FENTURA FINANCIAL INC Form 10-Q August 15, 2012 Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

X QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2012

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE EXCHANGE ACT

For the transition period from to

Commission file number 000-23550

Fentura Financial, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Edgar Filing: FENTURA FINANCIAL INC - Form 10-Q

Michigan (State or other jurisdiction of

38-2806518 (IRS Employee

incorporation or organization)

Identification No.)

175 N Leroy, P.O. Box 725, Fenton, Michigan 48430

(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

(810) 629-2263

(Registrant s telephone number)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. x Yes "No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files.) x Yes "No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer " Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer "Smaller reporting company x Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes "No x

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer s classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date: August 1, 2012

Class Common Stock Shares Outstanding 2,424,644

Fentura Financial, Inc.

Index to Form 10-Q

	Page
Part I Financial Information	3
Item 1 Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)	3-32
Item 2 Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	33-45
Item 3 Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	45-47
Item 4 Controls and Procedures	47
Part II Other Information	48
Item 1 Legal Proceedings	48
Item 1A Risk Factors	48
Item 2 Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	48
Item 3 Defaults Upon Senior Securities	48
Item 4 Reserved	48
Item 5 Other Information	48
Item 6 Exhibits	48
<u>Signatures</u>	49
Exhibit Index	50

2

PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

FENTURA FINANCIAL, INC.

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(Unaudited)

(000s omitted except share and per share data)

	June 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
<u>ASSETS</u>		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 16,529	\$ 18,634
Securities:		
Securities available for sale	56,846	58,687
Securities held to maturity	2,692	2,963
Total securities	59,538	61,650
Loans held for sale	309	123
Loans:		
Commercial	41,960	33,956
Commercial real estate	111,501	118,984
Residential real estate	28,808	26,829
Consumer	24,511	25,998
Total loans	206,780	205,767
Less: Allowance for loan losses	(7,083)	(8,164)
	(,,,,,,	(0,-0.)
Net loans	199,697	197,603
Bank owned life insurance	6,006	5,941
Bank premises and equipment	10,330	10.202
Federal Home Loan Bank stock	661	661
Accrued interest receivable	1,005	1,039
Other real estate owned	2,887	1,949
Other assets	909	1,059
Total assets	\$ 297,871	\$ 298,861
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY		
Deposits:		
Non-interest bearing	\$ 70,830	\$ 62,713
Interest bearing	194,396	203,168
merest ocums	171,570	203,100
Total deposits	265,226	265,881
Federal Home Loan Bank advance	891	923
Subordinated debentures	14,000	14,000
Accrued taxes, interest and other liabilities	3,408	3,397
		-
Total liabilities	283,525	284,201

Edgar Filing: FENTURA FINANCIAL INC - Form 10-Q

Stockholders equity Common stock no par value, 5,000,000 shares authorized 2,424,644 shares issued and outstanding at June 30, 2012 (2,388,225 at December 31, 2011) 43,191 43,270 (28,554)Accumulated deficit (29,149)Accumulated other comprehensive income 23 225 Total stockholders equity 14,346 14,660 Total liabilities and stockholders equity 298,861 \$ 297,871

See accompanying notes to interim consolidated financial statements.

FENTURA FINANCIAL, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(Unaudited)

(000s omitted except share and per share data)

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Mont	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Interest income				
Loans, including fees	\$ 2,685	\$ 2,894	\$ 5,442	\$ 5,975
Interest and dividends on securities:				
Taxable	334	339	654	618
Tax-exempt	28	39	58	84
Interest on federal funds sold	11	13	19	22
Total interest income	3,058	3,285	6,173	6,699
Interest expense				
Deposits	400	654	896	1,376
Borrowings	133	126	265	252
Total interest expense	533	780	1,161	1,628
Net interest income	2,525	2,505	5,012	5,071
Provision for loan losses	80	730	943	1,525
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	2,445	1,775	4,069	3,546
Non-interest income				
Service charges on deposit accounts	225	290	452	586
Trust and investment services income	293	230	512	518
Gain on sale of mortgage loans	153	31	369	99
Gain on sale of securities	0	0	18	5
Other income and fees	635	638	1,291	1,304
Total non-interest income	1,306	1,189	2,642	2,512
Non-interest expense				
Salaries and employee benefits	1,608	1,623	3,332	3,296
Occupancy	265	276	534	560
Furniture and equipment	274	278	527	570
Loan and collection	171	272	321	433
Advertising and promotional	47	44	77	63
Other operating expenses	1,242	904	2,639	1,913
Total non-interest expense	3,607	3,397	7,430	6,835
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income tax	144	(433)	(719)	(777)
Federal income tax benefit	0	(156)	(124)	(368)
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$ 144	\$ (277)	\$ (595)	\$ (409)
Discontinued operations, net of tax	φ 1 44	φ (Δ11)	φ (<i>393)</i>	φ (4 09)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	0	(437)	0	5

Edgar Filing: FENTURA FINANCIAL INC - Form 10-Q

Gain from sale of discontinued operations		0	469	0	469
Income from discontinued operations		0	32	0	474
Net income (loss)	\$	144	\$ (245)	\$ (595)	\$ 65
Net income (loss) per share from continuing operations Basic and diluted	\$	0.06	\$ (0.12)	\$ (0.25)	\$ (0.18)
	ф	0.00	\$ (0.12)	\$ (0.23)	\$ (0.16)
Net income per share from discontinued operations Basic and diluted	\$	0.00	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.21
Net income (loss) per share					
Basic and diluted	\$	0.06	\$ (0.11)	\$ (0.25)	\$ 0.03

See accompanying notes to interim consolidated financial statements. \\

FENTURA FINANCIAL, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY

(Unaudited)

(000s omitted except share and per share data)

	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2012	2011
Common Stock		
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 43,191	\$ 43,036
Issuance of shares under		
Director stock purchase plan and dividend reinvestment program (36,419 and 40,286 shares)	79	63
Balance, end of period	43,270	43,099
Accumulated Deficit		
Balance, beginning of period	(28,554)	(27,042)
Net (loss) income	(595)	65
Balance, end of period	(29,149)	(26,977)
Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income		
Balance, beginning of period	23	61
Change in unrealized gain on securities, net of tax	202	228
Balance, end of period	225	289
Total stockholders equity	\$ 14,346	\$ 16,411

See accompanying notes to interim consolidated financial statements.

FENTURA FINANCIAL, INC

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(Unaudited)

	Six Mont Jun	
(000s omitted)	2012	2011
OPERATING ACTIVITIES:		
Net (loss) income	\$ (595)	\$ 65
Adjustments to reconcile net (loss) income to cash		
Provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation	329	355
Amortization and accretion	(305)	(141)
Provision for loan losses	943	1,525
Loans originated for sale	(20,546)	(6,377)
Proceeds from the sale of loans	20,729	6,457
Gain on sales of loans	(369)	(99)
Loss (gain) on other real estate owned	28	(4)
Write downs on other real estate owned	12	68
Net gain on sale of securities	(18)	(5)
Net earnings from bank owned life insurance	(65)	(69)
Net increase in interest receivable & other assets	184	417
Net increase in interest payable & other liabilities	11	218
Net change in discontinued operations operating activities	0	10,638
		20,020
Total Adjustments	933	12,983
Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities	338	13,048
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITES:		
Proceeds from maturities of securities - HTM	270	701
Proceeds from maturities of securities AFS	5,733	2,930
Proceeds from calls of securities AFS	5,150	2,000
Proceeds from sales of securities AFS	9,570	2,024
Purchases of securities AFS	(18,086)	(17,714)
Origination of loans, net of principal repayments	5,972	8,428
Proceeds from sale of bank subsidiary	0	711
Acquisition of loans	(10,531)	0
Sales of other real estate owned	544	1,480
Repurchase of FHLB stock	0	79
Acquisition of premises and equipment, net	(457)	(246)
Net change in discontinued operations investing activities	0	92,575
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities	(1,835)	92,968
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:		
Net decrease in deposits	(655)	(7,865)
Net decrease in short term borrowings	(32)	(252)
Net proceeds from stock issuance	79	63
Repayment of FHLB advance	0	(31)
Net change in discontinued operations financing activities	0	(103,942)
Net cash used in financing activities	(608)	(112,027)

Edgar Filing: FENTURA FINANCIAL INC - Form 10-Q

Net change in cash and cash equivalents	\$	(2,105)	\$	(6,011)
Cash and cash equivalents Beginning of period	\$	18,634	\$	33,492
	Ф	16.500	Ф	27 401
Cash and cash equivalents Ending of period	\$	16,529	\$	27,481
Cash paid (received from) for:				
Interest	\$	948	\$	1,216
Income taxes	\$	209	\$	(104)
Non-cash Disclosures:				
Transfers from loans to other real estate	\$	1,464	\$	1,490
Loans provided for sales of other real estate owned	\$	58	\$	0

See accompanying notes to interim consolidated financial statements.

FENTURA FINANICIAL, INC

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

(Unaudited)

		nths Ended e 30,	Six Months Ende June 30,		
(000s omitted)	2012	2011	2012	2011	
Net income (loss)	\$ 144	\$ (245)	\$ (595)	\$ 65	
Other comprehensive (loss) income, net of tax:					
Reclassification adjustment for net gains included in income	0	0	(18)	(5)	
Unrealized holding (losses) gains related to available-for-sale securities arising during period	(9)	413	220	233	
Other comprehensive (loss) income, net of tax	(9)	413	202	228	
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$ 135	\$ 168	\$ (393)	\$ 293	

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 1 BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The interim consolidated financial statements include Fentura Financial, Inc. (the Corporation) and its wholly owned subsidiaries Fentura Holdings LLC (FHLLC) and The State Bank in Fenton (the Bank), Michigan and the other subsidiaries of the Bank. Intercompany transactions and balances are eliminated in consolidation.

As announced at the 2011 Shareholder Meeting, the Corporation had entered into an agreement to sell West Michigan Community Bank to a third-party investor group. The sale closed on January 31, 2011. West Michigan Community Bank is reported as discontinued operations.

Financial statements are presented with discontinued operations sequestered on the balance sheet, statement of operations and statement of cash flows, as applicable. The presentations have been updated for June 30, 2011 to reflect the discontinued operations results to the extent applicable (see Note 8).

During the third quarter of 2011 management decided the Corporation no longer intended to dispose of the residual assets remaining from the sale of West Michigan Community Bank. As a result of the change in intent, amounts and results of operations for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2011, as well as balance sheet data as of June 30, 2011 were reclassified to reflect this change in intent.

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for interim financial information and the instructions for Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and notes required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. Operating results for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2012 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2012. For further information, refer to the consolidated financial statements and footnotes thereto included in the Corporation s annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

<u>Securities</u>: Securities are classified as held to maturity and carried at amortized cost when management has the positive intent and ability to hold them to maturity. Securities are classified as available for sale when they might be sold before maturity. Securities available for sale are carried at fair value, with unrealized holding gains and losses reported in other comprehensive income, net of tax.

Edgar Filing: FENTURA FINANCIAL INC - Form 10-Q

Interest income includes amortization of purchase premium or discount. Premiums and discounts on securities are amortized on the level-yield method without anticipating prepayments, except for mortgage- backed securities, where prepayments are anticipated. Gains and losses on sales are based on the amortized cost of the security sold.

7

NOTE 1 BASIS OF PRESENTATION (continued)

Management evaluates securities for other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) at least on a quarterly basis, and more frequently when economic or market conditions warrant such an evaluation.

In determining OTTI management considers many factors, including: (1) the length of time and the extent to which the fair value has been less than cost, (2) the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer, (3) whether the market decline was affected by macroeconomic conditions, and (4) whether the entity has the intent to sell the debt security or more likely than not will be required to sell the debt security before its anticipated recovery. The assessment of whether an other-than-temporary decline exists involves a high degree of subjectivity and judgment and is based on the information available to management at a point in time.

When OTTI occurs, the amount of the OTTI recognized in earnings depends on whether an entity intends to sell the security or it is more likely than not it will be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost basis, less any current-period credit loss. If an entity intends to sell or it is more likely than not it will be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost basis, less any current-period credit loss, the OTTI shall be recognized in earnings equal to the entire difference between the investment s amortized cost basis and its fair value at the balance sheet date. If an entity does not intend to sell the security and it is not more likely than not that the entity will be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost basis less any current-period loss, the OTTI shall be separated into the amount representing the credit loss and the amount related to all other factors. The amount of the total OTTI related to the credit loss is determined based on the present value of cash flows expected to be collected and is recognized in earnings. The amount of the total OTTI related to other factors is recognized in other comprehensive income, net of applicable taxes. The previous amortized cost basis less the OTTI recognized in earnings becomes the new amortized cost basis of the investment.

Allowance for Loan Losses: The allowance for loan losses is a valuation allowance for probable incurred credit losses, increased by the provision for loan losses and decreased by charge-offs less recoveries. Management estimates the allowance balance required using past loan loss experience, the nature and volume of the portfolio, information about specific borrower situations and estimated collateral values, economic conditions, and other factors. Allocations of the allowance may be made for specific loans, but the entire allowance is available for any loan that, in management s judgment, should be charged-off. Loan losses are charged against the allowance when management believes the uncollectability of a loan balance is confirmed. Consumer loans are typically charged off no later than 120 days past due.

The allowance consists of specific and general components. The specific component relates to loans that are individually classified as impaired or loans otherwise classified as substandard or doubtful. The general component covers non-classified loans and is based on historical loss experience adjusted for current factors. The historical loss experience is determined by portfolio segments and is based on the actual loss history experienced by the Corporation. Rolling eight quarter periods of historical charge off experience is considered when calculating the current required level of the allowance for loan losses. This actual loss experience is supplemented with other economic factors based on the risks present for each portfolio segment. These economic factors include consideration of the following: levels of and trends in delinquencies and impaired loans; levels of and trends in charge-offs and recoveries; trends in volume and terms of loans; effects of any changes in risk selection and underwriting standards; other changes in lending policies, procedures, and practices; experience, ability and depth of lending management and other relevant staff; national and local economic trends and conditions; industry conditions; and effects of changes in credit concentrations. The following portfolio segments have been identified: commercial, commercial real estate, residential mortgage, installment loans and home equity loans.

A loan is impaired when full payment under the loan terms is not expected. Commercial and commercial real estate loans are individually evaluated for impairment. If a loan is impaired, a portion of the allowance is allocated so that the loan is reported, net, at the present value of estimated future cash flows

8

NOTE 1 BASIS OF PRESENTATION (continued)

using the loan s existing rate or at the fair value of collateral if repayment is expected solely from the collateral. Large groups of smaller balance homogeneous loans, such as consumer and residential real estate loans, are collectively evaluated for impairment, and accordingly, they are not separately identified for impairment disclosures.

<u>Troubled debt restructurings:</u> Under certain circumstances, the Corporation will provide borrowers relief through loan restructurings and modifications. A loan restructuring constitutes a troubled debt restructuring (TDR) if for economic or legal reasons related to the borrower s financial difficulties the Corporation grants a concession to the borrower that it would not otherwise consider. Restructured loans typically present an elevated level of credit risk as the borrowers are not able to perform according to the original contractual terms. Loans that are reported as TDRs are considered impaired and are measured for impairment as described above.

Other Real Estate Owned and Foreclosed Assets: Assets acquired through or instead of loan foreclosure are initially recorded at fair value less estimated selling costs when acquired, establishing a new cost basis. If fair value declines, a valuation allowance is recorded through expense. Costs after acquisition are expensed.

