, if any, already paid to the counterparties.

Certain of the Duke Energy Registrants' hedge agreements may result in the receipt of, or posting of, derivative collateral with counterparties, depending on the daily derivative position. Fluctuations in commodity prices that lead to the return of collateral received and/or the posting of collateral with counterparties negatively impact liquidity.

Downgrades in the Duke Energy Registrants' credit ratings could lead to additional collateral posting requirements.

The Duke Energy Registrants continually monitor derivative positions in relation to market price activity.

Potential terrorist activities, or military or other actions, could adversely affect the Duke Energy Registrants' businesses.

The continued threat of terrorism and the impact of retaliatory military and other action by the U.S. and its allies may lead to increased political, economic and financial market instability and volatility in prices for natural gas and oil, which may have material adverse effects in ways the Duke Energy Registrants cannot predict at this time. In addition,

future acts of terrorism and possible reprisals as a consequence of action by the U.S. and its allies could be directed against companies operating in the U.S. Information technology systems, transmission and distribution and generation facilities such as nuclear plants could be potential targets of terrorist activities or harmful activities by individuals or groups. The potential for terrorism has subjected the Duke Energy Registrants' operations to increased risks and could have a material adverse effect on their businesses. In particular, the Duke Energy Registrants may experience increased capital and operating costs to implement increased security for their information technology systems, transmission and distribution and generation facilities, including nuclear power plants under the NRC's design basis threat requirements. These increased costs could include additional physical plant security and security personnel or additional capability following a terrorist incident.

Cyberattacks and data security breaches could adversely affect the Duke Energy Registrants' businesses.

Information security risks have generally increased in recent years as a result of the proliferation of new technologies and the increased sophistication and frequency of cyberattacks and data security breaches. The utility industry requires the continued operation of sophisticated information technology systems and network infrastructure, which are part of an interconnected regional grid. Additionally, connectivity to the internet continues to increase through smart grid and other initiatives. Because of the critical nature of the infrastructure, increased connectivity to the internet and technology systems' inherent vulnerability to disability or failures due to hacking, viruses, acts of war or terrorism or other types of data security breaches, the Duke Energy Registrants face a heightened risk of cyberattack. In the event of such an attack, the Duke Energy Registrants could (i) have business operations disrupted, property damaged, customer information stolen and other private information accessed, (ii) experience substantial loss of revenues, repair and restoration costs, implementation costs for additional security measures to avert future cyberattacks and other financial loss and (iii) be subject to increased regulation, litigation and reputational damage.

PART I

Failure to attract and retain an appropriately qualified workforce could unfavorably impact the Duke Energy Registrants' results of operations.

Certain events, such as an aging workforce, mismatch of skill set or complement to future needs, or unavailability of contract resources may lead to operating challenges and increased costs. The challenges include lack of resources, loss of knowledge base and the lengthy time required for skill development. In this case, costs, including costs for contractors to replace employees, productivity costs and safety costs, may increase. Failure to hire and adequately train replacement employees, including the transfer of significant internal historical knowledge and expertise to new employees, or future availability and cost of contract labor may adversely affect the ability to manage and operate the business, especially considering the workforce needs associated with nuclear generation facilities and new skills required to operate a modernized, technology-enabled power grid. If the Duke Energy Registrants are unable to successfully attract and retain an appropriately qualified workforce, their financial position, results of operations or cash flows could be negatively affected.

The costs of retiring Duke Energy Florida's Crystal River Unit 3 could prove to be more extensive than is currently identified.

Costs to retire and decommission the plant could exceed estimates and, if not recoverable through the regulatory process, could adversely affect Duke Energy's, Progress Energy's and Duke Energy Florida's financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Duke Energy Ohio's and Duke Energy Indiana's membership in an RTO presents risks that could have a material adverse effect on their results of operations, financial condition and cash flows.

The rules governing the various regional power markets may change, which could affect Duke Energy Ohio's and Duke Energy Indiana's costs and/or revenues. To the degree Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Indiana incur significant additional fees and increased costs to participate in an RTO, their results of operations may be impacted. Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Indiana may be allocated a portion of the cost of transmission facilities built by others due to changes in RTO transmission rate design. Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Indiana may be required to expand their transmission system according to decisions made by an RTO rather than their own internal planning process. While RTO transmission rates were initially designed to be revenue neutral, various proposals and proceedings currently taking place by the FERC may cause transmission rates to change from time to time. In addition, RTOs have been developing rules associated with the allocation and methodology of assigning costs associated with improved transmission reliability, reduced transmission congestion and firm transmission rights that may have a financial impact on Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Indiana.

As members of an RTO, Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Indiana are subject to certain additional risks, including those associated with the allocation among RTO members, of losses caused by unreimbursed defaults of other participants in the RTO markets and those associated with complaint cases filed against an RTO that may seek refunds of revenues previously earned by RTO members.

The Duke Energy Registrants may not recover costs incurred to begin construction on projects that are canceled.

Duke Energy's long-term strategy requires the construction of new projects, either wholly owned or partially owned, which involve a number of risks, including construction delays, nonperformance by equipment and other third party suppliers, and increases in equipment and labor costs. To limit the risks of these construction projects, the Duke Energy Registrants enter into equipment purchase orders and construction contracts and incur engineering and design service costs in advance of receiving necessary regulatory approvals and/or siting or environmental permits. If any of these projects are canceled for any reason, including failure to receive necessary regulatory approvals and/or siting or environmental permits, significant cancellation penalties under the equipment purchase orders and construction contracts could occur. In addition, if any construction work or investments have been recorded as an asset, an impairment may need to be recorded in the event the project is canceled.

Nuclear Generation Risks

Duke Energy Carolinas, Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Florida may incur substantial costs and liabilities due to their ownership and operation of nuclear generating facilities.

Ownership interest in and operation of nuclear stations by Duke Energy Carolinas, Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Florida subject them to various risks. These risks include, among other things: the potential harmful effects on the environment and human health resulting from the current or past operation of nuclear facilities and the storage, handling and disposal of radioactive materials; limitations on the amounts and types of insurance commercially available to cover losses that might arise in connection with nuclear operations; and uncertainties with respect to the technological and financial aspects of decommissioning nuclear plants at the end of their licensed lives.

Ownership and operation of nuclear generation facilities requires compliance with licensing and safety-related requirements imposed by the NRC. In the event of non-compliance, the NRC may increase regulatory oversight, impose fines or shut down a unit depending upon its assessment of the severity of the situation. Revised security and safety requirements promulgated by the NRC, which could be prompted by, among other things, events within or outside of the control of Duke Energy Carolinas, Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Florida, such as a serious nuclear incident at a facility owned by a third party, could necessitate substantial capital and other expenditures, as well as assessments to cover third-party losses. In addition, if a serious nuclear incident were to occur, it could have a material adverse effect on the results of operations, financial condition, cash flows and reputation of the Duke Energy Registrants.

PART I

Liquidity, Capital Requirements and Common Stock Risks

The Duke Energy Registrants rely on access to short-term borrowings and longer-term debt and equity markets to finance their capital requirements and support their liquidity needs. Access to those markets can be adversely affected by a number of conditions, many of which are beyond the Duke Energy Registrants' control.

The Duke Energy Registrants' businesses are significantly financed through issuances of debt and equity. The maturity and repayment profile of debt used to finance investments often does not correlate to cash flows from their assets. Accordingly, as a source of liquidity for capital requirements not satisfied by the cash flows from their operations and to fund investments originally financed through debt instruments with disparate maturities, the Duke Energy Registrants rely on access to short-term money markets as well as longer-term capital markets. The Subsidiary Registrants also rely on access to short-term intercompany borrowings. If the Duke Energy Registrants are not able to access debt or equity at competitive rates or at all, the ability to finance their operations and implement their strategy and business plan as scheduled could be adversely affected. An inability to access debt and equity may limit the Duke Energy Registrants' ability to pursue improvements or acquisitions that they may otherwise rely on for future growth. Market disruptions may increase the cost of borrowing or adversely affect the ability to access one or more financial markets. Such disruptions could include: economic downturns, the bankruptcy of an unrelated energy company, unfavorable capital market conditions, market prices for electricity and natural gas, actual or threatened terrorist attacks, or the overall health of the energy industry. The availability of credit under Duke Energy's Master Credit Facility depends upon the ability of the banks providing commitments under the facility to provide funds when their obligations to do so arise. Systematic risk of the banking system and the financial markets could prevent a bank from meeting its obligations under the facility agreement.

Duke Energy maintains a revolving credit facility to provide backup for its commercial paper program and letters of credit to support variable rate demand tax-exempt bonds that may be put to the Duke Energy Registrant issuer at the option of the holder. The facility includes borrowing sublimits for the Duke Energy Registrants, each of whom is a party to the credit facility, and financial covenants that limit the amount of debt that can be outstanding as a percentage of the total capital for the specific entity. Failure to maintain these covenants at a particular entity could preclude Duke Energy from issuing commercial paper or the Duke Energy Registrants from issuing letters of credit or borrowing under the Master Credit Facility.

The Duke Energy Registrants must meet credit quality standards and there is no assurance they will maintain investment grade credit ratings. If the Duke Energy Registrants are unable to maintain investment grade credit ratings, they would be required under credit agreements to provide collateral in the form of letters of credit or cash, which may materially adversely affect their liquidity.

Each of the Duke Energy Registrants' senior long-term debt issuances is currently rated investment grade by various rating agencies. The Duke Energy Registrants cannot ensure their senior long-term debt will be rated investment grade in the future.

If the rating agencies were to rate the Duke Energy Registrants below investment grade, borrowing costs would increase, perhaps significantly. In addition, the potential pool of investors and funding sources would likely decrease. Further, if the short-term debt rating were to fall, access to the commercial paper market could be significantly limited.

A downgrade below investment grade could also require the posting of additional collateral in the form of letters of credit or cash under various credit, commodity and capacity agreements and trigger termination clauses in some interest rate derivative agreements, which would require cash payments. All of these events would likely reduce the Duke Energy Registrants' liquidity and profitability and could have a material effect on their financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Non-compliance with debt covenants or conditions could adversely affect the Duke Energy Registrants' ability to execute future borrowings.

The Duke Energy Registrants' debt and credit agreements contain various financial and other covenants. Failure to meet those covenants beyond applicable grace periods could result in accelerated due dates and/or termination of the agreements.

Market performance and other changes may decrease the value of the NDTF investments of Duke Energy Carolinas, Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Florida, which then could require significant additional funding. Ownership and operation of nuclear generation facilities also requires the maintenance of funded trusts that are intended to pay for the decommissioning costs of the respective nuclear power plants. The performance of the capital markets affects the values of the assets held in trust to satisfy these future obligations. Duke Energy Carolinas, Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Florida have significant obligations in this area and hold significant assets in these trusts. These assets are subject to market fluctuations and will yield uncertain returns, which may fall below projected rates of return. Although a number of factors impact funding requirements, a decline in the market value of the assets may increase the funding requirements of the obligations for decommissioning nuclear plants. If Duke Energy Carolinas, Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Florida are unable to successfully manage their NDTF assets, their financial condition, results of operations and cash flows could be negatively affected.

PART I

Poor investment performance of the Duke Energy pension plan holdings and other factors impacting pension plan costs could unfavorably impact the Duke Energy Registrants' liquidity and results of operations.

The costs of providing non-contributory defined benefit pension plans are dependent upon a number of factors, such as the rates of return on plan assets, discount rates, the level of interest rates used to measure the required minimum funding levels of the plans, future government regulation and required or voluntary contributions made to the plans. The Subsidiary Registrants are allocated their proportionate share of the cost and obligations related to these plans. Without sustained growth in the pension investments over time to increase the value of plan assets and, depending upon the other factors impacting costs as listed above, Duke Energy could be required to fund its plans with significant amounts of cash. Such cash funding obligations, and the Subsidiary Registrants' proportionate share of such cash funding obligations, could have a material impact on the Duke Energy Registrants' financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Duke Energy is a holding company and depends on the cash flows from its subsidiaries to meet its financial obligations.

Because Duke Energy is a holding company with no operations or cash flows of its own, its ability to meet its financial obligations, including making interest and principal payments on outstanding indebtedness and to pay dividends on its common stock, is primarily dependent on the net income and cash flows of its subsidiaries and the ability of those subsidiaries to pay upstream dividends or to repay borrowed funds. Prior to funding Duke Energy, its subsidiaries have regulatory restrictions and financial obligations that must be satisfied. These subsidiaries are separate legal entities and have no obligation to provide Duke Energy with funds. In addition, Duke Energy may provide capital contributions or debt financing to its subsidiaries under certain circumstances, which would reduce the funds available to meet its financial obligations, including making interest and principal payments on outstanding indebtedness and to pay dividends on Duke Energy's common stock.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

PART I

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

ELECTRIC UTILITIES AND INFRASTRUCTURE

The following table provides information related to the Electric Utilities and Infrastructure's generation stations as of December 31, 2017. The MW displayed in the table below are based on summer capacity. Ownership interest in all facilities is 100 percent unless otherwise indicated.

| Facility | Plant Type | Primary Fuel | Location | Owned MW |
|------------------------------------|------------|--------------|----------|----------|
| | | | | Capacity |
| Duke Energy Carolinas | | | | |
| Oconee | Nuclear | Uranium | SC | 2,554 |
| McGuire | Nuclear | Uranium | NC | 2,316 |
| Catawba ^(a) | Nuclear | Uranium | SC | 445 |
| Belews Creek | Fossil | Coal | NC | 2,220 |
| Marshall | Fossil | Coal | NC | 2,058 |
| J.E. Rogers | Fossil | Coal | NC | 1,388 |
| Lincoln Combustion Turbine (CT) | Fossil | Gas/Oil | NC | 1,193 |
| Allen | Fossil | Coal | NC | 1,098 |
| Rockingham CT | Fossil | Gas/Oil | NC | 825 |
| Buck Combined Cycle (CC) | Fossil | Gas | NC | 668 |
| Dan River CC | Fossil | Gas | NC | 662 |
| Mill Creek CT | Fossil | Gas/Oil | SC | 563 |
| W.S. Lee | Fossil | Gas | SC | 170 |
| W.S. Lee CT | Fossil | Gas/Oil | SC | 84 |
| Bad Creek | Hydro | Water | SC | 1,360 |
| Jocassee | Hydro | Water | SC | 780 |
| Cowans Ford | Hydro | Water | NC | 324 |
| Keowee | Hydro | Water | SC | 152 |
| Other small facilities (25 plants) | Hydro | Water | NC/SC | 669 |
| Distributed generation | Renewable | Solar | NC | 39 |
| Total Duke Energy Carolinas | | | | 19,568 |
| Owned MW | | | | |
| Facility | Plant Type | Primary Fuel | Location | Capacity |
| Duke Energy Progress | | | | |
| Brunswick | Nuclear | Uranium | NC | 1,870 |
| Harris | Nuclear | Uranium | NC | 928 |
| Robinson | Nuclear | Uranium | SC | 741 |
| Roxboro | Fossil | Coal | NC | 2,439 |
| Smith CC | Fossil | Gas/Oil | NC | 1,073 |
| H.F. Lee CC | Fossil | Gas/Oil | NC | 888 |
| Wayne County CT | Fossil | Gas/Oil | NC | 857 |
| Smith CT | Fossil | Gas/Oil | NC | 772 |
| Darlington CT | Fossil | Gas/Oil | SC | 664 |
| Mayo | Fossil | Coal | NC | 727 |
| L.V. Sutton CC | Fossil | Gas/Oil | NC | 607 |
| Asheville | Fossil | Coal | NC | 378 |
| Asheville CT | Fossil | Gas/Oil | NC | 320 |
| Weatherspoon CT | Fossil | Gas/Oil | NC | 124 |
| L.V. Sutton CT (Black Start) | Fossil | Gas/Oil | NC | 80 |

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------|-------|----|--------|
| Blewett CT | Fossil | Oil | NC | 52 |
| Walters | Hydro | Water | NC | 112 |
| Other small facilities (three plants) | Hydro | Water | NC | 115 |
| Distributed generation | Renewable | Solar | NC | 62 |
| Total Duke Energy Progress | | | | 12,809 |

31

PART I

| Facility | Plant Type | Primary Fuel | Location | Owned MW Capacity |
|--------------------------------|------------|--------------|----------|-------------------------|
| Duke Energy Florida | | | | |
| Crystal River | Fossil | Coal | FL | 2,188 |
| Hines CC | Fossil | Gas/Oil | FL | 2,032 |
| Bartow CC | Fossil | Gas/Oil | FL | 1,080 |
| Anclote | Fossil | Gas | FL | 1,013 |
| Intercession City CT | Fossil | Gas/Oil | FL | 951 |
| Osprey CC | Fossil | Gas/Oil | FL | 582 |
| DeBary CT | Fossil | Gas/Oil | FL | 561 |
| Tiger Bay CC | Fossil | Gas/Oil | FL | 200 |
| Bartow CT | Fossil | Gas/Oil | FL | 168 |
| Bayboro CT | Fossil | Oil | FL | 171 |
| Suwannee River CT | Fossil | Gas | FL | 149 |
| Higgins CT | Fossil | Gas/Oil | FL | 107 |
| Avon Park CT | Fossil | Gas/Oil | FL | 48 |
| University of Florida CoGen CT | Fossil | Gas | FL | 47 |
| Distributed generation | Renewable | Solar | FL | 8 |
| Total Duke Energy Florida | | | | 9,305 |

| Facility | Plant Type | Primary Fuel | Location | Owned MW Capacity |
|--------------------------|------------|--------------|----------|----------------------|
| Duke Energy Ohio | | | | |
| East Bend | Fossil | Coal | KY | 600 |
| Woodsdale CT | Fossil | Gas/Propane | OH | 476 |
| Beckjord Battery Storage | Renewable | Storage | OH | 4 |
| Total Duke Energy Ohio | | | | 1,080 |

| Facility | Plant Type | Primary Fuel | Location | Owned MW Capacity |
|------------------------------|------------|--------------|----------|----------------------|
| Duke Energy Indiana | | | | |
| Gibson ^(b) | Fossil | Coal | IN | 2,822 |
| Cayuga ^(c) | Fossil | Coal/Oil | IN | 1,005 |
| Edwardsport | Fossil | Coal | IN | 595 |
| Madison CT | Fossil | Gas | OH | 566 |
| Vermillion CT ^(d) | Fossil | Gas | IN | 360 |
| Wheatland CT | Fossil | Gas | IN | 450 |
| Noblesville CC | Fossil | Gas/Oil | IN | 264 |
| Gallagher | Fossil | Coal | IN | 280 |
| Henry County CT | Fossil | Gas/Oil | IN | 129 |
| Cayuga CT | Fossil | Gas/Oil | IN | 80 |
| Connersville CT | Fossil | Oil | IN | 74 |
| Miami Wabash CT | Fossil | Oil | IN | 64 |
| Markland | Hydro | Water | IN | 45 |
| Distributed generation | Renewable | Solar | IN | 10 |
| Total Duke Energy Indiana | | | | 6,744 |

PART I

| | Owned MW Capacity |
|--------------------------|----------------------|
| Totals by Type | |
| Total Electric Utilities | 49,506 |
| Totals By Plant Type | |
| Nuclear | 8,854 |
| Fossil | 36,972 |
| Hydro | 3,557 |
| Renewable | 123 |
| Total Electric Utilities | 49,506 |

Jointly owned with North Carolina Municipal Power Agency Number 1, North Carolina Electric Membership (a) Corporation and Piedmont Municipal Power Agency. Duke Energy Carolinas' ownership is 19.25 percent of the facility.

Duke Energy Indiana owns and operates Gibson Station Units 1 through 4 and is a joint owner of unit 5 with (b) Wabash Valley Power Association, Inc. (WVPA) and Indiana Municipal Power Agency. Duke Energy Indiana operates unit 5 and owns 50.05 percent.

(c) Includes Cayuga Internal Combustion.

(d) Jointly owned with WVPA. Duke Energy Indiana's ownership is 62.5 percent of the facility.

The following table provides information related to Electric Utilities and Infrastructure's electric transmission and distribution properties as of December 31, 2017.

| | Duke Energy Energy | Duke Energy Carolinas | Duke Energy Progress | Duke Energy Florida | Duke Energy Ohio | Duke Energy Indiana |
|--|--------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Electric Transmission Lines | | | | | | |
| Miles of 500 to 525 kilovolt (kV) | 1,100 | 600 | 300 | 200 | — | — |
| Miles of 345 kV | 1,700 | — | — | — | 1,000 | 700 |
| Miles of 230 kV | 8,400 | 2,700 | 3,400 | 1,600 | — | 700 |
| Miles of 100 to 161 kV | 12,300 | 6,800 | 2,500 | 900 | 700 | 1,400 |
| Miles of 13 to 69 kV | 8,400 | 3,000 | — | 2,200 | 700 | 2,500 |
| Total conductor miles of electric transmission lines | 31,900 | 13,100 | 6,200 | 4,900 | 2,400 | 5,300 |
| Electric Distribution Lines | | | | | | |
| Miles of overhead lines | 174,300 | 66,600 | 46,400 | 25,200 | 13,700 | 22,400 |
| Miles of underground line | 102,800 | 37,800 | 29,400 | 20,800 | 5,900 | 8,900 |
| Total conductor miles of electric distribution lines | 277,100 | 104,400 | 75,800 | 46,000 | 19,600 | 31,300 |
| Number of electric transmission and distribution substations | 3,300 | 1,500 | 500 | 500 | 300 | 500 |

Substantially all of Electric Utilities and Infrastructure's electric plant in service is mortgaged under indentures relating to Duke Energy Carolinas', Duke Energy Progress', Duke Energy Florida's, Duke Energy Ohio's and Duke Energy Indiana's various series of First Mortgage Bonds.

GAS UTILITIES AND INFRASTRUCTURE

Gas Utilities and Infrastructure owns transmission pipelines and distribution mains that are generally underground, located near public streets and highways, or on property owned by others for which Duke Energy Ohio and Piedmont have obtained the necessary legal rights to place and operate facilities on such property located within the Gas Utilities and Infrastructure service territories. The following table provides information related to Gas Utilities and Infrastructure's natural gas distribution.

| | Duke Energy Energy | Duke Energy Ohio | Duke Energy Piedmont |
|--|--------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|
| Miles of natural gas distribution and transmission pipelines | 33,100 | 7,200 | 25,900 |
| Miles of natural gas service lines | 27,400 | 6,900 | 20,500 |

PART I

COMMERCIAL RENEWABLES

The following table provides information related to Commercial Renewables' electric generation facilities as of December 31, 2017. The MW displayed in the table below are based on nameplate capacity. Ownership interest in all facilities is 100 percent unless otherwise indicated.

| Facility | Plant Type | Primary Fuel | Location | Owned MW Capacity |
|------------------------------------|-----------------|--------------|----------|-------------------|
| Commercial Renewables – Wind | | | | |
| Los Vientos Windpower (five sites) | Renewable Wind | | TX | 912 |
| Top of the World | Renewable Wind | | WY | 200 |
| Frontier | Renewable Wind | | OK | 200 |
| Notrees | Renewable Wind | | TX | 153 |
| Campbell Hill | Renewable Wind | | WY | 99 |
| North Allegheny | Renewable Wind | | PA | 70 |
| Laurel Hill Wind Energy | Renewable Wind | | PA | 69 |
| Ocotillo | Renewable Wind | | TX | 59 |
| Kit Carson | Renewable Wind | | CO | 51 |
| Silver Sage | Renewable Wind | | WY | 42 |
| Happy Jack | Renewable Wind | | WY | 29 |
| Shirley | Renewable Wind | | WI | 20 |
| Sweetwater IV ^(a) | Renewable Wind | | TX | 113 |
| Sweetwater V ^(a) | Renewable Wind | | TX | 38 |
| Ironwood ^(a) | Renewable Wind | | KS | 84 |
| Cimarron II ^(a) | Renewable Wind | | KS | 66 |
| Mesquite Creek ^(a) | Renewable Wind | | TX | 106 |
| Total Renewables – Wind | | | | 2,311 |
| Commercial Renewables – Solar | | | | |
| Conetoe II | Renewable Solar | | NC | 80 |
| Seville I & II | Renewable Solar | | CA | 50 |
| Rio Bravo I & II | Renewable Solar | | CA | 40 |
| Wildwood I & II | Renewable Solar | | CA | 35 |
| Caprock | Renewable Solar | | NM | 25 |
| Kelford | Renewable Solar | | NC | 22 |
| Highlander | Renewable Solar | | CA | 21 |
| Dogwood | Renewable Solar | | NC | 20 |
| Halifax Airport | Renewable Solar | | NC | 20 |
| Pasquotank | Renewable Solar | | NC | 20 |
| Pumpjack | Renewable Solar | | CA | 20 |
| Shawboro | Renewable Solar | | NC | 20 |
| Longboat | Renewable Solar | | CA | 20 |
| Bagdad | Renewable Solar | | AZ | 15 |
| TX Solar | Renewable Solar | | TX | 14 |
| Creswell Alligood | Renewable Solar | | NC | 14 |
| Victory | Renewable Solar | | CO | 13 |
| Washington White Post | Renewable Solar | | NC | 12 |
| Whitakers | Renewable Solar | | NC | 12 |
| Other small solar | Renewable Solar | | Various | 123 |
| Total Renewables – Solar | | | | 596 |
| Total Commercial Renewables | | | | 2,907 |

(a) Commercial Renewables owns 47 percent of Sweetwater IV and V and 50 percent of Ironwood, Cimarron II and Mesquite Creek.

OTHER

Duke Energy owns approximately 8 million square feet and leases approximately 2 million square feet of corporate, regional and district office space spread throughout its service territories.

PART I

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

For information regarding legal proceedings, including regulatory and environmental matters, see Note 4, “Regulatory Matters,” and Note 5, “Commitments and Contingencies,” to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

MTBE Litigation

On June 19, 2014, the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania filed suit against, among others, Duke Energy Merchants, alleging contamination of “waters of the state” by MTBE from leaking gasoline storage tanks. MTBE is a gasoline additive intended to increase the oxygen level in gasoline and make it burn cleaner. The lawsuit was moved to federal court and consolidated into an existing multidistrict litigation docket of pending MTBE cases. This suit was settled for an immaterial amount in December 2017.

In December 2017, the state of Maryland filed a lawsuit in Baltimore City Circuit Court against Duke Energy Merchants and other defendants alleging contamination of its water supplies from MTBE. Discovery is underway. Duke Energy cannot predict the outcome of this matter.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

This is not applicable for any of the Duke Energy Registrants.

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

The common stock of Duke Energy is listed and traded on the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) (ticker symbol DUK). As of January 31, 2018, there were 166,271 Duke Energy common stockholders of record.

There is no market for common stock of the Subsidiary Registrants, all of which is owned by Duke Energy.

Common Stock Data by Quarter

The following chart provides Duke Energy common stock trading prices as reported on the NYSE and information on common stock dividends declared. Stock prices represent the intraday high and low stock price.

Duke Energy expects to continue its policy of paying regular cash dividends; however, there is no assurance as to the amount of future dividends as they depend on future earnings, capital requirements and financial condition, and are subject to declaration by the Duke Energy Board of Directors.

Duke Energy's operating subsidiaries have certain restrictions on their ability to transfer funds in the form of dividends or loans to Duke Energy. See Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Regulatory Matters" for further information regarding these restrictions.

Securities Authorized for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans

See Item 12 of Part III within this Annual Report for information regarding Securities Authorized for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities for Fourth Quarter 2017

There were no repurchases of equity securities during the fourth quarter of 2017.

PART II

Stock Performance Graph

The following performance graph compares the cumulative total shareholder return from Duke Energy Corporation common stock, as compared with the Standard & Poor's 500 Stock Index (S&P 500) and the Philadelphia Utility Sector Index (Philadelphia Utility Index) for the past five years. The graph assumes an initial investment of \$100 on December 31, 2012, in Duke Energy common stock, in the S&P 500 and in the Philadelphia Utility Index and that all dividends were reinvested. The stockholder return shown below for the five-year historical period may not be indicative of future performance.

NYSE CEO Certification

Duke Energy has filed the certification of its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 as exhibits to this Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2017.

PART II

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The following table provides selected financial data for the years of 2013 through 2017. See also Item 7.

| (in millions, except per share amounts) | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Statement of Operations ^(a) | | | | | |
| Total operating revenues | \$23,565 | \$22,743 | \$22,371 | \$22,509 | \$21,211 |
| Operating income | 5,781 | 5,341 | 5,078 | 4,842 | 4,305 |
| Income from continuing operations | 3,070 | 2,578 | 2,654 | 2,538 | 2,278 |
| (Loss) Income from discontinued operations, net of tax | (6 |) (408 |) 177 | (649 |) 398 |
| Net income | 3,064 | 2,170 | 2,831 | 1,889 | 2,676 |
| Net income attributable to Duke Energy Corporation | 3,059 | 2,152 | 2,816 | 1,883 | 2,665 |
| Common Stock Data | | | | | |
| Income from continuing operations attributable to Duke Energy Corporation common stockholders | | | | | |
| Basic | \$4.37 | \$3.71 | \$3.80 | \$3.58 | \$3.21 |
| Diluted | 4.37 | 3.71 | 3.80 | 3.58 | 3.21 |
| (Loss) Income from discontinued operations attributable to Duke Energy Corporation common stockholders | | | | | |
| Basic | \$(0.01 |) \$(0.60 |) \$0.25 | \$(0.92 |) \$0.56 |
| Diluted | (0.01 |) (0.60 |) 0.25 | (0.92 |) 0.55 |
| Net income attributable to Duke Energy Corporation common stockholders | | | | | |
| Basic | \$4.36 | \$3.11 | \$4.05 | \$2.66 | \$3.77 |
| Diluted | 4.36 | 3.11 | 4.05 | 2.66 | 3.76 |
| Dividends declared per share of common stock | 3.49 | 3.36 | 3.24 | 3.15 | 3.09 |
| Balance Sheet | | | | | |
| Total assets | \$137,914 | \$132,761 | \$121,156 | \$120,557 | \$114,779 |
| Long-term debt including capital leases, less current maturities | 49,035 | 45,576 | 36,842 | 36,075 | 37,065 |

Significant transactions reflected in the results above include: (i) the sale of the International Disposal Group in 2016, including a loss on sale recorded within discontinued operations (see Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Acquisitions and Dispositions") (ii) the acquisition of Piedmont in 2016, including losses on interest rate swaps related to the acquisition financing (see Note 2); (iii) 2014 impairment related to the disposal of the Midwest Generation Disposal Group; (iv) 2014 incremental tax expense resulting from the decision to repatriate all cumulative historical undistributed foreign earnings; (v) 2014 increase in the litigation reserve related to a criminal investigation of the Dan River release; (vi) 2013 charges related to Crystal River Unit 3 and nuclear development costs; and (vii) costs to achieve mergers in all periods.

PART II

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Management's Discussion and Analysis includes financial information prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) in the United States (U.S.), as well as certain non-GAAP financial measures such as adjusted earnings and adjusted earnings per share discussed below. Generally, a non-GAAP financial measure is a numerical measure of financial performance, financial position or cash flows that excludes (or includes) amounts that are included in (or excluded from) the most directly comparable measure calculated and presented in accordance with GAAP. The non-GAAP financial measures should be viewed as a supplement to, and not a substitute for, financial measures presented in accordance with GAAP. Non-GAAP measures as presented herein may not be comparable to similarly titled measures used by other companies.

The following combined Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations is separately filed by Duke Energy Corporation (collectively with its subsidiaries, Duke Energy) and its subsidiaries Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC (Duke Energy Carolinas), Progress Energy, Inc. (Progress Energy), Duke Energy Progress, LLC (Duke Energy Progress), Duke Energy Florida, LLC (Duke Energy Florida), Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. (Duke Energy Ohio), Duke Energy Indiana, LLC (Duke Energy Indiana) and Piedmont Natural Gas Company, Inc. (Piedmont). However, none of the registrants make any representation as to information related solely to Duke Energy or the subsidiary registrants of Duke Energy other than itself. Subsequent to Duke Energy's acquisition of Piedmont on October 3, 2016, Piedmont is a wholly owned subsidiary of Duke Energy. The financial information for Duke Energy includes results of Piedmont subsequent to October 3, 2016. See Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Acquisitions and Dispositions," for additional information regarding the acquisition.

DUKE ENERGY

Duke Energy is an energy company headquartered in Charlotte, North Carolina. Duke Energy operates in the U.S. primarily through its wholly owned subsidiaries, Duke Energy Carolinas, Duke Energy Progress, Duke Energy Florida, Duke Energy Ohio, Duke Energy Indiana and Piedmont. When discussing Duke Energy's consolidated financial information, it necessarily includes the results of the Subsidiary Registrants, which, along with Duke Energy, are collectively referred to as the Duke Energy Registrants.

Management's Discussion and Analysis should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015.

Executive Overview

With our multiyear portfolio transition complete, we operated in 2017 as a domestic, regulated energy infrastructure business. Our long-term view provides a compelling vision to advance our strategy, leveraging scale and a focused portfolio to deliver a reliable dividend with 4 to 6 percent earnings per share (EPS) growth during our five year planning horizon. We have made progress advancing our long-term strategy to invest in our growth drivers of cleaner energy, grid modernization and natural gas infrastructure, while also improving customer satisfaction.

Financial Results

See Results of Operations below for Duke Energy's definition of adjusted earnings and adjusted earnings per share (a) as well as a reconciliation of this non-GAAP financial measure to net income attributable to Duke Energy and net income attributable to Duke Energy per diluted share.

Duke Energy's 2017 GAAP reported earnings were impacted by unfavorable weather and the absence of International Energy partially offset by growth in the electric and gas businesses, including the addition of a full year's earnings contribution from Piedmont and ongoing cost management efforts. See "Results of Operations" below for a detailed discussion of the consolidated results of operations, as well as a detailed discussion of financial results for each of Duke Energy's reportable business segments, as well as Other.

PART II

2017 Areas of Focus and Accomplishments

Duke Energy advanced a number of important strategic initiatives to transform its energy future with a focus on customers, employees, operations and growth. The company has responded to an environment of changing customer demands by investing in electric and natural gas infrastructure that customers value and that provide an opportunity for sustainable growth.

Portfolio Transition. On October 3, 2016, Duke Energy completed the acquisition of Piedmont, a North Carolina corporation primarily engaged in regulated natural gas distribution to residential, commercial, industrial and power generation customers in portions of North Carolina, South Carolina and Tennessee. In December 2016, Duke Energy completed the sale of its Latin American generation businesses in two separate transactions. See Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Acquisitions and Dispositions," for additional information regarding these transactions.

With the acquisition of Piedmont and the sale of International Energy, Duke Energy completed a multiyear portfolio transition. The Piedmont acquisition reflects the growing importance of natural gas to the future of the energy infrastructure within the company's service territory and throughout the U.S. and establishes a strategic platform for future growth in natural gas infrastructure. The growth opportunities reflected in our 10-year strategy are expected to increase the earnings contributions from the natural gas business from 8 percent to 15 percent.

Operational Excellence. Duke Energy continues to focus on the safe and efficient operation of its generation fleet. During 2017, we delivered strong overall safety and environmental performance, with our key employee safety metric, total incident case rate, and our reportable environmental events both improving from last year. Our nuclear and fossil/hydro generation fleets demonstrated strong performance, exceeding their respective reliability targets.

Storm Response and System Restoration. Hurricane Irma, in October 2017, was one of the most powerful storms ever to hit the southern U.S. During Hurricane Irma, over 1.3 million customers in Florida were without power. Our restoration efforts involved coordination and communication with more than 12,000 line and fieldworkers and our team restored power to 99 percent of customers within eight days.

Customer Satisfaction. Higher J.D. Power residential customer satisfaction scores in 2017 reflect progress in the company's efforts to meet customers' expectations. The work to improve customer satisfaction will continue, but all jurisdictions remain on track to make steady gains in the years ahead as Duke Energy continues to transform the customer experience through its Customer Connect Program.

Constructive Regulatory Outcomes. One of our long-term strategic goals is to achieve modernized regulatory constructs in all of our jurisdictions within 10 years. Modernized constructs provide a number of benefits, including improved earnings and cash flows through more timely recovery of investments, as well as stable pricing for customers. We filed several base rate cases during 2017 to recover a range of strategic investments, such as customer service technologies, coal ash costs in the Carolinas, smart meters, natural gas and solar generation. We continue to pursue additional legislative and regulatory outcomes, both in Washington and across our service territories, that make sense for our customers and investors.

Cost Management and Efficiencies. Duke Energy has a demonstrated track record of driving efficiencies and productivity, including merger integration and continuous improvement efforts. These efficiencies will help in Duke Energy's objective to keep overall customer rates below the national average, while moderating customer bill increases over time. We are on track to exceed targeted Piedmont merger cost synergies without significant disruptions to the business or culture, integrating the Piedmont and Midwest natural gas operations, and moving to a shared services model. We continue to leverage new technology and data analytics to drive additional efficiencies across the business.

Dividend Growth. In 2017, Duke Energy continued to grow the dividend payment to shareholders by approximately 4 percent. 2017 represented the 91st consecutive year Duke Energy paid a cash dividend on its common stock.

Duke Energy Objectives – 2018 and Beyond

Duke Energy will continue to deliver exceptional value to customers, be an integral part of the communities in which it does business, and provide attractive returns to investors. Duke Energy is committed to lead the way to cleaner, smarter energy solutions that customers value through a strategy focused on:

• Transformation of the customer experience to meet changing customer expectations through enhanced convenience, control and choice in energy supply and usage.

• Modernization of the electric grid, including smart meters, storm hardening, self-healing and targeted undergrounding to ensure the system is better prepared for severe weather and to improve the system's reliability and flexibility, as well as to provide better information and services for customers.

• Generation of cleaner energy through an increased amount of natural gas, renewables generation and the continued safe and reliable operation of nuclear plants.

- Expansion of natural gas infrastructure, from midstream gas pipelines to local distribution systems.

• Operational excellence through engagement with employees and being an industry leader in safety performance and efficient operations.

• Stakeholder engagement to ensure the regulatory rules in the states in which Duke Energy operates benefit customers and allow Duke Energy to recover its significant investments in a timely manner while maintaining affordable rates.

• Engagement with regulatory commissions to determine the regulatory treatment of the impact of the Tax Act.

PART II

Primary objectives toward the implementation of this strategy include:

Growth Initiatives. Growth in the Electric Utilities and Infrastructure business is expected to be supported by the investment of significant capital in the electric transmission and distribution grid, and in cleaner, more efficient generation. Duke Energy expects to invest approximately \$30 billion in Electric Utilities and Infrastructure growth projects over the next five years (2018-2022), continuing its efforts to generate cleaner energy. Duke Energy intends to work constructively with regulators to evaluate the current regulatory construct and seek modernized recovery solutions, such as riders, rate decoupling and multiyear rate plans, that benefit both customers and shareholders. Investment projects at Electric Utilities and Infrastructure currently underway that will support growth initiatives include:

- Duke Energy Indiana's \$1.4 billion grid modernization plan, which is aimed at improving reliability, including fewer outages and quicker restoration.

Significant investments in combined-cycle natural gas plants, including completing the \$1.5 billion Citrus County plant in Florida, the \$600 million W.S. Lee facility in South Carolina and the \$900 million investment in the Western Carolinas Modernization Project. These investments will allow Duke Energy to replace older, less efficient coal units.

- Duke Energy expects to continue to advance other cleaner energy sources within its regulated electric jurisdictions, including hydro, wind, solar and combined heat and power projects, increasing the flexibility of the system and allowing Duke Energy to continue lowering carbon emissions.

In North Carolina, HB 589 provides a timely cost recovery mechanism for any solar investments we are able to make through a competitive market process.

In Florida, as part of the comprehensive multi-year rate settlement, we committed to invest in approximately 700 MW of solar capacity over the next five years and will be authorized to recover the cost of that investment through a single issue base rate increase. We also advanced our strategic priority of energy grid investment, establishing a multiyear recovery method for \$1 billion of grid investments.

Duke Energy expects to invest around \$7 billion growing its Gas Utilities and Infrastructure business over the next five years. Growth in Gas Utilities and Infrastructure will be focused on the following:

With the acquisition of Piedmont, Duke Energy now operates natural gas distribution businesses across five states. The continued integration of Piedmont, as well as additional investments in the natural gas Local Distribution Company (LDC) system, will help maintain system integrity and expand natural gas distribution to new customers. Duke Energy will continue to grow its midstream pipeline business, underpinned by investments in the Atlantic Coast Pipeline, Sabal Trail and Constitution pipeline projects. These highly contracted pipelines will bring much needed, low-cost natural gas supplies to the eastern U.S., spurring economic growth and helping Duke Energy to grow its customer base in the Southeast.

For Commercial Renewables, Duke Energy will continue to pursue long-term contracted wind and solar projects that meet its return criteria.

Cost Management. Duke Energy has a demonstrated track record of driving efficiencies and productivity into the business, leveraging its scale through competitive procurement initiatives, deploying digital transformation and continuing to identify sustainable cost savings as an essential element in response to a transforming industry.

Execute on Coal Ash Management Strategy. Duke Energy will continue the company's compliance strategy with the North Carolina Coal Ash Management Act of 2014 (Coal Ash Act) and Resource Conservation and Recovery Act. Duke Energy will update ash management plans to comply with the appropriate regulations and expand excavation and other compliance work at additional sites once plans and permits are approved.

Results of Operations

Non-GAAP Measures

Management evaluates financial performance in part based on non-GAAP financial measures, including adjusted earnings and adjusted diluted EPS. These items represent income from continuing operations attributable to Duke Energy, adjusted for the dollar and per share impact of special items. As discussed below, special items include certain charges and credits, which management believes are not indicative of Duke Energy's ongoing performance.

Management believes the presentation of adjusted earnings and adjusted diluted EPS provides useful information to

investors, as it provides them with an additional relevant comparison of Duke Energy's performance across periods. Management uses these non-GAAP financial measures for planning and forecasting, and for reporting financial results to the Duke Energy Board of Directors (Board of Directors), employees, stockholders, analysts and investors.

Adjusted diluted EPS is also used as a basis for employee incentive bonuses. The most directly comparable GAAP measures for adjusted earnings and adjusted diluted EPS are Net Income Attributable to Duke Energy Corporation (GAAP Reported Earnings) and Diluted EPS Attributable to Duke Energy Corporation common stockholders (GAAP Reported EPS), respectively.

Special items included in the periods presented include the following, which management believes do not reflect ongoing costs:

Costs to Achieve Mergers represents charges that result from strategic acquisitions.

Cost Savings Initiatives represent severance charges related to company-wide initiatives, excluding merger integration, to standardize processes and systems, leverage technology and workforce optimization.

PART II

Regulatory Settlements in 2017 represent charges related to the Levy nuclear project in Florida and the Mayo Zero Liquid Discharge and Sutton combustion turbine projects in North Carolina. The 2015 amount represents charges related to the IGCC Settlement.

Commercial Renewables Impairments represent other-than-temporary, asset and goodwill impairments.

Impacts of the Tax Act represent estimated amounts recognized related to the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act.

Ash Basin Settlement and Penalties represent charges related to Plea Agreements and settlement agreements with regulators and other governmental entities.

Adjusted earnings also include the operating results of the nonregulated Midwest generation business and Duke Energy Retail Sales (collectively, the Midwest Generation Disposal Group) and the International Disposal Group, which have been classified as discontinued operations. Management believes inclusion of the operating results of the Disposal Groups within adjusted earnings and adjusted diluted EPS results in a better reflection of Duke Energy's financial performance during the period.

Duke Energy's adjusted earnings and adjusted diluted EPS may not be comparable to similarly titled measures of another company because other companies may not calculate the measures in the same manner.

Reconciliation of GAAP Reported Amounts to Adjusted Amounts

The following table presents a reconciliation of adjusted earnings and adjusted diluted EPS to the most directly comparable GAAP measures.

| | Years Ended December 31, | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|--------|----------|--------|----------|--------|
| | 2017 | | 2016 | | 2015 | |
| (in millions, except per share amounts) | Earnings | EPS | Earnings | EPS | Earnings | EPS |
| GAAP Reported Earnings/EPS | \$3,059 | \$4.36 | \$2,152 | \$3.11 | \$2,816 | \$4.05 |
| Adjustments to Reported: | | | | | | |
| Costs to Achieve Mergers | 64 | 0.09 | 329 | 0.48 | 60 | 0.09 |
| Regulatory Settlements | 98 | 0.14 | — | — | 58 | 0.08 |
| Commercial Renewables Impairments | 74 | 0.11 | 45 | 0.07 | — | — |
| Impacts of the Tax Act ^(c) | (102) | (0.14) | — | — | — | — |
| Cost Savings Initiatives | — | — | 57 | 0.08 | 88 | 0.13 |
| Ash Basin Settlement and Penalties | — | — | — | — | 11 | 0.02 |
| Discontinued Operations ^{(a)(b)} | 6 | 0.01 | 661 | 0.95 | 119 | 0.17 |
| Adjusted Earnings/Adjusted Diluted EPS | \$3,199 | \$4.57 | \$3,244 | \$4.69 | \$3,152 | \$4.54 |

For 2016, includes a loss on sale of the International Disposal Group. Represents the GAAP reported Loss from (a) Discontinued Operations, less the International Disposal Group operating results, which are included in adjusted earnings.

For 2015, includes the impact of a litigation reserve related to the Midwest Generation Disposal Group. Represents (i) GAAP reported Income from Discontinued Operations, less the International Disposal Group operating results (b) and Midwest Generation Disposal Group operating results, which are included in adjusted earnings, and (ii) a state tax charge resulting from the completion of the sale of the Midwest Generation Disposal Group but not reported as discontinued operations.

The Tax Act reduced the corporate income tax rate from 35 percent to 21 percent, effective January 1, 2018. As the tax change was enacted in 2017, Duke Energy is required to remeasure its existing deferred tax assets and liabilities (c) at the lower rate. For Duke Energy's regulated operations, where the reduction in the net accumulated deferred income tax liability is expected to be returned to customers in future rates, the remeasurement has been deferred as a regulatory liability.

Year Ended December 31, 2017, as compared to 2016

Duke Energy's full-year 2017 GAAP Reported EPS was \$4.36 compared to \$3.11 for full-year 2016. In addition to the adjusted diluted EPS drivers discussed below, GAAP Reported EPS in 2017 was higher primarily due to a \$0.14 benefit per share related to the Tax Act in 2017, lower costs to achieve the Piedmont merger and a loss on sale and impairments associated with the sale of the International Disposal Group in 2016, partially offset by charges of \$0.14

related to regulatory settlements in Electric Utilities and Infrastructure.

As discussed, management also evaluates financial performance based on adjusted earnings. Duke Energy's full-year 2017 adjusted diluted EPS was \$4.57 compared to \$4.69 for full-year 2016. The decrease in adjusted diluted EPS was primarily due to:

• Lower regulated electric revenues of \$0.26 per share due to less favorable weather in the current year, including lost revenues related to Hurricane Irma;

• The prior year operating results from the International Disposal Group, which was sold in December 2016. The 2016 operating results included a benefit from the valuation of deferred income taxes. See Note 22 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Income Taxes," for additional information;

• Higher financing costs, primarily due to the Piedmont acquisition; and

PART II

Higher depreciation and amortization expense at Electric Utilities and Infrastructure primarily due to higher depreciable base.

Partially offset by:

Higher regulated electric revenues from increased pricing and riders driven by new rates in Duke Energy Progress South Carolina, base rate adjustments in Florida and energy efficiency rider revenues in North Carolina, as well as growth in weather-normal retail volumes;

Lower operations, maintenance and other expenses, net of amounts recoverable in rates, at Electric Utilities and Infrastructure resulting from ongoing cost efficiency efforts and lower year-to-date storm costs than the prior year; and

Additional earnings from incremental investments in Atlantic Coast Pipeline, LLC (ACP) and Sabal Trail natural gas pipelines.

Year Ended December 31, 2016, as compared to 2015

Duke Energy's full-year 2016 GAAP Reported EPS was \$3.11 compared to \$4.05 for full-year 2015. GAAP Reported EPS was lower primarily due to a \$0.93 loss on sale of the International business, which has been presented as discontinued operations. Duke Energy also recorded \$0.40 of after-tax costs to achieve the Piedmont merger in 2016, including losses on interest rate swaps related to the acquisition financing. See Note 2, "Acquisitions and Dispositions," for additional information on the Piedmont and International transactions.

As discussed, management also evaluates financial performance based on adjusted earnings. Duke Energy's full-year 2016 adjusted diluted EPS was \$4.69 compared to \$4.54 for full-year 2015. The variance in adjusted diluted EPS was primarily due to:

More favorable weather in 2016 compared to 2015;

Increased retail revenues from pricing and riders, including energy efficiency programs;

Strong operations and maintenance cost control at Electric Utilities and Infrastructure; and

Piedmont's earnings contribution subsequent to the acquisition in October 2016.

Partially offset by:

Higher storm costs at Electric Utilities and Infrastructure due to significant 2016 storms;

Higher interest expense related to additional debt outstanding; and

Higher depreciation and amortization expense at Electric Utilities and Infrastructure primarily due to higher depreciable base.

Segment Results

The remaining information presented in this discussion of results of operations is on a GAAP basis. Management evaluates segment performance based on segment income. Segment income is defined as income from continuing operations net of income attributable to noncontrolling interests. Segment income includes intercompany revenues and expenses that are eliminated in the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Duke Energy's segment structure includes the following segments: Electric Utilities and Infrastructure, Gas Utilities and Infrastructure and Commercial Renewables. The remainder of Duke Energy's operations is presented as Other. See Note 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Business Segments," for additional information on Duke Energy's segment structure.

Tax Cuts and Jobs Act (the Tax Act)

On December 22, 2017, President Trump signed the Tax Act into law. Among other provisions, the Tax Act lowers the corporate federal income tax rate from 35 percent to 21 percent, limits interest deductions outside of regulated utility operations, and eliminates bonus depreciation for regulated utilities, effective January 1, 2018. The Tax Act also could be amended or subject to technical correction, which could change the financial impacts that were recorded at December 31, 2017, or are expected to be recorded in future periods. See Note 22 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Income Taxes," for additional information on the Tax Act. The FERC and state utility commissions will determine the regulatory treatment of the impacts of the Tax Act for the Subsidiary Registrants. Duke Energy's segments' future results of operations, financial condition and cash flows could be adversely impacted by the Tax Act, subsequent amendments or corrections, or the actions of the FERC, state utility commissions or credit rating agencies

related to the Tax Act. Duke Energy is reviewing orders to address the rate treatment of the Tax Act by each state utility commission in which the Subsidiary Registrants operate. See Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Regulatory Matters," for additional information. Beginning in January 2018, the Subsidiary Registrants will defer the estimated ongoing impacts of the Tax Act that are expected to be returned to customers. See the Credit Ratings section below for additional information on the impact of the Tax Act on the Duke Energy Registrants' credit ratings.

PART II

As a result of the Tax Act, Duke Energy revalued its existing deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities as of December 31, 2017, to account for the estimated future impact of lower corporate tax rates on these deferred tax amounts. For Duke Energy's regulated operations, where the net reduction in the net accumulated deferred income tax liability is expected to be returned to customers in future rates, the remeasurement has been deferred as a regulatory liability. See Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Regulatory Matters," for additional information on the Tax Act's impact to the regulatory asset and liability accounts. The following table shows the expense (benefit) recorded on Duke Energy's Consolidated Statement of Operations for the year ended December 31, 2017.

| (in millions) | Impacts of the Tax Act ^{(a)(b)} |
|--|---|
| Electric Utilities and Infrastructure ^(c) | \$ (231) |
| Gas Utilities and Infrastructure ^{(d)(e)} | (26) |
| Commercial Renewables | (442) |
| Other ^(f) | 597 |
| Total impact of the Tax Act ^(d) | \$ (102) |

(a) Except where noted below, amounts are included within Income Tax Expense From Continuing Operations on the Consolidated Statement of Operations.

(b) See Note 4 and Note 22 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Regulatory Matters" and "Income Taxes," for information about the Tax Act's impact on Duke Energy's Consolidated Balance Sheets.

(c) Amount primarily relates to the remeasurement of net deferred tax liabilities that are excluded for ratemaking purposes related to abandoned or impaired assets and certain wholesale fixed rate contracts.

(d) Includes a \$16 million expense recorded within Equity in earnings (losses) of unconsolidated affiliates on the Consolidated Statement of Operations.

(e) Amount primarily relates to the remeasurement of net deferred tax liabilities that relates to equity method investments and certain wholesale fixed rate contracts.

(f) Amount primarily relates to the remeasurement of Foreign Tax Credits, federal net operating losses and non-regulated deferred tax assets.

Electric Utilities and Infrastructure

| (in millions) | Years Ended December 31, | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|----------|---------------------------------|----------|---------------------------------|
| | 2017 | 2016 | Variance 2017 vs. 2016 | 2015 | Variance 2016 vs. 2015 |
| Operating Revenues | \$21,331 | \$21,366 | \$ (35) | \$21,521 | \$ (155) |
| Operating Expenses | | | | | |
| Fuel used in electric generation and purchased power | 6,379 | 6,595 | (216) | 7,308 | (713) |
| Operations, maintenance and other | 5,196 | 5,292 | (96) | 5,138 | 154 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 3,010 | 2,897 | 113 | 2,735 | 162 |
| Property and other taxes | 1,079 | 1,021 | 58 | 1,013 | 8 |
| Impairment charges | 176 | 16 | 160 | 101 | (85) |
| Total operating expenses | 15,840 | 15,821 | 19 | 16,295 | (474) |
| Gains on Sales of Other Assets and Other, net | 6 | — | 6 | 5 | (5) |
| Operating Income | 5,497 | 5,545 | (48) | 5,231 | 314 |
| Other Income and Expenses | 308 | 303 | 5 | 264 | 39 |
| Interest Expense | 1,240 | 1,136 | 104 | 1,074 | 62 |
| Income Before Income Taxes | 4,565 | 4,712 | (147) | 4,421 | 291 |
| Income Tax Expense | 1,355 | 1,672 | (317) | 1,602 | 70 |

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | | |
|---|---------|---------|----------|---------|--------|
| Segment Income | \$3,210 | \$3,040 | \$ 170 | \$2,819 | \$ 221 |
| Duke Energy Carolinas Gigawatt-Hours (GWh) sales | 87,305 | 88,545 | (1,240) | 86,950 | 1,595 |
| Duke Energy Progress GWh sales | 66,822 | 69,049 | (2,227) | 64,881 | 4,168 |
| Duke Energy Florida GWh sales | 40,591 | 40,404 | 187 | 40,053 | 351 |
| Duke Energy Ohio GWh sales | 24,639 | 25,163 | (524) | 25,439 | (276) |
| Duke Energy Indiana GWh sales | 33,145 | 34,368 | (1,223) | 33,518 | 850 |
| Total Electric Utilities and Infrastructure GWh sales | 252,502 | 257,529 | (5,027) | 250,841 | 6,688 |
| Net proportional MW capacity in operation | 48,828 | 49,295 | (467) | 50,170 | (875) |

44

PART II

Year Ended December 31, 2017, as Compared to 2016

Electric Utilities and Infrastructure's results were impacted by the Tax Act, growth from investments, lower operations and maintenance expense and higher weather-normal retail sales volumes, partially offset by less favorable weather, impairment charges due to regulatory settlements, increased depreciation and amortization, higher interest expense and higher property and other taxes. The following is a detailed discussion of the variance drivers by line item.

Operating Revenues. The variance was driven primarily by:

- a \$292 million decrease in retail sales, net of fuel revenue, due to less favorable weather in the current year; and
- a \$235 million decrease in fuel revenues driven by lower retail sales volumes, lower fuel prices included in rates and changes in the generation mix.

Partially offset by:

- a \$364 million increase in rider revenues including increased revenues related to energy efficiency programs, Duke Energy Florida's nuclear asset securitization, Midwest transmission and distribution capital investments and Duke Energy Indiana's Edwardsport Integrated Gasification Combined Cycle (IGCC) plant, as well as an increase in retail pricing due to base rate adjustments for Duke Energy Florida's Osprey acquisition and Hines Chillers and the Duke Energy Progress South Carolina rate case;
- an \$86 million increase in weather-normal sales volumes to customers; and
- a \$26 million increase in other revenues primarily due to favorable transmission revenues.

Operating Expenses. The variance was driven primarily by:

- a \$160 million increase in impairment charges primarily due to the write-off of remaining unrecovered Levy Nuclear Project costs in the current year at Duke Energy Florida and the disallowance from rate base of certain projects at the Mayo and Sutton plants in the current year at Duke Energy Progress related to the partial settlement in the North Carolina rate case;
- a \$113 million increase in depreciation and amortization expense primarily due to additional plant in service; and
- a \$58 million increase in property and other taxes primarily due to higher property taxes.

Partially offset by:

- a \$216 million decrease in fuel expense (including purchased power) primarily due to lower retail sales and changes in the generation mix; and
- a \$96 million decrease in operation, maintenance and other expense primarily due to lower plant outage, storm restoration and labor and benefits costs partially offset by higher operational costs that are recoverable in rates.

Interest Expense. The variance was due to higher debt outstanding in the current year and Duke Energy Florida's Crystal River 3 (CR3) regulatory asset debt return ending in June 2016 upon securitization.

Income Tax Expense. The variance was primarily due to a decrease in pretax income and the impact of the Tax Act. The effective tax rates for the years ended December 31, 2017, and 2016 were 29.7 percent and 35.5 percent, respectively. The decrease in the effective tax rate was primarily due to the impact of the Tax Act. See the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act section above for additional information on the Tax Act.

Year Ended December 31, 2016, as Compared to 2015

Electric Utilities and Infrastructure's higher earnings were primarily due to increased pricing and rider revenues, favorable weather, a prior year impairment charge associated with the 2015 Edwardsport IGCC settlement and an increase in wholesale power margins. These impacts were partially offset by increased depreciation and amortization expense, higher interest expense and higher operations and maintenance expense. The following is a detailed discussion of the variance drivers by line item.

Operating Revenues. The variance was driven primarily by:

- a \$768 million decrease in fuel revenues driven by lower fuel prices included in rates.

Partially offset by:

- a \$414 million increase in rider revenues including increased revenues related to energy efficiency programs, the additional ownership interest in generating assets acquired from NCEMPA in the third quarter of 2015 and increased revenues related to Duke Energy Indiana's clean coal equipment, and increased retail electric pricing primarily due to the expiration of the North Carolina cost of removal decrement rider;

- \$101 million increase in retail sales, net of fuel revenue, due to favorable weather compared to the prior year; and
- a \$76 million increase in wholesale power revenues primarily due to additional volumes and capacity charges for customers served under long-term contracts, including the NCEMPA wholesale contract.

PART II

Operating Expenses. The variance was driven primarily by:

a \$713 million decrease in fuel expense (including purchased power and natural gas purchases for resale) primarily due to lower natural gas and coal prices, and lower volumes of coal and oil, partially offset by higher volumes of natural gas; and

an \$85 million decrease in pretax impairment charges in the prior year primarily due to the 2015 Edwardsport IGCC settlement.

Partially offset by:

a \$162 million increase in depreciation and amortization expense primarily due to additional plant in service, including the additional ownership interest in generating assets acquired from NCEMPA, as well as the expiration of the North Carolina cost of removal decrement rider; and

a \$154 million increase in operations and maintenance expense primarily due to higher environmental and operational costs that are recoverable in rates, increased employee benefit costs, and higher storm restoration costs, partially offset by lower costs due to effective cost control efforts.

Other Income and Expenses. The variance was primarily driven by higher AFUDC equity.

Interest Expense. The variance was due to higher debt outstanding in the current year.

Income Tax Expense. The variance was primarily due to an increase in pretax income. The effective tax rates for the years ended December 31, 2016, and 2015 were 35.5 percent and 36.2 percent, respectively.

Matters Impacting Future Electric Utilities and Infrastructure Results

An order from regulatory authorities disallowing recovery of costs related to closure of ash impoundments could have an adverse impact on Electric Utilities and Infrastructure's financial position, results of operations and cash flows. See Note 4 and Note 9 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Regulatory Matters" and "Asset Retirement Obligations," respectively, for additional information.

On May 18, 2016, the North Carolina Department of Environmental Quality (NCDEQ) issued proposed risk classifications for all coal ash surface impoundments in North Carolina. All ash impoundments not previously designated as high priority by the North Carolina Coal Ash Management Act of 2014 (Coal Ash Act) were designated as intermediate risk. Certain impoundments classified as intermediate risk, however, may be reassessed in the future as low risk pursuant to legislation enacted on July 14, 2016. Electric Utilities and Infrastructure's estimated asset retirement obligations (AROs) related to the closure of North Carolina ash impoundments are based upon the mandated closure method or a probability weighting of potential closure methods for the impoundments that may be reassessed to low risk. As the final risk ranking classifications in North Carolina are delineated, final closure plans and corrective action measures are developed and approved for each site, the closure work progresses and the closure method scope and remedial methods are determined, the complexity of work and the amount of coal combustion material could be different than originally estimated and, therefore, could materially impact Electric Utilities and Infrastructure's financial position. See Note 9 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Asset Retirement Obligations," for additional information.

Duke Energy is a party to multiple lawsuits and could be subject to fines and other penalties related to operations at certain North Carolina facilities with ash basins. The outcome of these lawsuits and potential fines and penalties could have an adverse impact on Electric Utilities and Infrastructure's financial position, results of operations and cash flows. See Note 5 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Commitments and Contingencies," for additional information.

In the fourth quarter of 2016, Hurricane Matthew caused historic flooding, extensive damage and widespread power outages within the Duke Energy Progress service territory. Duke Energy Progress filed a petition with the North Carolina Utilities Commission (NCUC) requesting an accounting order to defer incremental operation and maintenance and capital costs incurred in response to Hurricane Matthew and other significant 2016 storms. The NCUC will address this request in Duke Energy Progress' currently pending rate case. A final order from the NCUC that disallows the deferral and future recovery of all or a significant portion of the incremental storm restoration costs incurred could result in an adverse impact on Electric Utilities and Infrastructure's financial position, results of operations and cash flows. See Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Regulatory Matters," for additional

information.

Duke Energy has several rate cases pending. Duke Energy Kentucky filed an electric rate case with the Kentucky Public Service Commission (KPSC) on September 1, 2017, to recover costs of capital investments in generation, transmission and distribution systems and to recover other incremental expenses since its previous rate case. Duke Energy Carolinas and Duke Energy Progress filed general rate cases with the NCUC on August 25, 2017, and June 1, 2017, respectively, to recover costs of complying with Coal Combustion Residuals (CCR) regulations and the Coal Ash Act, as well as costs of capital investments in generation, transmission and distribution systems and any increase in expenditures subsequent to previous rate cases. In March 2017, Duke Energy Ohio filed an electric distribution base rate case application and supporting testimony with the Public Utility Commission of Ohio (PUCO). Electric Utilities and Infrastructure's earnings could be impacted adversely if these rate increases are delayed or denied by the KPSC, NCUC or PUCO. See Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Regulatory Matters," for additional information.

On August 29, 2017, Duke Energy Florida filed a 2017 Second Revised and Restated Settlement Agreement (2017 Settlement) with the FPSC. On November 20, 2017, the FPSC issued an order to approve the 2017 Settlement. See Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Regulatory Matters," for additional information about the 2017 Settlement. In accordance with the 2017 Settlement, Duke Energy Florida will not seek recovery of any costs associated with the ongoing Westinghouse contract litigation, which is currently being appealed. See Note 5 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Commitments and Contingencies," for additional information about the litigation. An unfavorable appeals ruling on that matter could have an adverse impact on Electric Utilities and Infrastructure's financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

Within this Item 7, see the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act above as well as Liquidity and Capital Resources below for risks associated with the Tax Act.

PART II

Gas Utilities and Infrastructure

| (in millions) | Years Ended December 31, | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|--------|------------------------------|--------|------------------------------|
| | 2017 | 2016 | Variance 2017 vs. 2016 | 2015 | Variance 2016 vs. 2015 |
| Operating Revenues | \$ 1,836 | \$ 901 | \$ 935 | \$ 541 | \$ 360 |
| Operating Expenses | | | | | |
| Cost of natural gas | 632 | 265 | 367 | 141 | 124 |
| Operation, maintenance and other | 393 | 186 | 207 | 126 | 60 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 231 | 115 | 116 | 79 | 36 |
| Property and other taxes | 106 | 70 | 36 | 62 | 8 |
| Total operating expenses | 1,362 | 636 | 726 | 408 | 228 |
| (Loss) Gains on Sales of Other Assets and Other, net | — | (1) | 1 | 6 | (7) |
| Operating Income | 474 | 264 | 210 | 139 | 125 |
| Other Income and Expenses | 66 | 24 | 42 | 3 | 21 |
| Interest Expense | 105 | 46 | 59 | 25 | 21 |
| Income Before Income Taxes | 435 | 242 | 193 | 117 | 125 |
| Income Tax Expense | 116 | 90 | 26 | 44 | 46 |
| Segment Income | \$ 319 | \$ 152 | \$ 167 | \$ 73 | \$ 79 |

Piedmont LDC throughput (dekatherms)^(a) 468,259,177 120,908,508 347,351,269 — 120,908,508

Duke Energy Midwest LDC throughput (MCF) 80,934,886 870,489 (935,653) 84,523,814 (2,653,325)

(a) Includes throughput subsequent to Duke Energy's acquisition of Piedmont on October 3, 2016.

Year Ended December 31, 2017, as Compared to 2016

Gas Utilities and Infrastructure's higher results were primarily due to the inclusion of Piedmont's earnings in the current year as a result of Duke Energy's acquisition of Piedmont on October 3, 2016, as well as additional equity earnings from investments in the ACP and Sabal Trail pipelines.

Operating Revenues. The variance was driven primarily by:

• an \$884 million increase in operating revenues due to the inclusion of Piedmont's operating revenues beginning in October 2016; and

• a \$47 million increase in Piedmont's fourth quarter results due to colder weather, higher natural gas prices, Integrity Management Rider (IMR) rate adjustments, customer growth and new power generation customers.

Operating Expenses. The variance was driven primarily by:

• a \$686 million increase in operating expenses due to the inclusion of Piedmont's operating expenses beginning in October 2016; and

• a \$34 million increase in Piedmont's fourth quarter results primarily due to higher natural gas costs passed through to customers due to the higher price per dekatherm of natural gas.

Other Income and Expenses. The increase was driven primarily by higher equity earnings from pipeline investments.

Interest Expense. The variance was primarily due to the inclusion of Piedmont's interest expense beginning in October 2016.

Income Tax Expense. The variance was primarily due to an increase in pretax income due to the inclusion of Piedmont's earnings beginning in October 2016, partially offset by prior period true-ups. The effective tax rates for the years ended December 31, 2017, and 2016 were 26.7 percent and 37.2 percent, respectively. The decrease in the effective tax rate was primarily due to the prior period true-ups and the impact of the Tax Act. See the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act section above for additional information on the Tax Act.

Year Ended December 31, 2016, as Compared to 2015

Gas Utilities and Infrastructure's higher results were primarily due to the inclusion of Piedmont's earnings subsequent to the merger on October 3, 2016, and higher equity earnings from pipeline investments. Piedmont's earnings included

in Gas Utilities and Infrastructure's results were \$67 million for the year ended December 31, 2016. Operating Revenues. The variance was driven primarily by:
a \$398 million increase in operating revenues due to the inclusion of Piedmont's operating revenues beginning in October 2016,

PART II

Partially offset by:

a \$38 million decrease in fuel revenues driven by lower natural gas prices and decreased sales volumes for Midwest operations.

Operating Expenses. The variance was driven primarily by:

a \$276 million increase in operating expenses due to the inclusion of Piedmont's operating expenses beginning in October 2016.

Partially offset by:

a \$38 million decrease in the cost of natural gas, primarily due to decreased volumes and lower natural gas prices for Midwest operations.

Other Income and Expenses. The increase was driven primarily by higher equity earnings from pipeline investments.

Interest Expense. The variance was primarily due to the inclusion of Piedmont's interest expenses beginning in October 2016.

Income Tax Expense. The variance was primarily due to an increase in pretax income. The effective tax rates for the years ended December 31, 2016, and 2015 were 37.2 percent and 37.6 percent, respectively.

Matters Impacting Future Gas Utilities and Infrastructure Results

Gas Utilities and Infrastructure has a 24 percent ownership interest in Constitution Pipeline Company, LLC (Constitution), a natural gas pipeline project slated to transport natural gas supplies to major northeastern markets. On April 22, 2016, the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation denied Constitution's application for a necessary water quality certification for the New York portion of the Constitution pipeline. Constitution has stopped construction and discontinued capitalization of future development costs until the project's uncertainty is resolved. As a result of the permitting delays and project uncertainty, total anticipated contributions by Duke Energy can no longer be reasonably estimated. To the extent the legal and regulatory proceedings have unfavorable outcomes, or if Constitution concludes that the project is not viable or does not go forward, an impairment charge of up to the recorded investment in the project, net of salvage value and any cash and working capital returned, may be recorded. Due to the FERC's January 2018 ruling and the resulting increase in uncertainty, Duke Energy is evaluating the potential to recognize a pretax impairment charge on its investment in Constitution during the first quarter of 2018 of up to the current carrying amount of the investment, net of salvage value and any cash and working capital returned. With the project on hold, funding of project costs has ceased until resolution of legal actions. At December 31, 2017, Duke Energy's investment in Constitution was \$81 million. See Note 4 and Note 12 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Regulatory Matters," and "Investments in Unconsolidated Affiliates," respectively, for additional information.

Gas Utilities and Infrastructure has a 47 percent ownership interest in ACP, which is building an approximately 600-mile interstate natural gas pipeline intended to transport diverse natural gas supplies into southeastern markets. Affected states (West Virginia, Virginia and North Carolina) have issued certain necessary permits; the project remains subject to other pending federal and state approvals, which will allow full construction activities to begin. In early 2018, the FERC issued series of Partial Notices to Proceed which authorized the project to begin limited construction-related activities along the pipeline route. The project has a targeted in-service date of late 2019. Due to delays in obtaining the required permits to commence construction and the conditions imposed upon the project by the permits, ACP's project manager estimates the project pipeline development costs have increased from a range of \$5.0 billion to \$5.5 billion to a range of \$6.0 billion to \$6.5 billion, excluding financing costs. Project construction activities, schedule and final costs are still subject to uncertainty due to potential additional permitting delays, construction productivity and other conditions and risks that could result in potential higher project costs and a potential delay in the targeted in-service date. See Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Regulatory Matters," for additional information.

Rapidly rising interest rates without timely or adequate updates to the regulated allowed return on equity or failure to achieve the anticipated benefits of the Piedmont merger, including cost savings and growth targets, could significantly impact the estimated fair value of reporting units in Gas Utilities and Infrastructure. In the event of a significant decline in the estimated fair value of the reporting units, goodwill impairment charges could be recorded. The carrying

value of goodwill within Gas Utilities and Infrastructure was approximately \$1,924 million at December 31, 2017. Within this Item 7, see the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act above as well as Liquidity and Capital Resources below for risks associated with the Tax Act.

PART II

Commercial Renewables

| | Years Ended December 31, | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|--------|----------|----------|----------|
| | | | Variance | Variance | |
| | 2017 | 2016 | 2017 | 2015 | 2016 |
| (in millions) | | | vs. | vs. | |
| Operating Revenues | \$460 | \$484 | \$ (24) | \$286 | \$ 198 |
| Operating Expenses | | | | | |
| Operation, maintenance and other | 267 | 337 | (70) | 197 | 140 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 155 | 130 | 25 | 104 | 26 |
| Property and other taxes | 33 | 25 | 8 | 18 | 7 |
| Impairment charges | 99 | — | 99 | 3 | (3) |
| Total operating expenses | 554 | 492 | 62 | 322 | 170 |
| Gains on Sales of Other Assets and Other, net | 1 | 5 | (4) | 1 | 4 |
| Operating Loss | (93) | (3) | (90) | (35) | 32 |
| Other Income and Expenses | (12) | (83) | 71 | 2 | (85) |
| Interest Expense | 87 | 53 | 34 | 44 | 9 |
| Loss Before Income Taxes | (192) | (139) | (53) | (77) | (62) |
| Income Tax Benefit | (628) | (160) | (468) | (128) | (32) |
| Less: Loss Attributable to Noncontrolling Interests | (5) | (2) | (3) | (1) | (1) |
| Segment Income | \$441 | \$23 | \$ 418 | \$52 | \$ (29) |
| Renewable plant production, GWh | 8,260 | 7,446 | 814 | 5,577 | 1,869 |
| Net proportional MW capacity in operation | 2,907 | 2,892 | 15 | 1,943 | 949 |

Year Ended December 31, 2017, as Compared to 2016

Commercial Renewables' higher earnings were primarily due to the Tax Act, partially offset by pretax impairment charges. The following is a detailed discussion of the variance drivers by line item.

Operating Revenues. The decrease was primarily due to lower engineering, procurement and construction revenues from REC Solar, a California-based provider of solar installations acquired by Duke Energy in 2015.

Operating Expenses. The increase was primarily due to \$99 million in pretax impairment charges in the current year related to a wholly owned non-contracted wind project and other investments and higher expenses associated with new wind and solar projects, partially offset by lower operations and maintenance expense at REC Solar due to fewer projects under construction. See Notes 10 and 11 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Property, Plant and Equipment" and "Goodwill and Intangible Assets," respectively, for additional information.

Other Income and Expenses. The variance was primarily due to a \$71 million pretax impairment charge in the prior year related to certain equity method investments. For additional information, see Note 12 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Investments in Unconsolidated Affiliates."

Interest Expense. The variance was primarily due to new project financings and less capitalized interest due to fewer projects under construction.

Income Tax Benefit. The variance was primarily due to the impact of the Tax Act and higher production tax credits (PTCs), partially offset by lower investment tax credits (ITCs). See the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act section above for additional information on the Tax Act and the impact on the effective tax rate.

Year Ended December 31, 2016, as Compared to 2015

Commercial Renewables' lower earnings were primarily due to an impairment charge related to certain equity method investments in wind projects, partially offset by new wind and solar generation placed in service and improved wind production. The following is a detailed discussion of variance drivers by line item.

Operating Revenues. The variance was primarily due to a \$135 million increase due to growth of REC Solar and a \$66 million increase from new wind and solar generation placed in service and improved wind production.

Operating Expenses. The variance was primarily due to a \$130 million increase in operating expenses due to growth of REC Solar and a \$36 million increase in operating expenses due to new wind and solar generation placed in service.

Other Income and Expenses. The variance was due to a \$71 million pretax impairment charge related to certain equity method investments in wind projects. See Note 12 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Investments in Unconsolidated Affiliates," for additional information.

Income Tax Benefit. The variance was primarily due to a decrease in pretax income and the impact of PTCs for the renewables portfolio.

PART II

Matters Impacting Future Commercial Renewables Results

Changes or variability in assumptions used in calculating the fair value of the Commercial Renewables reporting units for goodwill testing purposes, including but not limited to legislative actions related to tax credit extensions, long-term growth rates and discount rates could significantly impact the estimated fair value of the Commercial Renewables reporting units. In the event of a significant decline in the estimated fair value of the Commercial Renewables reporting units, goodwill or other asset impairment charges could be recorded. The carrying value of goodwill within Commercial Renewables was approximately \$93 million at December 31, 2017.

Persistently low market pricing for wind resources, primarily in the Electric Reliability Council of Texas West market and the future expiration of tax incentives including ITCs and PTCs could result in adverse impacts to the future results of Commercial Renewables.

Within this Item 7, see the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act above as well as Liquidity and Capital Resources below for risks associated with the Tax Act.

Other

| (in millions) | Years Ended December 31, | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|----------|------------------------------|----------|------------------------------|
| | 2017 | 2016 | Variance 2017 vs. 2016 | 2015 | Variance 2016 vs. 2015 |
| Operating Revenues | \$ 138 | \$ 117 | \$ 21 | \$ 135 | \$ (18) |
| Operating Expenses | | | | | |
| Fuel used in electric generation and purchased power | 58 | 51 | 7 | 48 | 3 |
| Operation, maintenance and other | 44 | 371 | (327) | 188 | 183 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 131 | 152 | (21) | 135 | 17 |
| Property and other taxes | 14 | 28 | (14) | 35 | (7) |
| Impairment charges | 7 | 2 | 5 | 3 | (1) |
| Total operating expenses | 254 | 604 | (350) | 409 | 195 |
| Gains on Sales of Other Assets and Other, net | 21 | 23 | (2) | 18 | 5 |
| Operating Loss | (95) | (464) | 369 | (256) | (208) |
| Other Income and Expenses | 127 | 75 | 52 | 98 | (23) |
| Interest Expense | 574 | 693 | (119) | 393 | 300 |
| Loss Before Income Taxes | (542) | (1,082) | 540 | (551) | (531) |
| Income Tax Expense (Benefit) | 353 | (446) | 799 | (262) | (184) |
| Less: Income attributable to Noncontrolling Interests | 10 | 9 | 1 | 10 | (1) |
| Net Expense | \$ (905) | \$ (645) | \$ (260) | \$ (299) | \$ (346) |

Year Ended December 31, 2017, as Compared to 2016

Other's higher net expense was driven by the Tax Act, partially offset by prior year losses on forward-starting interest rate swaps and other costs related to the Piedmont acquisition, decreased severance expenses, prior year donations to the Duke Energy Foundation and insurance proceeds resulting from settlement of the shareholder litigation related to the Progress Energy merger. The following is a detailed discussion of the variance drivers by line item.

Operating Revenues. The increase was primarily due to higher OVEC (Ohio Valley Electric Corporation) revenues and prior year customer credits related to Piedmont merger commitments. See Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Acquisitions and Dispositions," for additional information.

Operating Expenses. The decrease was primarily due to lower transaction and integration costs associated with the Piedmont acquisition, prior year severance expenses related to cost savings initiatives, donations to the Duke Energy Foundation in 2016 as well as prior year depreciation expense and other integration costs related to the Progress Energy merger. The Duke Energy Foundation is a nonprofit organization funded by Duke Energy shareholders that makes charitable contributions to selected nonprofits and government subdivisions.

Other Income and Expenses. The increase was primarily driven by insurance proceeds resulting from settlement of the shareholder litigation related to the Progress Energy merger, higher earnings from the equity method investment in

NMC and increased returns on investments that fund certain employee benefit obligations.

Interest Expense. The decrease was primarily due to prior year losses on forward-starting interest rate swaps related to Piedmont pre-acquisition financing, partially offset by higher interest costs on \$3.75 billion of debt issued in August 2016 to fund the acquisition. For additional information see Notes 2, 6 and 14 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Acquisitions and Dispositions," "Debt and Credit Facilities" and "Derivatives and Hedging," respectively.

Income Tax Benefit. The variance was primarily due to the impact of the Tax Act and a decrease in pretax loss. See the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act section above for additional information on the Tax Act and the impact on the effective tax rate.

PART II

Year Ended December 31, 2016, as Compared to 2015

Other's higher net expense was driven by costs related to the Piedmont acquisition, higher charitable donations and higher interest expense related to the Piedmont acquisition financing. The following is a detailed discussion of the variance drivers by line item.

Operating Revenues. The decrease was primarily due to customer credits recorded related to Piedmont merger commitments. See Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Acquisitions and Dispositions," for additional information.

Operating Expenses. The increase was primarily due to transaction and integration costs associated with the Piedmont acquisition and increased donations to the Duke Energy Foundation, partially offset by a decrease in severance accruals.

Other Income and Expenses. The variance was primarily due to lower earnings from NMC, partially offset by higher returns on investments that support employee benefit obligations.

Interest Expense. The increase was primarily due to Piedmont acquisition financing, including bridge facility costs and losses on forward-starting interest rate swaps. For additional information see Notes 2 and 14 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Acquisitions and Dispositions" and "Derivatives and Hedging," respectively.

Income Tax Benefit. The variance was primarily due to an increase in pretax losses, partially offset by a decrease in the effective tax rate. The effective tax rates for the years ended December 31, 2016, and 2015 were 41.2 percent and 47.5 percent, respectively. The decrease in the effective tax rate was primarily due to the benefit from legal entity restructuring recorded in 2015.

Matters Impacting Future Other Results

Included in Other is Duke Energy Ohio's 9 percent ownership interest in the Ohio Valley Electric Corporation (OVEC), which owns 2,256 MW of coal-fired generation capacity. As a counterparty to an inter-company power agreement (ICPA), Duke Energy Ohio has a contractual arrangement to receive entitlements to capacity and energy from OVEC's power plants through June 2040 commensurate with its power participation ratio, which is equivalent to Duke Energy Ohio's ownership interest. Costs, including fuel, operating expenses, fixed costs, debt amortization and interest expense, are allocated to counterparties to the ICPA, including Duke Energy Ohio, based on their power participation ratio. The value of the ICPA is subject to variability due to fluctuations in power prices and changes in OVEC's costs of business. Deterioration in the credit quality or bankruptcy of one or more parties to the ICPA could increase the costs of OVEC. In addition, certain proposed environmental rulemaking costs could result in future increased cost allocations. For information on Duke Energy's regulatory filings related to OVEC, see Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Regulatory Matters."

The retired Beckjord generating station (Beckjord), a nonregulated facility retired during 2014, is not subject to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) rule related to the disposal of CCR from electric utilities. However, if costs are incurred as a result of environmental regulations or to mitigate risk associated with on-site storage of coal ash, the costs could have an adverse impact on Other's financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

Within this Item 7, see the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act above as well as Liquidity and Capital Resources below for risks associated with the Tax Act.

(LOSS) INCOME FROM DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS, NET OF TAX

| | Years Ended December 31, | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|---------|---------------|---------------|-----------|
| | | | Variance | Variance | |
| (in millions) | 2017 | 2016 | 2017 vs. 2016 | 2016 vs. 2015 | |
| (Loss) Income From Discontinued Operations, net of tax | \$(6) | \$(408) | \$ 402 | \$ 177 | \$(585) |

Year Ended December 31, 2017, as Compared to 2016

The variance was primarily driven by the prior year loss on the disposal of Duke Energy's Latin American generation business and an impairment charge related to certain assets in Central America, partially offset by a tax benefit related to historic unremitted foreign earnings and immaterial out of period tax adjustments unrelated to the Disposal Groups. See Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Acquisitions and Dispositions," for additional information.

Year Ended December 31, 2016, as Compared to 2015

The variance was primarily driven by the 2016 loss on the disposal of Duke Energy's Latin American generation business and an impairment charge related to certain assets in Central America, partially offset by a tax benefit related to historic unremitted foreign earnings and immaterial out of period tax adjustments unrelated to the Disposal Groups. See Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Acquisitions and Dispositions," for additional information.

51

PART II

SUBSIDIARY REGISTRANTS

As a result of the Tax Act, the Subsidiary Registrants revalued their deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities, as of December 31, 2017, to account for the future impact of lower corporate tax rates on these deferred tax amounts. For the Subsidiary Registrants regulated operations, where the reduction is expected to be returned to customers in future rates, the remeasurement has been deferred as a regulatory liability. See Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Regulatory Matters" for additional information on the Tax Act's impact to the regulatory asset and liability accounts. The FERC and state utility commissions will determine the regulatory treatment of the impacts of the Tax Act for the Subsidiary Registrants. The Subsidiary Registrants' future results of operations, financial condition and cash flows could be adversely impacted by the Tax Act, subsequent amendments or corrections, or the actions of the FERC, state utility commissions or credit rating agencies related to the Tax Act. The change in each Subsidiary Registrant's effective tax rate for the year ended December 31, 2017, was primarily due to the impact of the Tax Act, unless noted below. The following table shows the expense (benefit) recorded on the Subsidiary Registrant's Consolidated Statement of Operations and Comprehensive Income for the year ended December 31, 2017, and the effective tax rate for each Subsidiary Registrant.

| (in millions) | Impacts of the Tax Act ^{(a)(b)} | Effective Tax Rate Years Ended December 31, | |
|-----------------------|---|--|--------|
| | | 2017 | 2016 |
| Duke Energy Carolinas | \$ 15 | 34.9 % | 35.2 % |
| Progress Energy | (246) ^(c) | 17.2 % | 33.7 % |
| Duke Energy Progress | (40) ^(d) | 29.0 % ^(h) | 33.4 % |
| Duke Energy Florida | (226) ^(c) | 6.1 % | 36.9 % |
| Duke Energy Ohio | (23) ^(e) | 23.4 % | 28.9 % |
| Duke Energy Indiana | 55 ^(f) | 46.0 % | 37.1 % |
| Piedmont | (2) ^{(d)(g)} | 30.8 % | 38.3 % |

(a) Except where noted below, amounts are included within Income Tax Expense From Continuing Operations or Income Tax Expense on the Consolidated Statement of Operations and Comprehensive Income.

(b) See Notes 4 and 22 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Regulatory Matters" and "Income Taxes," for information about the Tax Act's impact on Duke Energy's Consolidated Balance Sheets.

(c) Amount primarily relates to the remeasurement of deferred tax liabilities that are excluded for ratemaking purposes related to abandoned assets and certain wholesale fixed rate contracts.

(d) Amount primarily relates to the remeasurement of deferred tax liabilities of certain wholesale fixed rate contracts.

(e) Amount primarily relates to the remeasurement of deferred tax assets that are excluded for ratemaking purposes related to a prior transfer of certain electric generating assets.

(f) Amount primarily relates to the remeasurement of deferred tax liabilities that are excluded for ratemaking purposes related to impaired assets.

(g) Includes a \$16 million expense recorded within Equity in earnings (losses) of unconsolidated affiliates on the Consolidated Statement of Operations and Comprehensive Income.

(h) The decrease in the effective tax rate was primarily due to the impact of the Tax Act and lower North Carolina corporate tax rates.

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS

Introduction

Management's Discussion and Analysis should be read in conjunction with the accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015.

Basis of Presentation

The results of operations and variance discussion for Duke Energy Carolinas is presented in a reduced disclosure format in accordance with General Instruction (I)(2)(a) of Form 10-K.

Results of Operations

| (in millions) | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|--|--------------------------|---------|----------|
| | 2017 | 2016 | Variance |
| Operating Revenues | \$7,302 | \$7,322 | \$ (20) |
| Operating Expenses | | | |
| Fuel used in electric generation and purchased power | 1,822 | 1,797 | 25 |
| Operation, maintenance and other | 1,961 | 2,106 | (145) |
| Depreciation and amortization | 1,090 | 1,075 | 15 |
| Property and other taxes | 281 | 276 | 5 |
| Impairment charges | — | 1 | (1) |
| Total operating expenses | 5,154 | 5,255 | (101) |
| Gain (Loss) on Sales of Other Assets and Other, net | 1 | (5) | 6 |
| Operating Income | 2,149 | 2,062 | 87 |
| Other Income and Expenses, net | 139 | 162 | (23) |
| Interest Expense | 422 | 424 | (2) |
| Income Before Income Taxes | 1,866 | 1,800 | 66 |
| Income Tax Expense | 652 | 634 | 18 |
| Net Income | \$1,214 | \$1,166 | \$ 48 |

The following table shows the percent changes in GWh sales and average number of customers for Duke Energy Carolinas. The below percentages for retail customer classes represent billed sales only. Total sales includes billed and unbilled retail sales and wholesale sales to incorporated municipalities and to public and private utilities and power marketers. Amounts are not weather-normalized.

| Increase (Decrease) over prior year | 2017 | 2016 |
|-------------------------------------|--------|--------|
| Residential sales | (4.8)% | 0.1 % |
| General service sales | (1.8)% | 0.7 % |
| Industrial sales | (0.8)% | (0.9)% |
| Wholesale power sales | 6.3 % | 9.8 % |
| Joint dispatch sales | 18.2 % | (2.3)% |
| Total sales | (1.4)% | 1.8 % |
| Average number of customers | 1.5 % | 1.4 % |

Year Ended December 31, 2017, as Compared to 2016

Operating Revenues. The variance was driven primarily by:

• \$179 million decrease in retail sales, net of fuel revenues, due to less favorable weather in the current year.

Partially offset by:

• \$74 million increase in rider revenues and retail pricing primarily related to energy efficiency programs;

• \$41 million increase in weather-normal sales volumes to retail customers, net of fuel revenues;

• \$30 million increase in fuel revenues primarily due to changes in generation mix partially offset by lower retail sales; and

• \$7 million increase in wholesale power revenues, net of sharing and fuel, primarily due to additional volumes for customers served under long-term contracts.

PART II

Operating Expenses. The variance was driven primarily by:

a \$145 million decrease in operations, maintenance and other expense primarily due to lower expenses at generating plants, lower costs associated with merger commitments related to the Piedmont acquisition in 2016, lower severance expenses, and lower employee benefit costs, partially offset by higher energy efficiency program costs.

Partially offset by:

a \$25 million increase in fuel expense (including purchased power) primarily due to changes in generation mix, partially offset by lower retail sales; and

a \$15 million increase in depreciation and amortization expense primarily due to additional plant in service, partially offset by lower amortization of certain regulatory assets.

Other Income and Expenses. The variance was primarily due to a decrease in recognition of post in-service equity returns for projects that had been completed prior to being reflected in customer rates.

Income Tax Expense. The variance was primarily due to an increase in pretax income and the impact of the Tax Act, offset by the impact of research credits and the manufacturing deduction. See the Subsidiary Registrants section above for additional information on the Tax Act and the impact on the effective tax rate.

Matters Impacting Future Results

An order from regulatory authorities disallowing recovery of costs related to closure of ash impoundments could have an adverse impact on Duke Energy Carolinas' financial position, results of operations and cash flows. See Notes 4 and 9 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Regulatory Matters" and "Asset Retirement Obligations," respectively, for additional information.

On May 18, 2016, the NCDEQ issued proposed risk classifications for all coal ash surface impoundments in North Carolina. All ash impoundments not previously designated as high priority by the Coal Ash Act were designated as intermediate risk. Certain impoundments classified as intermediate risk, however, may be reassessed in the future as low risk pursuant to legislation enacted on July 14, 2016. Duke Energy Carolinas' estimated AROs related to the closure of North Carolina ash impoundments are based upon the mandated closure method or a probability weighting of potential closure methods for the impoundments that may be reassessed to low risk. As the final risk ranking classifications in North Carolina are delineated, final closure plans and corrective action measures are developed and approved for each site, the closure work progresses, and the closure method scope and remedial action methods are determined, the complexity of work and the amount of coal combustion material could be different than originally estimated and, therefore, could materially impact Duke Energy Carolinas' financial position. See Note 9 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Asset Retirement Obligations," for additional information.

Duke Energy Carolinas is a party to multiple lawsuits and subject to fines and other penalties related to operations at certain North Carolina facilities with ash basins. The outcome of these lawsuits, fines and penalties could have an adverse impact on Duke Energy Carolinas' financial position, results of operations and cash flows. See Note 5 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Commitments and Contingencies," for additional information.

Duke Energy Carolinas filed a general rate case on August 25, 2017, to recover costs of complying with CCR regulations and the Coal Ash Act, as well as costs of capital investments in generation, transmission and distribution systems and any increase in expenditures subsequent to previous rate cases. Duke Energy Carolinas' earnings could be adversely impacted if the rate increase is delayed or denied by the NCUC.

Within this Item 7, see the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act above as well as Liquidity and Capital Resources below for risks associated with the Tax Act.

PART II

PROGRESS ENERGY

Introduction

Management's Discussion and Analysis should be read in conjunction with the accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015.

Basis of Presentation

The results of operations and variance discussion for Progress Energy is presented in a reduced disclosure format in accordance with General Instruction (I)(2)(a) of Form 10-K.

Results of Operations

| (in millions) | Years Ended December | | |
|---|----------------------|---------|----------|
| | 2017 | 2016 | Variance |
| Operating Revenues | \$9,783 | \$9,853 | \$ (70) |
| Operating Expenses | | | |
| Fuel used in electric generation and purchased power | 3,417 | 3,644 | (227) |
| Operation, maintenance and other | 2,220 | 2,386 | (166) |
| Depreciation and amortization | 1,285 | 1,213 | 72 |
| Property and other taxes | 503 | 487 | 16 |
| Impairment charges | 156 | 7 | 149 |
| Total operating expenses | 7,581 | 7,737 | (156) |
| Gains on Sales of Other Assets and Other, net | 26 | 25 | 1 |
| Operating Income | 2,228 | 2,141 | 87 |
| Other Income and Expenses, net | 128 | 114 | 14 |
| Interest Expense | 824 | 689 | 135 |
| Income From Continuing Operations Before Income Taxes | 1,532 | 1,566 | (34) |
| Income Tax Expense From Continuing Operations | 264 | 527 | (263) |
| Income from Continuing Operations | 1,268 | 1,039 | 229 |
| Income from Discontinued Operations, net of tax | — | 2 | (2) |
| Net Income | 1,268 | 1,041 | 227 |
| Less: Net Income Attributable to Noncontrolling Interests | 10 | 10 | — |
| Net Income Attributable to Parent | \$1,258 | \$1,031 | \$ 227 |

Year Ended December 31, 2017, as Compared to 2016

Operating Revenues. The variance was driven primarily by:

- a \$231 million decrease in fuel revenues primarily due to lower retail sales and changes in generation mix at Duke Energy Progress; and
- an \$87 million decrease in retail sales, net of fuel revenues, due to less favorable weather in the current year.

Partially offset by:

- a \$108 million increase in retail pricing primarily due to Duke Energy Florida's base rate adjustment for the Osprey Acquisition and the completion of the Hines Energy Complex Chiller Uprate Project, as well as the Duke Energy Progress South Carolina rate case;
- a \$76 million increase in rider revenues related to energy efficiency programs at Duke Energy Progress, as well as nuclear asset securitization beginning in July 2016 and extended uprate project revenues beginning in 2017 at Duke Energy Florida; and
- a \$51 million increase in weather-normal sales volumes to retail customers.

Operating Expenses. The variance was driven primarily by:

- a \$227 million decrease in fuel expense and purchased power primarily due to lower retail sales and changes in generation mix at Duke Energy Progress; and
- a \$166 million decrease in operations, maintenance and other expense primarily due to lower plant outage, storm restoration and labor costs.

PART II

Partially offset by:

a \$149 million increase in impairment charges primarily due to the write-off of remaining unrecovered Levy Nuclear Project costs in the current year at Duke Energy Florida and the disallowance from rate base of certain projects at the Mayo and Sutton plants in the current year at Duke Energy Progress related to the partial settlement in the North Carolina rate case; and

a \$72 million increase in depreciation and amortization expense primarily due to additional plant in service, as well as nuclear regulatory asset amortization at Duke Energy Florida.

Interest Expense. The variance was due to higher debt outstanding, as well as interest charges on North Carolina fuel over collections at Duke Energy Progress and lower debt returns driven by the CR3 regulatory asset debt return ending in June 2016 upon securitization at Duke Energy Florida.

Income Tax Expense. The variance was primarily due to the impact of the Tax Act. See the Subsidiary Registrants section above for additional information on the Tax Act and the impact on the effective tax rate.

Matters Impacting Future Results

An order from regulatory authorities disallowing recovery of costs related to closure of ash impoundments could have an adverse impact on Progress Energy's financial position, results of operations and cash flows. See Notes 4 and 9 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Regulatory Matters" and "Asset Retirement Obligations," respectively, for additional information.

On May 18, 2016, the NCDEQ issued proposed risk classifications for all coal ash surface impoundments in North Carolina. All ash impoundments not previously designated as high priority by the Coal Ash Act were designated as intermediate risk. Certain impoundments classified as intermediate risk, however, may be reassessed in the future as low risk pursuant to legislation enacted on July 14, 2016. Progress Energy's estimated AROs related to the closure of North Carolina ash impoundments are based upon the mandated closure method or a probability weighting of potential closure methods for the impoundments that may be reassessed to low risk. As the final risk ranking classifications in North Carolina are delineated, final closure plans and corrective action measures are developed and approved for each site, the closure work progresses, and the closure method scope and remedial action methods are determined, the complexity of work and the amount of coal combustion material could be different than originally estimated and, therefore, could materially impact Progress Energy's financial position. See Note 9 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Asset Retirement Obligations," for additional information.

Duke Energy Progress is a party to multiple lawsuits and subject to fines and other penalties related to operations at certain North Carolina facilities with ash basins. The outcome of these lawsuits, fines and penalties could have an adverse impact on Progress Energy's financial position, results of operations and cash flows. See Note 5 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Commitments and Contingencies," for additional information.

In the fourth quarter of 2016, Hurricane Matthew caused historic flooding, extensive damage and widespread power outages within the Duke Energy Progress service territory. Duke Energy Progress filed a petition with the North Carolina Utilities Commission (NCUC) requesting an accounting order to defer incremental operation and maintenance and capital costs incurred in response to Hurricane Matthew and other significant 2016 storms. The NCUC will address this request in Duke Energy Progress' currently pending rate case. A final order from the NCUC that disallows the deferral and future recovery of all or a significant portion of the incremental storm restoration costs incurred could result in an adverse impact on Electric Utilities and Infrastructure's financial position, results of operations and cash flows. See Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Regulatory Matters," for additional information.

Duke Energy Progress filed a general rate case with the NCUC on June 1, 2017. Duke Energy Progress will seek to recover costs of complying with CCR regulations and the Coal Ash Act, as well as costs of capital investments in generation, transmission and distribution systems and any increase in expenditures subsequent to previous rate cases. Progress Energy's earnings could be adversely impacted if the rate increase is delayed or denied by the NCUC.

On August 29, 2017, Duke Energy Florida filed the 2017 Settlement with the FPSC. On November 20, 2017, the FPSC issued an order to approve the 2017 Settlement. See Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Regulatory Matters," for additional information about the 2017 Settlement. In accordance with the 2017 Settlement,

Duke Energy Florida will not seek recovery of any costs associated with the ongoing Westinghouse contract litigation, which is currently being appealed. See Note 5 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, “Commitments and Contingencies” for additional information about the litigation. An unfavorable appeals ruling on that matter could have an adverse impact on Electric Utilities and Infrastructure’s financial position, results of operations and cash flows. Within this Item 7, see the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act above as well as Liquidity and Capital Resources below for risks associated with the Tax Act.

PART II

DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS

Introduction

Management's Discussion and Analysis should be read in conjunction with the accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015.

Basis of Presentation

The results of operations and variance discussion for Duke Energy Progress is presented in a reduced disclosure format in accordance with General Instruction (I)(2)(a) of Form 10-K.

Results of Operations

| (in millions) | Years Ended December | | |
|--|----------------------|---------|-----------|
| | 2017 | 2016 | Variance |
| Operating Revenues | \$5,129 | \$5,277 | \$ (148) |
| Operating Expenses | | | |
| Fuel used in electric generation and purchased power | 1,609 | 1,830 | (221) |
| Operation, maintenance and other | 1,389 | 1,504 | (115) |
| Depreciation and amortization | 725 | 703 | 22 |
| Property and other taxes | 156 | 156 | — |
| Impairment charges | 19 | 1 | 18 |
| Total operating expenses | 3,898 | 4,194 | (296) |
| Gains on Sales of Other Asset and Other, net | 4 | 3 | 1 |
| Operating Income | 1,235 | 1,086 | 149 |
| Other Income and Expenses, net | 65 | 71 | (6) |
| Interest Expense | 293 | 257 | 36 |
| Income Before Income Taxes | 1,007 | 900 | 107 |
| Income Tax Expense | 292 | 301 | (9) |
| Net Income | \$715 | \$599 | \$ 116 |

The following table shows the percent changes in GWh sales and average number of customers for Duke Energy Progress. The below percentages for retail customer classes represent billed sales only. Total sales includes billed and unbilled retail sales and wholesale sales to incorporated municipalities and to public and private utilities and power marketers. Amounts are not weather-normalized.

| Increase (Decrease) over prior year | 2017 | 2016 |
|-------------------------------------|---------|---------|
| Residential sales | (2.6)% | (1.5)% |
| General service sales | (1.3)% | 0.2 % |
| Industrial sales | 1.1 % | (0.1)% |
| Wholesale power sales | (2.9)% | 18.4 % |
| Joint dispatch sales | (17.1)% | 17.7 % |
| Total sales | (3.2)% | 6.4 % |
| Average number of customers | 1.4 % | 1.3 % |

Year Ended December 31, 2017, as Compared to 2016

Operating Revenues. The variance was driven primarily by:

- a \$238 million decrease in fuel revenues due to lower retail sales and changes in generation mix; and
- a \$37 million decrease in retail sales, net of fuel revenues, due to less favorable weather in the current year, partially offset by lower lost revenues related to hurricanes in the current year.

Partially offset by:

- a \$40 million increase in rider revenues primarily due to energy efficiency programs;
- a \$38 million increase in retail sales due to the South Carolina rate case; and
- a \$31 million increase in wholesale power revenues, net of fuel, primarily due to higher peak demand.

Operating Expenses. The variance was driven primarily by:

a \$221 million decrease in fuel used in electric generation and purchased power primarily due to lower retail sales and changes in generation mix; and

57

PART II

a \$115 million decrease in operation, maintenance and other expense primarily due to lower nuclear outage costs and lower storm restoration costs.

Partially offset by:

a \$22 million increase in depreciation and amortization expense primarily due to additional plant in service; and an \$18 million increase in impairment charges primarily due to the disallowance from rate base of certain projects at the Mayo and Sutton plants in the current year related to the partial settlement in the North Carolina rate case.

Interest Expense. The variance was due to higher debt outstanding, as well as interest charges on North Carolina fuel overcollections.

Income Tax Expense. The variance was primarily due to the impact of the Tax Act and lower North Carolina corporate tax rates, partially offset by an increase in pretax net income. See the Subsidiary Registrants section above for additional information on the Tax Act and the impact on the effective tax rate.

Matters Impacting Future Results

An order from regulatory authorities disallowing recovery of costs related to closure of ash impoundments could have an adverse impact on Duke Energy Progress' financial position, results of operations and cash flows. See Notes 4 and 9 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Regulatory Matters" and "Asset Retirement Obligations," respectively, for additional information.

On May 18, 2016, the NCDEQ issued proposed risk classifications for all coal ash surface impoundments in North Carolina. All ash impoundments not previously designated as high priority by the Coal Ash Act were designated as intermediate risk. Certain impoundments classified as intermediate risk, however, may be reassessed in the future as low risk pursuant to legislation enacted on July 14, 2016. Duke Energy Progress' estimated AROs related to the closure of North Carolina ash impoundments are based upon the mandated closure method or a probability weighting of potential closure methods for the impoundments that may be reassessed to low risk. As the final risk ranking classifications in North Carolina are delineated, final closure plans and corrective action measures are developed and approved for each site, the closure work progresses, and the closure method scope and remedial action methods are determined, the complexity of work and the amount of coal combustion material could be different than originally estimated and, therefore, could materially impact Duke Energy Progress' financial position. See Note 9 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Asset Retirement Obligations," for additional information.

Duke Energy Progress is a party to multiple lawsuits and subject to fines and other penalties related to operations at certain North Carolina facilities with ash basins. The outcome of these lawsuits, fines and penalties could have an adverse impact on Duke Energy Progress' financial position, results of operations and cash flows. See Note 5 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Commitments and Contingencies," for additional information.

In the fourth quarter of 2016, Hurricane Matthew caused historic flooding, extensive damage and widespread power outages within the Duke Energy Progress service territory. Duke Energy Progress filed a petition with the North Carolina Utilities Commission (NCUC) requesting an accounting order to defer incremental operation and maintenance and capital costs incurred in response to Hurricane Matthew and other significant 2016 storms. The NCUC will address this request in Duke Energy Progress' currently pending rate case. A final order from the NCUC that disallows the deferral and future recovery of all or a significant portion of the incremental storm restoration costs incurred could result in an adverse impact on Electric Utilities and Infrastructure's financial position, results of operations and cash flows. See Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Regulatory Matters," for additional information.

Duke Energy Progress filed a general rate case with the NCUC on June 1, 2017. Duke Energy Progress will seek to recover costs of complying with CCR regulations and the Coal Ash Act, as well as costs of capital investments in generation, transmission and distribution systems and any increase in expenditures subsequent to previous rate cases. Duke Energy Progress' earnings could be adversely impacted if the rate increase is delayed or denied by the NCUC. See Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Regulatory Matters," for additional information.

Within this Item 7, see the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act above as well as Liquidity and Capital Resources below for risks associated with the Tax Act.

PART II

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA

Introduction

Management's Discussion and Analysis should be read in conjunction with the accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015.

Basis of Presentation

The results of operations and variance discussion for Duke Energy Florida is presented in a reduced disclosure format in accordance with General Instruction (I)(2)(a) of Form 10-K.

Results of Operations

| (in millions) | Years Ended December | | |
|--|----------------------|---------|----------|
| | 2017 | 2016 | Variance |
| Operating Revenues | \$4,646 | \$4,568 | \$ 78 |
| Operating Expenses | | | |
| Fuel used in electric generation and purchased power | 1,808 | 1,814 | (6) |
| Operation, maintenance and other | 818 | 865 | (47) |
| Depreciation and amortization | 560 | 509 | 51 |
| Property and other taxes | 347 | 333 | 14 |
| Impairment charges | 138 | 6 | 132 |
| Total operating expenses | 3,671 | 3,527 | 144 |
| Gains on Sales of Other Asset and Other, net | 1 | — | 1 |
| Operating Income | 976 | 1,041 | (65) |
| Other Income and Expenses, net | 61 | 44 | 17 |
| Interest Expense | 279 | 212 | 67 |
| Income Before Income Taxes | 758 | 873 | (115) |
| Income Tax Expense | 46 | 322 | (276) |
| Net Income | \$712 | \$551 | \$ 161 |

The following table shows the percent changes in GWh sales and average number of customers for Duke Energy Florida. The below percentages for retail customer classes represent billed sales only. Wholesale power sales include both billed and unbilled sales. Total sales includes billed and unbilled retail sales and wholesale sales to incorporated municipalities and to public and private utilities and power marketers. Amounts are not weather-normalized.

| Increase (Decrease) over prior year | 2017 | 2016 |
|-------------------------------------|---------|---------|
| Residential sales | (2.3)% | 1.7 % |
| General service sales | (1.3)% | (0.1)% |
| Industrial sales | (2.4)% | (2.9)% |
| Wholesale power sales | 20.1 % | 35.2 % |
| Total sales | 0.5 % | 0.9 % |
| Average number of customers | 1.6 % | 1.5 % |

Year Ended December 31, 2017, as Compared to 2016

Operating Revenues. The variance was driven primarily by:

- a \$70 million increase in retail pricing primarily due to the base rate adjustment for the Osprey acquisition and the completion of the Hines Energy Complex Chiller Uprate Project;
- a \$45 million increase in weather-normal sales volumes to retail customers in the current year; and
- a \$36 million increase in rider revenues primarily due to nuclear asset securitization beginning in July 2016 and extended power uprate project revenues beginning in 2017.

Partially offset by:

- a \$50 million decrease in retail sales, net of fuel revenues, due to less favorable weather in the current year, including lost revenues related to Hurricane Irma; and
- a \$34 million decrease in wholesale power revenues primarily due to contracts that expired in the prior year.

PART II

Operating Expenses. The variance was driven primarily by:

- a \$132 million increase in impairment charges primarily due to the write-off of remaining unrecovered Levy Nuclear Project costs in the current year; and
- a \$51 million increase in depreciation and amortization expense primarily due to nuclear regulatory asset amortization, as well as additional plant in service.

Partially offset by:

- a \$47 million decrease in operations and maintenance expense primarily due to lower planned outage costs, lower severance expenses and lower employee benefit costs, partially offset by higher storm restoration costs in the current year.

Other Income and Expenses. The variance was primarily driven by higher AFUDC equity.

Interest Expense. The variance was primarily due to higher debt outstanding and lower debt returns driven by the Crystal River Unit 3 regulatory asset debt return ending in June 2016 upon securitization.

