Dynagas LNG Partners LP Form 6-K July 27, 2018

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 6-K

REPORT OF FOREIGN PRIVATE ISSUER PURSUANT TO RULE 13A-16 OR 15D-16 OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the month of July 2018

Commission File Number: 001-36185

Dynagas LNG Partners LP

(Translation of registrant's name into English)

23, Rue Basse

98000 Monaco

(Address of principal executive office)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant files or will file annual reports under cover of Form 20-F or Form 40-F.

Form 20-F [X] Form 40-F []

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is submitting the Form 6-K in paper as permitted by Regulation S-T Rule 101(b)(1): [].

Note: Regulation S-T Rule 101(b)(1) only permits the submission in paper of a Form 6-K if submitted solely to provide an attached annual report to security holders.

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is submitting the Form 6-K in paper as permitted by Regulation S-T Rule 101(b)(7): [].

Note: Regulation S-T Rule 101(b)(7) only permits the submission in paper of a Form 6-K if submitted to furnish a report or other document that the registrant foreign private issuer must furnish and make public under the laws of the jurisdiction in which the registrant is incorporated, domiciled or legally organized (the registrant's "home country"), or under the rules of the home country exchange on which the registrant's securities are traded, as long as the report or other document is not a press release, is not required to be and has not been distributed to the registrant's security holders, and, if discussing a material event, has already been the subject of a Form 6-K submission or other Commission filing on EDGAR.

INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS FORM 6-K REPORT

Attached as Exhibit 99.1 to this Report on Form 6-K is a copy of the press release of Dynagas LNG Partners LP (the Partnership) dated July 27, 2018: DYNAGAS LNG PARTNERS LP REPORTS RESULTS FOR THE THREE AND SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2018.

The information contained in this Report on Form 6-K, except for the commentary of the Partnership's Chief Executive Officer contained in Exhibit 99.1 hereto, is hereby incorporated by reference into the Partnership's registration statement on Form F-3 (File No.333-222237) that was filed with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission with an effective date of January 12, 2018.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Date: July 27, 2018

DYNAGAS LNG PARTNERS LP

By: /s/ Tony Lauritzen
Name: Tony Lauritzen

Title: Chief Executive Officer

3

DYNAGAS LNG PARTNERS LP REPORTS RESULTS FOR THE THREE AND SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2018

MONACO	July 27, 2018 - Dynagas LNG Pa	rtners LP (NYSE:	DLNG)(Dynagas Partners	or the	Partnership),
owner and op	perator of liquefied natural gas (L	NG) carriers, toda	y announced	its results for the th	ree and	six months	
ended June 3	0, 2018.						

Highlights:

Net income of \$0.4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2018. Included in the second quarter 2018 results are \$2.2 million of scheduled class survey and dry dock costs related to the *Arctic Aurora*, one of the three tri-fuel diesel engine (TFDE) vessels in our fleet;

Loss per common unit of \$0.04 for the three months ended June 30, 2018;

2000 per common unit or coro : 101 une unico monumo ciaco cano co; 2010;

Adjusted Net Income⁽¹⁾ of \$4.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2018;

Adjusted Earnings per common unit (1)(2) of \$0.08 for the three months ended June 30, 2018;

Distributable Cash Flow⁽¹⁾ of \$8.7 million during the three months ended June 30, 2018;

Adjusted EBITDA⁽¹⁾ of \$24.4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2018;

Reported free cash of \$57.8 million and available liquidity of \$87.8 million as of June 30, 2018;
•
Quarterly cash distribution of \$0.25 per common unit in respect of the second quarter of 2018 and \$0.5625 per preferred unit in respect of the most recent period.
(1) Adjusted Net Income, Adjusted Earnings per common unit, Distributable Cash Flow and Adjusted EBITDA are not recognized measures under U.S. GAAP. Please refer to Appendix B for the definitions and reconciliation of these measures to the most directly comparable financial measures calculated and presented in accordance with U.S. GAAP and other related information.
(2) Adjusted Earnings per common unit presentation excludes the Series A Preferred Units interest on the Partnership net income for the periods presented.
Recent Developments:
Quarterly common unit cash distribution: On July 5, 2018, the Partnership announced a quarterly cash distribution of \$0.25 per common unit in respect of the second quarter of 2018 which was paid on July 19, 2018 to all common unitholders of record as of July 12, 2018.
Series A Preferred Units Cash Distribution: On July 25, 2018, the Partnership also announced a cash distribution of \$0.5625 per unit of its Series A Preferred Units (NYSE: DLNG PR A) for the period from May 12, 2018 to August 11, 2018, which is expected to be paid on August 13, 2018 to all unitholders of record as of August 5, 2018.
CEO Commentary:
Tony Lauritzen, Chief Executive Officer of the Partnership, commented:

We are pleased to report our earnings for the three months ended June 30, 2018.

Our reported earnings for the second quarter of 2018 were in line with our expectations and were above those for the second quarter of 2017, but below those for the first quarter of 2018. Our earnings were impacted by: (i) the temporary employment of the *Clean Energy* in the short-term market until July 13, 2018, at which time the vessel commenced employment on a time charter with Gazprom for a term of approximately eight years, (ii) the completion of the multiyear charter contract with Gazprom for the *Ob River* in April 2018 and the subsequent employment of the vessel with the same company at a lower rate of hire reflecting a longer charter term of ten years, and (iii) the scheduled dry-docking of the *Arctic Aurora* in May 2018.

We believe the Yamal LNG project is progressing well. On July 1, 2018, Yamal narrowed the delivery windows for both the *Yenisei River* and the *Lena River* to the earliest possible allowed under their respective 15 year charter contracts.

On July 21, 2018, the *Yenisei River* completed the five year term of its employment contract with Gazprom. Following the redelivery of the vessel to the Partnership, the vessel is undergoing its five year special survey which is expected to be completed in mid-August 2018. After the completion of the special survey, we expect to employ the *Yenisei River* in the short-term market until the vessel commences its 15 year contract with Yamal.

Following redelivery from Gazprom in October 2018 and the completion of its special survey, the *Lena River* is contracted to be employed on a multi-month charter contact with a large gas producer until the vessel commences its 15 year contract with Yamal.

On July 19, 2018, we paid quarterly cash distribution of \$0.25 per common unit with respect to the second quarter of 2018. Since our initial public offering in November 2013, we have paid total cash distributions of \$7.29 per common unit. In addition, on August 13, 2018, we expect to pay a cash distribution of \$0.5625 per unit on our Series A Preferred Units for the period from May 12, 2018 to August 11, 2018.

We believe that we have significant cash flow visibility with contracted vessel employment for approximately 85% of 2018, 99% of 2019 and 100% of 2020, and with an estimated Fleet-wide average remaining contract duration of 10.1 years.

Our intent is to seek additional contract coverage, particularly in 2018, to manage our capital structure and operating expenses, and to continue the safe operation of our Fleet.

We look forward to working towards meeting our goals, which we believe will continue to benefit our unitholders.

Financial Results Overview:

	Three Months Ended				Six Months Ended				
(U.S. dollars in thousands, except per unit data)	June 30, 2018 (unaudited)		June 30, 2017 (unaudited)		June 30, 2018 (unaudited)		June 30, 2017 (unaudited)		
Voyage revenues	\$	30,892	\$	31,975	\$	64,796	\$	71,067	
Net Income/ (loss)	\$	351	\$	(5,181)	\$	5,191	\$	7,731	
Adjusted Net Income (1)	\$	4,526	\$	4,220	\$	11,758	\$	19,125	
Operating income	\$	12,562	\$	8,734	\$	29,368	\$	30,627	
Adjusted EBITDA ⁽¹⁾	\$	24,443	\$	22,921	\$	51,033	\$	54,192	
Earnings/ (loss) per common unit	\$	(0.04)	\$	(0.19)	\$	0.05	\$	0.09	
Adjusted Earnings per common unit (1)	\$	0.08	\$	0.07	\$	0.24	\$	0.43	
Distributable Cash Flow (1)	\$	8,670	\$	8,200	\$	19,956	\$	26,834	

(1) Adjusted Net Income, Adjusted EBITDA, Adjusted Earnings per common unit and Distributable Cash Flow are not recognized measures under U.S. GAAP. Please refer to Appendix B for the definitions and reconciliation of these measures to the most directly comparable financial measures calculated and presented in accordance with U.S. GAAP.

Three Months Ended June 30, 2018 and 2017 Financial Results

Net income for the three months ended June 30, 2018 was \$0.4 million as compared to net loss of \$5.2 million in the corresponding period of 2017, which represents an increase of \$5.5 million, or 106.8%. The increase in period net income was mainly attributable to:

(i)

the decrease in dry-dock and special survey expenditures by \$2.7 million, or 54.6%, for the second quarter of 2018, during which one vessel in our fleet, the *Arctic Aurora*, underwent scheduled special survey and dry-dock, as compared to the corresponding quarter of 2017 during which the three steam turbine vessels in our fleet underwent scheduled special survey and dry-dock repairs;

(ii)

the decrease in the second quarter of 2018 operating expenses by \$1.6 million, or 21.2%, due to crewing and technical efficiencies achieved during the 2018 quarter under discussion as compared to the corresponding quarter of 2017; and

(iii)

the decrease in interest and finance costs for the second quarter of 2018 by approximately \$1.1 million, or 8.4%, due to the fact that in the corresponding period of 2017 we incurred interest and finance costs of approximately \$3.5 million in connection with our Term Loan B refinancing in May 2017 (the Term Loan B Refinancing) and accompanying retirement of our then existing bank debt. This decrease was largely offset by the increase in the Partnership s weighted average interest in the second quarter of 2018 which was the result of increased interest charges in servicing the Partnership s secured debt that was refinanced as part of the Term Loan B Refinancing, discussed above.

Adjusted Net Income for the three months ended June 30, 2018 was \$4.5 million as compared to Adjusted Net Income of \$4.2 million in the corresponding period of 2017, which represents an increase of \$0.3 million, or 7.3%. Adjusted EBITDA for the three months ended June 30, 2018 was \$24.4 million as compared to Adjusted EBITDA of \$22.9 million in the corresponding period of 2017, which represents an increase of \$1.5 million, or 6.6%. The increase in both the second quarter 2018 Adjusted Net Income and Adjusted EBITDA as compared to the corresponding period of 2017 was mainly attributable to crewing and technical efficiencies achieved during the second quarter of 2018, as discussed above.

The Partnership s Distributable Cash Flow for the three-month period ended June 30, 2018 was \$8.7 million as compared to \$8.2 million in the corresponding period of 2017, which represents an increase of \$0.5 million, or 5.7%, and was due to the factors outlined above.

For the three-month period ended June 30, 2018, the Partnership reported loss per common unit and Adjusted Earnings per common unit, basic and diluted, of \$0.04 and \$0.08, respectively, after taking into account the effect of the Series A Preferred Units interest on the Partnership s net income. Losses per common unit and Adjusted Earnings per common unit, basic and diluted are calculated on the basis of a weighted average number of 35,490,000 units outstanding during the period, in the case of Adjusted Earnings per common unit after reflecting the impact of the non-cash items presented in Appendix B.

Adjusted Net Income, Adjusted EBITDA, Distributable Cash Flow and Adjusted Earnings per common unit are not recognized measures under U.S. GAAP. Please refer to Appendix B for the definitions and reconciliation of these measures to the most directly comparable financial measures calculated and presented in accordance with U.S. GAAP.

Voyage revenues were \$30.9 million for the three-month period ended June 30, 2018 as compared to \$32.0 million for the same period of 2017, which represents a decrease of \$1.1 million, or 3.4%. This decrease was due to (i) the twelve off-hire days for the *Arctic Aurora* in the second quarter of 2018 as the vessel underwent its scheduled special survey and dry-dock in May 2018 and (ii) the lower revenues earned on our steam turbine vessel, the *Ob River*, which completed employment on its multiyear charter contract with Gazprom Global LNG Limited (Gazprom) in April 2018

and subsequently began employment at a lower hire rate under a ten-year charter party with an entity which is part of the wider Gazprom group of companies.

Vessel operating expenses were \$5.9 million, which corresponds to a daily rate of \$10,808 for the three-month period ended June 30, 2018, as compared to \$7.5 million, or a daily rate of \$13,720 for the corresponding period of 2017. This decrease is primarily associated with crewing and technical efficiencies achieved during the second quarter of 2018 as compared to the corresponding period of 2017, as discussed above.

Interest and finance costs were \$12.6 million in the second quarter of 2018 as compared to \$13.7 million in the second quarter of 2017, which represents a decrease of \$1.1 million, or 8.4%. As discussed above, this decrease was due to the one-off interest and finance charges incurred in the corresponding period of 2017 in connection with the refinancing of our then existing secured indebtedness in the Term Loan B Refinancing. This decrease was partially offset by the increased interest costs in servicing the Term Loan B in the second quarter of 2018 in relation to those incurred in the second quarter of 2017.

The Partnership reported average daily hire gross of commissions ⁽¹⁾ of approximately \$61,500 per day per vessel in the three months ended June 30, 2018, as compared to approximately \$66,900 per day per vessel in the same period of 2017. During the three-month period ended June 30, 2018, the Partnership s vessels operated at 97% utilization as compared to 95% utilization in the same period of 2017.

(1) Average daily hire gross of commissions, which is further discussed in Appendix B, represents voyage revenue without taking into consideration the non-cash time charter amortization expense and amortization of prepaid charter revenue, divided by the Available Days in the Partnership s fleet

Amounts relating to variations in period on period comparisons shown in this section are derived from the condensed financial statements presented below.

Liquidity/ Financing/ Cash Flow Coverage

As of June 30, 2018, the Partnership reported free cash of \$57.8 million. Total indebtedness outstanding as of June 30, 2018 was \$725.2 million (gross of unamortized deferred loan fees), which includes amounts outstanding under the Term Loan B and the Partnership s \$250.0 million senior unsecured notes due October 2019. As of June 30, 2018, \$4.8 million of the Partnership s outstanding indebtedness was repayable within one year.

The Partnership s liquidity profile is further enhanced by the \$30.0 million of borrowing capacity under the Partnership s revolving credit facility with its Sponsor, which is available to the Partnership at any time until November 2018 and remains available in its entirety as of the date of this release.

As of June 30, 2018, the Partnership reported working capital surplus of \$42.3 million (Q4 2017: \$47.5 million).

During the three months ended June 30, 2018, the Partnership generated net cash from operating activities of \$8.6 million as compared to \$11.4 million in the same period of 2017, which represents a decrease of \$2.8 million, or 24.3%. This decrease was attributable to the decrease in period net income due to the factors discussed above which was, however, to a large extent counterbalanced by the positive effect of variations in working capital.

Vessel Employment

As of July 27, 2018, the Partnership had estimated contracted time charter coverage⁽²⁾ for 85% of its fleet estimated Available Days (as defined in Appendix B) for the remaining 2018, 99% of its fleet estimated Available Days for 2019 and 100% of its fleet estimated Available Days for 2020.

As of the same date, the Partnership s contracted revenue backlog estimate⁽³⁾ was approximately \$1.44 billion, with an average remaining contract term of 10.1 years.

- (2) Time charter coverage for the Partnership s fleet is calculated by dividing the fleet contracted days on the basis of the earliest estimated delivery and redelivery dates prescribed in the Partnership s current time charter contracts net of scheduled class survey repairs by the number of expected Available days during that period.
- (3) The Partnership calculates its estimated contracted revenue backlog by multiplying the contractual daily hire rate by the expected number of days committed under the contracts (assuming earliest delivery and redelivery and excluding options to extend), assuming full utilization. The actual amount of revenues earned and the actual periods during which revenues are earned may differ from the amounts and periods disclosed due to, for example, dry-docking and/or special survey downtime, maintenance projects, off-hire downtime and other factors that result in lower revenues than the Partnership s average contract backlog per day. Certain time charter contracts that the Partnership recently entered into with Yamal Trade Pte. are subject to the satisfaction of important conditions, which, if not satisfied, or waived by the charterer, may result in their cancellation or amendment before or after the charter term commences and in such case the Partnership may not receive the contracted revenues thereunder.

Conference Call and Webcast: July 27, 2018

As announced, the Partnership s management team will host a conference call on Friday, July 27, 2018 at 10:00 a.m. Eastern Time to discuss the Partnership s financial results.

Conference Call details:

Participants should dial into the call 10 minutes before the scheduled time using the following numbers: 1 (866) 819-7111 (from the US), 0(800) 953-0329 (from the UK) or (+44) (0) 1452 542 301 (Standard International Dial In). Please quote "Dynagas."

A telephonic replay of the conference call will be available until Friday, August 3rd, 2018. The United States replay number is 1 (866) 247-4222; from the UK 0(800) 953-1533; the standard international replay number is (+44) (0) 1452 550 000 and the access code required for the replay is: 59711562#.

Audio Webcast - Slides Presentation:

There will be a live and then archived audio webcast of the conference call, via the internet through the Dynagas LNG Partners website www.dynagaspartners.com. Participants to the live webcast should register on the website approximately 10 minutes prior to the start of the webcast.

The slide presentation on the second quarter ended June 30, 2018 financial results will be available in PDF format 10 minutes prior to the conference call and webcast, accessible on the company's website www.dynagaspartners.com on the webcast page. Participants to the webcast can download the PDF presentation.

About Dynagas LNG Partners LP

Dynagas LNG Partners LP (NYSE: DLNG) is a growth-oriented partnership formed by Dynagas

Holding Ltd., its sponsor, to own and operate liquefied natural gas (LNG) carriers employed on multi-year charters. The Partnership s current fleet consists of six LNG carriers, with an aggregate carrying capacity of approximately 914,000 cubic meters.

Visit the Partnership s website at www.dynagaspartners.com

Contact Information:

Dynagas LNG Partners LP 23, Rue Basse, 98000 Monaco Attention: Michael Gregos Tel. +377 99996445

Email: management@dynagaspartners.com

Investor Relations / Financial Media:

Nicolas Bornozis President Capital Link, Inc. 230 Park Avenue, Suite 1536 New York, NY 10169 Tel. (212) 661-7566

E-mail: dynagas@capitallink.com

Forward-Looking Statement

Matters discussed in this press release may constitute forward-looking statements. The Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 provides safe harbor protections for forward-looking statements in order to encourage companies to provide prospective information about their business. Forward-looking statements include statements concerning plans, objectives, goals, strategies, future events or performance, and underlying assumptions and other statements, which are other than statements of historical facts.

The Partnership desires to take advantage of the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 and is including this cautionary statement in connection with this safe harbor legislation. The words believe, anticipate, intends, estimate, forecast, project, plan, potential, may, should, expect, expected expressions identify forward-looking statements.

The forward-looking statements in this press release are based upon various assumptions, many of which are based, in turn, upon further assumptions, including without limitation, examination by the Partnership s management of historical operating trends, data contained in its records and other data available from third parties. Although the Partnership believes that these assumptions were reasonable when made, because these assumptions are inherently subject to significant uncertainties and contingencies which are difficult or impossible to predict and are beyond the Partnership s control, the Partnership cannot assure you that it will achieve or accomplish these expectations, beliefs or projections.

In addition to these important factors, other important factors that, in the Partnership s view, could cause actual results to differ materially from those discussed in the forward-looking statements include the strength of world economies and currencies, general market conditions, including fluctuations in charter rates and vessel values, changes in demand for Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) shipping capacity, changes in the Partnership s operating expenses, including bunker prices, drydocking and insurance costs, the market for the Partnership s vessels, availability of financing and refinancing, changes in governmental rules and regulations or actions taken by regulatory authorities, potential liability from pending or future litigation, general domestic and international political conditions, potential disruption of shipping routes due to accidents or political events, vessel breakdowns and instances of off-hires, the amount of cash available for distribution, and other factors. Please see the Partnership s filings and other reports furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission for a more complete discussion of these and other risks and uncertainties. The information set forth herein speaks only as of the date hereof, and the Partnership disclaims any intention or obligation to update any forward-looking statements as a result of developments occurring after the date of this communication. Further, we cannot assess the effect of each such factor on our business or the extent to which any factor, or combination of factors, may cause actual results to be materially different from those contained in any forward-looking statement.

APPENDIX A

DYNAGAS LNG PARTNERS LP Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income

(In thousands of U.S. dollars except	Three Months Ended June 30,				Six Months Ended			
units and per unit data)		2018		2017	Jur 2018	ne 30,	2017	
REVENUES								
Voyage revenues EXPENSES	\$	30,892	\$	31,975	\$ 64,796	\$	71,067	
Voyage expenses (including related party)		(622)		(1,345)	(1,243)		(2,217)	

Vessel operating expenses		(5,901)	(7,491)	(12,241)	(14,161)
Dry-docking and special survey costs		(2,229)	(4,911)	(2,696)	(5,131)
General and administrative expenses (including related party)		(433)	(398)	(1,062)	(840)
Management fees -related party		(1,582)	(1,537)	(3,147)	(3,056)
Depreciation		(7,563)	(7,559)	(15,039)	(15,035)
Operating income		12,562	8,734	29,368	30,627
Interest and finance costs, net		(12,354)	(13,725)	(24,236)	(22,615)
Other, net		143	(190)	59	(281)
Net income/(loss)	\$	351	\$ (5,181)	\$ 5,191	\$ 7,731
Earnings/ (loss) per common unit (basic and diluted)	\$	(0.04)	\$ (0.19)	\$ 0.05	\$ 0.09
Weighted average number of units outstanding, basic and diluted:					
Common units	3	35,490,000	35,490,000	35,490,000	33,585,829

DYNAGAS LNG PARTNERS LP

Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheets (unaudited)

(Expressed in thousands of U.S. Dollars except for unit data)

	ne 30, 2018	December 31, 2017
<u>ASSETS</u>		
CURRENT ASSETS:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 57,818	\$ 67,464

Due from related party	2,348	883
Other current assets	5,537	2,057
Total current assets	65,703	70,404
FIXED ASSETS, NET:		
Vessels, net	962,668	977,298
Total fixed assets, net	962,668	977,298
OTHER NON CURRENT ASSETS:		
Due from related party	1,350	1,350
Deferred charges	812	
Above market acquired time charters	1,673	5,267
Total assets	\$ 1,032,206	\$ 1,054,319
<u>LIABILITIES AND PARTNERS EQUIT</u> Y		
CURRENT LIABILITIES:		
Current portion of long-term debt, net of deferred financing costs	\$ 2,671	\$ 2,655
Trade payables	9,058	4,497
Due to related party	80	72
Accrued liabilities	4,712	4,051
Unearned revenue	6,867	11,623
Total current liabilities	23,388	22,898
Deferred revenue	1,682	1,405
Long-term debt, net of current portion and deferred financing costs	710,910	711,698
Total non-current liabilities	712,592	713,103
PARTNERS EQUITY		
General partner (35,526 units issued and outstanding as at June 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017)	8	47
Common unitholders (35,490,000 units issued and outstanding as		
at June 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017)	223,002	245,055
Series A Preferred unitholders: (3,000,000 units issued and	72.016	72.016
outstanding as at June 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017)	73,216	73,216
Total partners equity	296,226	318,318
Total liabilities and partners equity	\$ 1,032,206	\$ 1,054,319

DYNAGAS LNG PARTNERS LP

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

(Expressed in thousands of U.S. Dollars)

	2018	Three Months Ended June 30, 2017	Six Mont Jui 2018	hs Ended ne 30, 2017
Cash flows from Operating Activities: Net income/(loss): \$ Adjustments to reconcile net income/ (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities:	351 \$	(5,181)	\$ 5,191 \$	
Depreciation	7,563	7,559	15,039	15,035
Amortization and write-off of deferred financing fees Amortization of fair value of	814	3,237	1,625	3,723
acquired time charter	1,807	1,807	3,594	3,594
Deferred revenue	1,007	1,007	3,374	3,374
amortization	139	100	277	86
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:				
Trade receivables	51	(220)	93	(196)
Prepayments and other	0.2		,,	(220)
assets	(455)	(704)		(878)
Inventories	(2,041)	17	(3,069)	63
Due from/ to related parties Deferred	(874)	(332)	(1,457)	37
charges	(812)		(812)	

1				
Гrade payables	2,747	4,999	4,610	
Accrued	_,, . ,	.,,,,,	,,010	
abilities	892	1,928	663	
Inearned				
evenue	(1,541)	(1,802)	(4,756)	
let cash from				
Operating				
ctivities	8,641	11,408	20,494	
Cash flows				
rom				
nvesting				
ctivities				
/essel .cquisitions				
and other				
additions to				
essels cost	(409)		(409)	
Net cash used				
n Investing Activities	(409)		(409)	
Cuvines	(409)		(402)	
Cash flows				
rom				
Tinancing Activities:				
Payment of				
ebt securities				
egistration				
and other	(46)		(40)	
iling costs	(46)		(48)	
Distributions declared and				
oaid	(10,569)	(16,714)	(27,283)	
Proceeds from	(, ,	(,)	· //	
ong-term debt		480,000		
Repayment of				
ong-term debt	(1,200)	(464,375)	(2,400)	
yment of				
ferred				

finance fees

(11,923)

(11,923)

Net cash used (11,815) in Financing Activities

(in thousands)

(Unaudited)

	Six Months Ended June 30,		
	2012	2011	
Cash Flows From Operating Activities			
Net loss	\$ (195,476)	\$ (107,844)	
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in	ψ (1)υ,ο)	ψ (107,011)	
operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	8,511	7,835	
Change in fair value of warrant liability	(309)	1,761	
Discounts and premiums on short-term marketable securities	56	1,701	
Stock-based compensation	23,254	12,852	
Inventory write-downs	3,678	652	
Other	1,020	229	
Changes in operating assets and liabilities	1,020	22)	
Accounts receivable	(1,483)	(16,598)	
Inventories and operating lease vehicles	(18,438)	(12,964)	
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	2,580	158	
Other assets	(79)	(375)	
Accounts payable	19,969	28,248	
Accrued liabilities	2,878	(2,130)	
Deferred revenue	(114)	(1,123)	
Reservation payments	41,686	22,431	
Other long-term liabilities	1,199	1,083	
Other long-term naomities	1,199	1,065	
Net cash used in operating activities	(111,068)	(65,785)	
Cash Flows From Investing Activities			
Purchases of marketable securities	(14,992)		
Maturities of short-term marketable securities	40,000		
Purchases of property and equipment, excluding capital	·		
leases	(129,273)	(74,790)	
Withdrawals out of our dedicated Department of Energy			
accounts, net	8,218	62,348	
Increase in other restricted cash	(2,608)	(569)	
		, ,	
Net cash used in investing activities	(98,655)	(13,011)	
rect cash used in investing activities	(70,033)	(13,011)	
Cosh Flores From Financing Activities			
Cash Flows From Financing Activities Principal payments on capital leases and other debt	(921)	(120)	
	155,541	(129) 62,349	
Proceeds from long-term debt	133,341	02,349	
Proceeds from exercise of stock options and other stock	10.201	4.017	
issuances	10,391	4,917	
Proceeds from issuance of common stock in a follow-on		170 100	
offering		172,423	
Proceeds from issuance of common stock in private placements		59,058	
Net cash provided by financing activities	165,011	298,618	
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(44,712)	219,822	
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	255,266	99,558	
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 210,554	\$ 319,380	

Supplemental disclosure of noncash investing activities:

Acquisition of property and equipment included in accrued		
liabilities	2,106	7,306

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Tesla Motors, Inc.

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

1. Overview of the Company

Tesla Motors, Inc. (Tesla, we, us or our) was incorporated in the state of Delaware on July 1, 2003. We design, develop, manufacture and sell high-performance fully electric vehicles and advanced electric vehicle powertrain components. We have wholly-owned subsidiaries in North America, Europe and Asia. The primary purpose of these subsidiaries is to market and/or service our vehicles.