Income Taxes: Income tax expense is the total of the current year income tax due or refundable and the change in deferred tax assets and liabilities. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are the expected future tax amounts for the temporary differences between carrying amounts and tax bases of assets and liabilities, computed using enacted tax rates. A valuation allowance reduces deferred tax assets to the amount expected to be realized.

A tax position is recognized as a benefit only if it is more likely than not that the tax position would be sustained in a tax examination, with a tax examination being presumed to occur. The amount recognized is the largest amount of tax benefit that is greater than 50% likely of being realized on examination including the appeals process. For tax positions not meeting the more likely than not test, no tax benefit is recorded. The Corporation recognizes interest and/or penalties related to income tax matters in income tax expense.

The liability recorded at December 31, 2011 has been settled with the IRS.

<u>Dividend Restrictions</u>: Banking regulations require maintaining certain capital levels and may limit the dividends paid by the Banks to the Corporation or by the Corporation to shareholders. The State Bank has been restricted from dividend payments due to the signing of a Consent Order with the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC). The Holding Company has been placed under restrictions by the Federal Reserve regarding the declaration or payment of any dividends and the receipt of dividends from the subsidiary Bank.

Stock Option Plans: Compensation cost is recognized for stock options, restricted stock awards issued to employees, and stock appreciation rights based on the fair value of these awards at the date of grant. A Black-Scholes model is utilized to estimate the fair value of stock options and stock appreciation rights, while the market price of the Corporation s common stock at the date of grant is used for restricted stock awards. Compensation cost is recognized over the required service period, generally defined as the vesting period. For awards with graded vesting, compensation cost is recognized on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period for the entire award.

NOTE 1 BASIS OF PRESENTATION (continued)

The Nonemployee Director Stock Option Plan provides for granting options to nonemployee directors to purchase the Corporation s common stock. The purchase price of the shares is the fair market value at the date of the grant, and there is a three-year vesting period before options may be exercised. Options to acquire no more than 8,131 shares of stock may be granted under the Plan in any calendar year and options to acquire not more than 73,967 shares in the aggregate may be outstanding at any one time. No options were granted in 2012 or 2011.

The Employee Stock Option Plan grants options to eligible employees to purchase the Corporation s common stock at a purchase price at or above the fair market value of the stock at the date of the grant. Awards granted under this plan are limited to an aggregate of 86,936 shares. The administrator of the plan is a committee of directors. The administrator has the power to determine the number of options to be granted, the exercise price of the options and other terms of the options, subject to consistency with the terms of the Plan.

The following table summarizes stock option activity:

			Weighted Average		
	Number of Options	Weighted Average Price	Remaining Contractual Life	Intr	egate insic lue
Options outstanding at January 1, 2012	13,786	\$ 29.60			
Options forfeited during 2012	(2,670)	23.23			
Options outstanding and exercisable at June 30, 2012	11,116	\$ 31.13	1.56	\$	0
			Weighted Average		
	Number	Weighted	Remaining	Aggı	egate
	of	Average	Contractual		insic
	Options	Price	Life	Va	lue
Options outstanding at January 1, 2011	18,872	\$ 29.32			
Options forfeited during 2011	(5,086)	28.57			
Options outstanding and exercisable at December 31, 2011	13,786	\$ 29.60	1.73	\$	0

On February 24, 2011, the Corporation s board of directors granted 25,000 Stock Appreciation Rights (SARs) to five executives. The terms of the Stock Appreciation Rights Agreements (the SAR Agreements) provide that the SARs will be paid in cash on one or two fixed dates, which are determined as certain performance conditions are met. The conditions include the Corporation s wholly owned subsidiary, The State Bank, no longer being subject to terms, conditions and restrictions of the consent order dated December 31, 2009 (the Consent Order) and the Corporation no longer being subject to terms, conditions and restrictions of the agreement between the Corporation and the Federal Reserve Board, which was effective November 4, 2010 (the FRB Agreement). The first payment date under the agreement is the later of February 24, 2014, the date on which the State Bank is no longer subject to the terms, conditions and restrictions of the Consent Order, and the date on which the Corporation is no longer subject to the terms, conditions and restrictions of the FRB Agreement. On the first SAR payment date a participant shall receive an amount equal to the product of the number of stock appreciation rights granted and the excess of the fair market value of one share of the Corporation s common stock over \$2.00. If the first SAR payment date does not occur prior to February 24, 2016, then the SARs shall be cancelled without any payment to the participant. If the first SAR payment date occurs prior to February 24, 2016, then the second SAR payment date shall be February 24, 2016. On the second payment date a participant shall receive an amount equal to the number of stock appreciation rights granted and the excess of the fair market value of one share of the Corporation s common stock on the second SAR payment date over the value of one share of the Corporation s common stock on the first SAR payment

NOTE 1 BASIS OF PRESENTATION (continued)

date. If the fair market value of one share of the Corporation s common stock on the second SAR payment date does not exceed the fair market value of one share of the Corporation s common stock on the first SAR payment date, then no payment shall be made to the participant on the second SAR payment date. There were 20,000 SAR s outstanding at June 30, 2012 as a result of this issuance as 5,000 SAR s were forfeited during the first quarter of 2012 as a result of one of the executive s departure.

On March 13, 2012, the Corporation s board of directors granted 10,000 Stock Appreciation Rights to a new executive officer. The terms of this Stock Appreciation Rights Agreement is the same as those previously discussed except that the first and second payment dates are March 12, 2015 and March 13, 2017, respectively.

On May 14, 2012, the Corporation s board of directors granted 5,000 Stock Appreciation Rights to a new executive officer. The terms of this Stock Appreciation Rights Agreement is the same as those previously discussed except that the first and second payment dates are May 14, 2015 and May 14, 2017, respectively. As a result of all issuances, 35,000 SAR s were outstanding at June 30, 2012.

Generally accepted accounting principles require plans settled in cash to be accounted for as liabilities only when the liability is probable and reasonably estimable and to be re-measured at each reporting period. Management has determined that as of June 30, 2012, it is not probable that the performance criteria will be met and as such no liability for the compensatory element of the awards has been recorded in the consolidated financial statements.

<u>Operating Segments</u> While the Corporation s chief decision-makers monitor the revenue streams of the various Corporation products and services, operations are managed and financial performance is evaluated on a Corporate-wide basis. Accordingly, all of the Corporation s financial service operations are considered by management to be aggregated in one reportable operating segment.

Reclassifications: Some items in the prior year financial statements were reclassified to conform to the current presentation.

NOTE 2 SECURITIES

Securities are as follows:

(000s omitted)	Amortized	Gross Unrealized	Gross Unrealized	Fair
Available for Sale	Cost	Gains	Losses	Value
June 30, 2012				
U.S. Government and federal agency	\$ 5,993	\$ 25	\$ 0	\$ 6,018
Mortgage-backed residential	14,820	226	(1)	15,045
Collateralized mortgage obligations-agencies	31,900	421	(63)	32,258
Collateralized mortgage obligations-private label	1,753	0	(239)	1,514
Equity securities	2,155	88	(232)	2,011
	\$ 56,621	\$ 760	\$ (535)	\$ 56,846
December 31, 2011				
U.S. Government and federal agency	\$ 6,144	\$ 23	\$ (2)	\$ 6,165
Mortgage-backed residential	15,625	312	(15)	15,922
Collateralized mortgage obligations-agencies	31,002	457	(5)	31,454
Collateralized mortgage obligations-private label	3,725	0	(702)	3,023
Equity securities	2,155	100	(132)	2,123
	\$ 58,651	\$ 892	\$ (856)	\$ 58,687

NOTE 2 SECURITIES (continued)

(000s omitted)	Amortized	Gross Unrealized	Gross Unrealized	Fair
Held to Maturity	Cost	Gains	Losses	Value
June 30, 2012				
State and municipal	\$ 2,692	\$ 78	\$ 0	\$ 2,770
	\$ 2,692	\$ 78	\$ 0	\$ 2,770
December 31, 2011				
State and municipal	\$ 2,963	\$ 90	\$ 0	\$ 3,053
	\$ 2,963	\$ 90	\$ 0	\$ 3,053

Contractual maturities of securities at June 30, 2012 were as follows. Securities not due at a single maturity date, mortgage-backed, collateralized mortgage obligations and equity securities are shown separately.

(000 L. D.	Available for Sale Amortized Fair		Amortized Fair Amortized		Amortized Fair Amortized	
(000s omitted)	Cost	Value	Cost	Value		
U.S. government and federal agency						
Due in one year or less	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 405	\$ 410		
Due from one to five years	0	0	1,431	1,455		
Due from five to ten years	3,000	3,019	856	905		
Due after ten years	2,993	2,999	0	0		
Mortgage backed residential	14,820	15,045	0	0		
Collateralized mortgage obligations-agencies	31,900	32,258	0	0		
Collateralized mortgage obligations-private label	1,753	1,514	0	0		
Equity securities	2,155	2,011	0	0		
	\$ 56.621	\$ 56.846	\$ 2,692	\$ 2.770		

At June 30, 2012, one holding totaling \$1,753,000 in a security issued by Bear Stearns exceeded 10% of stockholders equity. At December 31, 2011, two holdings totaling \$3,023,000 in securities issued by Wells Fargo and Bear Stearns exceeded 10% of stockholders equity. The Corporation sold the Wells Fargo security during the first quarter of 2012.

Sales of available for sale securities, for the six month periods, were as follows:

(000s omitted)	June 30, 2012	June 30, 2011
Proceeds	\$ 9,570	\$ 2,024
Gross gains	196	5
Gross losses	(178)	0

The cost basis used to determine the unrealized gains or losses of securities sold was the amortized cost of the individual investment security as of the trade date.

12

NOTE 2 SECURITIES (continued)

Securities with unrealized losses are aggregated by investment category and the length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position is as follows:

	Less than	12 months	12 mon	ths or more	T		
June 30, 2012	Fair	Unrealized	Fair	Unrealized	Fair	Unr	ealized
(000s omitted)	Value	Loss	Value	Loss	Value	I	Loss
Description of Securities							
Mortgage-backed residential	\$ 1,543	\$ (1)	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 1,543	\$	(1)
Collateralized mortgage obligations-agencies	10,523	(56)	1,536	(7)	12,059		(63)
Collateralized mortgage obligations-private label	0	0	1,514	(239)	1,514		(239)
Equity securities	0	0	682	(232)	682		(232)
Total temporarily impaired	\$ 12,066	\$ (57)	\$ 3,732	\$ (478)	\$ 15,798	\$	(535)
, -	+,	+ (0.)	+ - ,	+ (110)	+,	_	(000)
	Less than	12 months	12 mon	ths or more	T	otal	
December 31, 2011	Less than Fair	12 months Unrealized	12 mon Fair	ths or more Unrealized	To Fair		ealized
December 31, 2011 (000s omitted)						Unr	ealized Loss
•	Fair	Unrealized	Fair	Unrealized	Fair	Unr	
(000s omitted)	Fair	Unrealized	Fair	Unrealized	Fair	Unr	
(000s omitted) Description of Securities	Fair	Unrealized	Fair	Unrealized	Fair	Unr	
(000s omitted) Description of Securities	Fair	Unrealized	Fair Value	Unrealized Loss	Fair Value	Unr	Loss
(000s omitted) <u>Description of Securities</u> US government and	Fair Value	Unrealized Loss	Fair	Unrealized Loss	Fair Value	Unr I	
(000s omitted) Description of Securities US government and federal agencies Mortgage-backed residential	Fair Value	Unrealized Loss	Fair Value \$ 1,498	Unrealized Loss	Fair Value \$ 1,498	Unr I	(2) (15)
(000s omitted) Description of Securities US government and federal agencies Mortgage-backed residential Collateralized mortgage obligations-agencies	Fair Value \$ 0 6,766	Unrealized Loss \$ 0 (15)	Fair Value \$ 1,498	Unrealized Loss \$ (2)	Fair Value \$ 1,498 6,766	Unr I	Loss (2)
(000s omitted) Description of Securities US government and federal agencies Mortgage-backed residential	Fair Value \$ 0 6,766 0	Unrealized Loss \$ 0 (15) 0	Fair Value \$ 1,498 0 4,985	Unrealized Loss \$ (2) 0 (5)	Fair Value \$ 1,498 6,766 4,985	Unr I	(2) (15) (5)
(000s omitted) Description of Securities US government and federal agencies Mortgage-backed residential Collateralized mortgage obligations-agencies Collateralized mortgage obligations-private label	Fair Value \$ 0 6,766 0 0	\$ 0 (15) 0 0	Fair Value \$ 1,498 0 4,985 3,023	\$ (2) 0 (5) (702)	Fair Value \$ 1,498 6,766 4,985 3,023	Unr I	(2) (15) (5) (702)

As of June 30, 2012, the Corporation s security portfolio consisted of 84 securities, 13 of which were in an unrealized loss position. The majority of unrealized losses are related to the Corporation s collateralized mortgage obligations (CMOs) and equity securities, as discussed below.

Collateralized Mortgage Obligations

The decline in fair value of the Corporation s private label collateralized mortgage obligation is primarily attributable to the lack of liquidity and the financial crisis affecting these markets and not necessarily the expected cash flows of the individual security. The Standard and Poors rating held on the private label security is A-. The underlying collateral of this CMO is comprised largely of 1-4 family residences. In this security, the Corporation holds the senior tranche and receives payments before other tranches. For the private label security, management completes an analysis to review the recent performance of the mortgage pools underlying the instruments. At June 30, 2012, the private label security has an amortized cost of \$1,753,000 and an unrealized loss of \$239,000.

The Corporation has been closely monitoring the performance of the CMO and MBS portfolios. Management evaluates items such as payment streams and underlying default rates, and did not recognize a material adverse change in these items. On a quarterly basis, management uses multiple assumptions to project the expected future cash flows of the private label CMO with prepayment speeds, projected default rates and loss severity rates. The cash flows are then discounted using the effective rate on the securities determined at acquisition. Recent historical experience is the base for determining the cash flow assumptions and is adjusted when appropriate after considering characteristics of the underlying loans collateralizing the private label CMO security.

Edgar Filing: FENTURA FINANCIAL INC - Form 10-Q

The Corporation has three agency collateralized mortgage obligations with an unrealized loss of \$63,000. The decline in value is primarily due to changes in interest rates and other market conditions.

13

NOTE 2 SECURITIES (continued)

Equity securities

The Corporation s equity investments with unrealized losses are investments in three non-public bank holding companies in Michigan. These securities receive a multi-faceted review utilizing call report data. Management reviews such performance indicators as earnings, ROE, ROA, non-performing assets, brokered deposits and capital ratios. Management draws conclusions from this information, as well as any published information or trading activity received from the individual institutions, to assist in determining if any unrealized loss is other than temporary impairment.

Additionally management considers the length of time the investments have been at an unrealized loss. At the end of the second quarter, management performed its review and determined that no additional other-than-temporary impairment was necessary on the equity securities in the portfolio.

Other-Than-Temporary-Impairment

Management evaluates securities for other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) at least on a quarterly basis, and more frequently when economic or market conditions warrant such an evaluation. In evaluating OTTI, management considers the factors presented in Note 1.

