

PIMCO MUNICIPAL INCOME FUND II

Form N-CSR

July 28, 2015

Table of Contents

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM N-CSR

CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED MANAGEMENT

INVESTMENT COMPANIES

Investment Company Act file number: 811-21076

PIMCO Municipal Income Fund II

(Exact name of registrant as specified in charter)

1633 Broadway, New York, NY 10019

(Address of principal executive offices)

William G. Galipeau

Treasurer

650 Newport Center Drive

Newport Beach, CA 92660

(Name and address of agent for service)

Copies to:

David C. Sullivan

Ropes & Gray LLP

Prudential Tower

800 Boylston Street

Boston, MA 02199

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (844) 337-4626

Edgar Filing: PIMCO MUNICIPAL INCOME FUND II - Form N-CSR

Date of fiscal year end: May 31

Date of reporting period: May 31, 2015

Form N-CSR is to be used by management investment companies to file reports with the Commission not later than 10 days after the transmission to stockholders of any report that is required to be transmitted to stockholders under Rule 30e-1 under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (17 CFR 270.30e-1). The Commission may use the information provided on Form N-CSR in its regulatory, disclosure review, inspection, and policymaking roles.

A registrant is required to disclose the information specified by Form N-CSR, and the Commission will make this information public. A registrant is not required to respond to the collection of information contained in Form N-CSR unless the Form displays a currently valid Office of Management and Budget (OMB) control number. Please direct comments concerning the accuracy of the information collection burden estimate and any suggestions for reducing the burden to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW, Washington, DC 20549-0609. The OMB has reviewed this collection of information under the clearance requirements of 44 U.S.C. § 3507.

Table of Contents

Item 1. Reports to Shareholders.

The following is a copy of the report transmitted to shareholders pursuant to Rule 30e-1 under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the 1940 Act) (17 CFR 270.30e-1).

Table of Contents

Your Global Investment Authority

PIMCO Closed-End Funds

Annual Report

May 31, 2015

PIMCO Municipal Income Fund II

PIMCO California Municipal Income Fund II

PIMCO New York Municipal Income Fund II

Table of Contents

Table of Contents

| | Page |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| <u>Letter from the Chairman of the Board & President</u> | 2 |
| <u>Important Information About the Funds</u> | 4 |
| <u>Financial Highlights</u> | 16 |
| <u>Statements of Assets and Liabilities</u> | 18 |
| <u>Statements of Operations</u> | 19 |
| <u>Statements of Changes in Net Assets</u> | 20 |
| <u>Notes to Financial Statements</u> | 39 |
| <u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u> | 56 |
| <u>Glossary</u> | 57 |
| <u>Shareholder Meeting Results</u> | 58 |
| <u>Changes to Boards of Trustees</u> | 60 |
| <u>Dividend Reinvestment Plan</u> | 61 |
| <u>Management of the Funds</u> | 63 |
| <u>Privacy Policy</u> | 67 |
| | |
| | Schedule of |
| | Investments |
| Fund | |
| | Fund |
| | Summary |
| PIMCO Municipal Income Fund II | <u>10</u> |
| PIMCO California Municipal Income Fund II | <u>12</u> |
| PIMCO New York Municipal Income Fund II | <u>14</u> |

Table of Contents

Letter from the Chairman of the Board & President

Dear Shareholder:

Despite periods of volatility, municipal bonds produced positive results during the fiscal year ended May 31, 2015. Even though portions of the U.S. economy were highly resilient and the unemployment rate declined, longer-term Treasury yields moved lower during the reporting period. Investor demand for municipal securities was positive overall during the period.

For the 12-month reporting period ended May 31, 2015

After first expanding, the U.S. economy hit a soft patch as the reporting period progressed. Looking back, U.S. gross domestic product (GDP), which represents the value of goods and services produced in the country, the broadest measure of economic activity and the principal indicator of economic performance, expanded at a 4.6% annual pace during the second quarter of 2014 and accelerated to a 5.0% annual pace during the third quarter of 2014 its strongest growth rate since the third quarter of 2003. GDP then expanded at an annual pace of 2.2% during the fourth quarter of 2014. Decelerating growth was partially attributed to an upturn in imports and moderating federal government spending. According to the Commerce Department, GDP contracted at an annual pace of 0.2% for the first quarter of 2015. This was attributed to contractions in exports, nonresidential fixed investment and state and local government spending. In addition, consumer spending decelerated, as it grew a modest 2.1% during the first quarter of 2015 versus 4.4% for the fourth quarter of 2014.

Federal Reserve (Fed) monetary policy remained accommodative during the reporting period. However, the central bank appeared to be moving closer to raising interest rates for the first time since 2006. As expected, following its meeting in October 2014, the Fed announced that it had concluded its asset purchase program. Then, at its March 2015 meeting, the Fed eliminated the word patient from its official statement regarding when it may start raising rates. Finally, at its meeting in June, after the reporting period had ended, the Fed said that it currently anticipates that, even after employment and inflation are near mandate-consistent levels, economic conditions may, for some time, warrant keeping the target federal funds rate below levels the Committee views as normal in the longer run.

The municipal bond market generated a positive return during the 12-month reporting period ended May 31, 2015. The overall municipal market, as measured by the Barclays Municipal Bond Index, posted positive returns during nine of the 12 months of the reporting period. Supporting the municipal market during those months were generally improving fundamentals, attractive valuations and falling longer-term interest rates. In addition, investor demand was largely strong. The municipal market s only setbacks occurred in February, April and May 2015, as interest rates moved higher and negatively impacted bond prices. The Barclays Municipal Bond Index gained 3.18% during the 12 months ended May 31, 2015. In comparison, the overall taxable fixed income market, as measured by the Barclays U.S. Aggregate Bond Index, gained 3.03%.

2 PIMCO CLOSED-END FUNDS

Table of Contents

Outlook

PIMCO's baseline view is that the U.S. is on track for solid growth in the range of 2.5% to 3% in 2015. This outlook reflects the firm's expectation for robust consumption growth, supported by a strengthening labor market and a boost to real income from low commodity prices. However, against this positive outlook for consumption, PIMCO is weighing the potential negatives of sluggish export growth held back by the stronger U.S. dollar, as well as the likelihood that capital expenditures will be held back by a slowdown in investment in the energy sector. While PIMCO believes that headline inflation may briefly turn negative due to the year-over-year decline in oil prices, the firm expects core inflation to bottom out near current levels and to rebound later in 2015. These conditions should allow the Fed to begin the process of normalizing short-term interest rates later this year. That said, in PIMCO's view, this interest rate hike cycle will differ from previous ones both in terms of pace—slower—and in terms of the destination—lower. PIMCO's outlook for the municipal market remains positive due to improving credit fundamentals and favorable pre-tax equivalent valuations. However, PIMCO remains cautious given the potential for interest rate volatility, additional supply pressures and negative credit headlines.

In the following pages of this PIMCO Closed-End Funds Annual Report, please find specific details regarding investment performance and a discussion of factors that most affected the Funds' performance over the 12-month reporting period ended May 31, 2015.

Thank you for investing with us. We value your trust and will continue to work diligently to meet your investment needs. If you have questions regarding any of your PIMCO Closed-End Funds investments, please contact your financial advisor or call the Funds' shareholder servicing agent at (844) 33-PIMCO or (844) 337-4626. We also invite you to visit our website at pimco.com/investments to learn more about our views and global thought leadership.

We remain dedicated to serving your investment needs.

Sincerely,

Hans W. Kertess
Chairman of the Board of Trustees

Peter G. Strelow
President

Table of Contents

Important Information About the Funds

We believe that bond funds have an important role to play in a well-diversified investment portfolio. It is important to note, however, that in an environment where interest rates trend upward, rising rates would negatively impact the performance of most bond funds, and fixed-income securities held by a Fund are likely to decrease in value. A number of factors can cause interest rates to rise (e.g., central bank monetary policies, inflation rates, general economic conditions, etc.). Accordingly, changes in interest rates can be sudden, and there is no guarantee that Fund Management will anticipate such movement.

As of the date of this report, interest rates in the U.S. are at or near historically low levels. As such, bond funds may currently face an increased exposure to the risks associated with rising interest rates. This is especially true since the Federal Reserve Board has concluded its quantitative easing program. Further, while the U.S. bond market has steadily grown over the past three decades, dealer inventories of corporate bonds have remained relatively stagnant. As a result, there has been a significant reduction in the ability of dealers to "make markets" in corporate bonds. All of the factors mentioned above, individually or collectively, could lead to increased volatility and/or lower liquidity in the fixed income markets, which could result in increased losses to a Fund. Bond funds and individual bonds with a longer duration (a measure of the sensitivity of a security's price to changes in interest rates) tend to be more sensitive to changes in interest rates, usually making them more volatile than securities or funds with shorter durations. In addition, in the current low interest rate environment, the market price of the Funds' common shares may be particularly sensitive to changes in interest rates or the perception that there will be a change in interest rates.

The use of derivatives may subject the Funds to greater volatility than investments in traditional securities. The Funds may use derivative instruments for hedging purposes or as part of an investment strategy. Use of these instruments may involve certain costs and risks such as liquidity risk, interest rate risk, market risk, call risk, credit risk, management risk and the risk that a Fund could not close out a position when it would be most advantageous to do so. Certain derivative transactions may have a leveraging effect on a Fund. For example, a small investment in a derivative instrument may have a significant impact on a Fund's exposure to interest rates, currency exchange rates or other investments. As a result, a relatively small price movement in a derivative instrument may cause an immediate and substantial loss or gain, which translates into heightened volatility in a Fund's net asset value. A Fund may engage in such transactions regardless of whether the Fund owns the asset, instrument or components of the index underlying a derivative instrument. A Fund may invest a significant portion of its assets in these types of instruments. If it does, a Fund's investment exposure could far exceed the value of its portfolio securities and its investment performance could be primarily dependent upon securities it does not directly own.

A Fund's use of leverage creates the opportunity for increased income for the Fund's common shareholders, but also creates special risks. Leverage is a speculative technique that may expose a Fund to greater risk and increased costs. If shorter-term interest rates rise relative to the rate of return on a Fund's portfolio, the interest and other costs to the Fund of leverage could exceed the rate of return on the debt obligations and other investments held by the Fund, thereby reducing return to the Fund's common shareholders. In addition, fees and expenses of any form of leverage used by a Fund will be borne entirely by its common shareholders (and not by preferred shareholders, if any) and will reduce the investment return of the Fund's common shares. There can be no assurance that a Fund's use of leverage will result in a higher yield on its common shares, and it may result in losses. Leverage creates several major types of risks for a Fund's common shareholders,

4 PIMCO CLOSED-END FUNDS

Table of Contents

including: (1) the likelihood of greater volatility of net asset value and market price of the Fund's common shares, and of the investment return to the Fund's common shareholders, than a comparable portfolio without leverage; (2) the possibility either that the Fund's common share dividends will fall if the interest and other costs of leverage rise, or that dividends paid on the Fund's common shares will fluctuate because such costs vary over time; and (3) the effects of leverage in a declining market or a rising interest rate environment, as leverage is likely to cause a greater decline in the net asset value of the Fund's common shares than if the Fund were not leveraged and may result in a greater decline in the market value of the Fund's common shares.

There is a risk that a Fund investing in a tender option bond program will not be considered the owner of a tender option bond for federal income tax purposes, and thus will not be entitled to treat such interest as exempt from federal income tax. Certain tender option bonds may be illiquid or may become illiquid as a result of, among other things, a credit rating downgrade, a payment default or a disqualification from tax-exempt status. Regulators recently finalized rules implementing Section 619 (the Volcker Rule) and Section 941 (the Risk Retention Rules) of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act. Both the Volcker Rule and the Risk Retention Rules apply to tender option bond programs and may require that such programs be restructured. At this time, the full impact of these rules is not certain, however, in response to these rules, industry participants have begun to explore various structuring alternatives for existing and new trusts. For example, under a new tender option bond structure, a Fund would structure and sponsor a tender option bond trust. As a result, a Fund would be required to assume certain responsibilities and risks as the sponsor of the tender option bond trust. Because of the important role that tender option bond programs play in the municipal bond market, it is possible that implementation of these rules and any resulting impact may adversely impact the municipal bond market and the Funds. For example, as a result of the implementation of these rules, the municipal bond market may experience reduced demand or liquidity and increased financing costs. A Fund's investment in the securities issued by a tender option bond trust may involve greater risk and volatility than an investment in a fixed rate bond, and the value of such securities may decrease significantly when market interest rates increase. Tender option bond trusts could be terminated due to market, credit or other events beyond the Funds' control, which could require the Funds to reduce leverage and dispose of portfolio investments at inopportune times and prices. A Fund may use a tender option bond program as a way of achieving leverage in its portfolio, in which case the Fund will be subject to leverage risk.

High-yield bonds (commonly referred to as junk bonds) typically have a lower credit rating than other bonds. Lower-rated bonds generally involve a greater risk to principal than higher-rated bonds. Further, markets for lower-rated bonds are typically less liquid than for higher-rated bonds, and public information is usually less abundant in such markets. Thus, high-yield investments increase the chance that a Fund will lose money on its investment. Mortgage-related and asset-backed securities represent ownership interests in pools of mortgages or other assets such as consumer loans or receivables. As a general matter, mortgage-related and asset-backed securities are subject to interest rate risk, extension risk, prepayment risk, and credit risk. These risks largely stem from the fact that returns on mortgage-related and asset-backed securities depend on the ability of the underlying assets to generate cash flow.

A Fund may hold defaulted securities that may involve special considerations including bankruptcy proceedings, other regulatory and legal restrictions affecting the Fund's ability to trade, and the availability of prices from independent pricing services or dealer quotations. Defaulted securities are

Table of Contents

Important Information About the Funds (Cont.)

often illiquid and may not be actively traded. Sale of securities in bankrupt companies at an acceptable price may be difficult and differences compared to the value of the securities used by a Fund could be material.

Variable and floating rate securities generally are less sensitive to interest rate changes but may decline in value if their interest rates do not rise as much, or as quickly, as interest rates in general. Conversely floating rate securities will not generally increase in value if interest rates decline. Inverse floating rate securities may decrease in value if interest rates increase. Inverse floating rate securities may also exhibit greater price volatility than a fixed rate obligation with similar credit quality. When a Fund holds variable or floating rate securities, a decrease (or, in the case of inverse floating rate securities, an increase) in market interest rates will adversely affect the income received from such securities and the NAV of the Funds' shares.

A Fund that concentrates its investments in California municipal bonds may be affected significantly by economic, regulatory or political developments affecting the ability of California issuers to pay interest or repay principal. Certain issuers of California municipal bonds have experienced serious financial difficulties in the past and reoccurrence of these difficulties may impair the ability of certain California issuers to pay principal or interest on their obligations. Provisions of the California Constitution and State statutes that limit the taxing and spending authority of California governmental entities may impair the ability of California issuers to pay principal and/or interest on their obligations. While California's economy is broad, it does have major concentrations in high technology, aerospace and defense-related manufacturing, trade, entertainment, real estate and financial services, and may be sensitive to economic problems affecting those industries. Future California political and economic developments, constitutional amendments, legislative measures, executive orders, administrative regulations, litigation and voter initiatives could have an adverse effect on the debt obligations of California issuers.

A Fund that concentrates its investments in New York municipal bonds may be affected significantly by economic, regulatory or political developments affecting the ability of New York issuers to pay interest or repay principal. While New York's economy is broad, it does have concentrations in the financial services industry, and may be sensitive to economic problems affecting that industry. Certain issuers of New York municipal bonds have experienced serious financial difficulties in the past and a reoccurrence of these difficulties may impair the ability of certain New York issuers to pay principal or interest on their obligations. The financial health of New York City affects that of the State, and when New York City experiences financial difficulty it may have an adverse effect on New York municipal bonds held by a Fund. The growth rate of New York has at times been somewhat slower than the nation overall. The economic and financial condition of New York also may be affected by various financial, social, economic and political factors.

The common shares of the Funds trade on the New York Stock Exchange. As with any stock, the price of a Fund's common shares will fluctuate with market conditions and other factors. If you sell your common shares of a Fund, the price received may be more or less than your original investment. Shares of closed-end management investment companies frequently trade at a discount from their net asset value. The common shares of a Fund may trade at a price that is less than the initial offering price and/or the net asset value of such shares. Further, if a Fund's shares trade at a price that is more than the initial offering price and/or the net asset value of such shares, including at a substantial premium and/or for an extended period of time, there is no assurance that any such

6 PIMCO CLOSED-END FUNDS

Table of Contents

premium will be sustained for any period of time and will not decrease, or that the shares will not trade at a discount to net asset value thereafter.

The Funds may be subject to various risks in addition to those described above. Some of these risks may include, but are not limited to, the following: asset allocation risk, credit risk, stressed securities risk, distressed and defaulted securities risk, corporate bond risk, market risk, issuer risk, liquidity risk, equity securities and related market risk, mortgage-related and other asset-backed securities risk, extension risk, prepayment risk, privately issued mortgage-related securities risk, mortgage market/subprime risk, foreign (non-U.S.) investment risk, emerging markets risk, currency risk, redenomination risk, non-diversification risk, management risk, municipal bond risk, tender option bond risk, inflation-indexed security risk, senior debt risk, loans, participations and assignments risk, reinvestment risk, real estate risk, U.S. Government securities risk, foreign (non-U.S.) government securities risk, valuation risk, segregation and cover risk, focused investment risk, credit default swaps risk, event-linked securities risk, counterparty risk, preferred securities risk, confidential information access risk, other investment companies risk, private placements risk, inflation/deflation risk, regulatory risk, tax risk, recent economic conditions risk, market disruptions and geopolitical risk, potential conflicts of interest involving allocation of investment opportunities, repurchase agreements risk, securities lending risk, zero-coupon bond and payment-in-kind securities risk, portfolio turnover risk, smaller company risk, short sale risk and convertible securities risk. A description of certain of these risks is available in the Notes to Financial Statements of this Report.

On each Fund Summary page in this Shareholder Report the Common Share Average Annual Total Return table and Common Share Cumulative Return (if applicable) measure performance assuming that all dividend and capital gain distributions were reinvested. Total return is calculated by determining the percentage change in NAV or market price (as applicable) in the specified period. Returns do not reflect the deduction of taxes that a shareholder would pay on Fund distributions. Total return for a period of more than one year represents the average annual total return. Performance at market price will differ from results at NAV. Although market price returns tend to reflect investment results over time, during shorter periods returns at market price can also be influenced by factors such as changing views about a Fund, market conditions, supply and demand for a Fund's shares, or changes in a Fund's dividends. Performance shown is net of fees and expenses.

The following table discloses the commencement of operations of each Fund:

| Name of Fund | Commencement of Operations |
|-------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| PIMCO Municipal Income Fund II | 06/28/02 |
| PIMCO California Municipal Income Fund II | 06/28/02 |
| PIMCO New York Municipal Income Fund II | 06/28/02 |

An investment in a Fund is not a deposit of a bank and is not guaranteed or insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation or any other government agency. It is possible to lose money on investments in the Funds.

PIMCO has adopted written proxy voting policies and procedures (Proxy Policy) as required by

Rule 206(4)-6 under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940. The Proxy Policy has been adopted by the Funds as the policies and procedures that PIMCO will use when voting proxies on behalf of the

Table of Contents

Important Information About the Funds (Cont.)

Funds. A description of the policies and procedures that PIMCO uses to vote proxies relating to portfolio securities of each Fund, and information about how each Fund voted proxies relating to portfolio securities held during the most recent twelve-month period ended June 30, are available without charge, upon request, by calling the Funds at (844) 33-PIMCO (844-337-4626), on the Funds' website at www.pimco.com/investments, and on the Securities and Exchange Commission's (SEC) website at <http://www.sec.gov>.

Each Fund files a complete schedule of its portfolio holdings with the SEC for the first and third quarters of its fiscal year on Form N-Q. A copy of each Fund's Form N-Q is available on the SEC's website at <http://www.sec.gov> and may be reviewed and copied at the SEC's Public Reference Room in Washington, D.C., and is available without charge, upon request by calling the Funds at (844) 33-PIMCO (844-337-4626) and on the Funds' website at www.pimco.com/investments. Updated portfolio holdings information about a Fund will be available at www.pimco.com/closedendfunds approximately 15 calendar days after such Fund's most recent fiscal quarter end, and will remain accessible until such Fund files a Form N-Q or a shareholder report for the period which includes the date of the information. Information on the operation of the Public Reference Room may be obtained by calling 1-800-SEC-0330.

8 PIMCO CLOSED-END FUNDS

Table of Contents

(THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK)

ANNUAL REPORT MAY 31, 2015 9

Table of Contents**PIMCO Municipal Income Fund II**Symbol on NYSE - **PML**

Allocation Breakdown

| | |
|--------------|-------|
| California | 13.8% |
| New York | 13.4% |
| Texas | 12.4% |
| Arizona | 8.4% |
| Illinois | 6.6% |
| Pennsylvania | 5.5% |
| New Jersey | 5.2% |
| Ohio | 5.2% |
| Other | 29.5% |

% of Investments, at value as of 05/31/15

Fund Information (as of May 31, 2015)⁽¹⁾

| | |
|------------------------------------------|---------|
| Market Price | \$12.19 |
| NAV | \$12.11 |
| Premium/(Discount) to NAV | 0.66% |
| Market Price | |
| Distribution Yield ⁽²⁾ | 6.40% |
| NAV Distribution Yield ⁽²⁾ | 6.44% |
| Regulatory Leverage Ratio ⁽³⁾ | 35% |

Average Annual Total Return ⁽¹⁾ for the period ended May 31, 2015

| | 1 Year | 5 Year | 10 Year | Commencement of Operations (06/28/02) |
|--------------|--------|--------|---------|---------------------------------------------|
| Market Price | 6.15% | 9.05% | 4.78% | 5.32% |
| NAV | 8.15% | 9.68% | 4.88% | 5.67% |

All Fund returns are net of fees and expenses.

- ⁽¹⁾ Performance quoted represents past performance. Past performance is not a guarantee or a reliable indicator of future results. Current performance may be lower or higher than performance shown. Investment return and the principal value of an investment will fluctuate. Total return, market price, NAV, market price distribution yield, and NAV distribution yield will fluctuate with changes in market conditions. For performance current to the most recent month-end, visit www.pimco.com or call (844) 33-PIMCO.
- ⁽²⁾ Distribution yields are not performance and are calculated by annualizing the most recent distribution per share and dividing by the NAV or Market Price, as applicable, as of the reported date. Distributions may be comprised of ordinary income, net capital gains, and/or a return of capital (ROC) of your investment in the Fund. Because the distribution rate may include a ROC, it should not be confused with yield or income. If the Fund estimates that a portion of its distribution may be comprised of amounts from sources other than net investment income, the Fund will notify shareholders of the estimated composition of such distribution through a Section 19 Notice. Please refer to the most recent Section 19 Notice, if applicable, for additional information regarding the composition of distributions. Please visit www.pimco.com for most recent Section 19 Notice, if applicable. Final determination of a distribution's tax character will be made on Form 1099 DIV sent to shareholders each January.
- ⁽³⁾ Represents regulatory leverage outstanding, as a percentage of total managed assets. Regulatory leverage may include preferred shares, tender option bond transactions, reverse repurchase agreements, and other borrowings (collectively Regulatory Leverage). Total managed assets refer to total assets (including assets attributable to Regulatory Leverage that may be outstanding) minus accrued liabilities (other than liabilities representing Leverage).

10 PIMCO CLOSED-END FUNDS

Table of Contents

Portfolio Insights

- » PIMCO Municipal Income Fund II's primary investment objective is to seek current income exempt from federal income tax.

- » The Fund's overweight to effective duration (or sensitivity to changes in market interest rates) contributed to performance, as municipal yields moved lower across the yield curve during the reporting period.

- » An overweight to the revenue-backed sector contributed to performance, as the sector outperformed the overall municipal market during the reporting period.

- » An overweight to the industrial revenue sector contributed to performance, as the sector outperformed the overall municipal market during the reporting period.

- » Exposure to the health care sector contributed to performance, as the sector outperformed the overall municipal market during the reporting period.

- » An underweight to the transportation sector detracted from performance, as the sector outperformed the overall municipal market during the reporting period.

- » An underweight to the education sector detracted from performance, as the sector outperformed the overall municipal market during the reporting period.

Table of Contents**PIMCO California Municipal Income Fund II**Symbol on NYSE - **PCK**

Allocation Breakdown

| | |
|------------------------|-------|
| California | 97.8% |
| Short-Term Instruments | 0.8% |
| New Jersey | 0.7% |
| New York | 0.7% |

% of Investments, at value as of 05/31/15

Fund Information (as of May 31, 2015)⁽¹⁾

| | |
|------------------------------------------------|--------|
| Market Price | \$9.75 |
| NAV | \$8.69 |
| Premium/(Discount) to NAV | 12.20% |
| Market Price Distribution Yield ⁽²⁾ | 6.62% |
| NAV Distribution Yield ⁽²⁾ | 7.42% |
| Regulatory Leverage Ratio ⁽³⁾ | 41% |

Average Annual Total Return ⁽¹⁾ for the period ended May 31, 2015

| | 1 Year | 5 Year | 10 Year | Commencement of Operations (06/28/02) |
|--------------|--------|--------|---------|---------------------------------------------|
| Market Price | 9.85% | 9.11% | 3.48% | 4.09% |
| NAV | 8.64% | 10.80% | 3.05% | 4.04% |

All Fund returns are net of fees and expenses.

⁽¹⁾ Performance quoted represents past performance. Past performance is not a guarantee or a reliable indicator of future results. Current performance may be lower or higher than performance shown. Investment return and the principal value of an investment will fluctuate. Total return, market price, NAV, market price distribution yield, and NAV distribution yield will fluctuate with changes in market conditions. For performance current to the most recent month-end, visit www.pimco.com or call (844) 33-PIMCO.

⁽²⁾ Distribution yields are not performance and are calculated by annualizing the most recent distribution per share and dividing by the NAV or Market Price, as applicable, as of the reported date. Distributions may be comprised of ordinary income, net capital gains, and/or a return of capital (ROC) of your investment in the Fund. Because the distribution rate may include a ROC, it should not be confused with yield or income. If the Fund estimates that a portion of its distribution may be comprised of amounts from sources other than net investment income, the Fund will notify shareholders of the estimated composition of such distribution through a Section 19 Notice. Please refer to the most recent Section 19 Notice, if applicable, for additional information regarding the composition of distributions. Please visit www.pimco.com for most recent Section 19 Notice, if applicable. Final determination of a distribution's tax character will be made on Form 1099-DIV sent to shareholders each January.

⁽³⁾ Represents regulatory leverage outstanding, as a percentage of total managed assets. Regulatory leverage may include preferred shares, tender option bond transactions, reverse repurchase agreements, and other borrowings (collectively "Regulatory Leverage"). Total managed assets refer to total assets (including assets attributable to Regulatory Leverage that may be outstanding) minus accrued liabilities (other than liabilities representing Leverage).

12 PIMCO CLOSED-END FUNDS

Table of Contents

Portfolio Insights

- » PIMCO California Municipal Income Fund II's primary investment objective is to seek current income exempt from federal and California income tax.