Income Tax Expense. The variance was primarily due to the impact of the Tax Act and lower pretax earnings. See the Subsidiary Registrants section above for additional information on the Tax Act and the impact on the effective tax rate.

Matters Impacting Future Results

On August 29, 2017, Duke Energy Florida filed the 2017 Settlement with the FPSC. On November 20, 2017, the FPSC issued an order to approve the 2017 Settlement. See Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, “Regulatory Matters,” for additional information about the 2017 Settlement. In accordance with the 2017 Settlement, Duke Energy Florida will not seek recovery of any costs associated with the ongoing Westinghouse contract litigation, which is currently being appealed. See Note 5 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, “Commitments and Contingencies” for additional information about the litigation. An unfavorable appeals ruling on that matter could have an adverse impact on Electric Utilities and Infrastructure’s financial position, results of operations and cash flows. Within this Item 7, see the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act above as well as Liquidity and Capital Resources below for risks associated with the Tax Act.

PART II

DUKE ENERGY OHIO

Introduction

Management's Discussion and Analysis should be read in conjunction with the accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015.

Basis of Presentation

The results of operations and variance discussion for Duke Energy Ohio is presented in a reduced disclosure format in accordance with General Instruction (I)(2)(a) of Form 10-K.

Results of Operations

| (in millions) | Years Ended December | | |
|---|----------------------|---------|----------|
| | 2017 | 2016 | Variance |
| Operating Revenues | | | |
| Regulated electric | \$1,373 | \$1,410 | \$ (37) |
| Nonregulated electric and other | 42 | 31 | 11 |
| Regulated natural gas | 508 | 503 | 5 |
| Total operating revenues | 1,923 | 1,944 | (21) |
| Operating Expenses | | | |
| Fuel used in electric generation and purchased power – regulated | 369 | 442 | (73) |
| Fuel used in electric generation and purchased power – nonregulated | 58 | 51 | 7 |
| Cost of natural gas | 107 | 103 | 4 |
| Operation, maintenance and other | 524 | 512 | 12 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 261 | 233 | 28 |
| Property and other taxes | 278 | 258 | 20 |
| Impairment charges | 1 | — | 1 |
| Total operating expenses | 1,598 | 1,599 | (1) |
| Gains on Sales of Other Assets and Other, net | 1 | 2 | (1) |
| Operating Income | 326 | 347 | (21) |
| Other Income and Expenses, net | 17 | 9 | 8 |
| Interest Expense | 91 | 86 | 5 |
| Income from Continuing Operations Before Income Taxes | 252 | 270 | (18) |
| Income Tax Expense from Continuing Operations | 59 | 78 | (19) |
| Income from Continuing Operations | 193 | 192 | 1 |
| (Loss) Income from Discontinued Operations, net of tax | (1) | 36 | (37) |
| Net Income | \$192 | \$228 | \$ (36) |

The following table shows the percent changes in GWh sales of electricity, dekatherms of natural gas delivered and average number of electric and natural gas customers for Duke Energy Ohio. The below percentages for retail customer classes represent billed sales only. Total sales includes billed and unbilled retail sales and wholesale sales to incorporated municipalities and to public and private utilities and power marketers. Amounts are not weather-normalized.

| Increase (Decrease) over prior year | Electric | | Natural Gas | |
|-------------------------------------|----------|---------|-------------|---------|
| | 2017 | 2016 | 2017 | 2016 |
| Residential sales | (4.0)% | 0.7 % | (2.6)% | (7.8)% |
| General service sales | (3.1)% | 1.3 % | 0.7 % | (3.6)% |
| Industrial sales | (2.7)% | (0.7)% | (2.8)% | (5.1)% |
| Wholesale electric power sales | 65.7 % | (53.9)% | n/a | n/a |
| Other natural gas sales | n/a | n/a | (0.3)% | 6.2 % |
| Total sales | (2.1)% | (1.1)% | (1.1)% | (3.1)% |
| Average number of customers | 0.8 % | 0.8 % | 0.7 % | 0.5 % |

Year Ended December 31, 2017, as Compared to 2016

Operating Revenues. The variance was driven primarily by:

- a \$69 million decrease in fuel revenues primarily due to lower electric fuel costs and a decrease in electric and natural gas sales volumes; and
- a \$16 million decrease in electric retail sales, net of fuel revenues, due to less favorable weather in the current year.

61

PART II

Partially offset by:

a \$38 million increase in rider revenues primarily due to growth in energy efficiency programs and a rate increase for the distribution capital investment rider, partially offset by a decrease in the percentage of income payment plan rider due to a rate decrease;

a \$10 million increase in PJM Interconnection, LLC (PJM) transmission revenues;

a \$9 million increase in other revenues related to OVEC; and

a \$6 million increase in non-native sales for resale.

Operating Expenses. The variance was driven by:

- a \$66 million decrease in fuel expense, primarily due to lower sales volumes and lower electric fuel costs.

Partially offset by:

a \$28 million increase in depreciation and amortization expense due to additional plant in service and a true-up related to SmartGrid assets in the prior year;

a \$20 million increase in property and other taxes due to higher property taxes; and

a \$12 million increase in operations, maintenance and other expense primarily due to higher energy efficiency program costs and higher transmission and distribution operations costs; partially offset by lower fossil/hydro operations costs due to timing of outage schedules.

Income Tax Expense. The variance was primarily due to the impact of the Tax Act. See the Subsidiary Registrants section above for additional information on the Tax Act and the impact on the effective tax rate.

Income from Discontinued Operations, Net of Tax. The variance was primarily driven by a prior year income tax benefit resulting from immaterial out of period deferred tax liability adjustments related to the Midwest Generation Disposal Group. See Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Acquisitions and Dispositions," for additional information.

Matters Impacting Future Results

An order from regulatory authorities disallowing recovery of costs related to closure of ash basins could have an adverse impact on Duke Energy Ohio's financial position, results of operations and cash flows. See Notes 4 and 9 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Regulatory Matters" and "Asset Retirement Obligations," respectively, for additional information.

Duke Energy Ohio's nonregulated Beckjord station, a facility retired during 2014, is not subject to the EPA rule related to the disposal of CCR from electric utilities. However, if costs are incurred as a result of environmental regulations or to mitigate risk associated with on-site storage of coal ash at the facility, the costs could have an adverse impact on Duke Energy Ohio's financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

Duke Energy Ohio has a 9 percent ownership interest in OVEC, which owns 2,256 MW of coal-fired generation capacity. As a counterparty to an ICPA, Duke Energy Ohio has a contractual arrangement to receive entitlements to capacity and energy from OVEC's power plants through June 2040 commensurate with its power participation ratio, which is equivalent to Duke Energy Ohio's ownership interest. Costs, including fuel, operating expenses, fixed costs, debt amortization and interest expense, are allocated to counterparties to the ICPA, including Duke Energy Ohio, based on their power participation ratio. The value of the ICPA is subject to variability due to fluctuations in power prices and changes in OVEC's costs of business. Deterioration in the credit quality or bankruptcy of one or more parties to the ICPA could increase the costs of OVEC. In addition, certain proposed environmental rulemaking costs could result in future increased cost allocations.

On March 2, 2017, Duke Energy Ohio filed an electric distribution base rate application with the PUCO to address recovery of electric distribution system capital investments and any increase in expenditures subsequent to previous rate cases. The application also includes requests to continue certain current riders and establish new riders related to LED Outdoor Lighting Service and regulatory mandates. Duke Energy Ohio's earnings could be adversely impacted if the rate case and requested riders are delayed or denied by the PUCO. See Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Regulatory Matters," for additional information.

On September 1, 2017, Duke Energy Kentucky filed a base rate case with the KPSC to recover costs of capital investments in generation, transmission and distribution systems and to recover other incremental expenses since its last rate case filed in 2006. The application also includes request to establish new riders. Duke Energy Kentucky's earnings could be adversely impacted if the rate increase is delayed or denied by the KPSC.

Within this Item 7, see the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act above as well as Liquidity and Capital Resources below for risks associated with the Tax Act.

PART II

DUKE ENERGY INDIANA

Introduction

Management's Discussion and Analysis should be read in conjunction with the accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015.

Basis of Presentation

The results of operations and variance discussion for Duke Energy Indiana is presented in a reduced disclosure format in accordance with General Instruction (I)(2)(a) of Form 10-K.

Results of Operations

| (in millions) | Years Ended December | | |
|--|----------------------|---------|----------|
| | 2017 | 2016 | Variance |
| Operating Revenues | \$3,047 | \$2,958 | \$ 89 |
| Operating Expenses | | | |
| Fuel used in electric generation and purchased power | 966 | 909 | 57 |
| Operation, maintenance and other | 733 | 723 | 10 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 458 | 496 | (38) |
| Property and other taxes | 76 | 58 | 18 |
| Impairment charges | 18 | 8 | 10 |
| Total operating expenses | 2,251 | 2,194 | 57 |
| Gains on Sales of Other Assets and Other, net | — | 1 | (1) |
| Operating Income | 796 | 765 | 31 |
| Other Income and Expenses, net | 37 | 22 | 15 |
| Interest Expense | 178 | 181 | (3) |
| Income Before Income Taxes | 655 | 606 | 49 |
| Income Tax Expense | 301 | 225 | 76 |
| Net Income | \$354 | \$381 | \$ (27) |

The following table shows the percent changes in GWh sales and average number of customers for Duke Energy Indiana. The below percentages for retail customer classes represent billed sales only. Total sales includes billed and unbilled retail sales and wholesale sales to incorporated municipalities and to public and private utilities and power marketers. Amounts are not weather-normalized.

| Increase (Decrease) over prior year | 2017 | 2016 |
|-------------------------------------|---------|---------|
| Residential sales | (3.8)% | (0.4)% |
| General service sales | (2.4)% | 0.7 % |
| Industrial sales | 0.3 % | 0.4 % |
| Wholesale power sales | (10.5)% | 10.8 % |
| Total sales | (3.6)% | 2.5 % |
| Average number of customers | 0.8 % | 1.1 % |

Year Ended December 31, 2017, as Compared to 2016

Operating Revenues. The variance was driven primarily by:

- a \$67 million increase in rate rider revenues primarily related to the Edwardsport IGCC plant, the Transmission, Distribution and Storage System Improvement Charge (TDSIC) and energy efficiency programs; and
- a \$48 million increase in fuel revenues primarily due to higher purchased power costs passed through to customers and higher financial transmission rights (FTR) revenues.

Partially offset by:

- a \$13 million decrease in retail sales due to less favorable weather in the current year; and
- a \$13 million decrease in wholesale power revenues, net of fuel, primarily due to a decrease in demand rates and contracts that expired in the current year.

Operating Expenses. The variance was driven primarily by:

- a \$57 million increase in fuel used in electric generation and purchased power expenses, primarily due to higher purchased power volumes, partially offset by favorable fuel prices;
- an \$18 million increase in property and other taxes primarily due to higher franchise taxes;

PART II

a \$10 million increase in operations, maintenance and other expense primarily due to growth in energy efficiency programs and higher transmission costs; and

a \$10 million increase in impairments and other charges primarily due to the impairment of certain metering equipment not recoverable in customer rates.

Partially offset by:

a \$38 million decrease in depreciation and amortization primarily due to the recognition of certain asset retirement obligations in 2016 that were subsequently deferred in 2017, partially offset by new IGCC rates that result in a lower deferral amount and higher depreciation due to additional plant in service.

Other Income and Expense. The variance was driven primarily by higher AFUDC equity.

Income Tax Expense. The variance was primarily due to the impact of the Tax Act and an increase in pretax income.

See the Subsidiary Registrants section above for additional information on the Tax Act and the impact on the effective tax rate.

Matters Impacting Future Results

On April 17, 2015, the EPA published in the Federal Register a rule to regulate the disposal of CCR from electric utilities as solid waste. Duke Energy Indiana has interpreted the rule to identify the coal ash basin sites impacted and has assessed the amounts of coal ash subject to the rule and a method of compliance. Duke Energy Indiana's interpretation of the requirements of the CCR rule is subject to potential legal challenges and further regulatory approvals, which could result in additional ash basin closure requirements, higher costs of compliance and greater AROs. Additionally, Duke Energy Indiana has retired facilities that are not subject to the CCR rule. Duke Energy Indiana may incur costs at these facilities to comply with environmental regulations or to mitigate risks associated with on-site storage of coal ash. An order from regulatory authorities disallowing recovery of costs related to closure of ash basins could have an adverse impact on Duke Energy Indiana's financial position, results of operations and cash flows. See Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Regulatory Matters," for additional information.

In August 2016, the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission (IURC) approved a settlement agreement between Duke Energy Indiana and multiple parties that resolves all disputes, claims and issues from the IURC proceedings related to post-commercial operating performance and recovery of ongoing operating and capital costs at the Edwardsport IGCC generating facility. The settlement agreement imposed a cost cap for retail recoverable operations and maintenance costs through 2017. An inability to manage future operating costs may result in unfavorable orders that could have an adverse impact on Duke Energy Indiana's financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

Within this Item 7, see the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act above as well as Liquidity and Capital Resources below for risks associated with the Tax Act.

PART II

PIEDMONT

Introduction

Management's Discussion and Analysis should be read in conjunction with the accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes for the year ended December 31, 2017, Piedmont's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended October 31, 2016, and the Form 10-QT as of December 31, 2016, for the transition period from November 1, 2016 to December 31, 2016. The unaudited results of operations for the year ended December 31, 2016, was derived from data previously reported in the reports noted above.

Basis of Presentation

The results of operations and variance discussion for Piedmont is presented in a reduced disclosure format in accordance with General Instruction (I)(2)(a) of Form 10-K.

Results of Operations

| (in millions) | Years Ended December | | |
|--|----------------------|---------|----------|
| | 31, 2017 | 2016 | Variance |
| Operating Revenues | | | |
| Regulated natural gas | \$1,319 | \$1,201 | \$ 118 |
| Nonregulated natural gas and other | 9 | 10 | (1) |
| Total operating revenues | 1,328 | 1,211 | 117 |
| Operating Expenses | | | |
| Cost of natural gas | 524 | 451 | 73 |
| Operation, maintenance and other | 315 | 353 | (38) |
| Depreciation and amortization | 148 | 138 | 10 |
| Property and other taxes | 48 | 43 | 5 |
| Impairment charges | 7 | — | 7 |
| Total operating expenses | 1,042 | 985 | 57 |
| Operating Income | 286 | 226 | 60 |
| Equity in (losses) earnings of unconsolidated affiliates | (6) | 26 | (32) |
| Gain on sale of unconsolidated affiliates | — | 132 | (132) |
| Other income and expenses, net | — | 1 | (1) |
| Total other income and expenses | (6) | 159 | (165) |
| Interest Expense | 79 | 69 | 10 |
| Income Before Income Taxes | 201 | 316 | (115) |
| Income Tax Expense | 62 | 121 | (59) |
| Net Income | \$139 | \$195 | \$ (56) |

The following table shows the percent changes in dekatherms delivered and average number of customers. The percentages for all throughput deliveries represent billed and unbilled sales. Amounts are not weather-normalized.

| Increase (Decrease) over prior year | 2017 | 2016 |
|-------------------------------------|---------|---------|
| Residential deliveries | (8.1)% | (0.8)% |
| Commercial deliveries | (4.3)% | 1.6 % |
| Industrial deliveries | (2.2)% | 0.5 % |
| Power generation deliveries | (5.8)% | 10.7 % |
| For resale | (20.9)% | 1.3 % |
| Total throughput deliveries | (5.4)% | 6.3 % |
| Secondary market volumes | (4.2)% | 120.6 % |
| Average number of customers | 1.7 % | 1.6 % |

Piedmont's throughput was 468,259,777 dekatherms and 495,122,794 dekatherms for the years ended December 31, 2017, and 2016, respectively. Due to the margin decoupling mechanism in North Carolina and weather normalization adjustment (WNA) mechanisms in South Carolina and Tennessee, changes in throughput deliveries do

not have a material impact on Piedmont's revenues or earnings. The margin decoupling mechanism adjusts for variations in residential and commercial use per customer, including those due to weather and conservation. The WNA mechanisms mostly offset the impact of weather on bills rendered, but do not ensure full recovery of approved margin during periods when winter weather is significantly warmer or colder than normal.

PART II

Year Ended December 31, 2017, as Compared to 2016

Operating Revenues. The variance was driven primarily by:

- a \$74 million increase due to higher natural gas costs passed through to customers primarily due to higher natural gas prices;
- a \$34 million increase in revenues to residential and commercial customers, net of natural gas costs passed through to customers, primarily due to Integrity Management Rider (IMR) rate adjustments and customer growth. Increase is also due to new power generation customers, and is partially offset by wholesale marketing revenue; and
- a \$10 million increase in revenues due to merger-related bill credits applied to customer bills in 2016.

Operating Expenses. The variance was driven by:

- a \$73 million increase in costs of natural gas primarily due to higher natural gas costs passed through to customers due to the higher price per dekatherm of natural gas;
- a \$15 million increase in depreciation expense and property and franchise taxes due to additional plant in service; and
- a \$7 million increase due to an impairment of software resulting from planned accounting system and process integration in 2018.

Partially offset by:

- a \$38 million decrease in operations, maintenance and other related to acquisition and integration expenses recorded in the prior year from costs paid to outside parties, primarily financial and legal advisory, severance expenses, retention costs and acceleration of incentive plans, and an accrual for our commitment of charitable contributions and community support.

Other Income and Expense. The variance was driven by:

- a \$132 million decrease in gain on sale of unconsolidated affiliates recorded in the prior year due to Piedmont's sale of its 15 percent ownership interest in SouthStar Energy Services, LLC (SouthStar) on October 3, 2016; and
- a \$32 million decrease in equity in (losses) earnings of unconsolidated affiliates primarily due to equity earnings from the investment in SouthStar in the prior year and the impacts of the Tax Act in the current year.

Income Tax Expense. The variance was primarily due to a decrease in pretax income and the impact of the Tax Act. See the Subsidiary Registrants section above for additional information on the Tax Act and the impact on the effective tax rate.

Matters Impacting Future Results

Within this Item 7, see the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act above as well as Liquidity and Capital Resources below for risks associated with the Tax Act.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES

Preparation of financial statements requires the application of accounting policies, judgments, assumptions and estimates that can significantly affect the reported results of operations, cash flows or the amounts of assets and liabilities recognized in the financial statements. Judgments made include the likelihood of success of particular projects, possible legal and regulatory challenges, earnings assumptions on pension and other benefit fund investments and anticipated recovery of costs, especially through regulated operations.

Management discusses these policies, estimates and assumptions with senior members of management on a regular basis and provides periodic updates on management decisions to the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors. Management believes the areas described below require significant judgment in the application of accounting policy or in making estimates and assumptions that are inherently uncertain and that may change in subsequent periods. For further information, see Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Summary of Significant Accounting Policies."

Regulated Operations Accounting

Substantially all of Duke Energy's regulated operations meet the criteria for application of regulated operations accounting treatment. As a result, Duke Energy is required to record assets and liabilities that would not be recorded for nonregulated entities. Regulatory assets generally represent incurred costs that have been deferred because such costs are probable of future recovery in customer rates. Regulatory liabilities are recorded when it is probable that a regulator will require Duke Energy to make refunds to customers or reduce rates to customers for previous collections

or deferred revenue for costs that have yet to be incurred.

Management continually assesses whether recorded regulatory assets are probable of future recovery by considering factors such as applicable regulatory environment changes, historical regulatory treatment for similar costs in Duke Energy's jurisdictions, litigation of rate orders, recent rate orders to other regulated entities, levels of actual return on equity compared to approved rates of return on equity and the status of any pending or potential deregulation legislation. If future recovery of costs ceases to be probable, asset write-offs would be recognized in operating income. Additionally, regulatory agencies can provide flexibility in the manner and timing of the depreciation of property, plant and equipment, recognition of asset retirement costs and amortization of regulatory assets, or may disallow recovery of all or a portion of certain assets. For further information on regulatory assets and liabilities, see Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Regulatory Matters."

PART II

As required by regulated operations accounting rules, significant judgment can be required to determine if an otherwise recognizable incurred cost, such as closure costs for ash impoundments, qualifies to be deferred for future recovery as a regulatory asset. Significant judgment can also be required to determine if revenues previously recognized are for entity specific costs that are no longer expected to be incurred or have not yet been incurred and are therefore a regulatory liability. See Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Regulatory Matters," for a more in-depth discussion of Regulatory Assets and Liabilities.

Regulated operations accounting rules also require recognition of a disallowance (also called "impairment") loss if it becomes probable that part of the cost of a plant under construction (or a recently completed or an abandoned plant) will be disallowed for ratemaking purposes and a reasonable estimate of the amount of the disallowance can be made. For example, if a cost cap is set for a plant still under construction, the amount of the disallowance is a result of a judgment as to the ultimate cost of the plant. Other disallowances can require judgments on allowed future rate recovery. See Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Regulatory Matters," for a discussion of disallowances recorded.

When it becomes probable that regulated assets will be abandoned, the cost of the asset is removed from plant in service. The value that may be retained as a regulatory asset on the balance sheet for the abandoned property is dependent upon amounts that may be recovered through regulated rates, including any return. As such, an impairment charge, if any, could be partially or fully offset by the establishment of a regulatory asset if rate recovery is probable. The impairment for a disallowance of costs for regulated plants under construction, recently completed or abandoned is based on discounted cash flows.

For further information, see Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Regulatory Matters."

Goodwill Impairment Assessments

Duke Energy allocates goodwill to reporting units, which are either the Business Segments listed in Note 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements or one level below based on how the Business Segment is managed. Duke Energy is required to test goodwill for impairment at least annually and more frequently if it is more likely than not that the fair value is less than the carrying value. Duke Energy performs its annual impairment test as of August 31.

Application of the goodwill impairment test requires management's judgment, including determining the fair value of the reporting unit, which management estimates using a weighted combination of the income approach, which estimates fair value based on discounted cash flows, and the market approach, which estimates fair value based on market comparables within the utility and energy industries. Significant assumptions used in these fair value analyses include discount and growth rates, future rates of return expected to result from ongoing rate regulation, utility sector market performance and transactions, forecasted earnings base, projected operating and capital cash flows for Duke Energy's business and the fair value of debt.

Estimated future cash flows under the income approach are based to a large extent on Duke Energy's internal business plan, and adjusted as appropriate for Duke Energy's views of market participant assumptions. Duke Energy's internal business plan reflects management's assumptions related to customer usage and attrition based on internal data and economic data obtained from third-party sources, projected commodity pricing data and potential changes in environmental regulations. The business plan assumes the occurrence of certain events in the future, such as the outcome of future rate filings, future approved rates of returns on equity, anticipated earnings/returns related to significant future capital investments, continued recovery of cost of service, the renewal of certain contracts and the future of renewable tax credits. Management also makes assumptions regarding operation, maintenance and general and administrative costs based on the expected outcome of the aforementioned events. In estimating cash flows, Duke Energy incorporates expected growth rates, regulatory and economic stability, the ability to renew contracts and other factors, into its revenue and expense forecasts.

One of the most significant assumptions that Duke Energy utilizes in determining the fair value of its reporting units under the income approach is the discount rate applied to the estimated future cash flows. Management determines the appropriate discount rate for each of its reporting units based on the weighted average cost of capital (WACC) for each individual reporting unit. The WACC takes into account both the after-tax cost of debt and cost of equity. A major component of the cost of equity is the current risk-free rate on 20-year U.S. Treasury bonds. In the 2017

impairment tests, Duke Energy considered implied WACCs for certain peer companies in determining the appropriate WACC rates to use in its analysis. As each reporting unit has a different risk profile based on the nature of its operations, including factors such as regulation, the WACC for each reporting unit may differ. Accordingly, the WACCs were adjusted, as appropriate, to account for company specific risk premiums. The discount rates used for calculating the fair values as of August 31, 2017, for each of Duke Energy's reporting units ranged from 5.3 percent to 6.7 percent. The underlying assumptions and estimates are made as of a point in time. Subsequent changes, particularly changes in the discount rates, authorized regulated rates of return or growth rates inherent in management's estimates of future cash flows, could result in future impairment charges.

One of the most significant assumptions utilized in determining the fair value of reporting units under the market approach is implied market multiples for certain peer companies. Management selects comparable peers based on each peer's primary business mix, operations, and market capitalization compared to the applicable reporting unit and calculates implied market multiples based on available projected earnings guidance and peer company market values as of August 31.

In December 2016, Duke Energy disposed of its International operations and no longer has goodwill associated with the International operations. For further information, see Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Acquisitions and Dispositions."

Duke Energy primarily operates in environments that are rate-regulated. In such environments, revenue requirements are adjusted periodically by regulators based on factors including levels of costs, sales volumes and costs of capital. Accordingly, Duke Energy's regulated utilities operate to some degree with a buffer from the direct effects, positive or negative, of significant swings in market or economic conditions. However, significant changes in discount rates over a prolonged period may have a material impact on the fair value of equity.

As of August 31, 2017, all of the reporting units' estimated fair value of equity substantially exceeded the carrying value of equity, except for the Commercial Renewables reporting units. The goodwill at the Energy Management Solutions reporting unit of Commercial Renewables was evaluated for recoverability in 2017, and Duke Energy recorded impairment charges of \$29 million.

PART II

The Commercial Renewables reporting units are impacted by a multitude of factors including, legislative actions related to tax credit extensions, long-term growth rate assumptions and discount rates. As of August 31, 2017, the Renewables reporting unit's estimated fair value of equity exceeded the carrying value of equity by less than 10 percent. Management continues to monitor these assumptions for any indicators that the fair value of the reporting unit could be below the carrying value and will assess goodwill for impairment as appropriate.

For further information, see Note 11 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Goodwill and Intangible Assets." Asset Retirement Obligations

AROs are recognized for legal obligations associated with the retirement of property, plant and equipment. Substantially all AROs are related to regulated operations. When recording an ARO, the present value of the projected liability is recognized in the period in which it is incurred, if a reasonable estimate of fair value can be made. The liability is accreted over time. For operating plants, the present value of the liability is added to the cost of the associated asset and depreciated over the remaining life of the asset. For retired plants, the present value of the liability is recorded as a regulatory asset unless determined not to be recoverable.

The present value of the initial obligation and subsequent updates are based on discounted cash flows, which include estimates regarding timing of future cash flows, selection of discount rates and cost escalation rates, among other factors. These estimates are subject to change. Depreciation expense is adjusted prospectively for any changes to the carrying amount of the associated asset. The Duke Energy Registrants receive amounts to fund the cost of the ARO for regulated operations through a combination of regulated revenues and earnings on the nuclear decommissioning trust fund (NDTF). As a result, accretion expense and depreciation of the associated ARO asset are netted and deferred as a regulatory asset or liability.

Obligations for nuclear decommissioning are based on site-specific cost studies. Duke Energy Carolinas and Duke Energy Progress assume prompt dismantlement of the nuclear facilities after operations are ceased. Duke Energy Florida assumes Crystal River Unit 3 will be placed into a safe storage configuration until eventual dismantlement is completed by 2074. Duke Energy Carolinas, Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Florida also assume that spent fuel will be stored on-site until such time that it can be transferred to a yet to be built U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) facility.

Obligations for closure of ash basins are based upon discounted cash flows of estimated costs for site-specific plans, if known, or probability weightings of the potential closure methods if the closure plans are under development and multiple closure options are being considered and evaluated on a site-by-site basis.

For further information, see Note 9 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Asset Retirement Obligations."

Long-Lived Asset Impairment Assessments, Excluding Regulated Operations, and Equity Method Investments Property, plant and equipment, excluding plant held for sale, is stated at the lower of carrying value (historical cost less accumulated depreciation and previously recorded impairments) or fair value, if impaired. Duke Energy evaluates property, plant and equipment for impairment when events or changes in circumstances (such as a significant change in cash flow projections or the determination that it is more likely than not that an asset or asset group will be sold) indicate the carrying value of such assets may not be recoverable. The determination of whether an impairment has occurred is based on an estimate of undiscounted future cash flows attributable to the assets, as compared with their carrying value.

Performing an impairment evaluation involves a significant degree of estimation and judgment in areas such as identifying circumstances that indicate an impairment may exist, identifying and grouping affected assets and developing the undiscounted future cash flows. If an impairment has occurred, the amount of the impairment recognized is determined by estimating the fair value and recording a loss if the carrying value is greater than the fair value. Additionally, determining fair value requires probability weighting future cash flows to reflect expectations about possible variations in their amounts or timing and the selection of an appropriate discount rate. Although cash flow estimates are based on relevant information available at the time the estimates are made, estimates of future cash flows are, by nature, highly uncertain and may vary significantly from actual results.

When determining whether an asset or asset group has been impaired, management groups assets at the lowest level that has discrete cash flows.

Investments in affiliates that are not controlled by Duke Energy, but over which it has significant influence, are accounted for using the equity method. Equity method investments are assessed for impairment when conditions exist that indicate that the fair value of the investment is less than book value. If the decline in value is considered to be other than temporary, the investment is written down to its estimated fair value, which establishes a new cost basis in the investment.

For further information, see Notes 10 and 12 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Property, Plant and Equipment" and "Investments in Unconsolidated Affiliates," respectively.

Revenue Recognition

Revenues on sales of electricity and natural gas are recognized when service is provided or the product is delivered. As retail meters are read, invoices are prepared and the invoice amount is generally recognized as "billed" revenue. Operating revenues also include "unbilled" electric and natural gas revenues for the amount of service provided or product delivered after the last meter reading prior to the end of the accounting period. Unbilled retail revenues are estimated by applying an average revenue per kilowatt-hour (kWh), per thousand cubic feet (Mcf) or per dekatherm (dth) for all customer classes to the number of estimated kWh, Mcf or dth delivered but not yet billed.

For wholesale customers, the invoice amount is generally recognized as "billed" revenue. Although meters are read as of the end of the month, invoices have typically not been prepared. An estimate of the wholesale invoice is included in the reported amount of "unbilled" revenue.

PART II

The amount of unbilled revenues can vary significantly from period to period as a result of numerous factors that impact the change in the unbilled revenue receivable balance, including seasonality, weather, customer usage patterns, customer mix, timing of rendering customer bills, meter readings schedules and the average price in effect for customer classes.

Pension and Other Post-Retirement Benefits

The calculation of pension expense, other post-retirement benefit expense and net pension and other post-retirement assets or liabilities require the use of assumptions and election of permissible accounting alternatives. Changes in assumptions can result in different expense and reported asset or liability amounts and future actual experience can differ from the assumptions. Duke Energy believes the most critical assumptions for pension and other post-retirement benefits are the expected long-term rate of return on plan assets and the assumed discount rate applied to future projected benefit payments. Additionally, the health care cost trend rate assumption is critical to Duke Energy's estimate of other post-retirement benefits.

Duke Energy elects to amortize net actuarial gain or loss amounts that are in excess of 10 percent of the greater of the market-related value of plan assets or the plan's projected benefit obligation, into net pension or other post-retirement benefit expense over the average remaining service period of active participants expected to benefit under the plan. If all or almost all of a plan's participants are inactive, the average remaining life expectancy of the inactive participants is used instead of average remaining service period. Prior service cost or credit, which represents an increase or decrease in a plan's pension benefit obligation resulting from plan amendment, is amortized on a straight-line basis over the average expected remaining service period of active participants expected to benefit under the plan. If all or almost all of a plan's participants are inactive, the average remaining life expectancy of the inactive participants is used instead of average remaining service period.

Duke Energy maintains and the Subsidiary Registrants participate in, qualified, non-contributory defined benefit retirement plans. Most participants in the qualified plans earn benefits calculated using a cash balance formula. Under a cash balance formula, a plan participant accumulates a retirement benefit consisting of pay credits based upon a percentage, which varies with age and years of service, of current eligible earnings and current interest credits. Certain plan participants earn benefits that use a final average earnings formula. Certain executives are participants in non-qualified, non-contributory defined benefit retirement plans. These qualified and non-qualified, non-contributory defined benefit plans are closed to new participants.

Duke Energy provides some health care and life insurance benefits for retired employees on a contributory and non-contributory basis. Certain employees are eligible for these benefits if they have met age and service requirements at retirement, as defined in the plans.

Assets for Duke Energy's qualified pension and other post-retirement benefits (401(h) accounts) are maintained in the Duke Energy Master Retirement Trust (Master Trust). Duke Energy also invests other post-retirement assets in Voluntary Employees' Beneficiary Association trusts. The investment objective is to achieve sufficient returns, subject to a prudent level of portfolio risk, for the purpose of promoting the security of plan benefits for participants.

As of December 31, 2017, Duke Energy assumes pension and other post-retirement plan assets will generate a long-term rate of return of 6.50 percent. The expected long-term rate of return was developed using a weighted average calculation of expected returns based primarily on future expected returns across asset classes considering the use of active asset managers, where applicable. The asset allocation targets were set after considering the investment objective and the risk profile. Equity securities are held for their higher expected returns. Debt securities are primarily held to hedge the qualified pension liability. Hedge funds, real estate and other global securities are held for diversification. Investments within asset classes are diversified to achieve broad market participation and reduce the impact of individual managers on investments.

In 2013, Duke Energy adopted a de-risking investment strategy for the Master Trust. As the funded status of the pension plans increase, the targeted allocation to fixed-income assets may be increased to better manage Duke Energy's pension liability and reduce funded status volatility. The asset allocation for the Master Trust is 63 percent fixed-income assets and 37 percent return-seeking assets. Duke Energy regularly reviews its actual asset allocation and periodically rebalances its investments to the targeted allocations when considered appropriate.

Duke Energy discounted its future U.S. pension and other post-retirement obligations using a rate of 3.6 percent as of December 31, 2017. Discount rates used to measure benefit plan obligations for financial reporting purposes reflect rates at which pension benefits could be effectively settled. As of December 31, 2017, Duke Energy determined its discount rate for U.S. pension and other post-retirement obligations using a bond selection-settlement portfolio approach. This approach develops a discount rate by selecting a portfolio of high quality corporate bonds that generate sufficient cash flow to provide for projected benefit payments of the plan. The selected bond portfolio is derived from a universe of non-callable corporate bonds rated Aa quality or higher. After the bond portfolio is selected, a single interest rate is determined that equates the present value of the plan's projected benefit payments discounted at this rate with the market value of the bonds selected.

Future changes in plan asset returns, assumed discount rates and various other factors related to the participants in Duke Energy's pension and post-retirement plans will impact future pension expense and liabilities. Duke Energy cannot predict with certainty what these factors will be in the future. The following table presents the approximate effect on Duke Energy's 2017 pretax pension expense, pretax other post-retirement expense, pension obligation and other post-retirement benefit obligation if a 0.25 percent change in rates were to occur.

| | Qualified and Non- Qualified Pension Plans | | Other Post-Retirement Plans | |
|---|---|---------|-----------------------------------|---------|
| (in millions) | 0.25 % | (0.25)% | 0.25 % | (0.25)% |
| Effect on 2017 pretax pension and other post-retirement expense | | | | |
| Expected long-term rate of return | \$(21) | \$21 | \$(1) | \$1 |
| Discount rate | (17) | 19 | (1) | 1 |
| Effect on pension and other post-retirement benefit obligation at December 31, 2017 | | | | |
| Discount rate | (223) | 229 | (17) | 17 |

PART II

Duke Energy's other post-retirement plan uses a health care trend rate covering both pre- and post-age 65 retired plan participants, which is comprised of a medical care trend rate, which reflects the near- and long-term expectation of increases in medical costs, and a prescription drug trend rate, which reflects the near- and long-term expectation of increases in prescription drug costs. As of December 31, 2017, the health care trend rate was 7 percent, trending down to 4.75 percent by 2024. The following table presents the approximate effect on Duke Energy's 2017 pretax other post-retirement expense and other post-retirement benefit obligation if a 1 percentage point change in the health care trend rate were to occur. These plans are closed to new hires.

| (in millions) | Other Post-Retirement Plans | |
|---|-----------------------------------|---------|
| | 1 % | (1)% |
| Effect on 2017 other post-retirement expense | \$ 5 | \$ (4) |
| Effect on other post-retirement benefit obligation at December 31, 2017 | 27 | (24) |

For further information, see Note 21 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Employee Benefit Plans."
Income Taxes

Duke Energy and its subsidiaries file a consolidated federal income tax return and other state returns. The Subsidiary Registrants entered into a tax-sharing agreement with Duke Energy. Income taxes recorded represent amounts the Subsidiary Registrants would incur as separate C-Corporations. Deferred income taxes have been provided for temporary differences between GAAP and tax bases of assets and liabilities because the differences create taxable or tax-deductible amounts for future periods. ITCs associated with regulated operations are deferred and amortized as a reduction of income tax expense over the estimated useful lives of the related properties.

Accumulated deferred income taxes are valued using the enacted tax rate expected to apply to taxable income in the periods in which the deferred tax asset or liability is expected to be settled or realized. In the event of a change in tax rates, deferred tax assets and liabilities are remeasured as of the enactment date of the new rate. To the extent that the change in the value of the deferred tax represents an obligation to customers, the impact of the remeasurement is deferred to a regulatory liability. Remaining impacts are recorded in income from continuing operations. Other impacts of the Tax Act have been recorded on a provisional basis, see Note 22, "Income Taxes," for additional information. If Duke Energy's estimate of the tax effect of reversing temporary differences is not reflective of actual outcomes, is modified to reflect new developments or interpretations of the tax law, revised to incorporate new accounting principles, or changes in the expected timing or manner of the reversal then Duke Energy's results of operations could be impacted.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Sources and Uses of Cash

Duke Energy relies primarily upon cash flows from operations, debt and equity issuances and its existing cash and cash equivalents to fund its liquidity and capital requirements. Duke Energy's capital requirements arise primarily from capital and investment expenditures, repaying long-term debt and paying dividends to shareholders. Duke Energy's projected primary sources and uses for the next three fiscal years are included in the table below.

| (in millions) | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 |
|---|-----------|-----------|----------|
| Uses: | | | |
| Capital expenditures | \$ 10,950 | \$ 10,975 | \$ 9,050 |
| Debt maturities and reduction in short-term debt ^(a) | 3,135 | 3,500 | 2,850 |
| Dividend payments ^(b) | 2,575 | 2,750 | 2,875 |
| Sources: | | | |
| Net cash flows from operations | \$ 7,945 | \$ 9,150 | \$ 9,390 |
| Debt issuances and increase in short-term debt ^(c) | 6,000 | 7,100 | 3,050 |
| Equity issuances ^(d) | 2,000 | 350 | 350 |

(a) Excludes capital leases. Duke Energy projects a reduction in short-term debt in 2020.

(b) Subject to approval by the Board of Directors.

(c) Duke Energy projects an increase in short-term debt in 2018 and 2019.

2018 equity issuances to be achieved through a public offering and through issuances under the Equity Distribution

(d) Agreement and the Dividend Reinvestment Program (DRIP). See Note 18 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Common Stock" for additional information.

PART II

Among other provisions, the Tax Act lowers the corporate federal income tax rate from 35 percent to 21 percent and eliminates bonus depreciation for regulated utilities. For Duke Energy's regulated operations, the reduction in federal income taxes is expected to result in lower regulated customer rates. However, due to its existing NOL (Net operating loss) position and other tax credits, Duke Energy does not expect to be a significant federal cash tax payer through at least 2022. As a result, any reduction in customer rates could cause a material reduction in consolidated cash flows from operations in the short-term. Over time, the reduction in deferred tax liabilities resulting from the Tax Act will increase Duke Energy's regulated rate base investments and customer rates. See the Credit Ratings section below for additional information on the impact of the Tax Act on the Duke Energy Registrants' credit ratings. Impacts of Tax Act to Duke Energy's cash flows and credit metrics are subject to the regulatory actions of its state commissions and the FERC, which are currently pending. See Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Regulatory Matters," for additional information.

In order to strengthen its balance sheet and credit metrics and bolster cash flows, Duke Energy plans to issue \$2 billion of common stock equity during 2018, including its previous plan to issue \$350 million annually through its DRIP beginning in 2018, as well as reduce its capital expenditures during 2018-2022 by approximately \$1 billion. The Subsidiary Registrants generally maintain minimal cash balances and use short-term borrowings to meet their working capital needs and other cash requirements. The Subsidiary Registrants, excluding Progress Energy, support their short-term borrowing needs through participation with Duke Energy and certain of its other subsidiaries in a money pool arrangement. The companies with short-term funds may provide short-term loans to affiliates participating under this arrangement. See Note 6 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Debt and Credit Facilities," for additional discussion of the money pool arrangement.

Duke Energy and the Subsidiary Registrants, excluding Progress Energy, may also use short-term debt, including commercial paper and the money pool, as a bridge to long-term debt financings. The levels of borrowing may vary significantly over the course of the year due to the timing of long-term debt financings and the impact of fluctuations in cash flows from operations. From time to time, Duke Energy's current liabilities exceed current assets resulting from the use of short-term debt as a funding source to meet scheduled maturities of long-term debt, as well as cash needs, which can fluctuate due to the seasonality of its businesses.

Credit Facilities and Registration Statements

See Note 6 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Debt and Credit Facilities," for further information regarding credit facilities and shelf registration statements available to Duke Energy and the Duke Energy Registrants.

CAPITAL EXPENDITURES

Duke Energy continues to focus on reducing risk and positioning its business for future success and will invest principally in its strongest business sectors. Duke Energy's projected capital and investment expenditures for the next three fiscal years are included in the table below.

| (in millions) | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 |
|---|----------|----------|---------|
| New generation | \$780 | \$260 | \$135 |
| Regulated renewables | 155 | 415 | 365 |
| Environmental | 610 | 35 | 30 |
| Nuclear fuel | 500 | 410 | 455 |
| Major nuclear | 390 | 335 | 230 |
| Customer additions | 490 | 485 | 515 |
| Grid modernization and other transmission and distribution projects | 2,585 | 3,515 | 3,415 |
| Maintenance and other | 2,665 | 2,445 | 2,230 |
| Total Electric Utilities and Infrastructure | 8,175 | 7,900 | 7,375 |
| Gas Utilities and Infrastructure | 2,350 | 2,275 | 950 |
| Commercial Renewables and Other | 425 | 800 | 725 |
| Total projected capital and investment expenditures | \$10,950 | \$10,975 | \$9,050 |

DEBT MATURITIES

See Note 6 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Debt and Credit Facilities," for further information regarding significant components of Current Maturities of Long-Term Debt on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

DIVIDEND PAYMENTS

In 2017, Duke Energy paid quarterly cash dividends for the 91st consecutive year and expects to continue its policy of paying regular cash dividends in the future. There is no assurance as to the amount of future dividends because they depend on future earnings, capital requirements, financial condition and are subject to the discretion of the Board of Directors.

Duke Energy targets a dividend payout ratio of between 70 percent and 75 percent, based upon adjusted diluted EPS.

In 2016 and 2017, Duke Energy increased the dividend by approximately 4 percent annually. Through 2022, the annual dividend growth rate is expected to be between approximately 4 to 6 percent.

PART II

Dividend and Other Funding Restrictions of Duke Energy Subsidiaries

As discussed in Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Regulatory Matters," Duke Energy's wholly owned public utility operating companies have restrictions on the amount of funds that can be transferred to Duke Energy through dividends, advances or loans as a result of conditions imposed by various regulators in conjunction with merger transactions. Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Florida also have restrictions imposed by their first mortgage bond indentures and Articles of Incorporation, which, in certain circumstances, limit their ability to make cash dividends or distributions on common stock. Additionally, certain other Duke Energy subsidiaries have other restrictions, such as minimum working capital and tangible net worth requirements pursuant to debt and other agreements that limit the amount of funds that can be transferred to Duke Energy. At December 31, 2017, the amount of restricted net assets of wholly owned subsidiaries of Duke Energy that may not be distributed to Duke Energy in the form of a loan or dividend is less than 25 percent of Duke Energy's net assets. Duke Energy does not have any legal or other restrictions on paying common stock dividends to shareholders out of its consolidated equity accounts. Although these restrictions cap the amount of funding the various operating subsidiaries can provide to Duke Energy, management does not believe these restrictions will have a significant impact on Duke Energy's ability to access cash to meet its payment of dividends on common stock and other future funding obligations.

CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES

Cash flows from operations of Electric Utilities and Infrastructure and Gas Utilities and Infrastructure are primarily driven by sales of electricity and natural gas, respectively, and costs of operations. These cash flows from operations are relatively stable and comprise a substantial portion of Duke Energy's operating cash flows. Weather conditions, working capital and commodity price fluctuations and unanticipated expenses including unplanned plant outages, storms, legal costs and related settlements can affect the timing and level of cash flows from operations.

Duke Energy believes it has sufficient liquidity resources through the commercial paper markets, and ultimately, the Master Credit Facility, to support these operations. Cash flows from operations are subject to a number of other factors, including, but not limited to, regulatory constraints, economic trends and market volatility (see Item 1A, "Risk Factors," for additional information).

At December 31, 2017, Duke Energy had cash and cash equivalents and short-term investments of \$358 million.

DEBT ISSUANCES

Depending on availability based on the issuing entity, the credit rating of the issuing entity, and market conditions, the Subsidiary Registrants prefer to issue first mortgage bonds and secured debt, followed by unsecured debt. This preference is the result of generally higher credit ratings for first mortgage bonds and secured debt, which typically result in lower interest costs. Duke Energy Corporation primarily issues unsecured debt.

See to Note 6 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Debt and Credit Facilities," for further information regarding significant debt issuances.

Duke Energy's capitalization is balanced between debt and equity as shown in the table below.

| | Projected 2018 | Actual 2017 | Actual 2016 |
|--------|----------------|-------------|-------------|
| Equity | 44 | % 43 | % 45 |
| Debt | 56 | % 57 | % 55 |

Duke Energy's fixed charges coverage ratio, calculated using Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) guidelines, was 2.9 times for 2017, 2.7 times for 2016 and 3.1 times for 2015.

Restrictive Debt Covenants

Duke Energy's debt and credit agreements contain various financial and other covenants. Duke Energy's Master Credit Facility contains a covenant requiring the debt-to-total capitalization ratio to not exceed 65 percent for each borrower, excluding Piedmont, and 70 percent for Piedmont. Failure to meet those covenants beyond applicable grace periods could result in accelerated due dates and/or termination of the agreements or sublimits thereto. As of December 31, 2017, each of the Duke Energy Registrants was in compliance with all covenants related to their debt agreements. In addition, some credit agreements may allow for acceleration of payments or termination of the agreements due to nonpayment, or acceleration of other significant indebtedness of the borrower or some of its subsidiaries. None of the debt or credit agreements contain material adverse change clauses.

Credit Ratings

Moody's Investors Service, Inc. (Moody's), Standard & Poor's Rating Services (S&P) and Fitch Ratings, Inc. provide credit ratings for various

72

PART II

Duke Energy Registrants. The following table includes Duke Energy and certain subsidiaries' credit ratings and ratings outlook as of February 2018.

| | Moody's | S&P | Fitch |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------|----------|
| Duke Energy Corporation | Negative ^(a) | Stable | Negative |
| Issuer Credit Rating | Baa1 | A- | BBB+ |
| Senior Unsecured Debt | Baa1 | BBB+ | BBB+ |
| Commercial Paper | P-2 | A-2 | F-2 |
| Duke Energy Carolinas | Stable | Stable | N/A |
| Senior Secured Debt | Aa2 | A | N/A |
| Senior Unsecured Debt | A1 | A- | N/A |
| Progress Energy | Stable | Stable | N/A |
| Senior Unsecured Debt | Baa2 | BBB+ | N/A |
| Duke Energy Progress | Stable | Stable | N/A |
| Senior Secured Debt | Aa3 | A | N/A |
| Duke Energy Florida | Stable | Stable | N/A |
| Senior Secured Debt | A1 | A | N/A |
| Senior Unsecured Debt | A3 | A- | N/A |
| Duke Energy Ohio | Positive | Stable | N/A |
| Senior Secured Debt | A2 | A | N/A |
| Senior Unsecured Debt | Baa1 | A- | N/A |
| Duke Energy Indiana | Stable | Stable | N/A |
| Senior Secured Debt | Aa3 | A | N/A |
| Senior Unsecured Debt | A2 | A- | N/A |
| Duke Energy Kentucky | Stable | Stable | N/A |
| Senior Unsecured Debt | Baa1 | A- | N/A |
| Piedmont Natural Gas | Negative ^(a) | Stable | N/A |
| Senior Unsecured | A2 | A- | N/A |

In January 2018, Moody's revised the ratings outlook for Duke Energy Corporation and Piedmont from stable to (a)negative, principally due to risk of deterioration in credit metrics resulting from the Tax Act. See the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act section above for additional information on the Tax Act.

Credit ratings are intended to provide credit lenders a framework for comparing the credit quality of securities and are not a recommendation to buy, sell or hold. The Duke Energy Registrants' credit ratings are dependent on the rating agencies' assessments of their ability to meet their debt principal and interest obligations when they come due. If, as a result of market conditions or other factors, the Duke Energy Registrants are unable to maintain current balance sheet strength, or if earnings and cash flow outlook materially deteriorates, credit ratings could be negatively impacted.

Cash Flow Information

The following table summarizes Duke Energy's cash flows for the three most recently completed fiscal years.

| (in millions) | Years Ended December | | |
|---|----------------------|----------|----------|
| | 31, 2017 | 2016 | 2015 |
| Cash flows provided by (used in): | | | |
| Operating activities | \$6,634 | \$6,817 | \$6,700 |
| Investing activities | (8,450) | (11,533) | (5,277) |
| Financing activities | 1,782 | 4,251 | (2,602) |
| Changes in cash and cash equivalents included in assets held for sale | — | 474 | 1,099 |
| Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents | (34) | 9 | (80) |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 392 | 383 | 463 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$358 | \$392 | \$383 |

PART II

OPERATING CASH FLOWS

The following table summarizes key components of Duke Energy's operating cash flows for the three most recently completed fiscal years.

| (in millions) | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|---|--------------------------|---------|---------|
| | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 |
| Net income | \$3,064 | \$2,170 | \$2,831 |
| Non-cash adjustments to net income | 5,380 | 5,305 | 4,800 |
| Contributions to qualified pension plans | (19) | (155) | (302) |
| Payments for AROs | (571) | (608) | (346) |
| Working capital | (1,220) | 105 | (283) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | \$6,634 | \$6,817 | \$6,700 |

For the year ended December 31, 2017, compared to 2016, the variance was driven primarily by:

- a \$1,325 million decrease in working capital due to weather, payment of merger transaction and integration related costs and increased property tax payments in 2017.

Offset by:

- a \$969 million increase in net income after non-cash adjustments primarily due to the inclusion of Piedmont's earnings for a full year, favorable pricing and weather-normal retail volumes driven by the residential class in the Electric Utilities and Infrastructure Segment combined with continued strong cost control;

- a \$136 million decrease in contributions to qualified pension plans; and

- a \$37 million decrease in payments to AROs.

For the year ended December 31, 2016, compared to 2015, the variance was driven primarily by:

- a \$388 million increase in cash flows from working capital primarily due to the sale of the International business; and

- a \$147 million decrease in contributions to qualified pension plans.

Offset by:

- a \$262 million increase in payments for AROs; and

- a \$156 million decrease in net income after non-cash adjustments due to higher storm costs offset by favorable weather, increased rider revenues, higher wholesale margins and strong cost control.

INVESTING CASH FLOWS

The following table summarizes key components of Duke Energy's investing cash flows for the three most recently completed fiscal years.

| (in millions) | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|---|--------------------------|------------|-----------|
| | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 |
| Capital, investment and acquisition expenditures | \$(8,198) | \$(13,215) | \$(8,363) |
| Available for sale securities, net | 27 | 83 | 3 |
| Net proceeds from the sales of discontinued operations and other assets, net of cash divested | — | 1,418 | 2,968 |
| Other investing items | (279) | 181 | 115 |
| Net cash used in investing activities | \$(8,450) | \$(11,533) | \$(5,277) |

The primary use of cash related to investing activities is capital, investment and acquisition expenditures, detailed by reportable business segment in the following table.

| (in millions) | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|---------|---------|
| | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 |
| Electric Utilities and Infrastructure | \$7,024 | \$6,649 | \$6,852 |
| Gas Utilities and Infrastructure | 907 | 5,519 | 234 |
| Commercial Renewables | 92 | 857 | 1,019 |
| Other | 175 | 190 | 258 |

Total capital, investment and acquisition expenditures \$8,198 \$13,215 \$8,363

74

PART II

For the year ended December 31, 2017, compared to 2016, the variance was driven primarily by:

a \$5,017 million decrease in capital, investment and acquisition expenditures mainly due to the Piedmont acquisition in the prior year.

Partially offset by:

a \$1,418 million decrease in net proceeds from sales of discontinued operations due to the prior year sale of the International business.

For the year ended December 31, 2016, compared to 2015, the variance was driven primarily by:

a \$4,852 million increase in capital, investment and acquisition expenditures mainly due to the Piedmont acquisition; and

a \$1,550 million decrease in net proceeds from sales of discontinued operations mainly due to the variance in proceeds between the 2015 sale of the Midwest generation business and the 2016 sale of the International business.

FINANCING CASH FLOWS

The following table summarizes key components of Duke Energy's financing cash flows for the three most recently completed fiscal years.

| (in millions) | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|---|--------------------------|----------|-----------|
| | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 |
| Issuance of common stock | \$— | \$731 | \$17 |
| Issuances (Repayments) of long-term debt, net | 4,593 | 7,315 | (74) |
| Notes payable and commercial paper | (362) | (1,447) | 1,245 |
| Dividends paid | (2,450) | (2,332) | (2,254) |
| Repurchase of common shares | — | — | (1,500) |
| Other financing items | 1 | (16) | (36) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | \$1,782 | \$4,251 | \$(2,602) |

For the year ended December 31, 2017, compared to 2016, the variance was driven primarily by:

a \$2,722 million net decrease in proceeds from issuances of long-term debt driven principally by the prior year \$3,750 million of senior unsecured notes used to fund a portion of the Piedmont acquisition, offset primarily by \$900 million of first mortgage bonds issued by Duke Energy Florida in the current year to fund capital expenditures for ongoing construction and capital maintenance and for general corporate purposes;

a \$731 million decrease in proceeds from stock issuances used to fund a portion of the Piedmont acquisition in 2016; and

a \$118 million current year increase in dividends paid.

Partially offset by:

a \$1,085 million decrease in net borrowings from notes payable and commercial paper primarily due to the use of proceeds from \$1,294 million nuclear asset-recovery bonds issued at Duke Energy Florida in 2016 to pay down outstanding commercial paper.

For the year ended December 31, 2016, compared to 2015, the variance was driven primarily by:

a \$7,389 million increase in proceeds from net issuances of long-term debt mainly due to the issuances of \$3,750 million of senior unsecured notes used to fund a portion of the Piedmont acquisition, \$1,294 million of nuclear asset-recovery bonds and other issuances primarily used to fund capital expenditures, pay down outstanding commercial paper and repay debt maturities;

a \$1,500 million decrease in cash outflows due to the 2015 repurchase of 19.8 million common shares under the ASR; and

a \$714 million increase in proceeds resulting from the issuance of common stock to fund the acquisition of Piedmont.

Partially offset by:

a \$2,692 million increase in cash outflows for the net payments of notes payable and commercial paper primarily through the use of proceeds from \$1,294 million nuclear asset-recovery bonds issued at Duke Energy Florida, further increased by the use of short-term debt in 2015 to repay long-term debt maturities at Duke Energy Florida in advance of the 2016 proceeds from the nuclear asset-recovery bonds.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

Duke Energy and certain of its subsidiaries enter into guarantee arrangements in the normal course of business to facilitate commercial transactions with third parties. These arrangements include performance guarantees, stand-by letters of credit, debt guarantees, surety bonds and indemnifications.

75

PART II

Most of the guarantee arrangements entered into by Duke Energy enhance the credit standing of certain subsidiaries, non-consolidated entities or less than wholly owned entities, enabling them to conduct business. As such, these guarantee arrangements involve elements of performance and credit risk, which are not always included on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. The possibility of Duke Energy, either on its own or on behalf of Spectra Energy Capital, LLC (Spectra Capital) through indemnification agreements entered into as part of the January 2, 2007, spin-off of Spectra Energy Corp, having to honor its contingencies is largely dependent upon the future operations of the subsidiaries, investees and other third parties, or the occurrence of certain future events.

Duke Energy performs ongoing assessments of its respective guarantee obligations to determine whether any liabilities have been incurred as a result of potential increased non-performance risk by third parties for which Duke Energy has issued guarantees.

See Note 7 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Guarantees and Indemnifications," for further details of the guarantee arrangements.

Issuance of these guarantee arrangements is not required for the majority of Duke Energy's operations. Thus, if Duke Energy discontinued issuing these guarantees, there would not be a material impact to the consolidated results of operations, cash flows or financial position.

Other than the guarantee arrangements discussed above, normal operating lease arrangements and off-balance sheet debt related to non-consolidated VIEs, Duke Energy does not have any material off-balance sheet financing entities or structures. For additional information, see Notes 5, 7 and 17 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Commitments and Contingencies," "Guarantees and Indemnifications" and "Variable Interest Entities," respectively.

Contractual Obligations

Duke Energy enters into contracts that require payment of cash at certain specified periods, based on certain specified minimum quantities and prices. The following table summarizes Duke Energy's contractual cash obligations as of December 31, 2017.

| | Payments Due By Period | | | | |
|---|------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|
| | | Less than 1 year | 2-3 years (2019 & 2020) | 4-5 years (2021 & 2022) | More than 5 years (2023 & beyond) |
| (in millions) | Total | | | | |
| Long-term debt ^(a) | \$49,962 | \$3,127 | \$7,062 | \$6,541 | \$33,232 |
| Interest payments on long-term debt ^(b) | 30,943 | 2,014 | 3,590 | 3,144 | 22,195 |
| Capital leases ^(c) | 1,601 | 168 | 343 | 345 | 745 |
| Operating leases ^(c) | 1,786 | 233 | 386 | 285 | 882 |
| Purchase obligations: ^(d) | | | | | |
| Fuel and purchased power ^{(e)(f)} | 30,956 | 4,506 | 6,085 | 4,474 | 15,891 |
| Other purchase obligations ^(g) | 8,726 | 6,642 | 1,406 | 121 | 557 |
| Nuclear decommissioning trust annual funding ^(h) | 285 | 14 | 28 | 28 | 215 |
| Total contractual cash obligations ^{(i)(j)} | \$124,259 | \$16,704 | \$18,900 | \$14,938 | \$73,717 |

(a) See Note 6 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Debt and Credit Facilities."

(b) Interest payments on variable rate debt instruments were calculated using December 31, 2017, interest rates and holding them constant for the life of the instruments.

(c) See Note 5 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Commitments and Contingencies." Amounts in the table above include the interest component of capital leases based on the interest rates stated in the lease agreements and exclude certain related executory costs. Amounts exclude contingent lease obligations.

(d) Current liabilities, except for current maturities of long-term debt, and purchase obligations reflected on the Consolidated Balance Sheets have been excluded from the above table.

(e)

Includes firm capacity payments that provide Duke Energy with uninterrupted firm access to electricity transmission capacity and natural gas transportation contracts, as well as undesignated contracts and contracts that qualify as normal purchase/normal sale (NPNS). For contracts where the price paid is based on an index, the amount is based on market prices at December 31, 2017, or the best projections of the index. For certain of these amounts, Duke Energy may settle on a net cash basis since Duke Energy has entered into payment netting arrangements with counterparties that permit Duke Energy to offset receivables and payables with such counterparties.

(f) Amounts exclude obligations under the OVEC purchase power agreement. See Note 17 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Variable Interest Entities," for additional information.

(g) Includes contracts for software, telephone, data and consulting or advisory services. Amount also includes contractual obligations for engineering, procurement and construction costs for new generation plants, wind and solar facilities, plant refurbishments, maintenance and day-to-day contract work and commitments to buy certain products. Amount excludes certain open purchase orders for services that are provided on demand, for which the timing of the purchase cannot be determined.

(h) Related to future annual funding obligations to NDTF through nuclear power stations' relicensing dates. See Note 9 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Asset Retirement Obligations."

(i) Unrecognized tax benefits of \$25 million are not reflected in this table as Duke Energy cannot predict when open income tax years will close with completed examinations. See Note 22 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Income Taxes."

PART II

The table above excludes reserves for litigation, environmental remediation, asbestos-related injuries and damages claims and self-insurance claims (see Note 5 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, “Commitments and Contingencies”) because Duke Energy is uncertain as to the timing and amount of cash payments that will be required. Additionally, the table above excludes annual insurance premiums that are necessary to operate the business, including nuclear insurance (see Note 5 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, “Commitments and (j) Contingencies”), funding of pension and other post-retirement benefit plans (see Note 21 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, “Employee Benefit Plans”), AROs, including ash management expenditures (see Note 9 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, “Asset Retirement Obligations”) and regulatory liabilities (see Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, “Regulatory Matters”) because the amount and timing of the cash payments are uncertain. Also excluded are Deferred Income Taxes and ITCs recorded on the Consolidated Balance Sheets since cash payments for income taxes are determined based primarily on taxable income for each discrete fiscal year.

QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Risk Management Policies

The Enterprise Risk Management policy framework at Duke Energy includes strategy, operational, project execution and financial or transaction related risks. Enterprise Risk Management includes market risk as part of the financial and transaction related risks in its framework.

Duke Energy is exposed to market risks associated with commodity prices, interest rates and equity prices. Duke Energy has established comprehensive risk management policies to monitor and manage these market risks. Duke Energy’s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer are responsible for the overall approval of market risk management policies and the delegation of approval and authorization levels. The Finance and Risk Management Committee of the Board of Directors receives periodic updates from the Chief Risk Officer and other members of management on market risk positions, corporate exposures and overall risk management activities. The Chief Risk Officer is responsible for the overall governance of managing commodity price risk, including monitoring exposure limits.

The following disclosures about market risk contain forward-looking statements that involve estimates, projections, goals, forecasts, assumptions, risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results or outcomes to differ materially from those expressed in the forward-looking statements. Please review Item 1A, “Risk Factors,” and “Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Information” for a discussion of the factors that may impact any such forward-looking statements made herein.

Commodity Price Risk

Duke Energy is exposed to the impact of market fluctuations in the prices of electricity, coal, natural gas and other energy-related products marketed and purchased as a result of its ownership of energy-related assets. Duke Energy’s exposure to these fluctuations is limited by the cost-based regulation of its regulated operations as these operations are typically allowed to recover substantially all of these costs through various cost-recovery clauses, including fuel clauses, formula based contracts, or other cost-sharing mechanisms. While there may be a delay in timing between when these costs are incurred and when they are recovered through rates, changes from year to year generally do not have a material impact on operating results of these regulated operations.

Price risk represents the potential risk of loss from adverse changes in the market price of electricity or other energy commodities. Duke Energy’s exposure to commodity price risk is influenced by a number of factors, including contract size, length, market liquidity, location and unique or specific contract terms. Duke Energy employs established policies and procedures to manage risks associated with these market fluctuations, which may include using various commodity derivatives, such as swaps, futures, forwards and options. For additional information, see Note 14 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, “Derivatives and Hedging.”

The inputs and methodologies used to determine the fair value of contracts are validated by an internal group separate from Duke Energy’s deal origination function. While Duke Energy uses common industry practices to develop its valuation techniques, changes in its pricing methodologies or the underlying assumptions could result in significantly different fair values and income recognition.

Hedging Strategies

Duke Energy closely monitors risks associated with commodity price changes on its future operations and, where appropriate, uses various commodity instruments such as electricity, coal and natural gas forward contracts and options to mitigate the effect of such fluctuations on operations. Duke Energy's primary use of energy commodity derivatives is to hedge against exposure to the prices of power, fuel for generation and natural gas for customers. The majority of instruments used to manage Duke Energy's commodity price exposure are either not designated as hedges or do not qualify for hedge accounting. These instruments are referred to as undesignated contracts. Mark-to-market changes for undesignated contracts entered into by regulated businesses are reflected as regulatory assets or liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Undesignated contracts entered into by unregulated businesses are marked-to-market each period, with changes in the fair value of the derivative instruments reflected in earnings. Duke Energy may also enter into other contracts that qualify for the NPNS exception. When a contract meets the criteria to qualify as NPNS, Duke Energy applies such exception. Income recognition and realization related to NPNS contracts generally coincide with the physical delivery of the commodity. For contracts qualifying for the NPNS exception, no recognition of the contract's fair value in the Consolidated Financial Statements is required until settlement of the contract as long as the transaction remains probable of occurring.

Generation Portfolio Risks

The Duke Energy Registrants optimize the value of their generation portfolios, which include generation assets, fuel and emission allowances. Modeled forecasts of future generation output and fuel requirements are based on forward power and fuel markets. The component pieces of the portfolio are bought and sold based on models and forecasts of generation in order to manage the economic value of the portfolio in accordance with the strategies of the business units.

PART II

For the Electric Utilities segment, the generation portfolio not utilized to serve retail operations or committed load is subject to commodity price fluctuations. However, the impact on the Consolidated Statements of Operations is partially offset by mechanisms in these regulated jurisdictions that result in the sharing of net profits from these activities with retail customers.

Interest Rate Risk

Duke Energy is exposed to risk resulting from changes in interest rates as a result of its issuance of variable and fixed-rate debt and commercial paper. Duke Energy manages interest rate exposure by limiting variable-rate exposures to a percentage of total debt and by monitoring the effects of market changes in interest rates. Duke Energy also enters into financial derivative instruments, which may include instruments such as, but not limited to, interest rate swaps, swaptions and U.S. Treasury lock agreements to manage and mitigate interest rate risk exposure. See Notes 1, 6, 14 and 16 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Summary of Significant Accounting Policies," "Debt and Credit Facilities," "Derivatives and Hedging," and "Fair Value Measurements."

At December 31, 2017, Duke Energy had \$687 million notional amount of floating-to-fixed swaps outstanding, \$500 million notional amount of fixed-to-floating swaps outstanding and \$400 million forward-starting swaps outstanding. Duke Energy had \$6.1 billion of unhedged long- and short-term floating interest rate exposure at December 31, 2017. The impact of a 100 basis point change in interest rates on pretax income is approximately \$61 million at December 31, 2017. This amount was estimated by considering the impact of the hypothetical interest rates on variable-rate securities outstanding, adjusted for interest rate hedges as of December 31, 2017.

See Note 14, "Derivatives and Hedging," to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information about the forward-starting interest rate swaps related to the Piedmont acquisition.

Credit Risk

Credit risk represents the loss that the Duke Energy Registrants would incur if a counterparty fails to perform under its contractual obligations. Where exposed to credit risk, the Duke Energy Registrants analyze the counterparty's financial condition prior to entering into an agreement and monitor exposure on an ongoing basis. The Duke Energy Registrants establish credit limits where appropriate in the context of contractual arrangements and monitor such limits.

To reduce credit exposure, the Duke Energy Registrants seek to include netting provisions with counterparties, which permit the offset of receivables and payables with such counterparties. The Duke Energy Registrants also frequently use master agreements with credit support annexes to further mitigate certain credit exposures. The master agreements provide for a counterparty to post cash or letters of credit to the exposed party for exposure in excess of an established threshold. The threshold amount represents a negotiated unsecured credit limit for each party to the agreement, determined in accordance with the Duke Energy Registrants' internal corporate credit practices and standards. Collateral agreements generally also provide that the inability to post collateral is sufficient cause to terminate contracts and liquidate all positions.

The Duke Energy Registrants also obtain cash or letters of credit from certain counterparties to provide credit support outside of collateral agreements, where appropriate, based on a financial analysis of the counterparty and the regulatory or contractual terms and conditions applicable to each transaction. See Note 14 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Derivatives and Hedging," for additional information regarding credit risk related to derivative instruments.

The Duke Energy Registrants' principal counterparties for its electric and natural gas businesses are regional transmission organizations, distribution companies, municipalities, electric cooperatives and utilities located throughout the U.S. The Duke Energy Registrants have concentrations of receivables from such entities throughout these regions. These concentrations of receivables may affect the Duke Energy Registrants' overall credit risk in that risk factors can negatively impact the credit quality of the entire sector.

The Duke Energy Registrants are also subject to credit risk from transactions with their suppliers that involve prepayments in conjunction with outsourcing arrangements, major construction projects and certain commodity purchases. The Duke Energy Registrants' credit exposure to such suppliers may take the form of increased costs or project delays in the event of non-performance. The Duke Energy Registrants' frequently require guarantees or letters

of credit from suppliers to mitigate this credit risk.

Credit risk associated with the Duke Energy Registrants' service to residential, commercial and industrial customers is generally limited to outstanding accounts receivable. The Duke Energy Registrants mitigate this credit risk by requiring customers to provide a cash deposit, letter of credit or surety bond until a satisfactory payment history is established, subject to the rules and regulations in effect in each retail jurisdiction, at which time the deposit is typically refunded. Charge-offs for retail customers have historically been insignificant to the operations of the Duke Energy Registrants and are typically recovered through retail rates. Management continually monitors customer charge-offs and payment patterns to ensure the adequacy of bad debt reserves. Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Indiana sell certain of their accounts receivable and related collections through Cinergy Receivables Company LLC (CRC), a Duke Energy consolidated variable interest entity. Losses on collection are first absorbed by the equity of CRC and next by the subordinated retained interests held by Duke Energy Ohio, Duke Energy Kentucky and Duke Energy Indiana. See Note 17 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Variable Interest Entities."

Duke Energy Carolinas has third-party insurance to cover certain losses related to asbestos-related injuries and damages above an aggregate self-insured retention. Duke Energy Carolinas' cumulative payments began to exceed the self-insurance retention in 2008. Future payments up to the policy limit will be reimbursed by the third-party insurance carrier. The insurance policy limit for potential future insurance recoveries indemnification and medical cost claim payments is \$797 million in excess of the self-insured retention. Receivables for insurance recoveries were \$489 million and \$587 million at December 31, 2017, and 2016, respectively. These amounts are classified in Other within Other Noncurrent Assets on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Duke Energy Carolinas is not aware of any uncertainties regarding the legal sufficiency of insurance claims. Duke Energy Carolinas believes the insurance recovery asset is probable of recovery as the insurance carrier continues to have a strong financial strength rating.

PART II

The Duke Energy Registrants also have credit risk exposure through issuance of performance and financial guarantees, letters of credit and surety bonds on behalf of less than wholly owned entities and third parties. Where the Duke Energy Registrants have issued these guarantees, it is possible that they could be required to perform under these guarantee obligations in the event the obligor under the guarantee fails to perform. Where the Duke Energy Registrants have issued guarantees related to assets or operations that have been disposed of via sale, they attempt to secure indemnification from the buyer against all future performance obligations under the guarantees. See Note 7 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Guarantees and Indemnifications," for further information on guarantees issued by the Duke Energy Registrants.

Based on the Duke Energy Registrants' policies for managing credit risk, their exposures and their credit and other reserves, the Duke Energy Registrants do not currently anticipate a materially adverse effect on their consolidated financial position or results of operations as a result of non-performance by any counterparty.

Marketable Securities Price Risk

As described further in Note 15 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Investments in Debt and Equity Securities," Duke Energy invests in debt and equity securities as part of various investment portfolios to fund certain obligations. The vast majority of investments in equity securities are within the NDTF and assets of the various pension and other post-retirement benefit plans.

Pension Plan Assets

Duke Energy maintains investments to facilitate funding the costs of providing non-contributory defined benefit retirement and other post-retirement benefit plans. These investments are exposed to price fluctuations in equity markets and changes in interest rates. The equity securities held in these pension plans are diversified to achieve broad market participation and reduce the impact of any single investment, sector or geographic region. Duke Energy has established asset allocation targets for its pension plan holdings, which take into consideration the investment objectives and the risk profile with respect to the trust in which the assets are held. See Note 21 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Employee Benefit Plans," for additional information regarding investment strategy of pension plan assets.

A significant decline in the value of plan asset holdings could require Duke Energy to increase funding of its pension plans in future periods, which could adversely affect cash flows in those periods. Additionally, a decline in the fair value of plan assets, absent additional cash contributions to the plan, could increase the amount of pension cost required to be recorded in future periods, which could adversely affect Duke Energy's results of operations in those periods.

Nuclear Decommissioning Trust Funds

As required by the NRC, NCUC, PSCSC and FPSC, subsidiaries of Duke Energy maintain trust funds to fund the costs of nuclear decommissioning. As of December 31, 2017, these funds were invested primarily in domestic and international equity securities, debt securities, cash and cash equivalents and short-term investments. Per the NRC, Internal Revenue Code, NCUC, PSCSC and FPSC requirements, these funds may be used only for activities related to nuclear decommissioning. These investments are exposed to price fluctuations in equity markets and changes in interest rates. Duke Energy actively monitors its portfolios by benchmarking the performance of its investments against certain indices and by maintaining, and periodically reviewing, target allocation percentages for various asset classes.

Accounting for nuclear decommissioning recognizes that costs are recovered through retail and wholesale rates; therefore, fluctuations in investment prices do not materially affect the Consolidated Statements of Operations, as changes in the fair value of these investments are primarily deferred as regulatory assets or regulatory liabilities pursuant to Orders by the NCUC, PSCSC, FPSC and FERC. Earnings or losses of the fund will ultimately impact the amount of costs recovered through retail and wholesale rates. See Note 9 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Asset Retirement Obligations," for additional information regarding nuclear decommissioning costs. See Note 15 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Investments in Debt and Equity Securities," for additional information regarding NDTF assets.

OTHER MATTERS

Ratios of Earnings to Fixed Charges

The Duke Energy Registrants' ratios of earnings to fixed charges, as calculated using SEC guidelines, are included in the tables below.

| | Years Ended | | |
|-----------------------|--------------|------|------|
| | December 31, | | |
| | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 |
| Duke Energy | 2.9 | 2.7 | 3.1 |
| Duke Energy Carolinas | 4.8 | 4.7 | 4.7 |
| Progress Energy | 2.7 | 3.0 | 2.9 |
| Duke Energy Progress | 4.1 | 4.0 | 3.7 |
| Duke Energy Florida | 3.3 | 4.3 | 4.3 |
| Duke Energy Ohio | 3.4 | 3.8 | 3.6 |
| Duke Energy Indiana | 4.4 | 4.1 | 3.6 |

| Year Ended | Two Months Ended | Years Ended | |
|-------------------|-------------------|-------------|---------|
| | | October 31, | |
| December 31, 2017 | December 31, 2016 | 2016 | 2015 |
| Piedmont | 3.3 | 6.6 | 4.7 3.7 |

PART II

Environmental Regulations

The Duke Energy Registrants are subject to federal, state and local regulations regarding air and water quality, hazardous and solid waste disposal and other environmental matters. These regulations can be changed from time to time and result in new obligations of the Duke Energy Registrants.

The following sections outline various proposed and recently enacted legislation and regulations that may impact the Duke Energy Registrants. Refer to Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Regulatory Matters," for further information regarding potential plant retirements and regulatory filings related to the Duke Energy Registrants.

Coal Combustion Residuals

In April 2015, EPA published a rule to regulate the disposal of CCR from electric utilities as solid waste. The federal regulation classifies CCR as nonhazardous waste and allows for beneficial use of CCR with some restrictions. The regulation applies to all new and existing landfills, new and existing surface impoundments receiving CCR and existing surface impoundments that are no longer receiving CCR but contain liquid located at stations currently generating electricity (regardless of fuel source). The rule establishes requirements regarding landfill design, structural integrity design and assessment criteria for surface impoundments, groundwater monitoring, protection and remedial procedures and other operational and reporting procedures to ensure the safe disposal and management of CCR.

Various industry and environmental parties have appealed EPA's CCR rule in the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia (D.C. Circuit Court). On April 18, 2016, EPA filed a motion with the federal court to settle five issues raised in litigation. On June 14, 2016, the court approved the motion with respect to all of those issues. Duke Energy does not expect a material impact from the settlement or that it will result in additional ARO adjustments. On September 13, 2017, EPA responded to a petition by the Utility Solid Waste Activities Group that the agency would reconsider certain provisions of the final rule, and asked the D.C. Circuit Court to suspend the litigation. The D.C. Circuit Court denied EPA's petition to suspend the litigation and oral argument was held on November 20, 2017. The court has not issued an order in the matter. Duke Energy cannot predict the outcome of the litigation.

In a November 15, 2017, status report filed with the D.C. Circuit Court, EPA listed the provisions it intends to reconsider, including provisions that warrant revision due to passage of the Water Infrastructure Improvements for the Nation Act, which allows for implementation of the CCR rule through state or federal permit programs. EPA has indicated it will issue a proposed rule in early 2018 that includes provisions from the June 2016 settlement with petitioners and additional provisions under reconsideration. The reconsideration would not repeal the CCR rule; rather, it would modify some requirements to align with the implementation of the rule through permit programs. At this time, Duke Energy does not expect a reconsideration rulemaking to have a material impact on its coal ash basin closure plans or compliance requirements under the CCR rule.

In addition to the requirements of the federal CCR regulation, CCR landfills and surface impoundments will continue to be independently regulated by most states. Cost recovery for future expenditures will be pursued through the normal ratemaking process with federal and state utility commissions and via wholesale contracts, which permit recovery of necessary and prudently incurred costs associated with Duke Energy's regulated operations. For more information, see Note 9 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Asset Retirement Obligations."

Coal Ash Management Act of 2014

AROs recorded on the Duke Energy Carolinas and Duke Energy Progress Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2017, and December 31, 2016, include the legal obligation for closure of coal ash basins and the disposal of related ash as a result of the Coal Ash Act, the EPA CCR rule and other agreements. The Coal Ash Act requires Duke Energy to undertake dam improvement projects and to provide access to a permanent alternative drinking water source to certain residents within a half-mile of coal ash basin compliance boundaries and to certain other potentially impacted residents. The legislation requires excavation of the Sutton, Riverbend and Dan River basins by August 1, 2019, and Asheville basins by August 1, 2022. Excavation at these sites may include a combination of transfer of coal ash to an engineered landfill or conversion for beneficial use. Basins at the H.F. Lee, Cape Fear and Weatherspoon sites are required to be closed through excavation no later than August 1, 2028. Excavation at these sites can include conversion of the basin to a lined industrial landfill, transfer of ash to an engineered landfill or conversion for beneficial use. The remaining basins are required to be closed no later than

December 31, 2024, through conversion to a lined industrial landfill, transfer to an engineered landfill or conversion for beneficial use, unless certain dam improvement projects and alternative drinking water source projects are completed by October 15, 2018. Upon satisfactory completion of these projects, the closure deadline would be extended to December 31, 2029, and could include closure through the combination of a cap system and a groundwater monitoring system.

Additionally, the Coal Ash Act requires the installation and operation of three large-scale coal ash beneficiation projects to produce reprocessed ash for use in the concrete industry. Duke Energy selected the Buck, H.F. Lee and Cape Fear plants for these projects. Closure at these sites is required to be completed no later than December 31, 2029. The Coal Ash Act includes a variance procedure for compliance deadlines and other issues surrounding the management of CCR and CCR surface impoundments and prohibits cost recovery in customer rates for unlawful discharge of ash impoundment waters occurring after January 1, 2014. The Coal Ash Act leaves the decision on cost recovery determinations related to closure of ash impoundments to the normal ratemaking processes before utility regulatory commissions. Consistent with the requirements of the Coal Ash Act, Duke Energy has submitted comprehensive site assessments and groundwater corrective plans to NCDEQ and will submit to NCDEQ site-specific coal ash impoundment closure plans in advance of closure. These plans and all associated permits must be approved by NCDEQ before closure work can begin.

For further information on AROs, see Note 9 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, “Asset Retirement Obligations.”

PART II

Clean Water Act 316(b)

EPA published the final 316(b) cooling water intake structure rule on August 15, 2014, with an effective date of October 14, 2014. The rule applies to 26 of the electric generating facilities the Duke Energy Registrants own and operate. The rule allows for several options to demonstrate compliance and provides flexibility to the state environmental permitting agencies to make determinations on controls, if any, that will be required for cooling water intake structures. Any required intake structure modifications and/or retrofits are expected to be installed in the 2019 to 2023 time frame. Petitions challenging the rule have been filed by several groups. Oral argument was held on September 14, 2017. It is unknown when the courts will rule on the petitions. The Duke Energy Registrants cannot predict the outcome of these matters.

Steam Electric Effluent Limitations Guidelines

On January 4, 2016, the final Steam Electric Effluent Limitations Guidelines (ELG) rule became effective. The rule establishes new requirements for wastewater streams associated with steam electric power generation and includes more stringent controls for any new coal plants that may be built in the future. As originally written, affected facilities were required to comply between 2018 and 2023, depending on the timing of Clean Water Act (CWA) discharge permits. Most of the steam electric generating facilities the Duke Energy Registrants own are affected sources. The Duke Energy Registrants are well-positioned to meet the majority of the requirements of the rule due to current efforts to convert to dry ash handling. Petitions challenging the rule have been filed by several groups. On March 16, 2015, Duke Energy Indiana filed its own legal challenge to the rule with the Seventh Circuit Court of Appeals specific to the ELG rule focused on the limits imposed on IGCC facilities (gasification wastewater). All challenges to the rule were consolidated in the Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals. On August 22, 2017, the Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals granted EPA's Motion to Govern Further Proceedings, thereby severing and suspending the claims related to flue gas desulfurization wastewater, bottom ash transport water and gasification wastewater. Claims regarding gasification wastewater were stayed, pending the issuance of the variance to Duke Energy Indiana. The litigation will continue as to claims related to other waste streams.

On August 7, 2017, EPA issued a public notice regarding its proposed decision to grant a variance to Duke Energy Indiana for mercury and total dissolved solids for gasification wastewater at its Edwardsport facility. The public comment period has ended, but EPA has not finalized its decision. Separate from the litigation, EPA finalized a rule on September 18, 2017, postponing the earliest applicability date for bottom ash transport water and flue gas desulfurization wastewater from 2018 to 2020 and retaining the end applicability date of 2023. Also, as part of the rule, EPA reiterated its intent to review the limitation guidelines for bottom ash transport water and flue gas desulfurization wastewater and potentially to conduct a new rulemaking to revise those guidelines.

The Duke Energy Registrants cannot predict the outcome of these matters.

Estimated Cost and Impacts of Rulemakings

Duke Energy will incur capital expenditures to comply with the environmental regulations and rules discussed above. The following table provides five-year estimated costs, excluding AFUDC, of new control equipment that may need to be installed on existing power plants primarily to comply with the Coal Ash Act requirements for conversion to dry disposal of bottom ash and fly ash, CWA 316(b) and ELGs through December 31, 2022. The table excludes ash basin closure costs recorded in Asset retirement obligations on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. For more information related to AROs, see Note 9 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

| (in millions) | Five-Year Estimated Costs |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------|
| Duke Energy | \$ 920 |
| Duke Energy Carolinas | 380 |
| Progress Energy | 360 |
| Duke Energy Progress | 230 |
| Duke Energy Florida | 130 |
| Duke Energy Ohio | 70 |

Duke Energy Indiana 110

The Duke Energy Registrants also expect to incur increased fuel, purchased power, operation and maintenance and other expenses, in addition to costs for replacement generation for potential coal-fired power plant retirements, as a result of these regulations. Actual compliance costs incurred may be materially different from these estimates due to reasons such as the timing and requirements of EPA regulations and the resolution of legal challenges to the rules. The Duke Energy Registrants intend to seek rate recovery of necessary and prudently incurred costs associated with regulated operations to comply with these regulations.

81

PART II

Cross-State Air Pollution Rule

On December 3, 2015, EPA proposed a rule to lower the Cross-State Air Pollution Rule (CSAPR) Phase 2 state ozone season nitrogen oxide (NOX) emission budgets for 23 eastern states, including North Carolina, Ohio, Kentucky and Indiana. EPA also proposed to eliminate the CSAPR Phase 2 ozone season state NOX budgets for Florida and South Carolina. On September 7, 2016, EPA finalized a CSAPR Update Rule that reduces the CSAPR Phase 2 state ozone season NOX emission budgets for 22 eastern states, including Ohio, Kentucky and Indiana. In the final CSAPR Update Rule, EPA removed Florida, South Carolina and North Carolina from the ozone season NOx program. Beginning in 2017, Duke Energy Registrants in these states will not be subject to any CSAPR ozone season NOx emission limitations. For the states that remain in the program, the reduced state ozone season NOx emission budgets took effect on May 1, 2017. In Kentucky and Indiana, where Duke Energy Registrants own and operate coal-fired electric generating units (EGUs) subject to the final rule requirements, near-term responses include changing unit dispatch to run certain generating units less frequently and/or purchasing NOx allowances from the trading market. Longer term, upgrading the performance of existing NOx controls is an option. The Indiana Utility Group and the Indiana Energy Association jointly filed a petition for reconsideration asking that EPA correct errors it made in calculating the Indiana budget and increase the budget accordingly. EPA has yet to act on the petition. Numerous parties have filed petitions with the D.C. Circuit Court challenging various aspects of the CSAPR Update Rule. Final briefs in the case are due April 9, 2018. The date for oral argument has not been established. The Duke Energy Registrants cannot predict the outcome of these matters.

Carbon Pollution Standards for New, Modified and Reconstructed Power Plants

On October 23, 2015, EPA published a final rule in the Federal Register establishing carbon dioxide (CO₂) emissions limits for new, modified and reconstructed power plants. The requirements for new plants apply to plants that commenced construction after January 8, 2014. EPA set an emissions standard for coal units of 1,400 pounds of CO₂ per gross MWh, which would require the application of partial carbon capture and storage (CCS) technology for a coal unit to be able to meet the limit. Utility-scale CCS is not currently a demonstrated and commercially available technology for coal-fired EGUs, and therefore the final standard effectively prevents the development of new coal-fired generation. EPA set a final standard of 1,000 pounds of CO₂ per gross MWh for new natural gas combined-cycle units.

On March 28, 2017, President Trump signed an executive order directing EPA to review the rule and determine whether to suspend, revise or rescind it. On the same day, the Department of Justice (DOJ) filed a motion with the D.C. Circuit Court requesting that the court stay the litigation of the rule while it is reviewed by EPA. Subsequent to the DOJ motion, the D.C. Circuit Court canceled oral argument in the case. On August 10, 2017, the court ordered that the litigation be suspended indefinitely. The rule remains in effect pending the outcome of litigation and EPA's review. EPA has not announced a schedule for completing its review. The Duke Energy Registrants cannot predict the outcome of these matters, but do not expect the impacts of the current final standards will be material to Duke Energy's financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Clean Power Plan

On October 23, 2015, EPA published in the Federal Register the final Clean Power Plan (CPP) rule that regulates CO₂ emissions from existing fossil fuel-fired EGUs. The CPP established CO₂ emission rates and mass cap goals that apply to existing fossil fuel-fired EGUs. Petitions challenging the rule were filed by several groups and on February 9, 2016, the Supreme Court issued a stay of the final CPP rule, halting implementation of the rule until legal challenges are resolved. States in which the Duke Energy Registrants operate have suspended work on the CPP in response to the stay. Oral arguments before 10 of the 11 judges on D.C. Circuit Court were heard on September 27, 2016. The court has not issued its opinion in the case.

On March 28, 2017, President Trump signed an executive order directing EPA to review the CPP and determine whether to suspend, revise or rescind the rule. On the same day, the DOJ filed a motion with the D.C. Circuit Court requesting that the court stay the litigation of the rule while it is reviewed by EPA. On April 28, 2017, the court issued an order to suspend the litigation for 60 days. On August 8, 2017, the court, on its own motion, extended the suspension of the litigation for an additional 60 days. On October 16, 2017, EPA issued a Notice of Proposed

Rulemaking (NPR) to repeal the CPP based on a change to EPA's legal interpretation of the section of the Clean Air Act (CAA) on which the CPP was based. In the proposal, EPA indicates that it has not determined whether it will issue a rule to replace the CPP, and if it will do so, when and what form that rule will take. The comment period on EPA's NPR ends April 26, 2018. On December 28, 2017, EPA issued an Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (ANPRM) in which it seeks public comment on various aspects of a potential CPP replacement rule. The comment period on the ANPRM ends February 26, 2018. If EPA decides to move forward with a CPP replacement rule, it will need to issue a formal proposal for public comment. Litigation of the CPP remains on hold in the D.C. Circuit Court and the February 2016 U.S. Supreme Court stay of the CPP remains in effect. The Duke Energy Registrants cannot predict the outcome of these matters.

Global Climate Change

The Duke Energy Registrants' greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions consist primarily of CO₂ and result primarily from operating a fleet of coal-fired and natural gas-fired power plants. In 2017, the Duke Energy Registrants' power plants emitted approximately 105 million tons of CO₂. Future levels of CO₂ emissions will be influenced by variables that include fuel prices, compliance with new or existing regulations, economic conditions that affect electricity demand and the technologies deployed to generate the electricity necessary to meet the customer demand.

PART II

The Duke Energy Registrants have taken actions that have resulted in a reduction of CO₂ emissions over time. Actions have included the retirement of 47 coal-fired EGUs with a combined generating capacity of 5,425 MW. Much of that capacity has been replaced with state-of-the-art highly efficient natural gas-fired generation that produces far fewer CO₂ emissions per unit of electricity generated. Duke Energy also has made investments to expand its portfolio of wind and solar projects, increase energy efficiency offerings and invest in its zero-CO₂ emissions hydropower and nuclear plants. These efforts have diversified its system and significantly reduced CO₂ emissions. Between 2005 and 2017, the Duke Energy Registrants have collectively lowered the CO₂ emissions from their electricity generation by more than 31 percent, which lowers the exposure to any future mandatory CO₂ emission reduction requirements or carbon tax, whether as a result of federal legislation, EPA regulation, state regulation or other as yet unknown emission reduction requirement. Duke Energy will continue to explore the use of currently-available and commercially-demonstrated technology to reduce CO₂ emissions, including energy efficiency, wind, solar, storage, nuclear and carbon sequestration. Duke Energy will adjust to evolving and innovative technologies in a way that balances the reliability and affordability that customers expect. Under any future scenario involving mandatory CO₂ limitations, the Duke Energy Registrants would plan to seek recovery of their compliance costs through appropriate regulatory mechanisms.

The Duke Energy Registrants recognize certain groups associate severe weather events with increasing levels of GHGs in the atmosphere and forecast the possibility these weather events could have a material impact on future results of operations should they occur more frequently and with greater severity. However, the uncertain nature of potential changes in extreme weather events (such as increased frequency, duration and severity), the long period of time over which any potential changes might take place and the inability to predict potential changes with any degree of accuracy, make estimating any potential future financial risk to the Duke Energy Registrants' operations impossible. The Duke Energy Registrants have historically planned and prepared for extreme weather events, such as ice storms, tornadoes, hurricanes, severe thunderstorms, high winds and droughts they occasionally experience.

The Duke Energy Registrants annually, biannually or triennially prepare lengthy, forward-looking "integrated resource plans" (IRPs). These detailed, highly technical plans are based on the company's thorough analysis of numerous factors that can impact the cost of producing and delivering electricity that influence long-term resource planning decisions. The IRP process helps to evaluate a range of options, taking into account forecasts of future electricity demand, fuel prices, transmission improvements, new generating capacity, integration of renewables, energy storage, energy efficiency and demand response initiatives. The IRP process also helps evaluate potential environmental and regulatory scenarios to better mitigate policy and economic risks. The IRPs we file with regulators look out 10 to 20 years depending on the jurisdiction.

For a number of years, the Duke Energy Registrants have included a price on CO₂ emissions in their IRP planning process to account for the potential regulation of CO₂ emissions. Incorporating a price on CO₂ emissions in the IRP allows for the evaluation of existing and future resource needs against potential climate change policy risk in the absence of policy certainty. One of the challenges with using a CO₂ price, especially in the absence of a clear and certain policy, is determining the appropriate price to use. To address this uncertainty and ensure the company remains agile, the Duke Energy Registrants typically use a range of potential CO₂ prices to reflect a range of potential policy outcomes.

The Duke Energy Registrants routinely take steps to reduce the potential impact of severe weather events on their electric distribution systems. The Duke Energy Registrants' electric generating facilities are designed to withstand extreme weather events without significant damage. The Duke Energy Registrants maintain an inventory of coal and oil on-site to mitigate the effects of any potential short-term disruption in fuel supply so they can continue to provide customers with an uninterrupted supply of electricity.

North Carolina Legislation

In July 2017, the North Carolina General Assembly passed House Bill 589 and it was subsequently enacted into law by the governor. The law includes, among other things, overall reform of the application of Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act of 1978 (PURPA) for new solar projects in the state, a requirement for the utility to procure approximately 2,600 MW of renewable energy through a competitive bidding process and recovery of costs related to

the competitive bidding process through the fuel clause and a competitive procurement rider. The law stipulated certain deadlines for Duke Energy to file for NCUC approval of programs required under the law. Duke Energy has made some regulatory filings since the passage of the law and will continue to implement the requirements of House Bill 589.

Nuclear Matters

Following the events at the Fukushima Daiichi nuclear power station in Japan, in March 2011, the NRC formed a task force to conduct a comprehensive review of processes and regulations to determine whether the agency should make additional improvements to the nuclear regulatory system. Subsequently, the NRC targeted a set of improvements designed to enhance accident mitigation, strengthen emergency preparedness and improve efficiency of NRC programs. Pursuant to the findings of the task force, in March 2012, the NRC issued three regulatory orders requiring safety enhancements related to mitigation strategies to respond to extreme natural events resulting in the loss of power at a plant, ensuring reliable hardened containment vents and enhancing spent fuel pool instrumentation. Duke Energy is committed to compliance with all safety enhancements ordered by the NRC and has completed actions on two of the three NRC orders, as required. The remaining order is focused only on enhancements to boiling water reactor designs which, for Duke Energy, is unique to Brunswick Steam Electric Plant. Actions associated with this third order will be completed by March 2019. With the NRC's continuing review of this matter, Duke Energy cannot predict to what extent the NRC will impose additional licensing and safety-related requirements or the costs of complying with such requirements. Upon receipt of additional guidance from the NRC and a collaborative industry review, Duke Energy will be able to determine an implementation plan and associated costs. See Item 1A, "Risk Factors," for further discussion of applicable risk factors.

New Accounting Standards

See Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Summary of Significant Accounting Policies," for a discussion of the impact of new accounting standards.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

PART II

See “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Results of Operations and Financial Condition – Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.”

84

PART II

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

| | |
|--|------------|
| Duke Energy Corporation (Duke Energy) | |
| Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm | <u>87</u> |
| Consolidated Statements of Operations | <u>88</u> |
| Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income | <u>89</u> |
| Consolidated Balance Sheets | <u>90</u> |
| Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows | <u>91</u> |
| Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity | <u>92</u> |
| | |
| Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC (Duke Energy Carolinas) | |
| Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm | <u>93</u> |
| Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income | <u>94</u> |
| Consolidated Balance Sheets | <u>95</u> |
| Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows | <u>96</u> |
| Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity | <u>97</u> |
| | |
| Progress Energy, Inc. (Progress Energy) | |
| Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm | <u>98</u> |
| Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income | <u>99</u> |
| Consolidated Balance Sheets | <u>100</u> |
| Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows | <u>101</u> |
| Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity | <u>102</u> |
| | |
| Duke Energy Progress, LLC (Duke Energy Progress) | |
| Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm | <u>103</u> |
| Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income | <u>104</u> |
| Consolidated Balance Sheets | <u>105</u> |
| Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows | <u>106</u> |
| Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity | <u>107</u> |
| | |
| Duke Energy Florida, LLC (Duke Energy Florida) | |
| Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm | <u>108</u> |
| Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income | <u>109</u> |
| Consolidated Balance Sheets | <u>110</u> |
| Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows | <u>111</u> |
| Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity | <u>112</u> |
| | |
| Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. (Duke Energy Ohio) | |
| Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm | <u>113</u> |
| Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income | <u>114</u> |
| Consolidated Balance Sheets | <u>115</u> |
| Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows | <u>116</u> |
| Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity | <u>117</u> |
| | |
| Duke Energy Indiana, LLC (Duke Energy Indiana) | |
| Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm | <u>118</u> |
| Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income | <u>119</u> |

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

| | |
|--|------------|
| Consolidated Balance Sheets | <u>120</u> |
| Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows | <u>121</u> |
| Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity | <u>122</u> |

Piedmont Natural Gas Company, Inc. (Piedmont)

| | |
|--|------------|
| Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm | <u>123</u> |
| Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income | <u>124</u> |
| Consolidated Balance Sheets | <u>125</u> |
| Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows | <u>126</u> |
| Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity | <u>127</u> |

PART II

| | |
|--|------------|
| Combined Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements | |
| Note 1 – Summary of Significant Accounting Policies | <u>128</u> |
| Note 2 – Acquisitions and Dispositions | <u>138</u> |
| Note 3 – Business Segments | <u>142</u> |
| Note 4 – Regulatory Matters | <u>145</u> |
| Note 5 – Commitments and Contingencies | <u>165</u> |
| Note 6 – Debt and Credit Facilities | <u>175</u> |
| Note 7 – Guarantees and Indemnifications | <u>181</u> |
| Note 8 – Joint Ownership of Generating and Transmission Facilities | <u>182</u> |
| Note 9 – Asset Retirement Obligations | <u>182</u> |
| Note 10 – Property, Plant and Equipment | <u>186</u> |
| Note 11 – Goodwill and Intangible Assets | <u>188</u> |
| Note 12 – Investments in Unconsolidated Affiliates | <u>191</u> |
| Note 13 – Related Party Transactions | <u>193</u> |
| Note 14 – Derivatives and Hedging | <u>194</u> |
| Note 15 – Investments in Debt and Equity Securities | <u>200</u> |
| Note 16 – Fair Value Measurements | <u>207</u> |
| Note 17 – Variable Interest Entities | <u>213</u> |
| Note 18 – Common Stock | <u>217</u> |
| Note 19 – Severance | <u>218</u> |
| Note 20 – Stock-Based Compensation | <u>218</u> |
| Note 21 – Employee Benefit Plans | <u>221</u> |
| Note 22 – Income Taxes | <u>244</u> |
| Note 23 – Other Income and Expenses, Net | <u>252</u> |
| Note 24 – Subsequent Events | <u>253</u> |
| Note 25 – Quarterly Financial Data (Unaudited) | <u>254</u> |

PART II

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the shareholders and the Board of Directors of Duke Energy Corporation

Opinion on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Duke Energy Corporation and subsidiaries (the "Company") as of December 31, 2017 and 2016, the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive income, changes in equity, and cash flows, for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2017, and the related notes (collectively referred to as the "financial statements"). In our opinion, the financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Company as of December 31, 2017 and 2016, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2017, in conformity with the accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) (PCAOB), the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2017, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated February 23, 2018, expressed an unqualified opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Basis for Opinion

These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's financial statements based on our audits. We are a public accounting firm registered with the PCAOB and are required to be independent with respect to the Company in accordance with the U.S. federal securities laws and the applicable rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission and the PCAOB. We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the PCAOB. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement, whether due to error or fraud. Our audits included performing procedures to assess the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to error or fraud, and performing procedures that respond to those risks. Such procedures included examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. Our audits also included evaluating the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

/s/Deloitte & Touche LLP

Charlotte, North Carolina

February 21, 2018

We have served as the Company's auditor since 1947.

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

| (in millions, except per share amounts) | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|--|--------------------------|-----------|----------|
| | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 |
| Operating Revenues | | | |
| Regulated electric | \$21,177 | \$21,221 | \$21,379 |
| Regulated natural gas | 1,734 | 863 | 536 |
| Nonregulated electric and other | 654 | 659 | 456 |
| Total operating revenues | 23,565 | 22,743 | 22,371 |
| Operating Expenses | | | |
| Fuel used in electric generation and purchased power | 6,350 | 6,625 | 7,355 |
| Cost of natural gas | 632 | 265 | 141 |
| Operation, maintenance and other | 5,788 | 6,085 | 5,539 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 3,527 | 3,294 | 3,053 |
| Property and other taxes | 1,233 | 1,142 | 1,129 |
| Impairment charges | 282 | 18 | 106 |
| Total operating expenses | 17,812 | 17,429 | 17,323 |
| Gains on Sales of Other Assets and Other, net | 28 | 27 | 30 |
| Operating Income | 5,781 | 5,341 | 5,078 |
| Other Income and Expenses | | | |
| Equity in earnings (losses) of unconsolidated affiliates | 119 | (15 |) 69 |
| Other income and expenses, net | 352 | 324 | 290 |
| Total other income and expenses | 471 | 309 | 359 |
| Interest Expense | 1,986 | 1,916 | 1,527 |
| Income From Continuing Operations Before Income Taxes | 4,266 | 3,734 | 3,910 |
| Income Tax Expense From Continuing Operations | 1,196 | 1,156 | 1,256 |
| Income From Continuing Operations | 3,070 | 2,578 | 2,654 |
| (Loss) Income From Discontinued Operations, net of tax | (6 |) (408 |) 177 |
| Net Income | 3,064 | 2,170 | 2,831 |
| Less: Net Income Attributable to Noncontrolling Interests | 5 | 18 | 15 |
| Net Income Attributable to Duke Energy Corporation | \$3,059 | \$2,152 | \$2,816 |
| Earnings Per Share – Basic and Diluted | | | |
| Income from continuing operations attributable to Duke Energy Corporation common stockholders | | | |
| Basic | \$4.37 | \$3.71 | \$3.80 |
| Diluted | \$4.37 | \$3.71 | \$3.80 |
| (Loss) Income from discontinued operations attributable to Duke Energy Corporation common stockholders | | | |
| Basic | \$(0.01 |) \$(0.60 |) \$0.25 |
| Diluted | \$(0.01 |) \$(0.60 |) \$0.25 |
| Net income attributable to Duke Energy Corporation common stockholders | | | |
| Basic | \$4.36 | \$3.11 | \$4.05 |
| Diluted | \$4.36 | \$3.11 | \$4.05 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding | | | |
| Basic | 700 | 691 | 694 |
| Diluted | 700 | 691 | 694 |
| See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements | | | |

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

| (in millions) | Years Ended December | | |
|---|----------------------|---------|---------|
| | 31, 2017 | 2016 | 2015 |
| Net Income | \$3,064 | \$2,170 | \$2,831 |
| Other Comprehensive Income (Loss), net of tax | | | |
| Foreign currency translation adjustments | — | 694 | (264) |
| Pension and OPEB adjustments | 3 | (11) | (13) |
| Net unrealized gains on cash flow hedges | 2 | 17 | — |
| Reclassification into earnings from cash flow hedges | 8 | 13 | 9 |
| Unrealized gains (losses) on available-for-sale securities | 13 | 2 | (6) |
| Other Comprehensive Income (Loss), net of tax | 26 | 715 | (274) |
| Comprehensive Income | 3,090 | 2,885 | 2,557 |
| Less: Comprehensive Income Attributable to Noncontrolling Interests | 5 | 20 | 4 |
| Comprehensive Income Attributable to Duke Energy Corporation | \$3,085 | \$2,865 | \$2,553 |

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

| (in millions) | December 31, | |
|---|--------------|------------|
| | 2017 | 2016 |
| ASSETS | | |
| Current Assets | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 358 | \$ 392 |
| Receivables (net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$14 at 2017 and 2016) | 779 | 751 |
| Receivables of VIEs (net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$54 at 2017 and 2016) | 1,995 | 1,893 |
| Inventory | 3,250 | 3,522 |
| Regulatory assets (includes \$51 at 2017 and \$50 at 2016 related to VIEs) | 1,437 | 1,023 |
| Other | 634 | 458 |
| Total current assets | 8,453 | 8,039 |
| Property, Plant and Equipment | | |
| Cost | 127,507 | 121,397 |
| Accumulated depreciation and amortization | (41,537) | (39,406) |
| Generation facilities to be retired, net | 421 | 529 |
| Net property, plant and equipment | 86,391 | 82,520 |
| Other Noncurrent Assets | | |
| Goodwill | 19,396 | 19,425 |
| Regulatory assets (includes \$1,091 at 2017 and \$1,142 at 2016 related to VIEs) | 12,442 | 12,878 |
| Nuclear decommissioning trust funds | 7,097 | 6,205 |
| Investments in equity method unconsolidated affiliates | 1,175 | 925 |
| Other | 2,960 | 2,769 |
| Total other noncurrent assets | 43,070 | 42,202 |
| Total Assets | \$ 137,914 | \$ 132,761 |
| LIABILITIES AND EQUITY | | |
| Current Liabilities | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 3,043 | \$ 2,994 |
| Notes payable and commercial paper | 2,163 | 2,487 |
| Taxes accrued | 551 | 384 |
| Interest accrued | 525 | 503 |
| Current maturities of long-term debt (includes \$225 at 2017 and \$260 at 2016 related to VIEs) | 3,244 | 2,319 |
| Asset retirement obligations | 689 | 411 |
| Regulatory liabilities | 402 | 409 |
| Other | 1,865 | 2,044 |
| Total current liabilities | 12,482 | 11,551 |
| Long-Term Debt (includes \$4,306 at 2017 and \$3,587 at 2016 related to VIEs) | 49,035 | 45,576 |
| Other Noncurrent Liabilities | | |
| Deferred income taxes | 6,621 | 14,155 |
| Asset retirement obligations | 9,486 | 10,200 |
| Regulatory liabilities | 15,330 | 6,881 |
| Accrued pension and other post-retirement benefit costs | 1,103 | 1,111 |
| Investment tax credits | 539 | 493 |
| Other | 1,581 | 1,753 |
| Total other noncurrent liabilities | 34,660 | 34,593 |
| Commitments and Contingencies | | |
| Equity | | |

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

| | | |
|---|-----------|-----------|
| Common stock, \$0.001 par value, 2 billion shares authorized; 700 million shares outstanding at 2017 and 2016 | 1 | 1 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 38,792 | 38,741 |
| Retained earnings | 3,013 | 2,384 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (67 |) (93) |
| Total Duke Energy Corporation stockholders' equity | 41,739 | 41,033 |
| Noncontrolling interests | (2 |) 8 |
| Total equity | 41,737 | 41,041 |
| Total Liabilities and Equity | \$137,914 | \$132,761 |

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

90

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

| | Years Ended December | | |
|--|----------------------|-----------|----------|
| | 31, | 2016 | 2015 |
| (in millions) | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES | | | |
| Net income | \$3,064 | \$2,170 | \$2,831 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | | |
| Depreciation, amortization and accretion (including amortization of nuclear fuel) | 4,046 | 3,880 | 3,613 |
| Equity component of AFUDC | (237) | (200) | (164) |
| (Gains) Losses on sales of other assets | (33) | 477 | (48) |
| Impairment charges | 282 | 212 | 153 |
| Deferred income taxes | 1,433 | 900 | 1,244 |
| Equity in (earnings) losses of unconsolidated affiliates | (119) | 15 | (69) |
| Accrued pension and other post-retirement benefit costs | 8 | 21 | 71 |
| Contributions to qualified pension plans | (19) | (155) | (302) |
| Payments for asset retirement obligations | (571) | (608) | (346) |
| (Increase) decrease in | | | |
| Net realized and unrealized mark-to-market and hedging transactions | 18 | 34 | (29) |
| Receivables | (83) | (372) | 383 |
| Inventory | 268 | 272 | (237) |
| Other current assets | (388) | (220) | (65) |
| Increase (decrease) in | | | |
| Accounts payable | (204) | 296 | (6) |
| Taxes accrued | 149 | 236 | (38) |
| Other current liabilities | (482) | 182 | 168 |
| Other assets | (438) | (186) | (216) |
| Other liabilities | (60) | (137) | (243) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 6,634 | 6,817 | 6,700 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES | | | |
| Capital expenditures | (8,052) | (7,901) | (6,766) |
| Contributions to equity method investments | (414) | (307) | (263) |
| Acquisitions, net of cash acquired | (13) | (4,778) | (1,334) |
| Return of investment capital | 281 | 1 | 3 |
| Purchases of available-for-sale securities | (4,071) | (5,153) | (4,037) |
| Proceeds from sales and maturities of available-for-sale securities | 4,098 | 5,236 | 4,040 |
| Proceeds from the sales of discontinued operations and other assets, net of cash divested | — | 1,418 | 2,968 |
| Change in restricted cash | (10) | (4) | 191 |
| Other | (269) | (45) | (79) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (8,450) | (11,533) | (5,277) |
| CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES | | | |
| Proceeds from the: | | | |
| Issuance of long-term debt | 6,909 | 9,238 | 2,955 |
| Issuance of common stock | — | 731 | 17 |
| Payments for the redemption of long-term debt | (2,316) | (1,923) | (3,029) |
| Proceeds from the issuance of short-term debt with original maturities greater than 90 days | 319 | 2,081 | 379 |
| Payments for the redemption of short-term debt with original maturities greater than 90 days | (272) | (2,166) | (931) |

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|---|----------|----------|----------|
| Notes payable and commercial paper | (409) | (1,362) | 1,797 |
| Dividends paid | (2,450) | (2,332) | (2,254) |
| Repurchase of common shares | — | — | (1,500) |
| Other | 1 | (16) | (36) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | 1,782 | 4,251 | (2,602) |
| Changes in cash and cash equivalents included in assets held for sale | — | 474 | 1,099 |
| Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents | (34) | 9 | (80) |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 392 | 383 | 463 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$358 | \$392 | \$383 |
| Supplemental Disclosures: | | | |
| Cash paid for interest, net of amount capitalized | \$1,963 | \$1,794 | \$1,607 |
| Cash paid for income taxes | 4 | 229 | 170 |
| Significant non-cash transactions: | | | |
| Accrued capital expenditures | 1,032 | 1,000 | 771 |
| See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements | | | |

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN EQUITY

| | Duke Energy Corporation Stockholders' Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|--------|------------|----------|-------------|--------|------------|------------|-------------|----------------|----------|
| | Common | | Additional | | Foreign Net | | Net | | Total | | |
| | Stock | Common | Paid-in | Retained | Currency | Losses | on | Pension | Duke | Duke | |
| | Shares | Stock | Capital | Earnings | Translation | on | Available | Plan | Energy | Energy | |
| (in millions) | | | | | Adjustment | Cash | for-Sale | Adjustment | Corporation | Noncontrolling | Total |
| | | | | | | Flow | Securities | | Equity | Interests | Equity |
| Balance at December 31, 2014 | 707 | \$ 1 | \$39,405 | \$2,012 | \$(439) | \$(59) | \$ 3 | \$ (48) | \$40,875 | \$ 24 | \$40,899 |
| Net income | — | — | — | 2,816 | — | — | — | — | 2,816 | 15 | 2,831 |
| Other comprehensive (loss) income | — | — | — | — | (253) | 9 | (6) | (13) | (263) | (11) | (274) |
| Common stock issuances, including dividend 1 reinvestment and employee benefits | — | — | 63 | — | — | — | — | — | 63 | — | 63 |
| Stock repurchase | (20) | — | (1,500) | — | — | — | — | — | (1,500) | — | (1,500) |
| Common stock dividends | — | — | — | (2,254) | — | — | — | — | (2,254) | — | (2,254) |
| Distributions to noncontrolling interest in subsidiaries | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | (9) | (9) |
| Other ^(a) | — | — | — | (10) | — | — | — | — | (10) | 25 | 15 |
| Balance at December 31, 2015 | 688 | \$ 1 | \$37,968 | \$2,564 | \$(692) | \$(50) | \$ (3) | \$ (61) | \$39,727 | \$ 44 | \$39,771 |
| Net income | — | — | — | 2,152 | — | — | — | — | 2,152 | 18 | 2,170 |
| Other comprehensive (loss) income ^(b) | — | — | — | — | 692 | 30 | 2 | (11) | 713 | 2 | 715 |
| Common stock issuances, including dividend 12 reinvestment and employee benefits | — | — | 773 | — | — | — | — | — | 773 | — | 773 |
| | — | — | — | (2,332) | — | — | — | — | (2,332) | — | (2,332) |

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----|------|----------|----------|-----|--------|---------|---------|----------|---------|----------|
| Common stock dividends | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Distributions to noncontrolling interest in subsidiaries | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | (6) | (6) |
| Other ^(c) | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | (50) | (50) |
| Balance at December 31, 2016 | 700 | \$ 1 | \$38,741 | \$2,384 | \$— | \$(20) | \$ (1) | \$(72) | \$41,033 | \$ 8 | \$41,041 |
| Net income | — | — | — | 3,059 | — | — | — | — | 3,059 | 5 | 3,064 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) | — | — | — | — | — | 10 | 13 | 3 | 26 | — | 26 |
| Common stock issuances, including dividend reinvestment and employee benefits | — | — | 51 | — | — | — | — | — | 51 | — | 51 |
| Common stock dividends | — | — | — | (2,450) | — | — | — | — | (2,450) | — | (2,450) |
| Distributions to noncontrolling interests in subsidiaries | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | (2) | (2) |
| Other ^(d) | — | — | — | 20 | — | — | — | — | 20 | (13) | 7 |
| Balance at December 31, 2017 | 700 | \$ 1 | \$38,792 | \$3,013 | \$— | \$(10) | \$ 12 | \$(69) | \$41,739 | \$ (2) | \$41,737 |

(a) Noncontrolling Interests amount is primarily related to the acquisitions of a majority interest in a provider of energy management systems and services for commercial customers and a solar company.