NOTE 3 LOANS AND ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES

Major categories of loans are as follows:

(000s omitted)	June 30, 2012	Decen	nber 31, 2011
Commercial	\$ 41,960	\$	33,956
Commercial real estate	111,501		118,984
Residential real estate	28,808		26,829
Consumer	24,511		25,998
Total loans	206,780		205,767
Less allowance for loan losses	(7,083)		(8,164)
Net loans	\$ 199,697	\$	197,603

The Corporation originates primarily residential and commercial real estate loans, commercial and installment loans. The Corporation estimates that the majority of their loan portfolio is based in Genesee, Oakland and Livingston counties within southeast Michigan with the remainder of the portfolio distributed throughout Michigan. The ability of the Corporation s debtors to honor their contracts is dependent upon the real estate and general economic conditions in these areas.

Activity in the allowance for loan losses, by classification, for the three month periods ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 are as follows:

			Cor	nmercial	Res	idential						
				Real]	Real	Inst	allment	Home			
(000s omitted)	Com	mercial]	Estate	E	Estate	L	oans	Equity	Unall	located	Total
Allowance for loan losses												
Balance April 1, 2012	\$	534	\$	6,176	\$	491	\$	175	\$ 295	\$	4	\$ 7,675
Provision for loan losses		16		(173)		90		(20)	130		37	80
Loans charged off		0		(555)		(149)		(8)	(43)		0	(755)

Edgar Filing: FENTURA FINANCIAL INC - Form 10-Q

Loan recoveries		17		49	1	4	12		0	83
Balance June 30, 2012	¢	567	•	5,497	\$ 433	\$ 151	\$ 394	¢	41	\$ 7,083

14

NOTE 3 LOANS AND ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES (continued)

			Con	nmercial	Res	idential						
				Real]	Real	Inst	allment	Home			
(000s omitted)	Con	nmercial	1	Estate	F	Estate	L	oans	Equity	Unal	located	Total
Allowance for loan losses												
Balance April 1, 2011	\$	717	\$	7,136	\$	397	\$	190	\$ 573	\$	2	\$ 9,015
Provision for loan losses		452		(171)		(10)		39	61		359	730
Loans charged off		(134)		(752)		0		(4)	(21)		0	(911)
Loan recoveries		14		71		1		6	2		0	94
Balance June 30, 2011	\$	1,049	\$	6,284	\$	388	\$	231	\$ 615	\$	361	\$ 8,928

Activity in the allowance for loan losses, by classification, for the six month periods ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 are as follows:

			Cor	nmercial	Res	idential						
				Real]	Real	Inst	allment	Home			
(000s omitted)	Com	mercial]	Estate	E	state	L	oans	Equity	Una	llocated	Total
Allowance for loan losses												
Balance January 1, 2012	\$	891	\$	5,759	\$	476	\$	215	\$ 482	\$	341	\$ 8,164
Provision for loan losses		197		895		164		(57)	44		(300)	943
Loans charged off		(551)		(1,235)		(209)		(18)	(148)		0	(2,161)
Loan recoveries		30		78		2		11	16		0	137
Balance June 30, 2012	\$	567	\$	5,497	\$	433	\$	151	\$ 394	\$	41	\$ 7,083

			Co	mmercial	Resi	idential					
(000s omitted)	Cor	nmercial		Real Estate		Real state	 allment oans	Home Equity	Unal	located	Total
Allowance for loan losses								• •			
Balance January 1, 2011	\$	871	\$	9,155	\$	411	\$ 233	\$ 508	\$	46	\$ 11,224
Provision for loan losses		293		699		(13)	43	188		315	1,525
Loans charged off		(136)		(3,766)		(11)	(57)	(98)		0	(4,068)
Loan recoveries		21		196		1	12	17		0	247
Balance June 30, 2011	\$	1,049	\$	6,284	\$	388	\$ 231	\$ 615	\$	361	\$ 8,928

The following table presents the balance in the allowance for loan losses and the recorded investment in loans by portfolio segment and based on impairment method at:

(000s omitted)		Commercial	Residential				
		Real	Real	Installment	Home		
June 30, 2012	Commercial	Estate	Estate	Loans	Equity	Unallocated	Total
Allowance for loan losses:							

Ending allowance balance attributable to loans:

Edgar Filing: FENTURA FINANCIAL INC - Form 10-Q

Individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 207	\$ 2,554	\$ 185	\$ 48	\$	221	\$ 0	\$	3,215
Collectively evaluated for impairment	360	2,943	248	103		173	41		3,868
Total ending allowance balance	\$ 567	\$ 5,497	\$ 433	\$ 151	\$	394	\$ 41	\$	7,083
Loans:									
Loans individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 1,470	22,960	\$ 778	\$ 93	\$	616	\$ 0	\$	25,917
Loans collectively evaluated for impairment	40,490	88,541	28,030	5,464	1	18,338	0	1	80,863
Total ending loans balance	41,960	111,501	28,808	5,557	1	18,954	0	2	206,780
Accrued interest receivable	335	141	89	34		55	0		654
Total recorded investment in loans	\$ 42,295	\$ 111,642	\$ 28,897	\$ 5,591	\$ 1	19,009	\$ 0	\$ 2	207,434

NOTE 3 LOANS AND ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES (continued)

(000s omitted)			Co	ommercial	Residential															
December 31, 2011	Co	mmercial		Real Estate								Real Estate	1115441111				Unallocated			Total
Allowance for loan losses:	Cu	inner ciai		Listate		Listate		Douris	1.	quity	Citai	located		Total						
Ending allowance balance attributable to loans:																				
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$	714	\$	2,907	\$	201	\$	60	\$	275	\$	0	\$	4,157						
Collectively evaluated for impairment		177		2,852		275		155		207		341		4,007						
Total ending allowance balance	\$	891	\$	5,759	\$	476	\$	215	\$	482	\$	341	\$	8,164						
Loans:																				
Loans individually evaluated for impairment	\$	3,823	\$	24,797	\$	844	\$	133	\$	494	\$	0	\$	30,091						
Loans collectively evaluated for impairment		30,133		94,187		25,985		6,270	1	9,101		0]	175,676						
•																				
Total ending loans balance	\$	33,956	\$	118,984	\$	26,829	\$	6,403	\$ 1	9,595	\$	0	\$ 2	205,767						
Accrued interest receivable		143		341		75		47		61	·	0		667						
Total recorded investment in loans	\$	34,099	\$	119,325	\$	26,904	\$	6,450	\$ 1	9,656	\$	0	\$ 2	206,434						

The following tables present loans individually evaluated for impairment by class of loans as of:

(000s omitted) June 30, 2012	Unpaid Principal Balance	Recorded Investment	Allowance for Loan Losses Allocated
With no related allowances recorded:			
Commercial	\$ 954	\$ 899	\$ 0
Commercial real estate	14,099	10,512	0
Residential real estate	156	193	0
Consumer			
Installment Loans	48	48	0
Home Equity	185	300	0
With an allowance recorded:			
Commercial	587	570	207
Commercial real estate	14,098	12,484	2,554
Residential real estate	697	589	185
Consumer			
Installment loans	45	46	48
Home equity	317	318	221
Total	\$ 31,186	\$ 25,959	\$ 3,215

NOTE 3 LOANS AND ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES (continued)

(000s omitted) December 31, 2011 With no related allowances recorded:	Unpaid Principal Balance	Recorded Investment	Allowance for Loan Losses Allocated
Commercial	¢ 2.200	\$ 2,116	\$ 0
Commercial real estate	\$ 2,280 16,275	11,302	0
Residential real estate	279	168	0
Consumer	217	100	Ü
Installment Loans	13	13	0
Home Equity	119	119	0
With an allowance recorded:			
Commercial	1,903	1,715	714
Commercial real estate	15,814	13,532	2,907
Residential real estate	894	675	201
Consumer			
Installment loans	121	121	60
Home equity	377	379	275
Total	\$ 38,705	\$ 30,140	\$ 4,157

The following table presents the average recorded investment and interest income recognized on loans individually evaluated for impairment by class of loans for the six month periods ended:

	June 30, 2012 Interest			June 30, 2011 Interes			
	Average Recorded	Inc	come		erage orded	In	come
(000s omitted)	Investment	Reco	gnized	Inve	stment	Reco	gnized
With no related allowances recorded:							
Commercial	\$ 1,506	\$	1	\$	718	\$	3
Commercial real estate	12,827		149	(5,628		115
Residential real estate	160		4		398		0
Consumer							
Installment Loans	31		2		119		3
Home Equity	209		3		82		2
With an allowance recorded:							
Commercial	1145		24		1,424		8
Commercial real estate	11,071		331	20),430		325
Residential real estate	651		13		432		8
Consumer							
Installment loans	83		2		132		13
Home equity	348		8		349		3
Total	\$ 28,031	\$	537	\$ 30	0,712	\$	480

17

NOTE 3 LOANS AND ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES (continued)

The following table presents the average recorded investment and interest income recognized on loans individually evaluated for impairment by class of loans for the three month periods ended:

	June 3	0, 2012 Interest	June 3	30, 2011 Interest
	Average Recorded	Income	Average Recorded	Income
(000s omitted)	Investment	Recognized	Investment	Recognized
With no related allowances recorded:				_
Commercial	\$ 1,254	\$ 0	\$ 1,592	\$ 0
Commercial real estate	12,038	109	7,151	76
Residential real estate	203	0	410	0
Consumer				
Installment Loans	29	2	181	2
Home Equity	289	1	46	0
With an allowance recorded:				
Commercial	640	13	1,442	1
Commercial real estate	11,777	281	18,850	202
Residential real estate	741	1	424	2
Consumer				
Installment loans	68	1	149	11
Home equity	254	6	345	0
Total	\$ 27,293	\$ 414	\$ 30,590	\$ 294

Nonaccrual loans and loans past due 90 days still on accrual include both smaller balance homogeneous loans that are collectively evaluated for impairment and individually classified impaired loans.

The following table presents the recorded investment in nonaccrual and loans past due over 90 days still on accrual by class of loans at:

June 30, 2012		Loans Poor 90 I	
(000s omitted)	Nonaccrual	Accr	uing
Commercial	\$ 1,699	\$	0
Commercial real estate	10,562		0
Residential real estate	235		99
Home Equity	247		0
Installment loans	12		0
Total	\$ 12,755	\$	99
December 31, 2011 (000s omitted)	Nonaccrual	Loans Pa Over 90 D Accruin	Days Still

Edgar Filing: FENTURA FINANCIAL INC - Form 10-Q

Commercial	\$ 2,837	\$ 449
Commercial real estate	13,918	0
Residential real estate	241	0
Home Equity	88	39
Installment loans	13	0
Total	\$ 17,097	\$ 488

(1)- Includes accrued interest receivable of \$6

NOTE 3 LOANS AND ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES (continued)

The following table presents the aging of the recorded investment in past due loans by class of loans at:

(000s omitted)					Grea	ter than 90	Total
	30-59	Days Past	60-89	Days Past	D	ays Past	Past
June 30, 2012]	Due		Due		Due	Due
Commercial	\$	188	\$	229	\$	1,684	\$ 2,101
Commercial real estate		55		791		6,494	7,340
Residential real estate		475		86		334	895
Installment loans		7		0		12	19
Home Equity		85		12		0	97
Total	\$	810	\$	1,118	\$	8,524	\$ 10,452
(000s omitted)	30-59 Day		60-89 Da	•		than 90	Total Past
December 31, 2011	Due		Du			st Due (1)	Due
Commercial	\$	431	\$	14	\$	2,741	\$ 3,186
Commercial real estate:	2	,796		0		10,750	13,546
Residential real estate		0		0		198	198
Installment loans		3		1		51	55
Home Equity		73		0		85	158

(1) Includes interest receivable of \$15.

Modifications:

Total

A modification of a loan constitutes a troubled debt restructuring (TDR) when a borrower is experiencing financial difficulty and the modification constitutes a concession. The Corporation offers various types of concessions when modifying a loan or lease, however, forgiveness of principal is rarely granted. Commercial loans modified in a TDR often involve temporary interest-only payments, term extensions, and converting revolving credit lines to term loans. Additional collateral, a co-borrower, or a guarantor is often requested. Commercial real estate loans modified in a TDR often involve reducing the interest rate for the remaining term of the loan, extending the maturity date at an interest rate lower than the current market rate for new debt with similar risk, or substituting or adding a new borrower or guarantor. Residential real estate loans modified in a TDR are primarily comprised of loans where monthly payments are lowered to accommodate the borrowers financial needs through a reduction of interest rate and/or extension of the maturity date. Installment loans modified in a TDR are primarily comprised of loans where the Corporation has lowered monthly payments by extending the term.

3,303

15

13,825

\$ 17,143

Loans modified in a TDR are typically already on non-accrual status and partial charge-offs have in some cases been taken against the outstanding loan balance. As a result, loans modified in a TDR for the Corporation may have the financial effect of increasing the specific allowance associated with the loan.

The Corporation has identified as TDRs certain loans for which the allowance for loan losses had previously been measured under a general allowance for loan losses methodology. Upon identifying these loans as TDRs, the Corporation classified them as impaired. The Corporation s recorded investment in TDRs at June 30, 2012 is \$16,469,000, with a specific valuation allowance of \$2,452,000. This is compared to \$15,005,000, with a specific valuation allowance of \$2,289,000, at December 31, 2011. This specific valuation allowance is an allocated portion

Edgar Filing: FENTURA FINANCIAL INC - Form 10-Q

of the total allowance for loan losses. The Corporation has no additional amounts committed to these customers.

19

NOTE 3 LOANS AND ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES (continued)

The following presents by class, information related to loans modified in a TDR during the three month period ended June 30, 2012.

(000s omitted)	Number of Loans	Rec	dification orded stment	Rec	odification orded stment
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Loans	ilive			
Commercial real estate	1	\$	85	\$	85
Installment loan	1		59		59
Total	2	\$	144	\$	144

The following presents by class, information related to loans modified in a TDR during the six month period ended June 30, 2012.

	Number		lodification		Iodification
	of	Re	corded		corded
(000s omitted)	Loans	Inv	estment	Inv	estment
Commercial real estate	8	\$	3,856	\$	3,856
Residential real estate	2		190		190
Installment loan	2		96		96
Total	12	\$	4,142	\$	4,142

The following presents information on TDRs for which there was a payment default during the three month period ended June 30, 2012 (i.e. 30 days or more past due following a modification) that had been modified during the 12-month period prior to the default.

	Loans w	Loans with payment defaults			
		Recorded Investment			
	Number of	(as of period end)			
(000s omitted)	Contracts		(1)		
Commercial	4	\$	1,017		
Commercial real estate	6		2,083		
Total	10	\$	3,100		

Loans with payment defaults

Loons with novment defaults

⁽¹⁾ The period end balances are inclusive of all partial paydowns and charge-offs since the modification date, if any. Loans modified in a TDR that were fully paid down, charged-off, or foreclosed upon by period end are not reported.

The following presents information on TDRs for which there was a payment default during the six month period ended June 30, 2012 (i.e. 30 days or more past due following a modification) that had been modified during the 12-month period prior to the default.

Edgar Filing: FENTURA FINANCIAL INC - Form 10-Q

(000s omitted)	Number of Contracts	d Investment period end)
Commercial	5	\$ 1,048
Commercial real estate	8	2,380
Home equity	1	6
Total	14	\$ 3,434

(1) The period end balances are inclusive of all partial paydowns and charge-offs since the modification date, if any. Loans modified in a TDR that were fully paid down, charged-off, or foreclosed upon by period end are not reported.