Since inception, we have incurred significant losses and have used approximately \$556.1 million of cash in operations through June 30, 2012. As of June 30, 2012, we had \$210.6 million in cash and cash equivalents. We are currently selling the Tesla Roadster and we commenced Model S deliveries in June 2012. In February 2012, we revealed an early prototype of our Model X crossover.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies Basis of Consolidation

The condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Tesla and its wholly-owned subsidiaries. All significant inter-company transactions and balances have been eliminated in consolidation.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and reported amounts of expenses during the reporting period, including revenue recognition, inventory valuation, warranties, fair value of financial instruments and stock-based compensation. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Unaudited Interim Financial Statements

The accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet as of June 30, 2012, the condensed consolidated statements of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, the condensed consolidated statements of comprehensive loss for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 and the condensed consolidated statements of cash flows for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 and other information disclosed in the related notes are unaudited. The condensed consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2011 was derived from our audited consolidated financial statements at that date. The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and related notes contained in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

The accompanying interim condensed consolidated financial statements and related disclosures have been prepared on the same basis as the annual consolidated financial statements and, in the opinion of management, reflect all adjustments, which include only normal recurring adjustments, necessary for a fair statement of the results of operations for the periods presented. The condensed consolidated results of operations for any interim period are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year or for any other future year or interim period.

8

Revenue Recognition

We recognize revenues from sales of Model S and the Tesla Roadster, including vehicle options and accessories, vehicle service and sales of emission credits, such as zero emission vehicle and greenhouse gas emission credits, as well as sales of electric vehicle powertrain components and systems, such as battery packs and drive units. We recognize revenue when: (i) persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists; (ii) delivery has occurred and there are no uncertainties regarding customer acceptance; (iii) fees are fixed or determinable; and (iv) collection is reasonably assured.

Warranties

We began recording warranty reserves with the commencement of Tesla Roadster sales in 2008. Initially, Tesla Roadsters were sold with a warranty of four years or 50,000 miles. Subsequently, Tesla Roadsters have been sold with a warranty of three years or 36,000 miles. In June 2012, we commenced deliveries of Model S. Model S is sold with a warranty of four years or 50,000 miles for most vehicle components and eight years or at least 100,000 miles for the battery pack. Accrued warranty activity consisted of the following for the periods presented (in thousands):

		Three Months Ended, Six Months June 30 June 3		
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Accrued warranty - beginning of				
period	\$ 5,930	\$ 5,804	\$ 6,315	\$ 5,417
Warranty costs incurred	(813)	(650)	(1,682)	(1,227)
Provision for warranty	606	1,135	1,090	2,099
Accrued warranty - end of period	\$ 5.723	\$ 6.289	\$ 5.723	\$ 6289

We provide a warranty on all vehicle, production powertrain components and systems sales, and we accrue warranty reserves at the time a vehicle or production powertrain component is delivered to the customer. Warranty reserves include management s best estimate of the projected costs to repair or to replace any items under warranty, based on actual warranty experience as it becomes available and other known factors that may impact our evaluation of historical data. We review our reserves at least quarterly to ensure that our accruals are adequate in meeting expected future warranty obligations, and we will adjust our estimates as needed. Warranty expense is recorded as a component of cost of revenues in the condensed consolidated statements of operations. The portion of the warranty provision which is expected to be incurred within 12 months from the balance sheet date is classified as current, while the remaining amount is classified as long-term liabilities.

Concentration of Risk

Financial instruments that potentially subject us to a concentration of credit risk consist of cash, cash equivalents, marketable securities, restricted cash and accounts receivable. Our cash and cash equivalents are primarily invested in money market funds with high credit quality financial institutions in the United States. At times, these deposits and securities may be in excess of insured limits. We invest cash not required for use in operations in high credit quality securities based on our investment policy. Our investment policy provides guidelines and limits regarding credit quality, investment concentration, investment type, and maturity that we believe will provide liquidity while reducing risk of loss of capital. Investments are of a short-term nature and include

investments in corporate debt securities.

9

As of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, our accounts receivable were derived primarily from the development and sales of powertrain systems to Daimler AG (Daimler) and Toyota Motor Corporation (Toyota) (see Note 9).

The following summarizes the accounts receivable in excess of 10% of our total accounts receivable:

	June 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
Daimler	56%	38%
Tovota	34%	52%

Although there may be multiple suppliers available, many of the components used in our vehicles are purchased by us from a single source. If these single source suppliers fail to satisfy our requirements on a timely basis at competitive prices, we could suffer manufacturing delays, a possible loss of revenues, or incur higher cost of sales, any of which could adversely affect our operating results.

Net Loss per Share of Common Stock

Our basic and diluted net loss per share of common stock is calculated by dividing net loss by the weighted average shares of common stock outstanding for the period. Potentially dilutive shares, which are based on the number of shares underlying outstanding stock options, warrants and other convertible securities, are not included when their effect is antidilutive.

The following table presents the potential common shares outstanding that were excluded from the computation of basic and diluted net loss per share of common stock for the periods presented:

	Three Months Ended June 30,				Six Month June	
	2012	2011	2012	2011		
Period-end stock						
options to purchase						
common stock	18,820,113	15,108,559	18,820,113	15,108,559		
Period-end						
Department of						
Energy warrant to						
purchase common						
stock (1)	3,090,111	3,090,111	3,090,111	3,090,111		
Period-end common						
stock subject to						
repurchase		695		695		

(1) See Note 6 for Department of Energy (DOE) warrant

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued an accounting standard update, which revises the manner in which companies present comprehensive income in their financial statements. The new guidance removes the presentation options

and requires entities to report components of comprehensive income in either (1) a continuous statement of comprehensive income or (2) two separate but consecutive statements. The guidance is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years beginning after December 15, 2011. The authoritative guidance also required presentation of adjustments for items that are reclassified from other comprehensive income in the statement where the components of net income and the components of other compressive income are presented, which was indefinitely deferred by the FASB in December 2011. We adopted this authoritative guidance in the first quarter of fiscal 2012. The adoption of this updated guidance did not have a material impact on our condensed consolidated financial statements.

10

3. Balance Sheet Components Inventory

As of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, our inventory consisted of the following (in thousands):

	June 30, 2012	Dec	ember 31, 2011
Raw materials	\$ 31,074	\$	12,095
Work in process	1,000		3,665
Finished goods	16,589		26,120
Service parts	18,006		8,202
Total	\$ 66,669	\$	50.082

Property, Plant and Equipment

As of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, our property, plant and equipment, net, consisted of the following (in thousands):

	June 30, 2012	Dec	cember 31, 2011
Computer equipment and software	\$ 14,449	\$	10,804
Office furniture, machinery and			
equipment	126,456		21,495
Tooling	25,468		16,584
Building and building improvements	33,370		
Leasehold improvements	31,720		27,901
Land	26,391		26,391
Construction in progress	202,386		227,461
	460,240		330,636
Less: Accumulated depreciation and			
amortization	(38,381)		(32,222)
Total	\$ 421,859	\$	298,414

Construction in progress is comprised primarily of assets related to the manufacturing of our Model S, including tooling and manufacturing equipment and capitalized interest expense. Depreciation of these assets begins upon commencement of Model S production. Interest expense on outstanding debt is capitalized during the period of significant capital asset construction. Capitalized interest on construction in progress is included in property, plant and equipment, and is amortized over the life of the related assets. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, we capitalized \$1.9 million and \$3.5 million, respectively. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, we capitalized \$1.0 million and \$1.7 million of interest expense, respectively.

Depreciation and amortization expense during the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 was \$3.7 million and \$6.9 million, respectively. Depreciation and amortization expense during the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 was \$3.8 million and \$6.9 million, respectively.

11

Other Assets

As of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, our other assets consisted of the following (in thousands):

	June 30, 2012	Dec	ember 31, 2011
Emission credits	\$ 14,508	\$	14,508
Loan facility issuance costs, net	6,085		6,407
Other	1,535		1,456
Total	\$ 22,128	\$	22,371

Emission credits are related to the operation of our Tesla Factory and therefore we amortize the emission credits over the same useful life.

Accrued Liabilities

As of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, our accrued liabilities consisted of the following (in thousands):

	June 30, 2012	Dec	ember 31, 2011
Accrued purchases	\$ 18,091	\$	19,534
Payroll and related costs	11,443		8,905
Accrued warranty	1,584		2,044
Adverse purchase commitments	869		111
Taxes payable	840		967
Other	786		548
Total	\$ 33,613	\$	32,109

Other Long-Term Liabilities

As of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, our other long-term liabilities consisted of the following (in thousands):

	June 30, 2012	December 3: 2011	
Environmental liabilities	\$ 5,300	\$	5,300
Deferred rent liability	4,173		3,839
Accrued warranty, long-term	4,139		4,271
Other	2,502		1,505
Total	\$ 16 114	\$	14 915

4. Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The carrying values of our financial instruments including cash equivalents, marketable securities, accounts receivable and accounts payable approximate their fair value due to their short-term nature. As a basis for determining the fair value of certain of our assets and liabilities, we established a three-tier fair value hierarchy which prioritizes the inputs used in measuring fair value as follows: (Level I) observable inputs such as quoted prices in active markets; (Level II) inputs other than the quoted prices in active markets that are observable either directly or indirectly; and (Level III) unobservable inputs in which there is little or no market data which requires us to develop our own assumptions. This hierarchy requires us to use observable market data, when available, and to minimize the use of unobservable inputs when determining fair value. Our financial assets that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis consist of cash equivalents and marketable securities. Our liabilities that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis consist of our common stock warrant liability.

12

All of our cash equivalents and current restricted cash, which are comprised primarily of money market funds, are classified within Level I of the fair value hierarchy because they are valued using quoted market prices or market prices for similar securities. Our short-term marketable securities are classified within Level II of the fair value hierarchy and the market approach was used to determine fair value of these investments. Our common stock warrant liability (see Note 6) is classified within Level III of the fair value hierarchy.

As of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the fair value hierarchy for our financial assets and financial liabilities that are carried at fair value was as follows (in thousands):

	June 30, 2012			December 31, 2011							
	Fai Val		Level I	Level II	Level III		Fair ⁄alue	Level I	Level	II	Level III
Money market funds	\$ 160	,724	\$ 160,724	\$	\$	\$ 1	96,701	\$ 196,701	\$		\$
Corporate note		,	, .	·			10,062	, ,	10,0	62	·
Commercial paper							14,999		14,9	99	
Total	\$ 160	,724	\$ 160,724	\$	\$	\$ 2	21,762	\$ 196,701	\$ 25,0	61	\$
Common stock warrant liability	\$ 8	,529	\$	\$	\$ 8,529	\$	8,838	\$	\$		\$ 8,838
Foreign currency forward	Ψ	,527	Ψ	Ψ	ψ 0,527	Ψ	0,050	Ψ	Ψ		Ψ 0,030
contracts		43		43			109		1	09	
Total	\$ 8	,572	\$	\$ 43	\$ 8,529	\$	8,947	\$	\$ 1	09	\$ 8,838

Our available-for-sale marketable securities classified by security type as of December 31, 2011 consisted of the following (in thousands):

	December 31, 2011 Gross				
	Amortized C	Gross Unrealiz	zedUnrealized	Fair	
	Cost	Gains	Losses	Value	
Corporate note	\$ 10,065	\$	\$ (3)	\$ 10,062	
Commercial paper	14,999			14,999	
Total	\$ 25,064	\$	\$ (3)	\$ 25,061	

All of our marketable securities with gross unrealized losses had been in a continuous unrealized loss position for less than twelve months as of December 31, 2011. We determined that the gross unrealized losses on our marketable securities as of

December 31, 2011 were temporary in nature.

The changes in the fair value of our common stock warrant liability were as follows (in thousands):

	Three Mon	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended		
	June			e 30 ,		
	2012	2011	2012	2011		
Fair value, beginning of period	\$ 8,683	\$ 7,509	\$ 8,838	\$ 6,088		
Change in fair value	(154)	340	(309)	1,761		
Fair value, end of period	\$ 8,529	\$ 7,849	\$ 8,529	\$ 7,849		

The estimated fair value of our long-term debt based on a market approach was approximately \$337.9 million (par value of \$431.8 million) and \$220.3 million (par value of \$276.3 million) as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively, and represent Level II valuations. When determining the estimated fair value of our long-term debt, we used a commonly accepted valuation methodology and market-based risk measurements, such as credit risk.

We operate in various foreign countries, which exposes us to foreign currency exchange risk between the U.S. dollar and various foreign currencies, the most significant of which have been the euro,

13

Japanese yen and British pound. In order to manage this risk, we enter into selected foreign currency forward contracts. These contracts are not designated as hedges, and as a result, changes in their fair value are recorded in other income (expense), net, on our condensed consolidated statements of operations. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, net gains and losses related to these instruments were not significant. We had notional amounts on foreign currency exchange contracts outstanding of \$14.1 million and \$8.8 million as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

5. Reservation Payments

Reservation payments consist of payments that allow potential customers to hold a reservation for the future purchase of a Model S, Model X or Tesla Roadster. These amounts are recorded as current liabilities until the vehicle is delivered. For Model S and Model X, we require an initial fully refundable reservation payment of at least \$5,000. The reservation payment becomes a nonrefundable deposit once the customer has selected the vehicle specifications and enters into a purchase agreement. We require full payment of the purchase price of the vehicle only upon delivery of the vehicle to the customer. Amounts received by us as reservation payments are generally not restricted as to their use by us. Upon delivery of the vehicle, the related reservation payments are applied against the customer s total purchase price for the vehicle and recognized in automotive sales as part of the respective vehicle sale.

As of June 30, 2012, we held reservation payments for undelivered vehicles in an aggregate amount of \$133.4 million. As of December 31, 2011, we held reservation payments for undelivered vehicles of \$91.8 million. In order to convert the reservation payments into revenue, we will need to sell vehicles to these customers.

6. Department of Energy Loan Facility

On January 20, 2010, we entered into a loan facility with the Federal Financing Bank (FFB), and the Department of Energy (DOE), pursuant to the Advanced Technology Vehicles Manufacturing (ATVM) Incentive Program. This loan facility was amended in June 2011 to expand our cash investment options, in February 2012 to modify the timing of certain future financial covenants and funding of the debt service reserve account, and in June 2012 to allow us to effect certain initiatives in our business plan. We refer to the loan facility with the DOE, as amended, as the DOE Loan Facility. Under the DOE Loan Facility, the FFB has made available to us two multi-draw term loan facilities in an aggregate principal amount of up to \$465.0 million. Up to an aggregate principal amount of \$101.2 million had been made available under the first term loan facility to finance up to 80% of the costs eligible for funding for the powertrain engineering and the build out of a facility to design and manufacture lithium-ion battery packs, electric motors and electric components (the Powertrain Facility), which we fully drew down as of March 31, 2012. Up to an aggregate principal amount of \$363.9 million has been made available under the second term loan facility to finance up to 80% of the costs eligible for funding for the development of, and to build out the manufacturing facility for, our Model S sedan (the Model S Facility). Under the DOE Loan Facility, we are responsible for the remaining 20% of the costs eligible for funding under the ATVM Program for the projects as well as any cost overruns for each project. The costs paid by us prior to the execution of the DOE Loan Facility and related to the Powertrain Facility and the Model S Facility had been applied towards our obligation to contribute 20% of the eligible project costs, and the DOE s funding of future eligible costs was adjusted to take this into account. Our obligations for the development of, and the build-out of our manufacturing facility for, Model S is budgeted to be an aggregate of \$33 million or approximately 8.5% of the ongoing budgeted cost, plus any cost overruns for the projects.

We had paid for the full 20% of the budgeted costs related to our Powertrain Facility and therefore received 100% reimbursement from the DOE Loan Facility for the remaining budgeted costs. On the closing date, we paid a facility fee to the DOE in the amount of \$0.5 million.

14

Our DOE Loan Facility draw-downs were as follows (in thousands):

	Loan Facility Available for Future Draw-downs	Interest rates
Beginning Balance, January 20, 2010	\$ 465,048	interest rates
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	, , , , , ,	
Draw-downs received during the three months ended March 31, 2010	(29,920)	2.9% - 3.4%
Draw-downs received during the three months ended June 30, 2010	(15,499)	2.5% - 3.4%
Draw-downs received during the three months ended September 30, 2010	(11,138)	1.7% - 2.6%
Draw-downs received during the three months ended December 31, 2010	(15,271)	1.7% - 2.8%
Remaining Balance, December 31, 2010	393,220	
Draw-downs received during the three months ended March 31, 2011	(30,656)	2.1% - 3.0%
Draw-downs received during the three months ended June 30, 2011	(31,693)	1.8% - 2.7%
Draw-downs received during the three months ended September 30, 2011	(90,822)	1.0% - 1.4%
Draw-downs received during the three months ended December 31, 2011	(51,252)	1.0% - 1.5%
Remaining Balance, December 31, 2011	188,797	
Draw-downs received during the three months ended March 31, 2012	(84,267)	0.9% - 1.6%
Draw-downs received during the three months ended June 30, 2012	(71,274)	1.0% - 1.3%
Remaining Balance, June 30, 2012	\$ 33,256	

Our ability to draw down funds under the DOE Loan Facility is conditioned upon several draw conditions. We are currently in compliance with these draw conditions. For the Powertrain Facility, the draw conditions include our achievement of progress milestones relating to the development of the powertrain manufacturing facility and the successful development of commercial arrangements with third parties for the supply of powertrain components. For the Model S Facility, the draw conditions include our achievement of progress milestones relating to the design and development of Model S and the Tesla Factory. Certain advances were subject to additional conditions to draw-down related to the site on which the applicable project is located. Additionally, the DOE Loan Facility provides for the ability to update milestones should a reasonable need arise.

Advances under the DOE Loan Facility accrue interest at a per annum rate determined by the Secretary of the Treasury as of the date of the advance and will be based on the Treasury yield curve and the scheduled principal installments for such advance. Interest on advances under the DOE Loan Facility is payable quarterly in arrears. Advances under the Powertrain Facility are repayable in 28 equal quarterly installments commencing on

December 15, 2012. All outstanding amounts under the Powertrain Facility will be due and payable on the maturity date of September 15, 2019. Advances under the Model S Facility are repayable in 40 equal quarterly installments commencing on December 15, 2012 (or for advances made after such date, in 38 equal quarterly installments commencing on June 15, 2013). All outstanding amounts under the Model S Facility will be due and payable on the maturity date of September 15, 2022. Advances under the loan facilities may be voluntarily prepaid at any time at a price determined based on interest rates at the time of prepayment for loans made from the Secretary of the Treasury to FFB for obligations with an identical payment schedule to the advance being prepaid, which could result in the advance being prepaid at a discount, at par or at a premium. The loan facilities are subject to mandatory prepayment with net cash proceeds received from certain dispositions, loss events with respect to property and other extraordinary receipts. All obligations under the DOE Loan Facility are secured by substantially all of our property.

Under the DOE Loan Facility, we have committed to pay all costs and expenses incurred to complete the projects being financed in excess of amounts funded under the loan facility. We will be required to maintain, at all times, available cash and cash equivalents of at least 105% of the amounts required to fund this excess over our financing commitment, after taking into account current cash flows and cash on hand, and reasonable projections of future generation of net cash from operations, losses and expenditures. Loans may be requested under the facilities until January 22, 2013, and we have committed to complete the projects being financed prior to such date.

15

The DOE Loan Facility documents contain customary covenants that include, among others, a requirement that the projects be conducted in accordance with the business plan for such project, compliance with all requirements of the ATVM Program, and limitations on our and our subsidiaries ability to incur indebtedness, incur liens, make investments or loans, enter into mergers or acquisitions, dispose of assets, pay dividends or make distributions on capital stock, pay indebtedness, pay management, advisory or similar fees to affiliates, enter into certain affiliate transactions, enter into new lines of business, and enter into certain restrictive agreements, in each case subject to customary exceptions. The DOE Loan Facility documents also contain customary financial covenants requiring us to maintain a minimum ratio of current assets to current liabilities, and (i) through November 30, 2012, a minimum cash balance, (ii) after September 30, 2012, a limit on capital expenditures, (iii) after June 30, 2013, a maximum leverage ratio, a minimum interest coverage ratio, a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio, and (iv) after March 31, 2014, a maximum ratio of total liabilities to shareholder equity. We are in compliance with our current applicable financial covenants. The DOE Loan Facility documents also contain customary events of default, subject in some cases to customary cure periods for certain defaults. In addition, events of default include a failure of Elon Musk, our Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Product Architect and Chairman, and certain of his affiliates, at any time prior to one year after we complete the project relating to the Model S Facility, to own at least 65% of capital stock held by Mr. Musk and such affiliates as of the date of the DOE Loan Facility.

Under the DOE Loan Facility, we have agreed to fund a dedicated debt service reserve account. In February 2012, we funded \$15.0 million into this account, an amount equal to all principal and interest that will come due on December 15, 2012, and on or before October 15, 2012, we have agreed to fund an amount equal to all principal and interest that will come due on March 15, 2013 and June 15, 2013. Once we have deposited such amounts, we will not be required to further fund such debt service reserve account. As of June 30, 2012, \$15.0 million was held in this dedicated account. We have classified this cash as current restricted cash on the condensed consolidated balance sheet.

We have also agreed that, in connection with the sale of our common stock in an initial public offering (IPO), at least 75% of the net offering proceeds would be received by us and, in connection with the sale of our stock in any other follow-on equity offering, at least 50% of the net offering proceeds will be received by us. Offering proceeds may not be used to pay bonuses or other compensation to officers, directors, employees or consultants in excess of the amounts contemplated by our business plan approved by the DOE.

Upon completion of our IPO in 2010, we set aside \$100 million to fund a separate dedicated account under our DOE Loan Facility. This dedicated account is used by us to fund any cost overruns for our powertrain and Tesla Factory projects and is used as a mechanism to defer advances under the DOE Loan Facility. This will not affect our ability to draw down the full amount of the DOE loans, but will require us to use the dedicated account to fund certain project costs up front, which costs may then be reimbursed by loans under the DOE Loan Facility once the dedicated account is depleted, or as part of the final advance for the applicable project. We will be required to deposit a portion of these reimbursements into the dedicated account, in an amount equal to up to 30% of the remaining project costs for the applicable project, and these amounts may similarly be used by us to fund project costs and cost overruns and will similarly be eligible for reimbursement by the draw-down of additional loans under the DOE Loan Facility once used in full, or as part of the final advance for the applicable project. Depending on the timing and magnitude of our draw-downs and the funding requirements of the dedicated account, the balance of the dedicated account will fluctuate throughout the period in which we plan to make draw-downs under the DOE Loan Facility. Upon completion of our final advance under the DOE Loan Facility, the balance in the dedicated account will be fully transferred out of the dedicated account. As of June 30,

2012 and December 31, 2011, \$0.3 million and \$23.5 million were held in this dedicated account, respectively. As we expect to transfer the remainder of this balance within one year, we have classified such cash as current restricted cash on the condensed consolidated balance sheet. We are currently expecting to fully draw down the remaining funds available under the DOE Loan Facility.

16

DOE Warrant

In connection with the closing of the DOE Loan Facility, we have also issued a warrant to the DOE to purchase up to 9,255,035 shares of our Series E convertible preferred stock at an exercise price of \$2.51 per share. Upon the completion of our IPO on July 2, 2010, this preferred stock warrant became a warrant to purchase up to 3,090,111 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$7.54 per share. Beginning on December 15, 2018 and until December 14, 2022, the shares subject to purchase under the warrant will vest and become exercisable in quarterly amounts depending on the average outstanding balance of the loan during the prior quarter. The warrant may be exercised until December 15, 2023. If we prepay the DOE Loan Facility in part or in full, the total amount of shares exercisable under the warrant will be reduced.

Since the number of shares ultimately issuable under the warrants will vary depending on the average outstanding balance of the loan during the contractual vesting period, and decisions to prepay would be influenced by our future stock price as well as the interest rates on our loans in relation to market interest rates, we measured the fair value of the warrant using a Monte Carlo simulation approach. The Monte Carlo approach simulates and captures the optimal decisions to be made between prepaying the DOE loan and the cancellation of the DOE warrant. For the purposes of the simulation, the optimal decision represents the scenario with the lowest economic cost to us. The total warrant value would then be calculated as the average warrant payoff across all simulated paths discounted to our valuation date.

The prepayment feature which allows us to prepay the DOE Loan Facility, and consequently affect the number of shares ultimately issuable under the DOE warrant, was determined to represent an embedded derivative. This embedded derivative is inherently valued and accounted for as part of the warrant liability on our condensed consolidated balance sheets. Changes to the fair value of the embedded derivative are reflected as part of the warrant liability re-measurement to fair value at each balance sheet reporting date.

The warrant is recorded at its estimated fair value with changes in its fair value reflected in other income (expense), net, until its expiration or vesting. The fair value of the warrant at issuance was \$6.3 million, and along with the DOE Loan Facility fee of \$0.5 million and other debt issuance costs of \$0.9 million, represents a cost of closing the loan facility and is being amortized to other income (expense), net over the expected term of the DOE Loan Facility of approximately 13 years. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, we amortized \$0.2 million and \$0.3 million to other income (expense), net, respectively. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, we amortized \$0.2 million and \$0.3 million to other income (expense), net, respectively.

The DOE warrant will continue to be recorded at its estimated fair value with changes in the fair value reflected in other income (expense), net, as the number of shares of common stock ultimately issuable under the warrant is variable until its expiration or vesting. As of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the fair value of the DOE warrant was \$8.5 million and \$8.8 million, respectively. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, we recognized income for the change in the fair value of the DOE warrant in the amount of \$0.2 million and \$0.3 million, respectively. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, we recognized expense for the change in the fair value of the DOE warrant in the amount of \$0.3 million and \$1.8 million, respectively.

7. Equity Incentive Plans

We account for stock-based compensation by measuring and recognizing the fair value of all stock-based payment awards made to employees based on the estimated grant date fair values, including employee stock options and our employee stock purchase plan. We use the Black-Scholes option pricing model to estimate the value of employee stock options which requires a number of assumptions to determine the model inputs. These include the expected volatility of the stock s market price, the expected term of the stock-based awards, the expected risk free rate of interest and any dividend yields. As stock-based compensation expense is based on awards ultimately expected to vest, it has been reduced for estimated forfeitures. We estimate and adjust forfeiture rates based on a periodic review of recent forfeiture activity and expected future employee turnover. As we have been operating as a public company for a period of time that is shorter than our estimated expected option life, we concluded that our historical price volatility does not provide a reasonable basis for input assumptions within the Black-Scholes valuation model when determining the fair value of its stock options. As a result, our expected volatility is based on the historical volatility of a peer group of publicly traded companies.

The following table summarizes our stock-based compensation expense by line item in the condensed consolidated statements of operations (in thousands):

	Three M	Ionths			
	End		Six Months Ended		
	June 2012	30, 2011	June 30, 2012 2011		
Cost of sales	\$ 78	\$ 181	\$ 85	\$ 335	
Research and development	7,133	3,018	13,065	5,317	
Selling, general and					
administrative	5,332	3,727	10,104	7,200	
Total	\$ 12,543	\$6,926	\$ 23,254	\$ 12,852	

8. Information about Geographic Areas

We have determined that we operate in one reporting segment which is the design, development, manufacturing and sales of electric vehicles and electric vehicle powertrain components.

The following tables set forth revenues and long-lived assets by geographic area (in thousands):

Revenues

		nths Ended e 30,	Six Months Ended June 30,		
	2012	2011	2012	2011	
North America	\$ 8,144	\$ 33,516	\$ 25,252	\$ 57,925	
Europe	16,756	22,149	25,987	43,147	
Asia	1,753	2,506	5,581	6,129	
Total	\$ 26,653	\$ 58,171	\$ 56,820	\$ 107,201	

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, we recognized revenues of \$8.0 million, \$25.0 million, \$32.4 million and \$56.5 million in the United States, respectively.