- » The Fund's overweight to effective duration (or sensitivity to changes in market interest rates) contributed to performance, as municipal yields moved lower across the yield curve during the reporting period.

- » An overweight to the revenue-backed sector contributed to performance, as the sector outperformed the overall municipal market during the reporting period.

- » An overweight to the tobacco sector contributed to performance, as the sector outperformed the overall municipal market during the reporting period.

- » Exposure to the health care sector contributed to performance, as the sector outperformed the overall municipal market during the reporting period.

- » An underweight to the education sector detracted from performance, as the sector outperformed the overall municipal market during the reporting period.

- » An underweight to the transportation sector detracted from performance, as the sector outperformed the overall municipal market during the reporting period.

- » An underweight to the water and sewer utility sector detracted from performance, as the sector outperformed the overall municipal market during the reporting period.

Table of Contents**PIMCO New York Municipal Income Fund II**Symbol on NYSE - **PNI**

Allocation Breakdown

| | |
|------------------------|-------|
| New York | 94.8% |
| Short-Term Instruments | 3.0% |
| Ohio | 0.6% |
| Louisiana | 0.6% |
| Florida | 0.5% |
| Other | 0.5% |

% of Investments, at value as of 05/31/15

Fund Information (as of May 31, 2015)⁽¹⁾

| | |
|------------------------------------------|---------|
| Market Price | \$12.32 |
| NAV | \$11.28 |
| Premium/(Discount) to NAV | 9.22% |
| Market Price | |
| Distribution Yield ⁽²⁾ | 6.45% |
| NAV Distribution Yield ⁽²⁾ | 7.05% |
| Regulatory Leverage Ratio ⁽³⁾ | 41% |

Average Annual Total Return ⁽¹⁾ for the period ended May 31, 2015

| | 1 Year | 5 Year | 10 Year | Commencement of Operations (06/28/02) |
|--------------|--------|--------|---------|---------------------------------------------|
| Market Price | 9.89% | 8.99% | 5.10% | 5.37% |
| NAV | 10.25% | 8.39% | 4.48% | 5.16% |

All Fund returns are net of fees and expenses.

⁽¹⁾ Performance quoted represents past performance. Past performance is not a guarantee or a reliable indicator of future results. Current performance may be lower or higher than performance shown. Investment return and the principal value of an investment will fluctuate. Total return, market price, NAV, market price distribution yield, and NAV distribution yield will fluctuate with changes in market conditions. For performance current to the most recent month-end, visit www.pimco.com or call (844) 33-PIMCO.

⁽²⁾ Distribution yields are not performance and are calculated by annualizing the most recent distribution per share and dividing by the NAV or Market Price, as applicable, as of the reported date. Distributions may be comprised of ordinary income, net capital gains, and/or a return of capital (ROC) of your investment in the Fund. Because the distribution rate may include a ROC, it should not be confused with yield or income. If the Fund estimates that a portion of its distribution may be comprised of amounts from sources other than net investment income, the Fund will notify shareholders of the estimated composition of such distribution through a Section 19 Notice. Please refer to the most recent Section 19 Notice, if applicable, for additional information regarding the composition of distributions. Please visit www.pimco.com for most recent Section 19 Notice, if applicable. Final determination of a distribution's tax character will be made on Form 1099 DIV sent to shareholders each January.

⁽³⁾ Represents regulatory leverage outstanding, as a percentage of total managed assets. Regulatory leverage may include preferred shares, tender option bond transactions, reverse repurchase agreements, and other borrowings (collectively "Regulatory Leverage"). Total managed assets refer to total assets (including assets attributable to Regulatory Leverage that may be outstanding) minus accrued liabilities (other than liabilities representing Leverage).

14 PIMCO CLOSED-END FUNDS

Table of Contents

Portfolio Insights

- » PIMCO New York Municipal Income Fund II's primary investment objective is to seek current income exempt from federal, New York State and New York City income tax.
- » The Fund's overweight to effective duration (or sensitivity to changes in market interest rates) contributed to performance, as municipal yields moved lower across the yield curve during the reporting period.
- » An overweight to the revenue-backed sector contributed to performance, as the sector outperformed the overall municipal market during the reporting period.
- » Exposure to the tobacco sector contributed to performance, as the sector outperformed the overall municipal market during the reporting period.
- » An overweight to the health care sector contributed to performance, as the sector outperformed the overall municipal market during the reporting period.
- » An overweight to the industrial revenue sector contributed to performance, as the sector outperformed the overall municipal market during the reporting period.
- » An underweight to the water and sewer utility sector detracted from performance, as the sector outperformed the overall municipal market during the reporting period.
- » Select exposure to the special tax sector detracted from performance during the reporting period.

Table of Contents**Financial Highlights**

| Selected Per Common Share Data for the Year Ended: | Net Asset Value Beginning of Year | Net Investment Income (^a) | Net Realized/ Unrealized Gain (Loss) | Total from Investment Operations | Distributions on Preferred Shares from Net Investment Income | Net Increase in Net Assets Applicable to Common Shareholders Resulting from Investment Operations | Distributions to Common Shareholders from Net Investment Income | Tax Basis Return of Capital |
|-------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|
| PIMCO Municipal Income Fund II | | | | | | | | |
| 05/31/2015 | \$ 11.94 | \$ 0.81 | \$ 0.15 | \$ 0.96 | \$ (0.01) | \$ 0.95 | \$ (0.78) | \$ 0.00 |
| 05/31/2014 | 12.17 | 0.81 | (0.25) | 0.56 | (0.01) | 0.55 | (0.78) | 0.00 |
| 05/31/2013 | 11.91 | 0.82 | 0.23 | 1.05 | (0.01) | 1.04 | (0.78) | 0.00 |
| 05/31/2012 | 10.12 | 0.88 | 1.70 | 2.58 | (0.01) | 2.57 | (0.78) | 0.00 |
| 05/31/2011 | 10.77 | 0.91 | (0.75) | 0.16 | (0.03) | 0.13 | (0.78) | 0.00 |
| PIMCO California Municipal Income Fund II | | | | | | | | |
| 05/31/2015 | \$ 8.61 | \$ 0.66 | \$ 0.08 | \$ 0.74 | \$ (0.01) | \$ 0.73 | \$ (0.65) | \$ 0.00 |
| 05/31/2014 | 8.93 | 0.68 | (0.26) | 0.42 | (0.01) | 0.41 | (0.66) | (0.07) |
| 05/31/2013 | 8.65 | 0.69 | 0.35 | 1.04 | (0.01) | 1.03 | (0.68) | (0.07) |
| 05/31/2012 | 7.38 | 0.71 | 1.32 | 2.03 | (0.01) | 2.02 | (0.70) | (0.05) |
| 05/31/2011 | 8.11 | 0.74 | (0.70) | 0.04 | (0.02) | 0.02 | (0.75) | 0.00 |
| PIMCO New York Municipal Income Fund II | | | | | | | | |
| 05/31/2015 | \$ 10.98 | \$ 0.75 | \$ 0.36 | \$ 1.11 | \$ (0.01) | \$ 1.10 | \$ (0.80) | \$ 0.00 |
| 05/31/2014 | 11.32 | 0.75 | (0.28) | 0.47 | (0.01) | 0.46 | (0.80) | 0.00 |
| 05/31/2013 | 11.37 | 0.79 | (0.02) | 0.77 | (0.02) | 0.75 | (0.80) | 0.00 |
| 05/31/2012 | 10.10 | 0.85 | 1.24 | 2.09 | (0.02) | 2.07 | (0.80) | 0.00 |
| 05/31/2011 | 10.90 | 0.88 | (0.85) | 0.03 | (0.03) | 0.00 | (0.80) | 0.00 |

(a) Per share amounts based on average number of common shares outstanding during the year.

(b) Total investment return is calculated assuming a purchase of a common share at the market price on the first day and a sale of a common share at the market price on the last day of each year reported. Dividends and distributions, if any, are assumed, for purposes of this calculation, to be reinvested at prices obtained under the Funds' dividend reinvestment plan. Total investment return does not reflect brokerage commissions in connection with the purchase or sale of Fund shares.

(c) Calculated on the basis of income and expenses applicable to both common and preferred shares relative to the average net assets of common shareholders.

(d) Interest expense primarily relates to participation in borrowing and financing transactions, see Note 5 in the Notes to Financial Statements for more information.

Table of Contents

| Total Distributions to Common Shareholders | Net Asset Value End of Year | Market Price End of Year | Total Investment Return ^(b) | Net Assets Applicable to Common Shareholders End of Year (000s) | Ratio of Expenses to Average Net Assets ^{(c)(d)} | Ratio of Expenses to Average Net Assets Excluding Waivers ^(c) | Ratio of Expenses to Average Net Assets Excluding Interest Expense ^(c) | Ratio of Expenses to Average Net Assets Excluding Interest Expense and Waivers ^(c) | Ratio of Net Investment Income to Average Net Assets ^(c) | Preferred Shares Asset Coverage Per Share | Portfolio Turnover Rate |
|--------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| \$ (0.78) | \$ 12.11 | \$ 12.19 | 6.15% | \$ 742,133 | 1.16% | 1.16% | 1.11% | 1.11% | 6.65% | \$ 75,553 | 10% |
| (0.78) | 11.94 | 12.25 | 7.76 | 730,088 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 7.22 | 74,733 | 16 |
| (0.78) | 12.17 | 12.19 | 3.41 | 741,368 | 1.16 | 1.17 | 1.11 | 1.12 | 6.74 | 75,501 | 16 |
| (0.78) | 11.91 | 12.54 | 28.70 | 722,161 | 1.19 | 1.26 | 1.11 | 1.18 | 8.04 | 74,192 | 26 |
| (0.78) | 10.12 | 10.45 | 1.30 | 610,800 | 1.37 | 1.37 | 1.24 | 1.24 | 8.80 | 66,606 | 21 |
| \$ (0.65) | \$ 8.69 | \$ 9.75 | 9.85% | \$ 276,525 | 1.32% | 1.32% | 1.21% | 1.21% | 7.48% | \$ 67,411 | 12% |
| (0.73) | 8.61 | 9.52 | (1.76) | 273,289 | 1.41 | 1.41 | 1.30 | 1.30 | 8.51 | 66,915 | 14 |
| (0.75) | 8.93 | 10.51 | 11.41 | 282,181 | 1.34 | 1.35 | 1.23 | 1.24 | 7.65 | 68,279 | 13 |
| (0.75) | 8.65 | 10.15 | 19.59 | 272,570 | 1.44 | 1.52 | 1.24 | 1.32 | 8.99 | 66,804 | 25 |
| (0.75) | 7.38 | 9.21 | 7.53 | 231,486 | 1.55 | 1.55 | 1.37 | 1.37 | 9.73 | 60,503 | 15 |
| \$ (0.80) | \$ 11.28 | \$ 12.32 | 9.89% | \$ 124,424 | 1.40% | 1.40% | 1.33% | 1.33% | 6.65% | \$ 64,373 | 7% |
| (0.80) | 10.98 | 12.01 | 7.83 | 120,520 | 1.51 | 1.51 | 1.45 | 1.45 | 7.30 | 63,139 | 5 |
| (0.80) | 11.32 | 12.01 | 4.14 | 123,685 | 1.42 | 1.43 | 1.33 | 1.34 | 6.78 | 64,140 | 25 |
| (0.80) | 11.37 | 12.29 | 20.97 | 123,667 | 1.45 | 1.53 | 1.36 | 1.44 | 7.86 | 64,135 | 18 |
| (0.80) | 10.10 | 10.92 | 3.03 | 109,256 | 1.55 | 1.55 | 1.44 | 1.44 | 8.46 | 59,574 | 7 |

ANNUAL REPORT MAY 31, 2015 17

Table of Contents**Statements of Assets and Liabilities**

May 31, 2015

| (Amounts in thousands, except per share amounts) | PIMCO Municipal Income Fund II | PIMCO California Municipal Income Fund II | PIMCO New York Municipal Income Fund II |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| Assets: | | | |
| <i>Investments, at value</i> | | | |
| Investments in securities* | \$ 1,147,309 | \$ 463,354 | \$ 209,476 |
| Cash | 532 | 505 | 514 |
| Receivable for investments sold | 4,687 | 10 | 0 |
| Interest receivable | 17,474 | 6,005 | 2,829 |
| Other assets | 11 | 5 | 5 |
| Total Assets | 1,170,013 | 469,879 | 212,824 |
| Liabilities: | | | |
| <i>Borrowings & Other Financing Transactions</i> | | | |
| Payable for tender option bond floating rate certificates | \$ 40,118 | \$ 28,209 | \$ 8,210 |
| Payable for investments purchased | 15,765 | 0 | 0 |
| Distributions payable to common shareholders | 3,982 | 1,710 | 731 |
| Distributions payable to preferred shareholders | 10 | 5 | 2 |
| Accrued management fees | 645 | 263 | 127 |
| Other liabilities | 360 | 167 | 330 |
| Total Liabilities | 60,880 | 30,354 | 9,400 |
| Preferred Shares (\$0.00001 par value and \$25,000 liquidation preference per share applicable to an aggregate of 14,680, 6,520, and 3,160 shares issued and outstanding, respectively) | 367,000 | 163,000 | 79,000 |
| Net Assets Applicable to Common Shareholders | \$ 742,133 | \$ 276,525 | \$ 124,424 |
| Composition of Net Assets Applicable to Common Shareholders: | | | |
| Common Shares: | | | |
| Par value (\$0.00001 per share) | \$ 1 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 |
| Paid in capital | 802,409 | 407,787 | 148,899 |
| Undistributed (overdistributed) net investment income | 25,414 | (1,482) | 531 |
| Accumulated undistributed net realized (loss) | (184,984) | (181,305) | (43,637) |
| Net unrealized appreciation | 99,293 | 51,525 | 18,631 |
| | \$ 742,133 | \$ 276,525 | \$ 124,424 |
| Common Shares Issued and Outstanding | 61,268 | 31,812 | 11,026 |
| Net Asset Value Per Common Share | \$ 12.11 | \$ 8.69 | \$ 11.28 |
| Cost of Investments in Securities | \$ 1,048,016 | \$ 411,828 | \$ 190,833 |
| * Includes repurchase agreements of: | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 5,300 |

A zero balance may reflect actual amounts rounding to less than one thousand.

Table of Contents**Statements of Operations**

Year Ended May 31, 2015

| (Amounts in thousands) | PIMCO Municipal Income Fund II | PIMCO California Municipal Income Fund II | PIMCO New York Municipal Income Fund II |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| Investment Income: | | | |
| Interest | \$ 58,095 | \$ 24,531 | \$ 9,972 |
| Total Income | 58,095 | 24,531 | 9,972 |
| Expenses: | | | |
| Management fees | 7,518 | 3,053 | 1,449 |
| Auction agent fees and commissions | 567 | 261 | 129 |
| Trustee fees and related expenses | 68 | 27 | 13 |
| Interest expense | 380 | 305 | 83 |
| Auction rate preferred shares related expenses | 13 | 13 | 13 |
| Operating expense pre-transition ^(a) | | | |
| Custodian and accounting agent | 39 | 21 | 16 |
| Audit and tax services | 13 | 11 | 10 |
| Shareholder communications | 13 | 6 | 4 |
| New York Stock Exchange listing | 16 | 8 | 7 |
| Transfer agent | 7 | 6 | 7 |
| Legal | 5 | 1 | 1 |
| Insurance | 6 | 3 | 2 |
| Total Expenses | 8,645 | 3,715 | 1,734 |
| Net Investment Income | 49,450 | 20,816 | 8,238 |
| Net Realized Gain (Loss): | | | |
| Investments in securities | 1,136 | 6,746 | (515) |
| Net Realized Gain (Loss): | 1,136 | 6,746 | (515) |
| Net Change in Unrealized Appreciation (Depreciation): | | | |
| Investments in securities | 8,054 | (4,455) | 4,505 |
| Net Change in Unrealized Appreciation (Depreciation) | 8,054 | (4,455) | 4,505 |
| Net Increase in Net Assets Resulting from Operations | 58,640 | 23,107 | 12,228 |
| Distributions on Preferred Shares from Net Investment Income | (420) | (188) | (90) |
| Net Increase in Net Assets Applicable to Common Shareholders Resulting from Operations | \$ 58,220 | \$ 22,919 | \$ 12,138 |

A zero balance may reflect actual amounts rounding to less than one thousand.

^(a) These expenses were incurred by each Fund prior to the close of business on September 5, 2014. Subsequent to the close of business on September 5, 2014, any such operating expenses are borne by PIMCO.

Table of Contents**Statements of Changes in Net Assets**

| (Amounts in thousands) | PIMCO Municipal Income Fund II | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|
| | Year Ended May 31, 2015 | Year Ended May 31, 2014 |
| Increase (Decrease) in Net Assets from: | | |
| Operations: | | |
| Net investment income | \$ 49,450 | \$ 49,179 |
| Net realized gain (loss) | 1,136 | (3,169) |
| Net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) | 8,054 | (11,994) |
| Net increase in net assets resulting from operations | 58,640 | 34,016 |
| Distributions on Preferred Shares from Net Investment Income | (420) | (426) |
| Net increase in net assets applicable to common shareholders resulting from operations | 58,220 | 33,590 |
| Distributions to Common Shareholders**: | | |
| From net investment income | (47,740) | (47,596) |
| Tax basis return of capital | 0 | 0 |
| Total Distributions to Common Shareholders | (47,740) | (47,596) |
| Common Share Transactions**: | | |
| Issued as reinvestment of distributions | 1,565 | 2,726 |
| Net increase resulting from common share transactions | 1,565 | 2,726 |
| Total Increase (Decrease) in Net Assets | 12,045 | (11,280) |
| Net Assets Applicable to Common Shareholders: | | |
| Beginning of year | 730,088 | 741,368 |
| End of year* | \$ 742,133 | \$ 730,088 |
| * Including undistributed (overdistributed) net investment income of: | \$ 25,414 | \$ 24,160 |
| ** Common Share Transactions: | | |
| Share issued as reinvestment of distributions | 128 | 243 |

A zero balance may reflect actual amounts rounding to less than one thousand.

Table of Contents

| PIMCO California Municipal Income Fund II | | PIMCO New York Municipal Income Fund II | |
|----------------------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Year Ended May 31, 2015 | Year Ended May 31, 2014 | Year Ended May 31, 2015 | Year Ended May 31, 2014 |
| \$ 20,816 | \$ 21,384 | \$ 8,238 | \$ 8,148 |
| 6,746 | (3,108) | (515) | (309) |
| (4,455) | (4,794) | 4,505 | (2,765) |
| 23,107 | 13,482 | 12,228 | 5,074 |
| (188) | (190) | (90) | (91) |
| 22,919 | 13,292 | 12,138 | 4,983 |
| (20,493) | (20,949) | (8,750) | (8,711) |
| 0 | (2,253) | 0 | 0 |
| (20,493) | (23,202) | (8,750) | (8,711) |
| 810 | 1,018 | 516 | 563 |
| 810 | 1,018 | 516 | 563 |
| 3,236 | (8,892) | 3,904 | (3,165) |
| 273,289 | 282,181 | 120,520 | 123,685 |
| \$ 276,525 | \$ 273,289 | \$ 124,424 | \$ 120,520 |
| \$ (1,482) | \$ (1,707) | \$ 531 | \$ 1,140 |
| 89 | 113 | 45 | 54 |

Table of Contents**Schedule of Investments PIMCO Municipal Income Fund II**

| | PRINCIPAL AMOUNT (000S) | MARKET VALUE (000S) |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|
| INVESTMENTS IN SECURITIES 154.6% | | |
| MUNICIPAL BONDS & NOTES 151.9% | | |
| ALABAMA 5.5% | | |
| Alabama Docks Department State Revenue Bonds, Series 2010 | | |
| 6.000% due 10/01/2040 | \$ 2,000 | \$ 2,319 |
| Birmingham-Baptist Medical Centers Special Care Facilities Financing Authority, Alabama Revenue Bonds, Series 2005 | | |
| 5.000% due 11/15/2030 | 1,000 | 1,015 |
| Jefferson County, Alabama Sewer Revenue Bonds, Series 2013 | | |
| 0.000% due 10/01/2050 (b) | 21,000 | 13,543 |
| 6.500% due 10/01/2053 | 21,000 | 24,244 |
| | | 41,121 |
| ARIZONA 13.0% | | |
| Arizona Health Facilities Authority Revenue Bonds, Series 2008 | | |
| 5.000% due 01/01/2035 | 3,500 | 3,738 |
| 5.500% due 01/01/2038 | 2,860 | 3,103 |
| Industrial Development Authority of the County, Arizona of Pima Revenue Bonds, Series 2010 | | |
| 5.250% due 10/01/2040 | 1,500 | 1,663 |
| Pima County, Arizona Industrial Development Authority Revenue Bonds, Series 2008 | | |
| 5.000% due 09/01/2039 | 29,700 | 31,959 |
| Pinal County, Arizona Electric District No. 3 Revenue Bonds, Series 2011 | | |
| 5.250% due 07/01/2036 | 1,750 | 1,966 |
| 5.250% due 07/01/2041 | 3,700 | 4,098 |
| Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement & Power District, Arizona Revenue Bonds, Series 2009 | | |
| 5.000% due 01/01/2039 (c) | 10,000 | 11,027 |
| Salt Verde Financial Corp., Arizona Revenue Bonds, Series 2007 | | |
| 5.000% due 12/01/2032 | 12,430 | 13,950 |
| 5.000% due 12/01/2037 | 22,400 | 24,957 |
| | | 96,461 |
| CALIFORNIA 21.3% | | |
| Bay Area Toll Authority, California Revenue Bonds, Series 2008 | | |
| 5.000% due 04/01/2034 | 1,430 | 1,589 |
| Bay Area Toll Authority, California Revenue Bonds, Series 2010 | | |
| 5.000% due 10/01/2029 | 6,000 | 6,895 |
| Bay Area Toll Authority, California Revenue Bonds, Series 2013 | | |
| 5.250% due 04/01/2048 | 5,000 | 5,587 |
| | PRINCIPAL AMOUNT (000S) | MARKET VALUE (000S) |
| California Health Facilities Financing Authority Revenue Bonds, (IBC/NPFGC Insured), Series 2007 | | |
| 5.000% due 11/15/2042 | \$ 6,300 | \$ 6,594 |
| California Health Facilities Financing Authority Revenue Bonds, Series 2010 | | |
| 5.000% due 11/15/2036 | 1,500 | 1,665 |
| 8.110% due 11/15/2036 (d) | 5,000 | 6,195 |

Edgar Filing: PIMCO MUNICIPAL INCOME FUND II - Form N-CSR

| | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|--------|
| California Health Facilities Financing Authority Revenue Bonds, Series 2011 | | |
| 6.000% due 08/15/2042 | 3,000 | 3,522 |
| California Municipal Finance Authority Revenue Bonds, Series 2011 | | |
| 7.750% due 04/01/2031 | 2,760 | 3,501 |
| California State General Obligation Bonds, Series 2007 | | |
| 5.000% due 11/01/2032 | 2,925 | 3,170 |
| 5.000% due 06/01/2037 | 1,590 | 1,696 |
| California State General Obligation Bonds, Series 2008 | | |
| 5.125% due 08/01/2036 | 5,200 | 5,715 |
| 5.250% due 03/01/2038 | 2,500 | 2,729 |
| California State General Obligation Bonds, Series 2009 | | |
| 6.000% due 04/01/2038 | 9,500 | 11,131 |
| California State General Obligation Bonds, Series 2010 | | |
| 5.250% due 11/01/2040 | 5,945 | 6,862 |
| 5.500% due 03/01/2040 | 5,750 | 6,676 |
| California Statewide Communities Development Authority Revenue Bonds, (FHA Insured), Series 2009 | | |
| 6.625% due 08/01/2029 | 4,890 | 5,832 |
| 6.750% due 02/01/2038 | 17,415 | 20,686 |
| California Statewide Communities Development Authority Revenue Bonds, Series 2007 | | |
| 5.750% due 11/01/2017 | 1,935 | 2,036 |
| California Statewide Communities Development Authority Revenue Bonds, Series 2010 | | |
| 5.000% due 11/01/2040 | 1,000 | 1,084 |
| California Statewide Communities Development Authority Revenue Bonds, Series 2011 | | |
| 5.000% due 12/01/2041 | 1,000 | 1,086 |
| 6.000% due 08/15/2042 | 5,690 | 6,679 |
| 6.500% due 11/01/2021 | 630 | 684 |
| Golden State, California Tobacco Securitization Corp. Revenue Bonds, Series 2015 | | |
| 5.000% due 06/01/2045 | 9,000 | 9,813 |
| Hayward Unified School District, California General Obligation Bonds, Series 2008 | | |
| 5.000% due 08/01/2033 | 2,000 | 2,090 |
| Indian Wells Redevelopment Agency, California Tax Allocation Bonds, (AMBAC Insured), Series 2006 | | |
| 4.750% due 09/01/2034 | 1,500 | 1,520 |

Table of Contents

May 31, 2015

| | PRINCIPAL AMOUNT (000S) | MARKET VALUE (000S) |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Los Angeles Community College District, California General Obligation Bonds, (FGIC Insured), Series 2007 | | |
| 5.000% due 08/01/2032 | \$ 2,000 | \$ 2,184 |
| Los Angeles Department of Water & Power, California Revenue Bonds, (AMBAC Insured), Series 2007 | | |
| 5.000% due 07/01/2039 | 4,000 | 4,296 |
| Los Angeles Unified School District, California General Obligation Bonds, (AMBAC Insured), Series 2005 | | |
| 5.000% due 07/01/2030 | 5,000 | 5,019 |
| M-S-R Energy Authority, California Revenue Bonds, Series 2009 | | |
| 6.500% due 11/01/2039 | 1,750 | 2,309 |
| Montebello Unified School District, California General Obligation Bonds, (AGM Insured), Series 2008 | | |
| 5.000% due 08/01/2033 | 2,000 | 2,244 |
| Newport Beach, California Revenue Bonds, Series 2011 | | |
| 5.875% due 12/01/2030 | 3,000 | 3,748 |
| Peralta Community College District, California General Obligation Bonds, Series 2009 | | |
| 5.000% due 08/01/2039 | 500 | 560 |
| San Diego County, California Water Authority Certificates of Participation Bonds, (AGM Insured), Series 2008 | | |
| 5.000% due 05/01/2038 | 2,000 | 2,174 |
| San Marcos Unified School District, California General Obligation Bonds, Series 2011 | | |
| 5.000% due 08/01/2038 | 3,300 | 3,675 |
| Santa Clara County, California Financing Authority Revenue Bonds, (AMBAC Insured), Series 2007 | | |
| 5.750% due 02/01/2041 | 2,000 | 2,216 |
| Torrance, California Revenue Bonds, Series 2010 | | |
| 5.000% due 09/01/2040 | 4,725 | 5,006 |
| | | 158,468 |
| COLORADO 2.3% | | |
| Aurora, Colorado Revenue Bonds, Series 2010 | | |
| 5.000% due 12/01/2040 | 5,800 | 6,248 |
| Colorado Health Facilities Authority Revenue Bonds, Series 2007 | | |
| 5.900% due 08/01/2037 | 1,000 | 1,007 |
| Colorado Health Facilities Authority Revenue Bonds, Series 2010 | | |
| 5.000% due 01/01/2040 | 6,045 | 6,529 |
| Denver Health & Hospital Authority, Colorado Revenue Bonds, Series 2010 | | |
| 5.625% due 12/01/2040 | 1,000 | 1,100 |
| | PRINCIPAL AMOUNT (000S) | MARKET VALUE (000S) |
| Public Authority for Colorado Energy Revenue Bonds, Series 2008 | | |
| 6.500% due 11/15/2038 | \$ 1,430 | \$ 1,910 |
| | | 16,794 |
| CONNECTICUT 0.3% | | |
| Connecticut State Health & Educational Facility Authority Revenue Bonds, Series 2011 | | |
| 5.000% due 07/01/2041 | 1,000 | 1,070 |
| Harbor Point Infrastructure Improvement District, Connecticut Tax Allocation Bonds, Series 2010 | | |
| 7.875% due 04/01/2039 | 1,250 | 1,472 |
| | | 2,542 |