NOTE 3 LOANS AND ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES (continued)

Based on the Corporation s historical loss experience, losses associated with TDRs are not significantly different than other impaired loans within the same loan segment. As such, TDRs are analyzed in the same manner as other impaired loans within their respective loan segment.

The following presents by portfolio loan class, the type of modification made in TDR from April 1, 2012 through June 30, 2012:

	Loans modified thro	Loans modified through extension of te			
	Number of		orded		
			tment period		
(000s omitted)	Loans	end	l) ⁽¹⁾		
Commercial real estate	1	\$	85		
Installment loan	1		59		
Total	2	\$	144		

- (1) The period end balances are inclusive of all partial paydowns and charge-offs since the modification date, if any. Loans modified in a TDR that were fully paid down, charged-off, or foreclosed upon by period end are not reported.
- (2) During the second quarter 2012 there were no loans modified through a reduction of interest rate.

The following presents by portfolio loan class, the type of modification made in a TDR from January 1, 2012 through June 30, 2012:

	Loans modified through reduction of interest rate				
			corded		
	Number	Inv	estment		
	of	(as of period			
(000s omitted)	Loans	eı	nd) ⁽¹⁾		
Commercial real estate	4	\$	1,642		
Residential real estate	1		103		
Installment loan	1		37		
Total	6	\$	1,782		

(1) The period end balances are inclusive of all partial paydowns and charge-offs since the modification date, if any. Loans modified in a TDR that were fully paid down, charged-off, or foreclosed upon by period end are not reported.

	Loans modified through extension of term		
		Recorded Investment	
	Number	(as of period end)	
(000s omitted)	of Loans	(1)	
Commercial real estate	4	\$	2,214
Residential real estate	1		87
Installment loan	1		59

Edgar Filing: FENTURA FINANCIAL INC - Form 10-Q

Total 6 \$ 2,360

(1) The period end balances are inclusive of all partial paydowns and charge-offs since the modification date, if any. Loans modified in a TDR that were fully paid down, charged-off, or foreclosed upon by period end are not reported.

Credit Quality Indicators:

The Corporation categorizes loans into risk categories based on relevant information about the ability of borrowers to service their debts such as: current financial information, historical payment experience, credit documentation, public information, and current economic trends, among other factors. The Corporation analyzes loans individually by classifying the loans as to credit risk. This analysis includes non-homogeneous loans, such as commercial and commercial real estate loans. This analysis is performed on a quarterly basis. The Corporation uses the following definitions for classified risk ratings:

Prime. Loans classified as prime are well seasoned borrowers displaying strong financial condition, consistently superior earning performance, and access to a range of financing alternatives. The borrower strends and outlook, as well as those of its industry are positive.

21

NOTE 3 LOANS AND ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES (continued)

Pass. Loans classified as pass have a moderate to average risk to established borrowers that display sound financial condition and operating results. The capacity to service debt is stable and demonstrated at a level consistent with or above the industry norms. Borrower and industry trends and outlook are considered good.

Watch. Loans classified as watch have a potential weakness that deserves management s close attention. If left uncorrected, these potential weaknesses may result in deterioration of the repayment prospects for the loan or of the institution s credit position at some future date.

Substandard. Loans classified as substandard are inadequately protected by the current net worth and paying capacity of the obligor or of the collateral pledged, if any. Loans so classified have a well-defined weakness or weaknesses that jeopardize the liquidation of the debt. They are characterized by the distinct possibility that the institution will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected.

Doubtful. Loans classified as doubtful have all the weaknesses inherent in those classified as substandard, with the added characteristic that the weaknesses make collection or liquidation in full, on the basis of currently existing facts, conditions, and values, highly questionable and improbable. The Corporation does not classify loans as doubtful. Loans that approach this status are charged-off.

Based on the most recent analysis performed, the recorded investment by risk category of loans by class of loans is as follows:

(000s omitted)

June 30, 2012	Prime	Pass	Watch	Substandard	Total
Commercial	\$ 7,896	\$ 31,093	\$ 1,725	\$ 1,786	\$ 42,295
Commercial real estate	895	81,470	9,040	20,238	111,642
Total	\$ 8,791	\$ 112,563	\$ 10,765	\$ 22,024	\$ 153,937

(000s omitted)

December 31, 2011	Prime	Pass	Watch	Substandard	Total
Commercial	\$ 3,411	\$ 25,006	\$ 1,850	\$ 3,832	\$ 34,099
Commercial real estate:	0	79,909	14,583	24,833	119,325
Total	\$ 3.411	\$ 104.915	\$ 16.433	\$ 28,665	\$ 153,424

The Corporation considers the performance of the loan portfolio and its impact on the allowance for loan losses. For residential and consumer loan classes, the Corporation also evaluates credit quality based on the aging status of the loan, which was previously presented, and by payment activity. The following table presents the recorded investment in residential and consumer loans based on payment activity as of:

(000s)	omitted)

			Residential	
June 30, 2012	Home Equity	Installment	Real Estate	Total
Performing	\$ 18,393	\$ 5,498	\$ 28,119	\$ 52,010
Non-performing	616	93	778	1,487
Total	\$ 19,009	\$ 5,591	\$ 28,897	\$ 53,497

NOTE 3 LOANS AND ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES (continued)

(000	* 15
(UUUS	omitted)

			Residential	
December 31, 2011	Home Equity	Installment	Real Estate	Total
Performing	\$ 19,162	\$ 6,317	\$ 26,060	\$ 51,539
Non-performing	494	133	844	1,471
Total	\$ 19,656	\$ 6,450	\$ 26,904	\$ 53,010

NOTE 4 FAIR VALUE

Fair value is the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. There are three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair values.

Level 1: Quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets that the entity has the ability to access as of the measurement date.

Level 2: Significant other observable inputs other than Level 1 prices such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data.

Level 3: Significant unobservable inputs that reflect a reporting entity s own assumptions about the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability.

Securities Available for Sale:

The fair values of securities available for sale are determined by obtaining quoted prices on nationally recognized securities exchanges (Level 1 inputs) or matrix pricing, which is a mathematical technique widely used in the industry to value debt securities without relying exclusively on quoted prices for the specific securities but rather by relying on the securities relationship to other benchmark quoted securities (Level 2 inputs). The remaining fair values of securities (Level 3 inputs) are based on the reporting entity s own assumptions and basic knowledge of market conditions and individual investment performance. The Corporation reviews the performance of the securities that comprise level 3 on a quarterly basis.

Impaired Loans:

The fair value of impaired loans with specific allocations of the allowance for loan losses is generally based on recent real estate appraisals. These appraisals may utilize a single valuation approach or a combination of approaches including comparable sales and the income approach. Adjustments are routinely made in the appraisal process by the appraisers to adjust for differences between the comparable sales and income data available. Such adjustments are usually significant and typically result in a Level 3 classification of the inputs for determining fair value.

Other Real Estate Owned:

Non-recurring adjustments to certain commercial and residential real estate properties classified as other real estate owned are measured at the lower of carrying amount or fair value, less costs to sell. Fair values are generally based on third party appraisals of the property. Adjustments are routinely made in the appraisal process by the appraisers to adjust for differences between the comparable sale and income data available, which results in a Level 3 classification. In cases where the carrying amount exceeds the fair value, less costs to sell, an impairment loss is recognized.

23

NOTE 4 FAIR VALUE (continued)

Assets Measured on a Recurring Basis

Assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis are summarized below:

		Fair V Quoted F Acti Mark for Ide	Prices in ive cets	Significant Other Observable	U	ificant servable
		Ass	ets	Inputs	In	puts
(000s omitted)	Total	(Leve	el 1)	(Level 2)	(Le	vel 3)
June 30, 2012						
Available for sale securities						
U.S. Government and federal agency	\$ 6,018	\$	0	\$ 6,018	\$	0
Mortgage-backed residential	15,045		0	15,045		0
Collateralized mortgage obligations-agencies	32,258		0	32,258		0
Collateralized mortgage obligations-private label	1,514		0	1,514		0
Equity securities	2,011		0	1,062		949
	\$ 56,846	\$	0	\$ 55,897	\$	949

		Fair Value M Quoted Prices i Active Markets for Identical	Measurements Using n Significant Other Observable	Significant Unobservable
		Assets	Inputs	Inputs
(000s omitted)	Total	(Level 1)	(Level 2)	(Level 3)
<u>December 31, 2011</u>				
Available for sale securities				
U.S. Government and federal agency	\$ 6,165	\$ 0	\$ 6,165	\$ 0
Mortgage-backed residential	15,922	0	15,922	0
Collateralized mortgage obligations-agencies	31,454	0	31,454	0
Collateralized mortgage obligations-private label	3,023	0	3,023	0
Equity securities	2,123	0	1,051	1,072
	\$ 58,687	\$ 0	\$ 57,615	\$ 1,072

The table below presents a reconciliation including the respective income statement classification of gains and losses for all assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3).

	Equity Securities	
(000s omitted)	2012	2011
Beginning balance, January 1,	\$ 1,072	\$ 1,147
Included in other comprehensive income	(123)	(5)

Ending balance, June 30,

\$ 949

\$ 1,142

24

NOTE 4 FAIR VALUE (continued)

	Equity Securities		
(000s omitted)	2012	2011	
Beginning balance, April 1,	\$ 992	\$ 1,160	
Included in other comprehensive income	(43)	(18)	
Ending balance, June 30,	\$ 949	\$ 1,142	

Assets Measured on a Non-Recurring Basis

Assets measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis are summarized below:

		Sig	nificant
		Unobservable	
		I	nputs
(000s omitted)	Total	(L	evel 3)
<u>At June 30, 2012</u>			
Impaired loans			
Commercial	\$ 339	\$	339
Commercial real estate	6,407		6,407
Residential real estate	546		546
Installment	21		21
Home equity	72		72
Total impaired loans	\$ 7,385	\$	7,385
Other real estate owned			
Commercial real estate	\$ 171	\$	171
Total other real estate owned	\$ 171	\$	171

		Significant
		Unobservable
		Inputs
(000s omitted)	Total	(Level 3)
<u>At December 31, 2011</u>		
Impaired loans		
Commercial	\$ 997	\$ 997
Commercial real estate	8,526	8,526
Residential real estate	474	474
Installment	55	55
Home equity	102	102
Total impaired loans	\$ 10,154	\$ 10,154
Other real estate owned		
Commercial real estate	\$ 301	\$ 301

Edgar Filing: FENTURA FINANCIAL INC - Form 10-Q

Total other real estate owned \$ 301 \$ 301

The following represent impairment charges recognized during the period:

At June 30, 2012, impaired loans, which were measured for impairment using the fair value of the collateral for collateral dependent loans, had a principal amount of \$9,577,000 with a valuation allowance of \$2,192,000. This is compared to December 31, 2011 when the principal amount of impaired loans was \$13,868,000 with a valuation allowance of \$3,714,000.

25

NOTE 4 FAIR VALUE (continued)

Other real estate owned which is measured at the lower of carrying value or fair value less costs to sell, had a net carrying amount of \$2,887,000, of which two properties, totaling \$171,000, were at fair value at June 30, 2012, this was the result of write downs which totaled \$12,000 during the second quarter of 2012. At December 31, 2011, other real estate owned had a net carrying amount of \$1,949,000, of which \$301,000 was at fair value, which resulted from write downs totaling \$24,000.

Quantitative information about Level 3 fair value measurements is as follows:

		Valuation		
	Value at 30, 2012	Technique(s)	Unobservable Input	(Weighted Average)
Equity Securities (1)		i i	Price to book multiple	•
	\$ 949	Market Average	of peer group	63%
Impaired Loans	\$ 7,385			
		Appraisal Value-		
			Discount applied to	
		Real estate	appraisal	18%
		Appraisal Value-		
			Discount applied to	
		Accounts receivable	appraisal	28%
		Appraisal Value-		
			Discount applied to	
		Vehicles/equipment	appraisal	17%
Other real estate			Discount applied to	
	\$ 171	Appraisal Value	appraisal	0%
Total level 3 assets	\$ 8,505			

⁽¹⁾ Reasonable modifications made to the price to book multiple are not expected to have a significant impact to the value of the securities.

NOTE 4 FAIR VALUE (continued)

The estimated fair values of financial instruments that are not reported at fair value in their entirety in the Corporation s consolidated balance sheets, segregated by the level of the valuation inputs within the fair value hierarchy utilized to measure fair value were as follows:

	June 3	30, 2012	Decembe	er 31, 2011	
(000s omitted)	Carrying Amount	Fair Value	Carrying Amount	Fair Value	
Assets:					
Level 1 inputs:					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 16,529	\$ 16,529	\$ 18,634	\$ 18,634	
FHLB Stock	661	NA	661	NA	
Accrued interest receivable	1,005	1,005	1,039	1,039	
Level 2 inputs:					
Securities - held to maturity	2,692	2,770	2,963	3,053	
Loans held for sale	309	309	123	123	
Portfolio loans	197,203	182,383	192,378	178,864	
Level 3 inputs:					
Impaired loans	7,385	7,385	10,154	10,154	
Liabilities:					
Level 1 inputs:					
Deposits-non-maturing	\$ 190,956	\$ 189,412	\$ 180,051	\$ 178,305	
Accrued interest payable	1,785	1,785	1,572	1,572	
Level 2 inputs:					
Deposits-with stated maturity	74,270	73,356	85,830	84,815	
FHLB advance	891	1,120	923	1,180	
Subordinated debentures	14,000	12,650	14,000	12,612	

The following methods and assumptions were used by the Corporation in estimating its fair value disclosures for financial instruments:

Cash and cash equivalents

The carrying amounts reported in the consolidated balance sheets for cash and short-term instruments approximate their fair values.

Securities - held to maturity

Fair values for securities held to maturity are based on similar information previously presented for securities available for sale.

Loans held for sale

The fair values of these loans are determined in the aggregate on the basis of existing forward commitments or fair values attributable to similar loans.

Performing loans

For variable rate loans that re-price frequently and with no significant change in credit risk, fair values are based on carrying values. The fair value for other loans is estimated using discounted cash flow analysis.

FHLB Stock

It was not practical to determine the fair value of FHLB stock and therefore the FHLB stock is not included under a specific value methodology.

NOTE 4 FAIR VALUE (continued)

Accrued interest

The carrying amount of accrued interest approximates its fair value.

Off-balance-sheet instruments

The fair value of off-balance sheet items is not considered material.

Deposits

The fair values disclosed for non-maturing deposits are by definition equal to the amount payable on demand at the reporting date. Fair values for deposits with a stated maturity are estimated using a discounted cash flow calculation that applies interest rates currently being offered on similar deposits.

FHLB advance

Rates currently available for FHLB advances with similar terms and remaining maturities are used to estimate the fair value of the existing obligation.

Subordinated debentures

The estimated fair value of the existing subordinated debentures is calculated by comparing a current market rate for the instrument compared to the book rate. The difference between these rates computes the fair value.

Limitations

Fair value estimates are made at a specific point in time, based on relevant market information and information about the financial instrument. These estimates do not reflect any premium or discount that could result from offering for sale at one time the Corporation s entire holdings of a particular financial instrument. Because no market exists for a significant portion of the Corporation s financial instruments, fair value estimates are based on management s judgments regarding future expected loss experience, current economic conditions, risk characteristics and other factors. These estimates are subjective in nature and involve uncertainties and matters of significant judgment and therefore cannot be determined with precision. Changes in assumptions could significantly affect the estimates.