Long-lived Assets

	June 30, 2012	December 3 2011	
United States	\$ 427,349	\$	304,786
International	6,293		5,385
Total	\$ 433,642	\$	310,171

18

9. Strategic Partnerships Toyota RAV4 Program

In July 2010, we and Toyota entered into a Phase 0 agreement to initiate development of an electric powertrain for the Toyota RAV4 EV. Under this early phase development agreement, prototypes would be made by us by combining the Toyota RAV4 model with a Tesla electric powertrain. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, we recognized \$6.4 million and \$7.6 million in development services revenue, respectively. As of June 30, 2011, we had delivered all prototypes and as such, no further development services revenue were recorded during the three and six months ended June 30, 2012.

In October 2010, we entered into a Phase 1 contract services agreement with Toyota for the development of a validated powertrain system, including a battery pack, power electronics module, motor, gearbox and associated software, which will be integrated into an electric vehicle version of the Toyota RAV4. Pursuant to the agreement, Toyota would pay us up to \$60.0 million for the successful completion of certain at risk development milestones and the delivery of prototype samples, including a \$5.0 million upfront payment that we received upon the execution of the agreement. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, we completed various milestones and along with the delivery of certain prototype samples and the amortization of our upfront payment, we recognized \$12.6 million and \$26.6 million in development services revenue, respectively. During the three months ended March 31, 2012, we completed the remaining development milestone and the delivery of prototype samples under the Phase 1 agreement and recognized \$10.7 million in development services revenue. No further development services revenue under the Phase 1 agreement was recorded during the three months ended June 30, 2012.

In July 2011, we entered into a supply and services agreement with Toyota for the supply of a validated electric powertrain system, including a battery, charging system, inverter, motor, gearbox and associated software, which would be integrated into an electric vehicle version of the Toyota RAV4. Additionally, we would provide Toyota with certain services related to the supply of the electric powertrain system. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, we began delivering the electric powertrain system to Toyota under the supply and services agreement, and recognized revenue of \$4.4 million and \$4.7 million in automotive sales, respectively.

Daimler Mercedes-Benz EV Program

In the first half of 2012, we received two purchase orders from Daimler related to the development of a full electric powertrain for a Daimler Mercedes-Benz vehicle and in May 2012, we executed an agreement with Daimler which covers the significant terms for this development program. Pursuant to the agreement, we provide development services and deliver prototype samples. During the three months ended June 30, 2012, we recognized \$4.3 million in connection with this development program.

10. Commitments and Contingencies Environmental Liabilities

In May 2010, we entered into an agreement to purchase an existing automobile production facility located in Fremont, California from New United Motor Manufacturing, Inc. (NUMMI). NUMMI has previously identified environmental conditions at the Fremont site which affect soil and groundwater, and until recently, were undertaking efforts to address these conditions. These conditions are now being addressed by us and NUMMI. Although we have been advised by NUMMI that it has

documented and managed the environmental issues and we completed a reasonable level of diligence on such environmental issues at the time we purchased the facility, we cannot determine the potential costs to

19

remediate any pre-existing contamination with any certainty. Based on management s best estimate, we estimated the fair value of the environmental liabilities that we assumed to be \$5.3 million. The fair value of these liabilities was determined based on an expected value analysis of the related potential costs to investigate, remediate and manage various environmental conditions that were identified as part of NUMMI s facility decommissioning activities as well as our own diligence efforts. As we continue with our construction and operating activities, it is reasonably possible that our estimate of environmental liabilities may change materially.

We have reached an agreement with NUMMI under which, over a ten year period, we will pay the first \$15.0 million of any costs of any governmentally-required remediation activities for contamination that existed prior to the completion of the facility and land purchase for any known or unknown environmental conditions, and NUMMI has agreed to pay the next \$15.0 million for such remediation activities. Our agreement provides, in part, that NUMMI will pay up to the first \$15.0 million on our behalf if such expenses are incurred in the first four years of our agreement, subject to our reimbursement of such costs on the fourth anniversary date of the closing.

On the ten-year anniversary of the closing or whenever \$30.0 million has been spent on the remediation activities, whichever comes first, NUMMI s liability to us with respect to remediation activities ceases, and we are responsible for any and all environmental conditions at the Fremont site. At that point in time, we have agreed to indemnify, defend, and hold harmless NUMMI from all liability and we have released NUMMI for any known or unknown claims except for NUMMI s obligations for representations and warranties under the agreement. As of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, we have accrued \$5.3 million related to these environmental liabilities.

From time to time, we are subject to various legal proceedings that arise from the normal course of business activities. In addition, from time to time, third parties may assert intellectual property infringement claims against us in the form of letters and other forms of communication. If an unfavorable ruling were to occur, there exists the possibility of a material adverse impact on our results of operations, prospects, cash flows, financial position and brand.

11. Subsequent Events DOE Loan Facility Draw-Down

On August 2, 2012, we received funds from an additional draw-down made under the DOE Loan Facility of \$31.8 million at an interest rate of 1.0%.

CEO Stock Option Grant

On August 1, 2012, to create incentives for continued long term success beyond the Model S program and to closely align executive pay with increases in stockholder value, our Board of Directors approved a new stock option grant to Elon Musk, our Product Architect and Chief Executive Officer (CEO Grant), which will become effective on August 13, 2012 (Effective Date). The number of shares subject to the CEO Grant will be determined on the Effective Date and will consist of ten vesting tranches, each equal to 0.5% of our outstanding common stock as of the Effective Date. The CEO Grant will have a per share exercise price equal to the closing price of our common stock on the Effective Date, and will have a vesting schedule based entirely on the attainment of both operational and market capitalization milestones. For more information on the CEO Grant, see Part II Item 5 Other Information included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with our condensed consolidated financial statements and the related notes that appear elsewhere in this Form 10-Q.

Overview and Quarter Highlights

We design, develop, manufacture and sell high-performance fully electric vehicles and advanced electric vehicle powertrain components. We own our sales and service network, and market and sell our vehicles directly to consumers via the phone and internet, in-person at our corporate events and through our network of Tesla stores. We were incorporated in Delaware in July 2003, opened our first store in Los Angeles, California in May 2008, and introduced our first vehicle, the Tesla Roadster, in early 2008. We are targeting our second vehicle, the Model S sedan, for a significantly broader customer base than the Tesla Roadster and plan to manufacture Model S in higher volumes than those for the Tesla Roadster. We commenced deliveries of Model S in June 2012. In February 2012, we revealed an early prototype of the Model X crossover, a vehicle based on the Model S platform.

During the three months ended June 30, 2012, we recognized total revenues of \$26.7 million, a decrease of 54% from total revenues of \$58.2 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011. Automotive sales revenue of \$22.1 million decreased 43% from the three months ended June 30, 2011, primarily reflecting the completion of the Daimler AG (Daimler) Smart fortwo and A-Class EV production programs at the end of 2011 under which we supplied Daimler with battery packs and chargers. Sales of the Tesla Roadster decreased as we concluded sales of the Tesla Roadster in North America during the first quarter of 2012. Our remaining Roadsters are available for sale only in Europe and Asia. We will continue selling our remaining Tesla Roadsters in 2012 until inventory is depleted. We concluded the production run of our current generation Tesla Roadster in January 2012.

Development services revenue decreased to \$4.6 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012 from \$19.1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011, as we completed our final development services milestones and sample deliveries for the Toyota Motor Corporation (Toyota) RAV4 EV program during the first quarter of 2012 and began supplying Toyota with production powertrain systems for the RAV4 EV. Revenue related to the supply of these production powertrain systems is recorded in automotive sales. Development services revenue recognized during the second quarter of 2012 related primarily to the Mercedes-Benz development program with Daimler.

In June 2012, we commenced deliveries of Model S to customers in the United States. Our timely launch of Model S represented an important milestone, transitioning us from significant activities in Model S development and our preparation for vehicle manufacturing at the Tesla Factory, to preparation for volume production in the coming months. Research and development expenses for the three months ended June 30, 2012 were \$74.9 million, compared to \$52.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011. The higher research and development expenses reflected our continuing efforts in Model S pre-production activities, including manufacturing preparedness, process validation, prototype builds and extensive testing at both the vehicle and component levels.

As a result of investments we continued to make in the Tesla Factory and supplier tooling for Model S production, capital expenditures increased to \$61.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012, compared to \$54.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011.

We incurred higher selling, general and administrative expenses of \$36.1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012 when compared to expenses of \$24.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011. The increased expenses were driven by our continued activities to support the growth of our business including the expansion of our Tesla store network and our Model S service and delivery infrastructure.

Our Model S development activities, as well as our capital investments in manufacturing infrastructure, continued to be supported by draw-downs under our Department of Energy Loan Facility (DOE Loan Facility) and other sources of cash including cash from the sales of the Tesla Roadster and Model S, cash received from refundable reservation payments for our Model S and Model X, and cash from the provision of development services and sales of powertrain components and systems. During the three months ended June 30, 2012, we received \$71.3 million in draw-downs under the DOE Loan Facility bringing our total long-term debt under the facility to \$431.8 million. With the completion of Model S development and pre-production activities, we expect to draw-down all remaining funds under the DOE Loan Facility in the next few months.

As of June 30, 2012, we had \$265.8 million in principal sources of liquidity from our cash and cash equivalents, current restricted cash and the remaining amounts available under the DOE Loan Facility. This primarily includes our cash and cash equivalents in the amount of \$210.6 million which includes investments in money market funds, cash of \$15.3 million deposited in dedicated DOE accounts in accordance with the requirements of our DOE Loan Facility and which will be used for repayment of all principal and interest that will come due on December 15, 2012, and \$33.3 million available under the DOE Loan Facility. We currently expect to reach free cash flow (defined as cash flow from operations less capital expenditures) break even in the fourth quarter of 2012 based on present assumptions including our goal to deliver 5,000 Model S vehicles to customers in 2012. While we are confident in our ability to achieve our intended business plans with our current cash levels, we are evaluating alternatives to opportunistically pursue liquidity options to strengthen our balance sheet, accelerate our pace of product development and increase shareholder value.

Management Opportunities, Challenges and Risks

Our principal focus for the first half of 2012 was on completing the development of Model S, establishing our manufacturing capabilities at the Tesla Factory, and preparing for the Model S launch. While we successfully commenced deliveries of Model S to customers in the United States in June 2012, our attention during the remainder of 2012 remains on the continued refinement of our manufacturing and supply chain processes to enable quality production as we methodically transition to volume production at the Tesla Factory. In addition, we are focused on achieving manufacturing efficiencies and the implementation of planned cost reductions in order to achieve our gross margin target of 25% in 2013. Based on our current projections, we expect to reach profitability in 2013.

During the second quarter, we completed all regulatory tests, including crash safety assessments, required for the sale of Model S in the United States. Development and testing continue as we homologate Model S for the rest of the world, with initial focus on Canada and Europe. We will continue delivering Model S with the 85 kilowatt-hour (kWh) battery pack option to our Signature series and general production customers and expect to deliver Model S with the 60 kWh and 40 kWh battery pack options thereafter.

In addition to our efforts on Model S, we have also been focused on the continued sales of the Tesla Roadster and powertrain components and systems, development services activities with our strategic partners, advanced engineering work on the planned Model X and pursuing new electric powertrain opportunities with automobile manufacturers.

22

In January 2012, we concluded the production run of our current generation Tesla Roadster at 2,500 vehicles. Through June 30, 2012, we had delivered over 2,350 Roadsters to customers and we plan to sell our remaining Tesla Roadsters during 2012 primarily in Europe and Asia until our inventory is depleted.

We completed our production deliveries to supply Daimler with battery packs and chargers for the Daimler Smart fortwo and A-Class EV programs as of December 31, 2011. Although we have continued to deliver a small number of battery packs to Daimler to meet their service requirements, we expect further powertrain component sales under these programs to Daimler to be limited.

During the first quarter of 2012, we began shipping powertrain systems to Toyota under a supply and services agreement for the Toyota RAV4 EV. Pursuant to the agreement, Toyota will pay us approximately \$100 million from 2012 through 2014 based on our delivery of the powertrain systems for the Toyota RAV4 EV. Powertrain systems sales to Toyota are expected to ramp up during the second half of 2012.

As we had a limited number of Tesla Roadsters left for sale, a limited number of powertrain components to deliver to Daimler and had not yet delivered a significant number of systems for the Toyota RAV4 EV program, automotive sales declined during the first half of 2012. With the launch of Model S in June 2012, we expect automotive sales to increase significantly during the second half of 2012 as compared to the second half of 2011. However, volume production and scale deliveries of our Model S could be delayed for a number of reasons. Any such delays may be significant and would extend the period in which we would generate limited revenues from sales of our electric vehicles and electric powertrain systems.

In the first half of 2012, we received two purchase orders from Daimler to begin work on the development of a full electric powertrain for a Mercedes-Benz vehicle and in May 2012, we executed an agreement with Daimler. Pursuant to the agreement, we will provide development services and deliver prototype samples. As we have experienced under our previous development services agreements due to timing differences that may arise between the recognition of milestone revenues and the underlying costs of development services, the gross margin from our development services activities may vary from period to period.

In February 2012, we revealed an early prototype of the Model X crossover as the first vehicle we intend to develop by leveraging the Model S platform. We currently plan to start deliveries of Model X in 2014. Our ability to develop and introduce the Model X in this timeframe is based partially on our expectations of leveraging the Model S platform. If there is a lower level of commonality between Model S and Model X than anticipated, our future development and tooling costs may exceed expectations.

We have already incurred significant operating expenses in 2012 as we continued to make significant investments in the development of Model S, our manufacturing capabilities and our sales and service infrastructure. We expect operating expenses for the remainder of 2012 will continue to be significant as we incur costs for additional development on Model S including for homologation in Canada, Europe and other markets, launch of the 60 kWh and 40 kWh battery pack options, and the development of the right-hand drive version, the development of Model X and the systematic and strategic expansion of our sales and service infrastructure globally. As we transition to volume production of Model S, Model S related manufacturing costs, including direct parts, material and labor costs, manufacturing overhead and amortized tooling, and logistics, will be fully reflected in cost of automotive sales during the second half of 2012. As such, Model S related research and development expenses will decline in the third quarter while cost of automotive sales is expected to increase. Selling, general and administrative expenses should continue to rise moderately on a quarterly basis as we

continue to increase our vehicle selling and servicing capabilities.

23

Since starting production in June 2012, we have manufactured approximately 40 Model S vehicles as of July 25, 2012. During the third quarter of 2012, we expect to deliver approximately 500 Model S vehicles to customers. Given the limited number of vehicles we expect to deliver, we expect our automotive sales gross margin for the third quarter of 2012 to be slightly negative, as our cost of automotive sales will reflect the full burden of operating our Tesla Factory, including depreciation for our manufacturing facility and equipment. During the fourth quarter of 2012, we expect to deliver approximately 4,500 Model S vehicles and expect our automotive sales gross margin to improve significantly as we reach higher volume and achieve manufacturing cost efficiencies and planned cost reductions. Accurately forecasting our manufacturing costs is difficult until we reach a certain level of volume production. Moreover, until we reach planned volume sales of Model S in 2013, we expect to continue generating a net loss as a result of our anticipated high level of operating expenses.

We have continued to incur capital expenditures for the Model S program and will continue to do so in 2012 as we make final payments for tooling and manufacturing equipment required for production at full capacity. We currently anticipate that our aggregate capital expenditures for 2012 to be about \$210 million, primarily focused on vehicle development and manufacturing activities for Model S and Model X and the addition of new Tesla stores, mainly in North East of the United States, and service infrastructure throughout the United States.

See Part II Item 1A Risk Factors for a further discussion of risks associated with our business, including additional risks related to the Model S and Model X.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Our condensed consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The preparation of these condensed consolidated financial statements requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues, costs and expenses and related disclosures. We base our estimates on historical experience, as appropriate, and on various other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances. Changes in the accounting estimates are reasonably likely to occur from period to period. Accordingly, actual results could differ significantly from the estimates made by our management. We evaluate our estimates and assumptions on an ongoing basis. To the extent that there are material differences between these estimates and actual results, our future financial statement presentation, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows will be affected.

For a description of our critical accounting policies and estimates, please refer to the Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates—section of our Management—s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations contained in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). In addition, please refer to Note 2, Summary of Significant Accounting Policies,—of our condensed consolidated financial statements in Item 1, Part I of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, which is incorporated herein by reference.

Results of Operations

The following table sets forth our condensed consolidated statements of operations data for the periods presented (in thousands):

	Three Mont		Six Months Ended June 30,		
	2012	2011	2012	2011	
Revenues					
Automotive sales	\$ 22,054	\$ 39,028	\$ 41,299	\$ 72,656	
Development services	4,599	19,143	15,521	34,545	
Total revenues	26,653	58,171	56,820	107,201	
Cost of revenues	20,033	30,171	50,020	107,201	
Automotive sales	20,150	30,528	34,082	57,489	
Development services	1,741	9,135	7,766	13,176	
•	ĺ	·	ĺ	·	
Total cost of revenues	21,891	39,663	41,848	70,665	
Gross profit	4,762	18,508	14,972	36,536	
Operating expenses					
Research and development	74,854	52,531	143,245	93,693	
Selling, general and					
administrative	36,083	24,716	66,665	48,928	
Total operating expenses	110,937	77,247	209,910	142,621	
Loss from operations	(106,175)	(58,739)	(194,938)	(106,085)	
Interest income	74	46	164	86	
Interest expense	(84)		(149)		
Other income (expense),					
net	691	(71)	(385)	(1,556)	
Loss before income taxes	(105,494)	(58,764)	(195,308)	(107,555)	
Provision for income taxes	109	139	168	289	
tor no momo tares		10)	130		
Net loss	\$ (105,603)	\$ (58,903)	\$ (195,476)	\$ (107,844)	

Revenues

Automotive Sales

Automotive sales, which include vehicle, options and related sales, and powertrain component and related sales, consisted of the following for the periods presented (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Mont Jun	hs Ended e 30,
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Vehicle, options and related				
sales	\$ 16,465	\$ 27,573	\$ 34,353	\$ 48,040
Powertrain component and				
related sales	5,589	11,455	6,946	24,616

Total automotive sales \$22,054 \$39,028 \$41,299 \$72,656

Automotive sales during the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 were \$22.1 million and \$41.3 million, a decrease from \$39.0 million and \$72.7 million during the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively. Vehicle, options and related sales represent sales of the Tesla Roadster and Model S, including vehicle options, accessories and destination charges, vehicle service and sales of zero emission vehicle and greenhouse gas emission credits to other automotive manufacturers. Powertrain component and related sales represent the sales of electric vehicle powertrain components and systems, such as battery packs and drive units, to other manufacturers.

Vehicle, options and related sales during the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 were \$16.5 million and \$34.4 million, a decrease from \$27.6 million and \$48.0 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively. The decrease in vehicle, options and related sales was primarily attributable to the conclusion of our Tesla Roadster sales in North America, partially offset by an increase in the number of Tesla Roadsters that we sold in Europe and Asia. Through June 30, 2012, we had delivered over 2,350 Roadsters to customers. We plan to sell our remaining Tesla Roadsters during 2012 in Europe and Asia until our inventory is depleted.

25

Powertrain component and related sales for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 were \$5.6 million and \$6.9 million, a decrease from \$11.5 million and \$24.6 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively. The decrease in powertrain component and related sales was primarily due to fewer shipments of battery packs and chargers to Daimler. Production for both the Daimler Smart fortwo and A-Class EV programs was completed as of December 31, 2011; however, we delivered a few additional battery packs during the first quarter to meet Daimler s service requirements. During the three months ended March 31, 2012, we also began supplying powertrain systems to Toyota under the RAV4 EV supply and services agreement.

Development Services

Development services represent arrangements where we develop electric vehicle powertrain components and systems for other automobile manufacturers, including the design and development of battery packs, drive units and chargers to meet customer s specifications.

Development services revenue during the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 was \$4.6 million and \$15.5 million, a decrease from \$19.1 million and \$34.5 million during the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively.

In July 2010, we entered into an agreement with Toyota to initiate development of an electric powertrain for the Toyota RAV4. Under this Phase 0 development agreement, prototypes were made by us by combining the Toyota RAV4 model with a Tesla electric powertrain. In October 2010, we also entered into a Phase 1 contract services agreement with Toyota for the development of a validated powertrain system, including a battery, power electronics module, motor, gearbox and associated software, which would be integrated into an electric vehicle version of the Toyota RAV4.

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, we completed various milestones and delivered several samples under the Phase 1 agreement and delivered all remaining prototype vehicles under the Phase 0 agreement. Development services revenue under these arrangements with Toyota for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 was \$19.1 million and \$34.5 million, respectively. Through June 30, 2011, we had delivered all development services under the Phase 0 contract services agreement.

During the three months ended March 31, 2012, we completed our remaining milestones and delivered samples under the Phase 1 agreement and recognized \$10.7 million in development services revenue.

In the first half of 2012, we received two purchase orders from Daimler to begin work on the development of a full electric powertrain program for a Daimler Mercedes-Benz vehicle and in May 2012, we executed an agreement with Daimler which covers the significant terms for this development program. Pursuant to the agreement, we will provide development services and deliver prototype samples. During the three months ended June 30, 2012, we recognized \$4.3 million in development services revenue in connection with this development program.

We intend to grow our development services revenue over time by establishing additional commercial arrangements with other automobile manufacturers and by pursuing new development opportunities with existing strategic partners. Additionally, we expect our development services revenue may fluctuate in future periods based on the timing of our delivery of milestones and samples, as well as the timing of meeting revenue recognition criteria.

26

Cost of Revenues and Gross Profit

Cost of revenues includes cost of automotive sales and costs related to our development services. Cost of revenues during the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 was \$21.9 million and \$41.9 million, a decrease from \$39.7 million and \$70.7 million during the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively. The decrease in cost of automotive sales for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 was driven primarily by a decrease in both the number of vehicles sold and battery packs and chargers delivered to Daimler.

Cost of development services includes engineering support and testing, direct parts, material and labor costs, manufacturing overhead, including amortized tooling costs, shipping and logistic costs and other development expenses that we incur in the performance of our services under development agreements. The decrease in cost of development services was driven primarily by our activities for the Toyota RAV4 EV program which we substantially completed during the three months ended March 31, 2012.

Gross profit for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 was \$4.8 million and \$15.0 million, a decrease from \$18.5 million and \$36.5 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively. The decrease for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, compared to the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, was driven primarily by lower sales of the Tesla Roadster and powertrain components, as well as costs associated with our transition to Model S and Toyota RAV4 EV powertrain components production.

We expect our development services gross profit and gross margin may fluctuate in future periods as the timing of revenue recognition may not coincide with the period in which the corresponding cost of revenues is recognized.

Research and Development Expenses

Research and development expenses consist primarily of personnel costs for our teams in engineering and research, supply chain, quality, manufacturing engineering and manufacturing test organizations, prototyping expense, contract and professional services and amortized equipment expense. Also included in research and development expenses are development services costs that we incur, if any, prior to the finalization of agreements with our development services customers as reaching a final agreement and revenue recognition is not assured. Development services costs incurred after the finalization of an agreement are recorded in cost of revenues.

Research and development expenses during the three months ended June 30, 2012 were \$74.9 million, an increase from \$52.5 million during the three months ended June 30, 2011. The \$22.4 million increase in research and development expenses during the three months ended June 30, 2012 consisted primarily of a \$16.9 million increase in employee compensation expenses from higher headcount, a \$4.0 million increase in stock-based compensation expense related to a larger number of outstanding equity awards due to additional headcount and generally an increasing common stock valuation applied to new grants, a \$3.6 million increase in freight and related charges for prototype materials, a \$3.5 million increase in materials and prototyping expenses primarily to support our Model S release candidate builds and crash testing as well as powertrain development activities, and a \$2.7 million increase in office, information technology and facilities-related costs to support the growth of our business. The increase was partially offset by a \$9.2 million decrease in costs related to Model S engineering, design and testing activities.

Research and development expenses during the six months ended June 30, 2012 were \$143.2 million, an increase from \$93.7 million during the six months ended June 30, 2011. The \$49.5 million increase in research and development expenses during the six months ended June 30, 2012 consisted primarily of a \$31.3 million increase in employee compensation expenses from higher headcount, a \$10.8 million increase in materials and prototyping expenses primarily to support our Model S beta and release

27

candidate build as well as powertrain development activities, a \$7.5 million increase in stock-based compensation expense related to a larger number of outstanding equity awards due to additional headcount and generally an increasing common stock valuation applied to new grants, a \$6.5 million increase in office, information technology and facilities-related costs to support the growth of our business, and a \$4.8 million increase in shipping charges for prototype materials. The increase was partially offset by a \$12.4 million decrease in costs related to Model S engineering, design and testing activities.

We have significantly increased our research and development efforts for the Model S in recent quarters, which has resulted in an increase in our research and development expenses. We anticipate that our research and development expenses will decrease by about 20% during the third quarter of 2012 as our Model S manufacturing expenses will be reflected in cost of goods sold rather than in research and development, and as one time Model S development expenses decline. This decrease will be partially offset by additional research and development expenses that we expect to incur in relation to the development of future vehicles, such as Model X. In addition, future equity awards may result in an increase in research and development expenses.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

Selling, general and administrative expenses consist primarily of personnel and facilities costs related to our Tesla stores, marketing, sales, executive, finance, human resources, information technology and legal organizations, as well as litigation settlements and fees for professional and contract services.

Selling, general and administrative expenses during the three months ended June 30, 2012 were \$36.1 million, an increase from \$24.7 million during the three months ended June 30, 2011. The \$11.4 million increase in our selling, general and administrative expenses during the three months ended June 30, 2012 consisted primarily of a \$4.8 million increase in employee compensation expenses related to higher sales and marketing headcount to support sales activities worldwide and higher general and administrative headcount to support the expansion of the business, a \$2.9 million increase in professional and outside services costs, a \$1.9 million increase in office, information technology and facilities-related costs to support the growth of our business, and a \$1.6 million increase in stock-based compensation expense related to a larger number of outstanding equity awards due to additional headcount and generally an increasing common stock valuation applied to new grants.

Selling, general and administrative expenses during the six months ended June 30, 2012 were \$66.7 million, an increase from \$48.9 million during the six months ended June 30, 2011. The \$17.8 million increase in our selling, general and administrative expenses during the six months ended June 30, 2012 consisted primarily of a \$9.3 million increase in employee compensation expenses related to higher sales and marketing headcount to support sales activities worldwide and higher general and administrative headcount to support the expansion of the business and a \$3.1 million increase in professional and outside services costs, a \$2.9 million increase in stock-based compensation expense related to a larger number of outstanding equity awards due to additional headcount and generally an increasing common stock valuation applied to new grants, and a \$2.6 million increase in office, information technology and facilities-related costs to support the growth of our business.

We expect selling, general and administrative expenses to increase by 10-15% for the remainder of 2012 as we continue to grow and expand our operations, increase our sales and marketing activities to handle our expanding market presence and continue the commercial launch of Model S in the United States and internationally. We plan to open additional stores and service centers during the remainder of 2012, mostly in the United States, and some of these stores will replace existing stores, which we may continue to

use as service locations. In addition, future equity awards may result in an increase in selling, general and administrative expenses.

Interest Expense

Our interest expense is incurred primarily from our loans under the DOE Loan Facility which we began accessing in 2010. Although interest expense increases as we make additional draw-downs under the DOE Loan Facility to fund our Model S and powertrain activities, we have historically capitalized this interest to construction in progress. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, we capitalized \$1.9 million and \$3.5 million of interest expense to construction in progress, respectively. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, we capitalized \$1.0 million and \$1.7 million of interest expense to construction in progress, respectively. As we transition to volume production of Model S and begin depreciating our Model S related assets, we expect to capitalize less interest expense in future periods. Consequently, we expect interest expense to increase during the remainder of 2012.

Other Income (Expense), Net

Other income (expense), net, consists primarily of the change in the fair value of our DOE common stock warrant liability and gains and losses on our foreign currency-denominated assets and liabilities. We expect our foreign exchange gains and losses will vary depending upon movements in the underlying exchange rates. The DOE warrant is carried at its estimated fair value with changes in its fair value continuing to be reflected in other income (expense), net, until its expiration or vesting.