Edgar Filing: PIMCO MUNICIPAL INCOME FUND II - Form N-CSR

FLORIDA 7.6%

| | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|--------|
| Brevard County, Florida Health Facilities Authority Revenue Bonds, Series 2009 | | |
| 7.000% due 04/01/2039 | 1,000 | 1,210 |
| Broward County, Florida Airport System Revenue Bonds, Series 2009 | | |
| 5.375% due 10/01/2029 | 600 | 682 |
| Broward County, Florida Airport System Revenue Bonds, Series 2012 | | |
| 5.000% due 10/01/2042 | 12,100 | 13,150 |
| Broward County, Florida Water & Sewer Utility Revenue Bonds, Series 2009 | | |
| 5.250% due 10/01/2034 (c) | 8,500 | 9,528 |
| Clearwater, Florida Water & Sewer Revenue Bonds, Series 2009 | | |
| 5.250% due 12/01/2039 | 1,000 | 1,141 |
| Florida Development Finance Corp. Revenue Notes, Series 2011 | | |
| 6.500% due 06/15/2021 | 300 | 322 |
| Florida State General Obligation Bonds, Series 2009 | | |
| 5.000% due 06/01/2038 (c) | 7,900 | 8,687 |
| Highlands County, Florida Health Facilities Authority Revenue Bonds, Series 2005 | | |
| 5.000% due 11/15/2031 | 1,830 | 1,870 |
| Highlands County, Florida Health Facilities Authority Revenue Bonds, Series 2008 | | |
| 5.625% due 11/15/2037 | 3,000 | 3,429 |
| Orlando-Orange County, Florida Expressway Authority Revenue Bonds, Series 2010 | | |
| 5.000% due 07/01/2040 | 10,000 | 10,970 |
| Sarasota County, Florida Health Facilities Authority Revenue Bonds, Series 2007 | | |
| 5.750% due 07/01/2037 | 500 | 506 |
| Sumter Landing Community Development District, Florida Revenue Bonds, (NPFGC Insured), Series 2005 | | |
| 4.750% due 10/01/2035 | 5,000 | 5,000 |
| | | 56,495 |

See Accompanying Notes

ANNUAL REPORT

MAY 31, 2015 23

Table of Contents**Schedule of Investments PIMCO Municipal Income Fund II (Cont.)**

| | PRINCIPAL AMOUNT (000S) | MARKET VALUE (000S) |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|
| GEORGIA 1.2% | | |
| Atlanta Department of Aviation, Georgia Revenue Bonds, Series 2010 | | |
| 5.000% due 01/01/2040 | \$ 1,500 | \$ 1,639 |
| Atlanta Development Authority, Georgia Revenue Bonds, Series 2015 | | |
| 5.000% due 07/01/2044 | 3,895 | 4,215 |
| Medical Center Hospital Authority, Georgia Revenue Bonds, Series 2007 | | |
| 5.250% due 07/01/2037 | 2,775 | 2,799 |
| | | 8,653 |
| ILLINOIS 10.2% | | |
| Chicago, Illinois General Obligation Bonds, Series 2007 | | |
| 5.500% due 01/01/2035 | 10,000 | 10,007 |
| 5.500% due 01/01/2042 | 1,250 | 1,243 |
| Chicago, Illinois Motor Fuel Tax Revenue Bonds, (AGC Insured), Series 2008 | | |
| 5.000% due 01/01/2038 | 1,250 | 1,243 |
| Chicago, Illinois Special Assessment Bonds, Series 2003 | | |
| 6.625% due 12/01/2022 | 2,277 | 2,281 |
| 6.750% due 12/01/2032 | 5,406 | 5,416 |
| Hillside Village, Illinois Tax Allocation Bonds, Series 2008 | | |
| 6.550% due 01/01/2020 | 3,085 | 3,340 |
| 7.000% due 01/01/2028 | 2,900 | 3,150 |
| Illinois Finance Authority Revenue Bonds, Series 2007 | | |
| 5.750% due 05/15/2031 | 2,500 | 2,690 |
| 6.000% due 03/01/2037 ^ | 250 | 20 |
| Illinois Finance Authority Revenue Bonds, Series 2009 | | |
| 5.500% due 07/01/2037 (c) | 5,000 | 5,653 |
| 7.125% due 11/15/2037 | 700 | 830 |
| Illinois Finance Authority Revenue Bonds, Series 2010 | | |
| 6.000% due 05/01/2028 | 2,000 | 2,270 |
| Illinois Sports Facilities Authority Revenue Bonds, (AMBAC Insured), Series 2001 | | |
| 5.500% due 06/15/2030 | 37,000 | 37,457 |
| | | 75,600 |
| INDIANA 0.7% | | |
| Indiana Finance Authority Revenue Bonds, Series 2009 | | |
| 6.000% due 08/01/2039 | 1,500 | 1,727 |
| Vigo County, Indiana Hospital Authority Revenue Bonds, Series 2007 | | |
| 5.800% due 09/01/2047 | 990 | 1,031 |
| | PRINCIPAL AMOUNT (000S) | MARKET VALUE (000S) |
| Vigo County, Indiana Hospital Authority Revenue Bonds, Series 2011 | | |
| 7.500% due 09/01/2022 | \$ 1,900 | \$ 2,242 |
| | | 5,000 |

Edgar Filing: PIMCO MUNICIPAL INCOME FUND II - Form N-CSR

IOWA 3.6%

| | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|--------|
| Iowa Finance Authority Revenue Bonds, Series 2007 | | |
| 6.750% due 11/15/2042 | 4,500 | 4,740 |
| Iowa Finance Authority Revenue Bonds, Series 2013 | | |
| 5.250% due 12/01/2025 | 6,000 | 6,672 |
| Iowa Finance Authority Revenue Bonds, Series 2014 | | |
| 2.000% due 05/15/2056 ^ | 144 | 1 |
| 2.700% due 11/15/2046 ^ | 769 | 313 |
| Iowa Finance Authority Revenue Notes, Series 2013 | | |
| 5.500% due 12/01/2022 | 5,000 | 5,318 |
| Iowa Tobacco Settlement Authority Revenue Bonds, Series 2005 | | |
| 5.600% due 06/01/2034 | 10,350 | 9,630 |
| | | 26,674 |

KANSAS 0.2%

| | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|-------|
| Kansas Development Finance Authority Revenue Bonds, Series 2009 | | |
| 5.750% due 11/15/2038 | 500 | 575 |
| Manhattan, Kansas Revenue Bonds, Series 2007 | | |
| 5.000% due 05/15/2036 | 850 | 851 |
| | | 1,426 |

KENTUCKY 0.2%

| | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|-------|
| Kentucky Economic Development Finance Authority Revenue Bonds, Series 2010 | | |
| 6.375% due 06/01/2040 | 1,000 | 1,146 |

LOUISIANA 1.1%

| | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|-------|
| Louisiana Local Government Environmental Facilities & Community Development Authority Revenue Bonds, Series 2010 | | |
| 5.875% due 10/01/2040 | 750 | 875 |
| 6.000% due 10/01/2044 | 1,000 | 1,170 |
| 6.500% due 11/01/2035 | 450 | 538 |
| Louisiana Public Facilities Authority Revenue Bonds, Series 2007 | | |
| 5.500% due 05/15/2047 | 3,300 | 3,442 |
| Louisiana Public Facilities Authority Revenue Bonds, Series 2011 | | |
| 6.500% due 05/15/2037 | 2,000 | 2,341 |
| | | 8,366 |

Table of Contents

May 31, 2015

| | PRINCIPAL AMOUNT (000S) | MARKET VALUE (000S) |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|
| MARYLAND 1.9% | | |
| Maryland Health & Higher Educational Facilities Authority Revenue Bonds, Series 2008 | | |
| 6.000% due 01/01/2043 | \$ 4,050 | \$ 4,364 |
| Maryland Health & Higher Educational Facilities Authority Revenue Bonds, Series 2010 | | |
| 6.250% due 01/01/2041 | 1,400 | 1,567 |
| Maryland Health & Higher Educational Facilities Authority Revenue Bonds, Series 2011 | | |
| 5.000% due 08/15/2041 | 2,380 | 2,547 |
| Maryland Health & Higher Educational Facilities Authority Revenue Bonds, Series 2014 | | |
| 5.000% due 07/01/2039 | 5,000 | 5,421 |
| | | 13,899 |
| MASSACHUSETTS 1.3% | | |
| Massachusetts Development Finance Agency Revenue Bonds, Series 2007 | | |
| 6.750% due 10/15/2037 | 4,610 | 4,781 |
| Massachusetts Development Finance Agency Revenue Bonds, Series 2010 | | |
| 7.000% due 07/01/2042 | 1,000 | 1,121 |
| 7.625% due 10/15/2037 | 565 | 625 |
| Massachusetts State College Building Authority Revenue Bonds, Series 2009 | | |
| 5.500% due 05/01/2039 | 2,900 | 3,303 |
| | | 9,830 |
| MICHIGAN 0.7% | | |
| Detroit, Michigan General Obligation Bonds, Series 2010 | | |
| 5.250% due 11/01/2035 | 1,000 | 1,081 |
| Michigan Public Educational Facilities Authority Revenue Bonds, Series 2007 | | |
| 6.500% due 09/01/2037 ^ | 800 | 456 |
| Royal Oak Hospital Finance Authority, Michigan Revenue Bonds, Series 2009 | | |
| 8.250% due 09/01/2039 | 3,000 | 3,674 |
| | | 5,211 |
| MINNESOTA 0.4% | | |
| North Oaks, Minnesota Revenue Bonds, Series 2007 | | |
| 6.000% due 10/01/2033 | 2,640 | 2,803 |
| St Louis Park, Minnesota Revenue Bonds, Series 2009 | | |
| 5.750% due 07/01/2039 | 400 | 454 |
| | | 3,257 |
| | PRINCIPAL AMOUNT (000S) | MARKET VALUE (000S) |
| MISSISSIPPI 0.0% | | |
| Mississippi Development Bank Revenue Bonds, (AMBAC Insured), Series 1999 | | |
| 5.000% due 07/01/2024 | \$ 40 | \$ 40 |

Edgar Filing: PIMCO MUNICIPAL INCOME FUND II - Form N-CSR

MISSOURI 1.5%

| | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|--------|
| Lee s Summit, Missouri Tax Allocation Bonds, Series 2011 | | |
| 5.625% due 10/01/2023 | 430 | 431 |
| Missouri State Health & Educational Facilities Authority Revenue Bonds, Series 2013 | | |
| 5.000% due 11/15/2044 | 10,000 | 10,876 |
| | | 11,307 |

NEBRASKA 0.8%

| | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|-------|
| Public Power Generation Agency, Nebraska Revenue Bonds, Series 2015 | | |
| 5.000% due 01/01/2030 | 5,000 | 5,601 |

NEVADA 1.4%

| | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|--------|
| Clark County, Nevada General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006 | | |
| 4.750% due 11/01/2035 (c) | 10,000 | 10,407 |

NEW HAMPSHIRE 0.3%

| | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|-------|
| New Hampshire Business Finance Authority Revenue Bonds, Series 2009 | | |
| 6.125% due 10/01/2039 | 2,000 | 2,243 |

NEW JERSEY 8.0%

| | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|-------|
| Burlington County, New Jersey Bridge Commission Revenue Bonds, Series 2007 | | |
| 5.625% due 01/01/2038 | 950 | 979 |
| New Jersey Economic Development Authority Revenue Bonds, Series 1998 | | |
| 6.000% due 05/15/2028 | 525 | 280 |
| New Jersey Economic Development Authority Revenue Bonds, Series 2010 | | |
| 5.875% due 06/01/2042 | 2,000 | 2,244 |
| New Jersey Economic Development Authority Special Assessment Bonds, Series 2002 | | |
| 5.750% due 10/01/2021 | 4,000 | 4,439 |
| New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority Revenue Bonds, Series 2007 | | |
| 5.750% due 07/01/2037 | 1,500 | 1,562 |
| New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority Revenue Bonds, Series 2011 | | |
| 6.000% due 07/01/2037 | 1,500 | 1,782 |

See Accompanying Notes

ANNUAL REPORT

MAY 31, 2015 25

Table of Contents

Schedule of Investments PIMCO Municipal Income Fund II (Cont.)

| | PRINCIPAL AMOUNT (000S) | MARKET VALUE (000S) |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|
| New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority Revenue Bonds, Series 2013 | | |
| 5.500% due 07/01/2043 | \$ 4,000 | \$ 4,556 |
| New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority Revenue Bonds, Series 2014 | | |
| 5.000% due 07/01/2044 | 4,000 | 4,308 |
| New Jersey State Turnpike Authority Revenue Bonds, Series 2009 | | |
| 5.250% due 01/01/2040 | 2,000 | 2,188 |
| Tobacco Settlement Financing Corp., New Jersey Revenue Bonds, Series 2007 | | |
| 4.750% due 06/01/2034 | 14,255 | 10,852 |
| 5.000% due 06/01/2041 | 34,475 | 26,272 |
| | | 59,462 |
| NEW MEXICO 0.3% | | |
| Farmington, New Mexico Revenue Bonds, Series 2010 | | |
| 5.900% due 06/01/2040 | 2,000 | 2,211 |
| NEW YORK 20.8% | | |
| Hudson Yards Infrastructure Corp., New York Revenue Bonds, Series 2011 | | |
| 5.250% due 02/15/2047 | 33,500 | 36,721 |
| Metropolitan Transportation Authority, New York Revenue Bonds, Series 2011 | | |
| 5.000% due 11/15/2036 | 3,880 | 4,327 |
| Nassau County, New York Industrial Development Agency Revenue Bonds, Series 2014 | | |
| 2.000% due 01/01/2049 | 298 | 24 |
| 6.700% due 01/01/2049 | 825 | 805 |
| New York City, New York Water & Sewer System Revenue Bonds, Series 2005 | | |
| 5.000% due 06/15/2037 (c) | 2,830 | 2,835 |
| New York City, New York Water & Sewer System Revenue Bonds, Series 2007 | | |
| 4.750% due 06/15/2035 (c) | 4,000 | 4,260 |
| New York City, New York Water & Sewer System Revenue Bonds, Series 2009 | | |
| 5.000% due 06/15/2039 | 2,000 | 2,229 |
| New York Liberty Development Corp. Revenue Bonds, Series 2005 | | |
| 5.250% due 10/01/2035 (c) | 11,505 | 13,510 |
| New York Liberty Development Corp. Revenue Bonds, Series 2010 | | |
| 5.125% due 01/15/2044 | 1,000 | 1,112 |
| 5.625% due 07/15/2047 | 2,500 | 2,815 |
| 6.375% due 07/15/2049 | 1,250 | 1,409 |
| New York Liberty Development Corp. Revenue Bonds, Series 2011 | | |
| 5.000% due 12/15/2041 | 10,000 | 11,110 |
| 5.750% due 11/15/2051 | 54,000 | 62,001 |
| | PRINCIPAL AMOUNT (000S) | MARKET VALUE (000S) |
| New York Liberty Development Corp. Revenue Bonds, Series 2014 | | |
| 5.000% due 11/15/2044 | \$ 6,000 | \$ 6,104 |
| New York State Dormitory Authority Revenue Bonds, Series 2010 | | |
| 5.500% due 07/01/2040 | 1,750 | 1,992 |
| TSASC, Inc., New York Revenue Bonds, Series 2006 | | |
| 5.000% due 06/01/2026 | 3,000 | 3,027 |

Edgar Filing: PIMCO MUNICIPAL INCOME FUND II - Form N-CSR

154,281

NORTH CAROLINA 0.1%

North Carolina Medical Care Commission Revenue Bonds, Series 2006

5.100% due 10/01/2030 550 553

NORTH DAKOTA 0.5%

Stark County, North Dakota Revenue Bonds, Series 2007

6.750% due 01/01/2033 3,710 3,885

OHIO 8.0%

Buckeye Tobacco Settlement Financing Authority, Ohio Revenue Bonds, Series 2007

5.875% due 06/01/2047 29,100 23,452

6.500% due 06/01/2047 19,400 16,954

Hamilton County, Ohio Sales Tax Revenue Bonds, Series 2011

5.000% due 12/01/2030 3,900 4,323

Ohio State Revenue Bonds, Series 2009

5.500% due 01/01/2039 3,000 3,352

Ohio State Turnpike Commission Revenue Bonds, Series 2013

5.000% due 02/15/2048 10,000 10,983

59,064

OREGON 0.3%

Clackamas County, Oregon Hospital Facility Authority Revenue Bonds, Series 2009

5.500% due 07/15/2035 1,000 1,133

Oregon Department of Administrative Services State Certificates of Participation Bonds, Series 2009

5.250% due 05/01/2039 1,155 1,291

2,424

PENNSYLVANIA 8.5%

Berks County, Pennsylvania Municipal Authority Revenue Bonds, Series 2012

5.000% due 11/01/2044 7,500 8,056

Table of Contents

May 31, 2015

| | PRINCIPAL AMOUNT (000S) | MARKET VALUE (000S) |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Capital Region Water, Pennsylvania Revenue Bonds, Series 2007 | | |
| 6.000% due 09/01/2036 ^ | \$ 3,250 | \$ 1,950 |
| Cumberland County, Pennsylvania Municipal Authority Revenue Bonds, Series 2008 | | |
| 5.625% due 07/01/2028 | 1,000 | 1,083 |
| 6.000% due 07/01/2035 | 670 | 726 |
| Luzerne County, Pennsylvania Industrial Development Authority Revenue Bonds, Series 2009 | | |
| 5.500% due 12/01/2039 | 500 | 561 |
| Montgomery County Industrial Development Authority, Pennsylvania Revenue Bonds, (FHA Insured), Series 2010 | | |
| 5.375% due 08/01/2038 | 8,465 | 9,751 |
| Pennsylvania Higher Educational Facilities Authority Revenue Bonds, Series 2010 | | |
| 5.000% due 03/01/2040 | 400 | 432 |
| 6.000% due 07/01/2043 | 850 | 897 |
| Pennsylvania Turnpike Commission Revenue Bonds, Series 2013 | | |
| 5.000% due 12/01/2043 | 10,000 | 10,910 |
| Philadelphia Hospitals & Higher Education Facilities Authority, Pennsylvania Revenue Bonds, Series 2012 | | |
| 5.625% due 07/01/2036 | 1,000 | 1,071 |
| 5.625% due 07/01/2042 | 7,000 | 7,438 |
| Philadelphia, Pennsylvania General Obligation Bonds, (AGM Insured), Series 2008 | | |
| 5.250% due 12/15/2032 | 17,000 | 18,759 |
| Philadelphia, Pennsylvania Water & Wastewater Revenue Bonds, Series 2009 | | |
| 5.250% due 01/01/2036 | 500 | 547 |
| Westmoreland County Industrial Development Authority, Pennsylvania Revenue Bonds, Series 2010 | | |
| 5.125% due 07/01/2030 | 1,000 | 1,121 |
| | | 63,302 |
| RHODE ISLAND 2.6% | | |
| Tobacco Settlement Financing Corp., Rhode Island Revenue Bonds, Series 2015 | | |
| 5.000% due 06/01/2040 | 7,705 | 8,025 |
| 5.000% due 06/01/2050 | 11,450 | 11,657 |
| | | 19,682 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA 2.9% | | |
| Greenwood County, South Carolina Revenue Bonds, Series 2009 | | |
| 5.375% due 10/01/2039 | 1,000 | 1,117 |
| | PRINCIPAL AMOUNT (000S) | MARKET VALUE (000S) |
| South Carolina State Public Service Authority Revenue Bonds, Series 2013 | | |
| 5.500% due 12/01/2053 | \$ 10,000 | \$ 11,194 |
| South Carolina State Public Service Authority Revenue Bonds, Series 2014 | | |
| 5.500% due 12/01/2054 | 8,000 | 9,054 |
| | | 21,365 |
| TENNESSEE 1.9% | | |
| Claiborne County, Tennessee Industrial Development Board Revenue Bonds, Series 2009 | | |
| 6.625% due 10/01/2039 | 1,750 | 1,979 |
| Johnson City Health & Educational Facilities Board, Tennessee Revenue Bonds, Series 2010 | | |

Edgar Filing: PIMCO MUNICIPAL INCOME FUND II - Form N-CSR

| | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|--------|
| 6.000% due 07/01/2038 | 1,000 | 1,134 |
| Sullivan County, Tennessee Health Educational & Housing Facilities Board Revenue Bonds, Series 2006 | | |
| 5.250% due 09/01/2036 | 500 | 520 |
| Tennessee Energy Acquisition Corp. Revenue Bonds, Series 2006 | | |
| 5.000% due 02/01/2023 | 3,000 | 3,401 |
| 5.000% due 02/01/2027 | 6,000 | 6,789 |
| | | 13,823 |
| TEXAS 19.1% | | |
| Austin Trust, Texas General Obligation Bonds, Series 2007 | | |
| 4.750% due 04/01/2036 (c) | 17,500 | 18,140 |
| Dallas, Texas Revenue Bonds, (AGC Insured), Series 2009 | | |
| 5.250% due 08/15/2038 | 2,500 | 2,794 |
| Grand Parkway Transportation Corp., Texas Revenue Bonds, Series 2013 | | |
| 5.000% due 04/01/2053 | 21,000 | 22,815 |
| Harris County, Texas Cultural Education Facilities Finance Corp. Revenue Bonds, Series 2009 | | |
| 5.250% due 10/01/2029 | 3,750 | 4,268 |
| 5.500% due 10/01/2039 | 12,700 | 14,455 |
| HFDC of Central Texas, Inc. Revenue Bonds, Series 2006 | | |
| 5.500% due 02/15/2037 | 700 | 703 |
| North Harris County, Texas Regional Water Authority Revenue Bonds, Series 2008 | | |
| 5.250% due 12/15/2033 | 10,300 | 11,492 |
| 5.500% due 12/15/2038 | 10,300 | 11,625 |
| North Texas Tollway Authority Revenue Bonds, Series 2008 | | |
| 5.625% due 01/01/2033 | 5,000 | 5,478 |
| 5.750% due 01/01/2033 | 1,200 | 1,340 |

See Accompanying Notes

ANNUAL REPORT

MAY 31, 2015 27

Table of Contents

Schedule of Investments PIMCO Municipal Income Fund II (Cont.)

| | PRINCIPAL AMOUNT (000S) | MARKET VALUE (000S) |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|
| North Texas Tollway Authority Revenue Bonds, Series 2011 | | |
| 5.000% due 01/01/2038 | \$ 5,750 | \$ 6,138 |
| 5.500% due 09/01/2041 | 1,300 | 1,514 |
| San Juan Higher Education Finance Authority, Texas Revenue Bonds, Series 2010 | | |
| 6.700% due 08/15/2040 | 250 | 295 |
| Tarrant County, Texas Cultural Education Facilities Finance Corp. Revenue Bonds, Series 2009 | | |
| 6.250% due 11/15/2029 | 3,000 | 3,498 |
| Texas Municipal Gas Acquisition & Supply Corp. Revenue Bonds, Series 2008 | | |
| 6.250% due 12/15/2026 | 19,380 | 23,437 |
| Texas Municipal Gas Acquisition & Supply Corp. Revenue Bonds, Series 2012 | | |
| 5.000% due 12/15/2026 | 5,000 | 5,584 |
| Texas State General Obligation Bonds, Series 2010 | | |
| 7.683% due 04/01/2037 (d) | 5,365 | 6,280 |
| Texas State Public Finance Authority Charter School Finance Corp. Revenue Bonds, Series 2007 | | |
| 5.875% due 12/01/2036 | 1,000 | 1,085 |
| Wise County, Texas Revenue Bonds, Series 2011 | | |
| 8.000% due 08/15/2034 | 1,000 | 1,174 |
| | | 142,115 |
| VIRGINIA 0.3% | | |
| Fairfax County, Virginia Industrial Development Authority Revenue Bonds, Series 2009 | | |
| 5.500% due 05/15/2035 | 1,000 | 1,132 |
| James City County, Virginia Economic Development Authority Revenue Bonds, Series 2013 | | |
| 2.000% due 10/01/2048 ^ | 412 | 13 |
| 6.000% due 06/01/2043 | 1,273 | 1,202 |
| | | 2,347 |
| WASHINGTON 2.3% | | |
| Washington Health Care Facilities Authority Revenue Bonds, (AGC Insured), Series 2008 | | |
| 6.000% due 08/15/2039 | 1,300 | 1,535 |
| Washington Health Care Facilities Authority Revenue Bonds, Series 2007 | | |
| 6.125% due 08/15/2037 | 13,000 | 14,046 |
| Washington Health Care Facilities Authority Revenue Bonds, Series 2009 | | |
| 7.375% due 03/01/2038 | 1,000 | 1,215 |
| | | 16,796 |
| | PRINCIPAL AMOUNT (000S) | MARKET VALUE (000S) |
| WEST VIRGINIA 0.6% | | |
| West Virginia Economic Development Authority Revenue Bonds, Series 2010 | | |
| 5.375% due 12/01/2038 | \$ 2,000 | \$ 2,190 |
| West Virginia Hospital Finance Authority Revenue Bonds, Series 2011 | | |
| 9.125% due 10/01/2041 | 1,955 | 2,175 |

Edgar Filing: PIMCO MUNICIPAL INCOME FUND II - Form N-CSR

| | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|---------------------|
| | | 4,365 |
| WISCONSIN 0.2% | | |
| Wisconsin Health & Educational Facilities Authority Revenue Bonds, Series 2009 | | |
| 6.625% due 02/15/2039 | 1,000 | 1,194 |
| Total Municipal Bonds & Notes (Cost \$1,028,118) | | 1,127,410 |
| SHORT-TERM INSTRUMENTS 2.7% | | |
| SHORT-TERM NOTES 0.1% | | |
| Federal Home Loan Bank | | |
| 0.085% due 07/22/2015 | 400 | 400 |
| U.S. TREASURY BILLS 2.6% | | |
| 0.028% due 09/03/2015 - 10/15/2015 (a) | 19,500 | 19,499 |
| Total Short-Term Instruments (Cost \$19,898) | | 19,899 |
| Total Investments in Securities (Cost \$1,048,016) | | 1,147,309 |
| Total Investments 154.6% (Cost \$1,048,016) | | \$ 1,147,309 |
| Preferred Shares (49.5%) | | (367,000) |
| Other Assets and Liabilities, net (5.1%) | | (38,176) |
| Net Assets Applicable to Common Shareholders 100.0% | | \$ 742,133 |

28 PIMCO CLOSED-END FUNDS

See Accompanying Notes

Table of Contents

May 31, 2015

NOTES TO SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS (AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS*):

* A zero balance may reflect actual amounts rounding to less than one thousand.

