EATON VANCE MASSACHUSETTS MUNICIPAL BOND FUND Form N-CSR November 27, 2013

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

Form N-CSR

CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED

MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES

Investment Company Act File Number: 811-21225

Eaton Vance Massachusetts Municipal Bond Fund

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Charter)

Two International Place, Boston, Massachusetts 02110

(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

Maureen A. Gemma

Two International Place, Boston, Massachusetts 02110

(Name and Address of Agent for Services)

${\tt Edgar\ Filing:\ EATON\ VANCE\ MASSACHUSETTS\ MUNICIPAL\ BOND\ FUND\ -\ Form\ N-CSR}$

(617) 482-8260

(Registrant s Telephone Number)

September 30

Date of Fiscal Year End

September 30, 2013

Date of Reporting Period

Item 1. Reports to Stockholders

Municipal Bond Funds

Annual Report

September 30, 2013

Municipal II (EIV) California II (EIA) Massachusetts (MAB) Michigan (MIW) New Jersey (EMJ)

New York II (NYH) Ohio (EIO) Pennsylvania (EIP) Commodity Futures Trading Commission Registration. Effective December 31, 2012, the Commodity Futures Trading Commission (CFTC) adopted certain regulatory changes that subject registered investment companies and advisers to regulation by the CFTC if a fund invests more than a prescribed level of its assets in certain CFTC-regulated instruments (including futures, certain options and swap agreements) or markets itself as providing investment exposure to such instruments. Each Fund has claimed an exclusion from the definition of the term commodity pool operator under the Commodity Exchange Act and is not subject to the CFTC regulation. Because of its management of other strategies, each Fund s adviser is registered with the CFTC as a commodity pool operator.

Fund shares are not insured by the FDIC and are not deposits or other obligations of, or guaranteed by, any depository institution. Shares are subject to investment risks, including possible loss of principal invested.

Annual Report September 30, 2013

Eaton Vance

Municipal Bond Funds

Table of Contents

Management s Discussion of Fund Performance	2
Performance and Fund Profile	
Municipal Bond Fund II	4
California Municipal Bond Fund II	5
Massachusetts Municipal Bond Fund	6
Michigan Municipal Bond Fund	7
New Jersey Municipal Bond Fund	8
New York Municipal Bond Fund II	9
Ohio Municipal Bond Fund	10
Pennsylvania Municipal Bond Fund	11
Endnotes and Additional Disclosures	12
Financial Statements	13
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	65
Federal Tax Information	66
Annual Meeting of Shareholders	67
Dividend Reinvestment Plan	68
Board of Trustees Contract Approval	70
Management and Organization	73
Important Notices	76

Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2013

Management s Discussion of Fund Performance

Economic and Market Conditions

During the first seven months of the fiscal year ended September 30, 2013, interest rates declined to historic lows, driven by highly accommodative monetary policies instituted by central banks around the world. In December 2012, the U.S. Federal Reserve (the Fed) replaced Operation Twist, the central bank s swapping of its short-term holdings for long-term Treasury bonds, with outright purchases of \$45 billion of Treasuries each month. This was in addition to the monthly purchase of approximately \$40 billion of agency mortgage-backed securities that it had begun just a few months before. These actions combined to put extraordinary downward pressure on yields, driving many investors to look for other sources of income. One beneficiary was the municipal bond market, which rallied during the first seven months of the period in response to strong investor demand.

In late May 2013, however, Fed Chairman Ben Bernanke surprised the markets by indicating that the Fed s \$85 billion in monthly asset purchases, known collectively as quantitative easing (QE), could be tapered sooner than most investors had expected. The negative effect on the bond market was swift and dramatic. Investors rushed to sell fixed-income assets in anticipation of rising interest rates, causing nearly every fixed-income asset class to decline dramatically in value.

Historic outflows had a particularly significant effect on the municipal bond market because, unlike other domestic fixed-income asset classes, the municipal market is primarily retail based and is generally impacted more by the actions of small individual investors than the other fixed-income asset classes. Even after the Fed tried to temper its comments and calm the markets, moderating the outflows in other fixed-income classes, heavy selling in municipals continued through August 2013. The selling abated in September 2013, after the Fed surprised the markets again by postponing its tapering of QE that many investors had thought was imminent. The Barclays Municipal Bond Index² an unmanaged index of municipal bonds traded in the United States declined 2.21% for the one-year period, while the Funds benchmark, the Barclays Long (22+) Year Municipal Bond Index (the Index), lost 5.06%.

During the period, additional pressure on the municipal market came from the city of Detroit s bankruptcy filing on July 18, 2013 and heightened attention to Puerto Rico s fiscal woes throughout September 2013. Although

Detroit s bankruptcy was not a surprise, because the city s fiscal problems had been well-documented for many years, the bankruptcy s negative headlines injected additional fear into the municipal bond market. That fear, combined with Puerto Rico s well-publicized fiscal challenges, drove both institutional and retail investors to sell Puerto Rico bonds, exerting additional downward pressure on the market value of the bonds in late August and September 2013 as the Funds fiscal year was ending.

Fund Performance

For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2013, all of the Funds shares at net asset value (NAV) underperformed the -5.06% return of the Index.

The Funds—overall strategy is to invest normally in higher-quality bonds (rated Åor higher) with maturities of 10 years or more in order to capture their typically higher yields and a greater income stream.

Management hedges to various degrees against the greater potential risk of volatility at the long end of the yield curve by using Treasury futures and/or interest rate swaps in seeking to mitigate risk. As a risk management tactic within the overall Fund strategy, interest-rate hedging is intended to moderate performance on both the upside and the downside of the market. During this period of negative performance by municipal bonds, the Funds hedging through Treasury futures mitigated some of the downside and, thus, added to the performance of all Funds except the

Michigan and Ohio Funds, relative to the Index.

The Funds use of leveragehurt performance versus the Index during the period. In managing the Funds, management employs leverage to seek to enhance the Funds tax-exempt income. The use of leverage has the effect of achieving additional exposure to the municipal market. Leverage magnifies a fund s exposure to its underlying investments in both up and down markets. During this period of negative performance by municipal bonds, leverage was a key detractor from the Funds performance versus the Index.

Fund-specific Results

Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund II shares at NAV returned -5.83%, underperforming the -5.06% return of the Index. The main detractors from performance versus the Index were leverage, as noted above, and security selection in A-rated

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested and includes management fees and other expenses. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing per-ceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their origi-nal cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month end, please refer to www.eatonvance.com.

Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2013

Management s Discussion of Fund Performance continued

bonds. Significant contributors to results versus the Index included an underweighting in Puerto Rico bonds, an overweighting and security selection in zero-coupon bonds, an overweighting and security selection in prerefunded bonds and the Fund s hedging strategy.

Eaton Vance California Municipal Bond Fund II shares at NAV returned -6.75%, underperforming the -5.06% return of the Index. Detractors from performance versus the Index included leverage, security selection in bonds with maturities of 10-20 years, and an overweighting and security selection in prerefunded bonds. The Fund s hedging strategy contributed to results versus the Index as did an underweighting and security selection in Puerto Rico bonds, as well as an overweighting and security selection in zero-coupon bonds.

Eaton Vance Massachusetts Municipal Bond Fund shares at NAV returned -10.28%, underperforming the -5.06% return of the Index. Key detractors from performance relative to the Index included leverage, an overweighting and security selection in the education sector and security selection in bonds with 10-20 years remaining to maturity. In contrast, security selection in Puerto Rico and health care bonds, an overweighting in prerefunded bonds and the Fund shedging strategy all helped performance versus the Index.

Eaton Vance Michigan Municipal Bond Fund shares at NAV returned -7.29%, underperforming the -5.06% return of the Index. Leverage dragged on performance versus the Index as did security selection in bonds with maturities of 10-20 years, security selection in A-rated bonds, and an overweighting and security selection in 4-4 \(^1/_2\)% coupon bonds. Significant contributors to results versus the Index included an underweighting and security selection in Puerto Rico bonds, an overweighting in prerefunded bonds and security selection in zero-coupon bonds.

Eaton Vance New Jersey Municipal Bond Fund shares at NAV returned -5.48%, underperforming the -5.06% return of the Index. Detractors from performance versus the Index included leverage, an overweighting and security selection in the lease/ COP (certificate of participation) sector and security selection in bonds with maturities of 10-20 years. Contributors to results versus the Index included an underweighting and security selection in Puerto Rico bonds, security selection in BBB-rated and zero-coupon bonds, and the Fund s hedging strategy.

Eaton Vance New York Municipal Bond Fund II shares at NAV returned -6.01%, underperforming the -5.06% return of the Index. Key detractors from results versus the Index included leverage, security selection in AAA-rated bonds and security selection in electric utility bonds. In contrast, performance versus the Index was helped by an underweighting and security selection in both Puerto Rico bonds and BBB-rated bonds, security selection in bonds with maturities of 30 years or more and the Fund s hedging strategy.

Eaton Vance Ohio Municipal Bond Fund shares at NAV returned -6.46%, underperforming the -5.06% return of the Index. Significant detractors from results versus the Index included leverage, security selection and an overweighing in the education sector, and security selection in the transportation and water & sewer sectors. In contrast, results versus the Index were helped by an underweighting in Puerto Rico bonds and by security selection in both zero-coupon bonds and bonds rated BBB and below.

Eaton Vance Pennsylvania Municipal Bond Fund shares at NAV returned -6.69%, underperforming the -5.06% return of the Index. Leverage was a key detractor from performance versus the Index, as was security selection in bonds with maturities of 20 years or more. Contributors to results versus the Index included security selection in water & sewer bonds and in BBB-rated bonds, an overweighting in pre-refunded bonds, and the Fund s hedging strategy.

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested and includes management fees and other expenses. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing per-ceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their origi-nal cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month end, please refer to www.eatonvance.com.

Municipal Bond Fund II

September 30, 2013

Performance^{2,3}

Portfolio Manager William H. Ahern, Jr., CFA

% Average Annual Total Returns	Inception Date	One Year	Five Years	Ten Years
Fund at NAV	11/29/2002	-5.83%	8.91%	4.71%
Fund at Market Price		-14.20	6.53	4.70
Barclays Long (22+) Year Municipal Bond Index		-5.06%	7.24%	4.82%
% Premium/Discount to NAV ⁴				
				-5.41%
Distributions ⁵				
Total Distributions per share for the period				\$ 0.757
Distribution Rate at NAV				6.40%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV				11.31%
Distribution Rate at Market Price				6.76%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market Price				11.94%
•				
of T. 4.17				
% Total Leverage ⁶				21.70%
Auction Preferred Shares (APS)				21.79%
Residual Interest Bond (RIB)				20.42
Fund Profile				

The above chart includes the ratings of securities held by special purpose vehicles established in connection with the RIB financing.⁶ Absent such securities, credit quality (% of total investments) is as follows:⁷

AAA	8.1%	BBB	5.7%
AA	66.2	BB	0.2
A	18.4	Not Rated	1.4

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested and includes management fees and other expenses. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing per-ceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their origi-nal cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month end, please refer to www.eatonvance.com.

4

California Municipal Bond Fund II

September 30, 2013

Performance^{2,3}

Portfolio Manager Cynthia J. Clemson

% Average Annual Total Returns	Inception Date	One Year	Five Years	Ten Y	oore
Fund at NAV	11/29/2002	-6.75%	8.00%		4.38%
Fund at NAV Fund at Market Price	11/29/2002	-12.29	9.17		4.47
Barclays Long (22+) Year Municipal Bond Index		-5.06%	7.24%		4.82%
Barciays Long (22+) Tear Municipal Bond fildex		-3.00%	1.2470		4.02%
% Premium/Discount to NAV ⁴					
				-	-4.33%
Distributions ⁵					
Total Distributions per share for the period				\$ 0).751
Distribution Rate at NAV					6.21%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV				1	2.66%
Distribution Rate at Market Price					6.49%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market Price				1	13.23%
•					
% Total Leverage ⁶					
APS				2	31.60%
RIB				1	2.16
Fund Profile					

The above chart includes the ratings of securities held by special purpose vehicles established in connection with the RIB financing.⁶ Absent such securities, credit quality (% of total investments) is as follows:⁷

AAA	15.4%	BBB	1.9%
AA	61.1	Not Rated	1.9
A	19.7		

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested and includes management fees and other expenses. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing per-ceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their origi-nal cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month end, please refer to www.eatonvance.com.

Massachusetts Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2013

Performance^{2,3}

Fund Profile

Portfolio Manager Craig R. Brandon, CFA

% Average Annual Total Returns	Inception Date	One Year	Five Years	Ten Years
Fund at NAV	11/29/2002	-10.28%	8.36%	5.07%
Fund at Market Price		-20.01	3.99	4.41
Barclays Long (22+) Year Municipal Bond Index		-5.06%	7.24%	4.82%
•				

% Premium/Discount to NAV*	
	-7 54%

Distributions ⁵	
Total Distributions per share for the period	\$ 0.787
Distribution Rate at NAV	5.62%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV	10.48%
Distribution Rate at Market Price	6.08%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market Price	11.34%

% Total Leverage ⁶	
% Total Leverage ⁶ APS	33.25%
RIB	8 16

The above chart includes the ratings of securities held by special purpose vehicles established in connection with the RIB financing.⁶ Absent

AAA	17.2%	BBB	1.9%
AA	46.8	Not Rated	5.2
٨	20.0		

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

such securities, credit quality (% of total investments) is as follows:⁷

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested and includes management fees and other expenses. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing per-ceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their origi-nal cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month end, please refer to www.eatonvance.com.

Michigan Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2013

Performance^{2,3}

Portfolio Manager William H. Ahern, Jr., CFA

% Average Annual Total Returns	Inception Date	One Year	Five Years	Ten Years
Fund at NAV	11/29/2002	-7.29%	7.47%	5.04%
Fund at Market Price		-21.98	9.19	3.97
Barclays Long (22+) Year Municipal Bond Index		-5.06%	7.24%	4.82%
% Premium/Discount to NAV ⁴				
70 Tremum Discount to Tax				-10.41%
				10.4170
Distributions ⁵				
Total Distributions per share for the period				\$ 0.783
Distribution Rate at NAV				5.54%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV				10.22%
Distribution Rate at Market Price				6.18%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market Price				11.40%
0/ T-4-11				
% Total Leverage ⁶				40.070
APS				40.07%
Fund Profile				

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested and includes management fees and other expenses. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing per-ceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month end, please refer to www.eatonvance.com.

New Jersey Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2013

Performance^{2,3}

Portfolio Manager Adam A. Weigold, CFA

% Average Annual Total Returns	Inception Date	One Year	Five Years	Ten Years
Fund at NAV	11/29/2002	-5.48%	8.64%	5.28%
Fund at Market Price		-18.01	6.41	4.28
Barclays Long (22+) Year Municipal Bond Index		-5.06%	7.24%	4.82%
% Premium/Discount to NAV ⁴				
				-10.59%
Distributions ⁵				
Total Distributions per share for the period				\$ 0.727
Distribution Rate at NAV				5.34%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV				10.36%
Distribution Rate at Market Price				5.97%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market Price				11.59%
% Total Leverage ⁶				
APS				36.27%
RIB				0.42
Fund Profile				

The above chart includes the ratings of securities held by special purpose vehicles established in connection with the RIB financing.⁶ Absent such securities, credit quality (% of total investments) is as follows:⁷

AAA 6.5% A 25.9% AA 56.4 BBB 11.2

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested and includes management fees and other expenses. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing per-ceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their origi-nal cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month end, please refer to www.eatonvance.com.

New York Municipal Bond Fund II

September 30, 2013

Performance^{2,3}

RIB Fund Profile

Portfolio Manager Craig R. Brandon, CFA

% Average Annual Total Returns	Inception Date	One Year	Five Years	Ter	ı Years
Fund at NAV	11/29/2002	-6.01%	8.12%		4.75%
Fund at Market Price		-16.01	7.66		4.48
Barclays Long (22+) Year Municipal Bond Index		-5.06%	7.24%		4.82%
% Premium/Discount to NAV ⁴					
					-10.18%
Distributions ⁵					
Total Distributions per share for the period				\$	0.687
Distribution Rate at NAV					5.55%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV					10.75%
Distribution Rate at Market Price					6.18%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market Price					11.97%
% Total Leverage ⁶					
APS					24.67%

The above chart includes the ratings of securities held by special purpose vehicles established in connection with the RIB financing.⁶ Absent such securities, credit quality (% of total investments) is as follows:⁷

AAA 17.9% A 29.4% AA 47.0 BBB 5.7

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

16.18

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested and includes management fees and other expenses. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing per-ceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their origi-nal cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month end, please refer to www.eatonvance.com.

Ohio Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2013

Performance^{2,3}

Portfolio Manager William H. Ahern, Jr., CFA

% Average Annual Total Returns	Inception Date	One Year	Five Years	Ten Years
Fund at NAV	11/29/2002	-6.46%	7.80%	3.95%
Fund at Market Price		-20.91	6.43	3.35
Barclays Long (22+) Year Municipal Bond Index		-5.06%	7.24%	4.82%
% Premium/Discount to NAV ⁴				
				-6.87%
Distributions ⁵				
Total Distributions per share for the period				\$ 0.719
Distribution Rate at NAV				5.74%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV				10.72%
Distribution Rate at Market Price				6.17%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market Price				11.53%
% Total Leverage ⁶				
APS				33.75%
RIB				4.71
Fund Profile				

The above chart includes the ratings of securities held by special purpose vehicles established in connection with the RIB financing.⁶ Absent such securities, credit quality (% of total investments) is as follows:⁷

AAA	3.6%	BBB	3.8%
AA	59.1	Not Rated	0.1
A	33.4		

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested and includes management fees and other expenses. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing per-ceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month end, please refer to www.eatonvance.com.

Pennsylvania Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2013

Performance^{2,3}

Fund Profile

Portfolio Manager Adam A. Weigold, CFA

% Average Annual Total Returns	Inception Date	One Year	Five Years	Ten Years
Fund at NAV	11/29/2002	-6.69%	8.51%	5.25%
Fund at Market Price		-22.03	4.26	4.46
Barclays Long (22+) Year Municipal Bond Index		-5.06%	7.24%	4.82%
% Premium/Discount to NAV ⁴				
				-8.81%
Distributions ⁵				
Total Distributions per share for the period				\$ 0.806
Distribution Rate at NAV				6.08%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV				11.08%
Distribution Rate at Market Price				6.67%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market Price				12.16%
% Total Leverage ⁶				
APS				34.06%
RIB				6.96

The above chart includes the ratings of securities held by special purpose vehicles established in connection with the RIB financing.⁶ Absent such securities, credit quality (% of total investments) is as follows:⁷

AAA	0.5%	BBB	2.5%
AA	52.1	Not Rated	5.5
٨	20.4		

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested and includes management fees and other expenses. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing per-ceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their origi-nal cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month end, please refer to www.eatonvance.com.

Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2013

Endnotes and Additional Disclosures

- The views expressed in this report are those of the portfolio manager(s) and are current only through the date stated at the top of this page. These views are subject to change at any time based upon market or other conditions, and Eaton Vance and the Fund(s) disclaim any responsibility to update such views. These views may not be relied upon as investment advice and, because investment decisions are based on many factors, may not be relied upon as an indication of trading intent on behalf of any Eaton Vance fund. This commentary may contain statements that are not historical facts, referred to as forward looking statements. The Fund s actual future results may differ significantly from those stated in any forward looking statement, depending on factors such as changes in securities or financial markets or general economic conditions, the volume of sales and purchases of Fund shares, the continuation of investment advisory, administrative and service contracts, and other risks discussed from time to time in the Fund s filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission.
- ² Barclays Municipal Bond Index is an unmanaged index of municipal bonds traded in the U.S. Barclays Long (22+) Year Municipal Bond Index is an unmanaged index of municipal bonds traded in the U.S. with maturities of 22 years or more. Unless otherwise stated, index returns do not reflect the effect of any applicable sales charges, commissions, expenses, taxes or leverage, as applicable. It is not possible to invest directly in an index.
- ³ Performance results reflect the effects of leverage. Performance since inception for an index, if presented, is the performance since the Fund s or oldest share class inception, as applicable.
- ⁴ The shares of the Fund often trade at a discount or premium from their net asset value. The discount or premium of the Fund may vary over time and may be higher or lower than what is quoted in this report. For up-to-date premium/discount information, please refer to http://eatonvance.com/closedend.
- ⁵ The Distribution Rate is based on the Fund s last regular distribution per share in the period (annualized) divided by the Fund s NAV or market price at the end of the period. The Fund s distributions may be comprised of amounts characterized for federal income tax purposes as tax-exempt income, ordinary income, net realized capital gains and nondividend distributions, also known as return of capital. For additional information about nondividend distributions, please refer to Eaton Vance Closed- End Fund Distribution Notices (19a) posted on our website, eatonvance.com. The Fund will determine the federal income tax character of distributions paid to a shareholder after the end of the calendar year. This is reported on the IRS form 1099-DIV and provided to the shareholder shortly after each year-end. The Fund s distributions are determined by the investment adviser based on its current assessment of the Fund s long-term return potential. As portfolio and market conditions change, the rate of distributions paid by the Fund could change. Taxable-equivalent performance is based on the highest combined federal and state income tax rates, where applicable. Lower tax rates would result in lower tax-equivalent performance. Actual tax rates will vary depending on your income, exemptions and deductions. Rates do not include local taxes.
- ⁶ Fund employs RIB financing and/or APS leverage. The leverage created by RIB investments and APS provides an opportunity for increased income but, at the same time, creates special risks (including the likelihood of greater price volatility). The cost of leverage rises and falls with changes in short-term interest rates. See Floating Rate Notes Issued in Conjunction with Securities Held in the notes to the financial statements for more information about RIB financing. RIB leverage represents the amount of Floating Rate Notes outstanding at period end as a percentage of Fund net assets applicable to common shares plus APS and Floating Rate Notes. APS leverage represents the liquidation value of the Fund s APS outstanding at period end as a percentage of Fund net assets applicable to common shares plus APS and Floating Rate Notes. The Fund may be required to maintain prescribed asset coverage for its leverage and may be required to reduce its leverage at an inopportune time. Floating Rate Notes in both calculations reflect the effect of RIBs purchased in secondary market transactions, if applicable.
- ⁷ Ratings are based on Moody s, S&P or Fitch, as applicable. Ratings, which are subject to change, apply to the creditworthiness of the issuers of the underlying securities and not to the Fund or its shares. Credit ratings measure the quality of a bond based on the issuer s creditworthiness, with ratings ranging from AAA, being the highest, to D, being the lowest based on S&P s measures. Ratings of BBB or higher by Standard and Poor s or Fitch (Baa or higher by Moody s) are

considered to be investment grade quality. Credit ratings are based largely on the rating agency s analysis at the time of rating. The rating assigned to any particular security is not necessarily a reflection of the issuer s current financial condition and does not necessarily reflect its assessment of the volatility of a security s market value or of the liquidity of an investment in the security. If securities are rated differently by the rating agencies, the higher rating is applied. Holdings designated as Not Rated are not rated by the national rating agencies stated above.

Fund profile subject to change due to active management.

Municipal Bond Fund II

September 30, 2013

Portfolio of Investments

Tax-Exempt Municipal Securities 171.1%

Security	(000	Principal Amount s omitted)		Value
Education 12.0% Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Wesleyan University), 5.00%, 7/1/39 ⁽¹⁾ Houston, TX, Higher Education Finance Corp., (William Marsh Rice University), 5.00%, 5/15/35 Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Harvard University), 5.50%, 11/15/36 New Jersey Educational Facilities Authority, (Georgian Court University), 5.25%, 7/1/37 New York Dormitory Authority, (Rockefeller University), 5.00%, 7/1/40 ⁽¹⁾ North Carolina Capital Facilities Finance Agency, (Duke University), 5.00%, 10/1/38 ⁽¹⁾ Tennessee School Bond Authority, 5.50%, 5/1/38 University of Virginia, 5.00%, 6/1/40 Vermont Educational and Health Buildings Financing Agency, (Middlebury College), 5.00%, 11/1/40	\$	2,200 1,745 2,710 1,500 1,500 500 1,000 1,500 750		2,281,444 1,913,864 3,015,417 1,504,425 1,581,825 531,840 1,074,510 1,593,555 778,590
			\$ 1	4,275,470
Electric Utilities 3.0% Pima County, AZ, Industrial Development Authority, (Tucson Electric Power Co.), 5.25%, 10/1/40 South Carolina Public Service Authority, (Santee Cooper), 5.50%, 1/1/38 Wyandotte County/Kansas City, KS, Unified Government Board of Public Utilities, 5.00%, 9/1/36	\$	1,300 1,420 685		1,290,718 1,501,309 708,502 3,500,529
General Obligations 15.4% Chicago Park District, IL, (Harbor Facilities), 5.25%, 1/1/37 ⁽¹⁾ Delaware Valley, PA, Regional Finance Authority, 5.75%, 7/1/32 Hawaii, 5.00%, 12/1/29 Hawaii, 5.00%, 1/21/30 Mississippi, 5.00%, 10/1/36 ⁽¹⁾ New York, 5.00%, 2/15/34 ⁽¹⁾ New York, NY, 5.00%, 8/1/31 Oregon, 5.00%, 8/1/36 Virginia Beach, VA, 4.00%, 4/1/27 Virginia Beach, VA, 4.00%, 4/1/28	\$	1,680 2,500 2,500 1,000 1,725 2,750 3,500 1,000 220 230		1,740,278 2,641,625 2,756,850 1,095,310 1,822,773 2,927,623 3,750,110 1,058,370 228,276 236,348
			\$ 1	8,257,563
Hospital 6.2% Camden County, NJ, Improvement Authority, (Cooper Health System), 5.00%, 2/15/35	\$	870	\$	806,890

Security	Principal Amount s omitted)	Value
Hospital (continued) Camden County, NJ, Improvement Authority, (Cooper Health System), 5.25%, 2/15/27 Hawaii Department of Budget and Finance, (Hawaii Pacific Health), 5.50%, 7/1/38 ⁽²⁾ Hawaii Department of Budget and Finance, (Hawaii Pacific Health), 5.60%, 7/1/33 Highlands County, FL, Health Facilities Authority, (Adventist Health System), 5.25%, 11/15/36 Knox County, TN, Health, Educational and Housing Facilities Board, (Covenant Health), 0.00%, 1/1/38 Knox County, TN, Health, Educational and Housing Facilities Board, (Covenant Health), 0.00%, 1/1/39 New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Robert Wood Johnson University Hospital), 5.25%, 7/1/35 Tarrant County Cultural Education Facilities Finance Corp., TX, (Cook Children's Medical Center), 5.25%, 12/1/39	\$ 545 360 500 1,285 1,850 5,000 615 1,500	\$ 545,055 372,467 500,225 1,303,388 458,005 1,161,150 634,612 1,545,180 \$ 7,326,972
Industrial Development Revenue 1.0% Maricopa County, AZ, Pollution Control Corp., (El Paso Electric Co.), 4.50%, 8/1/42 St. John Baptist Parish, LA, (Marathon Oil Corp.), 5.125%, 6/1/37	\$ 595 645	\$ 517,127 640,143 \$ 1,157,270
Insured Education 7.0% Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Boston University), (XLCA), 6.00%, 5/15/59 Miami-Dade County, FL, Educational Facilities Authority, (University of Miami), (AMBAC), (BHAC), 5.00%, 4/1/31 University of South Alabama, (BHAC), 5.00%, 8/1/38	\$ 2,500 1,555 3,900	\$ 2,783,550 1,625,053 3,943,602 \$ 8,352,205
Insured Electric Utilities 8.0% American Municipal Power-Ohio, Inc., OH, (Prairie State Energy Campus), (AGC), 5.75%, 2/15/39 Chelan County, WA, Public Utility District No. 1, (Columbia River), (NPFG), 0.00%, 6/1/23 Mississippi Development Bank, (Municipal Energy), (XLCA), 5.00%, 3/1/41 South Carolina Public Service Authority, (Santee Cooper), (BHAC), 5.50%, 1/1/38	\$ 1,000 6,335 2,205 1,595	\$ 1,062,470 4,491,958 2,119,071 1,758,025 \$ 9,431,524
Insured Escrowed / Prerefunded 7.1% Centre County, PA, Hospital Authority, (Mount Nittany Medical Center), (AGC), Prerefunded to 11/15/14, 6.125%, 11/15/39	\$ 1,695	\$ 1,807,192

13

See Notes to Financial Statements.

Municipal Bond Fund II

September 30, 2013

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	Principal Amount (000 s omitted) Value	ue
Insured Escrowed / Prerefunded (continued) Centre County, PA, Hospital Authority, (Mount Nittany Medical Center), (AGC), Prerefunded to 11/15/14, 6.25%, 11/15/44 Washington, (AGM), Prerefunded to 7/1/16, 5.00%, 7/1/25 ⁽¹⁾	\$ 450 \$ 480,42 5,500 6,157,23	
	\$ 8,444,86	62
Insured General Obligations 10.4% Cincinnati, OH, City School District, (AGM), (FGIC), 5.25%, 12/1/30 Coast Community College District, CA, (Election of 2002), (AGM), 0.00%, 8/1/33 Goodyear, AZ, (NPFG), 3.00%, 7/1/26 Palm Springs, CA, Unified School District, (Election of 2008), (AGC), 5.00%, 8/1/33 Philadelphia, PA, (AGC), 7.00%, 7/15/28	\$ 750 \$ 858,00 17,000 5,939,12 1,315 1,229,00 2,750 2,852,5 1,250 1,400,75 \$ 12,279,55	20 91 75 37
Insured Hospital 20.6% Arizona Health Facilities Authority, (Banner Health), (BHAC), 5.375%, 1/1/32 California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Sutter Health), (AGM), 5.05%, 8/15/38 ⁽¹⁾ Colorado Health Facilities Authority, (Catholic Health), (AGM), 5.10%, 10/1/41 ⁽¹⁾ Highlands County, FL, Health Facilities Authority, (Adventist Health System), (BHAC), 5.25%, 11/15/36 ⁽¹⁾ Highlands County, FL, Health Facilities Authority, (Adventist Health System), (NPFG), 5.00%, 11/15/35 Illinois Finance Authority, (Children s Memorial Hospital), (AGC), 5.25%, 8/15/47) Indiana Health and Educational Facility Finance Authority, (Sisters of St. Francis Health Services), (AGM), 5.25%, 5/15/41(1) Indiana Health and Educational Facility Finance Authority, (Sisters of St. Francis Health Services), (AGM), 5.25%, 5/15/41 Maricopa County, AZ, Industrial Development Authority, (Catholic Healthcare West), (BHAC), 5.25%, 7/1/32 New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Meridian Health System), Series V, (AGC), 5.00%, 7/1/38(1) New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Virtua Health), (AGC), 5.50%, 7/1/38 Washington Health Care Facilities Authority, (MultiCare Health System), (AGC), 6.00%, 8/15/39 Washington Health Care Facilities Authority, (Providence Health Care), (AGM), 5.25%, 10/1/33	\$ 1,750 \$ 1,826,44 1,500 1,508,24 2,200 2,207,44 3,000 3,168,34 1,490 1,496,3 2,500 2,511,00 750 756,44 1,750 1,765,11 2,090 2,228,94 500 507,24 2,245 2,313,33 1,545 1,653,4 2,300 2,441,12	80 00 17 24 95 55 85 45 38 13 28
Security	Principal Amount (000 s omitted) Valu	ue
Insured Industrial Development Revenue 1.1% Pennsylvania Economic Development Financing Authority, (Aqua Pennsylvania, Inc.), (BHAC), 5.00%, 10/1/39	\$ 1,340 \$1,362,9	14

\$ 1,362,914

Insured Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 5.9% Essex County, NJ, Improvement Authority, (NPFG), 5.50%, 10/1/30 New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (School Facilities Construction), (AGC), 5.50%, 12/15/34 San Diego County, CA, Water Authority, Certificates of Participation, (AGM), 5.00%, 5/1/38(1) Tri-Creek Middle School Building Corp., IN, (AGM), 5.25%, 1/15/34(1)	\$ 1,000 875 3,250 1,500	\$ 1,151,560 953,479 3,385,525 1,545,300
Insured Other Revenue 1.6%		\$ 7,035,864
Harris County-Houston, TX, Sports Authority, (NPFG), 0.00%, 11/15/34 New York, NY, Industrial Development Agency, (Yankee Stadium), (AGC), 7.00%, 3/1/49	\$ 2,540 1,000	\$ 686,511 1,165,040
		\$ 1,851,551
Insured Solid Waste 1.1% Palm Beach County, FL, Solid Waste Authority, (BHAC), 5.00%, 10/1/24 Palm Beach County, FL, Solid Waste Authority, (BHAC), 5.00%, 10/1/26	\$ 740 425	\$ 846,693 476,060
		\$ 1,322,753
Insured Special Tax Revenue 2.4% Miami-Dade County, FL, Professional Sports Franchise Facilities, (AGC), 7.00%, (0.00% until 10/1/19), 10/1/39	\$ 3,000	\$ 2,336,940
Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/45	4,440	561,749 \$ 2,898,689
Insured Student Loan 1.3%		
Maine Educational Loan Authority, (AGC), 5.625%, 12/1/27	\$ 1,425	\$ 1,528,754 \$ 1,528,754
Insured Transportation 25.0%		
Chicago, IL, (O Hare International Airport), (AGM), 5.00%, 1/1/29 Chicago, IL, (O Hare International Airport), (AGM), 5.125%, 1/1/30 Chicago, IL, (O Hare International Airport), (AGM), 5.125%, 1/1/31	\$ 260 200 180	\$ 262,668 202,114 181,372
Chicago, IL, (O Hare International Airport), (AGM), 5.25%, 1/1/32	100	101,308

14

See Notes to Financial Statements.