Other income, net, during the three months ended June 30, 2012 was \$0.7 million, an increase in income compared to other expense, net, of \$0.1 million during the three months ended June 30, 2011. The increase in income for the three months ended June 30, 2012 was primarily due to a favorable foreign currency exchange impact from our foreign currency-denominated assets and liabilities as well as the fair value change in our common stock warrant liability during the three months ended June 30, 2012 resulting from a lower stock price for the period.

Other expense, net, during the six months ended June 30, 2012 was \$0.4 million, a decrease in expense compared to other expense, net, of \$1.6 million during the six months ended June 30, 2011. The decrease in expense for the six months ended June 30, 2012 was primarily due to the fair value change in our common warrant stock liability during the six months ended June 30, 2012 resulting from a lower stock price for the period, partially offset by an unfavorable foreign currency exchange impact from our foreign currency-denominated assets and liabilities.

Provision for Income Taxes

Our provision for income taxes during the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 was \$0.1 million and \$0.2 million compared to \$0.1 million and \$0.3 million during the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively. The decrease for the six months ended June 30, 2012 was due primarily to the decrease in taxable income in our international jurisdictions.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Since inception and through June 30, 2012, we had accumulated net losses of \$864.9 million and have used \$556.1 million of cash in operations. As of June 30, 2012, we had \$265.8 million in principal sources of liquidity from our cash and cash equivalents, current restricted cash and the remaining amounts available under the DOE Loan Facility. This primarily includes our cash and cash equivalents in the amount of \$210.6 million which included investments in money market funds, cash of \$15.3 million deposited in dedicated DOE accounts in accordance with the requirements of our DOE Loan Facility

and which will be used for repayment of all principal and interest that will come due on December 15, 2012, and approximately \$33.3 million available under the DOE Loan Facility, which is primarily intended to cover spending related to the development of Model S.

29

Other sources of cash have included and in the future may include cash from the sales of the Tesla Roadster and Model S, refundable reservation payments for our Model S and Model X, cash from the provision of development services and sales of powertrain components and systems.

We expect that our current sources of liquidity, including cash, cash equivalents, cash held in our dedicated DOE accounts and the remaining amounts available under the DOE Loan Facility, together with our anticipated cash from operating activities will provide us with adequate liquidity for at least the next 12 months, based on our current plans. We currently expect to be close to free cash flow break even in the fourth quarter of this year, based on present assumptions including our goal to deliver 5,000 Model S vehicles to customers in 2012. These capital sources will enable us to fund our ongoing operations, continue research and development projects, establish sales and service centers, improve infrastructure such as expanded battery pack assembly facilities, and to make the investments in tooling and manufacturing capital required to introduce Model S in volume and to continue development of Model X. We are currently expecting to fully draw down the remaining funds available under the DOE Loan Facility in the second half of 2012. These funds have been used to develop and produce Model S, grow our powertrain capabilities and develop the Tesla Factory. We do not currently have any pending applications for future loans from the DOE. The development of future vehicles, investments in new technologies, increased in-sourcing of manufacturing capabilities, investments to expand our powertrain activities or investments to further expand our sales and service network, may require us to raise additional funds through the issuance of equity, equity-related or debt securities or through obtaining credit. We may also choose to opportunistically raise additional funds if market conditions are favorable. Also, should prevailing economic conditions and/or financial, business or other factors adversely affect the estimates of our future cash requirements, we could be required to fund our cash requirements by alternative financing. We cannot be certain that additional funds will be available to us on favorable terms when required, or at all.

DOE Loan Facility

On January 20, 2010, we entered into a loan facility with the Federal Financing Bank (FFB), and the DOE, pursuant to the Advanced Technology Vehicles Manufacturing (ATVM) Incentive Program (such loan facility, including amendments thereto, the DOE Loan Facility). Under the DOE Loan Facility, the FFB has made available to us two multi-draw term loan facilities in an aggregate principal amount of up to \$465.0 million. Up to an aggregate principal amount of \$101.2 million had been made available under the first term loan facility to finance up to 80% of the costs eligible for funding for the powertrain engineering and the build out of a facility to design and manufacture lithium-ion battery packs, electric motors and electric components, which we fully drew down through March 31, 2012. Up to an aggregate principal amount of \$363.9 million has been made available under the second term loan facility to finance up to 80% of the costs eligible for funding for the development of, and to build out the manufacturing facility for, our Model S sedan. Under the DOE Loan Facility, we are responsible for the remaining 20% of the costs eligible for funding under the ATVM Program for the projects as well as any cost overruns for each project.

30

Our DOE Loan Facility draw-downs have been as follows (in thousands):

	ility Available e Draw-downs	Interest rates
Beginning Balance,		
January 20, 2010	\$ 465,048	
Draw-downs received during		
the three months ended		
March 31, 2010	(29,920)	2.9% - 3.4%
Draw-downs received during	(-) /	
the three months ended		
June 30, 2010	(15,499)	2.5% - 3.4%
Draw-downs received during	(13,133)	2.3 /6 3.1 /6
the three months ended		
September 30, 2010	(11,138)	1.7% - 2.6%
Draw-downs received during	(11,136)	1.770 - 2.070
the three months ended		
December 31, 2010	(15.271)	170/ 290/
December 31, 2010	(15,271)	1.7% - 2.8%
Remaining Balance,		
December 31, 2010	393,220	
Draw-downs received during		
the three months ended		
March 31, 2011	(30,656)	2.1% - 3.0%
Draw-downs received during	(= =,== =,	
the three months ended		
June 30, 2011	(31,693)	1.8% - 2.7%
Draw-downs received during	(0 0,000)	21011 21111
the three months ended		
September 30, 2011	(90,822)	1.0% - 1.4%
Draw-downs received during	(50,022)	1.070 1.170
the three months ended		
December 31, 2011	(51,252)	1.0% - 1.5%
December 31, 2011	(31,232)	1.0 /0 - 1.3 /0
D D I		
Remaining Balance,	100 505	
December 31, 2011	188,797	
Draw-downs received during		
the three months ended		
March 31, 2012	(84,267)	0.9% - 1.6%
Draw-downs received during		
the three months ended		
June 30, 2012	(71,274)	1.0% - 1.3%
Remaining Balance, June 30,		
2012	\$ 33,256	
	,	

On August 2, 2012, we received funds from an additional draw-down made under the DOE Loan Facility of \$31.8 million at an interest rate of 1.0%.

We have agreed that, in connection with the sale of our stock in any follow-on equity offering, at least 50% of the net offering proceeds will be received by us. Offering

proceeds may not be used to pay bonuses or other compensation to officers, directors, employees or consultants in excess of the amounts contemplated by our business plan approved by the DOE.

Upon completion of our IPO in 2010, we set aside \$100 million to fund a separate dedicated account under our DOE Loan Facility. This dedicated account is used by us to fund any cost overruns for our powertrain and Tesla Factory projects and is used as a mechanism to defer advances under the DOE Loan Facility. This will not affect our ability to draw down the full amount of the DOE loans, but will require us to use the dedicated account to fund certain project costs upfront, which costs may then be reimbursed by loans under the DOE Loan Facility once the dedicated account is depleted, or as part of the final advance for the applicable project. We will be then required to deposit a portion of these reimbursements into the dedicated account, in an amount equal to up to 30% of the remaining project costs for the applicable project, and these amounts may similarly be used by us to fund project costs and cost overruns and will similarly be eligible for reimbursement by the draw-down of additional loans under the DOE Loan Facility once used in full, or as part of the final advance for the applicable project. Depending on the timing and magnitude of our draw-downs and the funding requirements of the dedicated account, the balance of the dedicated account will fluctuate throughout the period in which we plan to make draw-downs under the DOE Loan Facility. Upon completion of our final advance under the DOE Loan Facility, the balance in the dedicated account will be fully transferred out of the dedicated account. As of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, \$0.3 million and \$23.5 million were held in this dedicated account, respectively.

Under the DOE Loan Facility, we have agreed to fund a dedicated debt service reserve account. In February 2012, we funded \$15.0 million into this account, an amount equal to all principal and interest that will come due on December 15, 2012, and on or before October 15, 2012, we have agreed to fund an amount equal to all principal and interest that will come due on March 15, 2013 and June 15, 2013. Once we have deposited such amounts, we will not be required to further fund such debt service reserve account. We are currently expecting to fully draw down the remaining funds available under the DOE Loan Facility in the second half of 2012.

For more information on the DOE Loan Facility, see Note 6 to our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q under Item 1. Financial Statements.

31

Leasing Activities

In February 2010, we began offering a leasing program to qualified customers in the United States for the Tesla Roadster. Through our wholly owned subsidiary, qualifying customers are permitted to lease the Tesla Roadster for 36 months, after which time they have the option of either returning the vehicle to us or purchasing it for a pre-determined residual value.

When compared to our sales of vehicles, our leasing activities will spread the cash inflows that we would otherwise receive upon the sale of a vehicle, over the lease term and final disposition of the leased vehicle. As such, our cash and working capital requirements will be directly impacted and if leasing volume increases significantly, the impact may be material. However, after taking into consideration our current and planned sources of operating cash, our ability to monitor and prospectively adjust our leasing activity, as well as our intent to collect nonrefundable deposits for leased vehicles that are manufactured to specification, we do not believe that our leasing operations materially adversely impact our ability to meet our commitments and obligations as they become due. As we will also be exposed to credit risk related to the timely collection of lease payments from our customers, we intend to utilize our credit approval and ongoing review processes in order to minimize any credit losses that could occur and which could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations. We require deposits from customers electing a lease option for vehicles built to a customer s specifications on the same timeframe and under the same circumstances as from customers purchasing our vehicles outright. No new leasing arrangements were entered into during the three months ended June 30, 2012. As of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, we had deferred revenues of \$1.0 million and \$1.3 million of down payments which will be recognized over the term of the individual leases, respectively. Through June 30, 2012, our leasing activity has not had a significant adverse impact on our liquidity.

Reservations Payments

Reservation payments consist of fully refundable payments that allow potential customers to hold a reservation for the future purchase of a Model S, Model X or Tesla Roadster. These amounts are recorded as current liabilities until the vehicle is delivered. For Model S and Model X, we require an initial refundable reservation payment of at least \$5,000. The reservation payment becomes a nonrefundable deposit once the customer has selected the vehicle specifications and enters into a purchase agreement. We require full payment of the purchase price of the vehicle only upon delivery of the vehicle to the customer. Amounts received by us as reservation payments are generally not restricted as to their use by us. Upon delivery of the vehicle, the related reservation payments are applied against the customer s total purchase price for the vehicle and recognized in automotive sales as part of the respective vehicle sale. As of June 30, 2012, we held reservation payments for undelivered vehicles in an aggregate amount of \$133.4 million.

Summary of Cash Flows

	Six Months Ended June 30,		
	2012 2011 (in thousands)		
Net cash used in operating activities	\$ (111,068)	\$ (65,785)	
Net cash used in investing activities	(98,655)	(13,011)	
Net cash provided by financing			
activities	165,011	298,618	

Cash Flows from Operating Activities

We continue to experience negative cash flows from operations as we expand our business and build our infrastructure both in the United States and internationally. Our cash flows from operating activities are significantly affected by our cash investments to support the growth of our business in areas such as research and development and selling, general and administrative. Our operating cash flows are also affected by our working capital needs to support growth and fluctuations in inventory, personnel related expenditures, accounts payable and other current assets and liabilities.

Net cash used in operating activities was \$111.1 million during the six months ended June 30, 2012. The largest component of our cash used during this period related to our net loss of \$195.5 million, which included non-cash charges of \$23.3 million related to stock-based compensation expense, \$8.5 million related to depreciation and amortization and \$3.7 million related to inventory write-downs and adverse purchase commitments. Significant operating cash outflows were primarily related to \$209.9 million of operating expenses, \$41.8 million of cost of revenues and a \$18.4 million increase in inventory and operating lease vehicles, partially offset by a \$22.8 million increase in accounts payable and accrued liabilities and a \$2.6 million decrease in prepaid expenses and other current assets. Inventory increased to meet our planned production requirements for the Model S and powertrain component sales while the net increase in accounts payable and accrued liabilities was due to both the growth of our business and the timing of vendor payments. The decrease in prepaid expenses and other current assets was primarily driven by various tax refunds received during the six months ended June 30, 2012. Significant operating cash inflows for the six months ended June 30, 2012 were comprised primarily of a \$41.7 million net increase in reservation payments, automotive sales of \$41.3 million and \$15.5 million of development services revenue, partially offset by a \$1.5 million increase in accounts receivable. The increase in accounts receivable was related to the development services provided under the Daimler Mercedes-Benz development services agreement as well as sales of powertrain systems to Toyota and Daimler.

Net cash used in operating activities was \$65.8 million during the six months ended June 30, 2011. The largest component of our cash used during this period related to our net loss of \$107.8 million, which included non-cash charges of \$12.9 million related to stock-based compensation expense, \$7.8 million related to depreciation and amortization and \$1.8 million related to the fair value change in our warrant liabilities. Significant operating cash outflows were primarily related to \$142.6 million of operating expenses, \$70.7 million of cost of revenues and a \$13.0 million increase in inventory and operating lease vehicles, partially offset by a \$24.0 million increase in accounts payable and accrued liabilities. Inventory increased to meet our production requirements for the Tesla Roadster and powertrain component sales while the net increase in accounts payable was due to both the growth of our business and the timing of vendor payments. Operating lease vehicles continued to increase with the introduction of our leasing program in 2010. Significant operating cash inflows for the six months ended June 30, 2011 were derived primarily from sales of the Tesla Roadster and powertrain components as well as from development services activity. Significant operating cash inflows were comprised primarily of automotive sales of \$72.7 million, \$34.5 million of development services revenue, a \$22.4 million increase in reservation payments and a \$1.1 million increase in deferred revenue, partially offset by a \$16.6 million increase in accounts receivable. The increase in accounts receivable was related primarily to receivables from Toyota for the achievement of two milestones in June 2011 under the Toyota RAV4 EV Phase 1 contract services agreement and significant shipments of battery packs and chargers to Daimler.

Cash Flows from Investing Activities

Cash flows from investing activities primarily relate to capital expenditures to support our growth in operations, including investments in Model S manufacturing, as well as restricted cash that we must maintain in relation to our DOE Loan Facility, facility lease agreements, equipment financing, and certain vendor credit policies.

Net cash used in investing activities was \$98.7 million during the six months ended June 30, 2012 primarily related to \$129.3 million in purchases of capital equipment and tooling, partially offset by a \$25.0 million in maturities of short-term marketable securities and an \$8.2 million of net transfers out of our dedicated DOE account in accordance with the provisions of the DOE Loan.

Net cash used in investing activities was \$13.0 million during the six months ended June 30, 2011 primarily related to \$74.8 million in purchases of capital equipment, partially offset by \$62.3 million that was transferred out of our dedicated DOE account in accordance with the provisions of the DOE Loan Facility.

The increase in capital purchases was primarily due to significant development and construction activities at the Tesla Factory as well as purchases of manufacturing equipment.

Cash Flows from Financing Activities

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$165.0 million during the six months ended June 30, 2012 and was comprised primarily of \$155.5 million received from our draw-downs under the DOE Loan Facility and \$10.4 million received from the exercise of common stock options by employees and the purchase of common stock under our employee stock purchase plan.

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$298.6 million during the six months ended June 30, 2011 and was comprised primarily of \$231.5 million received from our public offering and concurrent private placements completed in June 2011, \$62.3 million received from our draw-downs under the DOE Loan Facility and \$4.9 million received from the exercise of common stock options and the purchase of common stock under our employee stock purchase plan.

Contractual Obligations

The following table sets forth, as of June 30, 2012, our cash obligations related to our DOE Loan Facility that will affect our future liquidity (in thousands) for the following periods:

Year Ended December 31.

	Total	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017 and thereafter
Long-term							
debt	469,134	15,639	54,429	53,611	52,805	51,996	240,654
On Amount	2 2012 777	raccived fo	inda from a	n addition	al draw day	un mada u	ndar tha

On August 2, 2012, we received funds from an additional draw-down made under the DOE Loan Facility of \$31.8 million at an interest rate of 1.0%.

For more information on the DOE Loan Facility, see Note 6 to our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q under Item 1. Financial Statements.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

During the periods presented, we did not have any relationships with unconsolidated entities or financial partnerships, such as entities often referred to as structured finance or special purpose entities, which would have been established for the purpose of facilitating off-balance sheet arrangements or other contractually narrow or limited purposes.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Foreign Currency Risk

Our revenues and costs denominated in foreign currencies are not completely matched. For example, a portion of our costs and expenses for the three months ended June 30, 2012 was denominated in foreign currencies, including the euro, the Japanese yen and the British pound. Conversely for this period and for the remainder of 2012, and until such time as we begin shipping significant quantities of Model S vehicles to foreign jurisdictions, we expect that a significant majority of our revenue will be denominated in U.S. dollars. Accordingly, if the value of the U.S. dollar depreciates significantly against these currencies, our costs as measured in U.S. dollars as a percent of our revenues will correspondingly increase and our margins will suffer. As a result, our operating results could be adversely affected. In the future, and as we begin selling Model S overseas, as well as delivering powertrain units to Daimler, we may have greater revenues than costs denominated in other currencies, in which case a strengthening of the dollar would tend to reduce our revenues as measured in U.S. dollars. To date, the foreign currency effect on our condensed consolidated financial statements has not been significant.

34

Interest Rate Risk

We had cash and cash equivalents totaling \$210.6 million as of June 30, 2012. A significant portion of our cash and cash equivalents were invested in money market funds. The cash and cash equivalents are held for working capital purposes. We do not enter into investments for trading or speculative purposes. We believe that we do not have any material exposure to changes in the fair value as a result of changes in interest rates due to the short term nature of our cash equivalents. Declines in interest rates, however, would reduce future investment income.

As of June 30, 2012, we have received loans under the DOE Loan Facility for an aggregate of \$431.8 million with interest rates ranging from 0.9% to 3.4%. As we continue to borrow under our DOE Loan Facility, interest rates will be determined by the Secretary of the Treasury as of the date of each loan, based on the Treasury yield curve and the scheduled principal installments for such loan. We also have capital lease obligations of \$7.2 million as of June 30, 2012 which are fixed rate instruments and are not subject to fluctuations in interest rates.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our management, with the participation of our chief executive officer and chief financial officer, evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of June 30, 2012. The term disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act, means controls and other procedures of a company that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by a company in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the SEC s rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by a company in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to the company s management, including its principal executive and principal financial officers, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Based on the evaluation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of June 30, 2012, our chief executive officer and chief financial officer concluded that, as of such date, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective at the reasonable assurance level.

Management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving their objectives and management necessarily applies its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There was no change in our internal control over financial reporting which occurred during the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q which has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

From time to time, we are subject to various legal proceedings that arise from the normal course of business activities. In addition, from time to time, third parties may assert intellectual property infringement claims against us in the form of letters and other forms of communication. If an unfavorable ruling were to occur, there exists the possibility of a material adverse impact on our results of operations, prospects, cash flows, financial position and brand.

ITEM 1A.RISK FACTORS

You should carefully consider the risks described below together with the other information set forth in this report, which could materially affect our business, financial condition and future results. The risks described below are not the only risks facing our company. Risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently deem to be immaterial also may materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and operating results.

Risks Related to Our Business and Industry

We may experience significant delays in the ramping of production of Model S which could harm our business and prospects.

We began manufacturing Model S in June 2012 and as of July 25, 2012, we had manufactured 40 production Model S vehicles. We currently expect to deliver approximately 500 vehicles in the third quarter and 4,500 vehicles in the fourth quarter of 2012. We have no experience to date in high volume manufacturing of our electric vehicles, have not yet reached full production of Model S and are not yet fully utilizing our newly implemented high volume manufacturing processes. Our ability to reach these Model S production levels will depend upon a number of factors, including our suppliers ability to increase their production and to deliver quality parts to us in a timely manner, our ability to use our manufacturing processes as planned for volume production while maintaining our desired quality levels and efficiently making design changes to ensure consistently high quality.

Any delay in ramping production of Model S could materially damage our brand, business, prospects, financial condition and operating results. From time to time we have adjusted our production goals and we may need to do so in the future. These or other similar delays or adjustments by us could damage our business and reputation.

In addition, for Model S we are introducing a number of new manufacturing technologies and techniques, such as aluminum spot welding systems, which have not been widely adopted in the automotive industry, and Model S has a number of new and unique design features, such as a 17 inch display screen, newly designed retractable exterior door handles and a panoramic roof, each of which poses unique manufacturing challenges. Model S production will continue to require significant investments of cash and management resources and we may experience unexpected delays or difficulties that could postpone our ability to achieve full manufacturing capacity for Model S, or cause us to miss planned production targets, any of which could have a material adverse effect on our business, prospects, operating results and financial condition. Even if we are successful in developing our high volume manufacturing capability and processes and reliable sources of component supply, we do not know whether we will be able to sustain such high volume production or to do so in a manner that avoids significant delays and cost overruns, including as a result of factors beyond our control such as problems with suppliers and vendors. Any such issues could cause delays in Model S production.

Our ability to achieve volume production for Model S in a timely manner is subject to certain risks and uncertainties, including:

that our suppliers will be able to deliver components on a timely basis and in the necessary quantities, quality and at acceptable prices to produce Model S in volume and reach our financial targets;

36

that we will be able to complete any necessary adjustments to the vehicle design or manufacturing processes of Model S in a timely manner that meets our planned ramp to our anticipated volume production and allows for high quality vehicles;

that we will be able to hire and train quality production-related employees in a timely manner;

that we will not encounter parts quality issues before, during or after production of Model S;

that we will be able to increase production capability;

that the equipment which we have purchased or which we select will be able to accurately manufacture the vehicle within specified design tolerances and at rates needed to produce vehicles in volume;

that we will be able to comply with environmental, workplace safety and similar regulations to operate our manufacturing facilities and our business on our projected timeline;

that we will be able to attract, recruit, hire and train a sufficient number of skilled employees, including employees on the production line, to operate the Tesla Factory, and do so in a timely fashion;

that we will be able to maintain high quality controls as we transition to a higher level of in-house manufacturing process; and

that the information technology systems that we are currently expanding and improving upon will be successful in helping us to produce Model S in volume.

Finally, detailed long-term testing of systems integration, performance and safety as well as long-term quality, reliability and durability testing are ongoing and any negative results from such testing could cause production delays in Model S, cost increases or lower quality Model S vehicles.

We are dependent on our suppliers, the vast majority of which are single source suppliers, and the inability of these suppliers to continue to deliver, or their refusal to deliver, necessary components of our vehicles in a timely manner at prices, quality levels, and volumes acceptable to us would have a material adverse effect on our business, prospects and operating results.

Model S contains numerous purchased parts which we source globally from over 200 direct suppliers, the vast majority of whom are currently single source suppliers for these components. While we obtain components from multiple sources whenever possible, similar to other automobile manufacturers, the vast majority of the components used in

our vehicles are purchased by us from single sources. To date we have not qualified alternative sources for most of the single sourced components used in our vehicles and we generally do not maintain long-term agreements with our suppliers.

While we believe that we may be able to establish alternate supply relationships and can obtain or engineer replacement components for our single source components, we may be unable to do so in the short term, or at all, at prices or costs that are favorable to us. In particular, while we believe that we will be able to secure alternate sources of supply for most of our single sourced components in a relatively short time frame, qualifying alternate suppliers or developing our own replacements for certain highly customized components of our vehicles may be time consuming, costly and may force us to make additional modifications to a vehicle s design.

This supply chain exposes us to multiple potential sources of delivery failure or component shortages for Model S, as well as for our powertrain component sales activities. For example, earthquakes similar to the one that occurred in Japan in March 2011 could negatively impact our supply chain. We have in the past experienced source disruptions in our supply chains, which have caused delays in our production process and we may experience additional delays in the future with respect to Model S and any other future vehicle we may produce. In addition, because we do not have written agreements in place with all our suppliers, this may create uncertainty regarding certain suppliers obligations to us, including but not limited to, those regarding warranty and product liability. Changes in business conditions, wars, governmental changes and other factors beyond our control or which we do not presently anticipate, could also affect our suppliers ability to deliver components to us on a timely basis. Furthermore, if we experience significant increased demand, or need to replace certain existing suppliers, there can be no assurance that additional supplies of component parts will be available when required on terms that are favorable to us, at all, or that any supplier would allocate sufficient supplies to us in order to meet our requirements or fill our orders in a timely manner. In the past, we have replaced certain suppliers because of their failure to provide components that met our quality control standards. The loss of any single or limited source supplier or the disruption in the supply of components from these suppliers could lead to delays in vehicle deliveries to our customers, which could hurt our relationships with our customers and also materially adversely affect our business, prospects and operating results.

Changes in our supply chain have resulted in the past, and may result in the future, in increased cost and delay. We have also experienced cost increases from certain of our suppliers in order to meet our quality targets and development timelines as well as due to design changes that we made, and we may experience similar cost increases in the future. Furthermore, a failure by our suppliers to provide the components in a timely manner or at the level of quality necessary to manufacture our performance electric vehicles such as Model S could prevent us from fulfilling customer orders in a timely fashion which could result in negative publicity, damage our brand and have a material adverse effect on our business, prospects, financial condition and operating results.

Our long-term success will be dependent upon our ability to design and achieve market acceptance of new vehicle models, specifically Model S and Model X.

While we have historically generated a significant percentage of our revenues from the sale of our Tesla Roadsters and powertrain activities with certain OEMs, our long-term success is dependent on market acceptance of two new vehicles: the Model S sedan and the Model X crossover. While initial reviews of Model S from both the press and customers have been positive, there is no guarantee that Model S will be successfully accepted by the general public in the long-term.

Additionally, there can be no assurance that we will be able to design future electric vehicles that will meet the expectations of our customers or that our future models, including Model X, will become commercially viable. We only recently publicly revealed an early prototype of the Model X. To the extent that we are not able to build Model X to the expectations created by the early prototype and our announced specifications, customers may cancel their reservations, our future sales could be harmed and investors may lose confidence in us. Furthermore, historically, automobile customers have come to expect new and improved vehicle models to be introduced frequently. In order to meet these expectations, we may in the future be required to introduce on a regular basis new vehicle models as well as enhanced versions of existing vehicle models. As technologies change in the future for automobiles in general and performance electric vehicles specifically, we will be expected to upgrade or adapt our vehicles and introduce new models in order to continue to provide vehicles with the latest technology and meet customer expectations. To date, we have limited experience simultaneously

designing, testing, manufacturing, upgrading, adapting and selling our electric vehicles.

38

Our future growth is dependent upon consumers—willingness to adopt electric vehicles.

Our growth is highly dependent upon the adoption by consumers of, and we are subject to an elevated risk of any reduced demand for, alternative fuel vehicles in general and electric vehicles in particular. If the market for electric vehicles does not develop as we expect or develops more slowly than we expect, our business, prospects, financial condition and operating results will be harmed. The market for alternative fuel vehicles is relatively new, rapidly evolving, characterized by rapidly changing technologies, price competition, additional competitors, evolving government regulation and industry standards, frequent new vehicle announcements and changing consumer demands and behaviors.