^ Security is in default.

(a) Coupon represents a weighted average yield to maturity.

(b) Security becomes interest bearing at a future date.

(c) Represents an underlying municipal bond transferred to a tender option bond trust established in a tender option bond transaction in which the Fund sold, or caused the sale of, the underlying municipal bond and purchased the residual interest certificate. The security serves as collateral in a financing transaction. See Note 5(b) in the Notes to Financial Statements for more information.

(d) Represents an investment in a tender option bond residual interest certificate purchased in a secondary market transaction. The interest rate shown bears an inverse relationship to the interest rate on a tender option bond floating rate certificate. The interest rate disclosed reflects the rate in effect on May 31, 2015.

FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

The following is a summary of the fair valuations according to the inputs used as of May 31, 2015 in valuing the Fund's assets and liabilities:

| Category and Subcategory | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Fair Value at 05/31/2015 |
|--------------------------------------------|---------|-----------|---------|--------------------------|
| Investments in Securities, at Value | | | | |
| Municipal Bonds & Notes | | | | |
| Alabama | \$ 0 | \$ 41,121 | \$ 0 | \$ 41,121 |
| Arizona | 0 | 96,461 | 0 | 96,461 |
| California | 0 | 158,468 | 0 | 158,468 |
| Colorado | 0 | 16,794 | 0 | 16,794 |
| Connecticut | 0 | 2,542 | 0 | 2,542 |
| Florida | 0 | 56,495 | 0 | 56,495 |
| Georgia | 0 | 8,653 | 0 | 8,653 |
| Illinois | 0 | 75,600 | 0 | 75,600 |
| Indiana | 0 | 5,000 | 0 | 5,000 |
| Iowa | 0 | 26,674 | 0 | 26,674 |
| Kansas | 0 | 1,426 | 0 | 1,426 |
| Kentucky | 0 | 1,146 | 0 | 1,146 |
| Louisiana | 0 | 8,366 | 0 | 8,366 |
| Maryland | 0 | 13,899 | 0 | 13,899 |
| Massachusetts | 0 | 9,830 | 0 | 9,830 |
| Michigan | 0 | 5,211 | 0 | 5,211 |
| Minnesota | 0 | 3,257 | 0 | 3,257 |
| Mississippi | 0 | 40 | 0 | 40 |
| Missouri | 0 | 11,307 | 0 | 11,307 |
| Nebraska | 0 | 5,601 | 0 | 5,601 |
| Nevada | 0 | 10,407 | 0 | 10,407 |
| New Hampshire | 0 | 2,243 | 0 | 2,243 |
| New Jersey | 0 | 59,462 | 0 | 59,462 |
| New Mexico | 0 | 2,211 | 0 | 2,211 |

Edgar Filing: PIMCO MUNICIPAL INCOME FUND II - Form N-CSR

| | | | | |
|----------------|---|---------|---|---------|
| New York | 0 | 154,281 | 0 | 154,281 |
| North Carolina | 0 | 553 | 0 | 553 |
| North Dakota | 0 | 3,885 | 0 | 3,885 |
| Ohio | 0 | 59,064 | 0 | 59,064 |
| Oregon | 0 | 2,424 | 0 | 2,424 |
| Pennsylvania | 0 | 63,302 | 0 | 63,302 |
| Rhode Island | 0 | 19,682 | 0 | 19,682 |
| South Carolina | 0 | 21,365 | 0 | 21,365 |
| Tennessee | 0 | 13,823 | 0 | 13,823 |
| Texas | 0 | 142,115 | 0 | 142,115 |

See Accompanying Notes

ANNUAL REPORT

MAY 31, 2015 29

Table of Contents**Schedule of Investments PIMCO Municipal Income Fund II (Cont.)**

May 31, 2015

| Category and Subcategory | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Fair Value at 05/31/2015 |
|--------------------------|---------|--------------|---------|--------------------------|
| Virginia | \$ 0 | \$ 2,347 | \$ 0 | \$ 2,347 |
| Washington | 0 | 16,796 | 0 | 16,796 |
| West Virginia | 0 | 4,365 | 0 | 4,365 |
| Wisconsin | 0 | 1,194 | 0 | 1,194 |
| Short-Term Instruments | | | | |
| Short-Term Notes | 0 | 400 | 0 | 400 |
| U.S. Treasury Bills | 0 | 19,499 | 0 | 19,499 |
| Total Investments | \$ 0 | \$ 1,147,309 | \$ 0 | \$ 1,147,309 |

There were no significant transfers between Levels 1, 2, or 3 during the period ended May 31, 2015.

30 PIMCO CLOSED-END FUNDS

See Accompanying Notes

Table of Contents**Schedule of Investments PIMCO California Municipal Income Fund II**

May 31, 2015

| | PRINCIPAL AMOUNT (000S) | MARKET VALUE (000S) |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|
| INVESTMENTS IN SECURITIES 167.6% | | |
| MUNICIPAL BONDS & NOTES 166.2% | | |
| CALIFORNIA 163.8% | | |
| Alhambra, California Revenue Bonds, Series 2010 | | |
| 7.625% due 01/01/2040 | \$ 2,000 | \$ 2,166 |
| California County Tobacco Securitization Agency Revenue Bonds, Series 2002 | | |
| 5.875% due 06/01/2043 | 1,800 | 1,800 |
| California County Tobacco Securitization Agency Revenue Bonds, Series 2006 | | |
| 5.600% due 06/01/2036 | 1,500 | 1,367 |
| California Health Facilities Financing Authority Revenue Bonds, (IBC/NPFGC Insured), Series 2007 | | |
| 5.000% due 11/15/2042 | 4,220 | 4,417 |
| California Health Facilities Financing Authority Revenue Bonds, Series 2007 | | |
| 5.250% due 11/15/2046 (b) | 12,195 | 12,812 |
| California Health Facilities Financing Authority Revenue Bonds, Series 2008 | | |
| 5.250% due 11/15/2040 | 5,400 | 6,265 |
| California Health Facilities Financing Authority Revenue Bonds, Series 2009 | | |
| 5.750% due 09/01/2039 | 250 | 286 |
| 6.000% due 07/01/2039 | 3,000 | 3,437 |
| 6.500% due 11/01/2038 | 500 | 597 |
| California Health Facilities Financing Authority Revenue Bonds, Series 2011 | | |
| 5.000% due 08/15/2035 | 1,000 | 1,098 |
| California Health Facilities Financing Authority Revenue Bonds, Series 2012 | | |
| 5.000% due 11/15/2034 | 1,000 | 1,082 |
| 5.000% due 11/15/2040 | 4,000 | 4,356 |
| 5.000% due 08/15/2051 | 8,755 | 9,590 |
| California Health Facilities Financing Authority Revenue Bonds, Series 2013 | | |
| 5.000% due 08/15/2052 | 675 | 748 |
| California Infrastructure & Economic Development Bank Revenue Bonds, Series 2008 | | |
| 5.250% due 02/01/2038 | 175 | 190 |
| California Infrastructure & Economic Development Bank Revenue Bonds, Series 2013 | | |
| 5.000% due 02/01/2039 | 10,000 | 10,937 |
| California Municipal Finance Authority Revenue Bonds, Series 2011 | | |
| 7.750% due 04/01/2031 | 1,085 | 1,376 |
| California Pollution Control Financing Authority Revenue Bonds, Series 2010 | | |
| 5.250% due 08/01/2040 | 1,500 | 1,606 |
| California State General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006 | | |
| 5.000% due 09/01/2031 | 2,500 | 2,630 |
| | PRINCIPAL AMOUNT (000S) | MARKET VALUE (000S) |
| California State General Obligation Bonds, Series 2009 | | |
| 6.000% due 04/01/2038 | \$ 10,000 | \$ 11,716 |
| California State General Obligation Bonds, Series 2013 | | |
| 5.000% due 11/01/2043 | 7,000 | 7,857 |
| California State Public Works Board Revenue Bonds, Series 2008 | | |
| 5.000% due 03/01/2033 | 7,915 | 8,768 |
| California State Public Works Board Revenue Bonds, Series 2009 | | |

Edgar Filing: PIMCO MUNICIPAL INCOME FUND II - Form N-CSR

| | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|--------|
| 5.750% due 10/01/2030 | 3,000 | 3,509 |
| 6.000% due 11/01/2034 | 2,000 | 2,378 |
| California State Public Works Board Revenue Bonds, Series 2011 | | |
| 5.000% due 12/01/2029 | 2,000 | 2,295 |
| California State Public Works Board Revenue Bonds, Series 2013 | | |
| 5.000% due 03/01/2038 | 2,500 | 2,759 |
| California Statewide Communities Development Authority Revenue Bonds, (FGIC Insured), Series 2007 | | |
| 5.750% due 07/01/2047 | 3,700 | 4,105 |
| California Statewide Communities Development Authority Revenue Bonds, (FHA Insured), Series 2009 | | |
| 6.625% due 08/01/2029 | 2,135 | 2,546 |
| 6.750% due 02/01/2038 | 7,860 | 9,336 |
| California Statewide Communities Development Authority Revenue Bonds, Series 2006 | | |
| 5.000% due 11/01/2029 | 500 | 503 |
| California Statewide Communities Development Authority Revenue Bonds, Series 2007 | | |
| 5.150% due 07/01/2030 | 250 | 252 |
| 5.250% due 07/01/2042 | 1,250 | 1,236 |
| California Statewide Communities Development Authority Revenue Bonds, Series 2008 | | |
| 5.250% due 11/15/2048 | 5,490 | 5,904 |
| 5.500% due 07/01/2031 | 3,040 | 3,282 |
| California Statewide Communities Development Authority Revenue Bonds, Series 2010 | | |
| 7.000% due 07/01/2040 | 3,760 | 4,162 |
| 7.500% due 06/01/2042 | 990 | 1,092 |
| California Statewide Communities Development Authority Revenue Bonds, Series 2011 | | |
| 6.000% due 08/15/2042 | 5,600 | 6,574 |
| California Statewide Communities Development Authority Revenue Bonds, Series 2012 | | |
| 5.000% due 04/01/2042 | 9,705 | 10,637 |
| 5.375% due 05/15/2038 | 4,500 | 5,001 |
| California Statewide Communities Development Authority Revenue Bonds, Series 2015 | | |
| 5.000% due 11/01/2043 | 1,600 | 1,767 |

See Accompanying Notes

ANNUAL REPORT

MAY 31, 2015 31

Table of Contents**Schedule of Investments PIMCO California Municipal Income Fund II (Cont.)**

| | PRINCIPAL AMOUNT (000S) | MARKET VALUE (000S) |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Chabot-Las Positas Community College District, California General Obligation Bonds, (AMBAC Insured), Series 2006 | | |
| 0.000% due 08/01/2036 | \$ 17,305 | \$ 6,178 |
| 0.000% due 08/01/2037 | 5,000 | 1,693 |
| 0.000% due 08/01/2043 | 15,000 | 3,731 |
| Chula Vista, California Revenue Bonds, Series 2004 | | |
| 5.875% due 02/15/2034 | 1,000 | 1,162 |
| Coronado Community Development Agency, California Tax Allocation Bonds, (AMBAC Insured), Series 2005 | | |
| 4.875% due 09/01/2035 | 8,685 | 8,766 |
| Desert Community College District, California General Obligation Bonds, (AGM Insured), Series 2007 | | |
| 0.000% due 08/01/2046 | 25,000 | 4,955 |
| Desert Community College District, California General Obligation Bonds, (AGM Insured), Series 2009 | | |
| 8.090% due 08/01/2032 (c) | 6,035 | 6,975 |
| Fremont Community Facilities District No. 1, California Special Tax Bonds, Series 2005 | | |
| 5.300% due 09/01/2030 | 1,440 | 1,443 |
| Golden State, California Tobacco Securitization Corp. Revenue Bonds, Series 2005 | | |
| 5.000% due 06/01/2045 | 3,500 | 3,500 |
| Golden State, California Tobacco Securitization Corp. Revenue Bonds, Series 2007 | | |
| 5.125% due 06/01/2047 | 8,500 | 6,662 |
| 5.750% due 06/01/2047 | 40,715 | 34,703 |
| Golden State, California Tobacco Securitization Corp. Revenue Bonds, Series 2015 | | |
| 5.000% due 06/01/2040 | 7,000 | 7,699 |
| 5.000% due 06/01/2045 | 18,000 | 19,626 |
| Imperial Irrigation District, California Revenue Bonds, Series 2011 | | |
| 5.000% due 11/01/2041 | 4,500 | 4,939 |
| Irvine Unified School District, California Special Tax Bonds, Series 2010 | | |
| 6.700% due 09/01/2035 | 515 | 608 |
| JPMorgan Chase Putters/Drivers Trust, California Revenue Bonds, Series 2009 | | |
| 5.000% due 07/01/2037 (b) | 5,000 | 5,392 |
| JPMorgan Chase Putters/Drivers Trust, California Revenue Bonds, Series 2010 | | |
| 8.060% due 05/15/2034 (c) | 7,500 | 9,493 |
| JPMorgan Chase Putters/Drivers Trust, California Revenue Notes, Series 2009 | | |
| 5.000% due 04/01/2039 (b) | 20,000 | 22,219 |
| Lancaster Redevelopment Agency, California Tax Allocation Bonds, Series 2009 | | |
| 6.875% due 08/01/2039 | 1,000 | 1,198 |
| | PRINCIPAL AMOUNT (000S) | MARKET VALUE (000S) |
| Long Beach Bond Finance Authority, California Revenue Bonds, Series 2007 | | |
| 5.500% due 11/15/2037 | \$ 7,500 | \$ 8,783 |
| Long Beach Unified School District, California General Obligation Bonds, Series 2009 | | |
| 5.250% due 08/01/2033 (b) | 10,000 | 11,429 |
| Long Beach, California Airport System Revenue Bonds, Series 2010 | | |
| 5.000% due 06/01/2040 | 500 | 551 |
| Los Angeles Community College District, California General Obligation Bonds, Series 2009 | | |
| 11.906% due 08/01/2033 (c) | 4,000 | 5,410 |
| Los Angeles Department of Water & Power, California Revenue Bonds, Series 2012 | | |
| 5.000% due 07/01/2036 | 3,000 | 3,396 |
| Los Angeles Department of Water & Power, California Revenue Bonds, Series 2014 | | |
| 5.000% due 07/01/2043 | 3,000 | 3,350 |
| Los Angeles Department of Water & Power, California Revenue Bonds, Series 2015 | | |
| 5.000% due 07/01/2044 | 3,000 | 3,365 |
| Los Angeles Unified School District, California General Obligation Bonds, Series 2009 | | |
| 5.000% due 01/01/2034 | 11,000 | 12,327 |

Edgar Filing: PIMCO MUNICIPAL INCOME FUND II - Form N-CSR

| | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|--------|
| M-S-R Energy Authority, California Revenue Bonds, Series 2009 | | |
| 6.500% due 11/01/2039 | 10,810 | 14,264 |
| Manteca Redevelopment Agency, California Tax Allocation Bonds, (AMBAC Insured), Series 2004 | | |
| 5.000% due 10/01/2036 | 10,000 | 10,030 |
| Oakland Unified School District/Alameda County, California General Obligation Bonds, Series 2009 | | |
| 6.125% due 08/01/2029 | 5,000 | 5,580 |
| Palomar Health, California Certificates of Participation Bonds, Series 2009 | | |
| 6.750% due 11/01/2039 | 4,750 | 5,237 |
| Placentia-Yorba Linda Unified School District, California Certificates of Participation Bonds, (FGIC Insured), Series 2006 | | |
| 5.000% due 10/01/2032 | 10,000 | 10,128 |
| Poway Unified School District, California General Obligation Bonds, Series 2011 | | |
| 0.000% due 08/01/2040 | 11,000 | 3,546 |
| 0.000% due 08/01/2046 | 16,000 | 4,019 |
| River Islands Public Financing Authority, California Special Tax Bonds, Series 2015 | | |
| 5.500% due 09/01/2045 | 3,000 | 3,067 |
| Ross Valley School District, California General Obligation Bonds, Series 2012 | | |
| 5.000% due 08/01/2042 | 1,375 | 1,526 |
| San Diego Community College District, California General Obligation Notes, Series 2009 | | |
| 6.536% due 02/01/2017 (c) | 5,000 | 6,446 |

Table of Contents

May 31, 2015

| | PRINCIPAL AMOUNT (000S) | MARKET VALUE (000S) |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|
| San Diego Public Facilities Financing Authority Sewer, California Revenue Bonds, Series 2009 | | |
| 5.250% due 05/15/2039 | \$ 1,000 | \$ 1,130 |
| San Diego Public Facilities Financing Authority Water, California Revenue Bonds, Series 2009 | | |
| 5.250% due 08/01/2038 | 4,000 | 4,443 |
| San Diego Regional Building Authority, California Revenue Bonds, Series 2009 | | |
| 5.375% due 02/01/2036 | 2,800 | 3,148 |
| San Diego Unified School District, California General Obligation Bonds, (AGM Insured), Series 2005 | | |
| 4.750% due 07/01/2027 | 2,800 | 2,838 |
| San Francisco, California City & County Certificates of Participation Bonds, Series 2009 | | |
| 5.250% due 04/01/2031 | 300 | 339 |
| San Jose, California Hotel Tax Revenue Bonds, Series 2011 | | |
| 6.500% due 05/01/2036 | 1,000 | 1,209 |
| San Marcos Unified School District, California General Obligation Bonds, Series 2011 | | |
| 5.000% due 08/01/2038 | 1,300 | 1,447 |
| Santa Cruz County, California Certificates of Participation Bonds, Series 2002 | | |
| 5.250% due 08/01/2032 | 1,260 | 1,268 |
| Santa Cruz County, California Redevelopment Agency Tax Allocation Bonds, Series 2009 | | |
| 7.000% due 09/01/2036 | 1,500 | 1,730 |
| Torrance, California Revenue Bonds, Series 2010 | | |
| 5.000% due 09/01/2040 | 3,100 | 3,284 |
| Turlock Irrigation District, California Revenue Bonds, Series 2011 | | |
| 5.500% due 01/01/2041 | 1,700 | 1,937 |
| Tustin Unified School District, California Special Tax Bonds, Series 2010 | | |
| 6.000% due 09/01/2040 | 1,000 | 1,102 |
| Washington Township Health Care District, California General Obligation Bonds, Series 2013 | | |
| 5.000% due 08/01/2043 | 3,000 | 3,245 |
| | | 452,955 |
| NEW JERSEY 1.2% | | |
| Tobacco Settlement Financing Corp., New Jersey Revenue Bonds, Series 2007 | | |
| 4.750% due 06/01/2034 | \$ 1,300 | \$ 990 |
| 5.000% due 06/01/2041 | 3,000 | 2,286 |
| | | 3,276 |
| NEW YORK 1.2% | | |
| New York Liberty Development Corp. Revenue Bonds, Series 2005 | | |
| 5.250% due 10/01/2035 | 1,250 | 1,468 |
| TSASC, Inc., New York Revenue Bonds, Series 2006 | | |
| 5.000% due 06/01/2034 | 1,900 | 1,755 |
| | | 3,223 |
| Total Municipal Bonds & Notes (Cost \$407,929) | | 459,454 |
| SHORT-TERM INSTRUMENTS 1.4% | | |

Edgar Filing: PIMCO MUNICIPAL INCOME FUND II - Form N-CSR

| | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------|-------|----------------|
| U.S. TREASURY BILLS 1.4% | | |
| 0.024% due 09/03/2015 - 10/08/2015 (a) | 3,900 | 3,900 |
| Total Short-Term Instruments (Cost \$3,900) | | 3,900 |
| Total Investments in Securities (Cost \$411,828) | | 463,354 |
| Total Investments 167.6% (Cost \$411,828) | \$ | 463,354 |
| Preferred Shares (58.9%) | | (163,000) |
| Other Assets and Liabilities, net (8.7%) | | (23,829) |
| Net Assets Applicable to Common Shareholders 100.0% | \$ | 276,525 |

NOTES TO SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS (AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS):

(a) Coupon represents a weighted average yield to maturity.

(b) Represents an underlying municipal bond transferred to a tender option bond trust established in a tender option bond transaction in which the Fund sold, or caused the sale of, the underlying municipal bond and purchased the residual interest certificate. The security serves as collateral in a financing transaction. See Note 5(b) in the Notes to Financial Statements for more information.

(c) Represents an investment in a tender option bond residual interest certificate purchased in a secondary market transaction. The interest rate shown bears an inverse relationship to the interest rate on a tender option bond floating rate certificate. The interest rate disclosed reflects the rate in effect on May 31, 2015.

See Accompanying Notes

ANNUAL REPORT

MAY 31, 2015 33

Table of Contents**Schedule of Investments PIMCO California Municipal Income Fund II (Cont.)**

May 31, 2015

FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

The following is a summary of the fair valuations according to the inputs used as of May 31, 2015 in valuing the Fund's assets and liabilities:

| Category and Subcategory | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Fair Value at 05/31/2015 |
|--------------------------------------------|---------|------------|---------|--------------------------|
| Investments in Securities, at Value | | | | |
| Municipal Bonds & Notes | | | | |
| California | \$ 0 | \$ 452,955 | \$ 0 | \$ 452,955 |
| New Jersey | 0 | 3,276 | 0 | 3,276 |
| New York | 0 | 3,223 | 0 | 3,223 |
| Short-Term Instruments | | | | |
| U.S. Treasury Bills | 0 | 3,900 | 0 | 3,900 |
| Total Investments | \$ 0 | \$ 463,354 | \$ 0 | \$ 463,354 |

There were no significant transfers between Levels 1, 2, or 3 during the period ended May 31, 2015.

Table of Contents**Schedule of Investments PIMCO New York Municipal Income Fund II**

May 31, 2015

| | PRINCIPAL AMOUNT (000S) | MARKET VALUE (000S) |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| INVESTMENTS IN SECURITIES 168.4% | | |
| MUNICIPAL BONDS & NOTES 163.3% | | |
| FLORIDA 0.9% | | |
| Clearwater, Florida Water & Sewer Revenue Bonds, Series 2009 | | |
| 5.250% due 12/01/2039 | \$ 1,000 | \$ 1,141 |
| LOUISIANA 0.9% | | |
| East Baton Rouge Sewerage Commission, Louisiana Revenue Bonds, Series 2009 | | |
| 5.250% due 02/01/2039 | 1,000 | 1,143 |
| NEW YORK 159.6% | | |
| Build NYC Resource Corp., New York Revenue Bonds, Series 2014 | | |
| 5.000% due 06/01/2038 | 1,000 | 1,122 |
| Chautauqua Industrial Development Agency, New York Revenue Bonds, Series 2009 | | |
| 5.875% due 04/01/2042 | 1,000 | 1,117 |
| Erie County, New York Industrial Development Agency Revenue Bonds, Series 2006 | | |
| 6.000% due 11/15/2036 | 150 | 152 |
| Hudson Yards Infrastructure Corp., New York Revenue Bonds, Series 2011 | | |
| 5.750% due 02/15/2047 | 9,000 | 10,282 |
| JPMorgan Chase Putters/Drivers Trust, New York Revenue Bonds, Series 2009 | | |
| 8.070% due 07/01/2033 (b) | 5,000 | 6,252 |
| Long Island Power Authority, New York Revenue Bonds, Series 2014 | | |
| 5.000% due 09/01/2044 | 3,500 | 3,805 |
| Metropolitan Transportation Authority, New York Revenue Bonds, Series 2009 | | |
| 5.000% due 11/15/2034 | 2,000 | 2,277 |
| 5.500% due 11/15/2039 | 5,000 | 5,655 |
| Metropolitan Transportation Authority, New York Revenue Bonds, Series 2012 | | |
| 5.000% due 11/15/2030 | 2,100 | 2,390 |
| Metropolitan Transportation Authority, New York Revenue Bonds, Series 2013 | | |
| 5.000% due 11/15/2043 | 3,000 | 3,273 |
| Monroe County Industrial Development Corp., New York Revenue Bonds, (FHA Insured), Series 2010 | | |
| 5.500% due 08/15/2040 | 3,500 | 4,012 |
| Monroe County Industrial Development Corp., New York Revenue Bonds, Series 2013 | | |
| 5.000% due 07/01/2043 | 1,750 | 1,935 |
| Nassau County, New York Industrial Development Agency Revenue Bonds, Series 2014 | | |
| 2.000% due 01/01/2049 | 650 | 52 |
| 6.700% due 01/01/2049 | 1,800 | 1,757 |
| | PRINCIPAL AMOUNT (000S) | MARKET VALUE (000S) |
| Nassau County, New York Tobacco Settlement Corp. Revenue Bonds, Series 2006 | | |
| 5.125% due 06/01/2046 | \$ 4,000 | \$ 3,160 |
| New York City, New York General Obligation Bonds, Series 2013 | | |
| 5.000% due 08/01/2031 | 2,000 | 2,277 |
| New York City, New York Health & Hospital Corp. Revenue Bonds, Series 2010 | | |
| 5.000% due 02/15/2030 | 1,500 | 1,650 |

Edgar Filing: PIMCO MUNICIPAL INCOME FUND II - Form N-CSR

| | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|--------|
| New York City, New York Industrial Development Agency Revenue Bonds, (AGC Insured), Series 2009 | | |
| 6.500% due 01/01/2046 | 1,500 | 1,717 |
| 7.000% due 03/01/2049 | 4,900 | 5,807 |
| New York City, New York Industrial Development Agency Revenue Bonds, (FGIC Insured), Series 2006 | | |
| 5.000% due 03/01/2031 | 750 | 773 |
| New York City, New York Industrial Development Agency Revenue Bonds, (NPFGC Insured), Series 2006 | | |
| 5.000% due 03/01/2036 | 1,900 | 1,975 |
| New York City, New York Industrial Development Agency Revenue Bonds, Series 2005 | | |
| 5.000% due 09/01/2035 | 1,415 | 1,428 |
| New York City, New York Transitional Finance Authority Building Aid Revenue Bonds, Series 2009 | | |
| 5.250% due 01/15/2039 | 5,000 | 5,592 |
| New York City, New York Transitional Finance Authority Future Tax Secured Revenue Bonds, Series 2012 | | |
| 5.000% due 05/01/2039 | 4,850 | 5,429 |
| New York City, New York Water & Sewer System Revenue Bonds, Series 2009 | | |
| 5.000% due 06/15/2039 | 500 | 557 |
| 5.250% due 06/15/2040 | 1,000 | 1,136 |
| New York City, New York Water & Sewer System Revenue Bonds, Series 2013 | | |
| 5.000% due 06/15/2047 | 1,000 | 1,102 |
| New York Liberty Development Corp. Revenue Bonds, Series 2005 | | |
| 5.250% due 10/01/2035 (a) | 6,350 | 7,457 |
| New York Liberty Development Corp. Revenue Bonds, Series 2007 | | |
| 5.500% due 10/01/2037 | 3,500 | 4,241 |
| New York Liberty Development Corp. Revenue Bonds, Series 2010 | | |
| 5.125% due 01/15/2044 | 1,500 | 1,668 |
| 5.625% due 07/15/2047 | 1,400 | 1,577 |
| 6.375% due 07/15/2049 | 1,300 | 1,466 |
| New York Liberty Development Corp. Revenue Bonds, Series 2011 | | |
| 5.000% due 12/15/2041 | 3,000 | 3,333 |
| 5.750% due 11/15/2051 | 10,000 | 11,482 |