NOTE 5 - INCOME TAXES

The provision (benefit) for federal income taxes is computed by applying the statutory federal income tax rate to income (loss) before income taxes as reported in the consolidated financial statements after deducting non-taxable items, principally income on bank-owned life insurance, and deducting credits related to certain investments.

A valuation allowance related to deferred tax assets is required when it is considered more likely than not that all or part of the benefit related to such assets will not be realized. Management has reviewed the deferred tax position for the Corporation at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011. The Corporation s evaluation of taxable events, losses in recent years and the continuing struggles of the Michigan economy led management to conclude that it was more likely than not that the benefit would not be realized. As a result, the Corporation maintained a full valuation allowance at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

An income tax benefit associated with continuing operations in the amount of \$124,000 and \$368,000 was recorded for the periods ending June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. In 2011, the benefit recorded considered the results of current period adjustments to other comprehensive income and discontinued operations. Generally, the calculation for income tax expense (benefit) does not consider the tax effects of changes in other comprehensive income or loss, which is a component of shareholders—equity on the balance sheet. However, an exception is provided in certain circumstances when there is a pre-tax loss from continuing operations and income from other categories such as other comprehensive income or

NOTE 5 - INCOME TAXES (continued)

discontinued operations. In such case, pre-tax income from other categories is included in the tax expense (benefit) calculation for the current period. For the second quarter of 2012, the income tax benefit was related to the reversal of excess taxes accrued during the fourth quarter of 2011 in relation to estimates of a tax audit.

There were no unrecognized tax benefits at June 30, 2012 or December 31, 2011, and the Corporation does not expect the total amount of unrecognized tax benefits to significantly increase in the next twelve months. The Corporation and its subsidiaries are subject to U.S federal income taxes as well as income tax of the state of Michigan. The Corporation is no longer subject to examination by taxing authorities for years before 2009.

NOTE 6 EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE

The factors in the earnings per share computation follow:

		Three Mor		d	Six Months Ended June 30,			
(000s omitted except share and per share data)	2	.012	- 2	2011	2012		2011	
Basic								
Net income (loss)	\$	144	\$	(245)	\$	(595)	\$	65
Weighted average common shares outstanding	2,403,971		2,320,920		2,3	396,432	2,315,446	
Basic income (loss) per common share	\$	0.06	\$	(0.11)	\$	(0.25)	\$	0.03
Diluted								
Net income (loss)	\$	144	\$	(245)	\$	(595)	\$	65
Weighted average common shares outstanding for								
basic earnings per common share	2,4	03,971	2,3	320,920	2,3	396,432	2,3	315,446
Add: Dilutive effects of assumed exercises of stock options		0		0		0		0
Average shares and dilutive potential common shares	2,4	103,971	2,3	320,920	2,3	396,432	2,3	315,446
Diluted income per common share	\$	0.06	\$	(0.11)	\$	(0.25)	\$	0.03

The factors in the earnings per share of continuing operations follow:

	Three Months Ended June 30,					Six Months Ended June 30,			
(000s omitted except share and per share data)	2012		2011		2012		2011		
Basic									
Net loss of continuing operations	\$	144	\$	(277)	\$	(595)	\$	(409)	
Weighted average common shares outstanding	2,4	03,971	2,3	320,920	2,	396,432	2,3	315,446	
Basic income (loss) per common share from continuing operations	\$	0.06	\$	(0.12)	\$	(0.25)	\$	(0.18)	

Edgar Filing: FENTURA FINANCIAL INC - Form 10-Q

Diluted								
Net income (loss) of continuing operations	\$	144	\$	(277)	\$	(595)	\$	(409)
Weighted average common shares outstanding for basic earnings per common share	2,4	103,971	2,3	320,920	2,	396,432	2,3	315,446
Add: Dilutive effects of assumed exercises of stock options		0		0		0		0
Average shares and dilutive potential	2,4	103,971	2,3	320,920	2,	396,432	2,3	315,446
common shares								
Diluted loss per common share from continuing operations	\$	0.06	\$	(0.12)	\$	(0.25)	\$	(0.18)

NOTE 6 EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE (continued)

Stock options of 11,116 and 16,634 shares of common stock outstanding at June 30, 2012 and June 30, 2011, respectively were not considered in computing diluted earnings per common share for 2012 and 2011, because they were anti-dilutive or out of the money.

NOTE 7 COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

There are various contingent liabilities that are not reflected in the financial statements including claims and legal actions arising in the ordinary course of business. In the opinion of management, after consultation with legal counsel, there are no matters which are expected to have a material effect on the Corporation s consolidated financial condition or results of operations.

NOTE 8 DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

On April 28, 2010, at the Annual Shareholder Meeting, a formal announcement was made regarding the signing of a definitive agreement to sell West Michigan Community Bank (WMCB). The transaction was consummated on January 31, 2011, and the Corporation received \$10,500,000 from the sale of West Michigan Community Bank (a 10% premium to book). As a condition of the sale, the Corporation assumed certain non-performing assets of West Michigan Community Bank which totaled \$9,900,000. The assets were housed in a newly formed real estate holding company subsidiary of the Corporation, FHLLC. In addition, The State Bank assumed \$2,900,000 of watch rated credits.

As of July 1, 2011, due to a change in management s intent, the remaining balances of the assets described above and previously classified as discontinued operations were reclassified to continuing operations; therefore there are no assets or liabilities presented at December 31, 2011 or June 30, 2012. Corresponding amounts also were reclassified for all periods presented.

A condensed statement of income of discontinued operations is presented for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2011. Due to the sale of West Michigan Community Bank at January 31, 2011, only one month of income and expense is presented for West Michigan Community Bank.

CONDENSED STATEMENT OF INCOME OF DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

(000s omitted)

	Three mone	•	Six ende		
	Assumed Loans and Other Real Estate		Assumed Loans and Other Real Estate	WMCB	Total
Interest income	\$ 2	\$ 2	\$ (61)	\$ 515	\$ 454
Interest expense	0	0	0	129	129
Net interest income	2	2	(61)	386	325
Provision for loan losses	0	0	0	(50)	(50)
Net interest income (loss) after provision for loan losses	2	2	(61)	436	375
Non-interest income	99	99	135	121	256
Non-interest expense	126	126	231	415	646
Income (loss) before federal income tax	(25)	(25)	(157)	142	(15)

Edgar Filing: FENTURA FINANCIAL INC - Form 10-Q

Federal income tax (benefit) expense	(57)	(57)	(57)	37	(20)
Gain on sale of subsidiary	0	0	0	469	469
Net income (loss)	\$ 32	\$ 32	\$ (100)	\$ 574	\$ 474

NOTE 8 DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS (continued)

In connection with the sale of West Michigan Community Bank, the Corporation recognized a gross gain of \$711,000. Net of tax the net gain amounted to \$469,000.

NOTE 9 - REGULATORY MATTERS

The Corporation (on a consolidated basis) and its Bank subsidiaries are subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the federal and state regulatory agencies. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory - and possibly additional discretionary actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on the Corporation. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, the Corporation and the Banks must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of assets, liabilities, and certain off-balance-sheet items that are calculated under regulatory accounting practices. The capital risk weightings, and other factors. Prompt corrective action provisions are not applicable to bank holding companies.

Quantitative measures established by regulation to ensure capital adequacy require the Bank to maintain minimum amounts and ratios (set forth in the table below) of total and Tier 1 capital (as defined in the regulations) to risk-weighted assets (as defined), and of Tier 1 capital (as defined) to average assets (as defined). As of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the most recent notifications from the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation categorized the Bank as adequately capitalized under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action.

In January 2010, The State Bank entered into a Consent Order with federal and state banking regulators containing provisions to foster improvement in The State Bank s earnings, reduce nonperforming loan levels, increase capital, and require revisions to various policies. The Consent Order requires The State Bank to maintain a Tier 1 capital to average asset ratio of a minimum of 8.0%. It also requires The State Bank to maintain a total capital to risk weighted asset ratio of 12.0%. At June 30, 2012, The State Bank had a Tier 1 capital to average assets ratio of 8.1% and a total capital to risk-weighted assets ratio of 12.2%. The State Bank is not in compliance with the Consent Order requirements, and therefore cannot be considered well capitalized.

The Consent Orders restrict the Bank from issuing or renewing brokered deposits. The Consent Orders also restrict dividend payments from The State Bank to the Corporation. The Corporation, the Board of Directors and management continue to work on plans to come into compliance with the Consent Orders. At March 31, 2012 actions included the injection of \$250,000 of capital into The State Bank resulting from the sale of non-performing assets from the subsidiary of the Corporation. While below the compliance level required by the Orders, the Bank maintains capital levels that would be considered well capitalized by regular prompt corrective action regulatory standards. Non-compliance with Consent Order requirements may cause bank to be subject to further enforcement actions by the FDIC.

Effective in November 2010, the Corporation received a notice from The Federal Reserve which defined restrictions being placed upon the Corporation. The restrictions include the declaration or payment of any dividends, the receipt of dividends from subsidiary banks, the repayment of any principal or interest on subordinated debentures or Trust Preferred securities, restrictions on debt, any changes in Executive or Senior Management or change in the role of Senior Management. In addition, the notice provided an expectation that the Corporation maintain sufficient capital levels.

At December 31, 2011 the total capital to risk weighted assets for the Corporation was at 10.1%, which is above the 8% threshold required to be considered adequately capitalized. The ratios for the Corporation are not reported at June 30, 2012, as the total assets of the Corporation were below the \$500,000,000 threshold of reporting requirements. The Corporation continues to be required to obtain written approval prior to payments of any dividends or for any increase or decrease to outstanding debt.

31

NOTE 9 - REGULATORY MATTERS (continued)

The Corporation s principal source of funds for dividend payments is dividends received from the Bank. Banking regulations limit the amount of dividends that may be paid without prior approval of regulatory agencies.

			For Cap	ital	Regulate	ory
			Adequa	су	Agreem	ent
	Actua		Purpos		Requirem	nents
(000s omitted)	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
As of June 30, 2012						
Total Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets)						
The State Bank	26,548	12.2%	17,340	8.0%	26,009	12.0%
Tier 1 Capital						
(to Risk Weighted Assets) The State Bank	22 902	11.0	9 670	4.0	NA	NA
The State Dank	23,802	11.0	8,670	4.0	NA	NA
Tier 1 Capital						
(to Average Assets)						
The State Bank	23,802	8.1	11,724	4.0	23,447	8.0
			For Cap	:+a1	Regulate	O.W. 1
			гог Сар	Itai	Regulati	OIV
			1		C	
			Adequa	су	Agreem	
	A	.1	•	•	Agreem	ent
(000s omitted)	Actua Amount		Purpos	es	Agreem Requirem	ent
(000s omitted) As of December 31, 2011	Actua Amount	ıl Ratio	•	•	Agreem	ent
(000s omitted) As of December 31, 2011 Total Capital			Purpos	es	Agreem Requirem	ent
As of December 31, 2011			Purpos	es	Agreem Requirem	ent nents Ratio
As of December 31, 2011 Total Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets) Consolidated	Amount \$ 22,263	Ratio 10.1%	Purpos Amount \$ 27,646	es Ratio	Agreem Requirem Amount	nents Ratio
As of December 31, 2011 Total Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets)	Amount	Ratio	Purpos Amount	es Ratio	Agreem Requirem Amount	ent nents Ratio
As of December 31, 2011 Total Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets) Consolidated The State Bank	Amount \$ 22,263	Ratio 10.1%	Purpos Amount \$ 27,646	es Ratio	Agreem Requirem Amount	nents Ratio
As of December 31, 2011 Total Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets) Consolidated The State Bank Tier 1 Capital	Amount \$ 22,263	Ratio 10.1%	Purpos Amount \$ 27,646	es Ratio	Agreem Requirem Amount	nents Ratio
As of December 31, 2011 Total Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets) Consolidated The State Bank	Amount \$ 22,263	Ratio 10.1%	Purpos Amount \$ 27,646	es Ratio	Agreem Requirem Amount	nents Ratio
As of December 31, 2011 Total Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets) Consolidated The State Bank Tier 1 Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets)	\$ 22,263 26,448	10.1% 12.3	Purpos Amount \$ 27,646 17,166	es Ratio 8.0% 8.0	Agreem Requirem Amount NA \$ 25,749	nents Ratio NA 12.0%
As of December 31, 2011 Total Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets) Consolidated The State Bank Tier 1 Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets) Consolidated	\$ 22,263 26,448	10.1% 12.3	Purpos Amount \$ 27,646 17,166	es Ratio 8.0% 8.0	Agreem Requirem Amount NA \$ 25,749	nents Ratio NA 12.0%
As of December 31, 2011 Total Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets) Consolidated The State Bank Tier 1 Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets) Consolidated The State Bank Tier 1 Capital (to Average Assets)	\$ 22,263 26,448 19,515 23,700	10.1% 12.3 8.8 11.0	Purpos Amount \$ 27,646 17,166 8,823 8,583	es Ratio 8.0% 8.0	Agreem Requirem Amount NA \$ 25,749 NA NA	NA 12.0% NA NA
As of December 31, 2011 Total Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets) Consolidated The State Bank Tier 1 Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets) Consolidated The State Bank Tier 1 Capital	\$ 22,263 26,448	10.1% 12.3	Purpos Amount \$ 27,646 17,166	es Ratio 8.0% 8.0	Agreem Requirem Amount NA \$ 25,749	nents Ratio NA 12.0%

ITEM 2 MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL

CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Certain of the Corporation s accounting policies are important to the portrayal of the Corporation s financial condition, since they require management to make difficult, complex or subjective judgments, some of which may relate to matters that are inherently uncertain. Estimates associated with these policies are susceptible to material changes as a result of changes in facts and circumstances. Facts and circumstances, which could affect these judgments, include, but are not limited to, changes in interest rates, in the performance of the economy or in the financial condition of borrowers.

Results of Operations

As indicated in the statement of operations, the loss from continuing operations for the first six months ended June 30, 2012 was (\$595,000) compared to (\$409,000) for the same period in 2011. Net interest income in the second quarter of 2012 was \$20,000 above net interest income for the same quarter in 2011. The second quarter of 2012 provision for loan losses decreased \$650,000 compared to the second quarter of 2011. The allowance for loan losses has decreased \$1,081,000 when comparing the balance as of June 30, 2012 to the balance as of December 31, 2011 due to improved credit quality. Non interest income for the second quarter of 2012 was \$112,000 above non interest income for the same quarter in 2011. Non interest expense for the second quarter of 2012 was \$210,000 higher than for the same quarter in 2011. These variances from period to period are detailed over the next several pages.

The banking industry uses standard performance indicators to help evaluate a banking institution s performance. Return on average assets is one of these indicators. For the six months ended June 30, 2012, the Corporation s return on average assets (annualized) was (0.40%) compared to (0.25%) for the same period in 2011. For the six months ended June 30, 2012, the Corporation s return on average equity (annualized) was (8.05%) compared to (4.85%) for the same period in 2011. On a per share basis, the basic and diluted net income was \$0.06 in the second quarter of 2012 compared to a loss of (\$0.11) for the same period in 2011. For the six month period ended June 30, 2012, the net loss per share basic and diluted was (\$0.25) compared to net income basic and diluted per share of \$0.03 for the same period in 2011.