Other factors that may influence the adoption of alternative fuel vehicles, and specifically electric vehicles, include:

perceptions about electric vehicle quality, safety (in particular with respect to lithium-ion battery packs), design, performance and cost, especially if adverse events or accidents occur that are linked to the quality or safety of electric vehicles, such as those related to the Chevrolet Volt battery pack fires;

perceptions about vehicle safety in general, in particular safety issues that may be attributed to the use of advanced technology, including vehicle electronics and regenerative braking systems;

negative perceptions of electric vehicles, such as that they are more expensive than non-electric vehicles and are only affordable with government subsidies;

the limited range over which electric vehicles may be driven on a single battery charge;

the decline of an electric vehicle s range resulting from deterioration over time in the battery s ability to hold a charge;

evolving calculations for driving ranges achievable by EVs, including those promulgated by the EPA;

our capability to rapidly swap out the Model S battery pack and the development of specialized public facilities to perform such swapping, which do not currently exist;

concerns about electric grid capacity and reliability, which could derail our past and present efforts to promote electric vehicles as a practical

solution to vehicles which require gasoline;

concerns by potential customers that if their battery pack is not charged properly, it may become unusable and may need to be replaced;

the availability of alternative fuel vehicles, including plug-in hybrid electric vehicles;

improvements in the fuel economy of the internal combustion engine;

the availability of service for electric vehicles;

39

consumers desire and ability to purchase a luxury automobile or one that is perceived as exclusive;

the environmental consciousness of consumers;

volatility in the cost of oil and gasoline;

consumers perceptions of the dependency of the United States on oil from unstable or hostile countries;

government regulations and economic incentives promoting fuel efficiency and alternate forms of energy;

access to charging stations, standardization of electric vehicle charging systems and consumers perceptions about convenience and cost to charge an electric vehicle;

the availability of tax and other governmental incentives to purchase and operate electric vehicles or future regulation requiring increased use of nonpolluting vehicles;

perceptions about and the actual cost of alternative fuel; and

macroeconomic factors.

In addition, reports have suggested the potential for extreme temperatures to affect the range or performance of electric vehicles. Based on internal testing, we estimate that our Tesla Roadster, for example, would have a 5-10% reduction in range when operated in -20°C temperatures. To the extent customers have concerns about such reductions or third party reports which suggest reductions in range greater than our estimates gain widespread acceptance, our ability to market and sell our vehicles, particularly in colder climates, may be adversely impacted.

Additionally, we will become subject to regulations that require us to alter the design of our vehicles, which could negatively impact consumer interest in our vehicles. For example, our electric vehicles make less noise than internal combustion vehicles. Due to concerns about overly quiet vehicles and vision impaired pedestrians, in January 2011, Congress passed and the President signed the Pedestrian Safety Enhancement Act of 2010. The new law requires NHTSA to establish minimum sounds for electric vehicles and hybrid electric vehicles when travelling at low speeds. New standards must be proposed for implementation within three years of the Act s enactment date of January 3, 2011. The influence of any of the factors described above may cause current or potential customers not to purchase our electric vehicles, which would materially adversely affect our business, operating results, financial condition and prospects.

If we are unable to adequately control the costs associated with operating our business, including our costs of manufacturing, sales and materials, our business, financial

condition, operating results and prospects will suffer.

If we are unable to adequately control our costs for designing, manufacturing, marketing, selling and distributing and servicing our electric vehicles relative to their selling prices, our operating results, gross margins, business and prospects could be materially and adversely impacted. We have made, and will be required to continue to make, significant investments for the design, manufacture and sales of our electric vehicles. In recent quarters, we have chosen to increase our investments in the Model S program where needed to reach our safety, quality, performance and timeliness goals. In addition, our production

costs for Model S will initially be high due to start-up costs at the Tesla Factory and higher initial prices for component parts during the initial period after the launch of Model S until the ramp to our anticipated volume production. Until we are able to spread our manufacturing costs over higher production of Model S, we anticipate that our cost of revenues from selling these initial vehicles will continue to exceed our revenue from delivering them.

Accurately forecasting our exact manufacturing costs may be difficult until we reach a certain level of volume production. There can be no assurances that our costs of producing and delivering Model S will be less than the revenue we generate from the related sales at the time of Model S launch or that we will achieve our expected gross margin on sales of Model S.

We incur significant costs related to procuring the raw materials required to manufacture our high-performance electric cars, assembling vehicles and compensating our personnel. We may also incur substantial costs in increasing the production capability of Model S and powertrain manufacturing facilities, each of which could potentially face cost overruns. If Model S tooling, production equipment and parts are insufficient for use in Model X, perhaps as a result of a lower level of commonality between the two vehicles than we currently anticipate, our costs related to the production of Model X may exceed expectations.

Additionally, in the future we may be required to incur substantial marketing costs and expenses to promote our vehicles, including through the use of traditional media such as television, radio and print, even though our marketing expenses to date have been relatively limited as we have to date relied upon unconventional marketing efforts. If we are unable to keep our operating costs aligned with the level of revenues we generate, our operating results, business and prospects will be harmed. Furthermore, many of the factors that impact our operating costs are beyond our control. For example, the costs of our raw materials and components, such as lithium-ion battery cells or aluminum used to produce body panels, could increase due to shortages as global demand for these products increases. Indeed, if the popularity of electric vehicles exceeds current expectations without significant expansion in battery cell production capacity and advancements in battery cell technology, shortages could occur which would result in increased materials costs to us.

Our limited operating history makes evaluating our business and future prospects difficult, and may increase the risk of your investment.

You must consider the risks and difficulties we face as an early stage company with a limited operating history. If we do not successfully address these risks, our business, prospects, operating results and financial condition will be materially and adversely harmed. We were formed in July 2003. We began delivering our first performance electric vehicle, the Tesla Roadster, in early 2008, and as of June 30, 2012, we had only sold approximately 2,350 Roadsters to customers. We completed our production run of this vehicle in January 2012. We only began producing our second electric vehicle, Model S, in June 2012, and as of July 25, 2012, we have manufactured 40 production Model S vehicles.

To date, we have derived our revenues principally from sales of the Tesla Roadster and from electric powertrain development services and sales. We intend in the longer term to derive substantial revenues from the sales of Model S, Model X and future electric vehicles. We have only a very limited operating history with respect to Model S and will continue to negotiate production pricing with our sources of component supply and make adjustments to our component procurement process and vehicle design, which limits our ability to precisely forecast the cost of producing Model S at its full annualized production rate. Further, we have only recently produced an early prototype of the Model

X crossover. We plan to start Model X deliveries in 2014. We only completed the purchase of our Tesla Factory in Fremont, California in October 2010 to produce such vehicles, and our vehicle design and our

engineering, manufacturing and component supply plans for Model S will continue to be adjusted through the current planned ramp to our anticipated volume production. In addition, our powertrain component sales, development services revenue and powertrain research and development compensation have been almost entirely generated under arrangements with Daimler AG (Daimler) and Toyota Motor Corporation (Toyota). It is difficult to predict our future revenues and appropriately budget for our expenses, and we have limited insight into trends that may emerge and affect our business. For example, during the three months ended June 30, 2012 and the years ended 2011, 2010 and 2009, we recorded quarterly revenues of as much as \$58.2 million and as little as \$18.6 million and quarterly operating losses of as much as \$106.2 million and as little as \$4.3 million. In the event that actual results differ from our estimates or we adjust our estimates in future periods, our operating results and financial position could be materially affected.

We may fail to meet our publicly announced guidance or other expectations about our business, which would cause our stock price to decline.

We provide guidance regarding our expected financial and business performance including our projections regarding the number of vehicles we hope to sell in both near term and long term future periods and our anticipated future revenues and gross margins. Correctly identifying the key factors affecting business conditions and predicting future events is inherently an uncertain process. Our guidance is based in part on assumptions which include, but are not limited to, assumptions regarding our ability to achieve anticipated volumes and projected average sales prices for Model S, assumptions regarding supplier and commodity-related costs and assumptions regarding planned cost reductions. Such guidance may not always be accurate or may vary from actual results due to our inability to meet our assumptions and the impact on our financial performance that could occur as a result of the various risks and uncertainties to our business as set forth in these risk factors. We offer no assurance that such guidance will ultimately be accurate, and investors should treat any such guidance with appropriate caution. If we fail to meet our guidance or if we find it necessary to revise such guidance, even if such failure or revision is seemingly insignificant, investors and analysts may lose confidence in us and the market value of our common stock could be materially adversely affected.

Our vehicles make use of lithium-ion battery cells, which have been observed to catch fire or vent smoke and flame, and such events have raised concerns, and future events may lead to additional concerns, about the batteries used in automotive applications.

The battery pack in the Tesla Roadster and Model S makes use of lithium-ion cells. We also currently intend to make use of lithium-ion cells in battery packs that we sell to Toyota and Daimler as well as any future vehicles we may produce. On rare occasions, lithium-ion cells can rapidly release the energy they contain by venting smoke and flames in a manner that can ignite nearby materials as well as other lithium-ion cells. Highly publicized incidents of laptop computers and cell phones bursting into flames have focused consumer attention on the safety of these cells. More recently, multiple Chevrolet Volt battery pack fires, followed by a government investigation into the cause of such fires focused considerable public attention, as well as the attention of NHTSA, on the safety of electric vehicles.

These events have raised concerns about the batteries used in automotive applications. To address these questions and concerns, a number of cell manufacturers are pursuing alternative lithium-ion battery cell chemistries to improve safety. We have designed the battery pack to passively contain any single cell s release of energy without spreading to neighboring cells and we are not aware of any such incident in our customers vehicles. However, we have delivered only a limited number of Tesla Roadsters and Model S sedans to customers and have limited field experience with our vehicles, especially Model S. We have also only delivered a limited number of battery packs to Toyota and Daimler. Accordingly, there can be no assurance that a field or testing failure of our

Model S or other battery packs that we produce

will not occur, which could damage the vehicle or lead to personal injury or death and may subject us to lawsuits. We may have to recall our vehicles or participate in a recall of a vehicle that contains our battery packs, and redesign our battery packs, which would be time consuming and expensive. Also, negative public perceptions regarding the suitability of lithium-ion cells for automotive applications or any future incident involving lithium-ion cells such as a vehicle fire, even if such incident does not involve us, could seriously harm our business.

In addition, we store a significant number of lithium-ion cells at our manufacturing facility. Any mishandling of battery cells may cause disruption to the operation of our facilities. While we have implemented safety procedures related to the handling of the cells, there can be no assurance that a safety issue or fire related to the cells would not disrupt our operations. Such damage or injury would likely lead to adverse publicity and potentially a safety recall. Moreover, any failure of a competitor s electric vehicle, especially those that use a high volume of commodity cells similar to the Tesla Roadster or Model S, may cause indirect adverse publicity for us and our electric vehicles. Such adverse publicity would negatively affect our brand and harm our business, prospects, financial condition and operating results.

If our vehicles or vehicles that contain our powertrains fail to perform as expected, or if we suffer product recalls for Model S, our ability to develop, market and sell our electric vehicles could be harmed.

Our vehicles, or vehicles that contain our powertrains such as the Toyota RAV4 EV or future Daimler vehicles, may contain defects in design and manufacture that may cause them not to perform as expected or that may require repair. For example, our vehicles use a substantial amount of software code to operate. Software products are inherently complex and often contain defects and errors when first introduced, and changes to software may have unexpected effects. While we have performed extensive internal testing, we currently have a limited frame of reference by which to evaluate the long-term performance of our battery packs, powertrains and vehicles. Specifically, we have only a limited amount of data by which to evaluate Model S, upon which our business prospects depend, due to the fact that we only recently began production in June 2012 in limited quantities. There can be no assurance that we will be able to detect and fix any defects in the vehicles prior to their sale to consumers. We experienced product recalls in May 2009 and October 2010, both of which were unrelated to our electric powertrain. In May 2009, we initiated a product recall after we determined that a condition caused by insufficient torquing of the rear inner hub flange bolt existed in some of our Tesla Roadsters, as a result of a missed process during the manufacture of the Tesla Roadster glider, which is the partially assembled Tesla Roadster that does not contain our electric powertrain. In October 2010, we initiated a product recall after the 12 volt, low voltage auxiliary cable in a single vehicle chafed against the edge of a carbon fiber panel in the vehicle causing a short, smoke and possible fire behind the right front headlamp of the vehicle. Although the cost of this recall was not material, we may experience additional recalls in the future, which could adversely affect our brand in our target markets and could adversely affect our business, prospects and results of operations.

Our electric vehicles, including the Tesla Roadster and Model S, may not perform consistent with customers expectations or consistent with other vehicles currently available. For example, our electric vehicles may not have the durability or longevity of current vehicles, and may not be as easy to repair as other vehicles currently on the market. Additionally, while we have designed Model S with the intent to achieve an overall five star safety rating, NHTSA testing of these vehicles has not yet occurred and may not produce the anticipated results. Any product defects or any other failure of our performance electric vehicles to perform as expected could harm our reputation and result in adverse publicity, lost revenue, delivery delays, product recalls, product liability claims, harm to our brand and reputation, and significant warranty and other expenses,

and could have a material adverse impact on our business, financial condition, operating results and prospects.

We have a history of losses and we expect significant increases in our costs and expenses to result in continuing losses at least until the time when we achieve volume deliveries of Model S.

We incurred a net loss of \$105.6 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012. In addition, we have accumulated net losses of \$864.9 million from our inception through June 30, 2012. We have had net losses in each quarter since our inception. We believe that we will continue to incur operating and net losses each quarter as we ramp production of Model S until at least the time we begin high volume deliveries of Model S. Even if we are able to successfully produce Model S in volume, there can be no assurance that it will be commercially successful. If we are to ever achieve profitability it will be dependent upon the successful production and successful commercial acceptance of automobiles such as Model S, which may not occur.

We expect to incur losses in 2012 at least until the time when significant deliveries of Model S begin as we:

incur high initial manufacturing costs and high fixed overhead costs which are spread over only a small number of vehicles;

design and develop our future electric vehicles, including Model X;

incur ongoing Model S development costs, homologation costs for Model S for Europe and Asia and development costs related to right-hand drive Model S vehicles;

design, develop and manufacture components of our electric powertrain;

increase production capability, including manpower, at the Tesla Factory to produce Model S in volume;

open and expand new Tesla stores and service centers;

increase our sales and marketing activities in advance of volume deliveries of Model S; and

increase our general and administrative functions to support our growing operations.

Because we will incur the costs and expenses from the above activities before we receive any incremental revenues with respect thereto, our losses in future periods will be significantly greater than the losses we would incur if we developed our business more slowly. In addition, we may find that these efforts are more expensive than we currently anticipate or that these efforts may not result in increases in our revenues, which would further increase our losses.

In addition, as of June 30, 2012, we had recorded a full valuation allowance on our United States net deferred tax assets as at this point we believe it is more likely than not that we will not achieve profitability and accordingly be able to use our deferred tax assets in the foreseeable future. Federal and state laws impose substantial restrictions on the utilization of net operating loss and tax credit carry-forwards in the event of an ownership change, as defined in Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code. Although we do not believe that either our initial public offering (IPO) or subsequent follow-on offering or private placements constituted an ownership change resulting in limitations on our ability to use our net operating loss and tax credit carry-forwards, we have not yet performed a study to determine whether such limitations exist. If an ownership change is deemed to have occurred as a result of our IPO, subsequent follow-on offering, or private placements, utilization of these assets could be significantly reduced.

44

Increases in costs, disruption of supply or shortage of raw materials, in particular lithium-ion cells, could harm our business.

We may experience increases in the cost or a sustained interruption in the supply or shortage of raw materials. Any such increase or supply interruption could materially negatively impact our business, prospects, financial condition and operating results. We use various raw materials in our business including aluminum, steel, nickel and copper. The prices for these raw materials fluctuate depending on market conditions and global demand for these materials and could adversely affect our business and operating results. For instance, we are exposed to multiple risks relating to price fluctuations for lithium-ion cells. These risks include:

the inability or unwillingness of current battery manufacturers to build or operate battery cell manufacturing plants to supply the numbers of lithium-ion cells required to support the growth of the electric or plug-in hybrid vehicle industry as demand for such cells increases;

disruption in the supply of cells due to quality issues or recalls by battery cell manufacturers;

an increase in the cost of raw materials, such as nickel used in lithium-ion cells, or aluminum used in the body of Model S; and

fluctuations in the value of the Japanese yen against the U.S. dollar as our battery cell purchases are currently denominated in yen.

Our business is dependent on the continued supply of battery cells for our vehicles battery packs as well as for the battery packs we produce for other automobile manufacturers. While we believe several sources of the battery cells are available for such battery packs, we have fully qualified only one supplier for the cells used in such battery packs. Any disruption in the supply of battery cells from such vendor could temporarily disrupt production of Model S and of the battery packs we produce for other automobile manufacturers until such time as a different supplier is fully qualified. Furthermore, fluctuations or shortages in petroleum and other economic conditions may cause us to experience significant increases in freight charges and raw material costs. Substantial increases in the prices for our raw materials or prices charged to us, such as those charged by our battery cell manufacturers, would increase our operating costs, and could reduce our margins if we cannot recoup the increased costs through increased electric vehicle prices. There can be no assurance that we will be able to recoup increasing costs of raw materials by increasing vehicle prices. We have also recently announced pricing in the U.S. for Model S. Any attempts to increase the announced prices in response to increased raw material costs could be viewed negatively by our customers, result in cancellations of Model S reservations and could materially adversely affect our brand, image, business, prospects and operating results.

The new labeling requirements for electric vehicles established by the United States Environmental Protection Agency require us to affix a label to the vehicle s window regarding vehicle range capabilities which differ from our previously announced range capabilities could negatively impact our sales or harm our business.

In July 2011, the EPA amended the requirements for the fuel economy stickers that appear on new alternative fueled cars offered for sale starting with model year 2013 (i.e., the Monroney

45

label). Prior to these amended requirements, we advertised that we planned to offer Model S with a variety of battery pack options, which we estimated would offer a range on a single charge of 160 miles, 230 miles, and 300 miles, respectively, while traveling at a steady speed of 55 miles per hour. The EPA s amended fuel economy sticker requirements, however, will require us to label Model S utilizing different energy efficiency testing methodologies based on five different test cycles (i.e., the 5-cycle test). Based on these energy efficiency testing methodologies, the range of the Model S vehicle equipped with the largest 85kw battery pack has an EPA certified range of 265 miles on a single charge. Regardless of the range testing method, actual driving ranges will vary for many reasons, including driving conditions, how customers drive and maintain their vehicles and external factors such as wind and elevation change.

Although the new labeling requirements apply to all model year 2013 and later vehicles, we have begun to utilize the new labels that will bear lower range values starting in model year 2012, as requested by EPA. The corresponding reduction in the labeled range of our vehicles could negatively impact our vehicle sales and harm our business. Also, we have not yet tested our multiple battery variants using the EPA s 5-cycle test and do not yet know what the range of these vehicles will be under the 5-cycle test. Any required labeling that differs from our previously announced ranges cold negatively impact customer perceptions and negatively impact our vehicle sales.

Our success could be harmed by negative publicity regarding our company or our products, particularly Model S.

From time to time, our vehicles are evaluated by third parties. For example, the show Top Gear which airs on the British Broadcasting Corporation did a review of the Tesla Roadster in 2008. Top Gear is one of the most watched automotive shows in the world with an estimated 350 million viewers worldwide and is broadcast in over 100 countries. Since originally airing in the fall of 2008, the episode about the Tesla Roadster has been rebroadcast repeatedly around the world. The review of the Tesla Roadster included a number of significant falsehoods regarding the car s performance, range and safety. Such criticisms create a negative public perception about the Tesla Roadster, and to the extent that these comments are believed by the public, may cause current or potential customers not to purchase our electric vehicles such as Model S or Model X, which would materially adversely affect our business, operating results, financial condition and prospects.

The range of our electric vehicles on a single charge declines over time which may negatively influence potential customers decisions whether to purchase our vehicles.

The range of our electric vehicles on a single charge declines principally as a function of usage, time and charging patterns as well as other factors. For example, a customer s use of their Tesla vehicle as well as the frequency with which they charge the battery pack of their Tesla vehicle can result in additional deterioration of the battery pack s ability to hold a charge. For example, we currently expect that our battery pack for the Tesla Roadster will retain approximately 60-65% of its ability to hold its initial charge after approximately 100,000 miles or seven years, which will result in a decrease to the vehicle s initial range. Such battery pack deterioration and the related decrease in range and power may negatively influence potential customer decisions whether to purchase our vehicles, which may harm our ability to market and sell our vehicles.

We are dependent upon our loan facility from the United States Department of Energy.

We have relied on our DOE Loan Facility to develop and produce Model S and develop the Tesla Factory. Our DOE Loan Facility provided for a \$465.0 million loan facility under the DOE s ATVM Program to help finance the development of Model S, including the increase in production capacity and operation of our manufacturing facility, and to

finance the build out and operation of our electric

46

powertrain manufacturing facility. We could not, however, access all of these funds at once, but only through periodic draws through January 2013 as eligible costs were incurred. Through June 30, 2012, we have \$33.3 million remaining to draw under the DOE Loan Facility. Our ability to draw down a portion of these remaining funds under the DOE Loan Facility is conditioned upon the completion of Model S development. While we believe we will be able to demonstrate such completion in 2012, the final determination of this condition rests with the DOE. All advanced funds are repayable on a quarterly basis beginning on December 15, 2012 through September 15, 2022. The DOE Loan Facility requires us to comply with certain operating and financial covenants and places additional restrictions on our ability to operate our business. If we do not comply with such requirements of the DOE Loan Facility, such failure, if not waived by the DOE, could cause a default. In the event of a default, we would not be eligible to draw any remaining funds under the DOE Loan Facility and existing outstanding loan amounts would become due immediately. Any failure to obtain the remaining DOE funds or an acceleration of the repayment of any outstanding loan amounts could materially and adversely affect our business and prospects.

Our DOE Loan Facility documents contain customary covenants that include, among others, a requirement that the project be conducted in accordance with the business plan for such project, compliance with all requirements of the ATVM Program, and limitations on our and our subsidiaries ability to incur indebtedness, incur liens, make investments or loans, enter into mergers or acquisitions, dispose of assets, pay dividends or make distributions on capital stock, prepay indebtedness, pay management, advisory or similar fees to affiliates, enter into certain affiliate transactions, enter into new lines of business and enter into certain restrictive agreements. These restrictions may limit our ability to operate our business and may cause us to take actions or prevent us from taking actions we believe are necessary from a competitive standpoint or that we otherwise believe are necessary to grow our business. In addition, our DOE Loan Facility also contains a variety of customary financial covenants, including covenants related to current ratio, leverage ratio, interest coverage ratio and fixed charge coverage ratio. We modified certain of these covenants in February 2012. If, in the future, we are not able to comply with our covenants, we may need to seek waivers, and there can be no assurance the DOE will be willing to grant waivers at that time. Should this occur, and should we fail to comply with our covenants, the DOE could declare an event of default and accelerate our repayment obligations.

In addition, our DOE Loan Facility requires Mr. Musk and certain of his affiliates, until one year after we complete the project relating to the Model S Facility, to own at least 65% of the Tesla capital stock held by them as of the date of the DOE Loan Facility, and a failure to comply would be an event of default that could result in an acceleration of all obligations under the DOE Loan Facility documents and the exercise of other remedies by the DOE.

We are currently expanding and improving our information technology systems. If these implementations are not successful, our business and operations could be disrupted and our operating results could be harmed.

We are currently expanding and improving our information technology systems, including implementing new internally developed systems, to assist us in the management of our business. In particular, our volume production of Model S will necessitate the development, maintenance and improvement of our information technology systems which include product data management, procurement, inventory management, production planning and execution, sales and logistics, dealer management, financial and regulatory compliance systems. These systems support our operations and are designed to allow us to ramp to our anticipated volume production of Model S. The implementation, maintenance and improvement of these systems require significant management time, support and cost. Moreover, there are inherent risks associated with

developing, improving and expanding our core systems as well as implementing new systems, including the disruption of our data management, procurement processes, manufacturing execution, finance, supply chain and sales processes that may affect our ability

to manage our data and inventory, procure parts or supplies or manufacture, sell and deliver vehicles to our Tesla stores and customers. We cannot be sure that these expanded systems or their required functionality will be fully or effectively implemented on a timely basis, if at all, or maintained. If we do not successfully implement, improve or maintain these systems, our operations may be disrupted and our operating results could be harmed. In addition, these systems or their functionality may not operate as we expect them to, and we may be required to expend significant resources to correct problems or find alternative sources for performing these functions.

Our distribution model is different from the predominant current distribution model for automobile manufacturers, which makes evaluating our business, operating results and future prospects difficult.

Our distribution model is not common in the automobile industry today, particularly in the United States. We plan to continue to sell our performance electric vehicles in company-owned Tesla stores and over the internet. This model of vehicle distribution is relatively new and unproven, especially in the United States, and subjects us to substantial risk as it requires, in the aggregate, a significant expenditure and provides for slower expansion of our distribution and sales systems than may be possible by utilizing a more traditional dealer franchise system. For example, we will not be able to utilize long established sales channels developed through a franchise system to increase our sales volume, which may harm our business, prospects, financial condition and operating results. Moreover, we will be competing with companies with well-established distribution channels.

We have opened Tesla stores in the United States, Europe and Japan, many of which have been open for only a short period of time. We have only limited experience distributing and selling our performance vehicles through our Tesla stores. Our success will depend in large part on our ability to effectively develop our own sales channels and marketing strategies. Implementing our business model is subject to numerous significant challenges, including obtaining permits and approvals from local and state authorities, and we may not be successful in addressing these challenges. In April 2011, we began the roll out of our new interactive store strategy. The concept and layout of these new stores, which are located in high profile retail centers, is different than what has previously been used in automotive sales. We do not know whether our new store strategy will be successful, if consumers will be willing to purchase vehicles in this manner or if these locations will be deemed to comply with applicable zoning restrictions as well as approval and acceptance from the specific high profile retail centers in which we seek to locate our stores. As a result, we may incur additional costs in order to improve or change our retail strategy.

Other aspects of our distribution model also differ from those used by traditional automobile manufacturers. For example, all of our sales of Model S to date have been made to individuals on our Model S reservations list who have to wait for their Model S vehicles to be built to take delivery. As of June 30, 2012, there were approximately 11,500 reservation holders and we expect that it will take more than nine months to completely work through this backlog. Moreover, we do not anticipate that we will ever carry a significant amount of Model S inventory at our stores and even after we work through the current reservations list, we expect that there will be sufficient ongoing reservations such that customers will usually need to wait a few months from the time they place an order until the time they receive their vehicle. This type of custom manufacturing is unusual in the premium sedan market in the United States and it is unproven whether the average customer will be willing to wait this amount of time for such a vehicle. If customers do not embrace this ordering and retail experience, our business will be harmed.

You must consider our business and prospects in light of the risks, uncertainties and difficulties we encounter as we implement our business model. For instance, we will need to persuade customers,

48

suppliers and regulators of the validity and sustainability of our business model. We cannot be certain that we will be able to do so, or to successfully address the risks, uncertainties and difficulties that our business strategy faces. Any failure to successfully address any of the risks, uncertainties and difficulties related to our business model would have a material adverse effect on our business and prospects.

We may face regulatory limitations on our ability to sell vehicles directly or over the internet which could materially and adversely affect our ability to sell our electric vehicles.

We sell our vehicles from our Tesla stores as well as over the internet. We may not be able to sell our vehicles through this sales model in each state in the United States as many states have laws that may be interpreted to prohibit internet sales by manufacturers to residents of the state or to impose other limitations on this sales model, including laws that prohibit manufacturers from selling vehicles directly to consumers without the use of an independent dealership or without a physical presence in the state. For example, the state of Kansas provides that a manufacturer cannot deliver a vehicle to a Kansas resident except through a dealer licensed to do business in the state of Kansas, which may be interpreted to require us to open a store in the state of Kansas in order to sell vehicles to Kansas residents. In some states where we have opened a gallery, which is a location where potential customers can view our vehicles but is not a full retail location, it is possible that a state regulator could take the position that activities at our gallery constitute an unlicensed motor vehicle dealership and thereby violates applicable manufacturer-dealer laws. For example, the state of Colorado required us to obtain dealer and manufacturer licenses in the state in order to operate our gallery in Colorado. In addition, some states have requirements that service facilities be available with respect to vehicles sold in the state, which may be interpreted to also require that service facilities be available with respect to vehicles sold over the internet to residents of the state thereby limiting our ability to sell vehicles in states where we do not maintain service facilities.