(b) Foreign Currency Translation Adjustments amount includes \$620 million of cumulative adjustment realized as a result of the sale of the Latin American generation business. See Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

(c) Noncontrolling Interests amount is primarily related to the sale of the Latin American generation business. See Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

(d) Retained Earnings relates to a cumulative-effect adjustment due to implementation of a new accounting standard related to stock-based compensation and the associated income taxes. See Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information. Noncontrolling Interests relates to the purchase of remaining interest in REC Solar.

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

PART II

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the shareholder and the Board of Directors of Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC

Opinion on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC and subsidiaries (the "Company") as of December 31, 2017 and 2016, the related consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income, changes in equity, and cash flows, for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2017, and the related notes (collectively referred to as the "financial statements"). In our opinion, the financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Company as of December 31, 2017 and 2016, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2017, in conformity with the accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Basis for Opinion

These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's financial statements based on our audits. We are a public accounting firm registered with the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) (PCAOB) and are required to be independent with respect to the Company in accordance with the U.S. federal securities laws and the applicable rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission and the PCAOB.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the PCAOB. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement, whether due to error or fraud. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. As part of our audits, we are required to obtain an understanding of internal control over financial reporting but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. Our audits included performing procedures to assess the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to error or fraud, and performing procedures that respond to those risks. Such procedures included examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. Our audits also included evaluating the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

/s/Deloitte & Touche LLP

Charlotte, North Carolina

February 21, 2018

We have served as the Company's auditor since 1947.

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

| (in millions) | Years Ended December | | |
|--|----------------------|---------|---------|
| | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 |
| Operating Revenues | \$7,302 | \$7,322 | \$7,229 |
| Operating Expenses | | | |
| Fuel used in electric generation and purchased power | 1,822 | 1,797 | 1,881 |
| Operation, maintenance and other | 1,961 | 2,106 | 2,066 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 1,090 | 1,075 | 1,051 |
| Property and other taxes | 281 | 276 | 269 |
| Impairment charges | — | 1 | 1 |
| Total operating expenses | 5,154 | 5,255 | 5,268 |
| Gain (Loss) on Sales of Other Assets and Other, net | 1 | (5) | (1) |
| Operating Income | 2,149 | 2,062 | 1,960 |
| Other Income and Expenses, net | 139 | 162 | 160 |
| Interest Expense | 422 | 424 | 412 |
| Income Before Income Taxes | 1,866 | 1,800 | 1,708 |
| Income Tax Expense | 652 | 634 | 627 |
| Net Income | \$1,214 | \$1,166 | \$1,081 |
| Other Comprehensive Income, net of tax | | | |
| Reclassification into earnings from cash flow hedges | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Unrealized gains on available-for-sale securities | — | — | 1 |
| Other Comprehensive Income, net of tax | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Comprehensive Income | \$1,216 | \$1,168 | \$1,083 |
| See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements | | | |

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

| (in millions) | December 31, | |
|--|--------------|-----------|
| | 2017 | 2016 |
| ASSETS | | |
| Current Assets | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 16 | \$ 14 |
| Receivables (net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$2 at 2017 and 2016) | 200 | 160 |
| Receivables of VIEs (net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$7 at 2017 and 2016) | 640 | 645 |
| Receivables from affiliated companies | 95 | 163 |
| Notes receivable from affiliated companies | — | 66 |
| Inventory | 971 | 1,055 |
| Regulatory assets | 299 | 238 |
| Other | 19 | 37 |
| Total current assets | 2,240 | 2,378 |
| Property, Plant and Equipment | | |
| Cost | 42,939 | 41,127 |
| Accumulated depreciation and amortization | (15,063) | (14,365) |
| Net property, plant and equipment | 27,876 | 26,762 |
| Other Noncurrent Assets | | |
| Regulatory assets | 2,853 | 3,159 |
| Nuclear decommissioning trust funds | 3,772 | 3,273 |
| Other | 979 | 943 |
| Total other noncurrent assets | 7,604 | 7,375 |
| Total Assets | \$37,720 | \$36,515 |
| LIABILITIES AND EQUITY | | |
| Current Liabilities | | |
| Accounts payable | \$842 | \$833 |
| Accounts payable to affiliated companies | 209 | 247 |
| Notes payable to affiliated companies | 104 | — |
| Taxes accrued | 234 | 143 |
| Interest accrued | 108 | 102 |
| Current maturities of long-term debt | 1,205 | 116 |
| Asset retirement obligations | 337 | 222 |
| Regulatory liabilities | 126 | 161 |
| Other | 486 | 468 |
| Total current liabilities | 3,651 | 2,292 |
| Long-Term Debt | | |
| Long-Term Debt Payable to Affiliated Companies | 300 | 300 |
| Other Noncurrent Liabilities | | |
| Deferred income taxes | 3,413 | 6,544 |
| Asset retirement obligations | 3,273 | 3,673 |
| Regulatory liabilities | 6,231 | 2,840 |
| Accrued pension and other post-retirement benefit costs | 95 | 97 |
| Investment tax credits | 232 | 203 |
| Other | 566 | 607 |
| Total other noncurrent liabilities | 13,810 | 13,964 |
| Commitments and Contingencies | | |

| | | |
|--|----------|----------|
| Equity | | |
| Member's equity | 11,368 | 10,781 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (7) | (9) |
| Total equity | 11,361 | 10,772 |
| Total Liabilities and Equity | \$37,720 | \$36,515 |
| See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements | | |

95

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

| | Years Ended December | | |
|---|----------------------|----------|----------|
| | 31, | | |
| (in millions) | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES | | | |
| Net income | \$1,214 | \$1,166 | \$1,081 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization (including amortization of nuclear fuel) | 1,409 | 1,382 | 1,361 |
| Equity component of AFUDC | (106) | (102) | (96) |
| (Gains) Losses on sales of other assets | (1) | 5 | 1 |
| Impairment charges | — | 1 | 1 |
| Deferred income taxes | 410 | 470 | 397 |
| Accrued pension and other post-retirement benefit costs | (4) | 4 | 15 |
| Contributions to qualified pension plans | — | (43) | (91) |
| Payments for asset retirement obligations | (271) | (287) | (167) |
| (Increase) decrease in | | | |
| Net realized and unrealized mark-to-market and hedging transactions | 9 | 5 | — |
| Receivables | (9) | (76) | 42 |
| Receivables from affiliated companies | 68 | (56) | (32) |
| Inventory | 78 | 215 | (157) |
| Other current assets | 7 | 67 | (51) |
| Increase (decrease) in | | | |
| Accounts payable | 23 | (69) | (4) |
| Accounts payable to affiliated companies | (38) | 18 | 75 |
| Taxes accrued | 86 | 187 | (128) |
| Other current liabilities | (161) | 63 | 127 |
| Other assets | (49) | 20 | 76 |
| Other liabilities | (31) | 6 | (77) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 2,634 | 2,976 | 2,373 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES | | | |
| Capital expenditures | (2,524) | (2,220) | (1,933) |
| Purchases of available-for-sale securities | (2,124) | (2,832) | (2,555) |
| Proceeds from sales and maturities of available-for-sale securities | 2,128 | 2,832 | 2,555 |
| Notes receivable from affiliated companies | 66 | 97 | (13) |
| Other | (109) | (83) | (35) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (2,563) | (2,206) | (1,981) |
| CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES | | | |
| Proceeds from the issuance of long-term debt | 569 | 1,587 | 516 |
| Payments for the redemption of long-term debt | (116) | (356) | (506) |
| Notes payable to affiliated companies | 104 | — | — |
| Distributions to parent | (625) | (2,000) | (401) |
| Other | (1) | — | (1) |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (69) | (769) | (392) |
| Net increase in cash and cash equivalents | 2 | 1 | — |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 14 | 13 | 13 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$16 | \$14 | \$13 |
| Supplemental Disclosures: | | | |

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|---|-------|-------|-------|
| Cash paid for interest, net of amount capitalized | \$398 | \$393 | \$389 |
| Cash paid for (received from) income taxes | 193 | (60) | 342 |
| Significant non-cash transactions: | | | |
| Accrued capital expenditures | 315 | 347 | 239 |
| See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements | | | |

96

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN EQUITY

| | Member's | Accumulated Other | | Total |
|------------------------------|-----------|-------------------|--------------------|-----------|
| | | Flow | Comprehensive Loss | |
| (in millions) | Equity | Hedges | on Cash | Equity |
| Balance at December 31, 2014 | \$ 10,937 | \$ (12) | \$ (1) | \$ 10,924 |
| Net income | 1,081 | — | — | 1,081 |
| Other comprehensive income | — | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Distributions to parent | (401) | — | — | (401) |
| Balance at December 31, 2015 | \$ 11,617 | \$ (11) | \$ — | \$ 11,606 |
| Net income | 1,166 | — | — | 1,166 |
| Other comprehensive income | — | 2 | — | 2 |
| Distributions to parent | (2,000) | — | — | (2,000) |
| Other | (2) | — | — | (2) |
| Balance at December 31, 2016 | \$ 10,781 | \$ (9) | \$ — | \$ 10,772 |
| Net income | 1,214 | — | — | 1,214 |
| Other comprehensive income | — | 2 | — | 2 |
| Distributions to parent | (625) | — | — | (625) |
| Other | (2) | — | — | (2) |
| Balance at December 31, 2017 | \$ 11,368 | \$ (7) | \$ — | \$ 11,361 |

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

PART II

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the shareholder and the Board of Directors of Progress Energy, Inc.

Opinion on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Progress Energy, Inc. and subsidiaries (the "Company") as of December 31, 2017 and 2016, the related consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income, changes in equity, and cash flows, for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2017, and the related notes (collectively referred to as the "financial statements"). In our opinion, the financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Company as of December 31, 2017 and 2016, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2017, in conformity with the accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Basis for Opinion

These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's financial statements based on our audits. We are a public accounting firm registered with the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) (PCAOB) and are required to be independent with respect to the Company in accordance with the U.S. federal securities laws and the applicable rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission and the PCAOB.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the PCAOB. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement, whether due to error or fraud. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. As part of our audits, we are required to obtain an understanding of internal control over financial reporting but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. Our audits included performing procedures to assess the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to error or fraud, and performing procedures that respond to those risks. Such procedures included examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. Our audits also included evaluating the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

/s/Deloitte & Touche LLP

Charlotte, North Carolina

February 21, 2018

We have served as the Company's auditor since 1930.

PART II

PROGRESS ENERGY, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

| (in millions) | Years Ended December | | |
|---|----------------------|---------|----------|
| | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 |
| Operating Revenues | \$9,783 | \$9,853 | \$10,277 |
| Operating Expenses | | | |
| Fuel used in electric generation and purchased power | 3,417 | 3,644 | 4,224 |
| Operation, maintenance and other | 2,220 | 2,386 | 2,298 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 1,285 | 1,213 | 1,116 |
| Property and other taxes | 503 | 487 | 492 |
| Impairment charges | 156 | 7 | 12 |
| Total operating expenses | 7,581 | 7,737 | 8,142 |
| Gains on Sales of Other Assets and Other, net | 26 | 25 | 25 |
| Operating Income | 2,228 | 2,141 | 2,160 |
| Other Income and Expenses, net | 128 | 114 | 97 |
| Interest Expense | 824 | 689 | 670 |
| Income From Continuing Operations Before Income Taxes | 1,532 | 1,566 | 1,587 |
| Income Tax Expense From Continuing Operations | 264 | 527 | 522 |
| Income From Continuing Operations | 1,268 | 1,039 | 1,065 |
| Income (Loss) From Discontinued Operations, net of tax | — | 2 | (3) |
| Net Income | 1,268 | 1,041 | 1,062 |
| Less: Net Income Attributable to Noncontrolling Interests | 10 | 10 | 11 |
| Net Income Attributable to Parent | \$1,258 | \$1,031 | \$1,051 |
| Net Income | \$1,268 | \$1,041 | \$1,062 |
| Other Comprehensive Income (Loss), net of tax | | | |
| Pension and OPEB adjustments | 4 | 1 | (10) |
| Net unrealized gain on cash flow hedges | 5 | — | — |
| Reclassification into earnings from cash flow hedges | — | 8 | 4 |
| Unrealized gains (losses) on available-for-sale securities | 4 | 1 | (1) |
| Other Comprehensive Income (Loss), net of tax | 13 | 10 | (7) |
| Comprehensive Income | 1,281 | 1,051 | 1,055 |
| Less: Comprehensive Income Attributable to Noncontrolling Interests | 10 | 10 | 11 |
| Comprehensive Income Attributable to Parent | \$1,271 | \$1,041 | \$1,044 |

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

PART II

PROGRESS ENERGY, INC.
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

| (in millions) | December 31, | |
|---|--------------|-----------|
| | 2017 | 2016 |
| ASSETS | | |
| Current Assets | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$40 | \$46 |
| Receivables (net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$4 at 2017 and \$6 at 2016) | 123 | 114 |
| Receivables of VIEs (net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$7 at 2017 and 2016) | 780 | 692 |
| Receivables from affiliated companies | 31 | 106 |
| Notes receivable from affiliated companies | 240 | 80 |
| Inventory | 1,592 | 1,717 |
| Regulatory assets (includes \$51 at 2017 and \$50 at 2016 related to VIEs) | 741 | 401 |
| Other | 334 | 148 |
| Total current assets | 3,881 | 3,304 |
| Property, Plant and Equipment | | |
| Cost | 47,323 | 44,864 |
| Accumulated depreciation and amortization | (15,857) | (15,212) |
| Generation facilities to be retired, net | 421 | 529 |
| Net property, plant and equipment | 31,887 | 30,181 |
| Other Noncurrent Assets | | |
| Goodwill | 3,655 | 3,655 |
| Regulatory assets (includes \$1,091 at 2017 and \$1,142 at 2016 related to VIEs) | 6,010 | 5,722 |
| Nuclear decommissioning trust funds | 3,324 | 2,932 |
| Other | 931 | 856 |
| Total other noncurrent assets | 13,920 | 13,165 |
| Total Assets | \$49,688 | \$46,650 |
| LIABILITIES AND EQUITY | | |
| Current Liabilities | | |
| Accounts payable | \$1,006 | \$1,003 |
| Accounts payable to affiliated companies | 251 | 348 |
| Notes payable to affiliated companies | 805 | 729 |
| Taxes accrued | 101 | 83 |
| Interest accrued | 212 | 201 |
| Current maturities of long-term debt (includes \$53 at 2017 and \$62 at 2016 related to VIEs) | 771 | 778 |
| Asset retirement obligations | 295 | 189 |
| Regulatory liabilities | 213 | 189 |
| Other | 729 | 745 |
| Total current liabilities | 4,383 | 4,265 |
| Long-Term Debt (includes \$1,689 at 2017 and \$1,741 at 2016 related to VIEs) | 16,916 | 15,590 |
| Long-Term Debt Payable to Affiliated Companies | 150 | 1,173 |
| Other Noncurrent Liabilities | | |
| Deferred income taxes | 3,502 | 5,246 |
| Asset retirement obligations | 5,119 | 5,286 |
| Regulatory liabilities | 5,306 | 2,395 |
| Accrued pension and other post-retirement benefit costs | 545 | 547 |
| Other | 302 | 341 |
| Total other noncurrent liabilities | 14,774 | 13,815 |

Commitments and Contingencies

Equity

| | | |
|--|----------|----------|
| Common stock, \$0.01 par value, 100 shares authorized and outstanding at 2017 and 2016 | — | — |
| Additional paid-in capital | 9,143 | 8,094 |
| Retained earnings | 4,350 | 3,764 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (25) | (38) |
| Total Progress Energy, Inc. stockholder's equity | 13,468 | 11,820 |
| Noncontrolling interests | (3) | (13) |
| Total equity | 13,465 | 11,807 |
| Total Liabilities and Equity | \$49,688 | \$46,650 |
| See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements | | |

100

PART II

PROGRESS ENERGY, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

| (in millions) | Years Ended December | | |
|---|----------------------|----------|----------|
| | 31, 2017 | 2016 | 2015 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES | | | |
| Net income | \$1,268 | \$1,041 | \$1,062 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | | |
| Depreciation, amortization and accretion (including amortization of nuclear fuel) | 1,516 | 1,435 | 1,312 |
| Equity component of AFUDC | (92) | (76) | (54) |
| Gains on sales of other assets | (28) | (34) | (31) |
| Impairment charges | 156 | 7 | 12 |
| Deferred income taxes | 703 | 532 | 714 |
| Accrued pension and other post-retirement benefit costs | (28) | (24) | (5) |
| Contributions to qualified pension plans | — | (43) | (83) |
| Payments for asset retirement obligations | (248) | (270) | (156) |
| (Increase) decrease in | | | |
| Net realized and unrealized mark-to-market and hedging transactions | — | 42 | (6) |
| Receivables | (89) | 7 | 105 |
| Receivables from affiliated companies | 71 | 211 | (316) |
| Inventory | 125 | 35 | (67) |
| Other current assets | (384) | 3 | 553 |
| Increase (decrease) in | | | |
| Accounts payable | (260) | 252 | (193) |
| Accounts payable to affiliated companies | (97) | 37 | 108 |
| Taxes accrued | 17 | 15 | (63) |
| Other current liabilities | (166) | (42) | 136 |
| Other assets | (301) | (248) | (167) |
| Other liabilities | (98) | (36) | (112) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 2,065 | 2,844 | 2,749 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES | | | |
| Capital expenditures | (3,152) | (3,306) | (2,698) |
| Asset Acquisitions | — | (10) | (1,249) |
| Purchases of available-for-sale securities | (1,806) | (2,143) | (1,174) |
| Proceeds from sales and maturities of available-for-sale securities | 1,824 | 2,187 | 1,211 |
| Proceeds from insurance | 7 | 58 | — |
| Proceeds from the sale of nuclear fuel | 20 | 20 | 102 |
| Notes receivable from affiliated companies | (160) | (80) | 220 |
| Change in restricted cash | 5 | (6) | — |
| Other | (86) | 47 | (34) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (3,348) | (3,233) | (3,622) |
| CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES | | | |
| Proceeds from the issuance of long-term debt | 2,118 | 2,375 | 1,186 |
| Payments for the redemption of long-term debt | (813) | (327) | (1,553) |
| Notes payable to affiliated companies | 100 | 444 | 623 |
| Capital contribution from parent | — | — | 625 |
| Dividends to parent | (124) | (2,098) | — |
| Other | (4) | (3) | (6) |

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|--|-------|--------|--------|
| Net cash provided by financing activities | 1,277 | 391 | 875 |
| Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents | (6 |) 2 | 2 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 46 | 44 | 42 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$40 | \$46 | \$44 |
| Supplemental Disclosures: | | | |
| Cash paid for interest, net of amount capitalized | \$773 | \$673 | \$649 |
| Cash (received from) paid for income taxes | (146 |) (187 |) (426 |
| Significant non-cash transactions: | | | |
| Accrued capital expenditures | 391 | 317 | 329 |
| Equitization of certain notes payable to affiliates | 1,047 | — | — |
| Dividend to parent related to a legal entity restructuring | 547 | — | — |
| See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements | | | |

PART II

PROGRESS ENERGY, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN EQUITY

| | Additional | | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss | Net | Net Unrealized | Pension and | Total Progress Energy, Inc. | | |
|--|------------|----------|---|---|-------------------|----------------|--------------------------------------|----------------|-----------|
| | Paid-in | Retained | Losses on Cash Flow | Net Gains on Available-for- Sale Securities | FOPEB | Adjustmen | Stockholder's | Noncontrolling | Total |
| (in millions) | Capital | Earnings | Hedges | | | | Equity | Interests | Equity |
| Balance at December 31, 2014 | \$ 7,467 | \$ 3,782 | \$(35) | \$ 1 | \$ (7) | | \$ 11,208 | \$ (32) | \$ 11,176 |
| Net income | — | 1,051 | — | — | — | | 1,051 | 11 | 1,062 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) | — | — | 4 | (1) | (10) | (7) | | — | (7) |
| Distributions to noncontrolling interests | — | — | — | — | — | — | | (4) | (4) |
| Capital contribution from parent | 625 | — | — | — | — | — | 625 | — | 625 |
| Other | — | (2) | — | — | — | — | (2) | 3 | 1 |
| Balance at December 31, 2015 | \$ 8,092 | \$ 4,831 | \$(31) | \$ — | \$ (17) | | \$ 12,875 | \$ (22) | \$ 12,853 |
| Net income | — | 1,031 | — | — | — | | 1,031 | 10 | 1,041 |
| Other comprehensive income | — | — | 8 | 1 | 1 | | 10 | — | 10 |
| Distributions to noncontrolling interests | — | — | — | — | — | — | | (1) | (1) |
| Dividends to parent | — | (2,098) | — | — | — | — | (2,098) | — | (2,098) |
| Other | 2 | — | — | — | — | — | 2 | — | 2 |
| Balance at December 31, 2016 | \$ 8,094 | \$ 3,764 | \$(23) | \$ 1 | \$ (16) | | \$ 11,820 | \$ (13) | \$ 11,807 |
| Net income | — | 1,258 | — | — | — | | 1,258 | 10 | 1,268 |
| Other comprehensive income | — | — | 5 | 4 | 4 | | 13 | — | 13 |
| Dividends to parent ^(a) | — | (672) | — | — | — | — | (672) | — | (672) |
| Equitization of certain notes payable to affiliates | 1,047 | — | — | — | — | — | 1,047 | — | 1,047 |
| Other | 2 | — | — | — | — | — | 2 | — | 2 |
| Balance at December 31, 2017 | \$ 9,143 | \$ 4,350 | \$(18) | \$ 5 | \$ (12) | | \$ 13,468 | \$ (3) | \$ 13,465 |

(a) Includes a \$547 million non-cash dividend related to a legal entity restructuring.

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

PART II

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the shareholder and the Board of Directors of Duke Energy Progress, LLC

Opinion on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Duke Energy Progress, LLC and subsidiaries (the "Company") as of December 31, 2017 and 2016, the related consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income, changes in equity, and cash flows, for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2017, and the related notes (collectively referred to as the "financial statements"). In our opinion, the financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Company as of December 31, 2017 and 2016, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2017, in conformity with the accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Basis for Opinion

These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's financial statements based on our audits. We are a public accounting firm registered with the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) (PCAOB) and are required to be independent with respect to the Company in accordance with the U.S. federal securities laws and the applicable rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission and the PCAOB.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the PCAOB. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement, whether due to error or fraud. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. As part of our audits, we are required to obtain an understanding of internal control over financial reporting but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. Our audits included performing procedures to assess the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to error or fraud, and performing procedures that respond to those risks. Such procedures included examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. Our audits also included evaluating the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

/s/Deloitte & Touche LLP

Charlotte, North Carolina

February 21, 2018

We have served as the Company's auditor since 1930.

PART II

DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

| (in millions) | Years Ended | | |
|--|--------------|---------|---------|
| | December 31, | | |
| | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 |
| Operating Revenues | \$5,129 | \$5,277 | \$5,290 |
| Operating Expenses | | | |
| Fuel used in electric generation and purchased power | 1,609 | 1,830 | 2,029 |
| Operation, maintenance and other | 1,389 | 1,504 | 1,452 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 725 | 703 | 643 |
| Property and other taxes | 156 | 156 | 140 |
| Impairment charges | 19 | 1 | 5 |
| Total operating expenses | 3,898 | 4,194 | 4,269 |
| Gains on Sales of Other Assets and Other, net | 4 | 3 | 3 |
| Operating Income | 1,235 | 1,086 | 1,024 |
| Other Income and Expenses, net | 65 | 71 | 71 |
| Interest Expense | 293 | 257 | 235 |
| Income Before Income Taxes | 1,007 | 900 | 860 |
| Income Tax Expense | 292 | 301 | 294 |
| Net Income and Comprehensive Income | \$715 | \$599 | \$566 |
| See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements | | | |

PART II

DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

| (in millions) | December 31, | |
|--|--------------|-----------|
| | 2017 | 2016 |
| ASSETS | | |
| Current Assets | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$20 | \$11 |
| Receivables (net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$1 at 2017 and \$4 at 2016) | 56 | 51 |
| Receivables of VIEs (net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$5 at 2017 and 2016) | 459 | 404 |
| Receivables from affiliated companies | 3 | 5 |
| Notes receivable from affiliated companies | — | 165 |
| Inventory | 1,017 | 1,076 |
| Regulatory assets | 352 | 188 |
| Other | 97 | 57 |
| Total current assets | 2,004 | 1,957 |
| Property, Plant and Equipment | | |
| Cost | 29,583 | 28,419 |
| Accumulated depreciation and amortization | (10,903) | (10,561) |
| Generation facilities to be retired, net | 421 | 529 |
| Net property, plant and equipment | 19,101 | 18,387 |
| Other Noncurrent Assets | | |
| Regulatory assets | 3,507 | 3,243 |
| Nuclear decommissioning trust funds | 2,588 | 2,217 |
| Other | 599 | 525 |
| Total other noncurrent assets | 6,694 | 5,985 |
| Total Assets | \$27,799 | \$26,329 |
| LIABILITIES AND EQUITY | | |
| Current Liabilities | | |
| Accounts payable | \$402 | \$589 |
| Accounts payable to affiliated companies | 179 | 227 |
| Notes payable to affiliated companies | 240 | — |
| Taxes accrued | 64 | 104 |
| Interest accrued | 102 | 102 |
| Current maturities of long-term debt | 3 | 452 |
| Asset retirement obligations | 295 | 189 |
| Regulatory liabilities | 139 | 158 |
| Other | 376 | 365 |
| Total current liabilities | 1,800 | 2,186 |
| Long-Term Debt | | |
| Long-Term Debt Payable to Affiliated Companies | 7,204 | 6,409 |
| Other Noncurrent Liabilities | 150 | 150 |
| Deferred income taxes | 1,883 | 3,323 |
| Asset retirement obligations | 4,378 | 4,508 |
| Regulatory liabilities | 3,999 | 1,946 |
| Accrued pension and other post-retirement benefit costs | 248 | 252 |
| Investment tax credits | 143 | 146 |
| Other | 45 | 51 |
| Total other noncurrent liabilities | 10,696 | 10,226 |

Commitments and Contingencies

Equity

Member's Equity

7,949 7,358

Total Liabilities and Equity

\$27,799 \$26,329

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

105

PART II

DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|---|-----------------------------|---------|---------|
| (in millions) | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES | | | |
| Net income | \$715 | \$599 | \$566 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | | |
| Depreciation, amortization and accretion (including amortization of nuclear fuel) | 936 | 907 | 821 |
| Equity component of AFUDC | (47) | (50) | (47) |
| Gains on sales of other assets | (5) | (6) | (7) |
| Impairment charges | 19 | 1 | 5 |
| Deferred income taxes | 384 | 384 | 354 |
| Accrued pension and other post-retirement benefit costs | (20) | (32) | (14) |
| Contributions to qualified pension plans | — | (24) | (42) |
| Payments for asset retirement obligations | (192) | (212) | (109) |
| (Increase) decrease in | | | |
| Net realized and unrealized mark-to-market and hedging transactions | (4) | 4 | (3) |
| Receivables | (58) | (17) | 43 |
| Receivables from affiliated companies | 2 | 11 | (6) |
| Inventory | 59 | 12 | (50) |
| Other current assets | (75) | 84 | 185 |
| Increase (decrease) in | | | |
| Accounts payable | (230) | 181 | (65) |
| Accounts payable to affiliated companies | (48) | 37 | 70 |
| Taxes accrued | (39) | 90 | (34) |
| Other current liabilities | (131) | 114 | 76 |
| Other assets | (53) | (163) | (83) |
| Other liabilities | (18) | 12 | (66) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 1,195 | 1,932 | 1,594 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES | | | |
| Capital expenditures | (1,715) | (1,733) | (1,669) |
| Asset acquisition | — | — | (1,249) |
| Purchases of available-for-sale securities | (1,249) | (1,658) | (727) |
| Proceeds from sales and maturities of available-for-sale securities | 1,207 | 1,615 | 672 |
| Proceeds from insurance | 4 | — | — |
| Notes receivable from affiliated companies | 165 | (165) | 237 |
| Other | (55) | 26 | (30) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (1,643) | (1,915) | (2,766) |
| CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES | | | |
| Proceeds from the issuance of long-term debt | 812 | 505 | 1,186 |
| Payments for the redemption of long-term debt | (470) | (15) | (991) |
| Notes payable to affiliated companies | 240 | (209) | 359 |
| Capital contribution from parent | — | — | 626 |
| Distributions to parent | (124) | (300) | — |
| Other | (1) | (2) | (2) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | 457 | (21) | 1,178 |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | 9 | (4) | 6 |

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|---|-------|--------|--------|
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 11 | 15 | 9 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$20 | \$11 | \$15 |
| Supplemental Disclosures: | | | |
| Cash paid for interest, net of amount capitalized | \$291 | \$248 | \$218 |
| Cash paid for (received from) income taxes | 59 | (287) | (197) |
| Significant non-cash transactions: | | | |
| Accrued capital expenditures | 191 | 147 | 143 |
| See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements | | | |

106

PART II

DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN EQUITY

| (in millions) | Common Stock | Retained Earnings | Member's Equity | Total Equity |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| Balance at December 31, 2014 | \$ 2,159 | \$ 3,708 | \$ — | \$5,867 |
| Net income | — | 355 | 211 | 566 |
| Transfer to Member's Equity | (2,159) | (4,063) | 6,222 | — |
| Capital contribution from parent | — | — | 626 | 626 |
| Balance at December 31, 2015 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ 7,059 | \$7,059 |
| Net income | — | — | 599 | 599 |
| Distribution to parent | — | — | (300) | (300) |
| Balance at December 31, 2016 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ 7,358 | \$7,358 |
| Net income | — | — | 715 | 715 |
| Distribution to parent | — | — | (124) | (124) |
| Balance at December 31, 2017 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ 7,949 | \$7,949 |

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

PART II

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the shareholder and the Board of Directors of Duke Energy Florida, LLC

Opinion on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Duke Energy Florida, LLC and subsidiaries (the "Company") as of December 31, 2017 and 2016, the related consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income, changes in equity, and cash flows, for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2017, and the related notes (collectively referred to as the "financial statements"). In our opinion, the financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Company as of December 31, 2017 and 2016, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2017, in conformity with the accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Basis for Opinion

These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's financial statements based on our audits. We are a public accounting firm registered with the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) (PCAOB) and are required to be independent with respect to the Company in accordance with the U.S. federal securities laws and the applicable rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission and the PCAOB.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the PCAOB. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement, whether due to error or fraud. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. As part of our audits, we are required to obtain an understanding of internal control over financial reporting but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. Our audits included performing procedures to assess the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to error or fraud, and performing procedures that respond to those risks. Such procedures included examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. Our audits also included evaluating the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

/s/Deloitte & Touche LLP

Charlotte, North Carolina

February 21, 2018

We have served as the Company's auditor since 2001.

PART II

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

| (in millions) | Years Ended | | |
|--|--------------|---------|---------|
| | December 31, | | |
| | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 |
| Operating Revenues | \$4,646 | \$4,568 | \$4,977 |
| Operating Expenses | | | |
| Fuel used in electric generation and purchased power | 1,808 | 1,814 | 2,195 |
| Operation, maintenance and other | 818 | 865 | 835 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 560 | 509 | 473 |
| Property and other taxes | 347 | 333 | 352 |
| Impairment charges | 138 | 6 | 7 |
| Total operating expenses | 3,671 | 3,527 | 3,862 |
| Gains on Sales of Other Assets and Other, net | 1 | — | — |
| Operating Income | 976 | 1,041 | 1,115 |
| Other Income and Expenses, net | 61 | 44 | 24 |
| Interest Expense | 279 | 212 | 198 |
| Income Before Income Taxes | 758 | 873 | 941 |
| Income Tax Expense | 46 | 322 | 342 |
| Net Income | \$712 | \$551 | \$599 |
| Other Comprehensive Income, net of tax | | | |
| Unrealized gains on available-for-sale securities | 3 | 1 | — |
| Other Comprehensive Income, net of tax | 3 | 1 | — |
| Comprehensive Income | \$715 | \$552 | \$599 |
| See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements | | | |

PART II

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

| (in millions) | December 31, | |
|---|--------------|-----------|
| | 2017 | 2016 |
| ASSETS | | |
| Current Assets | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 13 | \$ 16 |
| Receivables (net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$3 at 2017 and \$2 at 2016) | 65 | 61 |
| Receivables of VIEs (net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$2 at 2017 and 2016) | 321 | 288 |
| Receivables from affiliated companies | 2 | 5 |
| Notes receivable from affiliated companies | 313 | — |
| Inventory | 574 | 641 |
| Regulatory assets (includes \$51 at 2017 and \$50 at 2016 related to VIEs) | 389 | 213 |
| Other (includes \$40 at 2017 and \$53 at 2016 related to VIEs) | 86 | 125 |
| Total current assets | 1,763 | 1,349 |
| Property, Plant and Equipment | | |
| Cost | 17,730 | 16,434 |
| Accumulated depreciation and amortization | (4,947) | (4,644) |
| Net property, plant and equipment | 12,783 | 11,790 |
| Other Noncurrent Assets | | |
| Regulatory assets (includes \$1,091 at 2017 and \$1,142 at 2016 related to VIEs) | 2,503 | 2,480 |
| Nuclear decommissioning trust funds | 736 | 715 |
| Other | 284 | 278 |
| Total other noncurrent assets | 3,523 | 3,473 |
| Total Assets | \$ 18,069 | \$ 16,612 |
| LIABILITIES AND EQUITY | | |
| Current Liabilities | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 602 | \$ 413 |
| Accounts payable to affiliated companies | 74 | 125 |
| Notes payable to affiliated companies | — | 297 |
| Taxes accrued | 34 | 33 |
| Interest accrued | 56 | 49 |
| Current maturities of long-term debt (includes \$53 at 2017 and \$62 at 2016 related to VIEs) | 768 | 326 |
| Regulatory liabilities | 74 | 31 |
| Other | 334 | 352 |
| Total current liabilities | 1,942 | 1,626 |
| Long-Term Debt (includes \$1,389 at 2017 and \$1,442 at 2016 related to VIEs) | 6,327 | 5,799 |
| Other Noncurrent Liabilities | | |
| Deferred income taxes | 1,761 | 2,694 |
| Asset retirement obligations | 742 | 778 |
| Regulatory liabilities | 1,307 | 448 |
| Accrued pension and other post-retirement benefit costs | 264 | 262 |
| Other | 108 | 105 |
| Total other noncurrent liabilities | 4,182 | 4,287 |
| Commitments and Contingencies | | |
| Equity | | |
| Member's equity | 5,614 | 4,899 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | 4 | 1 |

| | | |
|--|----------|----------|
| Total equity | 5,618 | 4,900 |
| Total Liabilities and Equity | \$18,069 | \$16,612 |
| See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements | | |

PART II

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

| (in millions) | Years Ended | | |
|---|--------------|----------|----------|
| | December 31, | | |
| | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES | | | |
| Net income | \$712 | \$551 | \$599 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | | |
| Depreciation, amortization and accretion | 570 | 516 | 480 |
| Equity component of AFUDC | (45) | (26) | (7) |
| Gains on sales of other assets | (1) | — | — |
| Impairment charges | 138 | 6 | 7 |
| Deferred income taxes | 245 | 224 | 348 |
| Accrued pension and other post-retirement benefit costs | (13) | 2 | 5 |
| Contributions to qualified pension plans | — | (20) | (40) |
| Payments for asset retirement obligations | (56) | (58) | (47) |
| (Increase) decrease in | | | |
| Net realized and unrealized mark-to-market and hedging transactions | 5 | 38 | (3) |
| Receivables | (38) | 23 | 61 |
| Receivables from affiliated companies | — | 21 | (44) |
| Inventory | 66 | 23 | (17) |
| Other current assets | (125) | (133) | 116 |
| Increase (decrease) in | | | |
| Accounts payable | (32) | 71 | (127) |
| Accounts payable to affiliated companies | (51) | 9 | 46 |
| Taxes accrued | 1 | (117) | 67 |
| Other current liabilities | (37) | (149) | 57 |
| Other assets | (229) | (84) | (84) |
| Other liabilities | (82) | (53) | (44) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 1,028 | 844 | 1,373 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES | | | |
| Capital expenditures | (1,437) | (1,583) | (1,029) |
| Purchases of available-for-sale securities | (557) | (485) | (447) |
| Proceeds from sales and maturities of available-for-sale securities | 617 | 572 | 538 |
| Proceeds from insurance | 4 | 58 | — |
| Proceeds from the sale of nuclear fuel | 20 | 20 | 102 |
| Notes receivable from affiliated companies | (313) | — | — |
| Change in restricted cash | — | (6) | — |
| Other | (31) | 21 | (3) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (1,697) | (1,403) | (839) |
| CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES | | | |
| Proceeds from the issuance of long-term debt | 1,306 | 1,870 | — |
| Payments for the redemption of long-term debt | (342) | (12) | (562) |
| Notes payable to affiliated companies | (297) | (516) | 729 |
| Dividends to parent | — | — | (350) |
| Distribution to parent | — | (775) | (350) |
| Other | (1) | — | (1) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | 666 | 567 | (534) |

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|--|--------|-------|--------|
| Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents | (3) | 8 | — |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 16 | 8 | 8 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$13 | \$16 | \$8 |
| Supplemental Disclosures: | | | |
| Cash paid for interest, net of amount capitalized | \$274 | \$208 | \$205 |
| Cash (received from) paid for income taxes | (197) | 216 | (229) |
| Significant non-cash transactions: | | | |
| Accrued capital expenditures | 199 | 170 | 186 |
| See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements | | | |

111

PART II

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN EQUITY

| | | | | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income Net Unrealized Gains on Available-for- Sale Securities | Total Equity |
|------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|--------------------|--|-----------------|
| (in millions) | Common Stock | Retained Earnings | Member's Equity | | |
| Balance at December 31, 2014 | \$ 1,762 | \$ 3,460 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ 5,222 |
| Net income | — | 351 | 248 | — | 599 |
| Transfer to Member's Equity | (1,762) | (3,461) | 5,223 | — | — |
| Dividends to parent | — | (350) | — | — | (350) |
| Distribution to parent | — | — | (350) | — | (350) |
| Balance at December 31, 2015 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ 5,121 | \$ — | \$ 5,121 |
| Net income | — | — | 551 | — | 551 |
| Other comprehensive income | — | — | — | 1 | 1 |
| Distribution to parent | — | — | (775) | — | (775) |
| Other | — | — | 2 | — | 2 |
| Balance at December 31, 2016 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ 4,899 | \$ 1 | \$ 4,900 |
| Net income | — | — | 712 | — | 712 |
| Other comprehensive income | — | — | — | 3 | 3 |
| Other | — | — | 3 | — | 3 |
| Balance at December 31, 2017 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ 5,614 | \$ 4 | \$ 5,618 |

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

PART II

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the shareholder and the Board of Directors of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.

Opinion on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. and subsidiaries (the "Company") as of December 31, 2017 and 2016, the related consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income, changes in equity, and cash flows, for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2017, and the related notes (collectively referred to as the "financial statements"). In our opinion, the financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Company as of December 31, 2017 and 2016, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2017, in conformity with the accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Basis for Opinion

These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's financial statements based on our audits. We are a public accounting firm registered with the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) (PCAOB) and are required to be independent with respect to the Company in accordance with the U.S. federal securities laws and the applicable rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission and the PCAOB.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the PCAOB. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement, whether due to error or fraud. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. As part of our audits, we are required to obtain an understanding of internal control over financial reporting but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. Our audits included performing procedures to assess the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to error or fraud, and performing procedures that respond to those risks. Such procedures included examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. Our audits also included evaluating the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

/s/Deloitte & Touche LLP

Charlotte, North Carolina

February 21, 2018

We have served as the Company's auditor since 2002.

PART II

DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

| (in millions) | Years Ended December | | |
|---|----------------------|---------|---------|
| | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 |
| Operating Revenues | | | |
| Regulated electric | \$1,373 | \$1,410 | \$1,331 |
| Nonregulated electric and other | 42 | 31 | 33 |
| Regulated natural gas | 508 | 503 | 541 |
| Total operating revenues | 1,923 | 1,944 | 1,905 |
| Operating Expenses | | | |
| Fuel used in electric generation and purchased power – regulated | 369 | 442 | 446 |
| Fuel used in electric generation and purchased power – nonregulated | 58 | 51 | 47 |
| Cost of natural gas | 107 | 103 | 141 |
| Operation, maintenance and other | 524 | 512 | 495 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 261 | 233 | 227 |
| Property and other taxes | 278 | 258 | 254 |
| Impairment charges | 1 | — | — |
| Total operating expenses | 1,598 | 1,599 | 1,610 |
| Gains on Sales of Other Assets and Other, net | 1 | 2 | 8 |
| Operating Income | 326 | 347 | 303 |
| Other Income and Expenses, net | 17 | 9 | 6 |
| Interest Expense | 91 | 86 | 79 |
| Income From Continuing Operations Before Income Taxes | 252 | 270 | 230 |
| Income Tax Expense From Continuing Operations | 59 | 78 | 81 |
| Income From Continuing Operations | 193 | 192 | 149 |
| (Loss) Income From Discontinued Operations, net of tax | (1 |) 36 | 23 |
| Net Income and Comprehensive Income | \$192 | \$228 | \$172 |
| See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements | | | |

PART II

DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC.
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

| | December 31, | |
|---|--------------|----------|
| (in millions) | 2017 | 2016 |
| ASSETS | | |
| Current Assets | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$12 | \$13 |
| Receivables (net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$3 at 2017 and \$2 at 2016) | 68 | 71 |
| Receivables from affiliated companies | 133 | 129 |
| Notes receivable from affiliated companies | 14 | 94 |
| Inventory | 133 | 137 |
| Regulatory assets | 49 | 37 |
| Other | 39 | 37 |
| Total current assets | 448 | 518 |
| Property, Plant and Equipment | | |
| Cost | 8,732 | 8,126 |
| Accumulated depreciation and amortization | (2,691) | (2,579) |
| Net property, plant and equipment | 6,041 | 5,547 |
| Other Noncurrent Assets | | |
| Goodwill | 920 | 920 |
| Regulatory assets | 445 | 520 |
| Other | 21 | 23 |
| Total other noncurrent assets | 1,386 | 1,463 |
| Total Assets | \$7,875 | \$7,528 |
| LIABILITIES AND EQUITY | | |
| Current Liabilities | | |
| Accounts payable | \$313 | \$282 |
| Accounts payable to affiliated companies | 62 | 63 |
| Notes payable to affiliated companies | 29 | 16 |
| Taxes accrued | 190 | 178 |
| Interest accrued | 21 | 19 |
| Current maturities of long-term debt | 3 | 1 |
| Asset retirement obligations | 3 | — |
| Regulatory liabilities | 36 | 21 |
| Other | 71 | 91 |
| Total current liabilities | 728 | 671 |
| Long-Term Debt | | |
| Long-Term Debt Payable to Affiliated Companies | 25 | 25 |
| Other Noncurrent Liabilities | | |
| Deferred income taxes | 781 | 1,443 |
| Asset retirement obligations | 81 | 77 |
| Regulatory liabilities | 891 | 236 |
| Accrued pension and other post-retirement benefit costs | 59 | 56 |
| Other | 108 | 166 |
| Total other noncurrent liabilities | 1,920 | 1,978 |
| Commitments and Contingencies | | |
| Equity | 762 | 762 |

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

Common stock, \$8.50 par value, 120 million shares authorized; 90 million shares outstanding at 2017 and 2016

| | | |
|------------------------------|---------|---------|
| Additional paid-in capital | 2,670 | 2,695 |
| Accumulated deficit | (269) | (461) |
| Total equity | 3,163 | 2,996 |
| Total Liabilities and Equity | \$7,875 | \$7,528 |

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

115

PART II

DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|---|-----------------------------|--------|--------|
| (in millions) | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES | | | |
| Net income | \$192 | \$228 | \$172 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | | |
| Depreciation, amortization and accretion | 265 | 237 | 230 |
| Equity component of AFUDC | (11) | (6) | (3) |
| Gains on sales of other assets | (1) | (2) | (8) |
| Impairment charges | 1 | — | 40 |
| Deferred income taxes | 90 | 55 | 206 |
| Accrued pension and other post-retirement benefit costs | 2 | 6 | 9 |
| Contributions to qualified pension plans | (4) | (5) | (8) |
| Payments for asset retirement obligations | (7) | (5) | (4) |
| (Increase) decrease in | | | |
| Net realized and unrealized mark-to-market and hedging transactions | — | (2) | (10) |
| Receivables | 2 | (4) | 23 |
| Receivables from affiliated companies | (4) | (36) | 23 |
| Inventory | 6 | (32) | — |
| Other current assets | (22) | 79 | — |
| Increase (decrease) in | | | |
| Accounts payable | 12 | 19 | (1) |
| Accounts payable to affiliated companies | (1) | 10 | (21) |
| Taxes accrued | 11 | 3 | (21) |
| Other current liabilities | (19) | (54) | 88 |
| Other assets | (28) | (35) | 25 |
| Other liabilities | (5) | (31) | (73) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 479 | 425 | 667 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES | | | |
| Capital expenditures | (686) | (476) | (399) |
| Notes receivable from affiliated companies | 80 | (94) | 145 |
| Other | (41) | (30) | (15) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (647) | (600) | (269) |
| CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES | | | |
| Proceeds from the issuance of long-term debt | 182 | 341 | — |
| Payments for the redemption of long-term debt | (2) | (53) | (157) |
| Notes payable to affiliated companies | 13 | (87) | (95) |
| Dividends to parent | (25) | (25) | (150) |
| Other | (1) | (2) | (2) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | 167 | 174 | (404) |
| Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents | (1) | (1) | (6) |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 13 | 14 | 20 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$12 | \$13 | \$14 |
| Supplemental Disclosures: | | | |
| Cash paid for interest, net of amount capitalized | \$85 | \$81 | \$76 |
| Cash (received from) paid for income taxes | (8) | (46) | 410 |

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

Significant non-cash transactions:

| | | | |
|---|----|----|-------|
| Accrued capital expenditures | 82 | 83 | 20 |
| Distribution of membership interest of Duke Energy SAM, LLC to parent | — | — | 1,912 |
| See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements | | | |

116

PART II

DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN EQUITY

| (in millions) | Common Stock | Additional Paid-in Capital | Accumulated Deficit | Total Equity |
|---|-----------------|----------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|
| Balance at December 31, 2014 | \$ 762 | \$ 4,782 | \$ (870) | \$4,674 |
| Net income | — | — | 172 | 172 |
| Dividends to parent | — | (150) | — | (150) |
| Distribution of membership interest of Duke Energy SAM, LLC to parent | — | (1,912) | — | (1,912) |
| Balance at December 31, 2015 | \$ 762 | \$ 2,720 | \$ (698) | \$2,784 |
| Net income | — | — | 228 | 228 |
| Contribution from parent | — | — | 9 | 9 |
| Dividends to parent | — | (25) | — | (25) |
| Balance at December 31, 2016 | \$ 762 | \$ 2,695 | \$ (461) | \$2,996 |
| Net income | — | — | 192 | 192 |
| Dividends to parent | — | (25) | — | (25) |
| Balance at December 31, 2017 | \$ 762 | \$ 2,670 | \$ (269) | \$3,163 |
| See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements | | | | |

PART II

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the shareholder and the Board of Directors of Duke Energy Indiana, LLC

Opinion on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Duke Energy Indiana, LLC and subsidiaries (the "Company") as of December 31, 2017 and 2016, the related consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income, changes in equity, and cash flows, for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2017, and the related notes (collectively referred to as the "financial statements"). In our opinion, the financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Company as of December 31, 2017 and 2016, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2017, in conformity with the accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Basis for Opinion

These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's financial statements based on our audits. We are a public accounting firm registered with the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) (PCAOB) and are required to be independent with respect to the Company in accordance with the U.S. federal securities laws and the applicable rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission and the PCAOB.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the PCAOB. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement, whether due to error or fraud. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. As part of our audits, we are required to obtain an understanding of internal control over financial reporting but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. Our audits included performing procedures to assess the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to error or fraud, and performing procedures that respond to those risks. Such procedures included examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. Our audits also included evaluating the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

/s/Deloitte & Touche LLP

Charlotte, North Carolina

February 21, 2018

We have served as the Company's auditor since 2002.

PART II

DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

| (in millions) | Years Ended December | | |
|--|----------------------|---------|---------|
| | 31, 2017 | 2016 | 2015 |
| Operating Revenues | \$3,047 | \$2,958 | \$2,890 |
| Operating Expenses | | | |
| Fuel used in electric generation and purchased power | 966 | 909 | 982 |
| Operation, maintenance and other | 733 | 723 | 682 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 458 | 496 | 434 |
| Property and other taxes | 76 | 58 | 61 |
| Impairment charges | 18 | 8 | 88 |
| Total operating expenses | 2,251 | 2,194 | 2,247 |
| Gains on Sales of Other Assets and Other, net | — | 1 | 1 |
| Operating Income | 796 | 765 | 644 |
| Other Income and Expenses, net | 37 | 22 | 11 |
| Interest Expense | 178 | 181 | 176 |
| Income Before Income Taxes | 655 | 606 | 479 |
| Income Tax Expense | 301 | 225 | 163 |
| Net Income | \$354 | \$381 | \$316 |
| Other Comprehensive Loss, net of tax | | | |
| Reclassification into earnings from cash flow hedges | — | (1) | (2) |
| Comprehensive Income | \$354 | \$380 | \$314 |
| See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements | | | |

PART II

DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

| (in millions) | December 31, | |
|---|--------------|----------|
| | 2017 | 2016 |
| ASSETS | | |
| Current Assets | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$9 | \$17 |
| Receivables (net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$2 at 2017 and \$1 at 2016) | 57 | 105 |
| Receivables from affiliated companies | 125 | 114 |
| Notes receivable from affiliated companies | — | 86 |
| Inventory | 450 | 504 |
| Regulatory assets | 165 | 149 |
| Other | 30 | 45 |
| Total current assets | 836 | 1,020 |
| Property, Plant and Equipment | | |
| Cost | 14,948 | 14,241 |
| Accumulated depreciation and amortization | (4,662) | (4,317) |
| Net property, plant and equipment | 10,286 | 9,924 |
| Other Noncurrent Assets | | |
| Regulatory assets | 978 | 1,073 |
| Other | 189 | 147 |
| Total other noncurrent assets | 1,167 | 1,220 |
| Total Assets | \$12,289 | \$12,164 |
| LIABILITIES AND EQUITY | | |
| Current Liabilities | | |
| Accounts payable | \$196 | \$263 |
| Accounts payable to affiliated companies | 78 | 74 |
| Notes payable to affiliated companies | 161 | — |
| Taxes accrued | 95 | 31 |
| Interest accrued | 57 | 61 |
| Current maturities of long-term debt | 3 | 3 |
| Asset retirement obligations | 54 | — |
| Regulatory liabilities | 24 | 40 |
| Other | 104 | 93 |
| Total current liabilities | 772 | 565 |
| Long-Term Debt | | |
| Long-Term Debt Payable to Affiliated Companies | 150 | 150 |
| Other Noncurrent Liabilities | | |
| Deferred income taxes | 925 | 1,900 |
| Asset retirement obligations | 727 | 866 |
| Regulatory liabilities | 1,723 | 748 |
| Accrued pension and other post-retirement benefit costs | 76 | 71 |
| Investment tax credits | 147 | 137 |
| Other | 18 | 27 |
| Total other noncurrent liabilities | 3,616 | 3,749 |
| Commitments and Contingencies | | |
| Equity | | |
| Member's Equity | 4,121 | 4,067 |

| | | |
|--|----------|----------|
| Total Liabilities and Equity | \$12,289 | \$12,164 |
| See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements | | |

120

PART II

DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

| (in millions) | Years Ended | | |
|---|--------------|--------|--------|
| | December 31, | | |
| | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES | | | |
| Net income | \$354 | \$381 | \$316 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 462 | 499 | 439 |
| Equity component of AFUDC | (28) | (16) | (11) |
| Gains on sales of other assets | — | — | (1) |
| Impairment charges | 18 | 8 | 88 |
| Deferred income taxes | 152 | 213 | 262 |
| Accrued pension and other post-retirement benefit costs | 2 | 8 | 13 |
| Contributions to qualified pension plans | — | (9) | (19) |
| Payments for asset retirement obligations | (45) | (46) | (19) |
| (Increase) decrease in | | | |
| Receivables | 59 | (2) | (7) |
| Receivables from affiliated companies | (11) | (43) | 44 |
| Inventory | 54 | 66 | (21) |
| Other current assets | 28 | (67) | 90 |
| Increase (decrease) in | | | |
| Accounts payable | (86) | 8 | 33 |
| Accounts payable to affiliated companies | 4 | (9) | 25 |
| Taxes accrued | 64 | (4) | 35 |
| Other current liabilities | (10) | (81) | 26 |
| Other assets | (28) | (27) | (82) |
| Other liabilities | (20) | (8) | (35) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 969 | 871 | 1,176 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES | | | |
| Capital expenditures | (840) | (755) | (690) |
| Purchases of available-for-sale securities | (20) | (14) | (9) |
| Proceeds from sales and maturities of available-for-sale securities | 7 | 11 | 11 |
| Proceeds from the sales of other assets | — | — | 17 |
| Notes receivable from affiliated companies | 86 | (3) | (83) |
| Other | (65) | 32 | (17) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (832) | (729) | (771) |
| CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES | | | |
| Proceeds from the issuance of long-term debt | — | 494 | — |
| Payments for the redemption of long-term debt | (5) | (478) | (5) |
| Notes payable to affiliated companies | 161 | — | (71) |
| Dividends to parent | — | — | (326) |
| Distributions to parent | (300) | (149) | — |
| Other | (1) | (1) | — |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (145) | (134) | (402) |
| Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents | (8) | 8 | 3 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 17 | 9 | 6 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$9 | \$17 | \$9 |

Supplemental Disclosures:

| | | | |
|---|-------|-------|--------|
| Cash paid for interest, net of amount capitalized | \$179 | \$171 | \$175 |
| Cash paid for (received from) income taxes | 117 | (7) | (253) |
| Significant non-cash transactions: | | | |
| Accrued capital expenditures | 125 | 99 | 64 |
| See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements | | | |

121

PART II

DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN EQUITY

| (in millions) | Additional | | | Member's Equity | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income Net Gains on | Total Equity |
|------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------|--|-----------------|
| | Common Stock | Paid-in Capital | Retained Earnings | | Cash Flow Hedges | |
| Balance at December 31, 2014 | \$ 1 | \$ 1,384 | \$ 2,460 | \$ — | \$ 3 | \$ 3,848 |
| Net income | — | — | 316 | — | — | 316 |
| Other comprehensive loss | — | — | — | — | (2) | (2) |
| Dividends to parent | — | — | (326) | — | — | (326) |
| Balance at December 31, 2015 | \$ 1 | \$ 1,384 | \$ 2,450 | \$ — | \$ 1 | \$ 3,836 |
| Net income | — | — | — | 381 | — | 381 |
| Other comprehensive loss | — | — | — | — | (1) | (1) |
| Distributions to parent | — | — | — | (149) | — | (149) |
| Transfer to Member's Equity | (1) | (1,384) | (2,450) | 3,835 | — | — |
| Balance at December 31, 2016 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ 4,067 | \$ — | \$ 4,067 |
| Net income | — | — | — | 354 | — | 354 |
| Distributions to parent | — | — | — | (300) | — | (300) |
| Balance at December 31, 2017 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ 4,121 | \$ — | \$ 4,121 |

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

PART II

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the shareholder and the Board of Directors of Piedmont Natural Gas Company, Inc.

Opinion on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Piedmont Natural Gas Company, Inc. and subsidiaries (the "Company") as of December 31, 2017 and 2016, the related consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income, changes in equity, and cash flows, for each of the three years in the periods ended December 31, 2017, October 31, 2016, October 31, 2015 and for the 2 months ended December 31, 2016 and the related notes (collectively referred to as the "financial statements"). In our opinion, the financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Company as of December 31, 2017 and 2016, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the periods ended December 31, 2017, October 31, 2016, October 31, 2015 and for the 2 months ended December 31, 2016, in conformity with the accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Basis for Opinion

These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's financial statements based on our audits. We are a public accounting firm registered with the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) (PCAOB) and are required to be independent with respect to the Company in accordance with the U.S. federal securities laws and the applicable rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission and the PCAOB.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the PCAOB. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement, whether due to error or fraud. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. As part of our audits, we are required to obtain an understanding of internal control over financial reporting but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. Our audits included performing procedures to assess the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to error or fraud, and performing procedures that respond to those risks. Such procedures included examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. Our audits also included evaluating the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

Emphasis of Matter

As discussed in Note 1 to the financial statements, effective for fiscal year 2016, the Company changed its fiscal year end from October 31 to December 31. This resulted in a 2-month transition period beginning November 1, 2016 through December 31, 2016.

/s/Deloitte & Touche LLP

Charlotte, North Carolina

February 21, 2018

We have served as the Company's auditor since 1951.

PART II

PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

| (in millions) | Year | Two | Years Ended | |
|---|----------|----------|-------------|---------|
| | Ended | Months | October 31, | |
| | December | December | 2016 | 2015 |
| | 31, 2017 | 31, 2016 | | |
| Operating Revenues | | | | |
| Regulated natural gas | \$ 1,319 | \$ 320 | \$1,139 | \$1,372 |
| Nonregulated natural gas and other | 9 | 2 | 10 | 11 |
| Total operating revenues | 1,328 | 322 | 1,149 | 1,383 |
| Operating Expenses | | | | |
| Cost of natural gas | 524 | 144 | 391 | 644 |
| Operation, maintenance and other | 315 | 52 | 353 | 305 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 148 | 23 | 137 | 129 |
| Property and other taxes | 48 | 7 | 43 | 42 |
| Impairment charges | 7 | — | — | — |
| Total operating expenses | 1,042 | 226 | 924 | 1,120 |
| Operating Income | 286 | 96 | 225 | 263 |
| Equity in (losses) earnings of unconsolidated affiliates | (6 |) 2 | 29 | 34 |
| Gain on sale of unconsolidated affiliates | — | — | 133 | — |
| Other income and expense, net | — | — | (1 |) (1 |
| Total other income and expenses | (6 |) 2 | 161 | 33 |
| Interest Expense | 79 | 12 | 69 | 69 |
| Income Before Income Taxes | 201 | 86 | 317 | 227 |
| Income Tax Expense | 62 | 32 | 124 | 90 |
| Net Income | \$ 139 | \$ 54 | \$193 | \$137 |
| Other Comprehensive Income (Loss), net of tax | | | | |
| Unrealized loss from hedging activities of equity method investments | — | — | (3 |) (2 |
| Reclassification into earnings from hedging activities of equity method investments | — | — | 4 | 1 |
| Other Comprehensive Income (Loss), net of tax | — | — | 1 | (1 |
| Comprehensive Income | \$ 139 | \$ 54 | \$194 | \$136 |
| See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements | | | | |

PART II

PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

| (in millions) | December 31, 2017 | 2016 |
|---|----------------------|----------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Current Assets | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 19 | \$ 25 |
| Receivables (net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$2 at 2017 and \$3 at 2016) | 275 | 232 |
| Receivables from affiliated companies | 7 | 7 |
| Inventory | 66 | 66 |
| Regulatory assets | 95 | 124 |
| Other | 52 | 21 |
| Total current assets | 514 | 475 |
| Property, Plant and Equipment | | |
| Cost | 6,725 | 6,174 |
| Accumulated depreciation and amortization | (1,479) | (1,360) |
| Net property, plant and equipment | 5,246 | 4,814 |
| Other Noncurrent Assets | | |
| Goodwill | 49 | 49 |
| Regulatory assets | 283 | 373 |
| Investments in equity method unconsolidated affiliates | 61 | 212 |
| Other | 65 | 21 |
| Total other noncurrent assets | 458 | 655 |
| Total Assets | \$ 6,218 | \$ 5,944 |
| LIABILITIES AND EQUITY | | |
| Current Liabilities | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 125 | \$ 155 |
| Accounts payable to affiliated companies | 13 | 8 |
| Notes payable and commercial paper | — | 330 |
| Notes payable to affiliated companies | 364 | — |
| Taxes accrued | 19 | 67 |
| Interest accrued | 31 | 33 |

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

| | | |
|--|----------|----------|
| Current maturities of long-term debt | 250 | 35 |
| Regulatory liabilities | 3 | — |
| Other | 69 | 102 |
| Total current liabilities | 874 | 730 |
| Long-Term Debt | 1,787 | 1,786 |
| Other Noncurrent Liabilities | | |
| Deferred income taxes | 564 | 931 |
| Asset retirement obligations | 15 | 14 |
| Regulatory liabilities | 1,141 | 608 |
| Accrued pension and other post-retirement benefit costs | 5 | 14 |
| Other | 170 | 189 |
| Total other noncurrent liabilities | 1,895 | 1,756 |
| Commitments and Contingencies | | |
| Equity | | |
| Common stock, no par value: 100 shares authorized and outstanding at 2017 and 2016 | 860 | 860 |
| Retained earnings | 802 | 812 |
| Total equity | 1,662 | 1,672 |
| Total Liabilities and Equity | \$ 6,218 | \$ 5,944 |

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

PART II

PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

| (in millions) | Year Ended December 31, 2017 | Two Months Ended December 31, 2016 | Years Ended October 31, 2016 | 2015 |
|---|--|---|------------------------------------|--------|
| CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES | | | | |
| Net income | \$ 139 | \$ 54 | \$ 193 | \$ 137 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 151 | 25 | 148 | 140 |
| Gains on sales of other assets | — | — | (133) | — |
| Impairment charges | 7 | — | — | — |
| Deferred income taxes | 154 | 26 | 74 | 73 |
| Equity in losses (earnings) from unconsolidated affiliates | 6 | (2) | (29) | (34) |
| Accrued pension and other post-retirement benefit costs | 23 | 5 | 3 | 8 |
| Contributions to qualified pension plans | (11) | (10) | (14) | (13) |
| Payments for asset retirement obligations | — | (1) | (6) | (6) |
| (Increase) decrease in | | | | |
| Receivables | (40) | (157) | 12 | 3 |
| Receivables from affiliated companies | — | — | (7) | — |
| Inventory | — | (11) | 14 | 16 |
| Other current assets | (20) | 8 | (98) | 46 |
| Increase (decrease) in | | | | |
| Accounts payable | (13) | 35 | 6 | (5) |
| Accounts payable to affiliated companies | 5 | 4 | 6 | — |
| Taxes accrued | (48) | (2) | 38 | 4 |
| Other current liabilities | (9) | 2 | 28 | (21) |
| Other assets | 7 | (7) | (107) | (5) |
| Other liabilities | (2) | 5 | 180 | 29 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities | 349 | (26) | 308 | 372 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES | | | | |
| Capital expenditures | (585) | (113) | (522) | (444) |
| Contributions to equity method investments | (12) | (12) | (47) | (30) |
| Proceeds from the sales of other assets | — | — | 175 | — |
| Other | (6) | 1 | 21 | (5) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (603) | (124) | (373) | (479) |
| CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES | | | | |
| Proceeds from the: | | | | |
| Issuance of long-term debt | 250 | — | 295 | 148 |
| Issuance of common stock | — | — | 122 | 81 |
| Payments for the redemption of long-term debt | (35) | — | (40) | — |
| Notes payable and commercial paper | (330) | 185 | (195) | (15) |
| Notes payable to affiliated companies | 364 | — | — | — |
| Dividends to parent | — | (27) | — | — |
| Dividends paid | — | — | (114) | (103) |
| Other | (1) | — | — | — |

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | |
|---|-------|-------|------|------|
| Net cash provided by financing activities | 248 | 158 | 68 | 111 |
| Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents | (6 |) 8 | 3 | 4 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 25 | 17 | 14 | 10 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ 19 | \$ 25 | \$17 | \$14 |
| Supplemental Disclosures: | | | | |
| Cash paid for interest, net of amount capitalized | \$ 78 | \$ 11 | \$81 | \$72 |
| Cash (received from) paid for income taxes | (12 |) — | (25 |) 3 |
| Significant non-cash transactions: | | | | |
| Accrued capital expenditures | 34 | 48 | 63 | 59 |
| Transfer of ownership interest of certain equity method investees to parent | 149 | — | — | — |

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

PART II

PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN EQUITY

| (in millions) | Common Stock | Retained Earnings | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) Net Loss on Hedging Activities of Unconsolidated Affiliates | Total Equity |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|--|-----------------|
| Balance at October 31, 2014 | \$ 637 | \$ 672 | \$ — | \$ 1,309 |
| Net income | — | 137 | — | 137 |
| Other comprehensive loss | — | — | (1) | (1) |
| Common stock issuances, including dividend reinvestment and employee benefits | 85 | — | — | 85 |
| Expenses from issuance of common stock | (1) | — | — | (1) |
| Common stock dividends | — | (103) | — | (103) |
| Balance at October 31, 2015 | \$ 721 | \$ 706 | \$ (1) | \$ 1,426 |
| Net income | — | 193 | — | 193 |
| Other comprehensive income | — | — | 1 | 1 |
| Common stock issuances, including dividend reinvestment and employee benefits | 139 | — | — | 139 |
| Common stock dividends | — | (114) | — | (114) |
| Balance at October 31, 2016 | \$ 860 | \$ 785 | \$ — | \$ 1,645 |
| Net income | — | 54 | — | 54 |
| Dividends to parent | — | (27) | — | (27) |
| Balance at December 31, 2016 | \$ 860 | \$ 812 | \$ — | \$ 1,672 |
| Net income | — | 139 | — | 139 |

| | | | | |
|---|--------|--------|------|----------|
| Transfer of ownership interest of certain equity method investees to parent | — | (149) | — | (149) |
| Balance at December 31, 2017 | \$ 860 | \$ 802 | \$ — | \$ 1,662 |

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC– PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements
For the Years Ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015

Index to Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements

The notes to the consolidated financial statements are a combined presentation. The following table indicates the registrants to which the notes apply.

| Registrant | Applicable Notes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|---|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | |
| Duke Energy Corporation | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • |
| Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • |
| Progress Energy, Inc. | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • |
| Duke Energy Progress, LLC | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • |
| Duke Energy Florida, LLC | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • |
| Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • |
| Duke Energy Indiana, LLC | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • |
| Piedmont Natural Gas Company, Inc. | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • |

Tables within the notes may not sum across due to (i) Progress Energy's consolidation of Duke Energy Progress, Duke Energy Florida and other subsidiaries that are not registrants and (ii) subsidiaries that are not registrants but included in the consolidated Duke Energy balances.

1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Nature of Operations and Basis of Consolidation

Duke Energy Corporation (collectively with its subsidiaries, Duke Energy) is an energy company headquartered in Charlotte, North Carolina, subject to regulation by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC). Duke Energy operates in the United States (U.S.) primarily through its direct and indirect subsidiaries. Certain Duke Energy subsidiaries are also subsidiary registrants, including Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC (Duke Energy Carolinas); Progress Energy, Inc. (Progress Energy); Duke Energy Progress, LLC (Duke Energy Progress); Duke Energy Florida, LLC (Duke Energy Florida); Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. (Duke Energy Ohio); Duke Energy Indiana, LLC (Duke Energy Indiana) and Piedmont Natural Gas Company, Inc. (Piedmont). When discussing Duke Energy's consolidated financial information, it necessarily includes the results of its seven separate subsidiary registrants (collectively referred to as the Subsidiary Registrants), which along with Duke Energy, are collectively referred to as the Duke Energy Registrants.

In October 2016, Duke Energy completed the acquisition of Piedmont. Duke Energy's consolidated financial statements include Piedmont's results of operations and cash flows activity subsequent to the acquisition date. Effective November 1, 2016, Piedmont's fiscal year-end was changed from October 31 to December 31, the year-end of Duke Energy. A transition report was filed on Form 10-Q (Form 10-QT) as of December 31, 2016, for the transition period from November 1, 2016, to December 31, 2016. See Note 2 for additional information regarding the acquisition.

In December 2016, Duke Energy completed an exit of the Latin American market to focus on its domestic regulated business, which was further bolstered by the acquisition of Piedmont. The sale of the International Energy business segment, excluding an equity method investment in National Methanol Company (NMC), was completed through two transactions including a sale of assets in Brazil to China Three Gorges (Luxembourg) Energy S.à.r.l. (CTG) and a sale of Duke Energy's remaining Latin American assets in Peru, Chile, Ecuador, Guatemala, El Salvador and Argentina to ISQ Enerlam Aggregator, L.P. and Enerlam (UK) Holding Ltd. (I Squared) (collectively, the International Disposal Group). See Note 2 for additional information on the sale of International Energy.

The information in these combined notes relates to each of the Duke Energy Registrants as noted in the Index to Combined Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. However, none of the Subsidiary Registrants make any representation as to information related solely to Duke Energy or the Subsidiary Registrants of Duke Energy other

than itself.

These Consolidated Financial Statements include, after eliminating intercompany transactions and balances, the accounts of the Duke Energy Registrants and subsidiaries where the respective Duke Energy Registrants have control. These Consolidated Financial Statements also reflect the Duke Energy Registrants' proportionate share of certain jointly owned generation and transmission facilities. Substantially all of the Subsidiary Registrants' operations qualify for regulatory accounting.

Duke Energy Carolinas is a regulated public utility primarily engaged in the generation, transmission, distribution and sale of electricity in portions of North Carolina and South Carolina. Duke Energy Carolinas is subject to the regulatory provisions of the North Carolina Utilities Commission (NCUC), Public Service Commission of South Carolina (PSCSC), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) and FERC.

Progress Energy is a public utility holding company headquartered in Raleigh, North Carolina, subject to regulation by FERC. Progress Energy conducts operations through its wholly owned subsidiaries, Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Florida.

Duke Energy Progress is a regulated public utility primarily engaged in the generation, transmission, distribution and sale of electricity in portions of North Carolina and South Carolina. Duke Energy Progress is subject to the regulatory provisions of the NCUC, PSCSC, NRC and FERC.

Duke Energy Florida is a regulated public utility primarily engaged in the generation, transmission, distribution and sale of electricity in portions of Florida. Duke Energy Florida is subject to the regulatory provisions of the Florida Public Service Commission (FPSC), NRC and FERC.

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

Duke Energy Ohio is a regulated public utility primarily engaged in the transmission and distribution of electricity in portions of Ohio and Kentucky, the generation and sale of electricity in portions of Kentucky and the transportation and sale of natural gas in portions of Ohio and Kentucky. Duke Energy Ohio conducts competitive auctions for retail electricity supply in Ohio whereby the energy price is recovered from retail customers and recorded in Operating Revenues on the Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income. Operations in Kentucky are conducted through its wholly owned subsidiary, Duke Energy Kentucky, Inc. (Duke Energy Kentucky). References herein to Duke Energy Ohio collectively include Duke Energy Ohio and its subsidiaries, unless otherwise noted. Duke Energy Ohio is subject to the regulatory provisions of the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio (PUCO), Kentucky Public Service Commission (KPSC) and FERC. On April 2, 2015, Duke Energy completed the sale of its nonregulated Midwest generation business, which sold power into wholesale energy markets, to a subsidiary of Dynegy Inc. (Dynegy). For further information about the sale of the Midwest Generation business, refer to Note 2. Substantially all of Duke Energy Ohio's operations that remain after the sale qualify for regulatory accounting.

Duke Energy Indiana is a regulated public utility primarily engaged in the generation, transmission, distribution and sale of electricity in portions of Indiana. Duke Energy Indiana is subject to the regulatory provisions of the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission (IURC) and FERC.

Piedmont is a regulated public utility primarily engaged in the distribution of natural gas in portions of North Carolina, South Carolina and Tennessee. Piedmont is subject to the regulatory provisions of the NCUC, PSCSC, Tennessee Public Utility Commission (TPUC) and FERC.

Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation.

Other Current Assets and Liabilities

The following table provides a description of amounts included in Other within Current Assets or Current Liabilities that exceed 5 percent of total Current Assets or Current Liabilities on the Duke Energy Registrants' Consolidated Balance Sheets at either December 31, 2017, or 2016.

| (in millions) | Location | December | |
|-------------------------|---------------------|-------------|-------|
| | | 31, 2017 | 2016 |
| Duke Energy | | | |
| Accrued compensation | Current Liabilities | \$757 | \$765 |
| Duke Energy Carolinas | | | |
| Accrued compensation | Current Liabilities | \$252 | \$248 |
| Customer deposits | Current Liabilities | 121 | 155 |
| Progress Energy | | | |
| Income taxes receivable | Current Assets | \$278 | \$19 |
| Customer deposits | Current Liabilities | 338 | 363 |
| Duke Energy Progress | | | |
| Customer deposits | Current Liabilities | \$129 | \$141 |
| Accrued compensation | Current Liabilities | 132 | 135 |
| Duke Energy Florida | | | |
| Customer deposits | Current Liabilities | \$208 | \$222 |
| Duke Energy Ohio | | | |
| Income taxes receivable | Current Assets | \$36 | \$16 |
| Customer deposits | Current Liabilities | 46 | 62 |
| Duke Energy Indiana | | | |
| Customer deposits | Current Liabilities | \$45 | \$44 |
| Piedmont | | | |

Income taxes receivable Current Assets \$43 \$9

Discontinued Operations

The results of operations of the International Disposal Group as well as Duke Energy Ohio's nonregulated Midwest Generation business and Duke Energy Retail Sales, LLC (collectively, Midwest Generation Disposal Group) have been classified as Discontinued Operations on Duke Energy's Consolidated Statements of Operations. Duke Energy has elected to present cash flows of discontinued operations combined with cash flows of continuing operations. Unless otherwise noted, the notes to these consolidated financial statements exclude amounts related to discontinued operations for all periods presented. See Note 2 for additional information.

129

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

Amounts Attributable to Controlling Interests

For the year ended December 31, 2017, the Loss From Discontinued Operations, net of tax on Duke Energy's Consolidated Statement of Operations is entirely attributable to controlling interest. The following table presents Net Income Attributable to Duke Energy Corporation for continuing operations and discontinued operations for the years ended December 31, 2016, and 2015.

| (in millions) | Year ended | |
|--|-------------------|---------|
| | December 31, 2016 | 2015 |
| Income from Continuing Operations | \$2,578 | \$2,654 |
| Income from Continuing Operations Attributable to Noncontrolling Interests | 7 | 9 |
| Income from Continuing Operations Attributable to Duke Energy Corporation | \$2,571 | \$2,645 |
| (Loss) Income From Discontinued Operations, net of tax | \$(408) | \$177 |
| Income from Discontinued Operations Attributable to Noncontrolling Interests, net of tax | 11 | 6 |
| (Loss) Income From Discontinued Operations Attributable to Duke Energy Corporation, net of tax | \$(419) | \$171 |
| Net Income | \$2,170 | \$2,831 |
| Net Income Attributable to Noncontrolling Interests | 18 | 15 |
| Net Income Attributable to Duke Energy Corporation | \$2,152 | \$2,816 |

Significant Accounting Policies

Use of Estimates

In preparing financial statements that conform to generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) in the U.S., the Duke Energy Registrants must make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the reported amounts of revenues and expenses and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Regulatory Accounting

The majority of the Duke Energy Registrants' operations are subject to price regulation for the sale of electricity and natural gas by state utility commissions or FERC. When prices are set on the basis of specific costs of the regulated operations and an effective franchise is in place such that sufficient natural gas or electric services can be sold to recover those costs, the Duke Energy Registrants apply regulatory accounting. Regulatory accounting changes the timing of the recognition of costs or revenues relative to a company that does not apply regulatory accounting. As a result, regulatory assets and regulatory liabilities are recognized on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Regulatory assets and liabilities are amortized consistent with the treatment of the related cost in the ratemaking process. See Note 4 for further information.

Regulatory accounting rules also require recognition of a disallowance (also called "impairment") loss if it becomes probable that part of the cost of a plant under construction (or a recently completed plant or an abandoned plant) will be disallowed for ratemaking purposes and a reasonable estimate of the amount of the disallowance can be made.

These disallowances can require judgments on allowed future rate recovery.

When it becomes probable that regulated generation, transmission or distribution assets will be abandoned, the cost of the asset is removed from plant in service. The value that may be retained as a regulatory asset on the balance sheet for the abandoned property is dependent upon amounts that may be recovered through regulated rates, including any return. As such, an impairment charge could be partially or fully offset by the establishment of a regulatory asset if rate recovery is probable. The impairment for a disallowance of costs for regulated plants under construction, recently completed or abandoned is based on discounted cash flows.

Regulated Fuel and Purchased Gas Adjustment Clauses

The Duke Energy Registrants utilize cost-tracking mechanisms, commonly referred to as fuel adjustment clauses or purchased gas adjustment clauses (PGA). These clauses allow for the recovery of fuel and fuel-related costs, portions

of purchased power, natural gas costs and hedging costs through surcharges on customer rates. The difference between the costs incurred and the surcharge revenues is recorded either as an adjustment to Operating Revenues, Operating Expenses – Fuel used in electric generation or Operating Expenses – Cost of natural gas on the Consolidated Statements of Operations, with an off-setting impact on regulatory assets or liabilities.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

All highly liquid investments with maturities of three months or less at the date of acquisition are considered cash equivalents.

Restricted Cash

The Duke Energy Registrants have restricted cash related primarily to collateral assets, escrow deposits and variable interest entities (VIEs). Restricted cash balances are reflected in Other within Current Assets and in Other within Other Noncurrent Assets on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. At December 31, 2017, and 2016, Duke Energy had restricted cash totaling \$147 million and \$137 million, respectively.

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

Inventory

Inventory is used for operations and is recorded primarily using the average cost method. Inventory related to regulated operations is valued at historical cost. Inventory related to nonregulated operations is valued at the lower of cost or market. Materials and supplies are recorded as inventory when purchased and subsequently charged to expense or capitalized to property, plant and equipment when installed. Inventory, including excess or obsolete inventory, is written-down to the lower of cost or market value. Once inventory has been written-down, it creates a new cost basis for the inventory that is not subsequently written-up. Provisions for inventory write-offs were not material at December 31, 2017, and 2016. The components of inventory are presented in the tables below.

| | December 31, 2017 | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-------------|-----------------|-------------|--------|-------------|----------|
| | Duke | | Duke | | Duke | | Duke | |
| (in millions) | Duke Energy | Progress Energy | Duke Energy | Progress Energy | Duke Energy | Ohio | Duke Energy | Piedmont |
| Materials and supplies | \$2,293 | \$ 744 | \$ 1,118 | \$ 774 | \$ 343 | \$ 82 | \$ 309 | \$ 2 |
| Coal | 603 | 192 | 255 | 139 | 116 | 17 | 139 | — |
| Natural gas, oil and other | 354 | 35 | 219 | 104 | 115 | 34 | 2 | 64 |
| Total inventory | \$3,250 | \$ 971 | \$ 1,592 | \$ 1,017 | \$ 574 | \$ 133 | \$ 450 | \$ 66 |
| | December 31, 2016 | | | | | | | |
| | Duke | | Duke | | Duke | | Duke | |
| (in millions) | Duke Energy | Progress Energy | Duke Energy | Progress Energy | Duke Energy | Ohio | Duke Energy | Piedmont |
| Materials and supplies | \$2,374 | \$ 767 | \$ 1,167 | \$ 813 | \$ 354 | \$ 84 | \$ 312 | \$ 1 |
| Coal | 774 | 251 | 314 | 148 | 166 | 19 | 190 | — |
| Natural gas, oil and other | 374 | 37 | 236 | 115 | 121 | 34 | 2 | 65 |
| Total inventory | \$3,522 | \$ 1,055 | \$ 1,717 | \$ 1,076 | \$ 641 | \$ 137 | \$ 504 | \$ 66 |

Investments in Debt and Equity Securities

The Duke Energy Registrants classify investments into two categories – trading and available-for-sale. Both categories are recorded at fair value on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Realized and unrealized gains and losses on trading securities are included in earnings. For certain investments of regulated operations, such as substantially all of the Nuclear Decommissioning Trust Funds (NDTF), realized and unrealized gains and losses (including any other-than-temporary impairments (OTTIs)) on available-for-sale securities are recorded as a regulatory asset or liability. Otherwise, unrealized gains and losses are included in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (AOCI), unless other-than-temporarily impaired. OTTIs for equity securities and the credit loss portion of debt securities of nonregulated operations are included in earnings. Investments in debt and equity securities are classified as either current or noncurrent based on management's intent and ability to sell these securities, taking into consideration current market liquidity. See Note 15 for further information.

Goodwill and Intangible Assets

Goodwill

Effective with Piedmont's change in fiscal year end to December 31, as discussed above, Piedmont changed the date of its annual impairment testing of goodwill from October 31 to August 31 to align with the other Duke Energy Registrants.

Duke Energy, Progress Energy, Duke Energy Ohio and Piedmont perform annual goodwill impairment tests as of August 31 each year at the reporting unit level, which is determined to be an operating segment or one level below. Duke Energy, Progress Energy, Duke Energy Ohio and Piedmont update these tests between annual tests if events or circumstances occur that would more likely than not reduce the fair value of a reporting unit below its carrying value.

Intangible Assets

Intangible assets are included in Other in Other Noncurrent Assets on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Generally, intangible assets are amortized using an amortization method that reflects the pattern in which the economic benefits of the intangible asset are consumed or on a straight-line basis if that pattern is not readily determinable. Amortization of intangibles is reflected in Depreciation and amortization on the Consolidated Statements of Operations. Intangible assets are subject to impairment testing and if impaired, the carrying value is accordingly reduced.

Emission allowances permit the holder of the allowance to emit certain gaseous byproducts of fossil fuel combustion, including sulfur dioxide (SO₂) and nitrogen oxide (NO_x). Allowances are issued by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) at zero cost and may also be bought and sold via third-party transactions. Allowances allocated to or acquired by the Duke Energy Registrants are held primarily for consumption. Carrying amounts for emission allowances are based on the cost to acquire the allowances or, in the case of a business combination, on the fair value assigned in the allocation of the purchase price of the acquired business. Emission allowances are expensed to Fuel used in electric generation and purchased power on the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

Renewable energy certificates are used to measure compliance with renewable energy standards and are held primarily for consumption. See Note 11 for further information.

Long-Lived Asset Impairments

The Duke Energy Registrants evaluate long-lived assets, excluding goodwill, for impairment when circumstances indicate the carrying value of those assets may not be recoverable. An impairment exists when a long-lived asset's carrying value exceeds the estimated undiscounted cash flows expected to result from the use and eventual disposition of the asset. The estimated cash flows may be based on alternative expected outcomes that are probability weighted. If the carrying value of the long-lived asset is not recoverable based on these estimated future undiscounted cash flows, the carrying value of the asset is written-down to its then-current estimated fair value and an impairment charge is recognized.

The Duke Energy Registrants assess fair value of long-lived assets using various methods, including recent comparable third-party sales, internally developed discounted cash flow analysis and analysis from outside advisors. Triggering events to reassess cash flows may include, but are not limited to, significant changes in commodity prices, the condition of an asset or management's interest in selling the asset.

Property, Plant and Equipment

Property, plant and equipment are stated at the lower of depreciated historical cost net of any disallowances or fair value, if impaired. The Duke Energy Registrants capitalize all construction-related direct labor and material costs, as well as indirect construction costs such as general engineering, taxes and financing costs. See "Allowance for Funds Used During Construction (AFUDC) and Interest Capitalized" for information on capitalized financing costs. Costs of renewals and betterments that extend the useful life of property, plant and equipment are also capitalized. The cost of repairs, replacements and major maintenance projects, which do not extend the useful life or increase the expected output of the asset, are expensed as incurred. Depreciation is generally computed over the estimated useful life of the asset using the composite straight-line method. Depreciation studies are conducted periodically to update composite rates and are approved by state utility commissions and/or the FERC when required. The composite weighted average depreciation rates, excluding nuclear fuel, are included in the table that follows.

| | Years Ended December 31, | | | | | |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|---|------|---|------|---|
| | 2017 | | 2016 | | 2015 | |
| Duke Energy | 2.8 | % | 2.8 | % | 2.9 | % |
| Duke Energy Carolinas | 2.8 | % | 2.8 | % | 2.8 | % |
| Progress Energy | 2.6 | % | 2.7 | % | 2.6 | % |
| Duke Energy Progress | 2.6 | % | 2.6 | % | 2.6 | % |
| Duke Energy Florida | 2.8 | % | 2.8 | % | 2.7 | % |
| Duke Energy Ohio | 2.8 | % | 2.6 | % | 2.7 | % |
| Duke Energy Indiana | 3.0 | % | 3.1 | % | 3.0 | % |
| Piedmont ^(a) | 2.3 | % | | | | |

(a) Piedmont's weighted average depreciation rate was 2.4 percent, 2.4 percent, and 2.5 percent for the annualized two months ended December 31, 2016 and for the years ended October 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

In general, when the Duke Energy Registrants retire regulated property, plant and equipment, the original cost plus the cost of retirement, less salvage value, is charged to accumulated depreciation. However, when it becomes probable the asset will be retired substantially in advance of its original expected useful life or is abandoned, the cost of the asset and the corresponding accumulated depreciation is recognized as a separate asset. If the asset is still in operation, the net amount is classified as Generation facilities to be retired, net on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. If the asset is no longer operating, the net amount is classified in Regulatory assets on the Consolidated Balance Sheets if deemed recoverable (see discussion of long-lived asset impairments above). When it becomes probable an asset will be

abandoned, the cost of the asset and accumulated depreciation is reclassified to Regulatory assets on the Consolidated Balance Sheets for amounts recoverable in rates. The carrying value of the asset is based on historical cost if the Duke Energy Registrants are allowed to recover the remaining net book value and a return equal to at least the incremental borrowing rate. If not, an impairment is recognized to the extent the net book value of the asset exceeds the present value of future revenues discounted at the incremental borrowing rate.

When the Duke Energy Registrants sell entire regulated operating units, or retire or sell nonregulated properties, the original cost and accumulated depreciation and amortization balances are removed from Property, Plant and Equipment on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Any gain or loss is recorded in earnings, unless otherwise required by the applicable regulatory body.

See Note 10 for further information.

Nuclear Fuel

Nuclear fuel is classified as Property, Plant and Equipment on the Consolidated Balance Sheets, except for Duke Energy Florida. Nuclear fuel amounts at Duke Energy Florida were reclassified to Regulatory assets pursuant to the Revised and Restated Stipulation and Settlement Agreement approved in November 2013 among Duke Energy Florida, the Florida Office of Public Counsel (Florida OPC) and other customer advocates (the 2013 Settlement).

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.
Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

Nuclear fuel in the front-end fuel processing phase is considered work in progress and not amortized until placed in service. Amortization of nuclear fuel is included within Fuel used in electric generation and purchased power on the Consolidated Statements of Operations. Amortization is recorded using the units-of-production method.

Allowance for Funds Used During Construction and Interest Capitalized

For regulated operations, the debt and equity costs of financing the construction of property, plant and equipment are reflected as AFUDC and capitalized as a component of the cost of property, plant and equipment. AFUDC equity is reported on the Consolidated Statements of Operations as non-cash income in Other income and expenses, net.

AFUDC debt is reported as a non-cash offset to Interest Expense. After construction is completed, the Duke Energy Registrants are permitted to recover these costs through their inclusion in rate base and the corresponding subsequent depreciation or amortization of those regulated assets.

AFUDC equity, a permanent difference for income taxes, reduces the effective tax rate (ETR) when capitalized and increases the ETR when depreciated or amortized. See Note 22 for additional information.

For nonregulated operations, interest is capitalized during the construction phase with an offsetting non-cash credit to Interest Expense on the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Asset Retirement Obligations

Asset retirement obligations (AROs) are recognized for legal obligations associated with the retirement of property, plant and equipment. Substantially all AROs are related to regulated operations. When recording an ARO, the present value of the projected liability is recognized in the period in which it is incurred, if a reasonable estimate of fair value can be made. The liability is accreted over time. For operating plants, the present value of the liability is added to the cost of the associated asset and depreciated over the remaining life of the asset. For retired plants, the present value of the liability is recorded as a regulatory asset unless determined not to be recoverable.

The present value of the initial obligation and subsequent updates are based on discounted cash flows, which include estimates regarding timing of future cash flows, selection of discount rates and cost escalation rates, among other factors. These estimates are subject to change. Depreciation expense is adjusted prospectively for any changes to the carrying amount of the associated asset. The Duke Energy Registrants receive amounts to fund the cost of the ARO for regulated operations through a combination of regulated revenues and earnings on the NDTF. As a result, amounts recovered in regulated revenues, earnings on the NDTF, accretion expense and depreciation of the associated asset are netted and deferred as a regulatory asset or liability.

Obligations for nuclear decommissioning are based on site-specific cost studies. Duke Energy Carolinas and Duke Energy Progress assume prompt dismantlement of the nuclear facilities after operations are ceased. Duke Energy Florida assumes Crystal River Unit 3 Nuclear Plant (Crystal River Unit 3) will be placed into a safe storage configuration until eventual dismantlement is completed by 2074. Duke Energy Carolinas, Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Florida also assume that spent fuel will be stored on-site until such time that it can be transferred to a yet to be built U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) facility.

Obligations for closure of ash basins are based upon discounted cash flows of estimated costs for site-specific plans, if known, or probability weightings of the potential closure methods if the closure plans are under development and multiple closure options are being considered and evaluated on a site-by-site basis. See Note 9 for additional information.

Revenue Recognition and Unbilled Revenue

Revenues on sales of electricity and natural gas are recognized when service is provided or the product is delivered. Unbilled revenues are recognized by applying customer billing rates to the estimated volumes of energy or natural gas delivered but not yet billed. Unbilled revenues can vary significantly from period to period as a result of seasonality, weather, customer usage patterns, customer mix, average price in effect for customer classes, timing of rendering customer bills and meter reading schedules, and the impact of weather normalization or margin decoupling mechanisms.

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

Unbilled revenues are included within Receivables and Receivables of VIEs on the Consolidated Balance Sheets as shown in the following table.

| | December | |
|-----------------------|----------|-------|
| (in millions) | 2017 | 2016 |
| Duke Energy | \$944 | \$831 |
| Duke Energy Carolinas | 342 | 313 |
| Progress Energy | 228 | 161 |
| Duke Energy Progress | 143 | 102 |
| Duke Energy Florida | 85 | 59 |
| Duke Energy Ohio | 4 | 2 |
| Duke Energy Indiana | 21 | 32 |
| Piedmont | 86 | 77 |

133

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

Additionally, Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Indiana sell, on a revolving basis, nearly all of their retail accounts receivable, including receivables for unbilled revenues, to an affiliate, Cinergy Receivables Company LLC (CRC) and account for the transfers of receivables as sales. Accordingly, the receivables sold are not reflected on the Consolidated Balance Sheets of Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Indiana. See Note 17 for further information. These receivables for unbilled revenues are shown in the table below.

| | December 31, | |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-------|
| (in millions) | 2017 | 2016 |
| Duke Energy Ohio | \$ 104 | \$ 97 |
| Duke Energy Indiana | 132 | 123 |
| Allowance for Doubtful Accounts | | |

Allowances for doubtful accounts are presented in the following table.

| | December 31, | | |
|--|--------------|-------|-------|
| (in millions) | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 |
| Allowance for Doubtful Accounts | | | |
| Duke Energy | \$ 14 | \$ 14 | \$ 12 |
| Duke Energy Carolinas | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| Progress Energy | 4 | 6 | 6 |
| Duke Energy Progress | 1 | 4 | 4 |
| Duke Energy Florida | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| Duke Energy Ohio | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| Duke Energy Indiana | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Piedmont ^(a) | 2 | 3 | |
| Allowance for Doubtful Accounts – VIEs | | | |
| Duke Energy | \$ 54 | \$ 54 | \$ 53 |
| Duke Energy Carolinas | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Progress Energy | 7 | 7 | 8 |
| Duke Energy Progress | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Duke Energy Florida | 2 | 2 | 3 |

(a) Piedmont's allowance for doubtful accounts was \$2 million as of October 31, 2016, and 2015.

Derivatives and Hedging

Derivative and non-derivative instruments may be used in connection with commodity price and interest rate activities, including swaps, futures, forwards and options. All derivative instruments, except those that qualify for the normal purchase/normal sale (NPNS) exception, are recorded on the Consolidated Balance Sheets at fair value. Qualifying derivative instruments may be designated as either cash flow hedges or fair value hedges. Other derivative instruments (undesignated contracts) either have not been designated or do not qualify as hedges. The effective portion of the change in the fair value of cash flow hedges is recorded in AOCI. The effective portion of the change in the fair value of a fair value hedge is offset in net income by changes in the hedged item. For activity subject to regulatory accounting, gains and losses on derivative contracts are reflected as regulatory assets or liabilities and not as other comprehensive income or current period income. As a result, changes in fair value of these derivatives have no immediate earnings impact.

Formal documentation, including transaction type and risk management strategy, is maintained for all contracts accounted for as a hedge. At inception and at least every three months thereafter, the hedge contract is assessed to see if it is highly effective in offsetting changes in cash flows or fair values of hedged items.

See Note 14 for further information.

Captive Insurance Reserves

Duke Energy has captive insurance subsidiaries that provide coverage, on an indemnity basis, to the Subsidiary Registrants as well as certain third parties, on a limited basis, for financial losses, primarily related to property, workers' compensation and general liability. Liabilities include provisions for estimated losses incurred but not yet reported (IBNR), as well as estimated provisions for known claims. IBNR reserve estimates are primarily based upon historical loss experience, industry data and other actuarial assumptions. Reserve estimates are adjusted in future periods as actual losses differ from experience.

Duke Energy, through its captive insurance entities, also has reinsurance coverage with third parties for certain losses above a per occurrence and/or aggregate retention. Receivables for reinsurance coverage are recognized when realization is deemed probable.

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

Unamortized Debt Premium, Discount and Expense

Premiums, discounts and expenses incurred with the issuance of outstanding long-term debt are amortized over the term of the debt issue. The gain or loss on extinguishment associated with refinancing higher-cost debt obligations in the regulated operations is amortized. Amortization expense is recorded as Interest Expense in the Consolidated Statements of Operations and is reflected as Depreciation, amortization and accretion within Net cash provided by operating activities on the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows.

Premiums, discounts and expenses are presented as an adjustment to the carrying value of the debt amount and included in Long-Term Debt on the Consolidated Balance Sheets presented.

Loss Contingencies and Environmental Liabilities

Contingent losses are recorded when it is probable a loss has occurred and can be reasonably estimated. When a range of the probable loss exists and no amount within the range is a better estimate than any other amount, the minimum amount in the range is recorded. Unless otherwise required by GAAP, legal fees are expensed as incurred.

Environmental liabilities are recorded on an undiscounted basis when environmental remediation or other liabilities become probable and can be reasonably estimated. Environmental expenditures related to past operations that do not generate current or future revenues are expensed. Environmental expenditures related to operations that generate current or future revenues are expensed or capitalized, as appropriate. Certain environmental expenditures receive regulatory accounting treatment and are recorded as regulatory assets.

See Notes 4 and 5 for further information.

Pension and Other Post-Retirement Benefit Plans

Duke Energy maintains qualified, non-qualified and other post-retirement benefit plans. Eligible employees of the Subsidiary Registrants participate in the respective qualified, non-qualified and other post-retirement benefit plans and the Subsidiary Registrants are allocated their proportionate share of benefit costs. See Note 21 for further information, including significant accounting policies associated with these plans.

Severance and Special Termination Benefits

Duke Energy has severance plans under which, in general, the longer a terminated employee worked prior to termination the greater the amount of severance benefits. A liability for involuntary severance is recorded once an involuntary severance plan is committed to by management if involuntary severances are probable and can be reasonably estimated. For involuntary severance benefits incremental to its ongoing severance plan benefits, the fair value of the obligation is expensed at the communication date if there are no future service requirements or over the required future service period. From time to time, Duke Energy offers special termination benefits under voluntary severance programs. Special termination benefits are recorded immediately upon employee acceptance absent a significant retention period. Otherwise, the cost is recorded over the remaining service period. Employee acceptance of voluntary severance benefits is determined by management based on the facts and circumstances of the benefits being offered. See Note 19 for further information.

Guarantees

If necessary, liabilities are recognized at the time of issuance or material modification of a guarantee for the estimated fair value of the obligation it assumes. Fair value is estimated using a probability-weighted approach. The obligation is reduced over the term of the guarantee or related contract in a systematic and rational method as risk is reduced. Any additional contingent loss for guarantee contracts subsequent to the initial recognition of a liability is accounted for and recognized at the time a loss is probable and can be reasonably estimated. See Note 7 for further information.

Stock-Based Compensation

Stock-based compensation represents costs related to stock-based awards granted to employees and Duke Energy Board of Directors (Board of Directors) members. Duke Energy recognizes stock-based compensation based upon the estimated fair value of awards, net of estimated forfeitures at the date of issuance. The recognition period for these costs begins at either the applicable service inception date or grant date and continues throughout the requisite service

period. Compensation cost is recognized as expense or capitalized as a component of property, plant and equipment. See Note 20 for further information.

Income Taxes

Duke Energy and its subsidiaries file a consolidated federal income tax return and other state and foreign jurisdictional returns. The Subsidiary Registrants are parties to a tax-sharing agreement with Duke Energy. Income taxes recorded represent amounts the Subsidiary Registrants would incur as separate C-Corporations. Deferred income taxes have been provided for temporary differences between GAAP and tax bases of assets and liabilities because the differences create taxable or tax-deductible amounts for future periods. Investment tax credits (ITCs) associated with regulated operations are deferred and amortized as a reduction of income tax expense over the estimated useful lives of the related properties.

Accumulated deferred income taxes are valued using the enacted tax rate expected to apply to taxable income in the periods in which the deferred tax asset or liability is expected to be settled or realized. In the event of a change in tax rates, deferred tax assets and liabilities are remeasured as of the enactment date of the new rate. To the extent that the change in the value of the deferred tax represents an obligation to customers, the impact of the remeasurement is deferred to a regulatory liability. Remaining impacts are recorded in income from continuing operations. Other impacts of the Tax Act have been recorded on a provisional basis, see Note 22, "Income Taxes," for additional information. If Duke Energy's estimate of the tax effect of reversing temporary differences is not reflective of actual outcomes, is modified to reflect new developments or interpretations of the tax law, revised to incorporate new accounting principles, or changes in the expected timing or manner of the reversal then Duke Energy's results of operations could be impacted.

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

Tax-related interest and penalties are recorded in Interest Expense and Other Income and Expenses, net in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

See Note 22 for further information.

Accounting for Renewable Energy Tax Credits

When Duke Energy receives ITCs on wind or solar facilities, it reduces the basis of the property recorded on the Consolidated Balance Sheets by the amount of the ITC and, therefore, the ITC benefit is ultimately recognized in the statement of operations through reduced depreciation expense. Additionally, certain tax credits and government grants result in an initial tax depreciable base in excess of the book carrying value by an amount equal to one half of the ITC. Deferred tax benefits are recorded as a reduction to income tax expense in the period that the basis difference is created.

Excise Taxes

Certain excise taxes levied by state or local governments are required to be paid even if not collected from the customer. These taxes are recognized on a gross basis. Otherwise, the taxes are accounted for net. Excise taxes accounted for on a gross basis within both Operating Revenues and Property and other taxes in the Consolidated Statements of Operations were as follows.

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------|-------|-------|
| (in millions) | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 |
| Duke Energy | \$376 | \$362 | \$396 |
| Duke Energy Carolinas | 36 | 31 | 31 |
| Progress Energy | 220 | 213 | 229 |
| Duke Energy Progress | 19 | 18 | 16 |
| Duke Energy Florida | 201 | 195 | 213 |
| Duke Energy Ohio | 98 | 100 | 102 |
| Duke Energy Indiana | 20 | 17 | 34 |
| Piedmont ^(a) | 2 | | |

^(a) Piedmont's excise taxes were immaterial for the two months ended December 31, 2016, and \$2 million for the years ended October 31, 2016, and 2015.

Dividend Restrictions and Unappropriated Retained Earnings

Duke Energy does not have any legal, regulatory or other restrictions on paying common stock dividends to shareholders. However, as further described in Note 4, due to conditions established by regulators in conjunction with merger transaction approvals, Duke Energy Carolinas, Duke Energy Progress, Duke Energy Ohio, Duke Energy Indiana and Piedmont have restrictions on paying dividends or otherwise advancing funds to Duke Energy. At December 31, 2017, and 2016, an insignificant amount of Duke Energy's consolidated Retained earnings balance represents undistributed earnings of equity method investments.

New Accounting Standards

The new accounting standards adopted for 2017 and 2016 had no material impact on the presentation or results of operations, cash flows or financial position of the Duke Energy Registrants. The following accounting standards were adopted by the Duke Energy Registrants during 2017.

Stock-Based Compensation and Income Taxes. In first quarter 2017, Duke Energy adopted Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) guidance, which revised the accounting for stock-based compensation and the associated income taxes. The adopted guidance changed certain aspects of accounting for stock-based payment awards to employees including the accounting for income taxes and classification on the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows. The primary impact to Duke Energy as a result of implementing this guidance was a cumulative-effect adjustment to retained earnings for tax benefits not previously recognized and additional income tax expense for the

12 months ended December 31, 2017. See the Duke Energy Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity for further information.

Goodwill Impairment. In January 2017, the FASB issued revised guidance for the subsequent measurement of goodwill. Under the guidance, a company will recognize an impairment to goodwill for the amount by which a reporting unit's carrying value exceeds the reporting unit's fair value, not to exceed the amount of goodwill allocated to that reporting unit. Duke Energy early adopted this guidance for the 2017 annual goodwill impairment test. The following new accounting standards have been issued, but have not yet been adopted by the Duke Energy Registrants, as of December 31, 2017.

Revenue from Contracts with Customers. In May 2014, the FASB issued revised accounting guidance for revenue recognition from contracts with customers. The core principle of this guidance is that an entity should recognize revenue to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. The amendments in this update also require disclosure of sufficient information to allow users to understand the nature, amount, timing and uncertainty of revenue and cash flows arising from contracts with customers.