Municipal Bond Fund II

September 30, 2013

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	(000	Principal Amount s omitted)		Value
Insured Transportation (continued) Clark County, NV, (Las Vegas-McCarran International Airport), (AGM), 5.25%, 7/1/39 E-470 Public Highway Authority, CO, (NPFG), 0.00%, 9/1/22 Manchester, NH, (Manchester-Boston Regional Airport), (AGM), 5.125%, 1/1/30 Maryland Transportation Authority, (AGM), 5.00%, 7/1/41(1) Metropolitan Washington, D.C., Airports Authority, (BHAC), 5.00%, 10/1/24 Metropolitan Washington, D.C., Airports Authority, (BHAC), 5.00%, 10/1/29 Nevada Department of Business and Industry, (Las Vegas Monorail -1st Tier), (AMBAC), 0.00%, 1/1/20(3) New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority, (AGC), 5.50%, 12/15/38 North Carolina Turnpike Authority, (Triangle Expressway System), (AGC), 5.50%, 1/1/29 North Carolina Turnpike Authority, (Triangle Expressway System), (AGC), 5.75%, 1/1/39 North Texas Tollway Authority, (BHAC), 5.75%, 1/1/48 Pennsylvania Turnpike Commission, (AGM), 5.25%, 7/15/30	\$	1,585 7,800 1,305 10,000 1,000 535 13,885 1,040 255 290 1,750 2,540	5, 1, 10, 1, 2, 1,	649,921 332,236 358,166 255,000 137,800 571,867 220,073 118,593 277,476 311,819 922,375 739,237
Insured Water and Sewer 9.1% Bossier City, LA, Utilities Revenue, (BHAC), 5.25%, 10/1/26 Bossier City, LA, Utilities Revenue, (BHAC), 5.25%, 10/1/27 Bossier City, LA, Utilities Revenue, (BHAC), 5.50%, 10/1/38 Chicago, IL, Wastewater Transmission Revenue, (BHAC), 5.50%, 1/1/38 Detroit, MI, Water Supply System, (NPFG), 5.00%, 7/1/34 District of Columbia Water and Sewer Authority, (AGC), 5.00%, 10/1/34(1) Houston, TX, Utility System, (AGM), (BHAC), 5.00%, 11/15/33 Massachusetts Water Resources Authority, (AGM), 5.25%, 8/1/35(4) New York, NY, Municipal Water Finance Authority, (BHAC), 5.75%, 6/15/40 Pearland, TX, Waterworks and Sewer Systems, (NPFG), 3.50%, 9/1/31	\$	670 420 660 1,635 705 1,250 435 1,000 2,205 1,220	\$ 1, 1, 2, 1,	730,736 460,408 712,681 763,070 639,752 306,575 456,415 128,270 462,875 097,475
Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 6.7% New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Hospital Asset Transformation Program), 5.75%, 10/1/31 North Carolina, Capital Improvement Limited Obligation Bonds, 5.00%, 5/1/30	\$	2,235 5,000	5,	493,925 409,200 903,125
Security	(00	Principal Amount 0 s omitted	1)	Value

Other Revenue 1.8% Oregon Department of Administrative Services, Lottery Revenue, 5.25%, 4/1/30 Texas Municipal Gas Acquisition and Supply Corp. III, Gas Supply Revenue, 5.00%, 12/15/29 Texas Municipal Gas Acquisition and Supply Corp. III, Gas Supply Revenue, 5.00%, 12/15/30	\$ 1,300 485 260	\$ 1,453,530 474,471 251,825
		\$ 2,179,826
Senior Living / Life Care 0.2% Maryland Health and Higher Educational Facilities Authority, (Charlestown Community, Inc.), 6.125%, 1/1/30	\$ 235	\$ 249,575 \$ 249,575
Special Tax Revenue 7.7% Homewood, AL, City Board of Education, 5.00%, 4/1/32 Maryland State Department of Transportation, 5.00%, 2/15/28 Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority, Sales Tax Revenue, 5.25%, 7/1/33 Michigan Trunk Line Fund, 5.00%, 11/15/30 Michigan Trunk Line Fund, 5.00%, 11/15/31 Michigan Trunk Line Fund, 5.00%, 11/15/33 Michigan Trunk Line Fund, 5.00%, 11/15/36 New York, NY, Transitional Finance Authority, Future Tax Revenue, 5.50%, 11/1/35 ⁽¹⁾⁽⁵⁾	\$ 1,880 1,500 750 110 125 105 80 3,800	\$ 1,974,808 1,670,865 845,753 117,404 132,879 110,285 83,523 4,200,368 \$ 9,135,885
Transportation 12.4% Dallas and Fort Worth, TX, (Dallas/Fort Worth International Airport), 5.25%, 11/1/30 Dallas and Fort Worth, TX, (Dallas/Fort Worth International Airport), 5.25%, 11/1/31 Delaware River Port Authority of Pennsylvania and New Jersey, 5.00%, 1/1/35 Los Angeles, CA, Department of Airports, (Los Angeles International Airport), 5.25%, 5/15/28 Metropolitan Transportation Authority, NY, 5.25%, 11/15/38 Miami-Dade County, FL, (Miami International Airport), 5.00%, 10/1/41 New York Liberty Development Corp., (4 World Trade Center), 5.00%, 11/15/31 New York Thruway Authority, 5.00%, 1/1/42 Orlando-Orange County, FL, Expressway Authority, Series A, 5.00%, 7/1/35 Orlando-Orange County, FL, Expressway Authority, Series A, 5.00%, 7/1/40 Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, 4.00%, 7/15/32 ⁽¹⁾	\$ 640 990 1,715 465 865 2,100 1,070 445 420 375 1,400	\$ 683,366 1,050,450 1,783,909 502,163 897,368 2,112,327 1,104,550 455,542 427,673 378,608 1,385,328

15

See Notes to Financial Statements.

Municipal Bond Fund II

September 30, 2013

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	(000	Principal Amount s omitted)		Value
Transportation (continued) South Carolina Transportation Infrastructure Bank, 5.25%, 10/1/40 Texas Transportation Commission, (Central Texas Turnpike System), 5.00%, 8/15/41 Triborough Bridge and Tunnel Authority, NY, 5.00%, 11/15/37	\$	1,000 275 2,500	\$	1,049,090 269,313 2,552,600
			\$	14,652,287
Water and Sewer 4.1% Chicago, IL, Water Revenue, 5.00%, 11/1/42 Detroit, MI, Sewage Disposal System, 5.00%, 7/1/32 Detroit, MI, Sewage Disposal System, 5.25%, 7/1/39 Detroit, MI, Water Supply System, 5.25%, 7/1/41 Marco Island, FL, Utility System, 5.00%, 10/1/34 Marco Island, FL, Utility System, 5.00%, 10/1/40 New York, NY, Municipal Water Finance Authority, (Water and Sewer System), 5.00%, 6/15/37	\$	1,000 1,555 380 300 205 910 720	\$	999,960 1,408,830 344,208 271,611 211,845 929,938 728,582 4,894,974
Total Tax-Exempt Municipal Securities 171.1% (identified cost \$203,248,724)			\$ 2	202,826,027
Corporate Bonds & Notes 0.0%				
Security	(000	Principal Amount s omitted)		Value
Municipal 0.0%) Las Vegas Monorail Co., Jr. Subordinated Notes, 3.00% to 12/31/15, 5.50%, 7/15/55 ⁽⁷⁾⁽⁸⁾ Las Vegas Monorail Co., Sr. Secured Notes, 5.50%, 7/15/19 ⁽⁷⁾⁽⁸⁾	\$	47 162	\$	4,724 24,473
Total Corporate Bonds & Notes 0.0% (identified cost \$6,129)			\$	29,197

Total Investments (identified cost \$20		\$ 202,855,224
Auction Preferred	Shares Plus Cumulative Unpaid Dividends (37.7)%	\$ (44,700,562
Other Assets, Less Liabilities (33.4)%		\$ (39,585,723
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares 100.0% \$ 118,568,93		
The percentage sho	own for each investment category in the Portfolio of Investments is based on net assets applicable to common shares.	
AMBAC BHAC FGIC NPFG XLCA	Assured Guaranty Corp. Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp. AMBAC Financial Group, Inc. Berkshire Hathaway Assurance Corp. Financial Guaranty Insurance Company National Public Finance Guaranty Corp. XL Capital Assurance, Inc. 2013, the concentration of the Fund s investments in the various states, determined as a percentage of total investments, is as fo	·llows:
The Fund invests p economic developed 58.8% of total investors		ber 30, 2013,
(1) Security represe	ents the municipal bond held by a trust that issues residual interest bonds (see Note 1H).	
(2) When-issued se	ecurity.	
(3) Defaulted secur	rity. Issuer has defaulted on the payment of interest or has filed for bankruptcy.	
(4) Security (or a p	portion thereof) has been segregated to cover payable for when-issued securities.	
(5) Security (or a p	portion thereof) has been pledged as collateral for residual interest bond transactions. The aggregate value of such collateral is \$1	1,350,368.
(6) Amount is less	than 0.05%.	
(7) For fair value n	neasurement disclosure purposes, security is categorized as Level 3 (see Note 10).	

(8) Represents a payment-in-kind security which may pay all or a portion of interest in additional par.

16

California Municipal Bond Fund II

September 30, 2013

Portfolio of Investments

Tax-Exempt Investments 171.3%

Security	(000	Principal Amount s omitted)	Value
Education 18.9% California Educational Facilities Authority, (Claremont McKenna College), 5.00%, 1/1/39 California Educational Facilities Authority, (Harvey Mudd College), 5.25%, 12/1/31 California Educational Facilities Authority, (Harvey Mudd College), 5.25%, 12/1/36 California Educational Facilities Authority, (Loyola Marymount University), 5.00%, 10/1/22 California Educational Facilities Authority, (Loyola Marymount University), 5.00%, 10/1/30 California Educational Facilities Authority, (Santa Clara University), 5.00%, 2/1/29 California Educational Facilities Authority, (Stanford University), 5.00%, 6/1/43 California Educational Facilities Authority, (University of San Francisco), 6.125%, 10/1/36 California Educational Facilities Authority, (University of Southern California), 5.25%, 10/1/39 California Educational Facilities Authority, (University of the Pacific), 5.00%, 11/1/30 California Municipal Finance Authority, (University of San Diego), 5.00%, 10/1/31 California Municipal Finance Authority, (University of San Diego), 5.25%, 10/1/26 California Municipal Finance Authority, (University of San Diego), 5.25%, 10/1/27 California Municipal Finance Authority, (University of San Diego), 5.25%, 10/1/28 University of California, 5.25%, 5/15/39	\$	1,370 395 160 70 380 890 525 115 1,200 330 210 145 405 425 450 1,000	\$ 1,429,622 423,689 169,013 79,695 393,737 951,143 606,144 131,775 1,288,884 346,084 218,135 147,487 443,516 460,925 484,367 1,062,880
Electric Utilities 7.0% Los Angeles Department of Water and Power, Electric System Revenue, 5.25%, 7/1/32 Sacramento Municipal Utility District, 5.00%, 8/15/30	\$	745 420	\$ 8,637,096 \$ 804,399 447,867
Sacramento Municipal Utility District, 5.00%, 8/15/31 Southern California Public Power Authority, (Tieton Hydropower), 5.00%, 7/1/30 Vernon, Electric System Revenue, 5.125%, 8/1/21		125 1,000 675	132,339 1,071,130 727,643 \$ 3,183,378
Security	(000	Principal Amount s omitted)	Value
General Obligations 15.5% California, 5.50%, 11/1/35	\$	1,300	\$ 1,399,307

Larkspur-Corte Madera School District, (Election of 2011), 4.00%, 8/1/34 Larkspur-Corte Madera School District, (Election of 2011), 4.25%, 8/1/36 Palo Alto, (Election of 2008), 5.00%, 8/1/40 San Bernardino Community College District, 4.00%, 8/1/30 San Diego Community College District, (Election of 2002), 5.00%, 8/1/32 San Diego Community College District, (Election of 2006), 5.00%, 8/1/31 San Jose-Evergreen Community College District, (Election of 2010), 5.00%, 8/1/33 San Jose-Evergreen Community College District, (Election of 2010), 5.00%, 8/1/35	115 95 1,850 1,510 720 455 350 410	111,356 93,090 1,956,190 1,424,881 774,000 491,040 377,709 437,413
		\$ 7,064,986
Hospital 16.7% California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Catholic Healthcare West), 5.625%, 7/1/32 California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Cedars-Sinai Medical Center), 5.00%, 8/15/39 California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (City of Hope), 5.00%, 11/15/32 California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (City of Hope), 5.00%, 11/15/35 California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Memorial Health Services), 5.00%, 10/1/27 California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (St. Joseph Health System), 5.00%, 7/1/33 California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (St. Joseph Health System), 5.00%, 7/1/37 California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Cottage Health System), 5.25%, 11/1/30 Washington Township Health Care District, 5.00%, 7/1/29	1,330 1,400 335 475 750 600 280 1,000 555 750	\$ 1,361,268 1,415,176 341,995 478,610 803,887 616,272 283,212 1,047,820 538,850 750,840
		\$ 7,637,930
Insured Education 7.6% California Educational Facilities Authority, (Pepperdine University), (AMBAC), 5.00%, 12/1/32 \$ California Educational Facilities Authority, (Pepperdine University), (AMBAC), 5.00%, 12/1/35 California State University, (AGM), (BHAC), 5.00%, 11/1/39(1)	420 1,000 2,000	\$ 438,715 1,028,960 2,025,720
		\$ 3,493,395

17

California Municipal Bond Fund II

September 30, 2013

Security

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	(000)	Principal Amount s omitted)	Value
Insured Electric Utilities 7.7% Los Angeles Department of Water and Power, Electric System Revenue, (AMBAC), (BHAC), 5.00%, 7/1/26 ⁽¹⁾ Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, (NPFG), 5.25%, 7/1/34 Sacramento Municipal Utility District, (AGM), 5.00%, 8/15/27	\$	1,500 1,035 1,000	\$ 1,647,150 794,425 1,072,860 \$ 3,514,435
Insured Escrowed / Prerefunded 12.5% California Infrastructure and Economic Development Bank, (Bay Area Toll Bridges), (AMBAC), Prerefunded to 1/1/28, 5.00%, 7/1/33 California Infrastructure and Economic Development Bank, (Bay Area Toll Bridges), (AMBAC), Prerefunded to 1/1/28, 5.00%, 7/1/36 Clovis Unified School District, (NPFG), Escrowed to Maturity, 0.00%, 8/1/20 Orange County Water District, Certificates of Participation, (NPFG), Escrowed to Maturity, 5.00%, 8/15/34	\$	1,150 1,025 3,130 395	\$ 1,362,865 1,214,728 2,693,177 432,193 \$ 5,702,963
Insured General Obligations 26.4% Antelope Valley Community College District, (Election of 2004), (NPFG), 5.25%, 8/1/39 Arcadia Unified School District, (Election of 2006), (AGM), 0.00%, 8/1/38 Arcadia Unified School District, (Election of 2006), (AGM), 0.00%, 8/1/40 Carlsbad Unified School District, (Election of 2006), (NPFG), 5.25%, 8/1/32 Coast Community College District, (Election of 2002), (AGM), 0.00%, 8/1/35 El Camino Hospital District, (NPFG), 4.45%, 8/1/36 Palm Springs Unified School District, (Election of 2008), (AGC), 5.00%, 8/1/33 Riverside Community College District, (Election of 2004), (AGM), (NPFG), 5.00%, 8/1/32 Union Elementary School District, (Election of 1999), (NPFG), 0.00%, 9/1/22	\$	725 7,125 2,525 1,500 6,675 575 1,250 1,040 3,200	\$ 768,217 1,774,552 526,791 1,607,820 2,080,397 564,288 1,296,625 1,090,430 2,348,224
Insured Hospital 6.6% California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Kaiser Permanente), (BHAC), 5.00%, 3/1/41 ⁽¹⁾ California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Sutter Health), (AGM), 5.05%, 8/15/38 ⁽¹⁾	\$	1,250 1,750	\$ 12,057,344 \$ 1,254,750 1,759,660 \$ 3,014,410

Value

	Principal Amount s omitted)	
Insured Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 7.3% Puerto Rico Public Finance Corp., (AMBAC), Escrowed to Maturity, 5.50%, 8/1/27 San Diego County Water Authority, Certificates of Participation, (AGM), 5.00%, 5/1/38 ⁽¹⁾	\$ 1,250 1,750	\$ 1,503,812 1,822,975
		\$ 3,326,787
Insured Special Tax Revenue 9.4% Cathedral City Public Financing Authority, (Housing Redevelopment), (NPFG), 5.00%, 8/1/33 Hesperia Public Financing Authority, (Redevelopment and Housing Projects), (XLCA), 5.00%, 9/1/37 Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/45 Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority, Sales Tax Revenue, (AMBAC), 5.00%, 4/1/32	\$ 1,400 1,535 2,675 1,225	\$ 1,355,410 1,313,592 338,441 1,302,616
		\$ 4,310,059
Insured Transportation 4.1% San Joaquin Hills Transportation Corridor Agency, (NPFG), 0.00%, 1/15/27 San Jose, Airport Revenue, (AMBAC), 5.00%, 3/1/33	\$ 3,520 330	\$ 1,561,437 332,768
		\$ 1,894,205
Insured Water and Sewer 9.1% Calleguas Las Virgines Public Financing Authority, (Municipal Water District), (BHAC), (FGIC), 4.75%, 7/1/37 East Bay Municipal Utility District, Water System Revenue, (AGM), (FGIC), 5.00%, 6/1/32 East Bay Municipal Utility District, Water System Revenue, (NPFG), 5.00%, 6/1/32(1) Riverside, Water System Revenue, (AGM), 5.00%, 10/1/38 Santa Clara Valley Water District, (AGM), 3.75%, 6/1/28	\$ 1,235 100 1,600 445 615	\$ 1,259,169 106,370 1,701,920 462,457 617,774
		\$ 4,147,690
Special Tax Revenue 6.5% Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority, Sales Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 7/1/31 San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transit District, Sales Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 7/1/28	\$ 1,490 1,300	\$ 1,572,963 1,417,936
		\$ 2,990,899
Transportation 13.6% Bay Area Toll Authority, Toll Bridge Revenue, (San Francisco Bay Area), 5.25%, 4/1/29 Long Beach, Harbor Revenue, 5.00%, 5/15/27	\$ 1,190 540	\$ 1,333,217 589,594

18

California Municipal Bond Fund II

September 30, 2013

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	(000	Principal Amount s omitted))	Value
Transportation (continued) Los Angeles Department of Airports, (Los Angeles International Airport), 5.00%, 5/15/35 ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ Los Angeles Harbor Department, 5.00%, 8/1/25 San Francisco City and County Airport Commission, (San Francisco International Airport), 5.00%, 5/1/35 San Jose, Airport Revenue, 5.00%, 3/1/20	\$	1,060 1,250 635 1,000	\$	1,104,191 1,404,575 650,354 1,154,160
			\$	6,236,091
Water and Sewer 2.4% Metropolitan Water District of Southern California, 5.00%, 1/1/39	\$	1,050	\$	1,108,968
			\$	1,108,968
Total Tax-Exempt Investments 171.3% (identified cost \$76,267,295)			\$	78,320,636
Auction Preferred Shares Plus Cumulative Unpaid Dividends (56.2)%			\$	(25,700,403)
Other Assets, Less Liabilities (15.1)%			\$	(6,887,861)
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares 100.0%			\$	45,732,372

The percentage shown for each investment category in the Portfolio of Investments is based on net assets applicable to common shares.

AGC Assured Guaranty Corp.

AGM Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp.

AMBAC AMBAC Financial Group, Inc.

BHAC Berkshire Hathaway Assurance Corp.

FGIC Financial Guaranty Insurance Company

NPFG National Public Finance Guaranty Corp.

XLCA XL Capital Assurance, Inc.

The Fund invests primarily in debt securities issued by California municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at

September 30, 2013, 52.9% of total investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an individual financial institution or financial guaranty assurance agency ranged from 1.7% to 19.5% of total investments.

(1) Security represents the municipal bond held by a trust that issues residual interest bonds (see Note 1H).

(2) Security (or a portion thereof) has been pledged as collateral for residual interest bond transactions. The aggregate value of such collateral is \$309,191.

19

Massachusetts Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2013

Portfolio of Investments

Tax-Exempt Investments 165.8%

Security	(000	Principal Amount s omitted)		Value
Bond Bank 5.8% Massachusetts Water Pollution Abatement Trust, 5.25%, 8/1/33 Massachusetts Water Pollution Abatement Trust, 5.25%, 8/1/34	\$	585 640	\$	670,083 731,219
			\$ 1	1,401,302
Education 32.7% Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Middlesex School), 5.00%, 9/1/33 Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Milton Academy), 5.00%, 9/1/35 Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Harvard University), 5.00%, 10/1/38 ⁽¹⁾ Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Massachusetts Institute of Technology), 5.50%, 7/1/36 Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Northeastern University), 5.00%, 10/1/35 Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Tufts University), 5.375%, 8/15/38 University of Massachusetts Building Authority, 5.00%, 11/1/39	\$	750 750 2,000 1,000 870 1,025 1,000	1	751,500 787,515 2,102,060 1,105,960 892,202 1,134,060 1,046,030
			\$ 7	7,819,327
General Obligations 12.9% Boston, 4.00%, 4/1/24 Cambridge, 4.00%, 2/15/21 Danvers, 5.25%, 7/1/36 Plymouth, 5.00%, 5/1/26 Plymouth, 5.00%, 5/1/31 Plymouth, 5.00%, 5/1/32 Wayland, 5.00%, 2/1/33 Wayland, 5.00%, 2/1/36 Winchester, 5.00%, 4/15/36	\$	200 395 565 250 225 205 340 510 160	\$	217,482 446,172 609,302 277,782 241,875 219,262 367,247 544,461 171,077
			\$ 3	3,094,660
Hospital 16.2% Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Dana-Farber Cancer Institute), 5.00%, 12/1/37 Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Lahey Clinic Medical Center), 5.25%, 8/15/28 Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Partners Healthcare System), 5.00%, 7/1/34 Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Partners Healthcare System), 5.00%, 7/1/39 Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (South Shore Hospital), 5.75%, 7/1/29	\$	775 400 500 750 370	\$	785,331 415,800 513,790 762,307 371,214

Security	Principal Amount s omitted)	Value
Hospital (continued) Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Southcoast Health System), 5.00%, 7/1/29	\$ 1,000	\$ 1,018,180
Insured Education 18.6% Massachusetts College Building Authority, (XLCA), 5.50%, 5/1/39 Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Boston College), (NPFG), 5.00%, 7/1/38 Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Boston University), (XLCA), 6.00%, 5/15/59 Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (College of the Holy Cross), (AMBAC), 5.25%, 9/1/32(1)(2) Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Massachusetts College of Pharmacy), (AGC), 5.00%, 7/1/35	\$ 700 750 1,105 750 750	\$ 793,807 775,635 1,230,329 837,952 809,438 \$ 4,447,161
Insured Electric Utilities 4.0% Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, (NPFG), 5.25%, 7/1/23	\$ 1,095	\$ 949,880 \$ 949,880
Insured Escrowed / Prerefunded 7.8% Massachusetts College Building Authority, (NPFG), Escrowed to Maturity, 0.00%, 5/1/26	\$ 2,900	\$ 1,878,562 \$ 1,878,562
Insured General Obligations 13.9% Massachusetts, (AMBAC), 5.50%, 8/1/30 Revere, (AGC), 5.00%, 4/1/39	\$ 1,900 1,000	\$ 2,302,876 1,016,820 \$ 3,319,696
Insured Hospital 1.0% Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Cape Cod Healthcare), (AGC), 5.00%, 11/15/25	\$ 220	\$ 232,115 \$ 232,115
Insured Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 5.0% Puerto Rico Public Finance Corp., (AMBAC), Escrowed to Maturity, 5.50%, 8/1/27	\$ 1,000	\$ 1,203,050 \$ 1,203,050

20

Massachusetts Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2013

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	(000	Principal Amount s omitted)	Value
Insured Other Revenue 1.9% Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (WGBH Educational Foundation), (AMBAC), 5.75%, 1/1/42	\$	415	\$ 455,504 \$ 455,504
Insured Special Tax Revenue 16.1% Martha s Vineyard Land Bank, (AMBAC), 5.00%, 5/1/32 Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority, Sales Tax Revenue, (NPFG), 5.50%, 7/1/28 Massachusetts School Building Authority, Dedicated Sales Tax Revenue, (AMBAC), 5.00%, 8/15/37(1) Massachusetts, Special Obligation, Dedicated Tax Revenue, (NPFG), 5.50%, 1/1/29 Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/45	\$	1,225 400 1,160 750 770	\$ 1,228,320 470,516 1,204,034 858,030 97,420
Insured Water and Sewer 4.5% Massachusetts Water Resources Authority, (AGM), 5.25%, 8/1/36	\$	960	\$ 3,858,320 \$ 1,078,982 \$ 1,078,982
Other Revenue 3.7% Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Isabella Stewart Gardner Museum), 5.00%, 5/1/25 Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Isabella Stewart Gardner Museum), 5.00%, 5/1/29	\$	320 490	\$ 355,395 521,385 \$ 876,780
Senior Living / Life Care 2.9% Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Berkshire Retirement Community, Inc.), 5.15%, 7/1/31	\$	745	\$ 683,880 \$ 683,880
Special Tax Revenue 5.8% Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority, 5.25%, 7/1/34 Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority, Special Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 7/1/35	\$	95 1,210	\$ 103,322 1,285,323 \$ 1,388,645

Transportation 9.5% Massachusetts Department of Transportation, (Metropolitan Highway System), 5.00%, 1/1/32 Massachusetts Department of Transportation, (Metropolitan Highway System), 5.00%, 1/1/37 Massachusetts Port Authority, 5.00%, 7/1/28 Security	1,000 500 250 Principal Amount s omitted))	\$ 1,036,290 511,270 274,305 Value
Transportation (continued) Massachusetts Port Authority, 5.00%, 7/1/34	\$ 435	\$	456,476
Water and Sewer 3.5% Boston Water & Sewer Commission, 5.00%, 11/1/27	\$ 750	\$ \$	2,278,341 834,765 834,765
Total Tax-Exempt Investments 165.8% (identified cost \$37,973,295)		\$	39,667,592
Auction Preferred Shares Plus Cumulative Unpaid Dividends (56.7)%		\$ ((13,575,171)
Other Assets, Less Liabilities (9.1)%		\$	(2,167,402)
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares 100.0%		\$	23,925,019

The percentage shown for each investment category in the Portfolio of Investments is based on net assets applicable to common shares.

AGC Assured Guaranty Corp.

AGM Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp.
AMBAC AMBAC Financial Group, Inc.
FGIC Financial Guaranty Insurance Company
NPFG National Public Finance Guaranty Corp.

XLCA XL Capital Assurance, Inc.

The Fund invests primarily in debt securities issued by Massachusetts municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at September 30, 2013, 43.9% of total investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an individual financial institution or financial guaranty assurance agency ranged from 2.7% to 18.2% of total investments.

⁽¹⁾ Security represents the municipal bond held by a trust that issues residual interest bonds (see Note 1H).

⁽²⁾ Security (or a portion thereof) has been pledged as collateral for residual interest bond transactions. The aggregate value of such collateral is \$337,953.

Michigan Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2013

Portfolio of Investments

Tax-Exempt Investments 165.2%

Security	Principal Amount s omitted)		Value
Education 6.3% Michigan Higher Education Facilities Authority, (Hillsdale College), 5.00%, 3/1/35 Michigan Technological University, 4.00%, 10/1/36 Wayne State University, 5.00%, 11/15/40	\$ 250 570 500	\$	250,015 502,558 508,320
		\$ 1	,260,893
Electric Utilities 3.5% Michigan Public Power Agency, 5.00%, 1/1/43	\$	\$ \$	686,238 686,238
Escrowed / Prerefunded 2.2% Michigan Hospital Finance Authority, (Chelsea Community Hospital), Prerefunded to 5/15/15, 5.00%, 5/15/30	\$	\$ \$	429,860 429,860
General Obligations 36.5% Birmingham Public Schools, 4.00%, 5/1/32 Bloomfield Hills Schools, 4.00%, 5/1/37 Buchanan Community Schools, 4.00%, 5/1/31 Chippewa Valley Schools, 5.00%, 5/1/31 Comstock Park Public Schools, 5.00%, 5/1/28 Comstock Park Public Schools, 5.125%, 5/1/31 Comstock Park Public Schools, 5.25%, 5/1/31 Comstock Park Public Schools, 5.25%, 5/1/33 HealthSource Saginaw, Inc., Saginaw County, 4.00%, 5/1/29 Howell Public Schools, 4.50%, 5/1/29 Livingston County, 4.00%, 6/1/28 Livingston County, 4.00%, 6/1/30 Northview Public Schools, 5.00%, 5/1/41 Plymouth-Canton Community Schools, 4.00%, 5/1/33 St. Clair County, (Convention Center), 3.75%, 4/1/42 Whitmore Lake Public School District, 4.00%, 5/1/32	\$ 1,000 500 500 750 170 205 165 500 620 230 225 895 750 375 600	\$	978,130 473,625 471,575 784,260 178,976 213,016 171,796 477,595 627,471 228,728 219,634 904,299 691,492 298,238 559,596

\$ 7,278,431

Hospital 19.9% Grand Traverse Hospital, 5.375%, 7/1/35 Kalamazoo Hospital Finance Authority, (Bronson Health Care Group), 5.25%, 5/15/33 Kent Hospital Finance Authority, (Spectrum Health System), 5.00%, 1/15/31 Michigan Finance Authority, (Oakwood Obligated Group), 5.00%, 11/1/32	\$	750 500 750 500	\$	773,422 508,925 766,800 496,635
Security	(000	Principal Amount s omitted)		Value
Hospital (continued) Michigan Hospital Finance Authority, (McLaren Health Care), 5.00%, 6/1/35 Michigan Hospital Finance Authority, (Trinity Health Corp.), 5.00%, 12/1/48	\$	750 700	\$	742,118 683,270
			\$3	,971,170
Insured Education 2.3% Ferris State University, (AGC), 5.125%, 10/1/33	\$	435	\$ \$	450,229 450,229
Insured Electric Utilities 2.5% Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, (NPFG), 5.25%, 7/1/26	\$	610	\$	502,646
Insured Escrowed / Prerefunded 29.0% Michigan Hospital Finance Authority, (St. John Health System), (AMBAC), Escrowed to Maturity, 5.00%, 5/15/28 Michigan House of Representatives, (AMBAC), Escrowed to Maturity, 0.00%, 8/15/22 Michigan House of Representatives, (AMBAC), Escrowed to Maturity, 0.00%, 8/15/23 Reed City Public Schools, (AGM), Prerefunded to 5/1/14, 5.00%, 5/1/29	\$	1,150 1,750 2,615 1,300	1 1 1	502,646 ,154,198 ,359,610 ,936,355 ,336,829 ,786,992
Insured General Obligations 29.9% Battle Creek, (BAM), 5.00%, 6/1/33 Brownfield Redevelopment Authority, (BAM), 5.375%, 10/1/38 ⁽²⁾ Greenville Public Schools, (NPFG), 5.00%, 5/1/25 Livonia Public School District, (AGM), 5.00%, 5/1/43 Okemos Public School District, (NPFG), 0.00%, 5/1/19 ⁽¹⁾ Pinconning Area Schools, (AGM), 5.00%, 5/1/33 Royal Oak, (AGC), 6.25%, 10/1/28 Westland Tax Increment Finance Authority, (BAM), 5.25%, 4/1/34	\$	500 500 375 750 1,330 1,000 1,000 500	1	503,900 506,560 376,103 747,667 ,150,689 ,018,500 ,147,430 502,225
Insured Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 8.4% Michigan Building Authority, (AGM), (FGIC), 0.00%, 10/15/29 Michigan Building Authority, (NPFG), 0.00%, 10/15/30	\$	1,000 3,100	1	426,320 ,246,138 , 672,458

Michigan Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2013

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	(000	Principal Amount s omitted)		Value
Insured Special Tax Revenue 0.4% Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/45	\$	615	\$	77,810
			\$	77,810
Insured Water and Sewer 12.0% Detroit Water Supply System, (NPFG), 5.00%, 7/1/30 Grand Rapids Water Supply System, (AGC), 5.00%, 1/1/29	\$	1,425 1,000	\$	1,323,939 1,067,390
			\$	2,391,329
Special Tax Revenue 5.2% Michigan Trunk Line Fund, 5.00%, 11/15/36	\$	1,000	\$	1,044,040
			\$	1,044,040
Transportation 2.5% Wayne County Airport Authority, 5.00%, 12/1/31	\$	500	\$	498,780
			\$	498,780
Water and Sewer 4.6% Grand Rapids, Sanitary Sewer System, 5.00%, 1/1/28 Port Huron, Water Supply System, 5.25%, 10/1/31	\$	605 250	\$	668,815 256,568
			\$	925,383
Total Tax Exempt Investments 165.2% (identified cost \$32,923,895)			\$	32,929,333
Auction Preferred Shares Plus Cumulative Unpaid Dividends (66.8)%			\$ ((13,325,251)

Other Assets, Less Liabilities 1.6% \$ 327,123

Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares 100.0%

\$ 19,931,205

The percentage shown for each investment category in the Portfolio of Investments is based on net assets applicable to common shares.