The foregoing examples of state laws governing the sale of motor vehicles are just some of the regulations we will face as we sell our vehicles. In many states, the application of state motor vehicle laws to our specific sales model is largely untested under state motor vehicle industry laws, particularly with respect to sales over the internet, and would be determined by a fact specific analysis of numerous factors, including whether we have a physical presence or employees in the applicable state, whether we advertise or conduct other activities in the applicable state, how the sale transaction is structured, the volume of sales into the state, and whether the state in question prohibits manufacturers from acting as dealers. As a result of the fact specific and untested nature of these issues, and the fact that applying these laws intended for the traditional automobile distribution model to our sales model allows for some interpretation and discretion by the regulators, the manner in which the applicable authorities will apply their state laws to our distribution model is difficult to predict. Such laws, as well as other laws governing the motor vehicle industry, may subject us to potential inquiries and investigations from state motor vehicle regulators who may question whether our sales model complies with applicable state motor vehicle industry laws and who may require us to change our sales model or may prohibit our ability to sell our vehicles to residents in such states. In addition, decisions by regulators permitting us to sell vehicles may be subject to challenges as to whether such decisions comply with applicable state motor vehicle industry laws. Such challenges, if successful, could prohibit our ability to sell our vehicles to residents in such states.

We are also registered as both a motor vehicle manufacturer and dealer in Canada, Australia, and Japan, and have obtained licenses to sell vehicles in other places such as Hong Kong and Singapore. Furthermore, while we have performed an analysis of the principal laws in the European Union relating to our distribution model and believe we comply with such laws, we have not performed a complete analysis in all foreign

jurisdictions in which we may sell vehicles. Accordingly, there may be laws in jurisdictions we have not yet entered or laws we are unaware of in jurisdictions we have entered that may restrict our vehicle reservation practices or other business practices. Even for those jurisdictions we have analyzed, the laws in this area can be complex, difficult to interpret and may change over time.

49

Regulatory limitations on our ability to sell vehicles could materially and adversely affect our ability to sell our electric vehicles.

Reservations for Model S and Model X are fully refundable to customers, and significant cancellations could harm our financial condition, business, prospects and operating results.

As of June 30, 2012, we had \$133.4 million in reservation payments, primarily for Model S and Model X, all of which are subject to cancellation by the customer up until such time that the customer enters into a purchase agreement. We have experienced ongoing cancellations for our vehicles and have had to refund the related reservation payments, and cancellations may continue.

Given the long lead times that we have historically experienced between customer reservation and delivery on the Tesla Roadster and that we expect to experience on Model S and Model X, there is a heightened risk that customers that have made reservations may not ultimately take delivery on vehicles due to potential changes in customer preferences, competitive developments and other factors. For example, when we delayed the introduction of the original Tesla Roadster in the fall of 2007, we experienced a significant number of customers that cancelled their reservations and requested the return of their reservation payment. If we encounter delays in the planned ramp of Model S production or the introduction of Model X, we believe that a significant number of our customers could similarly cancel their reservations and demand refunds of their reservation payments. As a result, no assurance can be made that reservations will not be cancelled and will ultimately result in the final purchase, delivery, and sale of the vehicle. Given the high level of reservations, significant cancellations could harm our financial condition, business, prospects and operating results.

If we are unable to design, develop, market and sell new electric vehicles and services that address additional market opportunities, our business, prospects and operating results will suffer.

We may not be able to successfully develop new electric vehicles and services, address new market segments or develop a significantly broader customer base. To date, we have focused our business on the sale of high-performance electric vehicles and have targeted relatively affluent consumers. We will need to address additional markets and expand our customer demographic in order to further grow our business. In particular, we intend Model S to appeal to the customers of premium vehicles, which is a much larger and different demographic from that of the Tesla Roadster. Successfully offering a vehicle in this vehicle class requires delivering a vehicle with a higher standard of fit and finish in the interior and exterior than currently exists in the Tesla Roadster, at a price that is competitive with other premium vehicles. Therefore, there can be no assurance that we will be able to deliver a vehicle that is ultimately competitive in the premium vehicle market. In 2012, we publicly revealed an early prototype of the Model X crossover as the first vehicle we intend to develop by leveraging the Model S platform. We have also previously announced our intent to develop a third generation electric vehicle which we expect to produce at the Tesla Factory after the introduction of Model S and Model X. However, we have not yet finalized the design, engineering or component sourcing plans for these vehicles and there are no assurances that we will be able to bring these vehicles to market at the price points and in the volumes as we currently intend, if at all. Our failure to address additional market opportunities would harm our business, prospects, financial condition and operating results.

If we are unable to effectively leverage the benefits of using an adaptable common platform architecture in the design and manufacture of future vehicles such as Model X, our business prospects, operating results and financial condition would be adversely affected.

We have designed Model S with an adaptable platform architecture and common electric powertrain so that we can use the platform of Model S to create future electric vehicles, including, as an example, our Model X crossover vehicle. However, we have no experience with using common platforms in the design and manufacture of our vehicles. The Model X design is not yet finalized and we may be unable to use the adaptable Model S platform to the extent we currently intend. Additionally, we intend to use some of our Model S manufacturing equipment and parts tooling for the production of Model X. If such tooling, production equipment and parts are insufficient for use in Model X, perhaps as a result of a lower level of commonality between the two vehicles than we anticipate, our costs related to the production of Model X may exceed expectations. There are no assurances that we will be able to use the Model S platform to bring future vehicle models, including the Model X crossover, to market faster or more inexpensively by leveraging use of this common platform or that there will be sufficient customer demand for any vehicles built on the Model S platform.

We may experience significant delays in the design, manufacture and launch of Model X which could harm our business and prospects.

We plan to start Model X deliveries in 2014. Any significant delay in the design, manufacture and launch of Model X could materially damage our brand, business, prospects, financial condition and operating results. Automobile manufacturers often experience delays in the design, manufacture and commercial release of new vehicle models. We experienced significant delays in launching the Tesla Roadster, which resulted in additional costs and adverse publicity for our business. We may experience similar delays, cost overruns and adverse publicity in launching Model X, any of which could be significant. We are in the initial design and development stages of Model X. Furthermore, we have not yet begun to evaluate, qualify or select suppliers for the planned production of Model X and cannot begin to do so until the design of Model X is finalized. We may not be able to engage suppliers for the components in a timely manner, at an acceptable price or in the necessary quantities. We will also need to do extensive testing to ensure that Model X is in compliance with applicable NHTSA safety regulations and obtain EPA and CARB certification to emission regulations prior to beginning volume production and delivery of the vehicles. In addition, we have limited resources and, to the extent that such engineering and manufacturing resources are devoted to the design and production of Model S or are otherwise engaged in development services activities, we may have difficulty designing and delivering Model X in a timely manner. If we are not able to manufacture and deliver Model X in a timely manner and consistent with our production timeline, budget and cost projections, our business, prospects, operating results and financial condition will be negatively impacted and our ability to grow our business will be harmed.

The automotive market is highly competitive, and we may not be successful in competing in this industry. We currently face competition from new and established competitors and expect to face competition from others in the future.

The worldwide automotive market, particularly for alternative fuel vehicles, is highly competitive today and we expect it will become even more so in the future. Other automobile manufacturers entered the electric vehicle market at the end of 2010 and we expect additional competitors to enter this market. With respect to Model S, we face competition from existing and future automobile manufacturers in the extremely competitive premium sedan market, including Audi, BMW, Lexus and Mercedes.

Many established and new automobile manufacturers have entered or have announced plans to enter the alternative fuel vehicle market. In Japan, Mitsubishi has been selling its electric iMiEV since April 2010. In December 2010, Nissan introduced in the United States the Nissan Leaf, a fully electric vehicle and Ford introduced the pure electric Ford Focus in 2012 and plans to introduce a plug-in hybrid Ford CMax in 2012. In addition,

several manufacturers, including General Motors, Toyota, Ford, and Honda, are each selling hybrid vehicles, and certain of these manufacturers have announced plug-in

versions of their hybrid vehicles. For example, in December 2010, General Motors introduced the Chevrolet Volt, which is a plug-in hybrid vehicle that operates purely on electric power for a limited number of miles, at which time an internal combustion engine engages to recharge the battery pack.

Moreover, it has been reported that many of the large OEMs such as BMW, Daimler, Lexus, Audi, Renault and Volkswagen are also developing electric vehicles. Several new start-ups have also entered or announced plans to enter the market for performance electric vehicles. Finally, electric vehicles have already been brought to market in China and other foreign countries and we expect a number of those manufacturers to enter the United States market as well.

Most of our current and potential competitors have significantly greater financial, technical, manufacturing, marketing and other resources than we do and may be able to devote greater resources to the design, development, manufacturing, distribution, promotion, sale and support of their products. Virtually all of our competitors have more extensive customer bases and broader customer and industry relationships than we do. In addition, almost all of these companies have longer operating histories and greater name recognition than we do. Our competitors may be in a stronger position to respond quickly to new technologies and may be able to design, develop, market and sell their products more effectively.

Furthermore, certain large automobile manufacturers offer financing and leasing options on their vehicles and also have the ability to market vehicles at a substantial discount, provided that the vehicles are financed through their affiliated financing company. While we have entered into a preliminary agreement with Athlon Car Lease for the leasing of Model S in selected European and Nordic countries, we do not currently offer any lease financing on Model S, which may put us at a competitive disadvantage compared to large automobile manufacturers.

We have not in the past, and do not currently, offer customary discounts on our vehicles. The lack of lease financing and the absence of customary vehicle discounts could put us at a competitive disadvantage.

We expect competition in our industry to intensify in the future in light of increased demand for alternative fuel vehicles, continuing globalization and consolidation in the worldwide automotive industry. Factors affecting competition include product quality and features, innovation and development time, pricing, reliability, safety, fuel economy, customer service and financing terms. Increased competition may lead to lower vehicle unit sales and increased inventory, which may result in a further downward price pressure and adversely affect our business, financial condition, operating results and prospects. Our ability to successfully compete in our industry will be fundamental to our future success in existing and new markets and our market share. There can be no assurances that we will be able to compete successfully in our markets. If our competitors introduce new cars or services that compete with or surpass the quality, price or performance of our cars or services, we may be unable to satisfy existing customers or attract new customers at the prices and levels that would allow us to generate attractive rates of return on our investment. Increased competition could result in price reductions and revenue shortfalls, loss of customers and loss of market share, which could harm our business, prospects, financial condition and operating results.

Demand in the automobile industry is highly volatile, which may lead to lower vehicle unit sales and adversely affect our operating results.

Volatility of demand in the automobile industry may materially and adversely affect our business, prospects, operating results and financial condition. The markets in which we currently compete and plan to compete in the future have been subject to considerable

volatility in demand in recent periods. For example, according to automotive industry sources, sales of passenger vehicles in North America during the fourth quarter of 2008 were over 30% lower than those during the same period in the prior year.

Demand for automobile sales depends to a large extent on general, economic, political and social conditions in a given market and the introduction of new vehicles and technologies. As a new automobile manufacturer and low volume producer, we have less financial resources than more established automobile manufacturers to withstand changes in the market and disruptions in demand. As our business grows, economic conditions and trends in other countries and regions where we sell our electric vehicles will impact our business, prospects and operating results as well. Demand for our electric vehicles may also be affected by factors directly impacting automobile price or the cost of purchasing and operating automobiles such as sales and financing incentives, prices of raw materials and parts and components, cost of fuel and governmental regulations, including tariffs, import regulation and other taxes. Volatility in demand may lead to lower vehicle unit sales and increased inventory, which may result in further downward price pressure and adversely affect our business, prospects, financial condition and operating results. These effects may have a more pronounced impact on our business given our relatively smaller scale and financial resources as compared to many incumbent automobile manufacturers.

Difficult economic conditions may negatively affect consumer purchases of luxury items, such as our performance electric vehicles.

Over the last few years, the deterioration in the global financial markets and continued challenging condition of the macroeconomic environment has negatively impacted consumer spending and we believe has adversely affected the sales of our Tesla Roadster. The automobile industry in particular was severely impacted by the poor economic conditions and several vehicle manufacturing companies, including General Motors and Chrysler, were forced to file for bankruptcy. Sales of new automobiles generally have dropped during this recessionary period. Sales of high-end and luxury consumer products, such as our performance electric vehicles, depend in part on discretionary consumer spending and are even more exposed to adverse changes in general economic conditions. Difficult economic conditions could therefore temporarily reduce the market for vehicles in our price range. Discretionary consumer spending also is affected by other factors, including changes in tax rates and tax credits, interest rates and the availability and terms of consumer credit.

If the current difficult economic conditions continue or worsen, we may experience a decline in the demand for our Tesla Roadster or reservations for Model S or future vehicles such as Model X, any of which could materially harm our business, prospects, financial condition and operating results. Accordingly, any events that have a negative effect on the United States economy or on foreign economies or that negatively affect consumer confidence in the economy, including disruptions in credit and stock markets, and actual or perceived economic slowdowns, may harm our business, prospects, financial condition and operating results.

Our financial results may vary significantly from period-to-period due to the seasonality of our business and fluctuations in our operating costs.

Our operating results may vary significantly from period-to-period due to many factors, including seasonal factors that may have an effect on the demand for our electric vehicles. Demand for new cars in the automobile industry in general, typically decline over the winter season, while sales are generally higher as compared to the winter season during the spring and summer months. Sales of the Tesla Roadster have fluctuated on a seasonal basis with increased sales during the spring and summer months in our second and third fiscal quarters relative to our fourth and first fiscal quarters. We note that, in general, automotive sales tend to decline over the winter season and we anticipate that our sales of Model S, Model X and other models we introduce may have similar seasonality. However, our limited operating history makes it difficult for us to judge the exact nature or extent of the seasonality of our business. Also, any unusually severe

weather conditions in some markets may impact demand for our vehicles. Our operating results could also suffer if we do not achieve revenue consistent with our expectations for this seasonal demand because many of our expenses are based on anticipated levels of annual revenue.

In addition, we expect our period-to-period operating results to vary based on our operating costs which we anticipate will increase significantly in future periods as we, among other things, design, develop and manufacture Model X and electric powertrain components, increase the production capacity at our manufacturing facilities to produce Model S and electric powertrain components, open new Tesla service centers with maintenance and repair capabilities, incur costs for warranty repairs or product recalls, if any, increase our sales and marketing activities, and increase our general and administrative functions to support our growing operations. As a result of these factors, we believe that quarter-to-quarter comparisons of our operating results, especially in the short-term, are not necessarily meaningful and that these comparisons cannot be relied upon as indicators of future performance. Moreover, our operating results may not meet expectations of equity research analysts or investors. If any of this occurs, the trading price of our common stock could fall substantially, either suddenly or over time.

If we are unable to establish and maintain confidence in our long-term business prospects among consumers, analysts and within our industry, then our financial condition, operating results, business prospects and stock price may suffer materially.

Our vehicles are highly technical products that require maintenance and support. If we were to cease or cut back operations, even years from now, buyers of our vehicles from years earlier might have much more difficulty in maintaining their vehicles and obtaining satisfactory support. As a result, consumers may be less likely to purchase our vehicles now if they are not convinced that our business will succeed or that our operations will continue for many years. Similarly, suppliers and other third parties will be less likely to invest time and resources in developing business relationships with us if they are not convinced that our business will succeed. For example, during the economic downturn of 2008, we had difficulty raising the necessary funding for our operations, and, as a result, in the fourth quarter of 2008 we had to lay off approximately 60 employees and curtail our expansion plans. In addition, during this period a number of customers canceled their previously placed reservations. If we are required to take similar actions in the future, such actions may result in negative perceptions regarding our long-term business prospects and may lead to cancellations of Model S or Model X reservations.

Accordingly, in order to build and maintain our business, we must maintain confidence among customers, suppliers, analysts and other parties in our liquidity and long-term business prospects. In contrast to some more established automakers, we believe that, in our case, the task of maintaining such confidence may be particularly complicated by factors such as the following:

our limited operating history;

our limited revenues and lack of profitability to date;

unfamiliarity with or uncertainty about Model S and Model X;

uncertainty about the long-term marketplace acceptance of alternative fuel vehicles generally, or electric vehicles specifically;

the prospect that we will need ongoing infusions of external capital to fund our planned operations;

the size of our expansion plans in comparison to our existing capital base and scope and history of operations; and

the prospect or actual emergence of direct, sustained competitive pressure from more established automakers, which may be more likely if our initial efforts are perceived to be commercially successful.

54

Many of these factors are largely outside our control, and any negative perceptions about our long-term business prospects, even if exaggerated or unfounded, would likely harm our business and make it more difficult to raise additional funds when needed.

We may need to raise additional funds and these funds may not be available to us when we need them. If we cannot raise additional funds when we need them, our operations and prospects could be negatively affected.

The design, manufacture, sale and servicing of automobiles is a capital intensive business. As of June 30, 2012, we had \$265.8 million in principal sources of liquidity available from our cash and cash equivalents, short-term marketable securities, cash held in our dedicated DOE accounts and the remaining amounts available under the DOE Loan Facility. This primarily includes our cash and cash equivalents in the amount of \$210.6 million, cash of \$15.3 million deposited in dedicated DOE accounts in accordance with the requirements of our DOE Loan Facility and which will be used for repayment of all principal and interest that will come due on December 15, 2012, and \$33.3 million available under the DOE Loan Facility. We expect that these principal sources of liquidity together with our current projections of cash flow from operating activities will provide us adequate liquidity for at least the next 12 months based on our current plans. However, if there are delays in the anticipated ramping of planned production of Model S or launch of Model X, if we are unable to draw down the anticipated remaining funds under the DOE Loan Facility for any reason, including our failure to meet operating or financial covenants, or if the costs in building, Model S, Model X and increasing the production capacity of our manufacturing facilities, exceed our expectations or if we incur any significant unplanned expenses or embark on new significant strategic investments, we may need to raise additional funds through the issuance of equity, equity-related or debt securities or through obtaining credit from government or financial institutions. This capital will be necessary to fund our ongoing operations, continue research and development projects, including those for our planned Model X crossover, establish sales and service centers, improve infrastructure such as expanded battery assembly facilities, and to make the investments in tooling and manufacturing capital required to introduce Model X.

We have relied on our DOE Loan Facility to develop and produce Model S and develop the Tesla Factory. We do not currently have any similar type of loan facility in place for our Model X or any future vehicles. The development of future vehicles, investments in new technologies, increased in-sourcing of manufacturing capabilities, investments to expand our powertrain activities or investments to further expand our sales and service network, may require us to raise additional funds through the issuance of equity, equity-related or debt securities or through obtaining credit. In addition, we have only recently begun to accept customer reservation payments on Model X, can provide no assurance that customers will be willing to make such payments and accordingly may be reliant on other financing sources to fund the development of this vehicle. We cannot be certain that additional funds will be available to us on favorable terms when required, or at all. If we cannot raise additional funds when we need them, our financial condition, results of operations, business and prospects could be materially adversely affected. Additionally, under our DOE Loan Facility, we face restrictions on our ability to incur additional indebtedness, and in the future may need to obtain a waiver from the DOE in order to do so. We may not be able to obtain such waiver from the DOE which may harm our business. Future issuance of equity or equity-related securities will dilute the ownership interest of existing stockholders and our issuance of debt securities could increase the risk or perceived risk of our company.

We have very limited experience servicing our vehicles and we are using a different service model from the one typically used in the industry. If we are unable to address the service requirements of our existing and future customers our business will be materially and adversely affected.

If we are unable to successfully address the service requirements of our existing and future customers our business and prospects will be materially and adversely affected. In addition, we anticipate the level and quality of the service we provide our Tesla Roadster customers will have a direct impact on the success of Model S and our future vehicles. If we are unable to satisfactorily service our Tesla Roadster customers, our ability to generate customer loyalty, grow our business and sell Model S sedans could be impaired.

We have very limited experience servicing our vehicles, particularly our Model S vehicle. Servicing electric vehicles is different than servicing vehicles with internal combustion engines and requires specialized skills, including high voltage training and servicing techniques.

We plan to service our performance electric vehicles through our company-owned Tesla service centers and through our mobile service technicians known as the Tesla Rangers. Many of our Tesla stores are equipped to actively service our performance electric vehicles. However, our new design stores do not have servicing capabilities, certain stores have been open for less than one year, and to date we have only limited experience servicing our performance vehicles through our Tesla stores. Going forward, we intend to build separate sales and service locations in several markets, but to date have limited experience with separate sales and service locations within a geographic market. We will need to open additional Tesla stores with service capabilities and standalone service locations, as well as hire and train significant numbers of new employees to staff these centers and act as Tesla Rangers, in order to successfully maintain our fleet of delivered performance electric vehicles. We only implemented our Tesla Rangers program in October 2009 and have limited experience in deploying them to service our customers vehicles. There can be no assurance that these service arrangements or our limited experience servicing our vehicles will adequately address the service requirements of our customers to their satisfaction, or that we will have sufficient resources to meet these service requirement in a timely manner as the volume of vehicles we are able to deliver annually increases.

We do not expect to be able to open Tesla stores in all the geographic areas in which our existing and potential customers may reside. In order to address the service needs of customers that are not in geographical proximity to our service centers, we plan to either transport those vehicles to the nearest Tesla store or service center for servicing or deploy our mobile Tesla Rangers to service the vehicles at the customer s location. These special arrangements may be expensive and we may not be able to recoup the costs of providing these services to our customers. In addition, a number of potential customers may choose not to purchase our vehicles because of the lack of a more widespread service network. If we do not adequately address our customers service needs, our brand and reputation will be adversely affected, which in turn, could have a material and adverse impact on our business, financial condition, operating results and prospects.

Traditional automobile manufacturers in the United States do not provide maintenance and repair services directly. Consumers must rather service their vehicles through franchised dealerships or through third party maintenance service providers. We do not have any such arrangements with third party service providers and it is unclear when or even whether such third party service providers will be able to acquire the expertise to service our vehicles. At this point, we anticipate that we will be providing substantially all of the service for our vehicles for the foreseeable future. As our vehicles are placed in more locations, we may encounter negative reactions from our consumers who are frustrated that they cannot use local service stations to the same extent as they have with their conventional automobiles and this frustration may result in negative publicity and reduced sales, thereby harming our business and prospects.

In addition, the motor vehicle industry laws in many states require that service facilities be available with respect to vehicles physically sold from locations in the state. Whether

these laws would also require that service facilities be available with respect to vehicles sold over the internet to consumers in a state in which we have no physical presence is uncertain. While we believe our Tesla Ranger

56

program and our practice of shipping customers—vehicles to our nearest Tesla store for service would satisfy regulators in these circumstances, without seeking formal regulatory guidance, there are no assurances that regulators will not attempt to require that we provide physical service facilities in their states. Further, certain state franchise laws which prohibit manufacturers from being licensed as a dealer or acting in the capacity of dealer also restrict manufacturers from providing vehicle service. If issues arise in connection with these laws, certain aspects of Tesla—s service program would need to be restructured to comply with state law, which may harm our business.

We may not succeed in maintaining and strengthening the Tesla brand, which would materially and adversely affect customer acceptance of our vehicles and components and our business, revenues and prospects.

Our business and prospects are heavily dependent on our ability to develop, maintain and strengthen the Tesla brand. Any failure to develop, maintain and strengthen our brand may materially and adversely affect our ability to sell the Tesla Roadster, Model S, Model X and future planned electric vehicles, and sell our electric powertrain components. If we do not continue to establish, maintain and strengthen our brand, we may lose the opportunity to build a critical mass of customers. Promoting and positioning our brand will likely depend significantly on our ability to provide high quality electric cars and maintenance and repair services, and we have very limited experience in these areas. Any problems associated with planned ramp of production of Model S, the launch of the Toyota RAV4 EV which uses a Tesla powertrain, future Daimler vehicles that use Tesla powertrains or the Model X may hurt the Tesla brand.

In addition, we expect that our ability to develop, maintain and strengthen the Tesla brand will also depend heavily on the success of our marketing efforts. To date, we have limited experience with marketing activities as we have relied primarily on the internet, word of mouth and attendance at industry trade shows to promote our brand. To further promote our brand, we may be required to change our marketing practices, which could result in substantially increased advertising expenses, including the need to use traditional media such as television, radio and print. The automobile industry is intensely competitive, and we may not be successful in building, maintaining and strengthening our brand. Many of our current and potential competitors, particularly automobile manufacturers headquartered in Detroit, Japan and the European Union, have greater name recognition, broader customer relationships and substantially greater marketing resources than we do. If we do not develop and maintain a strong brand, our business, prospects, financial condition and operating results will be materially and adversely impacted.

We may be unable to sell additional regulatory credits, such as zero emission vehicle (ZEV) and greenhouse gas emission (GHG) credits, to other automobile manufacturers, which would negatively impact our revenues and margins.

Our revenues to date have included amounts we receive from selling certain regulatory credits such as ZEV and GHG credits to other automobile manufacturers. While we continue to sign agreements with automakers to sell ZEV, GHG and other regulatory credits, we may not be able to enter into new agreements to sell any or all our available regulatory credits related to Model S, Model X or our other future vehicles, which would negatively impact our revenues and margins.

If our vehicle owners customize our vehicles or change the charging infrastructure with aftermarket products, the vehicle may not operate properly, which could harm our business.

Automobile enthusiasts may seek to hack our vehicles to modify its performance which could compromise vehicle safety systems. Also, we are aware of customers who have customized their vehicles

with after-market parts that may compromise driver safety. For example, some customers have installed seats that elevate the driver such that airbag and other safety systems could be compromised. Other customers have changed wheels and tires, while others have installed large speaker systems that may impact the electrical systems of the vehicle. We have not tested, nor do we endorse, such changes or products. In addition, customer use of improper external cabling or unsafe charging outlets can expose our customers to injury from high voltage electricity. Such unauthorized modifications could reduce the safety of our vehicles and any injuries resulting from such modifications could result in adverse publicity which would negatively affect our brand and harm our business, prospects, financial condition and operating results.

Regulators could review our practice of taking reservation payments and, if the practice is deemed to violate applicable law, we could be required to pay penalties, refund the reservation payments stop accepting additional reservation payments, and restructure certain aspects of our reservation program.

For customers interested in making a reservation for Model S or Model X, we require an initial fully refundable reservation payment of at least \$5,000. As of June 30, 2012, we had collected reservation payments, primarily for Model S and Model X, in an aggregate amount of \$133.4 million. We generally use these funds for working capital and other general corporate purposes. California laws, and potentially the laws of other states, restrict the ability of licensed auto dealers to advertise or take deposits for vehicles before the vehicles are available to the dealer from the manufacturer. In November 2007, we became aware that the New Motor Vehicle Board of the California Department of Transportation has considered whether our reservation policies and advertising comply with the California Vehicle Code. To date, we have not received any communications on this topic from the New Motor Vehicle Board or the Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV), which has the power to enforce these laws. There can be no assurance that the DMV will not take the position that our vehicle reservation or advertising practices violate the law. In addition, California is currently the only jurisdiction in which we have licenses to both manufacture and sell our vehicles so any limitation imposed on our operations in California may be particularly damaging to our business. The DMV also has the power to suspend licenses to manufacture and sell vehicles in California, following a hearing on the merits, which it has typically exercised in cases of significant or repeat violations and/or a refusal to comply with DMV directions.

Certain states may have specific laws which apply to reservation payments accepted by dealers, or manufacturers selling directly to consumers, or both. For example, the state of Washington requires that reservation payments or other payments received from residents in the state of Washington must be placed in a segregated account until delivery of the vehicle, which account must be unencumbered by any liens from creditors of the dealer and may not be used by the dealer. Consequently, we established a segregated account for reservation payments in the state of Washington in January 2010. There can be no assurance that other state or foreign jurisdictions will not require similar segregation of reservation payments received from customers. Our inability to access these funds for working capital purposes could harm our liquidity. Furthermore, while we have performed an analysis of the principal laws in the European Union relating to our distribution model and believe we comply with such laws, we have not performed a complete analysis in all foreign jurisdictions in which we may sell vehicles. Accordingly, there may be laws in jurisdictions we have not yet entered or laws we are unaware of in jurisdictions we have entered that may restrict our vehicle reservation practices or other business practices. Reductions in our cash as a result of redemptions or an inability to take reservation payments could make it necessary to raise additional funds and also make it more difficult for us to obtain additional financing. The prospect of reductions in cash, even if unrealized, may also make it more difficult to obtain financing.