See Accompanying Notes

ANNUAL REPORT

MAY 31, 2015 35

Table of Contents**Schedule of Investments PIMCO New York Municipal Income Fund II (Cont.)**

| | PRINCIPAL AMOUNT (000S) | MARKET VALUE (000S) |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|
| New York Liberty Development Corp. Revenue Bonds, Series 2014 | | |
| 5.000% due 11/15/2044 | \$ 2,000 | \$ 2,035 |
| New York State Dormitory Authority Revenue Bonds, (AMBAC Insured), Series 2005 | | |
| 5.500% due 05/15/2031 | 7,490 | 9,607 |
| New York State Dormitory Authority Revenue Bonds, (Radian Insured), Series 2005 | | |
| 5.000% due 12/01/2035 | 5,000 | 5,119 |
| New York State Dormitory Authority Revenue Bonds, Series 2006 | | |
| 5.000% due 07/01/2035 | 2,750 | 2,851 |
| New York State Dormitory Authority Revenue Bonds, Series 2007 | | |
| 5.625% due 07/01/2037 | 1,000 | 1,100 |
| New York State Dormitory Authority Revenue Bonds, Series 2008 | | |
| 5.000% due 07/01/2036 | 2,000 | 2,169 |
| 5.000% due 07/01/2038 | 2,100 | 2,338 |
| New York State Dormitory Authority Revenue Bonds, Series 2009 | | |
| 5.000% due 03/15/2038 | 3,000 | 3,335 |
| 5.500% due 05/01/2037 | 600 | 672 |
| 5.500% due 03/01/2039 | 3,000 | 3,400 |
| New York State Dormitory Authority Revenue Bonds, Series 2010 | | |
| 5.500% due 07/01/2040 | 1,000 | 1,138 |
| New York State Dormitory Authority Revenue Bonds, Series 2011 | | |
| 5.000% due 07/01/2031 | 2,000 | 2,196 |
| 5.500% due 07/01/2036 | 1,500 | 1,758 |
| New York State Dormitory Authority Revenue Bonds, Series 2015 | | |
| 5.000% due 07/01/2039 | 1,000 | 1,107 |
| New York State Environmental Facilities Corp. Revenue Bonds, Series 2009 | | |
| 5.125% due 06/15/2038 | 5,000 | 5,667 |
| New York State Thruway Authority Revenue Bonds, (AGM Insured), Series 2005 | | |
| 4.750% due 01/01/2029 | 1,000 | 1,004 |
| New York State Thruway Authority Revenue Bonds, Series 2012 | | |
| 5.000% due 01/01/2042 | 3,800 | 4,184 |
| New York State Urban Development Corp. Revenue Bonds, Series 2009 | | |
| 5.000% due 03/15/2036 (a) | 6,000 | 6,683 |
| Niagara Tobacco Asset Securitization Corp., New York Revenue Bonds, Series 2014 | | |
| 5.250% due 05/15/2034 | 500 | 563 |
| 5.250% due 05/15/2040 | 500 | 559 |
| Onondaga County, New York Revenue Bonds, Series 2011 | | |
| 5.000% due 12/01/2036 | 1,000 | 1,120 |
| | PRINCIPAL AMOUNT (000S) | MARKET VALUE (000S) |
| Port Authority of New York & New Jersey Revenue Bonds, Series 2010 | | |
| 6.000% due 12/01/2036 | \$ 1,400 | \$ 1,645 |
| Triborough Bridge & Tunnel Authority, New York Revenue Notes, Series 2009 | | |
| 5.250% due 11/15/2034 (a) | 5,000 | 5,626 |
| Troy Capital Resource Corp., New York Revenue Bonds, Series 2010 | | |
| 5.125% due 09/01/2040 | 3,435 | 3,769 |
| TSASC, Inc., New York Revenue Bonds, Series 2006 | | |
| 5.000% due 06/01/2026 | 7,000 | 7,064 |
| 5.000% due 06/01/2034 | 5,000 | 4,619 |
| 5.125% due 06/01/2042 | 1,000 | 885 |
| Ulster County, New York Industrial Development Agency Revenue Bonds, Series 2007 | | |
| 6.000% due 09/15/2037 | 1,815 | 1,813 |
| Warren & Washington Counties Industrial Development Agency, New York Revenue Bonds, (AGM Insured), Series 2003 | | |

Edgar Filing: PIMCO MUNICIPAL INCOME FUND II - Form N-CSR

| | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|----------------|
| 5.000% due 12/01/2035 | 2,000 | 2,002 |
| Westchester County Healthcare Corp., New York Revenue Bonds, Series 2010 | | |
| 6.125% due 11/01/2037 | 1,490 | 1,714 |
| Westchester County, New York Local Development Corp. Revenue Bonds, Series 2014 | | |
| 5.500% due 05/01/2042 | 1,000 | 1,139 |
| Yonkers Economic Development Corp., New York Revenue Bonds, Series 2010 | | |
| 6.000% due 10/15/2030 | 1,000 | 1,058 |
| Yonkers Industrial Development Agency, New York Revenue Bonds, Series 2001 | | |
| 6.000% due 06/01/2041 | 600 | 676 |
| | | 198,523 |
| OHIO 1.0% | | |
| Buckeye Tobacco Settlement Financing Authority, Ohio Revenue Bonds, Series 2007 | | |
| 6.500% due 06/01/2047 | 1,435 | 1,254 |
| U.S. VIRGIN ISLANDS 0.9% | | |
| Virgin Islands Public Finance Authority, U.S. Virgin Islands Revenue Bonds, Series 2009 | | |
| 6.000% due 10/01/2039 | 1,000 | 1,115 |
| Total Municipal Bonds & Notes | | 203,176 |
| (Cost \$184,533) | | |
| SHORT-TERM INSTRUMENTS 5.1% | | |
| REPURCHASE AGREEMENTS (c) 4.3% | | 5,300 |

36 PIMCO CLOSED-END FUNDS

See Accompanying Notes

Table of Contents

May 31, 2015

| | PRINCIPAL AMOUNT (000S) | MARKET VALUE (000S) |
|------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|
| U.S. TREASURY BILLS 0.8% 0.046% due 10/15/2015 | \$ 1,000 | \$ 1,000 |
| Total Short-Term Instruments (Cost \$6,300) | | 6,300 |
| Total Investments in Securities (Cost \$190,833) | | 209,476 |
| Total Investments 168.4% (Cost \$190,833) | | \$ 209,476 |
| Preferred Shares (63.5%) | | (79,000) |
| Other Assets and Liabilities, net (4.9%) | | (6,052) |
| Net Assets Applicable to Common Shareholders 100.0% | | \$ 124,424 |

NOTES TO SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS (AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS*):

* A zero balance may reflect actual amounts rounding to less than one thousand.

(a) Represents an underlying municipal bond transferred to a tender option bond trust established in a tender option bond transaction in which the Fund sold, or caused the sale of, the underlying municipal bond and purchased the residual interest certificate. The security serves as collateral in a financing transaction. See Note 5(b) in the Notes to Financial Statements for more information.

(b) Represents an investment in a tender option bond residual interest certificate purchased in a secondary market transaction. The interest rate shown bears an inverse relationship to the interest rate on a tender option bond floating rate certificate. The interest rate disclosed reflects the rate in effect on May 31, 2015.

BORROWINGS AND OTHER FINANCING TRANSACTIONS**(c) REPURCHASE AGREEMENTS:**

| Counterparty | Lending Rate | Settlement Date | Maturity Date | Principal Amount | Collateralized By | Collateral Received, at Value | Repurchase Agreements, at Value | Repurchase Agreement Proceeds to be Received ⁽¹⁾ |
|------------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|---------------|------------------|----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| SAL | 0.200% | 05/29/2015 | 06/01/2015 | \$ 5,300 | U.S. Treasury Notes 2.500% due 05/15/2024 | \$ (5,410) | \$ 5,300 | \$ 5,300 |
| Total Repurchase Agreements | | | | | | \$ (5,410) | \$ 5,300 | \$ 5,300 |

(1) Includes accrued interest.

See Accompanying Notes

ANNUAL REPORT

MAY 31, 2015 37

Table of Contents**Schedule of Investments PIMCO New York Municipal Income Fund II (Cont.)**

May 31, 2015

BORROWINGS AND OTHER FINANCING TRANSACTIONS SUMMARY

The following is a summary by counterparty of the market value of Borrowings and Other Financing Transactions and collateral (received) as of May 31, 2015:

| Counterparty | Repurchase Agreement Proceeds to be Received | Payable for Reverse Repurchase Agreements | Payable for Sale-Buyback Transactions | Payable for Short Sales | Total Borrowings and Other Financing Transactions | Collateral (Received) | Net Exposure ⁽²⁾ |
|----------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|
| Global/Master Repurchase Agreement | | | | | | | |
| SAL | \$ 5,300 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 5,300 | \$ (5,410) | \$ (110) |
| Total Borrowings and Other Financing Transactions | \$ 5,300 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | | | |

⁽²⁾ Net Exposure represents the net receivable/(payable) that would be due from/to the counterparty in the event of default. Exposure from borrowings and other financing transactions can only be netted across transactions governed under the same master agreement with the same legal entity. See Note 6, Principal Risks, in the Notes to Financial Statements for more information regarding master netting arrangements.

FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

The following is a summary of the fair valuations according to the inputs used as of May 31, 2015 in valuing the Fund's assets and liabilities:

| Category and Subcategory | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Fair Value at 05/31/2015 |
|--------------------------------------------|---------|----------|---------|--------------------------|
| Investments in Securities, at Value | | | | |
| Municipal Bonds & Notes | | | | |
| Florida | \$ 0 | \$ 1,141 | \$ 0 | \$ 1,141 |
| Louisiana | 0 | 1,143 | 0 | 1,143 |
| New York | 0 | 198,523 | 0 | 198,523 |
| Ohio | 0 | 1,254 | 0 | 1,254 |
| U.S. Virgin Islands | 0 | 1,115 | 0 | 1,115 |
| Short-Term Instruments | | | | |
| Repurchase Agreements | 0 | 5,300 | 0 | 5,300 |

Edgar Filing: PIMCO MUNICIPAL INCOME FUND II - Form N-CSR

| | | | | |
|--------------------------|-------------|-------------------|-------------|-------------------|
| U.S. Treasury Bills | 0 | 1,000 | 0 | 1,000 |
| Total Investments | \$ 0 | \$ 209,476 | \$ 0 | \$ 209,476 |

There were no significant transfers between Levels 1, 2, or 3 during the period ended May 31, 2015.

38 PIMCO CLOSED-END FUNDS

See Accompanying Notes

Table of Contents

Notes to Financial Statements

May 31, 2015

1. ORGANIZATION

PIMCO Municipal Income Fund II, PIMCO California Municipal Income Fund II and PIMCO New York Municipal Income Fund II, (each a Fund and collectively the Funds) were organized as Massachusetts business trusts on March 29, 2002 as closed-end management investment companies registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended, and the rules and regulations thereunder (the Act). PIMCO New York Municipal Income Fund II and PIMCO California Municipal Income Fund II are classified and managed as non-diversified funds. PIMCO Municipal Income Fund II is classified and managed as a diversified fund. Pacific Investment Management Company LLC (PIMCO or the Manager) serves as the Funds investment manager.

Prior to the close of business on September 5, 2014, Allianz Global Investors Fund Management LLC (AGIFM) and PIMCO served as the Funds investment manager and sub-adviser, respectively. Effective at the close of business on September 5, 2014, each Fund entered into a new investment management agreement (the Agreement) with PIMCO, pursuant to which PIMCO replaced AGIFM as the investment manager to the Funds. Under the Agreement, PIMCO continues to provide the day-to-day portfolio management services it provided to each Fund as its sub-adviser and also assumed responsibility for providing the supervisory and administrative services previously provided by AGIFM to each Fund as its investment manager. The same investment professionals that were responsible for managing each Fund s portfolio prior to the transition continue to do so following the transition. PIMCO personnel have replaced AGIFM personnel as Fund officers and in other roles to provide and oversee the administrative, accounting/financial reporting, compliance, legal, marketing, transfer agency, shareholder servicing and other services required for the daily operations of each Fund. Please see Note 7, Fees and Expenses below for additional information.

Each Fund has authorized an unlimited number of Common Shares at a par value of \$0.00001 per share.

2. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The following is a summary of significant accounting policies consistently followed by each Fund in the preparation of its financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (U.S. GAAP). Each Fund is treated as an investment company under the reporting requirements of U.S. GAAP. The preparation of financial statements in accordance with U.S. GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of increases and decreases in net assets from operations during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

(a) **Securities Transactions and Investment Income** Securities transactions are recorded as of the trade date for financial reporting purposes. Realized gains and losses from securities sold are recorded on the identified cost basis. Dividend income is recorded on the ex-dividend date, except certain dividends from foreign securities where the ex-dividend date may have passed, which are recorded as soon as a Fund is informed of the ex-dividend date. Interest income, adjusted for the accretion of discounts and amortization of premiums, is recorded on the accrual basis from settlement date.

Table of Contents

Notes to Financial Statements (Cont.)

Debt obligations may be placed on non-accrual status and related interest income may be reduced by ceasing current accruals and writing off interest receivable when the collection of all or a portion of interest has become doubtful based on consistently applied procedures. A debt obligation is removed from non-accrual status when the issuer resumes interest payments or when collectability of interest is probable.

(b) **Distributions - Common Shares** The Funds intend to declare distributions from net investment income to common shareholders monthly. Distributions of net realized capital gains, if any, are paid at least annually.

Income distributions and capital gain distributions are determined in accordance with income tax regulations which may differ from U.S. GAAP. Differences between tax regulations and U.S. GAAP may cause timing differences between income and capital gain recognition. Examples of events that give rise to timing differences include wash sales, straddles and capital loss carryforwards. Further, the character of investment income and capital gains may be different for certain transactions under the two methods of accounting. Examples of characterization differences include the treatment of paydowns on mortgage-backed securities, swaps, foreign currency transactions and contingent debt instruments. As a result, income distributions and capital gain distributions declared during a fiscal period may differ significantly from the net investment income (loss) and realized gains (losses) reported on each Fund's annual financial statements presented under U.S. GAAP.

Distributions classified as a tax basis return of capital, if any, are reflected on the accompanying Statements of Changes in Net Assets and have been recorded to paid in capital. In addition, other amounts have been reclassified between undistributed/(overdistributed) net investment income, accumulated undistributed/(overdistributed) net realized gains or losses and/or paid in capital to more appropriately conform financial accounting to tax characterizations of distributions.

(c) **New Accounting Pronouncements** In June 2013, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued an Accounting Standards Update (ASU), ASU 2013-08, providing updated guidance for assessing whether an entity is an investment company and for the measurement of noncontrolling ownership interests in other investment companies. This update became effective for interim or annual periods beginning on or after December 15, 2013. The Funds have adopted the ASU as they follow the investment company reporting requirements under U.S. GAAP. The implementation of the ASU did not have an impact on the Funds' financial statements.

In June 2014, the FASB issued an ASU, ASU 2014-11, that expanded secured borrowing accounting for certain repurchase agreements. The ASU also sets forth additional disclosure requirements for certain transactions accounted for as sales in order to provide financial statement users with information to compare to similar transactions accounted for as secured borrowings. The ASU became effective prospectively for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2014, and interim periods beginning after March 15, 2015. At this time, management is evaluating the implications of these changes on the financial statements.

3. INVESTMENT VALUATION AND FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

Edgar Filing: PIMCO MUNICIPAL INCOME FUND II - Form N-CSR

(a) **Investment Valuation Policies** The net asset value (NAV) of a Fund 's shares is valued as of the close of regular trading (normally 4:00 p.m., Eastern time) (the NYSE Close) on each day that

40 PIMCO CLOSED-END FUNDS

Table of Contents

May 31, 2015

the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) is open (each a Business Day). Information that becomes known to a Fund or its agents after the NAV has been calculated on a particular day will not generally be used to retroactively adjust the price of a security or the NAV determined earlier that day.

For purposes of calculating the NAV, portfolio securities and other financial derivative instruments are valued on each Business Day using valuation methods as adopted by the Board of Trustees (the Board) of each Fund. The Board has formed a Valuation Committee, whose function is to monitor the valuation of portfolio securities and other financial derivative instruments and, as required by the Funds' valuation policies, determine in good faith the fair market value of the Funds' portfolio holdings after consideration of all relevant factors, including recommendations provided by the Manager. The Board has delegated responsibility for applying the valuation methods to the Manager. The Manager monitors the continual appropriateness of methods applied and determines if adjustments should be made in light of market factor changes and events affecting issuers.

Where market quotes are readily available, fair market value is generally determined on the basis of official closing prices or the last reported sales prices, or if no sales or closing prices are reported, equity securities are generally valued at the mean of the last available bid and ask quotations on the exchange or market on which the security is primarily traded, or use other information based on quotes obtained from a quotation reporting system, established market makers, or pricing services. Where market quotes are not readily available, portfolio securities and other financial derivative instruments are valued at fair market value, as determined in good faith by the Board, its Valuation Committee, or the Manager pursuant to instructions from the Board or its Valuation Committee. Market quotes are considered not readily available in circumstances where there is an absence of current or reliable market-based data (e.g., trade information, bid/ask information, or broker quotes), including where events occur after the close of the relevant market, but prior to the NYSE Close, that materially affect the values of a Fund's securities or financial derivative instruments. In addition, market quotes are considered not readily available when, due to extraordinary circumstances, the exchanges or markets on which securities trade do not open for trading for the entire day and no other market prices are available. The Board has delegated to the Manager the responsibility for monitoring significant events that may materially affect the values of a Fund's securities or financial derivative instruments and for determining whether the value of the applicable securities or financial derivative instruments should be re-evaluated in light of such significant events.

The Board has adopted methods for valuing securities and other financial derivative instruments that may require fair valuation under particular circumstances. The Manager monitors the continual appropriateness of fair valuation methods applied and determines if adjustments should be made in light of market changes, events affecting the issuer, or other factors. If the Manager determines that a fair valuation method may no longer be appropriate, another valuation method may be selected, or the Valuation Committee will take any appropriate action in accordance with procedures set forth by the Board. The Board reviews the appropriateness of the valuation methods from time to time, and these methods may be amended or supplemented from time to time by the Valuation Committee.

In circumstances in which daily market quotes are not readily available, investments may be valued pursuant to guidelines established by the Board. In the event that the security or other financial derivative instruments cannot be valued pursuant to the established guidelines, the value of the

Table of Contents

Notes to Financial Statements (Cont.)

security or other financial derivative instrument will be determined in good faith by the Valuation Committee, generally based upon recommendations provided by the Manager. These methods may require subjective determinations about the value of a security. While each Fund's policy is intended to result in a calculation of a Fund's NAV that fairly reflects security values as of the time of pricing, the Funds cannot guarantee that values determined by the Board or persons acting at their direction would accurately reflect the price that a Fund could obtain for a security if it were to dispose of that security as of the time of pricing (for instance, in a forced or distressed sale). The prices used by a Fund may differ from the value that would be realized if the securities were sold or settled.

(b) **Fair Value Hierarchy** U.S. GAAP describes fair market value as the price that a Fund would receive to sell an asset or pay to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. It establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes inputs to valuation methods and requires disclosure of the fair value hierarchy, separately for each major category of assets and liabilities, that segregates fair value measurements into levels (Level 1, 2, or 3). The inputs or methodology used for valuing securities are not necessarily an indication of the risk associated with investing in those securities. Levels 1, 2, and 3 of the fair value hierarchy are defined as follows:

- ⁿ Level 1 Inputs using (unadjusted) quoted prices in active markets or exchanges for identical assets or liabilities.
- ⁿ Level 2 Significant other observable inputs, which may include, but are not limited to, quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in markets that are active, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the assets or liabilities (such as interest rates, yield curves, volatilities, prepayment speeds, loss severities, credit risks and default rates) or other market corroborated inputs.
- ⁿ Level 3 Significant unobservable inputs based on the best information available in the circumstances, to the extent observable inputs are not available, which may include assumptions made by the Board or persons acting at their direction that are used in determining the fair value of investments.

In accordance with the requirements of U.S. GAAP, the amounts of transfers between Levels 1 and 2 and transfers in and out of Level 3, if material, are disclosed in the Notes to Schedule of Investments of each respective Fund.

For fair valuations using significant unobservable inputs, U.S. GAAP requires a reconciliation of the beginning to ending balances for reported fair values that presents changes attributable to total realized and unrealized gains or losses, purchases and sales, and transfers in or out of the Level 3 category during the period. The end of period timing recognition is used for the transfers between Levels of a Fund's assets and liabilities. Additionally, U.S. GAAP requires quantitative information regarding the significant unobservable inputs used in the determination of fair value of assets or liabilities categorized as Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy. In accordance with the requirements of U.S. GAAP, a fair value hierarchy, and if material, a Level 3 reconciliation and details of significant unobservable inputs, have been included in the Notes to Schedule of Investments for each respective Fund.

42 PIMCO CLOSED-END FUNDS

Table of Contents

May 31, 2015

(c) Valuation Techniques and the Fair Value Hierarchy

Level 1 and Level 2 trading assets and trading liabilities, at fair market value The valuation methods (or techniques) and significant inputs used in determining the fair market values of portfolio securities or financial derivative instruments categorized as Level 1 and Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy are as follows:

Fixed income securities including corporate, convertible and municipal bonds and notes, U.S. government agencies, U.S. treasury obligations, sovereign issues, bank loans, convertible preferred securities and non-U.S. bonds are normally valued by pricing service providers that use broker-dealer quotations, reported trades or valuation estimates from their internal pricing models. The service providers' internal models use inputs that are observable such as issuer details, interest rates, yield curves, prepayment speeds, credit risks/spreads, default rates and quoted prices for similar assets. Securities that use similar valuation techniques and inputs as described above are categorized as Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

Short-term investments having a maturity of 60 days or less and repurchase agreements are generally valued at amortized cost which approximates fair market value. These investments are categorized as Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

4. SECURITIES AND OTHER INVESTMENTS**(a) Investments in Securities**

U.S. Government Agencies or Government-Sponsored Enterprises Certain Funds may invest in securities of U.S. Government agencies or government-sponsored enterprises. U.S. Government securities are obligations of and, in certain cases, guaranteed by, the U.S. Government, its agencies or instrumentalities. Some U.S. Government securities, such as Treasury bills, notes and bonds, and securities guaranteed by the Government National Mortgage Association (GNMA or Ginnie Mae), are supported by the full faith and credit of the U.S. Government; others, such as those of the Federal Home Loan Banks, are supported by the right of the issuer to borrow from the U.S. Department of the Treasury (the U.S. Treasury); and others, such as those of the Federal National Mortgage Association (FNMA or Fannie Mae), are supported by the discretionary authority of the U.S. Government to purchase the agency's obligations. U.S. Government securities may include zero coupon securities. Zero coupon securities do not distribute interest on a current basis and tend to be subject to a greater risk than interest-paying securities.

Government-related guarantors (i.e., not backed by the full faith and credit of the U.S. Government) include FNMA and the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (FHLMC or Freddie Mac). FNMA is a government-sponsored corporation. FNMA purchases conventional (i.e., not insured or guaranteed by any government agency) residential mortgages from a list of approved seller/servicers which include state and federally chartered savings and loan associations, mutual savings banks, commercial banks and credit unions and mortgage bankers. Pass-through securities issued by FNMA are guaranteed as to timely payment of principal and interest by FNMA, but are not backed by the full faith and credit of the U.S. Government. FHLMC issues Participation Certificates (PCs), which are pass-through securities, each representing an undivided interest in a pool of residential mortgages. FHLMC guarantees the timely payment of interest and ultimate collection of principal, but PCs are not backed by the full faith and credit of the U.S. Government.

Table of Contents**Notes to Financial Statements (Cont.)****5. BORROWINGS AND OTHER FINANCING TRANSACTIONS**

The following disclosures contain information on a Fund's ability to lend or borrow cash or securities to the extent permitted under the Act, which may be viewed as borrowing or financing transactions by a Fund. The location and fair value amounts of these instruments are described below. For a detailed description of credit and counterparty risks that can be associated with borrowings and other financing transactions, please see Note 6, Principal Risks.

(a) **Repurchase Agreements** Certain Funds may engage in repurchase agreements. Under the terms of a typical repurchase agreement, a Fund takes possession of an underlying debt obligation (collateral) subject to an obligation of the seller to repurchase, and a Fund to resell, the obligation at an agreed-upon price and time. The underlying securities for all repurchase agreements are held in safekeeping at the Fund's custodian or designated subcustodians under tri-party repurchase agreements. The market value of the collateral must be equal to or exceed the total amount of the repurchase obligations, including interest. Repurchase agreements, including accrued interest, are included on the Statements of Assets and Liabilities. Interest earned is recorded as a component of interest income on the Statements of Operations. In periods of increased demand for collateral, a Fund may pay a fee for receipt of collateral, which may result in interest expense to the Fund.

(b) **Tender Option Bond Transactions** Certain Funds may leverage their assets through the use of tender option bond transactions. In a tender option bond transaction (TOB), a Fund sells or causes the sale of, a fixed-rate municipal bond (Fixed Rate Bond) to a tender option bond trust (TOB Trust) that issues floating rate certificates (TOB Floater) and residual interest certificates (TOB Residual). A Fund simultaneously, or within a short period of time, purchases the TOB Residual issued by the TOB Trust. The TOB Floater is sold to third-party investors. The cash received by the TOB Trust from the sale of the TOB Floater and TOB Residual, less transaction expenses, is paid to the Fund that sold the Fixed Rate Bond to the TOB Trust. A Fund may then invest this cash in additional securities, generating leverage for the Fund.

The TOB Residual held by a Fund provides the Fund with the right to: (1) cause the holders of the TOB Floater to tender their notes at par, and (2) cause the sale of the Fixed-Rate Bond held by the TOB Trust, thereby collapsing the TOB Trust. The TOB Trust may also be collapsed without the consent of a Fund, as the TOB Residual holder, upon the occurrence of certain termination events as defined in the TOB Trust agreements. Such termination events may include the bankruptcy or default of the municipal bond, a substantial downgrade in credit quality of the municipal bond, the inability of the TOB Trust to obtain renewal of the liquidity support agreement, a substantial decline in market value of the Fixed Rate Bond or a judgment or ruling that interest on the Fixed Rate Bond is subject to federal income taxation. Upon the occurrence of a termination event, the TOB Trust would generally be liquidated in full with the proceeds typically applied first to any accrued fees owed to the trustee, remarketing agent and liquidity provider, and then to the holders of the TOB Floater up to par plus accrued interest owed on the TOB Floater and a portion of gain share, if any, with the balance paid out to the TOB Residual holder. Holders of TOB Floaters typically have the option to tender their TOB Floaters to the TOB Trust for redemption at par at each reset date. In such cases, a remarketing agent is engaged to remarket TOB Floaters so tendered.