AGC Assured Guaranty Corp.
AGM Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp.
AMBAC AMBAC Financial Group, Inc.

BAM Build America Mutual Assurance Co.
FGIC Financial Guaranty Insurance Company
NPFG National Public Finance Guaranty Corp.

The Fund invests primarily in debt securities issued by Michigan municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at September 30, 2013, 51.1% of total investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an individual financial institution or financial guaranty assurance agency ranged from 1.3% to 14.2% of total investments.

- (1) Security (or a portion thereof) has been segregated to cover payable for when-issued securities.
- (2) When-issued security.

23

New Jersey Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2013

Portfolio of Investments

Tax-Exempt Municipal Securities 152.9%

Security	(000	Principal Amount s omitted)		Value
Education 7.9% New Jersey Educational Facilities Authority, (Georgian Court University), 5.25%, 7/1/37 New Jersey Educational Facilities Authority, (Princeton University), 5.00%, 7/1/29 New Jersey Educational Facilities Authority, (Ramapo College), 5.00%, 7/1/37 New Jersey Institute of Technology, 5.00%, 7/1/42 Rutgers State University, 5.00%, 5/1/39	\$	750 500 360 735 250	\$	752,213 562,435 371,041 754,867 262,230
General Obligations 4.5% Monmouth County Improvement Authority, 5.00%, 1/15/27	\$	1,375	\$ 1	,702,786 ,532,795 ,532,795
Hospital 12.8% Camden County Improvement Authority, (Cooper Health System), 5.00%, 2/15/35 Camden County Improvement Authority, (Cooper Health System), 5.75%, 2/15/34 Camden County Improvement Authority, (Cooper Health System), 5.75%, 2/15/42 New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Atlanticare Regional Medical Center), 5.00%, 7/1/37 New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Hunterdon Medical Center), 5.125%, 7/1/35 New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Meridian Health System), 5.00%, 7/1/21 New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Meridian Health System), 5.00%, 7/1/26 New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Palisades Medical Center), 5.25%, 7/1/31 New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (South Jersey Hospital), 5.00%, 7/1/46	\$	175 685 250 515 250 255 145 750 1,345	1	162,305 687,117 248,422 525,460 250,935 288,344 154,125 728,437 ,337,374
Housing 2.9% New Jersey Housing and Mortgage Finance Agency, (Single Family Housing), 4.375%, 4/1/28	\$	965	\$ \$	980,315 980,315
Insured Education 6.6% New Jersey Educational Facilities Authority, (Rowan University), (AGM), (FGIC), 3.00%, 7/1/27	\$	920	\$	835,231

Security	(000	Principal Amount s omitted)		Value
Insured Education (continued) New Jersey Educational Facilities Authority, (William Paterson University), (AGC), 4.75%, 7/1/34 New Jersey Educational Facilities Authority, (William Paterson University), (AGC), 5.00%, 7/1/38	\$	1,145 275	\$	1,166,366 276,710
			\$	2,278,307
Insured Electric Utilities 2.2% Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, (NPFG), 5.25%, 7/1/26 Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, (NPFG), 5.25%, 7/1/35	\$	615 335	\$ \$	506,766 258,258 765,024
Insured General Obligations 44.0% Bayonne, (AGM), 0.00%, 7/1/23 Bayonne, (AGM), 5.50%, 7/1/39 Delaware Township, Hunterdon County, (AGC), 5.00%, 10/15/35 Delaware Township, Hunterdon County, (AGC), 5.10%, 10/15/36 Delaware Township, Hunterdon County, (AGC), 5.15%, 10/15/37 Delaware Township, Hunterdon County, (AGC), 5.25%, 10/15/38 Hudson County Improvement Authority, (Harrison Parking), (AGC), 5.25%, 1/1/39 Hudson County Improvement Authority, (Harrison Redevelopment), (NPFG), 0.00%, 12/15/38 Irvington Township, (AGM), 0.00%, 7/15/26 Jackson Township School District, (NPFG), 2.50%, 6/15/27 Jersey City, (AGM), 5.00%, 1/15/29 Lakewood Township, (AGC), 5.75%, 11/1/31 Monroe Township Board of Education, Middlesex County, (AGC), 4.75%, 3/1/34 Nutley School District, (NPFG), 4.75%, 7/15/30 Nutley School District, (NPFG), 4.75%, 7/15/31 Paterson, (BAM), 5.00%, 1/15/26	\$	2,415 1,000 320 340 360 382 1,500 2,000 5,235 2,370 1,000 700 1,015 110 410 250		1,676,976 1,049,600 336,413 358,442 380,477 404,022 1,561,965 538,960 3,037,033 2,064,175 1,047,210 765,380 1,035,625 114,006 424,051 271,202
Insured Hospital 11.8% New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Hackensack University Medical Center), (AGC), 5.25%, 1/1/36 New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Meridian Health System), Series II, (AGC), 5.00%, 7/1/38 New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Meridian Health System), Series V, (AGC), 5.00%, 7/1/38 New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Virtua Health), (AGC), 5.50%, 7/1/38	\$	2,000 170 250 1,500	\$	2,060,680 172,463 253,623 1,545,660

24

See Notes to Financial Statements.

\$ 4,032,426

New Jersey Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2013

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	(000)	Principal Amount s omitted)	Value
Insured Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 13.6% Essex County Improvement Authority, (NPFG), 5.50%, 10/1/30 New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (School Facilities Construction), (AGC), 5.50%, 12/15/34 New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (School Facilities Construction), (NPFG), 5.50%, 9/1/28 Puerto Rico Public Finance Corp., (AMBAC), Escrowed to Maturity, 5.50%, 8/1/27	\$	1,000 1,300 500 1,250	\$ 1,151,560 1,416,597 572,700 1,503,812 \$ 4,644,669
Insured Special Tax Revenue 12.9% Garden State Preservation Trust, (AGM), 0.00%, 11/1/21 Garden State Preservation Trust, (AGM), Prerefunded to 11/1/15, 5.80%, 11/1/21 New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (Motor Vehicle Surcharges), (BHAC), (NPFG), 5.00%, 7/1/27 New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (Motor Vehicle Surcharges), (XLCA), 0.00%, 7/1/26 New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (Motor Vehicle Surcharges), (XLCA), 0.00%, 7/1/27 Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/45	\$	1,000 500 975 2,380 1,120 1,105	\$ 774,090 554,900 996,830 1,344,296 594,014 139,805 \$ 4,403,935
Insured Transportation 7.8% New Jersey Turnpike Authority, (AGM), (BHAC), 5.25%, 1/1/29 Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, (AGM), 5.00%, 8/15/33 South Jersey Transportation Authority, (AGC), 5.50%, 11/1/33	\$	1,500 720 180	\$ 1,704,150 761,897 193,444 \$ 2,659,491
Insured Water and Sewer 9.5% Middlesex County Improvement Authority, (Perth Amboy), (AMBAC), 0.00%, 9/1/24 Passaic Valley Sewerage Commissioners, (NPFG), 2.50%, 12/1/32	\$	4,500 635	\$ 2,817,765 436,982 \$ 3,254,747
Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 1.8% New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Hospital Asset Transformation Program), 5.25%, 10/1/38	\$	600	\$ 610,284 \$ 610,284

Senior Living / Life Care 1.4%

New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (United Methodist Homes of New Jersey), 5.00%, 7/1/34

\$ 500 \$ 476,680

\$ 476,680

Security	Principal Amount s omitted)		Value
Student Loan 3.0% New Jersey Higher Education Student Assistance Authority, 5.625%, 6/1/30	\$ 965	\$ \$	1,023,189 1,023,189
Transportation 8.0% Delaware River Port Authority of Pennsylvania and New Jersey, 5.00%, 1/1/35 Delaware River Port Authority of Pennsylvania and New Jersey, 5.00%, 1/1/40 New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority, (Transportation Program), 5.25%, 6/15/30 New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority, (Transportation System), 5.50%, 6/15/31	\$ 590 210 610 1,150	\$	613,706 216,115 658,575 1,249,866 2,738,262
Water and Sewer 2.2% North Hudson Sewerage Authority, 5.00%, 6/1/29	\$ 725	\$ \$	763,503 763,503
Total Tax-Exempt Municipal Securities 152.9% (identified cost \$49,987,814)		\$	52,314,469

Taxable Municipal Securities 1.2%

Security	Principal Amount s omitted)		Value
Transportation 1.2% Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, 4.458%, 10/1/62	\$ 500	\$	423,580
Total Taxable Municipal Securities 1.2% (identified cost \$494,527)		\$	423,580
Total Investments 154.1% (identified cost \$50,482,341)		\$ 5	2,738,049
Auction Preferred Shares Plus Cumulative Unpaid Dividends (57.3)%		\$ (1	9,600,431)
Other Assets, Less Liabilities 3.2%		\$	1,083,181
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares 100.0%		\$ 3	4,220,799

The percentage shown for each investment category in the Portfolio of Investments is based on net assets applicable to common shares.

New Jersey Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2013

Portfolio of Investments continued

AGC Assured Guaranty Corp.

AGM Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp.

AMBAC AMBAC Financial Group, Inc.

BAM Build America Mutual Assurance Co.

BHAC Berkshire Hathaway Assurance Corp.

FGIC Financial Guaranty Insurance Company

NPFG National Public Finance Guaranty Corp.

XLCA XL Capital Assurance, Inc.

The Fund invests primarily in debt securities issued by New Jersey municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at September 30, 2013, 70.4% of total investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an individual financial institution or financial guaranty assurance agency ranged from 0.5% to 22.6% of total investments.

26

⁽¹⁾ Security represents the municipal bond held by a trust that issues residual interest bonds (see Note 1H).

New York Municipal Bond Fund II

September 30, 2013

Portfolio of Investments

Tax-Exempt Investments 162.3%				
		Principal		
Compiler	(000	Amount		Value
Security	(000	s omitted)		value
Bond Bank 2.5%				
New York Environmental Facilities Corp., 5.00%, 10/15/39	\$	750	\$	791,453
			\$	791,453
Education 20.16/				
Education 22.1% Geneva Development Corp., (Hobart and William Smith Colleges), 5.00%, 9/1/32	\$	605	\$	636,079
Monroe County Industrial Development Corp., (St. John Fisher College), 5.00%, 6/1/23	Ψ	60	Ψ	65,831
Monroe County Industrial Development Corp., (St. John Fisher College), 5.00%, 6/1/24		35		37,721
Monroe County Industrial Development Corp., (St. John Fisher College), 5.00%, 6/1/25		25		26,552
New York City Industrial Development Agency, (St. Francis College), 5.00%, 10/1/34		350		351,477
New York Dormitory Authority, (Columbia University), 5.00%, 10/1/41		1,275	1	,354,394
New York Dormitory Authority, (Cornell University), 5.00%, 7/1/37 ⁽¹⁾		1,275	1	,358,104
New York Dormitory Authority, (Rockefeller University), 5.00%, 7/1/34		565		608,505
New York Dormitory Authority, (Rockefeller University), 5.00%, 7/1/40		610		643,275
New York Dormitory Authority, (Skidmore College), 5.00%, 7/1/28		325		347,578
New York Dormitory Authority, (The New School), 5.50%, 7/1/40		1,000	1	,041,640
Onondaga County Cultural Resources Trust, (Syracuse University), 5.00%, 12/1/38		515		537,506
			Φ.	000 663
			\$ 7	,008,662
Electric Utilities 1.4%				
Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, 5.25%, 7/1/31	\$	580	\$	435,435
			\$	435,435
General Obligations 11.5%	¢.	215	ф	217.646
Arlington Central School District, 4.00%, 12/15/30	\$	315 770	\$	317,646
Long Beach City School District, 4.50%, 5/1/26		1,000	1	818,972
New York, 5.00%, 2/15/34 ⁽¹⁾ New York City, 5.00%, 8/1/34 ⁽¹⁾		1,350		,064,590
New Tolk City, 5.00%, 6/1/54**/		1,330	1	,430,211
			\$3	,637,419
Hospital 8.2%	_	105	.	145
New York Dormitory Authority, (Highland Hospital of Rochester), 5.00%, 7/1/26	\$	135	\$	145,144

Security	(000	Principal Amount s omitted)	Va	alue
Hospital (continued) New York Dormitory Authority, (Highland Hospital of Rochester), 5.20%, 7/1/32 New York Dormitory Authority, (Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center), 4.375%, 7/1/34 ⁽¹⁾ New York Dormitory Authority, (North Shore-Long Island Jewish Obligated Group), 5.00%, 5/1/20 New York Dormitory Authority, (North Shore-Long Island Jewish Obligated Group), 5.00%, 5/1/26 Suffolk County Economic Development Corp., (Catholic Health Services of Long Island Obligated Group), 5.00%, 7/1/28	\$	180 500 235 335 1,135	\$ 185, 501, 269, 346, 1,159,	,175 ,573 ,249
			\$ 2,606,	,750
Housing 1.3% New York Mortgage Agency, 3.55%, 10/1/33	\$	500	\$ 419, \$ 419,	,
Industrial Development Revenue 1.3% New York Liberty Development Corp., (Goldman Sachs Group, Inc.), 5.25%, 10/1/35	\$	380	\$ 403, \$ 403,	,
Insured Education 26.6% New York Dormitory Authority, (Barnard College), (NPFG), 5.00%, 7/1/24 New York Dormitory Authority, (Fordham University), (AGC), (BHAC), 5.00%, 7/1/38 ⁽¹⁾ New York Dormitory Authority, (Pratt Institute), (AGC), 5.00%, 7/1/34 New York Dormitory Authority, (Pratt Institute), (AGC), 5.125%, 7/1/39 New York Dormitory Authority, (Skidmore College), (NPFG), 5.00%, 7/1/33 New York Dormitory Authority, (St. John s University), (NPFG), 5.25%, 7/1/37 Oneida County Industrial Development Agency, (Hamilton College), (NPFG), 0.00%, 7/1/32	\$	1,440 2,250 345 545 500 850 5,425	\$ 1,557, 2,278, 359, 564, 502, 862, 2,332, \$ 8,456,	,283 ,131 ,571 ,995 ,053 ,370
Insured Electric Utilities 3.5% Long Island Power Authority, Electric System Revenue, (BHAC), 5.50%, 5/1/33 Long Island Power Authority, Electric System Revenue, (BHAC), 6.00%, 5/1/33	\$	500 500	\$ 550, 569,	,-

27

See Notes to Financial Statements.

\$ 1,120,745

New York Municipal Bond Fund II

September 30, 2013

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	Principal Amount s omitted)		Value
Insured Escrowed / Prerefunded 3.0% New York City, (AGM), Prerefunded to 4/1/16, 5.00%, 4/1/22	\$ 855	\$ 9	950,119
		\$ 9	950,119
Insured General Obligations 12.5% Brentwood Union Free School District, (AGC), 4.75%, 11/15/23 Brentwood Union Free School District, (AGC), 5.00%, 11/15/24 Hauppauge Union Free School District, (AGC), 4.00%, 7/15/24 Hoosic Valley Central School District, (AGC), 4.00%, 6/15/23 Longwood Central School District, Suffolk County, (AGC), 4.15%, 6/1/23 Longwood Central School District, Suffolk County, (AGC), 4.25%, 6/1/24 New York City, (AGM), 5.00%, 4/1/22 Sachem Central School District, (NPFG), 4.25%, 10/15/28 Wantagh Union Free School District, (AGC), 4.50%, 11/15/19 Wantagh Union Free School District, (AGC), 4.50%, 11/15/22 Wantagh Union Free School District, (AGC), 4.75%, 11/15/23 William Floyd Union Free School District, (AGC), 4.00%, 12/15/24	\$ 535 560 250 250 185 190 145 410 185 190 210 220 350	6 2 2 1 1 2 2 1 4 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	599,489 630,062 264,495 266,313 196,342 201,206 201,206 209,510 209,448 229,106 238,315 368,928
Insured Hospital 3.4% New York City Health and Hospitals Corp., (AGM), 5.50%, 2/15/20 New York Dormitory Authority, (Hudson Valley Hospital Center), (AGM), (BHAC), 5.00%, 8/15/36	\$ 500 500	5	570,195 507,335 077,530
Insured Housing 3.2% New York City Housing Corp., (NPFG), 4.95%, 11/1/33	\$ 1,000		003,570 003,570
Insured Other Revenue 9.7% New York City Cultural Resources Trust, (American Museum of Natural History), (NPFG), 5.00%, 7/1/44 New York City Industrial Development Agency, (Yankee Stadium), (NPFG), 4.75%, 3/1/46 New York City Transitional Finance Authority, (BHAC), 5.50%, 7/15/38	\$ 700 1,390 950	1,3	700,077 342,851 051,783

\$ 3,094,711

Security	Principal Amount s omitted)	Value
Insured Special Tax Revenue 7.0% New York Thruway Authority, Miscellaneous Tax Revenue, (AMBAC), 5.50%, 4/1/20 Puerto Rico Infrastructure Financing Authority, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 7/1/35 Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/45 Sales Tax Asset Receivables Corp., (AMBAC), 5.00%, 10/15/29 Sales Tax Asset Receivables Corp., (AMBAC), 5.00%, 10/15/32	\$ 510 1,700 1,185 385 690	\$ 615,060 330,565 149,926 399,599 713,805
		\$ 2,208,955
Insured Transportation 3.2% Metropolitan Transportation Authority, (AGM), (NPFG), 5.00%, 11/15/31	\$ 1,000	\$ 1,030,240
		\$ 1,030,240
Insured Water and Sewer 4.3% Nassau County Sewer and Storm Water Finance Authority, (BHAC), 5.375%, 11/1/28 Suffolk County Water Authority, (NPFG), 4.50%, 6/1/25	\$ 905 350	\$ 1,015,645 357,312
		\$ 1,372,957
Other Revenue 7.5% Brooklyn Arena Local Development Corp., (Barclays Center), 0.00%, 7/15/31 New York Liberty Development Corp., (7 World Trade Center), 5.00%, 9/15/32	\$ 1,100 1,865	\$ 397,749 1,979,809
		\$ 2,377,558
Special Tax Revenue 15.1% New York City Transitional Finance Authority, Future Tax Revenue, 5.50%, 11/1/35 ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ New York Dormitory Authority, Personal Income Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 6/15/31 ⁽¹⁾ New York Dormitory Authority, Personal Income Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 3/15/33 New York Thruway Authority, Miscellaneous Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 4/1/26	\$ 500 2,750 650 530	\$ 552,680 2,977,562 688,539 581,129 \$ 4,799,910
Transportation 15.0% Metropolitan Transportation Authority, 5.00%, 11/15/34 Nassau County Bridge Authority, 5.00%, 10/1/35 Nassau County Bridge Authority, 5.00%, 10/1/40 New York Bridge Authority, 5.00%, 1/1/26 New York Thruway Authority, 5.00%, 1/1/37	\$ 2,000 350 65 205 1,175	\$ 2,060,280 355,369 65,804 230,303 1,210,955

28

New York Municipal Bond Fund II

September 30, 2013

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	Principal Amount s omitted)		Value	ıe	
Transportation (continued) Triborough Bridge and Tunnel Authority, 5.00%, 11/15/37 Triborough Bridge and Tunnel Authority, 5.00%, 11/15/38 ⁽¹⁾	\$ 340 500		47,154 11,075		
		\$ 4,7	80,940		
Total Tax-Exempt Investments 162.3% (identified cost \$50,277,476)		\$ 51,5	60,567		
Auction Preferred Shares Plus Cumulative Unpaid Dividends (41.7)%		\$ (13,2	50,250)		
Other Assets, Less Liabilities (20.6)%		\$ (6,5	31,753)		
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares 100.0%		\$ 31,7	78,564		

The percentage shown for each investment category in the Portfolio of Investments is based on net assets applicable to common shares.

AGC Assured Guaranty Corp.

AGM Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp.
AMBAC AMBAC Financial Group, Inc.
BHAC Berkshire Hathaway Assurance Corp.
NPFG National Public Finance Guaranty Corp.

The Fund invests primarily in debt securities issued by New York municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at September 30, 2013, 47.1% of total investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an individual financial institution or financial guaranty assurance agency ranged from 4.0% to 19.9% of total investments.

⁽¹⁾ Security represents the municipal bond held by a trust that issues residual interest bonds (see Note 1H).

(2) Security (or a portion thereof) has been pledged as collateral for residual interest bond transactions. The aggregate value of such collateral is \$177,680.

29

Ohio Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2013

Portfolio of Investments

Tax-Exempt Investments 154.6%

Security	Principal Amount (000 s omitted)	Value
Bond Bank 12.1% Cuyahoga County Port Authority, (Garfield Heights), 5.25%, 5/15/23 Ohio Economic Development, (Ohio Enterprise Bond Fund), 6.00%, 12/1/34 Ohio Water Development Authority, Water Pollution Control Loan Fund, (Water Quality), 5.00%, 6/1/30 Rickenbacker Port Authority, (OASBO Expanded Asset Pooled Financing Program), 5.375%, 1/1/32	700 1,040 1, 930	917,572 758,331 154,098 907,736
Education 8.9% Ohio Higher Educational Facility Commission, (Kenyon College), 5.00%, 7/1/44 Ohio Higher Educational Facility Commission, (Oberlin College), 5.00%, 10/1/33 Ohio State University, 5.00%, 12/1/30 Wright State University, 5.00%, 5/1/31	500 1,270 1, 500	306,015 524,890 422,362 518,500 771,767
Electric Utilities 1.7% Ohio Air Quality Development Authority, (Buckeye Power, Inc.), 6.00%, 12/1/40		529,160 529,160
Escrowed / Prerefunded 0.2% Ohio State University, Escrowed to Maturity, 5.00%, 12/1/30	\$ 55 \$ \$	64,139 64,139
General Obligations 10.7% Apollo Joint Vocational School District, 5.25%, 12/1/33 Beavercreek City School District, 5.00%, 12/1/30 Franklin County, 5.00%, 12/1/27 Marysville Exempted Village School District, 4.00%, 12/1/26 Napoleon Area City School District, (School Facilities Construction and Improvement), 5.00%, 12/1/36	900 500 1,000 1,	285,779 964,530 548,525 009,520 515,940

\$ 3,324,294

Hospital 10.4% Akron, Bath and Copley Joint Township Hospital District, (Children s Hospital Medical Center of Akron), 5.00%, 11/15/38 Miami County, (Upper Valley Medical Center), 5.25%, 5/15/26 Security	\$ (000	440 500 Principal Amount s omitted)	\$ 441,808 512,525 Value
Hospital (continued) Middleburg Heights, (Southwest General Health Center), 5.25%, 8/1/36 Middleburg Heights, (Southwest General Health Center), 5.25%, 8/1/41 Ohio Higher Educational Facility Commission, (Cleveland Clinic Health System), 5.00%, 1/1/32 Ohio Higher Educational Facility Commission, (Summa Health System), 5.75%, 11/15/40	\$	500 755 500 460	\$ 504,275 757,107 513,735 478,303 \$ 3,207,753
Insured Education 19.2% Kent State University, (AGC), 5.00%, 5/1/26 Kent State University, (AGC), 5.00%, 5/1/29 Miami University, (AGM), (AMBAC), 3.25%, 9/1/26 Ohio University, (AGM), 5.00%, 12/1/33 University of Akron, Series B, (AGM), 5.00%, 1/1/38 Youngstown State University, (AGC), 5.50%, 12/15/33	\$	1,000 360 2,000 500 1,000 1,000	\$ 1,089,730 380,621 1,918,120 509,530 1,007,540 1,042,110 \$ 5,947,651
Insured Electric Utilities 20.6% American Municipal Power-Ohio, Inc., (Prairie State Energy Campus), (AGC), 5.25%, 2/15/33 Cleveland Public Power System, (NPFG), 0.00%, 11/15/27 Cleveland Public Power System, (NPFG), 0.00%, 11/15/38 Ohio Municipal Electric Generation Agency, (NPFG), 0.00%, 2/15/25 Ohio Municipal Electric Generation Agency, (NPFG), 0.00%, 2/15/27 Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, (NPFG), 5.25%, 7/1/26	\$	700 2,750 1,000 1,635 5,000 305	\$ 718,921 1,407,945 258,350 1,018,033 2,745,100 251,323 \$ 6,399,672
Insured Escrowed / Prerefunded 7.1% Cleveland Municipal School District, (AGM), Prerefunded to 6/1/14, 5.00%, 12/1/27 Ohio University, (AGM), Prerefunded to 12/1/13, 5.25%, 12/1/23	\$	1,000 1,170	\$ 1,032,210 1,180,074 \$ 2,212,284
Insured General Obligations 29.9% Brooklyn City School District, (AGM), 5.00%, 12/1/38 Cincinnati City School District, (AGM), (FGIC), 5.25%, 12/1/30 Milford Exempt Village School District, (AGC), 5.25%, 12/1/36 Olentangy Local School District, (AGC), 5.00%, 12/1/36 Plain School District, (NPFG), 0.00%, 12/1/27	\$	445 500 1,000 1,400 2,400	\$ 451,666 572,020 1,053,790 1,444,562 1,388,904

30

Ohio Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2013

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	(000	Principal Amount s omitted)		Value
Insured General Obligations (continued) St. Marys City School District, (AGM), 5.00%, 12/1/35 Sylvania City School District, (AGC), 5.00%, 12/1/26 Sylvania City School District, (AGC), 5.00%, 12/1/32 Wapakoneta City School District, (AGM), 4.75%, 12/1/35	\$	750 500 1,000 2,000	53 1,04 2,00	62,997 37,600 45,080 09,860
Insured Hospital 7.9% Hamilton County, (Cincinnati Children s Hospital), (NPFG), 5.00%, 5/15/32 Hamilton County, (Cincinnati Children s Hospital), (NPFG), 5.125%, 5/15/28 Lorain County, (Catholic Healthcare Partners), (AGM), 18.273%, 2/1/29(1)(2)(3)	\$	425 1,500 440	\$ 42 1,50	24,720 03,570 06,915 35,205
Insured Special Tax Revenue 10.1% Hamilton County Sales Tax, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 12/1/23 Hamilton County Sales Tax, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 12/1/24 Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/45	\$	1,245 3,665 250	2,27	20,505 75,415 31,630 27,550
Insured Transportation 2.9% Cleveland, Airport System Revenue, (AGM), 5.00%, 1/1/30 Puerto Rico Highway and Transportation Authority, (AGC), (CIFG), 5.25%, 7/1/41 ⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾	\$	480 500	40	94,025 05,375 99,400
Other Revenue 1.2% Summit County Port Authority, 5.00%, 12/1/31	\$	350	,	64,343 64,343

Senior Living / Life Care 2.5% Hamilton County, (Life Enriching Communities), 5.00%, 1/1/32 Lorain County Port Authority, (Kendal at Oberlin), 5.00%, 11/15/30 Warren County, (Otterbein Homes Obligated Group), 5.75%, 7/1/33	\$		375 190 220		364,260 193,850 228,208
				\$	786,318
Transportation 3.6% Ohio Turnpike and Infrastructure Commission, 0.00%, 2/15/43 Ohio Turnpike Commission, 5.00%, 2/15/31	\$		310 000	\$	56,178 ,051,140
				\$ 1,	,107,318
Security	(000	Principa Amoun s omitte	t		Value
Water and Sewer 5.6% Hamilton County, Sewer System, 5.00%, 12/1/32 Northeast Ohio Regional Sewer District, 4.00%, 11/15/33 ⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾	\$	750 1,000			782,925 953,340
			\$	1,	736,265
Total Tax-Exempt Investments 154.6% (identified cost \$45,879,330)			\$	47,	,917,335
Auction Preferred Shares Plus Cumulative Unpaid Dividends (54.9)%			\$	(17,	,000,160)
Other Assets, Less Liabilities 0.3%			\$		77,628
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares 100.0%			\$	30,	,994,803

The percentage shown for each investment category in the Portfolio of Investments is based on net assets applicable to common shares.

AGC Assured Guaranty Corp.

AGM Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp.

AMBAC AMBAC Financial Group, Inc.

CIFG CIFG Assurance North America, Inc.

FGIC Financial Guaranty Insurance Company

NPFG National Public Finance Guaranty Corp.

The Fund invests primarily in debt securities issued by Ohio municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at September 30, 2013, 63.2% of total investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an individual financial institution or financial guaranty assurance agency ranged from 0.8% to 21.8% of total investments.

⁽¹⁾ Security exempt from registration pursuant to Rule 144A under the Securities Act of 1933. These securities may be sold in certain transactions (normally to qualified institutional buyers) and remain exempt from registration. At September 30, 2013, the aggregate value of these securities is \$506,915 or 1.6% of the Fund s net assets applicable to common shares.

⁽²⁾ Security has been issued as a leveraged residual interest bond with a variable interest rate. The stated interest rate represents the rate in effect at September 30, 2013.

- (3) Security is subject to a shortfall agreement which may require the Fund to pay amounts to a counterparty in the event of a significant decline in the market value of the security held by the trust that issued the residual interest bond. In case of a shortfall, the maximum potential amount of payments the Fund could ultimately be required to make under the agreement is \$1,320,000. However, such shortfall payment would be reduced by the proceeds from the sale of the security held by the trust that issued the residual interest bond.
- (4) Security represents the municipal bond held by a trust that issues residual interest bonds (see Note 1H).
- (5) Security (or a portion thereof) has been pledged as collateral for residual interest bond transactions. The aggregate value of such collateral is \$308,715.