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

Duke Energy has identified material revenue streams, which served as the basis for accounting analysis and documentation of the impact of this guidance on revenue recognition. The accounting analysis included reviewing representative contracts and tariffs for each material revenue stream. Most of Duke Energy's revenue will be in scope of the new guidance. The majority of our sales, including energy provided to residential customers, are from tariff offerings that provide natural gas or electricity without a defined contractual term ("at-will"). For such arrangements, revenue from contracts with customers will be equivalent to the electricity or natural gas supplied and billed in that period (including estimated billings). As such, there will not be a significant shift in the timing or pattern of revenue recognition for such sales.

Also included in the accounting analysis was the evaluation of certain long-term revenue streams including electric wholesale contracts and renewables power purchase agreements (PPAs). For such arrangements, Duke Energy does not expect material changes to the pattern of revenue recognition on the registrants. In addition, Duke Energy has monitored the activities of the power and utilities industry revenue recognition task force including draft accounting positions released in October 2017 and the impact, if any, on Duke Energy's specific contracts and conclusions. Potential revisions to processes, policies and controls, primarily related to evaluating supplemental disclosures required as a result of adopting this guidance, will be evaluated and implemented as necessary. Some revenue arrangements, such as alternative revenue programs and certain PPAs accounted for as leases, are excluded from the scope of the new revenue recognition guidance and, therefore, will be accounted for and evaluated for separate presentation and disclosure under other relevant accounting guidance.

Duke Energy intends to use the modified retrospective method of adoption effective January 1, 2018. Under the modified retrospective method of adoption, prior year reported results are not restated and a cumulative-effect adjustment, if applicable, is recorded to retained earnings at January 1, 2018, as if the standard had always been in effect. In addition, disclosures, if applicable, include a comparison to what would have been reported for 2018 under the previous revenue recognition rules to assist financial statement users in understanding how revenue recognition has changed as a result of this standard and to facilitate comparability with prior year reported results, which are not restated under the modified retrospective approach as described above. Duke Energy will utilize certain practical expedients including applying this guidance to open contracts at the date of adoption and recognizing revenues for certain contracts under the invoice practical expedient, which allows revenue recognition to be consistent with invoiced amounts (including estimated billings) provided certain criteria are met, including consideration of whether the invoiced amounts reasonably represent the value provided to customers. While the adoption of this guidance is not expected to have a material impact on either the timing or amount of revenues recognized in Duke Energy's financial statements, Duke Energy anticipates additional disclosures around the nature, amount, timing and uncertainty of our revenues and cash flows arising from contracts with customers. Duke Energy continues to evaluate what information will be most useful for users of the financial statements, including information already provided in disclosures outside of the financial statement footnotes. These additional disclosures are expected to include the disaggregation of revenues by customer class.

Financial Instruments Classification and Measurement. In January 2016, the FASB issued revised accounting guidance for the classification and measurement of financial instruments. Changes in the fair value of all equity securities will be required to be recorded in net income. Current GAAP allows some changes in fair value for available-for-sale equity securities to be recorded in AOCI. Additional disclosures will be required to present separately the financial assets and financial liabilities by measurement category and form of financial asset. An entity's equity investments that are accounted for under the equity method of accounting are not included within the scope of the new guidance.

For Duke Energy, the revised accounting guidance is effective for interim and annual periods beginning January 1, 2018, by recording a cumulative effect adjustment to retained earnings as of January 1, 2018. This guidance is expected to have minimal impact on the Duke Energy Registrant's Consolidated Statements of Operations and

Comprehensive Income as changes in the fair value of most of the Duke Energy Registrants' available-for-sale equity securities are deferred as regulatory assets or liabilities pursuant to accounting guidance for regulated operations.

Leases. In February 2016, the FASB issued revised accounting guidance for leases. The core principle of this guidance is that a lessee should recognize the assets and liabilities that arise from leases on the balance sheet. For Duke Energy, this guidance is effective for interim and annual periods beginning January 1, 2019. The guidance is applied using a modified retrospective approach. Upon adoption, Duke Energy expects to elect the practical expedients, which would require no reassessment of whether existing contracts are or contain leases as well as no reassessment of lease classification for existing leases. Additionally, we expect to adopt the optional transition practical expedient allowing the entity not to reassess the accounting for land easements that currently exist at the adoption of the lease standard on January 1, 2019. Duke Energy is currently evaluating the financial statement impact of adopting this standard and is continuing to monitor industry implementation issues, including easements, pole attachments and renewable PPAs. Other than an expected increase in assets and liabilities, the ultimate impact of the new standard has not yet been determined. Significant system enhancements, including additional processes and controls, will be required to facilitate the identification, tracking and reporting of potential leases based upon requirements of the new lease standard. Duke Energy has begun the implementation of a third-party software tool to help with the adoption and ongoing accounting under the new standard.

Statement of Cash Flows. In November 2016, the FASB issued revised accounting guidance to reduce diversity in practice for the presentation and classification of restricted cash on the statement of cash flows. Under the updated guidance, restricted cash and restricted cash equivalents will be included within beginning-of-period and end-of-period cash and cash equivalents on the statement of cash flows. For Duke Energy, this guidance is effective for the interim and annual periods beginning January 1, 2018. The guidance will be applied using a retrospective transition method to each period presented. Upon adoption by Duke Energy, the revised guidance will result in a change to the amount of cash and cash equivalents and restricted cash explained when reconciling the beginning-of-period and end-of-period total amounts shown on the Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows. Prior to adoption, the Duke Energy Registrants reflect changes in restricted cash within Cash Flows from Investing Activities and within Cash Flows from Operating Activities on the Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows. As a result of this change, our Cash and cash equivalents balance on the Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows as of December 31, 2017 will change by \$147 million.

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

Retirement Benefits. In March 2017, the FASB issued revised accounting guidance for the presentation of net periodic costs related to benefit plans. Current GAAP permits the aggregation of all the components of net periodic costs on the Consolidated Statement of Operations and does not require the disclosure of the location of net periodic costs on the Consolidated Statement of Operations. Under the amended guidance, the service cost component of net periodic costs must be included within Operating Income within the same line as other compensation expenses. All other components of net periodic costs must be outside of Operating Income. In addition, the updated guidance permits only the service cost component of net periodic costs to be capitalized to Inventory or Property, Plant and Equipment. This represents a change from current GAAP, which permits all components of net periodic costs to be capitalized. These amendments should be applied retrospectively for the presentation of the various components of net periodic costs and prospectively for the change in eligible costs to be capitalized. The guidance allows for a practical expedient that permits a company to use amounts disclosed in prior-period financial statements as the estimation basis for applying the retrospective presentation requirements.

For Duke Energy, this guidance is effective for interim and annual periods beginning January 1, 2018. Duke Energy currently presents the total non-capitalized net periodic costs within Operation, maintenance and other on the Consolidated Statement of Operations. The adoption of this guidance will result in a retrospective change to reclassify the presentation of the non-service cost (benefit) components of net periodic costs to Other income and expenses. Duke Energy intends to utilize the practical expedient for retrospective presentation. The change in net periodic costs eligible for capitalization is applicable prospectively. Since Duke Energy's service cost component is expected to be greater than the total net periodic costs, the change will result in increased capitalization of net periodic costs, higher Operation, maintenance and other and higher Other income and expenses. The resulting impact to Duke Energy is expected to be an immaterial increase in Net Income resulting from the limitation of eligible capitalization of net periodic costs to the service cost component, which is larger than the total net periodic costs.

2. ACQUISITIONS AND DISPOSITIONS

ACQUISITIONS

The Duke Energy Registrants consolidate assets and liabilities from acquisitions as of the purchase date and include earnings from acquisitions in consolidated earnings after the purchase date.

2016 Acquisition of Piedmont Natural Gas

On October 3, 2016, Duke Energy acquired all outstanding common stock of Piedmont for a total cash purchase price of \$5.0 billion and assumed Piedmont's existing long-term debt, which had a fair value of approximately \$2.0 billion at the time of the acquisition. The acquisition provides a foundation for Duke Energy to establish a broader, long-term strategic natural gas infrastructure platform to complement its existing natural gas pipeline investments and regulated natural gas business in the Midwest. In connection with the closing of the acquisition, Piedmont became a wholly owned subsidiary of Duke Energy.

Purchase Price Allocation

The purchase price allocation of the Piedmont acquisition is as follows:

(in millions)

| | |
|---|-------|
| Current assets | \$497 |
| Property, plant and equipment, net | 4,714 |
| Goodwill | 3,353 |
| Other long-term assets | 804 |
| Total assets | 9,368 |
| Current liabilities, including current maturities of long-term debt | 576 |
| Long-term liabilities | 1,790 |
| Long-term debt | 2,002 |
| Total liabilities | 4,368 |

Total purchase price \$5,000

The fair value of Piedmont's assets and liabilities was determined based on significant estimates and assumptions that are judgmental in nature, including the amount and timing of projected future cash flows, discount rates reflecting risk inherent in the future cash flows and market prices of long-term debt.

The majority of Piedmont's operations are subject to the rate-setting authority of the NCUC, the PSCSC and the TPUC and are accounted for pursuant to accounting guidance for regulated operations. The rate-setting and cost recovery provisions currently in place for Piedmont's regulated operations provide revenues derived from costs, including a return on investment of assets and liabilities included in rate base. Thus, the fair value of Piedmont's assets and liabilities subject to these rate-setting provisions approximates the pre-acquisition carrying values and does not reflect any net valuation adjustments.

The significant assets and liabilities for which valuation adjustments were reflected within the purchase price allocation include the acquired equity method investments and long-term debt. The difference between the fair value and the pre-merger carrying values of long-term debt for regulated operations was recorded as a regulatory asset.

The excess of the purchase price over the fair value of Piedmont's assets and liabilities on the acquisition date was recorded as goodwill. The goodwill reflects the value paid by Duke Energy primarily for establishing a broader, long-term strategic natural gas infrastructure growth platform, an improved risk profile and expected synergies resulting from the combined entities.

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

Under Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) regulations, Duke Energy elected not to apply push down accounting to the stand-alone Piedmont financial statements.

Accounting Charges Related to the Acquisition

Duke Energy incurred pretax non-recurring transaction and integration costs associated with the acquisition of \$103 million, \$439 million and \$9 million for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015, respectively. Amounts recorded on the Consolidated Statements of Operations in 2017 were primarily system integration costs of \$71 million related to combining the various operational and financial systems of Duke Energy and Piedmont, including a one-time software impairment resulting from planned accounting system and process integration. A \$7 million charge was recorded within Impairment Charges, with the remaining \$64 million recorded within Operation, maintenance and other.

Amounts recorded in 2016 include:

- Interest expense of \$234 million related to the acquisition financing, including realized losses on forward-starting interest rate swaps of \$190 million. See Note 14 for additional information on the swaps. Charges of \$104 million related to commitments made in conjunction with the transaction, including charitable contributions and a one-time bill credit to Piedmont customers. \$10 million was recorded as a reduction in Operating Revenues, with the remaining \$94 million recorded within Operation, maintenance and other.
- Other transaction and integration costs of \$101 million recorded to Operation, maintenance and other, including professional fees and severance.

The majority of transition and integration activities are expected to be completed by the end of 2018.

Pro Forma Financial Information

The following unaudited pro forma financial information reflects the combined results of operations of Duke Energy and Piedmont as if the merger had occurred as of January 1, 2015. The pro forma financial information does not include potential cost savings, intercompany revenues, Piedmont's earnings from a certain equity method investment sold immediately prior to the merger or non-recurring transaction and integration costs incurred by Duke Energy and Piedmont. The after-tax non-recurring transaction and integration costs incurred by Duke Energy and Piedmont were \$279 million and \$19 million for the years ended December 31, 2016, and 2015, respectively.

This information has been presented for illustrative purposes only and is not necessarily indicative of the consolidated results of operations that would have been achieved or the future consolidated results of operations of Duke Energy.

| (in millions) | Years Ended | |
|--|-------------------|----------|
| | December 31, 2016 | 2015 |
| Operating Revenues | \$23,504 | \$23,570 |
| Net Income Attributable to Duke Energy Corporation | 2,442 | 2,877 |

Piedmont's Earnings

Piedmont's revenues and net income included in Duke Energy's Consolidated Statements of Operations for the year ended December 31, 2016, were \$367 million and \$20 million, respectively. Piedmont's revenues and net income for the year ended December 31, 2016, include the impact of non-recurring transaction costs of \$10 million and \$46 million, respectively.

Acquisition Related Financings and Other Matters

Duke Energy financed the Piedmont acquisition with a combination of debt and equity issuances and other cash sources, including:

• \$3.75 billion of long-term debt issued in August 2016.

• \$750 million borrowed under the \$1.5 billion short-term loan facility in September 2016, which was repaid in December 2016.

• 4.06 million shares of common stock issued in October 2016 for net cash proceeds of approximately \$723 million.

The \$4.9 billion senior unsecured bridge financing facility (Bridge Facility) with Barclays Capital, Inc. (Barclays) was terminated following the issuance of the long-term debt. For additional information related to the debt and equity issuances, see Notes 6 and 18, respectively. For additional information regarding Duke Energy's and Piedmont's joint investment in Atlantic Coast Pipeline, LLC (ACP), see Note 4.

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

DISPOSITIONS

For the year ended December 31, 2017, the Loss from Discontinued Operations, net of tax, was immaterial. The following table summarizes the (Loss) Income from Discontinued Operations, net of tax recorded on Duke Energy's Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2016, and 2015:

| (in millions) | Years Ended | |
|--|--------------|-------|
| | December 31, | |
| | 2016 | 2015 |
| International Energy Disposal Group | \$(534) | \$157 |
| Midwest Generation Disposal Group | 36 | 33 |
| Other ^(a) | 90 | (13) |
| (Loss) Income from Discontinued Operations, net of tax | \$(408) | \$177 |

Relates to previously sold businesses not related to the Disposal Groups. The amount for 2016 represents an income tax benefit resulting from immaterial out of period deferred tax liability adjustments. The amount for 2015^(a) includes indemnifications provided for certain legal, tax and environmental matters and foreign currency translation adjustments.

2016 Sale of International Energy

In February 2016, Duke Energy announced it had initiated a process to divest its International Energy businesses, excluding the equity method investment in NMC (the International Disposal Group), and in October 2016, announced it had entered into two separate purchase and sale agreements to execute the divestiture. Both sales closed in December of 2016, resulting in available cash proceeds of \$1.9 billion, excluding transaction costs. Proceeds were primarily used to reduce Duke Energy holding company (the parent) debt. Existing favorable tax attributes result in no immediate U.S. federal-level cash tax impacts. Details of each transaction are as follows:

On December 20, 2016, Duke Energy closed on the sale of its ownership interests in businesses in Argentina, Chile, Ecuador, El Salvador, Guatemala and Peru to I Squared Capital. The assets sold included approximately 2,230 MW of hydroelectric and natural gas generation capacity, transmission infrastructure and natural gas processing facilities. I Squared Capital purchased the businesses for an enterprise value of \$1.2 billion.

On December 29, 2016, Duke Energy closed on the sale of its Brazilian business, which included approximately 2,090 MW of hydroelectric generation capacity, to CTG for an enterprise value of \$1.2 billion. With the closing of the CTG deal, Duke Energy finalized its exit from the Latin American market.

Assets Held For Sale and Discontinued Operations

As a result of the transactions, the International Disposal Group was classified as held for sale and as discontinued operations in the fourth quarter of 2016. Interest expense directly associated with the International Disposal Group was allocated to discontinued operations. No interest from corporate level debt was allocated to discontinued operations.

The following table presents the results of the International Disposal Group for the years ended December 31, 2016, and 2015, which are included in (Loss) Income from Discontinued Operations, net of tax in Duke Energy's Consolidated Statements of Operations.

| (in millions) | Years Ended | |
|--|--------------|---------|
| | December 31, | |
| | 2016 | 2015 |
| Operating Revenues | \$988 | \$1,088 |
| Fuel used in electric generation and purchased power | 227 | 306 |
| Cost of natural gas | 43 | 53 |
| Operation, maintenance and other | 341 | 334 |
| Depreciation and amortization ^(a) | 62 | 92 |

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

| | | |
|--|---------|-------|
| Property and other taxes | 15 | 7 |
| Impairment charges ^(b) | 194 | 13 |
| (Loss) Gains on Sales of Other Assets and Other, net | (3 |) 6 |
| Other Income and Expenses, net | 58 | 23 |
| Interest Expense | 82 | 85 |
| Pretax loss on disposal ^(c) | (514 |) — |
| (Loss) Income before income taxes ^(d) | (435 |) 227 |
| Income tax expense ^{(e)(f)} | 99 | 70 |
| (Loss) Income from discontinued operations of the International Disposal Group | \$(534) | \$157 |

140

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

(a) Upon meeting the criteria for assets held for sale, beginning in the fourth quarter of 2016 depreciation expense was ceased.

(b) In conjunction with the advancements of marketing efforts during 2016, Duke Energy performed recoverability tests of the long-lived asset groups of International Energy. As a result, Duke Energy determined the carrying value of certain assets in Central America was not fully recoverable and recorded a pretax impairment charge of \$194 million. The charge represents the excess of carrying value over the estimated fair value of the assets, which was based on a Level 3 Fair Value measurement that was primarily determined from the income approach using discounted cash flows but also considered market information obtained in 2016.

(c) The pretax loss on disposal includes the recognition of cumulative foreign currency translation losses of \$620 million as of the disposal date. See the Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity for additional information.

(d) Pretax (Loss) Income attributable to Duke Energy Corporation was \$(445) million and \$221 million for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

(e) 2016 amount includes \$126 million of income tax expense on the disposal, which primarily reflects in-country taxes incurred as a result of the sale. The after-tax loss on disposal was \$640 million.

(f) 2016 amount includes an income tax benefit of \$95 million. See Note 22, "Income Taxes," for additional information.

Duke Energy has elected not to separately disclose discontinued operations on the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows. The following table summarizes Duke Energy's cash flows from discontinued operations related to the International Disposal Group.

| | Years Ended December 31, | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------|
| (in millions) | 2016 | 2015 |
| Cash flows provided by (used in): | | |
| Operating activities | \$204 | \$248 |
| Investing activities | (434) | 177 |

Other Sale Related Matters

During 2017, Duke Energy provided certain transition services to CTG and I Squared Capital. Cash flows related to providing the transition services were not material as of December 31, 2017. All transition services related to the International Disposal Group ended in 2017. Additionally, Duke Energy will reimburse CTG and I Squared Capital for all tax obligations arising from the period preceding consummation on the transactions, totaling approximately \$78 million. Duke Energy has not recorded any other liabilities, contingent liabilities or indemnifications related to the International Disposal Group.

2015 Midwest Generation Exit

Duke Energy, through indirect subsidiaries, completed the sale of the Midwest Generation Disposal Group to a subsidiary of Dynegy on April 2, 2015, for approximately \$2.8 billion in cash. The nonregulated Midwest generation business included generation facilities with approximately 5,900 MW of owned capacity located in Ohio, Pennsylvania and Illinois. On April 1, 2015, prior to the sale, Duke Energy Ohio distributed its indirect ownership interest in the nonregulated Midwest generation business to a subsidiary of Duke Energy Corporation.

Duke Energy utilized a revolving credit agreement (RCA) to support the operations of the nonregulated Midwest generation business. Duke Energy Ohio had a power purchase agreement with the Midwest Generation Disposal Group for a portion of its standard service offer (SSO) supply requirement. The agreement and the SSO expired in May 2015.

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

The results of operations of the Midwest Generation Disposal Group prior to the date of sale are classified as discontinued operations in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations. Interest expense associated with the RCA was allocated to discontinued operations. No other interest expense related to corporate level debt was allocated to discontinued operations. Certain immaterial costs that were eliminated as a result of the sale remained in continuing operations. The following table summarizes the Midwest Generation Disposal Group activity recorded within discontinued operations.

| | Duke Energy | | Duke Energy Ohio | |
|--|--------------|-------|------------------|-------|
| | Years Ended | | Years Ended | |
| | December 31, | | December 31, | |
| (in millions) | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 |
| Operating Revenues | \$— | \$543 | \$— | \$412 |
| Pretax Loss on disposal ^(a) | — | (45) | — | (52) |
| Income (loss) before income taxes ^(b) | \$— | \$59 | \$— | \$44 |
| Income tax (benefit) expense ^(c) | (36) | 26 | (36) | 21 |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations | \$36 | \$33 | \$36 | \$23 |

(a) The Loss on disposal includes impairments recorded to adjust the carrying amount of the assets to the estimated fair value of the business, based on the selling price to Dynegy less cost to sell.

(b) 2015 amounts include the impact of an \$81 million charge for the settlement agreement reached in a lawsuit related to the Midwest Generation Disposal Group. Refer to Note 5 for further information about the lawsuit.

(c) 2016 amounts result from immaterial out of period deferred tax liability adjustments.

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

3. BUSINESS SEGMENTS

Operating segments are determined based on information used by the chief operating decision-maker in deciding how to allocate resources and evaluate the performance of the business. Duke Energy evaluates segment performance based on segment income. Segment income is defined as income from continuing operations net of income attributable to noncontrolling interests. Segment income, as discussed below, includes intercompany revenues and expenses that are eliminated on the Consolidated Financial Statements. Certain governance costs are allocated to each segment. In addition, direct interest expense and income taxes are included in segment income.

Products and services are sold between affiliate companies and reportable segments of Duke Energy at cost. Segment assets as presented in the tables that follow exclude all intercompany assets.

Duke Energy

Duke Energy's segment structure includes the following segments: Electric Utilities and Infrastructure, Gas Utilities and Infrastructure and Commercial Renewables.

The Electric Utilities and Infrastructure segment includes Duke Energy's regulated electric utilities in the Carolinas, Florida and the Midwest. The regulated electric utilities conduct operations through the Subsidiary Registrants that are substantially all regulated and, accordingly, qualify for regulatory accounting treatment. Electric Utilities and Infrastructure also includes Duke Energy's commercial electric transmission infrastructure investments.

The Gas Utilities and Infrastructure segment includes Piedmont, Duke Energy's natural gas local distribution companies in Ohio and Kentucky, and Duke Energy's natural gas storage and midstream pipeline investments. Gas Utilities and Infrastructure's operations are substantially all regulated and, accordingly, qualify for regulatory accounting treatment.

The Commercial Renewables segment is primarily comprised of nonregulated utility scale wind and solar generation assets located throughout the U.S.

The remainder of Duke Energy's operations is presented as Other, which is primarily comprised of corporate interest expense, unallocated corporate costs, contributions to the Duke Energy Foundation and the operations of Duke Energy's wholly owned captive insurance subsidiary, Bison Insurance Company Limited (Bison). Other also includes Duke Energy's interest in NMC. See Note 12 for additional information on the investment in NMC.

Business segment information is presented in the following tables. Segment assets presented exclude intercompany assets.

| (in millions) | Year Ended December 31, 2017 | | | | | | Total |
|--|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|--------|--------------|----------|
| | Electric Utilities and Infrastructure | Gas Utilities and Infrastructure | Commercial Renewables | Reportable Segments | Other | Eliminations | |
| Unaffiliated Revenues | \$21,300 | \$ 1,743 | \$ 460 | \$ 23,503 | \$62 | \$ — | \$23,565 |
| Intersegment Revenues | 31 | 93 | — | 124 | 76 | (200) | — |
| Total Revenues | \$21,331 | \$ 1,836 | \$ 460 | \$ 23,627 | \$138 | \$ (200) | \$23,565 |
| Interest Expense | \$1,240 | \$ 105 | \$ 87 | \$ 1,432 | \$574 | \$ (20) | \$1,986 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 3,010 | 231 | 155 | 3,396 | 131 | — | 3,527 |
| Equity in earnings (losses) of unconsolidated affiliates | 5 | 62 | (5) | 62 | 57 | — | 119 |
| Income tax expense (benefit) ^(a) | 1,355 | 116 | (628) | 843 | 353 | — | 1,196 |
| Segment income (loss) ^{(b)(c)(d)} | 3,210 | 319 | 441 | 3,970 | (905) | — | 3,065 |
| Add back noncontrolling interest component | | | | | | | 5 |
| | | | | | | | (6) |

Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------|--------|-------|----------|-------|------|--|---------|
| Net income | | | | | | | | \$3,064 |
| Capital investments expenditures and acquisitions | \$7,024 | \$ 907 | \$ 92 | \$ 8,023 | \$175 | \$ — | | \$8,198 |
| Segment assets | 119,423 | 11,462 | 4,156 | 135,041 | 2,685 | 188 | | 137,914 |

All segments include impacts of the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act (the Tax Act). Electric Utilities and Infrastructure (a) includes a \$231 million benefit, Gas Utilities and Infrastructure includes a \$26 million benefit, Commercial Renewables includes a \$442 million benefit and Other includes charges of \$597 million.

(b) Electric Utilities and Infrastructure includes after-tax regulatory settlement charges of \$98 million. See Note 4 for additional information.

(c) Commercial Renewables includes after-tax impairment charges of \$74 million related to certain wind projects and the Energy Management Solutions reporting unit. See Notes 10 and 11 for additional information.

(d) Other includes \$64 million of after-tax costs to achieve the Piedmont merger. See Note 2 for additional information.

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

| (in millions) | Year Ended December 31, 2016 | | | | | | Total |
|---|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|--------|--------------|----------|
| | Electric Utilities and Infrastructure | Gas Utilities and Infrastructure | Commercial Renewables | Reportable Segments | Other | Eliminations | |
| Unaffiliated Revenues | \$21,336 | \$ 875 | \$ 484 | \$ 22,695 | \$48 | \$ — | \$22,743 |
| Intersegment Revenues | 30 | 26 | — | 56 | 69 | (125) | — |
| Total Revenues | \$21,366 | \$ 901 | \$ 484 | \$ 22,751 | \$117 | \$ (125) | \$22,743 |
| Interest Expense | \$1,136 | \$ 46 | \$ 53 | \$ 1,235 | \$693 | \$ (12) | \$1,916 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 2,897 | 115 | 130 | 3,142 | 152 | — | 3,294 |
| Equity in earnings (losses) of unconsolidated affiliates ^(a) | 5 | 19 | (82) | (58) | 43 | — | (15) |
| Income tax expense (benefit) | 1,672 | 90 | (160) | 1,602 | (446) | — | 1,156 |
| Segment income (loss) ^{(b)(c)} | 3,040 | 152 | 23 | 3,215 | (645) | 1 | 2,571 |
| Add back noncontrolling interest component | | | | | | | 7 |
| Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax ^(d) | | | | | | | (408) |
| Net income | | | | | | | \$2,170 |
| Capital investments expenditures and acquisitions ^(e) | \$6,649 | \$ 5,519 | \$ 857 | \$ 13,025 | \$190 | \$ — | \$13,215 |
| Segment assets | 114,993 | 10,760 | 4,377 | 130,130 | 2,443 | 188 | 132,761 |

(a) Commercial Renewables includes a pretax impairment charge of \$71 million. See Note 12 for additional information.

(b) Other includes \$329 million of after-tax costs to achieve mergers. Refer to Note 2 for additional information on costs related to the Piedmont merger.

(c) Other includes after-tax charges of \$57 million related to cost savings initiatives. Refer to Note 19 for further information.

(d) Includes a loss on sale of the International Disposal Group. Refer to Note 2 for further information.

Other includes \$26 million of capital investments expenditures related to the International Disposal Group. Gas

(e) Utilities and Infrastructure includes the Piedmont acquisition of \$5 billion. Refer to Note 2 for more information on the Piedmont acquisition.

| (in millions) | Year Ended December 31, 2015 | | | | | | Total |
|--|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|--------|--------------|----------|
| | Electric Utilities and Infrastructure | Gas Utilities and Infrastructure | Commercial Renewables | Reportable Segments | Other | Eliminations | |
| Unaffiliated Revenues | \$21,489 | \$ 536 | \$ 286 | \$ 22,311 | \$60 | \$ — | \$22,371 |
| Intersegment Revenues | 32 | 5 | — | 37 | 75 | (112) | — |
| Total Revenues | \$21,521 | \$ 541 | \$ 286 | \$ 22,348 | \$135 | \$ (112) | \$22,371 |
| Interest Expense | \$1,074 | \$ 25 | \$ 44 | \$ 1,143 | \$393 | \$ (9) | \$1,527 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 2,735 | 79 | 104 | 2,918 | 135 | — | 3,053 |
| Equity in (losses) earnings of unconsolidated affiliates | (2) | 1 | (6) | (7) | 76 | — | 69 |
| Income tax expense (benefit) | 1,602 | 44 | (128) | 1,518 | (262) | — | 1,256 |

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | |
|--|---------|--------|----------|----------|--------|------|---------|
| Segment income (loss) ^{(a)(b)(c)} | 2,819 | 73 | 52 | 2,944 | (299) | — | 2,645 |
| Add back noncontrolling interest component | | | | | | | 9 |
| Income from discontinued operations, net of tax ^(d) | | | | | | | 177 |
| Net income | | | | | | | \$2,831 |
| Capital investments expenditures and acquisitions ^(e) | \$6,852 | \$ 234 | \$ 1,019 | \$ 8,105 | \$258 | \$ — | \$8,363 |
| Segment assets ^(f) | 109,097 | 2,637 | 3,861 | 115,595 | 5,373 | 188 | 121,156 |

(a) Electric Utilities and Infrastructure includes an after-tax charge of \$58 million related to the Edwardsport settlement. Refer to Note 4 for further information.

(b) Other includes \$60 million of after-tax costs to achieve mergers.

(c) Other includes after-tax charges of \$77 million related to cost savings initiatives. Refer to Note 19 for further information.

(d) Includes the impact of a settlement agreement reached in a lawsuit related to the Midwest Generation Disposal Group. Refer to Note 5 for further information related to the lawsuit and Note 2 for further information on discontinued operations.

(e) Other includes capital investment expenditures of \$45 million related to the International Disposal Group.

(f) Other includes Assets Held for Sale balances related to the International Disposal Group. Refer to Note 2 for further information.

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

Geographical Information

For the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015, all assets and revenues from continuing operations are within the U.S.

Major Customers

For the year ended December 31, 2017, revenues from one customer of Duke Energy Progress are \$521 million. Duke Energy Progress has one reportable segment, Electric Utilities and Infrastructure. No other subsidiary registrant has an individual customer representing more than 10 percent of its revenues.

Products and Services

The following table summarizes revenues of the reportable segments by type.

| (in millions) | Retail | Wholesale | Retail | Other | Total |
|---------------------------------------|----------|-----------|-------------|---------|-----------|
| | Electric | Electric | Natural Gas | | Revenues |
| 2017 | | | | | |
| Electric Utilities and Infrastructure | \$18,177 | \$ 2,104 | \$— | \$1,050 | \$ 21,331 |
| Gas Utilities and Infrastructure | — | — | 1,732 | 104 | 1,836 |
| Commercial Renewables | — | 375 | — | 85 | 460 |
| Total Reportable Segments | \$18,177 | \$ 2,479 | \$1,732 | \$1,239 | \$ 23,627 |
| 2016 | | | | | |
| Electric Utilities and Infrastructure | \$18,338 | \$ 2,095 | \$— | \$933 | \$ 21,366 |
| Gas Utilities and Infrastructure | — | — | 871 | 30 | 901 |
| Commercial Renewables | — | 303 | — | 181 | 484 |
| Total Reportable Segments | \$18,338 | \$ 2,398 | \$871 | \$1,144 | \$ 22,751 |
| 2015 | | | | | |
| Electric Utilities and Infrastructure | \$18,695 | \$ 2,014 | \$— | \$812 | \$ 21,521 |
| Gas Utilities and Infrastructure | — | — | 546 | (5) | 541 |
| Commercial Renewables | — | 245 | — | 41 | 286 |
| Total Reportable Segments | \$18,695 | \$ 2,259 | \$546 | \$848 | \$ 22,348 |

Duke Energy Ohio

Duke Energy Ohio has two reportable operating segments, Electric Utilities and Infrastructure and Gas Utilities and Infrastructure.

Electric Utilities and Infrastructure transmits and distributes electricity in portions of Ohio and generates, distributes and sells electricity in portions of Northern Kentucky. Gas Utilities and Infrastructure transports and sells natural gas in portions of Ohio and Northern Kentucky. It conducts operations primarily through Duke Energy Ohio and its wholly owned subsidiary, Duke Energy Kentucky.

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

The remainder of Duke Energy Ohio's operations is presented as Other, which is primarily comprised of governance costs allocated by its parent, Duke Energy, and revenues and expenses related to Duke Energy Ohio's contractual arrangement to buy power from OVEC's (Ohio Valley Electric Corporation) power plants. See Note 13 for additional information on related party transactions. For the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015, all Duke Energy Ohio assets and revenues are within the U.S.

| (in millions) | Year Ended December 31, 2017 | | | | | |
|---|------------------------------|------------------------------|----------|--------|--------------|---------|
| | Electric Gas | | Total | | | Total |
| | Utilities and Infrastructure | Utilities and Infrastructure | Segments | Other | Eliminations | |
| Total revenues | \$1,373 | \$ 508 | \$ 1,881 | \$42 | \$ — | \$1,923 |
| Interest expense | \$62 | \$ 28 | \$ 90 | \$1 | \$ — | \$91 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 178 | 83 | 261 | \$— | — | 261 |
| Income tax expense (benefit) | 40 | 39 | 79 | \$(20) | — | 59 |
| Segment income (loss) | 138 | 85 | 223 | \$(30) | — | 193 |
| Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax | | | | | | (1) |
| Net income | | | | | | \$192 |
| Capital expenditures | \$491 | \$ 195 | \$ 686 | \$— | \$ — | \$686 |
| Segment assets | 5,066 | 2,758 | 7,824 | 66 | (15) | 7,875 |
| | Year Ended December 31, 2016 | | | | | |
| | Electric Gas | | Total | | | |
| | Utilities and Infrastructure | Utilities and Infrastructure | Segments | Other | Eliminations | Total |
| Total revenues | \$1,410 | \$ 503 | \$ 1,913 | \$31 | \$ — | \$1,944 |
| Interest expense | \$58 | \$ 27 | \$ 85 | \$1 | \$ — | \$86 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 151 | 80 | 231 | 2 | — | 233 |
| Income tax expense (benefit) | 55 | 44 | 99 | (21) | — | 78 |
| Segment income (loss) | 154 | 77 | 231 | (39) | — | 192 |
| Income from discontinued operations, net of tax | | | | | | 36 |
| Net income | | | | | | \$228 |
| Capital expenditures | \$322 | \$ 154 | \$ 476 | \$— | \$ — | \$476 |
| Segment assets | 4,782 | 2,696 | 7,478 | 62 | (12) | 7,528 |
| | Year Ended December 31, 2015 | | | | | |
| | Electric Gas | | Total | | | |
| | Utilities and Infrastructure | Utilities and Infrastructure | Segments | Other | Eliminations | Total |
| Total revenues | \$1,331 | \$ 541 | \$ 1,872 | \$33 | \$ — | \$1,905 |
| Interest expense | \$53 | \$ 25 | \$ 78 | \$1 | \$ — | \$79 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 147 | 79 | 226 | 1 | — | 227 |
| Income tax expense (benefit) | 59 | 45 | 104 | (23) | — | 81 |
| Segment income (loss) | 118 | 73 | 191 | (41) | (1) | 149 |
| Income from discontinued operations, net of tax | | | | | | 23 |
| Net income | | | | | | \$172 |

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | |
|----------------------|-------|--------|--------|-----|------|-------|
| Capital expenditures | \$264 | \$ 135 | \$ 399 | \$— | \$ — | \$399 |
| Segment assets | 4,534 | 2,516 | 7,050 | 56 | (9) | 7,097 |

4. REGULATORY MATTERS

REGULATORY ASSETS AND LIABILITIES

The Duke Energy Registrants record regulatory assets and liabilities that result from the ratemaking process. See Note 1 for further information.

145

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. –
DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE
ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

The following tables present the regulatory assets and liabilities recorded on the Consolidated Balance Sheets of Duke Energy and Progress Energy. See separate tables below for balances by individual registrant.

| (in millions) | Duke Energy | | Progress Energy | |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| | December 31, 2017 | December 31, 2016 | December 31, 2017 | December 31, 2016 |
| Regulatory Assets | | | | |
| AROs – coal ash | \$4,025 | \$3,761 | \$1,984 | \$1,830 |
| AROs – nuclear and other | 852 | 684 | 655 | 569 |
| Accrued pension and OPEB | 2,249 | 2,387 | 906 | 882 |
| Retired generation facilities | 480 | 534 | 386 | 422 |
| Debt fair value adjustment | 1,197 | 1,313 | — | — |
| Net regulatory asset related to income taxes | — | 894 | — | 231 |
| Storm cost deferrals | 531 | 153 | 526 | 148 |
| Nuclear asset securitized balance, net | 1,142 | 1,193 | 1,142 | 1,193 |
| Hedge costs deferrals | 234 | 217 | 94 | 91 |
| Derivatives – natural gas supply contracts | 142 | 187 | — | — |
| Demand side management (DSM)/Energy efficiency (EE) | 530 | 407 | 281 | 278 |
| Grid modernization | 39 | 65 | — | — |
| Vacation accrual | 213 | 196 | 42 | 38 |
| Deferred fuel and purchased power | 507 | 156 | 349 | 111 |
| Nuclear deferral | 119 | 226 | 35 | 134 |
| Post-in-service carrying costs (PISCC) and deferred operating expenses | 366 | 413 | 38 | 42 |
| Transmission expansion obligation | 46 | 71 | — | — |
| Manufactured gas plant (MGP) | 91 | 99 | — | — |
| Advanced metering infrastructure (AMI) | 362 | 218 | 150 | — |
| NCEMPA deferrals | 53 | 51 | 53 | 51 |
| East Bend deferrals | 45 | 32 | — | — |
| Deferred pipeline integrity costs | 54 | 36 | — | — |
| Amounts due from customers | 64 | 66 | — | — |
| Other | 538 | 542 | 110 | 103 |
| Total regulatory assets | 13,879 | 13,901 | 6,751 | 6,123 |
| Less: current portion | 1,437 | 1,023 | 741 | 401 |
| Total noncurrent regulatory assets | \$12,442 | \$12,878 | \$6,010 | \$5,722 |
| Regulatory Liabilities | | | | |
| Costs of removal | \$5,968 | \$5,613 | \$2,537 | \$2,198 |
| ARO – nuclear and other | 806 | 461 | — | — |
| Net regulatory liability related to income taxes | 8,113 | — | 2,802 | — |
| Amounts to be refunded to customers | 10 | 45 | — | — |
| Storm reserve | 20 | 83 | — | 60 |
| Accrued pension and OPEB | 146 | 174 | — | — |
| Deferred fuel and purchased power | 47 | 192 | 1 | 81 |
| Other | 622 | 722 | 179 | 245 |
| Total regulatory liabilities | 15,732 | 7,290 | 5,519 | 2,584 |
| Less: current portion | 402 | 409 | 213 | 189 |

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

Total noncurrent regulatory liabilities \$15,330 \$6,881 \$5,306 \$2,395

Descriptions of regulatory assets and liabilities summarized in the tables above and below follow. See tables below for recovery and amortization periods at the separate registrants.

AROs – coal ash. Represents deferred depreciation and accretion related to the legal obligation to close ash basins. The costs are deferred until recovery treatment has been determined. See Notes 1 and 9 for additional information.

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

AROs – nuclear and other. Represents regulatory assets or liabilities, including deferred depreciation and accretion, related to legal obligations associated with the future retirement of property, plant and equipment, excluding amounts related to coal ash. The AROs relate primarily to decommissioning nuclear power facilities. The amounts also include certain deferred gains and losses on NDTF investments. See Notes 1 and 9 for additional information.

Accrued pension and OPEB. Accrued pension and other post-retirement benefit obligations (OPEB) represent regulatory assets and liabilities related to each of the Duke Energy Registrants' respective shares of unrecognized actuarial gains and losses and unrecognized prior service cost and credit attributable to Duke Energy's pension plans and OPEB plans. The regulatory asset or liability is amortized with the recognition of actuarial gains and losses and prior service cost and credit to net periodic benefit costs for pension and OPEB plans. The accrued pension and OPEB regulatory asset is expected to be recovered primarily over the average remaining service periods or life expectancies of employees covered by the benefit plans. See Note 21 for additional detail.

Retired generation facilities. Represents amounts to be recovered for facilities that have been retired and are probable of recovery.

Debt fair value adjustment. Purchase accounting adjustments recorded to state the carrying value of Progress Energy and Piedmont at fair value in connection with the 2012 and 2016 mergers, respectively. Amount is amortized over the life of the related debt.

Net regulatory asset or liability related to income taxes. Amounts for all registrants include regulatory liabilities related primarily to impacts from the Tax Act. See Note 22 for additional information. Amounts have no immediate impact on rate base as regulatory assets are offset by deferred tax liabilities.

Storm cost deferrals. Represents deferred incremental costs incurred related to extraordinary weather-related events.

Nuclear asset securitized balance, net. Represents the balance associated with Crystal River Unit 3 retirement approved for recovery by the FPSC on September 15, 2015, and the upfront financing costs securitized in 2016 with issuance of the associated bonds. The regulatory asset balance is net of the AFUDC equity portion.

Hedge costs and other deferrals. Amounts relate to unrealized gains and losses on derivatives recorded as a regulatory asset or liability, respectively, until the contracts are settled.

Derivatives – natural gas supply contracts. Represents costs for certain long-dated, fixed quantity forward gas supply contracts, which are recoverable through PGA clauses.

DSM/EE. Deferred costs related to various DSM and EE programs recoverable through various mechanisms.

Grid modernization. Amounts represent deferred depreciation and operating expenses as well as carrying costs on the portion of capital expenditures placed in service but not yet reflected in retail rates as plant in service.

Vacation accrual. Generally recovered within one year.

Deferred fuel and purchased power. Represents certain energy-related costs that are recoverable or refundable as approved by the applicable regulatory body.

Nuclear deferral. Includes amounts related to levelizing nuclear plant outage costs, which allows for the recognition of nuclear outage expenses over the refueling cycle rather than when the outage occurs, resulting in the deferral of operations and maintenance costs associated with refueling.

Post-in-service carrying costs and deferred operating expenses. Represents deferred depreciation and operating expenses as well as carrying costs on the portion of capital expenditures placed in service but not yet reflected in retail rates as plant in service.

Gasification services agreement buyout. The IURC authorized Duke Energy Indiana to recover costs incurred to buy out a gasification services agreement, including carrying costs through 2017.

Transmission expansion obligation. Represents transmission expansion obligations related to Duke Energy Ohio's withdrawal from Midcontinent Independent System Operator, Inc. (MISO).

MGP. Represents remediation costs incurred at former MGP sites and the deferral of costs to be incurred at the East End and West End sites through 2019.

AMI. Represents deferred costs related to the installation of AMI meters and remaining net book value of non-AMI meters to be replaced at Duke Energy Carolinas, net book value of existing meters at Duke Energy Florida, Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Ohio and expected future recovery of net book value of electromechanical meters that have been replaced with AMI meters at Duke Energy Indiana.

NCEMPA deferrals. Represents retail allocated cost deferrals and returns associated with the additional ownership interest in assets acquired from NCEMPA in 2015.

East Bend deferrals. Represents both deferred operating expenses and deferred depreciation as well as carrying costs on the portion of East Bend Generating Station (East Bend) that was acquired from Dayton Power and Light and that had been previously operated as a jointly owned facility.

Deferred pipeline integrity costs. Represents pipeline integrity management costs in compliance with federal regulations recovered through a rider mechanism.

Amounts due from customers. Relates primarily to margin decoupling and IMR recovery mechanisms.

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

Costs of removal. Represents funds received from customers to cover the future removal of property, plant and equipment from retired or abandoned sites as property is retired. Also includes certain deferred gains on NDTF investments.

Amounts to be refunded to customers. Represents required rate reductions to retail customers by the applicable regulatory body.

Storm reserve. Amounts are used to offset future incurred costs for named storms as approved by regulatory commissions.

RESTRICTIONS ON THE ABILITY OF CERTAIN SUBSIDIARIES TO MAKE DIVIDENDS, ADVANCES AND LOANS TO DUKE ENERGY

As a condition to the approval of merger transactions, the NCUC, PSCSC, PUCO, KPSC and IURC imposed conditions on the ability of Duke Energy Carolinas, Duke Energy Progress, Duke Energy Ohio, Duke Energy Kentucky, Duke Energy Indiana and Piedmont to transfer funds to Duke Energy through loans or advances, as well as restricted amounts available to pay dividends to Duke Energy. Certain subsidiaries may transfer funds to the parent by obtaining approval of the respective state regulatory commissions. These conditions imposed restrictions on the ability of the public utility subsidiaries to pay cash dividends as discussed below.

Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Florida also have restrictions imposed by their first mortgage bond indentures, which, in certain circumstances, limit their ability to make cash dividends or distributions on common stock. Amounts restricted as a result of these provisions were not material at December 31, 2017.

Additionally, certain other subsidiaries of Duke Energy have restrictions on their ability to dividend, loan or advance funds to Duke Energy due to specific legal or regulatory restrictions, including, but not limited to, minimum working capital and tangible net worth requirements.

The restrictions discussed below were less than 25 percent of Duke Energy's and Progress Energy's net assets at December 31, 2017.

Duke Energy Carolinas

Duke Energy Carolinas must limit cumulative distributions subsequent to mergers to (i) the amount of retained earnings on the day prior to the closing of the mergers, plus (ii) any future earnings recorded.

Duke Energy Progress

Duke Energy Progress must limit cumulative distributions subsequent to the mergers between Duke Energy and Progress Energy and Duke Energy and Piedmont to (i) the amount of retained earnings on the day prior to the closing of the respective mergers, plus (ii) any future earnings recorded.

Duke Energy Ohio

Duke Energy Ohio will not declare and pay dividends out of capital or unearned surplus without the prior authorization of the PUCO. Duke Energy Ohio received FERC and PUCO approval to pay dividends from its equity accounts that are reflective of the amount that it would have in its retained earnings account had push-down accounting for the Cinergy Corp. (Cinergy) merger not been applied to Duke Energy Ohio's balance sheet. The conditions include a commitment from Duke Energy Ohio that equity, adjusted to remove the impacts of push-down accounting, will not fall below 30 percent of total capital.

Duke Energy Kentucky is required to pay dividends solely out of retained earnings and to maintain a minimum of 35 percent equity in its capital structure.

Duke Energy Indiana

Duke Energy Indiana must limit cumulative distributions subsequent to the merger between Duke Energy and Cinergy to (i) the amount of retained earnings on the day prior to the closing of the merger, plus (ii) any future earnings recorded. In addition, Duke Energy Indiana will not declare and pay dividends out of capital or unearned surplus without prior authorization of the IURC.

Piedmont

Piedmont must limit cumulative distributions subsequent to the acquisition of Piedmont by Duke Energy to (i) the amount of retained earnings on the day prior to the closing of the merger, plus (ii) any future earnings recorded.

RATE RELATED INFORMATION

The NCUC, PSCSC, FPSC, IURC, PUCO, TPUC and KPSC approve rates for retail electric and natural gas services within their states. The FERC approves rates for electric sales to wholesale customers served under cost-based rates (excluding Ohio and Indiana), as well as sales of transmission service. The FERC also regulates certification and siting of new interstate natural gas pipeline projects.

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. –
DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE
ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

All Registrants

Tax Act Impacts

On December 22, 2017, President Trump signed the Tax Act into law, which, among other provisions, reduces the maximum federal corporate income tax rate from 35 percent to 21 percent, effective January 1, 2018. As a result of the Tax Act, the Subsidiary Registrants revalued their deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities, as of December 31, 2017, to account for the future impact of lower corporate tax rates on these deferred tax amounts. For the Subsidiary Registrants regulated operations, where the reduction is expected to be accounted for and applied to customers' rates in future commission proceedings, including rate proceedings, the net remeasurement has been deferred as a regulatory liability. Each of the Subsidiary Registrant's regulatory commissions is reviewing the Tax Act to determine the potential impacts on customer rates. Beginning in January 2018, the Subsidiary Registrants will defer the estimated ongoing impacts of the Tax Act that are expected to be returned to customers. See Note 22 for additional information.

Duke Energy Carolinas and Duke Energy Progress

Ash Basin Closure Costs Deferral

On December 30, 2016, Duke Energy Carolinas and Duke Energy Progress filed a joint petition with the NCUC seeking an accounting order authorizing deferral of certain costs incurred in connection with federal and state environmental remediation requirements related to the permanent closure of ash basins and other ash storage units at coal-fired generating facilities that have provided or are providing generation to customers located in North Carolina. Initial comments were received in March 2017, and reply comments were filed on April 19, 2017. The NCUC has consolidated Duke Energy Carolinas' and Duke Energy Progress' coal ash deferral requests into their respective general rate case dockets for decision. See "2017 North Carolina Rate Case" sections below for additional discussion. Duke Energy Carolinas and Duke Energy Progress cannot predict the outcome of this matter.

Duke Energy Carolinas

Regulatory Assets and Liabilities

The following tables present the regulatory assets and liabilities recorded on Duke Energy Carolinas' Consolidated Balance Sheets.

| (in millions) | December 31, Earnings/Pays Recovery/Refund | | | |
|---|--|---------|----------|-------------|
| | 2017 | 2016 | a Return | Period Ends |
| Regulatory Assets ^(a) | | | | |
| AROs - coal ash | \$1,645 | \$1,536 | (i) | (b) |
| AROs - nuclear and other | — | 9 | | |
| Accrued pension and OPEB | 410 | 481 | | (j) |
| Retired generation facilities ^(c) | 29 | 39 | X | 2023 |
| Net regulatory asset related to income taxes ^(d) | — | 484 | | |
| Hedge costs deferrals ^(c) | 109 | 93 | X | 2041 |
| DSM/EE | 210 | 122 | (h) | (h) |
| Vacation accrual | 83 | 76 | (e) | 2018 |
| Deferred fuel and purchased power | 140 | — | (f) | 2018 |
| Nuclear deferral | 84 | 92 | | 2019 |
| PISCC ^(c) | 35 | 70 | X | (b) |
| AMI | 185 | 172 | X | (b) |
| Other | 222 | 223 | | (b) |
| Total regulatory assets | 3,152 | 3,397 | | |
| Less: current portion | 299 | 238 | | |
| Total noncurrent regulatory assets | \$2,853 | \$3,159 | | |

| | | | | |
|---|---------|---------|-----|------|
| Regulatory Liabilities ^(a) | | | | |
| Costs of removal ^(c) | \$2,054 | \$2,015 | X | (g) |
| ARO - nuclear and other | 806 | 461 | | (b) |
| Net regulatory liability related to income taxes ^(d) | 3,028 | — | | (b) |
| Storm reserve ^(c) | 20 | 22 | | (b) |
| Accrued pension and OPEB | 44 | 46 | | (j) |
| Deferred fuel and purchased power | 46 | 105 | (f) | 2018 |
| Other | 359 | 352 | | (b) |
| Total regulatory liabilities | 6,357 | 3,001 | | |
| Less: current portion | 126 | 161 | | |
| Total noncurrent regulatory liabilities | \$6,231 | \$2,840 | | |

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.
Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

- (a) Regulatory assets and liabilities are excluded from rate base unless otherwise noted.
- (b) The expected recovery or refund period varies or has not been determined.
- (c) Included in rate base.
- (d) Includes regulatory liabilities related to the change in the North Carolina tax rate discussed in Note 22.
- (e) Earns a return on outstanding balance in North Carolina.
- (f) Pays interest on over-recovered costs in North Carolina. Includes certain purchased power costs in North Carolina and South Carolina and costs of distributed energy in South Carolina.
- (g) Recovered over the life of the associated assets.
- (h) Includes incentives on DSM/EE investments and is recovered through an annual rider mechanism.
- (i) Earns a debt return on coal ash expenditures for North Carolina and South Carolina retail customers.
- (j) Recovered primarily over the average remaining service periods or life expectancies of employees covered by the benefit plans. See Note 21 for additional detail.

2017 North Carolina Rate Case

On August 25, 2017, Duke Energy Carolinas filed an application with the NCUC for a rate increase for retail customers of approximately \$647 million, which represents an approximate 13.6 percent increase in annual base revenues. The rate increase is driven by capital investments subsequent to the previous base rate case, including grid improvement projects, AMI, investments in customer service technologies, costs of complying with coal combustion residuals (CCR) regulations and the North Carolina Coal Ash Management Act of 2014 (Coal Ash Act) and recovery of costs related to licensing and development of the William States Lee III Nuclear Station (Lee Nuclear Station) discussed below. On January 23, 2018, the North Carolina Public Staff filed testimony recommending an overall rate decrease of approximately \$290 million. An evidentiary hearing is scheduled to begin on February 27, 2018, and a decision and revised customer rates are expected by mid-2018. Duke Energy Carolinas cannot predict the outcome of this matter.

FERC Formula Rate Matter

On July 31, 2017, Piedmont Municipal Power Agency (PMPA) filed a complaint with FERC against Duke Energy Carolinas alleging that Duke Energy Carolinas misapplied the formula rate under the purchase power agreement (PPA) between the parties by including regulatory amortization in its rates without FERC approval. Duke Energy Carolinas disagreed with PMPA as it believed it was properly applying its FERC filed rate. On February 15, 2018, FERC issued an order ruling in favor of PMPA and ordered Duke Energy Carolinas to refund to PMPA all amounts improperly collected under the PPA. Resolution of this matter is not expected to be material.

Lincoln County Combustion Turbine

On December 7, 2017, the NCUC issued an order approving a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity (CPCN) for Duke Energy Carolinas' proposed 402-megawatt (MW) simple cycle, advanced combustion turbine natural gas-fueled electric generating unit at its existing Lincoln County site. The CPCN also includes construction of related transmission and natural gas pipeline interconnection facilities. Construction is scheduled to begin in 2018 with an extended commissioning and validation period from 2020-2024 and an estimated commercial operation date in 2024. As a condition of the approval, Duke Energy Carolinas will not seek recovery of costs associated with the project until it is placed into commercial operation.

Advanced Metering Infrastructure Deferral

On July 12, 2016, the PSCSC issued an accounting order for Duke Energy Carolinas to defer the financial effects of depreciation expense incurred for the installation of AMI meters, the carrying costs on the investment at its weighted average cost of capital (WACC) and the carrying costs on the deferred costs at its WACC not to exceed \$45 million. The decision also allows Duke Energy Carolinas to continue to depreciate the non-AMI meters to be replaced. Current retail rates will not change as a result of the decision and the ability of interested parties to challenge the reasonableness of expenditures in subsequent proceedings is not limited.

William States Lee Combined Cycle Facility

On April 9, 2014, the PSCSC granted Duke Energy Carolinas and North Carolina Electric Membership Corporation (NCEMC) a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and Public Convenience and Necessity (CECPCN) for the construction and operation of a 750-MW combined-cycle natural gas-fired generating plant at Duke Energy Carolinas' existing William States Lee Generating Station in Anderson, South Carolina. Duke Energy Carolinas began construction in July 2015 and estimates a cost to build of \$600 million for its share of the facility, including allowance for funds used during construction (AFUDC). The project is expected to be commercially available in the first quarter of 2018. NCEMC will own approximately 13 percent of the project. On July 3, 2014, the South Carolina Coastal Conservation League (SCCL) and Southern Alliance for Clean Energy (SACE) jointly filed a Notice of Appeal with the Court of Appeals of South Carolina (S.C. Court of Appeals) seeking the court's review of the PSCSC's decision, claiming the PSCSC did not properly consider a request related to a proposed solar facility prior to granting approval of the CECPCN. The S.C. Court of Appeals affirmed the PSCSC's decision on February 10, 2016, and on March 24, 2016, denied a request for rehearing filed by SCCL and SACE. On April 21, 2016, SCCL and SACE petitioned the South Carolina Supreme Court for review of the S.C. Court of Appeals decision. On March 24, 2017, the South Carolina Supreme Court denied the request for review, thus concluding the matter.

Lee Nuclear Station

In December 2007, Duke Energy Carolinas applied to the NRC for combined operating licenses (COLs) for two Westinghouse AP1000 reactors for the proposed William States Lee III Nuclear Station to be located at a site in Cherokee County, South Carolina. The NCUC and PSCSC concurred with the prudence of Duke Energy Carolinas incurring certain project development and preconstruction costs through several separately issued orders, although full cost recovery is not guaranteed. In December 2016, the NRC issued a COL for each reactor. Duke Energy Carolinas is not required to build the nuclear reactors as result of the COLs being issued.

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

On March 29, 2017, Westinghouse filed for voluntary Chapter 11 bankruptcy in the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York. As part of its 2017 North Carolina Rate Case discussed above, Duke Energy Carolinas is seeking NCUC approval to cancel the development of the Lee Nuclear Station project due to the Westinghouse bankruptcy filing and other market activity and is requesting recovery of incurred licensing and development costs. Duke Energy Carolinas will maintain the license issued by the NRC in December 2016 as an option for potential future development. As of December 31, 2017, Duke Energy Carolinas has incurred approximately \$558 million of costs, including AFUDC, related to the project. These project costs are included in Net property, plant and equipment on Duke Energy Carolinas' Consolidated Balance Sheets. Duke Energy Carolinas cannot predict the outcome of this matter.

Duke Energy Progress

Regulatory Assets and Liabilities

The following tables present the regulatory assets and liabilities recorded on Duke Energy Progress' Consolidated Balance Sheets.

| (in millions) | December 31, | | Earns/Pays a Return | Recovery/Refund Period Ends |
|--|--------------|---------|------------------------|--------------------------------|
| | 2017 | 2016 | | |
| Regulatory Assets^(a) | | | | |
| AROs - coal ash | \$1,975 | \$1,822 | (i) | (b) |
| AROs - nuclear and other | 359 | 275 | | (c) |
| Accrued pension and OPEB | 430 | 423 | | (l) |
| Retired generation facilities | 170 | 165 | X | 2023 |
| Net regulatory asset related to income taxes | — | 7 | | (d) |
| Storm cost deferrals ^(e) | 150 | 148 | X | (b) |
| Hedge costs deferrals | 64 | 66 | | (b) |
| DSM/EE ^(f) | 264 | 263 | (j) | 2018 |
| Vacation accrual | 42 | 38 | | 2018 |
| Deferred fuel and purchased power | 130 | 24 | (g) | 2018 |
| Nuclear deferral | 35 | 38 | | 2019 |
| PISCC and deferred operating expenses | 38 | 42 | X | 2054 |
| AMI | 75 | — | | (b) |
| NCEMPA deferrals | 53 | 51 | (h) | 2042 |
| Other | 74 | 69 | | (b) |
| Total regulatory assets | 3,859 | 3,431 | | |
| Less: current portion | 352 | 188 | | |
| Total noncurrent regulatory assets | \$3,507 | \$3,243 | | |
| Regulatory Liabilities^(a) | | | | |
| Costs of removal | \$2,122 | \$1,840 | X | (k) |
| Net regulatory liability related to income taxes | 1,854 | — | | (b) |
| Deferred fuel and purchased power | 1 | 64 | (g) | 2018 |
| Other | 161 | 200 | | (b) |
| Total regulatory liabilities | 4,138 | 2,104 | | |
| Less: current portion | 139 | 158 | | |
| Total noncurrent regulatory liabilities | \$3,999 | \$1,946 | | |

(a)Regulatory assets and liabilities are excluded from rate base unless otherwise noted.

(b)The expected recovery or refund period varies or has not been determined.

(c)Recovery period for costs related to nuclear facilities runs through the decommissioning period of each unit.

- (d) Recovery over the life of the associated assets. Includes regulatory liabilities related to the change in the North Carolina tax rate discussed in Note 22.
- (e) South Carolina storm costs are included in rate base.
- (f) Included in rate base.
- (g) Pays interest on over-recovered costs in North Carolina. Includes certain purchased power costs in North Carolina and South Carolina and costs of distributed energy in South Carolina.
- (h) South Carolina retail allocated costs are earning a return.
- (i) Earns a debt return on coal ash expenditures for North Carolina and South Carolina retail customers.
- (j) Includes incentives on DSM/EE investments.
- (k) Recovered over the life of the associated assets.
- (l) Recovered primarily over the average remaining service periods or life expectancies of employees covered by the benefit plans. See Note 21 for additional detail.

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

2017 North Carolina Rate Case

On June 1, 2017, Duke Energy Progress filed an application with the NCUC for a rate increase for retail customers of approximately \$477 million, which represented an approximate 14.9 percent increase in annual base revenues. Subsequent to the filing, Duke Energy Progress adjusted the requested amount to \$420 million, representing an approximate 13 percent increase. The rate increase is driven by capital investments subsequent to the previous base rate case, costs of complying with CCR regulations and the Coal Ash Act, costs relating to storm recovery, investments in customer service technologies and recovery of costs associated with renewable purchased power. On November 22, 2017, Duke Energy Progress and the North Carolina Public Staff filed an Agreement and Stipulation of Partial Settlement resolving certain portions of the proceeding, pending NCUC approval. Terms of the settlement include a return on equity of 9.9 percent and a capital structure of 52 percent equity and 48 percent debt. As a result of the settlement, in 2017 Duke Energy Progress recorded pretax charges totaling approximately \$25 million to Impairment charges and Operation, maintenance and other on the Consolidated Income Statements, principally related to disallowances from rate base of certain projects at the Mayo and Sutton plants. The settlement does not include agreement on portions of the rate case relating to recovery of deferred storm recovery costs and coal ash basin deferred costs, which will be decided by the NCUC separately. Taking into consideration the settled portions and Duke Energy Progress' requested recovery of the non-settled portions, the requested rate increase is reduced to approximately \$300 million. An evidentiary hearing ended December 7, 2017, and a decision and revised customer rates are expected in the first quarter of 2018. Duke Energy Progress cannot predict the outcome of this matter.

Storm Cost Deferral Filings

On December 16, 2016, Duke Energy Progress filed a petition with the NCUC requesting an accounting order to defer certain costs incurred in connection with response to Hurricane Matthew and other significant storms in 2016. The final estimate of incremental operation and maintenance and capital costs of \$116 million was filed with the NCUC in September 2017. On March 15, 2017, the NCUC Public Staff filed comments supporting deferral of a portion of Duke Energy Progress' requested amount. Duke Energy Progress filed reply comments on April 12, 2017. On July 10, 2017, the NCUC consolidated Duke Energy Progress' storm deferral request into the Duke Energy Progress rate case docket for decision. See "2017 North Carolina Rate Case" for additional discussion. As of December 31, 2017, Duke Energy Progress has approximately \$77 million included in Regulatory assets on its Consolidated Balance Sheets. Duke Energy Progress cannot predict the outcome of this matter.

On December 16, 2016, Duke Energy Progress filed a petition with the PSCSC requesting an accounting order to defer certain costs incurred related to repairs and restoration of service following Hurricane Matthew. The final estimate of incremental operation and maintenance and capital costs was approximately \$74 million. In January 2017, the PSCSC approved the deferral request and issued an accounting order. As of December 31, 2017, Duke Energy Progress has approximately \$73 million included in Regulatory assets on its Consolidated Balance Sheets.

South Carolina Rate Case

In December 2016, the PSCSC approved a rate case settlement agreement among the ORS (Office of Regulatory Staff), intervenors and Duke Energy Progress. Terms of the settlement agreement included an approximate \$56 million increase in revenues over a two-year period. An increase of approximately \$38 million in revenues was effective January 1, 2017, and an additional increase of approximately \$18.5 million in revenues was effective January 1, 2018. Duke Energy Progress amortized approximately \$18.5 million from the cost of removal reserve in 2017. Other settlement terms included a rate of return on equity of 10.1 percent, recovery of coal ash costs incurred from January 1, 2015, through June 30, 2016, over a 15 year period and ongoing deferral of allocated ash basin closure costs from July 1, 2016, until the next base rate case. The settlement also provides that Duke Energy Progress will not seek an increase in rates in South Carolina to occur prior to 2019, with limited exceptions.

Western Carolinas Modernization Plan

On November 4, 2015, Duke Energy Progress announced a Western Carolinas Modernization Plan, which included retirement of the existing Asheville coal-fired plant, the construction of two 280 MW combined-cycle natural gas plants having dual fuel capability, with the option to build a third natural gas simple cycle unit in 2023 based upon the outcome of initiatives to reduce the region's power demand. The plan also included upgrades to existing transmission lines and substations, installation of solar generation and a pilot battery storage project. These investments will be made within the next seven years. Duke Energy Progress is also working with the local natural gas distribution company to upgrade an existing natural gas pipeline to serve the natural gas plant.

On March 28, 2016, the NCUC issued an order approving a CPCN for the new combined-cycle natural gas plants, but denying the CPCN for the contingent simple cycle unit without prejudice to Duke Energy Progress to refile for approval in the future. On March 28, 2017, Duke Energy Progress filed an annual progress report for the construction of the combined-cycle plants with the NCUC, with an estimated cost of \$893 million. Site preparation activities for the combined-cycle plants are underway and construction of these plants began in 2017, with an expected in-service date in late 2019. Duke Energy Progress plans to file for future approvals related to the proposed solar generation and pilot battery storage project.

The carrying value of the 376-MW Asheville coal-fired plant, including associated ash basin closure costs, of \$385 million and \$492 million are included in Generation facilities to be retired, net on Duke Energy Progress' Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2017, and 2016, respectively.

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. –
DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE
ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

Shearon Harris Nuclear Plant Expansion

In 2006, Duke Energy Progress selected a site at Harris to evaluate for possible future nuclear expansion. On February 19, 2008, Duke Energy Progress filed its COL application with the NRC for two Westinghouse AP1000 reactors at Harris, which the NRC docketed for review. On May 2, 2013, Duke Energy Progress filed a letter with the NRC requesting the NRC to suspend its review activities associated with the COL at the Harris site. The NCUC and PSCSC approved deferral of retail costs. Total deferred costs were approximately \$47 million as of December 31, 2017, and are recorded in Regulatory assets on Duke Energy Progress' Consolidated Balance Sheets. On November 17, 2016, the FERC approved Duke Energy Progress' rate recovery request filing for the wholesale ratepayers' share of the abandonment costs, including a debt only return to be recovered through revised formula rates and amortized over a 15-year period beginning May 1, 2014. As part of the settlement agreement for the 2017 North Carolina Rate Case discussed above, Duke Energy Progress will amortize the regulatory asset over an eight-year period. The settlement is subject to NCUC approval. Duke Energy Progress cannot predict the outcome of this matter.

Duke Energy Florida

Regulatory Assets and Liabilities

The following tables present the regulatory assets and liabilities recorded on Duke Energy Florida's Consolidated Balance Sheets.

| (in millions) | December 31, | | Earns/Pays Recovery/Refund | |
|---|--------------|---------|----------------------------|-------------|
| | 2017 | 2016 | a Return | Period Ends |
| Regulatory Assets ^(a) | | | | |
| AROs - coal ash ^(c) | \$9 | \$8 | X | (b) |
| AROs - nuclear and other ^(c) | 296 | 294 | X | (b) |
| Accrued pension and OPEB ^(c) | 476 | 458 | X | (h) |
| Retired generation facilities ^(c) | 216 | 257 | X | (b) |
| Net regulatory asset related to income taxes ^(c) | — | 224 | X | (d) |
| Storm cost deferrals ^(c) | 376 | — | (f) | 2021 |
| Nuclear asset securitized balance, net | 1,142 | 1,193 | | 2036 |
| Hedge costs deferrals | 30 | 25 | | 2018 |
| DSM/EE ^(c) | 17 | 15 | X | 2018 |
| Deferred fuel and purchased power ^(c) | 219 | 87 | (g) | 2019 |
| Nuclear deferral | — | 96 | | |
| AMI ^(c) | 75 | — | X | 2032 |
| Other | 36 | 36 | | (b) |
| Total regulatory assets | 2,892 | 2,693 | | |
| Less: current portion | 389 | 213 | | |
| Total noncurrent regulatory assets | \$2,503 | \$2,480 | | |
| Regulatory Liabilities ^(a) | | | | |
| Costs of removal ^(c) | \$415 | \$358 | (e) | (b) |
| Net regulatory liability related to income taxes ^(c) | 948 | — | | (b) |
| Storm reserve ^(c) | — | 60 | | |
| Deferred fuel and purchased power ^(c) | — | 17 | (g) | |
| Other | 18 | 44 | | (b) |
| Total regulatory liabilities | 1,381 | 479 | | |
| Less: current portion | 74 | 31 | | |
| Total noncurrent regulatory liabilities | \$1,307 | \$448 | | |

(a) Regulatory assets and liabilities are excluded from rate base unless otherwise noted.

- (b) The expected recovery or refund period varies or has not been determined.
- (c) Included in rate base.
- (d) Recovery over the life of the associated assets.
- (e) Certain costs earn a return.
- (f) Earns a debt return/interest once collections begin.
- (g) Earns commercial paper rate.
- (h) Recovered primarily over the average remaining service periods or life expectancies of employees covered by the benefit plans. See Note 21 for additional detail.

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

Storm Restoration Cost Recovery

In September 2017, Duke Energy Florida's service territory suffered significant damage from Hurricane Irma, resulting in approximately 1.3 million customers experiencing outages. In the fourth quarter of 2017, Duke Energy Florida also incurred preparation costs related to Hurricane Nate. On December 28, 2017, Duke Energy Florida filed a petition with the FPSC to recover incremental storm restoration costs for Hurricanes Irma and Nate and to replenish the storm reserve. The estimated recovery amount is approximately \$513 million to be recovered over a three-year period beginning in March 2018, subject to true up, which includes reestablishment of a \$132 million storm reserve. At December 31, 2017, Duke Energy Florida's Consolidated Balance Sheets included approximately \$376 million of recoverable costs under the FPSC's storm rule in Regulatory assets within Other Noncurrent Assets related to storm recovery. On February 6, 2018, the FPSC approved Duke Energy Florida's motion to approve a stipulation that would apply tax savings resulting from the Tax Act toward storm costs in lieu of implementing a storm surcharge.

2017 Second Revised and Restated Settlement Agreement

On November 20, 2017, the FPSC issued an order to approve the 2017 Second Revised and Restated Settlement Agreement (2017 Settlement) filed by Duke Energy Florida. The 2017 Settlement replaces and supplants the 2013 Settlement. The 2017 Settlement extends the base rate case stay-out provision from the 2013 Settlement through the end of 2021 unless actual or projected return on equity falls below 9.5 percent; however, Duke Energy Florida is allowed a multiyear increase to its base rates of \$67 million per year in 2019, 2020 and 2021, as well as base rate increases for solar generation. In addition to carrying forward the provisions contained in the 2013 Settlement related to the Crystal River 1 and 2 coal units discussed below and future generation needs in Florida, the 2017 Settlement contains provisions related to future investments in solar and renewable energy technology, future investments in AMI technology as well as recovery of existing meters, impacts of the Tax Act, an electric vehicle charging station pilot program and the termination of the proposed Levy Nuclear Project discussed below. As part of the 2017 Settlement, Duke Energy Florida will not move forward with building the Levy nuclear plant and recorded a pretax impairment charge of approximately \$135 million in 2017 to write off all unrecovered Levy Nuclear Project costs, including the COL. As a result of the 2017 Settlement, Duke Energy Florida transferred \$75 million to a regulatory asset for the net book value of existing meter technology, which will be recovered over a 15-year period.

The 2017 Settlement includes provisions to recover 2017 under-recovered fuel costs of approximately \$196 million over a 24-month period beginning in January 2018. On September 1, 2017, Duke Energy Florida submitted Alternate 2018 Fuel and Capacity clause projection filings consistent with the terms of the 2017 Settlement. The updated capacity filing reflects the removal of all Levy costs. The FPSC approved Duke Energy Florida's 2018 Alternate projection filings on October 25, 2017.

Hines Chiller Uprate Project

On February 2, 2017, Duke Energy Florida filed a petition seeking approval to include in base rates the revenue requirement for a Chiller Uprate Project (Uprate Project) at the Hines Energy Complex. The Uprate Project was placed into service in March 2017 at a cost of approximately \$150 million. The annual retail revenue requirement is approximately \$19 million. On March 28, 2017, the FPSC issued an order approving the revenue requirement, which was included in base rates for the first billing cycle of April 2017.

Citrus County Combined Cycle Facility

On October 2, 2014, the FPSC granted Duke Energy Florida a Determination of Need for the construction of a 1,640-MW combined-cycle natural gas plant in Citrus County, Florida. On May 5, 2015, the Florida Department of Environmental Protection approved Duke Energy Florida's Site Certification Application. The project has received all required permits and approvals and construction began in October 2015. The facility is expected to be commercially available in 2018 at an estimated cost of \$1.5 billion, including AFUDC. The plant will receive natural gas from the Sabal Trail Transmission, LLC (Sabal Trail) pipeline discussed below.

Purchase of Osprey Energy Center

Duke Energy Florida received a Civil Investigative Demand from the Department of Justice (DOJ) related to alleged violation of the waiting period for the Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act of 1976 related to the purchase of the Osprey Energy Center, LLC, which was completed in January 2017. The DOJ alleged Duke Energy Florida assumed operational control of the Osprey Plant before the waiting period expiration on February 27, 2015. On January 17, 2017, Duke Energy Florida entered into a stipulation agreement to settle with the DOJ for \$600,000 without admission of liability. On January 18, 2017, the DOJ filed a complaint and the stipulation in the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia, which was approved by the court. A final order dismissing the case was entered in April 2017.

Crystal River Unit 3

In December 2014, the FPSC approved Duke Energy Florida's decision to construct an independent spent fuel storage installation (ISFSI) for the retired Crystal River Unit 3 nuclear plant and approved Duke Energy Florida's request to defer amortization of the ISFSI pending resolution of litigation against the federal government as a result of the Department of Energy's breach of its obligation to accept spent nuclear fuel. The return rate is based on the currently approved AFUDC rate with a return on equity of 7.35 percent, or 70 percent of the currently approved 10.5 percent. The return rate is subject to change if the return on equity changes in the future. In September 2016, the FPSC approved an amendment to the 2013 Settlement authorizing recovery of the ISFSI through the Capacity Cost Recovery Clause. Through December 31, 2017, Duke Energy Florida has deferred approximately \$113 million for recovery associated with building the ISFSI. See Note 5 for additional information on spent nuclear fuel litigation. The regulatory asset associated with the original Crystal River Unit 3 power uprate project will continue to be recovered through the NCRC over an estimated seven-year period that began in 2013 with a remaining uncollected balance of \$87 million at December 31, 2017.

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

Crystal River Unit 3 Regulatory Asset

On September 15, 2015, the FPSC approved Duke Energy Florida's motion for approval of a settlement agreement with intervenors to reduce the value of the projected Crystal River Unit 3 regulatory asset to be recovered to \$1.283 billion as of December 31, 2015. An impairment charge of \$15 million was recognized in 2015 to adjust the regulatory asset balance. In November 2015, the FPSC issued a financing order approving Duke Energy Florida's request to issue nuclear asset-recovery bonds to finance its unrecovered regulatory asset related to Crystal River Unit 3 through a wholly owned special purpose entity. Nuclear asset-recovery bonds replace the base rate recovery methodology authorized by the 2013 Settlement and result in a lower rate impact to customers with a recovery period of approximately 20 years.

Pursuant to provisions in Florida Statutes and the FPSC financing order, in 2016, Duke Energy Florida formed Duke Energy Florida Project Finance, LLC (DEFPF), a wholly owned, bankruptcy remote special purpose subsidiary for the purpose of issuing nuclear asset-recovery bonds. In June 2016, DEFPF issued \$1,294 million aggregate principal amount of senior secured bonds (nuclear asset-recovery bonds) to finance the recovery of Duke Energy Florida's Crystal River 3 regulatory asset.

In connection with this financing, net proceeds to DEFPF of approximately \$1,287 million, after underwriting costs, were used to acquire nuclear asset-recovery property from Duke Energy Florida and to pay transaction related expenses. The nuclear asset-recovery property includes the right to impose, bill, collect and adjust a non-bypassable nuclear asset-recovery charge, to be collected on a per kilowatt-hour basis, from all Duke Energy Florida retail customers until the bonds are paid in full. Duke Energy Florida began collecting the nuclear asset-recovery charge on behalf of DEFPF in customer rates in July 2016.

See Note 17 for additional information.

Levy Nuclear Project

On July 28, 2008, Duke Energy Florida applied to the NRC for COLs for two Westinghouse AP1000 reactors at Levy (Levy Nuclear Project). In 2008, the FPSC granted Duke Energy Florida's petition for an affirmative Determination of Need and related orders requesting cost recovery under Florida's nuclear cost-recovery rule, together with the associated facilities, including transmission lines and substation facilities. In October 2016, the NRC issued COLs for the proposed Levy Nuclear Plant Units 1 and 2. Duke Energy Florida is not required to build the nuclear reactors as a result of the COLs being issued.

On January 28, 2014, Duke Energy Florida terminated the Levy engineering, procurement and construction agreement (EPC). Duke Energy Florida may be required to pay for work performed under the EPC. Duke Energy Florida recorded an exit obligation in 2014 for the termination of the EPC. This liability was recorded within Other in Other Noncurrent Liabilities with an offset primarily to Regulatory assets on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Duke Energy Florida is allowed to recover reasonable and prudent EPC cancellation costs from its retail customers. On May 1, 2017, Duke Energy Florida filed a request with the FPSC to recover approximately \$82 million of Levy Nuclear Project costs from retail customers in 2018. As part of the 2017 Settlement discussed above, Duke Energy Florida is no longer seeking recovery of costs related to the Levy Nuclear Project and the ongoing Westinghouse litigation discussed in Note 5. All remaining Levy Nuclear Project issues have been resolved.

Crystal River 1 and 2 Coal Units

Duke Energy Florida has evaluated Crystal River 1 and 2 coal units for retirement in order to comply with certain environmental regulations. Based on this evaluation, those units are expected to be retired by the end of 2018. Once those units are retired Duke Energy Florida will continue recovery of existing annual depreciation expense through the end of 2020. Beginning in 2021, Duke Energy Florida will be allowed to recover any remaining net book value of the assets from retail customers through the Capacity Cost Recovery Clause.

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. –
DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE
ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

Duke Energy Ohio

Regulatory Assets and Liabilities

The following tables present the regulatory assets and liabilities recorded on Duke Energy Ohio's Consolidated Balance Sheets.

| (in millions) | December | | Earns/Pays a Return | Recovery/Refund Period Ends |
|---|-------------|-------|------------------------|--------------------------------|
| | 31, 2017 | 2016 | | |
| Regulatory Assets^(a) | | | | |
| AROs - coal ash | \$17 | \$12 | X | (b) |
| Accrued pension and OPEB | 139 | 135 | | (g) |
| Net regulatory asset related to income taxes ^(c) | — | 63 | | (d) |
| Storm cost deferrals | 5 | 5 | | (b) |
| Hedge costs deferrals | 6 | 7 | | (b) |
| DSM/EE | 18 | 6 | (f) | (e) |
| Grid modernization | 39 | 65 | X | (e) |
| Vacation accrual | 5 | 4 | | 2018 |
| Deferred fuel and purchased power | — | 5 | | |
| PISCC and deferred operating expenses ^(c) | 19 | 20 | X | 2083 |
| Transmission expansion obligation | 50 | 71 | | (e) |
| MGP | 91 | 99 | | (b) |
| AMI | 6 | — | | (b) |
| East Bend deferrals | 45 | 32 | X | (b) |
| Deferred pipeline integrity costs | 12 | 7 | X | (b) |
| Other | 42 | 26 | | (b) |
| Total regulatory assets | 494 | 557 | | |
| Less: current portion | 49 | 37 | | |
| Total noncurrent regulatory assets | \$445 | \$520 | | |
| Regulatory Liabilities^(a) | | | | |
| Costs of removal | \$189 | \$212 | | (d) |
| Net regulatory liability related to income taxes | 688 | — | | (b) |
| Accrued pension and OPEB | 16 | 19 | | (g) |
| Deferred fuel and purchased power | — | 6 | | |
| Other | 34 | 20 | | (b) |
| Total regulatory liabilities | 927 | 257 | | |
| Less: current portion | 36 | 21 | | |
| Total noncurrent regulatory liabilities | \$891 | \$236 | | |

(a) Regulatory assets and liabilities are excluded from rate base unless otherwise noted.

(b) The expected recovery or refund period varies or has not been determined.

(c) Included in rate base.

(d) Recovery over the life of the associated assets.

(e) Recovered via a rider mechanism.

(f) Includes incentives on DSM/EE investments.

(g) Recovered primarily over the average remaining service periods or life expectancies of employees covered by the benefit plans. See Note 21 for additional detail.

Duke Energy Kentucky Rate Case

On September 1, 2017, Duke Energy Kentucky filed a rate case with the KPSC requesting an increase in electric base rates of approximately \$49 million, which represents an approximate 15 percent increase on the average customer bill. The rate increase is driven by increased investment in utility plant, increased operations and maintenance expenses and recovery of regulatory assets. The application also includes implementation of the Environmental Surcharge Mechanism to recover environmental costs not included in base rates, requests to establish a Distribution Capital Investment Rider to recover incremental costs of specific programs, requests to establish a FERC Transmission Cost Reconciliation Rider to recover escalating transmission costs and modification to the Profit Sharing Mechanism to increase customers' share of proceeds from the benefits of owning generation and to mitigate shareholder risks associated with that generation. An evidentiary hearing is scheduled to begin on March 6, 2018. Duke Energy Kentucky anticipates that rates will go into effect in mid-April 2018. Duke Energy Kentucky cannot predict the outcome of this matter.

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

2017 Electric Security Plan

On June 1, 2017, Duke Energy Ohio filed with the PUCO a request for a standard service offer in the form of an electric security plan (ESP). If approved by the PUCO, the term of the ESP would be from June 1, 2018, to May 31, 2024. Terms of the ESP include continuation of market-based customer rates through competitive procurement processes for generation, continuation and expansion of existing rider mechanisms and proposed new rider mechanisms relating to regulatory mandates, costs incurred to enhance the customer experience and transform the grid and a service reliability rider for vegetation management. On February 15, 2018, the procedural schedule was suspended to facilitate ongoing settlement discussions. Duke Energy Ohio cannot predict the outcome of this matter.

Woodsdale Station Fuel System Filing

On June 9, 2015, the FERC ruled in favor of PJM Interconnection, LLC (PJM) on a revised Tariff and Reliability Assurance Agreement including implementation of a Capacity Performance (CP) proposal and to amend sections of the Operating Agreement related to generation non-performance. The CP proposal includes performance-based penalties for non-compliance. Duke Energy Kentucky is a Fixed Resource Requirement (FRR) entity, and therefore is subject to the compliance standards through its FRR plans. A partial CP obligation will apply to Duke Energy Kentucky in the delivery year beginning June 1, 2019, with full compliance beginning June 1, 2020. Duke Energy Kentucky has developed strategies for CP compliance investments. On December 21, 2017, the KPSC issued an order approving Duke Energy Kentucky's request for a CPCN to construct an ultra-low sulfur diesel backup fuel system for the Woodsdale Station. The backup fuel system is projected to cost approximately \$55 million and is anticipated to be in service prior to the CP compliance deadline of April 2019.

Ohio Valley Electric Corporation

On March 31, 2017, Duke Energy Ohio filed for approval to adjust its existing price stabilization rider (Rider PSR), which is currently set at zero dollars, to pass through net costs related to its contractual entitlement to capacity and energy from the generating assets owned by OVEC. The filing seeks to adjust Rider PSR for OVEC costs subsequent to April 1, 2017. Duke Energy Ohio is seeking deferral authority for net costs incurred from April 1, 2017, until the new rates under Rider PSR are put into effect. Various intervenors have filed motions to dismiss or stay the proceeding and Duke Energy Ohio has opposed these filings. See Note 13 for additional discussion of Duke Energy Ohio's ownership interest in OVEC. Duke Energy Ohio cannot predict the outcome of this matter.

East Bend Coal Ash Basin Filing

On December 2, 2016, Duke Energy Kentucky filed with the KPSC a request for a CPCN for construction projects necessary to close and repurpose an ash basin at the East Bend facility as a result of current and proposed EPA regulations. Duke Energy Kentucky estimated a total cost of approximately \$93 million in the filing and expects in-service date by the first quarter of 2021. On June 6, 2017, the KPSC approved the CPCN request.

Electric Base Rate Case

Duke Energy Ohio filed with the PUCO an electric distribution base rate case application and supporting testimony in March 2017. Duke Energy Ohio requested an estimated annual increase of approximately \$15 million and a return on equity of 10.4 percent. The application also includes requests to continue certain current riders and establish new riders. On September 26, 2017, the PUCO staff filed a report recommending a revenue decrease between approximately \$18 million and \$29 million and a return on equity between 9.22 percent and 10.24 percent. On February 15, 2018, the procedural schedule was suspended to facilitate ongoing settlement discussions. Duke Energy Ohio expects rates will go into effect the second quarter of 2018. Duke Energy Ohio cannot predict the outcome of this matter.

Natural Gas Pipeline Extension

Duke Energy Ohio is proposing to install a new natural gas pipeline in its Ohio service territory to increase system reliability and enable the retirement of older infrastructure. On January 20, 2017, Duke Energy Ohio filed an amended application with the Ohio Power Siting Board for approval of one of two proposed routes. A public hearing was held

on June 15, 2017, and an adjudicatory hearing was scheduled to begin September 11, 2017. On August 24, 2017, an administrative law judge (ALJ) granted a request made by Duke Energy Ohio to delay the procedural schedule while it works through various issues related to the pipeline route. If approved, construction of the pipeline extension is expected to be completed before the 2020/2021 winter season. The proposed project involves the installation of a natural gas line and is estimated to cost approximately \$110 million, excluding AFUDC.

Advanced Metering Infrastructure

On April 25, 2016, Duke Energy Kentucky filed with the KPSC an application for approval of a CPCN for the construction of advanced metering infrastructure. Duke Energy Kentucky estimates the \$49 million project will take two years to complete. Duke Energy Kentucky also requested approval to establish a regulatory asset for the remaining book value of existing meter equipment and inventory to be replaced. Duke Energy Kentucky and the Kentucky attorney general entered into a stipulation to settle matters related to the application. On May 25, 2017, the KPSC issued an order to approve the stipulation with certain modifications. On June 1, 2017, Duke Energy Kentucky filed its acceptance of the modifications. The deployment of AMI meters began in third quarter 2017 and is expected to be completed in early 2019. Duke Energy Ohio has approximately \$6 million included in Regulatory assets on its Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2017, for the book value of existing meter equipment.

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

Accelerated Natural Gas Service Line Replacement Rider

On January 20, 2015, Duke Energy Ohio filed an application for approval of an accelerated natural gas service line replacement program (ASRP). Under the ASRP, Duke Energy Ohio proposed to replace certain natural gas service lines on an accelerated basis over a 10-year period. Duke Energy Ohio also proposed to complete preliminary survey and investigation work related to natural gas service lines that are customer owned and for which it does not have valid records and, further, to relocate interior natural gas meters to suitable exterior locations where such relocation can be accomplished. Duke Energy Ohio's projected total capital and operations and maintenance expenditures under the ASRP were approximately \$240 million. The filing also sought approval of a rider mechanism (Rider ASRP) to recover related expenditures. Duke Energy Ohio proposed to update Rider ASRP on an annual basis. Intervenor's opposed the ASRP, primarily because they believe the program is neither required nor necessary under federal pipeline regulation. On October 26, 2016, the PUCO issued an order denying the proposed ASRP. Duke Energy Ohio's application for rehearing of the PUCO decision was denied on May 17, 2017.

Energy Efficiency Cost Recovery

On March 28, 2014, Duke Energy Ohio filed an application for recovery of program costs, lost distribution revenue and performance incentives related to its energy efficiency and peak demand reduction programs. These programs are undertaken to comply with environmental mandates set forth in Ohio law. The PUCO approved Duke Energy Ohio's application but found that Duke Energy Ohio was not permitted to use banked energy savings from previous years in order to calculate the amount of allowed incentive. This conclusion represented a change to the cost recovery mechanism that had been agreed upon by intervenors and approved by the PUCO in previous cases. The PUCO granted the applications for rehearing filed by Duke Energy Ohio and an intervenor. On January 6, 2016, Duke Energy Ohio and the PUCO Staff entered into a stipulation, pending the PUCO's approval, to resolve issues related to performance incentives and the PUCO Staff audit of 2013 costs, among other issues. In December 2015, based upon the stipulation, Duke Energy Ohio re-established approximately \$20 million of the revenues that had been previously reversed. On October 26, 2016, the PUCO issued an order approving the stipulation without modification. In December 2016, the PUCO granted the intervenors request for rehearing for the purpose of further review. Duke Energy Ohio cannot predict the outcome of this matter.

On June 15, 2016, Duke Energy Ohio filed an application for approval of a three-year energy efficiency and peak demand reduction portfolio of programs. A stipulation and modified stipulation were filed on December 22, 2016, and January 27, 2017, respectively. Under the terms of the stipulations, which included support for deferral authority of all costs and a cap on shared savings incentives, Duke Energy Ohio offered its energy efficiency and peak demand reduction programs throughout 2017. On February 3, 2017, Duke Energy Ohio filed for deferral authority of its costs incurred in 2017 in respect of its proposed energy efficiency and peak demand reduction portfolio. On September 27, 2017, the PUCO issued an order approving a modified stipulation. The modifications impose an annual cap of approximately \$38 million on program costs and shared savings incentives combined, but allowed for Duke Energy Ohio to file for a waiver of costs in excess of the cap in 2017. The PUCO approved the waiver request up to a total cost of \$56 million. On November 21, 2017, the PUCO granted Duke Energy Ohio's and intervenor's applications for rehearing of the September 27, 2017, order. On January 10, 2018, the PUCO denied the Ohio Consumers' Counsel's application for rehearing of the PUCO order granting Duke Energy Ohio's waiver request. Duke Energy Ohio cannot predict the outcome of this matter.

2014 Electric Security Plan

In April 2015, the PUCO modified and approved Duke Energy Ohio's proposed electric security plan (ESP), with a three-year term and an effective date of June 1, 2015. The PUCO approved a competitive procurement process for SSO load, a distribution capital investment rider and a tracking mechanism for incremental distribution expenses caused by major storms. The PUCO also approved a placeholder tariff for a price stabilization rider, but denied Duke Energy Ohio's specific request to include Duke Energy Ohio's entitlement to generation from OVEC in the rider at this

time; however, the order allows Duke Energy Ohio to submit additional information to request recovery in the future. On May 4, 2015, Duke Energy Ohio filed an application for rehearing requesting the PUCO to modify or amend certain aspects of the order. On May 28, 2015, the PUCO granted all applications for rehearing filed in the case for future consideration. Duke Energy Ohio cannot predict the outcome of the appeals in this matter.

2012 Natural Gas Rate Case/MGP Cost Recovery

On November 13, 2013, the PUCO issued an order approving a settlement of Duke Energy Ohio's natural gas base rate case and authorizing the recovery of costs incurred between 2008 and 2012 for environmental investigation and remediation of two former MGP sites. The PUCO order also authorized Duke Energy Ohio to continue deferring MGP environmental investigation and remediation costs incurred subsequent to 2012 and to submit annual filings to adjust the MGP rider for future costs. Intervening parties appealed this decision to the Ohio Supreme Court and on June 29, 2017, the Ohio Supreme Court issued its decision affirming the PUCO order. Appellants filed a request for reconsideration, which was denied on September 27, 2017. This matter is now final.

The PUCO order also contained deadlines for completing the MGP environmental investigation and remediation costs at the MGP sites. For the property known as the East End site, the PUCO order established a deadline of December 31, 2016, which was subsequently extended to December 31, 2019. In January 2017, intervening parties filed for rehearing of the PUCO's decision. On February 8, 2017, the PUCO denied the rehearing request. As of December 31, 2017, Duke Energy Ohio had approximately, \$35 million included in Regulatory assets on the Consolidated Balance Sheets for future remediation costs expected to be incurred at the East End site.

Regional Transmission Organization Realignment

Duke Energy Ohio, including Duke Energy Kentucky, transferred control of its transmission assets from MISO to PJM Interconnection, LLC (PJM), effective December 31, 2011. The PUCO approved a settlement related to Duke Energy Ohio's recovery of certain costs of the Regional Transmission Organization (RTO) realignment via a non-bypassable rider. Duke Energy Ohio is allowed to recover all MISO Transmission Expansion Planning (MTEP) costs, including but not limited to Multi Value Project (MVP) costs, directly or indirectly charged to Ohio customers. Duke Energy Ohio also agreed to vigorously defend against any charges for MVP projects from MISO. The KPSC also approved a request to effect the RTO realignment, subject to a commitment not to seek double recovery in a future rate case of the transmission expansion fees that may be charged by MISO and PJM in the same period or overlapping periods.

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. –
DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE
ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

The following table provides a reconciliation of the beginning and ending balance of Duke Energy Ohio's recorded liability for its exit obligation and share of MTEP costs, excluding MVP, recorded within Other in Current liabilities and Other in Other Noncurrent Liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. The retail portions of MTEP costs billed by MISO are recovered by Duke Energy Ohio through a non-bypassable rider. As of December 31, 2017, and 2016, \$50 million and \$71 million are recorded in Regulatory assets on Duke Energy Ohio's Consolidated Balance Sheets, respectively.

| (in millions) | December 31, 2016 | Provisions/ Cash | | December 31, 2017 |
|------------------|----------------------|------------------|------------|-------------------|
| | | Adjustments | Reductions | |
| Duke Energy Ohio | \$ 90 | \$ (20) | \$ (4) | \$ 66 |

MVP. MISO approved 17 MVP proposals prior to Duke Energy Ohio's exit from MISO on December 31, 2011.

Construction of these projects is expected to continue through 2020. Costs of these projects, including operating and maintenance costs, property and income taxes, depreciation and an allowed return, are allocated and billed to MISO transmission owners.

On December 29, 2011, MISO filed a tariff with the FERC providing for the allocation of MVP costs to a withdrawing owner based on monthly energy usage. The FERC set for hearing (i) whether MISO's proposed cost allocation methodology to transmission owners who withdrew from MISO prior to January 1, 2012, is consistent with the tariff at the time of their withdrawal from MISO and, (ii) if not, what the amount of and methodology for calculating any MVP cost responsibility should be. In 2012, MISO estimated Duke Energy Ohio's MVP obligation over the period from 2012 to 2071 at \$2.7 billion, on an undiscounted basis. On July 16, 2013, a FERC Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) issued an initial decision. Under this initial decision, Duke Energy Ohio would be liable for MVP costs. Duke Energy Ohio filed exceptions to the initial decision, requesting FERC to overturn the ALJ's decision. On October 29, 2015, the FERC issued an order reversing the ALJ's decision. The FERC ruled the cost allocation methodology is not consistent with the MISO tariff and that Duke Energy Ohio has no liability for MVP costs after its withdrawal from MISO. On May 19, 2016, the FERC denied the request for rehearing filed by MISO and the MISO Transmission Owners. On July 15, 2016, the MISO Transmission Owners filed a petition for review with the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit. On June 21, 2017, a three-judge panel affirmed FERC's 2015 decision holding that Duke Energy Ohio has no liability for the cost of the MVP projects constructed after Duke Energy Ohio's withdrawal from MISO. MISO did not file further petitions for review and this matter is now final.

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. –
DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE
ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

Duke Energy Indiana

Regulatory Assets and Liabilities

The following tables present the regulatory assets and liabilities recorded on Duke Energy Indiana's Consolidated Balance Sheets.

| (in millions) | December 31, | | Earns/Pays a Return | Recovery/Refund Period Ends |
|---|--------------|---------|------------------------|--------------------------------|
| | 2017 | 2016 | | |
| Regulatory Assets ^(a) | | | | |
| AROs - coal ash | \$380 | \$276 | | (b) |
| Accrued pension and OPEB | 197 | 222 | | (g) |
| Retired generation facilities ^(c) | 65 | 73 | X | 2025 |
| Net regulatory asset related to income taxes | — | 119 | | (d) |
| Hedge costs deferrals | 25 | 26 | | (b) |
| DSM/EE | 21 | — | (e) | (e) |
| Vacation accrual | 11 | 10 | | 2018 |
| Deferred fuel and purchased power | 18 | 40 | | 2018 |
| PISCC and deferred operating expenses ^(c) | 274 | 281 | X | (b) |
| Gasification services agreement buyout ^(f) | — | 8 | | |
| AMI ^(c) | 21 | 46 | X | (b) |
| Other | 131 | 121 | | (b) |
| Total regulatory assets | 1,143 | 1,222 | | |
| Less: current portion | 165 | 149 | | |
| Total noncurrent regulatory assets | \$978 | \$1,073 | | |
| Regulatory Liabilities ^(a) | | | | |
| Costs of removal | \$644 | \$660 | | (d) |
| Net regulatory liability related to income taxes | 998 | — | | (b) |
| Amounts to be refunded to customers | 10 | 45 | | 2018 |
| Accrued pension and OPEB | 64 | 72 | | (g) |
| Other | 31 | 11 | | (b) |
| Total regulatory liabilities | 1,747 | 788 | | |
| Less: current portion | 24 | 40 | | |
| Total noncurrent regulatory liabilities | \$1,723 | \$748 | | |

(a) Regulatory assets and liabilities are excluded from rate base unless otherwise noted.

(b) The expected recovery or refund period varies or has not been determined.

(c) Included in rate base.

(d) Recovery over the life of the associated assets.

(e) Includes incentives on DSM/EE investments and is recovered through a tracker mechanism over a two-year period.

(f) The IURC authorized Duke Energy Indiana to recover costs incurred to buy out a gasification services agreement, including carrying costs through 2017.

(g) Recovered primarily over the average remaining service periods or life expectancies of employees covered by the benefit plans. See Note 21 for additional detail.

Coal Combustion Residual Plan

On March 17, 2016, Duke Energy Indiana filed with the IURC a request for approval of its first group of federally mandated CCR rule compliance projects (Phase I CCR Compliance Projects) to comply with the EPA's CCR rule. The projects in this Phase I filing are CCR compliance projects, including the conversion of Cayuga and Gibson stations to dry bottom ash handling and related water treatment. Duke Energy Indiana requested timely recovery of

approximately \$380 million in retail capital costs, including AFUDC, and recovery of incremental operating and maintenance costs under a federal mandate tracker that provides for timely recovery of 80 percent of such costs and deferral with carrying costs of 20 percent of such costs for recovery in a subsequent retail base rate case. On January 24, 2017, Duke Energy Indiana and various intervenors filed a settlement agreement with the IURC. Terms of the settlement include recovery of 60 percent of the estimated CCR compliance construction project capital costs through existing rider mechanisms and deferral of 40 percent of these costs until Duke Energy Indiana's next general retail rate case. The deferred costs will earn a return based on Duke Energy Indiana's long-term debt rate of 4.73 percent until costs are included in retail rates, at which time the deferred costs will earn a full return. Costs are to be capped at \$365 million, plus actual AFUDC. Costs above the cap would be considered for recovery in the next rate case. Terms of the settlement agreement also require Duke Energy Indiana to perform certain reporting and groundwater monitoring. On May 24, 2017, the IURC approved the settlement agreement.

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

Edwardsport Integrated Gasification Combined Cycle Plant

Costs for the Edwardsport Integrated Gasification Combined Cycle (IGCC) Plant are recovered from retail electric customers via a tracking mechanism (IGCC rider) with updates filed by Duke Energy Indiana. The IGCC Plant was placed into commercial operation in June 2013.

On August 24, 2016, the IURC approved a settlement (IGCC Settlement) among Duke Energy Indiana and several intervenors to resolve disputes related to five IGCC riders (the 11th through 15th) and a subdocket to Duke Energy Indiana's fuel adjustment clause. The IGCC settlement resulted in customers not being billed for previously incurred plant operating costs of \$87.5 million and payments and commitments from Duke Energy Indiana of \$5.5 million for attorneys' fees and consumer programs funding. Duke Energy Indiana recognized pretax impairment and related charges of \$93 million in 2015. Additionally, under the IGCC settlement, the recovery of operating and maintenance expenses and ongoing maintenance capital at the plant were subject to certain caps during the years of 2016 and 2017. The IGCC settlement also included a commitment to either retire or stop burning coal by December 31, 2022, at the Gallagher Station. Pursuant to the IGCC settlement, the in-service date used for accounting and ratemaking will remain as June 2013. Remaining deferred costs will be recovered over eight years beginning in 2016 and not earn a carrying cost. As of December 31, 2017, deferred costs related to the project are approximately \$152 million and are included in Regulatory assets in Current Assets and Other Noncurrent Assets on Duke Energy Indiana's Consolidated Balance Sheets. Under the IGCC settlement, future IGCC riders will be filed annually with the next filing scheduled for first quarter 2018.

The ninth semi-annual IGCC rider order was appealed by various intervenors and the matter was remanded to the IURC for further proceedings and additional findings on a tax in-service issue. On February 2, 2017, the IURC issued an order upholding the original decision, finding that an estimate of impact on customer rates due to the federal income tax in-service determination was reasonable.

FERC Transmission Return on Equity Complaint

Customer groups have filed with the FERC complaints against MISO and its transmission-owning members, including Duke Energy Indiana, alleging, among other things, that the current base rate of return on equity earned by MISO transmission owners of 12.38 percent is unjust and unreasonable. The complaints claim, among other things, that the current base rate of return on equity earned by MISO transmission owners should be reduced to 8.67 percent. On January 5, 2015, the FERC issued an order accepting the MISO transmission owners' adder of 0.50 percent to the base rate of return on equity based on participation in an RTO subject to it being applied to a return on equity that is shown to be just and reasonable in the pending return on equity complaints. On December 22, 2015, the presiding FERC ALJ in the first complaint issued an Initial Decision in which the base rate of return on equity was set at 10.32 percent. On September 28, 2016, the Initial Decision in the first complaint was affirmed by FERC, but is subject to rehearing requests. On June 30, 2016, the presiding FERC ALJ in the second complaint issued an Initial Decision setting the base rate of return on equity at 9.70 percent. The Initial Decision in the second complaint is pending FERC review. On April 14, 2017, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit, in *Emera Maine v. FERC*, reversed and remanded certain aspects of the methodology employed by FERC to establish rates of return on equity. This decision may affect the outcome of the complaints against Duke Energy Indiana. Duke Energy Indiana currently believes these matters will not have a material impact on its results of operations, cash flows and financial position.

Grid Infrastructure Improvement Plan

On December 7, 2015, Duke Energy Indiana filed a grid infrastructure improvement plan with an estimated cost of \$1.8 billion in response to guidance from IURC orders and the Indiana Court of Appeals decisions related to a new statute. The plan uses a combination of advanced technology and infrastructure upgrades to improve service to customers and provide them with better information about their energy use. It also provides for cost recovery through a transmission and distribution rider (T&D Rider). In March 2016, Duke Energy Indiana entered into a settlement with all parties to the proceeding except the Citizens Action Coalition of Indiana, Inc. The settlement agreement decreased

the capital expenditures eligible for timely recovery of costs in the seven-year plan to approximately \$1.4 billion, including the removal of an AMI project. Under the settlement, the return on equity to be used in the T&D Rider is 10 percent. The IURC approved the settlement and issued a final order on June 29, 2016. The order was not appealed and the proceeding is concluded.

The settlement agreement provided for deferral accounting for depreciation and post-in-service carrying costs for AMI projects outside the plan. Duke Energy Indiana withdrew its request for a regulatory asset for current meters and will retain any savings associated with future AMI installation until the next retail base rate case, which is required to be filed prior to the end of the plan. During the third quarter of 2016, Duke Energy Indiana decided to implement the AMI project. This decision resulted in a pretax impairment charge related to existing or non-AMI meters of approximately \$8 million in 2016, based in part on the requirement to file a base rate case in 2022 under the approved plan. Duke Energy Indiana evaluates the need for rate cases as part of its business planning, based on the outlook of emerging costs, ongoing investment and impact related to the Tax Act enacted in late 2017 and expects to file a rate case prior to the 2022 requirement. As a result, in 2017, Duke Energy Indiana recorded an additional impairment charge of approximately \$22 million. As of December 31, 2017, Duke Energy Indiana's remaining net book value of non-AMI meters is approximately \$21 million and will be depreciated through July 2020.

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

Benton County Wind Farm Dispute

On December 16, 2013, Benton County Wind Farm LLC (BCWF) filed a lawsuit against Duke Energy Indiana seeking damages for past generation losses alleging Duke Energy Indiana violated its obligations under a 2006 PPA by refusing to offer electricity to the market at negative prices. Damage claims continue to increase during times that BCWF is not dispatched. Under 2013 revised MISO market rules, Duke Energy Indiana is required to make a price offer to MISO for the power it proposes to sell into MISO markets and MISO determines whether BCWF is dispatched. Because market prices would have been negative due to increased market participation, Duke Energy Indiana determined it would not bid at negative prices in order to balance customer needs against BCWF's need to run. BCWF contends Duke Energy Indiana must bid at the lowest negative price to ensure dispatch, while Duke Energy Indiana contends it is not obligated to bid at any particular price, that it cannot ensure dispatch with any bid and that it has reasonably balanced the parties' interests. On July 6, 2015, the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Indiana entered judgment against BCWF on all claims. BCWF appealed the decision and on December 9, 2016, the appeals court ruled in favor of BCWF. Duke Energy Indiana recorded an obligation and a regulatory asset related to the settlement amount in fourth quarter 2016. On June 30, 2017, the parties finalized a settlement agreement. Terms of the settlement included Duke Energy Indiana paying \$29 million for back damages. Additionally, the parties agreed on the method by which the contract will be bid into the market in the future. The settlement amount was paid in June 2017. The IURC issued an order on September 27, 2017, approving recovery of the settlement amount through Duke Energy Indiana's fuel clause. The IURC order has been appealed to the Indiana Court of Appeals. Duke Energy Indiana cannot predict the outcome of this matter.

Piedmont

Regulatory Assets and Liabilities

The following tables present the regulatory assets and liabilities recorded on Piedmont's Consolidated Balance Sheets.

| (in millions) | December | | Earns/Pays a Return | Recovery/Refund Period Ends |
|--|-------------|-------|------------------------|--------------------------------|
| | 31, 2017 | 2016 | | |
| Regulatory Assets ^(a) | | | | |
| AROs - other | \$15 | \$14 | | (d) |
| Accrued pension and OPEB ^(c) | 91 | 166 | | (f) |
| Derivatives - gas supply contracts | 142 | 187 | | (e) |
| Vacation accrual ^(c) | 10 | 13 | | 2018 |
| Deferred pipeline integrity costs ^(c) | 42 | 36 | | 2018 |
| Amount due from customers | 64 | 66 | X | (b) |
| Other | 14 | 15 | | (b) |
| Total regulatory assets | 378 | 497 | | |
| Less: current portion | 95 | 124 | | |
| Total noncurrent regulatory assets | \$283 | \$373 | | |
| Regulatory Liabilities ^(a) | | | | |
| Costs of removal | \$544 | \$528 | | (d) |
| Net regulatory liability related to income taxes | 597 | 80 | | (b) |
| Other | 3 | — | | (b) |
| Total regulatory liabilities | 1,144 | 608 | | |
| Less: current portion | 3 | — | | |
| Total noncurrent regulatory liabilities | \$1,141 | \$608 | | |

(a) Regulatory assets and liabilities are excluded from rate base unless otherwise noted.

(b) The expected recovery or refund period varies or has not been determined.

(c) Included in rate base.

(d) Recovery over the life of the associated assets.

(e) Balance will fluctuate with changes in the market. Current contracts extend into 2031.

(f) Recovered primarily over the average remaining service periods or life expectancies of employees covered by the benefit plans. See Note 21 for additional detail.

South Carolina Rate Stabilization Adjustment Filing

In June 2017, Piedmont filed with the PSCSC under the South Carolina Rate Stabilization Act its quarterly monitoring report for the 12-month period ending March 31, 2017. The filing included a revenue deficiency calculation and tariff rates in order to permit Piedmont the opportunity to earn the rate of return on equity of 12.6 percent established in its last general rate case. On October 4, 2017, the PSCSC approved a settlement agreement between Piedmont and the SC Office of Regulatory Staff. Terms of the settlement included implementation of rates for the 12-month period beginning November 2017 with a return on equity of 10.2 percent.