Table of Contents

May 31, 2015

The Funds account for the transactions described above as secured borrowings by including the Fixed Rate Bonds in their Schedules of Investments, and account for the TOB Floater as a liability under the caption "Payable for tender option bond floating rate certificates" in the Funds' Statements of Assets and Liabilities. Interest income, including amortization and accretion of premiums and discounts, from the underlying municipal bonds is recorded by each Fund on an accrual basis and is shown as interest on the Statement of Operations. Interest expense incurred on the secured borrowing is shown as interest expense on the Statement of Operations.

The Funds may also purchase TOB Residuals in a secondary market transaction without transferring a fixed rate municipal bond into a TOB Trust. Such transactions are not accounted for as secured borrowings but rather as a security purchase with the TOB Residual being included in the Schedule of Investments.

The interest rates payable on the TOB Residual purchased by a Fund bear an inverse relationship to the interest rate on the TOB Floater. The TOB Residual is created by dividing the income stream provided by the Fixed Rate Bond to create two securities, the TOB Floater, which is a short-term security and the TOB Residual, which is a long-term security. The interest rate on the TOB Floater is reset by a remarketing process typically every 7 to 35 days. After income is paid on the TOB Floater at current rates, the residual income from the Fixed Rate Bond goes to the TOB Residual. Therefore, rising short-term rates result in lower income for the TOB Residual, and vice versa. The TOB Residual may be more volatile and less liquid than other municipal bonds of comparable maturity. In most circumstances the TOB Residual holder bears substantially all of the underlying Fixed Rate Bond's downside investment risk and also benefits from any appreciation of the underlying Fixed Rate Bond's value. Investments in a TOB Residual typically will involve greater risk than investments in Fixed Rate Bonds.

Regulators recently finalized rules implementing Section 619 (the "Volcker Rule") and Section 941 (the "Risk Retention Rules") of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act. Both the Volcker Rule and the Risk Retention Rules apply to tender option bond programs and operate to require that such programs be restructured. In particular, when effective, these rules effectively will preclude banking entities from: (i) sponsoring or acquiring interests in the trusts used to hold a municipal bond in the creation of tender option bond trusts; and (ii) continuing to service or maintain relationships with existing programs involving such trusts to the same extent and in the same capacity as existing programs. At this time, the full impact of these rules is not certain, however, in response to these rules, industry participants have begun to explore various structuring alternatives for existing and new trusts. For example, under a new tender option bond structure, a Fund would structure and sponsor a tender option bond trust. As a result, a Fund would be required to assume certain responsibilities and risks as the sponsor of the tender option bond trust. Because of the important role that tender option bond programs play in the municipal bond market, it is possible that implementation of these rules and any resulting impact may adversely impact the municipal bond market and the Funds. For example, as a result of the implementation of these rules, the municipal bond market may experience reduced demand or liquidity and increased financing costs.

PIMCO Municipal Income Fund II, PIMCO California Municipal Income Fund II, and PIMCO New York Municipal Income Fund II had average leverage outstanding from the use of tender option bond transactions during the period ended May 31, 2015 of (in thousands): \$43,533, \$33,754, and \$8,186, respectively, at weighted average interest rates of 0.86%, 0.89%, and 0.99%, respectively.

Table of Contents

Notes to Financial Statements (Cont.)

6. PRINCIPAL RISKS

In the normal course of business, the Funds trade financial instruments and enter into financial transactions where risk of potential loss exists due to such things as changes in the market (market risk) or failure or inability of the other party to a transaction to perform (credit and counterparty risk). See below for a detailed description of select principal risks. For a more comprehensive list of potential risks the Funds may be subject to, please see the Important Information About the Funds.

Market Risks A Fund's investments in financial derivatives and other financial instruments expose the Fund to various risks such as, but not limited to, interest rate, foreign currency, equity and commodity risks.

Interest rate risk is the risk that fixed income securities will decline in value because of changes in interest rates. As nominal interest rates rise, the value of certain fixed income securities held by a Fund is likely to decrease. A nominal interest rate can be described as the sum of a real interest rate and an expected inflation rate. Interest rate changes can be sudden and unpredictable, and a Fund may lose money if these changes are not anticipated by Fund management. A Fund may not be able to hedge against changes in interest rates or may choose not to do so for cost or other reasons. In addition, any hedges may not work as intended. Fixed income securities with longer durations tend to be more sensitive to changes in interest rates, usually making them more volatile than securities with shorter durations. Duration is useful primarily as a measure of the sensitivity of a security's market price to interest rate (i.e. yield) movements. At present, the U.S. is experiencing historically low interest rates. This, combined with recent economic recovery and the Federal Reserve Board's conclusion of its quantitative easing program, could potentially increase the probability of an upward interest rate environment in the near future. Further, while U.S. bond markets have steadily grown over the past three decades, dealer market making ability has remained relatively stagnant. Given the importance of intermediary market making in creating a robust and active market, fixed income securities may face increased volatility and liquidity risks. All of these factors, collectively and/or individually, could cause a Fund to lose value.

The market values of securities may decline due to general market conditions which are not specifically related to a particular company or issuer, such as real or perceived adverse economic conditions, changes in the general outlook for corporate earnings, changes in interest or currency rates or adverse investor sentiment generally. They may also decline due to factors which affect a particular industry or industries, such as labor shortages or increased production costs and competitive conditions within an industry. Equity securities and equity related investments generally have greater market price volatility than fixed income securities, although under certain market conditions fixed income securities may have comparable or greater price volatility. Credit ratings downgrades may also negatively affect securities held by a Fund. Even when markets perform well, there is no assurance that the investments held by a Fund will increase in value along with the broader market. In addition, market risk includes the risk that geopolitical events will disrupt the economy on a national or global level.

Credit and Counterparty Risks A Fund will be exposed to credit risk to parties with whom it trades and will also bear the risk of settlement default. A Fund seeks to minimize concentrations of credit risk by undertaking transactions with a large number of counterparties on recognized and reputable exchanges, where applicable. A Fund could lose money if the issuer or guarantor of a fixed income security, or the counterparty to a financial derivatives contract, repurchase agreement or a loan of

46 PIMCO CLOSED-END FUNDS

Table of Contents

May 31, 2015

portfolio securities, is unable or unwilling to make timely principal and/or interest payments, or to otherwise honor its obligations. Securities are subject to varying degrees of credit risk, which are often reflected in credit ratings.

Similar to credit risk, a Fund may be exposed to counterparty risk, or the risk that an institution or other entity with which a Fund has unsettled or open transactions will default. PIMCO, as Manager, seeks to minimize counterparty risks to a Fund in a number of ways. Prior to entering into transactions with a new counterparty, the PIMCO Counterparty Risk Committee conducts an extensive credit review of such counterparty and must approve the use of such counterparty. Furthermore, pursuant to the terms of the underlying contract, to the extent that unpaid amounts owed to a Fund exceed a predetermined threshold, such counterparty is required to advance collateral to a Fund in the form of cash or securities equal in value to the unpaid amount owed to a Fund. A Fund may invest such collateral in securities or other instruments and will typically pay interest to the counterparty on the collateral received. If the unpaid amount owed to a Fund subsequently decreases, a Fund would be required to return to the counterparty all or a portion of the collateral previously advanced to a Fund.

All transactions in listed securities are settled/paid for upon delivery using approved counterparties. The risk of default is considered minimal, as delivery of securities sold is only made once a Fund has received payment. Payment is made on a purchase once the securities have been delivered by the counterparty. The trade will fail if either party fails to meet its obligation.

Master Netting Arrangements The Funds may be subject to various netting arrangements with select counterparties (Master Agreements). Master Agreements govern the terms of certain transactions, and are intended to reduce the counterparty risk associated with relevant transactions by specifying credit protection mechanisms and providing standardization that is intended to improve legal certainty. Each type of Master Agreement governs certain types of transactions. Different types of transactions may be traded out of different legal entities or affiliates of a particular organization, resulting in the need for multiple agreements with a single counterparty. As the Master Agreements are specific to unique operations of different asset types, they allow a Fund to close out and net its total exposure to a counterparty in the event of a default with respect to all the transactions governed under a single Master Agreement with a counterparty.

Master Agreements can also help limit counterparty risk by specifying collateral posting arrangements at pre-arranged exposure levels. Under the Master Agreements, collateral is routinely transferred if the total net exposure to certain transactions (net of existing collateral already in place) governed under the relevant Master Agreement with a counterparty in a given account exceeds a specified threshold, which typically ranges from zero to \$250,000 depending on the counterparty and the type of Master Agreement. United States Treasury Bills and U.S. dollar cash are generally the preferred forms of collateral, although other forms of AAA rated paper or sovereign securities may be used. Securities and cash pledged as collateral are reflected as assets in the Statement of Assets and Liabilities as either a component of Investments at value (securities) or Deposits due from Counterparties (cash). Cash collateral received is typically not held in a segregated account and as such is reflected as a liability in the Statements of Assets and Liabilities as Deposits due to Counterparties. The market value of any securities received as collateral is not reflected as a

Table of Contents

Notes to Financial Statements (Cont.)

component of net asset value. A Fund's overall exposure to counterparty risk can change substantially within a short period, as it is affected by each transaction subject to the relevant Master Agreement.

Master Repurchase Agreements and Global Master Repurchase Agreements (individually and collectively "Master Repo Agreements") govern repurchase, reverse repurchase, and sale-buyback transactions between the Funds and select counterparties. Master Repo Agreements maintain provisions for, among other things, initiation, income payments, events of default, and maintenance of collateral. The market value of transactions under the Master Repo Agreement, collateral pledged or received, and the net exposure by counterparty as of period end are disclosed in the Notes to Schedule of Investments.

7. FEES AND EXPENSES

Management Fee Effective at the close of business on September 5, 2014, each Fund entered into an Investment Management Agreement with PIMCO (the "Agreement"). Pursuant to the Agreement, subject to the supervision of the Board, PIMCO is responsible for providing to each Fund investment guidance and policy direction in connection with the management of each Fund, including oral and written research, analysis, advice, and statistical and economic data and information. In addition, pursuant to the Agreement and subject to the general supervision of the Board, PIMCO, at its expense, will provide or cause to be furnished most other supervisory and administrative services the Funds require, including but not limited to, expenses of most third-party service providers (e.g., audit, custodial, legal, transfer agency, printing) and other expenses, such as those associated with insurance, proxy solicitations and mailings for shareholder meetings, New York Stock Exchange listing and related fees, tax services, valuation services and other services the Funds require for their daily operations.

Pursuant to the Agreement, PIMCO receives an annual fee, payable monthly, at an annual rate of 0.685% of PIMCO Municipal Income Fund II's average daily net asset value, including daily net assets attributable to any preferred shares that may be outstanding, 0.705% of PIMCO California Municipal Income Fund II's average daily net asset value, including daily net assets attributable to any preferred shares that may be outstanding, and 0.735% of PIMCO New York Municipal Income Fund II's average daily net asset value, including daily net assets attributable to any preferred shares that may be outstanding. Management fees paid subsequent to the close of business on September 5, 2014 to May 31, 2015 for PIMCO Municipal Income Fund II, PIMCO California Municipal Income Fund II and PIMCO New York Municipal Income Fund II were \$5,627,619, \$2,300,504 and \$1,104,409, respectively.

Prior to the close of business on September 5, 2014, AGIFM served as the investment manager to each Fund and received annual fees, payable monthly, at an annual rate of 0.65% of each Fund's average daily net assets, including daily net assets attributable to any preferred shares that were outstanding. Prior to the close of business on September 5, 2014, AGIFM retained PIMCO as sub-adviser to manage the Funds' investments. AGIFM, and not the Funds, paid a portion of the fees it received as investment manager to PIMCO in return for its services. Management fees paid to AGIFM from June 1, 2014 to the close of business on September 5, 2014 for PIMCO Municipal Income Fund II, PIMCO California Municipal Income Fund II and PIMCO New York Municipal Income Fund II were \$1,890,782, \$752,093 and \$344,092, respectively.

Table of Contents

May 31, 2015

Prior to the close of business on September 5, 2014, in addition to the management fee paid to AGIFM, as described above, each Fund directly had borne expenses for other administrative services and costs, including expenses associated with various third-party service providers, such as audit, custodial, legal, transfer agency, printing and other services the Funds require. Effective beginning at the close of business on September 5, 2014, PIMCO (and not the Funds) bears such expenses with respect to each Fund pursuant to its management fee arrangements under the Agreement described above under Management Fee.

Fund Expenses Each Fund bears other expenses, which may vary and affect the total level of expenses paid by shareholders, such as (i) salaries and other compensation or expenses, including travel expenses of any of a Fund's executive officers and employees, if any, who are not officers, directors, shareholders, members, partners or employees of PIMCO or its subsidiaries or affiliates; (ii) taxes and governmental fees, if any, levied against the Fund; (iii) brokerage fees and commissions and other portfolio transaction expenses incurred by or for the Fund (including, without limitation, fees and expenses of outside legal counsel or third-party consultants retained in connection with reviewing, negotiating and structuring specialized loan and other investments made by the Fund, subject to specific or general authorization by a Fund's Board); (iv) expenses of a Fund's securities lending (if any), including any securities lending agent fees, as governed by a separate securities lending agreement; (v) costs, including interest expense, of borrowing money or engaging in other types of leverage financing, including, without limitation, through the use by the Fund of reverse repurchase agreements, tender option bonds, bank borrowings and credit facilities; (vi) costs, including dividend and/or interest expenses and other costs (including, without limitation, offering and related legal costs, fees to brokers, fees to auction agents, fees to transfer agents, fees to ratings agencies and fees to auditors associated with satisfying ratings agency requirements for preferred shares or other securities issued by the Fund and other related requirements in a Fund's organizational documents) associated with a Fund's issuance, offering, redemption and maintenance of preferred shares, commercial paper or other senior securities for the purpose of incurring leverage; (vii) fees and expenses of any underlying funds or other pooled investment vehicles in which the Fund invests; (viii) dividend and interest expenses on short positions taken by the Fund; (ix) fees and expenses, including travel expenses, and fees and expenses of legal counsel retained for their benefit, of Trustees who are not officers, employees, partners, shareholders or members of PIMCO or its subsidiaries or affiliates; (x) extraordinary expenses, including extraordinary legal expenses, as may arise, including expenses incurred in connection with litigation, proceedings, other claims, and the legal obligations of the Fund to indemnify its Trustees, officers, employees, shareholders, distributors, and agents with respect thereto; (xi) organizational and offering expenses of the Fund, including with respect to share offerings, such as rights offerings and shelf offerings, following a Fund's initial offering, and expenses associated with tender offers and other share repurchases and redemptions; and (xii) expenses of the Fund which are capitalized in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

Each of the Trustees of the Funds who is not an interested person under Section 2(a)(19) of the Act (the Independent Trustees) also serves as a trustee of a number of other closed-end funds for which PIMCO serves as investment manager (together with the Funds, the PIMCO Closed-End Funds), as well as PIMCO Managed Accounts Trust, an open-end investment company with multiple series for which PIMCO serves as investment manager (PMAT) and, together with the PIMCO

Table of Contents

Notes to Financial Statements (Cont.)

Closed-End Funds, the PIMCO-Managed Funds). In addition, each of the Independent Trustees also serves as a trustee of certain investment companies (together, the Allianz-Managed Funds), for which AGIFM, an affiliate of PIMCO that served as the investment manager of the PIMCO-Managed Funds prior to the close of business on September 5, 2014, serves as investment adviser.

Prior to the close of business on September 5, 2014, including during the period of this report, each of the PIMCO-Managed Funds and Allianz-Managed Funds held joint meetings of their Boards of Trustees whenever possible, and each Trustee, other than any Trustee who was a director, officer, partner or employee of PIMCO, AGIFM or any entity controlling, controlled by or under common control with PIMCO or AGIFM, received annual compensation of \$250,000 for service on the Boards of all of the PIMCO-Managed Funds and Allianz-Managed Funds, payable quarterly. The Independent Chairman of the Boards received an additional \$75,000 per year, payable quarterly. The Audit Oversight Committee Chairman received an additional \$50,000 annually, payable quarterly. Trustees were also reimbursed for meeting-related expenses.

During periods prior to September 5, 2014, each Trustee's compensation and other costs in connection with joint meetings were allocated among the PIMCO-Managed Funds and Allianz-Managed Funds, as applicable, on the basis of fixed percentages as between such groups of Funds. Trustee compensation and other costs were then further allocated pro rata among the individual funds within each grouping based on the complexity of issues relating to each such fund and relative time spent by the Trustees in addressing them, and on each such fund's relative net assets.

Subsequent to September 5, 2014, in connection with the new investment management agreement between the PIMCO-Managed Funds and PIMCO and the termination of the investment management agreement between the PIMCO-Managed Funds and AGIFM, each of the PIMCO-Managed Funds began holding, and are expected to continue to hold, joint meetings of their Boards of Trustees whenever possible, but will generally no longer hold joint meetings with the Allianz-Managed Funds. Under the new Board structure, each Independent Trustee currently receives annual compensation of \$225,000 for his or her service on the Boards of the PIMCO-Managed Funds, payable quarterly. The Independent Chairman of the Boards receives an additional \$75,000 per year, payable quarterly. The Audit Oversight Committee Chairman receives an additional \$50,000 annually, payable quarterly. Trustees are also reimbursed for meeting-related expenses.

Each Trustee's compensation for his or her service as a Trustee on the Boards of the PIMCO-Managed Funds and other costs in connection with joint meetings of such Funds are allocated among the PIMCO-Managed Funds, as applicable, on the basis of fixed percentages as between PMAT and the PIMCO Closed-End Funds. Trustee compensation and other costs will then be further allocated pro rata among the individual funds within each grouping based on each such fund's relative net assets.

8. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

The Manager is a related party. Fees payable to this party are disclosed in Note 7 and the accrued related party fee amounts are disclosed on the Statements of Assets and Liabilities.

Edgar Filing: PIMCO MUNICIPAL INCOME FUND II - Form N-CSR

Certain Funds are permitted to purchase or sell securities from or to certain related affiliated funds or portfolios under specified conditions outlined in procedures adopted by the Board. The procedures have

50 PIMCO CLOSED-END FUNDS

Table of Contents

May 31, 2015

been designed to ensure that any purchase or sale of securities by the Funds from or to another fund or portfolio that are, or could be, considered an affiliate by virtue of having a common investment adviser (or affiliated investment advisers), common Trustees and/or common officers complies with Rule 17a-7 of the Act. Further, as defined under the procedures, each transaction is effected at the current market price. During the period ended May 31, 2015, the Funds below engaged in purchases and sales of securities pursuant to Rule 17a-7 of the Act (amounts in thousands):

| Fund Name | Purchases | Sales |
|-------------------------------------------|------------------|--------------|
| PIMCO Municipal Income Fund II | \$ 7,822 | \$ 0 |
| PIMCO California Municipal Income Fund II | 5,203 | 0 |
| PIMCO New York Municipal Income Fund II | 3,175 | 0 |

A zero balance may reflect actual amounts rounding to less than one thousand.

9. GUARANTEES AND INDEMNIFICATIONS

Under each Fund's organizational documents, each Trustee and officer is indemnified, to the extent permitted by the Act, against certain liabilities that may arise out of performance of their duties to the Funds. Additionally, in the normal course of business, the Funds enter into contracts that contain a variety of indemnification clauses. The Funds' maximum exposure under these arrangements is unknown as this would involve future claims that may be made against the Funds that have not yet occurred. However, the Funds have not had prior claims or losses pursuant to these contracts.

10. PURCHASES AND SALES OF SECURITIES

The length of time a Fund has held a particular security is not generally a consideration in investment decisions. A change in the securities held by a Fund is known as portfolio turnover. Each Fund may engage in frequent and active trading of portfolio securities to achieve its investment objective, particularly during periods of volatile market movements. High portfolio turnover involves correspondingly greater expenses to a Fund, including brokerage commissions or dealer mark-ups and other transaction costs on the sale of securities and reinvestments in other securities. Such sales may also result in realization of taxable capital gains, including short-term capital gains (which are generally taxed at ordinary income tax rates). The trading costs and tax effects associated with portfolio turnover may adversely affect a Fund's performance. The portfolio turnover rates are reported in the Financial Highlights.

Purchases and sales of securities (excluding short-term investments) for the period ended May 31, 2015, were as follows (amounts in thousands):

| Fund Name | U.S. Government/Agency | | All Other | |
|-------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|
| | Purchases | Sales | Purchases | Sales |
| PIMCO Municipal Income Fund II | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 144,183 | \$ 113,496 |
| PIMCO California Municipal Income Fund II | 0 | 0 | 57,513 | 60,875 |
| PIMCO New York Municipal Income Fund II | 0 | 0 | 16,438 | 14,757 |

Edgar Filing: PIMCO MUNICIPAL INCOME FUND II - Form N-CSR

A zero balance may reflect actual amounts rounding to less than one thousand.

Table of Contents**Notes to Financial Statements (Cont.)****11. AUCTION-RATE PREFERRED SHARES**

Each series of Auction-Rate Preferred Shares (ARPS) outstanding of each Fund has a liquidation preference of \$25,000 per share plus any accumulated, unpaid dividends. Dividends are accumulated daily at an annual rate that is typically re-set every seven days through auction procedures (or through default procedures in the event of failed auctions). Distributions of net realized capital gains, if any, are paid annually.

For the year ended May 31, 2015, the annualized dividend rates on the ARPS ranged from:

| Fund Name | Shares Issued and Outstanding | High | Low | As of May 31, 2015 |
|--------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------|------------|---------------------------|
| PIMCO Municipal Income Fund II | | | | |
| Series A | 2,936 | 0.213% | 0.077% | 0.213% |
| Series B | 2,936 | 0.213% | 0.077% | 0.213% |
| Series C | 2,936 | 0.213% | 0.066% | 0.213% |
| Series D | 2,936 | 0.213% | 0.077% | 0.197% |
| Series E | 2,936 | 0.213% | 0.083% | 0.213% |
| PIMCO California Municipal Income Fund II | | | | |
| Series A | 1,304 | 0.213% | 0.077% | 0.213% |
| Series B | 1,304 | 0.213% | 0.077% | 0.213% |
| Series C | 1,304 | 0.213% | 0.066% | 0.213% |
| Series D | 1,304 | 0.213% | 0.077% | 0.197% |
| Series E | 1,304 | 0.213% | 0.083% | 0.213% |
| PIMCO New York Municipal Income Fund II | | | | |
| Series A | 1,580 | 0.213% | 0.066% | 0.213% |
| Series B | 1,580 | 0.213% | 0.083% | 0.213% |

Each Fund is subject to certain limitations and restrictions while ARPS are outstanding. Failure to comply with these limitations and restrictions could preclude a Fund from declaring or paying any dividends or distributions to common shareholders or repurchasing common shares and/or could trigger the mandatory redemption of ARPS at their liquidation preference plus any accumulated, unpaid dividends.

Preferred shareholders of each Fund, who are entitled to one vote per share, generally vote together with the common shareholders of the Fund but vote separately as a class to elect two Trustees of the Fund and on certain matters adversely affecting the rights of the ARPS.

Edgar Filing: PIMCO MUNICIPAL INCOME FUND II - Form N-CSR

Since mid-February 2008, holders of ARPS issued by the Funds have been directly impacted by a lack of liquidity, which has similarly affected ARPS holders in many of the nation's closed-end funds. Since then, regularly scheduled auctions for ARPS issued by the Funds have consistently failed because of insufficient demand (bids to buy shares) to meet the supply (shares offered for sale) at each auction. In a failed auction, ARPS holders cannot sell all, and may not be able to sell any, of their shares tendered for sale. While repeated auction failures have affected the liquidity for ARPS, they do not constitute a default or automatically alter the credit quality of the ARPS, and ARPS

52 PIMCO CLOSED-END FUNDS

Table of Contents

May 31, 2015

holders have continued to receive dividends at the defined maximum rate, equal to the higher of the 30-day AA Composite Commercial Paper Rate multiplied by 110% or the Taxable Equivalent of the Short-Term Municipal Obligations Rate defined as 90% of the quotient of (A) the per annum rate expressed on an interest equivalent basis equal to the S&P Municipal Bond 7-day High Grade Rate Index divided by (B) 1.00 minus the Marginal Tax Rate (expressed as a decimal) multiplied by 110%. As of May 31, 2015, the current multiplier for calculating the maximum rate is 110%. The maximum rate is a function of short-term interest rates and is typically higher than the rate that would have otherwise been set through a successful auction. If the Funds ARPS auctions continue to fail and the maximum rate payable on the ARPS rises as a result of changes in short-term interest rates, returns for each Fund's common shareholders could be adversely affected.

12. REGULATORY AND LITIGATION MATTERS

The Funds are not named as defendants in any material litigation or arbitration proceedings and are not aware of any material litigation or claim pending or threatened against them.

13. FEDERAL INCOME TAX MATTERS

Each Fund intends to qualify as a regulated investment company under Subchapter M of the Internal Revenue Code (the Code) and distribute all of its taxable income and net realized gains, if applicable, to shareholders. Accordingly, no provision for Federal income taxes has been made.

In accordance with U.S. GAAP, the Manager has reviewed the Funds' tax positions for all open tax years. As of May 31, 2015, the Funds have recorded no liability for net unrecognized tax benefits relating to uncertain income tax positions they have taken or expect to take in future tax returns.

Each Fund files U.S. tax returns. While the statute of limitations remains open to examine the Funds' U.S. tax returns filed for the fiscal years from 2012-2014, no examinations are in progress or anticipated at this time. No Fund is aware of any tax positions for which it is reasonably possible that the total amounts of unrecognized tax benefits will significantly change in the next twelve months.

As of May 31, 2015, the components of distributable taxable earnings are as follows (amounts in thousands):

| | Undistributed Tax Exempt Income | Undistributed Ordinary Income | Undistributed Long-Term Capital Gains | Net Tax Basis Unrealized Appreciation/ (Depreciation) ⁽¹⁾ | Other Book- to-Tax Accounting Differences ⁽²⁾ | Accumulated Capital Losses ⁽³⁾ | Qualified Post- October Loss Deferral Capital ⁽⁴⁾ | Qualified Late-Year Loss Deferral Ordinary ⁽⁵⁾ |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| PIMCO Municipal Income Fund II | \$ 29,407 | \$ | \$ | \$ 99,750 | \$ (3,993) | \$ (185,283) | \$ (158) | \$ |

Edgar Filing: PIMCO MUNICIPAL INCOME FUND II - Form N-CSR

| | | | | | |
|----------------------------------------------|-------|--------|---------|-----------|-------|
| PIMCO California Municipal Income Fund II | 233 | 51,650 | (1,714) | (181,246) | (185) |
| PIMCO New York Municipal Income Fund II | 1,427 | 18,539 | (733) | (43,138) | (570) |

(1) Adjusted for inverse floater transactions.

(2) Represents differences in income tax regulations and financial accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, namely for distributions payable at fiscal year-end.