31

Pennsylvania Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2013

Portfolio of Investments

Tax-Exempt Investments 167.4%

Security	Princip Amou (000 s omitt	nt
Education 27.1% Allegheny County Higher Education Building Authority, (Duquesne University), 5.50%, 3/1/31 Bucks County Industrial Development Authority, (George School), 5.00%, 9/15/41 Bucks County Industrial Development Authority, (George School), 5.00%, 9/15/44 General Authority of South Central Pennsylvania, (York College of Pennsylvania), 5.50%, 11/1/31 Pennsylvania Higher Educational Facilities Authority, (Saint Joseph's University), 5.00%, 11/1/40 Pennsylvania Higher Educational Facilities Authority, (Thomas Jefferson University), 5.00%, 3/1/40 Pennsylvania Higher Educational Facilities Authority, (University of Pennsylvania), 4.75%, 7/15/35 Pennsylvania Higher Educational Facilities Authority, (Ursinus College), 5.00%, 1/1/29 State Public School Building Authority, (Northampton County Area Community College), 5.50%, 3/1/31 Swarthmore Borough Authority, (Swarthmore College), 5.00%, 9/15/38 Washington County Industrial Development Authority, (Washington and Jefferson College), 5.25%, 11/1/30	\$ 1,05 64 25 1,50 44 92 2,99 50 75 25	0 662,496 0 259,125 0 1,604,385 0 441,804 5 946,876 0 2,905,481 0 580,653 0 801,225 0 264,050
		\$ 10,202,930
General Obligations 15.7% Delaware Valley Regional Finance Authority, 5.75%, 7/1/32 Pennsylvania, 4.00%, 4/1/29 ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ West York Area School District, 5.00%, 4/1/33 York County, 5.00%, 6/1/38	\$ 1,00 3,00 75 1,00	0 3,042,690 0 788,805
Hospital 17.4% Chester County Health and Education Facilities Authority, (Jefferson Health System), 5.00%, 5/15/40 Dauphin County General Authority, (Pinnacle Health System Project), 5.00%, 6/1/42 Franklin County Industrial Development Authority, (The Chambersburg Hospital), 5.375%, 7/1/42 Lehigh County General Purpose Authority, (Lehigh Valley Health Network), 4.00%, 7/1/33 Monroe County Hospital Authority, (Pocono Medical Center), 5.125%, 1/1/37 Monroeville Finance Authority, (UPMC Obligated Group), 5.00%, 2/15/42 Security	\$ 75 60 1,00 50 1,25 50 Princi Amo o (000 s omi	0 584,358 0 1,010,060 0 448,995 0 1,251,012 0 504,075 cal
Hospital (continued) Northampton County General Purpose Authority, (Saint Luke s Hospital), 5.50%, 8/15/33	\$ 2	50 \$ 254,345

Pennsylvania Higher Educational Facilities Authority, (UPMC Health System), 5.00%, 5/15/31 Philadelphia Hospitals and Higher Education Facilities Authority, 5.00%, 7/1/32 South Fork Municipal Authority, (Conemaugh Health System), 5.50%, 7/1/29	675 750 250	687,528 781,493 256,133
		\$ 6,531,314
Housing 1.1% Pennsylvania Housing Finance Agency, SFMR, 4.00%, 10/1/38	\$ 500	\$ 420,280 \$ 420,280
Insured Education 15.3% Lycoming County Authority, (Pennsylvania College of Technology), (AGC), 5.50%, 10/1/37 Pennsylvania Higher Educational Facilities Authority, (Drexel University), (NPFG), 5.00%, 5/1/37 Pennsylvania Higher Educational Facilities Authority, (Temple University), (NPFG), 4.50%, 4/1/36 Pennsylvania Higher Educational Facilities Authority, (University of the Sciences in Philadelphia), (AGC), 5.00%, 11/1/37 State Public School Building Authority, (Delaware County Community College), (AGM), 5.00%, 10/1/27 State Public School Building Authority, (Delaware County Community College), (AGM), 5.00%, 10/1/29 State Public School Building Authority, (Delaware County Community College), (AGM), 5.00%, 10/1/32	\$ 500 1,655 1,305 500 500 375 875	\$ 520,795 1,664,649 1,267,220 505,545 523,880 387,968 897,741 \$ 5,767,798
Insured Electric Utilities 2.6% Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, (FGIC), (NPFG), 5.25%, 7/1/35 Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, (NPFG), 5.25%, 7/1/34	\$ 750 490	\$ 578,190 376,104 \$ 954,294
Insured Escrowed / Prerefunded 1.4% Centre County Hospital Authority, (Mount Nittany Medical Center), (AGC), Prerefunded to 11/15/14, 6.25%, 11/15/44	\$ 500	\$ 533,800 \$ 533,800
Insured General Obligations 31.5% Bethlehem Area School District, (AGM), 5.25%, 1/15/25 Centennial School District, (AGM), 5.25%, 12/15/37	\$ 1,250 660	\$ 1,361,112 719,116

32

Pennsylvania Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2013

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	(000	Principal Amount s omitted)	Value
Insured General Obligations (continued) Central Greene School District, (AGM), 5.00%, 2/15/35 Erie School District, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 9/1/30 Harrisburg School District, (AGC), 5.00%, 11/15/33 Laurel Highlands School District, (AGM), 5.00%, 2/1/37 McKeesport School District, (NPFG), 0.00%, 10/1/21 Norwin School District, (AGM), 3.25%, 4/1/27 Reading School District, (AGM), 5.00%, 3/1/35 Scranton School District, (AGM), 5.00%, 7/15/38 Shaler Area School District, (XLCA), 0.00%, 9/1/33	\$	1,350 1,000 500 750 2,555 1,475 1,500 1,000 2,550	\$ 1,372,869 428,650 513,275 770,483 1,833,442 1,344,920 1,525,695 1,005,290 975,987
			\$ 11,850,839
Insured Hospital 5.1% Allegheny County Hospital Development Authority, (UPMC Health System), (NPFG), 6.00%, 7/1/24 Lehigh County General Purpose Authority, (Lehigh Valley Health Network), (AGM), 5.00%, 7/1/35	\$	250 1,620	\$ 301,353 1,625,103
			\$ 1,926,456
Insured Industrial Development Revenue 2.7% Pennsylvania Economic Development Financing Authority, (Aqua Pennsylvania, Inc.), (BHAC), 5.00%, 10/1/39(1)	\$	1,000	\$ 1,017,100
			\$ 1,017,100
Insured Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 4.7% Commonwealth Financing Authority, (AGC), 5.00%, 6/1/31 Philadelphia Authority for Industrial Development, (One Benjamin Franklin), (AGM), 4.75%, 2/15/27	\$	500 1,215	\$ 514,375 1,238,850
			\$ 1,753,225
Insured Special Tax Revenue 3.2% Pittsburgh and Allegheny County Sports & Exhibition Authority, Sales Tax Revenue, (AGM), 5.00%, 2/1/31 Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/45	\$	1,000 1,295	\$ 1,042,230 163,843
			\$ 1,206,073

5 5				
Insured Transportation 5.4% Philadelphia, Airport Revenue, (AGC), 5.375%, 6/15/29 Puerto Rico Highway and Transportation Authority, (AGC), (CIFG), 5.25%, 7/1/41(1) (2)	\$	295 2,100	\$	323,692 1,702,575
			\$	2,026,267
Insured Utilities 2.3% Philadelphia Gas Works, (AMBAC), 5.00%, 10/1/37	\$	890	\$	861,013
		Principal Amount	\$	861,013
Security	(000	s omitted)		Value
Insured Water and Sewer 14.4% Allegheny County Sanitation Authority, (BHAC), (FGIC), 5.00%, 12/1/32 Allegheny County Sanitation Authority, (BHAC), (NPFG), 5.00%, 12/1/22 Bucks County Water and Sewer Authority, (AGM), 5.00%, 12/1/35 Erie Sewer Authority, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 12/1/26 Erie Sewer Authority, Series A, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 12/1/25 Erie Sewer Authority, Series B, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 12/1/25 Saxonburg Water and Sewer Authority, (AGC), 5.00%, 3/1/35	\$	300 1,500 500 1,920 1,430 2,155 150	\$	310,044 1,628,880 516,690 935,270 748,133 1,127,431 150,686
			\$	5,417,134
Senior Living / Life Care 0.6% Montgomery County Industrial Development Authority, (Foulkeways at Gwynedd), 5.00%, 12/1/24	\$	200	\$	203,180
			\$	203,180
Special Tax Revenue 0.3% Virgin Islands Public Finance Authority, 6.75%, 10/1/37	\$	110	\$ \$	120,922 120,922
				,
Transportation 13.1% Allegheny County Port Authority, 5.75%, 3/1/29 Delaware River Port Authority of Pennsylvania and New Jersey, 5.00%, 1/1/35 Delaware River Port Authority of Pennsylvania and New Jersey, 5.00%, 1/1/40 Pennsylvania Turnpike Commission, 5.25%, 12/1/31 Pennsylvania Turnpike Commission, 5.35%, (0.00% until 12/1/15), 12/1/30 Philadelphia Airport, 5.25%, 6/15/27	\$	1,500 465 730 1,000 500 500	\$	1,643,835 483,684 751,257 1,051,430 462,770 533,725
			\$	4,926,701
Water and Sewer 3.5% Philadelphia, Water and Wastewater Revenue, 5.00%, 1/1/36 Philadelphia, Water and Wastewater Revenue, 5.25%, 1/1/32	\$	500 765	\$	510,945 807,626
			\$	1,318,571
Total Tax-Exempt Investments 167.4% (identified cost \$62,833,938)			\$	62,957,702
Auction Preferred Shares Plus Cumulative Unpaid Dividends (57.8)%			\$ (21,725,273)

Other Assets, Less Liabilities (9.6)% \$ (3,613,831)

Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares 100.0%

\$ 37,618,598

33

See Notes to Financial Statements.

Pennsylvania Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2013

Portfolio of Investments continued

The percentage shown for each investment category in the Portfolio of Investments is based on net assets applicable to common shares.

AGC Assured Guaranty Corp.

AGM Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp. AMBAC Financial Group, Inc. **AMBAC** Berkshire Hathaway Assurance Corp. BHAC CIFG CIFG Assurance North America, Inc. Financial Guaranty Insurance Company FGIC NPFG National Public Finance Guaranty Corp. SFMR Single Family Mortgage Revenue XL Capital Assurance, Inc. XLCA

The Fund invests primarily in debt securities issued by Pennsylvania municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at September 30, 2013, 52.9% of total investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an individual financial institution or financial guaranty assurance agency ranged from 1.4% to 22.8% of total investments.

- (1) Security represents the municipal bond held by a trust that issues residual interest bonds (see Note 1H).
- (2) Security (or a portion thereof) has been pledged as collateral for residual interest bond transactions. The aggregate value of such collateral is \$1,295,265.

34

See Notes to Financial Statements.

Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2013

Statements of Assets and Liabilities

			September	r 30. 20	013		
Assets	Municipal Fund II	Cal	ifornia Fund II		sachusetts Fund	Mi	ichigan Fund
Investments	-						
Identified cost	\$ 203,254,853	\$	76,267,295	\$	37,973,295	\$	32,923,895
Unrealized appreciation (depreciation)	(399,629)		2,053,341		1,694,297		5,438
Investments, at value	\$ 202,855,224	\$	78,320,636	\$	39,667,592	\$	32,929,333
Cash	\$	\$	2,122,813	\$	680,523	\$	
Restricted cash*	174,000		105,000		50,000		21,000
Interest receivable	2,694,566		862,681		505,570		456,476
Receivable for investments sold	30,334		4,928		975		769,622
Receivable for variation margin on open financial futures contracts	3,625 40,269		500 17,777		875 2.151		438
Deferred debt issuance costs Total assets	\$ 205,798,018	\$	81,434,335	\$	40,906,711	\$	34,176,869
Total assets	\$ 205,796,016	Ф	61,434,333	Ф	40,900,711	Þ	34,170,809
Liabilities							
Payable for floating rate notes issued	\$ 41,885,000	\$	9,885,000	\$	3,330,000	\$	
Payable for when-issued securities	354,701						483,655
Due to custodian	23,111						375,584
Payable to affiliates:	01.002		25 200		17.460		14 (07
Investment adviser fee	91,083 75,946		35,200 18,146		17,462 7,357		14,687
Interest expense and fees payable Accrued expenses	73,946 98.676		63,214		51,702		46,487
Total liabilities	\$ 42,528,517	\$	10,001,560	\$	3,406,521	\$	920,413
Auction preferred shares at liquidation value plus cumulative	Ψ 42,520,517	Ψ	10,001,500	Ψ	3,400,521	Ψ	720,413
unpaid dividends	\$ 44,700,562	\$	25,700,403	\$	13,575,171	\$	13,325,251
Net assets applicable to common shares	\$ 118,568,939	\$	45,732,372	\$	23,925,019	\$	19,931,205
Sources of Net Assets							
Common shares, \$0.01 par value, unlimited number of shares							
authorized	\$ 100,134	\$	38,852	\$	17,685	\$	15,141
Additional paid-in capital	141,844,963		55,017,475		24,878,979		21,061,153
Accumulated net realized loss	(22,639,752)		(11,356,964)		(2,710,850)		(1,180,036)
Accumulated undistributed (distributions in excess of) net							
investment income	(243,780)		66,426		67,355		47,452
Net unrealized appreciation (depreciation)	(492,626)		1,966,583		1,671,850		(12,505)
Net assets applicable to common shares	\$ 118,568,939	\$	45,732,372	\$	23,925,019	\$	19,931,205
Auction Preferred Shares Issued and Outstanding							
(Liquidation preference of \$25,000 per share)	1,788(1)		1,028		543		533
Common Shares Outstanding	10,013,381		3,885,230		1,768,514		1,514,065
Net Asset Value Per Common Share Net assets applicable to common shares ÷ common shares issued							
and outstanding	\$ 11.84	\$	11.77	\$	13.53	\$	13.16

(1) Commissed of 201 Series A shares and 201 Series P shares		

35

* Represents restricted cash on deposit at the broker for open financial futures contracts.

See Notes to Financial Statements.

Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2013

Statements of Assets and Liabilities continued

				September	. 30. 3	2013		
Assets	Nev	w Jersev Fu	nd New	York Fund II		io Fund	Peni	nsylvania Fund
Investments								
Identified cost	\$ 50	0,482,341	\$	50,277,476	\$ 4	5,879,330	\$	62,833,938
Unrealized appreciation	2	2,255,708		1,283,091		2,038,005		123,764
Investments, at value	\$ 52	2,738,049	\$	51,560,567	\$ 4	7,917,335	\$	62,957,702
Cash	\$	632,629	\$	1,498,880	\$	68,883	\$	
Restricted cash*		185,000		66,000		68,000		167,000
Interest receivable		550,665		674,634		567,488		786,195
Receivable for investments sold		15,000		10,133		500,000		
Receivable for variation margin on open financial futures contracts		3,750		1,375		1,375		3,438
Deferred debt issuance costs		288		3,067				
Total assets	\$ 54	4,125,381	\$	53,814,656	\$ 4	9,123,081	\$	63,914,335
Liabilities								
Payable for floating rate notes issued	\$	225,000	\$	8,690,000	\$	1,050,000	\$	4,440,000
Due to custodian								30,013
Payable to affiliates:								
Investment adviser fee		23,978		23,569		21,713		28,224
Interest expense and fees payable		34		12,655		3,250		13,991
Accrued expenses		55,139		59,618		53,155		58,236
Total liabilities	\$	304,151	\$	8,785,842	\$	1,128,118	\$	4,570,464
Auction preferred shares at liquidation value plus cumulative	Φ 14	0 (00 421	ф	12 250 250	Φ.1	7 000 170	ф	21 525 252
unpaid dividends		9,600,431	\$	13,250,250		7,000,160	\$	21,725,273
Net assets applicable to common shares	\$ 34	4,220,799	\$	31,778,564	\$ 3	0,994,803	\$	37,618,598
Sources of Net Assets	_		_				_	
Common shares, \$0.01 par value, unlimited number of shares authorized	\$	26,089	\$	25,669	\$	25,370	\$	29,598
Additional paid-in capital		5,954,964		36,355,002		5,585,298		41,928,282
Accumulated net realized loss	(:	5,054,874)		(5,926,031)	(6,672,142)		(4,532,326)
Accumulated undistributed net investment income	,	135,116		76,108		68,664		157,467
Net unrealized appreciation		2,159,504	ø	1,247,816		1,987,613	\$	35,577
Net assets applicable to common shares	\$ 34	4,220,799	\$	31,778,564	\$ 3	0,994,803	Þ	37,618,598
Auction Preferred Shares Issued and Outstanding								
(Liquidation preference of \$25,000 per share)		784		530		680		869
Common Shares Outstanding	2	2,608,910		2,566,941		2,536,999		2,959,759
Net Asset Value Per Common Share								
Net assets applicable to common shares \div common shares issued and outstanding	\$	13.12	\$	12.38	\$	12.22	\$	12.71

* Represents restricted cash on deposit at the broker for open financial futures contracts.

36

See Notes to Financial Statements.

Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2013

Statements of Operations

	Year Ended September 30, 2013										
Investment Income	м	unicipal Fund II	Coli	ifornia Fund II	Macc	achusetts Fund	Michigan Fund				
Interest	\$	9,408,810	\$	3,675,499	\$	1,825,963	\$	1,531,782			
Total investment income	\$	9,408,810	\$	3,675,499	\$	1,825,963	\$	1,531,782			
Total investment income	Ψ	<i>></i> ,100,010	Ψ	2,072,133	Ψ	1,020,500	Ψ	1,001,702			
Expenses											
Investment adviser fee	\$	1,190,165	\$	462,910	\$	232,201	\$	193,706			
Trustees fees and expenses	Ψ	8,915	Ψ	3,776	Ψ	2,141	Ψ	1,875			
Custodian fee		105,544		51,252		34,008		31,612			
Transfer and dividend disbursing agent fees		18,182		18,131		18,106		18,151			
Legal and accounting services		124,538		47,572		40,980		35,415			
Printing and postage		21,791		10.023		7,264		7,009			
Interest expense and fees		290,299		66,898		23,435		7,007			
Preferred shares service fee		67,127		38,595		20,385		20.104			
Miscellaneous		57,498		38,595		31,973		30,287			
Total expenses	\$	1,884,059	\$	737,752	\$	410,493	\$	338,159			
Deduct	Ψ	1,004,037	Ψ	131,132	Ψ	410,473	Ψ	330,137			
Reduction of custodian fee	\$	566	\$	364	\$	322	\$	467			
Total expense reductions	\$	566	\$	364 364	\$	322	\$	467 467			
Total expense reductions	φ	300	φ	304	Ψ	322	φ	407			
Net expenses	\$	1,883,493	\$	737,388	\$	410,171	\$	337,692			
Net investment income	\$	7,525,317	\$	2,938,111	\$	1,415,792	\$	1,194,090			
Realized and Unrealized Gain (Loss)											
Net realized gain (loss)											
Investment transactions	\$	(952,952)	\$	(702,642)	\$	(97,287)	\$	376,824			
Extinguishment of debt	_	(3,141)	-	(, ,=,, ,=)	-	(***,=***)	-				
Financial futures contracts		737,918		389,889		178,439		38,916			
Net realized gain (loss)	\$	(218,175)	\$	(312,753)	\$	81,152	\$	415,740			
Change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation)		(===,===)	-	(==,:==)	•	,	-	,			
Investments	\$ ((14,832,339)	\$	(5,929,803)	\$	(4,275,440)	\$	(3,214,785)			
Financial futures contracts		(85,711)	-	(77,997)	-	(27,562)	-	(13,344)			
Net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation)	\$	(14,918,050)	\$	(6,007,800)	\$	(4,303,002)	\$	(3,228,129)			
(uepreciation)	Ψ,	(11,510,000)	Ψ	(0,007,000)	Ψ	(1,000,002)	Ψ	(0,220,122)			
Net realized and unrealized loss	\$	(15,136,225)	\$	(6,320,553)	\$	(4,221,850)	\$	(2,812,389)			
Distributions to preferred shareholders											
From net investment income	\$	(89,559)	\$	(51,075)	\$	(26,566)	\$	(25,938)			
Net decrease in net assets from operations	\$	(7,700,467)	\$	(3,433,517)	\$	(2,832,624)	\$	(1,644,237)			

Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2013

Statements of Operations continued

			Y	ear Ended Septe	embe	er 30, 2013			
Investment Income	N	ew Jersey Fund	ew Jersey Fund New York Fund II		O	hio Fund	Pennsylvania Fund		
Interest	\$	2,492,365	\$	2,371,804	\$	2,325,401	\$	2,983,420	
Total investment income	\$	2,492,365	\$	2,371,804	\$	2,325,401	\$	2,983,420	
Expenses									
Investment adviser fee	\$	321,684	\$	310,113	\$	283,468	\$	362,713	
Trustees fees and expenses		2,766		2,275		2,508		3,078	
Custodian fee		41,710		39,468		38,637		43,669	
Transfer and dividend disbursing agent fees		18,126		18,383		18,141		19,338	
Legal and accounting services		41,803		49,088		41,056		45,115	
Printing and postage		8,736		8,706		9,845		10,535	
Interest expense and fees		15,401		56,922		5,293		25,672	
Preferred shares service fee		29,434		19,896		25,528		32,624	
Miscellaneous		33,754		34,938		33,366		36,684	
Total expenses	\$	513,414	\$	539,789	\$	457,842	\$	579,428	
Deduct									
Reduction of custodian fee	\$	179	\$	352	\$	188	\$	354	
Total expense reductions	\$	179	\$	352	\$	188	\$	354	
Net expenses	\$	513,235	\$	539,437	\$	457,654	\$	579,074	
Net investment income	\$	1,979,130	\$	1,832,367	\$	1,867,747	\$	2,404,346	
Realized and Unrealized Gain (Loss)									
Net realized gain (loss)									
Investment transactions	\$	(111,556)	\$	(453,481)	\$	(252,284)	\$	(483,929)	
Extinguishment of debt	Ф	(6,266)	ф	(433,461)	Ф	(232,264)	Ф	(403,929)	
Financial futures contracts		945.637		280.403		206,506		733,039	
Net realized gain (loss)	\$	827,815	\$	(173,078)	\$	(45,778)	\$	249,110	
Change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation)	Ψ	027,013	Ψ	(173,070)	Ψ	(43,770)	Ψ	247,110	
Investments	\$	(4,717,423)	\$	(3,727,680)	\$	(3,925,920)	\$	(5,303,812)	
Financial futures contracts	Ψ	(123,606)	Ψ	(43,313)	Ψ	(56,238)	Ψ	(110,108)	
Net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation)	\$	(4,841,029)	\$	(3,770,993)	\$ ((3,982,158)	\$	(5,413,920)	
Net realized and unrealized loss	\$	(4,013,214)	\$	(3,944,071)	\$ ((4,027,936)	\$	(5,164,810)	
Distributions to preferred shareholders									
From net investment income	\$	(38,265)	\$	(25,993)	\$	(34,076)	\$	(42,842)	
Net decrease in net assets from operations	\$	(2,072,349)	\$	(2,137,697)	\$	(2,194,265)	\$	(2,803,306)	

38

See Notes to Financial Statements.

Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2013

Statements of Changes in Net Assets

				Year Ended Sep	temb	er 30, 2013		
Increase (Decrease) in Net Assets	M	unicipal Fund	II Cal	ifornia Fund II	Mas	sachusetts Fund	Mi	chigan Fund
From operations								
Net investment income	\$	7,525,317	\$	2,938,111	\$	1,415,792	\$	1,194,090
Net realized gain (loss) from investment transactions, extinguishment of								
debt and financial futures contracts		(218,175)		(312,753)		81,152		415,740
Net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) from investments and	l							
financial futures contracts		(14,918,050)		(6,007,800)		(4,303,002)		(3,228,129)
Distributions to preferred shareholders								
From net investment income		(89,559)		(51,075)		(26,566)		(25,938)
Net decrease in net assets from operations	\$	(7,700,467)	\$	(3,433,517)	\$	(2,832,624)	\$	(1,644,237)
Distributions to common shareholders								
From net investment income	\$	(7,583,773)	\$	(2,917,478)	\$	(1,391,104)	\$	(1,185,728)
Total distributions to common shareholders	\$	(7,583,773)	\$	(2,917,478)	\$	(1,391,104)	\$	(1,185,728)
Capital share transactions								
Reinvestment of distributions to common shareholders	\$	80,915	\$	20,779	\$	11,047	\$	2,212
Net increase in net assets from capital share transactions	\$	80,915	\$	20,779	\$	11,047	\$	2,212
Net decrease in net assets	\$	(15,203,325)	\$	(6,330,216)	\$	(4,212,681)	\$	(2,827,753)
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares								
At beginning of year	\$	133,772,264	\$	52,062,588	\$	28,137,700	\$	22,758,958
At end of year	\$	118,568,939	\$	45,732,372	\$	23,925,019	\$	19,931,205
Accumulated undistributed (distributions in excess of) net investment incoincluded in net assets applicable to common shares At end of year	me \$	(243.780)	\$	66.426	\$	67.355	\$	47,452
	Ψ	(= .0,.00)	Ψ	00,.20	Ψ	0.,000	Ψ	,

Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2013

Statements of Changes in Net Assets continued

			7	Year Ended Sept	em	ber 30, 2013		
Increase (Decrease) in Net Assets	Ne	w Jersey Fu	nd New	York Fund II	O	hio Fund	Peni	nsylvania Fund
From operations								
Net investment income	\$	1,979,130	\$	1,832,367	\$	1,867,747	\$	2,404,346
Net realized gain (loss) from investment transactions, extinguishment of debt								
and financial futures contracts		827,815		(173,078)		(45,778)		249,110
Net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) from investments and								
financial futures contracts	((4,841,029)		(3,770,993)		(3,982,158)		(5,413,920)
Distributions to preferred shareholders								
From net investment income		(38,265)		(25,993)		(34,076)		(42,842)
Net decrease in net assets from operations	\$ ((2,072,349)	\$	(2,137,697)	\$	(2,194,265)	\$	(2,803,306)
Distributions to common shareholders								
From net investment income	\$ ((1,895,291)	\$	(1,763,312)	\$	(1,824,719)	\$	(2,386,340)
Total distributions to common shareholders	\$ ((1,895,291)	\$	(1,763,312)	\$	(1,824,719)	\$	(2,386,340)
Capital share transactions								
Reinvestment of distributions to common shareholders	\$	48,477	\$	10,514	\$	28,917	\$	17,233
Net increase in net assets from capital share transactions	\$	48,477	\$	10,514	\$	28,917	\$	17,233
Net decrease in net assets	\$ ((3,919,163)	\$	(3,890,495)	\$	(3,990,067)	\$	(5,172,413)
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares								
At beginning of year	\$ 3	88,139,962	\$	35,669,059	\$	34,984,870	\$	42,791,011
At end of year	\$ 3	34,220,799	\$	31,778,564	\$	30,994,803	\$	37,618,598
•		, ,		, ,		, ,		, ,
Accumulated undistributed net investment income								
included in net assets applicable to common shares								
At end of year	\$	135,116	\$	76,108	\$	68,664	\$	157,467

Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2013

Statements of Changes in Net Assets continued

				Year Ended Sep	temb	er 30, 2012				
Increase (Decrease) in Net Assets	M	lunicipal Fund	II Cal	ifornia Fund II	Mas	sachusetts Fund	nd Michigan Fund			
From operations		-								
Net investment income	\$	7,777,620	\$	3,018,762	\$	1,450,031	\$	1,304,791		
Net realized loss from investment transactions, extinguishment of debt,										
financial futures contracts and swap contracts		(292,597)		(926,700)		(321,765)		(183,596)		
Net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) from investments,										
financial futures contracts and swap contracts		14,600,537		7,550,416		3,360,601		1,765,094		
Distributions to preferred shareholders										
From net investment income		(108,522)		(62,425)		(32,805)		(32,152)		
Net increase in net assets from operations	\$	21,977,038	\$	9,580,053	\$	4,456,062	\$	2,854,137		
Distributions to common shareholders										
From net investment income	\$	(8,740,049)	\$	(3,080,987)	\$	(1,483,981)	\$	(1,345,340)		
Total distributions to common shareholders	\$	(8,740,049)	\$	(3,080,987)	\$	(1,483,981)	\$	(1,345,340)		
Capital share transactions										
Reinvestment of distributions to common shareholders	\$	227,531	\$	28,782	\$	31,194	\$	17,365		
Net increase in net assets from capital share transactions	\$	227,531	\$	28,782	\$	31,194	\$	17,365		
Net increase in net assets	\$	13,464,520	\$	6,527,848	\$	3,003,275	\$	1,526,162		
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares										
At beginning of year	\$	120,307,744	\$	45,534,740	\$	25,134,425	\$	21,232,796		
At end of year	\$	133,772,264	\$	52,062,588	\$	28,137,700	\$	22,758,958		
Accumulated undistributed (distributions in excess of) net investment inco	me									
included in net assets applicable to common shares At end of year	\$	(83,533)	\$	109,944	\$	76,021	\$	62,300		
At end of year	Ф	(03,333)	Φ.	109,944	Φ	70,021	Φ	02,300		

41

Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2013

Statements of Changes in Net Assets continued

			•	Year Ended Sept	tem	ber 30, 2012		
Increase (Decrease) in Net Assets	N	ew Jersey Fu	nd New	York Fund II	O	hio Fund	Peni	nsylvania Fund
From operations								
Net investment income	\$	2,131,601	\$	1,987,744	\$	1,929,229	\$	2,450,492
Net realized gain (loss) from investment transactions, financial futures								
contracts and swap contracts		(1,190,400)		308,506		(529,906)		(926,534)
Net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) from investments,								
financial futures contracts and swap contracts		5,016,215		2,670,692		4,605,768		4,893,045
Distributions to preferred shareholders								
From net investment income		(48,133)		(32,137)		(41,252)		(52,771)
Net increase in net assets from operations	\$	5,909,283	\$	4,934,805	\$	5,963,839	\$	6,364,232
Distributions to common shareholders								
From net investment income	\$	(2,110,164)	\$	(2,011,158)	\$	(1,955,405)	\$	(2,581,543)
Total distributions to common shareholders	\$	(2,110,164)	\$	(2,011,158)	\$	(1,955,405)	\$	(2,581,543)
Capital share transactions								
Reinvestment of distributions to common shareholders	\$	154,738	\$	28,780	\$	54,834	\$	36,125
Net increase in net assets from capital share transactions	\$	154,738	\$	28,780	\$	54,834	\$	36,125
Net increase in net assets	\$	3,953,857	\$	2,952,427	\$	4,063,268	\$	3,818,814
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares								
At beginning of year	\$	34,186,105	\$	32,716,632	\$	30,921,602	\$	38,972,197
At end of year	\$	38,139,962	\$	35,669,059	\$	34,984,870	\$	42,791,011
Accumulated undistributed net investment income								
included in net assets applicable to common shares								
At end of year	\$	91,457	\$	48,532	\$	102,076	\$	204,908

Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2013

Statements of Cash Flows*

	Yea	ır Ende	ed September 30,	2013	
Cash Flows From Operating Activities	Municipal Fund II	Cali	ifornia Fund II	New	York Fund II
Net decrease in net assets from operations	\$ (7,700,467)	\$	(3,433,517)	\$	(2,137,697)
Distributions to preferred shareholders	89,559		51,075		25,993
Net decrease in net assets from operations excluding distributions to preferred					
shareholders	\$ (7,610,908)	\$	(3,382,442)	\$	(2,111,704)
Adjustments to reconcile net decrease in net assets from operations to net cash provided	l				
by operating activities:					
Investments purchased	(14,859,122)		(5,922,980)		(7,351,888)
Investments sold	15,737,388		8,173,375		8,834,195
Net amortization/accretion of premium (discount)	(602,137)		(392,764)		(58,184)
Amortization of deferred debt issuance costs	8,544		2,504		1,117
Decrease in restricted cash	43,000		25,000		14,000
Decrease in interest receivable	10,674		18,419		54,330
Decrease in receivable for variation margin on open financial futures contracts	3,625		3,390		1,375
Decrease in receivable from the transfer agent	15,509		3,457		2,585
Decrease in payable to affiliate for investment adviser fee	(9,188)		(3,197)		(1,736)
Decrease in interest expense and fees payable	(11,370)		(2,428)		(6,304)
Decrease in accrued expenses	(3,072)		(1,929)		(441)
Net change in unrealized (appreciation) depreciation from investments	14,832,339		5,929,803		3,727,680
Net realized loss from investments	952,952		702,642		453,481
Net realized loss on extinguishment of debt	3,141		,		,
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 8,511,375	\$	5,152,850	\$	3,558,506
Cash Flows From Financing Activities					
Distributions paid to common shareholders, net of reinvestments	\$ (7,502,858)	\$	(2,896,699)	\$	(1,752,798)
Cash distributions paid to preferred shareholders	(91,172)		(51,442)		(26,240)
Proceeds from secured borrowings	(4.020.000)				1,880,000
Repayment of secured borrowings	(1,020,000)				(2,065,000)
Increase (decrease) in due to custodian	23,111		(81,896)		(95,588)
Net cash used in financing activities	\$ (8,590,919)	\$	(3,030,037)	\$	(2,059,626)
Net increase (decrease) in cash	\$ (79,544)	\$	2,122,813	\$	1,498,880
Cash at beginning of year	\$ 79,544	\$		\$	
Cash at end of year	\$	\$	2,122,813	\$	1,498,880
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:					
Noncash financing activities not included herein consist of:					
Reinvestment of dividends and distributions	\$ 80,915	\$	20,779	\$	10,514
Cash paid for interest and fees	293,125	Ψ	66,822	Ψ	62,109

^{*} Statement of Cash Flows is not required for Massachusetts Fund, Michigan Fund, New Jersey Fund, Ohio Fund and Pennsylvania Fund.

Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2013

Financial Highlights

					•	al Fund II September	30,			
	:	2013		2012		2011		2010		2009
Net asset value Beginning of year (Common shares)	\$	13.370	\$	12.040	\$	12.720	\$	12.880	\$	11.030
Income (Loss) From Operations										
Net investment income ⁽¹⁾	\$	0.752	\$	0.778	\$	0.929	\$	0.961	\$	0.943
Net realized and unrealized gain (loss)		(1.516)		1.437		(0.638)		(0.164)		1.813
Distributions to preferred shareholders ⁽¹⁾										
From net investment income		(0.009)		(0.011)		(0.015)		(0.018)		(0.058)
Total income (loss) from operations	\$	(0.773)	\$	2.204	\$	0.276	\$	0.779	\$	2.698
Less Distributions to Common Shareholders										
From net investment income	\$	(0.757)	\$	(0.874)	\$	(0.956)	\$	(0.939)	\$	(0.848)
Total distributions to common shareholders	\$	(0.757)	\$	(0.874)	\$	(0.956)	\$	(0.939)	\$	(0.848)
Net asset value End of year (Common shares)	\$	11.840	\$	13.370	\$	12.040	\$	12.720	\$	12.880
Market value End of year (Common shares)	\$	11.200	\$	13.880	\$	13.280	\$	14.010	\$	13.370
Total Investment Return on Net Asset Value ⁽²⁾		(5.83)%		18.56%		2.45%		6.26%		26.08%
Total Investment Return on Market Value(2)		(14.20)%		11.59%		2.60%		12.78%		23.88%
Total Investment Retain on Market Value		(11120) /6		11.00 %		2.00 /6		12.70 %		20.00 %
Ratios/Supplemental Data										
Net assets applicable to common shares, end of year (000 s omitted)	\$ 1	18,569	\$	133,772	\$	120,308	\$	126,814	\$	128,150
Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets applicable to common shares): ⁽³⁾										
Expenses excluding interest and fees		1.23%		1.37%		1.50%		1.22%		1.28%
Interest and fee expense ⁽⁴⁾		0.23%		0.28%		0.35%		0.38%		0.87%
Total expenses before custodian fee reduction		1.46%		1.65%		1.85%		1.60%		2.15%
Expenses after custodian fee reduction excluding interest and fees		1.23%		1.37%		1.49%		1.22%		1.27%
Net investment income		5.83%		6.14%		8.23%		7.86%		9.05%
Portfolio Turnover		7%		16%		12%		13%		22%
The ratios reported above are based on net assets applicable to common shares	s. The	ratios based o	n net	assets, inclu	ıdin	g amounts re	late	d to preferre	ed s	hares,
are as follows:										
Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets applicable to common share and preferred shares):(3)	es									
Expenses excluding interest and fees		0.91%		1.02%		1.07%		0.89%		0.89%
Interest and fee expense ⁽⁴⁾		0.17%		0.20%		0.25%		0.28%		0.61%
Total expenses before custodian fee reduction		1.08%		1.22%		1.32%		1.17%		1.50%
Expenses after custodian fee reduction excluding interest and fees		0.91%		1.02%		1.07%		0.89%		0.89%
Net investment income		4.33%		4.54%		5.89%		5.75%		6.32%
Senior Securities:										
Total preferred shares outstanding	4	1,788	+	1,788	+	1,788		1,788		1,788
Asset coverage per preferred share ⁽⁵⁾		91,314		99,818		92,287		95,926		96,674
Involuntary liquidation preference per preferred share ⁽⁶⁾		25,000	\$	25,000		25,000		25,000	\$	25,000
Approximate market value per preferred share ⁽⁶⁾	\$	25,000	\$	25,000	\$	25,000	\$	25,000	\$	25,000

(1)	Computed using average common shares outstanding.
(2)	Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or market value with all distributions reinvested. Distributions are assumed to be reinvested at prices obtained under the Fund s dividend reinvestment plan.
(3)	Ratios do not reflect the effect of dividend payments to preferred shareholders.
(4)	Interest and fee expense relates to the liability for floating rate notes issued in conjunction with residual interest bond transactions (see Note 1H).
(5)	Calculated by subtracting the Fund s total liabilities (not including the preferred shares) from the Fund s total assets, and dividing the result by the number of preferred shares outstanding.
(6)	Plus accumulated and unpaid dividends.
	44 See Notes to Financial Statements

Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2013

Financial Highlights continued

	California Fund II Year Ended September 30,				
Net asset value Beginning of year (Common shares)	2013 \$ 13.410	2012 \$ 11.730	2011 \$ 12.520	2010 \$ 12.940	2009 \$ 11.310
Income (Loss) From Operations Net investment income ⁽¹⁾ Net realized and unrealized gain (loss)	\$ 0.756 (1.632)	\$ 0.777 1.712	\$ 0.855 (0.761)	\$ 0.898 (0.433)	\$ 0.877 1.601
Distributions to preferred shareholders ⁽¹⁾ From net investment income	(0.013)	(0.016)	(0.023)	(0.027)	(0.084)
Total income (loss) from operations	\$ (0.889)	\$ 2.473	\$ 0.071	\$ 0.438	\$ 2.394
Less Distributions to Common Shareholders From net investment income Total distributions to common shareholders	\$ (0.751) \$ (0.751)	\$ (0.793) \$ (0.793)	\$ (0.861) \$ (0.861)	\$ (0.858) \$ (0.858)	\$ (0.764) \$ (0.764)
Net asset value	\$ 11.770	\$ 13.410	\$ 11.730	\$ 12.520	\$ 12.940
Market value End of year (Common shares)	\$ 11.260	\$ 13.630	\$ 12.260	\$ 13.250	\$ 12.500
Total Investment Return on Net Asset Value ⁽²⁾	(6.75)%	21.62%	1.31%	3.93%	23.06%
Total Investment Return on Market Value ⁽²⁾	(12.29)%	18.36%	0.06%	13.86%	31.17%
Ratios/Supplemental Data Net assets applicable to common shares, end of year (000 s omitted) Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets applicable to common shares): ⁽³⁾	\$ 45,732	\$ 52,063	\$ 45,535	\$ 48,529	\$ 50,080
Expenses excluding interest and fees Interest and fee expense ⁽⁴⁾ Total expenses before custodian fee reduction	1.34% 0.13% 1.47%	1.36% 0.14% 1.50%	1.47% 0.15% 1.62%	1.39% 0.16% 1.55%	1.51% 0.37% 1.88%
Expenses after custodian fee reduction excluding interest and fees Net investment income Portfolio Turnover	1.34% 5.84% 7%	1.36% 6.16% 15%	1.47% 7.75% 34%	1.38% 7.47% 17%	1.50% 8.23% 17%
	net assets applicable to common shares. The ratios based on net assets, including amounts related to preferred shares,				
Expenses excluding interest and fees Interest and fee expense ⁽⁴⁾ Total expenses before custodian fee reduction Expenses after custodian fee reduction excluding interest and fees	0.88% 0.09% 0.97% 0.88%	0.89% 0.09% 0.98% 0.89%	0.92% 0.09% 1.01% 0.92%	0.89% 0.11% 1.00% 0.89%	0.93% 0.23% 1.16% 0.93%
Net investment income Senior Securities: Total preferred shares outstanding	3.86% 1,028	1,028	1,028	4.81% 1,028	5.07% 1,028
Asset coverage per preferred share ⁽⁵⁾ Involuntary liquidation preference per preferred share ⁽⁶⁾ Approximate market value per preferred share ⁽⁶⁾	\$ 69,487 \$ 25,000 \$ 25,000	\$ 75,645 \$ 25,000 \$ 25,000	\$ 69,295 \$ 25,000 \$ 25,000	\$ 72,208 \$ 25,000 \$ 25,000	\$ 73,719 \$ 25,000 \$ 25,000

(1)	Computed using average common shares outstanding.
(2)	Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or market value with all distributions reinvested. Distributions are assumed to be reinvested at prices obtained under the Fund s dividend reinvestment plan.
(3)	Ratios do not reflect the effect of dividend payments to preferred shareholders.
(4)	Interest and fee expense relates to the liability for floating rate notes issued in conjunction with residual interest bond transactions (see Note 1H).
(5)	Calculated by subtracting the Fund s total liabilities (not including the preferred shares) from the Fund s total assets, and dividing the result by the number of preferred shares outstanding.
(6)	Plus accumulated and unpaid dividends.
	45 See Notes to Financial Statement.

Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2013

Financial Highlights continued

	Massachusetts Fund Year Ended September 30,				
Net asset value Beginning of year (Common shares)	2013 \$ 15.920	2012 \$ 14.230	2011 \$ 14.710	2010 \$ 14.660	2009 \$ 12.130
Income (Loss) From Operations Net investment income(1) Net realized and unrealized gain (loss) Distributions to preferred shareholders(1)	\$ 0.801 (2.389)	\$ 0.821 1.728	\$ 0.876 (0.490)	\$ 0.882 0.036	\$ 0.901 2.486
From net investment income	(0.015)	(0.019)	(0.026)	(0.031)	(0.099)
Total income (loss) from operations	\$ (1.603)	\$ 2.530	\$ 0.360	\$ 0.887	\$ 3.288
Less Distributions to Common Shareholders From net investment income Total distributions to common shareholders	\$ (0.787) \$ (0.787)	\$ (0.840) \$ (0.840)	\$ (0.840) \$ (0.840)	\$ (0.837) \$ (0.837)	\$ (0.758) \$ (0.758)
Net asset value End of year (Common shares)	\$ 13.530	\$ 15.920	\$ 14.230	\$ 14.710	\$ 14.660
Market value End of year (Common shares)	\$ 12.510	\$ 16.510	\$ 14.320	\$ 15.160	\$ 15.250
Total Investment Return on Net Asset Value ⁽²⁾	(10.28)%	18.26%	3.06%	6.43%	28.42%
Total Investment Return on Market Value ⁽²⁾	(20.01)%	21.87%	0.64%	5.44%	17.59%
Ratios/Supplemental Data Net assets applicable to common shares, end of year (000 s omitted) Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets applicable to common shares): ⁽³⁾	\$ 23,925	\$ 28,138	\$ 25,134	\$ 25,920	\$ 25,771
Expenses excluding interest and fees	1.45%	1.46%	1.54%	1.45%	1.69%
Interest and fee expense ⁽⁴⁾ Total expenses before custodian fee reduction	0.09% 1.54%	0.09% 1.55%	0.11% 1.65%	0.09% 1.54%	0.23% 1.92%
Expenses after custodian fee reduction excluding interest and fees	1.45%	1.46%	1.54%	1.45%	1.68%
Net investment income	5.31%	5.44%	6.60%	6.29%	7.41%
Portfolio Turnover	3%	2%	27%	27%	43%
The ratios reported above are based on net assets applicable to common shares. The rate as follows: Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets applicable to common shares and preferred shares): ⁽³⁾	atios based on net	assets, includin	g amounts rela	ed to preferred	l shares,
Expenses excluding interest and fees	0.96%	0.97%	0.97%	0.94%	1.03%
Interest and fee expense ⁽⁴⁾	0.06%	0.06%	0.07%	0.05%	0.14%
Total expenses before custodian fee reduction	1.02%	1.03%	1.04%	0.99%	1.17%
Expenses after custodian fee reduction excluding interest and fees	0.96%	0.97%	0.97%	0.94%	1.03%
Net investment income	3.52%	3.61%	4.18%	4.06%	4.53%
Senior Securities:	7.10	~ 10	~ 10	~	
Total preferred shares outstanding	543	543	543	543	543
Asset coverage per preferred share ⁽⁵⁾	\$ 69,061	\$ 76,820	\$ 71,288	\$ 72,737	\$ 72,462
Involuntary liquidation preference per preferred share ⁽⁶⁾	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000
Approximate market value per preferred share ⁽⁶⁾	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000

(1)	Computed using average common shares outstanding.
(2)	Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or market value with all distributions reinvested. Distributions are assumed to be reinvested at prices obtained under the Fund s dividend reinvestment plan.
(3)	Ratios do not reflect the effect of dividend payments to preferred shareholders.
(4)	Interest and fee expense relates to the liability for floating rate notes issued in conjunction with residual interest bond transactions (see Note 1H).
(5)	Calculated by subtracting the Fund s total liabilities (not including the preferred shares) from the Fund s total assets, and dividing the result by the number of preferred shares outstanding.
(6)	Plus accumulated and unpaid dividends.
	46 See Notes to Financial Statement.

Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2013

Financial Highlights continued

	Michigan Fund Year Ended September 30,				
Net asset value Beginning of year (Common shares)	2013 \$ 15.030	2012 \$ 14.040	2011 \$ 14.540	2010 \$ 14.730	2009 \$ 12.570
Income (Loss) From Operations Net investment income ⁽¹⁾ Net realized and unrealized gain (loss) Distributions to preferred shareholders ⁽¹⁾	\$ 0.789 (1.859)	\$ 0.862 1.038	\$ 0.913 (0.496)	\$ 0.928 (0.208)	\$ 0.925 2.110
From net investment income	(0.017)	(0.021)	(0.030)	(0.036)	(0.113)
Total income (loss) from operations	\$ (1.087)	\$ 1.879	\$ 0.387	\$ 0.684	\$ 2.922
Less Distributions to Common Shareholders From net investment income	\$ (0.783)	\$ (0.889)	\$ (0.887)	\$ (0.874)	\$ (0.762)
Total distributions to common shareholders	\$ (0.783)	\$ (0.889)	\$ (0.887)	\$ (0.874)	\$ (0.762)
Net asset value End of year (Common shares)	\$ 13.160	\$ 15.030	\$ 14.040	\$ 14.540	\$ 14.730
Market value End of year (Common shares)	\$ 11.790	\$ 16.000	\$ 13.610	\$ 14.430	\$ 13.900
Total Investment Return on Net Asset Value ⁽²⁾	(7.29)%	13.69%	3.25%	5.16%	25.29%
Total Investment Return on Market Value ⁽²⁾	(21.98)%	24.85%	0.85%	10.60%	42.90%
Ratios/Supplemental Data Net assets applicable to common shares, end of year (000 s omitted) Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets applicable to common shares): ⁽³⁾	\$ 19,931	\$ 22,759	\$ 21,233	\$ 21,985	\$ 22,276
Expenses before custodian fee reduction	1.55%	1.54%	1.58%	1.49%	1.70%
Expenses after custodian fee reduction	1.55%	1.54%	1.58%	1.49%	1.69%
Net investment income	5.46%	5.90%	6.76% 5%	6.55% 2%	7.30%
Portfolio Turnover The ratios reported above are based on net assets applicable to common shares. The ratios reported above are based on net assets applicable to common shares.	29% atios based on net	19%			9% Lichares
are as follows: Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets applicable to common shares and preferred shares):(3)	atios based on her	assets, meruum	g amounts relai	ed to preferred	i silaics,
Expenses before custodian fee reduction	0.96%	0.96%	0.96%	0.92%	1.00%
Expenses after custodian fee reduction	0.96%	0.96%	0.96%	0.92%	1.00%
Net investment income	3.39%	3.68%	4.09%	4.04%	4.30%
Senior Securities:	522	522	522	522	522
Total preferred shares outstanding Asset coverage per preferred share ⁽⁴⁾	533 \$ 62,395	533 \$ 67,701	533 \$ 64,837	533 \$ 66,248	533 \$ 66,794
Involuntary liquidation preference per preferred share ⁽⁵⁾	\$ 02,393	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 00,794
Approximate market value per preferred share ⁽⁵⁾	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000

⁽¹⁾ Computed using average common shares outstanding.

	47 See Notes to Financial Statements.
(5)	Plus accumulated and unpaid dividends.
(4)	Calculated by subtracting the Fund s total liabilities (not including the preferred shares) from the Fund s total assets, and dividing the result by the number of preferred shares outstanding.
(3)	Ratios do not reflect the effect of dividend payments to preferred shareholders.
(2)	Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or market value with all distributions reinvested. Distributions are assumed to be reinvested at prices obtained under the Fund s dividend reinvestment plan.

Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2013

Financial Highlights continued

	New Jersey Fund Year Ended September 30,				
	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
Net asset value Beginning of year (Common shares)	\$ 14.640	\$ 13.180	\$ 14.410	\$ 14.620	\$ 11.980
Income (Loss) From Operations					
Net investment income ⁽¹⁾	\$ 0.759	\$ 0.820	\$ 0.895	\$ 0.943	\$ 0.926
Net realized and unrealized gain (loss)	(1.537)	1.471	(1.179)	(0.207)	2.740
Distributions to preferred shareholders ⁽¹⁾			, ,	, , ,	
From net investment income	(0.015)	(0.019)	(0.026)	(0.031)	(0.088)
From net realized gain					(0.016)
Total income (loss) from operations	\$ (0.793)	\$ 2.272	\$ (0.310)	\$ 0.705	\$ 3.562
Less Distributions to Common Shareholders					
From net investment income	\$ (0.727)	\$ (0.812)	\$ (0.920)	\$ (0.915)	\$ (0.819)
From net realized gain			, ,	,	(0.103)
Total distributions to common shareholders	\$ (0.727)	\$ (0.812)	\$ (0.920)	\$ (0.915)	\$ (0.922)
Net asset value End of year (Common shares)	\$ 13.120	\$ 14.640	\$ 13.180	\$ 14.410	\$ 14.620
Market value End of year (Common shares)	\$ 11.730	\$ 15.090	\$ 13.370	\$ 15.350	\$ 14.730
Total Investment Return on Net Asset Value ⁽²⁾	(5.48)%	17.69%	(1.80)%	5.10%	31.84%
Total Investment Return on Market Value ⁽²⁾	(18.01)%	19.58%	(6.49)%	11.12%	33.95%
Ratios/Supplemental Data					
Net assets applicable to common shares, end of year (000 s omitted)	\$ 34,221	\$ 38,140	\$ 34,186	\$ 37,222	\$ 37,628
Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets applicable to common shares):(3)	,	,,	, , , , ,	, , ,	, .
Expenses excluding interest and fees	1.35%	1.39%	1.42%	1.36%	1.53%
Interest and fee expense ⁽⁴⁾	0.04%	0.12%	0.15%	0.17%	0.46%
Total expenses before custodian fee reduction	1.39%	1.51%	1.57%	1.53%	1.99%
Expenses after custodian fee reduction excluding interest and fees	1.35%	1.39%	1.41%	1.36%	1.52%
Net investment income	5.35%	5.87%	6.96%	6.79%	7.81%
Portfolio Turnover	11%	16%	4%	8%	39%
The ratios reported above are based on net assets applicable to common shares. The r	atios based on ne	t assets, includii	ng amounts relat	ed to preferred	l shares,
are as follows:					
Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets applicable to common shares and					
preferred shares): ⁽³⁾					
Expenses excluding interest and fees	0.88%	0.90%	0.90%	0.88%	0.93%
Interest and fee expense ⁽⁴⁾	0.03%	0.08%	0.09%	0.11%	0.28%
Total expenses before custodian fee reduction	0.91%	0.98%	0.99%	0.99%	1.21%
Expenses after custodian fee reduction excluding interest and fees	0.88%	0.90%	0.89%	0.88%	0.92%
Net investment income	3.50%	3.81%	4.38%	4.39%	4.75%
Senior Securities:					
Total preferred shares outstanding	784	784	784	784	784
Asset coverage per preferred share ⁽⁵⁾	\$ 68,650	\$ 73,649	\$ 68,605	\$ 72,478	\$ 72,996
Involuntary liquidation preference per preferred share ⁽⁶⁾	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000

Αŗ	pproximate market value per preferred share ⁽⁶⁾	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000
(1)	Computed using average common shares outstanding.					
(2)	Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in neare assumed to be reinvested at prices obtained under the Fund s dividend reinvested		market value w	ith all distributio	ons reinvested.	Distributions
(3)	Ratios do not reflect the effect of dividend payments to preferred shareholders.					
(4)	Interest and fee expense relates to the liability for floating rate notes issued in conju	unction with resid	ual interest bor	nd transactions (s	see Note 1H).	
(5)	Calculated by subtracting the Fund s total liabilities (not including the preferred sh preferred shares outstanding.	nares) from the Fu	and s total asse	ts, and dividing	the result by the	he number of
(6)	Plus accumulated and unpaid dividends.					

48

See Notes to Financial Statements.

Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2013

Financial Highlights continued

	New York Fund II Year Ended September 30,				
No. 1 District (Co. 1)	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
Net asset value Beginning of year (Common shares)	\$ 13.900	\$ 12.760	\$ 13.400	\$ 13.620	\$ 11.530
Income (Loss) From Operations					
Net investment income ⁽¹⁾	\$ 0.714	\$ 0.775	\$ 0.868	\$ 0.847	\$ 0.857
Net realized and unrealized gain (loss)	(1.537)	1.162	(0.621)	(0.167)	2.087
Distributions to preferred shareholders ⁽¹⁾ From net investment income	(0.010)	(0.013)	(0.018)	(0.021)	(0.066)
	` /	` /	, ,	` ′	` ′
Total income (loss) from operations	\$ (0.833)	\$ 1.924	\$ 0.229	\$ 0.659	\$ 2.878
Less Distributions to Common Shareholders					
From net investment income	\$ (0.687)	\$ (0.784)	\$ (0.869)	\$ (0.879)	\$ (0.788)
Total distributions to common shareholders	\$ (0.687)	\$ (0.784)	\$ (0.869)	\$ (0.879)	\$ (0.788)
Net asset value End of year (Common shares)	\$ 12.380	\$ 13.900	\$ 12.760	\$ 13.400	\$ 13.620
Market value End of year (Common shares)	\$ 11.120	\$ 13.970	\$ 12.890	\$ 14.000	\$ 13.610
Total Investment Return on Net Asset Value ⁽²⁾	(6.01)%	15.47%	2.16%	5.20%	26.71%
Total Investment Return on Market Value ⁽²⁾	(16.01)%	14.89%	(1.21)%	9.99%	37.98%
Ratios/Supplemental Data					
Net assets applicable to common shares, end of year (000 s omitted)	\$ 31,779	\$ 35,669	\$ 32,717	\$ 34,328	\$ 34,847
Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets applicable to common shares):(3)					
Expenses excluding interest and fees	1.40%	1.42%	1.47%	1.41%	1.51%
Interest and fee expense ⁽⁴⁾	0.17%	0.22%	0.28%	0.28%	0.63%
Total expenses before custodian fee reduction Expenses after custodian fee reduction excluding interest and fees	1.57% 1.40%	1.64% 1.42%	1.75% 1.46%	1.69% 1.41%	2.14% 1.50%
Net investment income	5.33%	5.80%	7.07%	6.49%	7.67%
Portfolio Turnover	14%	18%	17%	13%	30%
The ratios reported above are based on net assets applicable to common shares. The ratios reported above are based on net assets applicable to common shares.	atios based on ne	t assets, includi	ng amounts rela	ted to preferred	d shares,
are as follows:					
Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets applicable to common shares and					
preferred shares): ⁽³⁾	101~	4.000	1.020	4.04.00	1.02%
Expenses excluding interest and fees	1.01%	1.02%	1.03%	1.01%	1.03%
Interest and fee expense ⁽⁴⁾ Total expenses before custodian fee reduction	0.12% 1.13%	0.16% 1.18%	0.20% 1.23%	0.20% 1.21%	0.43% 1.46%
Expenses after custodian fee reduction excluding interest and fees	1.13%	1.18%	1.02%	1.21%	1.40%
Net investment income	3.85%	4.18%	4.98%	4.65%	5.24%
Senior Securities:	3.03 %	4.1076	4.20%	4.03 /6	3.2470
Total preferred shares outstanding	530	530	530	530	530
Asset coverage per preferred share ⁽⁵⁾	\$ 84,960	\$ 92,301	\$ 86,730	\$ 89,770	\$ 90,749
Involuntary liquidation preference per preferred share ⁽⁶⁾	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000
Approximate market value per preferred share ⁽⁶⁾	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000

(1)	Computed using average common shares outstanding.
(2)	Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or market value with all distributions reinvested. Distributions are assumed to be reinvested at prices obtained under the Fund s dividend reinvestment plan.
(3)	Ratios do not reflect the effect of dividend payments to preferred shareholders.
(4)	Interest and fee expense relates to the liability for floating rate notes issued in conjunction with residual interest bond transactions (see Note 1H).
(5)	Calculated by subtracting the Fund s total liabilities (not including the preferred shares) from the Fund s total assets, and dividing the result by the number of preferred shares outstanding.
(6)	Plus accumulated and unpaid dividends.
	49 See Notes to Financial Statement.

Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2013

Financial Highlights continued

			Ohio Fund ded September	30,	
	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
Net asset value Beginning of year (Common shares)	\$ 13.800	\$ 12.220	\$ 12.960	\$ 12.980	\$ 11.330
Income (Loss) From Operations					
Net investment income ⁽¹⁾	\$ 0.736	\$ 0.762	\$ 0.814	\$ 0.828	\$ 0.846
Net realized and unrealized gain (loss) Distributions to preferred shareholders ⁽¹⁾	(1.584)	1.606	(0.759)	(0.058)	1.592
From net investment income	(0.013)	(0.016)	(0.023)	(0.028)	(0.101)
Total income (loss) from operations	\$ (0.861)	\$ 2.352	\$ 0.032	\$ 0.742	\$ 2.337
•					
Less Distributions to Common Shareholders From net investment income	\$ (0.719)	\$ (0.772)	\$ (0.772)	\$ (0.762)	\$ (0.687)
			/		,
Total distributions to common shareholders	\$ (0.719)	\$ (0.772)	\$ (0.772)	\$ (0.762)	\$ (0.687)
Net asset value End of year (Common shares)	\$ 12.220	\$ 13.800	\$ 12.220	\$ 12.960	\$ 12.980
Market value End of year (Common shares)	\$ 11.380	\$ 15.200	\$ 12.780	\$ 14.100	\$ 13.250
Total Investment Return on Net Asset Value ⁽²⁾	(6.46)%	19.50%	0.65%	6.04%	22.05%
Total Investment Return on Market Value ⁽²⁾	(20.91)%	25.85%	(3.25)%	13.01%	25.48%
Ratios/Supplemental Data					
Net assets applicable to common shares, end of year (000 s omitted)	\$ 30,995	\$ 34,985	\$ 30,922	\$ 32,726	\$ 32,710
Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets applicable to common shares):(3)					
Expenses excluding interest and fees ⁽⁴⁾	1.33%	1.35%	1.42%	1.36%	1.57%
Interest and fee expense ⁽⁵⁾	0.02%	0.01%	0.02%	0.02%	0.10%
Total expenses	1.35%	1.36%	1.44%	1.38%	1.67%
Net investment income	5.51%	5.83%	6.98%	6.61%	7.87%
Portfolio Turnover The ratios reported above are based on net assets applicable to common shares. The r	12%	12%	10%	11%	18%
are as follows:	atios based on he	t assets, iliciudii	ing announts rela	ied to preferred	i siiaies,
Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets applicable to common shares and					
preferred shares):(3)					
Expenses excluding interest and fees ⁽⁴⁾	0.89%	0.89%	0.90%	0.88%	0.95%
Interest and fee expense ⁽⁵⁾	0.01%	0.01%	0.01%	0.01%	0.06%
Total expenses	0.90%	0.90%	0.91%	0.89%	1.01%
Net investment income	3.67%	3.85%	4.43%	4.30%	4.77%
Senior Securities:					
Total preferred shares outstanding	680	680	680	680	680
Asset coverage per preferred share ⁽⁶⁾	\$ 70,581	\$ 76,450	\$ 70,474	\$ 73,128	\$ 73,104
Involuntary liquidation preference per preferred share ⁽⁷⁾	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000
Approximate market value per preferred share ⁽⁷⁾	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000

(1)	Computed using average common shares outstanding.
(2)	Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or market value with all distributions reinvested. Distributions are assumed to be reinvested at prices obtained under the Fund s dividend reinvestment plan.
(3)	Ratios do not reflect the effect of dividend payments to preferred shareholders.
(4)	Excludes the effect of custody fee credits, if any, of less than 0.005%.
(5)	Interest and fee expense relates to the liability for floating rate notes issued in conjunction with residual interest bond transactions (see Note 1H).
(6)	Calculated by subtracting the Fund s total liabilities (not including the preferred shares) from the Fund s total assets, and dividing the result by the number of preferred shares outstanding.
(7)	Plus accumulated and unpaid dividends.
	See Notes to Financial Statement.

Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2013

Financial Highlights continued

	Pennsylvania Fund Year Ended September 30,				
	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
Net asset value Beginning of year (Common shares)	\$ 14.460	\$ 13.180	\$ 13.640	\$ 13.900	\$ 12.030
Income (Loss) From Operations					
Net investment income ⁽¹⁾	\$ 0.812	\$ 0.829	\$ 0.893	\$ 0.878	\$ 0.889
Net realized and unrealized gain (loss)	(1.742)	1.342	(0.460)	(0.270)	2.123
Distributions to preferred shareholders ⁽¹⁾	(0.014)	(0.010)	(0.025)	(0.020)	(0.071)
From net investment income From net realized gain	(0.014)	(0.018)	(0.025)	(0.030)	(0.071) (0.045)
Total income (loss) from operations	\$ (0.944)	\$ 2.153	\$ 0.408	\$ 0.578	\$ 2.896
Less Distributions to Common Shareholders					
From net investment income	\$ (0.806)	\$ (0.873)	\$ (0.868)	\$ (0.838)	\$ (0.753)
From net realized gain					(0.273)
Total distributions to common shareholders	\$ (0.806)	\$ (0.873)	\$ (0.868)	\$ (0.838)	\$ (1.026)
Net asset value End of year (Common shares)	\$ 12.710	\$ 14.460	\$ 13.180	\$ 13.640	\$ 13.900
Market value End of year (Common shares)	\$ 11.590	\$ 15.780	\$ 13.030	\$ 14.230	\$ 14.600
Total Investment Return on Net Asset Value ⁽²⁾	(6.69)%	16.76%	3.63%	4.53%	27.36%
Total Investment Return on Market Value ⁽²⁾	(22.03)%	28.88%	(1.79)%	3.82%	20.09%
Ratios/Supplemental Data					
Net assets applicable to common shares, end of year (000 s omitted)	\$ 37,619	\$ 42,791	\$ 38,972	\$ 40,256	\$ 40,956
Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets applicable to common shares):(3)					
Expenses excluding interest and fees	1.35%	1.33%	1.41%	1.36%	1.52%
Interest and fee expense ⁽⁴⁾	0.06%	0.04%	0.000		0.17%
T-4-1 1-f 1-f 4: f 4t:		0.04%	0.08%	0.07%	0.17%
Total expenses before custodian fee reduction	1.41%	1.37%	0.08% 1.49%	0.07% 1.43%	1.69%
Expenses after custodian fee reduction excluding interest and fees					
1	1.41%	1.37%	1.49%	1.43%	1.69%
Expenses after custodian fee reduction excluding interest and fees	1.41% 1.35%	1.37% 1.33%	1.49% 1.40%	1.43% 1.36%	1.69% 1.51%
Expenses after custodian fee reduction excluding interest and fees Net investment income Portfolio Turnover The ratios reported above are based on net assets applicable to common shares. The r	1.41% 1.35% 5.83% 14%	1.37% 1.33% 5.98% 11%	1.49% 1.40% 7.19% 12%	1.43% 1.36% 6.67% 19%	1.69% 1.51% 7.80% 8%
Expenses after custodian fee reduction excluding interest and fees Net investment income Portfolio Turnover The ratios reported above are based on net assets applicable to common shares. The rare as follows:	1.41% 1.35% 5.83% 14%	1.37% 1.33% 5.98% 11%	1.49% 1.40% 7.19% 12%	1.43% 1.36% 6.67% 19%	1.69% 1.51% 7.80% 8%
Expenses after custodian fee reduction excluding interest and fees Net investment income Portfolio Turnover The ratios reported above are based on net assets applicable to common shares. The rare as follows: Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets applicable to common shares and	1.41% 1.35% 5.83% 14%	1.37% 1.33% 5.98% 11%	1.49% 1.40% 7.19% 12%	1.43% 1.36% 6.67% 19%	1.69% 1.51% 7.80% 8%
Expenses after custodian fee reduction excluding interest and fees Net investment income Portfolio Turnover The ratios reported above are based on net assets applicable to common shares. The rare as follows: Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets applicable to common shares and preferred shares):(3)	1.41% 1.35% 5.83% 14% atios based on ne	1.37% 1.33% 5.98% 11% et assets, including	1.49% 1.40% 7.19% 12% ng amounts relat	1.43% 1.36% 6.67% 19% ted to preferred	1.69% 1.51% 7.80% 8% 1 shares,
Expenses after custodian fee reduction excluding interest and fees Net investment income Portfolio Turnover The ratios reported above are based on net assets applicable to common shares. The rare as follows: Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets applicable to common shares and preferred shares):(3) Expenses excluding interest and fees	1.41% 1.35% 5.83% 14% ratios based on ne	1.37% 1.33% 5.98% 11% et assets, includin	1.49% 1.40% 7.19% 12% ng amounts relat 0.88%	1.43% 1.36% 6.67% 19% ted to preferred	1.69% 1.51% 7.80% 8% 1 shares,
Expenses after custodian fee reduction excluding interest and fees Net investment income Portfolio Turnover The ratios reported above are based on net assets applicable to common shares. The rare as follows: Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets applicable to common shares and preferred shares):(3) Expenses excluding interest and fees Interest and fee expense(4)	1.41% 1.35% 5.83% 14% ratios based on ne 0.88% 0.04%	1.37% 1.33% 5.98% 11% et assets, includin 0.87% 0.03%	1.49% 1.40% 7.19% 12% ng amounts relat 0.88% 0.05%	1.43% 1.36% 6.67% 19% ted to preferred 0.87% 0.05%	1.69% 1.51% 7.80% 8% 1 shares, 0.91% 0.10%
Expenses after custodian fee reduction excluding interest and fees Net investment income Portfolio Turnover The ratios reported above are based on net assets applicable to common shares. The rare as follows: Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets applicable to common shares and preferred shares):(3) Expenses excluding interest and fees Interest and fee expense(4) Total expenses before custodian fee reduction	1.41% 1.35% 5.83% 14% ratios based on ne 0.88% 0.04% 0.92%	1.37% 1.33% 5.98% 11% et assets, includin 0.87% 0.03% 0.90%	1.49% 1.40% 7.19% 12% ng amounts relat 0.88% 0.05% 0.93%	1.43% 1.36% 6.67% 19% ted to preferred 0.87% 0.05% 0.92%	1.69% 1.51% 7.80% 8% 1 shares, 0.91% 0.10% 1.01%
Expenses after custodian fee reduction excluding interest and fees Net investment income Portfolio Turnover The ratios reported above are based on net assets applicable to common shares. The rare as follows: Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets applicable to common shares and preferred shares): Expenses excluding interest and fees Interest and fee expense(4) Total expenses before custodian fee reduction Expenses after custodian fee reduction excluding interest and fees	1.41% 1.35% 5.83% 14% ratios based on ne 0.88% 0.04% 0.92% 0.88%	1.37% 1.33% 5.98% 11% et assets, includin 0.87% 0.03% 0.90% 0.87%	1.49% 1.40% 7.19% 12% ng amounts relat 0.88% 0.05% 0.93% 0.88%	1.43% 1.36% 6.67% 19% ted to preferred 0.87% 0.05% 0.92% 0.87%	1.69% 1.51% 7.80% 8% 1 shares, 0.91% 0.10% 1.01% 0.90%
Expenses after custodian fee reduction excluding interest and fees Net investment income Portfolio Turnover The ratios reported above are based on net assets applicable to common shares. The rare as follows: Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets applicable to common shares and preferred shares): Expenses excluding interest and fees Interest and fee expense(4) Total expenses before custodian fee reduction Expenses after custodian fee reduction excluding interest and fees Net investment income	1.41% 1.35% 5.83% 14% ratios based on ne 0.88% 0.04% 0.92%	1.37% 1.33% 5.98% 11% et assets, includin 0.87% 0.03% 0.90%	1.49% 1.40% 7.19% 12% ng amounts relat 0.88% 0.05% 0.93%	1.43% 1.36% 6.67% 19% ted to preferred 0.87% 0.05% 0.92%	1.69% 1.51% 7.80% 8% 1 shares, 0.91% 0.10% 1.01%
Expenses after custodian fee reduction excluding interest and fees Net investment income Portfolio Turnover The ratios reported above are based on net assets applicable to common shares. The rare as follows: Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets applicable to common shares and preferred shares):(3) Expenses excluding interest and fees Interest and fee expense(4) Total expenses before custodian fee reduction Expenses after custodian fee reduction excluding interest and fees Net investment income Senior Securities:	1.41% 1.35% 5.83% 14% ratios based on ne 0.88% 0.04% 0.92% 0.88% 3.82%	1.37% 1.33% 5.98% 11% et assets, includin 0.87% 0.03% 0.90% 0.87% 3.91%	1.49% 1.40% 7.19% 12% ng amounts relat 0.88% 0.05% 0.93% 0.88% 4.51%	1.43% 1.36% 6.67% 19% ted to preferred 0.87% 0.05% 0.92% 0.87% 4.28%	1.69% 1.51% 7.80% 8% 1 shares, 0.91% 0.10% 1.01% 0.90% 4.68%
Expenses after custodian fee reduction excluding interest and fees Net investment income Portfolio Turnover The ratios reported above are based on net assets applicable to common shares. The ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets applicable to common shares and preferred shares):(3) Expenses excluding interest and fees Interest and fee expense(4) Total expenses before custodian fee reduction Expenses after custodian fee reduction excluding interest and fees Net investment income Senior Securities: Total preferred shares outstanding	1.41% 1.35% 5.83% 14% atios based on ne 0.88% 0.04% 0.92% 0.88% 3.82%	1.37% 1.33% 5.98% 11% et assets, includin 0.87% 0.03% 0.90% 0.87% 3.91%	1.49% 1.40% 7.19% 12% ng amounts relat 0.88% 0.05% 0.93% 0.88% 4.51%	1.43% 1.36% 6.67% 19% ted to preferred 0.87% 0.05% 0.92% 0.87% 4.28%	1.69% 1.51% 7.80% 8% 1 shares, 0.91% 0.10% 1.01% 0.90% 4.68%
Expenses after custodian fee reduction excluding interest and fees Net investment income Portfolio Turnover The ratios reported above are based on net assets applicable to common shares. The rare as follows: Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets applicable to common shares and preferred shares):(3) Expenses excluding interest and fees Interest and fee expense(4) Total expenses before custodian fee reduction Expenses after custodian fee reduction excluding interest and fees Net investment income Senior Securities:	1.41% 1.35% 5.83% 14% ratios based on ne 0.88% 0.04% 0.92% 0.88% 3.82%	1.37% 1.33% 5.98% 11% et assets, includin 0.87% 0.03% 0.90% 0.87% 3.91%	1.49% 1.40% 7.19% 12% ng amounts relat 0.88% 0.05% 0.93% 0.88% 4.51%	1.43% 1.36% 6.67% 19% ted to preferred 0.87% 0.05% 0.92% 0.87% 4.28%	1.69% 1.51% 7.80% 8% 1 shares, 0.91% 0.10% 1.01% 0.90% 4.68%

See Notes to Financial Statements.