58

Our plan to expand our network of Tesla stores will require significant cash investments and management resources and may not meet our expectations with respect to additional sales of our electric vehicles. In addition, we may not be able to open stores in certain states.

Our plan to expand our network of Tesla stores will require significant cash investments and management resources and may not meet our expectations with respect to additional sales of our electric vehicles. This planned global expansion of Tesla stores may not have the desired effect of increasing sales and expanding our brand presence to the degree we are anticipating. Furthermore there can be no assurances that we will be able to construct additional storefronts on the budget or timeline we have established. We will also need to ensure we are in compliance with any regulatory requirements applicable to the sale of our vehicles in those jurisdictions, which could take considerable time and expense. If we experience any delays in expanding our network of Tesla stores, this could lead to a decrease in sales of our vehicles and could negatively impact our business, prospects, financial condition and operating results. We have opened Tesla stores in major metropolitan areas throughout North America, Europe and Asia. We plan to open additional stores, with a goal of establishing approximately 50 stores globally within the next several years in connection with the Model S rollout. However, we may not be able to expand our network at such rate and our planned expansion of our network of Tesla stores will require significant cash investment and management resources, as well as efficiency in the execution of establishing these storefronts and in hiring and training the necessary employees to effectively sell our vehicles.

Furthermore, certain states and foreign jurisdictions may have permit requirements, franchise dealer laws or similar laws or regulations that may preclude or restrict our ability to open stores or sell vehicles out of such states and jurisdictions. Any such prohibition or restriction may lead to decreased sales in such jurisdictions, which could harm our business, prospects and operating results.

We face risks associated with our international operations, including unfavorable regulatory, political, tax and labor conditions, which could harm our business.

We face risks associated with our international operations, including possible unfavorable regulatory, political, tax and labor conditions, which could harm our business. We currently have international operations and subsidiaries in various countries and jurisdictions that are subject to the legal, political, regulatory and social requirements and economic conditions in these jurisdictions. Additionally, as part of our growth strategy, we intend to expand our sales, maintenance and repair services internationally. However, we have limited experience to date selling and servicing our vehicles internationally and such expansion would require us to make significant expenditures, including the hiring of local employees and establishing facilities, in advance of generating any revenue. We are subject to a number of risks associated with international business activities that may increase our costs, impact our ability to sell our electric vehicles and require significant management attention. These risks include:

conforming our vehicles to various international regulatory and safety requirements where our vehicles are sold, or homologation;

difficulty in staffing and managing foreign operations;

difficulties attracting customers in new jurisdictions;

foreign government taxes, regulations and permit requirements, including foreign taxes that we may not be able to offset against taxes imposed upon us in the United States, and foreign tax and other laws limiting our ability to repatriate funds to the United States;

59

fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates and interest rates, including risks related to any interest rate swap or other hedging activities we undertake;

our ability to enforce our contractual and intellectual property rights, especially in those foreign countries that do not respect and protect intellectual property rights to the same extent as do the United States, Japan and European countries, which increases the risk of unauthorized, and uncompensated, use of our technology;

United States and foreign government trade restrictions, tariffs and price or exchange controls;

foreign labor laws, regulations and restrictions;

preferences of foreign nations for domestically produced vehicles;

changes in diplomatic and trade relationships;

political instability, natural disasters, war or events of terrorism; and

the strength of international economies.

If we fail to successfully address these risks, our business, prospects, operating results and financial condition could be materially harmed.

Foreign currency movements relative to the U.S. dollar could harm our financial results.

Our revenues and costs denominated in foreign currencies are not completely matched. For example, a portion of our costs and expenses for the three months ended June 30, 2012 was denominated in foreign currencies, including the euro, the Japanese yen and the British pound Conversely for this period and for the remainder of 2012 and until such time as we begin shipping significant quantities of Model S vehicles to foreign jurisdictions, we expect that a significant majority of our revenue will be denominated in U.S. dollars. Accordingly, if the value of the U.S. dollar depreciates significantly against these currencies, our costs as measured in U.S. dollars as a percent of our revenues will correspondingly increase and our margins will suffer. As a result, our operating results could be adversely affected. In the future, and as we begin selling Model S overseas, as well as delivering powertrain units to Daimler, we may have greater revenues than costs denominated in other currencies, in which case a strengthening of the dollar would tend to reduce our revenues as measured in U.S. dollars.

Developments in alternative technologies or improvements in the internal combustion engine may materially adversely affect the demand for our electric vehicles.

Significant developments in alternative technologies, such as advanced diesel, ethanol, fuel cells or compressed natural gas, or improvements in the fuel economy of the internal

combustion engine, may materially and adversely affect our business and prospects in ways we do not currently anticipate. Any failure by us to develop new or enhanced technologies or processes, or to react to changes in existing technologies, could materially delay our development and introduction of new and enhanced electric vehicles, which could result in the loss of competitiveness of our vehicles, decreased revenue and a loss of market share to competitors.

The unavailability, reduction or elimination of government and economic incentives could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, operating results and prospects.

60

Any reduction, elimination or discriminatory application of government subsidies and economic incentives because of policy changes, the reduced need for such subsidies and incentives due to the perceived success of the electric vehicle, fiscal tightening or other reasons may result in the diminished competitiveness of the alternative fuel vehicle industry generally or our electric vehicles in particular. This could materially and adversely affect the growth of the alternative fuel automobile markets and our business, prospects, financial condition and operating results.

Our growth depends in part on the availability and amounts of government subsidies and economic incentives for alternative fuel vehicles generally and performance electric vehicles specifically. For example, we currently benefit from exemptions from California state sales and use taxes for purchases of up to \$612 million of manufacturing equipment from our arrangements with the California Alternative Energy and Advanced Transportation Financing Authority. To the extent all of this equipment is purchased and would otherwise be subject to California state sales and use tax, we believe this incentive would result in tax savings by us of up to approximately \$55 million beginning in December 2009 through January 2015. This exemption is only available for equipment that would otherwise be subject to California sales and use taxes and that would be used only for specified purposes. If we fail to meet these conditions, we would be unable to take full advantage of this tax incentive and our financial position could be harmed.

In addition, certain regulations and laws that encourage sales of electric cars through tax credits or other subsidies could be reduced, eliminated or applied in a way that creates an adverse effect against our vehicles, either currently or at any time in the future. For example, while the federal and state governments have from time to time enacted tax credits and other incentives for the purchase of alternative fuel cars, , funding for these programs is limited and there is no guarantee that our vehicles will be eligible for tax credits or other incentives provided to alternative fuel vehicles in the future. This would put our vehicles at a competitive disadvantage. As an example at the state level, California renewed the Clean Vehicle Rebate Program for 2012 a rebate program for the purchase of qualified alternative technology vehicles. California reduced the rebate amount from \$5,000 per vehicle to \$2,500 per vehicle due to fewer funds available and increased demand, but such funds may run out. . Subsequent purchasers could face a delay in receiving rebates since they would have to wait until the next fiscal year s funding became available or be unable to obtain a rebate at all. As an additional example, there is considerable discussion at the federal level over tax reform. Discussions have included reducing or even eliminating the current \$7,500 tax credit available to purchasers of qualified alternative fuel vehicles, including Model S. Also, government disincentives have been enacted in Europe for gas-powered vehicles, which discourage the use of such vehicles and allow us to set a higher sales price for the Tesla Roadster in Europe. In the event that such disincentives are reduced or eliminated, sales of electric vehicles, including our Tesla Roadster and Model S, could be adversely affected. Furthermore, low volume manufacturers are exempt from certain regulatory requirements in the United States and the European Union. This provides us with an advantage over high volume manufacturers that must comply with such regulations. Once we reach a certain threshold number of sales in each of the United States and the European Union, we will no longer be able to take advantage of such exemptions in the respective jurisdictions, which could lead us to incur additional design and manufacturing expense. We do not anticipate that we will be able to take advantage of these exemptions with respect to Model S which we plan to produce at significantly higher volumes than the Tesla Roadster.

If we are unable to grow our sales of electric vehicle components to original equipment manufacturers our financial results may suffer.

We may have trouble attracting and retaining powertrain customers which could adversely affect our business prospects and results. Daimler and its affiliates and Toyota

and its affiliates are currently the

61

only customers of our electric powertrain sales and development services. In the first half of 2012, we received two purchase orders from Daimler to begin work on the development of a full electric powertrain for a Daimler Mercedes-Benz vehicle and in May 2012, we executed an agreement with Daimler which covers the significant terms for this development program. Pursuant to the agreement, we provide development services and deliver prototype samples. In July 2011, we entered into a supply and services agreement with Toyota for the supply of a validated electric powertrain system, including a battery pack, charging system, inverter, motor, gearbox and associated software which will be integrated into an electric vehicle version of the Toyota RAV4. Pursuant to this agreement, we expect that Toyota will pay us approximately \$100 million between 2012 and 2014 based on our delivery of electric powertrain systems. The payments to us under the Daimler and Toyota agreements are not guaranteed and will only occur upon the delivery of powertrain systems that meet Daimler s and Toyota s specifications. Neither Daimler nor Toyota has any obligation to buy any systems from us, and if Daimler does not order the anticipated systems from us, we will not receive the revenues we anticipate from these agreements. These agreements further require that we meet customary obligations such as timely deliveries, warranty and product quality obligations. Our failure to meet these obligations could have a materially adverse impact on our operating results. Additionally, although we have discussed new business opportunities with each of Daimler and Toyota, there is no guarantee that we will be able to reach agreement with Daimler, Toyota or their respective affiliates regarding such opportunities at all or on terms and conditions that are favorable to us. Even if we can attract and retain additional powertrain customers other than Daimler and Toyota, there is no assurance that we can adequately pursue such opportunities simultaneously with the execution of our plans for our vehicles.

Our relationship with Daimler is subject to various risks which could adversely affect our business and future prospects.

Our relationship with Daimler poses various risks to us including:

potential loss of access to parts that Daimler is providing for Model S; and

potential loss of business and adverse publicity to our brand image if there are defects or other problems discovered with our electric powertrain components that Daimler has incorporated into their vehicles.

The occurrence of any of the foregoing could adversely affect our business, prospects, financial condition and operating results.

In addition, our exclusivity and intellectual property agreement with Daimler North America Corporation (DNAC), an affiliate of Daimler provides that, if a Daimler competitor offers to enter into a competitive strategic transaction with us, we are required to give DNAC notice of such offer and DNAC will have a specified period of time in which to notify us whether it wishes to enter into such transaction with us on the same terms as offered by the third party. Because we will be able to enter into such a transaction with a third party only if DNAC declines to do so, this may decrease the likelihood that we will receive offers from third parties to enter into strategic arrangements in the future.

We may not be able to identify adequate strategic relationship opportunities, or form strategic relationships, in the future.

Strategic business relationships will be an important factor in the growth and success of our business. For example, our strategic relationship with Daimler has provided us with various benefits and we have entered into an agreement for the supply of a validated electric powertrain for the Toyota RAV4 with Toyota. However, there are no assurances that we will be able to identify or secure suitable business relationship opportunities in the future or our competitors may capitalize on such opportunities before we do. Our strategic relationship with Daimler involved Blackstar, an affiliate of Daimler, making a

significant equity investment in us as well as a representative from Daimler, Dr. Herbert Kohler, joining our Board. In addition, Toyota made a significant equity investment in us concurrent with the closing of our IPO in July 2010. We may not be able to offer similar benefits to other companies that we would like to establish and maintain strategic relationships with which could impair our ability to establish such relationships. Moreover, identifying such opportunities could demand substantial management time and resources, and negotiating and financing relationships involves significant costs and uncertainties. If we are unable to successfully source and execute on strategic relationship opportunities in the future, our overall growth could be impaired, and our business, prospects and operating results could be materially adversely affected.

The operation of our vehicles is different from internal combustion engine vehicles and our customers may experience difficulty operating them properly, including difficulty transitioning between different methods of braking.

We have designed our vehicles to minimize inconvenience and inadvertent driver damage to the powertrain. In certain instances, these protections may cause the vehicle to behave in ways that are unfamiliar to drivers of internal combustion vehicles. For example, we employ regenerative braking to recharge the battery pack in most modes of vehicle operation. Our customers may become accustomed to using this regenerative braking instead of the wheel brakes to slow the vehicle. However, when the vehicle is at maximum charge, the regenerative braking is not needed and is not employed. Accordingly, our customers may have difficulty shifting between different methods of braking. In addition, we use safety mechanisms to limit motor torque when the powertrain system reaches elevated temperatures. In such instances, the vehicle s acceleration and speed will decrease. Finally, if the driver permits the battery pack to substantially deplete its charge, the vehicle will progressively limit motor torque and speed to preserve the charge that remains. The vehicle will lose speed and ultimately coast to a stop. Despite several warnings about an imminent loss of charge, the ultimate loss of speed may be unexpected. There can be no assurance that our customers will operate the vehicles properly, especially in these situations. Any accidents resulting from such failure to operate our vehicles properly could harm our brand and reputation, result in adverse publicity and product liability claims, and have a material adverse affect on our business, prospects, financial condition and operating results. In addition, if consumers dislike these features, they may choose not to buy additional cars from us which could also harm our business and prospects.

If we are unable to keep up with advances in electric vehicle technology, we may suffer a decline in our competitive position.

We may be unable to keep up with changes in electric vehicle technology and, as a result, may suffer a decline in our competitive position. Any failure to keep up with advances in electric vehicle technology would result in a decline in our competitive position which would materially and adversely affect our business, prospects, operating results and financial condition. Our research and development efforts may not be sufficient to adapt to changes in electric vehicle technology. As technologies change, we plan to upgrade or adapt our vehicles and introduce new models in order to continue to provide vehicles with the latest technology, in particular battery cell technology. However, our vehicles may not compete effectively with alternative vehicles if we are not able to source and integrate the latest technology into our vehicles. For example, we do not manufacture battery cells, which makes us dependent upon other suppliers of battery cell technology for our battery packs.

If we fail to manage future growth effectively as we rapidly grow our company in conjunction with ramping our planned production of Model S, we may not be able to produce, market, sell and service our vehicles successfully.

Any failure to manage our growth effectively could materially and adversely affect our business, prospects, operating results and financial condition. We continue to expand our operations significantly, and additional significant expansion will be required, especially in connection with the increase in production capacity of our Model S manufacturing facility and the planned ramp of our production of Model S, our electric powertrain manufacturing facility, the expansion of our network of Tesla stores and service centers, our mobile Tesla Rangers program and requirements of being a public company. Our future operating results depend to a large extent on our ability to manage this expansion and growth successfully. Risks that we face in undertaking this expansion include:

finding and training new personnel;

forecasting production and revenue;

controlling expenses and investments in anticipation of expanded operations;

establishing or expanding design, manufacturing, sales and service facilities;

implementing and enhancing manufacturing and administrative infrastructure, systems and processes;

addressing new markets; and

expanding international operations.

We intend to continue to hire a significant number of additional personnel, including manufacturing personnel, design personnel, engineers and service technicians for our performance electric vehicles. Because our high-performance vehicles are based on a different technology platform than traditional internal combustion engines, individuals with sufficient training in performance electric vehicles may not be available to hire, and we will need to expend significant time and expense training the employees we do hire. Competition for individuals with experience designing, manufacturing and servicing electric vehicles is intense, and we may not be able to attract, assimilate, train or retain additional highly qualified personnel in the future. The failure to attract, integrate, train, motivate and retain these additional employees could seriously harm our business and prospects.

If we are unable to attract and/or retain key employees and hire qualified management, technical vehicle engineering, and manufacturing personnel, our ability to compete could be harmed and our stock price may decline.

The loss of the services of any of our key employees could disrupt our operations, delay the development and introduction of our vehicles and services, and negatively impact our business, prospects and operating results as well as cause our stock price to decline. In particular, we are highly dependent on the services of Elon Musk, our Chief Executive

Officer, Product Architect and Chairman of our Board of Directors, and JB Straubel, our Chief Technical Officer. None of our key employees is bound by an employment agreement for any specific term. There can be no assurance that we will be able to successfully attract and retain senior leadership necessary to grow our business. Our future success depends upon our ability to attract and retain our executive officers and other key technology, sales, marketing, engineering, manufacturing and support personnel and any failure to do so could adversely impact our business, prospects, financial condition and operating results. We have in the past and may in the future experience difficulty in retaining members of our senior management team as well as technical, vehicle engineering and manufacturing personnel due to various factors, such as a very competitive labor market for talented individuals with automotive experience. In addition, we do not have key person life insurance policies covering any of our officers or other key employees.

64

There is increasing competition for talented individuals with the specialized knowledge of electric vehicles and this competition affects both our ability to retain key employees and hire new ones. In particular, as we ramp our planned production of Model S, we will have to significantly increase our hiring of manufacturing personnel and others related to automotive manufacturing, and finding manufacturing personnel and others in sufficient numbers, at the required times to meet our planned ramp of anticipated production of Model S and with the needed skill sets, may be difficult.

We are highly dependent on the services of Elon Musk, our Chief Executive Officer.

We are highly dependent on the services of Elon Musk, our Chief Executive Officer, Product Architect, Chairman of our Board of Directors and largest stockholder. Although Mr. Musk spends significant time with Tesla and is highly active in our management, he does not devote his full time and attention to Tesla. Mr. Musk also currently serves as Chief Executive Officer and Chief Technical Officer of Space Exploration Technologies, a developer and manufacturer of space launch vehicles, and Chairman of SolarCity, a solar equipment installation company.

In addition, our financing agreements with Blackstar contain certain covenants relating to Mr. Musk s employment as our Chief Executive Officer. These covenants provide that if Mr. Musk is not serving as our Chief Executive Officer at any time until the later of December 31, 2012 or the launch of Model S, Mr. Musk shall promptly propose a successor Chief Executive Officer and Dr. Kohler, or his successor, must consent to any appointment of such person by our Board of Directors. If at any time during the period from January 1, 2011 through December 31, 2012, Mr. Musk is not serving as either our Chief Executive Officer or Chairman of our Board of Directors for reasons other than his death or disability, and Dr. Kohler, or his successor, has not consented to the appointment of a new Chief Executive Officer or if during such period Mr. Musk renders services to, or invests in, any other automotive OEM other than us, Daimler has the right to terminate any or all of its strategic collaboration agreements with us. If this were to occur, our business would be harmed.

Furthermore, our DOE Loan Facility provides that we will be in default under the facility in the event Mr. Musk and certain of his affiliates fail to own, at any time prior to one year after we complete the project relating to Model S, at least 65% of the capital stock held by Mr. Musk and such affiliates as of the date of the DOE Loan Facility. Mr. Musk s shares of our capital stock are held directly by his personal trust.

Many members of our management team are new to the company or to the automobile industry, and execution of our business plan and development strategy could be seriously harmed if integration of our management team into our company is not successful.

Our business could be seriously harmed if integration of our management team into our company is not successful. We expect that it will take time for our new management team to integrate into our company and it is too early to predict whether this integration will be successful. We have recently experienced significant changes in our management team and expect to continue to experience significant growth in our management team. Our senior management team has only limited experience working together as a group. Specifically, three of the six members of our senior management team have joined us within the last few years. This lack of long-term experience working together may impact the team s ability to collectively quickly and efficiently respond to problems and effectively manage our business. Although we are taking steps to add senior management personnel that have significant automotive experience, many of the members of our current senior management team have limited or no prior experience in the automobile or electric vehicle industries.

We are subject to various environmental and safety laws and regulations that could impose substantial costs upon us and negatively impact our ability to operate our manufacturing facilities.

As an automobile manufacturer, we and our operations, both in the United States and abroad, are subject to national, state, provincial and/or local environmental, health and safety laws and regulations, including laws relating to the use, handling, storage, disposal and human exposure to hazardous materials. Environmental and health and safety laws and regulations can be complex, and we expect that our business and operations will be affected by future amendments to such laws or other new environmental and health and safety laws which may require us to change our operations, potentially resulting in a material adverse effect on our business. These laws can give rise to liability for administrative oversight costs, cleanup costs, property damage, bodily injury and fines and penalties. Capital and operating expenses needed to comply with environmental, health and safety laws and regulations can be significant, and violations may result in substantial fines and penalties, third party damages, suspension of production or a cessation of our operations.

Contamination at properties formerly owned or operated by us, as well as at properties we will own and operate, and properties to which hazardous substances were sent by us, may result in liability for us under environmental laws and regulations, including, but not limited to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA), which can impose liability for the full amount of remediation-related costs without regard to fault, for the investigation and cleanup of contaminated soil and ground water, for building contamination and impacts to human health and for damages to natural resources. The costs of complying with environmental laws and regulations and any claims concerning noncompliance, or liability with respect to contamination in the future, could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or operating results. We may face unexpected delays in obtaining the necessary permits and approvals required by environmental laws in connection with our manufacturing facilities that could require significant time and financial resources and negatively impact our ability to operate these facilities, which would adversely impact our business prospects and operating results.

New United Motor Manufacturing, Inc. (NUMMI) has previously identified environmental conditions at the Tesla Factory which affect soil and groundwater, and has undertaken efforts to address these conditions. Although we have been advised by NUMMI that it has documented and managed the environmental issues at the Fremont site, we cannot currently determine with certainty the total potential costs to remediate pre-existing contamination, and we may be exposed to material liability as a result of the existence of any environmental contamination at the Fremont site.

As the owner of the Fremont site, we may be responsible under federal and state laws and regulations for the entire investigation and remediation of any environmental contamination at the Fremont site, whether it occurred before or after the date we purchase the property. We have reached an agreement with NUMMI under which, over a ten year period, we will pay the first \$15.0 million of any costs of any governmentally-required remediation activities for contamination that existed prior to the closing of the purchase for any known or unknown environmental conditions (Remediation Activities), and NUMMI has agreed to pay the next \$15.0 million for such Remediation Activities. Our agreement provides, in part, that NUMMI will pay up to the first \$15.0 million on our behalf if such expenses are incurred in the first four years of our agreement, subject to our reimbursement of such costs on the fourth anniversary date of the closing.

On the ten-year anniversary of the closing or whenever \$30.0 million has been spent on the Remediation Activities, whichever comes first, NUMMI s liability to us with respect

to Remediation Activities ceases, and we are responsible for any and all environmental conditions at the Fremont site. At that point in time, we have agreed to indemnify, defend, and hold harmless NUMMI from all liability, including attorney fees, or any costs or penalties it may incur arising out of or in connection with any

66

claim relating to environmental conditions and we have released NUMMI for any known or unknown claims except for NUMMI s obligations for representations and warranties under the agreement. As of June 30, 2012, we have accrued \$5.3 million related to these environmental liabilities.

There are no assurances that NUMMI will perform its obligations under our agreement and NUMMI s failure to perform would require us to undertake these obligations at a potentially significant cost and risk to our ability to increase the production capacity of, and operate, our Tesla Factory. Any Remediation Activities or other environmental conditions at the Fremont site could harm our operations and the future use and value of the Fremont site and could delay our production plans for Model S.

Our business may be adversely affected by union activities.

Although none of our employees are currently represented by a labor union, it is common throughout the automobile industry generally for many employees at automobile companies to belong to a union, which can result in higher employee costs and increased risk of work stoppages. Our employees may join or seek recognition to form a labor union, or we may be required to become a union signatory. Our automobile production facility in Fremont, California was purchased from NUMMI and we are producing Model S at such facility. Prior employees of NUMMI were union members and our future work force at this facility may be inclined to vote in favor of forming a labor union. We are also directly or indirectly dependent upon companies with unionized work forces, such as parts suppliers and trucking and freight companies, and work stoppages or strikes organized by such unions could have a material adverse impact on our business, financial condition or operating results. If a work stoppage occurs, it could delay the manufacture and sale of our performance electric vehicles and have a material adverse effect on our business, prospects, operating results or financial condition. The mere fact that our labor force could be unionized may harm our reputation in the eyes of some investors and thereby negatively affect our stock price. Additionally, the unionization of our labor force could increase our employee costs and decrease our profitability, both of which could adversely affect our business, prospects, financial condition and results of operations.

We are subject to substantial regulation, which is evolving, and unfavorable changes or failure by us to comply with these regulations could substantially harm our business and operating results.

Our performance electric vehicles, the sale of motor vehicles in general and the electronic components used in our vehicles are subject to substantial regulation under international, federal, state, and local laws. We have incurred, and expect to incur in the future, significant costs in complying with these regulations. For example, the Clean Air Act requires that we obtain a Certificate of Conformity issued by the EPA and a California Executive Order issued by the CARB with respect to emissions for our vehicles. We received a Certificate of Conformity for sales of our Tesla Roadsters in 2008 and 2010, but did not receive a Certificate of Conformity for sales of the Tesla Roadster in 2009 until December 21, 2009. In January 2010, we and the EPA entered into an Administrative Settlement Agreement and Audit Policy Determination in which we agreed to pay a civil administrative penalty in the sum of \$275,000 for failing to obtain a Certificate of Conformity for sales of our vehicles in 2009 prior to December 21, 2009.

Regulations related to the electric vehicle industry and alternative energy are currently evolving and we face risks associated with changes to these regulations such as:

the imposition of a carbon tax or the introduction of a cap-and-trade system on electric utilities could increase the cost of electricity;

changes to the regulations governing the assembly and transportation of lithium-ion battery packs, such as the UN Recommendations of the Safe Transport of Dangerous Goods Model Regulations or regulations adopted by the U.S. Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA) could increase the cost of lithium-ion battery packs;

67

the amendment or rescission of the federal law and regulations mandating increased fuel economy in the United States, referred to as the Corporate Average Fuel Economy (CAFE) standards could reduce new business opportunities for our powertrain sales and development activities;

amendment or rescission of federal greenhouse gas tailpipe emission regulations administered by EPA under the authority of the Clean Air Act could reduce new business opportunities for our powertrain sales and development activities;

increased sensitivity by regulators to the needs of established automobile manufacturers with large employment bases, high fixed costs and business models based on the internal combustion engine could lead them to pass regulations that could reduce the compliance costs of such established manufacturers or mitigate the effects of government efforts to promote alternative fuel vehicles; and

changes to regulations governing the export of our products could increase our costs incurred to deliver products outside the United States or force us to charge a higher price for our vehicles in such jurisdictions.

In addition, as the automotive industry moves towards greater use of electronics for vehicle systems, NHTSA and other regulatory bodies may in the future increase regulation for these electronic systems as concerns about distracted driving increase. Such concerns could affect electronic systems in Model S, including those used with the 17 inch display screen in Model S which could reduce the appeal of Model S or require adjustments to the display screen s functionality.

To the extent the laws change, some or all of our vehicles may not comply with applicable international, federal, state or local laws, which would have an adverse effect on our business. Compliance with changing regulations could be burdensome, time consuming, and expensive. To the extent compliance with new regulations is cost prohibitive, our business, prospects, financial condition and operating results will be adversely affected.

We retain certain personal information about our customers and may be subject to various privacy and consumer protection laws.

We use our vehicles electronic systems to log information about each vehicle s use in order to aid us in providing customer service, including vehicle diagnostics, repair and maintenance, as well as to help us collect data regarding our customers charge time, battery usage, mileage and efficiency habits. Our customers may object to the use of this data, which may negatively impact our ability to provide effective customer service and develop new vehicles and products. Possession and use of our customers personal information in conducting our business may be subject to federal and/or state laws and regulations in the United States and foreign jurisdictions, and such laws and regulations may restrict our use of such personal information and hinder our ability to acquire new customers or market to existing customers. For example, we are subject to local data protection laws in Europe. We may incur significant expenses to comply with privacy, consumer protection and security standards and protocols imposed by law, regulation, industry standards or contractual obligations. If third parties improperly obtain and use the personal information of our customers or we otherwise experience a data loss with respect to customers personal information, we may be required to expend significant

resources to resolve these problems. A major breach of our network security and systems could have serious negative consequences for our businesses and future prospects, including possible fines, penalties and damages, reduced customer demand for our vehicles, and harm to our reputation and brand.