ANNUAL REPORT MAY 31, 2015 53

Table of Contents**Notes to Financial Statements (Cont.)**

- (3) Capital losses available to offset future net capital gains expire in varying amounts in the years shown below.
- (4) Capital losses realized during the period November 1, 2014 through May 31, 2015, which the Funds elected to defer to the following taxable year pursuant to income tax regulations.
- (5) Specified losses realized during the period November 1, 2014 through May 31, 2015 and ordinary losses realized during the period January 1, 2015 through May 31, 2015, which the Funds elected to defer to the following taxable year pursuant to income tax regulations.

As of May 31, 2015, the Funds had accumulated capital losses expiring in the following years (amounts in thousands). The Funds will resume capital gain distributions in the future to the extent gains are realized in excess of accumulated capital losses.

| | Expiration of Accumulated Capital Losses | | | |
|-------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|
| | 05/31/2016 | 05/31/2017 | 05/31/2018 | 05/31/2019 |
| PIMCO Municipal Income Fund II | \$ | \$ 7,955 | \$ 164,802 | \$ |
| PIMCO California Municipal Income Fund II | 4,850 | 18,401 | 157,995 | |
| PIMCO New York Municipal Income Fund II | 1,171 | 2,962 | 34,379 | |

Under the Regulated Investment Company Modernization Act of 2010, a fund is permitted to carry forward any new capital losses for an unlimited period. Additionally, such capital losses that are carried forward will retain their character as either short-term or long-term capital losses rather than being considered all short-term under previous law. As of May 31, 2015, the Funds had the following post-effective capital losses with no expiration:

| | Short-Term | Long-Term |
|-------------------------------------------|------------|-----------|
| PIMCO Municipal Income Fund II | \$ 12,526 | \$ |
| PIMCO California Municipal Income Fund II | | |
| PIMCO New York Municipal Income Fund II | 4,334 | 292 |

As of May 31, 2015, the aggregate cost and the net unrealized appreciation/(depreciation) of investments for federal income tax purposes are as follows (amounts in thousands):

| | Federal Tax Cost | Unrealized Appreciation | Unrealized (Depreciation) | Net Unrealized Appreciation/(Depreciation) ⁽⁶⁾ |
|-------------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|
| PIMCO Municipal Income Fund II | \$ 1,047,558 | \$ 106,716 | \$ (6,965) | \$ 99,751 |
| PIMCO California Municipal Income Fund II | 411,703 | 54,202 | (2,551) | 51,651 |
| PIMCO New York Municipal Income Fund II | 190,925 | 19,279 | (728) | 18,551 |

- (6) Primary differences, if any, between book and tax net unrealized appreciation/(depreciation) on investments are attributable to inverse floater transactions for federal income tax purposes.

54 PIMCO CLOSED-END FUNDS

Table of Contents

May 31, 2015

For the fiscal years ended May 31, 2015 and May 31, 2014, respectively, the Funds made the following tax basis distributions (amounts in thousands):

| | May 31, 2015 | | | | May 31, 2014 | | | |
|-------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | Tax-Exempt Income Distributions | Ordinary Income Distributions | Long-Term Capital Gain Distributions | Return of Capital ⁽⁸⁾ | Tax-Exempt Income Distributions | Ordinary Income Distributions | Long-Term Capital Gain Distributions | Return of Capital ⁽⁸⁾ |
| PIMCO Municipal Income Fund II | \$ 47,405 | \$ 755 | \$ | \$ | \$ 47,167 | \$ 855 | \$ | \$ |
| PIMCO California Municipal Income Fund II | 19,815 | 866 | | | 20,391 | 748 | | 2,253 |
| PIMCO New York Municipal Income Fund II | 8,667 | 173 | | | 8,647 | 155 | | |

⁽⁷⁾ Includes short-term capital gains distributed, if any.

⁽⁸⁾ A portion of the distributions made represents a tax return of of capital. Return of capital distributions have been reclassified from undistributed net investment income to paid-in capital to more appropriately conform financial accounting to tax accounting.

14. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

In preparing these financial statements, the Funds management has evaluated events and transactions for potential recognition or disclosure through the date the financial statements were issued.

On June 1, 2015, the following distributions were declared to common shareholders payable July 1, 2015, to shareholders of record on June 11, 2015:

| | |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Municipal Income Fund II | \$ 0.06500 per common share |
| California Municipal Income Fund II | \$ 0.05375 per common share |
| New York Municipal Income Fund II | \$ 0.06625 per common share |

On July 1, 2015, the following distributions were declared to common shareholders payable August 3, 2015, to shareholders of record on July 13, 2015:

| | |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Municipal Income Fund II | \$ 0.06500 per common share |
| California Municipal Income Fund II | \$ 0.05375 per common share |
| New York Municipal Income Fund II | \$ 0.06625 per common share |

There were no other subsequent events identified that require recognition or disclosure.

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Trustees and Shareholders of

PIMCO Municipal Income Fund II

PIMCO California Municipal Income Fund II

PIMCO New York Municipal Income Fund II

In our opinion, the accompanying statements of assets and liabilities, including the schedules of investments, and the related statements of operations, and of changes in net assets and the financial highlights present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of PIMCO Municipal Income Fund II, PIMCO California Municipal Income Fund II and PIMCO New York Municipal Income Fund II (collectively hereafter referred to as the Funds) at May 31, 2015, the results of each of their operations for the year then ended, the changes in each of their net assets for each of the two years in the period then ended and the financial highlights for each of the five years in the period then ended, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. These financial statements and financial highlights (hereafter referred to as financial statements) are the responsibility of the Funds management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits of these financial statements in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits, which included confirmation of securities at May 31, 2015 by correspondence with the custodian and brokers, provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

Kansas City, Missouri

July 22, 2015

56 PIMCO CLOSED-END FUNDS

Table of Contents

Glossary: (abbreviations that may be used in the preceding statements)

(Unaudited)

Counterparty Abbreviations:

SAL Citigroup Global Markets, Inc.

Currency Abbreviations:

USD (or \$) United States Dollar

Municipal Bond or Agency Abbreviations:

AGC Assured Guaranty Corp.

FHA Federal Housing Administration

AGM Assured Guaranty Municipal

IBC Insured Bond Certificate

AMBAC American Municipal Bond Assurance Corp.

NPFGC National Public Finance Guarantee Corp.

FGIC Financial Guaranty Insurance Co.

Radian Radian Guaranty, Inc.

ANNUAL REPORT MAY 31, 2015 57

Table of Contents**Shareholder Meeting Results****Annual Shareholder Meeting Results**

The Funds held their annual meetings of shareholders on December 18, 2014. Common/preferred shareholders voted as indicated below:

| | Affirmative | Withheld Authority |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------|
| PIMCO California Municipal Income Fund II | | |
| Election of Craig Dawson Class III to serve until the annual meeting for the 2017-2018 fiscal year | 26,612,026 | 458,457 |
| Re-election of Bradford K. Gallagher Class III to serve until the annual Meeting for the 2017-2018 fiscal year | 26,591,956 | 478,527 |
| Re-election of John C. Maney Class III to serve until the annual Meeting for the 2017-2018 fiscal year | 26,595,229 | 475,254 |

The other members of the Board of Trustees at the time of the meeting, namely, Ms. Deborah A. DeCotis and Messrs. Hans W. Kertess, William B. Ogden, IV, James A. Jacobson and Alan Rappaport continued to serve as Trustees of the Fund.

Interested Trustee

| | Affirmative | Withheld Authority |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------|
| PIMCO Municipal Income Fund II | | |
| Election of Craig Dawson Class III to serve until the annual meeting for the 2017-2018 fiscal year | 54,101,533 | 1,629,995 |
| Re-election of Bradford K. Gallagher Class III to serve until the annual Meeting for the 2017-2018 fiscal year | 53,720,188 | 2,011,340 |
| Re-election of John C. Maney Class III to serve until the annual Meeting for the 2017-2018 fiscal year | 54,122,949 | 1,608,579 |

The other members of the Board of Trustees at the time of the meeting, namely, Ms. Deborah A. DeCotis and Messrs. Hans W. Kertess, William B. Ogden, IV, James A. Jacobson and Alan Rappaport continued to serve as Trustees of the Fund.

Interested Trustee

| | Affirmative | Withheld Authority |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------|
| PIMCO New York Municipal Income Fund II | | |
| Election of Craig Dawson Class III to serve until the annual meeting for the 2017-2018 fiscal year | 9,445,398 | 506,141 |
| Re-election of Bradford K. Gallagher Class III to serve until the annual Meeting for the 2017-2018 fiscal year | 9,445,398 | 506,141 |
| Re-election of John C. Maney Class III to serve until the annual Meeting for the 2017-2018 fiscal year | 9,445,398 | 506,141 |

The other members of the Board of Trustees at the time of the meeting, namely, Ms. Deborah A. DeCotis and Messrs. Hans W. Kertess, William B. Ogden, IV, James A. Jacobson and Alan Rappaport continued to serve as Trustees of the Fund.

Interested Trustee

Table of Contents

(Unaudited)

Special Shareholder Meeting Results

The Funds held a special meeting of shareholders on June 9, 2014 to vote on the approval of the new investment management agreement between the Funds and PIMCO, as discussed in Note 7 to the Notes to Financial Statements. The special meeting was convened as scheduled on June 9, 2014. However, because sufficient votes in favor of the proposal had not been received for any Fund at the time of the special meeting, the shareholders of each Fund present voted to adjourn the special meeting to July 10, 2014 to permit further solicitation of proxies. On July 10, 2014 the special meeting was reconvened, and common and preferred shareholders (if any) of each Fund voted as indicated below:

| PIMCO California Municipal Income Fund II | For | Against | Abstain |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|----------------|----------------|
| Approval of an Investment Management Agreement between PIMCO California Municipal Income Fund II and Pacific Investment Management Company LLC | 13,172,002 | 727,985 | 2,654,483 |
| PIMCO Municipal Income Fund II | For | Against | Abstain |
| Approval of an Investment Management Agreement between PIMCO Municipal Income Fund II and Pacific Investment Management Company LLC | 26,237,111 | 1,485,209 | 4,009,933 |
| PIMCO New York Municipal Income Fund II | For | Against | Abstain |
| Approval of an Investment Management Agreement between PIMCO New York Municipal Income Fund II and Pacific Investment Management Company LLC | 4,555,200 | 276,850 | 878,014 |

ANNUAL REPORT MAY 31, 2015 59

Table of Contents

Changes to Boards of Trustees

(Unaudited)

Effective at the close of business on September 5, 2014, Craig A. Dawson became a Class III Trustee of each of PIMCO California Municipal Income Fund II, PIMCO Municipal Income Fund II and PIMCO New York Municipal Income Fund II.

60 PIMCO CLOSED-END FUNDS

Table of Contents**Dividend Reinvestment Plan**

(Unaudited)

Each Fund has adopted a Dividend Reinvestment Plan (the Plan) which allows common shareholders to reinvest Fund distributions in additional common shares of the Fund. American Stock Transfer & Trust Company, LLC (the Plan Agent) serves as agent for common shareholders in administering the Plan. It is important to note that participation in the Plan and automatic reinvestment of Fund distributions does not ensure a profit, nor does it protect against losses in a declining market.

Automatic enrollment/voluntary participation Under the Plan, common shareholders whose shares are registered with the Plan Agent (registered shareholders) are automatically enrolled as participants in the Plan and will have all Fund distributions of income, capital gains and returns of capital (together, distributions) reinvested by the Plan Agent in additional common shares of a Fund, unless the shareholder elects to receive cash. Registered shareholders who elect not to participate in the Plan will receive all distributions in cash paid by check and mailed directly to the shareholder of record (or if the shares are held in street or other nominee name, to the nominee) by the Plan Agent. Participation in the Plan is voluntary. Participants may terminate or resume their enrollment in the Plan at any time without penalty by notifying the Plan Agent online at www.amstock.com, by calling (844) 33PIMCO (844-337-4626), by writing to the Plan Agent, American Stock Transfer & Trust Company, LLC, at P.O. Box 922, Wall Street Station, New York, NY 10269-0560, or, as applicable, by completing and returning the transaction form attached to a Plan statement. A proper notification will be effective immediately and apply to each Fund's next distribution if received by the Plan Agent at least three (3) days prior to the record date for the distribution; otherwise, a notification will be effective shortly following the Fund's next distribution and will apply to the Fund's next succeeding distribution thereafter. If you withdraw from the Plan and so request, the Plan Agent will arrange for the sale of your shares and send you the proceeds, minus a transaction fee and brokerage commissions.

How shares are purchased under the Plan For each Fund distribution, the Plan Agent will acquire common shares for participants either (i) through receipt of newly issued common shares from each Fund (newly issued shares) or (ii) by purchasing common shares of the Fund on the open market (open market purchases). If, on a distribution payment date, the net asset value per common shares of each Fund (NAV) is equal to or less than the market price per common shares plus estimated brokerage commissions (often referred to as a market premium), the Plan Agent will invest the distribution amount on behalf of participants in newly issued shares at a price equal to the greater of (i) NAV or (ii) 95% of the market price per common share on the payment date. If the NAV is greater than the market price per common shares plus estimated brokerage commissions (often referred to as a market discount) on a distribution payment date, the Plan agent will instead attempt to invest the distribution amount through open market purchases. If the Plan Agent is unable to invest the full distribution amount in open market purchases, or if the market discount shifts to a market premium during the purchase period, the Plan Agent will invest any un-invested portion of the distribution in newly issued shares at a price equal to the greater of (i) NAV or (ii) 95% of the market price per share as of the last business day immediately prior to the purchase date (which, in either case, may be a price greater or lesser than the NAV per common shares on the distribution payment date). No interest will be paid on distributions awaiting reinvestment. Under the Plan, the market price of common shares on a particular date is the last sales price on the exchange where the shares are listed on that date or, if there is no sale on the exchange on that date, the mean between the closing bid and asked quotations for the shares on the exchange on that date.

Table of Contents

Dividend Reinvestment Plan (Cont.)

(Unaudited)

The NAV per common share on a particular date is the amount calculated on that date (normally at the close of regular trading on the New York Stock Exchange) in accordance with each Fund's then current policies.

Fees and expenses No brokerage charges are imposed on reinvestments in newly issued shares under the Plan. However, all participants will pay a pro rata share of brokerage commissions incurred by the Plan Agent when it makes open market purchases. There are currently no direct service charges imposed on participants in the Plan, although each Fund reserves the right to amend the Plan to include such charges. The Plan Agent imposes a transaction fee (in addition to brokerage commissions that are incurred) if it arranges for the sale of your common shares held under the Plan.

Shares held through nominees In the case of a registered shareholder such as a broker, bank or other nominee (together, a nominee) that holds common shares for others who are the beneficial owners, the Plan Agent will administer the Plan on the basis of the number of common shares certified by the nominee/record shareholder as representing the total amount registered in such shareholder's name and held for the account of beneficial owners who are to participate in the Plan. If your common shares are held through a nominee and are not registered with the Plan Agent, neither you nor the nominee will be participants in or have distributions reinvested under the Plan. If you are a beneficial owner of common shares and wish to participate in the Plan, and your nominee is unable or unwilling to become a registered shareholder and a Plan participant on your behalf, you may request that your nominee arrange to have all or a portion of your shares re-registered with the Plan Agent in your name so that you may be enrolled as a participant in the Plan. Please contact your nominee for details or for other possible alternatives. Participants whose shares are registered with the Plan Agent in the name of one nominee firm may not be able to transfer the shares to another firm and continue to participate in the Plan.

Tax consequences Automatically reinvested dividends and distributions are taxed in the same manner as cash dividends and distributions i.e., automatic reinvestment in additional shares does not relieve shareholders of, or defer the need to pay, any income tax that may be payable (or that is required to be withheld) on Fund dividends and distributions. The Funds and the Plan Agent reserve the right to amend or terminate the Plan. Additional information about the Plan, as well as a copy of the full Plan itself, may be obtained from the Plan Agent, American Stock Transfer & Trust Company, LLC, at P.O. Box 922, Wall Street Station, New York, NY 10269-0560; telephone number: (844) 33-PIMCO (844-337-4626); website: www.amstock.com.

62 PIMCO CLOSED-END FUNDS

Table of Contents**Management of the Funds**

(Unaudited)

The chart below identifies Trustees and Officers of the Funds. Unless otherwise indicated, the address of all persons below is c/o Pacific Investment Management Company LLC, 1633 Broadway, New York, New York 10019.

Trustees

| Name and Year of Birth | Position(s) Held with the Funds | Term of Office and Length of Time Served | Principal Occupation(s) During the Past 5 Years | Number of Portfolios in Fund Complex Overseen by Trustee | Other Directorships Held by Trustee During the Past 5 Years |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Independent Trustees | | | | | |
| Hans W. Kertess 1939 | Chairman of the Board, Trustee | Trustee of the Funds since 2002, expected to stand for re-election at the annual meeting of shareholders for the 2015-2016 fiscal year for each Fund. | President, H. Kertess & Co., a financial advisory company.; and Senior Adviser (formerly Managing Director), Royal Bank of Canada Capital Markets (since 2004). | 93 | None |
| Deborah A. DeCotis 1952 | Trustee | Trustee of the Funds since 2011, expected to stand for re-election at the annual meeting of shareholders for the 2016-2017 fiscal year for each Fund. | Advisory Director, Morgan Stanley & Co., Inc. (since 1996); Co-Chair Special Projects Committee, Memorial Sloan Kettering (since 2005); Member, Circle Financial Group (since 2009); Trustee, Stanford University (since 2010); and Member, Council on Foreign Relations (since 2013). Formerly, Director, Helena Rubenstein Foundation (1997-2010); Principal, LaLoop LLC, a retail accessories company (1999-2014); and Director, Armor Holdings (2002-2010). | 93 | None |
| Bradford K. Gallagher 1944 | Trustee | Trustee of the Funds since 2010, expected to stand for re-election at the annual meeting of shareholders for the 2017-2018 fiscal year for each Fund. | Retired. Founder, Spyglass Investments LLC, a private investment vehicle (since 2001). Formerly, Partner, New Technology Ventures Capital Management LLC, a venture capital fund | 93 | Formerly, Chairman and Trustee of Grail Advisors ETF Trust (2009-2010) and Trustee of Nicholas-Applegate |

Edgar Filing: PIMCO MUNICIPAL INCOME FUND II - Form N-CSR

(2011-2013); Chairman and Trustee, Atlantic Maritime Heritage Foundation (2007-2012); Founder, President and CEO, Cypress Holding Company and Cypress Tree Investment Management Company (1995-2001); and Chairman and Trustee, The Common Fund (2005-2014).

Institutional Funds (2007-2010).

ANNUAL REPORT

MAY 31, 2015 63

Table of Contents**Management of the Funds (Cont.)**

| Name and Year of Birth | Position(s) Held with the Funds | Term of Office and Length of Time Served | Principal Occupation(s) During the Past 5 Years | Number of Portfolios in Fund Complex Overseen by Trustee | Other Directorships Held by Trustee During the Past 5 Years |
|-----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|
| James A. Jacobson 1945 | Trustee | Trustee of the Funds since 2009, expected to stand for re-election at the annual meeting of shareholders for the 2016-2017 fiscal year for each Fund. | Retired. Trustee (since 2002) and Chairman of Investment Committee (since 2007), Ronald McDonald House of New York. Formerly, Vice Chairman and Managing Director, Spear, Leeds & Kellogg Specialists, LLC, a specialist firm on the New York Stock Exchange (2003-2008). | 93 | Trustee, Alpine Mutual Funds Complex (consisting of 18 funds). |
| William B. Ogden, IV 1945 | Trustee | Trustee of the Funds since 2006, expected to stand for re-election at the annual meeting of shareholders for the 2015-2016 fiscal year for each Fund. | Retired. Formerly, Asset Management Industry Consultant; and Managing Director, Investment Banking Division of Citigroup Global Markets Inc. | 93 | None |
| Alan Rappaport 1953 | Trustee | Trustee of the Funds since 2010, expected to stand for re-election at the annual meeting of shareholders for the 2015-2016 fiscal year for each Fund. | Advisory Director (formerly Vice Chairman), Roundtable Investment Partners; Adjunct Professor, New York University Stern School of Business (since 2011); Lecturer, Stanford University Graduate School of Business (since 2013); Trustee, American Museum of Natural History (since 2005); Trustee, NYU Langone Medical Center (since 2007); and Director, Victory Capital Holdings, Inc., an asset management firm (since 2013). Formerly, Vice Chairman, US Trust (formerly Chairman and President of Private Bank of Bank of America, the predecessor entity of US Trust) (2001-2008). | 93 | None |

Table of Contents

(Unaudited)

| Name and Year of Birth Interested Trustees | Position(s) Held with the Funds | Term of Office and Length of Time Served | Principal Occupation(s) During the Past 5 Years | Number of Portfolios in Fund Complex Overseen by Trustee | Other Directorships Held by Trustee During the Past 5 Years |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Craig A. Dawson* 1968 | Trustee | Trustee of the Funds since 2014, expected to stand for re-election at the annual meeting of shareholders for the 2017-2018 fiscal year for each Fund. | Managing Director and Head of Strategic Business Management, PIMCO (since 2014). Director of a number of PIMCO's European investment vehicles and affiliates (since 2008). Formerly, head of PIMCO's Munich office and head of European product management for PIMCO. | 25 | None |
| John C. Maney** 1959 | Trustee | Trustee of the Funds since 2006, expected to stand for re-election at the annual meeting of shareholders for the 2017-2018 fiscal year for each Fund. | Managing Director of Allianz Asset Management of America L.P. (since January 2005) and a member of the Management Board and Chief Operating Officer of Allianz Asset Management of America L.P. (since November 2006). Formerly, Member of the Management Board of Allianz Global Investors Fund Management LLC (2007-2014) and Managing Director of Allianz Global Investors Fund Management LLC (2011-2014). | 25 | None |

* Mr. Dawson is an interested person of the Funds, as defined in Section 2(a)(19) of the Act, due to his affiliation with PIMCO and its affiliates. Mr. Dawson's address is 650 Newport Center Drive, Newport Beach, CA 92660.

** Mr. Maney is an interested person of the Funds, as defined in Section 2(a)(19) of the Act, due to his affiliation with Allianz Asset Management of America L.P. and its affiliates. Mr. Maney's address is 680 Newport Center Drive, Suite 250, Newport Beach, CA 92660.

Table of Contents**Management of the Funds (Cont.)**

(Unaudited)

Officers

| Name, Address and Year of Birth | Position(s) Held with Funds | Term of Office and Length of Time Served | Principal Occupation(s) During the Past 5 Years |
|-------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Peter G. Strelow ¹ 1970 | President | Since 2014 | Managing Director, PIMCO. President, PIMCO-Managed Funds, PIMCO Funds, PIMCO Variable Insurance Trust, PIMCO ETF Trust, PIMCO Equity Series and PIMCO Equity Series VIT. |
| Youse Guia ¹ 1972 | Chief Compliance Officer | Since 2014 | Senior Vice President and Deputy Chief Compliance Officer, PIMCO. Chief Compliance Officer, PIMCO-Managed Funds. Formerly, Head of Compliance, Allianz Global Investors U.S. Holdings LLC and Chief Compliance Officer of the Allianz Funds, Allianz Multi-Strategy Trust, Allianz Global Investors Sponsored Closed-End Funds, Premier Multi-Series VIT and The Korea Fund, Inc. |
| Joshua D. Ratner 1976 | Vice President, Secretary and Chief Legal Officer | Since 2014 | Executive Vice President and Senior Counsel, PIMCO. Chief Legal Officer, PIMCO Investments LLC. Vice President, Secretary and Chief Legal Officer, PIMCO-Managed Funds. Vice President Senior Counsel, Secretary, PIMCO Funds, PIMCO Variable Insurance Trust, PIMCO ETF Trust, PIMCO Equity Series and PIMCO Equity Series VIT. |
| Stacie D. Ancill ¹ 1969 | Vice President | Since 2015 | Senior Vice President, PIMCO. Vice President, PIMCO-Managed Funds, PIMCO Funds, PIMCO Variable Insurance Trust, PIMCO ETF Trust, PIMCO Equity Series and PIMCO Equity Series VIT. |
| Eric D. Johnson 1970 | Vice President | Since 2014 | Executive Vice President, PIMCO. Vice President, PIMCO-Managed Funds, PIMCO Funds, PIMCO Variable Insurance Trust, PIMCO ETF Trust, PIMCO Equity Series and PIMCO Equity Series VIT. |
| William G. Galipeau ¹ 1974 | Treasurer | Since 2014 | Executive Vice President, PIMCO. Treasurer, PIMCO-Managed Funds. Vice President, PIMCO Funds, PIMCO Variable Insurance Trust, PIMCO ETF Trust, PIMCO Equity Series and PIMCO Equity Series VIT. Formerly, Vice President, Fidelity Investments. |
| Erik C. Brown ¹ 1967 | Assistant Treasurer | Since 2015 | Executive Vice President, PIMCO. Assistant Treasurer, PIMCO-Managed Funds, PIMCO Funds, PIMCO Variable Insurance Trust, PIMCO ETF Trust, PIMCO Equity Series and PIMCO Equity Series VIT. |
| Jason J. Nagler 1982 | Assistant Treasurer | Since 2015 | Vice President, PIMCO. Assistant Treasurer, PIMCO-Managed Funds, PIMCO Funds, PIMCO Variable Insurance Trust, PIMCO ETF Trust, PIMCO Equity Series and PIMCO Equity Series VIT. Formerly, Head of Mutual Fund Reporting, GMO, and Assistant Treasurer, GMO Trust and GMO Series Trust Funds. |
| Trent W. Walker ¹ 1974 | Assistant Treasurer | Since 2014 | Senior Vice President, PIMCO. Assistant Treasurer, PIMCO-Managed Funds. Treasurer, PIMCO Funds, PIMCO Variable Insurance Trust, PIMCO ETF Trust, PIMCO Equity Series and PIMCO Equity Series VIT. |
| Vadim Avdeychik 1979 | Assistant Secretary | Since 2015 | Vice President and Counsel, PIMCO. Assistant Secretary, PIMCO-Managed Funds. Formerly, Associate, Willkie Farr and Gallagher LLP and ERISA Enforcement Advisor, Employee Benefits Security Administration. |
| Ryan G. Leshaw ¹ 1980 | Assistant Secretary | Since 2014 | Vice President and Counsel, PIMCO. Assistant Secretary, PIMCO-Managed Funds, PIMCO Funds, PIMCO Variable Insurance Trust, PIMCO ETF Trust, PIMCO Equity Series and PIMCO Equity Series VIT. Formerly, Associate, Willkie Farr & Gallagher LLP. |

¹ The address of these officers is Pacific Investment Management Company LLC, 650 Newport Center Drive, Newport Beach, California 92660.

Edgar Filing: PIMCO MUNICIPAL INCOME FUND II - Form N-CSR

AGIFM personnel served as Fund officers through the close of business on September 5, 2014, but were replaced with the PIMCO personnel listed above effective as of the close of business on September 5, 2014, in connection with the transition to PIMCO as the Funds' investment manager.