Aj	pproximate market value per preferred share ⁽⁶⁾	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000
(1)	Computed using average common shares outstanding.					
(2)	Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in are assumed to be reinvested at prices obtained under the Fund s dividend reinvested at prices of the Fund s		or market value v	with all distribu	tions reinveste	d. Distributions
(3)	Ratios do not reflect the effect of dividend payments to preferred shareholders.					
(4)	Interest and fee expense relates to the liability for floating rate notes issued in cor	njunction with re	esidual interest bo	and transactions	s (see Note 1H)).
(5)	Calculated by subtracting the Fund s total liabilities (not including the preferred preferred shares outstanding.	shares) from the	Fund s total ass	sets, and dividii	ng the result by	the number of
(6)	Plus accumulated and unpaid dividends.					

51

Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2013

Notes to Financial Statements

1 Significant Accounting Policies

Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund II (Municipal Fund II), Eaton Vance California Municipal Bond Fund II (California Fund II), Eaton Vance Massachusetts Municipal Bond Fund (Massachusetts Fund), Eaton Vance Michigan Municipal Bond Fund (Michigan Fund), Eaton Vance New Jersey Municipal Bond Fund (New Jersey Fund), Eaton Vance New York Municipal Bond Fund II (New York Fund II), Eaton Vance Ohio Municipal Bond Fund (Ohio Fund) and Eaton Vance Pennsylvania Municipal Bond Fund (Pennsylvania Fund), (each individually referred to as the Fund, and collectively, the Funds), are Massachusetts business trusts registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the 1940 Act), as non-diversified, closed-end management investment companies, except for Municipal Fund II, which is a diversified, closed-end management investment company. The Funds investment objective is to provide current income exempt from regular federal income tax, including alternative minimum tax, and, in state specific funds, taxes in its specified state.

The following is a summary of significant accounting policies of the Funds. The policies are in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

A Investment Valuation The following methodologies are used to determine the market value or fair value of investments.

Debt Obligations. Debt obligations (including short-term obligations with a remaining maturity of more than sixty days) are generally valued on the basis of valuations provided by third party pricing services, as derived from such services—pricing models. Inputs to the models may include, but are not limited to, reported trades, executable bid and asked prices, broker/dealer quotations, prices or yields of securities with similar characteristics, benchmark curves or information pertaining to the issuer, as well as industry and economic events. The pricing services may use a matrix approach, which considers information regarding securities with similar characteristics to determine the valuation for a security. Short-term obligations purchased with a remaining maturity of sixty days or less are generally valued at amortized cost, which approximates market value.

Derivatives. Financial futures contracts are valued at the closing settlement price established by the board of trade or exchange on which they are traded. Interest rate swaps are normally valued using valuations provided by a third party pricing service. Such pricing service valuations are based on the present value of fixed and projected floating rate cash flows over the term of the swap contract. Future cash flows are discounted to their present value using swap rates provided by electronic data services or by broker/dealers.

Fair Valuation. Investments for which valuations or market quotations are not readily available or are deemed unreliable are valued at fair value using methods determined in good faith by or at the direction of the Trustees of a Fund in a manner that fairly reflects the security s value, or the amount that the Fund might reasonably expect to receive for the security upon its current sale in the ordinary course. Each such determination is based on a consideration of relevant factors, which are likely to vary from one pricing context to another. These factors may include, but are not limited to, the type of security, the existence of any contractual restrictions on the security s disposition, the price and extent of public trading in similar securities of the issuer or of comparable entities, quotations or relevant information obtained from broker/dealers or other market participants, information obtained from the issuer, analysts, and/or the appropriate stock exchange (for exchange-traded securities), an analysis of the entity s financial condition, and an evaluation of the forces that influence the issuer and the market(s) in which the security is purchased and sold.

B Investment Transactions and Related Income and losses on investments sold are determined on the basis of identified cost. Interest income is recorded on the basis of interest accrued, adjusted for amortization of premium or accretion of discount.

C Federal Taxes Each Fund's policy is to comply with the provisions of the Internal Revenue Code applicable to regulated investment companies and to distribute to shareholders each year substantially all of its taxable, if any, and tax-exempt net investment income, and all or substantially all of its net realized capital gains. Accordingly, no provision for federal income or excise tax is necessary. Each Fund intends to satisfy conditions which will enable it to designate distributions from the interest income generated by its investments in non-taxable municipal securities, which are exempt from regular federal income tax when received by each Fund, as exempt-interest dividends.

At September 30, 2013, the following Funds, for federal income tax purposes, had capital loss carryforwards and deferred capital losses which will reduce the respective Fund s taxable income arising from future net realized gains on investment transactions, if any, to the extent permitted by the Internal Revenue Code, and thus will reduce the amount of distributions to shareholders, which would otherwise be necessary to relieve the Funds of any liability for federal income or excise tax. The deferred capital losses are treated as arising on the first day of the Funds next taxable year and are treated as realized

Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2013

Notes to Financial Statements continued

prior to the utilization of the capital loss carryforward. The amounts and expiration dates of the capital loss carryforwards and the amounts of the deferred capital losses are as follows:

	Municipal	California	Massachusetts	Michigan
Expiration Date	Fund II	Fund II	Fund	Fund
September 30, 2016	\$ 658,427	\$ 52,500	\$	\$ 1,883
September 30, 2017	2,011,041	1,365,711	94,578	
September 30, 2018	11,539,291	3,330,399	1,054,999	579,696
September 30, 2019	1,277,303	1,539,887	225,669	515,704
Total capital loss carryforward	\$ 15,486,062	\$ 6,288,497	\$ 1,375,246	\$ 1,097,283
Deferred capital losses	\$ 7,556,202	\$ 5,315,587	\$ 1,385,634	\$ 162,382

	New Jersey	New York	Onio	Pennsylvania
Expiration Date	Fund	Fund II	Fund	Fund
September 30, 2016	\$	\$ 41,818	\$ 83,319	\$
September 30, 2017	244,927	1,233,356	1,620,085	
September 30, 2018	2,060,337	1,545,637	3,381,936	1,949,047
September 30, 2019	1,369,694	1,548,104	669,118	925,899
Total capital loss carryforward	\$ 3,674,958	\$ 4,368,915	\$ 5,754,458	\$ 2,874,946
Deferred capital losses	\$ 1,501,196	\$ 1,696,957	\$ 1,180,207	\$ 1,931,731

Ohio

As of September 30, 2013, the Funds had no uncertain tax positions that would require financial statement recognition, de-recognition, or disclosure. Each Fund files a U.S. federal income tax return annually after its fiscal year-end, which is subject to examination by the Internal Revenue Service for a period of three years from the date of filing.

- D Expense Reduction State Street Bank and Trust Company (SSBT) serves as custodian of the Funds. Pursuant to the custodian agreement, SSBT receives a fee reduced by credits, which are determined based on the average daily cash balance each Fund maintains with SSBT. All credit balances, if any, used to reduce each Fund s custodian fees are reported as a reduction of expenses in the Statements of Operations.
- E Legal Fees Legal fees and other related expenses incurred as part of negotiations of the terms and requirement of capital infusions, or that are expected to result in the restructuring of, or a plan of reorganization for, an investment are recorded as realized losses. Ongoing expenditures to protect or enhance an investment are treated as operating expenses.
- F Use of Estimates The preparation of the financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of income and expense during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.
- G Indemnifications Under each Fund s organizational documents, its officers and Trustees may be indemnified against certain liabilities and expenses arising out of the performance of their duties to each Fund. Under Massachusetts law, if certain conditions prevail, shareholders of a Massachusetts business trust (such as a Fund) could be deemed to have personal liability for the obligations of the Fund. However, each Fund s Declaration of Trust contains an express disclaimer of

liability on the part of Fund shareholders and the By-laws provide that the Fund shall assume the defense on behalf of any Fund shareholders. Moreover, the By-laws also provide for indemnification out of Fund property of any shareholder held personally liable solely by reason of being or having been a shareholder for all loss or expense arising from such liability. Additionally, in the normal course of business, each Fund enters into agreements with service providers that may contain indemnification clauses. Each Fund s maximum exposure under these arrangements is unknown as this would involve future claims that may be made against each Fund that have not yet occurred.

H Floating Rate Notes Issued in Conjunction with Securities Held The Funds may invest in residual interest bonds, also referred to as inverse floating rate securities, whereby a Fund may sell a variable or fixed rate bond to a broker for cash. At the same time, the Fund buys a residual interest in the assets and cash flows of a Special-Purpose Vehicle (the SPV), (which is generally organized as a trust), set up by the broker. The broker deposits a bond into the SPV with the same CUSIP number as the bond sold to the broker by the Fund, and which may have been, but is not required to be, the bond purchased from the Fund (the Bond). The SPV also issues floating rate notes (Floating Rate Notes) which are sold to third-parties. The residual interest bond held by

Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2013

Notes to Financial Statements continued

a Fund gives the Fund the right (1) to cause the holders of the Floating Rate Notes to generally tender their notes at par, and (2) to have the broker transfer the Bond held by the SPV to the Fund, thereby terminating the SPV. Should the Fund exercise such right, it would generally pay the broker the par amount due on the Floating Rate Notes and exchange the residual interest bond for the underlying Bond. Pursuant to generally accepted accounting principles for transfers and servicing of financial assets and extinguishment of liabilities, the Funds account for the transaction described above as a secured borrowing by including the Bond in their Portfolio of Investments and the Floating Rate Notes as a liability under the caption Payable for floating rate notes issued in their Statement of Assets and Liabilities. The Floating Rate Notes have interest rates that generally reset weekly and their holders have the option to tender their notes to the broker for redemption at par at each reset date. Accordingly, the fair value of the payable for floating rate notes issued approximates its carrying value. If measured at fair value, the payable for floating rate notes would have been considered as Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy (see Note 10) at September 30, 2013. Interest expense related to the Funds liability with respect to Floating Rate Notes is recorded as incurred. The SPV may be terminated by the Fund, as noted above, or by the broker upon the occurrence of certain termination events as defined in the trust agreement, such as a downgrade in the credit quality of the underlying Bond, bankruptcy of or payment failure by the issuer of the underlying Bond, the inability to remarket Floating Rate Notes that have been tendered due to insufficient buyers in the market, or the failure by the SPV to obtain renewal of the liquidity agreement under which liquidity support is provided for the Floating Rate Notes up to one year. Structuring fees paid to the liquidity provider upon the creation of an SPV have been recorde

	Municipal	California	Massachusetts	
	Fund II	Fund II	Fund	
Floating Rate Notes Outstanding Interest Rate or Range of Interest Rates (%) Collateral for Floating Rate Notes Outstanding	\$ 41,885,000 0.07 - 0.27 \$ 49,779,953	\$ 9,885,000 0.07 - 0.12 \$ 11,316,366	\$ 3,330,000 0.07 - 0.09 \$ 4,144,046	

New Jersey	New York	Ohio	Pennsylvania	
Fund	Fund II	Fund	Fund	
\$ 225,000	\$ 8,690,000	\$ 1,050,000 0.07 -	\$ 4,440,000	
0.22 \$ 253,623	0.07 - 0.09 \$ 10,679,680	1.25 \$ 1,358,715	0.07 - 1.25 \$ 5,762,365	
	Fund \$ 225,000 0.22	Fund Fund II \$ 225,000 \$ 8,690,000	Fund Fund II Fund \$ 225,000 \$ 8,690,000 \$ 1,050,000 0.07 - 0.07 - 0.22 \$ 253,623 \$ 10,679,680 \$ 1,358,715	

For the year ended September 30, 2013, the Funds average Floating Rate Notes outstanding and the average interest rate including fees and amortization of deferred debt issuance costs were as follows:

	Municipal	California	Massa	Massachusetts	
	Fund II	Fund II	Fund		
Average Floating Rate Notes Outstanding Average Interest Rate	\$ 42,242,479 0.69%	\$ 9,885,000 0.68%	\$ 3	3,330,000 0.70%	

	New Jersey	New York	Ohio	Pennsylvania
	Fund	Fund II	Fund	Fund
Average Floating Rate Notes Outstanding	\$ 1,645,795	\$ 8,384,932	\$ 613,836	\$ 3,177,534
Average Interest Rate	0.94%	0.68%	0.86%	0.81%

The Funds may enter into shortfall and forbearance agreements with the broker by which a Fund agrees to reimburse the broker, in certain circumstances, for the difference between the liquidation value of the Bond held by the SPV and the liquidation value of the Floating Rate Notes, as well as any shortfalls in interest cash flows. The Funds had no shortfalls as of September 30, 2013.

The Funds may also purchase residual interest bonds from brokers in a secondary market transaction without first owning the underlying bond. Such transactions are not required to be treated as secured borrowings. Shortfall agreements, if any, related to residual interest bonds purchased in a secondary market transaction are disclosed in the Portfolio of Investments.

Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2013

Notes to Financial Statements continued

The Funds investment policies and restrictions expressly permit investments in residual interest bonds. Such bonds typically offer the potential for yields exceeding the yields available on fixed rate bonds with comparable credit quality and maturity. These securities tend to underperform the market for fixed rate bonds in a rising long-term interest rate environment, but tend to outperform the market for fixed rate bonds when long-term interest rates decline. The value and income of residual interest bonds are generally more volatile than that of a fixed rate bond. The Funds investment policies do not allow the Funds to borrow money except as permitted by the 1940 Act. Management believes that the Funds restrictions on borrowing money and issuing senior securities (other than as specifically permitted) do not apply to Floating Rate Notes issued by the SPV and included as a liability in the Funds Statement of Assets and Liabilities. As secured indebtedness issued by an SPV, Floating Rate Notes are distinct from the borrowings and senior securities to which the Funds restrictions apply. Residual interest bonds held by the Funds are securities exempt from registration under Rule 144A of the Securities Act of 1933.

- I Financial Futures Contracts Upon entering into a financial futures contract, a Fund is required to deposit with the broker, either in cash or securities, an amount equal to a certain percentage of the contract amount (initial margin). Subsequent payments, known as variation margin, are made or received by the Fund each business day, depending on the daily fluctuations in the value of the underlying security, and are recorded as unrealized gains or losses by the Fund. Gains (losses) are realized upon the expiration or closing of the financial futures contracts. Should market conditions change unexpectedly, the Fund may not achieve the anticipated benefits of the financial futures contracts and may realize a loss. Futures contracts have minimal counterparty risk as they are exchange traded and the clearinghouse for the exchange is substituted as the counterparty, guaranteeing counterparty performance.
- J Interest Rate Swaps Pursuant to interest rate swap agreements, a Fund makes periodic payments at a fixed interest rate and, in exchange, receives payments based on the interest rate of a benchmark industry index. Payments received or made are recorded as realized gains or losses. During the term of the outstanding swap agreement, changes in the underlying value of the swap are recorded as unrealized gains or losses. The value of the swap is determined by changes in the relationship between two rates of interest. A Fund is exposed to credit loss in the event of non-performance by the swap counterparty. Risk may also arise from movements in interest rates.
- K When-Issued Securities and Delayed Delivery Transactions The Funds may purchase or sell securities on a delayed delivery or when-issued basis. Payment and delivery may take place after the customary settlement period for that security. At the time the transaction is negotiated, the price of the security that will be delivered is fixed. The Funds maintain security positions for these commitments such that sufficient liquid assets will be available to make payments upon settlement. Securities purchased on a delayed delivery or when-issued basis are marked-to-market daily and begin earning interest on settlement date. Losses may arise due to changes in the market value of the underlying securities or if the counterparty does not perform under the contract.
- L Statement of Cash Flows The cash amount shown in the Statement of Cash Flows of a Fund is the amount included in the Fund s Statement of Assets and Liabilities and represents the unrestricted cash on hand at its custodian and does not include any short-term investments.

2 Auction Preferred Shares

Each Fund issued Auction Preferred Shares (APS) on January 15, 2003 in a public offering. The underwriting discounts and other offering costs incurred in connection with the offering were recorded as a reduction of the paid-in capital of the common shares of each respective Fund. Dividends on the APS, which accrue daily, are cumulative at rates which are reset every seven days by an auction, unless a special dividend period has been set. If the APS auctions do not successfully clear, the dividend payment rate over the next period for the APS holders is set at a specified maximum applicable rate until such time as the APS auctions are successful. The maximum applicable rate on the APS is 110% (150% for taxable distributions) of the greater of the 1) AA Financial Composite Commercial Paper Rate or 2) Taxable Equivalent of the Short-Term Municipal Obligation Rate on the date of the auction. The stated spread over the reference benchmark rate is determined based on the credit rating of the APS. Series of APS are identical in all respects except for the reset dates of the dividend rates.

The APS are redeemable at the option of each Fund at a redemption price equal to \$25,000 per share, plus accumulated and unpaid dividends, on any dividend payment date. The APS are also subject to mandatory redemption at a redemption price equal to \$25,000 per share, plus accumulated and unpaid dividends, if a Fund is in default for an extended period on its asset maintenance requirements with respect to the APS. If the dividends on the APS remain unpaid in an amount equal to two full years dividends, the holders of the APS as a class have the right to elect a majority of the Board of Trustees. In general, the holders of the APS and the common shares have equal voting rights of one vote per share, except that the holders of the APS, as a separate class, have the right to elect at least two

members of the Board of Trustees. The APS have a liquidation preference of \$25,000 per share, plus accumulated and unpaid dividends. Each Fund is required to maintain certain asset coverage with respect to the APS as defined in the Funds By-laws and the 1940 Act. Each Fund pays an annual fee up to 0.15% of the liquidation value of the APS to broker/dealers as a service fee if the auctions are unsuccessful; otherwise, the annual fee is 0.25%.

3 Distributions to Shareholders

Each Fund intends to make monthly distributions of net investment income to common shareholders, after payment of any dividends on any outstanding APS. In addition, at least annually, each Fund intends to distribute all or substantially all of its net realized capital gains (reduced by available capital loss carryforwards). Distributions to common shareholders are recorded on the ex-dividend date. Distributions to preferred shareholders are recorded daily and

Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2013

Notes to Financial Statements continued

are payable at the end of each dividend period. The dividend rates for APS at September 30, 2013, and the amount of dividends accrued (including capital gains, if any) to APS shareholders, average APS dividend rates, and dividend rate ranges for the year then ended were as follows:

	Municipal Fund II	Municipal Fund II			
	(Series A)	(Series B)	California Fund II	Massachusetts Fund	Michigan Fund
APS Dividend Rates at September 30, 2013 Dividends Accrued to APS Shareholders Average APS Dividend Rates Dividend Rate Ranges (%)	0.11% \$ 44,757 0.20% 0.08 - 0.38	0.11% \$ 44,802 0.20% 0.08 - 0.38	0.11% \$ 51,075 0.20% 0.08 - 0.38	0.11% \$ 26,566 0.20% 0.08 - 0.38	0.11% \$ 25,938 0.19% 0.08 - 0.38

	New Jersey Fund	New York Fund II	Ohio Fund	Pennsylvania Fund
APS Dividend Rates at September 30, 2013	0.11%	0.11%	0.11%	0.11%
Dividends Accrued to APS Shareholders	\$ 38,265	\$ 25,993	\$ 34,076	\$ 42,842
Average APS Dividend Rates	0.20%	0.20%	0.20%	0.20%
Dividend Rate Ranges (%)	0.08 - 0.38	0.08 - 0.38	0.08 - 0.38	0.08 - 0.38

Beginning February 13, 2008 and consistent with the patterns in the broader market for auction-rate securities, the Funds APS auctions were unsuccessful in clearing due to an imbalance of sell orders over bids to buy the APS. As a result, the dividend rates of the APS were reset to the maximum applicable rates. The table above reflects such maximum dividend rates for each series as of September 30, 2013.

The Funds distinguish between distributions on a tax basis and a financial reporting basis. Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that only distributions in excess of tax basis earnings and profits be reported in the financial statements as a return of capital. Permanent differences between book and tax accounting relating to distributions are reclassified to paid-in capital. For tax purposes, distributions from short-term capital gains are considered to be from ordinary income.

The tax character of distributions declared for the years ended September 30, 2013 and September 30, 2012 was as follows:

	Year Ended September 30, 2013					
	Municipal Fund II	California Fund II	Massachusetts Fund	Michigan Fund		
Distributions declared from:						
Tax-exempt income	\$ 7,664,820	\$ 2,967,749	\$ 1,417,670	\$ 1,202,842		
Ordinary income	\$ 8,512	\$ 804	\$	\$ 8,824		

Year Ended September 30, 2013

	New Jersey	New York	Ohio	Pennsylvania
	Fund	Fund II	Fund	Fund
Distributions declared from: Tax-exempt income Ordinary income	\$ 1,913,486	\$ 1,789,259	\$ 1,856,790	\$ 2,428,835
	\$ 20,070	\$ 46	\$ 2,005	\$ 347

Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2013

Notes to Financial Statements continued

	Year Ended September 30, 2012				
	Municipal Fund II	California Fund II	Massachusetts Fund	Michigan Fund	
Distributions declared from:					
Tax-exempt income	\$ 8,837,775	\$ 3,139,020	\$ 1,516,786	\$ 1,377,492	
Ordinary income	\$ 10,796	\$ 4,392	\$	\$	
		Year Ended Se	Year Ended September 30, 2012		
	New Jersey Fund	New York Fund II	Ohio Fund	Pennsylvania Fund	
	runu	runa 11	runa	runu	
Distributions declared from:					
Tax-exempt income	\$ 2,158,297	\$ 2,042,548	\$ 1,996,065	\$ 2,634,314	
Ordinary income	\$	\$ 747	\$ 592	\$	
During the year and d Contember 20, 2012, the following amounts were	a raplaceified due to expired a	omital lass sommiform	ands and differences b	atrican basic and	

During the year ended September 30, 2013, the following amounts were reclassified due to expired capital loss carryforwards and differences between book and tax accounting, primarily for accretion of market discount.

	Municipal Fund II	California Fund II	Massachusetts Fund	Michigan Fund
Change in:				
Paid-in capital	\$	\$	\$ (179,329)	\$ (384,407)
Accumulated net realized loss	\$ 12,232	\$ 13,076	\$ 186,117	\$ 381,679
Accumulated undistributed (distributions in excess of) net investment income	\$ (12,232)	\$ (13,076)	\$ (6,788)	\$ 2,728

	(Ohio		
	New Jersey Fund		New York Fund II Fund		Pennsylvania Fund			
Change in:								
Paid-in capital	\$		\$		\$	(321,979)	\$	
Accumulated net realized loss	\$	1,915	\$	15,486	\$	364,343	\$	22,605
Accumulated undistributed net investment income	\$	(1,915)	\$	(15,486)	\$	(42,364)	\$	(22,605)
These reclassifications had no effect on the net assets or net asset value per shared the second of	re of th	ne Funds.						

As of September 30, 2013, the components of distributable earnings (accumulated losses) and unrealized appreciation (depreciation) on a tax basis were as follows:

	Municipal	California	Massachusetts	Michigan
	Fund II	Fund II	Fund	Fund
Undistributed tax-exempt income	\$ 248,126	\$ 66,829	\$ 67,526	\$ 47,703
Capital loss carryforward and deferred capital losses	\$ (23,042,264)	\$ (11,604,084)	\$ (2,760,880)	\$ (1,259,665)
Net unrealized appreciation (depreciation)	\$ (581,458)	\$ 2,213,703	\$ 1,721,880	\$ 67,124
Other temporary differences	\$ (562)	\$ (403)	\$ (171)	\$ (251)

Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2013

Notes to Financial Statements continued

	New Jersey	New York	Ohio		
	Fund	Fund II	Fund	Pennsylvania Fund	
Undistributed tax-exempt income	\$ 135,547	\$ 76,358	\$ 68,824	\$ 157,740	
Capital loss carryforward and deferred capital losses	\$ (5,176,154)	\$ (6,065,872)	\$ (6,934,665)	\$ (4,806,677)	
Net unrealized appreciation	\$ 2,280,784	\$ 1,387,657	\$ 2,250,136	\$ 309,928	
Other temporary differences	\$ (431)	\$ (250)	\$ (160)	\$ (273)	

The differences between components of distributable earnings (accumulated losses) on a tax basis and the amounts reflected in the Statements of Assets and Liabilities are primarily due to wash sales, the timing of recognizing distributions to shareholders, futures contracts, accretion of market discount, expenditures on defaulted bonds and residual interest bonds.

4 Investment Adviser Fee and Other Transactions with Affiliates

The investment adviser fee is earned by Eaton Vance Management (EVM) as compensation for investment advisory services rendered to each Fund. The fee is computed at an annual rate of 0.55% of each Fund s average weekly gross assets and is payable monthly. Average weekly gross assets include the principal amount of any indebtedness for money borrowed, including debt securities issued by a Fund, and the amount of any outstanding APS issued by the Fund. Pursuant to a fee reduction agreement with EVM, average weekly gross assets are calculated by adding to net assets the liquidation value of a Fund s APS then outstanding and the amount payable by the Fund to floating rate note holders, such adjustment being limited to the value of the APS outstanding prior to any APS redemptions by the Fund. EVM also serves as the administrator of each Fund, but receives no compensation. For the year ended September 30, 2013, the investment adviser fees were as follows:

	Municipal	California	Massachusetts	Michigan
	Fund II	Fund II	Fund	Fund
Investment Adviser Fee	\$ 1,190,165	\$ 462,910	\$ 232,201	\$ 193,706
	New Jersey	New York	Ohio	Pennsylvania
	Fund	Fund II	Fund	Fund
Investment Adviser Fee	\$ 321,684	\$ 310,113	\$ 283,468	\$ 362,713

Trustees and officers of the Funds who are members of EVM s organization receive remuneration for their services to the Funds out of the investment adviser fee. Trustees of the Funds who are not affiliated with the investment adviser may elect to defer receipt of all or a percentage of their annual fees in accordance with the terms of the Trustees Deferred Compensation Plan. For the year ended September 30, 2013, no significant amounts have been deferred. Certain officers and Trustees of the Funds are officers of EVM.

5 Purchases and Sales of Investments

Purchases and sales of investments, other than short-term obligations, for the year ended September 30, 2013 were as follows:

	Municipal	California	Massachusetts	Michigan
	Fund II	Fund II	Fund	Fund
Purchases	\$ 15,213,823	\$ 5,922,980	\$ 1,130,240	\$ 10,196,472
Sales	\$ 15,752,434	\$ 8,178,303	\$ 1,434,984	\$ 9,949,820
	New Jersey	New York	Ohio	Pennsylvania
	Fund	Fund II	Fund	Fund
Purchases	\$ 6,155,925	\$ 7,351,888	\$ 6,238,783	\$ 11,739,592
Sales	\$ 10,598,825	\$ 8,844,328	\$ 6,119,127	\$ 9,126,988

Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2013

Notes to Financial Statements continued

6 Common Shares of Beneficial Interest

Common shares issued pursuant to the Funds dividend reinvestment plan for the years ended September 30, 2013 and September 30, 2012 were as follows:

	Municipal	California	Massachusetts	Michigan
	Fund II	Fund II	Fund	Fund
Year Ended September 30, 2013	6,020	1,517	692	144
Year Ended September 30, 2012	17,109	2,340	2,043	1,181
	New Jersey	New York	Ohio	Pennsylvania
	Fund	Fund II	Fund	Fund
Year Ended September 30, 2013 Year Ended September 30, 2012 7 Federal Income Tax Basis of Investments	3,216 11,044	760 2,145	2,095 4,058	1,153 2,539

The cost and unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of investments of each Fund at September 30, 2013, as determined on a federal income tax basis, were as follows:

	Municipal Fund II	California Fund II	Massachusetts Fund	Michigan Fund
Aggregate cost	\$ 161,551,682	\$ 66,221,933	\$ 34,615,712	\$ 32,862,209
Gross unrealized appreciation Gross unrealized depreciation	\$ 8,742,290 (9,323,748)	\$ 3,920,410 (1,706,707)	\$ 2,103,176 (381,296)	\$ 1,459,560 (1,392,436)
Net unrealized appreciation (depreciation)	\$ (581,458)	\$ 2,213,703	\$ 1,721,880	\$ 67,124
	New Jersey Fund	New York Fund II	Ohio Fund	Pennsylvania Fund
Aggregate cost	\$ 50,232,265	\$ 41,482,910	\$ 44,617,199	\$ 58,207,774
Gross unrealized appreciation Gross unrealized depreciation	\$ 2,926,055 (645,271)	\$ 2,436,827 (1,049,170)	\$ 3,363,472 (1,113,336)	\$ 2,233,191 (1,923,263)
Net unrealized appreciation 8 Overdraft Advances	\$ 2,280,784	\$ 1,387,657	\$ 2,250,136	\$ 309,928

Pursuant to the custodian agreement, SSBT may, in its discretion, advance funds to the Funds to make properly authorized payments. When such payments result in an overdraft, the Funds are obligated to repay SSBT at the current rate of interest charged by SSBT for secured loans (currently, the Federal Funds rate plus 2%). This obligation is payable on demand to SSBT. SSBT has a lien on a Fund s assets to the extent of any overdraft. At September 30, 2013, Municipal Fund II, Michigan Fund and Pennsylvania Fund had payments due to SSBT pursuant to the foregoing arrangement of \$23,111, \$375,584 and \$30,013, respectively. Based on the short-term nature of these payments and the variable interest rate, the carrying value of the overdraft advances approximated its fair value at September 30, 2013. If measured at fair value, overdraft advances would have been considered as Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy (see Note 10) at September 30, 2013. The Funds—average overdraft advances during the year ended September 30, 2013 were not significant.

Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2013

Notes to Financial Statements continued

9 Financial Instruments

The Funds may trade in financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk in the normal course of their investing activities. These financial instruments may include financial futures contracts and may involve, to a varying degree, elements of risk in excess of the amounts recognized for financial statement purposes. The notional or contractual amounts of these instruments represent the investment a Fund has in particular classes of financial instruments and do not necessarily represent the amounts potentially subject to risk. The measurement of the risks associated with these instruments is meaningful only when all related and offsetting transactions are considered.

A summary of obligations under these financial instruments at September 30, 2013 is as follows:

Futures Contracts

•	Contracts	Position	Aggregate Cost	Value		ealized reciation
	58					
12/13	U.S. Long Treasury Bond 20	Short	\$ (7,642,753)	\$ (7,735,750)	\$	(92,997)
12/13	U.S. 10-Year Treasury Note 23	Short	\$ (2,477,933)	\$ (2,527,813)	\$	(49,880)
12/13	U.S. Long Treasury Bond	Short	(3,030,747)	(3,067,625)		(36,878)
12/13	U.S. Long Treasury Bond	Short	\$ (1,844,803)	\$ (1,867,250)	\$	(22,447)
12/12	7	Chaut	¢ (015 692)	e (022 625)	¢	(17,943)
12/13	60	Short	\$ (913,082)	\$ (955,025)	Þ	(17,943)
12/13	U.S. Long Treasury Bond 22	Short	\$ (7,906,296)	\$ (8,002,500)	\$	(96,204)
12/13	U.S. Long Treasury Bond	Short	\$ (2,898,975)	\$ (2,934,250)	\$	(35,275)
	22					
12/13	U.S. Long Treasury Bond	Short	\$ (2,883,858)	\$ (2,934,250)	\$	(50,392)
12/13	U.S. Long Treasury Bond	Short	\$ (7,247,438)	\$ (7,335,625)	\$	(88,187)
	12/13 12/13 12/13 12/13 12/13 12/13	Section	Month/Year Contracts Position 58 12/13 U.S. Long Treasury Bond Short 20 12/13 U.S. 10-Year Treasury Note Short 23 12/13 U.S. Long Treasury Bond Short 14 12/13 U.S. Long Treasury Bond Short 12/13 U.S. Long Treasury Bond Short 60 12/13 U.S. Long Treasury Bond Short 12/13 U.S. Long Treasury Bond Short 12/13 U.S. Long Treasury Bond Short 55 12/13 U.S. Long Treasury Bond Short	Month/Year Contracts Position Cost 58 12/13 U.S. Long Treasury Bond 20 Short \$ (7,642,753) 20 12/13 U.S. 10-Year Treasury Note 23 Short \$ (2,477,933) 23 12/13 U.S. Long Treasury Bond 3hort Short (3,030,747) 3hort 3hor	Month/Year Contracts Position Cost Value 58 12/13 U.S. Long Treasury Bond 20 Short \$ (7,642,753) \$ (7,735,750) 12/13 U.S. 10-Year Treasury Note 23 Short \$ (2,477,933) \$ (2,527,813) 12/13 U.S. Long Treasury Bond 3hort Short \$ (3,030,747) \$ (3,067,625) 12/13 U.S. Long Treasury Bond 3hort \$ (1,844,803) \$ (1,867,250) 12/13 U.S. Long Treasury Bond 3hort \$ (915,682) \$ (933,625) 12/13 U.S. Long Treasury Bond 3hort \$ (7,906,296) \$ (8,002,500) 12/13 U.S. Long Treasury Bond 3hort \$ (2,898,975) \$ (2,934,250) 12/13 U.S. Long Treasury Bond 3hort \$ (2,883,858) \$ (2,934,250) 55 12/13 U.S. Long Treasury Bond 3hort \$ (7,247,438) \$ (7,335,625)	Expiration Month/Year Contracts Position Cost Value Depter 1 58 12/13 U.S. Long Treasury Bond 20 12/13 U.S. 10-Year Treasury Note Short \$ (7,642,753) \$ (7,735,750) \$ 20 12/13 U.S. Long Treasury Bond Short \$ (2,477,933) \$ (2,527,813) \$ 23 12/13 U.S. Long Treasury Bond Short \$ (3,030,747) \$ (3,067,625) \$ 14 12/13 U.S. Long Treasury Bond Short \$ (1,844,803) \$ (1,867,250) \$ 7 12/13 U.S. Long Treasury Bond Short \$ (915,682) \$ (933,625) \$ \$ (60) 12/13 U.S. Long Treasury Bond Short \$ (7,906,296) \$ (8,002,500) \$ 22 12/13 U.S. Long Treasury Bond Short \$ (2,898,975) \$ (2,934,250) \$ 22 12/13 U.S. Long Treasury Bond Short \$ (2,883,858) \$ (2,934,250) \$ 55 12/13 U.S. Long Treasury Bond Short \$ (7,247,438) \$ (7,335,625) \$

At September 30, 2013, the Funds had sufficient cash and/or securities to cover commitments under these contracts.

Each Fund is subject to interest rate risk in the normal course of pursuing its investment objective. Because the Funds hold fixed-rate bonds, the value of these bonds may decrease if interest rates rise. The Funds purchase and sell U.S. Treasury futures contracts to hedge against changes in interest rates.

The fair values of open derivative instruments (not considered to be hedging instruments for accounting disclosure purposes) and whose primary underlying risk exposure is interest rate risk at September 30, 2013 were as follows:

	Municipal Fund II	California Fund II	Mass Fund	sachusetts I	Michigan Fund
Liability Derivative: Futures Contracts	\$ (92,997)(1)	\$ (86,758)(1)	\$	(22,447)(1)	\$ (17,943)(1)
Total	\$ (92,997)	\$ (86,758)	\$	(22,447)	\$ (17,943)

Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2013

Notes to Financial Statements continued

	Ne Fu	w Jersey nd		ew York ınd II	Ohio Fund	Pen: Fun	nsylvania d
Liability Derivative:	¢.	(0.6.20.4)(1)	¢.	(25.275)(1)	ф (50 202)(I)	ф	(00.107)(1)
Futures Contracts	3	$(96,204)^{(1)}$	Э	$(35,275)^{(1)}$	\$ (50,392)(1)	3	$(88,187)^{(1)}$
Total	\$	(96,204)	\$	(35,275)	\$ (50,392)	\$	(88,187)

⁽¹⁾ Amount represents cumulative unrealized depreciation on futures contracts in the Futures Contracts table above. Only the current day s variation margin on open futures contracts is reported within the Statement of Assets and Liabilities as Receivable or Payable for variation margin, as applicable.

The effect of derivative instruments (not considered to be hedging instruments for accounting disclosure purposes) on the Statement of Operations and whose primary underlying risk exposure is interest rate risk for the year ended September 30, 2013 was as follows:

	Municipal Fund II	California Fund II	Massachusetts Fund	Michigan Fund
Realized Gain (Loss) on Derivatives Recognized in Income	\$ 737,918(1)	\$ 389,889(1)	\$ 178,439(1)	\$ 38,916(1)
Change in Unrealized Appreciation (Depreciation) on Derivatives Recognized in Income	\$ (85,711) ⁽²⁾	\$ (77,997)(2)	\$ (27,562)(2)	\$ (13,344)(2)
	New Jersey Fund	New York Fund II	Ohio Fund	Pennsylvania Fund
Realized Gain (Loss) on Derivatives Recognized in Income	\$ 945,637(1)	\$ 280,403(1)	\$ 206,506(1)	\$ 733,039(1)
Change in Unrealized Appreciation (Depreciation) on Derivatives Recognized in Income	\$ (123,606)(2)	\$ (43,313)(2)	\$ (56,238)(2)	\$ (110,108)(2)

⁽¹⁾ Statement of Operations location: Net realized gain (loss) Financial futures contracts.

⁽²⁾ Statement of Operations location: Change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) Financial futures contracts.

The average notional amounts of futures contracts outstanding during the year ended September 30, 2013, which are indicative of the volume of this derivative type, were approximately as follows:

Municipal	California	Massachusetts	Michigan
Fund II	Fund II	Fund	Fund

Average Notional Amount:

Futures Contracts \$6,546,000 \$4,838,000 \$ 1,400,000 \$523,000

	New Jersey Fund	New York Fund II	Ohio Fund	Pennsylvania Fund
Average Notional Amount:				
Futures Contracts	\$ 7,231,000	\$ 2,200,000	\$ 1,892,000	\$ 5,808,000
10 Fair Value Measurements				

Under generally accepted accounting principles for fair value measurements, a three-tier hierarchy to prioritize the assumptions, referred to as inputs, is used in valuation techniques to measure fair value. The three-tier hierarchy of inputs is summarized in the three broad levels listed below.

Level 1 quoted prices in active markets for identical investments

Level 2 other significant observable inputs (including quoted prices for similar investments, interest rates, prepayment speeds, credit risk, etc.)

Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2013

Notes to Financial Statements continued

Level 3 significant unobservable inputs (including a fund s own assumptions in determining the fair value of investments)
In cases where the inputs used to measure fair value fall in different levels of the fair value hierarchy, the level disclosed is determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety. The inputs or methodology used for valuing securities are not necessarily an indication of the risk associated with investing in those securities.

At September 30, 2013, the hierarchy of inputs used in valuing the Funds investments and open derivative instruments, which are carried at value, were as follows:

Municipal Fund II Asset Description	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3*	Total
Tax-Exempt Municipal Securities	\$	\$ 202,826,027	\$	\$ 202,826,027
Corporate Bonds & Notes	Ψ	\$ 202,020,02 <i>1</i>	29,197	29,197
Total Investments	\$	\$ 202,826,027	\$ 29,197	\$ 202,855,224
Liability Description				
Futures Contracts	\$ (92,997)	\$	\$	\$ (92,997)
Total	\$ (92,997)	\$	\$	\$ (92,997)
California Fund II				
Asset Description	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Tax-Exempt Investments	\$	\$ 78,320,636	\$	\$ 78,320,636
Total Investments	\$	\$ 78,320,636	\$	\$ 78,320,636
Liability Description				
Futures Contracts	\$ (86,758)	\$	\$	\$ (86,758)
Total	\$ (86,758)	\$	\$	\$ (86,758)
Massachusetts Fund				
Asset Description	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Tax-Exempt Investments	\$	\$ 39,667,592	\$	\$ 39,667,592
Total Investments	\$	\$ 39,667,592	\$	\$ 39,667,592
Liability Description				
Futures Contracts	\$ (22,447)	\$	\$	\$ (22,447)
Total	\$ (22,447)	\$	\$	\$ (22,447)

Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2013

Notes to Financial Statements continued

Mishing Food					
Michigan Fund Asset Description	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total	
Tax-Exempt Investments	\$	\$ 32,929,333	\$	\$ 32,929,333	
Total Investments	\$	\$ 32,929,333	\$	\$ 32,929,333	
Liability Description					
Futures Contracts	\$ (17,943)	\$	\$	\$ (17,943)	
Total	\$ (17,943)	\$	\$	\$ (17,943)	
New Jersey Fund					
Asset Description	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total	
Tax-Exempt Municipal Securities Taxable Municipal Securities	\$	\$ 52,314,469 423,580	\$	\$ 52,314,469 423,580	
Total Investments	\$	\$ 52,738,049	\$	\$ 52,738,049	
Liability Description					
Futures Contracts	\$ (96,204)	\$	\$	\$ (96,204)	
Total	\$ (96,204)	\$	\$	\$ (96,204)	
New York Fund II					
Asset Description	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total	
Tax-Exempt Investments	\$	\$ 51,560,567	\$	\$ 51,560,567	
Total Investments	\$	\$ 51,560,567	\$	\$ 51,560,567	
Liability Description					
Futures Contracts	\$ (35,275)	\$	\$	\$ (35,275)	
Total	\$ (35,275)	\$	\$	\$ (35,275)	
Ohio Fund					
Asset Description	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total	
Tax-Exempt Investments	\$	\$ 47,917,335	\$	\$ 47,917,335	
Total Investments	\$	\$ 47,917,335	\$	\$ 47,917,335	
Liability Description					
Futures Contracts	\$ (50,392)	\$	\$	\$ (50,392)	
Total	\$ (50,392)	\$	\$	\$ (50,392)	

Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2013

Notes to Financial Statements continued

Pennsylvania Fund Asset Description	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Tax-Exempt Investments	\$	\$ 62,957,702	\$	\$ 62,957,702
Total Investments	\$	\$ 62,957,702	\$	\$ 62,957,702
Liability Description				
Futures Contracts	\$ (88,187)	\$	\$	\$ (88,187)
Total	\$ (88,187)	\$	\$	\$ (88,187)

^{*} None of the unobservable inputs for Level 3 assets, individually or collectively, had a material impact on the Fund.

The California Fund II, Massachusetts Fund, Michigan Fund, New Jersey Fund, New York Fund II, Ohio Fund and Pennsylvania Fund held no investments or other financial instruments as of September 30, 2012 whose fair value was determined using Level 3 inputs.

Level 3 investments held by Municipal Fund II at the beginning and/or end of the period in relation to net assets were not significant and accordingly, a reconciliation of Level 3 assets for the year ended September 30, 2013 is not presented.

At September 30, 2013, there were no investments transferred between Level 1 and Level 2 during the year then ended.

Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2013

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Trustees and Shareholders of Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund II, Eaton Vance California Municipal Bond Fund II, Eaton Vance Massachusetts Municipal Bond Fund, Eaton Vance Michigan Municipal Bond Fund, Eaton Vance New Jersey Municipal Bond Fund, Eaton Vance New York Municipal Bond Fund II, Eaton Vance Ohio Municipal Bond Fund, and Eaton Vance Pennsylvania Municipal Bond Fund:

We have audited the accompanying statements of assets and liabilities of Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund II, Eaton Vance California Municipal Bond Fund II, Eaton Vance Massachusetts Municipal Bond Fund, Eaton Vance Michigan Municipal Bond Fund, Eaton Vance New York Municipal Bond Fund II, Eaton Vance Ohio Municipal Bond Fund, and Eaton Vance Pennsylvania Municipal Bond Fund (collectively, the Funds) including the portfolios of investments, as of September 30, 2013, and the related statements of operations for the year then ended, the statements of cash flows of Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund II, Eaton Vance California Municipal Bond Fund II, and Eaton Vance New York Municipal Bond Fund II for the year then ended, the statements of changes in net assets for each of the two years in the period then ended, and the financial highlights for each of the five years in the period then ended. These financial statements and financial highlights are the responsibility of the Funds management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and financial highlights based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements and financial highlights are free of material misstatement. The Funds are not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of their internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Funds internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our procedures included confirmation of securities owned as of September 30, 2013 by correspondence with the custodian and brokers; where replies were not received from brokers, we performed other auditing procedures. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements and financial highlights referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial positions of Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund II, Eaton Vance California Municipal Bond Fund II, Eaton Vance Massachusetts Municipal Bond Fund, Eaton Vance Michigan Municipal Bond Fund, Eaton Vance New Jersey Municipal Bond Fund, Eaton Vance New York Municipal Bond Fund II, Eaton Vance Ohio Municipal Bond Fund, and Eaton Vance Pennsylvania Municipal Bond Fund as of September 30, 2013, the results of their operations for the year then ended, the cash flows of Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund II, Eaton Vance California Municipal Bond Fund II, and Eaton Vance New York Municipal Bond Fund II for the year then ended, the changes in their net assets for each of the two years in the period then ended, and the financial highlights for each of the five years in the period then ended, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

Boston, Massachusetts

November 15, 2013

Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2013

Federal Tax Information (Unaudited)

The Form 1099-DIV you receive in January 2014 will show the tax status of all distributions paid to your account in calendar year 2013. Shareholders are advised to consult their own tax adviser with respect to the tax consequences of their investment in the Funds. As required by the Internal Revenue Code and/or regulations, shareholders must be notified regarding exempt-interest dividends.

Exempt-Interest Dividends. The Funds designate the following percentages of distributions as exempt-interest dividends:

Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund II	99.89%
Eaton Vance California Municipal Bond Fund II	99.97%
Eaton Vance Massachusetts Municipal Bond Fund	100.00%
Eaton Vance Michigan Municipal Bond Fund	99.27%
Eaton Vance New Jersey Municipal Bond Fund	98.96%
Eaton Vance New York Municipal Bond Fund II	100.00%
Eaton Vance Ohio Municipal Bond Fund	99.89%
Eaton Vance Pennsylvania Municipal Bond Fund	99.99%

Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2013

Annual Meeting of Shareholders (Unaudited)

Each Fund held its Annual Meeting of Shareholders on July 19, 2013. Scott E. Eston, Thomas E. Faust Jr. and Allen R. Freedman were elected Class II Trustees of each Fund for a three-year term expiring in 2016. Mr. Esty was nominated for election by the holders of each Fund s APS. Due to a lack of quorum of APS, each Fund was unable to act on the election of Mr. Esty. Accordingly, Mr. Esty will remain in office and continue to serve as Trustee of each Fund until his successor is duly elected and qualified.

	Nominee for Class II Trustee	Nominee for Class II Trustee	Nominee for Class II Trustee	Nominee for Class IITrustee
	Elected by APS Shareholders:	Elected by All Shareholders:	Elected by All Shareholders:	Elected by All Shareholders:
	Benjamin C. Esty	Scott E. Eston	Thomas E. Faust Jr.	Allen R. Freedman
Municipal Fund II				
For	315	9,055,700	9,056,548	8,978,864
Withheld	65	315,629	314,781	392,465
California Fund II				
For	62	3,417,343	3,417,343	3,416,671
Withheld	0	81,145	81,145	81,817
Massachusetts Fund				
For	58	1,601,865	1,601,865	1,615,117
Withheld	33	35,145	35,145	21,893
Michigan Fund				
For	191	1,424,724	1,422,654	1,420,695
Withheld	1	30,540	32,610	34,569
New Jersey Fund				
For	102	2,363,609	2,363,599	2,363,609
Withheld	3	115,170	115,180	115,170
New York Fund II				
For	89	2,334,288	2,334,288	2,334,288
Withheld	25	59,958	59,958	59,958
Ohio Fund				
For	88	2,301,441	2,301,441	2,213,058
Withheld	17	73,110	73,110	161,493
Pennsylvania Fund				
For	329	2,676,013	2,676,780	2,652,663
Withheld	4	53,646	52,879	76,996

Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2013

Dividend Reinvestment Plan

Each Fund offers a dividend reinvestment plan (Plan) pursuant to which shareholders may elect to have distributions automatically reinvested in common shares (Shares) of the Fund. You may elect to participate in the Plan by completing the Dividend Reinvestment Plan Application Form. If you do not participate, you will receive all distributions in cash paid by check mailed directly to you by American Stock Transfer & Trust Company (AST) as dividend paying agent. On the distribution payment date, if the NAV per Share is equal to or less than the market price per Share plus estimated brokerage commissions, then new Shares will be issued. The number of Shares shall be determined by the greater of the NAV per Share or 95% of the market price. Otherwise, Shares generally will be purchased on the open market by AST, the Plan agent (Agent). Distributions subject to income tax (if any) are taxable whether or not Shares are reinvested.

If your Shares are in the name of a brokerage firm, bank, or other nominee, you can ask the firm or nominee to participate in the Plan on your behalf. If the nominee does not offer the Plan, you will need to request that the Fund s transfer agent re-register your Shares in your name or you will not be able to participate.

The Agent s service fee for handling distributions will be paid by the Fund. Plan participants will be charged their pro rata share of brokerage commissions on all open-market purchases.

Plan participants may withdraw from the Plan at any time by writing to the Agent at the address noted on the following page. If you withdraw, you will receive Shares in your name for all Shares credited to your account under the Plan. If a participant elects by written notice to the Agent to sell part or all of his or her Shares and remit the proceeds, the Agent is authorized to deduct a \$5.00 fee plus brokerage commissions from the proceeds.

If you wish to participate in the Plan and your Shares are held in your own name, you may complete the form on the following page and deliver it to the Agent. Any inquiries regarding the Plan can be directed to the Agent at 1-866-439-6787.

Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Funds September 30, 2013 Application for Participation in Dividend Reinvestment Plan This form is for shareholders who hold their common shares in their own names. If your common shares are held in the name of a brokerage firm, bank, or other nominee, you should contact your nominee to see if it will participate in the Plan on your behalf. If you wish to participate in the Plan, but your brokerage firm, bank, or nominee is unable to participate on your behalf, you should request that your common shares be re-registered in your own name which will enable your participation in the Plan. The following authorization and appointment is given with the understanding that I may terminate it at any time by terminating my participation in the Plan as provided in the terms and conditions of the Plan. Please print exact name on account Date Shareholder signature Shareholder signature Date Please sign exactly as your common shares are registered. All persons whose names appear on the share certificate must sign. YOU SHOULD NOT RETURN THIS FORM IF YOU WISH TO RECEIVE YOUR DISTRIBUTIONS IN CASH. THIS IS NOT A PROXY. This authorization form, when signed, should be mailed to the following address: Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Funds c/o American Stock Transfer & Trust Company P.O. Box 922 Wall Street Station

New York, NY 10269-0560

Number of Employees

Each Fund is organized as a Massachusetts business trust and is registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended, as a closed-end management investment company, and has no employees.

Number of Shareholders

As of September 30, 2013, Fund records indicate that there are 17, 5, 3, 5, 6, 12, 6 and 26 registered shareholders for Municipal Fund II, California Fund II, Massachusetts Fund, Michigan Fund, New Jersey Fund, New York Fund II, Ohio Fund and Pennsylvania Fund, respectively, and approximately 3,900, 1,276, 862, 899, 1,189, 1,116, 1,400 and 1,602 shareholders owning the Fund shares in street name, such as through brokers, banks and financial intermediaries for Municipal Fund II, California Fund II, Massachusetts Fund, Michigan Fund, New Jersey Fund, New York Fund II, Ohio Fund and Pennsylvania Fund, respectively.

If you are a street name shareholder and wish to receive Fund reports directly, which contain important information about a Fund, please write or call:

Eaton Vance Distributors, Inc.

Two International Place

Boston, MA 02110

1-800-262-1122

NYSE MKT symbols

Municipal Bond Fund II	EIV
California Municipal Bond Fund II	EIA
Massachusetts Municipal Bond Fund	MAB
Michigan Municipal Bond Fund	MIW
New Jersey Municipal Bond Fund	EMJ
New York Municipal Bond Fund II	NYH
Ohio Municipal Bond Fund	EIO
Pennsylvania Municipal Bond Fund	EIP

Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2013

Board of Trustees Contract Approval

Overview of the Contract Review Process

The Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the 1940 Act), provides, in substance, that each investment advisory agreement between a fund and its investment adviser will continue in effect from year to year only if its continuation is approved at least annually by the fund s board of trustees, including by a vote of a majority of the trustees who are not interested persons of the fund (Independent Trustees), cast in person at a meeting called for the purpose of considering such approval.

At a meeting of the Boards of Trustees (each a Board) of the Eaton Vance group of mutual funds (the Eaton Vance Funds) held on April 23, 2013, the Board, including a majority of the Independent Trustees, voted to approve continuation of existing advisory and sub-advisory agreements for the Eaton Vance Funds for an additional one-year period. In voting its approval, the Board relied upon the affirmative recommendation of the Contract Review Committee of the Board, which is a committee comprised exclusively of Independent Trustees. Prior to making its recommendation, the Contract Review Committee reviewed information furnished by each adviser to the Eaton Vance Funds (including information specifically requested by the Board) for a series of meetings of the Contract Review Committee held between February and April 2013, as well as information considered during prior meetings of the committee. Such information included, among other things, the following:

Information about Fees, Performance and Expenses

An independent report comparing the advisory and related fees paid by each fund with fees paid by comparable funds;

An independent report comparing each fund s total expense ratio and its components to comparable funds;

An independent report comparing the investment performance of each fund (including, where relevant, yield data, Sharpe ratios and information ratios) to the investment performance of comparable funds over various time periods;

Data regarding investment performance in comparison to benchmark indices and customized peer groups, in each case as approved by the Board with respect to the funds;

For each fund, comparative information concerning the fees charged and the services provided by each adviser in managing other accounts (including mutual funds, other collective investment funds and institutional accounts) using investment strategies and techniques similar to those used in managing such fund;

Profitability analyses for each adviser with respect to each fund; Information about Portfolio Management and Trading

Descriptions of the investment management services provided to each fund, including the investment strategies and processes employed, and any changes in portfolio management processes and personnel;

Information about the allocation of brokerage and the benefits received by each adviser as a result of brokerage allocation, including information concerning the acquisition of research through client commission arrangements and the fund s policies with respect to soft dollar arrangements;

Data relating to portfolio turnover rates of each fund;

The procedures and processes used to determine the fair value of fund assets and actions taken to monitor and test the effectiveness of such procedures and processes;

Information about each adviser s processes for monitoring best execution of portfolio transactions, and other policies and practices of each adviser with respect to trading;

Information about each Adviser

Reports detailing the financial results and condition of each adviser;

Descriptions of the qualifications, education and experience of the individual investment professionals whose responsibilities include portfolio management and investment research for the funds, and information relating to their compensation and responsibilities with respect to managing other mutual funds and investment accounts;

Copies of the Codes of Ethics of each adviser and its affiliates, together with information relating to compliance with and the administration of such codes;

Copies of or descriptions of each adviser s policies and procedures relating to proxy voting, the handling of corporate actions and class actions;

Information concerning the resources devoted to compliance efforts undertaken by each adviser and its affiliates on behalf of the funds (including descriptions of various compliance programs) and their record of compliance with investment policies and restrictions, including policies with respect to market-timing, late trading and selective portfolio disclosure, and with policies on personal securities transactions;

Descriptions of the business continuity and disaster recovery plans of each adviser and its affiliates;

A description of Eaton Vance Management s procedures for overseeing third party advisers and sub-advisers, including with respect to regulatory and compliance issues, investment management and other matters;

Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2013

Board of Trustees Contract Approval continued

Other Relevant Information

Information concerning the nature, cost and character of the administrative and other non-investment management services provided by Eaton Vance Management and its affiliates;

Information concerning management of the relationship with the custodian, subcustodians and fund accountants by each adviser or the funds administrator; and

The terms of each advisory agreement.

In addition to the information identified above, the Contract Review Committee considered information provided from time to time by each adviser throughout the year at meetings of the Board and its committees. Over the course of the twelve-month period ended April 30, 2013, with respect to one or more funds, the Board met eight times and the Contract Review Committee, the Audit Committee, the Governance Committee, the Portfolio Management Committee and the Compliance Reports and Regulatory Matters Committee, each of which is a Committee comprised solely of Independent Trustees, met eight, twenty-one, five, nine and thirteen times respectively. At such meetings, the Trustees participated in investment and performance reviews with the portfolio managers and other investment professionals of each adviser relating to each fund. The Board and its Committees considered the investment and trading strategies used in pursuing each fund s investment objective, including, where relevant, the use of derivative instruments, as well as processes for monitoring best execution of portfolio transactions and risk management techniques. The Board and its Committees also evaluated issues pertaining to industry and regulatory developments, compliance procedures, fund governance and other issues with respect to the funds, and received and participated in reports and presentations provided by Eaton Vance Management and other fund advisers with respect to such matters.

For funds that invest through one or more underlying portfolios, the Board considered similar information about the portfolio(s) when considering the approval of advisory agreements. In addition, in cases where the fund s investment adviser has engaged a sub-adviser, the Board considered similar information about the sub-adviser when considering the approval of any sub-advisory agreement.

The Contract Review Committee was assisted throughout the contract review process by Goodwin Procter LLP, legal counsel for the Independent Trustees. The members of the Contract Review Committee relied upon the advice of such counsel and their own business judgment in determining the material factors to be considered in evaluating each advisory and sub-advisory agreement and the weight to be given to each such factor. The conclusions reached with respect to each advisory and sub-advisory agreement were based on a comprehensive evaluation of all the information provided and not any single factor. Moreover, each member of the Contract Review Committee may have placed varying emphasis on particular factors in reaching conclusions with respect to each advisory agreement.

Results of the Process

Based on its consideration of the foregoing, and such other information as it deemed relevant, including the factors and conclusions described below, the Contract Review Committee concluded that the continuation of the investment advisory agreements of the following funds:

Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund II

Eaton Vance California Municipal Bond Fund II
Eaton Vance Massachusetts Municipal Bond Fund
Eaton Vance Michigan Municipal Bond Fund
Eaton Vance New Jersey Municipal Bond Fund
Eaton Vance New York Municipal Bond Fund II
Eaton Vance Ohio Municipal Bond Fund

Eaton Vance Pennsylvania Municipal Bond Fund

(the Funds), each with Eaton Vance Management (the Adviser), including their fee structures, is in the interests of shareholders and, therefore, the Contract Review Committee recommended to the Board approval of each agreement. The Board accepted the recommendation of the Contract Review Committee as well as the factors considered and conclusions reached by the Contract Review Committee with respect to each agreement. Accordingly, the Board, including a majority of the Independent Trustees, voted to approve continuation of the investment advisory agreement for each Fund.

Nature, Extent and Quality of Services

In considering whether to approve the investment advisory agreements of the Funds, the Board evaluated the nature, extent and quality of services provided to the Funds by the Adviser.

The Board considered the Adviser s management capabilities and investment process with respect to the types of investments held by each Fund, including the education, experience and number of its investment professionals and other personnel who provide portfolio management, investment research, and similar services to the Funds. In particular, the Board considered, where relevant, the abilities and experience of such investment personnel in analyzing factors such as credit risk, tax efficiency, and special considerations relevant to investing in municipal bonds. The Board considered the Adviser s large municipal bond team, which includes portfolio managers and credit specialists who provide services to the Funds. The Board also took into account the

Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2013

Board of Trustees Contract Approval continued

resources dedicated to portfolio management and other services, including the compensation methods of the Adviser to recruit and retain investment personnel, and the time and attention devoted to each Fund by senior management.

The Board reviewed the compliance programs of the Adviser and relevant affiliates thereof. Among other matters, the Board considered compliance and reporting matters relating to personal trading by investment personnel, selective disclosure of portfolio holdings, late trading, frequent trading, portfolio valuation, business continuity and the allocation of investment opportunities. The Board also evaluated the responses of the Adviser and its affiliates to requests in recent years from regulatory authorities such as the Securities and Exchange Commission and the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority.

The Board considered shareholder and other administrative services provided or managed by Eaton Vance Management and its affiliates, including transfer agency and accounting services. The Board evaluated the benefits to shareholders of investing in a fund that is a part of a large family of funds.

After consideration of the foregoing factors, among others, the Board concluded that the nature, extent and quality of services provided by the Adviser, taken as a whole, are appropriate and consistent with the terms of the investment advisory agreements.

Fund Performance

The Board compared each Fund s investment performance to a relevant universe of similarly managed funds identified by an independent data provider and appropriate benchmark indices and, where relevant, a customized peer group of similarly managed funds approved by the Board, and assessed each Fund s performance on the basis of total return and current income return. The Board s review included comparative performance data for the one-, three-, five- and ten-year periods ended September 30, 2012 for each Fund. The Board considered the impact of extraordinary market conditions in recent years on each Fund s performance in light of, among other things, the Adviser s efforts to generate competitive levels of tax exempt current income over time through investments that, relative to its peer universe, focus on higher quality municipal bonds with longer maturities. The Board noted that the Adviser had taken action to restructure each Fund s portfolio as part of a long-term strategy for managing interest rate risk, consistent with each Fund s objective of providing current income. The Board concluded that each Fund s performance had been satisfactory on the basis of current income return, and that it was appropriate to continue to monitor the effectiveness of the actions taken by the Adviser to improve Fund performance on the basis of total return, which the Board noted had improved for periods ended as of December 31, 2012.