68

We may become subject to product liability claims, which could harm our financial condition and liquidity if we are not able to successfully defend or insure against such claims.

We may become subject to product liability claims, which could harm our business. prospects, operating results and financial condition. The automobile industry experiences significant product liability claims and we face inherent risk of exposure to claims in the event our vehicles do not perform as expected or malfunction resulting in personal injury or death. Our risks in this area are particularly pronounced given the limited number of vehicles delivered to date and limited field experience of those vehicles, including Model S. A successful product liability claim against us could require us to pay a substantial monetary award. Moreover, a product liability claim could generate substantial negative publicity about our vehicles and business and inhibit or prevent commercialization of other future vehicle candidates which would have material adverse effect on our brand, business, prospects and operating results. We self insure against the risk of product liability claims. Any lawsuit seeking significant monetary damages may have a material adverse effect on our reputation, business and financial condition. We may not be able to secure additional product liability insurance coverage on commercially acceptable terms or at reasonable costs when needed, particularly if we do face liability for our products and are forced to make a claim under our policy.

We may have difficulty satisfying safety requirements in different countries around the world where we plan to sell our vehicles.

In connection with the development and sale of Model S, Model X, and our future electric vehicles, we will need to comply with various additional safety regulations and requirements that were not applicable to the sales of our Tesla Roadsters, with which it may be expensive or difficult to comply. For example, we will need to pass a range of impact tests for our current and future vehicles. We performed similar tests on the Tesla Roadster based on European Union testing standards in connection with sales exceeding certain volume thresholds in Australia and Japan, and two criteria were not met in the test. We may experience difficulties in meeting all the criteria for these or similar tests for Model S and Model X, which may delay our ability to sell Model S and Model X in high volumes in certain jurisdictions.

We may be compelled to undertake product recalls, which could adversely affect our brand image and financial performance.

Any product recall in the future may result in adverse publicity, damage our brand and adversely affect our business, prospects, operating results and financial condition. We previously experienced product recalls in May 2009 and October 2010, both of which were unrelated to our electric powertrain. In April 2009, we determined that a condition caused by insufficient torquing of the rear inner hub flange bolt existed in some of our Tesla Roadsters, as a result of a missed process during the manufacture of the Tesla Roadster glider. In October 2010, we initiated a product recall after the 12 volt, low voltage auxiliary cable in a single vehicle chafed against the edge of a carbon fiber panel in the vehicle causing a short, smoke and possible fire behind the right front headlamp of the vehicle. In the future, we may at various times, voluntarily or involuntarily, initiate a recall if any of our vehicles, including Model S, or electric powertrain components prove to be defective or noncompliant with applicable federal motor vehicle safety standards. Such recalls, voluntary or involuntary, involve significant expense and diversion of management attention and other resources, which could adversely affect our brand image in our target markets and could adversely affect our business, prospects, financial condition and results of operations.

Our current and future warranty reserves may be insufficient to cover future warranty claims which could adversely affect our financial performance.

69

If our warranty reserves are inadequate to cover future warranty claims on our vehicles, our business, prospects, financial condition and operating results could be materially and adversely affected. We provide a three year or 36,000 miles New Vehicle Limited Warranty with every Tesla Roadster, which we extended to four years or 50,000 miles for the purchasers of our 2008 Tesla Roadster. In addition, customers have the opportunity to purchase an Extended Service Plan for the period after the end of the New Vehicle Limited Warranty for the Tesla Roadster to cover additional services for an additional three years or 36,000 miles, whichever comes first. Subject to separate limited warranties for the supplemental restraint system and battery, we provide a four year or 50,000 miles New Vehicle Limited Warranty for the purchasers of Model S. The New Vehicle Limited Warranty for each of the Tesla Roadster and Model S is subject to certain limitations, exclusions or separate warranties and is intended to cover parts and labor to repair defects in material or workmanship in the vehicle including the body, chassis, suspension, interior, electronic systems, powertrain and brake system. We record and adjust warranty reserves based on changes in estimated costs and actual warranty costs. However, we have limited operating experience with our vehicles, and therefore little experience with warranty claims for these vehicles or with estimating warranty reserves. Furthermore, reserves that we anticipate recording when we commence delivering Model S may be insufficient to cover any future warranty claims.

Since we began initiating sales of our vehicles, we have continued to increase our warranty reserves based on our actual warranty claim experience and we may be required to undertake further such increases in the future. As of June 30, 2012, we had warranty reserves of \$5.7 million, and such reserve amount will increase in the future as Model S is sold. We could in the future become subject to a significant and unexpected warranty expense. There can be no assurances that our currently existing or future warranty reserves will be sufficient to cover all claims or that our limited experience with warranty claims will adequately address the needs of our customers to their satisfaction.

We may need to defend ourselves against patent or trademark infringement claims, which may be time-consuming and would cause us to incur substantial costs.

Companies, organizations or individuals, including our competitors, may hold or obtain patents, trademarks or other proprietary rights that would prevent, limit or interfere with our ability to make, use, develop or sell our vehicles or components, which could make it more difficult for us to operate our business. From time to time, we may receive inquiries from holders of patents or trademarks inquiring whether we infringe their proprietary rights. Companies holding patents or other intellectual property rights relating to battery packs, electric motors or electronic power management systems may bring suits alleging infringement of such rights or otherwise asserting their rights and seeking licenses. In addition, if we are determined to have infringed upon a third party s intellectual property rights, we may be required to do one or more of the following:

cease selling, incorporating or using vehicles that incorporate the challenged intellectual property;

pay substantial damages;

obtain a license from the holder of the infringed intellectual property right, which license may not be available on reasonable terms or at all; or

redesign our vehicles.

In the event of a successful claim of infringement against us and our failure or inability to obtain a license to the infringed technology, our business, prospects, operating results and financial condition could be materially adversely affected. In addition, any litigation or claims, whether or not valid, could result in substantial costs and diversion of resources and management attention.

70

We also license patents and other intellectual property from third parties, and we may face claims that our use of this in-licensed technology infringes the rights of others. In that case, we may seek indemnification from our licensors under our license contracts with them. However, our rights to indemnification may be unavailable or insufficient to cover our costs and losses, depending on our use of the technology, whether we choose to retain control over conduct of the litigation, and other factors.

Our business will be adversely affected if we are unable to protect our intellectual property rights from unauthorized use or infringement by third parties.

Any failure to protect our proprietary rights adequately could result in our competitors offering similar products, potentially resulting in the loss of some of our competitive advantage and a decrease in our revenue which would adversely affect our business, prospects, financial condition and operating results. Our success depends, at least in part, on our ability to protect our core technology and intellectual property. To accomplish this, we rely on a combination of patents, patent applications, trade secrets, including know-how, employee and third party nondisclosure agreements, copyright laws, trademarks, intellectual property licenses and other contractual rights to establish and protect our proprietary rights in our technology. We have also received from third parties patent licenses related to manufacturing our vehicles.

The protection provided by the patent laws is and will be important to our future opportunities. However, such patents and agreements and various other measures we take to protect our intellectual property from use by others may not be effective for various reasons, including the following:

our pending patent applications may not result in the issuance of patents;

our patents, if issued, may not be broad enough to protect our proprietary rights;

the patents we have been granted may be challenged, invalidated or circumvented because of the pre-existence of similar patented or unpatented intellectual property rights or for other reasons;

the costs associated with enforcing patents, confidentiality and invention agreements or other intellectual property rights may make aggressive enforcement impracticable;

current and future competitors may independently develop similar technology, duplicate our vehicles or design new vehicles in a way that circumvents our patents; and

our in-licensed patents may be invalidated or the holders of these patents may seek to breach our license arrangements.

Existing trademark and trade secret laws and confidentiality agreements afford only limited protection. In addition, the laws of some foreign countries do not protect our proprietary rights to the same extent as do the laws of the United States, and policing the

unauthorized use of our intellectual property is difficult.

Our patent applications may not result in issued patents, which may have a material adverse effect on our ability to prevent others from commercially exploiting products similar to ours.

71

We cannot be certain that we are the first creator of inventions covered by pending patent applications or the first to file patent applications on these inventions, nor can we be certain that our pending patent applications will result in issued patents or that any of our issued patents will afford protection against a competitor. In addition, patent applications filed in foreign countries are subject to laws, rules and procedures that differ from those of the United States, and thus we cannot be certain that foreign patent applications related to issued U.S. patents will result in issued patents. Furthermore, even if these patent applications do result in issued patents, some foreign countries provide significantly less effective patent enforcement than in the United States.

The status of patents involves complex legal and factual questions and the breadth of claims allowed is uncertain. As a result, we cannot be certain that the patent applications that we file will result in patents being issued, or that our patents and any patents that may be issued to us in the near future will afford protection against competitors with similar technology. In addition, patents issued to us may be infringed upon or designed around by others and others may obtain patents that we need to license or design around, either of which would increase costs and may adversely affect our business, prospects, financial condition and operating results.

Our trademark applications in certain countries remain subject to outstanding opposition proceedings.

We currently sell and market our vehicles in various countries under our Tesla marks. We have filed trademark applications for our Tesla marks and opposition proceedings to trademark applications of third parties in various countries in which we currently sell and plan to sell our vehicles. Certain of our trademark applications are subject to outstanding opposition proceedings brought by owners or applicants alleging prior use of similar marks. If we cannot resolve these oppositions and thereby secure registered rights in these countries, our ability to challenge third party users of the Tesla marks will be reduced and the value of the marks representing our exclusive brand name in these countries will be diluted. In addition, there is a risk that the prior rights owners could in the future take actions to challenge our use of the Tesla marks in these countries. Such actions could have a severe impact on our position in these countries and may inhibit our ability to use the Tesla marks in these countries. If we were prevented from using the Tesla marks in any or all of these countries, we would need to expend significant additional financial and marketing resources on establishing an alternative brand identity in these markets.

We may be subject to claims arising from an airplane crash in which three of our employees died.

In February 2010, three of our employees died in a crash of an airplane owned and piloted by one of our employees. The plane crashed in a neighborhood in East Palo Alto, California. The plane also clipped an electrical tower, causing a power loss and business interruption in parts of Palo Alto, including Stanford University. The cause of the accident is under investigation by the National Transportation Safety Board.

In November 2010, a case was filed against us relating to the crash in California Superior Court. In that case, plaintiffs allege claims for negligence, negligent infliction of emotional distress, trespass, and violations of federal and state aviation laws and regulations against all defendants, and seek compensation for real property damage and loss of use, as well as personal property and emotional distress/bodily injury claims. In December 2010, the plaintiffs settled claims for real property damage but retained their claims for emotional distress, bodily injury and personal property damage. We believe that these remaining claims are covered by insurance.

As a result of the accident, other claims, including but not limited to those arising from loss of or damage to personal property, business interruption losses or damage to the electrical tower and surrounding area, may be asserted against various parties including us. The time and attention of our management may also be diverted in defending such claims. We may also incur costs both in defending against any claims and for any judgments if such claims are adversely determined.

72

Our facilities or operations could be damaged or adversely affected as a result of disasters or unpredictable events.

Our corporate headquarters in Palo Alto and Tesla Factory in Fremont are located in Northern California, a region known for seismic activity. If major disasters such as earthquakes, fires, floods, hurricanes, wars, terrorist attacks, computer viruses, pandemics or other events occur, or our information system or communications network breaks down or operates improperly, our headquarters and production facilities may be seriously damaged, or we may have to stop or delay production and shipment of our products. In addition, our lease for our Palo Alto facility permits the landlord to terminate the lease following a casualty event if the needed repairs are in excess of certain thresholds and we do not agree to pay for any uninsured amounts. We may incur expenses relating to such damages, which could have a material adverse impact on our business, operating results and financial condition.

If our suppliers fail to use ethical business practices and comply with applicable laws and regulations, our brand image could be harmed due to negative publicity.

Our core values, which include developing the highest quality electric vehicles while operating with integrity, are an important component of our brand image, which makes our reputation particularly sensitive to allegations of unethical business practices. We do not control our independent suppliers or their business practices. Accordingly, we cannot guarantee their compliance with ethical business practices, such as environmental responsibility, fair wage practices, appropriate sourcing of raw materials, and compliance with child labor laws, among others. A lack of demonstrated compliance could lead us to seek alternative suppliers, which could increase our costs and result in delayed delivery of our products, product shortages or other disruptions of our operations.

Violation of labor or other laws by our suppliers or the divergence of an independent supplier s labor or other practices from those generally accepted as ethical in the United States or other markets in which we do business could also attract negative publicity for us and our brand. This could diminish the value of our brand image and reduce demand for our performance electric vehicles if, as a result of such violation, we were to attract negative publicity. If we, or other manufacturers in our industry, encounter similar problems in the future, it could harm our brand image, business, prospects, financial condition and operating results.

We are obligated to develop and maintain proper and effective internal control over financial reporting. We may not complete our analysis of our internal control over financial reporting in a timely manner, or these internal controls may not be determined to be effective, which may adversely affect investor confidence in our company and, as a result, the value of our common stock.

We are required, pursuant to Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, to furnish a report by management on, among other things, the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting. This assessment includes disclosure of any material weaknesses identified by our management in our internal control over financial reporting, as well as a statement that our independent registered public accounting firm has issued an attestation report on the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting.

Complying with Section 404 requires a rigorous compliance program as well as adequate time and resources. As a result of developing, improving and expanding our core information technology systems as well as implementing new systems to support our sales, engineering, supply chain and manufacturing activities, all of which require significant management time and support, we may not be able to complete our internal control evaluation, testing and any required remediation in a timely fashion. Additionally, if we identify one or more material weaknesses in our internal control over financial

reporting, we may be unable to assert that our internal controls are effective. If we are unable to assert that our internal control over financial reporting is effective, or if our independent registered public accounting firm is unable to express an opinion on the effectiveness of our internal controls, we could lose investor confidence in the accuracy and completeness of our financial reports, which would have a material adverse effect on the price of our common stock.

73

Risks Related to the Ownership of our Common Stock

Concentration of ownership among our existing executive officers, directors and their affiliates may prevent new investors from influencing significant corporate decisions.

As of June 30, 2012, our executive officers, directors and their affiliates beneficially owned, in the aggregate, approximately 37.1% of our outstanding shares of common stock. In particular, Elon Musk, our Chief Executive Officer, Product Architect and Chairman of our Board of Directors, beneficially owned approximately 29.0% of our outstanding shares of common stock as of June 30, 2012. As a result, these stockholders will be able to exercise a significant level of control over all matters requiring stockholder approval, including the election of directors, amendment of our certificate of incorporation and approval of significant corporate transactions. This control could have the effect of delaying or preventing a change of control of our company or changes in management and will make the approval of certain transactions difficult or impossible without the support of these stockholders.

The trading price of our common stock is likely to continue to be volatile.

Our shares of common stock began trading on the Nasdaq Global Select Market on June 29, 2010 and therefore, the trading history for our common stock has been limited. In addition, the trading price of our common stock has been highly volatile and could continue to be subject to wide fluctuations in response to various factors, some of which are beyond our control. Our common stock has experienced an intra-day trading high of \$39.95 per share and a low of \$21.50 per share over the last 52 weeks.

In addition, the stock market in general, and the market for technology companies in particular, has experienced extreme price and volume fluctuations that have often been unrelated or disproportionate to the operating performance of those companies. Broad market and industry factors may seriously affect the market price of companies stock, including ours, regardless of actual operating performance. These fluctuations may be even more pronounced in the trading market for our stock during the period following a securities offering. In addition, in the past, following periods of volatility in the overall market and the market price of a particular company s securities, securities class action litigation has often been instituted against these companies. This litigation, if instituted against us, could result in substantial costs and a diversion of our management s attention and resources.

A majority of our total outstanding shares are held by insiders and may be sold on a stock exchange in the near future. The large number of shares eligible for public sale or subject to rights requiring us to register them for public sale could depress the market price of our common stock.

The market price of our common stock could decline as a result of sales of a large number of shares of our common stock in the market in the future, and the perception that these sales could occur may also depress the market price of our common stock. Stockholders owning a majority of our total outstanding shares are entitled, under contracts providing for registration rights, to require us to register shares of our common stock owned by them for public sale in the United States, subject to the restrictions of Rule 144. In addition, we have registered shares previously issued or reserved for future issuance under our equity

compensation plans and agreements, a portion of which are related to outstanding option awards. Subject to the satisfaction of applicable exercise periods and, in certain cases, lock-up agreements, the shares of common stock issued upon exercise of outstanding options will be available for immediate resale in the United States in the open market. Sales of our common stock as restrictions end or pursuant to registration rights may make it more difficult for us to sell equity securities in the future at a time and at a price that we deem appropriate. These sales also could cause our stock price to fall and make it more difficult for you to sell shares of our common stock.

Mr. Musk has borrowed funds from an affiliate of our underwriter in our most recent public offering and pledged shares of our common stock to secure this borrowing. The forced sale of these shares pursuant to a margin call could cause our stock price to decline and negatively impact our business.

Goldman Sachs Bank USA, an affiliate of Goldman, Sachs & Co., made a loan in the amount of \$35 million to Elon Musk and the Elon Musk Revocable Trust dated July 22, 2003, or the Trust. Interest on the loan accrues at market rates. Goldman Sachs Bank USA received customary fees and expense reimbursements in connection with this loan. Goldman Sachs Bank USA made additional extensions of credit in an aggregate amount of \$50 million to Elon Musk and the Trust and Mr. Musk used a portion of the proceeds of such loans to purchase shares in the June 2011 private placement. Interest on the loans will accrue at market rates. Goldman Sachs Bank USA received customary fees and expense reimbursements in connection with these loans. As a regulated entity, Goldman Sachs Bank USA makes decisions regarding making and managing its loans independent of Goldman, Sachs & Co. Mr. Musk and Goldman have a long-standing relationship of almost a decade. We are not a party to these loans, which are full recourse against Mr. Musk and the Trust and are secured by a pledge of a portion of the Tesla common stock currently owned by Mr. Musk and the Trust and other shares of capital stock of unrelated entities owned by Mr. Musk and the Trust. The terms of these loans were negotiated directly between Mr. Musk and Goldman Sachs Bank USA.

If the price of our common stock declines, Mr. Musk may be forced by Goldman Sachs Bank USA to provide additional collateral for the loans or to sell shares of Tesla common stock in order to remain within the margin limitations imposed under the terms of his loans. The loans between Goldman Sachs Bank USA and Mr. Musk and the Trust prohibit the non-pledged shares currently owned by Mr. Musk and the Trust from being pledged to secure other loans. In addition, our DOE Loan Facility requires Mr. Musk and certain of his affiliates, until one year after we complete the project relating to the Model S Facility, to own at least 65% of the Tesla capital stock held by them as of the date of the DOE Loan Facility, and a failure to comply would be an event of default that could result in an acceleration of all obligations under the DOE Loan Facility documents and the exercise of other remedies by the DOE. These factors may limit Mr. Musk s ability to either pledge additional shares of Tesla common stock or sell shares of Tesla common stock as a means to avoid or satisfy a margin call with respect to his pledged Tesla common stock in the event of a decline in our stock price that is large enough to trigger a margin call. Any sales of common stock following a margin call that is not satisfied may cause the price of our common stock to decline further.

Anti-takeover provisions contained in our certificate of incorporation and bylaws, as well as provisions of Delaware law, could impair a takeover attempt.

Our certificate of incorporation, bylaws and Delaware law contain provisions which could have the effect of rendering more difficult, delaying or preventing an acquisition deemed undesirable by our board of directors. Our corporate governance documents include provisions:

creating a classified board of directors whose members serve staggered three-year terms;

75

authorizing blank check preferred stock, which could be issued by the board without stockholder approval and may contain voting, liquidation, dividend and other rights superior to our common stock;

limiting the liability of, and providing indemnification to, our directors and officers:

limiting the ability of our stockholders to call and bring business before special meetings;

requiring advance notice of stockholder proposals for business to be conducted at meetings of our stockholders and for nominations of candidates for election to our board of directors;

controlling the procedures for the conduct and scheduling of board and stockholder meetings; and

providing the board of directors with the express power to postpone previously scheduled annual meetings and to cancel previously scheduled special meetings.

These provisions, alone or together, could delay or prevent hostile takeovers and changes in control or changes in our management.

As a Delaware corporation, we are also subject to provisions of Delaware law, including Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation law, which prevents some stockholders holding more than 15% of our outstanding common stock from engaging in certain business combinations without approval of the holders of substantially all of our outstanding common stock.

Any provision of our certificate of incorporation or bylaws or Delaware law that has the effect of delaying or deterring a change in control could limit the opportunity for our stockholders to receive a premium for their shares of our common stock, and could also affect the price that some investors are willing to pay for our common stock.

If securities or industry analysts publishing research or reports about us, our business or our market change their recommendations regarding our stock adversely or cease to publish research or reports about us, our stock price and trading volume could decline.

The trading market for our common stock will be influenced by the research and reports that industry or securities analysts may publish about us, our business, our market or our competitors. If any of the analysts who may cover us change their recommendation regarding our stock adversely, or provide more favorable relative recommendations about our competitors, our stock price would likely decline. If any analyst who may cover us were to cease coverage of our company or fail to regularly publish reports on us, we could lose visibility in the financial markets, which in turn could cause our stock price or trading volume to decline.

We do not expect to declare any dividends in the foreseeable future.

We do not anticipate declaring any cash dividends to holders of our common stock in the foreseeable future. Consequently, investors may need to rely on sales of their common stock after price appreciation, which may never occur, as the only way to realize any future gains on their investment. Investors seeking cash dividends should not purchase our common stock.

76

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

None.

ITEM 3. DEFAULT UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None.

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION CEO Stock Option Grant

To create incentives for continued long term success beyond the Model S program and to closely align executive pay with increases in stockholder value, the Compensation Committee has recommended to the Board of Directors a new stock option grant to Elon Musk, our Chief Executive Officer (CEO Grant) to be granted under our 2010 Equity Incentive Plan. Mr. Musk has not received an option grant since December 4, 2009, and of his fully-diluted ownership in the Company, less than 20% is a result of stock options, the remainder being a result of his direct investment in the Company. In addition, since becoming our CEO in October 2008, Mr. Musk has been working for an annual base salary of \$33,280, consistent with minimum wage requirements under California law, and continues to accept only \$1 per year for his services with no other cash compensation. The Compensation Committee believes that it is in the best interest of the Company and its stockholders to create additional long term incentives for Mr. Musk to continue the success of the Company and thus recommended that the Board of Directors approve the CEO Grant (as further described below).

On August 1, 2012, our Board of Directors approved the CEO Grant, which will become effective on the second Monday of the calendar month following approval, or August 13, 2012 (Effective Date), in accordance with our equity incentive award grant policy. The number of shares subject to the CEO Grant will be determined on the Effective Date and will consist of ten vesting tranches, each equal to 0.5% of our outstanding common stock as of the Effective Date. As an example only, if the CEO Grant were effective on June 30, 2012, the number of shares subject to each vesting tranche would be approximately 560,240 shares and the total number of shares subject to the CEO Grant would be 5,602,406 shares (based on 5% of 112,048,121 shares of our outstanding common stock as of June 30, 2012). The actual number of shares subject to the CEO Grant will be determined on the Effective Date. The CEO Grant will have a per share exercise price equal to the closing price of our common stock on the Effective Date, and will have a vesting schedule based entirely on the attainment of both operational and market capitalization milestones, as further detailed below.

Each of the ten vesting tranches requires that the Company meet a combination of operational milestone achievements and a significant increase in our market capitalization of \$4.0 billion. For example, if our average market capitalization for the 30 trading days prior to the Effective Date is \$3.5 billion, the first tranche would only vest when we more than double our market capitalization to \$7.5 billion and at least one of the operational milestones described below is met. The second tranche would vest only if there is another \$4.0 billion increase in our market capitalization to \$11.5 billion and when two of the operational milestones described below are met. The remaining tranches are structured in a similar manner, so that the CEO Grant would be fully vested when we achieve a market capitalization of \$43.5 billion and all ten operational milestones described below have been achieved. Market capitalization for purposes of milestone achievement will be determined based on a rolling six month historic average (based on trading days

only). The market capitalization for a particular trading day is equal to the closing price multiplied by outstanding shares of common stock as of the end of such trading day. To give some perspective on these targets, note that, as of July 19, 2012, Ford Motor Company and General Motors Company had market capitalizations of approximately \$35 billion and \$32 billion, respectively. The term of the CEO Grant will be ten years, so that if any vesting tranches remain unvested after expiration of the CEO Grant, they will be forfeited. In addition, Mr. Musk will forfeit any unvested options if he is terminated as CEO of the Company, whether for cause or otherwise.

In addition to the market capitalization milestones, vesting for each of the ten tranches requires achievement of certain operational milestones. To illustrate, vesting of the first tranche requires the achievement of any one of the ten defined operational milestones, vesting of the second tranche requires the achievement of any two of the ten defined operational milestones, etc. The ten operational milestones for the CEO Grant are:

Successful completion of the Model X Engineering Prototype (Alpha);

Successful completion of the Model X Vehicle Prototype (Beta);

Completion of the first Model X Production Vehicle;

Successful completion of the Gen III Engineering Prototype (Alpha);

Successful completion of the Gen III Vehicle Prototype (Beta);

Completion of the first Gen III Production Vehicle;

Gross margin of 30% or more for four consecutive quarters;

Aggregate vehicle production of 100,000 vehicles;

Aggregate vehicle production of 200,000 vehicles; and

Aggregate vehicle production of 300,000 vehicles.

The CEO Grant will not accelerate in the event of a change in control of the Company. However, in a change in control event, the achievement of vesting milestones for the CEO Grant will be based solely on our market capitalization as of the effectiveness of such change in control. The CEO Grant will not need to be adopted by an acquirer and, to the extent unvested on such date, will expire.

ITEM 6.EXHIBITS

See Index to Exhibits at end of report.

77

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Tesla Motors, Inc.

Date: August 2, 2012

/s/ Deepak Ahuja
Deepak Ahuja
Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial Officer, Principal
Accounting Officer and

Duly Authorized Officer)

78

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

Exhibit	Incorporated by Reference					
Number	Exhibit Description	Form	File No.	Exhibit	Filing Date	Herewith
10.1	Amendment No. 1 to Supply and Services Agreement between Registrant and Toyota Motor Corporation dated April 30, 2012					X
10.2	Second Amendment to Loan Arrangement and Reimbursement Agreement between the United States Department of Energy and the Registrant dated as of June 20, 2012					X
10.3	2012 Model S Sales Commission Plan between Tesla Motors, Inc. and George Blankenship	8-K	001-34756	10.1	June 8, 2012	
10.4	Amendment to 2010 Equity Incentive Plan, effective as of June 12, 2012					X
31.1	Rule 13a-14(a) / 15(d)-14(a) Certification of Principal Executive Officer					X
31.2	Rule 13a-14(a) / 15(d)-14(a) Certification of Principal Financial Officer					X
32.1*	Section 1350 Certifications					
101.INS**	XBRL Instance Document					
101.SCH**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document					
101.CAL**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document					

101.DEF** XBRL Taxonomy

Extension Definition Linkbase Document

101.LAB** XBRL Taxonomy

Extension Label Linkbase Document

101.PRE** XBRL Taxonomy

Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

Confidential treatment has been requested for portions of this exhibit.

- * Furnished herewith
- ** XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language) information is furnished and not filed or a part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, is deemed not filed for purposes of section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and otherwise is not subject to liability under these sections.

79