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

North Carolina Integrity Management Rider Filings

In October 2017, Piedmont filed a petition with the NCUC under the Integrity Management Rider (IMR) mechanism to collect an additional \$8.9 million in annual revenues, effective December 2017, based on the eligible capital investments closed to integrity and safety projects over the six-month period ending September 30, 2017. On November 28, 2017, the NCUC approved the requested rate adjustment.

In May 2017, Piedmont filed, and the NCUC approved, a petition under the IMR mechanism to collect an additional \$11.6 million in annual revenues, effective June 2017, based on the eligible capital investments closed to integrity and safety projects over the six-month period ending March 31, 2017.

Tennessee Integrity Management Rider Filing

In November 2017, Piedmont filed a petition with the TPUC under the IMR mechanism to collect an additional \$3.3 million in annual revenues, effective January 2018, based on the eligible capital investments closed to integrity and safety projects over the 12-month period ending October 31, 2017. In January 2018, Piedmont filed an amended computation under the IMR mechanism, revising the proposed increase in annual revenues to approximately \$0.4 million based on the decrease in the corporate federal income tax rate effective January 1, 2018. A hearing on this matter is scheduled for March 2018.

OTHER REGULATORY MATTERS

Atlantic Coast Pipeline

On September 2, 2014, Duke Energy, Dominion Resources (Dominion), Piedmont and Southern Company Gas announced the formation of Atlantic Coast Pipeline, LLC (ACP) to build and own the proposed Atlantic Coast Pipeline (ACP pipeline), an approximately 600-mile interstate natural gas pipeline running from West Virginia to North Carolina. The ACP pipeline is designed to meet, in part, the needs identified by Duke Energy Carolinas, Duke Energy Progress and Piedmont. Dominion will build and operate the ACP pipeline and holds a leading ownership percentage in ACP of 48 percent. Duke Energy owns a 47 percent interest through its Gas Utilities and Infrastructure segment. Southern Company Gas maintains a 5 percent interest. See Notes 12 and 17 for additional information related to Duke Energy's ownership interest.

Duke Energy Carolinas, Duke Energy Progress and Piedmont, among others, will be customers of the pipeline. Purchases will be made under several 20-year supply contracts, subject to state regulatory approval. On September 18, 2015, ACP filed an application with the FERC requesting a CPCN authorizing ACP to construct the pipeline. ACP executed a construction agreement in September 2016. ACP also requested approval of an open access tariff and the precedent agreements it entered into with future pipeline customers. In December 2016, FERC issued a draft Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) indicating that the proposed pipeline would not cause significant harm to the environment or protected populations. The FERC issued the final EIS in July 2017. On October 13, 2017, FERC issued an order approving the CPCN, subject to conditions. On October 16, 2017, ACP accepted the FERC order subject to reserving its right to file a request for rehearing or clarification on a timely basis. On November 9, 2017, ACP filed a request for rehearing on several limited issues. On December 12, 2017, ACP filed an answer to intervenors' request for rehearing of the certificate order and for stay of the certificate order.

In December 2017, West Virginia issued a waiver of the state water quality permit in reliance on the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers national water quality permit and Virginia issued a conditional water quality permit subject to completion of additional studies and stormwater plans. In early 2018, the FERC issued a series of Partial Notices to Proceed which authorized the project to begin limited construction-related activities along the pipeline route. North Carolina issued the state water quality permit in January 2018. The project remains subject to other pending federal and state approvals, which will allow full construction activities to begin. The ACP pipeline project has a targeted in-service date of late 2019.

Due to delays in obtaining the required permits to commence construction and the conditions imposed upon the project by the permits, ACP's project manager estimates the project's pipeline development costs have increased from

a range of \$5.0 billion to \$5.5 billion to a range of \$6.0 billion and \$6.5 billion, excluding financing costs. Project construction activities, schedule and final costs are still subject to uncertainty due to potential additional permitting delays, construction productivity and other conditions and risks which could result in potential higher project costs and a potential delay in the targeted in-service date.

Sabal Trail Transmission Pipeline

On May 4, 2015, Duke Energy acquired a 7.5 percent ownership interest in Sabal Trail Transmission, LLC (Sabal Trail) from Spectra Energy Partners, LP, a master limited partnership, formed by Enbridge Inc. (formerly Spectra Energy Corp.). Spectra Energy Partners, LP holds a 50 percent ownership interest in Sabal Trail and NextEra Energy has a 42.5 percent ownership interest. Sabal Trail is a joint venture to construct a 515-mile natural gas pipeline (Sabal Trail pipeline) to transport natural gas to Florida. Total estimated project costs are approximately \$3.2 billion. The Sabal Trail pipeline traverses Alabama, Georgia and Florida. The primary customers of the Sabal Trail pipeline, Duke Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light Company (FP&L), have each contracted to buy pipeline capacity for 25-year initial terms. See Notes 12 and 17 for additional information.

On February 3, 2016, the FERC issued an order granting the request for a CPCN to construct and operate the pipeline. The Sabal Trail pipeline received other required regulatory approvals and the phase one mainline was placed in service in July 2017. On October 12, 2017, Sabal Trail filed a request with FERC to place in-service a lateral line to Duke Energy Florida's Citrus County Combined Cycle facility, which remains pending. This request is required to support commissioning and testing activities at the facility.

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

On September 21, 2016, intervenors filed an appeal of FERC's CPCN orders to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit (D.C. Circuit Court of Appeals). On August 22, 2017, the appeals court ruled against FERC in the case for failing to include enough information on the impact of greenhouse-gas emissions carried by the pipeline, vacated the CPCN order and remanded the case to FERC. In response to the August 2017 court decision, the FERC issued a draft Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement (SEIS) on September 27, 2017. On October 6, 2017, FERC and a group of industry intervenors, including Sabal Trail and Duke Energy Florida, filed separate petitions with the D.C. Circuit Court of Appeals requesting rehearing regarding the court's decision to vacate the CPCN order. On January 31, 2018, the D.C. Circuit Court of Appeals denied the requests for rehearing. On February 2, 2018, Sabal Trail filed a request with FERC for expedited issuance of its order on remand and reissuance of the CPCN. In the alternative, the pipeline requested that FERC issue a temporary emergency CPCN to allow for continued operations. On February 5, 2018, FERC issued the final SEIS but did not issue the order on remand. On February 6, 2018, FERC and the intervenors in this case each filed motions for stay with the D.C. Circuit Court to stay the court's mandate. The February 6, 2018 motions automatically stay the issuance of the court's mandate until the later of seven days after the court denies the motions or the expiration of any stay granted by the court. Both motions are pending. Sabal Trail will continue to monitor the progress and the impact to the project going forward.

Constitution Pipeline

Duke Energy owns a 24 percent ownership interest in Constitution Pipeline Company, LLC (Constitution).

Constitution is a natural gas pipeline project slated to transport natural gas supplies from the Marcellus supply region in northern Pennsylvania to major northeastern markets. The pipeline will be constructed and operated by Williams Partners L.P., which has a 41 percent ownership share. The remaining interest is held by Cabot Oil and Gas Corporation and WGL Holdings, Inc. Before the permitting delays discussed below, Duke Energy's total anticipated contributions were approximately \$229 million. As a result of the permitting delays and project uncertainty, total anticipated contributions by Duke Energy can no longer be reasonably estimated.

In December 2014, Constitution received approval from the FERC to construct and operate the proposed pipeline. However, on April 22, 2016, the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC) denied Constitution's application for a necessary water quality certification for the New York portion of the Constitution pipeline. Constitution filed legal actions in the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit (U.S. Court of Appeals) challenging the legality and appropriateness of the NYSDEC's decision and on August 18, 2017, the petition was denied in part and dismissed in part. In September 2017, Constitution filed a petition for a rehearing of portions of the decision unrelated to the water quality certification, which was denied by the U.S. Court of Appeals. In January 2018, Constitution petitioned the Supreme Court of the United States to review the U.S. Court of Appeals decision. In October 2017, Constitution filed a petition for declaratory order requesting FERC to find that the NYSDEC waived its rights to issue a Section 401 water quality certification by not acting on Constitution's application within a reasonable period of time as required by statute. This petition was based on precedent established by another pipeline's successful petition with FERC following a District of Columbia Circuit Court ruling. On January 11, 2018, FERC denied Constitution's petition. In February 2018, Constitution filed a rehearing request with FERC of its finding that the NYSDEC did not waive the Section 401 certification requirement. Constitution is currently unable to approximate an in-service date for the project due to the NYDSEC's denial of the water quality certification. The Constitution partners remain committed to the project and are evaluating next steps to move the project forward. Duke Energy cannot predict the outcome of this matter.

Since April 2016, with the actions of the NYSDEC, Constitution stopped construction and discontinued capitalization of future development costs until the project's uncertainty is resolved.

See Notes 12 and 17 for additional information related to ownership interest and carrying value of the investment.

Progress Energy Merger FERC Mitigation

Following the closing of the Progress Energy merger, outside counsel reviewed Duke Energy's long-term FERC mitigation plan and discovered a technical error in the calculations. On December 6, 2013, Duke Energy submitted a filing to the FERC disclosing the error and arguing that no additional mitigation is necessary. The city of New Bern filed a protest and requested that FERC order additional mitigation. On October 29, 2014, the FERC ordered that the amount of the stub mitigation be increased from 25 MW to 129 MW. The stub mitigation is Duke Energy's commitment to set aside for third parties a certain quantity of firm transmission capacity from Duke Energy Carolinas to Duke Energy Progress during summer off-peak hours. The FERC also ordered that Duke Energy operate certain phase shifters to create additional import capability and that such operation be monitored by an independent monitor. The costs to comply with this order are not material. The FERC also referred Duke Energy's failure to expressly designate the phase shifter reactivation as a mitigation project in the original mitigation plan filing in March 2012 to the FERC Office of Enforcement for further inquiry. In response, and since December 2014, the FERC Office of Enforcement has been conducting a nonpublic investigation of Duke Energy's market power analyses included in the Progress merger filings submitted to FERC. Duke Energy cannot predict the outcome of this investigation.

Potential Coal Plant Retirements

The Subsidiary Registrants periodically file Integrated Resource Plans (IRP) with their state regulatory commissions. The IRPs provide a view of forecasted energy needs over a long term (10 to 20 years) and options being considered to meet those needs. Recent IRPs filed by the Subsidiary Registrants included planning assumptions to potentially retire certain coal-fired generating facilities in Florida and Indiana earlier than their current estimated useful lives primarily because facilities do not have the requisite emission control equipment to meet EPA regulations recently approved or proposed.

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

The table below contains the net carrying value of generating facilities planned for retirement or included in recent IRPs as evaluated for potential retirement due to a lack of requisite environmental control equipment. Dollar amounts in the table below are included in Net property, plant and equipment on the Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2017, and exclude capitalized asset retirement costs.

| | Capacity (in MW) | Remaining Net Book Value (in millions) |
|--|---------------------|---|
| Duke Energy Carolinas | | |
| Allen Steam Station Units 1-3 ^(a) | 585 | \$ 163 |
| Progress Energy and Duke Energy Florida | | |
| Crystal River Units 1 and 2 ^(b) | 873 | 107 |
| Duke Energy Indiana | | |
| Gallagher Units 2 and 4 ^(c) | 280 | 127 |
| Total Duke Energy | 1,738 | \$ 397 |

(a) Duke Energy Carolinas will retire Allen Steam Station Units 1 through 3 by December 31, 2024, as part of the resolution of a lawsuit involving alleged New Source Review violations.

(b) Duke Energy Florida expects to retire these coal units by the end of 2018 to comply with environmental regulations.

(c) Duke Energy Indiana committed to either retire or stop burning coal at Gallagher Units 2 and 4 by December 31, 2022, as part of the settlement of Edwardsport IGCC matters.

Refer to the "Western Carolinas Modernization Plan" discussion above for details of Duke Energy Progress' planned retirements.

5. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

INSURANCE

General Insurance

The Duke Energy Registrants have insurance and reinsurance coverage either directly or through indemnification from Duke Energy's captive insurance company, Bison, and its affiliates, consistent with companies engaged in similar commercial operations with similar type properties. The Duke Energy Registrants' coverage includes (i) commercial general liability coverage for liabilities arising to third parties for bodily injury and property damage; (ii) workers' compensation; (iii) automobile liability coverage; and (iv) property coverage for all real and personal property damage. Real and personal property damage coverage excludes electric transmission and distribution lines, but includes damages arising from boiler and machinery breakdowns, earthquakes, flood damage and extra expense, but not outage or replacement power coverage. All coverage is subject to certain deductibles or retentions, sublimits, exclusions, terms and conditions common for companies with similar types of operations. The Duke Energy Registrants self-insure their electric transmission and distribution lines against loss due to storm damage and other natural disasters. As discussed further in Note 4, Duke Energy Florida maintains a storm damage reserve and has a regulatory mechanism to recover the cost of named storms on an expedited basis.

The cost of the Duke Energy Registrants' coverage can fluctuate from year to year reflecting claims history and conditions of the insurance and reinsurance markets.

In the event of a loss, terms and amounts of insurance and reinsurance available might not be adequate to cover claims and other expenses incurred. Uninsured losses and other expenses, to the extent not recovered by other sources, could have a material effect on the Duke Energy Registrants' results of operations, cash flows or financial position. Each

company is responsible to the extent losses may be excluded or exceed limits of the coverage available.

Nuclear Insurance

Duke Energy Carolinas owns and operates the McGuire Nuclear Station (McGuire) and the Oconee Nuclear Station (Oconee) and operates and has a partial ownership interest in the Catawba Nuclear Station (Catawba). McGuire and Catawba each have two reactors. Oconee has three reactors. The other joint owners of Catawba reimburse Duke Energy Carolinas for certain expenses associated with nuclear insurance per the Catawba joint owner agreements.

Duke Energy Progress owns and operates the Robinson Nuclear Plant (Robinson), Brunswick and Harris. Robinson and Harris each have one reactor. Brunswick has two reactors.

Duke Energy Florida owns Crystal River Unit 3, which permanently ceased operation in 2013 and reached a SAFSTOR condition in January 2018 after the successful transfer of all used nuclear fuel assemblies to an onsite dry cask storage facility.

In the event of a loss, terms and amounts of insurance available might not be adequate to cover property damage and other expenses incurred. Uninsured losses and other expenses, to the extent not recovered by other sources, could have a material effect on Duke Energy Carolinas', Duke Energy Progress' and Duke Energy Florida's results of operations, cash flows or financial position. Each company is responsible to the extent losses may be excluded or exceed limits of the coverage available.

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

Nuclear Liability Coverage

The Price-Anderson Act requires owners of nuclear reactors to provide for public nuclear liability protection per nuclear incident up to a maximum total financial protection liability. The maximum total financial protection liability, which is approximately \$13.4 billion, is subject to change every five years for inflation and for the number of licensed reactors. Total nuclear liability coverage consists of a combination of private primary nuclear liability insurance coverage and a mandatory industry risk-sharing program to provide for excess nuclear liability coverage above the maximum reasonably available private primary coverage. The U.S. Congress could impose revenue-raising measures on the nuclear industry to pay claims.

Primary Liability Insurance

Duke Energy Carolinas, Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Florida have purchased the maximum reasonably available private primary nuclear liability insurance as required by law, which is \$450 million per station.

Excess Liability Program

This program provides \$13 billion of coverage per incident through the Price-Anderson Act's mandatory industrywide excess secondary financial protection program of risk pooling. This amount is the product of potential cumulative retrospective premium assessments of \$127 million times the current 102 licensed commercial nuclear reactors in the U.S. Under this program, licensees could be assessed retrospective premiums to compensate for public nuclear liability damages in the event of a nuclear incident at any licensed facility in the U.S. Retrospective premiums may be assessed at a rate not to exceed \$19 million per year per licensed reactor for each incident. The assessment may be subject to state premium taxes.

Nuclear Property and Accidental Outage Coverage

Duke Energy Carolinas, Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Florida are members of Nuclear Electric Insurance Limited (NEIL), an industry mutual insurance company, which provides property damage, nuclear accident decontamination and premature decommissioning insurance for each station for losses resulting from damage to its nuclear plants, either due to accidents or acts of terrorism. Additionally, NEIL provides accidental outage coverage for each station for losses in the event of a major accidental outage at an insured nuclear station.

Pursuant to regulations of the NRC, each company's property damage insurance policies provide that all proceeds from such insurance be applied, first, to place the plant in a safe and stable condition after a qualifying accident and second, to decontaminate the plant before any proceeds can be used for decommissioning, plant repair or restoration.

Losses resulting from acts of terrorism are covered as common occurrences, such that if terrorist acts occur against one or more commercial nuclear power plants insured by NEIL within a 12-month period, they would be treated as one event and the owners of the plants where the act occurred would share one full limit of liability. The full limit of liability is currently \$3.2 billion. NEIL sublimits the total aggregate for all of their policies for non-nuclear terrorist events to approximately \$1.83 billion.

Each nuclear facility has accident property damage, decontamination and premature decommissioning liability insurance from NEIL with limits of \$1.5 billion, except for Crystal River Unit 3. Crystal River Unit 3's limit is \$50 million and is on an actual cash value basis. All nuclear facilities except for Catawba and Crystal River Unit 3 also share an additional \$1.25 billion nuclear accident insurance limit above their dedicated underlying limit. This shared additional excess limit is not subject to reinstatement in the event of a loss. Catawba has a dedicated \$1.25 billion of additional nuclear accident insurance limit above its dedicated underlying limit. Catawba and Oconee also have an additional \$750 million of non-nuclear accident property damage limit. All coverages are subject to sublimits and significant deductibles.

NEIL's Accidental Outage policy provides some coverage, such as business interruption, for losses in the event of a major accident property damage outage of a nuclear unit. Coverage is provided on a weekly limit basis after a significant waiting period deductible and at 100 percent of the available weekly limits for 52 weeks and 80 percent of the available weekly limits for the next 110 weeks. Coverage is provided until these available weekly periods are met

where the accidental outage policy limit will not exceed \$490 million for McGuire and Catawba, \$462 million for Brunswick, \$448 million for Harris, \$434 million for Oconee and \$378 million for Robinson. NEIL sublimits the accidental outage recovery to the first 104 weeks of coverage not to exceed \$328 million from non-nuclear accidental property damage. Coverage amounts decrease in the event more than one unit at a station is out of service due to a common accident. All coverages are subject to sublimits and significant deductibles.

Potential Retroactive Premium Assessments

In the event of NEIL losses, NEIL's board of directors may assess member companies' retroactive premiums of amounts up to 10 times their annual premiums for up to six years after a loss. NEIL has never exercised this assessment. The maximum aggregate annual retrospective premium obligations for Duke Energy Carolinas, Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Florida are \$146 million, \$96 million and \$1 million, respectively. Duke Energy Carolinas' maximum assessment amount includes 100 percent of potential obligations to NEIL for jointly owned reactors. Duke Energy Carolinas would seek reimbursement from the joint owners for their portion of these assessment amounts.

ENVIRONMENTAL

The Duke Energy Registrants are subject to federal, state and local regulations regarding air and water quality, hazardous and solid waste disposal and other environmental matters. These regulations can be changed from time to time, imposing new obligations on the Duke Energy Registrants. The following environmental matters impact all of the Duke Energy Registrants.

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

Remediation Activities

In addition to the ARO recorded as a result of various environmental regulations, discussed in Note 9, the Duke Energy Registrants are responsible for environmental remediation at various sites. These include certain properties that are part of ongoing operations and sites formerly owned or used by Duke Energy entities. These sites are in various stages of investigation, remediation and monitoring. Managed in conjunction with relevant federal, state and local agencies, remediation activities vary based upon site conditions and location, remediation requirements, complexity and sharing of responsibility. If remediation activities involve joint and several liability provisions, strict liability, or cost recovery or contribution actions, the Duke Energy Registrants could potentially be held responsible for environmental impacts caused by other potentially responsible parties and may also benefit from insurance policies or contractual indemnities that cover some or all cleanup costs. Liabilities are recorded when losses become probable and are reasonably estimable. The total costs that may be incurred cannot be estimated because the extent of environmental impact, allocation among potentially responsible parties, remediation alternatives and/or regulatory decisions have not yet been determined at all sites. Additional costs associated with remediation activities are likely to be incurred in the future and could be significant. Costs are typically expensed as Operation, maintenance and other in the Consolidated Statements of Operations unless regulatory recovery of the costs is deemed probable.

The following tables contain information regarding reserves for probable and estimable costs related to the various environmental sites. These reserves are recorded in Accounts payable within Current Liabilities and Other within Other Noncurrent Liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

| | Duke Energy | Duke Energy Carolinas | Progress Energy | Duke Energy Progress | Duke Energy Florida | Duke Energy Ohio | Duke Energy Indiana |
|------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|---------------------|
| (in millions) | | | | | | | |
| Balance at December 31, 2014 | \$ 92 | \$ 10 | \$ 17 | \$ 5 | \$ 12 | \$ 54 | \$ 10 |
| Provisions/adjustments | 11 | 1 | 4 | — | 4 | 1 | 5 |
| Cash reductions | (9) | (1) | (4) | (2) | (2) | (1) | (3) |
| Balance at December 31, 2015 | 94 | 10 | 17 | 3 | 14 | 54 | 12 |
| Provisions/adjustments | 19 | 4 | 7 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 1 |
| Cash reductions | (15) | (4) | (6) | (2) | (4) | (2) | (3) |
| Balance at December 31, 2016 | 98 | 10 | 18 | 3 | 14 | 59 | 10 |
| Provisions/adjustments | 8 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | (4) |
| Cash reductions | (25) | (3) | (6) | (2) | (4) | (15) | (1) |
| Balance at December 31, 2017 | \$ 81 | \$ 10 | \$ 15 | \$ 3 | \$ 12 | \$ 47 | \$ 5 |

As of December 31, 2016, October 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, Piedmont's environmental reserve was \$1 million. In 2017, a \$1 million provision was recorded, resulting in a reserve balance of \$2 million at December 31, 2017.

Additional losses in excess of recorded reserves that could be incurred for the stages of investigation, remediation and monitoring for environmental sites that have been evaluated at this time are not material except as presented in the table below.

(in millions)

| | |
|-----------------------|------|
| Duke Energy | \$56 |
| Duke Energy Carolinas | 19 |
| Duke Energy Ohio | 30 |
| Piedmont | 2 |

North Carolina and South Carolina Ash Basins

In February 2014, a break in a stormwater pipe beneath an ash basin at Duke Energy Carolinas' retired Dan River Steam Station caused a release of ash basin water and ash into the Dan River. Duke Energy Carolinas estimates 30,000 to 39,000 tons of ash and 24 million to 27 million gallons of basin water were released into the river. In July

2014, Duke Energy completed remediation work identified by the EPA and continues to cooperate with the EPA's civil enforcement process. Future costs related to the Dan River release, including future state or federal civil enforcement proceedings, future regulatory directives, natural resources damages, future claims or litigation and long-term environmental impact costs, cannot be reasonably estimated at this time.

The North Carolina Department of Environmental Quality (NCDEQ) has historically assessed Duke Energy Carolinas and Duke Energy Progress with Notice of Violations (NOV) for violations that were most often resolved through satisfactory corrective actions and minor, if any, fines or penalties. Subsequent to the Dan River ash release, Duke Energy Carolinas and Duke Energy Progress have been served with a higher level of NOVs, including assessed penalties for violations at L.V. Sutton Combined Cycle Plant (Sutton) and Dan River Steam Station. Duke Energy Carolinas and Duke Energy Progress cannot predict whether the NCDEQ will assess future penalties related to existing unresolved NOVs and if such penalties would be material. See "NCDEQ Notices of Violation" section below for additional discussion.

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

LITIGATION

Duke Energy

Duke Energy no longer has exposure to litigation matters related to the International Disposal Group as a result of the divestiture of the business in December 2016. See Note 2 for additional information related to the sale of International Energy.

Ash Basin Shareholder Derivative Litigation

Five shareholder derivative lawsuits were filed in Delaware Chancery Court relating to the release at Dan River and to the management of Duke Energy's ash basins. On October 31, 2014, the five lawsuits were consolidated in a single proceeding titled In Re Duke Energy Corporation Coal Ash Derivative Litigation. On December 2, 2014, plaintiffs filed a Corrected Verified Consolidated Shareholder Derivative Complaint (Consolidated Complaint). The Consolidated Complaint names as defendants several current and former Duke Energy officers and directors (collectively, the "Duke Energy Defendants"). Duke Energy is named as a nominal defendant.

The Consolidated Complaint alleges the Duke Energy Defendants breached their fiduciary duties by failing to adequately oversee Duke Energy's ash basins and that these breaches of fiduciary duty may have contributed to the incident at Dan River and continued thereafter. The lawsuit also asserts claims against the Duke Energy Defendants for corporate waste (relating to the money Duke Energy has spent and will spend as a result of the fines, penalties and coal ash removal) and unjust enrichment (relating to the compensation and director remuneration that was received despite these alleged breaches of fiduciary duty). The lawsuit seeks both injunctive relief against Duke Energy and restitution from the Duke Energy Defendants. On January 21, 2015, the Duke Energy Defendants filed a Motion to Stay, which the court granted. The stay was lifted on March 24, 2016, after which plaintiffs filed an Amended Verified Consolidated Shareholder Derivative Complaint (Amended Complaint) making the same allegations as in the Consolidated Complaint. The Duke Energy Defendants filed a motion to dismiss the Amended Complaint on June 21, 2016, which was granted by the Court on December 14, 2016. Plaintiffs filed an appeal to the Delaware Supreme Court on January 9, 2017. Oral argument was held on September 27, 2017. On December 15, 2017, the Delaware Supreme Court affirmed the Chancery Court's order of dismissal.

In addition to the above derivative complaints, in 2014, Duke Energy received two shareholder litigation demand letters. The letters alleged that the members of the Board of Directors and certain officers breached their fiduciary duties by allowing the company to illegally dispose of and store coal ash pollutants. One of the letters also alleged a breach of fiduciary duty in the decision-making relating to the leadership changes following the close of the Progress Energy merger in July 2012. By letter dated September 4, 2015, attorneys for the shareholders were informed that, on the recommendation of the Demand Review Committee formed to consider such matters, the Board of Directors concluded not to pursue potential claims against individuals. One of the shareholders, Mitchell Pinsly, sent a formal demand for records and Duke Energy has responded to this request. There was no follow-up after the records were provided; therefore, this matter has been resolved.

On October 30, 2015, shareholder Saul Bresalier filed a shareholder derivative complaint (Bresalier Complaint) in the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware. The lawsuit alleges that several current and former Duke Energy officers and directors (Bresalier Defendants) breached their fiduciary duties in connection with coal ash environmental issues, the post-merger change in Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and oversight of political contributions. Duke Energy is named as a nominal defendant. The Bresalier Complaint contends that the Demand Review Committee failed to appropriately consider the shareholder's earlier demand for litigation and improperly decided not to pursue claims against the Bresalier Defendants. On March 30, 2017, the court granted Defendants' Motion to Dismiss on the claims relating to coal ash environmental issues and political contributions. As discussed below, a settlement agreement was approved for the merger-related claims in the Bresalier Complaint, and those claims were dismissed. On September 8, 2017, Bresalier filed a notice of appeal to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit (Third Circuit Court) challenging the dismissal of his coal ash and political contribution claims. On January 19 2018,

Bresalier filed a stipulation of dismissal, closing this case.

Progress Energy Merger Shareholder Litigation

Duke Energy, the 11 members of the Board of Directors who were also members of the pre-merger Board of Directors (Legacy Duke Energy Directors) and certain Duke Energy officers were defendants in a purported securities class-action lawsuit (Nieman v. Duke Energy Corporation, et al). This lawsuit consolidated three lawsuits originally filed in July 2012. The plaintiffs alleged federal Securities Act of 1933 and Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Exchange Act) claims based on allegations of materially false and misleading representations and omissions in the Registration Statement filed on July 7, 2011, and purportedly incorporated into other documents, all in connection with the post-merger change in CEO. On August 15, 2014, the parties reached an agreement in principle to settle the litigation. On March 10, 2015, the parties filed a Stipulation of Settlement and a Motion for Preliminary Approval of the Settlement. Under the terms of the agreement, Duke Energy agreed to pay \$146 million to settle the claim. On April 22, 2015, Duke Energy made a payment of \$25 million into the settlement escrow account. The remainder of \$121 million was paid by insurers into the settlement escrow account. The final order approving the settlement was issued on November 2, 2015, thus closing the matter.

On May 31, 2013, the Delaware Chancery Court consolidated four shareholder derivative lawsuits filed in 2012. The Court also appointed a lead plaintiff and counsel for plaintiffs and designated the case as In Re Duke Energy Corporation Derivative Litigation (Merger Chancery Litigation). The lawsuit names as defendants the Legacy Duke Energy Directors. Duke Energy is named as a nominal defendant. The case alleges claims for breach of fiduciary duties of loyalty and care in connection with the post-merger change in CEO.

Two shareholder Derivative Complaints, filed in 2012 in federal district court in Delaware, were consolidated as Tansey v. Rogers, et al. The case alleges claims against the Legacy Duke Energy Directors for breach of fiduciary duty and waste of corporate assets, as well as claims under Section 14(a) and 20(a) of the Exchange Act. Duke Energy is named as a nominal defendant. On December 21, 2015, Plaintiff filed a Consolidated Amended Complaint asserting the same claims contained in the original complaints.

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

The Legacy Duke Energy Directors have reached an agreement-in-principle to settle the Merger Chancery Litigation, conditioned on dismissal as well, of the Tansey v. Rogers, et al case and the merger related claims in the Bresalier Complaint discussed above, which was approved by the Delaware Chancery Court on July 13, 2017. The entire settlement amount was funded by insurance. The settlement amount, less court-approved attorney fees, totaled \$20 million and was paid to Duke Energy in 2017.

Duke Energy Carolinas and Duke Energy Progress

Coal Ash Insurance Coverage Litigation

In March 2017, Duke Energy Carolinas and Duke Energy Progress filed a civil action in North Carolina Superior Court against various insurance providers. The lawsuit seeks payment for coal ash-related liabilities covered by third-party liability insurance policies. The insurance policies were issued between 1971 and 1986 and provide third-party liability insurance for property damage. The civil action seeks damages for breach of contract and indemnification for costs arising from the Coal Ash Act and the EPA CCR rule at 15 coal-fired plants in North Carolina and South Carolina. Duke Energy Carolinas and Duke Energy Progress cannot predict the outcome of this matter.

NCDEQ Notice of Violation

On February 8, 2016, the NCDEQ assessed a penalty of approximately \$6.8 million, including enforcement costs, against Duke Energy Carolinas related to stormwater pipes and associated discharges at the Dan River Steam Station. Duke Energy Carolinas recorded a charge in December 2015 for this penalty. In March 2016, Duke Energy Carolinas filed an appeal of this penalty. On September 23, 2016, Duke Energy Carolinas entered into a settlement agreement with the NCDEQ, without admission of liability, under which Duke Energy Carolinas agreed to a payment of \$6 million to resolve allegations underlying the asserted civil penalty related to the Dan River coal ash release and a March 4, 2016, NOV alleging unpermitted discharges at the facility.

NCDEQ State Enforcement Actions

In the first quarter of 2013, Southern Environmental Law Center (SELC) sent notices of intent to sue Duke Energy Carolinas and Duke Energy Progress related to alleged Clean Water Act (CWA) violations from coal ash basins at two of their coal-fired power plants in North Carolina. The NCDEQ filed enforcement actions against Duke Energy Carolinas and Duke Energy Progress alleging violations of water discharge permits and North Carolina groundwater standards. The cases have been consolidated and are being heard before a single judge in the North Carolina Superior Court.

On August 16, 2013, the NCDEQ filed an enforcement action against Duke Energy Carolinas and Duke Energy Progress related to their remaining plants in North Carolina alleging violations of the CWA and violations of the North Carolina groundwater standards. Both of these cases have been assigned to the judge handling the enforcement actions discussed above. SELC is representing several environmental groups who have been permitted to intervene in these cases.

The court issued orders in 2016 granting Motions for Partial Summary Judgment for seven of the 14 North Carolina plants with coal ash basins named in the enforcement actions. On February 13, 2017, the court issued an order denying motions for partial summary judgment brought by both the environmental groups and Duke Energy Carolinas and Duke Energy Progress for the remaining seven plants. On March 15, 2017, Duke Energy Carolinas and Duke Energy Progress filed a Notice of Appeal to challenge the trial court's order. The parties were unable to reach an agreement at mediation in April 2017. The parties submitted briefs to the court on remaining issues to be tried and a ruling is pending. On August 22, 2017, Duke Energy Carolinas and Duke Energy Progress filed a Petition for Discretionary Review, requesting the North Carolina Supreme Court to accept the appeal. On August 24, 2017, SELC filed a motion to dismiss the appeal. Duke Energy Carolinas' and Duke Energy Progress' opening appellate briefs were filed on October 12, 2017, and briefing is now complete. Argument was held on February 8, 2018.

It is not possible to predict any liability or estimate any damages Duke Energy Carolinas or Duke Energy Progress might incur in connection with these matters.

Federal Citizens Suits

On June 13, 2016, the Roanoke River Basin Association (RRBA) filed a federal citizen suit in the Middle District of North Carolina alleging unpermitted discharges to surface water and groundwater violations at the Mayo Plant. On August 19, 2016, Duke Energy Progress filed a Motion to Dismiss. On April 26, 2017, the court entered an order dismissing four of the claims in the federal citizen suit. Two claims relating to alleged violations of National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit provisions survived the motion to dismiss, and Duke Energy Progress filed its response on May 10, 2017. The parties are engaged in pre-trial discovery. Trial has been scheduled for July 9, 2018.

On March 16, 2017, RRBA served Duke Energy Progress with a Notice of Intent to Sue under the CWA for alleged violations of effluent standards and limitations at the Roxboro Plant. In anticipation of litigation, Duke Energy Progress filed a Complaint for Declaratory Relief in the U.S. District Court for the Western District of Virginia on May 11, 2017, which was subsequently dismissed. On May 16, 2017, RRBA filed a federal citizen suit in the U.S. District Court for the Middle District of North Carolina which asserts two claims relating to alleged violations of NPDES permit provisions and one claim relating to the use of nearby water bodies. The parties are engaged in pre-trial discovery. Trial has been scheduled for October 1, 2018.

On June 20, 2017, RRBA filed a federal citizen suit in the U.S. District Court for the Middle District of North Carolina challenging the closure plans at the Mayo Plant under the EPA CCR Rule. Duke Energy Progress filed a motion to dismiss, which was argued on January 30, 2018.

On August 2, 2017, RRBA filed a federal citizen suit in the U.S. District Court for the Middle District of North Carolina challenging the closure plans at the Roxboro Plant under the EPA CCR Rule. Duke Energy Progress filed a motion to dismiss on October 2, 2017.

On December 6, 2017, various parties filed a federal citizen suit in the U.S. District Court for the Middle District of North Carolina for alleged violations at Duke Energy Carolinas' Belews Creek Steam Station (Belews Creek) under the CWA. Duke Energy Carolinas filed a motion to dismiss on February 5, 2018.

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.
Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

It is not possible to predict whether Duke Energy Carolinas or Duke Energy Progress will incur any liability or to estimate the damages, if any, they might incur in connection with these matters.

Five previously filed cases involving the Riverbend, Cape Fear, H.F. Lee, Sutton and Buck plants have been dismissed or settled during 2016.

Groundwater Contamination Claims

Beginning in May 2015, a number of residents living in the vicinity of the North Carolina facilities with ash basins received letters from the NCDEQ advising them not to drink water from the private wells on their land tested by the NCDEQ as the samples were found to have certain substances at levels higher than the criteria set by the North Carolina Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS). Results of Comprehensive Site Assessments (CSAs) testing performed by Duke Energy under the Coal Ash Act have been consistent with historical data provided to state regulators over many years. The DHHS and NCDEQ sent follow-up letters on October 15, 2015, to residents near coal ash basins who have had their wells tested, stating that private well samplings at a considerable distance from coal ash basins, as well as some municipal water supplies, contain similar levels of vanadium and hexavalent chromium, which led investigators to believe these constituents are naturally occurring. In March 2016, DHHS rescinded the advisories. Duke Energy Carolinas and Duke Energy Progress have received formal demand letters from residents near Duke Energy Carolinas' and Duke Energy Progress' coal ash basins. The residents claim damages for nuisance and diminution in property value, among other things. The parties held three days of mediation discussions which ended at impasse. On January 6, 2017, Duke Energy Carolinas and Duke Energy Progress received the plaintiffs' notice of their intent to file suits should the matter not settle. The NCDEQ preliminarily approved Duke Energy's permanent water solution plans on January 13, 2017, and as a result shortly thereafter, Duke Energy issued a press release, providing additional details regarding the homeowner compensation package. This package consists of three components: (i) a \$5,000 goodwill payment to each eligible well owner to support the transition to a new water supply, (ii) where a public water supply is available and selected by the eligible well owner, a stipend to cover 25 years of water bills and (iii) the Property Value Protection Plan. The Property Value Protection Plan is a program offered by Duke Energy designed to guarantee eligible plant neighbors the fair market value of their residential property should they decide to sell their property during the time that the plan is offered. Duke Energy Carolinas and Duke Energy Progress recognized reserves of \$19 million and \$4 million, respectively.

On August 23, 2017, a class-action suit was filed in Wake County Superior Court, North Carolina, against Duke Energy Carolinas and Duke Energy Progress on behalf of certain property owners living near coal ash impoundments at Allen, Asheville, Belews Creek, Buck, Cliffside, Lee, Marshall, Mayo and Roxboro. The class is defined as those who are well-eligible under the Coal Ash Act or those to whom Duke Energy has promised a permanent replacement water supply and seeks declaratory and injunctive relief, along with compensatory damages. Plaintiffs allege that Duke Energy's improper maintenance of coal ash impoundments caused harm, particularly through groundwater contamination. Despite NCDEQ's preliminary approval, Plaintiffs contend that Duke Energy's proposed permanent water solutions plan fails to comply with the Coal Ash Act. On September 28, 2017, Duke Energy Carolinas and Duke Energy Progress filed a Motion to Dismiss and Motion to Strike the class designation. The parties entered into a Settlement Agreement on January 24, 2018, which resulted in the dismissal of the underlying class action on January 25, 2018.

On September 14, 2017, a complaint was filed against Duke Energy Progress in New Hanover County Superior Court by a group of homeowners residing approximately 1 mile from Duke Energy Progress' Sutton Steam Plant. The homeowners allege that coal ash constituents have been migrating from ash impoundments at Sutton into their groundwater for decades and that in 2015, Duke Energy Progress discovered these releases of coal ash, but failed to notify any officials or neighbors and failed to take remedial action. The homeowners claim unspecified physical and mental injuries as a result of consuming their well water and seek actual damages for personal injury, medical monitoring and punitive damages. Duke Energy filed its Motion to Dismiss on October 27, 2017, and the hearing is

scheduled for March 7, 2018.

It is not possible to estimate the maximum exposure of loss, if any, that may occur in connection with claims which might be made by these residents.

Duke Energy Carolinas

Asbestos-related Injuries and Damages Claims

Duke Energy Carolinas has experienced numerous claims for indemnification and medical cost reimbursement related to asbestos exposure. These claims relate to damages for bodily injuries alleged to have arisen from exposure to or use of asbestos in connection with construction and maintenance activities conducted on its electric generation plants prior to 1985. As of December 31, 2017, there were 161 asserted claims for non-malignant cases with the cumulative relief sought of up to \$42 million and 54 asserted claims for malignant cases with the cumulative relief sought of up to \$16 million. Based on Duke Energy Carolinas' experience, it is expected that the ultimate resolution of most of these claims likely will be less than the amount claimed.

Duke Energy Carolinas has recognized asbestos-related reserves of \$489 million and \$512 million at December 31, 2017, and 2016, respectively. These reserves are classified in Other within Other Noncurrent Liabilities and Other within Current Liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. These reserves are based upon the minimum amount of the range of loss for current and future asbestos claims through 2037, are recorded on an undiscounted basis and incorporate anticipated inflation. In light of the uncertainties inherent in a longer-term forecast, management does not believe they can reasonably estimate the indemnity and medical costs that might be incurred after 2037 related to such potential claims. It is possible Duke Energy Carolinas may incur asbestos liabilities in excess of the recorded reserves.

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

Duke Energy Carolinas has third-party insurance to cover certain losses related to asbestos-related injuries and damages above an aggregate self-insured retention. Duke Energy Carolinas' cumulative payments began to exceed the self-insurance retention in 2008. Future payments up to the policy limit will be reimbursed by the third-party insurance carrier. The insurance policy limit for potential future insurance recoveries indemnification and medical cost claim payments is \$797 million in excess of the self-insured retention. Receivables for insurance recoveries were \$585 million and \$587 million at December 31, 2017, and 2016, respectively. These amounts are classified in Other within Other Noncurrent Assets and Receivables within Current Assets on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Duke Energy Carolinas is not aware of any uncertainties regarding the legal sufficiency of insurance claims. Duke Energy Carolinas believes the insurance recovery asset is probable of recovery as the insurance carrier continues to have a strong financial strength rating.

Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Florida
Spent Nuclear Fuel Matters

On October 16, 2014, Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Florida sued the U.S. in the U.S. Court of Federal Claims. The lawsuit claimed the Department of Energy breached a contract in failing to accept spent nuclear fuel under the Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982 and asserted damages for the cost of on-site storage. Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Florida asserted damages for the period January 1, 2011, through December 31, 2013, of \$48 million and \$25 million, respectively. On November 17, 2017, the Court awarded Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Florida \$48 million and \$21 million, respectively, subject to appeal. No appeals were filed and Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Florida will recognize the recoveries in the first quarter of 2018. Claims for all periods through 2013 have been resolved. Additional claims will be filed in 2018.

Duke Energy Progress

Gypsum Supply Agreements Matter

On June 30, 2017, CertainTeed Gypsum NC, Inc. (CertainTeed) filed a declaratory judgment action against Duke Energy Progress in the North Carolina Business Court relating to a gypsum supply agreement. In its complaint, CertainTeed seeks an order from the court declaring that the minimum amount of gypsum Duke Energy Progress must provide to CertainTeed under the supply agreement is 50,000 tons per month through 2029. On September 28, 2017, the Court denied CertainTeed's motion for summary judgment. Discovery in the case is underway and a trial date has not been set. In light of the volatility in future production of gypsum, Duke Energy Progress cannot predict the outcome of this matter.

Duke Energy Florida

Class-Action Lawsuit

On February 22, 2016, a lawsuit was filed in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Florida on behalf of a putative class of Duke Energy Florida and FP&L's customers in Florida. The suit alleges the State of Florida's nuclear power plant cost recovery statutes (NCRS) are unconstitutional and pre-empted by federal law. Plaintiffs claim they are entitled to repayment of all money paid by customers of Duke Energy Florida and FP&L as a result of the NCRS, as well as an injunction against any future charges under those statutes. The constitutionality of the NCRS has been challenged unsuccessfully in a number of prior cases on alternative grounds. Duke Energy Florida and FP&L filed motions to dismiss the complaint on May 5, 2016. On September 21, 2016, the Court granted the motions to dismiss with prejudice. Plaintiffs filed a motion for reconsideration, which was denied. On January 4, 2017, plaintiffs filed a notice of appeal to the U.S. Court of Appeals. The appeal, which has been fully briefed, was heard on August 22, 2017, and a decision is pending. Duke Energy Florida cannot predict the outcome of this appeal.

Westinghouse Contract Litigation

On March 28, 2014, Duke Energy Florida filed a lawsuit against Westinghouse in the U.S. District Court for the Western District of North Carolina. The lawsuit seeks recovery of \$54 million in milestone payments in excess of work performed under the terminated EPC for Levy as well as a determination by the court of the amounts due to

Westinghouse as a result of the termination of the EPC. Duke Energy Florida recognized an exit obligation as a result of the termination of the EPC contract.

On March 31, 2014, Westinghouse filed a lawsuit against Duke Energy Florida in U.S. District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania. The Pennsylvania lawsuit alleged damages under the EPC in excess of \$510 million for engineering and design work, costs to end supplier contracts and an alleged termination fee.

On June 9, 2014, the judge in the North Carolina case ruled that the litigation will proceed in the Western District of North Carolina. On July 11, 2016, Duke Energy Florida and Westinghouse filed separate Motions for Summary Judgment. On September 29, 2016, the court issued its ruling on the parties' respective Motions for Summary Judgment, ruling in favor of Westinghouse on a \$30 million termination fee claim and dismissing Duke Energy Florida's \$54 million refund claim, but stating that Duke Energy Florida could use the refund claim to offset any damages for termination costs. Westinghouse's claim for termination costs was unaffected by this ruling and continued to trial. At trial, Westinghouse reduced its claim for termination costs from \$482 million to \$424 million. Following a trial on the matter, the court issued its final order in December 2016 denying Westinghouse's claim for termination costs and re-affirming its earlier ruling in favor of Westinghouse on the \$30 million termination fee and Duke Energy Florida's refund claim. Judgment was entered against Duke Energy Florida in the amount of approximately \$34 million, which includes pre-judgment interest. Westinghouse has appealed the trial court's order and Duke Energy Florida has cross-appealed. Duke Energy Florida cannot predict the ultimate outcome of the appeal of the trial court's order.

On March 29, 2017, Westinghouse filed Chapter 11 bankruptcy in the Southern District of New York, which automatically stayed the appeal. On May 23, 2017, the bankruptcy court entered an order lifting the stay with respect to the appeal. Briefing of the appeal concluded on October 20, 2017. Oral argument in the appeal was originally set for March 2018 but has tentatively been rescheduled to May 2018, due to scheduling conflicts.

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

Ultimate resolution of these matters could have a material effect on the results of operations, financial position or cash flows of Duke Energy Florida. See discussion of the 2017 Settlement and the Levy Nuclear Project in Note 4 for additional information regarding recovery of costs related to Westinghouse. The 2017 Settlement does not permit recovery of any amounts paid to resolve this contract litigation.

MGP Cost Recovery Action

On December 30, 2011, Duke Energy Florida filed a lawsuit against FirstEnergy Corp. (FirstEnergy) to recover investigation and remediation costs incurred by Duke Energy Florida in connection with the restoration of two former MGP sites in Florida. Duke Energy Florida alleged that FirstEnergy, as the successor to Associated Gas & Electric Co., owes past and future contribution and response costs of up to \$43 million for the investigation and remediation of MGP sites. On December 6, 2016, the trial court entered judgment against Duke Energy Florida in the case. In January 2017, Duke Energy Florida appealed the decision to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit, which has been fully briefed and argued. Duke Energy Florida cannot predict the outcome of this appeal.

Duke Energy Ohio

Antitrust Lawsuit

In January 2008, four plaintiffs, including individual, industrial and nonprofit customers, filed a lawsuit against Duke Energy Ohio in federal court in the Southern District of Ohio. Plaintiffs alleged Duke Energy Ohio conspired to provide inequitable and unfair price advantages for certain large business consumers by entering into nonpublic option agreements in exchange for their withdrawal of challenges to Duke Energy Ohio's Rate Stabilization Plan implemented in early 2005. In March 2014, a federal judge certified this matter as a class action. Plaintiffs alleged claims of antitrust violations under the federal Robinson Patman Act as well as fraud and conspiracy allegations under the federal Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations statute and the Ohio Corrupt Practices Act.

During 2015, the parties received preliminary court approval of a settlement agreement. Duke Energy Ohio recorded a litigation settlement reserve of \$81 million classified in Other within Current Liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheet at December 31, 2015. Duke Energy Ohio also recognized a pretax charge of \$81 million in (Loss) Income From Discontinued Operations, net of tax in the Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income for the year ended December 31, 2015. The settlement agreement was approved at a federal court hearing on April 19, 2016. Distribution of the settlement checks was approved by the court in January 2017 and all settlement amounts have been paid. See Note 2 for further discussion on the Midwest Generation Exit.

Other Litigation and Legal Proceedings

The Duke Energy Registrants are involved in other legal, tax and regulatory proceedings arising in the ordinary course of business, some of which involve significant amounts. The Duke Energy Registrants believe the final disposition of these proceedings will not have a material effect on their results of operations, cash flows or financial position.

The table below presents recorded reserves based on management's best estimate of probable loss for legal matters, excluding asbestos-related reserves and the exit obligation discussed above related to the termination of an EPC contract. Reserves are classified on the Consolidated Balance Sheets in Other within Other Noncurrent Liabilities and Accounts payable and Other within Current Liabilities. The reasonably possible range of loss in excess of recorded reserves is not material, other than as described above.

| | December | |
|----------------------------|----------|-------|
| | 31, | |
| (in millions) | 2017 | 2016 |
| Reserves for Legal Matters | | |
| Duke Energy | \$ 88 | \$ 98 |
| Duke Energy Carolinas | 30 | 23 |
| Progress Energy | 55 | 59 |
| Duke Energy Progress | 13 | 14 |

| | | |
|---------------------|----|----|
| Duke Energy Florida | 24 | 28 |
| Duke Energy Ohio | — | 4 |
| Piedmont | 2 | 2 |

OTHER COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

General

As part of their normal business, the Duke Energy Registrants are party to various financial guarantees, performance guarantees and other contractual commitments to extend guarantees of credit and other assistance to various subsidiaries, investees and other third parties. These guarantees involve elements of performance and credit risk, which are not fully recognized on the Consolidated Balance Sheets and have unlimited maximum potential payments. However, the Duke Energy Registrants do not believe these guarantees will have a material effect on their results of operations, cash flows or financial position.

172

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

Purchase Obligations

Purchased Power

Duke Energy Progress, Duke Energy Florida and Duke Energy Ohio have ongoing purchased power contracts, including renewable energy contracts, with other utilities, wholesale marketers, co-generators and qualified facilities. These purchased power contracts generally provide for capacity and energy payments. In addition, Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Florida have various contracts to secure transmission rights.

The following table presents executory purchased power contracts with terms exceeding one year, excluding contracts classified as leases. Amounts at Duke Energy Ohio were immaterial.

| (in millions) | Contract Expiration | Minimum Purchase Amount at December 31, 2017 | | | | | | Total |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------|---|-------|-------|-------|-------|------------|--------|
| | | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | Thereafter | |
| Duke Energy Progress ^(a) | 2019-2031 | \$ 68 | \$ 68 | \$ 51 | \$ 52 | \$ 30 | \$ 239 | \$ 508 |
| Duke Energy Florida ^(b) | 2021-2043 | 357 | 374 | 394 | 378 | 376 | 770 | 2,649 |

(a) Contracts represent between 15 percent and 100 percent of net plant output.

(b) Contracts represent between 81 percent and 100 percent of net plant output.

Gas Supply and Capacity Contracts

Duke Energy Ohio and Piedmont routinely enter into long-term natural gas supply commodity and capacity commitments and other agreements that commit future cash flows to acquire services needed in their businesses. These commitments include pipeline and storage capacity contracts and natural gas supply contracts to provide service to customers. Costs arising from the natural gas supply commodity and capacity commitments, while significant, are pass-through costs to customers and are generally fully recoverable through the fuel adjustment or PGA procedures and prudence reviews in North Carolina and South Carolina and under the Tennessee Incentive Plan in Tennessee. In the Midwest, these costs are recovered via the Gas Cost Recovery Rate in Ohio or the Gas Cost Adjustment Clause in Kentucky. The time periods for fixed payments under pipeline and storage capacity contracts are up to 19 years. The time periods for fixed payments under natural gas supply contracts are up to three years. The time period for the natural gas supply purchase commitments is up to 15 years.

Certain storage and pipeline capacity contracts require the payment of demand charges that are based on rates approved by the FERC in order to maintain rights to access the natural gas storage or pipeline capacity on a firm basis during the contract term. The demand charges that are incurred in each period are recognized in the Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income as part of natural gas purchases and are included in Cost of natural gas.

The following table presents future unconditional purchase obligations under natural gas supply and capacity contracts as of December 31, 2017.

| (in millions) | Duke Energy | Duke Energy Ohio | Piedmont |
|---------------|-------------|------------------|----------|
| 2018 | \$ 314 | \$ 37 | \$ 277 |
| 2019 | 280 | 28 | 252 |
| 2020 | 252 | 25 | 227 |
| 2021 | 249 | 26 | 223 |
| 2022 | 226 | 11 | 215 |
| Thereafter | 1,121 | 3 | 1,118 |
| Total | \$ 2,442 | \$ 130 | \$ 2,312 |

Operating and Capital Lease Commitments

The Duke Energy Registrants lease office buildings, railcars, vehicles, computer equipment and other property and equipment with various terms and expiration dates. Additionally, Duke Energy Progress has a capital lease related to firm natural gas pipeline transportation capacity. Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Florida have entered into certain purchased power agreements, which are classified as leases. Consolidated capitalized lease obligations are classified as Long-Term Debt or Other within Current Liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Amortization of assets recorded under capital leases is included in Depreciation and amortization and Fuel used in electric generation on the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

The following tables present rental expense for operating leases. These amounts are included in Operation, maintenance and other on the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

| (in millions) | Years Ended | | |
|-----------------------|--------------|-------|-------|
| | December 31, | | |
| | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 |
| Duke Energy | \$241 | \$242 | \$313 |
| Duke Energy Carolinas | 44 | 45 | 41 |
| Progress Energy | 130 | 140 | 230 |
| Duke Energy Progress | 75 | 68 | 149 |
| Duke Energy Florida | 55 | 72 | 81 |
| Duke Energy Ohio | 15 | 16 | 13 |
| Duke Energy Indiana | 23 | 23 | 20 |

| (in millions) | Year Ended | Years Ended | | |
|---------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------|------|
| | | Two Months Ended | October 31, | |
| | December 31, 2017 | December 31, 2016 | 2016 | 2015 |
| Piedmont | \$ 7 | \$ 1 | \$ 5 | \$ 5 |

The following table presents future minimum lease payments under operating leases, which at inception had a non-cancelable term of more than one year.

| (in millions) | December 31, 2017 | | | | | | | |
|---------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|---------------------|----------|
| | Duke Energy | Duke Energy Carolinas | Progress Energy | Duke Energy Progress | Duke Energy Florida | Duke Energy Ohio | Duke Energy Indiana | Piedmont |
| 2018 | \$233 | \$ 36 | \$ 133 | \$ 77 | \$ 56 | \$ 20 | \$ 22 | \$ 6 |
| 2019 | 203 | 29 | 126 | 72 | 54 | 12 | 14 | 5 |
| 2020 | 183 | 25 | 117 | 62 | 55 | 10 | 10 | 5 |
| 2021 | 150 | 19 | 97 | 48 | 49 | 7 | 8 | 6 |
| 2022 | 135 | 16 | 90 | 42 | 48 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Thereafter | 882 | 52 | 525 | 344 | 181 | 5 | 7 | 16 |
| Total | \$1,786 | \$ 177 | \$ 1,088 | \$ 645 | \$ 443 | \$ 58 | \$ 66 | \$ 44 |

The following table presents future minimum lease payments under capital leases.

| (in millions) | December 31, 2017 | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|---------------------|----------|
| | Duke Energy | Duke Energy Carolinas | Progress Energy | Duke Energy Progress | Duke Energy Florida | Duke Energy Ohio | Duke Energy Indiana | Piedmont |
| 2018 | \$168 | \$ 13 | \$ 46 | \$ 21 | \$ 25 | \$ 3 | \$ 2 | |
| 2019 | 169 | 13 | 45 | 20 | 25 | 1 | 1 | |
| 2020 | 174 | 13 | 47 | 21 | 26 | — | 1 | |
| 2021 | 176 | 8 | 45 | 22 | 25 | — | 1 | |
| 2022 | 169 | 8 | 45 | 21 | 24 | — | 1 | |
| Thereafter | 745 | 109 | 323 | 227 | 95 | — | 38 | |
| Minimum annual payments | 1,601 | 164 | 551 | 332 | 220 | 4 | 44 | |
| Less: amount representing interest | (601) | (103) | (283) | (192) | (91) | — | (33) | |

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

Total \$1,000 \$ 61 \$ 268 \$ 140 \$ 129 \$ 4 \$ 11

174

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

6. DEBT AND CREDIT FACILITIES

Summary of Debt and Related Terms

The following tables summarize outstanding debt.

| (in millions) | December 31, 2017 | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|---------------------|----------|
| | Weighted Average Interest Rate | Duke Energy | Duke Energy Carolinas | Progress Energy | Duke Energy Progress | Duke Energy Florida | Duke Energy Ohio | Duke Energy Indiana | Piedmont |
| Unsecured debt, maturing 2018-2073 | 4.17 | % \$20,409 | \$1,150 | \$3,950 | \$— | \$550 | \$900 | \$411 | \$2,050 |
| Secured debt, maturing 2018-2037 | 3.15 | % 4,458 | 450 | 1,757 | 300 | 1,457 | — | — | — |
| First mortgage bonds, maturing 2018-2047 ^(a) | 4.51 | % 23,529 | 7,959 | 11,801 | 6,776 | 5,025 | 1,100 | 2,669 | — |
| Capital leases, maturing 2018-2051 ^(b) | 4.55 | % 1,000 | 61 | 269 | 139 | 129 | 5 | 11 | — |
| Tax-exempt bonds, maturing 2019-2041 ^(c) | 3.23 | % 941 | 243 | 48 | 48 | — | 77 | 572 | — |
| Notes payable and commercial paper ^(d) | 1.57 | % 2,788 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Money pool/intercompany borrowings | | — | 404 | 955 | 390 | — | 54 | 311 | 364 |
| Fair value hedge carrying value adjustment | | 6 | 6 | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Unamortized debt discount and premium, net ^(e) | | 1,582 | (19) | (30) | (16) | (10) | (33) | (9) | (1) |
| Unamortized debt issuance costs ^(f) | | (271) | (47) | (108) | (40) | (56) | (7) | (21) | (12) |
| Total debt | 4.09 | % \$54,442 | \$10,207 | \$18,642 | \$7,597 | \$7,095 | \$2,096 | \$3,944 | \$2,401 |
| Short-term notes payable and commercial paper | | (2,163) | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Short-term money pool/intercompany borrowings | | — | (104) | (805) | (240) | — | (29) | (161) | (364) |
| Current maturities of long-term debt ^(g) | | (3,244) | (1,205) | (771) | (3) | (768) | (3) | (3) | (250) |
| Total long-term debt ^(g) | | \$49,035 | \$8,898 | \$17,066 | \$7,354 | \$6,327 | \$2,064 | \$3,780 | \$1,787 |

(a) Substantially all electric utility property is mortgaged under mortgage bond indentures.

Duke Energy includes \$81 million and \$603 million of capital lease purchase accounting adjustments related to

(b) Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Florida, respectively, related to power purchase agreements that are not accounted for as capital leases in their respective financial statements because of grandfathering provisions in GAAP.

(c) Substantially all tax-exempt bonds are secured by first mortgage bonds or letters of credit.

(d) Includes \$625 million that was classified as Long-Term Debt on the Consolidated Balance Sheets due to the existence of long-term credit facilities that backstop these commercial paper balances, along with Duke Energy's ability and intent to refinance these balances on a long-term basis. The weighted average days to maturity for Duke

Energy's commercial paper program was 14 days.

- (e) Duke Energy includes \$1,509 million and \$176 million in purchase accounting adjustments related to Progress Energy and Piedmont, respectively.
- (f) Duke Energy includes \$47 million in purchase accounting adjustments primarily related to the merger with Progress Energy.
- (g) Refer to Note 17 for additional information on amounts from consolidated VIEs.

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

| (in millions) | December 31, 2016 | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------|----------|-------------|-----------------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|---------------------|----------|
| | Average Interest Rate | Weighted | Duke Energy | Duke Energy Carolinas | Progress Energy | Duke Energy Progress | Duke Energy Florida | Duke Energy Ohio | Duke Energy Indiana | Piedmont |
| Unsecured debt, maturing 2017-2073 | 4.30 | % | \$17,812 | \$1,150 | \$3,551 | \$— | \$150 | \$810 | \$415 | \$1,835 |
| Secured debt, maturing 2017-2037 | 2.60 | % | 3,909 | 425 | 1,819 | 300 | 1,519 | — | — | — |
| First mortgage bonds, maturing 2017-2046 ^(a) | 4.61 | % | 21,879 | 7,410 | 10,800 | 6,425 | 4,375 | 1,000 | 2,669 | — |
| Capital leases, maturing 2018-2051 ^(b) | 4.48 | % | 1,100 | 22 | 285 | 142 | 143 | 7 | 11 | — |
| Tax-exempt bonds, maturing 2017-2041 ^(c) | 2.84 | % | 1,053 | 355 | 48 | 48 | — | 77 | 572 | — |
| Notes payable and commercial paper ^(d) | 1.01 | % | 3,112 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Money pool/intercompany borrowings ^(e) | | | — | 300 | 1,902 | 150 | 297 | 41 | 150 | — |
| Fair value hedge carrying value adjustment | | | 6 | 6 | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Unamortized debt discount and premium, net ^(f) | | | 1,753 | (20) | (31) | (16) | (10) | (28) | (9) | (1) |
| Unamortized debt issuance costs ^(g) | | | (242) | (45) | (104) | (38) | (52) | (7) | (22) | (13) |
| Total debt | 4.07 | % | \$50,382 | \$9,603 | \$18,270 | \$7,011 | \$6,422 | \$1,900 | \$3,786 | \$1,821 |
| Short-term notes payable and commercial paper | | | (2,487) | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Short-term money pool/intercompany borrowings | | | — | — | (729) | — | (297) | (16) | — | — |
| Current maturities of long-term debt ^(h) | | | (2,319) | (116) | (778) | (452) | (326) | (1) | (3) | (35) |
| Total long-term debt ^(h) | | | \$45,576 | \$9,487 | \$16,763 | \$6,559 | \$5,799 | \$1,883 | \$3,783 | \$1,786 |

(a) Substantially all electric utility property is mortgaged under mortgage bond indentures.

Duke Energy includes \$98 million and \$670 million of capital lease purchase accounting adjustments related to

(b) Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Florida, respectively, related to power purchase agreements that are not accounted for as capital leases in their respective financial statements because of grandfathering provisions in GAAP.

(c) Substantially all tax-exempt bonds are secured by first mortgage bonds or letters of credit.

(d) Includes \$625 million that was classified as Long-Term Debt on the Consolidated Balance Sheets due to the existence of long-term credit facilities that backstop these commercial paper balances, along with Duke Energy's ability and intent to refinance these balances on a long-term basis. The weighted average days to maturity for Duke Energy and Piedmont's commercial paper programs were 14 days and eight days, respectively.

(e) Progress Energy amount includes a \$1 billion intercompany loan related to the sale of the International Disposal Group. See Note 2 for further discussion of the sale.

(f) Duke Energy includes \$1,653 million and \$197 million purchase accounting adjustments related to the mergers with Progress Energy and Piedmont, respectively.

(g) Duke Energy includes \$53 million in purchase accounting adjustments primarily related to the merger with Progress Energy.

(h) Refer to Note 17 for additional information on amounts from consolidated VIEs.

Current Maturities of Long-Term Debt

The following table shows the significant components of Current maturities of Long-Term Debt on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. The Duke Energy Registrants currently anticipate satisfying these obligations with cash on hand and proceeds from additional borrowings.

| (in millions) | Maturity Date | Interest Rate | December 31, 2017 |
|--------------------------------------|---------------|---------------|-------------------|
| Unsecured Debt | | | |
| Duke Energy (Parent) | June 2018 | 6.250 | % \$ 250 |
| Duke Energy (Parent) | June 2018 | 2.100 | % 500 |
| Piedmont | December 2018 | 2.286 | %(b) 250 |
| First Mortgage Bonds | | | |
| Duke Energy Carolinas | January 2018 | 5.250 | % 400 |
| Duke Energy Carolinas | April 2018 | 5.100 | % 300 |
| Duke Energy Florida | June 2018 | 5.650 | % 500 |
| Duke Energy Carolinas | November 2018 | 7.000 | % 500 |
| Other ^(a) | | | 544 |
| Current maturities of long-term debt | | | \$ 3,244 |

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

(a) Includes capital lease obligations, amortizing debt and small bullet maturities.

(b) Debt has a floating interest rate.

Maturities and Call Options

The following table shows the annual maturities of long-term debt for the next five years and thereafter. Amounts presented exclude short-term notes payable and commercial paper and money pool borrowings for the Subsidiary Registrants.

| (in millions) | December 31, 2017 | | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| | Duke Energy ^(a) | Duke Energy Carolinas | Progress Energy | Duke Energy Progress | Duke Energy Florida | Duke Energy Ohio | Duke Energy Indiana | Duke Energy Piedmont |
| 2018 | \$3,244 | \$ 1,205 | \$771 | \$ 3 | \$768 | \$ 3 | \$ 3 | \$ 250 |
| 2019 | 3,563 | 6 | 2,191 | 903 | 490 | 548 | 61 | — |
| 2020 | 3,699 | 906 | 871 | 304 | 568 | — | 502 | — |
| 2021 | 3,760 | 502 | 1,472 | 602 | 371 | 48 | 69 | 159 |
| 2022 | 3,010 | 302 | 1,176 | 653 | 74 | 23 | 243 | — |
| Thereafter | 33,271 | 7,182 | 11,356 | 4,892 | 4,824 | 1,445 | 2,905 | 1,628 |
| Total long-term debt, including current maturities | \$50,547 | \$ 10,103 | \$ 17,837 | \$ 7,357 | \$ 7,095 | \$ 2,067 | \$ 3,783 | \$ 2,037 |

(a) Excludes \$1,732 million in purchase accounting adjustments related to the Progress Energy merger and the Piedmont acquisition.

The Duke Energy Registrants have the ability under certain debt facilities to call and repay the obligation prior to its scheduled maturity. Therefore, the actual timing of future cash repayments could be materially different than as presented above.

Short-Term Obligations Classified as Long-Term Debt

Tax-exempt bonds that may be put to the Duke Energy Registrants at the option of the holder and certain commercial paper issuances and money pool borrowings are classified as Long-Term Debt on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. These tax-exempt bonds, commercial paper issuances and money pool borrowings, which are short-term obligations by nature, are classified as long term due to Duke Energy's intent and ability to utilize such borrowings as long-term financing. As Duke Energy's Master Credit Facility and other bilateral letter of credit agreements have non-cancelable terms in excess of one year as of the balance sheet date, Duke Energy has the ability to refinance these short-term obligations on a long-term basis. The following tables show short-term obligations classified as long-term debt.

| (in millions) | December 31, 2017 | | | | |
|---------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|------------------|---------------------|
| | Duke Energy | Duke Energy Carolinas | Duke Energy Progress | Duke Energy Ohio | Duke Energy Indiana |
| Tax-exempt bonds | \$312 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ 27 | \$ 285 |
| Commercial paper ^(a) | 625 | 300 | 150 | 25 | 150 |
| Total | \$937 | \$ 300 | \$ 150 | \$ 52 | \$ 435 |

| (in millions) | December 31, 2016 | | | | |
|---------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|------------------|---------------------|
| | Duke Energy | Duke Energy Carolinas | Duke Energy Progress | Duke Energy Ohio | Duke Energy Indiana |
| Tax-exempt bonds | \$347 | \$ 35 | \$ — | \$ 27 | \$ 285 |
| Commercial paper ^(a) | 625 | 300 | 150 | 25 | 150 |
| Total | \$972 | \$ 335 | \$ 150 | \$ 52 | \$ 435 |

(a) Progress Energy amounts are equal to Duke Energy Progress amounts.

177

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. –
DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE
ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

Summary of Significant Debt Issuances

The following tables summarize significant debt issuances (in millions).

| Issuance Date | Maturity Date | Interest Rate | Year Ended December 31, 2017 | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|------|
| | | | Duke Energy | Duke Energy (Parent) | Duke Energy Carolinas | Duke Energy Progress | Duke Energy Florida | Duke Energy Ohio | |
| Unsecured Debt | | | | | | | | | |
| April 2017 ^(a) | April 2025 | 3.364 % | \$420 | \$420 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — |
| June 2017 ^(b) | June 2020 | 2.100 % | 330 | 330 | — | — | — | — | — |
| August 2017 ^(c) | August 2022 | 2.400 % | 500 | 500 | — | — | — | — | — |
| August 2017 ^(c) | August 2027 | 3.150 % | 750 | 750 | — | — | — | — | — |
| August 2017 ^(c) | August 2047 | 3.950 % | 500 | 500 | — | — | — | — | — |
| December 2017 ^(d) | December 2019 ^(k) | 2.100 % | 400 | — | — | — | 400 | — | — |
| Secured Debt | | | | | | | | | |
| February 2017 ^(e) | June 2034 | 4.120 % | 587 | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| August 2017 ^(f) | December 2036 | 4.110 % | 233 | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| First Mortgage Bonds | | | | | | | | | |
| January 2017 ^(g) | January 2020 | 1.850 % | 250 | — | — | — | 250 | — | — |
| January 2017 ^(g) | January 2027 | 3.200 % | 650 | — | — | — | 650 | — | — |
| March 2017 ^(h) | June 2046 | 3.700 % | 100 | — | — | — | — | 100 | — |
| September 2017 ⁽ⁱ⁾ | September 2020 | 1.500 % ^(l) | 300 | — | — | 300 | — | — | — |
| September 2017 ⁽ⁱ⁾ | September 2047 | 3.600 % | 500 | — | — | 500 | — | — | — |
| November 2017 ⁽ⁱ⁾ | December 2047 | 3.700 % | 550 | — | 550 | — | — | — | — |
| Total issuances | | | \$6,070 | \$2,500 | \$ 550 | \$ 800 | \$1,300 | \$ 100 | |

(a) Proceeds were used to refinance \$400 million of unsecured debt at maturity and to repay a portion of outstanding commercial paper.

(b) Debt issued to repay a portion of outstanding commercial paper.

(c) Debt issued to repay at maturity \$700 million of unsecured debt, to repay outstanding commercial paper and for general corporate purposes.

(d) Debt issued to fund storm restoration costs related to Hurricane Irma and for general corporate purposes.

(e) Portfolio financing of four Texas and Oklahoma wind facilities. Duke Energy pledged substantially all of the assets of these wind facilities and is nonrecourse to Duke Energy. Proceeds were used to reimburse Duke Energy for a portion of previously funded construction expenditures.

(f) Portfolio financing of eight solar facilities located in California, Colorado and New Mexico. Duke Energy pledged substantially all of the assets of these solar facilities and is nonrecourse to Duke Energy. Proceeds were used to reimburse Duke Energy for a portion of previously funded construction expenditures.

(g) Debt issued to fund capital expenditures for ongoing construction and capital maintenance, to repay a \$250 million aggregate principal amount of bonds at maturity and for general corporate purposes.

(h) Proceeds were used to fund capital expenditures for ongoing construction, capital maintenance and for general corporate purposes.

(i) Debt issued to repay at maturity a \$200 million aggregate principal amount of bonds at maturity, pay down intercompany short-term debt and for general corporate purposes, including capital expenditures.

(j) Debt issued to refinance \$400 million aggregate principal amount of bonds due January 2018, pay down intercompany short-term debt and for general corporate purposes.

(k) Principal balance will be repaid in equal quarterly installments beginning in March 2018.

(l)Debt issuance has a floating interest rate.

178

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

| Issuance Date | Maturity Date | Interest Rate | Year Ended December 31, 2016 | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|----------------|------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|---------------------|
| | | | Duke Energy | Duke Energy (Parent) | Duke Energy Carolinas | Duke Energy Progress | Duke Energy Florida | Duke Energy Ohio | Duke Energy Indiana |
| Unsecured Debt | | | | | | | | | |
| April 2016 ^(a) | April 2023 | 2.875 % | \$ 350 | \$ 350 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — |
| August 2016 ^(b) | September 2021 | 1.800 % | 750 | 750 | — | — | — | — | — |
| August 2016 ^(b) | September 2026 | 2.650 % | 1,500 | 1,500 | — | — | — | — | — |
| August 2016 ^(b) | September 2046 | 3.750 % | 1,500 | 1,500 | — | — | — | — | — |
| Secured Debt | | | | | | | | | |
| June 2016 ^(c) | March 2020 | 1.196 % | 183 | — | — | — | 183 | — | — |
| June 2016 ^(c) | September 2022 | 1.731 % | 150 | — | — | — | 150 | — | — |
| June 2016 ^(c) | September 2029 | 2.538 % | 436 | — | — | — | 436 | — | — |
| June 2016 ^(c) | March 2033 | 2.858 % | 250 | — | — | — | 250 | — | — |
| June 2016 ^(c) | September 2036 | 3.112 % | 275 | — | — | — | 275 | — | — |
| August 2016 ^(d) | June 2034 | 2.747 % ⁽ⁱ⁾ | 228 | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| August 2016 ^(d) | June 2020 | 2.747 % ⁽ⁱ⁾ | 105 | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| First Mortgage Bonds | | | | | | | | | |
| March 2016 ^(e) | March 2023 | 2.500 % | 500 | — | 500 | — | — | — | — |
| March 2016 ^(e) | March 2046 | 3.875 % | 500 | — | 500 | — | — | — | — |
| May 2016 ^(f) | May 2046 | 3.750 % | 500 | — | — | — | — | — | 500 |
| June 2016 ^(e) | June 2046 | 3.700 % | 250 | — | — | — | — | 250 | — |
| September 2016 ^(g) | October 2046 | 3.400 % | 600 | — | — | — | 600 | — | — |
| September 2016 ^(e) | October 2046 | 3.700 % | 450 | — | — | 450 | — | — | — |
| November 2016 ^(h) | December 2046 | 2.950 % | 600 | — | 600 | — | — | — | — |
| Total issuances | | | \$9,127 | \$4,100 | \$1,600 | \$ 450 | \$1,894 | \$ 250 | \$ 500 |

(a) Proceeds were used to pay down outstanding commercial paper and for general corporate purposes.

(b) Proceeds were used to finance a portion of the Piedmont acquisition. The \$4.9 billion Bridge Facility was terminated following the issuance of this debt. See Note 2 for additional information on the Piedmont acquisition. DEFPF issued nuclear-asset recovery bonds and used the proceeds to acquire nuclear-asset recovery property from its parent, Duke Energy Florida. The nuclear-asset recovery bonds are payable only from and secured by the nuclear asset-recovery property. DEFPF is consolidated for financial reporting purposes; however, the nuclear asset-recovery bonds do not constitute a debt, liability or other legal obligation of, or interest in, Duke Energy Florida or any of its affiliates other than DEFPF. The assets of DEFPF, including the nuclear-asset recovery property, are not available to pay creditors of Duke Energy Florida or any of its affiliates. Duke Energy Florida used the proceeds from the sale to repay short-term borrowings under the intercompany money pool borrowing arrangement and make an equity distribution of \$649 million to the ultimate parent, Duke Energy (Parent), which repaid short-term borrowings. The nuclear-asset recovery bonds are sequential pay amortizing bonds. The maturity date above represents the scheduled final maturity date for the bonds. See Notes 4 and 17 for additional information.

(d) Emerald State Solar, LLC, an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of Duke Energy entered into portfolio financing of approximately 22 North Carolina solar facilities. Tranche A of \$228 million is secured by substantially all of the assets of the solar facilities and is nonrecourse to Duke Energy. Tranche B of \$105 million is secured by an Equity Contribution Agreement with Duke Energy. Proceeds were used to reimburse Duke Energy for a portion of previously funded construction expenditures related to the Emerald State Solar, LLC portfolio. The initial interest

rate on the loans was six months London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) plus an applicable margin of 1.75 percent plus a 0.125 percent increase every three years thereafter. In connection with this debt issuance, Emerald State Solar, LLC entered into two interest rate swaps to convert the substantial majority of the loan interest payments from variable rates to fixed rates of approximately 1.81 percent for Tranche A and 1.38 percent for Tranche B, plus the applicable margin. See Note 14 for further information on the notional amounts of the interest rate swaps.

- (e) Proceeds were used to fund capital expenditures for ongoing construction, capital maintenance and for general corporate purposes.
- (f) Proceeds were used to repay \$325 million of unsecured debt due June 2016, \$150 million of first mortgage bonds due July 2016 and for general corporate purposes.
- (g) Proceeds were used to fund capital expenditures for ongoing construction, capital maintenance, to repay short-term borrowings under the intercompany money pool borrowing arrangement and for general corporate purposes.
- (h) Proceeds were used to repay at maturity \$350 million aggregate principal amount of certain bonds due December 2016, as well as to fund capital expenditures for ongoing construction and capital maintenance and for general corporate purposes.
- (i) Debt issuance has a floating interest rate.

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

In July 2016, Piedmont issued \$300 million unsecured notes maturing in November 2046 with an interest rate of 3.64%. Piedmont has the option to redeem all or part of the notes before May 1, 2046, at a redemption price equal to the greater of a) 100% of the principal amount of the notes to be redeemed, and b) the sum of the present values of the remaining scheduled payments of principal and interest on the notes to be redeemed, discounted to the date of redemption on a semi-annual basis at the Treasury Rate as defined in the indenture, as supplemented, plus 25 basis points and any accrued and unpaid interest to the date of redemption. Piedmont has the option to redeem all or part of the notes on or after May 1, 2046, at 100% of the principal amounts plus any accrued and unpaid interest to the date of redemption. Piedmont used the proceeds to fund capital expenditures, to repay short-term borrowings under Piedmont's commercial paper program and for general corporate purposes.

Available Credit Facilities

In March 2017, Duke Energy amended its Master Credit Facility to increase its capacity from \$7.5 billion to \$8 billion, and to extend the termination date of the facility from January 30, 2020, to March 16, 2022. The amendment also added Piedmont as a borrower within the Master Credit Facility. Piedmont's separate \$850 million credit facility was terminated in connection with the amendment. With the amendment, the Duke Energy Registrants, excluding Progress Energy (Parent), have borrowing capacity under the Master Credit Facility up to specified sublimits for each borrower. Duke Energy has the unilateral ability at any time to increase or decrease the borrowing sublimits of each borrower, subject to a maximum sublimit for each borrower. The amount available under the Master Credit Facility has been reduced to backstop issuances of commercial paper, certain letters of credit and variable-rate demand tax-exempt bonds that may be put to the Duke Energy Registrants at the option of the holder. Duke Energy Carolinas and Duke Energy Progress are also required to each maintain \$250 million of available capacity under the Master Credit Facility as security to meet obligations under plea agreements reached with the U.S. Department of Justice in 2015 related to violations at North Carolina facilities with ash basins.

In January 2018, Duke Energy further amended its Master Credit Facility with consenting lenders to extend \$7.65 billion of our existing \$8 billion Master Credit Facility by one year to March 16, 2023.

The table below includes the current borrowing sublimits and available capacity under these credit facilities.

| | December 31, 2017 | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|---------------------|----------|
| | Duke Energy | Duke Energy (Parent) | Duke Energy Carolinas | Duke Energy Progress | Duke Energy Florida | Duke Energy Ohio | Duke Energy Indiana | Piedmont |
| (in millions) | | | | | | | | |
| Facility size ^(a) | \$8,000 | \$2,850 | \$1,350 | \$1,250 | \$800 | \$450 | \$600 | \$700 |
| Reduction to backstop issuances | | | | | | | | |
| Commercial paper ^(b) | (1,799) | (561) | (371) | (314) | — | (45) | (260) | (248) |
| Outstanding letters of credit | (63) | (54) | (4) | (2) | (1) | — | — | (2) |
| Tax-exempt bonds | (81) | — | — | — | — | — | (81) | — |
| Coal ash set-aside | (500) | — | (250) | (250) | — | — | — | — |
| Available capacity | \$5,557 | \$2,235 | \$725 | \$684 | \$799 | \$405 | \$259 | \$450 |

(a) Represents the sublimit of each borrower.

Duke Energy issued \$625 million of commercial paper and loaned the proceeds through the money pool to Duke Energy Carolinas, Duke Energy Progress, Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Indiana. The balances are classified as Long-Term Debt Payable to Affiliated Companies in the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Three-Year Revolving Credit Facility

In June 2017, Duke Energy (Parent) entered into a three-year \$1.0 billion revolving credit facility (the Three Year Revolver). Borrowings under this facility will be used for general corporate purposes.

As of December 31, 2017, \$500 million has been drawn under the Three Year Revolver. This balance is classified as Long-Term Debt on Duke Energy's Consolidated Balance Sheets. Any undrawn commitments can be drawn, and

borrowings can be prepaid, at any time throughout the term of the facility. The terms and conditions of the Three Year Revolver are generally consistent with those governing Duke Energy's Master Credit Facility.

Piedmont Term Loan Facility

In June 2017, Piedmont entered into an 18-month term loan facility with commitments totaling \$250 million (the Piedmont Term Loan). Borrowings under the facility will be used for general corporate purposes.

As of December 31, 2017, the entire \$250 million has been drawn under the Piedmont Term Loan. This balance is classified as Long-Term Debt on Piedmont's Consolidated Balance Sheets. The terms and conditions of the Piedmont Term Loan are generally consistent with those governing Duke Energy's Master Credit Facility.

Other Debt Matters

In September 2016, Duke Energy filed a Registration statement (Form S-3) with the SEC. Under this Form S-3, which is uncapped, the Duke Energy Registrants, excluding Progress Energy, may issue debt and other securities in the future at amounts, prices and with terms to be determined at the time of future offerings. The registration statement was filed to replace a similar prior filing upon expiration of its three-year term and also allows for the issuance of common stock by Duke Energy.

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

Duke Energy has an effective Form S-3 with the SEC to sell up to \$3 billion of variable denomination floating-rate demand notes, called PremierNotes. The Form S-3 states that no more than \$1.5 billion of the notes will be outstanding at any particular time. The notes are offered on a continuous basis and bear interest at a floating rate per annum determined by the Duke Energy PremierNotes Committee, or its designee, on a weekly basis. The interest rate payable on notes held by an investor may vary based on the principal amount of the investment. The notes have no stated maturity date, are non-transferable and may be redeemed in whole or in part by Duke Energy or at the investor's option at any time. The balance as of December 31, 2017, and 2016 was \$986 million and \$1,090 million, respectively. The notes are short-term debt obligations of Duke Energy and are reflected as Notes payable and commercial paper on Duke Energy's Consolidated Balance Sheets.

In January 2017, Duke Energy amended its Form S-3 to add Piedmont as a registrant and included in the amendment a prospectus for Piedmont under which it may issue debt securities in the same manner as other Duke Energy Registrants.

Duke Energy guaranteed debt issued by Duke Energy Carolinas of \$650 million and \$762 million, respectively, as of December 31, 2017, and 2016.

Money Pool

The Subsidiary Registrants, excluding Progress Energy, are eligible to receive support for their short-term borrowing needs through participation with Duke Energy and certain of its subsidiaries in a money pool arrangement. Under this arrangement, those companies with short-term funds may provide short-term loans to affiliates participating in this arrangement. The money pool is structured such that the Subsidiary Registrants, excluding Progress Energy, separately manage their cash needs and working capital requirements. Accordingly, there is no net settlement of receivables and payables between money pool participants. Duke Energy (Parent), may loan funds to its participating subsidiaries, but may not borrow funds through the money pool. Accordingly, as the money pool activity is between Duke Energy and its wholly owned subsidiaries, all money pool balances are eliminated within Duke Energy's Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Money pool receivable balances are reflected within Notes receivable from affiliated companies on the Subsidiary Registrants' Consolidated Balance Sheets. Money pool payable balances are reflected within either Notes payable to affiliated companies or Long-Term Debt Payable to Affiliated Companies on the Subsidiary Registrants' Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Restrictive Debt Covenants

The Duke Energy Registrants' debt and credit agreements contain various financial and other covenants. Duke Energy's Master Credit Facility contains a covenant requiring the debt-to-total capitalization ratio not to exceed 65 percent for each borrower, excluding Piedmont, and 70 percent for Piedmont. Failure to meet those covenants beyond applicable grace periods could result in accelerated due dates and/or termination of the agreements. As of December 31, 2017, each of the Duke Energy Registrants was in compliance with all covenants related to their debt agreements. In addition, some credit agreements may allow for acceleration of payments or termination of the agreements due to nonpayment, or acceleration of other significant indebtedness of the borrower or some of its subsidiaries. None of the debt or credit agreements contain material adverse change clauses.

Other Loans

As of December 31, 2017, and 2016, Duke Energy had loans outstanding of \$701 million, including \$38 million at Duke Energy Progress and \$661 million, including \$39 million at Duke Energy Progress, respectively, against the cash surrender value of life insurance policies it owns on the lives of its executives. The amounts outstanding were carried as a reduction of the related cash surrender value that is included in Other within Investments and Other Assets on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

7. GUARANTEES AND INDEMNIFICATIONS

Duke Energy and Progress Energy have various financial and performance guarantees and indemnifications, which are issued in the normal course of business. As discussed below, these contracts include performance guarantees, stand-by letters of credit, debt guarantees, surety bonds and indemnifications. Duke Energy and Progress Energy enter into these arrangements to facilitate commercial transactions with third parties by enhancing the value of the transaction to the third party. At December 31, 2017, Duke Energy and Progress Energy do not believe conditions are likely for significant performance under these guarantees. To the extent liabilities are incurred as a result of the activities covered by the guarantees, such liabilities are included on the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets.

On January 2, 2007, Duke Energy completed the spin-off of its natural gas businesses to shareholders. Guarantees issued by Duke Energy or its affiliates, or assigned to Duke Energy prior to the spin-off, remained with Duke Energy subsequent to the spin-off. Guarantees issued by Spectra Energy Capital, LLC (Spectra Capital) or its affiliates prior to the spin-off remained with Spectra Capital subsequent to the spin-off, except for guarantees that were later assigned to Duke Energy. Duke Energy has indemnified Spectra Capital against any losses incurred under certain of the guarantee obligations that remain with Spectra Capital. At December 31, 2017, the maximum potential amount of future payments associated with these guarantees was \$205 million, the majority of which expires by 2028.

Duke Energy has issued performance guarantees to customers and other third parties that guarantee the payment and performance of other parties, including certain non-wholly owned entities, as well as guarantees of debt of certain non-consolidated entities and less than wholly owned consolidated entities. If such entities were to default on payments or performance, Duke Energy would be required under the guarantees to make payments on the obligations of the less than wholly owned entity. The maximum potential amount of future payments required under these guarantees as of December 31, 2017, was \$326 million. Of this amount, \$11 million relates to guarantees issued on behalf of less than wholly owned consolidated entities, with the remainder related to guarantees issued on behalf of third parties and unconsolidated affiliates of Duke Energy. Of the guarantees noted above, \$281 million of the guarantees expire between 2019 and 2030, with the remaining performance guarantees having no contractual expiration.

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

In October 2017, ACP executed a \$3.4 billion revolving credit facility with a stated maturity date of October 2021. Duke Energy entered into a guarantee agreement to support its share of the ACP revolving credit facility. Duke Energy's maximum exposure to loss under the terms of the guarantee is limited to 47 percent of the outstanding borrowings under the credit facility, which was \$312 million as of December 31, 2017.

Duke Energy has guaranteed certain issuers of surety bonds, obligating itself to make payment upon the failure of a wholly owned and former non-wholly owned entity to honor its obligations to a third party. Under these arrangements, Duke Energy has payment obligations that are triggered by a draw by the third party or customer due to the failure of the wholly owned or former non-wholly owned entity to perform according to the terms of its underlying contract. At December 31, 2017, Duke Energy had guaranteed \$81 million of outstanding surety bonds, most of which have no set expiration.

Duke Energy uses bank-issued stand-by letters of credit to secure the performance of wholly owned and non-wholly owned entities to a third party or customer. Under these arrangements, Duke Energy has payment obligations to the issuing bank that are triggered by a draw by the third party or customer due to the failure of the wholly owned or non-wholly owned entity to perform according to the terms of its underlying contract. At December 31, 2017, Duke Energy had issued a total of \$449 million in letters of credit, which expire between 2018 and 2022. The unused amount under these letters of credit was \$66 million.

Duke Energy and Progress Energy have issued indemnifications for certain asset performance, legal, tax and environmental matters to third parties, including indemnifications made in connection with sales of businesses. At December 31, 2017, the estimated maximum exposure for these indemnifications was \$89 million, most of which have no set expiration. For certain matters for which Progress Energy receives timely notice, indemnity obligations may extend beyond the notice period. Certain indemnifications related to discontinued operations have no limitations as to time or maximum potential future payments.

Duke Energy recognized \$21 million and \$13 million, as of December 31, 2017, and 2016, respectively, primarily in Other within Other Noncurrent Liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets, for the guarantees discussed above. As current estimates change, additional losses related to guarantees and indemnifications to third parties, which could be material, may be recorded by the Duke Energy Registrants in the future.

8. JOINT OWNERSHIP OF GENERATING AND TRANSMISSION FACILITIES

The Duke Energy Registrants maintain ownership interests in certain jointly owned generating and transmission facilities. The Duke Energy Registrants are entitled to a share of the generating capacity and output of each unit equal to their respective ownership interests. The Duke Energy Registrants pay their ownership share of additional construction costs, fuel inventory purchases and operating expenses. The Duke Energy Registrants share of revenues and operating costs of the jointly owned facilities is included within the corresponding line in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. Each participant in the jointly owned facilities must provide its own financing.

The following table presents the Duke Energy Registrants' interest of jointly owned plant or facilities and amounts included on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. All facilities are operated by the Duke Energy Registrants and are included in the Electric Utilities and Infrastructure segment.

| | December 31, 2017 | | | |
|--|-------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|
| | Ownership | Property, Plant and Equipment | Accumulated Depreciation | Construction Work in Progress |
| (in millions except for ownership interest) | Interest | | | |
| Duke Energy Carolinas | | | | |
| Catawba Nuclear Station (units 1 and 2) ^(a) | 19.25 | % \$ 927 | \$ 651 | \$ 19 |
| Lee Combined Combustion Station ^(b) | 86.67 | % — | — | 552 |

| | | | | |
|--|---------|-------|-------|---|
| Duke Energy Ohio | | | | |
| Transmission facilities ^(c) | Various | 89 | 63 | 1 |
| Duke Energy Indiana | | | | |
| Gibson Station (unit 5) ^(d) | 50.05 | % 348 | 162 | 9 |
| Vermillion Generating Station ^(e) | 62.5 | % 155 | 120 | — |
| Transmission and local facilities ^(d) | Various | 4,672 | 1,739 | — |

(a) Jointly owned with North Carolina Municipal Power Agency Number 1, NCEMC and Piedmont Municipal Power Agency.

(b) Jointly owned with NCEMC.

(c) Jointly owned with America Electric Power Generation Resources and The Dayton Power and Light Company.

(d) Jointly owned with Wabash Valley Power Association, Inc. (WVPA) and Indiana Municipal Power Agency.

(e) Jointly owned with WVPA.

9. ASSET RETIREMENT OBLIGATIONS

Duke Energy records an ARO when it has a legal obligation to incur retirement costs associated with the retirement of a long-lived asset and the obligation can be reasonably estimated. Certain assets of the Duke Energy Registrants' have an indeterminate life, such as transmission and distribution facilities, and thus the fair value of the retirement obligation is not reasonably estimable. A liability for these AROs will be recorded when a fair value is determinable.

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

The Duke Energy Registrants' regulated operations accrue costs of removal for property that does not have an associated legal retirement obligation based on regulatory orders from state commissions. These costs of removal are recorded as a regulatory liability in accordance with regulatory accounting treatment. The Duke Energy Registrants do not accrue the estimated cost of removal for any nonregulated assets. See Note 4 for the estimated cost of removal for assets without an associated legal retirement obligation, which are included in Regulatory liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

The following table presents the AROs recorded on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

| (in millions) | December 31, 2017 | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|---------------------|----------|
| | Duke Energy | Duke Energy Carolinas | Progress Energy | Duke Energy Progress | Duke Energy Florida | Duke Energy Ohio | Duke Energy Indiana | Piedmont |
| Decommissioning of nuclear power facilities ^(a) | \$5,371 | \$ 1,944 | \$ 3,246 | \$ 2,564 | \$ 681 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — |
| Closure of ash impoundments | 4,525 | 1,629 | 2,094 | 2,075 | 19 | 39 | 763 | — |
| Other ^(b) | 279 | 37 | 74 | 34 | 42 | 45 | 18 | 15 |
| Total asset retirement obligation | \$10,175 | \$ 3,610 | \$ 5,414 | \$ 4,673 | \$ 742 | \$ 84 | \$ 781 | \$ 15 |
| Less: current portion | 689 | 337 | 295 | 295 | — | 3 | 54 | — |
| Total noncurrent asset retirement obligation | \$9,486 | \$ 3,273 | \$ 5,119 | \$ 4,378 | \$ 742 | \$ 81 | \$ 727 | \$ 15 |

(a) Duke Energy amount includes purchase accounting adjustments related to the merger with Progress Energy.

Primarily includes obligations related to asbestos removal. Duke Energy Ohio and Piedmont also include AROs (b) related to the retirement of natural gas mains and services. Duke Energy includes AROs related to the removal of renewable energy generation assets.

Nuclear Decommissioning Liability

AROs related to nuclear decommissioning are based on site-specific cost studies. The NCUC, PSCSC and FPSC require updated cost estimates for decommissioning nuclear plants every five years.

The following table summarizes information about the most recent site-specific nuclear decommissioning cost studies. Decommissioning costs in the table below are stated in 2013 or 2014 dollars, depending on the year of the cost study, and include costs to decommission plant components not subject to radioactive contamination.

| (in millions) | Annual Funding Requirement ^(a) | Decommissioning Costs ^{(a)(b)} | Year of Cost Study |
|-----------------------|---|---|--------------------|
| Duke Energy | \$ 14 | \$ 8,150 | 2013 and 2014 |
| Duke Energy Carolinas | — | 3,420 | 2013 |
| Duke Energy Progress | 14 | 3,550 | 2014 |
| Duke Energy Florida | — | 1,180 | 2013 |

(a) Amounts for Progress Energy equal the sum of Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Florida.

(b) Amounts include the Subsidiary Registrant's ownership interest in jointly owned reactors. Other joint owners are responsible for decommissioning costs related to their interest in the reactors.

Nuclear Decommissioning Trust Funds

Duke Energy Carolinas, Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Florida each maintain NDTFs that are intended to pay for the decommissioning costs of their respective nuclear power plants. The NDTF investments are managed and invested in accordance with applicable requirements of various regulatory bodies including the NRC, FERC, NCUC, PSCSC, FPSC and the Internal Revenue Service (IRS).

Use of the NDTF investments is restricted to nuclear decommissioning activities including license termination, spent fuel and site restoration. The license termination and spent fuel obligations relate to contaminated decommissioning

and are recorded as AROs. The site restoration obligation relates to non-contaminated decommissioning and is recorded to cost of removal within Regulatory liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

183

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

The following table presents the fair value of NDTF assets legally restricted for purposes of settling AROs associated with nuclear decommissioning. Duke Energy Florida is actively decommissioning Crystal River Unit 3 and was granted an exemption from the NRC which allows for use of the NDTF for all aspects of nuclear decommissioning. The entire balance of Duke Energy Florida's NDTF may be applied toward license termination, spent fuel and site restoration costs incurred to decommission Crystal River Unit 3. See Note 16 for additional information related to the fair value of the Duke Energy Registrants' NDTFs.

| | December 31, | |
|-----------------------|--------------|---------|
| (in millions) | 2017 | 2016 |
| Duke Energy | \$5,864 | \$5,099 |
| Duke Energy Carolinas | 3,321 | 2,882 |
| Duke Energy Progress | 2,543 | 2,217 |

Nuclear Operating Licenses

Operating licenses for nuclear units are potentially subject to extension. The following table includes the current expiration of nuclear operating licenses.

| Unit | Year of Expiration |
|-----------------------|--------------------|
| Duke Energy Carolinas | |
| Catawba Units 1 and 2 | 2043 |
| McGuire Unit 1 | 2041 |
| McGuire Unit 2 | 2043 |
| Oconee Units 1 and 2 | 2033 |
| Oconee Unit 3 | 2034 |
| Duke Energy Progress | |
| Brunswick Unit 1 | 2036 |
| Brunswick Unit 2 | 2034 |
| Harris | 2046 |
| Robinson | 2030 |

Duke Energy Florida has requested the NRC terminate the operating license for Crystal River Unit 3 as it permanently ceased operation in February 2013. In January 2018, Crystal River Unit 3 reached a SAFSTOR status.

Closure of Ash Impoundments

The Duke Energy Registrants are subject to state and federal regulations covering the closure of coal ash impoundments, including the EPA CCR rule and the Coal Ash Act, and other agreements. AROs recorded on the Duke Energy Registrants' Consolidated Balance Sheets include the legal obligation for closure of coal ash basins and the disposal of related ash as a result of these regulations and agreements.

The Coal Ash Act, as amended, requires excavation of the Sutton, Riverbend and Dan River basins by August 1, 2019, and Asheville basins by August 1, 2022. Excavation at these sites may include a combination of transfer of coal ash to an engineered landfill or conversion for beneficial use. Basins at the H.F. Lee, Cape Fear and Weatherspoon sites are required to be closed through excavation no later than August 1, 2028. Excavation at these sites can include conversion of the basin to a lined industrial landfill, transfer of ash to an engineered landfill or conversion for beneficial use. The remaining basins are required to be closed no later than December 31, 2024, through conversion to a lined industrial landfill, transfer to an engineered landfill or conversion for beneficial use, unless certain dam improvement projects and alternative drinking water source projects are completed by October 15, 2018. Upon satisfactory completion of these projects, the closure deadline would be extended to December 31, 2029, and could include closure through the combination of a cap system and a groundwater monitoring system.

The Coal Ash Act also required the installation and operation of three large-scale coal ash beneficiation projects to produce reprocessed ash for use in the concrete industry. Duke Energy selected the Buck, H.F. Lee and Cape Fear

plants for these projects. Closure at these sites is required to be completed no later than December 31, 2029. The Coal Ash Act includes a variance procedure for compliance deadlines and other issues surrounding the management of CCR and CCR surface impoundments and prohibits cost recovery in customer rates for unlawful discharge of ash impoundment waters occurring after January 1, 2014. The Coal Ash Act leaves the decision on cost recovery determinations related to closure of ash impoundments to the normal ratemaking processes before utility regulatory commissions. Closure plans and all associated permits must be approved by NCDEQ before any closure work can begin.

The EPA CCR rule establishes requirements regarding landfill design, structural integrity design and assessment criteria for surface impoundments, groundwater monitoring and protection procedures and other operational and reporting procedures to ensure the safe disposal and management of CCR. The EPA CCR rule has certain requirements which if not met could initiate impoundment closure and require closure completion within five years. The EPA CCR rule includes extension requirements, which if met could allow the extension of closure completion by up to 10 years.

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

The ARO amount recorded on the Consolidated Balance Sheets is based upon estimated closure costs for impacted ash impoundments. The amount recorded represents the discounted cash flows for estimated closure costs based upon either specific closure plans or the probability weightings of the potential closure methods as evaluated on a site-by-site basis. Actual costs to be incurred will be dependent upon factors that vary from site to site. The most significant factors are the method and time frame of closure at the individual sites. Closure methods considered include removing the water from ash basins, consolidating material as necessary and capping the ash with a synthetic barrier, excavating and relocating the ash to a lined structural fill or lined landfill or recycling the ash for concrete or some other beneficial use. The ultimate method and timetable for closure will be in compliance with standards set by federal and state regulations and other agreements. The ARO amount will be adjusted as additional information is gained through the closure and post-closure process, including acceptance and approval of compliance approaches which may change management assumptions, and may result in a material change to the balance. See ARO Liability Rollforward section below for information on revisions made to the coal ash liability during 2017 and 2016.

Asset retirement costs associated with the AROs for operating plants and retired plants are included in Net property, plant and equipment and Regulatory assets, respectively, on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. See Note 4 for additional information on Regulatory assets related to AROs.

Cost recovery for future expenditures will be pursued through the normal ratemaking process with federal and state utility commissions, which permit recovery of necessary and prudently incurred costs associated with Duke Energy's regulated operations. See Note 4 for additional information on recovery of coal ash costs.

ARO Liability Rollforward

During 2017 and 2016, the Duke Energy Registrants updated coal ash ARO liability estimates based on additional site-specific information for the related costs, methods and timing of work to be performed. Actual closure costs incurred could be materially different from current estimates that form the basis of the recorded AROs.

The following tables present changes in the liability associated with AROs.

| | Duke Energy | Duke Energy Carolinas | Progress Energy | Duke Energy Progress | Duke Energy Florida | Duke Energy Ohio | Duke Energy Indiana |
|---|-------------|-----------------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|---------------------|
| (in millions) | | | | | | | |
| Balance at December 31, 2015 | \$10,249 | \$ 3,918 | \$5,369 | \$ 4,567 | \$ 802 | \$ 125 | \$ 525 |
| Acquisitions ^(a) | 22 | — | 2 | — | 2 | — | — |
| Accretion expense ^(b) | 400 | 187 | 230 | 194 | 35 | 5 | 24 |
| Liabilities settled ^(c) | (613) | (287) | (272) | (212) | (60) | (5) | (49) |
| Liabilities incurred in the current year | 51 | — | 3 | 3 | — | — | 29 |
| Revisions in estimates of cash flows | 502 | 77 | 143 | 145 | (1) | (48) | 337 |
| Balance at December 31, 2016 | 10,611 | 3,895 | 5,475 | 4,697 | 778 | 77 | 866 |
| Accretion expense ^(b) | 435 | 184 | 228 | 195 | 33 | 3 | 32 |
| Liabilities settled ^(c) | (619) | (282) | (270) | (204) | (65) | (7) | (49) |
| Liabilities incurred in the current year ^(d) | 51 | 5 | — | — | — | 7 | 29 |
| Revisions in estimates of cash flows | (303) | (192) | (19) | (15) | (4) | 4 | (97) |
| Balance at December 31, 2017 | \$10,175 | \$ 3,610 | \$5,414 | \$ 4,673 | \$ 742 | \$ 84 | \$ 781 |

(a) Duke Energy amount relates to the Piedmont acquisition. See Note 2 for additional information.

(b) Substantially all accretion expense for the years ended December 31, 2017, and 2016 relates to Duke Energy's regulated electric operations and has been deferred in accordance with regulatory accounting treatment.

(c) Amounts primarily relate to ash impoundment closures and nuclear decommissioning of Crystal River Unit 3.

(d) Amounts primarily relate to AROs recorded as a result of state agency closure requirements at Duke Energy Indiana.

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

| | |
|--|----------|
| (in millions) | Piedmont |
| Balance at October 31, 2015 | \$ 20 |
| Accretion expense | 1 |
| Liabilities settled | (7) |
| Liabilities incurred in the current year | 6 |
| Revisions in estimates of cash flows | (6) |
| Balance at October 31, 2016 | 14 |
| Liabilities settled | (1) |
| Liabilities incurred in the current year | 1 |
| Balance at December 31, 2016 | 14 |
| Accretion expense | 1 |
| Liabilities settled | (8) |
| Liabilities incurred in the current year | 8 |
| Balance at December 31, 2017 | \$ 15 |

10. PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT

The following tables summarize the property, plant and equipment for Duke Energy and its subsidiary registrants.

| (in millions) | December 31, 2017 | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------|-------------|-----------------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|---------------------|----------|
| | Useful Life (Years) | Duke Energy | Duke Energy Carolinas | Progress Energy | Duke Energy Progress | Duke Energy Florida | Duke Energy Ohio | Duke Energy Indiana | Piedmont |
| Land | | \$1,559 | \$467 | \$767 | \$424 | \$343 | \$134 | \$111 | \$41 |
| Plant – Regulated | | | | | | | | | |
| Electric generation, distribution and transmission | 8-100 | 93,687 | 35,657 | 39,419 | 24,502 | 14,917 | 4,870 | 13,741 | — |
| Natural gas transmission and distribution | 12-80 | 8,292 | — | — | — | — | 2,559 | — | 5,733 |
| Other buildings and improvements | 15-100 | 1,936 | 647 | 652 | 316 | 336 | 243 | 240 | 154 |
| Plant – Nonregulated | | | | | | | | | |
| Electric generation, distribution and transmission ^(a) | 5-30 | 4,273 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Other buildings and improvements | 25-35 | 465 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Nuclear fuel | | 3,680 | 2,120 | 1,560 | 1,560 | — | — | — | — |
| Equipment | 3-55 | 2,122 | 402 | 555 | 416 | 139 | 348 | 169 | 266 |
| Construction in process | | 6,995 | 2,614 | 3,059 | 1,434 | 1,625 | 350 | 416 | 231 |
| Other | 3-40 | 4,498 | 1,032 | 1,311 | 931 | 370 | 228 | 271 | 300 |
| Total property, plant and equipment ^{(b)(e)} | | 127,507 | 42,939 | 47,323 | 29,583 | 17,730 | 8,732 | 14,948 | 6,725 |
| Total accumulated depreciation – regulated ^{(c)(d)(e)} | | (39,742) | (15,063) | (15,857) | (10,903) | (4,947) | (2,691) | (4,662) | (1,479) |

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|---------|----------|---------|
| Total accumulated depreciation – nonregulated ^{(d)(e)} | (1,795) | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Generation facilities to be retired, net | 421 | — | 421 | 421 | — | — | — | — |
| Total net property, plant and equipment | \$86,391 | \$27,876 | \$31,887 | \$19,101 | \$12,783 | \$6,041 | \$10,286 | \$5,246 |

186

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

(a) Includes a pretax impairment charge of \$58 million on a wholly owned non-contracted wind project. See discussion below.

Includes capitalized leases of \$1,294 million, \$81 million, \$272 million, \$139 million, \$133 million, \$80 million and \$35 million at Duke Energy, Duke Energy Carolinas, Progress Energy, Duke Energy Progress, Duke Energy

(b) Florida, Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Indiana, respectively, primarily within Plant – Regulated. The Progress Energy, Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Florida amounts are net of \$114 million, \$11 million and \$103 million, respectively, of accumulated amortization of capitalized leases.

(c) Includes \$2,113 million, \$1,283 million, \$831 million and \$831 million of accumulated amortization of nuclear fuel at Duke Energy, Duke Energy Carolinas, Progress Energy and Duke Energy Progress, respectively.

(d) Includes accumulated amortization of capitalized leases of \$57 million, \$11 million, \$21 million and \$9 million at Duke Energy, Duke Energy Carolinas, Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Indiana, respectively.