66 PIMCO CLOSED-END FUNDS

Table of Contents

Privacy Policy¹

(Unaudited)

The Funds² consider customer privacy to be a fundamental aspect of their relationships with shareholders and are committed to maintaining the confidentiality, integrity and security of their current, prospective and former shareholders' non-public personal information. The Funds have developed policies that are designed to protect this confidentiality, while allowing shareholder needs to be served.

Obtaining Personal Information

In the course of providing shareholders with products and services, the Funds and certain service providers to the Funds, such as the Funds investment adviser or sub-adviser ("Adviser"), may obtain non-public personal information about shareholders, which may come from sources such as account applications and other forms, from other written, electronic or verbal correspondence, from shareholder transactions, from a shareholder's brokerage or financial advisory firm, financial advisor or consultant, and/or from information captured on applicable websites.

Respecting Your Privacy

As a matter of policy, the Funds do not disclose any non-public personal information provided by shareholders or gathered by the Funds to non-affiliated third parties, except as required or permitted by law or as necessary for such third parties to perform their agreements with respect to the Funds. As is common in the industry, non-affiliated companies may from time to time be used to provide certain services, such as preparing and mailing prospectuses, reports, account statements and other information, conducting research on shareholder satisfaction and gathering shareholder proxies. The Funds or their affiliates may also retain non-affiliated companies to market Fund shares or products which use Fund shares and enter into joint marketing arrangements with them and other companies. These companies may have access to a shareholder's personal and account information, but are permitted to use this information solely to provide the specific service or as otherwise permitted by law. In most cases, the shareholders will be clients of a third party, but the Funds may also provide a shareholder's personal and account information to the shareholder's respective brokerage or financial advisory firm and/or financial advisor or consultant.

Sharing Information with Third Parties

The Funds reserve the right to disclose or report personal or account information to non-affiliated third parties in limited circumstances where the Funds believe in good faith that disclosure is required under law, to cooperate with regulators or law enforcement authorities, to protect their rights or property, or upon reasonable request by any fund advised by PIMCO in which a shareholder has invested. In addition, the Funds may disclose information about a shareholder or a shareholder's accounts to a non-affiliated third party at the shareholder's request or with the consent of the shareholder.

Sharing Information with Affiliates

Edgar Filing: PIMCO MUNICIPAL INCOME FUND II - Form N-CSR

The Funds may share shareholder information with their affiliates in connection with servicing shareholders' accounts, and subject to applicable law may provide shareholders with information about products and services that the Funds or their Adviser or its affiliates ("Service Affiliates") believe may be of interest to such shareholders. The information that the Funds may share may include, for example, a shareholder's participation in the Funds or in other investment programs.

ANNUAL REPORT MAY 31, 2015 67

Table of Contents

Privacy Policy¹ (Cont.)

(Unaudited)

sponsored by a Service Affiliate, a shareholder's ownership of certain types of accounts (such as IRAs), information about the Funds' experiences or transactions with a shareholder, information captured on applicable websites, or other data about a shareholder's accounts, subject to applicable law. The Funds' Service Affiliates, in turn, are not permitted to share shareholder information with non-affiliated entities, except as required or permitted by law.

Procedures to Safeguard Private Information

The Funds take seriously the obligation to safeguard shareholder non-public personal information. In addition to this policy, the Funds have implemented procedures that are designed to restrict access to a shareholder's non-public personal information to internal personnel who need to know that information to perform their jobs, such as servicing shareholder accounts or notifying shareholders of new products or services. Physical, electronic and procedural safeguards are in place to guard a shareholder's non-public personal information.

Information Collected from Websites

Websites maintained by the Funds or their service providers may use a variety of technologies to collect information that help the Funds and their service providers understand how the website is used. Information collected from your web browser (including small files stored on your device that are commonly referred to as cookies) allow the websites to recognize your web browser and help to personalize and improve your user experience and enhance navigation of the website. In addition, the Funds or their Service Affiliates may use third parties to place advertisements for the Funds on other websites, including banner advertisements. Such third parties may collect anonymous information through the use of cookies or action tags (such as web beacons). The information these third parties collect is generally limited to technical and web navigation information, such as your IP address, web pages visited and browser type, and does not include personally identifiable information such as name, address, phone number or email address.

You can change your cookie preferences by changing the setting on your web browser to delete or reject cookies. If you delete or reject cookies, some website pages may not function properly.

Changes to the Privacy Policy

From time to time, the Funds may update or revise this privacy policy. If there are changes to the terms of this privacy policy, documents containing the revised policy on the relevant website will be updated.

Edgar Filing: PIMCO MUNICIPAL INCOME FUND II - Form N-CSR

¹ Effective as of September 5, 2014.

² When distributing this Policy, a Fund may combine the distribution with any similar distribution of its investment adviser's privacy policy. The distributed, combined policy may be written in the first person (i.e., by using "we" instead of "the Funds").

68 PIMCO CLOSED-END FUNDS

Table of Contents

General Information

Investment Manager

Pacific Investment Management Company LLC

1633 Broadway

New York, NY 10019

Custodian

State Street Bank and Trust Company

801 Pennsylvania Avenue

Kansas City, MO 64105

Transfer Agent, Dividend Paying Agent and Registrar

American Stock Transfer & Trust Company, LLC

6201 15th Avenue

Brooklyn, NY 11219

Legal Counsel

Ropes & Gray LLP

Prudential Tower

800 Boylston Street

Boston, MA 02199

Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

1100 Walnut Street, Suite 1300

Edgar Filing: PIMCO MUNICIPAL INCOME FUND II - Form N-CSR

Kansas City, MO 64106

This report is submitted for the general information of the shareholders of PIMCO Municipal Income Fund II, PIMCO California Municipal Income Fund II and PIMCO New York Municipal Income Fund II.

Table of Contents

CEF3006AR_053115

Table of Contents**Item 2. Code of Ethics.**

As of the end of the period covered by this report, the Registrant has adopted a code of ethics (the Code) that applies to the Registrant's principal executive officer and principal financial & accounting officer. The Registrant did not grant any waivers, including implicit waivers, from any provisions of the Code to the principal executive officer or principal financial & accounting officer during the period covered by this report.

A copy of the Code is included as an exhibit to this report.

Item 3. Audit Committee Financial Expert.

(a) The Board of Trustees has determined that James A. Jacobson, who serves on the Board's Audit Oversight Committee, qualifies as an audit committee financial expert as such term is defined in the instructions to this Item 3. The Board has also determined that Mr. Jacobson is independent as such term is interpreted under this Item 3.

Item 4. Principal Accountant Fees and Services.

| | | |
|-----|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| (a) | <u>Fiscal Year Ended</u> | <u>Audit Fees</u> |
| | May 31, 2015 | \$ 35,189 |
| | May 31, 2014 | \$ 49,440 |
| (b) | <u>Fiscal Year Ended</u> | <u>Audit-Related Fees</u> |
| | May 31, 2015 | \$ 8,584 |
| | May 31, 2014 | \$ 8,584 |
| (c) | <u>Fiscal Year Ended</u> | <u>Tax Fees</u> |
| | May 31, 2015 | \$ 11,450 |
| | May 31, 2014 | \$ 11,450 |
| (d) | <u>Fiscal Year Ended</u> | <u>All Other Fees⁽¹⁾</u> |
| | May 31, 2015 | \$ |
| | May 31, 2014 | \$ |

Audit Fees represents fees billed for each of the last two fiscal years for professional services rendered for the audit and review of the Registrant's annual financial statements for those fiscal years or services that are normally provided by the accountant in connection with statutory or regulatory filings or engagements for those fiscal years.

Audit-Related Fees represents fees billed for each of the last two fiscal years for assurance and related services that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of the Registrant's financial statements, but not reported under Audit Fees above, and that include accounting consultations, agreed-upon procedure reports (inclusive of annual review of basic maintenance testing associated with the Preferred Shares), attestation reports and comfort letters for those fiscal years.

Tax Fees represents fees billed for each of the last two fiscal years for professional services related to tax compliance, tax advice and tax planning, including services relating to the filing or amendment of federal, state or local income tax returns, regulated investment company qualification reviews, and tax distribution and analysis reviews.

Edgar Filing: PIMCO MUNICIPAL INCOME FUND II - Form N-CSR

All Other Fees represents fees, if any, billed for other products and services rendered by the principal accountant to the Registrant other than those reported above under Audit Fees, Audit-Related Fees and Tax Fees for the last two fiscal years.

⁽¹⁾There were no All Other Fees for the last two fiscal years.

Table of Contents

(e) Pre-approval policies and procedures

(1) The Registrant's Audit Oversight Committee has adopted pre-approval policies and procedures (the "Procedures") to govern the Audit Oversight Committee's pre-approval of (i) all audit services and permissible non-audit services to be provided to the Registrant by its independent accountant, and (ii) all permissible non-audit services to be provided by such independent accountant to the Registrant's investment adviser and to any entity controlling, controlled by, or under common control with the investment adviser that provides ongoing services to the Registrant (collectively, the "Service Affiliates") if the services provided directly relate to the Registrant's operations and financial reporting. In accordance with the Procedures, the Audit Oversight Committee is responsible for the engagement of the independent accountant to certify the Registrant's financial statements for each fiscal year. With respect to the pre-approval of non-audit services provided to the Registrant and its Service Affiliates, the Procedures provide that the Audit Oversight Committee may annually pre-approve a list of types or categories of non-audit services that may be provided to the Registrant or its Service Affiliates, or the Audit Oversight Committee may pre-approve such services on a project-by-project basis as they arise. Unless a type of service has received general pre-approval, it will require specific pre-approval by the Audit Oversight Committee if it is to be provided by the independent accountant. The Procedures also permit the Audit Oversight Committee to delegate authority to one or more of its members to pre-approve any proposed non-audit services that have not been previously pre-approved by the Audit Oversight Committee, subject to the ratification by the full Audit Oversight Committee no later than its next scheduled meeting.

(2) With respect to the services described in paragraphs (b) through (d) of this Item 4, no amount was approved by the Audit Oversight Committee pursuant to paragraph (c)(7)(i)(C) of Rule 2-01 of Regulation S-X.

f) Not applicable.

g)

| Entity | <u>Aggregate Non-Audit Fees Billed to Entity</u> May 31, 2015 |
|--------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|
| PIMCO Municipal Income Fund II | \$ 20,034 |
| Pacific Investment Management Company LLC (PIMCO) | 9,316,931 |
| Allianz Global Investors Fund Management LLC | 62,690 |
| Total | \$ 9,399,655 |

The aggregate non-audit fees billed by the Auditor for services rendered to the Registrant, and rendered to the Adviser, for the fiscal period ended May 31, 2014 was \$7,761,585.

h) The Registrant's Audit Oversight Committee has considered whether the provision of non-audit services that were rendered to the Registrant's investment adviser, and any entity controlling, controlled by, or under common control with the investment adviser that provides ongoing services to the Registrant which were not pre-approved (not requiring preapproval) is compatible with maintaining the principal accountant's independence.

Item 5. Audit Committee of Listed Registrants.

The Registrant has a separately-designated standing audit committee established in accordance with Section 3(a)(58)(A) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. The audit committee is comprised of:

Deborah A. DeCotis;

Bradford K. Gallagher;

James A. Jacobson;

Hans W. Kertess;

William B. Ogden, IV; and

Alan Rappaport.

Item 6. Schedule of Investments.

The Schedule of Investments is included as part of the reports to shareholders under Item 1.

Table of Contents**Item 7. Disclosure of Proxy Voting Policies and Procedures for Closed-End Management Investment Companies.**

PIMCO has adopted written proxy voting policies and procedures (Proxy Policy) as required by Rule 206(4)-6 under the Advisers Act. In addition to covering the voting of equity securities, the Proxy Policy also applies generally to voting and/or consent rights of fixed income securities, including but not limited to, plans of reorganization, and waivers and consents under applicable indentures. The Proxy Policy does not apply, however, to consent rights that primarily entail decisions to buy or sell investments, such as tender or exchange offers, conversions, put options, redemption and Dutch auctions. The Proxy Policy is designed and implemented in a manner reasonably expected to ensure that voting and consent rights (collectively, proxies) are exercised in the best interests of accounts.

With respect to the voting of proxies relating to equity securities, PIMCO has selected an unaffiliated third party proxy research and voting service (Proxy Voting Service), to assist it in researching and voting proxies. With respect to each proxy received, the Proxy Voting Service researches the financial implications of the proposals and provides a recommendation to PIMCO as to how to vote on each proposal based on the Proxy Voting Service s research of the individual facts and circumstances and the Proxy Voting Service s application of its research findings to a set of guidelines that have been approved by PIMCO. Upon the recommendation of the applicable portfolio managers, PIMCO may determine to override any recommendation made by the Proxy Voting Service. In the event that the Proxy Voting Service does not provide a recommendation with respect to a proposal, PIMCO may determine to vote on the proposals directly.

With respect to the voting of proxies relating to fixed income securities, PIMCO s fixed income credit research group (the Credit Research Group) is responsible for researching and issuing recommendations for voting proxies. With respect to each proxy received, the Credit Research Group researches the financial implications of the proxy proposal and makes voting recommendations specific for each account that holds the related fixed income security. PIMCO considers each proposal regarding a fixed income security on a case-by-case basis taking into consideration any relevant contractual obligations as well as other relevant facts and circumstances at the time of the vote. Upon the recommendation of the applicable portfolio managers, PIMCO may determine to override any recommendation made by the Credit Research Group. In the event that the Credit Research Group does not provide a recommendation with respect to a proposal, PIMCO may determine to vote the proposal directly.

PIMCO may determine not to vote a proxy for an equity or fixed income security if: (1) the effect on the applicable account s economic interests or the value of the portfolio holding is insignificant in relation to the account s portfolio; (2) the cost of voting the proxy outweighs the possible benefit to the applicable account, including, without limitation, situations where a jurisdiction imposes share blocking restrictions which may affect the ability of the portfolio managers to effect trades in the related security; or (3) PIMCO otherwise has determined that it is consistent with its fiduciary obligations not to vote the proxy.

In the event that the Proxy Voting Service or the Credit Research Group, as applicable, does not provide a recommendation or the portfolio managers of a client account propose to override a recommendation by the Proxy Voting Service, or the Credit Research Group, as applicable, PIMCO will review the proxy to determine whether there is a material conflict between PIMCO and the applicable account or among PIMCO-advised accounts. If no material conflict exists, the proxy will be voted according to the portfolio managers recommendation. If a material conflict does exist, PIMCO will seek to resolve the conflict in good faith and in the best interests of the applicable client account, as provided by the Proxy Policy. The Proxy Policy permits PIMCO to seek to resolve material conflicts of interest by pursuing any one of several courses of action. With respect to material conflicts of interest between PIMCO and a client account, the Proxy Policy permits PIMCO to either: (i) convene a committee to assess and resolve the conflict (the Proxy Conflicts Committee); or (ii) vote in accordance with protocols previously established by the Proxy Policy, the Proxy Conflicts Committee and/or other relevant procedures approved by PIMCO s Legal and

Compliance department with respect to specific types of conflicts. With respect to material conflicts of interest between one or more PIMCO-advised accounts, the Proxy Policy permits PIMCO to: (i) designate a PIMCO portfolio manager who is not subject to the conflict to determine how to vote the proxy if the conflict exists between two accounts with at least one portfolio manager in common; or (ii) permit the respective portfolio managers to vote the proxies in accordance with each client account's best interests if the conflict exists between client accounts managed by different portfolio managers.

PIMCO will supervise and periodically review its proxy voting activities and the implementation of the Proxy Policy. PIMCO's Proxy Policy, and information about how PIMCO voted a client's proxies, is available upon request.

Table of Contents**Item 8. Portfolio Managers of Closed-End Management Investment Companies.**

(a)(1)

As of July 28, 2015, the following individual has primary responsibility for the day-to-day implementation of the PIMCO Municipal Income Fund II (the Fund):

Joseph Deane

Mr. Deane has been the portfolio manager for the Fund since July 2011. Mr. Deane is an executive vice president in the New York office and head of municipal bond portfolio management. Prior to joining PIMCO in 2011, he was co-head of the tax-exempt department at Western Asset (WAMCO). Mr. Deane was previously a managing director and head of tax-exempt investments from 1993-2005 at Smith Barney/Citigroup Asset Management. Earlier in his career, he held senior portfolio management positions with Shearson and E.F. Hutton. Morningstar named him Fixed-Income Manager of the Year in 1996 and a finalist in 1995 and 2007.

(a)(2)

The following summarizes information regarding each of the accounts, excluding the Fund, managed by the Portfolio Manager as of May 31, 2015, including accounts managed by a team, committee, or other group that includes the Portfolio Manager. Unless mentioned otherwise, the advisory fee charged for managing each of the accounts listed below is not based on performance.

| PM | Registered Investment Companies | | Other Pooled Investment Vehicles | | Other Accounts | |
|---------------------|---------------------------------|----------------|----------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| | # | AUM(\$million) | # | AUM(\$million) | # | AUM(\$million) |
| Joseph Deane | 21 | 4,456.08 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 1,024.88 |

From time to time, potential and actual conflicts of interest may arise between a portfolio manager's management of the investments of the Fund, on the one hand, and the management of other accounts, on the other. Potential and actual conflicts of interest may also arise as a result of PIMCO's other business activities and PIMCO's possession of material non-public information about an issuer. Other accounts managed by a portfolio manager might have similar investment objectives or strategies as the Fund, track the same index as the Fund or otherwise hold, purchase, or sell securities that are eligible to be held, purchased or sold by the Fund. The other accounts might also have different investment objectives or strategies than the Fund. Potential and actual conflicts of interest may also arise as a result of PIMCO serving as investment adviser to accounts that invest in the Fund. In this case, such conflicts of interest could in theory give rise to incentives for PIMCO to, among other things, vote proxies of the Fund in a manner beneficial to the investing account but detrimental to the Fund. Conversely, PIMCO's duties to the Fund, as well as regulatory or other limitations applicable to the Fund, may affect the courses of action available to PIMCO-advised accounts (including certain funds) that invest in the Fund in a manner that is detrimental to such investing accounts.

Because PIMCO is affiliated with Allianz, a large multi-national financial institution, conflicts similar to those described below may occur between the Fund and other accounts managed by PIMCO and PIMCO's affiliates or

accounts managed by those affiliates. Those affiliates (or their clients), which generally operate autonomously from PIMCO, may take actions that are adverse to the Fund or other accounts managed by PIMCO. In many cases, PIMCO will not be in a position to mitigate those actions or address those conflicts, which could adversely affect the performance of the Fund or other accounts managed by PIMCO.

Knowledge and Timing of Fund Trades. A potential conflict of interest may arise as a result of the portfolio manager's day-to-day management of the Fund. Because of their positions with the Fund, the portfolio managers know the size, timing and possible market impact of the Fund's trades. It is theoretically possible that the portfolio managers could use this information to the advantage of other accounts they manage and to the possible detriment of the Fund.

Investment Opportunities. A potential conflict of interest may arise as a result of the portfolio manager's management of a number of accounts with varying investment guidelines. Often, an investment opportunity may be suitable for both the Fund and other accounts managed by the portfolio manager, but may not be available in sufficient quantities for both the

Table of Contents

Fund and the other accounts to participate fully. In addition, regulatory issues applicable to PIMCO or the Fund or other accounts may result in the Fund not receiving securities that may otherwise be appropriate for it. Similarly, there may be limited opportunity to sell an investment held by the Fund and another account. PIMCO has adopted policies and procedures reasonably designed to allocate investment opportunities on a fair and equitable basis over time.

Under PIMCO's allocation procedures, investment opportunities are allocated among various investment strategies based on individual account investment guidelines and PIMCO's investment outlook. PIMCO has also adopted additional procedures to complement the general trade allocation policy that are designed to address potential conflicts of interest due to the side-by-side management of the Fund and certain pooled investment vehicles, including investment opportunity allocation issues.

Conflicts potentially limiting the Fund's investment opportunities may also arise when the Fund and other PIMCO clients invest in different parts of an issuer's capital structure, such as when the Fund owns senior debt obligations of an issuer and other clients own junior tranches of the same issuer. In such circumstances, decisions over whether to trigger an event of default, over the terms of any workout, or how to exit an investment may result in conflicts of interest. In order to minimize such conflicts, a portfolio manager may avoid certain investment opportunities that would potentially give rise to conflicts with other PIMCO clients or PIMCO may enact internal procedures designed to minimize such conflicts, which could have the effect of limiting the Fund's investment opportunities. Additionally, if PIMCO acquires material non-public confidential information in connection with its business activities for other clients, a portfolio manager may be restricted from purchasing securities or selling securities for the Fund. Moreover, the Fund or other accounts managed by PIMCO may invest in a transaction in which one or more other funds or accounts managed by PIMCO are expected to participate, or already have made or will seek to make, an investment. Such funds or accounts may have conflicting interests and objectives in connection with such investments, including, for example and without limitation, with respect to views on the operations or activities of the issuer involved, the targeted returns from the investment, and the timeframe for, and method of, exiting the investment. When making investment decisions where a conflict of interest may arise, PIMCO will endeavor to act in a fair and equitable manner as between the Fund and other clients; however, in certain instances the resolution of the conflict may result in PIMCO acting on behalf of another client in a manner that may not be in the best interest, or may be opposed to the best interest, of the Fund.

Performance Fees. A portfolio manager may advise certain accounts with respect to which the advisory fee is based entirely or partially on performance. Performance fee arrangements may create a conflict of interest for the portfolio manager in that the portfolio manager may have an incentive to allocate the investment opportunities that he or she believes might be the most profitable to such other accounts instead of allocating them to the Fund. PIMCO has adopted policies and procedures reasonably designed to allocate investment opportunities between the Fund and certain pooled investment vehicles on a fair and equitable basis over time.

(a)(3)

As of May 31, 2015, the following explains the compensation structure of the individual who has primary responsibility for day-to-day portfolio management of the Fund:

Portfolio Manager Compensation

PIMCO has adopted a Total Compensation Plan for its professional level employees, including its portfolio managers, that is designed to pay competitive compensation and reward performance, integrity and teamwork consistent with the firm's mission statement. The Total Compensation Plan includes an incentive component that rewards high performance standards, work ethic and consistent individual and team contributions to the firm. The compensation of

portfolio managers consists of a base salary and discretionary performance bonuses, and may include an equity or long term incentive component.

Certain employees of PIMCO, including portfolio managers, may elect to defer compensation through PIMCO's deferred compensation plan. PIMCO also offers its employees a non-contributory defined contribution plan through which PIMCO makes a contribution based on the employee's compensation. PIMCO's contribution rate increases at a specified compensation level, which is a level that would include portfolio managers.

Key Principles on Compensation Philosophy include

PIMCO's pay practices are designed to attract and retain high performers.

Table of Contents

PIMCO's pay philosophy embraces a corporate culture of rewarding strong performance, a strong work ethic and meritocracy.

PIMCO's goal is to ensure key professionals are aligned to PIMCO's long-term success through equity participation.

PIMCO's Discern and Differentiate discipline is exercised where individual performance ranking is used for guidance as it relates to total compensation levels.

The Total Compensation Plan consists of three components:

Base Salary Base salary is determined based on core job responsibilities, positions/levels and market factors. Base salary levels are reviewed annually, when there is a significant change in job responsibilities or position, or a significant change in market levels. Base salary is paid in regular installments throughout the year and payment dates are in line with local practice.

Performance Bonus Performance bonuses are designed to reward individual performance. Each professional and his or her supervisor will agree upon performance objectives to serve as a basis for performance evaluation during the year. The objectives will outline individual goals according to pre-established measures of the group or department success. Achievement against these goals as measured by the employee and supervisor will be an important, but not exclusive, element of the bonus decision process. Award amounts are determined at the discretion of the Compensation Committee (and/or certain senior portfolio managers, as appropriate) and will also consider firm performance.

Long-term Incentive Compensation Long-Term Incentive Plans (LTIP) are awarded to key professionals. Employees who reach a total compensation threshold are delivered their annual compensation in a mix of cash and long-term incentive awards. PIMCO incorporates a progressive allocation of long-term incentive awards as a percentage of total compensation, which is in line with market practices. The LTIP provides participants with cash awards that appreciate or depreciate based on PIMCO's operating earnings over a rolling three-year period. The plan provides a link between longer term company performance and participant pay, further motivating participants to make a long-term commitment to PIMCO's success. Participation in LTIP is contingent upon continued employment at PIMCO.

In addition, the following non-exclusive list of qualitative criteria may be considered when specifically determining the total compensation for portfolio managers:

3-year, 2-year and 1-year dollar-weighted and account-weighted, pre-tax investment performance as judged against the applicable benchmarks for each account managed by a portfolio manager (including the Funds) and relative to applicable industry peer groups;

Appropriate risk positioning that is consistent with PIMCO's investment philosophy and the Investment Committee/CIO approach to the generation of alpha;

Amount and nature of assets managed by the portfolio manager;

Consistency of investment performance across portfolios of similar mandate and guidelines (reward low dispersion);

Generation and contribution of investment ideas in the context of PIMCO's secular and cyclical forums, portfolio strategy meetings, Investment Committee meetings, and on a day-to-day basis;

Absence of defaults and price defaults for issues in the portfolios managed by the portfolio manager;

Contributions to asset retention, gathering and client satisfaction;

Contributions to mentoring, coaching and/or supervising; and

Personal growth and skills added.

A portfolio manager's compensation is not based directly on the performance of any Fund or any other account managed by that portfolio manager.

Profit Sharing Plan. Portfolio managers who are Managing Directors of PIMCO receive compensation from a non-qualified profit sharing plan consisting of a portion of PIMCO's net profits. Portfolio managers who are Managing Directors receive an amount determined by the Compensation Committee, based upon an individual's overall contribution to the firm.

Table of Contents

(a)(4)

The following summarizes the dollar range of securities of the Fund the Portfolio Manager beneficially owned as of May 31, 2015:

| Portfolio Manager | Dollar Range of Equity Securities of the Fund Owned as of May 31, 2015 |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Joseph Deane | None |

Item 9. Purchases of Equity Securities by Closed-End Management Investment Company and Affiliated Purchasers.

None.

Item 10. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders.

There have been no material changes to the procedures by which shareholders may recommend nominees to the Fund's Board of Trustees since the Fund last provided disclosure in response to this item.

Item 11. Controls and Procedures.

- (a) The principal executive officer and principal financial & accounting officer have concluded that the Registrant's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 30a-3(c) under the 1940 Act) provide reasonable assurances that material information relating to the Registrant is made known to them by the appropriate persons, based on their evaluation of these controls and procedures as of a date within 90 days of the filing of this report.
- (b) There were no changes in the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 30a-3(d) under the 1940 Act) that occurred during the second fiscal quarter of the period covered by this report that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Item 12. Exhibits.

- (a)(1) Exhibit 99.CODE Code of Ethics pursuant to Section 406 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- (a)(2) Exhibit 99.CERT Certifications pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

- (b) Exhibit 99.906CERT Certifications pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Table of Contents

Signatures

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

PIMCO Municipal Income Fund II

By: /s/ PETER G. STRELOW

Peter G. Strelow
President

Date: July 28, 2015

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

By: /s/ PETER G. STRELOW

Peter G. Strelow
President

Date: July 28, 2015

By: /s/ WILLIAM G. GALIPEAU

William G. Galipeau
Treasurer

Date: July 28, 2015