Net Interest Income

Net interest income and average balances and yields on major categories of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 are summarized in Table 2. Net interest income and average balances and yields on major categories of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 are summarized in Table 3. The effects of changes in average interest rates and average balances are detailed in Table 1 below.

Table 1 below displays the effects of changing rates and volumes on our net interest income for the six month period ended June 30, 2012 compared to the six month period ended June 30, 2011. The information displayed is with respect to the effects on interest income and interest expense attributable to changes in volume and rate.

As indicated in Table 1, during the six months ended June 30, 2012, net interest income decreased compared to the same period in 2011. Volume in the investment portfolio increased year over year, while the resulting yield decreased. The yield decreased as higher, longer term investments have matured, leaving a lower yielding portfolio on the books. The loan portfolio volume decreased year over year. The yield on the loan portfolio also decreased. This was the result of the repricing of loans to current market rates, as the loans matured. Partially mitigating the loss in yield on investment and loans, the yield on deposits decreased. The deposit interest rate reduction was achieved by a reduction of offering rates on time deposits, which assisted in discouraging high rate instruments from renewing, with some funds exiting, thus reducing interest bearing liability costs. In addition, a shift of deposits from interest bearing to non-interest bearing assisted in improving the net interest margin.

33

Table 1

SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2012 COMPARED TO JUNE 30, 2011 INCREASE (DECREASE)

		DUE TO YIELD/	
(000s omitted)	VOLUME	RATE	TOTAL
Taxable securities	\$ 126	\$ (89)	\$ 37
Tax-exempt securities (1)	(39)	(1)	(40)
Federal funds sold	(11)	8	(3)
Total loans (1)	(83)	(435)	(518)
Loans held for sale	3	(2)	1
Total earning assets	(4)	(519)	(523)
Interest bearing demand deposits	0	(1)	(1)
Savings deposits	1	(1)	0
Time CD s \$100,000 and over	(193)	(165)	(358)
Other time deposits	(56)	(65)	(121)
Other borrowings	(8)	21	13
Total interest bearing liabilities	(256)	(211)	(467)
Net Interest Income	\$ 252	\$ (308)	\$ (56)

(1) Presented on a fully taxable equivalent basis using a federal income tax rate of 34%.

As indicated in Table 2, for the six months ended June 30, 2012, the Corporation s net interest margin as a percentage of average assets (with consideration of full tax equivalency) was 3.90% compared with 3.76% for the same period in 2011. The increase in net interest margin is the result of the downward re-pricing on interest bearing assets which was offset by the decreases in rates paid on interest bearing liabilities when comparing the six month period ended June 30, 2012 to the six month period ended June 30, 2011.

Average earning assets decreased 3.1% or \$8,358,000 comparing the first six months of 2012 to the same time period in 2011. Loans, the highest yielding component of earning assets, represented 76.5% of earning assets in 2012 compared to 74.9% in 2011. Average interest bearing liabilities decreased 7.2% or \$16,625,000 comparing the first six months of 2012 to the same time period in 2011. Non-interest bearing deposits amounted to 26.3% of average earning assets in the first six months of 2012 compared with 21.9% in the same time period of 2011.

Net interest income (displayed with consideration of full tax equivalency), average balance sheet amounts, and the corresponding yields for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 are shown in Table 3. Net interest income for the three months ended June 30, 2012 was \$2,525,000, an increase of \$20,000, or 0.8%, from the same period in 2011. Net interest margin increased as a result of decreases in investment portfolio yields and loan portfolio yields being offset by continuing downward re-pricing of interest bearing liabilities and a higher proportion of funding being provided by non-rate bearing funds.

Management reviews economic forecasts and statistics on a monthly basis. Accordingly, the Corporation will continue to strategically manage the balance sheet structure in an effort to optimize net interest income. The Corporation expects to continue to selectively seek out new loan opportunities while continuing to maintain sound credit quality.

Management continually monitors the Corporation s balance sheet in an effort to insulate net interest income from significant swings caused by interest rate volatility. If market rates change in 2012, corresponding changes in funding costs will be considered to avoid the potential negative impact on net interest income. The Corporation s policies in this regard are further discussed in the section titled Interest Rate Sensitivity Management.

34

Table 2 Average Balance and Rates

	SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30,						
(000s omitted)(Annualized)	AVERAGE BALANCE	2012 INCOME/ EXPENSE	YIELD/ RATE	AVERAGE BALANCE	2011 INCOME/ EXPENSE	YIELD/ RATE	
ASSETS							
Securities:							
U.S. Treasury and government Agencies	\$ 55,061	\$ 631	2.30%	\$ 44,650	\$ 594	2.68%	
State and Political (1)	2,878	88	6.15%	4,130	127	6.20%	
Other	2,742	23	1.69%	2,846	24	1.70%	
Total Securities	60,681	742	2.46%	51,626	745	2.91%	
Fed Funds Sold	0	19	0.00%	15,457	22	0.29%	
Loans:							
Commercial	145,593	4,038	5.58%	152,546	4,430	5.86%	
Tax Free (1)	1,486	48	6.50%	1,898	62	6.59%	
Real Estate-Mortgage	27,203	656	4.85%	19,499	578	5.98%	
Consumer	25,404	702	5.56%	27,853	798	5.78%	
Total loans	199,686	5,444	5.48%	201,796	5,868	5.86	
Loans Held for Sale	697	14	4.04%	543	13	4.95%	
TOTAL EARNING ASSETS	\$ 261,064	\$ 6,219	4.79%	\$ 269,421	\$ 6,648	4.98%	
Cash Due from Banks	26,377			21,542			
Allowance for Loan Losses	(7,707)			(9,645)			
Assets of discontinued operations All Other Assets	20,923			20,277 26,142			
All Other Assets	20,923			20,142			
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 300,657			\$ 327,738			
LIABILITIES & STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY: Deposits:							
Interest Bearing DDA	\$ 47,690	\$ 27	0.11%	\$ 47,044	\$ 28	0.12%	
Savings Deposits	69,841	31	0.09%	68,128	31	0.09%	
Time CD s \$100,000 and Over	32,120	463	2.90%	43,858	822	3.78%	
Other Time CD s	49,254	374	1.53%	56,005	495	1.78%	
Total Interest Bearing Deposits	198,905	895	0.90%	215,035	1,376	1.29%	
Other Borrowings	14,915	266	3.59%	15,410	252	3.30%	
INTEREST BEARING LIABILITIES	\$ 213,820	1,161	1.09%	\$ 230,445	1,628	1.42%	
Non-Interest bearing DDA	68,700			58,649			
Liabilities of discontinued operations	0			18,608			
All Other Liabilities	3,359			3,180			
Stockholders Equity	14,778			16,856			
TOTAL LIABILITIES & STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY	\$ 300,657			\$ 327,738			
Net Interest Rate Spread			3.69%			3.54%	

Edgar Filing: FENTURA FINANCIAL INC - Form 10-Q

Net Interest Income /Margin \$ 5,058 3.90% \$ 5,115 3.76%

(1) Presented on a fully taxable equivalent basis using a federal income tax rate of 34%.

35

Table 3 Average Balance and Rates

		THREE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2012 2011					
(000s omitted)(Annualized)	AVERAGE BALANCE	INCOME/ EXPENSE	YIELD/ RATE	AVERAGE BALANCE	INCOME/ EXPENSE	YIELD/ RATE	
ASSETS							
Securities:							
U.S. Treasury and government Agencies	\$ 56,358	\$ 323	2.31%	\$ 44,035	\$ 326	2.97%	
State and Political (1)	2,794	42	6.05%	3,912	59	6.05%	
Other	2,705	11	1.64%	2,573	13	2.03%	
Total Securities	61,857	376	2.44%	50,520	398	3.16%	
Fed Funds Sold	0	11	0.00%	8,253	13	0.63%	
Loans:							
Commercial	143,314	1,987	5.58%	132,461	2,139	6.48%	
Tax Free (1)	1,437	23	6.44%	1,795	29	6.48%	
Real Estate-Mortgage	28,313	336	4.77%	18,654	287	6.17%	
Consumer	24,935	342	5.52%	24,026	393	6.56%	
Total loans	197,999	2,688	5.46%	176,936	2,848	6.46%	
Loans Held for Sale	646	6	3.74%	450	5	4.46%	
TOTAL EARNING ASSETS	\$ 260,502	\$ 3,081	4.76%	\$ 236,159	\$ 3,264	5.54%	
Cash Due from Banks	24,231			17,241			
Allowance for Loan Losses	(7,740)			(9,164)			
Assets of discontinued operations	0			40,052			
All Other Assets	21,017			21,890			
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 298,010			\$ 306,179			
LIABILITIES & STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY: Deposits:							
Interest Bearing DDA	\$ 46,516	\$ 14	0.12%	\$ 34,877	\$ 14	0.16%	
Savings Deposits	71,703	16	0.09%	64,996	15	0.09%	
Time CD s \$100,000 and Over	27,981	197	2.83%	34,391	389	4.54%	
Other Time CD s	48,214	174	1.45%	45,906	236	2.06%	
Total Interest Bearing Deposits	194,414	401	0.83%	180,170	654	1.46%	
Other Borrowings	14,907	133	3.59%	15,464	126	3.27%	
outer Borrowings	11,507		3.3770	13,101	120		
INTEREST BEARING LIABILITIES	\$ 209,321	534	1.03%	\$ 195,634	780	1.60%	
Non-Interest bearing DDA	70,891			55,668			
Liabilities of discontinued operations	0			34,991			
All Other Liabilities	3,386			2,832			
Stockholders Equity	14,412			17,053			
TOTAL LIABILITIES & STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY	\$ 298,010			\$ 306,178			
Net Interest Rate Spread			3.73%			3.94%	

Edgar Filing: FENTURA FINANCIAL INC - Form 10-Q

Net Interest Income / Margin \$ 2,547 3.93% \$ 2,484 4.22%

(1) Presented on a fully taxable equivalent basis using a federal income tax rate of 34%.

36

Allowance and Provision For Loan Losses

The Corporation maintains formal policies and procedures to control and monitor credit risk. Management believes the allowance for loan losses is appropriate to provide for probable incurred losses in the loan portfolio. While the Corporation s loan portfolio has no significant concentrations in any one industry or any exposure in foreign loans, the loan portfolio has a concentration connected with commercial real estate loans. Specific strategies have been implemented to reduce the concentration levels and limit exposure to this type of lending in the future. The Michigan economy, employment levels and other economic conditions in the Corporation s local markets may have a significant impact on the level of credit losses. Management continues to identify and devote attention to credits that are not performing as agreed. Of course, deterioration of economic conditions could have an impact on the Corporation s credit quality, which could impact the need for greater provision for loan losses and the level of the allowance for loan losses as a percentage of gross loans. Non-performing loans are discussed further in the section titled Non-Performing Assets.

The allowance for loan losses reflects management s judgment as to the level considered appropriate to absorb probable losses in the loan portfolio. The Corporation s methodology in determining the appropriateness of the allowance is based on ongoing quarterly assessments and relies on several key elements, which include specific allowances for identified problem loans and a formula-based risk-allocated allowance for the remainder of the portfolio. This includes a review of individual loans, size, and composition of the loan portfolio, historical loss experience, current economic conditions, financial condition of borrowers, the level and composition of non-performing loans, portfolio trends, estimated net charge-offs and other pertinent factors. While management consider the allowance for loan losses to be appropriate based on information currently available, future adjustments to the allowance may be necessary due to changes in economic conditions, delinquencies, or loss rates. Although portions of the allowance have been allocated to various portfolio segments, the allowance is general in nature and is available for the portfolio in its entirety.

Rolling eight quarter periods of historical charge off experience is considered when calculating the current required level of the allowance for loan losses. The amount of the allowance for loan losses specifically allocated to impaired loans decreased by \$434,000 during the second quarter as a result of improvements in credit quality and stabilization of the collateral supporting loans. For the six month period, ended June 30, 2012, the amount of the allowance for loan losses specifically allocated to impaired loans decreased by \$942,000. The provision for loan losses has begun to decline as collateral values and credit quality have begun to show improvement.

At June 30, 2012, the allowance was \$7,083,000 or 3.43% of total loans compared to \$8,164,000, or 3.97%, at December 31, 2011, a decrease of \$1,081,000 during the first six months of 2012. Non performing loan levels, discussed below, decreased during the period while net charge-offs decreased to \$2,024,000 during the first six months of 2012 compared to \$3,721,000 during the first six months of 2011. A majority of the charge-offs recorded during the six month period ended June 30, 2012 related to loans for which specific allocations were recorded at December 31, 2011. Continuing declines in appraised values of properties in Michigan, along with additional loans migrating to watch status due to economic conditions, have contributed to the ongoing elevated level of provision for loan losses. The application of historical loss rates to the current portfolio has the potential to be a lagging indicator and management evaluates whether these allocations should be adjusted. While asset quality is improving, the use of historical loss rates in estimating the required level of allowance for loan losses continues to provide a lagging indicator of the required level of provision for estimated future loan losses.

Table 4 below summarizes loan losses and recoveries for the first six months of 2012 and 2011. During the first six months of 2012, the Corporation experienced net charge-offs of \$2,024,000 or 0.98% of gross loans compared with net charge-offs of \$3,721,000 or 1.86% of gross loans in the first six months of 2011. The provision for loan loss was \$943,000 in the first six months of 2012 and \$1,525,000 for the same time period in 2011.

37

Table 4 Analysis of the Allowance for Loan Losses

			Cor	nmercial	Res	idential						
				Real]	Real	Inst	allment	Home			
(000s omitted)	Com	mercial]	Estate	E	state	L	oans	Equity	Una	llocated	Total
Allowance for loan losses												
Balance January 1, 2012	\$	891	\$	5,759	\$	476	\$	215	\$ 482	\$	341	\$ 8,164
Provision for loan losses		197		895		164		(57)	44		(300)	943
Loans charged off		(551)		(1,235)		(209)		(18)	(148)		0	(2,161)
Loan recoveries		30		78		2		11	16		0	137
Balance June 30, 2012	\$	567	\$	5,497	\$	433	\$	151	\$ 394	\$	41	\$ 7,083
	_		-	-,	_		_		T	-		+ 1,000
Net charge offs to gross loans		1.24%		1.04%		0.72%		0.63%				0.98%

			Cor	nmercial	Res	idential						
				Real]	Real	Inst	allment	Home			
(000s omitted)	Con	nmercial]	Estate	E	state	I	oans	Equity	Una	llocated	Total
Allowance for loan losses												
Balance January 1, 2011	\$	871	\$	9,155	\$	411	\$	233	\$ 508	\$	46	\$ 11,224
Provision for loan losses		293		699		(13)		43	188		315	1,525
Loans charged off		(136)		(3,766)		(11)		(57)	(98)		0	(4,068)
Loan recoveries		21		196		1		12	17		0	247
Balance June 30, 2011	\$	1.049	\$	6.284	\$	388	\$	231	\$ 615	\$	361	\$ 8,928
,		,		,					·			,
Net charge offs to gross loans		0.34%		3.00%		0.04%		0.48%				1.86%

Non-Interest Income

Non-interest income increased during the six months ended June 30, 2012 as compared to the same period in 2011. Overall non-interest income was \$1,306,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to \$1,189,000 for the same period in 2011. This represents an increase of 9.8%. On a year to date basis, non-interest income at June 30, 2012 was \$2,642,000 compared with \$2,512,000 at June 30, 2011; an increase of 5.2%.