(e) Includes gross property, plant and equipment cost of consolidated VIEs of \$3,941 million and accumulated depreciation of consolidated VIEs of \$598 million at Duke Energy.

| December 31, 2016 | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------|-------------|-----------------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|---------------------|----------|
| Estimated | | | | | | | | | |
| (in millions) | Useful Life (Years) | Duke Energy | Duke Energy Carolinas | Progress Energy | Duke Energy Progress | Duke Energy Florida | Duke Energy Ohio | Duke Energy Indiana | Piedmont |
| Land | | \$1,501 | \$432 | \$735 | \$393 | \$342 | \$150 | \$106 | \$39 |
| Plant – Regulated | | | | | | | | | |
| Electric generation, distribution and transmission | 8-100 | 89,864 | 34,515 | 37,596 | 23,683 | 13,913 | 4,593 | 13,160 | — |
| Natural gas transmission and distribution | 12-67 | 7,738 | — | — | — | — | 2,456 | — | 5,282 |
| Other buildings and improvements | 15-100 | 1,692 | 502 | 634 | 293 | 341 | 211 | 197 | 148 |
| Plant – Nonregulated | | | | | | | | | |
| Electric generation, distribution and transmission | 5-30 | 4,298 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Other buildings and improvements | 25-35 | 421 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Nuclear fuel | | 3,572 | 2,092 | 1,480 | 1,480 | — | — | — | — |
| Equipment | 3-38 | 1,941 | 358 | 505 | 378 | 127 | 338 | 156 | 260 |
| Construction in process | | 6,186 | 2,324 | 2,708 | 1,329 | 1,379 | 206 | 396 | 210 |
| Other | 5-40 | 4,184 | 904 | 1,206 | 863 | 332 | 172 | 226 | 235 |
| Total property, plant and equipment ^{(a)(d)} | | 121,397 | 41,127 | 44,864 | 28,419 | 16,434 | 8,126 | 14,241 | 6,174 |
| Total accumulated depreciation – regulated ^{(b)(c)(d)} | | (37,831) | (14,365) | (15,212) | (10,561) | (4,644) | (2,579) | (4,317) | (1,360) |
| Total accumulated depreciation – nonregulated ^{(c)(d)} | | (1,575) | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|---------|---------|---------|
| Generation facilities to be retired, net | 529 | — | 529 | 529 | — | — | — | — |
| Total net property, plant and equipment | \$82,520 | \$26,762 | \$30,181 | \$18,387 | \$11,790 | \$5,547 | \$9,924 | \$4,814 |

- Includes capitalized leases of \$1,355 million, \$40 million, \$288 million, \$142 million, \$146 million, \$81 million and \$35 million at Duke Energy, Duke Energy Carolinas, Progress Energy, Duke Energy Progress, Duke Energy (a) Florida, Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Indiana, respectively, primarily within Plant – Regulated. The Progress Energy, Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Florida amounts are net of \$99 million, \$9 million and \$90 million, respectively, of accumulated amortization of capitalized leases.
- (b) Includes \$1,922 million, \$1,192 million, \$730 million and \$730 million of accumulated amortization of nuclear fuel at Duke Energy, Duke Energy Carolinas, Progress Energy and Duke Energy Progress, respectively.
- (c) Includes accumulated amortization of capitalized leases of \$50 million, \$9 million, \$19 million and \$8 million at Duke Energy, Duke Energy Carolinas, Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Indiana, respectively.
- (d) Includes gross property, plant and equipment cost of consolidated VIEs of \$2,591 million and accumulated depreciation of consolidated VIEs of \$411 million at Duke Energy.

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

During the year ended December 31, 2017, Duke Energy recorded a pretax impairment charge of \$69 million on a wholly owned non-contracted wind project. The impairment was recorded within Impairment charges on Duke Energy's Consolidated Statements of Operations. \$58 million of the impairment related to property, plant and equipment and \$11 million of the impairment related to a net intangible asset; see Note 11 for additional information. The charge represents the excess carrying value over the estimated fair value of the project, which was based on a Level 3 Fair Value measurement that was determined from the income approach using discounted cash flows. The impairment was primarily due to the non-contracted wind project being located in a market that has experienced continued declining market pricing during 2017 and declining long-term forecasted energy and capacity prices, driven by low natural gas prices, additional renewable generation placed in service and lack of significant load growth. The following tables present capitalized interest, which includes the debt component of AFUDC.

| (in millions) | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------|-------|------|
| | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 |
| Duke Energy | \$128 | \$100 | \$98 |
| Duke Energy Carolinas | 45 | 38 | 38 |
| Progress Energy | 45 | 31 | 24 |
| Duke Energy Progress | 21 | 17 | 20 |
| Duke Energy Florida | 24 | 14 | 4 |
| Duke Energy Ohio | 10 | 8 | 10 |
| Duke Energy Indiana | 9 | 7 | 6 |

| (in millions) | Year | Two | Years |
|---------------|----------|----------|------------|
| | Ended | Months | Ended |
| | December | December | October |
| | 31, 2017 | 31, 2016 | 31, |
| | | | 2016 |
| | | | 2015 |
| Piedmont | \$ 12 | \$ 2 | \$12 \$ 11 |

Operating Leases

Duke Energy's Commercial Renewables segment operates various renewable energy projects and sells the generated output to utilities, electric cooperatives, municipalities and commercial and industrial customers through long-term contracts. In certain situations, these long-term contracts and the associated renewable energy projects qualify as operating leases. Rental income from these leases is accounted for as Operating Revenues in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. There are no minimum lease payments as all payments are contingent based on actual electricity generated by the renewable energy projects. Contingent lease payments were \$262 million, \$216 million, and \$172 million for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015. As of December 31, 2017, renewable energy projects owned by Duke Energy and accounted for as operating leases had a cost basis of \$3,153 million and accumulated depreciation of \$459 million. These assets are principally classified as nonregulated electric generation and transmission assets.

11. GOODWILL AND INTANGIBLE ASSETS

Goodwill

Duke Energy

The following table presents goodwill by reportable operating segment for Duke Energy included on Duke Energy's Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2017, and 2016.

| Electric Utilities | Gas Utilities | Commercial |
|-----------------------|---------------|------------|
|-----------------------|---------------|------------|

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

| (in millions) | and Infrastructure | and Infrastructure | Renewables | Total |
|---|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------|----------|
| Goodwill Balance at December 31, 2016 | \$ 17,379 | \$ 1,924 | \$ 122 | \$19,425 |
| Accumulated impairment charges ^(a) | — | — | (29 |) (29) |
| Goodwill at December 31, 2017 | \$ 17,379 | \$ 1,924 | \$ 93 | \$19,396 |

Duke Energy evaluated the recoverability of goodwill during 2017 and recorded impairment charges of \$29 million (a)related to the Energy Management Solutions reporting unit within the Commercial Renewables segment. The fair value of the reporting unit was determined based on the market approach.

Duke Energy Ohio

Duke Energy Ohio's Goodwill balance of \$920 million, allocated \$596 million to Electric Utilities and Infrastructure and \$324 million to Gas Utilities and Infrastructure, is presented net of accumulated impairment charges of \$216 million on the Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2017, and 2016.

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

Progress Energy

Progress Energy's Goodwill is included in the Electric Utilities and Infrastructure operating segment and there are no accumulated impairment charges.

Piedmont

Piedmont's Goodwill is included in the Gas Utilities and Infrastructure operating segment and there are no accumulated impairment charges. Effective with Piedmont's fiscal year being changed to December 31, as discussed in Note 1, Piedmont changed the date of its annual impairment testing of goodwill from October 31 to August 31 to align with the other Duke Energy Registrants.

Impairment Testing

Duke Energy, Progress Energy, Duke Energy Ohio and Piedmont are required to perform an annual goodwill impairment test as of the same date each year and, accordingly, perform their annual impairment testing of goodwill as of August 31. Duke Energy, Progress Energy, Duke Energy Ohio and Piedmont update their test between annual tests if events or circumstances occur that would more likely than not reduce the fair value of a reporting unit below its carrying value. Except for the Energy Management Solutions reporting unit, the fair value of all other reporting units for Duke Energy, Progress Energy, Duke Energy Ohio and Piedmont exceeded their respective carrying values at the date of the annual impairment analysis.

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

Intangible Assets

The following tables show the carrying amount and accumulated amortization of intangible assets included in Other within Other Noncurrent Assets on the Consolidated Balance Sheets of the Duke Energy Registrants at December 31, 2017 and 2016.

| (in millions) | December 31, 2017 | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|-------------|----------------|-----------------|
| | Duke | | Duke | | Duke | | Duke | |
| | Duke Energy | Carolina Energy | Progress Energy | Progress Energy | Florida Energy | Ohio Energy | Indiana Energy | Piedmont Energy |
| Emission allowances | \$19 | \$ 1 | \$ 5 | \$ 2 | \$ 3 | \$ — | \$ 13 | \$ — |
| Renewable energy certificates | 148 | 38 | 107 | 107 | — | 3 | — | — |
| Natural gas, coal and power contracts | 24 | — | — | — | — | — | 24 | — |
| Renewable operating and development projects | 79 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Other | 6 | — | — | — | — | — | — | 3 |
| Total gross carrying amounts | 276 | 39 | 112 | 109 | 3 | 3 | 37 | 3 |
| Accumulated amortization – natural gas, coal and power contracts | (19) | — | — | — | — | — | (19) | — |
| Accumulated amortization – renewable operating and development projects | (22) | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Accumulated amortization – other | (5) | — | — | — | — | — | — | (3) |
| Total accumulated amortization | (46) | — | — | — | — | — | (19) | (3) |
| Total intangible assets, net | \$230 | \$ 39 | \$ 112 | \$ 109 | \$ 3 | \$ 3 | \$ 18 | \$ — |
| (in millions) | December 31, 2016 | | | | | | | |
| | Duke | | Duke | | Duke | | Duke | |
| | Duke Energy | Carolina Energy | Progress Energy | Progress Energy | Florida Energy | Ohio Energy | Indiana Energy | Piedmont Energy |
| Emission allowances | \$19 | \$ 1 | \$ 6 | \$ 2 | \$ 4 | \$ — | \$ 13 | \$ — |
| Renewable energy certificates | 125 | 36 | 84 | 84 | — | 4 | — | — |
| Natural gas, coal and power contracts | 24 | — | — | — | — | — | 24 | — |
| Renewable operating and development projects | 97 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Other | 6 | — | — | — | — | — | — | 3 |
| Total gross carrying amounts | 271 | 37 | 90 | 86 | 4 | 4 | 37 | 3 |
| Accumulated amortization – natural gas, coal and power contracts | (17) | — | — | — | — | — | (17) | — |
| Accumulated amortization – renewable operating and development projects | (23) | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Accumulated amortization – other | (5) | — | — | — | — | — | — | (3) |
| Total accumulated amortization | (45) | — | — | — | — | — | (17) | (3) |
| Total intangible assets, net | \$226 | \$ 37 | \$ 90 | \$ 86 | \$ 4 | \$ 4 | \$ 20 | \$ — |

During the year ended December 31, 2017, Duke Energy recorded a pretax impairment charge of \$69 million on a wholly owned non-contracted wind project. The impairment was recorded within Impairment charges on Duke Energy's Consolidated Statements of Operations. \$58 million of the impairment related to property, plant and equipment and \$11 million of the impairment related to a net intangible asset that was recorded in 2007 when the project was acquired. Prior to the impairment, the gross amount of the intangible asset was \$18 million and the accumulated amortization was \$7 million. The intangible asset was fully impaired. See Note 10 for additional information.

Amortization Expense

The following table presents amortization expense for natural gas, coal and power contracts, renewable operating projects and other intangible assets.

| | December 31, | | |
|---------------------|--------------|------|------|
| (in millions) | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 |
| Duke Energy | \$7 | \$ 6 | \$ 5 |
| Duke Energy Indiana | 1 | 1 | 1 |

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

The table below shows the expected amortization expense for the next five years for intangible assets as of December 31, 2017. The expected amortization expense includes estimates of emission allowances consumption and estimates of consumption of commodities such as natural gas and coal under existing contracts, as well as estimated amortization related to renewable operating projects. The amortization amounts discussed below are estimates and actual amounts may differ from these estimates due to such factors as changes in consumption patterns, sales or impairments of emission allowances or other intangible assets, delays in the in-service dates of renewable assets, additional intangible acquisitions and other events.

| (in millions) | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 |
|-----------------------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Duke Energy | \$ 3 | \$ 2 | \$ 2 | \$ 2 | \$ 2 |
| Duke Energy Indiana 1 | — | — | — | — | — |

12. INVESTMENTS IN UNCONSOLIDATED AFFILIATES

EQUITY METHOD INVESTMENTS

Investments in domestic and international affiliates that are not controlled by Duke Energy, but over which it has significant influence, are accounted for using the equity method.

The following table presents Duke Energy's investments in unconsolidated affiliates accounted for under the equity method, as well as the respective equity in earnings, by segment.

| (in millions) | Years Ended December 31, | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------|--------------------|----------|--------------------|
| | 2017 | | 2016 | | 2015 |
| | Equity in earnings | | Equity in earnings | | Equity in earnings |
| Electric Utilities and Infrastructure | \$89 | \$ 5 | \$93 | \$ 5 | \$ (2) |
| Gas Utilities and Infrastructure | 763 | 62 | 566 | 19 | 1 |
| Commercial Renewables | 190 | (5) | 185 | (82) | (6) |
| Other | 133 | 57 | 81 | 43 | 76 |
| Total | \$1,175 | \$ 119 | \$925 | \$ (15) | \$ 69 |

During the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015, Duke Energy received distributions from equity investments of \$13 million, \$31 million and \$104 million, respectively, which are included in Other assets within Cash Flows from Operating Activities on the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows. During the year ended December 31, 2017, Duke Energy received distributions from equity investments of \$281 million, which are included within Cash Flows from Investing Activities on the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows.

During the year ended December 31, 2017, the two months ended December 31, 2016, and the years ended October 31, 2016, and 2015, Piedmont received distributions from equity investments of \$4 million, \$1 million, \$26 million and \$25 million, respectively, which are included in Other assets within Cash Flows from Operating Activities and \$2 million, \$1 million, \$18 million and \$2 million, respectively, which are included within Cash Flows from Investing Activities on the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows.

Significant investments in affiliates accounted for under the equity method are discussed below.

Electric Utilities and Infrastructure

Duke Energy owns a 50 percent interest in Duke-American Transmission Co. (DATC) and in Pioneer Transmission, LLC (Pioneer), which build, own and operate electric transmission facilities in North America.

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

Gas Utilities and Infrastructure

The table below outlines Duke Energy's ownership interests in natural gas pipeline companies and natural gas storage facilities.

| Entity Name | Ownership Interest | Investment Amount (in millions) | |
|---|--------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|
| | | December 31, 2017 | December 31, 2016 |
| Pipeline Investments | | | |
| Atlantic Coast Pipeline, LLC ^(a) | 47 % | \$ 397 | \$ 265 |
| Sabal Trail Transmission, LLC | 7.5 % | 219 | 140 |
| Constitution Pipeline, LLC ^(a) | 24 % | 81 | 82 |
| Cardinal Pipeline Company, LLC ^(b) | 21.49 % | 11 | 16 |
| Storage Facilities | | | |
| Pine Needle LNG Company, LLC ^(b) | 45 % | 13 | 16 |
| Hardy Storage Company, LLC ^(b) | 50 % | 42 | 47 |
| Total Investments ^(c) | | \$763 | \$ 566 |

(a) During the year ended December 31, 2017, Piedmont transferred its share of ownership interest in ACP and Constitution to a wholly owned subsidiary of Duke Energy at book value.

(b) Piedmont owns the Cardinal, Pine Needle and Hardy Storage investments.

(c) Duke Energy includes purchase accounting adjustments related to Piedmont.

In October 2017, Duke Energy entered into a guarantee agreement to support its share of the ACP revolving credit facility. See Note 7 for additional information. As a result of the financing, ACP returned capital of \$265 million to Duke Energy.

Piedmont sold its 15 percent membership interest in SouthStar on October 3, 2016, for \$160 million resulting in an after tax gain of \$81 million during the year ended October 31, 2016. Piedmont's Equity in Earnings in SouthStar was \$19 million for the years ended October 31, 2016, and 2015.

For regulatory matters and other information on the ACP, Sabal Trail and Constitution investments, see Notes 4 and 17.

Commercial Renewables

In 2016, Duke Energy sold its interest in three of the Catamount Sweetwater, LLC wind farm projects. Duke Energy has a 47 percent ownership interest in each of the two other Catamount Sweetwater, LLC wind farm projects and 50 percent interest in DS Cornerstone, LLC, which owns wind farm projects in the U.S.

Impairment of Equity Method Investments

Duke Energy evaluated its investment in Constitution for OTTI as of December 31, 2017. Our impairment assessment uses a discounted cash flow income approach, including consideration of the severity and duration of any decline in fair value of our investment in the project. Our key inputs involve significant management judgments and estimates, including projections of the project's cash flows, selection of a discount rate and probability weighting of potential outcomes of legal and regulatory proceedings. Based upon these estimates using information known as of December 31, 2017, the fair value of Duke Energy's investment in Constitution approximated its carrying value. As a result, Duke Energy did not recognize any impairment charge in the year ended December 31, 2017. However, due to the FERC's January 2018 ruling and the resulting increase in uncertainty, Duke Energy is evaluating the potential to recognize a pretax impairment charge on its investment in Constitution during the first quarter of 2018 of up to the current carrying amount of the investment, net of salvage value and any cash and working capital returned. For

additional information on the Constitution investment, see Note 4.

During the year ended December 31, 2016, Duke Energy recorded an OTTI of certain wind project investments. The \$71 million pretax impairment was recorded within Equity in earnings (losses) of unconsolidated affiliates on Duke Energy's Consolidated Statements of Operations. The other-than-temporary decline in value of these investments was primarily attributable to a sustained decline in market pricing where the wind investments are located, projected net losses for the projects and a reduction in the projected cash distribution to the class of investment owned by Duke Energy.

Other

Duke Energy owns a 17.5 percent indirect interest in NMC, which owns and operates a methanol and MTBE business in Jubail, Saudi Arabia. Duke Energy's economic ownership interest decreased from 25 percent to 17.5 percent with the successful startup of NMC's polyacetal production facility in 2017. Duke Energy retains 25 percent of the board representation and voting rights of NMC. The investment in NMC is accounted for under the equity method of accounting.

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

13. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

The Subsidiary Registrants engage in related party transactions in accordance with the applicable state and federal commission regulations. Refer to the Consolidated Balance Sheets of the Subsidiary Registrants for balances due to or due from related parties. Material amounts related to transactions with related parties included in the Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income are presented in the following table.

| (in millions) | Years Ended | | |
|---|--------------|-------|-------|
| | December 31, | | |
| | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 |
| Duke Energy Carolinas | | | |
| Corporate governance and shared service expenses ^(a) | \$858 | \$831 | \$914 |
| Indemnification coverages ^(b) | 23 | 22 | 24 |
| JDA revenue ^(c) | 49 | 38 | 51 |
| JDA expense ^(c) | 145 | 156 | 183 |
| Intercompany natural gas purchases ^(d) | 9 | 2 | — |
| Progress Energy | | | |
| Corporate governance and shared service expenses ^(a) | \$736 | \$710 | \$712 |
| Indemnification coverages ^(b) | 38 | 35 | 38 |
| JDA revenue ^(c) | 145 | 156 | 183 |
| JDA expense ^(c) | 49 | 38 | 51 |
| Intercompany natural gas purchases ^(d) | 77 | 19 | — |
| Duke Energy Progress | | | |
| Corporate governance and shared service expenses ^(a) | \$438 | \$397 | \$403 |
| Indemnification coverages ^(b) | 15 | 14 | 16 |
| JDA revenue ^(c) | 145 | 156 | 183 |
| JDA expense ^(c) | 49 | 38 | 51 |
| Intercompany natural gas purchases ^(d) | 77 | 19 | — |
| Duke Energy Florida | | | |
| Corporate governance and shared service expenses ^(a) | \$298 | \$313 | \$309 |
| Indemnification coverages ^(b) | 23 | 21 | 22 |
| Duke Energy Ohio | | | |
| Corporate governance and shared service expenses ^(a) | \$363 | \$356 | \$342 |
| Indemnification coverages ^(b) | 5 | 5 | 6 |
| Duke Energy Indiana | | | |
| Corporate governance and shared service expenses ^(a) | \$370 | \$366 | \$349 |
| Indemnification coverages ^(b) | 8 | 8 | 9 |
| Piedmont | | | |
| Corporate governance and shared service expenses ^(a) | \$50 | | |
| Indemnification coverages ^(b) | 2 | | |
| Intercompany natural gas sales ^(d) | 86 | | |

The Subsidiary Registrants are charged their proportionate share of corporate governance and other shared services costs, primarily related to human resources, employee benefits, information technology, legal and accounting fees, as well as other third-party costs. These amounts are primarily recorded in Operation, maintenance and other on the Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income.

The Subsidiary Registrants incur expenses related to certain indemnification coverages through Bison, Duke Energy's wholly owned captive insurance subsidiary. These expenses are recorded in Operation, maintenance and other on the Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income.

Duke Energy Carolinas and Duke Energy Progress participate in a JDA, which allows the collective dispatch of power plants between the service territories to reduce customer rates. Revenues from the sale of power and expenses from the purchase of power pursuant to the JDA are recorded in Operating Revenues and Fuel used in electric generation and purchased power, respectively, on the Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income.

Piedmont provides long-term natural gas delivery service to certain Duke Energy Carolinas and Duke Energy Progress natural gas-fired generation facilities. Piedmont records the sales in Regulated natural gas revenues, and Duke Energy Carolinas and Duke Energy Progress record the related purchases in Fuel used in electric generation and purchased power on their respective Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income. The amounts are not eliminated in accordance with rate-based accounting regulations. For the two months ended December 31, 2016, and for sales made subsequent to the acquisition for the year ended October 31, 2016, Piedmont recorded \$14 million and \$7 million, respectively, of natural gas sales with Duke Energy. For sales made prior to the acquisition for the year ended October 31, 2016, and for the year ended October 31, 2015, Piedmont recorded \$74 million and \$83 million, respectively of natural gas sales with Duke Energy.

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

In addition to the amounts presented above, the Subsidiary Registrants have other affiliate transactions, including rental of office space, participation in a money pool arrangement, other operational transactions and their proportionate share of certain charged expenses. See Note 6 for more information regarding money pool. These transactions of the Subsidiary Registrants were not material for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015. As discussed in Note 17, certain trade receivables have been sold by Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Indiana to CRC, an affiliate formed by a subsidiary of Duke Energy. The proceeds obtained from the sales of receivables are largely cash but do include a subordinated note from CRC for a portion of the purchase price.

Refer to Note 2 for further information on the sale of the Midwest Generation Disposal Group.

Equity Method Investments

Piedmont has related party transactions as a customer of its equity method investments in natural gas storage and transportation facilities. The following table presents expenses that are included in Cost of natural gas on Piedmont's Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income.

| | Year Ended December 31, | Two Months Ended December 31, | Years Ended October 31, | |
|---|----------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|-------|
| (in millions) Type of expense | 2017 | 2016 | 2016 | 2015 |
| Cardinal Transportation Costs | \$ 8 | \$ 2 | \$ 9 | \$ 9 |
| Pine Needle Natural Gas Storage Costs | 8 | 2 | 11 | 11 |
| Hardy Storage Natural Gas Storage Costs | 9 | 2 | 9 | 9 |
| Total | \$ 25 | \$ 6 | \$ 29 | \$ 29 |

Piedmont had accounts payable to its equity method investments of \$2 million at December 31, 2017, and 2016 related to these transactions. These amounts are included in Accounts payable on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Intercompany Income Taxes

Duke Energy and the Subsidiary Registrants file a consolidated federal income tax return and other state and jurisdictional returns. The Subsidiary Registrants have a tax sharing agreement with Duke Energy for the allocation of consolidated tax liabilities and benefits. Income taxes recorded represent amounts the Subsidiary Registrants would incur as separate C-Corporations. The following table includes the balance of intercompany income tax receivables and payables for the Subsidiary Registrants.

| (in millions) | Duke Energy Carolinas | Duke Progress Energy | Duke Energy Progress | Duke Energy Florida | Duke Energy Ohio | Duke Energy Indiana | Duke Piedmont |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|
| December 31, 2017 | | | | | | | |
| Intercompany income tax receivable | \$ — | \$ 168 | \$ — | -\$ 44 | \$ 22 | \$ — | -\$ 7 |
| Intercompany income tax payable | 44 | — | 21 | — | — | 35 | — |
| December 31, 2016 | | | | | | | |
| Intercompany income tax receivable | \$ 1 | \$ — | \$ — | -\$ 37 | \$ — | \$ — | -\$ — |
| Intercompany income tax payable | — | 37 | 90 | — | 1 | 3 | 38 |

14. DERIVATIVES AND HEDGING

The Duke Energy Registrants use commodity and interest rate contracts to manage commodity price risk and interest rate risk. The primary use of commodity derivatives is to hedge the generation portfolio against changes in the prices of electricity and natural gas. Piedmont enters into natural gas supply contracts to provide diversification, reliability and natural gas cost benefits to its customers. Interest rate swaps are used to manage interest rate risk associated with

borrowings.

All derivative instruments not identified as NPNS are recorded at fair value as assets or liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Cash collateral related to derivative instruments executed under master netting arrangements is offset against the collateralized derivatives on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. The cash impacts of settled derivatives are recorded as operating activities on the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows.

INTEREST RATE RISK

The Duke Energy Registrants are exposed to changes in interest rates as a result of their issuance or anticipated issuance of variable-rate and fixed-rate debt and commercial paper. Interest rate risk is managed by limiting variable-rate exposures to a percentage of total debt and by monitoring changes in interest rates. To manage risk associated with changes in interest rates, the Duke Energy Registrants may enter into interest rate swaps, U.S. Treasury lock agreements and other financial contracts. In anticipation of certain fixed-rate debt issuances, a series of forward-starting interest rate swaps may be executed to lock in components of current market interest rates. These instruments are later terminated prior to or upon the issuance of the corresponding debt.

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

Cash Flow Hedges

For a derivative designated as hedging the exposure to variable cash flows of a future transaction, referred to as a cash flow hedge, the effective portion of the derivative's gain or loss is initially reported as a component of other comprehensive income and subsequently reclassified into earnings once the future transaction impacts earnings. Amounts for interest rate contracts are reclassified to earnings as interest expense over the term of the related debt. See the Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity for gains and losses reclassified out of AOCI for the years ended December 31, 2017, and 2016. Duke Energy's interest rate derivatives designated as hedges include interest rate swaps used to hedge existing debt within the Commercial Renewables business.

Undesignated Contracts

Undesignated contracts include contracts not designated as a hedge because they are accounted for under regulatory accounting and contracts that do not qualify for hedge accounting.

Duke Energy's interest rate swaps for its regulated operations employ regulatory accounting. With regulatory accounting, the mark-to-market gains or losses on the swaps are deferred as regulatory liabilities or regulatory assets, respectively. Regulatory assets and liabilities are amortized consistent with the treatment of the related costs in the ratemaking process. The accrual of interest on the swaps is recorded as Interest Expense.

In August 2016, Duke Energy unwound \$1.4 billion of forward-starting interest rate swaps associated with the Piedmont acquisition financing described in Note 6. The swaps were considered undesignated as they did not qualify for hedge accounting. Losses on the swaps of \$190 million are included within Interest Expense on the Consolidated Statements of Operations for the year ended December 31, 2016. See Note 2 for additional information related to the Piedmont acquisition.

The following tables show notional amounts of outstanding derivatives related to interest rate risk.

| December 31, 2017 | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| | Duke Energy | Duke Energy Carolinas | Progress Energy | Duke Energy Progress | Duke Energy Florida | Duke Energy Ohio |
| (in millions) | Duke Energy | Energy Carolinas | Progress Energy | Duke Energy Progress | Duke Energy Florida | Duke Energy Ohio |
| Cash flow hedges ^(a) | \$660 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — |
| Undesignated contracts | 927 | 400 | 500 | 250 | 250 | 27 |
| Total notional amount | \$1,587 | \$ 400 | \$ 500 | \$ 250 | \$ 250 | \$ 27 |
| December 31, 2016 | | | | | | |
| | Duke Energy | Duke Energy Carolinas | Progress Energy | Duke Energy Progress | Duke Energy Florida | Duke Energy Ohio |
| (in millions) | Duke Energy | Energy Carolinas | Progress Energy | Duke Energy Progress | Duke Energy Florida | Duke Energy Ohio |
| Cash flow hedges ^(a) | \$750 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — |
| Undesignated contracts | 927 | 400 | 500 | 250 | 250 | 27 |
| Total notional amount | \$1,677 | \$ 400 | \$ 500 | \$ 250 | \$ 250 | \$ 27 |

Duke Energy includes amounts related to consolidated VIEs of \$660 million and \$750 million at December 31, 2017, and 2016, respectively. During 2016, Duke Energy entered into interest rate swaps related to solar financing with an outstanding notional amount of \$300 million, including \$81 million of four-year swaps and \$219 million of 18-year swaps, at December 31, 2016. See note 6 for additional information related to the solar facilities financing.

COMMODITY PRICE RISK

The Duke Energy Registrants are exposed to the impact of changes in the prices of electricity purchased and sold in bulk power markets and coal and natural gas purchases, including Piedmont's natural gas supply contracts. Exposure to commodity price risk is influenced by a number of factors including the term of contracts, the liquidity of markets and delivery locations. For the Subsidiary Registrants, bulk power electricity and coal and natural gas purchases flow through fuel adjustment clauses, formula based contracts or other cost sharing mechanisms. Differences between the

costs included in rates and the incurred costs, including undesignated derivative contracts, are largely deferred as regulatory assets or regulatory liabilities. Piedmont policies allow for the use of financial instruments to hedge commodity price risks. The strategy and objective of these hedging programs are to use the financial instruments to reduce gas cost volatility for customers.

195

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. –
DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE
ENERGY INDIANA, LLC– PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

Volumes

The tables below include volumes of outstanding commodity derivatives. Amounts disclosed represent the absolute value of notional volumes of commodity contracts excluding NPNS. The Duke Energy Registrants have netted contractual amounts where offsetting purchase and sale contracts exist with identical delivery locations and times of delivery. Where all commodity positions are perfectly offset, no quantities are shown.

December 31, 2017

| | Duke Energy | Duke Energy Carolinas | Progress Energy | Duke Energy Progress | Duke Energy Florida | Duke Energy Indiana | Duke Energy Piedmont |
|--------------------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|
| Electricity (gigawatt-hours) | 34 | — | — | — | — | 34 | — |
| Natural gas (millions of dekatherms) | 770 | 105 | 183 | 133 | 50 | 2 | 480 |

December 31, 2016

| | Duke Energy | Duke Energy Carolinas | Progress Energy | Duke Energy Progress | Duke Energy Florida | Duke Energy Indiana | Duke Energy Piedmont |
|--------------------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|
| Electricity (gigawatt-hours) | 147 | — | — | — | — | 147 | — |
| Natural gas (millions of dekatherms) | 890 | 91 | 269 | 118 | 151 | 1 | 529 |

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

LOCATION AND FAIR VALUE OF DERIVATIVE ASSETS AND LIABILITIES RECOGNIZED IN THE CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

The following tables show the fair value and balance sheet location of derivative instruments. Although derivatives subject to master netting arrangements are netted on the Consolidated Balance Sheets, the fair values presented below are shown gross and cash collateral on the derivatives has not been netted against the fair values shown.

| Derivative Assets | December 31, 2017 | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| (in millions) | Duke Energy | Duke Energy Carolinas | Progress Energy | Duke Energy Progress | Duke Energy Florida | Duke Energy Ohio | Duke Energy Indiana | Duke Energy Piedmont |
| Commodity Contracts | | | | | | | | |
| Not Designated as Hedging Instruments | | | | | | | | |
| Current | \$34 | \$ 2 | \$ 2 | \$ 1 | \$ 1 | \$ 1 | \$ 27 | \$ 2 |
| Noncurrent | 1 | — | 1 | 1 | — | — | — | — |
| Total Derivative Assets – Commodity Contracts | \$35 | \$ 2 | \$ 3 | \$ 2 | \$ 1 | \$ 1 | \$ 27 | \$ 2 |
| Interest Rate Contracts | | | | | | | | |
| Designated as Hedging Instruments | | | | | | | | |
| Current | \$1 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — |
| Noncurrent | 15 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Total Derivative Assets – Interest Rate Contracts | \$16 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — |
| Total Derivative Assets | \$51 | \$ 2 | \$ 3 | \$ 2 | \$ 1 | \$ 1 | \$ 27 | \$ 2 |
| Derivative Liabilities | December 31, 2017 | | | | | | | |
| (in millions) | Duke Energy | Duke Energy Carolinas | Progress Energy | Duke Energy Progress | Duke Energy Florida | Duke Energy Ohio | Duke Energy Indiana | Duke Energy Piedmont |
| Commodity Contracts | | | | | | | | |
| Not Designated as Hedging Instruments | | | | | | | | |
| Current | \$36 | \$ 6 | \$ 18 | \$ 8 | \$ 10 | \$ — | \$ — | —\$ 11 |
| Noncurrent | 146 | 4 | 10 | 4 | — | — | — | 131 |
| Total Derivative Liabilities – Commodity Contracts | \$182 | \$ 10 | \$ 28 | \$ 12 | \$ 10 | \$ — | \$ — | —\$ 142 |
| Interest Rate Contracts | | | | | | | | |
| Designated as Hedging Instruments | | | | | | | | |
| Current | \$29 | \$ 25 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | —\$ — |
| Noncurrent | 6 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Not Designated as Hedging Instruments | | | | | | | | |
| Current | 1 | — | 1 | — | — | 1 | — | — |
| Noncurrent | 12 | — | 7 | 6 | 2 | 4 | — | — |
| Total Derivative Liabilities – Interest Rate Contracts | \$48 | \$ 25 | \$ 8 | \$ 6 | \$ 2 | \$ 5 | \$ — | —\$ — |
| Total Derivative Liabilities | \$230 | \$ 35 | \$ 36 | \$ 18 | \$ 12 | \$ 5 | \$ — | —\$ 142 |

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. –
DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE
ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

| Derivative Assets | December 31, 2016 | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------|-------|--------------------|-------|---------------------------------------|------|-----------------------------------|--------|----------------------------|
| | Duke Duke Energy Energy | | Progress Energy | | Duke Energy Progress Florida | | Duke Energy Ohio Indiana | | Duke Energy Piedmont |
| (in millions) | | | | | | | | | |
| Commodity Contracts | | | | | | | | | |
| Not Designated as Hedging Instruments | | | | | | | | | |
| Current | \$108 | \$ 23 | \$ 61 | \$ 35 | \$ 26 | \$ 4 | \$ 16 | \$ 3 | |
| Noncurrent | 32 | 10 | 21 | 10 | 11 | 1 | — | — | |
| Total Derivative Assets – Commodity Contracts | \$140 | \$ 33 | \$ 82 | \$ 45 | \$ 37 | \$ 5 | \$ 16 | \$ 3 | |
| Interest Rate Contracts | | | | | | | | | |
| Designated as Hedging Instruments | | | | | | | | | |
| Noncurrent | \$19 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | |
| Not Designated as Hedging Instruments | | | | | | | | | |
| Current | 3 | — | 3 | 1 | 2 | — | — | — | |
| Total Derivative Assets – Interest Rate Contracts | \$22 | \$ — | \$ 3 | \$ 1 | \$ 2 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | |
| Total Derivative Assets | \$162 | \$ 33 | \$ 85 | \$ 46 | \$ 39 | \$ 5 | \$ 16 | \$ 3 | |
| Derivative Liabilities | | | | | | | | | |
| | December 31, 2016 | | | | | | | | |
| | Duke Duke Energy Energy | | Progress Energy | | Duke Energy Progress Florida | | Duke Energy Ohio Indiana | | Duke Energy Piedmont |
| (in millions) | | | | | | | | | |
| Commodity Contracts | | | | | | | | | |
| Not Designated as Hedging Instruments | | | | | | | | | |
| Current | \$43 | \$ — | \$ 12 | \$ — | \$ 12 | \$ — | \$ 2 | \$ 35 | |
| Noncurrent | 166 | 1 | 7 | 1 | — | — | — | 152 | |
| Total Derivative Liabilities – Commodity Contracts | \$209 | \$ 1 | \$ 19 | \$ 1 | \$ 12 | \$ — | \$ 2 | \$ 187 | |
| Interest Rate Contracts | | | | | | | | | |
| Designated as Hedging Instruments | | | | | | | | | |
| Current | \$8 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | |
| Noncurrent | 8 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | |
| Not Designated as Hedging Instruments | | | | | | | | | |
| Current | 1 | — | — | — | — | 1 | — | — | |
| Noncurrent | 26 | 15 | 6 | 6 | — | 5 | — | — | |
| Total Derivative Liabilities – Interest Rate Contracts | \$43 | \$ 15 | \$ 6 | \$ 6 | \$ — | \$ 6 | \$ — | \$ — | |
| Total Derivative Liabilities | \$252 | \$ 16 | \$ 25 | \$ 7 | \$ 12 | \$ 6 | \$ 2 | \$ 187 | |

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. –
DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE
ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

OFFSETTING ASSETS AND LIABILITIES

The following tables present the line items on the Consolidated Balance Sheets where derivatives are reported. Substantially all of Duke Energy's outstanding derivative contracts are subject to enforceable master netting arrangements. The Gross amounts offset in the tables below show the effect of these netting arrangements on financial position and include collateral posted to offset the net position. The amounts shown are calculated by counterparty. Accounts receivable or accounts payable may also be available to offset exposures in the event of bankruptcy. These amounts are not included in the tables below.

| Derivative Assets | December 31, 2017 | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| | Duke Energy | Duke Energy Carolinas | Progress Energy | Duke Energy Progress | Duke Energy Florida | Duke Energy Ohio | Duke Energy Indiana | Duke Energy Piedmont |
| (in millions) | | | | | | | | |
| Current | | | | | | | | |
| Gross amounts recognized | \$35 | \$ 2 | \$ 2 | \$ 1 | \$ 1 | \$ 1 | \$ 27 | \$ 2 |
| Gross amounts offset | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Net amounts presented in Current Assets: Other | \$35 | \$ 2 | \$ 2 | \$ 1 | \$ 1 | \$ 1 | \$ 27 | \$ 2 |
| Noncurrent | | | | | | | | |
| Gross amounts recognized | \$16 | \$ — | \$ 1 | \$ 1 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — |
| Gross amounts offset | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Net amounts presented in Other Noncurrent Assets: Other | \$16 | \$ — | \$ 1 | \$ 1 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — |
| Derivative Liabilities | December 31, 2017 | | | | | | | |
| | Duke Energy | Duke Energy Carolinas | Progress Energy | Duke Energy Progress | Duke Energy Florida | Duke Energy Ohio | Duke Energy Indiana | Duke Energy Piedmont |
| (in millions) | | | | | | | | |
| Current | | | | | | | | |
| Gross amounts recognized | \$66 | \$ 31 | \$ 19 | \$ 8 | \$ 10 | \$ 1 | \$ — | \$ 11 |
| Gross amounts offset | (3) | (2) | (2) | (2) | — | — | — | — |
| Net amounts presented in Current Liabilities: Other | \$63 | \$ 29 | \$ 17 | \$ 6 | \$ 10 | \$ 1 | \$ — | \$ 11 |
| Noncurrent | | | | | | | | |
| Gross amounts recognized | \$164 | \$ 4 | \$ 17 | \$ 10 | \$ 2 | \$ 4 | \$ — | \$ 131 |
| Gross amounts offset | (1) | — | (1) | (1) | — | — | — | — |
| Net amounts presented in Other Noncurrent Liabilities: Other | \$163 | \$ 4 | \$ 16 | \$ 9 | \$ 2 | \$ 4 | \$ — | \$ 131 |

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

| Derivative Assets | December 31, 2016 | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------------|------|------------------------------|----------|
| | Duke Energy | | Progress Energy | | Duke Energy Florida | | Duke Energy Indiana Piedmont | |
| (in millions) | Duke Energy | Carolinas | Progress Energy | Progress Energy | Florida | Ohio | Indiana | Piedmont |
| Current | | | | | | | | |
| Gross amounts recognized | \$111 | \$ 23 | \$ 64 | \$ 36 | \$ 28 | \$ 4 | \$ 16 | \$ 3 |
| Gross amounts offset | (11) | — | (11) | — | (11) | — | — | — |
| Net amounts presented in Current Assets: Other | \$100 | \$ 23 | \$ 53 | \$ 36 | \$ 17 | \$ 4 | \$ 16 | \$ 3 |
| Noncurrent | | | | | | | | |
| Gross amounts recognized | \$51 | \$ 10 | \$ 21 | \$ 10 | \$ 11 | \$ 1 | \$ — | \$ — |
| Gross amounts offset | (2) | (1) | (1) | (1) | — | — | — | — |
| Net amounts presented in Other Noncurrent Assets: Other | \$49 | \$ 9 | \$ 20 | \$ 9 | \$ 11 | \$ 1 | \$ — | \$ — |

| Derivative Liabilities | December 31, 2016 | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------------|------|------------------------------|----------|
| | Duke Energy | | Progress Energy | | Duke Energy Florida | | Duke Energy Indiana Piedmont | |
| (in millions) | Duke Energy | Carolinas | Progress Energy | Progress Energy | Florida | Ohio | Indiana | Piedmont |
| Current | | | | | | | | |
| Gross amounts recognized | \$52 | \$ — | \$ 12 | \$ — | \$ 12 | \$ 1 | \$ 2 | \$ 35 |
| Gross amounts offset | (11) | — | (11) | — | (11) | — | — | — |
| Net amounts presented in Current Liabilities: Other | \$41 | \$ — | \$ 1 | \$ — | \$ 1 | \$ 1 | \$ 2 | \$ 35 |
| Noncurrent | | | | | | | | |
| Gross amounts recognized | \$200 | \$ 16 | \$ 13 | \$ 7 | \$ — | \$ 5 | \$ — | \$ 152 |
| Gross amounts offset | (2) | (1) | (1) | (1) | — | — | — | — |
| Net amounts presented in Other Noncurrent Liabilities: Other | \$198 | \$ 15 | \$ 12 | \$ 6 | \$ — | \$ 5 | \$ — | \$ 152 |

OBJECTIVE CREDIT CONTINGENT FEATURES

Certain derivative contracts contain objective credit contingent features. These features include the requirement to post cash collateral or letters of credit if specific events occur, such as a credit rating downgrade below investment grade. The following tables show information with respect to derivative contracts that are in a net liability position and contain objective credit-risk-related payment provisions.

| | December 31, 2017 | | | | |
|---|-------------------|-----------|-----------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| | Duke Energy | Carolinas | Progress Energy | Duke Energy Florida | Duke Energy Indiana |
| (in millions) | | | | | |
| Aggregate fair value of derivatives in a net liability position | \$59 | \$ 35 | \$ 25 | \$ 15 | \$ 10 |
| Fair value of collateral already posted | — | — | — | — | — |
| Additional cash collateral or letters of credit in the event credit-risk-related contingent features were triggered | 59 | 35 | 25 | 15 | 10 |
| | December 31, 2016 | | | | |
| | Duke Energy | Carolinas | Progress Energy | Duke Energy Florida | Duke Energy Indiana |
| (in millions) | | | | | |
| Aggregate fair value of derivatives in a net liability position | \$34 | \$ 16 | \$ 18 | \$ 6 | \$ 12 |
| Fair value of collateral already posted | — | — | — | — | — |

Additional cash collateral or letters of credit in the event credit-risk-related contingent features were triggered 34 16 18 6 12

The Duke Energy Registrants have elected to offset cash collateral and fair values of derivatives. For amounts to be netted, the derivative and cash collateral must be executed with the same counterparty under the same master netting arrangement.

15. INVESTMENTS IN DEBT AND EQUITY SECURITIES

The Duke Energy Registrants classify their investments in debt and equity securities as either trading or available-for-sale.

200

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

TRADING SECURITIES

Piedmont's investments in debt and equity securities held in rabbi trusts associated with certain deferred compensation plans are classified as trading securities. The fair value of these investments was \$1 million and \$5 million as of December 31, 2017, and 2016, respectively.

AVAILABLE-FOR-SALE (AFS) SECURITIES

All other investments in debt and equity securities are classified as AFS.

Duke Energy's AFS securities are primarily comprised of investments held in (i) the nuclear decommissioning trust funds (NDTF) at Duke Energy Carolinas, Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Florida, (ii) grantor trusts at Duke Energy Progress, Duke Energy Florida and Duke Energy Indiana related to OPEB plans and (iii) Bison.

Duke Energy classifies all other investments in debt and equity securities as long term, unless otherwise noted.

Investment Trusts

The investments within the NDTF investments and the Duke Energy Progress, Duke Energy Florida and Duke Energy Indiana grantor trusts (Investment Trusts) are managed by independent investment managers with discretion to buy, sell and invest pursuant to the objectives set forth by the trust agreements. The Duke Energy Registrants have limited oversight of the day-to-day management of these investments. As a result, the ability to hold investments in unrealized loss positions is outside the control of the Duke Energy Registrants. Accordingly, all unrealized losses associated with debt and equity securities within the Investment Trusts are considered OTTI's and are recognized immediately.

Investments within the Investment Trusts generally qualify for regulatory accounting and accordingly realized and unrealized gains and losses are generally deferred as a regulatory asset or liability.

Substantially all amounts of the Duke Energy Registrants' gross unrealized holding losses as of December 31, 2017, and 2016, are considered OTTI's on investments within Investment Trusts that have been recognized immediately as a regulatory asset.

Other AFS Securities

Unrealized gains and losses on all other AFS securities are included in other comprehensive income until realized, unless it is determined the carrying value of an investment is other-than-temporarily impaired. If an OTTI exists, the unrealized loss is included in earnings based on the criteria discussed below.

The Duke Energy Registrants analyze all investment holdings each reporting period to determine whether a decline in fair value should be considered other-than-temporary. Criteria used to evaluate whether an impairment associated with equity securities is other-than-temporary includes, but is not limited to, (i) the length of time over which the market value has been lower than the cost basis of the investment, (ii) the percentage decline compared to the cost of the investment and (iii) management's intent and ability to retain its investment for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in market value. If a decline in fair value is determined to be other-than-temporary, the investment is written down to its fair value through a charge to earnings.

If the entity does not have an intent to sell a debt security and it is not more likely than not management will be required to sell the debt security before the recovery of its cost basis, the impairment write-down to fair value would be recorded as a component of other comprehensive income, except for when it is determined a credit loss exists. In determining whether a credit loss exists, management considers, among other things, (i) the length of time and the extent to which the fair value has been less than the amortized cost basis, (ii) changes in the financial condition of the issuer of the security, or in the case of an asset backed security, the financial condition of the underlying loan obligors, (iii) consideration of underlying collateral and guarantees of amounts by government entities, (iv) ability of the issuer of the security to make scheduled interest or principal payments and (v) any changes to the rating of the security by rating agencies. If a credit loss exists, the amount of impairment write-down to fair value is split between credit loss and other factors. The amount related to credit loss is recognized in earnings. The amount related to other factors is recognized in other comprehensive income. There were no material credit losses as of December 31, 2017, and 2016.

Other Investments amounts are recorded in Other within Other Noncurrent Assets on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

201

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. –
DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE
ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

DUKE ENERGY

The following table presents the estimated fair value of investments in AFS securities.

| (in millions) | December 31, 2017 | | | December 31, 2016 | | |
|--------------------------------|---|--|----------------------------|---|---|----------------------------|
| | Gross Unrealized Holding Gains | Gross Unrealized Holding Losses | Estimated Fair Value | Gross Unrealized Holding Gains | Gross Unrealized Holding Losses ^(a) | Estimated Fair Value |
| NDTF | | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$— | \$ — | \$ 115 | \$— | \$ — | \$ 111 |
| Equity securities | 2,805 | 27 | 4,914 | 2,092 | 54 | 4,106 |
| Corporate debt securities | 17 | 2 | 570 | 10 | 8 | 528 |
| Municipal bonds | 4 | 3 | 344 | 3 | 10 | 331 |
| U.S. government bonds | 11 | 7 | 1,027 | 10 | 8 | 984 |
| Other debt securities | — | 1 | 118 | — | 3 | 124 |
| Total NDTF | \$2,837 | \$ 40 | \$ 7,088 | \$2,115 | \$ 83 | \$ 6,184 |
| Other Investments | | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$— | \$ — | \$ 15 | \$— | \$ — | \$ 25 |
| Equity securities | 59 | — | 123 | 38 | — | 104 |
| Corporate debt securities | 1 | — | 57 | 1 | 1 | 66 |
| Municipal bonds | 2 | 1 | 83 | 2 | 1 | 82 |
| U.S. government bonds | — | — | 41 | — | 1 | 51 |
| Other debt securities | — | 1 | 44 | — | 2 | 42 |
| Total Other Investments | \$62 | \$ 2 | \$ 363 | \$41 | \$ 5 | \$ 370 |
| Total Investments | \$2,899 | \$ 42 | \$ 7,451 | \$2,156 | \$ 88 | \$ 6,554 |

The table below summarizes the maturity date for debt securities.

| (in millions) | December 31, 2017 |
|----------------------------------|----------------------|
| Due in one year or less | \$ 117 |
| Due after one through five years | 552 |
| Due after five through 10 years | 554 |
| Due after 10 years | 1,061 |
| Total | \$ 2,284 |

Realized gains and losses, which were determined on a specific identification basis, from sales of AFS securities were as follows.

| (in millions) | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|-----------------|-----------------------------|-------|-------|
| | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 |
| Realized gains | \$202 | \$246 | \$193 |
| Realized losses | 160 | 187 | 98 |

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. –
DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE
ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS

The following table presents the estimated fair value of investments in AFS securities.

| (in millions) | December 31, 2017 | | | December 31, 2016 | | |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|
| | Gross Unrealized Holding | Gross Unrealized Holding | Estimated Fair Value | Gross Unrealized Holding | Gross Unrealized Holding | Estimated Fair Value |
| | Gains | Losses | | Gains | Losses ^(a) | |
| NDTF | | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$— | \$ — | \$ 32 | \$— | \$ — | \$ 18 |
| Equity securities | 1,531 | 12 | 2,692 | 1,157 | 28 | 2,245 |
| Corporate debt securities | 9 | 2 | 359 | 5 | 6 | 354 |
| Municipal bonds | — | 1 | 60 | 1 | 2 | 67 |
| U.S. government bonds | 3 | 4 | 503 | 2 | 5 | 458 |
| Other debt securities | — | 1 | 112 | — | 3 | 116 |
| Total NDTF | \$1,543 | \$ 20 | \$ 3,758 | \$1,165 | \$ 44 | \$ 3,258 |
| Other Investments | | | | | | |
| Other debt securities | \$— | \$ — | \$ — | \$— | \$ 1 | \$ 3 |
| Total Other Investments | \$— | \$ — | \$ — | \$— | \$ 1 | \$ 3 |
| Total Investments | \$1,543 | \$ 20 | \$ 3,758 | \$1,165 | \$ 45 | \$ 3,261 |

The table below summarizes the maturity date for debt securities.

| (in millions) | December 31, 2017 |
|----------------------------------|----------------------|
| Due in one year or less | \$ 9 |
| Due after one through five years | 204 |
| Due after five through 10 years | 300 |
| Due after 10 years | 521 |
| Total | \$ 1,034 |

Realized gains and losses, which were determined on a specific identification basis, from sales of AFS securities were as follows.

| (in millions) | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|-----------------|-----------------------------|-------|-------|
| | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 |
| Realized gains | \$135 | \$157 | \$158 |
| Realized losses | 103 | 121 | 83 |

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. –
DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE
ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

PROGRESS ENERGY

The following table presents the estimated fair value of investments in AFS securities.

| (in millions) | December 31, 2017 | | | December 31, 2016 | | |
|--------------------------------|---|--|----------------------------|---|---|----------------------------|
| | Gross Unrealized Holding Gains | Gross Unrealized Holding Losses | Estimated Fair Value | Gross Unrealized Holding Gains | Gross Unrealized Holding Losses ^(a) | Estimated Fair Value |
| NDTF | | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$— | \$ — | \$ 83 | \$— | \$ — | \$ 93 |
| Equity securities | 1,274 | 15 | 2,222 | 935 | 26 | 1,861 |
| Corporate debt securities | 8 | — | 211 | 5 | 2 | 174 |
| Municipal bonds | 4 | 2 | 284 | 2 | 8 | 264 |
| U.S. government bonds | 8 | 3 | 524 | 8 | 3 | 526 |
| Other debt securities | — | — | 6 | — | — | 8 |
| Total NDTF | \$1,294 | \$ 20 | \$ 3,330 | \$950 | \$ 39 | \$ 2,926 |
| Other Investments | | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$— | \$ — | \$ 12 | \$— | \$ — | \$ 21 |
| Municipal bonds | 2 | — | 47 | 2 | — | 44 |
| Total Other Investments | \$2 | \$ — | \$ 59 | \$2 | \$ — | \$ 65 |
| Total Investments | \$1,296 | \$ 20 | \$ 3,389 | \$952 | \$ 39 | \$ 2,991 |

The table below summarizes the maturity date for debt securities.

| (in millions) | December 31, 2017 |
|----------------------------------|----------------------|
| Due in one year or less | \$ 94 |
| Due after one through five years | 301 |
| Due after five through 10 years | 203 |
| Due after 10 years | 474 |
| Total | \$ 1,072 |

Realized gains and losses, which were determined on a specific identification basis, from sales of AFS securities were as follows.

| (in millions) | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|-----------------|-----------------------------|-------|-------|
| | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 |
| Realized gains | \$65 | \$ 84 | \$ 33 |
| Realized losses | 56 | 64 | 13 |

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS

The following table presents the estimated fair value of investments in AFS securities.

| (in millions) | December 31, 2017 | | | December 31, 2016 | | |
|---------------------------|-------------------|----------|------------|-------------------|-------------------------|------------|
| | Gross | | Estimated | Gross | | Estimated |
| | Unrealized | Realized | | Unrealized | Realized | |
| | Holdings | Holdings | Fair Value | Holdings | Holdings ^(a) | Fair Value |
| | Gains | Losses | | Gains | Losses | |
| NDTF | | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$— | \$ — | \$ 50 | \$— | \$ — | \$ 45 |
| Equity securities | 980 | 12 | 1,795 | 704 | 21 | 1,505 |
| Corporate debt securities | 6 | | 149 | 4 | 1 | 120 |
| Municipal bonds | 4 | 2 | 283 | 2 | 8 | 263 |
| U.S. government bonds | 5 | 2 | 310 | 5 | 2 | 275 |
| Other debt securities | — | — | 4 | — | — | 5 |
| Total NDTF | \$995 | \$ 16 | \$ 2,591 | \$715 | \$ 32 | \$ 2,213 |
| Other Investments | | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$— | \$ — | \$ 1 | \$— | \$ — | \$ 1 |
| Total Other Investments | \$— | \$ — | \$ 1 | \$— | \$ — | \$ 1 |
| Total Investments | \$995 | \$ 16 | \$ 2,592 | \$715 | \$ 32 | \$ 2,214 |

The table below summarizes the maturity date for debt securities.

| (in millions) | December 31, 2017 |
|----------------------------------|-------------------|
| Due in one year or less | \$ 21 |
| Due after one through five years | 219 |
| Due after five through 10 years | 146 |
| Due after 10 years | 360 |
| Total | \$ 746 |

Realized gains and losses, which were determined on a specific identification basis, from sales of AFS securities were as follows.

| (in millions) | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|-----------------|--------------------------|-------|-------|
| | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 |
| Realized gains | \$54 | \$ 71 | \$ 26 |
| Realized losses | 48 | 55 | 11 |

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | Fair Value | | | Fair Value |
|---------------------------|------|------|---------------|------|------|---------------|
| Other Investments | | | | | | |
| Equity securities | \$49 | \$ — | \$ 97 | \$33 | \$ — | \$ 79 |
| Corporate debt securities | — | — | 3 | — | — | 2 |
| Municipal bonds | — | 1 | 28 | — | 1 | 28 |
| U.S. government bonds | — | — | — | — | — | 1 |
| Total Other Investments | \$49 | \$ 1 | \$ 128 | \$33 | \$ 1 | \$ 110 |
| Total Investments | \$49 | \$ 1 | \$ 128 | \$33 | \$ 1 | \$ 110 |

206

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. –
DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE
ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

The table below summarizes the maturity date for debt securities.

| (in millions) | December 31, 2017 |
|----------------------------------|----------------------|
| Due in one year or less | \$ 5 |
| Due after one through five years | 12 |
| Due after five through 10 years | 7 |
| Due after 10 years | 7 |
| Total | \$ 31 |

Realized gains and losses, which were determined on a specific identification basis, from sales of AFS securities were insignificant for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015.

16. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

Fair value is the exchange price to sell an asset or transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. The fair value definition focuses on an exit price versus the acquisition cost. Fair value measurements use market data or assumptions market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability, including assumptions about risk and the risks inherent in the inputs to the valuation technique. These inputs may be readily observable, corroborated by market data, or generally unobservable. Valuation techniques maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize use of unobservable inputs. A midmarket pricing convention (the midpoint price between bid and ask prices) is permitted for use as a practical expedient.

Fair value measurements are classified in three levels based on the fair value hierarchy:

Level 1 – Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the reporting entity can access at the measurement date. An active market is one in which transactions for an asset or liability occur with sufficient frequency and volume to provide ongoing pricing information.

Level 2 – A fair value measurement utilizing inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1 that are observable, either directly or indirectly, for an asset or liability. Inputs include (i) quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets, (ii) quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, and (iii) inputs other than quoted market prices that are observable for the asset or liability, such as interest rate curves and yield curves observable at commonly quoted intervals, volatilities and credit spreads. A Level 2 measurement cannot have more than an insignificant portion of its valuation based on unobservable inputs. Instruments in this category include non-exchange-traded derivatives, such as over-the-counter forwards, swaps and options; certain marketable debt securities; and financial instruments traded in less than active markets.

Level 3 – Any fair value measurement which includes unobservable inputs for more than an insignificant portion of the valuation. These inputs may be used with internally developed methodologies that result in management's best estimate of fair value. Level 3 measurements may include longer-term instruments that extend into periods in which observable inputs are not available.

Not Categorized – Certain investments are not categorized within the Fair Value hierarchy. These investments are measured based on the fair value of the underlying investments but may not be readily redeemable at that fair value. Fair value accounting guidance permits entities to elect to measure certain financial instruments that are not required to be accounted for at fair value, such as equity method investments or the company's own debt, at fair value. The Duke Energy Registrants have not elected to record any of these items at fair value.

Transfers between levels represent assets or liabilities that were previously (i) categorized at a higher level for which the inputs to the estimate became less observable or (ii) classified at a lower level for which the inputs became more observable during the period. The Duke Energy Registrant's policy is to recognize transfers between levels of the fair value hierarchy at the end of the period. There were no transfers between levels during the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015. In addition, for Piedmont, there were no transfers between levels during the two months ended December 31, 2016, and the years ended October 31, 2016, and 2015.

Valuation methods of the primary fair value measurements disclosed below are as follows.

Investments in equity securities

The majority of investments in equity securities are valued using Level 1 measurements. Investments in equity securities are typically valued at the closing price in the principal active market as of the last business day of the quarter. Principal active markets for equity prices include published exchanges such as the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) and the NASDAQ Stock Market. Foreign equity prices are translated from their trading currency using the currency exchange rate in effect at the close of the principal active market. There was no after-hours market activity that was required to be reflected in the reported fair value measurements.

Investments in debt securities

Most investments in debt securities are valued using Level 2 measurements because the valuations use interest rate curves and credit spreads applied to the terms of the debt instrument (maturity and coupon interest rate) and consider the counterparty credit rating. If the market for a particular fixed-income security is relatively inactive or illiquid, the measurement is Level 3.

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

Commodity derivatives

Commodity derivatives with clearinghouses are classified as Level 1. Other commodity derivatives, including Piedmont's natural gas supply contracts, are primarily valued using internally developed discounted cash flow models that incorporate forward price, adjustments for liquidity (bid-ask spread) and credit or non-performance risk (after reflecting credit enhancements such as collateral) and are discounted to present value. Pricing inputs are derived from published exchange transaction prices and other observable data sources. In the absence of an active market, the last available price may be used. If forward price curves are not observable for the full term of the contract and the unobservable period had more than an insignificant impact on the valuation, the commodity derivative is classified as Level 3. In isolation, increases (decreases) in natural gas forward prices result in favorable (unfavorable) fair value adjustments for gas purchase contracts; and increases (decreases) in electricity forward prices result in unfavorable (favorable) fair value adjustments for electricity sales contracts. Duke Energy regularly evaluates and validates pricing inputs used to estimate the fair value of natural gas commodity contracts by a market participant price verification procedure. This procedure provides a comparison of internal forward commodity curves to market participant generated curves.

Interest rate derivatives

Most over-the-counter interest rate contract derivatives are valued using financial models that utilize observable inputs for similar instruments and are classified as Level 2. Inputs include forward interest rate curves, notional amounts, interest rates and credit quality of the counterparties.

Other fair value considerations

See Note 11 for a discussion of the valuation of goodwill and intangible assets. See Note 2 related to the acquisition of Piedmont in 2016 and the purchase of NCEMPA's ownership interests in certain generating assets in 2015.

DUKE ENERGY

The following tables provide recorded balances for assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Derivative amounts in the table below for all Duke Energy Registrants exclude cash collateral, which is disclosed in Note 14. See Note 15 for additional information related to investments by major security type for the Duke Energy Registrants.

| (in millions) | December 31, 2017 | | | | |
|---|-------------------|---------|---------|---------|-----------------|
| | Total Fair Value | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Not Categorized |
| NDTF equity securities | \$4,914 | \$4,840 | \$— | \$— | \$ 74 |
| NDTF debt securities | 2,174 | 635 | 1,539 | — | — |
| Other AFS equity securities | 123 | 123 | — | — | — |
| Other trading and AFS debt securities | 241 | 57 | 184 | — | — |
| Derivative assets | 51 | 3 | 20 | 28 | — |
| Total assets | 7,503 | 5,658 | 1,743 | 28 | 74 |
| Derivative liabilities | (230) | (2) | (86) | (142) | — |
| Net assets (liabilities) | \$7,273 | \$5,656 | \$1,657 | \$(114) | \$ 74 |
| (in millions) | December 31, 2016 | | | | |
| | Total Fair Value | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Not Categorized |
| NDTF equity securities | \$4,106 | \$4,029 | \$— | \$— | \$ 77 |
| NDTF debt securities | 2,078 | 632 | 1,446 | — | — |
| Other trading and AFS equity securities | 104 | 104 | — | — | — |

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-------|
| Other trading and AFS debt securities | 266 | 75 | 186 | 5 | — |
| Derivative assets | 162 | 5 | 136 | 21 | — |
| Total assets | 6,716 | 4,845 | 1,768 | 26 | 77 |
| Derivative liabilities | (252) | (2) | (63) | (187) | — |
| Net assets | \$6,464 | \$4,843 | \$1,705 | \$(161) | \$ 77 |

208

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

The following tables provide reconciliations of beginning and ending balances of assets and liabilities measured at fair value using Level 3 measurements. Amounts included in earnings for derivatives are primarily included in Cost of natural gas on the Duke Energy Registrants' Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income. Amounts included in changes of net assets on the Duke Energy Registrants' Consolidated Balance Sheets are included in regulatory assets or liabilities. All derivative assets and liabilities are presented on a net basis.

| | December 31, 2017 | | December 31, 2016 | |
|--|-------------------------------|---------|-------------------------------|---------|
| (in millions) | Derivatives Investments (net) | Total | Derivatives Investments (net) | Total |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$5 \$ (166) | \$(161) | \$5 \$ 10 | \$15 |
| Total pretax realized or unrealized gains included in comprehensive income | 1 — | 1 | — — | — |
| Derivative liability resulting from the acquisition of Piedmont | — — | — | — (187) | (187) |
| Purchases, sales, issuances and settlements: | | | | |
| Purchases | — 55 | 55 | — 33 | 33 |
| Sales | (6) — | (6) | — — | — |
| Settlements | — (47) | (47) | — (28) | (28) |
| Total gains included on the Consolidated Balance Sheet | — 44 | 44 | — 6 | 6 |
| Balance at end of period | \$— \$ (114) | \$(114) | \$5 \$ (166) | \$(161) |

DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS

The following tables provide recorded balances for assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

| | December 31, 2017 | | | | |
|------------------------|-------------------|---------|---------|---------|-----------------|
| (in millions) | Total Fair Value | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Not Categorized |
| NDTF equity securities | \$2,692 | \$2,618 | \$— | \$— | \$ 74 |
| NDTF debt securities | 1,066 | 204 | 862 | — | — |
| Derivative assets | 2 | — | 2 | — | — |
| Total assets | 3,760 | 2,822 | 864 | — | 74 |
| Derivative liabilities | (35) | (1) | (34) | — | — |
| Net assets | \$3,725 | \$2,821 | \$830 | \$— | \$ 74 |

| | December 31, 2016 | | | | |
|---------------------------|-------------------|---------|---------|---------|-----------------|
| (in millions) | Total Fair Value | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Not Categorized |
| NDTF equity securities | \$2,245 | \$2,168 | \$— | \$— | \$ 77 |
| NDTF debt securities | 1,013 | 178 | 835 | — | — |
| Other AFS debt securities | 3 | — | — | 3 | — |
| Derivative assets | 33 | — | 33 | — | — |
| Total assets | 3,294 | 2,346 | 868 | 3 | 77 |
| Derivative liabilities | (16) | — | (16) | — | — |
| Net assets | \$3,278 | \$2,346 | \$852 | \$ 3 | \$ 77 |

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

The following table provides reconciliations of beginning and ending balances of assets and liabilities measured at fair value using Level 3 measurements.

| | Investments Years Ended December 31, | |
|--|---|------|
| (in millions) | 2017 | 2016 |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$ 3 | \$ 3 |
| Total pretax realized or unrealized gains included in comprehensive income | 1 | — |
| Purchases, sales, issuances and settlements: | | |
| Sales | (4) | — |
| Balance at end of period | \$ — | \$ 3 |

PROGRESS ENERGY

The following table provides recorded balances for assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

| (in millions) | December 31, 2017 | | | December 31, 2016 | | |
|---------------------------|------------------------|------------|------------|------------------------|------------|------------|
| | Total Fair Value | Level 1 | Level 2 | Total Fair Value | Level 1 | Level 2 |
| NDTF equity securities | \$2,222 | \$2,222 | \$— | \$1,861 | \$1,861 | \$— |
| NDTF debt securities | 1,108 | 431 | 677 | 1,065 | 454 | 611 |
| Other AFS debt securities | 59 | 12 | 47 | 65 | 21 | 44 |
| Derivative assets | 3 | 1 | 2 | 85 | — | 85 |
| Total assets | 3,392 | 2,666 | 726 | 3,076 | 2,336 | 740 |
| Derivative liabilities | (36) | (1) | (35) | (25) | — | (25) |
| Net assets | \$3,356 | \$2,665 | \$691 | \$3,051 | \$2,336 | \$715 |

DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS

The following table provides recorded balances for assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

| (in millions) | December 31, 2017 | | | December 31, 2016 | | |
|---------------------------|------------------------|------------|------------|------------------------|------------|------------|
| | Total Fair Value | Level 1 | Level 2 | Total Fair Value | Level 1 | Level 2 |
| NDTF equity securities | \$1,795 | \$1,795 | \$— | \$1,505 | \$1,505 | \$— |
| NDTF debt securities | 796 | 243 | 553 | 708 | 207 | 501 |
| Other AFS debt securities | 1 | 1 | — | 1 | 1 | — |
| Derivative assets | 2 | 1 | 1 | 46 | — | 46 |
| Total assets | 2,594 | 2,040 | 554 | 2,260 | 1,713 | 547 |
| Derivative liabilities | (18) | (1) | (17) | (7) | — | (7) |
| Net assets | \$2,576 | \$2,039 | \$537 | \$2,253 | \$1,713 | \$540 |

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA

The following table provides recorded balances for assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

| December 31, 2017 | December 31, 2016 |
|----------------------|----------------------|
|----------------------|----------------------|

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

| (in millions) | Total | | | Total | | |
|---------------------------|------------|---------|---------|------------|---------|---------|
| | Fair Value | Level 1 | Level 2 | Fair Value | Level 1 | Level 2 |
| NDTF equity securities | \$427 | \$427 | \$— | \$356 | \$356 | \$— |
| NDTF debt securities | 312 | 188 | 124 | 357 | 247 | 110 |
| Other AFS debt securities | 48 | 1 | 47 | 48 | 4 | 44 |
| Derivative assets | 1 | — | 1 | 39 | — | 39 |
| Total assets | 788 | 616 | 172 | 800 | 607 | 193 |
| Derivative liabilities | (12) | — | (12) | (12) | — | (12) |
| Net assets | \$776 | \$616 | \$160 | \$788 | \$607 | \$181 |

210

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

DUKE ENERGY OHIO

The following table provides recorded balances for assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

| (in millions) | December 31, 2017 | | | December 31, 2016 | | |
|--------------------------|-------------------|----------|---------|-------------------|----------|---------|
| | Total | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total | Level 2 | Level 3 |
| | Fair Value | | | Fair Value | | |
| Derivative assets | \$ 1 | \$ — | \$ 1 | \$ 5 | \$ — | \$ 5 |
| Derivative liabilities | (5) | (5) | — | (6) | (6) | — |
| Net (liabilities) assets | \$ (4) | \$ (5) | \$ 1 | \$ (1) | \$ (6) | \$ 5 |

The following table provides a reconciliation of beginning and ending balances of assets and liabilities measured at fair value using Level 3 measurements.

| (in millions) | Derivatives (net) Years Ended December 31, | |
|--|--|-------|
| | 2017 | 2016 |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$ 5 | \$ 3 |
| Purchases, sales, issuances and settlements: | | |
| Purchases | 3 | 5 |
| Settlements | (4) | (5) |
| Total gains included on the Consolidated Balance Sheet | (3) | 2 |
| Balance at end of period | \$ 1 | \$ 5 |

DUKE ENERGY INDIANA

The following table provides recorded balances for assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

| (in millions) | December 31, 2017 | | | | December 31, 2016 | | | |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|---------|---------|---------|-------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| | Total | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |
| | Fair Value | | | | Fair Value | | | |
| Other AFS equity securities | \$ 97 | \$ 97 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ 79 | \$ 79 | \$ — | \$ — |
| Other AFS debt securities | 31 | — | 31 | — | 31 | — | 31 | — |
| Derivative assets | 27 | — | — | 27 | 16 | — | — | 16 |
| Total assets | 155 | 97 | 31 | 27 | 126 | 79 | 31 | 16 |
| Derivative liabilities | — | — | — | — | (2) | (2) | — | — |
| Net assets | \$ 155 | \$ 97 | \$ 31 | \$ 27 | \$ 124 | \$ 77 | \$ 31 | \$ 16 |

The following table provides a reconciliation of beginning and ending balances of assets and liabilities measured at fair value using Level 3 measurements.

| Derivatives (net) Years Ended |
|-------------------------------|
| |

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

| | December | |
|--|----------|-------|
| (in millions) | 31, | |
| | 2017 | 2016 |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$16 | \$7 |
| Purchases, sales, issuances and settlements: | | |
| Purchases | 52 | 29 |
| Settlements | (43) | (24) |
| Total gains included on the Consolidated Balance Sheet | 2 | 4 |
| Balance at end of period | \$27 | \$16 |

211

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

PIEDMONT

The following table provides recorded balances for assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

| (in millions) | December 31, 2017 | | | December 31, 2016 | | |
|---------------------------------|-------------------|-------|---------|-------------------|-------|---------|
| | Total | Level | Level | Total | Level | Level |
| | Fair Value | 1 | 3 | Fair Value | 1 | 3 |
| Other trading equity securities | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$4 | \$4 | \$— |
| Other trading debt securities | 1 | 1 | — | 1 | 1 | — |
| Derivative assets | 2 | 2 | — | 3 | 3 | — |
| Total assets | 3 | 3 | — | 8 | 8 | — |
| Derivative liabilities | (142) | — | (142) | (187) | — | (187) |
| Net assets | \$(139) | \$3 | \$(142) | \$(179) | \$8 | \$(187) |

The following table provides a reconciliation of beginning and ending balances of assets and liabilities measured at fair value using Level 3 measurements.

| (in millions) | Derivatives (net) | | |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------|----------|----------|
| | Year | Two | Year |
| | Ended | Months | Ended |
| | December | December | October |
| | 31, | 31, 2016 | 31, |
| | 2017 | | 2016 |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$(187) | \$(188) | \$— |
| Total gains (losses) and settlements | 45 | 1 | (188) |
| Balance at end of period | \$(142) | \$(187) | \$(188) |

QUANTITATIVE INFORMATION ABOUT UNOBSERVABLE INPUTS

The following tables include quantitative information about the Duke Energy Registrants' derivatives classified as Level 3.

| Investment Type | December 31, 2017 | | | Unobservable Input | Range |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|--|--------------------|----------------|
| | Fair Value (in millions) | Valuation Technique | Unobservable Input | | |
| Duke Energy Ohio FTRs | \$1 | RTO auction pricing | FTR price – per MWh | | \$0.07 –\$1.41 |
| Duke Energy Indiana FTRs | 27 | RTO auction pricing | FTR price – per MWh | | (0.77)–7.44 |
| Piedmont Natural gas contracts | (142) | Discounted cash flow | Forward natural gas curves - price per MMBtu | | 2.10 –2.88 |
| Duke Energy Total Level 3 derivatives | \$(114) | | | | |
| Investment Type | December 31, 2016 | | | Unobservable Input | Range |
| | Fair Value | Valuation Technique | Unobservable Input | | |

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

(in
millions)

| | | | | |
|---------------------------|---------|----------------------|--|-------------|
| Duke Energy Ohio | | | | |
| FTRs | \$5 | RTO auction pricing | FTR price – per MWh | 0.77 –3.52 |
| Duke Energy Indiana | | | | |
| FTRs | 16 | RTO auction pricing | FTR price – per MWh | (0.83)–9.32 |
| Piedmont | | | | |
| Natural gas contracts | (187) | Discounted cash flow | Forward natural gas curves - price per MMBtu | 2.31 –4.18 |
| Duke Energy | | | | |
| Total Level 3 derivatives | \$(166) | | | |

212

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

OTHER FAIR VALUE DISCLOSURES

The fair value and book value of long-term debt, including current maturities, is summarized in the following table. Estimates determined are not necessarily indicative of amounts that could have been settled in current markets. Fair value of long-term debt uses Level 2 measurements.

| (in millions) | December 31, 2017 | | December 31, 2016 | |
|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------|----------------------|---------------|
| | Book Value | Fair Value | Book Value | Fair Value |
| Duke Energy | \$52,279 | \$55,331 | \$47,895 | \$49,161 |
| Duke Energy Carolinas | 10,103 | 11,372 | 9,603 | 10,494 |
| Progress Energy | 17,837 | 20,000 | 17,541 | 19,107 |
| Duke Energy Progress | 7,357 | 7,992 | 7,011 | 7,357 |
| Duke Energy Florida | 7,095 | 7,953 | 6,125 | 6,728 |
| Duke Energy Ohio | 2,067 | 2,249 | 1,884 | 2,020 |
| Duke Energy Indiana | 3,783 | 4,464 | 3,786 | 4,260 |
| Piedmont | 2,037 | 2,209 | 1,821 | 1,933 |

At both December 31, 2017, and December 31, 2016, fair value of cash and cash equivalents, accounts and notes receivable, accounts payable, notes payable and commercial paper and nonrecourse notes payable of VIEs are not materially different from their carrying amounts because of the short-term nature of these instruments and/or because the stated rates approximate market rates.

17. VARIABLE INTEREST ENTITIES

A VIE is an entity that is evaluated for consolidation using more than a simple analysis of voting control. The analysis to determine whether an entity is a VIE considers contracts with an entity, credit support for an entity, the adequacy of the equity investment of an entity and the relationship of voting power to the amount of equity invested in an entity. This analysis is performed either upon the creation of a legal entity or upon the occurrence of an event requiring reevaluation, such as a significant change in an entity's assets or activities. A qualitative analysis of control determines the party that consolidates a VIE. This assessment is based on (i) what party has the power to direct the activities of the VIE that most significantly impact its economic performance and (ii) what party has rights to receive benefits or is obligated to absorb losses that could potentially be significant to the VIE. The analysis of the party that consolidates a VIE is a continual reassessment.

CONSOLIDATED VIEs

The obligations of these VIEs discussed in the following paragraphs are nonrecourse to the Duke Energy Registrants. The registrants have no requirement to provide liquidity to, purchase assets of or guarantee performance of these VIEs unless noted in the following paragraphs.

No financial support was provided to any of the consolidated VIEs during the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015, or is expected to be provided in the future, that was not previously contractually required.

Receivables Financing – DERF/DEPR/DEFR

Duke Energy Receivables Finance Company, LLC (DERF), Duke Energy Progress Receivables, LLC (DEPR) and Duke Energy Florida Receivables, LLC (DEFR) are bankruptcy remote, special purpose subsidiaries of Duke Energy Carolinas, Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Florida, respectively. DERF, DEPR and DEFR are wholly owned limited liability companies with separate legal existence from their parent companies and their assets are not generally available to creditors of their parent companies. On a revolving basis, DERF, DEPR and DEFR buy certain accounts receivable arising from the sale of electricity and related services from their parent companies.

DERF, DEPR and DEFR borrow amounts under credit facilities to buy these receivables. Borrowing availability from the credit facilities is limited to the amount of qualified receivables purchased. The sole source of funds to satisfy the

related debt obligations is cash collections from the receivables. Amounts borrowed under the credit facilities are reflected on the Consolidated Balance Sheets as Long-Term Debt.

The most significant activity that impacts the economic performance of DERF, DEPR and DEFR are the decisions made to manage delinquent receivables. Duke Energy Carolinas, Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Florida consolidate DERF, DEPR and DEFR, respectively, as they make those decisions.

Receivables Financing – CRC

CRC is a bankruptcy remote, special purpose entity indirectly owned by Duke Energy. On a revolving basis, CRC buys certain accounts receivable arising from the sale of electricity, natural gas and related services from Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Indiana. CRC borrows amounts under a credit facility to buy the receivables from Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Indiana. Borrowing availability from the credit facility is limited to the amount of qualified receivables sold to CRC. The sole source of funds to satisfy the related debt obligation is cash collections from the receivables. Amounts borrowed under the credit facility are reflected on Duke Energy's Consolidated Balance Sheets as Long-Term Debt.

The proceeds Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Indiana receive from the sale of receivables to CRC are typically 75 percent cash and 25 percent in the form of a subordinated note from CRC. The subordinated note is a retained interest in the receivables sold. Depending on collection experience, additional equity infusions to CRC may be required by Duke Energy to maintain a minimum equity balance of \$3 million.

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

CRC is considered a VIE because (i) equity capitalization is insufficient to support its operations, (ii) power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the economic performance of the entity are not performed by the equity holder and (iii) deficiencies in net worth of CRC are funded by Duke Energy. The most significant activities that impact the economic performance of CRC are decisions made to manage delinquent receivables. Duke Energy consolidates CRC as it makes these decisions. Neither Duke Energy Ohio nor Duke Energy Indiana consolidate CRC. Receivables Financing – Credit Facilities

The following table outlines amounts and expiration dates of the credit facilities described above.

| | Duke Energy | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------|
| | Duke Energy Carolinas | Duke Energy Progress | Duke Energy Florida | |
| | CRC DERF | DEPR | DEFR | |
| Expiration date | December 2020 | December 2020 | February 2019 | April 2019 |
| Credit facility amount (in millions) | \$ 325 | \$ 450 | \$ 300 | \$ 225 |
| Amounts borrowed at December 31, 2017 | 325 | 450 | 300 | 225 |
| Amounts borrowed at December 31, 2016 | 325 | 425 | 300 | 225 |

Nuclear Asset-Recovery Bonds – DEFPP

Duke Energy Florida Project Finance, LLC (DEFPP) is a bankruptcy remote, wholly owned special purpose subsidiary of Duke Energy Florida. DEFPP was formed in 2016 for the sole purpose of issuing nuclear asset-recovery bonds to finance Duke Energy Florida's unrecovered regulatory asset related to Crystal River Unit 3.

In June 2016, DEFPP issued \$1,294 million of senior secured bonds and used the proceeds to acquire nuclear asset-recovery property from Duke Energy Florida. The nuclear asset-recovery property acquired includes the right to impose, bill, collect and adjust a non-bypassable nuclear asset-recovery charge from all Duke Energy Florida retail customers until the bonds are paid in full and all financing costs have been recovered. The nuclear asset-recovery bonds are secured by the nuclear asset-recovery property and cash collections from the nuclear asset-recovery charges are the sole source of funds to satisfy the debt obligation. The bondholders have no recourse to Duke Energy Florida. For additional information see Notes 4 and 6.

DEFPP is considered a VIE primarily because the equity capitalization is insufficient to support its operations. Duke Energy Florida has the power to direct the significant activities of the VIE as described above and therefore Duke Energy Florida is considered the primary beneficiary and consolidates DEFPP.

The following table summarizes the impact of DEFPP on Duke Energy Florida's Consolidated Balance Sheets.

| (in millions) | December 31, 2017 | December 31, 2016 |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|
| Receivables of VIEs | \$ 4 | \$ 6 |
| Regulatory Assets: Current | 51 | 50 |
| Current Assets: Other | 40 | 53 |
| Other Noncurrent Assets: Regulatory assets | 1,091 | 1,142 |
| Current Liabilities: Other | 10 | 17 |
| Current maturities of long-term debt | 53 | 62 |
| Long-Term Debt | 1,164 | 1,217 |

Commercial Renewables

Certain of Duke Energy's renewable energy facilities are VIEs due to Duke Energy issuing guarantees for debt service and operations and maintenance reserves in support of debt financings. Assets are restricted and cannot be pledged as collateral or sold to third parties without prior approval of debt holders. The activities that most significantly impact

the economic performance of these renewable energy facilities were decisions associated with siting, negotiating PPAs, engineering, procurement and construction and decisions associated with ongoing operations and maintenance-related activities. Duke Energy consolidates the entities as it is responsible for all of these decisions. The table below presents material balances reported on Duke Energy's Consolidated Balance Sheets related to renewables VIEs.

| (in millions) | December 31, 2017 | December 31, 2016 |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|
| Current Assets: Other | \$ 174 | \$ 223 |
| Property, plant and equipment, cost | 3,923 | 3,419 |
| Accumulated depreciation and amortization | (591 |)(453) |
| Current maturities of long-term debt | 170 | 198 |
| Long-Term Debt | 1,700 | 1,097 |
| Other Noncurrent Liabilities: Deferred income taxes | (148 |)275 |
| Other Noncurrent Liabilities: Other | 241 | 252 |

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

NON-CONSOLIDATED VIEs

The following tables summarize the impact of non-consolidated VIEs on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

| (in millions) | December 31, 2017 | | | | Duke Energy Ohio | Duke Energy Indiana |
|--|----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|--------|------------------|---------------------|
| | Pipeline Investments | Commercial Renewables | Other VIEs ^(a) | Total | | |
| Receivables from affiliated companies | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ 87 | \$ 106 |
| Investments in equity method unconsolidated affiliates | 697 | 180 | 42 | 919 | — | — |
| Other noncurrent assets | 17 | — | — | 17 | — | — |
| Total assets | \$ 714 | \$ 180 | \$ 42 | \$ 936 | \$ 87 | \$ 106 |
| Taxes accrued | (29) | — | — | (29) | — | — |
| Other current liabilities | — | — | 4 | 4 | — | — |
| Deferred income taxes | 42 | — | — | 42 | — | — |
| Other noncurrent liabilities | — | — | 12 | 12 | — | — |
| Total liabilities | \$ 13 | \$ — | \$ 16 | \$ 29 | \$ — | \$ — |
| Net assets | \$ 701 | \$ 180 | \$ 26 | \$ 907 | \$ 87 | \$ 106 |

Duke Energy holds a 50 percent equity interest in Duke-American Transmission Company, LLC (DATC). As of December 31, 2016, DATC was considered a VIE due to having insufficient equity to finance its own activities (a) without subordinated financial support. However, DATC is no longer considered a VIE based on sufficient equity to finance its own activities, and, therefore, is no longer considered a VIE as of December 31, 2017. Duke Energy's investment in DATC was \$46 million at December 31, 2017.

| (in millions) | December 31, 2016 | | | | Duke Energy Ohio | Duke Energy Indiana | Piedmont ^(a) |
|--|----------------------|-----------------------|-------|--------|------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|
| | Pipeline Investments | Commercial Renewables | Other | Total | | | |
| Receivables from affiliated companies | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ 82 | \$ 101 | \$ — |
| Investments in equity method unconsolidated affiliates | 487 | 174 | 90 | 751 | — | — | 139 |
| Other noncurrent assets | 12 | — | — | 12 | — | — | — |
| Total assets | \$ 499 | \$ 174 | \$ 90 | \$ 763 | \$ 82 | \$ 101 | \$ 139 |
| Other current liabilities | — | — | 3 | 3 | — | — | — |
| Other noncurrent liabilities | — | — | 13 | 13 | — | — | 4 |
| Total liabilities | \$ — | \$ — | \$ 16 | \$ 16 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ 4 |
| Net assets | \$ 499 | \$ 174 | \$ 74 | \$ 747 | \$ 82 | \$ 101 | \$ 135 |

In April 2017, Piedmont transferred its non-consolidated VIE investments to a wholly owned subsidiary of Duke Energy. See Note 12 and the "Pipeline Investments" section below for additional detail.

The Duke Energy Registrants are not aware of any situations where the maximum exposure to loss significantly exceeds the carrying values shown above except for the power purchase agreement with OVEC, which is discussed below, and various guarantees, some of which are reflected in the table above as Other noncurrent liabilities. For more information on various guarantees, refer to Note 7.

Pipeline Investments

Duke Energy has investments in various joint ventures with pipeline projects currently under construction. These entities are considered VIEs due to having insufficient equity to finance their own activities without subordinated financial support. Duke Energy does not have the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the economic performance, the obligation to absorb losses or the right to receive benefits of these VIEs and therefore does

not consolidate these entities.

215

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

The table below presents Duke Energy's ownership interest and investment balance in in these joint ventures.

| Entity Name | Ownership Interest | Investment Amount (in millions) | |
|--------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|
| | | December 31, 2017 | December 31, 2016 |
| ACP | 47 % | \$397 | \$ 265 |
| Sabal Trail | 7.5 % | 219 | 140 |
| Constitution | 24 % | 81 | 82 |
| Total | | \$697 | \$ 487 |

Commercial Renewables

Duke Energy has investments in various renewable energy project entities. Some of these entities are VIEs due to Duke Energy issuing guarantees for debt service and operations and maintenance reserves in support of debt financings. Duke Energy does not consolidate these VIEs because power to direct and control key activities is shared jointly by Duke Energy and other owners.

Other VIEs

Duke Energy holds a 50 percent equity interest in Pioneer. Pioneer is considered a VIE due to having insufficient equity to finance their own activities without subordinated financial support. The activities that most significantly impact Pioneer's economic performance are decisions related to the development of new transmission facilities. The power to direct these activities is jointly and equally shared by Duke Energy and the other joint venture partner, American Electric Power, therefore Duke Energy does not consolidate Pioneer.

OVEC

Duke Energy Ohio's 9 percent ownership interest in OVEC is considered a non-consolidated VIE due to having insufficient equity to finance their activities without subordinated financial support. As a counterparty to an inter-company power agreement (ICPA), Duke Energy Ohio has a contractual arrangement to buy power from OVEC's power plants through June 2040 commensurate with its power participation ratio, which is equivalent to Duke Energy Ohio's ownership interest. Costs, including fuel, operating expenses, fixed costs, debt amortization, and interest expense are allocated to counterparties to the ICPA based on their power participation ratio. The value of the ICPA is subject to variability due to fluctuation in power prices and changes in OVEC's cost of business, including costs associated with its 2,256 MW of coal-fired generation capacity. Deterioration in the credit quality, or bankruptcy of one or more parties to the ICPA could increase the costs of OVEC. In addition, certain proposed environmental rulemaking could result in future increased cost allocations.

CRC

See discussion under Consolidated VIEs for additional information related to CRC.

Amounts included in Receivables from affiliated companies in the above table for Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Indiana reflect their retained interest in receivables sold to CRC. These subordinated notes held by Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Indiana are stated at fair value. Carrying values of retained interests are determined by allocating carrying value of the receivables between assets sold and interests retained based on relative fair value. The allocated bases of the subordinated notes are not materially different than their face value because (i) the receivables generally turnover in less than two months, (ii) credit losses are reasonably predictable due to the broad customer base and lack of significant concentration and (iii) the equity in CRC is subordinate to all retained interests and thus would absorb losses first. The hypothetical effect on fair value of the retained interests assuming both a 10 percent and a 20 percent unfavorable variation in credit losses or discount rates is not material due to the short turnover of receivables and historically low credit loss history. Interest accrues to Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Indiana on the

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

retained interests using the acceptable yield method. This method generally approximates the stated rate on the notes since the allocated basis and the face value are nearly equivalent. An impairment charge is recorded against the carrying value of both retained interests and purchased beneficial interest whenever it is determined that an OTTI has occurred.

Key assumptions used in estimating fair value are detailed in the following table.

| | Duke Energy Ohio | | Duke Energy Indiana | |
|-------------------------------|---------------------|--------|------------------------|--------|
| | 2017 | 2016 | 2017 | 2016 |
| Anticipated credit loss ratio | 0.5 % | 0.5 % | 0.3 % | 0.3 % |
| Discount rate | 2.1 % | 1.5 % | 2.1 % | 1.5 % |
| Receivable turnover rate | 13.5 % | 13.3 % | 10.7 % | 10.6 % |

The following table shows the gross and net receivables sold.

| | Duke Energy Ohio | | Duke Energy Indiana | |
|--------------------------|------------------------|-------|---------------------------|-------|
| (in millions) | 2017 | 2016 | 2017 | 2016 |
| Receivables sold | \$273 | \$267 | \$312 | \$306 |
| Less: Retained interests | 87 | 82 | 106 | 101 |
| Net receivables sold | \$186 | \$185 | \$206 | \$205 |

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. –
DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE
ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

The following table shows sales and cash flows related to receivables sold.

| (in millions) | Duke Energy Ohio | | | Duke Energy Indiana | | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------|---------|-----------------------------|---------|---------|
| | Years Ended December 31, | | | Years Ended December 31, | | |
| | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 |
| Sales | | | | | | |
| Receivables sold | \$1,879 | \$1,926 | \$1,963 | \$2,711 | \$2,635 | \$2,627 |
| Loss recognized on sale | 10 | 9 | 9 | 12 | 11 | 11 |
| Cash Flows | | | | | | |
| Cash proceeds from receivables sold | 1,865 | 1,882 | 1,995 | 2,694 | 2,583 | 2,670 |
| Collection fees received | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Return received on retained interests | 3 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 5 | 5 |

Cash flows from the sales of receivables are reflected within Cash Flows From Operating Activities on Duke Energy Ohio's and Duke Energy Indiana's Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows.

Collection fees received in connection with servicing transferred accounts receivable are included in Operation, maintenance and other on Duke Energy Ohio's and Duke Energy Indiana's Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income. The loss recognized on sales of receivables is calculated monthly by multiplying receivables sold during the month by the required discount. The required discount is derived monthly utilizing a three-year weighted average formula that considers charge-off history, late charge history and turnover history on the sold receivables, as well as a component for the time value of money. The discount rate, or component for the time value of money, is the prior month-end LIBOR plus a fixed rate of 1.00 percent.

18. COMMON STOCK

Basic Earnings Per Share (EPS) is computed by dividing net income attributable to Duke Energy common stockholders, as adjusted for distributed and undistributed earnings allocated to participating securities, by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted EPS is computed by dividing net income attributable to Duke Energy common stockholders, as adjusted for distributed and undistributed earnings allocated to participating securities, by the diluted weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted EPS reflects the potential dilution that could occur if securities or other agreements to issue common shares, such as stock options and equity forward sale agreements, were exercised or settled. Duke Energy's participating securities are restricted stock units that are entitled to dividends declared on Duke Energy common stock during the restricted stock unit's vesting periods.

The following table presents Duke Energy's basic and diluted EPS calculations and reconciles the weighted average number of common stock outstanding to the diluted weighted average number of common stock outstanding.

| (in millions, except per share amounts) | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|--|-----------------------------|---------|---------|
| | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 |
| Income from continuing operations attributable to Duke Energy common stockholders excluding impact of participating securities | \$3,059 | \$2,567 | \$2,640 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding – basic | 700 | 691 | 694 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding – diluted | 700 | 691 | 694 |
| Earnings per share from continuing operations attributable to Duke Energy common stockholders | | | |
| Basic | \$4.37 | \$3.71 | \$3.80 |
| Diluted | \$4.37 | \$3.71 | \$3.80 |
| Potentially dilutive items excluded from the calculation ^(a) | 2 | 2 | 2 |

| | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|
| Dividends declared per common share | \$3.49 | \$3.36 | \$3.24 |
|-------------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|

(a) Performance stock awards were not included in the dilutive securities calculation because the performance measures related to the awards had not been met.

Equity Distribution Agreement

On February 20, 2018, Duke Energy filed a prospectus supplement and executed an Equity Distribution Agreement (the EDA) under which it may sell up to \$1 billion of its common stock through an at-the-market offering program, including an equity forward sales component. The EDA was entered into with Wells Fargo Securities, LLC, Citigroup Global Markets Inc., and J.P. Morgan Securities LLC (the Agents). Under the terms of the EDA, Duke Energy may issue and sell, through either of the Agents, shares of common stock during the period ending September 23, 2019. In addition to the issuance and sales of shares by Duke Energy through the Agents, Duke Energy may enter into Equity Forward Agreements with affiliates of the Agents as Forward Purchasers. There were no transactions under the EDA from the time of execution of the EDA to the filing of this document.

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

Stock Issuance

In March 2016, Duke Energy marketed an equity offering of 10.6 million shares of common stock. In lieu of issuing equity at the time of the offering, Duke Energy entered into Equity Forwards with Barclays. The Equity Forwards required Duke Energy to either physically settle the transactions by issuing 10.6 million shares, or net settle in whole or in part through the delivery or receipt of cash or shares.

On October 5, 2016, following the close of the Piedmont acquisition, Duke Energy physically settled the Equity Forwards in full by delivering 10.6 million shares of common stock in exchange for net cash proceeds of approximately \$723 million. The net proceeds were used to finance a portion of the Piedmont acquisition. As a result of the acquisition, all of Piedmont's issued and outstanding stock became the issued and outstanding shares of a wholly owned subsidiary of Duke Energy. See Note 2 for additional information related to the Piedmont acquisition.

Accelerated Stock Repurchase Program

On April 6, 2015, Duke Energy entered into agreements with each of Goldman, Sachs & Co. and JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association (the Dealers) to repurchase a total of \$1.5 billion of Duke Energy common stock under an accelerated stock repurchase program (the ASR). Duke Energy made payments of \$750 million to each of the Dealers and was delivered 16.6 million shares, with a total fair value of \$1.275 billion, which represented approximately 85 percent of the total number of shares of Duke Energy common stock expected to be repurchased under the ASR. The company recorded the \$1.5 billion payment as a reduction to common stock as of April 6, 2015. In June 2015, the Dealers delivered 3.2 million additional shares to Duke Energy to complete the ASR. Approximately 19.8 million shares, in total, were delivered to Duke Energy and retired under the ASR at an average price of \$75.75 per share. The final number of shares repurchased was based upon the average of the daily volume weighted average stock prices of Duke Energy's common stock during the term of the program, less a discount.

19. SEVERANCE

As part of its strategic planning processes, Duke Energy implemented targeted cost savings initiatives during 2016 and 2015 aimed at reducing operations and maintenance expense. The initiatives included efforts to reduce costs through the standardization of processes and systems, leveraging technology and workforce optimization throughout the company.

During 2016, Duke Energy and Piedmont announced severance plans covering certain eligible employees whose employment will be involuntarily terminated without cause as a result of Duke Energy's acquisition of Piedmont. These reductions continue to be implemented and are a part of the synergies expected to be realized with the acquisition. Refer to Note 2 for additional information on the Piedmont acquisition.

Severance benefit costs for initiatives and plans discussed above were accrued for a total of approximately 100 employees in 2017, 600 employees in 2016 and 900 employees in 2015. The following table presents the direct and allocated severance and related expenses recorded by the Duke Energy Registrants. Amounts are included within Operation, maintenance and other on the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

| | Duke Energy | Duke Energy Carolinas | Progress Energy | Duke Energy Progress | Duke Energy Florida | Duke Energy Ohio | Duke Energy Indiana | Duke Energy Piedmont ^(a) |
|------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------|
| (in millions) | | | | | | | | |
| Year Ended December 31, 2017 | \$ 15 | \$ 2 | \$ 2 | \$ 1 | \$ 1 | \$ - | \$ 1 | \$ 9 |
| Year Ended December 31, 2016 | 118 | 39 | 40 | 23 | 17 | 3 | 7 | |
| Year Ended December 31, 2015 | 142 | 93 | 36 | 28 | 8 | 2 | 6 | |

Piedmont severance benefit costs were \$3 million for the two months ended December 31, 2016, and \$19 million (a) for the year ended October 31, 2016. Piedmont did not record any severance benefit costs for the year ended October 31, 2015.

The table below presents the severance liability for past and ongoing severance plans including the plans described above. Amounts for Duke Energy Indiana and Duke Energy Ohio are not material.

| | Duke Energy | Duke Energy Carolinas | Progress Energy | Duke Energy Progress | Duke Energy Florida | Duke Energy Piedmont |
|------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| (in millions) | | | | | | |
| Balance at December 31, 2016 | \$ 79 | \$ 13 | \$ 14 | \$ 6 | \$ 8 | \$ 20 |
| Provision/Adjustments | 17 | 2 | — | — | — | 9 |
| Cash Reductions | (77) | (10) | (12) | (5) | (8) | (24) |
| Balance at December 31, 2017 | \$ 19 | \$ 5 | \$ 2 | \$ 1 | \$ — | \$ 5 |

20. STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

The Duke Energy Corporation 2015 Long-Term Incentive Plan (the 2015 Plan) provides for the grant of stock-based compensation awards to employees and outside directors. The 2015 Plan reserves 10 million shares of common stock for issuance. Duke Energy has historically issued new shares upon exercising or vesting of share-based awards.

However, Duke Energy may use a combination of new share issuances and open market repurchases for share-based awards that are exercised or vest in the future. Duke Energy has not determined with certainty the amount of such new share issuances or open market repurchases.

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. –
DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE
ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

The following table summarizes the total expense recognized by the Duke Energy Registrants, net of tax, for stock-based compensation.

| (in millions) | Years Ended | | |
|-------------------------|--------------|------|------|
| | December 31, | | |
| | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 |
| Duke Energy | \$43 | \$35 | \$38 |
| Duke Energy Carolinas | 15 | 12 | 14 |
| Progress Energy | 16 | 12 | 14 |
| Duke Energy Progress | 10 | 7 | 9 |
| Duke Energy Florida | 6 | 5 | 5 |
| Duke Energy Ohio | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| Duke Energy Indiana | 4 | 3 | 4 |
| Piedmont ^(a) | 3 | | |

(a) See discussion below for information on Piedmont's pre-merger stock-based compensation plans.

Duke Energy's pretax stock-based compensation costs, the tax benefit associated with stock-based compensation expense and stock-based compensation costs capitalized are included in the following table.

| (in millions) | Years Ended | | |
|--|--------------|------|------|
| | December 31, | | |
| | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 |
| Restricted stock unit awards | \$41 | \$36 | \$38 |
| Performance awards | 27 | 19 | 23 |
| Pretax stock-based compensation cost | \$68 | \$55 | \$61 |
| Tax benefit associated with stock-based compensation expense | \$25 | \$20 | \$23 |
| Stock-based compensation costs capitalized | 4 | 2 | 3 |

RESTRICTED STOCK UNIT AWARDS

Restricted stock unit (RSU) awards generally vest over periods from immediate to three years. Fair value amounts are based on the market price of Duke Energy's common stock on the grant date. The following table includes information related to restricted stock unit awards.

| | Years Ended | | |
|-------------------------------|--------------|------|------|
| | December 31, | | |
| | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 |
| Shares awarded (in thousands) | 583 | 684 | 524 |
| Fair value (in millions) | \$47 | \$52 | \$41 |

The following table summarizes information about restricted stock unit awards outstanding.

| | Shares | Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value |
|----------------------------------|-------------------|--|
| | (in thousands) | (per share) |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2016 | 1,139 | \$ 76 |
| Granted | 583 | 80 |
| Vested | (553) |) 76 |
| Forfeited | (48) |) 78 |

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

| | | |
|---|-------|----|
| Outstanding at December 31, 2017 | 1,121 | 78 |
| Restricted stock unit awards expected to vest | 1,094 | 78 |

The total grant date fair value of shares vested during the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015 was \$42 million, \$38 million and \$41 million, respectively. At December 31, 2017, Duke Energy had \$29 million of unrecognized compensation cost, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of twenty-three months.

PERFORMANCE AWARDS

Stock-based performance awards generally vest after three years if performance targets are met.

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

Performance awards granted in 2017, 2016 and 2015 contain market conditions based on the total shareholder return (TSR) of Duke Energy stock relative to a predefined peer group (relative TSR). These awards are valued using a path-dependent model that incorporates expected relative TSR into the fair value determination of Duke Energy's performance-based share awards. The model uses three-year historical volatilities and correlations for all companies in the predefined peer group, including Duke Energy, to simulate Duke Energy's relative TSR as of the end of the performance period. For each simulation, Duke Energy's relative TSR associated with the simulated stock price at the end of the performance period plus expected dividends within the period results in a value per share for the award portfolio. The average of these simulations is the expected portfolio value per share. Actual life to date results of Duke Energy's relative TSR for each grant are incorporated within the model. For performance awards granted in 2017, the model used a risk-free interest rate of 1.5 percent, which reflects the yield on three-year Treasury bonds as of the grant date, and an expected volatility of 17.2 percent based on Duke Energy's historical volatility over three years using daily stock prices.

In addition to TSR, performance awards granted in 2017 and 2016 contain a performance condition based on Duke Energy's cumulative adjusted EPS. Performance awards granted in 2017 also contain a performance condition based on the total incident case rate, one of our key employee safety metrics. The actual number of shares issued will range from zero to 200 percent of target shares depending on the level of performance achieved.

The following table includes information related to stock-based performance awards.

| | Years Ended | | |
|---|--------------|------|------|
| | December 31, | | |
| | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 |
| Shares granted assuming target performance (in thousands) | 461 | 338 | 321 |
| Fair value (in millions) | \$37 | \$25 | \$26 |

The following table summarizes information about stock-based performance awards outstanding and assumes payout at the target level.

| | Shares | Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value (per share) |
|---|----------------|--|
| | (in thousands) | |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2016 | 862 | \$ 75 |
| Granted | 461 | 81 |
| Forfeited | (258) |) 69 |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2017 | 1,065 | 79 |
| Stock-based performance awards expected to vest | 1,034 | 79 |

No performance awards vested during the year ended December 31, 2017. The total grant date fair value of shares vested during the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015 was \$25 million and \$26 million, respectively. At December 31, 2017, Duke Energy had \$34 million of unrecognized compensation cost, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of twenty-three months.

STOCK OPTIONS

Stock options, when granted, have a maximum option term of 10 years and with an exercise price not less than the market price of Duke Energy's common stock on the grant date. There were no stock options granted or exercised during the year ended December 31, 2017. There were no stock options outstanding at December 31, 2017.

The following table summarizes additional information related to stock options exercised and granted.

| | Years Ended December 31, | |
|--|-----------------------------------|------|
| (in millions) | 2016 | 2015 |
| Intrinsic value of options exercised | \$ 1 | \$ 5 |
| Tax benefit related to options exercised | — | 2 |
| Cash received from options exercised | 7 | 17 |

PIEDMONT

Prior to Duke Energy's acquisition of Piedmont, Piedmont had an incentive compensation plan that had a series of three-year performance and RSU awards for eligible officers and other participants. The Agreement and Plan of Merger (Merger Agreement) between Duke Energy and Piedmont provided for the conversion of the 2014-2016 and 2015-2017 performance awards and the nonvested 2016 RSU award into the right to receive \$60 cash per share upon the close of the transaction. In December 2015, Piedmont's board of directors authorized the accelerated vesting, payment and taxation of the 2014-2016 and 2015-2017 performance awards, as well as the 2016 RSU award, at the election of the participant. Substantially all participants elected to accelerate the settlement of these awards. As a result of the settlement of these awards, 194 thousand shares of Piedmont shares were issued to participants, net of shares withheld for applicable federal and state income taxes, at a closing price of \$56.85 and a fair value of \$11 million. The 2016-2018 performance award cycle was approved subsequent to the Merger Agreement and was converted into a Duke Energy RSU award as discussed above at the consummation of the acquisition.

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

Piedmont's stock-based compensation costs and the tax benefit associated with stock-based compensation expense are included in the following table. Piedmont's stock-based compensation costs were not material for the two months ended December 31, 2016.

| | Years Ended October 31, | |
|--|----------------------------------|------|
| (in millions) | 2016 | 2015 |
| Pretax stock-based compensation cost | \$16 | \$14 |
| Tax benefit associated with stock-based compensation expense | 6 | 4 |
| Net of tax stock-based compensation cost | \$10 | \$10 |

21. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS

DEFINED BENEFIT RETIREMENT PLANS

Duke Energy and certain subsidiaries maintain, and the Subsidiary Registrants participate in, qualified, non-contributory defined benefit retirement plans. The Duke Energy plans cover most employees using a cash balance formula. Under a cash balance formula, a plan participant accumulates a retirement benefit consisting of pay credits based upon a percentage of current eligible earnings, age or age and years of service and interest credits. Certain employees are eligible for benefits that use a final average earnings formula. Under these final average earnings formulas, a plan participant accumulates a retirement benefit equal to the sum of percentages of their (i) highest three-year, four-year, or five-year average earnings, (ii) highest three-year, four-year, or five-year average earnings in excess of covered compensation per year of participation (maximum of 35 years), (iii) highest three-year average earnings times years of participation in excess of 35 years. Duke Energy also maintains, and the Subsidiary Registrants participate in, non-qualified, non-contributory defined benefit retirement plans that cover certain executives. The qualified and non-qualified, non-contributory defined benefit plans are closed to new participants. Duke Energy approved plan amendments to restructure its qualified non-contributory defined benefit retirement plans, effective January 1, 2018. The restructuring involved (i) the spin-off of the majority of inactive participants from two plans into a separate inactive plan and (ii) the merger of the active participant portions of such plans, along with a pension plan acquired as part of the Piedmont transaction, into a single active plan. Benefits offered to the plan participants remain unchanged except that the Piedmont plan's final average earnings formula was frozen as of December 31, 2017, and affected participants were moved into the active plan's cash balance formula. Actuarial gains and losses associated with the Inactive Plan will be amortized over the remaining life expectancy of the inactive participants. The longer amortization period is expected to lower Duke Energy's 2018 pretax qualified pension plan expense by approximately \$33 million.

Duke Energy uses a December 31 measurement date for its defined benefit retirement plan assets and obligations. Net periodic benefit costs disclosed in the tables below represent the cost of the respective benefit plan for the periods presented. However, portions of the net periodic benefit costs disclosed in the tables below have been capitalized as a component of property, plant and equipment. Amounts presented in the tables below for the Subsidiary Registrants represent the amounts of pension and other post-retirement benefit cost allocated by Duke Energy for employees of the Subsidiary Registrants. Additionally, the Subsidiary Registrants are allocated their proportionate share of pension and post-retirement benefit cost for employees of Duke Energy's shared services affiliate that provide support to the Subsidiary Registrants. These allocated amounts are included in the governance and shared service costs discussed in Note 13.

Duke Energy's policy is to fund amounts on an actuarial basis to provide assets sufficient to meet benefit payments to be paid to plan participants. The following table includes information related to the Duke Energy Registrants' contributions to its qualified defined benefit pension plans.

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

| (in millions) | Duke Energy | Duke Energy Carolinas | Progress Energy | Duke Energy Progress | Duke Energy Florida | Duke Energy Ohio | Duke Energy Indiana | Duke Energy Piedmont ^(a) |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Anticipated Contributions: | | | | | | | | |
| Total anticipated 2018 contributions | \$ 148 | \$ 46 | \$ 45 | \$ 25 | \$ 20 | \$ — | \$ 8 | \$ 7 |
| Contributions made January 2, 2018 | 141 | 46 | 45 | 25 | 20 | — | 8 | — |
| Contributions to be made in 2018 | \$ 7 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ 7 |
| Contributions Made: | | | | | | | | |
| 2017 | \$ 19 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ 4 | \$ — | \$ 11 |
| 2016 | 155 | 43 | 43 | 24 | 20 | 5 | 9 | |
| 2015 | 302 | 91 | 83 | 42 | 40 | 8 | 19 | |

^(a) Piedmont contributed \$10 million to its U.S. qualified defined benefit pension plan during the two months ended December 31, 2016, and for each of the years ended October 31, 2016, and 2015, respectively.