Management Fees and Expenses

The Board reviewed contractual investment advisory fee rates payable by each Fund (referred to as management fees). As part of its review, the Board considered the management fees and each Fund s total expense ratio for the year ended September 30, 2012, as compared to a group of similarly managed funds selected by an independent data provider. The Board also considered factors that had an impact on Fund expense ratios, as identified by management in response to inquiries from the Contract Review Committee, as well as actions taken by management in recent years to reduce expenses at the Eaton Vance fund complex level, including the negotiation of reduced fees for transfer agency and custody services.

After reviewing the foregoing information, and in light of the nature, extent and quality of the services provided by the Adviser, the Board concluded that the management fees charged for advisory and related services are reasonable.

Profitability

The Board reviewed the level of profits realized by the Adviser and relevant affiliates thereof in providing investment advisory and administrative services to each Fund and to all Eaton Vance Funds as a group. The Board considered the level of profits realized without regard to revenue sharing or other payments by the Adviser and its affiliates to third parties in respect of distribution services. The Board also considered other direct or indirect benefits received by the Adviser and its affiliates in connection with their relationships with the Funds, including the benefits of research services that may be available to the Adviser as a result of securities transactions effected for the Funds and other investment advisory clients.

The Board concluded that, in light of the foregoing factors and the nature, extent and quality of the services rendered, the profits realized by the Adviser and its affiliates are reasonable.

Economies of Scale

In reviewing management fees and profitability, the Board also considered the extent to which the Adviser and its affiliates, on the one hand, and each Fund, on the other hand, can expect to realize benefits from economies of scale as the assets of each Fund increase. The Board acknowledged the difficulty in accurately measuring the benefits resulting from the economies of scale with respect to the management of any specific fund or group of funds. The Board reviewed data summarizing the increases and decreases in the assets of each Fund and of all Eaton Vance Funds as a group over various time periods, and evaluated the extent to which the total expense ratio of each Fund and the profitability of the Adviser and its affiliates may have been affected by such increases or decreases. Based upon the foregoing, the Board concluded that each Fund currently shares in the benefits from economies of scale. The Board also considered the fact that the Funds are not continuously offered and that the Funds assets are not expected to increase materially in the foreseeable future. The Board concluded that, in light of the level of the Adviser s profits with respect to the Fund, the implementation of breakpoints in the advisory fee schedules is not appropriate at this time.

Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2013

Management and Organization

Fund Management. The Trustees and officers of Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund II (EIV), Eaton Vance California Municipal Bond Fund II (EIA), Eaton Vance Massachusetts Municipal Bond Fund (MAB), Eaton Vance Michigan Municipal Bond Fund (MIW), Eaton Vance New Jersey Municipal Bond Fund (EMJ), Eaton Vance New York Municipal Bond Fund II (NYH), Eaton Vance Ohio Municipal Bond Fund (EIO), and Eaton Vance Pennsylvania Municipal Bond Fund (EIP) (the Funds) are responsible for the overall management and supervision of the Funds affairs. The Trustees and officers of the Funds are listed below. Except as indicated, each individual has held the office shown or other offices in the same company for the last five years. The Noninterested Trustees consist of those Trustees who are not interested persons of the Funds, as that term is defined under the 1940 Act. The business address of each Trustee and officer is Two International Place, Boston, Massachusetts 02110. As used below, EVC refers to Eaton Vance Corp., EV refers to Eaton Vance, Inc., EVM refers to Eaton Vance Management, BMR refers to Boston Management and Research and EVD refers to Eaton Vance Distributors, Inc. EVC and EV are the corporate parent and trustee, respectively, of EVM and BMR. EVD is a wholly-owned subsidiary of EVC. Each officer affiliated with Eaton Vance may hold a position with other Eaton Vance affiliates that is comparable to his or her position with EVM listed below. Each Trustee oversees 190 portfolios in the Eaton Vance Complex (including all master and feeder funds in a master feeder structure). Each officer serves as an officer of certain other Eaton Vance funds. Each Trustee serves for a three year term. Each officer serves until his or her successor is elected.

	Position(s)	Term of Office;	Principal Occupation(s) and Directorships
Name and Year of Birth Interested Trustee	with the Funds	Length of Service	During Past Five Years and Other Relevant Experience
Thomas E. Faust Jr.	Class II	Until 2016.	Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and President of EVC, Director and President of EV, Chief Executive Officer and President of EVM and BMR, and Director of EVD.
1958	Trustee	3 years. Trustee since 2007.	Trustee and/or officer of 190 registered investment companies. Mr. Faust is an interested person because of his positions with EVM, BMR, EVD, EVC and EV, which are affiliates of the Funds. Directorships in the Last Five Years. (1) Director of EVC and Hexavest Inc.
Noninterested Trustees			
Scott E. Eston	Class II	Until 2016.	Private investor. Formerly held various positions at Grantham, Mayo, Van Otterloo and Co., L.L.C. (investment management firm) (1997-2009), including Chief
1956	Trustee	3 years.	Operating Officer (2002-2009), Chief Financial Officer (1997-2009) and Chairman of the Executive Committee (2002-2008); President and Principal Executive Officer,
		Trustee since 2011.	GMO Trust (open-end registered investment company) (2006-2009). Former Partner, Coopers and Lybrand L.L.P. (now PricewaterhouseCoopers) (public accounting firm) (1987-1997).
			Directorships in the Last Five Years. None.
Benjamin C. Esty ^(A)	Class II Trustee	Until 2013.	Roy and Elizabeth Simmons Professor of Business Administration and Finance Unit Head, Harvard University Graduate School of Business Administration.
1963		3 years.	Directorships in the Last Five Years. (1) None.
		Trustee since 2005.	

Allen R. Freedman	Class II Trustee	Until 2016. 3 years.	Private Investor. Former Chairman (2002-2004) and a Director (1983-2004) of Systems & Computer Technology Corp. (provider of software to higher education). Formerly, a Director of Loring Ward International (fund distributor) (2005-2007). Former Chairman and a Director of Indus International, Inc. (provider of enterprise
		Trustee since 2007.	management software to the power generating industry) (2005-2007). Former Chief Executive Officer of Assurant, Inc. (insurance provider) (1979-2000).
			Directorships in the Last Five Years. (1) Director of Stonemor Partners, L.P. (owner and operator of cemeteries). Formerly, Director of Assurant, Inc. (insurance provider) (1979-2011).
William H. Park	Class I	Until 2015.	Consultant and private investor. Formerly, Chief Financial Officer, Aveon Group L.P. (investment management firm) (2010-2011). Formerly, Vice Chairman, Commercial
1947	Trustee	3 years.	Industrial Finance Corp. (specialty finance company) (2006-2010). Formerly, President and Chief Executive Officer, Prizm Capital Management, LLC (investment
		Trustee since 2003.	management firm) (2002-2005). Formerly, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, United Asset Management Corporation (investment management firm) (1982-2001). Formerly, Senior Manager, Price Waterhouse (now PricewaterhouseCoopers) (an independent registered public accounting firm) (1972-1981).

Directorships in the Last Five Years. $^{(1)}$ None.

Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2013

Management and Organization continued

	Position(s)	Term of Office;	Principal Occupation(s) and Directorships
Name and Year of Birth Noninterested Trustees (c	with the Funds ontinued)	Length of Service	During Past Five Years and Other Relevant Experience
Ronald A. Pearlman	Class III	Until 2014.	Professor of Law, Georgetown University Law Center. Formerly, Deputy Assistant Secretary (Tax Policy) and Assistant Secretary (Tax Policy), U.S. Department of the
1940	Trustee	3 years.	Treasury (1983-1985). Formerly, Chief of Staff, Joint Committee on Taxation, U.S. Congress (1988-1990).
		Trustee since 2003.	Directorships in the Last Five Years. (1) None.
Helen Frame Peters	Class III	Until 2014.	Professor of Finance, Carroll School of Management, Boston College. Formerly, Dean, Carroll School of Management, Boston College (2000-2002). Formerly, Chief
1948	Trustee	3 years.	Investment Officer, Fixed Income, Scudder Kemper Investments (investment management firm) (1998-1999). Formerly, Chief Investment Officer, Equity and Fixed
		Trustee since 2008.	Income, Colonial Management Associates (investment management firm) (1991-1998).
			Directorships in the Last Five Years. Formerly, Director of BJ s Wholesale Club, Inc. (wholesale club retailer) (2004-2011). Formerly, Trustee of SPDR Index Shares Funds and SPDR Series Trust (exchange traded funds) (2000-2009). Formerly, Director of Federal Home Loan Bank of Boston (a bank for banks) (2007-2009).
Lynn A. Stout	Class I	Until 2015.	Distinguished Professor of Corporate and Business Law, Jack G. Clarke Business Law Institute, Cornell University Law School. Formerly, the Paul Hastings Professor of Corporate and Securities Law (2006-2012) and Professor of Law (2001-2006),
1957	Trustee	3 years.	University of California at Los Angeles School of Law.
		Trustee since 2002.	Directorships in the Last Five Years. (1) None.
Harriett Tee Taggart	Class III	Until 2014.	Managing Director, Taggart Associates (a professional practice firm). Formerly, Partner and Senior Vice President, Wellington Management Company, LLP
1948	Trustee	2 years.	(investment management firm) (1983-2006).
		Trustee since 2011.	Directorships in the Last Five Years. Director of Albemarle Corporation (chemicals manufacturer) (since 2007) and The Hanover Group (specialty property and casualty insurance company) (since 2009). Formerly, Director of Lubrizol Corporation (specialty chemicals) (2007-2011).
Ralph F. Verni ^(A)	Chairman of the Board and	Until 2015.	Consultant and private investor. Formerly, Chief Investment Officer (1982-1992), Chief Financial Officer (1988-1990) and Director (1982-1992), New England Life.
1943	Class I	3 years.	Formerly, Chairperson, New England Mutual Funds (1982-1992). Formerly, President and Chief Executive Officer, State Street Management & Research (1992-2000). Formerly, Chairperson, State Street Research Mutual Funds (1992-2000). Formerly,
	Trustee	Chairman of the Board since 2007 and Trustee	Director, W.P. Carey, LLC (1998-2004) and First Pioneer Farm Credit Corp. (2002-2006).

${\tt Edgar\ Filing:\ EATON\ VANCE\ MASSACHUSETTS\ MUNICIPAL\ BOND\ FUND\ -\ Form\ N-CSR}$

Directorships in the Last Five Years.(1) None. since 2005.

Principal Officers who are not Trustees Position(s)

	with the	Length of	Principal Occupation(s)
Name and Year of Birth	Funds	Service	During Past Five Years
Cynthia J. Clemson	President of EIA, MIW, NYH, EIO	Since 2005	Vice President of EVM and BMR.
1963	and EIP		
Thomas M. Metzold	President of MAB, EIV	Since 2010	Vice President of EVM and BMR.
1958			
	and EMJ		
Payson F. Swaffield	Vice President	Since 2011	Vice President and Chief Income Investment Officer of EVM and BMR.
1956			
Maureen A. Gemma	Vice President, Secretary and	Vice President since 2011,	Vice President of EVM and BMR.
1960	Chief Legal Officer	Secretary since 2007 and Chief Legal Officer since 2008	

Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2013

Management and Organization continued

Position(s)

	with the	Length of	Principal Occupation(s)
Name and Year of Birth Principal Officers who are	Funds not Trustees (continued)	Service	During Past Five Years
James F. Kirchner ⁽²⁾	Treasurer	Since 2013	Vice President of EVM and BMR.
1967			
Paul M. O Neil	Chief Compliance	Since 2004	Vice President of EVM and BMR.
1953	Officer		

⁽¹⁾ During their respective tenures, the Trustees (except Mr. Eston and Ms. Taggart) also served as Board members of one or more of the following Eaton Vance funds (which operated in the years noted): Eaton Vance Credit Opportunities Fund (launched in 2005 and terminated in 2010); Eaton Vance Insured Florida Plus Municipal Bond Fund (launched in 2002 and terminated in 2009); and Eaton Vance National Municipal Income Trust (launched in 1998 and terminated in 2009)

⁽²⁾ Prior to 2013, Mr. Kirchner served as Assistant Treasurer of the Funds since 2007.

⁽A) APS Trustee.

Eaton Vance Funds

IMPORTANT NOTICES

Privacy. The Eaton Vance organization is committed to ensuring your financial privacy. Each of the financial institutions identified below has in effect the following policy (Privacy Policy) with respect to nonpublic personal information about its customers:

Only such information received from you, through application forms or otherwise, and information about your Eaton Vance fund transactions will be collected. This may include information such as name, address, social security number, tax status, account balances and transactions.

None of such information about you (or former customers) will be disclosed to anyone, except as permitted by law (which includes disclosure to employees necessary to service your account). In the normal course of servicing a customer s account, Eaton Vance may share information with unaffiliated third parties that perform various required services such as transfer agents, custodians and broker-dealers.

Policies and procedures (including physical, electronic and procedural safeguards) are in place that are designed to protect the confidentiality of such information.

We reserve the right to change our Privacy Policy at any time upon proper notification to you. Customers may want to review our Privacy Policy periodically for changes by accessing the link on our homepage: www.eatonvance.com.

Our pledge of privacy applies to the following entities within the Eaton Vance organization: the Eaton Vance Family of Funds, Eaton Vance Management, Eaton Vance Investment Counsel, Eaton Vance Distributors, Inc., Eaton Vance Trust Company, Eaton Vance Management s Real Estate Investment Group and Boston Management and Research. In addition, our Privacy Policy applies only to those Eaton Vance customers who are individuals and who have a direct relationship with us. If a customer s account (i.e., fund shares) is held in the name of a third-party financial advisor/broker-dealer, it is likely that only such advisor s privacy policies apply to the customer. This notice supersedes all previously issued privacy disclosures. For more information about Eaton Vance s Privacy Policy, please call 1-800-262-1122.

Delivery of Shareholder Documents. The Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) permits funds to deliver only one copy of shareholder documents, including prospectuses, proxy statements and shareholder reports, to fund investors with multiple accounts at the same residential or post office box address. This practice is often called householding and it helps eliminate duplicate mailings to shareholders. Eaton Vance, or your financial advisor, may household the mailing of your documents indefinitely unless you instruct Eaton Vance, or your financial advisor, otherwise. If you would prefer that your Eaton Vance documents not be householded, please contact Eaton Vance at 1-800-262-1122, or contact your financial advisor. Your instructions that householding not apply to delivery of your Eaton Vance documents will be effective within 30 days of receipt by Eaton Vance or your financial advisor.

Portfolio Holdings. Each Eaton Vance Fund and its underlying Portfolio(s) (if applicable) will file a schedule of portfolio holdings on Form N-Q with the SEC for the first and third quarters of each fiscal year. The Form N-Q will be available on the Eaton Vance website at www.eatonvance.com, by calling Eaton Vance at 1-800-262-1122 or in the EDGAR database on the SEC s website at www.sec.gov. Form N-Q may also be reviewed and copied at the SEC s public reference room in Washington, D.C. (call 1-800-732-0330 for information on the operation of the public reference room).

Proxy Voting. From time to time, funds are required to vote proxies related to the securities held by the funds. The Eaton Vance Funds or their underlying Portfolios (if applicable) vote proxies according to a set of policies and procedures approved by the Funds and Portfolios Boards. You may obtain a description of these policies and procedures and information on how the Funds or Portfolios voted proxies relating to portfolio securities during the most recent 12-month period ended June 30, without charge, upon request, by calling 1-800-262-1122 and by accessing the SEC s website at www.sec.gov.

Share Repurchase Program. On November 11, 2013, the Funds Board of Trustees approved a share repurchase program authorizing each Fund to repurchase up to 10% of its currently outstanding common shares in open-market transactions at a discount to net asset value. The repurchase program does not obligate a Fund to purchase a specific amount of shares. The Funds repurchase activity, including the number of shares purchased, average price and average discount to net asset value, will be disclosed in the Funds annual and semi-annual reports to shareholders.

Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE MASSACHUSETTS MUNICIPAL BOND FUND - Form N-CSR

Additional Notice to Shareholders. If applicable, a Fund may also redeem or purchase its outstanding auction preferred shares (APS) in order to maintain compliance with regulatory requirements, borrowing or rating agency requirements or for other purposes as it deems appropriate or necessary.

Closed-End Fund Information. Eaton Vance closed-end funds make fund performance data and certain information about portfolio characteristics available on the Eaton Vance website shortly after the end of each month. The funds net asset value per share is readily accessible on the Eaton Vance website. Portfolio holdings for the most recent month-end are also posted to the website approximately 30 days following the end of the month. This information is available at www.eatonvance.com on the fund information pages under Individual Investors Closed-End Funds .

State Street Bank and Trust Company 200 Clarendon Street Boston, MA 02116 Transfer Agent American Stock Transfer & Trust Company 59 Maiden Lane Plaza Level New York, NY 10038 Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm Deloitte & Touche LLP 200 Berkeley Street Boston, MA 02116-5022 Fund Offices Two International Place

Investment Adviser and Administrator

Eaton Vance Management

Two International Place

Boston, MA 02110

Boston, MA 02110

Custodian

1557-11/13 CE-8IMBIISRC

Item 2. Code of Ethics

The registrant has adopted a code of ethics applicable to its Principal Executive Officer, Principal Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer. The registrant undertakes to provide a copy of such code of ethics to any person upon request, without charge, by calling 1-800-262-1122.

Item 3. Audit Committee Financial Expert

The registrant s Board has designated William H. Park, an independent trustee, as its audit committee financial expert. Mr. Park is a certified public accountant who is a consultant and private investor. Previously, he served as the Chief Financial Officer of Aveon Group, L.P. (an investment management firm), as the Vice Chairman of Commercial Industrial Finance Corp. (specialty finance company), as President and Chief Executive Officer of Prizm Capital Management, LLC (investment management firm), as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of United Asset Management Corporation (an institutional investment management firm) and as a Senior Manager at Price Waterhouse (now PricewaterhouseCoopers) (an independent registered public accounting firm).

Item 4. Principal Accountant Fees and Services

(a) (d)

The following table presents the aggregate fees billed to the registrant for the registrant s fiscal years ended September 30, 2012 and September 30, 2013 by the registrant s principal accountant, Deloitte & Touche LLP (D&T), for professional services rendered for the audit of the registrant s annual financial statements and fees billed for other services rendered by D&T during such periods.

Fiscal Years Ended	9/30/12	9/30/13
Audit Fees	\$ 25,880	\$ 26,180
Audit-Related Fees ⁽¹⁾	\$ 3,915	\$ 3,915
Tax Fees ⁽²⁾	\$ 7,900	\$ 8,310
All Other Fees ⁽³⁾	\$ 310	\$ 0
Total	\$ 38,005	\$ 38,405

- (1) Audit-related fees consist of the aggregate fees billed for assurance and related services that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit of the registrant s financial statements and are not reported under the category of audit fees and specifically include fees for the performance of certain agreed-upon procedures relating to the registrant s auction preferred shares.
- (2) Tax fees consist of the aggregate fees billed for professional services rendered by the principal accountant relating to tax compliance, tax advice, and tax planning and specifically include fees for tax return preparation and other related tax compliance/planning matters.
- (3) All other fees consist of the aggregate fees billed for products and services provided by the principal accountant other than audit, audit-related, and tax services.
- (e)(1) The registrant s audit committee has adopted policies and procedures relating to the pre-approval of services provided by the registrant s principal accountant (the Pre-Approval Policies). The Pre-Approval Policies establish a framework intended to assist the audit committee in the proper discharge of its pre-approval responsibilities. As a general matter, the Pre-Approval Policies (i) specify certain types of audit, audit-related, tax, and other services determined to be pre-approved by the audit committee; and (ii) delineate specific procedures governing the mechanics of the pre-approval process, including the approval and monitoring of audit and non-audit service fees. Unless a service is specifically pre-approved under the Pre-Approval Policies, it must be separately pre-approved by the audit committee.

The Pre-Approval Policies and the types of audit and non-audit services pre-approved therein must be reviewed and ratified by the registrant s audit committee at least annually. The registrant s audit committee maintains full responsibility for the appointment, compensation, and oversight of the work of the registrant s principal accountant.

(e)(2) No services described in paragraphs (b)-(d) above were approved by the registrant s audit committee pursuant to the de minimis exception set forth in Rule 2-01 (c)(7)(i)(C) of Regulation S-X.

(f) Not applicable.

(g) The following table presents (i) the aggregate non-audit fees (i.e., fees for audit-related, tax, and other services) billed to the registrant by D&T for the registrant s fiscal years ended September 30, 2012 and September 30, 2013; and (ii) the aggregate non-audit fees (i.e., fees for audit-related, tax, and other services) billed to the Eaton Vance organization by D&T for the same time periods.

Fiscal Years Ended	9/30/12	9/30/13
Registrant	\$ 12,125	\$ 12,225
Eaton Vance ⁽¹⁾	\$ 606,619	\$ 369,820

- (1) The investment adviser to the registrant, as well as any of its affiliates that provide ongoing services to the registrant, are subsidiaries of Eaton Vance Corp.
- (h) The registrant s audit committee has considered whether the provision by the registrant s principal accountant of non-audit services to the registrant s investment adviser and any entity controlling, controlled by, or under common control with the adviser that provides ongoing services to the registrant that were not pre-approved pursuant to Rule 2-01(c)(7)(ii) of Regulation S-X is compatible with maintaining the principal accountant s independence.

Item 5. Audit Committee of Listed Registrants

The registrant has a separately-designated standing audit committee established in accordance with Section 3(a)(58)(A) of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. William H. Park (Chair), Scott E. Eston, Ronald A. Pearlman, Helen Frame Peters and Ralph F. Verni are the members of the registrant s audit committee.

Item 6. Schedule of Investments

Please see schedule of investments contained in the Report to Stockholders included under Item 1 of this Form N-CSR.

Item 7. Disclosure of Proxy Voting Policies and Procedures for Closed-End Management Investment Companies

The Board of Trustees of the Trust has adopted a proxy voting policy and procedure (the Fund Policy), pursuant to which the Trustees have delegated proxy voting responsibility to the Fund s investment adviser and adopted the investment adviser s proxy voting policies and procedures (the Policies) which are described below. The Trustees will review the Fund s proxy voting records from time to time and will annually consider approving the Policies for the upcoming year. In the event that a conflict of interest arises between the Fund s shareholders and the investment adviser, the administrator, or any of their affiliates or any affiliate of the Fund, the investment adviser will generally refrain from voting the proxies related to the companies giving rise to such conflict until it consults with the Board s Special Committee except as contemplated under the Fund Policy. The Board s Special Committee will instruct the investment adviser on the appropriate course of action.

The Policies are designed to promote accountability of a company s management to its shareholders and to align the interests of management with those shareholders. An independent proxy voting service (Agent), currently Institutional Shareholder Services, Inc., has been retained to assist in the voting of proxies through the provision of vote analysis, implementation and recordkeeping and disclosure services. The investment adviser will generally vote proxies through the Agent. The Agent is required

to vote all proxies and/or refer them back to the investment adviser pursuant to the Policies. It is generally the policy of the investment adviser to vote in accordance with the recommendation of the Agent. The Agent shall refer to the investment adviser proxies relating to mergers and restructurings, and the disposition of assets, termination, liquidation and mergers contained in mutual fund proxies. The investment adviser will normally vote against anti-takeover measures and other proposals designed to limit the ability of shareholders to act on possible transactions, except in the case of closed-end management investment companies. The investment adviser generally supports management on social and environmental proposals. The investment adviser may abstain from voting from time to time where it determines that the costs associated with voting a proxy outweighs the benefits derived from exercising the right to vote or the economic effect on shareholders interests or the value of the portfolio holding is indeterminable or insignificant.

In addition, the investment adviser will monitor situations that may result in a conflict of interest between the Fund s shareholders and the investment adviser, the administrator, or any of their affiliates or any affiliate of the Fund by maintaining a list of significant existing and prospective corporate clients. The investment adviser s personnel responsible for reviewing and voting proxies on behalf of the Fund will report any proxy received or expected to be received from a company included on that list to the personnel of the investment adviser identified in the Policies. If such personnel expects to instruct the Agent to vote such proxies in a manner inconsistent with the guidelines of the Policies or the recommendation of the Agent, the personnel will consult with members of senior management of the investment adviser to determine if a material conflict of interests exists. If it is determined that a material conflict does exist, the investment adviser will seek instruction on how to vote from the Special Committee.

Information on how the Fund voted proxies relating to portfolio securities during the most recent 12 month period ended June 30 is available (1) without charge, upon request, by calling 1-800-262-1122, and (2) on the Securities and Exchange Commission s website at http://www.sec.gov.

Item 8. Portfolio Managers of Closed-End Management Investment Companies

Portfolio Management

Cynthia J. Clemson, portfolio manager of Eaton Vance California Municipal Bond Fund II, William H. Ahern, Jr., portfolio manager of Eaton Vance Michigan Municipal Bond Fund, Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund II and Eaton Vance Ohio Municipal Bond Fund, Craig R. Brandon, portfolio manager of Eaton Vance Massachusetts Municipal Bond Fund and Eaton Vance New York Municipal Bond Fund II and Adam A. Weigold, portfolio manager of Eaton Vance New Jersey Municipal Bond Fund and Eaton Vance Pennsylvania Municipal Bond Fund are responsible for the overall and day-to-day management of each Fund s investments.

Ms. Clemson has been an Eaton Vance portfolio manager since 1991 and is a Vice President of Eaton Vance Management (EVM) and Boston Management and Research (BMR). Mr. Ahern has been an Eaton Vance portfolio manager since 1993 and is a Vice President of EVM and BMR. Mr. Brandon has been an Eaton Vance analyst since 1998 and a portfolio manager since 2004, and is a Vice President of EVM and BMR. Mr. Weigold has been a credit analyst with Eaton Vance since 1991 and a portfolio manager since 2007, and is a Vice President of EVM and BMR. This information is provided as of the date of filing of this report.

The following tables show, as of each Fund s most recent fiscal year end, the number of accounts each portfolio manager managed in each of the listed categories and the total assets (in millions of dollars) in the accounts managed within each category. The table also shows the number of accounts with respect to which the advisory fee is based on the performance of the account, if any, and the total assets (in millions of dollars) in those accounts.

	Number of All Accounts	tal Assets of All .ccounts	Number of Accounts Paying a Performance Fee	Accounts Perfor	Assets of s Paying a rmance
Cynthia J. Clemson					
Registered Investment Companies	11	\$ 2,676.3	0	\$	0
Other Pooled Investment Vehicles	0	\$ 0	0	\$	0
Other Accounts	0	\$ 0	0	\$	0
William H. Ahern, Jr.					
Registered Investment Companies	14	\$ 3,157.7	0	\$	0
Other Pooled Investment Vehicles	0	\$ 0	0	\$	0
Other Accounts	0	\$ 0	0	\$	0
Craig R. Brandon					
Registered Investment Companies	15	\$ 1,788.6	0	\$	0
Other Pooled Investment Vehicles	0	\$ 0	0	\$	0
Other Accounts	0	\$ 0	0	\$	0
Adam A. Weigold					
Registered Investment Companies	14	\$ 1,370.7	0	\$	0
Other Pooled Investment Vehicles	0	\$ 0	0	\$	0
Other Accounts	0	\$ 0	0	\$	0

The following table shows the dollar range of Fund shares beneficially owned by each portfolio manager as of each Fund s most recent fiscal year end.

Dollar Range of Equity Securities

Owned in the Fund

California Municipal Bond Fund II

Cynthia J. Clemson None

Michigan Municipal Bond Fund

Municipal Bond Fund

II Ohio Municipal Bond Fund

William H. Ahern, Jr. None

Massachusetts Municipal Bond Fund

New York Municipal Bond Fund II

Craig R. Brandon None

New Jersey Municipal Bond Fund Pennsylvania Municipal Bond Fund

Adam A. Weigold None

Potential for Conflicts of Interest. It is possible that conflicts of interest may arise in connection with a portfolio manager s management of a Fund s investments on the one hand and investments of other accounts for which a portfolio manager is responsible on the other. For example, a portfolio manager may have conflicts of interest in allocating management time, resources and investment opportunities among the Fund and other accounts he or she advises. In addition, due to differences in the investment strategies or restrictions between a Fund and the other accounts, a portfolio manager may take action with respect to another account that differs from the action taken with respect to the Fund. In some cases, another account managed by a portfolio manager may compensate the investment adviser based on the performance of the securities held by that account. The existence of such a performance based fee may create additional conflicts of interest for a portfolio manager in the allocation of management time, resources and investment opportunities. Whenever conflicts of interest arise, a portfolio manager will endeavor to exercise his or her discretion in a manner that he or she believes is equitable to all interested persons. EVM has adopted several policies and procedures designed to address these potential conflicts including a code of ethics and policies which govern the investment adviser s trading practices, including among other things the aggregation and allocation of trades among clients, brokerage allocation, cross trades and best execution.

Compensation Structure for EVM

Compensation of EVM s portfolio managers and other investment professionals has three primary components: (1) a base salary, (2) an annual cash bonus, and (3) annual stock-based compensation consisting of options to purchase shares of EVC s nonvoting common stock and/or restricted shares of EVC s nonvoting common stock. EVM s investment professionals also receive certain retirement, insurance and other benefits that are broadly available to EVM s employees. Compensation of EVM s investment professionals is reviewed primarily on an annual basis. Cash bonuses, stock-based compensation awards, and adjustments in base salary are typically paid or put into effect at or shortly after the October 31st fiscal year end of EVC.

Method to Determine Compensation. EVM compensates its portfolio managers based primarily on the scale and complexity of their portfolio responsibilities and the total return performance of managed funds and accounts versus the benchmark(s) stated in the prospectus, as well as an appropriate peer group (as described below). In addition to rankings within peer groups of funds on the basis of absolute performance, consideration may also be given to relative risk-adjusted performance. Risk-adjusted performance measures include, but are not limited to, the Sharpe Ratio. Performance is normally based on periods ending on the September 30th preceding fiscal year end. Fund performance is normally evaluated primarily versus peer groups of funds as determined by Lipper Inc. and/or Morningstar, Inc. When a fund s peer group as determined by Lipper or Morningstar is deemed by EVM s management not to provide a fair comparison, performance may instead be evaluated primarily against a custom peer group or market index. In evaluating the performance of a fund and its manager, primary emphasis is normally placed on three-year performance, with secondary consideration of performance over longer and shorter periods. For funds that are tax-managed or otherwise have an objective of after-tax returns, performance is measured net of taxes. For other funds, performance is evaluated on a pre-tax basis. For funds with an investment objective other than total return (such as current income), consideration will also be given to the fund s success in achieving its objective. For managers responsible for multiple funds and accounts, investment performance is evaluated on an aggregate basis, based on averages or weighted averages among managed funds and accounts. Funds and accounts that have performance-based advisory fees are not accorded disproportionate weightings in measuring aggregate portfolio manager performance.

The compensation of portfolio managers with other job responsibilities (such as heading an investment group or providing analytical support to other portfolios) will include consideration of the scope of such responsibilities and the managers performance in meeting them.

EVM seeks to compensate portfolio managers commensurate with their responsibilities and performance, and competitive with other firms within the investment management industry. EVM participates in investment-industry compensation surveys and utilizes survey data as a factor in determining salary, bonus and stock-based compensation levels for portfolio managers and other investment professionals. Salaries, bonuses and stock-based compensation are also influenced by the operating performance of EVM and its parent company. The overall annual cash bonus pool is based on a substantially fixed percentage of pre-bonus operating income. While the salaries of EVM s portfolio managers are comparatively fixed, cash bonuses and stock-based compensation may fluctuate significantly from year to year, based on changes in manager performance and other factors as described herein. For a high performing portfolio manager, cash bonuses and stock-based compensation may represent a substantial portion of total compensation.

Item 9. Purchases of Equity Securities by Closed-End Management Investment Company and Affiliated Purchasers

No such purchases this period.

Item 10. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

No material changes.

Item 11. Controls and Procedures

(a) It is the conclusion of the registrant s principal executive officer and principal financial officer that the effectiveness of the registrant s current disclosure controls and procedures (such disclosure controls and procedures having been evaluated within 90 days of the date of this filing) provide reasonable

Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE MASSACHUSETTS MUNICIPAL BOND FUND - Form N-CSR

assurance that the information required to be disclosed by the registrant has been recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time period specified in the Commission s rules and forms and that the information required to be disclosed by the registrant has been accumulated and communicated to the registrant s principal executive officer and principal financial officer in order to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

(b) There have been no changes in the registrant s internal controls over financial reporting during the second fiscal quarter of the period covered by this report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant s internal control over financial reporting.

Item 12. Exhibits

- (a)(1) Registrant s Code of Ethics Not applicable (please see Item 2).
- (a)(2)(i) Treasurer s Section 302 certification.
- (a)(2)(ii) President s Section 302 certification.
- (b) Combined Section 906 certification.

Signatures

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Eaton Vance Massachusetts Municipal Bond Fund

By: /s/ Thomas M. Metzold Thomas M. Metzold President

Date: November 7, 2013

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

By: /s/ James F. Kirchner James F. Kirchner Treasurer

Date: November 7, 2013

By: /s/ Thomas M. Metzold Thomas M. Metzold President

Date: November 7, 2013