Service charges on deposit accounts are approximately 18.5% of non-interest income for the three months ended June 30, 2012. These fees were \$225,000 in the second quarter of 2012, compared to \$290,000 for the same period of 2011. This represents a decrease of 22.4% from year to year in NSF charges collected. On a year to date basis, service charges on deposit accounts, decreased 22.9% to \$452,000 at June 30, 2012.

Trust, investment and financial planning services income increased \$63,000 or 27.4% in the second quarter of 2012 compared to the same period in the prior year. The increase is attributable to changes in market value, and volume of assets under management, which resulted in higher fee income. On a year to date basis, trust and wealth management income has decreased 1.2% compared to 2011.

Gain on the sale of mortgage loans originated by the Banks and sold into the secondary market increased by \$122,000 or 393.5% to \$153,000 in the second quarter of 2012 compared to \$31,000 for the same period in 2011. This was due to decreases in market rates, which resulted in additional volume of loans repricing, resulting in an increase of loans sold into the secondary market. On a year to date basis, the gain on the sale of mortgage loans has increased 272.7% when compared to the first six months of 2011. The Corporation sells the majority of the mortgage loans originated in the secondary market on a servicing released basis.

Edgar Filing: FENTURA FINANCIAL INC - Form 10-Q

Other operating income decreased by \$3,000 or 0.5% in the second quarter of 2012 compared to the same time period in 2011. The decreases consist of decreased interchange income from debit cards, a decrease in gain on sale of real estate owned, and decreases in charges related to providing support services to other banks. On a year to date basis, other operating income decreased \$13,000 or 1.0%. The reduction was mainly due to decreases in charges related to providing support services to other banks. This was partially offset by increases in debit card income.

38

Non-Interest Expense

Total non-interest expense increased 6.2% to \$3,607,000 in the three months ended June 30, 2012, compared with \$3,397,000 in the same period of 2011. The increase is comprised of increases in FDIC assessment, other losses and other operating expenses. These were partially offset by decreases in salaries and benefits, occupancy, furniture and equipment, loans and collection and legal expenses. For the six month period ended June 30, 2012, total non-interest expense increased \$595,000 due primarily to FDIC assessment costs remaining elevated, other losses and other operating expenses. These were partially offset by decreases in building repairs and maintenance, and loan and collection expenses.

Salary and benefit costs, the Corporation s largest non-interest expense category, were \$1,608,000 in the second quarter of 2012, compared with \$1,623,000, for a decrease of \$15,000 or 0.9%, over the same time period in 2011. For the six months ended June 30, 2012, salary and benefit costs were \$3,332,000, compared with \$3,296,000 for the same time period in 2011. This increase of 1.1% or \$36,000 was related to higher salary expense related to commission payments and higher payroll taxes as the unemployment expense rate returned to prior year levels.

Occupancy expenses, at \$265,000, were reduced in the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the same period in 2011 with a decrease of \$11,000 or 4.0%. Decreases of occupancy expenses were in property insurance, depreciation, utility costs and reductions in building repairs and maintenance, mostly associated with the sale of a bank facility and consolidation of operations. For the six month period ended June 30, 2012, occupancy expenses were \$534,000, compared to \$560,000 for the same time period in 2011. This represents a decrease of 4.6%. For the six month period ended June 30, 2012, the decrease in occupancy expenses were related to reductions in property insurance, depreciation, utility costs and reductions in building repairs and maintenance, mostly associated with the sale of a bank facility and consolidation of operations.

During the three months ended June 30, 2012, furniture and equipment expenses were \$274,000 compared to \$278,000 for the same period in 2011, a decrease of 1.4%. The decrease was due to lower equipment rental expense which was nearly offset by increases in furniture and equipment and purchases expense in the second quarter of 2012. For the six month period ended June 30, 2012, furniture and equipment expenses were \$527,000 compared to \$570,000 for the same period in 2011. This represents a decrease of 7.5% for the six month period comparison and is mainly related to decreases in depreciation and equipment rental expenses.

Loan and collection expenses, at \$171,000, were down \$101,000 or 37.1% during the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the same time period in 2011. The decrease was related to lower write downs and lower expenses related to other real estate owned, primarily in the form of property taxes and property maintenance and expenses. For the six month period ended June 30, 2012, loan and collection expenses totaled \$321,000 compared to \$433,000 for the same period in 2011. This represents a decrease of 25.9%. The decrease during the six month period was also related to decreases in other real estate owned expenses.

Advertising expenses increased \$3,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the same period in 2011. For the three months ended June 30, 2012, advertising expenses were \$47,000 compared to \$44,000 for the same period in 2011. The Corporation has continued advertising campaigns with the goal of attracting new customers for lending and deposit products, while also strengthening our presence in local communities through sponsorship of community events. For the six month period ended June 30, 2012, advertising expenses totaled \$77,000, compared to \$63,000 for the same time in 2011. This is an increase of 22.2%.

39

Other operating expenses were \$1,242,000 in the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to \$904,000 in the same time period in 2011, an increase of \$338,000 or 37.4%. Increases year over year include FDIC assessments remaining elevated, a single cashier scheck loss of \$271,000, other operating expense of \$175,000 related to the repurchase of previously sold mortgages and an increase in the accrual for audit and tax services. These increases were partially offset by a decrease in legal expenses year over year. In the six months ended June 30, 2012, other operating expenses were \$2,639,000 compared to \$1,913,000 in the same time period in 2011, an increase of \$726,000 or 38.0%.

Financial Condition

Proper management of the volume and composition of the Corporation s earning assets and funding sources is essential for ensuring strong and consistent earnings performance, maintaining adequate liquidity and limiting exposure to risks caused by changing market conditions. The Corporation s securities portfolio is structured to provide a source of liquidity through maturities and to generate an income stream with relatively low levels of credit risk. The Corporation does not engage in securities trading. Loans comprise the largest component of earning assets and are the Corporation s highest yielding assets. Customer deposits are the primary source of funding for earning assets while short-term debt and other sources of funds could be further utilized if market conditions and liquidity needs change.

The Corporation s total assets were \$297,871,000 at June 30, 2012 compared to total assets of \$298,861,000 at December 31, 2011. Loans comprised 69.4% of total assets at June 30, 2012 compared to 68.9% at December 31, 2011. Loans increased \$1,013,000 during the first six months of 2012. During the second quarter of 2012, the Bank purchased \$10,500,000 of performing commercial loans from an outside institution. The purchase is anticipated to enhance interest income in the loan portfolio.

Bank premises and equipment increased \$128,000 to \$10,330,000 at June 30, 2012 compared to \$10,202,000 at December 31, 2011. This was the result of the purchase of software licenses to support technological initiatives.

Other real estate owned increased \$938,000 to \$2,887,000 at June 30, 2012 compared to \$1,949,000 at December 31, 2012. This was the result of the addition of eight properties, which totaled \$1,522,000 and the sale of eight properties which provided proceeds of \$544,000. In addition, two properties were written down a total of \$12,000. The sale of properties has a loss on sale of \$28,000 during the six month period ended June 30, 2012.

Other assets decreased \$150,000 when comparing June 30, 2012 to December 31, 2011. The change is attributable to an increase in real estate in redemption, cash surrender value of bank owned life insurance, and interest receivable. These were partially offset by decreases in repossessions and prepaid expenses.

On the liability side of the balance sheet, the ratio of non-interest bearing deposits to total deposits was 26.7% at June 30, 2012 and 23.6% at December 31, 2011. Interest bearing deposit liabilities totaled \$194,396,000 at June 30, 2012 compared to \$203,168,000 at December 31, 2011. Total deposits decreased \$655,000 with non-interest bearing demand deposits increasing \$8,117,000 and interest bearing deposits decreasing \$8,772,000. FHLB advances decreased \$32,000 when comparing the two periods as a result of the annual payment. Other liabilities increased \$11,000 from December 31, 2011 to June 30, 2012.

Non-Performing Assets

Non-performing assets include loans on which interest accruals have ceased, loans past due 90 days or more and still accruing, loans that have been renegotiated, and real estate acquired through foreclosure or deed-in-lieu of foreclosure. Table 5 reflects the levels of these assets at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

Total non-performing assets decreased \$1,025,000 at June 30, 2012 as compared to December 31, 2011. Total non-performing loans decreased by \$4,731,000 at June 30, 2012 as compared to December 31,

2011. Loans past due over 90 days and still accruing interest decreased \$389,000 during the first six months of 2012. Non-accrual loans decreased \$4,322,000 when comparing June 30, 2012 to December 31, 2011. Included in non-accrual loans are \$6,909,000 and \$8,128,000 of modified loans which have not been returned to accrual status at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

Modified loans presented in Table 5 are comprised of loans which have performed since modification and have been returned to accrual status. Modified loans increased \$2,683,000 to \$9,560,000 at June 30, 2012 compared to \$6,877,000 at December 31, 2011. Modified loans are loans for which concessions have been granted to the borrower based on their individual financial situation. These concessions may include modifications to the interest rate, term of the loan or forgiveness of principal or interest.

Other non-performing assets increased \$1,023,000 in of the first six months of 2012. Other Real Estate owned totaled \$2,887,000 at June 30, 2012 compared to \$1,949,000 at December 31, 2011. Other Real Estate in Redemption increased to \$308,000 from \$223,000 at December 31, 2011. The Other Real Estate Owned in Redemption balance is comprised of two commercial properties and one residential property.

The level and composition of non-performing assets is affected by economic conditions in the Corporation s local markets. Non-performing assets, charge-offs, and provisions for loan losses tend to decline in a strong economy and increase in a weak economy, potentially impacting the Corporation s operating results. In addition to non-performing loans, management carefully monitors other credits that are current in terms of principal and interest payments but, in management s opinion, may deteriorate in quality if economic conditions change.

Table 5 - Non-Performing Assets and Past Due Loans

(000s omitted)	June 30, 2012	December 31, 2011		
Non-Performing Loans:				
Loans past due 90 days or more & still accruing	\$ 99	\$ 488		
Non-accrual loans	12,755	17,097		
Total non-performing loans	12,854	17,585		
Modified loans	9,560	6,877		
Total modified loans	9,560	6,877		
Other non-performing assets:				
Other real estate	2,887	1,949		
Other real estate owned in redemption	308	223		
Total other non-performing assets	3,195	2,172		
Total Non-Performing assets	\$ 25,609	\$ 26,634		
Non-performing loans as a % of total loans	6.22%	8.55%		
Non-performing assets as a % of total loans and other real estate	12.39%	12.82%		
Allowance for loan losses as a % of non-performing loans	55.10%	46.43%		
Accruing loans past due 90 days or more to total loans	0.05%	0.24%		
Non-performing assets as a % of total assets	8.59%	8.91%		

While total non performing assets decreased from December 31, 2011 to June 30, 2012, the ratio of the allowance for loan losses to non-performing loans increased. This was the result of loans that had previously been specifically reserved for in the allowance for loan losses, and were charged down during the first quarter, thus effectively reducing the amount of available funds remaining versus total non-performing assets.

Certain portions of the Corporation s non-performing loans included in Table 5 are considered impaired. The Corporation measures impairment on all large balance non-accrual commercial loans. Certain large balance accruing loans rated watch or monitor are also analyzed for possible impairment. Impairment losses are believed to be appropriately covered by the allowance for loan losses.

The Corporation maintains policies and procedures to identify and monitor non-accrual loans. A loan is placed on non-accrual when there is doubt regarding collection of principal or interest, or when principal or interest is past due 90 days or more. Interest accrued but not collected is reversed against income for the current quarter when a loan is placed on non-accrual status. At June 30, 2012, there was one loan past due 90 days or more and still accruing, with a balance of \$99,000. Management is not aware of any loans that have not been moved to non-accrual or not been reclassified to troubled debt restructures at June 30, 2012. The potential, however, remains that a borrower may become financially distressed in the future and management may place that loan into non-accrual, but this is difficult to predict.

Liquidity and Interest Rate Risk Management

Asset/Liability management is designed to assure liquidity and reduce interest rate risks. The goal in managing interest rate risk is to maintain a strong and relatively stable net interest margin. It is the responsibility of the Asset/Liability Management Committee (ALCO) to set policy guidelines and to establish short-term and long-term strategies with respect to interest rate exposure and balance sheet liquidity. The ALCO, which is comprised of key members of management, meets regularly to review financial performance and soundness, including interest rate risk and liquidity in relation to present and prospective markets and business conditions. Accordingly, the committee adopts funding and balance sheet management strategies that are intended to maximize earnings, maintain liquidity, and achieve balance sheet composition objectives.

Liquidity maintenance together with a solid capital base and strong earnings performance are key objectives of the Corporation. The Corporation s liquidity is derived from a strong deposit base comprised of individual and business deposits. Deposit accounts of customers in the mature market represent a substantial portion of deposits of individuals. The Bank s deposit base plus other funding sources (federal funds purchased, short-term borrowings, FHLB advances, other liabilities and stockholders equity) provided primarily all funding needs in the first six months of 2012. While these sources of funds are expected to continue to be available to provide funds in the future, the mix and availability of funds will depend upon future economic conditions. The Corporation does not foresee any difficulty in meeting its funding requirements.

A source of liquidity that is no longer available to the Bank is brokered deposits. Brokered deposits totaled approximately \$6,015,000 at June 30, 2012 and \$11,015,000 at December 31, 2011. As The State Bank is considered adequately capitalized at June 30, 2012, it is precluded, under prompt corrective action guidelines, from issuing or renewing brokered deposits. Management anticipates repayment of brokered deposits as they mature using fed funds and cash on hand.

Primary liquidity is provided through short-term investments or borrowings (including federal funds sold and purchased) while the securities portfolio provides secondary liquidity. The securities portfolio has decreased \$2,112,000 since December 31, 2011 due to payment received from the available for sale investment portfolio along with the maturity of held to maturity investments. During the first quarter of 2012, the Corporation sold one private label security at a gross loss of \$178,000. Simultaneously four additional securities were sold at a total gain of \$191,000. The Corporation has re-invested some of the funds as well as funds from the call and maturities of these securities back into the securities portfolio to increase yield and manage the asset ratios on the balance sheet. The Corporation regularly monitors liquidity to ensure adequate cash flows to cover unanticipated reductions in the availability of funding sources.

42

In April 2009, the Corporation, in order to maintain liquidity at the holding company, provided notice to each of The Bank of New York and Wilmington Trust Company, the trustees of the Corporation's junior subordinated debt securities due 2033 (Fentura Trust I), and junior subordinated debt securities due 2035 (Fentura Trust II), respectively, that the Corporation was exercising its right to defer interest payments for each of the interest payment dates of June 15, 2009, as to the Fentura Trust I, and May 23, 2009, as to the Fentura Trust II to June 15, 2014 and May 23, 2014, respectively, unless the Corporation subsequently gives notice that it has elected to shorten such deferral period. The Corporation has the ability under each of the trust indentures to defer interest payments for up to twenty consecutive quarterly periods (five years), so long as the Corporation is not in default, as defined in the respective indentures. The Corporation is not in default under either of the indentures. Interest on the debt securities continues to accrue during the deferral period and interest on the deferred interest also accrues, both of which must be paid at the end of the deferral period. The total then-estimated annual interest that was payable on the debt securities, if not deferred, was approximately \$1,696,000, based on variable rates at the time of deferral. Management believes the Corporation s liquidity position remains stable as the operating expenses of the Corporation are stable.