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

| | Piedmont | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|------|
| | Two Months Ended October 31, 2016 | Years Ended October 31, 2016 | 2015 |
| (in millions) | | | |
| Service cost | \$2 | \$11 | \$11 |
| Interest cost on projected benefit obligation | 2 | 9 | 12 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (4) | (24) | (24) |
| Amortization of actuarial loss | 2 | 8 | 9 |
| Amortization of prior service credit | (1) | (2) | (2) |
| Settlement charge | 3 | — | — |
| Net periodic pension costs | \$4 | \$2 | \$6 |

Amounts Recognized in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income and Regulatory Assets

| | Year Ended December 31, 2017 | | | | | | | |
|---|------------------------------|----------------|---------------|-------------|--------------|-----------|--------------|---------------|
| | Duke Energy | Duke Carolinas | Duke Progress | Duke Energy | Duke Florida | Duke Ohio | Duke Indiana | Duke Piedmont |
| (in millions) | | | | | | | | |
| Regulatory assets, net (decrease) increase | \$(212) | \$(70) | \$(49) | \$(37) | \$(11) | \$9 | \$(19) | \$(64) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss (income) | | | | | | | | |
| Deferred income tax expense | \$— | — | 3 | — | — | — | — | — |
| Prior year service cost arising during the year | 1 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Amortization of prior year actuarial losses | (7) | — | (7) | — | — | — | — | — |
| Net amount recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income | \$(6) | \$— | \$(4) | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$— |

| | Year Ended December 31, 2016 | | | | | | | |
|---|------------------------------|----------------|---------------|-------------|--------------|-----------|--------------|---------------|
| | Duke Energy | Duke Carolinas | Duke Progress | Duke Energy | Duke Florida | Duke Ohio | Duke Indiana | Duke Piedmont |
| (in millions) | | | | | | | | |
| Regulatory assets, net increase | \$214 | \$4 | \$34 | \$18 | \$16 | \$2 | \$9 | |
| Accumulated other comprehensive (income) loss | | | | | | | | |
| Deferred income tax expense | \$4 | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$— |
| Prior year service credit arising during the year | (2) | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Amortization of prior year actuarial losses | (7) | — | (1) | — | — | — | — | — |
| Net amount recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income | \$(5) | \$— | \$(1) | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$— |

Piedmont's regulatory asset net increase was \$34 million, \$35 million and \$20 million for the two months ended December 31, 2016, and for the years ended October 31, 2016, and 2015, respectively.

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. –
DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE
ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

Reconciliation of Funded Status to Net Amount Recognized

| (in millions) | Year Ended December 31, 2017 | | | | | | | |
|--|------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| | Duke Energy | Duke Energy Carolinas | Progress Energy | Duke Energy Progress | Duke Energy Florida | Duke Energy Ohio | Duke Energy Indiana | Duke Energy Piedmont |
| Change in Projected Benefit Obligation | | | | | | | | |
| Obligation at prior measurement date | \$8,131 | \$1,952 | \$2,512 | \$1,158 | \$1,323 | \$447 | \$658 | \$344 |
| Service cost | 159 | 48 | 45 | 26 | 19 | 4 | 9 | 10 |
| Interest cost | 328 | 79 | 100 | 47 | 53 | 18 | 26 | 14 |
| Actuarial loss | 455 | 68 | 158 | 57 | 99 | 35 | 26 | 38 |
| Transfers | — | 27 | (32) | (2) | (15) | 12 | — | — |
| Plan amendments | (61) | — | — | — | — | — | — | (61) |
| Benefits paid | (537) | (145) | (146) | (75) | (69) | (37) | (50) | (5) |
| Benefits paid - settlements | (27) | — | — | — | — | — | — | (27) |
| Obligation at measurement date | \$8,448 | \$2,029 | \$2,637 | \$1,211 | \$1,410 | \$479 | \$669 | \$313 |
| Accumulated Benefit Obligation at measurement date | \$8,369 | \$2,029 | \$2,601 | \$1,211 | \$1,375 | \$468 | \$652 | \$313 |
| Change in Fair Value of Plan Assets | | | | | | | | |
| Plan assets at prior measurement date | \$8,531 | \$2,225 | \$2,675 | \$1,290 | \$1,352 | \$428 | \$657 | \$346 |
| Employer contributions | 19 | — | — | — | — | 4 | — | 11 |
| Actual return on plan assets | 1,017 | 265 | 317 | 153 | 161 | 51 | 77 | 43 |
| Benefits paid | (537) | (145) | (146) | (75) | (69) | (37) | (50) | (5) |
| Benefits paid - settlements | (27) | — | — | — | — | — | — | (27) |
| Transfers | — | 27 | (32) | (2) | (15) | 12 | — | — |
| Plan assets at measurement date | \$9,003 | \$2,372 | \$2,814 | \$1,366 | \$1,429 | \$458 | \$684 | \$368 |
| Funded status of plan | \$555 | \$343 | \$177 | \$155 | \$19 | \$(21) | \$15 | \$55 |

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

| (in millions) | Year Ended December 31, 2016 | | | | | | |
|--|------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|---------------------|
| | Duke Energy | Duke Energy Carolinas | Progress Energy | Duke Energy Progress | Duke Energy Florida | Duke Energy Ohio | Duke Energy Indiana |
| Change in Projected Benefit Obligation | | | | | | | |
| Obligation at prior measurement date | \$7,727 | \$ 1,995 | \$ 2,451 | \$ 1,143 | \$ 1,276 | \$ 453 | \$ 649 |
| Obligation assumed from acquisition | 352 | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Service cost | 147 | 48 | 42 | 24 | 19 | 4 | 9 |
| Interest cost | 335 | 86 | 106 | 49 | 55 | 19 | 28 |
| Actuarial loss | 307 | 46 | 111 | 52 | 57 | 13 | 41 |
| Transfers | — | 14 | (3) | (3) | — | (3) | — |
| Plan amendments | (52) | (3) | — | — | — | (3) | (15) |
| Benefits paid | (679) | (234) | (195) | (107) | (84) | (36) | (54) |
| Impact of settlements | (6) | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Obligation at measurement date | \$8,131 | \$ 1,952 | \$ 2,512 | \$ 1,158 | \$ 1,323 | \$ 447 | \$ 658 |
| Accumulated Benefit Obligation at measurement date | \$8,006 | \$ 1,952 | \$ 2,479 | \$ 1,158 | \$ 1,290 | \$ 436 | \$ 649 |
| Change in Fair Value of Plan Assets | | | | | | | |
| Plan assets at prior measurement date | \$8,136 | \$ 2,243 | \$ 2,640 | \$ 1,284 | \$ 1,321 | \$ 433 | \$ 655 |
| Assets received from acquisition | 343 | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Employer contributions | 155 | 43 | 43 | 24 | 20 | 5 | 9 |
| Actual return on plan assets | 582 | 159 | 190 | 92 | 95 | 29 | 47 |
| Benefits paid | (679) | (234) | (195) | (107) | (84) | (36) | (54) |
| Impact of settlements | (6) | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Transfers | — | 14 | (3) | (3) | — | (3) | — |
| Plan assets at measurement date | \$8,531 | \$ 2,225 | \$ 2,675 | \$ 1,290 | \$ 1,352 | \$ 428 | \$ 657 |
| Funded status of plan | \$400 | \$ 273 | \$ 163 | \$ 132 | \$ 29 | \$ (19) | \$ (1) |
| | | | Piedmont | | | | |
| | | | Two | | | | |
| | | | Months | Years | | | |
| | | | Ended | Ended | | | |
| | | | December | October | | | |
| (in millions) | 31, | 31, | | | | | |
| | 2016 | 2016 | | | | | |
| Change in Projected Benefit Obligation | | | | | | | |
| Obligation at prior measurement date | \$352 | \$ 312 | | | | | |
| Service cost | 2 | 11 | | | | | |
| Interest cost | 2 | 9 | | | | | |
| Actuarial gain | (5) | 34 | | | | | |
| Benefits paid | (1) | (14) | | | | | |
| Impact of settlements | (6) | — | | | | | |
| Obligation at measurement date | \$344 | \$ 352 | | | | | |
| Accumulated Benefit Obligation at measurement date | \$289 | \$ 296 | | | | | |
| Change in Fair Value of Plan Assets | | | | | | | |
| Plan assets at prior measurement date | \$343 | \$ 329 | | | | | |

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

| | | |
|---------------------------------|-------|---------|
| Employer contributions | 10 | 10 |
| Actual return on plan assets | — | 18 |
| Benefits paid | (1) | (14) |
| Impact of settlements | (6) | — |
| Plan assets at measurement date | \$346 | \$ 343 |
| Funded status of plan | \$2 | \$ (9) |

225

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. –
DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE
ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

Amounts Recognized in the Consolidated Balance Sheets

| (in millions) | December 31, 2017 | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------|--------|----------|--------|---------|----------|---------|----------|
| | Duke | Duke | Duke | Duke | Duke | Duke | Duke | Duke |
| | Energy | Energy | Energy | Energy | Energy | Energy | Energy | Energy |
| | Duke | Energy | Progress | Energy | Florida | Ohio | Indiana | Piedmont |
| Prefunded pension ^(a) | \$680 | \$ 343 | \$ 245 | \$ 155 | \$ 87 | \$ 8 | \$ 16 | \$ 55 |
| Noncurrent pension liability ^(b) | \$125 | \$ — | \$ 68 | \$ — | \$ 68 | \$ 29 | \$ 1 | \$ — |
| Net asset (liability) recognized | \$555 | \$ 343 | \$ 177 | \$ 155 | \$ 19 | \$ (21) | \$ 15 | \$ 55 |
| Regulatory assets | \$1,886 | \$ 406 | \$ 756 | \$ 341 | \$ 415 | \$ 90 | \$ 152 | \$ 73 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive (income) loss | | | | | | | | |
| Deferred income tax benefit | \$(41) | \$ — | \$(3) | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — |
| Prior service credit | (5) | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Net actuarial loss | 116 | — | 9 | — | — | — | — | — |
| Net amounts recognized in accumulated other comprehensive loss | \$70 | \$ — | \$ 6 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — |
| Amounts to be recognized in net periodic pension costs in the next year | | | | | | | | |
| Unrecognized net actuarial loss | \$132 | \$ 29 | \$ 44 | \$ 21 | \$ 23 | \$ 5 | \$ 7 | \$ 11 |
| Unrecognized prior service credit | (32) | (8) | (3) | (2) | (1) | — | (2) | (9) |
| | December 31, 2016 | | | | | | | |
| | Duke | Duke | Duke | Duke | Duke | Duke | Duke | Duke |
| | Energy | Energy | Energy | Energy | Energy | Energy | Energy | Energy |
| | Duke | Energy | Progress | Energy | Florida | Ohio | Indiana | Piedmont |
| Prefunded pension ^(a) | \$518 | \$ 273 | \$ 225 | \$ 132 | \$ 91 | \$ 6 | \$ — | 3 |
| Noncurrent pension liability ^(b) | \$118 | \$ — | \$ 62 | \$ — | \$ 62 | \$ 25 | \$ 1 | — |
| Net asset recognized | \$400 | \$ 273 | \$ 163 | \$ 132 | \$ 29 | \$ (19) | \$ (1) | \$ 3 |
| Regulatory assets | \$2,098 | \$ 476 | \$ 805 | \$ 378 | \$ 426 | \$ 81 | \$ 171 | \$ 137 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive (income) loss | | | | | | | | |
| Deferred income tax benefit | \$(41) | \$ — | \$(6) | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — |
| Prior service credit | (6) | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Net actuarial loss | 123 | — | 16 | — | — | — | — | — |
| Net amounts recognized in accumulated other comprehensive loss | \$76 | \$ — | \$ 10 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — |
| Amounts to be recognized in net periodic pension costs in the next year | | | | | | | | |
| Unrecognized net actuarial loss | \$147 | \$ 31 | \$ 52 | \$ 23 | \$ 29 | \$ 5 | \$ 8 | \$ 13 |
| Unrecognized prior service credit | \$(24) | \$(8) | \$(3) | \$(2) | \$(1) | \$ — | \$(2) | \$(2) |

(a) Included in Other within Other Noncurrent Assets on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

(b) Included in Accrued pension and other post-retirement benefit costs on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

Information for Plans with Accumulated Benefit Obligation in Excess of Plan Assets

| (in millions) | December 31, 2017 | | | |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|---------------------|------------------|
| | Duke Energy | Progress Energy | Duke Energy Florida | Duke Energy Ohio |
| Projected benefit obligation | \$1,386 | \$ 718 | \$ 718 | \$ 337 |
| Accumulated benefit obligation | 1,326 | 683 | 683 | 326 |
| Fair value of plan assets | 1,260 | 650 | 650 | 308 |

| (in millions) | December 31, 2016 | | | |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|---------------------|------------------|
| | Duke Energy | Progress Energy | Duke Energy Florida | Duke Energy Ohio |
| Projected benefit obligation | \$1,299 | \$ 665 | \$ 665 | \$ 311 |
| Accumulated benefit obligation | 1,239 | 633 | 633 | 299 |
| Fair value of plan assets | 1,182 | 604 | 604 | 286 |

Assumptions Used for Pension Benefits Accounting

The discount rate used to determine the current year pension obligation and following year's pension expense is based on a bond selection-settlement portfolio approach. This approach develops a discount rate by selecting a portfolio of high quality corporate bonds that generate sufficient cash flow to provide for projected benefit payments of the plan. The selected bond portfolio is derived from a universe of non-callable corporate bonds rated Aa quality or higher. After the bond portfolio is selected, a single interest rate is determined that equates the present value of the plan's projected benefit payments discounted at this rate with the market value of the bonds selected.

The average remaining service period of active covered employees is 13 years for Duke Energy and Duke Energy Progress, 12 years for Duke Energy Carolinas, Progress Energy, and Duke Energy Florida, 14 years for Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Indiana, and nine years for Piedmont.

The following tables present the assumptions or range of assumptions used for pension benefit accounting.

| | December 31, | | |
|--|--------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 |
| Benefit Obligations | | | |
| Discount rate | 3.60% | 4.10% | 4.40% |
| Salary increase | 3.50%–4.00% | 4.00%–4.50% | 4.00%–4.40% |
| Net Periodic Benefit Cost | | | |
| Discount rate | 4.10% | 4.40% | 4.10% |
| Salary increase | 4.00%–4.50% | 4.00%–4.40% | 4.00%–4.40% |
| Expected long-term rate of return on plan assets | 6.50%–6.75% | 6.50%–6.75% | 6.50% |

| | Piedmont | | |
|----------------------------|-----------------|-------------|-------|
| | Two Years Ended | | |
| | Months Ended | October 31, | |
| | Ended | December | |
| | 31, | 2016 | 2015 |
| | 2016 | | |
| Benefit Obligations | | | |
| Discount rate | 4.10% | 3.80% | 4.34% |
| Salary increase | 4.50% | 4.05% | 4.07% |

Net Periodic Benefit Cost

| | | | |
|--|-------|-------|-------|
| Discount rate | 3.80% | 4.34% | 4.13% |
| Salary increase | 4.05% | 4.07% | 3.68% |
| Expected long-term rate of return on plan assets | 6.75% | 7.25% | 7.50% |

227

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

Expected Benefit Payments

| (in millions) | Duke Energy Carolinas | | Duke Energy Progress | | Duke Energy Florida | | Duke Energy Ohio | | Duke Energy Indiana | | Duke Energy Piedmont | |
|---------------------------|-----------------------|--------|----------------------|-------|---------------------|-------|------------------|-------|---------------------|--|----------------------|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Years ending December 31, | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2018 | \$ 642 | \$ 185 | \$ 161 | \$ 85 | \$ 75 | \$ 36 | \$ 47 | \$ 29 | | | | |
| 2019 | 644 | 185 | 164 | 86 | 77 | 36 | 46 | 26 | | | | |
| 2020 | 661 | 195 | 172 | 90 | 80 | 36 | 44 | 24 | | | | |
| 2021 | 666 | 194 | 175 | 93 | 81 | 37 | 44 | 24 | | | | |
| 2022 | 672 | 197 | 176 | 92 | 83 | 36 | 44 | 23 | | | | |
| 2023-2027 | 3,099 | 865 | 888 | 449 | 435 | 166 | 210 | 103 | | | | |

NON-QUALIFIED PENSION PLANS

Components of Net Periodic Pension Costs

| (in millions) | Year Ended December 31, 2017 | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|------------------------------|------|----------------------|------|---------------------|------|------------------|------|---------------------|------|----------------------|------|
| | Duke Energy Carolinas | | Duke Energy Progress | | Duke Energy Florida | | Duke Energy Ohio | | Duke Energy Indiana | | Duke Energy Piedmont | |
| Service cost | \$2 | \$ 1 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — |
| Interest cost on projected benefit obligation | 13 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 2 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Amortization of actuarial loss | 8 | — | 2 | 1 | 1 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Amortization of prior service credit | (2) | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Net periodic pension costs | \$21 | \$ 2 | \$ 7 | \$ 2 | \$ 3 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — |

| (in millions) | Year Ended December 31, 2016 | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|------------------------------|------|----------------------|------|---------------------|------|------------------|------|---------------------|------|----------------------|------|
| | Duke Energy Carolinas | | Duke Energy Progress | | Duke Energy Florida | | Duke Energy Ohio | | Duke Energy Indiana | | Duke Energy Piedmont | |
| Service cost | \$2 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — |
| Interest cost on projected benefit obligation | 14 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 2 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Amortization of actuarial loss | 8 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Amortization of prior service credit | (1) | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Net periodic pension costs | \$23 | \$ 2 | \$ 6 | \$ 2 | \$ 3 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — |

| (in millions) | Year Ended December 31, 2015 | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|------------------------------|------|----------------------|------|---------------------|------|------------------|------|---------------------|------|----------------------|------|
| | Duke Energy Carolinas | | Duke Energy Progress | | Duke Energy Florida | | Duke Energy Ohio | | Duke Energy Indiana | | Duke Energy Piedmont | |
| Service cost | \$3 | \$ — | \$ 1 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — |
| Interest cost on projected benefit obligation | 13 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 2 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Amortization of actuarial loss | 6 | — | 2 | 1 | 2 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Amortization of prior service credit | (1) | — | (1) | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Net periodic pension costs | \$21 | \$ 1 | \$ 6 | \$ 2 | \$ 4 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — |

Piedmont
Years
Ended
October
31,

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

| (in millions) | 2016 | 2015 |
|------------------------------------|------|------|
| Amortization of prior service cost | \$ — | \$ 1 |
| Settlement charge | 1 | — |
| Net periodic pension costs | \$ 1 | \$ 1 |

228

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

Amounts Recognized in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income and Regulatory Assets and Liabilities

| (in millions) | Year Ended December 31, 2017 | | | | | | | |
|--|------------------------------|---------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------|--------|
| | Duke | Duke | Duke | Duke | Duke | Duke | Duke | Duke |
| | Energy | Energy | Energy | Energy | Energy | Energy | Energy | Energy |
| Regulatory assets, net (decrease) increase | \$5 | \$ (1) | \$ 3 | \$ 1 | \$ 2 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — |
| Accumulated other comprehensive (income) loss | | | | | | | | |
| Deferred income tax benefit | \$(1) | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — |
| Actuarial loss arising during the year | 2 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Net amount recognized in accumulated other comprehensive loss (income) | \$1 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — |
| | Year Ended December 31, 2016 | | | | | | | |
| | Duke | Duke | Duke | Duke | Duke | Duke | Duke | Duke |
| | Energy | Energy | Energy | Energy | Energy | Energy | Energy | Energy |
| Regulatory assets, net (decrease) increase | \$(3) | \$ (2) | \$ 2 | \$ 1 | \$ 1 | \$ — | \$ (1) | \$ — |
| Accumulated other comprehensive (income) loss | | | | | | | | |
| Prior service credit arising during the year | \$(1) | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — |
| Actuarial gains arising during the year | 1 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Net amount recognized in accumulated other comprehensive loss (income) | \$— | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — |

Reconciliation of Funded Status to Net Amount Recognized

| (in millions) | Year Ended December 31, 2017 | | | | | | | |
|--|------------------------------|---------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| | Duke | Duke | Duke | Duke | Duke | Duke | Duke | Duke |
| | Energy | Energy | Energy | Energy | Energy | Energy | Energy | Energy |
| Change in Projected Benefit Obligation | | | | | | | | |
| Obligation at prior measurement date | \$332 | \$ 14 | \$ 114 | \$ 33 | \$ 46 | \$ 4 | \$ 3 | \$ 4 |
| Service cost | 2 | 1 | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Interest cost | 13 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 2 | — | — | — |
| Actuarial losses (gains) | 15 | — | 5 | 4 | 2 | — | — | — |
| Benefits paid | (31) | (2) | (8) | (3) | (3) | — | — | — |
| Obligation at measurement date | \$331 | \$ 14 | \$ 116 | \$ 35 | \$ 47 | \$ 4 | \$ 3 | \$ 4 |
| Accumulated Benefit Obligation at measurement date | \$331 | \$ 14 | \$ 116 | \$ 35 | \$ 47 | \$ 4 | \$ 3 | \$ 4 |
| Change in Fair Value of Plan Assets | | | | | | | | |
| Benefits paid | \$(31) | \$ (2) | \$(8) | \$(3) | \$(3) | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — |
| Employer contributions | 31 | 2 | 8 | 3 | 3 | — | — | — |
| Plan assets at measurement date | \$— | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — |

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. –
DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE
ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

Amounts Recognized in the Consolidated Balance Sheets

| (in millions) | December 31, 2017 | | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|---------------------|----------------------|------|------|
| | Duke Energy Carolina | Duke Energy Progress | Duke Energy Florida | Duke Energy Ohio | Duke Energy Indiana | Duke Energy Piedmont | | |
| Current pension liability ^(a) | \$23 | \$ 2 | \$ 8 | \$ 3 | \$ 3 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — |
| Noncurrent pension liability ^(b) | 308 | 12 | 108 | 32 | 44 | 4 | 3 | 4 |
| Total accrued pension liability | \$331 | \$ 14 | \$ 116 | \$ 35 | \$ 47 | \$ 4 | \$ 3 | \$ 4 |
| Regulatory assets | \$78 | \$ 4 | \$ 21 | \$ 8 | \$ 13 | \$ 1 | \$ — | \$ 1 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive (income) loss | | | | | | | | |
| Deferred income tax benefit | \$(4) | \$ — | \$(3) | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — |
| Prior service credit | (1) | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Net actuarial loss | 12 | — | 9 | — | — | — | — | — |
| Net amounts recognized in accumulated other comprehensive loss | \$7 | \$ — | \$ 6 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — |
| Amounts to be recognized in net periodic pension expense in the next year | | | | | | | | |
| Unrecognized net actuarial loss | \$8 | \$ — | \$ 2 | \$ 1 | \$ 1 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — |
| Unrecognized prior service credit | (2) | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| (in millions) | December 31, 2016 | | | | | | | |
| | Duke Energy Carolina | Duke Energy Progress | Duke Energy Florida | Duke Energy Ohio | Duke Energy Indiana | Duke Energy Piedmont | | |
| Current pension liability ^(a) | \$28 | \$ 2 | \$ 8 | \$ 2 | \$ 3 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — |
| Noncurrent pension liability ^(b) | 304 | 12 | 106 | 31 | 43 | 4 | 3 | 4 |
| Total accrued pension liability | \$332 | \$ 14 | \$ 114 | \$ 33 | \$ 46 | \$ 4 | \$ 3 | \$ 4 |
| Regulatory assets | \$73 | \$ 5 | \$ 18 | \$ 7 | \$ 11 | \$ 1 | \$ — | \$ 1 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive (income) loss | | | | | | | | |
| Deferred income tax benefit | \$(3) | \$ — | \$(3) | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — |
| Prior service credit | (1) | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Net actuarial loss | 10 | — | 9 | — | — | — | — | — |
| Net amounts recognized in accumulated other comprehensive loss | \$6 | \$ — | \$ 6 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — |
| Amounts to be recognized in net periodic pension expense in the next year | | | | | | | | |
| Unrecognized net actuarial loss | \$7 | \$ — | \$ 2 | \$ 1 | \$ 1 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — |
| Unrecognized prior service credit | \$(2) | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — |

(a) Included in Other within Current Liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

(b) Included in Accrued pension and other post-retirement benefit costs on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

Information for Plans with Accumulated Benefit Obligation in Excess of Plan Assets

| | December 31, 2017 | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| | Duke Energy | Duke Energy Carolinas | Progress Energy | Duke Energy Progress | Duke Energy Florida | Duke Energy Ohio | Duke Energy Indiana | Duke Energy Piedmont |
| (in millions) | | | | | | | | |
| Projected benefit obligation | \$ 331 | \$ 14 | \$ 116 | \$ 35 | \$ 47 | \$ 4 | \$ 3 | \$ 4 |
| Accumulated benefit obligation | 331 | 14 | 116 | 35 | 47 | 4 | 3 | 4 |

| | December 31, 2016 | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| | Duke Energy | Duke Energy Carolinas | Progress Energy | Duke Energy Progress | Duke Energy Florida | Duke Energy Ohio | Duke Energy Indiana | Duke Energy Piedmont |
| (in millions) | | | | | | | | |
| Projected benefit obligation | \$ 332 | \$ 14 | \$ 114 | \$ 33 | \$ 46 | \$ 4 | \$ 3 | \$ 4 |
| Accumulated benefit obligation | 332 | 14 | 114 | 33 | 46 | 4 | 3 | 4 |

Assumptions Used for Pension Benefits Accounting

The discount rate used to determine the current year pension obligation and following year's pension expense is based on a bond selection-settlement portfolio approach. This approach develops a discount rate by selecting a portfolio of high quality corporate bonds that generate sufficient cash flow to provide for projected benefit payments of the plan. The selected bond portfolio is derived from a universe of non-callable corporate bonds rated Aa quality or higher. After the bond portfolio is selected, a single interest rate is determined that equates the present value of the plan's projected benefit payments discounted at this rate with the market value of the bonds selected.

The average remaining service period of active covered employees is 11 years for Duke Energy and Duke Energy Progress, 14 years for Progress Energy, 15 years for Duke Energy Florida, eight years for Duke Energy Carolinas, Duke Energy Ohio, and Duke Energy Indiana, and nine years for Piedmont. The following tables present the assumptions used for pension benefit accounting.

| | December 31, | | |
|---------------------------|--------------|-------|-------|
| | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 |
| Benefit Obligations | | | |
| Discount rate | 3.60% | 4.10% | 4.40% |
| Salary increase | 3.50%–4.00% | 4.40% | 4.40% |
| Net Periodic Benefit Cost | | | |
| Discount rate | 4.10% | 4.40% | 4.10% |
| Salary increase | 4.40% | 4.40% | 4.40% |

| | Piedmont | | |
|---------------------------|------------------|-------------|-------|
| | Two Months Ended | Years Ended | |
| | Ended | October 31, | |
| | December | December | |
| | 31, | 2016 | 2015 |
| | 2016 | | |
| Benefit Obligations | | | |
| Discount rate | 4.10% | 3.80% | 3.85% |
| Net Periodic Benefit Cost | | | |
| Discount rate | 3.80% | 3.85% | 3.69% |

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

Expected Benefit Payments

| (in millions) | Duke Energy | | Progress Energy | | Duke Energy Florida | | Duke Energy Ohio | | Duke Energy Indiana | | Duke Energy Piedmont | |
|---------------------------|-------------|-----------|-----------------|----------|---------------------|---------|------------------|---------|---------------------|------|----------------------|------|
| | Duke Energy | Carolinas | Energy | Progress | Energy | Florida | Ohio | Indiana | Piedmont | | | |
| Years ending December 31, | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2018 | \$ 23 | \$ 2 | \$ 8 | \$ 3 | \$ 3 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — |
| 2019 | 21 | 1 | 8 | 2 | 3 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| 2020 | 21 | 1 | 8 | 2 | 3 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| 2021 | 22 | 1 | 8 | 2 | 3 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| 2022 | 25 | 1 | 8 | 2 | 3 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| 2023-2027 | 117 | 6 | 36 | 11 | 15 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 |

OTHER POST-RETIREMENT BENEFIT PLANS

Duke Energy provides, and the Subsidiary Registrants participate in, some health care and life insurance benefits for retired employees on a contributory and non-contributory basis. Employees are eligible for these benefits if they have met age and service requirements at retirement, as defined in the plans. The health care benefits include medical, dental and prescription drug coverage and are subject to certain limitations, such as deductibles and copayments. Duke Energy did not make any pre-funding contributions to its other post-retirement benefit plans during the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 or 2015.

Components of Net Periodic Other Post-Retirement Benefit Costs

| (in millions) | Year Ended December 31, 2017 | | | | | | | |
|---|------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| | Duke Energy | Duke Energy Carolinas | Progress Energy | Duke Energy Progress | Duke Energy Florida | Duke Energy Ohio | Duke Energy Indiana | Duke Energy Piedmont |
| Service cost | \$4 | \$ 1 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ 1 |
| Interest cost on accumulated post-retirement benefit obligation | 34 | 8 | 13 | 7 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 1 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (14) | (8) | — | — | — | — | (1) | (2) |
| Amortization of actuarial loss (gain) | 10 | (2) | 21 | 12 | 9 | (2) | (1) | 1 |
| Amortization of prior service credit | (115) | (10) | (84) | (54) | (30) | — | (1) | — |
| Curtailment credit ^(c) | \$(30) | \$(4) | \$(16) | \$ — | \$(16) | \$(2) | \$(2) | \$ — |
| Net periodic post-retirement benefit costs ^{(a)(b)} | \$(111) | \$(15) | \$(66) | \$(35) | \$(31) | \$(3) | \$(2) | \$ 1 |
| (in millions) | Year Ended December 31, 2016 | | | | | | | |
| | Duke Energy | Duke Energy Carolinas | Progress Energy | Duke Energy Progress | Duke Energy Florida | Duke Energy Ohio | Duke Energy Indiana | Duke Energy Piedmont |
| Service cost | \$3 | \$ 1 | \$ 1 | \$ — | \$ 1 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — |
| Interest cost on accumulated post-retirement benefit obligation | 35 | 8 | 15 | 8 | 7 | 1 | 4 | 4 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (12) | (8) | — | — | — | — | (1) | (1) |
| Amortization of actuarial loss (gain) | 6 | (3) | 22 | 13 | 9 | (2) | (1) | (1) |
| Amortization of prior service credit | (141) | (14) | (103) | (68) | (35) | — | (1) | (1) |
| Net periodic post-retirement benefit costs ^{(a)(b)} | \$(109) | \$(16) | \$(65) | \$(47) | \$(18) | \$(1) | \$(1) | \$ 1 |

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. –
DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE
ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

| (in millions) | Year Ended December 31, 2015 | | | | | | |
|---|------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|---------------------|
| | Duke Energy | Duke Carolinas | Progress Energy | Duke Energy Progress | Duke Energy Florida | Duke Energy Ohio | Duke Energy Indiana |
| Service cost | \$6 | \$ 1 | \$ 1 | \$ 1 | \$ 1 | \$ — | \$ 1 |
| Interest cost on accumulated post-retirement benefit obligation | 36 | 9 | 15 | 8 | 7 | 2 | 4 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (13) | (8) | — | — | — | (1) | (1) |
| Amortization of actuarial loss (gain) | 16 | (2) | 28 | 18 | 10 | (2) | (2) |
| Amortization of prior service credit | (140) | (14) | (102) | (68) | (35) | — | — |
| Net periodic post-retirement benefit costs ^{(a)(b)} | \$(95) | \$(14) | \$(58) | \$(41) | \$(17) | \$(1) | \$ 2 |

Duke Energy amounts exclude \$7 million, \$8 million and \$10 million for the years ended December 2017, 2016 (a) and 2015, respectively, of regulatory asset amortization resulting from purchase accounting adjustments associated with Duke Energy's merger with Cinergy in April 2006.

Duke Energy Ohio amounts exclude \$2 million, \$2 million and \$3 million for the years ended December 2017, (b) 2016 and 2015, respectively, of regulatory asset amortization resulting from purchase accounting adjustments associated with Duke Energy's merger with Cinergy in April 2006.

(c) Curtailment credit resulted from a reduction in average future service of plan participants due to a plan amendment.

| (in millions) | Piedmont | |
|---|-------------------------|------|
| | Years Ended October 31, | |
| | 2016 | 2015 |
| Service cost | \$ 1 | \$ 1 |
| Interest cost on projected benefit 1 obligation | | 2 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (2) | (2) |
| Amortization of actuarial loss | 1 | — |
| Net periodic pension costs | \$ 1 | \$ 1 |

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. –
DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE
ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

Amounts Recognized in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income and Regulatory Assets and Liabilities

| (in millions) | Year Ended December 31, 2017 | | | | | | | |
|---|------------------------------|---------|--------|--------|--------|---------|---------|----------|
| | Duke | Duke | Duke | Duke | Duke | Duke | Duke | |
| | Energy | Energy | Energy | Energy | Energy | Energy | Energy | |
| Regulatory assets, net increase (decrease) | \$71 | \$ — | \$ 81 | \$ 42 | \$ 39 | \$ — | \$ (5) | \$ (11) |
| Regulatory liabilities, net increase (decrease) | \$(27) | \$(2) | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$(3) | \$(7) | \$ — |
| Accumulated other comprehensive (income) loss | | | | | | | | |
| Deferred income tax benefit | \$(1) | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — |
| Amortization of prior year prior service credit | 3 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Net amount recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income | \$2 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — |

| (in millions) | Year Ended December 31, 2016 | | | | | | |
|---|------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|---------|----------|
| | Duke | Duke | Duke | Duke | Duke | Duke | Duke |
| | Energy | Energy | Energy | Energy | Energy | Energy | Energy |
| Regulatory assets, net increase (decrease) | \$53 | \$ — | \$ 47 | \$ 38 | \$ 9 | \$ — | \$ (6) |
| Regulatory liabilities, net increase (decrease) | \$(114) | \$(22) | \$(51) | \$(25) | \$(26) | \$(2) | \$(12) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive (income) loss | | | | | | | |
| Deferred income tax benefit | \$(2) | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — |
| Actuarial losses arising during the year | 3 | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Amortization of prior year prior service credit | 1 | — | 1 | — | — | — | — |
| Net amount recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income | \$2 | \$ — | \$ 1 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — |

Piedmont's regulatory assets net decreased \$1 million for the two months ended December 31, 2016, and increased \$2 million and \$1 million for the years ended October 31, 2016, and 2015, respectively.

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|-------|--------|-------|-------|-------|------|-------|
| Benefits paid | (88) | (17) | (36) | (17) | (19) | (4) | (13) |
| Employer contributions | 62 | 9 | 29 | 13 | 15 | 1 | 12 |
| Plan participants' contributions | 19 | 3 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| Plan assets at measurement date | \$244 | \$ 137 | \$ 1 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ 7 | \$ 22 |

236

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. –
 DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE
 ENERGY INDIANA, LLC– PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

| | Piedmont Two Months Ended December 31, 2016 | Years Ended October 31, 2016 |
|--|---|--|
| (in millions) | | |
| Change in Projected Benefit Obligation | | |
| Accumulated post-retirement benefit obligation at prior measurement date | \$39 | \$ 38 |
| Service cost | — | 1 |
| Interest cost | — | 1 |
| Actuarial gain | — | 2 |
| Benefits paid | — | (3) |
| Accumulated post-retirement benefit obligation at measurement date | \$39 | \$ 39 |
| Change in Fair Value of Plan Assets | | |
| Plan assets at prior measurement date | \$29 | \$ 28 |
| Employer contributions | — | 3 |
| Actual return on plan assets | — | 1 |
| Benefits paid | — | (3) |
| Plan assets at measurement date | \$29 | \$ 29 |

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. –
DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE
ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

Amounts Recognized in the Consolidated Balance Sheets

| (in millions) | December 31, 2017 | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------|-----------|----------|--------|--------|---------|---------|----------|
| | Duke | | Duke | | Duke | Duke | Duke | Duke |
| | Energy | Carolinas | Progress | Energy | Energy | Energy | Energy | Piedmont |
| Current post-retirement liability ^(a) | \$36 | \$ — | \$ 29 | \$ 15 | \$ 14 | \$ 2 | \$ — | \$ — |
| Noncurrent post-retirement liability ^(b) | 552 | 56 | 313 | 169 | 142 | 21 | 67 | 1 |
| Total accrued post-retirement liability | \$588 | \$ 56 | \$ 342 | \$ 184 | \$ 156 | \$ 23 | \$ 67 | \$ 1 |
| Regulatory assets | \$125 | \$ — | \$ 129 | \$ 80 | \$ 49 | \$ — | \$ 46 | \$ (4) |
| Regulatory liabilities | \$147 | \$ 44 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ 16 | \$ 64 | \$ — |
| Accumulated other comprehensive (income) loss | | | | | | | | |
| Deferred income tax expense | \$4 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — |
| Prior service credit | (2) | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Net actuarial gain | (10) | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Net amounts recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income | \$(8) | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — |
| Amounts to be recognized in net periodic pension expense in the next year | | | | | | | | |
| Unrecognized net actuarial loss | \$5 | \$ 3 | \$ 1 | \$ — | \$ 1 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — |
| Unrecognized prior service credit | (19) | (5) | (7) | (1) | (6) | (1) | (1) | (2) |
| (in millions) | December 31, 2016 | | | | | | | |
| | Duke | | Duke | | Duke | Duke | Duke | Duke |
| | Energy | Carolinas | Progress | Energy | Energy | Energy | Energy | Piedmont |
| Current post-retirement liability ^(a) | \$38 | \$ — | \$ 31 | \$ 17 | \$ 15 | \$ 2 | \$ — | \$ — |
| Noncurrent post-retirement liability ^(b) | 586 | 64 | 325 | 174 | 149 | 23 | 63 | 10 |
| Total accrued post-retirement liability | \$624 | \$ 64 | \$ 356 | \$ 191 | \$ 164 | \$ 25 | \$ 63 | \$ 10 |
| Regulatory assets | \$54 | \$ — | \$ 48 | \$ 38 | \$ 10 | \$ — | \$ 51 | \$ 7 |
| Regulatory liabilities | \$174 | \$ 46 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ 19 | \$ 71 | \$ — |
| Accumulated other comprehensive (income) loss | | | | | | | | |
| Deferred income tax expense | \$5 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — |
| Prior service credit | (5) | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Net actuarial gain | (10) | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Net amounts recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income | \$(10) | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — |
| Amounts to be recognized in net periodic pension expense in the next year | | | | | | | | |
| Unrecognized net actuarial loss (gain) | \$10 | \$ (2) | \$ 21 | \$ 12 | \$ 9 | \$ (2) | \$ (6) | \$ — |
| Unrecognized prior service credit | (115) | (10) | (85) | (55) | (30) | — | (1) | — |

(a) Included in Other within Current Liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

(b) Included in Accrued pension and other post-retirement benefit costs on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

Assumptions Used for Other Post-Retirement Benefits Accounting

The discount rate used to determine the current year other post-retirement benefits obligation and following year's other post-retirement benefits expense is based on a bond selection-settlement portfolio approach. This approach develops a discount rate by selecting a portfolio of high quality corporate bonds that generate sufficient cash flow to provide for projected benefit payments of the plan. The selected bond portfolio is derived from a universe of non-callable corporate bonds rated Aa quality or higher. After the bond portfolio is selected, a single interest rate is determined that equates the present value of the plan's projected benefit payments discounted at this rate with the market value of the bonds selected. The average remaining service period of active covered employees is nine years for Duke Energy, eight years for Duke Energy Carolinas, seven years for Duke Energy Florida, Duke Energy Ohio, and Piedmont, and six years for Progress Energy, Duke Energy Progress, and Duke Energy Indiana.

The following tables present the assumptions used for other post-retirement benefits accounting.

| | December 31, | | |
|--|--------------|--------|--------|
| | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 |
| Benefit Obligations | | | |
| Discount rate | 3.60 % | 4.10 % | 4.40 % |
| Net Periodic Benefit Cost | | | |
| Discount rate | 4.10 % | 4.40 % | 4.10 % |
| Expected long-term rate of return on plan assets | 6.50 % | 6.50 % | 6.50 % |
| Assumed tax rate | 35 % | 35 % | 35 % |

| | Piedmont | | |
|--|------------------|-------------|-------|
| | Two Months Ended | Years Ended | |
| | Ended | October 31, | |
| | December | 2016 | 2015 |
| | 31, | 2016 | 2015 |
| | 2016 | | |
| Benefit Obligations | | | |
| Discount rate | 4.10% | 3.80% | 4.38% |
| Net Periodic Benefit Cost | | | |
| Discount rate | 3.80% | 4.38% | 4.03% |
| Expected long-term rate of return on plan assets | 6.75% | 7.25% | 7.50% |
| Assumed Health Care Cost Trend Rate | | | |

| | December 31, | |
|--|--------------|--------|
| | 2017 | 2016 |
| Health care cost trend rate assumed for next year | 7.00 % | 7.00 % |
| Rate to which the cost trend is assumed to decline (the ultimate trend rate) | 4.75 % | 4.75 % |
| Year that rate reaches ultimate trend | 2024 | 2023 |

Sensitivity to Changes in Assumed Health Care Cost Trend Rates

| | Year Ended December 31, 2017 | | | | | | | |
|--|------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|---------------------|----------|
| | Duke Energy | Duke Energy Carolinas | Progress Energy | Duke Energy Progress | Duke Energy Florida | Duke Energy Ohio | Duke Energy Indiana | Piedmont |
| (in millions) | | | | | | | | |
| 1-Percentage Point Increase | | | | | | | | |
| Effect on total service and interest costs | \$1 | \$ — | \$ 1 | \$ 1 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — |
| Effect on post-retirement benefit obligation | 27 | 6 | 11 | 6 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 1 |

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

1-Percentage Point Decrease

| | | | | | | | |
|--|------|------|-------|------|------|------|-----------|
| Effect on total service and interest costs | (1) | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Effect on post-retirement benefit obligation | (24) | (6) | (10) | (5) | (5) | (1) | (2) (1) |

239

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

Expected Benefit Payments

| (in millions) | Duke | | Duke | | Duke | Duke | Duke | Duke |
|---------------------------|-------------|------------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| | Duke Energy | Carolinas Energy | Progress Energy | Duke Energy Progress | Duke Energy Florida | Duke Energy Ohio | Duke Energy Indiana | Duke Energy Piedmont |
| Years ending December 31, | | | | | | | | |
| 2018 | \$ 78 | \$ 17 | \$ 30 | \$ 16 | \$ 14 | \$ 3 | \$ 9 | \$ 2 |
| 2019 | 76 | 17 | 29 | 15 | 14 | 3 | 9 | 2 |
| 2020 | 73 | 17 | 29 | 15 | 14 | 3 | 8 | 2 |
| 2021 | 71 | 17 | 28 | 15 | 13 | 3 | 7 | 3 |
| 2022 | 68 | 17 | 27 | 14 | 13 | 3 | 7 | 3 |
| 2023 – 2027 | 290 | 70 | 117 | 63 | 54 | 12 | 29 | 13 |

PLAN ASSETS

Description and Allocations

Duke Energy Master Retirement Trust

Assets for both the qualified pension and other post-retirement benefits are maintained in the Duke Energy Master Retirement Trust. Qualified pension and other post-retirement assets related to Piedmont were transferred into the Duke Energy Master Retirement Trust during 2017. Approximately 98 percent of the Duke Energy Master Retirement Trust assets were allocated to qualified pension plans and approximately 2 percent were allocated to other post-retirement plans (comprised of 401(h) accounts), as of December 31, 2017, and 2016. The investment objective of the Duke Energy Master Retirement Trust is to achieve reasonable returns, subject to a prudent level of portfolio risk, for the purpose of enhancing the security of benefits for plan participants.

As of December 31, 2017, Duke Energy assumes pension and other post-retirement plan assets will generate a long-term rate of return of 6.50 percent. The expected long-term rate of return was developed using a weighted average calculation of expected returns based primarily on future expected returns across asset classes considering the use of active asset managers, where applicable. The asset allocation targets were set after considering the investment objective and the risk profile. Equity securities are held for their higher expected returns. Debt securities are primarily held to hedge the qualified pension plan liability. Hedge funds, real estate and other global securities are held for diversification. Investments within asset classes are diversified to achieve broad market participation and reduce the impact of individual managers or investments.

In 2013, Duke Energy adopted a de-risking investment strategy for the Duke Energy Master Retirement Trust. As the funded status of the pension plans increase, the targeted allocation to fixed-income assets may be increased to better manage Duke Energy's pension liability and reduce funded status volatility. Duke Energy regularly reviews its actual asset allocation and periodically rebalances its investments to the targeted allocation when considered appropriate. The Duke Energy Master Retirement Trust is authorized to engage in the lending of certain plan assets. Securities lending is an investment management enhancement that utilizes certain existing securities of the Duke Energy Master Retirement Trust to earn additional income. Securities lending involves the loaning of securities to approved parties. In return for the loaned securities, the Duke Energy Master Retirement Trust receives collateral in the form of cash and securities as a safeguard against possible default of any borrower on the return of the loan under terms that permit the Duke Energy Master Retirement Trust to sell the securities. The Duke Energy Master Retirement Trust mitigates credit risk associated with securities lending arrangements by monitoring the fair value of the securities loaned, with additional collateral obtained or refunded as necessary. The fair value of securities on loan was approximately \$195 million and \$156 million at December 31, 2017, and 2016, respectively. Cash and securities obtained as collateral exceeded the fair value of the securities loaned at December 31, 2017, and 2016, respectively. Securities lending income earned by the Duke Energy Master Retirement Trust was immaterial for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

Qualified pension and other post-retirement benefits for the Subsidiary Registrants are derived from the Duke Energy Master Retirement Trust, as such, each are allocated their proportionate share of the assets discussed below.

240

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

The following table includes the target asset allocations by asset class at December 31, 2017, and the actual asset allocations for the Duke Energy Master Retirement Trust.

| | Target Allocation | Actual Allocation at December 31, | | |
|----------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------|---|
| | | 2017 | 2016 ^(a) | |
| U.S. equity securities | 10 | % 11 | % 11 | % |
| Non-U.S. equity securities | 8 | % 8 | % 8 | % |
| Global equity securities | 10 | % 10 | % 10 | % |
| Global private equity securities | 3 | % 2 | % 2 | % |
| Debt securities | 63 | % 63 | % 63 | % |
| Hedge funds | 2 | % 2 | % 2 | % |
| Real estate and cash | 2 | % 2 | % 2 | % |
| Other global securities | 2 | % 2 | % 2 | % |
| Total | 100 | % 100 | % 100 | % |

Excludes Piedmont Pension Assets, which had a targeted asset allocation of 60 percent return-seeking and 40 percent liability hedging fixed-income. Actual asset allocations were 61 percent return-seeking and 39 percent liability hedging fixed-income at December 31, 2016.

Other post-retirement assets

Duke Energy's other post-retirement assets are comprised of Voluntary Employees' Beneficiary Association (VEBA) trusts and 401(h) accounts held within the Duke Energy Master Retirement Trust. Duke Energy's investment objective is to achieve sufficient returns, subject to a prudent level of portfolio risk, for the purpose of promoting the security of plan benefits for participants.

The following table presents target and actual asset allocations for the VEBA trusts at December 31, 2017.

| | Target Allocation | Actual Allocation at December 31, | | |
|--------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|-------|---|
| | | 2017 | 2016 | |
| U.S. equity securities | 32 | % 41 | % 39 | % |
| Non-US equity securities | 6 | % 8 | % — | % |
| Real estate | 2 | % 2 | % 2 | % |
| Debt securities | 45 | % 36 | % 37 | % |
| Cash | 15 | % 13 | % 22 | % |
| Total | 100 | % 100 | % 100 | % |

Fair Value Measurements

Duke Energy classifies recurring and non-recurring fair value measurements based on the fair value hierarchy as discussed in Note 16.

Valuation methods of the primary fair value measurements disclosed below are as follows:

Investments in equity securities

Investments in equity securities are typically valued at the closing price in the principal active market as of the last business day of the reporting period. Principal active markets for equity prices include published exchanges such as NASDAQ and NYSE. Foreign equity prices are translated from their trading currency using the currency exchange rate in effect at the close of the principal active market. Prices have not been adjusted to reflect after-hours market activity. The majority of investments in equity securities are valued using Level 1 measurements. When the price of an institutional commingled fund is unpublished, it is not categorized in the fair value hierarchy, even though the

funds are readily available at the fair value.

Investments in corporate debt securities and U.S. government securities

Most debt investments are valued based on a calculation using interest rate curves and credit spreads applied to the terms of the debt instrument (maturity and coupon interest rate) and consider the counterparty credit rating. Most debt valuations are Level 2 measurements. If the market for a particular fixed-income security is relatively inactive or illiquid, the measurement is Level 3. U.S. Treasury debt is typically Level 2.

Investments in short-term investment funds

Investments in short-term investment funds are valued at the net asset value of units held at year end and are readily redeemable at the measurement date. Investments in short-term investment funds with published prices are valued as Level 1. Investments in short-term investment funds with unpublished prices are valued as Level 2.

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

Investments in real estate limited partnerships

Investments in real estate limited partnerships are valued by the trustee at each valuation date (monthly). As part of the trustee's valuation process, properties are externally appraised generally on an annual basis, conducted by reputable, independent appraisal firms, and signed by appraisers that are members of the Appraisal Institute, with the professional designation MAI. Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. There are three valuation techniques that can be used to value investments in real estate assets: the market, income or cost approach. The appropriateness of each valuation technique depends on the type of asset or business being valued. In addition, the trustee may cause additional appraisals to be performed as warranted by specific asset or market conditions. Property valuations and the salient valuation-sensitive assumptions of each direct investment property are reviewed by the trustee quarterly and values are adjusted if there has been a significant change in circumstances related to the investment property since the last valuation. Value adjustments for interim capital expenditures are only recognized to the extent that the valuation process acknowledges a corresponding increase in fair value. An independent firm is hired to review and approve quarterly direct real estate valuations. Key inputs and assumptions used to determine fair value includes among others, rental revenue and expense amounts and related revenue and expense growth rates, terminal capitalization rates and discount rates. Development investments are valued using cost incurred to date as a primary input until substantive progress is achieved in terms of mitigating construction and leasing risk at which point a discounted cash flow approach is more heavily weighted. Key inputs and assumptions in addition to those noted above used to determine the fair value of development investments include construction costs and the status of construction completion and leasing. Investments in real estate limited partnerships are valued at net asset value of units held at year end and are not readily redeemable at the measurement date. Investments in real estate limited partnerships are not categorized within the fair value hierarchy.

Duke Energy Master Retirement Trust

The following tables provide the fair value measurement amounts for the Duke Energy Master Retirement Trust qualified pension and other post-retirement assets.

| (in millions) | December 31, 2017 | | | | |
|--|-------------------|---------|---------|---------|--------------------------------|
| | Total Fair Value | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Not Categorized ^(b) |
| Equity securities | \$2,823 | \$1,976 | \$— | \$— | 847 |
| Corporate debt securities | 4,694 | — | 4,694 | — | — |
| Short-term investment funds | 246 | 192 | 54 | — | — |
| Partnership interests | 137 | — | — | — | 137 |
| Hedge funds | 226 | — | — | — | 226 |
| Real estate limited partnerships | 135 | — | — | — | 135 |
| U.S. government securities | 762 | — | 762 | — | — |
| Guaranteed investment contracts | 28 | — | — | 28 | — |
| Governments bonds – foreign | 38 | — | 38 | — | — |
| Cash | 6 | 6 | — | — | — |
| Government and commercial mortgage backed securities | 2 | — | 2 | — | — |
| Net pending transactions and other investments | 17 | 15 | 2 | — | — |
| Total assets ^(a) | \$9,114 | \$2,189 | \$5,552 | \$ 28 | \$ 1,345 |

(a) Duke Energy Carolinas, Progress Energy, Duke Energy Progress, Duke Energy Florida, Duke Energy Ohio, Duke Energy Indiana, and Piedmont were allocated approximately 27 percent, 30 percent, 15 percent, 15 percent, 5

percent, 8 percent, and 4 percent, respectively, of the Duke Energy Master Retirement Trust at December 31, 2017. Accordingly, all amounts included in the table above are allocable to the Subsidiary Registrants using these percentages.

- (b) Certain investments that are measured at fair value using the net asset value per share practical expedient have not been categorized in the fair value hierarchy.

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

| (in millions) | December 31, 2016 | | | | |
|--|------------------------|---------|---------|-------|-----------------------------------|
| | Total Fair Value | Level | | | Not Categorized ^(b) |
| | | 1 | 2 | 3 | |
| Equity securities | \$2,472 | \$1,677 | \$27 | \$ 9 | 759 |
| Corporate debt securities | 4,330 | 8 | 4,322 | — | — |
| Short-term investment funds | 476 | 211 | 265 | — | — |
| Partnership interests | 157 | — | — | — | 157 |
| Hedge funds | 232 | — | — | — | 232 |
| Real estate limited partnerships | 144 | 17 | — | — | 127 |
| U.S. government securities | 734 | — | 734 | — | — |
| Guaranteed investment contracts | 29 | — | — | 29 | — |
| Governments bonds – foreign | 32 | — | 32 | — | — |
| Cash | 17 | 15 | 2 | — | — |
| Net pending transactions and other investments | 32 | 1 | 6 | — | 25 |
| Total assets ^(a) | \$8,655 | \$1,929 | \$5,388 | \$ 38 | \$ 1,300 |

Duke Energy Carolinas, Progress Energy, Duke Energy Progress, Duke Energy Florida, Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Indiana were allocated approximately 27 percent, 30 percent, 15 percent, 15 percent, 5 percent and 8 (a) percent, respectively, of the Duke Energy Master Retirement Trust and Piedmont's Pension assets at December 31, 2016. Accordingly, all amounts included in the table above are allocable to the Subsidiary Registrants using these percentages.

(b) Certain investments that are measured at fair value using the net asset value per share practical expedient have not been categorized in the fair value hierarchy.

The following table provides a reconciliation of beginning and ending balances of Duke Energy Master Retirement Trust qualified pension and other post-retirement assets and Piedmont Pension Assets at fair value on a recurring basis where the determination of fair value includes significant unobservable inputs (Level 3).

| (in millions) | 2017 | 2016 |
|---|-------|-------|
| Balance at January 1 | \$ 38 | \$ 31 |
| Combination of Piedmont Pension Assets | — | 9 |
| Sales | (2) | (2) |
| Total gains (losses) and other, net | 1 | — |
| Transfer of Level 3 assets to other classifications | (9) | — |
| Balance at December 31 | \$ 28 | \$ 38 |

Other post-retirement assets

The following tables provide the fair value measurement amounts for VEBA trust assets.

| (in millions) | December 31, | |
|---------------------------|------------------------|------------|
| | 2017 | |
| | Total Fair Value | Level 2 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 8 | \$ 8 |
| Real estate | 1 | 1 |
| Equity securities | 28 | 28 |

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

| | | |
|---------------------------|--------------|-------|
| Debt securities | 21 | 21 |
| Total assets | \$ 58 | \$ 58 |
| | December 31, | |
| | 2016 | |
| | Total | |
| | Fair | |
| (in millions) | Value | Level |
| | | 2 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 14 | \$ 14 |
| Real estate | 1 | 1 |
| Equity securities | 26 | 26 |
| Debt securities | 25 | 25 |
| Total assets | \$ 66 | \$ 66 |

243

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

EMPLOYEE SAVINGS PLANS

Retirement Savings Plan

Duke Energy or its affiliates sponsor, and the Subsidiary Registrants participate in, employee savings plans that cover substantially all U.S. employees. Most employees participate in a matching contribution formula where Duke Energy provides a matching contribution generally equal to 100 percent of employee before-tax and Roth 401(k) contributions of up to 6 percent of eligible pay per pay period (5 percent for Piedmont employees). Dividends on Duke Energy shares held by the savings plans are charged to retained earnings when declared and shares held in the plans are considered outstanding in the calculation of basic and diluted EPS.

As of January 1, 2014, for new and rehired non-union and certain unionized employees (excludes Piedmont employees until 2018 plan year, discussed below) who are not eligible to participate in Duke Energy's defined benefit plans, an additional employer contribution of 4 percent of eligible pay per pay period, which is subject to a three-year vesting schedule, is provided to the employee's savings plan account.

The following table includes pretax employer matching contributions made by Duke Energy and expensed by the Subsidiary Registrants.

| (in millions) | Duke | | Duke | | Duke | Duke | Duke | Duke |
|--------------------------|-------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|-------------|----------------|-------------------------|
| | Duke Energy | Carolinas Energy | Progress Energy | Energy Progress | Energy Florida | Energy Ohio | Energy Indiana | Piedmont ^(a) |
| Years ended December 31, | | | | | | | | |
| 2017 | \$ 179 | \$ 61 | \$ 53 | \$ 37 | \$ 16 | \$ 3 | \$ 9 | \$ 7 |
| 2016 | 169 | 57 | 50 | 35 | 15 | 3 | 8 | — |
| 2015 | 159 | 54 | 48 | 34 | 13 | 3 | 7 | — |

(a) Piedmont's pretax employer matching contributions were \$1 million, \$7 million and \$7 million during the two months ended December 31, 2016 and for the years ended October 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

Money Purchase Pension Plan

Piedmont sponsors the MPP plan, which is a defined contribution pension plan that allows employees to direct investments and assume risk of investment returns. Under the MPP plan, Piedmont annually deposits a percentage of each participant's pay into an account of the MPP plan. This contribution equals 4 percent of the participant's eligible compensation plus an additional 4 percent of eligible compensation above the Social Security wage base up to the IRS compensation limit. The participant is vested in MPP plan after three years of service. No contributions were made to the MPP plan during the two months ended December 31, 2016. Piedmont contributed \$2 million to the MPP plan during each of the years ended December 31, 2017, October 31, 2016 and 2015. Effective December 31, 2017, the MPP Plan was merged into the Retirement Savings Plan and the money purchase plan formula was discontinued. Beginning with the 2018 plan year, the former MPP Plan participants are eligible to receive the additional employer contribution under the Retirement Savings Plan, discussed above.

22. INCOME TAXES

Tax Act

On December 22, 2017, President Trump signed the Tax Act into law. Among other provisions, the Tax Act lowers the corporate federal income tax rate from 35 percent to 21 percent and eliminates bonus depreciation for regulated utilities, effective January 1, 2018. The Tax Act also could be amended or subject to technical correction, which could change the financial impacts that were recorded at December 31, 2017, or are expected to be recorded in future periods. The FERC and state utility commissions will determine the regulatory treatment of the impacts of the Tax Act for the Subsidiary Registrants. The Duke Energy Registrants' future results of operations, financial condition and cash flows could be adversely impacted by the Tax Act, subsequent amendments or corrections or the actions of the FERC, state utility commissions or credit rating agencies related to the Tax Act. Duke Energy is reviewing orders to address the rate treatment of the Tax Act by each state utility commission in which the Subsidiary Registrants operate. See

Note 4 for additional information. Beginning in January 2018, the Subsidiary Registrants will defer the estimated ongoing impacts of the Tax Act that are expected to be returned to customers.

As a result of the Tax Act, Duke Energy revalued its existing deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities as of December 31, 2017, to account for the estimated future impact of lower corporate tax rates on these deferred tax amounts. For Duke Energy's regulated operations, where the reduction in the net accumulated deferred income tax (ADIT) liability is expected to be returned to customers in future rates, the net remeasurement has been deferred as a regulatory liability. The regulatory liability for income taxes includes the effect of the reduction of the net deferred tax liability including the tax gross-up of the excess accumulated deferred tax liabilities and the effect of the new tax rate on the previous regulatory asset for income taxes. Excess accumulated deferred income taxes are generally classified as either "protected" or "unprotected" under IRS rules. Protected excess ADIT, resulting from accumulated tax depreciation of public utility property, are required to utilize the average rate assumption method under the IRS normalization rules for determining the timing of the return to customers. The majority of the excess ADIT is related to protected amounts associated with public utility property. See Note 4 for additional information on the Tax Act's impact to the regulatory asset and liability accounts.

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

On December 22, 2017, the SEC staff issued Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 118, Income Tax Accounting Implications of the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act (SAB 118), which provides guidance on accounting for the Tax Act's impact. SAB 118 provides a measurement period, which in no case should extend beyond one year from the Tax Act enactment date, during which a company acting in good faith may complete the accounting for the impacts of the Tax Act under ASC Topic 740. In accordance with SAB 118, a company must reflect the income tax effects of the Tax Act in the reporting period in which the accounting under ASC Topic 740 is complete. To the extent that a company's accounting for certain income tax effects of the Tax Act is incomplete, a company can determine a reasonable estimate for those effects and record a provisional estimate in the financial statements in the first reporting period in which a reasonable estimate can be determined.

Duke Energy recorded a provisional net tax benefit of \$112 million related to the Tax Act in the period ending December 31, 2017. This net benefit primarily consists of a net benefit of \$534 million due to the remeasurement of deferred tax accounts to reflect the corporate rate reduction impact to net deferred tax balances, a net expense for the establishment of a valuation allowance related to foreign tax credits of \$406 million and a transition tax on previously untaxed earnings and profits on foreign subsidiaries of \$10 million. The majority of Duke Energy's operations are regulated and it is expected that the Subsidiary Registrants will ultimately pass on the savings associated with the amount representing the remeasurement of deferred tax balances related to regulated operations to customers. Duke Energy recorded a regulatory liability of \$8,313 million, representing the revaluation of those deferred tax balances. The Subsidiary Registrants continue to respond to requests from regulators in various jurisdictions to determine the timing and magnitude of savings they will pass on to customers.

The net provisional charge from deferred tax remeasurement and assessment of valuation allowance is based on currently available information and interpretations which are continuing to evolve. Duke Energy continues to analyze additional information and guidance related to certain aspects of the Tax Act, such as limitations on the deductibility of interest and executive compensation, conformity or decoupling by state legislatures in response to the Tax Act, and the final determination of the net deferred tax liabilities subject to the remeasurement. The prospects of supplemental legislation or regulatory processes to address questions that arise because of the Tax Act, or evolving technical interpretations of the tax law, may also cause the final impact from the Tax Act to differ from the estimated amounts. Duke Energy continues to appropriately refine such amounts within the measurement period allowed by SAB 118, which will be completed no later than the fourth quarter of 2018.

Income Tax Expense

Components of Income Tax Expense

| (in millions) | Year Ended December 31, 2017 | | | | | | | |
|--|------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| | Duke Energy | Duke Energy Carolinas | Progress Energy | Duke Energy Progress | Duke Energy Florida | Duke Energy Ohio | Duke Energy Indiana | Duke Energy Piedmont |
| Current income taxes | | | | | | | | |
| Federal | \$(247) | \$ 221 | \$(436) | \$(95) | \$(188) | \$(37) | \$ 128 | \$(90) |
| State | 4 | 20 | (5) | 2 | (11) | 2 | 21 | (3) |
| Foreign | 3 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Total current income taxes | (240) | 241 | (441) | (93) | (199) | (35) | 149 | (93) |
| Deferred income taxes | | | | | | | | |
| Federal | 1,344 | 381 | 664 | 378 | 194 | 99 | 138 | 147 |
| State | 102 | 35 | 44 | 10 | 51 | (4) | 14 | 8 |
| Total deferred income taxes ^{(a) (b)} | 1,446 | 416 | 708 | 388 | 245 | 95 | 152 | 155 |
| Investment tax credit amortization | (10) | (5) | (3) | (3) | — | (1) | — | — |
| Income tax expense from continuing operations | 1,196 | 652 | 264 | 292 | 46 | 59 | 301 | 62 |

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|---------|--------|--------|--------|------|-------|--------|-------|
| Tax benefit from discontinued operations | (6 |)— | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Total income tax expense included in Consolidated Statements of Operations | \$1,190 | \$ 652 | \$ 264 | \$ 292 | \$46 | \$ 59 | \$ 301 | \$ 62 |

Includes utilization of NOL (Net operating loss) carryforwards and tax credit carryforwards of \$428 million at Duke Energy, \$74 million at Progress Energy, \$36 million at Duke Energy Florida, \$17 million at Duke Energy (a) Ohio, \$42 million at Duke Energy Indiana and \$79 million at Piedmont. In addition the total deferred income taxes Includes benefits of NOL carryforwards and tax credit carryforwards of \$10 million at Duke Energy Carolinas and \$1 million at Duke Energy Progress.

As a result of the Tax Act, Duke Energy's deferred tax assets and liabilities were revalued as of December 31, (b)2017. See the Statutory Rate Reconciliation section below for additional information on the Tax Act's impact on income tax expense.

245

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. –
DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE
ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

| (in millions) | Year Ended December 31, 2016 | | | | | | |
|---|------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|
| | Duke Energy | Duke Energy Carolinas | Progress Energy | Duke Energy Progress | Duke Energy Florida | Duke Energy Ohio | Duke Energy Indiana |
| Current income taxes | | | | | | | |
| Federal | \$— | \$ 139 | \$ 15 | \$(59) | \$ 76 | \$(7) | \$ 7 |
| State | (15) |)25 | (19) | (25) |)22 | (13) |)6 |
| Foreign | 2 | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Total current income taxes | (13) |)164 | (4) | (84) |)98 | (20) |)13 |
| Deferred income taxes | | | | | | | |
| Federal | 1,064 | 430 | 486 | 350 | 199 | 88 | 202 |
| State | 117 | 45 | 50 | 40 | 25 | 11 | 11 |
| Total deferred income taxes ^(a) | 1,181 | 475 | 536 | 390 | 224 | 99 | 213 |
| Investment tax credit amortization | (12) |)5 |)5 | (5) |)— | (1) |)1 |
| Income tax expense from continuing operations | 1,156 | 634 | 527 | 301 | 322 | 78 | 225 |
| Tax (benefit) expense from discontinued operations | (30) |)— | 1 | — | — | (36) |)— |
| Total income tax expense included in Consolidated Statements of Operations | \$1,126 | \$ 634 | \$ 528 | \$ 301 | \$ 322 | \$ 42 | \$ 225 |

Includes benefits of NOL carryforwards and utilization of NOL and tax credit carryforwards of \$648 million at
(a) Duke Energy, \$4 million at Duke Energy Carolinas, \$190 million at Progress Energy, \$60 million at Duke Energy
Progress, \$49 million at Duke Energy Florida, \$26 million at Duke Energy Ohio and \$58 million at Duke Energy
Indiana.

| (in millions) | Year Ended December 31, 2015 | | | | | | |
|---|------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|
| | Duke Energy | Duke Energy Carolinas | Progress Energy | Duke Energy Progress | Duke Energy Florida | Duke Energy Ohio | Duke Energy Indiana |
| Current income taxes | | | | | | | |
| Federal | \$— | \$ 216 | \$(193) | \$(56) | \$ 1 | \$(18) | \$(86) |
| State | (12) |)14 | 1 | (4) | (7) | (1) | (12) |
| Foreign | 4 | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Total current income taxes | (8) |)230 | (192) | (60) | (6) | (19) | (98) |
| Deferred income taxes | | | | | | | |
| Federal | 1,097 | 345 | 694 | 334 | 290 | 96 | 245 |
| State | 181 | 57 | 27 | 27 | 58 | 5 | 17 |
| Total deferred income taxes ^(a) | 1,278 | 402 | 721 | 361 | 348 | 101 | 262 |
| Investment tax credit amortization | (14) |)5 |)7 | (7) |)— | (1) |)1 |
| Income tax expense from continuing operations | 1,256 | 627 | 522 | 294 | 342 | 81 | 163 |
| Tax expense (benefit) from discontinued operations | 89 | — | (1) | — | — | 22 | — |
| Total income tax expense included in Consolidated Statements of Operations | \$1,345 | \$ 627 | \$ 521 | \$ 294 | \$ 342 | \$ 103 | \$ 163 |

Includes utilization of NOL carryforwards and tax credit carryforwards of \$264 million at Duke Energy, \$15
(a) million at Duke Energy Carolinas, \$119 million at Progress Energy, \$21 million at Duke Energy Progress, \$84
million at Duke Energy Florida, \$3 million at Duke Energy Ohio and \$45 million at Duke Energy Indiana.

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

| | Piedmont | | |
|---|---------------|-------|-------|
| | Years | | |
| | Two Ended | | |
| | Months | | |
| | Ended | | |
| | October | | |
| | 31, | | |
| | December | | |
| | 31, 2016 2015 | | |
| | 2016 | | |
| (in millions) | | | |
| Current income taxes | | | |
| Federal | \$4 | \$27 | \$(1) |
| State | (2) |)12 | 1 |
| Total current income taxes | 2 | 39 | — |
| Deferred income taxes | | | |
| Federal | 24 | 79 | 78 |
| State | 6 | 6 | 12 |
| Total deferred income taxes ^{(a)(b)} | 30 | 85 | 90 |
| Total income tax expense from continuing operations included in Consolidated Statements of Operations | \$32 | \$124 | \$90 |

(a) Includes benefits of NOL and tax carryforwards of \$17 million and \$91 million for the two months ended December 31, 2016, and the year ended October 31, 2016, respectively.

(b) Includes benefits and utilization of NOL carryforwards of \$46 million for the year ended October 31, 2015.

Duke Energy Income from Continuing Operations before Income Taxes

| | Years Ended | | |
|---|--------------|---------|---------|
| | December 31, | | |
| (in millions) | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 |
| Domestic ^(a) | \$4,207 | \$3,689 | \$3,831 |
| Foreign | 59 | 45 | 79 |
| Income from continuing operations before income taxes | \$4,266 | \$3,734 | \$3,910 |

(a) Includes a \$16 million expense in 2017 related to the Tax Act impact on equity earnings included within Equity in earnings (losses) of unconsolidated affiliates on the Consolidated Statement of Operations.

Taxes on Foreign Earnings

In February 2016, Duke Energy announced it had initiated a process to divest the International Disposal Group and, accordingly, no longer intended to indefinitely reinvest post-2014 undistributed foreign earnings. This change in the company's intent, combined with the extension of bonus depreciation by Congress in late 2015, allowed Duke Energy to more efficiently utilize foreign tax credits and reduce U.S. deferred tax liabilities associated with the historical unremitted foreign earnings by approximately \$95 million during the year ended December 31, 2016.

Due to the classification of the International Disposal Group as discontinued operations beginning in the fourth quarter of 2016, income tax amounts related to the International Disposal Group's foreign earnings are presented within (Loss) Income From Discontinued Operations, net of tax on the Consolidated Statements of Operations. In December 2016, Duke Energy closed on the sale of the International Disposal Group in two separate transactions to execute the divestiture. See Note 2 for additional information on the sale.

Statutory Rate Reconciliation

The following tables present a reconciliation of income tax expense at the U.S. federal statutory tax rate to the actual tax expense from continuing operations.

Year Ended December 31, 2017

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

| (in millions) | Duke Energy | Duke Energy Carolinas | Progress Energy | Duke Energy Progress | Duke Energy Florida | Duke Energy Ohio | Duke Energy Indiana | Duke Energy Piedmont |
|--|-------------|-----------------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| Income tax expense, computed at the statutory rate of 35 percent | \$1,493 | \$ 653 | \$ 536 | \$ 353 | \$ 265 | \$ 88 | \$ 229 | \$ 70 |
| State income tax, net of federal income tax effect | 69 | 36 | 25 | 8 | 26 | (1) | 23 | 3 |
| AFUDC equity income | (81) | (37) | (32) | (17) | (16) | (4) | (8) | — |
| Renewable energy production tax credits Tax Act ^(a) | (132) | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Tax true-up | (112) | 15 | (246) | (40) | (226) | (23) | 55 | (12) |
| Other items, net | (52) | (24) | (19) | (13) | (7) | (5) | (6) | — |
| Income tax expense from continuing operations | 11 | 9 | — | 1 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 1 |
| Effective tax rate | \$1,196 | \$ 652 | \$ 264 | \$ 292 | \$ 46 | \$ 59 | \$ 301 | \$ 62 |
| | 28.0 | % 34.9 | % 17.2 | % 29.0 | % 6.1 | % 23.4 | % 46.0 | % 30.8 |

247

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

Amounts primarily include but are not limited to items that are excluded for ratemaking purposes related to (a) abandoned or impaired assets, certain wholesale fixed rate contracts, remeasurement of nonregulated net deferred tax liabilities, Federal net operating losses, and valuation allowance on foreign tax credits.

| (in millions) | Year Ended December 31, 2016 | | | | | | |
|--|------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|---------------------|
| | Duke Energy | Duke Energy Carolinas | Progress Energy | Duke Energy Progress | Duke Energy Florida | Duke Energy Ohio | Duke Energy Indiana |
| Income tax expense, computed at the statutory rate of 35 percent | \$1,307 | \$ 630 | \$ 548 | \$ 315 | \$ 306 | \$ 95 | \$ 212 |
| State income tax, net of federal income tax effect | 64 | 46 | 20 | 10 | 30 | (2) | 11 |
| AFUDC equity income | (70) | (36) | (26) | (17) | (9) | (2) | (6) |
| Renewable energy production tax credits | (97) | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Audit adjustment | 5 | 3 | — | — | — | — | — |
| Tax true-up | (14) | (14) | (11) | (3) | (9) | (16) | 2 |
| Other items, net | (39) | 5 | (4) | (4) | 4 | 3 | 6 |
| Income tax expense from continuing operations | \$1,156 | \$ 634 | \$ 527 | \$ 301 | \$ 322 | \$ 78 | \$ 225 |
| Effective tax rate | 31.0 | %35.2 | %33.7 | %33.4 | %36.9 | %28.9 | %37.1 |

| (in millions) | Year Ended December 31, 2015 | | | | | | |
|--|------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|---------------------|
| | Duke Energy | Duke Energy Carolinas | Progress Energy | Duke Energy Progress | Duke Energy Florida | Duke Energy Ohio | Duke Energy Indiana |
| Income tax expense, computed at the statutory rate of 35 percent | \$1,369 | \$ 598 | \$ 555 | \$ 302 | \$ 330 | \$ 81 | \$ 168 |
| State income tax, net of federal income tax effect | 109 | 46 | 18 | 15 | 33 | 2 | 2 |
| AFUDC equity income | (58) | (34) | (19) | (17) | (3) | (1) | (4) |
| Renewable energy production tax credits | (72) | — | (1) | — | — | — | — |
| Audit adjustment | (22) | — | (23) | 1 | (24) | — | — |
| Tax true-up | 2 | 2 | (3) | (4) | 2 | (5) | (9) |
| Other items, net | (72) | 15 | (5) | (3) | 4 | 4 | 6 |
| Income tax expense from continuing operations | \$1,256 | \$ 627 | \$ 522 | \$ 294 | \$ 342 | \$ 81 | \$ 163 |
| Effective tax rate | 32.1 | %36.7 | %32.9 | %34.2 | %36.3 | %35.2 | %34.0 |

| (in millions) | Piedmont | | |
|--|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| | Two Months Ended December 31, 2016 | Two Months Ended October 31, 2016 | Two Months Ended December 31, 2015 |
| Income tax expense, computed at the statutory rate of 35 percent | \$30 | \$111 | \$79 |
| State income tax, net of federal income tax effect | 1 | 11 | 9 |
| Other items, net | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Income tax expense from continuing operations | \$32 | \$124 | \$90 |
| Effective tax rate | 37.2% | 39.1% | 39.7% |

Valuation allowances have been established for certain state NOL carryforwards and state income tax credits that reduce deferred tax assets to an amount that will be realized on a more-likely-than-not basis. The net change in the

total valuation allowance is included in the State income tax, net of federal income tax effect in the above tables.

248

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

DEFERRED TAXES

Net Deferred Income Tax Liability Components

| (in millions) | December 31, 2017 | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| | Duke Energy | Duke Energy Carolinas | Progress Energy | Duke Energy Progress | Duke Energy Florida | Duke Energy Ohio | Duke Energy Indiana | Duke Energy Piedmont |
| Deferred credits and other liabilities | \$143 | \$33 | \$78 | \$23 | \$49 | \$11 | \$6 | \$(5) |
| Capital lease obligations | 49 | 14 | — | — | — | — | 2 | — |
| Pension, post-retirement and other employee benefits | 295 | (17) | 111 | 44 | 60 | 14 | 18 | (4) |
| Progress Energy merger purchase accounting adjustments ^(a) | 536 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Tax credits and NOL carryforwards | 4,527 | 234 | 402 | 156 | 143 | 25 | 216 | 70 |
| Regulatory liabilities and deferred credits | — | 222 | — | — | — | 65 | — | 61 |
| Investments and other assets | — | — | — | — | — | — | 1 | 18 |
| Other | 73 | 10 | 1 | 4 | — | — | — | — |
| Valuation allowance | (519) | — | (14) | — | — | — | — | — |
| Total deferred income tax assets | 5,104 | 496 | 578 | 227 | 252 | 115 | 243 | 140 |
| Investments and other assets | (1,419) | (849) | (470) | (289) | (187) | — | (14) | — |
| Accelerated depreciation rates | (9,216) | (3,060) | (2,803) | (1,583) | (1,257) | (896) | (966) | (697) |
| Regulatory assets and deferred debits, net | (1,090) | — | (807) | (238) | (569) | — | (188) | — |
| Other | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | (7) |
| Total deferred income tax liabilities | (11,725) | (3,909) | (4,080) | (2,110) | (2,013) | (896) | (1,168) | (704) |
| Net deferred income tax liabilities | \$(6,621) | \$(3,413) | \$(3,502) | \$(1,883) | \$(1,761) | \$(781) | \$(925) | \$(564) |

(a) Primarily related to capital lease obligations and debt fair value adjustments.

As noted above, as a result of the Tax Act, Duke Energy revalued its existing deferred tax assets and liabilities as of December 31, 2017, to account for the estimated future impact of lower corporate tax rates on these deferred amounts.

The following table shows the decrease reflected in the net deferred income tax liabilities balance above:

| (in millions) | December 31, 2017 |
|-----------------------|-------------------|
| Duke Energy | \$ 8,982 |
| Duke Energy Carolinas | 3,454 |
| Progress Energy | 3,282 |
| Duke Energy Progress | 1,882 |
| Duke Energy Florida | 1,420 |
| Duke Energy Ohio | 771 |
| Duke Energy Indiana | 1,053 |
| Piedmont | 521 |

The following table presents the expiration of tax credits and NOL carryforwards.

| (in millions) | December 31, 2017 | |
|---------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| | Amount | Expiration Year |
| Investment tax credits | \$1,406 | 2024 – 2037 |
| Alternative minimum tax credits | 1,147 | Refundable by 2021 |

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|--|-------|------|-------|
| Federal NOL carryforwards | 393 | 2022 | —2036 |
| State NOL carryforwards and credits ^(a) | 296 | 2018 | —2037 |
| Foreign NOL carryforwards ^(b) | 13 | 2027 | —2036 |
| Foreign Tax Credits ^(c) | 1,272 | 2024 | —2027 |
| Total tax credits and NOL carryforwards | 4,527 | | |

249

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

(a) A valuation allowance of \$90 million has been recorded on the state NOL carryforwards, as presented in the Net Deferred Income Tax Liability Components table.

(b) A valuation allowance of \$13 million has been recorded on the foreign NOL carryforwards, as presented in the Net Deferred Income Tax Liability Components table.

(c) A valuation allowance of \$416 million has been recorded on the foreign tax credits, as presented in the Net Deferred Income Tax Liability Components table.

| (in millions) | December 31, 2016 | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|---------------------|----------|
| | Duke Energy | Duke Energy Carolinas | Progress Energy | Duke Energy Progress | Duke Energy Florida | Duke Energy Ohio | Duke Energy Indiana | Piedmont |
| Deferred credits and other liabilities | \$382 | \$66 | \$126 | \$40 | \$93 | \$21 | \$4 | \$71 |
| Capital lease obligations | 60 | 8 | — | — | — | — | 1 | — |
| Pension, post-retirement and other employee benefits | 561 | 16 | 199 | 91 | 96 | 22 | 37 | 10 |
| Progress Energy merger purchase accounting adjustments ^(a) | 918 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Tax credits and NOL carryforwards | 4,682 | 192 | 1,165 | 222 | 232 | 49 | 278 | 192 |
| Investments and other assets | — | — | — | — | — | 3 | — | — |
| Other | 205 | 16 | 35 | 8 | — | 5 | 9 | 45 |
| Valuation allowance | (96) | — | (12) | — | — | — | — | (1) |
| Total deferred income tax assets | 6,712 | 298 | 1,513 | 361 | 421 | 100 | 329 | 317 |
| Investments and other assets | (1,892) | (1,149) | (597) | (313) | (297) | — | (21) | (21) |
| Accelerated depreciation rates | (14,872) | (4,664) | (4,490) | (2,479) | (2,038) | (1,404) | (1,938) | (1,080) |
| Regulatory assets and deferred debits, net | (4,103) | (1,029) | (1,672) | (892) | (780) | (139) | (270) | (147) |
| Total deferred income tax liabilities | (20,867) | (6,842) | (6,759) | (3,684) | (3,115) | (1,543) | (2,229) | (1,248) |
| Net deferred income tax liabilities | \$(14,155) | \$(6,544) | \$(5,246) | \$(3,323) | \$(2,694) | \$(1,443) | \$(1,900) | \$(931) |

(a) Primarily related to capital lease obligations and debt fair value adjustments.

On August 6, 2015, pursuant to N.C. Gen. Stat. 105-130.3C, the North Carolina Department of Revenue announced the North Carolina corporate income tax rate would be reduced from a statutory rate of 5.0 percent to 4.0 percent beginning January 1, 2016. Duke Energy and Piedmont recorded net reductions of approximately \$95 million and \$18 million to their North Carolina deferred tax liabilities in the third quarter of 2015. The significant majority of these deferred tax liability reductions were offset by recording a regulatory liability pending NCUC determination of the disposition of amounts related to Duke Energy Carolinas, Duke Energy Progress and Piedmont. The impact did not have a significant impact on the financial position, results of operation, or cash flows of Duke Energy, Duke Energy Carolinas, Progress Energy or Duke Energy Progress.

On August 4, 2016, pursuant to N.C. Gen. Stat. 105-130.3C, the North Carolina Department of Revenue announced the North Carolina corporate income tax rate would be reduced from a statutory rate of 4.0 percent to 3.0 percent beginning January 1, 2017. Duke Energy and Piedmont recorded net reductions of approximately \$80 million and \$16 million to their North Carolina deferred tax liabilities in the third quarter of 2016. The significant majority of this deferred tax liability reduction was offset by recording a regulatory liability pending NCUC determination of the disposition of amounts related to Duke Energy Carolinas, Duke Energy Progress and Piedmont. The impact did not have a significant impact on the financial position, results of operation, or cash flows of Duke Energy, Duke Energy Carolinas, Progress Energy or Duke Energy Progress.

On June 28, 2017, the North Carolina General Assembly amended N.C. Gen. Stat. 105-130.3, reducing the North Carolina corporate income tax rate from a statutory rate of 3.0 percent to 2.5 percent beginning January 1, 2019. Duke Energy recorded a net reduction of approximately \$55 million to their North Carolina deferred tax liabilities in the second quarter of 2017. The significant majority of this deferred tax liability reduction was offset by recording a regulatory liability pending NCUC determination of the disposition of amounts related to Duke Energy Carolinas, Duke Energy Progress and Piedmont. The impact did not have a significant impact on the financial position, results of operation or cash flows of Duke Energy, Duke Energy Carolinas, Progress Energy or Duke Energy Progress.

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. –
DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE
ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

UNRECOGNIZED TAX BENEFITS

The following tables present changes to unrecognized tax benefits.

| (in millions) | Year Ended December 31, 2017 | | | | | | | |
|--|------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| | Duke Energy | Duke Energy Carolinas | Progress Energy | Progress Energy | Duke Energy Florida | Duke Energy Ohio | Duke Energy Indiana | Duke Energy Piedmont |
| Unrecognized tax benefits – January 1 | \$17 | \$ 1 | \$ 2 | \$ 2 | \$ 4 | \$ 4 | \$ — | \$ — |
| Unrecognized tax benefits increases (decreases) | | | | | | | | |
| Gross increases – tax positions in prior periods | 12 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| Gross decreases – tax positions in prior periods | (4) | — | — | — | — | (4) | — | — |
| Total changes | 8 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 1 | (3) | 1 | 3 |
| Unrecognized tax benefits – December 31 | \$25 | \$ 5 | \$ 5 | \$ 5 | \$ 5 | \$ 1 | \$ 1 | \$ 3 |
| (in millions) | Year Ended December 31, 2016 | | | | | | | |
| | Duke Energy | Duke Energy Carolinas | Progress Energy | Progress Energy | Duke Energy Florida | Duke Energy Ohio | Duke Energy Indiana | Duke Energy Piedmont |
| Unrecognized tax benefits – January 1 | \$88 | \$ 72 | \$ 1 | \$ 3 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ 1 | |
| Unrecognized tax benefits increases (decreases) | | | | | | | | |
| Gross increases – tax positions in prior periods | — | — | — | — | 4 | 4 | — | |
| Gross decreases – tax positions in prior periods | (4) | (4) | (1) | (1) | — | — | — | |
| Decreases due to settlements | (68) | (67) | — | — | — | — | (1) | |
| Reduction due to lapse of statute of limitations | 1 | — | 2 | — | — | — | — | |
| Total changes | (71) | (71) | 1 | (1) | 4 | 4 | (1) | |
| Unrecognized tax benefits – December 31 | \$17 | \$ 1 | \$ 2 | \$ 2 | \$ 4 | \$ 4 | \$ — | |
| (in millions) | Year Ended December 31, 2015 | | | | | | | |
| | Duke Energy | Duke Energy Carolinas | Progress Energy | Progress Energy | Duke Energy Florida | Duke Energy Indiana | Duke Energy Piedmont | Duke Energy Ohio |
| Unrecognized tax benefits – January 1 | \$213 | \$ 160 | \$ 32 | \$ 23 | \$ 8 | \$ 1 | | |
| Unrecognized tax benefits increases (decreases) | | | | | | | | |
| Gross increases – tax positions in prior periods | — | — | 1 | 1 | — | — | | |
| Gross decreases – tax positions in prior periods | (48) | (45) | — | — | — | — | | |
| Decreases due to settlements | (45) | (43) | — | — | — | — | | |
| Reduction due to lapse of statute of limitations | (32) | — | (32) | (21) | (8) | — | | |
| Total changes | (125) | (88) | (31) | (20) | (8) | — | | |
| Unrecognized tax benefits – December 31 | \$88 | \$ 72 | \$ 1 | \$ 3 | \$ — | \$ 1 | | |

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

The following table includes additional information regarding the Duke Energy Registrants' unrecognized tax benefits at December 31, 2017. During the first quarter of 2018, Duke Energy recognized an approximate \$8 million reduction and Duke Energy Carolinas recognized an approximate \$1 million reduction in unrecognized tax benefits. No additional material reductions are expected in the next 12 months.

| (in millions) | December 31, 2017 | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|---------------------|----------|
| | Duke Energy | Duke Energy Carolinas | Progress Energy | Duke Energy Progress | Duke Energy Florida | Duke Energy Ohio | Duke Energy Indiana | Piedmont |
| Amount that if recognized, would affect the effective tax rate or regulatory liability ^(a) | \$15 | \$ 4 | \$ 7 | \$ 5 | \$ 1 | \$ 1 | \$ 1 | \$ 3 |
| Amount that if recognized, would be recorded as a component of discontinued operations | 7 | — | — | — | — | 2 | — | — |

Duke Energy, Duke Energy Carolinas, Progress Energy, Duke Energy Progress, Duke Energy Florida, Duke Energy Indiana and Piedmont are unable to estimate the specific amounts that would affect the effective tax rate versus the regulatory liability.

OTHER TAX MATTERS

The following tables include interest recognized in the Consolidated Statements of Operations and the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

| (in millions) | Year Ended December 31, 2017 | | | | | |
|---|------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| | Duke Energy | Duke Energy Carolinas | Progress Energy | Duke Energy Progress | Duke Energy Florida | |
| Net interest income recognized related to income taxes | \$ — | \$ — | —\$ 1 | \$ — | —\$ 1 | |
| Net interest expense recognized related to income taxes | — 2 | — | — | — | — | |
| Interest payable related to income taxes | 5 25 | 1 | 1 | — | — | |
| (in millions) | Year Ended December 31, 2016 | | | | | |
| | Duke Energy | Duke Energy Carolinas | Progress Energy | Duke Energy Progress | Duke Energy Florida | |
| Net interest income recognized related to income taxes | \$ — | —\$ 1 | \$ — | —\$ 2 | — | |
| Net interest expense recognized related to income taxes | — 7 | — | — | — | — | |
| Interest payable related to income taxes | 4 23 | 1 | 1 | — | — | |
| (in millions) | Year Ended December 31, 2015 | | | | | |
| | Duke Energy | Duke Energy Carolinas | Progress Energy | Duke Energy Progress | Duke Energy Florida | Duke Energy Indiana |
| Net interest income recognized related to income taxes | \$12 | \$ — | —\$ 2 | \$ 2 | \$ 1 | \$ 1 |
| Net interest expense recognized related to income taxes | — 1 | — | — | — | — | — |
| Interest receivable related to income taxes | 3 | — | — | — | — | 3 |
| Interest payable related to income taxes | — 14 | — | — | 1 | — | — |

Piedmont recognized \$1 million in net interest income recognized related to income taxes in the Consolidated Statements of Operations for the year ended October 31, 2016.

Duke Energy and its subsidiaries are no longer subject to U.S. federal examination for years before 2015. With few exceptions, Duke Energy and its subsidiaries are no longer subject to state, local or non-U.S. income tax examinations

by tax authorities for years before 2015.

23. OTHER INCOME AND EXPENSES, NET

The components of Other income and expenses, net on the Consolidated Statements of Operations are as follows.
Amounts for Piedmont were not material.

252

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. –
DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE
ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

| (in millions) | Year Ended December 31, 2017 | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------|-------------|----------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | Duke | | Duke | | Duke | Duke | Duke |
| | Duke Energy | Progress | Duke Energy | Progress | Duke Energy | Duke Energy | Duke Energy |
| | Energy | Carolinas | Energy | Progress | Florida | Ohio | Indiana |
| Interest income | \$13 | \$ 2 | \$ 6 | \$ 2 | \$ 5 | \$ 6 | \$ 8 |
| AFUDC equity | 237 | 106 | 92 | 47 | 45 | 11 | 28 |
| Post in-service equity returns | 40 | 28 | 12 | 12 | — | — | — |
| Nonoperating income, other | 62 | 3 | 18 | 4 | 11 | — | 1 |
| Other income and expense, net | \$352 | \$ 139 | \$ 128 | \$ 65 | \$ 61 | \$ 17 | \$ 37 |
| (in millions) | Year Ended December 31, 2016 | | | | | | |
| | Duke | | Duke | | Duke | Duke | Duke |
| | Duke Energy | Progress | Duke Energy | Progress | Duke Energy | Duke Energy | Duke Energy |
| | Energy | Carolinas | Energy | Progress | Florida | Ohio | Indiana |
| Interest income | \$21 | \$ 4 | \$ 4 | \$ 3 | \$ 2 | \$ 5 | \$ 6 |
| AFUDC equity | 200 | 102 | 76 | 50 | 26 | 6 | 16 |
| Post in-service equity returns | 67 | 55 | 12 | 12 | — | — | — |
| Nonoperating income (expense), other | 36 | 1 | 22 | 6 | 16 | (2) | — |
| Other income and expense, net | \$324 | \$ 162 | \$ 114 | \$ 71 | \$ 44 | \$ 9 | \$ 22 |
| (in millions) | Year Ended December 31, 2015 | | | | | | |
| | Duke | | Duke | | Duke | Duke | Duke |
| | Duke Energy | Progress | Duke Energy | Progress | Duke Energy | Duke Energy | Duke Energy |
| | Energy | Carolinas | Energy | Progress | Florida | Ohio | Indiana |
| Interest income | \$20 | \$ 2 | \$ 4 | \$ 2 | \$ 2 | \$ 4 | \$ 6 |
| AFUDC equity | 164 | 96 | 54 | 47 | 7 | 3 | 11 |
| Post in-service equity returns | 73 | 60 | 13 | 13 | — | — | — |
| Nonoperating income (expense), other | 33 | 2 | 26 | 9 | 15 | (1) | (6) |
| Other income and expense, net | \$290 | \$ 160 | \$ 97 | \$ 71 | \$ 24 | \$ 6 | \$ 11 |

24. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

For information on subsequent events related to regulatory matters, commitments and contingencies, debt and credit facilities, investments in unconsolidated affiliates, variable interest entities and common stock see Notes 4, 5, 6, 12, 17 and 18, respectively.

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. –
DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE
ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

25. QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA (UNAUDITED)

DUKE ENERGY

Quarterly EPS amounts may not sum to the full-year total due to changes in the weighted average number of common shares outstanding and rounding.

| (in millions, except per share data) | First Quarter | Second Quarter | Third Quarter | Fourth Quarter | Total |
|--|------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|----------|
| 2017 | | | | | |
| Operating revenues | \$5,729 | \$5,555 | \$6,482 | \$5,799 | \$23,565 |
| Operating income | 1,437 | 1,387 | 1,695 | 1,262 | 5,781 |
| Income from continuing operations | 717 | 691 | 957 | 705 | 3,070 |
| Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax | — | (2) | (2) | (2) | (6) |
| Net income | 717 | 689 | 955 | 703 | 3,064 |
| Net income attributable to Duke Energy Corporation | 716 | 686 | 954 | 703 | 3,059 |
| Earnings per share: | | | | | |
| Income from continuing operations attributable to Duke Energy Corporation common stockholders | | | | | |
| Basic | \$1.02 | \$0.98 | \$1.36 | \$1.00 | \$4.37 |
| Diluted | \$1.02 | \$0.98 | \$1.36 | \$1.00 | \$4.37 |
| Loss from discontinued operations attributable to Duke Energy Corporation common stockholders | | | | | |
| Basic | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$(0.01) |
| Diluted | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$(0.01) |
| Net income attributable to Duke Energy Corporation common stockholders | | | | | |
| Basic | \$1.02 | \$0.98 | \$1.36 | \$1.00 | \$4.36 |
| Diluted | \$1.02 | \$0.98 | \$1.36 | \$1.00 | \$4.36 |
| 2016 | | | | | |
| Operating revenues | \$5,377 | \$5,213 | \$6,576 | \$5,577 | \$22,743 |
| Operating income | 1,240 | 1,259 | 1,954 | 888 | 5,341 |
| Income from continuing operations | 577 | 624 | 1,001 | 376 | 2,578 |
| Income (Loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax | 122 | (112) | 180 | (598) | (408) |
| Net income (loss) | 699 | 512 | 1,181 | (222) | 2,170 |
| Net income (loss) attributable to Duke Energy Corporation | 694 | 509 | 1,176 | (227) | 2,152 |
| Earnings per share: | | | | | |
| Income from continuing operations attributable to Duke Energy Corporation common stockholders | | | | | |
| Basic | \$0.83 | \$0.90 | \$1.44 | \$0.53 | \$3.71 |
| Diluted | \$0.83 | \$0.90 | \$1.44 | \$0.53 | \$3.71 |
| Income (Loss) from discontinued operations attributable to Duke Energy Corporation common stockholders | | | | | |
| Basic | \$0.18 | \$(0.16) | \$0.26 | \$(0.86) | \$(0.60) |
| Diluted | \$0.18 | \$(0.16) | \$0.26 | \$(0.86) | \$(0.60) |
| Net income (loss) attributable to Duke Energy Corporation common stockholders | | | | | |
| Basic | \$1.01 | \$0.74 | \$1.70 | \$(0.33) | \$3.11 |

Diluted

\$ 1.01 \$ 0.74 \$ 1.70 \$(0.33) \$ 3.11

254

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. –
DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE
ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

The following table includes unusual or infrequently occurring items in each quarter during the two most recently completed fiscal years. All amounts discussed below are pretax.

| (in millions) | First Quarter | Second Quarter | Third Quarter | Fourth Quarter | Total |
|---|------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------|
| 2017 | | | | | |
| Costs to Achieve Piedmont Merger (see Note 2) | \$(16) | \$(30) | \$(23) | \$(34) | \$(103) |
| Regulatory Settlements (see Note 4) | — | — | (135) | (23) | (158) |
| Commercial Renewables Impairments (see Notes 10 and 11) | — | — | (84) | (18) | (102) |
| Impacts of the Tax Act (see Note 22) | — | — | — | 102 | 102 |
| Total | \$(16) | \$(30) | \$(242) | \$27 | \$(261) |
| 2016 | | | | | |
| Costs to Achieve Mergers (see Note 2) | \$(120) | \$(111) | \$(84) | \$(208) | \$(523) |
| Commercial Renewables Impairment (see Note 12) | — | — | (71) | — | (71) |
| Loss on Sale of International Disposal Group (see Note 2) | — | — | — | (514) | (514) |
| Impairment of Assets in Central America (see Note 2) | — | (194) | — | — | (194) |
| Cost Savings Initiatives (see Note 19) | (20) | (24) | (19) | (29) | (92) |
| Total | \$(140) | \$(329) | \$(174) | \$(751) | \$(1,394) |

DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS

| (in millions) | First Quarter | Second Quarter | Third Quarter | Fourth Quarter | Total |
|--------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|---------|
| 2017 | | | | | |
| Operating revenues | \$1,716 | \$1,729 | \$2,136 | \$1,721 | \$7,302 |
| Operating income | 484 | 485 | 777 | 403 | 2,149 |
| Net income | 270 | 273 | 466 | 205 | 1,214 |
| 2016 | | | | | |
| Operating revenues | \$1,740 | \$1,675 | \$2,226 | \$1,681 | \$7,322 |
| Operating income | 481 | 464 | 815 | 302 | 2,062 |
| Net income | 271 | 261 | 494 | 140 | 1,166 |

The following table includes unusual or infrequently occurring items in each quarter during the two most recently completed fiscal years. All amounts discussed below are pretax.

| (in millions) | First Quarter | Second Quarter | Third Quarter | Fourth Quarter | Total |
|---|------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|----------|
| 2017 | | | | | |
| Costs to Achieve Piedmont Merger (see Note 2) | \$(4) | \$(6) | \$(5) | \$(5) | \$(20) |
| Impacts of the Tax Act (see Note 22) | — | — | — | (15) | (15) |
| Total | \$(4) | \$(6) | \$(5) | \$(20) | \$(35) |
| 2016 | | | | | |
| Costs to Achieve Mergers | \$(11) | \$(12) | \$(13) | \$(68) | \$(104) |
| Cost Savings Initiatives (see Note 19) | (10) | (10) | (8) | (11) | (39) |
| Total | \$(21) | \$(22) | \$(21) | \$(79) | \$(143) |

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. –
DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE
ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

PROGRESS ENERGY

| (in millions) | First Quarter | Second Quarter | Third Quarter | Fourth Quarter | Total |
|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|---------|
| 2017 | | | | | |
| Operating revenues | \$2,179 | \$2,392 | \$2,864 | \$2,348 | \$9,783 |
| Operating income | 487 | 591 | 657 | 493 | 2,228 |
| Net income | 201 | 277 | 343 | 447 | 1,268 |
| Net income attributable to Parent | 199 | 274 | 341 | 444 | 1,258 |
| 2016 | | | | | |
| Operating revenues | \$2,332 | \$2,348 | \$2,965 | \$2,208 | \$9,853 |
| Operating income | 475 | 560 | 814 | 292 | 2,141 |
| Income from continuing operations | 212 | 274 | 449 | 104 | 1,039 |
| Net income | 212 | 274 | 449 | 106 | 1,041 |
| Net income attributable to Parent | 209 | 272 | 446 | 104 | 1,031 |

The following table includes unusual or infrequently occurring items in each quarter during the two most recently completed fiscal years. All amounts discussed below are pretax.

| (in millions) | First Quarter | Second Quarter | Third Quarter | Fourth Quarter | Total |
|---|------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|----------|
| 2017 | | | | | |
| Costs to Achieve Piedmont Merger (see Note 2) | \$ (4) | \$ (7) | \$ (6) | \$ (6) | \$ (23) |
| Regulatory Settlements (see Note 4) | — | — | (135) | (23) | (158) |
| Impacts of the Tax Act (see Note 22) | — | — | — | 246 | 246 |
| Total | \$ (4) | \$ (7) | \$ (141) | \$ 217 | \$ 65 |
| 2016 | | | | | |
| Costs to Achieve Mergers | | \$ (7) | \$ (8) | \$ (10) | \$ (44) |
| Cost Savings Initiatives (see Note 19) | | (8) | (8) | (10) | (40) |
| Total | | \$ (15) | \$ (16) | \$ (20) | \$ (58) |

DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS

| (in millions) | First Quarter | Second Quarter | Third Quarter | Fourth Quarter | Total |
|--------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|---------|
| 2017 | | | | | |
| Operating revenues | \$1,219 | \$1,199 | \$1,460 | \$1,251 | \$5,129 |
| Operating income | 286 | 282 | 411 | 256 | 1,235 |
| Net income | 147 | 154 | 246 | 168 | 715 |
| 2016 | | | | | |
| Operating revenues | \$1,307 | \$1,213 | \$1,583 | \$1,174 | \$5,277 |
| Operating income | 258 | 255 | 438 | 135 | 1,086 |
| Net income | 137 | 131 | 271 | 60 | 599 |

The following table includes unusual or infrequently occurring items in each quarter during the two most recently completed fiscal years. All amounts discussed below are pretax.

| (in millions) | First Quarter | Second Quarter | Third Quarter | Fourth Quarter | Total |
|---|------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|---------|
| 2017 | | | | | |
| Costs to Achieve Piedmont Merger (see Note 2) | \$ (2) | \$ (4) | \$ (4) | \$ (4) | \$ (14) |
| Regulatory Settlements (see Note 4) | — | — | — | (23) | (23) |

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | | |
|--|----------|----------|----------|----------|---------|
| Impacts of the Tax Act (see Note 22) | — | — | — | 40 | 40 |
| Total | \$ (2) | \$ (4) | \$ (4) | \$ 13 | \$ 3 |
| 2016 | | | | | |
| Costs to Achieve Mergers | \$ (5) | \$ (5) | \$ (6) | \$ (40) | \$ (56) |
| Cost Savings Initiatives (see Note 19) | (5) | (5) | (7) | (6) | (23) |
| Total | \$ (10) | \$ (10) | \$ (13) | \$ (46) | \$ (79) |

256

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC– PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA

| (in millions) | First Quarter | Second Quarter | Third Quarter | Fourth Quarter | Total |
|--------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|----------|
| 2017 | | | | | |
| Operating revenues | \$ 959 | \$ 1,191 | \$ 1,401 | \$ 1,095 | \$ 4,646 |
| Operating income | 196 | 306 | 240 | 234 | 976 |
| Net income | 90 | 158 | 120 | 344 | 712 |
| 2016 | | | | | |
| Operating revenues | \$ 1,024 | \$ 1,133 | \$ 1,381 | \$ 1,030 | \$ 4,568 |
| Operating income | 213 | 300 | 373 | 155 | 1,041 |
| Net income | 110 | 171 | 206 | 64 | 551 |

The following table includes unusual or infrequently occurring items in each quarter during the two most recently completed fiscal years. All amounts discussed below are pretax.

| (in millions) | First Quarter | Second Quarter | Third Quarter | Fourth Quarter | Total |
|---|------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------|
| 2017 | | | | | |
| Costs to Achieve Piedmont Merger (see Note 2) | \$ (2) | \$ (3) | \$ (2) | \$ (2) | \$ (9) |
| Regulatory Settlements (see Note 4) | — | — | (135) | — | (135) |
| Impacts of the Tax Act (see Note 22) | — | — | — | 226 | 226 |
| Total | \$ (2) | \$ (3) | \$ (137) | \$ 224 | \$ 82 |
| 2016 | | | | | |
| Costs to Achieve Mergers | \$ (2) | \$ (3) | \$ (4) | \$ (4) | \$ (13) |
| Cost Savings Initiatives (see Note 19) | (2) | (3) | (3) | (9) | (17) |
| Total | \$ (4) | \$ (6) | \$ (7) | \$ (13) | \$ (30) |

DUKE ENERGY OHIO

| (in millions) | First Quarter | Second Quarter | Third Quarter | Fourth Quarter | Total |
|---|------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|----------|
| 2017 | | | | | |
| Operating revenues | \$ 518 | \$ 437 | \$ 471 | \$ 497 | \$ 1,923 |
| Operating income | 83 | 65 | 102 | 76 | 326 |
| Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax | — | — | (1) | — | (1) |
| Net income | 42 | 30 | 55 | 65 | 192 |
| 2016 | | | | | |
| Operating revenues | \$ 516 | \$ 428 | \$ 489 | \$ 511 | \$ 1,944 |
| Operating income | 96 | 55 | 106 | 90 | 347 |
| Income from discontinued operations, net of tax | 2 | — | 34 | — | 36 |
| Net income | 59 | 23 | 89 | 57 | 228 |

The following table includes unusual or infrequently occurring items in each quarter during the two most recently completed fiscal years. All amounts discussed below are pretax.

| (in millions) | First Quarter | Second Quarter | Third Quarter | Fourth Quarter | Total |
|---|------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|----------|
| 2017 | | | | | |
| Costs to Achieve Piedmont Merger (see Note 2) | \$ (1) | \$ (1) | \$ (2) | \$ (2) | \$ (6) |
| Impacts of the Tax Act (see Note 22) | — | — | — | 23 | 23 |
| Total | \$ (1) | \$ (1) | \$ (2) | \$ 21 | \$ 17 |

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

2016

| | | | | | |
|--|---------|---------|---------|---------|--------|
| Costs to Achieve Mergers | \$ (1) | \$ (1) | \$ (2) | \$ (2) | \$(6) |
| Cost Savings Initiatives (see Note 19) | (1) | (1) | — | (1) | (3) |
| Total | \$ (2) | \$ (2) | \$ (2) | \$ (3) | \$(9) |

257

PART II

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION – DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. –
DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. – DUKE
ENERGY INDIANA, LLC – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

DUKE ENERGY INDIANA

| (in millions) | First Quarter | Second Quarter | Third Quarter | Fourth Quarter | Total |
|--------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|---------|
| 2017 | | | | | |
| Operating revenues | \$ 758 | \$ 742 | \$ 802 | \$ 745 | \$3,047 |
| Operating income | 186 | 210 | 230 | 170 | 796 |
| Net income | 91 | 106 | 121 | 36 | 354 |
| 2016 | | | | | |
| Operating revenues | \$ 714 | \$ 702 | \$ 809 | \$ 733 | \$2,958 |
| Operating income | 176 | 174 | 239 | 176 | 765 |
| Net income | 95 | 85 | 129 | 72 | 381 |

The following table includes unusual or infrequently occurring items in each quarter during the two most recently completed fiscal years. All amounts discussed below are pretax.

| (in millions) | First Quarter | Second Quarter | Third Quarter | Fourth Quarter | Total |
|---|------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|---------|
| 2017 | | | | | |
| Costs to Achieve Piedmont Merger (see Note 2) | \$ (1) | \$ (2) | \$ (2) | \$ (1) | \$(6) |
| Impacts of the Tax Act (see Note 22) | — | — | — | (55) | (55) |
| Total | \$ (1) | \$ (2) | \$ (2) | \$ (56) | \$(61) |
| 2016 | | | | | |
| Costs to Achieve Mergers | \$ (1) | \$ (2) | \$ (3) | \$ (3) | \$(9) |
| Cost Savings Initiatives (see Note 19) | (1) | (4) | (1) | (1) | (7) |
| Total | \$ (2) | \$ (6) | \$ (4) | \$ (4) | \$(16) |

PIEDMONT

The following tables include data for Piedmont's fiscal years ending December 31, 2017, and October 31, 2016.

| (in millions) | First Quarter | Second Quarter | Third Quarter | Fourth Quarter | Total |
|-------------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|---------|
| 2017 | | | | | |
| Operating revenues | \$ 500 | \$ 201 | \$ 183 | \$ 444 | \$1,328 |
| Operating income (loss) | 170 | 5 | (4) | 115 | 286 |
| Net income (loss) | 95 | (8) | (11) | 63 | 139 |
| 2016 | | | | | |
| Operating revenues | \$ 464 | \$ 353 | \$ 160 | \$ 172 | \$1,149 |
| Operating income (loss) | 171 | 104 | — | (50) | 225 |
| Net income (loss) | 98 | 63 | (7) | 39 | 193 |

For the two months ended December 31, 2016, Piedmont's operating revenues, operating income, and net income were \$322 million, \$96 million and \$54 million, respectively.