Interest rate risk is managed by controlling and limiting the level of earnings volatility arising from rate movements. The Corporation regularly performs reviews and analysis of those factors impacting interest rate risk. Factors include maturity and re-pricing frequency of balance sheet components, impact of rate changes on interest margin and prepayment speeds, market value impacts of rate changes, and other issues. Both actual and projected performance are reviewed, analyzed, and compared to policy and objectives to assure present and future financial viability.

The Corporation had cash used by financing activities resulting primarily from the decrease of \$655,000 of deposits from December 31, 2011. Cash used in investing activities was \$1,835,000 in first six months of 2012 compared to \$92,968,000 in first six months of 2011. The change in investing activities was due to the sale of a subsidiary bank.

Capital Resources

Management closely monitors bank capital levels to provide for current and future business needs and to comply with regulatory requirements. Regulations prescribed under the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act of 1991 have defined well capitalized institutions as those having total risk-based ratios, tier 1 risk-based capital ratios and tier 1 leverage ratios of at least 10%, 6%, and 5%, respectively. At June 30, 2012 the subsidiary Bank maintained adequately capitalized leverage requirements as defined by federal law; however the Bank was not in compliance with the capital requirements prescribed by the Consent Order.

Total stockholders equity decreased 2.1% to \$14,346,000 at June 30, 2012 compared with \$14,660,000 at December 31, 2011. The decrease was due to the net loss in the first six months of 2012, partially offset by a downstream of \$250,000 from the Parent. The Corporation s equity to asset ratio was 4.8% at June 30, 2012 and 4.9% at December 31, 2011.

As indicated on the balance sheet at December 31, 2011, the Corporation had accumulated other comprehensive income of \$23,000 compared to accumulated other comprehensive income at June 30, 2012 of \$225,000. The fluctuation in the position is attributable to a combination of the fluctuation of the market price of securities held in the available for sale portfolio along with the sale of the private label CMO during the first quarter.

The information on the Corporation s capital resources is contained on pages 45 through 48 in the Corporation s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 is incorporated herein by reference.

43

Regulatory Orders

In January 2010, The State Bank entered into a Consent Order with federal and state banking regulators that contain provisions to foster improvement in The State Bank searnings, lower nonperforming loan levels, increase capital, and require revisions to various policies. The Consent Order requires The State Bank to maintain a Tier 1 capital to average asset ratio of a minimum of 8.0%. It also requires The State Bank to maintain a total capital to risk weighted asset ratio of 12.0%. At June 30, 2012, The State Bank had a Tier 1 capital to average assets ratio of 8.1% and a total capital to risk-weighted assets ratio of 12.2%.

The Consent Order restricts the Bank from issuing or renewing brokered deposits. The Consent Order also restricts dividend payments from The State Bank to the Corporation. The Corporation, the Board of Directors and management continue to execute initiatives to comply with the Consent Order. At March 31, 2012 actions included the injection of \$250,000 capital into The State Bank resulting from the sale of non-performing assets from the subsidiary of the Corporation. While below the compliance level required by the Orders, the Bank maintains capital levels that would be considered well capitalized by regular prompt corrective action regulatory standards. Non-compliance with Consent Order requirements would cause the Bank to be subject to further enforcement actions by the FDIC.

Effective in November 2010, the Corporation received a notice from The Federal Reserve which defined restrictions being placed upon the Corporation. The restrictions include the declaration or payment of any dividends, the receipt of dividends from subsidiary banks, the repayment of any principal or interest on subordinated debentures or Trust Preferred securities, restrictions on debt, any changes in Executive or Senior Management or change in the role of Senior Management. In addition, the notice provided an expectation that the Corporation maintain sufficient capital levels. The board of directors and management continue to execute and monitor initiatives to comply with Federal Reserve restrictions.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The Management s Discussion and Analysis of financial condition and results of operations are based on the Corporation s consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, income and expenses. Material estimates that are particularly susceptible to significant change in the near term relate to the determination of the allowance for loan losses, ORE, securities valuation and income taxes. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

The allowance for loan losses is maintained at a level we believe is appropriate to absorb probable losses identified and inherent in the loan portfolio. Our evaluation of the appropriateness of the allowance for loan losses is an estimate based on reviews of individual loans, assessments of the impact of current and anticipated economic conditions on the portfolio, and historical loss experience. The allowance for loan losses represents management s best estimate, but significant downturns in circumstances relating to loan quality or economic conditions could result in a requirement for an increased allowance for loan losses in the near future. Likewise, an upturn in loan quality or improved economic conditions may result in a decline in the required allowance for loan losses. In either instance unanticipated changes could have a significant impact on operating results.

The allowance for loan losses is increased through a provision charged to operating expense. Uncollectible loans are charged-off through the allowance for loan losses. Recoveries of loans previously charged-off are added to the allowance for loan losses. A loan is considered impaired when it is probable that contractual interest and principal payments will not be collected either for the amounts or by the dates as scheduled in the loan agreement.

A valuation allowance related to deferred tax assets is required when it is considered more likely than not that all or part of the benefit related to such assets will not be realized. The Corporation s evaluation of taxable events, losses in recent years and the continuing deterioration of the Michigan economy led management to conclude that it was more likely than not that all or part of the benefit would not be

44

realized. The valuation allowance against our deferred tax assets may be reversed to income in future periods to the extent that the deferred income tax assets are realized or the valuation allowance is otherwise no longer required. Management will continue to monitor our deferred tax assets quarterly for changes affecting their realizability.

Other Real Estate Owned and Foreclosed Assets are acquired through or instead of loan foreclosure. They are initially recorded at fair value less estimated selling costs when acquired, establishing a new cost basis. If fair value declines, a valuation allowance is recorded through expense. Costs after acquisition are expensed.

The Corporation evaluates securities for other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) at least on a quarterly basis, and more frequently when economic or market concerns warrant such evaluation. In determining other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) management considers many factors, including: (1) the length of time and the extent to which the fair value has been less than cost, (2) the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer, (3) whether the market decline was affected by macroeconomic conditions, and (4) whether the entity has the intent to sell the debt security or more likely than not will be required to sell the debt security before its anticipated recovery. The assessment of whether other-than-temporary decline exists involves a high degree of subjectivity and judgment and is based on the information available to management at a point in time.

Off Balance Sheet Arrangements

Some financial instruments, such as loan commitments, credit lines, letters of credit, and overdraft protection, are issued to meet customer financing needs. These are agreements to provide credit or to support the credit of others, as long as conditions established in the contract are met, and usually have expiration dates. Commitments may expire without being used. Off-balance-sheet risk to credit loss exists up to the face amount of these instruments, although material losses are not anticipated. The same credit policies are used to make such commitments as are used for loans, including obtaining collateral at exercise of the commitment.

The contractual amount of financial instruments with off-balance-sheet risk was as follows at:

(000s omitted)	June 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
Commitments to make loans (at market rates)	\$ 14,995	\$ 5,725
Unused lines of credit and letters of credit	28.804	28,420

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURE ABOUT MARKET RISK

The information concerning quantitative and qualitative disclosures about market risk contained on page 70 in the Corporation s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011, is incorporated herein by reference.

Fentura Financial, Inc. faces market risk to the extent that both earnings and the fair value of its financial instruments are affected by changes in interest rates. The Corporation manages this risk with static GAP analysis and has begun simulation modeling. For the first six months of 2012, the results of these measurement techniques were within the Corporation s policy guidelines. The Corporation does not believe that there has been a material change in the nature of the Corporation s primary market risk exposures, including the categories of market risk to which the Corporation is exposed and the particular markets that present the primary risk of loss to the Corporation, or in how those exposures have been managed in 2012 compared to 2011.

The Corporation s market risk exposure is mainly comprised of its vulnerability to interest rate risk. Prevailing interest rates and interest rate relationships in the future will be primarily determined by market factors, which are outside of the Corporation s control. All information provided in this section consists of forward-looking statements. Reference is made to the section captioned Forward Looking Statements in the Corporation s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 for a discussion of the limitations on the Corporation s responsibility for such statements.

Interest Rate Sensitivity Management

Interest rate sensitivity management seeks to maximize net interest income as a result of changing interest rates, within prudent ranges of risk. The Corporation attempts to accomplish this objective by structuring the balance sheet so that re-pricing opportunities exist for both assets and liabilities in roughly equivalent amounts at approximately the same time intervals. Imbalances in these re-pricing opportunities at any point in time constitute a bank s interest rate sensitivity. The Corporation currently does not utilize derivatives in managing interest rate risk.

An indicator of the interest rate sensitivity structure of a financial institution s balance sheet is the difference between rate sensitive assets and rate sensitive liabilities, and is referred to as GAP. Table 6 sets forth the distribution of re-pricing of the Corporation s earning assets and interest bearing liabilities as of June 30, 2012, the interest rate sensitivity GAP, as defined above, the cumulative interest rate sensitivity GAP, the interest rate sensitivity GAP ratio (i.e. interest rate sensitive assets divided by interest rate sensitive liabilities) and the cumulative sensitivity GAP ratio. The table also sets forth the time periods in which earning assets and liabilities will mature or may re-price in accordance with their contractual terms.

Table 6 (000s omitted)	Within Three	Three Months to	GAP Analysis June 30, 2012 One to Five	After Five	
(000s omitted)	Months	One Year	Years	Years	Total
Earning Assets:					
Securities	\$ 10,807	\$ 17,508	\$ 24,795	\$ 6,428	\$ 59,538
Loans	35,428	3 40,297	91,793	39,056	206,574
Loans held for sale	309	0	0	0	309
FHLB stock	661	0	0	0	661
Total earning assets	\$ 47,205	5 \$ 57,805	\$ 116,588	\$ 45,484	\$ 267,082
Interest bearing liabilities:					
Interest bearing demand deposits	\$ 47,201	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 47,201
Savings deposits	72,737	7 0	0	0	72,737
Time deposits less than \$100,000	7,596	20,881	18,989	67	47,533
Time deposits greater than \$100,000	5,863	3 10,474	10,588	0	26,925
Other borrowings	O	35	856	0	891
Subordinated debentures	14,000	0	0	0	14,000
Total interest bearing liabilities	\$ 147,397		\$ 30,433	\$ 67	\$ 209,287
Interest rate sensitivity GAP	(\$ 100,192	2) \$ 26,415	\$ 86,155	\$ 45,417	\$ 57,795
Cumulative interest rate sensitivity GAP	(\$ 100,192	2) (\$ 73,777) \$ 12,378	\$ 57,795	·
Interest rate sensitivity GAP	0.32			678.87	
Cumulative interest rate sensitivity GAP ratio	0.32	0.59	1.06	1.28	

As indicated in Table 6, the short-term (one year and less) cumulative interest rate sensitivity gap is negative. Accordingly, if market interest rates increase, this negative gap position could have a short-term negative impact on interest margin. Conversely, if market rates decline this should theoretically have a short-term positive impact. However, gap analysis is limited and may not provide an accurate indication of the impact of general interest rate movements on the net interest margin since the re-pricing of various categories of assets and liabilities is subject to the Corporation s needs, competitive pressures, and the needs of the Corporation s customers. In addition, various assets and liabilities indicated as re-pricing within the same period may in fact re-price at different times within such period and at different rate indices. The Prime Rate has remained steady over the past twelve months. This steadiness allowed management to close the gap related to interest rate sensitivity. Management was able to reduce liquid

72

interest bearing liability rates to extremely low rates, while maintaining relatively similar volumes. The Banks were also able to re-price maturing time deposits, usually in a downward fashion as longer term certificates at higher rates matured during the year. On the asset side of the balance sheet, rates on the investment portfolios remained relatively steady and the yields on loans increased slightly. Management worked to re-price loans favorably as they renewed and were priced accordingly for risk, however overall loan yields decreased. The Corporation expects to continue to make strides in managing interest rate sensitivity.

Forward Looking Statements

This report includes forward-looking statements as that term is used in the securities laws. All statements regarding our expected financial position, business and strategies are forward-looking statements. In addition, the words anticipates, believes, estimates, seeks, expects, intends, and similar expressions, as they relate to us or our management, are intended to identify forward-looking statements. The presentation and discussion of the provision and allowance for loan losses and statements concerning future profitability or future growth or increases, are examples of inherently forward looking statements in that they involve judgments and statements of belief as to the outcome of future events. Our ability to predict results or the actual effect of future plans or strategies is inherently uncertain. Factors which could have a material adverse affect on our operations and our future prospects include, but are not limited to, changes in: interest rates, general economic conditions, legislative/regulatory changes, monetary and fiscal policies of the U.S. Government, including policies of the U.S. Treasury and the Federal Reserve Board, the quality or composition of the loan or investment portfolios, demand for loan products, deposit flows, competition, demand for financial services in our market area and accounting principles, policies and guidelines. These risks and uncertainties should be considered in evaluating forward-looking statements and undue reliance should not be placed on such statements. Further information concerning us and our business, including additional factors that could materially affect our financial results, is included in our other filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

ITEM 4: CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

- (a) Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures. The Corporation s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, after evaluating the effectiveness of the Corporation s disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) as of the end of the period covered by this Form 10-Q Quarterly Report, have concluded that the Corporation s disclosure controls and procedures were adequate and effective to ensure that material information relating to the Corporation would be made known to them by others within the Corporation, particularly during the period in which this Form 10-Q was being prepared.
- (b) <u>Changes in Internal Controls</u>. During the period covered by this report, there have been no changes in the Corporation s internal control over financial reporting that have materially affected or are reasonably likely to materially affect the Corporation s internal control over financial reporting.

47

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

- Item 1. Legal Proceedings. None
- Item 1A. Risk Factors This item is not applicable to smaller reporting companies.
- Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds. None
- Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities. None
- Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures None
- Item 5. Other Information. None
- Item 6. Exhibits.
 - (a) Exhibits
 - Amended and restated supplemental executive retirement plan with Daniel J. Wollschlager (Incorporated by reference from Form 8-K filed on May 2, 2010)
 - 31.1 Certificate of the President and Chief Executive Officer of Fentura Financial, Inc. pursuant to 15 U.S.C. Section 7241, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
 - 31.2 Certificate of the Chief Financial Officer of Fentura Financial, Inc. pursuant to 15 U.S.C. Section 7241, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
 - 32.1 Certificate of the Chief Executive Officer of Fentura Financial, Inc. pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
 - 32.2 Certificate of the Chief Financial Officer of Fentura Financial, Inc. pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Edgar Filing: FENTURA FINANCIAL INC - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

Signatures

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Fentura Financial, Inc.

Dated: August 3, 2012 /s/ Ronald L. Justice

Ronald L. Justice President and CEO

Dated: August 3, 2012 /s/ James W. Distelrath

James W. Distelrath

Chief Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer

49

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit	Description
10.1	Amended and restated supplemental executive retirement plan with Daniel J. Wollschlager (Incorporated by reference from Form 8-K filed on May 2, 2010)
31.1	Certificate of the President and Chief Executive Officer of Fentura Financial, Inc. pursuant to 15 U.S.C. Section 7241, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2	Certificate of the Chief Financial Officer of Fentura Financial, Inc. pursuant to 15 U.S.C. Section 7241, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1	Certificate of the Chief Executive Officer of Fentura Financial, Inc. pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2	Certificate of the Chief Financial Officer of Fentura Financial, Inc. pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

50