The following table includes unusual or infrequently occurring items in each quarter during the two most recently completed fiscal years. All amounts discussed below are pretax.

| (in millions) | First Quarter | Second Quarter | Third Quarter | Fourth Quarter | Total |
|---|------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|---------|
| 2017 | | | | | |
| Costs to Achieve Piedmont Merger (see Note 2) | \$ (6) | \$ (13) | \$ (8) | \$ (19) | \$(46) |
| Impacts of the Tax Act (see Note 22) | — | — | — | 2 | 2 |
| Total | \$ (6) | \$ (13) | \$ (8) | \$ (17) | \$(44) |

2016

Costs to Achieve Mergers

\$ (6) \$ (2) \$ (1) \$ (53) \$(62)

For the two months ended December 31, 2016, Piedmont's costs to achieve merger were \$7 million.

258

PART II

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

259

PART II

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Disclosure controls and procedures are controls and other procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Duke Energy Registrants in the reports they file or submit under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Exchange Act) is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified by the SEC rules and forms.

Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed by the Duke Energy Registrants in the reports they file or submit under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Under the supervision and with the participation of management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, the Duke Energy Registrants have evaluated the effectiveness of their disclosure controls and procedures (as such term is defined in Rule 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act) as of

December 31, 2017, and, based upon this evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that these controls and procedures are effective in providing reasonable assurance of compliance.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Under the supervision and with the participation of management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, the Duke Energy Registrants have evaluated changes in internal control over financial reporting (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) that occurred during the fiscal quarter ended December 31, 2017, and have concluded no change has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, internal control over financial reporting.

Management's Annual Report On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

The Duke Energy Registrants' management is responsible for establishing and maintaining an adequate system of internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f). The Duke Energy Registrants' internal control system was designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes, in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States. Due to inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness of the internal control over financial reporting to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with policies and procedures may deteriorate.

The Duke Energy Registrants' management, including their Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, has conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of their internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2017, based on the framework in the Internal Control – Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on that evaluation, management concluded that its internal controls over financial reporting were effective as of December 31, 2017.

Deloitte & Touche LLP, Duke Energy's independent registered public accounting firm, has issued an attestation report on the effectiveness of Duke Energy's internal control over financial reporting. This attestation report is included in Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K. This report is not applicable to the Subsidiary Registrants as these companies are not accelerated or large accelerated filers.

PART II

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the shareholders and the Board of Directors of Duke Energy Corporation

Opinion on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

We have audited the internal control over financial reporting of Duke Energy Corporation and subsidiaries (the "Company") as of December 31, 2017, based on criteria established in Internal Control – Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). In our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2017, based on criteria established in Internal Control – Integrated Framework (2013) issued by COSO.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) (PCAOB), the consolidated balance sheets as of December 31, 2017, the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive income, changes in equity, and cash flows, for the period ended December 31, 2017, and the related notes of the Company and our report dated February 23, 2018, expressed an unqualified opinion on those financial statements.

Basis for Opinion

The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management's Annual Report On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit. We are a public accounting firm registered with the PCAOB and are required to be independent with respect to the Company in accordance with the U.S. federal securities laws and the applicable rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission and the PCAOB.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the PCAOB. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

Definition and Limitations of Internal Control over Financial Reporting

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

/s/Deloitte & Touche LLP

Charlotte, North Carolina

February 21, 2018

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Information regarding Duke Energy's Executive Officers is set forth in Part I, Item 1, "Business – Executive Officers of the Registrants," in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Duke Energy will provide information that is responsive to the remainder of this Item 10 in its definitive proxy statement or in an amendment to this Annual Report not later than 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this Annual Report. That information is incorporated in this Item 10 by reference.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Duke Energy will provide information that is responsive to this Item 11 in its definitive proxy statement or in an amendment to this Annual Report not later than 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this Annual Report. That information is incorporated in this Item 11 by reference.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

Equity Compensation Plan Information

The following table shows information as of December 31, 2017, about securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights under Duke Energy's equity compensation plans, along with the weighted-average exercise price of the outstanding options, warrants and rights and the number of securities remaining available for future issuance under the plans.

| Plan Category | Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights (a) | Weighted average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights (b) ⁽¹⁾ | Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a)) (c) |
|--|--|---|--|
| Equity compensation plans approved by security holders | 3,566,563 ⁽²⁾ | n/a | 7,314,882 ⁽³⁾ |
| Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders | 191,394 ⁽⁴⁾ | n/a | n/a ⁽⁵⁾ |
| Total | 3,757,957 | n/a | 7,314,882 |

(1) As of December 31, 2017, no options were outstanding under equity compensation plans.

Includes restricted stock units and performance shares (assuming the maximum payout level) granted under the Duke Energy Corporation 2010 Long-Term Incentive Plan or the Duke Energy Corporation 2015 Long-Term

(2) Incentive Plan, as well as shares that could be payable with respect to certain compensation deferred under the Duke Energy Corporation Executive Savings Plan (Executive Savings Plan) or the Duke Energy Corporation Directors' Savings Plan (Directors' Savings Plan).

(3) Includes shares remaining available for issuance pursuant to stock awards under the Duke Energy Corporation 2015 Long-Term Incentive Plan.

(4) Includes shares that could be payable with respect to certain compensation deferred under the Executive Savings Plan or and the Directors' Savings Plan, each of which is a nonqualified deferred compensation plan described in

more detail below. Upon the acquisition of Piedmont Natural Gas Company, Inc., performance shares granted prior to such acquisition under the Piedmont Natural Gas Company, Inc. Incentive Compensation Plan were converted into restricted stock units payable in shares of Duke Energy common stock. As of December 31, 2017, 45,173 such restricted stock units were outstanding. Following the acquisition, no further stock awards were permitted to be granted under the Piedmont Natural Gas Company, Inc. Incentive Compensation Plan. These converted awards are not listed in the table above.

The number of shares remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans not approved by (5) security holders cannot be determined because it is based on the amount of future voluntary deferrals, if any, under the Executive Savings Plan and the Directors' Savings Plan.

Under the Executive Savings Plan, participants can elect to defer a portion of their base salary and short term incentive compensation. Participants also receive a company matching contribution in excess of the contribution limits prescribed by the Internal Revenue Code under the Duke Energy Retirement Savings Plan, which is the 401(k) plan in which employees are generally eligible to participate. In general, payments are made following termination of employment or death in the form of a lump sum or installments, as selected by the participant. Participants may direct the deemed investment of base salary deferrals, short-term incentive compensation deferrals and matching contributions among investment options available under the Duke Energy Retirement Savings Plan, including the Duke Energy Common Stock Fund. Participants may change their investment elections on a daily basis. Deferrals of equity awards are credited with earnings and losses based on the performance of the Duke Energy Common Stock Fund. The benefits payable under the plan are unfunded and subject to the claims of Duke Energy's creditors.

Under the Directors' Savings Plan, outside directors may elect to defer all or a portion of their annual compensation, generally consisting of retainers. Deferred amounts are credited to an unfunded account, the balance of which is adjusted for the performance of phantom investment options, including the Duke Energy common stock fund, as elected by the director, and generally are paid when the director terminates his or her service from the Board of Directors.

PART III

Duke Energy will provide additional information that is responsive to this Item 12 in its definitive proxy statement or in an amendment to this Annual Report not later than 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this Annual Report. That information is incorporated in this Item 12 by reference.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

Duke Energy will provide information that is responsive to this Item 13 in its definitive proxy statement or in an amendment to this Annual Report not later than 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this Annual Report. That information is incorporated in this Item 13 by reference.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES

Deloitte & Touche LLP and the member firms of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu and their respective affiliates (collectively, Deloitte) provided professional services to the Duke Energy Registrants. The following tables present the Deloitte fees for services rendered to the Duke Energy Registrants during 2017 and 2016.

| (in millions) | Year Ended December 31, 2017 | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| | Duke Energy | | Progress Energy | Duke Energy Progress | Duke Energy Florida | Duke Energy Ohio | Duke Energy Indiana | Duke Energy Piedmont |
| | Duke Energy | Carolinas | Energy | Progress | Florida | Ohio | Indiana | Piedmont |
| Types of Fees | | | | | | | | |
| Audit Fees ^(a) | \$ 13.6 | \$ 4.7 | \$ 5.6 | \$ 3.1 | \$ 2.4 | \$ 0.8 | \$ 1.4 | \$ 0.8 |
| Audit-Related Fees ^(b) | 0.2 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Tax Fees ^(c) | 1.7 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.4 | — | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Other Fees ^(d) | 0.1 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Total Fees | \$ 15.6 | \$ 5.3 | \$ 5.7 | \$ 3.5 | \$ 2.4 | \$ 0.9 | \$ 1.5 | \$ 0.9 |

| (in millions) | Year Ended December 31, 2016 | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|---------------------|------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | Duke Energy | | Progress Energy | Duke Energy Florida | Duke Energy Ohio | Duke Energy Indiana | Duke Energy Piedmont ^(e) |
| | Duke Energy | Carolinas | Energy | Progress | Florida | Ohio | Indiana |
| Types of Fees | | | | | | | |
| Audit Fees ^(a) | \$ 13.6 | \$ 4.8 | \$ 5.2 | \$ 3.0 | \$ 2.2 | \$ 0.8 | \$ 1.4 |
| Audit-Related Fees ^(b) | 0.7 | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Tax Fees ^(c) | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | — | — | 0.1 |
| Other Fees ^(d) | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | — | — | — |
| Total Fees | \$ 14.9 | \$ 5.0 | \$ 5.4 | \$ 3.2 | \$ 2.2 | \$ 0.8 | \$ 1.5 |

| (in millions) | Year Ended October 31, 2016 | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|
| | Two Months Ended | Year Ended |
| | October 31, 2016 | December 31, 2016 |
| Types of Fees | | |
| Audit Fees ^(a) | \$ 0.6 | \$ 1.3 |
| Audit-Related Fees ^(b) | — | 0.1 |
| Total Fees | \$ 0.6 | \$ 1.4 |

(a) Audit Fees are fees billed, or expected to be billed, by Deloitte for professional services for the financial statement audits, audit of the Duke Energy Registrants' financial statements included in the Annual Report on Form 10-K, reviews of financial statements included in Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, and services associated with securities

filings such as comfort letters and consents.

- Audit-Related Fees are fees billed, or expected to be billed, by Deloitte for assurance and related services that are
- (b) reasonably related to the performance of an audit or review of financial statements, including statutory reporting requirements.
 - (c) Tax Fees are fees billed by Deloitte for tax return assistance and preparation, tax examination assistance and professional services related to tax planning and tax strategy.
 - (d) Other Fees are billed by Deloitte for attendance at Deloitte-sponsored conferences and access to Deloitte research tools and subscription services. In 2016, Other Fees also included non-audit fees related to consulting services.
 - (e) Includes all accounting fees and services paid prior to and subsequent to the acquisition. Prior to the acquisition, Piedmont's Audit Committee preapproved all services provided by the independent auditor.

PART III

To safeguard the continued independence of the independent auditor, the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors (Audit Committee) of Duke Energy adopted a policy that all services provided by the independent auditor require preapproval by the Audit Committee. Pursuant to the policy, certain audit services, audit-related services, tax services and other services have been specifically preapproved up to fee limits. In the event the cost of any of these services may exceed the fee limits, the Audit Committee must specifically approve the service. All services performed in 2017 and 2016 by the independent accountant were approved by the Audit Committee pursuant to the preapproval policy.

264

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

(a) Consolidated Financial Statements, Supplemental Financial Data and Supplemental Schedules included in Part II of this annual report are as follows:

Duke Energy Corporation

Consolidated Financial Statements

Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Years Ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the Years Ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015

Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2017, and 2016

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015

Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

Quarterly Financial Data, (unaudited, included in Note 25 to the Consolidated Financial Statements)

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

All other schedules are omitted because they are not required, or because the required information is included in the Consolidated Financial Statements or Notes.

Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC

Consolidated Financial Statements

Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income for the Years Ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015

Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2017, and 2016

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015

Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

Quarterly Financial Data, (unaudited, included in Note 25 to the Consolidated Financial Statements)

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

All other schedules are omitted because they are not required, or because the required information is included in the Consolidated Financial Statements or Notes.

Progress Energy, Inc.

Consolidated Financial Statements

Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income for the Years Ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015

Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2017, and 2016

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015

Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

Quarterly Financial Data, (unaudited, included in Note 25 to the Consolidated Financial Statements)

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

All other schedules are omitted because they are not required, or because the required information is included in the Consolidated Financial Statements or Notes.

Duke Energy Progress, LLC

Consolidated Financial Statements

Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income for the Years Ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015

Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2017, and 2016

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015

Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

Quarterly Financial Data, (unaudited, included in Note 25 to the Consolidated Financial Statements)

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

All other schedules are omitted because they are not required, or because the required information is included in the Consolidated Financial Statements or Notes.

Duke Energy Florida, LLC

Consolidated Financial Statements

Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income for the Years Ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015

Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2017, and 2016

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015

Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

Quarterly Financial Data, (unaudited, included in Note 25 to the Consolidated Financial Statements)

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

All other schedules are omitted because they are not required, or because the required information is included in the Consolidated Financial Statements or Notes.

PART IV

Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.

Consolidated Financial Statements

Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income for the Years Ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015

Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2017, and 2016

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015

Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

Quarterly Financial Data, (unaudited, included in Note 25 to the Consolidated Financial Statements)

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

All other schedules are omitted because they are not required, or because the required information is included in the Consolidated Financial Statements or Notes.

Duke Energy Indiana, LLC

Consolidated Financial Statements

Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income for the Years Ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015

Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2017, and 2016

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015

Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

Quarterly Financial Data, (unaudited, included in Note 25 to the Consolidated Financial Statements)

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

All other schedules are omitted because they are not required, or because the required information is included in the Consolidated Financial Statements or Notes.

Piedmont Natural Gas Company, Inc.

Consolidated Financial Statements

Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income for the Year Ended December 31, 2017, Two Months Ended December 31, 2016, and the Years Ended October 31, 2016, and 2015

Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2017, and 2016

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Year Ended December 31, 2017, Two Months Ended December 31, 2016, and the Years Ended October 31, 2016, and 2015

Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity for the Year Ended December 31, 2017, Two Months Ended December 31, 2016, and the Years Ended October 31, 2016, and 2015

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

Quarterly Financial Data, (unaudited, included in Note 25 to the Consolidated Financial Statements)

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

All other schedules are omitted because they are not required, or because the required information is included in the Consolidated Financial Statements or Notes.

PART IV

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibits filed herewithin are designated by an asterisk (*). All exhibits not so designated are incorporated by reference to a prior filing, as indicated. Items constituting management contracts or compensatory plans or arrangements are designated by a double asterisk (**). The Company agrees to furnish upon request to the Commission a copy of any omitted schedules or exhibits upon request on all items designated by a triple asterisk (***)

| Exhibit Number | Duke Energy | Duke Energy Carolinas | Progress Energy | Duke Energy Progress | Duke Energy Florida | Duke Energy Ohio | Duke Energy Indiana | Duke Energy Piedmont |
|---|---|-----------------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| 2.1 | <u>Agreement and Plan of Merger between Duke Energy Corporation, Diamond Acquisition Corporation and Progress Energy, Inc., dated as of January 8, 2011</u> X | | | | | | | |
| (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to Duke Energy Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 11, 2011, File No. 1-32853). | | | | | | | | |
| 2.2 | <u>Agreement and Plan of Merger between Piedmont Natural Gas Company, Duke Energy Corporation and Forest Subsidiary, Inc.</u> X | | | | | | | |
| (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to Duke Energy Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 26, 2015, File No. 1-32853). | | | | | | | | |
| 3.1 | <u>Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation</u> X | | | | | | | |
| (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Duke Energy Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 20, 2014, File No. 1-32853). | | | | | | | | |
| 3.2 | <u>Amended and Restated By-Laws of Duke Energy Corporation</u> X | | | | | | | |
| (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Duke Energy Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 4, 2016, File No. 1-32853). | | | | | | | | |
| 3.3 | <u>Articles of Organization including Articles of Conversion</u> X | | | | | | | |
| (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to | | | | | | | | |

| | | | |
|-------|--|---|---|
| 3.3.1 | <p><u>Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on April 7, 2006, File No. 1-4928).</u> <u>Amended Articles of Organization, effective October 1, 2006, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2006, filed on November 13, 2006, File No. 1-4928).</u></p> | X | |
| 3.4 | <p><u>Amended Articles of Incorporation of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. (formerly The Cincinnati Gas & Electric Company), effective October 23, 1996, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3(a) to registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 1996, filed on November 13, 1996, File No. 1-1232).</u></p> | X | |
| 3.4.1 | <p><u>Amended Articles of Incorporation, effective September 19, 2006, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.'s (formerly The Cincinnati Gas & Electric Company) Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2006, filed on November 17, 2006, File No. 1-1232).</u></p> | X | |
| 3.5 | <p><u>Certificate of Conversion of Duke Energy Indiana, LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 4, 2016, File No. 1-3543).</u></p> | | X |
| 3.5.1 | <p><u>Articles of Entity Conversion of Duke Energy Indiana, LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K</u></p> | | X |

| | | | |
|-------|--|---|---|
| | <u>filed on January 4, 2016, File No. 1-3543).</u> | | |
| 3.5.2 | <u>Plan of Entity Conversion of Duke Energy Indiana, LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.3 to registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 4, 2016, File No. 1-3543).</u> | | X |
| 3.5.3 | <u>Articles of Organization of Duke Energy Indiana, LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.4 to registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 4, 2016, File No. 1-3543).</u> | | X |
| 3.5.4 | <u>Limited Liability Company Operating Agreement of Duke Energy Indiana, LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.5 to registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 4, 2016, File No. 1-3543).</u> | | X |
| 3.6 | <u>Limited Liability Company Operating Agreement of Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on April 7, 2006, File No. 1-4928).</u> | X | |
| 3.7 | <u>Regulations of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. (formerly The Cincinnati Gas & Electric Company), effective July 23, 2003, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2003, filed on August 13, 2003, File No. 1-1232).</u> | | X |
| 3.8 | <u>Articles of Organization including Articles of Conversion for Duke Energy Progress, LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 4, 2015, File No. 1-3382).</u> | X | |

| | | |
|-------|---|---|
| 3.8.1 | <p><u>Plan of Conversion of Duke Energy Progress, Inc.</u> (incorporated by reference to <u>Exhibit 3.2 to registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 4, 2015, File No. 1-3382).</u></p> | X |
| 3.8.2 | <p><u>Limited Liability Company Operating Agreement of Duke Energy Progress, LLC</u> (incorporated by reference to <u>Exhibit 3.3 to registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 4, 2015, File No. 1-3382).</u></p> | X |
| 3.9 | <p><u>Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of Progress Energy, Inc.</u> (formerly CP&L Energy, Inc.), effective June 15, 2000, (incorporated by reference to <u>Exhibit 3(a)(1) to registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2000, filed on August 14, 2000, File No. 1-3382).</u></p> | X |
| 3.9.1 | <p><u>Articles of Amendment to the Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of Progress Energy, Inc.</u> (formerly CP&L Energy, Inc.), effective December 4, 2000, (incorporated by reference to <u>Exhibit 3(b)(1) to registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2001, filed on March 28, 2002, File No. 1-3382).</u></p> | X |
| 3.9.2 | <p><u>Articles of Amendment to the Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of Progress Energy, Inc.</u> (formerly CP&L Energy, Inc.), effective May 10, 2006, (incorporated by reference to <u>Exhibit 3(a) to registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2006, filed on August 9, 2006, File No. 1-15929).</u></p> | X |

| | | | |
|--------|--|---|---|
| 3.9.3 | <u>By-Laws of Progress Energy, Inc. (formerly CP&L Energy, Inc.), effective May 10, 2006, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3(b) to registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2006, filed on August 9, 2006, File No. 1-15929).</u> | X | |
| 3.10 | <u>Articles of Conversion for Duke Energy Florida, LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.4 to registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 4, 2015, File No. 1-3274).</u> | | X |
| 3.10.1 | <u>Articles of Organization for Duke Energy Florida, LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.5 to registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 4, 2015, File No. 1-3274).</u> | | X |
| 3.10.2 | <u>Plan of Conversion of Duke Energy Florida, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.6 to registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 4, 2015, File No. 1-3274).</u> | | X |
| 3.10.3 | <u>Limited Liability Company Operating Agreement of Duke Energy Florida, LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.7 to registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 4, 2015, File No. 1-3274).</u> | | X |
| 3.11 | <u>Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of Piedmont Natural Gas Company, Inc., dated as of October 3, 2016 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2016, filed on December 22, 2016, File No. 001-06196).</u> | | X |
| 3.11.1 | <u>Bylaws of Piedmont Natural Gas Company, Inc., as</u> | | X |

- amended and restated effective October 3, 2016 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 3, 2016, File No. 1-06196).
- Indenture between Duke Energy Corporation and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A., as Trustee, dated as of June 3, 2008, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Duke Energy Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 16, 2008, File No. 1-32853).
- 4.1 X
- First Supplemental Indenture, dated as of June 16, 2008, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to Duke Energy Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 16, 2008, File No. 1-32853).
- 4.1.1 X
- Second Supplemental Indenture, dated as of January 26, 2009, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Duke Energy Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 26, 2009, File No. 1-32853).
- 4.1.2 X
- Third Supplemental Indenture, dated as of August 28, 2009, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Duke Energy Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 28, 2009, File No. 1-32853).
- 4.1.3 X
- Fourth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of March 25, 2010, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Duke Energy Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 25, 2010, File No. 1-32853).
- 4.1.4 X
- Fifth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of August 25, 2011, (incorporated by reference to
- 4.1.5 X

- Exhibit 4.1 to Duke Energy Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 25, 2011, File No. 1-32853), Sixth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of November 17, 2011, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Duke Energy Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 17, 2011, File No. 1-32853).
- 4.1.6 X
Seventh Supplemental Indenture, dated as of August 16, 2012, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Duke Energy Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 16, 2012, File No. 1-32853).
- 4.1.7 X
Eighth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of January 14, 2013, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2 to the Registration Statement of Form 8-A of the Company filed on January 14, 2013, File No. 1-32853).
- 4.1.8 X
Ninth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of June 13, 2013, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Duke Energy Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 13, 2013, File No. 1-32853).
- 4.1.9 X
Tenth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of October 11, 2013, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Duke Energy Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 11, 2013, File No. 1-32853).
- 4.1.10 X
Eleventh Supplemental Indenture, dated as of April 4, 2014, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Duke Energy Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on April 4, 2014, File No. 1-32853).
- 4.1.11 X

- 4.1.12 Twelfth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of November 19, 2015, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to Duke Energy Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 19, 2015, File No. 1-32853). X
- 4.1.13 Thirteenth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of April 18, 2016, to the indenture dated as of June 3, 2008, between Duke Energy Corporation and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A., as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Duke Energy Corporation's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2016, filed on May 5, 2016, File No. 1-32853). X
- 4.1.14 Fourteenth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of August 12, 2016, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 12, 2016, File No. 1-32853). X
- 4.1.15 Fifteenth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of April 11, 2017 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2017 filed on May 9, 2017, File No. 1-32853). X
- 4.1.16 Sixteenth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of June 13, 2017 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2017 filed on August 3, 2017, File No. 1-32853). X
- 4.1.17 Seventeenth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of August X

| | | |
|-------|---|---|
| 4.2 | X | <p><u>10, 2017 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 10, 2017, File No. 1-32853).</u> <u>Senior Indenture between Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A., as successor trustee to JPMorgan Chase Bank (formerly known as The Chase Manhattan Bank), dated as of September 1, 1998, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4-D-1 to registrant's Post-Effective Amendment No. 2 to Registration Statement on Form S-3 filed on April 7, 1999, File No. 333-14209).</u> <u>Fifteenth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of April 3, 2006, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.4.1 to registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-3 filed on October 3, 2007, File No. 333-146483-03).</u> <u>Sixteenth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of June 5, 2007, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1</u> <u>registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 6, 2007, File No. 1-4928).</u> First and Refunding Mortgage from Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC to The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A., successor trustee to Guaranty Trust Company of New York, dated as of December 1, 1927, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 7(a) to registrant's Form S-1, effective October 15, 1947, File No. 2-7224).</p> |
| 4.2.1 | X | <p><u>Instrument of Resignation, Appointment and Acceptance among Duke Energy</u></p> |
| 4.2.2 | X | |
| 4.3 | X | |
| 4.3.1 | X | |

| | | |
|-------|---|---|
| | <p><u>Carolinas, LLC, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Trustee, and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A., as Successor Trustee, dated as of September 24, 2007, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.6.1 to registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-3 filed on October 3, 2007, File No. 333-146483).</u></p> | |
| 4.3.2 | <p>Ninth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of February 1, 1949, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 7(j) to registrant's Form S-1 filed on February 3, 1949, File No. 2-7808). Twentieth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of June 15, 1964, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4-B-20 to registrant's Form S-1 filed on August 23, 1966, File No. 2-25367).</p> | X |
| 4.3.3 | <p>Twenty-third Supplemental Indenture, dated as of February 1, 1968, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2-B-26 to registrant's Form S-9 filed on January 21, 1969, File No. 2-31304).</p> | X |
| 4.3.4 | <p>Sixtieth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of March 1, 1990, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4-B-61 to registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1990, File No.1-4928).</p> | X |
| 4.3.5 | <p>Sixty-third Supplemental Indenture, dated as of July 1, 1991, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4-B-64 to registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-3 filed on February 13, 1992, File No. 33-45501).</p> | X |
| 4.3.6 | <p><u>Eighty-fourth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of March 20, 2006, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.6.9 to</u></p> | X |

| | | |
|--------|---|---|
| 4.3.8 | <u>registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-3 filed on October 3, 2007, File No. 333-146483-03).</u> <u>Eighty-fifth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of January 10, 2008, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 11, 2008, File No.1-4928).</u> | X |
| 4.3.9 | <u>Eighty-seventh Supplemental Indenture, dated as of April 14, 2008, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on April 15, 2008, File No.1-4928).</u> | X |
| 4.3.10 | <u>Eighty-eighth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of November 17, 2008, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 20, 2008, File No.1-4928).</u> | X |
| 4.3.11 | <u>Ninetieth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of November 19, 2009, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 19, 2009, File No.1-4928).</u> | X |
| 4.3.12 | <u>Ninety-first Supplemental Indenture, dated as of June 7, 2010, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 7, 2010, File No.1-4928).</u> | X |
| 4.3.13 | <u>Ninety-third Supplemental Indenture, dated as of May 19, 2011, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC's</u> | X |

| | | |
|--------|--|---|
| | <u>Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 19, 2011, File No.1-4928).</u> | |
| | <u>Ninety-fourth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of December 8, 2011, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 8, 2011, File No.1-4928).</u> | |
| 4.3.14 | <u>Exhibit 4.1 to Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 8, 2011, File No.1-4928).</u> | X |
| | <u>Ninety-fifth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of September 21, 2012, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 21, 2012, File No.1-4928).</u> | |
| 4.3.15 | <u>Exhibit 4.1 to Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 21, 2012, File No.1-4928).</u> | X |
| | <u>Ninety-sixth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of March 12, 2015, between Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A., as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 12, 2015, File No. 1-4928).</u> | |
| 4.3.16 | <u>Exhibit 4.1 to Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 12, 2015, File No. 1-4928).</u> | X |
| | <u>Ninety-seventh Supplemental Indenture, dated as of March 11, 2016, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 11, 2016, File No. 1-4928).</u> | |
| 4.3.17 | <u>Exhibit 4.1 to Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 11, 2016, File No. 1-4928).</u> | X |
| | <u>Ninety-eighth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of November 17, 2016, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 17, 2016, File No. 1-4928).</u> | |
| 4.3.18 | <u>Exhibit 4.1 to Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 17, 2016, File No. 1-4928).</u> | X |
| 4.3.19 | | X |

| | | |
|-------|--|---|
| | <p><u>Ninety-ninth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of November 14, 2017, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 14, 2017, File No. 1-4928).</u></p> <p>Mortgage and Deed of Trust between Duke Energy Progress, Inc. (formerly Carolina Power & Light Company) and The Bank of New York Mellon (formerly Irving Trust Company) and Frederick G. Herbst (Tina D. Gonzalez, successor), as Trustees, dated as of May 1, 1940.</p> | |
| 4.4 | | X |
| 4.4.1 | <p>First through Fifth Supplemental Indentures thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2(b), File No. 2-64189).</p> | X |
| 4.4.2 | <p>Sixth Supplemental Indenture dated April 1, 1960 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2(b)-5, File No. 2-16210).</p> | X |
| 4.4.3 | <p>Seventh Supplemental Indenture dated November 1, 1961 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2(b)-6, File No. 2-16210).</p> | X |
| 4.4.4 | <p>Eighth Supplemental Indenture dated July 1, 1964 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(b)-8, File No. 2-19118).</p> | X |
| 4.4.5 | <p>Ninth Supplemental Indenture dated April 1, 1966 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(b)-2, File No. 2-22439).</p> | X |
| 4.4.6 | <p>Tenth Supplemental Indenture dated October 1, 1967 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(b)-2, File No. 2-24624).</p> | X |
| 4.4.7 | | X |

| | | |
|--------|--|---|
| | Eleventh Supplemental Indenture dated October 1, 1968 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2(c), File No. 2-27297). | |
| 4.4.8 | Twelfth Supplemental Indenture dated January 1, 1970 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2(c), File No. 2-30172). | X |
| 4.4.9 | Thirteenth Supplemental Indenture dated August 1, 1970 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2(c), File No. 2-35694). | X |
| 4.4.10 | Fourteenth Supplemental Indenture dated January 1, 1971 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2(c), File No. 2-37505). | X |
| 4.4.11 | Fifteenth Supplemental Indenture dated October 1, 1971 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2(c), File No. 2-39002). | X |
| 4.4.12 | Sixteenth Supplemental Indenture dated May 1, 1972 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2(c), File No. 2-41738). | X |
| 4.4.13 | Seventeenth Supplemental Indenture dated November 1, 1973 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2(c), File No. 2-43439). | X |
| 4.4.14 | Eighteenth Supplemental Indenture dated (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2(c), File No. 2-47751). | X |
| 4.4.15 | Nineteenth Supplemental Indenture dated May 1, 1974 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2(c), File No. 2-49347). | X |
| 4.4.16 | Twentieth Supplemental Indenture dated December 1, 1974 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2(c), File No. 2-53113). | X |
| 4.4.17 | Twenty-first Supplemental Indenture dated April 15, 1975 | X |

| | | |
|--------|---|---|
| | (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2(d), File No. 2-53113). Twenty-second Supplemental Indenture dated October 1, 1977 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2(c), File No. 2-59511). | |
| 4.4.18 | Twenty-third Supplemental Indenture dated June 1, 1978 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2(c), File No. 2-61611). | X |
| 4.4.19 | Twenty-fourth Supplemental Indenture dated May 15, 1979 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2(d), File No. 2-64189). | X |
| 4.4.20 | Twenty-fifth Supplemental Indenture dated November 1, 1979 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2(c), File No. 2-65514). | X |
| 4.4.21 | Twenty-sixth Supplemental Indenture dated November 1, 1979 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2(c), File No. 2-66851). | X |
| 4.4.22 | Twenty-seventh Supplemental Indenture dated April 1, 1980 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2 (d), File No. 2-66851). | X |
| 4.4.23 | Twenty-eighth Supplemental Indenture dated October 1, 1980 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(b)-1, File No. 2-81299). | X |
| 4.4.24 | Twenty-ninth Supplemental Indenture dated October 1, 1980 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(b)-2, File No. 2-81299). | X |
| 4.4.25 | Thirtieth Supplemental Indenture dated December 1, 1982 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(b)- 3, File No. 2-81299). | X |
| 4.4.26 | Thirty-first Supplemental Indenture dated March 15, 1983 (incorporated by | X |

| | | |
|--------|---|---|
| | reference to Exhibit 4(c)-1, File No. 2-95505). | |
| 4.4.28 | Thirty-second Supplemental Indenture dated March 15, 1983 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(c)-2, File No. 2-95505). | X |
| 4.4.29 | Thirty-third Supplemental Indenture dated December 1, 1983 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(c)-3, File No. 2-95505). | X |
| 4.4.30 | Thirty-fourth Supplemental Indenture dated December 15, 1983 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(c)-4, File No. 2-95505). | X |
| 4.4.31 | Thirty-fifth Supplemental Indenture dated April 1, 1984 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(c)-5, File No. 2-95505). | X |
| 4.4.32 | Thirty-sixth Supplemental Indenture dated June 1, 1984 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(c)-6, File No. 2-95505). | X |
| 4.4.33 | Thirty-seventh Supplemental Indenture dated June 1, 1984 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(c)-7, File No. 2-95505). | X |
| 4.4.34 | Thirty-eighth Supplemental Indenture dated June 1, 1984 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(c)- 8, File No. 2-95505). | X |
| 4.4.35 | Thirty-ninth Supplemental Indenture dated April 1, 1985 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(b), File No. 33-25560). | X |
| 4.4.36 | Fortieth Supplemental Indenture dated October 1, 1985 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(c), File No. 33-25560). | X |
| 4.4.37 | Forty-first Supplemental Indenture dated March 1, 1986 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(d), File No. | X |

| | | |
|--------|---|---|
| | 33-25560). | |
| 4.4.38 | Forty-second Supplemental Indenture dated July 1, 1986 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(e), File No. 33-25560). | X |
| 4.4.39 | Forty-third Supplemental Indenture dated January 1, 1987 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(f), File No. 33-25560). | X |
| 4.4.40 | Forty-fourth Supplemental Indenture dated December 1, 1987 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(g), File No. 33-25560). | X |
| 4.4.41 | Forty-fifth supplemental Indenture dated September 1, 1988 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(h), File No. 33-25560). | X |
| 4.4.42 | Forty-sixth Supplemental Indenture dated April 1, 1989 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(b), File No. 33-33431). | X |
| 4.4.43 | Forty-seventh Supplemental Indenture dated August 1, 1989 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(c), File No. 33-33431). | X |
| 4.4.44 | Forty-eighth Supplemental Indenture dated November 15, 1990 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(b), File No. 33-38298). | X |
| 4.4.45 | Forty-ninth Supplemental Indenture dated November 15, 1990 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(c), File No. 33-38298). | X |
| 4.4.46 | Fiftieth Supplemental Indenture dated February 15, 1991 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(h), File No. 33-42869). | X |
| 4.4.47 | Fifty-first Supplemental Indenture dated April 1, 1991 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(i), File No. 33-42869). | X |

| | | |
|--------|---|---|
| 4.4.48 | Fifty-second Supplemental Indenture dated September 15, 1991(incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(e), File No. 33-48607). | X |
| 4.4.49 | Fifty-third Supplemental Indenture dated January 1, 1992 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(f), File No. 33-48607). | X |
| 4.4.50 | Fifty-fourth Supplemental Indenture dated April 15, 1992 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4 (g), File No. 33-48607). | X |
| 4.4.51 | Fifty-fifth Supplemental Indenture dated July 1, 1992 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(e), File No. 33-55060). | X |
| 4.4.52 | Fifty-sixth Supplemental Indenture dated October 1, 1992 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(f), File No. 33-55060). | X |
| 4.4.53 | Fifty-seventh Supplemental Indenture dated February 1, 1993 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(e), File No. 33-60014). | X |
| 4.4.54 | Fifty-eighth Supplemental Indenture dated March 1, 1993 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(f), File No. 33-60014). | X |
| 4.4.55 | Fifty-ninth Supplemental Indenture dated July 1, 1993 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(a) to Post-Effective Amendment No. 1, File No. 33-38349). | X |
| 4.4.56 | Sixtieth Supplemental Indenture dated July 1, 1993 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(b) to Post-Effective Amendment No. 1, File No. 33-38349). | X |
| 4.4.57 | Sixty-first Supplemental Indenture dated August 15, 1993 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(e), File | X |

| | | |
|--------|---|---|
| | No. 33-50597). | |
| 4.4.58 | <u>Sixty-second Supplemental Indenture dated January 15, 1994 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4 to Duke Energy Progress' Current Report on Form 8-K dated January 19, 1994, File No. 1-3382).</u> | X |
| 4.4.59 | <u>Sixty-third Supplemental Indenture dated May 1, 1994 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(f) for Duke Energy Progress' Form S-3, File No. 033-57835).</u> | X |
| 4.4.60 | <u>Sixty-fourth Supplemental Indenture dated August 15, 1997 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit to Duke Energy Progress' Current Report on Form 8-K dated August 26, 1997, File No. 1-3382).</u> | X |
| 4.4.61 | <u>Sixty-fifth Supplemental Indenture dated April 1, 1998 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(b) for Duke Energy Progress' Registration Statement on Form S-3 filed December 18, 1998, File No. 333-69237).</u> | X |
| 4.4.62 | <u>Sixty-sixth Supplemental Indenture dated March 1, 1999 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(c) to Duke Energy Progress' Current Report on Form 8-K dated March 19, 1999, File No. 1-3382).</u> | X |
| 4.4.63 | <u>Form of Carolina Power & Light Company First Mortgage Bond, 6.80% Series Due August 15, 2007 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4 to Duke Energy Progress' Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 1998, File No. 1-3382).</u> | X |
| 4.4.64 | <u>Sixty-eighth Supplemental Indenture dated April 1, 2000 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit No. 4(b) to Duke</u> | X |

| | | |
|--------|---|---|
| 4.4.65 | <p><u>Energy Progress' Current Report on Form 8-K dated April 20, 2000, File No. 1-3382).</u> <u>Sixty-ninth Supplemental Indenture dated June 1, 2000 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit No. 4b(2) to Duke Energy Progress' Annual Report on Form 10-K dated March 29, 2001, File No. 1-3382).</u></p> | X |
| 4.4.66 | <p><u>Seventieth Supplemental Indenture dated July 1, 2000 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4b(3) to Duke Energy Progress' Annual Report on Form 10-K dated March 29, 2001, File No. 1-3382).</u></p> | X |
| 4.4.67 | <p><u>Seventy-first Supplemental Indenture dated February 1, 2002 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4b(2) to Duke Energy Progress' Annual Report on Form 10-K dated March 28, 2002, File No. 1-3382 and 1-15929).</u></p> | X |
| 4.4.68 | <p><u>Seventy-second Supplemental Indenture, dated as of September 1, 2003, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4 to Duke Energy Progress, Inc.'s (formerly Carolina Power & Light Company (d/b/a Progress Energy Carolinas, Inc.)) Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 12, 2003, File No. 1-3382).</u></p> | X |
| 4.4.69 | <p><u>Seventy-third Supplemental Indenture, dated as of March 1, 2005, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4 to Duke Energy Progress, Inc.'s (formerly Carolina Power & Light Company (d/b/a Progress Energy Carolinas, Inc.)) Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 22, 2005, File No. 1-3382).</u></p> | X |
| 4.4.70 | | X |

| | | |
|--------|---|---|
| | <p><u>Seventy-fourth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of November 1, 2005, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4 to Duke Energy Progress, Inc.'s (formerly Carolina Power & Light Company (d/b/a Progress Energy Carolinas, Inc.)) Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 30, 2005, File No. 1-3382).</u></p> | |
| 4.4.71 | <p><u>Seventy-fifth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of March 1, 2008, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4 to Duke Energy Progress, Inc.'s (formerly Carolina Power & Light Company (d/b/a Progress Energy Carolinas, Inc.)) Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 13, 2008, File No. 1-3382).</u></p> | X |
| 4.4.72 | <p><u>Seventy-sixth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of January 1, 2009, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4 to Duke Energy Progress, Inc.'s (formerly Carolina Power & Light Company (d/b/a Progress Energy Carolinas, Inc.)) Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 15, 2009, File No. 1-3382).</u></p> | X |
| 4.4.73 | <p><u>Seventy-seventh Supplemental Indenture, dated as of June 18, 2009, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4 to Duke Energy Progress, Inc.'s (formerly Carolina Power & Light Company (d/b/a Progress Energy Carolinas, Inc.)) Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 23, 2009, File No. 1-3382).</u></p> | X |
| 4.4.74 | <p><u>Seventy-eighth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of September 1, 2011, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4 to Duke Energy Progress, Inc.'s (formerly</u></p> | X |

| | | |
|--------|--|---|
| 4.4.75 | <p><u>Carolina Power & Light Company (d/b/a Progress Energy Carolinas, Inc.)</u> <u>Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 15, 2011, File No. 1-3382).</u> <u>Seventy-ninth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of May 1, 2012, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4 to Duke Energy Progress, Inc.'s (formerly Carolina Power & Light Company (d/b/a Progress Energy Carolinas, Inc.)) Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 18, 2012, File No. 1-3382).</u> <u>Eightieth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of March 1, 2013, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Duke Energy Progress, Inc.'s (formerly Carolina Power & Light Company (d/b/a Progress Energy Carolinas, Inc.)) Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 18, 2012, File No. 1-3382).</u></p> | X |
| 4.4.76 | <p><u>Eightieth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of March 1, 2013, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Duke Energy Progress, Inc.'s (formerly Carolina Power & Light Company (d/b/a Progress Energy Carolinas, Inc.)) Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 18, 2012, File No. 1-3382).</u> <u>Eighty-second Supplemental Indenture, dated as of March 1, 2014, between the Company and The Bank of New York Mellon (formerly Irving Trust Company) and Tina D. Gonzalez (successor to Frederick G. Herbst) and forms of global notes (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Duke Energy Progress, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 6, 2014, File No. 1-3382).</u></p> | X |
| 4.4.77 | <p><u>Eighty-second Supplemental Indenture, dated as of March 1, 2014, between the Company and The Bank of New York Mellon (formerly Irving Trust Company) and Tina D. Gonzalez (successor to Frederick G. Herbst) and forms of global notes (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Duke Energy Progress, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 6, 2014, File No. 1-3382).</u></p> | X |
| 4.4.78 | <p><u>Eighty-third Supplemental Indenture, dated as of November 1, 2014, between the Company and The Bank of New York Mellon (formerly Irving Trust Company) and Tina D. Gonzalez (successor to Frederick G. Herbst) and forms of global notes</u></p> | X |

| | | |
|--------|---|---|
| 4.4.79 | <p><u>(incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Duke Energy Progress, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 20, 2014, File No. 1-3382).</u> <u>Eighty-fifth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of August 1, 2015, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Duke Energy Progress, LLC's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 13, 2015, File No. 1-3382).</u></p> | X |
| 4.4.80 | <p><u>Eighty-sixth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of September 1, 2016, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 16, 2016, File No. 1-15929).</u> <u>Eighty-seventh Supplemental Indenture, dated as of September 1, 2017</u></p> | X |
| 4.4.81 | <p><u>(incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 8, 2017, File No. 1-3382).</u> <u>Indenture (for Debt Securities) between Duke Energy Progress, Inc. (formerly Carolina Power & Light Company) and The Bank of New York Mellon (successor in interest to The Chase Manhattan Bank), as Trustee</u></p> | X |
| 4.5 | <p><u>(incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(a) to registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 5, 1999, File No. 1-3382).</u> <u>Indenture (for [Subordinated] Debt Securities) (open ended) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(a)(2) to Duke Energy Progress, Inc.'s (formerly Carolina Power & Light Company (d/b/a Progress Energy Carolinas,</u></p> | X |
| 4.6 | <p><u>(incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(a)(2) to Duke Energy Progress, Inc.'s (formerly Carolina Power & Light Company (d/b/a Progress Energy Carolinas,</u></p> | X |

Inc.)) Registration Statement on Form S-3 filed on November 18, 2008, File No. 333-155418).

| | | |
|-------|--|---|
| 4.7 | Indenture (for First Mortgage Bonds) between Duke Energy Florida, Inc. (formerly Florida Power Corporation) and The Bank of New York Mellon (as successor to Guaranty Trust Company of New York and The Florida National Bank of Jacksonville), as Trustee, dated as of January 1, 1944, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit B-18 to registrant's Form A-2, File No. 2-5293). Seventh Supplemental | X |
| 4.7.1 | Indenture (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(b) to Duke Energy Florida, Inc.'s (formerly Florida Power Corporation) Registration Statement on Form S-3 filed on September 27, 1991, File No. 33-16788). Eighth Supplemental | X |
| 4.7.2 | Indenture (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(c) to Duke Energy Florida, Inc.'s (formerly Florida Power Corporation) Registration Statement on Form S-3 filed on September 27, 1991, File No. 33-16788). Sixteenth Supplemental | X |
| 4.7.3 | Indenture (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(d) to Duke Energy Florida, Inc.'s (formerly Florida Power Corporation) Registration Statement on Form S-3 filed on September 27, 1991, File No. 33-16788). | X |
| 4.7.4 | Twenty-ninth Supplemental Indenture (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(c) to Duke Energy Florida, Inc.'s (formerly Florida Power Corporation) Registration Statement on Form S-3 filed | X |

- on September 17, 1982, File No. 2-79832).
- 4.7.5 Thirty-eighth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of July 25, 1994, (incorporated by reference to exhibit 4(f) to Duke Energy Florida, Inc.'s (formerly Florida Power Corporation) Registration Statement on Form S-3 filed on August 29, 1994, File No. 33-55273). X
- 4.7.6 Forty-first Supplemental Indenture, dated as of February 1, 2003, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4 to Duke Energy Florida, Inc.'s (formerly Duke Energy Florida Power Corporation (d/b/a Progress Energy Florida, Inc.)) Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 21, 2003, File No. 1-3274). X
- 4.7.7 Forty-second Supplemental Indenture, dated as of April 1, 2003, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4 to Duke Energy Florida, Inc.'s (formerly Florida Power Corporation (d/b/a Progress Energy Florida, Inc.)) Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2003, filed on August 11, 2003, File No. 1-3274). X
- 4.7.8 Forty-third Supplemental Indenture, dated as of November 1, 2003, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4 to Duke Energy Florida, Inc.'s (formerly Florida Power Corporation (d/b/a Progress Energy Florida, Inc.)) Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 21, 2003, File No. 1-3274). X
- 4.7.9 Forty-fourth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of August 1, 2004, (incorporated by X

| | | |
|--------|--|---|
| 4.7.10 | <p><u>reference to Exhibit 4(m) to Duke Energy Florida, Inc.'s (formerly Florida Power Corporation (d/b/a Progress Energy Florida, Inc.)) Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004, filed on March 16, 2005, File No. 1-3274).</u> <u>Forty-sixth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of September 1, 2007, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4 to Duke Energy Florida, Inc.'s (formerly Florida Power Corporation (d/b/a Progress Energy Florida, Inc.)) Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 19, 2007, File No. 1-3274).</u></p> | X |
| 4.7.11 | <p><u>Forty-seventh Supplemental Indenture, dated as of December 1, 2007, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4 to Duke Energy Florida, Inc.'s (formerly Florida Power Corporation (d/b/a Progress Energy Florida, Inc.)) Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 13, 2007, File No. 1-3274).</u></p> | X |
| 4.7.12 | <p><u>Forty-eighth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of June 1, 2008, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4 to Duke Energy Florida, Inc.'s (formerly Florida Power Corporation (d/b/a Progress Energy Florida, Inc.)) Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 18, 2008, File No. 1-3274).</u></p> | X |
| 4.7.13 | <p><u>Forty-ninth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of March 1, 2010, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4 to Duke Energy Florida, Inc.'s (formerly Florida Power Corporation (d/b/a Progress</u></p> | X |

| | | |
|--------|--|---|
| 4.7.14 | <p><u>Energy Florida, Inc.)) Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 25, 2010, File No. 1-3274).</u> <u>Fiftieth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of August 11, 2011, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4 to Duke Energy Florida, Inc.'s (formerly Florida Power Corporation (d/b/a Progress Energy Florida, Inc.)) Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 18, 2011, File No. 1-3274).</u></p> | X |
| 4.7.15 | <p><u>Fifty-first Supplemental Indenture, dated as of November 1, 2012, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Duke Energy Florida, Inc.'s (formerly Florida Power Corporation (d/b/a Progress Energy Florida, Inc.)) Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 20, 2012, File No. 1-3274).</u></p> | X |
| 4.7.16 | <p><u>Fifty-third Supplemental Indenture, dated as of September 1, 2016, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 9, 2016, File No. 1-03274).</u></p> | X |
| 4.8 | <p><u>Indenture (for Debt Securities) between Duke Energy Florida, Inc. (formerly Florida Power Corporation (d/b/a Progress Energy Florida, Inc.)) and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, National Association (successor in interest to J.P. Morgan Trust Company, National Association), as Trustee, dated as of December 7, 2005, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(a) to registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 13, 2005.</u></p> | X |

| | | |
|--------|--|---|
| | <u>File No. 1-3274).</u> | |
| | <u>First Supplemental Indenture,</u> | |
| | <u>dated as of December 12,</u> | |
| | <u>2017, (incorporated by</u> | |
| 4.8.1 | <u>reference to Exhibit 4.1 to</u> | X |
| | <u>registrant's Current Report on</u> | |
| | <u>Form 8-K filed on December</u> | |
| | <u>12, 2017, File No. 1-03274).</u> | |
| | <u>Indenture (for [Subordinated]</u> | |
| | <u>Debt Securities) (open ended)</u> | |
| | <u>(incorporated by reference to</u> | |
| | <u>Exhibit 4(a)(2) Duke Energy</u> | |
| | <u>Florida, Inc.'s (formerly</u> | |
| 4.9 | <u>Florida Power Corporation</u> | X |
| | <u>(d/b/a Progress Energy</u> | |
| | <u>Florida, Inc.)) Registration</u> | |
| | <u>Statement on Form S-3 filed</u> | |
| | <u>on November 18, 2008, File</u> | |
| | <u>No. 333-155418).</u> | |
| | <u>Original Indenture (Unsecured</u> | |
| | <u>Debt Securities) between</u> | |
| | <u>Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.</u> | |
| | <u>(formerly The Cincinnati Gas</u> | |
| | <u>& Electric Company) and The</u> | |
| | <u>Bank of New York Mellon</u> | |
| 4.10 | <u>Trust Company, N.A., as</u> | X |
| | <u>Successor Trustee, dated as of</u> | |
| | <u>May 15, 1995, (incorporated</u> | |
| | <u>by reference to Exhibit 3 to</u> | |
| | <u>registrant's Form 8-A filed on</u> | |
| | <u>July 27, 1995, File No.</u> | |
| | <u>1-1232).</u> | |
| | <u>First Supplemental Indenture,</u> | |
| | <u>dated as of June 1, 1995,</u> | |
| | <u>(incorporated by reference to</u> | |
| | <u>Exhibit 4 B to Duke Energy</u> | |
| | <u>Ohio, Inc.'s (formerly The</u> | |
| 4.10.1 | <u>Cincinnati Gas & Electric</u> | X |
| | <u>Company) Quarterly Report</u> | |
| | <u>on Form 10-Q for the quarter</u> | |
| | <u>ended June 30, 1995, filed on</u> | |
| | <u>August 11, 1995, File No.</u> | |
| | <u>1-1232).</u> | |
| 4.10.2 | <u>Seventh Supplemental</u> | X |
| | <u>Indenture, dated as of June 15,</u> | |
| | <u>2003, (incorporated by</u> | |
| | <u>reference to Exhibit 4.1 to</u> | |
| | <u>Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.'s</u> | |
| | <u>(formerly The Cincinnati Gas</u> | |
| | <u>& Electric Company)</u> | |
| | <u>Quarterly Report on Form</u> | |

| | | |
|--------|---|---|
| 4.11 | <p><u>10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2003, filed on August 13, 2003, File No. 1-1232).</u> Original Indenture (First Mortgage Bonds) between Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. (formerly The Cincinnati Gas & Electric Company) and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A., as Successor Trustee, dated as of August 1, 1936, (incorporated by reference to an exhibit to registrant's Registration Statement No. 2-2374).</p> | X |
| 4.11.1 | <p><u>Fortieth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of March 23, 2009, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.'s (formerly The Cincinnati Gas & Electric Company) Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 24, 2009, File No. 1-1232).</u></p> | X |
| 4.11.2 | <p><u>Forty-second Supplemental Indenture, dated as of September 6, 2013, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.'s (formerly The Cincinnati Gas & Electric Company) Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 6, 2013, File No. 1-1232).</u></p> | X |
| 4.11.3 | <p><u>Forty-fourth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of June 23, 2016, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 23, 2016, File No. 1-1232).</u></p> | X |
| 4.11.4 | <p><u>Forty-fifth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of March 27, 2017 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 27, 2017, File No. 1-01232).</u></p> | X |
| 4.12 | <p><u>Indenture between Duke Energy Indiana, LLC</u></p> | X |

| | | |
|--------|---|---|
| | <p><u>(formerly PSI Energy, Inc.) and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A., as Successor Trustee, dated as of November 15, 1996, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(v) to the Cinergy Corp. Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1996, filed on March 27, 1997, File No. 1-11377).</u></p> <p><u>Third Supplemental Indenture, dated as of March 15, 1998, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4-w to Cinergy Corp.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1997, filed on March 27, 1998, File No. 1-11377).</u></p> | |
| 4.12.1 | <p><u>Eighth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of September 23, 2003, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to Duke Energy Indiana, LLC's (formerly PSI Energy, Inc.) Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2003, filed on November 13, 2003, File No. 1-3543).</u></p> | X |
| 4.12.2 | <p><u>Ninth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of October 21, 2005, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.7.3 to Duke Energy Indiana, LLC's (formerly PSI Energy, Inc.) Registration Statement on Form S-3 filed on September 29, 2010, File No. 333-169633).</u></p> | X |
| 4.12.3 | <p><u>Tenth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of June 9, 2006, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Duke Energy Indiana, LLC's (formerly PSI Energy, Inc.) Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 15, 2006, File No. 1-3543).</u></p> | X |
| 4.12.4 | <p>Original Indenture (First Mortgage Bonds) between Duke Energy Indiana, LLC</p> | X |

| | | |
|--------|--|---|
| | (formerly PSI Energy, Inc.) and Deutsche Bank National Trust Company, as Successor Trustee, dated as of September 1, 1939, (filed as an exhibit in File No. 70-258). | |
| 4.13.1 | Tenth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of July 1, 1952, (filed as an exhibit in File No. 2-9687). | X |
| 4.13.2 | Twenty-third Supplemental Indenture, dated as of January 1, 1977, (filed as an exhibit in File No. 2-57828). | X |
| 4.13.3 | Twenty-fifth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of September 1, 1978, (filed as an exhibit in File No. 2-62543). | X |
| 4.13.4 | Twenty-sixth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of September 1, 1978, (filed as an exhibit in File No. 2-62543). | X |
| 4.13.5 | Thirtieth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of August 1, 1980, (filed as an exhibit in File No. 2-68562). | X |
| 4.13.6 | Thirty-fifth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of March 30, 1984, (filed as an exhibit to registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1984, File No. 1-3543). | X |
| 4.13.7 | Forty-sixth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of June 1, 1990, (filed as an exhibit to registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1991, File No. 1-3543). | X |
| 4.13.8 | Forty-seventh Supplemental Indenture, dated as of July 15, 1991, (filed as an exhibit to registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1991, File No. 1-3543). | X |
| 4.13.9 | Forty-eighth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of July 15, | X |

- 1992, (filed as an exhibit to registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1992, File No. 1-3543).
- 4.13.10 Fifty-second Supplemental Indenture, dated as of April 30, 1999, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4 to Duke Energy Indiana, LLC's (formerly PSI Energy, Inc.) Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 1999, filed on May 13, 1999, File No. 1-3543). X
- 4.13.11 Fifty-seventh Supplemental Indenture, dated as of August 21, 2008, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Duke Energy Indiana, LLC's (formerly PSI Energy, Inc.) Current Report Form 8-K filed on August 21, 2008, File No. 1-3543). X
- 4.13.12 Fifty-eighth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of December 19, 2008, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.8.12 to Duke Energy Indiana, LLC's (formerly PSI Energy, Inc.) Registration Statement on Form S-3 filed on September 29, 2010, File No. 333-169633-02). X
- 4.13.13 Fifty-ninth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of March 23, 2009, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Duke Energy Indiana, LLC's (formerly PSI Energy, Inc.) Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 24, 2009, File No. 1-3543). X
- 4.13.14 Sixtieth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of June 1, 2009, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.8.14 to Duke Energy Indiana, LLC's (formerly PSI Energy, Inc.) Registration Statement on Form S-3 filed on September X

| | | |
|---------|---|---|
| 4.13.15 | <p><u>29, 2010, File No. 333-169633-02).</u> <u>Sixty-first Supplemental Indenture, dated as of October 1, 2009, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.8.15 to Duke Energy Indiana, LLC's (formerly PSI Energy, Inc.) Registration Statement on Form S-3 filed on September 29, 2010, File No. 333-169633-02).</u></p> | X |
| 4.13.16 | <p><u>Sixty-second Supplemental Indenture, dated as of July 9, 2010, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Duke Energy Indiana, LLC's (formerly PSI Energy, Inc.) Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 9, 2010, File No. 1-3543).</u></p> | X |
| 4.13.17 | <p><u>Sixty-third Supplemental Indenture, dated as of September 23, 2010, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.8.17 to Duke Energy Indiana, LLC's (formerly PSI Energy, Inc.) Registration Statement on Form S-3 filed on September 29, 2010, File No. 333-169633-02).</u></p> | X |
| 4.13.18 | <p><u>Sixty-fourth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of December 1, 2011, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(d)(2)(xviii) to Duke Energy Indiana, LLC's (formerly PSI Energy, Inc.) Registration Statement on Form S-3 filed on September 30, 2013, File No. 333-191462-03).</u></p> | X |
| 4.13.19 | <p><u>Sixty-fifth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of March 15, 2012, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Duke Energy Indiana, LLC's (formerly PSI Energy, Inc.) Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 15, 2012, File No. 1-3543).</u></p> | X |

| | | |
|---------|---|---|
| 4.13.20 | <p><u>Sixty-sixth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of July 11, 2013, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Duke Energy Indiana, LLC's (formerly PSI Energy, Inc.) Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 11, 2013, File No. 1-3543).</u></p> | X |
| 4.13.21 | <p><u>Sixty-seventh Supplemental Indenture, dated as of January 1, 2016, between Duke Energy Indiana, Inc. and Deutsche Bank National Trust Company, as Trustee, supplementing and amending the Indenture of Mortgage or Deed of Trust, dated September 1, 1939, between Duke Energy Indiana, Inc. and Deutsche Bank National Trust Company, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to Duke Energy Indiana, LLC's (formerly PSI Energy, Inc.) Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2016, filed on May 5, 2016, File No. 1-3543).</u></p> | X |
| 4.13.22 | <p><u>Sixty-eighth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of May 12, 2016, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 12, 2016, File No. 1-3543).</u></p> | X |
| 4.14 | <p>Repayment Agreement between Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. (formerly The Cincinnati Gas & Electric Company) and The Dayton Power and Light Company, dated as of December 23, 1992, (filed with registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1992, File No. 1-1232).</p> | X |
| 4.15 | <p><u>Unsecured Promissory Note between Duke Energy Indiana, LLC (formerly PSI</u></p> | X |

| | | |
|------|---|---|
| | <u>Energy, Inc.) and the Rural Utilities Service, dated as of October 14, 1998, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4 to registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1998, filed on March 8, 1999, File No. 1-3543).</u> | |
| 4.16 | <u>6.302% Subordinated Note between Duke Energy Indiana, LLC (formerly PSI Energy, Inc.) and Cinergy Corp., dated as of February 5, 2003, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(yyy) to registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2003, filed on May 12, 2003, File No. 1-3543).</u> | X |
| 4.17 | <u>6.403% Subordinated Note between Duke Energy Indiana, LLC (formerly PSI Energy, Inc.) and Cinergy Corp., dated as of February 5, 2003, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(zzz) to registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2003, filed on May 12, 2003, File No. 1-3543).</u> | X |
| 4.18 | <u>Contingent Value Obligation Agreement between Progress Energy, Inc. (formerly CP&L Energy, Inc.) and The Chase Manhattan Bank, as Trustee, dated as of November 30, 2000, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 1, 2000, File No. 1-3382).</u> | X |
| 4.19 | <u>Form of 3.47% Series A Senior Notes due July 16, 2027 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 29, 2012, File No. 1-06196).</u> | X |

| | | |
|--------|--|---|
| 4.20 | <p><u>Form of 3.57% Series B Senior Notes due July 16, 2027 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 29, 2012, File No. 1-06196).</u></p> | X |
| 4.21 | <p><u>Form of 4.65% Senior Notes due 2043 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 1, 2013, File No. 1-06196).</u></p> | X |
| 4.22 | <p><u>Form of 4.10% Senior Notes due 2034 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 18, 2014, File No. 1-06196).</u></p> | X |
| 4.23 | <p><u>Form of 3.60% Senior Notes due 2025 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 14, 2015, File No. 1-06196).</u></p> | X |
| 4.24 | <p><u>Form of 3.64% Senior Notes due 2046 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 28, 2016, File No. 1-06196).</u></p> | X |
| 4.25 | <p><u>Form of 4.24% Series B Senior Notes due June 6, 2021 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 12, 2011, File No. 1-06196).</u></p> | X |
| 4.26 | <p><u>Indenture, dated as of April 1, 1993, between Piedmont and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A. (as successor to Citibank, N.A.), Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-3 filed on May 16, 1995, File No. 33-59369).</u></p> | X |
| 4.26.1 | <p><u>Second Supplemental Indenture, dated as of June 15,</u></p> | X |

| | | |
|--------|---|---|
| 4.26.2 | <p><u>2003, between Piedmont and Citibank, N.A., Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-3 filed on June 19, 2003, File No. 333-106268). Fourth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of May 6, 2011, between Piedmont Natural Gas Company, Inc. and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A., as trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-3-ASR filed on July 7, 2011, File No. 333-175386). Fifth Supplemental Indenture, dated August 1, 2013, between the Company and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 1, 2013, File No. 1-06196). Sixth Supplemental Indenture, dated September 18, 2014, between the Company and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 18, 2014, File No. 1-06196). Seventh Supplemental Indenture, dated September 14, 2015, between the Company and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 14, 2015, File No. 1-06196).</u></p> | X |
| 4.26.3 | <p><u>between the Company and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 1, 2013, File No. 1-06196).</u></p> | X |
| 4.26.4 | <p><u>Sixth Supplemental Indenture, dated September 18, 2014, between the Company and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 18, 2014, File No. 1-06196).</u></p> | X |
| 4.26.5 | <p><u>Seventh Supplemental Indenture, dated September 14, 2015, between the Company and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 14, 2015, File No. 1-06196).</u></p> | X |
| 4.26.6 | <p><u>Eighth Supplemental Indenture, dated July 28,</u></p> | X |

| | | |
|------|---|---|
| | <u>2016, between the Company and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 28, 2016, File No. 1-06196).</u> | |
| 4.27 | Medium-Term Note, Series A, dated as of October 6, 1993 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.8 to registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended October 31, 1993, File No. 1-06196). | X |
| 4.28 | <u>Medium-Term Note, Series A, dated as of September 19, 1994 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.9 to registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended October 31, 1994, File No. 1-06196).</u> | X |
| 4.29 | <u>Form of 6% Medium-Term Note, Series E, dated as of December 19, 2003 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.2 to registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 23, 2003, File No. 1-06196).</u> | X |
| 4.30 | <u>Form of Master Global Note (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.4 to registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-3 filed on April 30, 1997, File No. 333-26161).</u> | X |
| 4.31 | <u>Pricing Supplement of Medium-Term Notes, Series B, dated October 3, 1995 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.10 to registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended October 31, 1995, File No. 1-06196).</u> | X |
| 4.32 | <u>Pricing Supplement of Medium-Term Notes, Series B, dated October 4, 1996 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.11 to registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K</u> | X |

| | | |
|----------|--|---|
| 4.33 | <p><u>for the year ended October 31, 1996, File No. 1-06196).</u> <u>Pricing Supplement of Medium-Term Notes, Series C, dated September 15, 1999 (incorporated by reference to Rule 424(b)(3) Pricing Supplement to Form S-3 Registration Statement Nos. 33-59369 and 333-26161).</u> <u>Agreement of Resignation, Appointment and Acceptance dated as of March 29, 2007, by and among Piedmont Natural Gas Company, Inc., Citibank, N.A., and The Bank of New York Trust Company, N.A. (incorporated by</u></p> | X |
| 4.34 | <p><u>reference to Exhibit 4.1 to registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended April 30, 2007, filed on June 8, 2007, File No. 1-06196).</u></p> | X |
| 10.1** | <p>Directors' Charitable Giving Program (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10-P to Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1992, File No. 1-4928).</p> | X |
| 10.1.1** | <p><u>Amendment to Directors' Charitable Giving Program, dated as of June 18, 1997, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10-1.1 to Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003, filed on March 15, 2004, File No. 1-4928).</u></p> | X |
| 10.1.2** | <p><u>Amendment to Directors' Charitable Giving Program, dated as of July 28, 1997, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10-1.2 to Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003, filed on March 15,</u></p> | X |

| | | | |
|----------|--|---|---|
| 10.1.3** | <p><u>2004, File No. 1-4928).</u> <u>Amendment to Directors'</u> <u>Charitable Giving Program,</u> <u>dated as of February 18, 1998,</u> <u>(incorporated by reference to</u> <u>Exhibit 10-1.3 to Duke Energy</u></p> | X | |
| | <p><u>Carolinas, LLC's Annual</u> <u>Report on Form 10-K for the</u> <u>year ended December 31,</u> <u>2003, filed on March 15,</u> <u>2004, File No. 1-4928).</u> <u>Agreements with Piedmont</u> <u>Electric Membership</u> <u>Corporation, Rutherford</u> <u>Electric Membership</u> <u>Corporation and Blue Ridge</u> <u>Electric Membership</u></p> | | |
| 10.2 | <p><u>Corporation (incorporated by</u> <u>reference to Exhibit 10.15 to</u> <u>Duke Energy Corporation's</u> <u>Quarterly Report on Form</u> <u>10-Q for the quarter ended</u> <u>June 30, 2006, filed on August</u> <u>9, 2006, File No. 1-32853).</u> <u>Asset Purchase Agreement</u> <u>between Saluda River Electric</u> <u>Cooperative, Inc., as Seller,</u> <u>and Duke Energy Carolinas,</u> <u>LLC, as Purchaser, dated as of</u></p> | X | |
| 10.3 | <p><u>December 20, 2006,</u> <u>(incorporated by reference to</u> <u>Exhibit 10.1 to registrant's</u> <u>Current Report on Form 8-K</u> <u>filed on December 27, 2006,</u> <u>File No. 1-4928).</u> <u>Settlement between Duke</u> <u>Energy Corporation, Duke</u> <u>Energy Carolinas, LLC and</u> <u>the U.S. Department of Justice</u> <u>resolving Duke Energy's used</u> <u>nuclear fuel litigation against</u> <u>the U.S. Department of</u></p> | X | |
| 10.4 | <p><u>Energy, dated as of March 6,</u> <u>2007, (incorporated by</u> <u>reference to Item 8.01 to</u> <u>registrant's Current Report on</u> <u>Form 8-K filed on March 12,</u> <u>2007, File No. 1-4928).</u> <u>ATM Equity Offering Sales</u> <u>Agreement dated January 7,</u> <u>2015 between the Company</u></p> | X | |
| 10.5 | | | X |

- and Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 1.1 to registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 7, 2015, File No. 1-06196).
- 10.6 Letter Agreement between Georgia Natural Gas Company and Piedmont Energy Company dated February 12, 2016 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 18, 2016, File No. 1-06196). X
- 10.7 Assignment of Membership Interests dated as of October 3, 2016 between Piedmont ACP Company, LLC and Dominion Atlantic Coast Pipeline, LLC. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 7, 2016, File No. 1-06196). X
- 10.8 Agreements between Piedmont Electric Membership Corporation, Rutherford Electric Membership Corporation and Blue Ridge Electric Membership Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.15 to Duke Energy Corporation's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2006 filed on August 9, 2006, File No. 1-32853). X
- 10.9 Conveyance and Assignment Agreement, dated as of October 3, 2016, by and between Piedmont Energy Company and Georgia Natural Gas Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 3, 2016, File No. 1-06196). X

- 10.10 Engineering, Procurement and Construction Management Agreement between Duke Energy Indiana, LLC (formerly PSI Energy, Inc.) and Bechtel Power Corporation, dated as of December 15, 2008, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.16 to registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, filed on March 13, 2009, File No. 1-3543), (Portions of the exhibit have been omitted and filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to a request for confidential treatment pursuant to Rule 24b-2 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.) X
- 10.11 Formation and Sale Agreement between Duke Ventures, LLC, Crescent Resources, LLC, Morgan Stanley Real Estate Fund V U.S. L.P., Morgan Stanley Real Estate Fund V Special U.S., L.P., Morgan Stanley Real Estate Investors V U.S., L.P., MSP Real Estate Fund V, L.P., and Morgan Stanley Strategic Investments, Inc., dated as of September 7, 2006, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to Duke Energy Corporation's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2006, filed on November 9, 2006, File No. 1-32853). X
- 10.12 Operating Agreement of Pioneer Transmission, LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Duke Energy Corporation's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2008, filed on November 7, X

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 10.13** | <p><u>2008, File No. 1-32853).</u> <u>Amended and Restated Duke Energy Corporation Directors' Saving Plan, dated as of January 1, 2014, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.32 to Duke Energy Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013, filed on February 28, 2014, File No. 1-32853).</u> <u>Engineering, Procurement and Construction Management Agreement between Duke Energy Indiana, LLC (formerly PSI Energy, Inc.) and Bechtel Power Corporation, dated as of December 15, 2008, (incorporated by reference to Item 1.01 to registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K</u></p> | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10.14 | <p><u>filed on December 19, 2008, File Nos. 1-32853 and 1-3543). (Portions of the exhibit have been omitted and filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to a request for confidential treatment pursuant to Rule 24b-2 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.)</u> <u>Duke Energy Corporation Executive Severance Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to registrant's</u></p> | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10.15** | <p><u>Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 13, 2011, File No. 1-32853).</u></p> | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10.16 | <p><u>\$6,000,000,000 Five-Year Credit Agreement between Duke Energy Corporation, Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC, Duke Energy Ohio, Inc., Duke Energy Indiana, LLC, Duke Energy Kentucky, Inc., Carolina Power and Light Company d/b/a Duke Energy Progress, Inc. and Florida</u></p> | X | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | | |
|---------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | <p><u>Power Corporation, d/b/a Duke Energy Florida, Inc., as Borrowers, the lenders listed therein, Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Administrative Agent, Bank of America, N.A. and The Royal Bank of Scotland plc, as Co-Syndication Agents and Bank of China, New York Branch, Barclays Bank PLC, Citibank, N.A., Credit Suisse AG, Cayman Islands Branch, Industrial and Commercial Bank of China Limited, New York Branch, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. and UBS Securities LLC, as Co-Documentation Agents, dated as of November 18, 2011, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 25, 2011, File Nos. 1-32853, 1-4928, 1-1232 and 1-3543), Amendment No. 1 and Consent between Duke Energy Corporation, Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC, Duke Energy Ohio, Inc., Duke Energy Indiana, LLC, Duke Energy Kentucky, Inc., Duke Energy Progress, Inc., Duke Energy Florida, Inc., and</u></p> | | | | | | |
| 10.16.1 | <p><u>Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, dated as of December 18, 2013, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 23, 2013, File Nos. 1-32853, 1-4928, 1-3382, 1-3274, 1-1232 and 1-3543).</u></p> | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| 10.16.2 | <p><u>Amendment No. 2 and Consent between Duke Energy Corporation, Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC, Duke Energy Ohio, Inc., Duke Energy Indiana, LLC, Duke</u></p> | X | X | X | X | X | X |

| | | | | | | | | |
|-----------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 10.16.3 | <u>Energy Kentucky, Inc., Duke Energy Progress, Inc., and Duke Energy Florida, Inc., the Lenders party hereto, the issuing Lenders party hereto, Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Administrative Agent and Swingline Lender, dated as of January 30, 2015, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 5, 2015, File Nos. 1-32853, 1-4928, 1-1232, 1-3543, 1-3382 and 1-3274), Amendment No. 3 and Consent, dated as of March 16, 2017, among the registrants, the Lenders party thereto, the issuing Lenders party thereto, and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Administrative Agent and Swingline Lender</u> | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| 10.17** | <u>(incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to registrants' Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 17, 2017, File Nos. 1-32853, 1-04928, 1-03382, 1-03274, 1-01232, 1-03543, 1-06196). Duke Energy Corporation 2010 Long-Term Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Appendix A to registrant's Form DEF 14A filed on March 22, 2010, File No. 1-32853).</u> | X | | | | | | |
| 10.17.1** | <u>Amendment to Duke Energy Corporation 2010 Long-Term Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2012, filed on August 8, 2012, File No. 1-32853).</u> | X | | | | | | |
| 10.18** | <u>Duke Energy Corporation 2015 Long-Term Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Appendix C to</u> | X | | | | | | |

- 10.19** registrant's DEF 14A filed on March 26, 2015, File No. 1-32853).
Form of Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement of Duke Energy Corporation under the Duke Energy Corporation 2015 Long-Term Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 12, 2015, File No. 1-32853). X
- 10.20** Form of Performance Award Agreement of Duke Energy Corporation under the Duke Energy Corporation 2015 Long-Term Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 12, 2015, File No. 1-32853). X
- 10.21** Form of Performance Award Agreement of Duke Energy Corporation under the Duke Energy Corporation 2015 Long-Term Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 18, 2016, File No. 1-32853). X
- 10.22** Form of Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement of Duke Energy Corporation under the Duke Energy Corporation 2015 Long-Term Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 18, 2016, File No. 1-32853). X
- 10.23** Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2017 filed on May 9, 2017, File No. 1-32853). X

| | | |
|----------|---|---|
| *10.24** | <u>Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement</u> | X |
| | <u>Performance-Based Retention Award Agreement</u> | |
| | <u>(incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to registrant's Current Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2017 filed on May 9, 2017, File No. 1-32853).</u> | |
| 10.25** | <u>Performance Award Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to registrant's Current Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2017 filed on May 9, 2017, File No. 1-32853).</u> | X |
| 10.26** | <u>Performance Award Agreement</u> | X |
| | <u>Settlement Agreement between Duke Energy Corporation, the North Carolina Utilities Commission Staff and the North Carolina Public Staff, dated as of November 28, 2012, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 29, 2012, File No. 1-32853).</u> | |
| *10.27* | <u>Settlement Agreement between Duke Energy Corporation and the North Carolina Attorney General, dated as of December 3, 2012, (incorporated by reference to Item 7.01 to registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 3, 2012, File No. 1-32853).</u> | X |
| 10.28 | <u>Form of Change-in-Control Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.58 to Duke Energy Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012, filed on March 1, 2013, File No. 1-32853).</u> | X |
| 10.29 | | X |
| 10.30** | | X |
| 10.31** | | X |

- Amended and Restated Duke Energy Corporation Executive Cash Balance Plan, dated as of January 1, 2014, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.52 to Duke Energy Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013, filed on February 28, 2014, File No. 1-32852).
- 10.32 Purchase, Construction and Ownership Agreement, dated as of July 30, 1981, between Duke Energy Progress, Inc. (formerly Carolina Power & Light Company) and North Carolina Municipal Power Agency Number 3 and Exhibits, together with resolution, dated as of December 16, 1981, changing name to North Carolina Eastern Municipal Power Agency, amending letter, dated as of February 18, 1982, and amendment, dated as of February 24, 1982, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(a) to registrant's File No. 33-25560). Operating and Fuel Agreement, dated as of July 30, 1981, between Duke Energy Progress, Inc. (formerly Carolina Power & Light Company) and North Carolina Municipal Power Agency Number 3 and Exhibits, together with resolution, dated as of December 16, 1981, changing name to North Carolina Eastern Municipal Power Agency, amending letters, dated as of August 21, 1981, and December 15, 1981, and amendment, dated as of February 24, 1982, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(b) to registrant's File No. 33-25560).
- 10.33

| | | | | |
|---------|---|---|---|---|
| 10.34 | <p>Power Coordination Agreement, dated as of July 30, 1981, between Duke Energy Progress, Inc. (formerly Carolina Power & Light Company) and North Carolina Municipal Power Agency Number 3 and Exhibits, together with resolution, dated as of December 16, 1981, changing name to North Carolina Eastern Municipal Power Agency and amending letter, dated as of January 29, 1982, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(c) to registrant's File No. 33-25560). Amendment, dated as of December 16, 1982, to Purchase, Construction and Ownership Agreement, dated as of July 30, 1981, between Duke Energy Progress, Inc. (formerly Carolina Power & Light Company) and North Carolina Eastern Municipal Power Agency (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(d) to registrant's File No. 33-25560).</p> | X | | |
| 10.35 | <p>Amendment, dated as of December 16, 1982, to Purchase, Construction and Ownership Agreement, dated as of July 30, 1981, between Duke Energy Progress, Inc. (formerly Carolina Power & Light Company) and North Carolina Eastern Municipal Power Agency (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(d) to registrant's File No. 33-25560).</p> | X | | |
| 10.36** | <p><u>Progress Energy, Inc. 2007 Equity Incentive Plan</u> (incorporated by reference to Exhibit C to registrant's Form DEF 14A filed on March 30, 2007, File No. 1-15929). <u>Form of Letter Agreement executed by certain officers of Progress Energy, Inc., waiving certain rights under Progress Energy, Inc.'s Management Change-in-Control Plan and their employment agreements,</u></p> | X | | |
| 10.37** | <p><u>dated as of January 8, 2011, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 10, 2011, File No. 1-15929).</u></p> | X | | |
| 10.38** | | X | X | X |

| | | | |
|-------|--|---|---|
| 10.39 | <p><u>Progress Energy, Inc.</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Change-in-Control Plan,</u> <u>Amended and Restated,</u> <u>effective July 13, 2011,</u> <u>(incorporated by reference to</u> <u>Exhibit 10(d) to registrant's</u> <u>Quarterly Report on Form</u> <u>10-Q for the quarter ended</u> <u>September 30, 2011, filed on</u> <u>November 8, 2011, File Nos.</u> <u>1-15929, 1-3382 and 1-3274).</u> <u>Precedent and Related</u> <u>Agreements between Duke</u> <u>Energy Florida, Inc. (formerly</u> <u>Florida Power Corporation</u> <u>d/b/a Progress Energy Florida,</u> <u>Inc. ("PEF")), Southern Natural</u> <u>Gas Company, FloridaGas</u> <u>Transmission Company</u> <u>("FGT"), and BG LNG Services,</u> <u>LLC ("BG"), including:</u>a) <u>Precedent Agreement between</u> <u>Southern Natural Gas</u> <u>Company and PEF, dated as</u> <u>of December 2, 2004;</u>b) <u>Gas Sale and Purchase Contract</u> <u>between BG and PEF, dated</u> <u>as of December 1, 2004;</u>c) <u>Interim Firm Transportation</u> <u>Service Agreement by and</u> <u>between FGT and PEF, dated</u> <u>as of December 2, 2004;</u>d) <u>Letter Agreement between</u> <u>FGT and PEF, dated as of</u> <u>December 2, 2004, and Firm</u> <u>Transportation Service</u> <u>Agreement between FGT and</u> <u>PEF to be entered into upon</u> <u>satisfaction of certain</u> <u>conditions precedent;</u>e) <u>Discount Agreement between</u> <u>FGT and PEF, dated as of</u> <u>December 2, 2004;</u>f) <u>Amendment to Gas Sale and</u> <u>Purchase Contract between</u> <u>BG and PEF, dated as of</u> <u>January 28, 2005; and</u>g) <u>Letter Agreement between FGT and</u> <u>PEF, dated as of January 31,</u> <u>2005, (incorporated</u></p> | X | X |
|-------|--|---|---|

| | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|---|
| <p><u>byreference to Exhibit 10.1 to registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K/A filed on March 15, 2005, FileNos. 1-15929 and 1-3274). (Portions of the exhibit have been omitted and filed separately with theSecurities and Exchange Commission pursuant to a request for confidential treatment pursuant to Rule 24b-2 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.)</u></p> | <p><u>Engineering, Procurement and Construction Agreement between Duke Energy Florida, Inc. (formerly Florida Power Corporation d/b/a/ Progress Energy Florida, Inc.), as owner, and a consortium consisting of Westinghouse Electric Company LLC and Stone & Webster, Inc., as contractor, for a two-unit AP1000 Nuclear Power Plant, dated as of December 31, 2008. (incorporated by</u></p> | <p><u>reference to Exhibit 10.1 to registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 2, 2009, File Nos. 1-15929 and 1-3274). (Portions of the exhibit have been omitted and filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to a request for confidential treatment pursuant to Rule 24b-2 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.)</u></p> | X | X |
| 10.40 | <p><u>Employment Agreement between Duke Energy Corporation and Lynn J. Good, dated as of June 17, 2013. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Duke Energy Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 18, 2013, File</u></p> | X | | |
| 10.41** | | | | |

- No. 1-32853).
Amendment to Employment
Agreement between Duke
Energy Corporation and Lynn
J. Good, dated as of June 25,
10.41.1** 2015, (incorporated by X
reference to Exhibit 10.1 to
Duke Energy Corporation's
Current Report on Form 8-K
filed on June 29, 2015, File
No. 1-32853).
Duke Energy Corporation
Executive Short-Term
Incentive Plan, effective
10.42** February 25, 2013, X
(incorporated by reference to
Exhibit 10.1 to Duke Energy
Corporation's Current Report
on Form 8-K filed on May 7,
2013, File No. 1-32853).
Duke Energy Corporation
2017 Director Compensation
Program Summary
10.43** (incorporated by reference to X
Exhibit 10.3 to Duke Energy
Corporation's Quarterly
Report on Form 10-Q for the
quarter ended June 30, 2017
filed on August 3, 2017, File
No. 1-32853).
Amended and Restated Duke
Energy Corporation Executive
Savings Plan, dated as of
10.44** January 1, 2014, (incorporated X
by reference to Exhibit 10.82
to Duke Energy Corporation's
Annual Report on Form 10-K
for the year ended December
31, 2013, filed on February
28, 2014, File No. 1-32853).
Amendment to Duke Energy
Corporation Executive
Savings Plan, effective as of
10.44.1 January 1, 2014 (incorporated X
by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to
Duke Energy Corporation's
Quarterly Report on Form
10-Q for the quarter ended
September 30, 2017 filed on
November 3, 2017, File No.
1-32853).

| | | | |
|-------|---|---|---|
| 10.45 | <p><u>Agreement between Duke Energy SAM, LLC, Duke Energy Ohio, Inc., Duke Energy Commercial Enterprise, Inc. and Dynegy Resource I, LLC, dated as of August 21, 2014, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.61 to Duke Energy Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014, filed on March 2, 2015, File No. 1-32853).</u></p> | X | X |
| 10.46 | <p><u>Asset Purchase Agreement between Duke Energy Progress, Inc. and North Carolina Eastern Municipal Power Agency, dated as of September 5, 2014, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.62 to Duke Energy Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014, filed on March 2, 2015, File No. 1-32853).</u></p> | X | X |
| 10.47 | <p><u>Change in Control Agreement between Duke Energy Corporation and Lloyd M. Yates, dated as of April 30, 2014, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Duke Energy Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 6, 2014, File No. 1-32853).</u></p> | X | |
| 10.48 | <p><u>Accelerated Stock Repurchase Program executed by Goldman, Sachs & Co., and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. on April 6, 2015, under an agreement with Duke Energy Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Duke Energy Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on April 6, 2015, File No. 1-32853).</u></p> | X | |
| 10.49 | <p><u>Plea Agreement between Duke Energy Corporation and</u></p> | X | |

- the Court of the Eastern District of North Carolina in connection with the May 14, 2015, Dan River Grand Jury Settlement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to Duke Energy Corporation's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2015, filed on August 7, 2015, File No. 1-32853).
- 10.50 Plea Agreement between Duke Energy Corporation and the Court of the Eastern District of North Carolina in connection with the May 14, 2015, Dan River Grand Jury Settlement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to Duke Energy Corporation's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2015, filed on August 7, 2015, File No. 1-32853). X
- \$1,500,000,000 Amended and Restated Term Loan Agreement among Duke Energy Corporation, as Borrower, the Lenders listed therein, The Bank of Tokyo-Mitsubishi UFJ, Ltd., as Administrative Agent, and The Bank of
- 10.51 Tokyo-Mitsubishi UFJ, Ltd., Santander Bank, N.A. and TD Bank, N.A., as Joint Lead Arrangers and Bookrunners, dated as of August 1, 2016, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Duke Energy Corporation's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2016, filed on August 4, 2016, File No. 1-32853). X
- 10.52 Purchase and Sale Agreement by and among Duke Energy International Group S.à.r.l., Duke Energy International Brazil Holdings S.à.r.l. and China Three Gorges X

- 10.53 (Luxembourg) Energy S.à.r.l., dated as of October 10, 2016, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 13, 2016, File No. 1-32853).
Purchase and Sale Agreement by and among Duke Energy Brazil Holdings II, C.V., Duke Energy International Uruguay Investments SRL, Duke Energy International Group S.à.r.l., Duke Energy International España Holdings SL, Duke Energy International Investments No. 2 Ltd., ISQ Enerlam Aggregator, L.P., and Enerlam (UK) Holdings Ltd., dated as of October 10, 2016, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.2. to registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 13, 2016, File No. 1-32853).
Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, dated May 25, 2012, between Piedmont Natural Gas Company, Inc. and Franklin H. Yoho (incorporated by reference to Exhibits 10.1 and 10.2 to Piedmont Natural Gas Company, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended July 31, 2012, filed on September 7, 2012, File No. 1-06196).
Severance Agreements with Thomas E. Skains and Franklin H. Yoho, dated September 4, 2007, (incorporated by reference to Exhibits 10.2 and 10.2a to Piedmont Natural Gas Company, Inc's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended July 31, 2007, filed on September 7, 2007, File No. 1-06196).
- 10.54** X
- 10.55** X
- 10.56** X

Piedmont Natural Gas Company, Inc. Defined Contribution Restoration Plan, dated as of December 8, 2008, effective January 1, 2009, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended January 31, 2009, filed on March 9, 2009, File No. 1-06196).

Instrument of Amendment for Piedmont Natural Gas Company, Inc. Defined Contribution Restoration Plan, dated as of January 23, 2012, by Piedmont Natural Gas

10.56.1** Company, Inc. (incorporated X
by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to
registrant's Quarterly Report
on Form 10-Q for the quarter
ended January 31, 2012, filed
on March 9, 2012, File No.
1-06196).

Instrument of Second Amendment for Piedmont Natural Gas Company, Inc. Defined Contribution Restoration Plan, dated September 15, 2016

10.56.2** (incorporated by reference to X
Exhibit 10.63.2 to registrant's
Annual Report on Form 10-K
for the year ended December
31, 2016 filed on February 24,
2017, File No. 1-32853).

Piedmont Natural Gas Company, Inc. Incentive Compensation Plan

10.57** (incorporated by reference to X
Exhibit 10.64 to registrant's
Annual Report on Form 10-K
for the year ended December
31, 2016 filed on February 24
2017, File No. 1-32853).

First Amendment to Piedmont X
Natural Gas Company, Inc.
Incentive Compensation Plan
(incorporated by reference to
Exhibit 4.2 to registrant's

- Registration Statement on Form S-8 filed on October 3, 2016, File No. 1-32853).
Form of Performance Unit Award Agreement
 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended January 31, 2016, filed on March 9, 2016, File No. 1-06196). X
- 10.58**
- Waiver of Certain Rights to Terminate for Good Reason between Duke Energy Corporation and Franklin H. Yoho (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.66 to registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2016 filed on February 24, 2017, File No. 1-32853). X
- 10.59**
- Notice of Non-Renewal of Employment Agreement between Duke Energy Corporation and Franklin H. Yoho (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.67 to registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2016 filed on February 24, 2017, File No. 1-32853). X
- 10.60**
- Retention Award Agreement, dated as of October 24, 2015, between Duke Energy Corporation and Franklin H. Yoho (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.68 to registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2016 filed on February 24, 2017, File No. 1-32853). X
- 10.61**
- 10.62 Confirmation of Forward Sale Transaction, dated as of March 1, 2016, between Duke Energy Corporation and Barclays Capital Inc. (incorporated by referenced to X

- 10.62.1 Exhibit 10.1 to registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 7, 2016, File No. 1-32853).
Additional Confirmation of Forward Sale Transaction, dated as of March 2, 2016, between Duke Energy Corporation and Barclays Capital Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 7, 2016, File No. 1-32853). X
- 10.63 \$1,000,000,000 Credit Agreement, dated as of June 14, 2017, among Duke Energy Corporation, the lenders listed therein, The Bank of Nova Scotia, as Administrative Agent, PNC Bank, National Association, Sumitomo Mitsui Banking Corporation and TD Bank, N.A., as CO-Syndication Agents, and Bank of China, New York Branch, BNP Paribas, Santander Bank, N.A. and U.S. Bank National Association, as Co-Documentation Agents (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 14, 2017, File No. 1-32853). X
- 10.64 \$250,000,000 Term Loan Credit Agreement, dated as of June 14, 2017, among Piedmont Natural Gas Company, Inc. the lenders listed therein, U.S. Bank National Association, as Administrative Agent, Branch Banking and Trust Company and Regions Bank, as Co-Syndication Agents and PNC Bank, National Association, as Documentation Agent (incorporated by reference to X

| | | |
|---------|---|---|
| 10.65 | <p><u>Exhibit 10.1 to registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 14, 2017, File No. 1-06196).</u> <u>Note Purchase Agreement, dated as of May 6, 2011, among Piedmont Natural Gas Company, Inc. and the Purchasers party thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10 to registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 12, 2011, File No. 1-06196).</u></p> | X |
| 10.66 | <p><u>Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of Constitution Pipeline Company, LLC dated April 9, 2012, by and among Williams Partners Operating LLC and Cabot Pipeline Holdings LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended January 31, 2013, filed on March 6, 2013, File No. 1-06196).</u></p> | X |
| 10.66.1 | <p><u>First Amendment to Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of Constitution Pipeline Company, LLC, dated as of November 9, 2012, by and among Constitution Pipeline Company, LLC, Williams Partners Operating LLC, Cabot Pipeline Holdings LLC, and Piedmont Constitution Pipeline Company, LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended January 31, 2013, filed on March 6, 2013, File No. 1-06196).</u></p> | X |
| 10.66.2 | <p><u>Second Amendment to Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of Constitution</u></p> | X |

| | | |
|-------|--|---|
| 10.67 | <p><u>Pipeline Company, LLC, dated as of May 29, 2013, by and among Constitution Pipeline Company, LLC, Williams Partners Operating LLC, Cabot Pipeline Holdings LLC, Piedmont Constitution Pipeline Company, LLC, and Capitol Energy Ventures Corp. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 4, 2013, File No. 1-06196), Second Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of SouthStar Energy Services LLC, dated as of September 1, 2013, by and between Georgia Natural Gas Company and Piedmont Energy Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.39 to registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended October 31, 2013, filed on December 23, 2013, File No. 1-06196), Limited Liability Company Agreement of Atlantic Coast Pipeline, LLC, dated as of September 2, 2014, by and between Dominion Atlantic Coast Pipeline, LLC, Duke Energy ACP, LLC, Piedmont ACP Company, LLC, and Maple Enterprise Holdings, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.35 to registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended October 31, 2014, filed on December 23, 2014, File No. 1-06196).</u></p> | X |
| 10.68 | <p><u>Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges – DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION</u></p> | X |
| *12.1 | <p><u>Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges – DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION</u></p> | X |
| *12.2 | <p><u>Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges – DUKE ENERGY</u></p> | X |

| | | | | |
|---------|---|---|---|---|
| | <u>CAROLINAS, LLC</u> | | | |
| *12.3 | <u>Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges – PROGRESS ENERGY, INC.</u> | | X | |
| *12.4 | <u>Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC</u> | | X | |
| *12.5 | <u>Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC</u> | | | X |
| *12.6 | <u>Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges – DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC.</u> | | | X |
| *12.7 | <u>Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges – DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC</u> | | | X |
| *12.8 | <u>Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges – PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.</u> | | | X |
| *21 | <u>List of Subsidiaries</u> | X | | |
| *23.1.1 | <u>Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.</u> | X | | |
| *23.1.2 | <u>Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.</u> | | X | |
| *23.1.3 | <u>Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.</u> | | X | |
| *23.1.4 | <u>Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.</u> | | | X |
| *23.1.5 | <u>Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.</u> | | | X |
| *23.1.6 | <u>Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.</u> | | | X |
| *23.1.7 | <u>Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.</u> | | | X |
| *24.1 | <u>Power of attorney authorizing Lynn J. Good and others to sign the annual report on behalf of the registrant and certain of its directors and officers.</u> | X | | |

| | | | | |
|---------|--|---|---|---|
| *24.2 | <u>Certified copy of resolution of the Board of Directors of the registrant authorizing power of attorney.</u> | X | | |
| *31.1.1 | <u>Certification of the Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.</u> | X | | |
| *31.1.2 | <u>Certification of the Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.</u> | | X | |
| *31.1.3 | <u>Certification of the Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.</u> | | | X |
| *31.1.4 | <u>Certification of the Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.</u> | | | X |
| *31.1.5 | <u>Certification of the Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.</u> | | | X |
| *31.1.6 | <u>Certification of the Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.</u> | | | X |
| *31.1.7 | <u>Certification of the Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.</u> | | | X |
| *31.1.8 | <u>Certification of the Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.</u> | | | X |
| *31.2.1 | <u>Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.</u> | X | | |
| *31.2.2 | <u>Certification of the Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.</u> | | X | |
| *31.2.3 | <u>Certification of the Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.</u> | | | X |
| *31.2.4 | <u>Certification of the Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the</u> | | | X |

| | | | | |
|---------|--|---|--|---|
| *31.2.5 | <u>Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.</u> <u>Certification of the Chief</u> <u>Financial Officer Pursuant to</u> <u>Section 302 of the</u> | X | | |
| *31.2.6 | <u>Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.</u> <u>Certification of the Chief</u> <u>Financial Officer Pursuant to</u> <u>Section 302 of the</u> | X | | |
| *31.2.7 | <u>Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.</u> <u>Certification of the Chief</u> <u>Financial Officer Pursuant to</u> <u>Section 302 of the</u> | X | | |
| *31.2.8 | <u>Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.</u> <u>Certification of the Chief</u> <u>Financial Officer Pursuant to</u> <u>Section 302 of the</u> | | | X |
| *32.1.1 | <u>Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.</u> <u>Certification Pursuant to 18</u> <u>U.S.C. Section 1350, as</u> <u>Adopted Pursuant to Section</u> | X | | |
| *32.1.2 | <u>906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley</u> <u>Act of 2002.</u> <u>Certification Pursuant to 18</u> <u>U.S.C. Section 1350, as</u> <u>Adopted Pursuant to Section</u> | X | | |
| *32.1.3 | <u>906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley</u> <u>Act of 2002.</u> <u>Certification Pursuant to 18</u> <u>U.S.C. Section 1350, as</u> <u>Adopted Pursuant to Section</u> | X | | |
| *32.1.4 | <u>906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley</u> <u>Act of 2002.</u> <u>Certification Pursuant to 18</u> <u>U.S.C. Section 1350, as</u> <u>Adopted Pursuant to Section</u> | X | | |
| *32.1.5 | <u>906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley</u> <u>Act of 2002.</u> <u>Certification Pursuant to 18</u> <u>U.S.C. Section 1350, as</u> <u>Adopted Pursuant to Section</u> | X | | |
| *32.1.6 | <u>906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley</u> <u>Act of 2002.</u> <u>Certification Pursuant to 18</u> <u>U.S.C. Section 1350, as</u> <u>Adopted Pursuant to Section</u> | X | | |
| *32.1.7 | <u>906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley</u> <u>Act of 2002.</u> <u>Certification Pursuant to 18</u> <u>U.S.C. Section 1350, as</u> <u>Adopted Pursuant to Section</u> | X | | |
| | <u>906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley</u> | | | |

| | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | <u>Act of 2002.</u> | | | | | | | | |
| | <u>Certification Pursuant to 18</u> | | | | | | | | |
| | <u>U.S.C. Section 1350, as</u> | | | | | | | | |
| *32.1.8 | <u>Adopted Pursuant to Section</u> | | | | | | | | X |
| | <u>906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley</u> | | | | | | | | |
| | <u>Act of 2002.</u> | | | | | | | | |
| | <u>Certification Pursuant to 18</u> | | | | | | | | |
| | <u>U.S.C. Section 1350, as</u> | | | | | | | | |
| *32.2.1 | <u>Adopted Pursuant to Section</u> | X | | | | | | | |
| | <u>906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley</u> | | | | | | | | |
| | <u>Act of 2002.</u> | | | | | | | | |
| | <u>Certification Pursuant to 18</u> | | | | | | | | |
| | <u>U.S.C. Section 1350, as</u> | | | | | | | | |
| *32.2.2 | <u>Adopted Pursuant to Section</u> | | X | | | | | | |
| | <u>906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley</u> | | | | | | | | |
| | <u>Act of 2002.</u> | | | | | | | | |
| | <u>Certification Pursuant to 18</u> | | | | | | | | |
| | <u>U.S.C. Section 1350, as</u> | | | | | | | | |
| *32.2.3 | <u>Adopted Pursuant to Section</u> | | | X | | | | | |
| | <u>906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley</u> | | | | | | | | |
| | <u>Act of 2002.</u> | | | | | | | | |
| | <u>Certification Pursuant to 18</u> | | | | | | | | |
| | <u>U.S.C. Section 1350, as</u> | | | | | | | | |
| *32.2.4 | <u>Adopted Pursuant to Section</u> | | | | X | | | | |
| | <u>906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley</u> | | | | | | | | |
| | <u>Act of 2002.</u> | | | | | | | | |
| | <u>Certification Pursuant to 18</u> | | | | | | | | |
| | <u>U.S.C. Section 1350, as</u> | | | | | | | | |
| *32.2.5 | <u>Adopted Pursuant to Section</u> | | | | | X | | | |
| | <u>906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley</u> | | | | | | | | |
| | <u>Act of 2002.</u> | | | | | | | | |
| | <u>Certification Pursuant to 18</u> | | | | | | | | |
| | <u>U.S.C. Section 1350, as</u> | | | | | | | | |
| *32.2.6 | <u>Adopted Pursuant to Section</u> | | | | | | X | | |
| | <u>906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley</u> | | | | | | | | |
| | <u>Act of 2002.</u> | | | | | | | | |
| | <u>Certification Pursuant to 18</u> | | | | | | | | |
| | <u>U.S.C. Section 1350, as</u> | | | | | | | | |
| *32.2.7 | <u>Adopted Pursuant to Section</u> | | | | | | | X | |
| | <u>906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley</u> | | | | | | | | |
| | <u>Act of 2002.</u> | | | | | | | | |
| | <u>Certification Pursuant to 18</u> | | | | | | | | |
| | <u>U.S.C. Section 1350, as</u> | | | | | | | | |
| *32.2.8 | <u>Adopted Pursuant to Section</u> | | | | | | | | X |
| | <u>906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley</u> | | | | | | | | |
| | <u>Act of 2002.</u> | | | | | | | | |
| *101.INS | XBRL Instance Document | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| *101.SCH | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| *101.CAL | XBRL Taxonomy Calculation Linkbase Document | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| *101.LAB | XBRL Taxonomy Label Linkbase Document | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| *101.PRE | XBRL Taxonomy Presentation Linkbase Document | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| *101.DEF | XBRL Taxonomy Definition Linkbase Document | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |

The total amount of securities of each respective registrant or its subsidiaries authorized under any instrument with respect to long-term debt not filed as an exhibit does not exceed 10 percent of the total assets of such registrant and its subsidiaries on a consolidated basis. Each registrant agrees, upon request of the SEC, to furnish copies of any or all of such instruments to it.

E-1

PART IV

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrants have duly caused this report to be signed on their behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Date: February 21, 2018

DUKE ENERGY
CORPORATION

(Registrant)

By: /s/ LYNN J. GOOD
Lynn J. Good
Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the date indicated.

(i) /s/ LYNN J. GOOD
Lynn J. Good
Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer (Principal
Executive Officer and Director)

(ii) /s/ STEVEN K. YOUNG
Steven K. Young
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial Officer)

(iii) /s/ WILLIAM E. CURRENS JR.
William E. Currens Jr.
Senior Vice President, Chief Accounting Officer
and Controller (Principal Accounting Officer)

(iv) Directors:

| | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Michael G. Browning* | James B. Hyler, Jr.* |
| Theodore F. Craver, Jr.* | William E. Kennard* |
| Robert M. Davis* | E. Marie McKee* |
| Daniel R. DiMicco* | Charles W. Moorman IV* |
| John H. Forsgren* | Carlos A. Saladrigas* |
| Lynn J. Good* | Thomas E. Skains* |
| John T. Herron* | William E. Webster, Jr.* |

Steven K. Young, by signing his name hereto, does hereby sign this document on behalf of the registrant and on behalf of each of the above-named persons previously indicated by asterisk (*) pursuant to a power of attorney duly executed by the registrant and such persons, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission as an exhibit hereto.

By: /s/
STEVEN

K.
YOUNG
Attorney-In-Fact

Date: February 21, 2018

E-2

PART IV

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Date: February 21, 2018

DUKE
ENERGY
CAROLINAS,
LLC
(Registrant)

By: /s/ LYNN J. GOOD
Lynn J. Good
Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the date indicated.

(i) /s/ LYNN J. GOOD
Lynn J. Good
Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)

(ii) /s/ STEVEN K. YOUNG
Steven K. Young
Executive Vice President and
Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer)

(iii) /s/ WILLIAM E. CURRENS JR.
William E. Currens Jr.
Senior Vice President, Chief Accounting
Officer and Controller (Principal Accounting Officer)

(iv) Directors:

/s/ LYNN J. GOOD
Lynn J. Good

/s/ DHIAA M. JAMIL
Dhiala M. Jamil

/s/ LLOYD M. YATES
Lloyd M. Yates

Date: February 21, 2018

PART IV

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Date: February 21, 2018

PROGRESS

ENERGY,

INC.

(Registrant)

By: /s/ LYNN J. GOOD

Lynn J. Good

Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the date indicated.

(i) /s/ LYNN J. GOOD

Lynn J. Good

Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)

(ii) /s/ STEVEN K. YOUNG

Steven K. Young

Executive Vice President and

Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer)

(iii) /s/ WILLIAM E. CURRENS JR.

William E. Currens Jr.

Senior Vice President, Chief Accounting

Officer and Controller (Principal Accounting Officer)

(iv) Directors:

/s/ LYNN J. GOOD

Lynn J. Good

/s/ JULIA S. JANSON

Julia S. Janson

Date: February 21, 2018

E-4

PART IV

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Date: February 21, 2018

DUKE
ENERGY
PROGRESS,
LLC
(Registrant)

By: /s/ LYNN J. GOOD
Lynn J. Good
Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the date indicated.

(i) /s/ LYNN J. GOOD
Lynn J. Good
Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)

(ii) /s/ STEVEN K. YOUNG
Steven K. Young
Executive Vice President and
Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer)

(iii) /s/ WILLIAM E. CURRENS JR.
William E. Currens Jr.
Senior Vice President, Chief Accounting
Officer and Controller (Principal Accounting Officer)

(iv) Directors:

/s/ DOUGLAS F ESAMANN
Douglas F Esamann

/s/ LYNN J. GOOD
Lynn J. Good

/s/ DHIAA M. JAMIL
Dhiala M. Jamil

/s/ JULIA S. JANSON
Julia S. Janson

/s/ LLOYD M. YATES
Lloyd M. Yates

Date: February 21, 2018

PART IV

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Date: February 21, 2018

DUKE
ENERGY
FLORIDA,
LLC
(Registrant)

By: /s/ LYNN J. GOOD
Lynn J. Good
Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the date indicated.

(i) /s/ LYNN J. GOOD
Lynn J. Good
Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)

(ii) /s/ STEVEN K. YOUNG
Steven K. Young
Executive Vice President and
Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer)

(iii) /s/ WILLIAM E. CURRENS JR.
William E. Currens Jr.
Senior Vice President, Chief Accounting
Officer and Controller (Principal Accounting Officer)

(iv) Directors:

/s/ DOUGLAS F ESAMANN
Douglas F Esamann

/s/ LYNN J. GOOD
Lynn J. Good

/s/ DHIAA M. JAMIL
Dhiaa M. Jamil

/s/ JULIA S. JANSON
Julia S. Janson

/s/ LLOYD M. YATES
Lloyd M. Yates

Date: February 21, 2018

PART IV

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Date: February 21, 2018

DUKE
ENERGY
OHIO,
INC.
(Registrant)

By: /s/ LYNN J. GOOD
Lynn J. Good
Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the date indicated.

(i) /s/ LYNN J. GOOD
Lynn J. Good
Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)

(ii) /s/ STEVEN K. YOUNG
Steven K. Young
Executive Vice President and
Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer)

(iii) /s/ WILLIAM E. CURRENS JR.
William E. Currens Jr.
Senior Vice President, Chief Accounting
Officer and Controller (Principal Accounting Officer)

(iv) Directors:

/s/ DOUGLAS F ESAMANN
Douglas F Esamann

/s/ LYNN J. GOOD
Lynn J. Good

/s/ DHIAA M. JAMIL
Dhiala M. Jamil

Date: February 21, 2018

PART IV

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Date: February 21, 2018

DUKE
ENERGY
INDIANA,
LLC
(Registrant)

By: /s/ LYNN J. GOOD
Lynn J. Good
Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the date indicated.

(i) /s/ LYNN J. GOOD
Lynn J. Good
Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)

(ii) /s/ STEVEN K. YOUNG
Steven K. Young
Executive Vice President and
Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer)

(iii) /s/ WILLIAM E. CURRENS JR.
William E. Currens Jr.
Senior Vice President, Chief Accounting
Officer and Controller (Principal Accounting Officer)

(iv) Directors:

/s/ MELODY BIRMINGHAM-BYRD
Melody Birmingham-Byrd

/s/ DOUGLAS F ESAMANN
Douglas F Esamann

/s/ KELLEY A. KARN
Kelley A. Karn

Date: February 21, 2018

E-8

PART IV

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Date: February 21, 2018

PIEDMONT
NATURAL
GAS
COMPANY,
INC.

(Registrant)

By: /s/ LYNN J. GOOD
Lynn J. Good
Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the date indicated.

(i) /s/ LYNN J. GOOD
Lynn J. Good
Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)

(ii) /s/ STEVEN K. YOUNG
Steven K. Young
Executive Vice President and
Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer)

(iii) /s/ WILLIAM E. CURRENS JR.
William E. Currens Jr.
Senior Vice President, Chief Accounting
Officer and Controller (Principal Accounting Officer)

(iv) Directors:

/s/ LYNN J. GOOD
Lynn J. Good

/s/ FRANKLIN H. YOHO
Franklin H. Yoho

/s/ DHIAA M. JAMIL
Dhiala M. Jamil

Date: February 21, 2018